Conformal Geometry of Surfaces in $S^4$
and Quaternions

F. Burstall, D. Ferus, K. Leschke, F. Pedit, U. Pinkall

This is the first comprehensive introduction to the authors’ recent attempts toward a better understanding of the global concepts behind spinor representations of surfaces in 3-space. The important new aspect is a quaternionic-valued function theory, whose ”meromorphic functions” are conformal maps into $\mathbb{H}$, which extends the classical complex function theory on Riemann surfaces. The first results along these lines were presented at the ICM 98 in Berlin [7]. Basic constructions of complex Riemann surface theory, such as holomorphic line bundles, holomorphic curves in projective space, Kodaira embedding, and Riemann-Roch, carry over to the quaternionic setting. Additionally, an important new invariant of the quaternionic holomorphic theory is the Willmore energy. For quaternionic holomorphic curves in $\mathbb{H}P^1$ this energy is the classical Willmore energy of conformal surfaces.

The present paper is based on a course given by one of the authors at the Summer School on Differential Geometry at Coimbra in September, 1999. It centers on Willmore surfaces in the conformal 4-sphere $\mathbb{H}P^1$. The first three sections introduce linear algebra over the quaternions and the quaternionic projective line as a model for the conformal 4-sphere. Conformal surfaces $f : M \to \mathbb{H}P^1$ are identified with the pull-back of the tautological bundle. They are treated as quaternionic line subbundles of the trivial bundle $M \times \mathbb{H}^2$. A central object, explained in section 5, is the mean curvature sphere (or conformal Gauss map) of such a surface, which is a complex structure on $M \times \mathbb{H}^2$. It leads to the definition of the Willmore energy, the critical points of which are called Willmore surfaces. In section 7 we identify the new notions of our quaternionic theory with notions in classical submanifold theory. The rest of the paper is devoted to applications: We classify super-conformal immersions as twistor projections from $\mathbb{C}P^3$ in the sense of Penrose, we construct Bäcklund transformations for Willmore surfaces in $\mathbb{H}P^1$, we set up a duality between Willmore surfaces in $S^3$ and certain minimal surfaces in hyperbolic 3-space, and we give a new proof of a recent classification result by Montiel on Willmore 2-spheres in the 4-sphere.
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1 Quaternions

1.1 The Quaternions

The Hamiltonian quaternions \( \mathbb{H} \) are the unitary \( \mathbb{R} \)-algebra generated by the symbols \( i, j, k \) with the relations

\[
i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1, \quad ij = -ji = k, \quad jk = -kj = i, \quad ki = -ik = j.\]

The multiplication is associative but obviously not commutative, and each non-zero element has a multiplicative inverse: We have a skew-field, and a 4-dimensional division algebra over the reals. Frobenius showed in 1877 that \( \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C} \) and \( \mathbb{H} \) are in fact the only finite-dimensional \( \mathbb{R} \)-algebras that are associative and have no zero-divisors. For the element

\[
a = a_0 + a_1 i + a_2 j + a_3 k, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.1)
\]

we define

\[
\bar{a} := a_0 - a_1 i - a_2 j - a_3 k, \quad \text{Re} \, a := a_0, \quad \text{Im} \, a := a_1 i + a_2 j + a_3 k.
\]

Note that, in contrast with the complex numbers, \( \text{Im} \, a \) is not a real number, and that conjugation obeys

\[
\overline{\bar{a}} = b \bar{a}.
\]

We shall identify the real vector space \( \mathbb{H} \) in the obvious way with \( \mathbb{R}^4 \), and the subspace of purely imaginary quaternions with \( \mathbb{R}^3 \):

\[
\mathbb{R}^3 = \text{Im} \, \mathbb{H}.
\]

The reals are identified with \( \mathbb{R}^1 \). The embedding of the complex numbers \( \mathbb{C} \) is less canonical. The quaternions \( i, j, k \) equally qualify for the complex imaginary unit, and in fact any purely imaginary quaternion of square -1 would do the job. From now on, however, we shall usually use the subfield \( \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{H} \) generated by \( 1, i \).

Occasionally we shall need the Euclidean inner product on \( \mathbb{R}^4 \) which can be written as

\[
\langle a, b \rangle = \text{Re}(\bar{a}b) = \text{Re}(a\bar{b}) = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{a}b + \bar{b}a).
\]

We define

\[
|a| := \sqrt{\langle a, a \rangle} = \sqrt{aa}.
\]
Then
\[ |ab| = |a| |b|. \] (1.2)

A closer study of the quaternionic multiplication displays nice geometric aspects. We first mention that the quaternion multiplication incorporates both the usual vector and scalar products on \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). In fact, using the representation (1.1) one finds for \( a, b \in \text{Im} \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^3 \)
\[ ab = a \times b - <a, b>_{\mathbb{R}}. \] (1.3)

As a consequence we state

**Lemma 1.** For \( a, b \in \mathbb{H} \) we have
\[(i) \ ab = ba \text{ if and only if } \text{Im} \ a \text{ and } \text{Im} \ b \text{ are linearly dependent over the reals. In particular, the reals are the only quaternions that commute with all others.} \]
\[(ii) \ a^2 = -1 \text{ if and only if } |a| = 1 \text{ and } a = \text{Im} \ a. \text{ Note that the set of all such } a \text{ is the usual two-sphere} \]
\[ S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3 = \text{Im} \mathbb{H}. \]

**Proof.** Write \( a = a_0 + a', b = b_0 + b' \), where the prime denotes the imaginary part. Then
\[ ab = a_0b_0 + a_0b' + a'b_0 + a'b' \]
\[ = a_0b_0 + a_0b' + a'b_0 + a' \times b' - <a', b'>_{\mathbb{R}}. \]

All these products, except for the cross-product, are commutative, and (1.1) follows. From the same formula with \( a = b \) we obtain \( \text{Im} a^2 = 2a_0a' \). This vanishes if and only if \( a \) is real or purely imaginary. Together with (1.2) we obtain (1.3). \(\square\)

### 1.2 The Group \( S^3 \)

The set of unit quaternions
\[ S^3 := \{ \mu \in \mathbb{H} | |\mu|^2 = 1 \} \]
i.e. the 3-sphere in \( \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^4 \), forms a group under multiplication. We can also interpret it as the group of linear maps \( x \mapsto \mu x \) of \( \mathbb{H} \) preserving the hermitian inner product
\[ <a, b> := \bar{a}b. \]

This group is called the symplectic group \( Sp(1) \).
We now consider the action of $S^3$ on $\mathbb{H}$ given by

$$S^3 \times \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}, \quad (\mu, a) \mapsto \mu a \mu^{-1}.$$  

By (1.2) this action preserves the norm on $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^4$ and, hence, the Euclidean scalar product. It obviously stabilizes $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{H}$ and, therefore, its orthogonal complement $\mathbb{R}^3 = \text{Im} \mathbb{H}$. We get a map, in fact a representation,

$$\pi : S^3 \to SO(3), \mu \mapsto \mu \ldots \mu^{-1}|_{\text{Im} \mathbb{H}}.$$  

Let us compute the differential of $\pi$. For $\mu \in S^3$ and $v \in T_\mu S^3 = (\mathbb{R} \mu)^\perp$, we get

$$d_\mu \pi(v)(a) = va\mu^{-1} - \mu a \mu^{-1} v \mu^{-1} = \mu(a^{-1}v - a \mu^{-1}v)\mu^{-1}.$$  

Now $\mu^{-1}v$ commutes with all $a \in \text{Im} \mathbb{H}$ if and only if $v = r\mu$ for some real $r$. But then $v = 0$, because $v \perp \mu$. Hence $\pi$ is a local diffeomorphism of $S^3$ onto the 3-dimensional manifold $SO(3)$ of orientation preserving orthogonal transformations of $\mathbb{R}^3$. Since $S^3$ is compact and $SO(3)$ is connected, this is a covering. And since $\mu a \mu^{-1} = a$ for all $a \in \text{Im} \mathbb{H}$ if and only if $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e. if and only if $\mu = \pm 1$, this covering is 2:1. It is obvious that antipodal points of $S^3$ are mapped onto the same orthogonal transformation, and therefore we see that

$$SO(3) \cong S^3 / \{ \mu \sim -\mu \} = \mathbb{R}P^3.$$  

We have now displayed the group of unit quaternions as the universal covering of $SO(3)$. This group is also called the spin group:

$$S^3 = Sp(1) = Spin(3).$$  

If we identify $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}j = \mathbb{C}^2$, we can add yet another isomorphism:

$$S^3 \cong SU(2).$$  

In fact, let $\mu = \mu_0 + \mu_1 j \in S^3$ with $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathbb{C}$. Then for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $j(\alpha + i\beta) = (\alpha - i\beta)j$. Therefore the $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $A_\mu : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2, x \mapsto \mu x$ has the following matrix representation with respect to the basis $1, j$ of $\mathbb{C}^2$:

$$A_\mu 1 = \mu_0 + \mu_1 j = 1\mu_0 + j\bar{\mu}_1,$$

$$A_\mu j = -\mu_1 + \mu_0 j = 1(-\mu_1) + j\bar{\mu}_0.$$  

Because of $\mu_0\bar{\mu}_0 + \mu_1\bar{\mu}_1 = 1$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 & \bar{\mu}_1 \\ -\mu_1 & \mu_0 \end{pmatrix} \in SU(2).$$  
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2 Linear Algebra over the Quaternions

2.1 Linear Maps, Complex Quaternionic Vector Spaces

Since we consider vector spaces $V$ over the skew-field of quaternions, there are two options for the multiplication by scalars. We choose quaternion vector spaces to be right vector spaces, i.e. vectors are multiplied by quaternions from the right:

$$V \times \mathbb{H} \to V, (v, \lambda) \mapsto v\lambda.$$ 

The notions of basis, dimension, subspace, and linear map work as in the usual commutative linear algebra. The same is true for the matrix representation of linear maps in finite dimensions. However, there is no reasonable definition for the elementary symmetric functions like trace and determinant: The linear map $A : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}, x \mapsto ix$, has matrix $(i)$ when using 1 as basis for $\mathbb{H}$, but matrix $(-i)$ when using the basis $j$.

If $A \in \text{End}(V)$ is an endomorphism, $v \in V$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $Av = v\lambda$,

then for any $\mu \in \mathbb{H}\backslash\{0\}$ we find

$$A(v\mu) = (Av)\mu = v\lambda\mu = (v\mu)(\mu^{-1}\lambda\mu).$$

If $\lambda$ is real then the eigenspace is a quaternionic subspace. Otherwise it is a real – but not a quaternionic – vector subspace, and we obtain a whole 2-sphere of “associated eigenvalues” (see Section 1.2). This is related to the fact that multiplication by a quaternion (necessarily from the right) is not an $\mathbb{H}$-linear endomorphism of $V$. In fact, the space of $\mathbb{H}$-linear maps between quaternionic vector spaces is not a quaternionic vector space itself.

Any quaternionic vector space $V$ is of course a complex vector space, but this structure depends on choosing an imaginary unit, as mentioned in section 1.1. We shall instead (quite regularly) have an additional complex structure on $V$, acting from the left, and hence commuting with the quaternionic structure. In other words, we consider a fixed $J \in \text{End}(V)$ such that $J^2 = -I$. Then

$$(x + iy)v := vx + (Jv)y.$$ 

In this case we call $(V, J)$ a complex quaternionic (bi-)vector space. If $(V, J)$ and $(W, J)$ are such spaces, then the quaternionic linear maps from $V$ to $W$ split as a direct sum of the real vector spaces of complex linear ($AJ = JA$) and anti-linear ($AJ = -JA$) homomorphisms.

$$\text{Hom}(V, W) = \text{Hom}_+(V, W) \oplus \text{Hom}_-(V, W)$$
In fact, $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ and $\text{Hom}_\pm(V, W)$ are complex vector space with multiplication given by

$$(x + iy)Av := (Av)x + (JAv)y.$$ 

The standard example of a quaternionic vector space is $\mathbb{H}^n$. An example of a complex quaternionic vector space is $\mathbb{H}^2$ with $J(a, b) := (-b, a)$.

On $V = \mathbb{H}$, any complex structure is simply left-multiplication by some $N \in \mathbb{H}$ with $N^2 = -1$. The following lemma describes a situation that naturally produces such an $N$, and that will become a standard situation for us. But, before stating that lemma, let us make a simple observation:

**Remark 1.** On a real 2-dimensional vector space $U$ each complex structure $J \in \text{End}(U)$ induces an orientation $O$ such that $(x, Jx)$ is positively oriented for any $x \neq 0$. We then call $J$ compatible with $O$.

**Lemma 2 (Fundamental lemma).**

(i) Let $U \subset \mathbb{H}$ be a real subspace of dimension 2. Then there exist $N, R \in \mathbb{H}$ with the following three properties:

$$
\begin{align*}
N^2 &= -1 = R^2, & (2.1) \\
NU &= U = UR, & (2.2) \\
U &= \{x \in \mathbb{H} | NxR = x\}. & (2.3)
\end{align*}
$$

The pair $(N, R)$ is unique up to sign. If $U$ is oriented, there is only one such pair such that $N$ is compatible with the orientation.

(ii) If $U, N$ and $R$ are as above, and $U \subset \text{Im} \mathbb{H}$, then

$$N = R,$$

and this is a Euclidean unit normal vector of $U$ in $\text{Im} \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^3$.

(iii) Given $N, R \in \mathbb{H}$ with $N^2 = -1 = R^2$, the sets

$$U := \{x \in \mathbb{H} | NxR = x\}, \quad U^\perp := \{x \in \mathbb{H} | NxR = -x\}$$

are orthogonal real subspaces of dimension 2.

**Definition.** Motivated by (i) of the lemma, $N$ and $R$ are called a left and right normal vector of $U$, though in general they are not at all orthogonal to $U$ in the geometric sense.
Proof of the lemma. (i). If $1 \in U$ and if $a \in U$ is a unit vector orthogonal to $1$, then $a^2 = -1$. Hence $(N, R) = (a, -a)$ works for $U$, and the uniqueness, up to sign, follows easily from $N1 \in U$ and $Na \in U$. If $U$ is arbitrary, and $x \in U \setminus \{0\}$ then put $\tilde{U} := x^{-1}U$. Clearly, $1 \in \tilde{U}$. Moreover, $(N, R)$ works for $U$ if and only if $(x^{-1}Nx, R)$ works for $\tilde{U}$.

(ii). If $U \subset \text{Im } \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^3$, and $u, v$ is an orthonormal basis of $U$, then $N = R = u \times v = uv$ satisfies the requirements: Use the geometric properties of the cross product.

(iii). The above argument shows that $\sigma(x) := NxR$ has $\pm 1$-eigenspaces of real dimension 2. Since $\sigma$ is orthogonal, so are its eigenspaces. 

Example 1. Let $(V, J), (W, J)$ be complex quaternionic vector spaces of dimension 1. Then $\text{Hom}_+(V, W)$ is of real dimension 2. To see this, choose bases $v$ and $w$, and assume

$$Jv = vR, \quad Jw = wN.$$ 

Then $N^2 = -1 = R^2$. Now $F \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$ is given by $F(v) = wa$, and

$$FJ = JF \iff FJv = JFv$$
$$\iff waR = J(wa) = (Jw)a = wNa \iff aR = Na.$$ 

But the set of all such $a$ is of real dimension 2, by the last part of the lemma. The same result holds for $\text{Hom}_-(V, W)$. As stated earlier, $\text{Hom}_\pm(V, W)$ are complex vector spaces, and therefore (non-canonically) isomorphic with $\mathbb{C}$.

2.2 Conformal Maps

A linear map $F : V \to W$ between Euclidean vector spaces is called conformal if there exists a positive $\lambda$ such that

$$<Fx, Fy> = \lambda <x, y>$$

for all $x, y \in V$. This is equivalent to the fact that $F$ maps a normalized orthogonal basis of $V$ into a normalized orthogonal basis of $F(V) \subset W$. Here “normalized” means that all vectors have the same length, possibly $\neq 1$.

If $V = W = \mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{C}$, and $J : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ denotes multiplication by the imaginary unit, then $J$ is orthogonal. For $x \in \mathbb{C}, |x| \neq 0$, the vectors $(x, Jx)$ form a normalized orthogonal basis. The map $F$ is conformal if and only if $(Fx, FJx)$ is again normalized orthogonal. On the other hand $(Fx, JFx)$ is normalized orthogonal. Hence $F$ is conformal, if and only if

$$FJ = \pm JF,$$
where the sign depends on the orientation behaviour of $F$.

Note that this condition does not involve the scalar product, but only involves the complex structure $J$. A generalization of this fact to quaternions is fundamental for the theory presented here.

If $F : \mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{H}$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear and injective, then $U = F(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a real 2-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{H}$, oriented by $J$. Let $N, R \in \mathbb{H}$ be its left and right normal vectors. Then $NU = U = UR$, and $N$ induces an orthogonal endomorphism of $U$ compatible with the Euclidean scalar product of $\mathbb{R}^4$. The map $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \to U$ is conformal if and only if $FJ = NF$. Hence $F : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{H}$ is conformal if and only if there exist $N, R \in \mathbb{H}, N^2 = -1 = R^2$, such that

$$\ast F := FJ = NF = -FR.$$  

This leads to the following fundamental

**Definition.** Let $M$ be a Riemann surface, i.e. a 2-dimensional manifold endowed with a complex structure $J : TM \to TM, J^2 = -I$. A map $f : M \to \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^4$ is called *conformal*, if there exist $N, R : M \to \mathbb{H}$ such that with $\ast df := df \circ J$,

$$N^2 = -1 = R^2$$  

$$\ast df = Ndf = -dfR.$$  

If $f$ is an immersion then (2.4) follows from (2.3), and $N$ and $R$ are unique, called the left and right normal vector of $f$.

**Remarks.**

- Equation (2.3) is an analog of

$$\ast df = idf$$

for functions $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$, i.e. of the Cauchy-Riemann equations. In this sense conformal maps into $\mathbb{H}$ are a generalization of complex holomorphic maps.

- If $f$ is an immersion, then $df(T_pM) \subset \mathbb{H}$ is a 2-dimensional real subspace. Hence, according to Lemma 2, there exist $N, R$, inducing a complex structure $J$ on $T_pM \cong df(T_pM)$. The definition requires that $J$ coincides with the complex structure already given on $T_pM$.

- For an immersion $f$ the existence of $N : M \to \mathbb{H}$ such that $\ast df = Ndf$ already implies that the immersion $f : M \to \mathbb{H}$ is conformal. Similarly for $R$.

- If $f : M \to \text{Im} \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^3$ is an immersion then $N = R$ is “the classical” unit normal vector of $f$. But for general $f : M \to \mathbb{H}$, the vectors $N$ and $R$ are not orthogonal to $df(TM)$. 
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3 Projective Spaces

In complex function theory the Riemann sphere \( \mathbb{C}P^1 \) is more convenient as a target space for holomorphic functions than the complex plane. Similarly, the natural target space for conformal immersions is \( \mathbb{H}P^1 \), rather than \( \mathbb{H} \). We therefore give a description of the quaternionic projective space.

3.1 Projective Spaces and Affine Coordinates.

The quaternionic projective space \( \mathbb{H}P^n \) is defined, similar to its real and complex cousins, as the set of quaternionic lines in \( \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \). We have the (continuous) canonical projection

\[
\pi : \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{H}P^n, \ x \mapsto \pi(x) = [x] = x\mathbb{H}.
\]

The manifold structure of \( \mathbb{H}P^n \) is defined as follows:

For any linear form \( \beta \in (\mathbb{H}^{n+1})^*, \beta \neq 0 \),

\[
u : \pi(x) \mapsto x < \beta, x >^{-1}
\]

is well-defined and maps the open set \( \{\pi(x) \mid < \beta, x > \neq 0\} \) onto the affine hyperplane \( \beta = 1 \), which is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{H}^n \). Coordinates of this type are called affine coordinates for \( \mathbb{H}P^n \). They define a (real-analytic) atlas for \( \mathbb{H}P^n \).

We shall often use this in the following setting: We choose a basis for \( \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \) such that \( \beta \) is the last coordinate function. Then we get

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    x_1 \\
    \vdots \\
    x_n \\
    x_{n+1}
\end{bmatrix}
\mapsto
\begin{bmatrix}
    x_1x_{n+1}^{-1} \\
    \vdots \\
    x_nx_{n+1}^{-1} \\
    1
\end{bmatrix}
\text{ or }
\begin{bmatrix}
    x_1x_{n+1}^{-1} \\
    \vdots \\
    x_nx_{n+1}^{-1}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The set

\( \{\pi(x) \mid < \beta, x > = 0\} \)

is called the hyperplane at infinity.

**Example.** In the special case \( n = 1 \), the hyperplane at infinity is a single point: \( \mathbb{H}P^1 \) is the one-point compactification of \( \mathbb{R}^4 \), hence “the” 4-sphere:

\[
\mathbb{H}P^1 = S^4.
\]

Note however, that the notion of the antipodal map is natural on the usual 4-sphere, but not on \( \mathbb{H}P^1 \) – unless we introduce additional structure, like a metric.
For our purposes it is important to have a good description of the tangent space $T_l \mathbb{H}P^n$ for $l \in \mathbb{H}P^n$. For that purpose, we consider the projection

$$\pi : \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{H}P^n$$

in affine coordinates: If $\beta \in (\mathbb{H}^{n+1})^*$ is as above, then

$$h = u \circ \pi : \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{H}^{n+1}, x \to x < \beta, x >^{-1}$$

satisfies

$$d_x h(v) = v < \beta, x >^{-1} - x < \beta, x >^{-1} < \beta, v > < \beta, x >^{-1}.$$ 

Therefore

$$\ker d_x h = x \mathbb{H},$$

$$d_x h(v \lambda) = d_x h(v)$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}$, and the same holds for $\pi$:

$$\ker d_x \pi = x \mathbb{H},$$

$$d_x \pi(v \lambda) = d_x \pi(v).$$ (3.1)

(3.2)

By (3.1), $d_x \pi$ induces an isomorphism

$$d_x \pi : \mathbb{H}^{n+1} / l \to T_l \mathbb{H}P^n, \quad l = \pi(x),$$

of real vector spaces, but it depends on the choice of $x \in l$. To eliminate this dependence, we note that by (3.2) the map

$$\text{Hom}(l, \mathbb{H}^{n+1} / l) \to T_l \mathbb{H}P^n, F \mapsto d_x \pi(F(x)),$$

with $x \in l \setminus \{0\}$ is a well-defined isomorphism:

$$\text{Hom}(l, \mathbb{H}^{n+1} / l) \cong T_l \mathbb{H}P^n.$$ (3.3)

In other words, we identify $d_x \pi(v)$ with the homomorphism from $l = \pi(x) = x \mathbb{H}$ to $\mathbb{H}^{n+1} / l$ that maps $x$ to $\pi_l(v) := v \mod l$. For practical use, we rephrase this as follows:

**Proposition 1.** Let $\tilde{f} : M \to \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ and $f = \pi \tilde{f} : M \to \mathbb{H}P^n$. Let $p \in M, l := f(p), v \in T_p M$. Then

$$d_p f : T_p M \to T_{f(p)} \mathbb{H}P^n = \text{Hom}(f(p), \mathbb{H}^{n+1} / f(p))$$

is given by

$$d_p f(v)(\tilde{f}(p) \lambda) = \pi_l(d_p \tilde{f}(v) \lambda).$$

We denote the differential in this interpretation by $\delta f$:

$$\boxed{\delta f(v)(\tilde{f}) = df(v) \mod f.}$$ (3.4)
Proof. The tangent vector

\[ dpf(v) = d\tilde{f}(p)\pi(dp\tilde{f}(v)) \in T_{f(p)}\mathbb{H}P^n \]

is identified with the homomorphism \( F : f(p) \to \mathbb{H}^n/f(p) \), that maps \( \tilde{f}(p) \) into \( dp\tilde{f}(v) \) mod \( f(p) \).

### 3.2 Metrics on \( \mathbb{H}P^n \).

Given a non-degenerate quaternionic hermitian inner product \( \langle \ldots \rangle \) on \( \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \), we define a (possibly degenerate Pseudo-) Riemannian metric on \( \mathbb{H}P^n \) as follows: For \( x \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \) with \( \langle x, x \rangle \neq 0 \) and \( v, w \in (x\mathbb{H})^\perp \) we define

\[
\langle dx\pi(v), dx\pi(w) \rangle = \frac{1}{\langle x, x \rangle} \Re \langle v, w \rangle.
\]

This is well-defined since, for \( 0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{H} \), we have

\[
\langle dx\pi(\lambda v), dx\pi(\lambda w) \rangle = \langle dx\pi(v), dx\pi(w) \rangle.
\]

It extends to arbitrary \( v, w \) by

\[
\langle dx\pi(v), dx\pi(w) \rangle = \Re \frac{\langle v, w - x < x, w > < x, x >^{-1} > \rangle}{\langle x, x \rangle} = \Re \frac{\langle v, w > < x, x > - < v, x > < x, w > \rangle}{\langle x, x \rangle^2}. \tag{3.5}
\]

**Example 2.** For \( \langle v, w \rangle = \sum \bar{v}_k w_k \) we obtain the standard Riemannian metric on \( \mathbb{H}P^n \). (In the complex case, this is the so-called Fubini-Study metric.) The corresponding conformal structure is in the background of all of the following considerations.

We take this standard Riemannian metric on \( \mathbb{H}P^1 = S^4 \) and ask which metric it induces on \( \mathbb{R}^4 \) via the affine parameter

\[
h : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}P^1, x \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

Let \( \tilde{h} : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}^2, x \mapsto (x, 1) \), and let “\( \equiv \)” denote equality mod \( \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbb{H} \).

Then

\[
\delta_xh(v)(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}) \equiv dx\tilde{h}(v) \equiv \begin{bmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \frac{\bar{x}v}{1 + x\bar{x}} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} v \\ -\bar{x}v \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{1 + x\bar{x}}.
\]
The latter vector is \(<.,.>-orthogonal to \((x, 1)\), and therefore the induced metric on \(\mathbb{H}\) is given by

\[
h^* < v, w >_x = \frac{1}{(1 + x\bar{x})^3} \text{Re} < \begin{pmatrix} v \\ -\bar{x}v \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} w \\ -\bar{x}w \end{pmatrix} > = \frac{1}{(1 + x\bar{x})^2} \text{Re}(\bar{v}w) = \frac{1}{(1 + x\bar{x})^2} < v, w >_\mathbb{R}.
\]

But stereographic projection of \(S^4\) induces the metric

\[
\frac{2}{(1 + x\bar{x})^2} < v, w >_\mathbb{R}
\]

on \(\mathbb{R}^4\). Hence the standard metric on \(\mathbb{H}P^1\) is of constant curvature 4.

**Example 3.** If we consider an *indefinite* hermitian metric on \(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}\), then the above construction of a metric on \(\mathbb{H}P^n\) fails for isotropic lines \(<l, l> = 0\), but these points are scarce. We consider the case \(n = 1\), and the hermitian inner product

\[
<v, w> = \bar{v}_1w_2 + \bar{v}_2w_1.
\]

Isotropic lines are characterized in affine coordinates \(h : x \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\) by

\[0 = < \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} > = \bar{x} + x,
\]

i.e. by \(x \in \text{Im} \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^3\). The point at infinity \(\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\) \(\mathbb{H}\) is isotropic, too. Therefore, the set of isotropic points is a 3-sphere \(S^3 \subset S^4\), and its complement consists of two open discs or – in affine coordinates – two open half-spaces.

As in the previous example, we find

\[
h^* < v, w >_x = \frac{1}{(2 \text{Re} x)^2} \text{Re}(\bar{v}w) = \frac{1}{(2 \text{Re} x)^2} < v, w >_\mathbb{R}
\]

for the induced metric on the half-spaces \(\text{Re} \neq 0\) of \(\mathbb{H}\). This is – up to a constant factor – the standard hyperbolic metric on these half-spaces.

### 3.3 Moebius Transformations on \(\mathbb{H}P^1\).

The group \(\text{Gl}(2, \mathbb{H})\) acts on \(\mathbb{H}P^1\) by \(G(v\mathbb{H}) := Gv\mathbb{H}\). The kernel of this action, i.e. the set of all \(G \in \text{Gl}(2, \mathbb{H})\) such that \(Gv \in v\mathbb{H}\) for all \(v\), is \(\{\rho I | \rho \in \mathbb{R}\}\).
How is this action compatible with the metric induced by a positive definite hermitian metric of $\mathbb{H}^2$? Using (3.5) we find

$$|dG(d_x\pi(v\lambda))|^2 = \text{Re} \frac{<G(v\lambda),G(v\lambda)> - <G(v\lambda),Gx><Gx,G(v\lambda)>}{<Gx,Gx>^2}$$

$$= |\lambda|^2 \text{Re} \frac{<Gv,Gv> - <Gv,Gx><Gx,Gv>}{<Gx,Gx>^2}$$

$$= |\lambda|^2 |dG(d_x\pi(v))|^2$$

Taking $G = I$ we see that for $v \neq 0$ the map

$$\mathbb{H} \to T_{\pi(x)}\mathbb{H}P^1, \lambda \mapsto d_x\pi(v\lambda)$$

is length-preserving up to a constant factor, i.e. is a conformal isomorphism. But the same is obviously true for the metric induced by the pull-back under an arbitrary $G$, and therefore $GL(2,\mathbb{H})$ acts conformally on $\mathbb{H}P^1 = S^4$. We call these transformations the Moebius transformations on $\mathbb{H}P^1$. In affine coordinates they are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ax + b \\ cx + d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (ax + b)(cx + d)^{-1} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$ 

This emphasises the analogy with the complex case.

It is known that this is the full group of all orientation preserving conformal diffeomorphisms of $S^4$, see [5].

3.4 2-Spheres in $S^4$.

We consider the set

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{ S \in \text{End}(\mathbb{H}^2) \mid S^2 = -I \}.$$ 

For $S \in \mathcal{Z}$ we define

$$S' := \{ l \in \mathbb{H}P^1 \mid Sl = l \}.$$ 

We want to show

**Proposition 2.** (i) $S'$ is a 2-sphere in $\mathbb{H}P^1$, i.e corresponds to a real 2-plane in $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^4$ under a suitable affine coordinate.

(ii) Each 2-sphere can be obtained in this way by an $S \in \mathcal{Z}$, unique up to sign.

**Proof.** We consider $\mathbb{H}^2$ as a (right) complex vector space with imaginary unit $i$. Then $S$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear and has a (complex) eigenvalue $N$. If $Sv = vN$, then

$$S(v\mathbb{H}) = vN\mathbb{H} = v\mathbb{H}.$$
Hence $S' \neq \emptyset$.
We choose a basis $v, w$ of $\mathbb{H}^2$ such that $v \mathbb{H} \in S'$, i.e. $Sv = vN$ for some $N$, and $Sw = -vH - wR$. Then $S^2 = -I$ implies

$$
N^2 = -1 = R^2, \quad NH = HR.
$$

For the affine parametrization $h : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}P^1, x \mapsto [vx + w]$ we get:

$$
[vx + wx] \in S' \iff \exists \gamma S(vx + w) = (vx + w)\gamma
\iff \exists \gamma, vNx - vH - wR = vx\gamma + w\gamma
\iff \exists \gamma \begin{cases} N x - H = x\gamma \\ -R = \gamma \end{cases}
\iff \begin{cases} N x + xR = H. \end{cases}
$$

This is a real-linear equation for $x$, with associated homogeneous equation

$$
N x + xR = 0.
$$

By Lemma 2 this is of real dimension 2, and any real 2-plane can be realized this way.

Obviously, $S$ and $-S$ define the same 2-sphere. But $S$ determines $(N, R)$, thus fixing an orientation of the above real 2-plane and thereby of $S'$. Hence the lemma can be paraphrased as follows:

$$
Z \text{ is the set of oriented 2-spheres in } S^4 = \mathbb{H}P^1.
$$

## 4 Vector Bundles

We shall need vector bundles over the quaternions, and therefore briefly introduce them.

### 4.1 Quaternionic Vector Bundles

A quaternionic vector bundle $\pi : V \to M$ of rank $n$ over a smooth manifold $M$ is a real vector bundle of rank $4n$ together with a smooth fibre-preserving action of $\mathbb{H}$ on $V$ from the right such that the fibres become quaternionic vector spaces.

**Example 4.** The product bundle $\pi : M \times \mathbb{H}^n \to M$ with the projection on the first factor and the obvious vector space structure on each fibre $\{x\} \times \mathbb{H}^n$ is also called the trivial bundle.
Example 5. The points of the projective space $\mathbb{H}P^n$ are the 1-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$. The tautological bundle

$$\pi_\Sigma : \Sigma \to \mathbb{H}P^n$$

is the line bundle with $\Sigma_l = l$. More precisely

$$\Sigma := \{(l, v) \in \mathbb{H}P^n \times \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \mid v \in l\},$$

$$\pi_\Sigma : \Sigma \to \mathbb{H}P^n, (l, v) \mapsto l.$$  

The differentiable and vector space structure are the obvious ones.

Example 6. If $V \to \tilde{M}$ is a quaternionic vector bundle over $\tilde{M}$, and $f : M \to \tilde{M}$ is a map, then the “pull-back” $f^*V \to M$ is defined by

$$f^*V := \{(x, v) \mid v \in V_{f(x)}\} \subset M \times V$$

with the obvious projection and vector bundle structure. The fibre over $x \in M$ is just the fibre of $V$ over $f(x)$.

We shall be concerned with maps $f : M \to \mathbb{H}P^n$ from a surface into the projective space. To $f$ we associate the bundle $L := f^*\Sigma$, whose fibre over $x$ is $f(x) \subset \mathbb{H}^{n+1} = \{x\} \times \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$. The bundle $L$ is a line subbundle of the product bundle

$$H := M \times \mathbb{H}^{n+1}.$$

Conversely, every line subbundle $L$ of $H$ over $M$ determines a map $f : M \to \mathbb{H}P^n$ by $f(x) := L_x$. We obtain an identification

| Maps $f : M \to \mathbb{H}P^n$ | Line subbundles $L \subset H = M \times \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ |

All natural constructions for vector spaces extend, fibre-wise, to operations in the category of vector bundles. For example, a subbundle $L$ of a vector bundle $H$ induces a quotient bundle $H/L$ with fibres $H_x/L_x$. Given two quaternionic vector bundles $V_1, V_2$ the real vector bundle $\text{Hom}(V_1, V_2)$ has the fibres $\text{Hom}(V_{1x}, V_{2x})$. A section $\Phi \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(V_1, V_2))$ is called a vector bundle homomorphism. It is a smooth map $\Phi : V_1 \to V_2$ such that for all $x$ the restriction $\Phi|_{V_{1x}}$ maps $V_{1x}$ homomorphically into $V_{2x}$. There is an obvious notion of isomorphism for vector bundles.

Example 7. Over $\mathbb{H}P^n$ we have the product bundle $H = \mathbb{H}P^n \times \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ and, inside it, the tautological subbundle $\Sigma$. Then

$$T\mathbb{H}P^n \cong \text{Hom}(\Sigma, H/\Sigma),$$

see (3.3).
Example 8 (and Definition). Let $L$ be a line subbundle of $H = M \times \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$. Let $\pi_L : H \rightarrow H/L \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(H, H/L))$ be the projection. A section $\psi \in \Gamma(L) \subset \Gamma(H)$ is a particular map $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$. If $X \in T_pM$, then $d\psi(X) \in H_p = \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$, and

$$\pi_L(d\psi(X)) \in (H/L)_p = \mathbb{H}^{n+1}/L_p.$$ 

Let $\lambda : M \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$. Then

$$\pi_L(d(\psi\lambda)(X)) = \pi_L(d\psi(X)\lambda + \psi d\lambda(X)) = \pi_L(d\psi(X))\lambda.$$ 

We see that

$$\psi \mapsto \pi_L(d\psi(X)) =: \delta(X)(\psi)$$

is tensorial in $\psi$, i.e. we obtain

$$\delta(X) = \delta_L(X) \in \text{Hom}(L_p, (H/L)_p).$$

Of course this is $\mathbb{R}$-linear in $X$ as well, so $\delta$ should be viewed as a 1-form on $M$ with values in $\text{Hom}(L, H/L)$:

$$\delta \in \Omega^1(\text{Hom}(L, H/L)). \quad (4.1)$$

Let us repeat: Given $p \in M$, $X \in T_pM$, and $\psi_0 \in L_p$, there is a section $\psi \in \Gamma(L)$ such that $\psi(p) = \psi_0$. Then

$$\delta_p(X)\psi_0 = \pi_L(d_p\psi(X)) = d_p\psi(X) \mod L_p.$$ 

Note the similarity to the second fundamental form

$$\alpha(X, Y) = (dY(X))^\perp.$$ 

of a submanifold $M$ in Euclidan space. In the case at hand, $L$ corresponds to $TM$ and $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}/L$ corresponds to the normal bundle. This is the general method to measure the change of a subbundle $L$ in a (covariantly connected) vector bundle $H$.

We can view $L$ as a map $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{H}P^n$. Even if this is an immersion, $\delta$ clearly has nothing to do with the second fundamental form of $f$. Instead, comparison with Proposition 1 shows that

$$\delta : TM \rightarrow \text{Hom}(L, H/L)$$

corresponds to the derivative of $f$, and we shall therefore call it the derivative of $L$. 
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Example 9. The dual $V^* := \{ \omega : V \to \mathbb{H} | \omega \text{-linear} \}$ of a quaternionic vector space $V$ is, in a natural way, a left $\mathbb{H}$-vector space. But since we choose quaternionic vector spaces to be right $\mathbb{H}$-vector spaces, we use the opposite structure: For $\omega \in V^*$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{H}$ we define

$$\omega \lambda := \bar{\lambda} \omega.$$ 

This extends to quaternionic vector bundles. E.g., if $L$ is a line bundle, i.e. of rank 1, then $L^*$ is another quaternionic line bundle, usually denoted by $L^{-1}$.

A quaternionic vector bundle is called trivial if it is isomorphic with the product bundle $M \times \mathbb{H}^n$, i.e. if there exist global sections $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n : M \to V$ that form a basis of the fibre everywhere. Note that for a quaternionic line bundle over a surface the total space $V$ has real dimension $2 + 4 = 6$, and hence any section $\phi : M \to V$ has codimension 4. It follows from transversality theory that any section can be slightly deformed so that it will not hit the 0-section. Therefore there exists a global nowhere vanishing section: Any quaternionic line bundle over a Riemann surface is (topologically) trivial.

4.2 Complex Quaternionic Bundles

A complex quaternionic vector bundle is a pair $(V, J)$ consisting of a quaternionic vector bundle $V$ and a section $J \in \Gamma(\text{End}(V))$ with

$$J^2 = -I,$$

see section [2.1].

Example 10. Given $f : M \to \mathbb{H}, \ast df = Ndf$, the quaternionic line bundle $L = M \times \mathbb{H}$ has a complex structure given by

$$Jv := Nv.$$ 

Example 11. For a given $S \in \text{End}(\mathbb{H}^2)$ with $S^2 = -I$, we identified

$$S' = \{ l | Sl = l \} \subset \mathbb{H}P^1$$ 

as a 2-sphere in $\mathbb{H}P^1$, see section [3.4]. We now compute $\delta$, or rather the image of $\delta$, for the corresponding line bundle $L$. In other words, we compute the tangent space of $S' \subset \mathbb{H}P^1$. Note that, because of $SL \subset L$, $S$ induces a complex structure on $L$, and it also induces one (again denoted by $S$) on $H/L$ such that $\pi_L S = S \pi_L$. Now for $\psi \in \Gamma(L)$, we have

$$\delta S \psi = \pi_L d(S \psi) = \pi_L S d \psi = S \pi_L d \psi = S \delta \psi.$$
This shows

\[ TS' = \text{image} \delta \subset \text{Hom}_+(L, H/L). \]

But the real vector bundle \( \text{Hom}_+(L, H/L) \) has rank 2, see Example 1, and since \( S' \) is an embedded surface, the inclusion is an equality:

\[ T_iS' = \text{Hom}_+(L_i, (H/L)_i) \subset \text{Hom}(L_i, (H/L)_i) = T_i\mathbb{H}P^1. \]

For our next example we generalize Lemma 2.

**Lemma 3.** Let \( V, W \) be 1-dimensional quaternionic vector spaces, and \( U \subset \text{Hom}(V, W) \) be a 2-dimensional real vector subspace. Then there exists a pair of complex structures \( J \in \text{End}(V), \tilde{J} \in \text{End}(W) \), unique up to sign, such that

\[ \tilde{J}U = U = UJ, \]

\[ U = \{ F \in \text{Hom}(V, W) \mid \tilde{J}F J = -F \} \]

If \( U \) is oriented, then there is only one such pair such that \( J \) is compatible with the orientation.

*Note:* Here we choose the sign of \( J \) in such a way that it corresponds to \(-R\) rather than \( R\).

**Proof.** Choose non-zero basis vectors \( v \in V, w \in W \). Then elements in \( \text{Hom}(V, W) \) and endomorphisms of \( V \) or of \( W \) are represented by quaternionic \( 1 \times 1 \)-matrices, and therefore the assertion reduces to that of Lemma 2. \( \square \)

The following is now evident:

**Proposition 3.** Let \( L \subset H = M \times \mathbb{H}^2 \) be an immersed oriented surface in \( \mathbb{H}P^1 \) with derivative \( \delta \in \Omega^1(\text{Hom}(L, H/L)) \). Then there exist unique complex structures on \( L \) and \( H/L \), denoted by \( J, \tilde{J} \), such that for all \( x \in M \)

\[ \tilde{J}\delta(T_xM) = \delta(T_xM) = \delta(T_xM)J, \]

\[ \tilde{J}\delta = \delta J, \]

and \( J \) is compatible with the orientation induced by \( \delta : T_xM \rightarrow \delta(T_xM) \).

**Definition.** A line subbundle \( L \subset H = M \times \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \) over a Riemann surface \( M \) is called conformal or a holomorphic curve in \( \mathbb{H}P^n \), if there exists a complex structure \( J \) on \( L \) such that

\[ *\delta = \delta J. \]
From the proposition we see: If $L$ is an immersed holomorphic curve in $\mathbb{H}P^1$, i.e. if $\delta$ is in addition injective, such that $\delta(TM) \subset \text{Hom}(L, H/L)$ is a real subbundle of rank 2, then there is also a complex structure $\tilde{J} \in \Gamma(\text{End}(H/L))$ such that
\[ *\delta = \delta J = \tilde{J}\delta. \quad (4.2) \]

A Riemann surface immersed into $\mathbb{H}P^1$ is a holomorphic curve if and only if the complex structures given by the proposition are compatible with the complex structure given on $M$ in the sense of (4.2).

**Example 12.** Let $f : M \to \mathbb{H}$ be a conformally immersed Riemann surface with right normal vector $R$, and let $L$ be the line bundle corresponding to
\[ \begin{bmatrix} f \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} : M \to \mathbb{H}P^1. \]

Then $\begin{bmatrix} f \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma(L)$, and
\[
\delta(\begin{bmatrix} f \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} R) = \pi_L d(\begin{bmatrix} f \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} R) = \pi_L \left( \begin{bmatrix} df & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} R \right) = \pi_L \left( \begin{bmatrix} df & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \pi_L \left( \begin{bmatrix} df & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = -*\delta(\begin{bmatrix} f \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}).
\]

If we define $J \in \text{End}(L)$ by $J(\begin{bmatrix} f \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}) = -\begin{bmatrix} f \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} R$ then
\[ \delta J = *\delta, \]
hence $(L, J)$ is a holomorphic curve. Conversely, if $(L, J)$ is a holomorphic curve, then $J(\begin{bmatrix} f \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}) = -\begin{bmatrix} f \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} R$ for some $R : M \to \mathbb{H}$, and $f$ is conformal with right normal vector $R$.

**4.3 Holomorphic Quaternionic Bundles**

Let $(V, J)$ be a complex quaternionic vector bundle over the Riemann surface $M$. We decompose
\[ \text{Hom}_R(TM, V) = KV \oplus \bar{KV}, \]
where
\[ KV := \{ \omega : TM \to V \mid *\omega = J\omega \}, \]
\[ \bar{KV} := \{ \omega : TM \to V \mid *\omega = -J\omega \}. \]
Definition. A holomorphic structure on \((V, J)\) is a quaternionic linear map

\[ D : \Gamma(V) \to \Gamma(\bar{K}V) \]

such that for all \(\psi \in \Gamma(V)\) and \(\lambda : M \to \mathbb{H}\)

\[ D(\psi\lambda) = (D\psi)\lambda + \frac{1}{2}(\psi d\lambda + J\psi \ast d\lambda). \tag{4.3} \]

A section \(\psi \in \Gamma(V)\) is called holomorphic if \(D\psi = 0\), and we put

\[ H^0(V) = \ker D \subset \Gamma(V). \]

Remarks. 1. For a better understanding of this, note that for complex-valued \(\lambda\) the anti-\(\mathbb{C}\)-linear part (the \(\bar{K}\)-part) of \(d\lambda\) is given by \(\bar{\partial}\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(d\lambda + i * d\lambda)\). In fact,

\[ (d\lambda + i * d\lambda)(JX) = \ast d\lambda(X) - i d\lambda(X) = -i(d\lambda + i * d\lambda)(X). \]

A holomorphic structure is a generalized \(\bar{\partial}\)-operator. Equation (4.3) is the only natural way to make sense of a product rule of the form “\(D(\psi\lambda) = (D\psi)\lambda + \psi \bar{\partial}\lambda\).”

2. If \(L\) is a holomorphic curve in \(\mathbb{H}P^1\), does this mean \(L\) carries a natural holomorphic structure? This is not yet clear, but we shall come back to this question. See also Theorem 1 below.

Example 13. Any given \(J \in \text{End}(\mathbb{H}^n), J^2 = -1\), turns \(H = M \times \mathbb{H}^n\) into a complex quaternionic vector bundle. Then \(\Gamma(H) = \{\psi : M \to \mathbb{H}^n\}\), and

\[ D\psi := \frac{1}{2}(d\psi + J * d\psi) \]

is a holomorphic structure.

Example 14. If \(L\) is a complex quaternionic line bundle and \(\phi \in \Gamma(L)\) has no zeros, then there exists exactly one holomorphic structure \(D\) on \((L, J)\) such that \(\phi\) becomes holomorphic. In fact, any \(\psi \in \Gamma(L)\) can be written as \(\psi = \phi\mu\) with \(\mu : M \to \mathbb{H}\), and our only chance is

\[ D\psi := \frac{1}{2}(\phi d\mu + J\phi * d\mu). \tag{4.4} \]

This, indeed, satisfies the definition of a holomorphic structure.
Example 15. If \( f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \) is a conformal surface with left normal vector \( N \), then \( N \) is a complex structure for \( L = M \times \mathbb{H} \), and there exists a unique \( D \) such that \( D1 = 0 \). A section \( \psi = 1\mu \) is holomorphic if and only if \( d\mu + N^*d\mu = 0 \), i.e. \( *d\mu = Nd\mu \).

The holomorphic sections are therefore the conformal maps with the same left normal \( N \) as \( f \). In this case \( \dim H^0(L) \geq 2 \), since \( 1 \) and \( f \) are independent in \( H^0(L) \).

Theorem 1. If \( L \subset H = M \times \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \) is a holomorphic curve with complex structure \( J \), then the dual bundle \( L^{-1} \) inherits a complex structure defined by \( J\omega := \omega J \).

The pair \( (L^{-1}, J) \) has a canonical holomorphic structure \( D \) characterized by the following fact: Any quaternionic linear form \( \omega : \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \) induces a section \( \omega_L \in \Gamma(L^{-1}) \) by restriction to the fibres of \( L \). Then for all \( \omega \)

\[
D\omega_L = 0.
\]

Proof. The vector bundle \( L^\perp \) with fibre \( L^\perp_x = \{ \omega \in (\mathbb{H}^{n+1})^* \mid \omega|_{L_x} = 0 \} \) has a total space of real dimension \( 4n + 2 \). Therefore there exists \( \omega \) such that \( \omega_L \) has no zero. Example [14] yields a unique holomorphic structure \( D \) such that \( D\omega_L = 0 \).

Now any \( \alpha \in \Gamma(L^{-1}) \) is of the form \( \alpha = \omega_L\lambda \) for some \( \lambda : M \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \). Then, by (4.4), for any section \( \psi \in \Gamma(L) \) we have

\[
\langle D\alpha, \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \omega_L d\lambda + J\omega_L * d\lambda, \psi \rangle
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left( \langle \omega d\lambda, \psi \rangle + \langle \omega * d\lambda, J\psi \rangle \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left( d \langle \omega, \psi \rangle + * d \langle \omega, J\psi \rangle \right) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \omega, d\psi + *d(J\psi) \rangle
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left( d \langle \alpha, \psi \rangle + * d \langle \alpha, J\psi \rangle \right) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \omega, d\psi + *d(J\psi) \rangle.
\]

Note that \( *\delta = \delta J \) implies \( d\psi + *d(J\psi) \in \Gamma(L) \), and this allows us to replace \( \omega\lambda \) by \( \alpha \) in the last term as well:

\[
\langle D\alpha, \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left( d \langle \alpha, \psi \rangle + * d \langle \alpha, J\psi \rangle \right) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \alpha, d\psi + *dJ\psi \rangle.
\]

This contains no reference to \( \omega \), hence \( D \) is independent of the choice of \( \omega \) such that \( \omega_L \) has no zero. But the last equality shows \( D\alpha = 0 \) for any \( \alpha = \omega_L \) with \( \omega \in (\mathbb{H}^{n+1})^* \).

Remark 2. As we shall see in the next section, a holomorphic curve \( L \) in \( \mathbb{H}P^1 \) carries a natural holomorphic structure. In higher dimensional projective spaces this is no longer the case. Therefore \( L^{-1} \) rather than \( L \) plays a prominent role in higher codimension.
5 The Mean Curvature Sphere

5.1 S-Theory

Let $M$ be a Riemann surface. Let

$$H := M \times \mathbb{H}^2$$

denote the product bundle over $M$, and let $S : M \to \text{End}(\mathbb{H}^2) \in \Gamma(\text{End}(H))$ with $S^2 = -I$ be a complex structure on $H$. We split the differential according to type:

$$d\psi = d'\psi + d''\psi,$$

where $d'$ and $d''$ denote the $\mathbb{C}$-linear and anti-linear components, respectively:

$$*d' = Sd', \quad *d'' = -Sd''.$$

Explicitly,

$$d'\psi = \frac{1}{2}(d\psi - S*d\psi), \quad d''\psi = \frac{1}{2}(d\psi + S*d\psi).$$

So $d''$ is a holomorphic structure on $(H, S)$, while $d'$ is an anti-holomorphic structure, i.e. a holomorphic structure of $(H, -S)$.

In general $d(S\psi) \neq Sd\psi$, and we decompose further:

$$d' = \partial + A, \quad d'' = \bar{\partial} + Q,$$

where

$$\partial(S\psi) = S\partial\psi, \quad \bar{\partial}(S\psi) = S\bar{\partial}\psi;$$

$$AS = -SA, \quad QS = -SQ.$$

For example, we explicitly have

$$\bar{\partial}\psi = \frac{1}{2}(d''\psi - Sd''(S\psi)).$$

Then $\bar{\partial}$ defines a holomorphic structure and $\partial$ an anti-holomorphic structure on $H$, while $A$ and $Q$ are tensorial:

$$A \in \Gamma(K\text{End}_-(H)), \quad Q \in \Gamma(K\text{End}_-(H)). \quad (5.1)$$

For $\psi : M \to \mathbb{H}^2 \in \Gamma(H)$ we have, by definition of $dS$,

$$(dS)\psi = d(S\psi) - Sd\psi$$

$$= (\partial + A)S\psi + (\bar{\partial} + Q)S\psi - S(\partial + A)\psi - S(\bar{\partial} + Q)\psi$$

$$= AS\psi + QS\psi - SA\psi - SQ\psi$$

$$= -2S(Q + A)\psi$$

$$= 2(*Q - *A)\psi.$$
Hence
\[ dS = 2(*Q - *A), \quad *dS = 2(A - Q). \tag{5.2} \]

Then
\[ SdS = 2(Q + A), \]
whence conversely
\[ Q = \frac{1}{4}(SdS - *dS), \quad A = \frac{1}{4}(SdS + *dS). \tag{5.3} \]

**Remark 3.** Since \( A \) and \( Q \) are of different type, \( dS = 0 \) if and only if \( A = 0 \) and \( Q = 0 \). If \( dS = 0 \), then the \( \pm i \)-eigenspaces of the complex endomorphism \( S \) decompose \( H = (M \times \mathbb{C}) \oplus (M \times \mathbb{C}) \). Therefore \( A \) and \( Q \) measure the deviation from the "complex case".

### 5.2 The Mean Curvature Sphere

We now consider an immersed holomorphic curve \( L \subset H \) in \( \mathbb{H}P^1 \) with derivative \( \delta = \delta_L \in \Omega^1(\text{Hom}(L, H/L)) \). Then there exist complex structures \( J \) on \( L \) and \( \tilde{J} \) on \( H/L \) such that
\[ *\delta = \delta J = \tilde{J} \delta. \]

We want to extend \( J \) and \( \tilde{J} \) to a complex structure of \( H \), i.e. find an
\[ S \in \Gamma(\text{End}(H)) \]

such that
\[ SL = L, \quad S|_L = J, \quad \pi S = \tilde{J} \pi. \]

Note that this implies
\[ \pi dS(\psi) = \pi(d(S\psi) - Sd\psi) = \delta J\psi - \tilde{J} \delta \psi = 0, \]
and therefore
\[ dSL \subset L. \tag{5.4} \]

The existence of \( S \) is clear: Write \( H = L \oplus L' \) for some complementary bundle \( L' \). Identify \( L' \) with \( H/L \) using \( \pi \), and define \( S|_L := J, S|_{L'} := \tilde{J} \). Since \( L' \) is not unique, \( S \) is not unique. It is easy to see that \( \tilde{S} = S + R \) is another such extension if and only if \( R : M \to \text{End}(\mathbb{H}^2) \) satisfies
\[ RH \subset L \subset \ker R, \]
whence \( R^2 = 0 \), and

\[ RS + SR = 0. \]

Note that \( R \) can be interpreted as an element of \( \text{Hom}(H/L, L) \). Then \( R\pi = R \).

We compute \( \tilde{Q} \):

\[
\tilde{Q} = \frac{1}{4}((S + R)d(S + R) - *d(S + R))
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4}(SdS - *dS) + \frac{1}{4}(SdR + RdS + RdR - *dR)
\]

\[
= Q + \frac{1}{4}(SdR + RdS + RdR - *dR).
\]

If \( \psi \in \Gamma(L) \), then

\[
0 = d(R\psi) = dR\psi + Rd\psi,
\]

\[
RdR\psi = -R^2d\psi = 0
\]

and, by (5.4),

\[
RdS\psi = 0
\]

\( \in \Gamma(L) \)

We can therefore continue

\[
\tilde{Q}\psi = Q\psi + \frac{1}{4}(SdR\psi - *dR\psi) = Q\psi + \frac{1}{4}(-SRd\psi + *Rd\psi)
\]

\[
= Q\psi + \frac{1}{4}(-SR\delta\psi + R \delta\psi) = Q\psi + \frac{1}{4}(-SR\delta\psi + \underbrace{R\tilde{J}}_{=RS=-SR} \delta\psi).
\]

Hence, for \( \psi \in \Gamma(L) \),

\[
\tilde{Q}\psi = Q\psi - \frac{1}{2}SR\delta\psi. \quad (5.5)
\]

Now we start with any extension \( S \) of \( (J, \tilde{J}) \) and, in view of (??), define

\[
R = -2SQ(X)\delta(X)^{-1} \pi : H \rightarrow H \quad (5.6)
\]

for some \( X \neq 0 \). First note that this definition is independent of the choice of \( X \neq 0 \). In fact, \( X \mapsto R \) is positive-homegeneous of degree 0, and with \( c = \cos \theta, s = \sin \theta \)

\[
Q(cX + sJX)(\delta(cX + sJX))^{-1} = Q(X)(cI + sS)(\delta(X)(cI + sS))^{-1}
\]

\[
= Q(X)\delta(X)^{-1}.
\]
Next
\[ RS = -2SQ(X)\delta_X^{-1}\pi S = -2SQ(X)\delta_X^{-1}\tilde{J}\pi \]
\[ = -2SQ(X)S\delta_X^{-1}\pi = 2S^2Q(X)\delta_X^{-1}\pi \]
\[ = -SR. \]

By definition (5.6)
\[ L \subset \ker R, \]
and from (5.3) and (5.4) we get
\[ L \supset \frac{1}{4}(SdS - *dS)L = QL, \]
whence
\[ RH \subset L. \]

We have now shown that \( \tilde{S} = S + R \) is another extension. Finally, using (??) we find for \( \psi \in \Gamma(L) \)
\[ \tilde{Q}\psi = Q\psi - \frac{1}{2}SRd\psi = Q\psi - \frac{1}{2}S(-2SQ\delta^{-1}\pi)d\psi \]
\[ = Q\psi - Q\delta^{-1}\pi d\psi = 0. \]

This shows

**Theorem 2.** Let \( L \subset H = M \times \mathbb{H}^2 \) be a holomorphic curve immersed into \( \mathbb{H}P^1 \). Then there exists a unique complex structure \( S \) on \( H \) such that
\[ SL = L, \quad dSL \subset L, \quad (5.7) \]
\[ *\delta = \delta \circ S = S \circ \delta, \quad (5.8) \]
\[ Q|_L = 0. \quad (5.9) \]

\( S \) is a family of 2-spheres, a sphere congruence in classical terms. Because \( S_pL_p = L_p \) the sphere \( S_p \) goes through \( L_p \in \mathbb{H}P^1 \), while \( dSL \subset L \) (or, equivalently, \( \delta \tilde{S} = S\delta \)) implies it is tangent to \( L \) in \( p \), see examples 8 and 11. In an affine coordinate system \( \left[ \begin{array}{c} f \\ 1 \end{array} \right] = L \) the sphere \( S_p \) has the same mean curvature vector as \( f : M \to \mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{H} \) at \( p \), see Remark 7. This motivates the

**Definition.** \( S \) is called the mean curvature sphere (congruence) of \( L \). The differential forms \( A, Q \in \Omega^1(\text{End}(H)) \) are called the Hopf fields of \( L \).
**Remark 4.** Equations (5.7), (5.8) imply \( d\psi + S^*d\psi \in \Gamma(L) \) for \( \psi \in \Gamma(L) \), whence \( d'' = \bar{\partial} + Q = \frac{1}{2}(d + S * d) \) leaves \( L \) invariant. Hence an immersed holomorphic curve in \( \mathbb{H}P^1 \) is a holomorphic subbundle of \((H, S, d'')\) and, in particular, is a holomorphic quaternionic vector bundle itself.

**Example 16.** Let \( S \in \text{End}(\mathbb{H}^2) \), \( S^2 = -I \). Then

\[
S' = \{ l \in \mathbb{H}P^1 \mid Sl = l \} \subset \mathbb{H}P^1
\]

is a 2-sphere in \( \mathbb{H}P^1 \). Let \( L \) denote the corresponding line bundle and endow \( S' \) with the complex structure inherited from the immersion. Then the mean curvature sphere congruence of \( L \) is simply the constant map \( S' \rightarrow \mathcal{Z} \) of value \( S \): We have \( SL = L \) by definition, and the constancy implies \( dSL = \{0\} \subset L \) and 

\[
Q = \frac{1}{4}(SdS - \ast dS) = 0.
\]

### 5.3 Hopf Fields

In the following we shall frequently encounter differential forms. Note that the usual definition of the wedge product of 1-forms

\[
\omega \wedge \theta(X,Y) = \omega(X)\theta(Y) - \omega(Y)\theta(X)
\]

can be generalized verbatim to forms \( \omega_i \in \Omega^1(V_i) \) with values in vector spaces or bundles \( V_i \), provided there is a product \( V_1 \times V_2 \rightarrow V \). Examples are the composition \( \text{End}(V) \times \text{End}(V) \rightarrow \text{End}(V) \) or the pairing between the dual \( V^* \) and \( V \).

On a Riemann surface \( M \), any 2-form \( \sigma \in \Omega^2 \) is completely determined by the quadratic form \( \sigma(X, JX) =: \sigma(X) \), and we shall, for simplicity, often use the latter. As an example,

\[
\omega \wedge \theta(X, JX) = \omega(X)\theta(JX) - \omega(JX)\theta(X)
\]

will be written as

\[
\omega \wedge \theta = \omega \ast \theta - \ast \omega \theta. \tag{5.10}
\]

We now collect some information about the Hopf fields and the mean curvature sphere congruence \( S : M \rightarrow \mathcal{Z} \).

**Lemma 4.**

\[
d(A + Q) = 2(Q \wedge Q + A \wedge A).
\]
Proof. Recall from (5.2)

\[ SdS = 2(A + Q). \]

Therefore, using \( AS = -SA, QS = -SQ \),

\[
d(A + Q) = \frac{1}{2}d(SdS) = \frac{1}{2}(dS \wedge dS)
= 2S(A + Q) \wedge S(A + Q)
= 2(A \wedge A + A \wedge Q + Q \wedge A + Q \wedge Q).
\]

But \( A \wedge Q = 0 \) by the following type argument: Using that \( A \) is “right \( K \)”, and \( Q \) “left \( K \)”,

we have

\[
A \wedge Q = A^*Q - Q^*A = A^*(-SQ) - (-SA)Q = 0.
\]

Similarly \( Q \wedge A = 0 \), because \( A \) is left \( K \) and \( Q \) is right \( K \).

Lemma 5. Let \( L \subset H \) be an immersed surface and \( S \) a complex structure on \( H \) stabilizing \( L \), such that \( dSL \subset L \). Then \( Q|_L = 0 \) is equivalent to \( AH \subset L \).

Notice that the kernels and images of the 1-forms \( A \) and \( Q \) are well-defined: if \( Q_X \psi = 0 \) for some \( X \in TM \) then also \( Q_{jX} \psi = -SQ_X \psi = 0 \), and thus \( Q_Z \psi = 0 \) for any \( Z \in TM \). In other words, the kernels of \( Q \) and \( A \) are independent of \( X \in TM \). The same remark holds for the respective images.

Proof. We first need a formula for the derivative of 1-forms \( \omega \in \Omega^1(\text{End}(H)) \) which stabilize \( L \), i.e., \( \omega L \subset L \). If \( \pi = \pi_L \), then for \( \psi \in \Gamma(L) \)

\[
\pi(d\omega(X,Y)\psi)(X,Y) = \pi(d(\omega\psi)(X,Y) + \omega \wedge d\psi(X,Y))
= \pi(X \cdot (\omega(Y)\psi) - Y \cdot (\omega(X)\psi) - \omega([X,Y])\psi)
+ \omega(X)d\psi(Y) - \omega(Y)d\psi(X)
= \delta(X)\omega(Y)\psi - \delta(Y)\omega(X)\psi + \pi\omega(X)d\psi(Y) - \pi\omega(Y)d\psi(X)
= \delta(X)\omega(Y)\psi - \delta(Y)\omega(X)\psi + \pi\omega(X)\delta\psi(Y) - \pi\omega(Y)\delta\psi(X)
= (\delta \wedge \omega + \pi\omega \wedge \delta)(X,Y)\psi,
\]

where we wedge over composition. Note that the composition \( \pi\omega\delta \) makes sense, because \( \omega(L) \subset L \), and \( L \) is annihilated by \( \pi \). We apply this to \( A \) and \( Q \). Since \( AL \subset L, QL \subset L \) we have on \( L \), by lemma 4,

\[
0 = \frac{1}{2} \pi(Q \wedge Q + A \wedge A) = \pi(dA + dQ)
= \delta \wedge A + \pi A \wedge \delta + \delta \wedge Q + \pi Q \wedge \delta.
\]
By a type argument similar to (5.11), we get \( \delta \wedge A = 0 = \pi Q \wedge \delta \). Further,

\[
\pi A \wedge \delta = \pi A * \delta - \pi * A \delta = -2S \pi A \delta,
\]
and similarly for the remaining term. We obtain \(-\pi SA \delta = S \delta Q|_L \) or

\[-\pi A \delta = \delta Q|_L.\]

Since \( AL \subset L \) and \( \delta(X) : L \to H/L \) for \( X \neq 0 \) is an isomorphism, we get \( \pi A = 0 \iff Q|_L = 0 \).

5.4 The Conformal Gauss Map

**Definition.** For a quaternionic vector space or bundle \( V \) of rank \( n \) and \( A \in \text{End}(V) \) we define

\[
<A> := \frac{1}{4n} \text{trace}_R A,
\]
where the trace is taken of the real endomorphism \( A \). In particular \( < I > = 1 \).

We obtain an indefinite scalar product \( <A, B> := <AB> \).

**Example 17.** For \( A = (a) \) with \( a = a_0 + ia_1 + ja_2 + ka_3 \in \mathbb{H} \) we have

\[
<A> = \frac{1}{4} 4a_0 = a_0,
\]
and

\[
<AA> = \text{Re} a^2 = a_0^2 - a_1^2 - a_2^2 - a_3^2.
\]

**Proposition 4.** The mean curvature sphere \( S \) of an immersed Riemann surface \( L \) satisfies

\[
<dS, dS> = <dS, *dS>, \quad <dS, *dS> = 0,
\]

i.e. \( S : M \to \mathbb{Z} \) is conformal.

Because of this proposition, \( S \) is also called the conformal Gauss map, see Bryant [1].

**Proof.** We have \( QA = 0 \), and therefore

\[
<Q, A> = <A, Q> = 0.
\]
Then, from (5.2),

\[
< dS, dS > = 4 < -S(Q + A), -S(Q + A) > = 4 < Q + A, Q + A > \\
= 4 < Q - A, Q - A > = < *dS, *dS >.
\]

Similarly,

\[
< dS, *dS > = 4 < -S(Q + A), A - Q > \\
= 4( < SQQ > - < SQA > + < SAQ > - < SAA >).
\]

But, by a property of the real trace,

\[
< SAQ > = < QSA > = < -SQA > = 0, \\
< SQQ > = < QSQ > = < -SQQ > = 0, \\
< SAA > = < ASA > = < -SAA > = 0.
\]
6 Willmore Surfaces

Throughout this section $M$ denotes a compact surface.

6.1 The Energy Functional

The set

$$Z = \{ S \in \text{End}(\mathbb{H}^2) \mid S^2 = -I \}$$

of oriented 2-spheres in $\mathbb{H} P^1$ is a submanifold of $\text{End}(\mathbb{H}^2)$ with

$$T_Z = \{ X \in \text{End}(\mathbb{H}^2) \mid XS = -SX \},$$

$$\perp_Z = \{ Y \in \text{End}(\mathbb{H}^2) \mid YS = SY \}.$$

Here we use the (indefinite) inner product

$$< A, B >= < AB >= \frac{1}{8} \text{trace}_\mathbb{R}(AB)$$

defined in Section 5.3.

**Definition.** The energy functional of a map $S : M \to Z$ of a Riemann surface $M$ is defined by

$$E(S) := \int_M < dS \wedge *dS >.$$

Critical points $S$ of this functional with respect to variations of $S$ are called harmonic maps from $M$ to $Z$.

**Proposition 5.** $S$ is harmonic if and only if the $Z$-tangential component of $d \ast dS$ vanishes:

$$(d \ast dS)^T = 0.$$ (6.1)

This condition is equivalent to any of the following:

$$d(S \ast dS) = 0,$$ (6.2)

$$d \ast A = 0,$$ (6.3)

$$d \ast Q = 0.$$ (6.4)

In fact,

$$d(S \ast dS) = 4d \ast Q = 4d \ast A = S(d \ast dS)^T = (Sd \ast dS)^T.$$ (6.5)
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Proof. Let $S_t$ be a variation of $S$ in $\mathcal{Z}$ with variational vector field $\dot{S} =: Y$. Then $SY = -YS$ and

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(S) = \frac{d}{dt} \int_M <dS \wedge *dS> = \int_M <dY \wedge *dS> + <dS \wedge *dY>.$$ 

Using the wedge formula \[5.10\] and trace $\text{tr}_\mathbb{R}(AB) = \text{tr}_\mathbb{R}(BA)$, we get

$$<dS \wedge *dY> = <dS(-dY) - *dS \cdot dY> = <dY \wedge *dS>.$$ 

Thus

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(S) = 2 \int_M <dY \wedge *dS> = -2 \int_M <Y \cdot dS> = -2 \int_M <Y, d \cdot dS>.$$ 

Therefore $S$ is harmonic if and only if $d \cdot dS$ is normal.

For the other equivalences, first note

$$0 = d \cdot d(S^2) = d(*dSS + S \cdot dS)$$

$$= (d \cdot dS)S - *dS \wedge dS + dS \wedge *dS + Sd \cdot dS$$

$$= -2(dS)^2 - 2(*dS)^2 + (d \cdot dS)S + Sd \cdot dS$$

$$= 2dS \wedge *dS + (d \cdot dS)S + Sd \cdot dS.$$ 

Now, together with $*Q - *A = \frac{1}{2}dS$ and $A = \frac{1}{4}(SdS + *dS)$, this implies

$$8d \cdot Q = 8d \cdot A = 2d(S \cdot dS)$$

$$= 2dS \wedge *dS + 2Sd \cdot dS$$

$$= -(d \cdot dS)S + Sd \cdot dS$$

$$= S(d \cdot dS + S(d \cdot dS)S).$$

$$= 2(d \cdot dS)^2$$

We now consider the case where $S$ is the mean curvature sphere of an immersed holomorphic curve. We decompose $dS$ into the Hopf fields.

Lemma 6.

$$<dS \wedge *dS> = 4(<A \wedge *A> + <Q \wedge *Q>),$$

$$<dS \wedge SdS> = 4(<A \wedge *A> - <Q \wedge *Q>).$$

Proof. Recall from section \[5.1\]

$$dS = 2(*Q - *A), \quad *dS = 2(A - Q), \quad SdS = 2(Q + A).$$
Further

\[ *Q \wedge A = 0, \quad *A \wedge Q = 0 \]

by type. Therefore

\[
< dS \wedge *dS > = 4 < (*Q - *A) \wedge (A - Q) >
\]

\[
= -4 < *Q \wedge Q > - 4 < *A \wedge A >
\]

\[
= 4 < Q \wedge *Q > + 4 < A \wedge *A >,
\]

and similarly for \(< dS \wedge SdS >\).  

Lemma 7. Let \(V\) be a quaternionic vector space, \(L \subset V\) a quaternionic line, \(S, B \in \text{End}(V)\) such that

\[ S^2 = -I, \quad SB = -BS, \quad \text{image } B \subset L. \]

Then

\[ \text{trace}_R B^2 \leq 0, \]

with equality if and only if \(B|_L = 0\).

Proof. We may assume \(B \neq 0\). Then \(L = BV\), and \(SB = -BS\) implies \(SL = L\). Let \(\phi \in L \setminus \{0\}\), and

\[ S\phi = \phi \lambda, \quad B\phi = \phi \mu. \]

Then \(\lambda^2 = -1\), and \(BS = -SB\) implies

\[ \lambda \mu = -\mu \lambda. \]

Therefore \(\mu\) is imaginary, too. It follows \(B^2 \phi = -|\mu|^2 \phi\), and

\[ \text{trace}_R B^2 = \text{trace}_R B^2|_L = -4|\mu|^2. \]

This can be applied to \(A\) or \(Q\) instead of \(B\), since their rank is \(\leq 1\). We obtain

Lemma 8. For an immersed holomorphic curve \(L\) we have

\[ < A \wedge *A > = \frac{1}{2} < A|_L \wedge *A|_L >, \quad \text{(6.8)} \]

and

\[ < A \wedge *A > \geq 0, \quad < Q \wedge *Q > \geq 0. \quad \text{(6.9)} \]

In particular \(E(S) \geq 0\).
Proof.

\[ <A \wedge *A> = \frac{1}{8} \text{trace}_R(-A^2 - \underbrace{(\wedge A)^2}_{=ASA=A^2}) = -\frac{1}{4} \text{trace}_R A^2. \]

Because \( \dim L = \frac{1}{2} \dim H \) we similarly have

\[ <A|_L \wedge *A|_L> = -\frac{1}{2} \text{trace}_R A|_L^2, \]

see section 5.3. Because \( AH \subset L \), we have

\[ \text{trace}_R A^2 = \text{trace}_R A|_L^2. \]

This proves (6.8). The positivity follows from Lemma 7. \( \square \)

**Proposition 6.** (i) The (alternating!) 2-form \( \omega \in \Omega^2(Z) \) defined by

\[ \omega_S(X,Y) = <X,SY>, \quad \text{for} \ S \in Z, \ X,Y \in T^S_Z, \]

is closed.

(ii) If \( S: M \to Z \), and \( dS = 2(*Q - *A) \) as usual, see section 5.1 (5.3), then

\[ S^* \omega = 2 <A \wedge *A> - 2 <Q \wedge *Q>. \]

In particular,

\[ \deg S := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_M <A \wedge *A> - <Q \wedge *Q> \]

is a topological invariant of \( S \).

**Remark 5.** Since \( S \) maps the surface \( M \) into the 8-dimensional \( Z \), \( \deg S \) certainly is not the mapping degree of \( S \). But for immersed holomorphic curves it is the difference of two mapping degrees \( \deg S = \deg N - \deg R \), where \( N, R : M \to S^2 \) are the left and right normal vector in affine coordinates, see section 7.

**Proof.** (i). We consider the 2-form on \( \text{End}(\mathbb{H}^2) \) defined by

\[ \tilde{\omega}_S(X,Y) := \frac{1}{2}(<X,SY> - <Y,SX>). \]

Then \( d_S \tilde{\omega}(X,Y,Z) \) is a linear combination of terms of the form

\[ <Y,XZ>. \]
But if $X,Y,Z \in T_S \mathcal{Z}$, $S \in \mathcal{Z}$, we get
\[
\langle Y,XZ \rangle = - \langle S^2 Y XZ \rangle = \langle SYXZ \rangle
\]
and hence $\langle Y,XZ \rangle = 0$. Therefore, if $\iota : \mathcal{Z} \to \text{End}(\mathbb{H}^2)$ is the inclusion,
\[
d\omega = d\iota^* \bar{\omega} = \iota^* d\bar{\omega} = 0.
\]

(ii). We have
\[
S^* \omega(X,Y) = \langle dS(X), SdS(Y) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\langle dS(X)SdS(Y) \rangle - \langle SdS(X)dS(Y) \rangle)
\]
and Lemma 3 yields the formula.

The topological invariance under deformations of $S$ follows from Stokes theorem:
If $\tilde{S} : M \times [0,1] \to \mathcal{Z}$ deforms $S_0 : M \to \mathcal{Z}$ into $S_1$, then
\[
0 = \int_{M \times [0,1]} d\tilde{S}^* \omega = \int_{M \times 1} \tilde{S}^* \omega - \int_{M \times 0} \tilde{S}^* \omega = \int_M S_1^* \omega - \int_M S_0^* \omega.
\]

\[\square\]

Remark 6. From
\[
E(S) = 4 \int_M \langle A \wedge *A \rangle + \langle Q \wedge *Q \rangle
\]
\[
= 8 \int_M \langle A \wedge *A \rangle + 4 \int_M (\langle Q \wedge *Q \rangle - \langle A \wedge *A \rangle)
\]
we see that for variational problems the energy functional can be replaced by the integral of $\langle A \wedge *A \rangle$. 
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6.2 The Willmore Functional

**Definition.** Let $L$ be a compact immersed holomorphic curve in $\mathbb{H}P^1$ with Hopf field $A$. The Willmore functional of $L$ is defined as

$$W(L) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_M <A \wedge *A>.$$  

If we vary the immersion $L : M \rightarrow \mathbb{H}P^1$, it will in general not remain a holomorphic curve. On the other hand, any immersion induces a complex structure $J$ on $M$ such that with respect to this it is a holomorphic curve, see Proposition [3]. Critical points of $W$ with respect to such variations are called Willmore surfaces. If we consider only variations of $L$ fixing the conformal structure of $M$ they are called constrained Willmore surfaces, but we shall not treat this case here.

**Example 18.** For immersed surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^4$ we have

$$W(L) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_M (H^2 - K - K^\perp)|df|^2,$$

see section 7.3, Proposition [13].

**Theorem 3 (Ejiri [2], Rigoli [10]).** An immersed holomorphic curve $L$ is Willmore if and only if its mean curvature sphere $S$ is harmonic.

**Proof.** Let $L_t$ be a variation, and $S_t$ its mean curvature sphere. Note that for $L_t$ to stay conformal the complex structure, i.e. the operator $*$, varies, too. The variation has a variational vector field $Y \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(L, H/L))$ given by

$$Y \psi := \pi(\frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} \psi), \quad \psi_t \in \Gamma(L_t).$$

As usual, we abbreviate $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}$ by a dot. Note that for $\psi \in \Gamma(L)$

$$\pi \dot{S} \psi = \pi(S \dot{\psi}) - \pi S \dot{\psi} = Y S \psi - S \dot{\psi} = (Y S - SY) \psi.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.10)

We now compute the variation of the energy, which is as good as the Willmore functional as long as we vary $L$. By contrast, in the proof of Proposition [3] the conformal structure on $M$ was fixed, and no $L$ was involved.

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} E(S_t) = \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \int_M <dS_t \wedge *dS_t>$$

$$= \int_M <d\dot{S} \wedge *dS> + \int_M <dS \wedge *d\dot{S}> + \int_M <dS \wedge *d\dot{S}>.$$
In general \( <A \wedge \ast B> = <B \wedge \ast A> \), because \( \text{trace}_R(AB) = \text{trace}_R(BA) \). Hence

\[
III = I. \quad (6.11)
\]

Next we claim

\[
II = 0. \quad (6.12)
\]

On \( TM \) let \( \dot{J} = B \), i.e. \( \dot{\omega}(X) = \omega(BX) \). Then we have \( BJ + JB = 0 \), and

\[
<dS \wedge \ast dS> (X, JX) = \langle dS(X) \ast dS(JX) \rangle = \langle dS(JX) \ast dS(X) \rangle = \langle dS(X) dS(BJX) \rangle = \langle dS(JX) dS(BX) \rangle = -\langle dS(X) dS(JBX) \rangle = -\langle dS(BX) dS(JX) \rangle.
\]

But \( S \) is conformal, see Proposition 4, therefore

\[
<dS(X) dS(JX) > = 0 \text{ for all } X.
\]

Differentiation with respect to \( X \) yields

\[
<dS(X) dS(JY) > + <dS(Y) dS(JX) > = 0
\]

for all \( X, Y \). Using this with \( Y = BX \) we get \((6.12)\).

Now, we compute the integral \( I \).

\[
I = -\int_M <\hat{S}, d \ast dS>
\]

\[
= 4\int_M <\hat{S}, Sd \ast Q>
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \int_M \text{trace}_R(\hat{S}d \ast Q).
\]

We shall show in the following lemma that

\( \text{image } d \ast Q \subset L \subset \ker d \ast Q \).

Therefore we can consider \( d \ast Q \) as a 2-form

\[
d \ast Q \in \Omega^2(\text{Hom}(H/L, L)),
\]

and continue

\[
I = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \text{trace}_R(\hat{S}d \ast Q : H \to H)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \int_M \text{trace}_R(\pi \hat{S}d \ast Q : H/L \to H/L)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \int_M \text{trace}_R(\pi \hat{S}|_L Sd \ast Q : H/L \to H/L)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \int_M \text{trace}_R((YS - SY)(Sd \ast Q) : H/L \to H/L)
\]

\[
= -\frac{1}{2} \int_M \text{trace}_R(Yd \ast Q) - \frac{1}{2} \int_M \text{trace}_R(SYSd \ast Q).
\]
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Now \( d \ast Q \) is tangential by (6.3), and hence anti-commutes with \( S \). Thus
\[
I = -\frac{1}{2} \int_M \text{trace}_R(Y d \ast Q) + \frac{1}{2} \int_M \text{trace}_R(SY d \ast QS)
\]
\[
= - \int_M \text{trace}_R(Y d \ast Q)
\]
\[
= -8 \int_M <Y, d \ast Q>
\]

We therefore showed
\[
\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} E(S_t) = -8 \int_M <Y, d \ast Q>.
\]

Since \( \Omega^2(\text{Hom}(H/L, L)) \), this vanishes for all variational vector fields \( Y \) if and only if
\[
d \ast Q = 0.
\]

In the proof we made use of the following

**Lemma 9.**

\( \text{image } d \ast Q \subset L \subset \text{ker } d \ast Q \).

**Proof.** For \( \psi \in \Gamma(L) \)
\[
0 = d(*Q \psi) = (d \ast Q) \psi - *Q \wedge d\psi = (d \ast Q) \psi - *Q \wedge \delta \psi,
\]
because \( Q|_L = 0 \). But \( *Q \) is right \( K \), and \( \delta \) is left \( K \). Hence, by type,
\[
(d \ast Q) \psi = *Q \wedge \delta \psi = 0.
\]

This shows the right hand inclusion. Also,
\[
\pi(d \ast Q)(X, JX) = \pi(d \ast A)(X, JX)
\]
\[
= \pi(X \cdot (*A(JX)) - JX \cdot (*A(X)) - *A([X, JX]))_{L-\text{valued}}
\]
\[
= \delta(X) * A(JX) - \delta(JX) * A(X)
\]
\[
= -\delta(X)A(X) - \delta(X)SSA(X)
\]
\[
= 0.
\]
7 Metric and Affine Conformal Geometry

We consider the metric extrinsic geometry of \( f : M \to \mathbb{R}^4 \) in relation to the quantities associated to

\[
L := \begin{bmatrix} f \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} : M \to \mathbb{H}P^1.
\]

For brevity we write \(<.,.>\) instead of \(<.,.>\mathbb{R}\).

7.1 Surfaces in Euclidean Space

Let \( N, R \) denote the left and right normal vector of \( f : M \to \mathbb{H} \), i.e.

\[\ast df = Ndf = -dfR.\]

Proposition 7. The second fundamental form \( II(X,Y) = (X \cdot df(Y))^\perp \) of \( f \) is given by

\[
II(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2}(\ast df(Y)dR(X) - dN(X) \ast df(Y)). \tag{7.1}
\]

Proof. We know from Lemma 2 that \( v \mapsto N(x)vR(x) \) is an involution with the tangent space as its fixed point set:

\[
Ndf(Y)R = df(Y) \tag{7.2}
\]

Its \((-1)\)-eigenspace is the normal space, so we need to compute

\[
II(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2}(X \cdot df(Y) - NX \cdot df(Y)R).
\]

But differentiation of (7.2) yields

\[
dN(X)df(Y)R + NX \cdot df(Y)R + Ndf(Y)dR(X) = X \cdot df(Y),
\]

or

\[
X \cdot df(Y) - NX \cdot df(Y)R = dN(X)df(Y)R + Ndf(Y)dR(X)
\]

\[
= -dN(X) \ast df(Y) + \ast df(Y)dR(X).
\]

\]

Proposition 8. The mean curvature vector \( \mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \text{trace} II \) is given by

\[
\mathcal{H}df = \frac{1}{2}(\ast dR + RdR), \quad df\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{2}(\ast dN + NdN). \tag{7.3}
\]
Proof. By definition of the trace,

$$4 \mathcal{H}|df|^2 = *df dR - dN * df - df * dR + * dN df$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.4)

$$= -df (*dR + RdR) + (*dN + N dN) df,$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.5)

but

$$(*dN + N dN) df = *dN df - dN * df = -dN \wedge df = -d(N df)$$

$$= -df \wedge dR = -df (*dR + RdR).$$

If follows that

$$2 \mathcal{H}|df|^2 = -df (*dR + RdR),$$

and

$$2 \overline{\mathcal{H}} \overline{df} \overline{df} = (-* dR + dRR) \overline{df} = (*dR + RdR) \overline{df}.$$  \hspace{1cm} \Box

Similarly for $N$.

**Proposition 9.** Let $K$ denote the Gaussian curvature of $(M, f^* \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_R)$ and let $K^\perp$ denote the normal curvature of $f$ defined by

$$K^\perp := \langle R^\perp (X, JX) \xi, N \xi \rangle_R,$$

where $X \in T_p M$, and $\xi \in \perp_p M$ are unit vectors. Then

$$K|df|^2 = \frac{1}{2} (\langle *dR, RdR \rangle + \langle *dN, N dN \rangle)$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.6)

$$K^\perp|df|^2 = \frac{1}{2} (\langle *dR, RdR \rangle - \langle *dN, N dN \rangle)$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.7)

**Proof.**

$$K|df|^4(X) = \langle II(X, X), II(JX, JX) \rangle - |II(X, JX)|^2.$$  

Therefore
\begin{align*}
4K|df|^4 &= \langle *dfdR - dN * df, -df * dR + *dN df \rangle \\
&= \langle *df * dR - *dN * df, -df dR + dN df \rangle \\
&= \langle N(df dR + dN df), -df * dR + *dN df \rangle \\
&= \langle N(df * dR + *dN df), -df dR + dN df \rangle \\
&= -\langle df dR + dN df, (dR * df - dR + *dN df) \rangle \\
&= -\langle df * dR + *dN df, N(-df * dR + *dN df) \rangle \\
&= -\langle df dR + dN df, df R * dR + N * dN df \rangle \\
&= -\langle df * dR + *dN df, df RdR + N dN df \rangle \\
&= -\langle df R * dR > - \langle df dR, N * dN df \rangle \\
&= -\langle dN df, df R * dR > - \langle dN df, N * dN df \rangle \\
&= + \langle df * dR, df RdR > + \langle df * dR, N dN df \rangle \\
&= -\langle *dN df, df RdR > + \langle dN df, N dN df \rangle \\
&= -\langle df R * dR > + \langle dN df, N * dN df \rangle \\
&= -\langle *dN df, N dN df \rangle \\
&= -2|df|^2 < dR, R * dR > + \langle dN df, N * dN df \rangle.
\end{align*}

This proves the formula for $K$. Using (7.1) and the Ricci equation
\[ K^\perp = \langle N I I(X, JX), II(X, X) - II(JX, JX) \rangle, \]
we find, after a similar computation,
\begin{align*}
4K^\perp|df|^2 &= \langle *dR - RdR, RdR > - \langle *dN - N dN, N dN \rangle \\
&= + \langle df(*dR - RdR), N dN df > - \langle *dN - N dN df, df RdR >.
\end{align*}

On this we use (7.5) to obtain (7.7).

As a corollary we have

**Proposition 10.** The pull-back of the 2-sphere area under $R$ is given by
\[ R^*dA = \langle *dR, RdR \rangle. \]

Integrating this for compact $M$ yields
\[ \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_M K|df|^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\deg R + \deg N). \]

In 3-space ($R = N$) this is a version of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem.
Proposition 11. We obtain

$$(|H|^2 - K - K^\perp)|df|^2 = \frac{1}{4}|*dR - RdR|^2$$

In particular, if $f : M \to \text{Im} \mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R}^3$ then $K^\perp = 0$, and the classical Willmore integrand is given by

$$(|H|^2 - K)|df|^2 = \frac{1}{4}|*dR - RdR|^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.8)

Proof. Equations (7.3), (7.6), (7.7) give

$$(|H|^2 - K - K^\perp)|df|^2 = \frac{1}{4}|*dR + RdR|^2 - <*dR, RdR>$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}|*dR|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|RdR|^2 - \frac{1}{2} <*dR, RdR>$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}|*dR - RdR|^2.$$

\[\square\]

7.2 The Mean Curvature Sphere in Affine Coordinates

We now discuss the characteristic properties of $S$ in affine coordinates. We describe $S$ relative to the frame $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, i.e. we write $S = GMG^{-1}$, where

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

First, $SL \subset L$ is equivalent to $S : \mathbb{H}^2 \to \mathbb{H}^2$ having the following matrix representation:

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ -H & -R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.9)

where $N, R, H : M \to \mathbb{H}$. From $S^2 = -I$

$$N^2 = -1 = R^2, \quad RH = HN.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.10)

The choice of symbols is deliberate: $N$ and $R$ turn out to be the left and right normal vectors of $f$, while $H$ is closely related to its mean curvature vector $\mathcal{H}$.
The bundle $L$ has the nowhere vanishing section $\left( \frac{f}{1} \right) \in \Gamma(L)$. Using this section, we compute

\[
\ast \delta \left( \frac{f}{1} \right) = \pi \left( \ast df \ 0 \right),
\]
\[
\delta S \left( \frac{f}{1} \right) = \pi d(S \left( \frac{f}{1} \right)) = \pi d(\left( \frac{f}{1} \right) (-R)) = \pi \left( \left( \frac{-df R}{0} \right) + \left( \frac{f}{1} \right) (-dR) \right) = \pi \left( \frac{-df R}{0} \right),
\]
\[
S \delta \left( \frac{f}{1} \right) = \pi S d \left( \frac{f}{1} \right) = \pi \left( \left( N df \ 0 \right) + \left( \frac{f}{1} \right) (-H df) \right) = \pi \left( \frac{N df}{0} \right).
\]

Therefore $\ast \delta = S \delta = \delta S$ is equivalent to

\[
\ast df = N df = -df R,
\]

and we have identified $N$ and $R$.

For the computation of the Hopf fields, we need $dS$. This is a straight-forward but lengthy computation, somewhat simplified by the fact that $G dG = dG = G^{-1} dG$. We skip the details and give the result:

\[
dS = G \left( \begin{array}{cc}
-df H + dN & -df R - N df \\
-dH & -dR + H df
\end{array} \right) G^{-1},
\]
\[
S dS = G \left( \begin{array}{cc}
-N df H + N dN & 0 \\
H df H + RdH - HdN & H df R + RdR
\end{array} \right) G^{-1}.
\]

From this we obtain

\[
4Q = S dS - \ast dS
\]
\[
= G \left( \begin{array}{cc}
N dN - \ast dN & 0 \\
\ast dH + H df H + RdH - HdN & 2H df R + RdR + \ast dR
\end{array} \right) G^{-1}
\]
\[
4A = S dS + \ast dS
\]
\[
= G \left( \begin{array}{cc}
N dN + \ast dN - 2N df H & 0 \\
-\ast dH + H df H + RdH - HdN & RdR - \ast dR
\end{array} \right) G^{-1}.
\]

The condition $Q|_L = 0$, and the corresponding $AH \subset L$, which we have not used so far, have the following equivalents:

\[
2H df = dR - R \ast dR, \quad \text{(7.11)}
\]
\[
2df H = dN - N \ast dN. \quad \text{(7.12)}
\]

Together with equations (7.3) we find

\[
2H df = dR - R \ast dR = -R(\ast dR + RdR) = -2R \bar{H} df,
\]
\[
2df H = dN - N \ast dN = -N(\ast dN + N dN) = 2N df \bar{H} = -2df R \bar{H},
\]

and therefore

\[
H = -\bar{H} N = -R \bar{H}. \quad \text{(7.13)}
\]
Remark 7. Given an immersed holomorphic curve \( L = \begin{bmatrix} f \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \), the mean curvature vector of \( f \) at \( x \in M \) is determined by \( S_x \). On the other hand, \( S_x \) is the mean curvature sphere of \( S_x \), see Example [10]. Therefore \( S_x \) and \( f \) have, in fact, the same mean curvature vector at \( x \), justifying the name mean curvature sphere.

Equations (7.11), (7.12) simplify the coordinate expressions for the Hopf fields, which we now write as follows

**Proposition 12.**

\[
4 \ast Q = G \begin{pmatrix} dN + N \ast dN & 0 \\ -2dH + w & 0 \end{pmatrix} G^{-1}, \\
4 \ast A = G \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ w & dR + R \ast dR \end{pmatrix} G^{-1},
\]

(7.14)

(7.15)

where \( G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \), and \( w = dH + H \ast dfH + R \ast dH - H \ast dN \).

Using (7.12) we can rewrite

\[
w = dH + R \ast dH + \frac{1}{2} H(NdN - \ast dN).
\]

**Proof.** We only have to consider the reformulation of \( w \). But

\[
H \ast dfH - H \ast dN = \frac{1}{2} H \ast (dN - N \ast dN) - H \ast dN \\
= - \frac{1}{2} H \ast (dN + N \ast dN) = \frac{1}{2} H(NdN - \ast dN).
\]

\[\square\]

7.3 The Willmore Condition in Affine Coordinates

We use the notations of the previous Proposition [12], and in addition abbreviate

\[
v = dR + R \ast dR.
\]

Note that

\[
\bar{v} = -dR + \ast dRR = -dR - R \ast dR = -v.
\]

**Proposition 13.** The Willmore integrand is given by

\[
<A \wedge \ast A> = \frac{1}{16} |RdR - \ast dR|^2 = \frac{1}{4} (|\mathcal{H}|^2 - K - K^\perp) |df|^2.
\]

For \( f : M \to \mathbb{R}^3 \), this is the classical integrand

\[
<A \wedge \ast A> = \frac{1}{4} (|\mathcal{H}|^2 - K) |df|^2.
\]
Proof.

\[ <A \wedge *A> = \frac{1}{8} \text{trace}_R(-A^2 - (A^2)^2) = -\frac{1}{4} \text{trace}_R(A^2) \]
\[ = -\frac{1}{4} 4 \text{Re}(\frac{1}{4}v)^2 = \frac{1}{16} |v|^2 = \frac{1}{16} |dR + R * dR|^2 = \frac{1}{16} |RdR - *dR|^2. \]

Now see Proposition [1] and, for the second equality, (7.8). \qed

We now express the Euler-Lagrange equation \( d * A = 0 \) for Willmore surfaces in affine coordinates. If we write \( 4 * A = GMG^{-1} \), then

\[ 4d * A = G(G^{-1}dG \wedge M + dM + M \wedge G^{-1}dG)G^{-1}, \]

and again using \( G^{-1}dG = dG \) we easily find

\[ 4d * A = G \left( \begin{array}{cc} df \wedge w & df \wedge v \\ dw & dv + w \wedge df \end{array} \right) G^{-1}. \]

Most entries of this matrix vanish:

**Proposition 14.** We have

\[ df \wedge w = 0 \quad (7.16) \]
\[ df \wedge v = 0 \quad (7.17) \]
\[ dv + w \wedge df = -(2dH - w) \wedge df = 0. \quad (7.18) \]

**Proof.** We have

\[ df \wedge w = df \wedge dH + df \wedge R * dH + \frac{1}{2} df \wedge H(NdN - *dN) \]
\[ = df \wedge dH + df R \wedge *dH + \frac{1}{2} df H \wedge (NdN - *dN) \]
\[ = df \wedge dH - *df \wedge *dH + \frac{1}{2} df H \wedge (NdN - *dN), \]

but

\[ *df H = df(-R)H = -df HN \]
\[ *(NdN - *dN) = (N * dN - N^2dN) = -N(NdN - *dN). \]

Hence, by type, the second term vanishes as well, and we get (7.16).

A similar, but simpler, computation shows (7.17).
Next, using (7.11), we consider
\[ dv + w \wedge df = d(dR + R \ast dR) + w \wedge df \]
\[ = d(-2Hdf) + w \wedge df \]
\[ = (-2dH + w) \wedge df \]
\[ = \left(-dH + R \ast dH + \frac{1}{2}H(NdN - \ast dN)\right) \wedge df. \]

Again we show \(* \alpha = \alpha N, * \beta = \beta N\). Then (7.18) will follow by type. Clearly
\[ *(NdN - \ast dN) = N \ast dN + NdNN = (NdN - \ast dN)N, \]
showing \(* \beta = \beta N\). Further
\[ * \alpha - \alpha N = - \ast dH - RdH + dHN - R(\ast dH)N \]
\[ = - \ast dH - d(RH) + (dR)H + d(HN) - HdN - R \ast (d(HN) - HdN) \]
\[ = +R^2 \ast dH + (dR)H - HdN - R \ast ((dR)H + RdH - HdN) \]
\[ = (dR)H - HdN - R \ast (dR)H + RH \ast dN \]
\[ = (dR - R \ast dR)H - H(dN - N \ast dN) \]
\[ = 0. \]

As a corollary we get:

**Proposition 15.**
\[ d \ast A = \frac{1}{4} G \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ dw & 0 \end{pmatrix} G^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -f dw & -f df \\ dw & df \end{pmatrix}. \]

with \( w = dH + R \ast dH + \frac{1}{2}H(NdN - \ast dN) \).

Therefore \( f \) is Willmore if and only if \( dw = 0 \).

**Example 19 (Willmore Cylinder).** Let \( \gamma : \mathbb{R} \to \text{Im} \mathbb{H} \) be a unit-speed curve, and \( f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{H} \) the cylinder defined by
\[ f(s, t) = \gamma(s) + t \]
with the conformal structure \( J \frac{\partial}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \). Then using Proposition 13, we obtain, after some computation, that \( f \) is (non-compact) Willmore, if and only if
\[ \frac{1}{2} \kappa^3 + \kappa'' - \kappa \tau^2 = 0, \quad (\kappa^2 \tau)' = 0. \]

This is exactly the condition that \( \gamma \) be a free elastic curve.
8 Twistor Projections

8.1 Twistor Projections

Let $E \subset H := M \times \mathbb{H}^2 = M \times \mathbb{C}^4$ be a complex (not a quaternionic) line subbundle over a Riemann surface $M$ with complex structure $J_E$ induced from right multiplication by $i$ on $\mathbb{H}^2$.

We define $\delta_E \in \Omega^1(\text{Hom}(E, H/E))$ by

$$\delta_E \phi := \pi_E d\phi, \quad \phi \in \Gamma(E),$$

where $\pi_E : H \to H/E$ is the projection.

**Definition.** $E$ is called a holomorphic curve in $\mathbb{C}P^3$, if

$$\ast \delta_E = \delta_E J_E.$$  

This is equivalent to the fact that the holomorphic structure

$$d''\psi = \frac{1}{2}(d\psi + i \ast d\psi)$$

(8.1)

of $H$ maps $\Gamma(E)$ into itself, and hence induces a holomorphic structure on the complex line bundle $E$.

A complex line bundle $E \subset H$ induces a quaternionic line bundle

$$L = E\mathbb{H} = E \oplus E j \subset H.$$

The complex structure $J_E$ admits a unique extension to the structure of a complex quaternionic bundle $(L, J)$, namely right-multiplication by $(-i)$ on $E j$. Conversely, a complex quaternionic line bundle $(L, J) \subset H$ induces a complex line bundle

$$E := \{ \phi \in L \mid J\phi = \phi i \}.$$

**Definition.** We call $(L, J)$ the twistor projection of $E$, and $E$ the twistor lift of $(L, J)$.

**Remark 8.** As in the quaternionic case, any map $f : M \to \mathbb{C}P^3$ induces a complex line bundle $E$, where the fibre over $p$ is $f(p)$, and vice versa. Holomorphic curves as defined above correspond to holomorphic curves in the sense of complex analysis. The correspondence between $E$ and $(L, J)$ is mediated by the Penrose twistor projection $\mathbb{C}P^3 \to \mathbb{H}P^1$.

**Theorem 4.** Let $E \subset H$ be a a complex line subbundle over a Riemann surface $M$, and $(L, J)$ its twistor projection.
(i) Then \((L,J)\) is a holomorphic curve, i.e.
\[ *\delta_L = \delta_L J, \]  
\[(8.2)\]
if and only if
\[ \frac{1}{2}(\delta_E + *\delta_E J_E) \in \Omega^1(\text{Hom}(E,L/E)) \subset \Omega^1(\text{Hom}(E,H/E)). \]

In this case we have a differential operator
\[ \tilde{D} : \Gamma(L) \to \Omega^1(L), \psi \mapsto \tilde{D}\psi := \frac{1}{2}(d\psi + *d(J\psi)) \]
Its \((1,0)\)-part is given by
\[ A_L := \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{D} + J\tilde{D}J) \in \Gamma(K\text{End}_-(L)). \]  
\[(8.3)\]

(ii) If \((L, J)\) is a holomorphic curve then
\[ \frac{1}{2}(\delta_E + *\delta_E J_E) = \pi_E A_L|_E. \]
Moreover,
\[ \frac{1}{2}(\delta_E + *\delta_E J_E) = 0 \iff A_L = 0. \]

In other words: The twistor projections of holomorphic curves in \(\mathbb{C}P^3\) are exactly the holomorphic curves in \(\mathbb{H}P^1\) with \(A_L = 0\).

(iii) Let \(L\) be an immersed holomorphic curve with mean curvature sphere congruence \(S \in \Gamma(\text{End}_-(H))\), and \(J = S|_L\). Then
\[ A = \frac{1}{4}(SdS + *dS) \in \Gamma(K\text{End}_-(H)) \]
satisfies
\[ A|_L = A_L. \]

Proof. (i). If \((L, J)\) is a holomorphic curve then, for any \(\psi \in \Gamma(L)\),
\[ \frac{1}{2}\pi_L(d\psi + *d(J\psi)) = 0. \]
But then
\[ \frac{1}{2}(d\psi + *d(J\psi)) \in \Omega^1(L) \]
a fortiori for all $\psi = \phi \in \Gamma(E)$. It follows

$$\frac{1}{2} \pi_E (d\phi + \ast (J_E \phi)) \in \Omega^1(L/E).$$

Conversely, $\frac{1}{2} \pi_E (d\phi + \ast (J_E \phi)) \in \Omega^1(L/E)$ for $\phi \in \Gamma(E)$ implies

$$\frac{1}{2} (d\phi + \ast (J_E \phi)) \in \Omega^1(L),$$

and therefore

$$\ast \delta_L \mid_E = \delta_L J \mid_E.$$ 

Again for $\phi \in \Gamma(E)$

$$\frac{1}{2} (d(\phi j) + \ast (J \phi j)) = \frac{1}{2} ((d\phi)j + \ast (J \phi)j) = \frac{1}{2} (d\phi + \ast (J_E \phi)) j \in \Omega^1(L).$$

This shows

$$\ast \delta_L = \delta_L J.$$ 

By the preceding, $\tilde{D}$ maps into $\Omega^1(L)$. Its $(1,0)$-part is

$$\frac{1}{2} (\tilde{D} - J \ast \tilde{D}),$$

but for $\psi \in \Gamma(L)$

$$\ast \tilde{D} \psi = \frac{1}{2} (\ast d\psi - d(J \psi)) = -\tilde{D} J \psi.$$ 

This proves (8.3).

(ii). For $\psi \in \Gamma(L)$ we have

$$A_L \psi = \frac{1}{4} (d\psi + \ast (J \psi) + J(dJ \psi - \ast d\psi)) \quad (8.4)$$

But for $\phi \in \Gamma(E)$ we have $J(dJ \phi - \ast d\phi) = J(d\phi + \ast d\phi i)i$, and hence

$$A_L \phi = \frac{1}{4} ((d\phi + \ast (J \phi)) + J(d\phi + \ast (J \phi))i).$$

By assumption $\frac{1}{2} (d\phi + \ast (J \phi))$ has values in $L = E \oplus E j$, and $A_L \phi$ is its $E j$-component, namely the component in the $(-i)$-eigenspace of $J \mid_L$. In particular,

$$\pi_E A_L \phi = \pi_E \frac{1}{2} (d\phi + \ast (J \phi)) = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_E + \ast \delta_E J) \phi,$$
and \( \pi_E(A_L \phi) = 0 \) if and only if \( A_L \phi = 0 \). Since \( A_L|_E \) determines \( A_L \) by linearity, 
\[
\frac{1}{2} \pi_E(d\psi + \ast d(\psi \iota)) = 0 \iff A_L = 0.
\]

(iii). For \( \psi \in \Gamma(L) \)

\[
A\psi = \frac{1}{4} (SdS + \ast dS)\psi
= \frac{1}{4} (S(d(S\psi) - Sd\psi) + \ast d(S\psi) - \ast Sd\psi)
= \frac{1}{4} (S(d(S\psi) - \ast d\psi) + \ast d(S\psi) + d\psi).
\]

Comparison with (8.4) shows \( A|_L = A_L \). \( \square \)

### 8.2 Super-Conformal Immersions.

Given a surface conformally immersed into \( \mathbb{R}^4 \), the image of a tangential circle under the quadratic second fundamental form is (a double cover of) an ellipse in the normal space, centered at the mean curvature vector, the so-called curvature ellipse. The surface is called super-conformal if this ellipse is a circle.

If \( N \) and \( R \) are the left and right normal vector of \( f \), then according to Proposition 7 we have

\[
II(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2}(\ast df(Y)dR(X) - dN(X) \ast df(Y)),
\]

and therefore

\[
II(\cos \theta X + \sin \theta JX, \cos \theta X + \sin \theta JX) \\
= \frac{1}{2}(\ast df(\cos \theta X + \sin \theta JX)dR(\cos \theta X + \sin \theta JX) \\
- dN(\cos \theta X + \sin \theta JX) \ast df(\cos \theta X + \sin \theta JX)) \\
= \frac{1}{2}(df(\cos \theta JX - \sin \theta X)dR(\cos \theta X + \sin \theta JX) \\
- dN(\cos \theta X + \sin \theta JX)df(\cos \theta JX - \sin \theta X)) \\
= \frac{1}{2}(\cos^2 \theta df(JX)dR(X) - dN(X)df(JX)) \\
- \sin^2 \theta (df(X)dR(JX) - dN(JX)df(X)) \\
+ \cos \theta \sin \theta (df(JX)dR(JX) - df(X)dR(X) \\
+ dN(X)df(X) - dN(JX)df(JX)).
\]
Using $\cos^2 \theta = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos 2\theta), \sin^2 \theta = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos 2\theta)$ we get

\[II(\cos \theta X + \sin \theta JX, \cos \theta X + \sin \theta JX)\]

\[= \frac{1}{4} \left( *df(X) dR(X) - dN(X) * df(X) + *df(JX) dR(JX) - dN(JX) * df(JX) \right)\]

\[= \frac{1}{4} \cos 2\theta ( df(JX) dR(X) - dN(X) df(JX) + df(X) dR(JX) - dN(JX) df(X) ) \]

\[+ \frac{1}{4} \sin 2\theta ( df(JX) dR(X) - dN(X) df(JX) + df(X) dR(JX) - dN(JX) df(X) ) \]

\[= \mathcal{H} |df(X)|^2 \]

\[+ \frac{1}{4} \cos 2\theta ( df(X) ( *dR(X) - RdR(X) ) - ( *dN(X) - NdN(X) ) df(X) ) \]

\[+ \frac{1}{4} \sin 2\theta N(a + b). \]

This is a circle if and only if $a - b$ and $N(a + b)$ are orthogonal and have same length. This is clearly the case if $a = 0$ or $b = 0$, but these are in fact the only possibilities. Assume that there exists $P \in \mathbb{H}, P^2 = -1$ with

\[N(a + b) = P(a - b), \quad (8.5)\]

and note that

\[Na = aR, Nb = bR. \]

We multiply \((8.3)\) by $N$ from the left or by $R$ from the right to obtain

\[-(a + b) = NP(a - b), \quad -(a + b) = PN(a - b) \]

respectively. Therefore $(PN - NP)(a - b) = 0$, which implies $P = \pm N$, and hence $a = 0$ or $b = 0$, or $a - b = 0$. But then also $a + b = 0$, whence $a = b = 0$. It follows that the immersion is super-conformal if and only if

\[*dR(X) - RdR(X) = 0, \quad *dN(X) - NdN(X) = 0. \]

By the preceding argument, this holds for a particular choice of $X$, but then it obviously follows for all $X$.

We mention that $f \to \bar{f}$ exchanges $N$ and $R$, hence $f$ is super-conformal, if and only if $*dR - RdR = 0$ for $f$ or for $\bar{f}$. In view of proposition [12], this is equivalent to $A|_L = 0$, and by Theorem [3] we obtain:

**Theorem 5.** A conformally immersed Riemann surface $f : M \to \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^4$ is super-conformal if and only if $\left[ \begin{array}{c} f \\ 1 \end{array} \right] : M \to \mathbb{H}P^1$ or $\left[ \begin{array}{c} f \\ 1 \end{array} \right] : M \to \mathbb{H}P^1$ is the twistor projection of a holomorphic curve in $\mathbb{C}P^3$. 
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9 Bäcklund Transforms of Willmore Surfaces

In this section we shall describe a method to construct new Willmore surfaces from a given one. The construction depends on the choice of a point $\infty$, and therefore generously offers a 4-parameter family of such transformations. On the other hand, the necessary computations are not invariant, and therefore ought to be done in affine coordinates.

The transformation theory is essentially local: This fact will be hidden in the assumption that the transforms are again immersions. We shall also ignore period problems.

9.1 Bäcklund Transforms

Let $f : M \to \mathbb{H}$ be a Willmore surface with $N, R, H$, and

$$w = dH + H \ast dfH + R \ast dH - H \ast dN.$$ 

Then

$$dw = 0,$$

and hence we can integrate it. Assume that $g : M \to \mathbb{H}$ is an immersion with

$$dg = \frac{1}{2}w.$$  \hspace{1cm}(9.1)

(Note that the integral of $w/2$ may have periods, so in general $g$ is defined only on a covering of $M$. We ignore this problem.)

We want to show that $g$ is again a Willmore surface called a Bäcklund transform of $f$. Using this name, we refer to the fact that in a given category of surfaces we construct new examples from old ones by solving an ODE (9.1), similar to the classical Bäcklund transforms of K-surfaces, see Tenenblat [11].

We denote the symbols associated to $g$ by a subscript $(.)_g$, and want to prove $dw_g = 0$. The computation of $w_g$ can be done under the weaker assumption (9.2), which holds in the case above, see Proposition 14.

**Proposition 16.** Let $f, g : M \to \mathbb{H}$ be immersions such that

$$df \wedge dg = 0.$$ \hspace{1cm}(9.2)

Then $f$ and $g$ induce the same conformal structure on $M$, and

$$N_g = -R,$$

$$dg(2dH_g - w_g) = -wdf.$$ \hspace{1cm}(9.4)
Proof. Define * using the conformal structure induced by \( f \). Then

\[
0 = df \wedge dg = df * dg - df(-R)dg,
\]

which implies *\(dg = -Rdg\). Hence \(g\) is conformal, too, and \(N_g = -R\).

For the next computations recall the equations (7.10), and (7.11), (7.12):

\[
HN = NR,
\]

\[
2df H = dN - N * dN,
\]

\[
2Hdf = dR - R * dR,
\]

\[
w = dH + H * df H + R * dH - H * dN.
\]

Then

\[
Rw = RdH + RH * df H - *dH - RH * dN
\]

\[
= RdH + HN * df H - *dH - HN * dN
\]

\[
= RdH - Hdf H - *dH - H(N * dN - dN) - HdN
\]

\[
= RdH - HdN + Hdf H - *dH.
\]

(9.5)

With \(dRH + RdH = dHN + HdN\) this becomes

\[
Rw = dHN - *dH - dRH + Hdf H.
\]

(9.6)

Next

\[
2dgH_g = dN_g - N_g * dN_g = -dR - R * dR.
\]

Therefore

\[
-dg \wedge dh_g = \frac{1}{2}d(-dR - R * dR) = \frac{1}{2}d(dR - R * dR) = -dH \wedge df,
\]

or

\[
dg(*dH_g + R_g dh_g) = -(dHN - *dH)df.
\]

(9.7)

We now use (9.3) and (9.7) to compute

\[
N_g dg(2dh_g - w_g)
\]

\[
= -dg R_g(2dh_g - w_g)
\]

\[
= dg(-2R_g dh_g + R_g dh_g - H_g dN_g + H_g dgH_g - *dH_g)
\]

\[
= -dg(R_g dh_g + *dH_g) + dgH_g(dgH_g - dN_g)
\]

\[
= (dHN - *dH)df + dgH_g(dgH_g - dN_g)
\]

\[
= (dHN - *dH)df + \frac{1}{4}(dN_g - N_g * dN_g)((dN_g - N_g * dN_g) - 2dN_g)
\]

\[
= (dHN - *dH)df - \frac{1}{4}(dR + R * dR)(dR - R * dR).
\]
Similarly, using (9.6),

\[-N_g w df = Rw df = (dHN - *dH) df - (dR - H df) H df\]

\[= (dHN - *dH) df - \frac{1}{4}(2dR - dR + R * dR)(dR - R * dR)\]

\[= (dHN - *dH) df - \frac{1}{4}(dR + R * dR)(dR - R * dR).\]

Comparison yields (9.4).

If \(f\) is Willmore, and \(g\) is defined by \((9.1)\), then

\[dg(2df + 2dH_g) = 2dgdf + dg(2dH_g - w_g) = (2dg - w) df = 0.\]

Hence

\[w_g = 2d(f + H_g),\]

and \(g\) is Willmore, too.

Now assume that \(h := g - H\) is again an immersion. Then, by Proposition 14,

\[2dh \wedge df = (2dg - 2dH) \wedge df = (w - 2dH) \wedge df = 0.\]

Proposition 10 applied to \((h,f)\) instead of \((f,g)\) then says

\[-w_h dh = df(2dH - w) = df(2dH - 2dg) = -2df dh.\]

We find \(w_h = 2df\), whence \(h\) is again a Willmore surface. We call \(g\) a forward, and \(h\) a backward Bäcklund transform of \(f\). \(h\) can be obtained without reference to \(g\) by integrating \(d(g - H) = \frac{1}{2}w - dH\).

Note that \(f\) is a forward Bäcklund transform of \(h\) because \(df = \frac{1}{2}w_h\), and is also a backward transform of \(g\) because \(df = \frac{1}{2}w_g - dH_g\), see (9.8).

The concept of Bäcklund transformations depends on the choice of affine coordinates. The following theorem clarifies this situation.

**Theorem 6.** Let \(L\) be a Willmore surface in \(\mathbb{H}P^1\). Choose non-zero \(\beta \in (\mathbb{H}^2)^*\), \(a \in \mathbb{H}^2\) such that \(\langle \beta, a \rangle = 0\). Then

\[d < \beta, *Aa > = 0 = d < \beta, *Qa > .\]

If \(g,h : M \to \mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{H}P^1\) are immersions that satisfy

\[dg = 2 < \beta, *Aa > , \quad dh = 2 < \beta, *Qa > ,\]

they are again Willmore surfaces, called forward respectively backward Bäcklund transforms of \(L\). The free choice of \(\beta\) implies that there is a whole \(S^4\) of such pairs of Bäcklund transforms. (Different choices of \(\beta\) result in Möbius transforms \(g \to g\lambda\), or \(h \to h\lambda\), for a constant \(\lambda\).)
Proof. Choose \( b \in \mathbb{H}^2, \alpha \in (\mathbb{H}^2)^* \) such that \( a, b \) and \( \alpha, \beta \) are dual bases. Then
\[
2 < \beta, *Aa > = \frac{1}{2} w, \quad 2 < \beta, *Qa > = \frac{1}{2} w - dH,
\]
see Proposition \([12]\). \( \square \)

We can now proceed from \( g \) with another forward Bäcklund transform. To do so, we must integrate \( \frac{1}{2} w_g = d(f + H_g) \). But, up to a translational constant, this yields
\[
\tilde{f} := f + H_g.
\tag{9.9}
\]

We now observe

**Lemma 10.**
\[
\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{f} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \ker A.
\]

**Proof.** Note that \( \ker A = \ker *A \). By Proposition \([12]\) we have
\[
4 * A \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{f} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ w & dR + R * dR \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f + H_g \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \left( wH_g + dR + R * dR \right) \begin{pmatrix} f + H_g \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \left( 2dhH_g - 2dhH_g \right) = 0.
\]

\( \square \)

Similarly the twofold backward Bäcklund transform \( \hat{f} \) satisfies
\[
\begin{pmatrix} \hat{f} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{H} \supset \text{image } Q.
\]

But this means that away from the zeros of \( A \) or \( Q \) the 2-step Bäcklund transforms of a Willmore surface \( L \) in \( \mathbb{H}P^1 \) can be described simply as \( \tilde{L} = \ker A \) or \( \hat{L} = \text{image } Q \). In particular there are no periods arising.

We obtain a chain of Bäcklund transforms
\[
\cdots \rightarrow \hat{f} \rightarrow h \rightarrow f \rightarrow g \rightarrow \tilde{f} \rightarrow \cdots
\]
\[
\rightarrow \tilde{L} \rightarrow L \rightarrow L \rightarrow \tilde{L} \rightarrow \cdots
\]

Of course, the chain may break down if we arrive at non-immersed surfaces, or it may close up.
9.2 Two-Step Bäcklund Transforms

Let \( L \subset H = M \times \mathbb{H}^2 \) be a Willmore surface, and assume \( A \neq 0 \) on each component of \( M \). We want to describe directly the two-step Bäcklund transform \( \tilde{L} \rightarrow \tilde{\tilde{L}} \), and compute its associated quantities (mean curvature sphere, Hopf fields).

We state a fact about singularities that will be proved in the appendix, see Section 12.

**Proposition 17.** Let \( L \) be a Willmore surface in \( \mathbb{H}P^1 \), and \( A \neq 0 \) on each component of \( M \). Then there exists a unique line bundle \( \tilde{\tilde{L}} \subset H \) such that on an open dense subset of \( M \) we have:

\[
\tilde{L} = \ker A, \quad \text{and} \quad H = L \oplus \tilde{L}.
\]

A similar assertion holds for image \( Q \).

We shall assume that \( \tilde{L} \) is immersed, and want to prove again that \( \tilde{L} \) is Willmore.

**Theorem 7.** For the 2-step Bäcklund transform \( \tilde{\tilde{L}} \) of \( L \) we have

\[
\tilde{Q} = A.
\] (9.10)

Hence \( \tilde{L} \) is again a Willmore surface.

Let \( \tilde{S}, \tilde{\delta}, \tilde{Q}, \) etc. denote the operators associated with \( \tilde{L} \).

**Lemma 11.**

\[
\ast \tilde{\delta} = -S \tilde{\delta}.
\]

**Proof.** Since \( A|_{\tilde{L}} = 0 \) we interpret \( A \in \Omega^1(\text{Hom}(H/\tilde{L}, H)) \). On a dense open subset of \( M \) then \( A(X) : H/\tilde{L} \rightarrow H \) is injective for any \( X \neq 0 \).

For \( \phi \in \Gamma(\tilde{L}) \) we get

\[
0 = d(\ast A)\phi = d(\ast A\phi) + \ast A \wedge d\phi = \ast A \ast d\phi + Ad\phi = -AS \ast \tilde{\delta} \phi + A\tilde{\delta} \phi = -AS(\ast \tilde{\delta} + S\tilde{\delta})\phi.
\]

The injectivity of \( A \) then proves the lemma.

**Proof of the theorem.** Motivated by the lemma, we relate \( \tilde{S} \) to \(-S\) rather than to \( S \). We put

\[
\tilde{S} =: -S + B.
\]
Then
\[ 4\tilde{Q} = \tilde{S}d\tilde{S} - \ast d\tilde{S} \]
\[ = Bd\tilde{S} - (Sd\tilde{S} + \ast d\tilde{S}) \]
\[ = Bd\tilde{S} - (SdB + \ast dB) + (SdS + \ast dS) \]
\[ = 4A + Bd\tilde{S} - (SdB + \ast dB). \]

The proof will be completed with the following lemma which shows that \( \tilde{Q} \) – like \( A \) – has values in \( L \), while the “B-terms” take values in \( \tilde{L} \).

\[ \blacksquare \]

**Lemma 12.** We have

\begin{align*}
\text{image } B & \subset \tilde{L}, \quad (9.11) \\
\text{image}(dB + SdB) & \subset \tilde{L}, \quad (9.12) \\
L & \subset \ker B, \quad (9.13) \\
\text{image } \tilde{Q} & \subset L. \quad (9.14)
\end{align*}

**Proof.** Recall that \( \tilde{L} \) is \( S \)-stable. It is of course also \( \tilde{S} \)-stable, and therefore

\[ B\tilde{L} \subset \tilde{L}. \quad (9.15) \]

Now \( \tilde{L} \) is immersive, and therefore image \( \tilde{\delta} = H/\tilde{L} \). Thus (9.14) will follow if we can show \( \tilde{\pi}Bd\phi = 0 \) for \( \phi \in \Gamma(\tilde{L}) \). But, using Lemma 11,

\[ \tilde{\pi}Bd\phi = \tilde{\pi}Sd\phi + \tilde{\pi}\tilde{S}d\phi = S\tilde{\pi}d\phi + \tilde{S}\tilde{\pi}d\phi = S\tilde{\delta}\phi + \tilde{S}\tilde{\delta}\phi \]
\[ = -\ast \tilde{\delta}\phi + \ast \tilde{\delta}\phi = 0. \]

Next, for \( \chi \in \Gamma(H) \) we have

\[ \tilde{\pi}(dB + SdB)\chi = \tilde{\pi}(\ast d(B\chi) + Sd(B\chi) - B * d\chi - SBd\chi) \]
\[ = (\ast \tilde{\delta} + \tilde{S}\tilde{\delta})B\chi \]
\[ = 0. \quad (\text{Lemma 11}) \]

This proves (9.12).

On the other hand, for \( \psi \in \Gamma(L) \),

\[ \tilde{\pi}(dB - SdB)\psi = \tilde{\pi} (\ast dS - SdS)d\psi + \tilde{\pi}(\ast d\tilde{S} - Sd\tilde{S})d\psi \]
\[ = \tilde{\pi} (\ast d\tilde{S} + \tilde{S}d\tilde{S})d\psi - \tilde{\pi}(Bd\tilde{S})d\psi \]
\[ = 4\tilde{A}\psi \in \Gamma(\tilde{L}) \quad \in \Gamma(L) \]
\[ = 0. \]
Together with the previous equation we obtain \( \tilde{\pi} dB | L = 0 \), and, for \( \psi \in \Gamma(L) \),
\[
\tilde{\delta} B \psi = \tilde{\pi} (d(B \psi)) = \tilde{\pi} ((dB) \psi - Bd\psi) = \tilde{\pi} dB \psi = 0.
\]
But \( \tilde{L} \) is an immersion, and therefore \( B \psi = 0 \), proving (9.13).

Finally, for \( \psi \in \Gamma(L) \),
\[
4 \tilde{Q} \psi = \tilde{S} d \tilde{S} \psi - *d \tilde{S} \psi
= \tilde{S} d \tilde{S} \psi - d \psi + \tilde{S} * d \psi - (-d \psi + \tilde{S} * d \psi + *d \tilde{S} \psi)
= \tilde{S} (d \tilde{S} \psi + \tilde{S} d \psi + *d \psi) - *d \tilde{S} \psi + \tilde{S} d \psi + d \tilde{S} \psi
= (\tilde{S} - *) (d(\tilde{S} \psi) + *d \psi)
= -(\tilde{S} - *) (d(S \psi) - *d \psi) \quad \text{using (9.13)}.
\]
But \( \pi (d(S \psi) - *d \psi) = (\delta S - *\delta) \psi = 0 \). So \( d(S \psi) - *d \psi \in \Gamma(L) \), and this is stable under \( \tilde{S} = B - S \). Therefore \( \tilde{Q} L \subset L \). Since \( \tilde{Q} \tilde{L} = 0 \), this proves (9.14). \( \square \)

Taking the two-step backward transform of \( \tilde{L} \), we get image \( \tilde{Q} = \text{image} A = L \).

Hence \( \hat{L} = L \). We remark that the results of this section similarly apply to the backward two-step Bäcklund transformation \( L \to \hat{L} = \text{image} Q \). As a corollary of (9.10) and its analog \( \hat{A} = Q \) we obtain

**Theorem 8.**

\[
\hat{L} = L = \tilde{L}.
\]

### 10 Willmore Surfaces in \( S^3 \)

Let \( < . , . > \) be an indefinite hermitian inner product on \( \mathbb{H}^2 \). To be specific, we choose
\[
< v, w > := \bar{v}_1 w_2 + \bar{v}_2 w_1.
\]
Then the set of isotropic lines \( < l, l >= 0 \) defines an \( S^3 \subset \mathbb{H}P^1 \), while the complementary 4-discs are hyperbolic 4-spaces, see Example 3. We have
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  a & b \\
  c & d
\end{pmatrix}^* =
\begin{pmatrix}
  \bar{d} & \bar{b} \\
  \bar{c} & \bar{a}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad (10.1)
\]
and the same holds for matrix representations with respect to a basis \((v, w)\) such that
\[
< v, v > = 0, < w, w >, \quad < v, w > = 1.
\]
10.1 Surfaces in $S^3$.

Let $L$ be an isotropic line bundle with mean curvature sphere $S$. We look at the adjoint map $M \to Z, p \mapsto S_p^*$ with respect to $<.,.>$. Clearly $S^*$ stabilizes $L^\perp$, and $L = L^\perp$ implies

$$S^* L = S^* L^\perp = L^\perp = L.$$ 

Similarly,

$$(dS^*) L = (dS)^* L^\perp \subset L^\perp = L.$$ 

Moreover, if $Q^\dagger$ belongs to $S^*$, then

$$Q^\dagger = \frac{1}{4}(S^* dS^* - *dS^*)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}(dSS - *dS)^*$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4}(SdS + *dS)^*$$

$$= -A^*.$$ 

Therefore $\ker Q^\dagger = (\text{image}(Q^\dagger))^\perp = (\text{image} A)^\perp \supset L^\perp = L$.

By the uniqueness of the mean curvature sphere, see Theorem 2, it follows that $S^* = S$. Conversely, if $S^* = S$ and $S\psi = \psi\lambda$, then

$$\bar{\lambda} <\psi,\psi> = <S\psi,\psi> = <\psi,S\psi> = <\psi,\psi> \lambda = \lambda <\psi,\psi>.$$ 

Now $S^2 = -I$ implies $\lambda^2 = -1$, and therefore we get $<\psi,\psi> = 0$.

**Proposition 18.** An immersed holomorphic curve $L$ in $\mathbb{H}P^1$ is isotropic, i.e. a surface in $S^3$, if and only if $S = S^*$.

10.2 Hyperbolic 2-Planes

In the half-space or Poincaré model of the hyperbolic space, geodesics are euclidean circles that orthogonally intersect the boundary. We consider the models of hyperbolic 4-space in $\mathbb{H}P^1$, and want to identify their totally geodesic hyperbolic 2-planes, i.e. those 2-spheres in $\mathbb{H}P^1$ that orthogonally intersect the separating isotropic $S^3$. Using the affine coordinates, from Example 3, we consider the reflexion $\mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}, x \mapsto -\bar{x}$ at $\text{Im} \mathbb{H} = S^3$. This preserves either of the metrics given in the examples of Section 3.2. In particular, it induces an isometry of the standard Riemannian metric of $\mathbb{H}P^1$ which fixes $S^3$. Given a 2-sphere $S \in \text{End}(\mathbb{H}^2), S^2 = -I$, that intersects $S^3$ in a point $l$, we use affine coordinates, as in Example 3, with $l = v\mathbb{H}$ and $w$ such that

$$<v,v> = <w,w> = 0, <v,w> = 1.$$
Then
\[
S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -H \\ 0 & -R \end{pmatrix}
\]
with \(N^2 = R^2 = -1\), \(HN = RH\), and \(S' \subset \mathbb{H}\) is the locus of
\[\langle N, x \rangle + xR = H.\]
If \(S'\) is invariant under the reflexion at \(S^3\), then it also is the locus of \(-\langle N, x \rangle - xR = \bar{H}\) or
\[\langle Rx, x \rangle + xN = \bar{H}.\]
According to Section 3.4, the triple \((H, N, R)\) is unique up to sign. This implies either
\[(H, N, R) = (\bar{H}, R, N)\) or \((H, N, R) = (-\bar{H}, -R, -N)\).

By (10.1) either \(S^* = S\), and the 2-sphere lies within the 3-sphere, or it intersects orthogonally, and \(S^* = -S\). We summarize:

**Proposition 19.** A 2-sphere \(S \in \mathcal{Z}\) intersects the hyperbolic 4-spaces determined by an indefinite inner product in hyperbolic 2-planes if and only if \(S^* = -S\).

### 10.3 Willmore Surfaces in \(S^3\) and Minimal Surfaces in Hyperbolic 4-Space

Let \(L\) be a connected Willmore surface in \(S^3 \subset \mathbb{H}P^1\), where \(S^3\) is the isotropic set of an indefinite hermitian form on \(\mathbb{H}^2\). Then its mean curvature sphere satisfies \(S^* = S\).

Let us assume that \(A \neq 0\), and let \(\bar{L} = \ker A\) and \(\hat{L} = \text{image } Q\) be the 2-step Bäcklund transforms of \(L\).

**Lemma 13.**
\[
\hat{L} = \bar{L}.
\]

**Proof.** First we have
\[
Q^* = \frac{1}{4}(SdS - *dS)^* = \frac{1}{4}(dSS - *dS) = \frac{1}{4}(-SdS - *dS) = -A. \tag{10.2}
\]

Now \(\hat{L} = \text{image } Q\) is \(S\)-stable, and \(S^* = S\) and \(S\phi = \phi\lambda\) imply \(<\phi, \phi> = 0\). Therefore \(<\hat{L}, \hat{L}> = 0\), and on a dense open subset of \(M\)
\[
\hat{L} = \hat{L}^\perp = (\text{image } Q)^\perp = \ker Q^* = \ker A = \bar{L}.
\]

\(\Box\)
Lemma 14.

\[ \tilde{S} = -S \]

for the mean curvature sphere \( \tilde{S} \) of \( \tilde{L} \).

Proof. First \( \tilde{L} = \hat{L} \) is obviously \((-S)\)-stable. It is trivially invariant under \( A \) and \( Q \) and, therefore, under \( d(-S) = 2(*A - *Q) \). Finally, the \( Q \) of \((-S)\) is

\[ \frac{1}{4}((-S)d(-S) - *d(-S)) = A, \]

and this vanishes on \( \tilde{L} \). The unique characterization of the mean curvature sphere by these three properties implies \( \tilde{S} = -S \).

We now turn to the 1-step Bäcklund transform of \( L \). If \( dF = 2*A \), then

\[ d(F + F^*) = 2*A + 2*A^* = 2*A - 2*Q = -dS. \]

Because \( S^* = S \), we can choose suitable initial conditions for \( F \) such that

\[ F + F^* = -S. \] (10.3)

We now use affine coordinates with \( L = \begin{bmatrix} f \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \). Then the lower left entry \( g \) of \( F \) is a Bäcklund transform of \( f \), and (7.3) and (10.3) imply

\[ g + \bar{g} = H. \]

We want to compute the mean curvature sphere \( S_g \). From the properties of Bäcklund transforms we know

\[ N_g = -R, \quad H_g = \tilde{f} = f, \] (10.4)

see (9.3), (9.9). Likewise, \( \bar{N} = -R_g \). From Lemma 14 we obtain

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & f \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
-N & 0 \\
H & R
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & -f \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
1 & \tilde{f} \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{N} & 0 \\
-H & -R
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & -\tilde{f} \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
= \begin{pmatrix}
1 & f \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & H_g \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{N} & 0 \\
-H & -R
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & -H_g \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & -f \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
= \begin{pmatrix}
1 & f \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{N} - H_g \tilde{H} & * \\
-H & * \end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & -f \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

This implies \( H = -\tilde{H} \) and \( -N = \tilde{N} - H_g \tilde{H} \), whence

\[ -R_g = \tilde{N} = -N + (f - \tilde{f})H. \]
In particular \( f - \tilde{f} \in \text{Im} \mathbb{H} \), since \( H = 0 \) on an open set would mean \( w = 0 \) on that set. It follows that

\[
S_g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & g \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -R & f - \tilde{f} -N + (f - \tilde{f})H \\ 0 & -H \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -g \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},
\]

and, because \( R = N \) and \( H \in \mathbb{R} \) for \( f : M \to \text{Im} \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^3 \),

\[
S_g^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & g - H \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -N + (f - \tilde{f})H & 0 \\ f - \tilde{f} & -R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & H - g \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & g - H \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} N + (\tilde{f} - f)H & 0 \\ \tilde{f} - f & N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & H - g \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & g \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ \tilde{f} - f & N + (\tilde{f} - f)H \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -g \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
= -S_g.
\]

We have now shown that the mean curvature spheres of \( g \) intersect \( S^4 \) orthogonally, and therefore are hyperbolic planes. We know that, using affine coordinates and a Euclidean metric, the mean curvature spheres are tangent to \( g \) and have the same mean curvature vector as \( g \). This property remains under conformal changes of the ambient metric. Therefore, in the hyperbolic metric, \( g \) has mean curvature 0, and hence is minimal. If \( A \equiv 0 \), then \( w = 0 \), and the “Bäcklund transform” is constant, which may be considered as a degenerate minimal surface. In general \( g \) will be singular in the (isolated) zeros of \( dg = \frac{1}{2}w \), but minimal elsewhere.

We show the converse: Let \( L \) be an immersed holomorphic curve, minimal in hyperbolic 4-space, i.e. with \( S^* = -S \). Then

\[
A^* = \frac{1}{4} (SdS + *dS)^* = \frac{1}{4} (dSS - *dS) = -\frac{1}{4} (SdS + *dS) = -A,
\]

and therefore also

\[
(d*A)^* = -d*A.
\]

From Proposition 15 we have

\[
d*A = \begin{pmatrix} -fdw & -fwoff \\ dw & dwf \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Therefore

\[
dw = -\overline{dw}, \quad \overline{f}dw = dwf,
\]
and hence
\[ dw(f + \bar{f}) = 0. \]

But \( f \) is not in \( S^3 \), and therefore \( dw = 0 \), i.e \( L \) is Willmore. Similarly, Proposition \[ \text{(12)} \] yields
\[ \star A = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ w & * \end{pmatrix}, \]

and \( A^* = -A \) implies \( w = -\bar{w} \). From \( S^* = -S \) we know \( \bar{H} = -H \), and the backward Bäcklund transform \( h \) with \( dh = \frac{1}{2} - dH \) and suitable initial conditions is in \( \text{Im} \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^3 \).

To summarize

**Theorem 9 (Richter [9]).** Let \( \langle ., . \rangle \) be an indefinite hermitian product on \( \mathbb{H}^2 \). Then the isotropic lines form an \( S^3 \subset \mathbb{H}P^1 \), while the two complementary discs inherit complete hyperbolic metrics. Let \( L \) be a Willmore surface in \( S^3 \subset \mathbb{H}P^1 \). Then a suitable forward Bäcklund transform of \( L \) is hyperbolic minimal. Conversely, an immersed holomorphic curve that is hyperbolic minimal is Willmore, and a suitable backward Bäcklund transformation is a Willmore surface in \( S^3 \). (In both cases the Bäcklund transforms may have singularities.)

### 11 Spherical Willmore Surfaces in \( \mathbb{H}P^1 \)

In this section we sketch a proof of the following theorem of Montiel, which generalizes an earlier result of Bryant [1] for Willmore spheres in \( S^3 \).

**Theorem 10 (Montiel [3]).** A Willmore sphere in \( \mathbb{H}P^1 \) is a twistor projection of a holomorphic or anti-holomorphic curve in \( \mathbb{C}P^3 \), or, in suitable affine coordinates, corresponds to a minimal surface in \( \mathbb{R}^4 \).

The material differs from what we have treated so far: The theorem is global, and therefore requires global methods of proof. These are imported from complex function theory.

#### 11.1 Complex Line Bundles: Degree and Holomorphicity

Let \( E \) be a complex vector bundle. We keep the symbol \( J \in \text{End}(H) \) for the endomorphism given by multiplication with the imaginary unit \( i \).

We denote by \( \bar{E} \) the bundle where \( J \) is replaced by \(-J\). If \( \langle ., . \rangle \) is a hermitian metric on \( E \), then

\[ \bar{E} \to E^* = E^{-1}, \psi \to \langle \psi, . \rangle \]
is an isomorphism of complex vector bundles. Also note that for complex line bundles $E_1, E_2$ the bundle $\text{Hom}(E_1, E_2)$ is again a complex line bundle.

There is a powerful integer invariant for complex line bundles $E$ over a compact Riemann surface: the degree. It classifies these bundles up to isomorphism. Here are two equivalent definitions for the degree.

- Choose a hermitian metric $<.,.>$ and a compatible connection $\nabla$ on $E$. Then $< R(X,Y)\psi, \psi > = 0$ for the curvature tensor $R$ of $\nabla$. Therefore $R(X,Y) = -\omega(X,Y)J$ with a real 2-form $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$. Define

$$\deg(E) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_M \omega.$$ 

- Choose a section $\psi \in \Gamma(E)$ with isolated zeros. Then

$$\deg(E) := \text{ord } \phi := \sum_{\phi(p)=0} \text{ind}_p \phi.$$ 

The index of a zero $p$ of $\phi$ is defined using a local non-vanishing section $\psi$ and a holomorphic parameter $z$ for $M$ with $z(0) = p$. Then $\phi(z) = \psi(z)\lambda(z)$ for some complex function $\lambda : \mathbb{C} \subset U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with isolated zero at $0$, and

$$\text{ind}_p \phi = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{\lambda(z)},$$ 

where $\gamma$ is a small circle around 0.

We state fundamental properties of the degree. We have

$$\deg(\bar{E}) = \deg E^{-1} = -\deg E,$$

$$\deg \text{Hom}(E_1, E_2) = -\deg E_1 + \deg E_2.$$ 

More generally,

$$\deg(E_1 \otimes E_2) = \deg E_1 + \deg E_2.$$ 

**Example 20.** Let $M$ be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g$, and $E$ its tangent bundle, viewed as a complex line bundle. We compute its degree using the first definition. The curvature tensor of a surface with Riemannian metric $<.,.>$ is given by $R(X,Y) = K(<Y,.>X-<X,.>Y)$, where $K$ is the Gaussian curvature. Let $Z$ be a (local) unit vector field and $<.,>$ compatible with $J$. 
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Then
\[
\omega(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}_R R(X,Y)J
\]
\[
= K \left( <Y, JZ > <X, Z > - <X, JZ > <Y, Z > - <Y, Z > <X, JZ > + <X, Z > <Y, JZ > \right)
\]
\[
= K \det \begin{pmatrix} <X, Z > & <X, JZ > \\ <Y, Z > & <Y, JZ > \end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
= K dA(X,Y).
\]

We integrate this using Gauss-Bonnet, and find
\[
2\pi \chi(M) = 2\pi (2-2g) = 2\pi \deg(E).
\]

For the canonical bundle
\[
K := E^{-1} = \text{Hom}(TM, \mathbb{C}) = \{ \omega \in \text{Hom}_R(TM, \mathbb{C}) \mid \omega(JX) = i\omega(X) \}
\]
we therefore find
\[
\deg(K) = 2g - 2.
\]

**Definition.** Let $E$ be a complex vector bundle. A **holomorphic structure** for $E$ is a complex linear map a map $\bar{\partial}$ from the sections of $E$ into the $E$-valued complex anti-linear 1-forms $\bar{KE}$
\[
\bar{\partial} : \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(\bar{KE})
\]
satisfying
\[
\bar{\partial}(\lambda \psi) = (\bar{\partial}\psi)\lambda + \psi(\bar{\partial}\lambda).
\]

Here $\bar{\partial}\lambda := \frac{1}{2}(d\lambda + i \ast \!d\lambda)$. (Local) sections $\psi \in \Gamma(E|_U)$ are called **holomorphic**, if $\bar{\partial}\psi = 0$. We denote by $H^0(E|_U)$ the vector space of holomorphic sections over $U$.

If $E$ is a complex *line* bundle with holomorphic structure, and $\psi \in H^0(E) \setminus \{0\}$, then the zeros of $\psi$ are isolated and of positive index because holomorphic maps preserve orientation. In particular, if $M$ is compact and $\deg E < 0$, then any global holomorphic section in $E$ vanishes identically.

In the proof of the Montiel theorem we shall apply the concepts of degree and holomorphicity to several complex bundles obtained from quaternionic ones. We relate these concepts.

**Definition.** If $(L, J)$ is a complex *quaternionic* line bundle, then
\[
E_L := \{ \psi \in L \mid J\psi = \psi i \}
\]
is a complex line bundle. We define
\[
\deg L := \deg E_L.
\]
Lemma 15. If $L_1, L_2$ are complex quaternionic line bundles, and $E_i := E_{L_i}$, then

$$\text{Hom}_+(L_1, L_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_C(E_1, E_2)$$

$$B \mapsto B|_{E_1}$$

is an isomorphism of complex vector bundles. In particular

$$\deg \text{Hom}_+(L_1, L_2) = -\deg L_1 + \deg L_2.$$ 

The proof is straightforward. We now discuss one example in detail.

Example 21. We consider an immersed holomorphic curve

$$L \subset H = M \times \mathbb{H}^2$$

in $\mathbb{H}P^1$ with mean curvature sphere $S$. The bundle $K \text{End}_-(H)$ is a complex vector bundle, the complex structure being given by post-composition with $S$. For $B \in \Gamma(K \text{End}_-(H))$ we define

$$(\bar{\partial} X B)(Y)\psi = \bar{\partial} X (B(Y)\psi) - B(\bar{\partial} X Y)\psi - B(Y)\partial X \psi,$$

where

$$\bar{\partial} X Y := \frac{1}{2}([X, Y] + J[JX, Y]),$$

$$\bar{\partial} \psi = \frac{1}{2}(d + S \ast d)\psi, \quad \partial \psi = \frac{1}{2}(d - S \ast d)\psi$$

for $\psi \in \Gamma(H)$.

Direct computation shows that this is in fact a holomorphic structure, namely that induced on

$$K \text{End}_-(H) = K \text{Hom}_+(\bar{H}, H) = K \text{Hom}_C(\bar{H}, H)$$

by $\bar{\partial}$ on $TM$, and the above (quaternionic) holomorphic structures $\bar{\partial}$ on $H$ and $\partial$ on $\bar{H}$.

Lemma 16.

$$(d \ast A)(X, JX) = -2(\bar{\partial} X A)(X).$$

Proof. Let $X$ be a local holomorphic vector field, i.e. $[X, JX] = 0$, see Remark $\mathbb{R}$ and $\psi \in \Gamma(H)$. Then

$$(d \ast A)(X, JX)\psi = (-X \cdot A(X) - (JX) \cdot SA(X) - A([X, JX])\psi$$

$$= -d(A(X)\psi) + *d(SA(X)\psi))(X)$$

$$+ A(X)d\psi(X) + SA(X) \ast d\psi(X)$$

$$= -(d\phi + *d(S\phi))(X) + A(X)(d\psi - S \ast d\psi)(X).$$
Now
\[ d\phi + *d(S\phi) = (\partial + \bar{\partial} + A + Q)\phi + *(\partial + \bar{\partial} + A + Q)S\psi \]
\[ = (\partial + \bar{\partial} + A + Q)\phi + (S\partial - S\bar{\partial} + SA - SQ)S\psi \]
\[ = (\partial + \bar{\partial} + A + Q)\phi + (\bar{\partial} + \partial + A - Q)\psi \]
\[ = 2(\bar{\partial} + A)\phi \]
\[ = 2\bar{\partial}(A(X)\psi) + 2\bar{A}A(X)\psi. \]

Similarly
\[ d\psi - S * d\psi = (\partial + \bar{\partial} + A + Q)\psi - S * (\partial + \bar{\partial} + A + Q)\psi \]
\[ = (\partial + \bar{\partial} + A + Q)\psi - (S\partial - S\bar{\partial} + SA - SQ)\psi \]
\[ = (\partial + \bar{\partial} + A + Q)\psi - (\bar{\partial} + \partial - A + Q)\psi \]
\[ = 2(\partial + A)\psi. \]

Therefore
\[ (d * A)(X, JX)\psi = -2\partial_X(A(X)\psi) + 2A(X)^2\psi + 2A(X)\partial_X\psi + 2A(X)^2\psi \]
\[ = -2(\partial_X(A(X)\psi) - A(X)\partial_X\psi) \]
\[ = -2(\partial_X A)(X)\psi. \]

Now assume that \( L \) is Willmore, and therefore \( d * A = 0 \). This implies \( \bar{\partial}A = 0 \), and \( A \) is holomorphic:
\[ A \in H^0(K \text{End}_-(H)) = H^0(K \text{Hom}_+(\bar{H}, H)). \]

As a consequence, see Lemma 18, either \( A \equiv 0 \), or the zeros of \( A \) are isolated, and there exists a line bundle \( \tilde{L} \subset H \) such that \( \tilde{L} = \ker A \) away from the zeros of \( A \). For local \( \psi \in \Gamma(\tilde{L}) \) and holomorphic \( Y \in H^0(TM) \) we have
\[ \tilde{\partial}A(Y)\psi = \tilde{\partial}(A(Y)\psi) - A(Y)\partial\psi. \]

Therefore \( \tilde{L} \) is invariant under \( \partial \), like \( L \) is invariant under \( \bar{\partial} \), see Remark 4. As above, we get a holomorphic structure on the complex line bundle \( K \text{Hom}_+(\bar{H}/\tilde{L}, L) \) and \( A \) defines a holomorphic section of this bundle:
\[ A \in H^0(K \text{Hom}_+(\bar{H}/\tilde{L}, L)). \]
11.2 Spherical Willmore Surfaces

We turn to the

Proof of Theorem 10. If $A \equiv 0$ or $Q \equiv 0$, then $L$ is a twistor projection by Theorem 5.
Otherwise we have the line bundle $\tilde{L}$, and similarly a line bundle $\hat{L}$ that coincides with the image of $Q$ almost everywhere.

Proposition 20. We have the following holomorphic sections of complex holomorphic line bundles:

\[
A \in H^0(K \text{Hom}_+(\tilde{H}/\tilde{L}, L)), \quad Q \in H^0(K \text{Hom}_+(H/L, \tilde{L})), \\
\delta_L \in H^0(K \text{Hom}_+(L, H/L)), \quad AQ \in H^0(K^2 \text{Hom}_+(H/L, L))
\]

and if $AQ = 0$ then \(\delta_{\tilde{L}} \in H^0(K \text{Hom}_+(\tilde{L}, \tilde{H}/\tilde{L}))\).

We proved the statement about $A$. We give the (similar) proofs of the others in the appendix.

The degree formula then yields

\[
\text{ord} \delta_L = \deg K - \deg L + \deg H/L \\
\text{ord}(AQ) = 2 \deg K - \deg H/L + \deg L \\
= 3 \deg K - \text{ord} \delta_L \\
= 6(g-1) - \text{ord} \delta_L.
\]

For $M = S^2$, i.e. $g = 0$, we get $\text{ord}(AQ) < 0$, whence $AQ = 0$. Then $\tilde{L} = \hat{L}$, and

\[
\text{ord} A = \deg K + \deg H/\tilde{L} + \deg L \\
\text{ord} Q = \deg K - \deg H/L - \deg \hat{L} \\
\text{ord} \delta_{\hat{L}} = \deg K + \deg \hat{L} - \deg H/\hat{L}.
\]

Addition yields

\[
\text{ord} \delta_{\hat{L}} + \text{ord} Q + \text{ord} A = 3 \deg K - \deg H/L + \deg L \\
= 4 \deg K - \text{ord} \delta_{\hat{L}} = -8 - \text{ord} \delta_L.
\]

It follows that $\text{ord} \delta_{\hat{L}} < 0$, i.e. $\delta_{\hat{L}} = 0$, and $\tilde{L}$ is $d$-stable, hence constant in $H = M \times \mathbb{H}^2$. From $AS = -SA = 0$ we conclude $S\tilde{L} = \tilde{L}$. Therefore all mean curvature spheres of $L$ pass through the fixed point $\tilde{L}$. Choosing affine coordinates with $\tilde{L} = \infty$, all mean curvature spheres are affine planes, and $L$ corresponds to a minimal surface in $\mathbb{R}^4$. \qed
12 Appendix

12.1 The bundle $\tilde{L}$

**Lemma 17.** If $L$ is is an immersed holomorphic curve in $\mathbb{H}P^1$ with $d \ast dS = 0$ then

$$A|_L = 0 \iff A = 0.$$ 

**Proof.** $0 = d \ast dS = 2d(A - Q)$ implies

$$dA = \frac{1}{2}d(A + Q) = Q \wedge Q + A \wedge A,$$

see Lemma \[1\]. Since $Q|_L = 0$, the assumption $A|_L = 0$ implies $dA|_L = 0$. Then for $\psi \in \Gamma(L)$

$$0 = d(A\psi) = (dA)\psi - A \wedge d\psi = -A \wedge d\psi.$$ 

Since $A|_L = 0$, this implies

$$0 = A \wedge \delta = A \ast \delta - \ast A\delta = -2SA\delta.$$ 

But $L$ is an immersion. Therefore $A|_L = 0 = A\delta$ implies $A = 0$. The converse is obvious. $\square$

**Lemma 18.** Given a holomorphic section $T \in H^0(\text{Hom}(V,W))$, where $V,W$ are holomorphic complex vector bundles, there exist holomorphic subbundles $V_0 \subset V$, $\hat{W} \subset W$

such that $V_0 = \ker T$ and $\hat{W} = \text{image} T$ away from a discrete subset.

**Proof.** Let $r := \max\{\text{rank} T_p | p \in M\}$ and $G := \{p | \text{rank} T_p = r\}$. This is an open subset of $M$. Let $p_0$ be a boundary point of $G$, an let $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n$ be holomorphic sections of $V$ on a neighborhood $U$ of $p_0$. By a change of indices we may assume that $T\psi_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge T\psi_r \neq 0$. But this is a holomorphic section of the holomorphic bundle $\Lambda^r W|_U$, and hence has isolated zeros, because $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = 1$. We assume that $p_0$ is its only zero within $U$. Moreover, there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$, a holomorphic coordinate $z$ centered at $p_0$, and a holomorphic section $\sigma \in H^0(\Lambda^r W|_U)$ such that

$$T\psi_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge T\psi_r = z^k \sigma.$$ 

Off $p_0$ the section $\sigma$ is decomposable, and since the Grassmannian $G_r(W)$ is closed in $\Lambda^r(W)$, it defines a section of $G_r(W)$, i.e. an $r$-dimensional subbundle of $W|_U$ extending image $T|_{U \setminus p_0}$. The statement about the kernel follows easily using the fact that $\ker T$ is the annihilator of image $T^*: W^* \rightarrow V^*$. $\square$
Proposition 21. Let $L$ be a (connected) Willmore surface in $\mathbb{H}P^1$, and $A \neq 0$. Then there exists a unique line bundle $\tilde{L} \subset H$ such that on an open dense subset of $M$ we have:

$$\tilde{L} = \ker A \text{ and } H = L \oplus \tilde{L}.$$ 

Proof. $A \in \Gamma(K \text{End}_-(H))$ is a holomorphic section by Example 21. By Lemma 18 there exists a line bundle $\tilde{L}$ such that $\tilde{L} = \ker A$ off a discrete set. Assume now that $H|_U \neq L \oplus \tilde{L}$ on an open non-empty set $U \subset M$. Then $L = \tilde{L}$, and $A|_L = 0$ on $U$. But then $A|_U = 0$ by Lemma 17. This is a contradiction, because the zeros of $A$ are isolated. \hfill \Box

12.2 Holomorphicity and the Montiel theorem

In this section $L$ denotes an immersed holomorphic curve in $\mathbb{H}P^1$.

Remark 9 (Holomorphic Vector Fields). The tangent bundle of a Riemann surface viewed as complex line bundle carries a holomorphic structure:

$$\bar{\partial}_X Y = \frac{1}{2}([X,Y] + J[JX,Y]).$$

Note that this is tensorial in $X$. The vanishing of the Nijenhuis tensor implies $\bar{\partial}J = 0$. A vector field $Y$ is called holomorphic if $\bar{\partial}Y = 0$. This is equivalent with $\bar{\partial}_Y Y = 0 = \bar{\partial}J_Y Y$, but either of these conditions simply says

$$[Y,JY] = 0.$$ 

Any constant vector field in $\mathbb{C}$ is therefore holomorphic, and a given tangent vector to a Riemann surface can always be extended to a holomorphic vector field.

Proposition 22. Let $L$ be a Willmore surface in $\mathbb{H}P^1$. We have the following holomorphic sections of complex holomorphic line bundles:

$$A \in H^0(K \text{Hom}_+({\tilde{H}}/{\tilde{L}}, L)), \quad Q \in H^0(K \text{Hom}_+({H}/{L}, \tilde{L})), \quad \delta_L \in H^0(K \text{Hom}_+({L}/{H}, {L})), \quad AQ \in H^0(K^2 \text{Hom}_+({H}/{L}, {L})), \quad \text{and if } AQ = 0 \text{ then } \delta_L \in H^0(K \text{Hom}_+({\tilde{L}}/{\tilde{H}}, {L})).$$

For the proof we need

Lemma 19. The curvature tensor of the connection $\partial + \bar{\partial}$ on $H$ is given by

$$R^{\partial + \bar{\partial}} = -(A \wedge A + Q \wedge Q), \quad (12.1)$$ 

and for a holomorphic vector field $Z$ we have

$$R^{\partial + \bar{\partial}}(Z, JZ) = 2S(\bar{\partial}Z \bar{\partial}Z - \partial Z \bar{\partial}Z). \quad (12.2)$$
Proof. In general, if $\nabla$ and $\tilde{\nabla} = \nabla + \omega$ are two connections, then

$$R^{\tilde{\nabla}} = R^{\nabla} + d^{\nabla} \omega + \omega \wedge \omega.$$ 

We apply this to $\tilde{\nabla} = \partial + \bar{\partial} = d - (A + Q)$ and use Lemma 4:

$$R^{\partial + \bar{\partial}} = R^{d} - d(A + Q) + (A + Q) \wedge (A + Q)$$
$$= -2(A \wedge A + Q \wedge Q) + (A \wedge A + Q \wedge Q)$$
$$= -(A \wedge A + Q \wedge Q).$$

Equation (12.2) follows from

$$R^{\partial + \bar{\partial}}(Z, JZ) = (\partial Z + \bar{\partial} Z)(\partial_{1Z} + \bar{\partial}_{1Z}) - (\partial_{1Z} + \bar{\partial}_{1Z})(\partial Z + \bar{\partial} Z)$$
$$= S(\partial Z + \bar{\partial} Z)(\partial Z - \bar{\partial} Z) - S(\partial Z - \bar{\partial} Z)(\partial Z + \bar{\partial} Z)$$
$$= 2S(-\partial Z \bar{\partial}_{1Z} + \bar{\partial} Z \partial Z),$$

because $\bar{\partial}^2_{Z} = 0 = \partial^2_{Z}$.

Proof of the proposition. The holomorphicity of $A$ was shown in example 21, and that of $Q$ can be shown in complete analogy.

$(H, S)$ is a holomorphic complex quaternionic vector bundle, and $L$ is a holomorphic subbundle, see Remark 4. Therefore $L$ and $H/L$ are holomorphic complex quaternionic line bundles, and the complex line bundle $K_{\text{Hom}^+(L, E/L)}$ inherits a holomorphic structure. Then, for local holomorphic sections $\psi$ in $L$ and $Z$ in $TM$,

$$(\bar{\partial}_{Z} \delta_{L})(Z)\psi = \bar{\partial}_{Z}(\delta_{L}(Z)\psi) - \delta_{L}(\bar{\partial}_{Z}Z)\psi - \delta_{L}(Z)(\bar{\partial}_{Z}\psi)$$
$$= \bar{\partial}_{Z}(\delta_{L}(Z)\psi) = \bar{\partial}_{Z}(\pi_{L}d\psi(Z))$$
$$= \pi_{L} \bar{\partial}_{Z}(d\psi(Z)) = \pi_{L} \bar{\partial}_{Z}(\partial_{Z}\psi).$$

By (12.1) and (12.2) we have

$$\bar{\partial}_{Z} \partial_{Z} \psi = \partial_{Z} \bar{\partial}_{Z} \psi - \frac{1}{2} R^{\partial + \bar{\partial}}(Z, JZ)\psi,$$

hence

$$(\bar{\partial}_{Z} \delta_{L})(Z) = 0.$$

Then also

$$(\bar{\partial}_{JZ} \delta_{L})(Z) = S(\bar{\partial}_{Z} \delta_{L})(Z) = 0,$$

and therefore $\bar{\partial} \delta_{L} = 0.$
To prove the holomorphicity of $AQ \in \Gamma(K^2 \text{Hom}(H/L, \tilde{L}))$, we first note that

$$K^2 \text{Hom}(H/L, \tilde{L}) = \text{Hom}_\mathbb{C}(TM, \text{Hom}_\mathbb{C}(TM, \text{Hom}_+(H/L, \tilde{L})))$$

carries a natural holomorphic structure. The rest follows from the holomorphicity of $A, Q$, and the product rule.

Finally we interpret $\delta_{\tilde{L}}$ as a section in $K \text{Hom}_+(\tilde{L}, \tilde{H}/\tilde{L})$. Note that the holomorphic structure on $\tilde{H}$ is given by $\partial$. From the holomorphicity of $A$ we find, for $\phi \in \Gamma(\tilde{L})$,

$$0 = (\bar{\partial}A)\phi = \bar{\partial}(A\phi) + A\partial \phi.$$

This shows that $\tilde{L}$ is $\partial$-invariant. Moreover, it is obviously invariant under $A$ and, as a consequence of $AQ = 0$, also under $Q$. From Lemma 19 it follows that $\tilde{L}$ is invariant under $R^{\partial+\tilde{\partial}}$, and that for a local holomorphic vector field $Z$ and a local holomorphic section $\phi$ of $\tilde{L}$,

$$\partial Z \bar{\partial} Z \phi = \bar{\partial} Z \partial Z \phi + \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{SR^{\partial+\tilde{\partial}}(Z, JZ)}_{\in \tilde{L}} \psi.$$

Then

$$(\bar{\partial} Z \delta_{\tilde{L}})(Z)\phi = \partial(\delta_{\tilde{L}}(Z)\phi) - \delta_{\tilde{L}}(\bar{\partial} Z Z)\phi - \delta_{\tilde{L}}(Z)\partial Z \phi$$

$$= \partial Z(\delta_{\tilde{L}}(Z)\phi) = \partial Z(\pi_L d\phi(Z)) = \pi_{\tilde{L}} \partial Z(\phi(Z))$$

$$= \pi_{\tilde{L}} \partial Z \bar{\partial} Z \phi = 0.$$

\[\square\]

13 Epilogue

In the presentation of the material given in this course, I strictly focused on surfaces in $\mathbb{H}P^1$, though many concepts may also be considered for surfaces in $\mathbb{H}P^n$ or even for more general situations. A significant difference in higher codimensions is the lack of a unique mean curvature sphere congruence as given by Theorem 2. As a consequence, the bundle $L$ will not carry a natural holomorphic structure. But $L^{-1}$ will: see Theorem 1.

The global theory of holomorphic sections and degree theory for complex quaternionic line bundles is under construction, see e.g. [7]. There one finds a lower bound for the Willmore functional:

$$W(L) \geq -d + \text{ord} \psi.$$
for a nontrivial section $\psi \in H^0(L^{-1})$. Here $d := \deg(L^{-1})$ is the degree of $L^{-1}$. In \textsuperscript{8} a stronger inequality will be shown under certain non-degeneracy assumptions:

$$W(L) \geq h^0(h^0 - d - 1)$$

where $h^0 := \dim H^0(L^{-1})$.

Another topic addressed in \textsuperscript{7} is that of holomorphic structures on paired complex quaternionic line bundles and generalized Weierstrass representations. Let $L$ be a complex quaternionic line bundle with holomorphic structure $D$. Then $KL^{-1}$ carries a unique holomorphic structure $\tilde{D}$ such that, as quadratic forms,

$$d < \alpha, \psi > = < \tilde{D}\alpha, J\psi > - < J\alpha, D\psi >$$

for $\alpha \in \Gamma(KL^{-1}), \psi \in \Gamma(L)$. In this situation, the Riemann-Roch Theorem,

$$\dim H^0(L) - \dim H^0(KL^{-1}) = \deg(L) - g + 1,$$

holds on compact Riemann surfaces.

Given holomorphic sections $\alpha \in H^0(KL^{-1}), \psi \in H^0(L)$ there exists a local $f : M \to \mathbb{H}$ such that $df = < \alpha, \psi >$ and $f$ is conformal with right normal given by $J\psi = -\psi R$. Conversely, any conformal $f$ can be obtained in this way: Put $L := M \times \mathbb{H}, J\psi := -\psi R$, and $D1 := 0$. Then $df = < \alpha, 1 >$ determines a holomorphic section of $KL^{-1}$.

Besides Willmore surfaces, the family of isothermic surfaces fits perfectly into the present frame. Classically, in $\mathbb{R}^3$, they are defined by the property of carrying conformal curvature line coordinates, or, equivalently, by the fact that their mean curvature sphere congruence touches a second enveloping surface conformally related to, but with opposite orientation from the original one. Examples are Willmore surfaces or constant mean curvature surfaces in 3-space. Isothermic surfaces have been also defined in 4-space, see \textsuperscript{8}. In our setting, we call $f : M \to \mathbb{H}P^1$ isothermic, if there exists a second surface $g : M \to \mathbb{H}P^1$ such that $df \wedge dg = 0 = dg \wedge df$. There is a quite satisfactory generalization of the classical Darboux transformation theory for these surfaces, see \textsuperscript{8}.

References

[1] Bryant, Robert. A duality theorem for Willmore surfaces. J. Differential Geom. 20, 23-53 (1984)

[2] Ejiri, Norio. Willmore Surfaces with a Duality in $S^N(1)$. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., III Ser. 57, No.2, 383-416 (1988)

[3] Friedrich, Thomas. On Superminimal Surfaces. Archivum math. 33, 41-56 (1997)
[4] Hertrich-Jeromin, Udo; Pedit, Franz. Remarks on Darboux Transforms of Isothermic Surfaces. Doc. Math. J. 2, 313 - 333 (1997).
(www.mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de/documenta/vol-02/vol-02.html)

[5] Kulkarni, Ravi; Pinkall, Ulrich (Eds.). Conformal Geometry. Vieweg, Braunschweig 1988

[6] Montiel, Sebastián. Spherical Willmore Surfaces in the Four-Sphere. Preprint 1998

[7] Pedit, Franz; Pinkall, Ulrich. Quaternionic analysis on Riemann surfaces and differential geometry. Doc. Math. J. DMV, Extra Volume ICM 1998, Vol. II, 389-400.
(www.mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de/documenta/xvol-icm/05/05.html)

[8] Pedit, Franz; Pinkall, Ulrich; et al. Quaternionic Holomorphic Geometry and Willmore surfaces in $S^4$. To appear.
(Check www-sfb288.math.tu-berlin.de/Publications/Preprints.html)

[9] Richter, Jörg. Conformal Maps of a Riemannian Surface into the Space of Quaternions. Dissertation, Berlin 1997

[10] Rigoli, Marco. The conformal Gauss map of Submanifolds of the Moebius Space. Ann. Global Anal. Geom 5, No.2, 97-116 (1987)

[11] Tenenblatt, Keti. Transformations of Manifolds and Applications to Differential Equations. Chapman& Hall/CRC Press 1998

Francis E. Burstall
School of Mathematical Sciences
University of Bath
GB-BATH BA2 7AY

Franz Pedit
Department of Mathematics
University of Massachusetts
Amherst, MA 01003, USA

Dirk Ferus, Katrin Leschke, Ulrich Pinkall
Fachbereich Mathematik
Technische Universität Berlin
Str. des 17. Juni 135
D-10623 Berlin

f.e.burstall@maths.bath.ac.uk
ferus@math.tu-berlin.de
leschke@math.tu-berlin.de
pedit@gang.umass.edu
pinkall@math.tu-berlin.de