Recent studies have examined perceived levels of narcissism as rated by Americans and non-Americans and found support for early assumptions that American culture is perceived as narcissistic (Miller et al., 2015; Wolfe, 1976). However, specific reasons for this phenomenon remain unclear. The aim of the current study was to test if proximal, salient exposure to narcissistic exemplars (i.e., celebrities) acts as one mechanism that explains this perception. Participants (N = 300) were randomly assigned to exposure to either celebrity magazines or neutral magazines conditions, and subsequently asked to provide American PNC ratings. No hypothesized differences by condition were found in terms of narcissism or FFM profile; however, American PNCs were rated as pathologically narcissistic in both conditions.
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Although theorists have characterized American culture as narcissistic for nearly 40 years (e.g., Lasch, 1979; Twenge & Campbell, 2009; Wolfe, 1976), this assertion has only recently received empirical examination. Researchers have begun to study perception of national character (PNC) ratings, or beliefs about collective features of members within a cultural group, to investigate perceived differences across cultures. To investigate global PNC of Americans, Terracciano and McCrae (2007) surveyed individuals from 49 countries and gathered ratings of “Americans in general” on the Five Factor Model of personality (FFM). Findings suggested that the average American was regarded as particularly assertive, arrogant, and noncompliant. More recently, Campbell, Miller, and Buffardi (2010) used measures of narcissism in addition to the FFM to examine PNC ratings of Americans by American young adults. They also compared the personality profiles obtained by Terracciano and McCrae (2007) to expert-rated and meta-analytically derived profiles of narcissistic personality disorder (NPD). As hypothesized, American PNC ratings converged strongly with both expert-rated and meta-analytic NPD profiles, suggesting that Americans were perceived as pathologically narcissistic. In terms of FFM traits, the authors conclude, “we see ourselves, others, and our culture as characterized by higher levels of extraversion; these ratings differ, however, with regard to agreeableness, where we see our culture as being less agreeable than we see ourselves and our close acquaintances” (p. 227).

More recently, Miller et al. (2015) conducted an extensive investigation of the magnitude, nature, and generalizability of PNC ratings of Americans by both Americans and non-Americans alike. The authors replicated previous findings about PNCs of American narcissism in a community sample of older adults, and found that in addition to pathological personality traits, the typical American is thought to demonstrate a remarkably high level of antisocial behavior (e.g. committing five or more criminal acts in the past 12 months). Importantly, subsequent results suggest that PNC ratings differed by the exemplar being rated, such that actors/actresses, politicians, and athletes were rated as substantially more narcissistic than teachers, health care workers, and wait staff.

To examine cross-cultural generalizability, the authors asked individuals from Spain, China, Turkey, and the U.K to provide ratings of narcissism for the typical member of their cultural group as well as Americans in general. The authors also gathered ratings of perceived Avoidant Personality Disorder (AVPD) to test if members of any cultures are perceived as broadly disordered. Across all countries, self-ratings of narcissism tended to be lower than acquaintance-ratings, each of which were lower than ratings of the average citizen. However, Americans were rated as having substantially higher levels of trait narcissism than the typical member of any other country, and higher PNC NPD ratings than any other cultural exemplar besides China. Additionally, Americans were rated as highly antagonistic (i.e., callous, dishonest, self-centered) and extraverted, consistent with the general profile associated with narcissism and NPD. Findings also suggest that members of other cultures do not perceive American culture as not broadly disordered, but rather their perceptions seem relatively specific to narcissism.
Despite the evidence for narcissistic PNC’s in the US, two issues remain. There is, debate regarding the validity of PNC ratings. Terracciano and colleagues (2005) pos-
ted that PNCs should be interpreted as, ‘social construc-
tions . . . perpetuated by information processing biases in
attention/perception, encoding, and integrating informa-
tion [that] become cultural phenomena, transmitted
through media, hearsay, education, history, and jokes’
(p. 99). Conversely, Heine and colleagues (2008) investi-
gated the validity of PNC ratings and found that they were
superior predictors of objective markers of conscientious-
ness than aggregated self-report data. This suggests PNC
ratings can provide meaningful information, despite a
lack of convergence with self and informant report data at
the individual level (Terracciano et al., 2005).

Second, it remains unclear what drives the perception of
Americans as highly narcissistic. One possibility is that sali-
et American icons, such as celebrities, may have more nar-
cissistic features and behave in seemingly self-promotional
and self-enhancing ways (Young & Pinsky, 2006). Consistent
with the availability heuristic, notable exemplars may influ-
ence and elevate perceptions of narcissism at the cultural
level, even though perceptions may not be consistent at the
individual level (Campbell et al., 2010). Thus, the goal of the
current study is to explore this exposure as one potential
mechanism to explain why individuals perceive Americans
as particularly narcissistic.

To this end, we examined whether experimentally
manipulated exposure to celebrity culture via popular
magazines would result in higher PNC ratings of American
narcissism. We hypothesized that individuals exposed to
celebrity-based magazines would rate the average
American as higher on measures of trait narcissism and
DSM-5 based measures of narcissism (NPD) than those
exposed to a consumer report and scientific magazine.
Additionally, we hypothesized that individuals in the
celebrity-based magazine condition would rate the typical
American as more extraverted and disagreeable. No differ-
ences in ratings of other personality pathology (i.e., AVPD)
by condition were expected.

Method
Power Analysis and Preregistration
An a priori power analysis was conducted to ensure an
adequate sample size using R software’s “pwr” package
(Champely, 2015). We estimated a medium effect size of
Cohen’s d = 0.5, a conservative estimate based on the find-
ing from Miller et al. (2015) that high visibility exemplars
(e.g., actors/actresses) were rated as significantly more
narcissistic (d = 1.03) than low visibility exemplars (e.g.,
teachers). Analyses indicate that for an independent sam-
dary t-test with power of 0.9 to find a medium effect at a
significance level of .05, 86 participants were needed in
each condition, suggesting that this study (N = 300) was
sufficiently powered for a medium effect.

In the interest of transparency, we preregistered our
hypotheses, experimental procedures (including meas-
ures), and our analytic strategy online on the Open Science
Framework under the project title “Mechanisms Belying
Perceptions of American Narcissism” (https://osf.io/t93cw/).

Of note, we pre-registered that we intended to perform
sequential analysis as recommended by Lakens (2014) on
our data after our first session of running participants (antic-
ipated N = 200) to test for a significant effect with an appro-
riately adjusted alpha value. We underestimated the flow
of recruitment, and we gathered 300 participants before
cessing data collection. After performing our first analyses
(N = 300), we decided to terminate data collection based on
a sufficient degree of confidence in our results, as suggested
by Lakens.

Participants and Procedure
Participants were recruited from a large, Southeastern U.S.
university research participant pool and received course
credit in exchange for their participation. To disguise the
purpose of the study, participants were told the investi-
gation concerned the relationship between personality
and memory interference. Upon entering the lab, particip-
ants were administered informed consent and randomly
assigned to either the neutral or celebrity magazine con-
dition and seated on pre-designated sides of the room.
After completing a demographics form, closed binders
containing two magazines were passed out to each par-
ticipant. Participants in the celebrity magazine condition
were given recent issues of Lifestyle and People Magazine,
whereas participants in the neutral magazine were given
recent issues of Consumer Reports and Scientific American.
All participants were given 15 minutes to review the mag-
azines in preparation for a quiz about their content. After
the exposure, the magazines were collected, and particip-
ants completed the “memory interference task,”
which consisted of the PNC questionnaires. Lastly, participants
were administered a manipulation check disguised as
a memory test to assess whether participants had paid
attention to the magazines. IRB approval was obtained for
all aspects of this study.

Measures
Five Factor Model Rating Form (FFMRF; Mullins-Sweat,
Jamerson, Samuel, Olson, & Widiger, 2006). The FFMRF
is a 30-item rating for assessing the five domains and 30
facets of the FFM. Each facet is assessed with a single item
(1 = extremely low, 5 = extremely high). Domain scores
are simply the average of the six facets that comprise
each domain. Internal consistencies for the PNC ratings
for Neuroticism, Extraversion, Openness, Agreeableness,
and Conscientiousness were α = .57, .62, .57, .72, and .74,
respectively.

Narcissistic Personality Inventory–13 (NPI-13; Gentile et al.,
2013). U.S. PNC ratings of narcissism were
based on a 13-item, forced choice version of the NPI,
which provides both a total score (α = .87) and three
subscale scores: Leadership/Authority (4 items; α = .75),
Grandiose Exhibitionism (5 items; α = .80), and
Entitlement/Exploitativeness (4 items; α = .75).

Structured Clinical Interview for DSM–IV Personality Disorders–Personality Questionnaire
(SCID-II P/Q; First, Gibbon, Spitzer, Williams, & Benjamin,
1997). The SCID-II P/Q is a self-report measure of person-
ality psychopathology found in the DSM-IV and DSM-5.
Responses are in a dichotomous, “yes” or “no” format. In the present investigation, only items NPD (SCID-II P/Q: 17 items) and AVPD (SCID-II P/Q: 7 items) scales were used. Alphas for PNC ratings of NPD and AVPD were .85 and .57, respectively.

Manipulation Check. A 10-item self-report manipulation check (disguised as a memory task) was used to simultaneously maintain the cover story of the study and to assess attention to the magazines. The items were a combination of multiple choice and True/False items, which were balanced across condition.

Results
Experimental Results
Results of the manipulation check indicate that on average, participants scored substantially above chance in both the celebrity magazine (M = 6.8/10, SD = 1.33) and the neutral magazine condition (M = 7.1/10, SD = 1.52; Cohen’s d of comparison between conditions = −.21 [95% CI: −.44 to .02], p = .08), which was consistent with pilot testing. This suggests that participants were comparably attentive to the stimuli between conditions. Ten participants were excluded because their scores were at or below chance levels, which suggests that they may not have paid sufficient attention to the magazines. This resulted in N = 146 in the celebrity magazine condition and N = 144 in the neutral magazine condition. Of note, the pattern of findings was virtually identical before and after exclusion.

A series of independent sample t-tests were run to compare the two conditions with regard to mean scores on the PNC ratings of the NPI-13 total score and 3 factor scores (Grandiose Exhibitionism, Leadership/Authority, Exploitativeness/Entitlement), SCID-II NPD and avoidant personality disorder, as well as the five domains and 30 facets of the FFM. Descriptive statistics for all scales as well as t-scores, p-values, and Cohen’s d for the mean comparisons are listed in Tables 1 and 2.

Contrary to hypotheses, the results indicate no differences in terms of mean ratings for NPI-13 total score or any of the 3 factor scores, NPD, or AVPD. In terms of FFM domains, only Openness evinced a significant difference, with participants in the celebrity magazine condition rating Americans in general as higher in trait Openness. Participants in the celebrity magazine also rated Americans in general as higher in Gregariousness, Fantasy, and Values, and lower in Deliberation, although the effect sizes were small in nature.

Discussion
Interests in differences among cultures are long-standing, but recent studies have afforded new insights into how individuals are perceived by others both inside and outside of their home country. Though some studies have cast doubt on the accuracy of PNC rating as they relate to organic differences, differences in perception of foreign cultures have been empirically demonstrated, and they have been found to influence behavior in meaningful ways (Shteynberg, Gefand, & Kim, 2009). Thus, it is of both theoretical and practical interest to explore the underpinnings of these phenomena. Research has consistently identified that both Americans and non-Americans alike view the typical American to be very high in trait narcissism and Extraversion, and low in Agreeableness. In fact, both American and non-American participants in several previous samples rate the average American as meeting diagnostic criteria for an NPD diagnosis under DSM-5 (Miller et al., 2015), despite the fact that this tends to be a relatively infrequent diagnosis. Despite the pervasiveness of this distorted perception of Americans’ national character, the particular mechanisms by which this view is developed and maintained are unknown.

The current study used an experimental design to investigate a potential mechanism by exposing participants to either a set of celebrity magazines or neutral magazines and subsequently gathering PNC ratings of American narcissism and FFM personality. It was hypothesized that participants in the celebrity-magazine condition would give higher PNC ratings of American narcissism, lower ratings of Agreeableness, and higher ratings of Extraversion than participants in the neutral magazine condition. In line with the availability heuristic, we expected that proximal exposure to American actors/actresses, athletes, and politicians (groups who

|                | Neutral Magazine Condition | Celebrity Magazine Condition | t-score of difference | p-value | Cohen’s d |
|----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---------|-----------|
| NPI-13 total   | M  7.81 SD 4.07            | M  7.54 SD 4.01             | .56                   | .58     | .06       |
| LA             | 2.59 1.45                  | 2.42 1.43                   | .98                   | .32     | .12       |
| GE             | 3.00 1.87                  | 2.93 1.79                   | .28                   | .77     | .04       |
| EE             | 2.22 1.50                  | 2.18 1.50                   | .21                   | .83     | .03       |
| NPD total      | 9.69 4.30                  | 10.28 4.26                  | −1.18                 | .24     | −.13      |
| AVPD total     | 3.71 1.84                  | 3.58 1.75                   | .63                   | .53     | .07       |

Table 1: Descriptive statistics and comparison values of narcissism and AVPD measures.

Note: NPI-13 score out of 13; LA = Leadership/Authority, score out of 4; GE = Grandiose Exhibitionism, score out of 5; EE = Entitlement/Exploitativeness, score out of 4. NPD = Narcissistic Personality Disorder; AVPD = Avoidant Personality Disorder, NPD score out of 17; AVPD score out of 7.
Table 2: Descriptive statistics and comparison values of FFM domains and facets.

| Domain          | Neutral Magazine Condition M | Neutral Magazine Condition SD | Celebrity Magazine Condition M | Celebrity Magazine Condition SD | t-score of difference | p-value | Cohen's d |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|-----------|
| Neuroticism     | 3.30                        | .58                           | 3.30                           | .54                           | -.09                  | .92     | .00       |
| Anxiety         | 3.50                        | .80                           | 3.34                           | .85                           | 1.62                  | .11     | .19       |
| Hostility       | 3.50                        | .91                           | 3.51                           | .83                           | -.13                  | .89     | -.01      |
| Depression      | 3.11                        | .93                           | 3.15                           | .87                           | -.38                  | .71     | -.04      |
| Self-Consciousness | 3.10                      | 1.15                          | 3.08                           | 1.23                          | .21                   | .84     | .02       |
| Impulsiveness   | 3.66                        | .85                           | 3.85                           | .97                           | -.17                  | .08     | -.21      |
| Vulnerability   | 2.92                        | .90                           | 2.90                           | .93                           | .18                   | .86     | .02       |
| Extraversion    | 3.56                        | .52                           | 3.61                           | .52                           | -.60                  | .58     | -.10      |
| Warmth          | 3.15                        | .82                           | 3.04                           | .89                           | 1.11                  | .27     | .13       |
| Gregariousness  | 3.80                        | .88                           | 4.05                           | .84                           | -2.54                 | .01     | -.29      |
| Assertiveness   | 3.88                        | .90                           | 3.95                           | .86                           | -.68                  | .50     | -.08      |
| Activity        | 3.42                        | 1.02                          | 3.37                           | 1.08                          | .44                   | .66     | .05       |
| Excitement–Seeking | 3.69                     | .83                           | 3.78                           | .78                           | -.92                  | .36     | -.11      |
| Positive Emotions | 3.50                      | .74                           | 3.47                           | .82                           | .30                   | .77     | -.08      |
| Openness        | 3.14                        | .54                           | 3.32                           | .58                           | -2.65                 | .01     | -.32      |
| Fantasy         | 3.24                        | 1.00                          | 3.59                           | .97                           | -3.05                 | < .01   | -.36      |
| Aesthetics      | 3.52                        | .85                           | 3.60                           | .91                           | -.73                  | .47     | -.09      |
| Feelings        | 3.36                        | .99                           | 3.36                           | 1.06                          | -.02                  | .99     | .00       |
| Actions         | 2.61                        | 1.10                          | 2.86                           | 1.05                          | -1.94                 | .05     | -.23      |
| Ideas           | 3.26                        | .97                           | 3.36                           | .89                           | -.97                  | .33     | -.11      |
| Values          | 2.88                        | 1.04                          | 3.14                           | 1.13                          | -2.05                 | .04     | -.24      |
| Agreeableness   | 2.73                        | .58                           | 2.66                           | .61                           | 1.01                  | .31     | .12       |
| Trust           | 2.74                        | 1.05                          | 2.79                           | 1.05                          | -.42                  | .68     | -.04      |
| Straightforwardness | 2.90                      | .95                           | 2.73                           | 1.03                          | 1.46                  | .15     | .17       |
| Altruism        | 2.60                        | .99                           | 2.45                           | 1.02                          | 1.23                  | .22     | .15       |
| Compliance      | 2.78                        | .84                           | 2.78                           | .84                           | -.03                  | .98     | .00       |
| Modesty         | 2.40                        | .82                           | 2.31                           | .88                           | .94                   | .35     | .11       |
| Tender–mindedness | 2.96                      | .80                           | 2.89                           | .78                           | .73                   | .47     | .09       |
| Conscientiousness | 3.32                      | .59                           | 3.22                           | .56                           | 1.39                  | .17     | .17       |
| Competence      | 3.33                        | .95                           | 3.37                           | .85                           | -.35                  | .73     | -.04      |
| Order           | 3.31                        | .86                           | 3.17                           | .83                           | 1.36                  | .18     | .17       |
| Dutifulness     | 3.29                        | .82                           | 3.10                           | .90                           | 1.87                  | .06     | .20       |
| Achievement Strive | 3.78                     | .92                           | 3.81                           | .96                           | -.21                  | .83     | -.03      |
| Self–Discipline | 3.31                        | .87                           | 3.20                           | .91                           | 1.09                  | .28     | .12       |
| Deliberation    | 2.88                        | .77                           | 2.70                           | .80                           | 1.99                  | .05     | .23       |

Note. Domain scores represent the average score across facets.

are considered particularly narcissistic and featured prominently in the experimental stimuli) would result in amplified PNC ratings of American narcissism. This pattern of results would support the view that PNC ratings of Americans are, in part, driven by the visibility of narcissistic exemplars. However, contrary to hypotheses, participants in the celebrity magazine condition did not provide higher PNC ratings of American narcissism than participants in the neutral magazine condition. No differences were found in Agreeableness and Extraversion by
condition either, which are believed to be the core personality domains that underlie narcissism/NPD (Miller, Lynam, Hyatt, & Campbell, 2017; Paulhus, 2001).

Although these results do not support our hypotheses, several comments are warranted. First, participants in both conditions provided high PNC ratings of American narcissism/NPD and an FFM profile that were consistent with prior literature, thus replicating previous work as to the evaluation of the “typical” American. Indeed, participants in both conditions rated the typical American as pathologically narcissistic on the NPD measure, exceeding the diagnostic cut-off score by approximately 100%. This is particularly noteworthy considering the low base rate of this disorder (point prevalence ~1%; Torgersen, 2013). In fact, the lack of differences between the groups may be due, in part, to somewhat of a ceiling effect, in that both groups provided PNC ratings of Americans’ narcissism that were relatively extreme (i.e., endorsing half or more of the narcissism items as being representative of Americans). It may be difficult to experimentally “budge” these ratings given that participants come to these sessions with strong, a priori evaluations of Americans’ narcissism. However, it should be noted that while participants endorsed that Americans were very narcissistic, this ceiling effect is not a product of the measures themselves. In other words, participants did not rate Americans as uniformly narcissistic, as they did not endorse every item on measures of narcissism on behalf of Americans in general. Thus, the extent to which a ceiling effect exists remains unclear.

Additionally, while no hypothesized group differences were found in terms of FFM traits, the pattern of results suggests that Americans were perceived as relatively low on Agreeableness and high on Extraversion, which is consistent with prior literature and the FFM profile of NPD (Lynam & Widiger, 2001). Finally, in line with previous findings, participants across conditions did not rate the PNC of Americans as broadly disordered, as evidenced by comparable, sub-threshold PNC ratings of AVPD. This lends support to the notion that the narcissistic profile may be uniquely descriptive of PNC ratings of Americans.

**Limitations**

Several limitations are of note. First, research on PNC ratings can be difficult to interpret, as PNC ratings bear little relation to self and informant reported personality data (Terraciano et al., 2005). Regardless, cultural stereotypes have been demonstrated to influence behavior toward members of another culture above and beyond individualizing information, suggesting that while PNC ratings may not be existentially precise, they are powerful in shaping inter-cultural interactions (Chaiken & Trope, 1999). Second, our analyses can only speak to the influence of proximal exposure to American celebrities on American citizens. Our study remains mute to the influence this type of exposure may have on citizens of other countries, although American culture, including the role of celebrities, is believed to have a relatively large global influence. Additionally, while the preponderance of celebrities featured in these magazines were of American nationality, there were some individuals (e.g. David Beckham) who were not, thus we are unable to make specific claims about the influence of American-born celebrities in particular. Similarly, while our sample size was adequately powered, the collegiate composition of our sample is restricted in terms of terms of age, education, and racial diversity.

**Future Directions**

Although the current study provides insight into how brief exposures influence (or fail to influence) PNC of Americans, we are hopeful that future research will continue to investigate the nuances of how narcissistic exemplars may reify PNC of Americans. For example, the duration of magazine exposure in this study was relatively brief compared to, say, a typical episode of reality television or a political debate. Thus, while a 15 minute exposure to a celebrity magazine may fail to inflate the PNC of American narcissism (that, notably, is quite high without an exposure to a narcissistic exemplar), it is possible that more consistent exposure (e.g. frequent readership of celebrity magazines, actual interactions with celebrities) may play a more potent role in strengthening these attitudes.

On the other hand, it may be such that cultural indicators of American narcissism are so pervasive and deep-rooted that PNC ratings are impervious to new, confirming (or disconfirming) information. To address the opposite side of this question, it would be interesting to test if exposures to acts of American selflessness (e.g. Americans performing charity work) could lower PNC ratings of American narcissism. Furthermore, it would be interesting to see if brief exposures could manifest in longitudinal shifts in PNC attitudes, and perhaps more importantly, if they could lead to behavior change in cross-cultural interactions. To fully investigate this possibility, it is imperative that these and similar hypotheses are tested in individuals of all ages from a variety of cultural backgrounds. Future work will prove critical in elucidating the development and maintenance of PNCs, and how these perceptions are related to important interpersonal outcomes.
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