Abstract. BRAF/MEK inhibitors are considered standard of care in the treatment of advanced BRAF-mutated malignant melanoma, and have been, in rare cases, associated with granulomatous reactions, mostly limited to skin lesions. The present study reported the case of a patient with metastatic melanoma developing a sarcoid-like reaction manifesting as asymptomatic mediastinal and right hilar lymphadenopathy while on antineoplastic therapy with dabrafenib and trametinib. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first reported case of isolated lymphadenopathy as a manifestation of drug-induced sarcoid-like reaction under dabrafenib and trametinib. Overall, only 17 other cases of granulomatosis have been reported in the literature. Although uncommon, such reactions should be considered in the differential diagnosis of lymph node enlargement, and distinguishing them from tumor progress is important and can be challenging in clinical practice.

Introduction

Metastatic malignant melanoma is a common cause of cancer-related morbidity and mortality (1) and has been historically associated with poor prognosis (2). The introduction of novel therapies such as immunotherapy and BRAF/MEK inhibition for patients harboring a BRAFV600-mutation have changed drastically the course of the disease and are now considered a standard of care for this population (3).

Approximately 50% of cutaneous melanomas exhibit activating mutations in the B-raf proto-oncogene (BRAF) gene, more commonly in the position V600E. BRAF is part of the mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK) pathway and plays a key role in regulation of cellular growth and survival. Activating mutations in the BRAF gene result in continuous downstream activation of the MAPK cascade, including mitogen-activated extracellular signal-regulated kinase (MEK), thus leading to uncontrolled cell proliferation and malignant transformation (4). BRAF inhibition presents significant antitumor activity in BRAF-mutated melanoma, although early development of resistance through alternative MAPK-activating mechanisms is a common clinical problem. Combination of BRAF and MEK inhibition is known to have proven synergic effect and delay the development of acquired resistance (4).

Sarcoidosis is an inflammatory disease of unknown origin characterized by the development of granulomas in various organs, with a possible epidemiological and causal correlation to multiple hematologic and solid malignancies (5). For example, incidence of sarcoidosis in melanoma patients has been estimated at 0.58%, a rate significantly higher in comparison to that of the general population (6). In the last years since the establishment of modern anticancer agents, sarcoidosis and sarcoid-like reactions (SLR) occur more often as a result of treatment, with immunotherapy causing the majority of those cases (7,8). Few cases of drug-induced sarcoidosis and SLR have been described in connection to BRAF inhibitors, alone or combined with MEK inhibitors, and present, in most cases, exclusively as skin reactions (9).

In this report, we discuss the case of a patient developing mediastinal and right hilar lymphadenopathy as the only manifestation of SLR while on dabrafenib and trametinib for metastatic melanoma, and summarize the cases of such granulomatous reactions published in the literature.
Case report

A 55-year-old female, with no significant medical history, no family history of cancer and no current medication, presented in 2016 with a newly detected asymmetric and ulcerated mole in the area of the left scapula. The patient did not report any symptoms, physical examination and laboratory tests revealed no other significant findings.

Wide excision and sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB) were performed, leading to the diagnosis of AJCC (7th edition) stage IIb cutaneous melanoma [pT2b (1.5 mm), N0 (SLNB), Clark level 3]. The patient was then scheduled for follow-up. Fifteen months later, physical examination revealed a palpable lymph node of the left axilla. Computed Tomography (CT) scan confirmed lymphadenopathy of the left axilla and mediastinum measuring 1.8 cm in diameter, as well as a 1.7-cm nodule of the left lower lobe. The patient underwent endobronchial ultrasound bronchoscopy (EBUS) with transbronchial needle aspiration (TBNA) and histologic examination of material from the 2L station verified the metastatic nature of the lymphadenopathy along with the presence of BRAFV600E-mutation.

First-line treatment with BRAF/MEK inhibitors dabrafenib 150 mg per os twice daily and trametinib 2 mg per os once daily was initiated on September 2017. Treatment was well tolerated without any adverse events during the first months, and CT scan at 12 months showed complete remission of both the lymphadenopathy and lung nodule. Sixteen months after therapy initiation, CT scan raised the suspicion of disease progression with new marginal mediastinal and right hilar lymphadenopathy of 1.1 cm in short axis (Fig. 1A). The patient had no symptoms, physical and laboratory tests were once again normal. Histopathology obtained through EBUS-TBNA from the stations 4R and 11R showed sarcoid-like non-necrotizing granulomas composed of epithelioid cells, macrophages and T-lymphocytes. Since the patient was asymptomatic, no additional treatment was started and antineoplastic therapy with dabrafenib/trametinib was continued. The lymphadenopathy regressed spontaneously in the next five months, while the patient remained in complete remission (Fig. 1B). A timeline of all relevant data is presented in Fig. 2.

Discussion

BRAF inhibition has been associated with the development of granulomatous reactions such as sarcoidosis and SLR through immunomodulatory mechanisms that affect the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment (10). More specifically, patients treated with inhibitors of the MAPK-pathway tend to present increased TNF-α and IFN-γ serum levels (11), which are associated with formation of granulomas. Furthermore, it has been hypothesized that granulomatous reactions could be a paradoxical autoimmune response to BRAF inhibition through CD8+ T-cell infiltration and PD-L1 expression (10). Onset of granulomatosis soon after the initiation of treatment with BRAF/MEK inhibitors further supports an etiologic relation (9).

In any case, such adverse events remain uncommon. We identified 17 documented cases of histologically confirmed granulomatosis under combined treatment with dabrafenib and trametinib in the literature (10,12-20), which are summarized in Table I. Men and women seem to be almost equally affected (8/17 vs. 9/17), while patient age varies between 19 and 82 years. All but one patient (16/17) suffered from stage IV melanoma, while in one case granulomatosis was diagnosed during adjuvant treatment for stage III disease (20). Time of treatment until the onset of reactions also varies significantly from 1-20 months. Skin lesions were almost universally present (15/17) and the only manifestation in the majority of patients (12/17), while five patients had systemic disease including mediastinal lymph node, kidney, heart, salivary gland, liver and eye involvement. Granulomatous reactions had a generally mild course, with most patients (12/17) reaching a
| First author, year | Sex | Age, years | Stage | Months until onset | Site | Treatment discontinuation | CS | Outcome of SAR/SARL | Melanoma response | (Refs.) |
|-------------------|-----|------------|-------|-------------------|------|---------------------------|----|--------------------|------------------|---------|
| Green, 2013       | F   | 62         | IV M1d| 9                 | Skin | No                        | No | Remission           | NR               | (12)    |
|                   | M   | 72         | IV M1b| 8                 | Skin | No                        | Topical | Remission          | NR               |
| Park, 2014        | F   | 82         | IV M1a| 2                 | Skin | No                        | Topical | Remission           | PR               | (13)    |
| Jansen, 2015      | M   | 63         | IV M1a| 18                | Skin, kidney | Yes | Systemic               | Remission | CR               | (14)    |
| Winkler, 2018     | M   | 46         | IV M1b| 2                 | Myocardium | No | No                     | Death            | CR               | (15)    |
| Rueda-Rueda, 2018 | F   | 39         | IV    | 2                 | Uvea | Yes | Systemic + Topical | Remission | PD               | (16)    |
| Dimitriou, 2018   | M   | 19         | IV M1c| 1                 | Skin | No                        | Topical | Remission           | CR               | (10)    |
| Korman, 2018      | F   | 49         | IV M1b| 12                | Skin | No                        | No | Progress             | CR               | (17)    |
| Giet, 2019        | M   | 30         | IV M1c| 4                 | Skin | NR                        | Topical | Stable              | NR               | (18)    |
| Huynh, 2020       | F   | 61         | IV M1c| 1                 | Skin | No                        | No | Remission            | PD               | (19)    |
|                   | F   | 77         | IV M1a| 20                | Skin | No                        | Topical | Remission           | CR               |
|                   | M   | 45         | IV M1d| 2                 | Skin, salivary glands, mediastinal lymph nodes | No, dose reduction | No | Remission            | PD               |
| Boutros, 2020     | M   | 38         | III   | 3                 | Skin, liver, uvea, mediastinal lymph nodes | Yes | Topical               | Remission        | Relapse-free | (20)    |

M, male; F, female; NR, not reported; CS, corticosteroids; SAR, sarcoidosis; SLR, sarcoid-like reaction; PR, partial remission; CR, complete remission; PD, progressive disease.
remission: discontinuation of dabrafenib and trametinib was necessary in three cases, topical corticosteroids in eleven and systemic corticosteroid treatment in two. One patient died due to granulomatous myocarditis before receiving any specific treatment (15). Melanoma response was more diverse: nine patients responded to BRAF/MEK inhibition and another five progressed.

In accordance with, to date, published data, SLR in our case had benign clinical behavior and resolved without special treatment. However, skin lesions were absent and mediastinal or hilar lymphadenopathy was the only manifestation. To our knowledge, this is the first documented case of isolated lymphadenopathy due to SLR in a patient receiving the combination of dabrafenib and trametinib. Other BRAF-inhibitors such as vemurafenib, with or without cobimetinib, have also been associated with granulomatous reactions (13,21-23), but isolated mediastinal or hilar lymphadenopathy has not been described with these agents either. This observation raises the question if SLR without skin involvement is an extremely rare entity under BRAF/MEK inhibition or if it could sometimes be mistaken for progressive disease in clinical practice. In any case, it is reasonable to acquire tissue biopsy in case of newly detected lymphadenopathy in order to establish a definite diagnosis (9).

Due to the small number of documented cases and the lack of prospective studies, many questions remain unanswered. It is not known which patients develop drug-induced granulomatous reactions, what is the optimal therapy, if any, and in which cases discontinuation of BRAF/MEK inhibition is necessary (9). It has been suggested that treatment-related sarcoidosis is associated with better efficacy of BRAF inhibitors (24), but data is very limited.

In summary, granulomatous reactions in patients receiving dabrafenib and trametinib for advanced melanoma are rare, usually mild adverse events. Our case describes the uncommon entity of SLR with isolated mediastinal and hilar lymphadenopathy and underlines the importance of differential diagnosis from tumor progress. Further research is needed in order to define the optimal management of these patients.
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