**PiggyBac Transposon-Mediated Transgenesis in the Pacific Oyster (Crassostrea gigas) – First Time in Mollusks**
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As an effective method of transgenesis, the plasmid of *PiggyBac* transposon containing GFP (*PiggyBac*) transposon system has been widely used in various organisms but not yet in mollusks. In this work, *PiggyBac* containing green fluorescent protein (GFP) was transferred into the Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) by sperm-mediated gene transfer with or without electroporation. Fluorescent larvae were then observed and isolated under an inverted fluorescence microscope, and insertion of *piggyBac* was tested by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using genomic DNA as template. Oyster larvae with green fluorescence were observed after transgenesis with or without electroporation, but electroporation increased the efficiency of sperm-mediated transgenesis. Subsequently, the recombinant *piggyBac* plasmid containing *gGH* (*piggyBac-gGH*) containing GFP and a growth hormone gene from orange-spotted grouper (*gGH*) was transferred into oysters using sperm mediation with electroporation, and fluorescent larvae were observed and isolated. The insertion of *piggyBac-gGH* was tested by PCR and genome walking analysis. PCR analysis indicated that *piggyBac-gGH* was transferred into oyster larvae; genome walking analysis further showed the detailed location where *piggyBac-gGH* was inserted in the oyster genome. This is the first time that *PiggyBac* transposon-mediated transgenesis has been applied in mollusks.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Many methods can be employed to achieve genetic transformation, including viral vectors, non-viral vectors and transposons. Among these relatively effective methods of transgenesis, transposons have the ability to integrate into chromosomes, and gene expression from transposons is permanent (Ivics and Izsvak, 2006). Transposons are mobile elements that can transpose between vectors and a target genome. The original *PiggyBac* transposon was first identified and isolated from the genome of the cabbage looper moth, *Trichoplusia ni*, in the late 1980s (Cary et al., 1989). Later,
other piggyBac-like transposons were identified in different insect species (Wu et al., 2011; Depra et al., 2012; Sormacheva et al., 2012), frogs (Lam et al., 1996; Leaver, 2001), fish (Cocca et al., 2011; Costa et al., 2013), and mammals (Jurka, 2000; Sarkar et al., 2003). The original piggyBac element is approximately 2.4 kb, with identical 13 bp inverted terminal repeats and additional asymmetric 19 bp internal repeats (Elick et al., 1997; Li et al., 2001, 2005). Previous data have shown that the original piggyBac transposon can effectively transfer up to 9.1 kb of transgene sequence into chromosomes with the help of the piggyBac transposase enzyme from a separate plasmid (Ding et al., 2005). PiggyBac allows “cut and paste” transfer of genes of interest between inverted terminal repeats and internal repeats into a host genome at TTAA nucleotide elements (Fraser et al., 1996; Bauser et al., 1999; O’Brochta et al., 2003; Wu and Burgess, 2004). PiggyBac transposon can insert into gene regions and cause mutagenesis, which contributes to infer function of the inserted gene (Ding et al., 2005). Furthermore, PiggyBac transposon can introduce exogenous genes into the genome for constructing transgenic organisms or testing gene function (Yusa, 2015). Thus, piggyBac transposons have been successfully used as a promising tool for basic genetic studies (Wang et al., 2008; Kaji et al., 2009; Woltjen et al., 2009; Yusa et al., 2009), gene therapies (Carlson et al., 2005) and construction of transgenic animals (Ding et al., 2005). Studies have also shown that the original piggyBac transposon has a broad host spectrum ranging from yeast to mammals, and this mobile element has been widely used for a variety of applications in a diverse range of organisms. However, the piggyBac transposition system has not yet been utilized or characterized in mollusks.

It has been reported that exogenous DNA can stick to spermatozoa and then be carried into an egg via fertilization. This method of sperm mediation is widely used for gene transfer (Parrington et al., 2011). Previous research has also investigated approaches for increasing the proportion of spermatozoa carrying exogenous DNA to improve the efficiency of transgenesis. One effective method is electroporation of spermatoozoa during transformation, which increases the capacity of DNA bound by sperm in fish (Patil and Khoo, 1996) and mollusks (Tsai, 2000).

Pacific oyster is one of the most economically important cultivated oysters in the world. Genome sequencing of Pacific oyster has been completed (Zhang et al., 2012). However, the functions of most Pacific oyster genes are unknown due to a lack of effective research methods, such as transgenesis technology. In this work, we demonstrate that the piggyBac transposon system is suitable for Pacific oyster transgenesis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmid Construction

The donor plasmid piggyBac Dual Promoter and helper plasmid HA-mPB were constructed by Applied Biosystems. PiggyBac Dual Promoter carries the CMV promoter upstream of a MCS.

| Primer | Sequence (5′→3′) | Purpose |
|--------|-----------------|---------|
| GFP-F  | TGACCAACAAGATGAAGACCC | Cloning of GFP gene |
| GFP-R  | TCCACGTCACCGCATGTTAGAAGA | Cloning of GFP gene |
| GH-F   | CGGAATTCTAGACCGAAGTGCTCCTCCTG | Cloning of GH gene |
| GH-R   | CGGATCCCTACACGGCCTCCT | Cloning of GH gene |
| GH-GFP-F | AACTGCTGGCTCGTGAACAGA | Cloning of GH-GFP gene |
| GH-GFP-R | CGATGCGGTTTGTGGTGTG | Cloning of GH-GFP gene |
| SP1    | ATCCACACACACATAACAGCAG | Genome walking |
| SP2    | CTGGGTGGCTCAATAGGAGATGC | Genome walking |
| SP3    | GGGAGGGGCGGTTTGTGGTATTG | Genome walking |

The base sequences of restriction enzyme cutting sites are underlined.

TABLE 1 | Primers used in this study.

FIGURE 1 | Schematic diagram of procedures for transfection of piggyBac into Pacific oyster.
Downstream of the expression cassette is an EF1alpha promoter driving the expression of a GFP+Puro marker. The entire cassette is flanked by genomic insulator elements to stabilize expression and PiggyBac ITR for mobilization and integration. The helper plasmid HA-mPB contains the mPB transposase coding sequence in a pcDNA3-Kz-HA backbone, and it features a 5′ HS4 insulator to support robust transcription from the rPolr2A promoter.

Sequence encoding the mature GH peptide of orange-spotted grouper was amplified by primers GH-F and GH-R, with EcoRI at the 5′ end and BamHI at the 3′ end, using grouper pituitary cDNA as template. Then, the resultant GH fusion gene was digested with EcoRI and BamHI, purified and ligated into the EcoRII and BamHI sites of plasmid piggyBac to construct piggyBac-gGH.

**Transfection of piggyBac Into Pacific Oysters**

Five hundred µL of semen sampled from mature male Pacific oysters was collected into conical polystyrene sample cups, and then diluted to a concentration of 10⁵ sperm/mL. Then, a combination of “helper-independent” transposase (15 µg) and transposon (30 µg) were added to the semen, and the mixture was incubated for 5 min at 20°C. For sperm-mediated gene transfer, approximately 10⁴ eggs collected from mature female Pacific oysters were added to the semen-plasmid mixture, and then the mixture was incubated for 5 min. Finally, seawater was added into the mixture to activate fertilization.

For electroporation-mediated gene transfer, the semen-plasmid mixture was transferred into an electric shock cup, and then treated five times with an electroporation apparatus (Scientz, Wuhan, China) with the following parameters: resistance, 100 Ω; voltage, 300 V; capacitance, 100 µF. And each electric pulse duration was 20 ms, and the interval between two pulses was 100 ms. Then, fertilization was carried out as described above. Finally, fertilized ova were cultured in a 10-L tank at 20°C.

During transgenesis, the same treatment was performed without plasmid as a negative control. All treatments were repeated three times, and three individual experiments were performed. Additionally, Schematic diagram of procedures for transfection of piggyBac into Pacific Oysters was shown in Figure 1.

**Microscopic Observation of Fluorescent Oyster Larvae and Calculation of Transfection Efficiency and Relative Transfection Efficiency**

Survival rates of oyster larvae were initially estimated by counting the density of oyster larvae using an optical microscope 0 and 72 h after fertilization. Oyster larvae transfected with both donor and helper plasmids were observed at 72 h post-transfection using a fluorescence microscope (IX71, Olympus, Japan), under which the expressed fluorescence appeared green. Fluorescent oyster larvae were photographed, counted and isolated.

Next, the efficiency of transfection (%) and relative efficiency of transfection (%) were calculated as below.

\[
\text{Efficiency of transfection} (%) = \frac{\text{Number of larvae expressing fluorescence}}{\text{Total number of fertilized eggs}}; \\
\text{Relative efficiency of transfection} (%) = \frac{\text{Number of larvae expressing fluorescence}}{\text{Total number of larvae at 72 h}}.
\]

**PCR and Sequence Analysis**

QIAamp DNA Micro Kit (Qiagen, United States) was used for trace DNA extraction from oyster larvae. Primers specific for GFP, GH, GH-GFP and the sequence downstream of GFP were used to confirm that piggyBac-GFP and piggyBac-gGH were successfully inserted and to identify the insertion site within the Pacific oyster genome (primers are shown in Table 1). Briefly, PCR amplification for GFP, GH, or GH-GFP sequences was conducted with 35 cycles of 95°C for 20 s, 60°C/60°C/56°C for 20 s, and 72°C for 50 s/1 min/1 min 20 s. PCR products were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis and sequencing. Additionally, the oyster genomic insertion site was analyzed using a genome walking kit (Takara, Japan). All primers are shown in Table 1.

**Statistical Analysis**

All data were analyzed using SPSS 20.0 software (Chicago, IL, United States) with one-way variance (ANOVA) followed by Student–Newman–Keuls multiple comparisons test. Differences were considered statistically significant when \( p < 0.05 \).
RESULTS

Construction of piggyBac-gGH
As shown in Figure 2, a fusion gene encoding the mature GH peptide of orange-spotted grouper was subcloned upstream of GFP in the donor plasmid PiggyBac, producing the recombinant plasmid piggyBac-gGH.

Observation of Fluorescent Larvae and Efficiency of Transfection
Fluorescent oyster larvae transfected with helper and donor plasmids were observed and counted using a confocal microscope, and then were isolated 72 h after transfection. As shown in Figure 3, Pacific oyster larvae with green fluorescence were observed after transformation with piggyBac without electroporation (Figure 3B), with electroporation (Figure 3F) or with piggyBac-gGH with electroporation (Figure 3J), while green fluorescence was not observed in the control group (Figures 3D,H,L).

As shown in Figure 4A, the survival rates of oyster larvae were approximately 10 and 1% after gene transfer of piggyBac without or with electroporation, respectively. The survival rate of the electroporation group was significantly lower than that of the control group or the group without electroporation (p < 0.01). However, the results (Figure 4B) showed that the efficiency of piggyBac transfection with electroporation (0.4%) was significantly higher than without electroporation (0.03%).

FIGURE 3 | Transgenic oyster larvae and controls. (A,B) Oyster larvae transfected with piggyBac using sperm mediation without electroporation under white light or green fluorescence; (C,D) non-transfected oyster larvae as a control for (A,B); (E,F) oyster larvae transfected with piggyBac using sperm mediation with electroporation under white light or green fluorescence; (G,H) non-transfected oyster larvae as a control for (E,F); (I,J) oyster larvae transfected with piggyBac-gGH using sperm mediation with electroporation under white light or green fluorescence; (K,L) non-transfected oyster larvae as a control for (I,J) Red spots are the algae Isochrysis galbana used as food for oyster larvae.
Characterization of Exogenous Gene Insertion

Polymerase chain reaction and agarose gel electrophoresis detected the target gene segment in fluorescent oyster larvae, but not in non-fluorescent oyster larvae. As shown in Figure 5A, the GFP gene was detected in oyster larvae transfected with piggyBac. Both the GFP and GH genes were amplified from genomic DNA of oyster larvae transfected with piggyBac-gGH (Figure 5B), and sequencing results showed that their sequences matched the appropriate gene sequences in piggyBac-gGH (Supplementary Figures S1, S2). Meanwhile, segments covering partial sequences of GFP and GH were amplified using GH-GFP-F and GH-GFP-R as primers, which indicated that the GFP and GH genes were inserted into the oyster genome together (Figure 5C and Supplementary Figure S3). Additionally, the genomic insertion site of piggyBac-gGH was identified using the method of genome walking, and as shown in Figure 6, GFP-GH had been inserted into Scaffold200 of Pacific oyster genome at the sequence “AAGA.”

DISCUSSION

Sperm-mediated transformation in mollusks has been reported in previous studies with or without electroporation (Tsai et al., 1997; Guerra et al., 2005; Guerra and Esponda, 2006), and CRISPR/Cas9-mediated transformation has also been utilized (Perry and Henry, 2015). In this work, our transgenesis of Pacific oysters with the piggyBac transposon system is the first time this system has been used in mollusks.

Our study showed that transgenic fluorescent oyster larvae can be acquired with the piggyBac transposon system, and PCR amplification of target genes from the genomes of transgenic fluorescent oyster larvae indicated that exogenous genes were integrated into Pacific oyster genomes. Genome walking is commonly used to identify regions upstream and downstream from known DNA sequences (Rishi et al., 2004; Leoni et al., 2008, 2011; Shapter and Waters, 2014). In this study, the DNA sequence of unknown genomic regions flanking the piggyBac-gGH insertion were determined by genome walking, then these sequences were aligned with the oyster genome sequence to determine the insertion site. These results further showed that piggyBac transposons can mediate integration of exogenous genes into the oyster genome. However, the insertion site of piggyBac-gGH in this study was different from previous studies, which reported that piggyBac insertions showed a high preference for genomic TTAA nucleotide elements (Fraser et al., 1995; Balu et al., 2005; Ding et al., 2005; Su et al., 2012; Yusa, 2015).

In this study, the efficiency of transfection using electroporation in Pacific oysters was approximately 0.4%, which is similar to reported rates of 0.1–10% in insects (Fraser, 2012);
electroporation of the mixture of spermatozoa and transposase–transposon vectors resulted in about 10-fold higher efficiency of transgenesis than without electroporation, in agreement with earlier research (Patil and Khoo, 1996; Tsai, 2000). These results indicated that electroporation of the mixture of spermatozoa and vectors increased the efficiency of transgenesis. However, data in this study revealed that survival rate of Pacific oysters was decreased by electroporation prior to gene transfer, maybe because spermatozoa were damaged by the electrical impulse.

In addition, the present work would support a new method, piggyBac transposon system, for the research fields of genome and gene function in oyster. Firstly, some genes related with economic importance, such as resistance gene, growth hormone gene, or glycogenesis gene, could be introduced into oyster genome by piggyBac transposon system to improve the quality of oyster. Moreover, the genes with unknown function also could be transferred into oyster genome and their physiological functions could be deduced according to the phenotype of the transgenic oysters.

**CONCLUSION**

This study demonstrated that the piggyBac transposon system can be used for transgenesis of Pacific oysters. This work provides a new chance for studying the gene function of mollusks. And
our further research will focus on enhancing the transfection efficiency of Pacific oysters.
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