Tumor-associated macrophages in cholangiocarcinoma: complex interplay and potential therapeutic target
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1. Introduction

Cholangiocarcinoma (CCA) is a type of heterogeneous malignancy that occurs in the biliary tract, which may originate from different cell types, including hepatic stem cells, immature neural crest cells, and hematopoietic progenitors [1]. Anatomically, CCA is classified into intrahepatic (iCCA; 10–20%), perihilar (pCCA; 50–60%) and distal (dCCA; 20–30%) CCA, whereby the latter two are collectively known as extrahepatic CCA (eCCA) [2–4]. Other characteristics such as cancer growth patterns (mass-forming, periductal infiltrating or intraductal), histology (mixed or mucinous) and tumor microenvironment (TME)-based subtypes (immune desert, immunogenic, myeloid or mesenchymal) can also be used for classification [5–8]. The etiology of CCA remains obscure, while several conditions related to genetic mutations, epigenetic alternation and environmental factors have been identified to be involved in the pathogenesis of CCA. A variety of high-risk pathological states may drive the carcinogenesis of CCA, including cholestatic liver diseases (primary sclerosing cholangitis, fibropoly-cystic liver diseases and congenital hepatic fibrosis), infectious diseases (flukes and hepatitis B and C), biliary stone diseases (cholecholitis and cholangitis), metabolic disorders [diabetes, obesity and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD)] as well as drugs, toxins or chemicals (alcohol, smoking and thiorac). Most pathological changes ultimately lead to chronic inflammation and cholestasis of the intrahepatic or extrahepatic bile duct, which possess a serious risk of transforming into premalignant lesions and even invasive cancer [3,4,9]. Although CCA is a rare type of cancer, it ranks the second-most common primary liver malignancy after hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Currently, Thailand, China and South Korea have the highest incidence of CCA (>6 cases per 100,000) worldwide, with continued increasing incidence and mortality rates [3]. Due to the lack of obvious early symptoms and reliable prognostic markers, patients are frequently diagnosed at an advanced stage. Compromised surgery and unsatisfactory chemotherapies offer dismal results and often along with a high recurrence rate. Hence, more effective treatment methods are urgently needed [10].
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Tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs), a group of plastic immune cells that are infiltrated and activated at tumor sites, possess potent protumor and immunosuppressive properties [11,12]. Macrophages can integrate numerous environmental signals and shape their polarization manners accordingly. Historically, macrophages are polarized into two distinct states: classically activated (M1 type) and alternatively activated (M2 type) macrophages. M1 macrophages are activated by lipopolysaccharide (LPS), tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) and interferon-γ (IFN-γ), and exhibit pro-inflammatory and antitumor properties. M2 macrophages are mainly stimulated by interleukin (IL)-4 or IL-13, and facilitate tumor-promoting effects. M1 macrophages are characterized by the surface marker cluster of differentiation (CD) 86, CD80, while M2 feature CD206 and CD163 [13–15]. However, macrophages polarization is a dynamic and fuzzy process, new definition and classification is needed for current investigation of TAMs. It is difficult to specifically define TAMs, since this M1/M2 division is too simplistic to comprise all heterogeneous macrophages [16–18]. It is widely accepted that TAMs prefer the M2 phenotype, which are mainly driven from circulating monocytes induced by monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1/CCL2), colony-stimulating factor-1 (CSF-1), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-A and other cytokines such as IL-1β, IL-10, IL-13 and IL-4 released by tumor and stromal cells [11,19,20]. TAMs participate in the progression of a number of malignancies including breast cancer, HCC and CCA [21–23].

The current understanding of CCA initiation and progression and the role of the TME can provide a fundamental description of CCA. Targeting the compartments of TME and the tumor itself together may provide ideal approaches in clarifying and treating CCA. This review summarizes the current knowledge of the interaction between TAMs and CCA.

2. CCA recruits and shapes macrophages to a protumor type

Tumor cells have the ability to release different cytokines and other factors to recruit and shape the pericellular environment to a protumor profile. A variety of cells such as fibroblasts [recruited and activated by platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF)-D], neutrophils [recruited and activated by C-X-C motif chemokine ligand (CXCL) 5], natural killer cells (deceived by MHC class I antigens) and tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) (dampened by immune checkpoint protein) could be shaped to a protumor phenotype by CCA cells [24–27]. Apart from above these, macrophages are also the primary targets of CCA. TAMs mainly derive from circulating monocytes instead of resident Kupffer cells, which are one of the most abundant infiltrated and activated stromal cells [19,28]. They are guided to the tumor regions with the help of CCA cells and other components of the TME by releasing multiple factors, including MCP-1/CCL2, CSF-1 and VEGF-A [10,12,29,30]. Dwyer et al [30] revealed that TNF-like weak inducer of apoptosis (TWEAK)/fibroblast growth factor-inducible 14 (Fn14) is upregulated in CCA and can orchestrate the tumor niche by inducing MCP-1, CX3CL1, IL-6, IL-8, macrophage colony stimulating factor (M-CSF) and granulocyte macrophage colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF) secretion in a NF-kB-mediated way. Of which, MCP-1 facilitate macrophages recruitment and correlated with increased CD206+ TAMs marker and worse tumor behavior. After infiltrating the tumor regions, macrophages deviate to a protumor type despite their original function.

Cancer stem cells (CSCs) are a small subgroup of cancer cells with self-renewal ability, which are the leading force of tumor initiation, metastasis, recurrence and drug resistance [31]. In iCCA, the colocalization of CD163+ TAMs and CSC-related markers (CD44 or EPCAM) in the tumor front supported the potential association between infiltrating TAMs and the CSC-niche [17]. One of the most important ECM components, periostin [secreted by CSCs and cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAF)]s, displays a potent ability to attract CD206+ TAMs [32–34]. Moreover, in a 3D CSC culture model, CCA sphere-conditioned medium acted as a strong monocytes attractor by releasing different stem-like secretomes, including IL-13, osteoactivin (OA) and IL-34, resulting in a mixed M1-M2 macrophage phenotype. It is worth noting that blood specimens of patients with CCA also showed elevated levels of IL-13, OA and IL-34, which are associated with stem-like genes. CSC-associated macrophages possess distinctive molecular features related to matrix remodeling and showed greater adhesion ability and better invasion capacity (Fig. 1) [17].

The aforementioned mechanisms result in a huge influx of TAMs, which is often correlated with worse tumor behavior, high metastasis and recurrence rates as well as poor prognosis. Interestingly, in CCA, TAMs tend to gather in the tumor invasive front and perivascular sites, implying that they may be a potential neovascular and tumor metastasis promoter (Table 1) [13,16,29,35–40]. However, conflict results exist in the link between TAMs and tumor behavior, some studies demonstrated worse outcomes, while some found the opposite [41]. Meanwhile, it is worth noting that macrophages contribute scarcely to the most prevalent (47.3%) type of eCCA (mesenchymal class) [8]. More precisely evaluation and subgroup analysis based on tumor staging and CCA type may better explain the relationship between TAMs and CCA.

![Fig. 1. CCA recruits and shapes macrophages to a protumor type. TAMs are recruited from circulating monocytes and polarized to a protumor type by multiple factors released by CCA cells, CSCs, and CAFs. And they tend to gather in the invasive front and perivascular regions.](image-url)
the focal in Mitochondrial dysfunction triggers ROS accumulation and leads to hepatic mitochondrial dysfunction, heat shock protein family D mon features of liver diseases [46]. Oxidative stress exists in the TME dysfunction and reactive oxygen species (ROS) activation are com-

3. The protumor effects of TAMs

Following recruitment to CCA sites, TAMs participate in various processes of CCA progression, including tumor cell proliferation, metastasis and angiogenesis (Fig. 2).

3.1. Macrophages promote CCA initiation

In studies investigating the carcinogenesis of CCA, researchers revealed that macrophages could undergo multiple protumor disease processes and accelerate cancer formation. *Clonorchis sinensis* (C. sinensis) infection is a major public health problem, especially in East Asian countries, and is closely associated with CCA formation [42]. In mouse *C. sinensis* infection models, macrophages showed polarization changes during different stages of infection. They tend to polarize to the M1 phenotype at an early stage, while dynamically shifting to the M2 phenotype during the late stage, especially at the fibrotic and cirrhotic stages of infection, contributing to fibrosis and the remodeling of bile ducts by direct contact with *C. sinensis* excretory-secretory products [43]. Similarly, the excretory-secretory product of the liver fluke *Fasciola hepatica* purified protein Fh12 is capable of modulating macrophages to an alternatively activated phenotype and up-regulates the expression of arginase-1 (Arg-1) and chitinase-3-like protein gene (CHI3L1), thereby creating an immunosuppres-

Table 1

| CCA type | Sample number | TAMs location | Marker | Prognostic value | References |
|----------|---------------|---------------|--------|-----------------|------------|
| CCA      | 44            | Leading edge of tumor tissues and perivascular areas | MAC387 CD14 CD16 | An expansion of the CD14/CD16+ monocyte in peripheral blood of CCA have tumor-promoting characteristics and was associated with tumor origin, high density of MAC387-positive TAMs and poor prognosis of patients | [35] |
| CCA      | 43            | Tumor tissue  | CD68 CD163 | A high density of the M2-TAMs CCA in patients is significantly associated with the presence of extrhepatic metastases | [38] |
| CCA      | 50            | Leading edge and perivascular areas of tumors | MAC387 | High densities of MAC387-positive cells were detected in more than 60% of the CCA tissues, and was significantly associated with poor prognosis parameters | [40] |
| CCA      | 23            | Tumor front  | CD163 | CD163 progressively increased along with tumor grade (G2/G3 > G1) and was significantly associated with CCA pathological grade as well as CA19-9 serum marker | [17] |
| iCCA     | 322 (tissue microarrays) | Tumor tissue | CD68 CD86 CD206 | High CD86+ and low CD206+ TAMs infiltration was significantly correlated with certain favorable tumor clinicopathologic features and better prognosis in iCCA patients, when compared to low CD86+ and high CD206+ TAMs infiltration. CD86+/CD206+ TAMs model was an independent prognostic indicator for iCCA, especially in CA19-9 negative patients | [13] |
| iCCA     | 39            | Tumor tissue  | CD68 CD163 | High counts of CD163+ macrophages showed poor disease-free survival | [39] |
| iCCA     | 88            | Tumor invasive front | CD68 | High levels of TAMs in tumor invasive front or absence of histologic tumor necrosis are associated with a significantly improved recurrence-free and overall survival of patients with iCCA | [41] |
| hCCA     | 47            | Tumor and tumor invasive front | Tie2 | TEMs in tumor and tumor invasive front correlated with increased survival and lower tumor recurrence | [16] |
| hCCA     | 47            | Tumor invasive front | CD68 | Patients with high density of TAMs in tumor invasive front showed significantly higher local and overall tumor recurrence, decreased overall and recurrence-free survival | [37] |
| eCCA     | 101           | Tumor tissue  | CD163 | High number of tumor infiltrating CD163+ macrophages were significantly associated with poorly-differentiated histology and nodal metastasis | [36] |

3.2. TAMs are associated with Wnt/β-catenin signaling

Canonical Wnt signaling is a complex core node that connects with numerous other signaling cascades and is often involved in multiple carcinomas, such as colorectal cancer, pancreatic cancer and lung adenocarcinoma. [50–52]. Activation of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway participates in the initiation, progression, epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and multidrug resistance of CCA via interaction with microRNAs, PI3K/AKT/PTEN/GSK-3β, retinoic acid receptors (RARs), dickkopf-1 (Dkk1), protein kinase A regulatory subunit 1 α (Rkara1α) pathways, SRC-like adaptor protein (SLAP), liver kinase B1 (LKB1) and CXCR4 [50]. Wnt2, Wnt3a, Wnt5a, Wnt7b and Wnt10a are highly expressed in CCA compared with non-cancerous tissues [53–55]. The protumor effects of Wnt signaling are predominantly mediated by TAMs. Boulter et al [53] indicated that Wnt7b and Wnt10a are highly expressed in human CCA tissues as well as transgenic and thioacetamide-induced mice CCA models. Overexpression of Wnt7b and Wnt10a is accompanied by activating a series of genes that are relevant to cancer progression, including cell cycle, naive state and wound repair. Further experiments revealed that Wnt7b was expressed in the cytoplasm of CDAβ+ macrophages, which appears to have an M2 phenotype that simultaneously expresses CD206. The depletion or inhibition of macrophages results in a significant reduction of Wnt7b in animal models of CCA, thus reducing
tumor burden and proliferation. Moreover, using phoshatase and tensin homolog deleted on chromosome ten (PTEN)-deletion or HCV/NS5A transgenic mice CCA models supplemented with a high-fat diet, Debebe et al. found that Wnt signaling was induced in hepatosteatosis development and was essential for the expansion of tumor-initiating cells. Infiltrating CD68+ macrophages are a key source of steatosis-induced Wnt production. The depletion of macrophages reduced the expression of several Wnt ligands (Wnt3a, Wnt7a and Wnt10a) and resulted in smaller tumor nodules compared with the control group [45]. These studies indicated that TAMs assist CCA growth by producing Wnt ligands. Direct targeting of TAMs or modulation of Wnt signaling may be potential treatment strategies of CCA. Different types of macrophages have been developed to target Wnt signaling in other malignancies, but these have little effects due to the complexity and multiplicity of Wnt pathways [51,56]. Therefore, focusing on Wnt-producing TAMs may provide a promising treatment strategy.

3.3. TAMs and CCA metastasis

EMT is an early metastasis event that enables tumor cells to gain invasive properties, thus helping them to widely spread [57]. Co-culture with M2-TAMs can enhance the EMT ability of iCCA cells, resulting in the enhancement of cell invasion and metastatic abilities via AKT3/PRAS40 phosphorylation [58]. This effect is also mediated by the secretion of a series of EMT-induced cytokines and chemokines, such as IL-6, TNF-α, transforming growth factor-1 (TGF-1) and Wnt, that may alter the microenvironment and promote EMT. This results in the downregulation of epithelial markers (E-cadherin and CK19) and increased expression of mesenchymal markers (S100A4, N-cadherin, vimentin, α-SMA, β-catenin and MMP9) [53,58,59]. This migration promotion effect can also be verified in Opisthochris viverrini (OV)-induced CCA hamster models, where the conversion of macrophages and fibroblasts to TAMs and CAFs phenotype were observed, along with elevated metastatic potential [38]. To summarize, these studies indicated that TAMs play a vital role in CCA metastasis. The inhibition of such effects of macrophages may be a potential approach to suppress tumor metastasis.

3.4. TAMs promote angiogenesis

Neovascularization is a key step in benign-to-malignant transition. It maintains the nutrition of CCA tissues and further promotes CCA metastasis [60]. TAMs infiltrate the perivascular sites, and higher TAMs levels are associated with elevated levels of angiogenic factor-related genes such as epiregulin, VEGF-A and CXCL3 [35,39]. Conflicting data exist regarding the exact phenotype of angiogenesis-promoting macrophages [61]. Furthermore, a special subset of Tie2-expressing monocytes (TEMs) has previously been shown to be associated with tumor angiogenesis [62]. In patients with CCA, circulating CD14+CD16+ monocytes express Tie2, and high levels of growth and angiogenic factor-related genes (epiregulin, VEGF-A and CXCL3). At the same time, a high density of newly recruited MAC387+ macrophages were found in the leading edge of the tumor, especially within perivascular areas [35]. The latter study further confirmed that TEMs and angiopoietins showed homogenous distribution in tumor-infiltrating fronts and perivascular areas, indicating that TEMs manifest angiogenic properties, of which its particular mechanism still requires further investigation [16]. It is worth noting that macrophages have also been show to induce lymphangiogenesis. Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-3 (VEGFR3)-expressing macrophages serve as both the source and target of VEGF-C and VEGF-D. These two growth factors could not only upregulate reparative macrophage-related genes (mannose receptor and Fizz1), but also induce lymphangiogenic by activating VEGFR3 of lymphatic endothelial cells (LECs) [63,64]. Besides, podoplanin-expressing tumor-associated macrophages (PoEMs) have also been proved to attached to LECs thus stimulating lymphangiogenesis, and facilitate breast cancer cell lymphovascular invasion [65]. However, the pro-lymphangiogenesis effects of macrophages have not been studied in cholangiocarcinoma, comprehensive view of distinctive effects on blood and lymphatic angiogenesis is worth being considered.

4. TAMs interact with CAFs and remodel the TME

CCA, together with its environment, is a complete entity. TAMs help CCA to foster an environment that benefits tumor growth, which simultaneously requires close collaboration with other members of the TME. CAFs are a type of well-known stromal cell, which play an important role in CCA growth [66]. CAFs in iCCA could be divided to five subpopulations [vascular CAFs (vCAFs), matrix CAFs (mCAFs), inflammatory CAFs (iCAFs), antigen-presenting CAFs (apCAFs), EMT-like CAFs (eCAFs)] based on their diverse marker genes. Of which iCAFs is involved in immune modulation [67]. TAMs activate CAFs by releasing transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β), fibroblast growth factor (FGF) and PDGF [3,68]. CAFs can then secrete a number of
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6. Targeting TAMs in CCA treatment

The high heterogeneity CCA refers to its location and genetic mutation. Due to its complex classification and etiology, treatment cannot be generalized. In addition to palliative surgery, conventional chemotherapy is often accompanied with unpredictable results. Combination immunotherapy strategies have been launched in order to get positive treatment responses. By analyzing registered clinical trials on CCA, anti-PD-1 drugs plus anti-CTLA-4, ATR inhibitor, CSF-1 inhibitor, histone deacetylase inhibitor or vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR-2) inhibitor are ongoing (NCT04238637, NCT04298008, NCT04642664, NCT04301778, NCT03250273). Combination two kinds of ICB nivolumab (anti-PD-1) and ipilimumab (anti-CTLA-4) in phase II clinical trials patients with advanced biliary tract cancers showed improved clinical outcomes [93]. Moreover, PD-1 monoclonal antibody camrelizumab in combination with VEGFR-2 inhibitor apatinib can also achieve controllable safety and good efficacy in primary liver cancer based on multicohort phase Ib/II trial [94]. As the revealing of protumor effects of TME components, especially, stable elements, such as TAMs, are comparative invariants and are regular visitors during cancer formation, which can thus be targeted.

Given the importance of macrophages in carcinogenesis and CCA promotion, corresponding methods have aimed at TAMs recruitment, inhibition, depletion or repolarization [95]. The aforementioned strategies include the intervention of toll-like receptor (TLR) agonists, a series of cytokines, antibodies, RNAs and other small molecules. And with the help of nanoscale drug carriers, targeting TAMs have shown promising effects on non-small cell lung cancer, breast, prostate and pancreatic cancer xenograft models [96–104]. In CCA mouse models, inhibiting CSFR1 activation prevented monocytes differentiation into macrophages and depleting macrophages in xenograft mice models reduced CCA cell proliferation and accelerated cell apoptosis, which relieved tumor burden in a Wnt7b-dependent manner [53]. Except CSFR1, MCP-1 is also a strong TAMs activator. By using multiple injections of anti-MCP-1 antibody, significantly smaller tumors were observed in SNU-1079-generated human CCA cell xenografts mice. TWEAK/Fn14 pathway is capable of inducing the releasing of MCP-1, CX3CL1, IL-6, IL-8, M-CSF and GM-CSF in a NF-κB dependent way, blocking the TWEAK/Fn14 pathway of CCA cells and CAFs resulted in a reduction of MCP-1 expression, thus reduced TAMs recruitment and CCA xenograft growth [30]. However, the aforementioned methods could also influence M1 macrophages, which have a positive effect [105]. Thereby, the pros and cons should be further weighed. Another strategy focusing on macrophage repolarization, which induces M2 macrophages to a pro-inflammatory phenotype, may exert an antitumor effect. Gao and colleagues provided a macrophage repolarization example by infusion of methotrexate-containing plasma-membrane microvesicles derived from apoptotic human tumor cells into the bile-duct lumen, which led to the abundant recruitment of antitumor neutrophils and the pyroptosis of eCCA cells, thereby relieving biliary obstruction in 25% of the patients. Treating macrophages with supernatants from pyroptotic CCA cells...
| Type of treatment | Target | Agent/Type | Mechanisms | Experimental models | Major effects | References |
|------------------|--------|------------|------------|---------------------|---------------|------------|
| TAMs Ablation    | Macrophage depletion | Lipoclod | Macrophages depletion | Xenograft model of human CCA cell lines, TAA-induced rat CCA model | Decrease CD68⁺ macrophages, reduced expression of Wnt7b and human pro-proliferation genes (BIRC5, CCND2, and CCNE), increased expression of apoptosis gene BAX1, increased apoptosis, less tumor burden | [53] |
|                   | CSFR1 inhibition | W2580 or AZD7507 | Preventing macrophages recruitment and activation | Xenograft model of human CCA cell lines, TAA-induced rat CCA model | Decreased CD68⁺ and CD163⁺ macrophage, downregulation of Wnt7b, Ctnnb1, cell cycle related genes (Ccn1, Ctgf, Hedgehog receptors Pcth1 and SMO), progenitor phenotype genes (Jag1 and Klf5), reduced tumor load | [30] |
|                   | Anti-MCP-1 antibody | 2H5       | Preventing macrophages recruitment and activation | SNU-1079-generated human CCA cell xenografts | Reduced F4/80⁺ and CD206⁺ macrophages, significantly smaller tumors | [106] |
|                   | TWEAK/Fn14/NF-kB pathway | Fn14 knockout | Reduction of MCP-1 expression | TAA-treated mice | Reduced PanCk⁺ tumor epithelia cells and F4/80⁺ and CD206⁺ macrophages | |
| TAMs repolarization | Macrophage stimulation | Pyroptotic CCA cells supernatants induced by MTX-TMPs | Activate macrophages to release proinflammatory factors | CCA patients’ biliary drainage analysis | Upregulated production of CCL2, CCL3, CXCL1, CXCL5, IL-1β and IL-6 | |
| G-MDSCs and TAMs inhibition combined with anti-PD-1 therapy | G-MDSCs inhibition | Clone 1A8 or GW3965 | Elimination immunosuppressive G-MDSCs | YAP-driven mouse CCA model | Reduced G-MDSCs and TAMs in the tumor site, increased CD8⁺ T cell infiltration and activation, significantly lessen tumor burden, prolonged the survival of mice bearing SB tumors | [80] |
|                   | Anti-CSF1R | AFS98 | Preventing macrophages recruitment and activation, Promote anti-tumor immunity of CTLs | | | |
|                   | Anti-PD-1 antibodies | G4 | Preventing macrophages recruitment and activation, Promote anti-tumor immunity of CTLs | | | |
| CD40 agonist and anti-PD-1 therapy | Agonistic anti-CD40 | Clone FGK4.5 | Induction of effective anti-tumor immune responses | Subcutaneous and intrahepatic tumor injection model, AKT 250 and YAP-driven mouse CCA model | Reduced of tumor growth and improved survival, increased CD4⁺, CD8⁺ and NK cell infiltration, enhanced response to chemotherapy | [86] |
|                   | Anti-PD-1 antibodies | Clone 29F.1A12 | Promote anti-tumor immunity of CTLs | | | |
|                   | Cytotoxic agents | Gemcitabine and Cisplatin | Cell cycle inhibition and DNA replication inhibition | | | |
| CD47-SIRPα blockage | Anti-CD47 antibodies | B6H12.2 | Enhance macrophage phagocytosis | Transplenic intrahepatic metastasis mouse model | Reduced cancer colonization, increased phagocytic indices of resting, M1, M2, and TAM-like MDMs | [88] |
|                   | Anti-SIRPα | SE3A5 | | | |
induced macrophages to produce proinflammatory cytokines IL-6 and CCL2, as well as neutrophil and T cell attractants including TNF, IL-1β, CCL3, CCL20 and CXCL5, triggering a secondary wave of neutrophil and naïve T cell migration to the bile duct. In addition, TAMs could change their polarization states in response to eCCA pyroptosis and further promote TME transition to a proinflammatory state [106]. Besides, as mentioned above, TAMs showed a complex interaction with other immune cells, combination of TAMs reprogramming with other immunotherapies (such as ICβ) may have a cooperative effect in treating malignancies, especially drug-resistant malignancies, such as CCA. However, these hypotheses are still in the experimental stage, with their relevant effects still remain unclear. Further studies are required to reveal the practicability of these strategies (Table 2).

7. Outstanding questions

TAMs play an important role in tumor progression via complicated interplay with CCA and other TME components. They play an important role in carcinogenesis, including cancer cell proliferation, metastasis, angiogenesis and immune suppression. Due to highly malignancy and severe drug-resistance, seeking more efficient treatment strategies for CCA are urgently needed. As the revealing of diversity protumor effects of TME, a new era of anti-tumor therapy has arrived. Targeting TME and tumor as a whole should be regarded as an integral therapy policy [107]. In particular, TAMs in tumor have shown great plasticity and tumor-promoting potential. It should be of great importance to suppress tumor cells and shape macrophages in the meantime. Future investigations targeting TAMs will likely clarify the comprehensive understanding and novel therapy of CCA. However, there remains several problems to be solved. First, the mechanisms of the interaction between TAMs and CCA has not been elucidated, and currently available studies are relatively rare and cannot provide us a complete network system for the interaction between TAMs and CCA. The regulation network of tumor and its TME covers a very wide range includes cytokines, exosomes, non-coding RNAs, as well as metabolites [108–110]. There still exist many unknown fields to be explored. Second, since studies targeting TAMs and CCA are relatively small than other tumors, whether the role of TAMs in other tumor processes has the same effects in CCA remains to be further determined. Third, the definition of TAMs may need to be improved. Although the M2 type does have tumor-promoting effects, as aforementioned, apart from M2, the atypical phenotypes of macrophages that may encourage CCA growth. And new markers such as TREM-1+, TREM-2+, GATA6+, VEGFR3 and podoplanin notypes of macrophages that may encourage CCA growth. And new need to be improved. Although the M2 type does have tumor-promoting potential. It should be shown great plasticity and tumor-promoting potential. It should be clarified the comprehensive understanding and novel therapy of CCA. Thus, further effort should be made to clarify the interaction between TAMs and CCA before targeting this interaction in clinical practice.

Search strategy and selection criteria

Data for this review were identified by searches of MEDLINE, PubMed, and references from relevant articles using the search terms “macrophage”, “cholangiocarcinoma”, and “tumor microenvironment”. Abstracts and reports from meetings were included only when they related directly to previously published work. Only articles published in English between 2010 and 2020 were included.
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