RESEARCH LETTER

Nephrology Trainee Education Needs Assessment: Five Years and a Pandemic Later

To The Editor:

Seventy-four percent of nephrology fellows reported exclusively receiving virtual didactics during the early part of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. In response to this rapid shift to online instruction, we sought to describe usage of novel digital educational resources, including free open access medical education (FOAMed; eg, online journal clubs, podcasts, and blogs), by current adult and pediatric nephrology fellows through a survey. Observed utilization rates were compared with rates reported from a prepandemic study.

Our cross-sectional survey targeted 920 current adult and pediatric nephrology fellows. Participants gave informed consent by clicking a checkbox after reading the study information. Questions assessing fellows’ educational resource usage, fellows’ perceived effectiveness of each resource they utilized, and fellows’ overall rating of the education quality in their programs were drawn from a 2016 cross-sectional survey of adult trainees (320 participants, 37% response) previously tested for face and content validity (Item S1). Our survey was disseminated via email May 4 to 31, 2021, using unique uniform resource locators (Qualtrics) (Item S2).

Educational resources were categorized as traditional (eg, textbooks), digital-paid (eg, UpToDate), or digital-FOAMed (eg, NephJC). Intracohort differences were analyzed based on respondents’ medical school (international medical graduates vs US medical graduates) and median respondent age (less than or equal to 33 years or greater than or equal to 34 years). Changes between 2016 and 2021 responses were also assessed (greater than or equal to 34 years). Changes between 2016 and 2021 responses were assessed (greater than or equal to 34 years). Changes between 2016 and 2021.

Between 2016 and 2021, digital resource use generally increased (Fig 1) with more fellows using NephJC (from 7% to 32%; 46% rating it “very effective” in 2021) and the Kidney Self-Assessment Program (27% to 58%; 65% “very effective” in 2021). Among new resources, NephMadness was the most used (28%), and NephSIM and GlomCon the highest rated (58% and 56%, “very effective,” respectively). NephJC, NephSIM, and GlomCon were perceived as “very effective” by >50% of users, similar to another study of NephSIM users.

These trends are not unique to nephrology. A 2017 study of residency program directors reported widespread use of “asynchronous, e-learning” content, with 71% of residencies using digital resources at least sometimes or more with perceived-effectiveness ratings comparable to our study. Meta-analyses demonstrated asynchronous resources improved educational outcomes comparably to traditional ones and found “blended” resources (asynchronous and asynchronous) noninferior to traditional ones. Substituting 1 hour of traditional lectures with asynchronous digital content in an emergency medicine program resulted in no change in in-training examination scores. This suggests digital learning is at least noninferior to traditional methods and offers advantages like flexible scheduling.

Our study has important limitations. We did not assess how frequently fellows use each resource or which were most preferred. We were also unable to measure the impact of these resources on outcomes, such as board scores and patient safety, and note that cohort stratification variables may not be substantively meaningful. Despite these limitations, our description of educational resource use provides insight into nephrology fellows’ learning habits, which can inform curricular development.

Our findings underscore the need for critical appraisal of quality of novel digital resources like FOAMed. Future studies should focus on (1) applying validated tools to assess FOAMed content and reliability and (2) measuring hard outcomes (eg, certification examination scores) among users and nonusers. Because FOAMed is universally available, it represents an opportunity to provide standardized education to training programs regardless of size or location. However, our data suggests that international medical graduates and older fellows use digital resources less frequently. Therefore, if future studies demonstrate that digital resources associate with positive outcomes, work is needed to ensure that all faculty and fellows are able to access and interface with them.

Strengths of our study include a nationally representative sample of nephrology fellows with a high response rate and a comparison between fellow responses between 2016 and 2021.
Table 1. Educational Resource Rankings by Cohort

| Resource (N Using Resource) | All Fellow Respondents % Respondents Rated Very Effective | Resource Used by Fellows Stratified by Educational Status | Resource Used by Fellows Stratified by Fellow Age (Median Age = 33 y) |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Digital-Paid                |                                                          |                                                          |                                                          |
| UpToDate (419)              | 66%                                                      | 100% (223) 100% (196)                                    | 100% (240) 100% (172)                                    |
| ASN KSAP (293)              | 65%                                                      | 69% (154) 71% (139)                                     | 67% (161) 73% (126)                                     |
| ASN NephSAP (191)           | 45%                                                      | 48% (108) 42% (83)                                     | 45% (108) 47% (81)                                     |
| Doximity (43)               | 18%                                                      | 12% (26) 9% (17)                                       | 10% (23) 12% (20)                                       |
| Digital-FOAMed              |                                                          |                                                          |                                                          |
| Renal Fellow Network (235)  | 40%                                                      | 52% (117) 60% (118)                                     | 59% (141) 52% (90)                                     |
| NephJC (166)                | 46%                                                      | 34% (76) 46% (90)                                       | 45% (107) 34% (58)                                     |
| Twitter (145)               | 35%                                                      | 30% (67) 40% (78)                                       | 40% (97) 27% (46)                                      |
| NephMadness (144)           | 31%                                                      | 29% (64) 41% (80)                                       | 38% (91) 29% (50)                                      |
| Nephrology podcasts (118)  | 38%                                                      | 28% (62) 29% (56)                                       | 31% (75) 24% (41)                                      |
| GlomCon (106)               | 56%                                                      | 27% (60) 23% (46)                                       | 26% (62) 25% (43)                                      |
| NephSIM (98)                | 58%                                                      | 25% (55) 22% (43)                                       | 23% (56) 24% (42)                                      |
| AJKD blog (77)              | 41%                                                      | 19% (42) 18% (35)                                       | 20% (47) 17% (29)                                      |
| Arkana Pathology Series (66)| 39%                                                      | 18% (40) 13% (26)                                       | 18% (42) 14% (24)                                      |
| NephroPOCUS (44)            | 31%                                                      | 11% (24) 10% (20)                                       | 11% (26) 10% (17)                                      |
| Medicine podcasts (43)      | 35%                                                      | 9% (19) 12% (24)                                        | 12% (28) 8% (14)                                       |
| Traditional                 |                                                          |                                                          |                                                          |
| CJASN articles (274)        | 39%                                                      | 58% (130) 73% (144)                                     | 69% (165) 62% (106)                                    |
| KDIGO/KDOQI Clinical Practice Guidelines (255) | 42% | 61% (137) 60% (118) | 61% (146) 62% (107) |
| JASN articles (249)         | 33%                                                      | 56% (124) 64% (125)                                     | 59% (142) 60% (104)                                    |
| AJKD articles (222)         | 37%                                                      | 47% (104) 60% (118)                                     | 55% (133) 49% (85)                                     |
| Textbooks (220)             | 37%                                                      | 52% (115) 54% (105)                                     | 48% (115) 59% (101)                                    |
| Journal articles in general (119) | 30% | 36% (80) 61% (119) | 50% (119) 45% (77) |

Abbreviations: AJKD, American Journal of Kidney Diseases; ASN, American Society of Nephrology; CJASN, Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology; FOAMed, free open access medical education; IMG, international medical graduate; JASN, Journal of the American Society of Nephrology; KDIGO, Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes; KDOQI, Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative; KSAP, Kidney Self-Assessment Program; USMG, US medical graduate.

In conclusion, digital educational resources use significantly increased between 2016 and 2021. Studies are needed to evaluate their quality and effectiveness relative to more traditional ones.
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