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ABSTRACT

Context. The stellar helium-to-metal enrichment ratio, $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$, is a widely studied astrophysical quantity. However, its value is still not precisely constrained.

Aims. This paper is focused on the study of the main sources of uncertainty which affect the $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ ratio derived from the analysis of the low-main sequence (MS) stars in the solar neighborhood.

Methods. The possibility to infer the value of the helium-to-metal enrichment ratio from the study of low-MS stars relies on the dependence of the stellar luminosity and effective temperature on the initial helium and metal abundances. The $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ ratio is obtained by comparing the magnitude difference between the observed stars and a reference theoretical zero age main sequence (ZAMS) with the related theoretical magnitude differences computed from a new set of stellar models with up-to-date input physics and a fine grid of chemical compositions. A Monte Carlo approach has been used to evaluate the impact on the result of different sources of uncertainty, i.e., observational errors, evolutionary effects, systematic uncertainties of the models. As a check of the procedure, the method has been applied to a different data set, namely the low-MS of the Hyades.

Results. Once a set of ZAMS and atmosphere models have been chosen, we found that the inferred value of $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ is sensitive to the age of the stellar sample, even if we restricted the data set to low luminosity stars. The lack of an accurate age estimate of low mass field stars leads to an underestimate of the inferred $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ of ~ 2 units. On the contrary the method firmly recovers the $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ value for not evolved samples of stars such as the Hyades low-MS. Adopting a solar calibrated mixing-length parameter and the PHOENIX GAIA v2.6.1 atmospheric models, we found $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 5.3 \pm 1.4$ once the age correction has been applied. The Hyades sample provided a perfectly consistent value.

Conclusions. We have demonstrated that the assumption that low-mass stars in the solar neighborhood can be considered as un-evolved, does not necessarily hold, and it may indeed lead to a bias in the inferred $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$. The effect of the still poorly constrained efficiency of the superadiabatic convection and of different atmosphere models adopted to transform luminosities and effective temperature into colors and magnitudes have been discussed, too.

Key words. Galaxy: fundamental parameters – solar neighborhood – Stars: abundances – Stars: low-mass

1. Introduction

It is a well known and firm result of stellar evolution studies that the main structural, observational and evolutionary characteristics of a star of given mass depend sensitively on the original chemical composition, i.e., the initial helium and metal abundances, Y and Z, respectively. As a consequence, these parameters affect also the observable quantities of stellar systems, from star clusters to galaxies.

While the present Z in a stellar atmosphere can be obtained by the direct spectroscopic measurements of some tracer elements, mainly iron, with the additional assumption on the mixture of heavy elements, the situation of Y is completely different. In the vast majority of stars the helium lines can not be observed, with the exception of those hotter than 20,000 K. This means that for the low-mass stars, which are the most common and long-lasting objects in the Universe, helium can be directly observed only in advanced evolutionary phases, as the blue part of the horizontal branch or in the post-asymptotic giant branch. Thus, the actually measured helium abundance is not the original one, but the result of several complex processes, like dredge-up of nuclearly processed material, diffusion and radiative levitation, which severely alter the surface chemical composition.

As a consequence, in order to evaluate the original Y, the only possibility is to rely on indirect methods. This explains why such an important parameter is still poorly constrained.

As early suggested by Peimbert & Torres-Peimbert [1974] from the analysis of the chemical composition of the HII regions in the Large Magellanic Cloud, a common approach in both stellar and population synthesis models is to assume a linear relationship between the original Y and Z,

\[ Y = Y_p + \frac{\Delta Y}{\Delta Z} \times Z \]  

where $Y_p$ is the primordial helium content, i.e., the result of the Big Bang nucleosynthesis, and $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ the ratio which provides the stellar nucleosynthesis enrichment.

In the last four decades there has been a continuous effort to try to constrain both the $Y_p$ (see e.g. Peebles 1966; Churchwell, Mezger & Huchtmeier 1974; Peimbert & Torres-Peimbert 1974, 1978; Lequeux et al. 1979).
Indeed, as previously mentioned, the relationship between $Y$ and $Z$ adopted in stellar evolution models directly affects some important quantities of stellar systems, both resolved and not, inferred by comparing observations and theoretical predictions. Thus, a precise determination of $Y_f$ and $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ is of paramount importance for the studies not only of stellar evolution, but also of galaxy evolution. Furthermore, an accurate estimate of $Y_f$ is of great cosmological interest, as it constrains the early evolution of the Universe, when the Big Bang nucleosynthesis occurred. In this paper we will focus on the value of the $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ ratio.

In the past, several techniques have been used to determine such a ratio by means of HII regions, both galactic and extragalactic, planetary nebulae (PNe), the Sun, and chemical evolution models of the Galaxy (see Sect. 9 for a brief summary of these results).

An alternative and well established way to determine the value of the helium-to-metallicity enrichment ratio takes advantage of the dependence of the location of stars in the Hertzsprung-Russell (HR) diagram on their helium content. From the study of stellar populations in the galactic bulge Renzini (1994) inferred $2 \leq \Delta Y/\Delta Z \leq 3$. A frequently adopted approach relies on the analysis of the fine structure of the low-MS of the local field stars in the HR diagram. Pioneers of such an approach have been Faulkner, who found $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 3.5$ (Faulkner 1967) and Perrin and collaborators, who obtained $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 3$ (Perrin et al. 1973). Following these early studies, Fernandes, Lebretón & Baglin (1996a) constrained the value of $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ to be larger than 2, by comparing the broadening in the HR diagram of the low-MS stars in the solar neighborhood and the theoretical ZAMS of several $Y$ and $Z$. With a similar approach but taking advantage of Hipparcos data, Pagel & Portinari (1998) inferred $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 3 \pm 2$. This kind of approach culminated recently in the works by Jimenez et al. (2003) and Casagrande et al. (2007) who provided, respectively, $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 2.1 \pm 0.4$ and $2.1 \pm 0.9$.

The present analysis deals with the determination of the $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ ratio by means of the comparison between the local K dwarf stars, for which accurate measures of both the [Fe/H] and parallaxes are available, and state-of-the-art stellar models. A great effort has been devoted to discuss the effect of the main uncertainties still present in stellar models on the inferred value of $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$.

In Sect. 2 we present the set of low-mass stellar models we have computed for this paper; in Sect. 3 we describe the data set we have used; Section 4 contains the description of the analysis method, while Sect. 5 deals with the possible sources of uncertainty that could affect the method itself. Results for the adopted data set are presented in Sect. 6. In Sect. 7 we investigate the possibility of a non-linear relation between $Y$ and $Z$. In Sect. 8 we apply our method to an independent and unevolved set of stars, i.e. the Hyades low-main sequence. We compare our results to those obtained by other authors, with independent methods, in Sect. 9. Section 10 contains the final discussion and summary of the whole paper.

2. The models

The stellar models have been computed on purpose for the present work with an updated version of the FRANCe evolutionary code which includes the state-of-the-art input physics (see e.g. Chieffi & Straniero 1989, Degl’Innocenti et al. 2008, Valle et al. 2009; Tognelli, Prada Moroni & Degl’Innocenti 2010). The main updating of the code with respect to previous versions include the 2006 release of the OPAL Equation of state (EOS) (see also Rogers et al. 1996) and, for temperatures higher than 12000 K, radiative opacity table (see also Iles et al. 1999). The opacity tables have been calculated by assuming the solar mixture by Asplund et al. (2005).

The extension of the convectively unstable regions is determined by using the classical Schwarzschild criterion. The mixing length formalism (Bohm-Vitense 1958) is used to model the super-adiabatic convection typical of the outer layers. As it is well known, within this simplified scheme, the efficiency of convective transport depends on a free parameter that has to be calibrated using observations. We adopted the usual “solar” calibration of the $\alpha$ of the mixing-length. More in detail, this means that we chose the value of $\alpha = 1.97$ provided by a standard solar model (SSM) computed with the same FRANCe code and the same input physics we used to compute all the other stellar tracks.

Note that the “solar” calibrated value of the $\alpha$ parameter strongly depends on the chosen outer boundary conditions needed to solve the differential equations describing the inner stellar structure, that is, the main physical quantities at the base of the photosphere (e.g. Montalban et al. 2004, Tognelli, Prada Moroni & Degl’Innocenti 2010). In order to get these quantities, we followed the procedure commonly adopted in stellar computations, which consists in a direct integration of the equations describing a 1D atmosphere in hydrostatic equilibrium and in the diffusive approximation of radiative transport, plus a grey $T(\tau)$ relationship between the temperature and the optical depth. The classical semiempirical $T(\tau)$ relationship by Krishna Swamy (1966) has been chosen. If a non-grey and more realistic model atmosphere is used, the solar calibrated value of $\alpha$ is different (see e.g. Tognelli, Prada Moroni & Degl’Innocenti 2010, for a detailed discussion).

Moreover, the “solar” calibrated value of the $\alpha$ parameter depends also on the input physics adopted in the SSM computation. Thus, to the sake of consistency, if the solar calibration approach is followed to fix the $\alpha$ parameter of a set of stellar models, the input physics and boundary conditions adopted to compute these models have to be the same as those used in the reference SSM. In spite of its widespread use, the solar calibration of the mixing-length does not rely on a firm theoretical ground, since there are not compelling reasons to guarantee that the efficiency of superadiabatic convective transport should be same in stars of different mass and/or in different stages of evolution (see e.g. Montalban et al. 2004 and references therein). However, for
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what concerns the present paper, such an approach should be quite safe, since we deal with stars in the mass range 0.7-0.9 $M_\odot$ and that are on the Main Sequence.

We also computed models with a different value of $\alpha$, namely 2.4, in order to quantify the effect of an uncertainty in the efficiency of the mixing-length on the inferred $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ ratio.

Our reference theoretical models have been transformed from the (log $T_\text{eff}$, log $L/L_\odot$) to the ($B-V$, $M_V$) diagram by means of synthetic photometry using the spectra database GAIA v2.6.1 calculated from PHOENIX model atmospheres (Brott et al. 2005). We performed additional simulations adopting the Castelli & Kurucz (2003) model atmospheres, to check the effect of the adopted color transformations on the inferred $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ ratio.

The original helium abundance in the stellar models has been obtained following Equation (1), where $Z$, once a solar mixture is assumed, is directly related to the observable $[\text{Fe/H}]$ (Brott et al. 2005). We performed additional simulations adopting the GAIA v2.6.1 calculated from PHOENIX model atmospheres (Brott et al. 2005). We performed additional simulations adopting the Castelli & Kurucz (2003) model atmospheres, to check the effect of the adopted color transformations on the inferred $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ ratio.

The original helium abundance in the stellar models has been obtained following Equation (1), where $Z$, once a solar mixture is assumed, is directly related to the observable $[\text{Fe/H}]$ (Brott et al. 2005). We performed additional simulations adopting the GAIA v2.6.1 calculated from PHOENIX model atmospheres (Brott et al. 2005). We performed additional simulations adopting the Castelli & Kurucz (2003) model atmospheres, to check the effect of the adopted color transformations on the inferred $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ ratio.

The original helium abundance in the stellar models has been obtained following Equation (1), where $Z$, once a solar mixture is assumed, is directly related to the observable $[\text{Fe/H}]$ (Brott et al. 2005). We performed additional simulations adopting the GAIA v2.6.1 calculated from PHOENIX model atmospheres (Brott et al. 2005). We performed additional simulations adopting the Castelli & Kurucz (2003) model atmospheres, to check the effect of the adopted color transformations on the inferred $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ ratio.

The original helium abundance in the stellar models has been obtained following Equation (1), where $Z$, once a solar mixture is assumed, is directly related to the observable $[\text{Fe/H}]$ (Brott et al. 2005). We performed additional simulations adopting the GAIA v2.6.1 calculated from PHOENIX model atmospheres (Brott et al. 2005). We performed additional simulations adopting the Castelli & Kurucz (2003) model atmospheres, to check the effect of the adopted color transformations on the inferred $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ ratio.

The original helium abundance in the stellar models has been obtained following Equation (1), where $Z$, once a solar mixture is assumed, is directly related to the observable $[\text{Fe/H}]$ (Brott et al. 2005). We performed additional simulations adopting the GAIA v2.6.1 calculated from PHOENIX model atmospheres (Brott et al. 2005). We performed additional simulations adopting the Castelli & Kurucz (2003) model atmospheres, to check the effect of the adopted color transformations on the inferred $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ ratio.

The original helium abundance in the stellar models has been obtained following Equation (1), where $Z$, once a solar mixture is assumed, is directly related to the observable $[\text{Fe/H}]$ (Brott et al. 2005). We performed additional simulations adopting the GAIA v2.6.1 calculated from PHOENIX model atmospheres (Brott et al. 2005). We performed additional simulations adopting the Castelli & Kurucz (2003) model atmospheres, to check the effect of the adopted color transformations on the inferred $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ ratio.
Table 1. [Fe/H] for the 4 stars in common in the Taylor (2005) and Geneva-Copenhagen catalogs. T05, N04, H07 refer to Taylor (2005), Nordström et al. (2004) and Holmberg et al. (2007) respectively.

| HIPPARCOS ID | T05 | N04 | H07 |
|--------------|-----|-----|-----|
| HIP 79190    | -0.410 | -0.340 | -0.300 |
| HIP 88972    | -0.157 | -0.080 | -0.080 |
| HIP 99711    | -0.006 | 0.070  | 0.070  |
| HIP 116745   | -0.336 | -0.220 | -0.220 |

decided to apply the -0.023 offset to the Geneva-Copenhagen metallicities in their old version, i.e. the N04, since it is for the Nordström et al. (2004) calibration that Taylor (2005) evaluates the zero-point offset.

In the Geneva-Copenhagen survey the repeated radial velocity measurements allow detections of almost all the possible binaries in the sample; we flagged out all the suspect binaries in metallicities in their old version, i.e. the N04, since it is for the Nordström et al. (2004) calibration that Taylor (2005) evaluates the zero-point offset.

Our final sample is made of 103 stars with good parallaxes, photometry and [Fe/H] determinations. The typical errors are given by: \(\sigma(M_V) \approx 0.1 \text{mag}, \sigma(B - V) \approx 0.03 \text{mag} \) and \(\sigma([\text{Fe/H}]) \approx 0.1 \text{dex}\). The error on the absolute magnitude is mainly due to the error on the parallax.

Metallicities range from [Fe/H] = -0.6 dex to +0.6 dex; magnitudes range from \(M_V = 6.0 \text{mag} \) to \(M_V = 7.5 \text{mag}\). The color-magnitude diagram (CMD) for the data is shown in Fig. 1 where the data are grouped in [Fe/H] bins 0.2 dex wide.

4. The method

The idea to determine the \(\Delta Y/\Delta Z\) ratio using the position of low-mass MS stars in the HR diagram relies on the well known dependence of their luminosity and effective temperature on the original Y and Z. It is in fact firmly established that an increase of Y at fixed Z makes a star brighter and hotter. A decrease of Z at fixed Y leads to the same result. Such a behavior is the consequence of the opacity and mean molecular weight, i.e. the former gets higher as Z increases, while the latter grows with Y.

As early shown by Faulkner (1967), who studied the effect of chemical composition variations on the position of theoretical ZAMS, a simple but instructive explanation of this behavior can be obtained by means of homology relations (see also Fernandes, Lebreton & Baglin, 1996). Within this framework, it can be shown that varying Y and Z in such a way that \(\Delta Y \approx 5 \Delta Z\), leaves the bolometric magnitude \(M_{\text{bol}}\) of ZAMS at fixed effective temperature unchanged. This explains why the broadening of the local low-MS provides a \(\Delta Y/\Delta Z\) indicator.

However, this is not the whole story as clearly proven by Castellani, Deleti’ Innocenti & Marconi (1999), who computed a fine grid of full evolutionary models of ZAMS stars with several metal and helium abundances. They showed that the \(M_{\text{bol}}\) of ZAMS at a given \(T_{\text{eff}}\) depends quadratically on log Z and that only in a narrow range around the solar metallicity such a dependence can be reasonably linearized to \(\Delta Y/\Delta Z \approx 5\) (see also Fernandes, Lebreton & Baglin, 1996). In addition, when comparing ZAMS models with real stars, one has to take into account the effect on the color indices.

Castellani, Deleti’ Innocenti & Marconi (1999) showed that a \(\Delta Y/\Delta Z \approx 7\) is required to keep unchanged the \(B - V\) color at \(M_V = 6\) in a narrow range around \(Z_\odot\). The discrepancy between the \(\Delta Y/\Delta Z\) required to keep the \(M_{\text{bol}}\) and \(B - V\) unchanged clearly proves the crucial role played by the model atmospheres.

The use of ZAMS models is allowed as long as observational data really lie on the ZAMS, or very close to it. Although this assumption is implicit in many studies which derive the \(\Delta Y/\Delta Z\) from the fine structure of the low-MS, a detailed discussion on the effect of a deviation from such an assumption on the inferred value of the helium-to-metal enrichment ratio is still lacking.

The reason is that very faint (\(M_V \geq 6\)) local MS stars are usually considered as if they were still on the ZAMS, since their evolutionary timescales are longer than the Galactic Disk age. We will show in Sect. 5.4 that this assumption is indeed critical, since underestimating the effects of age on both the position of the stars in the CMD and the diffusion of heavy elements below the photosphere leads to a severe bias in the final estimate of the enrichment ratio. We take this bias into account when giving the final result on \(\Delta Y/\Delta Z\) for our work.

Following Jimenez et al. (2003), we did not directly use the broadening of the local low-MS in the CMD, instead, we compared models and data in a diagram like that of Fig. 2 right panel. After choosing a reference ZAMS, theoretical differences in magnitude, \(\Delta M_V\), between that reference ZAMS and the other ZAMS curves, computed for different values of [Fe/H] and \(\Delta Y/\Delta Z\), are measured at a fixed value of the color index \(B - V\) (see Fig. 2 left panel). We checked that, within the current accuracy of the data, the derived \(\Delta Y/\Delta Z\) value is not affected by changing the reference ZAMS and/or the color index value. In fact, the ZAMS loci, in the range of magnitudes and colors that is involved here, are almost parallel to each other and the effect of the uncertain position of the star caused by the observational errors is much larger then that caused by a different choice of the reference ZAMS and/or the color index value.

The differences \(\Delta M_V\) obviously depend on the chemical composition, i.e. on \(\Delta Y/\Delta Z\) and [Fe/H], as is clearly visible in Fig. 2 (right panel). Observational differences between the data set and the same reference ZAMS are also measured in the way illustrated in Fig. 2 (left panel) and are plotted in Fig. 2 (right panel) as a function of [Fe/H].

To find the value of \(\Delta Y/\Delta Z\) that gives the best fit to the data, we assign to each star errors in the three quantities \(M_V\), \(B - V\) and [Fe/H]. The errors in \(M_V\) and \(B - V\) are assigned in the CMD, i.e. before the differences \(\Delta M_V\) are calculated; then the error in [Fe/H] is assigned in the \((\Delta M_V, [\text{Fe/H}])\) diagram. Magnitude and color errors are considered to be distributed as gaussian with \(\sigma\) equal to the quoted uncertainty for that star; the assumption of gaussian errors is reasonable, considering that they come from the HIPPARCOS photometric errors plus (for the absolute magnitude) the HIPPARCOS parallax error; these two sources of error are independent and our objects are all close by and have well determined parallaxes, so that they don’t suffer the Lutz-Kelker bias. Regarding the [Fe/H] value the situation is different, since the errors associated to each value are highly affected by systematic effects like the choice of the temperature scale; for this reason, and since we can not reconstruct the real error distribution in [Fe/H], we adopted an uniform distribution of [Fe/H] errors. Anyway, we have also checked that a gaussian distribution for [Fe/H] values leaves the results essentially unaffected.
Fig. 2. Illustrative example of how theoretical and observational differences are computed and used to produce a $\Delta M_V$ vs. [Fe/H] diagram. Left: Theoretical differences are obtained with respect to a reference ZAMS computed using $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 2$ and [Fe/H] = 0.0 this (solid line) at the reference color of $B - V = 0.95$ (dotted vertical line); the dashed lines are ZAMS computed for $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 4$ and [Fe/H] $\in [-0.6, 0.2]$. Observational differences (represented by the distance between filled and empty stars) are calculated for an observed star at a given color with respect to the same reference ZAMS. Right: The differences are used to place both ZAMS and stars in the $\Delta M_V$ vs. [Fe/H] diagram. The solid line corresponds to the curve of $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 4$ (the ZAMS of the left panel); the dot-dashed line to $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 0.5$; the dotted line to $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 8$.

Once a “new” data set is created from the original value plus the errors, we determine the theoretical curve which minimizes the quantity:

$$D_j = \sum_i \left( \frac{\Delta M_{V,j} - \Delta M_{V,j}([\text{Fe/H}])}{\sigma(\Delta M_{V,j})} \right)^2,$$

where $j$ runs over the curves (i.e. over different $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ values) and $i$ over the data. The quantity $\Delta M_{V,j}([\text{Fe/H}])$ is the value of the magnitude difference for the $j$-th theoretical ZAMS, calculated for the [Fe/H] value of the $i$-th star. The scheme is iterated in order to obtain not only the best fitting value but also an estimate of the error on the final result due to the observational uncertainties. For each iteration we take the value that minimizes Equation 3 and then plot an histogram of the number of best-fit occurrences for each $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ in our models grid. A gaussian fit to the histogram is performed and the mean and the standard deviation of this gaussian are used as estimators of the true $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ and its error. Figure 2 shows the histogram of occurrences for a Monte Carlo simulation with a total of $10^5$ iterations using our data set of 103 stars; superimposed is the best-fitting gaussian. We will discuss in Sect. 6 why, in this particular case, a gaussian curve does not fit well the histogram of occurrences, with the central part of the histogram which is too broad and flat to be well approximated by a single gaussian. We just anticipate that this discrepancy is mainly due to the offsets in the [Fe/H] scales for N04 and T05, even after the correction of Table 10 of Taylor (2005) is applied to the N04 data.

5. Analysis of possible sources of uncertainty using artificial data sets

To check the reliability of the procedure described above and to evaluate the contribution of the various possible sources of uncertainty, we applied our method to a number of artificial data sets with controlled input parameters.

These sets have been created by interpolation in our fine grid of stellar models. Stellar masses are randomly generated from a power law initial mass function (IMF) $\frac{dN}{dm} \sim c \times m^{-\alpha}$ with a slope of $\alpha = 2.3$ (Salpeter 1955, Kroupa 2001); an IMF with a unique value of the exponent is a good law in our range of simulated masses ($0.5 \leq M_{\text{sim}}/M_\odot \leq 1$). The chemical composition is calculated by fixing the input value of $(\Delta Y/\Delta Z)_{\text{in}}$ and extracting random values for [Fe/H] for each star; $Y$ and $Z$ are then calculated using the two Equations 1 and 2. In what concerns the stellar ages generation, we performed different kind of simulations, adopting three age-laws (i.e. star formation rates, SFRs), namely a Dirac’s delta centered about a given age (i.e. coeval
stars), a uniform and an exponentially decaying age distribution, respectively, in the range 0–7 Gyr, a reasonable approximation for the age of the galactic disk.

Since the FRANEC code includes a treatment of diffusion of the elements, given a star of age $\tau$, we take from the models the corresponding surface value of $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]_\tau$, which is different from the initial value $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]_0$ because of diffusion itself. This is the value that we use for the recovery, because in a real star the observed $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ is the present one and not the initial. Note that neglecting diffusion may lead to a bias (an underestimate, indeed) in the final $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ if the sample of stars is old enough to have experienced a not negligible amount of diffusion of heavy elements; we will show this in Sect. 5.2.

When generating the stellar models parameters for our sets of stars, we do not take into account any age-metallicity relation, hence $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ and age values are independently and randomly extracted. Recent works (Nordström et al. 2004; Holmberg et al. 2007) have indeed shown that there is no evidence of an age-metallicity relation for local disk stars. Given mass, age and chemical composition, we interpolated in our fine grid of stellar models to obtain the observational properties of the simulated stars. The number of stars in each simulated set is 110, a number comparable to the 103 stars of our real data sample.

In the following and in the related figures, we will refer to the input parameters of our simulated samples using the subscript $in$ and to the output of the recovery method using the subscript $out$.

5.1. The effect of measurements errors

The first test we have performed was made to check whether our recovery method was able to get the right $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ from an “ideal” sample of stars affected only by observational errors on the magnitude, color and $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ values. By ideal we mean a sample that, regardless of masses and $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ distribution (which indeed were generated in a completely random fashion), contains only stars really lying on the ZAMS. It is worth to point out that in the case of the real data, this is only a simplifying assumption, which can not be exactly fulfilled, since the observed stars in our sample have unknown ages that span the whole range of ages in the Galactic disk.

Once the artificial sample has been generated, we associated to each star an error in absolute magnitude, color and $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ typical of our real sample of data, i.e., 0.1 mag, 0.03 mag and 0.1 dex respectively (see Sect. 5).

We found that our recovery method is not affected by observational errors of this order of magnitude. As it is possible to see in Fig. 4, given a $(\Delta Y/\Delta Z)_\text{in}$ of 4, the best value that comes out from our Monte Carlo method and the gaussian fit to the histogram of occurrences is indeed $(\Delta Y/\Delta Z)_\text{out} = 3.87 \pm 0.63$. So the outcome of the method is perfectly consistent with the input value and; moreover it has a very small range of 1.26 at a level of 1$\sigma$, which is comparable to the resolution in our models grid (1 unit), and which we may quote as the nominal or intrinsic error of the method, associated to the typical error of the actual data.

5.2. The effects of age and heavy elements diffusion

Although our stellar sample has been obtained by selecting very faint stars ($M_V > 6$ mag, i.e. $M \lesssim 0.9 M_{\odot}$, the actual value depending on the chemical composition), we found that evolutionary effects strongly affect the final result introducing a non negligible bias. This is actually one of the most important results of this work. Thus, one should be very careful in properly taking into account the evolutionary effects, i.e. the displacement from the ZAMS, when helium-to-metals enrichment ratio is derived from the low MS fine structure.

Indeed, by creating artificial stellar data sets with $(\Delta Y/\Delta Z)_\text{in} = 4$, but no longer on their ZAMS position, we found an output value of our recovery method of $(\Delta Y/\Delta Z)_\text{out} = 2$. The actual best-fit value for each simulated data set depends on the exact parameters used to generate the artificial sample, like the maximum age or the functional form of the age distribution (uniform or with an exponentially decaying SFR). The total number of stars, their $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ and magnitude ranges are always kept the same between the different simulated data set. Figure 5 shows the results of our method using data sets where the
Fig. 6. The evolution of stars mimics lower values of the enrichment ratio. A 7 Gyr isochrone calculated for $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 4$ and [Fe/H]=0 is very similar to a ZAMS with $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 2$ and [Fe/H]=0. The arrow indicates the effect of evolution on the position of the isochrones.

simulated stars have ages uniformly distributed between 0 and 7 Gyr. The dashed line indicates the results when the [Fe/H] values associated to each star at a given age are the same as the ones at the ZAMS; whereas the solid line indicates the results when diffusion is taken into account, i.e. the value at a given time [Fe/H] is different from [Fe/H]$_{ZAMS}$. Even without taking into account diffusion, the method gives an output value of $(\Delta Y/\Delta Z)_{out} = 2.24 \pm 0.51$, quite different from $(\Delta Y/\Delta Z)_{out} = 4$. When also diffusion is taken into account, the best fit value suffers an additional shift, with $(\Delta Y/\Delta Z)_{out} = 1.81 \pm 0.63$. Since we don’t know what is the real age distribution of the stars of our sample we can not really quantify the bias, but after many experiments with several data set, we conclude that it must be of the order of $\mathcal{B}_{age}(\Delta Y/\Delta Z) = -2 \pm 0.5$.

The effect of evolution on the derived enrichment ratio is easy to understand by looking at Fig. 6. Here two ZAMS with different values of $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$, namely 2 and 4, and same [Fe/H] = 0.0 are shown together with isochrones of 1 and 7 Gyr, calculated with $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 4$ and [Fe/H] = 0.0; it is clear that evolution causes a shift of the whole curve towards redder colors in a completely indistinguishable fashion as a lower $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ does. As a summary, this means that, even if our observational data set has been selected with a very strict cutoff of $M_V = 6$ mag, evolutionary effects still play an important role. The real, unbiased value of $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ coming out from our analysis has then to be corrected, by subtracting $\mathcal{B}_{age}$ from the nominal value given by the Monte Carlo method. We then expect that the real value is higher by about two units than what can be found by blindly applying this method to the data.

5.3. The effects of the uncertainty on the mixing-length efficiency

The current generation of stellar models is not yet able to firmly predict the effective temperature of stars with a convective envelope, such as those belonging to our sample. The reason is that a satisfactory and fully consistent theory of convection in superadiabatic regimes is still lacking, hence a very simplified approach is usually followed. The approach commonly adopted in the vast majority of evolutionary codes is to implement the mixing-length theory (Böhm-Vitense 1958), in which the average efficiency of convective energy transport depends on a free parameter $\alpha$ that must be calibrated. Our reference set of stellar models has been computed adopting our solar calibrated value of the mixing length parameter, namely $\alpha = 1.97$. Nevertheless, we calculated a whole new grid of models using $\alpha = 2.4$ in order to evaluate the effect of this still uncertain parameter on the derived value of $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$. As well known, the predicted effective temperature of a stellar model with a convective envelope is an increasing function of the value of the mixing length parameter $\alpha$, as a consequence of the shallower temperature gradient due to a more efficient convective energy transfer.

Figure 7 shows the effect of different adopted $\alpha$ values on the calculated ZAMS. The assumed mixing-length parameter affects also the inferred $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ ratio, since it directly influences the predicted position in the HR diagram of the ZAMS models. As one can easily see in Fig. 7, in order to recover the ZAMS locus of models computed with a higher value of $\alpha$, a lower $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ ratio is needed. Notice also that, the impact of the mixing-length efficiency on the predicted effective temperature of ZAMS models of the same chemical composition becomes progressively smaller at faint magnitudes, i.e. for very low-masses. Such a behavior is the consequence of the almost adiabatic nature of convection in the envelopes of very-low mass stars ($M \lesssim 0.7M_\odot$), characterized by high densities and low temperatures.

Since an increase in $\alpha$ affects the models in the same direction as an increase in $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$, we find, as expected, that our recovery method gives a lower value of the enrichment ratio when ZAMS calculated for $\alpha = 2.4$ are used, that is, $(\Delta Y/\Delta Z)_{out} = 2.00 \pm 0.61$, quite different from $(\Delta Y/\Delta Z)_{out} = 4.0$. This is shown in Fig. 8 which reports the output of the Monte Carlo method, when the same data set of Sect. 5.1 is used, i.e. a set of stars lying on the ZAMS calculated with $\alpha = 1.97$. We already mentioned that the use of the solar calibration should be the safer choice when dealing with Main Sequence stars of solar-like masses such those of our data set. On the other hand, this numeric experiment allows to quantify the effect of a wrong assumption of the mixing

---

4 A single star becomes hotter and more luminous after leaving the ZAMS, here we are referring to the overall shape of the isochrones and ZAMS in this range of magnitudes and colors.
length parameter in the adopted stellar models on the inferred helium-to-metals enrichment ratio.

5.4. The effects of different transformations from the theoretical to the observational plane

To compare observational data with stellar models, one needs to transform the theoretical predictions of evolutionary codes from a plane parallel atmosphere, while PHOENIX code takes into account the curvature of the atmosphere, even though in spherical symmetry, i.e. keeping a 1D approach. This difference is not very important for dwarf stars, for which the curvature (i.e. the ratio of the extent of the atmosphere to the radius of the base of the atmosphere) is very small. More important is the different database of molecular opacities for the two models. While atomic opacities databases are similar between the two models, PHOENIX models include a lot of molecular species (~ 650) and molecular transitions (~ 550 millions) which play an important role for low mass stars, specially as the metallicity increases.

Figure [9] shows the comparison between ZAMS models transformed using the two sets of model atmosphere. It is evident how the PHOENIX ZAMS are always redder in the \( B - V \) color than the ATLAS9 ones at fixed magnitude. Moreover the differences in stellar colors increase with increasing mass (increasing magnitude) and also, at a given magnitude, the differences increase with increasing metallicity (from left to right in Fig. [9]). Note that both a lower temperature and a higher metal content favor the formation of chemical composites; in particular the first molecules start forming when the effective temperatures drops below ~ 4000K.

The sizeable difference in magnitude and color index between the same theoretical ZAMS transformed into the observational plane by the two quoted model atmospheres, directly translates into a large difference in the inferred \( \Delta Y/\Delta Z \) value. We used the ATLAS9 ZAMS, running our recovery method on a simulated data set with \( \Delta Y/\Delta Z \) in = 4 but generated using the PHOENIX ZAMS. The ATLAS9 ZAMS are so much bluer than the PHOENIX ones that in each iteration of the method we always find the lowest possible value of \( \Delta Y/\Delta Z \) available in our grid of models, i.e. 0.5.

The uncertainty due to the chosen model atmosphere is then by far the most severe source of uncertainty affecting the final value of the enrichment ratio, at least among the uncertainties coming from the models side.

5.5. The effects of different choices for the heavy elements mixture

As previously explained, all the models in our grid have been calculated using the solar-scaled mixture by Asplund et al. (2005), hereafter AGS05. A new version of the solar mixture has been recently published by the same group in Asplund et al. (2009), hereafter AGSS09. The true dependence of the inferred \( \Delta Y/\Delta Z \) on the mixture choice could be evaluated only by recalculating an equivalent grid of models using the new mixture and by re-running the whole procedure illustrated in this work. However, the exact determination of the solar mixture is still an open problem, thus a recalibration of all the models is not needed, in our opinion, until this issue will be definitively settled. Nevertheless, to have an idea of the influence of a variation of the solar mixture on our results, we computed two new sets of ZAMS with different mixtures and compared them with our reference AGS05 ZAMS in the CMD. This gives at least an indication of how the inferred enrichment ratio may depend on the mixture. In addition to the ZAMS for AGS05 and AGSS09 mix-
Fig. 10. ZAMS calculated for the three different mixtures, GN93, AGS05 and AGSS09, with $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 4$ and [Fe/H] = -0.6 (lower B-V values) or [Fe/H] = +0.2 (higher B-V values).

...tions, we also computed and compared ZAMS calculated with the older mixture by Grevesse & Noels (1993), hereafter GN93, still widely used in the literature. We highlight the fact that we can control the effect of the mixture changes on the stellar structure, by using opacity tables calculated with different mixtures both for the high-temperature (OPAL) and low-temperature (Ferguson et al. 2005) opacities. On the other hand we cannot evaluate the effects of different mixtures on the model atmosphere, i.e. on the transformation of our theoretical models from the synthetic spectra are available only for a single mixture of heavy elements.

The effect of the adopted solar mixture on the models is twofold. First, for a given global metallicity $Z$, changing the internal distribution of metals will mainly affect the opacity and the nuclear burning efficiency (via the CNO abundances). For models with the same $Y$ and $Z$, the ZAMS computed with the GN93 mixture are bluer than that with the AGSS09 one, which, in turn, is bluer than the ZAMS computed with the AGS05 mixture (see e.g. Degl’Innocenti, Prada Moroni & Ricci 2006; Iosnelli, Prada Moroni & Deil’Innocenti 2010). Second, for a given choice of the two values of $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ and [Fe/H], it is clear from the coupled equations (1) and (2) that the values of $Y$ and $Z$ will be different, given the different values of $(Z/X)_\odot$. More in detail, the GN93 mixture provides models with higher $Z$. These models are thus redder on the CMD, than those calculated with AGSS09 mixture. The latter mixture, in turn, provides models that have higher $Z$ and appear redder than the AGS05 mixture. Thus, the effects on the opacity and on the scaling, i.e. the actual value of $Z$ given [Fe/H] and the different $(Z/X)_\odot$, affect the ZAMS position in opposite directions.

We calculated models for the two additional mixtures by fixing $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 4$ and choosing the two values [Fe/H] = -0.6 and 0.2. The results of the calculations are shown in Fig. 10. From Fig. 10 one can see that GN93 models seem to be slightly redder than our reference AGS05 models; when compared to observational data, a higher value of $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ would then be needed to reproduce observations, as compared to the AS05 mixture. AGSS09 ZAMS go in the opposite direction meaning that the inferred value of the enrichment ratio would be lower when compared to AGS05. In the comparison between AGS05 and AGSS09, which have quite similar $(Z/X)_\odot$, the effect on the opacity and burning efficiency seems to prevail on the scaling; viceversa in the comparison between AGS05 and GN93, the huge difference in $(Z/X)_\odot$ compensates for the opacity effect, and the much higher Z value in the GN93 case brings the ZAMS toward redder colors when compared to AGS05.

The relative behavior of different ZAMS is moreover a non trivial function of the actual value of [Fe/H], given the non linear relation between the two couples of values $(\Delta Y/\Delta Z, [Fe/H]) \mapsto (Y, Z)$, represented by the two equations (1) and (2). Overall it seems that changing the mixture affects our method in a non negligible way, but a totally consistent check could be done only when the appropriate model atmospheres are used to do the transformation from the HR diagram to the CMD and only calculating complete grids of models for different mixtures.

### 6. Results using the observational data

After having carefully checked the capability of our recovery method and having studied many uncertainty sources by means of controlled artificial data sets, we applied the above technique on the sample of real observational data described in Sect. 3.

Figure 3 shows the result of the Monte Carlo recovery method applied to the local low-MS field stars, which provides a nominal value of the enrichment ratio of $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 3.32 \pm 0.129$.

This result for the enrichment ratio is obtained using our favorite set of models, i.e. ZAMS calculated with the solar $\alpha = 1.97$ and transformed using the PHOENIX model atmospheres. We showed in Sects. 5.3 and 5.4 the significative effects on the inferred helium-to-metals enrichment ratio of a different choice of the mixing length parameter $\alpha$ and of the model atmosphere, respectively, in the case of synthetic data. The recovery procedure applied to the real observational data provides a nominal value of the enrichment ratio of $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 1.59 \pm 0.01$ adopting the set of theoretical models computed with $\alpha = 2.4$ and transformed into the observational plane by means of PHOENIX atmosphere models and $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 0.5$ adopting our standard set of models with $\alpha = 1.97$ but the ATLAS9 atmosphere models.

Moreover, we will show a self-consistency check in Sect 8 to prove that inside our choice the result we obtain for $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ can be used to calculate models that fit very well an independent data set, in particular the Hyades main sequence.

In Sect. 5 we mentioned the fact that the fit of a gaussian distribution to the histogram of occurrences of Fig. 3 is not perfect. The actual distribution looks quite flat in the range $\Delta Y/\Delta Z \in [2, 4]$ and the $\sigma$ of the fitting gaussian is twice as large than what we would expect from the observational uncertainties alone (see Sect. 5.1). We also mentioned that our [Fe/H] estimates come from two different catalogs, and that the N04 data have been re-zeroed according to T05 transformations. Nevertheless, when considering the two subsets of data separately, a large difference in the final result is still visible, as if the

### Table 2. $Y$ and $Z$ values for the three different adopted mixtures (see text in Sect. 5.3), for two reference values of [Fe/H] and $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 4$. The solar $(Z/X)_\odot$ values corresponding to the three mixtures are also indicated.

| [Fe/H]  | GN93       | AGS05       | AGSS09      |
|---------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| -0.6    | $\bar{Y} = 0.2660$ | $\bar{Y} = 0.2602$ | $\bar{Y} = 0.2614$ |
|         | $Z = 0.00449$    | $Z = 0.00305$    | $Z = 0.00334$    |
| +0.2    | $\bar{Y} = 0.3458$ | $\bar{Y} = 0.3178$ | $\bar{Y} = 0.3235$ |
|         | $Z = 0.0245$    | $Z = 0.0174$    | $Z = 0.0189$    |
different [Fe/H] scale adopted, even after the re-zeroing, would mimic a bimodal chemical composition distribution. From Fig. 11 it is clear that the two results for the separate subsets are inconsistent at 1σ level. The final result we get for the whole set, is then an average of the two distributions of Fig. 11; unfortunately this method is quite sensitive to the adopted scale of [Fe/H] determinations. We won’t choose any of the two subsets as the best one, we just state that systematics is probably still affecting the [Fe/H] of our objects, even after the correction of N04 zero point. Anyway, in order to proceed further with the analysis we will use the results of the gaussian fit to the histogram of occurrences for the whole sample of data as our nominal value for ΔY/ΔZ. As we showed in Sect. 5.2 to obtain the real ΔY/ΔZ value, the nominal value of ΔY/ΔZ = 3.32 ± 1.29 provided by the recovery method must be corrected for the age-bias, i.e. the artificial shift in the recovered value of the enrichment ratio caused by neglecting evolutionary effects and diffusion. We estimated the extent of such a correction to be of B_{age} = -2 ± 0.5 by means of Monte Carlo simulations. Thus, the final corrected value of the helium-to-metals enrichment, combining the errors in quadrature is ΔY/ΔZ = 5.3 ± 1.4.

7. A possible non-linear relation between Y and Z?

In their recent work, Casagrande et al. (2007) suggested that the assumption of a linear relation between metals and helium enrichment of the ISM, which leads to the definition of the enrichment ratio itself (see Equation 1) may not necessarily be valid. We tried to check whether it is possible to unambiguously infer such a non linear behavior from the presently available data accurateness. We performed the test by means of an artificial and controlled data set built interpolating our stellar models. The synthetic stellar sample mimics the main characteristics of the real data set. In particular, we simulated stars divided in metallicity bins 0.1 dex wide in the range [Fe/H] ∈ [-0.6, +0.2], with the same number of stars in each bin as our observational data, for a total number of 103 objects. To avoid the additional difficulties introduced by the age-bias, the simulated stars were taken on their ZAMS position. We then ran our Monte Carlo method bin by bin to see whether our recovery is able to recover the input value for ΔY/ΔZ in each bin, given the typical observational errors on our objects.

Figure 12 shows the outcome of such an experiment. On the two panels each point represents the result of the Monte Carlo method when applied to the corresponding [Fe/H] bin, with its 1σ uncertainty; both values come from the gaussian fitting of the histogram of occurrences. The dashed lines represent the results of the gaussian fit the histogram of occurrences when the method is applied to the whole sample of simulated stars; the shaded area corresponds to the 1σ uncertainty around the mean. The two panels represent results for two different ways of binning the data; on the left panel we show the results when data are binned in 0.1 dex wide non overlapping bins; on the right panel the results are for 0.2 dex wide bins, in this case adjacent bins have an overlap of 0.1 dex, meaning e.g. that a [Fe/H] = -0.35 star can be found in both the [-0.5, -0.3] and the [-0.4, -0.2] bins. Regardless from the way the binning is performed, it follows from this numerical experiment that the method works fine only for [Fe/H] values equal or greater than -0.3 dex. ΔY/ΔZ determinations with this method, considering only lower values of the metallicity are unreliable. The missing points in the two panel, correspond to bins where the gaussian fit failed, simply because the histogram of occurrences is too irregular to be fitted by a gaussian.

Hence any discussion on a possible non linearity of the helium-to-metals enrichment relation, extending over a wide range of metallicities, can not be carried on when Y and Z value are determined from low-main sequence fitting. The fine structure of the low main sequence at values of the metallicity lower than -0.3 dex is indeed too weakly dependent on the chemical composition, to be used to infer any non linear behavior of the ISM enrichment in helium and metals. This is quite clear from the right panel of Fig. 12 which shows that the separation among curves with different ΔY/ΔZ becomes progressively smaller and smaller as the [Fe/H] decreases. At low enough values of [Fe/H], this separation is simply too small with respect to the spread of the observed point, due to the current uncertainties in both magnitudes and [Fe/H], which are of the order of 0.1 mag and 0.1 dex respectively, to allow a correct determination of the stars chemical composition. This uncertainty is reflected in the large error bars of the lower metallicity bins of Fig. 12.

We are not claiming that any non linear law for the helium-to-metals enrichment of the ISM must be ruled out; we are just stating that better data are needed to confirm this possibility by making use of the fine structure of the low main sequence. The GAIA mission will provide us with better parallaxes, which will yield better absolute magnitude determinations. Advances in 3D and NLTE stellar atmospheres modeling will also produce better constrained spectroscopic abundances determinations in the future.

8. The Hyades test

As previously discussed, the ΔY/ΔZ provided by the recovery procedure must be corrected for the age-bias, since the above described technique uses the theoretical ZAMS loci as reference mile stones in the CMD. Hence, any unaccounted displacements of the observed stars from the ZAMS due to evolutionary effects translates directly in an underestimate of the inferred ΔY/ΔZ. Clearly, the younger the stellar sample analyzed, the smaller such unaccounted displacements and the smaller the related age-bias.
Perryman et al. (1998) 

The metallicity value we use for the Hyades is taken from Perryman et al. (1998) using PHOENIX model atmosphere. Average extinction towards present any intra-cluster material, so also differential extinction (corresponding to a magnitude $B - V$) can be ignored; hence we assume $(B - V)_0 = (B - V)_{\text{obs}}$.

By running our Monte Carlo method, the value found for the enrichment ratio is $(\Delta Y/\Delta Z)_{\text{Hyades}} = 4.75 \pm 0.35$, in perfect agreement with what we found using local MS stars and taking into account the evolutionary bias.

To further check the consistency of this final result, we calculated isochrones using the closest value of the enrichment ratio in our grid, i.e. $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 5$, and for the extreme values of Perryman et al. (1998) interval, i.e. 0.09 and 0.19 dex. Models are calculated for our solar calibrated value of the mixing length parameter and transformed to the observational plane using PHOENIX model atmosphere. Average extinction towards the Hyades is negligible and this cluster is old enough not to present any intra-cluster material, so also differential extinction can be ignored; hence we assume $(B - V)_0 = (B - V)_{\text{obs}}$.

Figure 13 shows the CMD of the Hyades with overimposed our isochrones. The good agreement between our models with the chemical composition derived by the recovery method and the Hyades data is quite encouraging and make us confident about the adopted procedure. Even more important is that the fit to the Hyades MS is good also at higher luminosities. Stars with $M \geq 1.2 M_\odot$ (corresponding to a magnitude $M_V \lesssim 3.6$, the exact value depending on chemical composition and age) have essentially radiative envelopes, meaning that their predicted temperatures are not affected by the choice on the mixing length parameter, $\alpha$.

Fig. 12. Output values of the recovery method when data are binned in metallicity (see text for details). The green dashed lines represent the $(\Delta Y/\Delta Z)_{\text{ocal}}$ when the whole simulated sample is used. The input value is $(\Delta Y/\Delta Z)_{\text{grid}} = 4$.

Fig. 13. The CMD for the Hyades Main Sequence. Isochrones for two values of the age are overplotted: 500 Myr (black lines) and 600 Myr (red lines). Dashed and solid lines correspond to two different values of the chemical composition. $Y$ and $Z$ values are obtained from Equations (1) and (2) using $[\text{Fe/H}] = 0.09$ dex (dashed lines) and $[\text{Fe/H}] = 0.19$ dex (solid lines).

9. Comparison with independent methods

Many independent approaches have been followed in the past to determine the helium-to-metals enrichment ratio. A detailed comparison between the value of helium-to-metal enrichment ratio $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ obtained by means of different techniques is beyond the aim of the paper, since it would require a careful discussion of the different uncertainty sources, both systematics and random, affecting the various techniques. Furthermore, the primordial helium abundance $Y_p$ adopted by different authors and/or in different periods can be very different, affecting the inferred $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ value. On the other hand, we think that a brief description of the results provided by different approaches might be of interest.

One of the most fruitful consists in observing HII regions in the Milky Way and in other galaxies. The pioneering papers by Peimbert & Torres-Peimbert (1974, 1976) and by Lequeux et al. (1979), devoted respectively to the HII regions...
in the Magellanic Clouds and in irregular and blue compact
galaxies, derived a value of the helium to metals enrichment
ratio of $\Delta Y/\Delta Z \approx 3$. From observations of extragalactic HII
regions, Pagel et al. (1992) found that $\Delta Y/\Delta Z \geq 3$, with a
preferred value close to 4, while Peimbert et al. (2004) obtained
$\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 3.5 \pm 0.9$ from NGC346, the brightest HII region in
SMC. A detailed study of a very large sample of spectroscopic
observations of HII regions in blue compact galaxies performed by
Izotov & Thuan (2004) led to $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 2.8 \pm 0.5$. However,
a reanalysis of the same data by Fukugita & Kawasaki (2006)
provides $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 4 - 5$, values that becomes as low as 1.1 ± 1 if
stellar absorption is taken into account.

From observations of galactic HII regions, such as the Orion
nebula, M8 and M17, various authors found $\Delta Y/\Delta Z \geq 2$ (see also Esteban et al. 1998, Deharveng et al. 1999). As an example
Peimbert et al. (2000) found $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 2.1 \pm 0.6$ adopting a
temperature fluctuation parameter $\tau^2 = 0.037$ (recommended)
and $3.8 \pm 1.1$ adopting $\tau^2 = 0.000$. More recently, Balsara (2000)
derived $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 1.41 \pm 0.62$ from the analysis of the sole M17
and S206, whereas taking into account also the HII regions be-
longing to the Magellanic Clouds and metal-poor galaxies, she
found $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 1.6$. The last author warns also on the system-
atic underestimation of the measured helium abundance caused
by a clumping in HII regions (see also Mathis & Wood 2005).
Finally, a new estimate of the chemical composition of M17
by Carigi & Peimbert (2008) yields $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 1.97 \pm 0.41$ and
4.00 ± 0.75 adopting $\tau^2 = 0.036$ and 0.000, respectively.

A useful technique to empirically constrain the stellar
helium to heavier elements enrichment ratio is the analysis of
the chemical composition of planetary nebulae (PNe). These
objects present the advantage of being numerous in a large
range of metallicity and of allowing precise estimates of helium
abundance. On the other hand, it is a well established result
of stellar evolution that the chemical composition of low and
intermediate mass stars, i.e. the PNe’s progenitors, is strongly
modified by the dredge-up episodes, which enrich the envelope
with inner material previously processed by nuclear reactions,
that is mainly with fresh helium and, in the case of III dredge-up
in thermally pulsating AGB stars, also with heavier elements,
mainly carbon. Thus, the chemical composition of PNe is not
representative of the protostellar cloud and in order to derive
the original interstellar abundances a correction must be applied
which takes into account the evolution of the surface abundances
of the progenitor star. In the early attempts to determine the
$\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ ratio using the PNe in the late ‘70s, such a correction
was neglected and values in the range 2.2-3.6 were obtained (see e.g.
Dodorico, Peimbert & Sabbadin 1976, Peimbert & Serrano
1981). In our knowledge, Chiappini & Maciel (1994) were the
first to take into account the correction due to the evolution of
the progenitor star and they obtained 4 ≤ $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ ≤ 6.3. More
recently, Maciel (2001) found 2.8 ≤ $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ ≤ 3.6 adopting
$Y_P = 0.23$ and 2.0 ≤ $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ ≤ 2.8 with $Y_P = 0.24$.

An important independent constraint on the stellar helium
and heavier elements enrichment ratio is provided by the Sun,
for which very detailed and accurate data are available. In order
to derive the $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ ratio from the Sun, the precise spectroscopic
estimates of the solar photospheric chemical composition are not
enough because they significantly differ from the original ones
due to diffusion and gravitational settling. Thus, one has to rely
on a standard solar model (SSM), that is, a stellar model of 1
$M_\odot$ which at the age of the Sun (i.e. ≈4.56 Gyr) fits the solar
observables. As a result of such a procedure, the initial metallicity
$Z_\odot$ and helium $Y_\odot$ abundance are inferred. As largely debated
in recent years (see e.g. Bahcall et al. 2005B, Basu & Antia
2008, Christensen-Dalsgaard et al. 2009, Serenelli et al. 2009
and references therein), the very good agreement between the
SSM and the helioseismological constraints, mainly the sound
speed profile, the extension of the convective envelope and the
surface helium abundance, achieved at the end of the last century
has been compromised by the new determinations of the metal
abundances based on 3D photospheric models by Asplund et al.
(2005), and only slightly alleviated by the very recent release by
Asplund et al. (2009). With these caveats, the initial helium and
metal abundance of the Sun provided by the current SSMs allow
to constrain the $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$, once a primordial helium abundance $Y_P$
is chosen. Table 3 shows the results of SSMs computed by differ-
ent groups by assuming different solar heavy elements mix-
tures. As can be easily seen, different authors provide values in
good mutual agreement, values that translate in $\Delta Y/\Delta Z \lesssim 1$,
moreover $Y_P = 0.248$ by Izotov, Thuan & Stasi (2007) is used.

In principle the $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ ratio can also be theoretically
predicted by models of galactic chemical evolution. These
predictions rely on several assumption on the initial mass
function, star formation rate, star formation efficiency, stellar
yields from intermediate and massive stars, ISM mixing; so
even though they are quite powerful, due to the uncertain-
ties on each of the aforementioned quantities they are still
quite uncertain in predicting the expected helium to metals
enrichment ratio and provide values which span a range of
$\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ between about 1 and 4 (Serrano & Peimbert
1981, Timmes, Woosley & Weaver 1995, Tosi 1996, Chiappini, Matteucci & Gratton 1997, Fields & Olive
1998, Carigi 2004, Chiappini, Renda & Matteucci 2002,
Romano et al. 2005, Carigi & Peimbert 2008). Among the pa-
rameters that mostly affect the outcomes of chemical evolution
models we mention some of the most important, such as the
SN Ia rate, which strongly affects the iron enrichment, the star
formation history and the initial mass function, since stars of
different mass, which evolve on different time scales, contribute
differently to the production of $Y$ and $Z$; the maximum stellar
mass that enriches the interstellar medium is an other important
parameter. Moreover, as far as the chemical enrichment of
the interstellar medium is concerned, stellar models, which
provide the yields to chemical models, are still significantly
affected by poorly understood physical processes, such as con-
vection, which affects the stellar yields through the efficiency of
dredge-up phenomena and hot-bottom burning, mass-loss,
which directly influence the enrichment, and rotation, which
has a strong impact on the helium, carbon, nitrogen and oxygen

| Models     | $Y_{ini}$ | $Z_{ini}$ | $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ |
|------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|
| Pisa       | 0.2532    | 0.0137    | 0.380               |
| B2005      | 0.2614    | 0.0140    | 0.957               |
| G2006      | 0.2570    | 0.0135    | 0.666               |
| S2009      | 0.2593    | 0.0139    | 0.813               |
| S2009at    | 0.2617    | 0.0149    | 0.919               |

Table 3. Initial helium $Y_{ini}$ and metal $Z_{ini}$ abundances provided by recent standard solar models by different authors (see footnotes). Models are computed adopting the heavy element mixture by Asplund et al. (2003).
yields (Meynet & Maeder 2002). As a consequence, stellar yields provided by different authors still show not negligible discrepancies. Thus, the results of chemical evolution models depend on the adopted set of stellar yields (Fields & Olive 1998; Carigi 2001; Chiappini, Matteucci & Meynet 2003). For example, it has long been known that the $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ ratio grows by increasing the mass-loss rate of both intermediate and massive stars (see e.g. Ibata & Truran 1978; Renzini & Voli 1981; Peimbert 1986).

Chiappini, Matteucci & Meynet (2003) predicted a value of the enrichment ratio $\Delta Y/\Delta Z \approx 2.4$, if the stellar models by Meynet & Maeder (2002), which take into account rotation, are adopted in their chemical evolution model, whereas a value of 1.5 is obtained, if the stellar computations by van den Hoek & Groenewegen (1997), for the low and intermediate mass stars, and Woosley & Weaver (1995), for the massive ones, are used. The recent version of the chemical evolution model by Carigi & Peimbert (2008) provides $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 1.70$ and 1.62, if high or low wind yields are adopted, respectively.

10. Conclusions

The principal aim of this work was to test the reliability of the determination of $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ by the comparison between low-MS stars and theoretical ZAMS models. A very fine grid of stellar models has been computed for many values of $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$, [Fe/H] and masses adopting two different mixing-length parameters $\alpha$, namely 1.97 (our solar calibration) and 2.4, and two different sets of atmosphere models to transform luminosities and effective temperatures into magnitudes and color indices, the PHOENIX GAIA v2.6.1 models (Brott et al. 2005) and the ATLAS9 ones (Castelli & Kurucz 2003), respectively.

A detailed analysis of the capabilities of the method and of the main uncertainty sources affecting the derived results has been performed by means of many numerical experiments on synthetic data set produced under controlled conditions and with precisely known properties.

One of the main findings of the paper is that the inferred value of $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ is quite sensitive to the age of the stellar sample, even in the case in which only very faint (i.e. $M_V > 6$ mag) MS stars are selected. By means of numerical experiment we showed that the lack of an age estimate of low mass field stars leads to an underestimate of the inferred $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ of about 2 units. As a consequence, the face value of the helium-to-metals enrichment ratio provided by the recovery procedure applied to the solar neighborhood stellar sample must be corrected for this age-bias.

Adopting our reference set of models (i.e., those with our “solar” calibrated $\alpha$) transformed into the observational plane using the PHOENIX GAIA v2.6.1 model atmospheres, we found $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 5.3 \pm 1.4$.

Such a result has been checked against an independent and very accurate data set, that is, the low-MS of the Hyades cluster. This sample has the additional advantage to be unaffected by the age-bias, since its very young age (i.e. $500\pm600$ Myr) guarantees that the low-mass ($< 0.9M_\odot$) MS stars which constitutes the sample are essentially unevolved. The recovery method provided again $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 4.75 \pm 0.35$, in perfect agreement with the result for field stars.

To further check the consistency of this final result, we calculated isochrones with $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 5$ (the closest in our grid of models) and the measured [Fe/H] of Hyades. The good agreement between these isochrones and the Hyades MS, not only in the faint part belonging to the sample but also at higher luminosities, is a further proof of the internal consistency of the recovery procedure.

The effect of a change in the assumed efficiency of the superadiabatic convection (i.e. the $\alpha$ parameter) in the stellar models used to build the ZAMS and in the adopted atmosphere models used to transform luminosities and effective temperatures into magnitudes and color indices has been discussed, too. More in detail, the recovery method yield a nominal value of $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 1.59 \pm 1.01$ when adopting the set of theoretical models computed with $\alpha = 2.4$ and transformed into the observational plane by means of PHOENIX atmosphere models and $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 0.5$ when adopting our standard set of models with $\alpha = 1.97$ but the ATLAS9 atmosphere models. These values become about 3.6 and 2.5, respectively, once corrected for the age bias.

Our data have [Fe/H] determinations coming from two different sources, i.e. Nordström et al. (2004) and Taylor (2005) catalogs. The 4 stars we have in common from the two catalogs show a disagreement in the [Fe/H] scale between the two catalogs. This disagreement was already identified in Taylor (2005) and the author provides a Table to account for it and put the two catalogs on the same [Fe/H] scale. Nevertheless, even after the re-zeroing procedure suggested by Taylor (2005), we still find some disagreement in the final results on $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ when the two catalogs are considered separately. Probably an even more accurate study of the zero points of metallicity determinations is needed.
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