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SUMMARY

Antisense morpholino oligomers (MOs) have been indispensable tools for developmental biologists to transiently knock down (KD) genes rather than to knock them out (KO). Here we report on the implications of genetic KO versus MO-mediated KD of the mesoderm-specifying Brachyury paralogs in the frog Xenopus tropicalis. While both KO and KD embryos fail to activate the same core gene regulatory network, resulting in virtually identical morphological defects, embryos injected with control or target MOs also show a systemic GC content-dependent immune response and many off-target splicing defects. Optimization of MO dosage and increasing incubation temperatures can mitigate, but not eliminate, these MO side effects, which are consistent with the high affinity measured between MO and off-target sequence in vitro. We conclude that while MOs can be useful to profile loss-of-function phenotypes at a molecular level, careful attention must be paid to their immunogenic and off-target side effects.

INTRODUCTION

Perturbing the function of a gene of interest in order to infer its biological role is a common approach in many biological disciplines including embryology and physiology. Since forward and reverse genetic approaches have not been readily applicable to many organisms, the injection of morpholino oligomers (MOs) has been widely adopted instead. This antisense technology is based on a nucleic acid analog with a backbone of morpholine rather than deoxyribose rings, and neutral phosphorodiamidate instead of negatively charged phosphate linkages. According to the manufacturer, MOs are more stable, efficient, and specific in knocking down genes than alternative knock-down (KD) technologies such as short interfering RNA, mainly because of their neutral features that prevent electrostatic interactions with endogenous proteins at physiological pH (Summerton, 2007). Depending on their design, MOs can block either translation or splicing when hybridizing almost perfectly over their full length of 23–25 bases to the translation start site or splice acceptor or donor site. In addition, they can disrupt the activity of non-coding RNA species, such as micro-RNAs (miRNAs) or Y-RNAs (Collart et al., 2011; Kloosterman et al., 2007).

While both anecdotal evidence and a number of published studies have suggested that MOs can cause off-target effects, it was previously assumed that, if appropriate control experiments are performed, robust knockout (KO)-like phenotypes could be generated. However, the use of TALEN and type II CRISPR genome editing technologies has now brought this assumption into question. Such studies have found that morphant and mutant phenotypes can be significantly different even when the morphant phenotype can be rescued by the introduction of cognate RNA species (Kok et al., 2015). While in some cases it is likely that the morphant phenotype is an off-target effect, in others it may be that the genetic mutation does not result in a complete loss of function either because it gives rise to a hypomorphic allele or because the gene product is maternally inherited (Blum et al., 2015). Mutating a gene can also in some circumstances lead to genetic compensation that is not triggered by MO-mediated KD (Rossi et al., 2015).

Nevertheless, bearing in mind that MOs can replicate corresponding null phenotypes at least at a morphological level, their use in vertebrate embryos may be legitimate and advantageous for several reasons. First, some maternal-effect genes are difficult to study due to an essential function in later life stages, and cumbersome germline-specific KO strategies are required to produce viable females with homozygous KO eggs (Liu et al., 2017). In particular, rapid-turnover proteins translated from maternal transcripts can be efficiently depleted with the injection of a translation-blocking MO into the zygote. In contrast, splice-blocking MOs will only perturb zygotic protein synthesis. Second, the simultaneous KD of multiple genes can reveal...
functional redundancies (Gentsch et al., 2013; Khokha et al., 2005; Reversade et al., 2005), which can be informative for future KO strategies. However, this may require more MOs being injected into the embryo, thus increasing the likelihood of off-target effects. Third, MOs can be introduced into different mutant and transgenic backgrounds either to screen for genetic interactions or to help characterizing phenotypes, without the need for time-consuming intercrossing. Fourth, MO-injected embryos do not need genotyping, so that large numbers can be collected. This is of particular importance given the expanding use of molecular profiling to analyze loss-of-function effects at the chromatin level. However, this kind of differential analysis assumes that KD and KO animals with identical macro- or microscopic defects, such as in morphology or behavior, share similarly derailed genomic readouts. We examined this hypothesis in the western clawed frog *Xenopus tropicalis* by generating Brachury null mutants using TALENs and comparing these with corresponding, previously validated morphants (Gentsch et al., 2013) at a transcriptome-wide level.

Our results showed that, while depletion of Brachury resulted in the same dramatic loss of posterior mesoderm regardless of the gene interference technology employed, only control and Brachury-targeting MOs perturbed hundreds of splicing events and caused excessive immune response-related gene transcription. These MO side effects were caused, on the one hand, by the off-target binding of premature transcripts and, on the other hand, by a cell-intrinsic (innate) immune reaction. The latter strongly correlated with the guanine-cytosine (GC) content of the injected MO. Proper dose and design optimization of the injected MO can mitigate these inadvertent effects. However, some specific off-target effects could not be eliminated even when an elevated incubation temperature was used in an effort to increase hybridization stringency. This is further corroborated by the kinetic analysis of MO oligomers binding off-target RNA sequences far below the minimal concentration required to produce a t2KO-like phenotype. We expect that our findings will be critical to keep unintended disruptions in tissue and organ development to a minimum.

**RESULTS**

**TALEN-Induced Deletions Nullify Brachury Function**

In *Xenopus*, the function of *Brachury* depends on two synerexpressed and functionally redundant T-box transcription factors t (Xbra) and t2 (Xbra3) (Gentsch et al., 2013; Hayata et al., 1999). We previously found that the combined injection of t and t2 MOs produced a phenotype strongly resembling that of Brachury null mice (Chesley, 1935; Gentsch et al., 2013). However, given recent controversies about MO specificity we sought to compare these Brachury morphants with corresponding null mutants at a transcriptome-wide level in *X. tropicalis*. Two rounds of TALEN-induced mutagenesis were carried out in an effort to sequentially disrupt t and t2 (Figure S1A). These paralogs are arranged in tandem on chromosome 5 within 30 kb and thus co-segregate during meiosis. First, t was mutated using a TALEN pair targeting the first sacral restriction site in exon 1 (Figure S1B). Animal or vegetal injection at the one-cell stage caused some disruption of the SacI site in ~90% of the embryos examined individually by PCR digest (animal 7/8; vegetal 9/10; Figure S1C). Sanger sequencing of PCR clones revealed indels of 1–6 base pairs (bp) (Figure S1D). About 80% of F0 females raised to sexual maturity contained mutations in the germ line as confirmed by examining their offspring embryos. These embryos were used to generate lines of F1 frogs with a variety of mutations in the t locus. In addition, homozygous offspring of F0 t mutant intercrosses were short tailed, similar to previously published t morphants (Gentsch et al., 2013) (Figure S1E).

The second round of mutagenesis consisted of injecting F2 heterozygous t mutant embryos with a TALEN pair targeting the only EcoRI restriction site in the third exon of t2 (Figure S1F). Genotyping of injected embryos by PCR digest revealed ~30% (6/21) carried a mutation in the t2 locus (Figure S1G). Tadpoles identified with mutations in t2 were then raised to sexual maturity and three of the 15 frogs examined were found to have t2-specific germline mutations. Embryos from one of these frogs was found to have a 7-bp deletion in t2 (t2e3.7D) on the same chromosome as a 2-bp deletion in t (t1e1.2D). Both mutations were predicted to cause premature translation terminations before or midway through the critical T-box DNA binding domain by shifting stop codons into the reading frame (Figure 1A). However, these mutations did not cause any nonsense mediated decay of the transcript by neurula stage as revealed by qRT-PCR in t1e1.2D and t2e3.7D hetero- and homoyzogotes (Figure 1B). In contrast, t transcript numbers increased 1.5- to 2-fold, indicating either increased stability of the mutant transcript or a fine-tuning of t transcription in response to a reduction or loss of functional Brachury protein. The latter is similar to a previous observation reported for vegfaa mutants in zebrafish (Rossi et al., 2015). Since Brachury directly regulates t2 transcription (Gentsch et al., 2013), its complete loss led to a 5-fold reduction of t2 expression during gastrulation (Figure 1B).

In order to confirm that t1e1.2D and t2e3.7D contain null mutations, mRNAs encoding wild-type (WT) and mutant N- and C-terminally HA-tagged Brachury were injected into *Xenopus* embryos (Figure S1H). We were unable to detect expression of the ~6 kDa product of N-terminally tagged t1e1.2D by western blotting either because it is unstable or because of technical complications of blotting very short proteins. All other expected translation products were detected with no additional products being observed, indicating that neither t nor t2 contain frequently used internal translational start sites. These mutant alleles lacked the ability of WT t and t2 to disrupt morphogenetic movements when expressed prematurely and ectopically (Figure S1I), so we conclude that these TALEN-induced deletions abolish Brachury function.

**Brachury KO and KD Embryos Show Identical Mesoderm Defects**

Crossing *X. tropicalis* frogs heterozygous for t1e1.2D and t2e3.7D (henceforth called t1 and t2) gave rise to the expected genotypes: WT (t+/t+, t2+/t2+), heterozygous (het, t+/t2−), and homozygous (KO, t−/t−, t2−/t2−) embryos. Up to early tadpole stage 37, heterozygous embryos were indistinguishable under the stereo microscope from WT siblings or other WT embryos, including those injected with 18 ng control MO (cMO) (Figure S2A). By contrast, the combined disruption of both WT alleles of t and t2 produced a consistent truncation of the embryonic tailbud and resulting tail, clearly visible by mid-tailbud stage 26 (Figure S2A). The
morphology and timing of this developmental defect was virtually identical to that seen in embryos whose t and t2 protein levels were transiently depleted by the combined injection of four MOs (18 ng in total), one translation- and one splice-blocking MO (MOtransl and MOsplice) for each Brachyury gene (Figures S1B, S1F, and S2A). The efficiency of the MOs in blocking splicing (MOtransl and MOsplice) for each gene was transiently depleted by the combined injection of four MOs targeting the same gene (Gentsch et al., 2013). The intention of this combinatorial KD strategy were to increase KD efficiency and to mitigate side effects by reducing the dosage of individual MOs by using a pool strategy between mutant and morphant phenotypes (Kok et al., 2015), we sought to compare the entire poly(A) transcriptome over two tailbud stages (mid-tailbud stage 26 and late tailbud stage 34 separated by ~12 hr of development at 25°C) using deep RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) (Table S1) and likelihood ratio tests (Table S2) (Love et al., 2014). Biological triplicates were used to account for transcriptional variability between clutches. Libraries were generated simultaneously to mitigate any batch effects. Most of the transcriptional changes observed were attributed to the developmental stage (principal component 1 [PC1]: ~65%) and to the treatment and genotype (PC2: ~14%) of the different samples: uninjected, control, and t/t2 MO-injected embryos from three independent KD experiments; and WT, heterozygous and homozygous embryos from three separate crosses between t+/t2 KO (field E). The remaining Venn fields contained no or only a few transcripts. A pairwise comparison of the transcript levels of 17,716 genes (showing ≥7 fragments among “control” conditions; Figure S2B) between the different conditions revealed that control and t/t2 morphants had significantly more mis-regulated mRNA (≥1.5-fold change at false discovery rate [FDR] ≤10%) than t/t2 hetero- and homozygous embryos (1.61% versus 0.05% and 14.85% versus 3.53%), respectively (Figure 2B). Thus, in a Venn diagram the groups of down- and upregulated genes unique to t/t2 MO (Venn field C) were ~3- and ~38-fold larger, respectively, than the overlap between t/t2 MO and KO embryos (field D) (Figure 2C; Table S3). Three other Venn fields also contained a significant number of genes: the overlap between cMO and t/t2 MO (field B) and the fields unique to cMO (field A) and t/t2 KO (field E). The remaining Venn fields contained no or only a few genes and were excluded from further analysis.

**Figure 1. TALEN-Induced Deletions Nullify Brachyury Function**

(A) TALEN-induced 2- and 7-bp deletions in exon 1 of t (e1.2D) and exon 3 of t2 (e3.7D), and predicted frameshift translations generating truncated proteins of 59 and 170 amino acids (aa). These mutations were selected to generate a double heterozygous X. tropicalis line for the Brachyury paralogs t and t2 (e1.2D/ e3.7D ).

(B) t and t2 transcript levels in hetero- and homozygous embryos as measured by qRT-PCR at early neurula stage (n = 3, mean ± SD). Two-tailed t test: *p ≤ 0.05.

(C) Multi-probe WMISH for various mesoderm cell lineage and derivative markers (actc1; cardiac and skeletal muscle; cav1, notochord; hoxd8, pronephros; myh6, heart; tal1, ventral blood island; tbx6, paraxial mesoderm) in wild-type and Brachyury (t/t2) null (KO) embryos, as well as embryos injected with four MOs targeting t and t2 (t/t2 MO mix) at mid-tailbud stage. Scale bar, 0.5 mm.

Morpholinos Can Trigger an Immune Response

Since recent studies in zebrafish claim low concordance between mutant and morphant phenotypes (Kok et al., 2015), we sought to compare the entire poly(A) transcriptome over two tailbud stages (mid-tailbud stage 26 and late tailbud stage 34 separated by ~12 hr of development at 25°C) using deep RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) (Table S1) and likelihood ratio tests (Table S2) (Love et al., 2014). Biological triplicates were used to account for transcriptional variability between clutches. Libraries were generated simultaneously to mitigate any batch effects. Most of the transcriptional changes observed were attributed to the developmental stage (principal component 1 [PC1]: ~65%) and to the treatment and genotype (PC2: ~14%) of the different samples: uninjected, control, and t/t2 MO-injected embryos from three independent KD experiments; and WT, heterozygous and homozygous embryos from three separate crosses between t+/t2 KO (field E). The remaining Venn fields contained no or only a few genes and were excluded from further analysis.
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(B) t and t2 transcript levels in hetero- and homozygous embryos as measured by qRT-PCR at early neurula stage (n = 3, mean ± SD). Two-tailed t test: *p ≤ 0.05.

(C) Multi-probe WMISH for various mesoderm cell lineage and derivative markers (actc1; cardiac and skeletal muscle; cav1, notochord; hoxd8, pronephros; myh6, heart; tal1, ventral blood island; tbx6, paraxial mesoderm) in wild-type and Brachyury (t/t2) null (KO) embryos, as well as embryos injected with four MOs targeting t and t2 (t/t2 MO mix) at mid-tailbud stage. Scale bar, 0.5 mm.

Morpholinos Can Trigger an Immune Response

Since recent studies in zebrafish claim low concordance between mutant and morphant phenotypes (Kok et al., 2015), we sought to compare the entire poly(A) transcriptome over two tailbud stages (mid-tailbud stage 26 and late tailbud stage 34 separated by ~12 hr of development at 25°C) using deep RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) (Table S1) and likelihood ratio tests (Table S2) (Love et al., 2014). Biological triplicates were used to account for transcriptional variability between clutches. Libraries were generated simultaneously to mitigate any batch effects. Most of the transcriptional changes observed were attributed to the developmental stage (principal component 1 [PC1]: ~65%) and to the treatment and genotype (PC2: ~14%) of the different samples: uninjected, control, and t/t2 MO-injected embryos from three independent KD experiments; and WT, heterozygous and homozygous embryos from three separate crosses between t+/t2 KO (field E). The remaining Venn fields contained no or only a few genes and were excluded from further analysis.
Functional “perturbation networks” were then derived from the biological processes (BPs) of the gene ontology (GO) project that were significantly (p ≤ 0.0001) enriched in the five largest Venn fields and divided into GO-linked Newman-Girvan (NG) communities (Table S4). As expected from a vertebrate Brachyury phenotype, ~80% (256 genes) of the downregulated BP-annotated (Table S4) genes shared between KD and KO embryos were associated with the development, the anatomy, and the physiology of mesoderm and its derivatives muscle and heart, such as the formation of somites and muscle fibers, the contraction of tissue, and calcium homeostasis (Figure 2D; Table S4). In addition, >70% of the upregulated BP-annotated genes either shared between KD and KO embryos or unique to the latter were enriched for neural development, including the process of neurotransmission and spinal cord formation (Figure 2D; Table S4). The low number of NG communities in both perturbation networks required to contain most mis-regulated genes suggested the predominant role of Brachyury in regulating the neuromesodermal cell trajectory (Table S4). Overall, these genes represented the Brachyury phenotype-defining core regulatory network. However, the largest group of genes with a uniform BP signature were upregulated only in control (cMO) and/or Brachyury (t/t2 MO) morphants (Figures 2C–2E and Table S4): ~650 of these were characteristic of an immune response containing pro-inflammatory mediators, and components of the pattern recognition receptor (PRR) and nuclear factor-kB (NF-κB) signaling pathways (Figures 2D and 2E; Table S4). The wide-spread mis-regulation of immune response related genes such as Toll-like receptors (TLRs), complement components, cytokines, caspases, and tumor suppressors was a dramatic side effect of injecting control or t/t2 MOs, since their induction or suppression was unique to morphants, and their local chromatin environment in early tailbud embryos did not show any chromatin occupancy of Brachyury (t) as detected by chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (Gentsch et al., 2013) in contrast to the Brachyury-dependent core regulatory network (Figure 3A).

Spatiotemporal expression profiling of the complement component 3a receptor 1 (c3art1), tumor protein 53 (tp53), and tp53 inducible nuclear protein (tp53inp1) indicated that the immune response was ubiquitous and intensified beyond post-neurula stages (Figures 3B–3D). Higher off-target transcript levels were detected in WT expression domains such as the eye, the branchial arches, and the nervous and cardiovascular systems. As in some zebrafish morphants and various tumors (Bourdon et al., 2005; Khoury and Bourdon, 2010; Robu et al., 2007), the elevated tp53 expression level was largely driven from an internal promoter ~150 bp upstream of exon 5 (Figure 3D). This produces an N-terminally truncated tp53 isoform specifically containing 20 amino acids encoded by the acceptor
Figure 3. Ubiquitous Immune Response against MO Intensifies during Embryogenesis

(A) Panel of genes upregulated in control and t/t2 morphants associated with the immune response and genes downregulated in t/t2 morphants and null mutants representing the Brachyury-dependent core network. Heatmap to the right represents the binding map of Brachyury (t) in the proximity (±40 kb) of indicated transcription start sites (TSS) at early tailbud stage (Gentsch et al., 2013).

(B) WMISH of immune response related gene transcripts t/t2 MO, wild-type (WT), t/t2 heterozygous (t/t2 het), and homozygous (t/t2 KO) mutant embryos at tailbud stages 26 and 34. Isoform-corresponding t/t2 MO, wild-type (WT), t/t2 heterozygous (t/t2 het), and homozygous (t/t2 KO) mutant embryos at tailbud stages 26 and 34. Isoform-corresponding

(C) Temporal dynamics of transcript fold changes (log2 scale) for a selected group of genes representing the Brachyury-directed core network (shown in D). Scale bar, 0.5 mm.

(D) Genome map of full length tp53 and Δ99tp53 transcript isoforms shows normalized transcript levels for uninjected (uni), control morphants (cMO), t/t2 morphants (t/t2 MO), wild-type (WT), t/t2 heterozygous (t/t2 het), and homozygous (t/t2 KO) mutant embryos at tailbud stages 26 and 34. Isoform-corresponding translation products with critical domains are on display below the heatmap: TAD, transactivation domain; DBD, DNA binding domain; NLS, nuclear localization signal; and OD, oligomerization domain.
splice arm of intron 4 instead of the 99 amino acids encoded by exons 2 to 4 (Δ99tp53). Thus, Δ99tp53 lacks the pro-apoptotic p53 transactivation domain, but retains most of the DNA binding domain (except for the first 31 amino acids), its full nuclear localization signal, and oligomerization domain (Figure 3D). Similarly truncated tp53 isoforms, such as Δ113tp53 in zebrafish and Δ133tp53 in human, have been reported to suppress apoptosis by lowering transcriptional activity mediated by full-length tp53 (Bourdon et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2009). Consistent with the presumed anti-apoptotic function of elevated Δ99tp53, the MO-mediated KD did not cause more apoptosis at the late tailbud stage than was observed in the t/t2 KO or any control condition as detected by terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) (Figure S3A).

In order to resolve the immune response into single MOs, including any fluorescent tag used for cell tracking, all MOs and the tracer sulforhodamine coupled to dextran were injected separately and compared with uninjected embryos (Figures 4A–4C and S3B). At a dose of 8 ng per embryo, which is 10 ng less than the dose used for t/t2 MO mix or cMO in the original KD experiment, MOs with a GC content above 40% showed an elevated immune response as judged by the gene induction of tp53, tp53inp1, and c3ar1 in late tailbud embryos. The t MOtransl with the highest GC content (65%) among all MOs used in this study triggered the strongest response followed by t MOsplice (52%) and t2 MOtransl (48%). A dose of 8 ng of t2 MOsplice (40%) and cMO (32%), as well as the tracer on its own, did not show any significant immunogenic properties in X. tropicalis embryos with respect to the induction of these genes.

MO-specific off-target effects such as mis-splicing, which might affect transcript stability (Lykke-Andersen and Jensen, 2015). In contrast to MO-mediated inhibition of translation, a splicing defect should be readily detectable by the computational analysis of sequencing reads across at least two splice junctions (see d and cr1-4 in Figure 5A). The hybridization of an MO to a pre-mRNA splice site, such as the target donor site (d) of intron 1 of the t transcript, decreases canonical splicing while forcing intron retention or (partial) exon skipping due to increased alternative or cryptic splicing (cr1-cr4). Since the splice blocking MOs for t and t2, as well as the standard cMO from Gene Tools (cMO) contained at least seven consecutive bases perfectly matching the most frequently encountered canonical donor splice site in Xenopus (Figure 5B) and other vertebrate species, it seemed plausible that hybridization and interference with splicing could occur in an off-target manner. cMO was originally designed to block an aberrant donor splice site formed by the single-point (70ST > G) mutation in the second intron of human β-globin that causes a blood disorder known as β-thalassemia (Kang et al., 1998).

Using an annotation-free quantification method, 758 and 153 intron clusters were found (FDR ≤ 0.001%) to be differentially spliced in t/t2 and control morphant embryos, respectively, compared with all other conditions including t/t2 null embryos (Figure 5C; Table S5)._introns were clustered according to the common usage of splice sites (e.g., acceptor [a] site in Figure 5A). As expected, differential intron usage within a cluster showed increased alternative (alt) or cryptic (cr) splicing (Figure 5C and Table S5) at the expense of canonical (can) splicing with some clusters being affected by both t/t2 MOs and cMO. The blocked splicing sites showed more consecutive base pairing with the injected MOs (Mann-Whitney U p value <2.2 × 10^{-16} for cMO and 7.8 × 10^{-15} for t/t2 MOs; see legend for expected and observed numbers) and were more frequently associated with decreased transcript levels than randomly selected active splice sites (Mann-Whitney U p value <2.2 × 10^{-16} for both cMO and t/t2 MOs). Mis-spliced genes were not enriched for any GO term, suggesting that off-target MO hybridization was not preferentially affecting any biological function (data not shown). Four of these MO-enhanced splicing events, including the MO target gene t, were confirmed by qRT-PCR (Figure 5D) from mid-neurula to late tailbud stage (34) according to the stage classification of Muller and colleagues (2016). The large number of “mis-regulated” genes in morphants that lacked any significant BP communities prompted us to look into MO-translated transcript levels vs. uninj.; 8ng t MOtransl 48%, 8ng cMO 32%, Tracer 0%.

Figure 4. Intensity of Innate Immune Response Depends on GC Content of MO
(A) Assigning the induction of immune response-related genes tp53, tp53inp1, and c3ar1 to single splice- or translation-blocking MOs (MOsplice and MOtransl) of the t/t2 MO mix injected at 8 ng per embryo as well as to the cell lineage tracer sulforhodamine (coupled to dextran). The transcript fold change was determined at late tailbud stage by qRT-PCR (n = 4, mean ± SD). Two-tailed t test: *p ≤ 0.1.

(B and C) c3ar1 induction was increasing with the GC content of the injected MO as determined by qRT-PCR (n = 4, mean ± SD) and WMISH. Scale bar, 0.5 mm.
late tailbud stage using a forward primer that spanned an alternative or cryptic splice junction (altSJ or crSJ). cMO and t/t2 MOs caused a 10–100× increase in dtymk transcripts without exons 3 and 4, while the whole transcript level measured at exon 1 (e1) dropped slightly (Figure 5D). cMO and t/t2 MOsplice matched the blocked donor splice site of intron 3 of dtymk at ≥ 8 consecutive Watson–Crick base pairs (Figure 5E). Since wobble interactions between guanine and thymine or uracil show similar thermodynamic stabilities and superior conformational flexibilities to Watson–Crick base pairing (Varani and McClain, 2000), this putative hybridization length could increase to ≥10 (Figure 5E). Other transcripts showing t/t2 MO-induced alternative splicing were abi1 and bloc1s4. However, their transcript levels were little affected by MO injection (Figures 5D, S4A, and S4C). Intron 8 of abi1 was retained in t/t2 morphants, which coincided with a 21-bp alignment between t2 MOtrans and the correspondent splice acceptor site containing 1 mismatch and 3 wobble positions (Figure S4A). The blocked spliced sites of the gene bloc1s4 did not show any perfect alignment of ≥8 bp to any of the t/t2 MOs (Figure S4C). However, with as many other detected mis-splicing events other intronic or exonic cis-regulatory splicing motifs more distant from the affected splice site might be blocked by off-target MO hybridization.

To corroborate some of our potential off-target sites, mis-splicing was quantified in tailbud embryos injected with single splice- or translation-blocking MOs, cMO, or the tracer moety sulforhodamine coupled to dextran (Figures 5F, S4B, and S4D). As predicted, only MOs with partial matches at the blocked splice junctions of dtymk and abi1 induced alternative splicing. However, predictions can be difficult, as shown by the gene

Figure 5. MOs Can Cause Off-Target Mis-splicing
(A) Quantitative comparison of t transcript levels and splice junction usage between t/t2 MO-injected and un.injected tailbud embryos in a superimposed Sashimi plot. Canonical and cryptic splicing are shown with solid and dashed lines, respectively. Magnification of the first intron indicates position and altered usage (see arrow after abbreviation for various splice sites) of splice junctions caused by the t donor splice-blocking MO (MOsplice): a, acceptor splice site; d, canonical donor splice site; cr1–4, cryptic splice sites.
(B) Consensus sequence of all canonical donor splice junctions detected in the transcriptome of X. tropicalis tailbud embryos and best alignment with control and donor splice-blocking MOs.
(C) Seriated heatmap of differentially used intron clusters, transcript level changes, and MO match length at blocked splice junctions for indicated conditions compared with their un-injected controls. Selected intron clusters represent coupled splicing events that were inversely mis-regulated in either t/t2 MO- or cMO-injected tailbud embryos: inhibition of canonical (can) splicing caused alternative or cryptic (alt/cr) splice sites to be used more frequently. Blocked splice sites, 758 in t/t2 morphants and 153 control morphants, were observed with higher occurrences of reduced transcript levels (<67%; 165:54 and 19:1, respectively) and more consecutive MO base pairing (≥10; 92:26 and 49:2, respectively) than expected (nobs:nexp).
(D) Temporal dynamics of mis-splicing (solid bar) and transcript (filled bar) fold changes (log2 scale) for transcripts dtymk, abi1, bloc1s4, and t/t2 control (cMO) in t/t2 and t/t2 MOtrans and the correspondent transcript levels measured at exon 1 (e1) dropped slightly (Figure 5D). cMO and t/t2 MOsplice matched the blocked donor splice site of intron 3 of dtymk at ≥ 8 consecutive Watson–Crick base pairs (Figure 5E). Since wobble interactions between guanine and thymine or uracil show similar thermodynamic stabilities and superior conformational flexibilities to Watson–Crick base pairing (Varani and McClain, 2000), this putative hybridization length could increase to ≥10 (Figure 5E). Other transcripts showing t/t2 MO-induced alternative splicing were abi1 and bloc1s4. However, their transcript levels were little affected by MO injection (Figures 5D, S4A, and S4C). Intron 8 of abi1 was retained in t/t2 morphants, which coincided with a 21-bp alignment between t2 MOtrans and the correspondent splice acceptor site containing 1 mismatch and 3 wobble positions (Figure S4A). The blocked spliced sites of the gene bloc1s4 did not show any perfect alignment of ≥8 bp to any of the t/t2 MOs (Figure S4C). However, with as many other detected mis-splicing events other intronic or exonic cis-regulatory splicing motifs more distant from the affected splice site might be blocked by off-target MO hybridization.

To corroborate some of our potential off-target sites, mis-splicing was quantified in tailbud embryos injected with single splice- or translation-blocking MOs, cMO, or the tracer moety sulforhodamine coupled to dextran (Figures 5F, S4B, and S4D). As predicted, only MOs with partial matches at the blocked splice junctions of dtymk and abi1 induced alternative splicing. However, predictions can be difficult, as shown by the gene
example bloc1s4, which displayed alternative splicing in the presence of t2 MOtransl without any obvious partial matches in close proximity of the blocked splice junction.

**Optimized Conditions Do Not Eliminate Morpholino Side Effects**

Our re-analysis of public RNA-seq datasets (Campbell et al., 2016; Chung et al., 2014; Dichmann et al., 2015; Marlé et al., 2015; Noiret et al., 2016)—with the caveat that KO references were not available for these—reinforced that the immune response and mis-splicing were likely common side effects of MO-mediated KDs in Xenopus embryos (Figure 6). The strength of immune response as inferred from the transcriptional induction of c3ar1, tp53inp1, and tp53 increased with developmental progression as well as the GC content and the amount of the injected MO (Figure 6A). The comparison of mis-splicing defects induced by the cMO between early neurula (Marlé et al., 2015) and tailbud (this study) embryos confirmed the strong correlation with cMO-complementary sequence at blocked splice junctions (e.g., donor splice site of intron 3 of dtymk) and suggested that these off-target effects accumulate over time as more genes are transcribed (Figures 6B and 6C).

Various approaches have been either suggested or used to mitigate MO side effects, including co-injecting tp53 MO to attenuate apoptosis or increasing incubation temperature to reduce off-target MO hybridization (Eisen and Smith, 2008; Robu et al., 2007). We refrained from interfering with tp53 because of its essential role in stabilizing the genome (Khoury and Bourdon, 2010; Lane, 1992). Here, we increased the incubation temperature or reduced the MO dose or both in an effort to minimize MO side effects while retaining the Brachyury phenotype (Figure 7). Increasing the incubation temperature from 22°C to 28.5°C did not significantly reduce the transcriptional immune response (tp53, tp53inp1, and c3ar1) or off-target mis-splicing (dtymk, ab1, and bloc1s4) in t/t2 morphants irrespective of the dose of 4.5 or 18 ng of the t/t2 MO mix per embryo (Figures 7A–7C and S5A). Nevertheless, higher incubation temperatures could have some overall effects on MO stringency, as the lower dose of 4.5 ng of the t/t2 MO mix per embryo was more efficient at blocking Brachyury-dependent genes at 28.5°C than at 22°C (see white arrowheads in Figure 7B). It is plausible that less off-target hybridization at higher temperatures made more MO oligomers available for the Brachyury KD. The 4-fold reduction of the MO dose slightly reduced mis-splicing and recovered some of the transcript loss of dtymk and bloc1s4 (Figure 7C). Yet, it did not lower excessive gene activation of tp53, tp53inp1, and c3ar1 (Figure 7A).

Finally, while 10–20 ng of MOs is frequently used in X. tropicalis to eliciting gene KD (Bogdanovi et al., 2016; Gentsch et al., 2013; Nakamura et al., 2016; Yatsuoka et al., 2014), we found by serial cluster-specific heatmap rows were sorted by the mis-regulation of canonical splicing in morphants.
Figure 7. Optimizations of KD Conditions Can Reduce but Fail to Eliminate MO Side Effects

(A–C) Fold changes to transcript levels and alternative splicing under different doses of the t/t2 MO mix (4.5 or 18 ng) and incubation temperatures (22°C or 28.5°C) were quantified at late tailbud stage by qRT-PCR (n = 4, mean ± SD) or WMISH. Two-way ANOVA test: *p < 0.1; ***p < 0.001. (A) Transcriptional mis-regulation (log2 scale) of the Brachyury target gene tbx6 and of MO side effect genes tp53, tp53inp1, and c3ar1. (B) Multi-probe WMISH for various mesoderm cell lineage and derivative markers (cav1, notochord; hoxd8, pronephros; myh6, heart; tal1, ventral blood island; tbx6, paraxial mesoderm) and single WMISH for the immune response-related gene c3ar1. White arrowheads point to the expression domains of tbx6 and cav1 that were not maintained in embryos without functional Brachyury. (C) Fold changes (log2 scale) to the alternative splicing (solid bar) and transcript level (filled bar) of the “off-target” genes dymk, ab1, f, and bloc1s4. (D) Measurements of the hybridization affinity (equilibrium dissociation constant Kd) between ftarget MO and target or off-target (including a point-mutant and a scrambled version) RNA oligonucleotides at 23°C and 35°C using biolayer interferometry (normalized instrument response versus MO concentration). The off-target sequence was derived from the most likely blocked site causing dymk mis-splicing (see Figure 5E).

(legend continued on next page)
dilution that the effective dose of the t/t2 MO mix could be reduced by about 6-fold to ~3 ng per embryo (i.e., ~750 pg per MO) at 25°C to 26°C (Figures 7E, S5B, and S5C). This considerably reduced excessive activation of c3ar1, tp53, and tp53inp1, although some overexpression could still be detected in the eye and the pharyngeal arches (see black arrowheads in Figure 7E). However, this low MO dose still failed to strongly mitigate aberrant splicing detected as early as the onset of gastrulation (Figure 7F). Moreover, the reduction of the t/t2 MO dose lowered mis-splicing equally in both target t transcripts and in off-target transcripts such as dtymk, ab1, and bloc1s4 (Figure 7F). Thus, decreasing MO dose to a level that still retained the phenotype was able to reduce, but not abolish, MO-mediated immune response or off-target splicing defects.

While the immune response could be further moderated by redesigning MOs with lower GC contents, the off-target effects seemed unavoidable. This prompted us to quantify the binding affinities between the ts splice MO and its canonical target and one of its putative off-target sites in dtymk causing alternative splicing (Figure 7D; Table S6). Primarily this was a comparison of the hybridization strength generated by 25 (target) and 8 (off-target) consecutive Watson-Crick base pairings. At both 23°C and 35°C biolayer interferometry yielded a ~100-fold lower affinity for the off-target than the canonical target RNA sequence; the MO concentrations at which half of the canonical sites were hybridized (equilibrium dissociation constant Kd) were ~14.3 and ~50.1 nM versus ~0.18 and 0.46 nM, respectively. The off-target affinities were comparable with that of the sequence-specific transcription factor Brachyury for its canonical DNA binding motif (Gentsch et al., 2013). Furthermore, wobble base pairing, here in the form of G:T, could contribute to the stability of hybridization as a G-to-C transversion increased the Kd by ~30%. No affinity was detected between a scrambled version of the off-target RNA sequence and the ts splice MO. These off-target Kd values were about 10-fold below the minimal concentration required to produce a t/t2 KO-like phenotype, which was ~300 nM based on 0.75 ng of the ts splice MO being injected as part of the t/t2 MO mix into a X. tropicalis zygote with a diameter of 0.8 mm. Therefore, we also conclude from a kinetic point view that these off-target effects are probably inevitable under physiological conditions.

**DISCUSSION**

Using TALEN technology, we generated t>t2/t2−− X. tropicalis frogs, a double heterozygous line from which Brachyury null mutants were derived. This genetic KO was compared on a transcriptome-wide level with a previously validated MO-mediated KD (Gentsch et al., 2013). The main objective of this study was to ask whether morphants with KO-like characteristics are suitable for molecular profiling, since genetic null mutants may not be readily available in sufficient quantities or cannot be generated for other reasons (see Introduction). A gross comparison of the morphology and various mesoderm cell lineage markers showed no apparent differences between null mutants and morphants: In both cases—irrespective of the method of genetic interference—the loss of Brachyury proteins disrupted tissue-specific gene expression in the mesoderm and caused a severe truncation of the tail. This is consistent with previous observations in Xenopus (Gentsch et al., 2013) and other vertebrate embryos (Chesley, 1935; Grüneberg, 1958; Halpern et al., 1993; Martin and Kimelman, 2008; Schulte-Merker et al., 1994; Yamaguchi et al., 1999; Yanagisawa et al., 1981). However, a deeper analysis of the poly(A) transcriptome revealed that, while KO and KD equally affected the same neuromesodermal genes, including functional Brachyury targets, morphants differed significantly from their genetic counterparts. This was due to at least two different kinds of side effect observed with both t/t2 MOs and the standard cMO.

**Innate Immune Response against MOs**

No immune stimulatory activity, such as interferon production or B cell activation has yet been attributed to MOs, in part because of their neutral chemistry (Moulton, 2016; Summerton, 2007). However, we show here that MOs can trigger such a response in Xenopus embryos, as judged by the perturbation of ~650 genes associated with the innate immune system. A spatiotemporal analysis of a few immune response related genes suggests that all embryonic cells are sensitive to MOs in this respect. This cell-intrinsic reaction was first detected during neurulation and intensified during axial elongation. The list of induced genes includes sensors, transducers, and effectors of innate immunity (Liu and Cao, 2016; Reis E Sousa, 2017; Takeuchi and Akira, 2010), suggesting that MOs are recognized by PRRs, such as specific TLRs, which induce NF-κB transcription factors and MAP kinases through the TLR signal mediator MyD88. These in turn activate the complement component system and release pro-inflammatory cytokines and protective molecules such as Δ9tp53. We suggest that this MO-induced immune response might generate non-specific abnormalities, especially in later development and in tissues and organ systems that co-opt signaling and gene regulatory networks from the immune system, such as the migrating neural crest and the cardiovascular and nervous systems. We note that the immune response appeared stronger for t/t2 MOs than cMO, suggesting that, for transcriptional analyses and other molecular profiling techniques, the use of a cMO for comparisons is not enough to prevent erroneous results. We found that this discrepancy in immune reaction intensity was probably based on the higher GC content of t/t2 MOs, in particular of t MOtransl (65%) compared with cMO (32%). This is reminiscent of the immune response against unmethylated CpG DNA, a pathogen-associated physical pattern of bacteria and viruses being recognized by TLR9 in B cells and plasmacytoid dendritic cells (Hemmi et al., 2000;
Krieg et al., 1995). Our study suggests that Xenopus post-gastrula embryos have similar immunological capacities nota bene in the absence of any specialized immune cells.

**MO Off-Target Splicing Defects**

MO off-target effects have previously been estimated to be rare, because 13–15 contiguous base matches (the minimum inactivating length, or MIL) have been thought to be necessary between the MO and RNA in order that splicing or translation be affected (Summerton, 1999, 2003, 2007). However, we detected hundreds of alternative or cryptic splice events that were probably due to the MO-mediated interference with the spliceosome-recognizing core RNA motifs of the splice or branchpoint sites or with auxiliary splicing factors binding additional pre-mRNA cis-acting sequences such as splicing enhancers or silencers (Scotti and Swanson, 2016). The branchpoint initiates splicing by forming an intron lariat with the 5’ end of the intron and determines the location of the acceptor splice site, which is normally located <50 bases 3’ of the branchpoint (Mercer et al., 2015). Mutations at branchpoint or splice sites can cause mis-splicing and are associated with various human genetic diseases like β-thalassemia or several muscular dystrophies (Scotti and Swanson, 2016; Singh and Cooper, 2012). There are at least three reasons that a higher rate of aberrant splicing in morphants might occur.

First, because the standard cMO as well as our target-specific splice-blocking MOs happened to match the most frequently encountered canonical donor splice motif in Xenopus and other vertebrates, they were more likely to show splice-related off-target effects. This would also include MOs targeting canonical acceptor splice sites which share similar sequence conservation (Scotti and Swanson, 2016). However, we show that splicing defects occur with all MOs tested, including translation-blocking MOs. Second, effective hybridization can tolerate a few interspersed mismatches between the MO and RNA (Kamachi et al., 2008). We confirm that non-canonical base pairing between guanine and thymine can stabilize hybridization (Moulton, 2017; Varani and McClain, 2000). These imperfect interactions including mismatches have also been observed between several small non-coding RNA species and mRNA transcripts (Martin et al., 2014; Mercer et al., 2015). Third, experimental in vivo conditions such as lower temperatures and higher MO molarities could reduce stringency (Coffman et al., 2004; Eisen and Smith, 2008). The MIL was determined in a cell-free translation system with 300 nM MO at 37°C (Summerton, 2003), while zebrafish and X. tropicalis embryos are routinely raised with higher MO concentrations at 22°C to 30°C. However, our in vivo experiments show that an increase of more than 6°C does not effectively alleviate mis-splicing, which is in accordance with the in vitro hybridization kinetics measured at 23°C and 35°C. MOs avidly hybridize off-target RNA oligonucleotides with a complementary sequence of only 8 consecutive bases at a concentration that is substantially lower than the absolute minimum of ~300 nM per MO required to produce a t/t2 KO-like phenotype. As a result, widespread off-target MO hybridization across the entire transcriptome explains why their high affinity for the target sequence (~200 pM) MOs have to be injected in >1,000-fold excess to achieve an efficient KD.

MO-induced aberrations in splicing might affect transcript stability by removing or adding regulatory elements, perhaps by inducing usage of an alternative final exon or by introducing premature translation stop codons, which could subject the mis-spliced transcript to nonsense-mediated decay (Lykke-Andersen and Jensen, 2015). The number of MO off-target effects will be higher than we find here, because so far we have only investigated splicing, and left MO-induced defects in transcript stability and translation unexplored. This might be further analyzed by profiling poly(A) tails and ribosome footprints (Subteiny et al., 2014). It was beyond the scope of this study to predict off-target effects on a global scale. Several parameters seem likely to be important for modeling this: alignment stringency with regard to MIL including the allowance of wobble base pairing or mismatches, MO positioning on the transcript, MO molarity and temperature of hybridization.

**Mitigation of MO Side Effects**

We found that by reducing the MO dose its side effects—that is the immune response and off-target splicing defects—could be somewhat alleviated while retaining the Brachyury phenotype. With regard to the immune reaction, we estimate that most of it could be avoided by designing MOs with a GC content of ≤40% and performing MO dosage optimization. Unfortunately, these measurements including the elevation of the incubation temperature were not effective in neutralizing mis-splicing. This is in line with the high affinity of MO off-target hybridization measured in vitro. Nevertheless, maximal temperatures are desirable, as less MO was required for an efficient Brachyury KD at 28.5°C compared with 22°C. This is possibly because more MO oligomers were released from low-stringency hybridization and became available for primary gene interference. Our kinetic and transcriptional study suggests that MO side effects are likely even in the absence of any obvious macro- or microscopic deviations from a null phenotype, which is still considered the golden standard for MO use (Stanier et al., 2017). These side effects may be lower in pre-midblastula transition embryos as transcriptional/post-transcriptional regulation are not as pervasive as in later development.

Given the persistence of MO side effects, one may contemplate whether they could be discriminated in silico from the molecular changes causing the loss-of-function phenotype. In our case these MO side effects appear orthogonal to the BP under investigation, which is the perturbation of the neuromesodermal cell trajectory. However, we would refrain from using an MO-mediated KD to explore BPs related to the MO side effects such as alternative splicing or immune-related signaling pathways. In any case, the standard cMO is inappropriate for differential expression analysis as it creates its own sequence-specific off-target effects and may not be as immunogenic as the target MO due to its exceptionally low GC content. We recommend the use of uninjected embryos instead, and keeping the GC content and dosage of the target MO as low as possible to reduce MO side effects and any potential developmental delays. We conclude that, despite a superficial agreement with the KO phenotype, the deep molecular profiling of morphants requires careful attention with regard to MO-mediated immunogenic and off-target effects. These anomalies might also have far-reaching deleterious consequences when considering applying MOs therapeutically to correct human genetic splicing
defects (Cirak et al., 2011; Mendell et al., 2013; Scotti and Swan-son, 2016; Summerton, 2017).
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## STAR★METHODS

### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **Antibodies**      |        |            |
| Mouse monoclonal anti-HA | Sigma | Cat#H9658; RRID: AB_260092 |
| Mouse monoclonal anti-c-Myc | Sigma | Cat#M5546; RRID: AB_260581 |
| Mouse monoclonal anti-α-tubulin | Sigma | Cat#T5168; RRID: AB_477579 |
| Anti-mouse IgG (H+L) horse radish peroxidase conjugate | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat#31430; RRID: AB_228307 |
| Fab fragments from polyclonal anti-digoxigenin conjugated to alkaline phosphatase | Roche | Cat#11093274910; RRID: AB_514497 |
| **Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins** |        |            |
| PhosphoSafe extraction buffer | Merck | Cat#71296 |
| complete EDTA-free protease inhibitors | Roche | Cat#11873580001 |
| TRizol | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat#1596018 |
| digoxigenin-11-UTP | Roche | Cat#11277065910 |
| RiboLock RNase inhibitor | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat#EO0381 |
| 4-Nitro-blue tetrazolium chloride (NBT) | Roche | Cat#1138323001 |
| 5-Bromo-4-chloro-3'-indolyphosphate (BCIP) | Roche | Cat#11383221001 |
| digoxigenin-11-dUTP | Roche | Cat#11558706910 |
| **Critical Commercial Assays** |        |            |
| mMessage mMachine T3 Transcription kit | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat#AM1348 |
| mMessage mMachine SP6 Transcription kit | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat#AM1340 |
| TruSeq RNA Library Prep Kit v2 | Illumina | Cat#RS-122-2001 |
| KAPA HiFi HotStart ReadyMix | Kapa Biosystems | Cat#KK2602 |
| TOPO TA cloning kit | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat#K4500 |
| Zero-Blunt TOPO cloning kit | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat#K2800 |
| Directional pENTR/TOPO cloning kit | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat#K2400 |
| Turbo DNase | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat#AM2238 |
| proteinase K | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat#AM2548 |
| SP6 RNA polymerase | Roche | Cat#11487671001 |
| T7 RNA polymerase | Roche | Cat#10881767001 |
| Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat#EP0161 |
| RNase H minus and point-mutant M-MLV reverse transcriptase | Promega | Cat#M3681 |
| SYBR Green I master mix | Roche | Cat#04707516001 |
| **Deposited Data** |        |            |
| Raw sequencing data (FASTQ) | This study | GEO: GSE96655 |
| **Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains** |        |            |
| *Xenopus tropicalis* | This study | EXRC: https://xenopusresource.org |
| *Xenopus tropicalis* | This study | EXRC: https://xenopusresource.org |
| **Oligonucleotides** |        |            |
| Sulforhodamine-tagged morpholino, t MOsplice: | GeneTools | Gentsch et al., 2013 |
| TGGAGGACCCCTGATCTTACCTTCC | GeneTools | Gentsch et al., 2013 |
| Sulforhodamine-tagged morpholino, t2 MOtransi: | GeneTools | Gentsch et al., 2013 |
| GGCTCCAAGCGCACACACTGGG | GeneTools | Gentsch et al., 2013 |
| Sulforhodamine-tagged morpholino, t2 MOsplice: | GeneTools | Gentsch et al., 2013 |
| GAAAGGTCCATATTCTCTTACCTTC | | |

(Continued on next page)
| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| Sulforhodamine-tagged morpholino, t2 MO<sub>transl</sub>: | GeneTools | Gentsch et al., 2013 |
| Untagged morpholino, standard control MO: | GeneTools | N/A |
| Biotin-tagged <sub>t</sub><sub>target RNA: </sub> | IDT | This study |
| Biotin-tagged <sub>dtymk<sub><sup>(G</sup>C</sub></sub><sub><sup>off-target RNA: </sub>| IDT | This study |
| Biotin-tagged scrambled <sub>dtymk<sub><sup>off-target RNA: </sub>| IDT | This study |
| Genotyping f (exon 1), forward and reverse primer: | Sigma | This study |
| Genotyping i2 (exon 3), forward and reverse primer: | Sigma | This study |
| Cloning f and i<sup>1.12D</sup> for N-terminal tagging, forward and reverse primer: | Sigma | This study |
| Cloning f and i<sup>1.12D</sup> for C-terminal tagging, forward and reverse primer: | Sigma | This study |
| Cloning i2<sup>f,2D</sup> for N-terminal tagging, forward and reverse primer: | Sigma | This study |
| Cloning i2<sup>f,2D</sup> for C-terminal tagging, forward and reverse primer: | Sigma | This study |
| Cloning i2<sup>g,3.7D</sup> for N-terminal tagging, forward and reverse primer: | Sigma | This study |
| Cloning i2<sup>g,3.7D</sup> for C-terminal tagging, forward and reverse primer: | Sigma | This study |
| Generating c3ar1 in situ probe template (1,020 bp), forward and reverse primer: | Sigma | This study |
| Generating tp53 in situ probe template (999 bp), forward and reverse primer: | Sigma | This study |
| Generating tp53inp1 in situ probe template (1,002 bp), forward and reverse primer: | Sigma | This study |
| RT-qPCR for abi1 (exon 1), forward and reverse primer: | Sigma | This study |

(Continued on next page)
| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| RT-qPCR for abi1 (\^, joining exon 7 and 11 by alternative splicing), forward and reverse primer: TATTGGACAAG\^TTGCGGACA, GGAAGTGGGAGGAGGAAATC | Sigma | This study |
| RT-qPCR for bloc1s4 (exon 1 to 2), forward and reverse primer: CCAGTCTCTGAGCGAAGAG, AATCTCTGCATTTCGCTGT | Sigma | This study |
| RT-qPCR for bloc1s4 (\^, joining exon 4 and 6 by alternative splicing), forward and reverse primer: TGTTGAG\^AAACACACTG, CTGCTGAGGAAAGAATC | Sigma | This study |
| RT-qPCR for c3ar1 (exon 1 to 2), forward and reverse primer: TTGAGTGAGGAGACAGAGG, CGTCCATTCTCTGATTTGC | Sigma | This study |
| RT-qPCR for dtymk (exon 1), forward and reverse primer: TGGAGTGCTTTATTTGTG, CTTGAAACCCTCGCTTTTCA | Sigma | This study |
| RT-qPCR for dtymk (\^, joining exon 2 and 5 by alternative splicing), forward and reverse primer: CGTGGAGAAGAAT\^CTTATT, TCGTTTATCTCTGGATCC | Sigma | This study |
| RT-qPCR for odc1, forward and reverse primer: GGGCAAAAGAGCTTAATGTGG, CATCGTGCATCTGAGACAGC | Sigma | Gentsch et al., 2013 |
| RT-qPCR for t (exon 1 to 2), forward and reverse primer: CCTGTGAGGAGATTCAAGG, CACGCTCACCTTAAAGAAGC | Sigma | Gentsch et al., 2013 |
| RT-qPCR for t (exon 6), forward and reverse primer: TCTTTATGTCGCCAAATCC, CGAGCGGTGTTTCTTAGAG | Sigma | This study |
| RT-qPCR for t (\^, joining exon 1 and 2 by cryptic splicing), forward and reverse primer: GAGCTGAAG\^GCGAATGTTTC, TTGTCAGCTGACAAAATC | Sigma | This study |
| RT-qPCR for t2, forward and reverse primer: CACAAGGCCATTTCATTCCAG, TCTTTGGCATCAGAAAGC | Sigma | Gentsch et al., 2013 |
| RT-qPCR for tbx6, forward and reverse primer: ACCCTCTACGGATGAGACC, AAGAGCCTACCATGCTCTCG | Sigma | Gentsch et al., 2013 |
| RT-qPCR for tpS3, forward and reverse primer: GGATGTGCAGACAGAAGAG, AAGTGAGGGTCGTCATGGATG | Sigma | This study |
| RT-qPCR for tpS3np1, forward and reverse primer: CACAGGATATAAAGCAGCAGAAG, GTGTAAGCAAGGAGGCAGAG | Sigma | This study |

Recombinant DNA

- t TALEN
  - Collectis Bioresearch
  - N/A

- t2 TALEN
  - This study
  - N/A

- Golden Gate TALEN and TAL Effector Kit 2.0
  - Cermak et al., 2011
  - Addgene: goldengatev2

- t2 cDNA clone
  - Source BioScience
  - IMAGE 5307982

(Continued on next page)
| REAGENT or RESOURCE                      | SOURCE                       | IDENTIFIER |
|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| RCIScript-GoldyTALEN plasmid            | Carlson et al., 2012         | Addgene: 38142 |
| N-terminal 3xHA pCS2+ destination vector| Nigel Messenger Smith lab   |            |
| C-terminal 3xHA pCS2+ destination vector| Nigel Messenger Smith lab   |            |
| myc-tagged fam83g pCS2+                 | Kevin Dingwell Smith lab    |            |
| X. laevis tpS5 pCS105                   | Cordenonsi et al., 2007      | N/A        |
| X. laevis actc1 pSP21                   | Mohun et al., 1984           | N/A        |
| X. tropicalis cav1 pExpress1            | Gentsch et al., 2013         |            |
| X. tropicalis c3ar1 pCRII-TOPO          | This study                   | N/A        |
| X. tropicalis hoxd8 pCR2.1-TOPO         | Gentsch et al., 2013         | N/A        |
| X. tropicalis myh6 pCRII-TOPO           | Abu-Daya et al., 2009        | N/A        |
| X. laevis tal1 pGEM-7ZI+                | EXRC                        |            |
| X. laevis tbx6 pBluescript KS-          | Uchiyama et al., 2001        | N/A        |
| X. tropicalis tp53 pCRII-TOPO           | This study                   | N/A        |
| X. tropicalis tp53inp1 pCRII-TOPO       | This study                   | N/A        |

### Software and Algorithms

| Software and Algorithm                      | Source of Information          | Website                                      |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| TAL Effector Nucleotide Targeter 2.0        | Cermak et al., 2011; Doyle et al., 2012 | https://tale-nt.cac.cornell.edu |
| Bowtie2 v2.1.0                              | Langmead and Salzberg, 2012 | http://bowtie-bio.sourceforge.net/bowtie2 |
| Tophat v2.0.10                              | Kim et al., 2013              | https://ccb.jhu.edu/software/tophat          |
| STAR v2.5.2a                                | Dobin et al., 2013            | https://github.com/alexdobin/STAR           |
| Samtools v1.3.1                             | Li et al., 2009               | http://www.htslib.org                       |
| RSeQC v2.6.4 (Python)                       | Wang et al., 2012             | http://rseqc.sourceforge.net                 |
| IGV genome browser v2.3.92                  | Robinson et al., 2011         | http://software.broadinstitute.org/igv/     |
| HOMER v4.8.3                                | Heinz et al., 2010            | http://homer.ucsd.edu/homer/index.html      |
| LeafCutter v1.0 (Python/R package)           | Li et al., 2018               | https://github.com/davidaknowles/leafcutter  |
| splAdder v1.0 (Python)                      | Kahles et al., 2016           | https://github.com/ratschlab/spladder       |
| Julia: Bio.Seq module                       | BioJulia Project              | https://github.com/BioJulia                  |
| HTSeq-count v0.5.4p3 (Python)               | Anders et al., 2015           | http://www-huber.embl.de/HTSeq/doc/count.html |
| DESeq2 v1.14.1 (Bioconductor package)       | Love et al., 2014             | https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/DESeq2.html |
| limma v3.30.13 (Bioconductor package)       | Ritchie et al., 2015          | https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/limma.html |
| edgeR v3.16.5 (Bioconductor package)        | Robinson et al., 2010         | https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/edgeR.html |
| Gostats v2.40.0 (Bioconductor package)      | Falcon and Gentleman, 2007    | https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/Gostats.html |
| GSEABase v1.36.0 (Bioconductor package)     | Morgan et al., 2017           | http://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/GSEABase.html |
| igraph v1.0.1 (R package)                   | Csárdi and Nepusz, 2006       | https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/igraph/index.html |
| seriation v1.2-1 (R package)                | Hahsler et al., 2008          | https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/seriation/index.html |
| Matching v4.9-2 (R package)                 | Sekhon, 2011                  | https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/Matching/index.html |
| R v3.3.1                                    | The R Foundation              | https://www.r-project.org                    |
| Bioconductor v3.5                           | Bioconductor                  | http://www.bioconductor.org                  |
| Perl v5.18.2                                | The Perl Foundation           | https://www.perl.org                         |
| Python v2.7.12                              | Python Software Foundation    | http://www.python.org                         |
| Julia v0.5                                  | The Julia Community           | https://julialang.org                         |
CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, James C. Smith (jim.smith@crick.ac.uk).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

**Xenopus**

Standard procedures were used for ovulation, fertilization, and manipulation and incubation of embryos (Khokha et al., 2002; Sive et al., 2000). Embryos were staged according to Nieuwkoop and Faber (1994). All Xenopus work complied fully with the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 as implemented by the Francis Crick Institute.

**METHOD DETAILS**

**TALEN Design and Production**

Plasmids encoding the t targeting TALEN pair were purchased from Cellectis Bioresearch (France). The repeat-variable diresidues (RVDs) of the left (NG-HD-NG-HD-NI-NI-HD-NG-HD-NI-NI-HD-NG) and the right (HD-HD-HD-HD-HD-HD-NI-HD-NG-NI-NI-HD-NG-HD-NI-NI-HD-NG) TALEN target the genomic DNA sequence 5'-TTTCAGCCGCAGCGTGCA-3' (X. tropicalis genome assembly v9.0, Chr05:58,625,117-58,625,133) and 5'-TCCCCCTTCTCGCTGCC-3' (Chr05:58,625,085-58,625,085-58,625,101), respectively. The t2 targeting TALEN pair was designed using TAL Effector Nuclease Targeter 2.0 software (Cermak et al., 2011; Doyle et al., 2012) and constructed using the Golden Gate TALEN and TAL Effector Kit 2.0 (Cermak et al., 2011) (Addgene golden-gatev2). The RVDs of the left (HD-NG-HD-HD-NI-NI-HD-NG-NI-NI-NI-HD-NG-NI-NI-HD-NG-HD) and right (NG-HD-HD-HD-HD-HD-HD-NI-HD-NG-NI-NI-HD-NG-HD-HD) T2 TALEN target genomic DNA sequence 5'-CGTTGCATATACTGCGTCG-3' (Chr05:58,584,360-58,584,649) and 5'-AGAGTTGGGGGACCACAGAA-3' (Chr05:58,584,595-58,584,614), respectively. The RCIScript-GoldyTALEN plasmid (Carlson et al., 2012) (Addgene, Cat#38142) was used as the destination vector to synthesize TALEN mRNA. TALEN mRNA was transcribed from linearized plasmids using the mMessage mMachine T3 Transcription kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#AM1348).

**Morpholino and TALEN Injections**

Initially, 4.5 ng of each t (Xbra) and t2 (Xbra3) splice- and translation-blocking morpholino oligomer (MOsplice and莫transl) were injected at 1-cell stage. MOs were designed by Gene Tools (see Key Resources Table). While the standard control MO was untagged, all Brachyury MOs were tagged 3' with sulforhodamine. In an attempt to minimize MO side effects, this dose was incrementally reduced to 1.5, 0.75 and 0.25 ng per target MO. The standard control MO (cMO) from Gene Tools was used as a control and dosed accordingly. Wild type outbred X. tropicalis embryos were injected at the 1-cell stage with either 300 pg (t) or 600 to 1200 pg (t2) of TALEN mRNA. The heterozygous X. tropicalis lines t1.2D and t1.2D/t2e3.7D were submitted to the European Xenopus Research Centre (EXRC, https://xenopusresource.org).

**Genotyping**

Whole embryos or clipped tails from anaesthetized embryos (Love et al., 2013) were digested in 60 μl lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8.5, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% [v/v] Tween-20 and 100 μg/ml protease K [Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#AM2548]) for 2 hrs at 55°C. The digest was incubated for 10 mins at 95°C to inactivate protease K and spun briefly prior to PCR amplification. 2 μl of the lysate were used for each PCR reaction together with 200 nM of each forward and reverse primers (Key Resources Table) and KAPA HiFi HotStart ReadyMix (Kapa Biosystems, Cat#KK2602) in a 10 μl reaction. The targeted site of mutagenesis was amplified under the following PCR cycling conditions: 45 secs 98°C, 36 cycles (10 secs 98°C, 10 secs 58°C (t) or 63°C (t2), 10 secs 72°C) and 20 secs 72°C. PCR reactions were directly digested with either Sacl (t) or EcoRI (t2) and separated by gel electrophoresis. For genotyping of single embryos, which were collected in TRIzol (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#15596026) for total RNA extraction, genomic DNA was extracted according to the manufacturer’s back extraction protocol. For Sanger sequencing PCR products were purified and either sequenced directly or after TOPO TA cloning (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#K240020). The primers are listed in Key Resources Table. The entry vectors were submitted to the European Xenopus Research Centre (EXRC, https://xenopusresource.org).

**Cloning of Wild-Type and Mutant t and t2**

N- and C-terminal 3xHA tagged t, t2, t1.2D and t2e3.7D constructs were created by Gateway cloning. The coding sequence (CDS) of t, t1.2D and t2e3.7D was synthesized de novo by Thermo Fisher Scientific, while the CDS of t2 was generated from the IMAGE cDNA clone 5307982 (Source BioScience). These sequences were PCR-amplified and inserted unidirectionally into the pENTR/TOPO entry vector (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#K240020). The primers are listed in Key Resources Table. The entry vectors were recombined with N- or C-terminal 3xHA pCS2+ destination vectors. The final constructs were linearized with Apal for in vitro transcription with the mMessage mMachine SP6 Transcription kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#AM1340). Likewise, capped mRNA was generated from the injection control construct pCS2+ containing myc-tagged fam83g (kindly provided by Kevin Dingwell) linearized with PvuII. 400 pg of each mRNA was injected into the zygote of X. laevis for its overexpression.
Western Blotting

*X. laevis* embryos were homogenized in 6 μl per embryo of PhoshoSafe extraction buffer (Merck, Cat#71296) supplemented with complete EDTA-free protease inhibitors (Sigma, Cat#118735B0001). To remove yolk from the embryonic extract, the homogenate was mixed with the same volume of Freon (1,1,2-trichloro-trifluoroethane) and centrifuged for 5 mins at 10,000 g (4 °C). One embryo equivalent of denatured supernatant was loaded onto pre-cast SDS-polyacrylamide gels (any kD mini-PROTEAN TGX) (Bio-Rad, Cat#4569033). Proteins were separated by molecular weight (SDS-PAGE) and processed for conventional western blotting. The following primary and secondary antibodies were applied at the indicated dilutions in PBS containing 0.1% (v/v) Tween-20 and 5% (w/v) milk powder: 1:10,000 mouse monoclonal anti-HA (Sigma, Cat#H9658), 1:10,000 mouse monoclonal anti-c-Myc (Sigma, Cat#M5546), 1:5,000 mouse monoclonal anti-α-tubulin (Sigma, Cat#T5168) and 1:2,000 goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) horseradish peroxidase conjugate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#31430). Peroxidase activity was detected by chemiluminescence (BioFX Chemiluminescent Ultra-Sensitive HRP Membrane Substrate, SurfModics) and captured with a ChemiDoc XRS+ imager (BioRad).

Total RNA Isolation

For deep sequencing, individual embryos from three separate crosses or individual embryos from three separate *in vitro* fertilizations injected with either t1 and t2 or control MOs were collected at developmental stages 26 (mid-tabud) and 34 (late tabud). They were then homogenized each in 200 μl TRIzol by vortexing. For phase separation, 40 μl of chloroform was added to the homogenate, which was shaken vigorously for 15 secs before spinning for 5 mins at 16,000 g at 4 °C. The aqueous phase containing total RNA was snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C while the interphase-organic layer was processed to extract genomic DNA for genotyping as described above. The aqueous phases from 5 to 10 sibling embryos of shared genotype or treatment were then combined. Total RNA was precipitated with one volume of absolute ethanol and cleaned using the RNA Clean and Concentrator 5 or 25 (Zymo Research) with in-column 3 U Turbo DNase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#AM2238) treatment according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For RT-qPCR of uninjected and morphant embryos, 6 embryos from three to four separate fertilizations were collected for each developmental stage and processed as described above except that volumes were adjusted to the homogenization in 400 μl TRIzol and no genomic DNA was extracted.

RNA-Seq Library Preparation and Sequencing

Poly(A)+ RNA-Seq libraries were made from ~1 μg total RNA by following version 2 of the TruSeq RNA low sample protocol (Illumina) with the following modifications. After second strand synthesis 1 μl of the remaining eluate was used to measure the cDNA concentration using the Qubit fluorometer and Qubit dsDNA high sensitivity reagents (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#Q32851). The resultant cDNA yield was used to estimate the number of requisite PCR cycles to generate high complexity libraries without chimeric fragments. We routinely used 10 PCR cycles for 10 ng of cDNA and adjusted the number of PCR cycles accordingly. Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform to produce paired-end reads of 76 bases. Read numbers and alignment statistics are summarized in Table S1.

RNA-Seq Differential Expression Analysis

Paired-end reads were aligned to a revised version of *X. tropicalis* gene models v7.2 and known off-genome EST assemblies including ribosomal and mitochondrial RNA (Collart et al., 2014) by running Bowtie2 v2.1.0 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012) with the following constraints: -k 200 (up to 200 alignments per fragment) -X 800 (maximum fragment length of 800 bp) --rdg 6,5 (penalty for read gaps of length N, 6+N*5) --rfq 6,5 (penalty for reference gaps of length N, 6+N*5) –score-main L,-.6,-.4 (minimal alignment score as a linear function of the read length x, f(x) = -0.6 - 0.4*x) –no-discordant (no paired-end read alignments breaching maximum fragment length X) –no-mixed (only concordant alignment of paired-end reads). Only read pairs that uniquely align to one gene were counted. The reads of public RNA-Seq datasets (study accession # PRJNA351216, Campbell et al., 2016; PRJNA218018, Chung et al., 2014; PRJNA266550, Dichmann et al., 2015; PRJNA299093, Marlézat et al., 2015; PRJEB8711, Noiret et al., 2016) were aligned to the genome assemblies of *X. tropicalis* (v7.1) or *X. laevis* (v9.1) using the STAR aligner v2.5.3a with default settings. Differential expression analysis was performed with raw fragment counts excluding those belonging to ribosomal and mitochondrial RNA using DESeq2 v1.14.1 (Love et al., 2014). Except for the principal component analysis (PCA) gene-specific dispersion estimates were calculated separately for the t/t2 KO and KD experiment. In an effort to find genes with consistent fold changes over time, p-values were generated according to a likelihood ratio χ2 test reflecting the probability of rejecting the reduced (~ developmental stage) over the full (~ developmental stage + condition) model. Resulting p-values were adjusted to obtain false discovery rates (FDR) according to the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure, whereby thresholds on Cook’s distances and independent filtering were switched off (Table S2). For PCA normalized fragment counts were transformed with a regularized logarithm (rlog) to shrink substantial variance among low-count genes (Figure 2A). For all other analyses, genes with ≤7 fragment counts averaged between cMO-injected and uninjected embryos and wild-type and t/t2 heterozygotes were removed (Figure S2B). This lower threshold was set because markedly more falsely discovered fold changes were detected below it between otherwise very similar conditions. Public datasets were also analyzed using DESeq2, but statistical significance of transcript level differences between morphant and corresponding uninjected embryos were obtained through Wald tests (Figure 6A).
Perturbation Networks of Biological Processes

Common and unique changes (≥1.5-fold change at FDR ≤ 10%) of gene expression among four comparisons (control or t/t2 morphants versus uninjected embryos and t/t2 heterozygotes or homozygotes versus wild-type embryos) were calculated and visualized in two Venn diagrams (Figure 2C) for elevated and reduced transcript levels using limma v3.30.13 (Ritchie et al., 2015). The five largest Venn fields were analyzed for enriched biological processes (BP) using GOSTats v2.40.0 (Falcon and Gentleman, 2007) and GSEABase (Morgan et al., 2017). Gene-specific BP term associations were previously generated using BLAST2GO (Conesa et al., 2005; Gentsch et al., 2015; Owens et al., 2016). Parental, regulatory and component relationships between enriched BP were visualized as ‘perturbation networks’ using igraph v1.0.1 (Csardi and Nepusz, 2006). Perturbation networks of Venn fields with similar BP signatures were joined (Table S4). The size of the node reflects the number of genes, while its color represents the hypergeometric p-value (-log_{10} p). Non-connected (0 degree) and low-grade (p > 0.0001 or < 10 genes for Figure 2E and Table S4 tabs ‘DOWN_Venn_D’ and ‘UP_Venn_A,B+C’ and < 5 genes for all remaining tabs of Table S4) nodes were excluded from network drawing. Nodes were clustered into communities based on edge betweenness using the Newman-Girvan algorithm. For the purpose of visualization few of these communities were subsequently removed or merged manually. The network graph was drawn using the force-directed Fruchterman-Reingold algorithm. Some of the most enriched BP terms of all which have >100 universe members (GO:0006955, immune response; GO:0011816, cytokine production; GO:0007249, 1-xB kinase/NF-xB signaling; GO:0001756, somitogenesis; GO:0072358, cardiovascular system development; GO:0003012, muscle system process; GO:0021510, spinal cord development; GO:0006520, cellular amino acid metabolism; GO:0006091, generation of precursor metabolites) were visualized by their hypergeometric p-value (-log_{10} p) in a bubble plot (Figure 2D).

Visualization of Chromatin and RNA Profiles

The local chromatin binding pattern of Brachyury (+/-40 kb from TSS) at tailbud stage was extracted from previously published ChiP-Seq data (Gentsch et al., 2013) and displayed across transcript fold changes for the most mis-regulated genes associated with the immune response or somitogenesis (Figure 3A). ChiP-Seq reads were aligned to the X. tropicalis genome assembly v7.1 using Bowtie2 v2.1.0 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012) with default settings. The binding matrix for the heatmap (Figure 3A) was generated with a 500-bp resolution using HOMER v4.8.3 (Heinz et al., 2010). The number of uniquely aligned ChiP-Seq reads was normalized to the effective total of 10 million aligned reads including multimappers. Genomic regions which displayed <50% of the mean read density within a 400-bp window sliding through the input track by 200-bp increments were masked to eliminate any false positive enrichments. For visualizing tps53 isoforms (Figure 3D) paired-end reads were mapped to the X. tropicalis genome assembly v7.1 and known off-genome EST assemblies using Tophat v2.0.10 (Kim et al., 2013) with the following parameters: -r 77 (mean inner distance between mate pairs) -mate-std-dev 110 (standard deviation of inner distances between mate pairs) -G v7.2 (gene models of version 7.2 as used above) -G 200 (up to 200 alignments per read) –report-secondary-alignments (include additional and secondary alignments). Tophat BAM files of biological replicates were merged using samtools v1.3.1 (Li et al., 2009) and converted to the bigWig format. These genome tracks were normalized to the wigsum of 1 billion excluding any reads with mapping quality <10 using the python script bam2wig.py from RSeQC v2.6.4 (Wang et al., 2012). Tracks were visualized in the IGV genome browser v2.3.92 (Robinson et al., 2011).

Analysis of Differential Splicing

Splicing anomalies were detected without transcript annotation applying LeafCutter v1.0 (Li et al., 2018) on STAR-aligned split reads from all conditions. LeafCutter focuses on intron splicing events rather than whole transcript isoform quantification which helped to reduce false positive accounts caused by whole transcript fold changes. The two-pass mode and otherwise default settings of STAR v2.5.2/3a were used to align split reads to the genome assemblies of X. tropicalis (v7.1) or X. laevis (v9.1) (Dobin et al., 2013). The scripts ‘leafcutter_cluster.py’ and ‘utils.R’ were modified accordingly to accept scaffold coordinates and to record all junctions that contain ≥1 read. LeafCutter clusters introns according to shared acceptor or donor sites. Here clusters with ≥7 reads and single introns having a maximum length of 0.5 Mb were selected: leafcutter_cluster.py –minicureads 7 –maxintronlen 500000. Differential splicing required all samples per condition to contain ≥7 supporting reads per junction. For visualization split read counts with more than one count per million (CPM) per splicing junction were TMM normalized using edgeR (Robinson and Oshlack, 2010; Robinson et al., 2010). Subsequently, only junctions with ≥20 split reads on average among all samples were kept. For each differentially spliced intron cluster (FDR ≤ 1%), only the splice events with the minimal (≥1.5 standard deviations below the mean) and maximal percentage splice index (PSI) were represented in a heatmap (Figures 5C and 6B). MO sequence alignments to the genome were visualized as ‘perturbation networks’ using igraph v1.0.1 (Csardi and Nepusz, 2006). Perturbation networks of Venn fields with similar BP signatures were joined (Table S4). The size of the node reflects the number of genes, while its color represents the hypergeometric p-value (-log_{10} p). Non-connected (0 degree) and low-grade (p > 0.0001 or < 10 genes for Figure 2E and Table S4 tabs ‘DOWN_Venn_D’ and ‘UP_Venn_A,B+C’ and < 5 genes for all remaining tabs of Table S4) nodes were excluded from network drawing. Nodes were clustered into communities based on edge betweenness using the Newman-Girvan algorithm. For the purpose of visualization few of these communities were subsequently removed or merged manually. The network graph was drawn using the force-directed Fruchterman-Reingold algorithm. Some of the most enriched BP terms of all which have >100 universe members (GO:0006955, immune response; GO:0011816, cytokine production; GO:0007249, 1-xB kinase/NF-xB signaling; GO:0001756, somitogenesis; GO:0072358, cardiovascular system development; GO:0003012, muscle system process; GO:0021510, spinal cord development; GO:0006520, cellular amino acid metabolism; GO:0006091, generation of precursor metabolites) were visualized by their hypergeometric p-value (-log_{10} p) in a bubble plot (Figure 2D).
(and developmental stages) to generate Sashimi plots using splAdder (Kahles et al., 2016). The consensus donor splice sequence (Figure 5B) was derived from annotated canonical splice junctions detected by ≥10 split reads across all control samples (uninjected and wild-type) from both tailbud stages. The sequence logo was generated from 145,447 donor splice junctions using WebLogo 3.5.0 (Crooks et al., 2004): weblogo -A ma -U probability -c classic.

**Generation of Digoxigenin-Labeled RNA Probes**

The DNA templates for generating c3ar1 and tp53inp1 whole mount *in situ* hybridization probes were PCR amplified from *X. tropicalis* embryonic stage 18 cDNA. The template for the tp53 probe was amplified from *X. laevis* tp53 pCS105 plasmid (Cordenonsi et al., 2017). All products of ~1 kb were amplified with the KAPA HiFi HotStart polymerase using the following PCR cycling conditions: 45 sec at 98 °C, 40 cycles (10 sec 98 °C, 10 sec 63 °C, 15 sec 72 °C), 20 sec 72 °C. The primer sequences are listed in Key Resources Table. Fresh PCR products or size-selected bands were zero-blunt cloned into the pCRII-TOPO vector (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#450245). Identity and direction of insert was verified by restriction digest and Sanger sequencing. Plasmids were linearized by restriction digestion and purified using the QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen, Cat#28104). All *in situ* hybridization probes were transcribed from ~1 µg of linearized plasmid using 1x digoxigenin-11-UTP (Roche, Cat#11277065910), 40 U RibozLock RNase inhibitor (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#EO0381), 1x transcription buffer (Roche, Cat#11465384001) and SP6 or T7 RNA polymerase (Roche, Cat#11487671001 or 10881767001) in a 20 µl reaction for 2 hrs at 37 °C. The probe was treated with 2 U Turbo DNase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#AM2238) to remove the DNA template and was either purified by spin-column chromatography (Clontech, Cat#636089) or LiCl precipitation. The RNA was quantified with a Nanodrop spectrometer, diluted to 10 ng/µl (10x stock) with hybridization buffer (50% [v/v] formamide, 5x SSC, 1x Denhardt’s, 10 mM EDTA, 1 mg/ml torula RNA, 100 µg/ml heparin, 0.1% [v/v] Tween-20, 0.1% [w/v] CHAPS) and stored at -20 °C. The following plasmids, restriction enzymes and RNA polymerases were used for plasmid linearization and *in vitro* transcription to generate sense (data not shown) and antisense probes: actc1 antisense, *X. laevis* actc1 pSP21 (Muhon et al., 1984), EcoRI; SP6; cav1 antisense, *X. tropicalis* cav1 (Gentsch et al., 2013), BglII; T7; c3ar1 antisense, *X. tropicalis* c3ar1 pCRII-TOPO (this study), BamHI; T7; c3ar1 sense, *X. tropicalis* c3ar1 pCRII-TOPO (this study, not shown), NotI, SP6, hoxd8 antisense, *X. tropicalis* hoxd8 pCR2.1-TOPO (Gentsch et al., 2013), HindIII; T7; myh6 antisense, *X. tropicalis* myh6 pCRII-TOPO (Abu-Daya et al., 2009), Xhol; SP6; tal1 antisense, *X. laevis* tal1 pGEM-T-Z+ (EXRC). Xhol; SP6; tbx6 antisense, *X. laevis* tbx6 pBluescript KS- (UCHIYAMA et al., 2001), NotI, T7; tp53 antisense, *X. tropicalis* tp53 pCRII-TOPO (this study), BamHI; T7; tp53 sense, *X. tropicalis* tp53 pCRII-TOPO (this study, not shown), NotI, SP6; tp53inp1 antisense, *X. tropicalis* tp53inp1 pCRII-TOPO (this study), HindIII; T7; and tp53inp1 sense, *X. tropicalis* tp53inp1 pCRII-TOPO (this study, not shown), NotI, SP6.

**Whole Mount In Situ Hybridization**

Whole mount *in situ* hybridization (WMISH) was conducted using digoxigenin-labeled RNA probes. It was based on previously published protocols (Monsoro-Burq, 2007; Sive et al., 2000). *X. tropicalis* embryos were fixed with 1 ml of MEMFA (1x MEM, 3.7% [v/v] formaldehyde) in 5 ml glass vials for 1 h at room temperature. The embryos were then washed once in 1x PBS and 2 to 3 times in ethanol. Fixed and dehydrated embryos were kept at -20 °C for at least 24 hrs to ensure proper dehydration before starting with the hybridization. Dehydrated embryos were washed once more in ethanol before rehydrating them in two steps to PBT (1x PBS, 0.1% [v/v] Tween-20). Embryos were treated with 5 µg/ml proteinase K (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#AM2548) in PBT for 6 to 8 mins, washed briefly in PBT, fixed again in MEMFA for 20 minutes and washed 3 times in PBT. Embryos were transferred into baskets, which are kept in an 8x8 microcentrifuge tube holder sitting inside a 10x10 slot plastic box filled with PBT. Baskets were built by replacing the round bottom of 2 ml microcentrifuge tubes with a Sefar Nitex mesh. This container system was used to readily process several batches of embryos at once. These baskets were maximally loaded with 40 to 50 *X. tropicalis* embryos. The microcentrifuge tube holder was used to transfer all baskets at once and to submerge embryos into subsequent buffers of the WMISH protocol. Next, the embryos were incubated in 500 µl of hybridization buffer (60% [v/v] formamide, 5x SSC, 1x Denhardt’s, 10 mM EDTA, 1 mg/ml torula RNA, 100 µg/ml heparin, 0.1% [v/v] Tween-20, 0.1% [w/v] CHAPS) for 2 hrs in a hybridization oven set to 60 °C. After this pre-hybridization step, the embryos were transferred into 500 µl of 1 ng/µl of digoxigenin-labeled probe preheated to 60 °C and further incubated overnight at 60 °C. The pre-hybridization buffer was kept at 60 °C. The next day embryos were transferred back into the pre-hybridization buffer and incubated at 60 °C for 10 mins. Subsequently, they were washed 3 times in 2x SSC/0.1% [v/v] Tween-20 for 10 mins at 60 °C, twice in 0.2x SSC/0.1% [v/v] Tween-20 for 20 mins at 60 °C and twice in 1x maleic acid buffer (MAB) for 5 mins at room temperature. Next, the embryos were treated with blocking solution (2% [w/v] Bohringer Mannheim blocking reagent in 1x MAB) for 30 mins at room temperature, and incubated in antibody solution (10% [v/v] lamb or goat serum, 2% [w/v] Bohringer Mannheim blocking reagent, 1x MAB, 1:2,000 Fab fragments from polyclonal anti-digoxigenin antibodies conjugated to alkaline phosphatase) for 4 hrs at room temperature. The embryos were then extensively washed 4 times in 1x MAB for 10 min before leaving them in 1x MAB overnight at 4 °C. On the final day of the WMISH protocol, the embryos were washed another 3 times in 1x MAB for 20 mins and equilibrated to working conditions of alkaline phosphatase (AP) for a total of 10 mins by submerging embryos twice into freshly prepared AP buffer (50 mM MgCl2, 100 mM NaCl, 100 mM Tris pH9.5, 1% Tween-20). At this stage, the embryos were transferred to 5 ml glass vials for monitoring the progression of the AP-driven colorimetric reaction. Any residual AP buffer was discarded before adding 700 µl of freshly prepared staining solution (AP buffer, 340 µg/ml nitro-blue tetrazolium chloride, 175 µg/ml 5-bromo-4-chloro-3’-indoloylphosphate). The colorimetric reaction was developed at room temperature in the dark. Once the staining was clear and intense enough, the color reaction was stopped by 2 washes in 1x MAB. To stabilize and preserve morphological features, the embryos were fixed with Bouin’s fixative without picric acid (9% formaldehyde, 5% glacial acetic acid).
for 30 mins at room temperature. Next, the embryos were rinsed twice in freshly prepared 70% ethanol/PBT to remove the fixative and residual chromogens. After rehydration to PBT in two steps, the embryos were treated with bleaching solution (1% H2O2, 5% formamide, 0.5x SSC) overnight at 4°C in the dark. Finally, the embryos were washed twice in PBS before imaging them in PBS on a thick agarose dish by light microscopy.

**Visualizing Apoptosis by TUNEL Staining**

Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TdT) dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) was applied to detect the level and spatial distribution of apoptosis in situ (Figure S3A). This protocol was based on previous work (Hensey and Gautier, 1998; Trindade et al., 2003). The embryos were fixed and dehydrated as outlined above for WMISH. Unless otherwise stated, protocol steps were performed at room temperature and, particularly, washes were kept at least 5 mins long. Dehydrated embryos were rehydrated to PBT (PBS, 0.1% [v/v] Tween-20) in two steps. The tissue of embryos was permeabilized by washing 4 times in PBT. Next, embryos were rinsed twice in PBS and transferred into 2 ml round bottom microcentrifuge tubes. Positive control embryos were incubated in 100 μl 1x TURBO DNase buffer for 30 mins. 50 μl 1x TURBO DNase buffer were removed before adding 10 U of TURBO DNase and incubating for 20 mins. These embryos were rinsed twice in PBT and twice in PBS. Subsequently, positive control and all other embryos were incubated in 100 μl 1x Terminal deoxynucleotidyl Transferase buffer (100 mM K-cacodylate, pH7.2, 2 mM CoCl2, 0.2 mM DTT) for 30 mins. 50 μl TdT buffer were removed before adding 7.5 U TdT (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#EP0161) and 25 pmol digoxigenin-11-dUTP (Roche, Cat#11558706910). This labeling reaction was run overnight at room temperature. The following day, the embryos were incubated in 1 mM EDTA/PBS for 1 hr at 65°C to inactivate TdT. The embryos were washed 4 times in PBT and pre-incubated in PBT/lamb serum (5:1) for 30 mins. Next, the embryos were incubated in fresh PBT/lamb serum (5:1) and 1:2,000 anti-digoxigenin conjugated to AP (Roche, Cat#11093274910) for 4 hrs. Embryos were washed twice in PBT before keeping them overnight in PBT at 4°C. The following day, embryos were washed 4 times in PBT for 15 mins and twice in AP buffer for 5 mins before initiating the staining reaction with freshly prepared staining solution (see WMISH protocol). After 10 mins (positive control embryos) to 40 mins at room temperature the color reaction was terminated by 2 washes with PBT. Embryos were fixed and bleached as outlined in the WMISH protocol.

**Quantification of Transcription and Splicing**

Approximately 750 ng total RNA was reverse transcribed with 40 U RNase H minus and point-mutant M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega, Cat#M3681), 500 μM of each dNTP and 10 μM random hexamers in a 10 μl reaction following this temperature regime: 15 mins at 25°C, 15 mins at 37°C, 45 mins at 55°C and 15 mins at 85°C. The RT reaction was subsequently diluted to 60 to 100 μl with molecular grade water for qPCR. 2 μl of the diluted RT reactions were amplified in technical duplicates with SYBR Green I master mix (Roche, Cat#04707516001) on a Light Cycler 480 II (Roche) cycling 55-times between 94°C, 60°C and 72°C temperature step running for 10 secs and switching at +4.8°C/sec to ensure only fluorescence was collected from one specific amplicon. Figure 1B was based on absolute quantification while all other RT-qPCR results were normalized to odc1 and uninjected embryos using the 2-ΔΔCt method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). The threshold cycle (Ct) was derived from the maximum acceleration of SYBR fluorescence (second derivative maximum method). The PCR primers were designed to hybridize at ~60°C (Tm) and to generate 75 to 125 bp amplicons using Primer3 (Key Resources Table).

**Measurement of Morpholino:RNA Hybridization Affinity and Kinetics**

The affinities and kinetics of the hybridization between the splice-blocking MO and various biotinylated RNA oligonucleotides (Figure 7D and Table S6) were measured assuming a simple 1:1 interaction on an Octet RED biolayer interferometer (Pall Forte®Bio). The RNA molecules were immobilized on streptavidin-coated biosensors at a concentration of ~10 μg/ml. The hybridization of the MO with the immobilized RNA was measured at 23°C and 35°C using 200 to 1,500 second association steps followed by 500 to 5,000 second dissociation steps. The buffer consisted of 10 mM phosphate (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mg/ml bovine serum albumin and 0.005% Tween-20. The equilibrium dissociation constant Kd was determined from the instrument response against several MO concentrations ranging from 120 pM (canonical tRNA sequence) to 3.2 μM (scrambled dtymkoff-target RNA sequence) using the method of least squares and independently from the ratio of the dissociation and association rate constants (Koff/Kon). The association phases were analyzed using the single exponential function Y = Y0 + A(1-e-x/Koff)t where Y was the level of binding at time t, Y0 the binding at the start of association, A the asymptote, and Koff the observed rate constant. Koff was determined as the slope of a plot of Koff against the MO concentration. Similarly, Kon was determined by analyzing the dissociation phases with the formula Y = Y0 + Ae-x/Kon t. The kinetic Kd was calculated as the ratio of Koff and Kon.

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Error bars indicate the standard deviation (SD) derived from three to four biological replicates (n) for RT-qPCR quantifications. Sample sizes are indicated in the image or the associated figure legends. In Figure 1, two-sample homoscedastic, two-tailed t-tests were applied to determine whether dosage dependence (≥1.5-fold change) from wild-type transcript levels are statistically significant. In Figures 3, 4, 5, and S4, two-tailed one-sample t-tests were calculated to determine whether ≥1.5-fold changes are significantly different from a hypothetical value of 1. The statistical significance of dosage-dependent and/or temperature effects in Figure 7 was based on
one- or two-way ANOVA tests with Sidak’s correction for multiple comparisons and 90% confidence interval. The statistical significance of differential RNA-Seq was corrected for multiple comparison according to the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure. Asterisks in figures indicate (adjusted) p-values as follows unless otherwise stated: *, p<0.1; **, p<0.01; and ***, p<0.001.

**DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY**

Raw RNA-Seq data (FASTQ files) and annotation files reported in this paper are available in the GEO database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo) under the accession number GEO: GSE96655. All analyses were performed in R v3.3.1 / Bioconductor v3.5, Perl v5.18.2, Python v2.7.12 or Julia v0.5 as described in the detailed methods.
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Figure S1. Generation of the Double Heterozygous Line for *Brachyury* paralogues *t* and *t2*, Related to Figure 1
(A) Scheme to generate the *t*\(^{+1.2D/+}\) *t2*\(^{+3.7D/+}\) (*t*\(^{+/+}\) *t2*\(^{+/+}\)) *X. tropicalis* line. (B,F) TALEN design for *t* or *t2* mutagenesis and positions of MOs blocking donor splice site (MO\(_{\text{splice}}\)) or translation initiation site (MO\(_{\text{transl}}\)) of the corresponding transcript. (C,G) TALEN-induced mutation rate at the targeted SalI or EcoRI site as estimated by the partial restriction digest of specific PCR amplicons. (D) Sanger sequencing summary of generated indels in exon 1 of *t*. (E) Morphological defects caused by TALEN-induced *t* mutations at late tailbud stage. Scale bar, 0.5 mm. (H) Western blot of injected wild-type and mutant *t* or *t2* constructs tagged either N- or C-terminally with HA. The detection of exogenous myc (as part of the injected *fam83g-myc* mRNA) and endogenous α-tubulin were used as controls for injection/translation efficiency and gel electrophoresis loading, respectively. (I) Mutant *Brachyury* constructs failed to disrupt gastrulation. Scale bar, 0.25 mm.

Figure S2. *Brachyury* KO and KD Embryos Are Morphologically Very Similar, Related to Figure 2
(A) Gross morphological comparison between different conditions of the KD and KO experiment at the indicated tailbud stages. Uninjected (uni) and control MO (cMO)-injected embryos were controls for the *t/t2* morphants (*t/t2* MO). Wild-type (wt) embryos were siblings of the mutant embryos (hetero- and homozygous for the mutant *t* and *t2* allele, *t/t2* het and *t/t2* KO). Scale bar, 0.5 mm. (B) Comparison of low fragment count gene transcripts to estimate minimal fragment count required for calling reliable fold changes. Genes that averaged <7 fragments between cMO-injected and uninjected and heterozygous and wild-type embryos over tailbud stage 26 and 34 were excluded due to a higher degree of spurious fold changes.

Figure S3. Increased Transcription of tp53 Depending on GC Content of MO Does Not Cause More Apoptosis, Related to Figure 3 and 4
(A) TUNEL assay on morphants and sibling embryos from double heterozygous *t*\(^{+/+}\) *t2*\(^{+/+}\) parents. DNase-treated wild-type embryos were used as positive controls. (B) Single WMISH for *tp53* and multi-probe WMISH for various mesoderm cell lineage and
derivative markers (cav1, notochord; hoxd8, pronephros; myh6, heart; tal1, ventral blood island; tbx6, paraxial mesoderm) of late tailbud embryos injected with single MOs or tracer sulforhodamine-dextran. Scale bar, 0.5 mm.

**Figure S4. Specific MOs of the t/t2 MO Cocktail Cause Off-Target Splicing Defects, Related to Figure 5**

(A,C) Superimposed Sashimi plot of abi1 and bloc1s4 transcripts whose splicing was perturbed by the injected t/t2 MO mix. Canonical and alternative splicing are shown with solid and dashed lines, respectively. Blocked splice sites containing matches of ≥8 consecutive bases with a specific MO are shown as alignments. Canonical Watson-Crick and non-canonical wobble base pairing are marked as vertical bar and colon, respectively. (B,D) RT-qPCR (n = 4, mean ± SD) confirmed that specific MOs of the t/t2 MO mix were responsible for mis-splicing. The fold change (log2 scale) of transcript levels and alternative splicing between exon 7 and 11 (abi1) and exon 4 and 6 (bloc1s4) are shown as filled and solid bars, respectively. Two-tailed t-test: *, p ≤0.1; **, p ≤0.01. See Figure 3D and Key Resources Table for the design of RT-qPCR primers.

**Figure S5. Temperature and MO Dosage Effects on Brachyury Phenotype and Immune Response Related Gene Transcription, Related to Figure 7**

(A) WMISH for tp53 of late tailbud embryos injected with 4.5 or 18 ng of the t/t2 MO mix and developed at 22 °C or 28.5°C. *, Remark: Increasing incubation temperature also slightly up-regulated tp53 in the absence of any MO (confirmed by RT-qPCR, data not shown). (B) Multi-probe WMISH for various mesoderm cell lineage and derivative markers (cav1, notochord; hoxd8, pronephros; myh6, heart; tal1, ventral blood island; tbx6, paraxial mesoderm) and single WMISH for tp53, tp53inp1 and c3ar1 of mid-tailbud (stage 26) and late tailbud embryos (stage 34) injected with 6 or 18 ng of the t/t2 MO mix. White arrowheads point to the expression domains of tbx6 and cav1 that were not maintained in embryos without functional Brachyury. (C) WMISH for tp53inp1 on wild-type (or t/t2 heterozygous) and t/t2 null mutant embryos as well as embryos injected with 1 and 3 ng MO. The embryos were developed to late tailbud stage 34 at 25-26°C. Scale bar, 0.5 mm.
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Figure S2

A

|        | Stage 26-28 | Stage 35-37 |
|--------|-------------|-------------|
| uni    |             |             |
| wt     | 13/13       | 11/11       |
| cMO    | 47/50       | 25/27       |
| t/t2 het | 22/22     | 14/14       |
| t/t2 MO | 44/45     | 26/26       |
| t/t2 KO | 9/9       | 7/7         |

B

Mean fragment count
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Graph showing fold change vs. mean fragment count.
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A

Late tailbud stage 34

| TUNEL | 18 ng cMO | 18 ng t/t2 MO | DNase |
|-------|-----------|---------------|-------|
| wt or t/t2 het | ![image](image1) | ![image](image2) | ![image](image3) |
| t/t2 KO | ![image](image1) | ![image](image2) | ![image](image3) |

B

Late tailbud stage 34

GC % of MO sequence vs. \(tp53\) induction

| 8ng t MO\(_{\text{transl}}\) | 65% | 3/3 | ![image](image4) |
| 8ng t MO\(_{\text{splice}}\) | 52% | 3/3 | ![image](image5) |
| 8ng t2 MO\(_{\text{transl}}\) | 48% | 3/3 | ![image](image6) |
| 8ng t2 MO\(_{\text{splice}}\) | 40% | 3/3 | ![image](image7) |
| 8ng cMO | 32% | 5/5 | ![image](image8) |
| Tracer | 0% | 5/5 | ![image](image9) |

Mesoderm marker expression in t and t2 morphants

| 8ng t MO\(_{\text{transl}}\) | 65% | 3/3 | ![image](image10) | hoxd8 | cav1 0% |
| 8ng t MO\(_{\text{splice}}\) | 52% | 3/3 | ![image](image11) | cav1 0% |
| 8ng t2 MO\(_{\text{transl}}\) | 48% | 5/5 | ![image](image12) | myh6 | tal1 5/5 |
| 8ng t2 MO\(_{\text{splice}}\) | 40% | 5/5 | ![image](image13) | myh6 | tal1 5/5 |
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A

Late tailbud embryos (stage 34)

| tp53 |
|------|
| 22°C | 28.5°C |
| t2 MO | 4.5 ng/18 ng | | 9/9 | 7/7 |
| uninj. | 17/17 | * |

B

Mesoderm markers

| tp53 | tp53inp1 |
|------|---------|
| uninj.| | |
| cMO | | |
| 18 ng | 6 ng | 18 ng | 6 ng | 18 ng | 6 ng |
| 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 | 6/6 | 6/6 |
| 4/4 | 5/5 | 4/4 | 5/5 | 8/8 | 8/8 |
| 6/6 | 7/7 | 6/6 | 7/7 | 6/6 | 6/6 |
| | | 6/6 | | | |

C

Late tailbud embryos developed at 25-26°C

| tp53inp1 |
|---------|
| uninj. |
| cMO | 3 ng/1 ng | 5/5 |
| t2 MO | 3 ng/1 ng | 6/6 |
| wt | t2 het | 7/7 |
| t2 KO | | 10/10 |