Evaluating Postoperative Muscle Strength Using Surface Electromyography in Hip Fracture Patient
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Background: To compare the muscle strength of patients with a hip fracture according to the presence of sarcopenia after surgery and the correlation of measured values between a Biodex and surface electromyography (sEMG) in postoperative measurement of muscle strength. Methods: Seventy-one patients who underwent hip fracture surgery were included in this study. Muscle mass was measured using dual energy X-ray absorptiometry and the grip strength was evaluated using a dynamometer. The diagnosis of sarcopenia followed the Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia criteria. We evaluated the Biodex to assess muscle strength according to the presence of sarcopenia and at the same time measured the sEMG to evaluate the correlation of muscle strength between Biodex and sEMG. Results: We assigned 34 patients with sarcopenia and 37 without sarcopenia to 2 groups. In the comparison of muscle strength using Biodex and sEMG between the 2 groups, it was confirmed that muscle strength of sarcopenia group was decreased compared with that of the non-sarcopenia group, although there was no statistical significance between the groups. However, Biodex and sEMG showed very close correlation with muscle strength in all variables. Conclusions: We suggest that using sEMG for the evaluation of muscle strength after hip fracture surgery may be an excellent tool alternative to isokinetic testing machines such as the Biodex.
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INTRODUCTION

Hip fractures are common in elderly patients. The mortality rate of hip fracture patients is known to be 1.6% during the hospital stay and 13.5% at 6 months after discharge. Of these, 12.8% of patients are known to require full help from others while walking.[1] After hip fracture surgery, patients suffer from disturbances in daily living and walking.[2] Risk factors for death after hip surgery include being elderly, men, comorbidities, cognitive decline, and thromboembolism.[3] The most important factor in restoring the function of hip fracture patients is the ability to walk. However, only 50% to 65% restores their previous function, and 10% to 15% can only walk indoors.[4] There are reports that early walking helps with functional prognosis, suggesting that early rehabilitation is necessary for functional recovery.[5]

Recently, the concept of sarcopenia can be found not only in studies for geriatric
diseases but also in various research or clinical fields. Sarcopenia is a geriatric syndrome defined as a gradual decline in muscle function caused by a decrease in skeletal muscle mass.[6] There are many factors related to functional recovery in patients with hip fractures, such as depression, cognitive decline, and delirium. Among them, the presence of sarcopenia was reported to have the most effect on functional recovery after hip fracture.[7]

In patients after hip fracture with sarcopenia, objective examinations are certainly required to determine whether their functions after hip fracture are restored as well as new therapeutic approaches to functional recovery. Many studies have been published in this line.[1,2,4,5,7] However, no research for hip fracture with sarcopenia has been conducted to date. Currently, there are no inspection tools other than measuring grip strength. Pham et al. [8] showed that quadriceps muscle strength declined with advancing age in both women and men, and this reduction was associated with an increased risk of fracture in both sexes. A few studies reported that there was test-retest reliability of Biodex for measuring quadriceps muscle strength for various ages and diseases.[9-12] However, it can be difficult to use the Biodex in patients with hip fracture because of their pain. Hence a convenient approach is necessary for measuring muscle strength of hip fractures with sarcopenia. The use of surface electromyography (sEMG) as a tool to estimate dynamic and isometric muscle forces has been acknowledged. It has been used in both research and clinical applications for non-invasive neuromuscular assessment in several different fields, such as sport science, neurophysiology, and rehabilitation.[13-15]

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to compare the muscle strength of patients with hip fracture according to the presence of sarcopenia after surgery and correlate the measured values between Biodex and sEMG in postoperative measurement of muscle strength. We hypothesized that patients with sarcopenia might show decreased muscle strength measured by Biodex, which would correlate with sEMG.

METHODS

1. Participants
From September 2017 to January 2019, subjects were 65 to 90 years of age or older who underwent surgery for femur neck, intertrochanteric, and subtrochanteric fracture in our institution. The hip surgery methods included bipolar hemiarthroplasty, total hip arthroplasty, or open reduction and internal fixation. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) hip surgery for infection, arthritis, implant loosening, or avascular necrosis; (2) femoral shaft fracture, acetabular fracture, isolated fracture of the greater or lesser trochanter, or periprosthetic fracture; (3) pathologic or combined multiple fracture; (4) revision surgery; and (5) severe cognitive dysfunction (one step obey command was not possible). Patients who refused to participate in this study were also excluded.

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of our Hospital. All participants provided written informed consent to participate in the study. The clinical trial number is KCT0003843

2. Measurement for sarcopenia
The presence or absence of sarcopenia was defined in accordance with the recommendations of the Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia (AWGS).[16] AWGS has agreed with previous reports suggesting that sarcopenia should be described as low muscle mass combined with low muscle strength and/or low physical performance (usual gait speed). In this study, the presence of sarcopenia was defined in accordance with decreased handgrip strength and low muscle mass in a whole body composition test by dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (Hologic Inc., Bedford, MA, USA). It was impossible to measure the exact gait speed, because patients bear limited weight after hip surgery to protect the joint components and provide an optimal healing environment.[17] Bone mineral content, fat mass, and lean soft tissue mass were measured separately for each part of the body, including the arms and legs. We corrected appendicular lean mass (ALM) by substituting lean mass (LM) in the unfractured leg for the LM in the fractured leg, as previously described: corrected ALM = (LM in unfractured leg × 2) + LM in arms.[18,19] The correction was performed to avoid the confounding role of both metal implants (prostheses, plates, screws, and nails) and postoperative edema at the fractured femur. When we analyzed the muscle mass, the suggested cut-off values ALMs/height² (kg/m²) are 7.0 kg/m² for men and 5.4 kg/m² for women.[19]

Muscle strength was assessed by handgrip strength. The
participant held a digital hand dynamometer (Digital grip strength dynamometer, T.K.K S401; Takei Scientific Instruments Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) in the sitting position. The elbow was flexed at 90 degrees with the shoulder attached to the torso, with the wrist maintaining a neutral posture (0 degrees), and the maximal grip strength was measured. Sarcopenia was defined according to the AWGS criteria for low muscle strength (handgrip strength below 18 kg in women and below 26 kg in men).[16]

3. Isokinetic knee strength examination
The Knee strength was measured by placing the subject in a knee joint measuring chair of an isokinetic muscle strength measuring instrument (Biodex Medical System, Shirley, NY, USA) (Fig. 1). The examiner placed a knee stabilizer pad on the subject's ankle and fixed the chest, abdomen, and femur with a fixed band so that no external force was applied to the pelvis and femoral muscle. The measurement of knee strength was performed in the non-affected side (non-operated side). The peak torques among the isokinetic parameters at angular velocities of 60°/s (5 times) and 180°/s (10 times) were measured and analyzed. To allow adaptation prior to the examination, subjects were allowed to exercise 3 times prior to testing. A resting time of 2 min was allowed between tests of each angular velocity. During the tests, patients were encouraged to perform with maximum effort by the examiner.

4. Assessment of surface EMG
The sEMG was performed by attaching electrodes to the knee flexors and extensors (biceps femoris and rectus femoris) when Biodex was used. B-mode ultrasonography (Philips Medical Systems, Bothell, WA, USA) with an L12-5 linear transducer was used to identify specific electrodes placement location. The validity of the protocol is reported elsewhere.[20] A wireless sEMG system (Noraxon Telemetry DTS system; Noraxon USA Inc., Scottsdale, AZ, USA) were used to perform 3-dimensional motion analysis and measure the muscle activation level. Gel-type, 20-mm diameter, Ag/AgCl alloy dual electrodes (Noraxon USA Inc.) were attached to biceps femoris and rectus femoris muscles of the non-affected side (non-operated side) after cleaning the sites with alcohol to reduce skin impedance. The sEMG was amplified 500 times, sampled at 1.5 kHz and digitized using a 16-bit analogue to digital converter. Signals were filtered at a bandwidth of 10 to 500 Hz to eliminate noise recorded during the data collection process, and root-mean-square window of 50 ms was used for signal smoothing. Mean amplitude of all period (uV) was used for analysis.

5. Statistical analysis
SPSS Statistics version 23 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) for Windows was used for statistical analysis. Continuous variables were expressed as means and standard deviations with percentages. To compare means and proportions between groups, a Student's t-test and $\chi^2$ test were performed. Pearson correlation analysis was done to evaluate the relationship of measured values between Biodex and sEMG, and the significance level was set at $P<0.05$. A post hoc power analysis was performed with a correlation analysis to determine the power of our statistical findings. With the total sample size at a minimum of 59 patients and the $\alpha$ significance level and effect size set at 0.05 and 0.4, respectively, the study's power was determined to be no less than 0.952 for any significant difference found.

RESULTS

1. General characteristics of subjects
A total of 115 patients underwent hip fracture surgery were screened for the trial, of whom 71 were eligible. Of these patients, 34 were assigned to sarcopenia; 37 were assigned to non-sarcopenia group. Figure 2 shows the flow of participants' enrollment in the study. The mean age of the subjects was 71.4 ± 11.9 years for non-sarcopenia and 73.5 ± 11.3 years for sarcopenia. Females made up 66.6%
of the population (Table 1). We assigned 34 patients with sarcopenia and 37 without sarcopenia to 2 groups. There was no significant difference between 2 groups in preoperative walking ability (Table 1).

### Table 1. Demographic findings

| Variables                  | Non-sarcopenia (n = 37) | Sarcopenia (n = 34) | P-value |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Age (yr)                   | 71.4 ± 11.9              | 73.5 ± 11.3         | 0.545   |
| Sex                        |                          |                     |         |
| Women                      | 21 (56.8)                | 27 (79.4)           | 0.123   |
| Men                        | 16 (43.2)                | 7 (20.6)            |         |
| Hip fracture type          |                          |                     | 0.068   |
| Femoral neck               | 18 (48.6)                | 11 (32.4)           |         |
| Intertrochanteric          | 13 (35.1)                | 22 (64.7)           |         |
| Subtrochanteric            | 6 (16.2)                 | 1 (2.9)             |         |
| Operation                  |                          |                     | 0.952   |
| THA                        | 12 (32.4)                | 11 (32.4)           |         |
| BHA                        | 14 (37.8)                | 12 (35.3)           |         |
| Internal fixation          | 11 (29.7)                | 11 (32.4)           |         |
| ALM/height^2 (kg/m^2)      | 6.22 ± 1.12              | 5.08 ± 0.52         | 0.019   |
| Female                     | 5.62 ± 0.49              | 5.05 ± 0.55         | 0.548   |
| Male                       | 7.06 ± 1.18              | 5.11 ± 0.45         | 0.106   |
| PTH (ng/dL)                | 50.5 ± 21.0              | 63.3 ± 50.4         | 0.285   |
| Vitamin D (ng/dL)          | 15.5 ± 8.7               | 15.3 ± 10.3         | 0.949   |
| Hip T score                | -1.3 ± 1.1               | -1.8 ± 1.2          | 0.159   |
| Spine T score              | -0.9 ± 1.6               | -1.6 ± 1.7          | 0.216   |
| Pre-fracture ambulation capacity | 36 (97.3)              | 33 (97.1)           |         |
| Independent ambulation     | 1 (2.7)                  | 1 (2.9)             |         |

THA, total hip arthroplasty; BHA, bipolar hemiarthroplasty; ALM, appendicular lean mass; PTH, parathyroid hormone.

### Table 2. Muscle strength and masticatory power by presence of sarcopenia

| Variables                  | Non-sarcopenia (n = 37) | Sarcopenia (n = 34) | P-value |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| B_BicepsK60                | 14.1 ± 12.0              | 11.0 ± 14.7         | 0.427   |
| B_RectusK60                | 36.7 ± 29.3              | 30.4 ± 33.7         | 0.497   |
| B_BicepsK180               | 10.5 ± 10.9              | 7.4 ± 8.3           | 0.276   |
| B_RectusK180               | 24.6 ± 18.4              | 20.3 ± 18.9         | 0.436   |
| S_BicepsK60 (uV)           | 48.0 ± 38.8              | 38.1 ± 47.6         | 0.431   |
| S_RectusK60 (uV)           | 88.0 ± 115.0             | 86.9 ± 77.9         | 0.969   |
| S_BicepsK180 (uV)          | 65.0 ± 122.1             | 54.8 ± 48.2         | 0.720   |
| S_RectusK180 (uV)          | 117.6 ± 151.3            | 90.6 ± 144.4        | 0.534   |

B, Biodex electromyography; S, surface electromyography; K, knee.

---

**Fig. 2.** Flow chart showing subject numbers at each step of the study.

**Fig. 3.** Correlation coefficient between mean amplitude of surface electromyography (sEMG) and the strength (peak torque) of Biodex in the flexor muscle (biceps femoris) at angular velocities of 60°/s.

**Fig. 4.** Correlation coefficient between mean amplitude of surface electromyography (sEMG) and the strength (peak torque) of Biodex in the extensor muscle (rectus femoris) at angular velocities of 60°/s.
2. Muscle strength in according to the sarcopenia

Muscle strength of all participants was measured within 3 to 7 days after hip fracture surgery. In the comparison of muscle strength of knee flexion and extension using Biodex and sEMG between the 2 groups, we confirmed that the muscular strength of sarcopenia group decreased compared with that of non-sarcopenia group, although there was no statistically significant between the 2 groups (Table 2).

3. Correlation between Surface EMG and Biodex

There was a correlation between mean amplitude of sEMG and the strength (peak torque) of flexor muscle (biceps femoris) or extensor muscle (rectus femoris) at angular velocities of 60°/s on non-affected knee (for flexor and extensor muscles of non-affected side knee at 60°/s r = 0.84, P < 0.001 and r = 0.65, P < 0.001, respectively) (Fig. 3, 4). At angular velocities of 180°/s, the mean amplitude of sEMG and the strength of flexor muscle (biceps femoris) on non-affected knee showed a correlation coefficient of 0.67 (P < 0.001) (Fig. 5). In addition, There was a mild correlation between mean amplitude of sEMG and the strength of extensor muscle (rectus femoris) at angular velocities of 180°/s on non-affected knee (r = 0.23, P = 0.12), although there was no statistical significance (Fig. 6).

DISCUSSION

The principle findings of this study was that muscle strength of rectus femoris and biceps femoris measured by sEMG was statistically associated with one measured by Biodex in patients after hip fracture surgery. When we compared the muscle strength in sarcopenia group and one in the non-sarcopenia group, muscle strength was relatively low in sarcopenia group, although there was no statistical significance. When we analyzed the muscle mass of non-sarcopenia group, ALMs/height² (kg/m²) of females and males was 5.62 ± 0.49 and 7.06 ± 1.18 respectively. These values were close to the cut-off values of sarcopenia. This result may be attributed to the absence of a significant difference. Especially mean amplitude differences of sEMG were smaller than the muscle strength measured by Biodex between sarcopenia and non-sarcopenia groups, probably because of the problem of positioning sEMG electrodes. Rainoldi and Melchiorri identified optimal electrode sites for muscles in the lower extremity by providing a standard landmarking technique for the localization of the innervation zone of each muscle, so that sEMG electrodes could be properly positioned between the innervation and a tendon.[21] In this study, we didn’t take these points into consideration.

Sarcopenia and hip fractures are highly related and increasing muscular strength enhancement is important for post-operative function in patients with hip fracture. The loss of muscle mass and strength puts elderly persons at a high risk for falls and fractures.[22] It has been estimated that approximately 30% of elderly people dwelling in a community aged 65 and older fall at least once a year, and half of them fall recurrently.[23,24] The most important
risk factor for falls in elderly individuals is low muscle strength, particularly of the lower extremities.

The amount of decrease in muscular strength may significantly affect postoperative functioning. The quadriceps muscle especially absorbs the impacts on the joints and stabilizes the loaded leg. Therefore, the weakness of the quadriceps muscles caused insufficient impact on the lower extremities, which caused the excessive stress on the lower extremities as the cause of hip fracture.[25] Also, it is known that knee effusion occurs frequently after hip fracture.[26,27] Segal and Glass [28] concluded that greater quadriceps muscle strength protected against incident symptomatic knee osteoarthritis. Coordinated muscle contractions with a healthy balance between agonist and antagonist muscles around the knee during the low-load walking could improve the functional capacity,[29] which showed the importance of the hamstring muscles as well as the quadriceps muscles. Although there was no statistical significance in this study, the muscle strength of the rectus and biceps muscles tended to be low in the sarcopenia group.

Biodex is the most widely used method of measuring the muscle strength of limbs. Since the introduction of isokinetic dynamometry with the Biodex system in 1960,[30] this has become an integral part of testing and rehabilitation of injuries to the upper and lower extremities.[31,32] The reliability of the Biodex system has been assessed for the measurement of concentric and eccentric loading in lower extremities.[33,34] To assess such changes in muscle performance, accurate, reliable, and applicable methods to evaluate muscle performance should be applied. However, muscle strength assessment using Biodex can be difficult for all patients because of pain after surgery. In this study, all participants are especially patients within 3 to 7 days after surgery. This is why we performed the measurement of knee strength in the non-affected side (non-operated side). A simple protocol is especially needed for older patients when assessing their strength after surgery.

Electromyography refers to the collective electric signal from muscles, which is controlled by the nervous system and produced during muscle contraction. The signal represents the anatomical and physiological properties of muscles. In fact, an electromyography signal is the electrical activity of a muscle’s motor units and is most useful as electrophysiological signals in medical fields.[35] The electrical activity of motor units can be recorded by non-invasive (sEMG) electrodes and invasive (intramuscular electromyography) electrodes, respectively. Currently, surface-detected signals are preferably used to obtain information about the time or intensity of superficial muscle activation. [36] When sEMG is used, careful interpretation is required with regard to the proper placement of the electrode, presence of subcutaneous adipose tissue, crosstalk of unintended muscle activation, and signal cancellation of asynchronous action potential firing. In this study, Biodex and sEMG values were highly correlated. In particular, there was a high correlation with the measured muscle strength of the lower extremity when the patient performed the extension and flexion of the knee joint.

There are several limitations to this study. First, sample size was relatively small. This is attributed to our stringent inclusion and exclusion criteria. Second, for Biodex, this was a closed kinetic chain study, and thus, the results obtained may differ from those of real knee, which have closed and open components. Third, mean amplitude of all period was used for analysis in the measurements of sEMG. Because mean area of all period also can be measured simultaneously, additional studies will be needed to establish which measurement is best. Fourth, the types of surgery included in the study were different from each other. In addition, we measured and correlated the knee strength, while other muscles such as hip abductor might also have important role for gait. This was because hip abduction was not safe for some patients after surgery, and Biodex had limitations on measuring various hip muscle strengths. Future study with more diverse muscle strength measurements might be useful. Finally, for sEMG, optimal electrode sites for muscles in the lower extremity were not considered and duly weighed. However, we tried to minimize the invalidity using the ultrasound. Additional studies providing a standard landmarking technique for the optimal electrode sites will be needed.

Although was no statistically significant difference in muscle strength with or without sarcopenia, the values of Biodex and sEMG were highly correlated. This is the first study which used the Biodex and sEMG to measure and correlate the muscle strength in patients with and without sarcopenia after hip fracture. Therefore, we suggest that the use of sEMG for the evaluation of muscle strength after hip fracture surgery may be an excellent tool alternative to
isokinetic testing machines such as the Biodex, with less pain and more convenience.
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