Concomitant influence of helminth infection and landscape on the distribution of Puumala hantavirus in its reservoir, *Myodes glareolus*
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Puumala virus (PUUV) is the most prevalent hantavirus in Europe [1,2]. It is the agent of a mild form of hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome called nephropathia epidemica (NE). The main course of transmission to humans is indirect by inhalation of virus-contaminated aerosols [3] from excreta of infected bank voles, *Myodes glareolus*, the reservoir of PUUV [4,5]. In France, about 60 cases of NE are yearly notified, but up to 250 cases can be observed during epidemic years (Data from the Institut National de Veille Sanitaire, INVS). The most important endemic areas of NE, which account for 30-40% of the human cases, are located in the Ardennes, along the Belgian border [6,7].

**Results:** Among the 313 voles analysed, 37 had anti-PUUV antibodies. Twelve gastro-intestinal helminth species were recorded among all voles sampled. We showed that PUUV seroprevalence strongly increased with age or sexual maturity, especially in the northern forests (massif des Ardennes). The helminth community structure significantly differed between this part and the woods or hedgerows of the southern cretes pre-ardennaises. Using PUUV RNA quantification, we identified significant coinfections between PUUV and gastro-intestinal helminths in the northern forests only. More specifically, PUUV infection was positively associated with the presence of *Heligmosomum mixtum*, and in a lesser extent, *Aonchotheca muris-sylvatici*. The viral load of PUUV infected individuals tended to be higher in voles coinfected with *H. mixtum*. It was significantly lower in voles coinfected with *A. muris-sylvatici*, reflecting the influence of age on these latter infections.

**Conclusions:** This is the first study to emphasize hantavirus - helminth coinfections in natural populations. It also highlights the importance to consider landscape when searching for such associations. We have shown that landscape characteristics strongly influence helminth community structure as well as PUUV distribution. False associations might therefore be evidenced if geographic patterns of helminths or PUUV repartition are not previously identified. Moreover, our work revealed that interactions between helminths and landscape enhance/deplete the occurrence of coinfections between PUUV and *H. mixtum* or *A. muris-sylvatici*. Further experimental analyses and long-term individual surveys are now required to confirm these correlative results, and to ascertain the causal links between helminth and PUUV infection risks.
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The risk for human infection seems to be strongly correlated with M. glareolus population abundance [e.g. [8]], which shows multi-annual fluctuations driven in temperate Europe by variations in tree seed production [9,10]. It is also related to the spatial distribution of PUUV-infected rodents, which depends on diverse factors including rodent community structure [11-14] or landscape features [15-17]. Patch size, fragmentation and isolation of landscape may influence the dispersal of voles and consequently the epidemiology of PUUV [15]. In addition, different characteristics of the soil such as moisture may affect the survival of PUUV in the natural environment, therefore influencing the importance of an indirect transmission of this hantavirus among rodents [18,19].

Landscape features are also strong determinants of the macroparasite community structure [20]. Interestingly, recent reviews have stressed the importance of helminth coinfection for viral disease epidemiology [21,22]. Such infections could lead to variations in the outcome of virus infection through direct or indirect mechanisms. First, helminths and viruses might compete either for food or space. For example, helminths that induce anaemia could limit the replication of viruses that depend on red blood cells [see, [21]]. Second, host immunity may modulate the outcomes of helminth-virus coinfection through immunosuppression or cross-immunity [21-23]. In the majority of cases, helminth infections induce a polarisation of the immune response to Th2, and a down-regulation of the Th1 cell-subset [24,25]. They may also induce immunomodulatory mechanisms [24]. As such, the risks of infections and the severity of major viral diseases of humans (e.g. HIV, Hepatitis B and C) are known to be affected by the presence of many helminthic infections [e.g. Schistosoma mansoni, Ascaris, see [26-28]].

To our knowledge, there is no study that investigated this question of the potential concomitant influence of helminth community structure and landscape on the risk of hantavirus infection, either in humans, laboratory animals or natural reservoir populations. We explored this issue by analysing the interspecific interactions between gastro-intestinal helminths and PUUV among a cross-sectional natural population sample of bank voles trapped in different landscapes of the Ardennes, the main PUUV endemic area in France.

Methods

Bank vole sampling and parasitological screenings

Bank voles were sampled from September to October 2008 as PUUV and helminth prevalence levels are usually higher in autumn, which corresponds to the end of the reproductive season [e.g. among many studies [29,30]]. We used French Agricultural Research Institute (INRA) live traps, fitted out with dormitory boxes and baited with potatoes and sunflower seeds. Nine sampling sites were surveyed along a North - South transect in the French Ardennes. They corresponded to three different landscape configurations: forests, which are found in the northern ‘massif des Ardennes’ and refer to large wooded areas of several thousand hectares, smaller forest fragments (wooded areas of about 50 km²) and hedge networks surrounding these fragments, which are found in the Southern ‘crêtes pré-ardennaises’ (Figure 1). Ten 200-m trap-lines composed of 20 traps placed at 10-m intervals were placed within each site. They were checked twice a day during three consecutive nights. The minimum distance between sites was 3.2 km, that is much larger than the dispersal distance of bank voles [estimated to be 500 m in patchy landscapes, [31]].

Once trapped, voles were sacrificed by cervical dislocation as recommended by Mills et al. [32]. They were sexed and weighted. Body length was measured from snout to vent to the nearest 1 mm. Body condition of bank voles was estimated as the body mass index [BMI = weight/length², [33]]. Animals were dissected. The sexual maturation of bank voles was deduced from testes and uterus size by visual observation. Males with developed epididymis were considered as sexually mature. Females with uterus smaller than 1 mm were considered as nullipare. We also distinguished females that were in gestation or lactation (uterus larger than 3 mm, presence of fetuses or lactating mammary glands) from females that had previously reproduced (uterus size of 2 mm or uterine scars) but that were not reproducing at the time of sampling. The digestive tracts were removed and stored in 96% ethanol before being analysed in the laboratory. All the helminths detected were carefully counted under the microscope and identified unambiguously using morphological criteria. For each individual, blood samples were also taken from the heart or the thoracic cavity on a 1-cm² Whatman blotting paper.

All listed animal procedures were pre-approved by the Direction des Services Vétérinaires of the Herault Department (B 34-169-1 Agreement).

PUUV serological screening and viral load quantification

In the laboratory, each piece of Whatman blotting paper was placed in 1 ml phosphate-buffered saline. These diluted blood samples were screened for IgG antibodies to Puumala virus (PUUV) using immunofluorescence antibody test (IFAT) as described in Lundkvist et al. [34].

PUUV load was measured in PUUV seropositive voles using real-time quantitative RT-PCR. Total RNA was extracted from lung tissue samples as PUUV concentration is high compared to other organs [35]. We used TriPure Isolation Reagent (Roche) according to the
manufacturer’s instructions. One μg of RNA was used for first-strand cDNA synthesis using RevertAid™ H Minus Kit (Fermentas) with random hexamers. Real-time quantitative PCR was done using a DyNAmo Capillary SYBR Green Quantitative PCR kit (Finnzymes) with a LightCycler instrument (Roche). The following primers (Oligomer) were used: PUUV-forward 5'-GAG GAT ATA ACC CGC CAT GA-3', PUUV-reverse 5'-CTG GCT TGC AGT GTG TTT TT-3'. Samples were first normalized against variation in vole lung sample quality and quantity to GAPDH expression with the following primers: GAPDH-forward 5'-ATG GGG AAG
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**Figure 1 Sampling localities for M. glareolus in the French Ardennes.** Forests and wooded areas are indicated in grey. White circles correspond to forested areas of the Northern massif des Ardennes. White and dashed circles respectively correspond to wooded areas and hedge networks of the Southern crêtes pré-ardennaises. The dashed line indicates the limit between the Northern massif des Ardennes and the Southern crêtes pré-ardennaises. Numbers refer to site codes indicated in Table 1.
GTG AAG GTC G-3' and GAPDH-reverse 5'-TAA AAG CAG CCC TGG TGA CC-3'. We then provide an absolute quantification for PUUV RNA: PUUV copy numbers (copies per 1 µg of total RNA) were calculated from a standard curve created using 10-fold dilutions of in vitro transcribed PUUV S segment RNA (T7 transcription kit, Fermentas). Melting curve analysis was performed according to recommendations of the DyNAmo kit to confirm the specificity of positive samples. Samples were considered PUUV RNA positive when the $C_T$ (cycle threshold) value was lower than 40 cycles and the melting curve showed a specific product.

**Statistical analyses**

A logistic regression was first applied to determine vole individual characteristics that best explained PUUV infection. The dependent variable was the presence/absence of anti-PUUV antibodies in voles. Sex, sexual maturity, mass, body condition, landscape and site nested within landscape were included as independent variables. All possible two way interactions were considered. Model selection was performed using the Akaike’s Information Criterion [AIC, [36,37]]. The model with the lowest AIC value was viewed as the most parsimonious one, i.e. the one explaining most of the variance with the fewest parameters [36]. Nested models with difference of AIC <2 compared to the model with the lowest AIC were selected. Significance of explanatory factors and their interaction were determined using deletion testing, with the significance of a term determined by the log-likelihood ratio-test [38]. If the interaction term was significant, both lower order terms involved in that interaction were retained [39]. The sum of squares was used to test model fit ($F$-statistic). In a posteriori pairwise comparisons for least square means, a multiple comparison adjustment for the $p$-values were done according to the Tukey-Kramer method. These analyses were performed in Genstat 7.1 (Lawes Agricultural Trust, Rothamstead).

The helminth community structure was next analysed with regard to geographic parameters (site and landscape configuration). The helminth infracomunity structure was assessed by the number of helminth species. The prevalence (i.e. the proportion of voles infected) of each helminth species was estimated per site. Spatial variations of helminth co-occurrence/antagonism were explored using a correspondence analysis (CA) performed in ADE4 [40] and based on the presence/absence data of each helminth species per vole. Results were projected on the site map to illustrate geographic heterogeneity in helminth structure. Site/landscape differences along the two first CA axes were tested using non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis tests performed in Genstat 7.1 (Lawes Agricultural Trust, Rothamstead). We could therefore identify sites/landscape configurations exhibiting homogeneous helminth communities.

We used this partition to identify synergistic or antagonistic interactions between helminth species and PUUV infection. As such we avoided associations that would only be mediated by differences of helminth and PUUV distribution among landscapes. We applied the discriminant analysis (DA) performed in ADE4 [40] to maximize the variance between designated groups (PUUV seronegative vs seropositive voles) while keeping the intra-group variance constant [41]. The significance of the ratio of these two values was tested using 10,000 permutations. For each helminth, we estimated the relative risk following Haldane [42] and we tested the association with PUUV-serological status using Fisher exact tests followed by Bonferroni sequential corrections.

Finally, we considered PUUV infected voles to compare the viral load of individuals coinfected with helminths significantly associated with PUUV and individuals non-infected with these helminths. Under the assumption of a positive interaction between PUUV and a given helminth, we expected that PUUV viral load should be comparatively lower in PUUV-helminth coinfected voles than in voles only infected by PUUV [43].

**Results**

**Helminth and PUUV data**

A total amount of 313 bank voles was sampled from nine study sites. The information of sampling is provided in Table 1. Antibodies (IgG) to PUUV were found in 37 (13.55%) of the 273 voles included in the serological assays. Seroprevalence levels were highly variable (Table 1) and ranged between 0% (Sauville) and 43.3% (Hargnies). Among the 37 voles with anti-PUUV antibodies, only four had null PUUV viral load ($C_T$ >40 cycles), number of copies less than 10 per µg of vole RNA) and were considered as PUUV RNA negative in further statistical analyses. These individuals corresponded to three males (an immature and two old ones), and a gestant female. Note that three of these individuals were sampled in the ‘crêtes pré-ardennaises’. In other PUUV-seropositive individuals, PUUV viral load ranged between 243 and 1 324 542 copies per µg of vole RNA.

The examination of the 313 digestive tracts allowed the detection of 12 helminth species, corresponding to nine genera. Seven were nematode species, among which six had direct cycles. Five were cestode species and they all had indirect cycles (Table 2). Bank voles experienced from none to five helminth species infection. The number of individuals of a given helminth species infecting a bank vole was highly variable


### Table 1 Description of the helminth diversity and PUUV seroprevalence per site of sampling

| Site of sampling | Landscape configuration | Nv | Nces-larv/Nces-ad/Nces-nem | Dominant taxa | PUUV (%) |
|------------------|-------------------------|----|----------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| 1-Hargnies       | Forest                  | 34 | 9 (1/2/6)                  | Aonchotheca annulosa | 13 (43.33) |
| 2-Woine          | Forest                  | 37 | 7 (1/1/5)                  | Heligmosoides glareoli | 3 (8.82) |
| 3-Renwez         | Forest                  | 38 | 7 (1/0/6)                  | Heligmosoides glareoli | 6 (16.67) |
| 4-Clinon         | Hedge                   | 34 | 7 (2/1/4)                  | Syphacia petrusewiczi | 3 (9.67) |
| 5-Elan           | Wood                    | 27 | 5 (1/0/4)                  | Heligmosomum mixtum | 2 (8.00) |
| 6-Cassine        | Wood                    | 27 | 4 (1/1/2)                  | Syphacia petrusewiczi | 6 (23.07) |
| 7-Sauville       | Hedge                   | 31 | 8 (1/2/5)                  | Syphacia petrusewiczi | 0 (0.00) |
| 8-Croix-aux-bois | Wood                    | 38 | 4 (1/0/3)                  | Heligmosoides glareoli | 3 (11.11) |
| 9-Briquenay      | Hedge                   | 47 | 4 (2/0/2)                  | Syphacia petrusewiczi | 1 (3.33) |

Nv, total number of voles trapped; Nces, total number of helminth species observed per site; Nces-larv, number of cestode species in their larval stage; Nces-ad, number of cestode species in their adult stage; Nces-nem, number of nematode species; PUUV, number of PUUV seropositive voles with corresponding prevalence in brackets.

(2 Table). Note that the numbers of *A. muris-sylvatici* and *T. crassiceps* worms were impossible to count.

**PUUV infection risk factors**

After the selection procedure, two equivalent models were obtained: PUUV ~ Site|Landscape] + Mass + Landscape*Mass (AIC = 286, Deviance ratio = 14.620, \( p < 10^{-4} \)) or PUUV ~ Site|Landscape] + Sexual Maturity + Landscape* Sexual Maturity (AIC = 290, Deviance ratio = 7.401, \( p < 10^{-4} \)). Body condition and sex were not significant. PUUV infection risk increased with mass or with sexual maturity, which both reflect the age of individual. This effect was mainly observed in the three northern sites (forests of the massif des Ardennes, see Figure 2). It was not significant when considering wooded areas and hedgerows of the southern part of the transect (crêtes pré-ardennaises), although a similar trend was observed.

**Helminth community structure and coinfection with PUUV**

Three helminth species, namely *P. omphalodes*, *T. crassiceps* and *A. annulosa*, were too rare to be included in the multivariate analysis of the community structure. The first two factors (named hereafter F1 and F2) of the CA performed on the nine other helminth species described 30.08% of the variability. *T. arvicola*, *M. muris* and *A. muris-sylvatici* had the highest correlations with the negative part of F1 (respective absolute contributions in 1/10000: 768, 752 and 442). *M. muris* and *A. muris-sylvatici* were also strongly correlated with the negative part of F2 (respective absolute contributions in 1/10000: 3733 and 2535). *T. taeniaeformis* was correlated with the positive values of F1 (absolute contributions in 1/10000: 7651) and *S. petrusewiczi* with the positive values of F2 (absolute contributions in 1/10000: 1392) (Figure 3a).

The factor ‘Site of sampling’ had a significant impact on both F1 and F2 axis values (Kruskal-Wallis, \( p < 10^{-4} \)). This effect was mediated by the impact of ‘Landscape configuration’ (F1: Kruskal-Wallis, \( p = 10^{-6} \); F2: Kruskal-Wallis, \( p = 4 \times 10^{-4} \), Figure 3b). Post-hoc Tukey Kramer tests showed that the helminth community observed in voles sampled in the Northern massif des Ardennes significantly differed from the one observed in...
voles sampled in the Southern part of the crêtes pré-Ardennaises, either in wooded or hedgerow areas. This result was confirmed when we projected the F1 or F2 values on the site map. Sites appeared divided into two areas, corresponding to the Northern massif des Ardennes and to the Southern crêtes pré-Ardennaises (Figure 3c). Most of the negative F1 values (squares) were located in the northern part of the area whereas the F2 positive values (circles) were observed in the southern part. By plotting the gravity centres of each landscape configuration on the F1xF2 factorial plan, it appeared that northern sites were characterized by the presence of *M. musis, A. muris-sylvatici* (they were not detected in Southern sites) and *T. arvicola* whereas Southern sites experienced more infections associated with *T. taeniformis* and *S. petrusewiczii* (this latter species was not detected in Northern sites).

We therefore tested whether the helminth community varied between PUUV infected and non-infected bank voles. We analysed data independently for the Northern and the Southern parts of the transect. The discriminant analyses revealed significant differences when considering the northern area only (Massif des Ardennes, $p = 0.005$; Crêtes pré-ardennaises, $p = 0.551$, Figure 4a). The main discriminant species variable was the presence of *H. mixtum*, and in a lesser extent of *A. muris-sylvatici* (Figure 4b). Bank voles exhibiting anti-PUUV antibodies were more likely to be infected with these nematode species than bank voles with no anti-PUUV antibodies (*H. mixtum*: RR = 5.91, Fisher exact test: $p = 0.002$; *A. muris-sylvatici*: RR = 2.34, Fisher exact test, $p = 0.125$). We obtained similar results when comparing PUUV infected (with anti-PUUV antibodies and PUUV RNA) and non infected (without anti-PUUV antibodies or PUUV RNA) bank voles (*H. mixtum*: RR = 4.74, Fisher exact test: $p = 0.007$; *A. muris-sylvatici*: RR = 2.53, Fisher exact test, $p = 0.102$).

The viral load in infected individuals tended to be higher in voles coinfected with *H. mixtum* than in voles that did not carry any infection with this helminth species ($F_{1,19} = 0.992$, $p = 0.331$, Figure 5). Although the number of *H. mixtum* worms per vole had been counted, we could not analyse the relationship between PUUV viral load and *H. mixtum* burden. Indeed, among the eight voles that were coinfected by PUUV and *H. mixtum*, only one had more than one worm (this individual carried six *H. mixtum* worms), the seven other voles had only one *H. mixtum* worm. Surprisingly, voles coinfected with *A. muris-sylvatici* exhibited significantly lower viral load of PUUV than voles non-infected with this helminth species ($F_{1,19} = 13.551$, $p = 0.001$, Figure 5). As this negative relationship could be mediated by a delay between PUUV and *A. muris-sylvatici* infection, we analysed roughly the influence of vole age (reflected by vole mass) on these infections. We confirmed that voles coinfected with PUUV and *A. muris-sylvatici* were significantly heavier (thus probably older) than those infected with *A. muris-sylvatici* only, with PUUV only or non infected either with PUUV or *A. muris-sylvatici* ($F_{3,96} = 7.279$, $p = 2 \times 10^{-3}$).

**Discussion**

Biomedical research has long explored the impact of coinfection on the outcome of human diseases [e.g. [27,28,44,45]]. Particular attention has been given to helminth-microparasite interactions, because host immune responses or immune regulation mediated by these pathogens generally have antagonistic effects [46].

So far, there are no studies on the interactions between helminths and hantaviruses even though helminth communities and PUUV distribution have been independently described for several natural populations of bank voles in the context of ecological, geographical and/or immunogenetic studies [e.g. [16,29,47-54]]. In a previous study, we combined macroparasites and PUUV infection data from bank vole populations sampled in the French Jura to analyse the relationships between immune gene variation and parasitism [52]. Unfortunately, the small number of PUUV-seropositive bank

![Graph showing relationships between the mass (g) of bank voles and their seroprevalence with regard to PUUV](http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2180/11/30)
Figure 3 Correspondence analysis of the helminth community structure. a) Factorial plan ($F_1 \times F_2$) showing the relationships between the helminth species. b) Factorial plan of the landscape according to its effect on the helminth community. The grey circles represent the gravity centres of the three landscapes considered, forest (F), wood (W) and hedge network (H). The lines show the variation within each site. c) Schematic representation of the site map based on helminth community characteristics. Sites represented with circles have above average $F_1$ factorial values, whereas sites represented with squares have below-average $F_1$ factorial values. Hedge networks are indicated with black dashed lines. Circle or square sizes are proportional to the distance of the value above or below the average value.
voles then prevented the possibility of searching for helminth-PUUV coinfection.

In this study, we combined serological and molecular methods to detect PUUV infection. Because PUUV infections are chronic in voles [55], the presence of antibodies is expected to be highly correlated with the presence of the virus. However during the breeding season, maternal antibodies might account for up to one third of the seropositive voles detected [56]. Moreover, previous studies in natural [57] or controlled [55] conditions have shown that the levels of shed hantavirus RNA could change a lot over time in excretion and blood samples. Although the highest rates of hantavirus shedding is generally observed during the first weeks after infection, viral RNA can be detected in blood for as much as 133 or 217 days post-infection [55,57].

Figure 4 Results of the discriminant analysis performed on the helminth community of PUUV-seronegative and PUUV-seropositive bank voles sampled in the northern sites of the transect. a) Sample scores of the discriminant function for PUUV-seronegative and PUUV-seropositive bank voles. The symbols (-) and (+) represent the group averages of these two classes of individuals. b) Coefficient of the discriminant scores on this axis.
of the PUUV antibody positive voles detected in this work were also PUUV RNA positive (33 out of 37). Among the four that had too low PUUV viral load to be considered RNA positive, one was an immature male. PUUV antibodies were likely to result from maternal transfer [e.g. [56,58]]. The three other voles were adults, and were probably not shedding PUUV at this time. We could however not investigate the reasons underlying these differences in PUUV viral load between PUUV antibody positive adult voles.

We used two appropriate methods to detect negative and positive interactions [43]. We reported significant positive associations between two helminth species (*H. mixtum* and *A. murs-sylvatici*) and PUUV infection in bank voles. Because helminths generally drive strong type 2 responses [59], which are antagonistic to type 1 responses involved in the immune defense against hantaviruses [review in [60]], we addressed the question of whether these helminth infections could influence vole susceptibility to PUUV.

First, we found that PUUV infection was more often observed in voles coinfected with *H. mixtum*, and that PUUV viral loads were slightly higher in voles coinfected with this nematode. These results can be interpreted with regard to the immune knowledge acquired from the close parasite *Nippostrongylus* (syn. *Heligmosomum*) *brasiliensis*, which is extensively used as a laboratory model to study Th2 immunity. In mice and rats, *N. brasiliensis* induces polarized Th2 responses characterized by elevation of IgE and Th2 cytokines such as IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13 [e.g. [61,62]]. This immune response might increase the susceptibility to PUUV. On another hand, Reece et al. [62] also reported that the baseline transcription levels of Th1 cytokines (IFN-γ, IL-12, and IL-6) are also elevated in *N. brasiliensis*-infected mice. This could explain that the Th2 response induced by *H. mixtum* is not strong enough to induce a dramatic increase of PUUV viral loads in coinfected voles. A similar observation had been made by Liesenfeld et al. [45] and Erb et al. [63] on a different biological system. They respectively showed that the densities of *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Mycobacterium bovis* in mice were only slightly affected by the presence of *N. brasiliensis*. Lastly, an added complexity in the interpretation of this co-infection is the possibility that it might be generated by correlated exposure, by parasite longevity and host age, or by differences in the genetic constitution of individual hosts. We can hypothesize that genetic factors of susceptibility might mediate the significant co-occurrence of PUUV and *H. mixtum* infection. Major histocompatibility complex (*Mhc*) class II genes could be relevant candidates as their polymorphism seems to influence the risk of PUUV or *H. mixtum* infection.

Second, we found that PUUV viral loads were significantly decreased in voles coinfected with *A. murs-sylvatici*, although the risk of PUUV infection was slightly higher in voles coinfected with this nematode. Maturational status, which strongly influences the behavior of voles and as such, has been shown to be a good determinant of parasite infection [29], could drive this slight and ambiguous pattern of co-occurrence observed between PUUV and *A. murs-sylvatici* infections [22]. Several studies have found that *Aoncotheca* species only occurred in mature voles. These older individuals infected with *A. murs-sylvatici* were more likely to be infected with PUUV than younger ones as the risk of PUUV infection increases with age [e.g. [30,67,68]]. These PUUV infections could nevertheless have occurred earlier than those with *A. murs-sylvatici*, as suggested by the significant influence of Flou mass (which reflects vole age) on the probability of single and co-infection. As bank voles secrete PUUV only during a limited time of the infection [55], the delay that is likely to exist between PUUV and *A. murs-sylvatici* infections could explain the low viral load observed in coinfected bank voles.
Besides, the lower loads of PUUV detected in voles coinfected with *A. muris-sylvatici* could also be the results of host immune response or immune regulators secreted by this nematode. A single study reported the immune consequences of *Aonchoteca* (syn = *Capillaria*) infection [69]. Although Kim et al. [69] showed an over-expression of genes encoding cytokines related to Th2 pathways, they also highlighted strong increases in the transcription levels of the Th1 cytokine IFN-γ. This cytokine is known to be crucial for restricting *Hanta-virus* replication [review in [60]]. Indeed, IFN-γ is essential for inducing a variety of innate antiviral effector mechanisms such as natural killer (NK) cells or NKT cells [70,71]. The host is thus able to limit viral spread before the adaptive response is mounted. A suppressive effect of *A. muris-sylvatici* on PUUV viral replication could thus be mediated by the potential induction of IFN-γ production following *A. muris-sylvatici* infection.

Our study also stressed the main importance of considering landscape configuration when analysing patterns of coinfection, especially in the case of helminths and PUUV.

First, we showed that the helminth community structure of bank voles was strongly affected by landscape. Main differences were observed between the Northern massif des Ardennes and the Southern crêtes pré-ardennaises. *S. petrusewiczii* was for example never recorded in the Northern sites while *H. horrida*, *M. muris* and *T. arvicolae* were extremely rare in the Southern sites. Helminths are known to interact with the external environment. Climatic factors or soil composition are examples of conditions that may affect the development of their free-living stages or the survival of their transmission stages outside their hosts [e.g. [72-75]]. The distinction between the Northern massif des Ardennes and the Southern crêtes pré-ardennaises relies on geological and climatic differences that could in turn explain geographical variations in the helminth community structure. Indeed, the Northern massif is characterized by primary soils (shist, slate), cold winters and higher precipitations whereas the crêtes pré-ardennaises are composed of secondary soils (clay) and experience less severe winter and rainfall. Besides, we found no differences between the helminth communities observed in wooded areas and hedgerows from the Southern area. This was surprising because population genetic analyses have revealed that bank vole populations from hedgerows experienced strong genetic drift, leading to strong genetic differentiation among them and between populations from hedgerows and wooded areas [76]. It is possible that both bank vole dispersal from wooded areas to hedgerows, as well as the existence of survival stages in the external environment, might counterbalance the impact of drift on the helminth community structure of hedgerows.

This spatial differentiation of helminth communities observed between the northern massif and the southern cretes could lead to false associations mediated by the distribution of particular species. The same observation holds for PUUV as we showed that its distribution also exhibited strong disparities between sites. Several studies have stressed the influence of environmental factors, including winter temperature and soil moisture, on PUUV prevalence in bank vole populations [15,19]. Deeper insights into local factors mediating differences in quality of forest patches could provide a better understanding of the spatial variations of PUUV prevalence mediated by variations in bank vole abundance or dynamics [31,77]. Particular attention could especially be given to the differences in proportions of functional groups (e.g. mature vs immature voles) mediated by environmental and landscape variations, as PUUV and helminth species structures strongly depend on these proportions.

Finally, landscape configuration and environmental conditions might enhance or deplete the possibility for immune-mediated coinfection to occur. High population densities, and low availability of resources, might constitute stressful environmental factors that can in turn lead to trade-offs between fitness components [78], and even between immune pathways [79,80]. Immune responses that are energetically costly (e.g. systemic inflammatory response) are expected to be depleted at the expense of less costly ones (e.g. antibody-mediated immunity). Therefore, spatio-temporal variations in environmental factors influencing the costs and benefits of resistance to PUUV of gastro-intestinal helminths could promote geographic differences in the occurrence of coinfections. This process might participate in explaining why PUUV - *H. mixtum* coinfection are only detected in the Northern massif des Ardennes despite the presence of *H. mixtum* over the region sampled. The Southern crêtes pré-ardennaises might experience less stressful climatic conditions that do not lead to strong trade-offs between immune responses. Temporal surveys of helminths and PUUV in these two geographic areas and in other part of Europe could help confirming this hypothesis. Such longitudinal studies, including different sampling seasons, could also bring insight into the influence of population age structure in the helminth-PUUV interactions described here.

**Conclusions**

To our knowledge, this is the first study that analyses hantavirus - helminth coinfection in natural populations of reservoirs. Our research stressed the influence of the environment in enhancing or depleting the occurrence of these coinfections. PUUV and parasite species...
distributions, which strongly depend on soil and climatic factors, and immune trade-offs mediated by stressful environmental conditions may affect the incidence and our capacities to detect co-infections of biological significance. Longitudinal studies are now required to follow the same marked bank voles through times and to disentangle the host, pathogen and environmental factors underlying the PUUV-helminth associations described in this study.

Acknowledgements

This work received the financial support from the Institut National de la Recherche Agroécologique and the GOC-CT-2003-010284 EDEN. The manuscript is catalogued by the EDEN Steering Committee as EDEN0252 (http://www.eden-fp6project.net).

Author details

1 Laboratoire de Parasitologie, Département de Microbiologie i Parasitologie Sanitaires, Faculté de Farmaciu, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.
2 INRA, UMR CBGP (INRA/IRD/Cirad/Montpellier SupAgro), Campus international de Baillarguet, CS 30016, F-34098 Montferrier-sur-Lez cedex, France.
3 INRA, UMR CBGP (INRA/IRD/Cirad/Montpellier SupAgro), Campus international de Baillarguet, CS 30016, F-34988 Montferrier-sur-Lez cedex, France.
4 INRA, UMR CBGP (INRA/IRD/Cirad/Montpellier SupAgro), Campus international de Baillarguet, CS 30016, F-34988 Montferrier-sur-Lez cedex, France.
5 Finnish Forest Research Institute, PL 18, FI-01301, Vantaa, Finland.

Authors’ contributions

EG, JFC and NC conceived the study, participated in its design and carried out its coordination. ARS prepared samples, collected and analysed helminth data (identification and and counting). AX, YC, JFC, EG, ARS and MLP participated in the field work. PC participated in analyzing the data. TS and HH analysed PUUV viral load data. LV and HH analysed PUUV serological data. NC drafted the manuscript. All authors read, critiqued and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 11 October 2010 Accepted: 8 February 2011

Published: 8 February 2011

References

1. Lundkvist A, Niklasson B: Bank vole monoclonal antibodies against Puumula virus envelope glycoproteins: identification of epitopes involved in neutralization. Arch Virol 1992, 126:99-105.
2. Vapalahti O, Mustonen J, Lundkvist A, Henttonen H, Pylusin A, Vahteri A: Hantavirus infections in Europe. Lancet Infect Dis 2003, 3(10):653-661.
3. Gavrilovskaya IN, Apelina NS, Bernshtein AD, Demina VT, Okulova NM, Myasnikov YA, Chumakov MP: Hantavirus infections in Europe. Arch Virol 1992, 127:131-134.
4. Myasnikov YA, Chumakov MP: Hantavirus en France. Med Mal Infect 2001, 31(2):272-284.
5. Niklasson B, Hornfeldt B, Lundkvist Å, Björsten S, Leduc J: Temporal dynamics of Puumula virus antibody prevalence in voles and of nephropathia epidemica incidence in humans. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1995, 53:134-140.
6. Terasio K, Verhagen R, Serveis A, Heymann P, Ducroffe G, Leirs H: Hantavirus disease (nephropathia epidemica) in Belgium: effects of tree seed production and climate. Epidemiol Infect 2009, 137(2):250-256.
7. Clermont J, Vercauteren J, Verstraeten W, Ducroffe G, Barrios JM, Vandamme AM, Maes P, Van Ranst M: Relating increasing hantavirus incidences to the changing climate: the mast connection. Int J Health Geogr 2009, 8:1.
8. Terasio K, Schreurs A, Linard C, Verhagen R, Van Dongen S, Leirs H: Population, environmental, and community effects on local bank vole (Myodes glareolus) Puumala virus infection in an area with low human incidence. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis 2008, 8(2):235-244.
9. Danzey LJ, Ruedas LA: Increased host species diversity and decreased prevalence of Sin Nombre virus. Emerg Infect Dis 2009, 15(7):1012-1018.
10. Clay CA, Lehmer EM, St Jeor S, Dearing MD: Testing mechanisms of the dilution effect: deer mouse encounter rates, Sin Nombre virus prevalence and species diversity. EcoHealth 2009, 6(2):250-259.
11. Clay CA, Lehmer EM, Jeor SS, Dearing MD: Sin Nombre virus and rodent species diversity: a test of the dilution and amplification hypotheses. PLoS One 2009, 4(7):e6467.
12. Linard C, Terasio K, Leirs H, Lambin EF: Environmental conditions and Puumala virus transmission in Belgium. Int J Health Geogr 2007, 6:55.
13. Linard C, Lamarche P, Heymann P, Ducroffe G, Luyasu V, Terasio K, Vanwambeke SO, Lambin EF: Determinants of the geographic distribution of Puumala virus and Lyme borreliosis infections in Belgium. Int J Health Geogr 2007, 6:15.
14. Escutenaire S, Chalon P, De Jaegere F, Karelle-Bui L, Mees G, Brochier B, Rozenfeld F, Pastoret PP: Behavioral, physiologic, and habitat influences on the dynamics of Puumala virus infection in bank voles (Clethrionomys glareolus). Emerg Infect Dis 2002, 8(9):590-596.
15. Sauvage F, Langlais M, Yoccoz NG, Pouster D: Modelling hantavirus in fluctuating populations of bank voles: the role of indirect transmission on virus persistence. J Anim Ecol 2003, 72(1):11-13.
16. Kalio ER, Klingstrom J, Gustafsson E, Manni T, Vaheri A, Henttonen H, Vapalahti O, Lundkvist A: Prolonged survival of Puumala hantavirus outside the host: evidence for indirect transmission via the environment. J Gen Virol 2006, 87(8):2127-2134.
17. Curtiss RM, Yazarbaikshin M: Immune regulation by helminth parasites: cellular and molecular mechanisms. Nat Rev Immunol 2003, 3(9):733-744.
18. Curtiss RM, Yazarbaikshin M: Immune regulation by helminth parasites: cellular and molecular mechanisms. Nat Rev Immunol 2003, 3(9):733-744.
19. Kamal SM, El Sayed Khalifa K: Immune modulation by helminthic infections: worms and viral infections. Parasite Immunol 2006, 28(10):483-496.
20. Bentwich Z, Kalinkovich A, Weisman Z, Borkow G, Teicher C, Bentwich Z: Reciprocal immunomodulation in a schistosome and hepatotropic virus coinfection model. J Immunol 2005, 175(11):6275-6285.
21. Edwards MJ, Buchatskia E, Ashton M, Montoya M, Bickle QD, Borrow P: Reciprocal immunomodulation in a schistosome and hepatotropic virus coinfection model. J Immunol 2003, 175(11):6275-6285.
22. Borkow G, Teicher C, Bentwich Z: Helminth-HIV Coinfection: Should We Deworm? PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2007, 1(3).
23. Henttonen H, Tenora F: Puumala virus and Lyme borreliosis infections in Belgium. J Gen Virol 2006, 87(8):2127-2134.
24. Schoonhoven LV, van Lieshout L, Luty AJ, Sartono E, Tenora F, van Wambeke SO, Lambin EF: Determinants of the geographic distribution of Puumala virus and Lyme borreliosis infections in Belgium. Int J Health Geogr 2007, 6:15.
25. Grace AL: Ecological rules governing helmint-microparasite coinfection. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2008, 105(2):566-570.
26. Supali T, Verweij JJ, Wijn AE, Djuardi Y, Hamid F, Kaisar MM, Wammes LJ, van Lieshout L, Luty AJ, Sartono E, et al: Polyparasitism and its impact on the immune system. Int J Parasitol 2010, 40(10):1171-1176.
27. Cox FE: Concomitant infections, parasites and immune responses. Parasitol Today 2001, 17(11):523-528.
28. Borkow G, Teicher C, Bentwich Z: Helminth-HIV Coinfection: Should We Deworm? PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2007, 1(3).
29. Henttonen H, Tenora F: Population dynamics of common and rare helminths in cyclic vole populations. J Anim Ecol 1988, 57:807-826.
30. Bernshtein AD, Apelina NS, Mikhailova TV, Myasnikov YA, Khlyap LA, Korotkov YS, Gavrilovskaya IN: Dynamics of Puumala hantavirus infection
in naturally infected bank voles (Clethrionomys glareolus). Arch Virol 1999, 144(12):2415-2428.

31. Gliszcz J, Ims RA: Dispersal in the bank vole. Polish Journal of Ecology 2000, 51:61.

32. Mills JN, Childs J, Ksiazek TG, Peters CJ, Velleca WM: Methods for trapping and sampling small mammals for virologic testing. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 1995.

33. Willett WC: Nutritional epidemiology. New York: Oxford University Press; 1998.

34. Lundkvist AI, Fatouros A, Niklasson B: Antigenic variation of European haemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome virus strains characterized using bank vole monoclonal antibodies. J Gen Virol 1991, 72:2097-2103.

35. Kora M, Duf H, Saksida A, Trilar T, Avsic-Zupanc T: The hantaviral load in tissues of naturally infected rodents. Microbes Infect 2009, 11:344-351.

36. Burnham KP, Anderson DR: Model selection and inference. A practical information-theoretic approach. New York: Heidelberg; 1998.

37. Johnson JE, Omland KS: Model selection in ecology and evolution. Trends Ecol Evol 2004, 19:101-108.

38. McCullagh P, Nelder JA: Generalized linear models. Chapman and Hall; 1989.

39. Crowley J, Milm for ecologists. Blackwell, Oxford, UK; 1993.

40. Thioulouse J, Chessel D, Dolédec S, Olivier JM: A multivariate analysis and graphical display software. Stat Comput 1997, 9:775-83.

41. Jombart T, Pontier D, Dufoir AB: Genetic markers in the playground of multivariate analysis. Heredity 2009, 102(4):330-341.

42. Hauke JBS: Helminth dynamics and community levels. Parasitology 2007, 134(8):959-977.

43. Liesenfeld O, Dunay IR, Erb KJ: Amelioration of influenza-induced cytokine mRNA expression and promoter sequences reflect the balance of tolerance/resistance to influenza infection. J Gen Virol 2010, 91:2507-2512.

44. Kloch A, Babik W, Bajer A, Sinski E, Radwan J: Effects of an MHC-DRB genotype and allele number on the load of gut parasites in the bank vole Myodes glareolus. Parasite Immunol 2010, 13(5):260-265.

45. Guiuier E, Galan M, Male PJ, Kallio ER, Voutilainen L, Henttonen H, Olsson G, Lundkvist A, Tenagato K, Augot D, et al: Associations between Major Histocompatibility Complex genes and Puumala virus infection in Myodes glareolus are detected in wild populations but not from experimental infection data. J Gen Virol 2010, 91:2507-2512.

46. Salvador A, Bryja J, Morand S, Cosson JF, et al: S219-S230. Parasitology 2008, 134(8):959-977.
77. van Apeldoorn RC, Oostenbrink WT, van Winden A, van der Zee FF. Effects of habitat fragmentation on the bank vole, Clethrionomys glareolus, in an agricultural landscape. Oikos 1992, 65:265-274.
78. Stearns SC. The evolution of life-histories. Oxford: Oxford University press; 1992.
79. Lee KA, Klausing KC. A role for immunology in invasion biology. Trends Ecol Evol 2004, 19(10):523-529.
80. Martin LB, Weil ZM, Kuhlman JR, Nelson RJ. Trade-offs within the immune systems of female white-footed mice, Peromyscus leucopus. Funct Ecol 2006, 20:630-636.

doi:10.1186/1471-2180-11-30
Cite this article as: Salvador et al.: Concomitant influence of helminth infection and landscape on the distribution of Puumala hantavirus in its reservoir, Myodes glareolus. BMC Microbiology 2011 11:30.