Sexual autonomy and contraceptive use among women in Nigeria: findings from the Demographic and Health Survey data

Context: The persistent low contraceptive use and high fertility in Nigeria despite improvements in educational achievements calls for an examination of the role of factors, which may moderate the use of modern contraception. This article explores the influence of sexual autonomy on the use of modern contraceptive methods among women and its relative importance compared with other, more traditional, indicators of women’s autonomy such as education and occupation.

Data and methods: Data from two Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS), 2008 and 2013, were used in this study. An index of sexual autonomy was constructed by combining related DHS variables, and its association with current use of modern contraception was examined at each time point as well as over time using multivariate regression analysis.

Results: The observed prevalence for use of modern contraception was 2.8 and 2.6 times higher among women who had high sexual autonomy in 2008 and 2013, respectively. The corresponding figures for women with secondary or higher education were 8.2 and 11.8 times higher, respectively, compared with women with no education. But after controlling for wealth index, religion, place of residence, autonomy and experience of intimate partner violence (IPV), the likelihood of use of modern contraception was lowered to about 2.5 (from 8.2) and 2.8 (from 11.8) times during 2008 and 2013, respectively, among women with secondary or higher education. The likelihood of use of modern contraception lowered only to 1.6 (from 2.8) and 1.8 (from 2.6) times among women with high sexual autonomy after controlling for other covariates, respectively, during the same period.

Conclusion: Sexual autonomy seems to play an important role in women’s use of modern contraceptive methods independent of education and a number of other factors related to women’s status. Sexual autonomy needs to be simultaneously promoted alongside increasing educational opportunities to enhance women’s ability to use modern contraception.
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Introduction

Women’s contraceptive use is a function of many social, cultural and individual factors. These factors include education, occupation, economic status and autonomy or empowerment. A number of authors have reported that education has a strong influence on the use of contraception among women.1–6 Women with higher educational attainment were more likely to request their partners to use condoms.7 Studies have also found that occupation and wealth influence the use of modern contraception.3,8 For example, the use of contraception was higher among women...
who were engaged in skilled labour compared with those
who were not engaged in remunerative employment.8–10
Increases in women’s general autonomy and greater use of
contraception have been demonstrated in numerous stud-
ies. It was identified that in 8 of 19 sub-Saharan African
countries, women with greater autonomy were more likely
to use contraception.10 A similar result was also found in
three of four sub-Saharan African countries using differ-
ent methods,11 and similar results were also reported from
Nigeria and Tanzania.5,7,12 This association has also been
reported in many Asian countries.13–16

Measuring autonomy
Studies reporting women’s autonomy or empowerment
have not been consistent in their use of terminologies.
Alternate terms such as women’s position or role and
gender inequality were frequently used interchangeably
with autonomy in literature reviewed by us. Many studies
have used one or more indicators from the Demographic
and Health Surveys (DHS)13,17,18 to measure autonomy,
for example, women’s involvement in household-level
decision-making involving their own health care, large
household purchases and ability to decide to visit family.
Some researchers have elaborated autonomy as having the
following three dimensions: economic autonomy, physical
or movement autonomy and decision-making autonomy;
these aspects are difficult to separate as they are inter-
linked. Other researchers incorporated the role of women
in decisions regarding sexuality in constructing measures
of autonomy/empowerment such as the role of women
in controlling their sexual relations12 or reproductive
decisions.9,14 Very few have constructed explicit measures
for sexual autonomy.

Studies have used a range of sexual and reproductive
variables in combination to describe measures of women’s
sexual autonomy. For example, an Indian study among
currently married women attending the gynecology and
obstetrics outpatient services16 considered sexual and repro-
ductive decisions such as when the couple would have sex
and who made decisions about using contraception as indi-
cating women’s autonomy. A study based on Reproductive
Health and Family Planning Survey of Pakistan19 defined a
woman as having reproductive autonomy if she had a role
in deciding to have no more children, in delaying the next
birth or in deciding to use a family planning method. There
are also studies that included indicators from the DHS when
constructing an index of autonomy as to whether a husband
was justified in hitting or beating his wife if she refused to
have sex with him.20,21

However, sexual autonomy has been addressed in a
relatively small number of studies compared with the large
body of literature on women’s general autonomy. In this
article, sexual autonomy refers to the role of women in deci-
sions related to when, with whom and how sexual relations
were practiced and includes the idea that women must have
freedom to decide on their sexual relations both within and
out of wedlock. Intimate partner violence (IPV) on women—
whether physical violence because of issues related to sex
or sexual violence was viewed by us as an indicator of the
absence of sexual autonomy.

Studies from Ghana22 and Philippines23 have explicitly
constructed an indicator of sexual autonomy. The Philippines
study considered, as a part of the sexual autonomy index,
DHS questions on whether a wife was justified in refusing
to have sex with her husband when a) she knows her husband
had a sexually transmitted disease, b) she knows her husband
had sex with other women, c) she had recently given birth
or d) she was tired or not in the mood. The Ghanaian study
considered DHS variables: can you say “no” to your husband/
partner if you do not want to have sexual intercourse? In your
opinion, is a husband justified in hitting or beating his wife
if she refuses to have sex with him? Could you ask your
husband/partner to use a condom if you wanted him to? If a
wife knows her husband has a disease that she can contract
during sexual intercourse, is she justified in asking him to use
a condom when they have sex? Is a woman justified in refus-
ing sex if she is tired/not in the mood? These studies found
that the high sexual autonomy lowers the risk of unwanted
pregnancy24 or increased the use of modern contraception.22
The Philippines study did not, however, examine the relation-
ship of sexual autonomy to contraceptive use. Other studies
have used one or two of the indicators used in constructing
these sexual autonomous as an indicator of empowerment
or autonomy and found empowerment to be related to the
use of modern contraception.21 Associations have also been
found between physical and sexual IPV and use of modern
contraception, but the results have been equivocal, indicating
that the relationship is possibly context specific and mediated
by other factors.25,26

This article explores the role of sexual autonomy in use
of modern contraception among currently married women in
Nigeria, using data from DHS for 2008 and 2013, the 2 years
for which variables for constructing a sexual autonomy index
were available.

The Nigerian context
In Nigeria, the prevalence of contraceptive use, which was
9.8% among currently married women in 2013,27 continues
to be much lower than the African average, despite the higher prevalence of family planning knowledge (85%).

The proportion of women without education decreased from 62.2% in 1990 to 45.0% in 2013, and the labour force participation of females increased from 34.1% in 1990 to 42.4% in 2014. Even with these improvements, total fertility rate (TFR) declined only by about 0.5 births per woman since the 1990s and was 5.25 in 2014.

Studies from Nigeria have reported the association of modern contraceptive use with educational status of the woman, women’s empowerment and decision-making regarding their own health care. However, very little is known about how women’s decision-making in matters related to sexuality influences their use of contraception.

Our objective is to examine whether and how sexual autonomy or its absence, as indicated by experience of physical and/or sexual IPV, affects contraceptive use in Nigeria, the role of general (as distinct from sexual) autonomy (which was constructed using variables describing women’s ability to make decisions in her own household, about health care, regarding daily needs of the family or freedom of movement), and the relative importance of education in influencing modern contraceptive use. We have worked using DHS data obtained at two time points to confirm the direction and strength of association between sexual autonomy and use of modern contraception.

Data and methods

Data

The DHS provide information on demographic and health characteristics of a representative sample of the population for about 90 different countries of the world. In Nigeria, standard DHS data were collected during 1990, 1999, 2003, 2008 and 2013. The present study used DHS data from the 2008 and 2013 as these two surveys provide information on variables that we defined as indicators of sexual autonomy. The Nigerian Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS) collected data from a nationally representative sample of women aged 15–49 years. Women who were currently married or in union and for whom required data were complete were included in the analysis. The woman’s section of the DHS questionnaire collected information on background characteristics, reproductive history, childhood mortality, knowledge and use of family planning methods, fertility preferences, antenatal, delivery and postnatal care, female empowerment and a host of other health issues relating to specific diseases and disease-prevention programs/interventions. The details of sampling design, survey tools and data collection methods are provided in the DHS reports.

Methods

The dependent or outcome variable used was dichotomous and assesses the current use of at least one of the modern methods of contraception before the survey by currently married or partnered women. Univariate statistics were used to describe the characteristics of the population and bivariate analysis carried out to understand the percentage distribution by the outcome variable. Chi-square tests were applied to understand the significance of the relationships found between variables. Predictor variables included in the analysis were women’s household decision-making index, sexual autonomy index, experience of sexual IPV index and experience of physical IPV index. Principal component analysis (PCA) was used to construct the indices of sexual autonomy, household decision-making autonomy, physical IPV and sexual IPV. All these indices were classified as low or high. Women’s household decision-making (her general autonomy) was based on women’s involvement in her own health care, large household purchases, freedom to embark on family visits and role in decision on husband’s earnings. Sexual autonomy was derived from three questions about whether women can ask husband/partner to use a condom if she wanted him to? If a wife knows her husband has a disease that she can contract during sexual intercourse, is she justified in asking him to use a condom when they have sex? Is a woman justified in refusing sex if she is tired/not in the mood? The experience of physical violence considered questions such as to whether she had ever been pushed, shook or had something thrown at her, ever been slapped, ever been punched with a fist or hit by something harmful, ever been kicked or dragged, ever had arm twisted or hair pulled by husband/partner, ever been strangled or burnt and ever been threatened with a knife/gun or other weapon. Similarly, experience of sexual IPV was measured through three questions in the DHS: as ever been physically forced into unwanted sex, ever been forced into other unwanted sexual acts and ever been physically forced to perform sexual acts the respondent didn’t want to perform. Other predictor variables considered were place of residence defined as rural or urban; educational background of women classified as illiterate, primary and secondary or higher educated; wealth index (a composite index based on the household’s ownership of a number of consumer items) classified as low, medium and high and religion.

Multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to study the potential association between contraceptive use, sexual autonomy and other explanatory variables. Four models were constructed to understand the unadjusted and adjusted
association of sexual autonomy and education with the use of modern contraception. Models 1 and 2 were the unadjusted relationships of sexual autonomy and education to current use of modern contraception, respectively. In model 3, we studied the effect of sexual autonomy or education on current use of modern contraception while controlling the effect of other variables. Model 4 described the effect of each independent variable on use of modern contraception while controlling all other covariates. Generalized linear model (GLM) with the binomial link function was used to construct the graph describing trend over time. The graphs were plotted by the estimated marginal means computed for sexual decision-making autonomy and use of modern contraception. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 20.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).

**Results**

Table 1 presents the distribution of the population according to the dependent and predictor variables. From the 2008 and 2013 DHS, 23,954 and 27,274 women who were currently married or in a union constituted the study sample. Approximately 9% in 2008 and 10% in 2013 reported that they currently used any method of modern contraception. The mean age of the respondents was about 31 years with a standard deviation of about 9 during both the surveys. The percentage of the population with no education decreased from 51.3% in 2008 and to 45.9% in 2013. The proportion of women with secondary or more education increased over the period. More than 40% of women were in the lowest wealth quintile at both time points. The religious composition was almost stable from 2008 to 2013. More than half of the women reported that they were Muslim and about 42% were Christians. Experience of physical violence from an intimate partner decreased between 2008 and 2013, but sexual violence increased over the same period. An overall increase in women’s role in decision-making was observed between 2008 and 2013 except for decisions about large household purchases.

The bivariate relationship between use of modern contraception and other independent variables is shown in Table 2. Current use of contraception was higher among women with higher education ($P<0.001$). Among women who were illiterate, the use of contraception reduced between 2008 and 2013. Among those women who had primary or secondary education, the use of modern contraception increased between 2008 and 2013 ($P<0.001$). Compared to urban women, the proportion of use of modern contraception was very low in rural areas and also no increase between 2008 and 2013 except for decisions about large purchases.

| Table 1 Demographic, socioeconomic, reproductive and autonomy characteristics of women for 2013 and 2008 Nigeria DHS |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Modern contraceptive use**                         | **2013 (n=27,274)** | **2008 (n=23,954)** |
| Currently used                                      | 9.9                  | 8.6                  |
| Currently not used                                  | 90.1                 | 91.4                 |
| **Age group (years)**                                |                      |                      |
| 15–24                                             | 22.8                 | 24.1                 |
| 25–34                                             | 38.5                 | 39.0                 |
| 35–49                                             | 38.7                 | 36.9                 |
| **Age, mean (SD) (years)**                          |                      |                      |
| 31.55 (8.9)                                       | 31.11 (8.8)          |
| **Respondent’s education**                          |                      |                      |
| No education                                       | 45.9                 | 51.3                 |
| Primary                                           | 20.4                 | 21.3                 |
| Secondary or more                                  | 33.6                 | 27.4                 |
| **Type of place of residence**                      |                      |                      |
| Urban                                             | 34.6                 | 27.5                 |
| Rural                                             | 65.4                 | 72.5                 |
| **Wealth index**                                   |                      |                      |
| Poor                                               | 43.3                 | 49.1                 |
| Middle                                             | 19.2                 | 18.8                 |
| Rich                                               | 37.5                 | 32.1                 |
| **Religion**                                       |                      |                      |
| Christians                                        | 41.8                 | 41.5                 |
| Muslims                                           | 56.7                 | 55.8                 |
| Others                                            | 1.5                  | 2.1                  |
| **Experienced less severe violence**                |                      |                      |
| Yes                                                | 10.6                 | 12.6                 |
| No                                                 | 66.3                 | 63.9                 |
| **Experienced severe violence**                     |                      |                      |
| Yes                                                | 4.2                  | 4.6                  |
| No                                                 | 72.7                 | 71.9                 |
| **Experienced any sexual violence**                 |                      |                      |
| Yes                                                | 3.9                  | 3.0                  |
| No                                                 | 73.0                 | 73.5                 |
| **Can respondent refuse sex**                      |                      |                      |
| Yes                                                | 62.0                 | 56.3                 |
| No/not sure                                       | 37.7                 | 39.3                 |
| **Can respondent ask partner to use condom**       |                      |                      |
| Yes                                                | 38.2                 | 32.1                 |
| No/not sure                                       | 61.5                 | 56.5                 |
| **Wife justified to ask use of condom if husband has STD** |          |                      |
| Yes                                                | 75.7                 | 66.9                 |
| No                                                 | 15.6                 | 20.6                 |
| **Role of respondent in own health care**           |                      |                      |
| Has role                                           | 39.8                 | 42.2                 |
| Has no role                                        | 60.0                 | 57.6                 |
| **Decision on large household purchase**            |                      |                      |
| Has role                                           | 39.3                 | 37.3                 |
| Has no role                                        | 60.5                 | 62.5                 |
| **Decision on visit to family or relatives**       |                      |                      |
| Respondent has role                                | 48.7                 | 53.8                 |
| Respondent has no role                             | 51.1                 | 45.9                 |
| **Decision on how to spend husband’s earnings**    |                      |                      |
| Respondent has role                                | 27.4                 | 30.0                 |
| Respondent has no role                             | 70.6                 | 67.9                 |

**Abbreviations:** DHS, Demographic and Health Surveys; STD, sexually transmitted disease.
occurred between 2008 and 2013 (P<0.001). Use of modern contraception increased with increasing wealth (P<0.001). However, among the poor, the use of modern contraception decreased from 2008 to 2013 (P<0.001). The proportion of women using modern contraception was about four times higher among those who described themselves as Christians compared to Muslims (P<0.001).

A higher proportion of women experiencing high physical IPV reported use of modern contraception compared to those experiencing low physical IPV (P<0.001). Women with high household decision-making or with high sexual autonomy used modern contraception more (P<0.001). The chi-square significance test showed that all bivariate relations were significant for use of modern contraception except for sexual IPV.

From models 1 and 2 in Table 3, it seems that the unadjusted effect of education on use of modern contraception was very high compared to the role of sexual autonomy. The use of modern contraception was higher for both years (OR 2.771 and 2.625, 95% CI 2.451–3.132 and 2.363–2.916 for 2008 and 2013, respectively) among those who had high sexual autonomy compared with those with low sexual autonomy. But those with secondary or higher education had an 8–12 times higher (OR 8.254 and 11.783, 95% CI 7.262–9.380 and 10.274–13.514 for 2008 and 2013, respectively) chance of using modern contraception than those with no education.

From model 3 in Table 3, we observe that, when controlling for the effect of sexual autonomy, those with secondary or higher education were nine times (OR 9.372, 95% CI 7.911–11.102) more likely to use modern contraception and seven times (OR 6.577, 95% CI 5.477–7.898) more likely if they had primary education during 2013. However, women with high sexual autonomy, irrespective of their education, had 1.8 times (OR 1.855, 95% CI 1.663–2.068) higher chance of using modern contraception in 2013.

The effect of each variable, when controlling for other covariates, on modern contraceptive use is described in Table 4. In this table, when considering the effect of one variable on the outcome variable, the effect of all other variables are adjusted for the presence or absence of other covariates, which makes no change in the relation between dependent

Table 2 Distribution of women according to current use of modern contraception in the 2013 and 2008 NDHS

| Descriptive variables          | 2013 Currently not used (P-value) | 2013 Currently used (P-value) | 2008 Currently not used (P-value) | 2008 Currently used (P-value) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Respondent's education        |                                   |                                |                                   |                                |
| No education                  | 98.1 (0.000)                      | 1.9 (0.000)                    | 97.4 (0.000)                      | 2.6 (0.000)                    |
| Primary                       | 87.1 (0.000)                      | 12.9 (0.000)                   | 88.8 (0.000)                      | 11.2 (0.000)                   |
| Secondary or more             | 81.0 (0.000)                      | 19.0 (0.000)                   | 82.0 (0.000)                      | 18.0 (0.000)                   |
| Type of place of residence    |                                   |                                |                                   |                                |
| Urban                         | 83.2 (0.000)                      | 16.8 (0.000)                   | 84.5 (0.000)                      | 15.5 (0.000)                   |
| Rural                         | 93.7 (0.000)                      | 6.3 (0.000)                    | 93.9 (0.000)                      | 6.1 (0.000)                    |
| Wealth index                  |                                   |                                |                                   |                                |
| Poor                          | 97.6 (0.000)                      | 2.4 (0.000)                    | 96.8 (0.000)                      | 3.2 (0.000)                    |
| Middle                        | 90.6 (0.000)                      | 9.4 (0.000)                    | 92.2 (0.000)                      | 7.8 (0.000)                    |
| Rich                          | 81.1 (0.000)                      | 18.9 (0.000)                   | 82.5 (0.000)                      | 17.5 (0.000)                   |
| Religion                       |                                   |                                |                                   |                                |
| Christians                    | 82.6 (0.000)                      | 17.4 (0.000)                   | 85.0 (0.000)                      | 15.0 (0.000)                   |
| Muslims                       | 95.4 (0.000)                      | 4.6 (0.000)                    | 95.9 (0.000)                      | 4.1 (0.000)                    |
| Others                        | 95.7 (0.000)                      | 4.3 (0.000)                    | 94.2 (0.000)                      | 5.8 (0.000)                    |
| Physical IPV                  |                                   |                                |                                   |                                |
| Low                           | 89.9 (0.000)                      | 10.1 (0.000)                   | 90.4 (0.000)                      | 9.6 (0.000)                    |
| High                          | 82.7 (0.000)                      | 17.3 (0.000)                   | 86.5 (0.000)                      | 13.5 (0.000)                   |
| Sexual IPV                    |                                   |                                |                                   |                                |
| Low                           | 88.9 (0.939)                      | 11.1 (0.939)                   | 89.9 (0.432)                      | 10.1 (0.437)                   |
| High                          | 89.0 (0.979)                      | 11.0 (0.939)                   | 89.0 (0.470)                      | 11.0 (0.432)                   |
| Household decision-making     |                                   |                                |                                   |                                |
| Low                           | 94.0 (0.000)                      | 6.0 (0.000)                    | 93.0 (0.000)                      | 7.0 (0.000)                    |
| High                          | 82.9 (0.000)                      | 17.1 (0.000)                   | 86.1 (0.000)                      | 13.9 (0.000)                   |
| Sexual autonomy               |                                   |                                |                                   |                                |
| Low                           | 93.8 (0.000)                      | 6.2 (0.000)                    | 94.3 (0.000)                      | 5.7 (0.000)                    |
| High                          | 85.2 (0.000)                      | 14.8 (0.000)                   | 85.7 (0.000)                      | 14.3 (0.000)                   |

Note: P-values were computed for comparison across use of modern contraception for each subgroup of independent variables.
Abbreviations: NDHS, Nigerian Demographic and Health Survey; IPV, intimate partner violence.
Table 3 Odd ratios and 95% confidence interval of factors associated with modern contraceptive use (NDHS, 2013 and 2008)

| Independent variables | Model 1* | Model 2** | Model 3*** |
|-----------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
|                       | Odds ratio (95% confidence interval) | Odds ratio (95% confidence interval) | Odds ratio (95% confidence interval) |
| NDHS 2013             |          |           |           |
| Sexual autonomy       |          |           |           |
| Low                   | 1.00     | 1.00      | 1.00      |
| High                  | 2.625 (2.363–2.916) | 1.855 (1.663–2.068) | 1.00      |
| Women’s education     |          |           |           |
| No education          | 1.00     | 1.00      | 1.00      |
| Primary               | 7.473 (6.438–8.673) | 6.577 (5.477–7.898) | 9.372 (7.911–11.102) |
| Secondary or higher   | 11.783 (10.274–13.514) | 1.00      | 1.00      |
| NDHS 2008             |          |           |           |
| Sexual autonomy       |          |           |           |
| Low                   | 1.00     | 1.00      | 1.00      |
| High                  | 2.771 (2.451–3.132) | 1.776 (1.562–2.020) | 1.00      |
| Respondent’s education|          |           |           |
| No education          | 1.00     | 1.00      | 1.00      |
| Primary               | 4.754 (4.128–5.475) | 4.057 (3.374–4.879) | 6.228 (5.251–7.387) |
| Secondary or higher   | 8.254 (7.262–9.380) | 1.00      | 1.00      |

Notes: *Reference category. **Unadjusted model for education of women. ***Model adjusted for education or sexual autonomy while considering the other variable.

Abbreviation: NDHS, Nigerian Demographic and Health Survey.

and the one independent variable considered. Here, the most influential variable was education of the respondent. After education, high wealth index and high sexual autonomy had the second and third most important influence on use of modern contraception. Experience of high physical IPV seemed to be promoting the use of modern contraception compared with those who had low physical IPV, while there is no difference in use of modern contraception observed between women who experienced low or high sexual violence from intimate partner. Household decision-making had lesser influence on use of modern contraception observed between women who experienced low or high sexual violence from intimate partner. Household decision-making had lesser influence on use of modern contraception (1.32 times [OR 1.322, 95% CI 1.170–1.493] in 2008 and 1.68 times [OR 1.688, 95% CI 1.514–1.881] in 2013) than sexual autonomy.

What emerges as significant from Table 4 is that when adjusted for other covariates, the influence of educational background on current use of modern contraception reduces drastically (OR 2.834 in adjusted model from OR 11.783 in unadjusted model). This shows that the effect of education on modern contraceptive use is diminished when the other covariates are considered. In other words, the effect of education is modified by other factors. In the adjusted models, sexual autonomy has about 60% or higher chance of influencing the use of modern contraception (OR 1.813 and 1.583, 95% CI 1.623–2.027 and 1.389–1.805). The relationship between sexual autonomy and current use of modern contraception however remains more stable (OR 1.813 and 1.583 in adjusted models from OR 2.625 and 2.771 in unadjusted models in 2013 and 2008) even after adjusting for other covariates.

The estimated marginal mean of sexual autonomy and current use of modern contraception are shown in Figure 1, and the result remains significant even after adjusting for other covariates.

Table 4 Odd ratios and 95% confidence interval of factors associated with modern contraceptive use (NDHS, 2013, 2008)

| Independent variables | Model 4* (2013) | Model 4** (2008) |
|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                      | Odds ratio (95% confidence interval) | Odds ratio (95% confidence interval) |
| Experience of physical IPV |          |           |
| Low                  | 1.00     | 1.00      |
| High                 | 1.442 (1.274–1.633) | 1.251 (1.073–1.458) |
| Household decision-making |          |           |
| Low                  | 1.00     | 1.00      |
| High                 | 1.688 (1.514–1.881) | 1.322 (1.170–1.493) |
| Experience of sexual IPV |          |           |
| Low                  | 1.00     | 1.00      |
| High                 | 1.063 (0.855–1.322) | 0.995 (0.772–1.282) |
| Sexual autonomy      |          |           |
| Low                  | 1.00     | 1.00      |
| High                 | 1.813 (1.623–2.027) | 1.583 (1.389–1.805) |
| Wealth index         |          |           |
| Low                  | 1.00     | 1.00      |
| Medium               | 2.146 (1.769–2.603) | 1.655 (1.358–2.018) |
| High                 | 2.848 (2.351–3.451) | 2.403 (1.983–2.911) |
| Religion             |          |           |
| Christians*          | 1.00     | 1.00      |
| Muslim               | 0.667 (0.591–0.752) | 0.614 (0.531–0.710) |
| Others               | 0.570 (0.331–0.979) | 1.044 (0.637–1.710) |
| Place of residence   |          |           |
| Urban                | 1.00     | 1.00      |
| Rural                | 0.759 (0.679–0.849) | 0.724 (0.634–0.826) |
| Respondent’s education |          |           |
| No education*        | 1.00     | 1.00      |
| Primary              | 2.821 (2.304–3.453) | 2.297 (1.876–2.813) |
| Secondary or higher  | 2.834 (2.310–3.476) | 2.463 (1.997–3.037) |

Notes: *Reference category. **Model adjusted for all other independent variables while considering the relation between use of modern contraception and one independent variable for the year 2013. ***Model adjusted for all other independent variables while considering the relation between use of modern contraception and one independent variable for the year 2008.

Abbreviation: NDHS, Nigerian Demographic and Health Survey.
depicting the trend in the use of modern contraception over time. We can observe that the marginal means of sexual autonomy had increased from 2008 to 2013 among users of modern contraception. The mean values for sexual autonomy were 2.255 and 2.322 for 2008 and 2013, respectively. In Figure 2, we included education as a covariate, which raised the mean value for using modern contraception to 2.501 in 2008 and 2.521 in 2013. This indicates that education is an influential intermediary variable in enhancing the role of sexual autonomy in increasing modern contraceptive use.

Figure 3 depicts the graphical representation of the combined effect of education and sexual autonomy on modern contraceptive use. Compared to women with very low sexual autonomy, a higher proportion of those with high levels of autonomy were currently using modern contraception. At all education levels, women with higher sexual autonomy had higher odds of currently using modern contraception than those with lower sexual autonomy.

Discussion and conclusion
This article set out to explore whether and how sexual autonomy or its absence affects the use of modern contraception among women in Nigeria in recent years (2008–2013). We found that women with high sexual autonomy had about 60% of higher chance of using modern contraception than those with low sexual autonomy at both time points, even after controlling for other variables such as socioeconomic status. We found only one study examining the role of sexual autonomy and the use of modern contraception, which indicated increased use of modern contraception with high sexual autonomy in Ghana. In our study, we observed a strong relationship between sexual autonomy and contraceptive use, which was more stable than the relationship between education and use of modern contraception over time.

We considered prevalence of physical IPV and sexual IPV as proxies for the absence of sexual autonomy and explored how these affected the use of modern contraception. Women reporting high physical IPV had higher chance (25% in 2008, and 44% in 2013) of using modern contraception compared with those experiencing low levels of IPV. Sexual IPV, however, did not demonstrate any impact on the use of modern contraception.

In contrast to our findings, a study based on 2007 DHS of Jordan reported that women ever experiencing severe physical
violence from their husband were significantly less likely to use contraception than women who did not report severe physical violence (OR 0.34).\textsuperscript{31} But, a study of a large sample of women from sub-Saharan African countries reported that women experiencing sexual violence had a 1.5 times higher odds of using a modern method of contraception.\textsuperscript{32} Others studies have corroborated the association of sexual violence with higher use of modern contraception\textsuperscript{41} and also reported that the frequency of use of contraception increased with multiplicity of violence subtypes ($P>0.01$).\textsuperscript{33} A slightly more nuanced finding from Nicaragua was\textsuperscript{34} that experiencing IPV was associated with a decrease in women’s use of partner-dependent methods and condom use by their male partners, rather than any method of contraception.

Thus, it would appear that sexual and physical IPV can affect the use of modern contraception in either direction. One explanation of the positive association of IPV with contraceptive use has been that it reflects the desire of women who experience IPV to avert pregnancy under unfavorable conditions.\textsuperscript{35} It may be that women who have the confidence to report IPV may also be more likely to use contraceptives. However, many explanations are possible: partners may prevent women’s use of contraception by threatening violence. Furthermore, even when one observes a positive association between IPV and use of modern contraception, it may not be possible to establish directionality because of the possibility that initiation of contraceptive use might make some women vulnerable to violence from their partner.\textsuperscript{9,33} The actual relationship between IPV and contraceptive use may depend on the way autonomy reinforces or undermines IPV.\textsuperscript{6,35,42}

Our study also found that women with high household decision-making power had a 32\%-69\% higher chance of using modern contraception than their counterparts. Other studies too have found an association between various measures of decision-making and contraceptive use. Based on the Fertility and Family Planning Survey, a study from Pakistan similarly found that women with freedom of mobility and final say in household decisions, such as choices with regard to seeking health care for children and food purchase, were more likely to be current users of contraception.\textsuperscript{25} Other studies also found a positive association for use of modern contraception and women’s greater decision-making role in the household.\textsuperscript{6}

While sexual autonomy, IPV and general autonomy were all significantly associated with use of modern contraception in our study, women’s educational status showed the strongest association of all. But the effect of education was moderated by other variables considered in this study. It raises the question as to whether education alone, without other interventions, is sufficient to improve contraceptive use. A study in 27 sub-Saharan African countries found that modern contraceptive prevalence is generally high among women with at least secondary education, but some studies have found that contraceptive prevalence did not increase significantly with increasing levels of education.\textsuperscript{36} For example, in some sub-Saharan African countries, Madagascar and Rwanda, prevalence of modern contraceptive use increased during 2003 to 2008 but only a marginal increase was observed among women with secondary or more education; and countries like Zimbabwe experienced a plateauing of contraceptive use even as the proportion of women with secondary education increased.\textsuperscript{36} The relationship between attending school and contraceptive use was mediated by other predictors such as approval of family planning, knowledge of contraceptive methods and visiting a health clinic.\textsuperscript{37,43,44} So access to care and ability to access care appear important in addition to education.

This study not only found that a greater proportion of women with high sexual autonomy (compared to low) used modern contraceptives, even after controlling for the effect of education and other covariates, but also that high sexual autonomy had a more consistent effect on contraceptive use and was less affected by other covariates compared with the educational status of women. Thus, we postulate that sexual autonomy can impart a direct effect on the use of modern contraceptive methods.

While it stands to reason that sexual autonomy may be expected to have an influence on use of modern contraception, studies seldom analyze the relationship between these variables. One of the reasons may be the unavailability of adequate explanatory variables. Even though DHS provide data on sexual decision-making, these are not available consistently for all time periods.

While there is a paucity of studies documenting distribution of availability and accessibility to services providing modern contraception in Nigeria; the low contraceptive prevalence rate may be attributed to poorer access to contraceptive services at government health facilities compared with private clinics and the relative lack of access to private facilities for at least part of the population.\textsuperscript{38} Patent medical shops remain the main source of contraceptives in Nigeria.\textsuperscript{39} It was reported that a strong political will and prioritization of safe motherhood and contraception services is still required in Nigeria.\textsuperscript{40}

Findings from this study have implications for both programs and research. In terms of programs, the findings
indicate that creating conditions in which women are able to exercise sexual autonomy is likely to enhance their ability to use modern contraception, irrespective of their levels of education, and that education combined with increased sexual autonomy would produce better outcomes. Further research is needed to understand the pathways through which sexual autonomy, education and use of modern contraception act. However, in the meanwhile, programmatic interventions should not stop short by focusing only on women’s education; sexual autonomy needs to be simultaneously promoted.

Limitations of the study
Our study is limited to those variables available for analysis in DHS. The cross-sectional nature of these data can only demonstrate associations and can reveal no causal relationships between variables. Data on women’s use of modern contraception influenced by supply side factors, like access to services, distribution mechanisms, stock-outs, etc., were not available to us and we can only postulate on the effect of this. In addition, women with different social or cultural backgrounds may report their roles and experience of violence differently, which may also influence the results.
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