Effective mucoadhesive liposomal delivery system for risedronate: preparation and in vitro/in vivo characterization
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Abstract: In this work, we aimed to develop chitosan-coated mucoadhesive liposomes containing risedronate to improve intestinal drug absorption. Liposomes containing risedronate were prepared with 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine and distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-[phospho-rac-(1-glycerol)] using the freeze-drying method, with subsequent coating of the anionic surfaces of the liposomes with chitosan. The in vitro characteristics of the chitosan-coated liposomes were investigated, including their stability, mucoadhesiveness, and Caco-2 cell permeability. This formulation was stable in simulated gastric and intestinal fluids, with the percentage of drug remaining in the liposomes being more than 90% after 24 hours of incubation. Chitosan-coated liposomes also showed strong mucoadhesive properties, implying potential electrostatic interaction with the mucous layer in the gastrointestinal tract. Compared with the untreated drug, chitosan-coated liposomes significantly enhanced the cellular uptake of risedronate, resulting in an approximately 2.1–2.6-fold increase in Caco-2 cells. Further, the chitosan-coated liposomes increased the oral exposure of risedronate by three-fold in rats. Taken together, the results of this study suggest that chitosan-coated liposomes containing risedronate should be effective for improving the bioavailability of risedronate.
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Introduction

Osteoporosis is a progressive bone disease accompanied by porous bones or diminution of bone mass. It is a major public health problem for post-menopausal women, many elderly men, and malnourished children, leading to increased mortality and health costs as well as poor quality of life. Therefore, prevention and treatment of osteoporosis are very important. Bisphosphonates inhibiting osteoclast resorption are widely used in the treatment and prevention of the disease. Bisphosphonates are eliminated mainly by urinary excretion of the unchanged drug, and they have very low oral bioavailability. They also have side effects, including diarrhea, nausea, and vomiting. A particularly serious side effect of oral administration is esophageal inflammation or ulcers. Therefore, poor intestinal absorption and mucosal irritation remain major problems with orally administered bisphosphonates.

Risedronate is a potent pyridinyl bisphosphonate used for the treatment of Paget’s disease, osteoporosis, and other bone disorders. Risedronate can be taken orally, but to avoid potential development of esophageal ulcers, it should be taken while standing upright and followed by a glass of water. Moreover, risedronate is poorly absorbed when taken with food. Thus, it is recommended that food and drink other than water should not be taken for 2 hours before and 30 minutes after a dose.
of risedronate. This dosing regimen is very inconvenient for patients, resulting in poor compliance and suboptimal clinical effectiveness of risedronate. In addition, risedronate belongs to Biopharmaceutics Classification System class III (high solubility/low permeability) and its oral bioavailability is very low (<1%). Therefore, there is a strong need to develop more efficient drug delivery systems for risedronate with less propensity to cause esophageal irritation and better bioavailability.

Recently, Sahana et al reported that risedronate-hydroxyapatite nanoparticles administered via intravenous injection were effective for bone-targeted drug delivery. Cationic liposomes and polylactide-co-glycolic-acid microspheres have also been proposed as novel formulations, and are suitable for systemic delivery of risedronate via the pulmonary route. For oral administration, an adduct of risedronate with titanium dioxide particles and single-unit and multi-unit floating systems of risedronate have been proposed as controlled-release systems to improve the bioavailability of risedronate. Among the various formulation approaches, lipid-based formulations are considered promising delivery systems because of their low melt viscosity, biocompatibility, and biodegradability. Liposomal drug delivery systems also have other favorable properties, including their similarity to the biological membrane, the capacity to encapsulate structurally diverse drugs, relatively low toxicity, and an ability to improve undesirable drug properties (eg, low solubility and poor membrane permeability). Therefore, liposomes have gained a great deal of attention as potential drug delivery systems to maximize drug efficacy. However, the instability of liposomal vesicles in the gastrointestinal tract often limits the application of liposomal drug delivery systems as oral delivery carriers. Because stability can be affected by the surface characteristics of liposomes, coating of the liposomal surface with chitosan may improve the stability of the liposome in the gastrointestinal tract. Furthermore, being a biocompatible, mucoadhesive, and nontoxic polymer, chitosan can have additional benefits, such as a prolonged residence time in the gastrointestinal tract and enhanced membrane permeability.

Therefore, in this study, chitosan-coated liposomes were prepared to improve the bioavailability of orally administered risedronate. Anionic liposomes of risedronate were prepared with 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DSPC)/1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-[phospho-rac-(1-glycerol)] sodium salt (DSPG) and then coated with chitosan. Various in vitro and in vivo characteristics of the obtained liposome were then evaluated.

Materials and methods

Materials

Risedronate sodium was supplied by Hanlim Pharm Co. Ltd. (Seoul, Republic of Korea). DSPC and DSPG were purchased from Avanti (Alabaster, AL, USA). Cholesterol, chitosan, mucin, L-ascorbic acid 2-phosphate sesquimagnesium salt, etidronic acid, and tetrabutylammonium bromide were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). Caco-2 cells were purchased from the Korean Cell Line Bank (Seoul, Republic of Korea). Fetal bovine serum and cell culture media were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA). Solvents of high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany).

Cells

Caco-2 cells were grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, and 1% nonessential amino acids. The cells were grown in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ and 90% relative humidity at 37°C.

Preparation of liposomes

Risedronate liposomes were prepared using the freeze-drying method. DSPC, DSPG, and cholesterol (6:1:2, molar ratio) were dissolved in tert-butyl alcohol and lyophilized overnight. This lyophilized lipid was rehydrated with risedronate solution and stirred for 2 hours at 55°C. After sonication for 80 seconds, the samples were centrifuged at 100,000 × g for one hour. The separated liposomes were then resuspended using 0.9% NaCl solution. The last two steps were repeated twice to remove free risedronate.

To prepare the chitosan-coated liposomes, a 0.1% chitosan solution in acetate buffer (pH 4.4) was prepared and mixed with a four-fold volume of liposome suspension. After stirring for 20 minutes, the chitosan-coated liposomes were harvested from the reaction mixture by centrifugation at 25,000 × g.

Size, charge, and entrapment efficiency

The liposomal formulation was diluted ten-fold in water, and the size and zeta potential were determined using a zetasizer (Nano-ZS90, Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK). To estimate the entrapment efficiency (EE%), free risedronate was separated from the liposome counterpart by centrifugation.
as described above and the supernatant was analyzed by HPLC. EE% was calculated using the following equation:

\[
EE\% = \frac{\text{total drug amount used} - \text{free drug amount}}{\text{total drug amount used}} \times 100
\]

**Stability test**
The stability of the liposomes in simulated gastric fluid and in simulated intestinal fluid was determined at 37°C. The liposomes were incubated in simulated gastric fluid and in simulated intestinal fluid, and samples were collected at predetermined time points. After centrifugation at 25,000× g for 30 minutes, the supernatant was collected and the amount of drug released was measured by HPLC assay. The effect of different temperatures (4°C, 25°C, and 37°C) on the stability of the liposomes was also examined over a 24-hour period. To determine the stability of the formulation during storage, the amount of drug leakage and the size distribution and zeta potential of the liposomes were evaluated over a 3-month period.

**Mucin adsorption study**
The adsorption of mucin (extracted from porcine stomach) on the surface of the liposomes was measured as described by Filipovic-Grcic et al.13 to evaluate the mucoadhesive properties of the liposomes. First, 1 mL of mucin (1 mg/mL) was stirred with one mL of chitosan-coated liposomes (1 mg/mL and 2 mg/mL) for 2 hours at 37°C. The same procedure was performed for the noncoated liposomes. The suspension was then centrifuged at 25,000× g for one hour. The amount of free mucin was determined in order to assess the amount of mucin adsorbed onto the liposomes according to the difference between total and free mucin.

**Uptake study in Caco-2 cells**
Cells were seeded into a six-well plate at a density of 5×10^3 cells per well. Seven days after seeding, the cells were incubated in 25 μg/mL and 100 μg/mL of drug solution (containing untreated drug [no liposome], noncoated liposome, or chitosan-coated liposome). After incubation for 2 hours, the drug solution was removed and the cells were washed three times with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline. After lysis, the cells were harvested and sonicated for 5 minutes. Acetonitrile was added to the cell lysate, which was then vortexed vigorously and centrifuged at 5,000× g. The supernatant was collected and the drug concentration in each sample was determined by HPLC assay.

The amount of protein in each sample was determined by bicinchoninic acid protein assay.

**Pharmacokinetics study**
Male Sprague Dawley rats weighing about 250 g were purchased from Nara Biotech Co (Seoul, Republic of Korea). The rats had free access to a normal standard chow diet (Cargill Agri Purina, Kyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea) and tap water. All animal studies were carried out in accordance with the Society of Toxicology Guiding Principles in the Use of Animals in Toxicology. The animals were fasted for 24 hours prior to the experiment. On the day of the study, the rats were divided into three groups and orally administered each drug solution (untreated drug [no liposome], noncoated liposome, or chitosan-coated liposome) at a dose equivalent to 5 mg/kg of risedronate. After oral administration, urine was collected over 48 hours for specified time periods (hours 0–4, 4–12, 12–24, 24–36, and 36–48), and the urine samples obtained were stored at −80°C until analyzed by HPLC.

**HPLC assay**
**In vitro samples**
Drug concentration was measured using a HPLC assay as reported by Dissette et al.15 The HPLC system (Flexar®, PerkinElmer, MA, USA) consisted of an ultraviolet light detector, an automatic injector, and two solvent delivery pumps. Separation was performed on a column (Gemini 5 μm, C18, 150 mm ×4.6 mm; Phenomenex®, Torrance, CA, USA). The mobile phase consisted of buffer (5 mM of tetrabutylammonium bromide, 11 mM of sodium phosphate, 1 mM of etidronate, and 1.5 mM of EDTA-2 Na) to methanol (88:12, v/v), adjusted to pH 6.75. The flow rate was 1 mL per minute and the ultraviolet light detection wavelength was set at 262 nm.

**Urine samples**
Samples were prepared for the HPLC assay as described elsewhere,26 and stored in a deep freezer at −80°C. Before processing, the samples were thawed at room temperature, vortexed, and centrifuged at 3,000× g for 10 minutes. Next, 10 μL of 1.25 M calcium chloride and 100 μL of internal standard (L-ascorbic acid 2-phosphate sesquisulfate salt) were added to 1 mL of each sample. Addition of 15 μL of 7.5 M NaOH induced formation of a white precipitate. After centrifugation for 30 minutes, 10 μL of 1 M HCl were added to the pellet. Next, 1 mL of water and 10 μL of 7.5 M NaOH were added to produce...
precipitation. After centrifugation for 30 minutes, the collected pellet was dissolved in 0.6 mL of 0.05 M EGTA (adjusted to pH 6.0) and 0.4 mL of water. The samples were sonicated for 5 minutes to dissolve the precipitate, and the drug concentration in each sample was determined by HPLC assay as mentioned above.

Statistical analysis
All the means are presented with their standard deviation. The statistical analysis was conducted using one-way analysis of variance followed by Dunnett’s correction. A \( P \)-value \(<0.05\) was considered to be statistically significant.

Results and discussion
Preparation and in vitro stability of the liposomes
DSPC offers higher vesicle stability and a longer half-life than other phospholipids, so was used in preparation of the liposomes to enhance the stability of the liposomal membrane. DSPG was added to provide a negative charge on the liposomal surface, which prevented aggregation of the liposomes by electrostatic repulsion and allowed subsequent coating of the liposomal surface with a positively charged polymer. In addition, given that the glass transition temperature of DSPC and DSPG is about 55°C, these phospholipids should help to retain the rigidity of the liposomal membrane at body temperature, potentially enhancing the stability of the liposomes. This was supported well by the stability data obtained at the different temperatures. As shown in Figure 1, under all testing conditions, drug release from the noncoated and coated liposomes was negligible.

The size and zeta potential values for the obtained liposomes are summarized in Table 1. Negatively charged liposomes were obtained as small vesicles approximately 200 nm in size with a narrow size distribution and an EE of about 32%. After coating the anionic surface of the liposomes with chitosan, the average size of the positively charged liposomes was 955 nm (Table 1).

For oral application of a liposomal delivery system to enhance the bioavailability of poorly permeable drugs, the liposomes should be stable in the gastrointestinal tract and remain intact. Therefore, the stability of the obtained liposomes was evaluated in both simulated gastric fluid and simulated intestinal fluid. As shown in Figure 2, both the noncoated liposomes and the chitosan-coated liposomes were stable in simulated gastric fluid and simulated intestinal fluid, with drug leakage from the liposome being minimal during the 24-hour incubation period. Considering that orally administered liposomes are often unstable in the gastrointestinal tract and prone to significant drug leakage because of chemical or enzymatic degradation, the results from the simulated gastric fluid and simulated intestinal fluid studies suggest that both the noncoated liposomes and the chitosan-coated liposomes containing risedronate seem to have good gastrointestinal stability and remain intact in the gastrointestinal tract. This finding indicates that it may be advantageous to keep the drug inside the liposome and thereby away from direct

| Formulation       | Size (nm) | PDI     | Zeta potential (mV) |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|---------------------|
| Noncoated liposome| 214±4.77  | 0.18±0.02 | -36.9±3.52          |
| Chitosan-coated liposome | 955±30.3 | 0.20±0.03 | 32.1±3.90           |

Note: Data are shown and the mean ± standard deviation (n=3).

Abbreviations: mM, millimole; nm, nanometer; PDI, polydispersity index.

Figure 1 Effect of temperature on stability of noncoated liposome (A) and coated liposome (B).

Note: Data are shown as the mean ± standard deviation (n=3).
Mucoadhesive liposomal delivery system for risedronate

Positively charged chitosan-coated liposomes can interact with negatively charged mucin secreted from intestinal epithelial cells. Therefore, the mucoadhesiveness of the liposomes was estimated by measuring the amount of mucin adsorbed on the surface of the chitosan-coated liposomes. Percent adsorption was determined using the equation below:

$$\text{Adsorption \%} = \frac{\text{total amount of mucin} - \text{free mucin}}{\text{total amount of mucin}} \times 100$$

Compared with the noncoated liposomes, the amount of mucin adsorbed on the surface of the chitosan-coated liposomes was 5.4–10.7-fold greater, depending on the liposomal concentration (Figure 3). This result may be explained by the strong electrostatic interaction between the positively charged chitosan and the negatively charged mucin, although hydrogen bonding and hydrophobic interactions may also be involved.\(^{39}\) In general, the mucoadhesive behavior of a polymer is dependent on the chemical groups contributing to the interactions between the polymer and mucus. Strong mucoadhesiveness can be obtained by ionic interactions between positively charged groups and the acidic sialic moieties of mucin.\(^{39}\) It can also be obtained when various chemical groups forming the hydrogen bonds with the mucus gel (eg, hydroxyl, amine, sulfate, and carboxyl groups) are present.\(^{39}\) In that sense, the charge and the chemical groups on chitosan are favorable for mucoadhesion. The strong mucoadhesiveness of chitosan-coated liposomes may increase the residence time of risedronate in the gastrointestinal tract, resulting in prolonged drug absorption.

Uptake study in Caco-2 cells

Accumulation of risedronate from the different formulations (untreated drug [no liposome], noncoated liposome, etc.)

Figure 3. Mucin adsorption on the surface of the liposome. *P < 0.05 versus noncoated liposome.

Note: Data are shown as the mean ± standard deviation (n=3).
The major elimination pathway for risedronate is urinary excretion of the unchanged drug. Thus, the effect of the formulation on the bioavailability of risedronate was determined by the amount of drug excreted in urine after oral administration of different formulations of the drug to rats.

As summarized in Table 2 and Figure 5, compared with the conventional powder formulation (untreated drug), the liposomal formulation significantly ($P<0.05$) improved drug absorption in rats. In particular, chitosan-coated liposomes increased the amount of drug excreted in the urine by three-fold in rats compared with the untreated drug, whereas noncoated liposomes increased it by 1.8-fold. This result may be explained by several factors. First, the effect of chitosan on the opening of tight-junction and absorptive endocytosis may contribute, at least in part, to enhanced intestinal absorption of risedronate from the chitosan-coated liposomes. This is also parallel to the observations regarding uptake of Caco-2 cells. Second, as suggested by the mucin adsorption and vitro stability studies, the chitosan-coated layer might prolong drug residence time at the mucosal sites due to its mucoadhesiveness and also increase the gastrointestinal stability of the liposomal membrane, leading to enhanced membrane transport of the hydrophilic drug inside the intact liposome. Taken together, chitosan-coated liposomes appear

### Table 2
Cumulative amount of drug excreted into urine after oral administration of risedronate in the different formulations

| Formulation               | Total amount of drug excreted (µg) |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Chitosan-coated liposome  | $10.2\pm3.0^* \quad (P<0.05)$       |
| Noncoated liposome        | $5.5\pm1.9$                          |
| Untreated drug (no liposome) | $3.4\pm1.4$                      |

Notes: Data are shown as the mean ± standard deviation (n=3). Dose is equivalent to 5 mg/kg of risedronate. $^*P<0.05$ versus control group (no liposome).
to be promising in improving the bioavailability of orally administered risedronate in rats.

Oral risedronate can form an insoluble complex with calcium and magnesium contained in food. Thus, patients should take it on an empty stomach with water and remain upright for at least 30 minutes after taking the drug. Use of chitosan-coated liposomes may prevent the interaction with food because risedronate remains inside the liposomes. Further, chitosan-coated liposomes may reduce esophageal irritation by entrapping the drug inside the liposome, keeping it away from direct contact with the esophagus. From this point of view, chitosan-coated liposomes may also improve patient compliance with orally administered risedronate.

Conclusion
In this study, a mucoadhesive liposomal formulation of risedronate was developed with desirable drug delivery properties. The chitosan-coated liposome had good in vitro stability, strong mucoadhesiveness, and enhanced cellular uptake. It also significantly increased the oral absorption of risedronate in rats. Therefore, the chitosan-coated liposomal formulation appears to have the potential to improve the bioavailability of risedronate.
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