Percutaneous fixation in the treatment of traumatic thoracolumbar fractures: a current view of this minimally invasive surgery

Abstract

Thoracicolumbar fractures are common and can cause serious injury and profound changes in patients' quality of life. Currently there are several treatment options available for thoracolumbar fractures ranging from conservative treatment (in stable fractures) to the various surgical techniques, in unstable fractures. The treatment of unstable traumatic thoracic and lumbar spine fractures remains controversial, not existing in the current literature, enough evidence about the best treatment among the various surgical options available. The Orthopedists must be therefore attentive to this entity, given the increasing trend of such fractures.

This study focused on a minimally invasive surgical procedure currently featured in the treatment of thoracolumbar fractures, percutaneous fixation. This work focused on the literature review of percutaneous fixation applied in the treatment of traumatic thoracolumbar fractures, in order to acquire the latest knowledge in the various dimensions of this technique, particularly, the indications for its implementation, advantages and limitations of its use and evaluation of its efficacy and safety. A search was performed in Pubmed/Medline with the words “thoracolumbar fracture”, “percutaneous fixation”, “minimally invasive spine surgery”, “posterior approach”, “spine surgery”, especially selecting articles published in the last 15 years, including original and review articles, in Portuguese and English languages. Percutaneous fixation constitutes a technically more demanding procedure as a higher learning curve, as well as the need for specific instrumentation and more expensive material, it should be current and necessary to meet the impact or importance of this minimally invasive approach, in the treatment of thoracolumbar fractures.
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Introduction

Thoracolumbar fractures are frequent and can lead to serious injuries and profound changes in patients' quality of life. There are currently several treatment options available for thoracolumbar fractures, ranging from conservative treatment (in stable fractures) to various surgical techniques in unstable fractures. The treatment of unstable traumatic fractures of the thoracic and lumbar spine remains controversial, and there is insufficient evidence in the current literature about the most appropriate treatment among the various surgical options available. Orthopedists should therefore be attentive to this entity, given the increasing trend of this type of fracture.

The present study focused on a minimally invasive surgical modality, currently highlighted in the treatment of thoracolumbar fractures, percutaneous fixation (PF). Literature demonstrates that in this minimally invasive surgery, complications among patients are rare, reporting a few cases of non-healing of the fracture site, wound infection and a misplaced screw. In a study, Chaichana et al. reported that the average surgery time was only 91 minutes, with an average blood loss of 95 milliliters. No patients experienced increased weakness, and the majority had improved pain and kyphosis correction at last follow-up. They showed that this type of surgery can be performed relatively quickly, with minimal blood loss, and with minimal surgical morbidity. This technique is valuable to expeditiously immobilize a thoracolumbar fracture with minimal or no kyphosis and no neurological deficits. Older patients and patients who sustained polytrauma are the patients who may benefit the most from minimally invasive surgery.

The objective of this study was to review the literature about PF in the treatment of traumatic thoracolumbar fractures to acquire the latest knowledge in the various dimensions of this technique, namely with regard to indications for its accomplishment, advantages and limitations of its use and evaluation of their effectiveness and safety. Percutaneous fixation constitutes a technically more demanding procedure as a higher learning curve, as well as the need for specific instrumentation and more expensive material, it should be current and necessary to meet the impact or importance of this minimally invasive approach, in the treatment of thoracolumbar fractures.

Definition, epidemiology, classification and treatment of thoracolumbar fractures

Most spinal fractures occur in the thoracolumbar region, in the transition between the immobile thoracic segment and the more mobile lumbar segment. These fractures often occur because of high energy trauma (road accidents and falls in height), between 20-40 years, and as a result of low energy trauma (falls of the same height) in geriatric patients. It is known that 90% of vertebral fractures occur...
in the thoracolumbar spine and about 60% of these fractures occur between T11-L2. Neurological lesions complicate about 15-20% of this type of fracture.

Several classifications of thoracolumbar fractures are described, with Denis (1984) and Magerl (1994) being the most commonly used classification. The classification of Magerl, adopted by AO-ASIF, is composed of 3 large groups/types (A, B and C), which in turn branch into 9 subtypes, each type referring to the mechanism of trauma/force applied and correlates with the degree of fracture instability/severity (more severe and unstable in type C3 fractures and less severe and more stable in type A1 fractures). There are currently several treatment options available for thoracolumbar fractures, ranging from conservative treatment (in stable fractures) to various surgical techniques in unstable fractures. The factors most valued in the treatment decision include neurological status, spine stability, degree of deformity and presence of associated lesions.

The deterioration of the neurological state in the presence of persistent neural compression and the lesion with complete rupture of the ligament complex associated with dislocation, appearing gross instability, are the absolute indications for the surgical treatment of thoracolumbar fractures. It should be noted that the “TLICS” score (Thoracolumbar Injury Classification and Severity Score) is an objective and simple way of evaluating the most important factors in the choice of treatment, namely the morphology of the lesion, the integrity of the posterior ligament complex and the neurological state of the patient.

The surgical treatment of thoracolumbar fractures is aimed at reducing the vertebral deformity caused by trauma, restoring the anatomy of the vertebral canal (in the presence of spinal compression) and consolidating the fracture through stabilization and adequate osteosynthesis. There is still no consensus regarding the most appropriate treatment of the various traumatic thoracolumbar fractures. Within the surgical techniques, the PF have come to stand out as an alternative to the traditional open approaches in the treatment of this type of fractures.

Epidemiology of the thoracolumbar PF

PF was first described in 1977 by Magerl and later by Dick. Minimally invasive PF surgery has been applied in the treatment of spinal fractures increasingly in the last 10 years. Although primarily aimed at degenerative spine surgery, it was later used in the traumatic, infectious and neoplastic pathology. Traditional surgical techniques may be associated with significant morbidity, and in recent years PF has been presented as a promising alternative.

Indications

There is no consensus about the indications for PF in the treatment of thoracolumbar fractures. However, it is considered that isolated PF, not associated with other techniques, can only be used in type A fractures (compression trauma, A1-impacted, A2-separation and A3-explosion) and type B2 (distraction trauma, with posterior bone injury). In such cases, the fixation provides rapid relief of pain corrects deformity and prevents further damage. However, PF may be associated with other techniques in the treatment of more complex fractures. According to Heintel et al. PF should be preferentially used in the slightly deviated fractures, and its performance in fractures with significant deviation is controversial.

Clinical and radiological results

Wang considered PF as a good therapeutic option in type A thoracolumbar fractures, with significant differences between this technique and traditional open surgery, regarding incision size (Figure 1) blood loss, surgical time, hospitalization period, need for blood transfusion and postoperative analgesia. However, there were no differences between these two techniques regarding the radiological results obtained (Figure 2-6).

Figure 1 Postoperative incisions image after percutaneous fixation.

Grossbach et al. studied 39 patients with thoracolumbar fractures associated with posterior ligament complex injury. These authors observed radiographic improvements in both types of surgery, although they did not find significant differences in ASIA Score or degree of kyphosis. However, they found less surgical time and less hemorrhage in PF. However, Wild did not verify differences between the two surgical techniques in terms of surgical time, time of radiation exposure and loss of fracture reduction.

Zhonghua et al. did not find significant differences between these two types of surgery regarding the duration of surgery, the level of preoperative pain and the degree of pre and postoperative kyphosis angiography. However, they found significant differences in intraoperative blood loss and pain level in the first postoperative week. In this study, no iatrogenic neurological damage was recorded. PF has a clinical efficacy similar to that found in traditional surgery, with the advantage of being less invasive and allowing a faster recovery and associated with a low incidence of chronic low back pain.
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Charles et al. suggested that PF associated with anterior fusion provided good clinical results. Schmidt et al obtained a low complication rate, with reduced surgical time and minimal blood loss, benefiting polytrauma patients and elderly patients with high perioperative risk.

Fourney et al. found no differences in the rate of complications between traditional surgery and minimally invasive surgery. Teyssédou et al. obtained a low rate of complications and radiological results similar to those obtained in other studies.

PF is a relatively safe and effective technique for the treatment of specific types of thoracolumbar fractures, obtaining satisfactory clinical and radiological results with reduced damage to the soft tissues. This technique may be applied in various vertebral pathologies, with or without the use of additional surgical
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Percutaneous fixation (PF) is a minimally invasive surgery that has been shown to be effective in the treatment of traumatic thoracolumbar fractures. This technique involves the insertion of pedicle screws percutaneously, avoiding the need for extensive incision and minimizing the risk of postoperative complications. PF can be considered effective in that it obtains clinical and radiographic results like those obtained by traditional open surgery, but with less soft tissue damage.

In general, the surgical treatment of traumatic thoracolumbar fractures, through PF, is safe and effective, being an added value in the treatment of these fractures in polytraumatized patients and in the elderly.

The literature demonstrates that minimally invasive surgery can be successfully achieved with minimal morbidity in neurologically intact patients with traumatic thoracolumbar fractures. As mentioned, older patients and patients with polytrauma may most benefit from this approach. The results presented by the various papers analyzed and described in the literature highlight the efficacy and safety of PF in the treatment of thoracolumbar fractures. Although the short-term results suggest efficacy and safety similar to those of conventional open surgery (although presenting some advantages in relation to this), it would be pertinent, in future investigations, to recommend further studies in the long term.

Similarly, the degree of satisfaction of the patients submitted to this technique should be evaluated using questionnaires, given the improvement in patients' quality of life, and it is also very important to classify the efficacy of this surgical technique. Further comparative studies between minimally invasive PF and other surgical techniques in the treatment of traumatic thoracolumbar fractures would be relevant in order to define the position of the technique under study in the field of this type of surgery. PF seems, however, an alternative method to conventional surgeries, in the treatment of specific types of thoracolumbar fractures. The technique under study is an attractive therapeutic option and offers encouraging results, although its indications and limitations need to be carefully studied.
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