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Abstract

Introduction: Network theories of psychopathology highlight that, rather than being indicators of a latent disorder, symptoms of disorders can causally interact with one another in a network. This study examined tobacco withdrawal from a network perspective.

Methods: Participants (n = 525, 50.67% female) completed the Minnesota Tobacco Withdrawal Scale four times (2 weeks prior to a target quit day, on the target quit day, and 4 and 8 weeks after the target quit day) over the course of 8 weeks of treatment with nicotine patch and behavioral counseling within a randomized clinical trial testing long-term nicotine patch therapy in treatment-seeking smokers. The conditional dependence among seven withdrawal symptoms was estimated at each of the four measurement occasions. Influential symptoms of withdrawal were identified using centrality indices. Changes in network structure were examined using the Network Comparison Test.

Results: Findings indicated many associations among the individual symptoms of withdrawal. The strongest associations that emerged were between sleep problems and restlessness, and associations among affective symptoms. Restlessness and affective symptoms emerged as the most central symptoms in the withdrawal networks. Minimal differences in the structure of the withdrawal networks emerged across time.

Conclusions: The cooccurrence of withdrawal symptoms may result from interactions among symptoms of withdrawal rather than simply reflecting passive indicators of a latent disorder. Findings encourage greater consideration of individual withdrawal symptoms and their potential interactions and may be used to generate hypotheses that may be tested in future intensive longitudinal studies.

Implications: This study provides a novel, network perspective on tobacco withdrawal. Drawing on network theories of psychopathology, we suggest that the cooccurrence of withdrawal symptoms may result from interactions among symptoms of withdrawal over time, rather than simply reflecting passive indicators of a latent disorder. Results indicating many associations among individual symptoms of withdrawal may be used to guide future research on tobacco withdrawal and related symptoms.
symptoms of withdrawal are consistent with a network perspective. Other results of interest include minimal changes in the network structure of withdrawal across four measurement occasions prior to and during treatment with nicotine patch and behavioral counseling.

Introduction

Cigarette smoking remains a leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide. Upon smoking cessation or reduction, withdrawal symptoms (including anxiety, difficulty concentrating, and restlessness) appear that serve as primary determinants of smoking continuation and reuptake. Despite the availability of interventions to successfully target withdrawal symptoms, quitting smoking is notoriously difficult, with the majority of even the most intensive intervention-guided cessation attempts ending in relapse. Here, we aim to gain novel insights into tobacco withdrawal by conceptualizing withdrawal as a network of interacting symptoms.

Symptoms of withdrawal are traditionally treated as passive indicators of an underlying syndrome. During diagnosis with the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-V), for example, if a patient meets four or more withdrawal symptoms (anger, anxiety, depressed mood, difficulty concentrating, increased appetite, insomnia, and restlessness), then criteria for tobacco withdrawal are met. From this perspective, the indicators of withdrawal (the individual symptoms) are exchangeable with one another such that endorsing any four or more symptoms results in a diagnosis of withdrawal. This approach to withdrawal seems to have some validity. For example, greater withdrawal severity, operationalized by aggregating information on the experiences of individual withdrawal symptoms, is associated with smoking relapse.

A network approach to tobacco withdrawal provides a complementary but alternative way of conceptualizing withdrawal. Network theories of psychopathology highlight the intuitive notion that, rather than being indicators of a latent disorder, symptoms of disorders interact, forming networks of causally connected symptoms. Individual symptoms take on important roles in their own right. From this perspective, tobacco withdrawal would be seen as a network in which the nodes of the network represent symptoms and the edges represent associations among symptoms. In this symptom network, symptoms are associated with one another, not simply because they share the common cause of the latent syndrome of withdrawal, but because they interact with one another over time.

The interaction among symptoms from a network perspective is intuitive and is reflected in empirical research. Studies that capture dense time-series of symptoms as participants go about their daily lives and that allow the examination of moment-to-moment associations among constructs highlight potentially causal interactions among symptoms that fall under the purview of withdrawal. Particularly salient examples include lagged, moment-to-moment associations among depressed mood and anxiety, in which levels of depressed mood at previous timepoints predict levels of anxiety at the next timepoint. Other relevant examples include laboratory studies that have revealed increases in appetite and greater difficulty concentrating following sleep restriction, again indicating causal associations among constructs considered to be withdrawal symptoms.

The network perspective of psychopathology has been applied to a range of psychopathologies to date, including major depressive disorder, schizotypal personality disorder, posttraumatic stress disorder, psychotic disorder, and autism and obsessive compulsive disorder. Notably, the network perspective has been extended to examine associations among symptoms of substance abuse and dependence. Although this particular examination included withdrawal in the network, the study treated withdrawal as a symptom rather than examining individual symptoms of withdrawal, an examination that was beyond the scope of measures included in the study. A number of recent empirical findings support the plausibility and potential utility of a network perspective for understanding tobacco withdrawal. The network perspective emphasis on the importance of considering individual symptoms is in line with findings that different symptoms of withdrawal exhibit different time courses across both short (ie, minutes post-cessation and long (ie, weeks) timescales. Further, smoking cessation treatments have opposing effects on different symptoms of tobacco withdrawal (eg, difficulty concentrating decreases while appetite increases on varenicline). Differences in the time courses and response to treatment of individual withdrawal symptoms suggest that individual symptoms might not be exchangeable with one another, thus necessitating a greater consideration of the individual symptoms that make up the latent construct of tobacco withdrawal.

In addition to findings highlighting the importance of considering individual symptoms, studies provide preliminary support for the network perspective’s proposal that individual withdrawal symptoms interact with one another in a potentially causal manner over time. Recent work in experience sampling, for example, considered the dynamic relations among cessation fatigue, negative affect, nicotine craving, and self-efficacy. Taking a complex systems approach, this work showed that these four constructs changed over time in response to each other during the course of smoking cessation, encouraging further work in the analysis of the network structure of tobacco withdrawal.

To examine the potential utility of a network perspective on tobacco withdrawal, we use network modeling techniques to estimate the network structure of tobacco withdrawal symptoms in a sample of tobacco smokers receiving treatment with a nicotine patch and behavioral counseling. We provide novel insights into how individual withdrawal symptoms relate to one another and generate hypotheses about the causal interactions among individual symptoms that may be tested in future work using the repeated measurement of individual withdrawal symptoms over short periods of time. Using data from four timepoints during a clinical trial, we also provide insight into the stability of the network structure of tobacco withdrawal over the course of several weeks in the context of changes in tobacco use.

Method

We made use of data from a randomized clinical trial (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01047527) designed to provide insight into the therapeutic benefit of long-term nicotine patch therapy in treatment-seeking smokers.

Participants

Participants (n = 525; 50.67% female; Supplementary Table 1) were recruited from June 22, 2009, to April 15, 2014. Participants were
eligible if they met three criteria: (1) were 18 years of age or older, 
(2) smoked at least 10 cigarettes per day, and (3) were interested in 
smoking cessation. Exclusion criteria included the following: 
(1) experiencing a current medical problem for which transdermal 
nicotine therapy is contraindicated (eg, latex allergy), (2) had a life-
time DSM (Fourth Edition) diagnosis of psychotic or bipolar dis-
order, (3) had current suicidality identified by the Mini-International 
Neuropsychiatric Interview34,35, and (4) were unable to communicate 
in English. Women were excluded if they were pregnant, planning 
a pregnancy, or lactating. Written informed consent was obtained 
from all study participants. To control for variability attributable to 
treatment duration, the present analyses included only data from the 
first 12 weeks of the study during which time all participants were 
given open-label transdermal nicotine.

Procedures

After an in-person visit to confirm eligibility, participants were ran-
domized to 8, 24, or 52 weeks of therapy consisting of transder-
mal nicotine patches delivering a dose of 21 mg (Nicoderm CQ; 
GlaxoSmithKline). All participants received behavioral smoking 
cessation counseling consistent with guidelines from the US Public 
Health Service.33 During the first 8 weeks of this trial, participants 
underwent an in-person prequit counseling at baseline (week 2), 
which focused on preparing for cessation, and then set a smoking 
cessation date for week 0, at which time they were instructed to start 
using the patch. At weeks 4 and 8, participants received telephone 
counseling that focused on managing urges and triggers to smoking 
and developing strategies to avoid relapse. Assessments (eg, of with-
drawal) were conducted at the prequit session (week 2) and at weeks 
0, 4, and 8 by telephone.

Measures

At the prequit session, participants completed self-report measures 
of demographic (eg, age, race, sex) and smoking-related (cigarettes 
per day, the Fagerström Test for Cigarette Dependence score36) 
variables. To capture tobacco withdrawal, we used the Minnesota 
Tobacco Withdrawal Scale (MTWS37) at the prequit, 0-, 4-, and 
8-week assessments. The MTWS is a 15-item scale. The seven items 
of the scale that reflect the DSM-V criteria for tobacco withdrawal 
were anger, anxious or nervous, depressed mood, difficulty concen-
trating, increased appetite, insomnia, and restlessness. The seven 
items were measured on an ordinal scale: 0, none; 1, slight; 2, mild; 
3, moderate; 4, severe.

Data Analysis

Data analysis scripts and zero-order polychoric correlations among 
the MTWS items at each occasion are available as Supplementary 
Material. To examine the network structure of tobacco withdrawal 
symptoms, we analyzed complete data available from the MTWS 
at the prequit (n = 523), 0-week (n = 496), 4-week (n = 457), and 
8-week (n = 426) assessments. At these assessments, all participants 
were undergoing the same procedures and, thus, data from the treat-
ment groups could be combined to reach sample sizes appropriate 
for the network analysis undertaken in this study. For each assess-
ment, we estimated a tobacco withdrawal network using a Gaussian 
graphical model38,39. In this model, nodes represent individual symp-
toms of tobacco withdrawal. Nodes are connected by undirected 
edges indicating conditional dependence between two symptoms. 
The input to the Gaussian graphical model was a covariance matrix. 
Because the data were ordinal, we used polychoric correlations. 
The use of the Gaussian graphical model entails the estimation of 
many parameters. To avoid obtaining false-positive associations 
among symptoms, we used a regularization approach—the graph-
ical least absolute shrinkage and selection operator—to shrink all 
edge weights, setting many to zero.40 This approach mitigates the 
problem of estimating spurious associations and results in a sparse 
network structure.

To assess the accuracy of the network estimation, we tested the 
edge-weight accuracy. Edge-weight accuracy was estimated using 
nonparametric bootstrapping with 1000 samples using the R pack-
age bootnet. The edge-weight bootstrapped confidence intervals 
should not be interpreted as significance tests to zero in the context 
of the regularization approach. The least absolute shrinkage and 
selection operator regularization approach is sufficiently conserva-
tive for determining whether an edge is strong enough to be included 
in the network.39 Instead, the confidence intervals provide insight 
into the accuracy of edge-weight estimates and may be used to com-
pare edges to one another by examining the overlap of estimated 
confidence intervals. After obtaining these estimates, we tested for 
differences in edge weights using a bootstrap edge difference test 
with 1000 bootstrap samples.39

To provide additional insight into the network structure of 
tobacco withdrawal, we estimated centrality indices. Nodes with 
high centrality have strong connections to many other nodes and 
connect otherwise disparate nodes to one another. As such, they 
are theorized to be particularly influential in the development and 
maintenance of mental disorders.12,21 Three centrality indices we 
examined were node strength, closeness centrality, and betweenness 
centrality. Node strength quantifies the extent to which a node is 
directly connected to other nodes. Closeness centrality quantifies 
the extent to which a node is indirectly connected to other nodes. 
Betweenness centrality quantifies the extent to which a node lies on 
shortest topological paths between other nodes.

We investigated the stability of the order of centrality indices 
based on subsets of the data. This approach indicates the extent to 
which the order of centrality indices remains the same after reesti-
mating the network with fewer cases (ie, an m out of n bootstrap41 
with 1000 samples). By examining the extent to which the correla-
tion changes after dropping cases, we can achieve insight into the 
extent to which interpretations of centrality indices may be prone 
to error. In addition, a correlation stability coefficient was estimated. 
This coefficient represents the maximum proportion of cases that 
can be dropped such that, with 95% probability, the correlation 
between the original centrality indices and centrality networks based 
on subsets of the data is 0.7 or higher. The value of 0.7 is a default 
value chosen as it indicates a large effect. Guidelines for correlation 
stability coefficients that are sufficiently large for centrality indices 
to be interpretable suggest values greater than 0.25 and, preferably, 
greater than 0.50.42 We then tested for differences in node centrality 
using a centrality bootstrapped difference test with 1000 bootstrap 
samples.41

To investigate differences in the structure of the tobacco with-
drawal network across the four assessment periods, we used a per-
mutation-based hypothesis test named the Network Comparison 
Test44 using 1000 iterations. We tested for differences in network 
structure and global strength between all possible pairs of meas-
urement occasions. The network structure invariance test examines 
whether the network structure is indistinguishable across meas-
urement occasions. The global strength invariance test examines
whether the overall level of connectivity is indistinguishable across measurement occasions. We repeated these tests using only participants with data for all four of the assessment occasions (n = 403).

We conducted a number of statistical analyses to determine if missing data patterns were associated with any of the demographic variables of interest. Independent sample t tests revealed that participants with missing data were more likely to be younger than participants with complete data, t(178.53) = −3.51, p = .001, and more likely to have a shorter duration of smoking in years, t(190.13) = −3.49, p = .001, but did not differ on their Fagerstrom Test for Nicotine Dependence score, age of smoking initiation, or average cigarettes smoked per day (all p > .05). Chi-square tests indicated that participants with missing data were more likely to be white than participants with complete data, χ2(1) = 5.68, p = .02, but did not differ in gender, marital status, sexual orientation, education level, income, current or past depression, or current substance dependence or abuse (all p > .05).

Results

The estimated networks of the associations among tobacco withdrawal symptoms at each of the four assessments are shown in Figure 1 (see Supplementary Tables 2–5 for the adjacency matrices). At each assessment, symptoms of tobacco withdrawal were highly interconnected. Of 21 potential edges, the number of nonzero edges ranged from 15 to 18 across all four networks. Most edges were positive (depicted in blue), indicating that when participants experienced high levels of a symptom they were likely to show high values of another symptom. Two negative edges (depicted in red) were observed in the week 4 symptom networks only, indicating that participants with greater sleep problems experienced less anxiety and that participants with increased appetites had less difficulty concentrating at this assessment. The edge between sleep problems and anxiety was estimated as zero across all four assessments.

To determine the specificity of our findings, we investigated the accuracy of the estimated edge weights in the tobacco withdrawal network. Edge-weight bootstrapped confidence intervals are presented in Supplementary Figure 1. The edge-weight bootstrap revealed that the networks were moderately accurately estimated. There is considerable overlap among the 95% confidence intervals of the edge weights. As such, interpreting the order of edges in the network should be done cautiously. Results of the edge-weight bootstrapped difference test (Supplementary Figure 2) indicated that the edge between restless and sleep problems was particularly strong, significantly stronger than all other edges at the prequit, week 4, and week 8 assessments, and stronger than all but one edge (the anger-depressed mood edge) at the week 0 assessment. Associations among the affective symptoms (ie, anger, anxiety, and depressed mood) also tended to be high.

To identify tobacco withdrawal symptoms that might be particularly influential within each network, we computed centrality indices. The betweenness centrality, closeness centrality, and strength of each symptom at each assessment are displayed in Supplementary Figure 3. We investigated the stability of the centrality indices using an m out of n bootstrap. The results are shown in Supplementary Figure 4. Correlation stability coefficients indicate that the proportion of cases that could be dropped from the sample while retaining a 95% probability of obtaining a correlation of 0.7 or higher between the original centrality estimates and the centrality estimates from a subsample were 0.05 for betweenness centrality, 0.21 for closeness centrality, and 0.67 for strength for the prequit assessment; 0.00 for betweenness centrality, 0.36 for closeness centrality, and 0.52 for strength for the week 0 assessment; 0.21 for betweenness centrality, 0.52 for closeness centrality, and 0.67 for strength for the week 4 assessment; and 0.00 for betweenness centrality, 0.36 for closeness centrality, and 0.52 for strength for the week 8 assessment. Thus, stability of the centrality indices was least stable for betweenness centrality and most stable for strength. The correlation stability coefficient for betweenness centrality did not meet the recommended value of 0.25 or greater at any assessment. The correlation stability coefficient for closeness centrality was acceptable at three of the four waves. Only the correlation stability coefficient for strength centrality was acceptable at each wave, exhibiting values greater than the more conservative cutoff of 0.50.

Given the acceptable correlation stability coefficients for strength centrality, we performed centrality bootstrapped difference tests on strength centrality only (Supplementary Figure 5). Restlessness emerged as having particularly high strength centrality, especially in prequit, week 0, and week 4 assessments. Affective symptoms (particularly depressed mood and anxiety) also exhibited high strength centrality values. Increased appetite was consistently estimated as the least central symptom.

Figure 1. The network structure of the seven tobacco withdrawal symptoms at each assessment. Blue edges represent positive associations among symptoms. Red edges represent negative associations. The network structure is estimated using a Gaussian graphical model. Each edge represents partial correlation coefficients between two variables after conditioning on all other variables. The size and transparency of the edges reflect the magnitude of the association between two symptoms, with the thickest edge set to a maximum of 0.65 across all four networks to facilitate comparisons across measurement occasions. Nodes were placed in a circular layout in order to facilitate visual comparison across assessments.
To examine differences in networks across the assessment periods, we conducted pairwise Network Comparison Tests of network structure and global connectivity invariance. Tests of network structure invariance and global strength invariance indicated no significant differences in network structure or global strength (all p values > .05; Supplementary Figures 6–9). This result suggests that the structure of tobacco withdrawal networks is relatively stable prior to and during nicotine patch therapy.

Discussion

The majority of even the most intensive intervention-guided cessation attempts end in relapse, necessitating novel approaches to understanding the barriers to smoking cessation. The present study applied a network perspective to tobacco withdrawal in which the associations among individual symptoms of withdrawal were of interest rather than being treated as indicators of a latent tobacco withdrawal syndrome. Consistent with the notion of tobacco withdrawal as a network of interdependent symptoms, findings indicated many associations among the individual symptoms of withdrawal in the context of treatment involving nicotine patch and behavioral counseling.

Of 21 potential symptom associations at each assessment, between 15 and 18 associations emerged in the graphical model. The strongest association was between sleep problems and restlessness, and associations among affective symptoms. These associations provide insight into symptoms that cooccur within participants. Participants experiencing sleep problems were likely to be experiencing restlessness, and participants experiencing depressed mood were likely to be experiencing anxiety. We also interpret the edges in the withdrawal symptom networks as providing insight into putative causal associations. For example, we hypothesize that the association among anxiety and depressed mood indicates potential causal pathways from anxiety to depressed mood, depressed mood to anxiety, or both. Such hypotheses are consistent with broader theories of the dynamic associations among emotions and empirical research indicating the presence of such dynamics beyond the tobacco withdrawal literature.

Our examination of the centrality of the estimated withdrawal networks allowed identification of symptoms that might be particularly influential on the experience of withdrawal. Symptoms with high centrality are thought to play a role in triggering the development of other symptoms because of their associations with many other symptoms. Betweenness centrality and closeness centrality did not reach acceptable levels of stability. As such, we focused on strength centrality. Restlessness and affective symptoms, particularly depressed mood and anxiety, emerged as the symptoms with the highest strength centrality in the withdrawal networks and, thus, might make particularly useful clinical targets, although the role for central symptoms as promising clinical targets remains controversial.

The availability of data at four assessment timepoints allowed an examination of the stability of withdrawal networks across changes in tobacco use in the context of nicotine patch and behavioral counseling treatments. Minimal differences in the edge weights of the four networks emerged. Future work considering withdrawal networks during smoking cessation attempts in the absence of nicotine patch and behavioral counseling will allow an examination of the extent to which the treatment that participants underwent during a cessation attempt was implicated in network stability. An alternative possibility is that the network structure of withdrawal is stable across levels of smoking satiety and that it is the levels of symptoms that change along with levels of satiety. Stability in network structure in the context of marked changes in psychopathology severity has been observed in the context of major depressive disorder and reminds us that, although the network approach provides insight into the covariance of symptoms, little information about symptom levels is captured in this approach. We anticipate that future efforts capturing both covariance among symptoms as well as symptom levels within an annotated graph structure will overcome this current limitation of symptom networks.

To date, withdrawal symptoms have been treated as passive indicators of an underlying syndrome. From this perspective, the cooccurrence of symptoms within individuals results from an unobserved, latent entity. The alternative and complementary perspective presented here suggests that the cooccurrence of symptoms may result from interactions among individual symptoms of withdrawal. Both perspectives provide useful descriptions of observed data yet the substantive implications of a latent variable versus a network perspective differ drastically. Chiefly, from the network perspective, treatment might focus on minimizing the connections between individual symptoms across time because the interplay between symptoms, an aspect not captured in formative models of withdrawal, may impact the emergence and maintenance of withdrawal. The presence of strong connections between symptoms across time increases the ease with which activity associated with individual symptoms propagates through a symptom network, potentially resulting in a self-perpetuating network of interdependent states. Indeed, patients with densely interconnected symptom networks in domains outside tobacco withdrawal show greater vulnerability to developing psychopathology, are more likely to be experiencing more severe symptoms of psychopathology, and are more likely to be in the process of transitioning to a psychopathological state relative to participants with less dense symptom networks. The findings presented here suggest the promise of a network perspective of tobacco withdrawal that places an emphasis on symptom interactions, and of future studies using intensive repeated measures designs to capture the interactions among individual symptoms on short timescales.

Limitations and Future Directions

The findings should be interpreted in light of a number of limitations. Participants were enrolled in a smoking cessation trial involving nicotine patch and the resulting network structure may not generalize beyond the current sample and design, necessitating the analysis of data from more representative samples of tobacco smokers undergoing different withdrawal experiences. However, as the data were from an effectiveness trial with limited inclusion and exclusion criteria, the results have fairly broad generalizability to the population of smokers interested in quitting smoking. Although the networks estimated represent putative causal associations among withdrawal symptoms, strong conclusions about the dynamic nature of the associations among individual symptoms may not be drawn until repeated measures approaches are used that can more fully articulate within-person processes. Finally, the Network Comparison Test that we used to examine potential differences in edge weights across the networks estimated at each assessment is suited for Gaussian and binary data. As our data were ordinal, the results from this test should be interpreted with caution. However, Spearman correlations of the edge lists on networks estimated using Pearson and polychoric correlations were highly similar at all four occasions (all r values > .96; see also Forbes et al.).
Conclusions
This study is the first to our knowledge to examine the network structure of tobacco withdrawal symptoms. Findings are consistent with the concept of tobacco withdrawal as a complex network of cognition, affect, and behavior. Particularly strong associations emerged between sleep problems and restlessness and among affective symptoms (anger, anxiety, and depressed mood). Restlessness and affective symptoms (in particular depressed mood and anxiety) were especially central to the network architecture. The findings encourage greater consideration of individual symptoms and their potential interaction and can be used to generate hypotheses about the associations among symptoms over time that may be tested in repeated measures designs.

Supplementary Material
Supplementary data are available at Nicotine and Tobacco Research online.
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