An Enhanced-Received Signal Strength Technique for Estimating Mobile Station Position in Wireless Sensor Networks
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ABSTRACT
Techniques to track an object from a remote location given certain information have been required for several hundred years. Existing outdoor techniques to locate a Mobile Station (MS) within a cellular network require optimization both in terms of accuracy and latency. In this paper, an enhanced Mobile Station Positioning (MSP) model for Wireless Sensor Networks was developed and its performance was appraised using accuracy and latency metrics in line with Received Signal Strength (RSS) procedure. This model used the strength of the signal received at four Base Stations (BS) positioned within the neighborhood of the MS to locate the MS. The mathematical model was formulated using circles equation and Taylor’s series expansion. The estimated position of the MS was calculated using Linear Least Square (LLS) solution iteratively. The result showed that the model located the MS within error distances of 199m for 67% and 339m for 95% of the time it was deployed. This result outperformed the RSS technique using three BS which located the MS within 256m at 67% deployment and 368m at 95% of the time the model was used. This gave an approximate 15% improvement in accuracy. Simulation results also revealed that the latency experienced when the BSs were increased from three to four increased by 13.65% (0.024 seconds). It can be concluded that increasing the number of BSs from three to four gave a significant better accuracy in locating a MS within the BSs.
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1. INTRODUCTION
In the last few decades, wireless networks have been a key part of digital communication and indeed a revolutionary pattern shift that enabled multimedia communications between persons and devices from any location. Cellular communication systems are a type of mobile wireless communication system accomplished using Global System for Mobile communications (GSM) devices which can either reside in a specific network area (Cell) or roam from one cell to another during communication. One of the major issues confronting GSM today is finding the accurate position of a Mobile Station (MS) or GSM device within a GSM network. Any technique deployed to find the exact or approximate location of an MS within a network is known as Mobile Station Positioning (MSP) technique.

Schemes to locate a remote object with respect to a known location have been needed for several hundred years. According to [1], these methods find useful applications in surveying of roads, ranging for arms, and in making maps. In the last couple of years however, the number of MS users have constantly been on a dramatic increase. This has also put the number of applications that require location information in a speedy growth and MSP has become an interestingly popular research area [2].

In the world of mobile computing however, the object to be traced is usually the MS. A key drive for the development of MSP techniques to support Location Based Services (LBS) in cellular networks was the need to trace the source of Emergency calls (E-911) made from MS [3]. Presently this initiative has exceeded E-911 scope and is now being applied in location sensitive billing, marketing, location of nodes in a distributed sensor network and users in a social networking application, intelligent traffic system, weather applications just to mention a few.

There are many existing single techniques that have been proposed to estimate location of MS. Examples include Global Positioning System (GPS), Angle of Arrival (AOA), Received Signal Strength (RSS), Time of Arrival (TOA), Time Difference of Arrival (TDOA), Signal Attenuation Difference of Arrival (SADOA) and Cell Identification methods (Cell-ID). Other hybrid techniques like RSS/TDOA, TOA/AOA, AOA/TDOA, and SADOA/TDOA exist. They combine their strength to outperform the individual techniques that make up the hybrid. Since this is the case, it is only logical that a hybrid technique would deliver a better result if its constituent technique is enhanced to give a better result. Consequently, the authors are motivated to enhance the RSS in this study.

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) of the United States of America which is the body that regulates telecom released its accuracy standard in 2001 compelling all MSP service providers to comply with. The FCC accuracy requirement states that 67% of readings produced by any given MSP technique should be within 100 meters of the MS and 95% of the readings within 300 meters of the MS [4], [2]. The aim of every MSP technique therefore is to meet the FCC accuracy requirement without necessarily consuming too much infrastructure or network resources in the process. The problem lies in the fact that the accuracy of the existing (Network-based) outdoor positioning methods is insufficient as they have not met the accuracy requirement of the FCC especially under the Non Line of Sight (NLOS) environments.
The RSS technique has the advantage of simplicity of calculation (in terms of algorithm) and is cheaper to implement (it does not require extra hardware to be installed) but not preferred choice in terms of accuracy due to attenuation suffered by signals as they travel from MS to BS and vice versa. This study proposes an enhanced RSS model to locate a MS within a Wireless Sensor Network by improving on the work done by [2] who used three BS. This research made use of measurements from four BSs to improve accuracy of the previous technique. Each RSS reading produces a circle on which the MS may be found. This increment in BSs would definitely lead to complexity in algorithm and latency issues. This paper further investigated the latency caused by the additional BS to see if the solution is viable. The rest of the paper is as follows. Section 2 discusses some key related works by other authors, in section 3, the RSS mathematical model is derived, the methodology for the model is described in detail, and the Algorithm is shown. In section 4, the accuracy and latency results are presented and discussed. Based on these results, conclusions are made in 5. The paper closes by suggesting future works in section 6.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Tracking the location of objects started with the unification of the GPS technology with mobile internet. This made outdoor positioning realize great success in map navigation, people location, object tracking, etc. However, GPS technology meets its frustration in indoor applications because of the great attenuation of the satellite signal and multipath effect caused by the obstruction from buildings and the complex indoor environment [5].

There are two different approaches in position estimation techniques as reported in [6]: single positioning techniques and hybrid techniques. The single techniques include AOA, TDOA, TOA, and RSS just to mention a few. The hybrid techniques for position estimation includes TOA/RSS, TDOA/RSS, TDOA/TOA, and AOA/TOA among many others. Literature proves that hybrid techniques tend to outperform single schemes. [7], offered a new hybrid technique to locate the MS in urban area using the received signal strength to estimate the time delay and match it with the measured signal time of arrival. Their hybrid technique increased the accuracy and reduced the multi-path signaling influence which affect both TOA and RSS techniques. A new calculation method containing three distance equations was presented to decide the location of MS based on the BS coordinates. This distance equation was used in this work (to improve on RSS). [8] Proposed a novel indoor localization scheme based on curve fitting and location search. They got 20% improvement in accuracy of RSS compared with the classical fingerprinting and lateration-based algorithms. [9], made use of the multi-path signaling effect to improve the accuracy of the distance between the MS and the BS. A new path loss formula for computing the distance between the MS and a BS was also presented.

[2], proposed a RSS/TDOA location method using three BSs. Based on their model a RSS measurement, which is the same as the strength of a received BS signal is used to calculate the distance between the MS and BSs. The RSS technique estimates this distance based on the relationship between the signal level and the travelled distance. RSS technique even though it is easy and low-cost technique to implement, its accuracy is not good enough due to the complex transmission equipment. Hence the need for more efforts to improve on RSS. According to [2], and [6] increasing the number of BSs would be even more beneficial in terms of accuracy.

3. RECEIVED SIGNAL STRENGTH

3.1 Mathematical Model

In the nonexistence of measurement error, the RSS or received power at the BSs, denoted by \( P_i \), can be demonstrated as in [10]

\[ P_i^{\text{R}} = K_i^i \frac{P_i}{d_i^a}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N \]  

(1)

where \( P_i \) is the transmitted power, \( K_i \) is a constraint that accounts for all factors which affect the received power, including the antenna height and antenna gain, and \( a \) is the propagation constant. In free space; \( a = 2 \), but in some suburban and urban places, a can differ from 3 to 6, for simulations purpose, take \( a = 4 \).

The model in Figure 1 is deployed and the initial guess \((x_0, y_0)\) is taken as the center of the cell (polygon) which hosts the BS nearest to the MS.

In the occurrence of measurement error however, the measurement error term \( n_i \) is added. Hence, (1) can be modeled as

\[ P_i^{\text{R}} = K_i \frac{P_i}{d_i^a} + n_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N \]  

(2)

From (2), the range measurements based on the RSS data with the use of the known \([P_i^{\text{R}}]\) and \([K_i]\), denoted by \([D_i]\), are determined as

\[ D_i = \frac{K_i}{n_i} P_i^{\text{R}} + n_i \]

(3)

For \( a = 4 \), then

\[ D_i = [(x - x_i)^2 + (y - y_i)^2]^{1/2} + n_i \]

(4)

With measurement errors, the LOP derived from linearizing (4) using Taylor series expansion about the point \((x_0', y_0')\) has the form

\[ D_i = [(x - x_i)^2 + (y - y_i)^2]^{1/2} + n_i \]

(5)

\[ D_i - n_i = [(x - x_i)^2 + (y - y_i)^2]^{1/2} \]

(6)

This yield;

\[ (D_i - n_i)^{1/2} = (x - x_i)^2 + (y - y_i)^2, \]

(7)

Set

\[ G(x, y) = (x - x_i)^2 + (y - y_i)^2 - (D_i - n_i)^2 = 0 \]

(8)

Taylor series expansion of \( G(x, y) \) about the point \((x_0', y_0')\)
is given by
\[ G(x, y) = M(x_0, y_0) + \frac{\partial M(x,y)}{\partial x} l_{x=x_0} (x - x_0) + \frac{\partial M(x,y)}{\partial y} l_{y=y_0} (y - y_0) + \text{Higher Terms} \]  

(9)

Hence;
\[ G(x_0, y_0) = (x_0 - x_i)^2 + (y_0 - y_i)^2 - (D_i - n_i)^{1/2} \]
\[ \frac{\partial G(x,y)}{\partial x} l_{x=x_0} (x - x_0) = 2(x_0 - x_i)(x - x_0) \]
\[ \frac{\partial G(x,y)}{\partial y} l_{y=y_0} (y - y_0) = 2(y_0 - y_i)(y - y_0) \]

This yield;
\[ G(x, y) = (x_0 - x_i)^2 + (y_0 - y_i)^2 - (D_i - n_i)^{1/2} + 2(x_0 - x_i)(x - x_0) + 2(y_0 - y_i)(y - y_0) = 0 \]  

(10)

where:
\[ n_{xi} = (x_0 - x_i) \]
\[ n_{yi} = (y_0 - y_i) \]
\[ g_i = (x_0 - x_i)^2 + (y_0 - y_i)^2 \]

Reorganizing (10) produces;
\[ n_{xi} x + n_{yi} y = \frac{1}{2} (D_i - n_i)^{1/2} - g_i + 2n_{xi} x_0 + 2n_{yi} y_0 \]  

(11)

Express the set of linear equations in matrix form,
\[ \tilde{X}_{MS} = B \]  

(12)

where
\[ A = \begin{bmatrix} n_{x1} & n_{y1} \\ n_{x2} & n_{y2} \\ n_{x3} & n_{y3} \\ n_{x4} & n_{y4} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{X}_{MS} = [X \ Y] \]
\[ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} (D_1 - n_1)^{1/2} - g_1 + 2n_{x1} x_0 + 2n_{y1} y_0 \\ \frac{1}{2} (D_2 - n_2)^{1/2} - g_2 + 2n_{x2} x_0 + 2n_{y2} y_0 \\ \frac{1}{2} (D_3 - n_3)^{1/2} - g_3 + 2n_{x3} x_0 + 2n_{y3} y_0 \\ \frac{1}{2} (D_4 - n_4)^{1/2} - g_4 + 2n_{x4} x_0 + 2n_{y4} y_0 \end{bmatrix} = B \]

The LLS result is given by
\[ \tilde{X}_{MS} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T B \]  

(13)

3.2 Methodology

Matlab 2015a simulation tool was used for the simulation. Figure 1 shows that areas on the Cartesian plane were divided into hexagonal cells. Four arbitrary points \((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3)\) and \((x_4, y_4)\) were chosen to represent the various BS coordinates, BS1, BS2, BS3 and BS4. The MS was placed in a known initial position \((x_0, y_0)\) between the 4 BSs. The exact distances from the MS position to the various BS was also calculated and are represented as D1, D2, D3 and D4 respectively. A zero mean Gaussian random variable is generated as the noise value (in decibel per meter) and subtracted from the value of the signal strength received at each BS. This noise value further fluctuates the distance calculated between the MS and each BS coordinate. This would yield new distance values D11, D22, D33 and D44. This distance values were then used as radii to produce circles each having its center at the corresponding BS. The point of intersection of these circles \((x_0, y_0)\) gives the initial estimate for the MS position. This initial distance estimates were used to form a set linear equations (using the equation of a circle). Taylor’s series expansion was applied on these distance equations (this is usually a first-level refinement toward a credible location estimate). The resulting set of linear equations yielded matrices and the LLS solution was used to solve the matrices iteratively to further enhance the solution. The iteration ends when further iteration would yield no significant improvement in accuracy. To evaluate the performance of the proposed technique, as illustrated in Figure 1. The received signal at each BS based on the generated noise was used for the MS location calculation. The location performance was assessed in terms of the value of the distance error (Root Mean Square Error) defined by:
\[ E_d = \sqrt{\left( x_{MS} - \tilde{x}_{MS} \right)^2 + \left( y_{MS} - \tilde{y}_{MS} \right)^2} \]  

(14)

where \((x_{MS}, y_{MS})\) and \((\tilde{x}_{MS}, \tilde{y}_{MS})\) are the actual and estimated MS locations, respectively [2]. After several free runs of RSS location estimation for random noise values between MS and BS, results are presented in section 4.
3.3 Algorithm of the System

The algorithm of the RSS model is given in this section. Some assumptions were made as stated.

3.3.1 Overall Algorithm of the System

**PRO:** \(x_n, y_n\) are the coordinates of the \(n\)th BS. The mobile equipment to be located is assumed to be a Mobile Phone object (MS) with the following properties. MS identity (imsi), Serving BS of the MS (sbs), a list of neighboring BSs (nbs\(_{i,j}\)) where \(1 \leq i \leq 6\) and \(1 \leq j \leq 2\), a list of neighboring sorted BSs (nsbs\(_{i,j}\)) where \(1 \leq i \leq 4\) and \(1 \leq j \leq 2\). The BSs have the following nested properties: signal strength, Base Station Code (cid), latitude (x), longitude (y).

**POST:** This algorithm calculates the location of a Mobile Station Xms using the parameters in PRO and the formula in equation (12).

**Step 1:** Start

**Step 2:** Identify the calling Mobile Station Object (MS)

**Step 3:** Get MS.sbs

**Step 4:** Set \(i = 1\)

**Step 5:** Repeat Step 6 to 8 while \(i \leq 6\)

**Step 6:** set nbs\(_{i,1}\) = getSignalStrengthDBM(MS.getNextnbs());

**Step 7:** set nbs\(_{i,2}\) = getCID(MS.getNextnbs());

**Step 8:** set \(i = i + 1\)

**Step 9:** sort nbs with the bubble sort algorithm (descending order) in 3.3.2

**Step 10:** nsbs\(_{1,1}\) = getSignalStrengthDBM(MS.sbs);

**Step 11:** nsbs\(_{1,2}\) = getCID(MS.sbs);

**Step 12:** set \(i\) to 2

**Step 13:** Repeat step 14 to 16 while \(i < 4\)

**Step 14:** set nsbs\(_{i,1}\) = get nbs\(_{i-1,1}\)

**Step 15:** set nsbs\(_{i,2}\) = get nbs\(_{i-1,2}\)

**Step 16:** set \(i = i + 1\)

**Step 17:** feed the content of nsbs into equation 12 to produce Xms

**Step 18:** Exit

3.3.2 Bubble Sort Algorithm (Descending Order)

**PRO:** Assume list is an unsorted array of \(n\) elements.

**POST:** This algorithm returns the sorted version of list in descending order.

**Step 1:** Start

**Step 2:** for all elements of list Repeat step 3 to 6

**Step 3:** if list\(_{i}\) < list\(_{i+1}\) Repeat step 4 to 6

**Step 4:** temp = list\(_{i}\);

**Step 5:** list\(_{i}\) = list\(_{i+1}\);

**Step 6:** list\(_{i+1}\) = temp;

**Step 7:** Exit

Fig 1: Simulation of the system
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Simulation Data

The various $E_d$ calculated for each iteration was expressed as a percentage of the Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF) and labelled as Location Distance. The result is presented in Table 1 and Table 2 below.

Table 1: RSS 3 BS data set

| Location Distance | CDF (%) |
|-------------------|---------|
| 87.2              | 0.664286|
| 87.8              | 1.339286|
| 88.1              | 2.019643|
| 90.7              | 2.746429|
| 103.1             | 3.694643|
| 103.2             | 4.644643|
| 108.5             | 5.689286|
| 110.5             | 6.769643|
| 114.1             | 7.914286|
| 118.1             | 9.130357|
| 119.3             | 10.36786|
| 121.5             | 11.644643|
| 125.4             | 12.991071|
| 148.0             | 14.741071|
| 148.2             | 16.494643|
| 148.7             | 18.257143|
| 158.6             | 20.196429|
| 175.5             | 22.437500|
| 178.3             | 24.728571|
| 186.1             | 27.158929|
| 190.7             | 29.671429|
| 192.5             | 32.216071|
| 203.2             | 34.951786|
| 204.4             | 37.708929|
| 206.7             | 40.507143|
| 220.0             | 43.542857|
| 243.8             | 47.003571|
| 247.7             | 50.533929|
| 250.2             | 54.108929|
| 250.3             | 57.685714|
| 250.4             | 61.264286|
| 256.9             | 64.958929|
| 257.2             | 68.658929|

Table 2: RSS 4 BS data set

| Location Distance | CDF (%) |
|-------------------|---------|
| 37.5              | 0.6465517|
| 39.2              | 1.3224138|
| 49.7              | 2.1793103|
| 55.1              | 3.1293103|
| 55.7              | 4.0896552|
| 57.1              | 5.0741379|
| 57.3              | 6.0620698|
| 62.9              | 7.1465517|
| 68.8              | 8.3327586|
| 74.5              | 9.6172414|
| 77.0              | 10.944828|
| 77.9              | 12.287931|
| 85.8              | 13.767241|
| 94.2              | 15.391379|
| 98.0              | 17.081034|
| 100.0             | 18.805172|
| 102.3             | 20.568966|
| 103.1             | 22.346552|
| 109.1             | 24.227586|
| 115.8             | 26.224138|
| 122.7             | 28.339655|
| 126.1             | 30.513793|
| 126.6             | 32.696552|
| 134.3             | 35.012069|
| 139.5             | 37.417241|
| 148.1             | 39.970690|
| 151.2             | 42.577586|
| 153.4             | 45.222414|
158.6 | 47.956897
159.6 | 50.708621
175.0 | 53.725862
175.6 | 56.753448
177.8 | 59.818966
195.0 | 62.936955
199.1 | 67.200000
209.3 | 69.481034
212.7 | 72.774138
224.0 | 74.150000
225.8 | 77.594828
235.7 | 83.227586

250.9 | 86.036207
278.2 | 88.143103
292.9 | 93.331034
339.2 | 95.967241
340.5 | 97.212069
346.8 | 98.479310
349.0 | 100.824140

4.1.1 Accuracy Result
In this section, the dataset in Table 1 and Table 2 were plotted for easy analysis. The performance of each technique is analyzed based on the CDF of distance error (location error) mainly at 67% and 95%. This result states by how much this solution approached the FCC accuracy requirement.

4.1.2 Latency Result
In this section, the average time it took to produce the location estimate for each technique is displayed. The result is displayed based on the number of BS used for each calculation. Latency is the delay experienced when producing a location estimate. In this case, the extra time delay experienced when the BS was increased from 3 to 4 gives the latency. A very high latency could make a solution less effective despite a significant increase in accuracy. See Table 5 for comparison.

| CDF (%) | RSS METHOD | Location Distance (m) | Enhancement Level (%) |
|---------|------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| 67      | 3 BS       | 256                    | 22                    |
|         | 4 BS (Enhanced) | 199             |                       |
| 95      | 3 BS       | 368                    | 8                     |
|         | 4 BS (Enhanced) | 339             |                       |

As shown in Table 3, the Enhanced technique improved on the 3 BS case by 22% and 8% at deployment levels of 67% and 95% respectively. This delivered an overall 15% improvement of the Enhanced RSS over the 3 BS case.

| RSS METHOD | 3 BS | 4 BS (Enhanced) |
|------------|------|-----------------|
| Average Elapsed Time (Seconds) | 0.150224 | 0.173973 |
| Latency Value | 0.024 |     |
| Percentage Latency | 13.65% |     |

Figure 2 shows that with measurements from 3 BS, at 67% the MS was located within a distance of approximately 256m. While at the confidence level of 95%, MS was found within 368m. In the case of 4 BS, the MS location distance was 199m at 67% deployment, and 339m at 95% of use.
As shown in Table 5, the latency value is 0.024 which is a 13.65% increment in time from 3 to 4 BS.

5. CONCLUSION

In this study, an enhanced RSS technique was developed to efficiently find the position of a MS in urban and suburban regions. The latency issues that accompanied the increase in number of BS from the conventional 3 to 4 was also investigated. This study showed that the developed technique with 4 BS outperformed the same technique using 3 BS given that no hardware modifications were made to the currently available handsets. Simulation results showed that 67% of the readings were within 199 meters of the MS and 95% of the readings were within 339 meters. Hence, there is up to 15% improvement on the developed technique (using 4 BS) over the same technique using 3 BS. Furthermore, the latency value was 0.024 seconds. Efforts could still be made to reduce or eliminate this latency while sustaining the accuracy. The solution presented in this work is valuable to Communication Engineers, football league industry for goal line technology as well as any distress caller. The extra computation loading at the network end can be calmed by using modern powerful computation machines.

6. FUTURE WORKS

The following is recommended for future studies on this work:

i. Efforts should be made to reduce latency without compromising accuracy.

ii. Developed techniques should be stretched to locate more than one MS at a time. This would actually improve latency.

iii. More than two techniques could be merged and their performance evaluated.

iv. Other techniques could be employed to perhaps meet the FCC accuracy requirement.
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