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**Abstract** | Cryptococcosis is a sub-acute or chronic fungal infection of human and animals that is induced by opportunistic capsulated basidiomycetous yeasts of genus *Cryptococcus*, primarily *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii* with a worldwide distribution. The genetic variability of *C. neoformans/C. gattii* species complex has been investigated by several molecular techniques mainly hybridization and nested and multiplex PCR assays. Other PCR-based methods were employed for identification as PCR fingerprinting, PCR Restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP), Amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP), and multi-locus sequence typing (MLST). Moreover, matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) has been recently introduced for *Cryptococcus* identification and subtyping. *Cryptococcus neoformans/C. gattii* species complex is recently divided into seven species: *C. neoformans*, *C. deneformans*, *C. gattii*, *C. deuterogattii*, *C. bacillisporus*, *C. tetragattii*, and *C. decagattii* in addition to four interspecies hybrids with differences in pathogenicity, epidemiology, and antifungal susceptibility. Long-term usage of antifungal drugs led to the emergence of resistance in *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii* species. Thus, antifungal susceptibility is of great importance in the epidemiological investigation for tracking the susceptibility profiles and drug resistance. Moreover, efficient antifungal therapies selection for cryptococcosis treatment is based on minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values of the gold standard drugs for cryptococcosis therapy: amphotericin B, fluconazole, 5-flucytosine, voriconazole, ketoconazole, and itraconazole. This review article highlights the main molecular methods used for identification and genotyping of *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii* and presents the global prevalence and antifungal susceptibility profiles of these environmental isolates against the commonly used antifungals.
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**INTRODUCTION**

*Cryptococcus neoformans/C. gattii* species complex comprises capsulated basidiomycetous yeasts, the primary causative agent of cryptococcosis, which is a worldwide distributing life-threatening fungal disease producing tissue infections, pneumonia, and commonly meningocencephalitis mainly in immunosuppressed patients (Kwon-Chung et al., 2014). Biological, epidemiological, clinical, pathogenicity, and drug susceptibility differences were observed between the species complex. Biochemically, *C. neoformans* is divided into two varieties (*C. neoformans* var. grubii and *C. neoformans* var. gattii), and *C. gattii* is divided into seven species: *C. gattii*, *C. deuterogattii*, *C. bacillisporus*, *C. tetragattii*, and *C. decagattii*.
Cryptococcus neoformans and C. gattii have a different and overlapping ecological niche. C. neoformans is frequently isolated from birds dropping, especially in pigeon nests, while C. gattii, occurring principally in tropical or subtropical areas, is found frequently in soil debris and decaying trees like oaks, pink showers, and Eucalyptus trees (Alves et al., 2016). Almost all of the cryptococcal infections are relevant to the species C. neoformans var. grubii, particularly in immunocompromised patients and also apparently healthy hosts (Bandalizadeh et al., 2020). One million cryptococcal meningitis cases are reported annually in patients with human immunodeficiency virus infection and acquired immune deficiency syndrome with a mortality peak at approximately 625,000 deaths (Haris et al., 2011). Meanwhile, C. gattii causes a smaller fraction of cases affecting commonly immunocompetent individuals in temperate regions (Firacative et al., 2012).

Cryptococcus infection is also very important in a wide range of animals worldwide. It may affect various mammals like cats, dogs, horses, cattle, sheep, goats and birds, with two basic forms: pulmonary and cerebral cryptococcosis. The cutaneous, ocular, osseous, and visceral form may be found due to disseminated infection. Cryptococcosis is mostly associated with mastitis in cattle, sheep, and goats besides endometritis and placentitis in mares (Refai et al., 2017).

Concerning Cryptococcus complex epidemiological spreading, C. neoformans var. grubii (VNI, II, and VNB) is more predominant and distributed globally than C. neoformans var. neoformans (VNIV), which is observed in Europe (Cogliati, 2013). The VGI and VGII are the most widely distributed among C. gattii members and they are responsible mainly for the Vancouver outbreak, which is still ongoing in Canada and USA resulting in more than 350 human cryptococcosis cases, 3.5% of them were lethal even with powerful antifungal therapy. Meanwhile, VGIV is the least distributing genotype associated with cryptococcosis infection in central Africa (Byrnes and Marr, 2011; D’Souza et al., 2011). The results from molecular identification and genotyping of C. neoformans and C. gattii species complex can positively impact the monitoring of resistant strains and treating cryptococcosis (Sidrim et al., 2010).

This updated review sheds a light on the main molecular techniques for accurate identification, and typing of cryptococcosis primary agents from different environmental sources. Moreover, the susceptibility profiles of environmental isolates of various genotypes were also presented.

ENVIRONMENTAL PREVALENCE
Cryptococcus neoformans and C. gattii are saprophytes and their infections occur by the exogenous route via inhalation of infectious dispersed yeast cells. Hence, awareness by C. neoformans and C. gattii environmental prevalence (Table 1) is critically important for laying out the possible control measurements against cryptococcosis (Chowdhary et al., 2011).

IDENTIFICATION OF C. NEOFORMANS/ C. GATTII SPECIES COMPLEX
The microbiology laboratory must differentiate C. gattii and C. neoformans as they differ in the susceptibility patterns to antifungal drugs. Only 5% of New York State surveyed laboratories had the ability of proper identification and differentiation of C. gattii and C. neoformans; while in developing countries, this issue is more unsatisfactory (Chowdhary et al., 2011). Cryptococcus neoformans/C. gattii species complex has been classically identified by phenotypic methods based on the species characteristics. Various molecular methods have been further applied for confirmation of the identification and also for genotyping purposes. The MALDI-TOF MS technique has been successfully used for identification and discrimination of this species complex (Perfect and Bicanic, 2015; Hagen et al., 2015).

PHENOTYPIC METHODS
Phenotypically, C. neoformans and C. gattii were identified by different tests such as polysaccharide capsule production, which is detected with India ink staining, urease and phenoloxidase tests, and growth at 37°C. Cryptococcal species are maintained in the culture of solid media as Sabouraud dextrose agar medium with or without the addition of antibiotics after isolation. The opaque, and creamy to tan or brown colored colonies are fast-growing and observed after up to 3 days of incubation at 25°C and 37°C. Carotenoid pigments may be produced after a long incubation period. The colony slimy appearance is related to the capsule size (Doering, 2009). For C. gattii differentiation from C. neoformans, many phenotypic methods have been used including Canavanine Glycine Bromothymol blue agar (CGB), which may give false-positive results, Glycine Cycloheximide Phenol red agar, Creatinine–Dextrose Bromothymol blue agar, and Creatinine Dextrose Bromothymol blue thymine agar (Byrnes and Marr, 2011). Available commercial approaches
Table 1: Environmental prevalence of *Cryptococcus neoformans* and *Cryptococcus gattii* species complex globally.

| Type of samples (No.)      | Prevalence (%) | Country                  | Molecular type | Method of typing                          | Reference                  |
|----------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Pigeon droppings (191)     | 4.7            | Brazilian amazon         | *C. neoformans* | VNI                                       | Alves et al. (2016)        |
| Trees hollows (pottery tree) (255) | - 0.4 | -                        | *C. neoformans* var grubii | PCR and ITS1, ITS2 sequencing analysis | Canónico-González et al. (2013) |
| Pigeon droppings (50)      | 10             | Mexico                   | *C. neoformans* var grubii | PCR-RFLP                                 |                            |
| Cocktail and love bird excreta (200) | 7.5 | -                        | *C. neoformans* var grubii | Multiplex PCR, ITS sequencing         | Elhariri et al. (2015)     |
| Eucalyptus trees sample (311) | 4.2        | Egypt                    | *C. neoformans* var grubii | Multiplex PCR                           | Elhariri et al. (2016)     |
| Olive Trees (*Olea europaea*) (388) | 22.4 | -                        | -              | Multiplex PCR, ITS sequencing           | Ergin et al. (2019)        |
| Passerine and Psittacine excreta (141) | 25.5 | -                        | -              | Multiplex PCR, PCR fingerprinting       | Lugarini et al. (2008)     |
| Pigeon dropping (100)      | 32             | Libya                    | *C. neoformans* | VNI                                       | Ellabib et al. (2016)      |
| Eucalyptus trees (210)     | 1.4            | California, USA          | VNI            | Multiplex PCR, *URA5* RFLP               | Hurst et al. (2019)        |
| Olive tree hollows (172)   | 12.2           | Italy                    | VNI            | Multiplex PCR, MLST                       | Trovato et al. (2019)      |
| *Castanopsis argyrophylla* trees hollows (48) | - 2.08 | -                        | *C. gattii*    | VNI/AFLP/MLST, AFLP genotyping and MLST  | Khayhan et al. (2017)      |
| *Olea europaea* and *Pinus sylvestris* trees hollows (472) | 0.8 | -                        | *C. gattii*    | VNI/VNIV, Multiplex PCR                  | Pllana-Hajdari et al. (2019) |

PCR-RFLP: PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism, ITS: internal transcribed spacer, MLST: multi-locus sequence typing, AFLP: amplified fragment length polymorphism.

were used for yeast identification; for instance, API 20 AUX (bioMerieux, Paris, France) and VITEK 2 system (bioMérieux, Inc., Hazelwood, MO), which couldn’t differentiate *C. gattii* from *C. neoformans*, while MALDI-TOF MS was successfully used for this differentiation (McTaggart et al., 2011).

Limitations of conventional methods of identification and diagnosis led to many molecular methods development that were used for identification and genotyping of *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii* species complex (Cogliati, 2013).

**Molecular methods for identification and genotyping of *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii* species complex**

Molecular approaches are of great sensitivity and specificity, and could overcome the restrictions of traditional methods. It is employed for identification and typing of *C. neoformans/C. gattii* complex (Table 2) besides the molecular epidemiology research (Meyer, 2015).

Numerous molecular typing methodologies were already applied in the determination of subgroups of each species of *C. neoformans/C. gattii* complex as multilocus enzyme electrophoresis (MLEE), random amplification of morphomic DNA (RAPD), PCR fingerprinting (Cogliati, 2013), restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) of phospholipase (*PLB1*), orotidine monophosphate pyrophosphorylase (*URA5*), and *GEF1* genes (Brito-Santos et al., 2015), and sequencing of internal transcribed spacer regions (ITS1 and ITS2) or intergenic spacer region (IGS), amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) (Meyer et al., 2009). Recently, multilocus sequence typing (MLST) (Beale et al., 2015), multilocus microsatellite typing (MLMT), genes sequence analysis, whole-genome analyses, whole-genome sequencing in addition to MALDI-TOF MS analysis (D’Souza et al., 2011; Hagen et al., 2015) were introduced for genotyping.

**DNA–DNA hybridization methods and electro-karyotyping**

DNA–DNA hybridization techniques and electro-karyotyping were used in a wide range in 1990 for cryptococcosis research with a special reference to
its main causative agents. They were more useful and accurate when combined with PCR in the researches that are concerned with the most rapid and economical progressions of alternative technologies in Cryptococcus species identification (Hu et al., 2008). These methods are costly and complex as they need previous electrophoresis reliability, denaturing buffer preparation, nitrocellulose or nylon membrane acquiring for DNA impregnation, uniquely marked probes, and suitable detection equipment (Sidrim et al., 2010).

**PCR ASSAYS**
These techniques are widely used in laboratories to detect Cryptococcus DNA and to genotype C. neoformans complex from clinical and also environmental samples as it is specific, fast, easily performed, and sensitive (Feng et al., 2013). Moreover, PCR assays are entirely automatic and able to discriminate yeasts from either clinical samples or contaminated cultures. PCR is used with more other techniques to be valuable for molecular epidemiology researches (Cogliati, 2013). The most commonly used PCR methods in identifying C. neoformans and C. gattii are nested, multiplex, and real-time targeting the orotidine monophosphate pyrophosphorylase (URAS) and the capsule synthesis (CAP59) genes, minisatellite-specific core sequence (M13), and ITS regions of rDNA (18S, 5.8S, and 28S) target sequences (Hagen et al., 2012) as following.

**NESTED PCR**
Nested PCR is a greatly sensitive, rapid, and reliable approach for identifying Cryptococcus species and diagnosing cryptococcosis, in which the DNA template is a product of the first-round PCR. It is also a useful technique used for patients monitoring throughout the therapy and for confirmation of the fungal pathogen clearance in the follow-up examinations (Rivera et al., 2015). In 2002, C. neoformans was directly detected from laboratory animal tissue samples by 18S region of rDNA amplification (Bialek, 2005). Hyper-branched rolling circle amplification (HRCA) is a semi-nested PCR, which is based on PLB1, the padlock probes locus. This practice was highly sensitive and more specific with the ability of distinct nucleotide polymorphisms identification and it is used in the direct cryptococcosis diagnosis (Trilles et al., 2014).

**Table 2:** Molecular techniques used for identification and genotyping of Cryptococcus neoformans/C. gattii species complex.

| Technique       | Target                          | Advantage                                      | Disadvantage                       | References         |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Identification  |                                 |                                               |                                    |                    |
| Hybridization   | Repeatable and polymorphic DNA  | High specificity and sensitivity               | Costly and laborious               | Hu et al., (2008)  |
| Nested PCR      | ITS ribosomal DNA               | High sensitivity and specificity               | Presence of reaction contaminants  | Rivera et al. (2015) |
| Multiplex PCR   | Serotype specific               | Amplification of two or more loci in just one reaction. Small amounts of DNA extracted | Reagent competition Non-specific products | Leal et al. (2008) |
| Real-time PCR   | 18S/28 ribosomal RNA            | High sensitivity, specificity and speed Determining levels of gene expression, Fast | Contamination with genomic DNA Requires technical ability and support Expensive | Feng et al. (2013) |

**Genotyping:**

| Technique       | Target                          | Advantage                                      | Disadvantage                       | References         |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| PCR fingerprint-| Microsatellite (GACA)4          | Previous knowledge of target sequences is not required Using of short primers Detection of polymorphism | Standardization of the technique under the conditions of each laboratory | Meyer et al. (2009) |
| RAPD            | Minisatellite (M13)             | Previous knowledge of target sequences is not required Using of short primers Detection of polymorphism | Standardization of the technique under the conditions of each laboratory | Sidrim et al. (2010) |
| PCR-RFLP        | Urease                          | Specificity                                    | Decreased sensitivity in case of mutation | Cogliati (2013)    |
| AFLP            | Capsule                         | High sensitivity and specificity Detection of genetic variability. | Large number of phases and reagents. Expensive | Meyer et al. (2009) |
| MLST            | IGS, capsule, laccase, urease, phospholipase | Reproducible and accurate. Completely automated analysis. Analysis of multiple loci | Restrictions in strains differentiation when genes are conserved | Beale et al. (2015) |

ITS: internal transcribed spacer, RAPD: random amplification of polymorphic DNA, PCR-RFLP: PCR-estraction fragment length polymorphism, AFLP: amplified fragment length polymorphism, MLST: multi-locus sequence typing, IGS: intergenic spacer region.
**MULTIPLEX PCR**

Multiplex PCR is another desirable approach that enables the amplification of more loci in just one reaction. This technique is rapid, done with less amount of DNA, and species-specific. Moreover, it has been used to check the fungal isolates mating-type profile and it was applied in conjunction with other methods; for instance, the real-time PCR assay (Ito-Kuwa et al., 2007). Leal and his co-workers established a protocol of multiplex PCR using species-specific primers for ITS region. The obtained findings indicated a specific and rapid differentiation between *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii* from different isolates (Leal et al., 2008).

**REAL-TIME PCR**

Real-time PCR, a recent method applied for rapid and accurate *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii* identification, allows the evaluation of gene expression associated with the microorganism virulence. This technique achieves higher levels of sensitivity, but it still of high cost, where expensive materials and special equipment are needed (Feng et al., 2013).

**PCR FINGERPRINTING**

The major typing approach in *C. neoformans* comprehensive molecular epidemiological studies is PCR fingerprinting. It allowed the determination of molecular types in sporadic diseases and it was used in molecular epidemiology studies (Posteraro et al., 2012). This assay relies on DNA sequences amplification lined by single primers in PCR including primers for the minisatellite-specific core sequence of the wild-type phage M13 as well as microsatellite-specific single-primers (GACA), (Meyer et al., 2009). PCR fingerprinting classified *C. neoformans* complex into eight main types depending on the polymorphic DNA sequences as following: *C. neoformans* var. grubii serotype A1 (VNI), *C. neoformans* var. grubii serotype A2 (VNII), *C. neoformans* serotype AD (VNIII), *C. neoformans* var. neoformans serotype D (VNIv), and *C. gattii* B and C serotypes (VGI, VGII, VGIII, and VGIV) (Perfect and Bicanic, 2015).

**PCR–RFLP**

PCR–RFLP using the *URA5* and *PLB1* genes was previously used in *C. neoformans* complex molecular types confirmation (Brito-Santos et al., 2015). This assay was applied in molecular epidemiological surveys not only for evaluation of the possible relationships between clinical and environmental *C. neoformans* complex molecular types, but also for cryptococcosis diagnosis as it commonly targets the *URA5* gene (Kwon-Chung et al., 2017). This practice is also suggested when it is desired to get more information about a particular strain. Moreover, other targets rather than *URA5* were used for molecular typing and accurate serotypes differentiation such as the capsular gene, *CAP59* (Feng et al., 2013).

**RAPD ASSAY**

RAPD analysis had been used for investigation of the genetic variability of *Cryptococcus* spp. from different sources and also for determination of serotypes and molecular types. It is rapid, simple, and highly discriminatory requiring strict quality control measures, but it is recently replaced by more recent techniques for *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii* genotyping (Sidrim et al., 2010).

**AFLP ASSAY**

AFLP is another useful technique in *C. neoformans* complex genotyping. It is reliable, more specific than RAPD due to using of longer primers in the PCR cycles that prevent the existence of conflict during the PCR reaction of the RAPD technique (Meyer, 2015). The AFLP technique stages are DNA cleavage using EcoRI restriction enzyme and rarely *Msel*, then ligation of particular adaptors to the ends of DNA fragments, PCR cycles using *Msel*-G and EcoRI-AC primers, and finally high-resolution gel electrophoresis. The large numbers of stages, reagents, and devices as well as DNA quality are considered limitations of this technique (Sidrim et al., 2010). The AFLP assay exposed *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii* strains to subdivision into 7 – 9 genetically diverse monophyletic clades (Kwon-Chung et al., 2017). The AFLP assay has helped to clarify the Vancouver island outbreak causative agent due to its high discriminatory power besides the ability to give a particular distinctive profile to each strain; the outbreak agent was two AFLP6 subtypes (AFLP6A and AFLP6B) (Byrnes and Marr, 2011). Some studies in the Netherlands applied the AFLP typing technique to *Cryptococcus* strains and discovered novel hybrids among *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii*, AFLP9 (AFLP1×AFLP4) and AFLP8 (AFLP3×AFLP4) (Hagen et al., 2012).

**MULTI-LOCUS SEQUENCE TYPING**

Multi-locus sequence typing has significant importance for the global epidemiological characterization of *Cryptococcus* species complex genotypes around the world (Firacative et al., 2016). It exploits the unique characteristics of nucleotide sequences of multiple genes such as capsular, urease, and phospholipase encoding genes for allowing *Cryptococcus* spp typing (Beale et al., 2015). MLST analysis is fully automated after the target regions amplification and sequencing and it can be interconnected between laboratories (Meyer, 2015). A limitation of this technique is that it provides incomplete or inaccurate measures of the species relationships as a relatively small portion of sequence diversity is detected by MLST and also it yields weak recombination interpretations (Beale et al., 2015).
In 2007, International Society of Human and Animal Mycoses developed and sponsored a workshop that declared MLST as a standard method for *C. neoformans* - *C. gattii* genotyping (Firacative et al., 2016). Typical MLST involves 7 loci sequences: capsular-associated protein (CAP59), glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GPD1), *URA5*, *PLB1*, laccase (*LAC1*), Cu, Zn superoxide dismutase (*SOD1*), and intergenic spacer region (*IGS1*). These sequences together represent the minimal genes numbers that give the maximum power of discrimination (Farrer et al., 2015).

The recent proposed designation for *C. neoformans*/*C. gattii* species complex depending on AFLP and MLST results is as follows: I. *C. neoformans* serotype A (VNI) AFLP1, VNII AFLP1A, AFLP1B, VNI, II. *C. deneoformans* serotype D (VNIIV) AFLP2, and III. *C. neoformans* × *C. deneoformans* hybrid or AD hybrids (VNIII) AFLP3. *Cryptococcus gattii* has been categorized as separate species named *C. gattii* (VGI) AFLP4, *C. deuterogattii* (VGII) AFLP5, *C. bacillisporus* (VGIII) AFLP5, *C. tetragattii* (VGIV) AFLP7, and *C. decagattii* (VGIV) and (VGIIC) AFLP10. The hybrids between *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii* complexes isolates are named *C. deneoformans* × *C. gattii* hybrid (AFLP8), *C. neoformans* × *C. gattii* hybrid (AFLP9), and *C. neoformans* × *C. deuterogattii* hybrid (AFLP11) (Hagen et al., 2015). The expression “neoformans” was used for long time to represent species and variety. *C. neoformans* var. *neoformans* in that new system “*C. neoformans*” represents only serotype A strains with VNI and VNII/ VNB molecular types (Kwon-Chung et al., 2017).

**Multi-locus microsatellite typing**
Multi-locus microsatellite typing (MLMT) has been commonly used for typing of different fungi owing to its strong discriminatory power, which might be an effective and provable approach for wide-range epidemiology studies (Feng et al., 2013). The MLMT with MLST were applied for studying the genotypic diversity and genetic relationships between environmental and clinical isolates of *C. neoformans* in an Indian survey, where environmental isolates showed more genetic diversity than clinical ones (Prakash et al., 2020).

**Whole genome sequencing**
The whole fungal genome sequencing technique is an accurate and modern technique for typing of *Cryptococcus* strains (D’Souza et al., 2011). Genes’ contents and structure characterization indicated variations in the *Cryptococcus* genes in comparison with other fungi as *Cryptococcus* genes are intron-rich with predicted highly alternative splicing and antisense transcription (Janbon et al., 2014). The whole genome structure is designed to be significantly heterogeneous within *Cryptococcus* strains with few alterations of either species or molecular types (Farrer et al., 2015). *Cryptococcus neoformans* var. *grubii* and *C. neoformans* var. *neoformans* genomes showed extensive rearrangements (Janbon et al., 2014).

**Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry**
The MALDI-TOF MS is a simple, fast, and accurate technique based on mass spectrometry of different microorganisms. It was used as an alternative for phenotypic and genotypic methods for *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii* differentiation (Firacative et al., 2012; Hagen et al., 2015). This technique allows the discrimination between species by evaluation of the determined spectrum of peptides and proteins of integral microbial cells either from biological samples or uncontaminated cultures within few minutes and it also separates *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii* into eight molecular patterns (Firacative et al., 2012).

**Antifungal susceptibility testing**
The best antifungal drug should target either a component vital for the viability of fungal cell or a virulence factor, but not the host to avoid cell toxicity. That drug should have a fungicidal effect when used alone or in combination with another. It also should be of good bioavailability and capable of reaching cryptococcal receptors within the host (May et al., 2016). The World Health Organization and the Infectious Diseases Society of America recommended the gold standard cryptococcosis therapy guidelines including three drugs: amphotericin B (AmB), fluconosine, and fluconazole (Maziarz and Perfect, 2016).

Amphotericin B deoxycholate, which was released in 1960, acts as fungicidal by binding to the ergosterol of fungal cell membrane and also by cell death induction as a result of oxidative damage (Gray et al., 2012). Using AmB prevailing formulations requires the intravenous route and its usage is difficult in oral administration because of low bioavailability (Kwon-Chung et al., 2014).

Flucytosine is converted inside the fungal cells principally by the cytosine deaminase enzyme to 5-fluorouracil (5-FU). The mammalian cells are lacking this enzyme (Loyse et al., 2013). Fluconazole has a good bioavailability, essentially in the initial stage therapy and it may be used during the maintenance stage. It acts as a fungistatic (rather than fungicidal) as it inhibits the ergosterol synthesis leading to accumulation of destructive steroidal substances in the cell membrane (Gray et al., 2012).

Using the standard antifungal susceptibility testing methods has facilitated the detection of antifungal resistance; for example, clinical breakpoints and epidemiologic cut off values for *Candida* and *Aspergillus* spp., the Clinical and
### Table 3: Antifungal susceptibility profiles of Cryptococcus neoformans and C. gattii from environmental samples according to Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines.

| Species (No.) | Geographic Region | MIC range (µg/mL) | Reference |
|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| *C. neoformans* (10) | Cameroon | 4-8 | >256 | ND | ND | 16-64 | ND | ND | ND | 0.125-0.5 | Dongmo et al. (2016) |
| *C. gattii* (10) | North western India | 0.6-1 | 0.25-16 | 0.016-0.5 | ND | 0.016 | >0.5 | ND | ND | 0.016-0.25 | Chowdhary et al. (2013) |
| *C. neoformans* (50) | India | ND | 0.063-64 | ND | ND | 0.03-1 | ND | ND | ND | 0.031-0.5 | Gutch et al. (2015) |
| *C. gattii* (4) | ND | 2-64 | ND | ND | 0.03-0.5 | ND | ND | ND | 0.03-0.5 | ND | ND | ND | |
| *C. neoformans* (8) | Brazil | 0.03-10 | 0.12-64 | ND | 0.006-2 | ND | ND | ND | 0.006-2 | ND | ND | ND | |
| *C. gattii* (65) | North western India | 0.02-0.5 | 0.03-16 | ND | 0.004-0.125 | 0.003-0.19 | ND | ND | ND | 0.007-0.125 | ND | ND | ND | |
| *C. neoformans* (40) | Goiania, Brazil | 0.03-0.5 | 0.5-4 | ND | 0.03-0.25 | ND | ND | ND | 0.03-0.5 | ND | ND | ND | |
| *C. gattii* (8) | Goiania, Brazil | 0.02-0.5 | 0.03-1 | ND | 0.003-0.25 | ND | ND | ND | 0.007-0.125 | ND | ND | ND | |
| *C. neoformans* (40) | Brazil | 0.03-10 | 0.12-64 | ND | 0.006-2 | ND | ND | ND | 0.006-2 | ND | ND | ND | |
| *C. gattii* (10) | Cameroon | 0.02-0.5 | 0.03-1 | ND | 0.003-0.25 | ND | ND | ND | 0.007-0.125 | ND | ND | ND | |

**Notes:** MIC: minimum inhibitory concentration; No.: number of cryptococcal species; AMB: amphotericin B; FLU: fluconazole; 5-FC: 5-flucytocine; VRC: voriconazole; KET: ketoconazole; ITC: itraconazole; POS: posaconazole; ISA: isavuconazole; NYS: nystatin; ND: not determined. Antifungal sensitivity was performed using E-Test method.
Antifungal susceptibility testing helps in preference of the efficient antifungal therapies for immunocompromised patients with disseminated mycosis (Andrade-Silva et al., 2013). Studying the antifungal susceptibility patterns of C. neoformans and C. gattii environmental isolates (Table 3) is limited (Pedroso et al., 2006). Several studies revealed relatively low minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of typical antifungals to C. gattii in contrast to C. neoformans with no increase over time (Thompson et al., 2008). In the past two decades, the reports of fluconazole-resistant strains increased globally. Geographical data indicates that increased fluconazole resistance was reported in Asia, Africa, and Latin America; while in North America, Europe, and Spain, low resistance rates were reported (May et al., 2016).

Many published studies stated that C. gattii and C. neoformans origins and genotypes had a great impact on the susceptibility to antifungal agents (Chong et al., 2010). In contrast, other investigators observed that susceptibility to antifungal agents was not influenced by the environmental or clinical origins of Cryptococcus species (Moraes et al., 2003).

The high prevalence and severity of cryptococcal infections are being considered as significant public health issues as a result of the high expansion in immunocompromised patients. Accordingly, using antifungals, principally in long-term therapies led to the resistance of C. neoformans and C. gattii species (Yang et al., 2010).

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In conclusion, introducing molecular methods for accurate and rapid identification of C. neoformans species complex is warranted in the mycology laboratories for efficient monitoring of resistant strains and treating cryptococcosis. This updated review threw more light on the global prevalence, genetic diversity, ecology, and susceptibility profiles of the environmental isolates of C. neoformans species complex to investigate the severity of health hazards generated by Cryptococcus species and to design possible control measures against cryptococcosis.
Cryptococcus gattii in India: An update. Crit. Rev. Microbiol., 38: 1–16.

• Cogliati M. (2013). Global molecular epidemiology of Cryptococcus neoformans and Cryptococcus gattii: an atlas of the molecular types. Scientifica, 2013: 1-23. https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/675213

• D’Souza C, Kronstad J, Taylor G, et al., (2011). Genome variation in Cryptococcus gattii, an emerging pathogen of immunocompetent hosts. mBio, 2: e00342-10.

• Doering TL. (2009). How sweet it is! Cell wall biogenesis and polysaccharide capsule formation in Cryptococcus neoformans. Annu. Rev. Microbiol., 63: 223–247. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.62.081307.162753

• Dongmo W, Kechia F, Tchuenguem R, et al., (2016). In vitro antifungal susceptibility of environmental isolates of Cryptococcus spp. From the west region of Cameroon. Ethiop. J. Health Sci., 26: 555. https://doi.org/10.4314/ejhs.v26i6.8

• Elhariri M, Hamza D, Elhelw R, et al., (2016). Eucalyptus tree: a potential source of Cryptococcus neoformans in Egyptian environment. Int. J. Microbiol., 2016: 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/4080725

• Elhariri, M, Hamza D, Elhelw R, et al., (2015). Lovebirds and cockatiels risk reservoir of cryptococcus neoformans, a potential hazard to human health. J. Vet. Sci. Med. Diagn., 04: 4. https://doi.org/10.4172/2325-9590.1000168

• Ellabib MS, Aboshiwka MA, Husien WM, et al., (2016). Isolation, identification and molecular typing of Cryptococcus neoformans from pigeon droppings and other environmental sources in Tripoli, Libya. Mycopathologia, 181: 603–608. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11046-016-9996-4

• Ergin Ç, Şengül M, Aksoy L, et al., (2017). Cryptococcus neoformans recovered from olive trees (oleo European) in turkey reveal allotypy with African and south American lineages. Front Cell Infect. Microbiol., 9: 384. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2019.00384

• Farrer RA, Desjardins CA, Sakthikumar S, et al., (2015). Genome evolution and innovation across the four major lineages of Cryptococcus gattii. mBio, 6: e00868-15. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00868-15

• Feng, Xiaobo, Yao, Zhiqiang, Liao, Wanqing. (2013). Approaches for molecular identification and typing of the Cryptococcus species complex: An update. Rev. Med. Microbiol., 24: 1-6. https://doi.org/10.1097/MRM.0b013e32835736c1

• Firacative C, Roe CC, Malik R, et al., (2016). Plos Ne MLST and whole-genome-based population analysis of Cryptococcus gattii VGII links clinical, veterinary and environmental strains, and reveals divergent serotype specific sub-populations and distant ancestors glect Trop D, 10: e0004861. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004861

• Firacative C, Trilles L, Meyer W (2012). MALDI-TOF MS enables the rapid identification of the major molecular types within the Cryptococcus neoformans/C. gattii species complex. PLoS One, 7: e37566. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0037566

• Gray KC, Palacios DS, Dailey I, et al., (2012). Amphoterinicro primarily kills yeast by simply binding ergosterol. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 109: 2234–2239. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1117280109

• Gutch RS, Navange SR, Singh SM, et al., (2015). Antifungal susceptibility of clinical and environmental Cryptococcus neoformans and Cryptococcus gattii isolates in Jabalpur, a city of Madhya Pradesh in Central India. Braz. J. Microbiol., 46: 1125–1133. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-83824620140564

• Hagen F, Colom M, Swinne D, et al., (2012). Autochthonous and dormant Cryptococcus gattii infections in Europe. Emerg. Infect. Dis., 18: 1618–1624. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1810.120068

• Hagen F, Khayhan K, Theelen B, et al., (2015). Recognition of seven species in the Cryptococcus gattii/Cryptococcus neoformans species complex. Fungal Genet. Biol., 78: 16–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2015.02.009

• Harris JR, Lockhart SR, Debes E, et al., (2011). Cryptococcus gattii in the United States: Clinical aspects of an emerging pathogen. Clin. Infect. Dis., 53: 1188–1195. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cir723

• Hu G, Liu I, Sham A, et al., (2008). Comparative hybridization reveals extensive genome variation in the AIDS-associated pathogen Cryptococcus neoformans. Genome Biol., 9: R41. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2008-9-2-r41

• Hurst S, Lysen C, Cooksey G, et al., (2019). Molecular typing of clinical and environmental isolates of Cryptococcus gattii species complex from southern California, United States. Mycoses, 62: 1029–1034. https://doi.org/10.1111/myc.12980

• Ito-Kiwa, S., Nakamura, K., Aoki, S., et al., (2007). Serotype identification of Cryptococcus neoformans by multiplex PCR. Mycoses, 50: 277–281. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0507.2007.01357.x

• Janbon G, Ormerod KL, Paulet D, et al., (2014). Analysis of the genome and transcriptome of Cryptococcus neoformans var. grubii reveals complex RNA expression and microevolution leading to virulence attenuation. PLoS Genet., 10: e1004261

• Khan ZU, Randhawa HS, Kowshik T, et al., (2007). Antifungal susceptibility of Cryptococcus neoformans and Cryptococcus gattii isolates from decayed wood of trunk hollows of Ficus religiosa and Syzygium cumini trees in north-western India. J. Antimicrob. Chemother., 60: 312-316. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkm192

• Khayhan K, Hagen F, Norkawa T, et al., (2017). Isolation of Cryptococcus gattii from a Castanopsis Argyrophylla tree hollow (Mai-Kaw), Chiang Mai, Thailand. Mycopathologia, 182: 365–370. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11046-016-0067-7

• Kwon-Chung K, Fraser J, Doering T, et al., (2014). Cryptococcus neoformans and Cryptococcus gattii, the etiologic agents of cryptococcosis. Csh. Perspect. Med., 4: a019760-a019760. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a019760

• Kwon-Chung KJ, Bennett JE, Wickes BL, et al., (2017). The Case for adopting the “Species Complex” nomenclature for the etiologic agents of cryptococcosis. mSphere, 2: e00357-16. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00357-16

• Leal AL, Faganello J, Bassanesi MC, et al., (2008). Cryptococcus species identification by multiplex PCR. Med. Mycol., 46: 377–383. https://doi.org/10.1080/13693780701824429

• Loyer A, Dromer F, Day J, et al., (2013). Fluocytosine and cryptococciosis: time to urgently address the worldwide accessibility of a 50-year-old antifungal. J. Antimicrob. Chemother., 68: 2435–2444. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt221

• Lugariini C, Goebel CS, Condas LAZ, et al., (2008). Cryptococcus neoformans isolated from passerine and psittacine bird excreta in the state of paraná, Brazil. Mycopathologia, 166: 61–69. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11046-008-9122-3

• May RC, Stone NR, Wiesner DL, et al., (2016). Cryptococcus: from environmental saprophyte to global pathogen. Nat. Rev. Microbiol., 14: 106–117. https://doi.org/10.1038/
Maziarz EK, Perfect JR. 2016. Cryptococcosis. Infect. Dis. Clin. North Am., 49:3050-3053. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idc.2015.10.006

Mctaggart LR, Lei E, Richardson SE, et al., (2011). Rapid identification of Cryptococcus neoformans and Cryptococcus gattii by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry. J. Clin. Microbiol., 49:3050-3053. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00651-11

Meyer W, Aanensen D, Bockhout T, et al., (2009). Consensus multi-locus sequence typing scheme for Cryptococcus neoformans and Cryptococcus gattii. Med. Mycol., 47:561-570. https://doi.org/10.1080/13693780902953886

Meyer W. 2015. Cryptococcus gattii in the age of whole-genome sequencing. mBio, 6:e01761-15. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01761-15

Moraes EMP, Primola NS, Hamdan JS, et al., (2003). Antifungal susceptibility of clinical and environmental isolates of Cryptococcus neoformans to four antifungal drugs determined by two techniques. Empfindlichkeit von klinischen und Umgebungssolaten von Cryptococcus neoformans für vier Antimykotika. Vergleichsstudie zweier Techniken. Mycoses, 46:164–168. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0507.2003.00872.x

Pedroso RDS, Ferreira JC, Candido RC, et al., (2006). In vitro susceptibility to antifungal agents of environmental Cryptococcus spp. isolated in the city of Ribeirão Preto, São Paulo, Brazil. Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz. 101:239–243. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0074-02762006000300002

Perfect J, Bicanic T. (2015). Cryptococcosis diagnosis and treatment: What do we know now? Fungal Genet. Biol., 78:49–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2014.10.003

Pfanner MA, Castanheira M, Diekema DJ, et al., (2011). Wild-type MIC distributions and epidemiologic cutoff values for fluconazole, posaconazole, and voriconazole when testing Cryptococcus neoformans as determined by the CLSI broth microdilution method. Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis., 71:252–259. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2011.07.007

Pillana-Hajdari, D, Cogliati, M, Čičmak, L et al., (2019). First isolation, antifungal susceptibility, and molecular characterization of Cryptococcus neoformans from the environment in Croatia. JoF, 5:99. https://doi.org/10.3390/jof5040099

Prakash A, Sundar G, Sharma B, et al., (2020). Genotypic diversity in clinical and environmental isolates of Cryptococcus neoformans from India using multilocus microsatellite and multilocus sequence typing. Mycoses, 63:284–293. https://doi.org/10.1111/myc.13041

Refai M, Elhariri M, Alarousy R. (2017). Cryptococcosis in animals and birds: A Review. 4:202–223.

Rivera V, Gaviria M, Muñoz-Cadaríc C, et al., (2015). Validation and clinical application of a molecular method for the identification of Cryptococcus neoformans/Cryptococcus gattii complex DNA in human clinical specimens. Braz. J. Infect. Dis., 19:563–570. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjid.2015.07.006

Sidrim J, Costa A, Cordeiro R, et al., (2010). Molecular methods for the diagnosis and characterization of Cryptococcus. A review. Can. J. Microbiol., 56:445-458. https://doi.org/10.1139/W10-030

Silva DMW and de Albuquerque Maranhão FC (2015). Current Status of the Diagnostic and Genomics of Cryptococcus neoformans/C. gattii Species Complex. Fungal Genom. Biol., 05:2. https://doi.org/10.4172/2165-8056.1000e118

Souza LKH, Fernandes OFL, Kobayashi CCBA et al., (2005). Antifungal susceptibilities of clinical and environmental isolates of Cryptococcus neoformans in Goiânia City Goiás Brazil. Rev. Inst. Med. Trop. Sao Paulo., 47:253-256. https://doi.org/10.1590/0036-465200500500003

Souza LKH, Junior AHS, Costa CR, et al., (2010). Molecular typing and antifungal susceptibility of clinical and environmental Cryptococcus neoformans species complex isolates in Goiânia, Brazil. Mycoses, 53:62–67. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0507.2008.01662.x

Thompson GR, Wiederhold NP, Forthegill AW, et al., (2008). Antifungal susceptibilities among different serotypes of Cryptococcus gattii and Cryptococcus neoformans. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother., 53:309–311. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01216-08

Trilles L, Wang B, Firacative C, et al., (2014). Identification of the major molecular types of Cryptococcus neoformans and c. gattii by hyperbranched rolling circle amplification. PLoS One, 9:e94648. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0094648

Trovato L, Oliveri S, Esposto MC, et al., (2019). Cryptococcus neoformans and Cryptococcus gattii species complex isolates on the slopes of Mount Etna, SICILY, Italy. Front Microbiol., 10:2390. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02390

Yang YL, Cheng MF, Wang CW, et al., (2010). The distribution of species and susceptibility of amphotericin B and fluconazole of yeast pathogens isolated from sterile sites in Taiwan. Med. Mycol., 48:328–334. https://doi.org/10.3109/13693780903154070