Biomaterials and Advanced Biofabrication Techniques in hiPSCs Based Neuromyopathic Disease Modeling
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Induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) are reprogrammed somatic cells by defined factors, and have great application potentials in tissue regeneration and disease modeling. Biomaterials have been widely used in stem cell-based studies, and are involved in human iPSCs based studies, but they were not enough emphasized and recognized. Biomaterials can mimic the extracellular matrix and microenvironment, and act as powerful tools to promote iPSCs proliferation, differentiation, maturation, and migration. Many classic and advanced biofabrication technologies, such as cell-sheet approach, electrospinning, and 3D-bioprinting, are used to provide physical cues in macro-/micro-patterning, and in combination with other biological factors to support iPSCs applications. In this review, we highlight the biomaterials and fabrication technologies used in human iPSC-based tissue engineering to model neuromyopathic diseases, particularly those with genetic mutations, such as Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (DMD), Congenital Heart Diseases (CHD) and Alzheimer’s disease (AD).
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INTRODUCTION

Induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) are pluripotent stem cells generated from somatic cells that maintain many of the features of embryonic stem cells (ESCs) such as pluripotency and self-renewal ability. iPSCs were first generated by Shinya Yamanaka from mouse and human fibroblasts using four factors: octamer-binding transcription factor 3/4 (Oct3/4), sex determining region Y-box 2 (Sox2), c-Myc, and kruppel-like factor 4 (Klf4) (Takahashi and Yamanaka, 2006; Takahashi et al., 2007). Later on, many different substitutes for these factors were discovered and used, e.g., Estrogen-related receptor b (Esrrb), Klf2 for Klf4; Sox17EK for Sox2; Nr5a2 and transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β) inhibitor SB43152 for Oct3/4, and L-Myc for c-Myc (Feng et al., 2009; Heng et al., 2010; Xiao et al., 2016).

iPSCs can be generated from various somatic cell types that are easily obtained (Gnecchi et al., 2017), and give rise to many terminal differentiated cell types that have been used in tissue engineering for studying disease and developing treatments (Lin et al., 2017). One advanced
application of iPSCs is for precision or personalized medicine, since the iPSC technology enable us to generate unlimited amount of cells from a specific patient for drug efficacy prediction or tissue regeneration (Gnecehi et al., 2017), and this can overcome the ethical issues that human ESCs usually meet (Pen and Jensen, 2017).

After the hiPSC cell-lines were successfully established and maintained, there are step-wised differentiation processes to get certain mature tissue cells. The first step is to differentiate iPSCs into three primary germ layers, the ectoderm, mesoderm, and endoderm. The differentiation protocol of these steps has been well-established, and different groups of growth factors and bioactive molecules are involved. For example, the ectodermal bone morphogenetic protein 4 (BMP4) and γ-secretase inhibitor (N-[(3,5-Difluorophenyl)acetyl]-L-alanyl-2-phenylglycine-1,1-dimethyl ester) (DAPT) can induce iPSCs differentiate into the surface ectoderm (SE) after 2 days of culture (Qu et al., 2016). After the iPSCs derived cells differentiated into 3 germ layers, regulating the TGF-β, WNT, or fibroblast growth factor (FGF) signaling pathways that mimic each stage of development can further push iPSCs into different sequential lineages. During studying the biology of iPSCs, biomaterials also served as a strong supportive factor that improve the differentiation, proliferation, and application of iPSCs, and are gradually getting attentions (Guo et al., 2017; Khan and Tanaka, 2017).

Biomaterials have been developed to provide biophysical (e.g., stiffness and topography) and biochemical (e.g., growth factors and signaling pathway) cues to cells, which mimic the stem cell niches and microenvironment in vitro, and have been well-used in stem cell related studies and applications. For example, higher efficacy and accuracy can be achieved in stem cell differentiation when biomaterials involved (Kumari et al., 2010), and biomaterials can provide homing signals for stem cell migration and localization (Martino et al., 2012). In addition, biomaterials can build scaffolds to support and guide the cell behavior during forming three-dimensional (3D) tissue and organs, which are indispensable elements in tissue engineering, drug screening and disease modeling.

The neuromyopathic diseases are the most prevalent diseases in the world, including cardiomyopathy, motor neuron disease, peripheral nervous system disease, and associated muscular disease. Some of the diseases are due to genetic mutations, for example, Alzheimer's disease with PS1N141I gene mutation affected 29.8 million people worldwide in 2015 (GBD 2015 Disease Injury Incidence Prevalence Collaborators, 2016). Congenital heart diseases (CHD) with DAND5 gene mutation is the most common birth defect affecting between 4 and 75 per 1,000 at birth and resulting in 303,300 deaths in 2015 (GBD 2015 Disease Injury Incidence Prevalence Collaborators, 2016), which have great potential to be modeled by patient derived iPSCs. One more example is the Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (DMD), the most common type of muscular dystrophy, has mutation in dystrophin gene affecting about one in 5,000 males at birth (Moat et al., 2013). The accordingly genetic mutant animals are used to elucidate disease mechanisms, such as the mdx mouse, which has a point mutation in its DMD gene, that produces non-functional dystrophin protein in muscle, thus generate the DMD disease in mouse. However, the mdx mouse model only show a non-consistent disease progress and exhibit mildly dystrophic (Spencer and Tidball, 1996; Grounds and Torrini, 2004), and do not completely recapitulate the phenotype of human DMD disease due to the genetically distinction between animals and human. Using patient derived iPSCs and the tissue engineering technique to build DMD models for studying disease and therapies (Choi et al., 2016), and can overcome the limitation of animal models (Park et al., 2008).

3D structure of iPSCs and the iPSC-derivations cultures is requested in the terminal differentiation steps to construct many tissues and organs that simulate the native conditions. Biomaterials and related biofabrication techniques have been used in hiPSCs fate decision and application, but they were not enough emphasized and recognized (Yildirim et al., 2019). For instance, cell-sheet self-assembly technique was used in hiPSCs based clinical study of treating exudative age-related macular degeneration (Mandai et al., 2017), and the electrospinning, computing aided design/rapid prototyping, 3D bioprinting (Wheelton et al., 2016) are being investigated. In this review, we highlight the involvement of biomaterials and the biofabrication techniques in hiPSCs-based tissue engineering, particularly in hiPSCs-based in vitro modeling of neuromyopathic diseases (Figure 1).

**BIOMATERIALS FOR iPSCs APPLICATION**

The iPSC supportive biomaterials should be biocompatible, biodegradable, and have enough mechanical strength. In this section, the classification, composition, physical, and chemical cues of suitable biomaterials are discussed.

**Classification of Biomaterials in iPSCs and Tissue Engineering**

The common biomaterial types in stem cell and tissue engineering are inorganic materials, natural polymers, and synthetic polymers. The inorganic materials, such as metals and ceramics, have been widely applied as substitutes for broken bone or teeth, but these hard tissue specific characteristics also make inorganic materials rarely used in other applications. Meanwhile, polymer biomaterials, either natural or synthetic fit many application scenarios with stem cells involved, and have the potential to be directly adopted in iPSC applications.

**Natural Derived Materials**

Natural derived materials are largely similar to the cellular microenvironment, or even are directly taken from the extracellular matrix (ECM), which indicate the great biocompatibility with hiPSCs.

The mostly used natural polymers as scaffold and cell vehicles are polysaccharides. The polysaccharides are either from plants (e.g., alginate, agarose, and cellulose) or from animals (e.g., chitosan and chitin). The aqueous solutions of these polysaccharides can undergo a sol-gel transition upon reversible effect of external stimuli, such as temperature (agarose) and ionic strength (alginate and chitosan), forming polysaccharide-based hydrogel, which have good biocompatibility for cell survival and high porosity for cell ingrowth and effective mass transport.
When processed at physiologically-like condition, the hydrogels are capable to encapsulate cells. The mechanical properties and average pore size of polysaccharide-based hydrogel are dose- and structure-dependent (Aymard et al., 2001; Drury et al., 2004). Agarose and cellulose are slow/non-degradable in vivo and in vitro, and are used for long-term cell culture support. Meanwhile, alginate, chitosan and chitin are biodegradable, which are commonly used in drug delivery and making scaffolds for tissue reconstruction, e.g., for blood vessel, nerves and bone. In order to build a complex tissue, many different polymers are employed during tissue engineering applications. For instance, the combination of alginate, carboxymethyl-chitosan and agarose were used as cell-laden bio-ink to print iPSC-encapsulated construct for neuron reconstruction, and this construct can maintain the proliferation and pluripotency of iPSCs, and induce neuron differentiation and tissue reconstruction (Gu et al., 2017).

A typical natural derived ECM component is type I collagen, the most abundant ECM proteins in native tissue, and it is the first gel used in 3D tissue engineering (Vandenburgh et al., 1988; Kjaer, 2004). The derivant of collagen, gelatin, which can reduce the adverse effect of immunogenic problems of type I collagen (Schwick and Heide, 1969), then became popular in the in vivo applications (Tondera et al., 2016). One application example in iPSCs is that the fibroblast reprogramming efficacy was increased when seeded on type I collagen scaffold compares to the conventional 2D culture method (Gu et al., 2016).

Other ECMs, such as Matrigel or related proteins (e.g., laminin and fibrin), are also commonly applied in supporting iPSCs formation (Feaster et al., 2015), and help iPSCs derived cells forming 3D structures during differentiation and tissue reconstruction (Kong et al., 2018; Maffioletti et al., 2018). For example, thick mattress of undiluted Matrigel enables rapid generation and enhanced maturation of rod-shaped hiPSC-derived cardiomyocytes with aligned myofilaments and robust contractile responses. This Matrigel mattress-based cell culturing allows quantification of contractile performance at the single cell level, which should be valuable to disease modeling, drug discovery and preclinical cardiotoxicity test (Feaster et al., 2015). Using cell derived matrix such as fibroblast derived ECM to culture hiPSCs can mediate cell plasticity (Kim et al., 2018). Furthermore, PuraMatrix, a new commercial synthetic matrix, is a natural polymer mimic 16 amino acid synthetic peptide that can self-assemble into nanofibers (∼10 nm) in response to monovalent cations to mimic the in vivo soft hydrogel-based ECM for neural lineage differentiation (Zhang et al., 2014). However, low mechanical strength, potential impurities and inconsistency among the manufacturing batches limit the application of some of the ECM derived materials.

**Synthetic Polymers**

Synthetic origin polymers had been utilized in iPSCs based tissue engineering too. The well-established synthetic polymer types are poly(ε-caprolactone) (PCL), poly(3-hydroxybutyrate) (PHB), poly(lactic acid) (PLA), poly(L-lactic acid) (PLLA), poly(glycolic acid) (PGA), and their copolymers like poly(lactic-co-glycolide) (PLGA). Among these, PLGA and PCL, both have been approved by American Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for
Clinical application, are most frequently used. Both of PLGA and PCL can either form different shapes of biocompatible scaffolds, or encapsulate and deliver drug/bioactive molecules based on their controllable biodegradability. PCL is more stable in quality and more cost-effective than PLGA, while PLGA is easier in processing. Another elastic tissue substitute synthetic polymer is polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS), which has flexible mechanical strength, excellent biocompatibility, non-degradability, low cell toxicity, and negligible immune reaction, and have been used as iPSCs culture substrate (Herron et al., 2016; Kroll et al., 2017).

Synthetic gel and scaffolds are more controllable, reproducible, and exhibit stronger mechanical properties compared to native derived materials, however, they do not have sufficient bioactivity and biocompatibility. Thus, the combinations of native and synthetic polymers are adopted to achieve both biocompatibility and mechanical support in iPSCs based tissue engineering and other applications. For instance, the enzymatically crosslinked poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG)-based hydrogel, which was further modified with fibronectin-derived adhesion peptide Arginine-glycine-aspartate-serine-proline (RGDSP), has been proved that it can boost the hiPSC reprogramming efficacy (Caiazzo et al., 2016). In iPSC based bone tissue engineering, hydroxyapatite-coated poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid)/poly(L-lactic acid) (HA-PLGA/PLLA) scaffolds combined with cultivation of osteoblasts and osteoclasts, which were differentiated from hiPSC-mesenchymal stem cells and macrophages, respectively, resulted in accelerated bone formation both in vitro and in vivo (Jeon et al., 2016).

**Key Factors in Scaffold Design**

Scaffold design for iPSCs related application has to meet the needs of native tissue development and maturation. Several features of engineered scaffold, such as the surface modification, stiffness, topography, and bioactivity, affect the cellular behaviors like attachment, proliferation and differentiation, and the tissue formation.

**Surface Modification**

The surface of biomaterial scaffold can be modified with many functional groups (e.g., -OEG, CH₂, -OH, -NH₂, and -COOH), which provide a wide range of wettability and charge (Hao et al., 2016). Hydrophilic surface exhibits greater cell adhesion and migration compared with hydrophobic surface, because hydrophilia enhances the deposition of bioactive ECM proteins, which provides structure motifs for cells to bind. Similarly, the surface charge also affects the cell and protein bindings. The positive charge of amine group (-NH₂) displays greater protein affinity (Keselowsky et al., 2004) and fibroblasts adhesion and growth (Faucheux et al., 2004) compared with the neutral charged -OH and negative charged -COOH (Lindblad et al., 1997).

Beside the surface charge, the conductivity of scaffold started to draw more attention in the application, particularly in the neural and muscular system. Recently, Gelmi et al. reported an electromechanically active scaffold for iPSC based cardiac tissue engineering by using surface coating of polymer polypyrrole (PPy), a conductive polymer, on electrospun PLGA fiber scaffold (Gelmi et al., 2016). Graphene, single layers of carbon atoms with great electrical conductivity, biocompatibility, mechanical strength and high surface area, can be oxidized by oxygen-containing moieties (e.g., -COOH, -O-, -OH) to improve the surface roughness, colloidal stability and hydrophilicity, making it suitable for scaffold surface modification. When coated with graphene oxide-gold nanosheets, a chitosan scaffold gained the conductivity, and can improve hiPSC-derived cardiomyocytes attachment, differentiation and the in vivo cardiac contractility (Saravanan et al., 2018), and for neural tissue engineering (Bei et al., 2019). It is clearly a trend that more bio-electroactive scaffolds can be expected in the near future.

**Stiffness**

It was well-established that the matrix stiffness plays an important role during stem cell differentiation. During the in vitro culturing of human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs), soft matrix can promote neurogenic differentiation (0.1–1 kPa), moderate matrix supports the myogenic differentiation (8–17 kPa), while rigid matrix favors the osteogenic differentiation (25–40 kPa) (Engler et al., 2006; Gibson et al., 2006). The theory also applied to other stem cells, for instance, the purity and yield of functional motor neurons differentiated from hESC/iPSCs depends on the rigidity of substrate, soft substrate promote neuroepithelial induction of hiPSCs (Sun et al., 2014).

In addition, Kim et al. used fibroblast-derived matrix (FDM) as substrate to culture hiPSCs, and use different concentration of genepin to regulate the biophysical features of the FDM substrate. The FDM showed a range of the Young's modulus at ~100, 800, 5,600, and 8,900 Pa before and after crosslink treatments, and as the stiffness increase, the substrate conserve the pluripotent characteristics of hiPSCs at the expense of growth and migration (Kim et al., 2018). Therefore, the stiffness of biomaterials in iPSCs application should be carefully studied.

**Scaffold Topography**

Topography provides different physical cues in macro-/micro-patterns that regulate the stem cell fate and tissue structure. For example, in an electrospun porous membrane, there are at least three types of topography features that can affect the attached cells—the fiber diameter and direction (Christopherson et al., 2009; Mohtaram et al., 2015), the average pore size of the membrane (Levenberg et al., 2003), and the surface nanosized topography patterns (Abagnale et al., 2017). The fiber diameter and surface nanostructure influence the attached cells, and the pore size of the membrane affect the volume of cells that can fill the scaffold.

Fiber diameter and direction in scaffold affect the attached cell behavior, cells on small fibers showed stretched and multi-directional shape, whereas the cell extension on fibers with larger diameter is restricted. Cooper et al. reported that scaffold topography regulated iPSCs differentiation and showed that larger diameter (400 nm) aligned fibers enhanced iPSCs to become neural cells, while smaller diameter (200 nm) of the fibers promotes the expression of osteogenic and hepatic makers during iPSCs differentiation (Cooper et al., 2012).

The pore shape and size within scaffolds are important cues too. Ji et al. (2015) suggested that sphere-shaped pores give more support for iPSC osteogenic differentiation.
compared to rod-shaped pores within a nano-hydroxyapatite (HA)/chitosan/gelatin 3D porous scaffold. Meanwhile, Worthington et al. (2016) reported that greater proliferation and neurogenetic differentiation of iPSCs in porous PLGA scaffold.

Besides the macropatterns, the surface micro- and nano-sized features can affect the cell elongation, alignment, migration, polarization, and differentiation (Kong and Mooney, 2007). For example, surface micropatterned nanoridge could induce neurogenic cell morphology, while cells in smooth surface prefer to exhibit fibroblast-like morphology of MSCs (D’Angelo et al., 2010). In sum, topography of scaffold has strong influence on the attached hiPSCs and the hiPSCs based structures, and design the topography of scaffold for hiPSCs depends on the aim of application.

**Scaffold Bioactivity**

ECM compositions and growth factors are usually used to enhance the bioactivity of scaffold in hiPSCs related applications. For example, decellularized fibroblasts-derived matrices can support the maintenance and differentiation of hiPSCs (Lim et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2018), which may be mediated through the activation of integrin and downstream signaling events (Dickinson et al., 2011). Another example is that gelatin scaffold (GS) combined with BMP4 facilitated odontoblastic differentiation of hiPSCs (Ozeki et al., 2017). Encapsulating basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) in electrospun polycaprolactone-polyvinylidene fluoride (PCL-PVDF) nanofibrous scaffold can also significantly increase proliferation and osteogenic differentiation of the iPSCs on the scaffold (Abazari et al., 2019).

There are more comprehensive application examples for scaffold design, which the bioactivities were rebuilt based on the requests of spatiality and temporality features of hiPSCs. Xu et al. developed electrospun silk fibroin(SF)/poly(L-lactic acid-co-ε-caprolactone) (PLCL) core-shell fibers for dual-delivering the connective tissue growth factor (CTGF)-derived osteoinductive peptide H1 from the core, and HA from the shell. The resultant dual factor-containing scaffold markedly enhanced adhesion, proliferation and osteoelastic differentiation of hiPSC-derived mesenchymal stem cells (hiPSC-MSCs) (Xu et al., 2019). Mulyasasmita et al. (2014) designed and fabricated protein-polyethylene glycol (PEG) hybrid hydrogels as a tunable injectable carrier for co-delivery of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and hiPSC-endothelial cells.

These findings collectively suggest the great potential and significance of using biomaterial in iPSCs studies.

**BIOFABRICATION TECHNIQUES USED IN iPSCs RELATED APPLICATION**

**Scaffold Fabrication Techniques**

The biofabrication techniques used in manufacturing scaffolds for iPSCs, particularly in hiPSCs based tissue engineering, include the basic solvent casting, gas foaming, lyophilization, phase separation, self-assembling, and more advance, the electrospinning and 3D bioprinting (Figure 1, right panel). Selection of biofabrication technique(s) depends on the nature of biomaterials, and the structural and biological requests of the final products.

Acellularized tissue scaffold is one attractive bioactive scaffold type for iPSC-based tissue engineering because they can reserve the basic structure and bioactivity of the native tissue. hiPSCs cultured on decellularized human brain tissue-derived ECM showed enhanced differentiation into myelin-expressing oligodendrocytes, which involved in many neural disorders (Cho et al., 2019). Electrospun brain decellularized ECM (dECM) nanofibrous scaffold promoted maturation of hiPSC derived oligodendrocytes with increased production of myelin sheath-like structures (Cho et al., 2019). dECM have also been successfully used as cell-laden bio-ink (Pati and Cho, 2017). Yu et al. (2019) demonstrated the potential of using dECM of heart and liver in hiPSC 3D bioprinting. However, the derivation, donor sources, and inconsistency between batches make it is hard to refine the acellularized tissue scaffolds and dECM, which are crucial factors in manufacturing. Using polymers and other biomaterials for scaffold fabrication is more consistent and controllable, and the scaffold geometry structure can be precisely controlled from the general frame to very detailed surface nano-patterns by solvent casting (Li and Wurster, 2018), electrospinning (Mohtaram et al., 2015), lyophilization (Ji et al., 2016), surface treatments (Abagnale et al., 2017), etc.

Most of these methods can produce scaffolds with high porosity and controllable pore size and structure, however, there are limitations of each method. The classic techniques of solvent casting and gas forming bring inadequate pore interconnectivity, that limits the thickness of scaffolds in application, while the freeze drying has temperature requirements when manufacturing. Multiple types of biomaterials and biofabrication techniques are thus used in combination when re-establish the complex tissues and organs, such as for bone, neuron, liver, and heart tissue engineering. For example, a polymeric nanofibrous constructs made by hydroxyapatite/chitosan/gelatin with high porosity can be manufactured by phase separation technique based on the natural of materials (Ji et al., 2016). More advanced technique is electrospinning, with the combinations of using natural polymers (e.g., collagen, gelatin, chitosan, and dECM) and synthetic polymers (e.g., PLLA, PCL), has been applied in building scaffolds for iPSCs based neuron, liver, heart, bladder, pancreas, bone, cartilage, and tendon tissues. Recently, the 3D bioprinting is a burgeoning technology with higher accuracy and greater shape complexity in biomaterials-based scaffold fabrication. Moreover, living cells also can be printed with 3D printing in bio-inks. As reported, biomaterials such as alginate, cellulose, chitosan, agarose (Gu et al., 2017; Nguyen et al., 2017), PEG (Maiullari et al., 2018), hyaluronic acid and gelatin methacrylate (GelMA) (Ma et al., 2016) have been used bio-inks in iPSC-based 3D printing. Table 1 summarized the typical examples of classic and advanced scaffold fabrication technologies that are used in iPSC-based tissue engineering applications, and their advantages and disadvantages (Table 1).

**Cell Self-Assembling Techniques**

Besides the scaffold, the cell based self-assembling techniques are used abundantly in iPSCs based tissue engineering. The typical
TABLE 1 | Biofabrication techniques used in hiPSC-based tissue engineering: cardiac, neural tissue, and others.

| Fabrication technique | Biomaterials used in hiPSC-based tissue engineering (TE) | Advantage | Disadvantage |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Solvent casting/Particulate leaching | Alginate/chitosan/gelatin in TE of neuron (Kuo and Hsueh, 2017) | High porosity | Produce thin membranes up to 3 mm thick |
| | PLGA in TE of neural retina (Worthington et al., 2016) | | Unable to control individual pore structure and distribution |
| | Polyurethane in TE of vascular tissue (Lin et al., 2017) | | |
| | PCL/borophosphosilicate glass/boron in TE of bone (Mondal et al., 2019) | | |
| Gas foaming | Applicable biomaterials such as silk fibroin (Maniglio et al., 2018), cellulose (Lee et al., 2015), and collagen (Croutze et al., 2013) | Free of organic solvents | Inadequate pore interconnectivity |
| | These biomaterials are suitable for iPSC-based tissue engineering, can be used in hiPSC tissue engineering in the future | Encapsulation of bioactive species | Unable to control pore structure and distribution |
| Freeze drying | Methacrylate-modified HA (HAMA) in Rett syndrome disease modeling of neuron (Zhang et al., 2018) | Pore diameter and porosity in the scaffolds can be regulated | Cannot engineer scaffolds with hierarchical structures |
| Phase separation | Hydroxyapatite/chitosan/gelatin used in TE of bone (Li et al., 2015) | Produce polymeric nanofibrous constructs | Limited materials combinations can use this method |
| | | Produce high porosity and interconnected scaffolds | Inadequate resolution |
| | | Stable between batches | No orientation or alignment of the scaffold |
| | | | Less control over fiber diameter (Ma and Zhang, 1999) |
| Self-assembly | Collagen/HA/alginate, and the peptides of fibronectin fragment GRQDSP and laminin fragment Ln5-P4, were self-assembled as scaffold for induce differentiation of hiPSCs and TE of neuron (Kuo and Hsueh, 2017) | Assemble scaffold without directed external intervention | Limited mechanical property and stability |
| | | Biomimetic, bioactive | No orientation or alignment of the scaffold |
| | | Widely used in protein, peptide, hydrogel | Case-by-case, depends on the properties of the precursor monomers, the specific intra- and intermolecular interactions from molecular identity |
| | | Cells and bioactive agents can be incorporated | Limited control of pore structures |
| | | | Process depends on many variables, such as solution, processing parameters, and atmosphere |
| | | | Sometimes used solvents like surfactants can be toxic |
| Electrospinning | Polyaniline/polyethersulfone used in TE of heart (Mahmoodinia Maymand et al., 2017) | Generate ultrafine fibers with diameters ranging from <2 nm to several micrometers (Huang et al., 2006) | Limited control of pore structures |
| | | High surface area to volume ratio beneficial for cell attachment and bioactive factors loading | Process depends on many variables, such as solution, processing parameters, and atmosphere |
| | | Capable of producing long, continuous fibers | Sometimes used solvents like surfactants can be toxic |
| | | Possibility to control fiber morphology (e.g., porous or core-shell) | |
| | | Apply to plethora of polymers | |
| | | Avoid temperature changing | |
| | | Modified into electroblowing avoiding use of solvent, and electrospraying to form thin layer of polymer | |
| 3D bioprinting | Alginate and PEG-Fibrinogen used in TE of heart (Maullari et al., 2019) | Automated, high accuracy, controllable, and reproducible | Cell viability can be affected while applying extrusion pressure |
| | Using dECM of heart and liver tissue in hiPSC 3D bioprinting (Yu et al., 2019) | Covers a broad range of biomaterials | The size of objects is limited |
| | Alginate/chitosan/agarose used in TE of neuron (Gu et al., 2017) | Cells and bioactive agents can be incorporated | The current resolution is insufficient to print capillaries, which are as small as 3 µm in diameter (Potter and Groom, 1983) |
| | GelMA/GMHA used in TE of liver (Ma et al., 2016) | High resolution | |
| | HA used in TE of liver (Ma et al., 2016) | Fabricate constructs of multicellular, automical architecture providing vasculature | |
| | Cellulose/Alginate used in TE of cartilage (Nguyen et al., 2017) | | |
one is cell-sheet technology, which was firstly derived from the monolayer cell cultures with enriched ECM production to form a sheet spontaneously. Later on, multiple cell-sheets are folded into 3D tissue structures. This technology gradually progressed with cells seeded on the dishes which are modified with temperature-responsive polymer poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) (PIPAAm) at a nanometer-scale thickness, or coated with native ECM derived gels (e.g., type I collagen, fibrin), that can further be easily removable from the petridish by changing temperature or treatment with enzymes, respectively (Figure 1, middle panel, B). The application of cell-sheet technology is not limited to single cell type, multiple-lineage co-cultures can also be introduced when the cell sheets stack together to mimic the structure of the native tissue. The cell-sheet technology is currently limited in thickness of reconstructed tissue due to insufficient oxygen and nutrient supply to the core, but iPSC-based cell-sheet has been used for thin tissue regeneration, such as in the retina clinical trial (Mandai et al., 2017). Furthermore, the origami-based smart scaffolds are the further development of cell-sheet technology (Kim et al., 2015). This fabrication process relies on computer-aided designs of the 3D scaffold structure, which can control the internal stresses within scaffolds, and transform the scaffold sheets into designed 3D structures, thus enhance the complexity and robustness of the original cell-sheets (Kim et al., 2015).

Organoid is another representative self-assembly biofabrication technique that is well-used in iPSCs based tissue engineering (Figure 1, middle panel, A). Organoids are the tiny organ like-3D tissue structures, which can be derived from pluripotent stem cells following the developmental processes in vitro (McCaulley and Wells, 2017). It could represent the complex physiological features of organs or tissues during both normal development and disease affected changes. The first successfully established organoid is intestinal organoid in 2009 (Sato et al., 2009). Hans Clevers and his colleagues used single Lgr5+ stem cell to generate crypt-villus organoids without epithelial cellular niche (Sato et al., 2009). Afterwards, synthetic hydrogel were introduced into the culture system, which can improve the formation of organoids. Glorevske et al., reported that PEG hydrogel backbone functionalized with RGD(Arg-Gly-Asp) is sufficient for dynamic control of the culture condition to improve the proliferation and differentiation of intestinal stem cells and organoids (Glorevski et al., 2016; Glorevski and Lutolf, 2017). The biomaterials assisted iPSCs-based organoids thus provide a more flexible cell model for almost any differentiation requirement for future applications.

Although cell-based self-assembling methods have been widely used in tissue engineering, the tissue size problem remained, the maximum size/thickness of the 3D structure is limited by the oxygen and nutrient diffusion, and manufacturing bigger/thicker tissues may result in necrotic cores. As improvement, combining the self-assembled small tissue units with blood supply and/or ventilation channels by using designed scaffolds and bioreactors probably could overcome the size limitation by providing a pathway for nutrients and oxygen supply.

**BIOMATERIALS IN iPSCs BASED DISEASE MODELING**

Lately, patient-derived iPSCs have been used to model colonic tissues for drug screening (Crespo et al., 2017). HiPSCs derived lung tissues, including human alveoli and lung bud organoids have been established to model pulmonary tissues (Chen et al., 2017; Yamamoto et al., 2017). Therefore, it is gradually proven that hiPSCs can be used in disease modeling and drug screening, specifically for those caused by genetic mutations, and lacking appropriate animal models.

Central nerve system is one of the most complex system in human. Scientists have come up with strategies to modeling forebrain spheroid from hiPSCs with functional cortical neurons (Birey et al., 2017). Moreover, using bioreactors, hiPSCs derived specific region of brain tissue could be modeled within several months (Qian et al., 2018). The brain organoids could also be vascularized through re-embedded in Matrigel with hiPSC-derived endothelial cells, which can be transplanted into animals to form human CD31+ blood vessels within the organoid (Pham et al., 2018). The development of brain organoids is valuable for studying complex neural development and disease (Logan et al., 2019). Similar applications of hiPSCs derived organoids were reported for heart tissues and disease (Hoang et al., 2018).

In this session, biomaterials used in patient derived iPSCs based disease modeling with genetic mutation in neuromuscular disease (e.g., skeletal muscle, cardiac muscle, and neurons; Figure 1, left panel) are discussed.

**Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy**

Dystrophin is a cytoplasmic protein in the linker protein complex that connect cytoskeleton of muscle fibers to local ECM. Mutation of dystrophin gene can cause the DMD, a severe degenerative muscle disorder that affects mostly boys in early childhood, progress to disability at around 12 years old, and the average life expectancy is 26 years old. Because animal models and human conditions are different in DMD, tissue engineering a three-dimensional artificial skeletal muscle tissue from DMD patient derived hiPSCs (Choi et al., 2016) become a potential powerful model for studying the pathology and therapy.

In order to generate muscle tissue in vitro, the first step is to differentiate the hiPSCs into skeletal muscle cells. The myogenesis protocol of hiPSCs is a step-wise differentiation protocol. Firstly, the hiPSCs is differentiated into myogenic progenitors with factors such as Pax3/Pax7, and followed by the myogenic regulatory factor (MRF) MyoD/Myf5/Mrf4 to get myoblasts, then use Myogenin to get mature myotubes (Kodaka et al., 2017). After the hiPSCs were fully differentiated in to mature myotubes, these cells would be able to build 3D skeletal muscle tissue for drug screening (Uchimura et al., 2017).

Several ECM proteins have been adopted as scaffold for skeletal muscle tissue engineering, such as collagen, fibrin and laminin (Juhas et al., 2016; Shadrin et al., 2016), which has the potential to be used in hiPSCs skeletal muscle tissue engineering. For example, during the differentiation and the assembling of myotubes from iPSCs, fibrin gel is used to embed the differentiated myoprogenitors, which eventually
form aligned multi-nucleated myotubes that exhibit calcium transients in response to electrical stimulation (Rao et al., 2018). Supplementing Matrigel during muscle formation can improve the engineered muscle structure, as myofibers in healthy muscle directly interact with basal lamina proteins (Sato et al., 2011). Nakayama et al. (2018) fabricated 3D parallel aligned nanofibrillar scaffolds with type I collagen, which significantly improved vascular perfusion and muscle innervation after in vivo implantation for volumetric muscle loss. These successfully applications in muscle tissue engineering suggested that these knowledge are transferable to hiPSC-based muscle tissue engineering for DMD.

A recent sophisticated model for hiPSCs derived DMD muscle tissue has utilized the fibrin hydrogel to combine multiple DMD-hiPSCs derived cell types, which include iPSCs derived myofibers, vascular endothelial cells, pericytes, and motor neurons (Maffioletti et al., 2018). In this multilineage culture system, isogenic hiPSC-derived endothelial cells, pericytes, and myogenic cells were firstly generated and embedded within fibrin hydrogels with uniaxial tension to help the myogenic differentiation. Neurofilament protein SMI32+ cells with long axon-like processes spreading from hiPSC-derived neurospheres were further placed above the hydrogels to differentiate from neural precursors into motor neurons. A 3D-hiPSC derived artificial skeletal muscle model of DMD were built and pathological cellular hallmarks can be modeled with high fidelity (Maffioletti et al., 2018).

One interesting application of biomaterials in DMD-hiPSCs is that the nano-topography of cell seeding materials can result different myotube forming patterns of healthy and DMD-hiPSCs, and this myotube alignment difference can provide a sensitive phenotypic readout as a biomarker for related drug testing (Xu et al., 2018). Xu et al. differentiated myotubes from hiPSCS-derived myogenic progenitors with non-diseased, less-affected DMD, and severely-affected DMD, respectively, and further tested the morphologies of myotubes when they were cultured on substrates patterned with nanogrooves. They discovered that myotubes derived from healthy iPSs aligned almost perpendicular to the nanogrooves, while the counterparts with severely affected DMD showed random orientation, and myotubes from less-affected DMD donors aligned approximately 14° off the alignment direction of non-disease myotubes. Based on the distinct cell morphologies in alignment and orientation, this disease affected special phenotype of hiPSCs can be used as a simple and cost-effective readout for DMD drug screening, and serves as a complementary tool for early diagnosis of DMD (Xu et al., 2018).

**Cardiac Muscle—Congenital Heart Diseases**

Similar to the DMD, genetic mutation caused cardiac muscle abnormalities can be modeled by patient derived hiPSCs. CHDs caused by alteration in the **DAND5** gene, can be represented by iPSCs generated from patients (Cristo et al., 2017). Hypoplastic left heart (HLH) patient derived iPSCs were used to identify primary cardiac defects such as changes in expression of cardiac transcription factors and changes in histone modification (Bosman et al., 2015). Channelopathies can be modeled by iPSCs for cardiometabolic diseases. iPSCs derived from a patient with a heterozygous D1257N mutation in the **SCN5A** gene, which encodes for a subunit in the cardiac voltage-gated sodium channel NaV1.5, were used to show the mutation lowered NaV1.5 levels (Hayano et al., 2017). Cardiomyopathy modeling of left ventricular non-compaction (LVNC) through iPSCs derived from LVNC patients with a mutation in the cardiac transcription factor gene **TBX20** (Kodo et al., 2016), and familial hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) can be established by patient derived iPSCs with a single nonsense mutation in the **MYH7** Gene (Han et al., 2014).

To generate a piece of cardiac muscle from hiPSCs, hiPSCs-cardiomyocytes can be seeded in hydrogel-based scaffolds, which are commonly used to mimic the microenvironment of myocardium and form cell-sheet. Natural ECM protein collagen type I, fibronectin, and laminin are commonly used, and PGA, hyaluronic acid (HyA), or mixtures of HyA with alginate also can support the 3D modeling of cardiac muscle (Breckwoldt et al., 2017). In addition to the ECM and hydrogel, electrospun nano-fibrous scaffolds are utilized to provide stronger support and 3D structure. Amirabad et al. seeded hiPSCs derived cardiomyocytes onto the electrospun polyaniline/polyestersulfone (PANI/PES) nanofibrous scaffolds modified with camphor-10-sulfonic acid (β) (CPSA), then cultured this 3D structure in cardiomyocyte-inducing factors, and exposed to unidirectional electrical impulse mimicking the unidirectional wave of electrical stimulation like the native cardiac tissue, which significantly enhanced the cardiomyocyte differentiation of cardiac patient-specific iPSCs (Mohammadi Amirabad et al., 2017).

Even with the patient specific-hiPSCs derived cardiomyocytes, heart is still a very complex organ to recapitulate. Integration of more cell types, such as cardiac fibroblasts and endothelial cells are crucial in myocardial tissue engineering and disease modeling, and scaffolds are also required for the formation of the complicated 3D structures. Kim et al. demonstrate improved endothelial differentiation of iPSCs when seeded on the electrospun porous PCL microfibrous scaffolds, especially with parallel-aligned fiber orientation, which can further induce anisotropic vascular network-like organization (Kim et al., 2017).

A more advanced fabrication method to incorporate multiple cell types to form comprehensive tissue is the 3D bioprinting technology (Figure 1, right panel, A), which had been used in hiPSCs derived myocardial tissue engineering. HiPSCs based scaffold-free cardiac constructs can be assembled by 3D bioprinter with iPSCs-derived cardiomyocytes, human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs), and normal human dermal fibroblasts (NHDFs). These cells were suspended and mixed to form cardiac spheroids containing total of 35,000 cells/spheroid, then the spheroids were placed on a needle array by 3D-Bioprinter according to the desired 3D design to form functional tubular cardiac constructs (Arai et al., 2018). More often, bio-inks such as hydrogel and ECMs were applied in the 3D bio-printing of hiPSCs based cardiac tissue. Maiullari et al. used 3D bioprinting in combination with HUVECs and iPSC-derived cardiomyocytes to fabricate...
a model of vascularized cardiac tissue. In this study, the cells were encapsulated within hydrogel strands containing alginate and PEG-Fibrinogen and extruded through a bioprinter that allows to precisely tailor their 3D spatial deposition, a multicellular 3D bioprinted cardiac tissue patch was formed, and the hiPSCs in the scaffold had differentiated into cardiac phenotype with better overall alignment of cardiomyocytes and blood vessels ingrowth in the 3D printed tissue compared to the hiPSC-cardiomyocytes in bulk hydrogel after in vivo implantation (Matullari et al., 2018). Gao et al. produced hiPSC-derived cardiac muscle patch (hCMP) from hiPSC-derived cardiomyocytes, smooth muscle cells, and endothelial cells, and a native ECM structural-like scaffold with the resolution in submicron scale. The native ECM–mimic scaffold was printed via 3D- multiphoton-excited bio-printer with methacrylated gelatin and sodium 4-[2-(4-morpholino)benzoyl-2-dimethylamino]-butylbenzenesulfonate (MBS), with ECM components and patterns that support either cardiomyocytes, endothelial cells or smooth muscle cells in a multilayer structure that to generate hCMPs (Gao et al., 2017).

In future applications, biomaterial scaffold with elastic and electrical conductivity have the potential to be adopted in hiPSC based cardiac tissue engineering, cardiac functional test, and drug screening. The elastic and electrically conductive devices can provide stimulations for tissue maturation, and examine the mechanical and electronical signals of hiPSCs derived myocardial tissue. It has been used in cardiac tissue engineering—a porous conductive scaffold from aniline pentamer-modified polyurethane/PCL blend was made for cardiac tissue engineering (Baheiraei et al., 2015). And more biofabrication methods and applications include the elastic suspension (Godier-Furnemont et al., 2015), elastic silicone posts (Boudou et al., 2012), or thin silicone frames (Jackman et al., 2016) that allow the engineered cardiac tissue to perform contractile work can be expected. One interesting study of using biomaterial-electronics hybrid scaffold to record the electrophysiological activities of attached cells is inspiring for future hiPSCs based application—nanocomposite fibers of polycaprolactone-gelatin were combined with electronic mesh as hybrid scaffold to host the cardiac cells to form a functional cardiac tissue, and the electrophysiological activities can be recorded from the scaffold (Feiner et al., 2016).

**Neurological Diseases Modeling**

Patient-derived iPSCs had been utilized to model frontotemporal dementia (FTD), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) Alzheimer’s disease (AD), in vitro for drug and therapeutic screenings (Figure 1A, left panel).

For FTD and ALS, disease specific iPSC-derived cells were used, such as FTD-associated mutation in the PRGN gene in hiPSCs astrocytes (Valdez et al., 2017), and a mutation shared by both FTD and ALS, the hexanucleotide GGGGCC repeat expansions in C9orf72, was modeled using iPSC-derived motor neurons (Lopez-Gonzalez et al., 2016).

For AD, astrocytes generated from patient-derived iPSCs can model the early stages of the disease (Jones et al., 2017), and be used for drug screening (Oksanen et al., 2017).
controlling the differentiation and application of hiPSCs. More biofabrication technologies for scaffold manufacturing, drug, and cell delivery including shape-memory elastomeric scaffold fabricated through micromolding technique (Montgomery et al., 2017), and external stimuli (e.g., pH, temperature, and light)-sensitive biomaterial (Knipe and Peppas, 2014) can be expected. Furthermore, hiPSC-biomaterial combination are able to model the physiological and pathological conditions in vitro for many other tissue and organs, such as to be applied in studying the reestablishment of the stem cell niche (bone marrow), immune system (lymphatic) (Galat et al., 2017), and nutrient support (blood supply) (Atchison et al., 2017) in the future.
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