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Abstract

With the increase in the discovery of vulnerabilities, the expected exploits occurred in various software platform has shown an increased growth with respect to time. Only after being discovered, the potential vulnerabilities might be exploited. There exists a finite time lag in the exploitation process; from the moment the hackers get information about the discovery of a vulnerability and the time required in the final exploitation. By making use of the time lag approach, we have developed a framework for the vulnerability exploitation process that occurred in multiple stages. The time lag between the discovery and exploitation of a vulnerability has been bridged via the memory kernel function over a finite time interval. The applicability of the proposed model has been validated using various software exploit datasets.
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1 Introduction

The rapid growth of new technology has impacted the concern of software firms towards the security profile of a software product. In spite of upgrading a software product with the addition of new features, the software program still contains various flaws. The addition of new code and features consequently increases the potential flaws present in the software and hence affects the security of the software system. In the operational phase of software, some bugs that are identified are more dangerous than the others and may weaken the security profile of the software system. Thus, these flaws are noted as software vulnerabilities. A number of definitions for ‘vulnerability’ have been proposed, but the definition given by Krsul highlights all the different areas in which a vulnerability can be originated as “an instance of a mistake in the specification, development or implementation of a software such that its execution may violate the security policy” [1]. These flaws are accidentally created during the software development life cycle (SDLC) and later came into the existence as a vulnerability and are required to be patched speedily. The impact of these vulnerabilities ranges from inconvenience to economic damage. A well-known vulnerability named Code-Red contained in Windows operating system affected more than three million computers worldwide. Another vulnerability caused by the ‘Slammer worm’ was discovered in 2003 and it exploited 75 thousand computers within 15 minutes. Likewise, in Oct. 2014, a flaw existed in Windows operating system that allowed the intruders to remotely install malware into the target computer.

Vulnerabilities are normally discovered during the operational phase of software, and, the discovery is majorly credited to the external detectors available in the field. If a vulnerability has been discovered by a black hat user then the probability of exploiting the vulnerability significantly rises. Instead of alerting the software vendor, the black hat user might exploit the vulnerability himself and likely sell the information to the hacker group. A vulnerability in software undergoes a life cycle, going from first identification to its eventual patching. As described by Ozment, the various stages accompanied by a vulnerability can be described as following events [2]:

- **Injection date:** “It is the date on which a vulnerability is first checked in the source code or the code is built or compiled.”
- **Discovery date:** “It corresponds to the date on which a loophole is first detected.”
- **Disclosure date:** “It is the date on which the vendor is notified by the detector.”
• Public date: “It corresponds to the event on which a detailed description of the vulnerability is made publicly known.”
• Patch date: “The patch date is the time instance on which a fix is supplied for the vulnerability.”
• Exploit date: “It is the date on which an exploit for the vulnerability is released.”

The collection of different vulnerabilities identified in software systems have been maintained by different databases such as National Vulnerability Database (NVD), CERT, Exploit Database (EDB), and security focus. The organizations like CERT inform the vendor when a vulnerability is detected and allocates a time interval for delivering a patch for the discovered vulnerabilities. After the time window, the vulnerability information is revealed to the public. Similarly, organizations like EDB provide vulnerability exploit information for the vulnerabilities that can be exploited and failure in providing a suitable patch for the vulnerabilities might be disastrous for both users and vendor(s).

This article attempts to provide a mathematical framework that can model the vulnerability exploit process by making use of vulnerability discovery models (VDMs). To outline the discovery of a vulnerability in a software system, various VDMs are available to predict the rate at which vulnerabilities are discovered. The VDMs are time-based models that help in assessing the security profile of a software system by determining the loopholes present in the software, and the respective rate at which vulnerabilities are identified. Further, the VDMs can be utilized to assess the information related to exploited vulnerabilities as those vulnerabilities which are not patched might get exploited based on their characteristics. Thus, the goal of this paper is to develop a model that can furnish a functional relationship that exists between the vulnerability discovery and vulnerability exploit phenomenon. Our model allows a software vendor to assess the exploit status of vulnerabilities in software and to allocate resources in the development of patches. To our knowledge, no previous work has used VDMs in studying the exploit phenomenon of vulnerabilities. The exploits occur after a time-lag in the vulnerability discovery process. In this paper, we have considered that after the discovery of vulnerabilities in a software system a significant time lag happens after that only the vulnerabilities are exploited. The proposal helps in knowing the exploit trend based on the number of vulnerabilities discovered.

The paper is divided into various sections. In Section 2, we review the relevant literature. Section 3 provides the proposed modeling framework describing the vulnerability exploit phenomenon based on the discovered
vulnerabilities. In Section 4, we present an empirical illustration of the developed proposal. Finally, Section 5 concludes the work.

2 Literature

The classification of vulnerability discovery models (VDMs) is grouped in time-based and effort-based modeling. The prior captures the vulnerabilities discovered with respect to the time, and the latter predicts the vulnerabilities based on the efforts applied. The criteria to predict the vulnerabilities considered time as the governing factor, which was also a major attribute of most of the VDM papers in the literature. It was Anderson who shows the vulnerability discovery phenomenon follows a similar software reliability growth modeling trend [3]. In particular, Rescorla estimates linear and exponential trends in the discovery of vulnerabilities [4]. The models performed well in the case of the Redhat 7.0 version, however unable to capture the trend in the case of WinNT4, Solaris 2.5.1, and FreeBSD datasets. Later, Alhazmi and Malaiya developed an S-shaped logistic growth model (AML) to anticipate the behavior of vulnerabilities discovered as per the learning phenomenon accompanied by the users [5]. The AML model fitted the data sets of different types of software effectively and closely. Of late, many researchers have deduced VDMs based on different discovery patterns [6–13].

The vulnerability exploit phenomenon has received increasing attention in the domain of cybersecurity. However, there exists little work in this line of research as related to the works proposed for predicting vulnerabilities in a software system. The majority of work has been done in predicting the cyber exploit based on the machine learning approach. Bozorgi et al. [14] considered a model that gathers features from a database namely Open Source Vulnerability Database (OSVDB) which is now discontinued to predict the exploits based on the Proof of Concepts (PoCs) availability. In their work, 73% of vulnerabilities were recorded as exploited as compared to ones recorded in the literature [15, 16]. Later, Sabottke et al. [17] developed an exploit prediction model using a dataset acquired from Twitter having links to CVE-IDs and from Symantec threat signatures for the positive labels. Of late, Almukaynizi et al. [18] deduced a model that considers data from various sources to predict the likelihood of exploitation, and Bhatt et al. [19] developed an exploit prediction framework and claimed it a highly effective approach for the exploit that could be seen in the wild.
3 Mathematical Modeling

The proposal is developed based on the following assumptions that have been considered in this research work:

- The potential number of vulnerabilities is fixed during the discovery process.
- The number of vulnerabilities that are discovered at any time point \( t \) is directly proportional to the remaining number of potential vulnerabilities which are undiscovered at that time \( t \).
- Discovery and exploitation process are connected to each other.
- The exploitation takes place after the vulnerabilities are discovered in software.

This section is divided into two major parts. In the first section, we talk about the vulnerability discovery phenomenon and its modeling, and the second part discusses the vulnerability exploit process for the discovery modeling. These two categories provide a brief outline of the discovery phenomenon for the exploited vulnerabilities.

3.1 Vulnerability Discovery Process

During this stage, vulnerabilities are discovered in a software system. The vulnerability discovery phenomenon considered during this stage is considered as proposed by Rescorla [4]. The model assumed that the number of vulnerabilities discovered at any time point or the vulnerability intensity is proportional to the remaining number of undiscovered vulnerabilities.

The differential equation depicting the discovery scenario can be mathematically modeled as:

\[
\frac{d\Omega_1(t)}{dt} \propto (N - \Omega_1(t)) \quad (1)
\]

where \( N \), the total number of the potential vulnerabilities; \( \Omega_1(t) \), the cumulative number of discovered vulnerabilities by time \( t \). The vulnerability discovery process will capture the left-over undiscovered vulnerabilities with a constant rate \( \alpha \). The above differential Equation (1) can be written as:

\[
\frac{d\Omega_1(t)}{dt} = \alpha(N - \Omega_1(t)) \quad (2)
\]
The solution of the above Equation (2) can be found using the initial condition, \( \Omega_1(0) = 0 \). A closed form of the above Equation (2) can be written as:

\[
\Omega_1(t) = N(1 - e^{-\alpha t})
\]  

Equation (3) represents the number of active vulnerabilities discovered by time \( t \) which might get exploited. The discovery model has been obtained by using the non-decreasing mean value function which follows the exponential growth pattern in discovery process. Further, other forms of discovery patterns can also be used such as logistic, hump-shaped, etc.

### 3.2 Vulnerability Exploitation Process

The objective of this research is to study the effect of the time lag between vulnerability discovery and its exploitation. The time lag approach has been utilized by making use of distributed time lag approach. The time delay during the exploitation process is established as a weighted response. The time delay is measured over a definite interval of time using the appropriate memory kernel. Since, after the discovery of vulnerabilities there exists a finite time lag before the software vulnerability is exploited. Hence, the functional relationship between the discovery and exploitation process is discussed below. The vulnerabilities which got discovered in the previous stage will become the potential vulnerabilities that might be exploited for this stage, as some of the vulnerabilities would be exploited after the discovery. Hence, the vulnerabilities discovered as given in Equation (4) can be considered as an upper limit for the next stage. Hence, the equation for exploitation process can be written as:

\[
\frac{d\Omega_2(t)}{dt} = b(\Omega_1(t) - \Omega_2(t))
\]  

where \( \Omega_2(t) \), the cumulative number of vulnerabilities exploited by time \( t \); \( b \), constant rate of exploitation process.

To capture the time gap between the vulnerability discovery and its final exploitation, a distributed time lag approach has been utilized to understand the exploitation process. Further, using the ideology given by Diamond it has been assumed that the vulnerabilities discovered in past time would be exploited in the present time [20]. And, the time lag that has been considered is continuously distributed rather than discrete time lags as advocated by Cushing [21]. To describe this phenomenon, the influence of time delay in Equation (4) can be measured through an appropriate memory kernel.
over a finite past time. Therefore, Equation (4) can be rewritten as an
integro-differential equation in the presence of time lag as:

$$\frac{d\Omega_2(t)}{dt} = b \int_0^t K(t - \tau)(\Omega_1(t) - \Omega_2(t))d\tau$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

In this paper, we have limited ourselves by considering a weak memory
kernel form for the analysis, i.e.,

$$K(t) = ve^{-vt}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where, \(v\) represents the parameter or rate at which past has a bearing on the
present. In Equation (6), \(v^{-1}\) can be described as the time scale of the system.
So, with the passage of time the weighted response of kernel gets influenced
and falls exponentially. It implies that the kernel would be less reliable when
the past is remoter [21]. The reason for considering the weak memory kernel
function is because as soon as the vulnerabilities are discovered the chances
of getting exploited is more as the patches for the vulnerabilities are normally
provided by the vendors instantly and then exploitation would not be possible.

In order to solve Equation (5), the expressions for \(\Omega_1(t)\) and the kernel
function from Equations (3) and (6) are plugged into it. Then, the Equation (5)
can be written as:

$$\frac{d\Omega_2(t)}{dt} = b \int_0^t ve^{-v(t-\tau)}(N(1 - e^{-\alpha\tau}) - \Omega_2(\tau))d\tau$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

After solving the Equation (7) by using the Laplace transformation, with
initial condition \(\Omega_2(t = 0) = 0\), the cumulative number of exploited
vulnerabilities by time \(t\) can be written as:

$$\Omega_2(t) = N \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{v}{2A}\right) e^{-\frac{v}{2}t} - \left(e^{-\alpha t} - e^{-\frac{v}{2}t}\right)\left(\cos(At) + \frac{1}{A} \left(\frac{v}{2} - \alpha\right) \sin(At)\right)\right) \frac{b}{\alpha(\alpha - v) + bv}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where, \(A = \sqrt{bv - \frac{v^2}{4}}\)

Hence, the Equation (8) represent the two-stage vulnerability exploitation
process by considering the impact of time delay in the vulnerability discovery
process and is comparable to the model proposed by Aggarwal et al. [22].
4 Parameter Estimation

To exemplify the accuracy and predictive ability of the proposed model based on the time delay approach, we have used two software vulnerability exploit data sets. The two data sets used in the analysis are of the well-known software platforms, namely Microsoft (DS-I) and Solaris (DS-II), obtained from Exploit Database (https://www.exploit-db.com/). It is important to note that the data sets considered in this paper are of mature software releases and all of these have similar ages (compiled for the period of around 14–16 years). The parameter estimation for the proposed model has been performed in SPSS software based on the non-linear least square method. Furthermore, we have evaluated various goodness-of-fit comparison criteria.

For DS-I, the data set corresponds to vulnerabilities exploited in applications for the Microsoft Windows platform, and the data has been collected from the year 1995 to 2018. For DS-II, the data set corresponds to vulnerabilities exploited in Solaris operating system from the year 1990 to 2018. The parameter estimation and comparison criteria of the proposed model have been calculated and can be viewed respectively through Tables 1 and 2.

From Table 1, it can be clearly noticed that the parameters $\alpha$ and $b$ describing the rate of exploitation is higher than the rate of discovery of a

| Parameter Estimates | Dataset | DS-I | DS-II |
|---------------------|---------|------|-------|
| $N$                 |         | 8579.98 | 229.05 |
| $v$                 |         | 0.0984 | 0.1020 |
| $\alpha$            |         | 0.3656 | 0.8500 |
| $b$                 |         | 0.5818 | 0.8796 |

| Comparison Criteria | Dataset | DS-I | DS-II |
|---------------------|---------|------|-------|
| MSE                 |         | 354980 | 396 |
| Bias                |         | $-119.96$ | $-3.39$ |
| Variation           |         | 541.90 | 18.11 |
| RMSPE               |         | 555.02 | 18.43 |
| $R^2$               |         | 0.973 | 0.935 |
vulnerability. It is because discovering the vulnerability might take a significant time. But once the vulnerabilities are discovered the rate of exploitation would be larger as hackers already know about the vulnerabilities. The parameter $N$ represents the total number of potential vulnerabilities to be identified in a software system.
Table 2 provides the comparison criterion calculated to provide the significance of the proposed model. The validation for the proposed model has been considered by computing various criteria, such as Mean Square Error (MSE), Coefficient of Determination (R²), Bias, Variation and Root Mean Square Prediction Error (RMSE) and their respective formulas can be found in [23].

Figures 1 and 2 deals with the cumulative number of vulnerabilities exploited with respect to years for datasets DS-I and DS-II. As can be seen in Figure 1, the predicted values obtained through the model are quite close to the actual dataset. Similarly, in Figure 2, the goodness of fit is quite good on the S-Shaped predicted data. This supports the predictive capability of the model.

5 Conclusion

As software becomes increasingly important in systems that perform complex and critical activities, e.g., stocks, banking, etc., the need for safe and secure software system also increases. In order to achieve better performability, software should avoid the breaches which arise due to the loopholes that are released as the part of the software. Hence, the software developer needs to continuously monitor the exploitation process for the vulnerabilities discovered in order to schedule patches required for their removal. In this paper, a mathematical model has been proposed that relates the exploitation phenomenon as a two-stage process. In the first stage the vulnerabilities are discovered and then after a finite time lag their exploitation might happen. We have considered memory kernel function to join the discovery and exploitation process for a vulnerability. A weak memory kernel has been utilized to denote the real-life aspect of the discovery and exploitation process based on the time of discovery, when the vulnerabilities are discovered the chances of getting exploited also increases if the vendor fails to provide a proper patch. Further, the validity and accuracy have been tested on two different vulnerability exposure data sets.
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