Dietary Mannan Oligosaccharides Enhance the Non-Specific Immunity, Intestinal Health, and Resistance Capacity of Juvenile Blunt Snout Bream (Megalobrama amblycephala) Against Aeromonas hydrophila
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Mannan oligosaccharides (MOS) have been studied and applied as a feed additive, whereas their regulation on the growth performance and immunity of aquatic animals lacks consensus. Furthermore, their immunoprotective effects on the freshwater fish Megalobrama amblycephala have not been sufficiently studied. Thus, we investigated the effects of dietary MOS of 0, 200, and 400 mg/kg on the growth performance, non-specific immunity, intestinal health, and resistance to Aeromonas hydrophila infection in juvenile M. amblycephala. The results showed that the weight gain rate of juvenile M. amblycephala was not significantly different after 8 weeks of feeding, whereas the feed conversion ratio decreased in the MOS group of 400 mg/kg. Moreover, dietary MOS increased the survival rate of juvenile M. amblycephala upon infection, which may be attributed to enhanced host immunity. For instance, dietary MOS increase host bactericidal and antioxidative abilities by regulating the activities of hepatic antimicrobial and antioxidant enzymes. In addition, MOS supplementation increased the number of intestinal goblet cells, and the intestine was protected from necrosis of the intestinal folds and disruption of the microvilli and junctional complexes, thus maintaining the stability of the intestinal epithelial barrier. The expression levels of M. amblycephala immune and tight junction-related genes increased after feeding dietary MOS for 8 weeks. However, the upregulated expression of immune and tight junction-related genes in the MOS supplemental groups was not as notable as that in the control group postinfection. Therefore, MOS supplementation might suppress the damage caused by excessive intestinal inflammation. Furthermore, dietary MOS affected the richness and composition of the gut microbiota, which improved the gut health of juvenile M. amblycephala by increasing the relative abundance of beneficial gut...
microbiota. Briefly, dietary MOS exhibited significant immune protective effects to juvenile *M. amblycephala*, which is a functional feed additive and immunostimulant.
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**INTRODUCTION**

According to their biological functions, oligosaccharides may be divided into nutritional oligosaccharides, which are digested and absorbed to provide energy, and functional oligosaccharides, which are not easily digested but have special biological functions. Compared to probiotics, oligosaccharides can reach the intestinal tract and avoid inactivation. Thus, functional oligosaccharides are considered ideal feed additives; these include chitooligosaccharides, mannan oligosaccharides (MOS), fructooligosaccharides, soy oligosaccharides, xylooligosaccharides, and isomaltose (1, 2).

MOS have been widely studied and used as a feed additive in livestock and poultry cultures, but their application in aquaculture is relatively rare (3, 4). Several studies have revealed the effects of MOS on growth performance and the feed conversion ratio of aquatic animals (5); however, the results are not consistent, which might be related to factors such as MOS supplemental levels, culture environment, dietary nutrient levels, animal species, and the developmental stage (5, 6). In addition, studies on crucian carp (7), *Labeo rohita* (8), and other fish have shown that MOS supplementation can improve the survival rate of juvenile fish upon bacterial infection. The protective mechanisms of MOS might include improving the gut microbiota, reducing the colonization of pathogens, and enhancing host antioxidative ability (5). For instance, MOS can activate the MR/PKCδ signaling pathway in *Ctenopharyngodon idella*, thereby improving intestinal antioxidative ability (9).

The mechanical barrier, composed of intestinal epithelial cells, is the most important barrier in the intestinal mucosa. MOS play a protective role in the intestinal epithelial barrier by regulating the expression of tight junction proteins in chickens, rats, and pigs. For example, MOS reduce intestinal mucosal barrier damage in rats with acute pancreatitis by increasing the expression of *claudin-1*, ZO-1, and *mucin 2* (*muc2*) (10). In addition, MOS have also been shown to protect the intestinal epithelial barrier of pigs by upregulating the expression of *ZO-1* and *claudin-1* following *Escherichia coli* infection (11). Furthermore, the immunoprotective effects of MOS are related to its protection of the integrity of the intestinal mucosal barrier, including the enhancement of the tight junction structures between intestinal epithelial cells and maintenance of the
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**Abbreviations:** MOS, mannan oligosaccharides; MR, mannose receptor; CFU, colony forming unit; LD50, median lethal dose; muc2, mucin 2; RTgutGC, Oncorhynchus mykiss intestinal epithelial cell line; MS-222, 3-aminobenzoic acid ethyl ester methane sulfonate; ACP, acid phosphatase; AKP, alkaline phosphatase; CAT, catalase; SOD, superoxide dismutase; GST, glutathione S-transferase; LZM, lysozyme; H&E, Hematoxylin and eosin staining; AB-PAS, Alcian blue and periodic acid Schiff staining; TEM, transmission electron microscopy; qRT-PCR, real-time quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; FLASH, Fast Length Adjustment of Short reads; OTUs, operational taxonomic units; DADA2, Divisive Amplicon Denoising Algorithm; PCoA, principal coordinates analysis; LDA, linear discriminant analysis; LEfSe, LDA effect size; NMDS, non-metric multidimensional scaling.
length and density of the microvilli (6, 12). An established in vitro model using the Oncorhynchus mykiss intestinal epithelial cell line (RTgutGC) showed that MOS exhibit better protective effects on intestinal immunity and barrier functions than nucleotides and β-glucan (13).

However, the protective effects and mechanisms of MOS upon intestinal infection in Megalobrama amblycephala, one of the major freshwater fish in China, have not been sufficiently studied. Recently, because of the degradation of germplasm resources and environmental pollution, diseases have occurred frequently during M. amblycephala culture, among which the most serious is bacterial septicemia caused by infection with Aeromonas hydrophila. The infectious processes of A. hydrophila occur mainly through the intestinal tract, which penetrates the intestinal mucosal barrier and then proliferates and infects other parts of the host (14). Therefore, under the current background of antibiotic reduction and substitution, it is of great significance to develop antibiotic substitutes that can maintain intestinal health and intestinal mucosal barrier stability for the healthy culture of M. amblycephala.

Considering the immune regulatory functions of MOS, including enhancement of non-specific immunity, anti-infection ability, and the intestinal health of cultured animals, this study aimed to explore the protective effects of MOS in alleviating intestinal barrier injury in juvenile M. amblycephala upon bacterial infection. This study provides new ideas for regulating fish intestinal immunity and a theoretical basis for developing new immune agents and antibiotic substitutes.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Ethics Statement**

This study was approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Jiangsu Ocean University (protocol no. 2020-37; approval date: September 1, 2019). All animal procedures were performed in accordance with the Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals in China.

**Dietary Formulation**

On the basis of the nutritional requirements of M. amblycephala, an isonitrogenous and isoenergy basal diet was prepared with fish, soybean, cottonseed, and rapeseed meal as protein sources, soybean oil as a lipid source, and wheat middling as a carbohydrate source. The experimental diets of the MOS200 and MOS400 groups were formulated by supplementing MOS of 200 and 400 mg/kg (Alltech, Beijing, China) in the basal diet, respectively, and the components are shown in Table 1. First, all powdered ingredients were weighed and mixed for 10 min, and distilled water was added to the premixed dry ingredients and mixed for 15 min. Then, a proper pelleting aperture (approximately 1.5 mm) was set according to the size of the experimental fish, and the diets were broken up into granules and dried in a drying oven to ensure a moisture content below 10%.

**Fish Rearing and Growth Performance Analysis**

Juvenile M. amblycephala, obtained from a fish farm in Guangzhou, China, were fed with commercial feed for temporary rearing and taming for 2 weeks before the culture experiment. Fish husbandry was conducted in an indoor freshwater recirculating system consisting of 18 fiberglass tanks (90 L per tank) with equal supplemental aeration and water flow (1 L/min). In total, 495 experimental fish with a body weight of 0.87 ± 0.05 g were randomly assigned into three groups, including the control, MOS200, and MOS400 groups, and each group had three replicates (55 fish per tank). The experimental fish were cultured for 8 weeks and fed four times daily (8:00, 14:00, 20:00, and 2:00). The growth performance of the experimental fish was then evaluated.

**Table 1** | Ingredients and nutrient composition of the experimental diets (%).

| Ingredients          | Control | MOS200 | MOS400 |
|----------------------|---------|--------|--------|
| Fish meal            | 8.00    | 8.00   | 8.00   |
| Soybean meal         | 20.80   | 20.80  | 8.00   |
| Cottonseed meal      | 15.00   | 15.00  | 15.00  |
| Rapeseed meal        | 18.00   | 18.00  | 15.00  |
| Wheat middling       | 30.00   | 30.00  | 30.00  |
| Soybean oil          | 5.00    | 5.00   | 5.00   |
| Ca(H2PO4)2            | 2.00    | 1.98   | 1.96   |
| MOS                  | –       | 0.02   | 0.04   |
| Choline              | 0.30    | 0.30   | 0.30   |
| Vitamin premix1)     | 0.40    | 0.40   | 0.40   |
| Mineral premix2)     | 0.50    | 0.50   | 0.50   |
| Total                | 100     | 100    | 100    |
| Moisture             | 6.88    | 6.89   | 6.91   |
| Crude protein        | 37.10   | 36.78  | 36.91  |
| Crude lipid          | 8.43    | 8.41   | 8.28   |
| Ash                  | 7.50    | 7.51   | 7.51   |

1) Vitamin premix for each kilogram of feed: VE, 50 mg; VA, 5,000 IU; VB1, 8 mg; VC, 5 mg; VB6, 8 mg; VO, 2,000 IU; VB2, 10 mg; pantothenic acid, 30 mg; VB12, 0.03 mg; folic acid, 3 mg; niacin, 30 mg; inositol, 100 mg; biotin, 0.4 mg; VC, 180 mg.
2) Mineral premix for each kilogram of feed: Mg, 300 mg; Zn, 150 mg; Fe, 170 mg; Co, 0.25 mg; Cu, 4 mg; Mn, 22 mg; Se, 0.4 mg.
3) Calculated values.
were injected intraperitoneally with 0.1 ml (1 × 10^6 CFU/ml) of the experimental juvenile.

The initial and final body weight and total feed intake were measured before and after the rearing experiment, respectively. The relevant growth index calculation formulas are specified below.

**Weight gain rate (WGR)**

\[
WGR = \frac{100 \% \times (\text{final body weight} - \text{initial body weight})}{\text{initial body weight}}
\]

**Feed conversion ratio (FCR)**

\[
FCR = \frac{\text{total feed intake (g)}/\text{weight gain (g)}}{\text{weight gain (g)}}
\]

**Bacterial Challenge and Sample Collection**

The bacterial challenge was performed after 8 weeks of culture as previously described (15), and experimental fish from the control, MOS200, and MOS400 groups (55 fish per tank) were assigned to two categories for calculating mortality (20 fish per tank) and sample collection (35 fish per tank), respectively. Then, the experimental juvenile fish with a body weight of 4.21 ± 0.19 g were injected intraperitoneally with 0.1 ml (1 × 10^6 CFU/ml) of *A. hydrophila* (LD50 dose). Three individuals from each tank were randomly dissected after anesthetized with 3-aminobenzoic acid ethyl ester methane sulfonate (MS-222; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany), and the hepatopancreas and intestines were removed at 0, 4, 12, 24, and 72 h postinfection (hpi). The hepatopancreas was homogenized for enzyme activity analysis, and the intestines were collected for histological assay, gut microbiota sequencing, and gene expression analysis.

**Analyses of Antimicrobial and Antioxidant Enzymes Activities**

The excised hepatopancreas was weighed, and according to a ratio of tissue weight (g) to phosphate-buffered saline volume (ml) of 1:9, the hepatopancreas samples were homogenized using a high-throughput tissue crushing instrument. After centrifugation at 2,500 rpm for 10 min, the supernatant was extracted to determine the activities of hepatic antimicrobial and antioxidant enzymes. The activities of acid phosphatase (ACP), alkaline phosphatase (AKP), catalase (CAT), superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione S-transferase (GST), and lysozyme (LZM) were determined using the a corresponding enzyme activity detection kit (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Histological Assay**

Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and Alcian blue (AB)-periodic acid–Schiff (PAS) staining of *M. amblycephala* intestinal sections were conducted to detect histological structures and goblet cell distribution, as previously described (16, 17). Briefly, fresh mid-intestinal tissues were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 24 h at 4°C. Then, they were dehydrated with gradient ethanol, cleaned in xylene substitute, embedded in paraffin blocks, and sectioned at 4 μm thickness on a microtome. Subsequently, they were floated in a 40°C water bath, adhered onto glass slides, and dried in an oven at 40°C overnight. After deparaffinization and rehydration, the slides were stained with H&E or AB-PAS (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA). The sections were examined and photographed using a light microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). Then, a transmission electron microscopy (TEM) assay of the intestinal samples was performed, as previously described (12). The TEM micrographs (magnification, ×30,000) were obtained to measure the length and integrity of the microvilli and the pathological symptoms postinfection. All images were analyzed using Image-Pro Plus 6.0 (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA) to calculate the villus length, crypt depth, microvillus length, and the number of goblet cells.

**Total RNA Isolation and cDNA Preparation**

Total RNA was extracted from the intestinal samples using the RNA Easy Fast Tissue Kit (TIANGEN, Beijing, China), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The quality and concentration of total RNA were determined by agarose gel electrophoresis and NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA), respectively. In addition, cDNA was synthesized using the PrimeScript® RT reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (TaKaRa, Dalian, China) following the manufacturer’s protocol and stored at −20°C for real-time quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR).

**Real-Time qRT-PCR Analysis**

The expression patterns of tight junctions and immune-related genes were analyzed using qRT-PCR, as previously reported (18). Briefly, qRT-PCR was performed with an ABI StepOne Plus real-time PCR system (PerkinElmer Applied Biosystems, CA, USA) using the QuantiNova™ SYBR® Green PCR Kit (TaKaRa, Dalian, China) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Relative expression levels of the target genes were measured in terms of the threshold cycle (Ct) value using the 2^−ΔΔCt method (19), and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*GAPDH*) was selected as the internal reference according to the geNorm software analysis (20). All the reactions were performed in triplicate, and the primers are listed in Supplemental Table 1. The gene expression levels in the control group were set as 1, and the relative expression levels of the MOS supplemental groups were presented as fold change.

**High-Throughput Sequencing of Intestinal Microorganisms**

The total intestinal microbial genomic DNA was extracted for 16S rDNA high-throughput sequencing. The primer sequences for V3–V4 region amplification were as follows: F: 5′-NNTNNNNNACTCTCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG-3′ and R: 5′-GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3′. The melting temperature was 56°C, and amplification was conducted for 30 cycles. The
Dietary MOS Improve the Feed Utilization Efficiency of Juvenile *M. amblycephala*

The effects of MOS supplementation on growth performance and the feed conversion ratio of juvenile *M. amblycephala* are presented in Table 2. There was no significant difference in the final weight and weight gain rate between the control and MOS supplemental groups, but the feed conversion ratio of the MOS400 group was significantly lower than that of the control group, indicating that dietary MOS could improve the feed utilization efficiency of juvenile *M. amblycephala*.

### Statistical Analysis

In the present study, data are presented as the mean ± standard error (SE). Statistical significance was assessed using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), and multiple comparisons were performed using the Tukey method in SPSS 25.0. Statistical significance was set at *P* < 0.05.

### RESULTS

**Dietary MOS Improve the Feed Utilization Efficiency of Juvenile *M. amblycephala***

The effects of MOS supplementation on growth performance and the feed conversion ratio of juvenile *M. amblycephala* are presented in Table 2. There was no significant difference in the final weight and weight gain rate between the control and MOS supplemental groups, but the feed conversion ratio of the MOS400 group was significantly lower than that of the control group, indicating that dietary MOS could improve the feed utilization efficiency of juvenile *M. amblycephala*.

| Items                  | Control     | MOS200     | MOS400     |
|------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|
| Initial weight (g)     | 0.86 ± 0.05 | 0.87 ± 0.04| 0.88 ± 0.06|
| Final weight (g)       | 4.12 ± 0.10 | 4.31 ± 0.12| 4.12 ± 0.31|
| Weight gain rate (%)   | 369.04 ± 34.70 | 389.96 ± 44.40 | 358.36 ± 58.69 |
| Feed conversion ratio  | 1.65 ± 0.05* | 1.81 ± 0.08* | 1.50 ± 0.05* |
| Survival rate (%)      | 100.00 ± 0.00 | 99.04 ± 1.64 | 98.09 ± 1.34 |

*In the same row, values with no letter or the same superscript letter meant no significant difference (*P* > 0.05), whereas values with different superscript letters meant significant differences (*P* < 0.05).
Dietary MOS Maintain the Stability of Intestinal Histology in Juvenile M. amblycephala

To analyze the effects of MOS on the histological characteristics and number of goblet cells upon infection, juvenile M. amblycephala intestinal paraffin sections were prepared for H&E and AB-PAS staining. No significant pathological symptoms were observed in the MOS supplemental groups, whereas typical vacuolization was observed at the end of the intestinal villi of the control group at 72 hpi (Figure 3). Combined with the results of the AB-PAS staining, it could be concluded that the vacuolar structures of the control group were not goblet cells but necrosis of the intestinal folds, indicating that MOS supplementation could protect the intestines of M. amblycephala from pathological injury. In addition, AB-PAS staining revealed that the number of goblet cells notably increased upon bacterial infection in all groups, and those of the MOS supplemental groups were much greater than those of the control group, indicating that the goblet cells would form a mucosal barrier to protect the epithelial cells (Figure 4; Table 3).

A TEM assay was conducted to estimate the effects of dietary MOS on the ultrastructure of the intestines of juvenile M. amblycephala, which showed no notable difference in the intestinal ultrastructure between the MOS supplemental and control groups before infection (Figures 5A–C). However, significant disruption of the microvilli and junctional complex was observed in the control group postinfection, which also showed other pathological characteristics, including disorganized histological structures, nuclear atypia, increased pinocytotic vesicles, and partial necrocytosis (Figure 5D). In contrast, the intestinal epithelial barriers of the MOS supplemental groups were well-protected upon infection, but necrocytosis was also observed in the MOS200 group (Figures 5E, F). Furthermore, goblet cells were found in the MOS400 group, which is consistent with the results of the AB-PAS staining (Figure 5F). In addition, the villus length, crypt depth, and microvillus length showed no significant differences among the three groups (Table 3), which might have resulted in the undifferentiated growth performance of M. amblycephala.

Dietary MOS Affect the Expression of M. amblycephala Intestinal Immune and Tight Junction-Related Genes

The expression of M. amblycephala intestinal immune and tight junction-related genes was detected in the control and MOS supplemental groups upon infection with A. hydrophila. The expression levels of most detected genes were much higher in the MOS supplemental groups after the 8-week feeding experiment, especially in the MOS400 group, indicating that...
MOS supplementation enhanced the immunity and tight junctions of juvenile *M. amblycephala* (Figure 6). In addition, the expression levels of these genes were induced upon infection with *A. hydrophila*, whereas those of the immune genes and related signal factors in the MOS supplemental groups were not increased as significantly as those of the control group postinfection, indicating that MOS supplementation suppressed excessive intestinal inflammation and maintained homeostasis of the host’s physiological functions. Moreover, the expression trend of the *muc2* gene was similar to that of other immune genes, and the MOS supplemental groups maintained a higher expression before 12 hpi, which is consistent with the number of goblet cells detected by histological analysis.

The expression of tight junction-related genes also increased postinfection, especially that of *claudin-b* with a hundredfold upregulation, and the MOS supplemental groups maintained gene expression at stable high levels after 12 hpi. However, the expression levels of the *occludin* and ZO-1 genes in the MOS400 group were always lower than those in the other groups (Figure 6). Combined with the characteristics of intestinal histology, the expression patterns reflected the diverse feedback regulation in the three groups required to maintain the stability of *M. amblycephala* intestinal tight junctions.

**Dietary MOS Improve the Composition of *M. amblycephala* Gut Microbiota**

A gut microbiome analysis of juvenile *M. amblycephala* was conducted using high-throughput 16S rDNA deep sequencing technology (V3 and V4 regions). The mean obtained clean reads of 14 samples were 134,743 with an average read utilization ratio of 92.59%, and the sequencing coverage was greater than 0.99, which was representative of the samples. As shown in Figure 7, dietary MOS decreased the number of specific OTUs of the gut microbiota. Bacterial richness and diversity were analyzed according to the identified OTUs, and the MOS400 group exhibited lower species richness (Chao 1 and Ace) and diversity estimates (Shannon alpha and Simpson) than that in the control group (*P* < 0.05; Table 4).
Weighted UniFrac PCoA (principal coordinates analysis) and NMDS (non-metric multidimensional scaling) analyses revealed that the replicates of the control and MOS400 groups were not clustered together, indicating that dietary MOS led to differences in the gut microbial composition (Figure 8). The gut microbial compositions of juvenile *M. amblycephala* fed with or without dietary MOS at the phylum, genus, and species levels are shown in Figure 9 and Supplemental Table 2. At the phylum level, the highest relative abundance observed in both groups was Proteobacteria, accounting for over 50%. In addition, the relative abundances of Bacteroidetes and Verrucomicrobia were much higher in the control group, whereas Fusobacteria and Firmicutes were more abundant in the MOS400 group (Figure 9A). At the genus level, *Aeromonas* was the predominant genus in both groups, and dietary MOS significantly increased the proportion of *Cetobacterium*, but decreased that of *Reyranella* and *Flavobacterium* (Figure 9B). At the species level, dietary MOS decreased the relative
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**TABLE 3 | Statistical analysis of juvenile *M. amblycephala* intestinal histology fed with or without MOS (mean ± SE).**

| Items                | Control  | MOS200  | MOS400  |
|----------------------|----------|---------|---------|
| Villus length (µm)  | 133.55 ± 4.13 | 131.74 ± 3.76 | 126.75 ± 3.01 |
| Crypt depth (µm)    | 29.71 ± 1.05   | 28.47 ± 0.62   | 30.96 ± 0.61   |
| Microvillus length (µm) | 1.04 ± 0.02   | 1.06 ± 0.03   | 1.01 ± 0.01   |
| Goblet cells (N/mm²): 0 hpi | 236.69 ± 47.41 | 532.21 ± 80.88 | 732.82 ± 189.55 |
| Goblet cells (N/mm²): 12 hpi | 675.6 ± 79.25  | 706.09 ± 140.08 | 956.14 ± 169.97 |
| Goblet cells (N/mm²): 24 hpi | 654.68 ± 148.06 | 473.07 ± 105.45 | 848.75 ± 183.68 |
| Goblet cells (N/mm²): 72 hpi | 504.73 ± 129.75 | 664.32 ± 166.39 | 1122.24 ± 139.10 |

In the same row, values with no letter or the same superscript letter meant no significant difference (P > 0.05), while with different superscript letters meant significant differences (P < 0.05).
FIGURE 5 | Effects of MOS supplementation on the intestinal ultrastructure of *M. amblycephala* by TEM assay. (A–C) Mid-intestine sections of control, MOS200, and MOS400 groups at 0 hpi, respectively. (D–F) Sections at 24 hpi. G, goblet cell. Scale bars represented 2 µm (8,000×).

FIGURE 6 | Expression patterns of *M. amblycephala* intestinal immune and tight junction related genes in the three groups upon infection. The detected genes including MR (A), p38α (B), p38β (C), PKC (D), TNFα (E), IL-1β (F), IL-6 (G), CXCL8 (H), Muc2 (I), Occludin (J), Claudin-1 (K), and ZO-1 (L), and GAPDH was selected as the reference gene. Data were shown as mean ± SE, differences were determined by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The asterisks indicated statistically significant differences among different groups at a certain time point (*P* < 0.05).
abundance of dominant bacterial species Lysobacter brunescens, Reyranella soli, and Reyranella massiliensis while upregulated the abundance of Cetobacterium somerae and Aeromonas sharmana, which became the two most dominant bacterial species in the MOS400 group (Figure 9C).

LDA and LEfSe analysis were conducted to identify possible discriminatory taxa between the two groups at the phylum to genus levels. A total of 106 distinguishing taxa were detected between the control and MOS400 groups, with an LDA score > 3 (Figure 10). Specifically, three phyla (Planctomycetes, Verrucomicrobia, and Chlamydiae), eight classes, 17 orders, 25 families, and 33 genera were significantly more abundant in the control group. In comparison, one phylum (Fusobacteria), two classes, three orders, five families, and nine genera were enriched in the MOS400 group.

**DISCUSSION**
Effects of Dietary MOS on the Growth Performance of Aquatic Animals

Previous studies have shown that supplementation with an appropriate amount of MOS can improve feed utilization efficiency and increase the growth of cultured animals. For instance, supplementation with 0.2% MOS could significantly increase the weight gain rate and specific growth rate of juvenile Oreochromis niloticus and notably reduce the feed conversion ratio, which has a remarkable promoting effect on the growth of juvenile O. niloticus (25). In addition, supplementation with MOS could improve the growth performance of Ctenopharyngodon idellus, Oncorhynchus mykiss, Larimichthys crocea, and Sparus aurata (9, 12, 26, 27).

In contrast, the present study found that dietary MOS supplementation had no significant effect on the weight gain rate of juvenile M. amblycephala but improved the feed utilization efficiency, which was similar to the findings of the study on Cyprinus carpio (28). The reasons for the different effects might be multifactorial, such as the dietary MOS dosage, experimental period, growth stages of the fish, digestive tract characteristics, and gut microbiota composition of different fish species. The digestive tracts of several fish were improved with MOS supplementation, manifesting as an increased length of the intestinal microvilli, villi, or folds, which might promote the

| Sample | Chao | Ace | Shannon | Simpson | Coverage |
|--------|------|-----|---------|---------|----------|
| Control | 225.43 ± 28.37<sup>a</sup> | 225.45 ± 28.38<sup>a</sup> | 3.91 ± 0.11<sup>a</sup> | 0.05 ± 0.00<sup>b</sup> | 1.00 ± 0.00 |
| MOS400 | 132.00 ± 13.48<sup>b</sup> | 132.00 ± 13.48<sup>b</sup> | 3.06 ± 0.11<sup>b</sup> | 0.11 ± 0.01<sup>a</sup> | 1.00 ± 0.00 |
| P-value | 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.001 | 0.391 |

The columns with different letter superscripts were significantly different (P < 0.05).
absorption and utilization of nutrients and improve growth performance (16, 29, 30). However, the present study found that the villi and microvilli lengths of juvenile *M. amblycephala* were not affected by MOS supplementation, which was consistent with the undifferentiated growth performance of *M. amblycephala*. In addition, we found that dietary MOS increased the abundance of *C. somerae* in the gut microbiota of *M. amblycephala*, which has been shown to improve glucose homeostasis and fish carbohydrate utilization (31), thereby contributing to the improvement of feed utilization efficiency in *M. amblycephala*.

**MOS Decrease the Mortality of Aquatic Animals Upon Pathogenic Infection**

The effects of dietary MOS on enhancing disease resistance in aquatic animals have been previously reported. For example, MOS supplementation decreases the cumulative mortality and confinement stress caused by *Vibrio anguillarum* challenge in *Dicentrarchus labrax* (32), similar to the results of studies on *Apostichopus japonicus*, *Carassius auratus gibelio*, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, and *Haliotis discus hannai* Ino (7, 33–35). This indicates that MOS could enhance the resistance of aquatic animals to pathogenic infection. Similarly, the present study also
found that cumulative mortality in the short-term MOS supplemental groups decreased significantly, revealing the immunoprotective effects of MOS on juvenile *M. amblycephala*. The possible reasons for this enhanced disease resistance of juvenile *M. amblycephala* might include activation of antioxidases, induced expression of immune genes, maintenance of intestinal histological structures, and improvement of the gut microbial composition (5), which were further verified in the present study.

**MOS Enhance the Activities of Hepatic Antimicrobial and Antioxidant Enzymes in Aquatic Animals**

The antimicrobial enzymes, ACP, AKP, and LZM play important roles in host defense and are thus common indicators for evaluating host non-specific immunity (36). LZM can dissolve glycoproteins on the surface of bacteria, and ACP is an enzyme marker for lysosomes with bactericidal effects. Thus, the upregulated activities of ACP and LZM reflected increased host bactericidal effects. The activity of AKP was positively correlated with *A. hydrophila* infection levels, and the resistance level of the host to the pathogen was reflected by the significantly lower AKP activity in the immune-stimulated groups (39). We found that AKP activity in the MOS400 group decreased significantly compared with that in other groups upon bacterial infection, indicating that MOS supplementation increased host resistance to bacterial infection (35). Thus, the activities of antimicrobial enzymes revealed the enhanced host non-specific immunity of the MOS supplemental groups, which might result in decreased mortality.

Excess hepatic free radicals produced by stimulation can be scavenged by the antioxidant system, among which SOD, CAT, and GST are important antioxidant enzymes. As the first line of defense in the antioxidant system, SOD can directly capture and dismutate $O_2^-$ to produce $H_2O_2$, which is further cleared by CAT (40, 41). However, the antioxidant system (particularly SOD activity) is inhibited when the superoxide anion concentration generated in the body is greater than the scavenging capacity of SOD. Thus, decreased activity of SOD is usually observed upon infection, but the present study found much higher SOD activity in the MOS400 group, indicating that dietary MOS could enhance host antioxidant ability (29, 33). Furthermore, CAT activity was more notably induced post-bacterial infection in the MOS supplemental groups, thereby protecting cells from oxidative damage. GST is a key enzyme catalyzing the initial step in the glutathione binding reaction, and its activity was also inhibited postinfection, whereas dietary MOS could maintain its activity in the present study. Similar results have been reported in grass carp (38). In summary, dietary MOS enhanced the antioxidant ability of juvenile *M. amblycephala* by inducing or maintaining the activities of SOD, CAT, and GST, which could assist in increasing the survival rate of *M. amblycephala* upon infection.

**The Effects of Dietary MOS on the Intestinal Histology of Aquatic Animals**

Studies have shown that dietary MOS can increase the intestinal villus length and muscle layer thickness of *Anguilla japonica* (30). Similarly, dietary MOS also increase the villus length in the soybean meal group in European sea bass but had no significant effect on the villus width (16). In addition, MOS supplementation had a significant effect on the villus length of juvenile *Pangasianodon hypophthalmus* but did not affect the villus width and crypt depth (42). At the ultrastructural level, TEM assays demonstrated that MOS supplementation could significantly increase the intestinal microvilli length in juvenile Pacific white shrimp (29) and the intestinal microvilli density and length in *Sparus aurata* (12).

In contrast, dietary MOS showed no significant influence on the intestinal villus width and length in Gulf sturgeon (*Acipenser oxyrinchus desotoi*) (43). Similarly, the present study found that...
dietary MOS had no significant effect on the lengths of the intestinal villi and microvilli of juvenile *M. amblycephala*. The different effects of dietary MOS on fish intestinal histological structures might be related to the supplemental amount, species, and growth stages of the experimental fish. The lengths of intestinal villi and microvilli mainly affect the absorption of nutrients. Thus, dietary MOS exhibited no significant effect on the intestinal villi and microvilli length, resulting in undifferentiated growth performance in *M. amblycephala*. However, dietary MOS could assist in maintaining the stability of the intestinal histological structures and increasing the number of goblet cells upon infection, which might protect the intestinal epithelial barrier in *M. amblycephala*, thereby contributing to improved host immune defense ability and decreased cumulative mortality.

**The Effects of MOS on the Expression of Immune-Related Genes in Various Aquatic Animals**

The effects of dietary MOS on fish immunity and other biological functions could be relevant in activating or inhibiting related signaling pathways, reflected as the expression of pathway genes. In *C. idella*, the expression of antioxidant-, apoptosis-, tight junction-, and immune-related genes was regulated by dietary MOS, among which the expression of most antioxidant and tight junction-related genes was induced, whereas that of pro-apoptotic and pro-inflammatory factors was reduced (38). Similarly, the expression of antioxidant-related genes was also upregulated in the intestines (9). Moreover, immune parameters, including antibacterial and anti-inflammatory cytokines, were activated in the spleen and kidneys by MOS supplementation, whereas pro-inflammatory cytokine levels were inhibited (37).

However, the regulatory effects of dietary MOS on the expression of immune-related genes exhibit variable patterns in different species. For instance, the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines was induced by MOS supplementation in Pacific white shrimp and *O. niloticus* (26, 35). Similarly, in the present study, the expression of *M. amblycephala* pro-inflammatory cytokines, tight junction-related genes, and signaling factors was also increased after 8 weeks of feeding with dietary MOS, indicating that MOS could enhance the intestinal immunity and tight junctions of *M. amblycephala*. However, the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines in the MOS supplemental group was not upregulated as significantly as that in the control group upon bacterial infection, revealing that MOS supplementation reduced the damage caused by an excessive intestinal inflammatory response. In addition, the expression of tight junction-related genes also showed different patterns in the control and MOS supplemental groups, which could indicate different feedback regulation of the stability of the junctional complex, as the ultrastructures of the control group were partially disordered upon infection. Therefore, the gene expression patterns indicated that dietary MOS not only possessed immune-enhancing properties but could also prevent excessive inflammation postinfection, thereby decreasing the mortality caused by *A. hydrophila* infection.

**The Dietary MOS Regulate the Richness and Composition of the Gut Microbiota**

The gut microbiota of many teleosts is composed of a high abundance of Proteobacteria, Fusobacteria, and Firmicutes (44–47). Proteobacteria was found to be the predominant phylum in the present study. The effect of MOS on the gut microbiota lacks consensus (5, 12, 32, 48, 49). The addition of dietary MOS may improve the gut microbial community by increasing the abundance of beneficial bacteria, thus enhancing host disease resistance, feed utilization, and growth performance. The present study found that dietary MOS supplementation affected the gut microbial diversity and composition, especially the abundance of Verrucomicrobia, Bacteroidetes, Fusobacteria, and Firmicutes, which could contribute to the improvement of feed utilization and anti-infection ability.

In addition, dietary MOS increased the abundance of *Aeromonas* and *Cetobacterium* in the intestines of juvenile *M. amblycephala*, which were the dominant genera in the fish intestines. Previously, *Cetobacterium* was isolated from several fish intestines, which mainly consisted of *C. somerae*. Recently, *C. somerae* has been developed as an aquatic probiotic strain with lipid-lowering, anti-inflammatory, anti-apoptotic, and antiviral functions. This species has also been reported to play a role in regulating *Danio rerio* glucose homeostasis (31), and its fermentation product could improve the gut health of *C. carpio* and *D. rerio* (50, 51). Thus, it can be speculated that dietary MOS improved the gut health of juvenile *M. amblycephala* by increasing the relative abundance of beneficial bacteria.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In conclusion, this study revealed that dietary MOS improved feed utilization efficiency, intestinal health, and resistance to infection in juvenile *M. amblycephala* and can therefore be used as a functional feed additive and immunostimulant. Most importantly, MOS supplementation promoted intestinal health by maintaining intestinal homeostasis and the balance between enhancing anti-infection immunity and preventing excessive inflammation with significant immune-protective effects in juvenile *M. amblycephala*.
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