Value of standard echocardiography at the bedside for COVID-19 patients in intensive care units: a Japanese single-center analysis
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Abstract

Purpose In the era of COVID-19, those special settings or indications for which standard transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) can safely produce benefits or advantages over minimized ultrasound imaging procedures need to be identified. Thus, the purpose of this study was to conduct a retrospective analysis with offline comprehensive conventional measurement of bilateral heart function and develop an appropriate prognostic model for in-hospital death.

Methods We performed a retrospective analysis of 37 consecutive patients with COVID-19, confirmed by real-time reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction assay, who had undergone clinically indicated standard two-dimensional echocardiographic studies in intensive care wards. Offline comprehensive measurement was also performed. We further integrated the echocardiographic findings as paired evidence of vital organ involvement (possible respiratory distress assessed using right ventricular functional parameters, possible myocardial injury assessed using increased wall thickness, effusion or asynergy) and circulatory failure (suspected low flow status assessed using stroke volume index, suspected congestion assessed using elevated right or left atrial pressure). We evaluated its value for in-hospital death along with other echocardiographic findings.

Results The most common features included a normal-sized left atrium and left ventricle with preserved left ventricular ejection fraction, despite deteriorated left ventricular flow volume. Less frequent findings, such as abnormalities in the right heart and left ventricular abnormalities suggesting myocarditis, were observed. Although the single echocardiographic parameters failed to show predictive values for in-hospital death, integration of the echocardiographic findings suggested predictive value ($p = 0.04$, odds ratio: $12.28$).

Conclusion Standard TTE at the bedside with offline comprehensive conventional measurement may provide prognostic information that is valuable for the management of patients with COVID-19.
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Introduction

The first official reports of a severe pneumonia cluster due to an unknown pathogen in Wuhan, China, were released on December 31, 2019 [1, 2]. Soon after the introduction of a quarantine policy towards China, the “Diamond Princess” cruise ship cluster incident hit Japan in early February 2020.

In March 2020, the World Health Organization declared the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, which was caused by a novel coronavirus previously called severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). This emerging virus has been a crucial threat to mankind not only because it had killed more than 2.8 million victims as of the end of March 2021, but also because it heavily affected regional health care systems worldwide [3, 4].

COVID-19 is known to have “Janus” faces targeting two major vital organs, i.e., the lungs and the heart. Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) plays a prominent role in identifying complications of COVID-19 by evaluating both pulmonary circulation [5, 6] and cardiac function [7–9]. However, as TTE studies place sonographers in close proximity to the patient’s face, which is within the droplet zone (when coughing for more than 20 min), cardiac
sonographers might have the highest exposure to COVID-19 among healthcare providers. Thus, COVID-19 TTE policies and guidelines were introduced to protect healthcare professionals, especially sonographers, and reduce unnecessary consumption of personal protective equipment (PPE) [10, 11]. In accordance with these policies, elective TTE studies were re-scheduled, “rarely appropriate” TTE examinations were abolished, and the use of limited TTE was encouraged. More point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) or focused cardiac ultrasound (FOCUS) procedures were performed in the clinical setting [12, 13]. Quite naturally, the total number of standard TTE studies, including both inpatients and outpatients (not limited to unnecessary examinations), dramatically decreased after the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic [14]. Even after the release of “reintroduction” guidelines [15], the echocardiography laboratory remained under caution of COVID-19, and did not return to the conditions before the COVID-19 pandemic.

There may be more justifications to conduct “standard” TTE studies apart from as an extravagance in the era of COVID-19. In other words, any special clinical settings or indications for which standard TTE can safely produce benefits or advantages over minimized ultrasound imaging procedures need to be investigated and identified. Some authors have already emphasized the utility of comprehensive measurement using bedside TTE [16]. Many others have developed prognostic models using echocardiographic parameters for worse clinical outcomes among hospitalized patients with COVID-19 [17–19]. However, there are only a few brief reports from Japan, probably due to the relatively small Japanese COVID-19 patient population. At our institution, we have been providing standard two-dimensional (2-D) TTE studies with adequate personal protection [9] at the bedside in intensive care wards for patients with COVID-19 by deploying an “on-the-spot scanning alone by a single operator, followed by off-the-spot analysis by anyone” policy ever since the first COVID-19 patients were hospitalized in early February 2020. Thus, the purpose of this study was to conduct a retrospective analysis with comprehensive offline measurement of bilateral heart function and to develop an appropriate prognostic model for in-hospital death using a Japanese COVID-19 cohort.

Materials and methods

We performed a retrospective analysis of consecutive patients with COVID-19, confirmed by real-time reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction assay of nasal swabs, pharyngeal or pulmonary samples, who underwent clinically indicated echocardiographic studies in intensive care wards during their hospitalization at the Tokai University School of Medicine Hospital (Isehara, Kanagawa Prefecture, Japan) between February 2020 and February 2021. Exclusion criteria were: (1) patients younger than 18 years of age and (2) patients with poor echogenicity. This medical institute serves as a tertiary care regional medical center and receives COVID-19 patients with respiratory failure requiring oxygen administration (peripheral arterial oxygen saturation ≤ 93% on room air), under the medical control of local authorities and the regional government.

All patients were hospitalized in specially dedicated intensive care wards for COVID-19 and received medical management in accordance with current Japanese COVID-19 clinical guidelines [20]. The following clinical departments have been responsible for the complete medical management of patients with COVID-19: General Internal Medicine and Family Medicine, Pulmonary Medicine, Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, and Cardiology. The primary clinical endpoint of this study was in-hospital all-cause death. The Institutional Review Board for Clinical Research of the Tokai University School of Medicine approved this observational study protocol. The study was conducted in accordance with the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all the patients at admission.

Baseline clinical characteristics, laboratory tests, radiological examinations, and treatments were reviewed in the digitalized medical records. The initial values of C-reactive protein, lactate dehydrogenase, white blood cell count, Krebs von den Lungen-6 (KL-6), brain natriuretic peptide (BNP), high-sensitivity troponin (hs-Tpn), and D-dimer levels on admission were collected. In most cases, the measurement of D-dimer was repeated every 2–4 days until the initial decline to obtain the peak values of D-dimer (D-dimer [max]). According to international societies, to reduce the risk of contamination and consumption of personal protective equipment (PPE) during the COVID-19 pandemic, standard TTE was indicated only if there were clinical benefits beyond obtaining patient characteristics, laboratory findings, and conventional bedside images including POCUS or FOCUS. Thus, all bedside TTE services were provided by the medical ultrasound imaging laboratory on the referrals of the physicians who were responsible for the patients’ medical management, mainly for the purpose of critical decision-making to stabilize the patient’s hemodynamic status.

All the standard TTEs were performed at the bedside with patients in the left lateral decubitus, supine, or sitting positions, in quarantined intensive care rooms for COVID-19 patients using a dedicated ultrasound machine (Aplio a/Verio; CANON Medical Systems Corp., Ohtahara-Shi, Japan) by a single operator with full PPE, who was a validated member of the Japan Society of Ultrasonics in Medicine Registered Medical Sonographer (RMS), following current echocardiographic guidelines [10–12, 21–24]. To reduce the exposure time to the pathogen, the operator was required...
to scan basic two-dimensional (2-D) views (apical views; parasternal long- and short-axis views at the base, mid and apical ventricles; and the subxiphoid view) with or without color Doppler, and both pulse-wave and continuous-wave Doppler images of all valves at the bedside with no on-site measurements, minimally. All images were then transferred via institutional wireless network into the video server of the medical ultrasound laboratory (Prime Vita Plus; NIHON KOHDEN Corp., Shinjuku-ku, Japan). Subsequently, a study review and comprehensive conventional measurement were performed in the medical ultrasound laboratory by at least two RMSs, including the operator, and interpreted by staff cardiologists with or without additional analyses. The final approval for the study was provided by a cardiologist validated as a board-certified fellow of the Japan Society of Ultrasonics in Medicine (FJSUM). Conventional parameters included right ventricular fractional area change (RVFAC), stroke volume index (SVI), maximum tricuspid regurgitation pressure gradient (TRPG), and left myocardial performance index (Tei index). Left ventricular end-diastolic volume (LVEDV) and left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) were assessed using the Simpson biplane method. LVEDV was further indexed to body surface area (LVEDVI). We revised the previously reported echocardiographic index for major COVID-19 findings [17, 18], and defined “integrated echocardiographic indicator (IEI)” as the paired evidence of vital organ involvement [a composite of possible respiratory distress (max TRPG > 31 mmHg, right ventricular dilatation, RVFAC ≤ 35%) and possible myocardial injury (increased left ventricular wall thickness, pericardial effusion, left ventricular a-synergy)] and circulatory failure [a composite of suspected low flow status (SVI ≤ 35 ml/m²) and suspected congestion (elevated right atrial pressure or left atrial pressure)], as shown in Fig. 1. Elevated right atrial pressure (8–15 mmHg) or elevated left atrial pressure (left ventricular diastolic dysfunction grade 2 or 3) was diagnosed based on the current guidelines [23, 24]. Right ventricular (RV) dilatation was diagnosed when the max RV diameter exceeded the max left ventricular diameter in the apical four-chamber view [25]. If multiple TTE studies had been performed in a single patient, we considered the study with the most abundant echocardiographic findings as representative.

Numerical data are presented as either the mean ± standard deviation or the median value, and as numbers (%) for categorical variables. For categorical variables, the chi-square test was used. Averaged values of continuous variables between the two groups were analyzed by unpaired Student’s t-test. Ratios of the category values between the two groups were analyzed using the chi-square test. The cutoff values were calculated using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis. Considering the sample size, we selected the top three parameters that had a smaller p value less than 0.1 as covariate candidates for multivariate analysis, excluding parameters having more than 10 cases with missing values. For multivariate analysis, logistic regression analysis was performed. All statistical analyses were performed using JMP 14.0.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA), with p values < 0.05 considered statistically significant.

Results

There were 126 admissions with COVID-19 during the study period, of which 37 (29%) cases had a total of 52 TTE studies referred by each treatment team’s physicians. Five TTE studies with poor echogenicity were excluded, as a complete comprehensive echocardiographic measurement could not be performed. Thus, the study group comprised 37 patients with COVID-19 (29 men, 70 ± 12 years old) and 47 TTE studies. No peri-procedural contamination of bedside TTE was reported. The median time interval from onset to admission was 7.0 days (1st quartile: 5.0 days). The median time interval from onset to general blood sampling at admission was also 7.0 days (1st quartile: 7.0 days). The median time interval from onset to initial sampling for KL-6, BNP, hs-Tpn, and D-dimer was 9.0 days (1st quartile: 6.0 days). The median time interval from onset to peak D-dimer level was 13.0 days (1st quartile: 10.0 days). The median time interval from onset to the representative TTE was 11.0 days (1st quartile: 8.0 days).

Among the 37 COVID-19 cases, 16 (43%) required intubation for mechanical ventilation at a median 11.0 days from onset. TTE was performed after intubation in 10 patients (27%). There were 12 cases with cardiovascular complications, including two with cerebral hemorrhage, one with cerebral infarction, one with non-ST-elevated myocardial infarction, and seven with venous thromboembolism. There were 12 cases requiring venous-to-venous extracorporeal...
membranous oxygenation (ECMO) with 11 cases of mortality. Finally, there were 13 cases with an event of all-cause mortality (35%) at a median of 38.0 days. Direct causes of death included nine cases of multiple organ failure, two cases of cardiogenic shock, one case of sepsis, and one case of respiratory failure.

Among the predisposing factors, laboratory parameters, and electrocardiogram findings, only D-dimer [max] was significantly different between in-hospital death and survival, and no other laboratory measurements, such as hs-Tpn, KL-6, BNP, and D-dimer levels at admission, were significantly different, as shown in Table 1. Figure 2 demonstrates the favorable results seen on ROC analysis of D-dimer [max] for predicting in-hospital mortality, having a fair value of area under the curve of 0.88 and p value of <0.05, when a cut-off value of 14.2 μg/ml was deployed.

Among the echocardiographic parameters, LVEDV, pulmonary hypertension (max TRPG > 31 mmHg, or RV dilatation), RVFAC, elevated right atrial pressure, and IEI were significantly different between in-hospital death and survival (Table 2). There were 20 cases with missing TRPG values, seven cases with missing A wave velocity and E/A ratio values, four cases with missing e´ and E/e´ values, and seven cases with missing left atrial pressure values. Figure 3 reveals the most common TTE features of COVID-19, i.e., no left atrium enlargement and left ventricle with preserved LVEF, despite deteriorated LV stroke volume index, and relatively common TTE features, i.e., right heart abnormalities or myocarditis. On multivariate analysis, a model using IEI with the pseudo R² value of 0.35 selected IEI as a possible predictor (p = 0.04, odds ratio: 12.28, confidence interval, 1.19–126.93), as shown in Table 3.

### Table 1 Predisposing background and clinical findings

|                        | All (n = 37) | In-hospital death (n = 13) | Survival (n = 24) | p value |
|------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Age, year              | 71 ± 12     | 73 ± 12                    | 70 ± 13           | 0.44    |
| Male gender, n (%)     | 29 (78)     | 10 (77)                    | 19 (79)           | 0.87    |
| Body mass index, kg/m² | 24.3 ± 4.0  | 25.7 ± 3.6                 | 23.6 ± 4.1        | 0.14    |
| Hypertension, n (%)    | 16 (43)     | 4 (31)                     | 12 (50)           | 0.26    |
| Diabetes mellitus, n (%) | 13 (35)   | 5 (38)                     | 8 (33)            | 0.76    |
| Dyslipidemia, n (%)    | 7 (19)      | 3 (23)                     | 4 (17)            | 0.64    |
| Coronary artery disease, n (%) | 5 (14) | 1 (8)                     | 4 (17)            | 0.45    |
| Chronic respiratory disease, n (%) | 7 (19) | 3 (23)                     | 4 (17)            | 0.64    |
| Smoking habit, n (%)   | 17 (46)     | 7 (54)                     | 10 (42)           | 0.48    |
| Hospitalization period, day | 29 ± 44 | 48 ± 69                    | 18 ± 11           | <0.05   |
| New-onset cardiovascular complications, n (%) | 12 (32) | 3 (23)                     | 9 (38)            | 0.37    |
| New-onset deep vein thrombosis, n (%) | 7 (19) | 2 (15)                     | 5 (21)            | 0.69    |
| Use of intravenous corticosteroids | 34 (92) | 12 (92)                     | 21 (88)           | 0.56    |
| Use of antiviral agents | 37 (100) | 13 (100)                    | 24 (100)          | 1.00    |
| Use of anticoagulants  | 37 (100)    | 13 (100)                   | 24 (100)          | 1.00    |
| Mechanical ventilation, n (%) | 16 (43) | 13 (100)                    | 3 (13)            | <0.01   |
| ECMO, n (%)            | 12 (32)     | 11 (85)                    | 1 (4)             | <0.01   |
| Systemic blood pressure at admission, mmHg | 133 ± 24 | 134 ± 26                    | 132 ± 23          | 0.24    |
| D-dimer, μg/ml         | 11.9 ± 47.8 | 7.7 ± 9.7                   | 13.8 ± 58.4       | 0.71    |
| D-dimer [max], μg/ml   | 37.4 ± 58.9 | 63.2 ± 50.1                 | 23.5 ± 59.5       | <0.05   |
| KL-6, U/ml             | 602 ± 682   | 833 ± 999                   | 468 ± 347         | 0.143   |
| BNP, pg/ml             | 28 ± 286    | 337 ± 345                   | 235 ± 239         | 0.43    |
| High sensitivity troponin T, pg/ml | 95 ± 198 | 100 ± 191                    | 214 ± 464         | 0.58    |
| White blood count, × 10³/µl | 9424 ± 5165 | 9414 ± 5837                 | 9439 ± 4986       | 0.91    |
| C-reactive protein, mg/dl | 12.0 ± 8.9 | 12.0 ± 9.7                   | 12.0 ± 8.7        | 0.98    |
| Lactate dehydrogenase, U/ml | 483 ± 182 | 433 ± 155                    | 510 ± 192         | 0.22    |
| Creatinine, mg/dl      | 1.82 ± 3.18 | 2.52 ± 3.81                 | 1.43 ± 2.79       | 0.33    |
| Heart rate, bpm        | 77 ± 21     | 83 ± 27                     | 73 ± 17           | 0.20    |
| Rhythm disturbance with atrial fibrillation, n (%) | 7 (19) | 2 (17)                     | 5 (20)            | 0.43    |
| ST-T abnormality or bundle branch block, n (%) | 8 (22) | 5 (38)                     | 4 (17)            | 0.18    |

ECMO extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, KL-6 Krebs von den Lungen-6, BNP brain natriuretic peptide
Figure 4 shows a representative case of a 49-year-old male with a history of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and obesity with a high body mass index of 32.0. Panel a reveals the typical chest computed tomographic finding of ground-glass opacities in both lower lung lobes. He developed severe respiratory distress 14 days after admission. He received TTE three times, and the final TTE findings are presented. Panel b to panel d reveal typical 2-D TTE findings, such as increased left ventricular wall thickness and small pericardial effusion in front of the right ventricle (panel b and Supplementary video 1), and dilated right ventricle with a flattened interventricular septum indicating right ventricular pressure overload (panel c, panel d, Supplementary videos 2, and 3). Thus, he had a positive IEI result. His initial blood samples on admission revealed the following values: KL-6, 3782 U/ml; D-dimer, 5.7 μg/ml; hs-Tpn T, 488 pg/ml; and BNP, 660 pg/ml. His D-dimer [max] reached the initial peak of 71.7 μg/ml 12 days after admission. He received mechanical ventilation as well as ECOM, but he died 42 days after admission.

![Fig. 2 Receiver operating curve analysis of D-dimer [max] for predicting in-hospital mortality. AUC: area under the curve](image)

Table 2 Echocardiographic findings

|                         | All (n=37) | In-hospital death (n=13) | Survival (n=24) | p value |
|-------------------------|------------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Left atrial volume index, ml/m² | 18±8       | 16±7                     | 19±9            | 0.27    |
| Left atrial volume index> 34 ml/m² | 2 (5)      | 0 (0)                    | 2 (8)           | 0.28    |
| Interventricular septum, mm | 11±2       | 11±2                     | 11±2            | 0.71    |
| Posterior wall thickness, mm | 10±2       | 10±2                     | 10±2            | 0.93    |
| Stroke volume index, ml/m² | 32±9       | 29±7                     | 33±10           | 0.14    |
| Stroke volume index ≤ 35 ml/m², n (%) | 25 (68)   | 11 (85)                  | 14 (58)         | 0.10    |
| LV end-diastolic volume, ml | 70±27      | 55±21                    | 77±27           | 0.01    |
| LV end-diastolic volume index > 74 ml/m² (male) or 61 ml/m² (female), n (%) | 1 (3)      | 1 (4)                    | 0 (0)           | 0.46    |
| LV ejection fraction, % | 69±10      | 72±13                    | 67±9            | 0.18    |
| LV ejection fraction ≤ 50%, n (%) | 2 (5)      | 1 (7)                    | 1 (4)           | 0.65    |
| E wave velocity, cm/s | 56±15      | 51±14                    | 59±15           | 0.15    |
| A wave velocity, cm/s | 70±21      | 67±25                    | 71±19           | 0.62    |
| E/A                     | 0.85±0.29  | 0.84±0.38                | 0.86±0.22       | 0.85    |
| e', cm/s                | 6±2        | 5±2                      | 6±1             | 0.10    |
| E/e'                    | 10.3±3.1   | 10.9±3.4                 | 10.2±3.0        | 0.59    |
| Tei index               | 0.43±0.16  | 0.46±0.17                | 0.41±0.16       | 0.42    |
| Tei Index > 0.44, n (%) | 16 (43)    | 7 (54)                   | 9 (38)          | 0.34    |
| TRPG, mmHg              | 28±10      | 29±9                     | 25±13           | 0.50    |
| Max TRPG > 31 mmHg or right ventricular dilatation, n (%) | 8 (22)      | 6 (46)                   | 2 (8)           | 0.01    |
| Right ventricular fractional area change, % | 43±11       | 39±13                    | 45±10           | 0.12    |
| Right ventricular fractional area change ≤ 35%, n (%) | 8 (22)      | 6 (46)                   | 2 (8)           | 0.01    |
| Elevated left atrial pressure, n (%) | 0 (0)      | 0 (0)                    | 0 (0)           | 1.00    |
| Elevated right atrial pressure, n (%) | 3 (8)      | 3 (23)                   | 0 (0)           | 0.01    |
| LV wall thickness > 12 mm or pericardial effusion or LV a-synergy, n (%) | 16 (43)    | 7 (54)                   | 9 (38)          | 0.34    |
| Positive IEI, n (%)      | 20 (54)    | 12 (92)                  | 8 (33)          | <0.01   |

LV left ventricular, TRPG tricuspid regurgitation peak pressure gradient, IEI integrated echocardiographic indicator
Discussion

In this study, we retrospectively investigated the diagnostic value of standard TTE at the bedside, including comprehensive data sets of the cardiac and pulmonary circulation of patients with COVID-19 in intensive care wards, for in-hospital mortality. We reported two main results: (1) Among the standard TTE features of COVID-19 patients at the bedside in intensive care wards, the most common was a normal-sized left atrium and left ventricle with preserved LVEF, despite a deteriorated LV flow volume. There were also other less frequent findings, such as right heart and left ventricular abnormalities, suggestive of myocarditis. (2) Although all single TTE parameters failed to show predictive value for in-hospital death, integration of TTE findings, i.e., paired lung and heart involvement and circulatory failure, suggested predictive value.

Right heart abnormalities, probably due to respiratory distress, were one of the major TTE findings in critically ill patients with COVID-19 in this study, as well as in previous studies [5, 6, 26, 27]. On the other hand, from the early days of the COVID-19 pandemic, numerous studies have reported COVID-19-associated myocardial injury by measuring hs-Tpn [1, 2, 28] and TTE, [7] later confirmed by cardiac magnetic resonance imaging [29], pathology [30], and even in an animal model [31]. Furthermore, a few meta-analyses [32] and some large-scale studies [33–38] to

Table 3 Echocardiographic predictors for in-hospital death

| predictor                          | Univariate      | Multivariate |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
|                                   | p value | Odds ratio | CI 95% | p value | Odds ratio | CI 95% |
| D-dimer [max]                     | 0.05    | 1.01       | 1.00–1.03 | 0.30    | 1.01       | 0.99–1.02 |
| Left ventricular end-diastolic volume | 0.01    | 0.96       | 0.92–1.00 | 0.12    | 0.96       | 0.92–1.01 |
| e’                               | 0.10    | 1.55e−21   | 0–250.71 | NA      | NA         | NA      |
| TRPG > 31 mmHg or RV dilatation   | 0.01    | 9.43       | 1.74–75.44 | NA      | NA         | NA      |
| RV fractional area change ≤ 35%  | 0.01    | 9.43       | 1.74–75.44 | NA      | NA         | NA      |
| Elevated right atrial pressure    | 0.01    | 8.04e+8    | NA       | NA      | NA         | NA      |
| Positive IEI                      | <0.01   | 24.00      | 3.75–478.09 | 0.04    | 12.28      | 1.19–126.93 |

CI confidence interval, TRPG tricuspid regurgitation peak pressure gradient, RV right ventricular, IEI integrated echocardiographic indicator, NA not applicable
investigate echocardiographic features with conventional parameters with or without strain analysis and their relation to clinical outcomes have already been conducted. In our study, there were five cases (14%) with a history of coronary artery disease. Additionally, we experienced one non-ST-elevated myocardial infarction as an apparent acute coronary syndrome. However, we observed 16 patients (43%) with possible echocardiographic myocardial injury comprised of swollen left ventricular wall, pericardial effusion, and left ventricular a-synergy. For the above reasons, we surmised that COVID-19 myocarditis and focal myocardial infarctions due to COVID-19-induced micro-thrombi were the main causes of myocardial injury. Moreover, the frequent observation of low LV stroke volume index in our study is relatively unique, as only one of the above-mentioned studies included LV stroke volume [36]. It is natural to observe low LV stroke volume in patients with severe pulmonary hypertension or right ventricular dysfunction. However, in our study, there were only 11 cases (44%) that demonstrated either pulmonary hypertension or right ventricular dysfunction with right ventricular fractional area change ≤ 35% among the total of 25 cases with low LV stroke volume index. Considering averagely preserved LV systolic function without valvular pathologies and small left ventricular ventricle, this might be caused by insufficient LV preload, namely dehydration due to COVID-19. Thus, even in patients with preserved LV function, COVID-19 may seriously affect the patient’s vital organs via hypo-perfusion caused by dehydration.

In our study, although no single echocardiographic parameter was indicated as a predictor for in-hospital death, we suggest that IEI might have prognostic value for in-hospital death. This is concordant with a previous study by Giustino that concluded that myocardial injury with echocardiographic abnormalities was associated with increased in-hospital mortality [18]. Thus, this might be the main advantage of standard 2-D TTE with comprehensive measurements over a limited ultrasound imaging procedure.

With adequate PPE, no peri-procedural contamination of the referring physicians occurred via bedside TTE while providing comprehensive data sets of the cardiac and pulmonary circulation. Fortunately, our institute was able to maximally prepare for managing COVID-19 patients from the beginning due to the suggestions of local or central government authorities. Thus, since the first TTE case, proper PPE had been used for COVID-19 cases, even before the installation of the COVID-19 guidelines. Additionally, institutional infection control teams have always supervised the education of all healthcare providers, including sonographers, and monitored all infection control practices achieved by healthcare providers.

TTE studies were performed only when ordered by referring physicians responsible for the medical management of patients. Thus, there must be a selection bias in our data, especially in the in-hospital mortality rate. However, it is logical to consider and understand our patients as a subset of COVID-19 patients with cardiac complications and a poor prognosis. We could not conduct offline strain analysis due to the limited capability of the echocardiographic machine. Thus, we are planning to employ other capable machines for this purpose. As the results of this study were based on a single-center database, which included a relatively small number of samples, the conclusions from this study cannot be generalized. However, our findings could provide guidance for larger and/or multi-center prospective studies in the future.

**Conclusion**

TTE-derived IEI might have predictive value for in-hospital death in patients with COVID-19 treated in intensive care units. Thus, standard TTE at the bedside with offline
comprehensive measurements may provide prognostic information that is valuable for the medical management of COVID-19 patients. Further larger, multicenter, prospective studies will be needed in the future.
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