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A hybrid energy storage system (HESS) consists of two or more types of energy storage components and the power electronics circuit to connect them. Therefore, the real-time capacity of this system highly depends on the state of the system and cannot be simply evaluated with traditional battery models. To tackle this challenge, an equivalent state of charge (ESOC) which reflects the remaining capacity of a HESS unit in a specific operation mode, is proposed in this paper. Furthermore, the proposed ESOC is applied to the control of the distributed HESS which contains several units with their own local targets. To optimally distribute the overall power target among these units, a sparse communication network-based hierarchical control framework is proposed. This framework considers the distributed control and optimal power distribution in the HESS from two aspects - the power output capability and the ESOC balance. Based on the primary droop control, the total power is allocated according to the maximum output capacity of each unit, and the secondary control is used to adjust the power from the perspective of ESOC balance. Therefore, each energy storage unit can be controlled to meet the local power demand of the microgrid. Simulation results based on MATLAB/Simulink verify the effectiveness of the application of the proposed equivalent SOC.
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INTRODUCTION

Energy storage systems are widely deployed in microgrids to reduce the negative influences from the intermittency and stochasticity characteristics of distributed power sources and the load fluctuations (Rufer and Barrade, 2001; Hai Chen et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2015; Ma et al., 2015). From both economic and technical aspects, hybrid energy storage systems (HESSs) have several benefits compared to traditional battery-based energy storage systems. In a battery-supercapacitor HESS unit, the requirements for both the energy density and the power density can be satisfied (Zhou et al., 2011). With the help of supercapacitors, the peak power performance of the energy storage system can be enhanced, and thus, the stress on batteries can be reduced, and their lives are extended (Dougal et al., 2002; Gao et al., 2005).

Since a HESS unit usually consists of two or more types of energy storage components and a power electronic circuit to couple them, accurate evaluation of the remaining energy in the system is challenging. In (Mesbahi et al., 2017), an integrated model for energy storage components coupled with power electronic devices is built for an electrical vehicle simulator.
online state and parameter estimation scheme in a battery-double-layer-capacitor system is proposed. However, since the two above approaches focus on specific applications, they cannot be used as comprehensive techniques to evaluate the remaining energy in hybrid energy storage systems.

Meanwhile, energy storage systems are usually widely invested and installed in power systems. In a distributed HESS, the HESS units with relatively low power and energy capacities can be equipped where the distributed power sources are located and can be used to suppress local fluctuations and stabilize the node voltage. Through coordinated control of these distributed units, the units can cooperate to fulfill an overall goal in the microgrid, such as stabilizing the transmission line power and providing emergency frequency control, with proper energy evaluation, power allocation strategies and communication links (Zhao et al., 2011; Le Dinh and Hayashi, 2013; Arif and Aziz, 2017; Yongqiang and Tianjing, 2017).

The classical coordinated control methods can be classified into three categories: centralized control, distributed control and decentralized control. Centralized control methods require a high-speed high-throughput data processing center (Tsikalakis and Hatzigiou, 2008; Tan et al., 2012) and reliable communication between the center and each unit, which results in higher costs and potential risk of failures (Chen and Wang, 2015). The distributed control methods, do not have these requirements (Chandorkar et al., 1993; Shu et al., 2018). However, directly using droop control in a distributed energy storage system without considering the state of charge (SOC) of the energy storage components may cause over-charging and over-discharging problems. To tackle this issue, in (Mokhtari et al., 2013), a distributed control strategy with limited communications between neighboring energy storage units is proposed. In (Li et al., 2014), the output power adjustment of each unit is based on the ratio determined by its SOC level. In (Li et al., 2017), both consistency control and droop control methods are used for frequency adjustment. However, considering the asymmetric characteristics of the energy storage components in a HESS unit, these approaches cannot be directly applied. Decentralized control also has its disadvantages. The local information collected by local controller is limited, so it is difficult to comprehensively consider the dynamic characteristics of all distributed generation and the whole microgrid system. Meanwhile, it is difficult to realize the frequency and voltage regulation of the distributed generation and as well as the economic dispatch of microgrid (Xin et al., 2011). Due to the absence of microgrid central controller (MGCC) and communication system, the recovery speed of voltage and frequency is relatively slow after interference.

In the isolated operation mode of microgrid, the energy storage device can be used to maintain the stability of system frequency and voltage with the distributed generations (Rodrigues et al., 2018). However, few literatures introduce how to utilize the features of the HESS to support the isolated microgrid with a distributed structure.

In this paper, a sparse communication network based hierarchical control structure with considering the equivalent SOC (ESOC) evaluation is proposed, in which the power demand is distributed according to two criteria: 1) the real-time power output ability of each HESS unit and 2) the control in state of charge of each HESS unit.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Equivalent Circuit and SOC of HESS, the generalized equivalent model of HESS and the method of evaluating the remaining energy in HESS is proposed. The power distribution method which considers the real-time power output ability and state of charge of each HESS units is described in Hierarchical Control Structure For Distributed HESS. Simulation results are presented in Simulation Analysis to verify the effectiveness of the proposed method. Conclusions are drawn in Conclusion.

### EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT AND SOC OF HESS

The power conversion system (PCS) connecting the hybrid energy storage components and the AC/DC bus are variously studied (Ghazanfari et al., 2012; Hai-Feng et al., 2014; Kawakami et al., 2014; Tian et al., 2019). The most classic topology is shown in Figure 1A. The battery and the supercapacitor are individually regulated and inverted with DC/DC and DC/AC converters and eventually paralleled connected to the AC bus. To enhance the output voltage level and improve power quality, the cascaded HESS system has been investigated, as shown in Figure 1B. In this structure, each energy storage component is connected to an H-bridge, and multiple H-bridges are series-connected to form high-voltage output. The output power of the unit can be flexibly controlled by regulating the amplitude and phase of the output voltage.

In this paper, the cascaded structure is selected to be the PCS of HESS units since it is more complicated than the traditional
parallel-connected converters. It should be noted that the proposed ESOC evaluation algorithm can also be applied to other HESS PCS topologies.

**Operation Modes of the HESS Unit**

Considering different levels of power demand, cascaded modules in Figure 1B usually operate in asymmetric states. For example, when high power surge emerges, the supercapacitor modules need to enhance power output by increasing their terminal voltages. In this case, the remaining operation duration highly depends on the SOC of the supercapacitor. In contrast, when the output power is relatively low, the operation duration is mainly related to the SOC of the battery since the supercapacitor modules are standby.

Therefore, the equivalent SOC of the HESS units hinges on its operation mode and the dominating energy storage component. There are totally four different modes:

1) Standby mode: The HESS unit does not inject or absorb active power.
2) Operation mode 1 (normal power): The active power injection or absorption requirement is within the ability of the batteries, so only batteries are injecting or absorbing power. The supercapacitors are used as an energy buffer.
3) Operation mode 2 (instantaneous peak power): When peak power requirement which lasts shorter than the dynamic response time constant of the batteries occurs, batteries are on standby, and only supercapacitors are injecting or absorbing power.
4) Operation mode 3 (continuous high power): To meet the continuous high power requirement, all the batteries and supercapacitors are injecting or absorbing power simultaneously.

**Equivalent Circuit of a Cascaded HESS Unit**

The equivalent circuit model of the HESS unit is the basis of the accurate evaluation of the SOCs of each component and the equivalent SOC of a HESS unit. A HESS unit consists of batteries, supercapacitors and H-bridges.

The equivalent circuits of the battery and the supercapacitor are introduced in (Newman et al., 2003) and (Li et al., 2016) respectively. In addition to these components, the equivalent circuit of the H-bridge is proposed in this paper. The complete model, which is composed of the equivalent circuits of these three components, is further simplified as a generalized equivalent circuit for ESOC definition purposes.

The equivalent circuit of the H-bridge is shown in Figure 2. $K$ is chosen from $-1$, $0$ and $1$, determined by the control signal of the PWM. $I_o$ is the effective value of the output current. $m$ is the equivalent modulation depth, which indicates the amount of power the DC source on the left side absorb or inject. It is defined as

$$m = \frac{P_s T}{u_o I_o} = \frac{P_s}{u_o I_o}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $T$ is the sampling period; $*$ represents the type of the DC source ($b$-battery, $c$-supercapacitor); $P_s$ is the active power injected into or absorbed by the DC-source, corresponding to positive and negative values respectively; $u_o$ is the output voltage of the energy storage component at the end of last sampling period; and $I_o$ is the effective value of the output current in last sampling period.

According to the equivalent circuits of the battery, the supercapacitor and the H-bridge, the equivalent circuit of a HESS unit is shown in Figure 3.

In Figure 3, the output voltage $u_B$ of a battery can be calculated as

$$u_B = E_0 - K \frac{Q}{Q - \int_0^t i_b \, dt} + A \cdot \exp\left(-B \int_0^t i_b \, dt\right) - i_b \, r$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)
where $r$ is the internal resistor of the battery and $E_0$, $K$, $A$ and $B$ are parameters obtained from the discharging curve.

The output voltage $u_c$ of a supercapacitor can be calculated as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{du_1}{dt} &= \frac{(I_c R_2 R_L + R_1 u_1) - (R_2 + R_1)u_1)}{C_1 (R_1 R_2 + R_2 R_L + R_1 R_L)} \\
\frac{du_2}{dt} &= \frac{(I_c R_2 R_L + R_1 u_1) - (R_2 + R_1)u_2)}{C_2 (R_1 R_2 + R_2 R_L + R_1 R_L)} \\
u_c &= R_L \left( I_c - C_1 \frac{du_1}{dt} - C_2 \frac{du_2}{dt} \right)
\end{align*}
$$

where the parameters $R_1, C_1, R_2, C_2,$ and $R_L$ can be obtained from the charging and discharging curve of the supercapacitor.

The complete model shown in Figure 3 is too complex for analysis and thus needs further simplification. Based on the principle of combining the adjacent energy storage components of the same type without changing the output power, the model can be simplified as the generalized equivalent circuit, which is shown in Figure 4.

In Figure 4, two controlled voltage sources (CVSs) are used to represent two types of energy storage components (the battery and the supercapacitor). The resistor $R$ and the inductor $L$ are used to represent the loss and the inertial characteristics of the HESS unit. $U$ and $I_o$ are the output voltage and current of the HESS unit. $E_B$ and $E_SC$ are the output voltage of the equivalent CVS of the battery and the supercapacitors. $E_B$ and $E_SC$, the effective values of $E_B$ and $E_SC$, are determined by

$$
E_B = m_B \cdot N \cdot u_B \tag{4}
$$

$$
E_SC = m_SC \cdot n \cdot u_SC \tag{5}
$$

where $m_B$ and $m_SC$ are the equivalent modulation depth of the H-bridge connected with the battery(s) and the supercapacitor(s), respectively. $N$ and $n$ are the numbers of battery(s) and supercapacitor(s), respectively. $u_B$ and $u_SC$ are the voltages of a battery and a supercapacitor, which are explicated in Eqs 2, 3.

**Definition of the Equivalent SOC and its Normalization**

Based on the generalized equivalent circuit (Figure 4), the SOC of each energy storage component is defined as

$$
SOC_{i,j+1} = SOC_{i,j} - \frac{1}{C_{i,N}} \int_0^T \eta_i i_{i,j} E_{i,j} dt \tag{6}
$$

where $*$ represents the type of energy storage component ($B$-battery, $SC$-supercapacitor) and $SOC_{i,j}$ is the SOC of the energy storage component in time period $j$. $i_{i,j}$ and $E_{i,j}$ are the output current and output voltage in time period $j$, respectively. $\eta_i$ is the efficiency of charging and discharging; $C_{i,N}$ is the rated capacity of this energy storage component; $\eta_i$ and $C_{i,N}$ are parameters provided by the producer of the energy storage component.

Based on the SOCs of the energy storage components in a HESS unit, this paper proposes equivalent SOC (ESOC) to reflect the state of charge of a HESS unit by a comprehensive consideration of the operating conditions of all the energy storage components in the HESS unit. It is defined as

$$
ESOC' = \sum_{i=B,SC} \text{sgn}(m_{i,j}) \cdot SOC_{i,j} C_{i,N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \tag{7}
$$

where $ESOC'$ is the ESOC of a HESS unit in time period $j$; $SOC_{i,j}$ is the SOC of the specific type of energy storage components indicated by $*$ (B-battery, SC-supercapacitor) in time period $j$; $C_{i,N}$ is the total rated capacity of this type of energy storage component(s); and $\text{sgn}(m_{i,j})$ is a sign function, the definition is as follows. The four categories in its definition correspond to the standby mode and three operation mode mentioned in Operation Modes of the HESS Unit.

$$
\begin{align*}
\text{sgn}(m_{i,j}) &= 0, \text{ if } |m_{B,j}| < k \text{ and } |m_{SC,j}| < l \\
\text{sgn}(m_{B,j}) &= 1, \text{sgn}(m_{SC,j}) = 0, \text{ if } |m_{B,j}| \geq k \text{ and } |m_{SC,j}| < l \\
\text{sgn}(m_{B,j}) &= 0, \text{sgn}(m_{SC,j}) = 1, \text{if } |m_{B,j}| < k \text{ and } |m_{SC,j}| \geq l \\
\text{sgn}(m_{i,j}) &= 1, \text{ if } |m_{B,j}| \geq k \text{ and } |m_{SC,j}| \geq l
\end{align*}
$$

where $k$ and $l$ are small constants, which are used to judge the working states of the battery and the supercapacitor, respectively.

Considering the difference of the ESOC ranges of each energy storage unit under different modes, if we simply control the ESOC of all units to tend to a global average ESOC, it may cause that the ESOC of some units to exceed their limits. Therefore, this paper considers the standardization of the ESOC in different modes to the range of 0–1. The definition of the standardized ESOC of the unit $\langle ESOC_i \rangle$ is as follows

$$
\langle ESOC_i \rangle = \frac{ESOC_i - ESOC_{i,min}}{ESOC_{i,max} - ESOC_{i,min}} \tag{9}
$$

where $ESOC_{i,max}$, $ESOC_{i,min}$ is the upper and lower limits of the reasonable working range of ESOC of the $i$-th energy storage unit, and the specific calculation of the lower limits is given in the following formula.
Hierarchical control for multiple HESS units. The weak communication based energy storage unit can be reasonably allocated by using the energy storage unit in different modes, the output power of each drop control. According to the maximum output power of the control and the secondary control. The lower level is the local control for HESS units. The distributed hierarchical control framework of distributed HESSs. In order to share the control target among units, the distributed algorithm based on discrete consistency principle is used. The average value of each energy storage unit (ESOC) is calculated iteratively through the information achieved from adjacent units. On the basis of variable droop coefficient control, the correction considering (ESOC) equalization is superimposed, so that the ESOC of each energy storage unit can be regulated within a reasonable range while the power of each unit is distributed once according to the proportion of the maximum output power of each unit, and the (ESOC) of each energy storage unit tends to be consistent. Traditional droop control is difficult to comprehensively consider the above objectives.

Consistency Control Strategy Based on Equivalent SOC

Considering that the power distribution control of the distributed energy storage system is discontinuous, the first-order discrete-time consistency algorithm is utilized (Yinliang et al., 2011). For the distributed multi-agent control system with \( n \) agents, the discrete-time consistency algorithm can be expressed as:

\[
x_i[k+1] = x_i[k] + \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} (x_j[k] - x_i[k]) \quad (i, j = 1, 2, ..., n)
\]

where: \( x_i[k] \) and \( x_j[k] \) represent the state variables of nodes \( i \) and \( j \) in the \( k \)th iteration respectively; \( x_i[k+1] \) is the updated value of \( x_i[k] \) in the \((k+1)\)th iteration; \( a_{ij} \) is the element of adjacency matrix \( A \), which is used to describe the relationship between nodes. If there is no connection between nodes \( i \) and \( j \) or \( i = j \), then \( A_{ij} = 0 \), otherwise \( 0 < A_{ij} < 1 \). In the process of consistent iteration, \( A_{ij} \) has an important influence on the convergence rate and stability. \( A_{ij} \) is defined as:

\[
a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
0 < a_{ij} < 1, & (v_i, v_j) \in E \\
0, & \text{others}
\end{cases}
\]

Eq. 11 can also be written as a matrix:

\[
X[k+1] = X[k] + A \ast X[k] = (I + A)X[k] = DX[k]
\]

where, \( X[k] = [x_1[k], \ldots, x_i[k], \ldots, x_n[k]]^T \), \( I \) is the identity matrix, and \( D \) is:
\[ D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} & \cdots & a_{in} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{ni} & \cdots & 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{nj} \end{bmatrix} \] (14)

If all elements of matrix \( D \) are nonzero, and the sum of elements in each row and column is 1, then \( D \) is called a bi-random matrix (Alfred, 1954). According to Gerschgorin’s disks theorem, all eigenvalues of matrix \( D \) are less than or equal to 1. In order to apply Perron Frobenius lemma:

\[ \lim_{k \to \infty} D^k = \frac{ee^T}{n} \] (15)

where \( e = [1, 1, \ldots, 1]^T \), \( n \) are the dimensions of matrix \( D \). Matrix \( D \) should satisfy two conditions of double random matrix. However, the diagonal element of matrix \( D \) given in Eq.14 may be negative, so the matrix is not a bi-random matrix. However, it is easy to prove that the proof of Perron Frobenius lemma is also valid for the matrix \( D \) given by Eq. 14. Therefore, Eq. 15 can still be used for the stability analysis of consistency algorithm (Yinliang et al., 2011).

According to the above lemma, a positive definite Lyapunov function is constructed to analyze the stability of the system. Finally, the following conclusion is obtained: if \( A_{ij} \) in \( D \) matrix satisfies the following equation, the designed system is stable:

\[ 0 < a_{ij} < \frac{2}{n_i + n_j} \] (16)

where \( n_i \) and \( n_j \) are the number of adjacent nodes of node \( i \) and \( j \) respectively.

Therefore, in this paper, the \( D \)-matrix satisfying Eq. 16 is constructed by means metropolis method (Xu and Liu, 2011), which has high convergence speed.

\[ d_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n_i + n_j + \epsilon} & j \in N_j, i = j \\ 1 - \sum_{j \in N_j} \frac{1}{n_i + n_j + \epsilon} & i = j \\ 0, \text{ others} \end{cases} \] (17)

where \( N_i \) is the set of nodes connected with node \( i \), and \( \epsilon \) is a very small number. When the number of system nodes is large and complex, it can be set to 0.

**Improved Droop Control of HESS**

Under the condition of inductive equivalent line impedance, the droop control equation of parallel Hess is as follows:

\[ f_i = f_i^* - k_{pi}P_{HESS} \] (18)

\[ E_i = E_i^* - k_{qi}Q_{HESS} \] (19)

where \( f_i \) and \( E_i \) are the frequency and amplitude of the output AC voltage of HESS, unit respectively; \( f_i^* \) and \( E_i^* \) are the rated value of frequency and amplitude respectively, which generally correspond to the frequency and voltage amplitude of inverter under no-load condition; \( P_{HESS} \) and \( Q_{HESS} \) are the active power and reactive power of HESS, unit respectively; \( k_{pi} \) and \( k_{qi} \) are the droop coefficient of active power and reactive power of HESS unit respectively.

If the active droop coefficient is set according to the ratio of the maximum output power of each energy storage unit, the load power can be distributed in proportion among the energy storage units.

\[ \frac{P_{HESS1}}{P_{max1}} = \frac{P_{HESS2}}{P_{max2}} = \ldots = \frac{P_{HESSn}}{P_{maxn}} \] (20)

In addition, in order to avoid large frequency offset, the active power droop coefficient \( k_{pi} \) meets the following requirements:

\[ k_{pi} \leq \frac{\Delta f_{max}}{P_i^*} \] (21)

where \( \Delta f_{max} \) is the maximum allowable frequency change of the system, taking 0.5Hz, and \( P_i^* \) is the rated active power of hessi unit.

Similarly, the reactive power distribution in distributed energy storage system is related to the reactive power droop coefficient \( k_{qi} \). In this paper, the reactive power is divided equally, and each energy storage unit sets the same droop coefficient \( k_{qi} \) to achieve the average distribution of reactive power, so we do not do too much analysis. Similar to the active droop coefficient, the reactive droop coefficient \( k_{qi} \) satisfies:

\[ k_{qi} \leq \frac{\Delta Q_{max}}{Q_i^*} \] (22)

where \( \Delta Q_{max} \) is the maximum allowable voltage change of the system, not exceeding \( \pm 5\% \), and \( Q_i^* \) is the rated reactive power of HESS, unit.

Because the maximum output active power of Hess varies with different working modes, the traditional droop control method with fixed droop coefficient is not suitable for Hess application. Therefore, this paper considers the way of changing the droop coefficient in different modes, so that the local droop control of energy storage system can be adjusted according to the maximum output active power at any time and in any mode. The calculation formula of active power droop coefficient considering sub mode is as follows:

\[ k_{pi}[Z] = \frac{\Delta f_{max}}{P_{max}[Z]} \] (23)

where \( \Delta f_{max} \) is the maximum allowable frequency change of the system, taking 0.5Hz, \( P_{max}[Z] \) is the maximum output active power of HESS unit in Z mode, where \( Z \) represents three typical working modes of Hess.

In order to achieve the balance of each energy storage unit \( \langle \text{ESOC} \rangle \), the traditional droop control can be improved and the control quantity related to the energy storage unit \( \langle \text{ESOC} \rangle \) can be increased. Based on the droop control, the secondary power distribution is realized according to the \( \langle \text{ESOC} \rangle \) index. Improved droop control based on \( \langle \text{ESOC} \rangle \) regulation:
where: $N_{ip}$ and $N_{iq}$ are the PI parameters of the $\langle$ESOC$\rangle$ regulation term corresponding to the $i$th energy storage unit $\text{HESS}_i$, $\text{ESOC}^*$ is the average value of $\langle$ESOC$\rangle$ of the distributed energy storage system obtained by the consistent algorithm, and $k_{pi}/Z_i$ represents the active power droop coefficient of the energy storage unit in $Z$ mode.

**SIMULATION ANALYSIS**

**Verification of the Proposed ESOC Evaluation Method**

To verify the proposed ESOC evaluation method, a simplified model consists of a cascaded HESS unit connected to a load is built in the Matlab/Simulink platform. The HESS unit switches between different modes and the ESOC is calculated in real-time. The rated capacity and initial SOC condition of the battery and supercapacitor in this HESS unit is shown in Table 1.

The simulation was taken in four stages (0–3, 3–5, 5–10, 10–20 min), corresponding to operation mode 1, 2, 1 and 3 respectively. The details of the three operation modes are introduced in Section II (a). **Figure 6** shows the changes in ESOC and its normalized value $\langle$ESOC$\rangle$ during the four stages.

The HESS unit was operating in mode 1 from 0 to 3 min and from 5 to 10 min. In these time periods, only the batteries inject power to the load and the supercapacitor is standing by. That means that the remaining energy in the HESS unit totally depends on the remaining energy in the battery. Therefore, the remaining energy in the ESOC is completely determined by the SOC of the battery.

The HESS unit was operating in mode 2 from 3 to 5 min, in the time period when instantaneous extreme high power demand occurs. The provided power from HESS to the load almost all comes from the supercapacitors. Thus, the remaining energy in the HESS unit totally depends on the remaining energy in the supercapacitors. The capacity of the supercapacitors is much smaller than that of the battery, so there is a significant drop in ESOC.

The HESS unit was operating in mode three from 10 to 20 min. To meet the high power demand, both the battery and the supercapacitors provide power to the load, so there is an increase in ESOC when switching from operation mode 1. This increase in ESOC indicates that the remaining energy in the supercapacitors starts to be injected to the load in addition to the remaining energy in the battery.

**Figure 6** also illustrates that while the $\langle$ESOC$\rangle$ has a linear relationship with the ESOC, the change in the ESOC when switching the operation mode is mitigated in the $\langle$ESOC$\rangle$. This can avoid too acute changes in the power distribution, which may cause HESS units to go beyond their appropriate operation ranges.

**Verification of the Hierarchical Control Structure of Distributed Hess**

The model shown in **Figure 7** is used to verify the proposed control framework to support the isolated microgrid. The equivalent output impedance of the power network used in the voltage level 220 V is inductive.

The configurations of the five HESS units are shown in Table 2. As the isolated microgrid, the simulation model contains wind power, photovoltaic and other renewable energy. These distributed generators usually use the algorithm to track the maximum power point for PQ control, and the output active power is intermittent and random. Meanwhile, the load also has the stochastic characteristics. Thus, the HESSs are used to meet the difference between generations and usage, as well as to suppress the power fluctuations.

**Figure 8** shows overall generations, loads and their difference of the isolated microgrid. The HESSs unit is used to support the
TABLE 2 | Parameters and Initial SOCs of each HESS Unit.

| HESS unit | 1          | 2          | 3          | 4          | 5          |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| capacity/Wh| 300        | 300        | 180        | 180        | 420        |
| SC’2      | 31.2       | 31.2       | 21         | 21         | 16.8       |
| output impedance L/mH | 238.7 | 238.7 | 238.7 | 238.7 | 238.7 |
| Communicable node | 2.3 | 1.3,4 | 1.2,5 | 2 | 3 |
| Pmax/W    | 500/750/1250 | 500/750/1250 | 300/500/800 | 300/500/800 | 700/400/1100 |
| initial SOC battery | 0.67 | 0.75 | 0.71 | 0.69 | 0.78 |
| SC’2      | 0.75       | 0.72       | 0.67       | 0.57       | 0.63       |

microgrid when severe failure occurs and the distributed generations cannot meet the load demand. In this situation, all HESS units will share the total difference.

The proposed hierarchical control framework is used to allocate power among HESS units. Figure 9 shows the output power of these HESS units in the first 30 min. The simulation process is divided into three stages. From 0 to 3 min, the primary control is enabled, and each HESS unit contribute to the active power demand according to its own state. From 3 to 18 min, since the secondary control is activated, the output power of HESS5 with relatively large capacity and HESS2 with high initial SOC increases significantly. In 18–30 min, With the consistency process of the normalized ESOC of the five HESS units, the ESOC is gradually consistent. Figure 10 shows the changes in <ESOC> of these HESS units in the first 30 min. In 0–3 min, the Nip and Nii in Eq. 24 was set as 0, the power allocation is determined by the primary power allocation strategy, and the amount of injected active power of each HESS unit is proportional to respect kpi(Z). The decrease rate of <ESOC> was the same. In 3 min–18 min, the Nip and Nii in Eq. 24 was set as 1.5 and 0.005 so the secondary power adjustment was applied and the target <ESOC> was taken into consideration. Because of this, for each HESS unit, the drop speed of its <ESOC> was influenced by the gap between its actual <ESOC> and its reference. It can be seen that the <ESOC> values of all HESS units tend to converge after starting the consistency control. In 18–30 min, <ESOC> was consistent and decreased at almost the same rate.

CONCLUSION

In this paper, the equivalent SOC (ESOC) is proposed as an index to evaluate the state of charge of a HESS unit considering its operation mode. Based on this, a distributed control method is proposed that aims to optimize the power allocation among HESS units that are in the same microgrid. The main innovations are: consideration of the operation mode of a HESS unit while evaluating its state of charge, and determining the droop coefficient of primary control. Use the distributed algorithm and secondary control to balance the equivalent SOC among HESS units. Simulation results show that the proposed ESOC can reflect the actual condition of a HESS unit according to its operation mode. Meanwhile, with the proposed distributed control method, the power can be reasonably allocated among all HESS units considering their current states and their ESOC targets.
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