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**Abstract:** The paper provides a description of the potential for the direct current- and alternating current-driven electrospinning of various linear aliphatic polyamides (PA). Sets with increasing concentrations of selected PAs were dissolved in a mixture of formic acid and dichloromethane at a weight ratio of 1:1 and spun using a bar electrode applying direct and alternating high voltage. The solubility and spinnability of the polyamides were investigated and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were acquired of the resulting nanofiber layers. The various defects of the spun fibers and their diameters were detected and subsequently measured. Moreover, the dynamic viscosity and conductivity were also subjected to detailed investigation. The most suitable concentrations for each of the PAs were determined according to previous findings, and the solutions were spun using a Nanospider™ device at the larger scale. The fiber diameters of these samples were also measured. Finally, the surface energy of the fiber layers produced by the Nanospider™ device was measured aimed at selecting a suitable PA for a particular application.
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**1. Introduction**

Aliphatic polyamides (also known as nylons) are widely-used thermoplastic polymers [1]. They are synthesized via the polycondensation of an ω-aminoacid, the polyreaction of a diamine with a dicarboxylic acid or halide and the ring-opening polymerization reactions of lactams [2]. The abbreviations of the various nylons consist of the prefix PA (polyamide) plus numbers that identify the number of carbon atoms between adjacent amide groups. A PA synthesized from a diamine and a dicarboxylic acid (or halide) is identified by two numbers—the first corresponds to the number of carbons between the diamine groups and the second to the number of carbons in the whole of the dicarboxylic acid (i.e., halide) [3]. The polar amide groups allow for secondary interactions, including hydrogen bonding. Consequently, PAs usually comprise semicrystalline polymers with good mechanical properties. Simultaneously, the aliphatic groups serve to render the whole of the polymeric chain more flexible.

Their ability to interact with water means that nylons are affected by moisture, which can change their mechanical and dimensional properties [4]. The properties of the various types of nylon depend on the number of amide groups in the macromolecule. More polar nylons usually exhibit improved mechanical strength properties due to the formation of linkages between the amide groups. The moisture absorption ability and crystallinity are enhanced with the increasing polarity of the nylons. Conversely, the specific gravity
Nylon polymers are used widely in the electrospinning production of nanofibers. The most commonly used polymers is PA6, followed by PA6 16, PA11 and PA12, respectively. The main applications of nylon nanofibers comprise filtration [23], solid-phase extraction [24], wound dressings [25], tissue engineering [26] and electrotechnology [27]. Formic acid is the most common solvent for PA6 and PA6 16, sometimes in mixtures with acetic acid, dichloromethane or chlorophenol [28]. According to the solvent used, several morphological and structural variants may appear in electrospun PA samples such as ribbons,
branches, bending loops, shrinkages, nanosheets or high-aspect ratio nanofibers [28–31]. They are affected not only by the solvent but also by the concentration and voltage applied. However, sincenylons and their copolymers with longer alkane chains do not dissolve well in such solvent systems, more expensive and ecologically-unfriendly solvents e.g., 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol [32], 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoropropan-2-ol or cresol are required [33], although, in this connection, a number of papers have suggested the dissolution of PA11 solely in formic acid [34,35]. Behler et al. demonstrated in 2007 that PA11 and PA12 can be dissolved in a mixture of formic acid and dichloromethane at a 1:1 weight ratio and subsequently electrospun [33]. This solvent can also be applied for dissolving even the most polar nylons, and thus, provides a suitable solvent for the comparative research of the spinnability of various PAs.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials and Solution Preparation

Several types of polyamides (PA) were tested: Two PA4 variants were synthesized at the Department of Polymers, UCT Prague (according to [11]) with differing viscosity average molecular weights ($M_v$) of 18,000 and 280,000 g·mol$^{-1}$. PA4|6 (Stanyl$^\text{®}$ HGR3-W) was obtained from the DSM company (Emmen, the Netherlands), PA6 (Ultramide$^\text{®}$ B72) with a weight average molecular weight ($M_w$) of 21,050 g·mol$^{-1}$ and a dispersity $D = 3.2$ was obtained from BASF (Ludwigshafen, Germany). PA6|6 (CAS 32131-17-2) and PA6|12 (CAS 26098-55-5) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Praha, Czech Republic) and PA6|10 (Mw 1500 g·mol$^{-1}$, CAS 9011-52-3), PA11 (CAS 25587-80-8) and PA12 (CAS 25038-74-8) from Scientific Polymer Production (New York, NY, USA). Formic acid (p.a., CAS 64-18-6) and dichloromethane (p.a., CAS 75-09-2) were obtained from Penta (Praha, Czech Republic).

Each type of polyamide was dissolved in a mixture of formic acid and dichloromethane at a weight ratio of 1:1 and stirred using a magnetic stirrer for 24 h at room temperature in closed containers. All the measurements of the solutions and electrospinning were conducted immediately following stirring.

2.2. High Voltage System and Electrode Geometry

A 100 mm-long bar electrode with a diameter of 10 mm was used for electrospinning which was driven either via direct (DC) or alternating (AC) current. The grounded collector comprised a 1 mm-thick steel plate with dimensions of 250 $\times$ 250 mm$^2$ with rounded edges. The distance from the top of the electrode was set at 100 mm for the DC experiments. The electrospinning process usually lasted 60 s unless the process stopped spontaneously. The AC experiments were conducted without an electrically-active collector. The geometry of the spinning system was designed as symmetrical to the axis of the electrode. The geometry and the corresponding 2D electric field model of the DC experiments are shown in Figure 1. The model was created using the Autodesk Simulation Mechanical 2015 FEM software (version 2015.20, San Rafael, CA, USA). The task was simulated as 2D axisymmetric, where the model axis corresponded to the electrode axis. The shape of the polymeric solution droplet was based on an ellipse with a height of 4 mm. The assembly was enclosed in a box with a diameter of 300 mm and a height of 500 mm. The distance between the lower edge of the pad and the lower edge of the box was 144 mm. A voltage of 30 kV was set for the electrode and 0 V for the collector. The box’s boundaries were assumed to be perfectly isolated from the surrounding vicinity.
The spinning of all the samples was performed at room temperature and at a shear rate of 500 s\(^{-1}\). Each sample was tested five times at a temperature of 23 °C. The concentration dependences were evaluated by comparing the dynamic viscosities of the samples at shear rate of 500 s\(^{-1}\).

2.3. Scanning Electron Microscopy Analysis

The electrospun nanofibers were coated with a 10 nm layer of gold using a Q150R ES rotary pumped coater (Quorum, Lewes, UK). The morphological analyses of the samples were obtained using a Vega 3 scanning electron microscope (TESCAN, Brno, Czech Republic) at an accelerated voltage of 20 kV. The diameters of the nanofibers were measured using ImageJ software (version 1.52a, Bethesda, MD, USA), and Veusz software (version 3.3.1, Garching, Germany) was used to create the corresponding histograms and graphs.

2.4. Viscometry Analysis

The viscometry analysis was conducted using a HAAKE Rotovisco (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Praha, Czech Republic) rheometer with a C35/1°Ti L cone and gap distance of 0.1 mm. The shear rate was linearly increasing from 300 to 3000 within 30 s. Each sample was tested five times at a temperature of 23 °C. The concentration dependences were evaluated by comparing the dynamic viscosities of the samples at shear rate of 500 s\(^{-1}\).

2.5. Conductivity Analysis

A Eutech Instruments CON 510 (Thermo Scientific Eutech Instruments, Landsmeer, The Netherlands) with a K10/6MM8 probe was used for the conductivity measurements. Each sample was tested three times at 21 °C.
2.6. Contact Angle and Surface Energy of the Fiber Layers

The contact angle was measured using a See System E instrument (Advex Instruments, Brno, Czech Republic). Glycerol was used to measure the contact angle; 5 µL was dosed in all cases onto the prepared fiber layers. A total of 30 contact angle measurements were taken for each sample. The surface energy was calculated via the Kwok-Neumann model (Equation (1)):

\[
\gamma_{sl} = \gamma_{lv} + \gamma_{sv} - 2\sqrt{\gamma_{lv}\gamma_{sv}} \left(1 - 0.0001057(\gamma_{lv} - \gamma_{sv})^2 \right),
\]

where \(\gamma_{sl}\), \(\gamma_{lv}\) and \(\gamma_{sv}\) represent interfacial tensions of the solid-liquid, liquid-vapor and solid-vapor respectively.

3. Results

3.1. Material and Solution Preparation

Sets of five or six solutions with increasing concentrations were prepared for all the types of PA. The number of solutions of each polyamide depended on the solubility of the respective PA. All the tested PA types were found to be soluble in formic acid and dichloromethane mixed solvent. However, the solubilities of the PA11 and PA12 were limited to approximately 12% wt. and 10% wt., respectively. These solutions were prone to rapid precipitation on their surfaces which led to the formation of thin polymeric films, which acted to halt the spinning process. The PA12 was more prone to this behaviour than the PA11. This surface precipitation was caused by the preemptive evaporation of dichloromethane from the polymeric solution. The solubility of the PAs decreased with the decreasing polarity of the macromolecule. We assumed that increasing the content of dichloromethane in the solvents used for polyamides with longer aliphatic chains (11 and more) might improve the spinning process. Partial modification of the solvent system for PAs with aliphatic chains lower than 11 could be done by substituting dichloromethane with tetrachloroethane or their suitable mixture. This lowers the solvent’s evaporation rate and allows better controllability of the spinning process and the solution storage. On the other hand, this solvent system would probably not be suitable for PA11 and PA12, thus limiting the general applicability of the solvent for polyamides.

3.2. DC and AC Electrospinning

Although it was possible to electrospin most of the prepared solutions, the spinning process was not always ideal and, in such cases, would be considered inappropriate for application at the higher fabrication scale. For this reason, the spinnability of each sample was estimated both macroscopically and microscopically. Furthermore, not all the required values could be obtained for all the prepared solutions due to the inadequate spinning properties—an insufficient level of spinning efficiency, the spinning of fibers with too large diameters or the inability to spin at all. The DC macroscopic aspect served for the optical evaluation of the spinning process and the spun layers, i.e., their proportions and homogeneity. The most homogeneous and compact layers were evaluated as being of the highest quality. Generally, the layers prepared from the lower concentration solutions were less distinctive but more compact. Increasing amounts of polymer in the solutions allowed the formation of separated bolder fiber deposits. This effect is shown in Figure 2a. Further increases in the concentration led to a reduction in the effectiveness of the spinning process or its complete cessation.
The optimal concentrations of the most favorable spinning results were usually related to the product created non-homogeneous formations, which were difficult to evaluate optically. Hence, those samples with the most significant volume were considered the most suitable. The optimal concentrations of the most favorable spinning results were usually related to the DC concentrations. However, in some cases, the concentrated solutions were found to spin more efficiently (PA6|6, PA6|10 and PA11). Table 1 provides the results of the macroscopic observation. It is clear from the table that in the case of PA4 samples there is an order of magnitude difference in the concentration the formation of continuous fiber layers. The concentration of solution is in relation of the entanglements formation which depends on molar mass of PA and the solvent quality.

![Figure 2a](image_a.png)  ![Figure 2b](image_b.png)

**Figure 2.** Macroscopic view of the DC electrospinning of PA11 (a) illustrates the increasing distinctness and collateral separation of the spun layers (the scale from the first image applies to all the images). Example of an AC electrospun sample (b)—PA6|6 exhibited a similar spinnability trend to the PA11 spun via DC technology.

The macroscopic evaluation of the AC electrospinning process was more complicated than that of the of the DC process due to the differing character of the AC spun fibers (Figure 2b). The dependence of spinnability on the concentration was not as apparent as it was for the DC process. The AC spun fibers in the form of so-called fiber plumes were carried via the ionic wind to the electroneutral collector. The resulting bulky and sticky product created non-homogeneous formations, which were difficult to evaluate optically. Hence, those samples with the most significant volume were considered the most suitable. The optimal concentrations of the most favorable spinning results were usually related to the DC concentrations. However, in some cases, the concentrated solutions were found to spin more efficiently (PA6|6, PA6|10 and PA11). Table 1 provides the results of the macroscopic observation. It is clear from the table that in the case of PA4 samples there is an order of magnitude difference in the concentration the formation of continuous fiber layers. The concentration of solution is in relation of the entanglements formation which depends on molar mass of PA and the solvent quality.

**Table 1.** Results of the macroscopic observation of the spinning processes of the PA solutions showing the most suitable concentrations of the solutions used in both the DC and AC systems.

|            | PA4 (18,000) | PA4 (280,000) | PA6|6 | PA6 | PA6|10 | PA6|12 | PA11 | PA12 |
|------------|--------------|---------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
| DC         | 22%          | 3%            | 8%  | 10% | 6%  | 10% | 10% | 6%   | 4%   |
| AC         | 22%          | 3%            | 8%  | 10% | 6%  | 10% | 12% | 8%   | 4%   |

The SEM images of the DC electrospun samples revealed a tendency toward the creation of both fibers and ribbons. Mixtures of fibers and ribbons were observed in the PA4|6 (Figure 3a), PA6, PA6|6, PA6|10, PA6|12 and PA11 samples. The ribbons appeared more frequently in the higher concentration solutions than in the optimal solutions. Some of the solutions created ribbon structures only. No ribbons were created at even higher concentrations, and wrinkled microfibers were discovered in the PA4 (280,000), PA6|6, PA11 and PA12 samples.
Figure 3. SEM images of the DC (a) and AC (b) electrospun PA4|6 nanofibers (the scale from the first image applies to all the images). Increasing numbers of ribbons were observed in the DC electrospun samples, whereas this phenomenon was not observed for the AC electrospun samples.

The significant creation of ribbons was not observed for the AC electrospun samples (Figure 3b). However, it is possible that the identification of ribbons in the AC samples was more complex than for the DC samples. The DC samples were forced toward the collector and the final product was deposited in the form of compacted layers; hence the flat faces of the ribbons were easily recognizable. On the other hand, the AC approach produced bulky structures so that the ribbons were distinguishable only in those positions where they were twisted or bent.

The diameters of the fibers and the standard deviations increased with the increasing polymer concentration in the solution (Figure 4). The increase in the standard deviations was caused mainly by the increasing numbers of ribbons in the samples. In order to obtain reproducible data, only the broadest ribbon diameters were measured, which resulted in an increase in the difference between the standard fibers and the ribbons. The diameters of the ribbons increased with the concentration only slowly.

Figure 4a,b illustrate that the narrowest fiber diameters were usually obtained from those solutions with the lowest concentrations. This was observed for both the DC and the AC spinning systems. It was usually easier to prepare fibers of lower diameters from the lowest concentration solutions via DC spinning rather than via AC spinning. Further, the AC system was unable to sufficiently spin some of the most concentrated solutions (16% PA4|6, 14% and 16% PA6|6).

3.3. Viscometry and Conductivity Analysis

Figure 5a illustrates the increasing dynamic viscosities of the PA solutions with their increasing weight concentrations. High molecular weights led to the high viscosity values of the PA4 280,000, as well as, presumably, the PA6|6. The conductivity of the primary solvent was 20.5 ± 0.3 µS·cm⁻¹. In most cases, the conductivity of the PA solutions increased or showed no major changes with increasing concentration (Figure 5b). Only the
conductivity of the PA4 18,000 was observed to decrease with the concentration, which was due to the contribution of end groups of polymer with low molar mass.

**Figure 4.** The dependence of the DC (a) and AC (b) electrospun fiber and ribbon diameters on the concentration of the solution, with the corresponding standard deviations.

**Figure 5.** The dependence of the viscosity at shear rate of 500 s\(^{-1}\) (a) and conductivity (b) of the PA solutions on their concentrations, with the corresponding standard deviations.
3.4. Nanospider DC Spinning

The optimal DC electrospinning concentration for each type of PA was chosen after considering the macroscopic and microscopic spinning aspects and the corresponding fiber diameters. Since problems arose with the drying of the 6% PA11 on the string electrode, the solution concentration of the PA11 was lowered slightly to 5.5%, which allowed for the long-term spinning of a homogeneous layer. Only the PA12 failed to demonstrate good electrospinning properties from the applied solutions—the drying of the polymer on the string electrode was so rapid that even lowering the concentration was insufficient. Since it was difficult to fabricate nanofibrous layers of the required quality, the PA12 was not spun using the Nanospider™ machine. The optimal concentrations of the successfully spun PA are shown in Figure 6 with the corresponding fiber diameter histograms, which were created using 500 measured diameters for each sample.

![Fiber diameters from the Nanospider](image)

| Fiber diameters from the Nanospider |
|-----------------------------------|
| PA 4 (18000, 22%)                  |
| PA 4 (28000, 3%)                   |
| PA 46 (8%)                        |
| PA 6 (10%)                        |
| PA 66 (6%)                        |
| PA 11 (5.5%)                      |

Figure 6. Histograms of the fiber diameters (a) and the corresponding SEM images (b) of the PA fiber layers prepared using the Nanospider™ machine.

3.5. Contact Angle and Surface Energy

The surface energy and the contact angle were measured so as to allow for the selection of a suitable PA for the desired application, for which wetting is an important property. The contact angle was measured using glycerol, and the surface energy was then calculated from the contact angle. The resulting contact angle and surface energy values are shown in Figure 7.
Figure 6. Histograms of the fiber diameters (a) and the corresponding SEM images (b) of the PA fiber layers prepared using the Nanospider™ machine.

3.5. Contact Angle and Surface Energy

The surface energy and the contact angle were measured so as to allow for the selection of a suitable PA for the desired application, for which wetting is an important property. The contact angle was measured using glycerol, and the surface energy was then calculated from the contact angle. The resulting contact angle and surface energy values are shown in Figure 7.

Figure 7. The contact angles (a) and surface energies (b) of the PA nanofiber layers produced via the Nanospider™ device.

The results revealed that higher numbers of carbons in repeating unit of polyamide lead to larger contact angles and reductions in the surface energy. In the case of low molecular weight PA 4 (18,000), the values of surface wettability and surface energy are out of the stated dependence for polyamides. The differences are due to a higher proportion of terminal polar amino groups. PA 4 (18,000) exhibited a high surface energy (60.88 mJ m⁻²) and was, thus, more wettable than, for example, PA 11, which had a lower surface energy (47.77 mJ m⁻²). Therefore, by selecting a suitable PA, it is possible to select the required wettability of the nanofiber layer.

4. Limitations of the Study

It is important to bear in mind a number of limitations with respect to the presented experiments and their results. Firstly, the tested PAs were not of identical molecular weights and dispersities; in some cases, it was difficult to obtain accurate information on these two parameters, even from the manufacturers and sellers of the polymers. Secondly, the concentration increments (usually of 2% wt.) aimed at determining the best concentrations for the DC and AC electrospinning processes were relatively large. Hence, the presented “optimal” concentrations corresponded to the tested concentrations, which may not have been the most appropriate on a global scale. Thirdly, the bar electrode used only small amounts of the static polymeric solution. Therefore, the dependence of the spinning system on the solution and changes in the atmospheric conditions may have affected the spinning process. In addition, the spinning time was limited.

5. Conclusions

This paper addressed the potential for the electrospinning of PA4, PA4|6, PA6, PA6|6, PA6|10, PA6|12, PA11 and PA12 solutions in a formic acid and dichloromethane. The solubility decreased with the increasing length of the aliphatic chain in repeating structure unit. Hence the solubilities of PA11 and PA12 were limited to 12 % wt. and 10 % wt. respectively. The ideal concentrations for both the DC and AC electrospinning techniques for all the tested PA solutions were determined by comparing the macroscopic behavior of the spinning processes from the bar electrode and the microscopic study of the resulting structures. The macroscopic aspect of the spinning process helped to identify the efficient and non-efficient processes, and the microscopic analysis was useful in determination of the quality of the prepared fibers and to identify any defects present. The creation of ribbons from the more concentrated solution was identified when applying the DC spinning technique. The application of the AC system resulted in the occurrence of a minimal amount of ribbons. Increases in the fiber diameters with the increasing concentration of
the spinning solution were determined for all the solutions. However, no clear correlation was discovered between the DC and AC fiber diameters, i.e., the two spinning systems produced fiber layers with similar qualities.

The viscosities increased with the concentration of the solutions. The conductivities usually increased to a value of approximately 1500 \( \mu \text{S cm}^{-1} \) for all the PA types except for PA4 (18,000), the conductivity of which decreased with rising concentration due to an excess of macromolecules that obstructed the charged particles.

Finally, the PA solutions with the optimal concentrations were electrospun using a Nanospider\textsuperscript{TM} device, which demonstrated that the used solvent was suitable for the DC electrospinning of various types of linear non-aromatic PAs. The nanofibrous layers obtained were of a sufficient quality and had no defects. The consecutive measurements approved that the decreasing polarity of nylons tends to increase contact angle and decrease the surface energy of the corresponding nanofiber layers. Hence the PA nanofiber layers of similar chemical structures can provide different surface properties. It might help choose the most suitable polyamide as a material for filtering specific liquids and producing polyamide nanofiber coats or composites, where proper surface interaction is essential for their manufacturability, mechanical properties and durability.

The use of a single solvent for a large number of PAs will allow for the conducting of a wide range of further research into the spinning of PAs and the DC and AC spinning of PA mixtures thereof. In addition, the findings will allow for the simplification of the investigation of the influence of structure of macromolecules on the spinning process via the use of a single solution for a variety of similar polymers.

**Author Contributions:** Project administration—P.H. and R.J.; conceptualization—P.H. and R.J.; methodology—R.J., O.B. and J.C.; investigation—T.K. and J.B.; visualization—P.H. and O.B.; supervision and validation—J.C. and J.B.; writing of the original draft—P.H. and R.J.; writing of the review and editing—R.J., J.C., J.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic and the European Union via European Structural and Investment Funds as part of the Research, Development and Education Operational Programme-Hybrid Materials for Hierarchical Structures project (HyHi, reg. No. CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_019/0000843).

**Data Availability Statement:** The data presented in this study is available on request from the corresponding author.

**Acknowledgments:** The co-author (Jiří Brožek) thanks to the University of Chemistry and Technology, Prague for its support.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. Jahnke, T.S. Nylon Plastics Handbook Edited by Melvin I. Kohan (Mik Associates). Hanser: Cincinnati, OH. 1995. xii + 631 pp. $198.00. ISBN 1-56990-189-9. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1996, 118, 8186. [CrossRef]
2. Puiggalí, J. Aliphatic polyamides (nylons): Interplay between hydrogen bonds and crystalline structures, polymorphic transitions and crystallization. *Polym. Cryst.* 2021, 4, e10199. [CrossRef]
3. Harper, C.A. Modern Plastics Handbook; McGraw-Hill: New York, NY, USA, 2000; ISBN 978-0-07-026714-5.
4. Razumovskii, L.; Markin, V.; Zaikov, G. Sorption of water by aliphatic polyamides. Review. *Polym. Sci. USSR* 1985, 27, 751–768. [CrossRef]
5. McKeen, L.W.; Massey, L.K. *Film Properties of Plastics and Elastomers: A Guide to Non-Wovens in Packaging Applications*, 2nd ed.; William Andrew Publishing: Norwich, NY, USA, 2004, p. 221.
6. Kumar, K.D.; Santharaj, M.; Kumar, N.; Manjunatha, G. Morphological and mechanical properties of short fibres reinforced hybrid composites for automotive applications. *Mater. Today Proc.* 2021. [CrossRef]
7. Deopura, B.L.; Padaki, N.V. Chapter 5—Synthetic Textile Fibres: Polyamide, Polyester and Aramid Fibres. In *Textiles and Fashion*; Sinclair, R., Ed.; Woodhead Publishing Series in Textiles; Woodhead Publishing: Cambridge, UK, 2015; pp. 97–114. ISBN 978-1-84569-931-4.
8. Ning, J.; Tian, C.; Yang, Y.; Huang, L.; Lv, J.; Zeng, F.; Liu, Q.; Zhao, F.; Kong, W.; Cai, X. A novel intrinsic semi-aromatic polyamide dielectric toward excellent thermal stability, mechanical robustness and dielectric performance. *Polymer* 2021, 234, 124233. [CrossRef]

9. Tyuftin, A.A.; Kerry, J.P. Review of surface treatment methods for polyamide films for potential application as smart packaging materials: Surface structure, antimicrobial and spectral properties. *Food Packag. Shelf Life* 2020, 24, 100475. [CrossRef]

10. Maitz, M. Applications of synthetic polymers in clinical medicine. *Biosurf. Biotribol.* 2015, 1, 161–176. [CrossRef]

11. Blázková, L.; Malinová, L.; Benešová, V.; Rada, J.; Brožek, J. Nanofibers prepared by electrospinning from solutions of biobased polyamide 4. *J. Polym. Sci. Part A Polym. Chem.* 2017, 55, 2203–2210. [CrossRef]

12. Lóvestam, G.; Rauscher, H.; Roebben, G.; Klüttgen, B.S.; Gibson, N.; Putaud, J.-P.; Stamm, H. Considerations on a Definition of Nanomaterial for Regulatory Purposes; JRC: Brussels, Belgium, 2010. [CrossRef]

13. Kenry; Lim, C.T. Nanofiber technology: Current status and emerging developments. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* 2017, 70, 1–17. [CrossRef]

14. Barhoum, A.; Pal, K.; Rahier, H.; Uludag, H.; Kim, I.S.; Bechelany, M. Nanofibers as new-generation materials: From spinning and nano-spinning fabrication techniques to emerging applications. *Appl. Mater. Today* 2019, 17, 1–35. [CrossRef]

15. El-Aswar, E.I.; Ramadan, H.; Ellik, H.; Taha, A.G. A comprehensive review on preparation, functionalization and recent applications of nanofibrous PA 6 solutions in wastewater treatment. *J. Environ. Manag.* 2021, 301, 113908. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

16. Reneker, D.; Yarin, A.; Zussman, E.; Xu, H. Electrospinning of Nanofibers from Polymer Solutions and Melts. *Adv. Appl. Mech.* 2007, 41, 43–346. [CrossRef]

17. Shepa, I.; Mudra, E.; Dusza, J. Electrospinning through the prism of time. *Mater. Today Chem.* 2021, 21, 100543. [CrossRef]

18. Reneker, D.H.; Yarin, A.L. Electrospinning jets and polymer nanofibers. *Polymer* 2008, 49, 2387–2425. [CrossRef]

19. Office, E.P. A Method of Nanofibres Production from a ... on morphology and micro-
structure. *Mater. Today Commun.* 2015, 3, 141–148, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtcomm.2015.01.002.

20. Jirkovec, R.; Erben, J.; Sajdl, P.; Chaloupek, J.; Chvojka, J. The effect of material and process parameters on the surface energy of... chromatography systems. *Microchem. J.* 2021, 174, 107084. [CrossRef]

21. Kalous, T.; Holec, P.; Jirkovec, R.; Lukas, D.; Chvojka, J. Improved spinnability of PA 6 solutions using AC electrospinning. *Mater. Lett.* 2020, 283, 128761. [CrossRef]

22. Jirkovec, R.; Erben, J.; Sajdl, P.; Chaloupek, J.; Chvojka, J. The effect of material and process parameters on the surface energy of polycaprolactone fibre layers. *Mater. Des.* 2021, 205, 109748. [CrossRef]

23. Ryšánek, P.; Čapková, P.; Stojdíl, J.; Trogl, J.; Benada, O.; Kormunda, M.; Kolská, Z.; Munzarová, M. Stability of antibacterial modification of nanofibrous PA6/DTAB membrane during air filtration. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 2018, 96, 807–813. [CrossRef]

24. Erben, J.; Klicova, M.; Klapstova, A.; Haková, M.; Lhotská, I.; Zatrochová, S.; Šatiniský, D.; Chvojka, J. New polyamide 6 nanofibrous sorbents produced via alternating current electrospinning for the on-line solid phase extraction of small molecules in chromatography systems. *Microchem. J.* 2021, 174, 107084. [CrossRef]

25. Mirek, A.; Korycka, P.; Grzeczkowicz, M.; Lewińska, D. Polymer fibers electrospun using pulsed voltage. *Mater. Des.* 2019, 183, 108106. [CrossRef]

26. Nirmala, R.; Park, H.-M.; Navamathavan, R.; Kang, H.-S.; El-Newehy, M.H.; Kim, H.Y.; Pant, H.R.; Yi, C.; Nam, K.T.; Park, S.-J.; Kim, H.Y.; Navamathavan, R. Effect of solvents on high aspect ratio polyamide-silver antimony sulfide nanofibers for energy harvesting. *Nanomaterials* 2022, 12, 665. [CrossRef]

27. Behler, K.; Havel, M.; Gogotsi, Y. New solvent for polyamides and its application to the electrospinning of polyamides 11 and 12. *Polymer* 2020, 2011, 1696–1704. [CrossRef]

28. Bryant, J.; Bonard, A.; Hincapié, C.; Kunits, N.; Kruglov, M.; Pota, G.; Zou, Y.; Wang, F.; Kolesnikov, I.; Pei, J. Advancements in materials and methods for the development of alternative antimony-based catalysts for water oxidation. *Nano Energy* 2021, 84, 105531. [CrossRef]

29. Xu, J.; Chen, Y.; Li, F.; Ma, X.; Zhu, H.; Li, W.; Yang, Q. Preparation of anisotropic nanofibers for lithium-ion battery applications. *Nanomaterials* 2022, 12, 771. [CrossRef]

30. Pant, H.R.; Bagai, M.P.; Yi, C.; Nirmala, R.; Nam, K.T.; Baek, W.-I.; Kim, H.Y. Effect of successive electrospinning and the strength of hydrogen bond on the morphology of electrospun nylon-6 nanofibers. *Colloids Surf. A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* 2010, 370, 87–94. [CrossRef]

31. Ding, B.; Li, C.; Miyauchi, Y.; Kuwaki, O.; Shiratori, S. Formation of novel 2D polymer nanowebs via electrospinning. *Nanotechnology* 2006, 17, 3685–3691. [CrossRef]

32. Li, Y.; Huang, Z.; Lu, Y. Electrospinning of nylon-6,6,1010 terpolymer. *Eur. Polym. J.* 2006, 42, 1696–1704. [CrossRef]

33. Behler, K.; Havel, M.; Gogotsi, Y. New solvent for polyamides and its application to the electrospinning of polyamides 11 and 12. *Polymer* 2007, 48, 6617–6621. [CrossRef]

34. Lee, H.; Alcoutlabi, M.; Toprakçı, O.; Xu, G.; Watson, J.V.; Zhang, X. Preparation and characterization of electrospun nanofiber-coated membrane separators for lithium-ion batteries. *J. Solid State Electrochem.* 2014, 18, 2451–2458. [CrossRef]

35. Dhanalakshmi, M.; Lele, A.K.; Jog, J.P. Electrospinning of Nylon11: Effect of processing parameters on morphology and microstructure. *Mater. Today Commun.* 2015, 3, 141–148. [CrossRef]