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Abstract. We present X-ray spectra obtained with BeppoSAX (Satellite per Astronomia X) of 10 BL Lac objects, selected from the Einstein Medium Sensitivity and Slew Surveys. We find that in about half of the objects a fit in the 0.1-10 keV range with a single power law and free absorption yields values of \( N_H \) larger than the Galactic ones. In most of these cases, however, broken power law fits with \( N_H \) fixed at the Galactic values yield an alternative, better description of the data and indicate a steepening of the spectrum with increasing energy. One object (1ES1101-232) is detected up to \( \sim 100 \) keV. Its spectral energy distribution (SED) peaks in the medium energy X-ray band. For each object we compute the peak frequency of the SED from multifrequency data. The spectral indices \( \alpha_x \) in the 2-10 keV band (\( F_x \propto \nu^{-\alpha_x} \)) are smaller (i.e. flatter spectrum) for objects with higher peak frequencies. We therefore confirm and extend to higher energies the behavior already known for X-ray selected BL Lac objects in the ROSAT band. We do not find spectral indices smaller than 1; however, the flat distribution of \( \alpha_x \) and the correlation between \( \alpha_x \) and peak frequency found from our data suggest that a number of objects may exist, which in the quiescent status have flatter spectrum and peak frequency in the hard X-ray range.
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1. Introduction

BL Lacertae objects are a rare type of Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN) characterized by strong and variable emission of non-thermal radiation across the entire electromagnetic spectrum, from radio waves to high energy \( \gamma \)-rays. In three cases (Mkn 421: Punch et al. 1992; Mkn 501: Quinn et al. 1996; 1ES 2344+514: Catanese et al., 1998) the emission has been detected up to TeV energies. BL Lacertae objects comprise the most violent (highly and rapidly variable, highly polarized) and most elusive (extremely difficult to find in optical surveys) sources amongst AGN. Unlike most other AGN they do not show evidence (by definition) for strong emission lines or large Infra-Red or UV excesses. The emission from radio to \( \gamma \)-rays can be explained as due to synchrotron radiation up to a certain maximum frequency (that ranges approximately from \( 10^{13} \) to \( 10^{17} \) Hz), above which a sharp turnover occurs until a second component due to Compton scattered radiation dominates, making these objects detectable up to the highest energies so far accessible (see e.g., Ulrich, Maraschi and Urry, 1997). The extreme properties of BL Lacs require that the matter emitting the radiation moves at relativistic speeds in the direction of the observer.

The spectral change from synchrotron to Compton radiation is crucial for the understanding of the physics of BL Lacs. However, up to now this has been inferred only from the comparison of X-ray measurements carried out with different instruments and very often at different epochs. The wide energy band of BeppoSAX offers for the brightest objects the best opportunity to directly detect without ambiguity this spectral change and to study the X-ray spectra at the same epoch over a large interval.

To this end we have undertaken a program that aims at studying in detail the X-ray spectrum of a large and well defined subsample of soft X-ray selected BL Lacs. This sample includes mostly objects that are expected to show strong spectral curvature and spectral breaks, since the synchrotron break should occur just before or in the Bepp-
poSAX band. We aim at measuring in detail the shape of the most energetic part of the synchrotron emission, and trying to establish where and how the Compton component becomes dominant. We also intend to look for the correlation between spectral slope and break energy found in ROSAT data (Padovani & Giommi, 1996; Lamer, Brunner & Staubert, 1996).

2. The Sample of soft X-ray selected BL Lacs

Unlike any other type of AGNs, more than 90% of all known BL Lacs have been discovered either in radio or X-ray surveys. The former (often called RBLs) were found to show somewhat different properties from the latter (often called XBLs). From the viewpoint of the broad band spectrum the two classes differ mostly in the position of the synchrotron break with RBL showing mostly a low energy break and XBL showing the break at higher energies. A classification has recently been introduced where objects for which the turnover occurs at low energy are called LBLs (Low-frequency cut-off BL Lacs) and objects where the turnover occurs at higher energies (UV-X-ray) are called HBLs (High-frequency cut-off BL Lacs). From the viewpoint of the broad band energy break and XBL showing the break at higher energy (UV-X-ray) are called HBLs (High-frequency cut-off BL Lacs; see Padovani & Giommi, 1995). In this scheme most (but not all) RBL are LBL and most (but not all) XBL are HBLs.

At present, X-ray selected BL Lacs are mainly the result of surveys carried out with the BeppoSAX IPC. The Slew Survey sample (Perlman et al. 1996a) covers essentially the entire high Galactic latitude sky with a rather high flux limit, while the EMSS survey (Gioia et al. 1990) is deeper than the Slew Survey but covers only ~ 800 sq.deg. of the sky, with almost two orders of magnitude better sensitivity. By selecting objects with flux \( [0.1-10 \text{ keV}] \) higher than \( 10^{-11} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) in the Slew Survey and higher than \( 4 \times 10^{-12} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) in the EMSS we obtain a sample that combines the advantages of a flux-limited (and therefore statistically well defined) sample with a wide coverage of the parameter space (i.e., X-ray and radio luminosity, redshift, \( F_x/F_r \), etc.) which neither of the two surveys alone would provide.

The sample we present here includes the first 10 objects of this project observed by the Narrow Field Instruments of BeppoSAX and represents a significant fraction of the total sample that will be published when available. Name(s) and redshift for each source are listed in the Journal of Observations (Table I), described in the next Section.

3. BeppoSAX Observations and Data Analysis

The X-ray astronomy satellite BeppoSAX is a project of the Italian Space Agency (ASI) with a participation of the Netherlands Agency for Aerospace Programs (NIVR). The scientific payload comprises four Narrow Field Instruments [NFI: Low Energy Concentrator Spectrometer (LECS), Medium Energy Concentrator Spectrometer (MECS), High Pressure Gas Scintillation Proportional Counter (HPGSPC), and Phoswich Detector System (PDS)], all pointing in the same direction, and two Wide Field Cameras (WFC), pointing in opposite directions perpendicular to the NFI common axis. A detailed description of the entire BeppoSAX mission can be found in Butler & Scarsi (1990) and Boella et al. (1997a).

The MECS consists of three equal units, each composed of a grazing incidence mirror unit and of a position sensitive gas scintillation proportional counter, with a field of view of 56 arcmin diameter, working range 1.3–10 keV, energy resolution \( \sim 8\% \) and angular resolution \( \sim 0.7 \text{ arcmin} \) (FWHM) at 6 keV. The effective area at 6 keV is \( 155 \text{ cm}^2 \) (Boella et al., 1997b).

The LECS is a unit similar to the MECS, with a thinner mirror that grants a lower energy cut-off (sensitive in the energy range 0.1-10.0 keV) but also reduces the FOV to 37 arcmin diameter (Parmar et al. 1997). The LECS energy resolution is a factor \( \sim 2.4 \) better than that of the ROSAT PSPC, while the effective area is between a factor \( \sim 6 \) and 2 lower at 0.28 and 1.5 keV, respectively.

The PDS is a system of four crystals, sensitive in the 13–200 keV band and mounted on a couple of rocking collimators, which points two units on the targets and two units 3.5° aside respectively, to monitor the background. The position of the collimators flips every 96 seconds. Thanks to the stability of the instrumental background, the PDS has shown an unprecedented sensitivity in its energy range, allowing 3\( \sigma \) detection of \( \alpha \sim 1 \) sources as faint as 10 mCrab with 10 ks of effective exposure time (Guainazzi & Matteuzzi, 1997).

As of April 1997, 10 of the scheduled X-ray selected BL Lacs have been observed; the data have been preprocessed at the BeppoSAX SDC (Science Data Center) and retrieved through the SDC archive. Table II lists a journal of the observations with the names and the known redshifts for reference, the observation date and the good data times in the LECS and MECS, together with the extracted net counts and photon counting statistics errors. For two objects, 1ES0414+009 and 1ES0502+675, the LECS instrument was not available during the observation. For the others, the LECS exposure times are considerably reduced with respect to the MECS exposure times (a factor of 2 to 7) because the LECS can operate only when the spacecraft is not illuminated by the Sun.

3.1. Variability

Large intensity variations in the X-ray band are not uncommon for BL Lacs objects, and affect the entire spectral distribution; in a few, maybe extreme, cases of the brightest HBL observed, even variations up to 2 orders of magnitude of the position of the emission peak in the spectral energy distribution have been detected (e.g., Mkn 501: Pian et al., 1998; 1ES 2344+514: Giommi, Padovani & Perlman, 1998 and in preparation).
Table 1. Journal of Observations

| Name                  | z  | Obs. Date  | Exp. Time(sec) | net counts | Exp. Time(sec) | net counts |
|-----------------------|----|------------|----------------|------------|----------------|------------|
|                       |    | d/m/y      | (LECS)         | (LECS)     | (MECS)         | (MECS)     |
| MS0158.5+0019         | 0.299 | 16-17/08/96 | 4310          | 233.1 ±17.5 | 12444         | 638.3 ±26.5 |
| MS0317.0+1834         | 0.19 | 15/01/97   | 4359          | 293.9 ±19.0 | 14976         | 1905.3 ±45.1 |
| 1ES0347–121           | 0.188 | 10/01/97   | 6492          | 577.0 ±26.9 | 10675         | 1254.9 ±36.6 |
| 1ES0414+009           | 0.287 | 21-22/09/96 | –             | –          | 11039         | 1858.4 ±44.1 |
| 1ES0502+675           | –    | 6-7/10/96  | –             | –          | 11045         | 4117.3 ±64.9 |
| MS0737.9+7441         | 0.315 | 29-30/10/96 | 3075          | 37.1 ±7.8a  | 23279         | 735.9 ±30.6 |
| 1ES1110–232           | 0.186 | 4/01/97    | 5195          | 2484.2 ±51.1 | 13830         | 9509.3 ±98.1 |
| 1ES1133+704 (Mkn 180) | 0.046 | 10-11/12/96 | 4078          | 439.2 ±22.6 | 18266         | 1940.7 ±45.8 |
| MS1312.1–4221         | 0.108 | 21/12/97   | 3541          | 228.2 ±17.5 | 5555          | 446.7 ±22.0 |
| 1ES1517+656           | –    | 5/03/97    | 4536          | 779.3 ±29.5 | 11130         | 2273.3 ±48.6 |

* counts from a 3/4 of a circle, excluding the bottom-right quadrant that contains a non-related source.

For this reason we have scrutinized all sources for variability over time scales of 500 or 1000 seconds, so as to have about ten different bins in the course of the observation. No variability is observed during each observation (a fit with a constant is always acceptable). Therefore we will analyze each dataset as a whole to derive spectral information (see Section 3.2).

On time scales longer than the BeppoSAX pointing, variability is inferred from comparison with other observations (see e.g., below in Section 6, and Figure 4). Most of the sources have been observed with ROSAT in an energy band very close to the BeppoSAX low energy band. We will therefore present the ROSAT data and a comparison with the BeppoSAX ones in Section 4.

3.2. Spectral Analysis

The three MECS units spectra have been summed together to increase the S/N, after having checked that fits on separate spectra yielded consistent results within the statistical uncertainties.

All sources appear pointlike in both the LECS and MECS images. Counts are extracted in a circular region of statistical uncertainties. Counts are extracted from a region corresponding to a circle from which the bottom right quadrant is excluded.

The background is taken from the distributed blank sky images, in a region corresponding to the one used to extract source counts. The variability of the MECS background across the field of view due to vignetting, strongback obscuration and the spilling of 5.9 keV calibration source photons is not known yet with high accuracy. Given that this variability effect is higher than the secular modulation of the total instrumental+cosmic background, which has been estimated to be ≃ 30%, blank fields background has been favored over an estimate from the same image in a different, albeit close, location. Since the background flux is never higher than 10% of the source’s flux, the secular modulation has a negligible effect on the spectral results.

Extraction of the data is done in FTOOLS v4.0 and counts are binned so as to have at least 30 total counts in each bin to ensure applicability of the χ² statistics; the spectral analysis is performed in XSPEC v.9.0 (Shafer et al. 1991), using the matrices produced in September 97 that include the most recent updates in calibrations. Net counts and errors for each source are listed in Table 1.

We fitted together LECS and MECS, leaving free the LECS normalization with respect to the MECS normalization to account for the residual errors in intensity cross-calibration (see Cusumano, Mineo, Massaro et al. 1998, in preparation); the assumed spectral shape is a single power-law model plus free low energy absorption, arising from cold material with solar abundances (Morrison and McCammon, 1983). When no LECS data are available, only the MECS data are fitted; in this latter case the N_H value is fixed to the Galactic one, since the energy range of the MECS does not allow a firm determination of the absorbing column. Fits to the LECS data are performed only up to 4 keV, as the response matrix of LECS is not well calibrated above this energy (see Orr et al. 1998).

The parameters of the best fit with free N_H and single power law are given in Table 2 for all sources. Galactic N_H values, as derived from the 21 cm radio survey by Dickey and Lockman (1990) (or by the pointed observation by Elvis et al. 1989 when available) at the position of the source, are listed for reference. Errors on the fitted parameters are given with 90% confidence for one interesting parameter (Δχ² = 2.706). Unabsorbed fluxes (i.e., corrected for Galactic absorption) derived from the fit are given in the 2-10 keV band. We give also the best fit value for the normalization factor of the LECS relative to MECS. The values fall in the range expected given the current knowledge of the cross-calibration (F. Fiore, private communication; see also http://www.sdc.asi.it/software/cookbook/cross_cal.html).

All the objects except 1ES1101–232 are well fitted by a power law with low energy absorption at a confidence
Fig. 1. a X-ray (LECS and MECS) data and fitted spectrum (from Table 3 or Table 2), and ratio of data to fit. MS0158.5+0019, MS0317.0+1334, 1ES0347–121, 1ES0414+009 (no LECS data, includes also ROSAT/PSPC data; note that ROSAT data are in counts sec$^{-1}$ keV$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$), 1ES0502+675 (no LECS data), MS0737.9+7441.
level \( \geq 90\% \); the slopes range between 1 and 1.5, with a roughly flat distribution of occurrences and an average \( \langle \alpha_x \rangle = 1.31 \pm 0.06 \). In two cases (1ES1133+704 and 1ES1517+656) the fitted \( N_H \) is higher than the Galactic value by more than a factor of two (at 2.9 and 3.4 \( \sigma \), respectively). This might indicate an intrinsic absorption, a more complex spectrum like a broken power law, or other features at low energy. Furthermore, residuals are skewed at high energies in MS0158.5+0019, and at low energies in 1ES0347–121, 1ES1101–232 and 1ES1517+656, even when the fit is formally acceptable. This could be attributed to low energy absorption edges or changes of slope, although a residual contamination in the calibration of the matrix at the present status is still possible (at the \( \sim 15\% \) level in the 0.4-0.5 keV interval, Orr et al., 1998).

To better understand the situation we have tried, for the eight objects for which we have the LECS data, a more complex model for the fit, under the assumption that the low energy absorption is not intrinsic to the object but only due to the intervening (Galactic) material. We therefore use a broken power law with low energy absorption fixed to the Galactic value (as in Table 2) and \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \) (the energy indices describing the power law spectral shape below and above the break energy \( E_0 \)) and \( E_0 \) free to vary. We fix also the LECS/MECS normalization to the values found in Table 2. In six out of eight cases an improvement (F-test probability \( \geq 99\% \)) is found over the case of fixed Galactic \( N_H \) and single power law. In the other two cases either the broken power law reduces to a single one \( (\alpha_1 = \alpha_2; \ MS0158.5+001) \), or the improvement has a marginal probability (58%) and the parameters are poorly constrained (MS1312.1-422).

Results of the six fits at \( P \geq 99\% \) in the broken power law case are listed in Table 3, together with the \( \chi^2 \) value and its probability. The derived probabilities are roughly equal or better than the corresponding probabilities found.
Table 2. Fit results for a single power law spectrum and free $N_H$

| Name            | Energy | Index | $N_H^{\text{fit}} \times 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}$ | $N_H^{\text{true}} \times 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}$ | $F_{\text{LECS}}^{\text{diff}} (2-10) \text{keV}$ | $F_{\text{1keV}} \mu\text{Jy}$ | Norm. $F_{\text{1keV}}$ (LECS/MECS) | $\chi^2_{\nu}$ (dof) | Prob. |
|-----------------|--------|-------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-------|
| MS0158.5+0019   | 1.27\text{+0.18}_{-0.10} | 2.67  | $2.9^{+1.3}_{-1.0}$  | $6.21$  | 1.03  | 0.89  | 0.99 \hphantom{2} (25) | 45%     |
| MS0317.0+1834   | 1.08_{-0.10} | 10.5  | $22.0^{+3.0}_{-1.0}$  | $6.94$  | 2.05  | 0.72  | 0.73 \hphantom{2} (56) | 95%     |
| 1ES0347–121     | 1.17_{-0.10} | 3.64  | $4^{+2.0}_{-1.1}$  | $6.19$  | 2.05  | 0.71  | 1.17 \hphantom{2} (49) | 20%     |
| 1ES0414+009     | 1.54_{-0.10} | 9.15\text{b}  | $8.25$  | 5.22  | --  | 0.82 \hphantom{2} (45) | 80%     |
| 1ES0502+675     | 1.34_{-0.00} | 9.27  | --  | $19.05$  | 8.51  | --  | 1.00 \hphantom{2} (79) | 50%     |
| MS0737.9+7441   | 1.53_{-0.00} | 3.54  | $25.8^{+49.3}_{-1.0}$  | $1.54$  | 0.88  | 0.68  | 0.81 \hphantom{2} (24) | 72%     |
| 1ES1101–232     | 1.03_{-0.04} | 5.76  | $8.9^{+3.7}_{-1.0}$  | $37.92$  | 10.23 | 0.70  | 1.13(181) | 10%     |
| 1ES1133+704     | 1.47_{-0.09} | 1.27\text{b}  | $3.9^{+0.8}_{-1.0}$  | $5.10$  | 2.61  | 0.68  | 1.01 (62) | 48%     |
| MS1322.1–4221   | 1.21_{-0.10} | 8.19  | $11.7^{+5.6}_{-5.0}$  | $4.26$  | 1.52  | 0.85  | 0.74 (18) | 75%     |
| 1ES1517+656     | 1.44_{-0.09} | 2.12  | $7.6^{+1.2}_{-1.0}$  | $10.27$  | 4.99  | 0.68  | 1.18 (79) | 15%     |

\(a\) Unabsorbed flux in $10^{-12} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$

\(b\) From Elvis et al. 1989

\(c\) Fixed $N_H$

\(d\) MS0737.9+7441: There are other sources nearby. LECS count are therefore extracted from an area 3/4 of a circle, excluding the other sources; the normalization has been corrected multiplying by 4/3. The effect on the slope is minimal.

\(e\) marginally consistent with Galactic $N_H$ (at \(< 2\sigma\))

\(f\) not consistent with Galactic $N_H$ (at \(< 3\sigma\))

in Table 3 (note that the number of free parameters in Table 3 is the same as in Table 3 since also the normalization LECS/MECS was left free). This implies that the broken power law with Galactic absorption is a good representation of the observed spectra, from a statistical point of view.

A good determination of the confidence interval (90\% level for one interesting parameter) for all the parameters is possible only for the two sources with higher statistics, however the $\alpha_2$ values are generally well constrained and consistent with the slope of the single power law fit, while the $\Delta \alpha$ is on average about 0.5, consistent with other results for BL Lacs (e.g., Sambruna et al. 1996; Urry et al. 1996). Therefore, the hypothesis that the apparent excess in absorption could instead be due to an intrinsically curved (convex) spectrum seems to be plausible.

The brightest source of the sample (1ES1101–232) has been detected (at 3.5\%\sigma) also in the PDS in just \(\sim 6\) ks of on-source observing time. We have therefore a measurement at the same time scale of the interval between 0.1 and \(\sim 100 \text{ keV} \) (see spectrum in Figure 4). Net on-source PDS spectra have been obtained simply subtracting “off” from “on-position” ones. The spectra of the four units have then been summed together after energy equalization. Very short ($\tau < 1 \text{ s}$) and intense spikes, due to single-particle-induced fluorescence in the crystals, have been removed applying the method described in Matt et al. (1997). We fitted the PDS spectrum together with LECS and MECS spectra: the slope is flat and consistent with the same slope as the MECS above $\sim 1.5 \text{ keV}$. This results imply that the harder energy band is within reach with exposures of some tens of ksec for many objects of the sample, especially those with a flat spectrum.

Spectra for the 10 sources are shown in Figure 4, including the best fit model derived from the broken power law model for the six sources of Table 3, and from the single power law model for the other four sources, and including the PDS data for 1ES1101–232, and the ROSAT/PSPC data for 1ES0414+009 (see Section 4).

We have also computed the upper limits in the PDS, under the assumption that the spectral slope derived in the MECS continues into the PDS band, and plotted the results in the overall spectra presented in Figure 4 (see Section 6). No source was detected in the HPGSPC, which has a better energy resolution but lower effective area than the PDS.

4. Comparison with ROSAT Observations

4.1. Data and spectral Analysis

Eight out of ten sources have been observed by the PSPC instrument onboard ROSAT and some of them have been previously published by different authors (the EMSS sources, Perlman et al. 1996b). In order to ensure a uniform procedure for all the sample we have re-analyzed them, obtaining results consistent with those published. We have processed the data with a standard reduction procedure, as described e.g. in Comastri Molendi & Ghisellini (1995).

All the 8 sources have been clearly detected with enough counts to allow spectral analysis. The background-subtracted light curves are not variable over the entire observation, typically lasting 3–9 ksec with maximum deviations of the order of 30–40 \%, likely due entirely to...
### Table 3. Fit results for a broken power law spectrum

| Name             | Energy Index($\alpha_1$) | Energy Index($\alpha_2$) | $E_0$ (keV) | $F_{MECS}^{(2-10)keV}$ | $\chi^2_{\nu}$ (d.o.f) | Prob. |
|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------|
| MS0317.0+1834    | 0.39 uncertain           | 1.01(0.93–1.10)          | 0.93 uncertain | 6.90                  | 0.75(56)               | 92%   |
| 1ES0347–121      | 0.87(0.78–1.08)          | 1.23(1.13–1.33)          | 1.45 uncertain | 6.10                  | 1.10(49)               | 29%   |
| MS0737.9+7441    | 0.17 uncertain           | 1.43(1.27–1.61)          | 1.18(0.6–3)  | 1.51                  | 0.82(24)               | 71%   |
| 1ES1101–232      | 0.59(0.35–0.74)          | 1.05(1.01–1.08)          | 1.36(1.11–1.65)| 37.63                  | 1.06(181)              | 28%   |
| 1ES1133+704      | 0.85(<1.13)              | 1.47(1.39–1.57)          | 0.93(<2.13)  | 5.08                  | 1.01(62)               | 45%   |
| 1ES1517+656      | 0.40(0.15–0.59)          | 1.48(1.41–1.56)          | 1.25(1.08–1.43)| 10.09                  | 1.02(79)               | 43%   |

*a Unabsorbed flux in $10^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$

### Table 4. Fits in the 0.1–2 keV energy range, using ROSAT data.

| Name             | $N_H$ x10$^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ | $F_{1 keV}^{\mu Jy}$ | $\alpha_{ROSAT}$ | $\chi^2_{\nu}(d.o.f.)$ | $N_{Hgal}$ x10$^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ | $\alpha_{ROSAT}$ | $\chi^2_{\nu}(d.o.f.)$ |
|------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| MS0158.5+0019    | 3.0(2.3–3.6)                | 1.34                 | 1.45(1.23–1.68)   | 0.63 (14)               | 1.35(1.28–1.43)               | 0.63 (15)         |
| MS0317.0+1834    | 9.5(6.7–14.1)               | 0.56                 | 1.50(1.02–2.04)   | 1.81 (13)               | 1.63(1.32–1.91)               | 1.70 (14)         |
| 1ES0347–121      | 3.6(3.3–3.8)                | 2.55                 | 1.10(1.02–1.19)   | 1.01 (42)               | 1.13(1.09–1.16)               | 1.00 (43)         |
| 1ES0414+009      | 9.5(9.1–10.0)               | 4.69                 | 1.63(1.56–1.71)   | 1.20 (36)               | 1.58(1.54–1.62)               | 1.22 (37)         |
| MS0737.9+7441    | 4.1(3.8–4.5)                | 1.73                 | 1.34(1.23–1.45)   | 1.38 (37)               | 1.16(1.13–1.20)               | 1.54 (38)         |
| 1ES1101–232      | 6.8(6.4–7.1)                | 11.2                 | 1.43(1.35–1.51)   | 1.08 (35)               | 1.23(1.20–1.27)               | 1.67 (36)         |
| 1ES1133+704      | 1.3(1.1–1.6)                | 2.60                 | 1.31(1.22–1.40)   | 1.94 (32)               | 1.29(1.26–1.32)               | 1.89 (33)         |
| 1ES1517+656      | 3.6(3.3–3.9)                | 7.42                 | 1.29(1.19–1.39)   | 1.14 (49)               | 0.82(0.78–0.85)               | 2.49 (50)         |

*a Model flux at 1 keV.

... instrumental effects. In principle however variations on time scales of the order of $\sim$0.5 ksec were accessible. The source spectra were rebinned in order to obtain a significant S/N (> 5) for each bin and fitted with a single power-law model plus absorption arising from cold material with solar abundances (Morrison & McCammon 1983). The derived spectral parameters are given in Table 4, where the reported errors are at 90% confidence level for one interesting parameter. All the spectra were fitted with the column density i) fixed at the Galactic value, and ii) free to vary. Values for the Galactic column densities towards the objects in our sample are reported for ease of reference from Table 2.

In most cases a single power law spectrum with the absorption fixed at the Galactic value provides an excellent description of the data, while for some of the objects either the fits are statistically unacceptable and/or the column density inferred from the fit is not consistent with the Galactic value, thus requiring a more complex description of the spectral shape.

### 4.2. Comparison between BeppoSAX and ROSAT Results

We compare the BeppoSAX and ROSAT data as taken from Table 2 and Table 4, respectively. We plot the two fluxes at 1 keV in Figure 2, assuming total errors to be 10% of the flux (higher than those from counting statistics only and taking into account residual absolute calibrations); the solid line represents the $F_{MECS} = F_{PSPC}$ locus. From the comparison of the ROSAT and BeppoSAX results it emerges that, although these observations cover a time interval of few years, the 1 keV fluxes of the various sources are practically the same within 30% for all but three sources: MS0317.0+1834, with a BeppoSAX flux...
Fig. 3. $\alpha_x$ from BeppoSAX vs. $\alpha_{\text{PSPC}}$ from ROSAT. The solid line represents the locus of $\alpha_x = \alpha_{\text{PSPC}}$.

$\sim 4 \times$ larger, and MS0737.9+7441, and 1ES1517+656 with a ROSAT flux $\sim 2$ and $\sim 1.5 \times$ larger, respectively.

The ROSAT and BeppoSAX single power law spectral indices, plotted one against the other in Figure 3, are also consistent for all but one source: 1ES1101–232; in particular the PSPC spectrum of this source can be fitted also by a broken power law, with $N_H$ fixed at the Galactic value but the values of the parameters are inconsistent with those found in the BeppoSAX observation. This may be an indication that, even if the source flux is roughly the same, in 1ES1101–232 there has been a variation of the spectrum and in particular of the position of the peak of the synchrotron emission.

Instead, with respect to the $N_H$ values as determined with the two satellites, the situation is more complex. First of all, the ROSAT values are probably more reliable than the BeppoSAX ones, given the small number of counts usually detected in the LECS for our sources (only for 1ES1101–232 we have good statistics in the LECS). The ROSAT $N_H$ values are consistent with the Galactic ones for five sources out of eight while for the other three (MS0737.9+7441, 1ES1101–232 and 1ES1517+656) the F-test shows that introducing $N_H$ as a free parameters improves the $\chi^2$ significantly (97.4%, 99.99%, and >99.99%, respectively). For MS0158.5+0019 and 1ES0347-121 the $N_H$ measured by the PSPC is also consistent with that measured from the LECS/MECS data. In the other cases the PSPC sees a lower amount of $N_H$ (and with smaller error intervals) than the LECS/MECS data.

Again these are clear indications in favor of a more complex spectrum than a simple power law (see section 3.2). Indeed, a broken power law with $N_H$ fixed to the Galactic value seems a better representation to the BeppoSAX data for all these five sources (see Table 3).

For 1ES0414+009, for which the intensities in the MECS and PSPC are comparable, and for which we lack a determination of the low energy portion of the spectrum (no LECS data), we combine the two instruments, PSPC and MECS for a simultaneous fit (see Figure 4). The slope is $\alpha = 1.59 \pm 0.6$, consistent with $\alpha_x$ from the MECS only, and $N_H = 9.3 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$, consistent with the Galactic value.

5. Same–Epoch Optical Observations

In the course of an optical observing campaign performed at the Torino Observatory, the eight sources with declination greater than $-20^\circ$ were observed during or close to the time of BeppoSAX pointing. The data were taken with the 1.05 meter REOSC telescope. The equipment includes a 1242 × 1152 pixel CCD detector with a 0.47 arcsec per pixel scale and standard Johnson’s $BV$ and Cousins’ $R$ filters.

Data reduction was obtained with the Robin procedure developed at the Torino Astronomical Observatory (Villata et al. 1997), which performs bias subtraction, flat field correction, and circular Gaussian fit after background subtraction.

Magnitude calibration was derived through comparison with reference stars in the same field of the source; for MS0158.5+0019 and MS0317.0+1834 we used the photometric sequences published by Smith et al. (1991), while for the other BL Lacs we adopted our own sequences: those in the field of 1ES0502+675, MS 0737.9+7441, 1ES1133+704, and 1ES1517+656 are published in Villata et al. (1998).

Optical light curves of the eight BL Lacs in the period around the BeppoSAX pointings are shown in Raiteri et al. (1998). Table 5 gives the data closest in time to the SAX observations.

Using the same epoch optical magnitudes for the 8 objects north of $-20^\circ$, and literature magnitudes for 1ES1101–232 and MS1312.1–4221, we compute the two point spectral indices $\alpha_{\text{ox}}$ and $\alpha_{\text{ro}}$, reported in Table 2 together with $\alpha_{\text{rx}}$, $\log(F_x/F_r)$ and $L_x$, and $\nu_{\text{peak}}$ computed in the next Section. The frequencies used to compute the broad band indices are 5 GHz, 2500 Å and 2 keV. We apply a K–correction using $z = 0.2$ for the two sources with unknown redshift, $\alpha_r = 0.2$, $\alpha_o = 0.65$ and $\alpha_x$ from Table 3.\footnote{Results of the fit: $\alpha_1 = 1.07$ (0.85 - 1.16); $\alpha_2 = 1.42$ (1.33-1.54); $E_0 = 0.69$ (0.44-0.93) keV with a $\chi^2 = 42.3$ (33 dof) and an F-test probability with respect to the fixed Galactic $N_H$ and single power law of 99.99%.}
Fig. 4. The spectral energy distribution of the XBLs. Data from BeppoSAX spectra and same epoch optical magnitudes from this paper are in filled symbols, data from literature (open symbols or upper limits) are referenced in Table 7. The name of the object is indicated in each panel.
Table 5. Same-epoch Optical Magnitudes

| SOURCE       | BeppoSAX date | OPTICAL date | R          | V          | B          |
|--------------|---------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|
| MS0158.5+0019 | 16-17 Aug 96  | 22 Oct 96    | >17.7      | 18.60±.10  |            |
|              | 31 Oct 96     |              |            |            |            |
| MS0317.0+1834 | 15 Jan 97     | 18 Dec 96    | 18.10±.08  |            |            |
|              | 16 Jun 97     | 17.83±.08    |            |            |            |
|              | 16 Jan 97     |              |            |            |            |
|              | 29 Jan 97     | 18.02±.08    |            |            |            |
| 1ES0347-121  | 10 Jan 97     | 17 Dec 96    | 17.38±.08  |            |            |
|              | 10 Jan 97     | 17.37±.08    |            |            |            |
|              | 13 Jan 97     | 17.33±.08    |            |            |            |
|              | 13 Jan 97     |              |            |            | 18.10±.10  |
| 1ES0414+009  | 21-22 Sep 96  | 19 Oct 96    |            | 16.86±.04  |            |
|              | 23 Oct 96     |              |            |            |            |
| 1ES0502+675  | 06-07 Oct 96  | 18 Oct 96    |            | 17.30±.04  |            |
|              | 23 Oct 96     |              |            |            |            |
| MS0737.9+7441| 29-30 Oct 96  | 07 Nov 96    | 17.44±.08  |            |            |
|              | 27 Nov 96     | 17.29±.08    |            |            |            |
| 1ES1133+704  | 10-11 Dec 96  | 18 Dec 96    | 14.85±.04  |            |            |
|              | 14 Jan 97     | 14.94±.04    |            |            |            |
|              | 14 Jan 97     |              |            |            | 15.38±.04  |
|              | 14 Jan 97     |              |            |            | 16.04±.13  |
| 1ES1517+656  | 05 Mar 97     | 02 Mar 97    | 16.11±.03  |            |            |
|              | 05 Mar 97     | 16.11±.03    |            |            |            |
|              | 06 Mar 97     | 16.08±.03    |            |            |            |
|              | 10 Mar 97     | 16.08±.03    |            |            |            |
|              | 13 Mar 97     | 16.08±.03    |            |            |            |
|              | 13 Mar 97     |              |            |            | 16.43±.03  |
|              | 13 Mar 97     |              |            |            | 16.78±.05  |

Table 6. Derived quantities: Two-point overall spectral indices ($\alpha_{ox}$; $\alpha_{ro}$; $\alpha_{rx}$), X-ray–to–radio flux ratio, logarithm of X-ray luminosity, and logarithm of $\nu_{peak}$.

| Name                  | $\alpha_{ox}$ | $\alpha_{ro}$ | $\alpha_{rx}$ | log($F_x/F_r$) | log $L_x$ | log $\nu_{peak}$ |
|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|-----------|------------------|
| MS0158.5+0019         | 0.89          | 0.44          | 0.59          | -9.71          | 45.12     | 16.73            |
| MS0317.0+1834         | 0.78          | 0.44          | 0.55          | -9.39          | 45.11     | 17.01            |
| 1ES0347-121           | 0.83          | 0.37          | 0.52          | -9.16          | 45.05     | 16.97            |
| 1ES0414+009           | 0.95          | 0.49          | 0.64          | -10.16         | 45.59     | 16.47            |
| 1ES0502+675           | 0.76          | 0.42          | 0.53          | -9.24          | 45.6 a     | 16.83            |
| MS0737.9+7441         | 1.09          | 0.43          | 0.64          | -10.19         | 44.93     | 15.97            |
| 1ES11101-232          | 0.76          | 0.47          | 0.57          | -9.50          | 45.83     | 17.48            |
| 1ES1133+704           | 1.29          | 0.44          | 0.71          | -10.64         | 43.69     | 15.65            |
| MS1312.1–4221         | 1.12          | 0.32          | 0.58          | -9.63          | 44.85     | 15.90            |
| 1ES1517+656           | 0.99          | 0.37          | 0.57          | -9.56          | 45.3 a     | 16.60            |

* Redshift unknown; z=0.2 assumed to compute luminosity

6. Spectral Energy Distributions

In Fig. 4 we plot the spectral energy distribution (SED) of our sources, reporting the BeppoSAX spectral fits and the nearly simultaneous optical data when available (filled symbols), and other data from the literature (open symbols or upper limits; references are listed in Table 7).

As can be seen, the SED of the objects in our sample are characterized by a smooth distribution, rising (in $\nu F_{\nu}$) from the radio to the optical-UV and X-ray bands. Variability is evident, especially in the most often observed objects. Many objects show a fall off at high energies. In order to obtain a reliable estimate of the peak energy, the SED of each object has been fitted with a polynomial function of the type

$$\log(\nu F_{\nu}) = a + b(\log \nu) + c(\log \nu)^2 + d(\log \nu)^3$$

(see Comastri et al. 1995). For the fit, we adopted the BeppoSAX data for the X-ray band, and same-epoch optical data when available. For the other bands (i.e., radio and IR – none of these sources has been detected in $\gamma$-rays) we
used the maximum observed value: variability amplitudes are not large in these bands and so should not affect significantly the results. From the fitted polynomial we derive the peak frequency of the SED $\nu_{\text{peak}}$.

Three of the sources in this sample have been studied also by Sambruna et al. (1996), where the $\nu_{\text{peak}}$ value was derived assuming a parabolic fit. If we compare the $\nu_{\text{peak}}$ values derived here and in Sambruna et al. (1996), in two cases (MS0158.5+0019 and MS0737.9+7441) we have consistent results, while in the third (MS0317.0+1834) $\nu_{\text{peak}}$ differs by about 2.5 orders of magnitudes ($\log \nu_{\text{peak}} = 17.01$ vs. $\log \nu_{\text{peak}} = 14.36$ in Sambruna et al. 1996). Note, however, that their Figure 4 shows that the peak of the emission for MS0317.0+1834 is indeed at higher energies, in agreement with our value. Note also that the optical simultaneous observations constrain $\nu_{\text{peak}}$ in a much better way.

Figure 3 shows the spectral indices derived from the LECS/MECS fit (from Table 2) vs. $\log \nu_{\text{peak}}$. We include also the data for the objects studied by Comastri et al. (1995), which are 9 LBL (crosses) and 3 HBL (empty circles). These latter points are derived from ROSAT observation and so sample the spectra at slightly lower energy. A program is underway to observe a sample of BL Lacs extracted from the 1 Jy sample (Padovani et al. 1995 and in preparation). When a sizable sample of LBL will be observed by BeppoSAX, a more direct comparison will be possible. For the HBL objects there is a good anti-correlation between $\alpha_x$ and $\nu_{\text{peak}}$ (correlation probability $= 95\%$). The solid line represents the linear regression obtained using the OLS bisector method (Isobe et al. 1990). The same-epoch data used for most sources, that remove the uncertainty due to variation for the determination of $\nu_{\text{peak}}$, are important in order to obtain such a tight correlation. The LBL are less correlated (correlation probability $\sim 80\%$).

The behavior of $\alpha_x$ with $\nu_{\text{peak}}$, illustrated in Figure 3, reflects the large range of frequencies at which the synchrotron peak is observed to lie. This picture is consistent with the one proposed by Padovani and Giommi (1996) using ROSAT data, in which HBL are characterized by a synchrotron peak located in the EUV/X-ray band: the X–ray radiation is therefore produced by the synchrotron process. According to the exact location of $\nu_{\text{peak}}$, the X–ray spectrum changes, being flat ($\alpha_x \leq 1$) in extreme HBL objects (where $\nu_{\text{peak}}$ lies in the X–ray band), and steeper as the peak migrates to the UV band. In LBL objects $\nu_{\text{peak}}$ has moved in the optical-IR band and the X–ray band is dominated by the flat inverse Compton spectrum.

In Figure 6 we plot for both these ten HBL with BeppoSAX data and the Comastri et al. (1995) objects the relationship between $\alpha_x$ and $\nu_{\text{peak}}$. We add the linear regression obtained using the OLS bisector method with the BeppoSAX data only (solid line) and extrapolated down to the LBL region (dotted line), besides the constant $\alpha_{rx}$ (horizontal dashed line) derived following Padovani & Giommi (1995) (see their Figure 12). The HBL points are correlated at the 98% level, indicating a link between the two quantities, while the LBL points are consistent both with the extrapolation of the regression, or with the

### Table 7. References for data shown in Fig. 4

| Source            | Notes                        |
|-------------------|------------------------------|
| MS0158.5+0019     | G95, J94, L96, P96a, P96b, N96, W94 |
| MS0317.0+1834     | G93, G95, L96                 |
| 1ES0347−121       | G95, L96, P96a                |
| 1ES0414+009       | B92, F93, G95, GC91, Gr95, IT88, L96, M90, P96a, P94, P93, Sa94 |
| 1ES0502+675       | B94, B95, G95, GC91, P96a     |
| MS0737.9+7441     | G95, J94, L96, P96a, P93, W94 |
| 1ES1101−232       | B92, E92, F93, F94, G95, L95, P94, P96a, P93, R89 |
| 1ES1133+704       | B95, B92, C95, E92, G90, G95, G95, IN88, K91, L96, MB86, N96, P92, P96a, PG95, P93, Sa94, T84, WW90 |
| MS1312.1−4221     | G95, P96a, S90                |
| 1ES1517+656       | B94, G95, MB95, P96a          |

References: B92: Bersanelli et al., 1992; B94: Brinkmann et al., 1994; B95: Brinkmann et al., 1995; B99: Biermann et al., 1992; C95: Ciliegi et al., 1995; E92: Edelson et al., 1992; F93: Falomo et al., 1993; F94: Falomo et al., 1994; G90: Giommi et al., 1990; G93: Gear, 1993; G95: Giommi et al., 1995; GC91: Gregory & Condon, 1991; Gr95: Griffith et al., 1995; GS95: Ghosh & Soundararajaperumal, 1995; IN88: Impey & Neugebauer, 1988; IT88: Impey & Tapia, 1988; J94: Jannuzi et al., 1993; K91: Kinney et al., 1991; L95: Lanzetta et al., 1995; L96: Lamer et al., 1996; M90: Mead et al., 1990; MB86: Mazzarella & Balzano, 1986; MB95: McNaron-Brown et al., 1995; N96: Nass et al., 1996; P92: Patnaik et al., 1992; P94: Pesce et al., 1994; P96a: Perlman et al., 1996a; P96b: Perlman et al., 1996b; PC95: Padovani & Giommi, 1995; P93: Pian & Treves, 1993; P94: Pian et al., 1994; PS93: Perlman & Stocke, 1993; R89: Remillard et al., 1989; S90: Stocke et al., 1990; Sa94: Sambruna et al., 1994; T84: Tovmassian et al., 1984; W94: Wolter et al., 1994; WW90: Worrall & Wilkes, 1990.
7. Results and Conclusions

We have analyzed the spectra for 10 X-ray selected BL Lacs observed with the Narrow Field Instruments on board the BeppoSAX satellite.

The sources are detected from $\sim 0.2$ up to $\sim 10$ keV (and in one case up to $\sim 100$ keV with the PDS instrument) with a very smooth appearance. The spectrum is generally well fitted by either a single power law or by a broken convex power law that most probably represents the steepening after the synchrotron peak, whose position is determined also by using simultaneous optical observations.

Variability is not present during the short BeppoSAX exposure; analysis of ROSAT data shows for most of the sources little variability (within $\sim 30\%$) with respect to the BeppoSAX flux and spectral indices consistent with the BeppoSAX ones. The spectral energy distributions, which include literature data, instead show variability in all bands.

The X-ray spectral indices $\alpha_x$ range between 1 and 1.5 with a flat distribution and a mean value $\langle \alpha_x \rangle = 1.31 \pm 0.06$. The scatter in the distribution is due to an anti-correlation we have found between $\alpha_x$ and the frequency of the peak of the emission, $\nu_{\text{peak}}$. This extends to the BeppoSAX band a correlation which had been discovered in the ROSAT band for this class of objects. The fact that sources with harder X-ray spectra have higher $\nu_{\text{peak}}$ is expected if the BeppoSAX band is still dominated by synchrotron emission, which is also consistent with the spectral energy distributions of our BL Lacs.

Furthermore, we have no evidence of a spectral flattening (indicating the arising of the Compton component) in the present spectra, but future PDS detections, that are possible with exposure times slightly longer than those obtained here, might help in this respect.

The large fraction (at least 2 out of 10) of HBL selected in the soft X-ray band found with a flat ($\alpha_x \sim 1$) X-ray slope (i.e., they are near the peak of the synchrotron emission) and the distribution of $\alpha_x$ values support the view that objects with even higher spectral peaks in their quiescent status indeed exist, and might be found in large numbers if we devise the correct strategy (e.g., samples at harder X-rays, TeV sources, etc.)

Moreover, these sources are good candidates to be TeV emitters. In fact, in the sources with the flattest $\alpha_x$ the peak of the synchrotron component is localized in the soft X-ray range. Electrons emitting at 1 keV by the synchrotron process have Lorentz factors
\[ \gamma \sim 2.5 \times 10^{5} \left( \nu_{\text{peak,1 keV}} / B \delta \right)^{1/2} \], where \( \nu_{\text{peak}} = 2.42 \times 10^{11} \nu_{\text{peak,1 keV}} \) Hz, \( B \) is the value of the magnetic field in Gauss and \( \delta \) is the usual Doppler factor. Through the inverse Compton mechanism, they can emit up to \( E \sim \gamma m_{e} c^{2} \delta \sim 130 (\nu_{\text{peak,1 keV}}, \delta / B)^{1/2} \) GeV. If the magnetic and radiation energy densities are equal (as it is, approximately, in the three BL Lacs already detected in the TeV band), the flux level of the synchrotron and inverse Compton peaks is roughly equal. Low redshift sources are therefore good candidates to be detected in the GeV–TeV band, while the high energy emission of the more distant sources could be absorbed in \( \gamma-\gamma \) interactions with the background IR photon field, whose intensity is still uncertain. Indeed, a cutoff in the high energy spectrum could be used to determine the IR background (see, e.g., Stecker & De Jager, 1997).

This BeppoSAX project is still ongoing. We expect therefore to increase considerably the sample of soft X-ray selected BL Lacs for which we measure the spectrum in the 0.2-10 keV range and possibly above. With a larger complete sample, and combining the results with other complementary BeppoSAX projects, we expect to be able to draw a clearer picture of the relationship between the local X-ray slope and the overall energy distribution of this class of sources, in order to derive firmer conclusions on the behaviour at hard X-ray energies and on the mechanisms of the emission.
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