An Evolving Research to Tackle Teaching and Learning Challenges during Differential Equations Course: A Combination of Non-routine Problems and Teacher Training
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ABSTRACT
Teaching and learning of differential equations (DEs) have a prominent role in all the fields of education. In spite of its prominence and frequent applications, teaching and learning of DEs is still considered as one of the most difficult, particularly at pre-university level. This is because, the topic of differential equation along with differentiation and integration is only introduced first time at the 12th year of study or at pre-university level, and the students have no previous knowledge and understandings of this topic. Therefore, the aim of current study is to determine whether teaching and learning of DEs is a challenging task and also, to find out the ways these challenges can be tackled to develop a better understanding for differential equations problem solving. Results showed that teaching and learning of differential equation is not only a difficult part of the mathematics as compared to algebra, trigonometry but also demand high level of conceptual understanding and special efforts for solving differential equation problem. Addition of non-routine problems has been found major factor to enhance teaching and learning. Teacher should also be properly equipped and trained, so that they may educate both routine and non-routine differential equation problem.
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INTRODUCTION
Differential equations (DEs) have an essential role in mathematics and remained a significant noteworthy pillar of calculus course for a long time. The idea and concept of DEs is not only employed for making mathematical models but also applied to interrelate them with the real-life problems (Arango, Gaviria, & Valencia, 2015; Frejd & Bergsten, 2016). Therefore, it provides an opportunity to articulate the application of phenomena from other disciplines of science and social science fields such as Physics, Biology, Astronomy, Commerce and Economics (Arslan, 2010a; Berresford & Rockett, 2015; Rohde, Jain, Poddar, & Ghosh, 2012). Hence, differential equation concept has been integrated in diverse courses of several sectors including college level (Blumenfeld, 2006; Singer, Ross, & Jackson-Lee, 2016).

In developing countries, like Pakistan, differential equation’s course, are commenced in the second year of secondary school. This course consists of ordinary differential equation-based problems and solving them for the general as well as particular solution. A typical calculus at secondary level or pre-university level course...
incorporate following major topics; derivative part includes derivatives of polynomial, monotonic and composite functions, derivation of trigonometric, exponential and logarithmic functions along with the concept of stationary points. Beside this, second order derivatives are also used to differentiate maxima and minima. Second part includes the basics concepts of integration, properties of integral and its main theorem along with the concepts of integral of polynomials, trigonometric, exponential and rational functions. In addition, integration by parts and substitutions, improper integrals and convergence criteria are also incorporated to second part. In the third part, main topics are introduction of differential equation, formulation of the differential equation from a problem situation or from a graphical representation, problem solving for the particular and general solutions of differential equations. Students begins their university calculus courses by revisiting secondary school topics such as functions, limits and derivatives, differential equation, which mostly takes half a semester or considerable additional.

From the teaching point of view, exploring effective and innovative strategies for the teaching of a differential equation course had been remained a focus point in the field of mathematics education (McGinnis, Kramer, Shama, Graeber, Parker, & Watanabe, 2002; Shulman, 2000; Vajravelu, 2018). Various options have been evaluated for differential equations course and also for addressing the concepts related to them (Raychaudhuri, 2008). Usually, three different approaches (algebraic, numerical and graphical) are employed to solve differential equations (Arelan, 2010b; Artigue, 1989). The reform movement in teaching and learning differential equation was stimulated in the mid-1980s due to increased accessibility of technology and by calculus reform. At higher levels of education, this moment yielded better results. However, at initial or pre university levels, it is still a great challenge to determine how students interact with the digital tools and representation registers associated with ordinary differential equations to give meaning to parameters associated with it (Rowland, 2006; Rowland & Jovanoski, 2004), and how to develop instruction strategies to promote student learning (Rasmussen, 2001). Apart from these reforms, Cobb (1985) argued for the incorporation of students’ belief systems, because there is a strong correlation between beliefs about mathematics and mathematical achievement (Beghetto & Baxter, 2012; Schommer- Akins, Duell, & Hutter, 2005; Schommer-Akins & Duell, 2013). Likewise, McLeod (1992) had same opinion that mathematics beliefs enhance or weaken individual's mathematical and problem solving ability. Other researchers also supported these studies (Ahmed, Van der Werf, Kuyper, & Minnaert, 2013; Ayobo & Mrutu, 2019; Jäder, Sidenvall, & Sumpter, 2017).

Non routine based problem solving were also considered as the important innovative strategy for teaching of a differential equation course (Khotimah & Masduki, 2016; Lee & Chen, 2009). It was observed that non routine problem utilized students’ attention, effort and learning strategies to be solved because these problems are usually non-standard, involving unexpected and unfamiliar solutions (Polya, 1962; Rehman & Masud, 2012). However, literature shows that in the developing countries, mostly teaching, assessments and exams are just focusing on routine-based problem solving such as calculating limits and derivatives and to solve differential equation, therefore, students considered it useful and just try to earn good marks. Due to this, transition from school to tertiary mathematics teaching and learning becomes more challenging and difficult in terms of real understandings and problem solving. In addition, it was noticed that even successful calculus students were unable to explain or solve non-routine problems (Dawkins & Epperson, 2014). Therefore, most of the students avoid this essential part of mathematics, which leads to severe comprehension problems at higher levels of education where they correlate with real-life problems.

This work, particularly emphasized on the non-routine-based problem solving for teaching of a differential equation course. Beside this, current study also determines whether teaching and learning of DEs is a challenging task and to identify challenges and issues in differential equation problem. And also, to find out the ways these challenges can be tackled to develop a better understanding for differential equation problem solving. To assess these challenging factors influencing teaching and learning of DEs at pre-university level, the mathematicians, mathematics educators, and psychology expertise views and ideas were assessed.

**RESEARCH OBJECTIVES**

The aim of current article is to find out the challenging aspects that influence undergraduate student’s differential equation problem solving ability. It is expected that outcomes and results claiming current study will help instructors and teachers for some adroit thoughts over the issues contemplated in the territory of differential equations.
The scrutinize research questions addressed by this study are therefore:

1. Do teaching and learning of DEs is a challenging task at pre-university level?
2. Does non-routine based problem-solving help in teaching a DEs course
3. How teaching and learning challenges of DEs can be tackled to develop a better understanding for problem solving?

**METHODOLOGY**

To assess key challenging factors influencing teaching and learning of DEs at pre-university level, the mathematicians, mathematics educators, and psychology expertise who taught in college and university were requested to fill self-developed questionnaire. Experts views and ideas were assessed with respect to different parameters, such as difficulties in teaching and learning of differential equation, prerequisite for high level of conceptual understanding, correlation of non-routine DEs to real world problems, addition of non-routine DEs and perceptions about the need of trained and skilled teachers.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

Experts opinion about “teaching and learning of differential equation as a difficult part of mathematics at inter-college level as compared to other parts like algebra, trigonometry, and etc.” showed that overall 60 percent of the total experts were agreed from it that both teaching and learning of differential equation is a difficult part of the mathematics. Among these, 30 percent were agreed while 30 percent were strongly agreed with it. However, 40 percent of the total experts disagreed that teaching and learning of differential equation is a difficult part of the mathematics as compared to algebra, trigonometry. Interestingly, it was analyzed that participants having less experience and education level considered teaching and learning of differential equation as a difficult part while more experienced educators consider it as normal teaching. It might be due to excess training, experience or higher qualification (Schmidt, Burroughs, Cogan, & Houang, 2017; Wagner, Speer, & Rossa, 2007).
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**Figure 1.** Teaching and learning is a difficult part of the mathematics

Conceptual understanding also effects both the teaching and learning of DEs therefore, experts were also asked that whether differential equation teaching and learning need high level of conceptual understanding and special efforts. **Figure 2** shows that 90 percent were agreed, whereas 10 percent were strongly agreed that high level of conceptual understanding and special efforts is essential for differential equation problem solving.
High level of conceptual understandings and special efforts are required to solve differential equations-based problems.

Although, traditional methods of differential equation instruction just focus on procedural methods. Unfortunately, these methods did not encourage undergrads from creating their own strategies (Allen, 2006). Because, in a traditional differential equation environment, Selahattin (2010b) reported that nature of students' learning is procedural and is restricted to mastering and applying a few algebraic techniques. M Artigue, (1989) supported these results in a sense, so as to learner do not have understanding of differential equation concept (Boyce, 1994; Rasmussen, 2001). Literature also shows that usually deprived academic achievement results in calculus are caused by lack of conceptual understanding and inadequacy of teacher's content knowledge (Makgakga & Makwakwa, 2016; Muzangwa & Chifamba, 2012).

For conceptual understandings, solving a non-routine problem can also contribute to the mental development of a student. Because, non-routine based tasks require the problem solver to use their heuristic strategies to approach the problem, to understand it and proceed toward a suitable solution (Hesse, Care, Buder, Sassenberg, & Griffin, 2015). Consequently, these non-routines-based tasks enhance student's higher-order thinking during evolution of understanding, analysis, exploration and application of mathematical concepts. Moreover, the application or correlation of non-routine differential equation in real world problem is also important for conceptual understanding (Szabo & Andrews, 2017; Wijaya, van den Heuvel-Panhuizen, & Doorman, 2015), therefore, this was also asked here particularly. In the response, 80 percent of experts were agreed with. Whereas, 10 percent expert were not sure about the correlation of non-routine differential equation in real world problem.

Although, non-routine differential equation problems are considered as difficult because, students' special attention, efforts and learning strategies are required to solve problems containing differential equations, particularly non-routine problems. The reason is that these problems are typically concerned with unanticipated, unusual, and strange solutions (Polya, 1962; Rehman & Masud, 2012). Alas, these non-routine differential equation problems are avoided at inter level. Keeping in mind this perspective, expert's opinion regarding to the consideration of non-routine problems was asked. It was observed that 50 percent and 40 percent experts were agreed and strongly agreed with it that non-routine differential equation problems are less focused at inter level. However, only 10 percent experts were strongly disagreed with this statement.
Literature shows that most of the researchers strongly emphasized on the addition and attainment of non-routine problems in math curriculum, as they are appropriate for developing reasoning skills, attitudes and the capacity to apply these aptitudes over real life situations (Celebioglu, Yazgan, & Ezentaş, 2010; Lee & Chen, 2009; Yazgan, 2015, 2016). Celebioglu, Yazgan, and Ezentaş (2010) also supported the importance of non-routine strategies in math text books and math curriculum, and these researchers recommend that more non-routine strategies should be taught from the beginning of the first grade.

Keeping in mind, experts were further asked whether policy makers should add non-routine differential equation problems in new mathematics curriculum. Among all of the participants, 60 percent experts highly supported this statement. Interestingly, none of the participant opposed this idea.
Figure 5. Policy makers should increase non-routine differential equation problems in mathematics curriculum

Figure 6. Teachers should be properly equipped and trained, so that they may educate non-routine as well as routine problems containing differential equation

Only addition of non-routine differential equation problem in mathematics curriculum is not enough. But teacher should be properly equipped and trained, so that they may educate both routine as well as non-routine differential equation problem. For this purpose, expert’s opinion and concerned were important. Results showed that 60 percent were agreed with it. Moreover, 40 percent of the experts were strongly agreed that teacher should be properly equipped and trained, so that they may educate both routine as well as non-routine differential equation problem. Literature also supports this data (Lottero-Perdue & Parry, 2017; Özsoy & Ataman, 2017; Wagner, Speer, & Rossa, 2007).

**DISCUSSIONS**

Taking constantly on these findings under account, a typical calculus at secondary course is expected to include: Applications of non-routine and real-life problems are rare in calculus secondary courses. More non-routine DE problems and their applications of real life should be included. The exams could be divided into three parts; one emphasizes routine exercises, second that evaluate theoretical aspects of differential equation course, and final part should consider relate non-routine real problems.

These non-routine differential equation word problems, routine procedure should also be accompanied with graphs of the involved functions. For that reason, teachers must be facilitated with effective training, so that they may able to provide guidance to students during transition from algebraic to graphical mode or vice versa to avoid mistakes. Accessibility of computers labs can also overcome several issues of algebraic, graphical,
numerical methods and their integration into a single approach for effective differential equation-based problem solving.

Besides course content, teaching style and instructional approaches, perceptions about mathematics and specific concept, learning strategies, purpose or goal of learning also affect teaching and learning mathematics (Biza, Giraldo, Hochmuth, Khakbaz, & Rasmussen, 2016).

From teaching point of view, teachers should emphasize activities that encourage students to explore differential equation topics, develop and refine their own ideas, strategies, and technique. Furthermore, challenging activities should be created and avoid comparison among students. So that students can actively participate in the whole activity. The role of teacher should be a facilitator rather than a dispenser of information. Additionally, differential equation problem solving and reasoning should more emphasize at secondary school mathematics instead of rote manipulations section. For this purpose, connections, applications, verifications, and related differential equation problems must be given priority over rehearsing algorithms.

In addition to it, teachers must give attention to non-routine-based problems, related to some specific type and area to give students in-depth understandings. Also, these non-routine problems must be balanced with graphics of the involved functions to assess and enhance student’s differential equation problem solving ability. They should educate and smartly trained their students during transition from algebraic to graphical mode or vice versa to avoid mistakes.

Furthermore, contextualizing learning using real world problems or authentic environment examples are also an important pillar in constructivist pedagogy (Abdulwahed, Jaworski, & Crawford, 2012). In the developed countries, different methods including novel pedagogies (such as collaborative learning, inquiry/problems/discovery based learning), contextual problems, mathematical software packages (Mathematica, Maple), and online tools (Wikis and web based courses) are being used to facilitate conceptual understanding and constructivist mathematics learning (Abdulwahed, Jaworski, & Crawford, 2012). Therefore, all these tacit should be employed to enhance conceptual understanding of DEs in developing countries such as Pakistan.

An alternative teaching approach is also needed so as to reduce traditional lecturing model. There may be a need to implement of flipped learning approach like (Oh Nam, 2015), in which short instructions are provided through videos and online courses. Whereas, class time is devoted for exercise, activities or discussion. Consequently, students assist their class mates in peer assisted learning environment (PAL) (Biza, Giraldo, Hochmuth, Khakbaz, & Rasmussen, 2016). This will not only enhance their skills, also boost up their motivation as well as interest for differential equation problem solving.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In this work it was observed that teaching and learning of differential equation is not only a difficult part of the mathematics as compared to algebra, trigonometry but also demand high level of conceptual understanding and special efforts for solving differential equation problem. Addition of non-routine problems and teacher training program were been found major factors to enhance teaching and learning. The findings of present study had provided a few critical ramifications to the educational curriculum, instructive module designers and educators, particularly in mathematics and science education. The nature of the sample imposes limitations upon the findings and their generalization across all of Pakistan, however, the findings may provide directions both in implementation of teaching and learning, and curriculum aspects. Mathematics teachers can utilize the findings of current study in assessing the students’ differential equation problem solving ability. In addition, teacher may apply these findings for assessing students’ problem-solving ability in other parts of calculus or mathematics.
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