Clinical efficacy evaluation of treatment of different degrees of retinal vein occlusion with ranibizumab combined with an argon ion laser
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Abstract. The aim of this study was to evaluate the clinical efficacy of an intravitreal injection of ranibizumab combined with argon ion laser photocoagulation therapy in the treatment of different degrees of central retinal vein occlusion (CRVO). A total of 112 CRVO patients including 25 cases of trunk occlusion, 50 cases of branch occlusion and 37 cases of hemiretinal vein-occlusion were enrolled in this study. Patients were treated with an intravitreal injection of 0.5 mg ranibizumab, followed by argon ion laser photocoagulation therapy after 7 days. Patients were followed up for 6 months and the best corrected visual acuity (BCVA), central retinal thickness (CRT), macular edema, and surgical complications were compared. Compared with the control treated with 0.5 mg ranibizumab, the BCVA and macular edema improved while CRT was significantly reduced in all groups treated with 0.5 mg ranibizumab combined with the argon ion laser. Furthermore, no obvious complications were observed in these groups and the effects of ranibizumab combined with argon ion laser photocoagulation on branch occlusion group were the best. Intravitreal injection of ranibizumab combined with argon ion laser photocoagulation therapy has better safety and effectiveness in the treatment of different degrees of CRVO. The trial registration number is 2015-318 and date of registration is 12/10/2015.

Introduction

Retinal vein occlusion (RVO) has been reported to be the second most common retinal vascular disease following diabetic retinopathy (1), which commonly leads to vision loss in the elderly population and is frequently associated with arteriosclerotic diseases and glaucoma. It is traditionally divided into central retinal vein occlusion (CRVO) and branch retinal vein occlusion (BRVO) (2). The present study focused on CRVO that has been reported widely to cause blinding fundus lesions mainly characterized by retinal hemorrhage, exudation and cystoid macular edema. The occurrence of CRVO is associated with age, which is mostly accompanied with chronic systemic diseases, including hypertension, diabetes and arteriosclerosis (3). According to fundus fluorescein angiography (FFA), CRVO can be divided into ischemic and non-ischemic types. The non-ischemic type is common in the clinic and occurs in the early stage of disease, so the visual prognosis is relatively good. Occlusion may occur in the central trunk, branch or hemiretinal vein (hemi) and its duration is divided into ≤1 month, 1-3 months and >3 months.

The important risk factors for CRVO in patients older than 50 years mainly include systemic hypertension and vascular disease. In addition, diabetes mellitus and hyperlipidemia, African-descent ethinicity, male gender, peripheral artery disease, stroke, hypercoagulable state, ocular hypertension and primary open-angle glaucoma also add to the prevalence of CRVO (4). On the other hand, hyperlipidemia has been reported to be the predominant medical condition associated with CRVO in young patients (5). All these pathological conditions ultimately result in systemic diseases leading to inflammatory alterations in the blood vessels of the retina, which in turn cause occlusion of the central retinal vein (6).

The clinical treatment used widely for CRVO is the combination of intravitreal injection of ranibizumab with argon ion laser photocoagulation (7). Combination therapy has already demonstrated higher safety and better efficacy, in comparison with the single therapy (8,9). However, there is paucity of information with regard to the efficacy of this combination at variable degrees of CRVO. Therefore, in the present study, the clinical efficacy of ranibizumab combined with argon ion laser photocoagulation therapy on CRVO in different degrees was analyzed.

Materials and methods

Study subjects. A total of 112 patients continuously diagnosed as CRVO in the Huizhou Municipal Central Hospital (Huizhou, China) from June 2014 to October 2016 were selected. Written informed consent was provided by the patients. Inclusion criteria: i) Patients with monocular lesions...
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Observational indexes. BCVA, CRT, macular edema and surgical complications at 1 month, 3 months and 6 months following operation among all groups were compared. BCVA was detected using the international standard visual acuity chart (converted into Log MAR visual acuity). CRT was measured according to OCT and the decrease of CRT >100 µm compared with the results detected previously was regarded as the recession.

Statistical analysis. Statistical Product and Service Solutions (SPSS) 20.0 software (IBM, Corps., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Measurement data were presented as mean ± standard deviation. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for the comparison among the groups. Least significance difference-t test was used for pairwise comparison. Repeated measurement ANOVA was used for

and the best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) <0.3; ii) patients with optic disc edema, blurred edges, cystoid macular edema, hemorrhage, smaller arterial diameter, venous tortuous dilatation, retinal edema and flame bleeding in fundus demonstrated by fundus photography, and with prolonged retinal circulation time, aneurysmal dilatation of blood capillaries, fluorescein leakage, large non-perfusion area, stained venous wall and diffuse fluorescein leakage of macula lutea demonstrated by FFA, and with retinal thickening, edema and hemorrhage demonstrated by optical coherence tomography (OCT) and damaged retinal pigment epithelium; iii) patients who did not receive the intravitreal injection, laser photocoagulation and drug therapy promoting circulation and removing stasis; iv) patients with clinical data and informed consent right obtained. Exclusion criteria: i) Patients with glaucoma, cataract, proliferative vitreoretinopathy, macular ischemia, diabetic retinopathy, age-associated macular degeneration, eye traumas or other eye diseases; ii) patients with severe hypertension, diabetes, atherosclerosis or cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases; iii) patients who participated in other studies at the same time and quit the study voluntarily. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Huizhou Municipal Central Hospital. Patient characteristics are presented in Table I.

Research methods. The patients were divided into the following groups: The trunk occlusion group (12 patients for ranibizumab treatment, 13 patients for ranibizumab-argon ion laser treatment), the branch occlusion group (25 patients for ranibizumab treatment, 25 patients for ranibizumab-argon ion laser treatment) and the hemi-occlusion group (18 patients for ranibizumab treatment, 19 patients for ranibizumab-argon ion laser treatment). All patients were intravitreally injected with 0.5 mg ranibizumab (Lucentis; Novartis International AG, Basel, Switzerland), followed by argon ion laser photocoagulation after 7 days. The specific steps were as follows: Prior to operation, levofloxacin hydrochloride eye drops were dropped into the eyes for 3 days continuously (4 times per day); following conventional disinfection and topical anesthesia with 50 g/l oxybuprocaine hydrochloride, povidone-iodine eye drops were used for disinfecting eyeballs, and after 90 sec, normal saline was used to wash the cornea and bulbar conjunctival sac; 1 ml empty needle was used to extract 0.05 ml (0.5 mg) ranibizumab and inject it into the vitreous body at 4 mm behind the inferotemporal corneal limbus, and change of the intraocular pressure was observed. After the needle was removed, the sterile wet cotton swab was used to press the wound for 1-2 min, followed by wound painting using levofloxacin oculentum and dressing of affected eye. Patients treated with only 0.5 mg ranibizumab were used as the controls.

The patients were divided into the comparison. Repeated measurement ANOVA was used for analysis. Least significance difference-t test was used for pairwise comparison. Repeated measurement ANOVA was used for

| Table I. Baseline data of patients in the three groups. |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Group                           | Trunk occlusion | Branch occlusion | Hemi-occlusion  |
| (n=25)                          | (n=50)          | (n=37)          |
| Male/Female                     | 14/11           | 22/28           | 19/18           |
| Age (years)                     | 52.6±10.7       | 53.3±11.2       | 53.8±13.2       |
| Course of disease (months)      | 1.8±0.5         | 1.9±0.7         | 1.8±0.6         |
| CRT (µm)                        | 635±50          | 642±55          | 647±62          |
| BCVA                            | 0.24±0.08       | 0.25±0.09       | 0.23±0.07       |
| Intraocular pressure (mmHg)     | 20.5±3.6        | 19.6±3.3        | 21.2±3.8        |
| Hypertension [n (%)]            | 6 (24.0)        | 10 (20.0)       | 7 (18.9)        |
| Diabetes [n (%)]                | 4 (16.0)        | 6 (12.0)        | 4 (10.8)        |

CRT, central retinal thickness; BCVA, best corrected visual acuity.
value comparisons in different time of follow-up. Enumeration data were presented as case or percentage (%) and Chi-square test was used for intergroup comparison. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

In the present results revealed that there were 25 cases of trunk occlusion, 50 cases of branch occlusion and 37 cases of hemi-occlusion. The baseline data of patients in the three groups presented that there was no difference in sex, age, course of disease, CRT, BCVA, intraocular pressure, hypertension and diabetes between three groups (P>0.05, Table I).

As shown in Fig. 1, compared with the controls treated with ranibizumab alone, after patients with the trunk occlusion, the branch occlusion or the hemi-occlusion were treated with ranibizumab combined with argon ion laser, the BCVA of all groups improved significantly following the operation and the branch occlusion group exhibited the best results (P<0.05; Table II and Fig. 1). However, the significant reduction in the CRT following combination therapy was observed in all groups and the best effects were noted in the branch occlusion group (P<0.05; Table III and Fig. 2). Furthermore, in the 6-month follow-up, the remission rate of macular edema of each group gradually decreased and the effect in branch occlusion group was the best (Table IV and Fig. 3). In addition, no severe surgical complications like endophthalmitis, retinal detachment and intraocular hypertension, were noticed in all three groups (data not shown).

Discussion

Therapeutic options for CRVO have been demonstrated during the last 5 years, which include intravitreally delivered corticosteroids and intravitreal injections of agents against vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) (10,11). It was demonstrated in an animal model that obstructed cerebral venous drainage can lead to increased fundus arteriovenous pressure, capillary non-perfusion, tissue ischemia, hypoxia and inflammatory response, and promote the increased release of VEGF and
induce neovascularization. Furthermore, the structure and function of the novel vessels is imperfect, especially with respect to the increased permeability, easy bleeding, leakage and edema, aggravating the retinal and macular pathological conditions.

Table III. Comparison of central retinal thickness in follow-up (µm).

| Items     | Trunk occlusion group | Branch occlusion group | Hemi-occlusion group |
|-----------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
|           | Ranibizumab (n=17)    | Ranibizumab + argon ion laser (n=18) | Ranibizumab (n=25)    | Ranibizumab + argon ion laser (n=25) | Ranibizumab (n=18)    | Ranibizumab + argon ion laser (n=19) |
| 1 month   | 973±68                | 928±102                | 1021±89              | 816±27                | 747±34                | 828±51              |
| 3 months  | 570±50                | 530±50b                | 545±43a              | 565±48                | 535±46                | 550±46b             |
| 6 months  | 823±12                | 769±52b                | 832±77               | 823±12                | 769±52b                | 832±77               |

\[ ^a P<0.05 \text{ and } ^b P<0.05 \text{ vs. the control.} \]

Figure 2. Comparison of CRT in follow-up (µm). In 1, 3 and 6 months of follow-up, CRT was evaluated in trunk occlusion group, branch occlusion group, hemi-occlusion group treated with ranibizumab or ranibizumab combined with argon ion laser. \( ^* P<0.05 \) and \( ^{**} P<0.01 \) vs. the control. CRT, central retinal thickness.

Figure 3. Comparison of the remission rate of macular edema in follow-up (%). In 6 months of follow-up, the remission rate of macular edema was evaluated in trunk occlusion group, branch occlusion group, hemi-occlusion group treated with ranibizumab or ranibizumab combined with argon ion laser. \( ^* P<0.01 \) vs. the control.
changes (12,13). In the present study, to avoid bias of results, all the patients were randomly chosen and their situations were different. If the group size was made the same on purpose it may have lead to a much bigger bias of results. A literature search was performed and there are also a number of studies including patient groups of different sizes. Furthermore, the patients were divided into the following groups: The trunk occlusion group (12 patients for ranibizumab treatment and 13 patients for ranibizumab+argon ion laser treatment), the branch occlusion group (25 patients for ranibizumab treatment and 25 patients for ranibizumab+argon ion laser treatment) and the hemi-occlusion group (18 patients for ranibizumab treatment and 19 patients for ranibizumab+argon ion laser treatment). Then, the effects of intravitreal injection of ranibizumab in combination with argon ion laser therapy were evaluated in the treatment of CRVO in different degrees and present the safety, and efficacy of this treatment approaches for CRVO in different degrees.

Ranibizumab is a novel anti-VEGF agent with humanized monoclonal antibody fragment targeting all isoforms of VEGF, which is frequently applied in eyes diseases. For instance, it has been reported that ranibizumab used in the specific resistance to VEGF can inhibit the production of novel blood vessels to a greater extent, thereby reducing the retinal exudation and bleeding (14,15). Studies have confirmed that macular edema is caused by the damaged blood retinal barrier (internal barrier) and pigment epithelial barrier (external barrier). CRVO can lead to internal and external barrier dysfunction at the same time, and release a variety of endogenous cytokines, among which VEGF is the most studied (16,17). At present, the clinical treatment of CRVO-associated persistent non-ischemic macular edema with laser photocoagulation is still the standard method (18), which reduces edema to a certain extent, but the efficacy on complex edema is poor with severe side effects (19) and limited vision improvement (20,21). Previous findings demonstrated that intravitreal injection of ranibizumab or conbercept combined with laser therapy is an effective therapeutic option in Coats' disease (22). Another research team confirmed that ranibizumab 0.5 mg can treat patients with BRVO. Addition of laser treatment did not lead to better functional outcomes or a reduced treatment requirement (23). In the present study, intravitreal injection of ranibizumab combined with argon ion laser therapy is demonstrated to be a good method for CRVO treatment.

The results of the present study suggest that BCVA of the three groups is increased, CRT is reduced, macular edema is improved and the best status can be obtained at 3 months following ranibizumab combined with argon ion laser therapy. No obvious complications occur and the effects in branch occlusion group are the best. Therefore, it is hypothesized that the intravitreal injection of ranibizumab combined with argon ion laser photocoagulation has better safety and effectiveness in the treatment of different degrees of CRVO. The impairment of vision from trunk occlusion or hemi-occlusion is more serious than in branch occlusion and CRT is increased significantly, accompanied by severe local edema, bleeding, and leakage (24,25); trunk occlusion or hemi-occlusion frequently involves the distal branch vessels; although there may be more target vessels in branch occlusion, pathological changes, including ischemia and inflammation, are mild, and it has a better response to the ranibizumab combined with laser photocoagulation therapy (26,27).

In conclusion, the present study suggests that the clinical application of intravitreal injection of ranibizumab combined with argon ion laser photocoagulation is suitable for the treatment of CRVO in different degrees, providing an important reference for the early screening of population with the optimal efficacy. This information can be used to help patients achieving good visual recovery. However, the specific mechanism remains to be further studied. The shortcomings in this study are the small sample size, lack of treatment randomization and disunited criteria of different occlusion degrees.
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Table IV. Comparison of remission rate of macular edema in follow-up (%).

| Items          | Trunk occlusion group | Branch occlusion group | Hemi-occlusion group |
|----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
|                | Ranibizumab (n=17)    | Ranibizumab + argon ion laser (n=18) | Ranibizumab (n=25)    | Ranibizumab + argon ion laser (n=25) | Ranibizumab (n=18)    | Ranibizumab + argon ion laser (n=19) |
| 6 months       | 44.0±5.7              | 10.2±1.5⁸              | 80.0±7.22             | 12.6±1.2                   | 67.6±7.10              | 21.8±2.52                   |

⁸P<0.05 vs. the control.
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