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Abstract

Background: In collaboration with state, tribal, local, and territorial health departments, CDC established the U.S. Zika Pregnancy Registry (USZPR) in early 2016 to monitor pregnant women with laboratory evidence of possible recent Zika virus infection and their infants.

Methods: This report includes an analysis of completed pregnancies (which include live births and pregnancy losses, regardless of gestational age) in the 50 U.S. states and the District of Columbia (DC) with laboratory evidence of possible recent Zika virus infection reported to the USZPR from January 15 to December 27, 2016. Birth defects potentially associated with Zika virus infection during pregnancy include brain abnormalities and/or microcephaly, eye abnormalities, other consequences of central nervous system dysfunction, and neural tube defects and other early brain malformations.

Results: During the analysis period, 1,297 pregnant women in 44 states were reported to the USZPR. Zika virus–associated birth defects were reported for 51 (5%) of the 972 fetuses/infants from completed pregnancies with laboratory evidence of possible recent Zika virus infection (95% confidence interval [CI] = 4%–7%); the proportion was higher when restricted to pregnancies with laboratory-confirmed Zika virus infection reported to the USZPR from January 15 to December 27, 2016. Birth defects potentially associated with Zika virus infection during pregnancy include brain abnormalities and/or microcephaly, eye abnormalities, other consequences of central nervous system dysfunction, and neural tube defects and other early brain malformations.

Conclusions and Implications for Public Health Practice: These findings highlight why pregnant women should avoid Zika virus exposure. Because the full clinical spectrum of congenital Zika virus infection is not yet known, all infants born to women with laboratory evidence of possible recent Zika virus infection during pregnancy should receive postnatal neuroimaging and Zika virus testing in addition to a comprehensive newborn physical exam and hearing screen. Identification and follow-up care of infants born to women with laboratory evidence of possible recent Zika virus infection during pregnancy and infants with possible congenital Zika virus infection can ensure that appropriate clinical services are available.

Introduction

In response to the outbreak of Zika virus in the World Health Organization Region of the Americas and concerns about birth defects linked to Zika virus infection during pregnancy, CDC issued a travel notice on January 15, 2016, advising pregnant women to consider postponing travel to areas with active transmission of Zika virus. As part of the initial phase of the emergency response, CDC collaborated with state, tribal, local, and territorial health departments to establish the U.S. Zika Pregnancy Registry (USZPR) as an enhanced national surveillance system to monitor pregnancy and fetal/infant outcomes among pregnancies with laboratory evidence of possible recent Zika virus infection (1). The USZPR includes data on pregnant women and their infants at birth and at ages 2, 6, and 12 months.
The USZPR includes data from all 50 states, DC, and all U.S. territories except Puerto Rico; pregnancies in Puerto Rico are monitored separately by the Zika Active Pregnancy Surveillance System (2). To be included in the USZPR, either the pregnant woman, placenta, or fetus/infant must have laboratory evidence of possible recent Zika virus infection. Pregnant women in the United States and U.S. territories (with the exception of Puerto Rico) with laboratory evidence of possible recent Zika virus infection (regardless of whether they have symptoms) and the periconceptionally,* prenatally, or perinatally exposed infants born to these women are eligible to be included. The USZPR also includes infants with laboratory evidence of possible congenital Zika virus infection (regardless of whether they have symptoms or findings at birth) and their mothers.

This report updates the previous report (3) from the USZPR and provides data on pregnancies completed in the 50 U.S. states and DC from December 1, 2015 through December 27, 2016, reported to CDC from January 15, 2016, through March 14, 2017.† Completed pregnancies include those of any length of gestation that end in a liveborn infant or a pregnancy loss. The baseline prevalence of defects consistent with those that have been observed with congenital Zika virus infection was approximately 2.9 per 1,000 live births in the pre-Zika years (4). The initial findings from the USZPR represent an approximate twentyfold increase in Zika virus—associated birth defects among pregnant women with laboratory evidence of possible recent Zika virus infection, with an approximate thirtyfold increase in brain abnormalities and/or microcephaly. Updated data in this report can also be compared with this benchmark (3,4).

Methods

The USZPR defines laboratory evidence of possible recent Zika virus infection as 1) recent Zika virus infection detected by a Zika virus RNA nucleic acid test (NAT, e.g., reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction [RT-PCR]) on any maternal, placental, or fetal/infant specimen or 2) detection of recent Zika virus infection or recent unspecified flavivirus infection by serologic tests on a maternal or infant specimen (i.e., either positive or equivocal Zika virus immunoglobulin M [IgM] AND Zika virus plaque reduction neutralization test [PRNT] titer ≥10, regardless of dengue virus PRNT value; or negative Zika virus IgM, AND positive or equivocal dengue virus IgM, AND Zika virus PRNT titer ≥10, regardless of dengue virus PRNT titer). Infants with positive or equivocal Zika virus IgM are included, provided a confirmatory PRNT has been performed on a maternal or infant specimen. The USZPR laboratory inclusion criteria are specified as “possible” recent Zika virus infection because the USZPR includes mother-infant pairs with serological evidence of a recent unspecified flavivirus infection, as well as those with laboratory-confirmed Zika virus infection.

Analyses were done on both the overall completed pregnancies in the USZPR from the 50 U.S. states and DC and a subset of completed pregnancies that demonstrated confirmed recent Zika virus infection (5,6). These are pregnancies in which the presence of Zika virus RNA in a maternal, placental, or fetal/infant specimen was documented by a positive NAT, or in which Zika virus IgM was positive or equivocal and Zika virus PRNT titer was ≥10 and dengue virus PRNT was <10.

Among asymptomatic women, gestational timing of Zika virus infection was calculated using symptom onset date. Among asymptomatic women, the trimester of exposure was calculated using dates of travel to areas of active Zika virus transmission or sexual exposure. First trimester exposure was classified into two categories: 1) women with symptoms or exposure in the first trimester only§ (defined as first trimester or first trimester and periconceptional period); and 2) women with exposure during multiple trimesters including the first trimester. Estimates were not calculated for exposure in other trimesters because of small numbers. Pregnant women who did not have first trimester exposure might have had exposure in the periconceptional period only, second trimester, third trimester, or both the second and third trimester; for many women, the information on trimester of exposure was missing.

The Zika virus—associated birth defects (henceforth referred to as “birth defects”) were analyzed in two mutually exclusive categories: 1) brain abnormalities and/or microcephaly regardless of the presence of additional birth defects, and 2) neural tube defects and other early brain malformations, eye abnormalities, and other consequences of central nervous system dysfunction, among fetuses and infants without evident brain abnormalities or microcephaly (7). Clinical experts reviewed reported information to ensure that each fetus or infant with birth defects met the criteria of the USZPR case definition.

The proportion of fetuses or infants with birth defects among completed pregnancies was estimated among asymptomatic and symptomatic pregnant women, and women with first trimester exposure, using the Wilson score interval and 95% CI for a binomial proportion. Outcomes from multiple gestation pregnancies were counted once. Separate estimates were calculated for pregnancies with any laboratory evidence of recent Zika

* Periconceptional exposure is defined as maternal Zika virus infection during the 8 weeks before conception (6 weeks before and 2 weeks after the first day of the last menstrual period).

† Data on pregnancies reported to CDC by December 27, 2016; all data have been updated with additional information reported on these pregnancies through March 14, 2017. Completed pregnancies are limited to those with a pregnancy completion date on or before December 27, 2016.

§ First trimester is defined as last menstrual period +14 days to 13 weeks, 6 days.
virus infection and for the subset of pregnancies with laboratory-confirmed recent Zika virus infection. For all liveborn infants with and without birth defects, the proportion who had any reported postnatal neuroimaging (cranial ultrasound, computed tomography, or magnetic resonance imaging) was calculated, as well as the proportion who had laboratory testing for Zika virus reported on an infant specimen. CDC released updated Interim Guidance for the Evaluation and Management of Infants with Possible Congenital Zika Virus Infection in August 2016 (8), which stated that postnatal neuroimaging and testing should be routine for all infants born to women with laboratory evidence of Zika virus infection during pregnancy; the proportion of infants with neuroimaging performed was calculated before and after this guidance was released.

Results

From January 15 through December 27, 2016, a total of 1,297 pregnancies with possible recent Zika virus infection were reported to the USZPR from 44 states (Figure 1), including 972 completed pregnancies with reported outcomes (895 liveborn infants and 77 pregnancy losses). Among the completed pregnancies, 599 (62%) pregnant women were asymptomatic, 348 (36%) were symptomatic, and 25 (3%) had missing symptom information (Table 1).

Birth defects were reported for 51 (5%) of the 972 completed pregnancies with laboratory evidence of possible recent Zika virus infection. The proportion was higher among completed pregnancies with confirmed Zika virus infection (24/250, 10%). Among completed pregnancies with confirmed Zika virus infection, 217 of 250 (87%) tested positive by RT-PCR, including 24 pregnancies with a fetus or infant with birth defects.

Birth defects were reported in similar proportions of fetuses/infants whose mothers did and did not report symptoms of Zika virus disease during pregnancy. Brain abnormalities and/or microcephaly were reported in 43 (84%) of 51 fetuses/infants with birth defects. Among pregnancies with confirmed Zika virus infection, brain abnormalities and/or microcephaly were reported in 18 (75%) of 24 fetuses/infants with birth defects. The 51 fetuses or infants with birth defects were from pregnancies with Zika virus exposure from the following 16 countries/territories with active Zika virus transmission: Barbados, Belize, Brazil, Cape Verde, Colombia, Dominican Republic, El Salvador, Guatemala, Guyana, Haiti, Honduras, Jamaica, Mexico, Puerto Rico, Republic of Marshall Islands, and Venezuela.

Birth defects were reported in a higher proportion of fetuses or infants whose mothers were infected during the first trimester of pregnancy. Among 157 pregnancies in which women had symptom onset or exposure to Zika virus infection during the first trimester, 14 (9%) fetuses/infants had reported birth defects (Table 1). When pregnancies with symptom onset or exposure during first trimester were limited to those with laboratory-confirmed Zika virus infection, nine (15%) of 60 completed pregnancies had reported birth defects.

Among the 895 liveborn infants, postnatal neuroimaging results were reported to the USZPR for 221 (25%). Zika virus testing results of any specimen were reported for 585 (65%) infants; 94 (11%) of all 895 liveborn infants had positive Zika virus test results. Among the 45 liveborn infants with birth defects, 25 (56%) had positive infant Zika virus testing results reported, and 29 (64%) had postnatal neuroimaging reported to the USZPR (Table 2). Among the 850 liveborn infants without birth defects, 69 (8%) had positive infant Zika virus testing results reported, and 192 (23%) had postnatal neuroimaging reported to the USZPR. The percentage of infants reported to have received postnatal neuroimaging was 20% among 406 born through August 2016, and 28% among 489 born during September–December 2016, after the updated CDC guidance was released (8) (Figure 2).

Conclusions and Comments

The number of pregnant women with laboratory evidence of possible recent Zika virus infection and the number of fetuses/infants with Zika virus–associated birth defects continues to
**TABLE 1. Pregnancy outcomes** for 972 women with completed pregnancies with laboratory evidence of possible recent Zika virus infection, by maternal symptom status and timing of symptom onset or exposure — U.S. Zika Pregnancy Registry, United States, December 2015–December 2016

| Characteristic | Brain abnormalities and/or microencephaly (No.) | NTDs and early brain malformations, eye abnormalities, or consequences of CNS dysfunction without brain abnormalities or microcephaly (No.) | Total with ≥1 birth defect (No.) | Completed pregnancies (No.) | Proportion affected by Zika virus–associated birth defects, % (95% CI) |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| **Any laboratory evidence of possible recent Zika virus infection**§ | Total 43 | 8 | 51 | 972 | 5 (4–7) |
| Maternal symptom status | | | | | |
| Symptoms of Zika virus infection reported | 18 | 3 | 21 | 348 | 6 (4–9) |
| No symptoms of Zika virus infection reported | 24 | 4 | 28 | 599 | 5 (3–7) |
| Unknown | 1 | 1 | 2 | 25 | 1 |
| **Timing of symptoms or exposure**** | | | | | |
| First trimester†¶§ | 13 | 1 | 14 | 157 | 9 (5–14) |
| Multiple trimesters including first | 22 | 6 | 28 | 396 | 7 (5–10) |
| **Confirmed evidence of Zika virus infection**¶¶ | Total 18 | 6 | 24 | 250 | 10 (7–14) |
| Maternal symptom status | | | | | |
| Symptoms of Zika virus infection reported | 8 | 3 | 11 | 141 | 8 (4–13) |
| No symptoms of Zika virus infection reported | 10 | 2 | 12 | 102 | 12 (7–19) |
| Unknown | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 |
| **Timing of symptoms or exposure**** | | | | | |
| First trimester†¶§ | 8 | 1 | 9 | 60 | 15 (8–26) |
| Multiple trimesters including first | 8 | 4 | 12 | 58 | 21 (12–33) |

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; CNS = central nervous system; IgM = immunoglobulin M; NAT = nucleic acid test; NTD = neural tube defect; PRNT = plaque reduction neutralization test; RT-PCR = reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction.

§ Outcomes for multiple gestation pregnancies are counted once.

† Includes live births, spontaneous abortions, terminations, and stillbirths.

§§ 95% CI for a binomial proportion using Wilson score interval.

¶¶ Includes maternal, placental, or fetal/infant laboratory evidence of possible recent Zika virus infection based on presence of Zika virus RNA by a positive NAT (e.g., RT-PCR) or similar test, serological evidence of a recent Zika virus infection, or serological evidence of a recent unspecified flavivirus infection.

** Estimates were not calculated for exposure in other trimesters because of small numbers. Pregnant women who did not have first trimester exposure might have had exposure in the periconceptional period only (8 weeks before conception or 6 weeks before and 2 weeks after the first day of the last menstrual period), second trimester, third trimester, both the second and third trimester; many women were missing information on trimester of exposure.

† First trimester is defined as last menstrual period +14 days to 13 weeks, 6 days (97 days).

¶¶ First trimester exposure includes women with exposure limited to the first trimester and women with exposure limited to the first trimester and periconceptional period.

¶¶ Includes maternal, placental, or fetal/infant laboratory evidence of confirmed Zika virus infection based on presence of Zika virus RNA by a positive NAT (e.g., RT-PCR) or similar test or serological results of IgM positive/equivocal with Zika PRNT ≥10 and dengue PRNT <10.

increase in the United States. The proportion of fetuses and infants with birth defects among pregnancies with confirmed Zika virus infection at any time during pregnancy was more than 30 times higher than the baseline prevalence in the pre-Zika years, and a higher proportion of those with first trimester infections had birth defects (4). Although microcephaly was the first recognized birth defect reported in association with congenital Zika virus infection, Zika virus–associated brain abnormalities can occur without microcephaly, and neuroimaging is needed to detect these abnormalities (9). Neuroimaging is also used in other congenital infections to identify brain abnormalities; for example, neuroimaging findings in infants with congenital cytomegalovirus infection are correlated with neurodevelopmental outcomes (10). Postnatal neuroimaging is recommended for all infants born to women with laboratory evidence of Zika virus infection to identify infants with brain anomalies that warrant additional evaluation to ensure that appropriate intervention is provided (8). Based on data reported to the USZPR, the majority of these infants had not received recommended neuroimaging. In addition to infants with birth defects, complete follow-up and routine developmental assessment of all infants born to women with laboratory evidence of possible recent Zika virus infection is essential to help identify future outcomes potentially associated with congenital Zika virus infection and ensure that the referrals to appropriate support and follow-up care are made.

The findings in this report are subject to at least four limitations. First, selection bias might affect which pregnancies are
TABLE 2. Postnatal neuroimaging* and infant Zika virus testing results for 895 liveborn infants in the U.S. Zika Pregnancy Registry — 50 U.S. states and the District of Columbia, 2016

| Testing | No (%) liveborn infants |
|---------|-------------------------|
|         | With birth defects | Without birth defects | Total |
| Total   | 45 | 850 | 895 |
| **Neuroimaging** | | | |
| Any neuroimaging reported to USZPR | 29 (64) | 192 (23) | 221 (25) |
| **Infant Zika virus testing** | | | |
| Positive test result on an infant specimen† | 25 (56) | 69 (8) | 94 (11) |
| Negative infant test results among infants with ≥1 infant specimen reported as tested | 17 (38) | 474 (56) | 491 (55) |
| No infant specimen test results reported to USZPR | 3 (7) | 307 (36) | 310 (35) |

Abbreviations: IgM = immunoglobulin M; NAT = nucleic acid test; RT-PCR = reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction; USZPR = U.S. Zika Pregnancy Registry.
* Neuroimaging includes any cranial ultrasound, computed tomography, or magnetic resonance imaging test reported to the USZPR.
† Positive infant tests included the presence of Zika virus RNA by a positive NAT (e.g., RT-PCR) and/or serological results of IgM positive/equivocal.
§ Infant specimens include serum, urine, blood, cerebrospinal fluid, cord serum, and cord blood.

reported to the USZPR, because pregnant women with symptoms of Zika virus disease might be more likely than asymptomatic women to be tested. Pregnant women with Zika virus exposure and prenatally detected fetal abnormalities or infants with birth defects might be more likely to be tested for Zika virus infection. In addition, pregnancies resulting in a loss might be more likely to have had a confirmed Zika virus infection and more likely to have the placenta or other pathologic specimens tested (11). However, it is also possible that birth defects in pregnancy losses, including stillbirths, have not been reported. Second, while CDC has worked closely with state and local health departments to obtain complete information, delays in reporting postnatal neuroimaging or infant Zika virus testing results are possible. In addition, some of the pregnancies included in the analysis were completed before CDC’s most recent infant guidance (8) was released, and thus, current recommendations for neuroimaging or testing might not have been implemented. Third, current testing methodologies are limited in that they can only identify recent Zika virus infections (5) and might miss those women who are tested when Zika virus RNA and/or IgM is no longer detectable; these pregnancies would not be included in the USZPR unless the fetus/infant or placenta has a positive Zika virus test result. Also, serologic testing cannot readily discriminate between flaviviruses because of crossreactivity (5); therefore, some pregnancies in the USZPR might have had a recent infection with a flavivirus other than Zika virus which could lead to an underestimate of the proportion of fetuses/infants affected. For this reason, in this report, analysis of the subset of pregnancies with laboratory-confirmed recent Zika virus infection was included. Finally, limited data are available about other maternal risk factors for birth defects, including genetic or other infectious causes, which might be causal factors for a few of the birth defects reported here.

These findings underscore the serious risk for birth defects posed by Zika virus infection during pregnancy and highlight why pregnant women should avoid Zika virus exposure and that all pregnant women should be screened for possible Zika virus exposure at every prenatal visit, with testing of pregnant women and infants in accordance with current guidance (https://www.cdc.gov/zika/pdfs/zikapreg_screeningtool.pdf) (8,12). Zika virus testing of infants is recommended for 1) all infants born to women with laboratory evidence of Zika virus infection in pregnancy and 2) infants with findings suggestive of congenital Zika syndrome born to women with an epidemiologic link suggesting possible transmission, regardless of maternal testing results. Infants without abnormalities born to women with an epidemiologic link suggesting possible Zika virus exposure during pregnancy, and for whom maternal testing was not performed or was performed more than 12 weeks after exposure, should have a comprehensive exam. If there is concern about infant follow-up or maternal testing is not performed, infant Zika virus testing should be considered. The initial evaluation of infants should include a comprehensive physical examination, including a neurologic examination, postnatal neuroimaging, and standard newborn hearing screen. Additional evaluation might be considered based on clinical and laboratory findings, however routine developmental assessment is recommended as part of pediatric care (8). Based on initial USZPR reports, most infants born to women with laboratory evidence of
Key Points

- In 2016, a total of 1,297 pregnancies with possible recent Zika virus infection were reported to the U.S. Zika Pregnancy Registry from 44 states.
- Approximately one in 10 pregnancies with laboratory-confirmed Zika virus infection resulted in a fetus or infant with Zika virus–associated birth defects.
- The proportion of fetuses and infants with Zika virus–associated birth defects was highest among those with first trimester Zika virus infections.
- Only 25% of infants from pregnancies with possible recent Zika virus infection reported receiving postnatal neuroimaging.
- Identification and follow-up care of infants born to mothers with laboratory evidence of possible recent Zika virus infection during pregnancy and infants with congenital Zika virus infection can ensure that appropriate intervention services are available to affected infants.
- Additional information is available at https://www.cdc.gov/vitalsigns/.

possible recent Zika virus infection during pregnancy might not be receiving the recommended evaluation (e.g., postnatal neuroimaging). CDC is working with public health officials, professional societies, and health care providers to increase awareness of and adherence to CDC guidance for the evaluation and management of infants with possible congenital Zika virus infection. Identification and follow-up care of infants born to mothers with laboratory evidence of possible recent Zika virus infection during pregnancy and infants with possible congenital Zika virus infection can ensure that appropriate intervention services are available to affected infants.
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