ABSTRACT

Introduction Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is a common gestational disease and an important global public health problem. GDM may affect the short-term and long-term health of offspring, but the associations between GDM and the neurodevelopment of offspring of mothers with GDM (OGDM) are still unclear, and studies based on the Chinese population are lacking. We aim to determine the associations between GDM and the neurodevelopment of OGDM by studying a cohort of OGDM and offspring of non-GDM mothers.

Methods and analysis The single-centre prospective cohort study is being conducted in China over 7 years. A total of 490 OGDM (GDM group) and 490 from of healthy mothers (control group) will be enrolled during the same period. Baseline characteristics, neuropsychological development scores and clinical data at specific time points (at 0, 1, 3, 6, 12, 24, 36, 48, 60 and 72 months old) will be collected from the children in both groups until the age of 6 years. The associations between GDM and the neurodevelopment of OGDM from infancy to preschool age will be analysed using a multiple linear regression model adjusted for confounders. In addition, we will compare longitudinal data to further assess the effects of GDM on neurodevelopmental trajectories.

Ethics and dissemination The study has been approved by the Ethics Committee of the Children’s Hospital of Chongqing Medical University (Approval Number: (2019) Institutional Review Board (IRB) (STUDY) No. 85). The findings of this study will be disseminated through open access journals, peer-reviewed journals and scientific meetings.

Trial registration number NCT03997396.

BACKGROUND

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), which is defined as abnormal glucose tolerance to varying degrees during pregnancy, is a common gestational disease that substantially influences children’s health and has become an important public health problem. The prevalence of GDM has been reported to be 16.2% worldwide in 2017, and the prevalence in China is approximately 14.8% in the same year. With the implementation of the second-child policy in our country, the number of older parturient women is gradually increasing. The prevalence of overweight and obesity caused by lifestyle changes is also increasing among parturient women. Therefore, the incidence of GDM in China is expected to increase.

The developmental origins of health and disease theory posits that environmental factors in the early stage of life, such as maternal nutrition and disease, may affect the risk of non-communicable diseases in adulthood. Furthermore, maternal nutrition and disease may also affect the health and development of infants and children and may even be transmitted intergenerationally.
recent years, increasing evidence has demonstrated that GDM has short-term effects on the health of offspring, resulting in conditions such as foetal macrosomia, neonatal hypoglycaemia and polycythaemia, and some studies have also suggested that GDM may increase the risk of overweight or obesity for the offspring of mothers with GDM (OGDM), while few studies have investigated the long-term effects on the neurodevelopment of offspring; moreover, reliable, long-term studies and first-hand data based on the Chinese population are lacking. Conclusions regarding these effects are conflicting (positive, inconclusive, negative), and no firm conclusions can be drawn from the limited data available. A birth cohort study in India has reported that some cognitive scores of OGDM are higher than those of controls. In contrast, some studies have found negative associations between GDM and the neurodevelopment of OGDM. A large epidemiological study performed with 1.3 million adolescents in Sweden has shown that the school grades in four subjects of OGDM are lower at 16 years of age. In the Diabetes in Pregnancy Study in Japan, OGDM have significantly poorer cognitive function at 3 years of age than offspring of non-GDM mothers. In addition, some studies have reported inconclusive associations. DeBoer et al has found that OGDM have differences on the mental development index scale, but no difference on the psychomotor developmental index scale at 1 year of age compared with the control group. A study in Southern California has shown that GDM is generally not associated with a risk of Attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) for offspring while OGDM requiring antidiabetic medications have a considerably greater ADHD risk than OGDM who do not require antidiabetic medications. However, these results were confounded by factors such as family income, prepregnancy body mass index (BMI), and smoking and drinking habits. These cross-sectional studies have found different associations between GDM and the neurodevelopment of OGDM, although the effects of GDM on the neurodevelopmental trajectories of offspring remains unclear.

Therefore, we must urgently establish a prospective cohort study based on the Chinese population. The study is expected to identify associations between GDM and the neurodevelopment of OGDM from infancy to preschool age and to further assess the effects of GDM on neurodevelopmental trajectories.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS
Study design and patient recruitment
This single-centre prospective cohort study is conducted in the First People’s Hospital of Chongqing Liangjiang New Area, Chongqing, China. Participant recruitment for the study has began in June 2019 and is scheduled to be completed in Year 3–2 of the study and offspring will be followed for 72 months. A total of 490 OGDM (GDM group) will be enrolled, and 490 offspring of healthy mothers (control group) will be enrolled in a 1:1 ratio using the random sampling method within 3 days after the birth of each OGDM. The inclusion criteria for participants in this study are as follows: (1) Term infants; (2) Singleton birth; (3) Apgar scores after birth at 1 min, 5 min and 10 min ≥ 7; (4) No other perinatal diseases that seriously affect growth and development; (5) No apparent birth defects and (6) No congenital or genetic conditions that cause developmental disability, such as hypothyroidism, phenylketonuria, toxoplasma, rubella Virus, cytomegalovirus and herpessimplex virus (TORCH) infections, Down syndrome. The exclusion criteria: (1) Mothers with type 1 or type 2 diabetes prior to pregnancy; (2) Mothers with no oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) diagnostic results; (3) Mothers with no oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) diagnostic results; (3) Mothers with serious acute or chronic infectious diseases; (4) Mothers with other complications during pregnancy; (1) Mothers with a gestational age greater than 35 years; and (6) Children aged 0–6 years who suffer from diseases that seriously affect metabolism and growth and development. The flow diagram of the study protocol is described (figure 1).

Patient and public involvement
Patients have not been involved in the design of and recruitment for this study. The results of the study will be published through open access journals and made to the patients and the public.

Study procedures
All pregnant women who visit the outpatient obstetrics department on a regular basis and be given a 2-hour OGTT with 75 g glucose loads at 24–28 weeks of gestation. GDM is diagnosed according to The International Association
of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups (IADPSG) 2010 criteria: fasting glucose ≥5.1 mmol/L (92 mg/dL), or 1-hour glucose ≥10.0 mmol/L (180 mg/dL) or 2-hour glucose ≥8.5 mmol/L (153 mg/dL). After initial communication with the mothers within 48 hours of delivery, all mothers in both groups will voluntarily participate in this study and provide informed consent per the request of the ethics committee. At the same time, questionnaire I will be completed, which includes common items (age, height, weight, education level, average monthly household income, smoking and drinking habits and permanent residence of the parents), items related to the mothers (pregnancy weight, pregnancy number, the number of births, pregnancy-related conditions, routine prenatal blood indicators and the mode of delivery) and items related to the offspring (sex, date of birth, birth weight, recumbent birth length, Apgar score and gestational weeks at birth). The two groups will be followed up at 1, 3, 6, 12, 24, 36, 48, 60 and 72 months of age. Developmental assessments, anthropometric data (recumbent length/height and weight), routine blood parameters (haemoglobin (Hb), mean corpuscular volume (MCV), mean corpuscular Hb concentration (MCHC), red cell distribution width (RDW%) and platelet count (PLT)), and questionnaire II results addressing average monthly household income, feeding patterns (breastfeeding, partial breastfeeding, formula feeding and an additional dietary survey after 6 months of age), and the main caretakers and illnesses of the offspring will be recorded at each follow-up time point.

Peabody Developmental Motor Scales 2 (PDMS-2) and the Gesell Development Inventory (GDI) will be used as standardised assessments to evaluate offspring at appropriate time points by professional appraisers who have received standardised training. We will implement standardised evaluation procedures and perform regular self-assessments to reduce errors among evaluators and maximise the accuracy and reliability of the results. During the assessments, the assessment environment will be quiet and comfortable and the professional appraisers and offspring will be allowed to adapt to each other. We will avoid evaluating offspring with illnesses, drowsiness and other non-compliant conditions. PDMS-2, as a developmental motor scale with high reliability and validity, will be selected to assess motor abilities at 1, 3 and 6 months old. The scale includes gross and fine motor assessment scales, which are used to evaluate offspring’s motor development. The gross motor assessment scale includes 151 items to test the ability of reflexes, stationary subtests, locomotion, object manipulation and object control (>12 months). The fine motion rating scale includes 98 items to test grasping ability and visual-motor integration. After the test, quotients (the gross motion quotient (GMQ), fine motion quotient (FMQ) and total motion quotient (TMQ)) will be calculated by the original score. The score can be interpreted as follows: a quotient above 90 and below 110 is normal, the mean is 100 and the mean square error of the quotient is set as 15. A quotient increase of 1 SD is above normal, an increase of 2 SD is good and an increase of 3 SD is excellent. Quotient decreases of 1 SD, 2 SD and 3 SD are below normal, poor and very poor, respectively. The neuropsychological development of offspring will be evaluated at 6, 12, 24, 36, 48, 60 and 72 months old using the GDI. The GDI is accurate and comprehensive for the assessment of children and has relatively reliable diagnostic value. The GDI has been widely used internationally and objectively and comprehensively evaluates five skill areas: adaptive behaviour, gross motor, vision and fine motor skills, language and personal-social behaviour.

The development quotient (DQ) is calculated by the original score for each of the skill areas. The results are expressed by three categories: normal, bradygenesis and marginal. A DQ ≥85 is normal. A DQ ≤75 reflects bradygenesis. A DQ between 75 and 85 is regarded as marginal.

All offspring will be measured in a special room by trained nurses. The recumbent length and weight of offspring under 3 years old wearing underwear and no shoes will be measured with an infant precision examination instrument (TJ-120C, Shanghai, China), which has an accuracy of (0.01 kg, 1 mm). A child standing precision examination instrument (JT-220B, Shanghai, China) with a range up to 150 cm and an accuracy of (0.1 kg, 1 mm) will be used to measure length (standing) and weight from 3 years old to 6 years old. All values will be measured three consecutive times and averaged. We will calculate corresponding length-for-age z-scores (LAZ), weight-for-age z-scores (WAZ), weight-for-length z-scores (WLZ, not calculated after 60 months of age) and BMI-for-age z-scores (BMIZ) from 1 month to 72 months of age using the WHO 2011 V3.2.2 software according to the WHO Growth Curve Standards of 2006. At different follow-up times, trained, proficient staff will collect data and input them into an electronic system. The follow-up schedule is shown in table 1.

### Follow-up protocol

The two groups will be followed for 72 months at the child healthcare department of the designated hospital to complete developmental assessments, anthropometric measurements, blood tests and questionnaires at 1, 3, 6, 12, 24, 36, 48, 60 and 72 months old. In this study, the follow-up time is relatively long. To improve the follow-up rate, a detailed quality control plan has been formulated as follows: (1) We have carried out unified training, established a follow-up system, ensured the clarity of the follow-up work content, workflows, and service standards for specially assigned persons, and improved the awareness of follow-up work performed by specially assigned persons. (2) We have created a template for children’s health education, finalised and printed it for parents to read and educated the parents of the offspring in the two groups in the hospital. The publicity and educational content included the study content, follow-up schedule, feeding guidance and so on. The purpose is to improve the follow-up compliance of the parents and inform the
parents of the department’s consultation phone number, email and WeChat information such that parents can contact us at any time when they have questions. We have also held regular popular science lectures to build good trust relationships with the parents. (3) We have created a follow-up registration form and clarified the follow-up content to ensure an understanding of the recent situation of the offspring and remind the parents to arrive at the hospital on time. (4) We have conducted call visits. The offspring in the two groups have been followed by specially assigned persons. A telephone follow-up area equipped with special telephones and computers has been established. The environment of the follow-up area is quiet and convenient for the specially assigned persons to arrange telephone follow-up work on their own time. During the follow-up process, information on the offspring can be accessed at any time and personalised education and interactions can be carried out for parents. A procedure for telephone follow-up has been established for each department. Each follow-up contact includes six steps: confirmation of the honorific name, self-introduction, purpose explanation, care and inquiry, explanation and announcement, and inquiry demand and appreciation. (5) When the parents do not answer the phone, we will make contact through WeChat or email. Moreover, we have regularly distributed popular science articles via WeChat or email. Moreover, we have conducted call visits. The offspring in the two groups have been followed by specially assigned persons. A telephone follow-up area equipped with special telephones and computers has been established. The environment of the follow-up area is quiet and convenient for the specially assigned persons to arrange telephone follow-up work on their own time. During the follow-up process, information on the offspring can be accessed at any time and personalised education and interactions can be carried out for parents. A procedure for telephone follow-up has been established for each department. Each follow-up contact includes six steps: confirmation of the honorific name, self-introduction, purpose explanation, care and inquiry, explanation and announcement, and inquiry demand and appreciation. (5) When the parents do not answer the phone, we will make contact through WeChat or email. Moreover, we have regularly distributed popular science articles via WeChat or email.

Outcomes

Primary outcome

The primary outcomes of this study are the cross-sectional developmental assessment results (the quotients of PDMS-2 (GMQ, FMQ, and TMQ) and the DQ of the GDI) of the OGDM and offspring of non-GDM mothers, which were used to identify the associations between GDM and the offspring’s neurodevelopment from 1 to 72 months. In addition, we will compare longitudinal data to further assess the effects of GDM on neurodevelopmental trajectories.

Secondary outcomes

We will analyse z-scores (LAZ, WAZ, WLZ and BMIZ) to identify the associations between GDM and the offspring’s growth and to longitudinally assess the effects of GDM on growth trajectories from 1 to 72 months.

Moreover, this study will investigate changes in routine blood indicators, including the Hb, MCV, MCHC, RDW% and PLT of the two groups at each follow-up time.

Sample size calculation

The sample size is difficult to calculate because the incidence of neurodevelopmental abnormalities in offspring exposed to GDM has not been established. We considered the results of a small number of previous studies performed in a similar population to calculate the required sample size.23-25 Considering an alpha error rate of 0.05 (α=0.05, Z\(_α\)=1.96), a power of 80% (β=0.2, Z\(_β\)=0.842), r=1, \(p_0\) (prevalence of neurodevelopmental abnormalities in the non-exposed group)=4% and \(p_1\) (prevalence of neurodevelopmental abnormalities in the exposure group)=8.8%, and a calculated effective sample size is 409 and assuming a loss to follow-up rate of 15%–20%, the total sample size for this study is as follows: GDM group=490 and control group=490.

\[
N_{\text{total}} = \left(\frac{1+\beta^2}{1-\beta^2}\right)\frac{P(1-P)(\gamma+1)}{\gamma(P_1-P_0)^2} P_i = \frac{p_0^\gamma p_1^\gamma}{\gamma+1}
\]

Statistical analyses

Data will be analysed by SPSS V.19.0. For normally distributed data, continuous variables will be represented by means and analysed by t-test. The values are expressed as the median for abnormally distributed data and compared using non-parametric tests. Categorical variables of the two groups will be analysed by the \(\chi^2\) test. We will construct linear growth models to assess the neurodevelopmental trajectories and growth trajectories. In addition, multiple linear regression will be used to analyse confounders, such as age, height, weight, education level, average monthly household income, smoking and drinking habits of the parents. p<0.05 will be considered indicative of a significant difference. For lost to follow-up data, we will use the multiple filling method.

Ethics and dissemination

This prospective cohort study has been approved by the Ethics Committee of the Children’s Hospital of...
In addition, a cohort study in Israeli language expression in middle childhood compared with First, we have calculated the sample size based on existing potential confounders. This study has several limitations. process, we will use standardised anthropometric methods through a longitudinal comparison. In the whole research the effects of GDM on neurodevelopmental trajectories between GDM and the neurodevelopment of offspring is still unclear, thus, the associations between GDM and the neurodevelopmental status of offspring at each time point such that we can implement timely interventions to prevent or reverse adverse outcomes. In addition, the conclusions may be helpful for health departments responsible for the health of mothers and their children with gestational diabetes and facilitate improvement of the standardised management of gestational diabetes. The study may also provide references for formulating healthcare strategies during pregnancy and further follow-up monitoring of offspring’s health.

**DISCUSSION**

With rapid development in China, changes in lifestyle, the implementation of the second-child policy, and increases of older people and overweight parturient women, the incidence of GDM continues to increase.

Studies have shown that intrauterine exposure to GDM is a risk factor for the short-term health and long-term higher BMI of offsprings. However, few studies have discussed the associations between intrauterine exposure to GDM and the neurodevelopmental of offspring at different ages, and existing conclusions are controversial. Among studies on the associations between GDM and the neurodevelopmental of offspring, Fraser et al have found negative associations: OGDM have lower average scores in 9-year compulsory education among their non-compatriots, lower IQ scores at the age of 18 when they take a compulsory recruitment test, and impaired language expression in middle childhood compared with the control group. In addition, a cohort study in Israel has reported that the incidence of neuropsychiatric morbidity in the OGDM is higher than control. In contrast, Ornoy has found a null association between GDM and the cognitive ability of offspring. A mother–child cohort study in Greece have showed that GDM do not affect the neurodevelopment of offspring. Townsend have showed that the offspring of diabetic mothers perform as well as the controls in neurobehavioral tests, although the study does not control for potential confounders, such as socio-economic status and pre-pregnancy BMI. However, most of the studies are cross-sectional at specific time points and few studies have focused on the neurodevelopmental patterns of OGDM from infancy to preschool age.

This single-centre prospective cohort study tracks the growth and development of OGDM from 1 month to 72 months in China. This study not only identifies associations between GDM and the neurodevelopment of offspring through a cross-sectional comparison but also analyses the effects of GDM on neurodevelopmental trajectories through a longitudinal comparison. In the whole research process, we will use standardised anthropometric methods and developmental assessment tools and fully consider potential confounders. This study has several limitations. First, we have calculated the sample size based on existing study results, although the incidence of GDM causing differences in the neurodevelopmental of offspring is still unclear, which may lead to inaccurate calculations of sample size; thus, the associations between GDM and the neurodevelopmental of offspring therefore cannot be clarified. If this is the case, we will expand the sample size. Second, in this study, the follow-up time is relatively long, which may lead to a higher lost to follow-up rate. We have formulated a complete follow-up quality control plan before the study to minimise lost to follow-up.

In summary, the conclusions of this study may reveal the neurodevelopmental trends and trajectory of OGDM and provide a more accurate assessment of the neurodevelopmental status of offspring at each time point such that we can implement timely interventions to prevent or reverse adverse outcomes. In addition, the conclusions may be helpful for health departments responsible for the health of mothers and their children with gestational diabetes and facilitate improvement of the standardised management of gestational diabetes. The study may also provide references for formulating healthcare strategies during pregnancy and further follow-up monitoring of offspring’s health.
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