Extreme complications related to bevacizumab use in the treatment of ovarian cancer: a case series from a III level referral centre and review of the literature
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Abstract: In patients undergoing debulking surgery for ovarian cancer (OC), bevacizumab-combined chemotherapy has been reported to be associated with an increased incidence of adverse events (AEs). Reports in the literature have noted the overall morbidity of bevacizumab to be between 3.7% and 9%. The aim of this study is to report uncommon and unusual manifestations of morbidity in surgical cases performed at our third level referral centers for gynecologic oncology. Additionally, we review the rare and severe bevacizumab-related complications that have been described in the literature. We defined as “extreme”, the particularly rare and/or severe complications up to determining a life-threatening condition or death, which are related to the use of bevacizumab. A case-series of extreme complications registered at our institutions were reported. In addition, a literature search of the PubMed, MEDLINE and EMBASE electronic databases was performed for this review. The studies collected included: 8 randomized controlled trials (RCT) and 5 prospective observational, 1 prospective phase-IV, 10 prospective phase-II, 2 prospective phase-I, and 20 retrospective studies, as well as 9 case reports. Bevacizumab was administered as primary treatment in adjuvant and neo-adjuvant setting in 16 and 5 studies respectively, as treatment for recurrence in 36 trials, and for secondary cytoreductive surgery (SCS) in 3 studies. The overall population administered with bevacizumab numbered 7,996 women. Extreme complications were observed in 591 patients, with a morbidity rate of the 8.3%. Overall, central nervous system (CNS), cardiovascular, gastrointestinal (GI) and primary infectious complications were seen in 22 patients (0.3%), 261 patients (3.7%), 159 patients (2.2%), and 8 patients (0.13%), respectively. Hemorrhagic and wound complications occurred in 18 women (0.25%), and 112 women (1.6%), respectively. Extreme complications related to the use of bevacizumab are rare, and often go unrecognized. The recognition and immediate management of such rare and life-threatening complications in patients treated at third level referral centers could significantly improve patient survival.
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Introduction

Bevacizumab is a well-known antiangiogenic drug whose use has been proven to be effective for patients with ovarian cancers (OC) (1). Despite its efficacy, bevacizumab-combined chemotherapy was firstly associated to an increased incidence of adverse events (AEs) during treatment or surgical complications in those patients undergoing debulking surgery (2,3). Subsequent literature regarding the relationship between surgery and bevacizumab-combined chemotherapy did not confirm this data (4). In fact, the antiangiogenic drug was shown to have an acceptable toxicity profile when administered with adjuvant (ACT), or neoadjuvant (NACT) chemotherapy, in the treatment of advanced ovarian cancer (AOC). In women with recurrent ovarian cancers who either did, or did not undergo secondary cytoreductive surgery (SCS), the use of bevacizumab, overall, was well tolerated (4-17), so that historically in 2016, FDA approved bevacizumab for the treatment of platinum-sensitive recurrent epithelial ovarian cancer in combination with platinum-based chemotherapy (18).

Several studies, as well as a recent review of the literature have estimated that the overall surgical morbidity of bevacizumab ranges between 3.7% and 9% (4,8,10,19). In particular, gastrointestinal (GI) complications, infectious toxicity and wound healing alterations were estimated to be around 7%, 9% and 7%, respectively (8,10,19).

In addition to surgical complications, other forms of toxicity have been noted to occur in different systems, such as central nervous or cardiovascular ones, occasionally manifesting as rare and life-threatening syndromes (1,2).

The aim of this study is to present a collection of cases with surgical morbidity eliciting peculiar and anecdotal manifestations that were observed at our third level referral centers for gynaecologic oncology. In addition, rare and severe bevacizumab-related complications previously reported in the literature are here reviewed.

The knowledge of the rarer forms of bevacizumab toxicity may be useful to better evaluate the possible future combinations of this antiangiogenic drug with the most recent biological therapies such as PARP inhibitors, which appear to have a synergistic effect in inducing hypoxic damage and necrosis of cancer cells. For these combinations, there are currently several trials ongoing (20).

We present the following article in accordance with the AME CASE SERIES reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-20-4448).

Methods

This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013) and study was reviewed and approved by the intramural Integrated Research Ethics Board (approval number DIPUSVSP-26-05-2081). Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this study and any accompanying images.

We defined as “extreme”, uncommon, rare and/or severe complications up to determining a life-threatening condition or death of the patient, which are directly or indirectly attributable to the use of bevacizumab in the treatment of OC. Extreme complications are primarily associated with AEs of grade 4 or 5 (21).

AEs are defined as “uncommon” when their frequencies range between 1/1,000 and 1/100, and as “rare” and “very rare” when their frequencies are between 1/10,000 and 1/1,000, and <1/10,000, respectively (22).

Hematological AEs and common chemotherapy toxicities (e.g., nausea, vomiting, constipation, fatigue, altered enzymes, etc.) were not included in this study. In addition, non-English language reports, those based on in vitro and animal experimentation, and literature reviews were excluded.

Our Institution’s reported cases have been presented anonymously with the informed consent of the patients involved. Data were retrieved from medical records. If a case was already presented in other forms and for other purposes in literature, a note of reference was placed at the end of the report.

This systematic review was conducted in accordance with PRISMA guidelines (23).

A literature search of the PubMed, MEDLINE and EMBASE electronic databases was performed using the following terms: “bevacizumab” AND “ovarian cancer” AND “complications” OR “toxicity” OR “adverse events”.

Three authors (LCT, SC and VV) independently reviewed and classified all abstracts. Agreement about potential relevance was reached by consensus of the researchers. The same three authors obtained full-text copies of papers, and separately extracted relevant data regarding study toxicities. Later, the three reviewers discussed all inconsistencies and, if needed, a fourth author (GF) made a decision.

If more than one study was published on the same cohort population, the one with the most comprehensive information was utilized. All types of articles reporting cases with such characteristic defined as “extreme” were
included in the present literature review. If a study reported AEs without indicating their severity, or, in the same case, they were not associated with a patient’s death (G5 de facto), the paper was not taken under consideration for the review. If a study reported the undefined classification ≥3 of AEs, however, it was taken into consideration for this review and the AEs evaluated on a case by case basis for rarity and severity.

After study selection, the relevant data were collected and analyzed, and the results were reported using a narrative approach.

We also distinguished AEs as “uncommon/rare” (U/R), “U/R and life-threatening” (U/R-LT) or simply “life-threatening” (LT) when severe but more common, and as “fatal” (FAE) (22,23).

The “mortality-rate” was defined as the percentage of the death-per-complication compared with the total population experiencing the same complication.

Follow up of patients in the case series is updated periodically according to our hospital oncologic guidelines.

Results

Case series

The following cases are from the experience of the Gynecologic Oncology units of the third level referral centers Fondazione Policlinico Universiario A. Gemelli IRCCS (FPG) and Gemelli-Molise (GM). Local IRB approval was obtained (prot. aprov. DIPUSVSP-26-05-2081) Cases are tabulated in Table 1.

Case 1: a case of gastric perforations

PC is a 66-year-old woman who received the diagnosis of suspected OC at GM. In February 2019, she underwent PDS with radical hysterectomy, bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, sigmoidectomy, omentectomy, bilateral diaphragmatic peritoneectomy, splenectomy, and appendectomy. No gross tumor remained at the conclusion of surgery. Pathology review demonstrated a high-grade serous ovarian cancer, stage IIIC. There were no perioperative complications during hospitalization. The patient received ACT with Carboplatin and Paclitaxel in May, 2019. Bevacizumab was administered from the second cycle. After the fourth course of ACT, the patient presented with fever, abdominal pain and signs of bowel obstruction. A CT-scan showed signs of gastric perforation (Figure 1A,B) and an urgent exploratory laparotomy was performed. Abundant bilious-enteric collections were found in the abdominal cavity. The site of perforation was suspected to be the posterior gastric wall, but it could not be reached surgically because of the presence of the frozen abdomen syndrome. Gastro-duodenoscopy was performed intraoperatively and the perforation of the posterior gastric wall was confirmed. Nasogastric and intraperitoneal perigastric drains were placed but no further invasive procedures were performed due to the patient’s severe clinical condition and the high risk of further complications. The postoperative course was complicated by a wound infection and respiratory insufficiency necessitating a tracheostomy. Vacuum Assisted Closure (VAC) was employed, and total parenteral nutrition was initiated. A second CT-scan employing oral Gastrografin® was performed revealing persistence of the perforation. The patient was transferred to FPG for further treatment. After a multidisciplinary evaluation, a second surgery was deemed to be contraindicated and invasive endoscopic treatment was proposed. Under general anesthesia an upper endoscopy revealed two adjacent perforations at the level of the fundus that were sutured with endoscopic instruments. No complications occurred during the procedure. The patient began oral intake on the third postoperative day without any complications. The tracheostomy was removed and the patient was discharged. The patient remains in good clinical condition; however, ACT was discontinued (24).

Case 2: a case of bowel perforations, rare abscess formation and cellulitis

NO is a 52-year-old woman that was admitted to GM because of fever and a recurring sub-occlusive/occlusive condition. In March, 2019, she was diagnosed with advanced serous high-grade ovarian cancer, IIIA FIGO stage, for which she first underwent PDS in another hospital. There, a total hysterectomy, bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, pelvic peritoneectomy, radical omentectomy, appendectomy, pelvic and lumbo-aortic lymphadenectomy, as well as a resection of a cecal nodule of carcinoma were performed. The patient was treated with ACT based on carboplatin and taxol including bevacizumab in the same hospital.

In June, 2019, between the second and the third courses of chemotherapy, the patient presented with abdominal pain, a sub-occlusive status and a fever that solved spontaneously after two days. She was administered the third cycle of chemotherapy with bevacizumab following a one-week delay. Ten days following the third administration of chemotherapy, the patient presented with a new-onset
| Case #  | Age  | FIGO stage, histology | Biologic features and disease presentation | 1st diagnosis date | Treatment, CT type | 1st Recurrence date, PFI | 1st Recurrence treatment, CT type | 2nd Recurrence date | 2nd Recurrence treatment, CT type | Complication date | Time from last bevacizumab (days/note) | Complication type, Grade (CTCAE v3.0) | Complication treatment | TTC (days/note) | DOD (yes/no), OS (mo) |
|---------|------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Case #1 | 66   | IIC                  | Histology HGSOOC; Ca 125 secretor; Ascites no; BRCA wild type | February 2019 | PDS + ACT; carboplatin (4 courses) + bevacizumab (3 administration from the II course of CT) | –              | –                           | –               | –                           | July 2019; during ACT | 7 (after the 3rd administration of bevacizumab) | Gastric perforation, IV | Exploratory LPT with drainage positioning; endoscopic gastric suture | Suspended | No, 14 |
| Case #2 | 52   | IIIA                 | Histology HGSOOC; Ca 125 secretor; Ascites no; BRCA wild type | March 2019 | PDS + ACT; carboplatin + bevacizumab (3 courses in total) | –              | –                           | –               | –                           | June 2019; during ACT | 10 after the 3rd administration of bevacizumab | Voluminous pelvic abscess (fetal collection), IV; extraperitoneal abscess (from right iliac fossa to the right groin), IV | Exploratory LPT + ileum-caecal resection; surgical drainage + VAC therapy | Suspended | No, 13 |
| Case #3 | 71   | IIB                  | Histology poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma of the ovary; Ca 125 secretor; Ascites no; BRCA wild type | December 2011 | PDS + ACT; carboplatin (6 courses) | March 2017; 58 mo | SCS+ReCT; Carbogem (6 courses) + bevacizumab (22 administrations in total) | December 2018 | 3rd Tertiary cytoreductive surgery | January 2019; after tertiary cytoreductive surgery | 150 | Bowel perforation, IV; ureteral fistula (uroperitoneum), IV; complete wound’s dehiscence, IV; floating thrombus in the LV (left ventricle) and Takotsubo syndrome, IV | Exploratory LPT + perforation suturing and ileostomy; ureteral resection and re-implantation; VAC therapy; LVMH | 150 | No, 88 |
| Case #4 | 45   | IVB                  | Histology HGSOOC; Ca 125 secretor; Ascites yes; BRCA n.a. | July 2016 | PDS + ACT; carboplatin (4 courses) + bevacizumab (1 administration from the IV course of CT) | –              | –                           | –               | –                           | January 2017; during ACT | 24 (after the 1st bevacizumab administration) | Colonic-ureteric fistula, III/V | Ureteral resection and re-implantation | 45 | No, 45 |
| Case #5 | 75   | IIC                  | Histology HGSOOC; Ca 125; Secretor; Ascites; yes; BRCA; mutated | September 2013 | PDS + ACT; carboplatin (6 courses) + bevacizumab (21 administration in total from the II course of CT) | December 2017; 46 mo | SCS | –               | –                           | March 2018; after SCS | >1,000 | Arterial-colic fistula (external iliac-artery-descending colon), IV | Total colectomy and 45 left iliac-femoral bypass (saphenous graft) | Yes, 66 |

HGSOOC, High Grade Serous Ovarian Carcinoma; ACT, adjuvant chemotherapy; ReCT, salvage chemotherapy (recurrences); SCS, secondary cytoreductive surgery associated to bevacizumab infusion; PFI, platinum free interval; TTC, time to chemotherapy; mo, month; DOD, dead of disease; OS, overall survivor; n.a., datum not available.
Figure 1 CT-scan imaging revealing the gastric perforation and abdominal spreading of contrast medium in case #1. (A) Sub-phrenic collection (filled arrow) with hydro-aerial level and focal attraction of the gastric wall (empty arrow). (B) Opacification of the collection after oral administration of Gastrografin® (filled arrow) and visualization of a thin through between gastric wall and collection (empty arrow) (24). *, stomach.

Figure 2 CT-scan imaging revealing the abdominal fecal collection trough the right inguinal canal in case #2. (A) Mixed collection of air bubbles in peri-colonic area (filled arrows). (B) Extension of the mixed collection in the right pelvic fossa medial to the external iliac vessels (arrow head) and in the inguinal canal (empty arrow).

sub-occlusion, high fever and malaise. An abdominal CT-scan revealed the presence of two voluminous lymphoceles of 6 and 10 cm, respectively, as well as conglomerate tenual loops and a 6-cm distension of the colon. Pyelectasis of the right kidney was also noted. The patient was then admitted to our GM unit for evaluation. A subsequent CT-scan revealed abdominal fecal collections. The right pelvic collection was seen to be compressing the right ureter, extending into the obturator canal and crossing into the inguinal canal (Figure 2A,B). An exploratory laparotomy was performed. Marked colonic distention and a voluminous pelvic collection of fecal material that had extended into the right obturator fossa were observed. The collection was caused by a covered spontaneous perforation of the caecum. Friable and macerated small bowel loops adjacent to the collection were present. An ileo-caecal resection with mechanical ileo-colic anastomosis was undertaken. The right ureter was encased in fibrotic tissue, causing a tight stenosis and a hydroureter. After a difficult and careful ureterolysis, a ureteral stent was placed by cystoscopy. The abdominal cavity, including the obturator fossa and proximal inguinal canal were thoroughly irrigated and explored. Subsequently, an ileostomy was performed.

Nine days following surgery, the patient developed a right groin mass. A large edematous area with cellulitis features that extended from the right iliac fossa up to the large lips of the
vulva was noted (Figure 3A, B). CT-scan revealed a 10 cm extrafascial abscess at the level of the right iliac fossa with extension to the right groin though the inguinal canal (Figure 4A, B). An incision and drainage procedure was performed and the wound was allowed to close by secondary intention, utilizing a VAC. Broad-spectrum antibiotic were administered. During hospitalization, due to large intestinal resection, she also developed short bowel syndrome and a resulting malnutrition for which she was given parenteral nutrition.

She was discharged 18 days following the first surgery and underwent uncomplicated loop ileostomy closure one month later. Chemotherapy subsequently was suspended and, at follow-up, the patient remained disease free.

**Case 3: a case of concomitant bowel perforation, ureteral fistula, wound dehiscence, cardiac ischemia and intra-cardiac thrombus**

TM is a 71-year-old woman who was hospitalized in
January, 2019, at GM for a second platinum sensitive ROC. The patient had no comorbidities except for hypertension. OC was firstly diagnosed in December, 2011. Pathology revealed a poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma, FIGO stage IIB (2014 classification). The patient underwent PDS, achieving no residual tumor (no gross residual) at GM. Given the histology and FIGO stage, she was treated with 6 courses of carboplatin and paclitaxel. Oncologic follow-up remained negative until March, 2017, when a PET-CT scan revealed an increased uptake in the pelvis involving the bowel and left presacral space [platinum free interval (PFI) 58 months, progression free survival (PFS) 63 months].

She underwent SCS, including sigmoid-rectal segmental resection with termino-terminal anastomosis with mechanical suture, pelvic peritonectomy and appendectomy (no gross residual).

Pathology confirmed the presence of disease relapse, and 6 courses of reCT with carboplatin and gemcitabine plus bevacizumab were administered. A total of 22 cycles of bevacizumab were administered (last treatment in August, 2018).

A second pelvic relapse occurred in December, 2018. CT-scan at that time revealed the presence of a 2.5 cm segment of solid vascular tissue, located between the vaginal vault and the rectum. Also seen were smaller disease nodules (maximum size 2.1 cm) in the pelvic fatty tissue, some of which were attached to tenual loops.

In January 2019, five months after the last bevacizumab administration, the patient underwent a tertiary cytoreductive surgery. After a difficult adhesion-lysis and retroperitoneal tissue dissection, the patient underwent a subtotal colpectomy and ileal resection with mechanical ileo-ileal anastomosis. Postoperatively, severe, LT complications occurred, including a spontaneous bowel perforation (Figure 5A,B). During an exploratory laparotomy, a perforation was discovered in the distal ileum not involving the area of prior anastomosis. The perforation was sutured, the abdomen irrigated and an ileostomy was created. Three days later, a pelvic drain revealed the presence of urine and a CT-scan confirmed the presence of a left ureteral fistula (Figure 6). A new laparotomy was performed and the ureter was resected, followed by the placement of a ureteral stent. A postoperative wound infection with wound dehiscence and consequent intestinal loops exposure requiring the placement of a VAC occurred. She was ultimately discharged 43 days after the first surgery.
The patient was readmitted 2 weeks later with fever. A persistent ureteral leak was observed on a CT scan, and a nephrostomy tube was placed. A cardiology consultation was obtained because of malaise. Subsequent echocardiography and coronary angiography revealed Tako-Tsubo syndrome (myocardial infarction due to coronary artery spasm without obstruction) and the presence of a left ventricular thrombus. Echocardiography revealed extensive ventricular apical akinesia with hypercontractility of the basal segments. The ejection fraction was 45% (Figure 7).

Low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) (100 IU/Kg twice daily), CardioASA, and a β-blocker were administered, and the patient was discharged after 30 days.

Five months following the first surgery a new peritoneal recurrence was seen and the patient received platinum-based second-line reCT. She remains alive with stable disease.

Case 4: a case of rare arterial-enteric fistula
AM is a 75-year-old woman who was admitted to FPG in February, 2018, for ROC. She was diagnosed with AOC in September, 2013, and a PDS was performed. Diagnostic laparoscopy revealed diffuse carcinomatosis with omental caking. She underwent laparoscopic PDS through a retrograde radical hysterectomy with en-bloc recto-sigmoid resection, bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, radical omentectomy, total splenectomy with en-bloc distal pancreatectomy, bilateral diaphragmatic peritoneectomy, removal of the peritoneum of the parieto-colic gutters, appendectomy and an end-to-end colorectal mechanical anastomosis with creation of a temporary loop ileostomy.

Pathology revealed high-grade, serous ovarian carcinoma (IIIC FIGO stage) in all surgical specimens. She was treated with 6 courses of carboplatin and paclitaxel associated to bevacizumab between October, 2013, and February, 2014, followed by 16 courses of bevacizumab until February, 2015.

Oncologic follow-up remained negative until December, 2017, when a PET-CT scan showed the presence of recurrent disease in the left para-anastomotic pelvic tissue and in the left obturator lymph nodes.

In February, 2018, the patient underwent laparoscopic SCS with left pelvic lymphadenectomy. Pathology revealed metastasis. She was discharged 5 days following surgery. The patient did well until 22 days after surgery, when she was admitted with a massive rectal hemorrhage. Evaluation subsequently revealed a colonic wall lesion located 18 cm above the anal orifice as the source of massive bleeding. An arterial-colonic fistula was found during an emergency laparotomy involving the left iliac artery. A total colectomy, preserving the pre-existing ileostomy loop, and a left ilio-femoral bypass with saphenous graft were performed. She was discharged in stable condition following a prolonged hospitalization. She was subsequently subjected to second-line chemotherapy but died of disease in March, 2019 (25).

Case 5: a case of rare colonic-ureteric fistula
CMT is a 45-year-old woman who was diagnosed with ascites, pelvic masses, carcinomatosis and lymphadenopathy in July, 2016. A pelvic ultrasound confirmed the presence of ascites and adnexal and peritoneal nodules. She underwent an exploratory laparoscopy at GM, with evacuation of 5,000 mL of ascites. Of note, were a 6-cm pelvic mass, and nodules on the right hemi-diaphragm, abdominal wall and in the liver. An extensive resection, including bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, hysterectomy, sigmoid segmental resection with colorectal anastomosis, peritoneectomy of the right hemi-diaphragm, resection of the liver metastasis, splenectomy, and omentectomy were performed. The immediate postoperative course was uncomplicated, and she was discharged 10 days after surgery. Histology of the surgical specimens revealed high grade serous ovarian cancer (IVB FIGO stage). In the post-operative follow-up period the patient sustained a pulmonary embolism and she was anticoagulated. Ascites was noted at the time of CT scanning and a transabdominal drainage tube was placed. Six days later, a course of carboplatin and taxol was initiated. Bevacizumab was subsequently added to the regimen. Following the fourth cycle of chemotherapy, the patient was admitted with a
bowel obstruction. A CT-scan revealed a fluid-filled cyst in the left pelvis with compression of the bladder, and air in the renal pelvis and then a recto-ureteral fistula (Figure 8). A laparotomy with a right ureteral segmental resection, stenting and an end-to-end anastomosis and an ileostomy were performed. The postoperative course was complicated by a mild surgical site infection, and she was discharged on the 20th post-operative day. Subsequently, a fifth course of chemotherapy without bevacizumab (due to reported toxicity) was administered. In total, the patient completed six cycles of ACT. At the first follow-up visit, no evidence of disease was noted. The ureteral stent and ileostomy subsequently were removed, and the patient remains disease-free.

**Review of the literature**

As seen in Figure 9, 388 studies were retrieved from the electronic databases, with 188 (48.5%) being excluded because they did not meet study inclusion criteria. Of the remaining 200 manuscripts, 144 (37%) did not report data regarding extreme complications related to the use of bevacizumab. Therefore, only 56 studies (14.5%) were included in the final analysis.

The studies collected included 8 randomized controlled trials (RCT), as well as 5 prospective observational, 1 prospective phase-I, 10 prospective phase-II, 2 prospective phase-I, and 20 retrospective studies. There were also 9 case reports.

Bevacizumab was administered as primary treatment in OC with ACT and NACT in 16 and 5 studies, respectively, and as salvage chemotherapy for recurrence (ReCT) in 36 trials. Three studies reported SCS concomitantly with bevacizumab administration. The total number of women that received bevacizumab was 7,096.

*Table 2* demonstrates the U/R and/or severe complications reported in all the studies included in the present review.

Overall, the number of extreme complications observed was 591, with a morbidity rate of the 8.3%.

*Table 3* shows the variety of AEs by system, classified according to rarity and severity.

Overall, central nervous system (CNS) complications were 22 (0.3%), representing 3.7% of global morbidity. Of the CNS morbidity, there were 5 cases (0.07%) of intracranial hemorrhage, 12 cases (0.2%) of posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome (PLES), 4 cases (0.05%) of ischemia, and 1 case (0.01%) of intracranial hypertension associated with seizures, representing 23%, 54.5%, 18%, and 4.5%, respectively. One case of intracranial hemorrhage was due to a rare spontaneous arterial dissection with consequent bleeding.

Cardiovascular system (CVS) complications numbered 261 (3.7%), representing 44% of the global morbidity.

---

**Figure 8** CT-scan imaging revealing the colonic-ureteric fistula in case #5. Extensive communication of the right pelvic ureter (arrow) with the rectum (empty arrow), iodized urine in the left perirectal collection and in the rectum; lymphocele is indicated with an asterisk.

**Figure 9** Studies identified screened and finally included in the systematic review.
| Author/year          | Type of study                   | Nr. of patients exposed to bevacizumab | ACT | NACT | ReCT | SCS | Type of catastrophic complications (Nr) | Fatal | Reference |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----|------|------|-----|-------------------------------------------|-------|-----------|
| Colombo et al. [2019] | RCT                             | 67                                     | x   |      |      |     | • Heart failure [1]                        | • 0   | (26)      |
|                      |                                 |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Bowel obstruction [1]                    |       |           |
|                      |                                 |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Bowel perforation [1]                    |       |           |
| Hall et al. [2020]   | Oscar (Oscar) Prospective       | 299                                    | x   | x    |      |     | • Aspiration pneumonia [1]                | • 1   | (27)      |
|                      | observational                   |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Thromboembolism [13]                     | • 0   |           |
|                      |                                 |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Bowel perforation [2]                    | • 1   |           |
|                      |                                 |                                        |     |      |      |     | - Appendix [1]                            | • 0   |           |
|                      |                                 |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Bowel obstruction [1]                    | • 1   |           |
|                      |                                 |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Fistula [2]                              | • 0   |           |
|                      |                                 |                                        |     |      |      |     | - Fistula/abscess [1]                      | • 0   |           |
|                      |                                 |                                        |     |      |      |     | - Entero-cutaneous [1]                     | • 0   |           |
| Lee et al. [2019]    | Prospective observational       | 391                                    | x   |      |      |     | • Heart failure [1]                        | • 0   | (28)      |
|                      | (Rebeca)                        |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Thromboembolism [1]                      | • 1   |           |
|                      |                                 |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Bowel perforation [2]                    | • 1   |           |
|                      |                                 |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Wound complication [1]                   | • 0   |           |
|                      |                                 |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Haemorrhage (GI bleeding) [1]            | • 0   |           |
| Amadio et al. [2020] | Retrospective                   | 283                                    | x   |      |      |     | • CNS ischemia [1]                         | • 0   | (29)      |
|                      |                                 |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Thromboembolism [6]                      | • 0   |           |
|                      |                                 |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Bowel perforation [7]                    | • 0   |           |
|                      |                                 |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Fistula/abscess [1]                      | • 0   |           |
|                      |                                 |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Wound complication [3]                   | • 0   |           |
|                      |                                 |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Haemorrhage (GI bleeding) [1]            | • 0   |           |
| Korniyama et al. [2019] | Prospective observational     | 293                                    | x   |      |      |     | • Bowel perforation [1]                    | • 0   | (30)      |
|                      |                                 |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Fistula [2]                              | • 0   |           |
| Gore et al. [2019]   | RCT                             | 24                                     | x   |      |      |     | • Thromboembolism [1]                      | • 0   | (31)      |
|                      | (mEOC/GOG 0241)                 |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Bowel perforation [1]                    | • 0   |           |
|                      |                                 |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Haemorrhage (GI bleeding) [2]            | • 0   |           |
| Lee et al. [2019]    | Retrospective                   | 154                                    | x   |      |      |     | • Thromboembolism [3]                      | • 0   | (32)      |
|                      |                                 |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Wound complication [2]                   | • 0   |           |
|                      |                                 |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Respiratory tract bleeding [1]           | • 0   |           |

Table 2 (continued)
| Author/year | Type of study | Nr. of patients exposed to bevacizumab | ACT | NACT | ReCT | SCS | Type of catastrophic complications (Nr) | Fatal | Reference |
|-------------|---------------|----------------------------------------|-----|------|------|-----|----------------------------------------|-------|-----------|
| Selle et al. [2017] (ROSIA) | Prospective observational | 1021 | x | x |  |  | • Posterior leuкоencephalopathy syndrome [1]  • Heart failure [2]  • Thromboembolism [11]  - Arterial thr. [4]  - Venous thr. [7]  • Bowel perforation [5]  • Fistula/abscess [1]  • Haemorrhage [3] | 0 | (33) |
| Nonaka et al. [2018] | Case report | 1 |  | x |  |  | • Bowel perforation [1] (2 consecutive)  • DVT/PE [1]  • Bowel perforation [3]  • Haemorrhage [1] | 0 | (34) |
| Tew et al. [2018] RCT | 150 | x |  |  |  |  | • Thromboembolism [1]  • Bowel perforation [3]  • Haemorrhage (GI bleeding) [1] | 0 | (36) |
| Chikazawa et al. Retrospective [2018] | 25 | x |  |  |  |  | • Aortitis [1]  • Nasal anterior septal perforation [1] | 0 | (37) |
| Hiranuma et al. [2018] | Case report | 1 |  | x |  |  | • Bowel perforation [1]  • Abscess [1]  • Acute renal failure [1]  • Breast lymphangitis [1] | 0 | (39) |
| Geltzeiler et al. [2017] | Case report | 1 |  | x |  |  | • Bowel perforation [2]  • Fistula (entero-cutaneus) [1] | 0 | (40) |
| Musa et al. [2017] | Prospective phase II | 29 |  |  | x |  | • CNS haemorrhage [1]  • Heart failure [1]  • Thromboembolism [22]  - Arterial thr. [22]  • Bowel perforation [6]  • Abscess [2] | 1 | (18) |
| Dalton et al. [2017] | Retrospective | 40 |  | x |  |  | • Fistula [1] | 0 | (41) |
| Coleman et al. [2017] (GOG-0213) | RCT | 337 | x | x |  |  | • CNS haemorrhage [1]  • Heart failure [1]  • Thromboembolism [22]  - Arterial thr. [22]  • Bowel perforation [6]  • Abscess [2] | 1 | (18) |
| Martin et al. [2016] | Retrospective | 60 |  |  |  | x | • Fistula [1] | 0 | (41) |
Table 2 (continued)

| Author/year          | Type of study        | Nr. of patients exposed to bevacizumab | ACT | NACT | ReCT | SCS | Type of catastrophic complications (Nr) | Fatal | Reference |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------|-----|------|------|-----|----------------------------------------|-------|-----------|
| Daniele et al. [2016] | Prospective Phase iv | 74                                     |     |      | x    |     | • Bowel perforation [1] (anastomotic leak) • Bowel obstruction [1] • Abscess [1] • Wound complication [2] | 0     | (9)       |
| Gouy et al.* [2016]  | Prospective phase I  | 20                                     |     |      | x    |     | • Fistula [2]                           • Eventration complicated by small bowel fistula [1] • Entero-cutaneus [1] | 0     | (11)      |
| Miller et al. [2016] | Case report          | 1                                      |     |      | x    |     | • Posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome [1] | 0     | (42)      |
| Selle et al. [2016]  | Retrospective        | 156                                    |     |      |      |     | • Posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome [2] • Thromboembolism [4] • Arterial thr. [1] • Pulmonary hypertension [1] • Bowel perforation [1] • Fistula [4] • Haemorrhage (GI bleeding) [4] | 0     | (43)      |
| Petrillo et al. [2015]| Retrospective        | 25                                     |     |      |      |     | • Thromboembolism [1]                   • Bowel perforation [1] | 0     | (7)       |
| Burger et al. [2014] (GOG-0218) | RCT  | 1,248                                  |     |      |      | x   | • Thromboembolism [81]                  • Venous thr. [73] • Arterial thr. [8] • Bowel perforation [7] • Anastomotic leak [2] • Bowel necrosis [1] • Fistula [3] | 0     | (44)      |
| Author/year | Type of study | Nr. of patients exposed to bevacizumab | ACT | NACT | ReCT | SCS | Type of catastrophic complications (Nr) | Fatal | Reference |
|-------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|-----|------|------|-----|----------------------------------------|--------|-----------|
| Pujade-Lauraine et al. [2014] (AURELIA) | RCT | 179 |  |  |  |  | • Reversible posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome [1] | • 0 (45) | |
| | | | | | | | • Heart failure [1] | • 1 |
| | | | | | | | • Thromboembolism [9] | • 0 |
| | | | | | | | • Arterial thr. [4] | [0] |
| | | | | | | | • Venous thr. [5] | [0] |
| | | | | | | | • Bowel perforation [3] | • 1 |
| | | | | | | | • Fistula/abscess [2] | • 1 |
| | | | | | | | • Septic Shock [1] | • 1 |
| | | | | | | | • Haemorrhage (GI bleeding) [1] | • 1 |
| Sawaya et al. [2014] | Case report | 1 x |  |  |  |  | • Posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome [1] | • 0 (46) | |
| Kountourakis et al. [2014] | Case report | 1 x |  |  |  |  | • Dysphonia [1] | • 0 (47) | |
| Salani et al. [2014] | Prospective phase I | 9 x |  |  |  |  | • Bowel perforation [1] (anastomotic leak) | • 0 (6) | |
| Herzog et al. [2014] | Prospective observational | 132 |  |  |  |  | • Bowel perforation [1] | • 0 (48) | |
| Wu et al. [2014] | Retrospective | 26 x |  |  |  |  | • Posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome [1] | • 0 (49) | |
| Dohrmann et al. [2013] | Case report | 1 x |  |  |  |  | • Thromboembolism [3] | • 0 |
| | | | | | | | • Bowel perforation [1] | • 1 |
| | | | | | | | • Fistula [1] | • 0 |
| | | | | | | | • Haemorrhage (GI bleeding) [1] | • 0 |
| | | | | | | | • CNS bleeding [1] | • 0 |
| Tillmanns et al. *** [2013] | Prospective phase II | 48 x |  |  |  |  | • Heart failure [1] | • 0 (50) | |
| | | | | | | | • Thromboembolism [2] | • 0 |
| | | | | | | | • Pneumonia [1] | • 0 |
| | | | | | | | • Bowel perforation [2] | • 0 |
| | | | | | | | • Bowel obstruction [5] | • 0 |
| | | | | | | | • Acute renal failure [1] | • 0 |
| Mantia-Smaldone et al. [2013] | Case report | 1 x |  |  |  |  | • Vertebral artery dissection and CSN haemorrhage [1] | • 0 (51) | |
Table 2 (continued)

| Author/year                | Type of study | Nr. of patients exposed to bevacizumab | ACT | NACT | ReCT | SCS | Type of catastrophic complications (Nr) | Fatal | Reference |
|----------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------|-----|------|------|-----|------------------------------------------|-------|-----------|
| Akers et al. [2013]        | Retrospective | 32                                     | x   |      |      |     | • Thromboembolism [2]                    | 0     | (53)      |
|                            |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Fistula (entero-cutaneous) [1]         | 0     |           |
|                            |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Haemorrhage (GI bleeding) [1]          | 0     |           |
|                            |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Respiratory tract bleeding [1]         | 0     |           |
| Wenham et al. [2013]       | Prospective   | 41                                     | x   |      |      |     | • Bowel perforation [1]                  | 0     | (54)      |
|                            | phase II      |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Fistula (vesico-intestinal) [1]        | 0     |           |
| Borofsky et al. [2012]     | Case series   | 4                                      | x   |      |      |     | • Fistula (concomitant colo-cutaneous/gastrocolic fistulas) [1] | 0     | (55)      |
| Sehouli et al. [2012]      | Retrospective | 10                                     | x   |      |      |     | • Thromboembolism [1]                    | 1     | (56)      |
|                            |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Fistula (vesico-intestinal) [1]        | 0     |           |
| Aghajanian et al. [2012]   | RCT           | 241                                    | x   | x    |      |     | • Posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome [3] | 0     | (16)      |
| (OCEANS)                   |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Thromboembolism [17]                   | 0     |           |
|                            |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | - Arterial thr. [7]                      | 0     |           |
|                            |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | - Venaous thr. [10]                     | 0     |           |
|                            |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Gastric perforation [1]                | 1     |           |
| Del Carmen et al. [2012]   | Prospective   | 54                                     | x   |      |      |     | • Thromboembolism [1]                    | 1     | (57)      |
|                            | phase II      |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Bowel perforation [1]                  | 0     |           |
|                            |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Abscess [1]                            | 0     |           |
| Verschraegen et al. [2012] | Prospective   | 46                                     | x   |      |      |     | • Posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome [1] | 0     | (58)      |
|                            | phase II      |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Headache [1]                           | 0     |           |
| Konner et al. ** [2011]    | Prospective   | 41                                     | x   |      |      |     | • Thromboembolism [2]                    | 0     | (59)      |
|                            | phase II      |                                        |     |      |      |     | - Venous thr. [2]                       | 0     |           |
|                            |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Bowel perforation [1]                  | 1     |           |
| Perren et al. [2011]       | RCT           | 745                                    | x   |      |      |     | • CNS haemorrhage [2]                    | 1     | (14)      |
| (ICON7)                    |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Thromboembolism [51]                   | 0     |           |
|                            |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Bowel perforation [10]                 | 1     |           |
|                            |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Fistula/abscess [6]                    | 1     |           |
|                            |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Haemorrhage (GI bleeding) [2]          | 0     |           |
|                            |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Wound complication [103]               | 0     |           |
| Pietzner et al. [2011]     | Retrospective | 15                                     | x   |      |      |     | • Fistula [3]                            | 0     | (60)      |
|                            |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Wound complication [1]                 | 0     |           |
| Asmane et al. [2011]       | Retrospective | 43                                     | x   |      |      |     | • Bowel perforation [3]                  | 0     | (61)      |
|                            |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Fistula [6]                            | 0     |           |
| McGonigle et al. [2011]    | Prospective   | 40                                     | x   |      |      |     | • Heart failure [2]                      | 0     | (62)      |
|                            | phase II      |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Bowel obstruction [1]                  | 0     |           |
Table 2 (continued)

| Author/year          | Type of study | Nr. of patients exposed to bevacizumab | ACT | NACT | ReCT | SCS | Type of catastrophic complications (Nr) | Fatal | Reference |
|----------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------|-----|------|------|-----|------------------------------------------|-------|-----------|
| Tanyi et al. [2011]  | Retrospective | 82                                     | x   |      |      |     | • Thromboembolism [12]                  | 0     | (63)      |
|                      |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Gastric perforation [2]               | 2     |           |
|                      |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Bowel perforation [6]                 | 1     |           |
|                      |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | - Double bowel perforation/             |       |           |
|                      |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | perforation not found [1]               |       |           |
| Sánchez-Muñoz et al. [2010] | Retrospective | 38                                     | x   |      |      |     | • Thromboembolism [1]                  | 0     | (64)      |
|                      |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | - Arterial thr. [1]                    | 0     |           |
|                      |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Fistula [1]                           | 0     |           |
| Richardson et al. [2010] | Retrospective | 35                                     | x   |      |      |     | • Bowel perforation [2]                | 0     | (65)      |
| Diaz et al. [2010]   | Retrospective | 160                                    | x   |      |      |     | • Gastric perforation [1]              | 0     | (66)      |
|                      |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Bowel perforation [5]                | 4     |           |
|                      |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | - Appendix [1]                         | 0     |           |
|                      |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | - Not found [4]                        | 4     |           |
| Cheng et al. [2009]  | Retrospective | 62                                     | x   |      |      |     | • Bowel perforation [2]               | 0     | (67)      |
| Hurt et al. [2009]   | Retrospective | 51                                     | x   |      |      |     | • Bowel perforation [3]               | 0     | (68)      |
| Sfakianos et al. [2009] | Retrospective | 68                                     | x   |      |      |     | • Bowel perforation [5]               | 0     | (69)      |
| Nimeiri et al. [2008] | Prospective phase II | 13                                 | x   |      |      |     | • Bowel perforation [1]               | 1     | (70)      |
| Garcia et al. [2008] | Prospective phase II | 70                                 | x   |      |      |     | • CNS ischemia [2]                    | 0     | (71)      |
|                      |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Right ventricle thrombus [1]         | 1     |           |
|                      |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Pulmonary hypertension [1]           | 1     |           |
|                      |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Bowel perforation [1]               | 1     |           |
| Wright et al. [2007] | Retrospective | 62                                     | x   |      |      |     | • Bowel perforation [4]               | 0     | (72)      |
|                      |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Chylous ascites [3]                  | 0     |           |
| Cannistra et al. [2007] | Prospective phase II | 44                                | x   |      |      |     | • CNS ischemia [1]                    | 1     | (73)      |
|                      |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Convulsion and endocranic            |       |           |
|                      |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | hypertension [1]                       |       |           |
|                      |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Thromboembolism [3]                 | 0     |           |
|                      |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | - Arterial thr. [3]                    | 0     |           |
|                      |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Bowel perforation [5]               | 1     |           |
|                      |               |                                        |     |      |      |     | • Fistula/abscess [1]                  | 1     |           |
| Total                |               |                                        | 56  | 7,096| 16   | 5   | 36  | 3   | 591 | 57       |           |

*, dose-finding trial of hyperthermic intraperitoneal cisplatin for IDS followed by maintenance bevacizumab; **, intravenous carboplatin+bevacizumab and intra-abdominal paclitaxel; ***, bevacizumab with albumin-bound paclitaxel. ACT, adjuvant chemotherapy; NACT, neoadjuvant chemotherapy; ReCT, salvage chemotherapy (recurrences); SCS, secondary cytoreductive surgery associated to bevacizumab infusion; RCT, randomized controlled trial.
Table 3 Case series of the extreme complications associated to bevacizumab administration noticed at our institutions

| System affected, Nr (%) | Complication             | U/R, Nr (%) | U/R-LT, Nr (%) | LT, Nr (%) | FAES, Nr (%) | Total for AE, Nr (%) |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|----------------|------------|--------------|---------------------|
| CNS, 22 (0.3)           | Haemorrhage              | –           | 3 (0.5)        | –          | 2 (0.4)      | 5 (0.8)             |
|                         | PLEs                     | –           | 11 (1.9)       | –          | 1 (0.2)      | 12 (2)              |
|                         | Ischemia                 | –           | 3 (0.5)        | –          | 1 (0.2)      | 4 (0.7)             |
|                         | Intracranial hypertension| –           | –              | –          | 1 (0.2)      | 1 (0.2)             |
| CVS, 261 (3.7)          | Aortitis                 | –           | 1 (0.2)        | –          | –            | 1 (0.2)             |
|                         | Heart failure            | –           | 7 (1.2)        | –          | 2 (0.4)      | 9 (1.5)             |
|                         | Pulmonary hypertension   | –           | –              | –          | 2 (0.4)      | 2 (0.4)             |
|                         | Thromboembolism          | 25 (4.2)    | 216 (36.7)     | 8 (1.2)    | 249 (42.0)   |
|                         | • Arterial               | 25          | –              | 3          | 28           |
|                         | • Venous                 | –           | –              | 216        | 4            | 220                 |
|                         | • Right ventr.           | –           | –              | –          | 1            | 1                   |
| GI, 159 (2.2)           | Bowel perfor.            | –           | 3 (0.5)        | 77 (13.0)  | 23 (4.0)     | 103 (17.4)          |
|                         | Gastric perfor.          | –           | 1 (0.2)        | –          | 3 (0.5)      | 4 (0.7)             |
|                         | Fistula                  | –           | 9 (1.5)        | 30 (5.0)   | 3 (0.5)      | 42 (7.0)            |
|                         | Bowel obstruction        | –           | –              | 8 (1.3)    | 1 (0.2)      | 9 (1.5)             |
|                         | Bowel necrosis           | –           | –              | –          | 1 (0.2)      | 1 (0.2)             |
| Infectious, 8 (0.13)    | Primal Abscess           | –           | –              | 3 (0.5)    | 2 (0.4)      | 5 (0.8)             |
|                         | Shock                    | –           | –              | –          | 1 (0.2)      | 1 (0.2)             |
|                         | Pneumonitis              | –           | 1 (0.2)        | –          | 1 (0.2)      | 2 (0.4)             |
| Miscellaneous, 141 (2.0)| Haemorrhage              | –           | –              | 13 (2.2)   | 5 (0.8)      | 18 (3.0)            |
|                         | Wound disruption         | –           | –              | 112 (19.0) | –            | 112 (19.0)          |
|                         | Respiratory haemorrhage  | –           | 2 (0.4)        | –          | –            | 2 (0.4)             |
|                         | Dysphonia                | 1 (0.2)     | –              | –          | –            | 1 (0.2)             |
|                         | Nasal septal perfor.     | 1 (0.2)     | –              | –          | –            | 1 (0.2)             |
|                         | Acute Renal Failure      | –           | 2 (0.4)        | –          | –            | 2 (0.4)             |
|                         | Headache                 | 1 (0.2)     | –              | –          | –            | 1 (0.2)             |
|                         | Breast lymphangitis      | 1 (0.2)     | –              | –          | –            | 1 (0.2)             |
|                         | Chilous-ascites          | 3 (0.5)     | –              | –          | –            | 3 (0.5)             |
| Total for class         |                          | 7 (1.2)     | 68 (11.5)      | 459 (77.8) | 57 (9.6)     | 591 (100.0)         |

AE, adverse event; U/R, uncommon/rare; U/R-LT, U/R and life-threatening; LT, life-threatening; FAES, fatal; CNS, Central Nervous System; CVS, Cardio-Vascular System; GI, Gastro-intestinal System.
Events reported included 1 case (0.01%) of aortitis, 9 cases (0.13%) of heart failure, 2 cases (0.02) of pulmonary hypertension, and 249 cases (3.5%) of thromboembolism [including 1 case (0.01%) with right ventricular thrombus]. Those AEs accounted for 0.4%, 3.4%, 0.8%, and 95% of the CVS morbidity, respectively. In particular, arterial thromboses were seen in 28 cases (11%).

Overall, GI complications numbered 159 (2.2%), representing 26.8% of the global morbidity. Bowel perforations were seen in 103 cases (1.4%), gastric perforations in 4 cases (0.05%), fistulae in 42 cases (0.6%), bowel obstruction in 9 cases (0.1%), and bowel necrosis in 1 case (0.01%), representing the 62.5%, 2.6%, 27%, 6%, and 0.6% of the GI morbidity, respectively.

Primitive infectious complications were observed in 8 cases (0.13%), representing 1.4% of the global morbidity. One case (0.01%) of septic shock, 5 cases (0.07%) with abscesses and 2 cases (0.02%) of pneumonitis were recorded, representing 12.5%, 62.5% and 25% of the infectious morbidity, respectively.

Miscellaneous complications numbered 141 cases (2%) representing 23.9% of the global morbidity. Of significance, hemorrhages were seen in 18 cases (0.25%), representing 3% of the global morbidity, while wound complications numbered 112 cases (1.6%), representing 18.9% of the global morbidity.

Additional AEs noted were 2 cases (0.02%) of pulmonary hemorrhage, 1 case (0.01%) of reversible dysphonia, 1 case (0.01%) of anterior nasal septal perforation, 2 cases (0.02%) of acute renal failure (ARF), 1 case (0.01%) of G4 headache, 1 case (0.01%) of breast lymphangitis and 3 cases (0.04%) of Chilous ascites. These AEs represented 18%, 9%, 9%, 18%, 9%, 9%, 8% and 27% of the miscellaneous morbidity, respectively.

According to the frequency and severity classification, among the AEs, there were 7 U/R (0.1%), 75 U/R-LT (0.96%), and 459 LT (6.4%), as well as 58 FAEs (0.8%), representing 1.2%, 11.5%, 77.8% and 9.6% of the overall morbidity, respectively (Table 3).

Among U/R AEs, 1 case of nasal anterior septal perforation, 1 case of reversible dysphonia, 1 case of severe headache (G4), 1 case of breast lymphangitis and 3 cases of Chilous-ascites were reported.

Regarding U/R-LT AEs there were 2 cases of CNS hemorrhage, 1 rare case of vertebral artery dissection with subsequent CSN hemorrhage, 11 cases of PLES, 3 cases of ischemia, 1 case of aortitis, 7 cases of heart failure and 25 cases of arterial thromboembolism. GI related issues included 1 rare case of double consecutive bowel perforation at three months, 2 cases of appendix perforation, 1 case of gastric perforation, 9 cases of varying and complex fistulae, including 1 case of eventration complicated by a small bowel fistula, 3 entero-cutaneous fistulae, 1 gastro-pleural fistula, 2 vesical-intestinal fistulae and 1 case of concomitant colo-cutaneous/gastro-colic fistula. Regarding infections, an AE was seen in 1 case with pneumonia. Miscellaneous complications were observed in 2 cases consisting of respiratory tract hemorrhage, as well as 2 cases of ARF.

LT AEs included 216 cases of thromboembolism, 73 bowel perforations associated with 4 anastomotic leaks, 30 cases of fistulae, of which 12 were complicated by abscess formation, 8 cases of bowel obstruction, 3 primary abscesses, 13 hemorrhagic events, and, in all cases [112], of severe wound-healing complications.

Regardin FAEs, of the CNS complications there were 2 cases of intracranial hemorrhage, 1 case of PLES, 1 case of cerebral ischemia and 1 case of seizures due to intracranial hypertension. Cardiovascular complications included 2 cases of heart failure, 2 cases of pulmonary hypertension, 7 cases of thromboembolism (of which 3 cases were of arterial origin and 1 case was of rare right ventricle thrombus). GI complications included 23 bowel perforations, in which the perforation could not be identified in 5 cases, and 1 case of a double perforation. In addition, 3 cases of gastric perforation, 3 cases of fistulae complicated by abscess formation, 1 case of bowel obstruction, and 1 case of bowel necrosis were observed. Regarding infectious issues, there were 2 cases of abdominal abscesses and 1 case of septic shock of unknown origin. There were also 1 case of aspiration pneumonia and 5 cases of hemorrhagic events.

The specific mortality-rate was calculated for each AE. Intracranial hypertension resulted in 100% of mortality, while intracranial hemorrhage, ischemia and PLES were responsible for 40%, 25% and 8% of mortality, respectively. Among CVS complications, right ventricle thrombus and pulmonary hypertension resulted in 100% mortality, while heart failure had a mortality of 22%. Arterial thrombosis resulted in 10.7% mortality, while thromboembolism, overall, resulted in a mortality-rate of 2.8%. Gastric and bowel perforations had a mortality-rate of 75% and 20%, respectively. The mortality seen in cases in which the site of bowel perforation could not be identified rose to 100%. Bowel obstruction and fistulae complicated by abscess formation demonstrated a mortality-rate of 11% and 7%, respectively, while bowel necrosis was 100% fatal.
Primitive abscesses resulted in a mortality-rate of 40% and pneumonitis, 50%. Lastly, hemorrhages were associated with a mortality-rate of 28%.

Table 4 shows the suggested AEs’s treatment, reported by system affected.

Discussion

Much scientific evidence has confirmed that bevacizumab offers advantages in terms of PFS in high-risk and recurrent OC, and presents an acceptable safety profile. Additionally, other studies have demonstrated improved overall survival in this subset of patient (1,14,16,45).

Recently, bevacizumab was confirmed to be safe when employed with cytoreductive surgery although with a recommendation to maintain a time interval of 40 days between surgery and bevacizumab administration (3,4,16,18).

Nevertheless, compared to the benefits, AEs are more common in patients receiving bevacizumab than in those receiving standard chemotherapy regimens (14-17,18).

The overall toxicity rate (all grades) of bevacizumab-containing chemotherapy in the most representative studies in literature ranged from 61% (ICON7) to 100% (GOG-218, ROSiA, OSCAR) in front-line, and from 57% to 100% at recurrence (AURELIA, GOG-213-OCEAN) (14-16,18,27,28,33). Given these findings, bevacizumab has been recognized as a risk factor for peculiar drug-related AEs, such as hypertension, proteinuria, bleeding, disruption of wound healing, GI perforations, and arterial and venous thrombosis events (1).

Although such trials provide clear evidence of efficacy, randomized phase-III trials typically have strict eligibility criteria, and the selected populations often are not fully representative of patients presenting in routine oncology practice. It is important to assess whether toxicity outcomes observed in rigorously conducted randomized phase-III trials are duplicated in common practice, where patients typically have more co-morbidities (27).

The current literature is particularly rich with data concerning drug-specific toxicity (such as causing hypertension and proteinuria, or hematological, GI and thromboembolic events), but much less is known about the real incidence and severity of rarer and often catastrophic complications. Data about common AEs are rarely categorized by type, and overall toxicity rates always include grade 3 events. Rates of rare and severe toxicity (G4-G5) remain obscure (14,16-18,44).

The overall rate of extreme complication observed in this review was 8.3%, and the overall-LT AEs (7.4%) represented 89% of all complications.

An authoritative review of the literature based on a total of 10,217 patients presenting with a variety of advanced solid tumors from 16 RCTs, reported that the overall incidence of FAEs with bevacizumab was 2.9% (2). This finding is not consistent with our results of 0.8%, which may be due to differences of pathology, surgeries and, above all, different types of chemotherapy schedules adopted.

Fifty-one (89%) cases out of FAEs, had the same diagnosis of overall-LT events, while 6 (11%) were new specific fatal diagnosis (intracranial hypertension, pulmonary hypertension, thrombosis in the right ventricle, bowel necrosis, septic shock of unknown origin) that presented a mortality-rate of 100%.

Intracranial hemorrhage, gastric perforation, infectious complications and pneumonitis produced a mortality-rate of over the 30% and represented the most fearful complications. Cases in which the site of a bowel perforation could not be located were fatal 100% of the time. Hemorrhages achieved a mortality-rate of 27%, while those originating in the GI tract were fatal in 17%.

The overall rate of GI perforation (1.5%) and the associated mortality-rate (22.4%) was found to be consistent with previous literature reports, in which such rates ranged between 0–11% and 20–50% (14,18,33,45,66,73).

The incidence of fistula was 0.6% lower than data described previously in the literature, which ranged between 1% and 15% (15,18,27,73). The reason for this discrepancy might be explained by the fact that this study considered only serious G4-G5 AEs. This might also explain the differences in the observed rates of thromboembolic events, abscesses, and hemorrhages found in our cohort, which were 3.5%, 0.07% and 0.25%, respectively. These values indeed, are lower than those reported in previous literature, which ranged between 7–9%,1.8–13%, and 2.3–43%, respectively (9,14-16,18,27,57,73).

In our series, patients with U/R-LT complications, and other complications classified in this review as FAEs (such gastric perforation of unknown origin, thrombus in the right ventricle, and GI-hemorrhage due to a rare arterial-enteric fistula) survived, thanks to a multidisciplinary effort. Prompt diagnosis and treatment, in spite of the rarity and severity of such complications, can often avoid a fatal outcome.

Based on our experience and the data provided by this review of the literature, it is possible to affirm that clinicians...
### Table 4 Recommendations of treatment for the extreme complications associated to bevacizumab administration

| System affected | Complication          | Recommendations                                                                 |
|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| CNS             | Haemorrhage           | Prompt evaluation in stroke unit<br>Assess the patient’s airway, breathing capability, blood pressure and signs of increased ICP<br>Maneuvers to lower the ICP should be put in place as quickly as possible to avoid permanent neurological damage<br>Permanently discontinue bevacizumab |
|                 | PLES                  | Prompt neurologic evaluation<br>Rapid withdrawal of the trigger appears to hasten recovery and avoid complications<br>Antiepileptic drugs should be used to treat seizures<br>Permanently discontinue bevacizumab |
|                 | Ischemia              | Stroke identification and activation of the stroke unit are the crucial steps<br>Permanently discontinue bevacizumab |
|                 | Increased ICP        | Prompt neurologic evaluation<br>Maneuvers to lower the ICP should be put in place as quickly as possible to avoid permanent neurological damage<br>Permanently discontinue bevacizumab |
| CVS             | Aortitis              | Given the rarity of the event and the heterogeneity of the possible causes (infectious, autoimmune, idiopathic), the patient should be treated by a multidisciplinary team (composed of gynecologist oncologist, rheumatologist, cardiovascular medical and surgical specialists)<br>Consider discontinue bevacizumab |
|                 | Heart failure         | Specialist cardiological evaluation<br>Consider discontinue bevacizumab |
|                 | Pulmonary hypertension| If arterial pulmonary blood clots can be identified, anticoagulant therapy, together with drug removal, should be suggested<br>Referral to a specialized center is recommended<br>Permanently discontinue bevacizumab |
|                 | Arterial TE           | Consult appropriate specialists (e.g., cardiologist, neurologist) for proper evaluation and management<br>Permanently discontinue bevacizumab |
|                 | Venous TE             | Prompt start of anticoagulant therapy (LMWH) and discontinuation of bevacizumab<br>Consider permanent discontinuation of bevacizumab for complicated venous TE |
|                 | Right ventricle TE    | Prompt start of therapeutic anticoagulation (LMWH) and admission to ICU<br>Permanently discontinue bevacizumab |
| GI              | Bowel perforation     | Bowel rest and prompt evaluation with water-soluble contrast imaging |
|                 | Gastric perforation   | Based on the patient’s clinical condition, surgical correction should be considered |
|                 | Fistula               | Permanently discontinue bevacizumab |
|                 | Bowel obstruction     |                                                                                   |
|                 | Bowel necrosis        |                                                                                   |
| Infectious      | Primitive abscess     | Systemic antibiotics ± drainage (open or percutaneous)<br>Consider discontinuation of bevacizumab |
|                 | Shock                 | Systemic antibiotics and admission to ICU<br>Permanently discontinue bevacizumab |

(continued)
# Table 4 (continued)

| System affected | Complication                  | Recommendations                                                                 |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Miscellanea     | Haemorrhage                   | Based on the patient's clinical condition, set up oral or systemic antibiotic therapy and possible hospitalization. Discontinue bevacizumab therapy. Continuous monitoring of vital and laboratory parameters (haemoglobin drop and coagulation factors). Hemodynamic and respiratory support therapy (fluid infusion and oxygen administration). CT scan for identification of the hemorrhagic source. Depending on the case, evaluate conservative therapy with infusion of anti-hemorrhagics (tranexamic acid) or haemostasis through radiological embolization or surgery. Discontinue bevacizumab therapy. |
| Wound disruption|                               | Provide bacteriological culture examination of the wound and possible antibiotic therapy. Necrosectomy of wound non-viable flaps. Surgical wound healing or considering VAC. Discontinue bevacizumab therapy. |
| Respiratory     | Haemorrhage                   | Admission to ICU. Continuous monitoring of vital and laboratory parameters (haemoglobin drop and coagulation factors). Depending on the case, evaluate conservative therapy with infusion of anti-hemorrhagics (tranexamic acid) or haemostasis through radiological embolization, bronchoscopy or surgery. Discontinue bevacizumab therapy. |
| Dysphonia       |                               | Otolaryngological evaluation. Discontinue bevacizumab therapy. |
| Nasal septal    | perfor                        | Otolaryngological evaluation. If hemorrhage, consider conservative therapy with infusion of anti-hemorrhagics (tranexamic acid) or haemostasis through radiological embolization or surgery. Permanently discontinue bevacizumab. |
| Acute renal     | failure                       | Nephrologist evaluation. Consider dialysis. Permanently discontinue bevacizumab. |
| Headache        |                               | Neurologic evaluation. NSAID administration. Permanently discontinue bevacizumab. |
| Breast lymphangitis |                       | NSAID drug and antibiotics administration. Discontinue bevacizumab therapy. |
| Chilous-ascites |                               | Fasting. Intravenous nutritional support. Evaluate intraperitoneal drainage placement. Evaluate new surgery for closure or anastomosis of lymphatic vessels. |

CNS, Central Nervous System; ICP, Intra-Cranial Pressure; PLES, Posterior Leuko-Encephalopathy Syndrome; CVS, Cardio-Vascular System; TE, Thromboembolism; LMWH, Low Molecular Weight Heparin; ICU, Intensive Care Unit; GI, Gastro-intestinal System; VAC, Vacuum Assisted Closure therapy; NSAID, Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drug.
should be able to avoid that 89% of FAEs which have the same diagnosis as LT cases, have an inauspicious outcome, within the limits in which there is a margin of treatment. In particular, for example it would always be desirable to try finding intestinal perforation, intervening to suture the perforations of the stomach and to perform a toilet/drainage of the abdominal abscesses. A prompt treatment of thromboembolic phenomena with heparin preparations is desirable not only for the most common cases of peripheral thrombosis, but also for the rare cases of intracardiac ventricular thrombi, which should be diagnosed as soon as possible.

The pathophysiology underlying the toxicity associated with bevacizumab are still under study, but it is theroeizable on its mechanism of action.

Bevacizumab bind and inactivate VEGF, thereby inhibiting endothelial, and possibly tumor, cell activation and proliferation. Because VEGF also plays an important role in normal physiologic processes, such as stabilization of damaged endothelia, and wound healing—VEGF inhibition carries a unique toxicity profile that involves normal tissues, tumor tissues, and the interface of them (74).

Some AEs, such as bowel perforation and pulmonary hemorrhage seem to be disease site-dependent. Others, such as mucosal bleeding, hypertension, and proteinuria, result to be non-specific and depends on the role of VEGF in stabilization of malignant and nonmalignant blood flow (74).

In particular, as far as CNS toxicity is concerned, it has been postulated that stroke, hemorrhages and PLESS are related to the alteration inducted by bevacizumab in the stability of endothelial and the alteration of nitric oxide production, with the loss of cerebral vascular autoregulation, disruption of the cerebral tissue/capillary interface (blood–brain barrier), and vasogenic edema (74).

CVS complications appear to share the same pathophysiological mechanisms as CNS.

In particular, arterial and venous thromboembolism and hemorrhage are due to an indirect mechanism of VEGF inhibition in repering damaged endothelial secondary to cardiovascular disease and other microangiopathies. This results in exposed subendothelial tissues that initiate the clotting cascade and subsequent clot formation (74).

Regarding GI complications, the mechanism by which bevacizumab contributes to perforations remains elusive, but it is most likely related to the anti-VEGF effects on bowel perfusion and/or tumor regression, the impaired healing of pathologic or surgical bowel injury, and mesenteric thrombosis and/or vasoconstriction (74).

The disruption of wound healing, including delay, dehiscence, fistula, and abscess are also related with bevacizumab effect on tissues blood perfusion (74).

As suggested by the evidence in the literature (74), the treatment of the various extreme complications associated with bevacizumab must be assessed on a case-by-case basis, considering the features of presentation and patient characteristics (Table 4).

To our knowledge, there is no review in the literature that focuses its results on the rarest and most severe AEs from bevacizumab in the treatment of OC.

The strengths of this study include the originality of the objective, the large number of articles considered, and the substantial population analyzed.

Weaknesses of this study include the heterogeneity of these studies, as well as the population considered. Specifically, phase-I studies were included in the present review, in which the administration of bevacizumab was associated with other drugs under investigation for toxicity (doses and manner of administration). Moreover, the analysed population is composit by patients undergone chemotherapy containing bevacizumab with adjuvant and neoadjuvant purposes, by hardly pre-treated metastatic patients, or even by women subjected to SCS. Furthermore, the doses of bevacizumab and the number of administration cycles at which complications occurred were not reported.

Conclusions

Extreme complications related to the use of bevacizumab are often unexpected and can prove difficult to diagnose due to their rarity and acuteness of occurrence. The immediate recognition and management of such rare life-threatening complications in a third referral center can improve survival of these patients.

Further studies are needed to better define the incidence and outcomes of extreme AEs in “real-life” population (28,29).
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