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Abstract

The Julian Alps (in the southeastern European Alps, Italy and Slovenia) represent an important case study area for the study of small and very small maritime glaciers. High mean annual precipitation results in great snow accumulation during the winter, permitting the presence of ice bodies with the lowest Equilibrium Line Altitudes in the Alps. During the Little Ice Age 19 small glaciers (<1 km$^2$) existed, covering a total area of 2.367 km$^2$. By 2012 the glacierized area had shrunk by 84% and only isolated glacierets and ice patches still survived, each having a total area less than 0.5 km$^2$. We present here a geomorphological and palaeoglaciological map of 8 sections of the Julian Alps related to the late Holocene distribution of glaciers, at a scale of 1:6,000. Glacier topography during the Little Ice Age maximum was reconstructed on the basis of well-expressed geomorphological features together with historical archive data. The present-day distribution of ice bodies was inferred from orthophotos and 1 m resolution digital terrain models derived from airborne laser scanning. The past and present areal extent and surface morphology of glaciers permits calculation of volume loss since the Little Ice Age, which is estimated as 96%.
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1. Introduction

Within international climate monitoring programs, mountain glaciers are broadly acknowledged as excellent climate indicators (Haeberli, Hoelzle, Paul, & Zemp, 2007), and their evolution is recognized as one of the best indicators of climate change (Diolaiuti, Bocchiola, Vagliasindi, D’Agata, & Smiraglia, 2012; Lucchesi, Fioraso, Bertotto, & Chiarle, 2012).
Glacier mass balance varies with altitude, regional position, aspect, gradient, glacier size, glacier type and detailed topographic position (Evans, 2006). Glaciers are also very sensitive indicators of changes in the main climatological parameters, mainly mean annual and summer air temperature (MAAT), and precipitation (MAP) (Chinn, Winkler, Salinger, & Haakensen, 2005). Since the end of the Little Ice Age (LIA, about 1865) they drastically reduced in size over the Alps by about 50% till 2000 (Painter et al., 2013; Zemp, Paul, Hoelzle, & Haeberli, 2008). It has been argued that larger glaciers retreated at a slower rate than the smaller ones, the latter showing high variability in area changes. Nevertheless, recently it has been shown how the smallest size glaciers (area < 0.01 km$^2$) lying in areas with higher accumulation and mass turnover, generally show a lower sensitivity to climate fluctuations than previously supposed. In recent decades some of them show stability, while their counterparts located in drier, continental settings undergo continuous shrinkage (Colucci et al., 2015; Colucci & Guglielmin, 2015; Scotti, Brardinoni, & Crosta, 2014).

Observations of the geometrical evolution of glaciers in the Julian Alps (Figure 4) started in 1880 in the Canin group, while on Montasio glacier the first survey was performed in 1921 (Desio, 1927). Glaciological surveys on Triglav glacier began later, in 1946. Nevertheless, except for Triglav, only distances from fixed benchmarks were measured and reported, while area change is generally poorly known. The maps of Canin glacier drawn by Giacomo Savorgnan di Brazzà in 1880 and by Olinto Marinelli in 1909 (Figure 1; Desio, 1927) are the best existing pictures of glacier extent in the Julian Alps at the end of the LIA. Observations carried on for the entire 20th century were generally restricted to length measurements from fixed benchmarks. Only recently, more detailed investigations were performed using geophysical and geodetic techniques, which allowed better characterization of the glacial remnants of the southeastern Alps, as well as a volume evaluation in some case studies (Carturan et al., 2013; Colucci et al., 2015). In the Julian Alps at present 23 ice bodies cover a
total area of 0.358 km$^2$, while at the LIA maximum 2.350 km$^2$ were covered by small glaciers (Colucci, 2016, in press). Glacier reduction was particularly effective in the Canin and Triglav sectors owing to topoclimatic characteristics (Figure 2), while avalanche feeding, karstic topography and the damming effect of pronival ramparts and moraines at the snout of the glaciers exerted a geomorphological control on the evolution of the majority of ice masses in the southeastern Alps (Figure 3). For this reason, and because of higher winter precipitation observed here in the last decade, they seem to be resilient to recent climate warming instead of rapidly disappearing as might be expected (Colucci, 2016, in press). Volume change estimations were missing, until just a few recent evaluations for Canin Eastern Glaciers, Triglav and Montasio (Carturan et al., 2013; Colucci et al., 2015; Del Gobbo, Colucci, Forte, Triglav, & Zorn, 2015). Despite their small size, it has been shown that these glaciers may occupy a significant fraction of ice volume at regional scale, making the realization of detailed inventories important, even for glaciers down to 0.01 km$^2$ or smaller, in order to keep errors below ±10 % (Bahr & Radić, 2012; Pfeffer et al., 2014).

Therefore, the main goals of this paper are: 1) to estimate the volume of each glacier of the Julian Alps during the LIA from geomorphological evidence, 2) to quantify the volume loss since the LIA, until 2012; and 3) to present a map of late Holocene glacier extent in the LIA and in 2012, including the main geomorphological features. The LIA and 2012 glacier extent maps were realized in the framework of more detailed research to interpret the geomorphic control exerted by frontal ridges to small avalanche-fed glaciers (Colucci, 2016, in press). The recent realization of the extremely detailed topography of Slovenia, performed by LiDAR techniques, was a further motivation to improve the accuracy of the inventory of glacial features in this alpine sector over the entire study area. Moreover, no estimations of the total area affected by glaciation during the LIA were reported for this alpine sector, until now.
2. **Study area**

The carbonate massifs of the Julian Alps extend west-east across the Italian-Slovenian border (Figure 4) and occupy 1,853 km$^2$ of the southeastern Alps. Mount Triglav (2,864 m; H in Figure 4) is the highest peak. The landscape of this alpine sector is largely characterised by glaciokarst (Žebre & Stepišnik, 2015) and several ice-caves are reported in the area mainly concentrated between 1,500 and 2,200 m with a median elevation of 1,871 m (Colucci, Fontana, Forte, Potleca, & Guglielmin, 2016). Mount Canin (2,587 m; C in Figure 4) forms the drainage divide between the Adriatic Sea and the Black Sea. The climatology of the Canin area for 1981-2010 has recently been reconstructed at 2,200 m by Colucci and Guglielmin, (2015). Mean annual precipitation (MAP) is estimated at 3,335 mm w.e., while mean annual air temperature (MAAT) is 1.1 ±0.6°C. Over the same period, MAAT measured at the Kredarica observatory (2,514 m asl; N 46° 23', E 13° 51') of Mount Triglav was -1.0 ±0.6°C and MAP was 2,071 mm w.e.

3. **Methods**

The Main map shows several geomorphological and environmental elements, but the main subject consists of glaciers, glacierets and ice patches. Seven out of the 14 detected present day ice bodies in the Italian Julian Alps are recorded in The New Italian Glacier Inventory (Smiraglia & Diolaiuti, 2015; Smiraglia et al., 2015) and classified as glacierets and mountain glaciers. The same number of ice bodies in the entire Julian Alps is recorded in the World Glacier Inventory (https://nsidc.org/data/docs/noaa/g01130_glacier_inventory/), including only those glacierets from the Italian part of this mountain sector. The extent and geometry of former ice bodies are reconstructed mainly on the basis of geomorphological evidence, but also by using old photos and old maps. Assuming that the most extensive limit of glacial
deposits belongs to the LIA, we recognised morphological evidence for ten glaciers which
have not previously been reported on glaciological maps (Table 3).

3.1. Topographic base

The topographic base of the maps A, B, C, D, E, F, G, and H in a scale 1:6,000 (see Main
map) is from a 1 m resolution digital terrain model (DTM), portrayed with a background
shaded relief map and 25 m interval contours. The DTM was derived from airborne laser
scanning data. The Italian side of the Julian Alps was scanned on 13 September 2006 using a
LiDAR system Optech ALTM 3033. The minimum point density of this LiDAR data is 4
points per m², and the relative horizontal and vertical accuracies are better than 1 m and 0.3 m
respectively (Civil Defense of Friuli Venezia Giulia, 2006). Area b37, comprising all the
Slovenian Julian Alps, was surveyed between 4 July 2014 and 13 January 2015 using a
RIEGL LMS-Q780 scanner, producing LiDAR data with a point density from 2 to 5 per m²,
and relative horizontal and vertical accuracies 0.30 m and 0.15 m respectively (Geodetic
Institute of Slovenia, 2014-2015; 2015). The maps of the Italian Julian Alps (A, B, C, D) are
presented in Monte Mario Italy 2 coordinate system, while the maps for the Slovenian side (E,
F, G, H) use the D96 Slovenia coordinate system. The base layer of the study area map (see
Main map) is a 30 m resolution ASTER Global Digital Elevation Model (ASTER GDEM) in a
World Geodetic System 1984 (WGS84) (http://gdem.ersdac.jspacesystems.or.jp/) with 20 m
vertical and 30 m horizontal data accuracy
(http://www.viewfinderpanoramas.org/GDEM/GDEM-README.pdf).

3.2. Data collection

Fieldwork, analysis of high resolution DTMs, orthophotos, Google Earth and Bing images as
well as old pictures and maps were used in collecting the data for the map production.
Fieldwork was carried out between 2011 and 2014 and included geomorphological mapping of moraines, debris flows and trimlines. Ice body boundaries in 2012 were extracted from Google Earth and Bing images for the Slovenian Julian Alps and from high resolution orthophotos for the Italian side (Service Information System and e-government, Region Friuli Venezia Giulia). Recent ice body boundaries were also compared to the glaciological, geodetic and GPR data performed on some of the glacierets (Carturan et al., 2013; Colucci et al., 2015). The extent of glaciers during the LIA was estimated on the basis of well-preserved frontal and lateral moraines, trimlines and by interpreting old pictures and old maps (Marinelli, 1909; Šifrer, 1963).

3.3. Geomorphological features

Landforms (morainic ridges, debris flows) were drawn on the basis of geomorphological mapping conducted in the field, and the analysis of LiDAR data, aerial photographs, archival pictures, old maps and orthophotos. The margins of former ice bodies were easily identified owing to well-preserved frontal and lateral moraines and pronival ramparts. Recognition of the upper headwall limit of ice during the LIA maximum was possible from the identification of trimlines, easily recognizable from the difference in bedrock colour. The most external system of frontal morainic ridges of Ursic, Montasio West, Canin and Triglav glaciers were attributed to the LIA maximum on the basis of the existing archival pictures dating back to the late 1800s and the early 1900s, and from the existing literature. Starting from this assumption a LIA age was inferred also for the other ice bodies. This was done taking into consideration the degree of landform preservation, the widespread lack of soil development (which suggests recent surface exposure) and their altitude in relation to the regional LIA-ELA recently reconstructed by Colucci (2016) in the area.
3.4. Reconstruction of glacier topography

The former ice margins were delineated following the crests of the well-preserved frontal and lateral moraines, and evident trimlines. The LIA glacier surfaces were reconstructed based on the general morphology of present-day glaciers (Pellitero et al., 2015), having contours slightly concave up-glacier and convex near the snout (Carr, Lukas, & Mills, 2010; Porter, 1975). Ice surface contour lines were drawn at 25 m equidistance. The final DTM of each LIA glacier surface was interpolated using the Topo to Raster tool in ArcGIS.

3.5. Ice thickness calculation

The loss of ice thickness since the LIA was estimated using the Spatial Analyst Tools - Math – Minus by subtracting the DTM of recent surface topography from that of reconstructed LIA glacier surfaces. Correction of the loss of ice thickness for the Slovenian glaciers was necessary, since a lot of fresh snow was present in the examined area in summer 2014 (Figure 5b; Table 1), when the airborne laser scanning for this part was performed; whereas the Italian side was scanned in autumn 2006 (Figure 5a), at the end of the ablation season when no snow or just scattered fresh snow remained on the surface. Therefore, the two DTMs derived from LiDAR data in the Canin area were compared in order to estimate the mean thickness of snow cover in 2014 (on the surface of former LIA glaciers) (Figure 5c). Subtracting the DTM of 2006 from that of 2014 a mean snow thickness of 4.20 ± 3.98 m was calculated. This value was then added to the already calculated LIA ice thickness of Slovenian glaciers using Spatial Analyst Tools - Math – Plus. Errors in the elevation difference of the two DTMs were extrapolated from surrounding bedrock, which is assumed to have a constant elevation (Rolstad, Haug, & Denby, 2009). A mean bedrock elevation error of 0.26 ± 0.31 m was found.
3.6. 2012 and LIA Volume calculation

2012 and LIA glaciers volumes were calculated using an empirical volume-area relation widely applied in glacier inventories and water resource estimation based on data from 144 mountain glaciers using equation (1) from Bahr, Meier, & Peckham (1997) and Bahr, Pfeffer, & Kaser (2015) where $S$ represents the glacier surface.

$$V = 0.03 \, S^{1.36}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The 2012 volume has been then added to the estimated volume loss calculated from the DTMs in order to achieve the best estimation of LIA glacier volumes (Table 4). To compare the results, volumes obtained through equation (1) were plotted using data presented in Table 4 considering glacier surfaces at the LIA maximum.

3.7. Topographic names

Topographic names were obtained from topographic maps Tabacco 1:25.000 Canin – Val Resia, Parco Naturale Prealpi Giulie (“Carta topografica per escursionisti 1:25.000. 027, Canin – Val Resia, Parco Naturale Prealpi Giulie,” 2006) for the Italian side; and topographic maps 1:25.000 Log pod Mangartom (“Državna topografska karta Republike Slovenije 1:25.000. 039, Log pod Mangartom,” 1998), Breginj (“Državna topografska karta Republike Slovenije 1:25.000. 064, Breginj,” 1998), Kranjska Gora (“Državna topografska karta Republike Slovenije 1:25.000. 041, Kranjska Gora,” 1998) and Soča (“Državna topografska karta Republike Slovenije 1:25.000. 066, Soča,” 1995) for the Slovenian side. Both Slovenian and Italian toponyms are used for the summits situated on the borderline.

4. Results

The 23 permanent ice bodies mapped in Julian Alps in 2012 covered an area of 0.383 km$^2$ (Table 2), while during the LIA maximum the glacierized area extended for 2.367 km$^2$ (Table
In some cases a group of ice patches has been considered as a single ice body. This is the case of J1, J2, J3, J5, J6, J7, J9, J16, J20. This choice is based on the following motivations: 1) there is one main ice body and a number of minor ice patches in close proximity (e.g. J1, J2, J3, J6, J7, J9); 2) there is a number of ice patches having comparable sizes (e.g. J5, J19, J20). We recalculated and compared the volumes presented in Table 4 by using the volume-area scaling of equation 1. Results are shown in the scatter plot of Figure 6a where volumes calculated by equation 1 are presented on logarithmic scale. As already highlighted by Bahr, Pfeffer, & Kaser (2015), the volume-area relationship might fail at small or large glacier sizes that fall outside their observed data (>0.1 km$^2$ and <1000 km$^2$). In this case study it seems to underestimate the more realistic volume reconstructed from geomorphological evidence and the very high correlation (R=0.99 and slope 1.17) is probably due to the highly skewed distribution where the two largest glaciers control slope and correlation. Therefore, we used a power-law relationship in order to infer a new volume-area scaling. The results shown in figure 6b with a linear trend on a log-log plot suggest a different volume-area scaling for small and very small glaciers (area <1.0 km$^2$) down to ice patches of 0.01 km$^2$, as presented in equation 2.

\[ V=0.0329 \cdot S^{1.1509} \quad (2) \]

Thickness evaluation on the basis of the DTM reconstruction (Figure 7) shows maximum LIA values between 80 m and 90 m in Canin, Bavški Grintavec and Triglav glaciers. Canin glacier had the maximum average thickness (41 m, Table 4) and the highest volume (0.0279 km$^3$), approximately double that of Triglav glacier, although its area was more or less 65% of Canin. The LIA average ice thickness was equal to 29 m, while in 2012 is calculated to 7 m. This value is reasonable if compared to what has been found in more detailed volume evaluation recently performed by using ground penetrating radar over the Montasio glacier (average thickness ~ 15 m (Carturan et al., 2013)) and the Canin east (average thickness ~ 12
m (Colucci et al., 2015)) which at present represent the largest-size ice bodies in the area.

Overall, we estimate the post-LIA loss in volume as 96%. Montasio East showed the lowest volume reduction of about 21%, together with Montasio West (22%). Canin and Triglav have reduced dramatically in volume and lost respectively 99.5% and 99.8% of their volume since the LIA, which is in accordance with many alpine glaciers. In 2012, their snouts were hundreds of meters from their frontal morainic ridges. This is not the case for Montasio West and East, Prevala and other ice patches still in contact with their frontal moraines or pronival ramparts. Their damming effect allows significant avalanche-snow accumulation to multiply the winter snow input several-fold, and to better preserve the ice bodies from hotter and longer summers occurred in recent decades (Colucci, 2016, in press). This geomorphic control on small glaciers and ice patches of the Julian Alps is particularly evident if we consider the area response to post-LIA climate warming. The widespread mass balance decrease (excluding avalanches) observed in the last century was not followed by an equivalent area decrease. This is clearly evident in some of the smallest glaciers and ice patches of the Julian Alps. This differential behaviour seems to be characteristic of other maritime areas of the world. For example in the southern Canadian Cordillera and in British Columbia the smallest size glaciers (area < 0.4 km$^2$) have shown no considerable changes in area between 1950s and early 2000s due to local factors able to significantly decrease their sensitivity to climate change (DeBeer & Sharp, 2009; DeBeer & Sharp, 2007). Scotti et al. (2014) presented similar evidence from the central-western Alps, in the Orobie Mountains where maritime glaciers retreated more slowly compared to glaciers in the more continental environments. Well-developed frontal moraine systems and pronival ramparts are portrayed on the Main map. The distance between the ridge crests and the talus foot upslope is often > 30-70 m, which was considered by Ballantyne & Benn (1994) as a threshold conditions for a small firn body to start moving. This suggests a coupled mechanism in the growth of such ridges, partly
due to rotational creep of the ice patch and by gravitational debris supply across the
topographic surface of the ice body. In some cases we observed and mapped breach-lobe
moraines, typical features of glaciers with low dynamics growing more in thickness than in
area (e.g. Žebre & Stepišnik, 2015). The best example was observed over the Ursic frontal
moraine (Main map, section C). The presence of two or more sub-parallel and juxtaposed
frontal moraine ridges is very common in the Julian Alps, testifying to different phases, which
helped the chronology reconstructions of different advances. This is especially the case for
Prevala, Canin, Prestreljenik, Montasio and Krnica, allowing recognition of the 1910-1920
glacier advance observed by Desio (1927) in the Canin area and reported by Gams (1994) for
Triglav. Well-preserved fluted moraines are reported on the western portion of the Canin
glacier area, indicating higher dynamics, likely controlled by topography. The occurrence of
heavy rainfalls and debris flows mainly during summer and autumn is another important
process in the destructive evolution of the ramparts and moraines. Debris flows are also
shown on the Main map, showing how in a few cases entire portions of the frontal ridges have
been completely removed and washed out down valley during major rainfall events. In the
Ponca North avalanche-fed ice patch, sediments are transported up to 500 m downhill from
the wide apex of the ice patch in its central part, where a bergschrund was observed in 2012.
Matthews, Shakesby, Owen, & Vater (2011) reported that the specific topography of sites and
the balance between destructive and constructive processes lead to the formation and
preservation of pronival ramparts in a maritime area of Norway; the same was observed also
in the Julian Alps.

5. Conclusions
A complete characterization of ice bodies in the southeastern European Alps (Julian Alps) has
been realized for the first time. Detailed geomorphological mapping and LiDAR surveys
permitted the evaluation of the evolution of surface topography, thickness and the volume of glaciers in the late Holocene, i.e. at present (2012) and during the LIA maximum. The volume of small glaciers in the Julian Alps decreased drastically, by about 96%. Today only isolated glacierets and ice patches persist, having avalanche feeding and low dynamics. High precipitation permits their existence at the lowest altitude in the Alps.

Software

All stages of the map production, which included georeferencing, digitizing, DTM analyses, data collection and final layout, were carried out using ESRI ArcGis 10.3.1.
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Map design

We prepared the Main map, composed of two maps, having the same locations, but presenting different data. The first map shows the recent (2012) extent of ice bodies in the study area, together with geomorphological features. The second map focusses on the extent of ice bodies during the Little Ice Age, with reconstruction of their surface topography. Because the study area is in two different countries (Italy and Slovenia), to keep the highest possible precision of spatial data, the Italian study areas are presented in the Italian national coordinate system (Monte Mario Italy 2) and the Slovenian study areas in the Slovenian national coordinate system (D96 Slovenia).
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### Table 1. 2014 snow cover thickness in mid-July over Canin glaciers.

| ID  | NAME          | MEAN [m] | MAX [m] | MIN [m] | STD DEV. [m] |
|-----|---------------|----------|---------|---------|--------------|
| J1  | Canin West 1  | 8.66     | 14.7    | 0       | 2.87         |
| J2  | Canin West 2  | 11.56    | 16.04   | 0.11    | 1.96         |
| J3  | Canin East    | 11.67    | 16.19   | 2.02    | 3.03         |
| J7  | Prestreljenik | 10.72    | 16.88   | 4.33    | 1.88         |
| J8  | Prevala       | 15.48    | 19.49   | 8.61    | 1.88         |

### Table 2. Area of glaciers, glacierets and ice patches in 2012.

| ID  | NAME          | AREA (km²) |
|-----|---------------|------------|
| J1  | Canin West 1  | 0.033      |
| J2  | Canin West 2  | 0.024      |
| J3  | Canin East    | 0.024      |
| J4  | Vasto         | 0.001      |
| J5  | Ursic         | 0.005      |
| J6  | Torre Gilberti| 0.004      |
| J7  | Prestreljenik | 0.024      |
| J8  | Prevala       | 0.013      |
| J9  | Cergnala      | 0.012      |
| J10 | Montasio West | 0.071      |
| J11 | Montasio East | 0.038      |
| J12 | Montasio Minor| 0.004      |
| J13 | Studence      | 0.008      |
| J14 | Carnizza - Riofreddo | 0.019   |
| J15 | Bavški Grintavec | 0.022   |
| J16 | Zeleni sneg - Triglav | 0.008  |
| J17 | Triglav minor | 0.004      |
| J18 | Krnica        | 0.029      |
| J19 | Ponca North   | 0.006      |
| J20 | Ponca East    | 0.019      |
| J21 | Low Oltar     | 0.003      |
| J22 | High Oltar    | 0.012      |
| J23 | Dovški križ   | 0.002      |
|     | SUM           | 0.383      |

### Table 3. Area of glaciers at the LIA maximum. In bold ice bodies previously unreported

| ID   | NAME         | AREA (km²) |
|------|--------------|------------|
| JL1  | Canin        | 0.678      |
| JL2  | Ursic        | 0.180      |
| ID  | NAME                               | AVERAGE THICKNESS (km) | VOLUME LOSS (km³ 10⁻³) | 2012 VOLUME (km³ 10⁻³) | LIA VOLUME (km³ 10⁻³) |
|-----|------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| JL1 | Canin                              | 0.041                  | 27.89                   | 0.137                  | 28.03                 |
| JL2 | Ursic                              | 0.015                  | 2.70                    | 0.029                  | 2.73                  |
| JL3 | Prestreljenik                       | 0.019                  | 4.90                    | 0.147                  | 5.05                  |
| JL4 | Prevala                            | 0.010                  | 0.50                    | 0.073                  | 0.57                  |
| JL5 | Cergnala                           | 0.016                  | 2.01                    | 0.065                  | 2.07                  |
| JL6 | Montasio West                      | 0.029                  | 2.90                    | 0.822                  | 3.72                  |
| JL7 | Montasio East                      | 0.021                  | 1.30                    | 0.351                  | 1.65                  |
| JL8 | Montasio Minor                     | 0.018                  | 0.09                    | 0.016                  | 0.11                  |
| JL9 | Studence                           | 0.016                  | 0.30                    | 0.042                  | 0.34                  |
| JL10| Carnizza - Riofreddo               | 0.017                  | 0.99                    | 0.137                  | 1.13                  |
| JL11| Bavški Grintavec                   | 0.032                  | 1.90                    | 0.157                  | 2.06                  |
| JL12| Zeleni sneg - Triglav              | 0.031                  | 13.80                   | 0.029                  | 13.83                 |
| JL13| Krnica                             | 0.027                  | 1.70                    | 0.243                  | 1.94                  |
| JL14| Ponca North                        | 0.017                  | 0.40                    | 0.042                  | 0.44                  |
| JL15| Ponca East                         | 0.018                  | 1.20                    | 0.232                  | 1.43                  |
| JL16| Low Oltar                          | 0.011                  | 0.20                    | 0.042                  | 0.24                  |

Table 4. Average thickness of glaciers during the LIA on the basis of present topographic surface, loss of glacier volume since the LIA, 2012 calculated volume from Bahr et al. (1997) and Bahr et al. (2005), and LIA total volume calculated from geomorphological evidence and topography reconstruction.
|   |             |     |     |     |     |
|---|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| JL17 | High Oltar | 0.019 | 0.80 | 0.099 | 0.90 |
| JL18 | Dovški križ | 0.023 | 1.90 | 0.011 | 1.91 |
| JL19 | Kredarica  | 0.017 | 0.40 | 0.002 | 0.40 |
| **SUM** |             | **65.88** | **2.68** | **68.55** |
Figure captions

Figure 1. a) The map of Raccolana Valley drawn by Giacomo Savorgnan di Brazzà in 1880; in b) a detail of Canin glaciers, and in c) the map of Canin glaciers in 1908 produced by Olinto Marinelli, using terrestrial photogrammetric measurements.

Figure 2. a) Photo of Canin Eastern glacier made in 1948 by Dino di Colbertaldo, and b) in 2012.

Figure 3. a) Aerial view of Montasio West glacier in 1948, and b) in 2011 (Service Information System and e-government, Region Friuli Venezia Giulia). Debris cover in the lower part of the glacier (upper part of the pictures) is clearly visible in 2011, while area change between 1948 and 2011 appears not particularly significant.

Figure 4. Location of the Julian Alps. Studied sites are marked with A, B, C, D, E, F, G and H. Base topography: ASTER GDEM (http://gdem.ersdac.jspacesystems.or.jp/).

Figure 5. Shaded relief of the Canin area on 13 September 2006 (a), in mid-August 2014 (b) and difference in snow cover between a and b (c). Base topography of map a: LiDAR data Italy 2006 (Civil Defense of Friuli Venezia Giulia, 2006). Base topography of maps b and d: LiDAR data Slovenia 2014-2015 (Geodetic Institute of Slovenia, 2014-2015).

Figure 6. (a) Scatter plot of LIA glacier volumes (DTM volume estimation vs volume-area scaling volume calculation) for all the LIA glaciers in the Julian Alps and, (b) considering only the smallest size glaciers having an area < 0.1 km².

Figure 7. Estimation of the loss of ice thickness since the LIA. IDs of glaciers during the LIA are written in white-blue colour. White polygons mark recent extension of glacial bodies. Base topography of maps A, B, C and D: LiDAR data Italy 2006 (Civil Defense of Friuli
Venezia Giulia, 2006). Base topography of maps E, F, G and H: LiDAR data Slovenia 2014-2015 (Geodetic Institute of Slovenia, 2014-2015).
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| ID  | Name            | Area [km²] | ID  | Name            | Area [km²] |
|-----|-----------------|------------|-----|-----------------|------------|
| J1  | Cairn West 1    | 0.032      | J13 | Sludense        | 0.029      |
| J2  | Cairn West 2    | 0.024      | J14 | Damizza - Radiciolo | 0.019 |
| J3  | Cairn East     | 0.024      | J15 | Zebric - Matesini | 0.015 |
| J4  | Viznes          | 0.003      | J16 | Zebric - Matesini | 0.015 |
| J5  | Lamerio        | 0.025      | J17 | Trigoso - Matesini | 0.004 |
| J6  | Torno Olivari   | 0.004      | J18 | Trigoso - Matesini | 0.029 |
| J7  | Piemonte       | 0.024      | J19 | Pianca - Volo | 0.026 |
| J8  | Pratella        | 0.013      | J20 | Pianca - Volo | 0.019 |
| J9  | Cervara        | 0.010      | J21 | Lasta - Chiesa | 0.011 |
| J10 | Monte Roseto   | 0.071      | J22 | Lasta - Chiesa | 0.021 |
| J11 | Monte Roseto   | 0.036      | J23 | Monte Roseto | 0.022 |
| J12 | Monte Roseto   | 0.004      | J24 | Monte Roseto | 0.002 |

Base topography of maps A, B, C, D, IGAR data
May 2000, July 2000, Discipline of Cartography, Satellite, Remote Sensing Centre, University of Trieste
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Area of glaciers in the Little Ice Age and loss of glacier volume since the Little Ice Age

ID Name Area [km²] Volume [km³] ID Name Area [km²] Volume [km³]
JL1 Camp 0.670 27.9 JL11 Brešiči Grozobec 0.096 1.8
JL2 Ursic 0.102 4.7 JL12 Zeleni splen - Triglav 0.462 13.8
JL3 Musljak 0.219 4.5 JL13 Vrata 0.059 1.7
JL4 Prevala 0.091 0.6 JL14 Fontana North 0.022 0.4
JL5 Gergula 0.121 1.5 JL15 Fontana East 0.386 12.1
JL6 Zarnisco West 0.160 2.0 JL16 Low Ober 0.026 0.3
JL7 Zarnisco East 0.060 0.7 JL17 High Ober 0.142 0.6
JL8 Monte Vito 0.022 0.1 JL18 Dovili I/2 0.263 1.0
JL9 Skole 0.020 0.3 JL19 Prevala 0.025 0.4
JL10 Camosci 0.001 0.0
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