Electronic and magnetic properties of single-layer MPX$_3$ metal phosphorous trichalcogenides
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We survey the electronic structure and magnetic properties of two dimensional (2D) MPX$_3$ (M= V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, and X = S, Se, Te) transition metal chalcogenophosphates to shed light on their potential role as single-layer van der Waals materials that possess magnetic order. Our ab initio calculations predict that most of these single-layer materials are antiferromagnetic semiconductors. The band gaps of the antiferromagnetic states decrease as the atomic number of the chalcogen atom increases (from S to Se to Te), leading in some cases to half-metallic ferromagnetic states or to non-magnetic metallic states. We find that the competition between antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic states can be substantially influenced by gating and by strain engineering. The sensitive interdependence we find between magnetic, structural, and electronic properties establishes the potential of this 2D materials class for applications in spintronics.

PACS numbers: 75.70.Ak,85.75.Hh,77.80.B-,75.30.Kz,75.50.Pp

I. INTRODUCTION

Following seminal studies that reported on the exfoliation of a stable single-layer graphene and on transport measurements with nearly ideal Dirac fermion fingerprints,[12] research on ultrathin two dimensional (2D) materials has emerged during the last decade as one of the most active research topics in condensed matter physics. Two-dimensional materials are interesting in part because their properties can be modified in situ by adjusting gate voltages. Recently, the focus of 2D materials research has expanded beyond graphene to include other layered van der Waals materials with a variety of distinct physical properties.[13] For example, one popular class of 2D materials is the transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDC),[14] which includes metals, semiconductors with exceptionally strong light-matter coupling,[15] and broken symmetry electronic states including ones with charge density wave and superconducting order.[16] Magnetic order has, however, not yet been established in any two-dimensional material. Room-temperature magnetism in a single-layer material is an extremely attractive materials target because of the expectation that it might provide unprecedented electrical control of magnetism and enable new classes of information processing devices that incorporate non-volatile memory elements more intimately.

One strategy to search theoretically for magnetism in isolated two-dimensional van der Waals materials is to explore the magnetic properties of single-layers exfoliated from a bulk material that exhibits robust magnetic order. Following this approach, recent theoretical studies have proposed a number of potential magnetic single-layer van der Waals materials, including group-V based dichalcogenides,[17] FeBr$_3$, the chromium based ternary trilurrides CrSiTe$_3$ and CrGeTe$_3$,[18-19] CrX$_3$ trihalides,[20-21] and MnPX$_3$ ternary chalcogenides.[22-23] The vanadium based dichalcogenides, VX$_2$ (X=S, Se) were proposed first and are predicted to have strain tunable ferromagnetic phases.[21] The trihalides CrX$_3$ (X = F, Cl, Br, I)[21] are new classes of semiconducting ferromagnetic materials with Curie temperature predictions of $T_C < 100$ K.

The bulk ternary trilurrides CrATe$_3$ (A = Si, Ge)[24] were predicted within LDA to be ferromagnetic with small band gaps of 0.04 and 0.06 eV respectively. Their few layers limits have recently been studied in temperature dependent transport experiments.[25] The anisotropy along the c-axis and the dynamic correlations in the ab-plane seen by elastic and inelastic neutron scattering are characteristic of 2D magnetism.[17] In the single layer limit CrSiTe$_3$ is a semiconductor with a GGA gap of 0.4 eV[26] substantially larger than its bulk value, and has a negative thermal expansion.[22] Reports differ on the most stable magnetic phase between ferromagnetic[17,27] or antiferromagnetic.[13] For larger atomic number compounds like CrGeTe$_3$ and CrSnTe$_3$, DFT predicts ferromagnetic semiconducting phases with Curie temperatures between 80-170K.[28-29]

We focus here on single-layer materials formed from compounds in the transition metal phosphorous trichalcogenide (MPX$_3$) family, which are known to exhibit magnetism for M=Mn and for a number of other metal atom species. Metal phosphorous trichalcogenides are cousins of CrSiTe$_3$, but are so far less studied for 2D magnetism. According to one recent study monolayer MnPSe$_3$ and MnPS$_3$ exhibit Neel antiferromagnetism[22] and valley-dependent optical properties.[30] The structures and magnetic properties of some bulk compounds from this family have already been extensively studied.[31-33] Because of the van der Waals character of these materials, one focus of bulk materials research is to characterize ion intercalation properties.[34] For 2D magnetic materials to be most useful in device applications it is desirable to seek pathways to increase the critical temperature at which they order magnetically. We compare theoretical predictions for a variety of late 3d transition metals (M= V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn) and consider all there chalcogen atoms (X = S, Se, Te) in an effort to explore the magnetic phases that can be expected as fully as possible. We study how the electronic bands are modified when the magnetic state undergoes a transition from antiferromagnetic to ferromagnetic, or from magnetic to non-magnetic. Our results confirm the expected strong in-
terdependence between magnetism and structural properties, for example lattice constant and crystal symmetry, and explain a surprisingly strong dependence of exchange interaction strengths on electron density and strain. Because these materials may have relatively strong correlations, ab initio density-functional theory is not able to make quantitatively reliable predictions for all properties. Nevertheless our survey provides considerable insight into materials-property trends, and into the potential for engineering the magnetic properties of these materials using field effects and strain engineering.

Our paper is structured in the following manner. We start in Sec. II by briefly summarizing some specific details of our first principles electronic structure calculations. In Sec. III we discuss our results for ground-state properties including structure, magnetic properties, and electronic band structures and densities-of-states. Sec. IV is devoted to an analysis of the carrier-density dependence of the magnetic ground state, and to a study of the influence of strain on the magnetic phase diagram. Finally we close the paper in Sec. V with a summary and discussion of our results.

II. *AB INITIO* CALCULATION DETAILS

The study of the ground-state electronic structure and magnetic properties in this work has been carried out using plane-wave density functional theory as implemented in Quantum Espresso. We have used the Rappe-Rabe-Kaxiras-Joannopoulos ultrasoft (RRKJUS) pseudopotentials for the semi-local Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) generalized gradient approximation (GGA) together with the VdW-D2 correction. We choose the GGA+D2 as a reference calculation because of the overall improvement of the GGA over the LDA for covalent bond description, and add the longer ranged D2 correction to improve the description of binding between the layers. (The GGA typically performs poorly for van der Waals bonds.) The magnetic solutions have also been compared with calculations employing the DFT+U scheme, using the same value of $U = 4$ eV and alternatively using onsite repulsion $U$ values that saturate the magnitude of the band gap. Comparisons were made with hybrid HSE+D2 functionals to assess non-local exchange effects that could further influence the magnetic ground states. All structures were optimized without constraints until the forces on each atom reached $10^{-5}$ Ry/au. The self-consistency criteria for total energies has been set at $10^{-10}$ Ry and momentum space integrals were performed using a regularly spaced k-point sampling density of $16 \times 16 \times 1$ for the triangular lattice case and $16 \times 8 \times 1$ for the rectangular lattice case, with a plane wave energy cutoff between 60 to 90 Ry. For the HSE+D2 calculation we used a coarser effective k-point sampling of $4 \times 4 \times 1$. The out-of-plane vertical size of the periodic supercell was chosen to be 25 Å, which typically leaves an adequate vacuum spacing greater than 10 Å between two-dimensional layers.
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**FIG. 1:** (Color online) Schematic structure of the transition metal phosphorus trichalcogenide MPX$_3$ compounds with $(P_2X_6)^{4-}$ bipyramids that enclose metal atoms. a. Atomic structure of a MPX$_3$ monolayer. The rectangular supercell referred to in the main text is indicated by black dashed lines and the smaller triangular lattice unit cell is represented by red solid lines. Each triangular unit cell contains a transition metal atoms(M) honeycomb sublattice. The phosphorus (P) dimers are perpendicular to the plane at the center of each honeycomb lattice hexagon, and three sulphur atoms are bound to each of the P atoms. The top and bottom chalcogen trimer have a relative in-plane twist of 60° degrees. b. The lowest energy 3D-bulk structure consists of individual layers stacked in an ABC sequence. We present the structure from three viewpoints, a side view, a top in which the phosphorus atoms within a single layer lie on top of each other, and a view in which the transition metal atoms of adjacent layers lie on top of each other.

III. STRUCTURAL AND MAGNETIC PROPERTIES

The atomic structure of MPX$_3$ transition metal chalcogenophosphate layers is anchored by $(P_2X_6)^{4-}$ bipyramids arranged in a triangular lattice that provide enclosures for transition metal atoms. (See Fig. 1 for a schematic illustration of the single layer unit cell and the bulk atomic structure.) The bulk crystals consists of ABC-stacked single layer assemblies that are held together by van der Waals forces. Although the atomic structures of single layer transition metal phosphorous trichalcogenide crystals are similar to those of bulk crystals, small changes appear in response to the absence of the interlayer coupling, with
distortions in the ground-state crystal geometries correlated mainly with the magnetic phase. The analysis of magnetic properties is simplified by the fact that the magnetic moments develop almost entirely at the metal atom sites.

We calculate the magnetic ground-state and meta-stable magnetic configurations by identifying the energy extrema obtained by iterating self-consistent field equations to convergence starting from magnetic initial conditions that can be classified as either Néel antiferromagnetic (AFM), or ferromagnetic (FM), or nonmagnetic (NM) states. The calculation indicates that most 2D MPX$_3$ crystals are semiconductors with localized magnetic moments that are ordered antiferromagnetically. We find that the use of chalcogen atoms with larger atomic numbers tends to yield smaller energy gaps between valence and conduction bands. In the following we present an analysis of the structural and magnetic properties of representative 3d transition metal MPX$_3$ trichalcogenides, and discuss their underlying electronic band structures.

**A. Structural properties**

The MPX$_3$ compounds that we study consist of V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, and Zn transition metal atoms with 3d valence electrons, combined with three different chalcogen atoms S, Se, and Te. We thus expand our study beyond the most common crystals in this class, the MnPS$_3$, FePS$_3$, CoPS$_3$, NiPS$_3$, ZnPS$_3$ thiophosphates whose bulk structure had been explored in past experiments. We have optimized MPX$_3$ lattice structures using the unit cell shown in Fig. 1 for single layers and assuming ABC stacking for bulk. Given this framework, structures are characterized by the value of the in-plane lattice constant $a$, the (P-P) distance between the phosphorous atoms, the (M-M) distance between metal atoms, and the (P-X) distance between phosphorous atoms and chalcogen atoms. The variation in the magnitude of these bond lengths as a function of the metal and chalcogen atom species, and magnetic configuration is illustrated in Fig. 2 and summarized numerically in Table II in the Supplemental Material. Results are presented for all metastable magnetic states. The structures have a simple dependence on chalcogen atom size, with larger in-plane lattice constants and monolayer thicknesses (P-P distances) for larger chalcogens. However, the dependence of structure on metal atomic number is not straightforward. Experiments in the bulk MPS$_3$ observed a close correlation between the radius of the metal cation and the P-P distance of the thiophosphate bipyramid. The non-monotonic variation of the metal cation radius with respect to atomic number is reflected in the P-P distance trend. However, our calculations show that bond lengths correlate more strongly with magnetic state than with metal atomic number.

P-P distances range between 2.15–2.3 Å while M-M bond lengths vary by about 5%. The M-M bond lengths within an hexagon can be unequal, distorting the hexagonal lattice arrangement of the metal atoms as illustrated in Fig. S1 in the Supplemental Material. In general ferromagnetic states have the largest lattice constants, and antiferromagnets have intermediate lattice constants. The fact that the structural properties of these crystals are correlated with their magnetic configurations suggests the possibility of controlling magnetic properties by straining the lattice, as we will discuss more in detail in a later section.

The comparison between lattice parameters calculated with GGA+D2 and the experimental bulk structure is summarized in Table V. The comparison of the GGA and LDA lattice parameters in Table I of the Supplemental Material indicates important discrepancies between the GGA and LDA, and is consistent with the tendency of the LDA to overbind covalent bonds. The stronger LDA bonds leads to a global reduction of lattice constant and to a stronger distortion of the metal honeycombs. Within the LDA we find optimized lattice parameters that are (2-5%) shorter than in bulk experiments. The GGA agreement is good (0.5-1.6%) for MnPS$_3$, FePS$_3$, CoPS$_3$, NiPS$_3$ and ZnPS$_3$. 

![FIG. 2: (Color online) Summary of key structure metrics (in-plane lattice constant, P-P bond length, M-M bond length and P-X bond length) in single-layer MPX$_3$ compounds. Bond lengths increase with the size of the chalcogen atom (S- square, Se - circle, Te - star) and a strong systematic dependence on whether the magnetic state is NM (non-magnetic - orange), FM (ferromagnetic - blue), or antiferromagnetic (AFM-green), but have a more complex non-monotonic dependence on metal atomic number.](image-url)
Magnetic interactions can be mainly mediated by indirect exchange through the intermediate chalcogen and P atoms. Magnetic interactions between the metal atoms are therefore direct hybridization with other transition metal atoms. The phorous trichalcogenide bipyramidal cages, and have weak crystals, transition metal atoms are contained within phosphorous atoms sites in the ferromagnetic configuration. In 2D MPX compounds, transition metal atoms are found antiferromagnetic and analogous to non-collinear magnetization calculations are on the order of ~160 μeV per formula unit for the FM compounds CrPSe3, CrPSe3 and on the order of ~1000 μeV per formula unit for the AFM compounds MnPS3, MnPSe3 with magnetization favored perpendicular to the plane. For this reason, in making the Tc estimates that we describe below, we take the Ising limit of this spin-Hamiltonian. By evaluating the three independent energy differences between the four magnetic configurations[18,24,62] illustrated in Fig 3 ferromagnetic (FM), Néel (AFM), zigzag AFM (zAFM), and stripy AFM (sAFM), and assuming that the magnetic interactions are short range, we can extract the nearest neighbor (J1), second neighbor (J2), and third neighbor (J3) coupling constants:

\[
H = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j
\]  

where \(\vec{S}_i\) is the total spin magnetic moment of the atomic site \(i\). \(J_{ij}\) is the exchange coupling parameter between two local spins, and the prefactor 1/2 accounts for the double-counting. The estimated magnetic anisotropy energies that we obtained from non-collinear magnetization calculations are on the order of ~160 μeV per formula unit for the FM compounds CrPSe3, CrPSe3 and on the order of ~1000 μeV per formula unit for the AFM compounds MnPS3, MnPSe3 with magnetization favored perpendicular to the plane. For this reason, in making the Tc estimates that we describe below, we take the Ising limit of this spin-Hamiltonian. By evaluating the three independent energy differences between the four magnetic configurations[18,24,62] illustrated in Fig 3 ferromagnetic (FM), Néel (AFM), zigzag AFM (zAFM), and stripy AFM (sAFM), and assuming that the magnetic interactions are short range, we can extract the nearest neighbor (J1), second neighbor (J2), and third neighbor (J3) coupling constants:

\[
E_{FM} - E_{AFM} = 3(J_1 + J_3) S_A \cdot S_B
\]

\[
E_{AFM} - E_{zAFM} = (J_1 - 3J_3) S_A \cdot S_B
\]

\[
E_{FM} + E_{AFM} - E_{zAFM} = 8J_2 S_A \cdot S_B
\]

where \(S_X\) is the average spin magnetic moment on the honeycomb sublattice \(X\). The total energies of the zAFM and sAFM magnetic configurations, which must be calculated using a rectangular supercell, usually have higher energies than the average of the AFM solutions and FM solutions. The larger rectangular unit cell required for describing complex magnetic interactions imposes certain restrictions that restricts the relaxation of the lattices when compared to the triangular unit cell. For the case of FePSe3, however, the zAFM has the lowest energy. The average magnetic moment \(\langle S \rangle\) at each lattice site obtained within the GGA+D2 and GGA+D2+U are listed in Table III.

FIG. 3: (Color online) Top view of different magnetic ordering arrangements (a) ferromagnetic, (b) Néel antiferromagnet, (c) zigzag antiferromagnet and (d) stripy antiferromagnetic. In MPX compounds, the double countings, the Phosphorous trichalcogenide bipyramidal cages, and have weak magnetic interactions between the metal atoms are therefore direct hybridization with other transition metal atoms. The exchange interactions between the metal atoms are therefore mainly mediated by indirect exchange through the intermediate chalcogen and P atoms. Magnetic interactions can be extracted from ab initio electronic structure calculations by comparing the ground state energies of different magnetic configurations. We compare the energies of antiferromagnetic, ferromagnetic, and nonmagnetic states in V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Ni based compounds in Table VIII where we find that the AFM phase is normally favored over the FM phase.

Because our calculations show that the magnetic moments are concentrated at the metal atoms sites we can characterize the magnetic properties of 2D MPX compounds by mapping the energy landscape to an effective classical spin Hamiltonian on a honeycomb lattice:
See the Supplemental Material for the plot of the representative results for the temperature dependent heat capacity.

The calculated average values of the magnetic moments in the AFM configuration vary widely, assuming the values 2.01, 3.14, 4.16, 3.2, 0.8 and 1.14 $\mu_B$ for V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co and Ni based sulphides. (See Table III). The magnitudes of the magnetic moments at the metal atoms generally have relatively small differences between different magnetic configurations, between 3%~10%, while larger variations are found in CoPS$_3$ and NiPSe$_3$. Within GGA+D2 approximation we find that the magnetic moments in 2D MPX$_3$ develop almost entirely at the metal atom sites. In comparison the phosphorous and chalcogens in the bulk structure do acquire a small spin polarization that can be attributed to interlayer coupling. The use of onsite U introduces a small spin polarization enhancement at non-metal atom sites.

C. Band structure and density of states

Understanding the electronic properties of 2D MPX$_3$ layers is an essential stepping stone on the path toward possible integration in nanodevices. For spintronic applications of magnetic 2D materials, which seek to couple charge and spin degrees of freedom, it is desirable to understand how the electronic structure depends on the type of magnetic order. The spin resolved band structures of the lowest energy spin configuration, calculated within the GGA+D2 approximation, are presented in Fig. 12 and the associated densities-of-states are presented in Fig. 15 and the projected partial density of states (PDOS) results for various MPX$_3$ compounds presented in Fig. 6. Single layer MPX$_3$ with $M = V$, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn transition metal atoms and $X = S$, Se, Te chalcogen atoms are considered. Band structures for higher energy spin configurations are included in the Supplemental Material.

We find that AFMs are almost always gapped semiconductors, that the FM states are metallic, and that the NM phases can be either semiconducting or metallic. It follows that the MPX$_3$ class of materials includes almost all of the behaviors being studied in current spintronics research, including importantly both antiferromagnets and ferromagnets and both metals and insulators.

The AFM band structures for V and Mn based compounds in Fig. 12 show semiconductor behavior and reveal conduction band edges that are near the $K$-points, whereas the valence band edges are between $\Gamma$ and $K$. This valence bands near the Fermi energy are energetically separated from deeper lying valence bands. We notice from the density of states plot in Fig. 3 that there is strong sensitivity of the electronic structure to the choice of electron-electron interaction model, both for the HSE+D2 approximation containing non-local exchange and for on-site repulsion enhancement introduced through a Hubbard U parameter. The analysis of the orbital projected partial density of states in Fig. 6 for the AFM compounds reveals that the conduction band edges have an important contribution from the s and p orbitals of the P atom while for the valence band edges the chalcogen atom orbitals have an importance presence. Other atoms do contribute near the band edges, e. g. for the V based compounds the d-orbitals are an important fraction of the valence band edge while for the Mn based compounds they lie at deeper energies. We can thus expect that surface functionalization and variations in the carrier density at the surface chalcogens will have a more immediate impact in the valence bands than the conduction bands. The AFM compounds containing Fe and Ni result in non-magnetic solutions when heavier chalcogen atoms are used within our GGA+D2 calculation. The case of FePS$_3$ has a lower energy configuration the zigzag AFM phase.

For MPX$_3$ compounds the FM configurations are metallic as a general rule. Within our GGA+D2 approximation only the Cr based chalcogenophosphates have FM ground states, while they favor the AFM phase when the onsite U is added, see the Supplemental Material for GGA+D2+U results. We find that the FM configurations are often meta-stable local minima solutions in MPX$_3$ compounds whose lowest energy solutions are AFM. The FM configurations are found in metallic phase at charge neutrality and give rise to half-metallic solutions for MnPS$_3$ and NiPS$_3$. The half-metallicity could be achieved also in MnPSe$_3$ when carrier doped away from neutrality. For the MnPS$_3$ and MnPSe$_3$ compounds we can observe a distinct population of the carriers for the hole and electron sides where doping populate orbitals located at the chalcogen and P atoms respectively.

The electronic properties of NM configurations are also presented in Figs. 12-15. The NM layers could be potentially interesting if magnetic phases could be induced by forming vertical heterojunctions with magnetic materials. Unexpectedly, one typically magnetic element (Co) turns out to be non-magnetic in MPX$_3$ compounds. As shown in Fig. 12 the band structure of these non-magnetic materials can be either metallic (Co, Ni, Cu based compounds), semiconducting (Fe), or be a wide gap insulator (Zn).

We now turn our attention to the magnitude of the band gaps of the semiconducting and insulating 2D MPX$_3$ chalcogenophosphates calculated within the GGA approximation, and using other approximations that partially account for Coulomb correlation through non-local exchange or a Hubbard U, are shown in Table IV. These gaps are consistently larger when calculated using the hybrid HSE+D2 approximation which includes non-local exchange in the energy functional. A smaller gap enhancement is obtained using a +U interaction correction. Band gaps are gradually reduced when S is replaced by heavier chalcogen atoms.

The corresponding density of states (DOS), plotted in Fig. 5 shows the strong influence on the ground-state electronic structure when Coulomb correlations are included. Note in particular the impact of the non-local Coulomb exchange included in the HSE approximation. This suggests that the physics of MPX$_3$ compounds can be dominated by correlation effects and modeling will be most successful when we rely on effective models that feed from experimental input or high level ab initio calculations.

The orbital content of the valence and conduction band edges that are most relevant for studies of carrier-density dependent magnetic properties can be extracted from the orbital
FIG. 4: (Color online) GGA+D2 band structures for single layer MPX$_3$ compounds in their lowest energy magnetic configuration for M = V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, and Zn transition metal atoms and X = S, Se, Te chalcogen atoms. The plotted band structures were calculated using the triangular structural unit cell, except for the case of FePS$_3$ which is predicted to have a larger periodicity $z$AFM (see text) magnetic structure that has a triangular unit cell with a doubled lattice constant. The bands are violet for AFM configurations, violet and orange for the up and down split spin bands in FM configurations, and green in NM phases. We note that the AFM phases have semiconducting band gaps and that the FM phases are metallic, while the non-magnetic phases can be either metallic or semiconducting. An overall reduction of the band gaps is observed when we use heavier chalcogen atoms, in keeping with the reduction of the covalent bond energy in larger shell orbitals.

Projected partial density of states (PDOS) results for various MPX$_3$ compounds presented in Fig. 5. Depending on the specific composition and on the magnetic configuration of the material under consideration, the valence and conduction band edge orbitals can be dominated by metal, phosphorous or chalcogen atoms. A detailed study on the influence of the Coulomb interaction model on the localization properties of the wave functions and their influence on the exchange coupling in MPX$_3$ compounds will be presented elsewhere.

IV. TUNABILITY OF MAGNETIC PROPERTIES

Two dimensional magnetic materials are of interest primarily because of the prospect that their properties might be more effectively altered by tuning parameters that are available in
FIG. 5: (Color online) Total density of states (DOS) for the magnetic ground-states in Fig. 12 calculated using three different exchange-correlation energy functional calculations: GGA+D2, HSE+D2, and GGA+D2+U. We have placed the valence band edge at $E = 0$. Overall band gap enhancements are found for the semiconducting phases when additional electron-electron interactions are approximated as non-local exchange corrections in HSE+D2 and as onsite repulsion corrections in GGA+U. Both HSE and GGA+U enhance the band gaps. Other features in the DOS plots also depend on the DFT exchange-correlation functional approximation.
FIG. 6: (Color online) Orbitally projected partial density of states (PDOS) calculated for self-consistently converged ground-state magnetic configurations. The zero of energy is chosen at the valence band edge. From the PDOS of the various MPX₃ compounds we observe that the orbital content of the valence and conduction band edges vary widely. For the compounds showing carrier-density dependent magnetism the states closest to the Fermi energy generally have an important contribution from the p-orbitals of the chalcogen and P atoms.
Two potentially important control knobs that can be exploited experimentally in two-dimensional-material based nano-devices are carrier-density and strain. The dependence of magnetic properties on carrier density is particularly interesting because it provides a convenient route for electrical manipulation of magnetic properties. In this section we explore the possibility of tailoring the electronic and magnetic properties of MPX$_3$ ultrathin layers by adjusting carrier density or by subjecting the MPX$_3$ layers to external strains.

**A. Field-effect modification of magnetic properties**

The goal of modifying the magnetic properties of a material simply by applying a gate voltage is a holy grail in magneto-electronics because it could allow magnetically stored information to be written at negligible energy cost. Electric field control of magnetic order has been demonstrated in a number of materials. In ferromagnetic semiconductors the mechanism is carrier density variation in thin films which leads to a modification of the magnetic exchange interaction and magnetic anisotropy. In thin films of ferromagnetic metals, gate volt-
ages can vary the Fermi level position at the interface, which governs the magnetic anisotropy of the metal.\textsuperscript{67,69} We leave to future work a complete microscopic analysis of the response of a MPX\textsubscript{3} layer, acting as one electrode of a capacitor, to a gate voltage. Here we capture the most important response by simply examining the dependence of magnetic properties on carrier density. In doing so we neglect the possible role of charge polarization within a MPX\textsubscript{3} layer. Fig. 7 summarizes the theoretically predicted trends in competition between AFM and FM states in 2D MPX\textsubscript{3} compounds with $M = V, \text{ Cr}, \text{ Mn}, \text{ Fe}, \text{ Ni}$ and $X = S, \text{ Se}, \text{ Te}$. We find that when the magnetic ground state is AFM ($M = V, \text{ Mn}, \text{ Ni}, \text{ Fe}$), transitions to FM states are driven by sufficiently large electron or hole carrier densities. The origin of this trend is easy to understand. Because the FM state is gapless the energy changes associated with adding one electron and removing one electron are identical. In the gapped AFM states they differ by the energy gap $E_{\text{gap}}$. It follows that the energy difference per area unit between antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic states $\delta E = E_{\text{AFM}} - E_{\text{FM}}$ is given at low carrier densities by

$$\delta E(n) = \delta E_0 + \frac{E_{\text{gap}}}{2} + \delta \mu)$$

$$\delta E(p) = \delta E_0 + \frac{E_{\text{gap}}}{2} - \delta \mu)$$

where $n$ is the carrier density of $n$-type samples, $p$ is the carrier density of $p$-type samples, $\delta E_0$ is the energy difference per area unit between antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic states in neutral MPX\textsubscript{3} sheets, and $\delta \mu$ is the difference between the mid-gap energy of the antiferromagnetic semiconductors and the chemical potential of the ferromagnetic metals. Introducing $n$-carriers is most effective in driving a transition from antiferromagnetic to ferromagnetic states when $\delta \mu$ is positive, whereas introducing $p$-carriers is most effective when $\delta \mu$ is negative. By comparing with Fig. 7 we conclude that $\delta \mu$ is small in most cases, but large and negative in MnPS\textsubscript{3} and MnPSe\textsubscript{3}. Because the energy difference per formula unit between ferro and antiferromagnetic states is much smaller than the energy gap, a transition between antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic states can be driven by carrier density changes per formula unit that are much smaller than one - especially so when $\delta \mu$ plays a favorable role. In particular we see in Fig. 7 that a transition between ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic states are predicted in MnPS\textsubscript{3} and MnPSe\textsubscript{3} at hole carrier densities that are $\sim 10^{14}$cm$^{-2}$, which corresponds to about $\sim 0.16$ electrons per formula unit. Changes of this magnitude can be achieved by ionic liquid or gel gating. Since this size of carrier density is sufficient to completely change the character of the magnetic order, we can expect substantial changes in magnetic energy landscapes at much smaller carrier densities. Transitions between ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic states are predicted in most MPX\textsubscript{3} compounds. Our calculations show that the FM solution is the preferred stable magnetic configuration in almost all cases when the system is subject to large electron or hole densities in the range above a few times $\pm 10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$. The DOS and PDOS shown in Figs. 5-6 in the main text and in Figs. 5-10 in the Supplemental Material may suggest that a Stoner ferromagnetic instability is at play when the peaks near the band edges are sufficiently prominent. Our calculations therefore motivate efforts to find materials which can be used to establish good electrical contacts to MPX\textsubscript{3} compounds, and in particular to the valence bands of MnPS\textsubscript{3} and MnPSe\textsubscript{3}. For the Cr based compounds, whose behavior is different, the ground states at zero carrier density is FM and we find that a transition to an AFM state can occur for $n$-doped systems.

### B. Strain-tunable magnetic properties

The membrane-like flexibility of ultrathin 2D materials makes them suitable platforms for tailoring the electronic structure properties by means of strains. Representative examples on effects of strains in 2D materials properties that have been discussed in recent literature include the observation of Landau-level like density of states near high curvature graphene bubble\textsuperscript{70}, or the commensuration moire patterns that opens up a band gap at the primary Dirac point in graphene on hexagonal boron nitride for sufficiently long moire patterns\textsuperscript{71,72}. The sensitive variation of the different M-M, P-P, M-X bond lengths as a function of magnetic configuration we have presented earlier in Sec. 11 suggests that strains can be used as switches to trigger magnetic phase transitions or alter the stability of the magnetic phases by modifying the total energy difference with respect to the non-magnetic phases. Here we have carried out calculations of the total energies for different magnetic phases to explore the influence of expansive or compressive in-plane biaxial and uniaxial strains and the effects of external pressure along the out-of-plane axis in 2D MPX\textsubscript{3} materials. The compressive and expansive biaxial strains have been modeled through uniform scaling of the rectangular unit cell in Fig. 1 and likewise the uniaxial strains are modeled by scaling the unit cell either in the zigzag or armchair directions. We notice that generally the effects of uniform biaxial versus uniaxial strains introduce modifications in the magnetic phase energy difference total energies that are qualitatively similar for the compressive or expansive strains, and that the effects are stronger for biaxial strains when compared to uniaxial strains which do not show a noticeable difference between the zigzag or armchair directions. Pressure strains along the out of plane vertical c-axis have been applied by artificially modifying the P-P distance and we find for MnPS\textsubscript{3} a clear transition from AFM to FM with the total energy difference $E_{\text{AFM}} - E_{\text{FM}}$ changing from $-93$ meV to $268$ meV per formula unit in the presence of a $-4\%$ bond length shortening strain for the P-P distance. Relatively large strains are required to alter the magnetic properties in compounds like MnPS\textsubscript{3} but other systems such as CrPS\textsubscript{3}, FePS\textsubscript{3}, FePSe\textsubscript{3} NiPS\textsubscript{3} and VPTe\textsubscript{3} the magnetic phase transitions can be achieved for smaller strains as they have relatively smaller total energy differences between the magnetic phases. We find a particularly sensitive transition near charge neutrality for FePS\textsubscript{3}, FePSe\textsubscript{3} and VPTe\textsubscript{3} where strains on the order of $\sim 1\%$ is enough to switch between different magnetic configurations.
FIG. 8: (Color online) Influence of in-plane strain on the magnetic configurations of different MPX$_3$ compounds. Magnetic phase transitions are introduced by in-plane biaxial compressive and expansive strains for several magnetic MPX$_3$ compounds at zero carrier density. Particularly sensitive strain dependence is seen for FePS$_3$, FePSe$_3$ and VPTe$_3$ where small strains on the order of 1% of the lattice constant can switch the magnetic phases.

V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In this paper we have carried out an ab initio study of the MPX$_3$ transition metal phosphorous trichalcogenide class of two-dimensional materials. We have considered different combinations of 3d metals (M = V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn) and chalcogens (X = S, Se, Te) in an effort to identify materials that are promising for spintronics based on two-dimensional materials. Our calculations suggest that magnetic phases are common in the single-layer limit of these van der Waals materials, and that the configuration of the magnetic state depends systematically on the transition metal/chalcogen element combination. We find that semiconducting Néel antiferromagnetic states are most common; and predict AFM phases for V, Mn, Fe, and Ni based compounds. A metallic ferromagnetic states is found in Cr based compounds, and non-magnetic states are found with both semiconducting and metallic electronic structure, while introduction of U tends to stabilize the AFM magnetic phase. As expected on the basis of the weaker covalent bonds of larger atomic orbitals we
find that for semiconducting antiferromagnetic materials, replacing a smaller chalcogen atom with a larger chalcogen atom reduces the energy band gap and as a consequence also the difference between the ground state energies of FM and AFM states. Interestingly, we do not find magnetic states for CoPX$_3$, even though Co is typically a magnetic atom.

The electronic structures predicted by density functional theory for these materials are sensitive to the choice of exchange-correlation energy functional. For example, there are substantial discrepancies in predicted densities-of-states between the standard semi-local GGA, approximations with a local U correction, and hybrid functionals with non-local exchange. This sensitivity of the optimized ground-state results to the choice of the approximation scheme makes it desirable to benchmark the results against experiment in order to establish which approximation is most reliably predictive. The fact that the predictions of density-functional theory are qualitatively sensitive to the exchange-correlation approximation employed, indicates that the MPX$_3$ compounds are correlated.

An interesting interdependence between magnetic order and atomic structure was found, with typical variations on the order of a few percents in the lattice constant and interatomic bond lengths leading to structural distortions depending on the magnetic configuration of the system. The bond length variations were traced through M-M, P-P, P-X bond distances associated with the distortions in the honeycomb array of the transition metal atoms and the distance between the atomic centers in the (P$_2$X$_6$)$^{4-}$ bipyramids. We leave for future work the analysis on the role of spin-orbit coupling effects and optical properties arising from lattice symmetry breaking coupled to the onset of magnetism. Sizeable differences between the GGA and the LDA solutions is suggestive of the delicate balance between the different chemical bonds for configuring the optimized structure of these compounds which in turn are affected by the onset of magnetism. In the antiferromagnetic state, the strength of the exchange interactions is expected to vary inversely with the band gap; approximations that underestimate the band gap will overestimate exchange interaction strengths. We expect that the LDA likely does underestimate band gaps, as it commonly does, and therefore the exchange interactions they implies may well be overestimates. In 2D MPX$_3$ a variety of different stable and meta-stable magnetic configurations were found. Semiconducting states with a band gap are typically antiferromagnetic phases in either Néel, zigzag, or stripy configuration and the metallic states are typically ferromagnetic phases. The critical temperatures for magnetic phases in the single layer limit are generally expected to be smaller than in the bulk due to the reduction in the number of close neighbor exchange interactions, although changes in the degree of itineracy and shifts in relative band positions can also play a role. We analyzed the magnetic phases of the 2D MPX$_3$ compounds by building an effective model Hamiltonian with exchange coupling parameters extracted by mapping the total energies from our ab initio calculations onto an effective classical spin model. The Curie and Néel temperatures $T_C$, $T_N$ are obtained by using a statistical analysis based on the Metropolis algorithm. The calculated Néel temperatures of the antiferromagnetic compounds have a wide range of variation, ranging between a few to a few hundred Kelvin.

Control of magnetic phases by varying the electric field in a field effect transistor device is a particularly appealing strategy for 2D magnetic materials. Our calculations indicate that a transition between antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic phases can be achieved by inducing carrier densities in 2D MPX$_3$ compounds that are in the range that can be induced by a field effect. We find that a magnetic phase transition from a FM state to an AFM state can be induced in metallic CrPSe$_3$ and CrPTe$_3$ compounds, which are close to the phase boundary, by gating to large n-type carrier densities. Similarly AFM to FM transitions can be achieved in VPTe$_3$, MnPTE$_3$, and FePS$_3$. For materials exhibiting magnetic phases we find that using the heavy chalcogen Te can reduce the carrier densities required for magnetic transitions.

The interdependence between atomic and electronic structure suggest that strains can be employed to tune magnetic phases. We have found that the ground state magnetic configuration can undergo phase transitions driven by in-plane compression or expansion of the lattice constants or by c-axis pressure. The magnitude of the required strains vary greatly, with values below 1% for compounds such as FePS$_3$, FePSe$_3$, and VPTe$_3$, and larger strains on the order of or far greater than 4% are required to trigger a FM-AFM magnetic phase transition in CrPSe$_3$ and NiPSe$_3$. Phase transitions for even larger strains on the order of $\sim$9% are observed in VPSe$_3$, MnPS$_3$, NiPS$_3$, NiPSe$_3$ whose non-strained configuration has a robust gapped antiferromagnetic phase.

Based on our calculations, we conclude that single layer MPX$_3$ transition metal thiophosphates are interesting candidate materials for 2D spintronics. Their properties, including their magnetic transition temperatures, can be adjusted by the application of external strains or by modifying the carrier densities in field effect transistor devices. The sensitivity of these systems to variations in system parameters, such as composition stoichiometry, details of the interface, and the exchange coupling of the magnetic properties with external fields, offers ample room for future research that seek new functionalities.
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Supplementary Information

We present the lattice structures, band structures, the associated density of states and the orbital projected density of states (PDOS) calculated for self-consistently converged magnetic configurations with total energy local minima with the energy origin E=0 is placed at the valence band edge for gapped bands. Carrier density dependent magnetic phase transition is calculated using a finite onsite repulsion U=4 eV on the of GGA+D2. We also obtain the magnetization as a function of temperature through the Metropolis Monte Carlo simulation in a $32 \times 64$ superlattice.
TABLE I: Comparison between experimental and theoretical bulk lattice parameters, and theoretical two dimensional lattice parameters for transition metal phosphorous trichalcogenide MPX$_3$ compounds. These results were obtained using GGA+D2 and are expressed in Å; a is the in-plane lattice constant and c’ is the layer thickness, i.e. it is the distance between the planes containing the three chalcogen atoms in a single MPX$_3$ layer. The values in parenthesis below the bulk c’(Å) values are the interlayer distances, i.e. the vertical distances between the top P atom of one layer and the bottom P atom of an adjacent layer.

| MPX$_3$ | X | NM | FM | AFM |
|---------|---|----|----|-----|
|         |   | a(Å) | c’(Å) | a(Å) | c’(Å) | a(Å) | c’(Å) |
| S       |   | 5.737 | 3.142 | 5.880 | 3.166 | 5.846 | 3.170 |
| S(bulk) |   | (2.518) | (2.585) | (2.655) | |
| S(Expt) |   | 6.123 | 3.266 | 6.230 | 3.204 | 6.214 | 3.318 |
| VPX$_3$ | Se | 6.685 | 3.328 | 6.935 | 3.418 | 6.980 | 3.431 |
| VPX$_3$ | Te | 6.055 | 3.337 | 6.300 | 3.310 | 6.335 | 3.388 |
| S       |   | 5.851 | 3.071 | 5.914 | 3.032 | 5.885 | 3.336 |
| S(bulk) |   | (2.624) | (2.506) | (2.465) | |
| S(Expt) |   | 6.035 | 3.367 | 6.200 | 3.100 | 6.325 | 3.235 |
| CrPX$_3$ | Se | 6.530 | 3.672 | 6.880 | 3.330 | 6.804 | 3.388 |
| CrPX$_3$ | Te | 6.045 | 3.182 | 6.300 | 3.416 | 6.334 | 3.402 |
| S       |   | 5.780 | 2.952 | 6.018 | 3.125 | 5.993 | 3.268 |
| S(bulk) |   | (2.456) | (2.567) | (2.562) | |
| S(Expt) |   | 6.130 | 2.911 | 6.134 | 3.347 | 5.934 | 3.214 |
| MnPX$_3$ | Se | 6.676 | 3.038 | 6.676 | 3.038 | 6.239 | 3.214 |
| MnPX$_3$ | Te | 6.045 | 3.182 | 6.300 | 3.416 | 6.334 | 3.402 |
| S       |   | 5.736 | 2.969 | 5.874 | 3.313 | 5.921 | 3.217 |
| S(bulk) |   | (2.386) | (2.849) | (4.368) | |
| S(Expt) |   | 6.130 | 2.911 | 6.134 | 3.347 | 5.934 | 3.214 |
| FePX$_3$ | Se | 6.676 | 3.038 | 6.676 | 3.038 | 6.239 | 3.214 |
| FePX$_3$ | Te | 6.045 | 3.182 | 6.300 | 3.416 | 6.334 | 3.402 |
| S       |   | 5.763 | 2.790 | 5.784 | 2.950 | 5.792 | 3.064 |
| S(bulk) |   | (4.250) | (2.431) | (2.449) | (2.480) | |
| S(Expt) |   | 6.130 | 3.086 | 6.134 | 3.100 | 6.140 | 3.142 |
| CoPX$_3$ | Se | 6.700 | 3.208 | 5.813 | 3.042 | 5.779 | 3052 |
| CoPX$_3$ | Te | 6.132 | 3.086 | 6.134 | 3.100 | 6.140 | 3.142 |
| S       |   | 5.837 | 3.439 | 5.843 | 2.245 | 5.783 | 3.042 |
| S(bulk) |   | (3.903) | (2.431) | (2.449) | (2.480) | |
| S(Expt) |   | 6.151 | 3.532 | 5.971 | 3.379 | 6.871 | 3.597 |
| CuPX$_3$ | Se | 6.711 | 3.617 | 6.711 | 3.617 | 6.871 | 3.597 |
| CuPX$_3$ | Te | 6.151 | 3.532 | 5.971 | 3.379 | 6.871 | 3.597 |
| S       |   | 5.966 | 3.230 | 5.973 | 2.564 | 5.797 | 3.052 |
| S(bulk) |   | (3.025) | (3.025) | (3.025) | |
| S(Expt) |   | 6.151 | 3.532 | 5.971 | 3.379 | 6.871 | 3.597 |
TABLE II: Total energy relative to that of the lowest energy magnetic configuration calculated using the GGA+D2 approximation for the ferromagnetic (FM), antiferromagnetic (AFM) and non-magnetic (NM) states of single layer transition metal phosphorous trichalcogenides MPX$_3$. The absence of an entry for a magnetic configuration means that the corresponding state is not metastable. Energies are expressed in terms of meV/unit cell. Our first principles calculations suggest stable magnetic phases for V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Ni metal compounds. The rectangular supercells and structural triangular unit cells used in these calculations are illustrated in Fig. [1]. The smaller triangular unit cell is used to compare the NM, FM and Neel AFM phases and the larger rectangular unit cell is needed when we also compare with the total energies of zAFM, sAFM phases. The work functions are in eV units for the smaller triangular unit cell.

| MPX$_3$ X | Rectangular | Triangular | Work function (eV) |
|-----------|-------------|------------|-------------------|
|           | NM          | FM         | AFM               | J$_1$ | J$_2$ | J$_3$ | T$_c$ | NM       | FM       | AFM       |
| S         | 1142.8      | 643.2      | 0.0               | 246.9 | 132.7 | 1083.8 | 695.8 | 3.56     | 3.26     | 3.42     |
| VPX$_3$ Se| 906.9       | 275.8      | 0.0               | 164.6 | 87.78 | 1348.1 | 458.8 | 2.82     | 3.57     | 3.58     |
| Te        | 0.0         | 399.3      | 323.9             | 371.4 | 327.9 | 1296.5 | 183.1 | 3.08     | 3.88     | 3.85     |
| CrPX$_3$ Se| 1544.6      | 0.0        | 91.65             | 30.62 | 65.23 | 1182.5 | 73.68 | 3.40     | 3.07     | 3.62     |
| Te        | 1373.4      | 0.0        | 242.9             | 81.31 | 12.06 | 1482.6 | 264.2 | 3.74     | 3.55     | 3.41     |
| S         | 1576.5      | 0.0        | 48.96             | 68.98 | 0.0   | 1296.5 | 183.1 | 3.68     | 3.66     | 3.77     |
| VPX$_3$ Se| 906.9       | 275.8      | 0.0               | 164.6 | 87.78 | 1348.1 | 458.8 | 2.82     | 3.57     | 3.58     |
| Te        | 0.0         | 399.3      | 323.9             | 371.4 | 327.9 | 1296.5 | 183.1 | 3.08     | 3.88     | 3.85     |
| CrPX$_3$ Se| 1544.6      | 0.0        | 91.65             | 30.62 | 65.23 | 1182.5 | 73.68 | 3.40     | 3.07     | 3.62     |
| Te        | 1373.4      | 0.0        | 242.9             | 81.31 | 12.06 | 1482.6 | 264.2 | 3.74     | 3.55     | 3.41     |
| S         | 1576.5      | 0.0        | 48.96             | 68.98 | 0.0   | 1296.5 | 183.1 | 3.68     | 3.66     | 3.77     |
| VPX$_3$ Se| 906.9       | 275.8      | 0.0               | 164.6 | 87.78 | 1348.1 | 458.8 | 2.82     | 3.57     | 3.58     |
| Te        | 0.0         | 399.3      | 323.9             | 371.4 | 327.9 | 1296.5 | 183.1 | 3.08     | 3.88     | 3.85     |

TABLE III: Magnetic moments in Bohr magneton $\mu_B$ per metal atom for bulk and single layer magnetic MPX$_3$ structures, the three nearest neighbor exchange coupling strengths $J_i$ in meV implied by the Heisenberg model mapping, and Monte Carlo estimates of the single-layer critical temperatures based on the Ising limit of the classical spin model. The critical temperature is identified with the maximum in the derivative of magnetization with respect to temperature. The Ising limit estimate is motivated by the strong perpendicular anisotropy of these materials. The $ab$ initio calculations were performed within GGA+D2, as well as GGA+D2+U using a constant site repulsion at the metal atoms of U=4eV. The magnetization is calculated as the difference between up and down local spin resolved charge densities as implemented in Quantum Espresso.

| MPX$_3$ X | Bulk | GGA+D2 | GGA+D2 + U |
|-----------|------|--------|------------|
|           | FM   | AFM    | zAFM       | sAFM | J$_1$ | J$_2$ | J$_3$ | T$_c$ |
| S         | 2.03 | 2.00   | 1.92       | 2.01 | 1.68  | 1.76  | 4.25  | 4.26  | 3.24 |
| VPX$_3$ Se| 2.90 | 2.13   | 2.06       | 2.19 | 9.63  | -3.59 | -7.07 | 425.1 | 343.5 |
| Te        | 4.17 | 5.01   | 4.17       | 4.17 | 4.17  | 4.17  | 4.17  | 4.17  | 4.17 |
| CrPX$_3$ Se| 2.85 | 3.14   | 2.37       | 2.34 | -1.73 | -0.015| 0.029 | 0.029 | 0.029 |
| Te        | 2.77 | 2.83   | 2.45       | 2.38 | -9.82 | -3.49 | 6.62  | 6.62  | 6.62  |
| MnPX$_3$ Se| 4.24 | 4.15   | 3.82       | 3.83 | 1.21  | 0.180 | 0.536 | 500   | 500   |
| Te        | 4.25 | 4.20   | 4.24       | 4.32 | 0.985 | 0.136 | 0.506 | 450   | 450   |
| FePX$_3$ Se| 3.32 | 3.14   | 3.22       | 2.48 | -1.59 | -0.179| 3.00  | 3.00  | 3.00  |
| NiPX$_3$ Se| 1.25 | 1.13   | 0.76       | 0.72 | -1.13 | -0.115| 36.0  | 36.0  | 36.0  |
| Te        | 0.54 | 0.94   | 0.12       | 0.99 | 127   | -82.3 | -67.6 | 1110  | 1110  | 1110  |
TABLE IV: Band gaps of MPX$_3$ compounds predicted to have antiferromagnetic semiconductor ground states. The band gaps are listed in eV energy units and have values that depend substantially on the DFT exchange-correlation approximation employed. Values are listed for GGA+D2, HSE+D2 hybrid functional and GGA+D2+U approximations. The magnitude of $U$ was chosen to maximize the band gap. As expected the HSE+D2 functional yields the largest band gaps.

| X  | GGA+D2 | HSE+D2 | GGA+D2+U |
|----|--------|--------|----------|
| VPX$_3$ | S 1.23 | 2.50   | 2.32 ($U = 3.25$) |
|       | Se 0.73 | 2.10   | 1.67 ($U = 3.25$) |
|       | Te 0.41 | 1.57   | 1.00 ($U = 3.25$) |
| MnPX$_3$ | S 1.36 | 3.05   | 2.34 ($U = 5.0$) |
|       | Se 0.98 | 2.24   | 1.70 ($U = 5.0$) |
|       | Te 0.38 | 1.06   | 0.69 ($U = 5.0$) |
| FePX$_3$ | S 0.57 | 2.07   | 2.26 ($U = 5.3$) |
|       | Se 0.20 | 1.48   | 1.19 ($U = 5.3$) |
|       | Te 0.13 | 1.35   | 0.59 ($U = 5.3$) |
| NiPX$_3$ | S 0.84 | 2.83   | 1.74 ($U = 6.45$) |
|       | Se 0.59 | 2.22   | 1.31 ($U = 6.45$) |
|       | Te -     | 0.27   | -         |
| CuPX$_3$ | S 0.01 | 1.76   | 0.08 ($U = 1.0$) |
|       | Se -     | 0.56   | -         |
| ZnPX$_3$ | S 2.19 | 3.11   | 2.23 ($U = 5.4$) |
|       | Se 1.38 | 2.19   | 1.41 ($U = 5.4$) |
|       | Te 0.73 | 1.26   | 0.74 ($U = 5.4$) |
FIG. 9: (Color online) Illustration of the lattice structures corresponding to the different bond length parameters from Table I of the main text for different meta-stable magnetic configurations. Unequal metal-metal bond lengths are represented through $l$ and $l'$ which distorts the hexagonal lattice arrangement of the metal atoms. Different colors represent different transition metal and chalcogen atoms.
TABLE V: Theoretical lattice parameters in Å for transition metal phosphorous trichalcogenide MPX$_3$ within GGA and LDA; $a$ is the in-plane lattice constant and $c'$ is a layer thickness parameter, i.e. it is the distance between the planes containing the three chalcogen atoms in a single MPX$_3$ layer.

| MPX$_3$ | LDA | GGA |
|---------|-----|-----|
|         | FM  | AFM | NM  | FM  | AFM | NM  |
|         | $a$(Å) | $c'$(Å) | $a$(Å) | $c'$(Å) | $a$(Å) | $c'$(Å) | $a$(Å) | $c'$(Å) |
| S       | 5.616 | 3.106 | 5.675 | 3.109 | 5.616 | 3.106 | 5.913 | 3.061 | 5.886 | 3.161 | 5.7647 | 3.134 |
| VPX$_3$ | Se   | 5.951 | 3.230 | 6.053 | 3.245 | 6.001 | 3.221 | 6.249 | 3.234 | 6.259 | 3.313 | 6.167 | 3.251 |
| Te      | 6.452 | 3.595 | 6.608 | 3.418 | 6.459 | 3.449 | 6.409 | 3.680 | 7.087 | 3.417 | 6.963 | 3.294 |
| S       | 5.716 | 2.922 | 5.771 | 3.004 | 5.745 | 3.028 | 5.957 | 3.016 | 5.928 | 3.337 | 5.886 | 3.061 |
| CrPX$_3$| Se   | 6.186 | 3.018 | 6.170 | 3.111 | 6.181 | 3.147 | 6.368 | 3.077 | 6.322 | 3.140 | 6.354 | 3.179 |
| Te      | 6.654 | 3.285 | 6.646 | 3.291 | 6.664 | 2.926 | 6.862 | 3.358 | 6.881 | 3.359 | 6.551 | 3.046 |
| S       | 5.667 | 2.865 | 5.869 | 3.138 | 5.691 | 2.877 | 6.086 | 3.246 | 6.058 | 3.235 | 5.814 | 2.932 |
| MnPX$_3$| Se   | 6.0916| 2.925 | 6.198 | 3.271 | 6.125 | 2.964 | 6.409 | 3.417 | 6.395 | 4.017 | 6.090 | 2.966 |
| Te      | 6.602 | 3.123 | 6.712 | 3.041 | 6.625 | 3.014 | 6.841 | 3.565 | 6.951 | 3.533 | 6.554 | 3.215 |
| S       | 5.639 | 2.702 | 5.639 | 2.702 | 5.638 | 2.702 | 5.914 | 3.072 | 5.963 | 3.105 | 5.758 | 2.786 |
| FePX$_3$| Se   | 6.035 | 2.800 | 6.036 | 2.800 | 6.035 | 2.800 | 6.290 | 3.294 | 6.326 | 3.205 | 6.180 | 2.876 |
| Te      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 6.740 | 2.998 |
| S       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 5.801 | 2.7577 |
| CoPX$_3$| Se   | 5.677 | 2.682 | 6.093 | 2.764 | 6.572 | 2.986 | 5.801 | 2.7577 | 6.241 | 2.175 | 6.763 | 3.027 |
| Te      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 6.672 | 3.098 |
| S       | 5.662 | 2.880 | 5.655 | 2.876 | 5.655 | 2.876 | 5.824 | 3.059 | 5.814 | 3.036 | 5.795 | 2.963 |
| NiPX$_3$| Se   | 6.020 | 2.978 | 6.020 | 2.988 | 6.020 | 2.978 | 6.181 | 3.073 | 6.181 | 3.124 | 6.177 | 3.060 |
| Te      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 6.898 | 3.030 |
| S       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 5.900 | 3.474 |
| CuPX$_3$| Se   | 5.711 | 3.313 | 6.043 | 3.278 | 6.496 | 3.511 | 5.900 | 3.474 | 6.222 | 3.186 | 6.770 | 3.347 |
| Te      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 6.382 | 3.385 |
| S       | 5.826 | 3.174 | 5.870 | 3.314 | 5.756 | 3.533 | 6.022 | 3.224 | 6.382 | 3.385 | 6.898 | 3.599 |
TABLE VI: Self-consistent theoretical M-M, P-P, and P-X bond lengths in Å for metastable magnetic states of single layer transition metal phosphorous trichalcogenide MPX$_3$ within GGA+D2. Double entries indicate bond length variations that distort the reference lattice structure.

| MPX$_3$ X | M-M | P-P | P-X |
|-----------|-----|-----|-----|
|           | NM  | FM  | AFM | NM  | FM  | AFM | NM  | FM  | AFM  |
| VPX$_3$ Se | 3.313, 3.311 | 3.500, 3.230 | 3.377, 3.372 | 2.193 | 2.181 | 2.185 | 2.050 | 2.070 | 2.087 | 2.055 |
| Te        | 3.535 | 3.743, 3.375 | 3.587 | 2.208 | 2.199 | 2.203 | 2.235 | 2.284 | 2.254 | 2.236 |
|           | 3.976, 3.974 | 4.002, 4.005 | 4.030, 4.028 | 2.182 | 2.198 | 2.205 | 2.526 | 2.507 | 2.518 |
| CrPX$_3$ Se | 3.060, 3.836 | 3.414 | 3.363, 3.558 | 2.167 | 2.214 | 2.208 | 2.093 | 2.029 | 2.078 | 2.080 | 2.031 |
| Te        | 4.115, 2.650 | 3.648 | 3.700, 3.510 | 2.174 | 2.245 | 2.243 | 2.264 | 2.667 | 2.334 | 2.325 | 2.292 |
|           | 4.426, 2.868 | 3.971, 3.970 | 3.930, 3.928 | 2.163 | 2.228 | 2.231 | 2.501 | 2.793 | 2.598 | 2.596 | 2.578 | 2.580 |
| MnPX$_3$ Se | 3.199, 3.539 | 3.477 | 3.463, 3.462 | 2.152 | 2.212 | 2.211 | 2.092 | 2.068 | 2.041 | 2.038 |
| Te        | 3.983, 2.883 | 3.660 | 3.657, 3.655 | 2.171 | 2.234 | 2.232 | 2.267 | 2.687 | 2.217 | 2.217 | 2.213 |
|           | 4.236, 3.162 | 3.929 | 3.969, 3.967 | 2.160 | 2.239 | 2.240 | 2.505 | 2.762 | 2.487 | 2.487 | 2.470 |
| FePX$_3$ Se | 3.307 | 3.403, 3.397 | 3.463, 3.608 | 2.140 | 2.194 | 2.198 | 2.106 | 2.042 | 2.054 | 2.047 |
| Te        | 3.540 | 3.705, 3.415 | 3.623, 3.624 | 2.158 | 2.216 | 2.206 | 2.304 | 2.220 | 2.230 | 2.236 |
|           | 3.853, 3.855 | | | 2.16 | | | 2.566 | | |
| CoPX$_3$ Se | 3.327 | | | 2.224 | | | 2.098 | | |
| Te        | 3.571 | | | 2.254 | | | 2.360 | | |
|           | 3.863, 3.916 | | | 2.217 | | | 2.500, 2.683 | | |
| NiPX$_3$ Se | 3.329 | 3.344 | 3.339 | 2.199 | 2.174 | 2.184 | 2.399 | 2.052 | 2.043 |
| Te        | 3.540 | 3.541 | 3.544, 3.543 | 2.234 | 2.236 | 2.217 | 2.227 | 2.235 | 2.224 |
|           | 3.848, 3.876 | | | 2.249 | | | 2.521, 2.536 | | |
| CuPX$_3$ Se | 3.425, 3.499 | | | 2.215 | | | 2.012, 2.084 | | |
| Te        | 3.574, 3.708 | | | 2.237 | | | 2.188, 2.248 | | |
|           | 3.925, 3.709 | | | 2.243 | | | 2.457, 2.462 | | |
| ZnPX$_3$ Se | 3.445, 3.443 | | | 2.209 | | | 2.040 | | |
| Te        | 3.652, 3.641 | | | 2.232 | | | 2.214, 2.213 | | |
|           | 3.946, 4.038 | | | 2.253 | | | 2.458, 2.462 | | |
### TABLE VII: Total energy relative to the lowest energy magnetic configuration among ferromagnetic (FM), antiferromagnetic (AFM) and non-magnetic (NM) states in single layer transition metal phosphorous trichalcogenide MPX$_3$. The absence of an entry for a magnetic configuration means that the corresponding state is not metastable. Energies are in meV/unit cell units. Results for two different exchange-correlation energy functional approximations are compared. Our first principles calculations suggest stable magnetic phases for V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Ni metal compounds.

| MPX$_3$ X | GGA (Triangular) | LDA (Triangular) |
|-----------|------------------|------------------|
|           | NM   | FM   | AFM | NM   | FM   | AFM |
| S         | 1133.2 | 665.4 | 0.0 | 263.8 | 276.8 | 0.0 |
| Vpx$_3$ Se | 1389.1 | 405.6 | 0.0 | 503.7 | 155.1 | 0.0 |
| Te        | 1237.6 | 1030.0 | 0.0 | 275.3 | 724.3 | 0.0 |
| Crpx$_3$ Se | 1737.4 | 0.0 | 331.7 | 737.5 | 0.0 | 328.6 |
| Te        | 1479.4 | 0.0 | 286.2 | 881.0 | 0.0 | 276.9 |
| S         | 2104.9 | 186.9 | 0.0 | 439.7 | 0.0 | 352.2 |
| Mnpx$_3$ Se | 1351.9 | 157.7 | 0.0 | 510.6 | 0.0 | 274.0 |
| Te        | 792.9 | 17.35 | 0.0 | 430.8 | 0.0 | 66.08 |
| S         | 141.1 | 0.0 | 329.7 | 0.0 |
| Fepx$_3$ Se | 0.0 | 162.2 | 312.2 | 0.0 |
| Te        | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| S         | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Coph$_3$ Se | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Te        | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| S         | 415.8 | 207.48 | 0.0 | 79.55 | 44.89 | 0.0 |
| Niux$_3$ Se | 166.5 | 152.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Te        | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| S         | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Znpx$_3$ Se | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Te        | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

### TABLE VIII: Total energy relative to the lowest energy magnetic configuration among ferromagnetic (FM), antiferromagnetic (AFM) and non-magnetic (NM) states in single layer transition metal phosphorous trichalcogenide MPX$_3$ for rectangular and triangular unit cells within GGA+D2+U(=4eV). The smaller triangular unit cell is used to compare the NM, FM and Neel AFM phases whereas the larger rectangular unit cell is used when we also compare with the total energies of zAFM, sAFM phases. The absence of an entry for a magnetic configuration means that the corresponding state is not metastable. Energies are in meV/unit cell units. Our first principles calculations suggest stable magnetic phases for V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Ni metal compounds. The work functions are also calculated within triangular unit cell.

| MPX$_3$ X | Rectangular | Triangular | Work function (eV) |
|-----------|-------------|------------|-------------------|
|           | NM   | FM   | AFM | zAFM | sAFM | NM   | FM   | AFM | zAFM | sAFM |
| S         | 12175 | 423.4 | 0.0 | 251.9 | 130.6 | 210.6 | 213.6 | 0.0 | 1.41 | 2.58 | 3.12 |
| Vpx$_3$ Se | 10055 | 298.2 | 0.0 | 169.9 | 93.2 | 149.0 | 148.4 | 0.0 | 1.73 | 2.36 | 2.05 |
| Te        | 9564  | 183.1 | 0.0 | 648.9 | 48.9 | 4850  | 85.6 | 0.0 | 1.20 | 1.59 | 1.31 |
| Crpx$_3$ Se | 10282 | 163.2 | 0.0 | 188.3 | 8.16 | 5553  | 179.3 | 0.0 | 1.67 | 2.17 | 2.36 |
| Te        | 10583 | 60.6  | 0.0 | 148.7 | 47.3 | 5587  | 0.0 | 84.1 | 1.67 | 1.90 | 2.04 |
| 10855  | 213.8 | 478.7 | 504.4 | 0.0 | 5877  | 0.0 | 204.2 | 0.91 | 1.23 | 1.49 |
| S         | 12807 | 129.2 | 0.0 | 51.7 | 58.7 | 7013  | 64.6 | 0.0 | 2.05 | 1.53 | 1.77 |
| Mnpx$_3$ Se | 15744 | 92.5  | 0.0 | 31.2 | 47.2 | 6578  | 46.6 | 0.0 | 1.94 | 1.60 | 1.71 |
| Te        | 4779  | 183.0 | 0.0 | 28.5 | 100.6 | 2433  | 0.0 | 1327 | 3.01 | 2.67 | 2.71 |
| S         | 2621  | 318.6 | 91.1 | 0.0 | 321.1 | 1292  | 106.6 | 0.0 | 1.99 | 2.26 | 2.00 |
| Se        | 2036  | 292.5 | 54.4 | 0.0 | 303.4 | 996.7 | 118.9 | 0.0 | 1.48 | 1.81 | 2.14 |
FIG. 10: (Color online) Band structure of single layer MPX$_3$ for M = V and Cr transition metal atoms and X = S, Se, Te chalcogens obtained within GGA+D2 approximation calculated for the smallest triangular unit cell. We represent the band structure with violet for AFM, violet and orange for the up and down split spin bands in the FM phase, and green colour for NM phases. We note that at charge neutrality the AFM phases have semiconducting band gaps, the FM phases are metallic, and the non-magnetic phases can either be metallic or semiconducting. An overall reduction of the band gaps is observed when we use heavier chalcogen atoms in keeping with the reduction of the covalent bond energy in larger shell orbitals.
FIG. 11: (Color online) Band structure of single layer MPX$_3$ for M = Mn and Fe transition metal atoms and X = S, Se, Te chalcogens obtained within GGA+D2 approximation calculated for the smallest triangular unit cell. We represent the band structure with violet for AFM, violet and orange for the up and down split spin bands in the FM phase, and green colour for NM phases. We note that at charge neutrality the AFM phases have semiconducting band gaps, the FM phases are metallic, and the non-magnetic phases can either be metallic or semiconducting. An overall reduction of the band gaps is observed when we use heavier chalcogen atoms in keeping with the reduction of the covalent bond energy in larger shell orbitals.
FIG. 12: (Color online) Band structure of single layer MPX$_3$ for M = Co, Ni, Cu and Zn transition metal atoms and X = S, Se, Te chalcogens obtained within GGA+D2 approximation calculated for the smallest triangular unit cell. We represent the band structure with violet for AFM, violet and orange for the up and down split spin bands in the FM phase, and green colour for NM phases. We note that at charge neutrality the AFM phases have semiconducting band gaps, the FM phases are metallic, and the non-magnetic phases can either be metallic or semiconducting. An overall reduction of the band gaps is observed when we use heavier chalcogen atoms in keeping with the reduction of the covalent bond energy in larger shell orbitals.
FIG. 13: (Color online) The density of states (DOS) calculated for every self-consistently converged magnetic configurations with total energy local minima with the valence band edge located at $E = 0$. 
FIG. 14: (Color online) Orbital projected partial density of states (PDOS) calculated for every self-consistently converged magnetic configurations with total energy local minima with the valence band edge located at $E = 0$. From the PDOS of the various VPX$_3$ compounds we observe that the orbital content of the valence and conduction band edges do not generally consist of the same atomic orbital contributions and have a wide variation span depending on the specific choice for each one of the atoms constituting the material and the magnetic configuration.
FIG. 15: (Color online) Orbital projected partial density of states (PDOS) calculated for every self-consistently converged magnetic configurations with total energy local minima with the valence band edge located at $E = 0$. From the PDOS of the various CrPX$_3$ compounds we observe that the orbital content of the valence and conduction band edges do not generally consist of the same atomic orbital contributions and have a wide variation span depending on the specific choice for each one of the atoms constituting the material and the magnetic configuration.
FIG. 16: (Color online) Orbital projected partial density of states (PDOS) calculated for every self-consistently converged magnetic configurations with total energy local minima with the valence band edge located at $E = 0$. From the PDOS of the various MnPX$_3$ compounds we observe that the orbital content of the valence and conduction band edges do not generally consist of the same atomic orbital contributions and have a wide variation span depending on the specific choice for each one of the atoms constituting the material and the magnetic configuration.
FIG. 17: (Color online) Orbital projected partial density of states (PDOS) calculated for every self-consistently converged magnetic configurations with total energy local minima with the valence band edge located at $E = 0$. From the PDOS of the various FePX$_3$ compounds we observe that the orbital content of the valence and conduction band edges do not generally consist of the same atomic orbital contributions and have a wide variation span depending on the specific choice for each one of the atoms constituting the material and the magnetic configuration.
FIG. 18: (Color online) Orbital projected partial density of states (PDOS) calculated for every self-consistently converged magnetic configurations with total energy local minima with the valence band edge located at $E = 0$. From the PDOS of the various NiPX$_3$ compounds we observe that the orbital content of the valence and conduction band edges do not generally consist of the same atomic orbital contributions and have a wide variation span depending on the specific choice for each one of the atoms constituting the material and the magnetic configuration.
FIG. 19: (Color online) Carrier density dependent total energy differences per MPX$_3$ formula unit between the AFM and FM states of V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Ni based single layer trichalcogenides with GGA+D2+U(=4eV) calculated using a triangular unit cell showing the modifications in the magnetic phase diagram due to the enhancement of electron-electron interactions.
FIG. 20: (Color online) Temperature dependent evolution of the heat capacity $C = k\beta^2 \left( \langle E^2 \rangle - \langle E \rangle^2 \right)$ calculated with the Metropolis Monte Carlo algorithm in a $32 \times 64$ superlattice for the effective Ising model, calculated using three nearest neighbor $J$-parameters obtained from the GGA+D2+U total energies where $U=4\text{eV}$ as shown in Table III in the main text. The transition temperature is estimated from the maximum in the curve.