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A local contact formation process and integration scheme have been developed for the fabrication of rear passivated point contact solar cells. Conversion efficiency of 19.6% was achieved using 156×156 mm, pseudo square, p-type single crystalline silicon wafers. This is a significant improvement when compared to unpassivated, full area aluminum backsurface field solar cells, which exhibit only 18.9% conversion efficiency on the same wafer type. The effect of rear contact formation on cell efficiency was studied as a function of contact area and contact pitch, hence the metallization fraction. Contact shape and the thickness of Al-BSF layer were found to be heavily dependent on the laser ablation pattern and contact area. Simulated cell parameters as a function of metallization showed that there is a tradeoff between open circuit voltage and fill factor gains as the metallization fraction varies. The rear surface was passivated with an Al₂O₃ layer and a SiNₓ capping layer. The rear surface contact pattern was created by laser ablation and the contact geometry was optimized to obtain voids free contact filling, resulting in a uniform back surface field. The efficiency gain in rear passivated cells over the reference cells is mainly due to improved short circuit current and open circuit voltage.

1. Introduction

Today’s industrial solar cell manufacturing process applies a full area aluminum back surface field (Al-BSF) at the rear surface of the cell. The full Al-BSF forms a good ohmic contact; however, the rear surface passivation is moderate on p-type silicon substrates [1, 2]. Another inadequacy associated with full Al-BSF is that a significant portion of infrared light reaching the rear aluminum contact is escaping the cell without being reflected back into the bulk silicon. Both the rear surface internal reflectivity and surface passivation can be significantly improved with the passivated emitter and rear cell (PERC) architectures [3]. In the PERC approach, a passivation dielectric layer is deposited on the rear surface, which not only improves the passivation quality of the rear surface, but also increases its internal reflectivity. For the fabrication of metal contacts, local areas of the passivation layer are removed before metallization, usually screen-printed aluminum paste. The localized removal of the dielectric layer is typically accomplished using either laser ablation or chemical etching. Several different parameters, such as size of the contact opening, density of the contacts, reactivity of the aluminum paste, and thermal budget, substantially affect the formation of a robust local metal contact.

A detailed investigation of the effect of local contact geometry on the conversion efficiency of the PERC cells was performed. In this paper, the effect of the metallization factors (i.e., contact size and contact pitch) on the conversion efficiency of the PERC cells will be discussed. A thin Al₂O₃ surface passivation layer with a thicker SiNₓ capping layer was used as the passivation film stack. Local contact areas in the passivation layer were opened by a laser ablation process. The metallization fraction was changed by varying the number of ablation laser pulses as well as the pitch of the contact pattern. The effect of the metallization factors on the cell parameters such as open circuit voltage (V_{OC}), short circuit current density (J_{SC}), and fill factor (FF) was studied in fabricated PERC cells and the results are compared with numerical calculation.
2. Experimental

All wafers used in this investigation were p-type Czochralski wafers with a bulk resistivity of 1–3 Ω cm. All wafers were subjected to an IPA free texture etching process [4, 5]. After POCl₃ diffusion, the rear emitter and texture were removed and a front ARC layer (SiNₓ) deposited. Front ARC layers were deposited in a PECVD thin film deposition tool. The wafers were then split into two groups. The group with no rear passivation layers was used for the fabrication of reference cells (RCs). The second group was used for fabrication of PERC cells. On wafers in this group, rear passivation films were deposited and local contacts were opened with laser ablation. The rear passivation film stack consisted of a thin Al₂O₃ layer and a thicker SiNₓ capping layer and was deposited using a single pass PECVD deposition system. Contact opening was performed using a high throughput laser ablation tool. The rear surface passivated wafers were subjected to several different laser ablation procedures. In one group of wafers, contact size was varied while keeping the same contact pitch. Contact size was varied with the use of multiple laser pulses. Four different ablation schemes were created using one, two, three, and four pulse sequences to make a significant change in the contact area. For the other group of wafers, the contact pitch was varied while the contact size remained constant. The same front conductor silver paste was screen printed on all wafers. Then two different aluminum pastes, one for RC and another for PERC, were screen printed and cofired on a belt furnace. The current-voltage (IV) measurements of completed cells were made at 25°C under one-Sun illumination condition with a Newport Oriel solar simulator calibrated by using a reference cell certified by Fraunhofer ISE.

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 1 shows optical microscope images of local contacts formed after ablation of the dielectric film stack with different numbers of laser pulses. The laser ablation recipes were set up in such a way that with an increasing number of pulses, the ablation area increases. Consequently, the larger contact area increased the metallization fraction of the rear surface. It is important to make sure that the ablation process results in cleanly opened features without debris in the opened area. Postablation contact formation was investigated using SEM, EDX, and Auger microscopy. Only silicon was found to be present in the opened area after the completion via formation process, prior to metallization [6].

Figure 2 shows a SEM picture of local contact pattern after etching off the aluminum from the fabricated solar cell. As shown in Figure 2, the local contacts are very uniformly formed at the rear surface. The effect of contact opening on the passivation quality was monitored by measuring the lifetime of the minority carriers before and after laser...
Figure 2: Local contact pattern fabricated using 4 laser pulses per contact point. The aluminum in the contact area has been removed with HCl.

Figure 3: Loss in implied $V_{OC}$ due to laser ablation as a function of number of laser pulses per contact point. Contact pitch remained constant.

Figure 4: Loss in implied $V_{OC}$ loss (mV) as a function of pitch of the local contact. The number of laser pulses per contact remained constant.

Figure 5: $V_{OC}$ values of PERC cells as function of contact pitch.

Figure 6: Cell $V_{OC}$ values of PERC cells fabricated by varying the contact pitch while keeping the contact size constant. When the pitch was higher, the fraction of the passivation film removed was smaller and as a consequence, the metallization fraction was smaller. The $V_{OC}$ values of the cells gradually increased with the increased pitch and seemed to level off when the pitch was about 700 $\mu$m. The contact pitch of 700 $\mu$m corresponds approximately to a metallization fraction of 2.3%. Further reduction in metallization factor down to 1.4% (900 $\mu$m pitch) did not seem to improve the cell $V_{OC}$ value. Nevertheless, when the metallization fraction is 1.4%, the corresponding implied $V_{OC}$ loss (before metallization) was smaller. However, these improvements were not realized in cell efficiencies (Figure 6) due to degrading fill factor (Figure 7) values.

As indicated previously, as the area of ablated contact increased, losses in the implied $V_{OC}$ increased (Figure 3). The $V_{OC}$ values of the PERC cells with different contact area are shown in Figure 8. When four laser pulses were used, the metallization fraction corresponded to 4.4%. Accordingly, by
neglecting the change in area due to pulse overlap, single pulse ablation results in a metallization factor of 1.1%. As the metallization fraction increased, cell $V_{OC}$ decreased gradually. However, again the $V_{OC}$ improvement in contact with smaller contact area, hence smaller metallization fraction, is not reflected in cell efficiencies, as shown in Figure 9.

As shown in Figure 9, single pulse ablation (metallization fraction of 1.1%) resulted in lower conversion efficiencies in PERC cells compared to all other three ablation schemes. Again, the main factor contributing to lower conversion efficiency in PERC cells with smaller metallization fraction was found to be the low FF as shown in Figure 10. The data shown in Figure 10 clearly shows that cells with smaller contact size (metallization fraction of 1.1%) resulted in lower FF values. All other cases where metallization fractions were 2.2%, 3.3%, and 4.4% (resp., 2, 3, and 4 pulse ablation) yielded almost identical FF.

In order to gain some insight into the passivation quality of local contacts, a numerical simulation was carried out by using the theoretical formula derived by Fischer [7]. According to Fisher formula the effective rear surface recombination velocity ($S_{eff}$) can be written as

$$S_{eff} = \left( \frac{R_S - \rho W}{\rho D} + \frac{1}{f S_{cont}} \right)^{-1} + \frac{S_{pass}}{1 - f},$$

(1)
where \( S_{\text{pass}} \) is the surface recombination velocity in passivated area, and \( S_{\text{cont}} \) is the recombination velocity at the contact, \( W \) is the substrate thickness, \( f \) is the metallization fraction, \( \rho \) is the base resistivity, \( D \) is the carrier diffusion coefficient, and \( R_S \) is the series resistance of the base. The value of \( R_S \) depends on the contact layout and can be expressed as \([8]\)

\[
R_S = \rho^2 \frac{\rho}{2\pi r} \arctan \left( \frac{2W}{r} \right) + \rho W \left( 1 - \exp \left( -\frac{W}{\rho} \right) \right). \tag{2}
\]

Here \( r \) is the radius of the contact and \( \rho \) is the contact pitch. Once \( R_S \) and \( S_{\text{eff}} \) values were calculated, those values together with averaged rear surface internal reflectivity value were used as input to a calibrated device model set up in PC1D simulating program \([9]\). This model was used to simulate the device performance for different contact size and contact pitch combinations. Passivation quality was simulated for three different \( S_{\text{cont}} \) values, namely, \( 400 \text{ cm/s} \), \( 1000 \text{ cm/s} \), and \( 10000 \text{ cm/s} \). The simulation of \( V_{\text{OC}}, \text{ FF values, and a comparison of corresponding experimental results are presented in Figures 11 and 12, respectively.}

Simulation results presented in Figures 11 and 12 show the tradeoff between \( V_{\text{OC}} \) and FF. The FF loss at smaller \( f \) values is mainly due to resistive losses. The \( V_{\text{OC}} \), as well as \( J_{\text{SC}} \), losses at relatively larger \( f \) values are due to higher recombination losses (\( S_{\text{eff}} \)) and lower internal reflectivity values. When the metallization fraction (\( f \)) is higher, the internal reflectivity is smaller. The simulated results predicted that the highest conversion efficiency would be achieved when the metallization fraction (\( f \)) is in the range of 2–4%.

In order to study the geometry of the contacts that were fabricated with various ablation laser pulses, aluminum paste was removed from PERC cells by dissolving it in a hydrochloric acid solution. The local contact areas were then studied with scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and confocal optical microscopy \([10]\). Figure 13 shows SEM images of various local contacts after aluminum removal. It is well known that, during the contact firing process, silicon dissolves into the aluminum matrix from beneath the contact area \([11–14]\). In the case of local contacts, only silicon underneath the opened contact areas is available for this process. Therefore, the silicon concentration is higher in liquid aluminum above the contact points compared to other areas. This concentration gradient will result in a diffusion of silicon away from contact site. As a result, recesses, which in some cases can be as deep as 30–40 \( \mu \text{m} \), formed in the bulk silicon, as shown in

\[\text{Figure 11: Simulated } V_{\text{OC}} \text{ as a function of metallization fraction. The variation in } V_{\text{OC}} \text{ for three different } S_{\text{cont}} \text{ is shown. Scattered data points are the experimental values.}\]

\[\text{Figure 12: Simulated FF as function of metallization fraction. The variation of FF for three different } S_{\text{cont}} \text{ is shown. Scattered data points are the experimental values.}\]
Figure 13. These recesses show the characteristic shape of truncated pyramids. These truncated pyramidal structures are formed due to preferential dissolution of silicon along the (100) planes.

The aluminum etched back contacts were studied further with confocal microscopy and the results are shown in Figure 14. In many situations, it was found that the depth at the edge of the recess was larger than at the center.

The depth of the feature shown in Figure 14 was found to be about 23μm at the center and about 42μm at the edge. The angle between the side wall and bottom is about 53°, which indicates preferential dissolution of silicon along (100) planes during contact formation. In the case of an isotropic etching of silicon substrates, random pyramids are formed and the angle was found to be 54.7°. It was found that the depth of the recess decreased as the area of the contact increased. With smaller open contacts the area exposed to aluminum paste is smaller. For a given peak temperature, silicon dissolution into liquid aluminum takes place until the saturation limits are reached. Therefore, in the case of smaller size contacts, silicon dissolution runs deeper into the bulk silicon. During the cooling cycle, silicon re-crystallizes, creating an aluminum doped p⁺ (Al-BSF) layer. After further cooling, eutectic temperature is reached and the remaining Al-Si liquid phase solidifies, completing Figure 14. Confocal microscope image of local contacts after aluminum removal (a) and depth profile of the recess (b). In this case two laser pulses were used for ablation, as shown in Figures 1 and 13.
Cross sectional SEM images of fully alloyed contacts are shown in Figure 15. These SEM images clearly show Al-alloyed contact with the presence of a uniform Al-BSF layers. However, as the area of the contact increased the thickness of the Al-BSF layer increased. As measured with cross sectional SEM, the thicknesses of BSF layers varied from 1.25 $\mu$m, 2.45 $\mu$m, and 5.9 $\mu$m to 6.1 $\mu$m for contacts ablated with 1, 2, 3, and 4 laser pulses, respectively.

Table 1: Comparison of solar cell performance for PERC and RC cells.

| Cell        | $J_{SC}$ (mA/cm$^2$) | $V_{OC}$ (mV) | FF (%) | Eta (%) |
|-------------|----------------------|---------------|--------|---------|
| Best RC     | 37.3                 | 639           | 79.4   | 18.90   |
| Best PERC   | 38.5                 | 648           | 78.7   | 19.62   |
| Average RC  | 37.11                | 638           | 79.52  | 18.82   |
| Average PERC| 38.36                | 646           | 78.69  | 19.49   |

4. Summary

Local contact formation in PERC cells was studied in detail with respect to contact area and pitch, hence the metallization fraction. With the optimized contact geometry, a process flow for manufacturing PERC solar cells yielding 19.6% conversion efficiency was developed for industrial applications. The efficiency gain of PERC cells over conventional solar cells was 0.7%. The efficiency gain in the PERC cells was mainly due to improved short circuit current and open circuit voltage.
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