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Arabidopsis vascular complexity and connectivity controls PIN-FORMED1 dynamics and lateral vein patterning during embryogenesis

Makoto Yanagisawa¹,², Arthur Poitout¹,³ and Marisa S. Otegui¹,²,∗

ABSTRACT

Arabidopsis VASCULAR COMPLEXITY AND Connectivity (VCC) is a plant-specific transmembrane protein that controls the development of veins in cotyledons. Here, we show that the expression and localization of the auxin efflux carrier PIN-FORMED1 (PIN1) is altered in vcc developing cotyledons and that overexpression of PIN1-GFP partially rescues vascular defects of vcc in a dosage-dependent manner. Genetic analyses suggest that VCC and PINOID (PID), a kinase that regulates PIN1 polarity, are both required for PIN1-mediated control of vasculature development. VCC expression is upregulated by auxin, likely as part of a positive feedback loop for the progression of vascular development. VCC and PIN1 localized to the plasma membrane in pre-procambial cells but were actively redirected to vacuoles in procambial cells for degradation. In the vcc mutant, PIN1 failed to properly polarize in pre-procambial cells during the formation of basal strands, and instead, it was prematurely degraded in vacuoles. VCC plays a role in the localization and stability of PIN1, which is crucial for the transition of pre-procambial cells into procambial cells that are involved in the formation of basal lateral strands in embryonic cotyledons.
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INTRODUCTION

The vascular system is essential for land plants by providing mechanical support and transporting water, nutrients and signaling molecules. There are two main vascular tissues, the xylem, which transports water and minerals, and the phloem, which redistributes sugars produced by photosynthesis and other organic molecules (Lucas et al., 2013). Leaves usually present complex vein networks that become more intricate as the leaf blade expands (Scarpella et al., 2006). The formation of new three-dimensional systems of veins consisting of xylem and phloem is challenging in two ways from a functional point of view: vein networks need to efficiently supply large organs (complexity) and be connected to previously formed veins in such a way that transport is possible from the youngest deeper root all the way to the tallest branch and leaves (connectivity). The basic pattern of vein architecture is first established during embryo development and after seed germination when xylem and phloem differentiate from procambial cells (Sieburth, 1999). Cotyledons of Arabidopsis thaliana embryos are an ideal model system to study vasculature development as they have simple vein patterns with a single middle vein and up to four lateral veins, forming three or four closed loops or areoles (Carland et al., 1999). The vascular patterns defined by procambial strands in embryonic cotyledons remain largely unchanged during post-germination growth when these strands differentiate into mature veins (Sieburth, 1999). However, although several genes are known to control vascular development in embryonic cotyledons (Carland et al., 1999; Koizumi et al., 2000), how procambial strand patterning is determined is not fully understood.

The phytohormone auxin is a key regulator of vascular differentiation and patterning in all organs. The AUXIN RESPONSE FACTORS/MONOPETROS (ARF5/MP) controls expression and polarity of the auxin efflux carrier PIN-FORMED1 (PIN1) during vascular formation (Wenzel et al., 2007; Bhatia et al., 2016). Polar localization of PIN1 determines the direction of auxin flow (Wiśniewska et al., 2006), and thus, auxin, ARF5/MP and PIN1 establish a positive feedback loop to amplify auxin signaling (Ohashi-Tó and Fukuda, 2014). During leaf development, PIN1 is expressed in pre-procambial cells before their transition to procambial cells (Scarpella et al., 2006). PIN1 is initially expressed in a group of ground cells and localizes to the plasma membrane with no detectable polarity (Scarpella et al., 2006). Later, its expression is restricted to pre-procambial cell files, and its plasma membrane localization becomes polarized, consistent with its role facilitating auxin flow (Scarpella et al., 2006; Wenzel et al., 2007). These PIN1⁺ cell files developed into procambium (Scarpella et al., 2006).

Consistent with the central role of PIN1 in vascular specification, mutations in proteins that regulate PIN1 localization or expression affect vascular development (Steinmann et al., 1999; Christensen et al., 2000; Benjamin et al., 2001; Geldner et al., 2003; Koizumi et al., 2005). For example, Arabidopsis mutants for PINOID (PID), the serine-threonine kinase that regulates PIN polarity by phosphorylation (Friml et al., 2004; Kleine-Vehn et al., 2009), develop floral organs with abnormal vasculature (Christensen et al., 2000; Benjamin et al., 2001). Mutants for either the guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) for ADP-ribosylation factor (ARF) GNOM or the ARF-GTPase activating protein (GAP) VASCULAR NETWORK3/SCARFACE (VAN3/SFC; also known as AGD3), both needed for PIN1 trafficking and polar localization, develop cotyledons with fragmented veins (Steinmann et al., 1999; Geldner et al., 2003; Koizumi et al., 2005). Mutations in ARF5/MP
result in severe defects in vascular formation in cotyledons (Berleth and Jurgens, 1993; Hardtke and Berleth, 1998), and consistently, lack of function of the transcription factor LONESOME HIGHWAY (LHW), which regulates the proper expression of ARF5/MP, PIN1 and auxin and cytokinin biosynthetic genes, results in vascular development defects in embryos and roots (De Rybel et al., 2013; Ohashi-Ito et al., 2013, 2019; De Rybel et al., 2014; Smet et al., 2019). More than 100 genes are induced by ARF5/MP at the globular stage embryo when procambial cell specification is initiated (Möller et al., 2017), evidencing the complexity of the regulatory gene network underlying auxin-dependent vascular development.

**RESULTS**

**Vein pattern analysis in wild-type and vcc cotyledons**

To facilitate the analysis of vein patterns in Arabidopsis cotyledons, we used descriptive categories that consider both vein network complexity and connectivity (Fig. 1A; Roschztardtz et al., 2014). These categories reflect the number of closed areoles (2 to 4) followed by the number of open basal areoles (0 to 2). Basically, as the two distal areoles are present in all vein networks, the variation reflects the presence of basal veins and whether they extend to the middle vein forming closed basal areoles. We also considered separately three types of vein connectivity defects: open distal areoles with at least one gap/disconnection in the distal veins, basal free ends with basal veins disconnected from distal veins, and basal gaps with at least one gap/disconnection in basal veins (Fig. 1A).

We quantified the occurrence of each vein network complexity pattern in wild-type and vcc cotyledons (Fig. 1B), and through a Pearson’s $\chi^2$ analysis determined that the proportions of these categories were significantly different for both phenotypes ($\chi^2=91.12; \text{d.f.}=1; P<2.2e-16$). We next clustered the vein network complexity patterns into two groups, i.e. ‘complex’ (the sum of all vein networks with two basal veins: 4-0, 3-1, 2-2) versus ‘simple’ (the sum of all vein networks with one or no basal veins: 3-0, 2-1, 2-0), and performed a Pearson’s $\chi^2$ test with Yates’s continuity correction to compare wild-type and vcc cotyledons. Here again, we found significant differences between the two genotypes ($\chi^2=91.12; \text{d.f.}=1; P<2.2e-16$), with an increased in ‘simple’ vein network patterns in vcc cotyledons. Finally, we analyzed the occurrence of vein discontinuities [the sum of open distal areoles (oda), basal free ends (bfe) and basal gaps (bga)] and found it to be significantly higher in the vcc cotyledons ($\chi^2=27.044; \text{d.f.}=1; P=1.989e-07$). To determine whether the predominance of simple vein networks, and therefore reduced basal vein formation in vcc, correlate with abnormal cotyledons, we measured cotyledon shape (circularity and roundness) and size in seedlings at 8 days after germination and found no significant differences (Fig. S1), suggesting that VCC role in cotyledon formation is specific to vasculature development.

To better understand the basis of the reduced formation of basal veins and vein patterning defects, we analyzed geometric aspects of the vein networks in wild-type and vcc cotyledons. We considered a
vein network consisting of a middle vein, two distal and two basal lateral veins, and defined the position of the apical, middle and basal junction points between lateral and middle veins, and the merging point for the lateral (basal and distal) veins (Fig. S2), relative to the cotyledon length. These four points are only present in cotyledons with basal areoles, whereas simpler vein patterns, such as those consisting of two apical areoles (e.g. 2-0), only have apical and middle-junction points. As a single cotyledon can show different lateral vein patterns at each side of the middle vein, we analyzed vein patterning in each cotyledon half independently. We found that in both wild-type and vcc cotyledons, the mean middle-junction point was located between 1/5 and 1/3 of the basal portion of the cotyledon length, and was always at a more basal (closer to the petiole) position than the merging point (Fig. 1C). To understand how the position of the middle junction is affected by the overall vein network geometry, we measured the position of the middle junction relative to the cotyledon length in cotyledons with closed apical and basal areoles, closed apical but open basal areoles, or closed apical areole with no basal lateral vein (Fig. 1D). As a general trend in both wild-type and vcc samples, we observed a displacement of the middle junction towards more basal positions in vein patterns in which basal veins did not merge with the middle vein or were absent altogether (Fig. 1D). If low complexity vein patterns correlate with a more basal position of the middle junction, the vcc mutant cotyledons would show an overall displacement of the middle junction to more basal positions compared with wild type. However, the opposite was true for vcc cotyledons with basal lateral veins, either open or closed (Fig. 1D), suggesting that the displacement of the middle junction does not explain the reduced vein network complexity of the vcc mutant cotyledons. We then analyzed the position of the merging point between basal and apical lateral veins; we found that the average merging point location with respect to cotyledon length was 0.54 for wild type and 0.59 in vcc (Fig. 1E), indicating that the position of the merging point between lateral veins is in a more distal position (further away from the petiole) in the vcc mutant cotyledons.

**Procambial strand patterning in embryonic cotyledons**

To determine the timing of procambial strand patterning in cotyledons, we examined developing wild-type embryos expressing pPIN1::PIN1-GFP (Benkóvá et al., 2003), which is expressed in pre-procambial cells (Scarpella et al., 2006). Consistent with previous reports (Steinmann et al., 1999; Izhaki and Bowman, 2007; Ploense et al., 2009), PIN1 was detected in all cells of the globular embryo, except the hypophysis, and its expression became restricted to protodermal and pre-procambial cells at heart and torpedo stages (Fig. 2A). In early torpedo embryos, the pre-procambial middle strand was clearly visible in each cotyledon, but the lateral pre-procambial strands were not detectable at this stage (Fig. 2A). At the early bent-cotyledon stage, PIN1-GFP was no longer detectable in the epidermis but restricted to procambial cells. At this stage, middle and lateral procambial strands were already established with patterns similar to those seen in the cotyledons of germinating seedlings (Fig. 2A). These results suggest that the formation of lateral procambial strands in cotyledons occurs between the torpedo and early bent-cotyledon stages.

We then analyzed cotyledons in the developmental window between early torpedo and early bent cotyledon. We identified several intermediate pre-procambial and procambial strand patterns,
and classified them into three different categories based on the increasing number of areoles, that is 0 to 1 (low complexity), 2 (intermediate complexity), and 3 to 4 areoles (high complexity) (Fig. 2B). There was a positive correlation between procambial pattern complexity and cotyledon length (Fig. 2B), indicating that the complexity of procambial strand networks increases as a function of cotyledon growth.

To further dissect how lateral procambial strands are established, we examined embryonic cotyledon strands of various lengths between early torpedo and early bent-cotyledon stages (Fig. 2C,D). No lateral strands were detectable in cotyledons up to 70 µm in length (early torpedo stage). When cotyledons were between 70 µm and 120 µm in length (torpedo), PIN1-GFP expression became stronger underneath the epidermis, forming a domain extending from the margin to the middle strand and located approximately at the middle of the cotyledon length. Similar to what has been described previously in leaf primordia (Scarpella et al., 2006; Wenzel et al., 2007), this PIN1 domain originates underneath an epidermal cell in which PIN1-GFP is localized at the anticlinal sides facing the neighboring epidermal cells and the periclinal side towards a subepidermal cell (Fig. 3A). This PIN1 domain became connected to the middle strand, establishing the middle junction (Fig. 2C,D; step 2). When cotyledons were between 120 µm and 160 µm in length (late torpedo), the expression of PIN1-GFP within this domain became restricted to narrow cell files following one of two patterns: (1) a single linear strand that extended towards the apex as the distal strand (Fig. 2C,D; step 3), or (2) a Y-shaped strand with arms extending apically and basally as the distal and basal strands, respectively (Fig. 2C,D; step 4). As these lateral strands extended towards the apex or the petiole, they merged with the middle strand, establishing the apical and the basal junctions, respectively, and closing the areoles. In some cotyledons in which the first PIN1 domain gives rise to only the distal strand, a second PIN1-GFP domain became evident at a more basal position at the early bent-cotyledon stage (Fig. 2C,D; step 5). This second PIN1 domain also formed underneath the epidermis (Fig. 3B), but it was not always clearly related to cells with unusual PIN1 distribution. PIN1 expression became restricted to a file of short isodiametric pre-procambial cells that extended upwards, merging with the distal strand and basally with the middle strand (Fig. 2C,D; step 6). In the cell located at the merging point, PIN1-GFP was localized at the sides perpendicular to the strand, as well as at the side in contact with the basal strand (Fig. 3C). We confirmed that the isodiametric cells in developing strands were pre-procambial based on their ability to express the pre-procambial marker pATHB8::NLS-YFP (Sawchuk et al., 2007) (Fig. 3D,E). Procambial strand patterning was complete in cotyledons longer than 200 µm at the bent-cotyledon stage.

Basal strands form either simultaneously with distal strands from the first PIN1 domain or from the second PIN1 domain after distal strand initiation (Fig. 2D). This means that the merging point between lateral strands is established early in the first case but later in the second case.

We then determined whether the mechanism by which the merging point is established affects other vein patterning features. We found that when the basal strand is originated by the second PIN1 domain, the position of the middle junction is located at a more basal position than when the basal strand originates from branching of the first PIN1 domain (Fig. 2E).

**PIN1 expression pattern is altered in vcc embryonic cotyledons**

To determine how VCC regulates lateral strand formation, we analyzed the expression of PIN1-GFP in **vcc** embryonic cotyledons. We examined embryos with cotyledons longer than 200 µm and already established procambial strands. In these **vcc** developing cotyledons, the procambial strand networks revealed the same trend of low complexity, with reduced occurrence of basal strands (Fig. 4A,B), similar to what was seen in **vcc** seedling cotyledons after germination (Fig. 1B). The presence of basal strands was observed in 97% of wild-type (n=68) and 65% of **vcc** (n=96) embryonic cotyledons at the bent-cotyledon stage (longer than 200 µm; Fig. 4C).

We then checked earlier developmental stages to determine the underlying cause of the reduction in basal vein formation. In 120-160 µm-long cotyledons, PIN1-GFP+ pre-procambial basal strands were observed in both wild type and **vcc** at comparable frequencies (76% of wild-type cotyledons, n=36; and 78% of **vcc** cotyledons, n=23; Fig. 4C; Fig. S3). However, at a later stage (160-200 µm-long cotyledons), the presence of basal strands was reduced to 70% in **vcc** (n=73) and increased to 82% in wild type (n=72) (Fig. 4C). Consistent with this trend, basal veins occurred in 91% and 34% of cotyledons of wild-type and **vcc** mutant seedlings, respectively (>500 per genotype; Fig. 4C), suggesting that, although the
initiation of basal pre-procambial cells proceeds normally in vcc mutant embryos, their subsequent specification into procambial strands is compromised.

We found that basal pre-procambial strand initiation originated by either branching from the first PIN1 domain or from the second PIN1 domain occurred at similar rates in wild-type (54% from first PIN1 domain and 46% from second PIN1 domain; \( n = 39 \)) and vcc (50% from both first and second PIN1 domain; \( n = 23 \)) cotyledons (Fig. 4D). We also found that in 120-160 µm-long cotyledons, the frequency of merging points generated by the branching of the first PIN1 domain was ∼50% in both wild-type (\( n = 35 \)) and vcc (\( n = 22 \)) cotyledons. However, at a later stage (160-200 µm-long cotyledons), the frequency of merging points increased to 80% (\( n = 44 \)) in wild type but remained nearly unchanged (57%, \( n = 39 \)) in vcc (Fig. 4E). The increase in the frequency of merging points in wild-type cotyledons was caused by the merging of distal and basal strands originated from the second PIN1 domain, suggesting that this event is compromised in vcc cotyledons. Consistent with this observation, in 37% of the vcc cotyledons longer than 200 µm, the fluorescent signal from the second PIN1 domain became weaker compared with wild type (Fig. 4D,F), suggesting that basal strand formation by the second PIN1 domain does not progress normally in the vcc cotyledons. The premature disappearance of the second PIN1 domain would explain the reduced occurrence of basal veins seen in vcc cotyledons (Fig. 4B).

These transgenic vcc plants were generated by crossing with a Col-0 line expressing \textit{pPIN1::PIN1-GFP} (Benková et al., 2003). We expected that the resulting vcc \textit{pPIN1::PIN1-GFP} line would have the same vasculature defects seen in vcc cotyledons. However, the reduction in vein network complexity in vcc embryonic cotyledons expressing PIN1-GFP (Fig. 4B) was less pronounced than that observed in vcc seedlings (Fig. 1B). Thus, whereas the most complex 4-0 and 3-1 vein network patterns represented less than 5% of the vcc seedling cotyledons (Fig. 1B), those same patterns were seen in ∼30% of the vcc embryonic cotyledons expressing PIN1-GFP (Fig. 4B). We hypothesized that the overexpression of PIN1 caused by the introduction of \textit{pPIN1::PIN1-GFP} in vcc has an effect on vein network complexity. To test this, we analyzed cotyledon vein patterns in vcc seedlings expressing different levels of \textit{PIN1::PIN1-GFP} during embryonic development. We found a positive correlation between the partial restoration of vein network complexity in vcc cotyledons and increasing levels of \textit{PIN1::PIN1-GFP} expression (Fig. 4G,H).

**Mutations in PIN1 and PINOID differentially affect vein connectivity in vcc cotyledons**

As we found a functional connection between VCC and PIN1, we examined vein patterns in the \textit{pin1-1} single mutant. As previously reported, the \textit{pin1} mutant exhibited embryos with two fused cotyledons, or only one or three free cotyledons (Aida et al., 2002). We also considered the occurrence of fused cotyledons in our analysis (Fig. 5A). Approximately 7% of the \textit{pin1-1} cotyledons show reduced and distorted areoles closed to the cotyledon apex (Fig. 5A). Although we did not determine the origin of these abnormal areoles, for the purpose of our analysis, we considered them as distal areoles based on their position. Both \textit{pin1-1} and vcc showed reduced vascular network complexity and connectivity in seedling cotyledons at similar rates (Fig. 5A).

To better understand genetic interaction between \textit{VCC} and \textit{PIN1}, we generated a \textit{vcc pin1-1} double mutant by crossing. We performed a pairwise \( \chi^2 \) test between all genotypes comparing the occurrence of ‘complex’ versus ‘simple’ patterns, and calculated false discovery rates to adjust \( P \)-values. We concluded that whereas the two wild-type ecotypes used as control have a similar proportion of complex and simple patterns, all three mutants (\textit{pin1-1}, \textit{vcc}, and \textit{pin1-1 vcc}) showed a significant increase in simple vein network patterns compared with both wild types. However, the proportion of simple versus complex patterns in the three mutants was similar (Fig. 5A; Table S1), with only vcc \textit{pin1-1} versus vcc showing a slightly significant increase in the occurrence of simple patterns.
and vein patterns in Col-0, vcc En-1, between either disconnections, we found no statistically significant differences (adjusted $P=0.04$). In terms of the occurrence of vein disconnections, we found no statistically significant differences between either vcc and pin1-1 ($P=0.86$), or between each of the single mutants and the vcc pin1-1 double mutant ($P=0.3$ for both cases). However, pin1-1 and vcc pin1-1, but not vcc cotyledons, exhibited small abnormal areoles close to the cotyledon apex (Fig. 5A). These results suggest that both PIN and VCC act on the same pathway controlling basal vein formation and vein connectivity in cotyledons, and that combined mutations in VCC and PID do not lead to enhanced vein defects.

PINOID (PID) is a serine-threonine protein kinase that controls the polarity of PIN proteins at the plasma membrane (Christensen et al., 2000; Friml et al., 2004), which is known to affect vascular patterning (Kleine-Vehn et al., 2009). To test whether there is a genetic interaction between VCC and PID, we decided to generate vcc pid double mutants. However, as PINOID (At2g34650) and VCC (At2g32280) are located fairly close to each other in chromosome 2, we were unable to generate double mutants by crossing vcc with existing pid mutants. Instead, using CRISPR/Cas9 technology (Yanagisawa et al., 2018; Brumos et al., 2020), and genetic interaction between PID and the two resulting alleles are not identical, their deduced translated protein products are (Fig. S4). We named these new alleles pid-17 and vcc pid-18 (Fig. S4). Seedlings homozygous for the pid-17 mutation commonly exhibited three cotyledons and were used for vein pattern analysis. We also analyzed the already characterized pid-14 mutant (Haga et al., 2014) as a reference. We found a statistically significant difference between wild type and the four mutant lines (pid14, pid-17, vcc and vcc pid-18) in terms of proportion of ‘complex’ versus ‘simple’ vein network patterns in cotyledons (Fig. 5B; Table S1). In addition, in pairwise $\chi^2$ analyses using false recovery rates, we found no significant differences in the proportion of vein network complexity patterns among the four mutant lines ($P=0.05$ for all pairwise comparisons; Table S1). However, the vcc pid-18 double mutant showed a significantly higher proportion of vein disconnections compared with either single mutant ($P<0.001$ in both cases). In fact, compared to the single mutants, the vcc pid-18 cotyledons had a sixfold increase in the occurrence of open distal areoles (Fig. 5B). These results are consistent with both VCC and PID acting with PIN1 through a common mechanism to determine basal strands in cotyledons. However, the drastic increase in distal vein gaps in the double mutants suggests that VCC and PID may control distal vein connectivity in a partially or completely independent manner.

**Auxin induces VCC expression**

VCC has been reported as one of the ARF5/MP target genes during the globular embryo stage (Möller et al., 2017). ARF5 recognizes the auxin-response elements TGCTCTC (Ulmasov et al., 1995) and TGTCTC (Boer et al., 2014), promoting gene expression in the presence of auxin. We searched the VCC promoter region and found the auxin-response element TGCTCTC in reverse orientation (GACACA) at the $−260$ position from the transcription start site. Neither a TGCTCT nor a GACACA sequence necessarily implies the presence of an auxin-responsive element. In fact, nearly half of Arabidopsis genes contain these sequences within 1000 base pairs from the transcriptional site (Mironova et al., 2014). Therefore, we measured the steady-state level of VCC transcripts in seedlings treated with synthetic auxin NAA by RT-PCR and RT-qPCR (Fig. 6A,B). VCC transcripts were significantly increased after 24 h of auxin treatment, confirming that auxin induces VCC expression.

**Expression and subcellular localization of VCC in the root tip**

To determine its subcellular localization and expression pattern, we tagged VCC with 3xYPET (3x-YFP) using recombinereering technology (Yanagisawa et al., 2018; Brumos et al., 2020), and expressed it in vcc mutant plants (Fig. S5). We introduced the 3x-YFP tag at the N-terminal, the C-terminal or the cytoplasmic loop region of VCC, and checked whether the expression of these three
tagged versions was able to rescue the cotyledon vein defects of the vcc mutant (Fig. S5B,C). We found that the expression of C-terminally and N-terminally tagged VCC partially rescued both the complexity and connectivity vein defects of the vcc mutant cotyledons (Fig. S5C).

Previously, we reported the expression of VCC in embryos, developing vasculature of roots and cotyledons, anthers, and the vascular cambium of the hypocotyl of mature Arabidopsis plants (Roschzttardtz et al., 2014). Although the vcc mutant does not have detectable root developmental defects, VCC expression in the root tip is relatively high, and consistently, we detected a strong 3x-YFP-VCC signal in the root meristematic region. As roots are ideal for confocal imaging of deep tissues, where VCC is mostly expressed, we decided to analyze the subcellular localization of 3x-YFP-VCC in root cells. We observed 3x-YFP fluorescent signal in cortex, endodermis and stele of the root meristematic zone (Fig. 7A,B). The subcellular localization varied among these cell types; although in all cells 3x-YFP-VCC was detected at the cell periphery, in the endodermal and vascular cells, it was also localized to large organelles reminiscent of vacuoles (Fig. 7B). To unambiguously determine the localization of 3x-YFP-VCC, we stained transgenic seedlings with FM4-64 to label the plasma membrane (Fig. 7C), and introduced the tonoplast marker VAMP711-mCherry (Geldner et al., 2009) by crossing (Fig. 7E). Signal intensity profiles revealed that 3x-YFP-VCC and FM4-64 signals overlap at the plasma membrane to the vacuolar lumen of the procambial cells in the middle strand (Fig. 7B; Fig. 9A,B). As the expression of PIN1-GFP intensified in developing lateral strands and pre-procambial cells started to elongate, transitioning into procambial cells (170-µm-long cotyledons), the tdTomato-VCC signal also became stronger in these cells and was redistributed together with PIN1-GFP from the plasma membrane to the vacuolar lumen (Fig. 8B; Fig. 9C,D).

To further confirm that the sequestration of VCC into vacuoles was preferentially occurring in PIN1-GFP+ procambial cells, we calculated the ratio of tdTomato-VCC signal between the interior (intracellular, mostly vacuolar) and the plasma membrane of ground cells devoid of PIN1-GFP, and in procambial cells strongly expressing PIN1-GFP1. We found a statistically significant increase in the intracellular/vacuolar ratio of VCC signal in procambial cells expressing PIN1-GFP (Fig. 9E), suggesting that VCC is internalized from the plasma membrane and delivered to the vacuole for degradation in cells undergoing procambial fate determination.

We then studied more closely the dynamics of tdTomato-VCC and PIN1-GFP during the transition from pre-procambial to procambial cell fate. The elongation of pre-procambial cells that accompanies their transition into procambial cells is marked by a more pronounced polarization of PIN1 at the plasma membrane (Fig. 9F). VCC also localized to the plasma membrane of pre-procambial cells but did not show detectable polarization (Fig. 9G,H). As the strands continue to extend and pre-procambial cells elongate, VCC was mostly detected inside vacuoles together with PIN1-GFP, suggesting that vacuolar sequestration and degradation of both VCC and PIN1 increase at this stage.

**VCC and PIN1 dynamics in embryonic cotyledons**

To analyze the dynamics of both VCC and PIN1 expression and localization in embryos during pre-procambial fate determination, we generated seedlings expressing pPIN::PIN1-GFP and pVCC::tdTomato-VCC. VCC was expressed strongly in vascular cells and weakly in the ground cells at the globular stage (Fig. 8A). From heart to torpedo stages, VCC was detected in pre-procambial and procambial cells, and surrounding ground cells (Fig. 8A). At the early bent-cotyledon stage, both VCC and PIN1 were expressed in the central procambial strand of the embryo axis and in middle and lateral strands of cotyledons, with a weaker expression of VCC in cotyledon ground cells (Fig. 8A).

During the formation of the first PIN1 domain, VCC was expressed ubiquitously in ground cells but more strongly in the elongating cells of the middle procambial strand (Fig. 8B). At this stage (110 µm long-cotyledons), VCC and PIN1 were localized to the plasma membrane and cytoplasmic puncta in ground cells but also to the vacuolar lumen of the procambial cells in the middle strand (Fig. 8B; Fig. 9A,B). As the expression of PIN1-GFP intensified in developing lateral strands and pre-procambial cells started to elongate, transitioning into procambial cells (170-µm-long cotyledons), the tdTomato-VCC signal also became stronger in these cells and was redistributed together with PIN1-GFP from the plasma membrane to the vacuolar lumen (Fig. 8B; Fig. 9C,D).

We then studied more closely the dynamics of tdTomato-VCC and PIN1-GFP during the transition from pre-procambial to procambial cell fate. The elongation of pre-procambial cells that accompanies their transition into procambial cells is marked by a more pronounced polarization of PIN1 at the plasma membrane (Fig. 9F). VCC also localized to the plasma membrane of pre-procambial cells but did not show detectable polarization (Fig. 9G,H). As the strands continue to extend and pre-procambial cells elongate, VCC was mostly detected inside vacuoles together with PIN1-GFP, suggesting that vacuolar sequestration and degradation of both VCC and PIN1 increase at this stage.
To understand how VCC affects vein patterning through PIN1, we analyzed PIN1 subcellular localization in pre-procambial cells in developing basal strands of 120- to 160-µm-long cotyledons. We noticed that PIN1 polarization was less common in vcc pre-procambial cells compared with wild type (Fig. 9I). As basal strands extend and merge with the middle strand and/or the distal strand in 160- to 200-µm-long cotyledons, 98% of the wild-type but only 70% of the vcc mutant cotyledons showed polar localization of PIN1 in pre-procambial/procambial cells (Fig. 9I,J). Consistently, at this stage pre-procambial cell expansion was impaired in basal strands of vcc mutants (Fig. 9I,J). We also noticed that the vacuolar delivery of PIN1 was accelerated in the vcc mutant cotyledons compared with wild type, as vacuolar PIN1-GFP signal was detected at earlier stages in pre-procambial/procambial cells of vcc cotyledons (Fig. 9I,J). These results, together with our observation of the transient nature of the second PIN1 domain in vcc mutants, suggest that VCC is important for the localization and stability of PIN1 at the plasma membrane during the transition from pre-procambial into procambial cells.

**DISCUSSION**

The simple and regular vein patterns of Arabidopsis cotyledons make them an ideal model system to study vascular development. A relatively large number of mutants have been reported to show vascular patterning defects in seedling cotyledons. The formation of the middle vein seems to be more robust than that of lateral veins as only a few mutants for genes in the early steps in auxin signaling, such as AXR6/CULLIN1 and ARF5/MP, occasionally show abnormal middle veins (Berleth and Jurgens, 1993; Hobbie et al., 2000). For our analysis of lateral veins, we considered two parameters: (1) network complexity, given by the number of closed distal areoles and the presence of basal veins forming either closed or open basal areoles; and (2) connectivity of both distal and basal lateral veins. The two parameters are somehow related as most mutants with defects in vein network complexity also show vein disconnections. Fragmentation of lateral veins is an extreme form of connectivity defect, and it has been reported in mutants for the sterol biosynthesis enzyme COTYLEDON VASCULAR PATTERN1/STEROLMETHYLTRANSFERASE2 (Carland et al., 2002) and for the vesicle trafficking proteins GNOM/VAN7 and VAN3/SFC (Koizumi et al., 2000). Interestingly, FORKED1/VAN3-BINDING PROTEIN (FKD1/VAB) interacts with VAN3/SFC and it is required for establishing the apical junction and merging points (Steynen and Schultz, 2003; Naramoto et al., 2009).

Mutations in VCC cause both reduced complexity and connectivity in cotyledon vasculature (Roschzttardtz et al., 2014). Similar vein defects have been reported in mutants for PID (Kleine-Vehn et al., 2009), OPS (Truernit et al., 2012), LHW (Ohashi-Ito and Bergmann, 2007) and an inositol polyphosphate 5’-phosphatase COTYLEDON VASCULAR PATTERN2 (Carland and Nelson, 2009). Except for OPS, all these proteins have been reported to influence PIN1 expression patterns and/or localization (Kleine-Vehn et al., 2009; Naramoto et al., 2009; Ohashi-Ito et al., 2013). Although PID is uniformly localized at the plasma membrane of the root cells (Dhonukshe et al., 2010), its kinase activity is required for proper PIN1 polarization (Friml et al., 2004). Likewise, although VCC itself is not polarized at the plasma membrane (Fig. 9G,H), it does promote polarization of PIN1 in pre-procambial cells, and our analysis of a double vcc pid mutant suggests that both VCC and PID are required for PIN1-mediated control of vasculature network complexity. Interestingly, although VCC seems to functionally overlap with PID in the modulation of PIN1 function, VCC is not required for normal cotyledon development, as the vcc mutants do not show the fused cotyledons or supernumerary cotyledons typical of pin1 and pid mutants, respectively. Based on genetic studies, the trafficking components VAN3/SFC and FKD1/VAB1 have been hypothesized to act in the same pathway as PID (Sieburth et al., 2006; Hou et al., 2010), suggesting that PIN1 is regulated by multiple partially overlapping pathways during vascular development in cotyledons. VCC physically interacts with OPS (Roschzttardtz et al., 2014), which is a positive regulator of the brassinosteroid pathway and phloem differentiation (Anne et al., 2015), suggesting a role for VCC in the integration of both auxin and brassinosteroid signaling for vascular development in embryos. Cytokinin also controls PIN1 polarization and dynamics. Cytokinin enhances differential internalization of PIN1 from specific cell sides and stimulates its degradation in vacuoles (Marhavy et al., 2011, 2014). Whether VCC is involved in cytokinin signaling to regulate PIN1 dynamics is unknown. However, the polarity defects and premature degradation of PIN1 in vcc mutants are consistent with that possibility.

**VCC** expression is induced by auxin (Fig. 6) and likely controlled by the ARF5/MP transcription factor (Möller et al., 2017). In fact, the expression patterns of VCC and ARF5/MP during embryo development are similar, with both switching from a broad expression in ground cells to a more restricted expression in pre-procambial/procambial cells during vascular specification (Fig. 8A,B; Hamann et al., 2002; Wenzel et al., 2007). These results are consistent with a role for VCC in vascular development through an auxin positive feedback loop.
Reduced vein network complexity in cotyledons of vcc mutants is caused by the reduction in basal vein formation. We found a correlation between the origin of basal veins and the position of the middle junction (Fig. 1D). In embryonic cotyledons, when the basal strand originates from the first PIN1 domain, the middle junction tends to locate at a more distal position than when it originates from the second PIN1 domain (Fig. 2E). Both patterns of basal strand initiation occur normally in vcc embryonic cotyledons (Fig. 4D). However, basal strands derived from the second PIN1 domain frequently fail to extend upwards and merge with the pre-existing distal strand in vcc (Fig. 4D,F). Consequently, the emerging basal strand remains isolated from pre-existing strands and eventually disappears without forming procambial cells (Fig. 4F). This isolated domain of pre-procambial cells may not be able to establish enough auxin flow to initiate procambial formation. According to this observation, we hypothesize that the middle junction and merging positions are located at significantly more distal positions in vcc cotyledons because basal strands originated from the second PIN1 domain frequently fail to specify procambial/vascular cells, and therefore, most of the basal veins in vcc mutant cotyledons derive from the first PIN1 domain (Fig. 1D).

Our analysis of 3xYFP- or tdTomato-tagged proteins indicate that VCC localizes to the plasma membrane of root and embryonic cells (Fig. 7C). This is inconsistent with a previous study reporting VCC localization at the endoplasmic reticulum of root cells (Wilson-Sánchez et al., 2018). However, this discrepancy could be explained by the level of expression in both systems as Wilson-Sánchez et al. (2018) and colleagues used the constitutive CamV35S promoter to drive VCC-CFP expression, whereas we used the native promoter. We also observed that VCC is partially colocalized with PIN1-GFP in elongating pre-procambial cells in developing cotyledons (115 µm in length) of torpedo embryos (Fig. 9A,D). PIN1 localization in vacuoles in basal strands (Col-0, n=35 and 44, and vcc, n=22 and 39 in 120-160 and 160-200 µm-long cotyledons, respectively). Scale bars: 10 µm (A); 5 µm (C,G); 20 µm (F,I).
presence of charged amino acids in transmembrane domains (Roschitztardtz et al., 2014). Tetraspanins are evolutionarily conserved integral proteins that facilitate the assembly of protein networks in membranes (Charrin et al., 2009; Rubinstein, 2011; Wang et al., 2012). By interacting with each other, tetraspanins form large networks called ‘tetraspanin webs’ (Hemsley et al., 2013) that cluster together receptors and signaling components to specific sites of membranes enriched in sterols. PIN1 is known to localize to steroid-rich detergent-resistant membrane domains (Titapiwatanakun et al., 2009), and VCC could be required to either form these membrane domains or to retain PIN1 in them. Interestingly, the auxin transporter ATP-BINDING CASSETTE TRANSPORTER B19 (ABC19) is another protein that has been proposed to stabilize PIN1 in sterol-rich domains (Titapiwatanakun et al., 2009). Similar to VCC, ABC19 becomes predominantly expressed in procambial cells during embryonic cotyledon development and is redirected from the plasma membrane to vacuoles (Lewis et al., 2009). The abch19 mutants showed abnormal auxin distribution in developing cotyledons (Lewis et al., 2009), but how much of this defect is due to a direct effect on its own auxin transport activity or on the stability of PIN1 at the plasma membrane is currently unclear. The role of VCC on PIN1 stabilization/localization seems to be crucial during the specification of procambial strands in embryonic cotyledons but not in vascular development after germination as the vcc mutant shows normal vein development in roots and aerial organs. This could be attributed to either redundancy within the fifteen members of the DUF1218 protein family to which VCC belongs, or to a special requirement for PIN1 stabilization during procambial cell fate specification in lateral strands in embryonic cotyledons.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant materials and growth conditions**

*Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotype Col-0 was used as wild-type control unless otherwise stated. Seeds were stratified at 4°C in the dark for 2 days and grown on half-strength Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium with 1% sucrose (w/v) and 0.8% agar (w/v) for 1-2 weeks at 22°C under 16-h light/8-h dark cycles, and then transferred to soil. Single mutant lines were described previously: vcc-3 (SAIL_237_C09; Roschitztardtz et al., 2014); pin1-1 (Okada et al., 1991; Belletton et al., 2018); and pid-14 (SALK_049736; Dhonukshe et al., 2010). The vcc-3 pin1-1 double mutant was generated by crossing.

**Plasmid construction and transgenic lines**

The lines expressing *pPIN1::PIN1-GFP* (CS9362; Benková et al., 2003) and VAMP711-mCherry (CS781673; Geldner et al., 2009) were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center.

To generate 3xYPET- or tdTomato-tagged VCC, the coding sequence of 3xYPET or tdTomato was placed immediately after the start codon of *VCC* in the KAZUSA transformation-competent artificial chromosome K17G15 by recombineering (Yanagisawa et al., 2018; Brumos et al., 2020). Recombineering primers were as follows: VCC-REC-N-F, 5'-AAAGTAGTCACAAAGCTCAACTAACTCTACTAAGTAGTTGATGAAATTGGGAGGTGAGGGTGGGGCTC-3'; and VCC-REC-N-R, 5'-ACATCTAAGCGCCCAACATGACTAGACAAACAGAATACCTCCTATCTTTGTGGCCACGGCCGAGCACCC-3'. For generation of the CRISPR/Cas9-edited lines pid-17 and *vcc* pid-18, the gRNA adaptor from PID-CPRI-F 5'-ATTGCGGCGCCGATACGACGCATG-3' and PID-CPRI-R 5'-AAAAACATGCCTGATCAGCCGCGC-3' was inserted into the egg cell-specific CRISPR/Cas9 vector pHEE401E (Wang et al., 2015). The resulting constructs were sequence verified and transformed into Agrobacterium GV3101, and then into Arabidopsis. Hygromycin-resistant T1 plants were screened for editing by Fat1 digestion of the PCR products using the following primers: pid-14 LP, 5'-ATGATTCAAGAACAGA-ACAGGGTGC-3' and PID-R1, 5'-TAATACACCCAGCGGCAAT-3'. Four independent lines were further verified by sequencing, and T2 progeny of all four lines showed seedlings with three cotyledons.

**Cotyledon shape and vein pattern analysis**

Cotyledons were removed from 7-day-after-germination or older seedlings, and incubated in 95% ethanol for 2 h or longer to remove chlorophyll and other cellular contents. Cleared cotyledons were imaged using a Nikon SMZ1500 dissecting microscope. More than 100 cotyledons per genotype were scored for vein patterns. Mutants for *vcc* and *pin1-1* were in Col-0 and En-1 wild-type background. To reduce the effect resulting from different ecotype backgrounds, we isolated single mutant lines from the mixture of Col-0 and En-1 background after crossing. Homozygous *pin1-1* mutants were identified by the presence of one or three cotyledons, fused cotyledons or leaves, and abnormal phyllotaxis. As only 10% of the progeny from *PIN1/pin1-1* (n=635) and *vcc PIN1/pin1-1* (n=732) plants showed abnormal cotyledons or leaves, the remaining plants were grown longer to observe the pin-like inflorescent meristem typical of *pin1-1* mutants; the cotyledons of these older plants were analyzed. Homozygous *pid* mutants were identified as seedlings with three cotyledons, representing 23% and 26% of the progeny from PID/pid-17 (n=448) and *vcc PID/pid-18* (n=563) plants, respectively. For *vcc* and Col-0, we calculated cotyledon shape parameters using ImageJ based on circularity=4 area/perimeter² and roundness=4area/π length².

**Fig. 10. Models of basal strand formation in wild type and vcc.**

(A) Model of basal strand formation in wild-type (WT) and vcc cotyledons. The establishment of the first and second PIN1 domains, and the initiation of basal strands, proceeds similarly in wild type and the vcc mutant. In wild-type cotyledons, the basal strand originated from the second PIN1 domain extends and merges with the distal strand. In the vcc mutant, the pre-procambial cells at the second PIN1 domain fail to elongate and remain as an isolated incipient strand. These isolated basal strands eventually disappear without forming procambial cells. As a result, only basal strands branched out from the first PIN1 domain remain in vcc cotyledons, leading to a drastic reduction in vein network complexity. (B) Model of pre-procambial/procambial cell specification in wild type and vcc. PIN1 (green) is initially expressed and localized at the plasma membrane of pre-procambial cells in both wild-type and vcc mutant cotyledons. In wild type, PIN1 becomes polarized, leading to cell elongation and procambial cell formation. Upon pre-procambial cell elongation, a large pool of PIN1 is transported to vacuoles (V) for degradation. In vcc mutant cotyledons, PIN1 fails to polarize in pre-procambial cells and it is prematurely delivered to vacuoles, resulting in defects in the procambial specification.
Statistical analysis
Statistical tests were performed using R software (www.r-project.org/). The Shapiro–Wilks test was used to determine normality. P-values of χ² tests for more than two populations were adjusted by a false discovery rate method (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995) to reduce type I error.

Confocal microscopy
Fluorescent images were acquired using a Zeiss LSM 780 inverted microscope with a 20× Plan-Apo 0.8 NA or a 40× C-Apo 1.1 NA water-immersion objective lens. GFP was excited by a 488 nm laser line with collection window set to 493-556 nm. YPET and FM4-64 were excited with a 514 nm laser and collected using 519-583 and 624-739 nm windows, respectively. Propidium iodide, mCherry and tTomato were excited with a 561 nm laser and detected at 588-705 nm, 583-664 nm and 566-624 nm, respectively. Calcofluor white was excited with a 405 nm laser and emission collected at 425-474 nm. Image analysis and quantification were performed using ImageJ (http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/).

Embryo imaging
Embryos expressing fluorescent proteins were isolated from developing seeds using a dissecting microscope. Isolated embryos were placed in 30-50 μl drops of water in an 18-well microscope slide (Ibidi). For imaging, globular and torpedo embryos were kept in the microscope well and early bent-cotyledon embryos were transferred between two cover glasses. Cell wall staining was performed according to the ClearSee protocol (Ursache et al., 2018). Briefly, isolated embryos were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 1 h and then cleared in the ClearSee solution containing 10% xylitol, 15% sodium deoxycholate and 25% urea for 24 h. Cleared embryos were stained with 0.1% calcofluor white (Sigma-Aldrich) for 1 h before imaging.

Fluorescence signal intensity profiles
For the peak intensity analysis, signal intensity was measured along lines using the plot profile function in ImageJ. Single optical sections showing plasma membrane or mid-planes of vacuoles were selected for the analysis. Relative intensity was determined by the equation:

\[
\frac{\text{X}_{\text{maximum}} - \text{X}_{\text{minimum}}}{\text{X}_{\text{maximum}} - \text{X}_{\text{minimum}}}.
\]

Basal strand analysis
Images of embryonic cotyledons expressing PIN1-GFP were used for the analysis. The first and second PIN1 domains were determined by the presence or absence of a connection with the distal strand in 120- to 160-μm-long cotyledons, respectively. Elongated procambial cells were defined as those with a length that was at least 1.5× longer than their width.

RT-PCR and RT-qPCR
Wild-type plants were vertically grown on half-strength MS medium containing 1% sucrose and 0.8% agar. At 12 days after germination, seedlings were transferred onto a new half-strength MS plate containing 0.1% ethanol or 10 μM NAA for 24 h. For RT-PCR, total RNA was extracted from seedlings using TRIZol (Ambion). cDNA was synthesized using a High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems), followed by PCR with gene specific primers: VCC-RT-F1, 5′-TCCCTGAATTCAGTGAA-3′; VCC-RT-R1, 5′-ACAGTGCTACACAT-3′; PIN1-RT-F1, 5′-TCCCTGAATTCAGTGAA-3′; PIN1-RT-R1, 5′-ACAGTGCTACACAT-3′; and UBQ10-F 5′-TCCCTGAATTCAGTGAA-3′, and UBQ10-R 5′-TGGCTTCGAGGAAGCTTGCA-3′ for UBQ10. The expression levels of VCC and PIN1 were normalized by the UBQ10 expression level.
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**Fig. S1.** Size and shape of wild-type and vcc cotyledons. (A) Length and width of cotyledons from seedlings of Col-0 and vcc at 8 days after germination. Mean + SD (n=100 cotyledons per genotype). (B) Shape parameters circularity and roundness in wild-type and vcc cotyledons; Mean + SD (n = 100 cotyledons per genotype).

**Fig. S2.** Terminology to describe geometry of vein pattern in cotyledons. The diagram indicates veins and vein merging points in half of an *Arabidopsis* cotyledon. An areole is the area enclosed by veins. The term “strand” denotes developing veins consisting of pre-procambial/procambial cells.
**Fig. S3.** Basal strand formation in wild type and vcc cotyledons. Basal strands originate from the first (yellow) or second (red) PIN1 domains in wild-type and vcc cotyledons (approximately 140 µm in length). Scale bars = 30 µm.

| Nucleotide sequence | Deduced amino acid sequences |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| PID                 | PID                         |
| 226 CGCCTCAT GCGTCGTATCGGCGCCGCCGACATCGGAACAGTTTAC 270 | 76 RLMRRIGAGDGTVVY 90 |
| pid-17              | PID-17                      |
| 226 CGCCTCATGGCGTCGTATCGGCGCCGCCGACATCGGAACAGTTTAC 271 | 76 RLMASYRRRRHRNSL 90 |
| pid-18              | PID-18                      |
| 226 CGCCTCATGGCGTCGTATCGGCGCCGCCGACATCGGAACAGTTTAC 271 | 76 RLMASYRRRRHRNSL 90 |

**Fig. S4.** Identification of mutations in CRISPR/ CAS9-edited lines. Nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences of PID, pid-17, and pid-18. Under-lined nucleotides show the PAM sequence. Single nucleotide G and T (highlighted by red color) were inserted after the nucleotide 233 from the translation site in pid-17 and pid-18, respectively. Predicted amino acid changes (highlighted in red) in PID-17 and PID-18 mutant proteins.
**Fig. S5.** Fluorescent protein-tagged VCC versions and rescue analysis. (A) The KAZUSA TAC clone K17G15 contains the VCC genomic sequence. (B) Predicted structure of VCC at a membrane and positions of the 3x-YFP tag. (C) Rescue analysis of 3x-YFP- and tdTomato-tagged VCC in the vcc mutant background. Average of three independent transgenic lines are shown, except for VCC-I-YFP for which two lines were analyzed.
**Supplementary Table 1: Statistical analyses of vein network complexity and vein disconnections**

| Comparison            | Raw P-value | Adjusted P-value |
|-----------------------|-------------|------------------|
| Col-0 vs En-1         | 0.2471      | 0.2745           |
| Col-0 vs vcc          | 0.0001      | 0.0001           |
| Col-0 vs pin1-1       | 0.0000      | 0.0001           |
| Col-0 vs vcc pin1-1   | 0.0000      | 0.0000           |
| En-1 vs vcc           | 0.0043      | 0.0071           |
| En-1 vs pin1-1        | 0.0032      | 0.0064           |
| En-1 vs vcc pin1-1    | 0.0000      | 0.0000           |
| vcc vs pin1-1         | 0.8781      | 0.8781           |
| vcc vs vcc pin1-1     | 0.0289      | 0.0414           |
| pin1-1 vs vcc pin1-1  | 0.0541      | 0.0676           |

| Comparison            | Raw P-value | Adjusted P-value |
|-----------------------|-------------|------------------|
| Col-0 vs En-1         | 0.1049      | 0.1748           |
| Col-0 vs vcc          | 0.0008      | 0.0038           |
| Col-0 vs pin1-1       | 0.0028      | 0.0092           |
| Col-0 vs vcc pin1-1   | 0.0000      | 0.0003           |
| En-1 vs vcc           | 0.0767      | 0.1533           |
| En-1 vs pin1-1        | 0.1356      | 0.1938           |
| En-1 vs vcc pin1-1    | 0.0065      | 0.0162           |
| vcc vs pin1-1         | 0.8665      | 0.8665           |
| vcc vs vcc pin1-1     | 0.3482      | 0.3869           |
| pin1-1 vs vcc pin1-1  | 0.2573      | 0.3216           |

**Chi-squared test: complexity (Fig 5b)**

| Comparison            | Raw P-value | Adjusted P-value |
|-----------------------|-------------|------------------|
| Col-0 vs vcc          | 0.0000      | 0.0000           |
| Col-0 vs pid-14       | 0.0000      | 0.0000           |
| Col-0 vs pid-17       | 0.0000      | 0.0000           |
| Col-0 vs vcc pid-18   | 0.0000      | 0.0000           |
| vcc vs pid-14         | 0.4684      | 0.5655           |
| vcc vs pid-17         | 0.0553      | 0.1105           |
| vcc vs vcc pid-18     | 0.7509      | 0.8343           |
| pid-14 vs pid-17      | 0.2265      | 0.3775           |
| pid-14 vs vcc pid-18  | 1.0000      | 1.0000           |
| pid-17 vs vcc pid-18  | 0.2992      | 0.4274           |

**Chi-squared test: connectivity related (Fig 5a)**

| Comparison            | Raw P-value | Adjusted P-value |
|-----------------------|-------------|------------------|
| Col-0 vs En-1         | 0.0001      | 0.0001           |
| Col-0 vs vcc          | 0.0000      | 0.0000           |
| Col-0 vs pin1-1       | 0.0000      | 0.0000           |
| Col-0 vs vcc pin1-1   | 0.0000      | 0.0000           |
| En-1 vs vcc pin1-1    | 0.0065      | 0.0162           |
| vcc vs pin1-1         | 0.8665      | 0.8665           |
| vcc vs vcc pin1-1     | 0.3482      | 0.3869           |
| pin1-1 vs vcc pin1-1  | 0.2573      | 0.3216           |

**Chi-squared test: connectivity (Fig 5b)**

| Comparison            | Raw P-value | Adjusted P-value |
|-----------------------|-------------|------------------|
| Col-0 vs vcc          | 0.0001      | 0.0002           |
| Col-0 vs pid-14       | 0.0000      | 0.0000           |
| Col-0 vs pid-17       | 0.0044      | 0.0063           |
| Col-0 vs vcc pid-18   | 0.0000      | 0.0000           |
| vcc vs pid-14         | 0.6702      | 0.6702           |
| vcc vs pid-17         | 0.3701      | 0.4112           |
| vcc vs vcc pid-18     | 0.0000      | 0.0000           |
| pid-14 vs pid-17      | 0.1442      | 0.1803           |
| pid-14 vs vcc pid-18  | 0.0000      | 0.0000           |
| pid-17 vs vcc pid-18  | 0.0000      | 0.0000           |