SPATIALLY RESOLVED SPECTROSCOPY OF A BALMER-DOMINATED SHOCK IN THE CYGNOUS LOOP: AN EXTREMELY THIN COSMIC-RAY PRECURSOR?
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ABSTRACT

We present high-resolution long slit spectroscopy of a Balmer-dominated shock in the northeastern limb of the Cygnus Loop with the Subaru high dispersion spectrograph. By setting the slit angle along the shock normal, we investigate variations of the flux and profile of the $\lambda$ line from preshock to postshock regions with a spatial resolution of $4 \times 10^{15}$ cm. The $\lambda$ line profile can be represented by a narrow (28.9 $\pm$ 0.7 km s$^{-1}$) Gaussian in a diffuse region ahead of the shock, a photoionization precursor, and narrow (33.1 $\pm$ 0.2 km s$^{-1}$) plus broad (130–230 km s$^{-1}$) Gaussians at the shock itself. We find that the width of the narrow component abruptly increases up to 33.1 $\pm$ 0.2 km s$^{-1}$, or 38.8 $\pm$ 0.4 km s$^{-1}$ if we eliminate projected emission originating from the photoionization precursor, in an unresolved thin layer ($4 \times 10^{15}$ cm at a distance of 540 pc) at the shock. We show that the sudden broadening can be best explained by heating via damping of Alfvén waves in a thin cosmic-ray (CR) precursor, although other possibilities are not fully ruled out. The thickness of the CR precursor in the Cygnus Loop is an order of magnitude thinner than that in Tycho’s Knot (a hard gamma-ray emitter), which may be caused by the different energy distribution of accelerated particles between the two sources. In this context, systematic studies might reveal a positive correlation between the thickness of the CR precursor and the hardness of the CR energy distribution.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Supernovas explosions produce strong shock waves propagating into the interstellar medium (ISM). Whereas these shocks are usually associated with bright optical forbidden lines from metals (radiative shocks) and permitted lines from hydrogen, a small subsample of supernova remnants (SNRs) exhibit faint Balmer-dominated shocks which are characterized by H lines and very weak forbidden lines from lowly ionized hydrogen, a small subsample of supernova remnants have been used to probe lines from metals (gating into the interstellar medium flux rather than Coulomb collisions. The H line profile behind a Balmer-dominated shock consists of narrow and broad components. The narrow component arises from collisional excitation of cold neutrals that pass through the shock, and the broad component arises from collisional excitation and cascading processes of hot neutrals that are created by charge exchange (CX) reactions between hot downstream protons heated at the shock and the cold neutrals unaffected by the shock transition region (Chevalier et al. 1980; Ghavamian et al. 2001). Therefore, the widths of the narrow and broad components should reflect temperatures of unshocked neutrals and shocked protons, respectively.

It has been a long-standing problem that the widths of the narrow component, FWHMs $\sim 30–50$ km s$^{-1}$, are broader than the thermal width expected in the ISM, roughly 21 ($/10^{4}$ K)$^{1/2}$ km s$^{-1}$ (e.g., Sollerman et al. 2003). Although the broadening can be partly due to heating by a photoionization precursor from postshock emission such as He$^+$ 3 narrow component, $<4 \times 10^{15}$ cm. Therefore, this effect is insufficient to explain quantitatively the width observed. Various possibilities have been considered in the interpretation of the nature of the additional broadening (Hester et al. 1994; Smith et al. 1994). Of these, the most likely is a cosmic-ray (CR) precursor, in which the gas is heated by damping of Alfvén waves emitted by CRs and/or is disturbed by Alfvén wave turbulence. Lee et al. (2007, 2010) discovered a possible CR precursor associated with a Balmer-dominated shock at the eastern edge (Knot g: Kamper & van den Bergh 1978) in Tycho’s SNR, where both the intensity and width of the $\lambda$ line gradually increases within a thin ($\sim 10^{16}$ cm) region ahead of the shock. Wagner et al. (2009) showed that the precursor can heat the gas by damping of sound waves from CRs via an acoustic instability. So far, it is the only known example of a promising...
CR precursor (see also Sollerman et al. 2003, reporting a hint of the CR precursor from Kepler’s SNR). Therefore, it is essential to accumulate observational information about CR precursors by observing other Balmer-dominated shocks.

Balmer-dominated shocks in the Cygnus Loop offer a unique opportunity for the study of thin precursors, thanks to its proximity—it is the closest ($d = 540^{+100}_{-80}$ pc: Blair et al. 2005) among 11 SNRs (both in our Galaxy and the LMC) associated with Balmer-dominated shocks, with 1" corresponding to 2.6 × 10^{-3} pc. Recently, Medina et al. (2014) performed high-resolution spectroscopy of a number of shocks along with diffuse emission 2/5 ahead of northeastern (NE) shocks of the Cygnus Loop. They found that Hα line widths in the diffuse regions are as narrow as ∼29 km s^{-1}, while Hα profiles of the shocks themselves consist of broader narrow (∼36 km s^{-1}) and broad (∼250 km s^{-1}) components. They suggested that the Hα broadening of ∼29 km s^{-1} in the diffuse region is due to photoionization, and speculated that the additional broadening of the narrow component at the shock originates from a thin CR precursor. Like Tycho’s Knot g (Lee et al. 2007), such a thin precursor may be detected by spatially resolved spectroscopy of the Balmer-dominated shock.

Here, we present high-resolution long-slit spectroscopy which are spatially resolved perpendicular to the best-studied Balmer-dominated shock in the Cygnus Loop (Raymond et al. 1983; Fesen & Itoh 1985; Long et al. 1992; Hester et al. 1994; Sankrit et al. 2000; Blair et al. 2005; Katsuda et al. 2008; Medina et al. 2014). Consistent with the previous optical spectroscopy (Medina et al. 2014), we detect a diffuse precursor ahead of the shock throughout the slit position. We find that the width of the narrow component increases within an unresolved region at the shock, which we attribute to heating by damping of Alfvén waves in a CR precursor. We present our observations and results in Section 2, and our interpretations in Section 3.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS

We observed one of the brightest Balmer-dominated shocks in the NE limb of the Cygnus Loop on 2015 August 31 using the Subaru High Dispersion Spectrograph (HDS: Noguchi et al. 2002). We use a long slit together with the Hα order-blocking filter. The slit width is 1", which gives a velocity resolution of FWHM = 9 km s^{-1}. The slit was centered at (R.A., decl.) = (20:56:04.8, +31:56:46.7) (J2000), with a position angle of 46° measured north of east as shown in Figure 1(a).

This way, we investigate spatial variations of the line profile along the shock normal, i.e., parallel to the shock motion. The total exposure time was 6 × 30 minutes, which was reduced to 2.5 hr after rejecting one frame affected by fog. The spectrum was binned by 2 pixels for both the dispersion axis and the slit direction, so that the pixel scales after the binning were 0.0364 Å pixel^{-1} and 0.0276 pixel^{-1}, respectively. The wavelength range covered by this observation is 6515–6589 Å. The seeing was about 0''5. We performed a standard processing of the Subaru data, including overscan correction, flat fielding, and wavelength calibration based on the spectrum from a Th–Ar lamp. We reject CR backgrounds by taking the median of the four frames. In this processing, we utilize version 2.16 of the IRAF software.12

Figure 1(b) shows a fully processed two-dimensional spectrum of the Hα line, for which the x- and y-axis show the wavelength and the slit position (top is to the NE), respectively. The four blobs near the bottom correspond to the Balmer-dominated shocks 1–4. Since we did not obtain a sky background frame separately, we adopt the far upstream region between two dotted horizontal lines in Figure 1(b) as our background. The background-subtracted Hα intensity profiles along the slit position are plotted in Figure 1(c), where the black and red show the narrow and broad components, respectively. The broad component arises immediately behind the bright shocks, whereas the narrow component extends ahead of them as indicated by arrows with “photoionization precursor” in the plot (see below).

To investigate spatial variations of the Hα profile, we extract one-dimensional spectra from 21 regions along the slit, as indicated by a vertical scale bar in Figure 1(b). The regions are divided such that brighter regions have smaller widths with a minimum width of 2 pixels or 0''55. Figure 2 shows a background-subtracted one-dimensional spectrum taken from
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12 http://iraf.noao.edu/
the brightest region indicated by a horizontal arrow in Figure 1(b), together with the background spectrum in green. The Hα line profile can be reproduced by two Gaussian components: a broad component (dotted red line) arising from a shock-heated gas and a narrow component (dotted black line) arising from unshocked neutrals. Another small peak at 6583.4 Å is the [N ii] line originating from the Cygnus Loop itself. Below, we will fit both of these two lines by either a single Gaussian or double Gaussians, after taking into account instrumental broadening of FWHM = 9 km s⁻¹.

Our analysis shows that only a narrow Gaussian component is required in the precursor, with an upper limit of broad-to-narrow intensity ratios (I_b/I_n) of 0.3. On the other hand, two Gaussian components are required at the Balmer-dominated shocks and its interior where I_b/I_n ratios range from 0.75 ± 0.02 to 2.01 ± 0.08. Such a strong I_b/I_n difference between the precursor and the Balmer-dominated shock clearly demonstrates that the precursor is not the result of geometric projection of fainter Balmer-dominated shocks, but an intrinsic precursor associated with the bright shocks.

The [N ii] line profiles can be fitted by a single, narrow Gaussian throughout the slit. We measure the width of the [N ii] line to be narrower than FWHM = 8 km s⁻¹ (after considering the instrumental resolution) all along the slit position, which allows us to infer the N temperature to be T < 20,000 K, consistent with the H temperature in the photoionization precursor. Also, there is a good correlation between the Hα flux and [N ii] flux within the photoionization precursor U_{Hα} = 9.37 × I_{[N II]} + 511 photons, as shown in Figure 3. These facts lead us to conclude that the [N ii] line entirely arises from the photoionization precursor. This idea is in agreement with the earlier interpretation for the origin of the extremely faint forbidden line emission including the [N ii] and [S ii] lines from the relevant Balmer-dominated shock (Fesen & Itoh 1985).

Figure 4 shows the best-fit Gaussian parameters as a function of the slit position, where x = 0″ corresponds to the bottom line of the two-dimensional spectrum in Figure 1(b). Within the precursor region (x > 15″), the width of the Hα line and the Hα/[N ii] intensity ratio are roughly constant at 28.9 ± 0.7 km s⁻¹ (error-weighted mean FWHM) and 12.1 ± 0.2, respectively, fully consistent with those of a photoionization precursor in the NE Cygnus Loop (Medina et al. 2014). Looking at Figure 4(b), we find that the narrow component(s)’s width abruptly increases from the precursor region to 33.1 ± 0.2 km s⁻¹ within a remarkably thin (unresolved) layer at the shock position. We have ruled out a possibility that the sudden broadening is an artifact due to the broad component, based on the fact that the line width does not change much whether or not we introduce the broad component in the narrow-band fitting. The flux of the narrow component in
the photoionization precursor gradually increases from a far upstream region to the shock front. This may be caused by projection effects—increasing line-of-sight column densities toward the shock, which would be applicable to the relevant shock showing an interaction with a cloud (Long et al. 1992; Hester et al. 1994). The line centroids of the broad and narrow components, which are taken to be the same between the narrow and broad components in our analysis, do not show significant spatial variations except for the innermost region ($\alpha < 7^\circ$).

As for the broad component, the width decreases from the outermost shock (230 ± 20 km s$^{-1}$) to the innermost shock (130 ± 5 km s$^{-1}$) within the four bright Balmer-dominated shocks. The difference by a factor of ~2 can be reasonably interpreted as a result of different shock speeds; we have checked that the outermost Balmer-dominated shock is moving ~1.5 times faster than the other three shocks, based on our own proper-motion measurements by data from the Hubble Space Telescope (HST). We see an even broader (330 ± 80 km s$^{-1}$) line at the innermost region. Some of the width may be the projected bulk speed of the shocked gas, if the region is projected at a significant angle, and if both front and back surfaces contribute.

The presence of the [N ii] line at the bright Balmer-dominated shocks ($\alpha < 15^\circ$) suggests a possible contamination from the photoionization precursor due to projection. In other words, the H$\alpha$ line at the Balmer-dominated shock is a mixture of the narrow (29 km s$^{-1}$) component and a broader narrow (>33 km s$^{-1}$) component. Other hints of such a contamination include (1) the $I_b/I_n$ ratio gradually decreasing from the innermost shock to the outermost shock (Figure 4(a)), and (2) the narrow component(s)’s width becoming narrower at interblob regions than in the blobs themselves (Figures 4(a) and (b)). Therefore, we try to eliminate the contamination from the photoionization precursor. Using the [N ii] flux and the relation between $I_{H\alpha}$ and $I_{N\text{II}}$, we estimate the flux of contaminating H$\alpha$ emission. We then refit the H$\alpha$ profiles with three Gaussian components responsible for narrow (photoionization precursor), broader narrow (thin precursor), and broad (postshock) components, as shown in Figure 5. In this way, we find substantial amounts of broader-narrow components (the blue data in Figure 4(a)), whose error-weighted mean FWHM is 38.8 ± 0.4 km s$^{-1}$ (the blue data in Figure 4(b)).

3. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSIONS

For a Balmer-dominated shock in the NE Cygnus Loop, we have found that the narrow H$\alpha$ component abruptly broadens from 28.9 ± 0.7 km s$^{-1}$ up to 38.8 ± 0.4 km s$^{-1}$ (after removing the contamination from a photoionization precursor) within an extremely thin layer (≤0.0013 pc) at the shock position. Here, we assess the nature of this abrupt broadening. As discussed in Smith et al. (1994), there are no promising postshock mechanisms (including the conversion of Ly$\beta$ → H$\alpha$, momentum transfer in the process of excitation, elastic collisions between narrow component neutrals and shock heated protons, and molecular dissociation), leaving only preshock mechanisms. Of these, there are two major possibilities: (1) a fast neutral precursor, in which fast neutrals returning from the postshock heat the preshock gas, and (2) a CR precursor described in Section 1.

The fast neutral scenario was later disfavored by a simulation by Lim & Raga (1996). The authors found that the thickness of the neutral precursor becomes only ~10$^{14}$ (n$^{-1}$) cm, which is smaller than the characteristic length (~3 × 10$^{14}$ (n$^{-1}$) cm) for CX between upstream protons and neutrals, violating a critical condition that at least one CX reaction must occur to generate warm neutrals; upstream (unshocked) protons are first heated, and then these warm protons will become warm neutrals via CX with upstream cold neutrals. These characteristic lengths can be also checked by analytical solutions (Equations (15) and (17) in Ohira 2012) combined with realistic physical parameters for the Cygnus Loop. In addition, Morlino et al. (2012) and Ohira (2013, 2016) also confirmed that the returning fast neutrals do not affect the width of the narrow H$\alpha$ line for shock velocities ≤1500 km s$^{-1}$ like those in the Cygnus Loop. Meanwhile, our measured upper limit of the precursor’s length (≤4 × 10$^{15}$ cm) does not enable us to eliminate (nor support) the possibility of the heating by returning fast neutrals. Thus, precise determination of the precursor size with better spatial resolution is desired to clarify whether or not this idea is still viable.

As for the CR precursor scenario, there are two ways to broaden the line. One is Doppler broadening due to Alfvén or magnetosonic turbulence, and the other is the heating via damping of these turbulence. In any case, the affected (warm) protons need to become neutrals via CX with upstream cold neutrals. This condition sets a lower limit of the CR precursor size at the characteristic CX length scale of a few 10$^{14}$ cm, which is met for the precursor of our interest ($L_{CR} \lesssim 4 \times 10^{15}$ cm).

We now examine if the two waves can really grow in the thin CR precursors. The timescales for Alfvén waves and sound waves to grow are

$$t_a \sim 5 \times 10^7 \left( \frac{V_a}{6.6 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right) \left( \frac{L_{CR}}{0.001 \text{ pc}} \right) \left( \frac{V_{sh}}{200 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right) \left( \frac{\eta_{CR}}{0.1} \right)^{-1},$$
Landau damping by Boulares & Cox investigated in light of observations of the Cygnus Loop turbulence cannot be the main contributor to the broadening larger turbulent velocity would be expected, as $V_L \propto \eta B \propto V_{so}$ is the sound velocity. On the other hand, the crossing time of the precursor is

$$t_{cross} \sim 2 \times 10^8 \frac{(L_{cr})(V_{sh})}{(0.001 \text{ pc})(200 \text{ km s}^{-1})^{-1}}.$$ 

A simple comparison of the three timescales reveals that only Alfven waves can have sufficient time to grow.

The turbulent velocity created by Alfvén waves can be expressed as $\delta V = V_a \times \frac{B_p}{B}$, where $B$ and $\delta B$ represent the mean magnetic field and its fluctuation, respectively. Given that

$$\left(\frac{\delta B}{B}\right)^2 = \frac{3 \kappa}{r_g c},$$

and $\kappa = L_{cr} \times V_{sh}$, where $\kappa$ is the diffusion coefficient and $r_g$ is the gyroradius of CRs, we obtain

$$\delta V \sim 2.7 \text{ km s}^{-1} \left(\frac{V_a}{6.6 \text{ km s}^{-1}}\right) \left(\frac{\delta B}{B}\right) \left(\frac{0.4}{0.001 \text{ pc}}\right).$$

If the magnetic field is amplified in the precursor, a somewhat larger turbulent velocity would be expected, as $V_a$ linearly depends on $B$ with $\frac{\delta B}{B}$ being expected to stay at unity for strongly amplified magnetic fields. However, we should keep in mind that the precursor’s length scale is inversely proportional to $B$ ($L_{cr} \propto B^{-1}$), and that the precursor’s thickness must be larger than the characteristic length of CX ($3 \times 10^{14}$ cm). Therefore, the magnetic field would not exceed a few $10 \mu G$, which results in an upper limit of the turbulent velocity of $\sim 10$ km s$^{-1}$. This is significantly smaller than the velocity observed. Therefore, we conclude that Alfvén turbulence cannot be the main contributor to the broadening of the narrow component.

The heating by damping of Alfvén waves was extensively investigated in light of observations of the Cygnus Loop by Boulares & Cox (1988) who revealed that the nonlinear Landau damping (Voelk et al. 1984) can easily heat the upstream gas up to the temperature observed. In this model, the diffusion coefficient is a key parameter to control the degree of heating. For the relevant precursor, we can estimate $\kappa \sim 6 \times 10^{22} \left(\frac{L_{cr}}{0.001 \text{ pc}}\right) \left(\frac{V_a}{200 \text{ km s}^{-1}}\right)$, at which we expect that $T > 2 \times 10^{9}$ K according to Figure 11 in Boulares & Cox (1988). This is much higher than our measurement, $T \sim 3.5 \times 10^{4}$ K. This means that appropriate fine tuning of the model is required, which is beyond the scope of this Letter. However, we can say that such an excessive heating is probably due to the fact that Boulares & Cox (1988) assumed that the shock is dominated by CRs, which is unlikely the case for the Cygnus Loop, given the absence of synchrotron X-ray emission, the relatively soft gamma-ray spectrum, and the negligible pressure of nonthermal particles (Salvesen et al. 2009).

It is interesting to note an order of magnitude difference in the thickness of the CR precursors between the Cygnus Loop and Tycho’s Knot g: $L_{cr} \lesssim 0.01$ pc for the Cygnus Loop and $L_{cr} \sim 0.01$ pc for Tycho’s Knot g (Lee et al. 2010). Combined with different shock speeds of $V_{sh} \sim 200$ km s$^{-1}$ (Cygnus Loop: Blair et al. 2005) and $\sim 3000$ km s$^{-1}$ (Tycho’s Knot g: Kamper & van den Bergh 1978; Katsuda et al. 2010), an even larger difference is expected for the diffusion coefficient, $\kappa = L_{cr} \times V_{sh}$. Since $\kappa$ is proportional to $E/B$ with $E$ being the energy of dominant accelerated particles, we speculate that the remarkable difference in $\kappa$ is caused by the different energy distribution of accelerated particles between the two sources; there is no doubt that CRs in Tycho’s SNR have higher energies than those in the Cygnus Loop, judging from the harder gamma-ray spectrum in Tycho’s SNR than that in the Cygnus Loop (Katagiri et al. 2011; Giordano et al. 2012). No direct conclusion can be reached yet, however, without information about the value of $B$. Whatever the nature, the difference of the thin precursors’ sizes between the Cygnus Loop and Tycho’s Knot g gives us a strong motivation to search for a positive correlation between the size of a thin precursor (or diffusion coefficient) and the hardness of CR energy distribution.
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