PU.1 regulates Ccr7 gene expression by binding to its promoter in naïve CD4⁺ T cells
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Interactions between chemokines and chemokine receptors play critical roles in various aspects of immune responses by controlling cell migration. C-C chemokine receptor type 7 (CCR7) is expressed on naïve T cells, B cells, and activated dendritic cells (DCs). We previously demonstrated that the transcription factor PU.1/Spi1 positively regulates the expression of CCR7 in DCs. In the present study, we investigated the role of PU.1 in CCR7 expression in T cells. To confirm whether PU.1 is involved in the expression of CCR7, we conducted a ChIP assay in various T cells purified from splenocytes and thymocytes and found that PU.1 binds to the Ccr7 promoter-proximal region in spleen naïve CD4⁺ T cells, but not in thymocytes. Small interfering RNA-mediated PU.1 knockdown resulted in decreased CCR7 expression in spleen naïve CD4⁺ T cells. Compared to naïve CD4⁺ T cells, Spi1 and Ccr7 mRNA levels decreased in Th1 and Th2 cells, in which PU.1 did not bind to the Ccr7 promoter, suggesting that CCR7 expression decreases due to the dissociation of PU.1 from the Ccr7 promoter during the development of effector T cells from naïve T cells. Collectively, we concluded that CCR7 expression level correlates with the binding level of PU.1 to the Ccr7 promoter and PU.1 acts as a transcriptional activator of the Ccr7 gene in naïve CD4⁺ T cells.
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CCR7, C-C chemokine receptor type 7; DC, dendritic cell; TEC, thymic epithelial cell.
antigen in peripheral tissues. CCR7-deficient mice and plt/plt mice, which are deficient for CCL19 and CCL21-Ser, show significantly reduced numbers of thymocytes and naïve T cells in the thymus and LNs, respectively [6–8]. In addition, CCR7-deficient mice tend to develop mild autoimmunity suggesting that this molecule plays important roles not only in adaptive immunity, but also in immune tolerance [9–11].

PU.1, encoded by Spi1 gene, is a hematopoietic lineage-specific transcriptional factor that plays essential roles in lymphoid and myeloid development by regulating numerous genes including the developmentally important cytokine receptors M-CSFR, G-CSFR, GM-CSFRα, and IL-7Rα [12–15]. Recently, we have demonstrated that PU.1 is involved in CCR7 expression by binding to its promoter through −9/−6 TTCC in DCs [16]. Since PU.1 expression drastically changes at various T-cell stages, we focused on the relationship between T-cell differentiation and PU.1−CCR7 axis.

Materials and methods

Cell preparation

Spleen and thymus were obtained from 6- to 10-week-old BALB/c mice (Japan SLC, Hamamatsu, Japan). Naïve CD4+ T cells were isolated from splenocytes using a mouse naïve CD4 T cell Isolation Kit and an autoMACS (all from Miltenyi Biotec, Tubingen, Germany). Naïve CD8+ T cells were isolated by using MojoSort Mouse CD8 Naïve T Cell Isolation Kit (BioLegend, San Diego, CA, USA). All animal experiments were performed according to the approved guidelines of the Institutional Review Board of Tokyo University of Science.

Flow cytometric analysis

PE-labeled anti-CCR7 (4B12, BioLegend), FITC-labeled anti-CD4 (GK1.5; TONBO Bioscience, San Diego, CA, USA), and PE-Cy5-labeled anti-CD8a (53–6.7; TONBO Biosciences) antibodies were used to stain cell-surface molecules after blocking the Fc receptors with 2.4G2 (BD Pharmingen, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). In the experiment described in Fig. 2C, CD4+ T cells were fixed and permeabilized with Fixation and Intracellular Staining Permeabilization Wash Buffer (BioLegend). Fluorescence intensity was acquired by MACSQuant flow cytometry (Miltenyi Biotec, Tubingen, Germany) and analyzed by FlowJo (TOMY Digital Biology, Tokyo, Japan).

Cell sorting

Thymocytes were sorted by Cell Sorter SH800 (Sony, Tokyo, Japan) after staining with FITC-labeled anti-CD4 and PE-Cy5-labeled anti-CD8a antibodies.

Small interfering RNA (siRNA) experiments

PU.1 (Stealth Select RNAi, Sfpi1-MSS247676) and control (Stealth Negative Control) siRNAs were obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA). Naïve CD4+ T cells were activated by culturing in the presence of 1 μg·mL−1 plate-bound CD3 and 10 μg·mL−1 soluble CD28. Activated CD4+ T cells were introduced to 200 pmol siRNA with a Neon Transfection System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) set at program 5.

Quantitative RT–PCR

The total RNA was extracted using a ReliaPrep RNA Cell Miniprep System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA was synthesized and amplified from 2 μg total RNA using a ReverTra Ace qPCR RT Kit (TOYOBO, Osaka, Japan). Quantitative real-time PCR was performed using Thunderbird Probe qPCR Mix or Thunderbird SYBR qPCR Mix (TOYOBO) on a StepOne Real-time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The TaqMan IDs for the genes analyzed are mCcr7, Mm01310785_m1; mSpi1, Mm00468142_m1; and mGapdh, 4352339E.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay

ChIP assay was performed according to a previously described protocol [17]. Anti-PU.1 antibody (D19; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) and goat IgG (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) were used. Quantitative PCR of chromosomal DNA was performed as described in subsection Quantitative RT–PCR. The sequences of primer sets used were previously described [16].

In vitro differentiation of Th1 and Th2

Naïve CD4+ T cells were cultured for 7 days with plate-bound anti-CD3ε and anti-CD28 antibodies (both from TONBO Bioscience) in the presence of polarizing cytokines as follows: 10 ng·mL−1 IL-12 (PeproTech) and 10 μg·mL−1 anti-IL-4 antibody (BioLegend) for Th1 cells and 20 ng·mL−1 IL-4 (PeproTech) and 10 μg·mL−1 anti-IL-12 antibody (BioLegend) for Th2 cells.

Western blotting

Western blotting was performed as previously described [18,19].

Statistical analysis

Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Comparisons between multiple groups were analyzed with Tukey–Kramer test. The difference between two groups was analyzed by the unpaired Student’s t-test. P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.
Results

PU.1 does not contribute to CCR7 expression during thymocyte development

During thymocyte development, CCR7 is required for the migration of positively selected thymocytes from the cortex to the medulla [20]. In our previous report, we demonstrated that PU.1 plays a central role in Ccr7 gene expression in DCs [16]. We investigated whether PU.1 is involved in the Ccr7 gene expression in thymocytes. Consistent with the results of previous studies [20,21], CCR7 was expressed in CD4SP and CD8SP cells, but not in DN and DP
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Fig. 1. PU.1 is not involved in Ccr7 gene expression during thymocyte development. (A) Thymocytes were stained with CD4-FITC, CD8-PECy5, and CCR7-PE and analyzed by flow cytometry. Gates were placed around CD4+CD8- (DN), CD4+CD8+ (DP), CD4+CD8- (CD4SP), and CD4+CD8- (CD8SP) cells. Representative histograms are shown. Similar results were obtained in three independent experiments. (B, C) Thymocytes were stained with CD4-FITC and CD8-PECy5, and sorted. ChIP assay was performed using either (B) goat IgG (gIgG) or anti-PU.1 antibody (PU.1) or (C) rabbit IgG (rIgG) or anti-acetyl histone H3 antibody (AcH3). The immunoprecipitated chromatin amount was determined by qPCR amplification of the indicated Ccr7 promoter region. Data are expressed as a percentage of input for each ChIP assay. Results are presented as the mean ± SD (DP and CD4SP; n = 5, CD8SP; n = 3). *P < 0.05, two-tailed Student’s t-test analysis.
cells (Fig. 1A). CD4SP cells expressed higher amount of CCR7 than CD8SP cells. We performed ChIP assay on sorted DP, CD4SP, and CD8SP cells. When we used the anti-PU.1 antibody, there was no significant binding through the investigated region even at the most proximal region, where PU.1 apparently binds in DCs (Fig. 1B). These results indicate that PU.1 does not contribute to Ccr7 gene expression via binding to its promoter during thymocyte development.

In addition to transcription factors, epigenetic regulation plays an essential role in gene expression through chromatin remodeling. Histone H3 tail acetylation is known to be the hallmark of transcriptional activation. To evaluate the histone H3 acetylation level at the Ccr7 promoter, we carried out ChIP assay using the anti-acetyl histone H3 antibody. As shown in Fig. 1C, histone H3 molecules in the promoter are highly acetylated in CD4SP and CD8SP cells, but not in DP cells. These results suggest that histone acetylation is induced in a PU.1-independent manner both in CD4SP and CD8SP thymocytes.

**PU.1 transactivates Ccr7 gene in naïve CD4⁺ T cells**

After differentiation in the thymus, naïve T cells locate to the T-cell areas of lymph organs in a CCR7-dependent manner. To confirm the CCR7 expression in splenic T cells, we performed flow cytometry and found that both CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells highly expressed CCR7 (Fig. 2A). We introduced PU.1 siRNA into naïve CD4⁺ T cells and found that Ccr7 mRNA level was slightly but significantly decreased by PU.1.

**Fig. 2.** PU.1 is involved in Ccr7 gene expression in naïve CD4⁺ T cells from the spleen. (A) Representative histograms of CCR7 expression in CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells from the spleen. Similar results were obtained in three independent experiments. (B, C) Naïve CD4⁺ or CD8⁺ T cells were cultured with plate-bound anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 antibodies. After 24 h of incubation, the cells were introduced with either negative control (siNega) or PU.1 (siPU.1) siRNA. (B) Relative mRNA levels were determined by quantitative RT-PCR and normalized to GAPDH mRNA levels. (C) Fixed and permeabilized CD4⁺ T cells were stained with CCR7-PE and analyzed by flow cytometry. Representative histograms are shown. Similar results were obtained in two independent experiments. Protein levels of PU.1 and β-actin were determined by western blotting. (D, E) ChIP assays were performed with naïve CD4⁺ T cells isolated from the spleen using either (D) gIgG or PU.1 or (E) rIgG or AcH3. The immunoprecipitated chromatin amount was determined by qPCR amplification of the indicated region of the Ccr7 promoter. Data are expressed as a percentage of input for each ChIP assay. (B, D, E) Results are presented as the mean ± SD (n = 3). *P < 0.05, two-tailed Student’s t-test analysis.
knockdown (Fig. 2B left). As shown in Fig. 2C, simi-
lar results were obtained in the protein levels. On the
other hand, PU.1 knockdown did not affect Ccr7
mRNA level in naïve CD8\(^+\) T cells (Fig. 2B right). We
next performed ChIP assay using an anti-PU.1 anti-
body. When the primer set amplifying the most prox-
imal region was used, the amount of chromosomal
DNA immunoprecipitated with anti-PU.1 antibody
was much higher than that with the isotype control
(Fig. 2D). However, there was no significant difference
between the upstream-region DNA immunoprecipi-
tated with anti-PU.1 antibody and isotype control,
suggesting that PU.1 specifically binds around the
transcription initiation site. These results indicate that
PU.1, at least in part, is involved in Ccr7 gene expres-
sion in naïve CD4\(^+\) T cells by binding to the pro-
moter-proximal region. To evaluate the histone H3
acetylation level at the Ccr7 promoter, we carried out
ChIP assay using an anti-acetyl histone H3 antibody.
As shown in Fig. 2E, histone H3 of the investigated
regions was significantly acetylated, suggesting that
histone acetylation contributes to the transcriptional
activation in naïve CD4\(^+\) T cells.

Expression of PU.1 and CCR7 was decreased
after helper T-cell differentiation

To investigate whether PU.1 is involved in Ccr7 gene
expression in helper T cells, we cultured naïve CD4\(^+\) T
cells in polarizing conditions. The cell-surface expres-
sion and the mRNA levels of Ccr7 were significantly
decreased in both Th1 and Th2 cells (Fig. 3A,B). In
addition, Spi1 mRNA level in these cells was markedly
lower than naïve CD4\(^+\) T cells (Fig. 3B). Indeed, PU.1
did not bind to the Ccr7 promoter in these cells
(Fig. 3C). These results suggest that PU.1 expression
level reduces after differentiation into helper T cells,
thereby eliminating PU.1 contribution to Ccr7 gene
expression.

Discussion

Proper regulation of CCR7 expression plays a critical
role in T-cell maturation, differentiation, and function.
In the present study, we demonstrated that PU.1 posi-
tively regulates Ccr7 gene expression in naïve CD4\(^+\) T
cells. As in the case of DCs, this regulation was
mediated by the binding of PU.1 to the Ccr7 promoter-proximal region. It has already been reported that FOXO1 is involved in Ccr7 gene expression in naïve CD4+ T cells [22,23]. However, CCR7 expression is not completely diminished by FOXO1 deficiency, suggesting the contribution of other transcription factors in the expression. We clearly demonstrated the involvement of PU.1 in Ccr7 gene expression using siRNA against PU.1. However, we could not determine the level of the contribution because we were unable to utilize PU.1-deficient mice due to their neonatal death [12,13]. Since PU.1 is required for the optimal T-cell development [24], we need to develop conditional PU.1 deficiency in mature T cells.

While PU.1 mRNA was observed in splenic T cells [25], previous reports using PU.1-GFP reporter mice or PU.1 intracellular staining demonstrated that T cells isolated from spleen contain little or no PU.1 [26–28]. Considering that our data showed that PU.1 is involved in the CCR7 expression, PU.1 expression may be marginal but significant in naïve CD4+ T cells. In addition, it is possible that PU.1 regulates the expression of other genes in naïve CD4+ T cells because PU.1 is known to be involved in the transcription of numerous genes in DCs, macrophages, and B cells. In the future, the role of PU.1 in naïve CD4+ T cells will be revealed.

During thymocyte development, CCR7 is expressed at CD4SP and CD8SP cells, but PU.1 is not expressed at these cells [26,28]. Consistent with this notion, our ChIP assay showed that PU.1 is not involved in Ccr7 gene expression. Contrastingly, significant histone acetylation at the Ccr7 promoter region was observed in both CD4SP and CD8SP thymocytes and sustained in spleen naïve CD4+ T cells. These results suggest that histone acetylation at the Ccr7 promoter is controlled by a mechanism independent of PU.1-binding to the promoter. Since histone acetylation is mediated by histone acetyltransferases (HATs), unknown transcription factor(s) recruiting HATs at the Ccr7 promoter may exist in CD4SP and CD8SP cells.

After antigen recognition, CCR7 expression is diminished in activated T cells to egress from the LN and migrate into the infected area. PU.1 expression is reported to be decreased in Th1 and Th2 cells, but IL-4 low Th2 subset still expresses PU.1, suggesting that PU.1 downregulation is required for adequate helper T-cell differentiation [29]. Indeed, the expression of CCR7 and PU.1 was reduced in in vitro differentiated Th1 and Th2 cells. During differentiation from naïve T cells into effector T cells, PU.1 expression and PU.1-binding to the Ccr7 promoter might be suppressed followed by CCR7 downregulation.

Collectively, our results demonstrate that PU.1 is involved, although only moderately, in the Ccr7 gene expression by binding to the promoter-proximal region in naïve CD4+ T cells, but not in thymocytes and helper T cells.
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