MiR-144 Inhibits Tumor Growth and Metastasis in Osteosarcoma via Dual-suppressing RhoA/ROCK1 Signaling Pathway
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ABSTRACT

Several microRNAs (miRNAs) have been found expressed differentially in osteosarcoma (OS), so they may function in the onset and progression of OS. In this study, we found that miR-144 significantly suppresses osteosarcoma cell proliferation, migration, and invasion ability in vitro and inhibited tumor growth and metastasis in vivo. Mechanically, we demonstrated that Ras homolog family member A (RhoA) and its pivotal downstream effector Rho-associated, coiled-coil-containing protein kinase 1 (ROCK1) were direct targets of miR-144. Moreover, the negative correlation between down-regulated miR-144 and up-regulated ROCK1/RhoA was verified in both OS cell lines and clinical patients’ specimens. Functionally, RhoA with or without ROCK1 co-overexpression resulted a rescue phenotype on miR-144 inhibited cell growth, migration, and invasion abilities whereas individual overexpression of ROCK1 had no statistical significance compared with controls in miR-144–transfected SAOS2 and U2-OS cells. Taken together, this study demonstrates that miR-144 inhibited tumor growth and metastasis in OS via dual-suppressing of RhoA and ROCK1, which could be a new therapeutic approach for the treatment of OS.

Introduction

Osteosarcoma (OS) is the most prevalent bone tumor in children and young adults (Bielack et al., 2008). Most tumors arise from the metaphysis of the long bones and easily metastasize to the lungs (Harting and Blakely, 2006). The current therapeutic strategies of OS are routinely surgical resection and chemotherapy (Link et al., 1986), which are limited to the patients with metastatic recurrence. Survival of OS patients remains poor, and the 5-year survival rate for patients who have metastasis is about 15%–30% (Mirabella et al., 2009). Investigating the molecular mechanisms that contribute to OS progression is important and may shed light on a targeted therapeutic approach to improve the survival of patients with this disease.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small noncoding single-stranded RNAs that repress gene expression post-transcriptionally by binding to the 3′-untranslated region (3′-UTR) of their target mRNAs (Bartel, 2004). MiRNAs play critical roles in physiologic processes such as cell growth, development, and differentiation (Alvarez-Garcia and Miska, 2005). Also, aberrant expression of specific miRNAs leads to the onset and/or deterioration of many diseases, such as cancer (Croce, 2009), heart disease (Divakaran and Mann, 2008), and arthritis (Tili et al., 2008). Recently, several miRNAs have been identified as exerting anticancer effects by influencing cell proliferation, apoptosis, and migration, acting as oncogenes or tumor suppressors (Lu et al., 2005; Hurst et al., 2009). For example, miR-181a has been reported to be up-regulated in OS and to correlate with cancer development (Jianwei et al., 2013).

Rho-associated, coiled-coil containing protein kinase (ROCK) is a key downstream effector of the small GTPase Ras homolog family member A (RhoA). Two ROCK isoforms, ROCK1 and ROCK2, have been identified in mammalian cells and share 65% identity in their amino-acid sequences (Nakagawa et al., 1996). ROCK1 has a ubiquitous distribution, and ROCK2 is mainly expressed in the brain and muscle tissue (Hahmann et al., 1996). ROCK1 has been found to contribute to OS progression is important and may shed light on a targeted therapeutic approach to improve the survival of patients with this disease.
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and Schroeter, 2010). When activated by Rho-GTP, ROCK phosphor-ylates several substrates, such as myosin light chain 2 (Leung et al., 1996), LIM kinase 1 (LIMK) (Ohashi et al., 2000), and LIM kinase 2 (LIMK2), which leads to the phosphorylation of cofilin (Maekawa et al., 1999). The RhoA/ROCK pathway mediates a number of cellular functions, including cytoskeleton reorganization and cell mobility, proliferation, and survival. Elevated ROCK1 expression has been detected in a spectrum of malignancies, such as breast (Cimino et al., 2013), prostate (Lin et al., 2008), and gastric (Zheng et al., 2011) cancers, and this deregulation usually correlates with a poor prognosis. Previous studies have shown that ROCK1 is strongly up-regulated in OS clinical specimens (Liu et al., 2011), which makes it a potential therapeutic target with this disease. We wondered whether the deregulation of ROCK1 was associated with miRNA regulation. In this study, we identified miR-144 as a negative regulator of ROCK1 and RhoA by in silico miRNA target prediction algorithms. We demonstrated that miR-144 was down-regulated in OS clinical specimens and cancer cell lines. We revealed for the first time that miR-144 repressed tumorigenesis and metastasis through dual-suppression of RhoA/ROCK1 pathway. Our results indicated that miR-144 plays a critical tumor-suppressive role in the process of OS progression and could be a potential candidate for the treatment of OS.

Materials and Methods

Tissue Specimens. Tissue samples from 51 OS patients were obtained from Shanghai Ninth People’s Hospital. The details of the cases are presented in Table 1. As a control, normal human bone fragments isolated from healthy donors who had undergone total knee arthroplasty were obtained from Shanghai Ninth People’s Hospital. Informed consent was obtained from all patients before conducting the study. The tissues were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for histostaining.

Cell Culture. All cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA). Human osteoblastic cell line hFOB 1.19 was maintained at 33.5°C in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium/Ham’s F-12 medium (GIBCO, Grand Island, NY) supplemented with 10% FBS (GIBCO). Human OS cell lines SaOS2, U2OS, and MG63 were cultured in minimum essential medium α-MEM; GIBCO supplemented with 10% FBS (GIBCO) at 37°C in humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2.

Cell Viability Assay. Cell growth was monitored with the CCK-8 assay as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, cells at 5 × 103 per well were incubated in 96-well plates for 0, 24, 48 or 72 hours and Cell Counting Kit-8 solution (CCK-8; Dojindo Laboratories, Kumamoto, Japan) was added into the well. At 4 hours after CCK-8 incubation, cell viability was measured by reading optical density value at a wavelength of 450 nm.

Wound Healing Assay. Cells were seeded into a six-well culture plate. A scratch wound was created in the center of the cell culture plate with a sterile pipette tip when the cells had reached 90% confluence. The cells were washed with PBS twice to remove the debris. The medium was replaced with α-MEM supplemented with 1% FBS. Three randomly selected fields along the scraped line were photographed under an inverted microscope. The distance from one side of the scratch to the other was measured using Image Pro-Plus 6.0 software (Media Cybernetics, Rockville, MD).

Invasion Assay. For the migration assays, 5 × 104 cells were seeded into the upper chamber of the Millicell H济宁 Cell Culture inserts (8 μm pore size; Millipore, Billerica, MA). For the invasion assays, 1 × 105 cells were seeded into the upper chamber of the insert coated with Matrigel (BD Bioscience, San Jose, CA). Chambers were placed in wells with α-MEM containing 10% FBS. After 24 hours of incubation at 37°C, the noninvading cells were removed by wiping with a cotton swab. Cells that had migrated or invaded through the filter membrane were fixed and stained with Mayer’s hematoxylin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). The number of invading cells on the membrane was counted in five microscopic fields (original magnification, 400×). The experiments were repeated in triplicate.

Flow Cytometry. Cells were harvested, washed with cold PBS, and fixed with 75% cold ethanol at 4°C overnight. After fixation, the cells were collected and incubated with 100 μg/ml RNase A (Sigma-Aldrich) at 37°C for 30 minutes, followed by incubation with 25 μg/ml propidium iodide (Sigma-Aldrich) at room temperature in the dark. The cell cycle distribution was analyzed by a fluorescence-activated cell sorter (FACS) flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ).

Biotin-Coupled miRNA Capture. Approximately 106 cells were transfected with 50 μM of biotinylated miR-144 mimic or ROCK1/ RhoA 3’-UTR binding site mutant miR-144 mimic (GenePharma, Shanghai, People’s Republic of China) at 50% confluence for 24 hours after transfection, the cells were harvested and washed in PBS, lysed in lysis buffer. A total of 50 μl washed streptavidin magnetic beads were blocked for 2 hours and then added to each reaction tube to pull down the biotin-coupled RNA complex. All the tubes were incubated for 4 hours on the rotator at a low speed (10 rpm). The beads were washed with lysis buffer 5 times, and TRizol LS (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) was used to recover RNAs specifically interacting with miRNA. The abundance of ROCK1 and RhoA 3’-UTR in bound fractions was evaluated by quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) analysis.

Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assays. The ROCK1 and RhoA 3’- UTR binding sites of miR-144 were predicted by TargetScan (http://www.targetscan.org/vert_71/). The different fragment sequences were synthesized and then inserted into the pGL3-basic vector (Promega, Madison, WI) by Hanbio (Shanghai, People’s Republic of China). All plasmids for transfection were verified by sequencing and prepared using the QIAGEN plasmid purification kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). HEK293T cells were transiently transfected using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were lysed, and Firefly and Renilla luciferase activities were measured using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System.

### TABLE 1

| Variables                  | No. of Cases | Expression of miR-144-3p | P Value |
|----------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Age                        |              | Low          | High     |         |
| <21                        | 25           | 12           | 13       | 0.504   |
| ≥21                        | 26           | 11           | 14       |         |
| Gender                     |              |              |          |         |
| Male                       | 33           | 18           | 15       | 0.639   |
| Female                     | 18           | 10           | 8        |         |
| Location                   |              |              |          |         |
| Distal                     | 16           | 9            | 7        | 0.412   |
| Proximal                   | 35           | 19           | 16       |         |
| Histologic type            |              |              |          |         |
| Osteoblastic               | 41           | 18           | 23       |         |
| Chondroblastic             | 5            | 2            | 3        |         |
| Fibroblastic               | 5            | 3            | 2        |         |
| TNM stage                  |              |              |          |         |
| I+II                       | 31           | 10           | 21       | <0.001  |
| III+IV                     | 16           | 11           | 5        | <0.001  |
| Distant metastasis         |              |              |          |         |
| No                         | 22           | 14           | 8        |         |
| Yes                        | 29           | 23           | 6        |         |
(Promega) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Each experiment was repeated at least 3 times.

**Lentivirus Production and Infection.** The luciferase gene was cut from pGL3-Basic plasmid (Promega) with NheI and XbaI. Plenti-neo (Invitrogen) was digested with SpeI and dephosphorylated using calf intestinal phosphatase. Then the luciferase gene was cloned into the SpeI site of the plenti-neo thus resulting in the recombinant expression vector plenti-neo-luc. Purified plenti-neo-luc and the packaging mixture were cotransfected into 293FT cells using Lipofectamin 2000 to generate lentivirus. The concentrated virus then was transduced to SAOS2 cells with 8 μg/ml of polybrene (Sigma-Aldrich). The corresponding cell line stably expressing luciferase was named SAOS2-luc.

**Western Blot Analysis.** Whole-cell lysates were prepared with ice-cold lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, and 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate) supplemented with protease inhibitors (Complete Tablet; Roche, Mannheim, Germany). The protein concentration was determined using a Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Houston, TX). The proteins were size-fractionated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Hybond-P; Amersham Biosciences, Amersham, United Kingdom). The membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with the primary antibodies. The antibodies against ROCK1, RhoA, and GAPDH (I-19) were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, TX). After washing with Tris-buffered saline containing 0.1% Tween, the membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 hour at room temperature.

**RNA Extraction and Quantitative Real-Time PCR.** Total RNA, including miRNA, was extracted using the mirNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Then 1 μg total RNA was reverse-transcribed with a specific stem-loop primer for miRNA. After the reverse transcription reaction, real-time PCR was performed by an ABI 7900HT system using SYBR Premix ExTaq (Takara, Madison, WI). Small nuclear RNA U6 was used as an internal control for miRNA. The primer sequences used for real-time PCR are presented in Table 2.

**Generation of Osteosarcoma Model.** Animal handling and experimental procedures were performed following the ethical guidelines of the Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine. We anesthetized 4- to 6-week-old male nu/nu athymic mice with isoflurane in 0.05% (v/v) isoflurane in 80% (v/v) oxygen at a flow rate of 0.5 L/min. The mice were anesthetized and injected intraperitoneally with D-luciferin. Imaging was performed with an exposure time of 1 minute. Upon termination of the experiment, mice were sacrificed. Tumors, lungs, and livers were immediately fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for paraffin embedding. Sections of 5 μm were stained with H&E for immunohistochemical analysis. The lungs were examined microscopically for the presence of OS foci. Also, the tumors were snap-frozen for RNA preparation.

**Histology and Immunohistochemistry.** Osteosarcomas from patients as well as the model animals were fixed overnight with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS, decalcified with 12.5% EDTA, and then embedded in paraffin. Tissue sections (5 μm) were deparaffinized in xylene, serially rehydrated in ethanol, and stained with H&E. For immunohistochemistry, sections in 10 mM sodium citrate buffer (pH 6.0) were heated in a microwave oven and kept at 95°C for 10 minutes. The slides were cooled for 30 minutes at room temperature after antigen unmasking. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked with 3% hydrogen peroxide, followed by rinsing several times in PBS.

**Cell Staining and Injections.** SAOS2 OS cells cultured at 80% density were trypsinized and centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 5 minutes. The supernatant was discarded, and the cells were resuspended in serum-free α-MEM medium (GIBCO) to 1 × 10^6 cells/ml. We then added 5 μl of live cell fluorescence labeling reagent CM-Dil (4 ng/ml final concentration) and resuspended the cells. Cells stained with CM-Dil were incubated at 37°C for 20 minutes and centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 5 minutes at 37°C. We discarded the supernatant and resuspended the cells 4 to 5 times in 100 μl PBS at 37°C to prepare for injecting them into zebrafish embryos.

Zebrafish embryos were anesthetized with tricaine 2 days after fertilization, and the cells labeled with CM-Dil were injected into the yolk sac; the cell density was about 2 × 10^5 cells/μl. Immediately after the injection, we used fluorescence microscopy (FV1000; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) for observation and photography. We removed the un.injected cells and dead zebrafish embryos. After injection, we incubated the embryos at 31°C for 1 hour then at 35°C; they were observed and photographed every 24 hours.

**Statistical Analysis.** The results are expressed as mean ± S.D. The P values in Table 1 were obtained from Pearson’s chi-squared test or Fisher’s exact test. The Kaplan-Meier analysis was used to estimate the overall survival, and the log-rank test was used to evaluate the differences between survival curves. The statistical significance of differences between the two groups was calculated by using Student’s t test. The other statistical analyses performed were Dunnett’s or Tukey-Kramer’s tests, as post hoc tests after ANOVA. The details
Results

MiR-144 Functions as a Tumor Suppressor in Osteosarcoma Cells. To evaluate the role of miR-144 in OS, we first transfected miR-144 mimics or miR-NC (negative control miRNA) into SAOS2 and U2-OS cells. Transfection of miR-144 mimics significantly suppressed the cell proliferation after 72- and 96-hours of culture, which was determined by CCK-8 assay (Fig. 1A). To further explore the role of miR-144 in OS cell proliferation, we investigated cell cycle distribution by flow cytometry. We found that the miR-144–mimic transfected cells accumulated at the G0/G1 phase of cell cycle (Fig. 1B).

Soft agar assays showed that miR-144 significantly suppressed the anchorage-independent growth in SAOS2 and U2-OS cells (Fig. 1C).

We also investigated whether miR-144 would cause motility alteration. The results of the invasion and wound-healing assays showed that miR-144 dramatically compromised the invasion and migration abilities of SAOS2 and U2-OS cells (Fig. 1, D and E). Collectively, these results demonstrated that miR-144 functions as a tumor suppressor in OS cells, markedly inhibiting cell growth, migration, and invasion abilities.

ROCK1 and RhoA Are Direct Targets of miR-144. Because miR-144 has been shown to serve multiple biologic functions and especially plays an important role in OS cancer etiology, we investigated which genes are directly regulated by miR-144 in OS. With the help of the miRNA
target prediction databases TargetScan (Lewis et al., 2005) (http://www.targetscan.org) and miRanda (Betel et al., 2008) (http://www.microrna.org), we predicted that miR-144 would bind to RhoA and its pivotal downstream effector ROCK1 mRNA, and we performed further analyses.

To determine whether miR-144 regulated RhoA and ROCK1 expression, we examined the protein levels of RhoA and ROCK1 in control and miR-144 mimics transfected SAOS2 and U2-OS cells. Our results demonstrated that RhoA and ROCK1 protein were significantly decreased in miR-144–mimic transfected SAOS2 and U2-OS cells (Fig. 2A). The pulldown assay also revealed more enrichment of ROCK1 and RhoA 3′-UTR in the miR-144–captured fraction when compared with the introduction of a miR-144 mutation that disrupted the binding site of miR-144 for ROCK1/RhoA 3′-UTR (Fig. 2B).

Moreover, we performed anti-AGO2 RNA immunoprecipitation and examined the miR-144, RhoA and ROCK1 mRNA expression. Our results showed that miR-144 was enriched in AGO2-containing complexes, and miR-144 bound to RhoA and ROCK1 mRNA (Fig. 2C).

To further validate the computational finding that miR-144 negatively regulated RhoA and ROCK1, RhoA and ROCK1 3′-UTR containing the wild-type or mutant miR-144 binding site were cloned into the psICHECK-2 plasmid (Fig. 2D, left). We found that miR-144 mimics significantly repressed the luciferase reporter activity of the wild-type 3′-UTR whereas the luciferase reporter activity of the mutant 3′-UTR was not influenced by miR-144 (Fig. 2D, right). These results demonstrated that RhoA and ROCK1 are direct targets of miR-144.

**MiR-144 Inhibits Osteosarcoma Cells Proliferation through Dual-Inhibition of RhoA 1 and ROCK.** Having established the tumor-suppressive role of miR-144 and verified its direct targets RhoA and ROCK1, we investigated how miR-144 inhibited OS tumorigenesis via RhoA/ROCK1 pathway. We transfected ROCK1 and RhoA respectively or cotransfected ROCK1 and RhoA in miR-144 transfected SAOS2 and U2-OS cells using adenovirus infection (Fig. 3A). Our results suggested that cell growth, migration, and invasion abilities were equally significantly increased after individual RhoA overexpression and RhoA and ROCK1 co-overexpression in miR-144 transfected SAOS2 and U2-OS cells; individual overexpression of ROCK1 had no statistical significance compared with controls in miR-144 transfected SAOS2 and U2-OS cells (Fig. 3, B–E). These results suggested that miR-144 exerted antitumor effects through dual-inhibition of the RhoA/ROCK1 pathway. Only ROCK1 rescuing failed to diminish the antitumor effects of miR-144 in OS cells.

**Fig. 2.** ROCK1 and RhoA are direct targets of miR-144. (A) Western blot analysis of ROCK1 and RhoA in control and miR-144 mimic transfected SAOS2 and U2-OS cells. Quantitation results are shown in right panel. (B) Pulldown assay revealing more enrichment of ROCK1 and RhoA 3′-UTR in the miR-144–captured fraction compared with the introduction of miR-144 mutation that disrupted the binding site of miR-144 for ROCK1/RhoA 3′-UTR. (C) Top: Western blot analysis of anti-AGO2 RNA immunoprecipitation from HEK293T. Bottom: Relative miR-144, ROCK1, and RhoA mRNA expression from anti-AGO2 RNA immunoprecipitation. (D) Sequence of the miR-144 binding sites within ROCK1 and RhoA 3′-UTR (upper left) and a schematic diagram of the reporter constructs showing the ROCK1 and RhoA 3′-UTR sequence (ROCK1-WT, RhoA-WT) and the mutated ROCK1 and RhoA 3′-UTR sequence (ROCK1-Mut, RhoA-Mut, the mutant nucleotides of the miR-144 binding sites are in red, lower left). Luciferase activity of the ROCK1-WT and ROCK1-Mut reporter (upper right) and RhoA-WT and RhoA-Mut reporter (lower right) with miR-144 mimic or control. The data are presented as mean ± S.D. (n = 3). Significant differences were analyzed using Student’s t test (for A) and Dunnett’s or Tukey-Kramer’s tests as post hoc tests after ANOVA (for B–D) and are marked with asterisks (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01).
Fig. 3. MiR-144 regulates ROCK1 and RhoA to inhibit osteosarcoma tumorigenesis. (A) Western blot analysis of ROCK1 and RhoA in miR-144 mimic transfected SAOS2 and U2-OS cells with control and ROCK1, RhoA, or both transfection. Quantification results are shown in the right panel. (B) Left: In vitro growth of miR-144 mimic transfected SAOS2 and U2-OS cells with control and ROCK1, RhoA, or both transfection ($n = 3$). (C) FACS analyses showing cell cycle distribution of miR-144 mimic transfected SAOS2 and U2-OS cells with control and ROCK1, RhoA, or both transfection ($n = 3$). (D and E)
MiR-144 Inhibits Tumorigenesis and Metastasis In Vivo. To further confirm the tumor-suppressive role of miR-144 in vivo, we then validated our hypothesis in the mouse and zebrafish models. Tumorigenic human OS cells SAOS2, stably expressing Firefly luciferase, were injected into the tibia of nude mice. After the tumor xenografts had been established, the mice were intratumorally injected with either miR-144 or NC oligonucleotides complexed twice a week, and the tumor growth was monitored by bioluminescence imaging.

Overexpression of miR-144 dramatically reduced the tumor volume of the xenografts in nude mice (Fig. 4A and B). Median survival was shortened by about 2.6 weeks in the NC group compared with the miR-144-treated mice, as assessed by Kaplan-Meier curves (Fig. 4C). Animal survival analysis of SAOS2 cells with NC or miR-144 treatment in nude mice. Overexpression of miR-144 resulted in reduced ROCK1/RhoA expression (Fig. 4D).

In addition, human OS cells SAOS2 stained with CM-Dil were injected into the yolk sac of zebrafish 2 days after fertilization, comprising the hybrid offspring of miR-144 knockout zebrafish and wild-type zebrafish. Marked zebrafish were observed every 24 hours and photographed by laser scanning confocal microscopy. After 24 hours, the OS cells started to proliferate and obvious metastasis was observed. After 48 hours, the miR-144 knockout zebrafish showed significant tumor cell proliferation and metastasis compared with wild-type zebrafish. Metastasis to heart, liver, and other organs was observed, and noticeable tumor cell masses were enriched in blood islands in miR-144 knockout zebrafish (Fig. 5D–F) while wild-type zebrafish showed no apparent tumor metastasis (Fig. 5A–C). Thus, these findings revealed that miR-144 inhibited tumorigenesis and metastasis in vivo.

Clinical Relevance of miR-144 and ROCK1 in Osteosarcoma Patients. We next assessed whether the relationship of miR-144 and RhoA/ROCK1 could be recapitulated in human OS. Patients who were histologically confirmed as having OS were enrolled at Shanghai Ninth People’s Hospital. Table 1 summarized the relation between the expression of miR-144 and the characteristics of the OS patients. Most tumors displayed osteoblastic histology (82.4%). The level of miR-144 was significantly down-regulated in the patients who developed metastasis during the follow-up period.

In vitro transwell (D) and wound-healing (E) assays examining the migration and invasion abilities of miR-144 mimic transfected SAOS2 and U2-OS cells with control and ROCK1, RhoA, or both transfection. The data are presented as mean ± S.D. (n = 3). Significant differences were analyzed using Dunnett’s or Tukey-Kramer’s tests as post hoc tests after ANOVA (for B–D) and are marked with asterisks (*P < 0.05).
Because increased ROCK1 expression has been reported to contribute to OS progression (Wang et al., 2013), we examined miR-144, RhoA, and ROCK1 expression in OS tissue samples and normal human bone fragments by immunohistochemistry. Our results showed that the OS specimens had a decreased miR-144 level (Fig. 6A) and elevated level of RhoA/ROCK1 expression (Fig. 6B) relative to normal osteoblasts. An inverse correlation ($R = -0.758$) between RhoA/ROCK1 and miR-144 found in OS specimens (Fig. 6C) further suggested that miR-144 might be involved in the progression of RhoA/ROCK1. Furthermore, we found much lower miR-144 expression in three human OS cell lines—SAOS2, MG63, and U2-OS—compared with the human osteoblastic cell line hFOB1.19 (Fig. 6D). The RhoA/ROCK1 mRNA and protein levels were elevated in all three OS cell lines (Fig. 6, D and E).

Taken together, these data thus suggest that the antioncogenic function of miR-144 may be largely dependent on the dual-suppressive regulation of RhoA and ROCK1 expression in the malignant progression of OS.

**Discussion**

Previous studies have shown that ROCK1 is frequently overexpressed in multiple categories of cancer, particularly in metastatic tumors. Increased expression of RhoA and ROCK1 is a prognostic indicator associated with metastasis and poor survival in esophageal (Wang et al., 2010), breast (Patel et al., 2009), and bladder (Kamai et al., 2003) cancers. During tumor invasion and metastasis, cell migration mediated by actin-myosin contraction is one of the key steps. Rho GTPases are critical regulators of actin cytoskeleton and cell migration.

RhoA is one of the major Rho GTPases involved in these processes. ROCK1 is activated when its C-terminal region binds to RhoA and thus induces the formation of stress fiber (Amano et al., 1997) and focal adhesion (Ishizaki et al., 1997) by the phosphorylation of myosin light chain at serine 19 (Ser19). In addition, ROCK1 phosphorylates and activates LIMK, which in turn phosphorylates and inactivates the actin depolymerization factor coflin. Small interfering RNA–mediated knockdown of ROCK1 causes reorganization of cytoskeleton and decreased cell mobility (Liu et al., 2009). And overexpression of a dominant-negative ROCK1 construct or the ROCK-specific inhibitor 4-[(1-[(R)-1-aminoethyl]-[N]-pyridin-4-ylcyclohexane-1-carboxamide (Y-27632) reduces tumor cell invasion (Takamura et al., 2001).

In the current study, we observed that ROCK1 expression was relatively higher in OS specimens compared with human normal bone, which is consistent with previous reports. Importantly, we found that the expression of ROCK1 and RhoA were regulated by miR-144 in OS cell lines. Accompanied by the up-regulation of ROCK1, miR-144 expression is decreased in OS specimens and cell lines. Both miR-144 and miR-451 are transcribed from the same gene locus on human chromosome 17. However, because of the sequence-specific posttranscriptional modulation, the expression levels of miR-144 and miR-451 are different in cells (Rasmussen et al., 2010). Down-regulation of miR-144 is reported in various cancers (Wang et al., 2011), suggesting that decreased miR-144 levels are not tumor-type specific; thereby, miR-144 may play an important role in tumorogenesis and tumor progression. Son et al. (2009) performed miRNA microarray analysis and found that miR-144 was down-regulated in non-small-cell lung cancer. Decreased expression of miR-144 was also found to be correlated with the progression of colorectal cancer (Iwaya et al., 2012). More recently, Namles et al. (2012) reported that miR-144 was found to be down-regulated in OS.

However, the exact function of miR-144 in cancer has yet to be well elucidated. The tumor-suppressive role of miR-144 by targeting ROCK1 in OS has been previously reported (Cai et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015). However, no investigators have reported on the rescue effect of ROCK1. It seems that ROCK1 rescue is not work. In this study, we identified a miR-144–binding site in both ROCK1 and RhoA 3′-UTR by in silico analysis. We demonstrated that ROCK1 and RhoA are direct targets of miR-144 and overexpression of miR-144 could repress the expression of ROCK1 and RhoA protein by posttranscriptional regulation. In addition, miR-144 led to a reduction of cell proliferation by blocking the cell cycle at G0/G1 phases and significantly inhibited cell migration and invasion. The tumor-suppressive role of miR-144 was also confirmed in mouse and zebrafish models.

The therapeutic use of miRNA depends on systemic and/or local application of a specific miRNA-inducing reagent in vivo. We complexed synthetic miR-144 with a commercially available in vivo jet-PEI that has been efficient in delivering miRNAs in vivo (Xu et al., 2011). During our investigation, the in vivo administration of miR-144 for 4 weeks greatly repressed tumor growth in an OS xenograft mouse model. Immunohistochemical analysis showed that the ROCK1 expression level of OS in miR-144–treated mice was much lower than in the control mice, indicating miR-144 could mediate ROCK1 expression in vivo. Also, miR-144 prolonged the overall survival time of tumor-bearing mice, which may have resulted from the antiproliferative effect of miR-144 on tumor cells. More importantly, miR-144 led to a substantial reduction in the dimensions and number of lung nodules in our xenograft model. As this mouse model recapitulates many features of human OS, we may speculate that the suppressive effects of miR-144 on tumor growth and lung metastasis may be beneficial for OS patients.
Fig. 6. Clinical relevance of miR-144 and RhoA/ROCK1 in osteosarcoma patients. Real-time PCR analysis of miR-144 (A) and RhoA and ROCK1 (B) in normal human bone and osteosarcoma. (C) Correlation between miR-144 and ROCK1 and RhoA analyzed in normal human bone and osteosarcoma. (D) Real-time PCR analysis of miR-144, ROCK1, and RhoA in human osteoblastic cell line hFOB1.19 and osteosarcoma cell lines SAOS2, MG63, and U2-OS. (E) Western blot analysis of ROCK1 and RhoA in human osteoblastic cell line hFOB1.19 and osteosarcoma cell lines SAOS2, MG63, and U2-OS. The data are presented as the mean ± S.D. (n = 3). Significant differences were analyzed using Student’s t test (for A and B) and Dunnett’s or Tukey-Kramer’s tests as post hoc tests after ANOVA (for D and E). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. The correlation analysis (in C) used Pearson’s chi-squared test.
In conclusion, our findings have demonstrated that miR-144 plays a crucial role as a negative upstream regulator of RhoA and its downstream effector ROCK1 through directly targeting their 3’-UTR, inhibiting OS tumor cell proliferation, migration, and invasion (Fig. 7). During OS progression, the attenuation of miR-144 expression may be one of the major causes of the up-regulation of ROCK1, which ultimately stimulates tumor growth and metastasis. Because miR-144 functions as a tumor suppressor for OS, the administration of miR-144 could potentially be a promising therapeutic strategy for treatment of OS.
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