INTRODUCTION

*Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) affects nearly half of the world’s population and is a pathogen prevalent in gastrointestinal disorders.1 The eradication of *H. pylori* in gastrointestinal diseases is important for the treatment of diseases and recurrence prevention and is highly related to adverse outcomes.2,3 In addition, the eradication of *H. pylori* could be the most efficient method to prevent gastric cancer in patients with mild or no mucosal atrophy.4,5 Furthermore, the indications for the eradication of *H. pylori* were extended to *H. pylori*-positive functional dyspepsia according to the Kyoto and Rome IV criteria, and this is thought to be related to the increased use of eradication therapy.7,8

Patients with *H. pylori*-associated atrophic gastritis can receive eradication therapy covered by Korean Health Insurance, a universal coverage system, so the number of patients undergoing eradication therapy has increased in many clinical practices. The eradication rate of *H. pylori* infections using first-line standard triple therapy has decreased over the last 20 years, and antibiotic resistance and poor compliance are thought to be the main causes of the failure of standard triple therapy. Based on the Maastricht IV Consensus Reports, bismuth-based classic quadruple therapy comprised of a proton pump inhibitor (PPI), bismuth, metronidazole, and tetracycline has been applied worldwide in *H. pylori* second-line rescue therapy.9 However, accumulating data and meta-analysis showed that the eradication rate from bismuth-based classic quadruple therapy as the second-line treatment was only 78%.10 PPIs are mainly metabolized by the cytochrome P450 (CYP) system, in which CYP2C19 is the principal enzyme and CYP3A4 also contributes to a lesser degree. CYP2C19 genetic polymorphisms are considered another issue in the failure of standard triple therapy. CYP2C19 genetic polymorphisms have been re-
ported, homozygous extensive metabolizers, heterozygous extensive metabolizers, and poor metabolizers (PM), by the combination of wild or mutant alleles.11,12

A meta-analysis of the effect of CYP2C19 genetic polymorphisms on the efficacy of PPI-based triple therapy showed higher H. pylori eradication rates in PMs compared to homozygous extensive metabolizers.13 Rabeprazole is characterized by non-enzymatic metabolism with renal elimination of the metabolites, and esomeprazole is mainly metabolized by CYP3A4. In general, rabeprazole or esomeprazole therapy had no significant effect on H. pylori eradication rates among patients with the three CYP2C19 genetic polymorphisms.14,15 According to studies conducted in Asia, including Japan, China, and Korea, the effects of CYP2C19 genetic polymorphisms were not clear, and the efficacy of PPI-based standard triple therapy was not affected by CYP2C19 genetic polymorphisms.16-19 Furthermore, CYP2C19 genotypes did not show obvious impacts on other rescue therapies. In this study, we aimed to evaluate the clinical impact of changing the PPI in the second-line eradication regimen and analyze the effect of the strategy using esomeprazole and rabeprazole, which are not influenced significantly by CYP2C19 genetic polymorphisms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Study design

The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Catholic University of Korea (VC19RESI0133). The retrospective observational study included patients with peptic ulcers or atrophic gastritis who had previously been treated unsuccessfully with standard triple therapy for H. pylori eradication from January 2009 to December 2018 at St.Vincent’s Hospital, The Catholic University of Korea.

The eligibility criteria for this study included patients over 18 years of age with gastric H. pylori infections. All patients in this study received standard triple therapy with a regimen that consisted of amoxicillin 1.0 g b.i.d. and clarithromycin 500 mg b.i.d. with various kinds of PPIs for 14 days, which failed to eradicate the infection, confirmed by positive 13C-urea breath test (UBiT-IR 300; Photul OTSUKA Electronics, Osaka, Japan) results after 4-6 weeks of treatment. Patients with previous gastric surgery, chronic illness (renal disease: serum creatinine >1.5 mg/dL, heart failure: ejection fraction <20%) or pregnancy were excluded from this study due to possible effects on drug metabolism.

A classic bismuth-containing quadruple regimen was used as the second-line therapy, consisting of PPIs ( pantoprazole 40 mg, lansoprazole 30 mg, esomeprazole 20 mg, or rabeprazole 20 mg) b.i.d., tripotassium dicitrate bismuthate (DENOL Greencross Co., Seoul, Korea) 300 mg q.i.d., metronidazole 500 mg t.i.d., and tetracycline 500 mg q.i.d. for 10-14 days.

Successful eradication was assessed by the 13C-urea breath test conducted 4-6 weeks after the completion of treatment. The 13C-urea breath test was performed with a pranactin-citric drug product, a component of the BreathTek UBT Kit (Korea Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Seoul, Korea). Three grams of reconstituted pranactin-citric containing 75 mg of 13C-urea was ingested by the patient. Breath samples before and 20 minutes after the administration of 13C-urea were collected after a mouthwash. The 13C/12C ratio in the breath samples was measured by an infrared spectrophotometer (UBiT-IR300; Korea Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd.). The changes in the 13C value over baseline were expressed as Δ13C. A positive result was defined as an increase of >2.5%. First, we evaluated the eradication rates with bismuth-based classic quadruple therapy as the second-line treatment between the first five years (2009~2013) and the last five years (2014~2018). Second, we compared the eradication rate with or without second-line PPI substitutions in the second-line eradication regimen, and also examined the difference with or without the strategy using esomeprazole and rabeprazole, which were not influenced significantly by CYP2C19 genetic polymorphisms.

2. Statistics

All data were recorded on standard forms and computer-analyzed. Student’s t-test was used to compare the continuous variables between the two groups. Differences between the dichotomous variables were evaluated by the
chi-squared test. The trends in the annual differences were evaluated by chi-squared tests. The calculations were performed with SPSS package software (SPSS version 25.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). P-values of less than 0.05 were considered significant.

**RESULTS**

A total of 675 patients with failed first-line eradication received second-line bismuth–containing quadruple therapy from 2009 to 2018 (Fig. 1). The total eradication rate was 80.4% (543/675), and the number of first-line erad-

![Fig. 1. Number of patients receiving the second-line bismuth containing quadruple therapy after the failure of first-line eradication (proton pump inhibitor-clarithromycin-amoxicillin triple therapy) from 2009 to 2018. A total of 675 patients are analyzed.](image1)

![Fig. 2. Eradication rates (%) of bismuth containing quadruple therapy as the second-line regimen from 2009 to 2018. The eradication rate is 85.2% (204/244) for the first 5 years, and 78.7% (339/431) for the last 5 years. There is a significantly decreasing tendency in the eradication rates (P=0.03).](image2)
ication failures rapidly increased since 2016. For the first five years (2009~2013), the eradication rate was 85.2% (204/244), whereas it was 78.7% (339/431) for the last five years (2014~2018). There was a significantly decreasing tendency in the eradication rates ($P=0.03$) (Fig. 2).

Several kinds of PPIs, including omeprazole, pantoprazole, lansoprazole, esomeprazole, and rabeprazole, were used from 2013 to 2018. Between January 2013 and December 2018, a total of 479 patients received a bismuth-based quadruple regimen as the second-line therapy, and $H. pylori$ was successfully eradicated in 380 patients, 181 males and 199 females (mean age, 59.1±11.9 years). In the second-line eradication therapy failure group, 99 patients (49 males and 50 females; mean age, 58.8±11.8 years) were evaluated (Table 1). Various kinds of PPIs were chosen in the first and second-line regimens for $H. pylori$ eradication (Fig. 3). The patients with different choices of PPIs between the first and second-line regimens were referred to as the PPI-switching group, with an eradication rate was 73.5% (352/479). The eradication rate was 81.0% (285/352) in the second-line PPI-switching group, and 74.8% without switching (95/127) ($P=0.14$). When we defined a strategy to overcome CYP2C19 polymorphism according to the use of esomeprazole and rabeprazole, which were are influenced significantly by CYP2C19 genetic polymorphism, the frequency with the strategy was 35.3% (169/479). The eradication rate was 84.6% (143/169) with the strategy, whereas it was 76.5% (237/310) without the strategy.

![Choice of proton pump inhibitors in the first and second-line regimens for Helicobacter pylori eradication.](image)

### Table 1. Clinical Characteristics in Patients Who Received Successful or Unsuccessful Second-line Bismuth Containing Quadruple Therapy

|                        | Success (n=380) | Failure (n=99) | $P$-value |
|------------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|
| Sex (male:female)      | 181:199        | 49:50        | 0.74      |
| Age (years)            | 59.1±11.9      | 58.8±11.8    | 0.84      |
| Current smoker         | 68 (18)        | 21 (21)      | 0.45      |
| Alcohol drinking       | 93 (24)        | 28 (28)      | 0.44      |
| Diagnosis              |                |              | 0.03*     |
| Peptic ulcer disease   | 162 (43)       | 48 (48)      |           |
| Gastric ulcer          | 90 (24)        | 20 (20)      |           |
| Duodenal ulcer         | 72 (19)        | 28 (28)      |           |
| Atrophic gastritis     | 177 (47)       | 33 (33)      |           |
| Gastric epithelial dysplasia | 41 (11) | 18 (18) |           |
| Ten days regimen       | 81 (21)        | 19 (19)      | 0.64      |
| PPI change             | 285 (75)       | 67 (67)      | 0.14      |
| Using lansoprazole     | 10 (2)         | 3 (3)        |           |
| Using pantoprazole     | 132 (34)       | 38 (38)      | 0.14      |
| Strategy to overcome 2C19 polymorphism | 143 (38) | 26 (26) | 0.03*     |
| Using esomeprazole     | 0 (0)          | 2 (2)        |           |
| Using rabeprazole      | 143 (38)       | 24 (24)      |           |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation or n (%).  
PPI, proton pump inhibitor.  
*Indicates statistical significance, $P<0.05$.  

difference between using such a strategy and not using was statistically significant ($P=0.03$) (Fig. 4). The majority of the patients in the PPI-switching group were changed to pantoprazole ($n=170$) or rabeprazole ($n=167$). In a comparison of the eradication rates using the two PPIs, the eradication rate of $H. pylori$ infections in the rabeprazole switching group was 85.6% (143/167), whereas it was 77.6% (132/170) in the pantoprazole switching group (Fig. 5).

**DISCUSSION**

The more frequently eradication therapies are undertaken, the more frequently resistant strains of $H. pylori$ appear. Now, the use of second-line rescue therapy is increasing because of the limited efficacy of initial PPI-based standard triple therapy. Although the most concerning factor in eradication failure is antibiotic resistance, especially to clarithromycin and metronidazole, the role of PPIs in the eradication of $H. pylori$ cannot be ignored. PPIs play a pivotal role in the eradication of $H. pylori$ infection. PPIs have a potent effect on concentrating antibiotics via suppressing gastric juice. Moreover, they have a weak anti-bacterial effect against $H. pylori$ in vitro, anti-urease activity, and anti-ATPase activity. In general, antibiotics could have a maximal antibacterial effect on microbial growth-dependent manner, and $H. pylori$ growth can be found in the weakly acidic environment produced by PPIs. Additionally, delayed emptying and thinning of the mucosal layer induced by PPIs would make $H. pylori$ vulnerable to antibiotics. The results of this study showed that the successful eradication rate decreased in second-line $H. pylori$ eradication therapy despite the quantitative increase in the number of patients undergoing second-line therapy in the last five years. In clinical practice, increases in antibiotic resistance make clinicians scrutinize the eradication rate of the second-line rescue therapy for $H. pylori$ infections. The Maastricht IV Consensus recommended bismuth-containing quadruple therapy (PPI+bismuth+metronidazole+tetracycline) as the second-line treatment option. In a recent meta-analysis, the mean eradication rate with the same regimen was only 78%.

Unfortunately, the eradication rate decreased after the expansion of the indications for $H. pylori$ eradication therapy. To identify better rescue eradication strategies, clinicians should consider multiple factors, such as antibiotic resistance, poor compliance, and more powerful suppression of gastric acid. When it comes to the suppression induced by PPIs, individual variability could be due to metabolism involving CYP2C19 gene polymorphisms in the liver. In this study, the second-line PPI switching strategy to overcome CYP2C19 polymorphism gave a benefit in improving the eradication rate. Although
there was no statistical difference, the simple second-line PPI switching effect showed a better eradication rate. The efficacy of PPIs could differ among individuals depending on genetic polymorphisms in the hepatic drug-metabolizing CYP2C19 enzyme, even though the impact of rabeprazole was less significant. Rabeprazole is primarily metabolized through a non-enzymatic reduction to a thioether derivative, and the CYP2C19 enzyme is partly involved in its metabolism. There are several studies on PPI-related differences in acid suppression potency according to CYP2C19 polymorphisms, and most of them pertained to first-line triple therapy. Until now, different researchers have reported different results, and there is no clear consensus. A previous meta-analysis showed that only rabeprazole had a higher eradication rate in PMs, and the efficacy of various PPIs seemed to be similar when they were used for H. pylori eradication in standard triple therapy. In other meta-analyses, there were no statistically significant differences in the eradication rates between patients with two CYP2C19 genotypes taking lansoprazole or rabeprazole-based therapy. In second-line treatments, there is one well-designed study comparing the efficacy of rabeprazole for eradication therapy. This Taiwanese study of 190 patients reported that CYP2C19 polymorphisms affected the success of quadruple therapy, and rabeprazole-based second-line quadruple therapy had a better eradication rate than esomeprazole-based therapy. The strength of our study would be its relatively large scale, including 479 patients who failed first-line standard triple therapy, although there was no direct polymorphism analysis of the enrolled patients.

Even though the effects of the various PPIs were not confirmed in first-line standard triple therapy, an influence of CYP2C19 polymorphism could affect the eradication rate in second-line rescue regimens. Previous studies revealed that tailored therapy based on PCR analysis of CYP2C19 polymorphism and clarithromycin resistance (A2143G and A2142G) could reach ideal eradication rates. However, this therapy might be an economic burden to the patients in the aspects of cost-effectiveness and time involvement. Instead of PCR techniques, the use of strategies to overcome the effects of CYP2C19 polymorphism could greatly benefit the public health of large populations.

There were several limitations to our study. First, this study was a retrospective review conducted at a single academic center. Second, we did not analyze all data over 10 years. Because we did not use all kinds of PPIs, including second-generation PPIs, until 2012, we could analyze the whole data to evaluate only the efficacy of PPI switching.

In conclusion, in order to improve the successful second-line H. pylori eradication rate, which is gradually decreasing, PPI switching could be effective and strategies using esomeprazole and rabeprazole, which are not influenced significantly by CYP2C19 genetic polymorphism, are recommended after the failure of standard triple therapy for H. pylori infections.
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