Long Lasting Protein Synthesis- and Activity-Dependent Spine Shrinkage and Elimination after Synaptic Depression
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Abstract

Neuronal circuits modify their response to synaptic inputs in an experience-dependent fashion. Increases in synaptic weights are accompanied by structural modifications, and activity dependent, long lasting growth of dendritic spines requires new protein synthesis. When multiple spines are potentiated within a dendritic domain, they show dynamic structural plasticity changes, indicating that spines can undergo bidirectional physical modifications. However, it is unclear whether protein synthesis dependent synaptic depression leads to long lasting structural changes. Here, we investigate the structural correlates of protein synthesis dependent long-term depression (LTD) mediated by metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) through two-photon imaging of dendritic spines on hippocampal pyramidal neurons. We find that induction of mGluR-LTD leads to robust and long lasting spine shrinkage and elimination that lasts for up to 24 hours. These effects depend on signaling through group I mGluRs, require protein synthesis, and activity. These data reveal a mechanism for long lasting remodeling of synaptic inputs, and offer potential insights into mental retardation.

Introduction

Changes in synaptic weight have been proposed to serve as the physiological basis for learning and memory [1], and the production of new proteins has been shown to be critical for such long lasting processes [2,3]. These modifications can result in either potentiated or depressed synaptic transmission at individual synapses. The induction of long-term potentiation (LTP) corresponds with growth of new spines, the sites where the majority of excitatory synapses are located on neuronal dendrites, indicating that activity may physically alter neuronal connectivity [4,5]. When an individual spine is potentiated through glutamate uncaging, such activity promotes an increase both in the current amplitude and the volume of that stimulated spine [6,7]. Interestingly, when new protein synthesis is triggered, activity can lead to the facilitation of plasticity at other locations for up to 1.5 hours and as far as 70 μm away [9]. This cooperation between individual sites demonstrates a prolonged period over which dendritic integration of information can occur when new proteins are available. Alternatively, such potentiation can also lead to competition for the expression of plasticity when simultaneously induced at multiple sites, resulting in bidirectional changes in the volumes of those spines [9]. These observations indicate that mechanisms exist not only for the regulation of spine growth, but also for spine shrinkage.

While the structural correlates of LTP have begun to be elucidated, those occurring in response to long lasting synaptic depression are less understood. Long-term depression (LTD) can lead to the production of new proteins, although relatively little is known about the structural modifications resulting from these changes in efficacy. Synaptic depression can be induced either through activation of NMDA receptors (NMDARs) or through metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) [1,12–14]. However, these forms of LTD have different biochemical mechanisms of induction and expression, and in particular, NMDAR-mediated LTD does not require new protein synthesis [1,13–17]. This difference has a potentially significant impact on the structural correlates of synaptic depression. While NMDA mediated LTD results in the shrinkage of spines [10–21], it is unclear whether these changes are long lasting or widespread. In the case of synaptic potentiation for example, protein synthesis independent plasticity occurs preferentially at smaller spines [6], and leads to short term structural modifications (~1.5 h), while long lasting, protein synthesis dependent stimuli lead to correspondingly longer lasting structural changes (>4 h) on spines of various sizes [9]. Indeed, it seems that NMDAR-LTD preferentially shrinks smaller spines [20]. Given the importance of protein synthesis for long lasting changes in synaptic weights, we wanted to determine if this form of long lasting plasticity would lead to long lasting structural...
changes at various inputs. mGluR dependent LTD leads to robust induction of, and is dependent upon, new protein synthesis [22,23]. Although this form of LTD has been studied at individual spines (Holbro et al., 2009), the structural correlates of such long lasting plasticity are unknown. Interestingly, impairments in mGluR mediated plasticity have been implicated in the mental retardation syndrome Fragile X [24], which is associated with increases in spine density [25,26]. These structural alterations have also been observed in mice lacking mGluR5 [27]. Therefore, understanding how long lasting, protein synthesis dependent synaptic depression affects dendritic spines may provide insights as to the causes of cognitive dysfunction.

Here, we investigate the structural correlates of mGluR mediated LTD using live two-photon imaging of dendritic spines in hippocampal pyramidal neurons. We find that global induction of LTD, through a brief application of the mGluR1/5 agonist DHPG, leads to the robust shrinkage and elimination of spines. These changes are long lasting, and could be observed for up to 24 hours. Interestingly, this occurs irrespectively of initial spine size. Furthermore, spine shrinkage and elimination require new protein synthesis as well as synaptic activity, and is independent of NMDA receptors. Elucidating this mechanism contributes to our understanding of the learning rules governing bidirectional changes in synaptic plasticity and structure, which may play a critical role in shaping the organization of inputs within the dendritic tree.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

All animal experiments were carried out in accordance with European Union regulations on animal care and use, and with the approval of the Portuguese Veterinary Authority (DGV).

Mouse Hippocampal Organotypic Slice Cultures

Cultured hippocampal slices were prepared from postnatal day 7–10 C57BL/6j mice [28]. Briefly, 350 μm thick slices were made with a chopper in ice-cold ACSF containing 2.5 mM KCl, 26 mM NaHCO3, 1.15 mM NaH2PO4, 11 mM D-glucose, 24 mM sucrose, 1 mM CaCl2 and 5 mM MgCl2, and cultured on membranes (Millipore). The slices were maintained in an interface configuration with the following media: 1 x MEM (Invitrogen), 20% horse serum (Invitrogen), GlutaMAX 1 mM (Invitrogen), 27 mM D-glucose, 30 mM HEPES, 6 mM NaHCO3, 1 M CaCl2, 1 M MgSO4, 1.2% ascorbic acid, 1 μg/ml insulin. The pH was adjusted to 7.3, and osmolality adjusted to 300–310 mosm. All chemicals were from Sigma unless otherwise indicated.

Transfection

Hippocampal neurons from organotypic slice cultures were transfected using a Helios gene gun (Bio-Rad) after 6–7 days in vitro (DIV). Gold beads (10 μg, 1.6 μm diameter, Bio-Rad) were coated with 100 μg Dendra-2C plasmid DNA (Evrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol and delivered biostatically into the slices at 180 psi. Experiments were performed 2–4 days post-transfection.

Two-photon Imaging and Spine Volume Determination

Two-photon imaging was performed using a galvanometer-based scanning system (Prairie Technologies) on a BX61WI Olympus microscope, using a Ti:sapphire laser (910 nm for imaging Dendra-2C; Coherent) controlled by PrairieView software. Slices were perfused with oxygenated ACSF containing 127 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 25 mM NaHCO3, 1.25 mM NaH2PO4, 25 mM D-glucose, 2 mM CaCl2 y 1 mM MgCl2 (equilibrated with O2 95%/CO2 5%) at room temperature at a rate of 1.5 ml/min. Imaging was started 45 min to 1 h after slice incubation began. Secondary or tertiary dendrites of CA1 neurons, located approximately 100 μm away from the soma, were imaged using a water immersion objective (60×, 1.0 NA, Olympus LUMPlan FLN) with a digital zoom of 10×. Image stacks (0.3 μm per section, 15 μm total thickness, ~3 min in duration) were collected once every 5 min for up to 4 hours at a resolution of 1024×1024 pixels, resulting in a field of view approximately 20 μm × 20 μm. In some experiments, such as those lasting 12–24 hours, images were collected once every 30 min for 12 h. Z-stacks were used to quantify spine volumes in all experimental conditions, and all images within an experiment were acquired under the same imaging conditions maintaining equal laser power and PMT gain settings.

One dendritic segment was analyzed per neuron per experiment. For each experiment, all spines with a discernible head within the field of view were included in the analysis, with the exception of spines that were obstructed by other structures, resulting in an average of 9 spines scored per experiment. All experiments included a baseline imaging period of 20 min. Spines that were stable during the baseline, but ceased to be visible sometime after experimental treatments were scored as ‘eliminated’. Spine volume measurements were carried out using FWHM, as previously described [9], as it is a measure that is independent of fluorescence intensity [29]. Briefly, the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the spine head was measured and used to calculate the volume, based on the volume of a sphere, using FWHM as the diameter. Measurements were carried out in ImageJ (NIH) with a custom written plugin that performed image registration, and a best fit analysis of the FWHM for each time point. All normalization was performed on a per spine basis as a percent of the average baseline value for that spine. Results are presented as mean ± SEM using one-way ANOVA. Post hoc tests were conducted using Tukey test for means comparisons. A value of p<0.001 was accepted to indicate a statistically significant difference.

LTD Induction

Synaptic depression was induced by bath application of (R)-3,5-dihydroxyphenylglycine (DHPG, 50 μM) for 5 min [22]. Some experiments with DHPG were performed in the presence of Tetrodotoxin Citrate (TTX, 0.5 μM) or D-(-)-2-Amino-5-phosphonopentanoic acid (D-AP5, 50 μM). DMSO (0.05%) was added to the ACSF to control for trace vehicle present in the Anisomycin (Aniso, 50 μM) conditions. For protein synthesis inhibition experiments, slices were pre-incubated in Anisomycin or Cycloheximide (CHX, 60 μM) for 20 min, and DHDPG was added at the end of each pre-incubation period for the stimulation time as previously described. Some experiments were performed in the presence of the group I mGluR antagonists: LY367385 (100 μM) and 2-Methyl-6-[phenylethynyl] pyridine hydrochloride (MPEP, 10 μM). Antagonists were applied together for 20 min, and DHPG was added in order to induce stimulation [30] as previously described. DHPG, TTX, Anisomycin, Cycloheximide, LY367385 and MPEP were from Tocris.

Patch Clamp Electrophysiology

Hippocampal slice cultures were pre-incubated for 45 min to 1 h at room temperature and perfused continuously with ACSF. Whole cell voltage-clamp recordings were performed in CA1 pyramidal neurons, using 7–8 MΩ electrodes filled with internal solution containing: 136.5 mM K-gluconate, 9 mM NaCl, 17.5 mM KCl, 10 mM HEPES, 0.2 mM EGTA, pH adjusted...
to 7.2 with KOH, and 284 mOsm. Cells were voltage clamped at −65 mV. Cellular recordings in which series resistance was higher than 25 MΩ were discarded, and stability was assessed throughout the experiment (±20%). EPSC responses were evoked by stimulation of CA3 Schaffer collateral afferents with a bipolar platinum/iridium stimulating electrode (FHC, Bowdoin, ME). Responses were collected every 30 s using a stimulation intensity (0.1–2 mA) yielding 50–60% of the maximal response. Signals were acquired using a Multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices), data was digitized with a Digidata 1440 at 3 kHz. EPSC amplitudes were analyzed using custom software written in Matlab.

**Statistical Analysis**

Results are presented as mean ± SEM. Using one-way ANOVA, post hoc test were conducted using Tukey test for means comparisons. A value of \( p < 0.001 \) was accepted to indicate a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

**mGluR-mediated LTD Promotes Long Lasting Spine Shrinkage and Elimination**

In order to determine whether protein synthesis dependent synaptic depression leads to structural changes at dendritic spines, we induced a robust form of mGluR-mediated LTD through the brief bath application of the group I mGluR agonist DHPG in mouse hippocampal slice cultures [22]. We visualized spine morphology in dendrites using two-photon microscopy of CA1 pyramidal neurons biolistically labeled with Dendra2-C. Following the global induction of mGluR-LTD, we observed a dramatic and significant shrinkage of spines (58.8 ± 1.5% of initial volume, \( n = 48 \) spines, 7 cells) compared to controls (100.8 ± 2.0% of initial volume, \( n = 70 \) spines, 10 cells) (Fig. 1 A, B, C). Interestingly, the population of spines that showed a decrease in volume distributed into two groups: one that shrank in size and a second that was eliminated (the latter corresponding to 18.6% of all spines that became smaller) (Fig. 1 E). Therefore, across all of the LTD experiments conducted (\( n = 161 \) spines, 17 cells), we found that the majority of spines quantified decreased in volume (138 decreased, 8 grew, 15 showed no change, corresponding to 86%, 5%, and 9% of the total, respectively) (Fig. 1 F, total spine shrinkage is shown in the hatched bar, and the grey shaded area within represents eliminated spines), unlike control conditions in which the majority of spines remained stable (9 decreased, 14 grew, 47 showed no change, corresponding to 13%, 20%, and 67% of the total). The stability of the spine volumes observed in the control group for the duration of the experimental period indicated that the hippocampal slice cultures were viable and healthy.

To verify that the observed spine shrinkage was indeed mediated by mGluRs, we induced LTD in the presence of the group I mGluRs antagonists LY367385 (mGluR1) and MPEP (mGluR5). Under these conditions, spines did not show a significant change in volume from their original size (96.7 ± 0.7% of initial volume, \( n = 53 \) spines, 5 cells) (Fig. 1 A, B, C). We wanted to confirm that the spine shrinkage observed following DHPG correlated with the induction of synaptic depression in our slice cultures, and thus we performed whole-cell patch electrophysiology (voltage-clamp) recordings. We induced LTD as in the above experiments (DHPG, 50 μM, 5 min) and recorded Excitatory Post Synaptic Currents (EPSCs) from CA1 pyramidal neurons. As previously reported [22,31,32], DHPG induced robust long-term depression in CA1 neurons (62.8 ± 2.5%, \( n = 8 \) cells) (Fig. 1 D, 2 D). These data indicate that the induction of long-lasting, mGluR-mediated LTD leads to robust shrinkage of spines following the onset of synaptic plasticity.

**Spine Shrinkage Mediated by mGluR-LTD Requires Protein Synthesis and Activity, but does not Require NMDARs**

The expression of mGluR-LTD requires protein synthesis [22]. Therefore, we tested whether the spine shrinkage we observed in response to mGluR-LTD also requires protein synthesis. We induced LTD in the presence of one of either two different protein synthesis inhibitors, Anisomycin (Aniso, a peptidyl transferase inhibitor) or Cyclohexamide (CHX, a blocker of elongation), in order to reduce any potential drug artifacts (Fig. 2 A). As expected, we did not detect spine shrinkage upon mGluR-LTD induction in the presence of either Aniso (101.5 ± 1.9% of initial volume, \( n = 31 \) spines, 4 cells, 50 μM, 30 min) or Cyclohexamide (100.8 ± 0.8% of initial volume, \( n = 30 \) spines, 3 cells, 60 μM, 30 min), whereas DHPG stimulation again led to robust spine shrinkage (55.5 ± 0.7% of initial volume, \( n = 48 \) spines, 4 cells) (Fig. 2 B). In accordance with previous data [22], whole cell recordings performed in the presence of Anisomycin confirmed that protein synthesis is necessary for the induction of DHPG mediated LTD (103.3 ± 3.8% \( n = 9 \) cells, with DHPG+Aniso, compared to 62.8 ± 2.5%, \( n = 8 \) cells, with DHPG alone) (Fig. 2 D, E), while acute, short term depression was unaffected (38.2 ± 3.3%) (Fig. 2 D).

We wanted to determine whether activity is also required for the expression of spine shrinkage following mGluR-LTD. Therefore, we induced DHPG-LTD in the presence of Tetrodotoxin (TTX, 0.5 μM), a sodium channel blocker, in order to eliminate the propagation of action potentials. Interestingly, we found that there was no shrinkage of spines when activity was blocked (98.6 ± 0.8% of initial volume, \( n = 38 \) spines, 6 cells), compared to the large reduction in spine volume induced by DHPG without TTX (38.7 ± 2.6% of initial volume, \( n = 49 \) spines, 6 cells) (Fig. 2 C).

Therefore, we wanted to verify that NMDAR activity was not somehow being recruited by the application of DHPG. To confirm that the spine shrinkage induced by DHPG did not involve NMDAR function, we performed some experiments in the presence of the competitive NMDAR antagonist D-AP5. As expected, spine shrinkage was induced by DHPG despite NMDA blockade (67.3 ± 2.0% of initial volume, \( n = 35 \) spines, 3 cells) (Fig. 2 A, C). Therefore, although the mechanism for mGluR mediated spine shrinkage requires activity, it does not function via NMDA receptors. Overall, these data demonstrate that the expression of structural plasticity mediated by mGluRs is reliant on new protein synthesis and synaptic activity, and does not require NMDAR dependent signaling.

**Spine Volume Decreases are Independent of Initial Spine Size**

Structural plasticity resulting from the induction of LTP had been reported to preferentially occur at smaller spines [6]. Subsequently, it has been demonstrated that long lasting forms of synaptic potentiation, which rely on new protein synthesis, can lead to growth of spines of various sizes [9]. Thus, we wanted to determine whether the structural changes following protein synthesis dependent synaptic depression are biased towards occurring at spines of a particular size. We found that irrespective of initial size, both large and small spines were capable of reducing in volume upon mGluR-mediated LTD, either when the initial and final diameter of the spine head was compared (Fig. 3 A, F) or when the change in volume per spine was compared (Fig. S1 A, B).
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This result also proved to be consistent within the group of spines that was eliminated, as there did not appear to be a trend towards the elimination of small spines in particular (Fig. 3 A). Also, spines did not seem to be eliminated at specific times following the induction of LTD (Fig. S1 C). Not surprisingly, in control conditions conducted either in ACSF alone (n = 70 spines, 10 cells), in the presence of mGluR antagonists (n = 53 spines, 5 cells), in the absence of protein synthesis (n = 83 spines, 7 cells), or when activity was blocked (n = 52 spines, 6 cells), there was minimal variability between the initial and final size of spines (Fig. 3 B, C, D, E). These data demonstrate that the majority of spines are potentially capable of reducing or increasing their volume in response to synaptic activity.

Spine Structural Changes following mGluR-LTD Last for 24 Hours

We showed that spine shrinkage or elimination induced by mGluR-LTD lasts for 3 hours. As information can be stored for days or years [33], we wanted to test whether synaptic depression mediated structural changes in spines could also be very long lasting. Therefore, we induced mGluR LTD as before, but now followed spines continually for 12 hours, and acquired images once every 30 minutes. In some experiments, hippocampal slices were then returned to the incubator, and the same dendritic region was imaged 24 hours post-induction of plasticity. The images collected at 24 h showed an increased fluorescence intensity relative to earlier time points, likely due to their extended incubation time which allowed new fluorophores to accumulate without further photobleaching. We found that both at 12 h or 24 h following mGluR mediated synaptic depression, spines showed a significant reduction in volume (59.8±4.5% of initial spine volume at 12 h, n = 53 spines, 4 cells; 55.0±1.3% of initial spine volume at 24 h) (Fig. 4 A, B). Similarly to our previous findings, the majority of spines shrank 12 hours after the induction of synaptic depression (72% of all spines decreased in volume), a proportion of which were also eliminated (24% of the spines which shrank), and as before, a few spines either grew or showed no change (13% grew, 15% did not change) (Fig. 4 D). It is unlikely that this spine loss following LTD is due to photo-damage, as we find that a proportion of spines either grows or does not change following the induction of plasticity. In accordance with our previous findings (Fig. 3 A), spine shrinkage and elimination remained independent of initial size when examined at longer time intervals of 12 h and 24 h (Fig. 4 C). These data show that the structural plasticity mediated by mGluR-LTD, namely the shrinkage and elimination of spines, can last at least 24 hours.
which we are able to follow for up to 24 hours. This finding was not expected, as the previously reported single application of the mGluR group I/II agonist resulted in synaptic depression, but no structural modifications [36]. Additionally, mGluR LTD at individual inputs leads to physiological depression at certain spines, but with no structural consequences reported [37]. It could be that in this latter case, the plasticity which resulted was not sufficient to activate local protein synthesis, and therefore no structural modifications were induced.

Since activation of group I mGluRs has been shown to increase neuronal excitability, and thus lead to depolarization and action potential discharge [38,39], we investigated whether synaptic activity was necessary for mGluR mediated structural plasticity. Indeed, we find that activity is necessary for mGluR-LTD mediated spine shrinkage, as evidenced by the blockade of these changes in the presence of protein synthesis blockade with either Aniso (blue line) or CHX (green line). Spine volumes were analyzed once every 5 min for over 3 h. Bar graph on the right quantifies the spine volume changes during LTD, compared to LTD induced in the presence of protein synthesis blockade (Aniso in blue or CHX in green). *p<0.001. Error bars indicate means ± SEM. C) No spine shrinkage was observed when activity was blocked during LTD induction with TTX (pink line), whereas shrinkage was observed in presence of NMDAR blockade. Time course of spine volume changes during LTD in the presence or absence of TTX or D-AP5. Bar graph quantifies the spine volume changes observed during LTD with or without TTX or D-AP5. Normalization performed as percentage of average baseline values for each spine. *p<0.001. Error bars indicate means ± SEM. D) LTD induction in the presence of protein synthesis blockade results in short lasting synaptic depression in hippocampal slice cultures. EPSC amplitudes recorded in CA1 pyramidal neurons evoked by field stimulation in CA3 before and after induction of LTD by DHPG application in presence or absence of Aniso (50 µM/30 min). Representative traces during the baseline (a,c) and 60 min after DHPG application alone (b) or in the presence of Aniso (d). E) Protein synthesis blockade during LTD induction in hippocampal slice cultures occludes long-term depression. Group data from LTD induced with or without protein synthesis blockade (9 cells per condition). *p<0.001. Error bars indicate means ± SEM.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0071155.g002

Figure 2. Spine shrinkage promoted by mGluR-LTD is NMDA independent, requires protein synthesis and activity. A) Spine shrinkage is only observed when LTD is induced under conditions of normal protein synthesis and activity. Time-lapse two-photon images (z-stack maximum-intensity projections) of representative dendritic spines before and after LTD induction, in the presence Anisomycin, TTX or D-AP5. B) No spine shrinkage was observed when LTD was induced in the presence of protein synthesis blockade with either Aniso (blue line) or CHX (green line). Spine volumes were analyzed once every 5 min for over 3 h. Bar graph on the right quantifies the spine volume changes during LTD, compared to LTD induced in the presence of protein synthesis blockade (Aniso in blue or CHX in green). *p<0.001. Error bars indicate means ± SEM. B) No spine shrinkage was observed when LTD was induced in the presence of protein synthesis blockade (Aniso in blue or CHX in green). *p<0.001. Error bars indicate means ± SEM. C) No spine shrinkage was observed when activity was blocked during LTD induction with TTX (pink line), whereas shrinkage was observed in presence of NMDAR blockade. Time course of spine volume changes during LTD in the presence or absence of TTX or D-AP5. Bar graph quantifies the spine volume changes observed during LTD with or without TTX or D-AP5. Normalization performed as percentage of average baseline values for each spine. *p<0.001. Error bars indicate means ± SEM. D) LTD induction in the presence of protein synthesis blockade results in short lasting synaptic depression in hippocampal slice cultures. EPSC amplitudes recorded in CA1 pyramidal neurons evoked by field stimulation in CA3 before and after induction of LTD by DHPG application in presence or absence of Aniso (50 µM/30 min). Representative traces during the baseline (a,c) and 60 min after DHPG application alone (b) or in the presence of Aniso (d). E) Protein synthesis blockade during LTD induction in hippocampal slice cultures occludes long-term depression. Group data from LTD induced with or without protein synthesis blockade (9 cells per condition). *p<0.001. Error bars indicate means ± SEM.
potentials, which trigger depolarization, will prevent mGluR facilitation of L-type VDCCs. Thus, interfering with a functional output of mGluRs, albeit indirectly, may inhibit the induction of plasticity and consequent structural changes.

Misregulation of mGluR plasticity has been implicated in mental retardation disorders, such as Fragile X syndrome [24]. Interestingly, this disorder has also been associated with altered spine density [41], and upregulated protein translation [42]. In accordance with this data, deletion of mGluR5 in mice leads to increased spine density in the cortex [27]. This points to the idea that mGluR plasticity has a structural output, although the direct nature of the regulation is still unclear. Our finding that mGluR-mediated LTD induces shrinkage and elimination of dendritic spines through a protein synthesis dependent mechanism, supports the idea that improper signaling through these receptors may lead to the abnormal spine pruning seen in mental retardation disorders.

Rewiring of neuronal contacts, via the clustering of synapses on a dendrite, would increase the storage capacity of a circuit, and provide a stable mechanism for effecting long-lasting changes [43].

Figure 3. Spine shrinkage and elimination are independent of initial spine size. Two-dimensional plot of initial versus final spine head diameter (\(\mu m\)) (15 min before and 2 h after LTD). Spines which fall along the horizontal line, do not show a change in size. A, F Spines of varying initial sizes shrink following mGluR-LTD in absence or presence of D-AP5. B–E Spine sizes remain the same throughout control experimental conditions indicated for each graph.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0071155.g003

Figure 4. Spine shrinkage and elimination mediated by mGluR-LTD is long lasting. A) Representative two-photon images (maximum-intensity z-stack projections) of secondary dendrites from CA1 neurons transfected with Dendra-2C before and after LTD induction (DHPG). Z-stacks projections were collected once every 30 min for 12 h. Empty arrowheads indicate spines that shrink or eliminate and filled arrowheads indicate spines that do not change or grow 12 h and 24 h after LTD induction. B) Spine shrinkage was observed for over 24 hours following LTD induction. Normalization was performed as a percent of the average baseline volume of each spine (pooled data from 4 cells). C) Long lasting spine shrinkage and elimination are independent of initial spine size. Two-dimensional plot of initial versus final spine head diameter (\(\mu m\)) (15 min before and 12 h or 24 h after LTD). Spines which fall along the horizontal line do not show a change in volume. D) Quantification of spines that shrink, grow, or do not change after 12 h of mGluR-LTD induction from pooled data of 53 spines/4 cells. The shaded area within the shrinkage bar corresponds to the percentage of spines which are eliminated. *p<0.001. Error bars indicate means ± SEM.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0071155.g004
In order for such remodeling to occur, certain inputs within a dendritic branch would be selectively potentiated and strengthened, while others would be selectively reduced or eliminated. Evidence indeed demonstrates that a subset of coactive inputs may be selectively strengthened following synaptic potentiation [9], although the counterpart of such plasticity during synaptic depression is unknown. Here we demonstrate the structural correlates of a protein synthesis dependent form of long-term depression (LTD) mediated by group I metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs). Using two-photon imaging of dendritic spines in hippocampal neurons, we have shown that the global induction of mGluR-LTD leads to a significant and long lasting shrinkage and elimination of spines. We further determine that this form of structural plasticity requires the production of new proteins as well as synaptic activity. These findings demonstrate that indeed, bidirectional forms of plasticity correlate with bidirectional structural changes. Taken together, they provide a key mechanism by which to cluster synapses, allowing the pruning of specific inputs, based on the nature of the activity, and not necessarily depending on the initial size of the spines. These data also point to the dysregulation of mGluR signaling as a potential cause of the altered spine morphology seen in mental retardation disorders.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Spine shrinkage and elimination are independent of initial spine volume. A) Representative images of spines of various sizes that were quantified, from smaller at the bottom to larger at the top. B) Two-dimensional plot of initial versus final spine volumes per spine (μm³) (15 min before and 2 h after LTD). Spines which fall along the horizontal line do not change in volume during the experiment. C) Spine volume distribution for the group of spines that were eliminated following DHPG LTD. The graph shows that different sized spines were subject to elimination, and that they could be eliminated at various times following LTD stimulation.
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