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ABSTRACT

Here we describe a new species of Echimyidae Amazonian rodent, Echimys vieirai sp. nov., based on two individuals from the south bank of Amazon river between the lower Madeira river to the right bank of Tapajós, respectively in the Brazilian states of Amazonas and Pará, Brazil. The main diagnostic characteristic of this new species is the presence of a dorsal median dark maroon stripe on the head, running from the rostrum to the nape. Skull morphology is quite similar to that of Echimys chrysurus (Zimmermann, 1780), but our new species differ from other species in the genus by the development of the alisphenoid canal and dp4 morphology. Additionally, we offer a refinement of the diagnosis of the genera Echimys and Makalata.
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INTRODUCTION

Arboreal echimyids comprise several species allocated in twelve genera in three subfamilies (Carvalho, 2000). The genus Echimys is included in the subfamily Echimyiinae, and since Husson (1978), part of its species content has been transferred to other genera, namely Makalata Husson, 1978; Callistomys Emmons & Vucetich, 1998; and Phyllomys Lund, 1839 (Emmons et al., 2002). Currently, Echimys is restricted to three species according to Emmons & Feer (1997): E. chrysurus (Zimmermann, 1780), E. saturnus (Wagner, 1845), and E. semivillosus (Geoffroy, 1838). A fourth species is here described, based on two specimens from the Museu de Zoologia, Universidade de São Paulo, and Museu Nacional, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro. Additional examination of material in European and Brazilian collections allowed us to refine our comparisons. All species are readily distinguished by size, pelage coloration, and some of them by skull characters as well.

Known collecting localities of Echimys include the Guianas, North-Northeastern Brazil (Carvalho & Tocchetton, 1969; Pine, 1973; Husson, 1978; Miles et al., 1981; Eisenberg, 1989; Oliveira & Mesquita, 1998; Eisenberg & Redford, 1999), the eastern Amazon basin.
and the eastern Andean foothills. *Echimys chrysurus* occurs in the Guyana region, from the right bank of Essequibo River eastwards, and in localities around the mouth of the Amazonas River and in the State of Maranhão, in Brazil. *E. saturnus* is known from a few scattered localities in eastern Ecuador and central Peru, in the upper Marañon River. *Echimys semivillosus* occurs in northern Colombia and Venezuela. Our new species, known so far from the type locality, at the right bank of the middle Tapajós River in Pará.

Here we describe *Echimys vieirai* sp. nov., compare it to other species in the genus, and discuss the genus distribution as currently defined.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

We have studied skins and skulls deposited in the following collections: Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo (MZUSP); Museu Nacional, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro (MNRJ); The Natural History Museum, London (BMNH); Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin (MNK); Naturhistoriska Riksmuseet, Stockholm (NRM); Zoologische Staatsammlung, München (ZSM); and Musée Nationale d’Histoire Naturelle, Paris (MNHN).

We have recorded measurements from museum specimen tags as follows: head and body length (HB); tail length (T); ear length (E); and hind foot length (HF). When only total length (TL) was originally furnished, we subtracted the recorded tail length (T) from total length to obtain the head and body length, thus making the derived values roughly comparable to our measurement scheme.

We have recorded skull measurements directly from skulls, at the nearest tenth of millimeter. The measurements and their definitions are as follows: skull length (SL): from the tip of the nasals to the postanteriormost part of the occipital region; zygomatic breadth (ZB): largest distance across the external sides of the zygomatic arches; frontal constriction (FC): the smaller distance across the orbital border of frontals; nasal length (NL): greatest distance from the tip to the postanteriormost part of nasals; squamosal breadth (SB): distance across the external projection of the squamosal crest taken at the level of the external auditory meatus; rostrum breadth (RB): distance across both sides of the rostrum at the premaxilar-maxilar suture; bullar length (BL): greatest distance taken from a lateral aspect of the bulla from its juxtaposition to the paraoccipital process to the bulla’s anteriormost portion; postpalatal length (PPL): from the anteriormost border of the foramen magnum to the anteriormost edge of the mesopterygoid fossa; palatal length (PL): from the alveolar edge of incisors to the anteriormost edge of the mesopterygoid fossa; maxillary tooth row length (TRL): largest distance from the anteriormost border of the fourth premolar to the postanteriormost border of the third molar; maxillary breadth (MB): greatest distance across the fourth premolars taken from their alveolar borders; first molar breadth (M1B): greatest distance from lingual to buccal borders of crown; median length of parietals (MLP): greatest distance across the parietal’s suture; mandible length (MBL): from the lingual border of the incisors’ alveoli to the postanteriormost border of the postcondyloid process; mandible height (MH): shortest distance taken vertically from the uppermost part of the condyloid process to a plane passing from the lower edge of the symphiseal suture to the lowermost edge of the angular process.

We recognize three morphological age classes, based on maxillary tooth eruption: adult (III): specimens with all maxillary teeth fully erupted; subadult (II): specimens with the third molar fully in the process of eruption; and young (I): all other conditions.

We employed Wahlert (1974, 1983, 1985) and Woods & Howlands (1979) for nomenclature of cranial foramina. Dental nomenclature follows Lavocat (1976) and further considerations by Butler (1985) and Flynn et al. (1985). Our reference to external morphology and coloration for *E. semivillosus* is based on the original description by Geoffroy (1838) and that of Geoffroy (1840), since we only had access to a single skull of this species.

Distributional data on *Echimys* species were obtained either by direct examination of specimens or from the literature. In the latter case, we included only those references that we consider reliable or else the type localities of valid species.

**RESULTS**

*Echimys vieirai* sp. nov.

**Holotype:** MZUSP 26650, skin and skull, young male, collected by P.E. Vanzolini in an E.P.A expedition. (Expedição Permanente à Amazônia) in June 24, 1970, field number E.P.A. 70.1327; **Paratype:** MNRJ 67549, specimen in alcohol, young, collected by A. Parko in Virgem Guajará, Amazonas, field number 16.

**Type locality:** Barreirinha, right bank of the Tapajós River, near São Luís do Tapajós, Pará State, Brazil; geographic coordinates 04°25’S, 56°13’W (Fig. 1).
**Distribution:** known only from Barreirinha, right bank of the Tapajós River, Pará State, Brazil and Virgem Guajará near to Borba, Madeira river, Amazonas State, Brazil. Probably the distribution of *E. vieirai* ranges from mid to lower Tapajós river to lower Madeira river.

**Etymology:** This new species is named after Carlos Octaviano da Cunha Vieira, curator in charge of the mammal collection of the Museu de Zoologia, University of São Paulo, from the early 1940's until his death in 1958. Through his efforts, the mammal collection of the MZUSP was greatly improved in both geographic coverage and size.

**Diagnosis:** A generally brown spiny rat, with a dark head distinct from the dorsum; special chromogenetic fields present in the head, consisting of a black mask extending from the muzzle to above and below the eyes, and a dark median maroon (brown tinted with a dark red tone) stripe extending from the rostrum to the nape.

**Description**

External morphology and pelage (Fig. 2): Head and body length approximately equal to tail length. Color of head distinct from that of body, being darker than adjacent dorsum; the background color of head is dark brown with black extending from around the muzzle to the sides of mouth; from there the black extends to the mystacial region and backwards to the eyes until the anterior half below the eye and to its entire length above. Between the black stripes over the eyes there is a defined dark maroon stripe (brown tinted with red). Mystacial vibrissae short, not extending beyond the pinnae, entirely black. External face of pinnae slightly hirsute covered with short black hairs. Internal face of pinnae only slightly hirsute, with long black hairs in the outer border of pinnae. Body hairs consisting predominantly of spines. Dorsal parts of the body distinct from crown, uniformly colored, with sparse cover hairs dull brown in color and dense bicolored spines, dull brown proximally becoming blackish distally. Dorsal parts of body only slightly distinct from sides, dark brown becoming dull brown to the sides, not sharply distinct from ventral body.

**Measurements:** See Table 1.
### TABLE 1. Descriptive statistics of *Echimys* species.

| Species | Age class | HB | T | F | E | SL | ZB | FC | NL | MLP | SB | RB | PL | PPL | TRL | M1B | MB | BL | MBL | MH |
|---------|-----------|----|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|-----|----|
| *E. vierai* | I | 245 | 340 | 50 | 15 | 55.3 | 28.1 | 14.5 | 16.7 | 19.9 | 22.2 | 10 | 22.3 | 20.5 | 10.9 | 3 | 8 | 11.9 | 31.1 | 15.8 |
|           | III | – | – | 51 | 17 | 66.92 | 30.59 | 18.28 | – | – | 24.68 | 11.71 | 26.01 | – | 19.88 | – | 3.54 | 8.77 | 10.7 | 34.26 | 15.7 |
| *E. semivillosus* | III | – | – | (2) | (4) | (1) | (2) | (2) | (1) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (1) | (2) | (2) | (1) | (2) | (4) |
|           | I | (2) | (2) | 252 ± 11 | 250.00 – 310.00 | 254 – 260 | 305 – 320 | 30.50 ± 1.13 | 11.50 – 13.10 | 13.30 – 13.30 | 270.00 – 415.00 | 320.00 – 310.00 | 25.00 ± 1.41 | 19.00 – 22.40 | 20.60 ± 0.42 | 20.30 ± 0.28 | 19.60 – 19.80 | 19.60 – 22.40 | 18.80 – 20.10 | 18.60 – 20.10 | 12.65 – 14.89 |
| *E. chrysurus* | I | (2) | (2) | 250.00 | 24.50 | 21.35 ± 1.48 | 20.60 | 7.90 | 11.30 | (2) | 2.60 ± 0.00 | 13.50 | 10.70 | 21.35 | 12.00 | 3.54 | 2.60 | 11.30 | 28.15 | 12.85 |
|           | III | (8) | (9) | 281 ± 26 | 270.00 – 415.00 | 250.00 – 310.00 | 330 ± 0.47 | 30.50 ± 1.13 | 11.50 – 13.10 | (2) | 2.60 ± 0.00 | 13.50 | 10.70 | 21.35 | 12.00 | 3.54 | 2.60 | 11.30 | 28.15 | 12.85 |
|           | (6) | 52.89 ± 5.23 | 14.89 | 9.49 | 13.30 | 20.30 | 27.33 | 10.51 | 45.00 – 60.00 | 45.00 – 60.00 | 52.20 – 65.89 | 52.89 ± 5.23 | 14.89 | 9.49 | 13.30 | 20.30 | 27.33 | 10.51 | 45.00 – 60.00 | 45.00 – 60.00 | 52.20 – 65.89 |
|           | (4) | 20.50 ± 1.73 | 14.89 | 9.49 | 13.30 | 20.30 | 27.33 | 10.51 | 45.00 – 60.00 | 45.00 – 60.00 | 52.20 – 65.89 | 52.89 ± 5.23 | 14.89 | 9.49 | 13.30 | 20.30 | 27.33 | 10.51 | 45.00 – 60.00 | 45.00 – 60.00 | 52.20 – 65.89 |

(N) = number of specimens; μ = average; s.d. = standard deviation; Min. = Minimum and maximum.

---

color. Fore and hindlegs proximally indistinct from dorsolateral body color, being grayish brown; cover hairs weakly bicolored, grayish proximally and yellowish distally; fore and hindfeet distinct from distal parts of fore and hindlegs, dark brown; cover hairs uniformly dark brown. Ventral surfaces indistinct from sides of body, being hirsute, covered with light-brown hairs. Tail densely covered by long hairs, with tuft present, with tail scales hardly visible. Scales and hairs densely disposed, length of hairs from 10 to 12 rows of scales. Tail divided in three color sections; proximal one sixth the same color of posterior dorsum; middle section, corresponding to about half of the tail length, black; terminal section, with almost one third of tail length, white.

Skull (Fig. 3): Skull of a medium sized Echimyinae. Nasals with moderate length and broad; rostrum short, broad. Lateral wings of frontal developed forming a roof over the orbital region; postorbital process of zygoma blunt and weakly developed

---

a MZUSP26650 Holotype
b BMNH34.9.10.182 Holotype
c ZSM1949/788
and formed by the jugal. Lateral jugal fossae sharply defined, with anterior rim sharp pointed, extending until the M1. Incisive foramen formed by premaxillar and maxillar, breadth and length moderate; margins of incisive foramen forming well developed ridges especially in the maxillar portion, which run parallels extending until the DP4; maxillar between the ridges slightly depressed inward at the posterior edge of the incisive foramen. Septum of incisive foramen formed mainly by premaxillar. Palatal region long and slender; palatine extending up to M1. Pterygoid fossae slit-shaped; alisphenoid wide; alisphenoid canal completely developed; buccinator and masticator foramen confluent; medium sized foramen ovale; maxillary vein passing through a foramen; transverse canal well-developed. Bulla ovoid, with tiny stilliform process, tegmen timpani short and wide; external auditory meatus separated from squamosal bone by a wall of the petrosal. Upper molariforms tetralophodont, anteroloph and protoloph connected lingually as well as the mesoloph and posteroloph. Lower molars trilophodont; dp4 pentalophodont, with anterolophid and metalophid connected both lingually and labially forming an anterofossetid; mesolophid isolated from the other lophids.

**Comparisons**

*Echimys vieirai* can be readily distinguished from *E. chrysurus* by the presence of a dark maroon head stripe instead of a white median head stripe, and by having a darker dorsum (Fig. 2). *E. vieirai* is distinguishable from *E. saturnus* by possessing its head clearly darker than its dorsum, while in *E. saturnus* the

**FIGURE 2.** Skins of *Echimys chrysurus* (A) and *E. vieirai* (B) in dorsal view. Drawing by E.G. Baena.
FIGURE 3. Skull of *Echimys vieirai* sp. nov. in dorsal ventral and lateral view, mandible in lateral and ventral view (MZUSP 26650; SL = 55.3).
are distinguished from those of \textit{Loncheres castaneus} by the color of the venter, which is uniformly grayish brown in the former and white or spotted with white in the latter (Thomas, 1928). \textit{Loncheres macrura} differs from \textit{Loncheres castaneus} by having its body coloration reddish brown speckled with yellow and a scaly tail covered with reddish brown tiny hairs.

The skulls of \textit{E. vieirai} and \textit{E. chrysurus} are indistinguishable, but are different from those of other species in the genus. \textit{E. vieirai} and \textit{E. chrysurus} skulls are distinguished from those of \textit{E. semivillosus} and \textit{E. saturnus} by the possession of a supraorbital process formed by squamosal anterior to frontal-parietal suture, and a pentalophodont dp4 with a large central anterofossetid. Both species have supraorbital process formed by frontal; \textit{E. semivillosus} has a tetralophodont dp4 and \textit{E. saturnus} a pentalophodont dp4 with small lingual anterofossetid.

**DISCUSSION**

The genus \textit{Echimys} was created by Cuvier (1809) to contain all spiny rats then known. After Allen’s (1899) exclusion of terrestrial forms from \textit{Echimys}, the genus was restricted to arboreal spiny rats, and other researchers followed his concept (e.g. Thomas, 1928; Tate, 1935 and Ellerman, 1940; Cabrera, 1961). Moojen (1952) was the first to recognize further groups in \textit{Echimys} when he allocated eastern Brazilian arboreal spiny rats in \textit{Pitymys} Lund, 1839, but this was not adopted by other authors, who mostly followed Tate (1935). Then Husson (1978) created the genus \textit{Makalata} to contain \textit{Nelomys armatus} Geoffroy, 1838. Other authors advanced the splitting of \textit{Echimys}, by creating the genus \textit{Calistomys} (Emmons & Vucetich, 1998) to include \textit{Nelomys pictus} Pictet, 1843, and by finally accepting Moojen’s (1952) decision regarding \textit{Pitymys} (Emmons et al., 2002; Leite, 2003).

These taxonomic changes make it necessary to redefine \textit{Echimys}, and comparisons with \textit{Makalata} (sensu Husson, 1978) is mandatory, since several species previously included in \textit{Echimys} must be transferred to that genus.

Husson (1978) has created \textit{Makalata} to contain Amazonian echimyids with folds in the cheek teeth opening lingually instead of buccally; externally, the dorsal parts are dark yellowish brown, heavily lined with black and speckled with black specially in the posterior third; the sides of the body are lighter than the dorsum and ventral surface are pale yellowish or grayish brown.

We also included in the diagnosis of \textit{Makalata} the following characters: mistacial region pheomelanic (ferrugineous or rusty, from red to orange), tail with short hair, making scales plainly visible; postorbital process of zygoma formed mostly by jugal, squamosal projects below the postorbital process. The spines are pale gray at the base, becoming darker distally.

The following nominal taxa match Husson’s concept of \textit{Makalata} as modified here: didelphoides group – \textit{Echimys didelphoides} Desmarest, 1817; \textit{Loncheres armatus} Geoffroy, 1838; \textit{Loncheres obscura} Wagner, 1840; \textit{Loncheres macrura} Wagner, 1842; \textit{Echimys guianae} Thomas, 1888; \textit{Loncheres castaneus} Allen & Chapman, 1897; \textit{Echimys longirostris} Anthony, 1921; \textit{Echimys rhopidurus} Thomas, 1928; \textit{Echimys occatus} Thomas, 1921; semivillosus group – \textit{Nelomys semivillosus} Geoffroy, 1838; \textit{Loncheres punctatus} Thomas, 1889; \textit{Echimys carrikeri} Allen, 1911; \textit{Loncheres flavidus} Hollister, 1914.

The remaining nominal taxa, \textit{Myoxus chrysurus} Zimmermann, 1780, \textit{Echimys cristatus} Desmarest, 1817, \textit{Loncheres granid Wagner, 1845; Echimys saturnus Thomas, 1928}, and \textit{Loncheres palaecos} Offers, 1818, do not belong in \textit{Makalata} and therefore remain in \textit{Echimys}. However, the morphology of \textit{Loncheres granid} and \textit{Echimys saturnus}, suggests that \textit{Echimys} is a composite genus. \textit{Loncheres granid} is in the process of being transferred to a new genus (J.-Ximenes et al., in prep.) and the placement of \textit{E. saturnus} is under evaluation by one of us (G.E. J.-Ximenes).

\textit{Echimys} Cuvier, 1809 originally was described including \textit{Myoxus chrysurus} (“le rot a queue dorée”) and “le rat epineux d’Azara” (Cuvier, 1809, 1812; in Cuvier’s view actually a \textit{Makalata didelphoides}, see also Geoffroy, 1840) and Tate (1935) has designated \textit{Myoxus chrysurus} Zimmermann, 1780 as type species of \textit{Echimys}. The placement of \textit{E. vieirai} in this genus can be justified because the species shares most of its characters with \textit{Echimys chrysurus}, the type species of \textit{Echimys}. Examination of \textit{Echimys} type species led us to consider \textit{E. cristatus} as a junior synonym of \textit{E. chrysurus} and \textit{E. paleaceus} as a valid species.

Our refined diagnosis of \textit{Echimys} is: a medium to large sized echimyine rat with long tricolored tail; white distal portion of tail extending over more than one third of tail length; septum of incisive foramen formed only by premaxillar; dp4 with anterolophid developed and connected to metaconid evenly both lingually and labially; upper molars with protoloph connected to protocone, deep groove formed by continuous hypoflexus and metaflexus present; mesoloph connected with metaconid by hypocone; postorbital process of zygoma formed by jugal.
We believe that the existence of only two specimen of *Echimys vieirai*, the holotype and the paratype, is not problematic to its recognition as a new species because the kind of variation we have described above is consistent with what is known of the taxonomic variation among taxa in this group of rodents. In all specimens (N=28) examined of *E. chrysurus* the stripe of forehead is always present and always white. Only the width of the stripe has varied, still slightly. Amazonian arboreal mammals frequently differ in external characters, mostly hair color, in many groups from rodents to primates.

Coat pattern and coloration can be distinctive, as it occurs in many species of felids, primates and rodents. According to reaction-diffusion model (Turing, 1952), there is a chemical mechanism for generating coat pattern based on differential deposition of eumelanin and pheomelanin, ruled by morphogenes. The mathematics to this model has been created by Turing (1952) and developed by Murray (1981a,b, 1988, 1989). Although genes control the process of coat pattern formation, the actual mechanism is still unknown. The use of coat patterns to distinguish species can be supported, based on Turing’s model, which supports the idea that coat patterns are not aleatory.

*Echimys*, as conceived by Cabrera (1961) and Woods (1993), had a wide distribution ranging from Colombia, Venezuela, and across the entire Amazon Basin to the Atlantic forest in eastern Brazil. As here conceived, the distribution of the remaining species of *Echimys* is presented in Figure 1.

Specimens examined. *Echimys* (N=30). *Echimys chrysurus* (N=28): British Guyana: Potaro Highlands, 1300ft.: BMNH 7.6.10.4 (skin and skull); Surinam: Supinaam river: BMNH 10.5.4.21 (skin and skull); Upper County [Upper Corentyn according Thomas, 1916]: BMNH 43.8.19.14 (skin and skull); Surinam: Surinam: MNK 1182 (skin and skull); Guyane Française: Cayenne; MNHN 1995-1398 (skin and skull) Holotype of *Echimys cristatus*: rive droite du Petit Sauer; Programme Faune Sauvage; MNHN 1999-1082 (skin and skeleton); Brazil: Pará: Cametã, Tocantins River: MZUSP: 4510, 4547, 4548, 4551, 4642 (skin and skull); 4557, 4558 (skin); MNRJ: 21504 (skin and skull); NHRM A587194, A587195, A58 7195, A58 7196 (skin and skull); Pará: MZUSP: 25809 (skin); Utinga, Belém: MZUSP: 25810 (skin); BR-010 km 87-94: MZUSP: 26200 (skull); Aurá, Belém: MNRJ: 3847 (skin and skull); Peixe-Boi: BMNH: 14.6.10.1 (skin and skull); Amapá: Amapari River: MNRJ: 21505 (skin and skull); Serra do Navio: MNRJ: 20411 (skin and skull). “Pará, Brasilien”: MNK: 1181 (skin and skull) Holotype of *Lambeus palaeus*: No locality: MZUSP: 4011 (skull); MNRJ: 60538 (skeleton).

*Echimys vieirai* (N=2): Brazil: Pará: Barreirinhos, Tapajós River: MZUSP: Holotype: 26650 (skin and skull); MNRJ: Paratype: Virgem Guajará, Madeira river, Amazonas: MNRJ: 67549 (alcohol). *Echimys saturnus* (N=2): Ecuador: near rio Napo, Ecuador. 3300 ft.: BMNH: 34.9.10.182 (skin and skull) Holotype; Peru: Pisqui river: AMNH: 98261 (skin and skull, specimen not seen; photographs by G. Carvalho examined). *Echimys semivillosus* (N=1): No locality: ZSM: 1949/788 (skull);

**RESUMO**

É apresentada a descrição de uma nova espécie de Echimyidas, *Echimys vieirai* sp. nov., baseado em dois espécimens coletados em Barreirinha, rio Tapajós, Pará e Virgem Guajará, Amazonas. A principal caracteristica diagnóstica desta nova espécie é a presença de uma faixa mediana marrom na cabeça que se estende do dorso até a nuca. A morfologia do crânio é similar a de Echimys chrysurus (Zimmermann, 1780), e difere das demais espécies do gênero em relação ao desenvolvimento do canal do alisfenóide e a morfologia do dp4. É apresentado também uma nova diagnose do gênero Echimys e um refinamento da diagnose dos gêneros Makalata.

**PALAVRAS-CHAVE:** Echimyidae, Echimys, equimídeos arborícolas, taxonomia, distribuição.
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APPENDIX

Gazetteer

**Brazil**: Amapá: 1 – rio Amapari. 00°45’N 51°32’W; 2 – Serra do Navio. 00°53’44”N 52°00’08”W; Amazonas: 3 – Virgem Guajará, Amazonas. Not located. Here considered as Guajará. 04°19’44”S 59°42’26”W*1; Maranhão: 4 – Vargem Grande. 03°30’S 43°55’W*2; Pará: 5 – Barreirinhos, rio Tapajós. 04°25’S 56°13’W; 6 – BR-010 km 87-94. *circa*. 02°10’S 47°35’W; 7 – Cametá, rio Tocantins. 02°15’S 49°31’W; 8 – Peixe-Boi. 1°11’32”S 47°18’50”W; 9 – Utinga, Belém (as Belém). 01°26’S 48°29’W; French Guiana: 10 – Cayenne, Guyana Française. 4°56’00”N 52°00’00”W; 11 – rive droite du Petit Saut; Programme Faune Sauvage, Guyane Française. 05°04’N 53°03’W; 12 – Nouragues. 4°05’N 52°40’W*3; Guyana: 13 – Potaro Highlands, 1300ft. 4°25’00”N 58°16’00”N/5°22’00”N 58°54’00”W; 14 – Supinaam river, 1300ft*4. 6°59’00”N 58°31’00”W; Upper County [Sir R. Schomburgh]. Not located; Surinam: Surinam. [Eimbeck]. Not located; 15 – Agricultural Experimental Station (Cultuurtuin), Paramaribo 5°50’00”N 55°10’00”W*5; 16 – Bedoti, south of Gansee on West Bank of Suriname River, locality named as the Brokopondo, Lake, Brokopondo District. 4°13’00”N 55°53’00”W*5; 17 – Groot Henarpolder South east of Nieuw Nickerie, northwestern Nickerie district. 5°52’00”N 56°52’00”W*5; 18 – Republiek, about 35km South of Paramaribo, Para District. 5°30’00”N 55°12’00”W*5; 19 – Zuid River, near Kaysersberg Airstrip, southern Nickerie District 3°20’00”N 56°49’00”W*5.

*1 The specimen MNRJ 67549 was collected in Virgem Guajará, Amazonas by A. Parko, collector number 16, with no further information in the label. A Parko was a professional collector of Museu Nacional, who lived in Borba and Benjamin Constant in Amazonas State, Brazil. A considerable collection of reptiles, amphibians, mammals and insects, was made in both localities and Manaus. The locality Virgem Guajará was not located. However, Julio (2003) records Jauruá near to Borba, where A. Parko has collected 2 males of *Anisocerus stellatus* (Coleoptera; Cerambycidae) in VII.1943, and a large collection of Cerambycidae was collected in Guajará-Mirim. Five localities named Guajará are near to Borba: Guajará 02°38’00”S 57°40’00”W (BR 04; SA21-10); Guajará 04°01’00”S 58°38’36”W (BR 04; SB21-01); Igarape do Guajará 04°19’10”S 59°44’19”W (BR 04; SB21-01); Ilha Guajará 04°19’44’S 59°42’26”W (BR 04; SB21-01); Guajará 05°32’00”S 59°33’00”W (BR 04; SB21-05). Since no other information is available, we arbitrarily choose Guajará (04°07’29”S 58°38’36”W).

*2 Locality taken of Oliveira & Mesquita (1998). Four specimens were collected.

*3 Locality taken of Mauffrey & Catzeflis (1998). Four specimens were collected.

*4 Given in CIA Gazetteer as Supenaam river. See also Thomas (1910) and Wollheim (1983).

*5 Localities taken of Husson (1978), who examined 13 specimens but only eight with skins.