Primary Sjogren’s syndrome and the risk of acute pancreatitis: a nationwide cohort study
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ABSTRACT

Objective Studies on the risk of acute pancreatitis in patients with primary Sjogren’s syndrome (pSS) are limited. We evaluated the effects of pSS on the risk of acute pancreatitis in a nationwide, population-based cohort in Taiwan.

Study design Population-based retrospective cohort study.

Setting We studied the claims data of the >97% Taiwan population from 2002 to 2012.

Participants We identified 9468 patients with pSS by using the catastrophic illness registry of the National Health Insurance Database in Taiwan. We also selected 37872 controls that were randomly frequency matched by age (in 5 year bands), sex and index year from the general population.

Primary outcome measure We analysed the risk of acute pancreatitis by using Cox proportional hazards regression models including sex, age and comorbidities.

Results From 23.74 million people in the cohort, 9468 patients with pSS (87% women, mean age=55.6 years) and 37872 controls were followed-up for 4.64 and 4.74 years, respectively. A total of 44 cases of acute pancreatitis were identified in the pSS cohort versus 105 cases in the non-pSS cohort. Multivariate Cox regression analysis indicated that the incidence rate of acute pancreatitis was significantly higher in the pSS cohort than in the non-pSS cohort. Multivariate Cox regression analysis indicated that the incidence rate of acute pancreatitis was significantly higher in patients with pSS than in the general population.

Conclusion This nationwide, retrospective cohort study demonstrated that the risk of acute pancreatitis was significantly higher in patients with pSS than in the general population.

INTRODUCTION

Sjogren’s syndrome (SS) is a slowly progressive systemic autoimmune disease that may present either alone as primary SS (pSS) or, in association with an underlying autoimmune disease, as secondary SS. Systemic manifestations may result from cutaneous, respiratory, renal, hepatic, neurologic and vascular involvement.¹ However, it mainly affects the salivary and lachrymal glands and leads to keratoconjunctivitis sicca and xerostomia because of focal inflammation.² The pancreas is, in part, an exocrine gland that is functionally and histologically comparable to the salivary glands. Involvement of pancreatic dysfunction in SS has been hypothesised.³ Acute pancreatitis, an inflammatory disorder of the pancreas, is the leading cause of admission for gastrointestinal disorders and may be fatal or lead to severe complications in certain cases. In addition to typical risk factors such as ageing, alcoholism, smoking, gallstone, anatomic abnormalities and metabolic factors, patients with autoimmune diseases have been shown to have a higher risk of autoimmune pancreatitis (AIP).⁴ Many reports have mentioned the association between pSS and AIP.⁵–⁷ In the largest series of patients with pSS (1010 patients), the prevalence of acute pancreatitis was 0.5%.⁸ Despite these case reports and the case series of pSS-related acute pancreatitis,⁹ no cohort study has evaluated the risk of acute pancreatitis in patients with pSS. This risk should be assessed in a large population because of the low incidence rate. Taiwan’s National Health Insurance (NHI), a mandatory universal health insurance...
programme, was began in 1995 and offers comprehensive medical care coverage almost for all Taiwanese residents. The validity of the NHI Database in Taiwan has been evaluated, and research articles have been accepted worldwide for public access.10–12 By using the NHI dataset, we conducted a nationwide cohort study to investigate the risk of acute pancreatitis in patients with pSS and related risk factors.

METHODS
Data source
The cohort from NHI database was analysed in this study. The bureau of NHI in Taiwan maintains a research-oriented database through the Health and Welfare Statistics Application Center (HWSAC) of the Ministry of Health and Welfare; this database includes all the original claims healthcare data of >97% of the entire Taiwanese population (23.74 million people). Comprehensive information on insurants, including demographic data, dates of clinical visits and disease diagnoses, is included in the database. The diagnostic codes used were based on the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM). We studied the data of the Taiwanese population from 2002 to 2012. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Taipei Medical University (approval number: N201509007). As the datasets used in this study consist of de-identified secondary data released to the public for research purpose, no consent was needed for the review by the ethical review board.

Study population and design
In Taiwan, rheumatologists can apply for a catastrophic illness card for any patient with SS who fulfils the criteria of the American–European Consensus Group (AECG) for SS.3 The application of the catastrophic illness card is scrutinised in a peer review process. SS patients with the catastrophic illness card can be exempted from copayment. We used the Registry for Catastrophic Illness Patients in NHI database to identify SS (ICD-9-CM Code 710.2) patients in the claims data, and the first-time SS diagnosis served as the index date from 2002 to 2012. In addition, we excluded patients with comorbidities such as systemic lupus erythematosus, rheumatoid arthritis, scleroderma, polymyositis and dermatomyositis to limit our study sample to pSS. Patients with pSS and comparison controls (non-pSS) were frequency matched at a 1:4 ratio by age (in 5-year bands), sex and index year.

Outcome measures and case identification
The primary outcome was newly diagnosed acute pancreatitis from hospitalisation records. All participants were followed-up from the index date to the date of the primary outcome, withdrawal from the NHI programme, or the end of 2012, whichever came first. We identified patients with a discharge diagnosis of acute pancreatitis (ICD-9-CM Code 577.0 in any position of the five diagnosed codes). To overcome this misclassification bias, we included only patients who had been hospitalised to minimise false positive cases. In studies using the same database, the positive predictive value was high (90%) among randomly selected hospitalised patients coded with acute pancreatitis.11,12

Patients who had been diagnosed with acute pancreatitis before the index date or chronic pancreatitis (ICD-9-CM Code 577.1) and those with incomplete age or sex information were excluded from this study. In Taiwan, the medical reimbursements and discharge notes of acute pancreatitis patients are scrutinised in a peer review process.

Exposure variables
In addition to pSS, demographic characteristics such as sex, age and comorbidities were analysed. Pre-existing comorbidities included diabetes mellitus (ICD-9-CM Code 220), hyperlipidaemia (ICD-9-CM Codes 272.0–272.4), hypertriglyceridaemia (ICD-9-CM Code 272.1), alcoholism (ICD-9-CM Codes 291, 303, 305–305.03, 570.1–570.3), gallstones (ICD-9-CM Codes 574.10 or 574.20), hepatitis B (ICD-9-CM Codes 070.2 or 070.3) and hepatitis C (ICD-9-CM Codes 070.4 or 070.5). Furthermore, we examined the potential effects of common therapies for pSS, including disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs; hydroxychloroquine, sulfasalazine, methotrexate, cyclophosphamide, ciclosporin, mycophenolate mofetil and azathioprine) and steroids. Each medication was assessed as a time-dependent covariate constructed according to the prescription for each month. The drug exposure status was set to 0 if no prescription was filled during the period and set to 1 if at least one prescription was filled during the period. Steroids were analysed as the average daily dose equivalent to prednisolone during the study period.

Statistical analysis
The SAS 9.3 statistical package was used to perform all analyses in this study. We examined differences in continuous variables between the two cohorts by using a Student’s t-test and we examined differences in dichotomous variables of the potential confounders between the two cohorts by using a Pearson $\chi^2$ test.

The incidence rate is expressed per 100 000 person-years. The cumulative incidence of acute pancreatitis was assessed using the Kaplan-Meier estimator, with significance based on the log-rank test. The Cox proportional hazard regression model was used to analyse the risk of acute pancreatitis. Age, sex and baseline comorbidities were adjusted in multivariate analysis. Crude and adjusted HRs are presented along with 95% CIs. Each type of drug was separately analysed as a time-dependent effect in the Cox proportional hazard regression model. The HRs of each type of drug could be explained as follows: in any given month, if a patient used the given type of drug, the risk of acute pancreatitis would averagely increase (HR>1)/decrease (HR<1) compared with a patient who...
did not use the given type of drug. The results of all statistical tests were considered significant if the two-sided $p$ value was $\leq 0.05$.

**RESULTS**

**Baseline characteristics of the study population**

During the study period, a total of 13,673 patients with SS were identified. We excluded 3911 patients with secondary SS, 38 patients with incomplete age or sex information, 59 patients aged $<$18 years, 139 patients with a history of acute pancreatitis and 58 with CP before the enrolment date. In total, 9468 patients with pSS were enrolled. We randomly selected and studied age-matched and sex-matched non-pSS controls with the same exclusion criteria, who were four times the number of patients with pSS (table 1). The mean age of patients with pSS was 55.6 years and the majority (87.23%) was women. The mean follow-up period of pSS and matched cohorts was 4.64 and 4.73 years, respectively. In the pSS cohort, hyperlipidaemia, gallstones and viral hepatitis (B or C) at baseline were more prevalent ($p<0.001$). During the follow-up period, the pSS cohort had a significantly higher incidence of acute pancreatitis (0.46% vs 0.28%; $p=0.005$) and a higher incidence rate of acute pancreatitis (100 vs 58.6 per 100,000 person-years) than the control cohort. Figure 1 shows the Kaplan-Meier analysis, which also revealed a significantly higher cumulative incidence of acute pancreatitis in patients with pSS compared with that in matched controls (log-rank test $p=0.0025$).

**Comorbidities and acute pancreatitis based on univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazard analyses**

The HR of developing acute pancreatitis during the follow-up period was 1.71 (95% CI 1.20 to 2.43) in patients with pSS compared with that in patients with...
After adjustment for patients’ sex, age and other comorbidities, the hazard of developing acute pancreatitis during the follow-up period was 1.48 (95% CI 1.07 to 2.193) times greater in patients with pSS compared with that in patients with non-pSS. This finding suggests that pSS is an independent risk factor for acute pancreatitis. In addition, older age, DM and gallstones increased the risk of acute pancreatitis (aHR 1.61, 2.39 and 5.49, respectively).

**Risk factors for acute pancreatitis in patients with pSS**

In patients with pSS, the univariate Cox regression model revealed that male sex, age more than 65 years, DM, gallstone, daily steroids over 5 mg prednisolone equivalent, and time-dependent DMARDs of hydroxychloroquine and cyclophosphamide were significant factors associated with acute pancreatitis (table 3). The multivariate Cox regression model indicated that statistically significant risk factors for acute pancreatitis included age more than 65 years (aHR 2.92, 95% CI 1.27 to 6.75), gallstones (aHR 5.05, 95% CI 2.10 to 12.16), daily steroids over 5 mg prednisolone equivalent (aHR 7.66, 95% CI 3.71 to 15.84) and cyclophosphamide use (aHR 5.27, 95% CI 1.16 to 23.86), whereas hydroxychloroquine use reduced the risk (aHR 0.23, 95% CI 0.09 to 0.55).

**DISCUSSION**

This nationwide, population-based study in Taiwan demonstrated that 9468 patients with pSS had a significantly higher risk of acute pancreatitis compared with the risk in 37872 matched controls, with an aHR of 1.48 after adjustment for age, sex and comorbidities. The incidence rate of acute pancreatitis in patients with pSS was 100.05 per 100,000 person-years. The risk factors for acute pancreatitis included age more than 65 years, gallstones, daily steroids over 5 mg prednisolone equivalent, cyclophosphamide use and no hydroxychloroquine use.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first nationwide population-based cohort study that has demonstrated that patients with SS have an increased risk of acute pancreatitis. The validity of this study is supported by the stringent study design. First, patients with catastrophic illness certification for pSS can be exempted from copayment in the NHI system. The verification requires fulfilment of the AECG criteria after peer review. We also excluded patients diagnosed with other autoimmune diseases. Thus, we believe that our pSS cohort is exhaustive and reliable. Second, this national large cohort was less vulnerable to selection bias and was suitable for studying rare complications and related risk factors.
### Table 2: Cox regression analysis for the risk of acute pancreatitis

| Variable                        | Univariate analysis |          |          | Multivariate analysis* |          |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|----------|----------|------------------------|----------|
|                                 | HR (95% CI)         | p Value  | HR (95% CI) | p Value               |          |
| Primary Sjogren’s syndrome      | 1.71 (1.20 to 2.43) | 0.003    | 1.48 (1.03 to 2.12) | 0.034             |          |
| Sex (male)                     | 1.78 (1.21 to 2.63) | 0.004    | 1.42 (0.96 to 2.12) | 0.083             |          |
| Age groups, years (reference: age≤50) |          |          |          |                        |          |
| 51–65                           | 1.93 (1.20 to 3.12) | 0.007    | 1.61 (0.99 to 2.12) | 0.055             |          |
| >65                             | 4.01 (2.54 to 6.31) | <0.001   | 2.90 (1.81 to 4.67) | <0.001            |          |
| Baseline comorbidity            |                     |          |          |                        |          |
| Diabetes mellitus               | 3.30 (2.30 to 4.73) | <0.001   | 2.39 (1.65 to 3.46) | <0.001            |          |
| Gallstone                      | 7.78 (4.56 to 13.27)| <0.001   | 5.49 (3.19 to 9.46) | <0.001            |          |
| Hepatitis B                    | 2.27 (0.93 to 5.53) | 0.072    | 1.84 (0.74 to 4.54) | 0.189            |          |
| Hepatitis C                    | 3.39 (1.59 to 7.25) | 0.002    | 2.06 (0.95 to 4.51) | 0.069            |          |
| Hyperlipidaemia                | 1.83 (1.22 to 2.75) | 0.004    | –        | –                     |          |
| Hypertriglyceridaemia          | 1.34 (0.19 to 9.56) | 0.085    | –        | –                     |          |
| No of comorbidities            |                     |          |          |                        |          |
| 0                               |                     |          |          |                        |          |
| 1                               | 2.51 (1.72 to 3.68) |          |          |                        |          |
| ≥2                              | 4.97 (3.24 to 7.65) |          |          |                        |          |

*All variables, except number of comorbidities, were selected using stepwise Cox regression analysis with entry and retention criteria at p≤0.2 in multivariate analysis.

### Table 3: Cox regression analysis for the risk of acute pancreatitis among patients with primary Sjogren’s syndrome

| Variable                        | Univariate analysis |          |          | Multivariate analysis* |          |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|----------|----------|------------------------|----------|
|                                 | HR (95% CI)         | p Value  | HR (95% CI) | p Value               |          |
| Sex (male)                     | 2.44 (1.26 to 4.74) | 0.009    | 1.64 (0.82 to 3.26) | 0.161             |          |
| Age groups, years (reference: age≤50) |          |          |          |                        |          |
| 51–65                           | 1.42 (0.58 to 3.48) | 0.441    | 1.17 (0.47 to 2.90) | 0.739             |          |
| >65                             | 4.22 (1.89 to 9.39) | <0.001   | 2.92 (1.27 to 6.75) | 0.012            |          |
| Baseline comorbidity            |                     |          |          |                        |          |
| Diabetes mellitus               | 2.10 (1.01 to 4.37) | 0.047    | 1.47 (0.70 to 3.12) | 0.313            |          |
| Gallstone                      | 5.60 (2.37 to 13.24)| <0.001   | 5.05 (2.10 to 12.16) | <0.001            |          |
| Hepatitis B                    | 2.28 (0.71 to 7.37) | 0.168    | –        | –                     |          |
| Hepatitis C                    | 2.21 (0.79 to 6.20) | 0.130    | –        | –                     |          |
| Hyperlipidaemia                | 1.10 (0.49 to 2.47) | 0.817    | –        | –                     |          |
| Hypertriglyceridaemia          | NA                  | NA       | –        | –                     |          |
| Steroid >5mg orally (equal to prednisolone) | 6.99 (3.53 to 13.88) | <0.001   | 7.66 (3.71 to 15.84) | <0.001            |          |
| Time-dependent drug effect      |                     |          |          |                        |          |
| Hydroxychloroquine             | 0.26 (0.11 to 0.62) | 0.002    | 0.23 (0.09 to 0.55) | 0.001            |          |
| Cyclophosphamide               | 9.61 (2.26 to 39.27)| 0.002    | 5.27 (1.16 to 23.86) | 0.031            |          |
| Azathioprine                   | 1.88 (0.45 to 7.78) | 0.384    | –        | –                     |          |
| Ciclosporin                    | NA                  | NA       | –        | –                     |          |
| Sulfasalazine                  | NA                  | NA       | –        | –                     |          |
| Methotrexate                   | NA                  | NA       | –        | –                     |          |
| Mycophenolate mofetil          | NA                  | NA       | –        | –                     |          |

*Age groups, sex and other variables with p<0.05 were selected in multivariate analysis. NA, not available (did not converge).
The prevalence rate of acute pancreatitis in our pSS cohort was 0.46%, which is similar to the result obtained in a cohort study in Spain. The largest case series reported five cases of pSS-related acute pancreatitis among 1010 patients with pSS (0.5%). Furthermore, our study revealed that the pSS cohort had a significantly higher risk of acute pancreatitis than age-matched and sex-matched controls. Comorbidities such as alcoholism, DM, hepatitis B, hepatitis C and hyperlipidaemia had significantly higher prevalence rates in our pSS cohort. However, we believe the higher rates of these comorbidities might due to the characteristics associated with patients with pSS or, more likely, a higher diagnosis rate caused by the higher medical usage rate in the pSS cohort. Moreover, our conservative analysis revealed pSS to be a significant independent risk factor for acute pancreatitis after correcting for these comorbidities.

The risk factors for older age and gallstone were common between our pSS cohort and the general population. In addition, we found that medication use was associated with acute pancreatitis in patients with pSS. Limited studies have examined the association between steroids or DMARDs and acute pancreatitis. Immunosuppressants such as azathioprine and ciclosporin have been implicated as causes of pancreatitis in several case reports. Badalov et al found that cyclophosphamide use was associated with acute pancreatitis, which was also observed in our pSS cohort. In this claims-based study, it was unclear whether cyclophosphamide increased the risk through drug toxicity or was a marker of systemic manifestations in the pSS cohort. However, autoimmune-related inflammation was suspected on the basis of the association with higher daily steroid use and no HCQ use. A similar finding was obtained for SLE-related acute pancreatitis and AIP. AIP was found to be associated with autoimmune diseases (SS, rheumatoid arthritis, primary sclerosing cholangitis and inflammatory bowel disease). Vascular damage, including vasculitis, intimal thickening, immune complex deposition and occlusion of arteries and arterioles; autoantibody production and abnormal cellular immune response may be responsible for the development of pancreatitis. Patients with a higher daily steroid dose and cyclophosphamide therapy and without HCQ use might have a higher risk of autoimmune-related pancreatitis.

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) is associated with both SS and acute pancreatitis and might be an important confounder in our study. Furthermore, patients with HCV should be excluded according to the 2002 AECG criteria. However, the pathogenesis of the association between SS and HCV is not fully known and whether the sicca syndrome in patients with HCV is due to pSS, secondary SS or only SS-like symptoms remains controversial. Moreover, neither correcting HCV in the multivariate Cox model nor the analysis after excluding patients with pSS with prior HCV and their matched controls resulted in different outcome. Thus, the initial study design was not altered and HCV was not excluded. Our study has clinical implications. First, acute pancreatitis is a rare complication among patients with pSS and should be considered one of the differential diagnoses of abdominal pain. Second, without using hydroxychloroquine might be considered as a risk factor, particularly among those with higher daily steroid use or cyclophosphamide treatment. Administrative databases enable population-based epidemiological studies; however, limitations exist. First, some data are unavailable in this claims-based dataset. Data on alcohol intake and smoking, the major risk factors of acute pancreatitis, were unavailable in our study. We still included ‘alcoholism’ in our study, which was defined as the presence of related ICD-9CM codes prior to the diagnosis of pSS. Unlike other comorbidities, alcoholism was easily underestimated because we could only identify those went to a doctor. Thus, we believed that the coding of alcoholism was influenced by the medical use, which resulted in a significant lower rate of alcoholism in the control cohort. Moreover, no reports have mentioned the relationship between pSS and alcoholism. Dryness of the oral mucosa in pSS can result in alcohol intolerance. However, we do not know whether average alcohol consumption decreased after the onset of dry mouth. Furthermore, because of the lack of data on the severity of pSS, laboratory results and indications for medication use, we could not determine the mechanism of pancreatitis. Second, IgG4-related disease may involve salivary and lacrimal glands and AIP. However, in the National Health Insurance Research Database (NHIRD), the certification of pSS requires a positive anti-Ro or/and anti-La antibodies or a positive lip biopsy. In addition, the observed lower risk in those using HCQ, which is not beneficial to IgG4-related disease, also implied the limited effect of IgG4-related disease in our study.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that patients with pSS had a higher risk of acute pancreatitis, and the magnitude of hazard in the pSS-affected population was 48% higher than that in the non-pSS population. On the basis of these findings, acute pancreatitis should be considered one of the differential diagnoses when related symptoms present.
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