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Abstract

Handwritten character recognition is attaining popularity due to its potential application areas which would reduce the task of data entry and save the time. Design of Devnagari handwritten word recognition poses a challenge to the researchers due to the variable size of character, various writing styles & acquisition device used and many other factors. The large character set of 34 consonants and 18 vowels with attached modifiers makes the Devnagari character recognition very challenging. This paper proposes an effective method for recognition of isolated Marathi handwritten word for Devnagari script. Handwritten word recognition method is composed of three main phases such as Segmentation, Feature extraction and classification. In first phase, input image is preprocessed using Gaussian filter for smoothing and noise removal. Further using thresholding, preprocessed image is segmented with additional morphological operations such as dilation, filling, erosion in order to get finalized segmented image. In second phase, faster and optimized hybrid feature vector of length 91 is presented using combination of geometrical features, regional features, distance transform and gradient features. In third phase, efficient and accurate classifier called Feed Forward Neural Network [FFNN] is presented for online Devnagari handwritten word recognition. This classifier is trained with 91 features of training samples. Here 200 commonly used handwritten words are collected from 50 users with different handwriting styles to create database of 10,000 words. For experimentation, 7500 word samples are used to create 15 dataset out of which 70% samples are used for training, 20% for testing & 10% for validation. Overall recognition accuracy obtained using FFNN classifier is 94.57%.

Introduction:

The Devnagari script originally belongs to Brahmi which is considered purely Indian in nature. The ancient Nagri script gave birth to modern Nagri, Gujarati, Rajasthani, Maithili and Bangla scripts which was stated as Devnagari.
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Devanagari has the most accurate scientific origin and it is used by Sanskrit, Hindi, Marathi and Nepali languages. Marathi is the widely spoken language in Maharashtra and since its script is Devnagari, so it’s the most popular script. Marathi is well known 23 official languages of India and used as co-official language in Maharashtra and Goa states of Western India respectively. Thus research on Devnagari script mainly Marathi language attracts a lot of attention and interest. Any Marathi word can be divided into three Zones i.e. Upper, Middle, Lower Zone. The shirorekha i.e. the header line can be used to separate the Upper Zone and middle Zone. The modifiers can be Upper modifiers or Lower modifiers depending on the position. It consists of basic set of symbols of 34 consonants and 18 vowels, and though Devnagari has a built-in set of symbols for numerals. It consists of basic set of symbols which includes 34 consonants or (‘vyanjan’) and 18 vowels (‘svar’). A syllable (“akshar”) is formed by a vowel alone or any grouping of consonants with a vowel. Some characters have upper and lower modifiers. Obviously, these modifiers make word Recognition with Devnagari script very challenging. [1]

Nowadays it’s easier to input the data by stylus than by keyboard and filling a form is easier with a stylus than with a keyboard since one could directly go to the appropriate field and make the entry. In desktop systems, the stylus could be a very important complement to the keyboard for editing, marking, drawing, etc. As the handwriting recognition technology becomes more established, applications such as longhand note taking in the classroom are going to be more of a reality. Various researches have suggested the different methods and algorithms to recognize characters and also developed related software’s for optical Marathi character recognition. For character recognition various processes have to be performed to achieve good recognition accuracy. Due to increasing demand & use of hand held devices Marathi character and word recognition is becoming more and more important and interesting area. It has been observed that all kinds of structural, topological and statistical data about the characters does not provide a helping hand in the recognition process due to different writing styles and moods of persons at the time of writing.

This paper is particularly focused on domain of handwritten word recognition for Devnagari script. There are different techniques presented for offline handwritten recognition, but very few are presented over online handwritten recognition. Online handwritten character recognition is dynamic and needs immediate and accurate recognition. Therefore online handwritten character recognition becomes most dominating research domain for researchers in recent years. Under real time applications, such techniques required to work accurately, faster and efficiently to provide fruitful information to the end users which heavily depend on such automated tools of handwritten recognition. Online handwritten word recognition is composed of three main phases such as 1) Segmentation 2) Feature Extraction and 3) Classification. For each step different methods and algorithms are used. First phase i.e. segmentation consist of three stages such as image acquisition, image processing and image segmentation. This paper shows the use of efficient Feed forward Neural Networks classifier for recognition of isolated Marathi handwritten words. The performance metrics used for comparative analysis are false positive rate, false negative rate, True positive rate, True negative rate, Recall, Precision, and accuracy. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes survey of related Devnagari handwritten character recognition work. Section 3 describes experimental setup in terms of Data collection and data set creation, preprocessing, Hybrid feature set generation and use of FFNN classifier for Devnagari word recognition and experimental results are discussed in Section 4 and lastly, conclusion is presented in section 5.

**Related work:**

In this section, different methods reported previously in literature by different authors are summarized based on main categories such as segmentation, feature extraction and classification method used.

Anoop Namboodiri presented a method to classify words and a line in an online handwritten document into six major scripts like Arabic, Cyrillic, Devanagari, Han, Hebrew, or Roman. The spatial and temporal features are extracted from the strokes of the words and the proposed system achieved an overall classification accuracy of 87.1% for a data set of 13,379 words [2]. M. Hanmandlu et al., presented Fuzzy logic based method for recognition of handwritten Hindi numerals and characters with 92.67% and 90.65% over all accuracy for Handwritten Devanagari numerals and characters respectively[3]. Satish Kumar et.al. author presented Zenrike moment feature based method for recognition of handwritten Devnagari character using artificial neural network for classification [4][N.Sharma et.al., authors presented handwritten Devanagari characters recognition using five preprocessing stages i.e. size normalization and centering, interpolating missing points, smoothing, slant correction and resampling of points. The directional chain code features extraction and quadratic classifier which yields 80.36 % of overall recognition accuracy.[5]. PrachiMukherji et.al proposed method using basic structural features like endpoint, cross point,
Preprocessing & Segmentation:
Pre-processing is first vital step of any image processing. Use of effective methods in pre-processing and segmentation defines the efficiency and accuracy of handwritten character recognition. During capturing input data by using Digital Tablet, there may be possibility of presence of certain noise and distortions in the input text due to some limitations. The noise or distortions may be irregular size, missing points. To remove these noise and distortions present in the input text pre-processing is used. During pre-processing stage the input image goes through various stages like Gray scale conversion, Image Resizing, Smoothing, Binarization, edge detection Image Denoising and Smoothing. RGB image is required to be converted into gray scale image which is done by using rgb2gray function. The imresize function is used to resize input image to $512 \times 512$ sizes. Further the Gaussian filter is used to enhance its contrast with sigma value equal to 1 with filter size $[2 \ 2]$ and the thresholding method is applied for binarization. These both approaches gives better outputs with less processing time. The performance results such as PSNR, MSE and Mutual information showing very improved quality of preprocessed image as compared to existing solutions.

Segmentation of handwritten word is difficult because of various modifiers may be lower or upper attached with the characters. The image is segmented using dilation, erosion and perimeter detection morphological operations. Characters from segmented image i.e. word are separated using vertical segmentation method and then horizontal segmentation method. For Vertical Character Segmentation white pixel from segmented image are found column wise. If the column having number of white pixels less than or equal to 10 then 0 value is assigned to entire column.
to make sure that it is represented as black, else keep as it is in output image. For Horizontal Character Segmentation white pixel from segmented image row wise are computed. If row contains white pixels then start counting number of rows those having white pixel using count variable. For upper body segmentation, if counts are equal to 10, two black rows after 10th row are inserted to represent upper part of Devnagari word. The lower body segmentation can be done by just applying reverse process on character segmented image.

Feature Extraction:-
Feature extraction is a very important step as the success of a recognition system is always based on feature extraction method. The feature extractor determines which properties of the preprocessed data are most significant and useful in further phases. The accuracy of recognition system is majorly depending on feature extraction phase, types of features and size of features. In proposed research work hybrid efficient, faster and optimized feature vector is used which is combination of geometrical features, regional features, distance transform and gradient features. Total 91 features are extracted which is highest ever as compared to all existing methods for handwritten character recognition. The feature extraction process includes Statistical /Geometric Features, Regional/Structural Features, Gradient Features Extraction and Distance Transform. In addition to this, in existing cases, the time required for extracting the geometrical features is very high; however Universe of discourse is used to speed up the retrieval.

First Statistical/Geometric Features like number of horizontal lines, vertical lines, Right diagonal lines, Left diagonal lines and Normalized Length of all horizontal lines, vertical lines, all right diagonal lines, all left diagonal lines, area of the Skeleton are extracted from Input and formed feature vector GeF. Then Regional/Structural Features like Euler Number, Regional Area, Eccentricity and Orientation are extracted from Input to form feature vector ReF. Gradient Features are extracted in terms of Gradient and Direction. Then mean and standard deviation is applied on gradient and direction to form final 4 features gradient vector called GrF. Distance Transform is extracted from Input by applying mean and standard deviation on distance transform to form final 2 features distance transform vector called DiF. Finally GeF, ReF, GrF and DiF are combined to form 91 feature vectors called CHF.

Feed Forward Neural Network Classifier:-
An artificial neural network is an information processing model which is inspired by the way biological nervous systems, such as the brain which process information. Neural networks are composed of simple elements called as Neurons which operate in parallel. Neurons are similar to the human brain and transport the incoming information on their outgoing connections to the other neurons. A neural network can be trained to perform a particular function by adjusting the values of the connections or weights between elements. Commonly neural networks are adjusted, or trained, so that a particular input leads to a specific target output. In brief, there are a variety of kinds of design and learning techniques that enrich the choices that a user can make.

The FFNN is nothing but biologically motivated approach of classification which is composed of large number of simple processing units organized in layers. Each unit in current layer is connected with all other previous layer units. Every connection may have varying weight or strength; hence there is no possibility of similarity between all connections. Network knowledge is encoded into the weights on such connections. Commonly neural network units are known as nodes. In FFNN, data feeding is done at inputs and then passing through network. This data passing is done layer by layer, until data received at outputs. When FFNN acts as classifier, there is no feedback mechanism among layers. Therefore such classifier is known as feed forward neural network classifier.

An ANN is configured for a specific application, such as pattern recognition or data classification, through a learning process. Learning in biological systems involves variations to the synaptic connections that exist between the neurons. There are two modes of learning i.e. Supervised and Unsupervised. Supervised learning is the system trying to predict results for known examples and is a commonly used training method. It compares its predictions with target answer and "learns" from its mistakes. The data is given to input layer neurons which passes it to next node where the weight or connection, is applied. When the inputs reach the next node, the weights are summed and either intensified or weakened. This continues the predicted output is higher or lower than the actual result in the data, the error is propagated back through the system and the weights are adjusted accordingly. Unsupervised Learning is most effective for describing data rather than predicting it. Unsupervised networks can be used, to identify groups of data and it doesn’t require initial assumptions about what constitutes a group or how many groups there are. The system learns new knowledge by adjusting these connection weights.

The neural network can be assumed to work in two phases, i.e. learning phase and classification phase. The FFNN
uses a supervised learning algorithm. In learning process a pattern is presented at the inputs and then it will be changed in its passage through the layers of the network until it reaches the output layer. For learning three different objects together are selected as: a FFNN which is the classifier, a Pattern i.e. the inputs and Categories nothing but the correct outputs. During the learning phase the weights in the FFNN will be modified in such a way the output unit with the correct category, will have the largest output value. In the classification phase, the weights of the network are fixed. A pattern, presented at the inputs, will be changed from layer to layer until it reaches the output layer by selecting the correct category related with the output unit with largest output value. After training is complete, a test pattern is given to the neural network and the results are compared with the desired result.

Table 1: Neural Network parameter settings.

| Parameters                      | Values                      |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Number of inputs layers Neurons| 91                          |
| Number of hidden layer          | 1                           |
| Number of neurons in hidden layer| 10                         |
| Hidden layer activation function| Log sigmoid transfer function|
| Number of neurons in output layer| 10                         |
| Error function                  | MSE                         |
| Maximum number of epoch         | 1000                        |
| Training algorithm              | Levenberg-Marquardt training algorithm|
| Learning method                 | Competitive Learning        |
| Type of Neural Network          | Feed-forward                |

The table 1 above shows the neural network parameters settings used for the proposed method. These parameters can be changed and the optimum combination is obtained which gives the best results. Results showed that the network with single hidden layer, with 10 neurons, hyperbolic tangent sigmoid transfer function and Levenberg-Marquardt training algorithm proves to be the best. The Levenberg-Marquardt training algorithm requires the least number of epochs for training the network. The Figure 1 below shows the architecture of Feed forward neural networks used in proposed approach.

Results & Discussion:-
For experimentation, Database is formed due to lack of availability of standard database in Devanagari script. From the collected database, 20 dataset are formed to extract the feature set. CHF is optimized hybrid feature set. In order to investigate the effectiveness of the proposed method, experiments were carried out on the Marathi handwritten data set obtained as described in section 2. The results are found to be best for 91 feature vector compared to other
sizes of the dimension under study, namely, 16, 32, 75 and 84. With 91 hybrid feature vector overall recognition rate of 94.57% is achieved using FFNN. In result computation, out of 7500 word images, 20% are used for testing and rest 10% images are used for validation purpose. The results are encouraging and average recognition accuracy of 94.57% is obtained. Percentage accuracy is found as follows:

\[
\text{Precision} = \left( \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \right) \times 100;
\]
\[
\text{Recall} = \left( \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \right) \times 100;
\]
\[
\text{Accuracy} = \left( \frac{TP + TN}{TP + FN + FP + TN} \right) \times 100;
\]

Where, True positive (TP) is the measure of correctly identified words, False positive (FP) is incorrectly identified words, True negative (TN) is correctly rejected words and False negative (FN) is incorrectly rejected words. Any word sample that is to be recognized is preprocessed and extracted Features from this word sample are sent to the Classifier. Three classifiers namely, FFNN, k-nearest neighbor (KNN) and SVM classifier are used to study the recognition accuracy. Out of this FFNN results are summarized in next section. The proposed method performs well and appears promising compared to other methods in the literature. Table 2 below shows the output results & recognition accuracy achieved using FFNN classifier, Below Table 3 shows Summary of No. of epoch’s required and classification details and Figure 2 shows plot of correctly classified & incorrectly classified dataset using FFNN.

### Table 2:- Output results of FFNN classifiers.

| Dataset | FN  | FP  | Precision | Recall | TN  | TP  | Accuracy |
|---------|-----|-----|-----------|--------|-----|-----|----------|
| Dataset 1 | 1.18 | 10.23 | 89.76 | 98.69 | 98.81 | 89.76 | 94.28 |
| Dataset 2 | 0.75 | 6.43 | 93.56 | 99.20 | 99.24 | 93.56 | 96.40 |
| Dataset 3 | 0.57 | 5.18 | 94.81 | 99.39 | 99.42 | 94.81 | 97.11 |
| Dataset 4 | 0.48 | 4.14 | 95.58 | 99.49 | 99.51 | 95.85 | 97.68 |
| Dataset 5 | 1.09 | 8.50 | 93.47 | 99.20 | 99.24 | 93.56 | 96.40 |
| Dataset 6 | 0.39 | 3.39 | 96.60 | 99.39 | 99.42 | 94.81 | 97.11 |
| Dataset 7 | 2.24 | 17.56 | 82.43 | 97.34 | 97.75 | 82.43 | 90.09 |
| Dataset 8 | 1.98 | 15.69 | 84.30 | 97.70 | 98.01 | 84.30 | 91.15 |
| Dataset 9 | 1.22 | 9.48 | 90.51 | 98.66 | 98.77 | 90.51 | 94.64 |
| Dataset 10 | 0.83 | 6.73 | 93.26 | 99.11 | 99.16 | 93.26 | 96.21 |
| Dataset 11 | 2.62 | 21.10 | 78.89 | 97.77 | 97.37 | 78.89 | 88.13 |
| Dataset 12 | 2.31 | 20.31 | 79.68 | 97.17 | 97.68 | 79.68 | 88.68 |
| Dataset 13 | 0.82 | 6.43 | 93.56 | 99.12 | 99.19 | 93.56 | 96.39 |
| Dataset 14 | 0.65 | 5.56 | 94.43 | 99.31 | 99.34 | 94.43 | 96.89 |
| Dataset 15 | 0.48 | 4.11 | 95.88 | 99.50 | 99.51 | 97.70 | 94.57 |

Overall Accuracy 94.57%

### Table 3:- Summary of No. of epoch’s required and classification details.

| Dataset | No. Of epochs Required | Correctly Classified | Incorrectly Classified |
|---------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Dataset 1 | 10 | 89.25 | 10.8 |
| Dataset 2 | 10 | 93.2 | 6.8 |
| Dataset 3 | 12 | 94.8 | 5.2 |
| Dataset 4 | 11 | 95.6 | 4.4 |
| Dataset 5 | 09 | 90 | 10 |
| Dataset 6 | 13 | 96.4 | 3.6 |
| Dataset 7 | 08 | 79.2 | 20.8 |
| Dataset 8 | 08 | 81.6 | 18.4 |
| Dataset 9 | 09 | 88.8 | 11.2 |
| Dataset 10 | 12 | 92.4 | 7.6 |
| Dataset 11 | 08 | 75.49 | 24.5 |
| Dataset 12 | 11 | 78.48 | 21.5 |
| Dataset 13 | 10 | 92.4 | 7.5 |
| Dataset 14 | 12 | 94.04 | 5.95 |
| Dataset 15 | 09 | 95.63 | 4.36 |
Fig. 2: Plot of Correctly classified & incorrectly classified dataset.

Fig 3: Plot of Confusion Matrix for Dataset 1

Classification confusion matrix is plotted using targets and outputs. Targets are the matrix where each column vector contains a single value, with all other elements 0. Outputs is also matrix where each column contains values in the range [0,1]. On the confusion matrix plot, the rows correspond to the predicted class (Output Class), and the columns show the true class (Target Class). The diagonal cells show for how many (and what percentage) of the examples the trained network correctly estimates the classes of observations. That is, it shows what percentage of the true and predicted classes match. The off diagonal cells show where the classifier has made mistakes. The column on the far right of the plot shows the accuracy for each predicted class, while the row at the bottom of the plot displays the accuracy for each true class. The cell in the bottom right of the plot shows the overall accuracy. For example, 16 samples are correctly classified. This corresponds to 6.4% of all 250 samples. Similarly, 23 samples are correctly classified. This corresponds to 9.2% of all samples. Last column of first row shows 94.1% correct classification and 5.9% wrong predictions and so on for remaining classes. Overall, 89.2% of the predictions are correct and 10.8% are wrong classifications.

Figure 4 below, shows the Plot of Receiver operating characteristic for Dataset 1. The ROC, receiver operating characteristic, is a metric used to check the quality of classifiers. For each class of a classifier, roc applies threshold values across the interval [0,1] to outputs. For each threshold, two values are calculated, the True Positive Ratio i.e. the number of outputs greater or equal to the threshold, divided by the number of one targets, and the False Positive
Ratio i.e. the number of outputs less than the threshold, divided by the number of zero targets. Here ROC plots the receiver operating characteristic for each output class. The more each curve hugs the left and top edges of the plot, the better the classification.

![ROC Plot](image1.png)

**Fig.4:** Plot of Receiver operating characteristic for Dataset 1.

Figure 5 shows the Plot of Best validation performance. Figure 6 shows the Plot of Gradient, Mu and validation checks and Figure 7 shows Plot for Training, Validation and Test for dataset 1.

![Best Validation Performance](image2.png)

**Fig.5:** Plot of Best validation performance.

![Gradient, Mu and Validation Checks](image3.png)

**Fig.6:** Plot of Gradient, Mu and validation checks.
Table 4: below, shows the summary of comparatives analysis with exiting system.

Table 4: Summary Comparative analysis with existing System.

| Sr.No. | Method Proposed by | Dataset Size | Features Extracted | Classifiers used | Recognition rate |
|--------|--------------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1.     | Sushma Shelke et. al. [9] | 24,000 (CompoundCharacters) | Pixel density, Euclidian distance, modified wavelet approximation | MLP neural network | 97.95% |
| 2.     | A.N.Holambe[12] | Unknown (Characters) | Statistical, Structural & Global Transformation moments | SVM & K-NN | 96% |
| 3.     | Vijaya R. Pawar[13] | 25,000 (Characters) | Structural features like End Points, Junctions, loops and Statistical Features like aspect ratio | Self-organizing Maps Neural Network | 93% |
| 4.     | Saniya Ansari et al. | 7500 (Words) | Statistical, Structural, Gradient & Distance transform | FFNN | 94.57% |

Conclusion:
Although fully automated on-line handwritten Devnagari word recognition is difficult task to be achieved in the near future. This research, as well as other work in the field of on-line handwritten word recognition, is significant steps towards a completely automatic on-line handwritten Devnagari recognition system. In this paper a method for recognition of isolated Marathi handwritten words is presented. Gradient, distance transform, regional & geometric features were computed and used as features of the images representing handwritten words. Classification was done using FFNN classifier. For computation of recognition accuracy the FN, FP, TN, TP, Precision, Recall are used as important performance parameters. Overall recognition rate of 94.57%, was achieved for FFNN classifiers respectively. The main recognition errors were observed due to abnormal writing and ambiguity among similar shaped words. Future work can include improving the recognition accuracy of the individual words by combining the multiple classifiers. It can be extended for the recognition of words, sentence and documents. This approach can be used in multilingual character recognition as well. Writer adaptation can be incorporated. An extraction time required can be reduced so that overall process will become faster with maximum accuracy.
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