Environmental patterns and biomass distribution of gelatinous macrozooplankton. Three study cases in the South-western Atlantic Ocean
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SUMMARY: Periodic swarms or blooms of gelatinous macrozooplankton have a negative effect on many human activities such as tourism, fisheries, and industry, but for several reasons (sampling procedures, underestimation of their real abundance, etc.), they have often been neglected in the local literature. The “high spatial resolution” exercise of the South-western Atlantic anchovy Engraulis anchoita Recruitment Project (SARP) was therefore also suitable for estimating standing stocks of “jelly” macrozooplankton, attempting to establish particular environmental patterns exerting control on the spatial distribution of these facultative carnivorous predators in coastal frontal environments. These studies were carried out through a sampling programme on board the German R/V “Meteor” in three different systems, convergence and divergent, in the South-western Atlantic Ocean: Region A (42°S) on the Argentine shelf, characterised by tidal mixing fronts; Region B (36°S), the freshwater outflow from Río de la Plata; and Region C (28°S), under upwelling events in subtropical waters on the Brazilian shelf. In general, a dominance of gelatinous macrozooplankton, compared with the other fraction of macrozooplankton and micronekton was observed. Mean standing stock of the gelatinous zooplankton was always greater than 50% of organic carbon (org. C) in every section analysed. The lobate ctenophore Mnemiopsis leidyi dominated the zooplankton biomass in Region A, Argentina. It represented 60% of total org. C and was more abundant at the stratified zone of the front. Ctenophores were also dominant in Region B, Río de la Plata, where the related species Mnemiopsis mccradyi and the cydippid ctenophore Pleurobrachia pileus comprised 81% of total org. C. Mnemiopsis was most common in areas of vertical thermal and saline stratification, while Pleurobrachia was dominant in the less stratified areas. Gelatinous zooplankton was also the principal component of the macrozooplankton biomass in Region C, Brazil. The hydromedusae Rhacostoma atlantica and Olindias sambaquiensis dominated both the total and gelatinous biomass (68% and 7% of total org. C), being always more abundant under lower thermal stratification. It was found that, both in convergent and divergent local systems, gelatinous plankton tended to aggregate in areas where the presence of isolines outcropping to the surface (associated with production processes) was observed. These results are discussed in the context of existing hypotheses regarding ecosystem production and food webs.
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INTRODUCTION

It is well known that gelatinous macrozooplankton (this includes members of the phyla Coelenterata, Ctenophora and Tunicata), reach important concentrations in certain areas of the world. Aggregations and blooms seem to be usual phenomena for “jelly plankton” (Boero, 1991) and their periodic swarms have a noxious effect on many human activities such as tourism, fisheries, and industry (see Mianzan and Cornelius, 1999). Good examples of these kinds of problems include: Pelagia noctiluca stinging swarms in the Mediterranean Sea (Rottini
Sandrini and Stravisi, 1981; Bernard, 1984; Rottini Sandrini and Avian, 1991); Black Sea losses of millions of dollars of pelagic fisheries due to competition and predation by the ctenophore *Mnemiopsis leidyi* (Vinogradov et al., 1989; Zaika and Sergeyeva, 1990; GESAMP, 1997; G.R. Harbison, pers. comm. 1993), and clogging on the refrigeration inlet of power plants in the Baltic Sea due to swarms of *Aurelia aurita* (Möller, 1984).

The above comments also reflect the fact that the gelatinous plankton is well prepared to impact resources of a system under stress conditions, such as anthropogenic processes (overfishing, eutrophication of semi-enclosed areas), natural deviation from normal physical and climatic conditions, etc (Legovic, 1991; Parsons, 1993, 1996; G.R. Harbison, pers. comm. 1993).

The “jelly” plankton is known to generate enormous populations and can even seasonally dominate the zooplankton biomass in bays and enclosed seas (Papathanassiou et al., 1987; Möller, 1978, 1980; Shushkina and Musayeva, 1983). Biomass estimations provide an indirect way to identify production of a population or an ecosystem (Zaika, 1972), even though biomass estimations for “jelly” organism are still lacking for several parts of the world due to several methodological reasons (see Arai, 1988). This gap in knowledge and its consequence makes it hard to define the ecological role of the gelatinous plankton in the processes of energy transfer in the ocean.

Greve and Parsons (1977) and Parsons (1979) suggested two major pathways for the transfer of energy up the food web of the sea. One of these pathways, identified for upwelling (highly productive) ecosystems tends toward food production favouring carnivorous fishes. The other one, for convergent (less productive) ecosystems, tends toward food chains favouring carnivorous jellyfishes (medusae and ctenophores).

Several objections to this hypothesis have been published, based on its generalization (see Arai, 1988; Smayda, 1993) and recently Mills (1995) modified the endpoint of the hypothesis by stating that jellyfishes are present nearly to the same extent in convergent ecosystems as in upwelling ones.

Both hypotheses (Greve and Parsons, 1977; Mills, 1995) refer to ecological rules on a global scale. At meso-scale (100-1000 km), very little is known about jellyfish distribution, since it is mainly affected by physical processes (bottom-up control) such as local upwelling (divergence), river plume fronts, tidal fronts (convergence) (see Arai, 1992). These types of coastal systems are present in the south-western Atlantic Ocean (Bakum and Parrish, 1991).

The “high spatial resolution” exercise of the Southwest Atlantic anchovy *Engraulis anchoita* Recruitment Project (SARP) was therefore suitable for also estimating standing stocks of jelly plankton and provided the first possibility to test the above-mentioned hypotheses. The studies were carried out in three different regions (Fig. 1) in the South-western Atlantic Ocean (Alheit et al., 1991) and comprised:

a- An area in the Argentine shelf, Region A (42°S), characterized by tidal mixing fronts off Península Valdés (Carreto et al., 1986a; Glorioso, 1987).

b- An area under the influence of the Río de la Plata freshwater outflow, in the Argentine-Uruguay shelf, Region B (36°S) (Carreto et al., 1986b; Elgue et al., 1986; Guerrero et al., 1997a,b), and
c- An area characterized by upwelling events in subtropical waters on the Brazilian shelf off Cape Santa Marta Grande, Region C (28°S) (Emilson, 1961; Matsuura, 1986).

The main purpose of this paper is to analyse the biomass of gelatinous, facultative carnivorous predators and the main hydrographic features associated with the study areas, in order to establish environmental patterns exerting control on the spatial distribution of jelly plankton.

**OCEANOGRAPHIC FEATURES AND PRODUCTIVITY OF THE STUDY AREAS**

Longhurst *et al.* (1995) described the Coastal Domain of the South-western Atlantic as one of the most productive regions of the World Ocean. However, within this large ecosystem, productivity is not a homogeneous feature, but is increased locally by several physical processes. The three main scenarios selected are:

Region A: The Patagonian Continental Shelf has high levels of dissipation of tidal energy (Simpson and Bowers, 1981; Glorioso, 1987). The mixing induced by tidal currents contributes to 8.5% of total tidal energy dissipation of the world ocean (Miller, 1966). The tidal front off Península Valdés is a thermal front, observed in spring and summer, that defines the boundary between stratified (offshore) waters and a coastal, vertically mixed body of water. The stratification of shelf waters is induced by surface warming during spring and summer periods,
and the mixing of the coastal water is forced by vertical shear induced by tidal currents at particular topographic shoals south-east and north-east of the peninsula (Carreto et al., 1986a; Glorioso, 1987). Carreto et al. (1986a) demonstrated that this front is highly productive during the spring and summer.

Region B: The Río de la Plata is a coastal plain estuary, draining fresh/brackish water over the continental shelf through a 230 km width open mouth (Punta Rasa, Argentina to Punta del Este, Uruguay). Stratification is consequently controlled by the confluence of a high buoyancy continental discharge advecting offshore, lying on denser shelf water that intrudes into the estuary as a topographically-controlled wedge (Guerrero et al., 1997a). The external limit of the surface salinity front, where horizontal salinity gradients indicate the mixing limit between both systems, is observed in the study area (Guerrero et al., 1997b). Associated with this front, and limited to the upper few meters, a high chlorophyll-a concentration has been reported by the Servicio de Hidrografía Naval (1968), Hubold (1980) and Carreto et al. (1986b). A high-productivity band along the front is maintained by injection of river nutrients and particulate matter from estuarine waters (re-supplied by bottom-up fluxes of shelf water), which is advected as a surface plume over the shelf regime.

Region C: Shelf water off Cape Santa Marta Grande is evenly stratified, with warm saline water at the surface driven by the SW Brazil Current overlying much cooler, fresher, and nutrient-rich subtropical water. The bottom is rather steep and narrow, as the shelf is just slightly over 100 km wide. The summer season is characterised by moderate dominant NE winds that force an upwelling condition at the coast (Matsuura, 1986). Less frequently, a downwelling condition is observed when winds reverse to a SW direction. Under either condition (up- or downwelling), a subsurface chlorophyll-a maximum layer is present at coastal and near-coastal stations. This layer is maintained primarily by bottom-driven turbulence that injects South Atlantic Central Waters, rich in nitrate, to the euphotic zone (Odebrecht and Djurfeldt, 1996).
MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field sampling was carried out on board the German R/V “Meteor” during November-December 1989 (Cruise 11/3). Macroplankton and micronekton were collected using a rectangular midwater trawl (RMT) (2 x 4m, 1mm-mesh size in the cod end) in the three areas from Brazilian, Uruguayan and Argentine Atlantic waters. A total of 70 hauls were made with this gear: 17 in the Argentine Region A, 12 in the Río de la Plata Region B and 41 in the Brazilian Region C (Figure 1). The samples were taken in sections of about 5 stations each. Information about cruise design, station work and hydrographic conditions are given in Nellen (1990) and Alheit et al. (1991). The average filtered volume was 9114.9 m³ (range 2765.7-20989 m³). Many sections were not completed because of bad weather conditions or excessive quantities of jelly plankton. In consequence, only one section in Regions A (Stations 1110-15) and B (Stations 1053-57) and 3 sections (15 stations: 982-996) in Region C were completed, the last being analysed as an average of the three sections. Identification was done “in situ”, sorting, counting and weighting the large-sized material (>1 cm). Small-sized material (<1 cm) was fixed in formalin or alcohol for different purposes and was later analysed in the laboratory. Unidentifiable and damaged specimens were also stored in 4-8% formalin seawater solution for further identification in the laboratory.

For biomass analysis we followed the three categories used by Larson (1986a): gelatinous (Hydromedusae, Scyphemomedusae, Siphonophora, Ctenophora and Salps); semigelatinous (Tomopteridae, Pteropoda, Heteropoda and Chaetognatha) and non-gelatinous (Euphausiida, Stomatopoda, Caprellida, other Decapoda, Cephalopoda and Pisces). Standing stock was estimated by converting wet weight (ww) into org. C using the converting factors of Omori (1969), Baker (1973), Larson (1986a,b) and Schneider (1989). The results are expressed as mg org. C per 100 m³, to make them comparable with previous estimates of medusa biomass (Hay et al., 1990). Identification of gelatinous taxa is based on Mayer (1912), Kramp (1961), Alvariño (1981), Alvariño et al. (1990), Esnal (1981), Larson (1986b), Harbison (1986), Mianzan (1986a,b; 1989a; 1999) and Mianzan et al. (1988).

Temperature and salinity data were collected with a Mark III and a Smart Neil Brown CTDs (the last instrument used in the Brazil Region only) leading to a final vertical resolution of 1m. A total of 239 vertical profiles were obtained: 45, 107 and 67 profiles in Regions A, B and C respectively (Alheit et al. 1991). The CTDs performed at each mid-water trawl (RMT) station were arranged along sections and were correlated with the associated biomass distribution. Temperature was used for describing the coastal front systems (off Brazil and Argentina) as this parameter determines the density stratification (Matsuura, 1986; Glorioso, 1987). Salinity was the parameter used for the estuarine system, as it controls density distribution (Guerrero, et al. 1997a).

RESULTS

In general, dominance by gelatinous macrozooplankton, compared with the other fraction of macrozooplankton and micronekton, was observed. Although specific composition was different for the three regions, mean standing stock of the gelatinous organisms was always greater than 50% of org. C along every section analysed.

The lobate ctenophora Mnemiopsis leidyi A. Agassiz, 1865, dominated the zooplankton biomass in the tidal front off Península Valdés (Region A,
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The ctenophores were also dominant in the surface salinity front (Region B, Río de la Plata) in the northern section. The lobate *Mnemiopsis mccradyi* Mayer, 1900 and the cydippid *Pleurobrachia pileus* comprised 40% and 41% of total org. C (Fig. 3a) and reached maximum values of 35.25 and 42.9 mg org. C per 100 m$^3$ respectively. The presence of maximum concentrations of *Mnemiopsis mccradyi* was related to those stations located at the surface outcropping of the halocline (in the surface salinity front: St. 1053 and 1056), while *Pleurobrachia pileus* and the salp *Iasis zonaria* (Pallas, 1774) were dominant at the station 1057 that defines the outer limit of this outcropping (Figs. 3b, 3c). Another gelatinous member rarely collected, the salp *Ildea magellanica* (Apstein, 1894), was also found here.

Horizontal salinity gradients of 0.1 psu/km and vertical gradients greater than 0.5 psu/m indicate, as expected, that salinity distribution controlled total stratification, even though a strong vertical temperature gradient was present (0.5°C/m). Horizontal temperature gradients were 0.64°C/10km (Fig. 3c).

Gelatinous zooplankton was also the main component of the macrozooplankton biomass in the upwelling at Cape Santa Marta Grande (Region C, Brazil). In this case, the hydromedusae *Rhachotomyton atlanticus* L. Agassiz, 1850 and *Oliniopsis sambauensis* Müller, 1861, dominated the total biomass (68% and 7% of total org. C respectively) and reached very high biomass values. These values are one order of magnitude higher than in the other Regions (averages values 335 and 39 mg org. C per 100 m$^3$; maximum values: 572 and 80 mg org. C per 100 m$^3$ respectively). These two species were aggregated at coastal stations, where the 22° and 23°C isotherms intersect the surface (Figs. 4b and 4c). These stations also showed the coolest temperature and shallowest thermoclines of the section. Calycophoran siphonophores *Sulculeolaria quadrivalvius* Blainville, 1834, *S. monoica* (Sars, 1846), *Lensia subtilis* (Chun, 1886), *Lensia hardy* Totton, 1941, *Agalma elegans* (Sars, 1846), *Diphes bojani* (Eschscholtz, 1829), *D. dispar* (Chamisso and Eisenhardt, 1821), *Diphyopsis mitra* (Huxley, 1859), *Chelophyes appendiculata* (Eschscholtz, 1829), *Maggiaeia kochi* (Will, 1844), *Abylopsis eschscholtzi* (Huxley, 1859), *A. tetragonata* (Otto, 1886).
1823), *Bassia bassensis* (Quoy and Gaimard, 1833), and *Enneagonum hyalinum* Quoy and Gaimard, 1827 and the salps *Thalia democratica* (Forskål, 1775), *Salpa maxima* Forskål, 1775 and *S. fusiformis* Cuvier 1804 were the most abundant quantitatively, but their total biomass only reached 4%. The scyphomedusae *Aurelia aurita* (Linné, 1758) and *Pelagia noctiluca* (Forskål, 1775) contributed a further 2% to the total org. C. Other organisms collected in very small quantities were the ctenophores *Beroe ovata* Chamiso and Eysenhardt, 1821, *Cestum veneris* Lesueur, 1813, *Pleurobrachia pileus* and *Mnemiopsis mccradyi*, and the floating atecate hydroid *Velella velella* (Linné, 1758). Among the semi-gelatinous species, chaetognaths and pteropods were found. In the non-gelatinous category, we found stomatopod and caprellids crustaceans, pisces *E. anchoita* larvae, and the cephalopod *Argonauta* sp.

Along each section a different degree of stratification was observed. The stronger horizontal changes in the parameters considered here, off Peninsula Valdés (Region A, Argentina) and at the Río de la Plata (Region B, Argentina-Uruguay), define the tidal and estuarine fronts respectively at the outcropping of the isolines (Figs. 2c and 3c). The upwelling at Cape Santa Marta Grande (Region C, Brazil) exhibits a relatively weaker front at the coastal stations (Fig. 4c). The tidal front off Peninsula Valdés was the only system with a purely homogeneous water column. The maximum biomass of *Mnemiopsis leidyi* was observed in the deeper station with the maximum stratification and a second biomass maximum was located at a frontal station. At the Río de la Plata estuary, the front is situated between Stations 1056 and 1057, associated with the maximum aggregation of *Mnemiopsis mccradyi* and *Pleurobrachia pileus*. The Brazilian Region showed a regime going from total to partial stratification at the coast. For all three sections performed, those stations with a relative maximum in hydromedusan biomass were situated at minimum stratification stations (onshore), where the isotherms outcrop to the surface.

**DISCUSSION**

Ctenophores and hydromedusae were found to dominate the gelatinous as well as total macroplanktonic biomass within the three hydrographic systems analysed here.

Most previous papers stating that certain species of the gelatinous community reach important biomass are referring to scyphomedusae, usually having high individual weights. Shenker (1984) found that swarms of *Chrysaora fuscescens* Brandt, 1835 off the coast of Oregon contained at least 80% as much carbon as the densest concentration of copepods there. In the fall, *Aurelia aurita* has been found to reach a wet weight 20 times greater than that of the entire remaining plankton in the Black Sea (prior to the arrival of *Mnemiopsis* there) (Shushkina and Musayeva, 1983). However, biomass estimations of hydromedusae and moreover of Ctenophora are less abundant, and reference to their biomass contribution is sometimes underestimated.

In the tidal front off Peninsula Valdés (Region A, Argentina), the ctenophore *Mnemiopsis leidyi* dominated the total biomass, although the values are small in comparison with others reported from the same location and cruise. As previously reported by Alheit et al. (1991) using a BIOMOC sampler, this species reached 20 times greater biomass (expressed...
as volume) in the stratified zone of a southern section. The RMT sampler was not used in areas with great concentrations of ctenophores due to its possible loss, so only the northern section (Stations 1110-15) was completed. There, the population of this ctenophore was in a juvenile stage (individuals of about 1 cm length) and we can assume that we sampled during the initial steps of development of the population. In consequence, a much higher gelatinous biomass should be expected within a short time. In the Azov Sea (Volovik et al., 1993) similar values for *Mnemiopsis* during the beginning of a bloom were reported (10.5 mg C org. per 100 m³).

In the river plume front of the Río de la Plata (Region B), gelatinous plankton also dominated the biomass and the ctenophore *Mnemiopsis mccradyi* was responsible for the aggregations observed. In the Río de la Plata area, aggregations of jelly plankton were also reported to dominate gelatinous and total biomass in Samborombón Bay (36°S, 57°W). This ctenophore and the hydromedusa *Liriope tetraphylla* (Chamisso and Eysenhardt, 1821) peaked during spring and summer respectively, and represented 32% and 11% of the total biomass (15 and 4 mg org. C m⁻³) (Sorarrain, 1998). This hydromedusa was not collected in significative numbers in the present study, probably because of its small number during this season and also due to the gear employed. *Pleurobrachia pileus*, another important component of the studied community, is more oceanic. It was found in association with several salps, usually in the Malvinas Current. A related species *Pleurobrachia bachei*, also reaching important biomass ranked first in Saanich Inlet, British Columbia (Larson, 1986c).

Off Cape Santa Marta Grande (Region C, Brazil) two hydromedusae were significantly abundant. *Rhacostoma atlantica* is a common neritic and mesopelagic species, well documented for the South-western Atlantic Ocean (Bouillon, 1999). *Olinias sambaquensis*, also a neritic species, affects summer recreational activities on the Argentine coast (Mianzan, 1989b; Mianzan and Ramírez, 1996). High concentrations of both of these species have not been reported previously for these waters, even though it has been established that offshore winds increase the probability of finding *Olinias* on the beaches, giving upwelling condition (Mianzan and Zamponi, 1988).

According to Arai (1992), aggregations of hydromedusae are due to a combination of the effects of physical forces and behavioural responses, being often difficult to distinguish between passive and active factors. A passive factor contributing to gelatinous aggregation in Region A (tidal front) and B (Río de la Plata estuary) is the vertical stratification of the water column. In Region C (Cape Santa Marta Grande), aggregation observed in the less-stratified sector seems to be controlled by the upwelling of deeper offshore waters driven by NE winds. A common feature found in all three systems was the presence of isolines emerging to the surface. This outcropping indicates a break of the stratification and the formation of a front that separates a stratified regime from a homogeneous one. These fronts are associated with the convergence of waters and accumulation of particulate matter and planktonic organisms (see Bowman and Esaias, 1977). Intensification of mixing between both regimes also characterizes the frontal area, as does a vertical enhancement of nutrient flux and increasing of primary productivity (Pingree et al., 1978; Kiørboe, 1993; Odebrecht and Djurfeldt, 1996).

There is a general lack of information on trophic processes in the study area. However, in terms of some active factors, available literature on spatial distribution of phyto-, micro- and mesozooplankton permits us to infer that feeding requirement of gelatinous plankton could be fulfilled in each of the three hydrographic systems under study.

The tidal front is the main feature analysed in Region A, Argentina. The stratified zone of the front is dominated by the dinoflagellate *Alexandrium tamarense* (= *Gonyaulax excavata* (Braarud)), whereas the homogeneous sector was dominated by chain-forming diatoms (Carreto et al., 1986a). The horizontal distribution of microzooplankton (mainly copepods eggs and nauplii) showed the highest values of abundance at the transitional zone, in coincidence with the maximum values of chlorophyll-a (Viñas and Ramírez, 1996). Mesozooplankton (e.g. copepods, mainly calanoids) has its maximum values in the stratified zone (Mohlenkamp, 1996). *Mnemiopsis leidyi* was found in the stratified zone of the front, in agreement with the hypothesis of Greve and Parsons (1977).

The Río de la Plata surface salinity front (Region B) showed a maximum of chlorophyll-a (Carreto et al., 1986b). According to Gayoso (1996), dinoflagellates and coccolithophores dominated the phytoplankton assemblages in the outer area. Information on micro- and mesozooplankton of the area is scarce. Sorarrain (1998) found that *Mnemiopsis mccradyi* peaked in concordance with the increase
of *Acartia tonsa*, the dominant copepod species within Samborombón Bay. *Mnemiopsis* spp. elsewhere also show a strong association with warm temperatures and waters dominated by the copepod *Acartia tonsa*, a fast-growing, ubiquitous, small-sized species typical of warm coastal environments (Kremer, 1994). Ctenophores are known to swarm in localised patches elsewhere, and it has been postulated that they may be dependent on dense aggregations of prey to support high metabolic demands (Larson, 1987). Mianzan and Sabatini (1985) established that the *Mnemiopsis* peaks observed at Blanca Bay (39°S, 61°W) were related to food availability. Modelling studies seem to support this statement, having shown that food availability is the key factor in determining maximum ctenophore biomass (Kremer, 1994). A trophic pathway ending in gelatinous plankton corresponds to patterns proposed by Greve and Parsons (1977).

In Region C (Brazil), analysis of material from the same cruise as studied here states that primary production is very high at the on-shore stations, during both, upwelling and downwelling conditions. This production is sustained by large diatom chains generating the most important phytoplankton biomass along the section (Odebretch and Djurfeldt, 1996). Matsuura and Kitahara (1995) stated that eggs and small larvae of the anchovy *Engraulis anchoita* were found predominantly in warm, nearshore waters above the thermocline. The species of hydromedusae that dominated the biomass in this area, *Rhacostoma atlantica* and *Olindias sam-baquiensis*, are active predators. *Olindias* in particular is capable of consuming fishes as big as its bell diameter (Zamponi and Mianzan, 1985) and a species related to *Rhacostoma*, *Aequorea* sp., includes eggs and fish larvae in its diet (see Alvarino, 1985; Purcell, 1989). During upwelling conditions, it is probably not by chance that these two species are advected to the coast by wind-induced currents (Mianzan and Zamponi, 1988), as their shapes resemble a parachute that undoubtedly contributes to such movement. It is also possible that they were neglected in previous works because the gear employed to collect plankton (Bongo net, Nackthai, multinet, etc) is often inappropriate for sampling very large hydromedusae.

Higher jellyfish biomasses were found in the tidal front off Península Valdés and the Río de la Plata outflow, as well as in the upwelling of Cape Santa Marta Grande, so when one compares different kinds of systems (convergent and divergent areas), this results seems to be collectively coincident with Mills (1995). However, when one considers each of the systems separately, the trophic pathways proposed by Greve and Parsons (1977) seem to be observed in each of them. The spatial distribution of gelatinous plankton biomass analysed along sections for the three areas was not homogeneous, as jellyfishes and ctenophores tended to aggregate in particular areas of each hydrographic feature. These areas of aggregation corresponded mainly to the presence of isolines outcropping to the surface, where higher production processes occurred, satisfying metabolic requirements of the aggregation of gelatinous populations maintained there.

As a general conclusion, it is suggested that local environmental patterns exert control on the distribution of biomass of gelatinous predators. Consequently it is suggested that further work on vertical distribution and succession patterns, as well as better spatial coverage of the sections of the different regimes, is needed in order to properly assess those hydrographic features.
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