IRF3-dependent Type I Interferon Response in B Cells Regulates CpG-mediated Antibody Production*  

Received for publication, June 11, 2007, and in revised form, October 9, 2007. Published, JBC Papers in Press, October 9, 2007. DOI 10.1074/jbc.M704755200

Gagik Oganesyan1‡§1,2, Supriya K. Saha1‡§1,2, Eric M. Pietras1, Beichu Guo1, Andrea K. Miyahira1, Brian Zarnegar1, and Genhong Cheng1‡¶

From the 1Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Molecular Genetics, 2Medical Scientist Training Program, 3Molecular Biology Institute, and 4Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90095

Hypomethylated CpG oligonucleotides (CpG) are not only potent adjuvants for enhancing adaptive immune responses but may also play a critical role in the development of autoimmune diseases such as Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) and Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE). Here we provide evidence that, in addition to dendritic cells, murine B lymphocytes also exhibit a type I IFN response to CpG-B. Unlike dendritic cells, B cell-mediated type I IFN induction depended on the transcription factor IRF3, but similar to dendritic cells this pathway was independent of the IRF3 kinase TBK1. Utilizing type I IFN receptor-deficient mice, we were able to demonstrate that this IFN pathway enhanced Syndecan-1 expression and IgM production and was required for IgG2a production following CpG-B stimulation. Overall, our findings identify a unique IFN pathway in B cells that may play a central role in mediating B cell biology in response to CpG, potentially implicating this pathway in autoantibody production and the pathogenesis of certain autoimmune diseases.

CpG oligonucleotides are adjuvants that potently enhance Th1 responses with the production of antigen-specific IgG2a, while inhibiting IgE synthesis when injected into mice (1–4). Endogenous and bacterial CpG motifs are also emerging as likely inducers of autoimmunity in diseases such as Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE)4 and Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) (5–10). Mice injected with CpG in a murine model of SLE have significantly elevated anti-DNA antibodies (11). Furthermore, rheumatoid factor-producing B cells are activated by CpG motifs in endogenous DNA when it forms immune complexes with autologous IgG2a in the circulation of autoimmune mice (12, 13). The ability of CpG to directly activate B cells has been well-characterized as it is one of the most potent B cell mitogens known. CpG stimulates plasma cell differentiation of naïve and memory B cells and can synergize with B cell receptor cross-linking to dramatically enhance B cell proliferation (14, 15). CpG can also directly induce the production of IgG2a, a predominant isotype of autoantibodies in murine models of SLE, without T-cell help (16–18). Nevertheless, the signaling pathways responsible for CpG-mediated IgG2a production are not yet fully understood.

The signaling pathways activated by CpG and other pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) have been the focus of extensive study in recent years. CpG motifs present in microbial genomes as well as in host chromatin immune complexes are recognized by Toll-like receptor 9 (TLR9) (19), while lipopolysaccharide (LPS) from Gram-negative bacteria is recognized by TLR4 (20, 21). LPS activates type I IFN pathway through a signal transduction pathway that is dependent on MyD88 (22, 23), while only LPS can activate a type I IFN response (24). On the other hand, CpG can induce a strong type I IFN response in dendritic cells, especially in a small subset of dendritic cells known as plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs). LPS activates type I IFN pathway through a MyD88-independent cascade involving TRIF, TBK1, and the transcription factor IRF3, while TLR9 ligation in pDCs induces type I IFN production in a manner that depends on both MyD88 and IRF7 but does not require IRF3 (25). Once produced, type I IFNs play an important role in both innate and adaptive immune responses (26–28). In addition to their beneficial role during viral infections, type I IFNs have been suggested to play a central role in the development of SLE in humans and in murine models (29, 30). Indeed, type I IFN therapy precipitates autoantibody production and SLE-like symptoms in some individuals, suggesting that these IFNs may contribute to the activation of autoreactive B cells (31). In this report we show that B cells exhibit a type I IFN response to CpG in an IRF3-dependent manner, unlike dendritic cells. This type I interferon response enhanced Syndecan-1 expression, IgM production, and was required for CpG-mediated IgG2a production.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**  
Mice—57BL/6 (Jackson Laboratories, Bar Harbor, ME) mice aged 6–12 weeks were used as wild-type controls in all experiments, unless noted. MyD88−/− and Irf3−/− mouse lines were
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on a C57Bl/6 background. Tnfr−/−, Tbk1−/− mice were described previously (32). To obtain Ifnar−/− matched littermate wild-type controls on a C57Bl/6 genetic background, A129 mice (B&K Universal Limited, Hull, England) were backcrossed with C57BL/6 (Jackson Laboratories) mice for 5 generations. Cells from F5 C57Bl/6 littermate wild-type mice were used as wild-type controls for experiments using cells from F5 C57Bl/6 Ifnar−/− mice. All mice were maintained and bred under SPF conditions in the UCLA-DLAM mouse facility and experiments were conducted within the parameters of our approved protocol (Los Angeles, CA).

**Cell Cultures**—To obtain highly pure naive B cells, total splenocytes were stained with a biotin-conjugated anti-CD43 antibody (PharMingen) followed by streptavidin-conjugated magnetic microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec, Auburn, CA) and passed through a negative selection magnetic sorting column (Miltenyi Biotec). Unbound cells were collected as purified resting B cells, which were over 98% pure B220+ CD11c− B cells. B cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 media supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, 50 μg/ml β-mercaptoethanol, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen) at 37 °C under 10% CO2. Murine bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMMs) were differentiated from adherent bone marrow cells. Briefly, bone marrow cells were isolated and maintained in macrophage differentiating media (1× Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Mediatech Inc., Herndon VA), 10% fetal bovine serum, penicillin (100 units/ml), streptomycin (100 μg/ml), and 30% L929 conditioned medium) for 7 days. Murine bone marrow-derived dendritic cells (mDCs) were differentiated from non-adherent bone marrow cells. Briefly, bone marrow cells were isolated and maintained in dendritic cell differentiating media (1× RPMI (Mediatech Inc., Herndon VA), 10% fetal bovine serum, penicillin (100 units/ml), streptomycin (100 μg/ml), and GM-CSF (10 ng/ml)) for 6 days, during which time the media was changed every 2 days. To obtain Flt-3 DCs (pDC), bone marrow cells were isolated and differentiated in RPMI (Mediatech Inc., Herndon VA), 10% fetal bovine serum, penicillin (100 units/ml), streptomycin (100 μg/ml), 2-mercaptoethanol, and 100 ng/ml murine Flt3-ligand (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) for 7 days prior to stimulation. Media was replaced 4 days into the 7-day-culture period. Cells were stimulated with lipopolysaccharide (5 μg/ml) (Sigma) or unmethylated CpG-B in the text and figures, (5′-TCCATGAGCTCT-GAGCCTT-3′) with a phosphorothioate backbone (Invitrogen).

**Immunoblotting**—Anti-STAT1 antibodies were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnologies (Santa Cruz, CA). Antibodies specific to the phosphorylated forms of STAT1 were obtained from Cell Signaling Technologies (Beverly, MA). Cell lysates were quantitated and 25 μg of cytoplasmic extracts were loaded in each lane and separated by SDS-PAGE. Gels were transferred to Immobilon-P membranes (Millipore Corp., Billerica, MA) and immunoblotted using the antibody manufacturers’ recommended instructions.

**Quantitative Real-time PCR (Q-PCR)**—RNA was isolated using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. RNA was quantitated, DNase-treated (Ambion), and 1 μg of RNA was used to make cDNA templates using iScript (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Q-PCR analyses were done using the iCycler thermocycler (Bio-Rad). Q-PCR was conducted in a final volume of 20 μl containing: Taq polymerase, 1× Taq buffer (Strategene), 125 μM dNTP, SYBR® Green 1 (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR), and fluorescein (Bio-Rad), using oligo-dT cDNA as the PCR template. Amplification conditions were: 95 °C (3 min), 40 cycles of 95 °C (20 s), 55 °C (30 s), 72 °C (20 s). Primers were used to amplify specific 80–120-bp fragments corresponding to their respective genes. Primer sequences for Ifna3, Mx1, Ip10, Ifna4, and Ifnb are the same as those previously published (24, 33, 34). The primer sequence for IL10 was TACATGATT-TCTCCCCGTGTA, forward, and GACACCTTGCTTGGAGCATTATT, reverse. 18s expression measurements were conducted in tandem with the gene of interest. All data are presented as relative expression units after normalization to the average 18s value to control for loading of total RNA (35). Measurements were conducted in duplicates or triplicates.

**ELISA**—IgM, IgG2a, and IgE antibodies were detected in culture supernatants by ELISA, under the same conditions as described below for survival and proliferation studies. 96-well plates were coated with 2 μg/ml rabbit anti-mouse IgM, anti-IgG (H+L), or anti-IgE (Southern Biotechnology) in sodium carbonate buffer, pH 9.6 overnight and blocked with 1% bovine serum albumin (Sigma) for 1 h. After a 1-h incubation with culture supernatants and standards (eBiosciences), plates were washed and further incubated with horse radish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgM, anti-mouse IgG2a, or anti-mouse IgE (Southern Biotechnology). After washing, we quantified the amount of enzyme bound to each well with the OPD peroxidase substrate (Sigma). The absorbance of the colored reaction product was read at 492 nm by a 96-well plate reader (Fisher Scientific). IFNα was assayed using a murine IFNα ELISA kit from PBL Biomedical Laboratories (Piscataway, NJ), while IL-6 and IL-12 were assayed using mouse ELISA kits from eBioscience (San Diego, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

**Flow Cytometry**—Cells were cultured at 10⁶ cells/ml in 96-well plates. For Syndecan-1 staining, cells were stained with 1:200 dilution of PE-conjugated anti-Syndecan-1 antibody (Pharmingen), washed twice and analyzed on FACSscan (Becton Dickinson). Analysis was conducted using CellQuest software (BD Biosciences).

**Proliferation and Cell Viability**—For proliferation studies, B cells were stimulated as indicated at 10⁶ cells/ml in 96-well plates. 18–20 h later, 0.5 μCi of [3H]thymidine was added to the cultures and incubated for another 1 h before harvest. Cultures were collected on a 96-well filtermat with an automated harvester. Activity was assessed using a scintillation counter, and counts per minutes (CPM) were reported. To assess cell viability, cells were stimulated as mentioned above and stained with propidium iodide (PI). The PI-negative population was determined by FACS and reported as percent viable cells.

**RESULTS**

CpG Induces STAT1 Phosphorylation and Type I IFN-regulated Genes in Both B Cells and DCs—Although several cell types express TLR9, only pDCs are known to display a potent
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FIGURE 1. CpG induces STAT1 phosphorylation and IFN target gene induction in B cells and DCs. A and B, purified B cells, BMMs, and GM-CSF-derived DCs from C57Bl/6 mice were stimulated with CpG-B (75 nM) for the indicated timepoints. A, protein extracts were analyzed by SDS-PAGE immunoblotting using antibodies specific for phosphorylated-STAT1 (Y701) or total STAT1 as a loading control. B, RNA was isolated and analyzed by qPCR for expression of indicated genes. C and D, CH12 B cells were stimulated with CpG-B or LPS (5 μg/ml) for the indicated timepoints. Protein extracts and RNA were isolated and analyzed as in A and B, respectively. qPCR results are expressed in relative expression units and have been normalized to 18s RNA levels. Data represent at least two independent experiments. Error bars indicate ± SD among duplicates.

FIGURE 2. CpG-induced STAT1 phosphorylation and IFN target gene induction require IFNAR and MyD88. A and B, Ifnar−/− and Ifnar+/+ purified B cells or GM-CSF-derived DCs were stimulated with CpG-B for the indicated timepoints. Protein extracts and RNA were isolated and analyzed as in Fig. 1. C and D, Myd88+/+ or Myd88−/− purified B cells were stimulated with CpG-B or LPS for the indicated timepoints. Protein extracts and RNA were isolated and analyzed as above. Data represent at least two independent experiments. Error bars indicate ± SD among duplicates.
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The indicated timepoints. Protein extracts and RNA were isolated and analyzed as in Fig. 1. A, protein extracts were analyzed by SDS-PAGE immunoblotting using antibodies specific for phosphorylated-STAT1 (Y701) or total STAT1 as a loading control. B, RNA was isolated and analyzed by qPCR for expression of indicated genes. C and D, CH12 B cells were stimulated with CpG-B or LPS (5 μg/ml) for the indicated timepoints. Protein extracts and RNA were isolated and analyzed as in A and B, respectively. qPCR results are expressed in relative expression units and have been normalized to 18s RNA levels. Data represent at least two independent experiments. Error bars indicate ± SD among duplicates.

IFNγ receptor or IFNα/β through the type I IFN receptor (IFNAR) (39). Therefore, in splenocytes this response could be mediated by various cell types activated by CpG-B. To compare different cell types, we stimulated purified primary B cells, BMMs, and bone marrow-derived cells (DCs) with CpG-B. As shown in Fig. 1A, both B cells and DCs induced strong STAT1 phosphorylation when incubated with CpG-B, while BMMs did not. Furthermore, both B cells and DCs, but not BMMs, increased expression of the IFN target genes Mx1 and Ip10 following CpG-B stimulation (Fig. 1B and data not shown). Although both IFNγ and IFNα/β can activate Ip10, induction of Mx1 is specific to IFNα/β, suggesting that this response is mediated through type I IFNs rather than IFNγ (39). We found it interesting that purified B cells induced this IFN response and concentrated our further studies to understand how this pathway is activated.

Although the possibility that STAT1 phosphorylation and IFN-dependent gene induction results from contaminating cells in our primary cell isolation cannot be completely excluded, we also found that both LPS and CpG-B strongly induced STAT1 phosphorylation in the well-established CH12 B-cell line, which are murine lymphoma-derived IgM+ B cells, with similar kinetics as primary B cells (Fig. 1C) (40, 41). In addition, RNA from CpG-B-treated CH12 cells was analyzed and demonstrated a potent induction of Ip10, Mx1, Ifna4, and Ifnb mRNAs (Fig. 1D). Thus, CpG-B induces STAT1 phosphorylation and up-regulates type I IFN target genes in both B cells and GM-CSF-derived dendritic cells.

CpG-induced STAT1 Phosphorylation and IFN Target Gene Induction Require IFNAR and MyD88—To definitively demonstrate that STAT1 phosphorylation in primary B cells was dependent on type I IFNs rather than IFNγ signaling, we stimulated Ifnar−/− and Ifnar+/+ B cells with CpG-B. STAT1 phosphorylation and subsequent IFN target gene induction were both abolished in Ifnar−/− B cells (Fig. 2, A and B). IFNAR was also required for IFN target gene induction in DCs (Fig. 2B). Thus, B cells, similar to dendritic
cells, induce STAT1 phosphorylation and subsequent IFN target gene induction in a type I IFN-dependent manner in response to CpG-B stimulation.

MyD88 is a critical adaptor molecule for TLR9-mediated type I IFN production in pDCs following CpG stimulation (37). However, recent reports have also shown that B-form DNA can induce type I IFNs in a TLR- and MyD88-independent fashion (42). To determine if CpG-mediated type I IFN response in B cells is MyD88-dependent, wild-type and Myd88−/− B cells were stimulated with CpG-B. Fig. 2, C and D demonstrates that both CpG-B-mediated STAT1 phosphorylation and IFN target gene induction were defective in MyD88-deficient B cells. Furthermore, LPS induces type I IFNs through a TRIF-dependent pathway, and as expected, MxA induction in response to LPS was indeed independent of MyD88 (Fig. 2D) (43). Thus, similar to DCs, B cells rely on MyD88 to activate the type I IFN pathway in response to CpG-B, indicating that this pathway is initiated through a TLR-dependent mechanism.

**IRF3 Is Required for CpG-induced IFN Response in B Cells but Not in DCs—**Previous studies have shown that IRF3 is required for type I IFN production in response to LPS stimulation, but not required for CpG-A-mediated type I IFN production in pDCs (25, 33). To determine if IRF3 is involved in CpG-B-mediated type I IFN response in B cells, we compared the IFN response in wild-type and Irf3−/− cells stimulated with CpG-B. As shown in Fig. 3, A and B, CpG-B-induced STAT1 phosphorylation and IFN target gene induction were defective in Irf3−/− B cells. This defect was specific to type I IFN production as IL10 induction was similar in both Irf3−/− and Irf3+/− B cells (Fig. 3C). In contrast, the type I IFN response was not significantly affected in both Irf3−/− mDCs and pDCs, as demonstrated by similar STAT1 phosphorylation and induction of Ifnb and IFN target genes in mDCs, as well as production of IFNα in pDCs (Fig. 4, A–D). As expected, IRF3 was still required for LPS-mediated Ip10 and MxA induction in both B cells and DCs (Figs. 3B and 4B). These findings provide strong evidence that CpG-B-mediated induction of the type I IFN response is dependent on the transcription factor IRF3 in B cells but not in DCs.

**CpG-mediated IFN Response in B Cells Is Independent of TBK1—**TLR4 activates IRF3 and induces type IFNs through a mechanism that is completely dependent on TBK1 (32, 44). In contrast, TLR9 induces type I IFNs through the activation of IRF7, and this pathway is independent of TBK1 (45). We therefore wanted to investigate the role of TBK1 in CpG-B-mediated type I IFN response in B cells (32, 44, 45). As Tbk1−/− mice are not viable, Tnfr1−/−, Tbk1−/−, and Tnfαr1−/−, Tbk1−/− B cells, and DCs were stimulated with either CpG-B or LPS. Unlike LPS, CpG-B did not require TBK1 for type I IFN-dependent STAT1 phosphorylation in either B cells or DCs (Fig. 5, A and B). Thus, TLR9 in B-cells utilizes a distinct pathway to induce a type I IFN response from that utilized by TLR4 in B cells or TLR9 in DCs.

**CpG-mediated Type I IFN Response in B Cells Regulates Survival, IgM-secreting Plasmablast Differentiation and Is Required for IgG2a Production—**Both CpG-B as well as exogenous type I IFNs are known to induce B cell differentiation to antibody-secreting plasmablasts (15, 27). To determine if the type I IFN response activated by CpG-B affected B cell differentiation, we stimulated purified primary B cells from wild-type and Ifnar−/− mice with CpG-B and monitored B cell survival, proliferation, and antibody production. Ifnar−/− and Ifnar−/− B cells responded similarly to the CpG-B stimulation as measured by proliferation and ICAM-1
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FIGURE 5. CpG-induced type I IFN response is TBK1-independent in B cells and DCs. A and B, Tnfr1−/−, Tbk1−/−, and Tnfr1−/−, Tbk1−/−-purified B cells or GM-CSF-derived DCs were stimulated with CpG-B or LPS for the indicated timepoints. Protein extracts were isolated and analyzed as above.

FIGURE 6. CpG-mediated Type I IFN response in B cells regulates survival and antibody production. A, Ifnar−/− and Ifnar−/−-purified B cells were stimulated with CpG-B. Surface ICAM-1 expression was analyzed by flow cytometry after 24 h of CpG-B stimulation. Proliferation was assessed by [3H]thymidine incorporation. B, Ifnar−/− and Ifnar−/−-purified B cells were stimulated either with CpG-B or left in media alone. Cells were stained daily with propidium iodide (PI) and analyzed by flow cytometry. C, After 5 days of stimulation, B cells from indicated genotypes were stained with anti-Syndecan-1 antibody and analyzed for Syndecan-1 expression by flow cytometry. D, Supernatants from 6-day cultures were assessed for the presence of IgM by ELISA. Supernatants from 9-day cultures were assessed for the presence of IgG2a by ELISA. Data represent at least two independent experiments. Error bars indicate ±SD among duplicates.

Hypomethylated CpG motifs induce strong proliferative and survival signals in B cells and are thought to activate autoreactive B cells in autoimmune diseases (12–14). Type I IFNs are also thought to play a critical role in autoimmune diseases (29–31). Previous studies have suggested that the major producers of type I IFNs are pDCs, and the major target of these IFNs contributing to the development of SLE are immature DCs (50). However, recent studies have shown that B cells may serve as targets of type I IFNs during T cell-dependent antibody responses in vivo (49, 51). Specifically, mice whose B cells lacked IFNAR failed to produce antigen-specific IgG2a when type I IFNs were used as an adjuvant. Our results now not only show that B cells are direct targets of type I IFNs but also B cells themselves may secrete these interferons following Toll-like receptor activation at levels sufficient to significantly influence B cell biology.

While pDCs are the most potent type I IFN producing cell type, our results provide strong evidence that B cells can also
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We demonstrate that CpG-B-induced IFN response in purified naïve B cells in vitro is defective in cells that lack the receptor for type I IFNs. It is interesting to note that, while the type I IFN response was required for IgG2a production, the ability of CpG-B to inhibit IgE secretion was unaffected by IFNAR deficiency, although there was a general tendency of higher IgE production in IFNAR-deficient cells. Collectively, these data suggest that type I IFN pathway in B cells may skew the antibody response toward Th1 antibodies. In addition, we noted higher levels of Syndecan-I expression and IgM production in wild-type compared with IFNAR-deficient B cells stimulated with CpG-B, where *Ifnar<−/−* cells displayed survival advantage. These findings suggest that type I IFNs enhance B cell differentiation into short-lived plasmablasts as well as contribute to cell death. Interestingly, B cells from SLE patients also exhibit accelerated cell death *in vitro* (52). Previous studies have also demonstrated a type I IFN “signature” in leukocytes from SLE patients, which may resemble the type I IFN response we observe in CpG-B-stimulated B cells (53). Future studies may therefore help assess the contribution of altered type I IFN production in SLE patients to plasma cell differentiation and accelerated cell death of B lymphocytes. The direct induction of T cell-independent antibody secretion that is augmented by the type I IFN pathway in B cells could also have significant biological implications. Although this pathway could be beneficial in physiological responses, as in viral infections, the production of self-reactive antibodies in the absence of T cell help might also regulate pathology in autoimmune diseases. Thus, the type I IFN pathway in B cells may be an enticing therapeutic target.
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