A strong upper limit on the pulsed radio luminosity of the compact object 1RXS J141256.0+792204
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ABSTRACT

Context. The ROSAT X-ray source 1RXS J141256.0+792204 has recently been identified as a likely compact object whose properties suggest it could be a very nearby radio millisecond pulsar at d = 80–260 pc.

Aims. We investigated this hypothesis by searching for radio pulsations using the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope.

Methods. We observed 1RXS J141256.0+792204 at 385 and 1380 MHz, recording at high time and frequency resolution in order to maintain sensitivity to millisecond pulsations. These data were searched both for dispersed single pulses and using Fourier techniques sensitive to constant and orbitally modulated periodicities.

Results. No radio pulsations were detected in these observations, resulting in pulsed radio luminosity limits of \( L^\text{max}_{100} \approx \frac{3d}{250 \text{ pc}^2} \text{ mJy kpc}^2 \) and \( L^\text{max}_{1400} \approx \frac{0.03d}{250 \text{ pc}^2} \text{ mJy kpc}^2 \) at 400 and 1400 MHz respectively.

Conclusions. The lack of detectable radio pulsations from 1RXS J141256.0+792204 brings into question its identification as a nearby radio pulsar, though, because the pulsar could be beamed away from us, this hypothesis cannot be strictly ruled out.
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1. Introduction

Recently, Rutledge et al. (2007), hereafter RFS07, have identified the X-ray source 1RXS J141256.0+792204 (from the ROSAT All-Sky Survey Bright Source Catalog) as having an X-ray to optical flux ratio \( F_X(0.1–2.4 \text{ keV})/F_\text{V} > 8700 \) (3σ). Such a high ratio is strong evidence that 1RXS J141256.0+792204, dubbed “Calvera” by RFS07, is a compact object. RFS07 consider several specific source classes to explain 1RXS J141256.0+792204’s X-ray spectrum and luminosity: an X-ray dim isolated neutron star (INS), an anomalous X-ray pulsar (AXP), a compact central object (CCO), or a nearby radio pulsar. Based on careful comparison of 1RXS J141256.0+792204’s properties with the canonical features displayed by these different classes of neutron star, RFS07 conclude that the most likely explanation is that 1RXS J141256.0+792204 is a very nearby radio pulsar (\( d = 80–260 \text{ pc} \)) similar to the radio millisecond pulsars (MSPs) residing in the globular cluster 47 Tuc.

We have investigated this interpretation by conducting sensitive searches for radio pulsations using the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (WSRT) in the Netherlands. No pulsations were found by these searches, and we use these non-detections to place strong limits on the pulsed radio luminosity of 1RXS J141256.0+792204. These limits bring into question the interpretation of this object as a nearby radio pulsar.

2. Observations and analysis

We observed 1RXS J141256.0+792204 a total of 4 times with WSRT at central observing frequencies of 385 and 1380 MHz and with integration times of 0.5 or 1 h (Table 1). All observations were centered on J2000 coordinates \( \text{RA} = 14:12:55.51, \text{Dec} = +79:22:06.7 \) (\( l = 118.3^\circ, b = 37.0^\circ \)). WSRT was used in its tied array mode with 14 25-m dishes phased together to form a \( \approx43/12'' \) wide beam at 385/1380 MHz, with a gain of 1.2 K/Jy. The most precise X-ray position available, which is derived from Chandra/HRC-I observations of the source taken by RFS07, falls well within the WSRT beam. We recorded data using the PuMa I pulsar backend (Voûte et al. 2002), which provided 0.16/0.32-MHz channels, 10/80 MHz of bandwidth, and 102.4/102.4 \( \mu \text{s} \) sampling at 385/1380 MHz. We made multiple, multi-frequency observations because of potential scintillation. If 1RXS J141256.0+792204 is indeed very close, then it likely has a very low DM (\( \lesssim 10 \text{ pc cm}^{-3} \)), and could strongly scintillate. The 385-MHz data also gave high sensitivity in the event that 1RXS J141256.0+792204 is a very steep spectrum source. The resulting intra-channel dispersive smearing in these configurations, scaled to a dispersion measure (DM) of 25 pc cm\(^{-3}\), is \( t_{\text{DM}} = 0.6(\text{DM}/25 \text{ pc cm}^{-3}) \) ms at 385 MHz and merely \( t_{\text{DM}} = 22(\text{DM}/25 \text{ pc cm}^{-3}) \) \( \mu \text{s} \) for the 1380-MHz observations. At the high Galactic latitude of the source, interstellar scattering is unlikely to add significant additional smearing. The resolution
of the 1380-MHz data was more than adequate to be sensitive to an
MSP with spin period \( P_{\text{spin}} \approx 0.7 \text{ ms} \) and DM up to the high-
est trial DM we searched (100 pc cm\(^{-3}\)). In the 385-MHz data, we
were sensitive to millisecond periodicities as well, as long as
the DM was less than \(-25 \text{ pc} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}\).

The DM and spin period of this source are unknown and thus we must search over these parameters. To search over DM space, we constructed trial timeseries dedispersed in the range \( DC = 0–100 \text{ pc} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}\) for the 385/1380 MHz data. Integrating to the
edge of the Galaxy, the maximum predicted DM in the direc-
tion of 1RXS J141256.0+792204 is 45 pc cm\(^{-3}\) (from the
NE2001 free electron density model of the Galaxy, Cordes &
Lazio 2002). Thus, even if it is distant, it is very unlikely that
1RXS J141256.0+792204 has a DM outside our search range.

Radio frequency interference (RFI) was removed from the data
both by eliminating some of the spectral channels before dedis-
persion and by removing known interference peaks, or “birdies”, in
the Fourier power spectrum. Each of these timeseries was then
searched for periodicities using a Fourier-based technique and
also checked for strong single pulses. As the majority of MSPs
are in binaries, we employed searches that are sensitive to orbi-
tially modulated periodicities by incorporating a further search
over frequency drift in the Fourier domain. Overlapping sections
of the timeseries, each 15-min in length, were also searched to
improve sensitivity to the shortest orbital periods. To perform
these searches, we used the package PRESTO\(^1\) (see Ransom et al.
2002, for a description of some of the search techniques
included in this package). Overall, our search method was very
similar, both in terms of the techniques and specific software
used, to that presented in greater detail in e.g. Hessels et al.
(2007).

The resulting candidate lists were examined by eye and au-
tomatically sifted in order to identify periodicities that occur at
several trial DMs, peak in signal strength at non-zero DM, and
occur in multiple observations. We folded the raw data at the
periodicity, acceleration, and DM of potentially interesting can-
idates in order to further investigate whether they constituted
likely astronomical signals or just RFI. As the predicted DM for
a pulsar in this direction \( DC < 260 \text{ pc} \) is only \(<3 \text{ pc} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}\), we
paid special attention to very-low-DM candidates, which would
normally be dismissed automatically as RFI, carefully check-
ing to see whether they could plausibly be pulsar signals. These
low-DM candidates were all at the period of known WSRT RFI
birdies, or failed to show up in both the 385 and 1380-MHz data.

### Table 1. Summary of observations.

| Epoch (MJD) | Int. Time (s) | Freq. (MHz) | Bandwidth (MHz) | Samp. (\(\mu s\)) | \(S_{\text{max}}\) (mJy) |
|-------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| 54 217.98   | 1800         | 385         | 10/0.16         | 102.4           | 4                 |
| 54 218.01   | 1800         | 1380        | 60/0.32         | 102.4           | 0.4               |
| 54 218.89   | 1800         | 1380        | 60/0.32         | 102.4           | 0.4               |
| 54 245.74   | 3600         | 1380        | 60/0.32         | 102.4           | 0.3               |

\(\text{a} \) Total usable bandwidth/channel bandwidth.
\(\text{b} \) For the observation at 385 MHz this is the upper limit on 400-MHz
flux density \(S_{\text{max}}\). For the other three observations at 1380 MHz, this
is the upper limit on the 1400-MHz flux density \(S_{\text{1400}}\).

3. Results and discussion

No plausible astronomical radio pulsations or bright, dispersed
single pulses were detected in any of our observations. Using
the radiometer equation modified for pulsar signals (Dewey et al.
1985), we can place limits on 1RXS J141256.0+792204’s
flux density in these observations (Table 1). We find that
1RXS J141256.0+792204 has a maximum flux density at
400 MHz \(S_{\text{max}} = 4 \text{ mJy} \) and a maximum flux density at
1400 MHz \(S_{\text{max}} = 0.3 \text{ mJy} \), where the fractional un-
certainty on these limits is roughly 50%. RPS07 argue that
1RXS J141256.0+792204 may be a radio pulsar with a nearby
distance \( d = 80–260 \text{ pc} \). Assuming the pulsar is isolated, we
were sensitive to spin periods encompassing the observed range
for radio pulsars (\( P_{\text{spin}} \approx 1 \text{ ms}–10 \text{ s} \)), with a factor of roughly
2–5 degredation in sensitivity due to red-noise at the longest
periods. Using an assumed distance \( d = 250 \text{ pc} \), we can con-
vert our flux density limits to pseudo luminosity \( L \equiv S D^2 \)
limits. We find \( L_{\text{max}}^{\text{400}} < 0.3\text{d}/(250 \text{ pc})^2 \text{ mJy kpc}^{-2} \) and \( L_{\text{max}}^{\text{1400}} < 0.03\text{d}/(250 \text{ pc})^2 \text{ mJy kpc}^{-2} \). A simple check of the ATNF pulsar catalog\(^2\) (Manchester et al. 2005) shows that \(<1\% \) of the known
pulsars have a luminosity below these limits. This suggests that
if 1RXS J141256.0+792204 is a radio pulsar, then it is either
especially weak, not beamed towards the Earth, or significantly
further away than 250 pc.

Assuming 1RXS J141256.0+792204 is a radio MSP, what is
the probability that its radio beam will pass the Earth (i.e. what
is the beaming fraction of such pulsars)? A period-dependent
beaming fraction proportional to \( P_{\text{spin}}^{-0.5} \) has been shown for normal,
un-recycled pulsars (see e.g. Rankin 1993). When extrapol-
ated to millisecond spin periods, this relation implies a ~100%
beaming fraction for MSPs. However, Kramer et al. (1998) find
observational evidence that this relationship does not apply
directly to MSPs and that the beaming fraction of MSPs is more
like 50–90%. These estimates are consistent with considerations
of the MSP population in 47 Tuc. Heinke et al. (2005) used a
deep Chandra observation of the cluster to perform a population
analysis which concluded that there are likely ~25 radio MSPs
(<60 at 95% confidence) residing in the cluster, independent of
beaming. Comparing this with optical and radio studies of the
MSP population by Edmonds et al. (2003) and McConnell et al.
(2004) respectively, who both conclude that the total MSP pop-
ulation in 47 Tuc is ~30, Heinke et al. (2005) conclude that
the beaming fraction is ~33%. While these various studies sug-
gest that the beaming fraction of MSPs is significantly larger
than for normal pulsars, and could be quite high, we cannot
strongly rule out beaming as the cause of our non-detection of
1RXS J141256.0+792204.

It is also possible, though we feel unlikely, that we have not
seen 1RXS J141256.0+792204 because it is in a compact binary
orbit and/or is highly accelerated by a binary companion. Our
luminosity limits are for a coherent search and do not include
these effects. However, given that we have employed acceler-
a tion searches, which are generally sensitive in cases where the
total integration time \( T_{\text{int}} \) is less than roughly 1/10 of the
orbital period \( P_{\text{orb}} \). 1RXS J141256.0+792204 would have to be in
a ~2-h orbit and fairly weak (or eclipsed) not to have been
detected in our searches of 15-min data sections. The shortest
orbital period of any known radio MSP is 1.6 h (Camilo et al.
2000, PSR J0024–7204 in the GC 47 Tuc).

\(^1\) Available at http://www.cv.nrao.edu/~ransom/presto

\(^2\) Available at http://www.atnf.csiro.au/research/pulsar/
psrcat
In conclusion, given the caveats we have discussed, primarily pertaining to extreme orbital or spin parameters, we believe that these searches were sensitive enough to detect any nearby radio pulsar coincident with 1RXS J141256.0+792204, assuming it is beamed towards the Earth. We have checked for catalogued radio sources in the FIRST, NVSS, and WENSS surveys and find no counterpart to 1RXS J141256.0+792204. Future, deeper observations detecting a radio, optical, or X-ray pulsar wind nebula could address whether this source is a nearby radio pulsar beamed away from Earth. Alternate scenarios for 1RXS J141256.0+792204’s nature, for instance that it might be the first unhosted CCO (RFS07), should continue to be investigated.
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