Microglia activation and proliferation are hallmarks of many neurodegenerative disorders and may contribute to disease pathogenesis. Neurons actively regulate microglia survival and function, in part by secreting the microglia mitogen interleukin (IL)-34. Both IL-34 and colony stimulating factor (CSF)-1 bind colony stimulating factor receptor (CSFR)1 expressed on microglia. Systemic treatment with central nervous system (CNS) penetrant, CSFR1 antagonists, results in microglia death in a dose dependent matter, while others, such as GW2580, suppress activation during disease states without altering viability. However, it is not known how treatment with non-penetrant CSF1R antagonists, such as GW2580, affect the normal physiology of microglia. To determine how GW2580 affects microglia function, C57BL/6J mice were orally gavaged with vehicle or GW2580 (80mg/kg/d) for 8 days. Body weights and burrowing behavior were measured throughout the experiment. The effects of GW2580 on circulating leukocyte populations, brain microglia morphology, and the transcriptome of magnetically isolated adult brain microglia were determined. Body weights, burrowing behavior, and circulating leukocytes were not affected by treatment. Analysis of Iba-1 stained brain microglia indicated that GW2580 treatment altered morphology, but not cell number. Analysis of RNA-sequencing data indicated that genes related to reactive oxygen species (ROS) regulation and survival were suppressed by treatment. Treatment of primary microglia cultures with GW2580 resulted in a dose-dependent reduction in viability only when the cells were concurrently treated with LPS, an inducer of ROS. Pre-treatment with the ROS inhibitor, YCG063, blocked treatment induced reductions in viability. Finally, GW2580 sensitized microglia to hydrogen peroxide induced cell death. Together, these data suggest that partial CSF1R antagonism may render microglia more susceptible to reactive oxygen and nitrogen species.
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INTRODUCTION

Microglia comprise 10-15% of total cells in the central nervous system (CNS) and are the recognized resident macrophages of this tissue. As such, microglia function to maintain homeostasis within the healthy brain and act as facilitators of immune responses within the CNS in response to damage or pathogenic challenge. For instance, microglia can clear cellular debris, non-functioning neuronal synapses (1) and dead neurons from the CNS through the process of phagocytosis (2). Upon activation by pathogen associated molecular patterns or by damage associated molecular patterns, microglia release cytokines, chemokines (3, 4) as well as cytotoxic substances including reactive oxygen species (ROS), and nitric oxide (NO) (4–6). While the production of inflammatory mediators by microglia may aid in the clearance of pathogens and/or facilitate repair, aberrant microglia activation is suspected to contribute to a plethora of neurological diseases and disorders including multiple sclerosis (7, 8), Alzheimer’s disease (9), stroke (10), Parkinson’s disease (11), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (12) and autism spectrum disorder (13, 14). Moreover, pro-inflammatory cytokine production within the CNS is thought to contribute to sickness behavior (15, 16) and impaired cognitive function (15, 17–19).

Intriguingly, microglia viability within the adult brain has recently been shown to be dependent on constitutive colony-stimulating factor 1 receptor (CSF1R) signaling (20) which is needed for their survival, differentiation, proliferation and function (21). CSF1R binds to two ligands: CSF1 and interleukin-34 (IL-34) (22). While CSF1 is detectable in circulation, IL-34 is not circulated in blood (23). In the brain, CSF1 is expressed by astrocytes, oligodendrocytes and microglia, while IL-34 is primarily produced by neurons (24, 25). Ligand binding to CSF1R on microglia leads to tyrosine receptor kinase dimerization and autophosphorylation (26) and triggers activation of ERK and AKT pathways that regulate survival (27). Systemic administration of brain penetrant pharmacological inhibitors of CSF1R can suppress microglia reactivity and/or dramatically reduce viability by more than 90% (28). The use of these small molecules may prove to be clinically efficacious in curtailing the progression of neuroinflammatory and neurodegenerative disease states. Inhibitors of CSF1R include Pexidartinib/PLX-3397, BLZ945, and PLX5622 which eliminate microglia due to their strong affinity with the receptor and their ability to cross the blood brain barrier (28–34). Conversely, the inhibitors ARYR-382, Edicotinib, JTE-952 and GW2580 prevent cell proliferation without killing microglia (35–40). Notably, the consequence of systemic CSF1R antagonism on normal microglia physiology has not yet been fully elucidated. Some of these molecules such as GW2580 are intriguing because they are brain penetrant, but do not appear to affect microglial cell survival.

The small molecule GW2580 is an orally available selective inhibitor of the tyrosine kinase activity of CSF1R (39, 40). This molecule has been shown to affect both microglia and monocyte proliferation (40, 41). While GW2580 does not readily affect microglia viability, oral gavage has been used to successfully treat animal models of multiple sclerosis (42, 43), Alzheimer’s disease (44), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (45) and prion disease (46). Results from these studies suggest that treatment can decrease cell infiltration to the CNS (39, 41) and TNF production (40), however how this drug affects microglia physiology remains unknown.

Herein, we sought to determine the effect of GW2580 on microglia function in healthy mice. We found that treatment did not affect the percentage of circulating immune cell populations. However, our results revealed changes to microglia morphology as well as transcriptomic differences attributable to treatment, such as downregulation of genes related to reactive oxygen species regulation and survival. In vitro treatment of primary microglia cultures with GW2580 showed a dose-dependent reduction in viability only when concurrently treated with lipopolysaccharide (LPS). Notably, primary microglial cell cultures treated with either YCG0630, an inhibitor of ROS, or Nec-1, an inhibitor of necroptosis, abrogated the effects of GW2580 and LPS treatment on cell viability. Collectively, our data define the transcriptomic effects of systemic GW2580 treatment on normal microglia. Furthermore, our data suggest that CSF1R antagonism by GW2580 may render microglia more susceptible to reactive oxygen and nitrogen species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

All experimental procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Illinois Urbana–Champaign, under protocol #19068 and were performed in accordance with guidelines of the National Institute of Health. Male and female C57BL/6J (Jackson Laboratories No. 000664) mice aged 8-12 weeks old were used for all experiments. Breeders were group-housed in solid-bottom caging with standard bedding (Teklad 1/8quot; corncob) under temperature-controlled conditions (23 ± 1°C) with a 12-hour reversed light/dark cycles (10am-10pm). Rodent diet (Teklad No. 8640) and water were available ad libitum.

GW2580 Treatment by Oral Gavage

Oral treatment of rodents with GW2580 has been well characterized (40). Specifically, in vitro treatment with GW2580 at 5µM inhibited CSF1 mediated cell proliferation by nearly 100%, and an oral dose of 80mg/kg increased circulating plasma GW2580 concentrations to 5.6µM. Oral treatment was subsequently shown to inhibit disease progression in rodent models of multiple sclerosis [40mg/kg/d (42)], amyotrophic lateral sclerosis [75mg/kg/d; (45)] Alzheimer’s disease [75mg/kg/d; (44)] and to attenuate depression-like behavior in MRL/lpr mice [100mg/kg/d; Chalmers et al. (47)]. As such, in the current study, mice were orally gavaged with either 80 mg/kg of GW2580
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Flow Cytometry
Following euthanasia blood samples were collected by cardiac puncture with EDTA-coated syringes. Whole blood (100μl) was added to ammonium chloride potassium (500μl) solution for 10 min. at room temperature in order to lyse the red blood cells. The cells were subsequently washed then suspended in ice-cold flow cytometry staining buffer (sterile PBS containing 2% FBS) and counted using an automated cell counter (Nexcelom Bioscience). Next, 1x10⁶ cells were labeled on ice with fluorochrome conjugated antibodies to CD4 (Pacific Blue; clone RM4-5; BioLegend, Cat. No. 116008), CD8α (APC-Cy7; Clone 53-6.7; BioLegend, Cat. No. 101212), B220 (PE-Cy7; Clone RA3-682; BioLegend, Cat. No. 103224), Ly6G (FITC; Clone 1A8; BioLegend, Cat. No. 127608), Ly6C (PE; Clone HK1.4; BioLegend Cat. No. 128044), and FCblock (CD16/32) for 20 min. at 4°C. The samples were acquired on a LSRII Flow cytometer (BD). Gates were determined using unstained and single-stained samples obtained from the same tissue of origin. Results were analyzed using FlowJo version 10.6.2 flow cytometry software (BD).

Adult brains were collected from mice, minced with a sterile razor blade and an enzymatic digestion for 45 min. in 5ml of StemPro Accutase (Gibco, Cat No. A1110501) at 37°C. Then the brain homogenate was filtered through a 70µm filter for 5 min. Red cells were removed with a red blood cell lysis buffer (Biolegend, Cat No. 420302) then the cells were washed in sterile PBS by centrifugation at 2000x g for 5 min. Next, cells were suspended in 5ml of PBS containing 35% Percoll (VWR, Cat. No. 899428-524), underlay with 3ml of PBS containing 70% Percoll, then centrifuged for 20 min. at 2000xg with no brake. The cells at the 35/70% Percoll interface were collected and in some cases these cells were washed with magnetic activated cell sorting (MACS) buffer (PBS with 3% FBS and 10mM EDTA) to enrich for CD11b positive cells following the manufacturer’s instructions (ThermoFisher Scientific, Cat. No. 8802-6860-74). Flow cytometry was also used to confirm the purity of microglia subjected to MACS cell enrichment. Here, microglia from non-enriched and enriched populations were stained with antibodies for CD45 (APC; clone 30-F11) (eBioscience, Cat. No. 17-0451-82), CD11b (FITC; clone M1/70) (eBioscience, Cat. No. 11-0112-82) and viability dye (eFlour 780) (eBioscience, Cat. No. 65-0865-14) as markers.

Immunohistochemistry
Brains were collected and placed in fixation buffer (PBS containing 4% paraformaldehyde, pH 7.4) at 4°C for 24h. The tissue was then cryoprotected by replacing the fixation buffer with PBS containing 30% sucrose (w/v) until the tissue sank. The brain tissue was frozen in optimal cutting temperature compound (O.C.T.) with dry ice, then stored at -80°C. Next, 15µm coronal sections were collected using a cryostat (Leica CM3050 S). Tissue sections were then blocked and permeabilized with PBS containing 5% goat serum and 0.3% Triton X-100, then incubated with an anti-Iba-1 specific antibody derived from rabbit (diluted 1/200; Rabbit: Wako Inc. Richmond, VA, Cat. No. 019-19741). After washing with PBS three times for 5 min. the tissues were incubated with an Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody (Invitrogen, Cat No. A11034) diluted in 1/1000 in blocking buffer for 1h at room temperature. After washing, the tissue was coverslipped. Sections were imaged and morphological changes were determined by Imaris surface analysis. Specifically, for the Imaris three-dimensional (3D) modeling and analysis sets of 50 serial images for Iba-1+ cells in the cortex at 500 nm steps in the Z direction, 1 µm per pixel in the X, Y-plane, and 4.92 μsec pixel dwell time were acquired with the Zeiss LSM 710 Confocal Microscope and 10x objective with 2.0 zoom, resulting in whole datasets of 425 μm x 425 μm in the X, Y-plane and 25 μm in the Z direction. The images were rendered into 3D with Imaris software (version 9.3.1; Bitplane, Oxford Instruments) and analyzed with the software’s automated Filament Tracer module. Seed point and starting point thresholds were manually adjusted to fit each data set and local contrast threshold was set to three for all data sets. The number of Iba-1+ cells from the medial prefrontal cortex, cortex and hippocampus were counted using ImageJ (NIH).

Isolation of Adult Microglia and RNA Sequencing
Brains were immediately extracted following perfusion with cold PBS and kept on ice in Hanks Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS) buffer (Fisher, Cat No. SH3058801) until further processing. They were minced using as sterile razor blade and enzymatically dissociated for 45 min. in 5ml of StemPro Accutase (Gibco, Cat No. A1110501) at 37°C. The brain homogenate was filtered through a 70µm filter using a 3ml syringe plunger, then pelleted by centrifuging at 400xg for 5 min. Red cells were lysed by osmotic shock (red blood cell lysis buffer; Biolegend, Cat No. 420302) then the cells were washed in sterile PBS by centrifugation at 400xg for 5 min. Next, cells were suspended in 5ml of PBS solution containing 35% Percoll (VWR, Cat. No. 899428-524), underlay with 3ml of PBS containing 70% Percoll, then centrifuged for 20 min. at 2000xg with no brake. The cells at the 35/70% Percoll interface were collected and in some cases these cells were washed with magnetic activated cell sorting (MACS) buffer (PBS with 3% FBS and 10mM EDTA) to enrich for CD11b positive cells following the manufacturer’s instructions (ThermoFisher Scientific, Cat. No. 8802-6860-74). Flow cytometry was also used to confirm the purity of microglia subjected to MACS cell enrichment. Here, microglia from non-enriched and enriched populations were stained with antibodies for CD45 (APC; clone 30-F11) (eBioscience, Cat. No. 17-0451-82), CD11b (FITC; clone M1/70) (eBioscience, Cat. No. 11-0112-82) and viability dye (eFlour 780) (eBioscience, Cat. No. 65-0865-14) as markers.

Brains were collected and placed in fixation buffer (PBS containing 4% paraformaldehyde, pH 7.4) at 4°C for 24h. The tissue was then cryoprotected by replacing the fixation buffer with PBS containing 30% sucrose (w/v) until the tissue sank. The brain tissue was frozen in optimal cutting temperature compound (O.C.T.) with dry ice, then stored at -80°C. Next, 15µm coronal sections were collected using a cryostat (Leica CM3050 S). Tissue sections were then blocked and permeabilized with PBS containing 5% goat serum and 0.3% Triton X-100, then incubated with an anti-Iba-1 specific antibody derived from rabbit (diluted 1/200; Rabbit: Wako Inc. Richmond, VA, Cat. No. 019-19741). After washing with PBS three times for 5 min. the tissues were incubated with an Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody (Invitrogen, Cat No. A11034) diluted in 1/1000 in blocking buffer for 1h at room temperature. After washing, the tissue was coverslipped. Sections were imaged and morphological changes were determined by Imaris surface analysis. Specifically, for the Imaris three-dimensional (3D) modeling and analysis sets of 50 serial images for Iba-1+ cells in the cortex at 500 nm steps in the Z direction, 1 µm per pixel in the X, Y-plane, and 4.92 μsec pixel dwell time were acquired with the Zeiss LSM 710 Confocal Microscope and 10x objective with 2.0 zoom, resulting in whole datasets of 425 μm x 425 μm in the X, Y-plane and 25 μm in the Z direction. The images were rendered into 3D with Imaris software (version 9.3.1; Bitplane, Oxford Instruments) and analyzed with the software’s automated Filament Tracer module. Seed point and starting point thresholds were manually adjusted to fit each data set and local contrast threshold was set to three for all data sets. The number of Iba-1+ cells from the medial prefrontal cortex, cortex and hippocampus were counted using ImageJ (NIH).
ready libraries were prepared and sequencing was performed using with Illumina Hi-seq1500. The fastq read files were generated and demultiplexed with the bcl2fastq v2.20 Conversion Software (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The quality of the resulting fastq files was evaluated with the FastQC software, which generates reports with the quality scores, base composition, k-mer, GC and N contents, sequence duplication levels and overrepresented sequences. On average 47.9 million 150nt paired-end reads per sample were obtained, with a minimum FastQC score of 34. Alignments and counts were performed on the Carl R. Woese Institute for Genomic Biology Cloud of the University of Illinois High-Performance Biological Computing. Pair-end reads were first filtered using Trimmomatic 0.33 (49) with a minimum quality score of 28 (i.e., base call accuracy of 99.84%) leading and trailing with a minimum length of 30 bp long and subsequently checked using FastQC 0.11.6 (Babraham Institute, Cambridge, UK). No reads were filtered as all had scores greater than 28. Reads were then mapped to the Mus musculus reference genome (GRCm38 e! Ensembl, downloaded in September 2019) using default settings of STAR 2.6.0 (50). Uniquely aligned reads were quantified using featureCounts (51) in the Subread package (v1.5.2) based on the Refseq gene annotation.

Further data analysis was conducted using R. 3.5.1 (R Core Team, 2018). Reads uniquely assigned to a gene were used for subsequent analysis. Genes were filtered if 3 samples did not have > 1 count per million mapped reads. A TMM (trimmed mean of M-values) normalization was applied to all samples using edgeR (52). After data were log2-transformed, edgeR was used to conduct differential expression analyses. The applied statistical model included treatment (GW2580 vs Control) as fixed effect.

The genome index was prepared using STAR and the Mus musculus DNA FASTA file from e!Ensembl. The reads were aligned to the reference genome to both reads. Reads were counted using a FeatureCount in STAR. Statistical analysis of differentially expressed genes (DEG) was performed using R studio. Gene ontology was determined by DAVID Functional AnnotationBioinformatics Microarray Analysis (v6.8). Data is publicly available through Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) from NCBI at (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE185564) and can be accessed using the accession no. GSE185564

**Primary Microglia Cultures**

Glia cultures were prepared from the brains of 4-10 C57BL/6 neonatal mice per experiment using the differential attachment method as described previously (3, 53). In brief, P1–2 mouse pups were decapitated with scissors, brains dissected and meninges removed under a dissecting microscope (Leica). Brain tissue was dissociated in Accutase at 37°C for 45 min., passed through a 70μm filter, washed with Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM), seeded onto poly-d-lysine-coated T75-flasks, and grown to confluence (7–10 days) in a humidified incubator at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Microglia were isolated by shaking the T-flasks at 37°C for 1h at 177rpm in an orbital shaker (ThermoScientific Max Q 4000).

**Effect of GW2580 Treatment on Microglia Proliferation**

Primary microglia were seeded at a density of 1x10⁵ cells per well in a 96-well plate in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 100U pen/strep and glutamax. To determine the effect of CSF1 and GW2580 on cell proliferation, microglia were treated with recombinant mouse CSF1 (0-30ng/ml; R&D Systems; Cat No. 416-ML-10) for 48h with increasing concentrations of GW2580 (0-5μM). After treatment, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min. at room temperature, washed with PBS then blocked and permeabilized in PBS containing 0.3% Triton-X 100 (PBST) and 5% goat serum for 1h at room temperature. Next, the cells were incubated with a rabbit anti-Ki-67 antibody (Dilution 1:200; Cell Signaling; Cat No. 9129S) overnight at 4°C. After washing with PBS, cells were incubated with Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG diluted 1/1000 in PBST for 1h. (ThermoScientific). After washing with PBS, proliferation was determined by counting the number of microglia per well, as well as the number of Ki-67+ cells per well using ImageJ (NIH).

**Gene Expression Analysis by qRT-PCR**

To validate the effect of GW2580 on the expression of select genes involved in the regulation of ROS, qRT-PCR was used. Here, primary microglia were stimulated with 5μM of GW2580 or media alone for 72h in a humidified incubator at 37°C and 5% CO₂. RNA was isolated using TRIzol protocol (Ambion by Life Technologies, Cat No., 15596018) and further purified using the GeneJET RNA Purification Kit (Thermo Scientific, Cat No., K0731). cDNA was created using the reverse transcription system (Promega Corporation, Cat No., A3500), and amplified using Sybr Green qPCR master mix (Applied Biosystems, Cat No., A25742), and primers specific for Ndufs8 (Fwd–GGCGCACTTCAAGATGTATCCG; Rev–TCCTGGTCACTCCTCATGG), Prdx2 (Fwd–CAACACCGCCCGGACAATTCG; Rev–AACATGTGTTGATGCTTGG), Prdx5 (Fwd–AGGTACCAACCAACTCTGTCTTCT; Rev–GCACAGTAGTACAACAGCGGA), Gpx4 (Fwd–CGCCACAAAGTCCTAGGAAACC; Rev–TATCGGGCATGAGCATGAC), and Actb (Fwd–GATTACCTGCTGGCTCTCCAT; Rev–GACTCATCGTACTCCTGCTTG) in a 7300 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, ABI) at 95°C for 10min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15secs and 60°C for 1min. Expression was normalized to Actb expression and control samples. Fold change was calculated using the formula 2^ACtACt.
100µl of flow buffer. The cells were stained with viability dye (APC Alexa Flour 780, Invitrogen, Cat No. 50-112-9035) for 20 min on ice, washed, then suspended in 500µl of flow buffer and analyzed using flow cytometer. Gates were determined using a complete unstained sample.

**Microglia Susceptibility to ROS**

To assess the effect of GW2580 on cell viability, microglia were incubated with or without GW2580 at a concentration of 5µM for 45 min. prior to stimulation with vehicle (medium) or lipopolysaccharide from *Escherichia coli* O111:B4 (100ng/ml) for 48h. TNF production was measured by ELISA (ThermoFisher Scientific, Cat No. 88-7324-88) following the manufacturer’s instructions. For some experiments, microglia were pre-treated with the ROS inhibitor YCG063 (10µM, Sigma Aldrich, Cat No. 557354). Specifically, cells were pre-treated with either YCG063 or YCG063 plus GW2580 for 45 min. prior to LPS stimulation for 48h. To determine if GW2580 treatment of microglia increased sensitivity to ROS, cells were pretreated with GW2580 (5µM) for 48h then challenged with 0-100µM of hydrogen peroxide (H2O2; Fisher Scientific, Cat No. H325-100) for 4h. Cell death was assessed by measuring supernatant lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) levels as indicated by manufactures instructions (Sigma Aldrich, Cat No. 4744926001) as well as by counting the number of cells per field.

**Treatment of Primary Microglia With Nec-1**

Primary microglia (1x10^5 per condition) were pre-treated with either Nec-1 (30nM) or Nec-1 plus GW2580 for 45 min. prior to LPS (100ng/ml) stimulation for 48h in a 96 well plate. After treatment, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min. at room temperature, washed with PBS then blocked and permeabilized with PBS containing 0.3% Triton-X 100 (PBST) and 5% goat serum for 1h at room temperature. Next, the cells were incubated with a rabbit anti-Iba-1 antibody (diluted 1/200; Rabbit; Wako Inc. Richmond, VA, Cat No. 019-19741) overnight at 4°C. After washing with PBS, cells were incubated with Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG diluted 1/1000 in PBST for 1h. (ThermoScientific). Cell death was assessed by measuring supernatant lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) levels as indicated by manufacturer’s instructions (Sigma Aldrich, Cat No. 4744926001).

**Statistics**

Data are expressed as means ± standard error (S.E.). For the RNA-seq experiment differentially expressed genes (DEG) across time points were determined with a combination of fold-change (>2.0 or <-2.0) and P-value (<0.05) thresholds to balance for reproducibility, sensitivity, and specificity or results (54, 55). Statistical analysis of all other data was performed using GraphPad Prism (version 8.0 or higher) software. Student’s t-tests were used to compare differences between two groups. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Bonferroni’s post hoc test was used to compare differences between more than two groups. Statistical significance was determined by p-value <0.05. Data are expressed as means ± standard error (S.E.).

**RESULTS**

**Effect of GW2580 Treatment on Indices of Sickness and Circulating Immune Cell Populations**

Treatment with GW2580 neither reduced body weight nor the degree of food disappearance (Figures 1A, B). Furthermore, GW2580 did not alter burrowing behavior (Figure 1C). No effect of gender was found for weight, food intake or burrowing behavior (Supplemental Figure 1). Because macrophages and monocytes also express Csf1r (56), we questioned whether treatment affected the percentages of circulating immune cells. Flow cytometry analysis performed on blood samples indicated that treatment did not alter percentages of circulating B cells, T cells, monocytes or granulocytes (Figure 2). Together, these data indicate that treatment with GW2580 does not overtly affect behavior or hematopoiesis, nor does it decrease blood leukocyte viability.

**Treatment With GW2580 Caused Morphological and Transcriptomic Alterations to Microglia**

We next questioned whether treatment with GW2580 altered microglia morphology, a commonly used proxy for activation. Imaris™ software was used to non-subjectively determine effects of
GW2580 on various morphological characteristics of Iba-1 labeled microglia in 20x images including: mean filament area, filament length, filament diameter, filament volume, mean number of branches, mean number of branch points, mean number of segments and mean number of terminal points (Figure 3A and Supplemental Table 1). The cortex was chosen as a representative region of morphological changes after GW2580 treatment (57). We found that GW2580 treatment altered morphological characteristics of brain microglia in the cortex. Specifically, the filament length was increased, but filament diameter, number of branches, number of branch points, the number of segments and the mean number of terminal points were decreased as a result of GW2580 treatment (Figure 3B). Interestingly, morphological analysis of Iba-1+ microglia in 40x images showed similar results, where the number of branch points were decreased in the treated mice, as well as the number of segments (Supplemental Figure 2). However, as in previous studies (40), GW2580 treatment for 8 days did not change the number of microglia in medial prefrontal cortex, cortex or cerebellum (Figure 3C).

To access the in vivo effects of CSF1R inhibition, we performed RNA sequencing on freshly isolated microglia from GW2580 treated mice. Microglia were enriched by MACS using CD11b microbeads. This produced greater than 95% enrichment of cells phenotypically characterized as being CD11b+CD45int by flow cytometry (Figures 4A, B). The majority of the contaminating cells (5%) were found to be CD11b+CD45hi monocytes/granulocytes (Figures 4A, B). To validate the purity of microglia...
FIGURE 3 | Effect of GW2580 treatment on changes to microglia morphology. (A–C) Mice were treated with GW2580 by oral gavage for 8 days and the effect of treatment on microglia morphology and viability examined. (A) Representative three dimensional reconstruction of a microglia cell in the cortex of vehicle and GW2580 treated mice from a 20x picture. Scale bar is 50 µm. (B) Results from Imaris analysis. Each point represents an average of three cells per mouse (n=4-5 animals per group), average of three 20x z-stacks of 25µm and 500nm steps per animal. (C) Microglia counts per mm² in the prefrontal cortex, cortex and hippocampus. Each point represents an average of three pictures. Data shown are expressed as means ± standard error (SE). Statistical significance is represented by p-value < 0.05 (*), and p-value < 0.01 (**).
after RNA-seq was performed, we examined the expression of genes specific for microglia (Tmem119, Hexb, Fcrs, Siglech), astrocytes (Gfap, Aldh1l1, Aqp4), oligodendrocytes (Olig2, Plp1, Sox10), endothelial cells (Pecam1, Cldn5, Tie2) and neurons (Sp9, Rhen) (Supplemental Table 2). This analysis revealed that the RNA was enriched for microglia specific genes, confirming that the sequenced cells were microglia (Figure 4C and Supplemental Table 2). In total, we identified 577 differentially expressed genes (DEGs), of which 144 were upregulated and 433 were downregulated. Since GW2580 is a CSF1R antagonist we hypothesized that genes involved in the CSF1/CSF1R signaling pathway may be affected by treatment. Therefore, we examined the expression values of the CSF1R ligands Csf1 and Il34 as well as the expression of Csf1r itself. The RNA sequencing analysis showed that Csf1 was upregulated in the GW2580 treated group. Furthermore, while the mean expression value for Csf1r trended towards being higher in the treated group, the effect did not reach statistical significance (p-value of 0.08). Consistent with the fact that Il34 is predominantly expressed by pericytes and neurons (Tabula Muris Consortium, 2018) but not microglia, we found the expression values for Il34 were low. There was no difference in the level of Il34 expression.

Analysis of the gene ontology terms indicated that genes encoding proteins involved in glutathione metabolic process, response to oxidative stress, removal of superoxide radicals and response to estradiol were decreased by treatment (Figure 4D and Supplemental Table 3). Additionally, ontology analysis of the upregulated genes showed that GW2580 affects expression of genes involved in G-protein coupled receptor signaling pathway and sensory perception of smell (Supplemental Table 4).

**In Vitro Effects of Inhibiting Csfr1 From Primary Microglia After GW2580 Treatment**

We sought to determine if GW2580 treatment affected microglia in culture. Unlike microglial cell lines, such as BV-2 cells, primary mouse microglia monocultures do not readily proliferate in culture. However, as reported by others (58, 59) we found that CSF1 treatment for 48h increased cell number as well as the number of Ki-67+ cells per well in a dose-dependent fashion without affecting cell viability (Figures 5A, B). These data indicate that primary microglia are responsive to CSF1. To establish the dose at which GW2580 affects microglial function, primary cultures were pretreated with increasing concentration of GW2580 then stimulated with either media or CSF1. As before, CSF1 treatment increased the number of Ki-67+ cells. However, concurrent treatment with GW2580 ameliorated this effect, without affecting cell viability (Figures 5C, D). These findings indicate that cultured primary microglia proliferate in response to CSF1 and confirm that treatment with GW2580 at a concentration of 5μM is sufficient to inhibit the effects of CSF1 signaling.

To confirm the effects of GW2580 on cultured microglia, we examined the expression levels of select genes that comprised the gene ontology term “response to oxidative stress” (Ndufs8, Prdx5), “removal of superoxide radicals” (Prdx2), and “glutathione metabolic process” (Gpx4), identified by our RNA-seq analysis. Treatment of primary microglia cultures with GW2580 suppressed the expression of Ndufs8, Prdx2, Prdx5 and Gpx4 without affecting viability (Supplemental Figure 3).

**Treatment With GW2580 Sensitizes Microglia to ROS Toxicity**

Stimulation with LPS upregulates ROS production in BV-2 cells (60) and primary microglia (61). In order to validate ROS production by primary microglia after LPS stimulation, we analyzed ROS production by flow cytometry using CellRox. For these experiments treatment with H2O2 served as a positive control. As reported by others, we found that both LPS and H2O2 treated microglia displayed increased fluorescence after 24h (Figures 6A, B). Since our RNA-seq data suggested that GW2580 suppressed genes associated with regulation of ROS, we questioned the effects of treatment on primary cultures producing ROS. As shown in Figure 6C, GW2580 treatment decreased ROS production by primary microglia, indicating that GW2580 sensitizes microglia to ROS toxicity.
stimulated with LPS. For these experiments microglia cultures were stimulated with either media, LPS, GW2580 or LPS and GW2580 together. Treatment with GW2580 or LPS alone had minimal effects on cell viability. In contrast, when microglia were concurrently treated with LPS and GW2580 we observed a marked decrease in cell viability which was validated by increased levels of LDH in the supernatant (Figures 6C, D). Notably, this effect was dependent on the concentration of GW2580 added to the culture (Figure 6D). As reported for primary peritoneal macrophages treatment did not affect the acute production of TNF from stimulated cultures (Figure 6E) (40). Taken together, these data indicate that LPS induces ROS in primary microglia and that CSF1R antagonism decreases viability when microglia are activated by LPS.

Next, we sought to examine a potential relationship between cell death resulting from treatment with LPS and GW2580 and ROS production. To determine if ROS was responsible for microglia death, we replicated the experimental design from previous experiments and pretreated cultures with or without YCG063, a ROS scavenger (62–64). As in previous experiments, microglial cell viability was decreased only when they were cultured in the presence of GW2580 and LPS. This effect was prevented in the presence of the ROS inhibitor YCG063 (Figures 7A, D), indicating that ROS was involved in the reduction of cell viability observed when microglia were treated with GW2580 and LPS.

To determine if pretreatment with GW2580 increased the sensitivity of microglia to ROS, cultures were challenged with increasing concentration of H2O2 in the presence or absence of drug treatment. Microglia pretreated with GW2580 had increased supernatant levels of LDH when stimulated with 50µM, 75µM and 100µM concentrations of H2O2 compared to control cultures (Figures 7C, D), indicating that GW2580 increased susceptibility of cells to a direct ROS challenge. Taken together, these data indicate that CSF1 antagonism by GW2580 suppresses the capacity for microglia to respond to oxidative stressors and sensitizes them to ROS when they become reactive.

**Treatment With the RIP1 Inhibitor Nec-1 Prevented GW2580-Mediated Toxicity**

Treatment mediated dysregulation of ROS may promote cell death by either apoptosis or necroptosis (65–67). However, a time course experiment showed that treatment did not cause appreciable changes in the number of cells positively stained for cleaved caspase-3, indicating apoptosis is not likely to be the mechanism of cell death (data not shown). It was recently shown that Toll-like receptor activation in the context of caspase-8 inhibition caused microglia cell death by necroptosis in a manner contingent upon activation of RIP1/RIP3 complex and ROS production (66). CSF1R ligation by CSF1 also promotes caspase-8 activation (68), which may function to inhibit RIP1/RIP3 mediated necroptosis. To test whether necroptosis was involved in cell death resulting from co-treatment with LPS and GW2580, primary microglia were stimulated as before in the presence or absence of Nec-1, a RIP1 antagonist and potent necroptosis inhibitor (Figure 8A). Our data
show that treatment with Nec-1 inhibited cell death in LPS and GW2580 stimulated cells (Figure 8B), indicating an involvement of necroptosis in treatment mediated cell death.

DISCUSSION

In the current study we characterized the effects of GW2580, a CSF1R antagonist, on microglia. Herein, we report that treatment does not affect weight, food disappearance or burrowing activity. However, GW2580 induced morphological changes to microglia and altered their transcriptome without affecting viability. Ontology analysis of down regulated genes indicated glutathione metabolic processes and response to oxidative stress may be dysregulated in microglia isolated from treated mice. Indeed, our culture experiments revealed that primary microglia produce ROS after stimulation with LPS, and that cell viability was only reduced under culture conditions in which cells were concurrently treated with GW2580 and LPS, an effect that was ameliorated in the presence of an ROS inhibitor. In addition, we found that GW2580 treated microglia were more sensitive to hydrogen peroxide treatment. In addition, we observed that this effect was abolished in the presence of the necroptosis inhibitor, Nec-1. These data collectively indicate that CSF1R antagonism alters the ability of microglia to regulate oxidative stress, and that concurrent treatment with LPS and GW2580 promotes cell death in a manner contingent on activation of necroptosis.

Our results indicate that eight days of treatment with GW2580 does not affect microglia cell number, burrowing behavior or food disappearance, but evokes subtle changes to microglia morphology. The effects of GW2580 on animal behavior are similar to those reported previously with PLX3397 (37, 44, 69). In fact, previous studies using the
microglia depleting CSF1R antagonist PLX3397, demonstrated that treatment does not alter animal behavior in otherwise healthy animals (28, 57). In addition to this, CSF1R inhibition using PLX5622 did not alter body weights (70, 71). Furthermore PLX5622 mediated microglia depletion only altered food intake when fed a diet high in saturated fatty acids, but did not impact food intake under normal conditions (72). In terms of morphological changes, few studies have investigated the effects of systemic treatment with non-depleting CSF1R agonists on microglia morphology. Elmore et al., showed that treatment with PLX3397 depletes microglia and that microglia are only morphologically distinct from controls during the repopulation period (57). Treatment with the same antagonist caused morphological changes after 2 days of treatment (73). Similar effects were observed following PLX5622 treatment (74–76). Given that PLX3397 and PLX5622 both rapidly induce microglial cell death, the finding that these drugs alter morphology might be anticipated. Of relevance, treatment with ki20227 is similar to GW2580 in that it does not alter microglial cell numbers. However, as in our study treatment with ki20227 altered the number of microglial segments and branch endpoints (77). Finally, two additional studies have shown that GW2580 is capable of altering microglial morphology (28, 39). Taken together these data indicate that CSF1R inhibition impacts microglia morphology, and indicate that even the non-toxic molecules affect normal microglial physiology.

To better understand how GW2580 treatment affected microglial physiology, we performed an RNA-sequencing experiment on microglia isolated from the brains of control and GW2580 treated mice. Our findings revealed that treatment caused transcriptomic changes to microglia. Unsurprisingly, our data show that Csf1 was upregulated in the GW2580 treated group, and that Csf1r expression exhibits a trend towards being increased. The upregulation of Csf1 likely reflects an attempt by microglia to increase CSF1R signaling, and indicates that treatment may perturb the CSF1/CSF1R pathway. While many studies have recently utilized pharmacological inhibition of CSFR1 to delete microglia, the effects of CSF1R inhibition on the microglia transcriptome has not been completely elucidated. Similar to our results, data obtained from an RNA sequencing experiment performed on microglia isolated from wild-type and Csf1r-/- zebrafish, indicated that Csf1r expression was increased (78). Additionally, in this zebrafish model, upregulation of genes involved in chemotaxis and migration was observed in Csf1r-deficient microglia compared to wild-type controls (78). Ontology terms from our upregulated genes associated with treatment showed genes related to G-coupled receptor signaling pathway and sensory perception of smell. However, the RMPK level of the genes associated with these ontology terms was low in the control group. Oosterhof and coworkers also observed downregulation of genes related to central nervous system development and ion transportation (78), consistent with the findings from our current study. Transcriptomic analyses performed on metastatic tumors from mice treated with AZD7507, another CSF1R pharmacological inhibitor, showed alterations in tumor microenvironment composition and function (79). This does not imply any significance in terms of direct changes in the macrophage population but it suggests that macrophages present in the tumor
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responded to treatment and altered their relationship with the tumor, because they express CSF1R. Of relevance, human glioblastoma cells also produce CSF1 (80) and treatment with GW2580 inhibits tumor growth following implantation (81).

Transcriptomic analysis of our RNA-sequencing data showed that treatment with GW2580 decreased expression of genes involved in glutathione metabolism and regulation of ROS. We are not aware of other studies that have reported this effect of GW2580 on murine microglia. However, RNA sequencing from Csf1r-deficient microglia obtained from zebrafish also showed downregulation of ROS-related genes. These include Gpx4a (glutathione peroxidase 4a- down 516), Mgst2 (microsomal glutathione S-transferase 2), Gstt1a (glutathione S-transferase theta 1a), Gstp1 (glutathione S-transferase pi 1), Gpx1a (glutathione peroxidase 1a), Nrros (negative regulator of reactive oxygen species), and Romo1 (reactive oxygen species modulator 1) (78) which are related to glutathione metabolism. Intriguingly, Mac2+ microglia that repopulate the brain after PLX5622 mediated deletion upregulate genes related to the ROS pathway (82). This finding is interesting given that intracellular ROS production appears to control the fate of common myeloid progenitors (CMP) in bone marrow. Specifically, CMP cells expressing low levels of ROS differentiate into megakaryocyte-erythrocyte progenitors and those expressing high levels of ROS upregulate CSFR1 and are likely to differentiate into granulocytes or monocytes (83). Continual stimulation of CSF1R by CSF1 inhibits receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB ligand (RANKL), activation of Nox1 and Nox4 protein expression and subsequent osteoclast generation (84). In addition to this, it has been previously proposed that CSF1 increase ROS production via NADPH oxidase inducing the expression of RANK (85, 86). Increased intracellular ROS activates both Akt1 and p38 MAPK pathways to regulate monocyte survival (86). Stimulation with TNF seem to upregulate CSF1 production, but in the absence of it, classical monocyte survival factors, including CSF1, GM-CSF and IL-34 seem to not contribute to cell survival (87). In addition, RNA-sequencing performed on microglia isolated from brain and spinal cords of mice treated with the CSF1R inhibitor BLZ945 show that treatment downregulated Gstm1 (glutathione S-transferase, mu 1) and Gsto1 (glutathione S-transferase omega 1) (88). Together these data implicate a yet to be established connection between CSF1R signaling, ROS production, detoxification, microglia differentiation and survival.

Since ROS plays an important role in microglia activation and CNS damage this provides a potential mechanism of how CSF1R inhibition, specifically GW2580, works. This becomes very relevant because it was previously found that CSF1 signaling may contribute to the pathology of neurological disorders due to the altered microglia (89). For example, a large-scale RNA-sequencing from spinal cord tissue identified CSF1R as a key node of disease progression in a model of progressive multiple sclerosis (58). They generated a selective CNS-penetrant CSF1R inhibitor molecule and observed...
attenuation of the inflammatory response in microglia and macrophages and significant reduction of symptoms in the animal model for multiple sclerosis (58). Similar findings have been reported with other CSF1R inhibitors to treat models of multiple sclerosis (42, 70, 90) demyelination (91–94), Alzheimer’s disease (36, 44, 91, 95, 96) neuroinflammation and neurodegeneration (36, 37, 44).

While it is established that CSF1R inhibition readily causes microglia cell death, the mechanism by which the cells die is not clearly established and may be context dependent. For instance, caspase-3+ microglia have been detected in naïve mice treated with the CSF1R inhibitor PLX3397 (28). Our data suggest that TLR4 activation via LPS stimulation in the presence of GW2580, promotes necroptosis, at least in culture. While growth factor withdrawal is known to promote apoptosis, it has also been shown that interactions between CSF1 and its receptor CSF1R leads to caspase-8 activation via activation of phosphatidylinositol-3 and AKT (68). Caspase 8 is an established inhibitor of the RIP1/RIP3 complex and thus reductions in caspase 8 promote cell death by necroptosis. For instance, TLR4 activation induced microglial necroptosis in a TNF/TNFR1 independent manner that was instead dependent on TRIF and RIP3 signaling (66). TLR4 activation recruits the adaptor molecules TRIF and MyD88, TRIF interacts with RIP1 and RIP3 via receptor-interacting protein homotypic interaction motif leading to RIPK1 ubiquitination and the formation of RIP1/RIP3 complex directing necrosome formation and necroptosis (66, 97). It is notable that LPS-activated microglia are capable of producing ROS, and that activation of the RIP1/RIP3 complex can also lead to ROS production (66). ROS can activate the necrosome causing necroptosis. As such, inhibition of ROS may prevent necroptosis (66), which relate to our findings as well. In contrast, multiple studies have shown CSF1R inhibition promotes cell death via apoptosis (28, 58, 75). However, our data suggest that inhibition of CSF1R signaling during a state of activation may lead to necroptosis, an effect that should be investigated further.

In summary, we have characterized the effects of systemic GW2580 treatment, a CSF1R antagonist, on microglia isolated from healthy mice. While treatment did not alter behavior or microglial cell viability, the data reveal that it suppressed expression of genes involved in regulating ROS. Culture experiments indicate that CSF1R inhibition sensitizes microglia to ROS-mediated cell death. These data indicate a yet to be established link between CSF1R signaling, redox status and microglial cell viability.
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**Supplementary Figure 1 | Effect of GW2580 treatment on mouse weight and behavior per gender.** (A–C) Mice were treated with GW2580 at a dose of 80mg/kg/d by oral gavage for 8 days. The effects of treatment on weight (A), food disappearance (B), and burrowing activity (C) are shown. Data are expressed as means ± standard error (S.E.). Each group is composed of n=12–15 mice per group from four independent experiments for weight change, n=3 per group from a single experiment for food disappearance, and n=3 per group from three independent experiments for the burrowing activity.

**Supplementary Figure 2 | Effect of GW2580 treatment in microglia morphology.** (A–E) Mice were treated with GW2580 by oral gavage for 8 days and the effect of treatment on microglia morphology and viability examined. (A, B) Representative three dimensional reconstruction of a microglia cell in the cortex of vehicle (A) and GW2580 treated (B) mice from a 40x picture. Scale bar is 10µm. (C) Results from Immars analysis. Each point represents an average of three cells per mouse. Each point represents an average of three pictures. Data shown is expressed as means ± standard error (S.E.), *p-value < 0.05.

**Supplementary Figure 3 | Effect of GW2580 treatment on expression of select genes by RT-qPCR.** (A–E). Primary microglia were cultured from brains of neonatal mice and plated at a density of 5×10⁵ cells per well in 24-well plates. Cells were treated with GW2580 (5µM) or medium for 72h, then the expression of select genes determined by qRT-PCR. The effect of treatment on expression of Ndufs8 (A), Prdx2 (B), Prdx5 (C), and Gpx4 (D) is shown. (E) Cell death from the treated samples was addressed by LDH measurement. Data are combined means ± standard error (S.E.) and are from 4–6 independent cultures. ### means that the gene was undetectable. Statistical significance is represented by p-value, p-value < 0.01 (***) and p-value < 0.001 (****).
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