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Global gene methylation profiling of common warts caused by human papillomaviruses infection

Infection with the human papillomaviruses (HPV) often involves the epigenetic modification of the host genome. Despite its prevalence among the population, host genome methylation in HPV-induced warts is not clearly understood. In this study, genome-wide methylation profiling was carried out on paired healthy skin and wart samples in order to investigate the effects that benign HPV infection has on gene methylation status. To overcome this gap in knowledge, paired wart (n = 12) and normal skin (n = 12) samples were obtained from Arab males in order to perform DNA extraction and subsequent genome-wide methylation profiling on the Infinium Methylation EPIC Bead Chip microarray. Analysis of differential methylation revealed a clear pattern of discrimination between the wart and normal skin samples. In warts, the most differentially methylated (DM) genes included long non-coding RNAs (AC005884, AL049646.2, AC126121.2, AP001790.1, and AC107959.3), microRNAs (MIR37B4, MIR596, MIR1255B1, MIR26B, and MIR196A2), snRNAs (SNORD114-22, SNORD70, and SNORD114-31), pseudogenes (AC069366.1, RNU4ATAC11P, AC120057.1, NANOGP3, AC106038.2, TPT1P2, SDC4P, PKMP3, and VN2R3P), and protein-coding genes (AREG, GJB2, C12orf71, AC020909.2, S100A8, ZBED2, FABP7, and CYSLTR1). In addition, pathway analysis revealed that, among the most differentially methylated genes, STAT5A, RARA, MEF2D, MAP3K8, and THRA were the common regulators. It can be observed that HPV-induced warts involve a clear and unique epigenetic alteration to the host genome.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The human papillomaviruses (HPV) are a group of double-stranded DNA viruses that exclusively infect the epithelia of mucosal or cutaneous surfaces (Mcmurray et al., 2001). HPV is an obligate intracellular parasite, entering the host cell, i.e. the basal keratinocyte, through a micro-abrasion in the epithelial layer and inducing its rapid growth and proliferation (Bacaj and Burch, 1987; Horvath et al., 2010). HPV transmission can occur via both sexual and non-sexual contact with infected individuals as well as through fomites (Houlihan et al., 2019). High-risk HPV types have been identified as a major causative agent in cervical cancer, while low-risk types have been associated with formation of skin lesions known as warts (Bosch et al., 2002; Senapati et al., 2016). Warts are benign hyperproliferative tumors that are induced by low-risk HPV infection, with cases often resolving in a spontaneous manner (Jablonska et al., 1997). Depending on the HPV type, warts can differ from one another based on their morphology, histology, anatomical localization, and potential to cause malignant transformation (Egawa et al., 2015). The most prevalent type of cutaneous wart is the common wart (Verruca vulgaris), which manifests as a discolored or skin-colored lesion with a hyperkeratotic surface (Mulhem and Pinelis, 2011). Common warts are associated with the low-risk HPV types 1–5, 27, 29, and 57, the latter of which are tightly linked to the differentiation cycle of basal keratinocytes (Al Aboud and Nigam, 2018; Brugink et al., 2012; Graham, 2017;
Due to the ephemeral nature of warts, epigenetic mechanisms such as DNA methylation are posited to be involved in their formation (Milavetz and Balakrishnan, 2015; von Knebel Doeberitz and Prigge, 2019). DNA methylation, which involves the enzymatic addition of a methyl group to the fifth cytosine carbon, mostly occurs on CpG dinucleotides and plays an essential role in normal mammalian development (Hackett and Azim Surani, 2013; Smith and Meissner, 2013; Yong et al., 2016). Differentiated cells possess a stable and distinct pattern of methylation that helps regulate the transcription of their genes in a tissue-specific manner (Moore et al., 2013). Under normal conditions, the majority of the human genome can be found in a methylated state, but pockets of hypomethylation can be found within CpG islands, which are short and interspersed throughout the genome (Deaton and Bird, 2011). Modulated patterns of DNA methylation have been reported in skin pathogeneses, including autoimmune and malignant disorders (Mervis and McGee, 2020). Furthermore, aberrant methylation of the host cell genome is often induced by HPV infection and has been observed in a number of high-risk HPV-associated diseases (Dankai et al., 2019; Feng et al., 2018; Verlaat et al., 2018; von Knebel Doeberitz and Prigge, 2019).

Due to their carcinogenic potential, high-risk HPV infection has been the subject of a much greater amount of research compared to its low-risk counterpart. Our previous studies on warts focused on the methylation status of CpG Islands, CpG sites, promoters, and tiling regions, with significant differential methylation being reported that significantly differed depending on the type of gene region (Al-Eitan et al., 2019a, 2020a, 2020b, 2020c). In this study, genome-wide methylation profiling was performed on paired healthy skin and wart samples in order to investigate the effects that benign HPV infection has on gene methylation status.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) committee at Jordan University of Science and Technology (Ref. # 19/105/2017). All participants gave written informed consent before taking part in this study.

2.2. Sample collection

The same case cohort from previously published works was used in the current study to minimize the effects of inter-individual genetic variation (Al-Eitan et al., 2020a, 2020b, 2020d, 2019a, 2019b). Participants (n = 12) were Arab males presenting with common warts (Verruca vulgaris) ranging between 18 and 27 years old in age and not reporting any comorbidities. Common warts were identified by a resident dermatologist based on typical clinical diagnosis (black thrombosed capillaries or pinpoint bleeding when pared and keratotic surfaces), and, after application of a local anesthetic, superficial shave biopsies of the warts (n = 12) and adjacent normal skin (n = 12) were obtained. The anatomic localization of the biopsies included the dorsal sides of the hand (n = 20) and foot (n = 2) as well as the upper part of the forehead (n = 2).

2.3. DNA extraction and methylation profiling

A QiAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) was employed in order to extract genomic DNA, which was later treated with RNase A. The quality of the extracted DNA was determined using agarose gel electrophoresis and the BioTekPowerWave XS2 Spectrophotometer (BioTek Instruments, Inc., Winuski, VT, USA). DNA samples that passed quality control were shipped on dry ice to the Australian Genome Research Facility (AGRF), where their quality and quantity were reassessed using the QuantiFluor™ dsDNA System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and 0.8% agarose gel electrophoresis. After normalization to around 500 ng of DNA per 45 μL, the DNA samples were bisulfite converted using the Zymo EZ DNA Methylation kit (Zymo Research, Olsen, CA, USA). Genome-wide methylation profiling was performed on the Infinium MethylationEPICBeadChip microarray (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA), the latter of which analyzes the methylation patterns of over 850,000 CpG sites.

2.4. Data processing

The computational R package RnBeads was altered for the processing and analysis of the raw intensity data (IDAT files) produced by the methylation chip (Assenov et al., 2014). Following the RnBeads pipeline, all probes and samples were subject to quality control assurance, after which they were pre-processed, adjusted for batch effects, and normalized.

2.5. Differential methylation and statistical analysis

At the gene level, the mean of the mean \( \beta (\text{mean.mean.}\beta) \) values of all tested CpG sites in each gene was computed. Fig. 1 depicts the number of CpG sites on each gene. The differential methylation level of each gene was calculated through the mean.\text{mean.}\beta difference between normal skin (NS) and warts (W), the log\(_2\) of the mean quotient in \( \beta \) means across all CpG sites in a gene; and, using a limma statistical test, the adjusted combined p-value of all CpG sites in a gene (Al-Eitan et al., 2019a; Assenov et al., 2014; Ritchie et al., 2015). The Benjamini and Hochberg (B-H) procedure was employed to account for multiple testing. Based on the three aforementioned analyses, each gene was given a rank, and the combined rank score was computed as the maximum (lowest) rank.
among the three ranks. Genes which exhibit more differential methylation will have a smaller combined rank (Al-Eitan et al., 2020b; Assenov et al., 2014). Using the combined rank score, genes were sorted from smallest to largest, and the highest 1000 genes in terms of combined rank were selected for further analysis.

2.6. Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis

Using the gene ontology (GO) consortium, enrichment analysis for the (GO) terms associated with the highest-ranking 500 differentially methylated genes was carried out using GO consortium (The Gene Ontology Consortium, 2017).

2.7. Signaling network analysis

The signaling network of the highest-ranking 1000 differentially methylated genes was explored using the Signaling Network Open Resource 2.0 (Signor) (Perfetto et al., 2016). Only ‘direct’ interactions with a relaxed layout and a score of ‘0.0’ were included for analysis as a result of the great number of connections.
2.8. Validation of the top five differentially methylated genes

The 100 most DM genes were sorted according to the combined rank score and merged with the 100 most differentially expressed (DE) genes from a previous study carried out in our lab on the same sample set (Al-Eitan et al., 2020d). The top five overlapping genes were selected for further validation after examining both their expression and methylation levels.

3. Results

3.1. Samples clustering

Samples were found to exhibit an expected pattern of hierarchical clustering based on the complete set of methylation values calculated for the 1000 highest-ranking genes in terms of differential methylation (Fig. 2). To further confirm this phenotypic difference
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Fig. 4. Identification of the 1,000 most differentially methylated (DM) genes between wart (W) and normal skin (NS) samples. (A) The scatterplot illustrates the mean of mean methylation (β) levels for NS (x-axis) and W (y-axis) samples. β levels lay between 0 (unmethylated) and 1 (methylated), with blue points indicating DM sites. (B) The volcano plot depicts relative hypomethylation (<0) and hypermethylation (>0) as measured by the mean of the mean fold difference (mean.mean.diff) (x-axis) and the log2 of the mean of mean quotient in methylation (mean.mean.quot.log2) (y-axis). The scale’s color intensity correlates with the combined rank score, whereby a lower score indicates more DM.

![Category breakdown of the 100 most differentially methylated genes in warts (W) compared to normal skin (NS).](image3)

Fig. 5. Category breakdown of the 100 most differentially methylated genes in warts (W) compared to normal skin (NS). The genes belonged to the following categories: RNA genes (65%), pseudogenes (19%), protein-coding genes (15%), and uncategorized genes (3%). The 65 RNA genes could be further classified into IncRNAs (54%), miRNAs (5%), and snoRNAs (4%).
in clustering, multidimensional scaling (MDS) (Fig. 3A) and principal component analysis (PCA) (Fig. 3B) were carried out on the dataset, showing that wart and normal skin samples were significantly different.

3.2. Identification of differentially methylated genes

35,026 genomic identifiers (Ensembl release 75 data) passed the quality control and preprocessing steps, including some identifiers that did not map to gene symbols or were not assigned (NA). Genomic identifiers without gene symbols were then removed to obtain a total of 34,044 genes with known symbols. The list of differentially methylated genes in warts was limited to the highest-ranking 1,000 genes based on the combined ranking score. Using this scoring method, a list of 610 genes were found to be hypomethylated and 390 genes to be hypermethylated in warts (W) compared to normal skin (NS) with a mean \( \beta \) difference > 0.057 and < -0.056 (p-value <= 0.001 (adjusted p-value <=0.01)) (Fig. 4A). Of the 610 hypomethylated genes, the \( \beta \) difference ranged from -0.056 to -0.409. Of the 390 hypermethylated genes, the \( \beta \) difference ranged from 0.057 to 0.367. The log2 of the quotient in methylation between W and NS had a maximum value of 1.686.

**Fig. 6.** For the 500 most hypermethylated genes, word clouds showing the significant (A) biological processes (BP) and (B) molecular functions (MF).

**Fig. 7.** For the 500 most hypomethylated genes, word clouds showing the significant (A) biological processes (BP) and (B) molecular functions (MF).
and minimum value of $-1.751$ (Fig. 4B). The 100 genes with the lowest combined rank score are presented in Table S1, and their categorical breakdown is illustrated in Fig. 5.

### 3.3. Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis

GO analysis of the 500 most hypermethylated (Fig. 6 and Tables S2 and S3) and the 500 most hypomethylated genes (Fig. 7 and Tables S4 and S5) revealed the level of representation of terms related to biological processes (BP) and molecular functions (MF).

### 3.4. Pathway analysis

Pathway analysis of the top-ranking 1,000 differentially methylated genes showed that several genes were found to be common regulators of the gene network, with a minimum of 9 direct connectivities each (Fig. 8). Namely, these genes included the STAT5A, RARA, MEF2D, MAP3K8, and THRA genes.

### 3.5. Validation of the top five differentially methylated genes

The top five overlapping genes in terms of differential methylation and expression were the AREG, GJB2, S100A8, FABP7, and ZBED2 genes. The expression and methylation levels of these genes in HPV-induced warts and non-infected normal skin are listed in Table 1. Of the 5 genes selected for validation, 4 genes (AREG, GJB2, S100A8, and ZBED2) were hypomethylated and had decreased levels of expression in warts compared to normal skin. In contrast, the FABP7 gene was found to be hypermethylated and upregulated in warts compared to normal skin.

![Pathway signalling network generated from the 1000 most differentially methylated genes. Five genes (STAT5A, RARA, MEF2D, MAP3K8, and THRA) were found to have a minimum of 9 direct connectivities each.](image)

**Fig. 8.** Pathway signalling network generated from the 1000 most differentially methylated genes. Five genes (STAT5A, RARA, MEF2D, MAP3K8, and THRA) were found to have a minimum of 9 direct connectivities each.

### Table 1

| Gene     | Methylation Level | Expression Level |
|----------|-------------------|------------------|
|          | mean/mean $\beta$ value (NS) | mean/mean $\beta$ value (W) | mean/mean $\beta$ value (W-NS) | FDR LogFC | FDR |
| AREG     | 0.618             | 0.209            | -0.408            | 7.87 × 10⁻⁸ | -3.393 | 1.38 × 10⁻⁹ |
| GJB2     | 0.516             | 0.244            | -0.272            | 1.29 × 10⁻⁷ | -3.404 | 3.11 × 10⁻¹⁰ |
| FABP7    | 0.255             | 0.453            | 0.198             | 4.62 × 10⁻⁷ | 4.427  | 1.60 × 10⁻⁵ |
| S100A8   | 0.317             | 0.15             | -0.167            | 3.47 × 10⁻⁷ | -8.412 | 1.07 × 10⁻⁸ |
| ZBED2    | 0.353             | 0.174            | -0.179            | 1.87 × 10⁻⁵ | -1.842 | 1.10 × 10⁻⁵ |

1 Mean/mean $\beta$ value = mean of mean methylation levels across all sites in a region;  
2 logFC = log fold change;  
3 FDR = false discovery rate.
4. Discussion

The human papillomaviruses (HPVs) have evolved several epigenetic mechanisms to alter the gene expression and biology of host cells (Durzynska et al., 2017). Over the course of HPV infection, several epigenetic changes occur to both the host and viral genomes, including histone modifications as well as DNA methylation and hypermethylation of lncRNA and miRNA genes (Soto et al., 2017). DNA methylation is one of the most studied mechanisms of epigenetic change, and it is involved in the regulation of gene expression through its influence on transcriptional regulation (Barros and Offenbacher, 2009). Increased DNA methylation generally results in a loss of gene expression, but this relationship can fluctuate depending on biological context (Lim and Maher, 2010). In fact, a growing number of studies report that promoter hypermethylation results in gene activation (Smith et al., 2020). Similarly, methylation of the gene body is associated with a loss of expression in non-dividing and slowly dividing cells but not in actively dividing cells (Moore et al., 2013).

Aberant methylation to the host genome has been extensively reported for high-risk HPV infection associated with cervical and oropharyngeal cancers, with certain methylation statuses acting as biomarkers for the disease (Clarke et al., 2018, 2012; Marongiu et al., 2014). Furthermore, HPV-induced methylation of the host genome can act as a reliable diagnostic and prognostic tool in oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma (Boscolo-Rizzo et al., 2017). In contrast, a dearth of information exists regarding the oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma (Boscolo-Rizzo et al., 2014). Furthermore, HPV-induced methylation of genes was matched to their gene categories and, if applicable, a conserved group of ncRNA that are integral to the chemical modification and processing of other types of RNA, including small nuclear RNAs (snRNAs), ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs), and transfer RNAs (tRNAs). snoRNAs can be divided into two main classes: the methylation-associated box C/D snoRNAs and the pseudouridylation-associated H/ACA box snoRNAs (Scott and Ono, 2011). Box C/D snoRNAs guide the 2′-O-methylation, a post-transcriptional modification, of the RNA ribose sugar, and altered expression of these snoRNAs have been observed in a number of different cancers and genetic diseases (Deoghrania and Majumder, 2019). In the current study, the small nucleolar RNA, C/D Box 114–29 (SNORD114–29) gene was the third most hypomethylated gene in warts compared to normal skin. SNORD114–29, along with other members of the SNORD114 family, was found to be significantly upregulated in Han Chinese individuals compared to Uyghurs, suggesting that ethnicity plays a role in the snoRNA profile of the skin (Wu et al., 2018). Dysregulation has been associated with several cancers as well as their degree of cellular chemoresistance (Sun et al., 2018). In healthy skin, SNORD114B expression was found to be significantly downregulated in Han Chinese individuals compared to Uyghurs, suggesting that ethnicity plays a role in the snoRNA profile of the skin (Wu et al., 2018).

4.2. Methylation status of microRNA (miRNA) genes

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are a highly conserved group of short ncRNA that are significantly involved in the regulation of several biological processes, including the post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression (O’Brien et al., 2018). In the skin, miRNA biogenesis plays an important part in the development and differentiation of skin stem cells, and certain miRNAs have a significant impact on inflammatory disorders of the skin, including psoriasis and cancer (Singhvi et al., 2018). In the present study, MIR374B, MIR596, MIR125581, MIR26B, and MIR196A2 were found to be among the topmost DM genes in warts compared to normal skin. The MIR374B gene, the most differentially methylated miRNA and also known as hsa-MIR-374B-3p or hsa-MIR-374B-5p, promotes cell proliferation and aberrant glycosylation by targeting the phosphatase and tensin (PTEN) and Cosmc genes, respectively (Hu et al., 2015; Long et al., 2018). Additionally, MIR374B was reported to target the reversion inducing cysteine rich protein with Kazal motifs (RECK) and zinc finger E-box-binding homeobox 2 (ZEB2) genes in order to inhibit cellular migration and invasion in bladder cancer (Wang et al., 2018). Dysregulation has been associated with several cancers as well as their degree of cellular chemoresistance (Sun et al., 2018). In healthy skin, MIR374B expression was found to be significantly downregulated in Han Chinese individuals compared to Uyghurs, suggesting that ethnicity plays a role in the miRNA profile of the skin (Wu et al., 2018).

4.3. Methylation status of small nucleolar RNA (snoRNA) genes

Found in all eukaryotes, the small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs) are a conserved group of ncRNA that are integral to the chemical modification and processing of other types of RNA, including small nuclear RNAs (snRNAs), ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs), and transfer RNAs (tRNAs). snoRNAs can be divided into two main classes: the methylation-associated box C/D snoRNAs and the pseudouridylation-associated H/ACA box snoRNAs (Scott and Ono, 2011). Box C/D snoRNAs guide the 2′-O-methylation, a post-transcriptional modification, of the RNA ribose sugar, and altered expression of these snoRNAs have been observed in a number of different cancers and genetic diseases (Deoghrania and Majumder, 2019). In the current study, the small nucleolar RNA, C/D Box 114–29 (SNORD114–29) gene was the third most hypomethylated gene in warts compared to normal skin. SNORD114–29, along with other members of the SNORD114 family, was found to be similarly hypomethylated in smoking-associated lung cancer tissue (Molina-Pinelo et al., 2018). Additionally, the SNORD114–22, SNORD70, and SNORD114–31 genes were similarly hypomethylated in warts compared to normal skin.

4.4. Methylation status of pseudogenes

Found abundantly throughout the genome, pseudogenes are non-functional segments of DNA that possess a high degree of homology to a protein-coding parent gene (Mighell et al., 2000). Although their function is yet to be definitively determined, pseudogenes have been found to be aberrantly methylated or deleted in the context of HPV, dysregulation of certain lncRNAs has been found to be involved in HPV-associated cervical and squamous cell carcinomas (Kretz et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2018). The findings of the present study showed that the AC005884, AL049646.2, AC126121.2, AP001790.1, and AC107959.3 lncRNA genes were among the topmost DM genes in warts compared to normal skin. However, only the AC107959.3 gene has been previously reported to be differentially expressed in hepatitis virus positive hepatocellular carcinoma (Huang et al., 2019).

4.1. Methylation status of long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) genes

The vast majority of the human genome is transcribed into long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs), the latter of which comprise a diverse class of RNA molecules with transcript lengths exceeding 200 nucleotides (Yao et al., 2019). lncRNAs have been implicated as important players in epigenetic regulation, as they can act to directly or indirectly modulate gene methylation at CpG dinucleotides (Kung et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2016). Moreover, lncRNAs play an integral role in regulating the biological processes of the skin, including those pertaining to keratinocyte differentiation (Kretz et al., 2013, 2012; Li et al., 2017; Tang et al., 2020). In the context of HPV, dysregulation of certain lncRNAs has been found to be involved in HPV-associated cervical and squamous cell carcinomas (Kretz et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2018). The findings of the present study showed that the AC005884, AL049646.2, AC126121.2, AP001790.1, and AC107959.3 lncRNA genes were among the topmost DM genes in warts compared to normal skin. However, only the AC107959.3 gene has been previously reported to be differentially expressed in hepatitis virus positive hepatocellular carcinoma (Huang et al., 2019).
findings show that the AC069366.1 pseudogenes were hypermethylated in warts, while NANOGP3 the functions of the parent genes for the most differentially methylated pseudogenes in warts. Carcinomas compared to those that were HPV-negative (Gao et al., 2012; Piepkorn, 1996). Furthermore, the mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 3 (MAP3K8) gene was found to be downregulated in certain HPV-positive cancer sub-groups (Pyeon et al., 2007). On a similar note, the ZBED2 gene was recently revealed to be a modulator of epithelial lineage and an interferon inhibitor, and it was found to be significantly downregulated in HPV-positive cervical cancer in a Hong Kong population (Somerville et al., 2019; Wong et al., 2006). However, the FABP7 gene, which plays a role in fatty acid metabolism, transport, and uptake, was not previously reported to be associated with HPV infection, but it has been found to be involved in skin malignancies (Slipievic et al., 2008).

Lastly, the CYSLTR1 gene, a G-protein coupled receptor, has been implicated in a number of autoimmune disorders (Jiang et al., 2007; Sokolowska et al., 2009). This gene is significantly expressed within the normal skin epidermis with an even higher expression in atopic eczema (Arriba-Méndez et al., 2008; Hussain et al., 2004). In fact, the CYSLTR1 gene plays an important role in allergic skin inflammation, namely via its involvement in hyperkeratosis and fibrosis (Oyoshi et al., 2012). Additionally, one of the most differentially methylated promoters in HPV-induced warts was found within the CYSLTR1 gene (Al-Eitan et al., 2020a).

### 4.5. Methylation status of protein-coding genes

In warts, the amphiregulin (AREG), gap junction beta-2 protein (GJB2), chromosome 12 open reading frame 71 (C12orf71), AC020909.2, S100 calcium-binding protein A8 (S100A8), and zinc finger BED-type containing 2 (ZBED2) were hypomethylated in warts compared to normal skin, while the fatty acid binding protein 7 (FABP7) and cysteinyl leukotriene receptor 1 (CYSLTR1) genes were hypermethylated.

The AREG gene encodes the amphiregulin protein, which is an epidermal growth factor and a ligand of the epidermal growth factor receptor (Berasain and Avila, 2014). By far, amphiregulin was reported to be the most abundant epidermal growth factor receptor in cultured human keratinocytes (Stoll et al., 2010). Dysregulated AREG expression has been associated with hyperproliferative skin diseases, including actinic keratoses, psoriasis, and graft-versus-host disease (Bhagavathula et al., 2005; Holtan et al., 2018; Piepork, 1996). Furthermore, AREG hypomethylation was found to increase its expression in colorectal carcinoma (Lee et al., 2016). In an HPV context, AREG mRNA expression was reported to be lower in HPV-positive head-and-neck squamous cell carcinomas compared to those that were HPV-negative (Gao et al., 2016).

In the current study, the S100 calcium binding protein A8 (S100A8) and A9 (S100A9) genes were found to be hypomethylated in warts compared to normal skin. Additionally, GO enrichment analysis illustrated that the S100A8 and S100A9 proteins were involved in the Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR-4) pathway. The S100A8 and S100A9 proteins are expressed by neutrophils, monocytes, and macrophages during chronic inflammation as part of the calprotectin complex, the latter of which is secreted by cells during inflammatory stress (Pedersen et al., 2014). S100A8 expression plays an integral role in keratinocyte growth, proliferation, and response to wounds (Kerkhoff et al., 2012). Dysregulated S100A8 expression was reported to contribute to skin barrier dysfunction and squamous cell carcinoma development, and it was significantly hypomethylated in hepatocellular carcinomas as well (Funk et al., 2015; Iotzova-Weiss et al., 2015; Khammanivong et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018). In fact, expression of the calprotectin proteins was found to be highly upregulated in human psoriatic epidermis (Schonthaler et al., 2013). Lastly, global expression profiling of a stable HPV6B E7-transfected cell line found that S100A8 was among the most significantly enhanced genes (Zhang et al., 2018).

**Table 2**

| Pseudogene      | Parent gene | Function of parent gene | Study |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------|
| AC09366.1       | MSANTD3     | Novel putative human oncogene | (Barasch et al., 2017) |
| RNU4ATAC11P     | RNU4ATAC    | One of 5 components of the minor spliceosome phosphorylation activity | (Kreigdärd et al., 2016) |
| AC120057.1      | CRK         | Integrates signals from a diverese array of sources to effect cellular tyrosine phosphorylation activity | (Bigé et al., 2009) |
| NANOGP3         | NANOG       | Critical factor for maintaining lack of differentiation in pluripotent cells | (Gawlik-Zzenkiewska and Bednarek, 2016) |
| AC106038.2      | PREDI3B     | Regulates lipid accumulation on mitochondria | (Miliazza et al., 2019) |
| TPT1P2          | TPT1        | Controls cell growth, proliferation and metabolism and is overexpressed in several types of human cancer | (Bae et al., 2017) |
| SDC4P           | SDC4        | Plays a critical role in dendritic cell motility | (Potte et al., 2015) |
| PKM3P           | PKM         | Key enzyme in glycolysis | (Zhang et al., 2019) |
| VN2R3P          | VN2R        | Putative pheromone receptor | (Rodriguez and Momberta, 2002) |

### 4.6. Pathway analysis

The most common regulators of the 1000 most DM genes were revealed to be the STAT5A, RARA, MEF2D, MAP3K8, and THRA genes. The signal transducer and activator of transcription 5A (STAT5A) gene facilitates the cellular response to cytokines and hormones, thus regulating functions related to cell growth and proliferation as well as the immune and nervous systems (Kanai et al., 2014). STAT5A phosphorylation has been previously implicated in the promotion of HPV replication as well as high-risk HPV-mediated cervical cancer (Hong and Laimins, 2013; Sobti et al., 2010). Similarly, the retinoic acid receptor alpha (RARA) gene, which encodes for a transcription factor, was previously found to be downregulated in HPV-16-infected cell lines (Agarwal et al., 1996). In contrast, the mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase...
kinase 8 (MAP3K8) gene is a proto-oncogene that was reported to be over-expressed in HPV-positive oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma (Saba et al., 2015). However, another study found that MAP3K8 was upregulated in primary human keratinocytes but downregulated in HPV- human immortalized keratinocytes (De Schutter et al., 2013).

In a study previously published by our research group, signaling network analysis identified five different common regulators, namely AXIN1, GNB1, GRB2, NTRK1, and SKI, associated with the top DM CpG islands (Al-Eitan et al., 2019a). Although the same case cohort was used, the pathway analysis in the present study identified different common regulators due to the difference in approach to study the epigenetic modification in warts. Such differences point towards the magnitude of epigenetic changes that are initiated as a result of infection with HPV within the different regions of the gene. Our research potentially indicates that DNA methylation of CpG islands as well as non-CpG regions is involved in the etiology and development of HPV-induced common warts (Fuso, 2018; Han et al., 2011; Jang et al., 2017).

5. Conclusions

In the present study, the methylation status of HPV-induced warts was investigated on a genome-wide scale. To the best of the authors’ knowledge, this is the first study to determine the effects of low-risk HPV infection in the context of cutaneous warts on host cell methylation. Our findings might suggest that the genome of HPV-infected host cells is regulated by epigenetic mechanisms that contribute to wart pathogenesis and development. Although we may conclude that they arise during the wart formation process, it remains to be determined whether such epigenetic mechanisms are induced by HPV itself or by the host cell’s response to infection.
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