Melanoma risk and residence in sunny areas
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Summary Melanoma risk among subjects from Germany, France and Belgium who had lived for 1 year or more in sunny climates was examined in a one-to-one unmatched case–control study conducted among white subjects 20 years old or more. A total of 412 consecutive patients with melanoma diagnosed from 1 January 1991 onwards, were derived from hospital registers; 445 controls were randomly chosen in the same municipality as the cases. After adjustment for host characteristics, melanoma risk associated with residence in a sunny area was 2.7 (95% Cl: 1.4–5.2), increasing to 4.7 (95% Cl: 1.4–13.5) if subjects sought a suntan when residing in sunny climates, and to 4.3 (95% Cl: 1.7–11.1) if subjects arrived before the age of 10 years in the sunny area. Residence in sunny areas and recreational sun exposure seemed to combine their effects on melanoma risk. Increase in melanoma risk conveyed by deliberate sun exposure during adulthood was highest among subjects who had lived in sunny areas as a child or adolescent and lowest among subjects who had never resided in sunny areas. Our results support conclusions from migrant studies that indicated that childhood is a critical period of either vulnerability to solar radiation or more frequent exposures to melanoma risk factors. They also suggest that moderate sun exposure of an adult who was heavily sun exposed in childhood is associated with a higher melanoma risk than that of high sun exposure of an adult who was sun protected in childhood.
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Studies of melanoma risk in migrants of north European ancestry to sunny climates such as Australia or Israel have provided evidence that sunlight is a major determinant of melanoma (Holman and Armstrong, 1984; Steinitz et al, 1989; Khlat et al, 1992).

Several studies have looked at the impact on melanoma of short-term stays in sunny climates in subjects of Caucasian origin: US Army World War II veterans who served in the tropics displayed a 7.7-fold higher melanoma occurrence than healthy controls (Brown et al, 1984). Beitner and colleagues (1990) reported a melanoma relative risk of 1.9 (95% Cl: 1.0–3.6) for Swedish subjects who lived one year or more in Mediterranean, tropical or subtropical regions during the last 10 years. Similar results have been reported by other authors (Elwood et al, 1986; MacKie et al, 1989). Studies in the United States suggested that residence in sunnier areas was associated with increased risk of melanoma if the residence took place during childhood or adolescence, but not during adulthood (Weinstock et al, 1989; Mack and Floredus, 1991).

Significant numbers of European citizens have spent part of their life in sunny areas, mainly during the colonial period that ended in the 1960s. We took advantage of a case–control study by members of the EORTC Melanoma Co-operative group to examine the melanoma risk of subjects from northern Europe who have lived in sunny climates in different periods of life.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

The design of the study has been published elsewhere (Autier et al, 1994; 1996). Briefly, this study has been designed as a one-to-one unmatched hospital-based case–control study. Eligible subjects were Caucasians aged 20 years or more.

Cases

Consecutive patients with histologically proven melanoma diagnosed from 1 January, 1991 were identified from the hospital registries of the five collaborating centres. In each collaborating centre, patient recruitment was conducted in all medical facilities in which melanoma patients could be diagnosed, i.e. in in- and outpatient services of dermatology, surgery and oncology departments. In Hamburg, patients were derived from a population-based cancer registry of the city. This procedure has probably reduced the selection biases in the composition of the patient sample, which can be regarded as representative of the melanoma cases occurring in the study areas.

Control group

Neighbourhood control is regarded as an appropriate method when cases are drawn from hospital registers (Wacholder et al, 1992). Controls were randomly chosen from the same municipality as the probant cases. A suitable control was any individual falling into the same age group as the case (broadly defined as: 20–39, 40–59, 60–69, 70–79, 80–89, 90–100).


intestinal, or females each interview. They were interviewed (seven were too ill), contact was impossible with 19 (e.g. wrong addresses), and complete information on past skin disease was absent for eight, so that the final number of

If they had never suffered from skin cancer. In each municipality, controls were selected to yield the same number of males and females as in cases, irrespective of age.

As it was not possible to obtain adequate population rosters in all three countries where the study was conducted, either because of laws restricting access to such rosters or because of confidentiality issues, a quota sampling method was used to establish a uniform control selection procedure in all three countries. In each municipality where cases lived, a street was randomly selected from a list of streets. In the street, a house was chosen at random from a table of random numbers. To avoid overmatching, the street selected could not be the street where the case lived. Direct contact with the chosen house was then made. If a suitable control was present, an interview was proposed. If a suitable control existed but was absent, an appointment was made. In case of the non-existence of a suitable control or in case of refusal, the next house was approached. The search procedure for a control was abandoned if after three contacts with a suitable control, he or she had not agreed to participate. The search for controls had to be performed at the end of the afternoon, so that the maximum number of people would be home. The study was interrupted during holiday periods.

### Statistical analysis

Cut-off values of exposure variables have been constructed according to tertile boundaries in the control group. Risk estimates for melanoma were calculated as odds ratios, with their 95% confidence intervals (abbreviated as 95% CI) calculated according to Gart's method (1970). All statistical significance values are two-sided. When not otherwise specified, the uncorrected \( \chi^2 \) was used to test univariate hypothesis. The Mantel \( \chi^2 \) procedure was used to test trends in risk (Mantel, 1963). Adjustment for confounding was performed by multiple logistic regression using the EGRET software (Statistical and Epidemiology Research Corporation, Seattle, USA, 1993).

### RESULTS

A total of 456 cases were eligible, of which four were dead, 13 refused to be interviewed (seven were too ill), contact was impossible with 19 (e.g. wrong addresses), and complete information on past skin disease was absent for eight, so that the final number of

| Parameter | Cases (n = 412) | Controls (n = 445) | \( \text{OR}^a \) | 95% CI |
|-----------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------|------|
| Never lived in a sunny area for at least 1 year\(^*\) | 380 | 430 | 1.00 | – |
| Lived for 1 year or more in a sunny area | 32 | 15 | 2.72 | 1.43–5.18 |
| Duration | | | | |
| 1–4 years | 7 | 4 | 1.84 | 0.52–6.52 |
| 5–14 years | 12 | 6 | 2.82 | 1.02–7.79 |
| \( \geq 15 \) years | 13 | 5 | 3.36 | 1.16–9.68 |
| \( \chi^2 \) for trend = 7.89, 1 df, \( P = 0.005^c \) | | | | |
| First year of residence | | | | |
| \( \geq 1962 \) | 11 | 6 | 2.07 | 0.73–5.82 |
| 1950–1961 | 5 | 5 | 1.54 | 0.43–5.51 |
| \( < 1950 \) | 16 | 4 | 5.06 | 1.65–15.5 |
| \( \chi^2 \) for trend = 8.86, 1 df, \( P = 0.003^c \) | | | | |
| Age at first year of residence (years) | | | | |
| \( \geq 22 \) | 9 | 7 | 1.52 | 0.55–4.22 |
| 10–22 | 4 | 2 | 2.38 | 0.42–13.6 |
| \( < 10 \) | 19 | 6 | 4.31 | 1.68–11.1 |
| \( \chi^2 \) for trend = 9.49, 1 df, \( P = 0.002^c \) | | | | |
| Born in a sunny area | | | | |
| No | 18 | 12 | 1.80 | 0.84–3.86 |
| Yes | 14 | 3 | 6.56 | 1.84–23.4 |
| \( \chi^2 \) for trend = 9.96, 1 df, \( P = 0.002^c \) | | | | |
| Tried to get a suntan when residing in sunny area | | | | |
| No | 19 | 11 | 2.17 | 1.00–4.70 |
| Yes | 13 | 4 | 4.72 | 1.35–13.5 |
| \( \chi^2 \) for trend = 8.69, 1 df, \( P = 0.003^c \) | | | | |

### Table 1 Socioeconomic status as appraised by study level

| Highest study level attained | Cases (%) (n = 412) | Controls (%) (n = 445) |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Primary school              | 36                  | 38                    |
| Secondary school            | 29                  | 31                    |
| High school, non university | 21                  | 16                    |
| University                  | 14                  | 15                    |
| \( \chi^2 \) for trend = 1.03, 1 df., \( P = 0.31 \) | | | | |

\(^*\)Referent category; \(^a\)OR: adjusted for age, gender, hair colour (blond/red vs black/brown), skin phototype (I–II vs III–IV), sunscreen use (never use, regular sunscreen only, ever psoralen sunscreens); \(^c\)The referent category was used as first category for the \( \chi^2 \) for trend calculation.
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Table 3  Melanoma risk associated with residence in sunny areas and length of holidays in sunny resorts

| Average number of holiday weeks spent each year in sunny areas |  
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| < 3 weeks          | ≥ 3 weeks         |
| Never lived in a sunny area for one year or more | 162/247a  | 217/183  |
|                  | 1.00              | 1.80      |
|                  | –                 | 1.33–2.43 |
| Ever lived for 1 year or more in a sunny area | 11/8        | 21/7      |
|                  | 2.88              | 5.03      |
|                  | 1.10–7.51         | 2.03–12.3 |

Data in table are: number of cases/controls, adjusted odds ratio and 95% CI; adjustment for age, gender, hair colour (blond/red vs black/brown), skin phenotype (I–II vs III–IV), sunscreen use (never use, regular sunscreen only, ever psoralen sunscreens) and sunburn experience after 15 years old (ever/never). *Referent category.

Table 4  Melanoma risk associated with age at first year of residence in a sunny area

| Age at first year of residence in sunny area | Deliberate sun exposure when adult* |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
|                                             | No          | Yes         |
| Never resided                              | 148/230a    | 232/200     |
| for 1 year or more                         | 1.00        | 1.88        |
| in a sunny area                             | –           | 1.24–2.28   |
| ≥ 10 years old                             | 1/4         | 12/5        |
|                                            | 0.57        | 4.41        |
|                                            | 0.05–5.83   | 1.47–13.2   |
| < 10 years old                             | 4/3         | 15/3        |
|                                            | 3.44        | 10.0        |
|                                            | 0.73–16.2   | 2.74–36.5   |

Data in table are: number of cases/controls, adjusted odds ratio and 95% CI, same adjustment factors as in footnote of Table 2; *includes search for a suntan during residence in sunny areas, or sun exposure during the hot hours of the day when on holiday, and on average, more than 2 weeks per year of holidays in sunny resort; referent category.

cases for analysis was 412 (90% of the eligible cases). A total of 573 controls was approached, among which 447 (78%) agreed to respond to the questionnaire. Complete information on past skin disease was absent for two, so that the final number of controls available for analysis was 445. The mean age of both cases and controls was 51 years old. The distribution of highest study degree level obtained (a surrogate for socioeconomic status) was quite similar among cases and controls (Table 1).

Thirty-two cases (7.8%) and 15 (3.4%) controls had lived for 1 year or more in a sunny area, with a total of 41 different periods of residency for cases, and 17 different periods of residency for controls. Areas included the Mediterranean coast (33% of all areas) and Africa (38% of all areas).

The majority of settlements in a sunny area started before 1965, a year corresponding more or less with the end of the colonial period in France and Belgium. Table 2 shows the melanoma risk associated with residence in a sunny area. The melanoma risk increased with longer duration of residence; residence that started before 1950; and when arrival in the sunny area took place before their tenth birthday, reaching a maximal value among the 14 cases and 3 controls who were born in the sunny area or had arrived before their first birthday.

Period of residence, duration of residence, and age at first year of residence had synergetic effects on melanoma risk: the highest melanoma risk was apparent among the 14 cases and 2 controls who arrived in the sunny area before 1950 when they were less than 10 years old, yielding an adjusted estimated melanoma risk of 9.1 (95% CI: 2.04–50.0; adjustment factors as footnote of Table 2).

Residence in a sunny climate without desiring to acquire a suntan during that period resulted in a twofold increase in melanoma risk (Table 2); if, however, residence in the sunny area was accompanied by the desire to get a suntan, then this risk level more than doubled. Higher melanoma risk associated with the desire to get a tanned skin persisted across the variable durations and periods of residence (data not shown).

Among males, 16 (8.8%) out of 182 cases and 5 (2.5%) out of 197 controls resided for 1 year or more in a sunny area, leading to an adjusted estimated melanoma risk of 4.77 (95% CI: 1.66–13.7; adjusted for age, hair colour, skin phenotype and sunscreen use). In women, 16 (7.0%) out of 230 cases and ten (4.0%) out of controls resided for 1 year or more in a sunny area, yielding an adjusted estimated melanoma risk of 1.91 (95% CI: 0.83–4.36). This gender difference in risk may be explained by the fact that men settled in sunny areas at an earlier age (median of 4 years old vs 10 for women) and stayed longer than women (median of 13 years vs 8.5 years for women).

Apparently, residence in sunny areas did not lead to longer holidays in sunny resorts: in Table 3, 47% of control subjects who resided in sunny areas for 1 year or more took an average of three or more holiday weeks per year in sunny resorts, vs 42% of subjects who had never lived in sunny areas. However, the risk of melanoma was highest when subjects combined long holidays in sunny areas and past residence in sunny areas.

In Table 4, we cross-tabulated age at first year of residence in the sunny climate with an indicator of intermittent sun exposure during adulthood (i.e., ‘deliberate sun exposure’). The latter variable has been constructed considering exposed subjects to be those who reported trying to acquire a suntan when residing in sunny areas for 1 year or more, sunbathing during the hot hours of the day when on holiday or having an average of more than 2 weeks holidays in sunny resorts each year. Long holidays in sunny resorts and sun exposure during the hot hours of the day have been found to be associated with higher melanoma risk (Autier et al, 1994). A total of 259 (63%) cases and 208 controls (47%) reported deliberate sun exposure, yielding a melanoma risk of 1.93 (95% CI: 1.44–2.59; same adjustment factors as in footnote of Table 3). In Table 4, melanoma risk associated with age at first year of residence in sunny climates sharply increased if subjects reported deliberate sun exposure during adult life, and reciprocally, influence of deliberate adult sun exposure on melanoma risk increased with younger age at first year of residence in sunny areas.

DISCUSSION

Given that controls were derived from the same municipality as cases, their socioeconomic status was quite similar. Hence, it is unlikely that different selection of cases and controls on base of their socioeconomic status would have led to apparent differences in residence in sunny areas simply because cases were more often ex-colonials or could afford longer periods in sunny climates than...
controls. Cases and controls were not aware of the study objectives and they did not see the questionnaire before accepting or refusing to participate. Furthermore, residence in sunny areas was a part of a more comprehensive questionnaire covering other aspects of sunlight–melanoma relationships. Thus, subjects who refused to participate were unlikely to have been influenced by having resided in a sunny area.

In our study, most data items used for the analysis occurred in adult life (e.g. deliberate sun exposure) or were information elements unlikely to be distorted by memory biases, for instance the age at first year of residence in the sunny climate. However, cases could have been more inclined to report having tried to get a suntan when residing in a sunny area than controls. Nonetheless, in our study, the bias sometimes suspected when looking at exposure variables such as the number of sunburns during childhood was probably less pronounced.

Our results corroborate conclusions from studies on migrants in Israel or Australia that provided strong evidence that childhood is the period of highest vulnerability to solar radiation or of greater opportunity to be sun exposed, resulting in a higher risk of melanoma during adult life (Holman and Armstrong, 1984; Steinitz et al., 1989). However, duration of stay and time elapsed between the first year of residence and diagnosis of melanoma have also their own influence on melanoma risk: age at arrival in sunny area seems essentially related to biological vulnerability, duration of stay is an indicator of accumulated solar irradiation, and first year of residence is related to the latency period between sun exposure and melanoma occurrence. The first year of residence may also witness different sun exposure behaviours that could have changed over time.

The data in Table 3 suggest that the various opportunities for sun exposure seem to combine their effects throughout life. The data in Table 4 prompt the hypothesis that if most melanomas are the consequence of sun-induced carcinogenic processes taking place in early life, sun exposure in adult life is also important for the promotion of further biological steps that will ultimately end in a melanoma. Reciprocally, impact of adult sun exposure on melanoma risk seems influenced by sun exposure experiences during childhood and adolescence. If this hypothesis is true, an adult with moderate sun exposure but who has been heavily sun exposed during childhood could perhaps be at greater risk of developing a melanoma than an adult with high sun exposure but who was protected against solar radiation during childhood. This hypothesis is supported by observations suggesting that absence of sun protection during childhood might lead to higher melanoma incidence in adult life (Autier et al., 1996), by studies that evidenced a positive correlation between naevi density and total sun exposure in children and adolescents (Harrison et al., 1994; Coombs et al., 1992; Gallagher et al., 1990) and by observations of seasonal variations in the diagnosis of melanoma, with higher detection rates of melanoma during the summer compared with the winter (Braun et al., 1994; Swerdlow, 1985). As Mack and Floredus suggested (1991), such time-dependent exposures could explain some of the inconsistencies encountered in the literature on the role of sun exposure in melanoma occurrence: in case–control studies on melanoma determinants, sun exposure has always been explored for adolescence or adult life, whereas proxy indicators had to be used for exploring sun exposure in early life, for instance, sunburn experience during childhood. Thus, it would be critical in future epidemiological studies to obtain a better (assessment) of the mutual influences of sun exposures during childhood, adolescence and adulthood on melanoma risk.
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