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Abstract

The pathology of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) features amyloid β peptide deposition, intracellular neurofibrillary tangles and deficits in the cholinergic pathway. Abnormal blood pressure is recognised as a risk factor for the development of AD, although the underlying mechanisms remain unproven. This review proposes angiotensins and associated enzymatic pathways as important mediators of recognised but undefined links between blood pressure and AD. Evidence in support of this involvement translates consistently from the most basic in vitro, in vivo and ex vivo experimental paradigms to more complex human-based observational and experimental studies, which also fortunately offer potential for therapeutic interventions against AD.

Prevailing hypotheses of Alzheimer’s disease pathogenesis

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) [MIM 104300], as the most common form of progressive dementia, is characterised neuropathologically by the presence of intracellular neurofibrillary tangles and features resulting from the deposition of amyloid β-peptide (Aβ) extracellularly in the form of senile plaques and within blood vessels in the brain in the form of cerebral amyloid angiopathy. The pathogenesis of AD is understood to be partly explained by mechanisms involved with (but not restricted to) two of the most prevailing hypotheses: the Aβ cascade hypothesis and the cholinergic hypothesis. The Aβ cascade hypothesis, which developed in the early 1980s, suggests that the commonly observed neurodegenerative abnormalities of AD, particularly senile plaques, develop following the accumulation of the 39 to 42 amino acid peptide Aβ in the brain [1]. This accumulation of Aβ is likely a consequence of imbalance between production of Aβ from amyloid precursor protein (APP; Figure 1) and its removal. Aβ removal can be mediated via drainage through interstitial fluid in the space surrounding blood vessels in the brain, by receptor-mediated transport of Aβ from the brain to the peripheral circulation, by enzymatic degradation or various combinations of all the these [1]. Over the years the Aβ hypothesis has not been universally accepted due to its perceived failing that brain Aβ deposition correlated poorly with cell death or disease severity in AD whereas neurofibrillary tangle pathology, another established neuropathological hallmark for AD, correlated better and, as such, was suggested to be more relevant, with Aβ representative of a secondary phenomenon [2]. Yet this failing was arguably addressed in the past decade with the identification of soluble and diffusible oligomeric forms of Aβ that are now thought to be the more harmful forms and which have been correlated with AD pathogenesis [3]. Furthermore, questions have now arisen as to whether neurofibrillary tangles are merely a marker of disease progression and not, in fact, a mediator of cell death as has been proposed (see [3,4] for reviews).

The cholinergic hypothesis posits that loss of cholinergic function (derived from the action of the neurotransmitter acetylcholine (ACh)) in the central nervous system is a significant part of the cognitive decline associated with AD (see [5] for a review; Figure 1). This hypothesis pre-dates the seeds of the Aβ cascade hypothesis but is supported by considerable evidence that there is reduced synthesis and altered transport of ACh, selective loss of cholinergic neurons, disruption of ACh receptor signalling, as well as reductions in the levels of these receptors in AD brains (see [5] for a review). Indeed, the majority of licensed ‘cholinesterase’ therapeutics currently used to treat and partially delay some of the progressive symptoms of AD are drugs that target acetylcholinesterase-mediated breakdown of ACh, thereby increasing the amount and prolonging the life of ACh in the brain [5]. Importantly, neither of the properties of these hypotheses exist in isolation and there is now a body of

Aβ = amyloid β peptide; ACE = angiotensin-1 converting enzyme; ACE-I = ACE inhibitor; ACh = acetylcholine; AD = Alzheimer’s disease; Ang = angiotensin; APP = amyloid precursor protein; ARB = angiotensin receptor blocker; AT1R/AT2R = angiotensin II receptors; BBB = blood brain barrier; CSF = cerebrospinal fluid; Indel = insertion/deletion polymorphism; RAS = renin angiotensin system.
evidence supporting complex levels of interaction between the two and, more than likely, with other systems that fall beyond the scope of this review (see [5] for a review; Figure 1).

**The renin angiotensin system**

The renin angiotensin system (RAS) is best known for its role in the kidney in controlling blood pressure and bodily fluid homeostasis. The ‘classical’ RAS is known by the role of renin in cleaving inactive angiotensinogen to produce angiotensin (AngI), which is, in turn, converted by angiotensin-1 converting enzyme (ACE) to the (vaso-)active AngII [6]. AngII exerts its well known hypertensive effects following binding to its two receptors (AT1R and AT2R) [7]. However, the past two decades have revealed that the classical RAS was only the tip of the iceberg of what is now known to be a very complex system involving new AngI and AngII metabolites, additional receptors and regulating mechanisms (see [7] for a review; Figure 2). This added complexity has also offered new potential therapeutic targets for hypertension in addition to those already targeting the RAS through inhibition of ACE (ACE inhibitors

---

Figure 1

Schematic of amyloid precursor protein metabolism, from which amyloid β peptide can be produced. The amyloid precursor protein (APP) amino acid sequence is given in the single letter code with the boxed sequence representing the amyloid β peptide (Aβ). The red arrows denoted by β and γ represent the major β- and γ-secretase cleavage sites on APP from which Aβ is produced in the amyloidogenic pathway. The green arrow denoted by α pointing to the highlighted lettering shows the vicinity of the major α-secretase site on APP, which precludes the formation of APP in the anti-amyloidogenic pathway. The blue arrows labelled ACE show the proposed amino acids that are involved in (anti-amyloidogenic denoted by a question mark) ACE-mediated cleavage of Aβ, which were suggested to be either Asp7-Ser8 based on the detection of Aβ8-40 fragments or Arg5-His6 [8,32]. Interestingly, isomerisation (that is, having the same molecular formula but having a different structure and sometimes different properties) of the Asp7 (isoAsp7) residue of Aβ, a common age-related and possible conformational modification that is more prevalent in Alzheimer’s disease (AD), resulted in more efficient cleavage than the non-modified Asp7 in vitro. It has thus been suggested that the main cleavage site of angiotensin-1 converting enzyme could be Arg5-His6 and the identification of Aβ8-40 cleavage products detected previously might be the result of subsequent hydrolysis of Aβ6-40 fragments. The blue horizontal arrows of different sizes and pointing in opposite directions indicate that the majority of APP processing throughout a lifetime is anti-amyloidogenic but that in AD there is evidence of some increased amyloidogenic processing (denoted by the dashed arrow). Negative effects on the cholinergic pathway resulting from Aβ activity are shown, as are reported complex feedbacks between cholinergic receptors and APP processing (see [5] for a review). ChAT, choline acetyltransferase.
The relevance of the renin angiotensin system to Alzheimer’s disease

Epidemiological clues?

Hypertension is a recognised risk factor for cerebrovascular disease, coronary heart disease and increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality [9] and its treatment with drugs such as RAS-acting ACE-Is and ARBs lessens morbidity and mortality and improves quality of life and preserves cognitive function [10]. Like dementia, hypertension incidence increases with age and is thought to affect almost half of people aged over 70 years [9]. The association between hypertension and dementia appears to be more than coincidental and the balance of findings from longitudinal and cross-sectional studies suggest that elevated mid-life blood pressure precedes the development of AD, although in the years preceding dementia onset the hypertension appears to lessen [9]. This observation has been suggested to be a secondary phenomenon [9], possibly due to hypertension related pathologies that interestingly are also relevant in AD [11]. Indeed, some studies have reported hypertension related elevations in neurofibrillary tangle numbers and atrophy in the regions of the brain relevant to AD (reviewed by Papademetriou [10]); however, apart from these findings any other mechanistic links between hypertension and AD have been lacking.
The involvement of renin angiotensin system genes in Alzheimer's disease

With the exception of a single study of hypertension-associated genetic variants in the renin and angiotensinogen genes that found no association with AD, the bulk of investigations of RAS genes for AD susceptibility have focussed on ACE1, which encodes the RAS rate-limiting enzyme ACE [12]. ACE1 is interesting because of the role of ACE in hypertension and vascular disease but also because previous studies on familial hypertension on a common Alu insertion/deletion (I/D; indel) polymorphism (rs1799752) within intron 16 of ACE1 found this locus to be ‘functionally associated’ with plasma levels of ACE. The reputed functional association was such that homozygosity for the D and I alleles correlated with the highest and lowest levels of ACE, respectively, while heterozygotes had intermediate levels [13]. Current estimates are that ACE1 contributes to 20% of the total variation in serum ACE concentration and 16 to 24% of the variation in ACE activity, although the underlying mechanism for this association remains unclear since two functional sites within ACE1 might be involved [14].

The first positive association between the ACE1 indel and AD was published in 1999, where ACE1 variants were associated with AD independent of the already well recognised risks associated with variants in APOE (the gene encoding apolipoprotein E) [15]. Since then the balance of evidence from over 30 replication case-control studies and a number of meta-analyses, including that of the continually updated online meta-analyses ‘AlzGene’ database (gene ID 125) [12], continues to support a possible (albeit modest) correlation between ACE1 variation and risk of AD [12]. Studies of other ACE1 variants that also ‘tag’ the previously reported AD risk alleles have also supported ACE1 involvement in AD, with reported association between extended ACE1 variants (that is, haplotypes) and AD risk, smaller brain hippocampal and amygdalar volumes, lower (less beneficial) levels of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) Aβ and age of AD onset [15-18]. Further evidence supporting ACE1 and AD risk has come from a new generation of genome-wide association studies and from genes deemed to have the strongest ‘signals’ in the AlzGene database in large family-based and case-control studies. Generally, ACE1 consistently appeared to have some level of association that, in most cases, disappeared after various corrections for statistical analyses were made or only remained significant when secondary (for example, gene-gene interaction) analyses were conducted [16,18-20]. Although not all studies agree with the involvement of ACE1 in AD risk on the balance of current evidence, any genetic involvement of ACE1 in AD is likely modest, complex and requires interaction with other genes or factors [15,19].

Alzheimer's disease-related alterations to the renin angiotensin system

Prior to any evidence of genetic association between ACE1 and AD, a limited number of small and methodologically diverse studies examined the vascular role of ACE and other RAS components in the central nervous system of AD patients and controls. There have been various reports of increased ACE activity that correlated with mean Aβ senile plaque load and Braak stages, increased ACE binding density, increased neuronal and perivascular ACE immuno-reactivity - which was also found to correlate with levels of parenchymal Aβ load and increased Aβ deposition in blood vessels (that is, cerebral amyloid angiopathy) [21-23] (see [23,24] for reviews) - as well as increased AngII and AngII receptor (AT1R, AT2R) binding or immunoreactivity in AD brain [21,25]. Other studies have reported reduced ACE levels in CSF of AD patients [25,26], no differences in ACE activity or levels [27,28] or elevated ACE activity [21]. Two studies reported no statistically significant associations but there were data trends between the presence ACE1 indel genotypes and the 42 amino acid variant of Aβ (Aβ42) load in AD [29] and ACE protein level (but not ACE activity) in post mortem CSF from AD patients [21]; the latter observation is consistent with the association between ACE1 indel variation and plasma ACE [13,30], where ACE was also found to be reduced in AD patients [31]. There are some obvious disparities across the various studies, but these are likely explained by the variety of methodologies used over the years and, in some cases, relatively small sample numbers. On balance, in AD there does appear to be either a primary (that is, potentially causative effect leading to AD) or secondary (that is, a manifestation arising from AD pathogenesis) disturbance to components of the RAS.

Renin angiotensin system function in cell-based and animal models of Alzheimer's disease

The in vitro findings that the ACE1 lisinopril could interfere with ACE’s ability to inhibit the aggregation, deposition and fibril formation of the 40 amino acid variant of Aβ (Aβ40), as well as to reduce Aβ-mediated toxic effects on rat cells, provided a possible model (that is, ACE can degrade Aβ) for ACE involvement in AD. When the Aβ degrading properties are viewed alongside the influence of ACE1 variants on ACE levels, it is possible to envisage a model whereby AD-associated ACE1 risk variants that reduce plasma levels of ACE [13] - and possibly levels in the CSF [21] - could impact on a person’s ability to degrade Aβ. Further cell-based and in vitro studies supported these findings (see [8] for further discussion), although there remains uncertainty as to the amino acids of Aβ where ACE cleavage takes place and whether these sites are the same in vivo for full length Aβ compared to some shorter Aβ fragments on which experiments to identify the site of cleavage were conducted (Figure 1) [8,32].

Initial animal studies to test whether ACE-mediated Aβ cleavage is evident in vivo and whether ACE-Is might interfere with Aβ pathology found no supportive evidence [8]. However, subsequent studies found ACE-mediated enzymatic conversion of Aβ42 to Aβ40 and their subsequent
degradation in mouse and human brain homogenates as well as increased Aβ deposition in mice chronically administered the ACE-I captopril [33]. Transgenic mouse models of AD given the ARB valsartan also demonstrated improved spatial learning and attenuated oligomerisation of Aβ [34], while low doses of the ARB olmesartan improved hippocampal synaptic plasticity and Aβ-mediated cerebrovascular dysfunction, which included impairment of the autoregulatory mechanisms involved with cerebral blood flow [35]. It has been suggested that the differences between these studies are not related to species-influenced differences in the degradation of human Aβ (that is, from human transgenes in animal models) by mouse ACE [36]. A more likely explanation lies with differences in the experimental designs between the studies. Animals that commenced longer periods of treatment at a more mature age but prior to the development of Aβ-related pathology (possibly resembling middle age treatment for hypertension) tended to demonstrate evidence of ACE-mediated Aβ degradation and how ACE-Is might have adverse effects. Clearly, further studies are now needed given the potential significance of these findings.

Effects of angiotensins and anti-angiotensin treatments on cognition

Apart from the role of AngII in the development of essential hypertension, the angiotensins (AngII, AngIII and AngIV; Figure 2) acting on the angiotensin receptors AT1R and AT2R and the putative angiotensin receptor AT3R play a significant role in cognition and anxiety, detailed discussion of which is not possible in this article (see [37] for an overview). In addition to the potential of ACE in mediating Aβ degradation as a mechanism in AD, there are also data strongly suggesting that AngII inhibits potassium-mediated release of ACh from slices of rat entorhinal and human temporal cortex, demonstrating a specific interaction between angiotensin signalling and the cholinergic system [38]. AngII has also been shown to influence tumour necrosis factor α and transforming growth factor β signalling, blood brain barrier (BBB) maintenance and cell survival (via AT1R and AT2R receptors and a positive effect on the activity of plasmin, another Aβ degrading enzyme), all of which contribute to and are familiar aspects of AD pathogenesis [39]. In addition to AD-associated alterations in AngII receptor immunoreactivity [21,25], distorted neuronal processes in hippocampal AngII-immunopositive cells in senile plaques [23], and the growing literature that the AngII metabolite AngIV may offer additional glucose transport linked therapeutic routes to improve cognition (see [40] for discussion), it is clear that AngII and its signalling pathway through its receptors are implicated in a number of facets of AD pathogenesis.

The significance of these properties is further emphasised by the likely links between hypertension and dementia and, unsurprisingly, has led over the years to the consideration of how anti-hypertensive treatments (ACE-Is and ARBs) acting on the RAS might affect cognition. Secondary analyses of data between two large clinical trials to reduce the incidence of stroke - the Systolic Hypertension in Europe (SYST-EUR) trial (involving the ACE-I enalapril) and the Perindopril Protection Against Recurrent Stroke Study (PROGRESS) trial (involving the ACE-I perindopril) - found that the ACE-Is reduced dementia and cognitive decline [8]. However, perindopril inclusion in addition to indapamide in the Hypertension in the Very Elderly Trial Cognitive Function Assessment (HYVET-COG) trial made no difference to dementia incidence [41]. Similarly, ACE-Is did not demonstrate any protective benefit or other effects [42] in a recent prospective study of new patients from a population in which a prior retrospective analysis of the impact of anti-hypertension medication use and incidence of dementia found that ACE-Is demonstrated a trend towards incidence of AD (adjusted hazard ratio = 1.13) despite anti-hypertensive treatments in general being protective against AD [8]. Moreover, although the majority of existing data from observational studies and secondary outcomes of trials are derived from examination of ACE-Is on cognitive function, there are also data suggesting that any perceived cognitive benefits may be related more specifically to mechanisms involving AngII and/or its derivatives (Figure 2). Losartan, an ARB that can cross the BBB, was found to improve the cognitive function and quality of life in people with hypertension aged up to 73 years [43,44] but also has been found to demonstrate positive benefits in both animal models and human paradigms of cognition and anxiety that appear to be independent of blood pressure changes and provide interesting starting points that have yet to be followed meaningfully in the context of AD or other dementias (see [37] for an overview). Most studies to date suggest that antihypertensive treatments acting on the RAS are of potential use to reduce the incidence and/or rate of cognitive decline in dementia; however, they need further validation since in some of the previous studies the measures of cognition have been limited when compared to the standards that would be included in a trial designed for AD patients.

Can renin angiotensin system-inhibiting medications be used to treat Alzheimer’s disease?

The potential benefits of ACE-Is in attenuating cognitive decline are further supported by several small and larger observational studies with more attention given to cognitive measures and the diagnosis of AD. Overall, most findings show that people taking ACE-Is had reduced incidence of AD and that ACE-Is reduced rates of cognitive decline in people with mild cognitive impairment [8,45,46]. However, the true test of the therapeutic potential of any drug is a clinical trial. With respect to specific studies of drugs acting on the RAS in AD, two pilot trials of the not commonly used BBB-crossing ACE-I ceranapril, which was shown to have delayed but long lasting inhibitory actions on ACE activity in rat brains, have been reported [47]. The first trial had a duration of 4 weeks and included 13 AD patients and 2 controls [48] and the second trial was for 15 weeks and was a double
clinical trial related studies. The ACE-mediated Aβ degradation is less of an issue. It is possibly that ACE, AngII or any of the other factors involved in the RAS, particularly the newly proposed internal regulatory mechanisms in the brain, appear to be independent of ACE1 variation and cognitive decline [8,45,46]. Other possible explanations for the observed alterations in cognitive function could be that the effects are driven by peripheral and not central effects and/or the drugs in question may not penetrate the BBB as well as originally thought, meaning that the potential for interrupting Aβ degradation is less of an issue. It is possible that ACE, AngII or any of the other factors involved in the RAS, particularly the newly proposed internal regulatory mechanisms in the brain, may have yet-to-be-discovered functions within the brain.

What is also clear is that further clinical trials of ACE-Is or ARBs in AD are needed because, if these drugs are found to be beneficial, they then provide the benefit of being immediately available for, and amenable to, accelerated testing and progression through what is normally a lengthy drug discovery pipeline from initial compound discovery to eventual implementation. However, the potential of these compounds for use as symptomatic treatments will need to be investigated in ways that are mindful of the possible neuropathological consequences of using them if the results from recent animal studies also apply to humans (although this may not apply to ARBs) [33,34]. In addition to their use in AD, there is now also a significant need to clarify whether chronic use of ACE-Is, which offer a very effective means of treating hypertension, may have any possible negative consequences (for example, on a person’s Aβ degrading potential) for particular subgroups of people. If such were proven to be the case, then strong consideration will need to be given as to whether their use in hypertension treatment
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blind controlled three-way cross-over trial including 30 patients [49]; both found no benefits to the patients involved, although further analysis of the data from the second study suggested that a study of longer duration and/or with higher dosages was needed. Another 18-week cross-over trial of perindopril on cognition in 16 very elderly hypertensive people also found no treatment-related differences [50]. In contrast, a randomised, prospective three-arm parallel group trial conducted over 12 months on nearly 150 patients reported that the so-called brain penetrating ACE-Is captopril and perindopril reduced cognitive decline more effectively in mild to moderate AD patients than the reputed non-brain penetrating ACE-Is enalapril or imidapril, which performed the same as the calcium channel blockers that served as the third arm in the trial. After 1 year, the average rate of decline in the perindopril/captopril-treated group was less than 1 Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) point compared with changes of more than 4 points for the other two treatment groups over the same time scale (reviewed in [8]).

It is clear that findings of the larger studies offer more promising data and that there is now a need for further, more rigorous studies to be conducted as well as studies that examine ARBs in a similar context to offer meaningful comparisons between ACE-I- and ARB-mediated effects. Disparities between the earlier and later studies - apart from their size differences, which may be significant - include the duration of treatment and follow-up in patients but also perhaps to a lesser extent that the threshold measurement by which clinical hypertension is now diagnosed has been revised [44]. Indeed, a further aspect needing consideration in future trial designs is the peculiar relationship that exists between hypertension and AD where increased hypertension and serum cholesterol levels in midlife seem to be associated with an increased incidence of AD in later life but also decreased blood pressure and serum cholesterol levels in later life appear to be associated with increased risk of AD at a more advanced age [42]. This is further reinforced by the finding from a study including a wide age range of elderly subjects that the relationship between blood pressure and cognition is not linear and the suggestion that there could be an optimal level of blood pressure that supports optimal cognitive function and that deviation from this increases the likelihood or risk of cognitive decline [44].

Issues in the clinical application of drugs affecting the renin angiotensin system

Alterations in various RAS components have been observed consistently in various studies of AD. These consistent findings can be seen across a range of studies, from laboratory-based quantitative and qualitative investigations involving genetic association studies and histological or biochemical studies of human tissue samples through more manipulable experimental systems involving cells and animals to the most relevant population-based observational and clinical trial related studies. The ACE-mediated Aβ degradation model is interesting, particularly if baseline ACE levels are genetically regulated. However, therein lies a possible contradiction as to how genetic predisposition to lower ACE levels or activity adversely affects ACE-mediated Aβ degradation when ACE-Is, which also reduce ACE activity, seem to be protective against both dementia and AD incidence and cognitive decline (for a more in depth discussion, see [8]). This may be partly explained by the possibility that the association between ACE1 variation and ACE levels is, in fact, relatively weak or that there are overriding changes to the normal regulation of ACE expression and activity secondary to AD- or Aβ-related pathology. In support of the latter hypothesis, we and others have shown that there is elevated ACE activity in AD brain tissue that appears to be independent of ACE1 variation and we have also reported that cultured neuronal cells treated with oligomeric Aβ peptides displayed increased ACE activity after 24 hours [21]. Given the range of potentially deleterious effects that can be mediated by AngII (including depression of ACh release and inflammation), one can envisage possible consequences of over-production or post-translational modifications of ACE that could result in increased AngII production. It is also possible to see how various observational studies in mild cognitive impairment and AD find ACE-Is and ARBs to be protective against AD incidence and cognitive decline [8,45,46]. Other possible explanations for the observed alterations in cognitive function could be that the effects are driven by peripheral and not central effects and/or the drugs in question may not penetrate the BBB as well as originally thought, meaning that the potential for interrupting Aβ degradation is less of an issue. It is possible that ACE, AngII or any of the other factors involved in the RAS, particularly the newly proposed internal regulatory mechanisms in the RAS thought to work in opposition to AngII [51] (Figure 2), may have yet-to-be-discovered functions within the brain.
should be continued, especially when ARBs are a possibly preferable option as they may not interfere with ACE’s degradation of Aβ.

Conclusion
Studies over the past two decades have added to our knowledge of the pathways involved in the pathogenesis of AD, including alterations to ACE and other angiotensin-related components of the RAS. Whether these are primary mediators or secondary consequences of the disease still remains to be shown, however, and further studies are certainly needed. There may be insufficient evidence at this time with which to make a final judgement as to what benefit or consequences ACE-ls or ARBs have on the development or progression of AD, but there is sufficient evidence to justify more measured consideration when prescribing ARBs or ACE-ls for hypertension as well as active future study of how pharmacological targeting of AngII in this pathway could offer therapeutic value in mitigating against secondary pathogenic Aβ-mediated changes in AD.
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