Intra-Amniotic Infection and Sterile Intra-Amniotic Inflammation in Cervical Insufficiency with Prolapsed Fetal Membranes: Clinical Implications
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Abstract
Introduction: The aim of this study was to identify the rates of 2 phenotypes of intra-amniotic inflammation: intra-amniotic infection (with microbial invasion of the amniotic cavity [MIAC]) and sterile intra-amniotic inflammation (without MIAC), and their outcomes, among women with cervical insufficiency with prolapsed fetal membranes. Methods of Study: This is a retrospective study of women admitted to the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University Hospital Hradec Kralove between January 2014 and May 2020. Transabdominal amniocentesis to evaluate intra-amniotic inflammation (amniotic fluid interleukin-6) and MIAC (culturing and molecular biology methods) was performed as part of standard clinical management. Results: In total, 37 women with cervical insufficiency and prolapsed fetal membranes were included; 11% (4/37) and 43% (16/37) of them had intra-amniotic infection and sterile intra-amniotic inflammation, respectively. In women with intra-amniotic infection and sterile intra-amniotic inflammation, we noted shorter intervals between admission and delivery (both p < 0.0001), and lower gestational age at delivery (p < 0.0001 and p = 0.004) and percentiles of birth/abortion weight (p = 0.03 and p = 0.009, respectively) than in those without intra-amniotic inflammation. Conclusions: Both phenotypes of intra-amniotic inflammation, with sterile intra-amniotic inflammation being more frequent, are associated with worse outcomes in pregnancies with cervical insufficiency with prolapsed fetal membranes.
Cervical Insufficiency with Prolapsed Fetal Membranes

associated with the prolapse of fetal membranes at or beyond the external cervical os [4]. This condition represents a rare but serious pregnancy complication owing to associations with poor pregnancy outcomes such as abortion or preterm delivery [1, 2, 5, 6].

There is a solid body of evidence demonstrating that cervical insufficiency with prolapsed fetal membranes is an indication for cervical cerclage [1–3, 7, 8]. Apart from advanced cervical dilatation [8, 9], the success of treatment with cervical cerclage in terms of prolongation of pregnancy mainly depends on the absence of intra-amniotic complications such as intra-amniotic inflammation and/or microbial invasion of the amniotic cavity (MIAC) [4, 10–13]. These complications may occur in more than half the pregnancies with cervical insufficiency with prolapsed fetal membranes [4, 10, 12, 14–17].

A clinical dilemma still remains regarding the treatment of cases with intra-amniotic inflammation and/or MIAC since cervical cerclage placement is not recommended by certain authors and standard antibiotic treatment does not usually work [10–12, 17–19]. However, Oh et al. [20] have recently shown that treatment with ceftriaxone, clarithromycin, and metronidazole may resolve intra-amniotic inflammation in women with cervical insufficiency and prolapsed fetal membranes. Therefore, the use of amniocentesis to characterize an intra-amniotic environment might be an important step in the decision-making process for a pregnancy with cervical insufficiency with prolapsed fetal membranes [4, 10, 11, 13, 17–19].

Intra-amniotic inflammation has 2 different phenotypes based on the triggering stimulus: (i) intra-amniotic infection, when MIAC is present and (ii) sterile intra-amniotic inflammation, when MIAC is absent [21–23]. Intra-amniotic infection and sterile intra-amniotic inflammation complicate approximately 2 and 10% of pregnancies, respectively, with a sonographic short cervix [23, 24]. Nevertheless, there is a gap in our knowledge of the rate and proportion of both types of intra-amniotic inflammation and their impacts on the outcomes of pregnancies with cervical insufficiency with prolapsed fetal membranes.

Therefore, the first aim of this study was to identify the rates of intra-amniotic infection and sterile intra-amniotic inflammation among pregnancies with cervical insufficiency with prolapsed fetal membranes. The study further aimed to assess the following: (1) the interval from admission to delivery and gestation age at delivery; (2) the effect of antibiotic treatment; (3) the percentiles of birth/aborted weight of the newborns/aborted fetuses; and (4) short-term neonatal morbidity with respect to the presence of intra-amniotic infection and sterile intra-amniotic inflammation.

Material and Methods

This was a retrospective study of the patients admitted to the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University Hospital Hradec Kralove between August 2014 and May 2020 who met the following criteria: (1) singleton pregnancy; (2) gestational age between 18 + 0 or 26 + 6 weeks; (3) painless cervical dilatation ≥1 cm with visible intact fetal membranes prolapsed at or beyond the external cervical os, confirmed by sterile speculum examination; (4) absence of uterine contractions; (5) absence of chromosomal and/or structural fetal abnormalities.

Management of Cervical Insufficiency with Prolapsed Fetal Membranes

Gestational age was established by first-trimester fetal biometry. Cervical dilatation and prolapsed fetal membranes were determined by speculum examination at the time of admission. The performance of transabdominal amniocentesis to assess the intra-amniotic environment (interleukin [IL]-6 concentrations and MIAC), part of standard management of such pregnancy pathologies at our department, was offered to all women admitted with cervical insufficiency with prolapsed fetal membranes.

All women with gestational age at admission ≥24 + 0 weeks received a course of corticosteroids (betamethasone 14 mg intramuscularly, 24 h apart). A decision on the treatment of cervical insufficiency with prolapsed fetal membranes was made once the result of amniotic fluid IL-6 was available. Women without intra-amniotic inflammation did not receive any antibiotics and were treated with a cerclage performed on the following working day. All cerclages placed were of the McDonald type. Women with intra-amniotic inflammation received intravenous antibiotic therapy. They were administered clarithromycin, 500 mg every 12 h, for 7 days, unless an abortion or delivery occurred. Once the results from culturing and/or PCR were known, the attending clinician charted individualized treatments to determine the optimal antibiotic therapy. A follow-up amniocentesis was offered to all women with intra-amniotic inflammation when the antibiotic therapy ended. None of the women received tocolytic therapy upon admission.

Amniotic Fluid Sampling

Ultrasound-guided transabdominal amniocentesis was performed at the time of admission, before treatment initiation. Approximately 5 mL of amniotic fluid was aspirated and divided into 4 tubes. The first tube was used for assessment of IL-6 levels in amniotic fluid and the remaining tubes were transported to the laboratory for (i) aerobic and anaerobic cultures of amniotic fluid; (ii) PCR detection of Ureaplasma spp., Mycoplasma hominis, and Chlamydia trachomatis; and (iii) PCR detection and sequencing of 16S rRNA gene.

Assessment of IL-6 Concentrations in Amniotic Fluid

Concentrations of IL-6 in amniotic fluid samples obtained between August 2014 and November 2018 were assessed using Milenia QuickLine IL-6 lateral flow immunoassay and a Milenia POC-Scan Reader (Milenia Biotec, GmbH, Giessen, Germany). The
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measurement range was 50–10,000 pg/mL. [25]. The concentration of IL-6 in amniotic fluid samples obtained between December 2018 and May 2020 were evaluated using an automated electrochemiluminescence immunoassay method with immuno-analyzer Cobas e602, which is part of the Cobas 8000 platform (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland). The measurement range was 1.5–5,000 pg/mL, which could be extended to 50,000 pg/mL with a 10-fold dilution of the sample [26].

Aerobic and Anaerobic Cultures of Amniotic Fluid

Amniotic fluid samples were cultured in Columbia agar with sheep’s blood, Gardnerella vaginalis selective medium, MacConkey agar, Neisseria selective medium (modified Thayer-Martin medium), Sabouraud agar, and Schaedler anaerobe agar. The plates were cultured for 6 days and checked daily. Species were identified by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry using MALDI Biotyper software (Bruker Daltonics, Billerica, MA, USA).

PCR Detection of Ureaplasma Species, M. hominis, and C. trachomatis in Amniotic Fluid

DNA was isolated from the amniotic fluid using a QIAamp DNA Mini Kit, as per manufacturer instructions (using the protocol for isolating bacterial DNA from biological fluids). RT-PCR was performed using a Rotor-Gene 6000 instrument and a commercial AmpliSens® C. trachomatis/Ureaplasma/M. hominis-FRT Kit (Federal State Institution of Science, Central Research Institute of Epidemiology, Moscow, Russia) to detect DNA from Ureaplasma species, M. hominis, and C. trachomatis in the same PCR tube. As a control, we amplified β-actin, a housekeeping gene, to exclude the presence of PCR inhibitors.

PCR Detection and Sequencing of 16S rRNA Gene

Bacterial DNA was identified by PCR targeting 16S rRNA using the following primers: 5'-CCAGAATCTCCAGGGGACCAG-3' (V3 region) and 5'-ACATTTACAAACCGAGCAGCA-3' (V6 region) [27]. Each reaction contained 3 μL target DNA, 500 nM forward and reverse primers, and Q5 High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) in a total volume of 25 μL. Amplification was performed using a 2720 Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The products were visualized on an agarose gel. Positive reactions yielded 950 bp products that were subsequently analyzed by sequencing. The 16S PCR products were purified and sequenced by PCR using the above primers and BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The bacteria were then typed using sequences obtained from BLAST® and SepsiTST™ BLAST.

Clinical Diagnosis

Intra-amniotic inflammation was defined as concentration of IL-6 in amniotic fluid ≥745 pg/mL, when IL-6 was measured using a lateral flow immunoassay point-of-care test [28, 29], or ≥3,000 pg/mL, when IL-6 was measured using the automated electrochemiluminescence immunoassay method [26]. MIAC was defined as the presence of microorganisms in amniotic fluid detected by culture and/or microbial nucleic acids in amniotic fluid. Intra-amniotic infection was defined as the presence of both intra-amniotic inflammation and MIAC. Sterile intra-amniotic inflammation was defined as the presence of intra-amniotic inflammation without the concomitant presence of MIAC.

Diagnosis of Histologic Chorioamnionitis and Funisitis

The degree of polymorphonuclear leukocyte infiltration was assessed separately in the free membranes (amnion and choriondecidua), chorionic plate, and umbilical cord. The diagnosis of histologic chorioamnionitis was based on the presence of inflammatory changes in the choriondecidua (grades 3–4), chorionic plate (grades 3–4), umbilical cord (grades 1–4), and/or amnion (grades 1–4). The diagnosis of funisitis was based on the presence of inflammatory changes in the umbilical cord (grades 1–4) [30].

Birth/Abortion Weight Percentiles

The Olsen 2010 growth calculator was used to calculate the percentiles for birth/abortion weights of newborns [31, 32]. Small for gestational age was defined as a birth/abortion weight below the 10th percentile for gestational age.

Definitions of Selected Aspects of Short-Term Neonatal Morbidity

Maternal and perinatal medical records were reviewed by 5 investigators (M.C., J.S., I.M., J.M., and M.K.). Data regarding short-term neonatal morbidity were reviewed for all newborns. "Compound neonatal morbidity" was defined as follows: the need for intubation, and/or respiratory distress syndrome (it is defined by the presence of 2 or more of the following criteria: evidence of respiratory compromise, persistent oxygen requirement for ≥24 h, administration of exogenous surfactant, and radiographic evidence of hyaline membrane disease), and/or bronchopulmonary dysplasia (defined as infant oxygen requirement or ventilator support at 36 weeks post-menstrual age), and/or pneumonia (diagnosed by abnormal findings on chest X rays), and/or retinopathy of prematurity (identified using retinoscopy), and/or intraventricular hemorrhage (diagnosed by cranial ultrasound examinations as described by Papile et al. [33]), and/or necrotizing enterocolitis (defined as radiological findings of either intramural gas or free intra-abdominal gas), and/or intestinal perforation, and/or early (during the first 72 h of life) or late-onset (between the ages of 4 and 120 days) sepsis (either proven by bacterial culture or clinically highly suspected sepsis), and/or death of the infants before hospital discharge.

Statistical Analyses

The demographic characteristics were compared using a non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables and Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables and presented as a median (interquartile range [IQR]) and numbers (%), respectively. The normality of the data was tested using the Anderson-Darling test. Kaplan-Meier survival curves were constructed and log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test was used to compare survival distributions among women with intra-amniotic infection, with sterile intra-amniotic inflammation, and without intra-amniotic inflammation. The percentiles of birth/abortion weight were compared using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test. Spearman partial correlation was used to adjust results for confounders. Differences were considered significant at p < 0.05. All p values were obtained from two-tailed tests, and all statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 6.0h software for Mac OS X (GraphPad Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) and Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 19.0 for Mac OS X (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).
Results

In total, 37 women with singleton pregnancy complicated by cervical insufficiency with prolapsed fetal membranes were included. Among them, 54% (20/37) had intra-amniotic inflammation and were treated with intravenous antibiotics. The remaining 46% (17/37) did not experience intra-amniotic inflammation and underwent cerclage. MIAC was found in 11% (4/37) of the women and only in those with intra-amniotic inflammation. Demographic and clinical data of the women with cervical insufficiency with prolapsed membranes have been presented in Table 1.

Intra-Amniotic Infection and Sterile Intra-Amniotic Inflammation

Among those with intra-amniotic inflammation, 20% (4/20) and 80% (16/20) had intra-amniotic infection and sterile intra-amniotic inflammation, respectively. Intra-amniotic infection was caused by (i) *Bacteroides ureolyticus* in 2 women; (ii) *Streptococcus agalactiae* in 1 woman; and (iii) *Candida albicans* in 1 woman. IL-6 concentrations in amniotic fluid at the time of admission have been shown in Figure 1.

Interval from Amniocentesis to Delivery

The intervals from amniocentesis to delivery have been compared in Figure 2. Both, women with intra-amniotic infection and those with sterile intra-amniotic inflammation, had a shorter interval from amniocentesis to delivery (intra-amniotic infection: median 5 days, IQR 2–15, *p* < 0.0001; sterile intra-amniotic inflammation: median 2 days, IQR 1–14, *p* < 0.0001) than women with the absence of intra-amniotic inflammation (median 56 days, IQR 39–97). No differences in the interval from amniocentesis to delivery was found between women with intra-amniotic infection and those with sterile intra-amniotic inflammation (*p* = 0.97).
Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of women with cervical insufficiency with prolapsed fetal membranes based on (i) intra-amniotic infection; (ii) sterile intra-amniotic inflammation; and (iii) absence of intra-amniotic inflammation

| Characteristic                                      | Intra-amniotic infection (n = 4) | Sterile intra-amniotic inflammation (n = 16) | Absence of intra-amniotic inflammation (n = 17) | p value<sup>1</sup> | p value<sup>2</sup> | p value<sup>3</sup> |
|----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Maternal age, years (median [IQR])                  | 36 (33–42)                       | 29 (26–35)                                   | 33 (29–38)                                     | 0.07                | 0.27                | 0.11                |
| Primiparous, n (%)                                  | 1 (25)                           | 10 (63)                                      | 7 (41)                                         | 0.29                | 1.00                | 0.30                |
| History of preterm birth or late abortion, n (%)    | 2 (50)                           | 4 (25)                                       | 4 (24)                                         | 0.55                | 0.55                | 1.00                |
| Pre-pregnancy BMI, kg/m<sup>2</sup> (median [IQR])   | 28.6 (22.5–30.4)                 | 23.7 (22.6–26.7)                             | 23.8 (20.7–27.6)                               | 0.38                | 0.31                | 0.66                |
| Smoking, n (%)                                      | 1 (25)                           | 3 (19)                                       | 0 (0)                                          | 1.00                | 0.19                | 0.10                |
| Gestational age at admission, weeks (median [IQR])  | 22+2 (18+6–24+3)                 | 24+1 (22+6–25+1)                             | 24+5 (21+6–25+5)                               | 0.39                | 0.14                | 0.80                |
| Gestational age at delivery, weeks (median [IQR])   | 23+1 (19+4–25+1)                 | 24+5 (23+3–26+3)                             | 33+1 (28+0–37+3)                               | 0.29                | **0.006**           | **0.0003**          |
| Interval from amniocentesis to delivery, days (median [IQR]) | 5 (2–15)                         | 2 (1–14)                                    | 56 (39–97)                                     | 0.59                | **0.002**           | **<0.0001**         |
| CRP levels at admission, mg/L (median [IQR])        | 13.1 (4.5–51.7)                  | 5.5 (2.6–10.1)                               | 3.8 (2.3–4.9)                                  | 0.30                | 0.07                | 0.07                |
| WBC count at admission, ×10<sup>9</sup> L (median [IQR]) | 12.2 (11.4–12.7)                | 14.0 (10.6–16.4)                             | 10.7 (10.1–14.4)                               | 0.51                | 0.45                | 0.17                |
| Administration of antibiotics, n (%)                | 4 (100)                          | 16 (100)                                     | 0 (0)                                          | 1.00                | **0.002**           | **<0.0001**         |
| Administration of corticosteroids, n (%)            | 2 (50)                           | 12 (75)                                      | 13 (81)                                        | 0.55                | 0.54                | 1.00                |
| Spontaneous vaginal delivery/abortion, n (%)        | 3 (75)                           | 13 (81)                                      | 11 (65)                                        | 1.00                | 1.00                | 0.44                |
| Birth/abortion weight of the newborn, g (median [IQR]) | 568 (298–639)                    | 630 (503–863)                                | 1,710 (1,195–2,743)                            | 0.26                | **0.001**           | **<0.0001**         |
| Fetal growth restriction, n (%)                     | 1 (25)                           | 1 (6)                                        | 0 (0)                                          | 0.37                | 0.19                | 0.49                |
| Histological chorioamnionitis, n (%)                | 4 (100)                          | 14 (93)<sup>r</sup>                          | 11 (92)<sup>f</sup>                            | 1.00                | 1.00                | 1.00                |
| Funisitis, n (%)                                     | 2 (50)                           | 4 (27)<sup>r</sup>                           | 4 (33)<sup>f</sup>                             | 0.56                | 0.60                | 1.00                |
| Apgar score <7; 5 min, n (%)                        | 2 (50)                           | 9 (56)                                       | 2 (12)                                         | 1.00                | 0.15                | **0.01**            |
| Apgar score <7; 10 min, n (%)                       | 2 (50)                           | 7 (44)                                       | 1 (6)                                          | 1.00                | 0.08                | **0.02**            |

CRP, C-reactive protein; IQR, interquartile range; MIAC, microbial invasion of the amniotic cavity; WBC, white blood cells. Continuous variables were compared using a nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test. Categorical variables were compared using Fisher’s exact test. Continuous variables are presented as median (IQR) and categorical as number (%). Statistically significant results are marked in bold. <sup>1</sup>p value – comparison between women with intra-amniotic infection and sterile intra-amniotic inflammation. <sup>2</sup>p value – comparison between women with intra-amniotic infection and without intra-amniotic inflammation. <sup>3</sup>p value – comparison between women with sterile intra-amniotic inflammation and without intra-amniotic inflammation. <sup>r</sup>Data available only for 15 women. <sup>f</sup>Data available only for 12 women.
Gestational Age at Delivery

Gestational ages at delivery have been presented in Figure 3. Women with intra-amniotic infection and sterile intra-amniotic inflammation had a lower gestational age at delivery (intra-amniotic infection: median 23 + 1, IQR 19 + 4 – 25 + 1, \( p < 0.0001 \); sterile intra-amniotic inflammation: median 24 + 5, IQR 23 + 3 – 26 + 3, \( p = 0.0004 \)) than women with the absence of intra-amniotic inflammation (median 33 + 1, IQR 28 + 0 – 37 + 3). No differences in gestational age at delivery was identified between women with intra-amniotic infection and those with sterile intra-amniotic inflammation (\( p = 0.17 \)).

Follow-Up Amniocentesis in Women with Intra-Amniotic Inflammation

In total, 25% (5/20) of the women with intra-amniotic inflammation had a latency longer than 7 days and underwent follow-up amniocentesis when antibiotic therapy ended. One woman had intra-amniotic infection (caused by *Candida albicans*) and 4 women had sterile intra-amniotic inflammation.

No reduction in the concentration of IL-6 in amniotic fluid at the time of a follow-up amniocentesis was seen in the woman with intra-amniotic infection (50,000 pg/mL in both amniocenteses). The presence of *Candida albicans* in amniotic fluid persisted at the time of the follow-up amniocentesis.

All women with sterile intra-amniotic inflammation showed a reduced concentration of IL-6 in amniotic fluid at the time of follow-up amniocentesis. Resolution of sterile intra-amniotic inflammation was seen in 75% (3/4) of them. One woman with persistent sterile intra-amniotic inflammation at the time of follow-up amniocentesis had a reduced concentration of IL-6 (3,079 pg/mL), but it was still above the cutoff value (3,000 pg/mL). Resolution of prolapsed membranes was observed in all women with resolution of sterile intra-amniotic inflammation.

Percentiles of Birth/Abortion Weight

There were no differences in the presence of fetal growth restriction and defined birth/aborted weight <10th percentile among the subgroups (Table 1). Women...
with intra-amniotic infection and sterile intra-amniotic inflammation had lower percentiles of birth/abortion weights of newborns/fetuses than women without intra-amniotic inflammation as per crude analysis (intra-amniotic infection: median 23, IQR 7–34, \( p = 0.03 \); sterile intra-amniotic inflammation: median 21, IQR 13–54, \( p = 0.009 \); without inflammation: median 52, IQR 29–70; Fig. 4), as well as after adjustment for interval between amniocentesis and delivery and administration of corticosteroids (intra-amniotic infection: \( p = 0.03 \); sterile intra-amniotic inflammation: \( p = 0.05 \)). A negative correlation between percentiles of birth/abortion weight and concentrations of IL-6 in amniotic fluid as measured by lateral flow immunoassay (\( n = 31 \)) was identified (\( \rho = -0.59, p < 0.0005 \)).

### Short-Term Neonatal Outcomes

The rates of short-term neonatal outcomes of the neonates from pregnancy with cervical insufficiency with prolapsed fetal membranes have been shown in the Table 2. The presence of sterile intra-amniotic inflammation was associated with a higher rate of death before discharge (\( p = 0.002 \)) and compound neonatal morbidity (\( p = 0.007 \)) as per crude analysis, but this was not so after adjustment for gestational age at delivery.

### Discussion

The main findings of this study on women with cervical insufficiency with prolapsed fetal membranes are as follows: (i) the frequency of intra-amniotic infection and sterile intra-amniotic inflammation were 11 and 43%, respectively; (ii) both types of intra-amniotic inflammation were associated with a shorter interval from amniocentesis to delivery and lower gestational age at delivery; (iii) antibiotic therapy reduced the intensity of sterile intra-amniotic inflammation in a fraction of the cases; (iv) intra-amniotic infection and sterile intra-amniotic inflammation were associated with a reduction of fetal growth, although it did not fulfill the criteria for fetal growth restriction; and (v) gestational age at delivery was the main determinant of short-term neonatal morbidity in women with cervical insufficiency with prolapsed fetal membranes.

### Intra-Amniotic Infection and Sterile Intra-Amniotic Inflammation in Other Pregnancy Pathologies

Recent advances in microbiology that allow detection of microbial nucleic acids in amniotic fluid have provided

| Characteristic                  | With sterile intra-amniotic inflammation (\( n = 17 \)) | Without sterile intra-amniotic inflammation (\( n = 23 \)) | Without intra-amniotic inflammation (\( n = 56 \)) |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Need for intubation, \( n (%) \) | 2 (50)                                               | 4 (18)                                                   | 4 (7)                                            |
| Respiratory distress syndrome, \( n (%) \) | 3 (18)                                               | 5 (21)                                                   | 0 (0)                                            |
| Prenatal enterocolitis, \( n (%) \) | 1 (6)                                                | 2 (9)                                                    | 2 (4)                                            |
| Necrotizing enterocolitis, \( n (%) \) | 1 (6)                                                | 2 (9)                                                    | 2 (4)                                            |
| Intestinal perforation, \( n (%) \) | 0 (0)                                                | 2 (9)                                                    | 1 (2)                                            |
| Early-onset sepsis, \( n (%) \)    | 0 (0)                                                | 2 (9)                                                    | 2 (4)                                            |
| Late-onset sepsis, \( n (%) \)     | 0 (0)                                                | 2 (9)                                                    | 2 (4)                                            |
| Bronchopulmonary dysplasia, \( n (%) \) | 0 (0)                                           | 1 (4)                                                    | 1 (2)                                            |
| Pneumonia, \( n (%) \)             | 0 (0)                                                | 1 (4)                                                    | 2 (4)                                            |
| Retinopathy of prematurity, \( n (%) \) | 1 (6)                                            | 3 (13)                                                   | 2 (4)                                            |
| Death before discharge, \( n (%) \) | 2 (12)                                               | 7 (30)                                                   | 6 (11)                                           |

Variables were compared using Fisher’s exact test and are presented as \( n (%) \). Statistically significant results are marked in bold. \( P \) value – comparison between women with intra-amniotic infection and without intra-amniotic inflammation. The results were adjusted for gestational age at sampling.
a unique opportunity to identify difficult to culture and non-cultivable microorganisms in amniotic fluid. A combination of traditional culturing methods and modern molecular biology methods allows precise dissection of both phenotypes of intra-amniotic inflammation. The prevalence of intra-amniotic infection, a microorganism-driven form of intra-amniotic inflammation, has been shown to be 11% in pregnancies with spontaneous preterm labor with intact membranes [21], 21–29% in pregnancies with preterm prelabor rupture of membranes (PPROM) [22, 34], and 2% in pregnancies with a short cervix [23]. The rate of sterile intra-amniotic inflammation, a non-microorganism-driven type of intra-amniotic inflammation, was shown to be higher than the rate of intra-amniotic infection in pregnancies with spontaneous preterm labor with intact membranes (26%) [21] and with a short cervix (10%). In PPROM pregnancies, data on the rate of sterile intra-amniotic inflammation vary between 4 and 29% [22, 34].

Intra-Amniotic Infection and Sterile Intra-Amniotic Inflammation in Cervical Insufficiency with Prolapsed Fetal Membranes

In this study, we found that sterile intra-amniotic inflammation represents a dominant form of intra-amniotic inflammation in pregnancies with cervical insufficiency and prolapsed fetal membranes. This kind of inflammation was found in almost half of the included pregnancies and was responsible for four-fifths of all intra-amniotic inflammation cases. This observation is in line with a study by Lee et al. [14], where 73% (38/52) of women with cervical insufficiency between the gestational age of 17–29 weeks had amniotic fluid levels of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-8 >23 ng/mL and negative cultures of amniotic fluid. The prevalence of MIAC, defined either by a positive culture of amniotic fluid and/or positive PCR for *Ureaplasma* spp. in pregnancies with cervical insufficiency with prolapsed fetal membranes has been previously reported to range between 8 and 52% [10, 13–16, 35, 36]. However, the rate of intra-amniotic infection (defined as a positive culture along with the elevation of amniotic fluid inflammatory mediator) has been reported only by a few studies. Lee et al. [14] found intra-amniotic infection (positive culture and MMP-8 >23 ng/mL) in 8% (4/52) of the women. Diego Almela et al. [13] identified intra-amniotic infection (defined as a positive culture along with amniotic fluid IL-6 >2.5 ng/mL) in 13% (4/31) of women. In this study, MIAC was assessed more thoroughly than in the previous studies using 3 complementary methods: (i) aerobic and anaerobic cultivation; (ii) specific PCR for *Ureaplasma* spp., *Mycoplasma hominis*, and *Chlamydia trachomatis*; and (iii) nonspecific PCR for 16S rRNA gene. Using this approach, intra-amniotic infections were identified in 11% of women. This result is in line with the aforementioned studies.

There is a solid body of evidence showing that the presence of intra-amniotic inflammation in pregnancies with cervical insufficiency with prolapsed fetal membranes is associated with a shorter interval between amniocentesis and delivery [4, 12, 13, 17, 37, 38], lower gestational age at sampling [4, 11, 13, 14, 17], and higher rates of preterm deliveries [4, 12, 13, 17, 37]. These findings were also confirmed by our study.

The comparison of these outcomes between 2 phenotypes of intra-amniotic inflammation (intra-amniotic infection and sterile intra-amniotic inflammation) has not been carried out before. However, results from previous studies suggest that sterile intra-amniotic inflammation might have the same deleterious effect as intra-amniotic infection [14, 17]. In 2008, Lee et al. [14] reported that women with high amniotic fluid MMP-8 concentrations, irrespective of amniotic fluid culture results, had a lower gestational age at delivery than women with low MMP-8. Four years later, Aguin et al. [17] assessed women who underwent nonelective cervical cerclage. They found that women with intra-amniotic inflammation, but with negative amniotic fluid culture, had a shorter interval between amniocentesis and delivery, lower gestational age at sampling, and higher rates of delivery (<28 weeks and <32 weeks) than those negative for both amniotic fluid culture and intra-amniotic inflammation. The findings from the present study have revealed no differences in pregnancy outcomes between women with intra-amniotic infection and those with sterile intra-amniotic inflammation.

Antibiotics to Treat Intra-Amniotic Inflammation

A recent study reported that intravenous administration of select antibiotics, either in combination [20, 39, 40] or as monotherapy [41], may reduce the intensity of intra-amniotic inflammation and even resolve both forms of intra-amniotic inflammation in women with spontaneous preterm labor [40], PPROM [39, 41], and cervical insufficiency with prolapsed fetal membranes [20]. Oh et al. [20] reported treatment success (resolution of intra-amniotic inflammation or delivery ≥34 weeks) in 60% of women with cervical insufficiency with intra-amniotic inflammation treated with ceftriaxone, clarithromycin, and metronidazole. Among women who experienced res-
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The solution of intra-amniotic inflammation, 89% (8/9) of them showed a negative culture and PCR for Ureaplasma spp [20].

In this study, women with intra-amniotic inflammation received intravenous clarithromycin. Clarithromycin – a semi-synthetic macrolide – is not only a potent tool to treat bacterial infection, it also has other biologic properties: (i) an effective antibacterial activity against Ureaplasma spp. [42–46]; (ii) an optimal placental passage [47, 48]; (iii) inhibition of the transcription factors of nuclear factor kappa B [49–51] and activator protein-1 [52, 53] (major regulators in pro-inflammatory response); and (iv) inhibition of the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines. [53–60]. Administration of intravenous clarithromycin was associated with treatment success in 15% (3/20) of women with intra-amniotic inflammation, particularly those with sterile intra-amniotic inflammation. Interestingly, reduction in IL-6 concentrations at the time of follow-up amniocentesis was seen only in women with sterile intra-amniotic inflammation who initially showed just mild elevation in amniotic fluid IL-6 concentrations. This suggests that, among women with cervical insufficiency with prolapsed fetal membranes, those with milder forms of sterile intra-amniotic inflammation could be positively impacted by intravenous clarithromycin. However, we are aware of the limited sample size of women in whom a follow-up amniocentesis was performed. Therefore, this observation should be noted with caution.

**Intra-Amniotic Inflammation and Restriction of Fetal Growth**

Restriction of fetal growth has multiple etiologies and a long preclinical phase [61, 62]. Therefore, fetal growth restriction is considered to be one of the “great obstetrical syndromes” [61–65]. There is a plethora of evidence on the association between infection/inflammation and fetal growth: (i) the presence of histological chorioamnionitis is more frequent in growth restricted infants from preterm and term deliveries [66]; (ii) among pregnancies with small-for-gestational-age fetuses, 6% had MIAC, 2% had intra-amniotic infection, and 6% had sterile intra-amniotic inflammation [67]; (iii) maternal bacterial infection may alter fetal growth [68, 69]; (iv) concentrations of IL-6, tumor necrosis factor-α, and C-reactive protein in umbilical cord blood in small-for-gestational-age infants are increased as compared to appropriate-for-gestational age infants [70]; (v) chronic hypoxia leads to elevation of IL-6 in fetal blood in animals model [71]; and (vi) chronic maternal infection/inflammation may result in small-for-gestational-age fetuses in animal models [72, 73]. Following this evidence, we assessed the differences in newborn weights, expressed as percentiles for gestational age at delivery, among newborns from pregnancies with intra-amniotic infection, with and without intra-amniotic inflammation. Newborns/aborted fetuses from pregnancies with both forms of intra-amniotic inflammation had lower percentiles of birth/aborted weight than those from pregnancies without intra-amniotic inflammation. This observation is supported by a negative correlation between the amniotic fluid IL-6 concentrations and percentiles of birth/aborted weight. Interestingly, only mild alterations of fetal growth were found in this study and they did not fulfill the criteria for fetal growth restriction (10th percentile). Given this, we cannot say whether intra-amniotic inflammation is a cause or consequence of reduction in fetal growth. This observation requires further investigation using larger cohorts of women with cervical insufficiency or different phenotypes of spontaneous preterm delivery.

In this study, pregnancies with cervical insufficiency with prolapsed fetal membranes complicated by intra-amniotic infection and sterile intra-amniotic inflammation were seen to have a lower gestational age at delivery than pregnancies without intra-amniotic inflammation. Gestational age at delivery is a more important determinant of short-term neonatal outcomes than MIAC or intra-amniotic inflammation in women with spontaneous preterm delivery and PPROM [74, 75]. Therefore, it is not surprising that, after adjustment for gestational age, we could not discern any differences in selected aspects of short-term neonatal morbidity in this study.

**Strengths and Limitations**

The main strength of this study was a thorough assessment of MIAC and the combination of 3 different methods, providing a unique opportunity to precisely dissect the characteristics of a subset of women with sterile intra-amniotic inflammation. The second advantage is that, regardless of the relatively small sample size, the cohort was very homogenous and consists only of Caucasian women who underwent amniocentesis at the time of admission. This study also has some important limitations. First, 2 different approaches were used to assess the concentrations of IL-6 in amniotic fluid. Second, the retrospective nature of this study did not give us an opportunity to perform detailed ultrasonography assessments focused on fetal growth and uteroplacental perfusion.
Conclusion

In conclusion, both phenotypes of intra-amniotic inflammation, with sterile intra-amniotic inflammation being more frequent, are associated with worse outcomes in pregnancies with cervical insufficiency with prolapsed fetal membranes. Intra-amniotic infection and sterile intra-amniotic inflammation are also associated with a reduction in fetal growth.
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