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Abstract

With the outbreak and popularity of COVID-19 pandemic worldwide, the volume of patients is increasing rapidly all over the world, which brings a big risk and challenge for the maintenance of public healthcare. In this situation, quick integration and analysis of the medical records of patients in a cloud platform are of positive and valuable significance for accurate recognition and scientific diagnosis of the healthy conditions of potential patients. However, due to the big volume of medical data of patients distributed in different platforms (e.g., multiple hospitals), how to integrate these data for patient clustering and analysis in a time-efficient and scalable manner in cloud platform is still a challenging task, while guaranteeing the capability of privacy-preservation. Motivated by this fact, a time-efficient, scalable and privacy-guaranteed patient clustering method in cloud platform is proposed in this work. At last, we demonstrate the competitive advantages of our method via a set of simulated experiments. Experiment results with competitive methods in current research literatures have proved the feasibility of our proposal.
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Introduction

With the outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic worldwide, the volume of patients who are infected by COVID-19 virus and other disasters (e.g., Diabetes Mellitus) is increasing rapidly, which brings a big risk and challenge for the healthcare of public all over the world [1–4]. In this situation, real-time collection and integration of the medical records of COVID-19 patients through a centralized cloud platform are of positive and valuable significance for accurate recognition and scientific diagnosis of the healthy conditions of patients [5–8]. Furthermore, through mining and analyzing the medical records of COVID-19 patients, global healthcare enterprises or organizations (e.g., World Health Organization) can quickly capture the variation tendency or mutation directions of the COVID-19 virus, so as to enact appropriate and fast treatment plans, alleviate patients’ pains and keep people much healthier [9–11].

However, the integration of the medical records of COVID-19 patients by a cloud platform is usually a challenging task due to the following reasons. (1) The COVID-19 patients are distributed all over the world; it is said there are COVID-19 patients in nearly 200 countries and regions. Therefore, the medical records of these distributed COVID-19 patients all over the world are hard to be integrated into a cloud platform because of the laws and regulations of different countries and regions [12, 13]. (2) The medical data of COVID-19 patients often contain partial privacy of patients, which impedes the effective and reliable data sharing between different medical platforms because certain patients are rather sensitive to personal privacy and often dare not release the private information to others [14–16]. (3) The COVID-19 patients are increasing rapidly in size; as a result, the corresponding medical data of patients are also growing very quickly, which places a heavy burden on the quick processing, mining and analyzing the big volume of medical data hosted in a cloud platform [17–19]. Moreover, the medical data are accumulated...
continuously with time elapsing, which raises a big challenge for the traditional medical data mining methods in cloud especially in terms of algorithm scalability.

Therefore, from the perspective of a cloud platform, how to integrate the massive and fast-growing medical data of COVID-19 patients in a time-efficient, scalable and privacy-preserving way is still a big challenge for integrating, processing and mining the medical data for providing better healthcare services to the public all over the world. Moreover, accurate clustering and division of the COVID-19 patients based on their medical data are of special significance and value to the accurate recognition and treatment of patients. For example, an anomaly or exception after patient clustering or division often indicates a valuable scientific finding in terms of healthy treatment. Therefore, it is of practical value or significance for global healthcare enterprises to investigate more effective and efficient patients clustering methods based on the medical data of COVID-19 patients registered in the cloud platform. However, the big volume and quick growth speed of medical data and the privacy disclosure concerns impede the realization of the above patient clustering goal. In view of the above challenges, this paper presents a novel efficient and scalable patient clustering method based on the historical medical data of patients in cloud environment. In a privacy-preserving way.

In summary, the major contributions of this paper are three-fold.

1. We recognize the importance and research significance of centralized patient clustering in cloud for global healthcare enterprises, especially in the big data context where a time-efficient, volume-scalable and privacy-preserving data integration strategy is required.

2. We introduce an effective ANN (Approximate Nearest Neighbor) discovery technique, i.e., Locality-Sensitive Hashing [20, 21] to perform time-efficient and scalable medical data integration and patient clustering in cloud environment in a privacy-preserving way.

3. A group of simulated experiments are enacted and deployed based on a popular dataset, which shows the feasibility and advantageous aspects of the proposed patient clustering method compared with other existing methods published in recent years.

We argue that our proposal can guarantee effective and efficient medical data integration especially in the big data context, due to the following two key points: (1) hash index is used in our proposed algorithm to secure the sensitive user information contained in medical data, which can reduce users' privacy leakage concerns significantly; (2) hash index technique has been proven very time-efficient since its time complexity is approximately $O(1)$; therefore, it is especially suitable for medical big data integration.

The reminder of this article is abbreviated as follows. In RELATED WORK section, we summarize the current research outcomes of the field. In MOTIVATION section, a concrete example is introduced to emphasize the research significance of this research work. In METHOD section, a novel time-efficient and scalable patient clustering method with privacy-preservation is put forward in cloud environment. In 5 section, experiment comparisons with related literatures are presented to prove the advantages of our proposal. In 6 section, we summarize the whole paper and discuss the possible research improvement directions in upcoming study.

Related work
Medical data integration as well as patient clustering has been investigated for long decades. Next, we summarize the state-of-the-art research outcomes through the following two perspectives.

Medical Data Protection
In [22], the authors propose an intelligent medical system in cloud based on blockchain technology, i.e., GuardHealth, which is mainly used for privacy protection and sharing of users' medical data. Through effective and efficient privacy protection, GuardHealth improves the reliability of shared medical data significantly. Meanwhile, GuardHealth can also be used to realize the identification of malicious nodes and abnormal point detection to prevent unauthorized data sharing. Aiming at the privacy protection of medical data, the authors in [23] propose a promising privacy protection framework based on blockchain technology, i.e., MPBC. This framework has provisioned a new data storage method to alleviate the heavy burden brought by massive medical data that need to be shared and integrated for further data mining and analysis in cloud. Concretely, in the proposed MPBC framework, the authors introduce a federated learning mechanism to protect medical data by adding differential privacy noise into federated learning models. This way, sensitive user information in medical data is secured.

In [24], a deep learning-based intrusion detection method named deep belief network (DBN) model, is proposed, which is mainly used to detect and identify attacks and anomalies in the Internet of Things. By using the DBN model, security and privacy issues in the Internet of Things are well protected, and the method has achieved good results in terms of accuracy, recall, precision and other metrics. In [25], the authors recognize the challenge brought by privacy disclosure of medical data, because patients' medical data are easy to leak and could damage patients' privacy and hinder the development of medical undertakings in cloud. In this paper, the authors introduce a core technology in the
Internet of Things - RFID. Through the security analysis and evaluation of the new scheme introduced in this paper, we can conclude that RFID can effectively solve the problem of privacy protection of medical data and prevent possible data leakage. In [26], the authors propose a new framework to realize data sharing and a new data access mechanism for safe storage and transmission of medical data. The method can accurately obtain patient information while ensuring patient data privacy.

Patient Clustering & Anomaly Detection

In [27], the authors discuss the patient clustering and anomaly detection issues based on medical image data analysis and processing in cloud. In concrete, this paper presents the classification of the literatures about related applications, sums up the advantages and disadvantages of different medical data processing schemes, highlights their important results, and summarizes experience and lessons. Meanwhile, several suggestions on how to analyze the medical image data for anomaly detection are also given. In [28], the authors use medical data to make disease classification. Besides, patient clustering and data analysis with anomaly detection algorithms are presented, and the development of existing methods of disease prevention and application situation are also discussed.

In [29], the authors propose an anomaly detection framework based on generative adversarial network, to solve various medical problems by mining the annotated large-scale medical data in cloud. The framework is developed to address the limitations of a diagnosis process that is difficult for diseases with relatively low incidence. Through a sparse and constrained generative adversarial network proposed in this paper, we can make accurate disease screening. At the same time, authors also propose to use an abnormal activation map to display the heat map of lesions. In [30], the authors propose a generative admission network for unsupervised medical anomaly detection. Because unsupervised learning can find some invisible anomalies, 2D or 3D medical images can be constructed through unsupervised learning to detect outliers. In [31], through unsupervised learning that can be used when it is not necessary to mark large-scale medical data as well as their characteristics, a variational context of coding automatic encoder (ceVAE) framework based on unsupervised learning is introduced. Typically, the framework implements the reconstruction by integrating the density anomaly scores, through which we can achieve satisfactory results in recognizing possible anomalies or exceptions.

With the above analysis, we can find that existing literatures still fall short in achieving time-efficient and scalable patient clustering while guaranteeing sensitive user privacy, while computational cost and user privacy are both important in big data applications [32–40]. Inspired by this shortcoming, we propose a new solution in the following sections.

Motivation

We present a concrete example in Fig. 1 to better clarify the motivation of this paper. In Fig. 1, some patients as well as their medical data are hosted in Hospital A, while other patients as well as their medical data are hosted in Hospital B. For comprehensive medical data analysis of global healthcare enterprises, we need to integrate the medical data of patients distributed in Hospitals A and B into a central cloud platform to make accurate patient clustering and division. However, the above integration and clustering process often confronts with three challenges: Ch1: due to the big volume of medical data of patients in different hospitals, much time is often required to pre-process, integrate and cluster the integrated medical data, which probably leads to low time efficiency; Ch2: the medical data of patients in different hospitals are accumulated with time, which calls for additional computational time to update the patient clustering model, i.e., the scalability is often low; Ch3: since the medical data of patients in different hospitals need to be transmitted to the central cloud platform, patients’ sensitive data contained in medical data are probably disclosed to the third party, which further impedes the medical data sharing willingness of patients and hospitals.

In view of these challenges, we bring forth a novel patient clustering method based on medical data of patients in different hospitals, in a time-efficient, volume-scalable and privacy-preserving manner through a cloud platform. The detailed procedure of our proposed method is described in the following section.

Method

Next, we introduce Locality-Sensitive Hashing into time-efficient, scalable and privacy-preserving patient clustering process and bring forth a novel Patient Clustering Method in cloud based on medical data distributed in different platforms, named PCM. For better understanding and discussion in the subsequent paragraphs, we make the following formalization: assume there are m patients in P-Set = {p1, ..., p_m}, n disasters in D-Set = {d1, ..., d_n}, matrix M in Eq. (1) depicts the patients’ health monitoring data on different disasters: a_{i,j} denotes patient p_i’s health data on disaster d_j (1 ≤ i ≤ m, 1 ≤ j ≤ n). Here, M is an m*n matrix as shown in Eq. (1).

\[
M = p_1 \begin{bmatrix}
  d_1 & \cdots & d_n \\
  a_{1,1} & \cdots & a_{1,n} \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  a_{m,1} & \cdots & a_{m,n}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(1)
Next, we need to cluster the m patients into multiple groups based on the medical data in matrix M, in a time-efficient, scalable and privacy-preserving way. In concrete, the major procedure of the PCM method is described in detail as follows.

**Step 1: Patient index generation based on medical data.**

Next, we generate the index of each patient based on the patient’s medical data in matrix M registered in a cloud platform. In concrete, as Eq. (1) shows, each patient’s medical data are represented by a vector \( V(p_i) = (a_{i,1},...,a_{i,n}) \). Next, we generate another vector \( V = (v_1,...,v_n) \) according to Eq. (2). In other words, each entry of vector \( V \) is a random data between -1 and 1. Thus, with vector \( V(p_i) \) and vector \( V \), a new data \( w(p_i) \) is obtained by Eq. (3) and (4).

For each patient \( p_i \), we execute Eq. (2)-(4) \( c \) times (\( c = 2, 3,... \)) and then we get \( c \) 0/1 values corresponding to patient \( p_i \): \( w_1(p_i),...,w_c(p_i) \). Next, we merge the \( c \) 0/1 values into a new Boolean value \( W(p_i) = (w_1(p_i)w_2(p_i)...w_c(p_i))_B \). For example, if \( c = 3 \) and \( w_1(p_i) = 1, w_2(p_i) = 1, w_3(p_i) = 0, \) then \( W(p_i) = (110)_B \). For simplicity of subsequent specifications, we transform \( W(p_i) \) from a Boolean value to a Decimal value. For example, \( W(p_i) = (110)_B = 6 \). Thus, through the above calculation, matrix \( M \) in Eq. (1) is converted into the following matrix \( M^* \) in Eq. (5).

\[
\begin{align*}
M^* &= \begin{bmatrix}
W(p_1) \\
\vdots \\
p_m \\
\end{bmatrix} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Next, we execute the operations in Eq. (5) \( r \) times (\( r = 2, 3,... \)) and then for each patient \( p_i \), we get \( r \) Decimal values: \( W_1(p_i),...,W_r(p_i) \). Then the matrix \( M^* \) in Eq. (5) is converted into the following matrix \( M^\# \) in Eq. (6). Then according to the hash projection rule of Locality-Sensitive Hashing, each row vector in matrix \( M^\# \) is the index for the patient corresponding to the row. For example, we consider the first row of matrix \( M^\# \): \( h(p_1) = (W_1(p_1),...,W_r(p_1)) \) is the index of patient \( p_1 \), and so on. This way, we can convert the medical data of each patient \( p_i \) into a corresponding index \( h(p_i) \), i.e., Eq. (7) holds.

\[
\begin{align*}
M^\# &= \begin{bmatrix}
(W_1(p_1) \cdots W_r(p_1)) \\
\vdots \\
(W_1(p_m) \cdots W_r(p_m)) \\
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]
Then we put the projections from patients $p_i$ to their corresponding indexes $h(p_i)$ into a table (i.e., index table $\text{In}-T$), as illustrated in Table 1. According to the basic rule of Locality-Sensitive Hashing, if $h(p_i) = h(p_j)$ holds, then the two patients $p_i$ and $p_j$ are close with high probability. However, the above similar patient discovery way is a bit simple and straightforward and sometimes not correct because of the probability-based nature of Locality-Sensitive Hashing. In other words, False-negative or False-positive cases are inevitable. To tackle this issue, one index table is often not enough and therefore, multiple index tables are necessary to be created. Next, we repeat the index table generation process in Table 1 k times ($k = 2, 3, ...$) and obtain k index tables ($\text{In}-T_1, \ldots, \text{In}-T_k$) as illustrated in Table 2.

**Step 2: Patient clustering based on patient index.**

In Step 1, we have got k index tables for m patients: $\text{In}-T_1, \ldots, \text{In}-T_k$. Next, we cluster the m patients into different groups according to $\text{In}-T_1, \ldots, \text{In}-T_k$. In concrete, the clustering process is formalized as in Eq. (8). More intuitively, for two patients $p_i$ and $p_j$, their similarity degree (denoted by $S(p_i, p_j)$) is equal to 1 if their indexes are equal in any of the k index tables: $\text{In}-T_1, \ldots, \text{In}-T_k$. Next, the patients whose similarity degree is equal to 1 are put into the same group or cluster. This way, the m patients are successfully clustered into different groups. Since the index tables of patients are produced offline and the time complexity is close to 0, the above patient clustering process if often time-efficient and scalable (time complexity is O(1)). Moreover, we only use patient indexes in Table 2 to achieve the clustering goal without revealing the real medical data in matrix $M$ in Eq. (1); therefore, little privacy is disclosed in the clustering process. In other words, we can cluster the patients successfully in a privacy-preserving way.

$$S(p_i, p_j) = 1 \text{ if } h_x(p_i) = h_x(p_j) \text{ holds in any } \text{In}-T_x (x = 1, 2, \ldots, k) \quad (8)$$

In summary, our proposal can guarantee effective and efficient medical data integration especially in the big data context because of the following two reasons: (1) hash index is used in our proposed algorithm to secure the sensitive user information contained in medical data, which can reduce users’ privacy leakage concerns significantly; (2) hash index technique has been proven very time-efficient since its time complexity is approximately O(1); therefore, it is especially suitable for medical big data integration.

We use the following pseudocode to formulate the PCM algorithm.

```
Input: (1) M: patient-disaster medical data matrix in cloud (2) P: patient set (3) D: disaster set
Output: (1) $g_1, \ldots, g_d$: patient groups after clustering in cloud
1: for $j = 1$ to $n$ do
2:     $v_j = \text{Rand}(\{-1, 1\})$
3: end for
4: $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$
5: for $i = 1$ to $m$ do
6:     calculate $V(p_i)^*V$
7:     if $V(p_i) * V \leq 0$ then
8:         $w(p_i) = 0$
9:     else
10:         $w(p_i) = 1$
11: end if
12: end for
13: repeat lines 1-12 c times
14: for $i = 1$ to $m$ do
15:     $w(p_i) = (w_1(p_i), w_2(p_i), \ldots, w_c(p_i))$
16: end for
17: repeat lines 13-16 r times
18: $I_n - T = \Phi$
19: for $i = 1$ to $m$ do
20:     $h(p_i) = (W_1(p_i), \ldots, W_c(p_i))$
21: end for
22: generate $\text{In}-T_1, \ldots, \text{In}-T_k$
23: for $i = 1$ to $m$ do
24:     for $j = i + 1$ to $m$ do
25:         if $h_x(p_i) = h_x(p_j)$ then
26:             $S(p_i, p_j) = 1$
27:         else
28:             put $p_i$ and $p_j$ into set $g$
29: end if
30: end for
31: end for
32: return $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_d$
```
**Algorithm 1 PCM(M,P,D) Evaluation**

**Setting**

1. Hardware: Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-6500U CPU @ 2.50 GHz, 16.0 GB RAM.
2. Software: Win 10, Python 3.0.
3. Dataset: we use WS-DREAM dataset for simulated experiments and each experiment is run 50 times and we register their average performances for final display.
4. Compared methods: SerRec-distri−LSH [41] and UPCC [17].
5. Metrics: MAE, RMSE, Time cost.

**Results**

(1) MAE comparison.

MAE is a common and popular criterion to measure the prediction accuracy in big data applications [42–49]. Here, the MAE performances of three methods are measured and compared. Parameter settings are as follows: \( m = 300, n = 5000, c = 2, k = 4, 6, 8, 10, r = 4, 6, 8, 10 \). The parameter settings of \( m, n, k \) and \( r \) in the experiment evaluation section are based on experience.

In concrete, we have tested several sets of parameters \( k \) and \( r \) in the experiments and found that \( m = 300, n = 5000, k = 4, 6, 8, 10 \) and \( r = 4, 6, 8, 10 \) are appropriate settings to observe the performances of our proposal. Comparison reports are presented in Fig. 2 where UPCC is the baseline method whose MAE is the smallest (smaller MAE indicates higher clustering accuracy). Regarding SerRec-distri−LSH and our PCM (\( c = 2 \)), PCM's MAE is often smaller than that of SerRec-distri−LSH, which indicates that in most cases PCM's clustering accuracy is

![Fig. 2 MAE of three methods](image-url)

| Patient | Index | Index |
|---------|-------|-------|
| \( p_1 \) | \( h_1(p_1) \) | \( h_k(p_1) \) |
| \( p_2 \) | \( h_1(p_2) \) | \( h_k(p_2) \) |
| -- | -- | -- |
| \( p_m \) | \( h_1(p_m) \) | \( h_k(p_m) \) |

**Table 1** An index table of patients

| Patient Index | Index |
|----------------|-------|
| \( p_1 \) | \( h(p_1) \) |
| \( p_2 \) | \( h(p_2) \) |
| -- | -- |
| \( p_m \) | \( h(p_m) \) |

**Table 2** k index tables of patients

| Patient | \( ln−T_1 \) | ... | \( ln−T_k \) |
|---------|--------------|-----|--------------|
| Index | Index | Index |
| \( p_1 \) | \( h_1(p_1) \) | \( h_k(p_1) \) |
| \( p_2 \) | \( h_1(p_2) \) | \( h_k(p_2) \) |
| -- | -- | -- |
| \( p_m \) | \( h_1(p_m) \) | \( h_k(p_m) \) |
higher than SerRec\textsubscript{distri−LSH}. The reason is that we introduce a new factor of parameter $c$, which strengthens the clustering performances more or less. Therefore, PCM can achieve better clustering performances (especially the clustering accuracy) than SerRec\textsubscript{distri−LSH}. Although UPCC performs better than PCM in certain situations, UPCC cannot protect user privacy well while our PCM method can.

(2) RMSE comparison.

Here, we use another metric RMSE to evaluate the clustering accuracy of different methods and parameters are set as follows: $m = 300$, $n = 5000$, $c = 2$, $k = 4, 6, 8, 10$, $r = 4, 6, 8, 10$. Concrete experiment results are reported in Fig. 3 where UPCC is the baseline method whose RMSE is the smallest (smaller RMSE often means higher cluster accuracy). Regarding SerRec\textsubscript{distri−LSH} and our PCM ($c = 2$), PCM's RMSE is often smaller than that of SerRec\textsubscript{distri−LSH}, which means that in most cases PCM's clustering accuracy is better than SerRec\textsubscript{distri−LSH}. The reason is the same as that we analyzed in Fig. 2, i.e., we introduce a new factor of parameter $c$, which improves the clustering performance considerably. Therefore, PCM can achieve better clustering effect than SerRec\textsubscript{distri−LSH}. Although UPCC performs better than PCM in partial cases, UPCC cannot protect user privacy well while PCM can.

(3) Time cost comparison.

Computational cost is an important metric that plays a key role in big data systems [50–53]. Motivated by this fact, we measure the consumed time cost of three methods. Concrete parameters are set as follows: $m = 300$, $n = 5000$, $c = 2$, $k = 4, 6, 8, 10$, $r = 4, 6, 8, 10$. Concrete experiment results are shown in Fig. 4. As can be seen from the results, UPCC consumed the most time since it involves massive calculation operations of user similarity based on Pearson Correlation Coefficients. For both SerRec\textsubscript{distri−LSH} and our PCM, their time costs are both small because they both use offline indexes to make similar user clustering. Moreover, the time complexity of our PCM is better than that of SerRec\textsubscript{distri−LSH} because in PCM, we use improved Locality-Sensitive Hashing to create indexes and as a result, only fewer similar users are finally returned for subsequent clustering. Correspondingly, time cost is reduced considerably.

Conclusions

With the outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic worldwide, the volume of patients is increasing rapidly, which brings a big risk and challenge for the healthcare of public all over the world. In this situation, quick integration and analysis of the medical records of patients are of positive and valuable significance for accurate recognition and scientific diagnosis of the healthy conditions of patients. However, due to the big volume of medical data
of patients distributed in different platforms (e.g., hospitals), how to integrate these data efficiently for patient clustering and analysis is still a challenging task, while guaranteeing privacy-preservation and high scalability. Motivated by this fact, a time-efficient, scalable and privacy-guaranteed patient clustering method is proposed in this work. Our proposal can guarantee effective and efficient medical data integration especially in the big data context, due to the following two key points: (1) hash index is used in our proposed algorithm to secure the sensitive user information contained in medical data, which can reduce users’ privacy leakage concerns significantly; (2) hash index technique has been proven very time-efficient since its time complexity is approximately O(1); therefore, it is especially suitable for medical big data integration. At last, we demonstrate the competitive advantages of our method via a set of simulated experiments.

However, there are several limitations in this work. First, we assume that the medical data are of a fixed type, while data types are of multiple and varied in the big data context [54–56]. Therefore, it is necessary to improve the PCM method by incorporating different types of medical data. Moreover, medical data are often not static, but associated with many context factors such as time, location and so on [57–60]. Therefore, in the future study, we will continue to optimize our proposed PCM method by considering multiple context factors. Finally, the analysis and processing of big data often call for a considerable amount of computing resources as well as effective computing offloading strategies and energy-saving technologies [61–64]. In the upcoming research work, we will continue to study more economic and green resolutions.
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