Genetic analysis of indel markers in three loci associated with Parkinson’s disease
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Abstract

The causal mutations and genetic polymorphisms associated with susceptibility to Parkinson’s disease (PD) have been extensively described. To explore the potential contribution of insertion (I)/deletion (D) polymorphisms (indels) to the risk of PD in a Chinese population, we performed genetic analyses of indel loci in ACE, DJ-1, and GIGYF2 genes. Genomic DNA was extracted from venous blood of 348 PD patients and 325 age- and sex-matched controls without neurodegenerative disease. Genotyping of the indel loci was performed by fragment length analysis after PCR and DNA sequencing. Our results showed a statistically significant association for both allele $X$ (alleles without 5) vs. 5 (odds ratio = 1.378, 95% confidence interval = 1.112–1.708, $P = 0.003$) and genotype 5/X+X/X vs. 5/5 (odds ratio = 1.681, 95% confidence interval = 1.174–2.407, $P = 0.004$) in the GIGYF2 locus; however, no significant differences were detected for the ACE and DJ-1 indels. After stratification by gender, no significant differences were observed in any indels. These results indicate that the GIGYF2 indel may be associated with increased risk of PD in northern China.

Introduction

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is the most common neurodegenerative disorder besides Alzheimer disease (AD) [1]. Due to the presence of Lewy bodies (LBs) and loss of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra of the midbrain, the clinical symptoms of PD are mainly characterized by tremors at rest, rigidity, bradykinesia, and posture disorders [2,3]. The etiology of slowly progressive parkinsonian syndromes remains elusive, although certain genetic and environmental factors have been shown to contribute to PD [3].

Over the last decade, research has shed light on the relationship between some candidate genetic markers and PD in different populations [4–8]. These genetic markers include single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), which occupy the majority of PD genetic investigations; rearrangements; and insertion (I)/deletion (D) polymorphisms (indels). However, very few indels have been evaluated in PD studies, especially in Chinese individuals. Some of these indels are located in functionally important sites of human genes and can, therefore, potentially influence pathogenicity [9]. Additionally, some indel polymorphisms that are present in
non-functional regions are susceptible to disease and may also be linked to other pathogenic markers. Therefore, we analyzed the association between indels and PD risk in the current study.

Angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE), a membrane-bound zinc metallopeptidase, regulates blood pressure and blood volume by converting angiotensin I to angiotensin II and by degrading bradykinin. Previous studies have suggested that it may be involved in the pathogenesis of neurodegenerative disorders such as PD, AD, Huntington’s disease, and autism [10,11]. Furthermore, the primary loss of substance P due to ACE hydrolysis has been shown to be a contributing factor in the pathogenesis of PD [12–14]. The polymorphism in the ACE gene is an indel of the 287-bp Alu repetitive sequence in intron 16 (rs1799752), which leads to a deletion allele and insertion allele [15].

The DJ-1 (PARK7) gene is located on chromosome 1p36 [16], the product of which is a peptidase C56 family protein. It has been reported that oxidative stress is involved in the pathology of neurodegenerative diseases, such as PD and AD [17]. Interestingly, the DJ-1 protein protects dopaminergic neurons from oxidative stress [18,19]. In 2003, Vincenzo Bonifati et al. reported that DJ-1 gene mutations are associated with patients in autosomal recessive early onset Parkinsonism [19]. Likewise, the DJ-1 gene with an 18-bp indel polymorphism (g.168_185del; rs864309640; ss1966650459) in its 5’ UTR has been shown to increase the risk of PD [20,21].

Grb10 interacting GYF Protein 2 (GIGYF2), which cooperates with the Grb10 adapter protein to stimulate IGF-1 receptors [22], was identified in dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNpc) of PD brain tissue and is involved in the regulation of tyrosine kinase receptor signaling at endosomes [23]. The GIGYF2 gene was reported to be a susceptibility gene- that is accountable for PARK11-linked PD [24] and is located in the chromosomal region 2q36-37 [25]. Lautier et al. [24] described all GIGYF2 coding exons through complete sequencing in Italian and French cohorts; their data strongly support that GIGYF2 at the PARK 11 locus has a causal role in familial PD. Among them, one of the mutations showed a trinucleotide indel in exon 29 of the GIGYF2 gene (NG_011847), which is described as being encoded in exon 25 of GIGYF2 in most other published reports.

Three indel polymorphisms in ACE, DJ-1 and GIGYF2 have been reported to be associated with, as potential susceptibility factors, PD and AD pathophysiology [12,14,26,27]. However, the results from association studies evaluating the relationship between the above indel markers and distribution of PD risk are still unclear. In a northern Chinese population, we investigated many point mutations associated with Parkinson’s disease. Nevertheless, these were insufficient to explain the association with PD. Therefore, we investigated the frequency distributions of indels markers in three loci to explain the pathogenesis of PD in this population.

Materials and methods

Study population

A total of 348 ethnic Chinese individuals with idiopathic PD [mean age (standard deviation) = 63.80 (13.74) years; age at onset (standard deviation) = 56.96 (10.55)] from north China represented the patient group, including 183 male and 165 female patients. All patients were diagnosed by movement disorder neurologists from the First Affiliated Hospital of China Medical University in Liaoning province in China according to the British Parkinson’s Disease Society Brain Bank criteria for the clinical diagnosis of PD. The patients exhibited at least two of the three cardinal symptoms and signs for PD (tremor, rigidity, and bradykinesia) and also had a positive response to levodopa therapy. A total of 325 unrelated volunteers [mean age (standard deviation) = 66.87 (16.12) years] from the local community formed the control group.
including 220 males and 105 females. The number of healthy female volunteers was limited in this investigation. Control participants were in good health and without a history of neurodegenerative disease. The scientific experimental protocol was approved by the ethics committee on Human Research of the China Medical University. All methods were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki. An informed consent agreement was signed by all individuals.

**Genomic DNA isolation and genotyping**

Venous blood samples were obtained from the participants. Genomic DNA was extracted via the sodium dodecyl sulfate-proteinase K phenol-chloroform method.

The three indels were genotyped by fragment length analysis after PCR. The design of specific primers and related parameters is shown in Table 1. PCR was conducted in 20 μl volumes containing approximately 50 ng of genomic DNA, 2 × PowerTaq PCR MasterMix (BioTeke, Beijing, China) and 10 μM of each specific primer. PCR was carried out on a Thermo Cycler Block (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Vantaa, Finland) with initial denaturation at 94˚C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturing for 20 s at 94˚C, annealing for 20 s (60˚C for ACE and GIGYF2; 65˚C for DJ-1), extension at 72˚C (30 s for ACE; 15 s for DJ-1; 8 s for GIGYF2); and a final extension at 72˚C for 1 min. PCR products were separated by electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel for the ACE indel and on a 6% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel for DJ-1 and GIGYF2 and were then visualized under a UV transilluminator after staining with ethidium bromide. All polymorphisms of ACE, DJ-1, and GIGYF2 were analyzed in the 348 PD cases and 325 controls.

**Capillary electrophoresis for GIGYF2**

To precisely determine the fragment length of the detected alleles in the GIGYF2 locus, the forward primer for PCR amplification was labeled with a fluorescent dye, 6-carboxyfluorescein (6-FAM). PCR products with fluorescence were detected using capillary electrophoresis on an ABI Prism 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, USA) and analyzed using GeneMapper 2.1 analysis software. Additionally, the fluorescent ladder GeneScan™ 600 Liz (Applied Biosystems, USA) was used as an internal size marker.

**DNA sequencing**

To further confirm the sequences of all alleles containing the three indel polymorphisms, homozygous samples representing different alleles were first amplified and then directly sequenced. For heterozygous samples, mainly in the GIGYF2 locus, we performed PCR amplification first. After PCR products were separated via a 6% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) with 1 × TBE buffer and stained with ethidium bromide, the target fragments were excised from the gel and eluted with distilled water. The eluates were reamplified using the

| Table 1. The information of indel loci and PCR parameters. |
|-------------|------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Genes**   | **Location in gene** | **Indel polymorphism** | **Primer direction** | **Sequence (5'→3')** | **Allele size (base pairs)** |
| ACE         | Intron 16    | 287 bp         | Forward          | GGGGACTCTCTGTAAGCCACTG | 532/245          |
|             |             |                | Reverse          | TCCCATGGCCATATACAAGGTC |                 |
| DJ-1        | Intron 1     | 18 bp          | Forward          | GTGGGGTGAGTGGTACCCA  | 248/230          |
|             |             |                | Reverse          | GTCACTAAAATCCACGCTCA |                 |
| GIGYF2      | Exon 29      | 3 bp           | Forward          | TTCTGAGCCAAGGGATTGTC  | 159–192          |
|             |             |                | Reverse          | ATCCCTAGAGGTACCCATACA |                 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0184269.t001
same primers and purified in Suprec-02 tubes (Takara, Shiga, Japan). The DNA sequences were determined using a Bigdyne Terminator Cycle Sequencing Reaction Kit on an ABI Prism 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, USA).

Statistical analysis

The Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) test for frequency distribution was calculated using PowerMarker version 3.0 to detect whether the population was in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. For all genes, a mutant vs. wild-type model was used to test different distributions of genotype and alleles between patients and controls. To find the PD effect on gender we have divided our data into different groups (male PD cases vs. male controls, female PD cases vs. female controls, PD male vs. female cases and control male vs. female). We tested allele 5, 6 and 7 against the rest of the alleles, but only allele 5 comparing with others showed the statistical significance. Therefore, in this study, 5 was treated as the major allele, and other allele classes (X) were merged to increase the statistics power for GIGYF2.

The χ² test was used to test for association of the indel polymorphism and PD risk, using SPSS version 20.0 software. The statistical power to detect association of polymorphisms with PD exceeded 0.80 as estimated with PASS version 11.0 software. All analyses were carried out using a two-tailed χ² test; P < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. Bonferroni correction was utilized for multiple testing. Odds ratios (ORs), with their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs), were used to evaluate the strength of the association between the polymorphisms and PD risk.

Results

Genotyping of three indel polymorphisms

The results showed that the frequency distribution for all indels did not deviate from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in each group (P > 0.05). The allele and genotype frequencies of the ACE and DJ-1 loci and corresponding statistical power are shown in Table 2 and S1 Table. There were no statistically significant differences between PD patients and controls, as analyzed by the χ² test (P > 0.05). For the indel polymorphism found presenting in exon 29 of the GIGYF2 gene, more than three genotypes were observed, and all alleles were identified as a 3-bp repeat extension in the investigated samples, as indicated by both PAGE and capillary electrophoresis separation. To better understand the sequence architectures of these different alleles at the locus, we performed DNA sequencing. We found a total of nine sequence discrepancies. As shown in Fig 1, using allele 6 (A6) as a reference sequence [24], the indel polymorphism of the GIGYF2 gene is more likely to be a short tandem repeat (STR) compared with

| Variables | PD Case (%) | Control (%) | P     | OR (95% CI) | Power |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|
| ACE       |             |             |       |             |       |
| p         | 458 (66)    | 414 (64)    | 0.418 | 1.097 (0.877, 1.372) | 0.128 |
| D         | 238 (34)    | 236 (36)    |       |             |       |
| DJ-1      |             |             |       |             |       |
| d         | 66 (9)      | 62 (10)     | 0.972 | 0.994 (0.690, 1.430) | 0.050 |
| I         | 630 (91)    | 588 (90)    |       |             |       |

a: variant allele in ACE
b: variant allele in DJ-1.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0184269.t002
alleles A5 and A7. Others indels exhibited a different structural variation enclosing the poly-Q core unit, which were identified as variant (V) alleles in our study.

The indel in the \textit{GIGYF2} gene is associated with PD

For the complex indel located in exon 29 of \textit{GIGYF2}, nine alleles and thirteen genotypes were identified, and the genotypic and allelic frequency distributions are shown in Table 3. The case-control analysis found a statistically significant difference after comparing the frequencies

Table 3. Frequency distribution and association analysis on indel locus of \textit{GIGYF2} gene.

| \textit{GIGYF2} | PD Case (%) | Control (%) | \(\chi^2\) | \(P\) | Power |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------|--------|
| 5             | 300 (0.431) | 332 (0.511) | 9.845      | 0.020 | 0.754  |
| 6             | 202 (0.290) | 170 (0.262) |            |       |        |
| 7             | 185 (0.266) | 144 (0.222) |            |       |        |
| V             | 9 (0.013)   | 4 (0.006)   |            |       |        |
| 5/5           | 67 (0.192)  | 93 (0.286)  | 11.959     | 0.153 | 0.683  |
| 6/6           | 25 (0.072)  | 23 (0.071)  |            |       |        |
| 7/7           | 19 (0.055)  | 17 (0.052)  |            |       |        |
| 5/6           | 83 (0.239)  | 79 (0.243)  |            |       |        |
| 5/7           | 77 (0.221)  | 64 (0.197)  |            |       |        |
| 6/7           | 68 (0.195)  | 45 (0.138)  |            |       |        |
| 5/V           | 6 (0.017)   | 3 (0.009)   |            |       |        |
| 6/V           | 1 (0.003)   | 0 (0.000)   |            |       |        |
| 7/V           | 2 (0.006)   | 1 (0.003)   |            |       |        |

V: variants (alleles A4, Av1-Av5).
of all alleles ($P < 0.05$), but no difference was found after testing the frequencies of all genotypes. Considering that there are multiple alleles and genotypes present for this particular locus, we performed further tests of association for allelic and genotypic grouping analyses. Our results indicated that there was a significant difference between PD cases and controls when the $X$ allele and $5/X+X/X$ genotype of the indel in exon 29 of the GIGYF2 gene was present compared with the $5$ allele ($\text{OR} = 1.378, 95\% \text{ CI} = 1.112–1.708, P = 0.003$) and $5/5$ genotype ($\text{OR} = 1.681, 95\% \text{ CI} = 1.174–2.407, P = 0.004$) (Table 4). Bonferroni correction was used in multiple testing, and the $P$-value was set at 0.025 ($0.05/2$) since two types of genetic models were used to analyze the association of the GIGYF2 indel with PD. However, other allelic and genotypic grouping comparisons did not show any differences between PD cases and controls (S3 and S4 Tables).

Differences in the genetic distribution of PD-associated indels according to gender

We performed gender stratification to better understand the association between the three indels and PD. As expected, no association was found between the indels in the ACE and DJ-1 genes and PD in the male or female datasets when studied separately (S2 Table). Although statistical significance was found in males, but not females, between patients and controls for the GIGYF2 indel (Table 5), no difference between males and females was found in either PD cases or controls (Table 6).

Discussion

In the current study, we selected three different indels to evaluate their role as predisposing factors for PD. Our results showed no significant differences in the allelic frequencies of indels

---

**Table 4. Association study on indel locus of GIGYF2 by genotypic and allelic stratification.**

| GIGYF2 | PD Case (%) | Control (%) | $\chi^2$ | $P$ | OR (95% CI) | Power |
|--------|-------------|-------------|---------|-----|-------------|-------|
| $X$    | 396 (0.569) | 318 (0.489) | 8.579   | 0.003 | 1.378 (1.112, 1.708) | 0.833 |
| $5$    | 300 (0.431) | 332 (0.511) |         |      |             |       |
| $X/X$  | 115 (0.330) | 86 (0.265)  | 8.916   | 0.012 | -           | 0.767 |
| $5/X$  | 166 (0.477) | 146 (0.449) |         |      |             |       |
| $5/5$  | 67 (0.193)  | 93 (0.287)  |         |      |             |       |
| $5/X+X/X$ | 281 (0.807) | 232 (0.663) | 8.129   | 0.004 | 1.681 (1.174, 2.407) | 0.814 |
| $5/5$  | 67 (0.193)  | 93 (0.287)  |         |      |             |       |

$X$: alleles 6, 7, A4 and Av1-Av5. $P < 0.05/2$ represents statistics significant for multiple testing.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0184269.t004

**Table 5. Association study on indel locus of GIGYF2 by gender stratification.**

| GIGYF2 | Male | Female |
|--------|------|--------|
|        | PD Case | Control | $P$ | OR (95% CI) | Power | PD Case | Control | $P$ | OR (95% CI) | Power |
| $X$    | 210    | 217    | 0.022 | 1.383 (1.047, 1.829) | 0.626 | 186     | 101     | 0.061 | 1.394 (0.985, 1.973) | 0.467 |
| $5$    | 156    | 223    |       |             |       | 144     | 109     |       |             |       |
| $X/X$  | 60     | 59     | 0.051 | -           | 0.580 | 55      | 27      | 0.185 | -           | 0.357 |
| $5/X$  | 90     | 99     |       |             |       | 76      | 47      |       |             |       |
| $5/5$  | 33     | 62     |       |             |       | 34      | 31      |       |             |       |
| $5/X+X/X$ | 150   | 158    | 0.017 | 1.784 (1.106, 2.876) | 0.666 | 131     | 74      | 0.095 | 1.614 (0.918, 2.837) | 0.386 |
| $5/5$  | 33     | 62     |       |             |       | 34      | 31      |       |             |       |

$X$: alleles 6, 7, A4 and Av1-Av5.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0184269.t005
in the ACE and DJ-1 genes between PD patients and controls. However, an association between the GIGYF2 indel polymorphism and PD was found in the population. With regard to the genetic factors associated with complex diseases or phenotypes, comprehensive understanding for different variations may be needed [28]. Single nonfunctional variants as susceptible locus, are often related to a disease or phenotype, which implied that these variants are actually in strong linkage with causal mutations. Therefore, results based on single variant in related loci can lead to incorrect explanation for complex phenotypes. Some studies have demonstrated loci with multiple variants in complete linkage disequilibrium with the indel polymorphisms [29,30]. It is noteworthy that the causal mutation may be better captured by haplotype-based methods [31,32]. Based on these issues, it is plausible that the indel polymorphisms in this study, whether they are susceptible for PD or not, must be considered for haplotype investigations to make clear relationship between indels and the true risk variants.

The indel polymorphism in the ACE gene is a transposon insertion in intron 16. These mobile genetic elements produce a number of small indel variations in humans [33], i.e., the Alu transposon in ACE. It was originally reported that the ACE activity levels in D/D carriers were approximately twice those found in I/I genotype individuals [34]. Therefore, this indel polymorphism was used as a marker for studying associations with pathophysiological conditions, including neurodegenerative diseases, such as PD [10]. Moreover, the ACE indel polymorphism in the Australian Caucasian population was not associated with PD in the first association study [35]. No statistically significant differences were found in alleles or genotypes for ACE indel polymorphism during investigations of Caucasian populations in Greece, Italy, and Columbia [36–38]. However, one report showed that the frequency of the homozygote D/D genotype in the ACE gene was considerably increased in patients with PD compared to controls in a Taiwanese population, despite there being no significant difference in allelic frequency [12]. In the current study, the I vs. D genetic model in the ACE indel locus did not result in a statistically significant association. Certain other reports from the literature have implied that different ages and ethnicities might be an explanation for the disparate results. On the other hand, power analysis was performed after the experiment was finished and was lower than 0.80, which suggest that more samples were needed to estimate the distributions. Although this study reported a negative result, it was the first to investigate the ACE indel polymorphism’s influence on PD patients from the Chinese mainland. Further studies are needed to analyze the linkage disequilibrium between indels and multiple loci in this region of ACE and to evaluate the role of this indel in the incidence of Parkinson’s disease in populations from other areas of the Chinese mainland.

Given that variants within the promoter region of both α-synuclein and parkin are associated with increased risk for sporadic PD [2,27], the DJ-1 indel polymorphism was of particular

Table 6. Comparison of GIGYF2 indel locus between male and female.

| GIGYF2 | PD Case | | | Control |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Male | Female | χ² | P | OR (95% CI) | Male | Female | χ² | P | OR (95% CI) |
| X | 210 | 186 | 0.073 | 0.787 | 1.042 (0.772, 1.407) | 217 | 101 | 0.085 | 0.771 | 1.050 (0.756, 1.459) |
| 5 | 156 | 144 | | | | 223 | 109 | | | |
| X/X | 60 | 55 | 0.483 | 0.785 | - | 59 | 27 | 0.078 | 0.962 | - |
| 5/X | 90 | 76 | | | | 99 | 47 | | | |
| 5/5 | 33 | 34 | | | | 62 | 31 | | | |
| 5/X+X/X | 150 | 131 | 0.370 | 0.543 | 1.180 (0.682, 2.011) | 158 | 74 | 0.062 | 0.803 | 1.068 (0.640, 1.781) |
| 5/5 | 33 | 34 | | | | 62 | 31 | | | |

X: alleles 6, 7, A4 and Av1-Av5.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0184269.t006
interest. The 18-bp indel polymorphism in the DJ-1 gene can be classified as a random sequence type. Hague et al. was the first to identify several non-coding variants in DJ-1, including the indel in the DJ-1 gene located in the promoter region and near the transcription regulatory SP1 site [39]. This polymorphism is hypothesized to affect gene expression due to its proximity to the transcriptional regulatory SP1 site. Furthermore, this indel may be involved in DJ-1 protein expression, subsequently influencing neuronal response to oxidative stress. Its association with genetic susceptibility to PD has been previously reported in several different populations although some association studies have indicated mixed results. The DJ-1 indel polymorphism did not confer a risk for PD in studies conducted in Finland and Great Britain [40,41]. Nevertheless, the deletion allele of the indel locus was found to be a risk factor for PD in Italian and Indian case-control studies [20,21]. In addition, two investigations in southern Africa and west China, determined that the deletion allele occurred at very low frequencies in these populations and was, therefore, unlikely to play a significant role in PD [42,43]. In the present study, we also did not find that the DJ-1 indel was associated with PD in our population from northern China. Because the statistical power was low, the efficiency may increase for this polymorphism with a larger sample size.

In this study, we identified the X allele the 5/X+X/X genotype of the GIGYF2 gene as risk factors that enhanced the risk of PD (P < 0.05). The power was approximately 0.80. Our results are the first to suggest that indels in the GIGYF2 gene may be associated with PD in a northern Chinese population. The GIGYF2 protein is involved in insulin-like growth factor (IGF) and insulin signaling in the central nervous system [22,23]. Moreover, the GIGYF2 gene has been reported to be a susceptibility gene responsible for PARK11-linked PD [24]. Given the current data and previously reported findings, we conclude that the architecture of the indel locus most likely represents a repeat extension of an irregular 3-bp multiple model. The GIGYF2 indel was first identified when screening for GIGYF2 gene mutations in Italian and French patients with familial PD [24]. Until now, only 3-bp insertion or deletion alleles in exon 29 of the GIGYF2 gene have been detected. Although the indel locus might not play a pathogenic role in PD, the existence of multiple alleles and genotypes in the poly Q region is still meaningful because polyglutamine expansions can cause neurodegenerative disorders [44,45]. Lautier et al. identified seven different alleles in the indel locus, but did not confirm a causal role in familial PD [24]. Studies in Asian (Taiwanese and Singapore) [46] (Japanese) [47], Portuguese and North American [48], and Italian cohorts [49] suggest that the indel in exon 29 of GIGYF2 is not strongly related to the development of PD based on mutational analysis, although different alleles were identified. For the association of indel polymorphism with PD, it appears to be comparatively lower because the 3-bp indel locus in exon 29 displays an irregular trait. Nichols et al. identified seven alleles in Caucasian population in North America [50]. Compared with the wild type (allele 6 in our study), an allele with one Q deleted (allele 5 in our study) was present at a higher frequency, while other alleles were present at a lower frequency. However, there was no evidence that any of these GIGYF2 indels increased the risk for PD. Moreover, Cao et al. analyzed the DNA sequence surrounding exon 29 of GIGYF2 and found that two alleles with one deleted (allele 5) and inserted Q (allele 7) were present at higher frequencies. The allele with an inserted Q was more prevalent in the control group, which suggested it was more likely to be a benign variant [51]. In the current study, we combined DNA sequencing with allele length analysis and found eight different alleles in northern China. First, we applied allele length polymorphism analysis to evaluate the association of the GIGYF2 indel locus with PD. The results of this study indicated that: (1) all alleles are 3-bp fold different and alleles 5, 6, and 7 are present at higher frequencies, as reported by Cao et al. in Shanghai, China. No deviation from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium was observed based on genotype distribution, which suggests that allele length analysis can be used in association studies. (2) Although the
distributions of genotypic frequency between both groups indicated that the indel is unlikely to influence PD development in northern Han Chinese, allele X conveys an increased risk in both the allelic X vs. 5 and 5/X +X/X vs. 5/5 genetic models. These results suggest that other genetic groups and districts can be compared using these models. (3) The uncommon alleles found in this study seem to present preferentially in PD patients, which suggests that the complexity and diversity in exon 29 of the GIGYF2 gene may influence its function and lead to cellular degeneration.

Subsequently, we conducted a gender stratification analysis of the relationship between the frequency distributions of the three indel polymorphisms in different models and susceptibility to PD. Many mutations and polymorphisms leading to gene deficiency or linkage association with diseases exhibit gender-linked differences [52,53]. Simunovic et al. found differential gene expression in both males and females with distinct PD-association patterns and described a bias of the male gender towards PD at the molecular level [54]. Furthermore, a common mutation associated with PD, G2019S in the LRRK2 gene, was found to have a relatively greater genetic load in women with PD among individuals of Jewish descent [55]. Interestingly, rs12817488 in the CCDC62 gene was associated with PD risk, but the results were unclear after stratification by gender. Yu et al. found that a significant association only existed in males [56], while Liu et al. only found this association in a female population [57]. In summary, several aspects may contribute to the different results between the two studies, including age of onset, EOPD/LOPD ratio, sex ratio, and MAF [58]. Our previous study also observed a genetic female distribution bias in the mitochondrial genome of PD patients in northern China [59]. Taken together, the above data indicate that the genetic effect might be specific to either males or females. In the present study, we continued to analyze gender-relevant traits associated with several genetic markers specific for PD in northern China. However, no sex dependent effects on PD were found for these three indel loci. Comparing previous data with present data, the genetic markers that we describe in this study are associated with PD in northern China and show complex characteristics in both overall and gender stratification, suggesting that it is vital to accurately assess the genetic architecture of PD patient populations as the number of genetic markers increases.

Conclusions

Overall, our result revealed that genotype 5/5 in the GIGYF2 gene may be a protective factor for PD patients in northern China, but no differences were found in either ACE or DJ-1. Although there was the statistical significance comparing with frequencies of allele 5, the allele X is a composite class of alleles. Therefore, these alleles may be associated markers and can be linked to the causative mutation.
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