Variability in \textit{CitXET} expression and XET activity in \textit{Citrus} cultivar \textit{Huangguogan} seedlings with differed degrees of etiolation
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Abstract

Considering the known effects of xyloglucan endotransglycosylase (XET) on plant growth and development, we aimed to determine whether XETs help to regulate the growth and elongation of \textit{Huangguogan} shoots and roots. We confirmed a possible role for XET during seedling etiolation. Our results revealed that the roots of etiolated seedlings (H-E) were longer than those of green seedlings (H-G). However, shoot length exhibited the opposite pattern. We also observed positive and negative effects on the xyloglucan-degrading activity of XET in the root sub-apical region and shoots of etiolated \textit{Huangguogan} seedling, respectively. There was a significant down-regulation in \textit{CitXET} expression in the etiolated shoots at 15 days after seed germination. On the contrary, it was significantly increased in the root sub-apical region of etiolated and multicolored seedlings at 15 days after seed germination. The XET coding sequence (i.e., \textit{CitXET}) was cloned from \textit{Huangguogan} seedings using gene-specific primers. The encoded amino acid sequence was predicted by using bioinformatics-based methods. The 990-bp \textit{CitXET} gene was highly homologous to other \textit{XET} genes. The \textit{CitXET} protein was predicted to contain 319 amino acids, with a molecular mass of 37.45 kDa and an isoelectric point of 9.05. The predicted molecular formula was \(C_{1724}H_{2548}N_{414}O_{466}S_{14}\), and the resulting protein included only one transmembrane structure. The \textit{CitXET} secondary structure consisted of four main structures (i.e., 21% \(\alpha\)-helix, 30.72% extended strand, 9.09% \(\beta\)-turn, and 39.18% random coil). Analyses involving the NCBI Conserved Domains Database (NCBI-CDD), InterPro, and ScanProsite revealed that \textit{CitXET} was a member of the glycosyl hydrolase family 16 (GH16), and included the DEIDFEFLG motif. Our results indicate that the differed degrees of etiolation influenced the \textit{CitXET} expression pattern and XET activity in \textit{Huangguogan} seedlings. The differential changes in XET activity and \textit{CitXET} expression levels in \textit{Huangguogan} seedlings may influence the regulation of root and shoot development, and may be important for seedling etiolation.
Introduction

The genus *Citrus* of the family Rutaceae includes commercially important and widely cultivated fruit species [1]. In the same seed germination and seedling development conditions, *Citrus* cultivar *Huangguogan* plants produce a few etiolated seedlings. Etiolated, multicoloured, and green seedlings appeared on the fifth day after seed germination. The leaves of etiolated seedlings do not turn green even at 20 days after seed germination, and even death after further 10 days. In previous study, we found that etiolation decreased the leaf area and reduced the optical area, resulting in dwarf plants and weakening growth potential [2]. Etiolation, which is common in angiosperms, is a phenomenon that leaves are yellow when they grow in darkness. After seed germinating in darkness, seedlings undergo etiolated growth (i.e., skotomorphogenesis), and leaf color is dependent on carotenoids. This developmental step is characterized by a rapid elongation of the hypocotyl topped by a hook with underdeveloped cotyledons [3]. Etiolation decreases the leaf area, causes dwarfism in plants, lowers the growth potential, and may even cause to death. Over the past two decades, the growth and development of etiolated plants have been studied in terms of light regulation [4], endogenous abscisic acid [3], ethylene responses [5], phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase [6], riboflavin biosynthesis [7], and the proteome [8].

Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolases (XTHs), which belong to glycosyl hydrolase family 16 (GH16), exhibit the activities of xyloglucan endotransglycosylase (XET) and xyloglucan endohydrolase (XEH) [9]. The XET and XEH activities occur throughout the growing tissues of monocots and dicots, suggesting that these enzymes are essential for plant development [10–12]. These enzymes have important roles during plant growth and differentiation [13,14] because they are directly involved in the initial assembly [15] and subsequent re-structuring [16] of the primary plant cell walls [17,18]. These enzymes are confirmed to function as XETs and/or XEHs [19]. Initially, XETs release a smaller xyloglucan from the reducing end of a donor xyloglucan, subsequently another xyloglucan chain is added to the newly generated free end [18,20,21]. The XETs, which lack hydrolase activity, have been identified in some charophytic algae and in all land plants [11,12,22]. They are considered to be involved in the molecular grafting or modification of the plant cell wall, but not in the breakdown of xyloglucans [20]. A few XTHs function primarily as XEHs [23].

The XET activity and *XTH* gene expression levels are correlated with cell expansion [10,24]. The considerable evidence that XTHs can serve as cell growth promoters is based on the results of molecular studies involving loss and gain of function [25–28]. The XTHs are usually encoded by a large multigene family. For example, there are 29 XTH genes in rice (*Oryza sativa*) [29], 41 in poplar (*Populus* spp.) [30], 22 in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) [9], 25 in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) [31], and 33 in *Arabidopsis thaliana* [32]. One-third of these genes are the result of genome duplications [33]. The XTHs are the main enzymes mediating plant cell wall reconstruction. Additionally, the correlation between *XTH* gene expression levels and cell expansion and morphology suggests that these enzymes play a key role in stress responses [34]. Microarray results have revealed that the *XTH* gene is differentially expressed in the roots and shoots of *A. thaliana* plants subjected to a 24-h drought stress treatment [35]. In well-defined topological regions of plants, the spatial regulation of *XTH* gene expression is helpful for strengthening or loosening the cell wall, which contributes to dehydration tolerance [34]. In angiosperms, the XTHs are associated with cell wall biosynthesis and degradation during seedling development [36–38]. In response to decreased exposure to blue or red light, these enzymes regulate petiole elongation [39,40].

Considering the known effects of XETs on plant growth and development, we aimed to determine whether XETs help to regulate the growth and elongation of *Huangguogan* shoots...
and roots. Another objective was to elucidate the XET gene expression pattern and function in etiolated seedlings. Thus, we identified and isolated the Yellowguogan XET gene and completed bioinformatics-based analyses. We herein describe the growth of Yellowguogan seedlings with differed degree of etiolation, and discuss its role during the elongation of the roots and shoots of etiolated seedlings. Our findings may be useful for characterizing the function of CitXET in Yellowguogan root and shoot development during the process of etiolation.

Materials and methods

Plant materials

Yellowguogan seeds were obtained from the Institute of Pomology and Olericulture, Sichuan Agricultural University, China. The seeds were presoaked in water for 4 h, incubated at 25 ± 1˚C for 3 days, and then transferred to pots filled with vermiculite and perlite (1:1, v/v). The pots were placed in a growth chamber set at 25 ± 1˚C and 50–60% relative humidity. The seedlings were exposed to a 12-h light/12-h dark photoperiod, and watered every 2 days. The etiolated (H-E), multicolored (H-M), and green (H-G) seedlings were harvested at 5, 10, 15, and 20 days after seed germination (i.e., emergence of the radicle through the seed coat). The collected samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80˚C.

Root and shoot dry weight and length

Eight H-E, H-M, and H-G Yellowguogan seedlings were collected at 20 days after germinating, and then divided into shoots and roots. The root and shoot length was measured using a vernier caliper. The shoots and roots were dried at 70˚C for 24 h. The root and shoot dry weight was measured by an electronic balance.

Enzyme extraction

For estimating enzyme activities, total proteins were extracted from the shoots and root sub-apical regions of the H-E, H-M, and H-G seedlings at different time points (i.e., 5, 10, 15, and 20 days after seed germination) as previously described [19,41].

Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction analysis

Total RNA was extracted from the root sub-apical regions (10 mm to 50 mm distance from root cap) and shoots of H-E, H-M, and H-G seedlings using RNAiso Plus (TaKaRa, Dalian, China). First-strand cDNA was synthesized with the PrimeScript RT reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (Takara, Dalian, China). To analyze the highly conserved XET gene, we aligned the following sequences, which were obtained from the NCBI database: A. thaliana (X92975.1), Actinidia deliciosa (L46792.1), Vitis vinifera (AY043238.1), Solanum lycopersicum (D16456.1), Fragaria chiloensis (GQ280283.1), Pyrus pyrifolia (EU432411.1), and Malus domestica (AY144593.1). We also searched the Citrus Genome Database (http://citrus.hzau.edu.cn) to identify the homologous citrus XET gene (CitXET). The Primer 3.0 online tool (http://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3-0.4.0/) was used to design CitXET-specific primers (i.e., CitXET-F: 5′- ATGACC GAATATACGGTTTTTCATTT-3′ and CitXET-R: 5′-TCATATGCTCTGTCTCCTTTCTGCAT-3′). These two primers along with those specific for Actin (GenBank: XM 006480741.2) (i.e., Actin-F: 5′- CCTCAGGAGCCACTCA-3′ and Actin-R: 5′- GTGGAAAGGCAATCCCGTCA-3′) were synthesized by Sangon Biotech, China. The quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) experiment was conducted using SYBR Premix Ex Taq II (Takara, Dalian, China) and the CFX96 Real-Time PCR system (Bio-Rad, USA). The qRT-PCR experiment was
completed using three separate biological replicates. The relative gene expression levels were calculated based on the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method, with a citrus Actin gene serving as the internal control.

**Cloning and sequence analysis of CitXET**

Total RNA was extracted from Huangguogan as previously described [42]. First-strand cDNA was synthesized with the HiScript 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Vazyme Biotech Co., Ltd, Nanjing, China). The extracted RNA was treated with DNase I (Invitrogen) to eliminate contaminating genomic DNA, and then stored at −20°C. The PCR amplification of the target sequence was completed in a 25-μL solution that included 12.5 μL 2× Taq Master Mix (Vazyme Biotech Co., Ltd, Nanjing, China), 1 μL forward and reverse gene-specific primers, 1 μL cDNA template, and double-distilled H$_2$O up to 25 μL. The PCR program was as follows: 94°C for 3 min; 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 90 s; 72°C for 5 min. The amplified target fragment was analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis, and then purified using the Agarose Gel DNA Recovery Kit (TIANGEN Biotech Co., Ltd, Beijing, China). The target fragment was incorporated into the pMD19-T vector, which was then inserted into Escherichia coli DH5α cells and sequenced.

**Bioinformatics analysis**

The BlastN online tool (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) was used to analyze the homology between CitXET and the other plant XET genes. The amino acid sequence encoded by CitXET was determined using the DNAMAN program. The amino acid composition, isoelectric point and molecular mass of the CitXET protein were calculated with the ExPASy ProtParam tool (http://web.expasy.org/protparam/). Additionally, we analyzed the protein transmembrane region with the TMHMM Server v. 2.0 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM/), while the protein signal peptide was predicted using SignalP 4.1. Furthermore, SOPMA, ClustalX 1.83, BioEdit, and MEGA 7.0.12 program were used to compare the amino acid sequences and construct a phylogenetic tree. ESPript 3.0 was used for multiple sequence alignment and homology modeling. The secondary and tertiary protein structures were predicted using RCSB PDB and SWISS-MODEL [43].

**Statistical analysis**

The data was analyzed using Duncan’s multiple range test in the XLSTAT program (version 2010) ($P = 0.05$ level of significance).

**Results**

**Huangguogan seedling growth**

The dry matter content as well as the root and shoot lengths of Huangguogan seedlings were measured at 20 days after seed germination (Fig 1). The dry weight, shoot length, and root-to-shoot ratio of H-E seedlings were significantly lower than those of H-G seedlings. However, the opposite trend was observed for root length (Table 1). These results suggest that the H-E seedling roots and shoots grow faster and slower than those of the H-G seedlings, respectively.

**Identification and isolation of the CitXET gene**

The cDNA produced by reverse transcription was used as the template for PCR amplifications. The 990-bp amplicons observed during agarose gel electrophoresis was consistent with the expected fragment size (S1 Fig). The results of the sequencing by GENEWIZ Biotechnology Co., Ltd. indicated that the amplified DNA fragment consisted of 990 bp. A comparison with
other sequences using the Blastn and GenBank online tools revealed that CitXET was 99% homologous to the corresponding Citrus sinensis gene. Additionally, CitXET was 82%, 81%, 80%, and 82% homologous to sequences of V. vinifera (AY043237.1), M. domestica (EU494960.1), P. pyrifolia (EU432411.1), and Glycine max (NM_001253317.2), respectively. These results confirmed that the cloned sequence represented the Huangguogan XET gene.

We observed a slight but significant down-regulation in CitXET expression in the H-E shoots (log2 fold change = −2.15) at 15 days after seed germination (Fig 2a). For the other time points (i.e., 5, 10, and 20 days after seed germination), there was a small but consistent decrease in the CitXET expression levels of H-E and H-M seedlings (log2 fold change between −2 and −1). However, this decrease was considered insignificant. In the root sub-apical region (Fig 2b), a small but consistent increase in the CitXET expression levels of H-E and H-M seedlings was observed at 15 days after seed germination. The log2 fold change values for the CitXET expression levels in H-E and H-M seedlings were about 2.49 and 2.28 (i.e., up-regulated), respectively. At 10 days after seed germination, a significant up-regulation in CitXET expression was detected in H-E seedlings (log2 fold change = 2.20).

The xyloglucan-degrading activity of XET during Huangguogan seedling etiolation was estimated using an iodine based detection of xyloglucans. Compared with H-G, a significant

Table 1. Effect of etiolation on Huangguogan seedling growth.

| Seedlings | Dry weight/g | Dry weight of Shoot/g | Dry weight of Root/g | Root length/cm | Shoot length/cm | Root shoot ratio |
|-----------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| H-E       | 0.74±0.005c  | 0.25±0.009b           | 0.49±0.006c          | 21.35±0.71ab   | 3.64±0.04b     | 0.51±0.06b      |
| H-M       | 0.83±0.009b  | 0.27±0.014b           | 0.56±0.008b          | 21.00±0.68bc   | 4.61±0.07a     | 0.48±0.05c      |
| H-G       | 1.09±0.013a  | 0.42±0.018a           | 0.67±0.010a          | 19.01±0.63c    | 4.59±0.06a     | 0.63±0.08a      |

H-E, Huangguogan etiolated seedlings; H-M, Huangguogan multicolored seedlings; H-G, Huangguogan green seedlings. Different letters in each column indicate significantly different values (at P = 0.05 level).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178973.t001
A 990-bp amplicon was generated using CitXET-specific primers. The encoded amino acid sequence was determined using the DNAMAN program. A subsequent search using the NCBI ORF finder and NCBI Protein-Blast algorithm revealed that CitXET containing a 960-bp coding region encoded a protein, which consisted of 319 amino acids (Fig 4). The ExPASy ProtParam tool indicated that the 319 CitXET amino acids formed a 37.45-kDa protein (molecular formula: $C_{1724}H_{2548}N_{448}O_{466}S_{14}$), with an isoelectric point of 9.05. The most common amino acid was phenylalanine (29, 9.1%), followed by aspartic acid (22, 6.9%), glycine (22, 6.9%), and

Analysis of the CitXET sequence

(P < 0.05) decrease in extractable enzyme activity of H-E was evidenced in the shoots for 5, 10, 15, and 20 days after seed germination. In shoots (Fig 3a), the basal levels for H-E (0.17 U/mg) and H-M (0.27 U/mg) seedlings were observed on day 5. The XET activities of root sub-apical region were slightly more active (>1.50 U/mg) than that of shoots. Gradual increases in activity were observed for H-E (0.29–0.33 U/mg), H-M (0.30–0.35 U/mg), and H-G (0.34–0.42 U/mg) seedlings between days 10 and 20 (Fig 3a). Maximum activity was recorded on day 15 in shoots and root sub-apical region. In shoots, XET activity of H-G seedlings was the most active, followed by that of H-M seedlings and H-E seedlings. But it was the opposite to the root sub-apical region, the XET activity of H-E seedlings was significantly (P < 0.05) higher than that of H-G seedlings (Fig 3b).
lysine (21, 6.6%). The least common amino acids were methionine (8, 2.5%), cysteine (6, 1.9%), and histidine (6, 1.9%). The instability index was calculated as 44.12. Additionally, the grand average of hydropathicity value was $-0.398$. We submitted the full-length CitXET sequence to the GenBank database using the BankIt tool (Accession number: KY576851).

Secondary and tertiary protein structures of CitXET

An analysis of the CitXET protein secondary structure using SOPMA revealed the enzyme consists of four main structures (i.e., 21% $\alpha$-helix, 30.72% extended strand, 9.09% $\beta$-turn, and 39.18% random curl) (Fig 5). The deduced amino acid sequence was compared with the XET protein sequences from other plants. Additionally, ESPript was used for homology modeling (Fig 6). The CitXET sequence and secondary structures were highly homologous to those of other plants. To investigate the evolutionary relationships between CitXET and the XETs of other plant species, we constructed a phylogenetic tree using the protein sequences for known plant XET sequences in the GenBank database (Fig 7). The phylogenetic tree was divided into two evolutionary branches. TaXTH1 ($Triticum aestivum$, AAT94293.1) and ZaXTH1 ($Zea mays$, AAC49011.1) clustered into one evolutionary branch (IV), while genes from the dicotyledonous plants were grouped together to form another main branch. CitXET, GaXET ($Gossypium arboreum$, KHG12145.1), and PtXET ($Populus trichocarpa$, XP-002297895.1) clustered together in branch I, suggesting these were the most closely related proteins.

Using the NCBI Conserved Domains Database (NCBI-CDD), InterPro, and ScanProsite, we determined that CitXET carried the GH16 domain. The CitXET protein sequence also contained the consensus signature motif conserved among GH16 proteins (i.e., -EIDFEFLGNRT-). We used the NPS@ web server and ProScan to predict the active site of the Huangguogan XET protein. The results indicated that CitXET consisted of one GH16 active site, one N-glycosylation site, two protein kinase C phosphorylation sites, one casein kinase II phosphorylation site, one tyrosine kinase phosphorylation site, four N-myristoylation sites, and one amidation site (Table 2). The TMHMM server predicted that the CitXET protein had only one transmembrane structure (Fig 8). Using SignalP to identify the signal peptide revealed that the CitXET protein likely lacked a signal peptide.
The SWISS-MODEL server was used to predict the tertiary structure of CitXET based on known crystal structures of homologous proteins. The model was refined to a resolution of 1.8 Å, oligo-state was monomer, and coverage was 0.84. According to the prediction of the tertiary structure of CitXET, there were two ligands, BGC-BGC-BGC-XYS: SUGAR (4-MER) and XYS-GAL: SUGAR (2-MER), respectively. The active site residues E136, Q149, N151, E161, R163, D225, W226, and G230 were included in BGC-BGC-BGC-XYS, and D159, E161, R163, G297, and R305 in XYS-GAL. 

Results regarding tertiary structure indicated that the CitXET protein was similar to other family GH16 enzymes with β-jellyroll-type structure (Fig 9a), especially PttXET16A (PDB ID: 1UMZ_A). The highest scoring (Seq identity: 91.08) and validated model for CitXET that exhibited the greatest amino acid sequence identity with the

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178973.g004

Fig 4. Deduced CitXET gene and encoded amino acid sequences. Underlined EIDFEFLGNRT was the conserved consensus signature motif of glycosyl hydrolase family 16 protein. The functional site (-DEIDFEFLG-) of most XTHs in family GH16 was highlighted in red color.

The SWISS-MODEL server was used to predict the tertiary structure of CitXET based on known crystal structures of homologous proteins. The model was refined to a resolution of 1.8 Å, oligo-state was monomer, and coverage was 0.84. According to the prediction of the tertiary structure of CitXET, there were two ligands, BGC-BGC-BGC-XYS: SUGAR (4-MER) and XYS-GAL: SUGAR (2-MER), respectively. The active site residues E136, Q149, N151, E161, R163, D225, W226, and G230 were included in BGC-BGC-BGC-XYS, and D159, E161, R163, Y297, and R305 in XYS-GAL. Results regarding tertiary structure indicated that the CitXET protein was similar to other family GH16 enzymes with β-jellyroll–type structure (Fig 9a), especially PttXET16A (PDB ID: 1UMZ_A). The highest scoring (Seq identity: 91.08) and validated model for CitXET that exhibited the greatest amino acid sequence identity with the
crystal structure was the protein of *Populus tremula* PttXET16A (Fig 9b). However, a notable structural feature arised because of an insertion of 41 residues at the N-terminus of CitXET, forming $\alpha$-helix and $\beta$-sheet in the molecule (Figs 5, 9a and 9b). QMEAN analysis was also used to evaluate and validate the model, the QMEAN4 score was 0.11 (between 0 and 1), all atoms (−1.21), C-beta interactions (−1.10), solvation (−1.20) and torsion (0.61), which showed a good quality of the model (Fig 9c).

**Discussion**

The XTHs catalyze reactions affect cell wall xyloglucans and xylans [9]. Additionally, XET activity is an important part of an ancient machinery that regulates cell wall modifications, and is common among all major groups of green plants [10], including all vascular plants [44]. To the best of our knowledge, there is limited information regarding the effects of XET on the elongation of plant roots and shoots, especially during seedling etiolation. Specific XET activity was detected in *Huangguogan* seedlings. Furthermore, we revealed a correlation between root and shoot elongation and changes in XET activity. We observed that XET activity was specific to elongation, which is consistent with the results of a previous study on liverworts [45].

Our data regarding XET activity and *CitXET* temporal expression patterns during etiolation indicated that there was a gradual increase in *CitXET* gene expression, especially between days 10 and 20, which coincided with the period when the seedling roots and shoots were rapidly growing. These findings confirmed that *CitXET* affected the etiolation of *Huangguogan* seedlings. Additionally, we detected relatively low and high *CitXET* gene expression levels in the shoots and root sub-apical regions of etiolated seedlings, respectively. The XET activities of root sub-apical region were higher than those of shoots during seedling etiolation. These implied that the higher *CitXET* expression and XET activity, the longer roots and shoots length (Table 1, Figs 2 and 3).

The XETs are members of the GH16 family, and are encoded by multigene families. Generally, the XTHs can be divided into three or four subgroups, and those belonging to classes I, II,
Fig 6. Multiple sequence alignment and homology modeling of XETs from Huangguogan and other plant species. Multiple alignment analysis of CitXET protein sequence was generated with the protein sequences of other known plant XET sequences from the NCBI database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). GaXET (Gossypium arboreum, KHG12145.1), PtXET (Populus trichocarpa, XP-002297895.1), AdXET (Actinidia deliciosa, AAC09388.1), VvXET2 (Vitis vinifera, AAK81881.1), SIXET (Solanum lycopersicum, BAA03923.1), FcXTH1 (Fragaria chiloensis, ADE42488.1), RbXET (Rosa x borboniana, ABB66296.1), PpXET (Pyrus pyrifolia, ACA02823.1), PeXET1 (Pyrus communis, BAC58038.1), MdXET1 (Malus domestica, AAN07897.1), GmXET (Glycine max, BAA03922.1), PsEXGT1 (Pisum sativum, BAA34946.1), AcXET2
and IIIB exhibit XET activity [36,46]. Several members of the \textit{XET} gene family have been cloned and identified in many fruit trees. For example, three litchi, three longan, and four pear \textit{XET} genes are available in the GenBank database. In this study, we used a homologous cloning method to isolate the complete coding sequence of the \textit{Huangguogan XET} gene (i.e., \textit{CitXET}), which encodes 319 amino acids (Fig 4). Based on comparisons with \textit{XET} sequences from other plant species as well as the constructed phylogenetic tree, we determined that the N-terminal of \textit{CitXET} was highly conservative. Additionally, there was a high degree of homology among the \textit{XET} sequences from various plant species. The \textit{CitXET} active site was identical to the functional site (-DEIDFEFLG-) of most GH16 XTHs (Fig 4), suggesting the catalytic domain was highly conservative. Similar results were reported by Nishitani et al. [18] and Henrissat et al. [47]. The \textit{CitXET} amino acid sequence in the catalytic domain and in the following potential N-glycosylation site [i.e., N-[P]-[ST]-[P] (access number: PS00001)] [48] (Fig 4 and Table 2) was highly homologous to sequences from other known XETs (Fig 6). \textit{CitXET}, \textit{GaXET} (\textit{G. arboreum}, KHG12145.1), and \textit{PtXET} (\textit{P. trichocarpa}, XP-002297895.1) were clustered together in branch I, implying a close relationship among these genes (Fig 7).
Conclusions

Our results indicate that the degree of etiolation affects the XET activity and CitXET expression patterns of Huangguogan seedlings. Furthermore, CitXET is vital for root and shoot growth in etiolated seedlings. The 960-bp CitXET coding sequence encodes a protein consisting of 319 amino acids. CitXET belongs to GH16, based on analyses using the NCBI-CDD, InterPro, and ScanProsite, and the protein has only one transmembrane structure. Our data regarding the XET-related activity and expression patterns in etiolated Huangguogan seedlings may be relevant to future studies on the root and shoot elongation of etiolated seedling. These studies should focus on biochemical and structural characterizations. A more thorough understanding of the effects of CitXET expression patterns and XET activities on root and shoot development may expand our knowledge regarding the role of XTHs during seedling etiolation.

Table 2. Predicted CitXET active sites.

| Active sites                     | Access number | Motif                                                  | Site and Sequence                  |
|---------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| GH16 active sites               | PS01034       | E-[LIV]-[D]-[LIVF]-x(0,1)-E-x(2)-[GQ]-[KRNF]-x-[PSTA] | 132 to 142 EIDFEFLGNRT              |
| N-glycosylation site            | PS00001       | N-[P]-[ST]-[P]                                         | 140 to 143 NRTG                    |
| Protein kinase C phosphor ylation site | PS00005 | [ST]-x-[RK]                                            | 245 to 247 SYK, 301 to 303 TDR     |
| Casein kinase II phosphor ylation site | PS00006 | [ST]-x(2)-[DE]                                         | 128 to 131 SEHD                    |
| Tyrosine kinase phosphor ylation site | PS00007 | [RK]-x(2,3)-[DE]-x(2,3)-Y                              | 157 to 165 KGDERGRIY               |
| N-myristoylation site            | PS00008       | G-[EDRKHFPFYW]-x(2)-[STAGCN]-[P]                       | 116 to 121 GSVTAF, 139 to 144 GNRTGQ, 231 to 236 GLEKTD, 253 to 258 GCEASV |
| Amidation site                   | PS00009       | x-G-[RK]-[RK]                                          | 266 to 269 QGKR                    |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178973.t002

Fig 8. Predicted CitXET protein transmembrane structures.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178973.g008
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(TIF)

Author Contributions

Data curation: BX ZW.
Formal analysis: BX XG.
Funding acquisition: ZW.
Investigation: ZD SY.
Methodology: SY GS.
Project administration: BX ZW.
Resources: SH XL LX.
Software: BX.
**References**

1. Biswas MK, Chai LJ, Amar MH, Zhang XL, Deng XX. Comparative analysis of genetic diversity in Citrus germplasm collection using AFLP, SSAP, SAMPL and SSR markers. Scientia Horticulturae. 2011; 129: 798–803. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2011.06.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2011.06.015)

2. Xiong B, Ye S, Qiu X, Liao L, Sun G, Luo J, et al. Transcriptome Analyses of Two Citrus Cultivars (Shiranhu and Huangguoan) in Seedling Etiolation. Sci Rep. 2017; 7: 46245. [https://doi.org/10.1038/srep46245](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep46245) PMID: 28387303

3. Katinakis P. Spatio-temporal changes in endogenous abscisic acid contents during etiolated growth and photomorphogenesis in tomato seedlings. Biotech Histochem. 2015; 10: e1039213. PMID: 26325676

4. Mao T. Light regulation of mitochondrial alternative oxidase pathway during greening of etiolated wheat seedlings. Plant Physiol. 2015; 174: 75–84. PMID: 25462970

5. Warpeha KM. The basal level ethylene response is important to the wall and endomembrane structure in the hypocotyl cells of etiolated Arabidopsis seedlings. Methods Mol Biol. 2012; 54: 434–455. PMID: 22591458

6. Deng XW. Signaling role of phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase (PHGPX) accompanying sensing of NaCl stress in etiolated sunflower seedling cotyledons. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014; 9: e977746. PMID: 25517199

7. Hedtke B, Alawady A, Albacete A, Kobayashi K, Melzer M, Roitsch T, et al. Deficiency in riboflavin biosynthesis affects tetrarpyrrole biosynthesis in etiolated Arabidopsis tissue. Cell Res. 2012; 78: 77–93. PMID: 22081402

8. Quan S, Yang PF, Cassin-Ross G, Kaur N, Switzenberg R, Aung K, et al. Proteome Analysis of Peroxisomes from Etiolated Arabidopsis Seedlings Identifies a Peroxisomal Protease Involved in b-Oxidation and Development. Plant Physiol. 2013 1518–1538. [https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.113.223453](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.113.223453) PMID: 24130194

9. Strohmeier M, Hrmova M, Fischer M, Harvey AJ, Fincher GB, Pleiss J. Molecular modeling of family GH16 glycoside hydrolases: potential roles for xyloglucan transglycosylases/hydrolases in cell wall modification in the poaceae. Protein Sci. 2004; 13: 3200–3213. [https://doi.org/10.1110/ps.04828404](https://doi.org/10.1110/ps.04828404) PMID: 15557263

10. Van Sandt VS, Stiepereare H, Guizex Y, Verbelen JP, Vissenberg K. XET activity is found near sites of growth and cell elongation in bryophytes and some green algae: new insights into the evolution of primary cell wall elongation. Ann Bot. 2007; 99: 39–51. [https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcl232](https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcl232) PMID: 17098750

11. Eklof JM, Brumer H. The XTH gene family: an update on enzyme structure, function, and phylogeny in xyloglucan remodeling. Plant Physiol. 2010; 153: 456–466. [https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.156844](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.156844) PMID: 20421457

12. Frankova L, Fry SC. Biochemistry and physiological roles of enzymes that ‘cut and paste’ plant cell-wall polysaccharides. J Exp Bot. 2013; 64: 3519–3550. [https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ert201](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ert201) PMID: 23956409

13. Albert M, Werner M, Proskch P, Fry SC, Kaldenhoff R. The cell wall-modifying xyloglucan endotransglycosylase/hydrolase LeXTH1 is expressed during the defence reaction of tomato against the plant parasite Cuscuta reflexa. Plant Biol (Stuttgart). 2004; 6: 402–407. [https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2004-817959](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2004-817959) PMID: 15248122

14. Matsui A, Yokoyama R, Seki M, Ito T, Shinozaki K, Takahashi T, et al. AtXTH27 plays an essential role in cell wall modification during the development of tracheary elements. Plant J. 2005; 42: 525–534. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02395.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02395.x) PMID: 15860011

15. Thompson JE, Smith RC, Fry SC. Xyloglucan undergoes interpolymeric transglycosylation during binding to the plant cell wall in vivo: evidence from 13C/3H dual labelling and isotopyc centrifugation in caesium trifluoroacetate. Biochem J. 1997; 327 (Pt 3): 699–708. PMID: 9561545
16. Thompson JE, Fry SC. Restructuring of wall-bound xyloglucan by transglycosylation in living plant cells. Plant J. 2001; 26: 23–34 PMID: 11359607

17. Fry SC. Primary cell wall metabolism: tracking the careers of wall polymers in living plant cells. New Phytologist. 2004; 161: 641–675. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.00980.x

18. Nishitani K. The role of endoxyloglucan transferase in the organization of plant cell walls. Int Rev Cytol. 1997; 173: 157–206 PMID: 9127953

19. Dogra V, Sharma R, Yelam S. Xyloglucan endo-transglucosylase/hydrolase (XET/H) gene is expressed during the seed germination in Podophyllum hexandrum: a high altitude Himalayan plant. Planta. 2016; 244: 505–515. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-016-2520-8 PMID: 27097640

20. Fry SC, Smith RC, Renwick KF, Martin DJ, Hodge SK, Matthews KJ. Xyloglucan endotransglycosylase, a new wall-loosening enzyme activity from plants. Biochem J. 1992; 282 (Pt 3): 821–828 PMID: 1554366

21. Nishitani K, Tominaga R. Endo-xyloglucan transferase, a novel class of glycosyl transferase that catalyzes transfer of a segment of xyloglucan molecule to another xyloglucan molecule. J Biol Chem. 1992; 267: 21058–21064 PMID: 10899986

22. Vissenberg K, Martinez-Vilchez IM, Verbelen JP, Miller JG, Fry SC. In vivo colocalization of xyloglucan endotransglycosylase activity and its donor substrate in the elongation zone of Arabidopsis roots. Plant Cell. 2000; 12: 1229–1237 PMID: 10899986

23. Shi YZ, Zhu XF, Miller JG, Gregson T, Zheng SJ, Fry SC. Distinct catalytic capacities of two aluminium-repressed Arabidopsis thaliana xyloglucan endotransglycosylase/hydrolases, XTH15 and XTH31, heterologously produced in Pichia. Phytochemistry. 2015; 112: 160–169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2014.09.020 PMID: 25446234

24. Vissenberg K, Oyama M, Osato Y, Yokoyama R, Verbelen JP, Nishitani K. Differential expression of AtXTH17, AtXTH18, AtXTH19 and AtXTH20 genes in Arabidopsis roots. Physiological roles in specification in cell wall construction. Plant Cell Physiol. 2005; 46: 192–200. https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pco13 PMID: 15659443

25. Osato Y, Yokoyama R, Nishitani K. A principal role for AtXTH18 in Arabidopsis thaliana root growth: a functional analysis using RNAi plants. J Plant Res. 2006; 119: 153–162. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10265-006-0262-6 PMID: 16477366

26. Van Sandt VS, Suslov D, Verbelen JP, Vissenberg K. Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase activity loosens a plant cell wall. Ann Bot. 2007; 100: 1467–1473. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcm248 PMID: 17916584

27. Zhu XF, Shi YZ, Lei GJ, Fry SC, Zhang BC, Zhou YH, et al. XTH31, encoding an in vitro XEH/XET-active enzyme, regulates aluminum sensitivity by modulating in vivo XET action, cell wall xyloglucan content, and aluminum binding capacity in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. 2012; 24: 4731–4747. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.112.106039 PMID: 23204407

28. Hara Y, Yokoyama R, Osakabe K, Toki S, Nishitani K. Function of xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolases in rice. Ann Bot. 2014; 114: 1309–1318. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mct292 PMID: 24363334

29. Yokoyama R, Rose JK, Nishitani K. A surprising diversity and abundance of xyloglucan endotransglycosylase/hydrolases in rice. Classification and expression analysis. Plant Physiol. 2004; 134: 1088–1099. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.103.035261 PMID: 14988479

30. Geisler-Lee J, Geisler M, Coutinho PM, Segerman B, Nishikubo N, Takahashi J, et al. Poplar carbohydrate-active enzymes. Gene identification and expression analyses. Plant Physiol. 2006; 140: 946–962. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.105.072652 PMID: 16415215

31. Saladie M, Rose JK, Cosgrove DJ, Catala C. Characterization of a new xyloglucan endotransglycosylase/hydrolase (XTH) from ripening tomato fruit and implications for the diverse modes of enzymic action. Plant J. 2006; 47: 282–295. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006.02784.x PMID: 16774648

32. Yokoyama R, Nishitani K. A comprehensive expression analysis of all members of a gene family encoding cell-wall enzymes allowed us to predict cis-regulatory regions involved in cell-wall construction in specific organs of Arabidopsis. Plant Cell Physiol. 2001; 42: 1025–1033 PMID: 11673616

33. Blanc G, Barakat A, Guyot R, Cooke R, Delseny M. Extensive duplication and reshuffling in the Arabidopsis genome. Plant Cell. 2000; 12: 1093–1101 PMID: 10899276

34. Iurlaro A, De Caroli M, Sabella E, De Pascali M, Rampino P, De Bellis L, et al. Drought and Heat Differentially Affect XTH Expression and XET Activity and Action in 3-Day-Old Seedlings of Durum Wheat Cultivars with Different Stress Susceptibility. Front Plant Sci. 2016; 7: 1686. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01686 PMID: 27891140
35. Tenhaken R. Cell wall remodeling under abiotic stress. Front Plant Sci. 2014; 5: 771. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00771 PMID: 25709610

36. Baumann MJ, Eklof JM, Michel G, Kallas AM, Teeri TT, Czjzek M, et al. Structural evidence for the evolution of xyloglucanase activity from xyloglucan endo-transglycosylases: biological implications for cell wall metabolism. Plant Cell. 2007; 19: 1947–1963. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.107.051391 PMID: 17557806

37. Bourquin V, Nishikubo N, Abe H, Brumer H, Denman S, Eklund M, et al. Structural evidence for the evolution of xyloglucanase activity from xyloglucan endo-transglycosylases have a function during the formation of secondary cell walls of vascular tissues. Plant Cell. 2002; 14: 3073–3088 PMID: 12468728 https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.107.051391 PMID: 17557496

38. Campbell P, Braam J. Xyloglucan endotransglycosylases: diversity of genes, enzymes and potential wall-modifying functions. Trends Plant Sci. 1999; 4: 361–366 PMID: 10462769

39. Keuskamp DH, Keller MM, Ballare CL, Pierik R. Blue light regulated shade avoidance. Plant Signal Behav. 2012; 7: 514–517. https://doi.org/10.4161/psb.19340 PMID: 22499181

40. Sasidharan R, Keuskamp DH, Kooke R, Voosenek LA, Pierik R. Interactions between auxin, microtubules and XTHs mediate green shade-induced petiole elongation in Arabidopsis. PLoS One. 2014; 9: e90587. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0090587 PMID: 24594664

41. Suda CNK, Buckeridge MS, Giorgini JF. Cell wall hydrolases in the seeds of Euphorbia heterophylla L. during germination and early seedling development. Brazilian Journal of Plant Physiology. 2003; 15: 135–143. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1677-04202003000000002

42. Tao NG, Cheng YJ, Xu J, Xu Q, Deng XX. An effective protocol for the isolation of RNA from the pulp of ripening citrus fruits. Plant Molecular Biology Reporter. 2004; 22: 305–305. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02773142

43. Schwede T, Kopp J, Guex N, Peitsch MC. SWISS-MODEL: An automated protein homology-modeling server. Nucleic Acids Res. 2003; 31: 3381–3385 PMID: 12824332

44. Vissenberg K, Van Sandt V, Fry SC, Verbelen JP. Xyloglucan endotransglycosylase action is high in the root elongation zone and in the trichoblasts of all vascular plants from Selaginella to Zea mays. J Exp Bot. 2003; 54: 335–344 PMID: 12493861

45. Kenrick P, Crane PR. The origin and early evolution of plants on land. Nature. 1997; 389: 33–39. https://doi.org/10.1038/37918

46. Rose JK, Braam J, Fry SC, Nishitani K. The XTH family of enzymes involved in xyloglucan endotransglucosylation and endohydrolisis: current perspectives and a new unifying nomenclature. Plant Cell Physiol. 2002; 43: 1421–1435 PMID: 12514239

47. Hennissat B, Coutinho PM, Davies GJ. A census of carbohydrate-active enzymes in the genome of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Mol Biol. 2001; 47: 55–72 PMID: 11554480

48. Kitade Y, Asamizu E, Fukuda S, Nakajima M, Otsuka S, Endo H, et al. Identification of Genes Preferentially Expressed during Asexual Sporulation in Porphyra Yezoensis Gametophytes (Bangiales, Rhodophyta)(1). J Phycol. 2008; 44: 113–123. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.2007.00456.x PMID: 27041048