INTRODUCTION

Adding new properties, different from what we were used towards textiles became a major concern of researchers in the field of textile and beyond. These new properties allow the use of textiles in areas that have already been established, but also in other areas, depending on newer properties they own. Conductive textiles represent an attractive area of research, because they can be used for clothing, but also in areas such as medicine, military, heating elements, electronics, sports and leisure etc. [1–10]. Conductive yarns can be obtained from 100% conductive fibers or mixed in different proportions, conductive filaments, yarns coated with conductive polymers, metal-plated yarns, or yarns coated with conductive powders such as carbon or metal powders. Textile yarns used as a substrate can be from both natural and chemicals fibers [3, 11–14]. Many of these types of threads are currently obtained on an industrial scale. The films used may be conductive polymer films, such as polypyrrole, polyaniline, polythiophene, polycrylates, as well as conductive metals such as silver, copper, gold, platinum, etc. The subsequent conductive yarns produced can be sewn, knitted, woven [16–17]. These may have applications such as antibacterial, heating elements, signal transfer, electromagnetic shields materials. Nanoparticles of CB are widely used for applications in conductive fabrics, the reason being that they have conductive properties similar to electrically conductive metals and the
coating of yarns with CB nanoparticles retains in a large measure the flexibility and elasticity of the textile material. The methods used often are: screen printing, coating, dyeing and manual deposition [10–14]. In this paper conductive yarns having as base yarns that were coated with a conductive matrix were obtained. The conductive material component of this matrix is CB nanoparticles. In the literature, the conductive yarns have been carried out by various methods, from the polymerization of conductive polymers to electrolytic plating with the metal layer [7, 12, 18]. The method used in this paper is to cover the yarns with conductive substance with CB nanoparticles because it is a method which allows uniform coverage, easiness and not least cheap method.

**MATERIAL AND METHOD**

This study deals with comparative analysis of physico-mechanical and electrical properties of several yarns before and after coating them with a conductive layer of carbon based nanoparticles. The selection of the yarns was carried out after coating them with the CB solutions. Since conductive yarns have particular applications in the field of technical textiles, they should not have the comfort and external presentation properties specific to yarns of natural fibers, and for this reason yarns from fibers such as cotton, wool, bast have not been used in the experiment. Also the cost of chemical yarns used is lower than natural yarns.

The structure and the appearance of the selected yarns are favourable for coating a bigger amount of yarns before and after coating them with a conductive matrix in order to obtain significant values for the electrical resistivity. The yarns were conditioned under standard atmosphere of 65% ± 2% RH and 20°C ± 2°C temperature for 24 hours. The under test yarns (Y) were chosen to have approximately the same count, but from different raw materials and with different structures. In table 1 the yarns analysed are presented.

These yarns were coated with an electrically conductive layer deposited by immersing the yarn in a solution made according to the recipe: 10% nanoparticles of carbon black, 40% PVA, 50% water. Tensile properties of the yarns have been tested according to ISO 2062, using constant rate of specimen extension (CRE) tensile testers on a Tinius Olsen H5kT. The yarns were coated with an electrically conductive solution in order to obtain significant values for the electrical resistivity. The yarns before and after coating them with a conductive matrix were obtained. The conductive material component of this matrix is CB nanoparticles. In the literature, the conductive yarns have been carried out by various methods, from the polymerization of conductive polymers to electrolytic plating with the metal layer [7, 12, 18]. The method used in this paper is to cover the yarns with conductive substance with CB nanoparticles because it is a method which allows uniform coverage, easiness and not least cheap method.

**Electric resistivity**, \( \rho \) [\( \Omega \cdot m \)], is a measure of how strongly the conductive yarn opposes electric current. A low resistivity indicates a yarn that allows the movement of electrical charge [18].

\[
\rho = \frac{R \cdot A}{l} = \frac{\pi \cdot d^2 \cdot R}{4 \cdot l} \tag{2}
\]

Resistivity is expressed as the resistance per unit length, usually measured in \( \Omega \cdot cm \). The textile fibers and yarns are irregular, their size varying. The thickness of the conductive solution is not uniform and the contact with the electrodes in the different points of measurement cannot be the same. In this case, the resistance of a fiber/yarn is proportional to the length of the material. However, the conductivity of the material is characterized as electrical resistance with 20 ± 3 s. The variants of coated yarns (CY) were analyzed in terms of mechanical and electrical properties. The mechanical properties analyzed were: breaking strength, coefficient of variation of strength, breaking elongation, coefficient of variation of elongation, breaking tenacity, coefficient of variation of tenacity. The breaking strength is one of the basic features of the yarns because it influences the behaviour of yarns in processing (for weaving preparation, weaving, knitting), thus establishing the technological parameters of the machines and their productivity. Coefficient of variation of breaking force influences the behaviour of yarns in the manufacturing process causing the machine’s efficiency and product quality through the number of nodes. Elongation at break is a characteristic of the yarns which influence their behaviour in the technological processes and the characteristics of wearability of the product. The tenacity of the yarns was determined to compare them, because they have approximately equal count. The electrical properties of the coated yarns were tested with TTI 1705 True RMS Programmable multimeter.

The resistance of a yarn, \( R \) [\( \Omega \)], with the length \( l \), can be calculated with the following formula (considering annular cross-section):

\[
R = \frac{l \cdot \rho}{A} = \frac{4 \cdot l \cdot \rho}{\pi \cdot d^2} \tag{1}
\]

where \( R \) is the resistance of the conductor [\( \Omega \)], \( l \) is the length of the conductor [m], \( \rho \) is the electrical resistivity of a conductor [\( \Omega \cdot m \)], \( A \) is the cross-sectional area [\( m^2 \)], \( d \) is the nominal diameter of the yarn [mm] [19].

**MATERIAL AND METHOD**

This study deals with comparative analysis of physico-mechanical and electrical properties of conductive yarns having as base yarns that were coated with a conductive matrix were obtained. The conductive material component of this matrix is CB nanoparticles. In the literature, the conductive yarns have been carried out by various methods, from the polymerization of conductive polymers to electrolytic plating with the metal layer [7, 12, 18]. The method used in this paper is to cover the yarns with conductive substance with CB nanoparticles because it is a method which allows uniform coverage, easiness and not least cheap method.

**Electric resistivity**, \( \rho \) [\( \Omega \cdot m \)], is a measure of how strongly the conductive yarn opposes electric current. A low resistivity indicates a yarn that allows the movement of electrical charge [18].

\[
\rho = \frac{R \cdot A}{l} = \frac{\pi \cdot d^2 \cdot R}{4 \cdot l} \tag{2}
\]

Resistivity is expressed as the resistance per unit length, usually measured in \( \Omega \cdot cm \). The textile fibers and yarns are irregular, their size varying. The thickness of the conductive solution is not uniform and the contact with the electrodes in the different points of measurement cannot be the same. In this case, the resistance of a fiber/yarn is proportional to the length of the material. However, the conductivity of the material is characterized as electrical resistance with 20 ± 3 s. The variants of coated yarns (CY) were analyzed in terms of mechanical and electrical properties. The mechanical properties analyzed were: breaking strength, coefficient of variation of strength, breaking elongation, coefficient of variation of elongation, breaking tenacity, coefficient of variation of tenacity. The breaking strength is one of the basic features of the yarns because it influences the behaviour of yarns in processing (for weaving preparation, weaving, knitting), thus establishing the technological parameters of the machines and their productivity. Coefficient of variation of breaking force influences the behaviour of yarns in the manufacturing process causing the machine’s efficiency and product quality through the number of nodes. Elongation at break is a characteristic of the yarns which influence their behaviour in the technological processes and the characteristics of wearability of the product. The tenacity of the yarns was determined to compare them, because they have approximately equal count. The electrical properties of the coated yarns were tested with TTI 1705 True RMS Programmable multimeter.

**Table 1**

| Yarn Type | Count of Yarn, [tex] | Yarn Composition, [%] | Yarn Structure |
|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| (Y1) Fancy yarn | 74 | Polyester/ acrylic 40/60 | Spiral fancy yarn from PET textured yarn and spun yarns from acrylic fibers |
| (Y2) Worsted spun yarn | 26 | Polyester/viscose 60/40 | Textured yarn, PET 167 f32×2 |
| (Y3) Textured yarn | 16.7×2 | Polyester 100% | Worsted spun yarn |
| (Y4) Worsted spun yarn | 40 | Acrylic 100% | Worsted spun yarn |
| (Y5) Two-ply yarn | 2×7.8×2 | Polyamide 100% | Two-ply yarn, PA6 2×7 f18×2 |
the distance between the electrodes used for the resistance measurements. The two probe method was used to test the resistance of conductive yarns. Resistance of samples was measured with the distance between the ends of the specimen by 0.1 m. The diameter was determined under the microscope by image analysis method using a magnification of 42×. 10 measurements were performed in 10 different positions along the length of the yarn. The yarn was tensioned with a force of 0.05 cN/tex.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Regarding the electrical properties, the electrical resistance was measured and the resistivity of the coated yarns was calculated, according to the diameter of the yarn. In table 2 are presented the mechanical properties for the tested yarns, before and after coating, and table 3 indicates the electrical properties of the yarns.

| Tensile properties | Variants of yarns |
|--------------------|-------------------|
|                    | Y1    | CY1   | Y2    | CY2   | Y3    | CY3   | Y4    | CY4   | Y5    | CY5   |
| Breaking strength, [cN] | 856   | 1002  | 318.8 | 389.8 | 1006  | 929   | 382.9 | 458.5 | 1507  | 1224  |
| Coefficient of variation of strength, [%] | 7.04  | 8.79  | 8.34  | 9.87  | 2.24  | 4.51  | 7.72  | 9.82  | 2.01  | 3.98  |
| Breaking elongation, [%] | 22.72 | 28.96 | 13    | 10.15 | 35.64 | 35.5  | 11.01 | 7.02  | 29.25 | 55.8  |
| Coefficient of variation of elongation, [%] | 4.994 | 8.27  | 7.36  | 18.42 | 5.77  | 6.58  | 10.44 | 36.63 | 4.65  | 9.49  |
| Breaking tenacity, [cN/tex] | 11.57 | 2.17  | 12.31 | 4.41  | 28.77 | 7.05  | 9.70  | 2.71  | 46.19 | 7.96  |
| Coefficient of variation of tenacity, [%] | 5.07  | 11.50 | 3.55  | 12.94 | 8.70  | 26.76 | 2.66  | 24.30 |

Analyzing the data of the table shows the following:
– The breaking strength of coated yarns increases by approx. 20% in the case of yarns from staple fibers, respectively CY1, CY2 and CY4. In the case of the yarns from continuous fibers (CY3 and CY5), their tensile strength decreases because the strength of the fibers from coated yarns is not completely utilized in yarn’s strength. This decrease is smaller for the CY3 yarn, which is a simple textured yarn versus CY5 yarn which is a two-ply yarn.
– Coefficient of variation of breaking force increases for all coated yarns analyzed. The increase is by 24.86% for CY1, 18.34% for CY2 and 27.2% for CY4, while for the yarns from continuous fibers is by approximately 100%.
– Breaking elongation of the spun fibers (CY2 and CY4) decreases by about 20% after coating, while for the fancy yarn (CY1), breaking elongation increase by 27.46% due to its structure (spiral fancy yarn). The breaking elongation for the textured yarn CY5 almost doubled, and the elongation for the simple textured yarn (CY3) not changed very much.
– Coefficient of variation for breaking elongation increased for all variants of yarns due to a less uniform deposit of the conductive layer onto yarns.
– The effect of coating has reduced the values for tenacity of yarns at least twice, although the breaking strength of the coated yarns has increased. The decrease is justified by a higher increase of the weight of the coated yarns in relation to a smaller increase of their strength.
– Coefficient of variation of the tenacity increased for all variants of coated yarns due to the fact that the conductive layer was deposited less evenly. For all the coated yarns, the values for electrical resistivity are between 0.09 and 0.3094 Ω·m.

Analyzing the electrical resistivity is found that the yarns coated with the conductive layer can be classified as semiconductors, semiconductors have resistivity between 10⁻⁴ ÷ 10¹⁰ Ω·m [20]. In addition, as a result of resistivity values, these conductive yarns could be used to obtain products for electromagnetic shielding (EMI shielding). For further applications, such as woven or knitted fabrics, a flexible polymer should be coated on the conductive yarns obtained in order to maintain the integrity of the conductive layer. Therefore, the bending properties of the conductive yarns were not taken into consideration. The resulting fabrics obtained using the conductive yarns can be tested for bending and shearing properties.

CONCLUSIONS

All five yarns coated with the solution based on CB nanoparticles shows conductivity. The values obtained justify their use as components in a conductive fabric or a knitted fabric that can be used for electromagnetic shielding. Regarding the mechanical characteristics, the yarns used have higher, or about the same values for the breaking strength comparative with the values obtained before coating with the conductive layer, and lower values of elongation, but not significant, so there is certainty that they will behave properly in further technological processes. The coating mixture allow obtaining of conductive
materials using a method which can be easily reproduced at industrial level, the next step is weaving/knitting these conductive yarns to see if the conductive layer stay onto the yarns and does not create discontinuities.

The conductivity of the analysed yarns is transferred in the subsequent textile product electrical properties. However, in this paper only the manufacturing of the conductive yarns was studied. The electrical properties of a textile product obtained with these yarns depend on various factors: the percentage of conductive yarns, the fabric structure, the density of yarns, and the thickness of the fabric. Future researches will be conducted to produce several textile fabrics using conductive yarns, testing electrical and tensile properties and predict their applications.
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