Low-dose radiation therapy for coronavirus disease-2019 pneumonia: Is it time to look beyond apprehensions?
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Abstract:

Coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) has become a global health crisis. Mortality associated with COVID-19 is characterized mainly by acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), sepsis, pneumonia, and respiratory failure. The pathogenesis of the disease is known to be associated with pro-inflammatory processes after virus infection. Hence, various therapeutic strategies are being developed to control the inflammation and cytokine storm in COVID-19 patients. Recently, low-dose radiation therapy (LDRT) has been suggested for the treatment of pneumonia/ADRS in COVID-19 patients through irradiation of lungs by gamma/X-ray. In this direction, a few clinical trials have also been initiated. However, a few recent publications have raised some concerns regarding LDRT, especially about possibilities of activation/aggressiveness of virus (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 in case of COVID-19), lung injury and risk of second cancer after low-dose therapy. The present manuscript is an attempt to analyze these apprehensions based on cited references and other available literature, including some from our laboratory. At this point, LDRT may be not the first line of therapy. However, based on existing anti-inflammatory evidence of LDRT, it needs encouragement as an adjuvant therapy and for more multi-centric clinical trials. In addition, it would be worth combining LDRT with other anti-inflammatory therapies, which would open avenues for multi-modal therapy of pneumonia/ARDS in COVID-19 patients. The mode of irradiation (local lung irradiation or whole-body irradiation) and the window period after infection of the virus, need to be optimized using suitable animal studies for effective clinical outcomes of LDRT. However, considering ample evidence, it is time to look beyond the apprehensions if a low dose of radiation could be exploited for better management of COVID-19 patients.
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excessive release of these cytokines/chemokines, also known as “cytokine storm”. It ultimately results in damage of the lung cells, reduced diffusion capacity, and small airways obstruction.[3] Mortality associated with COVID-19 is mainly characterized by acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), sepsis, pneumonia, and respiratory failure.[3] Various strategies are being explored, and clinical trials have been initiated to prevent infection at one side and treatment of infected patients on the other side, which have been reviewed recently.[3] These therapeutic strategies are aimed to repair the infected/damaged airways, prevention of infectivity, and minimizing the effects of inflammatory processes. To control the pathogenesis associated with inflammation, the efficacy of anti-inflammatory agents (like corticosteroids, fingolimod and thalidomide in combination with methylprednisolone) is being evaluated in COVID-19 patients. A few antagonists against cytokines like IL-6, Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor are also being assessed to mitigate the harmful effects of these elevated cytokines. To control the cytokine storm, an approach is also being studied to pass the patient’s blood through a column to trap the pro-inflammatory cytokines, which can be again administered back to the patients.

In this direction, recently a few publications appeared suggesting the application of low-dose radiation therapy (LDRT) for treatment of pneumonia/ADRS in COVID-19 patients through gamma/X-ray irradiation of lungs in the range of 30–100 cGy[4] or 30–50 cGy[5] or 10–25 cGy.[6] Ghadimi-Moghadam et al.[6] also suggested treatment of patients with a single adaptive low dose (a few mGy of X-ray) before another dose of 10, 18, or 25 cGy X-ray. The proposed LDRT is based on the induction of the immune system and anti-inflammatory response (to control the cytokine storm) by a low dose of radiation. The LDRT for treatment of pneumonia/ADRS in COVID-19 patients is based on animal studies showing activation of latent viruses after low dose of radiation[7-11] and the evidence generated in the early twentieth century from the application of low dose radiation for the treatment of pneumonia.[12-14] Cytokines released in response to virus by macrophages, other immune cells, endothelial cells, and fibroblasts govern the progression of pulmonary fibrosis. LDRT through its anti-inflammatory processes, could control the cytokine storm induced by virus infection and thus prevent the damage caused to lung tissue leading to pneumonia/ADRS in COVID-19 patients.[4,5] In this direction, researchers/clinicians around the world also initiated a few clinical trials for the application of low dose radiation to the lung for the treatment of pneumonia/ADRS [Table 1].[15] Many of the studies are aimed for diagnostically/clinically confirmed COVID-19 elderly patients (>50 years) patients with arterial oxygen partial pressure (PaO₂ in mmHg) to fractional inspired oxygen ratio < 300 and elevated inflammatory markers (like IL-6). Out of these clinical trials, two are proposing to use dose of 70 or 100 cGy, and others tend to use 70–80 cGy in a single fraction [Table 1]. One of the trials is proposed with a single dose of 50 cGy and based on the clinical outcome, the patient will receive another dose of 50 cGy (to a maximum of 100 cGy in two fractions with the interval of at least 72 h). However, a few publications also appeared with apprehensions (mainly in terms of virus activation, lung injury, and radiation risk) for the use and success of the proposed LDRT in COVID-19 patients.[16-18]

**Low Dose Radiation Therapy and Virus Activation**

Activation of latent viruses after radiation treatment is one of the concerns raised against LDRT. It is based on a few publications,[19-24] which were reviewed in the context of LDRT. In one of the cited papers, reactivation of Latent Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV) was studied in Akata cells (with a latent EBV episome) after exposure (0.1–2 Gy) to Cs-137 gamma radiation.[20] The activation was evaluated at the RNA level of two key EBV lytic genes [BZLF (an immediate-early gene that codes for ZEBRA or Zta, an important trans-activator critical for reactivation) and BLLF (a late gene that codes for the envelope glycoprotein gp350)]. In this paper, 0.1 Gy gamma irradiation did not significantly change the transcription of BZLF1 but caused a significant increase in BLLF1. However, these are *in vitro* results and derived from a transformed cell line. In another study, treatment of T cells and monocytes at the therapeutic dose of radiation (5 Gy) led to an increase of HIV-1 transcription, which was also validated in HIV-1 infected humanized mice.[22] In a similar study, activation of herpes simplex virus was observed at a dose range of 5–10 Gy.[21] Spread of an apathogenic rabies virus was studied in the mouse brain after cranial irradiation (2–6 Gy). At the doses of 4 and 6 Gy, an increase in the viral spread was observed but not at 2 Gy.[19] An increase in antibody was observed in influenza A virus-infected juvenile pups after 5 Gy whole-body-irradiation but not in mice internally injected with 50 μCi soluble 137Cs that delivered 2.5–2.7 Gy over 50 days (0.05 Gy/day). In fact, the viral load is similar in irradiated (externally and internally) and nonirradiated groups.[24] An increased susceptibility to death was observed in influenza virus-infected mice after lung irradiation but in the dose range of 10–15 Gy.[23]

Based on these publications, virus activation occurring at low doses could not be well established. In fact, activation of latent viruses was reported at relatively higher doses (more than 4 Gy), which may not be appropriate to be linked with low doses. Moreover, due to lack of immune system, the observations at
| Clinical trial number; title of the study | Institute/hospital, country | Dose of radiation/ frequency | Major inclusion criteria | Major exclusion criteria | Phase of the study |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| NCT04394793; Low Dose Radiation Therapy for Covid-19 Pneumonia: A Pilot Study | All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India | Single 70 cGy to lungs | COVID-19 positive, patients with National Early Warning Score ≥ 5. Age > 18 years | Patients on mechanical ventilatory support, hemodynamically unstable | Not applicable |
| NCT04366791; The RESCUE 1-19 Trial: Radiation Eliminates Storming Cytokines and Unchecked Edema as a 1-Day Treatment for COVID-19 | Emory University Hospital Midtown/ Winship Cancer Institute, Atlanta, Georgia, United States | Single fraction of whole lung low-dose radiation therapy* | COVID-19 positive; clinical signs of severe acute respiratory syndrome or pneumonia; visible consolidations/ground glass opacities on chest X-ray or computed tomography; received pre-intubation respiratory support or undergone endotracheal intubation and have been on ventilator support for no >5 days; age > 18 years | Pregnant and/or planned to be pregnant within in next 6 months | 1 and 2 |
| NCT04433949; RESCUE 1-19: A Randomized Phase III of Best Supportive Care ± Whole Lung Low-Dose Radiation Therapy in Hospitalized Patients | Emory University Hospital/Winship Cancer Institute, Atlanta, Georgia, United States | LDRT (whole lung)* | COVID-19 positive; clinical signs of severe acute respiratory syndrome or pneumonia; visible consolidations/ground glass opacities on chest imaging; requiring supplemental oxygen; age > 18 years | No use of disallowed medications prior to randomization (remdesivir or approved drug treat COVID); pregnant and/ or planned to be pregnant within in next 6 months | 3 |
| NCT04427566; Vented COVID: A Phase II Study Of The Use Of Ultra Low-Dose Bilateral Whole Lung Radiation Therapy in the Treatment Of Critically Ill Patients With COVID-19 Respiratory Compromise | Arthur G. James Cancer Hospital and Solove Research Institute at Ohio State University Medical Center, Columbus, Ohio, United States | Single dose of 80 cGy to the bilateral lungs | COVID-19 positive based on reverse transcriptase PCR; CT findings typical of COVID-19 pneumonia; receiving ICU-based mechanical ventilation; life expectancy ≥ 24 h; hypoxemia defined as Pa/FIO₂ ratio < 300 or SpO₂/FIO₂ < 315; age > 18 years | Expected survival < 30 days due to chronic illness present prior to COVID-19 infection; immune suppressing medications in last 30 days; chronic hypoxemia requiring supplemental oxygen at baseline; active connective tissue disease (scleroderma) or idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis; history of prior radiation therapy resulting in ≥ grade 2 radiation pneumonitis within 365 days; active or history of prior radiation to the thorax completed within 180 days; known active uncontrolled bacterial or fungal infections of the lung; active cytotoxic chemotherapy; females who are pregnant or have a positive pregnancy test, breast feeding | 2 |

Contd...
**Table 1: Contd...**

| Clinical trial number; title of the study | Institute/hospital, country | Dose of radiation/frequency | Major inclusion criteria | Major exclusion criteria | Phase of the study |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| NCT04414293; Phase II Study of Low Dose Pulmonary Irradiation in Patients With COVID-19 Infection of Bad Prognosis | Hospital Provincial de Castellón, Castellón De La Piana, Castellón, Spain | Low-dose lung radiation (0.5-1.0 Gy) | COVID-19 positive with severe disease (presence of unilateral or bilateral pulmonary infiltrates in chest X-ray or computed tomography; acute respiratory failure P/F <300; lymphopenia ≤0.8×10^9/L; patients within ≤8 days from the onset of symptoms; age>65 years | Patient not consent to participate | Not applicable |
| NCT04466683; Phase II Protocol of Low-Dose Whole Thorax Megavoltage Radiotherapy for Patients With SARS-COV-2 Pneumonia | Ohio State University Comprehensive Cancer Center, United States | Low radiation arm: A single dose of 35 cGy to whole thorax; high radiation arm: A single dose of 100 cGy to whole thorax; selection of best radiotherapy dose-arm after 20 patients | COVID-19 positive with pneumonia; hospitalized with COVID-19; at least one of the following risk factors for significant pulmonary compromise: fever >102°F, respiratory rate of ≥26/min within 24 h of screening; SpO2 ≤95%; ratio of P/F<320; age: 50 years and older | Patients on mechanical ventilation; prior thoracic radiotherapy (exception breast or postmastectomy chest wall radiation; thoracic skin radiation therapy); hereditary syndrome with increased sensitivity to radiotherapy; known prior systemic use of the drugs; history of or current diagnosis of lung disorders, malignancy receiving any cytotoxic chemotherapy or immunotherapy within the past 6 months and bone marrow transplantation; females who are pregnant or breast feeding | 2 |
| NCT04393948; Pilot Study of Low-Dose Single or Bilateral Whole Lung Irradiation for SARS-CoV-2 Pneumonia | Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, United States | 100 cGy single lung radiation; 100 cGy bilateral lung radiation | COVID positive ≤3 days or progressive disease ≤14 days; age ≥40 years; may receive antiviral medication and/or convalescent plasma | Prior or planned treatment with interleukin inhibitors or TNF-α inhibitors; prior lobectomy or pneumonectomy, thoracic radiotherapy, chemotherapy or other systemic therapy or immunotherapy; history of bone marrow or solid organ transplantation, autoimmune collagen vascular disease, hereditary syndrome with increased sensitivity to ionizing radiation; pregnancy | Not applicable |
| NCT04493294; Low Dose Whole Lung Radiotherapy for Older Patients With COVID-19 Pneumonitis: Practical Protocol by the International Geriatric Radiotherapy Group | Institute of Radiation Oncology, Cantonal hospital Graubuenden, Chur, Switzerland | Low dose whole lung radiotherapy* | Age ≥65 years with proven COVID-19 pneumonitis who may or may not require oxygen | Require artificial ventilation or hemodynamically unstable | 1 and 2 |

Contd...
| Clinical trial number; title of the study | Institute/hospital, country | Dose of radiation/ frequency | Major inclusion criteria | Major exclusion criteria | Phase of the study |
|------------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| NCT04377477; Pilot Study on the Feasibility of Low Dose Radiotherapy for SARS-Cov-2 Pneumonitis (COLOR 19) | Radiation Oncology Department, ASST Spedali Civili, Brescia, Brescia, Italy | Single fraction whole lung radiotherapy of 0.7 Gy | Age ≥50 years; Covid positive; patient with Brescia Covid Respiratory Severity Scale score 2-3; interstitial pneumonia on chest X-ray and/or chest CT; At least 3 of the following laboratory criteria (PCR >5 times the maximum limit of the normal value; ferritin >500 ng/ml; LDH >2 times the maximum limit of the normal value; D-dimer >3 times the maximum limit of the normal value; AST>2 times the maximum limit of the normal value; total lymphocytes <1000/ml; negative pregnancy test | Patients undergoing invasive mechanical ventilation; patients with active autoimmune systemic diseases | Not applicable |
| NCT04390412; Assessment of Adding Low Dose Pulmonary Radiotherapy to the National Protocol of COVID-19 Management: A Pilot Trial | Imam Hossein Hospital Tehran, Iran, Islamic Republic of Iran | 0.5 Gy radiation to both lungs; may be another fraction of 0.5 Gy (maximum 1 Gy in two fractions at least 72 h apart) | COVID-19 positive; presence of pulmonary involvement; less than 3 days since the onset of ARDS; age >60 years; ↑ IL-6; ↑ CRP | Hemodynamic instability; septic shock and organ dysfunction; severe ARDS P/F ratio ≤ 100 mmHg; history of cardiac failure; contraindications to radiation | 1 and 2 |
| NCT04420390; Low Dose Radiotherapy as Anti-inflammatory Treatment for COVID-19 Pneumonitis | Servicio de Oncología Radioterápica, Hospital Clínico San Carlos Madrid, Spain | Low-dose radiotherapy* | Age ≥60 years; COVID-19 positive; Phase II or lung phase without any improvement with pharmacological treatment; Phase III or hyper-inflammatory phase without any improvement with pharmacological treatment; poor clinical and functional respiratory evolution (>30 breaths/min, SpO₂<93%, P/F<300, D-dimer>1000 ng/mL or rising, ferritin >1000 ng/mL, PCR >10 mg/dL or double than before) | Severe comorbidities that could hamper the radiation treatment | Not applicable |
| NCT04380818; Low Dose Anti-inflammatory Radiotherapy for the Treatment of Pneumonia by COVID-19: Multi-central Prospective Study | Hospital Sant Joan de Reus, Tarragona, Spain, Hospital Del Mar, Barcelona, Spain, Hospital Universitario, Madrid, Sanchinarro Madrid, Spain | Bilateral low-dose lung irradiation: 0.5 Gy in a single fraction. Optionally, additional 0.5 Gy fraction 48 h later | Age ≥18-99 years; moderate-to-severe COVID-19 pneumonia; Pa/FIO₂<300 mmHg; one of the following conditions: IL-6 >40 or PCR >100 mg/L, D-dimer greater than 1500 ng/ml, suspected cytokine release syndrome | Leukopenia <1000; pregnancy | Not applicable |
individual cell level under in vitro conditions may be difficult to get extrapolated up to complex in vivo systems. In the contrary, the curative effect of split low dose total-body irradiation (150 cGy) on mice infected with the Friend Virus Complex was reported involving interferon-gamma and IL-2.\textsuperscript{[25,26]} Inflammation and associated DNA damage are known to be governed by cellular mitochondrial activity. In this context, in our publications, the enhanced mitochondrial protein import\textsuperscript{[27]} and DNA damage repair\textsuperscript{[28]} shown in human normal cells after low dose radiation (10 cGy) may contribute in overall survival/recovery of virus-infected cells, which, however, need further investigation.

### Lung Injury and Radiation Risk in Low Dose Radiation Therapy

Another apprehension of LDRT in COVID-19 patients is lung injury and radiation pneumonitis (RP).\textsuperscript{[29-31]} These studies were based on lung fluorodeoxyglucose–positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) uptake (a surrogate marker of inflammation) to predict the susceptibility of radiation-induced lung toxicity/RP. Lung cancer patients with high FDG uptake reported prior to radiotherapy (mean lung dose 5–30 Gy) were found more likely to develop symptomatic RP.\textsuperscript{[30]} Based on lung FDG-PET uptake, other studies showed increased susceptibility to radiation-induced lung toxicity/RP in cancer patients with mean lung dose $\geq$5.8 Gy\textsuperscript{[32]} and 2–5 Gy.\textsuperscript{[29]} RP, observed during cancer radiotherapy, occurs in high-risk patients (~40% incidence) with mean lung dose $\geq$20 Gy.\textsuperscript{[32]} Data from ninety-seven studies of stereotactic body radiation therapy were analyzed for radiation-induced lung toxicity including RP and pulmonary fibrosis. Most studies showed safe treatment with a rate of symptomatic lung toxicity of $<$10%–15% at a mean lung dose of the combined lungs $\leq$8 Gy (in 3–5 fractions) and the percent of total lung volume receiving more than 20 Gy $<$10%–15%.\textsuperscript{[33]} In another study with ~600 breast cancer patients undergone radiotherapy, the prevalence of pneumonitis was 1.8% with potential risk at mean radiation dose to ipsilateral lung $>$7 Gy.\textsuperscript{[34]} To investigate radiation-induced edema,\textsuperscript{[35]} effect of low dose radiation ($<$200 cGy) was studied in terms of the cell-cell integrity in pulmonary microvascular endothelial cells. In this study, evaluation of lung edema after a low dose of radiation is based on the measurement of lung weight of control and irradiated animals. In this regard, it may be worth citing a publication, in which pulmonary response after low doses (2–200 mGy) and 4 Gy whole-body irradiation was studied in the rat model. Lung injury was assessed via multiple cellular and functional parameters such as respiratory mechanics, pulmonary edema, cellular, and proteinaceous fluid infiltrate, and oxidative stress markers. These measured lung parameters did not differ from sham-irradiated animals except for an increase in catalase after high dose (4 Gy) exposure.\textsuperscript{[36]} The apprehension about lung radiation-induced lung toxicity/RP is based on either in vitro observation\textsuperscript{[33]} or at high radiation doses\textsuperscript{[29-31]} not matching with dose range during LDRT. On the other hand, the studies supporting the use of LDRT for the treatment of pneumonia\textsuperscript{[12,14,37]} may be old\textsuperscript{[16]} but derived from patients providing superior clinical information than the in vitro observations. The risk of genetic abnormalities and secondary malignancies in the case of LDRT of COVID-19 patients\textsuperscript{[18]} have been raised, which is supported in the literature.\textsuperscript{[38,39]} On the other

| Clinical trial number; title of the study | Institute/hospital, country | Dose of radiation/ frequency | Major inclusion criteria | Major exclusion criteria | Phase of the study |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| NCT04394182; Low Doses of Lung Radiation Therapy in Cases of COVID-19 Pneumonia: Prospective Multicentric Study in Radiation Oncology Centers | Hospital La Milagrosa, GenesisCare, Madrid, Spain, Hospital Vithas Valencia Consuelo, Valencia, Spain | Single 0.8 Gy including both whole-lungs extended 1 cm isometric in all directions | Age ≥18-122 years; pneumonia due to COVID-19; Charlson Comorbidity Index <6; poor or no response to standard medical treatment, based on: % SPO2<93%, P/F <300 mmHg; 1 or more inflammatory and immunological analytical parameters (lymphocytes, IL-6, D-dimer, ferritin, LDH, CRP and fibrinogen) more than normal range except lymphocytes; life expectancy >1 month; no previous thoracic radiotherapy or chemotherapy | Any uncontrolled intercurrent illness that would put the patient at greater risk or limit compliance with study | Not applicable |

See addendum for full form of abbreviations.
side, counter-arguments negate such risk advocating the hermetic effects at low dose of radiation.\[10,41\] However beyond the debate, in a health crisis scenario with average global fatality ~3.5% (as on August 12, 2020)\[1] and without effective treatment available, LDRT needs better consideration for long-term risk versus immediate benefit if can be used to save human lives especially in geriatric patients with lower risk of second cancer due to short life expectancy.\[42,43\] While raising the above concerns, some publications are not mentioned with the dose/dose rate and the experimental model used. The absence of such basic information may result in incomplete and/or wrong first level information to the readers. Hence, the author opines that to avoid any such ambiguity, radiation biology publications should be included with information like dose/dose rate/type of radiation and experimental models used in the study.

**Immune Activation in Low Dose Radiation Therapy**

Low dose of radiation has been shown to activate the immune system in various experimental models\[5-11\] which however been not well considered while raising the concerns about LDRT. Like any viral infection, in the case of SARS-CoV-2 also, activation of T- and B-cell responses will play a crucial role in pathogenesis and control of the disease. Activation of CD8+ T and dendritic cells are known at the lower doses of radiation,\[9-11,29] which will facilitate to attack and eliminate the virus-infected cells. Low level of radiation stimulates the immune system acting at cellular and molecular levels of immune and other cells. Low dose of radiation (<1 Gy) is known to polarize the M2 phenotype of macrophages involved in anti-inflammatory and tissue repair processes. These activated immune cells (like IL10-producing lung macrophages), in turn, would facilitate to control the cytokine storm caused due to infection of virus.\[5,44] Mechanistic insights of LDRT at clinical level could be also gained from the anti-inflammatory effect of radiation to control the pain in nonmalignant degenerative diseases, especially when the option for nonsteroid anti-inflammatory drugs or corticosteroids is not available. LDRT is known to activate endothelial cells and reduced leukocyte adhesion, which results in decreased expression of selectins and the production of anti-inflammatory cytokines. Moreover, LDRT is known to impair the oxidative stress\[45,46\] and nitric oxide\[47,48\] pathways, which would ultimately act behind the anti-inflammatory role of LDRT. Overall, LDRT, through its anti-inflammatory processes would likely to prevent the damage caused through pro-inflammatory cytokines/chemokines released after virus infection and mitigate the associated clinical symptoms of pneumonia/ARDS in COVID-19 patients.\[4,5\]

**Optimizing Low-Dose Radiation Therapy Parameters**

Infection of SARS Cov-2 virus initially activates the host’s immune system; however, weak/suppressed immune system subsequently facilitates the progression of the disease. At the pro-inflammatory phase of the disease, LDRT will be more beneficial where anti-inflammatory treatments such as steroids and other cytokine inhibitors are employed. Hence, likely synergy of LDRT in such window period of the disease would be effective for control of pneumonia/ARDS in COVID-19 patients. Local lung irradiation and low dose whole-body irradiation (LDWBI) are the options available for LDRT application in COVID-19 patients. Most of the recent literature and clinical trials related to LDRT for control of pneumonia/ARDS in COVID-19 patients are aimed for lung irradiation. LDWBI has been employed for the treatment of cancer\[49-51] as it would cause systemic activation of the immune system. Due to this reason, LDWBI is thought to have higher efficacy than lung irradiation.\[10\] The target of the SARS-Cov-2 virus is not limited to the lungs, but it infects/affects other critical organs like the heart and kidney. Hence, activation of systemic immune response after LDWBI would likely to have better control of the viruses than local lung irradiation. However, these two modalities of irradiation need a better comparison in terms of complications, risk, and therapeutic gain.

**Conclusions**

Due to a lack of direct pre-clinical/clinical evidence using SARS-Cov-2 models, at this point, LDRT may be not the first line of therapy. However, based on existing anti-inflammatory evidence of LDRT at non-COVID animal/patient levels, it needs encouragement as an adjuvant therapy and for more multi-centric clinical trials. In addition, it would be worth combining LDRT with other therapies like convalescent plasma therapy\[60\] and other anti-inflammatory strategies (like cytokine inhibitors, steroids), which would open more avenues for multi-modal therapy of pneumonia/ARDS in COVID-19 patients. For effective clinical outcomes of LDRT, various parameters (like window period, dose, frequency, and mode of irradiation) need to be optimized using suitable immuno-competent animal models (rodents or primates). However, considering ample existing evidence, it is time to look beyond the apprehensions if a low dose of radiation could be exploited for better management of COVID-19 patients.

**Addendum**

Low-dose radiation therapy clinical trials for coronavirus 19
Search criteria: COVID-19 (disease) + LDRT (other term). *Dose not mentioned. PaO2 (in mmHg)=Arterial oxygen partial pressure, FiO2=Fractional inspired oxygen, LDRT=Low dose radiation therapy, RESCUE 1-19=Radiation Eliminates Storming Cytokines and Unchecked Edema as a 1-Day Treatment for COVID-19, COLOR-19=Coronavirus 19 disease, COLOR-19=COVID-19 Pneumonitis Low-Dose Lung Radiotherapy, LDH=Lactate dehydrogenase, AST=Aspartate aminotransferase, CRP=C-reactive protein, SPO2=Oxygen saturation, PCR=Polymerase chain reaction, IL-6=Interleukin-6, P/F=PaO2/FiO2, ARDS=Acute respiratory distress syndrome, CT=Computed tomography, TNF=Tumor necrosis factor, ICU=Intensive care unit.

Financial support and sponsorship
Nil.

Conflicts of interest
There are no conflicts of interest.

References
1. WHO, Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Outbreak Situation; 2020. Available from: https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019. [Last accessed on 2020 Aug 12].
2. Cyranoski D. Profile of a killer virus. Nature 2020;581:22-6.
3. Tay MZ, Foh CM, Rénia L, MacAry PA, Ng LF. The trinity of inflammation and intervention. Nat Rev. Immunol 2020;20:363-74.
4. Kirkby C, Mackenzie M. Is low dose radiation therapy a potential treatment for COVID-19 pneumonia? Radiother. Oncol 2020;147:221-6.
5. Dhawan G, Kapoor R, Dhawan R, Singh R, Giordano J, et al. Reactivation of latent epstein-barr virus: A comparison after exposure to gamma, proton, carbon, and iron radiation. Int J Mol Sci 2018;19:2961.
6. Ghadimi-Moghadam A, Haghani M, Bevelacqua JJ, Jafarzadeh A, Kaveh-Ahangar A, Mortazavi SM, et al. COVID-19 tragic pandemic: Concerns over unintentional directed accelerated evolution* of novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) and introducing a modified treatment method for ARDS. J Biomed Phys Eng 2020;10:241-6.
7. Ina Y, Sáki K. Activation of immunological network by chronic low-dose-rate irradiation in wild-type mouse strains: Analysis of immune cell populations and surface molecules. Int J Radiat Biol 2005;81:721-9.
8. Bogdáni EN, Balogh A, Felgynszki N, Szatmári T, Persa E, Hildebrandt G, et al. Effects of low-dose radiation on the immune system of mice after total-body irradiation. Radiat Res 2010;174:480-9.
9. Rouse BT, Hartley D, Doherty PC. Consequences of exposure to ionizing radiation for effector T cell function in vivo. Viral Immunol 1989;2:69-78.
10. Persa E, Szatmári T, Sáfrány G, Lumniczky K. In vivo irradiation of mice induces activation of dendritic cells. Int J Mol Sci 19 2018;19:2391.
11. Gao H, Dong Z, Gong X, Dong J, Zhang Y, Wei W, et al. Effects of various radiation doses on induced T-helper cell differentiation and related cytokine secretion. J Radiat Res 2018;59:395-403.
12. Powell EV. Radiation therapy of lobular pneumonia. Tex State J Med 1936;32:237-40.
13. Oppenheimer A. Roentgen therapy of interstitial pneumonia. J Pediatr 1943;41:404-14.
14. GW, Dubin IN, Baylin GJ. The effect of roentgen therapy on experimental virus pneumonia; on pneumonia produced in white mice by swine influenza virus. Am J Roentgenol Radium Ther 1946;55:478-81.
15. U.S. National Library of Medicine, COVID-19 and Low Dose Radiation Therapy Clinical Trial; 2020. Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/results?cond=Covid; https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/results?cond=COVID-19&term=low+dose+radiation+therapy&cntty=&state=&city=&dist=&Search=Search. [Last accessed on 2020 Aug 12].
16. Kefayat A, Ghahremani F. Low dose radiation therapy for COVID-19 pneumonia: a double-edged sword. Radiother. Oncol 2020;147:224-5.
17. Salomaa S, Cardis E, Bouffier SD, Atkinson MJ, Hamada N. Low dose radiation therapy for COVID-19 pneumonia: is there any supportive evidence? [published online ahead of print, 2020 May 6]. Int J Radiat Biol. 2020;1-4.
18. Kirsch DG, Diehn M, Cucinotta FA, Weichselbaum R. Lack of supporting data make the risks of a clinical trial of radiation therapy as a treatment for COVID-19 pneumonia unacceptable. Radiother. Oncol 2020;147:217-20.
19. Ceccaldi PE, Marquette C, Weber P, Gourmelon P, Tsiang H. Ionizing radiation modulates the spread of an apathogenic rabies virus in mouse brain. Int J Radiat Biol 1996;70:69-75.
20. Mehta SK, Bloom DC, Plante I, Stowe R, Feiveson AH, Renner A, et al. Radiation Therapy of Lobular Pneumonia. Tex State J Med 1946;55:478-81.
21. Lambin P, et al. Curative effect of split low dosage total-body irradiation on mice infected with the polycythemia-inducing strain of the Friend virus complex. Cancer Res 1988;48:2399-403.
22. Pandey BN, Gordon DM, De Toledo SM, Pain D, Azzam EI. Low dose radiation therapy for effector T cell function in vivo. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2011;81:698-705.
Pre-radiotherapy FDG PET predicts radiation pneumonitis in lung cancer. Radiother Oncol 2014;9:74.
31. Chaudhuri AA, Binkley MS, Rigdon J, Carter JN, Aggarwal S, Dudley SA, et al. Guo, pre-treatment non-target lung FDG-PET uptake predicts symptomatic radiation pneumonitis following Stereotactic Ablative Radiotherapy (SABR). Pre-treatment non-target lung FDG-PET uptake predicts radiation pneumonitis after SABR. Radiother Oncol 2016;119:454-60.
32. Marks LB, Yu X, Vujaskovic Z, Small W, Folz R, Anscher MS. Radiation-induced lung injury. in: Semin. Radiat. Oncol., W.B: Saunders; 2003. p. 333-45.
33. Kong FM, Moiseenko V, Zhao J, Milano MT, Li L, Rimner A, et al. Guo, pre-treatment non-target lung FDG-PET uptake predicts symptomatic radiation pneumonitis following Stereotactic Ablative Radiotherapy (SABR). Pre-treatment non-target lung FDG-PET uptake predicts radiation pneumonitis after SABR. Radiother Oncol 2016;119:454-60.
34. Werner EM, Eggert MC, Bohnet S, Rades D. Prevalence and characteristics of pneumonitis following irradiation of breast cancer. Anticancer Res 2019;39:6355-8.
35. Onoda JM, Kantak SS, Diglio CA. Radiation induced endothelial cell retraction in vitro: Correlation with acute pulmonary edema. Pathol Oncol Res 1999;5:49-55.
36. Puukila S, Muise S, McEvoy J, Bouchier T, Hooker AM, Boreham DR, et al. Acute pulmonary and splenic response in an in vivo model of whole-body low-dose X-radiation exposure. Int J Radiat Biol 2019;95:1072-84.
37. Calabrese EJ, Dhawan G. How radiotherapy was historically used to treat pneumonia: Could it be useful today? Yale J Biol Med 2018;86:555-70.
38. Schmitz-Feuerhake I, Busby C, Pflugbeil S. Genetic radiation risks: a neglected topic in the low dose debate. Environ Health Toxicol 2016;31:e2016001.
39. Smith-Bindman R, Lipson J, Marcus R, Kim KP, Mahesh M, Gould R, et al. Radiation dose associated with common computed tomography examinations and the associated lifetime attributable risk of cancer. Arch Intern Med 2009;169:2078-86.
40. Upton AC. Radiation hormesis: Data and interpretations In: Crit. Rev. Toxicol: CRC Press LLC; 2001. p. 681-95.
41. Gaetani S, Monaco F, Bracci M, Ciarapica V, Impollonia G, Valentino M, et al. DNA damage response in workers exposed to low-dose ionising radiation. Occup Environ Med 2018;75:724-9.
42. Montero A, Arenas M, Algara M. Low-dose radiation therapy: could it be a game-changer for COVID-19? [published online ahead of print, 2020 May 25]. Clin Transl Oncol. 2020;1-4.
43. Lara PC, Nguyen NP, Macias-Verde D, Burgos-Burgos J, Arenas M, Zamagni A, et al. Whole-lung low dose irradiation for SARS-CoV2 induced pneumonia in the geriatric population: An old effective treatment for a new disease? Recommendation of the International Geriatric Radiotherapy Group. Aging Dis 2020;11:489-93.
44. Li JJ. Mitigating coronavirus-induced acute respiratory distress syndrome by radiotherapy. Iscience 2020;23:101215.
45. Schae D, Marples B, Trott KR. The effects of low-dose X-irradiation on the oxidative burst in stimulated macrophages. Int J Radiat Biol 2002;78:567-76.
46. Trott KR, Kamprad F. Radiobiological mechanisms of anti-inflammatory radiotherapy. Radiother Oncol 1999;51:197-203.
47. Hildebrandt G, Seed MP, Freemantle CN, Alam CA, Colville-Nash PR, Trott KR. Effects of low dose ionizing radiation on murine chronic granulomatous tissue. Strahlentherapie Und Onkol 1998;174:580-8.
48. Hildebrandt G, Seed MP, Freemantle CN, Alam CA, Colville-Nash PR, Trott KR. Mechanisms of the anti-inflammatory activity of low-dose radiation therapy. Int J Radiat Biol 1998;74:367-78.
49. Safwat A. The role of low-dose total body irradiation in treatment of non- Hodgkin’s lymphoma: A new look at an old method. Radiother Oncol 2000;56:1-8.
50. Safwat A. The immunobiology of low-dose total-body irradiation: more questions than answers. Radiat Res 2000;153:599-604.
51. Yang G, Li W, Jiang H, Liang X, Zhao Y, Yu D, et al. Low-dose radiation may be a novel approach to enhance the effectiveness of cancer therapeutics. Int J Cancer 2016;139:2157-68.