Herpesvirus saimiri (HVS) is the prototype gamma-2-herpesvirus whose primary host is the squirrel monkey. The linear viral genome of HVS is composed of an AT-rich unique region (L-DNA) encoding 75 open reading frames (ORFs) (1), flanked by a noncoding GC-rich repetitive region (H-DNA) (6). This H-DNA is composed of about 30 copies of the 1.44-kb terminal repeat (TR) unit, randomly distributed between termini by the cleavage that creates the linear genome of the virion (16). This cleavage occurs next to the Apal restriction site of one of the TR units and leaves a blunt terminus, (4, 16). This genome structure is common to other gamma-2-herpesviruses, such as human herpesvirus 8 (Kaposi’s sarcoma herpesvirus) and murine herpesvirus 68.

Like other gammaherpesviruses, the HVS genome is a circular episome in latently infected cells (18), replicating and segregating efficiently within a dividing cell population. Maintenance of the gammaherpesvirus episome is mediated by the binding of the latency-associated nuclear antigen (LANA, or ORF73) to the terminal repeats, with three to four repeat units flanking by a noncoding GC-rich repetitive region (H-DNA) encoding 75 open reading frames (ORFs) (1), with the right end of one of the TR units and leaves a blunt terminus (4, 16). This genome structure is common to other gamma-2-herpesviruses, such as human herpesvirus 8 (Kaposi’s sarcoma herpesvirus) and murine herpesvirus 68.

In order to characterize the TR requirements of a gammaherpesvirus genome, we have used the bacterial artificial chromosome containing the A11-S4 strain of HVS (pHVS15+) and its derivative pHVS15+ΔTR, from which the TRs and part of ORF75 have been deleted (19). pHVS15+ efficiently forms plaques upon transfection of permissive owl monkey kidney (OMK) cells, while pHVS15+ΔTR fails to do so (19).

**pHVS15+ΔTR is able to form replication compartments.**

The formation of viral replication compartments in herpes simplex virus forces the host chromatin to the margins of the cell. This redistribution of host chromosomes can be followed by a histone 2B-green fluorescent protein (H2B-GFP) fusion (15). We have observed this phenomenon within OMK cells infected by HVS (not shown) and therefore used H2B-GFP as a surrogate marker for the formation of viral replication compartments. Confocal microscopy of OMK cells cotransfected with either pHVS15+ or pHVS15+ΔTR and an expression plasmid for H2B-GFP (pCLNR-H2BGFP [13]) identified cells that were positive for both peripheral H2B-GFP and the DsRed expressed by the HVS-BAC in both cases (Fig. 1). This indicates that the lytic cycle is essentially functional in pHVS15+ΔTR and that its defect is likely to be at a later stage of virion production, such as packaging, as seen for a TR-deleted Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), which is competent for trans packaging of amplicons (9).

**Complementation of pHVS15+ΔTR identifies requirements for packaging.**

In order to identify the regions responsible for this defect, we have constructed recombinant BACs in order to complement the deletion of the TRs. Recombinants were made by introducing regions of the TRs into pHVS15+ΔTR, using a RecA-based markerless recombination system described previously (14, 19). To control for second-site muta-
### A

| Description                                      | Lytic Function |
|--------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| **ORF75**                                        |                |
| **HVS15+ΔTR**                                    | -              |
| **Restore H-DNA/L-DNA flanks**                   |                |
| HVSATR-NS                                        | -              |
| HVSATR-NN                                        | -              |
| **Restore TR + flanks**                          |                |
| HVSATR-NN+2TR                                    | +++            |
| HVSATR-NN+1TR                                    | +++            |
| HVSATR-NS+1TR                                    | +++            |
| HVSATR-NS+BTR                                    | ++             |
| **Restore TR, but not flanks**                   |                |
| HVSATR-FS+1TR                                    | +              |
| HVSATR-NP+1TR                                    | +++            |
| HVSATR-FP+1TR                                    | +              |
| **Restore subregion of TR**                      |                |
| HVSATR-NS+BNTR                                   | ++             |
| HVSATR-NN+NAPTR                                  | ++             |

### B

![DNA gel analysis](image-url)
tions during the recombination process, it would be ideal to reconstruct wild-type virus for each mutant; however, the large size of the TR makes it impossible to reconstruct these viruses within the current recombination system. For each complementing virus, we have therefore made and tested two independent recombinants, as the best alternative to revertants. The recombinant BACs are shown schematically in Fig. 2A. The nomenclature of the BACs is based on the restriction sites used to subclone the right and then left ends of the HVS genome, along with the number and nature of TR units added. The DNA for subsequent experiments was generated using a QIAGEN Maxiprep kit and checked for integrity by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (Fig. 2B).

To assess the phenotype of each recombinant, 1 μg of DNA for each BAC was transfected in duplicate into a well of a six-well dish of 80% confluent OMK cells using a Lipofectamine peptide transfection reagent (12, 20). The cells were incubated in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium supplemented with 1-glutamine, penicillin and streptomycin, and 2% fetal calf serum (10% fetal calf serum was used to culture OMK cells). The mean times taken to destroy the cell sheets are presented in Fig. 3A.

Three of the BACs failed to generate plaques. Of these, pHVSA1TR-NN contains almost a full copy of a TR unit, lacking only a 117-bp region immediately upstream of the terminal cleavage site (positions 845 to 961 in the TR as sequenced by Bankier et al. [4]; GenBank accession no. K03361). All of the BACs containing a whole TR unit (or more) were able to produce virus efficiently.

The functional recombinant BACs fall into three groups, taking 9, 12, or 18+ days from transfection to destruction of the cell sheet (Fig. 2A and 3A). The minimum requirement of the BACS closest to wild type in efficiency (pHVS/NP+1TR) is a single TR (cloned using NotI) and the right end of the L-DNA plus the first 80 bp of the TR. When the TR is subcloned with BssHII (pHVS/NS-BTR), the productivity of the BAC is slightly impaired. This disrupts the 14-bp element of a set of three tandem sequence duplications (4). Since the BACs containing smaller parts of the TR (pHVS/NS-BNTR and pHVS/NS-NAPTR) have the same functionality, a single functional element that contributes to virus production must lie across the BssHII site. Since pHVSA1TR-NN fails to make virus, we can conclude that the region shared by both of these partial TRs (position 588 to 1044) is both necessary and sufficient for packaging. It contains the site of genome linearization (962/3) but lacks the tandem duplications and the ORF73 binding sites (1118 to 1166) previously identified within the TR (17).

Deletion of the junction at the right end of the L-DNA (pHVS/FP+F1TR and pHVS/FP+F1TR) initially resulted in extremely small and slow-growing plaques, but after a while, some plaques spread much more rapidly and dominated the destruction of the cell sheet. The FspI site used to make this construct is just 8 bp from the H/L-DNA junction (Fig. 3B), so the critical element must be very close to the flank. The leftmost bases of the TR are those that immediately follow the cleavage site within the TR (Fig. 3B). It is tempting to speculate that this half-site plays a role in the cleavage process, but invoking a requirement for a second half-site overlooks the fact that another copy of this sequence exists 100 bp 5’ of the cleavage site itself. If the role of the flank were simply to provide an additional copy of the cleavage half-site, then duplication of the TR would account for the escape from the impairment that is observed. This is consistent with observations for murine gammaherpesvirus 68 amplicons, where a single TR is sufficient for packaging, while two TRs give a higher packaging efficiency (10).

The possible requirement for the right end of the genome offers an interesting comparison with the generation of EBV termini (21). There, an element from the junction of unique sequence and TR at the equivalent end of the genome is duplicated on one terminus of the linear viral DNA. Figure 3B compares the structures of the TRs of HVS and EBV. In both cases, two copies of the C-rich elements at the ‘left’ terminus of the linearized genome are duplicated elsewhere within the repeat unit, albeit with a single base insertion for EBV. Also, the sequence from the junction at the left flank of the TR is important for packaging/linearization, and the C-rich element is repeated here. In EBV, the addition of the element from the TR’s left flank onto the terminus invokes a recombination-based model for conversion from a circular genome to a linear one. The terminus of HVS appear to have undergone a simple cleavage. However, the parallels between EBV and HVS suggest that there may be some mechanistic similarity between the TR cleavage processes of the two viruses. Using flanking regions might also represent a common mechanism that prevents genome cleavage at multiple sites within the TR.

**Multimerization of TRs is a common phenomenon.** In order to assess the nature of the virus produced by the transfected BACs, equal titers of the duplicates of the two most productive

---

**FIG. 2.** The recombinant BACs used in this study. (A) Schematic representation of the HVS-A11 TR region and the recombinant BACs. Nearly three TR units are shown, although the parental wild-type HVS-BAC has around 30 repeat units. Homology regions through which recombination occurs are indicated by shaded boxes. Enzyme sites used to subclone regions are as follows: Bg, BglII; E, EcoRV; F, FspI; N, NotI; R, BssHII; P, PstI; S, Smal; and A, Apal. Where letters are struck through, the enzyme site was destroyed in the cloning process. Where noncompatible enzymes are adjacent in the scheme, or enzyme sites are lost, a short artificial linking sequence is present. Positions within the terminal repeat sequence (GenBank accession no. K03361) are shown for the junctions with the ends of the unique region of the genome (16) and for the smallest region sufficient to mediate packaging. The nucleotide positions of the ends of the L-DNA (GenBank accession no. X64340) are also indicated. “J” represents the sequence to the right of the terminal cleavage site. The left of the cleavage site is indicated by Apal sites. “E” is the position at which TR-derived sequences cease. “SA4” is the site of the ORF1-3 deletion of the A11-S4 strain that was used to make the parental BAC. Nomenclature of the recombinant BACs uses the restriction enzymes employed to subclone regions and the number and nature of TR units where added. The relative lytic activities of the BACs are shown for ease of reference. The ability of the construct to produce virus is indicated by − (unable), + + + (near that of wild type), + + (slightly retarded), or + (substantially retarded), as described for Fig. 3A. (B) Pulsed-field gel of the BAC DNA preparation used in the study, digested with NheI. For each construct, two independently generated recombinants were made and are shown here to be identical.
viruses were used to infect OMK cells. After 40 h, the cells were lysed and genomic DNA extracted. One percent of this DNA was electroporated into Electromax DH10B E. coli (Invitrogen), to recover circular BACs. For each virus, eighteen individual colonies were picked, and plasmid DNA was isolated using standard small-scale methods and analyzed by digestion and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (Fig. 4). Most of the rescued BACs were observed to be intact, with the exception of variations in the size of the TR band—the rescues have been ordered in Fig. 4 to make this more apparent. A minority of BACs have undergone deletions or rearrangements that affect the digestion pattern of the unique region of the genome, as shown to the right of the intact BACs in Fig. 4. The extensive nature of the deletions in some of these BACs suggest that they are nonproductive, although only an oriLyt and a TR would be required for packaging in trans.

Presumably, the tendency to increase TR numbers is a consequence of single-TR BACs being less efficient at packaging, being well below the packaging capacity of the virion. The distribution of repeat numbers at each end of the genome suggests a ‘head-full’ packaging mechanism for the virus, with a fixed size observed for the genome in the virion (16), consistent with studies on EBV-based amplicons, which were packaged most efficiently at the size closest to an apparent maximum of 180 kb (5). This contrasts with rescued episomes, which have no apparent optimal number of repeats. Indeed, rescue of pHVS15+ episomes from infected cells showed a similar variation in TR size. The recircularization of HVS...
presumably occurs by recombination between TR units on opposite flanks. Unless some mechanism favors repeats nearer the termini, this will naturally result in a variable number of TR units.

The study of the latency requirements of HVS is both complicated and simplified by these observations. The tendency of HVS to change TR numbers makes the establishment of latent episomes with specific TR numbers difficult, preventing us from comparing the viral context with observations that three to four repeat units are sufficient to replicate plasmid vectors (2, 8). However, this also means that a single modified TR unit should produce an equivalent array, simplifying the construction of HVS with a mutant TR array, allowing the analysis of precisely modified TRs in the future.

This study reveals that the HVS terminal repeats are not required for the formation of replication compartments and that the region around the cleavage site of the HVS TRs is both necessary and sufficient for the packaging of the virus genome. Furthermore, the junction between the right end of the unique region of the genome and the left end of the TR also plays an important role in packaging. Comparison of the HVS TR with sequences within the EBV TR leads us to propose some mechanistic similarities between the cleavage of the two virus genomes, despite the different natures of the termini. In addition, we have shown that in the course of lytic replication and genome circularization, single TRs are amplified into multimers.
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