Genetic characterization of diphtheria tox B to evaluate vaccine efficacy in Indonesia
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ABSTRACT

Background and Objectives: Blocking the attachment of diphtheria toxins to host cells through the intact receptor binding site (tox B) was the initial mechanism of action of the diphtheria vaccine. Diphtheria outbreaks in populations with good vaccination coverage can be caused by mutations or changes in the genetic structure of the tox B protein. The aim of this study was to characterize the Tox B protein produced by Corynebacterium diphtheriae isolated from 2018 to 2019 in patients in Jakarta who had already received the diphtheria vaccine.

Materials and Methods: Of the 89 throat swab specimens of patients with a clinical diagnosis of diphtheria, 10 were positive for diphtheria and toxin. PCR was used to amplify the tox B DNA fragment in the 10 positive isolates. DNA sequencing was conducted with overlapping primers and the DNA sequences were analysed by using SeqScape V2.7.

Results: Of the 10 isolates, nine isolate showed a DNA mutation (G30A), but the mutation did not change the amino acid encoding arginin (silent mutation). Our findings indicate that the efficacy of the diphtheria vaccine used in Indonesia has not decreased because of mutations in the tox B genes not change the amino acid.

Conclusion: Overall, there are no amino acid changes in the tox B protein, indicating that the outbreaks are not affected by mutation in tox B. Another possible mechanism – overexpression of the toxin – is likely responsible for causing diphtheria in patients who have a complete history of immunization in Indonesia.
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INTRODUCTION

Corynebacterium diphtheriae is a gram-positive, aerobic, pleomorphic cocccobacillus that can produce a toxin by lysogenization with a corynecbacteriophage carrying the tox gene, which can increase the severity of infection (1, 2). The diphtheria toxin is a single-chain polypeptide with a molecular weight of about 58.36 kDa and 353 amino acids (3). Diphtheria toxin is an enzyme consisting of two subunits, namely the A subunit (21 kDa, encoded by the tox A gene) and the B subunit (39 kDa, encoded by the tox B gene) linked by a disulphide bond. Tox A is composed of a catalytic domain (C domain), which has an enzymatic function, is lethal and has an inhibitory effect on protein synthesis. Tox B consists of
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a translocation domain (T domain), which functions in the internalisation of toxins from the endocytosis membrane into the cytoplasm and receptor binding domains (3, 4).

The characteristic of inflammation caused by the toxin is the formation of grey pseudomembranes in the upper respiratory tract (tonsils, larynx or pharynx), which can cause difficulty breathing and swelling of the lymph nodes around the neck. Systemic dissemination can increase the severity of infection, with symptoms such as myocarditis; damage to the nerves, kidneys, and liver; and heavy bleeding leading to death (4, 5).

Diphtheria mortality rates began to decline dramatically in the 21st century after the introduction of vaccination (6). Although there are still diphtheria vaccination programmes throughout the world, including Indonesia, diphtheria cases have increased again. These cases represent a public health problem and have a high mortality rate. Based on World Health Organization (WHO) data, from 2016 to 2018 there was an increase in the number of diphtheria cases throughout the world, reaching 15,928 cases compared with the previous two years (12,309 cases). Indonesia was second ranked in the world, with 954 cases reported in 2017, a twofold increase compared with the previous year (314 cases in 2016) (7). In early 2018, 14 cases of diphtheria were reported from 11 districts/cities in four provinces (Jakarta, Banten, West Java and Lampung) (8, 9).

Supporting the Indonesian government’s efforts to increase the efficacy of diphtheria vaccines, it is necessary to evaluate the role of increasing the virulence of the toxin produced by C. diphtheriae. Hughes et al. reported that administration of high doses of DTP3 vaccine did not make a significant difference in long-term immunity (0.1 – >1 IU/mL) protection compared with administration of low-dose vaccination (<0.01 – 0.09 IU/mL) (10). Other reports explained that there are still some patients suffered from diphtheria who have been immunized completely (11, 12). The immunization can trigger an immune response and the formation of human antibodies as protection against diphtheria which is mainly mediated by binding to the B subunit. Mutations and changes in the genetic structure of tox B allow the failure to recognize antibodies that cause diphtheria infection to persist even after vaccination (13-15). Mutations in the tox B gene can prevent the antitoxin from attaching and lead to failure of the neutralisation process, with eventual cell damage (16). It seems that complete vaccination does not guarantee protection against C. diphtheria infection.

The aim of this study was to characterize tox B produced by C. diphtheriae isolated from patients in Jakarta with a clinical diagnosis of diphtheria. This endeavour allowed us to evaluate the efficacy of the diphtheria vaccine used in Indonesia, namely whether it still has a protective effect to overcome the diphtheria problem.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 10 isolates of C. diphtheriae producing toxins were collected from 89 pseudomembranous lesions in the upper respiratory tract from patients with diphtheria in the Sulianti Saroso Infectious Disease Hospital, Jakarta, Indonesia, during the period of 2018–2019. Gram and Albert stains were used for microscopic examination. Culture was carried out on non-selective blood sheep agar and selective tellurite medium. The VITEK2® ANC card (BioMérieux, France) was used for identification. PCR was used to identify tox B gene. Toxigenicity was examined by using the Engler modification of the Elek test by the formation of precipitates due to the bond between the toxin produced by C. diphtheriae and the anti-toxin disc.

DNA was extracted with a Qiagen kit according to manufacturer’s instructions, with a final elution volume of 50 µL. Primers of C. diphtheriae tox B – forward GGCATCAGTAGTGACTCA and reverse GCACACGCCCACTACCT – were used to amplify the tox B fragment (1026 base pairs). The PCR involved initial denaturation at 94°C for 4 minutes; 25 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 seconds, annealing at 62°C for 30 seconds and elongation at 72°C for 1 minute; and a final extension at 72°C for 5 minutes. The PCR product was analyzed on 1.5% agarose gel, followed by DNA sequencing using overlapping primers. Overlapping editing with SeqScap v2.7 (Applied Biosystems) and bioedit was used to analyze the DNA sequencing result.

Ethical approval. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia (number ND-1175/UN2.F1/ETIK/PPM.00.02/2019).
RESULTS

Designed primer for *C. diphtheriae* tox B gene (forward 20 bp and reverse 19 bp) successfully detected amplicon product 1026 bp, same as the size of tox B gene *C. diphtheriae*. Forward primer of tox B attached to nucleotide 8 to 27 and reverse primers attached to nucleotide 1061 to 1079. Primers to detect tox B gene was part of the *Corynebacterium diphtheriae* genome Park William 8 (GeneBank: CP003216.1). The 1026-bp tox B PCR product compared to DNA Ladder 100 bp was shown in Fig. 1.

DNA mutation analysis showed that of 9 of 10 isolates had mutation in G30A, but the mutation did not change the amino acid encoding arginine (silent mutation). Sequence of DNA mutation analysis of tox B gene *C. diphtheriae* compared to Park William 8 as a reference strain was shown in Table 1.

DISCUSSION

Mutations in the tox B gene could alter the amino acid sequence, and this change could reduce the

---

**Table 1.** Sequence DNA mutation of tox B gene *C. diphtheriae* in Jakarta, Indonesia

| Isolate | Position DNA mutation | Change of Amino Acid | Mutation Type |
|---------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| PW8     | A G G                 | Arg                  | Reference strain |
| 12      | A G A                 | Arg -> Arg           | Silent Mutation |
| 13      | A G A                 | Arg -> Arg           | Silent Mutation |
| 16      | A G A                 | Arg -> Arg           | Silent Mutation |
| 22      | A G A                 | Arg -> Arg           | Silent Mutation |
| 28      | A G G                 | Arg                  | No Mutation    |
| 45      | A G A                 | Arg -> Arg           | Silent Mutation |
| 47      | A G A                 | Arg -> Arg           | Silent Mutation |
| 68      | A G A                 | Arg -> Arg           | Silent Mutation |
| 85      | A G A                 | Arg -> Arg           | Silent Mutation |
| 86      | A G A                 | Arg -> Arg           | Silent Mutation |

*Nucleotide substitution: G30A (9 isolate). A=Adenine, G= Guanine. Arg = Arginine*
effective of diphtheria vaccines. However, in this study the mutation in the tox B sequence was silent mutation—it did not change the amino acid sequence. Therefore, these mutations did not affect on the tox B function as a binding and translocation toxin into cells.

Our findings indicate that the efficacy of the diphtheria vaccine used in Indonesia has not decreased because of mutations in the tox B genes encoding the toxin. Other factors are probably responsible for causing diphtheria in patients with a complete history of diphtheria vaccination: variation in bacterial virulence or overexpression of the toxin due to the presence of mutations in toxin promoter-operators (tox PO) (17, 18).

Tox PO is a segment of DNA located on the upstream of the genes that regulate expression of diphtheria toxins. Consensus -35 region Tox PO is similar to the location of Σ70 E. coli which starts at position 74 of the structural toxin gene and there are 2 possible tox promoter with consensus-10 region starting at position-54 and-48 from tox gene structural (2, 19, 20). Variances of bacterial virulence that have been reported are mutations in the toxin promoter-operator region (tox PO) that affect toxin expression (19). The research of Kolodkina et al. show that C. diphtheriae promoter/operator confirm that an increased level of toxin production by strains is determined by the mutation located in the 9-bp palindrom, which overlaps the –10 sequence of the promoter and the operator region (16).
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