Motor Function in School-Aged Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Korea
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Objective Motor function critically influences daily activities and academic performance. We compared motor function in school-aged children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) to that of normal children.

Methods Participants were 58 children with ADHD [51 males, 7 females; mean age 9 years 6 months ± 2 years 0 months (SD)] and 70 normal controls [56 males, 14 females; mean age 9 years 2 months ± 1 years 7 months (SD)]. We assessed motor function with the Bruininks-Oseretsky Test of Motor Proficiency, Second Edition.

Results The ADHD group had a significantly lower total motor composite score (t=-9.32, p<0.001) than that of the control group. Standard scores of four motor-area composites such as fine manual control (t=-3.76, p<0.001), manual coordination (t=-6.87, p<0.001), body coordination (t=-7.14, p<0.001), and strength and agility (t=-8.54, p<0.001) were significantly lower in the ADHD group than those in the control group. Among the subtests, scores on fine motor precision, fine motor integration, manual dexterity, bilateral coordination, balance, running speed and agility, and strength were significantly lower in the ADHD group than those in the controls, whereas upper-limb coordination was not significantly different between the groups.

Conclusion School-aged children with ADHD in Korea had significantly lower motor function compared to that of controls. Thus, it is suggested that appropriate target intervention for motor function is important in children with motor impairment in addition to pharmacotherapy or psychosocial therapy for improving the core symptoms.
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Therefore, early detection of motor delay and remediation decreases feelings of incompetency, inadequacy, depression, frustration, and anxiety in children with ADHD and prevents long-term negative effects on social development and academic achievement.7

For these reasons, researchers have accurate, substantial assessments of the neurodevelopmental motor functions of school-aged children with ADHD, but little research has been conducted on the motor function of such children in Korea.

In fact, The children with ADHD used to be intervened mainly focusing on hyperactivity, inattention, and impulsivity. Motor function is relevant to improve main symptoms, daily living skills, and academic performance of children with ADHD in clinical practice. Despite this consideration, evaluation and intervention of motor function is not generalized for children with ADHD in Korea. Children with ADHD who have motor difficulties or lack motor skills have been misunderstood as having a poor attitude, being lazy, pretending to be indifferent, and teasing others. Consequently, there is a need for standardized motor function measures of ADHD and this has become a rationale for targeted intervention. This trial will be expected to contribute to improve the overall development of children with ADHD.

The Bruininks-Oseretsky Test of Motor Proficiency, Second Edition (BOT-2) has been demonstrated to be a reliable measure of motor proficiency and is among the most frequently used assessments for evaluating and discriminating motor function in children.10

We evaluated motor proficiencies in school-aged children with ADHD and a control group to identify specific neuromotor developmental characteristics in the ADHD group for the purpose of gaining insight into appropriate interventions for children with ADHD.

METHODS

Participants

The participants were 58 children with ADHD [51 males, 7 females; mean age, 9 years 6 months ± 2 years 0 months (SD), range 6 years 5 months to 13 years 7 months] and 70 normal controls [56 males, 14 females; mean age, 9 years 2 months ± 1 years 7 months (SD), range 6 years 11 months to 12 years 4 months] (Table 1). This study was performed from August 2008 to May 2011. The ADHD group was recruited from the Department of Psychiatry, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine. Two experienced child and adolescent psychiatrists diagnosed these children based on the ADHD criteria of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition, Text Revision (DSM-IV-TR)11 and administered the Korean Kiddie-Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia-Present and Lifetime Version12 to confirm ADHD and to identify any comorbidities. Learning disorders were diagnosed with the DSM-IV-TR by assessment using intelligence and academic achievement tests. Among the participants, 25 had ADHD without any comorbidities, eight had depression, seven had Asperger’s Disorder, six had Learning Disorder, six had Children Emotional Disorder, four had Oppositional Deficient Disorder, and two had Tic Disorder. Mental retardation and psychotic and other seizure disorders were ruled out in this study. At the time of assessment, 24 of the children were taking medication (14 were taking methylphenidate and 10 atomoxetine) for symptoms related to ADHD. Thirty-four children were not taking any medications.

We recruited the control group from six elementary schools in Seoul by proportional stratified sampling and screened potential participants with the Korean ADHD Rating Scale (K-ARS),13 which was completed by parents and teachers. We excluded any children suspected of having possible ADHD (i.e., K-ARS scores ≥ 19, as reported by parents, and ≥ 17, as reported by teachers). Children with school adjustment problems, learning problems, or physical illnesses that affected motor function were also excluded by a teacher’s report. The Institutional Review Board approved this study, and the parents gave informed consent for their children to participate.

Measures

We used the BOT-2 to assess participant motor function.14

| Table 1. Clinical characteristics of the participants |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----|
| Characteristics               | ADHD (N=58)                      | Control (N=70)  | t/χ²| p-value |
| Age (year)                    | 9.55 (1.98)*                     | 9.20 (1.67)*    | 0.87| 0.28    |
| Gender                        | Male 51                         | 56              | 1.62| 0.11    |
|                               | Female 7                        | 14              |     |         |
| K-ARS                         | Parents 25.44 (9.22)*            | 7.82 (5.39)*    | <0.01|         |
|                               | Teacher 21.72 (8.20)*            | 4.90 (5.64)*    | <0.01|         |
| Presence of co-morbid         | Yes 33                          |                 | -1.64| 0.11    |
|                               | No 25                           |                 |     |         |
*mean (SD). K-ARS: Korean-ADHD Rating Scale, ADHD: attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder
This is an individually administered test using engaging, goal-directed activities to measure a wide array of motor skills in individuals aged 4–21 years. This standardized test consists of 53 items and four motor-area composite scores (fine manual control, manual coordination, body coordination, and strength and agility). The four motor-area composites are each comprised of two of eight subtests relating to aspects of motor function (fine manual control=fine motor precision and fine motor integration, manual coordination=manual dexterity and upper-limb coordination, body coordination=bilateral coordination and balance, and strength and agility=running speed and strength and agility). The 53-item raw score is scaled to a standard score according to age norms. Finally, the four standard score sums become the total motor composite, which is an overall motor proficiency score. Internal consistency, reliability, test-retest reliability and inter-rater reliability of the BOT-2 is moderate to strong (>0.80).15 The BOT-2 content validity, internal structure, and correlations with other motor performance measures are strong (r=0.80).10

Occupational therapists used authenticated test kits and booklets to administer the BOT-2. Each test took 60–90 minutes, depending on the participant’s speed.

Statistical analysis
We used the BOT-2 T-scores for analysis. We used the chi-square and t-tests to evaluate differences in clinical variables between the two groups and assessed differences in standard and total motor composite scores. We used regression to rule out an age effect. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 18.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A p-value<0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS
There were no significant differences in mean age (p=0.28) or gender ratio (p=0.11) between the two groups. The K-ARS scores reported by parents and teachers differed significantly (p<0.01) between the two groups. Table 1 summarizes the clinical characteristics of the participants.

The ADHD group had significantly lower mean standard scores on fine motor control (t=-3.76, p<0.001), manual coordination (t=-6.87, p<0.001), body coordination (t=-7.14, p<0.001), strength and agility (t=-8.54, p<0.01), and total motor composite score (t=-9.32, p<0.001) compared to those of the controls (Table 2, Fig. 1). Among the subtests, the ADHD group had significantly lower scores on fine motor precision (t=-3.84, p<0.001), fine motor integration (t=-2.10, p<0.05), manual dexterity (t=-11.78, p<0.001), bilateral coordination (t=-3.56, p<0.01), balance (t=-8.90, p<0.001), running speed and agility (t=-4.84, p<0.001), and strength (t=-9.39, p<0.001). However upper-limb coordination (t=-0.97, p=0.337) did not differ significantly between the two groups. No age effect was observed in the groups (p=-0.58).

DISCUSSION
We compared motor function in school-aged children with ADHD as assessed by the BOT-2 and compared the results to those of a control group in Korea. The ADHD group demonstrated significantly lower motor function than that of the controls in all categories of fine manual control, manual coordination, body coordination, and strength and agility, indicating that children with ADHD have greater physical movement.

### Table 2. BOT-2 standard scores and total motor composite in the ADHD and control groups

|                        | ADHD mean (SD) | Control mean (SD) | t     |
|------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------|
| Fine manual control    | 47.86 (9.34)   | 53.40 (6.84)      | -3.76***|
| Fine motor precision   | 15.14 (4.59)   | 17.86 (3.10)      | -3.84***|
| Fine motor integration | 13.64 (4.02)   | 15.07 (3.69)      | -2.10* |
| Manual coordination    | 44.52 (9.91)   | 55.50 (8.18)      | -6.87***|
| Manual dexterity       | 13.21 (4.64)   | 21.81 (3.38)      | -11.78***|
| Upper-limb coordination| 12.28 (4.90)   | 13.04 (4.10)      | -0.97  |
| Body coordination      | 41.48 (9.08)   | 52.81 (8.81)      | -7.14***|
| Bilateral coordination | 14.50 (4.84)   | 17.13 (3.82)      | -3.56**|
| Balance                | 8.72 (4.02)    | 15.20 (4.16)      | -8.90***|
| Strength and agility   | 43.10 (10.38)  | 56.93 (7.81)      | -8.54**|
| Running speed and agility| 14.91 (4.19) | 18.36 (3.85)      | -4.84***|
| Strength               | 10.46 (4.14)   | 17.33 (4.10)      | -9.39***|
| Total motor composite  | 43.12 (8.37)   | 59.17 (10.67)     | -9.32***|

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 by independent sample t-test. ADHD: attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, BOT-2: Bruininks-Oseretsky Test of Motor Proficiency, Second Edition
difficulties than those of typical children. These results support previous studies showing that children with ADHD usually have problems with deficits in dexterity, fine motor coordination, motor overflow, neurologically subtle signs, and difficulties with appropriate reactions during complex tasks and poor balance. Children with ADHD showed lower performance on subtests of manual dexterity and balance and strength. Muscle tone, equilibrium reaction maturity, establishing a midline, postural control, lateralization, proximal stability, sequential development of grasping and pinching, integration of primitive reflex, and reciprocity of the flexor-extensors are essential to achieve these movements, and integration of these motor factors is the foundation of purposeful movement in daily life.

Before neuroimaging studies actively addressed the issue, researchers reported that ADHD appeared to be a phenomenon of developmental problems, particularly dysfunction of the connection between the lower region of the frontal and prefrontal subdivisions and subcortical brain regions, which are reportedly relevant to reduced motor and/or cognitive functions in children with ADHD. In addition, prefrontal lobe region dysfunctions can produce impulsivity and/or hyperactivity and self-control problems associated with executive function, and this region also plays a role inhibiting undesired or inappropriate motor behaviors. According to recent neuroimaging studies, not only the prefrontal lobe, but also the basal ganglia, affect goal-directed movements in children with ADHD. Furthermore, the frontal-striatal circuit affects such motor control. Because the subcortical areas contribute to eye-hand coordination, body awareness, posture, balance, muscle tone, and stability against gravity, subcortical dysfunction affects cortical areas related to organization, self-control, learning ability, abstract and logical thinking, and confidence. In addition, studies have reported differences in the sizes of the total brain, cerebellum, and basal ganglia between ADHD and normal groups. In particular, such studies consistently report a reduced vermis cerebellar size as an ADHD structural abnormality. The vermis cerebellum controls head posture, eye movements, and overall posture by interacting with the vestibular and proprioceptive systems. Dysfunction of this region makes neck and body control, postural stability and eye movements difficult, leading to impaired eye-hand coordination and effortful physical movements.

Results on the upper-limb coordination subtest were not significantly different between the two groups. The mean score on the upper limb coordination is relatively lower than score on other subsets in a control group. The reason why the score on the upper-limb coordination subtest was not significantly different between the two groups may be related with the items of upper-limb coordination subset. The items of the upper-limb coordination subset are composed with throwing, catching, one hand dribbling, and alternating of tennis ball. Usually, there is no curriculum in elementary lower grades that the students do not have a experience handling the tennis ball in P.E. class. Little experience with tennis ball in normal control may be influencing on difficulty performing the items of upper-limb coordination. Further investigations must follow to clarify variables that affected these results.

A therapeutic approach is suggested to mature subcortical areas and to increase and recover motor functions via a task-oriented approach that focuses on subcortical activation to integrate underlying motor factors in patients with ADHD. As one of these therapeutic frameworks, a sensory processing and sensory integration approach can help to increase postural reactions, bilateral integration, eye movement, and balance. Improved subcortical function can affect higher cortical functions, such as organization, self-control, learning ability, abstract thinking and logical power, and confidence, as mentioned previously.

Human performance on a task is comprised of personal factors, environmental factors, and task factors. Therefore, modifying environmental and task factors is as important as increasing directed motor function. Successfully addressing poor motor proficiency, which affects learning and daily life at home and school, requires parents, teachers, and medical professionals to exchange detailed information and also requires specific support strategies correlated with personal-environmental-task factors. For example, if a child has difficulty sitting still during class, sitting on a therapeutic ball or sitting with a therapeutic cushion on their chair should facilitate the child’s use of the erector spinous muscles. This physical activity intervenes in the individual’s motor function and helps increase their attention to tasks during class. Thus, interdisciplinary cooperation of parents, teachers, and medical professionals should be helpful for understanding the difficulties of children with ADHD and supporting them during task performance.

Despite previous research reporting that clumsiness and
motor difficulties in early-school-aged children with ADHD disappear or improve with growth. We found no significant differences due to age, suggesting that a child with motor difficulties would persistently have problems as they grow older. Therefore, rather than expecting natural maturity to address motor skill issues, appropriate therapeutic interventions are necessary.

Some limitations of this study should be discussed. First, we did not consider medications. The effects of methylphenidate include short-term increases in behavioral control and cognitive function and improved interpersonal relationships. We recommend controlling for the effects of this medication in future studies when comparing ADHD and control groups. Second, the ADHD group was recruited from a particular medical center; thus, it was difficult to generalize overall motor proficiency in the children with ADHD. Third, the BOT-2 has not been standardized in a Korean population, although Asian Americans were included in the original version. Fourth, Learning Disorder, Asperger’s Disorder and Oppositional Defiant Disorder might be motor dysfunction variables.

We investigated motor function in school-aged children with ADHD in Korea by means of a norm-referenced assessment, and it was confirmed that children with ADHD have poor motor skills compared to those in controls. In addition, brain domains correlated with low subtest scores such as coordination, balance and strength conformed to the domains revealed in previous neuroimaging studies. Based on these results, teachers and parents who point to the attitude or behavior problems of children with ADHD have a better opportunity to understand these children's daily lives. Furthermore, proper treatment for motor problems in children with ADHD could improve their performance quality, self-esteem, and social development.
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