Cytoplasmic expression of SOX9 as a poor prognostic factor for oral squamous cell carcinoma
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Abstract. Transcription factor SRY-box 9 (SOX9) is a key regulator of chondrocyte differentiation and sex determination, and it is also involved in the progression of various types of human cancer. However, its putative association with oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) remains elusive. The aim of the present study was to investigate the expression profiles of SOX9 in various oral epithelial lesions, including OSCC. We performed immunohistochemical analysis of SOX9 expression in surgical specimens of OSCC, which simultaneously exhibited different grades of epithelial lesions, and analyzed the correlation between SOX9 expression and several clinicopathological factors. Moreover, we performed immunofluorescent staining, western blot analysis and real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction to assess SOX9 expression in OSCC HSC-3 (a metastatic cell line) and HSC-4 (a non-metastatic cell line) cell lines. In surgical specimens, SOX9 expression was detected in the nuclei of proliferating cells in areas with epithelial dysplasia and carcinoma in situ, but not in areas with normal epithelia. Nuclear SOX9 expression was observed in most SCC cells. Notably, cytoplasmic SOX9 expression was confirmed only in some SCC cells; however, cytoplasmic SOX9 expression was significantly and positively correlated with poor clinical outcomes. Both protein and mRNA expression of SOX9 were significantly higher in the HSC-3 cell line than that in the HSC-4 line. Notably, however, only HSC-3 cells exhibited cytoplasmic localization of SOX9 expression. Our findings indicate that SOX9 may be involved in the tumorigenesis and progression of OSCC. Furthermore, its cytoplasmic expression represents a potential predictive biomarker for tumor aggressiveness and OSCC prognosis.

Introduction

Sex-determining region Y (SRY)-box 9 (SOX9) is a member of a transcription factor family characterized by similarities in the high-mobility group DNA-binding domain of SRY (1). It plays crucial roles in the development and differentiation of diverse tissues, such as cartilage (1,2) and testes (3). During chondrogenesis, SOX9 transactivates the genes encoding extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins, including type II collagen and aggrecan, which function as a foothold for chondrocytes (4). During male gonadogenesis, SOX9 specifies the Sertoli cell lineage and upregulates the transcription of a gene encoding anti-Müllerian hormone, which inhibits the development of the female gonads (3). SOX9 is also crucial for the development and maintenance of ectodermal tissues. In adult skin, SOX9 is expressed in the sebaceous glands, sweat glands, outer root sheath of the hair follicles (5), and basal layer of the human epidermis, where it acts as an important regulator of epidermis and cutaneous appendages through pro-proliferative and anti-apoptotic activities (6).

Recently, SOX9 was shown to be involved in the initiation and/or progression of various types of cancers, including prostate (7), breast (8) and colorectal (9) cancers, basal cell carcinoma of the skin (10) and esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) (11). These studies have described higher SOX9 expression levels in cancer cells than in their normotypic counterparts (7-11). In addition, three of the studies have reported that high SOX9 expression levels in cancer cells are positively correlated with poor clinical outcomes (8,9,11). However, in oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC), both the expression profile of SOX9 and its possible clinicopathological correlates remain unknown.

We previously described the importance of ECM proteins in the pathogenesis of OSCC (12,13) and salivary gland...
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Materials and methods

Tissue samples. Forty-nine consecutive patients (27 males and 22 females) who underwent primary surgical treatment for OSCC from January 2008 to December 2011 were included in the present study. Patients with recurrent tumors were excluded. The follow-up clinical information was obtained from the patient medical records. The follow-up period was measured from the date of surgery until death or until the final follow-up record. Clinical stage was determined according to the Union for International Cancer Control TNM classification system, 7th edition (19). The median age of the patients at surgery was 69 years (range, 22-88 years).

Surgical specimens were fixed in 10% formalin and embedded in paraffin. After examining hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained sections from each specimen, the paraffin block of each specimen was manually semi-quantified on the representative sections (approval no. 12-10-13) and written informed consent was obtained from the patients.

Antibodies. The following antibodies were used for immunostaining: Anti-SOX9 (1:1,500; rabbit polyclonal; cat. no. HPA001758; Atlas Antibodies, Stockholm, Sweden); anti-perlecan (1:6,000; rabbit polyclonal) was established by Saku and Furthmayr as previously described (20); anti-keratin 17 (K17) (1:100; mouse monoclonal; cat. no. M7046; clone E3; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark); and anti-Ki-67 (1:100; mouse monoclonal; cat. no. M7240; clone MIB-1; Dako). For western blotting, a different anti-SOX9 antibody (1:1,000; rabbit monoclonal; cat. no. 82630; clone D8G8H; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Danvers, MA, USA) was used.

Immunohistochemistry. Immunohistochemical analysis of paraffin sections was performed using the ChemMate EnVision system (Dako) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Deparaffinized and dehydrated sections were immersed in 0.3% hydrogen peroxide in methanol for 30 min at room temperature to block endogenous peroxidase activities. For SOX9, K17 and Ki-67 immunostaining, antigen retrieval was performed by first autoclaving sections in citric acid buffer (pH 6.0) at 121˚C for 10 min. To analyze the stromal expression of perlecan, the sections were incubated with hyaluronidase (Type IS, 330 U/μl; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) for 30 min prior to immunostaining. After antigen retrieval, the sections were incubated with 5% milk protein in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 30 min and then with the primary antibodies overnight at 4˚C. After washing with PBS, the sections were reacted with EnVision (Dako) for 60 min at room temperature. Peroxidase reaction products were developed with 3,3'-diaminobenzidine, and the sections were counterstained with hematoxylin. In the control experiments, the primary antibodies were replaced with the appropriate negative control immunoglobulin (cat. no. X0931 for mouse IgG1 and cat. no. X0903 for rabbit Ig fraction; Dako).

SOX9 expression. To investigate the localization of SOX9 in squamous cell epithelial lesions, the combined H&E and immunohistochemical findings were used to first define the foci on a given section on a case-by-case basis, which demonstrated normal epithelia, epithelial dysplasia, and CIS, if present. Nuclear and cytoplasmic positivity was evaluated individually for foci of each type of epithelia and for SCC; foci were positive if they occupied ≥10% of the area of stained cells. For the SCC components, the proportion of the entire area of the SCC demonstrating SOX9-positive cancer cells was manually semi-quantified on the representative sections of each specimen.

Cell lines. Human cell lines derived from OSCC HSC-3 and HSC-4 cells were obtained from Riken BRC Cell Bank (Tsukuba, Japan). To analyze the association between SOX9 expression and metastasis, two cell lines, namely HSC-3, a metastatic cell line that was established from the metastatic lymph node of a 63-year-old man with poorly differentiated SCC and HSC-4, a non-metastatic cell line that was established from a metastatic lymph node in a 63-year-old man with well-differentiated SCC, were selected (21). The cells were maintained in Minimum Essential Medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) under a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂ and 95% air at 37˚C.

Immunofluorescence. The HSC-3 and HSC-4 cells were seeded on a coverslip in 35-mm dishes at a cell concentration of 0.25x10⁵ cells/dish. After 3 days, the cells were washed with PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature. Then, the cells were permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS, and then incubated with 5% milk protein
in PBS at 4°C overnight to block non-specific binding. The cells were incubated with the anti-SOX9 primary antibody for 60 min. After washing with PBS, the cells were reacted with Alexa Fluor™ 568 goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody (1:200; cat. no. A11011; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The coverslips were mounted with ImmunoSelect Antifading Mounting Medium DAPI (Dianova, Hamburg, Germany), and the cells were observed using a Nikon Eclipse E600W immunofluorescence microscope (Nikon Corp., Tokyo, Japan). The fluorescence intensities for SOX9 and DAPI staining were measured using the NIS-Elements AR software (Japan).

**Scratch wound-healing assay.** Artificial wounds were inflicted on the confluent monolayers of HSC-3 and HSC-4 cells in 60-mm culture dishes using a 200-µl pipette tip. Every 6 h for 36 h, the process of migration into the wound areas was monitored under a phase-contrast microscope equipped with a digital camera. Wound surface area was measured on the captured images of four fields using ImageJ (version 1.50i; National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA). Percent (%) closure of the wound surface area was calculated as open surface area/total surface area (surface area at 0 h) x 100.

**Growth curve analysis.** The cells were seeded at a concentration of 0.25x10^5 cells in 60-mm² dishes and incubated at 37°C for 6 days. Thereafter, the cells were counted on days 2, 4 and 6. The average number of proliferating cells was calculated from triplicate experiments.

**Real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR).** HSC-3 and HSC-4 cells were lysed with ISOGEN (Nippon Gene Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) for the extraction of total RNA. cDNA was synthesized from each 5-µg RNA sample using the SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), followed by PCR. RT-PCR was performed with specific primers for SOX9 using a MiniOpticon Real-Time PCR Detection system CFX-3120 (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA). Gene expression levels were quantified by the ΔΔCq method (22) using CFX Manager Software version 2.1 (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The expression of the peptidylprolyl isomerase A (PPIA) gene was used to normalize for variance, and the expression levels of specific genes were represented as ratios relative to the expression level of PPIA from the same master reaction. PCR primer pairs used for each gene were: 5'-AGCTCTGGAGACTCTGAGCGAG-3' and 5'-CGTCTTCTACGACTTCC TCC-3' for SOX9; and 5'-GCAGTTGTTGTAATCTCTGA AAC-3' and 5'-TGCCCTAGGAATACATTACAGAC-3' for PPIA.

**Western blotting.** For the extraction of total protein, the HSC-3 and HSC-4 cells were lysed at day 5 after seeding with M-PERTM Mammalian Protein Extraction reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Thereafter, the cell lysates were used for western blotting as described elsewhere (23). Primary antibody for SOX9 was used at a dilution of 1:1,000. Labeled protein bands were visualized using the ECL Prime Western Blotting Detection system (GE Healthcare UK, Ltd., Little Chalfont, UK).

---

**Statistical analysis.** The association between SOX9 expression profiles and the patient characteristics were studied using Chi-squared test (n=49). Survival curves (disease-specific survival and relapse-free survival) were plotted using the Kaplan-Meier method (n=49), and statistical differences were compared using the Wilcoxon test (n=49). A P-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Differences in cell growth and migration between the HSC-3 and HSC-4 cells were assessed by the Student's t-test (growth, n=6; migration, n=4). All statistical analyses were performed using XLSTAT-Premium (Addinsoft Corp., New York, NY, USA).

**Results**

**SOX9 expression profiles in oral epithelial lesions.** Epithelial dysplasia, CIS and SCC were differentiated according to the expression of perlecan (24), Ki-67 (25) and K17 (26). The tissue specimens from the 49 patients contained 29 foci of normal epithelia, 48 foci of epithelial dysplasia and 41 foci of CIS (Table I). In normal epithelia (Fig. 1A-E), very weak staining for SOX9 in the nuclei of parabasal cells was detected in 16 of the 29 foci (Fig. 1B), and no signals were detectable in the rest. In epithelial dysplasia with a two-phase appearance (moderate degree) (Fig. 1F-J), SOX9 positivity was mainly observed in the basal zone, including the first layer of epithelium (Fig. 1N), whereas the upper half layer (nearer the surface) was positive for K17 (Fig. 1O). Nuclear positivity for SOX9 was detected throughout the epithelial layer (Fig. 1L) in 38 of the 41 foci. Perlecan was abundantly expressed in the intraepithelial space (Fig. 1M). The number of SOX9-positive cells was significantly higher than the number of Ki-67-positive cells (Fig. 1L and N).

In the invasive nests of SCC (Fig. 1P-T), SOX9 was strongly expressed in SCC cells in 45 of the 49 cases (Fig. 1Q), and perlecan was abundantly expressed in the stromal space around the nests (Fig. 1R). K17 positivity was mainly observed in the centers of SCC nests (Fig. 1T), and was not always coincident with the area demonstrating SOX9-positive cells. The immunolocalization of SOX9

---

**Table I. SOX9 expression profiles in oral epithelial lesions.**

| Lesion              | No. of foci | SOX9-positive foci, n (%) |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| Normal epithelia    | 29          | 16 (55)                 |
| Epithelial dysplasia| 48          | 38 (79)                 |
| CIS                 | 41          | 38 (93)                 |
| SCC                 | 49          | 43 (88)                 |

SOX9, SRY-box 9; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma; CIS, carcinoma in situ.
was observed in the nuclei of the SCC cells in 43 of the 45 cases (Fig. 2A and B). Intriguingly, in 43 of the 45 cases, positive signals for SOX9 were observed in both the cytoplasm and nuclei of SCC cells (Fig. 2C and D); however, cytoplasmic staining was not detectable in epithelial dysplasia and CIS (Table I). In addition, in some subdivisions of the SCC cell areas, SOX9 positivity was found only in the cytoplasm (Fig. 2E and F).

**Correlation between SOX9 localization and clinicopathological factors.** After determining the proportions of the nuclear and cytoplasmic SOX9-positive areas to the entire area of the SCC, 49 tumors were categorized into two groups using a cut-off point of 25% SOX9 positivity for both nuclear and cytoplasmic proportions. Consequently, 39 tumors (80%) were categorized as having high nuclear expression and 35 tumors (71%) were categorized as exhibiting high cytoplasmic expression. The correlation of high nuclear and cytoplasmic expression of SOX9 with the clinicopathological factors is summarized in Table II. High nuclear SOX9 expression did not correlate with any clinicopathological factors. However, high cytoplasmic SOX9 expression significantly correlated with regional recurrence (P=0.026) and female gender (P=0.037), although both nuclear and cytoplasmic SOX9 expression did not correlate with the pathological T factor, clinical stage or histologic grade.

Additionally, we examined the metastatic cervical lymph nodes in 12 of the 14 cases with high cytoplasmic SOX9 expression in the primary lesion. Similar to the primary lesions (Fig. 2G), the metastatic SCC cells demonstrated cytoplasmic SOX9 expression (Fig. 2H).

**Cytoplasmic SOX9 positivity is significantly correlated with poor clinical outcomes.** High nuclear SOX9 expression was not significantly correlated with disease-specific survival (Fig. 3A, P=0.715) or relapse-free survival (Fig. 3B, P=0.588). However, high cytoplasmic SOX9 expression was significantly correlated with both shorter disease-specific survival (Fig. 3C, P=0.044) and relapse-free survival (Fig. 3D, P=0.040).

**Cytoplasmic expression of SOX9 is correlated with higher cell migration ability.** Immunofluorescence staining revealed that SOX9 was localized in both the nuclei and cytoplasm of HSC-3 cells (Fig. 4A). In contrast, the localization of SOX9 was limited to the nuclei in HSC-4 cells (Fig. 4B). Both mRNA and protein expression levels of SOX9 were much higher in HSC-3 cells than these levels in HSC-4 cells (Fig. 4C and D). Although there was no significant difference in cell growth (Fig. 4E), migration ability was higher in HSC-3 cells than that in HSC-4 cells (Fig. 4F). Taken together, cytoplasmic SOX9 expression in HSC-3 cells might be related to the higher migration ability of HSC-3 cells.
Discussion

In the present study, we demonstrated for the first time the elevated expression of SOX9 in oral epithelial lesions, including epithelial dysplasia, CIS and SCC through immunohistochemical analysis and posited that the cytoplasmic expression of SOX9 in SCC cells is a potential prognostic factor for OSCC.

We successfully demonstrated that SOX9 expression was elevated in a stepwise manner in epithelial dysplasia, CIS and SCC. However, only weak signals were detected in the parabasal cells of the normal epithelia (Fig. 1). Similar to our results, several studies have reported that compared with non-neoplastic cells in various organs, cancer cells express SOX9 at higher levels (6,7,11). In epithelial dysplasia and CIS, SOX9-positive cells were distributed in the layer farther from the surface, whereas the number of Ki-67-positive proliferating cells was higher; these data suggest that SOX9 expression is positively correlated with cell proliferation during carcinogenesis. The reduction of endogenous SOX9
expression by RNA interference together with the increased expression of the cell cycle inhibitor p27 in prostatic cancer cell lines has been shown to result in growth arrest (7). Meanwhile, the knockdown of SOX9 along with the upregulation of p21 in lung adenocarcinoma cell lines has been reported to inhibit cell growth (27). Conversely, in esophageal SCC cells, SOX9 overexpression has been shown to induce cell proliferation and tumorigenicity through the activation of PI3K/Akt signaling (11). Besides, SOX9 expression is regulated by the Wnt signaling pathway (7). Consistent with our previous report, the nuclear transition of β-catenin was observed in the lower layer of epithelial dysplasia (28), suggesting that the upregulation of SOX9 expression in oral mucosal epithelial cells may be controlled by the Wnt pathway.

### Table II. Relationship between clinicopathological factors of the OSCC cases and SOX9 expression.

| Clinicopathological factors | Nuclear SOX9 | Cytoplasmic SOX9 |
|-----------------------------|--------------|------------------|
|                             | n | High | Low | P-value | High | Low | P-value |
| Age (years)                 |   |      |     |         |      |     |         |
| <Median                     | 24 | 20   | 4   | 0.524   | 16   | 8   | 0.470   |
| ≥Median                     | 25 | 19   | 6   |          | 19   | 6   |         |
| Sex                         |   |      |     |         |      |     |         |
| Male                        | 27 | 21   | 6   | 0.727   | 16   | 11  | 0.037   |
| Female                      | 22 | 18   | 4   |          | 19   | 3   |         |
| Tumor site                  |   |      |     |         |      |     |         |
| Tongue                      | 28 | 21   | 7   | 0.796   | 22   | 6   | 0.246   |
| Buccal mucosa               | 5  | 5    | 0   |          | 3    | 2   |         |
| Oral floor                  | 5  | 4    | 1   |          | 2    | 3   |         |
| Gingiva                     | 7  | 6    | 1   |          | 6    | 1   |         |
| Palate                      | 1  | 1    | 0   |          | 1    | 0   |         |
| Other                       | 3  | 2    | 1   |          | 1    | 2   |         |
| Pathological T factor       |   |      |     |         |      |     |         |
| T1                          | 17 | 15   | 2   | 0.567   | 12   | 5   | 0.827   |
| T2                          | 26 | 19   | 7   |          | 18   | 8   |         |
| T3                          | 2  | 2    | 0   |          | 2    | 0   |         |
| T4                          | 4  | 3    | 1   |          | 3    | 1   |         |
| Lymph node metastasis       |   |      |     |         |      |     |         |
| Absent                      | 38 | 31   | 7   | 0.521   | 27   | 11  | 0.914   |
| Present                     | 11 | 8    | 3   |          | 8    | 3   |         |
| Clinical stage              |   |      |     |         |      |     |         |
| I                           | 17 | 15   | 2   | 0.388   | 12   | 5   | 0.561   |
| II                          | 23 | 16   | 7   |          | 15   | 8   |         |
| III                         | 3  | 3    | 0   |          | 3    | 0   |         |
| IV                          | 6  | 5    | 1   |          | 5    | 1   |         |
| Histological grade          |   |      |     |         |      |     |         |
| G1                          | 42 | 32   | 10  | 0.148   | 30   | 12  | 0.694   |
| G2 + G3                     | 7  | 7    | 0   |          | 5    | 2   |         |
| Local recurrence            |   |      |     |         |      |     |         |
| Absent                      | 40 | 33   | 7   | 0.287   | 29   | 11  | 0.726   |
| Present                     | 9  | 6    | 3   |          | 6    | 3   |         |
| Regional recurrence         |   |      |     |         |      |     |         |
| Absent                      | 30 | 24   | 6   | 0.929   | 18   | 12  | 0.026   |
| Present                     | 19 | 15   | 4   |          | 17   | 2   |         |
| Late distant metastasis     |   |      |     |         |      |     |         |
| Absent                      | 36 | 30   | 6   | 0.280   | 23   | 13  | 0.052   |
| Present                     | 13 | 9    | 4   |          | 12   | 1   |         |

SOX9, SRY-box 9; OSCC, oral squamous cell carcinoma.
The present study revealed that SOX9 expression was localized in the cytoplasm as well as nuclei of SCC cells but not of the cells in epithelial dysplasia and CIS (Fig. 1 and Table I). Chakravarty et al reported that in the invasive ductal carcinoma of the breast, SOX9 accumulation in the cytoplasm was correlated with enhanced cell proliferation (8). We speculate that the elevated SOX9 expression in this study resulted in its accumulation in the cytoplasm given the fact that cytoplasmic SOX9 was not detected in HSC-4 cells, which only weakly expressed SOX9, but was detected in HSC-3 cells, which showed strongly expressed SOX9 (Fig. 4). Malki et al demonstrated the retention of synthesized SOX9 in the cytoplasm via interaction with the microtubule network in male pre-Sertoli cells (29). Cytoplasmic SOX9 expression in SCC cells may be induced through a similar mechanism. However, more detailed investigations should be conducted since cytoplasmic protein localization also results from post-translational modifications, such as acetylation (30,31).

Furthermore, we demonstrated that cytoplasmic SOX9 expression in a higher proportion of SCC cells was significantly correlated with the late occurrence of lymph node metastasis and shorter relapse-free and disease-specific survival (Table II and Fig. 3); these data indicate that SOX9 could be a useful prognostic marker for OSCC, similar to its use in various types of human cancers (8,9,11). SOX9 orchestrates the cellular dynamics that involve migration, invasion and metastasis in addition to cell proliferation (18). Larsimont et al demonstrated that SOX9 was found to positively regulate the expression of several ECM components (collagens and laminins), cell adhesion (integrins), and key regulators of actin cytoskeleton dynamics (gelsolin and palladin) in mouse models of cutaneous basal cell carcinoma (18).

Moreover, SOX9 is known to promote epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) together with SLUG (32,33), one of the key transcriptional factors in EMT (34). When SOX9 and SLUG were overexpressed in breast cancer cells, they cooperatively facilitated EMT, resulting in elevated tumorigenesis and metastasis (32). In addition, SOX9 and SLUG synergistically activated the expression of tenasin C and periostin, which are important ECM components in cancer invasion and metastasis (33).

In the present study, we illustrated the elevated deposition of perlecan around SOX9-positive CIS cells and in the stromal spaces in SCC (Fig. 1). HSPG2, which encodes perlecan, is one of the key target genes of SOX9 (18). In contrast, perlecan is required for the induction of SOX9 expression in chondrogenic differentiation (35). Therefore, a positive feedback loop between SOX9 and perlecan appears to act in cancer progression. Regarding K17, which is highly expressed in oral epithelial malignancies (26) and
promotes cell proliferation and migration (36), we observed no evidence of colocalization with SOX9. Further investigation is warranted to determine the potential role of SOX9 in regulating K17 expression.

In conclusion, SOX9 may play important roles in the carcinogenesis and progression of OSCC. SOX9 expression represents a potential marker for predicting poor prognosis in patients with OSCC. However, future studies are warranted to elucidate the precise mechanisms underlying the cytoplasmic localization of SOX9 expression.
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