Formation of ammonia bisulfate in coal-fired power plant equipped with SCR reactors and the effect of reduced load operation
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Abstract. Coal fired power plant are still responsible for vast amount of electricity and heat production in Poland in 2019. Increasing number of units is equipped with SCR reactors in order to mitigate NOx emission. Older units have been designed to work in a base load operation, however increasing number of intermittent energy sources on the market, forces power plant owners to operate such units in reduced load for significant number of hours in a year. Most of parameters in coal-fired boiler would change in reduced load operation, particularly flue gas parameters. That would affect the formation of ammonia bisulfate (NH₄HSO₄) as well as operation of SCR reactors in the boiler. The NH₄HSO₄ formation is highly undesired as it can plug the catalyst or heating surfaces in the boiler. To investigate this phenomenon, the NH₄HSO₄ formation was comprehensively studied. Moreover, reduced load operation of boiler in a medium size CHP plant was simulated, using computer modelling tools. Obtained parameters were used to analyse, how different flue gas parameters affect NH₄HSO₄ formation and what consequences does it have for boiler operation. In particular, effect related with operation of SCR reactors equipped with V₂O₅-WO₃/TiO₂ catalyst was deeply studied.

1. Introduction

Ammonia bisulfates formation is one of the major problems related to DeNOx installations, such as SCR (Selective Catalytic Reduction) or SNCR (Selective Non-Catalytic Reduction), in solid fuels power plants. Ammonia (NH₃) that can escapes from these installations reacts with sulfur trioxide, forming compounds such as ammonium bisulfates NH₄HSO₄ and ammonium sulfates (NH₄)₂SO₄. The problem is related with the first one in particular - salt which at a temperature below its condensation point forms sticky substance that covers porous structure of the SCR catalysts, as well as it can contaminate surfaces of the rotary air heater and the electrostatic precipitator [1] [2] [3] [4]. Because it condensates at a certain temperature, region that can be affected by plugging depends on the boiler load. The NH₄HSO₄ also passes in solid form to the fly ash, which results in increased ammonia content in the combustion by products.

2. SO₃ formation

The SO₃ in flue gas exists because of SO₂ to SO₃ conversion. Due to the fact that SO₃ concentration is crucial for ammonia bisulfate formation, it is vital to discuss this mechanism in details. Sulfur in the combustion chamber is delivered together with the fuel. Modern solid fuels boilers use a division of the combustion process into a reduction zone (with oxygen deficiency) and a post-combustion zone (with excess oxygen). During combustion, sulfur contained in coal is oxidized according to the following reaction:

\[ S + O_2 -> SO_2 \]  \quad (1)

The largest amount of SO₂ is formed in the first stage of combustion. Then, some part of SO₂ is converted to SO₃. The main parameters that support this process is temperature, the residence time of the particle, oxygen concentration, as well as the composition and size of the mineral fraction in fuel. The effect of temperature on the degree of conversion begins to be visible above 700°C. However, the influence of temperature on the conversion process is small compared with catalytic influence, discussed in below [5]. Overall conversion in combustion zone is up to 2% [6].

Above the area of the boiler where the combustion process has been completed, sulfur is mainly present in the form of SO₂. The SO₃ concentration depends on the sulfur content in fuel. Also, elements such as iron, nickel, wolfram, titanium and vanadium show catalytic properties for the conversion of SO₂ to SO₃. Thus, along with the increase of these elements in the fuel, the potential SO₃ emission will also increase. On the other hand, the presence of alkaline elements may prevent this process by binding both SO₂ and SO₃ in the form of sulfates (e.g. K₂SO₄, Na₂SO₄) at temperature below 1000°C. The highest intensity of catalytic reactions is recorded at a temperature of about 700°C and their impact on the overall level of SO₂ to SO₃ conversion is much greater than other factors. Table 1
shows the influence of temperature and the above-mentioned catalysts on the SO₂ conversion process. It can be seen, that at temperature of 700°C as much as 95% of the total conversion occurs due to the action of catalysts [7].

**Table 1.** Effect of temperature and catalysis on SO₂ to SO₃ conversion rate, based on [7]

| Temperature | Conversion rate [%] | Catalytic effect on conversion [%] |
|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Temp. effect | Total conversion     |                                   |
| 400°C       | 0.041                | 0.042                             |
| 500°C       | 0.062                | 0.077                             |
| 700°C       | 0.098                | 1.784                             |
| 900°C       | 0.361                | 1.308                             |
| 1000°C      | 0.773                | 1.791                             |

As noted above, vanadium oxide (V₂O₅) being part of the SCR catalyst has catalytic properties when converting SO₂ to SO₃. In modern catalysts, the conversion rate on SCR installations is roughly 1-2%. According to Lu et al. [6], conversion increases in the presence of ammonia in flue gas as well as in the presence of V₂O₅, WO₃ and TiO₂. These compounds constitute a catalyst, that is most often applied in SCR reactors. Also, if the ratio NH₃/NO is higher than 0.6, the conversion raises. The effect of oxygen concentration, NO concentration as well as Al₂O₃ is relatively small on the other hand. Also the conversion rate drops when the amount of SiO₂ and BaO increases [6].

Taking this into account, it is important to ensure that SCR installation operates at its optimal conditions. Operational parameters (such as NOₓ concentration, temperature and flue gas flow before installation) should be observed. The essence of the exhaust gas temperature before the SCR installation is particularly important - when it is too low, there is an increased slip of ammonia, when it is too high - increased amount of sulfur trioxide can be formed [8].

In the zone of the rotary air heater, the sulfur trioxide SO₃ reacts with the steam to form sulfuric acid (VI) according to the equation:

\[
\text{SO}_3 \text{(g)} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \text{(g)}
\]  

(2)

This reaction takes place in temperature range between 204°C and 426°C. At temperatures below 204°C, sulfuric acid is also in the form of an aerosol [8]. Sulfuric (VI) acid is dangerous at temperatures below its condensation point, bringing risk of corrosion occurrence. The temperature of this point increases proportionally to the partial pressure of water vapor and SO₃ in exhaust gases. A typical temperature range in which H₂SO₄ condenses is in the range of 95-160°C. The increased SO₃ content can therefore lead to sulfuric acid condensation at the cold end of the air heater. The relationship between the sulfuric acid condensation temperature and SO₃ concentration in the flue gas after the rotating air preheater, is presented in the Table 2 [9] [10].

**Table 2.** The relationship between the sulfuric acid condensation temperature and SO₃ concentration

| Acid dew point | SO₃ concentration |
|----------------|-------------------|
| 155°C          | 60 ppm            |
| 146°C          | 30 ppm            |
| 124°C          | 5 ppm (desired value) |

Although there is a high probability that the flue gas will cool below the acid dew point temperature, the first signs of corrosion caused by sulfuric acid condensation should be expected only at temperatures below 70-80°C [10]. Above this temperature, condensing sulfuric acid will rather bind sticking ash particles together which, however, does not lead to the corrosion of particular surfaces. Another problem for rotating air preheater is further discussed occurrence of liquid ammonium bisulfate [5].

### 3. SO₃ concentration in flue gas

Considering the pulverized coal boiler, it can be estimated that the level of sulfur dioxide emissions is, for the nominal load operation, in the range from about 1200 mg / m³ (458 ppm) to about 2500 mg / m³ (955 ppm), depending on the sulfur content in the fuel (from 0.7% to 1.1%). Assuming the SO₂ to SO₃ conversion (before SCR) equals to 2%, the corresponding range of SO₃ concentration in the furnace chamber is from about 9.2 ppm to 19.1 ppm. Part of SO₃ reacts with alkaline elements in fly ash to form compounds that settle on the boiler heating surfaces (e.g. K₂SO₄, Na₂SO₄). The associated SO₃ reduction will therefore be directly proportional to the alkali content in fly ash. Part of SO₃/H₂SO₄ is also adsorbed on the fly ash particles. After the flue gas passes through successive layers of the SCR installation, the SO₃ concentration increases accordingly: about 5 ppm in the first catalyst layer, about 6 ppm in the second catalyst layer and about 6.5 ppm in the third catalyst layer [8]. The above values may increase when the SCR installation is turned off and the exhaust gas is not bypassed (increase by approx. 30%). The reason for this is the main component of SCR catalysts - vanadium oxide - which also acts as a catalyst for the conversion of SO₂ to SO₃. Besides that, the increased flue gas temperature and reduced flue gas flow can also influence conversion [8].

Occurrence of ammonia bisulfate in the boiler is important from power plant operation point of view, because it can easily deposit on heating surfaces as well as on SCR catalysts. Moreover, it aggregates fly ash particles carried by flue gas. Plugged heating surfaces would affect heat transfer while plugged catalyst surface would have lower activity. Temperature of ABS condensation has been subjected to numerous studies. There is no consistency for...
the results though. According to Shi et al. [11] temperature of ABS condensation is in the range of 190°C - 240°C, but other authors indicate, that risk of ABS formation may occur at any temperature. Ammonia bisulfate can be removed when flue gas temperature is higher than 400°C, but this can bring about issues related with catalyst sintering on the other hand. Temperature of ABS condensation is also related to the pores structure of the catalyst. For smaller pores, temperature may increase due to the capillary forces [12] [13]. It can be concluded, that the lower the temperature of SCR operation is, the higher the risk of ammonia bisulfate condensation will be. It is also important to notice, that SCR catalysts producers indicate that proper temperature for the installation should be between 300°C and 400°C.

4. Modelling of coal-fired boiler operation

Modelling of the coal-fired boiler was made using Ebsilon Professional, the software for thermodynamic simulation of power units [14]. By utilizing this tool, it is possible to design and simulate single devices as well as technological systems for power or heat production. In coal-fired boiler, temperature of flue gas is not measured in every single region of the boiler. Besides that, in the first pass of the boiler, temperatures are too high to install traditional measurement sensors, which leaves room for acoustic temperature measurement systems only. The latter are usually used in combination with SNCR technologies, in order to seek for desired temperature window in real time. However, if the boiler is not equipped with proper flue gas temperature measurements, the only way to find out desired value of temperature, is to simulate the boiler operation. Because, from the SCR operation point of view, it is crucial to understand the temperature distribution, an pulverized coal-fired units with nominal capacity of 430 t/h was simulated. The schematic view of the boiler is shown on Fig. 1 while the corresponding thermodynamic model layout is shown on Fig. 2. What can be notice from Fig. 1, is that simulated boiler has only one stage of steam superheating, and the SCR installation is installed downstream the water heater. What can also be noticed from Fig. 1, is that SCR reactor has two catalytic layers and the third one is left empty. That is often the case for new installations, where the third layer is added after the overall activity of the installation drops under the required limit.

Fig. 1. Schematic view on the pulverized coal boiler with 430 t/h nominal capacity, equipped with DeNOx SCR installation.

Fig. 2. Layout of the coal-fired boiler model in Ebsilon Professional software.

Fig. 3. Flue gas temperature distribution in 100% boiler load.
5. Model outcomes and discussion

Based on the model outcomes, flue gas temperature distribution along the boiler was determined for nominal (100%) and minimal (60%) load. Results are shown on Fig. 3 and Fig. 4. Rectangles marked with capital letter (A-D), represent areas of the boiler, from where heat is conveyed (through the radiation and convection mechanism) to superheaters and other heat exchangers installed in the boiler. Temperatures indicated by black dots, are calculated as an average value for the cross section between regions A-D. In order to simulate exact temperature in every single place of the boiler, more advance simulation techniques, like Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD), must be applied. Because the region D represent heat absorbed the water heater, that means temperature calculated downstream this region is also the temperature at the inlet of SCR installation. The temperature downstream the SCR installation shown on Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 (transparent dot), is adopted from power plant measurement system, as the model does not include calculations for temperature drop at the SCR installation.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, mechanism of ammonia bisulfate formation was comprehensively described. Also, the mechanism of the SO₂ to SO₃ conversion in coal-fired boiler was discussed. In order to investigated temperature of SCR installation operation, the thermodynamic model of an 430 t/h capacity coal-fired boiler was simulated in nominal and minimal load operation. The ammonia bisulfate is dangerous for boiler operation, because it contaminates active surface of the SCR catalyst as well as the cold end of rotating air preheaters. To avoid, or at least reduce issues related with ammonia bisulfate, it is crucial to maintain proper flue gas temperature as well as prevent excessive ammonia slip and SO₃ formation.
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