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Alcohol-dysregulated miR-30a and miR-934 in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma
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Abstract

Background: Alcohol consumption is a well-established risk factor for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC); however, the molecular mechanisms by which alcohol promotes HNSCC pathogenesis and progression remain poorly understood. Our study sought to identify microRNAs that are dysregulated in alcohol-associated HNSCC and investigate their contribution to the malignant phenotype.

Method: Using RNA-sequencing data from 136 HNSCC patients, we compared the expression levels of 1,046 microRNAs between drinking and non-drinking cohorts. Dysregulated microRNAs were verified by qRT-PCR in normal oral keratinocytes treated with biologically relevant doses of ethanol and acetaldehyde. The most promising microRNA candidates were investigated for their effects on cellular proliferation and invasion, sensitivity to cisplatin, and expression of cancer stem cell genes. Finally, putative target genes were identified and evaluated in vitro to further establish roles for these miRNAs in alcohol-associated HNSCC.

Results: From RNA-sequencing analysis we identified 8 miRNAs to be significantly upregulated in alcohol-associated HNSCCs. qRT-PCR experiments determined that among these candidates, miR-30a and miR-934 were the most highly upregulated in vitro by alcohol and acetaldehyde. Overexpression of miR-30a and miR-934 in normal and HNSCC cell lines produced up to a 2-fold increase in cellular proliferation, as well as induction of the anti-apoptotic gene BCL-2. Upon inhibition of these miRNAs, HNSCC cell lines exhibited increased sensitivity to cisplatin and reduced matrigel invasion. miRNA knockdown also indicated direct targeting of several tumor suppressor genes by miR-30a and miR-934.

Conclusions: Alcohol induces the dysregulation of miR-30a and miR-934, which may play crucial roles in HNSCC pathogenesis and progression. Future investigation of the alcohol-mediated pathways effecting these transformations will prove valuable for furthering the understanding and treatment of alcohol-associated HNSCC.
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through acetaldehyde, the first metabolite of ethanol and an established carcinogen [6, 7].

Recently, numerous studies have revealed that microRNAs (miRNAs) play a role in the initiation and progression of many cancers, including HNSCC. miRNAs are a class of non-coding RNAs 19–25 nucleotides in length that can regulate gene expression by binding to the partially complementary regions of messenger RNA targets. It is proposed that miRNAs regulate up to a third of protein coding genes, in turn impacting myriad cellular processes including cellular growth, differentiation, self-renewal, apoptosis, survival, and migration [8, 9]. Analyzing the expression of miRNAs in cancer compared to normal tissue and investigating the functional significance of their dysregulation may be pivotal for improving early diagnosis, predicting prognosis, and establishing specific therapeutics. Several studies have previously revealed aberrations in miRNA expression in HNSCC tumors [10–12], but conclusive biomarkers have yet to be established [8]. Furthermore, there is little evidence about how miRNA dysregulation relates to many HNSCC clinical risk factors, which may prove vital for a clearer molecular understanding of how these factors play a role in carcinogenesis. One previous study analyzed the association between four pre-selected miRNAs and certain clinical features and risk factors, including alcohol consumption. Using multivariate comparisons, it found that miR-375 was associated with tumor site, stage, and alcohol consumption in HNSCC [13]. Our study aimed to expand current understanding of the link between alcohol consumption and miRNA expression by using next-generation RNA-sequencing data from 136 HNSCC patients to identify differentially expressed candidates among 1,046 annotated miRNAs. We subsequently investigated the alcohol-associated miRNAs in normal oral keratinocyte cell cultures in order to establish if these miRNAs may be crucial for their malignant transformation. After selecting the miRNAs that were the most dysregulated by alcohol and acetaldehyde, we evaluated how modulation of their expression would induce changes in cellular proliferation, sensitivity to cisplatin, and invasion, and finally sought to identify their mRNA targets. The results of this study demonstrate that alcohol consumption regulates several miRNAs that likely play significant roles in the alcohol-associated carcinogenesis of HNSCC.

Results
Identification of microRNAs associated with alcohol consumption in HNSCC

From the TCGA database, we selected 136 HNSCC patients with miRNA-sequencing data providing normalized expression values for 1,046 miRNAs, as well as clinical data documenting both alcohol consumption (drinks per day) and smoking status (pack-years smoked). Using a Kaplan-Meier survival analysis, we were able to verify that our patient cohort demonstrated the risk of alcohol, with patients who consumed alcohol experiencing significantly lower survival rates (Fig. 1a). To account for the two major risk factors of HNSCC, we sorted our patient cohort into 4 groups: (1) non-smoking non-drinkers, (2) nonsmoking drinkers, (3) smoking non-drinkers, and (4) smoking drinkers (Table 1). In order to identify miRNAs that were dysregulated due to alcohol consumption alone, we compared miRNA expression in drinkers and non-drinkers within both the smoking and non-smoking cohorts. Additionally, we compared miRNA expression and drinkers and non-drinkers using the entire patient population, regardless of smoking status (Fig. 1b-c). From our analysis, we identified 8 miRNAs that were significantly upregulated (p < 0.05) in alcohol consumers compared to non-drinkers in all three of our comparisons (Fig. 1d-e, Table 2).

In vitro ethanol treatment validates alcohol-induced microRNA dysregulation

In order to verify that the miRNAs we identified were associated with alcohol consumption, we performed in vitro ethanol treatment using early passage oral epithelial culture cells OKF4 and OKF6. We used normal oral epithelial cells in order to address whether alcohol directly promotes dysregulation of our identified miRNAs as an early event in malignant transformation. We first assessed the viability of our cell lines under a large range of ethanol doses (0-10 % by volume) over 48 h using an MTS assay and found that there was no significant toxicity up to 1 % (Additional file 1: Figure S1). In order to mimic short- and long-term exposure to alcohol, we treated our cell cultures with biologically relevant concentrations of ethanol for a period of 1, 2, or 4 weeks, using a range of doses resembling the blood alcohol levels attained by social drinkers to heavy drinkers, 0.1 % (17 mM) and 0.3 % (51 mM) by volume, respectively, with 1 % (51 mM) ethanol serving as the upper limit control (see Methods). Of the eight miRNAs that we identified from the clinical data, miR-30a-5p, miR-934, miR-3164, and miR-3178 were upregulated from long-term (4-week) ethanol exposure in both OKF4 and OKF6 (Figs. 2a and c). Additionally, two other miRNAs, miR-133a and miR-3138, were upregulated in the alcohol-consuming patients in two categories and also verified in vitro (Fig. 2c). We next sought to investigate what duration of ethanol exposure in the normal cells was sufficient to induce overexpression of these miRNAs. While 1-week ethanol exposure resulted in the up-regulation of miR-934 and miR-3178 in OKF4 (Additional file 1: Figure S1), we found that 4-week
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Fig. 1 (See legend on next page.)
ethanol exposure was necessary in order to generate consistent upregulation of the miRNAs of interest. In addition, we exposed the established HNSCC cell lines UMSCC-10B and UMSCC-22B to ethanol in order to assess the dysregulation of our candidate miRNAs in cancer cells. Shorter-term 1- and 2-week ethanol treatments were both sufficient to result in overexpression of miR-30a, miR-934, miR-3138, miR-3164, and miR-3178 (Fig. 2e).

In vitro acetaldehyde treatment further validates alcohol-induced microRNA dysregulation

Although alcohol consumption has been determined to be an independent risk factor for HNSCC, the precise

Table 1 Summary of patient demographics and clinicopathological characteristics (n = 136)

|                         | All No. (%) | Non–Drinker (27) | Drinker (109) |
|-------------------------|-------------|------------------|---------------|
|                         | Smoker No. (%) | Non–Smoker No. (%) | Smoker No. (%) | Non–Smoker No. (%) |
| Gender                  |             |                  |               |
| Male                    | 109 (80 %)   | 9 (60 %)         | 86 (86 %)     | 7 (78 %)         |
| Female                  | 27 (20 %)    | 6 (40 %)         | 14 (14 %)     | 2 (22 %)         |
| Lifetime pack–years smoked a |             |                  |               |
| None                    | 21 (15 %)    | 0 (0 %)          | 0 (0 %)       |                |
| >0–40                   | 40 (29 %)    | 7 (47 %)         | 32 (32 %)     | N/A             |
| >40                     | 49 (36 %)    | 4 (27 %)         | 44 (44 %)     |                |
| Drinks per day          |             |                  |               |
| None                    | 27 (20 %)    | N/A              | 80 (80 %)     | 4 (44 %)        |
| 0–2                     | 25 (18 %)    | N/A              | 20 (20 %)     | 5 (56 %)        |
| >2                      | 84 (62 %)    |                 | 80 (80 %)     | 4 (44 %)        |
| Vital Status            |             |                  |               |
| Deceased                | 42 (31 %)    | 6 (40 %)         | 33 (33 %)     | 1 (11 %)        |
| Living                  | 94 (69 %)    | 9 (60 %)         | 67 (67 %)     | 8 (89 %)        |
| Tumor Site              |             |                  |               |
| Oral                    | 92 (68 %)    | 12 (80 %)        | 65 (65 %)     | 6 (67 %)        |
| Pharyngeal              | 15 (11 %)    | 0 (0 %)          | 9 (9 %)       | 3 (33 %)        |
| Laryngeal               | 29 (21 %)    | 3 (20 %)         | 26 (26 %)     | 0 (0 %)         |
| Stage b                 |             |                  |               |
| Low (I, II)             | 28 (25 %)    | 2 (13 %)         | 23 (28 %)     | 1 (14 %)        |
| High (III, IV)          | 84 (75 %)    | 13 (87 %)        | 60 (72 %)     | 6 (86 %)        |
| Grade                   |             |                  |               |
| GX                      | 4 (3 %)      | 0 (0 %)          | 3 (3 %)       | 1 (11 %)        |
| G1–G2                   | 92 (68 %)    | 13 (87 %)        | 65 (65 %)     | 5 (56 %)        |
| G3–G4                   | 40 (29 %)    | 2 (13 %)         | 32 (32 %)     | 3 (33 %)        |
| Total                   | 136          | 15               | 100           | 9               |

*a 26 patient data not available
*b 24 patient data not available
mechanism by which alcohol exerts this effect remains unclear, since ethanol itself is not carcinogenic. It has been postulated that acetaldehyde, the first metabolite of ethanol, contributes to alcohol-associated cancer risk, as it has been shown to produce mutagenic effects such as chromosomal aberrations and DNA cross-links [6]. While the bulk of alcohol metabolism is carried out in the liver, it may also take place via intracellular enzymes in the esophageal and oral mucosa [7]. Furthermore, acetaldehyde is also formed in high concentrations in saliva by the oral microflora [14]. In order to further verify that our selected miRNAs play a role in alcohol-related pathogenesis, we used physiologically relevant doses of acetaldehyde as determined by saliva concentrations in alcohol consumers [14], ranging from 75 μM to 300 μM to represent light to heavy drinking habits. A 24 h MTS assay was performed to test a large range of acetaldehyde doses and their effects on cellular viability. We show that at the clinically relevant doses, there is an inconsequential difference in cellular proliferation (Additional file 1: Figure S1). Upon exposure to acetaldehyde treatment, the normal epithelial cells showed a similar increase in expression of the same 6 miRNAs as the long-term ethanol treatment (Fig. 2b and d). Meanwhile, acetaldehyde-exposed UMCCC-10B and UMCCC-22B similarly overexpressed miR-30a, miR-934, miR-3138, miR-3164, and miR-3178 (Fig. 2f). Taken together, our in vitro data suggests that the dysregulation of these miRNAs are associated with alcohol consumption and metabolism, and may even play an early role in the malignant transformation of normal oral cells.

Overexpression of miR-30a and miR-934 induces the expression of BCL-2 and increases cellular proliferation

To functionally characterize the effects of our identified alcohol-regulated miRNAs, we investigated their influence on cellular proliferation as well as their relationship with established cancer genes, including the anti-apoptotic gene BCL-2. We selected miR-30a-5p and miR-934 for functional characterization, as they were the most consistently dysregulated in the clinical data, ethanol treatments, and acetaldehyde treatments. Experiments were performed in the HNSCC cell lines UMCCC-10B and UMCCC-22B as proof of principle, since the normal early passage cell cultures are highly sensitive to transfection reagents. Transfection efficiency

| miRNA ID | Fold change (Drinkers/Nondrinkers) | P-value | Comparison |
|----------|------------------------------------|---------|------------|
| hsa-mir-3178 | 7.59 | 0.0398 | Drinkers Vs. Nondrinkers (Non-smokers) |
|           | 5.18 | 0.0004 | Drinkers Vs. Nondrinkers (Smokers) |
|           | 5.77 | 1.4659E-05 | Drinkers Vs. Nondrinkers (Overall) |
| hsa-mir-675 | 3.57 | 0.0221 | Drinkers Vs. Nondrinkers (Non-smokers) |
|           | 8.07 | 0.0012 | Drinkers Vs. Nondrinkers (Smokers) |
|           | 5.48 | 0.0009 | Drinkers Vs. Nondrinkers (Overall) |
| hsa-mir-934 | 5.99 | 0.0164 | Drinkers Vs. Nondrinkers (Non-smokers) |
|           | 4.19 | 0.0237 | Drinkers Vs. Nondrinkers (Smokers) |
|           | 4.74 | 0.0122 | Drinkers Vs. Nondrinkers (Overall) |
| hsa-mir-101 | 2.31 | 0.0491 | Drinkers Vs. Nondrinkers (Non-smokers) |
|           | 1.25 | 0.0337 | Drinkers Vs. Nondrinkers (Smokers) |
|           | 1.76 | 0.0042 | Drinkers Vs. Nondrinkers (Overall) |
| hsa-mir-1266 | 3.82 | 0.0163 | Drinkers Vs. Nondrinkers (Non-smokers) |
|           | 1.53 | 0.0322 | Drinkers Vs. Nondrinkers (Smokers) |
|           | 2.60 | 0.0018 | Drinkers Vs. Nondrinkers (Overall) |
| hsa-mir-30a | 1.65 | 0.0383 | Drinkers Vs. Nondrinkers (Non-smokers) |
|           | 1.67 | 0.0052 | Drinkers Vs. Nondrinkers (Smokers) |
|           | 1.66 | 0.0013 | Drinkers Vs. Nondrinkers (Overall) |
| hsa-mir-3164 | 21.12 | 0.0469 | Drinkers Vs. Nondrinkers (Non-smokers) |
|           | 6.71 | 0.0208 | Drinkers Vs. Nondrinkers (Smokers) |
|           | 1.94 | 0.0375 | Drinkers Vs. Nondrinkers (Overall) |
| hsa-mir-3690 | 2.79 | 0.0429 | Drinkers Vs. Nondrinkers (Non-smokers) |
|           | 1.63 | 0.0349 | Drinkers Vs. Nondrinkers (Smokers) |
|           | 2.12 | 0.0026 | Drinkers Vs. Nondrinkers (Overall) |
for all experiments was greater than 70 % and the over-expression of each miRNA, in each cell line, was measured by qRT-PCR (Fig. 3a). Overexpression of miR-30a and miR-934 increased the expression of BCL-2 in both UMSCC-10B and UMSCC-22B (Fig. 3b). To test effects on proliferation, an Alamar blue assay and an MTS assay were each performed on miR-30a-5p and miR-934-transfected UMSCC-10B and UMSCC-22B. Both miRNAs promoted increased proliferation, with with miR-30a and miR-934 inducing nearly 3-fold increases over an experiment period of 3 days in UMSCC-22B (Fig. 3c-d).

Inhibition of miR-30a and miR-934 sensitizes cells to cisplatin and decreases cellular invasion

Since endogenous expression of miR-30a and miR-934 was higher in HNSCC cell lines compared to normal cell lines (Fig. 4a), we inhibited both miRNAs in the HNSCC cell lines UMSCC-10B and UMSCC-22B to further characterize their functional effects. Knockdown of both miRNAs was performed with anti-miRs and produced at least a 75 % reduction in miRNA expression (Fig. 4b). We first performed an MTS assay to test the effects of cisplatin on miR-30a- and miR-934-inhibited UMSCC-10B and UMSCC-22B. Our results show that knockdown of the miRNAs sensitizes the cells to cisplatin-mediated cell death, even though inhibition of the miRNAs alone had a limited effect on cellular proliferation (Fig. 4c). Additionally, matrigel invasion assays were performed to evaluate the roles of these miRNAs in regulating cellular invasion. Inhibition of miR-934 resulted in up to 56 % reduction in invasive abilities, while inhibition of miR-30a caused up to 40 % reduction (Fig. 4d).

miR-30a and miR-934 regulate expression of predicted target tumor suppressor genes

To further define molecular roles for miR-30a and miR-934 in HNSCC, we identified candidate mRNA targets for both miRNAs using two target prediction algorithms.
The tumor suppressor genes BNIP3L, PRDM9, and SEPT7 were each found to have direct 3'UTR binding sites with miR-30a-5p (Fig. 5a). Meanwhile, tumor suppressors HIPK2, HOXA4, and MLL3 were predicted to be similarly targeted by miR-934 (Fig. 5c). Next, we evaluated the expression levels of these putative targets following knockdown of miR-30a and miR-934 in UMSCC-10B and UMSCC-22B. Inhibition of miR-30a induced significant upregulation of BNIP3L, PRDM9, and SEPT7 (Fig. 5b), while inhibition of miR-934 increased the expression of HIPK2, HOXA4, and MLL3 (Fig. 5c), suggesting that the miRNAs exert negative regulatory effects on these established tumor suppressors.

**Discussion**

Although alcohol consumption has been identified as a potent risk factor for HNSCC, knowledge regarding its functional role as an independent etiological agent for the disease remains significantly limited. Multiple studies have proposed that the action of alcohol in HNSCC pathogenesis is mediated by its first metabolite acetaldehyde, a well-established carcinogen [15], but others have postulated that alcohol may exert adverse effects directly, either by increasing the cellular uptake and potency of carcinogens such as tobacco [16], or by inducing DNA hypomethylation [17]. The relevance of these proposed models to alcohol-associated HNSCC and the molecular mechanisms by which they may occur have remained obscure; previous investigations of the effects of environmental factors on genetic and epigenetic alterations in HNSCC have largely focused on characterizing tobacco, HPV exposure, or tobacco in association with alcohol [1, 18, 19].

We are the first to globally profile alcohol-induced miRNA dysregulation in HNSCC and to functionally characterize the roles that these miRNAs may play in HNSCC pathogenesis and progression. Through RNA-seq analysis of miRNA expression in 136...
patients, we identified a panel of 8 miRNAs (miR-3178, miR-675, miR-934, miR-101, miR-1266, miR-30a, miR-3164, and miR-3690) exhibiting significant upregulation in alcohol-associated HNSCCs, suggesting their role as oncomirs. By subdividing our patient cohort into smokers and non-smokers, and comparing the drinking and non-drinking populations within both groups for unifying miRNA aberrations, we ensured that these miRNA candidates were dysregulated due to alcohol consumption alone, removing the synergistic and likely confounding influence of tobacco.

None of the 8 miRNAs have been previously identified in studies of tobacco or HPV-induced miRNA dysregulation in HNSCC [1]. Furthermore, miR-3164 and miR-3690 demonstrate no previous connections to cancer, establishing them as potentially fruitful targets for future investigation. Such findings underscore existing evidence of considerable heterogeneity in the genetic and transcriptional landscapes of HNSCCs associated with different etiologies [1, 18], and pose implications for future distinctions in the diagnostic strategies and clinical management of alcohol-associated HNSCC.

---

**Fig. 4** Inhibition of miR-30a and miR-934 decreases cancer stem cell genes, enhances cisplatin-induced cell death, and decreases invasive abilities in HNSCC cell lines. (a) qRT-PCR demonstrates that miR-30a and miR-934 are significantly upregulated in HNSCC cell lines, UMSCC-10B and UMSCC-22B, compared to the normal cell line, OKF4. (b) Knockdown of baseline expression in HNSCC cell lines was measured by qRT-PCR, resulting in 75% knockdown or greater in the cell lines for both miRNAs. (c) MTS assay depicts that the knockdown of miR-30a and miR-934 sensitizes the HNSCC cell lines to cisplatin as shown by the reduction in cisplatin IC-50. IC-50 values were obtained by fitting a dose–response curve to the averaged values for relative proliferation under each condition and cisplatin dose. (d) Matrigel invasion assay shows a decrease in the number of invading cells after knockdown of miR-30a and miR-934 relative to control. All data is presented as the mean and error bars represent standard deviation. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, Student’s t-test.
Interestingly, previous studies of miR-1266 and miR-3678 characterize their roles as tumor suppressor miRNAs in gastric cancer. miR-1266 was downregulated in gastric cancer tissues and was shown to inhibit tumor growth and invasion by targeting telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT) [20], while miR-3678 was found to be significantly downregulated in lymphatic metastases [21]. Meanwhile, there exists conflicting evidence regarding the roles of miR-675 and miR-101 in various malignancies. miR-675, along with its precursor long non-coding RNA H19, was found to inhibit invasion and metastasis in hepatocellular carcinomas [22], but was shown to promote the pathogenesis and metastasis of gastric cancer and target the tumor suppressor retinoblastoma in colorectal cancer [23, 24]. Similarly, studies in a number of cancers have found miR-101 to function as a tumor suppressor by targeting EZH2 [24, 25], yet it was also implicated as a promoter of growth in estrogen receptor (ER)-positive breast tumors by inducing the activation of Akt [25]. While further investigation of these discrepancies may be necessary, there is mounting evidence that miRNAs may assume tissue-specific or...
cancer-specific targets and functions via distinct pathways [26, 27]. Therefore, future characterizations of the miRNAs we have identified may find that they indeed exert unique effects in the contexts of HNSCC and alcohol-induced dysregulation.

We found miR-30a, miR-934, miR-3164, and miR-3178 to be consistently upregulated in oral keratinocytes and HNSCC cell lines following treatments with ethanol and acetaldehyde, demonstrating that dysregulation of these miRNAs is directly mediated by exposure to alcohol and is likely involved in both the early stages of malignant transformation and the progression to increased malignancy in HNSCC. Notably, acetaldehyde alone also induced aberrant expression of the miRNAs, implying that its carcinogenic properties are not only the result of DNA cross-links and chromosomal aberrations as previously characterized [6], but also due to its modulation of miRNA-mediated pathways. These findings also confirm that ethanol-to-acetaldehyde metabolism is responsible, at least in part, for alcohol-related HNSCC pathogenesis. Interestingly, however, the 4 miRNAs failed to exhibit proportional increases in expression in response to increased ethanol or acetaldehyde dosage, with strongest upregulation generally occurring at the lowest ethanol (0.1 %) and acetaldehyde (75 μM) concentrations. This may simply indicate that alcohol-mediated miRNA dysregulation is governed by factors other than intake levels; a previous study of the relationship between carcinogen exposure and homozygous deletion of p16INK4A in HNSCCs found that the duration, rather than intensity, of tobacco and alcohol use significantly predicted deletion frequency [28]. Further investigation of the relationship between length of alcohol consumption and miRNA expression, in conjunction with the in vitro experiments presented here simulating long-term alcohol exposure, may therefore be valuable in characterizing more precisely the conditions under which alcohol-induced miRNA dysregulation occurs.

In particular, we show that enforced expression of miR-30a and miR-934 in HNSCC cell lines promotes the induction of anti-apoptotic gene BCL-2, as well as increased cellular proliferation in the HNSCC cell lines. Conversely, inhibition of the miRNAs in HNSCC resulted in reduced invasion, as well as decreased resistance to cisplatin. The potential of these alcohol-dysregulated miRNAs to confer proliferative and invasive properties to HNSCC cells may significantly account for the elevated risks of developing HNSCC and experiencing tumor recurrence that have been observed in drinkers [2–5]. Furthermore, the ability of miRNA suppression to sensitize cells to cisplatin and decrease invasive capabilities establishes miR-30a and miR-934 as potential targets of future therapies specifically tailored to alcohol-related HNSCC. Our findings are consistent with previous studies reporting the ability of miR-934 and miR-30a to promote metastasis as well: miR-934, along with host gene VGLL1, was found to be upregulated in ER-negative BRCA1-positive breast cancers and was associated with the acquisition and maintenance of luminal progenitor characteristics [29]; similarly, miR-30a was shown to promote invasion in nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) via the repression of E-cadherin and induction of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) [30].

The putative target genes we have shown to be modulated by both miRNAs further corroborate their proposed roles in HNSCC. BNIP3L, potential target of miR-30a, is a proapoptotic gene activated by p53 under hypoxic conditions [31, 32]. Meanwhile, SEPT7 is a cell cycle regulator involved in kinetochore localization and apoptosis [33, 34], and PRDM1 is a transcriptional repressor and inhibitor of the Wnt signaling pathway [35], which has been shown to be centrally associated with stemness and invasiveness in HNSCCs [36].

Both SEPT7 and PRDM1 have been found to be targeted by miR-30a in gliomas [35, 37]. The proposed targets for miR-934 are also potent tumor suppressors: HOXA4 has been shown to inhibit cell motility and invasion in ovarian carcinoma [38]; MLL3 is involved in a p53 coactivator complex, with knockout resulting in epithelial tumor formation in mice [39]; and HIPK2 mediates apoptosis and activates p53 via phosphorylation at Ser46 [40].

Conclusions

Taken together, our findings indicate a novel interplay between alcohol-mediated dysregulation of miRNAs and key tumorigenic and metastatic processes in HNSCC. Specifically, we have shown that miR-30a and miR-934 are upregulated in HNSCC patients who are drinkers and that miR-30a and miR-934 expression in HNSCC cell lines produced changes in cellular proliferation and invasion, as well as differential targeting of key tumor suppressors. Studies further evaluating miR-30a and miR-934 in the context of alcohol-induced EMT, along with investigations of other roles of ethanol and acetaldehyde in EMT-associated pathways, may substantially enhance our molecular understanding of alcohol-associated HNSCC and catalyze the development of targeted diagnostics and therapies for the disease.

Methods

miRNA-sequencing datasets and differential expression analysis

Level 3-normalized miRNA expression datasets and clinical data for 136 HNSCC patients were obtained from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) (https://tcga-data.nci.nih.gov/tcga). These patients were separated into four
cohorts based on reported alcohol and tobacco use, in order to minimize the confounding influence of smoking on HNSCC prevalence and pathogenesis: (1) nonsmoking non-drinkers, (2) nonsmoking drinkers, (3) smoking non-drinkers, and (4) smoking drinkers (Table 1). Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics v.22. To account for unequal variance, two-tailed Welch's t-tests were used for the differential expression analyses. Only p-values below 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Survival analysis
42 HNSCC patients in the TCGA database had available data for both alcohol consumption and length of survival. All of these patients were smokers and were subdivided into non-drinkers, light drinkers (1–3 drinks per day) and heavy drinkers (more than 3 drinks per day). Kaplan-Meier plots were graphed using IBM SPSS Statistics v.22 and a log-rank test was used to calculate the p-value. Only p-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Cell culture and treatment with ethanol and acetaldehyde
Normal, early passage, oral epithelial cell lines OKF4 and OKF6 (derived from the floor of the mouth) were gifts from the Rheinwald Lab at Harvard Medical School. The cells were cultured in keratinocyte serum-free media (Life Technologies) supplemented with EGF, bovine pituitary extract, 2 % L-glutamine, 2 % penicillin/streptomycin, and CaCl2. These cells were either exposed to ethanol for 1 week or 4 weeks, or to acetaldehyde (Sigma Aldrich) for 48 h.

The HNSCC cell lines UMSCC-10B and UMSCC-22B (derived from laryngeal and hypopharyngeal tumors, respectively) were gifts from Dr. Tom Carey, University of Michigan. The cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10 % fetal bovine serum, 2 % penicillin/streptomycin, and 2 % L-glutamate (GIBCO) and maintained at 37 °C in a humidified 5 % CO2/95 % air atmosphere. These cells were either exposed to ethanol for 1, 2, or 4 weeks, or to acetaldehyde for 48 h.

The doses used for ethanol treatment were 0.1 %, 0.3 %, and 1 % by volume (approximate concentrations 17 mM, 51 mM, and 170 mM, respectively). We chose the 0.1 % (17 mM) dose to represent social drinking habits, as 0.1 % is the blood alcohol level constituting legal intoxication in the U.S. [41]. The 0.3 % (51 mM) ethanol dose was used to simulate binge drinking habits, as it is representative of the blood alcohol levels of moderate to heavy drinkers [42]. The 1 % (170 mM) ethanol dose, while potentially lethal in humans, was employed as an upper limit control as it was the highest concentration that was minimally toxic to the oral keratinocytes (Additional file 1: Figure S1). For all ethanol-culture experiments, treatment media was replaced every 24 h with fresh media containing the stated ethanol concentration. The tissue culture media was replaced with paraffin film to reduce evaporative loss of ethanol from the media. It has been shown that sealed culture vessels are able to maintain ethanol concentrations over significantly longer incubation periods [43].

The doses used for acetaldehyde treatment were 75 μM, 150 μM, and 300 μM, to represent a range of light to heavy drinking as determined by the saliva concentrations of alcohol consumers [14]. To account for the short evaporation half-life of acetaldehyde, treatments were performed every 4 h and the tissue culture plates were also sealed with paraffin film.

microRNA expression profiling by qRT-PCR
Total RNA was isolated (Fisher Scientific) from cultured cells after their respective treatments with ethanol or acetaldehyde. cDNA was synthesized using the QuantiMiR™ RT kit (System Biosiences, Mountain View, CA) as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Real-time PCR reaction mixes were created using FastStart Universal SYBR Green Master Mix (Roche Diagnostics), and run on a StepOnePlus™ Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) using the following program: 50 °C for 2 min, 95 °C for 10 min, 95 °C for 30 s, and 60 °C for 1 min, for 40 cycles. Experiments were analyzed using the ddCt method. U6 primers and a Universal Reverse Primer were used from the QuantiMiR™ RT kit, and custom primers (Eurofins MWG Oopen) were ordered using the following sequences: miR-3178: 5'-GGGGGCGGG GCCGGATCG-3', miR-675: 5'-TGTTGCGGAGAG GCCCACAGTG-3', miR-994: 5'-GTCTACTCTAGAG GACTCTG-3', miR-101: 5'-CAGTTATCATCAGTCTG CTGATGCT-3', miR-1266: 5'-CCTAGGCGTGAAC AGGGCT-3', miR-30a: 5'-TGTAACATCCTCGACT GAAAG-3', miR-3164: 5'-TGTAACATCCTCGACT GAAAG-3'.

microRNA plasmid and siRNA transfections
Expression plasmids for human miR-30a and miR-934 (OriGene Technologies, Rockville, MD) were transiently transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), following the manufacturer’s specifications. The pCMV-MiR empty vector was used as control and transfection efficiency for all three plasmids was monitored using GFP as a reporter.

Knockdown of both miRNAs was performed using Anti-miR™ miRNA inhibitors (Ambion, Austin, TX) that were specific for miR-30a-5p and miR-934. These inhibitors were also transfected with Lipofectamine 2000.
qRT-PCR for BCL-2 gene expression
Total RNA was collected 48 h after transfection with miRNA expression plasmids or inhibitors (Fishier Scientific). cDNA was synthesized using Superscript III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Real-time PCR reaction mixtures were created using FastStart Universal SYBR Green Master Mix (Roche Diagnostics), and run on a StepOnePlus™ Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) following the previously described program. Results were analyzed using the ddCt method, with GAPDH expression as the endogenous control. Primers were custom ordered (Eurofins MWG Operon, Huntsville, AL) using the following sequences: GAPDH forward: 5′-CTTCGCTCTCTGCTCCTCC-3′, reverse: 5′-CAATACGACCAAATCCGTG-3′. BCL-2 forward: 5′-CTCTTGGCATGACTGAGTACC-3′; reverse: 5′-CTGTGGATGACTGAGTACC-3′.

Alamar blue cell viability assay
UMSCC-10B and UMSCC-22B cells were plated into a 96-well flat-bottom tissue culture plate (Falcon) at a density of 2,000 cells per well. After a 24 h plating period, these cells were transfected with the miRNA expression plasmids. After a 48 h incubation period, cellular proliferation was analyzed using Alamar blue reagent (ThermoFisher) in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocol. All assays were performed in triplicate wells and experiments were individually performed at least twice.

MTS cell proliferation assay
UMSCC-10B and UMSCC-22B cells were plated into a 96-well flat-bottom tissue culture plate (Falcon) at a density of 5,000 cells per well. After a 24 h plating period, these cells were transfected with the miRNA expression plasmids or inhibitors. For cisplatin sensitivity experiments, the control and transfected cells were subsequently exposed to one of several doses of cisplatin ranging from 0–5 μg/mL. After a 48 h incubation period, cellular proliferation was analyzed using an MTS proliferation assay (Promega) in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocol. All assays were performed in triplicate wells and experiments were individually performed at least twice.

Matrigel invasion assay
Invasion of UMSCC-10B and UMSCC-22B cells was measured using a Matrigel invasion assay (Becton Dickinson, Bedford, MA). 48 h post transfection with either the expression plasmid or inhibitor, cells were trypsinized, and 500 μL of cell suspension (1 × 10^5 cells/mL) was added in triplicate wells. The lower chamber of the transwell was filled with 750 μL of culture media containing 0.5% serum as a chemotactrant and allowed to incubate at 37 °C for 24 h. Invading cells on the lower surface that passed through the filter were fixed and stained using crystal violet in gluteraldehyde and photographed. The number of the stained nuclei was counted in a predetermined and consistent section of each well.

miRNA target gene identification and qRT-PCR
Putative mRNA targets of miR-30a-5p and miR-934 were selected using the TargetScan (v6.2) (http://www.targetscan.org/) and miRDB (v5.0) (http://mirdb.org/miRDB/) target prediction algorithms based on miRNA seed region complementarity in the mRNA 3′UTR.

Total RNA was collected from UMSCC-10B and UMSCC-22B 48 h after transfection with miR-30a and miR-934 inhibitors. cDNA was synthesized using SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase and qRT-PCRs were performed as previously described, with GAPDH serving as endogenous control. Primers for the target genes were custom synthesized (Eurofins MWG Operon) using the following sequences: GAPDH forward: 5′-CTTCGCTCTCTGTCTCCTCC-3′, reverse: 5′-CAATACGACCAAATCCGTG-3′. BCL-2 forward: 5′-CACAGGCTTGATGACTGAGTACC-3′; reverse: 5′-CTGTGGATGACTGAGTACC-3′.

Additional file
Additional file 1: Supplementary data demonstrating effects of short-term ethanol and acetaldehyde exposures at various concentrations on OKF4 and OKF6 cell proliferation and candidate miRNA expression. Figure S1. (A) OKF4 and OKF6 cell proliferation is unaffected by ethanol exposure up to concentrations of 1 % over a 48 h period. (B) OKF4 and OKF6 cell proliferation is unaffected by physiological levels of acetaldehyde exposure over a 24 h period. (C) One-week exposure of OKF4 to ethanol is sufficient to promote upregulation of miR-934 and miR-3178. (PDF 436 kb)
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