Identification of a novel, methylation-dependent, RUNX2 regulatory region associated with osteoarthritis risk
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Abstract

Osteoarthritis (OA) is a common, multifactorial and polygenic skeletal disease that, in its severest form, requires joint replacement surgery to restore mobility and to relieve chronic pain. Using tissues from the articulating joints of 260 patients with OA and a range of in vitro experiments, including CRISPR-Cas9, we have characterized an intergenic regulatory element. Here, genotype at an OA risk locus correlates with differential DNA methylation, with altered gene expression of both a transcriptional regulator (RUNX2), and a chromatin remodelling protein (SUPT3H). RUNX2 is a strong candidate for OA susceptibility, with its encoded protein being essential for skeletogenesis and healthy joint function. The OA risk locus includes single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) located within and flanking the differentially methylated region (DMR). The OA association SNP, rs10948172, demonstrates particularly strong correlation with methylation, and two intergenic SNPs falling within the DMR (rs62435998 and rs62435999) demonstrate genetic and epigenetic effects on the regulatory activity of this region. We therefore posit that the OA signal mediates its effect by modulating the methylation of the regulatory element, which then impacts on gene expression, with RUNX2 being the principal target. Our study highlights the interplay between DNA methylation, OA genetic risk and the downstream regulation of genes critical to normal joint function.

Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) is an age-related, complex disease characterized by the irreversible breakdown of articular cartilage, most commonly in the hip or knee (1). Under non-pathological conditions, articular cartilage facilitates joint function by minimizing friction and bearing the impact of mechanical loading (2). Loss of this cartilage is painful and, ultimately, debilitating. Current therapeutic intervention relies initially on symptomatic pain relief and replacement of the affected joint in end-stage disease (3). Genetic risk factors confer 50% of disease susceptibility and, to date, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have reported up to 30 risk loci for OA (https://www.nature.com/articles/s41588-018-0079-y). Functional correlations have been established between genetic risk and epigenetic variation, principally via DNA methylation changes at CpG sites (4).
The common single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) rs10948172 (A/G) has been associated with increased OA susceptibility in the UK GWAS arcGEN study ($P = 7 \times 10^{-8}$) (5). This variant marks a region of 570 kb of high linkage disequilibrium (LD) at chromosome 6p21.1, containing the genes SUPT3H and RUNX2. These genes are transcribed in opposite directions and overlap at their 5′ ends. SUPT3H encodes a protein subunit of the transcription initiation complex STAGA, a histone acetyltransferase that preferentially acetylates histone 3 (H3) (6). RUNX2 is a transcription factor essential for osteoblastic differentiation and skeletogenesis and has two main isoforms, encoded by distal (P1) and proximal (P2) promoter regions (7). Murine studies have shown regulation of Runx2 gene expression by the promoter region of Supt3h during osteoblastic differentiation (8).

We have previously reported the results of a genome-wide methylation analysis of cartilage DNA using the Illumina Infinium Human Methylation 450 BeadChip array (450K array) (9,10). We observed correlation between genotype at rs10948172 and methylation at seven CpGs located close to SUPT3H and RUNX2, four 450K array CpGs (cg13979708, cg19254793, cg20913747 and cg18551225) and three CpGs that were captured using pyrosequencing (9). This cluster of seven CpGs are located 82 kb upstream of rs10948172, with the OA risk-conferring G allele of the SNP significantly correlating with reduced levels of methylation at each CpG (9). Together, these seven CpG sites constitute a methylation quantitative trait locus (mQTL) and form a differentially methylated region (DMR), which will be referred to henceforth as the SUPT3H/RUNX2-DMR. Additional analysis revealed that this DMR was also present in two other joint tissues from OA patients: synovium and infrapatellar fat pad (9). None of the published epigenome-wide studies of OA cartilage have identified differential methylation at the four 450K array CpG sites in this DMR (10–14). These studies have considered methylation between OA and non-OA cartilage, intact and lesioned cartilage from the same joint and also amongst different regions of the tibial plateau. This indicates that differential methylation in this region is being driven by genotype and not disease state.

Two further GWAS reported that the SNP rs10948155 (T/C), which resides 89 kb upstream of rs10948172, correlates with the OA endophenotype of cartilage thickness (15,16), rs10948155 and rs10948172 flank the SUPT3H/RUNX2-DMR and have a pairwise $r^2$ of 0.70 in Europeans. As such, they may be marking the same OA association signal. Furthermore, the SUPT3H/RUNX2-DMR contains two common SNPs, rs62435998 (C/T) and rs62435999 (T/G), that are in perfect LD with each other ($r^2=1.0$) and in high LD with rs10948155 ($r^2=0.87$) and rs10948172 ($r^2=0.80$). In summary, there are two reported SNPs that correlate with OA phenotypes and that flank the SUPT3H/RUNX2-DMR and two SNPs that also correlate with these OA signals and reside within the DMR.

In this study, we set out to undertake a detailed molecular characterization of the SUPT3H/RUNX2-DMR and to investigate its regulatory effect on SUPT3H and RUNX2. We aimed to define the 5′ and 3′ limits of the DMR and to investigate the effect of SNP genotype upon methylation. We also searched for expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs) at SUPT3H and RUNX2, and looked for correlations between mQTLs and eQTLs to establish the presence of methylation and eQTLs (meQTLs). Finally, using CRISPR-Cas9 and luciferase analysis, we determined the effect of DMR deletion on SUPT3H and RUNX2 expression and of in vitro methylation on the activity of the DMR.

Results

In silico investigation into the SUPT3H-RUNX2 DMR

We previously identified seven CpG sites that correlate with rs10948172 genotype in OA patients (9). These reside within and on the south shore of a CpG island located 82 kb upstream of the OA association SNP rs10948172 (Fig. 1A and B). Our search of the University of California Santa Cruz (UCSC) Genome Browser and the Roadmap Epigenomics Project revealed that this DNAse hypersensitive region is marked as a weak enhancer in multiple cell types, including MSCs and MSC-derived chondrocytes (Fig. 1B). This is indicated by the presence of weak H3K27ac peaks, along with signals for H3K36me3 in the 18-state model. Analysis of the 15-state model revealed peaks for H3K4me1, suggesting that this region is a poised enhancer in these cell types (17). Furthermore, this region is highly conserved in primates but is absent from the genome of quadrupedal mammals (data not shown).

Additional ENCODE ChIP-seq data revealed binding sites within the DMR for the transcription factors in the GM12878 lymphoblastoid cell line: Nfatc1, Pbx3, Bcl3 and Tcf3 (Fig. 1B).

Physical limits of the SUPT3H/RUNX2-DMR

We next used pyrosequencing analysis to determine the 5′ and 3′ physical limits of the DMR in OA patient DNA samples. We have previously reported that the DMR had a stronger correlation with rs10948172 genotype in synovium than cartilage (9) and we therefore used OA synovium DNA samples to determine its maximal limits. In our analysis, we included the four positive CpGs from the 450k array (CpGs 7–10 in Table S1) plus six CpGs upstream (CpG1–CpG6) and five CpGs downstream (CpG11–CpG15), spanning a region of 808 bp. All CpG sites within the investigated region are schematically represented in Figure 1C. The 15 CpG sites analysed in this study are highlighted.

A significant synovium mQTL ($P < 0.05$) relative to genotype at rs10948172 was detectable at 11 of the 15 sites (Fig. 2). The 5′ limit of the DMR was determined exactly; an mQTL was detectable at CpG2 ($P < 0.0001$) but not at CpG1 ($P = 0.12$), with no further CpGs between the two. Analysis of the 3′ limit proved technically challenging due to the high density of CpG sites in this region (Fig. 1C). However, the three CpGs captured by assay 8 (CpG13–CpG15) showed no significant mQTL ($P > 0.05$, Fig. 2), and as such the DMR extends from CpG2 up to, but not including, CpG13, a region of 572 bp.

We subsequently assessed the limits of the DMR in cartilage and fat pad DNA (Figs. S1 and S2), again relative to genotype at rs10948172. In these tissues, no significant mQTL was detected at CpG2, which informed us that we had defined the 5′ limit of the DMR. Therefore, analysis of CpG1 was not undertaken in these tissues. In total, we analysed 14 of the 15 CpGs that have been studied in our synovium DNAs, CpG2–CpG15. A significant mQTL was observed at CpGs 6–12 in cartilage and CpGs 3–12 (with the exception of CpG 6) in fat pad.

Finally, we investigated the existence of the SUPT3H/RUNX2-DMR in trabecular bone DNA from patients with OA, a tissue not previously studied. Due to the relatively modest sample size of up to 31 bone samples, only two homozygotes for the OA risk-conferring G allele of rs10948172 were identified (Table S2). We therefore measured the effect of the risk allele by comparing AA homozygotes to those carrying one or two copies of the risk allele (AG/GG) (Fig. S3). Two of the 14 CpGs showed a significant mQTL: CpG 7 and CpG 11.
In summary, the DMR was active across four joint tissues from patients with OA and was narrowed to a maximum interval of 572 bp in synovium.

The effect of genotype upon methylation within the SUPT3H/RUNX2-DMR

We subsequently determined the extent to which genotype at the OA association SNPs rs10948172 and rs10948155, and at the DMR SNPs rs62435998 and rs62435999, influences methylation in this region. Since rs62435998 and rs62435999 are in perfect LD, we only genotyped one of these SNPs (rs62435998).

Using samples that had a complete data set of matched methylation and genotype data, we constructed a linear model of the percentage methylation at the individual sites assessing the relative contribution of each SNP to differential methylation. We then constructed a heat map of the percentage genotypic effect at each CpG site in all four investigated OA joint tissues (Fig. 3). In total, we had complete data available for 59 synovium, 30 cartilage, 44 fat pad and 25 bone DNA samples.

The strongest mQTL was detected in synovium, followed by cartilage, fat pad and then bone. In synovium, the strongest effect was at CpG9, with genotype at all three SNPs combined accounting for 65.79% of variability in methylation. The vast majority of this genotypic effect was explained by genotype...
Figure 2. Definition of the 5’ and 3’ limits of the SUPT3H/RUNX2-DMR in OA synovium DNA. Graphs display the percentage methylation at each of the 15 CpG sites stratified by genotype at the OA association SNP rs10948172 (A/G). P-values were calculated using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a Bonferroni post-test correction. The horizontal line represents the mean methylation and the standard error of the mean (SEM). n, the number of patients analysed per CpG.

Figure 3. The influence of genotype upon methylation at the SUPT3H/RUNX2-DMR. Heat map displaying the percentage effect of genotype at rs10948172, rs10948155 and rs62435998, plus all three SNPs combined, at CpG2 to CpG12 in the following: (A) synovium (n = 59 patients), (B) cartilage (n = 30 patients), (C) fat pad (n = 44 patients) and (D) bone (n = 25 patients). The relative contribution of each SNP was calculated using the log worth and incremental R² statistics. The incremental improvement in R² values capturing explained variability was then plotted. Statistical analyses were performed with the aid of SAS JMP Statistical Data Visualization Software.

At rs10948172 (63.08%), with genotype at rs10948155 and rs62435998 providing modest contributions (1.73% and 0.98%, respectively). A broadly similar effect was observed at all CpG sites analysed in synovium, with the exception being CpG6, where only a weak mQTL was detected. These data indicate that in synovium, genotype at rs10948155 and rs62435998 does not function in coordination with rs10948172 to provide a haplotypic effect.

In cartilage, the strongest effect was at CpG8, with genotype at the three SNPs combined accounting for 54.62% of variability.
Again, rs10948172 explained the majority of this effect, with a contribution of 33.99%. However, here we observed more substantive contributions from rs10948155 and rs62435998. In fat pad and bone, where weaker mQTLs were detected, less of the variation in methylation was explained by genotype at all three SNPs, with measured values of up to 26.86% in fat pad and 15.73% in bone (Fig. 3).

Overall, this analysis highlighted that the arcQGEN SNP rs10948172 is the principal driver of the observed differential methylation, with particularly strong effects in both synovium and cartilage.

Allelic expression imbalance analysis of SUPT3H and RUNX2

We next used allelic expression imbalance (AEI) analysis to measure rs10948172 eQTLs operating on SUPT3H and RUNX2. In all four joint tissues, we identified an increase in expression of the C allele of the SUPT3H transcript SNP rs529125, which is equivalent to the OA risk-conferring G allele of rs10948172 (Fig. 4). The greatest imbalance was detected in bone samples, with a mean increase of 2.2-fold (P = 0.002, Fig. 4D). In the other tissues, the mean increases were 1.17-fold in synovium (P = 0.023, Fig. 4A), 1.15-fold in cartilage (P = 0.0422, Fig. 4B) and 1.11-fold in fat pad (P = 0.001, Fig. 4C). A SUPT3H eQTL operating in association with rs10948172 has been reported in 10 different tissues on the genotyped-tissue expression (GTEx browser (18).

RUNX2 AEI was measured in all four joint tissues. Here, the variance in compound heterozygotes was compared to that measured in patients who were homozygotic at the OA association SNP. Significant AEI was detected in synovium (P = 0.034, Fig. 5A), cartilage (P = 0.0005, Fig. 5B) and fat pad (P = 0.006, Fig. 5C) but not in bone samples (Fig. 5D). Due to the linkage equilibrium between rs10948172 and the RUNX2 transcript SNP rs1200428 (r² = 0.005), it is not possible to determine the direction of the RUNX2 AEI.

In summary, the AEI analysis demonstrated the presence of an rs10948172 eQTL operating on both SUPT3H and RUNX2 in multiple joint tissues.

The impact of the mQTL upon gene expression of SUPT3H and RUNX2

Following the characterization of the mQTL at the SUPT3H/RUNX2 locus and the identification of eQTLs operating on both SUPT3H and RUNX2, we next set out to assess the presence of an mQTL. To achieve this, we stratified the methylation values at the CpG sites by the AEI ratios in patient samples for which matched methylation and AEI data were available. We focused on the 11 CpGs that generated evidence of mQTLs in our earlier analysis, CpG2-CpG12 (Fig. 2 and Figs. S1–S3).

For SUPT3H, we had observed AEI in all four tissues (Fig. 4), but we did not observe any significant correlations between CpG methylation and AEI ratio in any of the tissues when they were analysed separately (data not shown).

Due to the linkage equilibrium between the RUNX2 transcript SNP rs1200428 and rs10948172, it was necessary to assume that all patient samples demonstrated AEI in the same direction for this gene. Therefore, those samples with AEI ratios <1 were inverted to provide a unidirectional imbalance in allelic expression. The mQTL analysis was then carried out in synovium, cartilage and fat pad samples as they were the three tissues in which RUNX2 AEI was detected (Fig. 5). Significant correlation was observed in synovium at six CpGs (CpG7–CpG12) (Fig. 6). In fat pad, a significant mQTL was observed at CpG4 (P = 0.009), with CpG12 approaching significance (Fig. S4A). No significant mQTL was detected in cartilage (Fig. S4B).

Overall, this analysis revealed a significant correlation with DMR methylation levels and the level of AEI of RUNX2 in synovium and fat pad but not cartilage. We observed no correlation between methylation and SUPT3H AEI in any tissue analysed, where the genetic and epigenetic effects appear to be functioning independently.

Functional analysis of SUPT3H/RUNX2-DMR using CRISPR-Cas9

We next assessed the effect that deletion of the DMR had on SUPT3H and RUNX2 expression. Using the chondrocyte cell line Tc28a2 and the CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing system, we deleted a 600 bp region that encompassed the 572 bp DMR that we had defined. This had no effect on SUPT3H expression or on the combined expression of both P1 and P2 RUNX2 isoforms (measured using the RUNX2 AL assay). However, when RUNX2 P1 was measured alone, a mean 3.9-fold increase in gene expression was observed (P < 0.005, Fig. 7A). These in vitro data support the DMR as a regulator of RUNX2 expression.

Relationship between DMR methylation and its function

Finally, we investigated the effect of in vitro methylation on the DMR. In this analysis, we also investigated any effect of genotype at the two SNPs located within the DMR, rs62435998 and rs62435999. The common CT haplotype of rs62435998-rs62435999 corresponds to the non-risk alleles of rs10948172 (A) and rs10948155 (T), whilst the TG haplotype corresponds to the risk-conferring alleles of rs10948172 (G) and rs10948155 (C). Both haplotypes of the DMR were separately cloned into the pCpG luciferase vector (19) and analysed with and without in vitro methylation. This vector contains no CpG sites, allowing the effects of DMR methylation on luciferase activity to be determined independent of any effects conferred by vector methylation. The vectors were then transfected into the chondrosarcoma cell line SW1353.

Without methylation, the non-risk CT construct showed no difference in activity relative to the empty pCpG vector, whereas the risk-conferring TG construct showed a 3.2-fold increase in luciferase activity (P < 0.0001) (Fig. 7B). With methylation, both constructs showed a significant increase in activity: CT, 1.79-fold above control, P < 0.01; TG, 8.24-fold above control, P < 0.001. These results demonstrate that the SUPT3H/RUNX2-DMR displays transcriptional regulatory activity in SW1353 cells and that methylation of the region enhances this effect. The data also indicate that the risk haplotype is a stronger regulator than the non-risk haplotype.

Discussion

Using DNA isolated from the joint tissues of patients with OA, we were able to narrow the SUPT3H/RUNX2-DMR to a 572 bp interval. To our knowledge, this is the first published study into the physical limits of an OA-associated mQTL, reported here in multiple tissues. The presence of this mQTL in multiple tissues...
Figure 4. AEI analysis of SUPT3H using the transcript SNP rs529125. AEI was carried out in OA patient samples from the following tissues: (A) synovium (n = 18 patients), (B) cartilage (n = 8 patients), (C) fat pad (n = 13 patients) and (D) bone (n = 9 patients). Data for individual patients are plotted as the ratio of the risk C allele divided by the non-risk T allele, with the cDNA ratio normalized to that measured in the DNA of the same patient sample. A ratio > 1 indicates an increased expression of the risk C allele. Each patient DNA and cDNA sample was analysed in triplicate. X-axis lists the patient IDs. The mean DNA and cDNA values for all patients combined in each tissue are displayed as a box plot denoting the median value, along with the 25th to 75th percentiles. Whiskers represent the 10th and 90th percentiles, and any outliers are displayed. P-values were calculated using a two-tailed paired t-test.

of the articulating joint highlights the joint-wide pathology of OA. In silico analysis of publicly available data revealed the region as having transcriptional regulatory properties. ChIP-seq data reveal that several cartilage-expressed transcription
of tissues including brain, tibial nerve, testis and spleen. In each case, the OA risk-conferring G allele correlates with higher levels of SUPT3H expression, a pattern that we observed in OA joint tissues. As far as we are aware, there are no previous reports of the RUNX2 eQTL detected in this study, indicating that this effect may be specific to certain tissues of the articulating joint.

In our meQTL analysis, we noted that the methylation expression effect was only present for RUNX2. This, combined with the fact that deletion of the DMR altered RUNX2 but not SUPT3H expression, leads us to conclude that RUNX2 is the principal target of regulation by the DMR. The meQTL was identified at six CpG sites within the DMR (CpG7–12). All of these CpG sites fall within the binding site of transcription factor NFATC1, with three of these CpGs (CpG10–12) also being located within the binding site of transcription factors BCL3, PBX3 and TCF3. This potentially highlights NFATC1, a protein that has previously been linked to OA pathophysiology (23), as the primary transcription factor operating at the SUPT3H/RUNX2-DMR.

Genetic effects are operating at this locus in association with SUPT3H. A potential role for this histone remodelling complex subunit in the progression of OA still remains, yet the underlying mechanism is unclear. The RUNX2 transcription factor has been extensively studied in humans and in animal models. It is essential for skeletogenesis and osteoblast differentiation, with knockout mice lacking endochondral ossification (24). Furthermore, an increase in RUNX2 expression has been well established in OA cartilage, where the transcription factor is associated with chondrocyte hypertrophy and an increase in expression of the collagenase MMP13 (25–27). In a recent study, it was reported that deletion of the gene attenuates the progression of surgically induced OA in mice (28). Comprehensive knowledge of the regulation of RUNX2 is therefore crucial to understanding both normal and pathological development and maintenance of the skeletal system.

In summary, we have undertaken a detailed characterization of the SUPT3H/RUNX2-DMR and established that it is a novel regulator of gene expression. Common polymorphisms that are associated with OA correlate with differential methylation of the DMR and altered expression of RUNX2. We propose that this is the likely mechanism by which the OA association signal at this locus operates. This opens the opportunity for further investigation, including potential intervention, by epigenetic modulation of the DMR to fine-tune RUNX2 expression.

Materials and Methods

Patients

Tissue samples were obtained with informed consent from patients undergoing elective total joint replacement surgery for primary hip or knee OA, as described previously (29). Ethical approval was granted by the Newcastle and North Tyneside Research Ethics Committee (REC reference number 14/NE/1212). In total, we collected and analysed tissue samples from a total of 260 patients. Further details are outlined in Supplementary Table S2.

Tissue was processed as previously described (30). Nucleic acids were extracted from cartilage and trabecular bone samples using TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies) and from synovium and infrapatellar fat pad using the EZNA DNA/RNA Isolation kit (Omega Bio-Tek) according to the manufacturers’ instructions. For genotyping and AEI analysis, DNA was used directly. For methylation analysis, 500 ng DNA was bisulphite converted...
Correlation between AEI at RUNX2 and methylation at the SUPT3H/RUNX2-DMR. Normalized cDNA allelic ratios of RUNX2 expression in synovium were plotted against the respective DNA methylation values at CpG2 through to CpG12. P-values were calculated by linear regression analysis. n, the number of patients analysed per CpG.

In vitro modulation of the SUPT3H/RUNX2-DMR. (A) CRISPR-Cas9 deletion of the DMR in the chondrocyte cell line Tc28a2 (TSS). Expression of SUPT3H, RUNX2 ALL (both isoforms) and RUNX2 P1 (long isoform) was measured by RT-qPCR. The graph represents the mean (± SEM) fold change of each gene/isoform following deletion of the DMR (+DMR) compared to control cells without deletion (-DMR). Each bar represents six biological repeat experiments. Statistical significance was determined by two-way ANOVA. **, P < 0.005; ns, not significant, P > 0.05. (B) Luciferase reporter analysis of DMR methylation in the chondrosarcoma cell line SW1353. Mean luciferase activity (21 technical repeats) of the DMR normalized against a control empty non-methylated or empty methylated vector. E is the empty vector control. CT denotes the non-risk conferring haplotype at rs62435998-rs62435999. TG denotes the risk-conferring haplotype at rs62435998-rs62435999. Error bars represent the SEM. P-values were calculated using a Mann–Whitney two-tailed exact test and are compared to the relevant non-methylated or methylated empty vector control, unless otherwise indicated. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.0001.

using the EZ DNA methylation kit (Zymo Research). RNA was quantified by Nanodrop (Thermo Fisher) and 1 μg (250 ng/μl) was treated with Turbo DNase (Thermo Fisher) prior to a 20 μl reverse transcription reaction with SuperScript First-Strand cDNA synthesis kit (Invitrogen). The cDNA product was then used for AEI and quantitative real-time PCR (RT-qPCR) analysis.

Genotyping
Genotyping at rs10948172 was completed by pyrosequencing at proxy SNP rs529125 (r²=1.0). rs10948155 was genotyped directly by pyrosequencing. Genotype at rs62435998 was determined by a restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) assay using the enzyme HaeIII, which cuts at the C allele of the
SNP. Both pyrosequencing RFLP analysis were carried out in patient DNA samples following polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of the region encompassing the SNP (Table S2). For pyrosequencing, PCR products were analysed using the PyroMark Q24 Advanced platform (Qiagen) along with the appropriate sequencing primer (Table S3) and the manufacturer’s recommended reagents.

Methylation analysis
DNA methylation was quantified by pyrosequencing. Assays were designed to target specific CpG sites of interest using the PyroMark Assay Design Software (Qiagen). Eight assays were designed that each captured between 1 and 3 CpGs, with a total of 15 CpGs analysed (Table S3). These included the four positive CpGs from our 450k array analysis (cg13979708, cg19524793, cg20913747 and cg18551225; CpGs 7–10 in Table S1). Pyrosequencing was performed using the PyroMark Q24 platform as described previously (9). To determine the effect of genotype at the SNPs of interest upon methylation, we constructed a linear model of the percentage methylation at individual CpG sites, assessing the relative contribution of each SNP using the log worth and incremental R² statistics. To visualize the SNPs most closely associated with methylation at a given site, the incremental improvement in R² values capturing explained variability was expressed as a percentage effect and plotted as a heat map, both for the individual SNP data and for all of the SNPs combined. Statistical analyses were performed with the aid of SAS JMP Statistical Data Visualization Software.

AEl
AEl was used to determine the presence of rs10948172 eQTLs for SUPT3H and RUNX2. Using a transcript SNP for each gene, pyrosequencing was used to determine the percentage of each allele in DNA and RNA, as described previously (31). For SUPT3H, we used rs529125 (T/C), which is in perfect LD with rs10948172; the OA risk-conferring C allele of rs10948172 corresponds to the C allele of rs529125. There are no RUNX2 transcript SNPs in LD with rs10948172 and we therefore selected an SNP with a high minor allele frequency (MAF) to enable us to identify compound heterozygotes. We chose rs1200428 (G/T; MAF = 0.23; r² = 0.005 with rs10948172). Due to the lack of LD between rs1200428 and rs10948172, normalized heterozygote allelic ratios were calculated and stratified by rs10948172 genotype as previously described (32,33). The PCR and sequencing primers are listed in Table S4. For each cDNA and DNA sample, PCR reactions were performed in triplicate, with samples being excluded from the analysis if the values between the PCR replicates differed by >5%.

CRISPR-Cas9
Guide RNA (gRNA) sequences targeting upstream (5′-CCAAA CTCTTCTCTCTCCCTAG3′) and downstream (5′-GAGACCCGGCCACCA GAGGAG3′) of the SUPT3H/RUNX2-DMR were designed using the Optimized CRISPR Design Tool (crispr.mit.edu). Single-stranded DNA oligonucleotides (Sigma Aldrich) containing the desired gRNA sequence along with the BbsI recognition motif were annealed with the reverse complementary strand (95°C–25°C, Δ-6°C/min). The double-stranded DNA oligonucleotides were then ligated into the BbsI linearized CRISPR-Cas9 vector, PX462, using T4 ligase (Invitrogen) overnight at 16°C. Cells from the human chondrocyte cell line Tc28a2 were grown in monolayer culture as previously described (34) to 70–80% confluence. For nucleofection, 10⁶ cells per biological replicate were incubated with 5 μg of plasmid DNA using the manufacturer-recommended Cell Line 4D-Nuclease X Kit in combination with the 4D-Nuclease System (Lonza).

Following nucleofection, cell monolayers were grown in each well of a six well plate and nucleofected cells were selected with puromycin after 24 h. Following expansion of cells into T25 culture flasks, nucleic acids were extracted using the EZNA DNA/RNA Isolation kit (Omega Bio-Tek) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Deletion of the target region was confirmed and quantified using endpoint PCR and Sanger sequencing.

Quantitative gene expression
Gene expression was quantified using TaqMan chemistry. Pre-designed TaqMan assays (Integrated DNA Technologies) were used to measure the expression of the target genes SUPT3H (Hs.PT.58.3510503) and RUNX2. For RUNX2, two assays were used: one targeting exons 6–7, measuring both the isoforms of the gene (Hs.PT.56a.19568141, termed RUNX2 ALL) and one targeting exons 1–2, therefore only detecting the P1 isoform (Hs.PT.56a.23056352). It was not possible to measure the expression of the P2 isoform alone due to the sequence identity between the short isoform and exons 3–8. Expression was assessed relative to the expression of three housekeeping genes: 18S, GAPDH and HPRT1 using the 2^–ΔΔct method as previously described (9).

Luciferase reporter assays
A 654 bp region (chr6:44,695,068-44,695,721; hg19) containing the SUPT3H/RUNX2-DMR was cloned into the multiple cloning site of the CpG-free luciferase vector, pCpGL (InvivoGen) using the PstI and BamHI restriction sites (forward primer: 5′-GGGG CTCCAGAGCTTCCTAAACAGGAAAACCAAG3′; reverse primer: 5′-GGGCGCATGCACTGTGAGAAAATCATGAGG3′). The region was amplified from a pool of genomic blood DNA and colonies were isolated and Sanger sequenced to identify clones with the major (CT) haplotype and clones with the minor (TG) haplotype of rs62435998:rs62435999. Plasmids were in vitro methylated using M.SssI (NEB) as previously described (35) and complete methylation was confirmed by HpaII restriction digest. For each well of a 96 well plate, 6000 cells from the chondroarcoma cell line SW1353 were seeded 24 h prior to transfection. Cells were transfected with the relevant pCpGL luciferase vector construct (100 ng) and pRL-TK Renilla vector (1.5 ng) using Fugene HD transfection reagent (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. After 24 h, cells were lysed and luciferase reporter assays were performed (29).

Author’s contributions
All authors were involved in drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content, and all authors red and approved the final version of the manuscript. J.L. has full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Study conception and design: S.J.R., G.A., L.N.R. and J.L. Acquisition of data: S.J.R., G.A., T.K.S. and M.T. Analysis and interpretation of data: S.J.R., G.A., T.K.S., L.N.R. and J.L.
Data Availability

All data are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary Material is available at HMG online.

Acknowledgements

We thank surgeons at the Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust for providing us with access to patient samples and research nurses for facilitating this access. We thank the patients for donating their tissue.

Conflict of Interest statement. None declared.

Funding

This work was supported by Arthritis Research UK (grant reference 20771), the Medical Research Council and Arthritis Research UK as part of the MRC-Arthritis Research UK Centre for Integrated Research into Musculoskeletal Ageing (CIMA; grant reference JXR 10641), the Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Charity, and by the European Union Seventh Framework Program for research, technological development and demonstration under grant agreement number No. 305815 (D-BOARD). Guillaume Aubourg and Antony K Sorial were funded through the NIHR Newcastle Biomedical Research Centre (BRC) awarded to the Newcastle and processing of tissue were provided by the NIHR Newcastle Training programme. Funds to assist recruitment of patients and research nurses for facilitating this access. We thank the Foundation Trust for providing us with access to patients samples for donating their tissue.

References

1. Cross, M., Smith, E., Hoy, D., Nolte, S., Ackerman, I., Fransen, M., Bridgett, L., Williams, S., Guillemin, F., Hill, C.L. et al. (2014) The global burden of hip and knee osteoarthritis: estimates from the global burden of disease 2010 study. Ann. Rheum. Dis., 73, 1323–1330.
2. Loeser, R.F., Goldring, S.R., Scanzello, C.R. and Goldring, M.B. (2012) Osteoarthritis: a disease of the joint as an organ. Arthritis Rheum., 64, 1697–1707.
3. Dieppe, P.A. and Lohmander, L.S. (2005) Pathogenesis and management of pain in osteoarthritis. Lancet, 365, 965–973.
4. Loughlin, J. and Reynard, L.N. (2015) Osteoarthritis: epigenetics of articular cartilage in knee and hip OA. Nat. Rev. Rheumatol., 11, 6–7.
5. arcOGEN Consortium, Zeggini, E., Panoutsopoulou, K., Southam, L., Rayner, N.W., Day-Williams, A.G., Lopes, M.C., Boraska, V., Esco, T., Evangelou, E. et al. (2012) Identification of new susceptibility loci for osteoarthritis (arcOGEN): a genome-wide association study. Lancet, 380, 815–823.
6. Martinez, E., Palhan, V.B., Tjernberg, A., Lymar, E.S., Gamper, A.M., Kundu, T.K., Chait, B.T. and Roeder, R.G. (2001) Human STAGA complex is a chromatin-acytlyating transcription coactivator that interacts with pre-mRNA splicing and DNA damage-binding factors in vivo. Mol. Cell Biol., 21, 6782–6795.
7. Stock, M. and Otto, F. (2005) Control of RUNX2 isoform expression: the role of promoters and enhancers. J. Cell Biochem., 95, 506–517.
8. Barutcu, A.R., Tai, P.W., Wu, H., Gordon, J.A., Whitfield, T.W., Dobson, J.R., Imbalzano, A.N., Lian, J.B., van Wijnen, A.J., Stein, J.L. et al. (2014) The bone-specific Runx2-P1 promoter displays conserved three-dimensional chromatin structure with the syntenic Supt3h promoter. Nucleic Acids Res., 42, 10360–10372.
9. Rushton, M.D., Reynard, L.N., Young, D.A., Shepherd, C., Aubourg, G., Gee, F., Darlay, R., Deehan, D., Cordell, H.J. and Loughlin, J. (2015) Methylation quantitative trait locus analysis of osteoarthritis links epigenetics with genetic risk. Hum. Mol. Genet., 24, 7432–7444.
10. Rushton, M.D., Reynard, L.N., Barter, M.J., Refaie, R., Rankin, K.S., Young, D.A. and Loughlin, J. (2014) Characterization of the cartilage DNA methylation in knee and hip osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol., 66, 2450–2460.
11. den Hollander, W., Ramos, Y.F., Bos, S.D., Bomer, N., van der Breggen, R., Lakenberg, N., de Dijker, WJ., Duijnpisveld, BJ., Slagboom, PE., Nelissen, R.G. et al. (2014) Knee and hip articular cartilage have distinct epigenomic landscapes: implications for future cartilage regeneration approaches. Ann. Rheum. Dis., 73, 2208–2212.
12. Jeffries, M.A., Donica, M., Baker, L.W., Stevenson, M.E., Annan, A.C., Humphrey, M.B., James, J.A. and Sawalha, A.H. (2014) Genome-wide DNA methylation study identifies significant epigenomic changes in osteoarthritic cartilage. Arthritis Rheumatol., 66, 2804–2815.
13. Alvarez-Garcia, O., Fisch, K.M., Wineinger, N.E., Akagi, R., Saito, M., Sasho, T., Su, A.I. and Lotz, M.K. (2016) Increased DNA methylation and reduced expression of transcription factors in human osteoarthritic cartilage. Arthritis Rheumatol., 68, 1876–1886.
14. Zhang, Y., Fukui, N., Yahata, M., Katsuragawa, Y., Tashiro, T., Ikegawa, S. and Michael Lee, M.T. (2016) Genome-wide DNA methylation profile implicates potential cartilage regeneration at the late stage of knee osteoarthritis. Osteoarthr. Cartil., 24, 835–843.
15. Castano-Betancourt, M.C., Cai lotto, F., Kerkhof, H.J., Cornelis, F.M., Doherty, S.A., Hart, D.J., Hofman, A., Luyten, F.P., Maciewicz, R.A., Mangino, M. et al. (2012) Genome-wide association and functional studies identify the DOR1L gene to be involved in cartilage thickness and hip osteoarthritis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 109, 8218–8223.
16. Castano-Betancourt, M.C., Evans, D.S., Ramos, Y.F., Boer, C.G., Metstruys, S., Liu, Y., den Hollander, W., van Rooij, J., Kraus, V.B., Yau, M.S. et al. (2016) Novel genetic variants for cartilage thickness and hip osteoarthritis. PLoS Genet., 12, e1006260.
17. Creyghton, M.P., Cheng, A.W., Welstead, G.G., Kooistra, T., Carey, B.W., Steine, E.J., Hanna, J., Lodato, M.A., Frampton, G.M., Sharp, P.A. et al. (2010) Histone H3K27ac separates active from poised enhancers and predicts developmental state. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 107, 21931–21936.
18. GTex Consortium (2013) The genotype-tissue expression (GTEx) project. Nat. Genet., 45, 580–585.
19. Klug, M. and Rehli, M. (2006) Functional analysis of promoter CpG methylation using a CpG-free luciferase reporter vector. Epigenetics, 3, 127–130.
20. Banerjee, C., Javed, A., Choi, J.Y., Green, J., Rosen, V., van Wijnen, A.J., Stein, J.L., Lian, J.B. and Stein, G.S. (2001) Differential regulation of the two principal Runx2/Cbfal n-terminal isoforms in response to bone morphogenetic
protein-2 during development of the osteoblast phenotype. Endocrinology, 142, 4026–4039.

21. Enomoto, H., Shiojiri, S., Hoshi, K., Furuchi, T., Fukuyama, R., Yoshida, C.A., Kanatani, N., Nakamura, R., Mizuno, A., Zanma, A. et al. (2003) Induction of osteoclast differentiation by Runx2 through receptor activator of nuclear factor-kappa B ligand (RANKL) and osteoprotegerin regulation and partial rescue of osteoclastogenesis in Runx2+/− mice by RANKL transgene. J. Biol. Chem., 278, 23971–23977.

22. Sudhakar, S., Li, Y., Katz, M.S. and Elango, N. (2001) Translational regulation is a control point in RUNX2/Cbfa1 gene expression. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun., 289, 616–622.

23. Greenlatt, M.B., Ritter, S.Y., Wright, J., Tsang, K., Hu, D., Glimcher, L.H. and Aliprantis, A.O. (2013) NFATc1 and NFATc2 repress osteoarthritis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA., 110, 19914–19919.

24. Otto, F., Thornell, A.P., Crompton, T., Denzel, A., Gilmour, K.C., Rosewell, I.R., Stamp, G.W., Beddington, R.S., Mundlos, S., Olsen, B.R. et al. (1997) Cbfa1, a candidate gene for cleidocranial dysplasia syndrome, is essential for osteoblast differentiation and bone development. Cell, 89, 765–771.

25. Wang, X., Manner, P.A., Horner, A., Shum, L., Tuan, R.S. and Nuckolls, G.H. (2004) Regulation of MMP-13 expression by RUNX2 and FGF2 in osteoarthritic cartilage. Osteoarthritis Cartilage, 12, 963–973.

26. Zhong, L., Huang, X., Karperien, M. and Post, J.N. (2016) Correlation between gene expression and osteoarthritis progression in human. Int. J. Mol. Sci., 17.

27. van der Kraan, P.M. and van den Berg, W.B. (2012) Chondrocyte hypertrophy and osteoarthritis: role in initiation and progression of cartilage degeneration? Osteoarthritis Cartilage, 20, 223–232.

28. Liao, L., Zhang, S., Gu, J., Takarada, T., Yoneda, Y., Huang, J., Zhao, L., Oh, C.D., Li, J., Wang, B. et al. (2017) Deletion of Runx2 in articular chondrocytes decelerates the progression of DMM-induced osteoarthritis in adult mice. Sci. Rep., 7, 2371.

29. Gee, F., Rushton, M.D., Loughlin, J. and Reynard, L.N. (2015) Correlation of the osteoarthritis susceptibility variants that map to chromosome 20q13 with an expression quantitative trait locus operating on NCOA3 and with functional variation at the polymorphism rs116855380. Arthritis Rheumatol., 67, 2923–2932.

30. Wilkins, J.M., Southam, L., Price, A.J., Mustafa, Z., Carr, A. and Loughlin, J. (2007) Extreme context specificity in differential allelic expression. Hum. Mol. Genet., 16, 537–546.

31. Shepherd, C., Skelton, A.J., Rushton, M.D., Reynard, L.N. and Loughlin, J. (2015) Expression analysis of the osteoarthritis genetic susceptibility locus mapping to an intron of the MCF2L gene and marked by the polymorphism rs11842874. BMC Med. Genet., 16, 108.

32. Reynard, L.N., Ratnayake, M., Santibanez-Koref, M. and Loughlin, J. (2016) Functional characterization of the osteoarthritis susceptibility mapping to CHST11—a bioinformatics and molecular study. PLoS One, 11, e0159024.

33. Teare, M.D., Pinyakorn, S., Heighway, J. and Santibanez-Koref, M.F. (2011) Comparing methods for mapping cis acting polymorphisms using allelic expression ratios. PLoS One, 6, e28636.

34. Kokenyesi, R., Tan, L., Robbins, J.R. and Goldring, M.B. (2000) Proteoglycan production by immortalized human chondrocyte cell lines cultured under conditions that promote expression of the differentiated phenotype. Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 383, 79–90.

35. Takahashi, A., de Andres, M.C., Hashimoto, K., Itoi, E., Otero, M., Goldring, M.B. and Oreffo, R.O.C. (2017) DNA methylation of the RUNX2 P1 promoter mediates MMP13 transcription in chondrocytes. Sci. Rep., 7, 7771.