The MASSIVE Survey. XII. Connecting Stellar Populations of Early-type Galaxies to Kinematics and Environment
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Abstract

We measure the stellar populations as a function of the radius for 90 early-type galaxies (ETGs) in the MASSIVE survey, a volume-limited integral-field spectroscopic (IFS) galaxy survey targeting all northern-sky ETGs with an absolute $K$-band magnitude of $M_K < -25.3$ mag or a stellar mass of $M_* \geq 4 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$, within 108 Mpc. We are able to measure reliable stellar population parameters for individual galaxies out to $10-20$ kpc ($1-3 R_e$) depending on the galaxy. Focusing on $\sim R_e$ ($\sim 10$ kpc), we find significant correlations between the abundance ratios, $\alpha$, and $M_*$ at a large radius, but we also find that the abundance ratios saturate in the highest-mass bin. We see a strong correlation between the kurtosis of the line-of-sight velocity distribution ($\kappa$) and the stellar population parameters beyond $R_e$. Galaxies with higher radial anisotropy appear to be older, with metal-poorer stars and enhanced [$\alpha$/Fe]. We suggest that the higher radial anisotropy may derive from more accretion of small satellites. Finally, we see some evidence for correlations between environmental metrics (measured locally and on >5 Mpc scales) and the stellar populations, as expected if satellites are quenched earlier in denser environments.
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1. Introduction

The late-time assembly history of massive early-type galaxies remains a topic of ongoing interest. At early times, typical quiescent galaxies were quite compact (e.g., van der Wel et al. 2008; van Dokkum et al. 2008). At the present time, massive early-type galaxies typically have extended envelopes (e.g., Schombert 1986; Kormendy et al. 2009; Huang et al. 2013, 2018b). To some degree, the larger sizes reflect that at a fixed mass, larger galaxies join the red sequence at later times (e.g., Newman et al. 2012), but most massive galaxies also likely build up their outskirts through the accretion of smaller satellites that dissolve at a large radius (e.g., Bezanson et al. 2009; Naab et al. 2009). Cosmological hydrodynamical simulations from numerous groups have shown that there are two phases to the buildup of stellar mass in massive early-type galaxies, with a gas-rich phase forming a compact core at early times ($z \approx 2$) followed by dissipationless merging at late times (e.g., Oser et al. 2010; Rodriguez-Gomez et al. 2016; Wellons et al. 2016). From an observational perspective, it is still unclear what fraction of the size growth can be explained by minor mergers as opposed to the quenching of larger galaxies (e.g., Valentiniuzzi et al. 2010; Newman et al. 2012; Barro et al. 2013). Observations of the stellar populations and kinematics of local galaxies at a large radius may provide complementary insights into the assembly history of massive galaxies.

In the simulations, the fraction of accreted (“ex situ”) stars rises with both halo mass and stellar mass (e.g., Oser et al. 2010; Rodriguez-Gomez et al. 2016). Photometric observations of local massive early-type galaxies have presented some confirmation for a two-phase formation scenario (Huang et al. 2013; D’Souza et al. 2014; Oh et al. 2017), while Huang et al. (2018a, 2018b) present empirical evidence that the ex situ fraction correlates both with stellar and halo mass. Spectroscopic observations should provide complementary constraints on the assembly history of massive elliptical galaxies. More specifically, the radial gradients in stellar populations should encode the buildup of stellar mass, particularly if we can reach to a large radius. It is very challenging to obtain high signal-to-noise ratio ($S/N$) observations at large galactocentric radius, and the bulk of papers looking at radial gradients in stellar populations have worked with $R_e$ (e.g., Spinrad & Taylor 1971; Mehlert et al. 2003; Annibali et al. 2007; Spolaor et al. 2010; Jimmy et al. 2013; McDermid et al. 2015; Goddard et al. 2017). In recent years, integral-field spectroscopy (IFS) has enabled stellar population measurements at a large radius (e.g., Greene et al. 2013, 2015; Scott et al. 2013; McDermid et al. 2015; Boardman et al. 2017; Goddard et al. 2017; Barone et al. 2018; van de Sande et al. 2018).

Here, we focus on the most massive galaxies in the present-day universe using the MASSIVE survey (Ma et al. 2014). We have gathered integral-field data for 90 MASSIVE galaxies and measured their stellar kinematics, including higher-order moments (Veale et al. 2017a, 2017b), stellar velocity dispersion profiles (Veale et al. 2018), and kinemetry (Ene et al. 2018). In addition, we have Hubble Space Telescope (HST)/Wide Field Camera 3 (WFC3) imaging for 30 of the galaxies (Goullaud et al. 2018) and uniform Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) $K$-band imaging for nearly all objects.
2. Galaxy Sample

MASSIVE is a volume-limited survey of 116 galaxies (see details in Veale et al. 2017b) in the northern hemisphere within $D < 108$ Mpc (i.e., to the distance of the Coma cluster), with K-band magnitudes of $M_K < -25.3$ mag (roughly $M^* > 10^{11.5} M_{\odot}$). Details of the target selection are described in Ma et al. (2014). Briefly, our targets are drawn from the Two Micron All-Sky Survey (2MASS; Skrutskie et al. 2006) Extended Source Catalog (XSC; Jarrett et al. 2003) combined with distances from the high-density contrast (HDC) group catalog from Crook et al. (2007), supplemented by surface brightness fluctuations when available (Blakeslee et al. 2009, 2010; Blakeslee 2013) and with the flow model of Mould et al. (2000) when needed.

We have completed IFS observations for 90 galaxies, including complete coverage of the 75 galaxies that have $M_K < -25.5$ mag. We use the Mitchell IFS at the McDonald Observatory (Hill et al. 2008), which has a 107 $\times$ 107 arcsec$^2$ field of view, one-third of which is filled by 246 fibers of a 4" diameter. Each galaxy is observed at three dither positions with 20-minute exposures, interspersed with 10-minute sky observations. The spectra span 3650–5850 Å, with a spectral resolution of 4.5 Å FWHM. The data are reduced using the custom software Vaccine (Adams et al. 2011; Murphy et al. 2011). For more details, see Greene et al. (2015).

A primary goal of the MASSIVE survey is to measure spatially resolved stellar kinematics for dynamical modeling (e.g., Thomas et al. 2016). To achieve a mean signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of at least 20 pixel$^{-1}$, we group individual fibers into spatial bins and co-add the spectra from fibers in a given bin into a single spectrum. The binning scheme is described in detail in Veale et al. (2017a), but we summarize the procedure here briefly for completeness. Central fibers with $S/Ns > 20$ are kept as their own individual bins. Outside of the central regions, the fibers are “folded” across the major axis to boost the S/N. The fibers are then grouped into annular bins, and each annulus is subdivided into an even number of angular bins. The radial extent of each bin is chosen to achieve the target S/N of 20, which is subject to the constraint that the aspect ratio of each bin, $[0.5(R_{\text{outer}} + R_{\text{inner}})/R_{\text{outer}} - R_{\text{inner}}]$, be less than or equal to 1.5. The radial extent of the bins increases outward until it is no longer possible to achieve an S/N $> 20$, at which point the remaining fibers are binned into two large radial bins.

Stellar kinematics are measured in each bin using pPXF (Cappellari & Emsellem 2004) and are presented in Veale et al. (2017a), including the stellar velocity and velocity dispersion and also higher-order moments. The kinematics as a function of the environment are analyzed in Veale et al. (2017b, 2018). Studies of the misalignments between kinematic and photometric axes and local velocity features, such as kinematically distinct components, are presented in Ene et al. (2018), where an “unfolded” binning scheme was used.

2.1. Environment Measures

We use two probes of the density field, as described in detail in Veale et al. (2017b). One useful measure of the galaxy environment is the large-scale density field surrounding a galaxy on the scale of several Mpc. For this, we use the density field from Carrick et al. (2015), based on the 2M++ redshift catalog (Lavaux & Hudson 2011). The 2M++ covers nearly the full sky to a depth of $K = 12.5$ mag, and includes 69,160 galaxies from the 2MASS Redshift Survey (2MRS), the Sloan Digital Sky Survey Data Release 7 (SDSS DR7; Abazajian et al. 2009), and the 6dF galaxy redshift survey Data Release 3 (6dFGGRS DR3; Jones et al. 2009). The Carrick et al. (2015) galaxy density contrast of $\delta_g \equiv (\rho_g - \bar{\rho})/\bar{\rho}$ is the luminosity-weighted density contrast smoothed with a 5.7 Mpc Gaussian kernel.

We also calculate $v_{10}$, a local galaxy density, by taking the distance to the tenth nearest neighbor and measuring the luminosity enclosed in this region. As discussed in detail in Appendix A of Veale et al. (2017b), we adopt an absolute magnitude limit of $M_K = -23$ mag to identify the tenth nearest neighbor. Given the magnitude limit of the 2MASS parent sample, we start to lose neighbors for MASSIVE galaxies beyond 80 Mpc. However, Veale et al. (2017b) estimate that the $v_{10}$ values are impacted at a low level by this incompleteness.

2.2. Photometry

Our size and surface-brightness measurements come from CFHT K-band imaging (M. E. Quenneville et al. 2019, in preparation). Elliptical isophotes are fitted to each galaxy using ARCHANGEL (Schomber 2007). Then, a curve of growth is fitted to the cumulative aperture luminosities as a function of the radius to yield the magnitude of the galaxy. The half-light or the effective radius are determined as the radius enclosing 50% of the light determined from the curve of the growth analysis. These radii are measured along the major axis and have not been circularized. We find that the radii measured in this way are systematically larger than the 2MASS radii by 20% on average (see details in M. E. Quenneville et al. 2019, in preparation). The adopted effective radii for the 84 galaxies with both CFHT photometry and Mitchell IFS data are included in Table 1.

We measure the outer slope of the surface brightness profile ($\Delta \Sigma/\Delta \log R$), following Pillepich et al. (2014) and Cook et al. (2016), who show from Illustris simulations (Vogelsberger et al. 2013) that this slope tracks the ex situ fraction (see also Huang et al. 2018). Using the ARCHANGEL radial profiles, we fit surface brightness as a function of the log of the radius in $R_e$ units, following Cook et al. We do not have uniform coverage to 4 $R_e$ for all targets (Figure 1), so we explore how robust the outer slopes are as a function of radial coverage. We have 14 targets with coverage beyond 4 $R_e$. For this sample, we measure the slope from 2 $R_e$ out to 3 $R_e$, and 4 $R_e$. We find that the slopes measured within the two radial range are well correlated, although those measured within the more restricted radii are slightly smaller on average by 0.2. We thus adopt the slope measured between 2 and 3 $R_e$ (hereafter $\Delta \Sigma_{23}$), as it can be uniformly measured for 80 of the galaxies in our sample.

3. Stellar Population Measurements

In this work, Lick indices are measured to trace the stellar populations. Lick indices were originally developed as a way to extract stellar population information from spectra without flux calibration (Burstein 1985; Faber et al. 1985; Worthey et al. 1992; Trager et al. 1998). Each Lick index is a narrow region (typically $\sim$20 Å wide) that is mostly dominated by a single element. Isolating these regions allows us to study the age (through the Balmer lines and particular H$\beta$), metallicity ([Fe/H] through Fe lines), and variable abundance ratios. Of
course, in practice, no window is impacted purely by a single element; particularly, at the velocity dispersion of our galaxies (200–400 km s\(^{-1}\)), all indices are blends of multiple elements, as summarized nicely in Table 1 of Graves & Schiavon (2008).

We adopt the code lick, ew (Graves & Schiavon 2008) measures the Lick indices, and these are fed to EZ_Ages (Graves & Schiavon 2008) to convert the Lick indices into physical parameters (age, [Fe/H], and [\(\alpha/Fe\)]). The code uses pairs of indices, starting with H\(\beta\) and \(\langle\text{Fe}\rangle\), to solve for the age, abundance and abundance ratios iteratively. The models use response functions from Korn et al. (2005) and synthesis models from Schiavon (2007).

We follow Schiavon (2007) and quote [Fe/H], which is directly inferred from the Fe indices, rather than quote a total metallicity. Total metallicity depends on oxygen (the most abundant heavy element), and we do not measure oxygen directly. Instead, we generally assume that [O/Fe] tracks [Mg/Fe]. In our standard runs, we utilize the \(\alpha\)-enhanced isochrone from Salaris et al. (2000) and the default assumption that [O/Fe] = 0.5 to match the \(\alpha\)-enhanced isochrone value. Since the development of EZ_Ages, more sophisticated modeling schemes have been developed that implicitly solve for [O/Fe] using Lick indices (Thomas et al. 2011; Johansson et al. 2012; Worthey et al. 2014). In additional, full spectral modeling takes advantage of information in all of the pixels and boosts the S/N of the final determinations. This is our goal for the future. Since Conroy et al. (2014) show that Lick methods and full spectral fitting recover the same basic ages, metallicities, and abundance ratios from the same set of SDSS spectra, it is possible to intercompare our results with both literatures.

### 3.1. Radial Coverage

With the advent of integral-field data, it has become increasingly clear that the aperture used for stellar population measurements impacts the final result; for instance, Barone et al. (2018) uncover trends with galaxy densities and stellar populations within the effective radius that do not hold for “central” values. Thus, we wish to exploit our IFS data by measuring all properties within multiple standardized radii, both in physical and \(R_e\) units. In this subsection, we first describe the final sample that we adopt, after removing a few galaxies with a more limited radial coverage or S/N. We then discuss the primary measurements that we use at different radii. Ultimately, we will adopt luminosity-weighted measurements measured at 0.9 \(R_e\) and 1.5 \(R_e\), as well as fixed physical radii of 9 kpc and 15 kpc, as motivated by the radial coverage of our data.

We start with 90 MASSIVE galaxies with Mitchell IFS data. We remove five galaxies (NGC 910, NGC 1226, NGC 7052, UGC 3021, and UGC 10918) from consideration for all large-radius tests, due to their very limited radial coverage (in these cases, due to poor observing conditions). We exclude them from the analysis of both radial gradients and large-radius measurements. These five galaxies do not have any other properties in common (e.g., they are neither the most or least massive, or the largest or smallest galaxies). We then examine the radial coverage of our IFS observations for the remaining galaxies. In Figure 1, we show the maximum radial extent of our binned data in kpc and in \(R_e\)-scaled units. In the more massive galaxies, we can reach larger physical radii, but the

---

**Table 1**

| Gal   | \(M^*\) | \(\sigma\) | \(R_e\) | \(\Delta\Sigma_{23}\) | [Fe/H]_0 | [Fe/H]_e | \[\Delta[Fe/H]/\Delta \log R\] | \[\alpha/Fe\]_0 | \[\alpha/Fe\]_e | \[\Delta[\alpha/Fe]/\Delta \log R\] |
|-------|---------|-----------|--------|---------------------|---------|---------|-----------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| NGC 0057 | 11.8 | 251 | 6.3 | -1.93 ± 0.10 | -0.07 ± 0.06 | -0.24 ± 0.06 | -0.27 ± 0.05 | 0.38 ± 0.05 | 0.36 ± 0.05 | -0.034 ± 0.05 |
| NGC 0080 | 11.8 | 222 | 8.4 | -2.30 ± 0.18 | -0.10 ± 0.05 | -0.31 ± 0.05 | -0.11 ± 0.11 | 0.31 ± 0.03 | 0.27 ± 0.04 | 0.077 ± 0.07 |
| NGC 0315 | 12.0 | 341 | 9.2 | -2.67 ± 0.08 | -0.14 ± 0.04 | -0.16 ± 0.03 | -0.04 ± 0.06 | 0.34 ± 0.03 | 0.28 ± 0.03 | -0.079 ± 0.06 |
| NGC 0383 | 11.8 | 257 | 8.0 | -1.91 ± 0.05 | -0.13 ± 0.05 | -0.12 ± 0.05 | -0.06 ± 0.27 | 0.42 ± 0.05 | 0.38 ± 0.04 | -0.058 ± 0.09 |

**Note.** The following is provided for guidance on form and content. Col. (1): galaxy name. Col. (2): stellar mass \((M_*)\). Col. (3): average stellar velocity dispersion \((\text{km s}^{-1})\). Col. (4): effective (half-light) radius \((\text{km s}^{-1})\). Col. (5): [Fe/H] value measured within <2 kpc (dex). Col. (6): [Fe/H] value measured at 0.9 \(R_e\) (dex). Col. (7): \(\alpha\)-enhanced isochrone from Salasnich et al. (2000) and the default assumption that [O/Fe] = 0.5 to match the \(\alpha\)-enhanced isochrone value. Since the development of EZ_Ages, more sophisticated modeling schemes have been developed that implicitly solve for [O/Fe] using Lick indices (Thomas et al. 2011; Johansson et al. 2012; Worthey et al. 2014). In additional, full spectral modeling takes advantage of information in all of the pixels and boosts the S/N of the final determinations. This is our goal for the future. Since Conroy et al. (2014) show that Lick methods and full spectral fitting recover the same basic ages, metallicities, and abundance ratios from the same set of SDSS spectra, it is possible to intercompare our results with both literatures.
galaxies are also much larger. Thus, in $R_e$ units, we reach to larger distances in the lower-mass galaxies. By inspecting Figure 1, we choose to take a measurement at $0.8 - 1 R_e$ that includes most (74) of the galaxies. We also examine trends measured at $1.5 R_e$ for 58 galaxies, but we systematically exclude the most massive galaxies in this sample due to the very large size of these galaxies and the limited field of view of the instrument. The trends with the galaxy mass and size are somewhat different when we consider fixed physical apertures. We determine that we can reach $\sim 10$ kpc for 73 galaxies, with no real trend in mass or $\sigma$ for the galaxies that are excluded. These tend to be the smallest galaxies. We reach smaller physical radii in physically smaller galaxies because we require $S/N > 20$ to make the stellar population measurements, and the smallest galaxies have very low surface brightness at 10 kpc. We also take a measurement at 15 kpc for 45 galaxies; although, in this case, we systematically exclude the lower-mass galaxies, which are both smaller on average and fainter, thus making measurements at large physical radii most challenging.

To determine the measurements at each of these radii, we simply take the measured radial profiles in [Fe/H] and [$\alpha$/Fe] and interpolate to the radius of choice by weighting each measurement by the radial distance (see Appendix). These measurements at fixed radii are the primary way that we investigate the spatial variation in stellar populations, which is in conjunction with the radial gradients that we describe in the next section.

3.2. Gradients in StellarPopulations

We measure the radial gradients as linear fits to each stellar population parameter as a function of the logarithm (base 10) of the radius. The fits are linear and include the error bars in the parameters. We show the radial profiles of all of the galaxies in the Appendix, with the gradient fits superposed. As emphasized above, the aperture used to measure the gradients can be very important, so we experiment with measuring the gradients over different radial ranges. We try adopting different inner and outer radial coverage by measuring gradients between 1 and 10 kpc, 2 and 10 kpc, and then just truncate the inner radii at 1 or 2 kpc but allow the outer coverage to extend as far as possible. We find that there is a large variation in measured slopes when the inner radius is changed. For [$\alpha$/Fe], taking the same outer radius but changing the inner radius leads to a scatter in slopes of roughly 0.1. For the [Fe/H] measurements, the scatter is larger (0.15 in slope). However, if the inner radius is kept constant while the outer radius is varied, the scatter is reduced by a factor of two. Thus, we choose to maximize the radial coverage available for individual objects and take a standard inner radius of 1 kpc, but allow the outer radius to...
float depending on the object. When we investigate trends with gradients, we exclude galaxies that have fewer than 10 radial points to define the gradient.

The [Fe/H] gradients are generally negative, with a median value of $-0.26$ dex per decade in the radius (Figure 2). Only one galaxy (NGC 6223) has a gradient that is consistent (at the $2\sigma$ level) with having a positive gradient ($\Delta[Fe/H]/\Delta \log R = 0.26 \pm 0.12$). Unlike the [Fe/H] gradients, the [$\alpha$/Fe] gradients are nearly all consistent with being flat (Figure 2), with a median value ($-0.03$) that is only very slightly negative. There are four galaxies that have [$\alpha$/Fe] gradients that are $>3\sigma$ away from being zero. One (NGC 2256) has a positive gradient ($\Delta[\alpha/Fe]/\Delta \log R = 0.23 \pm 0.07$). Three have negative slopes (NGC 1272, UGC 02783, and NGC 1453) with $\Delta[\alpha/Fe]/\Delta \log R = -0.19 \pm 0.06$, $-0.23 \pm 0.08$, $-0.19 \pm 0.05$. These outliers are likely to be real; if we assume that all [$\alpha$/Fe] gradients have the same intrinsic value of $-0.03$ and perturb each measurement by its uncertainty, we expect to detect three $>3\sigma$ outliers in the full sample only 1% of the time, and we find four outliers. On the other hand, the one positive [Fe/H] gradient could be marginally consistent with pure scatter. If we assume that all of the [Fe/H] gradients are intrinsically equal to the mean $-0.3$ dex per decade, then, given our error bars, we expect to find a positive gradient 2% of the time.

4. Scaling Relations between Stellar Populations and Galaxy Properties

Early-type galaxies are known to exhibit scaling relationships between their structural properties and their stellar populations (e.g., Trager et al. 2000a; Thomas et al. 2005; Graves et al. 2009). Only recently, with the advent of large IFS surveys, has it become possible to examine these scaling relationships outside of the central regions of the galaxies (e.g., Greene et al. 2015; Barone et al. 2018; van de Sande et al. 2018), and since there are gradients in the metallicity with the radius, different trends have different dependencies on the aperture used.

In this section, we focus on possible trends between structural properties of our galaxies and their stellar populations in the center and at a large radius. Specifically, we examine stellar mass as inferred from the $K$-band magnitude, using a dynamically derived mass-to-light ratio (see details in Cappellari 2013; Ma et al. 2014). We also look at the stellar velocity dispersion, and since we are interested in our galaxies on large scales, we use the luminosity weighted average $\sigma$ over all Mitchell bins within $R_e$ (Table 1, column 12 in Veale et al. 2017a). We also consider proxies for the gravitational potential $\Phi \propto M^*/R_e$ and the stellar surface density $\Sigma \propto M^*/R_e^2$ following Barone et al. (2018), who study a galaxy sample of a (typically) lower $M^*$ with the Sydney-AAO Multi-object Integral-field unit (SAM1). Motivated by the Illustris works of Pillepich et al. (2014) and Cook et al. (2016), we investigate correlations between the stellar population parameters and the slope of the surface brightness profile $\Delta\Sigma_{23} = \Delta\Sigma/\Delta \log R(2–3 R_e)$ (Section 2.2; Figure 3).

4.1. Structural Correlations with the Galaxy Centers

By virtue of our IFS data, we have stellar population measurements out to $>10$ kpc ($\sim R_e$ or beyond; Figure 1) for the majority of the galaxies in our sample. Our primary interest is to investigate the stellar content in the outer parts of the galaxies, but first we show briefly that our central measurements are consistent with prior work (for more detailed comparisons, see Greene et al. 2012, 2013). We construct “central” stellar population measurements using all of the fibers contained within 2 kpc of the galaxy center. These are luminosity-weighted measurements to mimic the process for individual SDSS fibers. We then ask whether our central measurements correlate with $\sigma$ or $M^*$. To test for correlation, we use the nonparametric Spearman correlation coefficient. The results of our correlation tests are presented in Table 2 and Figure 2, where we only include “significant” correlations with a probability $P < 0.05$ of the null hypothesis.

In keeping with prior results, we find very strong correlations between [$\alpha$/Fe] and $\sigma$ ($\rho = 0.5$, $P = 1 \times 10^{-5}$ of a null result), significant correlations between [$\alpha$/Fe] and $M^*$ ($\rho = 0.26$, $P = 0.017$), and weak to no correlation between [Fe/H] and $M^*$ ($\rho = 0.22$, $P = 0.04$) or $\sigma$ ($\rho = 0.13$, $P = 0.23$; e.g., Trager et al. 2000b; Graves et al. 2009; Wake et al. 2012; Conroy et al. 2014).

We also find an interesting hint that [$\alpha$/Fe] saturates above $M^* = 10^{11.8} M_{\odot}$. At the lowest masses covered in our sample, [$\alpha$/Fe] shows an increasing trend, but then seems to flatten out at the highest masses. Quantitatively, galaxies in a stellar mass bin of $M^* = 10^{11.8} – 10^{12} M_{\odot}$ and all galaxies more massive than this limit, both have a consistent weighted mean [$\alpha$/Fe] = 0.32 $\pm$ 0.01. This consistency at high mass holds independently of exactly how we divide the two mass bins. This convergence may be a sign that major mergers are needed to make these most massive galaxies. Simulations also show that at the highest stellar masses, the predominance of more
major mergers leads to a convergence of properties for high-mass central and satellite galaxies (e.g., Wetzel et al. 2013).

By virtue of our IFU data and high-S/N spectra, we are able to look for correlations with other kinematic tracers beyond σ. We see intriguing correlations with the higher-order moments of the line-of-sight velocity distribution (LOSVD). Using a Gauss–Hermite decomposition, we model the LOSVD with the velocity, velocity dispersion, and four higher-order moments (h3–h6), as described in detail in Veale et al. (2017a).

Specifically, for spectrum \( f(v) \), mean velocity \( V \), velocity dispersion \( \sigma \), and the number of higher orders \( n = 6 \), we have:

\[
f(v) \propto \frac{\exp\left(\frac{(v-V)^2}{\sigma^2}\right)}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \left[ 1 + \sum_{m=3}^{n} h_m H_m\left(\frac{v-V}{\sigma}\right)\right].
\]

Therefore, the \( H_m(x) \) are the Hermite polynomials:

\[
H_m(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m!}} \exp[x^2] \left( -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^m \exp[-x^2].
\]

The third term, \( h3 \), is the skewness, and the fourth term, \( h4 \), is the kurtosis. To maximize the S/N, we use a light-weighted average h4 measurement (see details in Veale et al. 2017a). In the galaxy center, we see a correlation between \( h4 \) and \([\alpha/Fe]\) that is as strong \((\rho = 0.5, P = 2 \times 10^5)\) as the correlation between \( \sigma \) and \([\alpha/Fe]\) (Figure 4). We see no correlation between \( h4 \) and central values of [Fe/H] \((\rho = -0.0073, P = 0.95)\).

### 4.2. Structural Correlations with the Outskirts

We then extend the above analysis to the outer regions of the galaxies and a broader range of structural parameters. In all cases, we seek correlations between the stellar populations measured at fixed \( R_e \)-scaled radii (0.9 \( R_e \) and 1.5 \( R_e \)), fixed physical radii (9 kpc and 15 kpc), and gradients measured beyond 1 kpc (Section 3.2). All significant correlations are included in Table 2. The correlations we saw between central \([\alpha/Fe]\) and both \( \sigma \) and \( M^* \) persist when we investigate the outer parts of the galaxies (\( \sigma - [\alpha/Fe] \) at 0.9 \( R_e \); \( \rho = 0.5, P = 10^{-5} \); \( M^* - [\alpha/Fe] \) at 0.9 \( R_e \); \( \rho = 0.4, P = 0.0003 \)). This is not surprising, since we do not measure significant gradients in \([\alpha/Fe]\) (Figure 2).

In contrast, no compelling correlations between \( \sigma \) or \( M^* \) emerges with [Fe/H] or its gradients at a large radius (\( \sigma - [\alpha/Fe] \) at 0.9 \( R_e \); \( \rho = -0.12, P = 0.3; M^* - [\alpha/Fe] \) at 0.9 \( R_e \); \( \rho = -0.13, P = 0.26 \)). We will discuss the correlations with higher-order moments in the following section.

Like Barone et al. (2018), we find a significant correlation between \( M^*/R_e \) \((\rho = 0.3, P = 0.006)\); a proxy for the gravitational potential, and \( M^*/R_e^2 \) \((\rho = 0.3, P = 0.004)\); the stellar surface density) with [Fe/H] measured at 0.9 \( R_e \) (Figure 3, Table 2), and in common with that work, we find no such correlation for the central stellar populations, only those measured at a larger radius. A higher metallicity in a higher surface mass density and/or higher gravitational potential strongly suggests that the stars in question were formed in a similar potential to their current one, and that the metal retention rate is set by the gravitational potential.

We next examine the correlation between stellar populations and \( \Delta \Sigma_{23} \) (the gradient in the surface brightness profile measured between 2 and 3 \( R_e \)). We are motivated by results from Illustrious (Vogelsberger et al. 2013) that show that the surface brightness slope grows shallower as galaxies accrete more of their stars from external galaxies (Pillepich et al. 2014). Cook et al. (2016) expand on this finding to show that the metallicity gradients also grow shallower as the amount of accretion increases. We do find a similar range in surface brightness slopes in our data to that presented by Cook et al. (2016) for a similar stellar mass range. However, we do not detect a significant correlation between the gradients in stellar population parameters and the gradients in surface brightness (with \( P > 0.3 \) in both cases), nor do we find a correlation between \( \Delta \Sigma_{23} \) and \([\alpha/Fe]\) measured at any radius (\( P > 0.2 \) in all cases). \( \Delta \Sigma_{23} \) is positively correlated with [Fe/H] measured at 0.9 \( R_e \) \((\rho = 0.28, P = 0.02)\) and [Fe/H] at 1.5 \( R_e \) \((\rho = 0.26, P = 0.05)\).

Finally, we check for correlations between the stellar population gradients and the ratio of rotational to dispersion support (\( \lambda; \) Emsellem et al. 2007; Veale et al. 2017a), as well as look for any interesting stellar population properties for galaxies with counter-rotating components (Ene et al. 2018), but we did not find any significant trends.

### 4.3. The Correlation between h4 and Outer Stellar Populations

As described above, we are able to measure not only \( V \) and \( \sigma \) robustly, but also deviations from Gaussian LOSVDs from our high S/N data. We are particularly interested in the kurtosis, \( h4 \). The high kurtosis can be a sign of radial anisotropy, which in turn may point to stellar accretion events (e.g., Wu et al. 2014; Amorisco 2017). We investigate possible correlations.

### Table 2: Structural Correlations

| Stellar Pop. | Radius | Gal. Prop. | \( N_e \) | \( \rho \) | \( P \) |
|-------------|--------|------------|--------|------|-----|
| \([\alpha/Fe]\) | \(< 2 \) | \( \sigma \) | 87 | 0.4 | \( 4 \times 10^{-5} \) |
| \([\alpha/Fe]\) | 0.9 \( R_e \) | \( \sigma \) | 74 | 0.5 | \( 1 \times 10^{-5} \) |
| \([\alpha/Fe]\) | 9 kpc | \( \sigma \) | 73 | 0.4 | 0.00018 |
| \([\alpha/Fe]\) | 1.5 \( R_e \) | \( \sigma \) | 58 | 0.6 | \( 4 \times 10^{-6} \) |
| \([\alpha/Fe]\) | 15 kpc | \( \sigma \) | 45 | 0.4 | 0.0003 |
| \([\alpha/Fe]\) | \(< 2 \) | \( M' \) | 87 | 0.3 | 0.02 |
| \([\alpha/Fe]\) | 0.9 \( R_e \) | \( M' \) | 74 | 0.4 | 0.0003 |
| \([\alpha/Fe]\) | 9 kpc | \( M' \) | 73 | 0.4 | 0.0003 |
| \([\alpha/Fe]\) | 15 kpc | \( M' \) | 45 | 0.4 | 0.002 |
| \([\alpha/Fe]\) | \(< 2 \) kpc | \( h4 \) | 87 | 0.4 | 0.002 |
| \([\alpha/Fe]\) | 0.9 \( R_e \) | \( h4 \) | 74 | 0.5 | \( 2 \times 10^{-5} \) |
| \([\alpha/Fe]\) | 9 kpc | \( h4 \) | 73 | 0.4 | 0.0001 |
| \([\alpha/Fe]\) | 1.5 \( R_e \) | \( h4 \) | 74 | 0.5 | \( 7 \times 10^{-5} \) |
| \([\alpha/Fe]\) | 15 kpc | \( h4 \) | 73 | 0.4 | 0.003 |
| [Fe/H] | \(< 2 \) kpc | \( M' \) | 87 | 0.2 | 0.04 |
| [Fe/H] | 0.9 \( R_e \) | \( M'/R \) | 74 | 0.3 | 0.006 |
| [Fe/H] | 0.9 \( R_e \) | \( M'/R^2 \) | 74 | 0.3 | 0.004 |
| [Fe/H] | 0.9 \( R_e \) | \( h4 \) | 73 | -0.3 | 0.003 |
| [Fe/H] | 0.9 \( R_e \) | \( \Delta \Sigma_{23} \) | 81 | 0.3 | 0.02 |

Note. We investigate correlation coefficients (using Spearman’s \( \rho \)) between [Fe/H] and \([\alpha/Fe]\) and their gradients, and the structural parameters \( \sigma \), outer gradient in the \( \sigma \) profile \((\gamma_\sigma)\), \( M^*, M'/R, M'/R^2\), and the slope of the surface-brightness profile. Correlation coefficients with \( P < 0.05 \) are included here. Col. (1): stellar population parameter. Col. (2): radial extraction radius. Col. (3): galaxy property. Col. (4): number of galaxies included in the correlation test. Col. (5): Spearman \( \rho \). Col. (6): Spearman probability.
between $h4$ and the stellar populations in the outer parts of the galaxy (Figure 4). To maximize the S/N, we use the light-weighted average $h4$ measurement from Veale et al. (2018).

We find that the global $h4$ measurement correlates with the $r = 0.9R_e$ measurements of both [Fe/H] ($\rho = -0.33$, $P = 0.003$) and [$\alpha$/Fe] ($\rho = 0.48$, $P = 2 \times 10^{-5}$). The correlation between [$\alpha$/Fe] and $h4$ is comparably strong to that between [$\alpha$/Fe] and $\sigma$. In the case of [Fe/H], we do not see a correlation with $\sigma$ at all, but detect a very significant correlation with $h4$ at $R \approx 0.9R_e$. This correlation persists even if we remove the four points with the lowest [Fe/H] $< -0.4$. Thus, we do not believe that the correlation with $h4$ is somehow derivative of the correlation with $\sigma$. Likewise, there is a known correlation between $h4$ and stellar mass in this sample (Veale et al. 2017a), but [Fe/H] at 0.9 $R_e$ and $M^*$ are not correlated either ($\rho = -0.13$, $P = 0.26$). To double check that the correlation between stellar populations and $h4$ is not derivative of correlations with stellar mass, we also perform a linear fit to [$\alpha$/Fe] and [Fe/H] versus stellar mass, dividing into two $h4$ bins. We find significant differences in the zero point for both [$\alpha$/Fe] ($0.22 \pm 0.006$ for $h4 < 0.029$; $0.29 \pm 0.007$ for $h4 > 0.029$) and [Fe/H] ($-0.15 \pm 0.007$ for low $h4$; $-0.28 \pm 0.009$ for high $h4$) at 0.9 $R_e$. This difference confirms our claim that even at fixed mass, there are real differences in the stellar populations as a function of $h4$.

One concern is that template mismatch may cause an apparent correlation between $h4$ and stellar population properties, where $h4$ rises to compensate, for instance, if the template library does not have stars with high [$\alpha$/Fe] and low [Fe/H]. To investigate this possible bias, we look for a correlation between the local values of $h4$, [Fe/H], and [$\alpha$/Fe] measured in each spatial bin of individual galaxies. Restricting our attention to systems with more than 15 radial bins, we find that only $\sim$6% show a correlation between local $h4$ and [$\alpha$/Fe] (with the majority showing an anticorrelation, rather than the positive correlation seen for the ensemble) and $\sim$14% show

---

Figure 4. Left: relationship between the higher-order moment of the LOSVD, $h4$, and [Fe/H], as measured in the galaxy center (<2 kpc), 0.9 $R_e$, and the gradient in [Fe/H]. We see no correlation with the central values, but see a clear and strong correlation with [Fe/H] as measured at $R_e$. This correlation is not seen with $\sigma$, so it appears to be an independent relationship. Right: same as the left panel, but now the relationship between $h4$ and [$\alpha$/Fe] as measured with the same apertures. The correlations are comparably strong as those seen with $\sigma$, and in the same sense (higher $h4$ corresponds to higher [$\alpha$/Fe]).
correlation between [Fe/H] and b4, split between positive and negative correlations. We thus conclude that the correlations we see between b4 and the outer stellar population properties are not caused by template mismatch.

### 4.4. Correlations with the Environment

We now look for trends between stellar populations and large-scale (δg) and local (ν) environmental measures (these are reviewed in Section 2.1). First, we naïvely investigate correlations between [Fe/H] and [α/Fe] (or gradients therein) with δg or ν without controlling for stellar mass. Table 3 contains all of the correlations with P < 0.05, again using the Spearman rank correlation test. No central stellar population parameters show any correlations with environmental measures (P > 0.1 in all cases), but we see significant correlations between both environmental measures and [Fe/H] and [α/Fe] at larger radii (Figure 5). In general, correlations with δg (the large-scale environment measure) appear more significant than those with ν (the local indicator). The sense of the trend is that [Fe/H] is lower and [α/Fe] is higher in overdense regions. This type of trend is as we would expect if galaxies in the overdense regions formed earlier. We see a weak correlation between gradients in [α/Fe] and δg, which were not able to detect with our earlier stacking analysis in Greene et al. (2015).

Given the strong covariance between the stellar mass and environment (see review in Blanton & Moustakas 2009), and the observed correlation between M* and stellar population parameters (Figure 2), we must investigate whether the correlations between stellar population parameters and the environment are simply a biproduct of a dominant correlation with M* (e.g., Veale et al. 2017a; Veale et al. 2017b). We, therefore, fit a relation between M* and [Fe/H] or [α/Fe] in two bins of δg. We divide the sample at the median value of log(1 + δg) = 0.6 so that there is an even number of galaxies in each bin. The results are shown in Figure 6, and the fits are presented in Table 4.

We find significant slope differences in the M*[Fe/H] and M*–[α/Fe] fits to the two density bins. Specifically, for both [Fe/H] and [α/Fe], the high-density systems show a much flatter relation between M* and stellar population parameters than in the systems in the lower-density environments. The slope differences are significantly different in all cases, although they are larger in the 0.9 Re bin. As shown by the figure, at high mass (where we have sparse data), the two density bins are convergent, while the different slopes arise mainly because the lower-density points have higher [Fe/H] and commensurately lower [α/Fe] than galaxies of similar masses in higher-density environments. Similar trends have been reported by a number of authors (Thomas et al. 2005; Liu et al. 2016; Gu et al. 2018, and see Section 5). Specifically, the ATLAS3D stellar population data from McDermid et al. (2015) show a similar split in [α/Fe] and [Fe/H] by local overdensity in their highest-mass bin.

We look at these trends binned in δg, which look at overdensities on ∼5 Mpc scales. Much of the literature has looked at ν or local overdensity. Discussing the relative merits of different environment indicators is beyond the scope of this work, but we note here that ν, in particular, can be a complex environmental indicator because, at low densities, it is sensitive to large-scale environment (the so-called “two-halo” term), while in dense regions, it probes small scales (or the “one-halo” term; see Woo et al. 2013). Accordingly, as shown by Veale et al. (2017b), ν and δg are well correlated at low densities (where ν probes >5 Mpc scales anyway) but diverge in high-density regions, where ν can grow much more rapidly. In our case, given our limited numbers, the median δg value of log(1 + δg) ~ 1.5 is close to the value where the two indicators diverge, and thus we see very similar trends when we play the same game with ν.

### 5. Discussion

#### 5.1. Ex Situ Fractions, Merger Mass Ratios, and Expected Stellar Populations

Motivated by observations of very compact sizes for quiescent galaxies at high redshifts (e.g., van Dokkum et al. 2008; van der Wel et al. 2014), theorists have examined the growth histories of massive galaxies and determined that there is often a two-phase growth. An early and rapid dissipational phase builds a compact central nugget and is followed by a buildup of the outer parts of galaxies via minor merging (e.g., Oser et al. 2010; Lackner et al. 2012; Rodriguez-Gomez et al. 2016; Wellons et al. 2016). However, the relative roles of minor merging and a progenitor bias in the aggregate growth of the galaxy population remains a matter of debate (e.g., Newman et al. 2012). Observations of light profiles of low-redshift early-type galaxies also provide some support for a two-phase picture (e.g., Huang et al. 2013; D’Souza et al. 2014; Oh et al. 2017), but adding stellar population and kinematic information at a large radius may add additional insight.

A number of cosmological and cosmological zoom studies have looked at how mass is accumulated in massive galaxies with time. They find that the fraction of total stellar mass brought in via mergers rises toward more massive galaxies (e.g., Oser et al. 2010; Lackner et al. 2012; Hirschmann et al. 2015). Despite this overall trend, there is considerable scatter from system to system because of different merger histories, as emphasized by Rodriguez-Gomez et al. (2016).

In terms of the radii that are most impacted by merging, the more massive and more tightly bound satellites deposit their stars closer to the center, while the lower-mass and less-bound systems deposit mass at a larger radius (see also Boylan-Kolchin & Ma 2007; Amorisco 2017). Accordingly, major mergers will tend
to flatten the steep gradients that arise from pure in situ formation (e.g., White 1980; Kobayashi 2004; Hirschmann et al. 2015). As the dominant merger type becomes more minor, the accreted systems tend to have their star formation truncated early, when they fall into the larger halo but before they are accreted into the primary galaxy. Thus, minor mergers tend to create positive age gradients, bring in $\alpha$-enhanced stars, and steepen metallicity gradients (Hirschmann et al. 2015). This expectation is also consistent with emerging observations of the stellar populations of massive galaxies at $1 < z < 2$, which also show higher $[\alpha/Fe]$ and lower [Fe/H] in the population that our MASSIVE galaxies may have later accreted (e.g., Lonoce et al. 2015; Onodera et al. 2015; Kriek et al. 2016).

Figure 5. Correlations between the large-scale environment ($\delta_g$) and [Fe/H] (left) and $[\alpha/Fe]$ (right). We look in the central regions (<2 kpc; top), the outer region (0.9 $R_e$; middle), and at the gradients measured at radii >1 kpc (bottom). We measure clear correlations between both the abundances and the abundance ratios and $\delta_g$. We do not see a correlation between gradients in [Fe/H] and the large-scale environment, but we do see a weak correlation between $[\alpha/Fe]$ gradients and $\delta_g$.

In the context of these simulations, our goal is to ask whether various structural measurements may link to the merger history and then whether the stellar populations show any correlations with them. For instance, at the highest $M^*$ in our sample, we see that the central $[\alpha/Fe]$ values match those in galaxies that are factors of 2–3 less massive. The most likely explanation is that major merging dominates the mass growth at the centers of these galaxies. The trend between [Fe/H] and gravitational potential also points to a significant contribution from in situ stars <$R_e$, since those stars preserve a memory of the gravitational potential in which they formed. Larger radius measurements are closer to the spatial regions where more minor mergers would deposit their stars.

5.2. Observable Consequences of Varying Ex Situ Fractions and Links with Stellar Populations

We now consider how we might sort galaxies based on their accretion histories. Stellar population gradients alone are insufficient, since steep gradients can result from predominantly in situ formation or a large number of minor mergers (e.g., Kobayashi 2004; Hirschmann et al. 2015). We have
Figure 6. Sample divided into rich (yellow) and poor (green) environments based on $\delta_{r}\alpha$ and fits to each subsample. We ask whether stellar populations know about large-scale environment $\delta_{r}$ at fixed $M^\star$. We fit a relation of the form $X = \alpha + \beta \log(M^\star/5.6 \times 10^{11} M_\odot)$ for $X = [\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ and $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ in two bins divided at log $(1 + \delta_{r}) = 0.6$ (see also Table 4). We see that the relations are significantly flatter in the high-density regions at a fixed $M^\star$, which is mostly driven by galaxies at the lower-mass end.

| Table 4: Mass–Environment Fits |
|--------------------------------|
| **Stellar Pop** | **Radius** (kpc) | **Env.** | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ |
| [Fe/H] | <2 | All | $0.265 \pm 0.004$ | $0.23 \pm 0.03$ |
| [Fe/H] | <2 | Low | $0.255 \pm 0.005$ | $0.44 \pm 0.05$ |
| [Fe/H] | <2 | High | $0.297 \pm 0.006$ | $0.08 \pm 0.03$ |
| [Fe/H] | 0.9 $R_e$ | All | $0.247 \pm 0.005$ | $0.26 \pm 0.03$ |
| [Fe/H] | 0.9 $R_e$ | Low | $0.230 \pm 0.006$ | $0.53 \pm 0.07$ |
| [Fe/H] | 0.9 $R_e$ | High | $0.300 \pm 0.008$ | $0.05 \pm 0.04$ |
| [Fe/H] | <2 | All | $-0.0618 \pm 0.005$ | $0.073 \pm 0.03$ |
| [Fe/H] | <2 | Low | $-0.0417 \pm 0.007$ | $0.15 \pm 0.09$ |
| [Fe/H] | <2 | High | $-0.111 \pm 0.008$ | $0.26 \pm 0.04$ |
| [Fe/H] | 0.9 $R_e$ | All | $-0.197 \pm 0.006$ | $-0.31 \pm 0.04$ |
| [Fe/H] | 0.9 $R_e$ | Low | $-0.173 \pm 0.008$ | $-0.49 \pm 0.10$ |
| [Fe/H] | 0.9 $R_e$ | High | $-0.25 \pm 0.01$ | $-0.08 \pm 0.05$ |

Note. We fit a relation of the form $X = \alpha + \beta \log(M^\star/5.6 \times 10^{11} M_\odot)$ for $X = [\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ and $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$. Col. (1): stellar population parameter. Col. (2): radial extraction radius. Col. (3): environmental bin (all, low means log $(1 + \delta_{r}) < 0.6$, high means log $(1 + \delta_{r}) > 0.6$. Col. (4): zero point in the fit. Col. (5): slope of the fit. Col. (6): Spearman probability.

We explored two structural characteristics here: the average $h4$ value (Thomas et al. 2007; Wu et al. 2014; Amorisco 2017) and the outer surface brightness slope, $\Delta \Sigma_{23}$ (Pillepich et al. 2014; Cook et al. 2016). We will discuss each of these in turn.

We see strong correlations between both [Fe/H] and $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ and $h4$. We have argued that template mismatch is unlikely to drive this correlation. We now ask whether the correlations are linked with the accretion history or, instead, some other property (e.g., the gravitational potential) of the galaxy. Simulations have shown that radial anisotropy, and thus $h4$, can rise with merging (Wu et al. 2014). On the other hand, positive $h4$ can result from gradients in a circular velocity as well (e.g., Gerhard 1993; Baes et al. 2005). In the MASSIVE sample, Veale et al. (2017a, 2018) show that there are likely two causes for positive $h4$, particularly in the outer parts of our galaxies. In two thirds of the galaxies, $\sigma$ falls outward. Those galaxies with falling $\sigma$ and positive $h4$ likely have rising radial anisotropy. While major mergers can produce radial orbits at a large radius (e.g., Rantala et al. 2018), N-body simulations suggest that the stronger the radial anisotropy is more likely because it originates from minor mergers and/or accretion (Hilz et al. 2012). In the other third of galaxies (typically the most massive), we see rising $\sigma$ profiles (e.g., Dressler 1979; Loubser et al. 2008; Veale et al. 2018, and references therein). In these galaxies, $h4$ will rise outward simply because of the gradient in the potential.

We thus revisit the correlation between $h4$ and the outer stellar populations, this time splitting the sample into those with rising and falling $\sigma$ profiles (Figure 7). We find that the galaxies with rising $\sigma$ profiles show uniformly high $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ and relatively low [Fe/H], while the galaxies with falling $\sigma$ profiles span the full range of $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ and [Fe/H]; these drive the correlation with $h4$. In these galaxies, we posit that the higher $h4$ comes from radial anisotropy and that the outer stellar populations are closely linked to the anisotropy of their orbits.

The higher the radial anisotropy, the more $\alpha$-enhanced (and thus older and metal-poor) the stellar populations are.
range in radial anisotropy is linked to the merger history, perhaps pointing to more minor merging in galaxies with more radial anisotropy (Hilz et al. 2012).

Based on this behavior, we propose the following picture: galaxies with falling $\sigma$ profiles display a sequence in ex situ fraction, whereas those with low $h4$ are also those with quiet accretion histories, leading to solar-like abundances and abundance ratios in their outskirts. Those with the highest $h4$ have high radial anisotropy due to additional merging, which brings in older, metal-poor, and $\alpha$-enhanced stars. Galaxies with rising $\sigma$ profiles have high $h4$, but in these cases, radial anisotropy need not be invoked. These also tend to be the galaxies in the most massive halos (Veale et al. 2018), and we are likely seeing a transition into an outer envelope or an intra-cluster light component. The stellar populations we measure in the outer parts of these galaxies (namely very high [$\alpha$/Fe] and low [Fe/H]) are consistent with the stellar populations measured in the envelopes of brightest cluster galaxies in other works (e.g., Coccato et al. 2011; Edwards et al. 2016), while the high $h4$ values at a large radius are also seen with planetary nebula measurements in the very outer parts of M49 and M87 (Hartke et al. 2018; Longobardi et al. 2018). We will revisit these galaxy outskirts from the perspective of the environment in the next section.

Pillepich et al. (2014) suggest that gradients in the surface brightness profile (here, we measure $\Delta \Sigma_{23}$) should be a robust way to sort galaxies of similar masses by their ex situ fractions. Cook et al. (2016) use the same Illustris simulations to predict shallower [Fe/H] gradients in systems with the shallowest surface brightness slopes. We see a correlation between $\Delta \Sigma_{23}$ and the [Fe/H] measured at 0.9 $R_e$, but no correlation with stellar population gradients. Thus, there is a hint that the gradient in surface brightness is linked with the stellar populations and that the correlation runs in a similar way, as suggested by Cook et al. (2016). However, we currently have a few limitations to make a definitive comparison. Despite our efforts, we still cannot measure the stellar populations at matching radii to the surface brightness gradients. Furthermore, we would need a wider dynamic range in $M^*$ to determine whether $\Delta \Sigma_{23}$ correlates with stellar population properties.

5.3. Environment and Galaxy Assembly

We observe a hint of lower [Fe/H] and enhanced [$\alpha$/Fe] at fixed masses in more dense environments, both when environment is measured as a local overdensity ($\nu$) and when measured on Mpc scales ($\delta$). We can understand this result in the context of prior work focused both on the fossil record, on looking at star formation histories of massive galaxies as a function of the environment, and on work that looks at the star formation rates in overdensities at different redshifts.

Previous work has seen clear evidence that star formation ended earlier in denser environments (e.g., Thomas et al. 2005; Scott et al. 2017), particularly at lower $M^*$ where “rejuvenated” spheroids are only found in low-density environments (e.g., Pasquali et al. 2010; Thomas et al. 2010). In fact, Liu et al. (2016) show that low-mass spheroids have a very large range of [$\alpha$/Fe] both in the densest and least dense environments. Liu et al. (2016) also find that [$\alpha$/Fe] in dwarfs correlates with the galaxy distance from M87, which is also consistent with our picture. McDermid et al. (2015) additionally show that the star formation histories of cluster galaxies are not just truncated earlier but actually proceed more rapidly (e.g., the same stellar mass is built up in a shorter burst) in denser environments, leading potentially to lower [Fe/H] and higher [$\alpha$/Fe]. Observations of star formation in dense environments at $z > 1.5$ also support the idea that cluster galaxies experience more intense bursts of star formation (e.g., Elbaz et al. 2007; Tran et al. 2010; Brodwin et al. 2013; Noiro et al. 2018).

Gu et al. (2018) find that all galaxies in the central parts of the Abell 3827 cluster show enhanced [$\alpha$/Fe] for their mass, which is of particular interest to our study. They argue that all galaxies in cluster centers have experienced rapid and early truncation of their star formation, and that this “coordinated assembly” of the satellite and central galaxy leads directly to the flat [$\alpha$/Fe] gradients that they observe in the central galaxy.

Gu et al. (2018) also suggest that the radial gradient in [$\alpha$/Fe] should be flatter in overdense environments, since all the galaxies available for accreting will also contain elevated [$\alpha$/Fe] for their mass. In contrast, a relatively isolated MASSIVE galaxy might ingest a satellite with “normal” [$\alpha$/Fe] for its mass, leading to a declining [$\alpha$/Fe] gradient. Unlike in Greene et al. (2015) where we did not have the statistics, we do in fact see a weak trend between the [$\alpha$/Fe] gradients and the environment in just this sense.

6. Summary

We look at the stellar populations throughout 90 MASSIVE galaxies, with a focus on the outer parts of the galaxies. Moving beyond measurements of stellar populations weighted...
toward the galaxy centers, we are able to uncover new trends between stellar population parameters and the kinematics of these massive galaxies, providing new insight into their assembly history.

In galaxy centers, we see that the $[\alpha/Fe]$ saturates at stellar masses $\sim 10^{11.8} M_\odot$, suggesting that major mergers play a large role in building up the centers of the most massive galaxies. At and beyond $\sim R_e$, $\sigma$ and $[\alpha/Fe]$ are tightly correlated. In contrast, the metallicity $[Fe/H]$ is correlated with the gravitational potential and the surface mass density, pointing to a significant in situ component to the stars at these radii.

We investigate two structural measurements that may correlate with the ex situ (or accreted) fraction. We find a correlation between the outer surface brightness slope $\Delta\Sigma_{23}$ and $[Fe/H]$ beyond $\sim R_e$. Our finding provides some support for the Illustris results from Pillepich et al. (2014) and Cook et al. (2016) that the outer surface brightness slope is a proxy for the accreted or ex situ fraction. To truly compare with simulations, a wider dynamic range in stellar mass is needed.

We also find a strong correlation between $[\alpha/Fe]$ and $h_4$, and we even see a correlation between $[Fe/H]$ and $h_4$ at $\sim R_e$. Galaxies with the most positive $h_4$ have super-solar $[\alpha/Fe] \approx 0.4-0.6$ dex and low $[Fe/H] \approx -0.5$ dex. Mergers can increase the radial anisotropy and thus boost $h_4$, or $h_4$ can rise due to a gradient in the potential (e.g., the transition from a galaxy halo to a cluster halo). Even when removing the galaxies with rising $\sigma$ profiles, we still find a strong correlation with stellar populations, pointing to a rising accreted population in galaxies with higher radial anisotropy.

The galaxies with rising $\sigma$ profiles at large radii also tend to be those in the densest environments (Veale et al. 2018), and we find evidence that the $[\alpha/Fe]$ in the outer parts correlates with the environment at a fixed mass. Lower-density environments have lower $[\alpha/Fe]$, while higher-density environments have very super-solar $[\alpha/Fe] \sim 0.4-0.6$. If star formation proceeds earlier and more rapidly in denser environments, then we would see older, metal-poorer, and more $\alpha$-enhanced stars in the outskirts of galaxies in richer environments.

We are interested in probing the assembly history of massive galaxies by looking at their stellar populations at large radii. Although our survey was designed to reach large radii for very massive galaxies, and does as well as any existing data set, there is some real chance that our observations still do not reach out to a large enough radius to constrain the accreted stars truly. Current imaging surveys are now able to reach out to $\sim 100$ kpc for individual galaxies with photometry (e.g., Huang et al. 2018b), but we must wait for next-generation telescopes to have the collecting area to measure detailed stellar population properties out to comparable radii.

We thank the referee for a timely, supportive, and thoughtful report that improved this manuscript. The MASSIVE survey is supported in part by NSF AST-1411945, AST-1411642, AST-1815417, AST-1817100, HST GO-14210, GO-15265, and AR-14573. We are grateful for useful discussions with M. Kriek.

Appendix
Individual Galaxies

In this section, we show the radial profiles of the stellar populations for all galaxies, with the gradient fits superimposed (Figure 8). We also show the $0.9 R_e$ and $1.5 R_e$ measurements.
Figure 8. Radial profiles of [$\alpha$/Fe] (left) and [Fe/H] (right) for all galaxies in our sample (gray points). Gradient fits (when possible) are shown in the solid green line, while the central, 0.9 $R_e$, and 1.5 $R_e$ measurements are indicated by the red star, the blue triangle, and the cyan upside-down triangle, respectively.
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