Screening of serum protein biomarkers in hemorrhagic cerebral infarction by surface-enhanced laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (SELDI-TOF-MS) technology
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Background: Serum samples of patients with hemorrhagic cerebral infarction (HCI), cerebral infarction (CI), and healthy controls (HCs) were used to screen statistically different protein peaks as potential biomarkers and to establish a decision tree classification model.

Methods: The serum samples from clinically confirmed patients with HCI and CI from November 2018 to October 2019 were collected, along with those of HCs who visited our hospital during the same period. Surface-enhanced laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (SELDI-TOF-MS) with CM10 ProteinChip was used to analyze the differences in serum protein expression profiles of 30 patients with HCI, 32 patients with CI, and 31 HCs in the training group, and a decision tree classification model was established. At the same time, the blind test group (18 patients with HCI, 21 patients with CI, and 17 HCs) was tested by a blind method.

Results: Model 1 was successfully established by software analysis with a mass-to-charge ratio of 3,495.2, 8,941.0, and 15,890.4 as a differential protein peak. The sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of model 1 in distinguishing HCI from HCs were 86.8%, 87.1%, and 86.9%, respectively. After verification of model 1 by the blind test group, the results showed that the sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy were 88.9%, 94.1%, and 91.4%, respectively. The sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of model 2 with a mass-to-charge ratio of 2,941.3 as a differential protein peak were 86.7%, 75.0%, and 80.6%, respectively. After verification of model 2 by the blind test group, the results showed that the sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy were 83.3%, 90.4%, and 87.2%, respectively.

Conclusions: Surface-enhanced laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (SELDI-TOF-MS) and CM10 ProteinChip can be used to screen serum protein markers in patients with HCI. Mass-to-charge ratio of 3,495.2, 8,941.0, 15,890.4, and 2,941.3 may be potential protein biomarkers of HCI and used to distinguish HCI patients from HCs and CI.
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Introduction

Cerebrovascular disease is a major disease that endangers the health and life of middle-aged and elderly people in China. It has become the primary cause of death and disability in China, with cerebral infarction (CI) accounting for the majority cases. CI is also known as ischemic stroke resulting from acute thrombosis or other effects of thrombosis metastasis leading to local cerebral vascular occlusion. Hemorrhagic cerebral infarction (HCI) refers to the hemorrhage in the infarct area that occurs after CI. Compared with CI, HCI has a lower diagnostic rate and a higher mortality rate (1).

Currently, HCI is still diagnosed by imaging methods such as computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (2, 3). However, CT and MRI are invasive examinations that produce radiation which adversely affects cells in the brain tissue during the diagnosis of CI. Furthermore, CT and MRI equipment is expensive and not available in all hospitals, while the inspection appointment cycle is long. Therefore, finding a safe, effective, and specific method for the diagnosis of early HCI is urgently needed in clinical practice.

In recent years, mass spectrometry has been widely used in clinical disease research. In particular, the applications of the surface-enhanced laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (SELDI-TOF-MS) has provided a new research platform for the search of disease protein biomarkers.

The SELDI-TOF-MS technology consists of three parts: proteinchip arrays, a time-of-flight mass spectrometer, and protein biological system analysis software. Proteinchips come in chemical and biological types, according to the different properties of the material located on the surface of the chip. The chemical proteinchip arrays incorporate hydrophobic exchanger (H50), weak cationic exchanger (CM10, WCX2), anionic exchanger (SAX2), metal ion exchanger (IMAC30), or hydrophilic exchanger (NP20) spots which are best suited for protein expression profiling studies. The biological proteinchip arrays have pre-activated “biological surfaces” designed for coupling biomolecules to applications in antibody–antigen assays, receptor–ligand interaction studies, and DNA–protein binding experiments.

The SELDI chip has 8–24 sampling spots. When a sample is added to the chip, some proteins in the samples bind to the modified surface, while others are washed off. Then, an energy-absorbing molecule is applied to the surface for crystallization with the sample peptides. In the binding and washing off steps, the surface-bound proteins are left for analyses. Samples spotted on an SELDI chip surface are typically analyzed with time-of-flight mass spectrometry (TOF-MS). The proteins that are bound to the chip undergo desorption and ionization under a certain intensity of laser bombardment. These ions are then accelerated through an electric field and proceed down into the flight tube. Because these ions have different mass-to-charge ratios, they are not in flight for the same amount of time in a vacuum tube. The detector then measures the ions as they reach the end of the tube. The measured proteins appear as a series of peaks, which are plotted as mass spectrograms (4).

SELDI-TOF-MS technology has been widely used in the research of many diseases, including cancers (5), infectious diseases (6), and neurological diseases (7). For instance, Whelan et al. reviewed the diagnosis and detection of various cancers by SELDI-TOF-MS technology and found that a high sensitivity and specificity could be achieved in distinguishing between cancer patients and controls in 17 cancers. SELDI-TOF-MS also has demonstrated ability to predict the chemosensitivity in cancers therapy by testing plasma from pre- and post-treatment patients (8).

The combination of SELDI-TOF-MS and proteinchip technology can analyze a variety of complex biological samples, such as serum and urine. Additionally, samples can be applied in minuscule amounts, and only 0.5 to 5 microliters are required for each analysis. The detectable molecular weight range is wide, and is particularly suitable for proteins with a molecular weight below 20 kDa. Therefore, it is also suitable for the detection of a low abundance of protein or polypeptide. The analysis of one sample can be done in dozens of minutes, and process far more information than two-dimensional electrophoresis. Finally, the analysis software can quickly detect differential proteins with varied disease-specific changes, which can be used to find one or a group of biomarkers related to the disease and provide the best combination index for the diagnosis of the disease (8). However, a major disadvantage is that the sequence of proteins/peptides concerned cannot be given by SELDI-TOF-MS (9).

In addition to this, SELDI-TOF-MS is also fast and high-throughput-capable, and can simultaneously detect a variety of proteins, which is optimal for screening disease biomarkers. Previous studies on markers of proteins related to HCI have mainly focused on single proteins. However, few studies have attempted to screen serum protein markers for HCI by using mass spectral techniques of proteomic
methods. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to analyze the serum protein profile of HCI and controls by using SELDI-TOF-MS technology, so as to screen potential serum protein biomarkers for patients with HCI. We present the following article in accordance with the STARD reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-20-6071).

**Methods**

**Patient characteristics**

All procedures performed in this study involving human participants were performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). The research scheme was approved by the ethics committee of Beijing Xiaotangshan Hospital. All subjects signed informed consent before the study. Data from 48 patients with HCI, 53 patients with CI, and 48 healthy controls (HCs) were collected in this study (Table 1). The collection time was from November 2018 to October 2019. All cases were diagnosed according to their clinical symptoms, physical examination, and head CT or MRI scan, which were in accordance with the “Diagnostic criteria of cerebrovascular diseases in China (version 2019)” (10). CI cases caused by tumor, brain trauma, cardiac disease, and blood disease were excluded. The HCs group consisted of individuals who visited our hospital for physical examination during the collection period outlined above. Those with tumor, autoimmune disease, genetic disease, blood disease, liver and kidney disease, pregnancy, or cerebrovascular disease history were excluded.

| Sample type | Sample, n | Male/female | Age range, years | Mean age ± SD | Training set | Blind test set |
|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|
| HCI         | 48        | 32/16       | 29–97           | 65.00±15.81   | 30           | 18             |
| HCs         | 48        | 31/17       | 63–87           | 70.00±6.22    | 31           | 17             |
| CI          | 53        | 29/24       | 43–95           | 70.19±12.76   | 32           | 21             |

**Sample pretreatment**

All samples were collected by drawing fasting blood in the morning into a 5 mL BD Vacutainer (Becton Dickinson Vacutainer Systems, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) without anticoagulant. The samples were left to clot at room temperature for 1 h, centrifuged at 3,500 rpm for 10 min, and stored at −80 °C for further analysis.

**Instruments and reagents**

A SELDI-TOF-MS spectrometer (PBSII-c) was purchased from Ciphergen Biosystems company (Austin, TX, USA), and CM10 chips were purchased from Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc. (Hercules, CA, USA). Urea, 3-[3-(cholamide propyl) dimethylamino] propyl sulfonate, dithiothreitol, sodium acetate, water for high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), and sinapinic acid were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA).

**Serial sample collection and preservation**

The serum samples were thawed and centrifuged at 4 °C for 10,000 rpm for 5 min. The supernatant was removed, and 3 μL of each sample was mixed with 6 μL 0.5% U9 buffer (9M urea, 0.2% 3-[3-(cholamide propyl) dimethylamino] propyl sulfonate, 0.1% dithiothreitol). Samples were then incubated for 30 min at 4 °C on a platform shaker set at 600 rpm. Next, 108 μL of binding buffer (50 mM sodium acetate pH 4.0) was added to the above-mentioned 9 μL sample. The CM10 chip was removed and loaded into the bioprocessor. Then, 200 μL of sodium acetate buffer (50 mM, pH 4.0) was added to each well and incubated for 5 min at 4 °C and shaken at 600 rpm. The liquid was removed and retained, and the procedure was repeated. After removing the buffer, 200 μL of sodium acetate buffer was added into each well and incubated for 5 min at 4 °C and shaken at 600 rpm. Samples retained from different patients were added to separate wells (100 μL/well), incubated for 1 h at 4 °C, and shaken at 600 rpm. They were then washed twice with 200 μL of sodium acetate buffer for 5 min at 4 °C, shaken at 600 rpm, and rinsed twice with 200 μL of HPLC water. Prior to SELDI-TOF-MS, the chip was removed from the bioprocessor, and 0.5 μL of a saturated solution of sinapinic acid in 50% acetonitrile and 0.5% trifluoroacetic acid was added to each chip array and air dried at room temperature.

**SELDI-TOF-MS analysis**

The prepared CM10 chips were placed on Protein
Biological System II-c mass spectrometer reader (PBSII-c; Ciphergen Biosystems), the automatic baseline correction was applied, and the peak of molecular weight between 1 and 50 kDa was automatically detected. Data were collected by averaging 80 laser shots collected on each spot at a laser intensity of 175 and a detector sensitivity of 8. The quality range was from 1,000 to 50,000 mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) and the highest m/z to 50,000. External calibration of the instrument used the all-in-one peptide molecular mass standards and NP20 chip (Ciphergen Biosystems).

Data processing

Ciphergen ProteinChip 3.2.1, Biomarker Wizard 3.1.0, and BPS 5.0 software are all available data analysis support software provided by Ciphergen Biosystems and were used in this study. Ciphergen ProteinChip 3.2.1 software was used to analyze the protein peaks with a m/z between 1,000 to 50,000 mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) and the highest m/z to 50,000. External calibration of the instrument used the all-in-one peptide molecular mass standards and NP20 chip (Ciphergen Biosystems).

Statistical analysis

The spectra of 149 serum samples were randomly divided into a training group (a total of 93 patients, including 30 HCI, 31 HCs, and 32 CI patients) and a blind test group (a total of 56 patients, including 18 HCI, 17 HCs, and 21 CI patients). Our aim was to build a decision tree classification model by using the training group. The data of the clinical diagnosis and proteomic spectrum of each sample are available. The decision tree classification model was applied to the blind test data to estimate the accuracy of the model. All results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Independent samples t-test was used for comparison between groups, and a one-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used for the normality hypothesis test. P values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. Sensitivity was defined as the possibility of predicting the diagnosis of HCI, and specificity was defined as the possibility of predicting the diagnosis of CI or HCs. Accuracy was considered to be the ratio of correct classification.

Results

Instrument calibration and repeatability

SELDI-TOF-MS was calibrated with the all-in-one peptide molecular mass standard before the beginning of the experiment, which included five polypeptides, including 1,084.247 (arginine 8-vasopressin), 1,637.903 (growth hormone inhibitor), 3,495.941 (bovine insulin B chain), 5,807.653 (human insulin), and 7,033.614 (hirudin) (Figure 1). The mass accuracy of SELDI-TOF-MS reached 0.09%.

The standard quality control serum was measured eight times according to the sample processing procedure. The coefficient of variation of the mass-to-charge ratio ranged from 0.02 to 0.04, while the protein peak strength ranged from 0.30 to 0.68. The mean variance coefficient based on the standard quality control serum was lower than 17% (Figure 2). Therefore, the diurnal variation from samples, instruments, and chips could be ignored.

Comparison of serum protein profiles of the HCI group and the HCs group (model 1)

In a comparison of the mass spectra of 30 patients with HCI and 31 with HCs, it was found that 122 protein peaks were detected in the m/z range of 1,000 to 50,000. After
statistical analysis, nine differential protein peaks were screened out, including m/z 1,258.3, 2,000.1, 2,016.4, 2,034.7, 3,495.2, 4,426.9, and 8,941.0, which were higher in the HCI group than in the HCs group. The expressions of m/z 4,161.7 and 15,890.4 in the HCI group were lower than those in the HCs group (Table 2). To develop biomarker patterns for the diagnosis of HCI, three differential protein peaks (m/z 3,495.2, 8,941.0 and 15,890.4) were selected to establish the decision tree classification model (Figure 3). Figure 4 shows the tree structure and sample distribution. The sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, and area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of model 1 for the diagnosis of HCI were 86.8%, 87.1%, 86.9%, and 0.899, respectively (Table 3 and Figure 5). The sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of the blind test validation of model 1 were 88.9%, 94.1%, and 91.4%, respectively (Table 3).
Comparison of serum protein profiles of the HCI group and CI group (model 2)

In a comparison of the mass spectra of 30 patients with HCI and 32 with CI, it was found that 122 protein peaks were detected in the m/z range of 1,000 to 50,000. After statistical analysis, 14 differential protein peaks were screened, which were m/z 1,135.3, 1,258.3, 1,479.2, 1,505.1, 2,941.3, 3,379.0, 3,452.7, 4,233.9, 4,277.6, 4,426.9, 4,481.6, 8,941.0, 9,152.1, and 17,417.2. The expressions of m/z 1,135.3, 1,479.2 and 2,941.3 in the HCI group were lower than those in the CI group, while the expressions of other m/z values were higher than those in the CI group (Table 4). m/z 2,941.3 was selected to establish the decision tree classification model between the HCI group and CI group (Figure 6). Figure 7 shows the tree structure and sample distribution. The sensitivity, specificity, accuracy,

| Group/case group | Cases, n | Correct cases | Accuracy | Sensitivity | Specificity |
|------------------|----------|---------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Training set     |          |               | 86.9%    | 86.8%       | 87.1%       |
| HCI              | 30       | 26            |          |             |             |
| HCs              | 31       | 27            |          |             |             |
| Blind test set   |          |               | 91.4%    | 88.9%       | 94.1%       |
| HCI              | 18       | 16            |          |             |             |
| HCs              | 17       | 16            |          |             |             |

HCI, hemorrhagic cerebral infarction; HCs, healthy controls.
and area under ROC curve of the model 2 were 86.7%, 75.0%, 80.6%, and 0.808 respectively (Table 5, Figure 5). The sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of the blind test validation model were 83.3%, 90.4%, and 87.2%, respectively (Table 5).

### Discussion

Stroke is the primary cause of death and disability in Chinese adults, and has a high incidence, disability, mortality, and recurrence rate (1). CI is also known as ischemic stroke. In 2016, the incidence rate of CI in China was 276.25/10 million,
Figure 6 The one selected differential expression protein peak m/z 2,941.3 in the HCI group and CI group. HCI, hemorrhagic cerebral infarction; CI, cerebral infarction.

Figure 7 Decision tree classification model of the HCI group and CI group in the training set (model 2). HCI, hemorrhagic cerebral infarction; CI, cerebral infarction.

Table 5 Blind test results of classification model 2 for the HCI and CI groups

| Group/cliniical group | Cases, n | Correct cases | Accuracy | Sensitivity | Specificity |
|-----------------------|----------|---------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Training set          |          |               |          |             |             |
| HCI                   | 30       | 26            | 80.6%    | 86.7%       | 75.0%       |
| CI                    | 32       | 24            |          |             |             |
| Blind test set        |          |               |          |             |             |
| HCI                   | 18       | 15            | 87.2%    | 83.3%       | 90.4%       |
| CI                    | 21       | 19            |          |             |             |

HCl, hemorrhagic cerebral infarction; CI, cerebral infarction.
and the gradual exudation of blood components (including blood cells), resulting in hemorrhagic transformation (13). The second type is reperfusion injury which includes cerebral vascular embolization, embolus rupture, dissolution, or distal vascular paralysis after dilation of the embolus with blood flow to the distal end of the vessel, under the action of blood pressure rupture bleeding and the formation of hemorrhagic transformation (14). The third type is coagulation function disorder. The use of thrombolytic, anticoagulant, anti-platelet drugs can lead to coagulation factor dysfunction or thrombocytopenia and increase the risk of hemorrhagic transformation (15). The fourth type is blood-brain barrier breakdown. The activation of oxidative stress reaction, inflammatory reaction, and vascular reaction after cerebral infarction releases a series of inflammatory factors, oxygen-free radicals, and cytokines which destroy the blood-brain barrier and lead to hemorrhagic transformation (16,17). After ischemia, the activation of extracellular matrix proteolytic enzymes (mainly matrix metalloproteinases) degrades the extracellular matrix (including collagen and laminin), which destroys the tight connections between endothelial cells, leading to the destruction of the blood-brain barrier and ultimately to the occurrence of hemorrhagic transformation (18-20).

In addition to the above differences, the treatment methods between CI and HCl also differ. For patients with a definite diagnosis of CI, intravenous thrombolysis, anticoagulation, and defibrillation should be applied (21), while for patients with HCl, thrombolysis, anticoagulation, and coagulation factor supplementation should be stopped (21). At present, the imaging mainstays of MRI (2) and CT (3) are the gold standard for the diagnosis of HCl. These require that patients visit a hospital equipped with MRI and CT equipment, and several days are needed to perform the relevant examinations, which increases the corresponding treatment time (22). Therefore, the early and accurate diagnosis of HCl would be a valuable tool in the treatment of HCl. In this study, SELDI-TOF-MS and CM10 ProteinChip were used to search for potential protein markers in the serum of HCl, and a decision tree classification model was established to identify new indicators for early clinical diagnosis.

In this experiment, CI, which is closely related to HCl in clinic, was selected as the differential diagnosis disease while a healthy physical examination group was used as the control group, with serum samples being collected from all groups for the experiment. Previous studies on markers of proteins related to HCl have mainly focused on single proteins, such as matrix metalloprotein-9, S100B, glial fibrillary acidic protein, neural specific enolase, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, vascular adhesion protein, semicarbazide-sensitive amine oxidase, ferritin, and cell junction protein (23). However, few studies have attempted to identify the screening serum protein markers for HCl or to establish diagnostic models by mass spectral techniques of proteomic methods. Among these methods, SELDI-TOF-MS combined with protein chip is a very useful technology in screening biomarkers, as it can directly analyze complex samples. It can detect proteins lower than 20 kDa, and these proteins with a small molecular weight may have biological significance, as they might have been cut or shed due to disease. For example, Schlichtemeier et al. screened four proteins with SELDI-TOF-MS, using a method which could distinguish hepatocellular carcinoma from adjacent non-neoplastic liver tissues (24). Meanwhile, Allard et al. used SELDI-TOF-MS and SAX chip (strong anion) technology to analyze the serum of patients with ischemic stroke and hemorrhagic stroke, and found four differential protein peaks. Among these, apolipoprotein CI (APOC-I) and apolipoprotein CIII (APOC-III) could ably distinguish patients with ischemic stroke from those with hemorrhagic stroke (25).

Through comparison with the diagnostic model established in this experiment, it was found that there was a marked difference in the serum proteome between the HCl, CI, and HCs groups. The accuracy of the diagnosis model1 established by the HCl and HCs groups was 86.9%, and the accuracy of the diagnosis model2 established by the HCl and CI groups was 80.6%. Furthermore, by combining the ROC curve and the area under the curve (AUC) value, the established diagnostic model could ably distinguish the HCl group from the CI and HCs groups. Meanwhile, the expression of m/z 8,941.0 in the HCl group was higher than that in the CI group and HCs group. In a review of the relevant literature, it was found that Shi et al. combined SELDI-TOF-MS and WCX chip technology to analyze the differential protein peak, m/z 8,937, and identified acute lymphoblastic leukemia as a complement C3a (26). m/z 8,941.0 is the closest to m/z 8,937, and it is likely that they are the same protein. Complement components play an important role as mediators of inflammatory and immune responses. Complement 3 is composed of α and β chains, and is the most abundant complement component in serum (27). Studies have shown that tissue fibrinogen activator can promote the cleavage of complement 3 into complement 3a and complement 3b, thereby leading to enhanced permeability of endothelial cells related to the complement 3a receptor and increased hemorrhagic transformation in patients with ischemic stroke (28).
The next step in research will be to identify the differential protein peaks by secondary mass spectrometry methods like liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry, and to verify them using immunological techniques. The confirmation of differential protein can provide a sound basis for studying the pathogenesis of HCI. The reason for the small sample size included in this study is that reports on SELDI-TOF-MS being used to study HCI are rare. We referred to the literature on acute cerebral infarction using the same method, which included 32 patients with acute cerebral infarction and 60 healthy controls (29).

In conclusion, SELDI-TOF-MS combined with proteinchip technology, which is mature and common in clinic, was used in this study to analyze the differential protein peaks in HCI, CI, and HCs serum samples, and to establish the corresponding diagnosis model for the early diagnosis of HCI. Compared with the previous studies which focused on a single protein, the construction of this model type has the advantages of simple operation, high throughput, and high accuracy; it can also aptly address the challenges in HCI diagnosis, which include the difficulty of acquiring early imaging findings. Furthermore, we believe the study of differential protein in the serum of HCI patients and differential diagnosis of disease for HCI can be more useful for the early diagnosis of HCI, and has important reference significance for the study of other diseases.
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