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The aim of the present study is to compare pre- and postsurgical neuropsychological outcome in individuals suffering from mesial temporal lobe epilepsy (mTLE), in order to evaluate prognosis. The selected thirty-five patients had medically mTLE and had undergone an anterior temporal lobectomy (ATL). Neuropsychological evaluation was performed in three different stages: before ATL, 6 months after resection, and a year afterwards. Neuropsychological protocol evaluated attention, verbal memory, visual memory, executive function, language, intelligence, and handedness. There was a significant improvement ($P = 0.030$) in the group with visual memory deficit after surgery, whereas no changes were observed across patients with verbal memory deficit. No changes were observed in language after surgery. Executive function showed significant improvement 6 months after surgery ($P = 0.035$). Postoperative outcome of cognitive impairments depends on baseline neuropsychological status of the patients with TLE. In our case series, deficits found in patients with mTLE after ATL did not result in a subjective complaint.

1. Introduction

Neuropsychological evaluation is a very important tool to characterize cognitive aspects in patients with epilepsy and to determine the topography of the epileptogenic zone [1–4]. In a previous study conducted by our group [4], patients with mesial temporal lobe epilepsy (mTLE) [5] displayed a neuropsychological profile characterized by material-specific verbal/visual episodic memory, executive function, and speech impairment. In most cases, memory deficits correlated with the lesions detected by MRI [4]. These findings were similar to those reported by other authors.

A high percentage of patients with TLE syndrome benefit from temporal lobe resection [6]. In the history of epilepsy surgery, neuropsychological evaluation played a major role to understand some aspects of cognition. There are several publications concerning the prognosis of cognitive functions among patients undergoing epilepsy surgery [3, 7, 8]. Verbal memory deficits were observed after left temporal lobectomy (TLY). On the other hand, visual memory deficits were found after right TLY. These findings are similar to those described in published studies conducted among English populations.

The aim of the present study is to compare pre- and postsurgical neuropsychological outcome in individuals suffering from TLE in order to evaluate prognosis across a Spanish-speaking population.

2. Materials and Methods

The selected thirty-five patients with mesial temporal sclerosis (MTS) were treated at the Epilepsy Center of the Hospital Ramos Mejía. All the studied patients had MTS on their MRI [4].
The type of surgery performed consisted of resection of the anterior temporal lobe, as well as a resection of the anterior mesial temporal structures including the anterior third of the hippocampus (Standard Anterior Temporal Lobectomy, ATL). Lateral temporal neocortex and mesial structures were removed at approximately 3 cm from the temporal pole within the dominant hemisphere, and up to 4.5 cm in the nondominant hemisphere.

Fifty one percent of the patients underwent a left TLY and 49% (n = 17) underwent a right TLY.

The neuropsychological protocol used in this study was the same that was previously published by our group. The verbal tests were validated for the Spanish language [1, 4, 9].

Neuropsychological evaluation was performed in three different stages: before surgery, 6 months after resection, and a year afterwards.

(i) Attention: Forward and Backward Digit Span, WAIS, and Trail Making Test part A.
(ii) Verbal memory: Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT) and List Learning Test.
(iii) Visual memory: Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure Test (RCFT).
(iv) Executive function: Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (WCST), Trail Making Test part B, Verbal Fluency (FAS).
(v) Language: Boston Naming Test (BNT), Token Test (TT).
(vi) Intelligence quotient (IQ).
(vii) Handedness: Edinburgh Questionnaire (EHQ).

Patients who had an IQ below 70 were excluded from the study.

### 3. Statistical Analysis

The results of the neuropsychological evaluation were compared with the normative according to age, sex, and formal education. For each patient the raw values of each data of the cognitive tests were normalized to a Z score. Patients were classified as “normal” when all the tests presented values superior to a Z score −2 (two standard deviation below normal values), or “abnormal” when some of the results were inferior to a Z score −2.

In a second stage of analysis, we divided results obtained in RAVLT, RCFT, WSCT, FAS, and BNT, into three groups: Baseline with (n = 35 patients), 6 months with (n = 35 patients), and a year from surgery with (n = 14 patients), due to a loss of followup in the rest of the patients.

We used raw values. We did not compare them with normative scores. We compared means as follows:

(i) baseline/6 months: n = 35 patients,
(ii) baseline/year: n = 14 patients,
(iii) 6 months/year: n = 14 patients. In order to match the number of patients in each group to compare two samples, we decided to form two groups with 14 patients.

### 4. Results

#### 4.1. Patient Demographics.

All patients had drug-resistant mTLE.

The average age of the study population was 36.1 ± 8 years.

The average evolution time of epilepsy was 21.1 ± 12 years.

The average education was 10.6 ± 4 years.

Forty-five percent of the study population was women. Ninety-four percent of the study population was right handed, and the remaining 6% was left handed.

All patients underwent standard ATL.

70% of the patients had hippocampal sclerosis (HS), 18% had HS plus temporal pole dysplasia, 9% presented a low degree tumor, and 3% had cavernoma.

Postoperative categorization of the seizures (Engel scale) [10] was 85.7% Class I, 8.5% Class II, and 2.8% Class III. This was observed one year after surgery (see Table 1).

#### 4.2. Neuropsychological Outcome.

In the preoperative assessment, (28.5%) out of 35 patients showed a normal neuropsychological evaluation, while 25 patients (71.4%) showed significant deficit on any of the tasks.

At six months after surgery, there was an increase in the percentage of patients with normal neuropsychological test scores (13 patients, 37.1%) A year after the surgery, the neuropsychological evaluation was completed in 14 patients. There was a similar tendency in the outcome observed 6 months afterwards, because 5 patients (35.7%) out of 14 patients presented a normal neuropsychological evaluation, where one of them was abnormal at baseline. Nine patients (64.2%) out of 14 patients show significant deficits on at least one of the tasks.

#### 4.3. Intelligence Quotient (IQ).

The average of the total IQ score prior to surgery was 91.7 ± 13, (73–125). Six months after the surgery, the average of the total IQ score was...
### Table 2: Memory outcome after anterior temporal lobectomy based on the side of the surgery.

| Memory deficit | Baseline N = 17 | RTLY N = 17 | LTLY N = 18 | 12 months N = 4 |
|----------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|
|                | 6 months N = 17 |             |             |                |
| Normal %       | 41.1            | 47          | 61.1        | 33.3           |
| VIM %          | 35.2            | 23.5        | 11.1        | 11.1           |
| VEM %          | 11.7            | 17.6        | 66.6        | 44.4           |
| B %            | 11.7            | 11.7        | 5.5         | 22.2           |

RTL: Right temporal lobectomy. LT: Left temporal lobectomy. VIM: Visual memory. VEM: Verbal memory. B: both memories.

93.5 ± 12 (74–125). A year after the surgery, the average of the total IQ score was 93.7 ± 12 (80–125). Therefore, changes were nonsignificant.

#### 4.4. Memory

Baseline evaluation of these 35 patients was included in a previous paper. In that study we found deficits in delayed recall, on RAVLT and RCFT. Therefore, the present analysis was conducted primarily in consideration of those data [4].

Results of memory evaluation for each patient, compared with normative, were as follows.

4.4.1. Left Temporal Lobectomy Group \((n = 18)\). At baseline, twelve patients (66.6%) presented verbal memory deficits, and two patients (12%) had visual memory deficits. One patient (5.5%) had both types of memory deficits. Three patients (16.6%) did not present any memory deficits.

Six months after surgery, no significant changes were observed.

A year after surgery, the tendency was the same as observed at 6 months after surgery (Table 2).

4.4.2. Right Temporal Lobectomy Group \((n = 17)\). At baseline, two patients (11.7%) presented with verbal memory deficits, and six patients (35.2%) had visual memory deficits. Two patients (11.7%) had both types of memory deficits. Seven patients (41.1%) did not present any memory deficits.

Six months after the surgery, no significant changes were observed.

A year after surgery, the only 4 patients were evaluated (Table 2).

When we compared the raw data means, we found a significant improvement \((P = 0.030)\) in the group with visual memory deficits (Table 3).

#### 4.5. Language

In the preoperative evaluation, 26 patients (74.2%) had speech impairments, while in 9 patients (25.7%) language assessment was normal.

Deficits were mostly observed in the naming. The most significant finding was BNT. No significant impairment was observed in the listening comprehension (Token Test).

There were no significant changes 6 months and a year after the surgery (Table 3).

#### 4.6. Executive Function

Before surgery, 9 patients (25.8%) presented with deficits in the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (WCST). The most frequent alterations were planning and difficulty in mental flexibility to switch strategies, and perseverative errors. Verbal production capacity and mental flexibility (FAS) were abnormal in 5 patients (14.2%).

Six months after surgery, significant improvement was observed in 6 patients (17%) \((P = 0.035)\) in the evaluation with WCST (Table 2). Verbal production capacity (FAS) did not show significant impairment. 11 patients (31.4%) showed deficits.

A year after surgery, there were no alterations in the assessment of WCST, while on FAS, all patients improved significantly \((P = 0.026)\) (Table 3).

## 5. Discussion

A high percentage of patients with TLE syndrome benefit from temporal lobe resection [6]. In the history of epilepsy surgery, neuropsychological evaluation played a major role to understand some aspects of cognition.

Following the order previously set out in this study, we will proceed to discuss the results of postoperative evaluation.

Regarding IQ, the average of the total score shows no significant improvement 6 months after the surgery and remains stable 12 months afterwards. This has been noted by other authors [11], who point to an overall slight improvement, that might depend on multiple factors such as the absence of seizures, the improvement in the patients' overall quality of life, or simply practice effects.

Memory analysis was performed by discriminating between verbal/visual memory.

Verbal memory deficits were the most frequent findings in patients with left mTLE, while in patients with right
mTLE, visual memory deficits were frequent observation, but less consistent findings. Memory deficits were most frequently observed in delayed recall of both verbal and visual material. These findings are consistent with lesions in the mesial temporal structures, which seem to be critical for encoding [4].

Significant improvement of visual memory was observed at 6 and 12 months on delayed recall, whereas verbal memory analysis showed no significant changes.

In most cases, patients with normal memory at baseline showed no significant changes after ATL.

After left ATL, patients presented significant verbal memory deficits, whereas after right ATL, visual memory deficit was less consistent, deficits on verbal memory (contralateral to the resection), and remained unchanged or slight improvement [3].

Chelune described the “functional adequacy” phenomenon as the functional adaptation of the tissue to be resected in the ipsilateral temporal lobe to the lesion, and “reserve capacity” as the mnesic capacity of the hippocampus contralateral to the lesion [8]. Thus, those patients who do not present with a memory deficit preoperatively would have an unfavorable prognosis, since “functionally” healthy tissue may be resected, while patients who display memory deficit prior to surgery would have a better prognosis.

As regards language, no significant changes were observed in the postoperative evaluation.

The detection of deficit in the BNT brings about several conclusions. One is the theory that suggests a connection between the hippocampal system and its relationship with the language formation process at the phonological and semantic levels, as well as its relationship with comprehension and production, which reveals a deficit in the retrieval of stored information in the lexical-semantic system [12].

Recently, the same author described the role of the hippocampus in the association of external stimuli with its semantic content. Thus, the damage caused by epilepsy in the lateral and mesial regions of the temporal lobe would affect the neocortical and hippocampal functions involved in the storage and retrieval of such information, leading to a semantic memory deficit [13, 14]. Another hypothesis holds the existence of atypical areas within the anterior and basal regions of the temporal lobe as a result of neural plasticity mechanisms [15–17].

Thirty percent of our patients displayed deficits in the Executive Function, thus implicating the function of the frontal lobe. This has been noted by other authors, and numerous hypotheses have been suggested. One of the theories holds the possibility that the temporal discharge propagation towards the frontal lobe may interfere with the executive function.

Another plausible theory is that memory deficit may alter the results of the tests assessing frontal function [18–20]. In our population, a significant improvement was observed after surgery, which is consistent with other observations.

6. Conclusions

To our knowledge, this is the largest study involving Spanish-speaking patients.

The postoperative prognosis of cognitive impairments depends almost entirely on the patient’s prior condition.

In the group of patients with executive function deficits in baseline, there was a significant postsurgical improvement.

Patients who manifested postoperative deficits after ATL did not result in a subjective complaint, and the patients who did not display a cognitive deficit preoperatively remained stable.
Neuropsychological evaluation turns out to be an important tool in the diagnosis and followup of medically intractable TLE patients after TLY.
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