Long-Term Effects of Cannabis on Brain Structure

INTRODUCTION

Cannabis is one of the most widely used recreational drugs, taking third place among drugs of concern in addiction treatment services (Degenhardt et al., 2008). Despite these statistics pointing to the potential harms associated with long-term cannabis use, little is known about the progression from recreational to regular use and its effects on brain structure.

Current knowledge is mostly inferred from animal studies; it has been demonstrated that the main psychoactive component of cannabis, Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) induces dose-dependent toxicity and structural changes in brain regions rich in cannabinoid CB1 receptors. These are mainly located in the hippocampus, amygdala, cerebellum, prefrontal cortex, and striatum (Burns et al., 2007; Downer et al., 2001; Lawston et al., 2000).

In contrast to the animal literature, the investigation of the structural effects of long-term cannabis use on the human brain has brought less consistent findings. Changes in gray or white matter density have been reported in predefined regions of interest (i.e., the hippocampus and different locations in frontal and parietal lobes without overlapping findings across studies (Churchwell et al., 2010; Gruber et al., 2011; Matochik et al., 2005). The discrepancy in the results might be due to heterogeneity in sample characteristics, inter-individual differences linked to past history of drug use, amount of consumption, related psychological problems (temperament, level of anxiety or arousal), and/or methodological differences in data processing (Batalla et al., 2013; Lorenzetti et al., 2010). However, changes in the hippocampus/parahippocampal complex and in the amygdala have often been reported (Demirakca et al., 2011; Matochik et al., 2005; Yücel et al., 2008; Zalesky et al., 2012). These findings suggest that long-term cannabis use is associated with brain morphology alterations in regions linked to memory and executive and affective processing (Yücel et al., 2008).

Decrease in hippocampal volume in regular cannabis smokers has been correlated with lifetime consumption (Ashtari et al., 2011; Yücel et al., 2008) and psychotic symptoms (Yücel et al., 2008). In Cousijn et al. (2012), volume reduction in the amygdala and the hippocampus does not differ significantly between regular cannabis users and controls but still correlates with the amount of cannabis used and the severity of cannabis dependence, respectively.

In addition to the several issues characterizing the literature so far, most of the studies examine only predefined regions of interest (i.e., the hippocampus and
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Subjects and Recruitment
Thirty-one healthy male occasional cannabis smokers between 18 and 30 years of age and twenty-six male regular cannabis users participated in the study. Subjects were recruited through public advertisements at universities. The mean consumption of cannabis for the 3 months preceding inclusion in the study was set to a minimum of one joint per month and a maximum of less than one joint per week for the occasional smokers, and to a minimum of 10 joints per month for regular ones (Fabritius et al, 2013a). All volunteers had no history of neurological or psychiatric disorders. Nine occasional and one regular users were excluded from the research protocol for different reasons (claustrophobia, detection of brain anomalies, decision to drop out of the study, or technical problems during data processing). The final population selected for this study consists of 22 occasional cannabis smokers (median age 25 years, SD 2.8 years) and 25 regular users (median age 23 years, SD 2.2 years).

All participants were carefully screened with an interview and a medical evaluation to ensure that they fulfilled all study criteria. Further details regarding the inclusion procedure are indicated in Battistella et al (2013). In both groups of cannabis users, we performed a urine and a blood test during the inclusion process in order to determine the concentration of Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabinol-carboxylic acid (THCCOOH) and confirm the regular use and the extent of cannabis consumption (Fabritius et al, 2013b). Participants also filled out a questionnaire about their usual drug use habits. Cannabinoid time profiles in whole blood were determined with the analytical procedures described by Fabritius et al (2013a). Presence of other illicit drugs (cocaine, opiates, and amphetamines) and a positive breath alcohol test were also considered as exclusion criteria.

Major characteristics of the population are shown in Table 1; for each variable of interest, we tested the null hypothesis of whether the data followed a normal distribution using the Shapiro-Wilk test. As the null hypothesis was rejected, we performed group comparisons by the mean of the non-parametric Wilcoxon-signed ranks test.

MRI Acquisition Protocol
Scanning was performed on a 3T Siemens Trio scanner equipped with a 32-channel head coil. The protocol included a high-resolution sagittal T1-weighted 3D gradient-echo sequence (MPRAGE), 160 contiguous slices, 1 mm isotropic voxel, repetition time (TR) 2300 ms, echo time (TE) 2.98 ms, field of view 256 mm. We prevented head movements by cushioning the participant’s head in the coil with padding.

MRI Data Processing
MRI data were pre-processed and analyzed using Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM8, Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London, UK). For Voxel-Based morphometry (VBM) analysis, T1-weighted data were classified as gray matter, white matter (WM), and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) using the unified segmentation approach (Ashburner and Friston, 2005). In order to improve registration accuracy, images were non-linearly transformed to the standard Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space using the diffeomorphic registration algorithm (DARTEL) (Ashburner, 2007). After registration and bias correction, GM probability maps were subsequently
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Voxel-Based Morphometry (VBM), a completely automatic and unbiased data processing technique for the assessment of gray matter density. This technique has been already widely used in clinical research (Draganski and Bhatia, 2010) and in drug addiction (Connolly et al, 2013).

Brain structure changes were investigated in a group of regular cannabis smokers and compared with a group of occasional smokers enrolled in our previous functional study (Battistella et al, 2013). The subjects in the two groups did not use any drug other than cannabis and were free from psychiatric disorders. We then stratified the two groups according to the age of first cannabis use in order to assess the effect of cannabis on the developing brain.

Another question of interest is whether cannabis use is associated with differential effects on brain structure according to the age of consumption onset; more specifically, does the use of cannabis during adolescence lead to the same changes in brain structure as initial exposure later in life? Adolescence is an important period in brain development when proper structural maturation of fiber tracts occurs (necessary for the development of cognitive, motor, and sensory functions) (Paus et al, 1999). Environmental factors, such as drug use, can alter the maturational arrangements that normally occur in the adolescent brain (Hurd et al, 2013), increasing the incidence of psychiatric illness and substance abuse (Paus et al, 2008). The study by Zalesky et al (2012) shows a linear correlation between measures of white matter (WM) integrity and the age of onset of regular cannabis use, thus suggesting a toxic effect of long-term cannabis use to WM development.

In our study, we investigate the precise nature of structural changes induced by cannabis and try to answer three main questions: (i) Can we observe temporal and frontal (including insular) gray matter changes after long-term exposure to cannabis? (ii) Is the magnitude of these changes related to the amount of cannabis consumed? (iii) Are these changes influenced by the age of first use (during/after adolescence)?

Unlike many previous studies, we used a whole-brain voxel-wise approach in order to localize gray matter change due to long-term exposure to cannabis. To this end, we used Voxel-based Morphometry (VBM), a completely automatic and unbiased data processing technique for the assessment of gray matter density. This technique has been already widely used in clinical research (Draganski and Bhatia, 2010) and in drug addiction (Connolly et al, 2013).

Brain structure changes were investigated in a group of regular cannabis smokers and compared with a group of occasional smokers enrolled in our previous functional study (Battistella et al, 2013). The subjects in the two groups did not use any drug other than cannabis and were free from psychiatric disorders. We then stratified the two groups according to the age of first cannabis use in order to assess the effect of cannabis on the developing brain.
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Thirty-one healthy male occasional cannabis smokers between 18 and 30 years of age and twenty-six male regular cannabis users participated in the study. Subjects were recruited through public advertisements at universities. The mean consumption of cannabis for the 3 months preceding inclusion in the study was set to a minimum of one joint per month and a maximum of less than one joint per week for the occasional smokers, and to a minimum of 10 joints per month for regular ones (Fabritius et al, 2013a). All volunteers had no history of neurological or psychiatric disorders. Nine occasional and one regular users were excluded from the research protocol for different reasons (claustrophobia, detection of brain anomalies, decision to drop out of the study, or technical problems during data processing). The final population selected for this study consists of 22 occasional cannabis smokers (median age 25 years, SD 2.8 years) and 25 regular users (median age 23 years, SD 2.2 years).

All participants were carefully screened with an interview and a medical evaluation to ensure that they fulfilled all study criteria. Further details regarding the inclusion procedure are indicated in Battistella et al (2013). In both groups of cannabis users, we performed a urine and a blood test during the inclusion process in order to determine the concentration of Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabinol-carboxylic acid (THCCOOH) and confirm the regular use and the extent of cannabis consumption (Fabritius et al, 2013b). Participants also filled out a questionnaire about their usual drug use habits. Cannabinoid time profiles in whole blood were determined with the analytical procedures described by Fabritius et al (2013a). Presence of other illicit drugs (cocaine, opiates, and amphetamines) and a positive breath alcohol test were also considered as exclusion criteria.

Major characteristics of the population are shown in Table 1; for each variable of interest, we tested the null hypothesis of whether the data followed a normal distribution using the Shapiro-Wilk test. As the null hypothesis was rejected, we performed group comparisons by the mean of the non-parametric Wilcoxon-signed ranks test.

MRI Acquisition Protocol
Scanning was performed on a 3T Siemens Trio scanner equipped with a 32-channel head coil. The protocol included a high-resolution sagittal T1-weighted 3D gradient-echo sequence (MPRAGE), 160 contiguous slices, 1 mm isotropic voxel, repetition time (TR) 2300 ms, echo time (TE) 2.98 ms, field of view 256 mm. We prevented head movements by cushioning the participant’s head in the coil with padding.

MRI Data Processing
MRI data were pre-processed and analyzed using Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM8, Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London, UK). For Voxel-Based morphometry (VBM) analysis, T1-weighted data were classified as gray matter, white matter (WM), and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) using the unified segmentation approach (Ashburner and Friston, 2005). In order to improve registration accuracy, images were non-linearly transformed to the standard Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space using the diffeomorphic registration algorithm (DARTEL) (Ashburner, 2007). After registration and bias correction, GM probability maps were subsequently.
We report median values, median absolute deviation (MAD), range and significance of the between groups’ comparison by mean of Wilcoxon-signed rank test. The years of usage and the age of onset refer to any cannabis use, and the alcohol consumption refers to drinks of 10 g ethanol.

|                              | Occasional | Regular | p-value |
|------------------------------|------------|---------|---------|
| Age                          | Median     | MAD     | Range   | Median     | MAD     | Range   | <0.05 |
| Education (post-compulsory, years) | 25         | 2       | 19–29   | 23         | 1.5     | 20–28   | 0.06  |
| Frequency of use last week (times/day) | 0.14       | 0.1     | 0–1     | 2.5        | 0.5     | 1–7     | <10^{-5} |
| Frequency of use last 3 weeks (times/week) | 1          | 0.63    | 0–2.5   | 20         | 5.5     | 5–60    | <10^{-5} |
| Frequency of use last 3 months (times/month) | 3.5        | 1.5     | 1–10    | 62.5       | 22.5    | 20–250  | 0.13  |
| Years of usage              | 7.5        | 2.5     | 3.5–15  | 6.5        | 2.5     | 2–13    | 0.8    |
| Age at onset                | 17         | 2       | 9–22.5  | 15.5       | 1.7     | 12–20   | 0.02  |
| Alcohol (drinks/week)       | 5          | 2       | 1–10    | 10         | 5       | 0–80*   |       |

Table 1 Descriptive Statistics of the Subjects Enrolled in the Study

|                              | Occasional | Regular | p-value |
|------------------------------|------------|---------|---------|
| Age                          | Median     | MAD     | Range   | Median     | MAD     | Range   | <0.05 |
| Education (post-compulsory, years) | 25         | 2       | 19–29   | 23         | 1.5     | 20–28   | 0.06  |
| Frequency of use last week (times/day) | 0.14       | 0.1     | 0–1     | 2.5        | 0.5     | 1–7     | <10^{-5} |
| Frequency of use last 3 weeks (times/week) | 1          | 0.63    | 0–2.5   | 20         | 5.5     | 5–60    | <10^{-5} |
| Frequency of use last 3 months (times/month) | 3.5        | 1.5     | 1–10    | 62.5       | 22.5    | 20–250  | 0.13  |
| Years of usage              | 7.5        | 2.5     | 3.5–15  | 6.5        | 2.5     | 2–13    | 0.8    |
| Age at onset                | 17         | 2       | 9–22.5  | 15.5       | 1.7     | 12–20   | 0.02  |
| Alcohol (drinks/week)       | 5          | 2       | 1–10    | 10         | 5       | 0–80*   |       |

‘modulated’ by the Jacobian determinants of the deformations to account for local compression and expansion during linear and non-linear transformation (Good et al, 2001). Finally, GM probability maps were smoothed with an isotropic Gaussian kernel of 6 mm full width at half maximum (FWHM) to improve Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR) and to conform the data more closely to a Gaussian distribution for statistics. Voxel-based inferential statistics were performed on the smoothed modulated gray matter images using a two-sample t-test embedded in the Random Effect framework of SPM8. We included age, total brain volume (TBV, the sum of gray matter and white matter), and alcohol consumption as regressors of no interest to control for the effects of these variables. Statistical thresholds were applied at \( p < 0.05 \) after family-wise error (FWE) correction for multiple comparisons over the whole brain and \( k > 60 \) for cluster extent (greater than the minimum voxels expected per cluster). Voxel-by-voxel correlation analysis was performed between the smoothed modulated gray matter images and the variable representative of the level of drug use (monthly frequency of joints used in the 3 months before inclusion in the study) after testing the presence of outliers.

Then, each group (occasional/regular) was stratified according to the age of first use (before/after 18 years of age) in order to assess whether the age at which cannabis use started influences the magnitude of the decreased gray matter volume localized with the two-sample t-test. The four subgroups were composed of 13 occasional smokers who started cannabis consumption before the age of 18 (called here ‘Occasional early’), and 9 who started after (called ‘Occasional late’); 16 regular users who started cannabis consumption before the age of 18 (called here ‘Regular early’), and 9 who started after (called ‘Regular late’). Gray matter volume, represented by the value of the modulated GM image, was compared between the so-defined subgroups. We tested significant differences between the ‘Occasional late’ group and all of the other groups (ie, three tests for each region) at a level of \( p < 0.05 \) (corrected for multiple comparisons). For each comparison between subgroups, we also computed the effect size (d) by dividing the difference between mean values by the common SD of the two groups (Cohen, 1988).

RESULTS

Self-rating questionnaires show a significant difference in the frequency and amount of cannabis consumption between the groups of regular and occasional smokers. However, they do not differ in age, in the years of cannabis use and or in the age at which consumption started (Table 1). The median value of the self-reported usual amount of cannabis smoked by regular users is higher than that of occasional consumers (0.4 g vs 0.3 g). The determination of cannabinoid time profiles revealed that the THCCOOH median level was significantly higher in regular smokers compared with occasional users (21 μg/l vs 0 μg/l just before smoking the joint). An equal difference was found for the participants enrolled in the same study and selected by Fabritius et al, (2013a) for the pharmacokinetic determinations.

MRI Results

When comparing gray matter volume between groups, we find that significant clusters showing a lower gray matter volume in regular cannabis users compared with occasional ones are located bilaterally in the temporal pole and in the parahippocampal gyrus. Additional clusters cover the left insula and the left orbitofrontal cortex (Figure 1). In contrast, three cerebellar clusters show the opposite behavior, with increased gray matter volume. Coordinates of the centers of gravity of the significant clusters are reported in the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space and are summarized in Tables 2 and 3.

Voxel-by-voxel correlations over the whole brain were performed merging the two groups together. Correlation analysis highlights an inverse linear correlation between GM volume and the monthly frequency of cannabis use during the 3 months before inclusion in the study. Regions with a decreased GM volume in regular smokers (Table 2) are
those that exhibit this inverse correlation ($P < 0.005$). Figure 2 (panel a) illustrates this relation in three clusters located in the left parahippocampal gyrus ($P = 0.004$, $R = -0.42$), left insula ($P = 0.0002$, $R = -0.54$), and right temporal pole ($P = 0.002$, $R = -0.45$). The results for the other four regions are presented in the Supplementary File S1.

The stratification of the two groups according to the age of first cannabis use in the same clusters shows that a decrease in gray matter volume can occur with a heavy amount of cannabis consumption, independent of the years of usage (Figure 2, panel b). The comparison between the ‘Occasional late’ subgroup and both the ‘Regular’ subgroups shows a significant difference at $P < 0.05$ in each cluster of interest. Recreational consumption begun early in adolescence (ie, ‘Occasional early’ subgroup) significantly affects the GM volume in two regions out of three. These are located in left parahippocampal gyrus ($P = 0.04$) and right temporal pole ($P = 0.04$); the left insula shows only a trend.
at $P = 0.09$. The effect size measured by Cohen’s $d$ was large ($d > 1$) in each significant comparison. The results for the other four regions are presented in the Supplementary File S1.

**DISCUSSION**

Our study demonstrates a pattern of gray matter volume changes in a group of regular cannabis users compared with a group of occasional ones. Regular users exhibit a decrease in gray matter (GM) volume in the medial temporal cortex, temporal pole, parahippocampal gyrus, left insula, and orbitofrontal cortex. These changes strongly correlate with the monthly frequency of cannabis use in the 3 months before inclusion in the study. We chose the preceding 3-month period as the period of interest because the participants’ cannabis use during this length of time is representative of their usual cannabis use. Three clusters in the cerebellum show the opposite behavior, with increased GM volume. We also show that the age of onset of cannabis use is correlated with the magnitude of gray matter volume reduction in the cerebral hemispheres. Specifically, significant gray matter atrophy can occur either with a heavy cannabis consumption independent of the age of first use or with recreational consumption that started during adolescence (before the age of 18).

Our finding corroborates several animal studies (Burns et al., 2007; Downer et al., 2001; Lawston et al., 2000), adding evidence that the duration of exposure to cannabis is indeed associated with localized volume reduction in regions rich in CB1 receptors, correlating with the amount of cannabis used.

The progression of a long-term exposure to drugs toward the development of substance use disorders and addicted behaviors is often associated with deficits in decision making (Koob and Volkow, 2010; Wiers et al., 2007). FMRI demonstrates altered brain activity in core regions linked to the motivational and affective aspects of decision making (Cousijn et al., 2012; Vaidya et al., 2012), mainly in the ventromedial prefrontal (VMPFC) and orbitofrontal cortices and insula. In this regard, it has been demonstrated that substance-dependent individuals and patients with VMPFC lesions exhibit similar behaviors that lead them to make similar decisions in real life, preferring choices that bring immediate benefits even if coupled with negative consequences (Bechara and Damasio, 2002).

In our study, we complement the functional evidence of altered activity in nodes related to decision making by showing in regular cannabis users a decrease in gray matter volume in the insula, orbitofrontal cortex, and precuneus, regions that are part of the motivational and affective components of this network.

This observation supports and extends the conclusions about compromised activity in the Salience Network nodes (Seeley et al., 2007) described in occasional cannabis users following the smoking of a single joint of cannabis.
Battistella et al, 2013). The key role in this network is played by the insula, a region involved in subjects’ awareness of error, in the processing of affective and internal information and in switching between different brain networks. Changes in this structure have also been confirmed in alcohol addiction where the decrease in insular activation seems to reflect an inability to switch from interoceptive cravings to cognitive control for suppressing internal needs (Sullivan et al, 2013). Here we complement this finding of functional change with its structural substrate. On the other hand, the activity in other nodes of the Salience and the Control Executive networks (VMPFC, ACC, Dorsolateral Prefrontal cortex) that is compromised in occasional smokers is not associated with structural changes in these regions in regular cannabis smokers. It remains thus to be explored whether morphological variations in these areas may occur at a later stage or in the presence of more serious addiction-related behaviors that our participants do not exhibit.

In relation to gray matter volume reduction in regions linked to affective and emotional processes described so far (the insula and the orbitofrontal cortex), we also show structural variations in the temporal pole (TP). The TP shares cytoarchitectural and functional characteristics with the orbitofrontal cortex (Kling and Steklis, 1976) and receives projections from the insula. The volume reduction in these regions observed in our population supports the idea of a joint role of these structures in regular cannabis use. Evidence exists about the role of the temporal pole (TP) in coupling emotions and highly processed sensory stimuli (Olson et al, 2013). Here we complement this finding of functional change with its structural substrate. On the other hand, the activity in other nodes of the Salience and the Control Executive networks (VMPFC, ACC, Dorsolateral Prefrontal cortex) that is compromised in occasional smokers is not associated with structural changes in these regions in regular cannabis smokers. It remains thus to be explored whether morphological variations in these areas may occur at a later stage or in the presence of more serious addiction-related behaviors that our participants do not exhibit.

In relation to gray matter volume reduction in regions linked to affective and emotional processes described so far (the insula and the orbitofrontal cortex), we also show structural variations in the temporal pole (TP). The TP shares cytoarchitectural and functional characteristics with the orbitofrontal cortex (Kling and Steklis, 1976) and receives projections from the insula. The volume reduction in these regions observed in our population supports the idea of a joint role of these structures in regular cannabis use. Evidence exists about the role of the temporal pole (TP) in coupling emotions and highly processed sensory stimuli (Olson et al, 2007). Lesions in this structure lead to changes in personality and in social behavior (Thompson et al, 2003). Ablation of the monkey orbitofrontal cortex, TP, and amygdala causes similar socioemotional deficits. To our knowledge, ours is the first study showing gray matter atrophy in the temporal pole in regular cannabis users and the degree of atrophy related to the frequency of drug use in the 3 months preceding inclusion in the study. Previous research using ROI analysis did not focus on possible changes in this region. With regard to the two studies using VBM, one (Matochik et al, 2005) found changes only in the medial part of the temporal cortex, maybe due to the small sample studied; the other (Cousijn et al, 2012) failed to find any change in the cerebral cortex. Atrophy of this structure has been found in cocaine users (Albein-Urios et al, 2013) and has been linked to socioemotional and personality problems.

In addition to the changes in the polar regions, there are also changes in the medial temporal cortex, which is one of the structures often reported to be associated with cannabis addiction and where we find a strong bilateral decrease in gray matter volume in the population of regular cannabis users. Such a pattern of atrophy has been also described in other forms of addiction such as alcohol addiction (Mechteriaikov et al, 2007), but not in heroin users (Denier et al, 2013). However, other patients with severe, non-toxic, behavioral addiction such as pathological gambling (Levine et al, 2005) do not present the same form of atrophy, suggesting that temporal atrophy is indeed associated with cannabis consumption rather than with addictive behavior itself. Despite the fact that the neurobiological interpretation of this volume reduction is still unclear, studies on rodents give some clues on this point.

Scallet et al (1987) found a THC-induced decrease in the mean volume of hippocampal neurons and a 44% reduction in the number of synapses up to 7 months after exposure. Functional and structural variations in the hippocampus have been linked to reduced memory performance (Solowij and Battisti, 2008) and psychotic symptoms (Yücel et al, 2008). Cannabinoid exposure produces reduced activation in the hippocampus during verbal and visual learning tasks (Block et al, 2002; Jager et al, 2007).

Another main finding of our study is the increase in gray matter volume in the cerebellum that replicates results in adults (Cousijn et al, 2012) and in adolescents (Medina et al, 2010).

In normal adolescents the volume of cerebellar gray matter starts to decrease around puberty and continues until early adulthood (Diamond, 2000; Ostby et al, 2009). Alterations of this phenomenon have been observed in various psychiatric conditions (Mackie et al, 2007) (Jarvis et al, 2008) (Castellanos et al, 2002) (Pujol et al, 2004) (Hill et al, 2003) and in adolescents with familiar history of severe alcohol abuse (Hill et al, 2007).

It has been hypothesized that this normal reduction in gray matter volume in the cerebellum is due to the pruning of the synaptic connections (Cohen-Cory, 2002). One possible reason for abnormal pruning could be the toxic effect of THC at a critical period of brain maturation. Endogenous cannabinoids have an important role in synaptic pruning due to their interaction with CB1 receptors controlling the release of glutamate and GABA (Bossong and Niesink, 2010). Exogenous cannabinoids might disturb this system by competing for the receptors, thus inhibiting the pruning particularly in receptor-rich areas like the cerebellum (Casu et al, 2005) or the prefrontal cortex (Bossong and Niesink, 2010).

However, our results cannot exclude that abnormal pruning is due to genetic predisposition as seen in children from multiplex alcoholic families even before the beginning of any drinking behavior or in obsessive compulsive disorder (Hill et al, 2007). Hence, a limitation of our study and a matter of debate in the literature are connected to the question of whether these brain changes are caused by cannabis use or are already present before drug use.

Additional limitation of our study is the relatively narrow age-range of our participants (19–29 years of age) that may limit the possibility to totally capture the cumulative effects of cannabis. On the other hand, a 10-year window of age range assures the homogeneity of the two groups, aspect of paramount importance in the context of group analysis.

Existing literature shows that cognitive alterations and CB1 receptor downregulation in regular cannabis users may return to normal values due to neuroadaptive phenomena occurring after periods of abstinence (Bosker et al, 2013; Hanson et al, 2010; Hirvonen et al, 2012; Schweinsburg et al, 2010). The design of our study cannot address whether the structural alterations observed are permanent or reversible. The so far unexplored evolution of the gray matter alterations across time and the possible recovery after recency of use should be addressed by further longitudinal studies.

In our study, we provide new arguments about the effects of long-term exposure to cannabis on brain structure integrity. We were able to support all the hypotheses raised in the introduction: (i) we demonstrate that regular cannabis use is
associated with reduced gray matter volume in regions rich in cannabinoid CB1 receptors that are functionally linked to motivational, emotional, and affective processing. (ii) We complete our findings by showing that the magnitude of changes in these regions correlates with the frequency of cannabis use and (iii) is modulated by the age at which consumption was initiated.

We present a different scenario in the cerebellum where the increase in gray matter volume in regular users without any correlation with the amount of cannabis use may have a developmental nature. The line of research should move toward longitudinal studies in order to differentiate between consumption-related and developmental aspects of brain changes associated with long-term regular cannabis exposure.
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