A SMART approach to reducing paroxysmal atrial fibrillation symptoms: Results from a pilot randomized controlled trial
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BACKGROUND Stress and negative emotions contribute to atrial fibrillation (AF). Mind-body practices decrease stress and negative emotions and may reduce AF episodes and improve quality of life for patients with AF.

OBJECTIVE We examined the effects of a multimodal mind-body program, the SMART Program, on AF-related quality of life in patients with paroxysmal AF (PAF).

METHODS In this randomized, waitlist-controlled pilot trial, 18 subjects with PAF participated in an 8-week SMART Program delivered online immediately or 3 months later. Validated measures were completed at baseline and at 3 and 6 months (waitlist group only).

RESULTS Comparing pre- vs post-program scores among all 18 participants, subjects reported improvement in AF-related quality of life (Cohen’s d = 0.75, P = .005) and depression (d = 0.50, P = .05) but not anxiety (d = 0.35, P = .16). Subjects also reported improvements in AF symptom severity (P = .026), distress (P = .014), positive affect (P = .003), and ability to cope with stress (P = .001). Compared to waitlist control subjects, those in the immediate group reported improvement in positive affect (d = 1.20, P = .021) and coping with stress (d = 1.36, P = .011) after participating in the program.

CONCLUSION The SMART Program, delivered virtually, may enhance positive emotions and coping with stress as well as decrease negative emotions and AF symptoms. These results warrant a larger trial to better understand the potential benefits of such programs for patients with PAF.

KEYWORDS Meditation; Paroxysmal atrial fibrillation; Psychological resilience; Psychological stress; Quality of life

Introduction

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common cardiac arrhythmia, with a lifetime risk of 1 in 6 individuals, and its incidence increases with age.1,2 AF is associated with increased risk of stroke as well as increased risk of cognitive impairment and dementia, regardless of stroke history.3 Approximately one-third of patients with AF have depression, anxiety, or both.4 Among patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation (PAF), quality of life as measured by the SF-36 (36-Item Short Form Survey) is as low as in patients with significant structural heart disease (eg, post myocardial infarction or heart failure).5 Large epidemiologic studies have demonstrated an association between chronic stress and development of AF.6,7 Moreover, in patients with PAF, acute stress and negative emotions (eg, sadness, anger, anxiety, and impatience) are associated with 2- to 5-fold higher odds of an AF episode on the following day, whereas happiness is associated with an 85% lower odds of AF on the following day.8 Moreover, the effect of acute stress and negative emotions on triggering an AF episode is significantly attenuated in individuals taking beta blockers (suggesting that this class of medications may be attenuating stress-induced adrenergic signaling).9

Mind-body techniques such as meditation and yoga decrease the physiologic and psychologic stress response, resulting in decreased catecholamine release, reduction in negative emotions, and increased positive affect.10–14 The physiologic effects of these practices may be particularly
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relevance for modulating the autonomic nervous system and decreasing the frequency of AF episodes. Indeed, data suggest that mind-body interventions such as yoga and meditation may reduce stress, improve quality of life, and possibly reduce AF frequency in patients with PAF. A single arm, pre-post study of a 12-week yoga and relaxation program for 60 patients with PAF found a reduction in both symptomatic and asymptomatic AF episodes, symptomatic non-AF episodes, and anxiety and depression in participants after completing the intervention. A 12-week randomized controlled trial of yoga for patients with PAF found improved quality of life and decreased blood pressure and heart rate. A randomized controlled trial of a 9-week mindfulness and cognitive behavioral therapy program vs usual care (n = 104) found improved quality of life and reduced depression in the intervention group a year later. A pilot study of Vipassana meditation in 25 patients with congestive heart failure and implanted cardiac defibrillators followed for an average of 6.5 years found less sustained AF and VT in the meditation group compared to a usual care control group; however, the meditation group was also significantly younger at baseline.

A number of programs have been developed to teach mind-body skills to patients in a clinical setting. One such program with which we have extensive experience, and which has been shown to improve quality of life and symptoms in multiple patient populations, including patients with coronary artery disease, is the Stress Management and Resiliency Training: Relaxation Response Resiliency Program (SMART-3RP or SMART Program for short). Based upon our clinical experience with this program in this patient population and the above literature, we conducted a pilot clinical trial of the SMART Program for patients with PAF to determine whether it could improve quality of life for patients with PAF and reduce anxiety and depression. To improve access and facilitate subject recruitment, program sessions were held online using a secure telehealth platform.

Methods

Trial design

This study was a randomized, parallel-assignment pilot study to investigate the effects of the Stress Management and Resiliency Training (SMART) Program on quality of life in patients with symptomatic PAF. The study was approved by the Partners Human Research Committee/IRB and conducted according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants provided written informed consent. The trial is registered on ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT03450993).

Participants

Patients were eligible if they were 18–90 years of age, were able to understand written and spoken English, had documented recurrent symptomatic PAF, were on stable medical therapy for their PAF, and were willing to continue on the same treatment regimen while participating in the study. Individuals were excluded if they had a current daily practice of yoga, meditation, guided imagery, or other similar mind-body techniques; were unwilling or unable to participate in an intervention delivered via video conferencing; unable to come to the research center to complete outcome measures; had end stage renal disease or heart failure or a severe unstable medical or psychiatric disease; or were unable to complete the protocol due to cognitive reasons. Patients on psychoactive medications had their eligibility assessed on a case-by-case basis depending on the stability of their medication regimen.

Intervention

The SMART Program is a manualized psychoeducational program that teaches participants a variety of different skills to more effectively cope with stress and reduce its negative health effects. The program includes training in mind-body skills (meditation techniques, mini relaxations, mindfulness, and yoga), stress awareness tools, healthy lifestyle behaviors (sleep, exercise, nutrition, and social support), and cognitive reappraisal and adaptive coping skills (adapted from cognitive behavioral therapy, acceptance and commitment therapy, and positive psychology). Sessions are held weekly for 1.5 hours for 8 weeks and include didactic components, experiential exercises, and small group activities. As part of the program, subjects received a workbook and recorded guided meditations and were encouraged to practice for 10-20 minutes a day and to complete a daily log of their practice. Although many of the tools taught in the program are designed to be integrated as part of a daily practice/lifestyle, participants were particularly encouraged to use one of the mini relaxations taught in the program if they developed cardiac symptoms (e.g., palpitations) as a way to both decrease autonomic dysregulation and any attendant anxiety.

All SMART group sessions were conducted online using a hospital-approved, secure video telehealth platform (Vidyo, https://www.vidyo.com/) that allows for encrypted audio and video transmission and were led by a single physician (MLD) experienced in running these groups. Sessions were held in the evening hours during the week work. A study research coordinator worked with each participant prior to the first session of the SMART Program to assist them in downloading the required software and to help them become comfortable connecting with and using the virtual environment.
Outcomes
The primary outcomes were changes in AF-related quality of life (Atrial Fibrillation Effect on Quality of Life Questionnaire – AFEQT\textsuperscript{27}), anxiety (GAD-7\textsuperscript{28}), and depression (PHQ-8\textsuperscript{29}) over approximately 3 months from before to after the program across all participants. Given the pilot nature of this study, we also asked subjects to complete additional questionnaires to better understand the psychosocial effects of the SMART Program in this patient population and the mechanisms underlying those effects. These questionnaires included the Atrial Fibrillation Symptom Severity and Burden Questionnaire,\textsuperscript{30} Distress Scale (0–10 scale), the Penn State Worry Questionnaire (PSWQ)\textsuperscript{31}, positive affect portion of the Positive and Negative Affect Scale (PANAS), Happiness Scale\textsuperscript{8} (0–6 scale), the Measure of Current Status – A (MOCS-A,\textsuperscript{33} a measure of stress reactivity/coping), Perceived Stress Scale-10 (PSS-10\textsuperscript{34}), the Current Experiences Scale (modified from the Post-Traumatic Growth Inventory,\textsuperscript{35} a measure of resilience), and the Cognitive and Affective Mindfulness Scale-Revised (CAMS-R\textsuperscript{36}). Subjects completed postprogram measures within 3–5 weeks of completing the SMART Program. Subjects in the immediate group completed outcome measures twice (pre- and post-intervention, at baseline and 3 months). Subjects in the waitlist control group completed measures 3 times (at baseline [3 months preintervention], 3 months [immediately preintervention], and 6 months [postintervention]).

Randomization and Blinding
The study statistician generated the randomization allocation table and uploaded it into the study REDCap\textsuperscript{37} database. No other study personnel had access to the randomization sequence. Subjects were randomized by the study research coordinator after all baseline data were collected. Subjects were randomized 1:1 to receive the SMART Program either immediately (n = 9) or 3 months later (n = 9, waitlist control group). A diagram illustrating time points for outcome assessments for both groups is shown in Supplemental Appendix 1. Following randomization, study participants and the research team knew individuals’ group assignments; however, initial data analysis was performed blinded.

Statistical analysis
All analyses were conducted in SPSS v24 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY). Descriptive statistics were used to assess participant demographics and assess the normality of questionnaire data. Although most of the data were approximately normally distributed, a few measures were not; however, nonparametric tests yielded equivalent inference to the parametric tests for these measures, and thus all reported values are from parametric tests. For the several instances in which a question was skipped by a study participant, data were assumed to be missing at random and missing data were imputed by calculating the average score for a measure for the answered items. To compare pre- vs post-intervention scores for all 18 subjects, paired samples \(t\) tests were used (using the second and third assessments for the control group). Independent samples \(t\) tests were used to compare changes in scores for the immediate vs waitlist control group (using the first and second assessments for the control group). As this small study was
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intended primarily as a hypothesis-generating pilot study, $P$ values were not corrected for multiple comparisons.

**Results**
A total of 18 individuals with PAF enrolled in the study (Figure 1). Participant demographics are presented in Table 1. The mean age was 66 years, 14 (78%) were women, and the majority were college graduates (72%). The immediate and waitlist control groups were similar in demographics, but subjects in the waitlist control group were taking more cardiac medications, particularly antiarrhythmics, and had a lower baseline quality-of-life scores on the AFEQT. Participants attended 7.2 sessions on average (range 6–8 sessions).

Examination of pre-post program changes for all 18 subjects demonstrated significant improvements in AF-related quality of life ($P = .005$), AF symptom severity ($P = .026$), distress ($P = .014$), positive affect ($P = .003$), and stress reactivity/coping ($P = .001$) with moderate-to-large effect sizes (Table 2). Nonsignificant changes with moderate effect sizes were also seen for improvements in depression, anxiety, happiness, perceived stress, and resilience.

Comparison of the immediate intervention group that received the SMART Program to the waitlist control group before they received the SMART Program (9 vs 9 subjects) demonstrated significant improvements in stress reactivity/coping ($P = .01$) and positive affect ($P = .02$) with large effect sizes (Table 3). Nonsignificant changes with large effect sizes were also seen for improvements in AF-related quality of life, depression, and happiness.

**Discussion**
In this virtually delivered pilot study of the SMART Program for patients with symptomatic PAF, subjects noted significantly improved AF-related quality of life and reduced depressive symptoms and no significant change in anxiety. The largest effects of the program were improvements in stress reactivity/coping and increases in positive affect.

Although subjects in the waitlist control group were taking more antiarrhythmics and other medications and had a lower quality of life scores on the AFEQT compared to the immediate group, potentially confounding the comparison between the immediate and waitlist control groups, subjects in the waitlist group also noted significant improvements in their AFEQT score after completing the SMART Program (mean 80.7, standard deviation 19.8, $P = .037$).

Programs teaching mind-body skills have been shown to decrease anxiety and depression in a variety of patient populations. Anxiety and depression commonly co-occur in patients with PAF, and these negative emotions not only increase stress and decrease quality of life, but may also increase autonomic dysregulation, increasing the potential for AF episodes. Notably, our small sample was not particularly anxious or depressed, which may explain the lack of significant changes on these measures in our study. Nonetheless, we saw moderate-to-large effect sizes for decreases in depressive symptoms. Perhaps even more importantly, we found significant improvements in positive affect and moderate-to-large effect sizes for improvements in happiness following participation in the SMART Program. Positive emotions have been shown to significantly reduce the likelihood of AF episodes.

Consistent with the set of skills taught in the SMART Program, and the changes in positive and negative affect observed, participants reported significant improvements in

**Table 1** Participant demographics

| Participant group | Immediate (n = 9) | Waitlist (n = 9) | Total (n = 18) |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Age (mean ± SD), years | 66 ± 3.3 | 66 ± 7.2 | 66 ± 5.5 |
| Female sex | 6 (67) | 8 (89) | 14 (78) |
| Race | White 9 (100) White 9 (100) White 18 (100) |
| Ethnicity | Hispanic or Latino 0 (0) Hispanic or Latino 0 (0) Hispanic or Latino 0 (0) |
| Education | Diploma program 2 (22) | 3 (33) | 5 (28) |
| College graduate | 7 (78) | 6 (67) | 13 (72) |
| Marital status | Married 6 (67) | 5 (56) | 11 (61) |
| Divorced/separated 3 (33) | 2 (22) | 5 (27) |
| Widowed | 0 (0) | 1 (11) | 1 (6) |
| Never married | 0 (0) | 1 (11) | 1 (6) |
| Employment | Employed for wages 6 (67) | 5 (56) | 11 (61) |
| Self-employed | 1 (11) | 0 (0) | 1 (6) |
| Retired | 2 (22) | 4 (44) | 6 (33) |
| Medications | Beta blocker 5 (56) | 5 (56) | 10 (56) |
| Non-DHP CCB | 0 (0) | 2 (22) | 2 (11) |
| Antiarrhythmics | 3 (33) | 8 (89) | 11 (61) |
| Antihypertensives | 2 (22) | 6 (67) | 8 (44) |
| Anticoagulants | 6 (67) | 8 (89) | 14 (78) |
| Aspirin | 3 (33) | 2 (22) | 5 (28) |
| Statin | 1 (11) | 5 (56) | 6 (33) |
| Diuretic | 0 (0) | 2 (22) | 2 (11) |

Results are n (%) unless indicated.
Non-DHP CCB = non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blocker.

| Pre-post intervention changes (n = 18 participants) |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | | | | | |
| AF quality of life | 74.7 (18.6) | 85.8 (14.9) | .006 | 0.75 |
| Depression | 4.8 (4.6) | 2.9 (4.0) | .05 | 0.50 |
| Anxiety | 7.7 (5.3) | 5.4 (6.2) | .155 | 0.35 |
| AF severity | 12.6 (6.0) | 9.2 (5.9) | .026 | 0.59 |
| AF burden | 3.4 (1.8) | 3.1 (1.6) | .408 | 0.20 |
| Distress | 5.1 (2.5) | 3.3 (2.6) | .014 | 0.64 |
| Worry | 50.8 (14.6) | 47.1 (13.9) | .214 | 0.30 |
| Positive affect | 34.4 (6.0) | 38.3 (6.5) | .003 | 0.81 |
| Happiness | 3.8 (1.0) | 4.1 (2.6) | .055 | 0.49 |
| Stress reactivity/coping | 23.4 (11.3) | 31.7 (8.0) | .001 | 0.97 |
| Perceived stress | 17.6 (5.7) | 14.9 (6.3) | .06 | 0.47 |
| Resilience | 90.8 (15.2) | 94.9 (15.7) | .146 | 0.36 |
| Mindfulness | 31.1 (4.7) | 32.2 (3.6) | .251 | 0.28 |

AF = atrial fibrillation.
stress reactivity and coping after completing the program. We also observed nonsignificant, moderate effect size reductions in perceived stress and reductions in distress.

Prior studies of mind-body interventions for patients with AF or significant structural heart disease have shown decreases in arrhythmia frequency.16,19 Although we did not measure this objectively, subjects did report decreased AF symptom severity (frequency) on a standardized questionnaire. No difference in burden of AF symptoms was reported; however, the latter assessed a 6-month period, which was too long for this study. If mind-body approaches do indeed decrease frequency of AF, our results suggest that the primary mechanism may be improving individuals’ abilities to respond to stress in a healthy manner and increasing positive affect. Significantly, these results may be achievable even with modest increases in mindfulness.

A notable feature of this study is the virtual delivery of the program. This study took place prior to the COVID-19 pandemic when virtual delivery of group-based programs and telehealth visits were relatively uncommon. Nonetheless, the technology was not a significant barrier to subject participation (only 5 of the potential subjects we screened did not have computer/technology access). Participants appreciated not having to travel to the hospital every week to participate in the program, and fewer than 1 session of 8 was missed on average. A prior pilot that we attempted with in-person groups was difficult to enroll, as weekly travel to the hospital was a significant barrier to participation. Nonetheless, several participants had low computer literacy, and their participation was enabled only through one-on-one training with the study coordinator. Thus, even individuals with limited computer literacy can be taught how to engage with, and benefit from, online programs.

Limitations of our work include the small sample size, that all groups were run by a single clinician, chance confounding between random treatment assignment and use of antiarrhythmics and baseline quality of life scores, a demographically homogeneous sample, and that there was no long-term follow-up. Nonetheless, the diversity of mind-body programs that have demonstrated improved quality of life for patients with PAF suggests that this effect may be real and that further research to understand the mechanisms, as well as larger trials, are warranted. Moreover, even 8-week mind-body skills-based interventions have been reported to have significant benefits out to at least 1 year.18,38 Our patient population was predominantly female and entirely white, and most individuals had a college degree, limiting generalization to other populations. Finally, we were unable to collect objective data on AF burden in study participants. Nonetheless, as mind-body interventions have demonstrated decreased health care utilization and costs in other conditions,21,39 incorporation of these tools into clinical care for patients with PAF may make sense not only from a quality-of-life standpoint but also from a health economics standpoint, particularly given the high costs of AF and related sequelae.40

| Table 3 | Intervention vs waitlist comparisons (n = 18, 9 vs 9) |
|---------|----------------------------------|
|         | Group | Pre mean (SD) | Post mean (SD) | P value | Cohen’s d |
| AF quality of life | I     | 84.1 (10.6)  | 91.3 (4.8)  | .086    | 0.86    |
|                   | W     | 66.5 (20.2)  | 65.4 (20.6)  |         |         |
| Depression        | I     | 5.1 (4.3)    | 2.0 (1.4)    | .073    | 0.9     |
|                   | W     | 4.0 (3.8)    | 4.4 (5.2)    |         |         |
| Anxiety           | I     | 7.8 (5.1)    | 5.0 (3.6)    | .617    | 0.24    |
|                   | W     | 9.0 (5.9)    | 7.6 (5.7)    |         |         |
| AF severity       | I     | 10.9 (3.8)   | 8.1 (4.7)    | .202    | 0.64    |
|                   | W     | 14.1 (7.2)   | 14.1 (7.3)   |         |         |
| AF burden         | I     | 3.2 (2.2)    | 3.6 (1.4)    | 1       | 0       |
|                   | W     | 3.3 (1.9)    | 3.7 (1.3)    |         |         |
| Distress          | I     | 5.2 (1.9)    | 3.6 (1.9)    | .203    | 0.63    |
|                   | W     | 4.7 (2.4)    | 4.9 (3.1)    |         |         |
| Worry             | I     | 49.6 (15.8)  | 45.6 (12.1)  | .792    | 0.13    |
|                   | W     | 54.6 (17.1)  | 52.0 (14.2)  |         |         |
| Positive affect   | I     | 32.4 (5.8)   | 37.4 (7.1)   | .021    | 1.2     |
|                   | W     | 37.0 (7.1)   | 36.3 (5.7)   |         |         |
| Happiness         | I     | 3.9 (0.8)    | 4.3 (0.5)    | .089    | 0.85    |
|                   | W     | 3.9 (0.9)    | 3.7 (1.2)    |         |         |
| Stress reactivity / coping | I  | 23.0 (10.3) | 30.8 (4.4) | .011 | 1.36 |
|                   | W     | 25.7 (12.7)  | 23.9 (12.8)  |         |         |
| Perceived stress  | I     | 17.9 (4.8)   | 15.4 (3.4)   | .587    | 0.26    |
|                   | W     | 18.2 (6.1)   | 17.2 (6.8)   |         |         |
| Resilience        | I     | 87.7 (17.2)  | 90.7 (16.1)  | .57     | 0.27    |
|                   | W     | 15.6 (94.0)  | 94.0 (13.0)  |         |         |
| Mindfulness       | I     | 30.3 (5.7)   | 31.3 (3.8)   | 1       | 0       |
|                   | W     | 30.9 (6.0)   | 31.9 (3.5)   |         |         |

I = intervention; W = waitlist.
Conclusion
We found that an 8-week mind-body program, known as the SMART Program, delivered in a group-based, online format, improves AF-related quality of life, stress reactivity/coping, and happiness in patients with PAF. This program may also decrease negative emotions and AF severity. Given these results, larger trials of the SMART program in this patient population are warranted. If benefit is confirmed, the ability to deliver this intervention virtually will facilitate dissemination of these tools to patients as part of clinical care.

Ethics Statement
The study was approved by the Partners Human Research Committee/IRB and conducted according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.
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Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hroo.2021.06.003.
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