Acetaldehyde induces phosphorylation of dynamin-related protein 1 and mitochondrial dysfunction via elevating intracellular ROS and Ca\(^{2+}\) levels
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**Abstract**

Excessive alcohol consumption impairs brain function and has been associated with an earlier onset of neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and Parkinson’s disease (PD). Acetaldehyde, the most toxic metabolite of alcohol, has been speculated to mediate the neurotoxicity induced by alcohol abuse. However, the precise mechanisms by which acetaldehyde induces neurotoxicity remain elusive. In this study, it was found that acetaldehyde treatment induced excessive mitochondrial fragmentation, impaired mitochondrial function and caused cytotoxicity in cortical neurons and SH-SYSY cells. Further analyses showed that acetaldehyde induced the phosphorylation of mitochondrial fission related protein dynamin-related protein 1 (Drp1) at Ser616 and promoted its translocation to mitochondria. The elevation of Drp1 phosphorylation was partly dependent on the reactive oxygen species (ROS)-mediated activation of c-Jun-N-terminal kinase (JNK) and p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), as N-acetyl-L-cysteine (NAC) pretreatment inhibited the activation of JNK and p38 MAPK while attenuating Drp1 phosphorylation in acetaldehyde-treated cells. In addition, acetaldehyde treatment elevated intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) level and activated Ca\(^{2+}\)/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII). Pretreatment of CaMKII inhibitor prevented Drp1 phosphorylation in acetaldehyde-treated cells and ameliorated acetaldehyde-induced cytotoxicity, suggesting that CaMKII was a key effector mediating acetaldehyde-induced Drp1 phosphorylation and mitochondrial dysfunction. Taken together, acetaldehyde induced cytotoxicity by promoting excessive Drp1 phosphorylation and mitochondrial fragmentation. Both ROS and Ca\(^{2+}\)-mediated signaling pathways played important roles in acetaldehyde-induced Drp1 phosphorylation. The results also suggested that prevention of oxidative stress by antioxidants might be beneficial for preventing neurotoxicity associated with acetaldehyde and alcohol abuse.
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1. Introduction

Mitochondria are vital organelles in eukaryotic cells which play indispensable roles in ATP generation, Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis and cell survival. Because of the high metabolic demands, neurons are sensitive to the alteration of mitochondrial function [1]. Accumulating evidence has shown that the dysfunction of mitochondria is a prominent and early event in neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer’s disease (AD) [3,79]. As highly dynamic organelles, mitochondria constantly divide and fuse with each other. The balance of fission and fusion is critical for maintaining the normal structure and function of mitochondria [5,12]. Impaired mitochondrial dynamics can result in the accumulation of defective mitochondria and is involved in the pathogenesis of neurodegenerative diseases [4,6,7]. Morphological analyses of neurons from AD patients show that the percentage of mitochondria with intact ultrastructure is significantly lower when compared with the neurons from the age-matched controls [8]. Similarly, the number of fragmented mitochondria with broken ultrastructure is increased in neurons of Tg2576 transgenic AD mouse model over-expressing amyloid precursor protein with “Swedish” mutation [9]. The mitochondria in AD neurons have reduced length possibly resulted from the excessive mitochondrial fission [9,10].

A number of proteins are involved in the regulation of the fission and fusion processes of mitochondria. The fission of mitochondria is mainly driven by a GTPase family member dynamin-related protein 1 (Drp1), which exists as dimers or tetramers in cytoplasm [11,13,14].
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During mitochondrial fission, Drp1 is recruited to the mitochondrial outer membrane where it assembles into larger oligomeric fission complex and evokes the mitochondrial fission by GTP hydrolysis
[15,16]. The function of Drp1 is regulated by extensive post-translational modulation, such as phosphorylation, sumoylation and ubiquitination, which may affect the protein stability of Drp1, the localization of Drp1 to mitochondria, or the formation of fission complex at mitochondrial fission sites[15,17–19]. Under various stimuli, Drp1 activity can be altered, resulting in abnormal mitochondrial dynamics and cell injuries. It has been demonstrated that oxidative stress augments the GTPase activity of Drp1 and promotes Drp1-mediated mitochondrial fragmentation and dysfunction[16,20[41]], while inhibition of oxidative stress restores tubular mitochondrial morphology[21]. In addition, elevation of intracellular Ca2+ levels and mitochondrial Ca2+ uptake are also involved in the modulation of Drp1-mediated mitochondrial fragmentation[22]. The excessive mitochondrial fission resulted from the augmented Drp1 activity may consequently lead to the production of defective mitochondria in neurons, causing neurotoxicity and ultimately promoting the pathological development of neurodegenerative diseases.

It has been demonstrated that chronic alcohol abuse causes brain damages and perhaps accelerates the onset and development of neurodegenerative diseases such as AD and Parkinson’s disease (PD) [23,24]. Acetaldehyde, the most toxic metabolite of alcohol, has been speculated to play important role in mediating the neurotoxicity induced by alcohol abuse. Acetaldehyde is mainly generated in liver from ethanol by alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) after absorption. Catalase and cytochrome p450 2E1 are additional enzymes which are critical for the local formation of acetaldehyde in the brain [25]. The major enzyme responsible for the detoxification and metabolism of acetaldehyde is aldehyde dehydrogenase 2 (ALDH2). Excessive alcohol consumption can lead to higher blood concentration of acetaldehyde especially in individuals with defective ALDH2 [26]. The generation and accumulation of acetaldehyde by local metabolism in brain may mediate the brain tissue damage and the cognitive dysfunction induced by chronic excessive consumption of alcohol. Oxidative stress has been implicated in acetaldehyde-induced cytotoxicity and tissue damages [27–29]. Studies have shown that excess acetaldehyde leads to increased expression of NADPH oxidase 2 (NOX2) subunits and enhanced NOX activity, which play important role in acetaldehyde-induced mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation [30,31]. It has been demonstrated that acetaldehyde induces ROS overproduction and impairs mitochondrial function, causing apoptosis and cytotoxicity of neuronal cells [29,31][32]. However, the precise mechanisms by which acetaldehyde induces mitochondrial dysfunction and neurotoxicity are still unclear. Here we found that acetaldehyde induced mitochondrial fragmentation and dysfunction in SH-SYSY cells by promoting the phosphorylation and mitochondrial translocation of Drp1. Further analyses showed that the increased production of ROS and the elevation of intracellular Ca2+ were critical for acetaldehyde-induced Drp1 phosphorylation and mitochondrial dysfunction.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Fetal bovine serum (FBS), streptomycin, penicillin, Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM), trypsin, beta tubulin antibody, MitoTracker™ Green FM and MitoSOX™ Red mitochondrial superoxide indicator were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Rockford, USA). Acetaldehyde, crystal violet and N-acetyl-L-cysteine (NAC) were purchased from Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China). 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay kit was purchased from Wanlebio (Shenyang, China). BCA protein assay kit, ATP assay kit, mitochondrial membrane potential (ΔΨ) assay kit, mitochondria isolation kit, Fluo-3 AM, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), BeyoECL plus Western blotting detection system, HRP-labeled donkey anti-goat IgG (H+L), HRP-labeled goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) and HRP-labeled goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) were purchased from Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology (Haimen, China). KN-93 phosphate was purchased from Selleck Chemicals (Houston, USA). BAPTA-AM was purchased from TargetMol (Boston, USA). 2′, 7′-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA) was purchased from Sigma Chemical (St. Louis, MO, USA). Phospho-Drp1 (p-Drp1) (Ser616) antibody, Drp1 antibody, phospho-JNK (p-JNK) (Thr183/Tyr185) antibody, JNK antibody, phospho-p38 MAPK (p-p38 MAPK) (Thr180/Tyr182) antibody, p38 MAPK antibody, phospho-CaMKII (p-CaMKII) (Thr286) antibody and CaMKII antibody were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, USA). OP1 (D-9) antibody, Mn1 (D-10) antibody and Tim23 (H-8) antibody were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, USA).

2.2. Cell culture

Human neuroblastoma SH-SYSY cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin and streptomycin. Acetaldehyde was diluted in PBS and added to the cell culture medium to achieve specific concentrations. The cells were maintained at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO2 and 95% air until being collected for different assays.

2.3. MTT assay

SH-SY5Y cells were seeded at a density of 4 × 10⁵ cells/well in a 96-well culture plate. Primary cultures of cortical neurons prepared from the brains of newborn Sprague/Dawley rats were seeded with a density of 2 × 10⁵/well in growth neurobasal medium containing 2% B27 and 1% FBS. Cells were treated with various concentrations of acetaldehyde. After the treatment, MTT solution was added to each well and the cells were incubated at 37 °C for 4 h. The medium was then discarded and the cells were incubated at 37 °C for 15 min in 150 μL DMSO to dissolve the formazan. The colored products were then measured at 570 nm using a microplate reader.

2.4. Determination of mitochondrial membrane potential

The mitochondrial membrane potential was measured using an assay kit following the manufacturer’s protocol. After the treatment, cells were incubated at 37 °C for 20 min with a JC-1 working buffer. Cells were washed twice and harvested by trypsinization. The fluorescence intensity of the cells was then measured using a fluorospectrophotometer (F-2700 Techcomp (China) Ltd.) (excitation wavelength: 490 nm; emission wavelength: 590 and 525 nm). The relative mitochondrial membrane potential was estimated using the ratio of red/green fluorescence intensity.

2.5. Measurement of ATP content

The ATP content was measured using a luciferase luminescent ATP detection assay kit, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After the treatment, cells were lysed with a lysis buffer provided by the manufacturer and centrifuged at 12,000 × g at 4 °C for 10 min. The supernatants or ATP standard solutions with known concentrations were mixed with luciferin and luciferase. Luciferase converted luciferin to oxyluciferin and light, which is proportional to the concentration of ATP present in the reaction mixture. The luminescence was measured using a Synergy HTX Multi-mode Microplate Reader (Biotek Instruments, Inc.). Then the concentration of ATP was calculated according to the standard curve.
2.6. Analyses of mitochondrial morphology

The morphology of mitochondria was examined using MitoTracker Green staining. Cells were seeded in a 24 mm glass bottom dish (Cellvis, Mountain View, CA, USA). After treatment, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde at room temperature for 15 min, washed twice with PBS-free medium, and stained with MitoTracker Green (180 nM) at 37 °C for 30 min in the dark. Mitochondrial morphology was then examined using a confocal laser scanning microscope (FluoView FV1000) (60 × objective, zoom × 2). The excitation/emission wavelengths for MitoTracker Green FM were 490/516 nm. Images were analyzed using Image-Pro Plus 6.0 software. Approximately 45–60 cells were randomly chosen from each treatment. The mitochondrial morphology were analyzed using three different parameters, length, aspect ratio (AR) (ratio between major and minor axes of an ellipse) and form factor (FF) (perimeter²/4π·area; degree of branching) according to previous studies [34,35]. Average values of AR and FF were calculated from each experiment. Individual mitochondria were sub-divided into three categories according to their lengths: fragmented (< 1.2 μm), intermediate (1.2–1.6 μm), and tubular (> 1.6 μm). A total of three parallel treatments were counted and the experiment was repeated three times.

2.7. Mitochondria isolation

Mitochondria were prepared using a mitochondria isolation kit following the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, approximately 1 × 10^7 cells were harvested and centrifuged at 700 × g for 10 min at 4 °C, the pellets were then suspended with 500 μL isolation buffer provided by the manufacturer. The cells were homogenized on ice for 60 strokes using a pestle and then centrifuged at 3500 × g for 25 min at 4 °C. The pellets were used as mitochondrial fraction. The supernatant was collected and centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C, and the resulted supernatant was used as cytosolic fraction.

2.8. Western blot analyses

After treatments, cells were washed twice with PBS and lysed in a lysis buffer (Tris 20 mM, NaCl 150 mM, EDTA 1 mM, sodium pyrophosphate 2.5 mM, NaF 20 mM, β-glycerophosphoric acid 1 mM, and sodium orthovanadate 1 mM). The supernatants were collected after centrifugation at 14,000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C. 20 μg of protein extracts was resolved by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and then transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane and subsequently incubated with specific primary antibodies. PVDF membrane was washed with Tris buffered saline (TBS) containing 0.1% Tween-20 for three times. For detection, the PVDF membrane was incubated with a horseradish peroxidase-coupled secondary antibody, followed by an enhanced chemiluminescence substrate reaction using BeyoECL plus Western blotting detection system.

2.9. Measurement of ROS levels

The conversion of non-fluorescent DCFH-Da to fluorescent dichlorofluorescein (DCF) was used to monitor the intracellular ROS production as described previously [36] with minor modifications. In brief, cells were seeded in 6-well culture plates. After treatment, the cells were washed with PBS and harvested by trypsinization. The cells were then stained with 10 μM DCFH-Da for 30 min at 37 °C in the dark. The cells were then washed with PBS and the fluorescence intensity was analyzed immediately using a Synergy HTX Multi-mode Microplate Reader (excitation at 488 nm, emission at 525 nm).

The detection of mitochondrial ROS was performed as described previously [37] with minor modifications. Cells were co-stained with MitoSOX Red and MitoTracker Green. After treatment, cells were stained with MitoSOX Red mitochondrial superoxide indicator (5 μM) diluted in DMEM with 10% FBS at 37 °C for 15 min, and washed with PBS for three times. Cells were then stained with MitoTracker Green (180 nM) diluted in FBS-free DMEM at 37 °C for 30 min. Cells were washed by PBS and the mitochondrial ROS production was evaluated using an Olympus BX53 fluorescence microscope (excitation at 480–550 nm, emission at 590 nm).

2.10. Ca²⁺ measurement

The levels of Ca²⁺ in SH-SYSY cells were measured using Fluo-3 AM as described previously [38] with minor modifications. After treatment, cells were washed by PBS twice, and incubated with 2.5 μM Fluo-3 AM in FBS-free medium at 37 °C for 40 min in the dark. The cells were then washed twice by PBS and incubated at 37 °C for additional 30 min. Cells were collected by trypsinization and the fluorescence intensity was measured using a Synergy HTX Multi-mode Microplate Reader with excitation at 488 nm and emission at 525 nm.

2.11. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses of the data were performed by one-way or two-way ANOVA. Least significant difference (LSD) test was used for pairwise comparisons. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Acetaldehyde induces cytotoxicity and impairs mitochondrial function

Acetaldehyde treatment has been shown to induce cytotoxicity in primary neuronal cells and SH-SYSY cells [29,31]. As shown in Fig. 1A and B, MTT assay showed that acetaldehyde treatment for 24 h induced toxicity in both rat cortical neurons and SH-SYSY cells in a dose-dependent manner. It was also found that acetaldehyde induced toxicity of SH-SYSY cells as early as 2 h after treatment (Fig. 1C). When crystal violet assay was performed to estimate the cell viability, no significant cell loss was detected under these experimental conditions (Fig. S1). The MTT assay measures the reduction of MTT to formazan by succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) in complex II of the electron transport chain and reflects the activity of mitochondria [39,40,42]. Therefore, the results from MTT assays suggested that the damage of mitochondrial function might be an earlier event in acetaldehyde-induced cytotoxicity. We then examined the effects of acetaldehyde on mitochondrial membrane potential, which is an indicator for mitochondrial integrity and bioenergetics function [43]. As shown in Fig. 1D, treatment with 100 μM and 500 μM acetaldehyde for 24 h reduced the mitochondrial membrane potential of SH-SYSY cells by approximately 34% and 42%, respectively. The decrease of mitochondrial membrane potential was observed as early as 1 h after acetaldehyde treatment (Fig. 1E). Disruption of mitochondrial membrane potential has been shown to disturb proton gradient and lead to the loss of ATP production [44]. Consistently, our data showed that the production of ATP in SH-SYSY cells was significantly decreased by acetaldehyde treatment (Fig. 1F and G). These results indicated that impairment of mitochondrial function was an early event in acetaldehyde-induced cytotoxicity.

It was noted that the reduction of MTT activity by acetaldehyde treatment was smaller in comparison with the decrease of mitochondrial membrane potential or the loss of ATP production. The MTT activity reflects predominantly the activity of SDH, which is an important mitochondrial metabolic enzyme. It was possible that compensatory mechanisms existed to prevent the decrease of the activity of SDH in response to acetaldehyde-induced ATP loss and mitochondrial damages, thus resulting in a less dramatic decrease of MTT activity. However, this assumption has yet to be proved.
Fig. 1. Acetaldehyde induces cytotoxicity and impairs mitochondrial function. Primary cultures of cortical neurons (A) or SH-SY5Y cells (B, D and F) were exposed to various concentrations of acetaldehyde (0, 4, 20, 100, 500 μM) for 24 h, or exposed to 500 μM acetaldehyde for the indicated period of time (C, E and G). After the treatment, MTT assay (A, B, and C), JC-1 mitochondrial membrane potential assay (D, E) or ATP luminescent detection assay (F, G) was performed. Data represent mean ± SEM, n = 6 (A, B and C) or n = 3 (D, E, F and G). *p < 0.05 versus control.
3.2. Effects of acetaldehyde on mitochondrial morphology and key fission/fusion proteins

Normal structure and morphology are essential for the function of mitochondria [5,12]. The effect of acetaldehyde on mitochondrial morphology was then evaluated by MitoTracker Green staining. As shown in Fig. 2A, in cells treated with acetaldehyde, the mitochondria had shorter and rounder shapes with less mitochondrial network continuity. Specifically, in comparison with the control-treated cells, the number of cells with fragmented shape (< 1.2 μm) was increased by approximately 2.7 folds, while the number of cells with intermediate (1.2–1.6 μm) and tubular shape (> 1.6 μm) was decreased by approximately 34% and 83%, respectively, after treatment with acetaldehyde (Fig. 2B). When the values of AR and FF were calculated, it was found that AR and FF were decreased by about 19% and 16%, respectively (Fig. 2C and D), suggesting that the mitochondria in acetaldehyde-treated cells were rounder and more fragmented.

Mitochondrial fragmentation can be caused by excessive fission and/or fusion deficiency [45–47]. A wide variety of proteins are involved in the regulation of mitochondrial dynamics. The fusion of the outer and the inner membrane of mitochondria is mediated by dynamin-related GTPases mitofusin (Mfn) and optic atrophy 1 (Opa1), respectively [48]. As shown in Fig. 3, the protein levels of Mfn1 and OPA1 were not significantly affected by acetaldehyde treatment. The fission of mitochondria is mainly mediated by GTPase dynamin-related protein Drp1 [49,50]. Excessive phosphorylation of Drp1 at Ser616 induces mitochondrial fragmentation and dysfunction in multiple pathological processes while inhibition of Drp1 phosphorylation at Ser616 attenuates mitochondrial damage [51–53]. As shown in Fig. 4A and B, though the protein levels of Drp1 were not significantly affected by acetaldehyde treatment, a dramatic increase of Drp1 phosphorylation at Ser616 was detected as early as 2 h after acetaldehyde treatment. The levels of p-Drp1 (Ser616) in cells treated with 500 μM acetaldehyde for 2 h were about 2-folds of that in the control cells. Further analyses of the levels of Drp1 proteins in mitochondrial and cytoplasmic fraction of the cells showed that acetaldehyde treatment promoted the translocation of p-Drp1 (Ser616) to mitochondria (Fig. 4C). Thus, the elevation of Drp1 phosphorylation and its translocation to mitochondria might be a major mechanism that caused mitochondrial fragmentation in acetaldehyde-treated SH-SY5Y cells.

3.3. Elevated ROS production and MAPK activation are critical for acetaldehyde-induced cytotoxicity and Drp1 phosphorylation

Acetaldehyde has been shown to induce oxidative stress in neuronal cells [29,31]. As shown in Fig. 5A, exposure of acetaldehyde caused a quick increase in the production of intracellular ROS in SH-SY5Y cells in a dose-response manner. The levels of ROS were increased by approximately 27% and 69% in cells treated with 100 μM and 500 μM acetaldehyde in comparison to that of the control cells, respectively. As expected, pretreatment with NAC, a well-known antioxidant, significantly attenuated the elevation of intracellular ROS production induced by acetaldehyde (Fig. 5B). Mitochondria are believed to be the major source of intracellular ROS [2]. To elucidate the source of the ROS production in acetaldehyde-treated cells, we next measured the production of mitochondrial ROS by co-staining the cells with MitoSOX Red and MitoTracker Green. As shown in Fig. 5C, acetaldehyde treatment elevated the ROS levels in the mitochondria of SH-SY5Y cells, while pretreatment of NAC inhibited acetaldehyde-induced generation of ROS in mitochondria.

The elevation of ROS appeared to play an important role in acetaldehyde-induced mitochondrial dysfunction and cytotoxicity, as NAC
pretreatment significantly alleviated acetaldehyde-induced suppression of cell activity (Fig. 5D). As shown in Fig. 5E, NAC pretreatment also significantly inhibited acetaldehyde-induced Drp1 phosphorylation, suggesting that ROS-mediated cell signaling was essential for acetaldehyde-induced phosphorylation of Drp1 and mitochondrial fragmentation.

JNK and p38 MAPK are important downstream mediators of ROS in the pathophysiological process of neurodegeneration [55,56]. As shown in Fig. 6A and B, acetaldehyde treatment increased the levels of activated JNK and p38 MAPK by approximately 100% and 66%, respectively, while pretreatment with NAC blocked the activation of JNK and p38 MAPK, almost restoring it to the similar levels of those in untreated cells. It has been demonstrated that activated MAPKs promotes Drp1 phosphorylation at Ser616 [57]. To find out whether the activation of JNK or p38 MAPK mediates acetaldehyde-induced phosphorylation of Drp1 at Ser616, cells were pretreated with sp600125, the inhibitor of JNK, or sb203580, the inhibitor of p38 MAPK, before acetaldehyde treatment. As shown in Fig. 6C and D, acetaldehyde-induced excessive phosphorylation of Drp1 at Ser616 was dramatically attenuated by pretreatment with sp600125 or sb203580. These results suggested that the phosphorylation of Drp1 at Ser616 induced by acetaldehyde was at least partly dependent on the activation of JNK and p38 MAPK; and JNK and p38 MAPK might be important downstream effectors of ROS in acetaldehyde-induced Drp1 phosphorylation and cytotoxicity.

3.4. Ca2+-mediated signaling is involved in acetaldehyde-induced cytotoxicity and Drp1 phosphorylation in SH-SYSY cells

Previous studies have demonstrated that Ca2+ influx evokes mitochondrial dysfunction and fragmentation [58,59]. As shown in Fig. 7A and B, acetaldehyde treatment significantly elevated the levels of intracellular Ca2+. At 2 h after acetaldehyde (500 μM) treatment, the
levels of intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ were increased by approximately 50% in comparison with the control. To find out whether the elevation of intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ is essential for acetaldehyde-induced Drp1 phosphorylation, cells were pretreated with BAPTA-AM, a permeable free Ca$^{2+}$ chelator. As shown in Fig. 7C, pretreatment with BAPTA-AM ameliorated acetaldehyde-induced increase of Drp1 phosphorylation. CaMKII is a downstream effector of Ca$^{2+}$ influx [60]. Acetaldehyde treatment triggered the activation of CaMKII by increasing the phosphorylation of CaMKII at Thr286, while pretreatment with BAPTA-AM prevented its activation induced by acetaldehyde treatment (Fig. 7D).
To explore the role of CaMKII in acetaldehyde-induced mitochondrial dysfunction and cytotoxicity, cells were preincubated with CaMKII inhibitor KN-93 before acetaldehyde treatment. MTT assay showed that KN-93 significantly attenuated acetaldehyde-induced cytotoxicity (Fig. 7E). Moreover, KN-93 pretreatment prevented acetaldehyde-induced increase of Drp1 phosphorylation at Ser616 (Fig. 7F). These results suggested that Ca2+-mediated signaling pathways might play key roles in acetaldehyde-induced mitochondrial fragmentation and cytotoxicity.

Evidence suggests that ROS can induce CaMKII phosphorylation at Thr286 [61,62]. When the effect of NAC on the phosphorylation of CaMKII at Thr286 was examined in cells treated with acetaldehyde, it was found that pretreatment with NAC significantly ameliorated acetaldehyde-induced CaMKII phosphorylation (Fig. 7G). Besides, pretreatment with NAC dramatically inhibited the increase of intracellular Ca2+ levels induced by acetaldehyde (Fig. 7H), suggesting that acetaldehyde-induced ROS production might promote cellular events that led to Ca2+ influx.

4. Discussion

Acetaldehyde, the most toxic metabolite of ethanol, has been demonstrated to induce mitochondrial damages and cause cytotoxicity [29,31,32], but the detailed mechanisms remain unknown. In this study, it was found that acetaldehyde treatment elevated Drp1 phosphorylation, induced mitochondrial fragmentation and impaired mitochondrial function.

The normal mitochondrial morphology and function are maintained by a variety of proteins that regulate the equilibrium of mitochondrial fission and fusion processes. Alteration of these regulatory proteins leads to reduction of mitochondrial fusion or excessive mitochondrial fission, causing mitochondrial fragmentation and the formation of small...
(A) Fluorescence intensity (% of control) vs. time (h) for control and acetaldehyde treatments.

(B) Fluorescence intensity (% of control) vs. acetaldehyde concentration (μM).

(C) Western blot analysis showing 72 KDa p-Drp1 (Ser616) and Drp1 bands under control and acetaldehyde conditions.

(D) Western blot analysis showing 55 KDa p-CaMKII (Thr286) and CaMKII bands under control and acetaldehyde conditions.

(E) MTT activity (% of control) vs. acetaldehyde and BAPTA-AM conditions.

(F) Western blot analysis showing 72 KDa p-Drp1 (Ser616) and Drp1 bands under control and acetaldehyde conditions.

(G) Western blot analysis showing 55 KDa p-CaMKII (Thr286) and CaMKII bands under control and acetaldehyde conditions.

(H) Fluorescence intensity (% of control) vs. acetaldehyde and NAC conditions.
round defective mitochondria [63–66]. It was found that the levels of mitochondrial fusion and fission related proteins, Mfn1, OPA1 and Drp1, were not significantly affected by acetaldehyde treatment. However, the phosphorylation of Drp1 at Ser616 was significantly increased in acetaldehyde-treated cells. Drp1 is regulated by various posttranslational modifications, among which phosphorylation has been extensively studied [67,68]. Phosphorylation of Drp1 at Ser616 promotes both the localization of Drp1 to mitochondria and its assembly into large oligomeric structures at mitochondrial fission sites, which are important for initiating the fission event [17–19]. Consistently, it was observed that Drp1 was translocated from cytoplasm to mitochondria after acetaldehyde treatment, in accordance with the increased number of rounder and more fragmented mitochondria as well as the reduced mitochondrial membrane potential and the loss of ATP production. Thus, the induction of Drp1 phosphorylation at Ser616 and the subsequent excessive mitochondrial fission are important mechanisms by which acetaldehyde causes mitochondrial dysfunction.

Mitochondrial electron transport chain is the major source of intracellular ROS; when the mitochondrial respiratory chain complex is damaged, ROS can be overproduced and accumulated, causing oxidative stress [2,69] and triggering more mitochondrial alterations (e.g., ΔΨm and ATP depletion) [70]. Studies have shown that acetaldehyde targets mitochondria, elevating mitochondrial ROS formation and causing oxidative stress in various cell and animal models [27,28,71,72]. Consistently, our results also showed that acetaldehyde treatment increased the ROS generation in mitochondria of SH-SYSY cells. Moreover, prevention of oxidative stress by antioxidant NAC reduced acetaldehyde-induced Drp1 phosphorylation at Ser616 while ameliorating the cytotoxicity induced by acetaldehyde, suggesting that the oxidative stress triggered by acetaldehyde was a key factor that mediated mitochondrial fragmentation and cytotoxicity. The data also suggested that inhibition of oxidative stress by antioxidant might be an effective way to intervene the cytotoxicity induced by acetaldehyde.

ROS triggered activation of JNK and p38 MAPK has been demonstrated to contribute to the pathophysiological process of neurodegeneration induced by mitochondrial defects [55,56]. It has been shown that the activation of JNK or p38 MAPK is involved in the phosphorylation of Drp1 at Ser616 [57]. Furthermore, p38 MAPK could directly phosphorylate cytosolic Drp1 at Ser616, promoting the translocation of Drp1 to mitochondrial outer membrane and inducing mitochondrial fragmentation [73]. Our data demonstrated that JNK and p38 MAPK might be important downstream signals of ROS that led to Drp1 phosphorylation at Ser616 in acetaldehyde-treated cells.

Moreover, our data showed that Ca2+ influx and Ca2+-mediated signaling cascades contributed to acetaldehyde-induced Drp1 phosphorylation. Acetaldehyde treatment induced a quick entry of Ca2+ into cells, while prevention of the elevation of intracellular Ca2+ levels attenuated the phosphorylation of Drp1. The elevated intracellular Ca2+ levels activate a variety of Ca2+-dependent protein kinases and phosphatases, including CaMKII [74,75]. It is reported that activated CaMKII can directly bind and phosphorylate Drp1 at Ser616, leading to excessive mitochondrial fission and mitochondrial fragmentation [52]. Our results demonstrated that acetaldehyde induced the activation of CaMKII, while pretreatment of cells with CaMKII specific inhibitor KN-93 ameliorated acetaldehyde-induced phosphorylation of Drp1 at Ser616. Thus, CaMKII was activated in acetaldehyde treated cells upon Ca2+ influx and subsequently mediated the phosphorylation of Drp1, causing mitochondrial fragmentation and dysfunction.

It has to be noted that acetaldehyde-induced CaMKII phosphorylation at Thr286 could be inhibited by NAC. Previous studies have demonstrated that ROS can activate CaMKII in Ca2+ dependent [76] or independent manner [77]. Therefore, both Ca2+ overload and ROS elevation could contribute to CaMKII activation in acetaldehyde-treated cells, though the precise mechanisms remain to be established. Furthermore, it was found that NAC treatment effectively inhibited the Ca2+ influx triggered by acetaldehyde treatment, suggesting that acetaldehyde-induced oxidative stress might promote cellular events that lead to calcium influx. Indeed, there are multiple lines of evidence that ROS can trigger the elevation of intracellular Ca2+ levels through modulating Ca2+ sensors and transporters located on ER, mitochondria or plasma membrane [78,80]. Conversely, Ca2+ overload can lead to dysregulation of mitochondrial Ca2+ that increases ROS generation by enhancing metabolic pathways favoring ROS production which can further damage Ca2+ transport mechanisms [81–83]. Thus, a positive feedback loop exists between ROS generation and Ca2+ influx, which may play an important role in acetaldehyde-induced mitochondrial dysfunction and cytotoxicity. It appears that CaMKII is a key component in the crosstalk of Ca2+ and ROS-mediated signaling cascades, which mediates the phosphorylation of Drp1 at Ser616 and the consequent mitochondrial fragmentation in cells treated with acetaldehyde.

In summary, acetaldehyde treatment induced oxidative stress and Ca2+ overload in SH-SYSY cells, leading to the phosphorylation of Drp1 at Ser616 and the translocation of Drp1 to mitochondria, subsequently causing excessive fission and fragmentation of mitochondria (Fig. 8). The resulted imbalance of mitochondrial dynamics eventually impaired mitochondrial function and caused cytotoxicity. As a key modulator of mitochondrial dynamics, Drp1 plays a crucial role in determining the destiny of neurons in neurodegenerative diseases such as AD, PD and Huntington’s disease [20,84–88]. Therefore, acetaldehyde-induced Drp1 activation and mitochondrial fragmentation may contribute to the promotion of AD pathogenesis by alcohol abuse. Meanwhile, inhibition of oxidative stress by antioxidant may be beneficial for preventing neuronal damages associated with alcohol abuse by preserving the normal morphology and function of mitochondria.
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Fig. 8. Acetaldehyde may induce mitochondrial dysfunction through ROS and Ca2+-mediated signaling pathways.
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