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Abstract: In the present paper we continue the project of systematic construction of invariant differential operators on the example of the non-compact algebra so*(10). We use the maximal Heisenberg parabolic subalgebra \( P = M \oplus A \oplus N \) with \( M = su(3,1) \oplus su(2) \cong so^*(6) \oplus so(3) \). We give the main and the reduced multiplets of indecomposable elementary representations. This includes the explicit parametrization of the intertwining differential operators between the ERS. Due to the recently established parabolic relations the multiplet classification results are valid also for the algebras so\((p,q)\) (with \( p + q = 10 \), \( p \geq q \geq 2 \)) with maximal Heisenberg parabolic subalgebra: \( P' = M' \oplus A' \oplus N' \), \( M' = so(p-2,q-2) \oplus sl(2,R) \), \( M'^C \cong M'^G \).
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1. Introduction

Invariant differential operators play a very important role in the description of physical symmetries. Recently, Refs. [1,2] we started the systematic explicit construction of invariant differential operators. We gave an explicit description of the building blocks, namely, the parabolic subgroups and subalgebras from which the necessary representations are induced. Thus, we have set the stage for a study of different non-compact groups.

In the present paper, we focus on the algebra so*(10). The algebras so*(2n) (for \( n \geq 2 \)) form a class of Lie algebras that have maximal Heisenberg parabolic subalgebras. The latter are given as: \( P = M \oplus A \oplus N \), where \( M = so^*(2n-4) \oplus so(3) \).

We note that there are low rank level coincidences: \( so^*(4) \cong so(3) \oplus so(2,1) \), \( so^*(6) \cong su(3,1) \), \( so^*(8) \cong so(6,2) \), which are well studied, cf. e.g., [2].

In order to avoid repetition, we refer to [1–3] for motivations and an extensive list of literature on the subject.

2. Preliminaries

Let \( G \) be a semisimple non-compact Lie group, and \( K \) a maximal compact subgroup of \( G \). Then we have an Iwasawa decomposition \( G = K A_0 N_0 \), where \( A_0 \) is abelian simply connected vector subgroup of \( G \), \( N_0 \) is nilpotent simply connected subgroup of \( G \) preserved by the action of \( A_0 \). Further, let \( M_0 \) be the centralizer of \( A_0 \) in \( K \). Then the subgroup \( P_0 = M_0 A_0 N_0 \) is a minimal parabolic subgroup of \( G \). A parabolic subgroup \( P = MAN \) is any subgroup of \( G \) which contains a minimal parabolic subgroup.

The importance of the parabolic subgroups comes from the fact that the representations induced from them generate all (admissible) irreducible representations of \( G \) [4–7].

Let \( \nu \) be a (non-unitary) character of \( A \), \( \nu \in A^* \), let \( \mu \) fix an irreducible representation \( D_\mu \) of \( M \) on a vector space \( V_\mu \).

We call the induced representation \( \chi = Ind_P^G (\mu \otimes \nu \otimes 1) \) an elementary representation of \( G \) [8,9]. Their spaces of functions are:

\[
C_\chi = \{ F \in C^\infty(G, V_\mu) \mid F(\text{gman}) = e^{-\nu(H)} \cdot D_\mu(m^{-1}) F(\text{g}) \}\]

(1)
where \( a = \exp(H) \in A, H \in A, m \in M, n \in N \). The representation action is the left regular action:

\[
(T'(g)F)(g') = F(g^{-1}g'), \quad g, g' \in G. \tag{2}
\]

For our purposes, here we restrict to maximal parabolic subgroups \( P \), so that rank \( A = 1 \). Thus, for our representations, the character \( \nu \) is parameterized by a real number \( d \), called the conformal weight or energy.

An important ingredient in our considerations are the highest/lowest weight representations of \( \mathcal{G}^\mathbb{C} \). These can be realized as (factor-modules of) Verma modules \( V^\Lambda \) over \( \mathcal{G}^\mathbb{C} \), where \( \Lambda \in (\mathcal{H}^\mathbb{C})^* \), \( \mathcal{H}^\mathbb{C} \) is a Cartan subalgebra of \( \mathcal{G}^\mathbb{C} \), weight \( \Lambda = \Lambda(\chi) \) is determined uniquely from \( \chi \) \([10,11]\).

Actually, since our ERs will be induced from finite-dimensional representations of \( M \) (or their limits) the Verma modules are always reducible. Thus, it is more convenient to use generalized Verma modules \( \tilde{V}^\Lambda \) such that the role of the highest/lowest weight vector \( v_0 \) is taken by the space \( V_\beta v_0 \). For the generalized Verma modules (GVMs) the reducibility is controlled only by the value of the conformal weight \( d \). Relatedly, for the intertwining differential operators only the reducibility w.r.t. non-compact roots is essential.

Another main ingredient of our approach is as follows. We group the (reducible) ERs with the same Casimirs in sets called multiplets \([11,12]\). The multiplet corresponding to fixed values of the Casimirs may be depicted as a connected graph, the vertices of which correspond to the reducible ERs and the lines between the vertices correspond to intertwining operators. The explicit parametrization of the multiplets and their ERs is important for the understanding of the situation.

In fact, the multiplets contain explicitly all the data necessary to construct the intertwining differential operators. Actually, for each intertwining differential operator consists of the pair \( (\beta, m) \), where \( \beta \) is a (non-compact) positive root of \( \mathcal{G}^\mathbb{C}, m \in N \), such that the BGG \([13]\) Verma module reducibility condition (for highest weight modules) is fulfilled:

\[
(\Lambda + \rho, \beta^\vee) = m, \quad \beta^\vee \equiv 2\beta/(\beta, \beta). \tag{3}
\]

When (3) holds then the Verma module with shifted weight \( V^{\Lambda - m\beta} \) (or \( \tilde{V}^{\Lambda - m\beta} \) for GVM and \( \beta \) non-compact) is embedded in the Verma module \( V^\Lambda \) (or \( \tilde{V}^\Lambda \)). This embedding is realized by a singular vector \( v_0 \) determined by a polynomial \( \mathcal{P}_{m, \beta}(\mathcal{G}^-) \) in the universal enveloping algebra \( (U(\mathcal{G}^-)) \). This embedding is the subalgebra of \( \mathcal{G}^\mathbb{C} \) generated by the negative root generators \([14]\). More explicitly, ref. \([11]\), \( v_{m, \beta}^e = \mathcal{P}_{m, \beta}(v_0) \) (or \( v_{m, \beta}^e = \mathcal{P}_{m, \beta}(V_\beta v_0 \) for GVMs). Then there exists \([11]\) an intertwining differential operator

\[
\mathcal{D}_{\beta}^m : \mathcal{C}_\chi(\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\chi(\Lambda - m\beta) \tag{4}
\]

given explicitly by:

\[
\mathcal{D}_{\beta}^m = \mathcal{P}_{\beta}(\mathcal{G}^-) \tag{5}
\]

where \( \mathcal{G}^- \) denotes the right action on the functions \( \mathcal{F} \), cf. (1).

3. The Non-Compact Lie Algebra \( \mathfrak{so}^*(2n) \)

3.1. The General Case of \( \mathfrak{so}^*(2n) \)

The group \( \mathcal{G} = \mathfrak{SO}^*(2n) \) consists of all matrices in \( \mathfrak{SO}(2n, \mathbb{C}) \) which commute with a real skew-symmetric matrix times the complex conjugation operator \( \mathcal{C} \):

\[
\mathfrak{SO}^*(2n) \doteq \{ g \in \mathfrak{SO}(2n, \mathbb{C}) \mid J_n C g = g J_n C \} \tag{6}
\]

The Lie algebra \( \mathcal{G} = \mathfrak{so}^*(2n) \) is given by:

\[
\mathfrak{so}^*(2n) \doteq \{ g \in \mathfrak{so}(2n, \mathbb{C}) \mid J_n C g = g J_n C \}.
so^*(2n) \triangleq \{ X \in so(2n, \mathbb{C}) \mid J_n CX = X J_n C \} =
\{ X = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in gl(n, \mathbb{C}), \ t^a = -a, \ b^t = b \}.
\text{dim}_R \mathcal{G} = n(2n-1), \text{rank} \mathcal{G} = n.

The Cartan involution is given by: \( \Theta X = -X^t \). Thus, \( \mathcal{K} \cong u(n) \):

\[ \mathcal{K} = \{ X = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in gl(n, \mathbb{C}), \ t^a = -a = -\bar{a}, \ b^t = b = \bar{b} \}. \]

Thus, \( \mathcal{G} = so^*(2n) \) has discrete series representations and highest/lowest weight representations. The complementary space \( \mathcal{P} \) is given by:

\[ \mathcal{P} = \{ X = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in gl(n, \mathbb{C}), \ t^a = -a = a, \ b^t = b = -\bar{b} \}. \]

\text{dim}_R \mathcal{P} = n(n-1). \text{ The split rank is } r \equiv [n/2].

We need also the root system of \( \mathcal{G}^\mathbb{C} = so(2n, \mathbb{C}) \). The positive roots are given standardly as:

\[ \alpha_{ij} = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n, \quad (10a) \]
\[ \beta_{ij} = \epsilon_i + \epsilon_j, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n \quad (10b) \]

where \( \epsilon_i \) are standard orthonormal basis: \( \langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} \). We shall need the scalar products of the roots:

\[ \langle \alpha_{ij}, \alpha_{kl} \rangle = \delta_{ik} - \delta_{it} - \delta_{jk} + \delta_{jt} \quad (11a) \]
\[ \langle \alpha_{ij}, \beta_{kl} \rangle = \delta_{ik} + \delta_{it} - \delta_{jk} - \delta_{jt} \quad (11b) \]
\[ \langle \beta_{ij}, \beta_{kl} \rangle = \delta_{ik} + \delta_{it} + \delta_{jk} + \delta_{jt} \quad (11c) \]

Note that the highest root is \( \beta_{12} \).

The simple roots are:

\[ \pi = \{ \gamma_i = \alpha_{i,i+1}, \ 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \ \gamma_n = \beta_{n-1,n} \} \quad (12) \]

The compact roots w.r.t. the real form \( SO^+(2n) \) are \( \alpha_{ij} \) - they form (by restriction) the root system of the semisimple part of \( \mathcal{K}^\mathbb{C} \), namely, \( \mathcal{K}_+^\mathbb{C} \cong su(n) \mathbb{C} \cong sl(n, \mathbb{C}) \), while the roots \( \beta_{ij} \) are noncompact.

The minimal parabolics of \( SO^+(2n) \) depend on whether \( n \) is even or odd and are:

\[ \mathcal{M}_0 = so(3) \oplus \cdots \oplus so(3), \quad r \text{ factors, for } n = 2r \quad (13a) \]
\[ = so(2) \oplus so(3) \oplus \cdots \oplus so(3), \quad r \text{ factors, for } n = 2r + 1 \quad (13b) \]

The subalgebras \( \mathcal{M}_0^\pm \) which form the root spaces of the root system \( (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}_0) \) are of real dimension \( n(n-1) - [n/2] \).

The maximal parabolic subalgebras have \( \mathcal{M} \)-factors as follows [1]:

\[ \mathcal{M}_j^\mathcal{M} = so^*(2n-4j) \oplus su^*(2j), \quad j = 1, \ldots, r. \quad (14) \]

The \( \mathcal{N}^\pm \) factors in the maximal parabolic subalgebras have dimensions:
\[ \text{dim} (\mathcal{N}^\pm)^\mathcal{M} = j(4n - 6j - 1). \]

The case \( j = 1 \) is special. In this case, we have a maximal Heisenberg parabolic with \( \mathcal{M} \)-factor:

\[ \mathcal{M}_{\text{Heisenberg}}^\mathcal{M} = so^*(2n - 4) \oplus su(2) \quad (15) \]

which we use in this paper.
3.2. The Case $\text{so}^*(10)$

Further, we restrict to our case of study $\mathcal{G} = \text{so}^*(10)$ with minimal parabolic:

$$\mathcal{M}_0 = \text{so}(2) \oplus \text{so}(3) \oplus \text{so}(3)$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

The Satake-Dynkin diagram of $\mathcal{G}$ is:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\bullet \\
\circ \longrightarrow \circ \\
\bullet \\
\end{array}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (17)

where, by standard convention, the black dots represent the $\text{so}(3)$ subalgebras of $\mathcal{M}_0$, and the left-right arrow represents the $\text{so}(2)$ subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}_0$.

We shall use the Heisenberg maximal parabolic (15) with $\mathcal{M}$-subalgebra:

$$\mathcal{M} = \text{so}^*(6) \oplus \text{so}(3) \cong \text{su}(3,1) \oplus \text{su}(2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

The Satake-Dynkin diagram of $\mathcal{M}$ is a subdiagram of (17):

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\bullet \\
\circ \longrightarrow \circ \\
\bullet \\
\end{array}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (19)

where the single black dot represents the $\text{so}(3)$ subalgebra, while the connected part of the diagram represents the $\text{su}(3,1)$ subalgebra.

From the above follows that the $\mathcal{M}$-compact roots of $\mathcal{G}^C$ are (given in terms of the simple roots):

$$a_{12} = \gamma_1, \quad a_{34} = \gamma_3, a_{45} = \gamma_4, \beta_{45} = \gamma_5,$$

$$a_{35} = \gamma_3 + \gamma_4, \beta_{34} = \gamma_3 + \gamma_4 + \gamma_5, \beta_{35} = \gamma_3 + \gamma_5$$  \hspace{1cm} (20a, 20b)

By definition the above are the positive roots of $\mathcal{M}^C$, namely: $\text{su}(2)^C$ (20a), and $\text{su}(3,1)^C = \text{sl}(4,\mathbb{C})$ (20b).

The positive $\mathcal{M}$-noncompact roots of $\mathcal{G}^C$ in terms of the simple roots are:

$$\gamma_{12} = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2, \gamma_{13} = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3, \gamma_{14} = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + \gamma_4,$$

$$\gamma_{23} = \gamma_2 + \gamma_3, \gamma_{24} = \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + \gamma_4,$$  \hspace{1cm} (21a)

$$\beta_{12} = \gamma_1 + 2\gamma_2 + 2\gamma_3 + \gamma_4 + \gamma_5, \beta_{13} = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 2\gamma_3 + \gamma_4 + \gamma_5,$$

$$\beta_{14} = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + \gamma_4 + \gamma_5, \beta_{15} = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + \gamma_5,$$

$$\beta_{23} = \gamma_2 + 2\gamma_3 + \gamma_4 + \gamma_5, \beta_{24} = \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + \gamma_4 + \gamma_5,$$

$$\beta_{25} = \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + \gamma_5$$  \hspace{1cm} (21b)

where for convenience we use the notation $\gamma_{ij} = a_{ij+1}$.

To characterize the Verma modules we shall use first the Dynkin labels:

$$m_i \equiv (\Lambda + \rho, \gamma_i^\vee) = (\Lambda + \rho, \gamma_i), \quad i = 1, \ldots, 5,$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

where $\rho$ is half the sum of the positive roots of $\mathcal{G}^C$. Thus, we shall use:

$$\chi_{\Lambda} = \{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, m_5\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

Note that when all $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\chi_{\Lambda}$ characterizes the finite-dimensional irreps of $\mathcal{G}^C$ and its real forms, in particular, $\text{so}^*(10)$. Furthermore, $m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ characterizes
the finite-dimensional irreps of the $su(2)$ subalgebra, while the set of positive integers 
\$
\{m_{3}, m_{4}, m_{5}\}
\$ characterizes the finite-dimensional irreps of $su(3, 1)$.

For the $\mathcal{M}$-noncompact roots of $G^C$ we shall use also the Harish-Chandra parameters:

\[
m_{ij} = (\Lambda + \rho, \gamma_{ij}^0), \quad \hat{m}_{ij} = (\Lambda + \rho, \hat{\beta}_{ij}^0)
\]

and explicitly in terms of the Dynkin labels (compare (21)):

\[
\chi_{HC} = \{m_{12} = m_{1} + m_{2}, \ m_{13} = m_{1} + m_{2} + m_{3}, \ m_{14} = m_{1} + m_{2} + m_{3} + m_{4}, \ m_{2}, \ m_{23} = m_{2} + m_{3}, \ m_{24} = m_{2} + m_{3} + m_{4}, \ m_{25} = m_{2} + m_{3} + m_{4} + m_{5}, \ m_{3}, \ m_{34} = m_{3} + m_{4}, \ m_{35} = m_{3} + m_{4} + m_{5}, \ m_{4}, \ m_{45} = m_{4} + m_{5}\}
\]

4. Main Multiplets of $SO^*(10)$

The main multiplets are in 1-to-1 correspondence with the finite-dimensional irreps of $so^*(10)$, i.e., they are labeled by the five positive Dynkin labels $m_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$.

We take $\chi_0 = \chi_{HC}$. It has one embedded Verma module with HW $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda_0 - m_2 \gamma_2$.

The number of ERs/GVMs in the main multiplet is 40. We give the whole multiplet as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi_0 & = \{m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}, m_{4}, m_{5}\} \\
\chi_a & = \{m_{12}, -m_{2}, m_{23}, m_{4}, m_{5}\}, \quad \Lambda_a = \Lambda_0 - m_2 \gamma_2 \\
\chi_b & = \{m_{2}, -m_{12}, m_{13}, m_{4}, m_{5}\}, \quad \Lambda_b = \Lambda_0 - m_1 \gamma_12 \\
\chi_c & = \{m_{13}, -m_{23}, m_{24}, m_{3}, m_{5}\}, \quad \Lambda_c = \Lambda_0 - m_3 \gamma_23 \\
\chi_d & = \{m_{23}, -m_{13}, m_{12}, m_{4}, m_{5}\}, \quad \Lambda_d = \Lambda_0 - m_3 \gamma_23 = \Lambda_c - m_1 \gamma_12 \\
\chi_e & = \{m_{14}, -m_{24}, m_{25}, m_{3}, m_{5}\}, \quad \Lambda_e = \Lambda_0 - m_4 \gamma_24 \\
\chi_f & = \{m_{13}, -m_{23}, m_{25}, m_{3}, m_{5}\}, \quad \Lambda_f = \Lambda_0 - m_3 \gamma_25 \\
\chi_g & = \{m_{3}, -m_{13}, m_{12}, m_{24}, m_{3}, m_{5}\}, \quad \Lambda_g = \Lambda_0 - m_2 \gamma_13 \\
\chi_h & = \{m_{24}, -m_{14}, m_{12}, m_{3}, m_{5}\}, \quad \Lambda_h = \Lambda_0 - m_4 \gamma_24 \\
\chi_i & = \{m_{23}, -m_{13}, m_{12}, m_{35}, m_{5}\}, \quad \Lambda_i = \Lambda_0 - m_3 \gamma_25 = \Lambda_f - m_1 \gamma_13 \\
\chi_j & = \{m_{15}, -m_{25}, m_{23}, m_{3}, m_{5}\}, \quad \Lambda_j = \Lambda_0 - m_5 \gamma_25 \\
\chi_k & = \{m_{34}, -m_{14}, m_{1}, m_{23}, m_{5}\}, \quad \Lambda_k = \Lambda_0 - m_4 \gamma_24 = \Lambda_h - m_2 \gamma_13 \\
\chi_l & = \{m_{35}, -m_{13}, m_{12}, m_{25}, m_{3}, m_{5}\}, \quad \Lambda_l = \Lambda_0 - m_5 \gamma_25 \\
\chi_m & = \{m_{25}, -m_{15}, m_{12}, m_{3}, m_{5}, m_{4}\}, \quad \Lambda_m = \Lambda_0 - m_5 \gamma_14 \\
\chi_n & = \{m_{15}, -m_{25}, m_{23}, m_{5}, m_{4}\}, \quad \Lambda_n = \Lambda_0 - m_5 \gamma_24 \\
\chi_p & = \{m_{4}, -m_{14}, m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{5}\}, \quad \Lambda_p = \Lambda_0 - m_5 \gamma_14 \\
\chi_q & = \{m_{35}, -m_{15}, m_{1}, m_{25}, m_{4}\}, \quad \Lambda_q = \Lambda_0 - m_5 \gamma_24 \\
\chi_r & = \{m_{35}, -m_{15}, m_{1}, m_{25}, m_{4}\}, \quad \Lambda_r = \Lambda_0 - m_5 \gamma_15 \\
\chi_s & = \{m_{25}, -m_{15}, m_{12}, m_{3}, m_{5}, m_{4}\}, \quad \Lambda_s = \Lambda_0 - m_5 \gamma_24 \\
\chi_t & = \{m_{15}, -m_{25}, m_{3}, m_{5}, m_{4}\}, \quad \Lambda_t = \Lambda_0 - m_5 \gamma_23
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\chi^+ = \{ m_4, -m_{15}, m_1, m_2, m_{25,3} \}, \quad \Lambda^+_p = \Lambda_p - m_5 \beta_{12} \\
\chi^+_q = \{ m_{35}, -m_{15,3}, m_1, m_{23,5}, m_{24} \}, \quad \Lambda^+_q = \Lambda_q - m_3 \beta_{12} \\
\chi^+_r = \{ m_5, -m_{15}, m_1, m_{25,3}, m_2 \}, \quad \Lambda^+_r = \Lambda_r - m_4 \beta_{12} \\
\chi^+_s = \{ m_{25,3}, -m_{15,23}, m_{13}, m_5, m_4 \}, \quad \Lambda^+_s = \Lambda_s - m_2 \beta_{12} \\
\chi^+_t = \{ m_{15,23}, -m_{15,3}, m_3, m_4, m_5 \}, \quad \Lambda^+_t = \Lambda_t - m_1 \beta_{12} \\
\chi^+_k = \{ m_{34}, -m_{15,3}, m_1, m_{23}, m_{25} \}, \quad \Lambda^+_k = \Lambda_k - m_3 \beta_{25} \\
\chi^+_j = \{ m_{35,5}, -m_{15,3}, m_1, m_{23}, m_{25} \}, \quad \Lambda^+_j = \Lambda_j - m_4 \beta_{15} = \Lambda^+_j - m_3 \gamma_{24} \\
\chi^+_m = \{ m_{25}, -m_{15,23}, m_{12}, m_{35,5}, m_{34} \}, \quad \Lambda^+_m = \Lambda_m - m_2 \beta_{24} = \Lambda^+_m - m_3 \gamma_{13} \\
\chi^+_h = \{ m_{15,3}, -m_{15,23}, m_{23,5}, m_5, m_4 \}, \quad \Lambda^+_h = \Lambda_h - m_1 \beta_{23} = \Lambda^+_h - m_2 \gamma_{12} \\
\chi^+_k = \{ m_{24}, -m_{15,23}, m_{12,3}, m_{35,5} \}, \quad \Lambda^+_k = \Lambda^+_k - m_2 \beta_{24} = \Lambda^+_m - m_5 \gamma_{14} \\
\chi^+_z = \{ m_3, -m_{15,3}, m_1, m_{24}, m_{23,5} \}, \quad \Lambda^+_z = \Lambda^+_z - m_5 \gamma_{14} \\
\chi^+_i = \{ m_{23,5}, -m_{15,23}, m_{12,3}, m_{35,5} \}, \quad \Lambda^+_i = \Lambda^+_m - m_4 \beta_{15} = \Lambda^+_m - m_4 \beta_{15} (27) \\
\chi^+_l = \{ m_{14}, -m_{15,23}, m_2, m_{35,5}, m_{34} \}, \quad \Lambda^+_l = \Lambda^+_m - m_2 \beta_{23} \\
\chi^+_l = \{ m_{23}, -m_{15,23}, m_2, m_{35,5}, m_{34} \}, \quad \Lambda^+_l = \Lambda^+_m - m_2 \beta_{24} = \Lambda^+_m - m_5 \gamma_{14} \\
\chi^+_f = \{ m_{15,3}, -m_{15,23}, m_2, m_3, m_5 \}, \quad \Lambda^+_f = \Lambda^+_m - m_4 \beta_{15} \\
\chi^+_l = \{ m_{2}, -m_{15,23}, m_{13}, m_{34}, m_5 \}, \quad \Lambda^+_l = \Lambda^+_m - m_3 \beta_{14} \\
\chi^+_l = \{ m_{13}, -m_{15,23}, m_2, m_{34}, m_3, m_5 \}, \quad \Lambda^+_l = \Lambda^+_m - m_4 \beta_{23} \\
\chi^+_l = \{ m_{12}, -m_{15,23}, m_2, m_4, m_5 \}, \quad \Lambda^+_l = \Lambda^+_m - m_5 \beta_{23} = \Lambda^+_m - m_5 \beta_{14} \\
\chi^+_0 = \{ m_1, -m_{15,3}, m_3, m_4, m_5 \}, \quad \Lambda^+_0 = \Lambda^+_m - m_2 \beta_{13}
\]

We shall label the signature of the ERs of $G$ also as follows:

\[
\chi = [n; c; n_1, n_2, n_3], \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad c = -\frac{1}{2} m_{15,23}, \quad n_j = m_{j+2} \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad (28)
\]

where the first entry $n = m_1$ labels the finite-dimensional irreps of $su(2)$, the second entry labels the characters of $A$, the last three entries of $\chi$ are labels of the finite-dimensional (nonunitary) irreps of $M = su(3,1)$ when all $n_j > 0$ or limits of the latter when some $n_j = 0$. Note that $m_{15,23} = m_1 + 2m_2 + 2m_3 + m_4 + m_5$ is the Harish-Chandra parameter for the highest root $\beta_{12}$.

These labeling signatures may be given in the following pair-wise manner:
The subspace dimensiona l subspace $D$ zero: $G$ dimensional UIR of the whole algebra so $E$ a finite-dimensional nonunitary subrepresentation in a finite-dimensional subspace by the Knapp-Stein operators (29): to the dashed line in the middle of the figure—this represents the Weyl symmetry realized in [15,16]. These operators are defined for any ER, the general action being:

$$\chi^\pm = [m_1; m_3, m_4, m_5; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{15,23}]$$

$$\chi_a^\pm = [m_{12}; m_{23}, m_4, m_5; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{15,3}]$$

$$\chi_b^\pm = [m_2; m_{15}, m_4, m_5; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{25,3}]$$

$$\chi_c^\pm = [m_{13}; m_2, m_{34}, m_3, m_5; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{15}]$$

$$\chi_d^\pm = [m_{23}; m_{12}, m_{34}; m_5, m_3; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{25}]$$

$$\chi_e^\pm = [m_{14}; m_2, m_3, m_{35}; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{13,5}]$$

$$\chi_f^\pm = [m_{13,5}; m_2, m_{35}, m_3; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{14}]$$

$$\chi_g^\pm = [m_3; m_1, m_{24}, m_{23,5}; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{35}]$$

$$\chi_h^\pm = [m_{24}; m_{12}, m_3, m_{35}; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{23,5}]$$

$$\chi_i^\pm = [m_{23,5}; m_{12}, m_{35}, m_3; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{24}]$$

$$\chi_j^\pm = [m_{15}; m_2, m_{35}, m_{34}; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{13}]$$

$$\chi_k^\pm = [m_{34}; m_1, m_{23}, m_{25}; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{35}]$$

$$\chi_l^\pm = [m_{35}; m_1, m_{25}, m_{23}; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{34}]$$

$$\chi_m^\pm = [m_{25}; m_{12}, m_{35}, m_{34}; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{23}]$$

$$\chi_n^\pm = [m_{15,3}; m_{23}, m_5, m_4; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{12}]$$

$$\chi_p^\pm = [m_4; m_1, m_2, m_{25,3}; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_5]$$

$$\chi_q^\pm = [m_{35}; m_1, m_{23,5}, m_{24}; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_3]$$

$$\chi_r^\pm = [m_5; m_1, m_{25,3}, m_2; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_4]$$

$$\chi_s^\pm = [m_{25,3}; m_{13}, m_5, m_4; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_2]$$

$$\chi_t^\pm = [m_{15,23}; m_3, m_5, m_4; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_1]$$

The ERs in the multiplet are related also by intertwining integral operators introduced in [15,16]. These operators are defined for any ER, the general action being:

$$G_{KS} : C_\chi \longrightarrow C_{\chi'}, \quad \chi = \{ n; n_1, n_2, n_3; c \}, \quad \chi' = \{ n; n_1, n_2, n_3; -c \}. \quad (29)$$

The main multiplets are given explicitly in Figure 1. The pairs $\chi^\pm$ are symmetric w.r.t. to the dashed line in the middle of the figure—this represents the Weyl symmetry realized by the Knapp-Stein operators (29): $G_{KS} : C_{\chi^\pm} \longleftrightarrow C_{\chi^\pm}$. Some comments are in order.

Matters are arranged so that in every multiplet only the ER with signature $\chi_0^+$ contains a finite-dimensional nonunitary subrepresentation in a finite-dimensional subspace $E$. The latter corresponds to the finite-dimensional irrep of $so^+(10)$ with signature $\{ m_1, \ldots, m_5 \}$. The subspace $E$ is annihilated by the operator $G^+$, and is the image of the operator $G^-$. The subspace $E$ is annihilated also by the intertwining differential operator acting from $\chi_0^-$ to $\chi_0^+$. When all $m_i = 1$ then dim $E = 1$, and in that case $E$ is also the trivial one-dimensional UIR of the whole algebra $G$. Furthermore in that case the conformal weight is zero: $d = \frac{7}{2} + c = \frac{7}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (m_1 + 2m_2 + 2m_3 + m_4 + m_5)_{|_{m_i=1}} = 0$.

In the conjugate ER $\chi_0^-$ there is a unitary discrete series subrepresentation in an infinite-dimensional subspace $D$. It is annihilated by the operator $G^-$, and is the image of the operator $G^+$. 
ing differential operators are applicable also for the algebras $m$ the number $i$ is represented by an arrow accompanied either by a symbol $\gamma$ encoding the root $\gamma$ which is involved in the BGG criterion, or a symbol $\beta$ encoding the root $\beta$ and the number $m \gamma$ which is involved in the BGG criterion, or a symbol $i$ encoding the root $\beta$ and the number $m \beta$ from BGG.

Thus, for $so^*(10)$ the ER with signature $\Lambda_0^+$ contains both a holomorphic discrete series representation and a conjugate anti-holomorphic discrete series representation. The direct sum of the holomorphic and the antiholomorphic representation spaces form the invariant subspace $D$ mentioned above. Note that the corresponding lowest weight GVM is infinitesimally equivalent only to the holomorphic discrete series, while the conjugate highest weight GVM is infinitesimally equivalent to the anti-holomorphic discrete series.

In Figure 1 we use the notation: $\Lambda^\pm = \Lambda(\chi^\pm)$. Each intertwining differential operator is represented by an arrow accompanied either by a symbol $i$ encoding the root $\gamma$ and the number $m \gamma$ which is involved in the BGG criterion, or a symbol $i$ encoding the root $\beta$ and the number $m \beta$ from BGG.

Finally, we remind that according to [3] the above considerations for the intertwining differential operators are applicable also for the algebras $so(p, q)$ (with $p + q = 10$, $p \geq q \geq 2$) with maximal Heisenberg parabolic subalgebras: $\mathcal{P}' = M' \oplus A' \oplus N'$, $M' = so(p - 2, q - 2) \oplus sl(2, \mathbb{R})$.
5. Reduced Multiplets
5.1. Main Reduced Multiplets

Intertwining differential operators occur not only in the main multiplets, but also in their reductions. There are five main reduced multiplets \( M_k, k = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \), which may be obtained by setting the parameter \( m_k = 0 \).

The main reduced multiplet \( M_1 \) contains 27 GVMs (ERs), see Figure 2. Their signatures are given as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi_0^\pm & = \{0; m_3, m_4, m_5; \pm 1/2 m_{25,23}\} \\
\chi_0^r & = \{m_2; m_{23}, m_4, m_5; \pm 1/2 m_{23,5}\} = \chi_a^r \\
\chi_d^\pm & = \{m_{23}; m_2, m_{34}, m_5; \pm 1/2 m_{23}\} = \chi_c^\pm \\
\chi_g^\pm & = \{m_3; 0, m_{24}, m_{35}; \pm 1/2 m_{35}\} = \chi_c^\pm \\
\chi_h^\pm & = \{m_{24}; m_2, m_3, m_{35}; \pm 1/2 m_{23},5\} = \chi_c^\pm \\
\chi_i^\pm & = \{m_{23,5}; m_2, m_{35}, m_3; \pm 1/2 m_{24}\} = \chi_f^\pm \\
\chi_k^\pm & = \{m_{34}; 0, m_{23}, m_5; \pm 1/2 m_{35}\} = \chi_f^\pm \\
\chi_l^\pm & = \{m_{35}; 0, m_{25,3}, m_2; \pm 1/2 m_{3}\} = \chi_f^\pm \\
\chi_m^\pm & = \{m_{35}; 0, m_{25,3}, m_{24}; \pm 1/2 m_{24}\} = \chi_f^\pm \\
\chi_n^\pm & = \{m_4; 0, m_{35,3}, m_5; \pm 1/2 m_5\} = \chi_n^\pm \\
\chi_t & = \{m_{25,23}; m_3, m_5, m_4; 0\}
\end{align*}
\]

Note that some of the inducing representations, namely, \( \chi_0^r, \chi_0^r, \chi_d^\pm, \chi_i^\pm, \chi_g^\pm, \chi_h^\pm, \chi_k^\pm, \chi_l^\pm, \chi_m^\pm, \chi_n^\pm \), are limits of \( M \) representations, while the rest are finite-dimensional IRs (as in the main multiplets).

The main reduced multiplet \( M_2 \) contains 27 GVMs (ERs), see Figure 3, with signatures given as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi_0^\pm & = \{m_1; m_3, m_4, m_5; \pm 1/2 m_{35,3}\} = \chi_a^\pm \\
\chi_0^r & = \{0; m_{1,3}, m_4, m_5; \pm 1/2 m_{35,3}\} \\
\chi_c^\pm & = \{m_{1,3}; 0, m_{34}, m_3, m_5; \pm 1/2 m_{1,35}\} \\
\chi_f^\pm & = \{m_{1,3,4}; 0, m_3, m_{35}; \pm 1/2 m_{1,35}\} \\
\chi_j^\pm & = \{m_{1,3,5}; 0, m_{35}, m_3; \pm 1/2 m_{1,34}\} \\
\chi_k^\pm & = \{m_3; m_{1,3}, m_{34}, m_{35}; \pm 1/2 m_{35}\} = \chi_d^\pm \\
\chi_l^\pm & = \{m_{1,3,5}; 0, m_{35,3}, m_3; \pm 1/2 m_{1,3}\} \\
\chi_m^\pm & = \{m_{34}; m_1, m_5, m_{35}; \pm 1/2 m_{35,3}\} = \chi_h^\pm \\
\chi_n^\pm & = \{m_{35}; m_1, m_{35}, m_3; \pm 1/2 m_{34}\} = \chi_i^\pm \\
\chi_p^\pm & = \{m_4; m_1, 0, m_{35,3}; \pm 1/2 m_5\} \\
\chi_q^\pm & = \{m_{35}; m_1, m_{35}, m_3; \pm 1/2 m_3\} = \chi_m^\pm \\
\chi_r^\pm & = \{m_5; m_1, m_{35,3}, 0; \pm 1/2 m_4\} \\
\chi_s^\pm & = \{m_{35,3}; m_1, m_5, m_4; 0\} \\
\chi_t^\pm & = \{m_{1,3,5,3}; m_5, m_4; \pm 1/2 m_1\} = \chi_n^\pm
\end{align*}
\]
Figure 2. Main reduced multiplets for SO\(^*(10)\) of type \(M_1\).

Figure 3. Main reduced multiplets for SO\(^*(10)\) of type \(M_2\).
The main reduced multiplet $M_3$ contains 27 GVMs (ERs), see Figure 4:

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi_0^± &= \{ m_1; 0, m_4, m_5; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{12,45,2} \} \\
\chi_2^± &= \{ m_2; m_2, m_4, m_5; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{12,45} \} = \Lambda_0^± \\
\chi_4^± &= \{ m_3; m_{12}, m_4, m_5; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{2,45} \} = \Lambda_2^± \\
\chi_5^± &= \{ m_{12,45}; m_2, 0, m_{45}; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{12,5} \} \\
\chi_7^± &= \{ m_{12,5}; m_2, m_{45}, 0; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{12,4} \} \\
\chi_8^± &= \{ 0; m_1, m_{2,4}, m_{2,5}; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{45} \} \\
\chi_{10}^± &= \{ m_{2,4}; m_{12}, 0, m_{45}; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{2,5} \} \\
\chi_{13}^± &= \{ m_{2,5}; m_{12}, m_{45}, 0; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{2,4} \} \\
\chi_{15}^± &= \{ m_{12,5}; m_2, m_{5}, m_4; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{12} \} = \Lambda_3^± \\
\chi_{14}^± &= \{ m_4; m_1, m_2, m_{2,45}; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{5} \} = \Lambda_7^± \\
\chi_{17}^± &= \{ m_5; m_1, m_{2,45}, m_2; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{4} \} = \Lambda_9^± \\
\chi_{16}^± &= \{ m_{2,45}; m_{12}, m_{5}, m_4; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{2} \} = \Lambda_8^± \\
\chi_{20}^± &= \{ m_{45}; m_1, m_{2,5}, m_{2,4}; 0 \} \\
\chi_{21}^± &= \{ m_{12,45}; m_2, 0, m_{5}; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{1} \} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 4. Main reduced multiplets for SO*(10) of type $M_3$. 
The main reduced multiplet $M_4$ contains 27 GVMs (ERs), see Figure 5:

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda_0^+ &= \{ m_1; m_3, 0, m_5 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{13,5,23} \} \\
\lambda_0^- &= \{ m_{12}; m_{23}, 0, m_5 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{13,5,3} \} \\
\lambda_2^+ &= \{ m_2; m_{13}, 0, m_5 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{23,5,3} \} \\
\lambda_2^- &= \{ m_{13}; m_2, m_3, m_{3,5} ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{13,5,3} \} = \lambda_3^+ \\
\lambda_4^+ &= \{ m_{23}; m_{12}, m_3, m_{3,5} ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{23,5,3} \} = \lambda_4^+ \\
\lambda_4^- &= \{ m_{13,5}; m_2, m_{3,5}, m_3 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{13} \} = \lambda_7^+ \\
\lambda_0^+ &= \{ m_{3,5}; m_1, m_{23}, m_{23,5} ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_3 \} = \lambda_5^+ \\
\lambda_0^- &= \{ m_{13,5,3}; m_{23}, 0, m_5 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{12} \} \\
\lambda_0^+ &= \{ 0; m_1, m_2, m_{23,5,3} ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_5 \} \\
\lambda_4^+ &= \{ m_{3,5}; m_1, m_{23,5}, m_{23} ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_3 \} = \lambda_5^+ \\
\lambda_0^- &= \{ m_{5}; m_1, m_{23,5}, m_{2} ; 0 \} \\
\lambda_5^+ &= \{ m_{23,5,3}; m_{13}, 0, m_5 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{23} \} \\
\lambda_5^- &= \{ m_{13,5,23}; m_5, 0, m_5 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{1} \}
\end{align*}
\]

\[\text{Figure 5. Main reduced multiplets for SO}^+(10)\text{ of type } M_4.\]
The main reduced multiplet $M_5$ contains 27 GVMs (ERs), see Figure 6:

$$
\chi_0^\pm = \{m_1;m_3,m_4,0; \pm \frac{1}{2}m_{14,23}\}
$$

$$
\chi_3^\pm = \{m_{12};m_{23},m_4,0; \pm \frac{1}{2}m_{14,3}\}
$$

$$
\chi_6^\pm = \{m_2;m_{13},m_4,0; \pm \frac{1}{2}m_{23,3}\}
$$

$$
\chi_f^\pm = \{m_{13};m_2,m_{34},m_3; \pm \frac{1}{2}m_{14}\} = \chi_c^\pm
$$

$$
\chi_f^\pm = \{m_{23};m_{12},m_{34},m_3; \pm \frac{1}{2}m_{24}\} = \chi_d^\pm
$$

$$
\chi_f^\pm = \{m_{14};m_2,m_3,m_{34}; \pm \frac{1}{2}m_{13}\} = \chi_e^\pm
$$

$$
\chi_f^\pm = \{m_3;m_1,m_{24},m_{23}; \pm \frac{1}{2}m_{34}\} = \chi_f^\pm
$$

$$
\chi_m = \{m_{24};m_{12},m_3,m_{34}; \pm \frac{1}{2}m_{23}\} = \chi_m^\pm
$$

$$
\chi_n = \{m_{14,3};m_{23},0,m_4; \pm \frac{1}{2}m_{12}\}
$$

$$
\chi_p = \{m_4;m_1,m_{24,3},0\}
$$

$$
\chi_q = \{m_{34};m_1,m_{23},m_{24}; \pm \frac{1}{2}m_3\} = \chi_k^\pm
$$

$$
\chi_r = \{0;m_1,m_{24,3},m_2; \pm \frac{1}{2}m_4\}
$$

$$
\chi_s = \{m_{24,3};m_{13},0,m_4; \pm \frac{1}{2}m_2\}
$$

$$
\chi_t = \{m_{14,23};m_3,0,m_4; \pm \frac{1}{2}m_1\}
$$

Figure 6. Main reduced multiplets for SO(10) of type $M_5$. 
5.2. Next Reduced Multiplets

There are intertwining differential operators also in the next reduced multiplets. We start with cases \( M_{ij} \) (\( i < j \)) when \( m_i = m_j = 0 \).

The reduced multiplet \( M_{12} \) contains 15 GVMs (ERs) with signatures given as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi_b^\pm &= \{ 0; m_3, m_4, m_5 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{35,3} \} = \chi_0^\pm = \chi_0 \\
\chi_S^\pm &= \{ m_3; 0, m_3, m_5 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{35} \} = \chi_c^\pm = \chi_d^\pm \\
\chi_k^\pm &= \{ m_{34}; 0, m_3, m_5 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{35} \} = \chi_i^\pm = \chi_h^\pm \\
\chi_i^\pm &= \{ m_{35}; 0, m_3, m_5 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{34} \} = \chi_i^\pm = \chi_f^\pm \\
\chi_p^\pm &= \{ m_4; 0, 0, m_3, m_5 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_5 \} \\
\chi_q^\pm &= \{ m_{35}; 0, m_3, m_5 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_3 \} = \chi_j^\pm = \chi_m^\pm \\
\chi_r^\pm &= \{ m_5; 0, m_3, m_5, 0 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_4 \} \\
\chi_s^\pm &= \{ m_{35}; 3, m_3, m_5, 0 ; 0 \} = \chi_n^\pm = \chi_i^l
\end{align*}
\]

Here we note only the ER \( \chi_s^\pm \) which is induced from finite-dimensional \( M \)-irrep, and where the subrepresentation is a singlet.

The reduced multiplet \( M_{13} \) contains 18 GVMs (ERs):

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi_0^\pm &= \{ 0; 0, m_4, m_5 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{24,5} \} \\
\chi_d^\pm &= \{ m_2; m_2, m_4, m_5 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{24,5} \} = \chi_b^\pm \\
\chi_g^\pm &= \{ 0; 0, m_2, m_2, m_5 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_5 \} \\
\chi_h^\pm &= \{ m_{24}; m_2, 0, m_45 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{2,5} \} = \chi_e^\pm \\
\chi_i^\pm &= \{ m_{25}; m_2, m_45, 0 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{2,4} \} = \chi_f^\pm \\
\chi_k^\pm &= \{ m_4; 0, m_2, m_45 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_5 \} = \chi_p^\pm \\
\chi_l^\pm &= \{ m_5; 0, m_2, m_245, m_2 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_4 \} = \chi_r^\pm \\
\chi_m^\pm &= \{ m_{245}; m_2, m_5, m_4 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_2 \} = \chi_s^\pm \\
\chi_q^\pm &= \{ m_{45}; 0, m_2, m_245 ; 0 \} \\
\chi_l^\pm &= \{ m_{245}; 0, m_5, m_4 ; 0 \}
\end{align*}
\]

Here we note the ERs \( \chi_h^\pm, \chi_m^\pm \) induced from finite-dimensional \( M \)-irreps, which doublets are related by KS operators, yet for the pair \( \chi_m^\pm \) the KS operator \( G^\pm \) is actually the differential operator \( D_{35}^{m_{23}} \).

The reduced multiplet \( M_{14} \) contains 18 GVMs (ERs):

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi_0^\pm &= \{ 0; m_3, 0, m_5 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{23,5,23} \} \\
\chi_b^\pm &= \{ m_2; m_23, 0, m_5 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{23,5,3} \} = \chi_d^\pm \\
\chi_h^\pm &= \{ m_{23}; m_2, m_3, m_5 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{23,5} \} = \chi_e^\pm = \chi_d^\pm \\
\chi_k^\pm &= \{ m_3; 0, m_2, m_23, 5 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{3,5} \} = \chi_g^\pm \\
\chi_m^\pm &= \{ m_{23,5}; m_2, m_3, m_5, m_3 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{23} \} = \chi_i^\pm = \chi_f^\pm \\
\chi_p^\pm &= \{ 0; 0, m_2, m_23, 5, 3 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_5 \} \\
\chi_q^\pm &= \{ m_3, 5; 0, m_2, m_23, 5 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_3 \} = \chi_i^\pm \\
\chi_r^\pm &= \{ m_5; 0, m_23, 5, m_2 ; 0 \} \\
\chi_s^\pm &= \{ m_{23,5}; m_23, m_5, 0 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_2 \} = \chi_n^\pm \\
\chi_l^\pm &= \{ m_{23,5}; m_23, m_5, 0 ; 0 \}
\end{align*}
\]
Here we note the ERs $\chi_h^\pm$, $\chi_m^\pm$ induced from finite-dimensional $\mathcal{M}$-irreps, and forming a sub-multiplet as follows:

$$\chi_h^+ \stackrel{D_{\Delta h}^{03}}{\longrightarrow} \chi_m^- \quad \uparrow \quad \uparrow$$

$$\chi_h^- \stackrel{D_{\Delta h}^{03}}{\longrightarrow} \chi_m^+ \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow$$

where the up-down arrows designate the KS operators.

The reduced multiplet $M_{15}$ contains 18 GVMs (ERs):

$$\chi_0^+ = \{0; m_3, m_4, 0; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{24,23}\}$$
$$\chi_0^- = \{m_{22}; m_{23}, m_4, 0; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{24,3}\} = \chi_d^+$$
$$\chi_i^+ = \{m_{23}; m_2, m_{34}, m_3; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{24}\} = \chi_i^+ = \chi_i^- = \chi_c^+$$
$$\chi_i^- = \{m_{23}; 0, m_{24}, m_{23}; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{34}\} = \chi_i^- = \chi_i^+$$
$$\chi_i^+ = \{m_{24}; m_2, m_{34}, m_3; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{23}\} = \chi_i^+ = \chi_i^- = \chi_c^-$$
$$\chi_p = \{m_4; 0, m_2, m_{24,3}; 0\}$$
$$\chi_q^+ = \{m_{34}; 0, m_{23}, m_{24}; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{3}\}$$
$$\chi_q^- = \{0; 0, m_{24,3}, m_2; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_4\}$$
$$\chi_q^+ = \{m_{24,3}; m_{23}, 0, m_4; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_2\} = \chi_m^-$$
$$\chi_t = \{m_{24,23}; m_3, 0, m_4; 0\}$$

Here we note the ERs $\chi_i^\pm$, $\chi_m^\pm$ induced from finite-dimensional $\mathcal{M}$-irreps, and forming a sub-multiplet as follows:

$$\chi_i^- \stackrel{D_{\Delta h}^{04}}{\longrightarrow} \chi_m^- \quad \uparrow \quad \uparrow$$

$$\chi_i^+ \stackrel{D_{\Delta h}^{04}}{\longrightarrow} \chi_m^+ \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow$$

The reduced multiplet $M_{23}$ contains 15 GVMs (ERs):

$$\chi_c^+ = \{m_1; 0, m_4, m_5; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{14,5}\} = \chi_d^+ = \chi_0^+$$
$$\chi_c^- = \{m_{14}; 0, 0, m_{45}; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{1,5}\}$$
$$\chi_f^+ = \{m_1; 0, m_{45}, 0; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{14}\}$$
$$\chi_f^- = \{0; m_1, m_4, m_5; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{45}\} = \chi_f^+ = \chi_d^-$$
$$\chi_i^+ = \{m_{14,5}; 0, m_5, m_4; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{1}\} = \chi_i^+ = \chi_i^- = \chi_c^-$$
$$\chi_k^+ = \{m_4; m_1, 0, m_{45}; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{3}\} = \chi_p^+ = \chi_k^-$$
$$\chi_l^+ = \{m_5; m_1, m_{45}, 0; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_4\} = \chi_l^+ = \chi_l^-$$
$$\chi_q = \{m_{45}; m_1, m_5, m_4; 0\} = \chi_d = \chi_m^\pm$$

Here we note only the ER $\chi_q$ which is induced from finite-dimensional $\mathcal{M}$-irrep, and where the subrepresentation is a singlet.

The reduced multiplet $M_{24}$ contains 18 GVMs (ERs):
\[ \chi_0^+ = \{ m_1; m_3, 0, m_5 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{1,3,5,3} \} = \chi_a^+ \]
\[ \chi_0^- = \{ 0; m_{1,3}, m_5 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{3,5,3} \} \]
\[ \chi_3^- = \{ m_{1,3}; 0, m_3, m_5 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{1,3,5} \} = \chi_c^- \]
\[ \chi_1^- = \{ m_{1,3,5}; 0, m_3, m_5 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{1,3} \} = \chi_f^- \]
\[ \chi_3^+ = \{ m_{3}; m_1, m_3, m_5 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{3,5} \} = \chi_g^+ = \chi_d^- \]
\[ \chi_0^+ = \{ 0; m_{1,3}, m_5 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{3,5,3} \} \]
\[ \chi_3^+ = \{ m_{3}; m_1, m_3, m_5 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{3,5} \} \]
\[ \chi_1^+ = \{ m_{1,3}; 0, m_3, m_5 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{1,3,5} \} = \chi_c^+ = \chi_f^- \]
\[ \chi_r = \{ m_5; m_1, m_{3,5,3}, 0 ; 0 \} \]
\[ \chi_s = \{ m_{3,5,3}; m_1, m_5, 0 ; 0 \} \]
\[ \chi_i^+ = \{ m_{1,3,5}; m_5, 0 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_1 \} = \chi_a^- \]

Here we note the ERs \( \chi_h^\pm, \chi_m^\pm \) induced from finite-dimensional \( \mathcal{M} \)-irreps, and forming a sub-multiplet as follows:
\[ \chi_h^- \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{12}^{m_3}} \chi_m^- \]
\[ \downarrow \quad \uparrow \quad \downarrow \mathcal{D}_{12}^{m_3} \]

where on the right a KS operator \( \mathcal{C}_{kS}^\pm \) is degenerated in the intertwining differential operator \( \mathcal{D}_{12}^{m_3} \).

The reduced multiplet \( M_{25} \) contains 18 GVMs (ERs) with signatures:
\[ \chi_0^+ = \{ m_1; m_3, m_4, 0 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{1,3,4,3} \} = \chi_a^+ \]
\[ \chi_0^- = \{ 0; m_{1,3,4}, 0 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{3,4,3} \} \]
\[ \chi_3^- = \{ m_{1,3}; 0, m_{34}, m_3 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{1,34} \} = \chi_c^- \]
\[ \chi_1^- = \{ m_{1,34}; 0, m_3, m_{34} ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{1,3} \} = \chi_e^- \]
\[ \chi_3^+ = \{ m_3; m_1, m_{34}, m_3 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{34} \} = \chi_g^+ = \chi_d^- \]
\[ \chi_0^+ = \{ m_4; m_1, 0, m_{34,3} ; 0 \} \]
\[ \chi_3^+ = \{ m_{34}; m_1, m_3, m_{34} ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{34} \} = \chi_h^+ \]
\[ \chi_1^+ = \{ 0; m_1, m_{34,3}, 0 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_4 \} \]
\[ \chi_s = \{ m_{34,3}; m_1, m_5, 0 ; 0 \} \]
\[ \chi_f^+ = \{ m_{34,3}; m_1, m_5, 0 ; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_4 \} = \chi_a^- \]

Here we note the ERs \( \chi_i^\pm, \chi_s^\pm \) induced from finite-dimensional \( \mathcal{M} \)-irreps, and forming a sub-multiplet as follows:
\[ \chi_i^- \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_{12}^{m_3}} \chi_m^- \]
\[ \downarrow \quad \uparrow \quad \downarrow \mathcal{D}_{12}^{m_3} \]

The reduced multiplet \( M_{34} \) contains 15 GVMs (ERs):
where the subrepresentation is a singlet.

Here we note the ER \( \chi \) which is induced from finite-dimensional \( \mathcal{M} \)-irrep, and where the subrepresentation is a singlet.

The reduced multiplet \( M_{35} \) contains 15 GVMs (ERs):

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi_0^\pm &= \{ m_1; 0, m_5; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{12}, 0 \} \\
\chi_c^\pm &= \{ m_{12}; 0, m_5; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{12}, 0 \} = \chi_a^\pm \\
\chi_d^\pm &= \{ m_{12}; 0, m_5; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{25}, 0 \} = \chi_b^\pm \\
\chi_j^\pm &= \{ m_{12}; 2m_5, 0; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{12} \} = \chi_{\hat{a}}^\pm \\
\chi_k^\pm &= \{ 0, m_1, m_2, m_5; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{25} \} = \chi_p^\pm \\
\chi_i^\pm &= \{ m_{51}; m_1, m_2, m_5; 0 \} = \chi_q^\pm \\
\chi_m^\pm &= \{ m_{25}; m_{12}, m_5; 0; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_2 \} = \chi_i^\pm = \chi_a^\pm \\
\chi_i^\pm &= \{ m_{12}, 0; 0, m_5; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_1 \} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Here we note only the ER \( \chi_j \) which is induced from finite-dimensional \( \mathcal{M} \)-irrep, and where the subrepresentation is a singlet.

The reduced multiplet \( M_{45} \) contains 20 GVMs (ERs):

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi_0^\pm &= \{ m_1; m_3, 0, 0; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{13}, 23 \} \\
\chi_c^\pm &= \{ m_{12}; m_{23}, 0, 0; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{13}, 3 \} \\
\chi_b^\pm &= \{ m_{2}; m_{13}, 0, 0; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{23}, 3 \} \\
\chi_i^\pm &= \{ m_{13}; m_{23}, 0, 0; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{12} \} \\
\chi_j^\pm &= \{ 0; m_1, m_{23}, m_3; 0 \} \\
\chi_k^\pm &= \{ m_3; m_1, m_{23}, m_3; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{23} \} = \chi_k^\pm = \chi_i^\pm = \chi_d^\pm \\
\chi_r^\pm &= \{ m_{23}; m_{13}, 0, 0; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_2 \} \\
\chi_i^\pm &= \{ m_{13}, 23; m_3, 0; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_{12} \} \\
\chi_j^\pm &= \{ 0; m_{23}, m_3, 0; \pm \frac{1}{2} m_1 \} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Here we note the ERs \( \chi_{i}^{\pm} \), \( \chi_{m}^{\pm} \), and \( \chi_{q}^{\pm} \), induced from finite-dimensional \( \mathcal{M} \)-irreps, and forming a sub-multiplet as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi_j^- &\rightarrow D_{12}^{m_1} \quad \chi_m^- &\rightarrow D_{13}^{m_2} \quad \chi_q^- &\rightarrow D_{12}^{m_3} \\
\uparrow &\quad \uparrow &\quad \uparrow &\quad \downarrow D_{12}^{m_3} \\
\chi_j^+ &\rightarrow D_{13}^{m_1} \quad \chi_m^+ &\rightarrow D_{23}^{m_2} \quad \chi_m^+ &\rightarrow D_{12}^{m_3} \\
\end{align*}
\]
5.3. Third Level Reduction of Multiplets

In the next levels of reductions, there are only two multiplets containing ERs induced from finite-dimensional $M$-irreps, actually, each contains a doublet related by KS operators:

The reduced multiplet $M_{145}$ contains 13 GVMs (ERs):

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi^+_0 &= \{0; m_3, 0, 0; \pm \frac{1}{2}m_{23,23}\} \\
\chi^+_b &= \{m_2; m_{23}, 0, 0; \pm \frac{1}{2}m_{23,3}\} = \Lambda^+_a \\
\chi^+_m &= \{m_{23}; m_2, m_3 \pm \frac{1}{2}m_{23}\} = \chi^+_f = \chi^+_e = \chi^+_d = \chi^+_c \\
\chi^+_p &= \{0; m_2, m_{23,3}; 0\} \\
\chi^+_q &= \{m_3; 0, m_{23}, 0; \pm \frac{1}{2}m_3\} = \chi^+_k = \chi^+_l = \chi^+_g \\
\chi^+_r &= \{0; m_3, m_{23}, 0; 0\} \\
\chi^+_s &= \{m_{23,3}; m_3, 0; \pm \frac{1}{2}m_2\} = \chi^+_n \\
\chi^+_t &= \{m_{23,3}; m_3, 0; 0\} \\
\chi^+_{\pm} &= \{m_1; m_3, 0; \pm \frac{1}{2}m_{1,3,3}\} = \chi^+_{\pm} \\
\chi^+_{\pm} &= \{m_1; m_3, 0; \pm \frac{1}{2}m_{1,3,3}\} = \chi^+_{\pm} \\
\chi^+_{\pm} &= \{m_1; m_3, 0; \pm \frac{1}{2}m_{1,3,3}\} = \chi^+_{\pm} \\
\chi^+_{\pm} &= \{m_1; m_3, 0; \pm \frac{1}{2}m_{1,3,3}\} = \chi^+_{\pm}
\end{align*}
\]

The relevant doublet is $\chi^+_{\pm}$ where the KS operator $G^+_{KS}$ degenerates to the intertwining differential operator $D^{m_3}_{12}$.
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