Chemoenzymatic Synthesis of the Most Pleasant Stereoisomer of Jessemal
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ABSTRACT: We describe the asymmetric synthesis of the most pleasant enantiomer of Jessemal fragrance. The key steps are (i) the one-pot reduction of an α-chloro-tetrasubstituted cyclohexenone to give the chlorohydrin, catalyzed by two stereoselective redox enzymes (an ene-reductase and an alcohol dehydrogenase); (ii) the regioselective epoxide ring-opening with organocuprate or organolithium nucleophiles. Density functional theory calculations together with the Curtin–Hammett principle allowed the rationalization of the regioselectivity.

The odor perception of chiral molecules depends on their stereochemical configuration.1 In the past two decades, the olfactory properties (odor threshold and odor profile) of many commercial fragrances and flavors have been thoroughly investigated.2b Often, significant differences between the enantiomers were observed.2

However, in addition to the increasing interest of industry in the formulations of new perfumes selecting only the most pleasant stereoisomers of fragrances, the use of enantiomerically enriched odorants may soon become mandatory for health and environmental protection reasons. Indeed, there are many concerns about the toxicity of fragrances, especially when they are used for a prolonged time. Recent studies have shown that some musk odorants are not metabolized by our organism, and accumulate into the human tissues and organs. In this regard, the case of the Galaxolide fragrance detected in breast milk is quite alarming.3 Hence, only by using the most odor active stereoisomers will it be possible to decrease the amount of ingredients actually used in all formulations, and therefore limit the related risks.

This issue becomes more relevant for all synthetic fragrances with more than one stereogenic center, such as the Jessemal, i.e., 1 (Figure 1A).4 Nevertheless, to our knowledge, very few chiral fragrances are commercialized as single enantiomer.

In the case of Jessemal, an organoleptic study has identified the enantiomer (3R,4R,5R)-1 as the most pleasant and that with the most characterizing floral scent;4 thus, its stereoselective synthesis is highly desirable.

Recently, we disclosed a new route to the β-alkyl chlorohydrins 1 by reducing the cycloenones II (Figure 1B).5 The one-pot two-steps sequence was carried out combining two redox enzymes. An ene-reductase (ER) catalyzed the stereospecific reduction of the C=C double bond affording the intermediate ketone, which in turn was reduced by an alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH).
alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH). The choice of a pro (R) or a pro (S) ADH allowed to control the relative stereochemistry of the chlorohydrins ((trans,trans)-I or (cis,trans)-I); both yield and optical purity were very high in most cases. Accordingly, we designed the retrosynthesis of I shown in Figure 1A. Regarding the reduction of prochiral C==C double bonds conjugated with EWGs, the ERs are becoming quite popular in organic synthesis, since they are a valuable alternative to all methodologies based on asymmetric hydrogenations catalyzed by organo−transition metal complexes. Lastly, this enzymatic activity has been proven to be compatible with that of ADHs, allowing the setup of efficient multienzymatic cascade processes.

Thus, we designed a retrosynthesis of I relying on the new synthetic route to the trans,trans chlorohydrins I (Figure 1A). Indeed, trans,trans-S can be transformed into the epoxide 6, and its C(3) regioselective ring-opening leads, after acetylation, to 1. Accordingly, we prepared the substrate 4 needed for the enzymatic reduction in four steps starting from the commercially available dipropargyl ether in an overall yield of 53%, modifying a known procedure (Scheme S1 in the Supporting Information). Remarkably, the preparation of 4 is a column chromatography free procedure.

The ER reaction mechanism consists of a stepwise addition of two hydrogen atoms, which come from opposite faces of the C==C double bond affording the product with anti stereochemistry. Recently, deazaflavin cofactor (F420) dependent ene-reductases (FDRs) were shown to exhibit opposite stereospecificity to that of most common flavin mononucleotide (FMN) cofactor dependent ERs, such as the reductases belonging to the old yellow enzyme (OYE) family (Figure S1). The opposite stereochemical course was explained in terms of different binding of the substrate into the protein catalytic site. Historically, the binding mode of the 3-methylcyclohexene-2-en-1-one affording the (S) enantiomer was arbitrary named “flipped” (Figure S1B, typical of OYE), vice versa that giving the opposite enantiomer was named “normal” (Figure S1A, typical for FDRs).

Thus, with the chlor cyclohexenone 4 in our hands, we tested the enzymatic reduction [(1) ER + (2) ADH] on a screening scale. According to our previous works, the combination of an ER belonging to the FDR family with the commercially available ADH named EVO270 should yield the (trans,trans) chlorohydridn, having absolute stereochemical configuration suited to synthesize (3R,4R,5S)-I. Since EVO270 catalyzes the reduction of prochiral ketones with pro (S) enantioselctivity, substrates very similar to 4 are placed into the catalytic site of FDRs through a “normal” binding mode.

The reduced forms of cofactors needed for the reduction of 4, i.e., NADPH and F20H2, were efficiently regenerated by a glucose dehydrogenase (GDH, from Bacillus megaterium) and a F420-dependent glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (FGD, from Rhodococcus jostii), using an excess of glucose and glucose-6-phosphate as sacrificial substrates, respectively (Figure S1C). Conversions and diastereomeric excesses (de) of the screening are available in the Supporting Information (Table S1 and Table S3). The data show clearly that 4 is a substrate well accepted by the FDRs (FDR-Rha1 and FDR-Rha2 isolated from R. jostii and FDR-Mha from Mycobacterium hassiacum), since all transformations were near to being quantitative. The diastereoselectivity of the multienzymatic process (FDR-Mha+EVO270) was good. However, only by scaling up the reaction it was possible to determine the relative stereochemistry of the product by 'H NMR (Figure S2). Surprisingly, instead of the expected (trans,trans) diastereoisomer, we obtained the (cis,trans) chlorohydridn, i.e., (3R,4S,5S)-S (vide infra for the absolute stereochemical configuration), in 69% yield and with a de of 99%, after column chromatography (Table 1).

| Table 1. Multienzymatic Reduction of 4† |

| Products | Reaction | ER | ADH | OYE2 |
|----------|----------|----|-----|------|
| ER+ADH  | FDR-MHa  | normal binding | (3R,4S,5R)-S, 69%<sup>a</sup> >99% (de)<sup>b</sup>, αD=−84.1°  
(3S,4R,5S)-S, 71%<sup>a</sup> >99% (de)<sup>b</sup>, αD=+63.8° |
| EVO270  | Pro unlike | (3R,4S,5R)-S, 62%<sup>a</sup> >99% (de)<sup>b</sup>, αD=−17.3°  
(3S,4R,5S)-S, 65%<sup>a</sup> >99% (de)<sup>b</sup>, αD=+16.7° |
| EVO440  | Pro like  | (3R,4S,5R)-S, 69%<sup>a</sup> >99% (de)<sup>b</sup>, αD=−17.3°  
(3S,4R,5S)-S, 65%<sup>a</sup> >99% (de)<sup>b</sup>, αD=+16.7° |

<sup>a</sup>Yield after purification. <sup>b</sup>By 'H NMR. Absolute stereochemical configuration determined from crystal X-ray diffraction. Reaction conditions: 4 (3.0 mmol) in buffer (50 mM), cosolvent (1% v/v) at 24−30 °C, 150 rpm. More details are given in the Supporting Information.

The trans stereochemical relationship between the methyl and chloride substituents confirms that the FDR catalyzed reductions proceed by formal addition of H2 with anti stereospecificity, whereas the cis relation between the chloride and the hydroxyl group could be explained either by a “flipped” binding mode of 4 into the catalytic site of FDR or by a reversed stereoselectivity of EVO270. For this, a commercially available pro (R) ADH (EVO440) and some NADPH-dependent ERs (OYE1−3) enantiodivergent (“flipped” binding mode) to the FDRs were tested. Analysis was first done on a screening scale (Table S2 and Table S4), and then on a preparative scale selecting the best combination of enzymes in terms of diastereoselectivity (Table 1). Even though the conversions of the bioransformations on the preparative scale were near quantitative, the isolation of products was rather due to their high volatility. However, the final yields and de’s were satisfactory, and remarkably, each enantiomer of the (trans,trans) chlorohydridn was isolated by crystallization. In summary, the reductions carried out on a preparative scale allowed to improve the diastereomeric purity of chlorohydridnes 5 by means of purification procedures.

The absolute stereochemical configuration (3R,4R,5S) was assigned to the chlorohydridn (trans,trans)-S obtained from the tandem reduction with OYE2 and EVO440, by estimation of the Flack parameter. The latter was calculated from the single crystal X-ray diffraction model (Table 1, for more details see the Supporting Information). Consequently, it was found
that the stereoisomer (3S,4S,SR)-5, needed for the synthesis of Jessemal, was formed using FDR-Mha and EVO440.

Table 1 shows that the stereoselectivity of both ADHs depends on the absolute stereochemical configuration of the intermediate IV. The stereochemical course of the 1,2-carbonyl reduction was better explained by adopting the Prelog-Seebach specifications4. In summary, we have found that EVO270 exhibits a pro (like) stereospecificity, whereas EVO440 is pro (unlike). Unfortunately, further considerations on how the substrates are bound into the catalytic sites were not possible, since both identity and structure of these two commercial ADHs are not available.

Following our synthetic plan, we prepared the epoxide 6 by treatment of (3S,4S,SR)-5 with t-BuOK (THF, 1.3 equiv, 0 °C) in a yield of 80% (Scheme 1A). Concerning the ring-opening of the tetrahydropyranyl 3,4-epoxide, Crotti et al. have shown that the addition of carbon nucleophiles such as the amino alcohol (3R,4R,5R)-8 in THF, -78 °C gave mainly the C(3) type product in a chair-like conformation much more stable than the C(4) regioisomer (2R,3R)-9. However, the optical purities of 7 and 7a were very similar to those of the same alcohols obtained in the BF3 catalyzed addition of n-BuLi. The formation of 9 was likely due to the unreacted n-BuLi (residual of the Bu2CuLi preparation). Indeed, the treatment of 6 with n-BuLi (2.5 equiv, THF, -78 °C) in absence of Lewis acids gave the open-chain diol 9 in 85% yield.

The reaction with BnNH2 in refluxing EtOH afforded the amino alcohol (3R,4R,5R)-8 in a high yield and with an excellent diastereomeric excess (de > 98% by 1H NMR). In this case, the epoxide ring-opening attack occurred exclusively at the C(3) position, in full agreement with the Furst-Plattner rule,26 which establishes that the regioselectivity is controlled by the relative stability of the two possible regioisomeric products (being the C(3) type product in a chair-like conformation much more stable than the C(4) regioisomer in the twist-boat conformation, Scheme 2B). Certainly, the partial C(3) regioselectivity observed with the carbon nucleophiles (either with the organocuprate or the organoaluminum reagents) is not compatible with such rule, and also the explanation of Crotti in the case of BF3 catalyzed addition cannot be applied, since the boron atom cannot coordinate the two carbon atoms of 6.

Thus, the reaction mechanisms either with n-BuLi in the presence of BF3 and with BnNH2 were examined by density functional theory (DFT) computational chemistry (model chemistry: B3LYP/6-31+g(d,p) and M06-2X-D3/6-31+G-

### Scheme 1. (A) Synthesis of Jessemal; (B) C(4) Regioselective Ring-Opening of an Unsubstituted Tetrahydropyranyl Epoxide with Carbon Nucleophiles Reported by Crotti et al.

![Scheme 1](https://example.com/scheme1.png)

### Scheme 2. (A) Ring-Opening with Different Nucleophiles; (B) Furst-Plattner Regiospecificity for the BnNH2 Addition

![Scheme 2](https://example.com/scheme2.png)
According to the mechanism proposed by Ganem, the computations showed that 6 together with BF$_3$Et$_2$O and n-butylium form two possible regioisomeric reactant states, RS-C(3) and RS-C(4), which interconvert rapidly (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Energy paths for the BF$_3$ promoted organolithium ring-opening at 195 K. For clarity, THF structures and H atoms are omitted.

One of the fluoride atoms plays a key role, since it coordinates the lithium in such a way to orient the incipient butyl nucleophile under the C(3) or the C(4) carbon of the epoxide. The energy paths relative to the formation of intermediates Int-7 and Int-7a are shown in Figure 2. Since the reaction is highly exergonic, both reaction trajectories go through a typical reactant-like transition state, i.e., the TS-C(3) and TS-C(4). Hence, by applying the Curtin–Hammet equation, the attack on the C(3) carbon is calculated to be preferred (7/7a, 72:28 vs experimental 82:18), being the ΔG° = ΔG° of TS-C(3) − ΔG° of TS-C(4) = 0.94 kcal mol$^{-1}$. Concerning the reaction with the amine, the C(3) Fürst-Plattner regioselectivity was confirmed by our computations, since the energy barrier related to the formation of zwitterion Int-8 (Scheme 2B) was much lower than that of the C(4) type regiosomer (ΔΔG°=4.5 kcal mol$^{-1}$, see Figure S4).

In conclusion we have described the first stereosepecific synthesis of the most pleasant stereoisomer of Jessemal fragrance in an overall yield of 18% starting from the commercially available dipropargyl ether. The key step consisted of the very challenging reduction of the α-chlorotetrasubstituted enone 2, achieved by combining ER and ADH enzymatic activities in a one-pot cascade process. Lastly, we tested the epoxide ring-opening of 6 with different nucleophiles. Noteworthy, we found that for this kind of substrate, the regioselectivity with carbon nucleophiles such as the organocuprates and organolithium reagents was different to that reported for the unsubstituted tetrahydropyranyl 3,4-epoxide homologue, broadening the knowledge of epoxide reactivity.
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