Formation mechanisms of Fe$_3$–$x$Sn$_x$O$_4$ by a chemical vapor transport (CVT) process
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Our former study reported that Fe–Sn spinel (Fe$_3$–$x$Sn$_x$O$_4$) was easily formed when SnO$_2$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$ were roasted under CO–CO$_2$ atmosphere at 900–1100°C. However, the formation procedure is still unclear and there is a lack of theoretical research on the formation mechanism of the Fe–Sn spinel. In this work, the reaction mechanisms between SnO$_2$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$ under CO–CO$_2$ atmosphere were determined using XRD, VSM, SEM-EDS, XPS, etc. The results indicated that the formation of Fe$_3$–$x$Sn$_x$O$_4$ could be divided into four steps: reduction of SnO$_2$ to solid phase SnO, volatilization of gaseous SnO, adsorption of gaseous SnO on the surface of Fe$_3$O$_4$, and redox reaction between SnO and Fe$_3$O$_4$. During the roasting process, part of Fe$^{3+}$ in Fe$_3$O$_4$ was reduced to Fe$^{2+}$ by gaseous SnO and meanwhile Sn$^{2+}$ was oxidized to Sn$^{4+}$ and entered into Fe$_3$–$x$Sn$_x$O$_4$. The reaction between SnO$_2$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$ could be summarized as Fe$_3$O$_4$ + $x$SnO$_{2-y}$$\rightarrow$ Fe$_3$–$x$Sn$_x$O$_4$ (x = 0–1.0).

Binary and ternary metal oxides of tin (such as SnO, SnO$_2$, Zn$_2$SnO$_4$, CaSnO$_3$, BaSnO$_3$, etc) have recently used as highly suitable semiconductor materials, which can be applied in electronic industries. For instance, tin-doped spinel (Fe$_3$–$x$Sn$_x$O$_4$, x = 0–1.0) has been widely used as ferrimagnetic materials, electrical transformer cores, gas-detector sensors, heterogeneous catalysts and magnetic memory devices. Previous synthetic methods for Sn-doped spinel were solid-state reactions, which required the synthesis temperature above 1300°C and reaction time more than 10 hours. However, excessively high temperature accelerated the formation of liquid phase, which resulted in a large grain size of the products. In order to obtain Fe–Sn spinel nanoparticles with regular shape and unique physical–chemical property, co-precipitation and precipitation exchange methods are commonly applied in the laboratory researches. Aqueous solutions of iron (III) and tin (II) chlorides or nitrates were first prepared and mixed as a stoichiometric ratio, followed by adding NH$_3$·H$_2$O or NaOH solutions into the mixed solution to adjust the pH value. The precipitate products were filtrated and washed repeatedly, and then they were dried or roasted at low temperatures of 200–500°C. However, due to the very low productivity most of those methods are only in a laboratory bench scale.

Our previous studies indicated that Fe–Sn spinel (Fe$_3$–$x$Sn$_x$O$_4$), Ca$_2$SnO$_4$, CaSnO$_3$, Na$_2$SnO$_3$, and SnSiO$_3$ were much easily formed under CO–CO$_2$ atmospheres. It was reported that tin was inevitably volatilized as gaseous SnO when SnO$_2$ was roasted at 900–1100°C under different CO–CO$_2$ atmospheres. What’s more, the optimal conditions for the formation of Fe$_3$–$x$Sn$_x$O$_4$ and tin volatilization were consistent based on a large number of experiments. The intrinsic relationships between those processes need to be further investigated.

A chemical vapor–transport (CVT) method is commonly used for obtaining high-quality single crystals that are difficult or even impossible to be prepared by other methods. The CVT method was successfully applied for synthesizing various inorganic compounds and separating some rare metals and rare earths. As an important preparative method in solid state chemistry field, a considerable amount of works were focused on the homogeneous gas-phase equilibria, the temperature dependency of heterogeneous reactions, the crystallization controlling conditions, and so on. And the key problem of the CVT process was to strictly control the vaporization conditions of the volatile substances. As well-known, SnO$_2$ has high melting point and boiling point, so solid state reactions between SnO$_2$ and iron oxides are difficult to proceed. However, SnO$_2$ is easily volatilized at the temperature above 900°C, which is an excellent volatile substance for the CVT process.

Therefore, the Fe–Sn spinel (Fe$_3$–$x$Sn$_x$O$_4$) was prepared from SnO$_2$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$ by a CVT process under CO–CO$_2$ atmosphere. The major objectives of this research were: (1) to investigate the formation mechanisms of Fe$_3$–$x$Sn$_x$O$_4$ by a CVT process under 15 vol% CO/(CO + CO$_2$) at 950°C; (2) to reveal the effect of gaseous SnO as
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an intermediate volatile substances on the formation of Fe$_{3-x}$Sn$_x$O$_4$; (3) to determine the redox reactions between gaseous SnO and Fe$_3$O$_4$ by using XRD, VSM, XPS, SEM-EDS, etc.

Results

Determination of the phase composition of the roasted samples with Fe$_3$O$_4$ and SnO$_2$. Mixed samples (natural magnetite and cassiterite powders) were roasted at 950 °C under an atmosphere of 15 vol.% CO/(CO + CO$_2$) for different time, and the roasted samples were then prepared for XRD, VSM, XPS and SEM-EDS analyses.

Figure 1 demonstrates the XRD patterns of the samples roasted at 950 °C for the time varying from 15 min to 600 min, and the sample roasted for 120 min in 100 vol.% N$_2$ atmosphere was also measured. It can be seen from Fig. 1 that the main phase constitutions of the samples were magnetite, cassiterite and Fe-Sn spinel (Fe$_{2.6}$Sn$_{0.4}$O$_4$) under 15 vol.% CO atmosphere. However, the diffraction peaks of Fe-Sn spinel remarkably enhanced as the roasting time prolonged, which indicated the gradual conversion of magnetite into Fe-Sn spinel. Interestingly, no diffraction peak of Fe-Sn spinel was found in the XRD pattern of the samples roasted under 100 vol.% N$_2$ atmosphere, revealing that there was no reaction happening between Fe$_3$O$_4$ and SnO$_2$ at 950 °C. Based on the above results, it is inferred that the CO-CO$_2$ atmosphere plays an important role in the formation of Fe-Sn spinel.

In order to investigate the transformation process of Fe-Sn spinel, the magnetization hysteresis loops of the above-mentioned samples (No. 1#~4#) were studied by VSM at room temperature, and the results are displayed in Fig. 2. The results in Fig. 2 showed that the saturation magnetization (M$_S$) of Sample 1# was about 47.6 emu/g, because the magnetite was stable when roasted under N$_2$ atmosphere and there was no Fe-Sn spinel formed during the roasting. The M$_S$ values of the samples (2#~4#) were much lower than that of Sample 1#. As the roasting time increased from 15 min to 120 min, the Ms value decreased obviously from 36.2 emu/g to 7.3 emu/g. In addition, it was observed from Fig. 2 that the coercivity field (the value of Hc when Ms is equal to zero) also decreased markedly with the increase of roasting time. Previous studies showed that Sn$^{4+}$ could replace the Fe$^{3+}$ in the magnetite to form Fe-Sn spinel, which resulted in a smaller hysteresis as well as the coercivity field$^{10,11}$. As reported, Sn$^{4+}$ could enter into the octahedral sublattice of magnetite, and then Fe-Sn spinel was easily formed under CO-CO$_2$ atmosphere, which led to the decrease of saturation magnetization with the roasting time increasing.

Figure 1. XRD patterns of the samples roasted at 950 °C for different time.

Figure 2. Magnetic hysteresis loops of the samples roasted at 950 °C.
To analyze the element distribution and composition of the Fe-Sn spinel formed in the roasted sample (Sample 3#), the backscattered micrographs of Fe-Sn spinel and the corresponding elements' area distribution images by SEM-EDS are shown in Fig. 3. As seen from Fig. 3a, the major phases in the sample were magnetite (Spot B), cassiterite (Spot D) and Fe-Sn spinel (Spot A and C). Moreover, the Fe/Sn atomic ratio of Spot A and Spot C was similar to the value of 2.6:0.4, which was coincident with the result presented in Fig. 1. In addition, it was amazing to find that the Fe-Sn spinel displayed as a thin layer and enwrapped the magnetite compactly. Based on the results in Fig. 3b and c, the corresponding Sn element's distribution indicated that Fe-Sn spinel was formed on the outside surface of magnetite particle. Obvious elemental gradient of Sn from the outside surface to the inner was observed in Fig. 3b, and there was almost no Sn element existing in the center part of the magnetite.

Figure 3. SEM-EDS analysis of roasted sample (Sample 3#) (a)-BS image; (b) the corresponding elements distribution along the green line in image (a); (c), (d) and (e)-corresponding elements' area distribution images of O, Fe and Sn; (f), (g), (h) and (i) - EDS of spot A, B, C and D in image (a).
particle. However, Fe element was not found on the surface of cassiterite as shown in Fig. 3i. Therefore, there existed the mass transfer of Sn from SnO2 to Fe3O4, and the formation mechanism would be further researched.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was then applied to check the chemical state of the samples’ surfaces. The Fe 3p, Fe 2p and Sn 3d photoelectron spectra of the Raw material (magnetite and cassiterite powders were blended as mass ratio of 4:1) and Sample 3# are shown in Fig. 4. Based on the reported XPS studies of Fe 3p and Fe 2p, the photoelectron peaks of Fe are always associated with satellite peaks and background noise, which are complicated to distinguish definitely30,31. As shown in Fig. 4a and b, the binding energy of Fe 3p and Fe 2p\(^{3/2}\) in Sample 3# shifted obviously from 55.79 eV to 55.29 eV and 711.09 eV to 710.69 eV, respectively. The decrease of the Fe binding energy was attributed to the replacement of Fe\(^{3+}\) by Sn\(^{4+}\) in Fe3O4, and then the Fe\(^{3+}\) in Fe3O4 was partially converted into Fe\(^{2+}\) for the charge balance8–11. As observed from Fig. 4c, the Sn 3d photoelectron peak of the Raw Material was well matched with the peaks of pure SnO2 in the previous literatures32,33. However, the XPS photoelectron peak of Sn 3d in Fig. 4c can be resolved into Sn\(^{2+}\) and Sn\(^{4+}\). And both of Sn 3d 5/2 and Sn 3d 3/2 clearly showed two groups of Sn chemical bonding energies of 486.6 eV and 495.0 eV for Sn\(^{4+}\), and 494.3 eV and 485.9 eV for Sn\(^{2+}\)32,33. Our previous studies on the reduction roasting of SnO2 have proved that there is no SnO existing in the roasted samples14,20,21. Therefore, the resolved peaks of Sn\(^{2+}\) (Fig. 4c) just displayed the electron deficiency state of Sn on the surface of Sample 3#, indicating that the intermediate product, SnO, would be crucial to the formation of Fe–Sn spinel.

Reactions between Fe3O4 and gaseous SnO. As reported in our former studies, the main reactions of SnO2 roasted at 950 °C under 15 vol.% CO atmosphere were expressed as the following Eq. (1) and Eq. (2)14,20,21. Equation (2) was carried out rapidly and no SnO\(_{(s)}\) was found in the roasted samples.

\[
\text{SnO}_2(s) + \text{CO}_{(g)} = \text{SnO}_{(s)} + \text{CO}_{2(g)} \tag{1}
\]

\[
\text{SnO}_{(s)} = \text{SnO}_{(g)} \tag{2}
\]

In this section, the reaction between Fe3O4 and gaseous SnO was investigated and the schematic diagram of the experiment was shown in Fig. 5. A platinum wire screen was used to separate the cassiterite and magnetite particles, and then the samples were placed into an electrically-heated vertical-tube furnace and roasted at 950 °C for 60 min under 15 vol.% CO atmosphere. In this system, the solid–solid reactions between Fe3O4 and SnO2 were impossible to proceed, so that the effect of gaseous SnO on the formation of Fe\(_{1-x}\)Sn\(_x\)O\(_4\) could be investigated.
The SEM-EDS analyses of the roasted magnetite particles are shown in Fig. 6. It was observed from Fig. 6 that Fe-Sn spinel layer with a thickness of about 5 μm was formed at the outer sphere of the magnetite particles. The microstructure of the roasted sample in Fig. 6 was similar to that in Fig. 3. The corresponding elemental distributions of Sn and Fe indicated that the reactions between Fe3O4 and gaseous SnO took place as a typically unreacted core model, so an obvious product layer was formed outside the magnetite particles. Occasionally, a crack throughout the magnetite particle was found in Fig. 6, and the enrichment of Sn element propagated along with the crack. The results further confirmed our inference that gaseous SnO was the vital medium for the mass transfer of Sn during the formation of Fe-Sn spinel. Gaseous SnO was a volatile substance, which played an important role in the CVT process.

Formation mechanisms of Fe3−xSnxO4. The above-mentioned results indicated that the Fe-Sn spinel was formed via the reactions between gaseous SnO and Fe3O4, and the reaction could be summarized as Fe3O4 + xSnO(g) → Fe3−xSnxO4 (x = 0–1.0). It was reported that the valence state of Sn in the Fe3−xSnxO4 was +4,8–12, and the redox reactions between gaseous SnO and FeOx were discussed in this section.

Below 570 °C, Fe2O3 is reduced as the stepwise order of Fe2O3 → Fe3O4 → Fe. When the temperature is higher than 570 °C, the reduction process would be Fe2O3 → Fe3O4 → FeO → Fe19–21. Thus, the possible chemical reactions between FeOx and gaseous SnO are given in Table 1, and the ΔG°-T equations are also listed in Table 1 and Fig. 7.

The standard Gibbs free energy (∆G°) change of the related reactions was calculated as follow:

\[
\Delta G^0 = -RT\ln K^0
\]

where R is the ideal gas constant (8.3144 J/mol·K), T is the temperature in kelvin (K), and K° is the standard equilibrium constant. In the reactions between gaseous SnO and FeOx, K° is equal to the reciprocal of the standard vapor pressure of gaseous SnO. Then, gas-phase equilibrium diagram of FeOx under different SnO partial pressure was calculated and plotted in Fig. 8.

Based on the results in Figs 7, 8 and Table 1, it was inferred that the reaction of Fe3O4 + xSnO → Fe3−xSnxO4 happened and all the reactions between gaseous SnO and FeOx were obviously affected by the temperature and partial pressure of SnO. Fe2O3 was stable under 15 vol.% CO atmosphere at 950 °C, the SnO partial pressure was relatively low under this condition20,21, and the Sn in the Fe3−xSnxO4 mainly existed as Sn4+ based on previous studies8–10. Then, the chemical formula of the spinel was calculated as the valence state balance, which were Fe3O4 of [Fe2+][Fe3+][O2−]4 and Fe3−xSnxO4 of [Fe2+]1−x[Fe3+]2−2x[Sn4+]x[O2−]4. Hence, it was obvious that the valence state of Fe was remarkably affected by the Sn content in the Fe3−xSnxO4. The more Sn4+ contained, the more Fe2+ was formed in the Fe3−xSnxO4. In general, part of Fe3+ in Fe3O4 was reduced to Fe2+ by gaseous SnO, and Sn2+ was oxidized to Sn4+ and entered into Fe3−xSnxO4. Thus, the mass transfer of Sn was conducted via a chemical vapor transport process.

The schematic diagram of the formation process of Fe3−xSnxO4 by a CVT process is summarized in Fig. 9. Under the conditions of 15 vol.% CO atmosphere and roasting temperature of 950 °C, the reaction procedure between SnO and Fe3O4 could be described as follows: (a) SnO2 is reduced to solid phase SnO while Fe3O4 is stable under this condition; (b) SnO is volatilized as gaseous phase, and this process is much fast because no SnO(s) is observed in the roasted samples14,20,21; (c) the gaseous SnO is adsorbed onto the interface of Fe3O4 particles; (d) the redox reaction between SnO and Fe3O4 takes place, resulting in the mass transfer of Sn from gaseous SnO into Fe3O4. Therefore, the Fe3−xSnxO4 is formed.

During this CVT process, it was found that formation of gaseous SnO was the critical step, which had obvious effect on the mass transfer of Sn and the redox reaction between SnO and Fe3O4.
Conclusions

The formation mechanism of Fe_{3-x}Sn_xO_4 from SnO_2 and Fe_3O_4 by a CVT method was determined using XRD, VSM, SEM-EDS, XPS, etc. It is concluded that the formation of gaseous SnO under CO-CO_2 atmosphere is the critical step, which has obvious effect on the redox reactions between SnO and Fe_3O_4. The mass transfer of Sn from gaseous SnO into Fe_3O_4 was conducted via the chemical vapor transport process. The reactions between Fe_3O_4 and gaseous SnO, Fe_3O_4 + xSnO(g) → Fe_{3-x}Sn_xO_4 (x = 0–1.0), took place as a typical gas-solid unreacted core model. During the roasting process, part of Fe^{3+} in Fe_3O_4 was reduced to Fe^{2+} by gaseous SnO, and meanwhile Sn^{2+} was oxidized to Sn^{4+} and entered into Fe_{3-x}Sn_xO_4.
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**Figure 6.** SEM-EDS analysis of products of Fe_3O_4 and SnO(g) (a)-BS image; (b) the corresponding elements distribution along the green line in image (a); (c), (d) and (e)-corresponding elements’ area distribution images of O, Fe and Sn; (f) and (g)-EDS of point A and B in image (a).

| Eq. | Reactions                                                                 | \( \Delta G^\theta - T \) (kJ/mol) |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| I   | 3Fe_2O_3 + SnO(g) = 2Fe_3O_4 + SnO_2                                     | \( \Delta G^\theta = 0.114 T - 328.108 \) |
| II  | \( \frac{1}{4}Fe_3O_4 + SnO(g) = \frac{3}{4}Fe + SnO_2 \)                | \( \Delta G^\theta = 0.733 T - 1269.481 \) |
| III | Fe_3O_4 + SnO(g) = 3FeO + SnO_2                                           | \( \Delta G^\theta = 0.151 T - 288.746 \) |
| IV  | FeO + SnO(g) = Fe + SnO_2                                                 | \( \Delta G^\theta = 0.194 T - 326.911 \) |

**Table 1.** \( \Delta G^\theta - T \) equations of the possible reactions between FeO_x and gaseous SnO. *Primary data of the substances mentioned above are obtained from Practical Thermodynamics Data Handbook of Inorganic Substances.*
Figure 7. $\Delta G^0$-T relationship lines of the possible reactions between FeOx and gaseous SnO.

Figure 8. Gas-phase equilibrium diagram of FeOx under different SnO partial pressure.

Figure 9. Schematic diagram for the formation of Fe$_{3-x}$Sn$_x$O$_4$ by a CVT process.
Method
Natural magnetite and cassiterite powders used in this study were the same as those given in our previous study. The theoretical Fe3O4 and SnO2 contents of the samples were 98.7 wt.% and 98.5 wt.%, respectively. The purity of gases (CO, CO2, and N2) used in the tests was higher than 99.99 vol.%. All the roasting tests were conducted in a vertical-tube furnace. The natural magnetite and cassiterite powders were first blended at mass ratio of 4:1. Then, the mixed sample was put into a corundum crucible and roasted in the furnace. The CO/(CO + CO2) content was fixed at 15 vol.%, and the roasting temperature was kept at 950 °C. The CO content refers to the CO volume concentration in the CO-CO2 mixed gas (i.e., CO/(CO + CO2)). After roasted for different time, the samples were taken out and quenched into liquid nitrogen rapidly. Finally, the cooled samples were used for analysis.
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