Risk Factors for HIV/Syphilis Infection and Male Circumcision Practices and Preferences among Men Who Have Sex with Men in China
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Objective. To investigate factors associated with HIV infection and the frequency and willingness of male circumcision among men who have sex with men (MSM) in Chengdu city, China. Methods. A cross-sectional survey provided information on participants’ demographics, risk behaviors, circumcision, and uptake of HIV prevention services. Results. Of 570 participants, 13.3% were infected with HIV and 15.9% with syphilis. An estimated 43.0% of respondents reported having unprotected receptive anal intercourse, and 58.9% reported having ≥2 male sexual partners in the past 6 months. Multivariable logistic regression revealed that syphilis, more male sex partners, predominantly receptive anal intercourse, and exclusively receptive male sex were associated with HIV infection. Higher level of education and peer education service were inversely associated with HIV infection. Nearly a fifth (18.0%) of participants were circumcised. More than half of uncircumcised participants expressed willingness to be circumcised. Conclusion. This study reveals a high prevalence of HIV and syphilis among MSM in Chengdu province of China. The frequency of unprotected receptive anal intercourse and multiple male sexual partnerships highlight the urgency for an effective comprehensive HIV prevention strategy. Although the willingness to accept male circumcision (MC) is high, further research is needed to assess the protective effective of MC among MSM.

1. Introduction

Since reports of the HIV virus began to emerge in the United States in the 1980s, the HIV epidemic has frequently been linked to men who have sex with men (MSM) [1]. Sexual transmission among MSM accounts for the majority of prevalent AIDS cases in Western Europe, United States, Canada, Australia, and New Zealand. In Africa, Asia, and Latin America, the prevalence of HIV infection has increased rapidly in recent years [2]. Although HIV epidemics in low and middle income countries are mainly driven by heterosexual sex, injection drug use, and/or contaminated blood collection and transfusion, with MSM comprising a small share of all HIV cases, recent data shows rapid increases in the HIV epidemic among MSM in Asia, Africa, South America, Eastern Europe, and Central Asia [3]. In China,
meta-analyses among MSM indicated HIV prevalence rates in the range of 3.2%–15.8% for studies conducted during 2005–2008 [4] and a pooled syphilis prevalence of 9.1% for studies conducted from 2001 to 2008 [5]. A large national survey of 47,231 MSM in China indicated HIV and syphilis prevalence rates of 4.9% and 11.8% in 2008, respectively [6]. Data consistently indicated unprotected male-to-male sexual contact has become one of the major transmission routes for HIV in China [7].

Chengdu is one of the large metropolitan cities in Southwest China. There are estimated 71,000 MSM among its 4.5 million residents in 2007, among whom at least 10,000 are active members of the homosexual social network [8]. HIV/AIDS surveillance indicates that HIV prevalence increased rapidly from 1.06% (2/189) in 2004 [9] to 11.2% (51/456) in 2008 [10], a rate that is twice as high as the national average HIV prevalence among MSM [6].

Antiretroviral treatment and treatment of sexually transmitted infections (STIs) have been approved as effective prevention approaches for HIV, and increasingly promoted as a means of reducing HIV transmission among MSM [11–15]. There is compelling evidence that male circumcision reduces the risk of heterosexually acquired HIV infection in men. Three randomized clinical trials demonstrated that male circumcision (MC) reduced HIV acquisition risk among heterosexual men by approximately 60% [16–18]. The World Health Organization (WHO) and UNAIDS recommend male circumcision as an additional, important strategy for the prevention of heterosexually acquired HIV infection in men. Several studies of MSM who predominantly practice insertive anal intercourse (IAI) suggest some protective effect of male circumcision, as reported in Peru [19] South Africa [20], and Australia [21]. However, most studies have not found an association between HIV prevalence, incidence, and circumcision, likely because of multiple factors, including evidence that circumcision will not reduce HIV acquisition through unprotected receptive anal intercourse (RAI). In addition, African data may not be readily generalizable to MSM [22] and the potential efficacy of circumcision in reducing HIV infection among MSM is still unclear [23, 24].

In China, MC is not commonly practiced; while the prevalence of MC worldwide is almost 30%, it is only 5% among Chinese males [25]. Data on circumcision among Chinese adult men is very limited. There is no data available on MSM male circumcision in Sichuan. The purpose of this study was to assess the prevalence of HIV and syphilis, investigate factors associated with HIV infection, and the frequency and willingness to undergo male circumcision among MSM in Chengdu city of China.

2. Methods

2.1. Enrollment of Participants. A cross-sectional survey was conducted in Chengdu from June to September 2009. MSM eligible for the study included men 18–69 years of age who reported engaging in sex with men in the previous year. Stratified-snowball sampling was used to recruit participants from the Chengdu MSM community [6]. A formative assessment was conducted to determine the sizes of the MSM populations who most often seek sex partners at social venues including bars, tea houses, dance halls, public bathrooms/saunas, parks, public restrooms, and internet sites. Each venue represented a stratum of MSM to be surveyed. A community-based organization, Chengdu Gay Community Organization (CGCO), helped to identify “seed” individuals (seed eligibility criteria: (1) meeting study criteria and (2) having a broad social network), who then began the referral chain. Our study recruited 18 seeds and each one was given an unlimited number of referral coupons to recruit other subgroup members. These individuals in turn were asked to provide information on other subgroup members. Recruitment ceased when the required sample size was reached [26]. All participants except for the seeds were required to present a coupon at their visit to be considered eligible for the survey. CGCO helped to identify, recruit, and record each participant to avoid recruiting the same participants from different seeds. Each participant who completed the survey received as incentive a US $8 coupon as compensation for transportation costs.

2.2. Interview and Measures. The study included 8 steps, namely, participant identification, obtaining informed consent, pretest counseling, blood collection, questionnaire administration, genital exam, HIV and Syphilis rapid testing, and posttest counseling. Interview settings had two private interview/counseling rooms, two testing rooms, and one waiting room, which was located in Sichuan construction workers’ hospital (designated for Chengdu MSM STI testing and treatment services). All participants completed the written questionnaire via a face-to-face interview. The information collected in the questionnaire included demographic characteristics; HIV knowledge and attitudes; sexual history with other men and women, including unprotected anal intercourse, commercial sex; self-reported STD infection history, circumcision history, and history of receipt of HIV prevention services. A blood sample (approximately 8 mL) was collected from each individual and tested immediately on-site for HIV and current, active syphilis infection (not lifetime exposure). The rapid serological results were provided to the individual directly. CGCOs’ trained health counselors were responsible for pre- and posttest counseling. Counseling was conducted in an individual setting to assure client’s privacy and confidentiality. During pretest counseling, consultants helped the participant to get mentally prepared through counseling on benefits of HIV testing and explaining the testing process. Pretest counseling lasted about 10 minutes. During the postcounseling, consultants provided test results, counseled on HIV prevention and HIV/STD risk reduction and provided appropriate referrals and take home information. Participants who tested positive were referred to the peer support project. Posttest counseling also gave participants sufficient time to react and to obtain emotional support, so it took about 15 minutes on average. Samples yielding inconclusive HIV results from rapid, on-site tests were subjected to confirmatory testing and participants were asked to return to receive their results. A genital examination was conducted as well.
2.3. Statistical Analysis. HIV prevalence was calculated by dividing the sum of all confirmed cases by all participants. In addition, the prevalence of circumcision, willingness to be circumcised, and the relationship between MC and HIV infection were analyzed. Univariate and multivariate logistic regressions analyses were used to assess the association between risk factors and HIV infection. Variables significant at a level of 0.2 in univariate logistic regression analyses were fitted into multivariate models. Multivariate logistic regression models were constructed to identify independent risk factors for HIV infection, while controlling for potential confounding factors. Missing values were treated as separate categories for clarity but otherwise received no special treatment. All regression models were run using complete cases. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS v9.2 for Windows (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

2.4. Ethical Approval. This study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the National Center for AIDS/STD Control and Prevention, China Center for Disease Control and Prevention. Subjects provided signed informed consent and were assigned unique identification numbers so that anonymity could be maintained yet double testing prevented.

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of Participants. A total of 570 eligible participants completed the survey. Two participants declined to participate in the study. The age of participants ranged from 18 to 69 years with median age of 26.5 years. Approximately 40% of participants were under 25 years of age. About 60% of participants had college or higher levels of education, and nearly three-quarters (71.4%) were never married. Approximately 46% were registered as Chengdu residents and nearly two-thirds (65.3%) self-identified as homosexual. Less than 30% of respondents self-identified as bisexual, 5% did not state their sexual orientation or "did not know", and 1% self-identified as heterosexual.

The most common venues to find male sexual partners included the internet (59.1%), bars (18.2%), public bathhouses (10.5%), peer referral (6.7%), and parks or public restrooms (5.4%).

3.2. Sexual Behaviors. The age of sexual debut with a man ranged from 11 to 63 years with a median of 20 years. In terms of MSM anal sex, 34.2% of participants exclusively engaged in insertive anal intercourse (IAI), 25.3% predominantly (>50%) engaged in insertive anal intercourse, 20.7% exclusively engaged in receptive anal intercourse (RAI), and 19.4% predominantly engaged in receptive anal intercourse. In the 6 months preceding the study, the median number of male partners was 2 (range: 1 to 100), 16.5% of respondents reported having female sexual partners, and 21 (3.7%) admitted to paying for sex with "Money Boys" (MBs), while 26 respondents (4.6%) sold sex to male clients. The rate of consistent condom use (always used condoms when having sex) varied by type of sexual partners; 50.0% condom use while selling sex to male partners and 34.4% while having sex with a female partner. About two-thirds of respondents used a condom in the last anal sex whereas 223 participants (43.0%) admitted to engaging in unprotect RAI.

3.3. Prevalence of HIV and Syphilis and Uptake of Related Prevention Services. HIV prevalence in the study population was 13.3% and syphilis prevalence was 15.9%. Syphilis-positive MSM had the highest HIV prevalence (37.8%) (Table 1). In the previous year, 84.0% of participants reported ever receiving HIV related services, 58.1% received peer education, 56.5% received HIV/STI knowledge booklet, 50.5% received free condoms, 46.8% received HIV testing and counseling, 46.1% received free lubricants, and 27.7% received STI testing. One-fifth of participants reported ever having STI-related symptoms in the past year (Table 2).

3.4. Factors Associated with HIV Infection. Multivariable logistic regression analysis suggested that a positive syphilis result, (AOR = 6.9; 95%CI: 3.5–13.5), having more male sex partners (AOR = 6.2; 95%CI = 2.0–19.1), predominantly engaging in receptive anal intercourse (AOR = 2.9; 95%CI: 1.2–6.9), and exclusively engaging in receptive male sex (AOR = 3.9; 95%CI: 1.6–9.3), was independently associated with HIV infection. Having a college education (AOR = 0.4; 95%CI: 0.2–0.9) and receipt of peer education services in the last year (AOR = 0.5; 95%CI: 0.3–0.8) were protective of HIV infection (Table 3).

In the entire group of MSM, circumcision was not associated with HIV, but the prevalence of syphilis was significantly lower among men who were circumcised than those who were uncircumcised (7.6% versus 17.5% resp., P < 0.05). These findings stayed the same after stratifying by participants’ sexual role (IAI or RAI) in the past six months (Table 4).

3.5. Circumcision. Nearly a fifth of respondents (18.2%) reported having been circumcised, which was confirmed by clinical examination. The median age of circumcised participants was 20 years and ranged from 3 to 44 years. Reasons provided by circumcised MSM for the circumcision included a redundant foreskin (58.7%); 13.2% prevention of HIV and STIs (13.2%); personal hygiene (8.8%); to enhance sexual pleasure (5.9%) and cosmetic reasons to improve one's physical appearance (4.4%). The clinical examination indicated that more than half (53.2%) of individuals had foreskin problems, such as phimosis (1.1%), redundant foreskin (28.8%), and paraphimosis (11.6%). Among 504 uncircumcised men, 56.9% reported that they were willing to be circumcised; 30.4% were absolutely not willing to undergo circumcision, and 12.7% were not sure. For those participants who would accept male circumcision, the main reasons included prevention of HIV and STDs (49.0%), redundant foreskin (48.3%), enhance sexual pleasure (20.3%), getting free male circumcision medical services (16.8%), penile hygiene (9.8%), cosmetic reasons (7.7%), and peer influence (2.8%). The reasons of not accepting MC included no redundant foreskin problem (55.3%), inconvenient (12.1%), useless (8.8%), surgical complication (7.4%), and doubts about the effectiveness of MC as a HIV/STI prevention method (7.0%).
Table 1: Demographics, HIV/syphilis Infection, and risk factors among men who have sex with men in Chengdu, China, 2009.

| Variables                              | N (%)  | HIV (N, %) | Prevalence (%) |
|----------------------------------------|--------|------------|----------------|
| **Demographics**                       |        |            |                |
| Age (years)                            |        |            |                |
| 18–24                                  | 226 (39.7) | 29 (38.2) | 12.8           |
| 25–34                                  | 210 (36.8) | 26 (34.2) | 12.4           |
| ≥35                                    | 134 (23.5) | 21 (27.6) | 15.7           |
| Refused/missing                         | 0      | 0          |                |
| Education                              |        |            |                |
| Junior high                            | 102 (17.9) | 24 (31.6) | 23.5           |
| Senior high                            | 130 (22.8) | 22 (28.9) | 16.9           |
| College or higher                      | 338 (59.3) | 30 (39.5) | 8.9            |
| Refused/missing                         | 0      | 0          |                |
| Marital status                         |        |            |                |
| Never married                          | 407 (71.4) | 49 (64.5) | 12.0           |
| Married                                | 76 (13.3)  | 10 (13.2) | 13.2           |
| Live with a partner (male/female)      | 44 (7.7)   | 8 (10.5)  | 5.9            |
| Divorced/widowed                       | 43 (7.5)   | 9 (11.8)  | 6.3            |
| Refused/missing                         | 0      | 0          |                |
| Household registration                 |        |            |                |
| Local resident                         | 261 (45.8) | 26 (34.2) | 10.0           |
| Nonlocal resident                      | 309 (54.2) | 50 (65.8) | 16.2           |
| Refused/missing                         | 0      | 0          |                |
| Time period of living in Chengdu       |        |            |                |
| 0-1 years                              | 61 (10.7)  | 9 (11.8)  | 14.8           |
| 1-2 years                              | 54 (9.5)   | 8 (10.5)  | 14.8           |
| ≥2 years                               | 455 (79.8) | 59 (77.6) | 13.0           |
| Refused/missing                         | 0      | 0          |                |
| Self-identified sexual orientation     |        |            |                |
| Homosexual                             | 372 (65.3) | 49 (64.5) | 13.2           |
| Heterosexual                           | 7 (1.2)    | 0 (0)     | 0              |
| Bisexual                               | 191 (33.5) | 27 (35.5) | 14.1           |
| Refused/missing                         | 0      | 0          |                |
| Sexual behavior in the past 6 months   |        |            |                |
| Venues for finding sex partners        |        |            |                |
| Bars/tea houses/dance halls            | 104 (18.2) | 16 (21.1) | 15.4           |
| Public bathhouses/saunas               | 60 (10.5)  | 11 (14.5) | 5.0            |
| Parks/public restroom                  | 31 (5.4)   | 6 (7.9)   | 7.2            |
| Internet sites                         | 337 (59.1) | 41 (53.9) | 12.2           |
| Refused/missing                         | 38 (6.7)  | 2 (2.6)   |                |
| Anal sex with men                      |        |            |                |
| Exclusively insertive                  | 178 (31.2) | 13 (17.1) | 7.3            |
| Predominantly insertive                | 132 (23.2) | 19 (25.0) | 14.4           |
| Exclusively receptive                  | 102 (17.9) | 20 (25.3) | 19.6           |
| Predominantly receptive                | 108 (18.9) | 22 (28.9) | 20.4           |
| Refused/missing                         | 50 (8.8)  | 2 (2.6)   |                |
| Buy male sex                           |        |            |                |
| No                                     | 549 (96.3) | 72 (94.7) | 13.1           |
| Yes                                    | 21 (3.7)   | 4 (5.3)   | 19.0           |
| Refused/missing                         | 0      | 0          |                |
Table 1: Continued.

| Variables                                      | N (%) | HIV (N, %) | Prevalence (%) |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------|------------|----------------|
| Sell sex to a male                            |       |            |                |
| No                                            | 545 (95.6) | 70 (92.1) | 12.8           |
| Yes                                           | 25 (4.4)  | 6 (7.9)    | 24.0           |
| Refused/missing                               |       |            |                |
| Number of male sex partners                   |       |            |                |
| 0                                             | 49 (8.6)  | 2 (2.6)    | 4.1            |
| 1                                             | 178 (31.2) | 15 (19.7) | 8.4            |
| 2–9                                           | 304 (53.3) | 47 (61.8) | 15.5           |
| ≥10                                           | 32 (5.6)   | 11 (14.5)  | 34.4           |
| Refused/missing                               | 7 (1.2)   | 2 (2.6)    |                |
| Consistent condom use with male partner       |       |            |                |
| Always                                        | 321 (51.1) | 55 (72.3) | 17.1           |
| Sometimes or never                            | 200 (34.7) | 19 (25.0) | 9.5            |
| Refused/missing                               | 49 (14.2)  | 2 (2.6)    |                |
| Number of female sex partners                 |       |            |                |
| 0                                             | 476 (83.5) | 67 (88.2) | 14.1           |
| 1                                             | 67 (11.8)   | 5 (6.6)    | 7.5            |
| ≥2                                            | 27 (4.7)    | 4 (5.3)    | 14.8           |
| Refused/missing                               | 0       | 0          |                |
| HIV knowledge                                 |       |            |                |
| Correctly answered questions about HIV        |       |            |                |
| No                                            | 261 (45.8) | 50 (65.8) | 19.1           |
| Yes                                           | 309 (54.2) | 26 (34.2) | 8.4            |
| Refused/missing                               | 0       | 0          |                |
| Biological outcome                            |       |            |                |
| Syphilis                                      |       |            |                |
| Negative                                      | 477 (83.7) | 42 (55.3) | 8.8            |
| Positive                                      | 90 (15.8)   | 34 (44.7)  | 37.8           |
| Refused/missing                               | 3 (5.3%)   | 0          |                |

4. Discussion

This study revealed an alarming prevalence of HIV among MSM in Chengdu, substantially higher than that among other vulnerable groups, for example, injection drug users (3.9%) and female sex workers (0.8%) in Chengdu [27] and higher than the overall prevalence among MSM in a large national survey across 61 cities [6]. The HIV prevalence in this study is similar to that reported from South and Southeast Asia (range: 14% to 18%) [28]. In the last decade, HIV prevalence among MSM in Chengdu has progressively increased, which is consistent with the national trend of homosexual transmission [29]. A significant epidemiological change is that unprotected male-to-male sex replaced IDU as the predominant mode of transmission for HIV in this large metropolitan city. Our finding underscores the urgent need to strategically target intervention prevention efforts toward MSMs.

STIs have been associated with biological risk for HIV infection in MSM, notably syphilis and infection with herpes simplex virus type 2, and more recently anal infection with human papillomavirus [30]. High rates of undiagnosed and untreated syphilis are associated with the substantially higher rates of HIV infection [31]. In our study, syphilis prevalence is 15.9%, which was higher than that of the national survey [6]. After controlling for other risk factors, participants who were infected with syphilis were six times more likely to be infected by HIV. The finding of this study highlighted the needs for the treatment of STIs as one of the components of a comprehensive HIV prevention strategy.

The disproportionate HIV disease burden in MSM is explained largely by the high per-act and per-partner transmission probability of HIV transmission in receptive anal sex [28]. This study also shows that multiple male sex partners and receptive anal intercourse are associated with HIV infection. The participants in this study had an average of two male partners in the preceding 6 months. Of great concern, more than 5% of respondents reported having had at least ten male partners in the same time period. Besides, about two-thirds of participants engaged in IAI and 65.4% did not use condoms consistently. The finding of common unprotected receptive anal intercourse and multiple sex partners portend
Table 2: HIV/syphilis infection, HIV prevention services and male circumcision practices and preferences among men who have sex with men in Chengdu, China, 2009.

| Variables                                  | 𝑁 (%) | HIV (𝑁, %) | Prevalence (%) |
|--------------------------------------------|-------|------------|----------------|
| **Received HIV prevention services in the past year** |       |            |                |
| Free condom                                |       |            |                |
| No                                         | 282 (49.5) | 39 (51.3) | 13.8           |
| Yes                                        | 288 (50.5) | 37 (48.7) | 12.8           |
| Refused/missing                            | 0     | 0          |                |
| Free lubricants                            |       |            |                |
| No                                         | 307 (53.4) | 39 (51.3) | 12.7           |
| Yes                                        | 263 (46.1) | 37 (48.7) | 14.1           |
| Refused/missing                            | 0     | 0          |                |
| Peer education                             |       |            |                |
| No                                         | 239 (41.9) | 43 (56.6) | 18.0           |
| Yes                                        | 331 (58.1) | 33 (43.4) | 10.0           |
| Refused/missing                            | 0     | 0          |                |
| STD test or treatment                      |       |            |                |
| No                                         | 412 (72.3) | 62 (81.6) | 15.0           |
| Yes                                        | 158 (27.7) | 14 (18.4) | 8.8            |
| Refused/missing                            | 0     | 0          |                |
| HIV counseling or testing                  |       |            |                |
| No                                         | 303 (53.2) | 51 (67.1) | 16.8           |
| Yes                                        | 267 (46.8) | 25 (32.9) | 9.4            |
| Refused/missing                            | 0     | 0          |                |
| HIV/AIDS knowledge booklet                 |       |            |                |
| No                                         | 248 (43.5) | 45 (59.2) | 18.1           |
| Yes                                        | 322 (56.5) | 31 (40.8) | 9.6            |
| Refused/missing                            | 0     | 0          |                |
| Male circumcision                          |       |            |                |
| Been circumcised                           |       |            |                |
| No                                         | 504 (88.4) | 67 (88.2) | 13.3           |
| Yes                                        | 66 (11.6) | 9 (11.8)  | 13.6           |
| Refused/missing                            | 0     | 0          |                |
| Genital examination results                |       |            |                |
| Be circumcised                             | 66 (11.6) | 9 (11.8)  | 13.6           |
| Phimosis                                   | 6 (1.1)  | 2 (2.6)   | 33.3           |
| Redundant foreskin                         | 164 (28.8) | 19 (25.0) | 11.6           |
| Paraphimosis                               | 66 (11.6) | 7 (9.2)   | 10.6           |
| Normal                                     | 268 (47.0) | 39 (51.3) | 14.6           |
| Refused/missing                            | 0     | 0          |                |

the high risk of continuous rapid expansion of the HIV epidemic among the MSM community in Chengdu.

In our study, the coverage of a single intervention was about 28% to 58%, which were much less than 60–80% needed to have an effect on the HIV epidemic [32]. Multiple logistic regression result showed that those who received the peer education in the last year had lower chances to infect HIV. The significant relationship between peer education and HIV intervention may be contributed to the relative high coverage of peer education service. This finding underscored the need for scaling up the intervention effort.

The study was the first in Sichuan to assess the frequency of male circumcision and its association with HIV infection among MSM. One-fifth of participants reported having been circumcised, which was similar to the finding in Beijing, China [33] and much lower than the male circumcision prevalence (79%) in US [34]. When comparing self-reported and genital examination, the study found that participant can accurately report their circumcision status, which was consistent with an Australia’s study [35]. Over half uncircumcised respondents in our study indicated that they would be willing to be circumcised, which was similar to
Table 3: Risk factors associated with HIV infection among MSM in Chengdu, China, 2009.

| Factors                                      | Univariate       | Multivariate     |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                                              | OR (95%CI)       | P-value          | AOR (95%CI)      | P value      |
| Highest education (versus Junior high)       |                  |                  |                  |
| Senior high                                 | 0.7 (0.3–1.3)    | 0.2132           | 0.9 (0.4–1.9)    | 0.7197       |
| College or higher                           | 0.3 (0.2–0.6)    | 0.0001           | 0.4 (0.2–0.9)    | 0.0295       |
| MSM anal sex (versus exclusively insertive)  |                  |                  |                  |
| Predominantly insertive                     | 2.1 (1.0–4.5)    | 0.0461           | 1.5 (0.6–3.5)    | 0.3663       |
| Exclusively receptive                       | 3.1 (1.5–6.6)    | 0.003            | 2.9 (1.2–6.9)    | 0.0196       |
| Predominantly receptive                     | 3.2 (1.6–6.8)    | 0.0017           | 3.9 (1.6–9.3)    | 0.0023       |
| Number of male sex partners in the past 6 months (versus 1) |      |                  |                  |
| 2–9                                         | 4.3 (1.0–18.3)   | 0.0486           | 1.7 (0.8–3.5)    | 0.1328       |
| ≥10                                         | 12.3 (2.5–60.5)  | 0.002            | 6.2 (2.0–19.1)   | 0.0016       |
| Peer education (yes versus no)              | 0.5 (0.3–0.8)    | 0.006            | 0.5 (0.3–0.8)    | 0.028        |
| Syphilis (positive versus negative)         | 6.3 (3.7–10.7)   | <0.0001          | 6.9 (3.5–13.5)   | <0.0001      |

Note: Multivariable logistic regression analysis was applied; OR: odds ratio; 95%CI: confidence interval; AOR: adjusted odds ratio.

Table 4: Association between HIV, syphilis, and circumcision among MSM, Chengdu, China, 2009.

| Prevalence       | Total MSM (n = 520) | MSM engaged in EIAI or PIAI (N = 310) | MSM engaged in ERAI or PRAI (N = 210) |
|------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
|                  | Circumcised (%)     | Uncircumcised (%)                    | Circumcised (%)                        | Uncircumcised (%)                        | Circumcised (%)                        | Uncircumcised (%)                        | p            |
| HIV              | 13.6                | 13.3                                 | 15.8                                   | 9.6                                     | 0.237                                   | 13.6                                   | 20.7         | 0.434         |
| Syphilis         | 7.6                 | 7.5                                  | 5.3                                    | 16.7                                    | 0.046                                   | 13.6                                   | 17.6         | 0.656         |

Note: Chi Square test was applied; EIAI: exclusively insertive anal intercourse; PIAI: predominantly insertive anal intercourse; ERAI: exclusively receptive anal intercourse; PRAI: predominantly receptive anal intercourse; due to missing data, sample size may differ.

the reports in Beijing, China [33] and other countries [36]. The relative low prevalence of male circumcision and a high willingness to be circumcised could be the evidence of the feasibility to promote MC as an intervention component of the multifaceted intervention strategy among MSM in Chengdu.

This study did not demonstrate an independent association of male circumcision with HIV infection whether we restricted the sample to men who engage in RAI or IAI. This finding is consistent with the findings from a clinical trial among MSM [37]. In contrast, in this study, being circumcised was associated with a lower frequency of syphilis among MSM (especially among those who engaged in IAI), which is consistent with a prospective study to assess circumcision status and a broad range of STIs among MSM [21]. Circumcision is unlikely to reduce URAI risk but could partially protect against HIV for MSM practicing unprotected insertive anal intercourse (UIAI) [22]. Consistent with previous research, our study found an association between male circumcision and lower frequency of syphilis among MSM (especially among those who engaged in IAI). Further studies with more incident HIV infections and among men who are exclusively insertive partners will likely provide greater power to determine if circumcision is associated with lower rates of HIV infection among MSM who engage in insertive anal sex with HIV-infected partners among MSM in Chengdu.

This study has limitations. The study applied the snowball sampling method. Participants in the study may not be representative because of the biases associated with snowball sampling. However, compared with our previous study [10], the present study started from more seeds (eighteen) and had more diversity in demographic characteristics, especially with regard to age and education. With the exception of the clinical examination and HIV, syphilis serological testing, this study relied on self-reported data. Risk behaviors could be over- or underestimated due to social desirability regarding reporting. Additionally, the nature of the cross sectional design precluded the ascertainment of causality. This study did not find an independent association of circumcision with HIV infection, which may be caused by our use of prevalent cases.

In summary, this study demonstrated an alarming prevalence of HIV and syphilis among MSM in Chengdu. The common practice of unprotected receptive anal intercourse and multiple male sexual partnerships in our study population highlight the urgency for an effective comprehensive HIV prevention strategy, including the promotion of safer sex practices, treatment for STIs, the promotion of correct and consistent condom use, and the provision of HIV testing...
and antiretroviral therapy. Further research will shed more light on optimal ways and desirability of integrating male circumcision into a comprehensive prevention package to restrain the rapid expansion of the HIV epidemic among MSM in Chengdu, China.
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