In situ measurements of oxidation–reduction potential and hydrogen peroxide concentration as tools for revealing LPMO inactivation during enzymatic saccharification of cellulose
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Abstract

Background: Biochemical conversion of lignocellulosic biomass to simple sugars at commercial scale is hampered by the high cost of saccharifying enzymes. Lytic polysaccharide monooxygenases (LPMOs) may hold the key to overcome economic barriers. Recent studies have shown that controlled activation of LPMOs by a continuous H2O2 supply can boost saccharification yields, while overdosing H2O2 may lead to enzyme inactivation and reduce overall sugar yields. While following LPMO action by ex situ analysis of LPMO products confirms enzyme inactivation, currently no preventive measures are available to intervene before complete inactivation.

Results: Here, we carried out enzymatic saccharification of the model cellulose Avicel with an LPMO-containing enzyme preparation (Cellic CTec3) and H2O2 feed at 1 L bioreactor scale and followed the oxidation–reduction potential and H2O2 concentration in situ with corresponding electrode probes. The rate of oxidation of the reductant as well as the estimation of the amount of H2O2 consumed by LPMOs indicate that, in addition to oxidative depolymerization of cellulose, LPMOs consume H2O2 in a futile non-catalytic cycle, and that inactivation of LPMOs happens gradually and starts long before the accumulation of LPMO-generated oxidative products comes to a halt.

Conclusion: Our results indicate that, in this model system, the collapse of the LPMO-catalyzed reaction may be predicted by the rate of oxidation of the reductant, the accumulation of H2O2 in the reactor or, indirectly, by a clear increase in the oxidation–reduction potential. Being able to monitor the state of the LPMO activity in situ may help maximizing the benefit of LPMO action during saccharification. Overcoming enzyme inactivation could allow improving overall saccharification yields beyond the state of the art while lowering LPMO and, potentially, cellulase loads, both of which would have beneficial consequences on process economics.
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Background

During the past decade, the introduction of lytic polysaccharide monooxygenases, LPMOs, in cellulase mixtures led to a marked improvement in the efficiency of saccharification of pretreated biomass [1–3]. Through their oxidative mechanism of attacking the cellulose [4–8],
these enzymes provide a different mode of bond breakage than the hydrolases, i.e., the exo-acting cellobiohydrolases (CBH) and the endo-acting endoglucanases (EG). The mode of interaction between LPMOs and hydrolytic enzymes, including CBHs and EGs, has been studied by several groups (e.g., [9–12]), as reviewed recently by Østby et al. [13]. On the one hand, LPMOs, similarly to EGs, generate free chain ends upon endolytic cleavage of cellulose where CBHs can start their action and cleave off cellobiose units in a processive manner. A main difference between LPMOs and EGs is that EGs act on amorphous regions, whereas LPMOs can cleave crystalline cellulose [9]. On the other hand, oxidation at the generated reducing or non-reducing chain ends by LPMOs may positively or negatively affect substrate binding by CBHs. In addition to enzyme-specific synergistic effects, the synergism between LPMOs and cellulases may be substrate dependent, as shown by Tokin et al. [12]. From the point of biomass processing, the improved biomass accessibility by inclusion of LPMOs may come at a price of a somewhat decreased sugar yield as the action of LPMOs results in the formation of oxidized sugars (α-gluconic acid and/or 4-keto-d-glucose) upon oxidation of the terminal sugar at the newly generated chain ends. As an example, a study by Cannella et al. shows that up to 4% of the glucose solubilized from pretreated wheat straw by Cellic CTec2 ended up as α-gluconic acid [14]. Considering the importance of LPMOs for process efficiency and the possible “loss” of glucose due to oxidation, the fraction and action of LPMOs in cellulase mixtures need to be properly controlled to obtain optimal process performance. Another reason to do so relates to side reactions catalyzed by the LPMO that may damage the enzymes, as outlined below.

The oxidative action of LPMOs requires the presence of either O2 and stoichiometric amounts of reducing agent or lignin [4, 5] or H2O2 and priming amounts of reducing agent [6, 15, 16]. While the action of LPMOs, as shown by the formation of oxidized sugars and glucose by LPMO-containing cellulase cocktails, can be harnessed in bioreactors using both the O2-dependent [3, 14] and the H2O2-dependent [17] reaction mechanisms, the H2O2-dependent reaction mechanism has been shown to be more effective, both at laboratory scale [17–19] and recently also at demonstration scale [20] for lignin-poor biomass. The use of added H2O2 enables LPMO activity without the need for gas–liquid mass transfer of oxygen. This is potentially beneficial from a process perspective, since controlling the oxygen transfer rate will be more difficult than controlling the H2O2 addition. It should be noted that under aerobic conditions, H2O2 will be formed in situ from the reaction of O2 and externally supplied reductant [21, 22], and that O2 and H2O2 will be formed and consumed also through abiotic reactions with lignin [22, 23]. In order to understand the redox processes taking place in the reactor and to have control over LPMO reactions, abiotic redox reactions would need to be monitored closely during saccharification.

While in case of a lignin-poor feedstock, addition of H2O2 promotes enzymatic cellulose degradation by LPMOs, high levels of H2O2 can lead to oxidative damage of the LPMO active site and, consequently, may completely and irreversibly destroy the catalytic activity [6, 24]. For this reason, H2O2 cannot be added as a single pulse, but has to be gradually added during the process, in such a way that the dissolved H2O2 concentration in the reaction medium remains very low at all times [17]. There are indications in the literature that suitable addition rates will likely depend on factors such as the redox stability and catalytic performance of the LPMO, the substrate concentration, the extent of substrate binding and the availability of reductant [6, 25, 26]. It will, therefore, be difficult to set the rate of H2O2 feed a priori, but with some experimental work, acceptable feed profiles can be empirically determined (as exemplified in [17]). However, variations in raw material properties, enzyme activity or process conditions make this approach suboptimal and in the worst case even susceptible to failure. Methods for online monitoring of LPMO activity to safeguard the process from failure due to overfeeding of hydrogen peroxide are therefore desirable.

We have previously examined the suitability of an oxidation–reduction potential (ORP) redox electrode, which provides a “summarizing” signal about the redox state of the reaction, to monitor changes in LPMO activity during batch enzymatic saccharification of sulfite pretreated softwood pulp [27]. We found that under well-controlled laboratory-scale saccharification experiments with H2O2 feeding, there was an increase in the ORP signal at the time point when the accumulation of LPMO products came to a halt. The ORP is affected by several factors, but the observed changes in the ORP could correlate with the accumulation of dissolved H2O2 in the system, caused by decreased activity of LPMOs.

In the current study, we studied the correlation between observed changes in the ORP, dissolved H2O2 levels and the onset of LPMO inactivation. We carried out enzymatic saccharification of cellulose (Avicel) with the commercial cellulase preparation Cellic CTec3 under anaerobic conditions with different H2O2 feed rates using ascorbic acid as reductant. The actual dissolved H2O2 level was monitored using a small laboratory-scale H2O2 electrode, and also the ORP was measured using an electrode. These continuous signals were analyzed in relation to offline determined glucan conversion yields and oxidized sugar levels, which serve as good indicators of LPMO activity. Furthermore, we followed the oxidation
of the reductant (ascorbic acid) spectrophotometrically in order to be able to estimate hydrogen peroxide consumed in this reaction. The concomitant monitoring of these process parameters provided quantitative insight into LPAMO action and the fate of hydrogen peroxide during the cellulose degradation reaction.

Results and discussion

Glucan conversion

All glucan degradation experiments were conducted under anaerobic conditions to avoid confounding effects from dissolved oxygen on oxidation-reduction potential measurements. Enzymatic saccharification of Avicel (at 5%, w/w) with Cellic CTec3 was performed under anaerobic conditions either without H₂O₂ feeding or using a feed rate of 50, 100, 150 or 300 µmol h⁻¹. A pronounced effect of the H₂O₂ feeding was seen (Fig. 1), in agreement with previous studies [17]. The saccharification yield without H₂O₂ feed (0 µmol h⁻¹; black curve in Fig. 1) was significantly lower than the yields in all the experiments with H₂O₂ feed. When looking at the initial phase of the saccharification (up to 8 h), increasing the H₂O₂ feed from 50 to 300 µmol h⁻¹ increased the rate of saccharification. However, the saccharification rate rapidly decreased after the initial 8-h period at the higher H₂O₂ feed rates (150 and 300 µmol h⁻¹, red and green curves in Fig. 1, respectively). After 48 h, the highest glucan conversion level (60%) was reached with the lowest H₂O₂ feed rate (50 µmol h⁻¹). This yield is similar to what has been observed previously for Avicel saccharification at 10% (w/w) substrate loading, using similar (4 mg/g DM) Cellic CTec2 loading and a H₂O₂ feed rate of 90 µmol h⁻¹ [17].

Oxidation–reduction potential and H₂O₂ concentration

Oxidation–reduction potential (ORP) and H₂O₂ concentration were measured in situ during enzymatic saccharification as a possible indicator of changes in LPAMO activity. Without H₂O₂ feeding (and in the absence of oxygen), the ORP signal slightly decreased over time before stabilizing around 100 mV (Fig. 2a). The signal in this case agrees qualitatively well with measurement made in a previous work [27] on enzymatic saccharification of sulfite pretreated spruce wood, in which the naturally present SSL liquid served as a reductant, but the absolute value was higher in the present study due to a different medium background. Feeding of H₂O₂ increased the ORP, and higher feed rates led to an earlier and more rapid increase in the ORP (Fig. 2a).

Figure 2b shows the accumulation of H₂O₂ during the reactions. Without H₂O₂ feeding, the H₂O₂ concentration remained below the detection limit (not shown), whereas feeding of H₂O₂ led to an accumulation of H₂O₂. The accumulation was rather constant for the 50 µmol h⁻¹ feed rate. However, for feeding at 100 and 150 µmol h⁻¹, an initial slow accumulation of H₂O₂ accelerated substantially around 25 and 16 h, respectively. The acceleration in H₂O₂ accumulation occurred at the same time as the slow-down of glucan conversion in the case of the 150 µmol h⁻¹ feed rate (compare Figs. 1 and 2b). The slow-down of glucan conversion is likely due to auto-catalytic inactivation of the LPAMOs, which is expected to occur under these conditions [6, 17], and may also relate to a more general increase in oxidative damage of other enzymes in the cellulase cocktail [28], for example due to the formation of hydroxyl radicals through reactions of H₂O₂ with transition metals in the solution.

The ORP signal starts increasing before the rapid H₂O₂ accumulation takes place (see curves for 100 and 150 µmol h⁻¹ H₂O₂ in Fig. 2), indicating that the ORP could work as an early warning signal for H₂O₂ accumulation and potential LPAMO inactivation as suggested by Kadić et al. [27]. The ORP is typically a logarithmic function of concentration, and regression of the measurement data gave the correlation:

\[ \text{ORP} = -120.2 + 39.14 \ln[H₂O₂] \].

The quality of the fit (\(R²=0.87\)) indicates that, at least for a simple model system of enzymatic saccharification under anaerobic conditions, the ORP can be

![Fig. 1 Glucan to glucose conversion during enzymatic saccharification of Avicel in anaerobic conditions with H₂O₂ feeding. Reactions were initially supplied with 1000 µM ascorbic acid. Feed rates used: black, 0 µmol h⁻¹ H₂O₂; blue, 50 µmol h⁻¹ H₂O₂; red, 150 µmol h⁻¹ H₂O₂; green, 300 µmol h⁻¹ H₂O₂. Standard deviations calculated from duplicate experiments are indicated by bars, but in most cases the deviation was so small that this is not visible in the figure. An additional set of experiments was also made with a feeding rate of 100 µmol h⁻¹ H₂O₂, but glucan conversion was not measured in those experiments.](image-url)
used to estimate the H$_2$O$_2$ concentration in the reactor. Deviations were higher at low H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations (Fig. 3), likely because the relative contribution of other redox-active compounds to the ORP was larger. Using the ORP electrode is advantageous since this electrode is much more robust than the H$_2$O$_2$ electrode and can be used for more complex media and at higher substrate loadings.

**Ascorbic acid oxidation**

Ascorbic acid (AscA) is a well-known reductant, which has previously been shown to work well for priming LPMOs in H$_2$O$_2$-driven reactions [6] and to serve as a reductant in O$_2$-driven reactions [17, 29]. In the absence of H$_2$O$_2$ feeding, we did not observe a decrease in the ascorbic acid concentration (Fig. 4). In contrast, the AscA concentration decreased significantly during experiments with H$_2$O$_2$ feeding (Fig. 4), due to oxidation of AscA by H$_2$O$_2$ [30]. It was not possible to determine what fraction of AscA was consumed in priming the LPMO enzymes (reduction of the catalytic copper center from Cu$^{2+}$ to Cu$^{+}$) and what fraction was directly oxidized by the H$_2$O$_2$. However, the data clearly show that higher H$_2$O$_2$ feed rates led to a more rapid consumption of AscA (Fig. 4), especially after the time points at which H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations in the medium started increasing rapidly. The increase in AscA consumption rate after these time points thus seems correlated to increasing concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$ in the medium (compare Figs. 2b and 4b). In the experiments with high H$_2$O$_2$ feed rate (100 and
150 µmol h\(^{-1}\)), the highest AscA oxidation rates eventually approached the rate of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) feed (see Fig. 4b). The combination of this trend in the AscA oxidation rate with observations described above suggests that when \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) consumption by LPMO-catalyzed cellulose oxidation had stopped, a substantial fraction of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) was consumed for oxidizing AscA.

**\( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) accumulation and cessation of LPMO action**

The two primary reactions leading to consumption of the added \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) are the LPMO reaction and oxidation of the reductant. If these reactions do not occur, or are too slow relative to the feeding rate, \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) will accumulate in the medium. The initial rate of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) accumulation, calculated from the data shown in Fig. 2b, was low (Fig. 5a) but increased gradually during the experiments with \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) feed > 50 µmol h\(^{-1}\). Importantly, in the initial phase of the reaction, the rate of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) accumulation (Fig. 5a) and the rate of ascorbic acid oxidation (Fig. 4b) were both much lower that the \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) feed rate. This shows that most of the added \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) was used by the LPMOs. For all \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) feed rates, there was a gradual decrease in the \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) consumption rate attributed to LPMO activity sharply fell at the turning points, which coincided with a halt in LPMO activity, as shown below.

Despite the seemingly rapid accumulation of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) close to the turning point in the reactors with 100 and 150 µmol h\(^{-1}\) \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) feed rates, the now relatively high accumulation rate of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) was still low in comparison to its feed rate. The observed rates of 10 and 15 µM h\(^{-1}\), for the reactions with 100 and 150 µmol h\(^{-1}\), respectively, correspond to merely 10% of the \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) feed rates.

Next, we calculated the proportion of the \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) feed that neither remained unreacted in the reactor nor was consumed by oxidation of ascorbic acid (assuming a 1:1 stoichiometry in the ascorbic acid oxidation reaction). The resulting calculated rate of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) conversion may be attributed to the LPMO-catalyzed reaction, and we therefore refer to it as predicted “\( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) consumption rate by LPMOs” in Fig. 5b. Initially this rate was almost equal to the \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) feed rate, further supporting the notion that most of the added \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) was used by the LPMOs. For all \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) feed rates, there was a gradual decrease in the \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) consumption rate attributed to LPMOs, with a corresponding increase in AscA consumption (Figs. 4b and 5b). Possible causes for this gradual decrease are discussed below. In the experiments with \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) feed rates of 100 and 150 µmol h\(^{-1}\), the \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) consumption rate attributed to LPMO activity sharply fell at the turning points, which coincided with a halt in LPMO activity, as shown below.

To assess if the proportion of consumed \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) that was not used to oxidize ascorbic acid can be correlated
quantitatively with LPMO activity, we quantified C4-oxidized sugars in the reactor setups with different H$_2$O$_2$ feed rates (Fig. 6). As discussed previously [17], the main detected products from LPMO activity in the Cellic products were the C4-oxidized dimer during the reactions. Quantification of this compound is prone to errors, in part due to compound instability, but it is possible to obtain reasonable estimates (see [17] for a detailed discussion).

As expected, no oxidized sugars were found in the absence of H$_2$O$_2$ addition. For the other reactions, there was a clear correlation between feed rates and production of oxidized sugars: the more H$_2$O$_2$ was added, the higher the levels of oxidized sugar were. This is similar to what has been observed before [17], where also high feed rates eventually resulted in a halt in accumulation of oxidized sugars, followed by a decline in concentration. This decline in the concentration of the C4-oxidized dimer is due to the instability of this compound, and this only becomes visible when LPMO activity ceases. These peaks in oxidized sugar levels (Fig. 6) happened at the same time as glucan solubilization became slower (Fig. 1), H$_2$O$_2$ started accumulating rapidly (Fig. 2b) and the oxidation of AscA rapidly increased (Fig. 4b). This loss of LPMO activity is also clearly illustrated in the calculated (predicted) “H$_2$O$_2$ consumption rate by LPMOs”, which drops (Fig. 5b) as the level of oxidized sugars peaks (Fig. 6).

Given the precision of the measurements, the recovery of total added H$_2$O$_2$ in terms of generated oxidized sugars, oxidized ascorbic acid and remaining H$_2$O$_2$ in the medium (Fig. 7) closed reasonably well up to the turning point for the reactions with 100 and 150 µmol h$^{-1}$.
in a futile reaction with $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ that generates reactive oxygen species, most likely hydroxyl radicals, that damage amino acids in the enzyme catalytic center and thus lead to enzyme inactivation [6, 16, 26]. Furthermore, re-oxidation of the LPMO would lead to consumption of AscA (which, indeed, also gradually increases; Fig. 4b), since AscA will be used in re-reduction of the LPMO. Of note, the gradual decrease in the $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ consumption rate attributed to LPMOs in the initial phase of the reaction (Fig. 5b) was not accompanied by a detectable decrease in LPMO activity (Fig. 6). Together, these observations may be taken to indicate that only a part of the LPMOs were needed to convert the available $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ to oxidized cellulose, while the other fraction of LPMOs was involved in futile cycles of oxidation and reduction, which would be accompanied by slow autocatalytic inactivation. This inactivation is likely masked by the high catalytic activity of remaining active LPMOs until the point when the amount of active LPMOs becomes limiting in the reaction. Apparent LPMO catalytic rates observed in cellulose degradation reactions are average rates and may thus reflect an average of a mixture of inactive and active LPMOs. Indeed, apparent reaction rates of LPMOs deduced from cellulose degradation reactions similar to those described here [17], were orders of magnitude lower than the maximum catalytic rates found for pure LPMOs in proper enzyme kinetics studies [18, 19, 25]. This supports the notion that in these $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$-limited reactions, only a fraction of the LPMOs is needed to utilize the available co-substrate.

Looking at the cellulose saccharification curves of Fig. 1 and the LPMO activity curves of Fig. 6, it is clear that there is a correlation between the two. It remains to be determined whether the correlation between the cessation of LPMO activity and reduced glucan conversion is solely due to reduced LPMO activity or whether less specific $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$-dependent oxidative damage to other members of the cellulolytic enzyme cocktail also plays a role. The latter processes will become more likely as the LPMO becomes inactivated, since this will lead to increased $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ levels in the solution and perhaps increased levels of (previously LPMO-bound) free copper ions. No matter these uncertainties, it seems clear that good control of the LPMO reaction is required to achieve optimal processes. An ideal reaction setup would allow in situ monitoring of a diagnostic signal that could predict LPMO inactivation before the reaction collapses (the turning point). Based on our data, warning signs could be an accelerating increase in the ORP signal (Fig. 2a), a radical decrease in the reductant concentration (Fig. 4a) or an increase of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ concentration in the reaction mixture (Fig. 2b).
An interesting observation is that the turning point observed in the reactions described above appears to occur after approximately the same amount of hydrogen peroxide had been added [about 2500 µmol; the turning point was estimated from the oxidized sugar maximum in the case of 300 µmol h⁻¹ (about 8 h) and the measured H₂O₂ concentrations as well as the oxidized sugar maxima in the case of 100 and 150 µmol h⁻¹ (16 and 26 h, respectively)]. This observation may indicate that, under the present reaction conditions, the LPMOs have a limited lifespan in terms of the total number of turnovers which could also relate to the substrate being limiting. With respect to the latter, it is worth noting that higher maximum levels of oxidized sugars were obtained in previously described reactions, done under similar conditions, but with twice the amount of substrate [17]. In both cases, the maximum levels of oxidized sugars correspond to oxidation of some 1% of the glucose. Considering a possible limitation in turnover numbers, and, more generally, considering when during the reaction cellulases would benefit most from LPMO action, the question arises at what time during the saccharification reaction should the turnover capacity of the LPMOs be spent? Clearly, in this study it was beneficial to maintain LPMO activity throughout the saccharification. However, the control problem is a dynamic optimization problem, and a simple set-point control may in fact be the wrong strategy. Previous work (in aerobic conditions) has shown that it can be beneficial to start the H₂O₂ feed at a later stage in the saccharification and “save” the LPMO action to when it is more needed [27]. It is thus likely, that there is still a substantial potential to make even better use of the LPMOs in an enzyme cocktail by a smarter design of the hydrogen peroxide feed. Measurement of the ORP will be a useful online tool to diagnose LPMO activity.

Conclusions
In this work, we have for the first time demonstrated by measurements in situ that the concentration of H₂O₂ remains below a few µM during anaerobic enzymatic saccharification of cellulose with H₂O₂ feeding for as long as the LPMOs are active. The oxidation–reduction potential qualitatively correlated with the measured H₂O₂ concentration and the decreased LPMO activity and proved its tentative usefulness as an online tool for feeding optimization in LPMO-assisted enzymatic saccharification.

While these results are promising and provide fundamental insight into the LPMO reaction, and its interplay with cellulases during cellulose conversion, further work is needed to see if and how one can monitor and optimize LPMO action in reactions with less well-defined, lignin-rich substrates. The abundance of redox-active compounds in such reactions will lead to a multitude of (possible) side reactions that likely cannot be monitored or controlled using the approaches described above [17, 22, 31]. In fact, so far, saccharification of lignin-rich substrates with controlled supply of external H₂O₂ has not been particularly successful [17, 32]. The present results suggest that improved harnessing of the potential of LPMOs should be possible also for this type of substrates.

Materials and methods
Enzymatic saccharification in a bioreactor
Saccharification experiments were carried out in a 3-L bioreactor (Biostat A Plus, Sartorius, Melsungen, Germany) with 1-L working volume containing Avicel PH-101 (Sigma Aldrich) (5%, w/v DM), ascorbic acid (1 mM) and Cellic CTec3 (0.04 g of enzyme solution/g DM Avicel) in Na-citrate buffer (50 mM at pH 5.0). Mixing was provided by a pitched-blade impeller (3 blades, 70 mm diameter) stirring at 50 rpm. The temperature was controlled at 50 °C. Anaerobic conditions were maintained by sparging the working volume before enzyme addition with 1 L/min N₂ gas (stirring at 300 rpm) until the ORP reached a constant value. At this point the sparging was stopped and the flushing of the headspace with 1 L/min N₂ gas was started. After enzyme addition, the flushing of the headspace was continued for the rest of the saccharification. Aqueous solutions of H₂O₂ were prepared from 30% hydrogen peroxide (EMSURE®; Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) at the appropriate concentrations to give a molar feed rate of 0, 50, 100, 150 or 300 µmol h⁻¹, respectively, at a volumetric feed rate of 0.5 mL h⁻¹. The H₂O₂ solution was fed with a submerged needle using a Watson-Marlow 120 peristaltic pump (Watson-Marlow, Falmouth, Cornwall, England). The feed was started 30 min after enzyme addition (t=0.5 h). Duplicate experiments were made.

In situ ORP measurement
The oxidation–reduction potential (ORP) in the bioreactor was measured with a combination redox electrode (Pt4805-DPAS-SC-K8S/200, Mettler-Toledo, Greifensee, Switzerland) consisting of a Pt working electrode and an Ag/AgCl/KCl equivalent reference electrode. The redox electrode was connected to a transmitter (Multi-parameter Transmitter M300, Mettler-Toledo, Greifensee, Switzerland) that provided the voltage reading. The ORP is shown relative to the reference electrode. The ORP relative to the standard hydrogen electrode (SHE) can be calculated according to: \[ \text{ORP}_{\text{SHE}} = \text{ORP} + 187.6 \text{ mV} \].

In situ H₂O₂ measurement
The H₂O₂ concentration in the bioreactor was measured using a sensor (ISO-HPO-2, World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL, USA) and a measurement
Analysis of oxidized sugars
Native and oxidized cello-oligosaccharides were analyzed using high-performance anion exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection (HPAEC-PAD) on a Dionex ICS-5000 system equipped with a CarboPac PA200 analytical column (3 x 250 mm) and a CarboPac PA200 guard column (3 x 50 mm), operated with 0.1 M NaOH (A) and 0.1 M NaOH with 1 M Na-acetate (B) as eluents and a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. LPMO products were eluted using a stepwise 26-min gradient, increasing the Na-acetate concentration from 0 to 0.055 M Na-acetate linearly in 3 min, from 0.055 to 0.15 M Na-acetate logarithmically (using type 4 convex gradient) in 6 min, and from 0.15 to 1 M Na-acetate exponentially (using type 8 concave gradient) in 11 min, then the Na-acetate concentration was decreased from 1 to 0 M Na-acetate linearly in 0.1 min and maintained at 0 M for 5.9 min to recondition the column before injecting the next sample. Chromatograms were recorded and analyzed with Chromleon version 7.2.9 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). C4-oxidized dimers (Glc4gemGlc) were quantified as described previously by Müller et al. [3].

Analysis of ascorbic acid
Samples of the saccharification liquid in 2-mL Eppendorf tubes were separated by centrifugation at 14,850 × g for 1 min. The supernatants were filtered through 0.2-μm filters and stored (< 15 min) in the dark on ice. AscA was measured spectrophotometrically at 265 nm (Helios γ, Unicam, Cambridge, UK) using a linear standard for quantification (5 to 100 µM). A solution containing 2.25 g enzyme solution per L of 50 mM Na-citrate buffer (pH 5.0) was used as a blank for the samples taken from the reactor. Trendlines for the concentrations of ascorbic acid (Fig. 4a) were obtained from a fitted kinetic expression assuming a first-order reaction for the rate of ascorbic acid oxidation with respect to both hydrogen peroxide and ascorbic acid, i.e., \(-\frac{d[Asc]}{dt} = k[Asc][H_2O_2]\). Measured concentrations of ascorbic acid from samples and online hydrogen peroxide measurements were used in the parameter fitting. The rates shown in Fig. 4b were calculated from a piecewise discretization of the trendlines, using discrete steps of a few hours.
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