Presynaptic MAST kinase controls opposing postsynaptic responses to convey stimulus valence in Caenorhabditis elegans
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Presynaptic plasticity is known to modulate the strength of synaptic transmission. However, it remains unknown whether regulation in presynaptic neurons can evoke excitatory and inhibitory postsynaptic responses. We report here that the Caenorhabditis elegans homologs of MAST kinase, Statomin, and Diacylglycerol kinase act in a thermosensory neuron to elicit in its postsynaptic neuron an excitatory or inhibitory response that correlates with the valence of thermal stimuli. By monitoring neural activity of the valence-coding interneuron in freely behaving animals, we show that the alteration between excitatory and inhibitory responses of the interneuron is mediated by controlling the balance of two opposing signals released from the presynaptic neuron. These alternative transmissions further generate opposing behavioral outputs necessary for the navigation on thermal gradients. Our findings suggest that valence-encoding interneuronal activity is determined by a presynaptic mechanism whereby MAST kinase, Statomin, and Diacylglycerol kinase influence presynaptic outputs.

Significance

Animals need to quickly extract the valence information of sensory stimulus and assess whether the stimulus is attractive or aversive. Deciphering the molecular and circuit mechanisms that determine the stimulus valence is fundamental to understand how the nervous system generates the animal behavior. Here we report that the AFD thermosensory neurons of C. elegans evoke in its postsynaptic AIY interneurons opposing neuronal responses that correlate with the valence of thermal stimuli. The C. elegans homologs of MAST kinase, Statomin, and Diacylglycerol kinase function in AFD and regulate the opposing AIY responses. Our results further suggest that the alteration between excitatory and inhibitory AIY responses is mediated by controlling the balance of two opposing signals released from the AFD neurons.
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and environment to represent stimulus valence. However, little is known about the molecular mechanism and circuit logic for such modulation of valence-coding activity.

The compact nervous system of *C. elegans* consisting of only 302 neurons provides an excellent opportunity to explore these questions (15). *C. elegans* exhibits thermotaxis (TTX) behavior (16), in which the valence of thermal information varies depending on the past experience, current temperature environment, and feeding states. Specifically, the temperature preference of *C. elegans* is plastic and determined by the cultivation temperature, in which animals that are cultivated at a constant temperature with food migrate toward that cultivation temperature on a thermal gradient without food (16). When animals were placed at the temperature below the cultivation temperature, they migrate up the thermal gradient, while above the cultivation temperature they move down the gradient, indicating that the valence associated with thermal stimuli alternates in opposing manners depending on the current temperature.

Previous studies identified neurons involved in TTX (17–19). Of those neurons, the AFD thermosensory neurons are essential for TTX and are required for migrating up and down the thermal gradient to reach the cultivation temperature (18, 20). Calcium imaging analyses revealed that the AFD neuron displayed increases in calcium concentration upon warming phases of a temperature ramp both below and above the cultivation temperature (21–27). However, it remains to be determined how the AFD neuronal activities with similar calcium dynamics below and above the cultivation temperature are transformed to encode appropriate valence of temperature information and the consequent manifestation of opposing behavioral regulations.

Here we report that the AFD neuron evokes opposing neuronal responses in its postsynaptic partner AYI interneuron below or above the cultivation temperature: the activation of AFD neuron stimulates the AYI neuron below the cultivation temperature, while it inhibits AYI above that temperature. We identified molecular components important for this process and showed that this alteration of the AFD–AYI communication is regulated by presynaptic actions of the *C. elegans* homologs of MAST (Microtubule-Associated Serine-Threonine) kinase (28), Stomatin (29), and Diacylglycerol kinase (30, 31). Our results further suggest that the alteration of the AFD–AYI synaptic transmission is mediated by the balance of two opposing signals released from the AFD neuron, an excitatory peptidergic signaling and an inhibitory glutamatergic signaling. A high-throughput behavioral analysis suggested that MAST kinase, Stomatin, and Diacylglycerol kinase regulate opposing behavioral biases in the steering direction of animal locomotion to warmer or colder side of the temperature gradient, thereby driving the animals toward the cultivation temperature. Our results suggest that the valence-coding neuronal activity is regulated by presynaptic mechanism whereby the evolutionarily conserved MAST kinase, Stomatin, and Diacylglycerol kinase control the presynaptic release of opposing signaling molecules.

**Results**

*kdr-4, mec-2, and dkg-1 Regulate the *C. elegans* TTX Behavior.* To elucidate the molecular and neural mechanisms underlying the valence coding of thermal stimuli during *C. elegans* TTX behavior, we conducted forward genetic screens and sought mutants that displayed abnormal TTX behavior. We found that mutations in three genes, *kin-4*, *dkg-1*, and *mec-2*, affected this behavior (Fig. 1). While the wild-type animals that had been cultivated at 20 °C preferred to stay around the cultivation temperature, loss-of-function mutants of *kin-4*, which encodes the *C. elegans* ortholog of the mammalian MAST kinase, displayed a cryophilic phenotype and migrated toward a colder temperature region than the wild-type animals (Fig. 1B and SI Appendix, Fig. S1A). This defect was rescued by introduction of a *kin-4* genomic clone (Fig. 1C), indicating that *kin-4* is required for TTX. Animals carrying mutations in the gene *dkg-1*, which encodes a homolog of Diacylglycerol kinase θ (30, 31), also preferred to migrate toward a colder temperature region (32) (Fig. 1B and SI Appendix, Fig. S1A). The cryophilic phenotype of *dkg-1(lq274)* mutants was rescued by introduction of a *dkg-1* genomic clone (SI Appendix, Fig. S1B), indicating that *dkg-1* is required for TTX. We also isolated a mutation in *mec-2*, which encodes a *C. elegans* homolog of Stomatin (29), *mec-2(nj89)* animals harbored a mutation that is predicted to alter the glutamic acid 270 to a lysine (E270K; SI Appendix, Fig. S1A) and displayed a thermophilic phenotype (Fig. 1B). Introduction of a mutant *mec-2(E270K)* clone into the wild-type animals phenocopied *mec-2(nj89)* mutants, while introduction of a wild-type *mec-2* clone into *mec-2(nj89)* mutants did not rescue the thermophilic defect (Fig. 1D). We also generated a null allele of *mec-2(nj251A)* (SI Appendix, Fig. S1A) and found that *mec-2* null mutants were grossly normal in TTX (SI Appendix, Fig. S1C), suggesting that some of the nine additional Stomatin genes encoded by the *C. elegans* genome could compensate the deficit of *mec-2*. These results indicate that *mec-2(nj89)* is a gain-of-function mutation and causes a thermophilic phenotype. To address the nature of the gain of function, we injected into the wild-type animals a wild-type genomic *mec-2* transgene at different concentrations and observed that introduction of the *mec-2(+)* transgene at a higher dose conferred a thermophilic phenotype (SI Appendix, Fig. S1D). These results indicate that the gain of the wild-type activity of *mec-2* can cause a thermophilic phenotype and suggest that *mec-2(nj89gf)* is likely a hypermorphic or altered-function mutation.

To address genetic interactions among these genes, we analyzed TTX behaviors of double mutants. Animals carrying mutations in both *kin-4* and *mec-2* showed a thermophilic phenotype similar to that of *mec-2* single mutants (Fig. 1E), suggesting that *mec-2* acts downstream of or in parallel to *kin-4*. Similarly, *dkg-1* mutations partially suppressed the thermophilic phenotype conferred by *mec-2(nj89)* mutation (Fig. 1F), suggesting that *dkg-1* acts downstream of or in parallel to *mec-2*. We hereafter focused on *kin-4(tm1049A), mec-2(nj89gf)*, and *dkg-1(nj274A)* mutants for further analyses.

**kin-4, mec-2, and dkg-1 Function in the AFD Thermosensory Neuron to Regulate TTX.** To ask where *kin-4* and *mec-2* are expressed, we conducted expression analysis. We generated a functional *kin-4::gfp* translational transgene capable of rescuing the cryophilic phenotype of *kin-4* mutants (SI Appendix, Fig. S2A). This transgene was broadly expressed in the nervous system (31). These results indicate that the gain of *mec-2* can cause a thermophilic phenotype and suggest that *mec-2(nj89gf)* is likely a hypermorphic or altered-function mutation.

To identify the neuron(s) in which *kin-4*, *mec-2*, and *dkg-1* act to regulate TTX, we attempted to express each of these genes in single neurons and assessed their effects on the TTX behavior. Expression of a *kin-4* cDNA in AFD rescued the cryophilic phenotype of *kin-4* mutants, whereas expression in AWC, AYI, AIZ, or RIA did not (Fig. 2C), indicating that *kin-4* functions in AFD to regulate TTX. When mutant *mec-2(2E70K)* was expressed in AFD, it phenocopied *mec-2(nj89gf)* mutants, while expression in AWC did not (Fig. 2D). Expression of a *dkg-1*
cDNA in AFD but not in AWC partially rescued the cryophilic phenotype of dgk-1 mutants, but the dgk-1 mutants expressing dgk-1 only in AFD distributed rather evenly on the temperature gradient, as evidenced by their high value of TTX deviation (Fig. 2E). We observed that simultaneous expression of dgk-1 in both AFD and AWC fully rescued the dgk-1 mutant phenotype. These results indicate that kin-4, mec-2, and dgk-1 function in AFD to regulate TTX.

**kin-4, mec-2, and dgk-1 Act Downstream of Calcium Influx in AFD.** To assess whether kin-4, mec-2, and dgk-1 regulate temperature-evoked activity of the AFD thermosensory neuron, we conducted calcium imaging of AFD. We immobilized animals expressing the GCaMP3 calcium indicator in AFD and subjected the animals to a warming stimulus. Consistent with previous reports (21–27), we observed that the AFD neuron showed increases in calcium concentration upon warming stimuli both below and above the cultivation temperature (SI Appendix, Fig. S3 A and B), and this response required three guanylate cyclase genes, gcy-8, gcy-18, and gcy-23, exclusively expressed in the AFD neurons (23, 25, 34) (SI Appendix, Fig. S3 B). The temperature-evoked calcium responses of AFD in kin-4, mec-2, and dgk-1 mutants were almost indistinguishable from that of the wild-type animals (SI Appendix, Fig. S3 B–D). These results suggest that kin-4, mec-2, and dgk-1 regulate a process downstream of the calcium influx in AFD.

![Fig. 1. kin-4, mec-2, and dgk-1 regulate the C. elegans TTX behavior. (A) Procedure of TTX assay is shown. Animals were cultivated at 20 °C and were placed on the center of a thermal gradient ranging from 17 °C to 23 °C. Each assay typically contains 100 to 200 animals. Distribution of the animals in each section of the assay plate was determined. We also use TTX index and TTX deviation to quantify the behavior (71). The formula of TTX index and TTX deviation are shown. (B–F) TTX behavior of the wild-type, kin-4, mec-2, and dgk-1 mutant animals. Distributions of the animals in each section of the assay plate (Top) are shown as means ± SEM. TTX indices (Bottom) are shown as dots. Lines indicate the means. n represents the number of independent experiments. P values were determined by Kruskal–Wallis test with Steel method for comparison to the wild-type animals in B, one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s test for comparison to kin-4(tm1049Δ) mutants in C, two-tailed Student’s t tests in D, and one-way ANOVA with Tukey–Kramer test in E and F.](image-url)
Valence of Thermal Information Is Encoded by Opposing AIY Response.

To ask whether \textit{kin-4}, \textit{mec-2}, and \textit{dgk-1} regulate the activity of the AIY interneuron, the sole chemical postsynaptic partner of AFD (15), we monitored calcium dynamics of both AFD and AIY. We generated the animals expressing the YCX1.6 yellow cameleon ratiometric calcium indicator in both AFD and AIY and subjected them to warming stimuli while they were freely moving under the microscope with an automated tracking system (Fig. 3A). We first subjected animals to a warming stimulus below the cultivation temperature, in which the temperature was increasing toward the cultivation temperature (Fig. 3B). When the wild-type animals were exposed to this warming stimulus, the AFD neuron showed an increase in calcium concentration with its peak around 17 to 19 s after the thermal stimulus was applied, and this trend of the AFD responses was evident in almost all of the recording (Fig. 3C). By contrast, the responses of the AIY neuron were probabilistic, with some responses appearing to be excitatory while the others were inhibitory (Fig. 3C). We quantified the area under the curve of the AIY responses to categorize the AIY response and found that more than half of the AIY responses were categorized as excitatory under this condition (SI Appendix, Fig. S4A). When we subjected the animals to a warming stimulus above the cultivation temperature, where the temperature was increasing away from the cultivation temperature (Fig. 3D), the AIY neuron primarily showed a decrease in calcium concentration, despite the consistent trend of increase in the AFD calcium level (Fig. 3F) and
Fig. 3. The opposing AIY response encodes stimulus valence and is regulated by kin-4, mec-2, and dgk-1. Calcium imaging of AFD and AIY neurons in freely moving animals. (A) Neurons imaged are shown (Left). A representative image of the tracking experiment (YFP channel) is shown (Middle). Cell bodies of AFD and AIY are indicated. A magnified view of the head region of the animal is shown (Right). Regions from which AFD and AIY fluorescence signals were measured are indicated by blue and red circles, respectively. (B–D) Calcium imaging below the cultivation temperature. (E–G) Calcium imaging above the cultivation temperature. (B and E) The temperature program used. (C and F) Heat maps representing standardized ratio changes of AFD (Top) and AIY (Bottom) calcium dynamics. Each row indicates the response observed each recording. Time 0 corresponds to the onset of the warming stimulus. Standardized ratio change is represented in the color bar to the right. n indicates the number of trials. N indicates the number of animals observed. (D and G) Comparisons of AIY activities during 17 to 19 s (D) or 8 to 10 s (G) after the thermal stimulus was applied. The area under the curve of AIY standardized ratio change was calculated for each animal. Individual data points are shown as dots. Boxes display the first and third quartiles, lines inside the boxes are the medians, and the whiskers extend to 1.5-time interquartile range from the box. P values were determined by Kruskal–Wallis test with Steel method to compare to the wild-type animals.
SI Appendix, Fig. S4A). We also conducted cross-correlation analysis of AFD and AYI activity (SI Appendix, Fig. S4B) and observed that the median value of AYI–AFD cross correlation peaks at the lag of 5.5 s for excitatory responses below the cultivation temperature, suggesting that the excitatory AYI response followed the AFD response, whereas the median value for inhibitory responses above the cultivation temperature peaks at ∼0.5 s. Together, these results indicate that the probabilistic nature of opposing AYI responses correlates with the valences of thermal stimuli, with temperature increase toward the cultivation temperature evoking excitatory response and temperature increase away from the cultivation temperature inhibitory response.

A recent study reported that the difference in the AYI calcium responses below or above the cultivation temperature was represented by a difference in the fraction of animals in which AYI showed an increase in calcium concentration upon warming (35). Two major differences in the experimental conditions might account for the differences in the observed AYI responses: one is that the different calcium indicators were used (GCaMP3 in the previous study and YCXL1.6 in this study), and the other is whether the animals were immobilized or allowed to freely move. To address these possibilities, we used the same wild-type strain expressing the YCXL1.6 calcium indicator and conducted calcium imaging under immobilized conditions (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 C and D). When the animals were subjected to warming stimuli below or above the cultivation temperature, the majority of the AYI responses appeared to be excitatory (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 A, C, and D), and we did not observe increases in the fraction of inhibitory responses above the cultivation temperature. These results suggest that whether animals are immobilized or not could influence the AYI activity. The precise reasons that caused the difference between immobilized and freely moving condition remain to be determined. A previous study showed that impeded motor execution by either pharmacological or physical method leads to prolongation of high-activity phases of reversal-promoting neurons (36). Since the AYI activity is low during reversal phase (37, 38), we speculate that immobilization of animals by certain methods, including ours, induces prolonged reversal state in the nervous system and reduces the AYI activity, which might mask the effect of inhibitory input from the temperature stimulus. We also note that the immobilization methods used in this study and the previous study (35) are different (beads in this study and chemical anesthetic in the previous study).

Kin-4, mec-2, and dgk-1 Regulate Opposing AYI Responses. We next examined the AYI responses in kin-4, dgk-1, and mec-2 mutants under freely moving conditions. The AYI neuron in kin-4 mutants predominantly exhibited a decrease in calcium concentration even below the cultivation temperature, the condition in which the wild-type AYI neuron would primarily respond by increasing its calcium level (Fig. 3 C and D and SI Appendix, Fig. S5). Consistent with the calcium imaging analysis of AFD in immobilized animals (SI Appendix, Fig. S3), the AFD neuron of kin-4 mutants showed increases in calcium concentration both below and above the cultivation temperature (Fig. 3 C and F). The defect in the AYI response of kin-4 mutants was partially rescued by expression of a kin-4 cDNA solely in the AFD neuron (SI Appendix, Fig. S6 A–C), indicating that the AYI calcium response is indeed modulated by its presynaptic partner AFD. A similar response profile was also observed in the cryptic dhgk-1 mutants: the AYI calcium level dropped upon warming stimuli both below and above the cultivation temperature in most of the trials, while the AFD neuron responded to the warming stimuli by increasing the calcium concentration (Fig. 3 C, D, F, and G and SI Appendix, Fig. S5). This defect in the AYI response of dgk-1 mutants was fully rescued when a dgk-1 cDNA was expressed either only in AFD or simultaneously in AFD and AWC (SI Appendix, Fig. S6 B and D). Given that the TTX defect of dgk-1 was fully rescued only when dgk-1 was expressed simultaneously in AFD and AWC (Fig. 2E), these observations suggest that the function of dgk-1 in AWC might be mediated through neurons other than AYI. We also observed that in the thermophilic mec-2 mutants, the majority of their AYI responses did not show clear decreases in calcium concentration even above the cultivation temperature (Fig. 3 F and G and SI Appendix, Fig. S5). Similarly to the wild type, the AFD neurons in mec-2 mutants showed increases in calcium concentration under both conditions tested (Fig. 3 C and F). This abnormality of the AYI response was recapitulated by expressing mutant mec-2 cDNA only in AFD (SI Appendix, Fig. S6 F and G). These results indicate that the valence-encoding AYI activity is regulated by kin-4, mec-2, and dgk-1. Given that expression of these genes only in AFD rescued or phenocopied the defect of the AYI response in the respective mutants, these results further suggest that presynaptic regulation is important for determining the valence-encoding activity of the AYI neuron.

Alteration of the AFD–AYI Synaptic Transmission Requires Components Essential for Neuropeptide and Glutamate Release. We next asked how the presynaptic regulation in AFD evokes opposing neuronal responses in the AYI postsynaptic neuron. Previous studies indicated that AFD employs two kinds of signaling molecules to communicate with AYI (33, 39): one is neuropeptide, and the other is glutamate. The peptidergic signaling was shown to be excitatory (39), whereas the glutamatergic input is inhibitory due to a glutamate-gated chloride channel acting on AYI (33). We hypothesized that the balance of these two opposing signals released from AFD might be modulated, thereby inducing an excitatory or inhibitory postsynaptic response. To test this possibility, we monitored the AYI calcium response in mutants for the gene unc-31, which encodes the C. elegans ortholog of calcium-dependent activator protein required for secretion of neuropeptides (40). The AYI neurons of unc-31 mutants predominantly showed a decrease in the calcium concentration even below the cultivation temperature, while the AFD neuron showed increases in calcium concentration under both conditions tested (Fig. 4 A–C and SI Appendix, Fig. S5). This defect in the AYI response was partially rescued by expression of an unc-31 cDNA only in AFD (SI Appendix, Fig. S7 A–C), indicating that the peptidergic signals from AFD is required for the opposing AYI response.

A recent study examined AFD response in eat-4 mutants for the eat-4 gene, which encodes a C. elegans homolog of vesicular glutamate transporter required for transporting glutamate into synaptic vesicles (41). In eat-4 mutants, the AYI neurons did not display primarily decreases in the calcium concentration above the cultivation temperature when compared to the wild-type animals (Fig. 4 D–F and SI Appendix, Fig. S5), and this defect was rescued by expression of eat-4 only in the AFD neurons (SI Appendix, Fig. S7 D–F). Like in unc-31 mutants, the AFD neurons in eat-4 mutants showed increase in the calcium level under both conditions tested. We also noted that the AYI response in eat-4 mutants below the cultivation temperature was reduced compared to the wild-type animals (Fig. 4 B and C). These results suggest that alteration of the positive and negative modes of the AFD–AYI communication requires the presynaptic control of the glutamatergic and peptidergic outputs.

Kin-4, mec-2, and dgk-1 Regulate Curving Bias During TTX. To address how the alteration in the modes of the AFD–AYI communication contributes to the regulation of TTX behavior, we undertook multiworm tracking analysis (42). We classified the animal behavior into several behavioral components discernable during C. elegans locomotion, such as forward locomotion, omega turn, shallow turn, reversal, and reversal turn (43–47). Previous studies showed that the wild-type animals modulate the run durations such that the duration of run toward the cultivation temperature would be lengthened (20, 48–50). In addition,
C. elegans also controls steering during chemotaxis, in which the animals curve during forward locomotion toward higher concentration of attractants (46). We assessed whether the animals utilize both of these strategies under our condition of TTX assay and observed that the wild-type animals displayed a bias in the curve: the animals curve toward warmer temperature below the cultivation temperature and curve toward colder temperature above the cultivation temperature (Fig. 5 and SI Appendix, Fig. S8, and see also ref. 43). In addition, consistent with the previous reports of regulation of run duration during TTX (20, 48–50), we observed that the wild-type animals exhibited a significant bias in the frequency of reversal turn. They showed higher reversal turn frequency when they migrate away from the cultivation temperature than when they migrate toward the cultivation temperature (SI Appendix, Fig. S8B, and see also ref. 43): below the cultivation temperature ($T < T_c$), reversal turn frequency was higher when migrating down the gradient ($\theta \geq 90^\circ$) than migrating up the gradient ($\theta < 90^\circ$), while above the cultivation temperature ($T > T_c$), the frequency was higher when migrating up the gradient than down the gradient. We did not observe such significant bias in the frequency of shallow turn, omega turn, or reversal (SI Appendix, Fig. S8).

We asked whether kin-4, mec-2, and dgk-1 mutations affect regulation of these behavioral components and found that they displayed defects in curve that are in parallel with their TTX phenotypes. Both kin-4 and dgk-1 cryophilic mutants displayed abnormal curving bias toward colder temperature when migrating up the gradient below the cultivation temperature (Fig. 5). By contrast, thermophilic mec-2 mutants showed curving bias toward warmer temperature when migrating up the gradient above the cultivation temperature. We also found that kin-4 and dgk-1 mutations affected the regulation of reversal turn. Below the cultivation temperature, the bias in the frequency of reversal turn that would drive the wild-type animals toward higher temperature was lost or reversed in kin-4 or dgk-1 mutants, respectively (SI Appendix, Fig. S8B). We did not observe changes in the bias of reversal turn frequency in mec-2 mutants, although the frequencies of reversal turn were lower than those of the wild-type animals. In addition, we conducted similar analysis of eat-4(ky5) mutants and observed that they also affected bias in the curve and reversal turn (Fig. 5 and SI Appendix, Fig. S8B). These results indicate that kin-4, mec-2, and dgk-1 regulate the curve during TTX and suggest that the alteration of the AFD–AIY synaptic transmission generates opposing curving biases that drive the animals toward the cultivation temperature.

**Fig. 4.** Alteration of the AFD-AIY synaptic valence requires components essential for neuropeptide and glutamate release. (A–C) Calcium imaging below the cultivation temperature. (D–F) Calcium imaging above the cultivation temperature. (A and D) The temperature program used. (B and E) Heat maps representing standardized ratio changes of AFD (Top) and AIY (Bottom) calcium dynamics. Each row indicates the response observed each recording. Time 0 corresponds to the onset of the warming stimulus. Standardized ratio change is represented in the color bar to the right. $n$ indicates the number of animals observed. (C and F) Comparisons of AIY activities during 17 to 19 s (D) or 8 to 10 s (G) after the thermal stimulus was applied. The area under the curve of AIY standardized ratio change was calculated for each animal. Individual data points are shown as dots. Boxes display the first and third quartiles, lines inside the boxes are the medians, and the whiskers extend to 1.5-time interquartile range from the box. $P$ values were determined by Kruskal–Wallis test with Steel method to compare to the wild-type animals. Note that the wild-type data are identical to those shown in Fig. 3 and indicated here for comparison to unc-31 and eat-4 mutants.
showed that the AIY response to CO2 stimulus can be either defined set of interneurons including AIY. A recent study repulsion are mediated by a single sensory neuron BAG and a CO2 (13). Thus, these results together with our observations excitatory or inhibitory, depending on the prior experience of CO2 concentration. Both CO2 attraction and repulsion are mediated by a single sensory neuron BAG and a defined set of interneurons including AIY. A recent study showed that the AIY response to CO2 stimulus can be either excitatory or inhibitory, depending on the prior experience of CO2 (13). Thus, these results together with our observations suggest that bidirectional activity of a single set of interneurons could be a prevalent mechanism of valence coding for varieties of sensory stimuli in C. elegans. Further studies will be required to assess whether CO2 circuit and thermosensory circuit share molecular mechanisms for eliciting the bidirectional interneuron activity.

We showed that kin-4, mec-2, and dgk-1 regulate the curving bias during TTX behavior (Fig. 5). A previous study also showed that optogenetic manipulations of the AIY activity evoked biases in the curve: stimulation of AIY caused the animals to turn in the direction in which the head of the animal was bent at the time of the AIY excitation, while inhibition of AIY induced the animals to turn in the opposite direction (52). We also know from a previous report that the AFD neuron exhibits an increase in the calcium concentration when the head swings toward warmer temperatures on a thermal gradient (24). Given these observations, our results suggest that below the cultivation temperature, a warming stimulus activates both AFD and AIY, leading to a curve toward the warmer side of the temperature gradient, while above the cultivation temperature, a warming stimulus activates AFD, which in turn inhibits AIY, resulting in curving toward the colder side (SI Appendix, Fig. S9). Thus, the alteration of the AFD–AIY transmission mode would generate the curving bias that drives the animals toward the cultivation temperature.

Our results suggest that peptidergic signaling from AFD is required for the excitatory response of the AIY neuron, whereas glutamatergic signaling is required for the inhibitory response. This is consistent with our cross-correlation analysis of AIY–AFD responses, which suggested that the inhibitory AIY responses occur faster than the excitatory AIY responses (SI Appendix, Fig. S4B). However, our observation also suggested that the effects of these signaling pathways might not be strictly excitatory or inhibitory. For example, the excitatory response of the AIY neuron was attenuated in eat-4 mutants (Fig. 4 B and C), suggesting that glutamate signaling might also be important for the excitatory response of the AIY neuron. Some of our observations also suggest that cells other than AFD could influence the AIY response upon temperature stimulus. Expression of kin-4 and unc-31 only in AFD partially restored the AIY responses but did not fully rescue the response to the wild-type level (SI Appendix, Figs. S6 B and C and S7 B and C), suggesting that kin-4 and unc-31 might also function in cells other than AFD to regulate the AIY response. Recent investigation of the global brain dynamics in C. elegans revealed that most interneuron layers represent the motor states of the animals (36). Indeed, representation of the motor states is pervasive even in the first-layer interneurons that receive direct inputs from sensory neurons. The activity of the AIB interneuron, which is directly innervated by gustatory sensory neurons ASE and olfactory neurons AWC, is correlated with reversals (37), and the AIY neuron can represent multiple motor states (37, 38, 52). Because calcium dynamics within the AFD, ASE, and AWC neurons represent the respective sensory stimuli.
(21, 22, 53–55), these observations suggest that transformation of sensory information into motor representation occurs early in the neural circuit and underlies between the sensory neurons and the first-layer interneurons.

Our results indicate that the initial step of information processing that transforms thermal information into stimulus valence occurs within the AFD sensory neuron and suggest that information processing for this transformation resides in cellular processes between calcium influx and neurotransmitter release. Our observations also suggest that this process of axonal computation is regulated by $kin^{-4}$, mec-2, and dgk-1, although the interpretation of the involvement of mec-2 has to be cautious, given the effect of mec-2 on TTX was only observed in the $nii9$ gain-of-function mutants. Previous studies established that MECl-2/Stomatin regulates the activity of amiloride-sensitive sodium channel (56). It remains to be determined whether KIN-4, MEC-2, and DGK-1 are involved in the regulation of such ion channels to impact on the release of neurotransmitter from AFD. Utilization of the recently developed optical sensors for neurotransmitters (57–60) would unveil the dynamics of axonal computations and help dissect the mechanisms by which $kin^{-4}$, mec-2, and dgk-1 regulate the release of either or both of glutamate and neuropeptide from the AFD neuron.

Neurons expressing multiple neurotransmitters are present in virtually all animal species (61, 62). A number of studies reported cotransmission of diverse combinations of multiple transmitters, including glutamate/GABA (63), dopamine/GABA (64), dopamine/glutamate (65), and different neuropeptides (66). Cotransmission of multiple transmitters from single neurons can influence the same target neurons (convergent actions) or different targets (divergent actions), thereby providing additional flexibility to the circuit functions and behaviors. In Aplysia neuromuscular junction, multiple cotransmitted peptides exert antagonistic actions, with one peptide promoting muscle contraction and the other increasing relaxation rate (67, 68). In this case, multiple transmitters are copackaged and coreleased in a fixed ratio. The apparently antagonistic actions of multiple transmitters likely facilitate rhythmic muscle contraction, thereby providing temporal flexibility in the circuit function (67, 68).

Our results suggest that by altering the balance of multiple transmitters with opposing actions, a single presynaptic neuron can evoke excitatory and inhibitory postsynaptic responses, convey the valence information of sensory stimulus to the circuit, and induce an appropriate behavior. We speculate that such mechanism of presynaptic control might function in other systems and be particularly effective in assigning the stimulus valence over a range of stimulus intensity. Thus, presynaptic control of multiple neurotransmitter release could be a fundamental mechanism for generating plasticity without extensive structural modification on the defined neural circuitry, thereby extending the computational repertoire employed by the nervous system.

Materials and Methods

All data, associated protocols, code, and materials used in this study will be available upon request to the corresponding author. C. elegans strains used in this study, TTX behavioral assays, forward genetic screens, expression analysis, calcium imaging of AFD neurons from immobilized animals, multworm tracking analysis, and statistics are described in SI Appendix, SI Methods.

Generation of a New Calcium Probe YCX1.6. We used a newly developed calcium probe, YCX1.6, for simultaneous imaging of AFD and AIY in freely moving or immobilized animals. YCX1.6 was generated by incorporating four mutations within CaM-M13 domain of YC2.60 (69). YCX1.6 is a high-affinity variant of YC (yellow cameleon) X, which is characterized by expanded dynamic range (70). The apparent dissociation constant for Ca$^{2+}$ and the Hill coefficient were calculated to be 120 nM and 1.2, respectively.

Simultaneous Calcium Imaging of AFD and AIY in Freely Moving or Immobilized Animals. We generated animals expressing the YCX1.6 probe in AFD and AIY. YCX1.6 in AFD was localized to the nucleus to separate the fluorescence signals from these neurons. For recording of freely moving animals, animals were cultivated at 20 °C and placed on a 2 to 2.5% agarose pad on a cover glass. A sample was compiled by covering a transparent temperature-controlled device (TOKAI HIT Co. Ltd.). This device was installed onto a motorized stage (HawkVision Inc.) that keeps the target image of animals in the field of view. Controlling the stage movement was achieved by real-time analysis of transmitted infrared light images. The animals were allowed to freely move under the microscope and were subjected to warming stimulus. We attempted to record each animal twice, but our imaging system sometimes failed to keep tracking the animals during the temperature stimulus given to the animal. We limited the number of the times that single animals exposed to the temperature program and avoided exposure to the temperature program for three times or more. For evaluation of the variance of the two recordings from identical animals, please see SI Appendix, Fig. S10. The recordings that persisted longer than 30 s were used for analysis. The fluorescence images were captured twice a second and split into YFP and CFP channels by W-VIEW GEMINI (Hamamatsu photonics K.K.). The YFP and CFP fluorescence intensities were obtained from the cell body of AFD and an axonal region of AIY (26). The fluorescent images were analyzed by a custom-written program in MATLAB with manual inspection of region of interest of AFD and AIY in every frame, and the fluorescent intensities of YFP and CFP were determined. Because of this intensive labor required for the standardized ratio values, we did not perform the imaging of mutants or transgenic animals in parallel to the wild-type control. After determining the YFP and CFP intensity, we eliminated from the analysis the trials in which the temperature program failed to activate AFD. For this purpose, we applied a low-pass filter to the YFP/CFP ratio with the cutoff frequency at 0.05 Hz, and the resulting ratio data were used to calculate the ratio at specific time points. For this purpose, we applied a low-pass filter to the YFP/CFP ratio with the cutoff frequency at 0.05 Hz, and the resulting ratio data were used to calculate the ratio at specific time points. We eliminated the analysis of the trials in which the maximum ratio changes were smaller than 0.25 for recordings below the cultivation temperature or 0.22 for above the cultivation temperature. These threshold values were determined from experiments in which the temperature was kept constant. For the remainder of the trials, the ratio of fluorescence intensities (YFP/CFP) was used to calculate the standardized ratio change of AFD and AIY, which was defined as (ratio – minimum ratio)/(maximum ratio – minimum ratio). The baseline ratio change of the standardized ratio values of 5 consecutive frames immediately before the onset of warming stimulus, was subtracted from the standardized ratio change of each frame. For frames in which images were not available due to a failure of tracking the animals during recording, no value was assigned to these frames, and the data were represented as N.D. To categorize the AIY response, we used the MATLAB cumtrapz function and calculated the area under the curve of the AIY standardized ratio change for the entire time window after the temperature stimulus was applied. The AIY response was categorized as excitatory if the calculated area was positive and as inhibitory if the area was negative. For cross-correlation analysis of AFD and AIY activity, the mean AFD (AIY) standardized ratio change of each recording was subtracted from the time series of the AFD (AIY) standardized ratio change of that recording. The resulting AFD and AIY signals were single recordings were used for cross-correlation analysis. Cross-correlation was calculated by the MATLAB function xcorr with the scale option “unbiased” for normalization. For comparison of the AIY activity across strains, we calculated the area under the curve of the AIY standardized ratio change between 17 and 19 s ($T < Tc$) or 8 and 10 s ($T > Tc$) after the onset of temperature ramp. These time windows correspond to the time at which the mean of AFD standardized ratio change in the wild-type animals reached to the maximum value ($T < Tc$) or first exceeded 0.5 ($T > Tc$).

For recording of immobilized animals, the animals were immobilized by placing on a 5 to 10% agarose pad with polylysine beads. The fluorescence intensities of YFP and CFP were analyzed by the MetaMorph software. The calculation of standardized ratio change and the categorization of the AIY response were determined in the same way as described for the recording of freely moving animals above.
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