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Summary Statement: The study explores the use of swept source optical coherence tomography angiography to identify distinguishing vascular features in choroidal nevi and small choroidal melanomas. Choroidal melanomas displayed distinct vascular patterns. The presence of deep choroidal vasculature was more common in choroidal melanomas, even when compared to size-matched elevated choroidal nevi.

Abstract

Purpose: To evaluate the use of swept source optical coherence tomography angiography (SS-OCTA) to detect distinct vascular features in small choroidal melanomas and choroidal nevi.

Methods: Patients with a choroidal nevus or a treatment-naïve choroidal melanoma were imaged with color fundus photography, ultrasound and SS-OCTA (12x12mm). High-risk features including overlying fluid, orange pigment, shaggy photoreceptors, acoustic hollowness, depth >2mm, and basal diameter >5mm were assessed. OCTA vascular markers included: choroidal vessel visualization, choroidal vessel depth, and choriocapillaris flow signal, assessed qualitatively by comparison to surrounding, unaffected choriocapillaris.

Results: Twenty-nine lesions were included in this study, 7 flat choroidal nevi, 17 elevated choroidal nevi and 5 choroidal melanomas. Distinct vascular patterns were noted between flat nevi, elevated nevi and small choroidal melanomas. Choroidal melanomas displayed two types of vasculature: “nevus-like” vasculature with straight parallel vessels and complex vasculature with vascular loops and cross-linking. Visualized choroidal vessels were significantly deeper in melanomas (110µm) than elevated (84µm) or flat nevi (70µm). In a size-matched sub-analysis of
5 elevated choroidal nevi and 5 choroidal melanomas, choroidal melanomas had increased mean choroidal vessel depth (p = 0.015), deepest choroidal vessel visualized (p = 0.034) and presence of a deep choroidal vessel >155µm (p = 0.048).

Conclusion: SS-OCTA may detect distinct vascular features in choroidal nevi and small choroidal melanomas.
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Introduction

Early detection of choroidal melanoma is key to successful treatment and improved survival.\(^1\) Distinguishing small choroidal melanomas from benign nevi is challenging, but essential for prompt and accurate treatment.\(^2\) Currently, differentiation between choroidal nevi and small choroidal melanomas relies largely on high-risk feature identification and serial examination. Identification of additional features that accurately identify malignancy and predict future transformation to malignancy would be of significant value.

Histologic studies comparing melanoma and nevus vasculature reveal clear differences. The internal vasculature of nevi consists of straight, parallel vessels, a pattern that resembles normal choroidal vasculature. In contrast, choroidal melanomas have complex vascular patterns with vessel cross-linking and loops.\(^3\) A subset of melanomas with benign “nevus-like” architecture also exists.\(^4\) Given vascular differences and similarities across lesion types,
pathologists postulate a spectrum of vascular progression between choroidal nevi and melanomas.\textsuperscript{4}

Developments in optical coherence tomography angiography (OCTA) technology permit improved assessment of deep retinal microvasculature in-vivo. Prior studies utilizing spectral domain OCTA (SD-OCTA) to assess the vasculature of choroidal melanomas and nevi have been limited by signal depth penetration.\textsuperscript{5-7} Newer swept source OCTA (SS-OCTA) technology utilizes a tunable laser with a wavelength of \textasciitilde1060nm.\textsuperscript{8} Increased laser wavelength and scan speeds allow for increased penetration through the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) and larger scan areas, making SS-OCTA particularly useful for the assessment of choroidal lesions.\textsuperscript{9}

Two recent studies revealed successful vascular evaluation of choroidal nevi and melanomas utilizing SS-OCTA. The first study by Ali et al focused on the use of structural reverse flow to identify choroidal nevi.\textsuperscript{10} The second study by Pellegrini and colleagues showed the ability of SS-OCTA to identify tumor microvasculature in choroidal melanomas.\textsuperscript{11} Though these studies showed the use of SS-OCTA for choroidal lesion visualization, they did not compare melanomas and nevi, nor did they elucidate vascular patterns found in each. The current study compares the vascular patterns of choroidal nevi and melanomas in search of vascular features that might aid in lesion differentiation.
Methods

Subjects

This was a cross-sectional study of patients with a diagnosis of choroidal nevus or treatment-naïve choroidal melanoma seen at the New England Eye Center from September 2019 to May 2020. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at Tufts Medical Center and adhered to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability act of 1996.

Inclusion criteria comprised a diagnosis of choroidal nevus or treatment-naïve choroidal melanoma as determined by the institution’s ocular oncologist (JSD). Clinical and imaging features obtained on color fundus photography (CFP), ultrasound (US) and OCT were used for diagnosis. Lesions did not undergo pathologic confirmation of the clinical diagnosis. Choroidal nevi were subclassified as elevated or flat. Flat nevi had no elevation on clinical exam or OCT and did not undergo US scanning. Exclusion criteria included: (1) history of retinovascular disease (e.g. diabetic retinopathy, age related macular degeneration), (2) prior ocular melanoma treatment, (3) SS-OCTA image quality <5 or significant motion artifact impeding lesion visualization.

Baseline characteristics including age, gender and lesion follow up-time prior to enrollment were recorded.
Image Acquisition

All lesions were imaged with ultra-wide field CFP, B-scan US, and SS-OCTA at time of enrollment. All SS-OCTA scanning was performed on the PLEX Elite device (Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, CA). This device works through a tunable laser of approximately 1060nm and operates at a speed of 100,000 A-scans/sec with an A-scan depth of 3.0mm. The 12x12mm scan pattern was selected to increase lesion area visualization.

Image Processing

Bruch’s membrane (BM) was manually segmented on all slabs to avoid segmentation errors caused by lesion elevation. Three slabs were exported per image: choriocapillaris (CC) slab, choroid slab and lesion slab. The CC slab was defined as a 20µm slab immediately posterior to BM, consistent with previous studies.12-14 The choroid slab was defined as a 51µm slab with an inner boundary 64µm posterior to BM, as suggested by manufacturer settings. The lesion slab had an inner boundary 64µm posterior to BM and an outer boundary 400µm posterior to BM. This slab captured all flow pixels within the choroid. Scans underwent projection removal of overlying vasculature with built-in device software prior to analysis.

Image Grading

General characteristics recorded for each lesion included distance from the fovea and optic nerve head, as well as presence of CC compression and RPE disruption. CC compression was determined on cross-sectional OCT, as defined in a recent publication.15 Images were graded for high-risk multimodal imaging features outlined in previous studies, including: lesion depth
>2mm, acoustic hollowness, overlying fluid, shaggy photoreceptors, greatest basal diameter >5mm and orange pigment.\textsuperscript{16,17} The total number of high-risk features was tallied for each subject, with a maximum score of 6.

Though high-risk features of nevi and melanomas have been previously identified on OCT, CFP and US, the current study explored candidate vascular features on OCTA imaging. Vascular features assessed included: CC signal, choroidal vessel visualization, mean choroidal vessel depth and deepest choroidal vessel.

CC signal was assessed on the CC slab by examining the en face image and corresponding cross-sectional OCT with flow overlay. CC signal was graded as increased, decreased or equivalent to the surrounding, unaffected CC (Figure 1). Only lesion sectors without significant shadowing due to fluid or other overlying structures were graded in this analysis, as the occurrence of shadowing precludes proper flow signal assessment. Similarly, increased CC signal was considered only in the absence of overlying RPE atrophy since atrophic changes lead to signal hyper transmission. Qualitative CC grading was selected over quantitative assessment as wide-field OCTA scans have reduced reproducibility of vessel density measures, particularly in the CC.\textsuperscript{18}

The current study assessed the use of SS-OCTA for vessel visualization in melanomas and nevi. Vessels within these choroidal lesions were collectively referred to as choroidal vessels. This wording was selected because histologic evaluation, needed to differentiate between normal choroidal vasculature and intrinsic tumor vasculature, was not performed in this
study. Visible choroidal vessels were defined as hyperreflective vessels seen on en face imaging, consistent with prior studies (Figure 2).\textsuperscript{19} Choroidal vessel visualization on choroidal and lesion slabs was assessed on a head-to-head comparison by two independent graders (ESL and LSMM). Graders were blinded to lesion and slab type during assessment.

Lesions with visible choroidal vessels underwent further assessment. Lesion slabs were used for choroidal vessel evaluation. Internal vascular pattern and the presence of avascular areas were determined for each lesion. Avascular areas were defined as regions with absent choroidal vasculature and no overlying shadowing. Choroidal vessel depth was measured on cross-sectional OCT with flow overlay. Depth was determined as the distance from BM to the first flow pixel for a given vessel (Figure 2G). If BM could not be visualized, the bottom boundary of the RPE was used as proxy. Only choroidal vessels identified on both en face and cross-sectional OCTA were measured. The depth of 5 distinct vessels was measured for each lesion and mean choroidal vessel depth was calculated. The deepest choroidal vessel within each lesion was also recorded. Mean choroidal vessel depth and deepest choroidal vessel were further assessed as binary outcomes. Vessels in the top 75th percentile for each category received a score of 1 while those below the cut-off received a score of 0.

\textit{Statistical Analysis}

Baseline characteristics and OCTA vascular features were compared across lesion type using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Chi-square tests. Significant results were further evaluated by Tukey’s honest significance and post-hoc residual testing to elucidate differences...
on pair-wise comparison. Spearman correlation analysis was performed to assess the relationship between total number of high-risk features and vascular features identified on OCTA. To control for lesion depth, a size-matched cohort of 5 elevated nevi and 5 melanomas with comparable depth and greatest basal diameter was assessed. Student’s T and Fisher’s exact tests were used for comparison between these two size-controlled groups. In addition, these tests were used to determine if a relationship existed between CC compression, lesion depth, and CC flow reduction in the overall cohort.

To determine what slab was superior for choroidal vessel visualization, a head-to-head comparison of choroidal and lesion slabs was performed by two expert graders. Gradings from each reader were considered as independent measures. Interrater reliability for this comparison was assessed by Cohen’s kappa.

All statistical analyses were performed on RStudio Version 1.1.463.20

Results

Of 35 patients recruited to this study, 29 lesions from 29 subjects were included in the final analysis. Three subjects had peripheral lesions that could not be captured on OCTA imaging and three subjects had poor quality imaging. In total, 7 flat choroidal nevi, 17 elevated choroidal nevi and 5 choroidal melanomas were analyzed. Demographic, baseline and vascular features are summarized in Table 1.
Choroidal vessels were identified in 14% of flat choroidal nevi, 77% of elevated choroidal nevi and 100% of choroidal melanomas. Of 19 lesions with visible choroidal vessels, the lesion slab was superior for choroidal vessel visualization in 90% (17) of cases. Graders agreed in 95% (18) of cases and the Kappa coefficient of interrater reliability was 0.64 (p = 0.0036), indicating substantial agreement between graders. The full lesion slab was, therefore, selected for subsequent assessment of choroidal vasculature.

All nevi had normal choroidal vasculature with straight and parallel vessels. Vessels visualized were numerous and of small caliber (Figure 3). Peripheral vessels were more clearly visualized than central vessels. A majority of elevated choroidal nevi (63%) had small avascular areas. Of 5 melanomas assessed, two had “nevus-like” architecture with straight, parallel vessels, small avascular areas and clear peripheral vessels (Figure 4). One melanoma had central shadowing with straight vessels peripherally. The two remaining melanomas had choroidal vascular loss, with large avascular areas. In one lesion, central vessels formed a looping pattern (Figure 5). In the other lesion, two large vessels cross-linked centrally (Figure 6). Unlike the parallel vessels seen in nevi, the vessels in this lesion traveled in a plane perpendicular to the retinal surface (Figure 6).

The number of total high-risk features was significantly different across the three lesion types (Table 1). Several vascular features distinguished flat choroidal nevi from higher risk lesions, including presence of avascular areas and choroidal vessel visualization. Vascular features that differentiated melanomas from both flat and elevated choroidal nevi included,
choroidal vessel depth, deepest choroidal vessel and deepest choroidal vessel depth >75\textsuperscript{th} percentile (155\textmu m).

On Spearman correlation analysis, decreased CC flow (\(\text{rho} = 0.74, p = <0.0001\)), choroidal vessel visualization (\(\text{rho} = 0.64, p = 0.0002\)), mean choroidal vessel depth (\(\text{rho} = 0.79, p = 0.0001\)) and deepest choroidal vessel visualized (\(\text{rho} = 0.80, p = 0.0001\)) were positively correlated with total number of high-risk features. Increased CC flow was negatively correlated with total number of high-risk features (\(\text{rho} = -0.41, p = 0.026\)). Separately, an association existed between CC compression and lesion depth (\(t = 6.8, p = <0.0001\)), as well as between CC compression and decreased CC flow (Fisher’s exact, \(p = 0.009\)), results not shown.

To control for overall lesion depth and basal diameter, a sub-analysis of size-matched elevated nevi and melanomas was performed (Table 2). In this sub-analysis, mean choroidal vessel depth (\(p = 0.015\)), deepest choroidal vessel visualized (\(p = 0.034\)), and deepest choroidal vessel depth >75\textsuperscript{th} percentile (155\textmu m) (\(p = 0.048\)) were significantly different across the two groups. Mean choroidal vessel depth >75\textsuperscript{th} percentile (107\textmu m) did not vary significantly between the two groups.

**Discussion**

Pathologic studies suggest a vascular spectrum exists between choroidal nevi and melanomas.\textsuperscript{4} In-vivo visualization of this spectrum could elucidate differences between choroidal nevi and melanomas, and could help identify new high-risk vascular features. This study shows that SS-OCTA can visualize distinct vascular differences between choroidal nevi
and melanomas, that such differences are associated with known risk factors, and that deep choroidal vessels are more common in choroidal melanomas, even when compared to size-matched elevated choroidal nevi.

Qualitative analysis uncovered distinct vascular patterns in flat choroidal nevi, elevated choroidal nevi and choroidal melanomas. Previous studies considered nevi in aggregate, obscuring angiographic differences between flat and elevated nevi, and exaggerating differences between high-risk nevi and choroidal melanomas. The current study analyzed each subset individually, highlighting differences between each. For instance, flat choroidal nevi had no avascular areas compared to 62.5% of elevated nevi and 100% of choroidal melanomas. Linear regression analysis showed a positive correlation between avascular areas and increasing number of high-risk features. Previous studies quote avascular area rates of 17% in choroidal nevi, suggesting their presence could help differentiate between nevi and choroidal melanomas. Our more granular analysis shows that though avascular areas are more common in higher risk lesions, the presence of avascular areas may not distinguish between elevated nevi and choroidal melanomas. This is further supported by our sub-analysis, which showed no differences in the presence of avascular areas between size-matched elevated choroidal nevi and choroidal melanomas.

Flat choroidal nevi were less likely to have decreased CC flow when compared to choroidal melanomas. However, there was no significant difference in CC flow reduction between elevated choroidal nevi and choroidal melanomas. These findings are consistent with previous studies describing CC thinning over these lesions as well as a recent study that linked
CC compression to increasing choroidal nevus depth.\textsuperscript{5,15,23-25} In the current cohort, CC compression was associated with increased lesion depth (p = <0.0001) and decreased CC flow (p = 0.009). It is likely, therefore, that the observed reduction in CC flow is not due to structural loss of the CC in these lesions, but to vascular congestion secondary to compression. Such vascular congestion could result in blood flow speeds below the OCTA device’s detection threshold, mimicking CC loss. Interestingly, while there was a significant difference in CC compression between flat choroidal nevi and elevated choroidal nevi, no difference in CC flow reduction was observed between these two groups. This suggests that a critical amount of compression might be needed to slow CC flow speeds below the device’s detection threshold. Reduced CC flow was strongly associated with increasing total risk factors in this cohort. However, no difference in CC flow existed between elevated choroidal nevi and choroidal melanomas, suggesting CC flow reduction is likely attributable to compression secondary to lesion depth rather than malignant potential.

The visualization of choroidal vessels differed across lesion types. Choroidal vessel visualization was common among elevated nevi and melanomas, but not among flat choroidal nevi. We hypothesize this is likely linked to reduced CC flow and RPE loss over nevi and melanomas.\textsuperscript{25,26} In the current cohort, CC compression and RPE disruption were common among elevated choroidal nevi and choroidal melanomas. An intact CC network causes increased signal scattering, reducing the signal to noise ratio in underlying vascular tissues. Loss of normal CC architecture reduces scattering and increases the signal to noise ratio in the choroid, improving choroidal vessel visualization. Concomitantly, RPE loss allows for increased signal penetration.
into the choroid and improved choroidal vessel visualization, akin to choroidal vessel identification below areas of atrophy in dry macular degeneration.19

Overall, choroidal melanoma vessels were deeper than choroidal nevi vessels. Deeper vessels were seen in deeper lesions. Mean choroidal vessel depth and deepest choroidal vessel visualized were successively greater for flat nevi, elevated nevi and melanomas, suggesting a positive relationship between a lesion’s depth and the depth of choroidal vasculature visualized. However, even after controlling for lesion depth and greatest basal diameter in our size-matched sub-analysis, mean choroidal vessel depth, deepest choroidal vessel visualized, and deepest choroidal vessel >155µm were significantly greater for melanomas than similarly sized elevated nevi. In healthy eyes, the choroid is a dense vascular structure. As cancerous cells replicate, they can compress and occlude vessels, ultimately leading to known ischemic changes, such as such as RPE degeneration, serous retinal detachments and cystic retinal degeneration.27,28 Breakdown of normal choroidal architecture allows for increased signal penetration and improved visualization of deeper vessels in malignant lesions. Findings from the overall and size-matched cohort suggest that choroidal vessel depth measures could identify high-risk lesions independent of lesion depth and could be of interest for tracking lesion growth. Given the small sample size of the current study, however, larger studies assessing greater numbers of choroidal melanomas are needed to appropriately control for lesion size.

Differences in vessel morphology were appreciated between nevi and a subset of melanomas. All nevi displayed parallel vessels, similar to normal choroidal vasculature seen on prototype OCTA images.29 We identified two types of vasculature within our melanoma cohort:
“nevus-like” vasculature and complex vasculature. Melanomas with “nevus-like” vasculature had straight, parallel vessels, while those with complex vasculature showed vascular looping and cross-linking. Pathologic studies have postulated that disorganized vessel patterns arise in high-risk tumors where rapidly replicating cells compromise normal choroidal perfusion, resulting in ischemia and subsequent angiogenesis. Though the vascular patterns visualized in this study match tumor vessel patterns previously described in histologic studies, the imaged lesions did not undergo resection. Thus, there is no way to determine if the vessel patterns seen on SS-OCTA in fact correlate with those described in histopathologic studies. It is nevertheless promising that distinct vascular patterns can be appreciated across different lesion types on swept source imaging.

Overall, normal choroidal vasculature and straight, parallel vessels were more common in benign lesions, and less common in their malignant counterparts, consistent with histologic findings. Rummelt et al. described the existence of two choroidal melanoma subtypes, those with malignant vasculature and those with “nevus-like” vasculature. Melanomas with malignant vasculature were more likely to metastasize. As described above, the current study identified two similar melanoma subtypes on SS-OCTA imaging. Longitudinal studies with larger sample sizes and genetic profiling are needed to determine whether prognosis differs between lesions with nevus-like and complex vasculature as identified on OCTA. If so, SS-OCTA could facilitate in-vivo assessment of tumor prognosis, something not currently available through high-risk feature evaluation alone.
There are several limitations to this study. First, though SS-OCTA has improved penetration into the choroid, in most cases, only a fraction of the lesion depth was visualized. Thus, identified differences are based solely on vessels residing within the first 400µm of each lesion. Second, the cross-sectional nature of this study limited its diagnostic reliability. Though the majority of subjects had been clinically followed for years prior to enrollment, 4 subjects were enrolled at the first clinical encounter. A lesion diagnosed as a nevus on initial evaluation might be diagnosed as a melanoma on follow-up. Lastly, and most importantly, only 5 treatment-naïve choroidal melanomas were assessed in this study and genetic data was not obtained for these cases. The small sample size of this study limited our ability to control for lesion depth, an important confounder in this analysis. Though a sub-analysis of size-matched elevated nevi and choroidal melanoma showed encouraging results, larger scale studies that thoroughly control for lesion depth and diameter are needed to determine if choroidal vessel depth is an independent risk factor when assessing these lesions.

Despite these limitations, the current study captured morphologic differences between treatment-naïve choroidal melanomas, elevated choroidal nevi and flat choroidal nevi, and identified potential vascular risk factors within these lesions. Mean choroidal vessel depth, deepest choroidal vessel, and the presence of a deep choroidal vessel >155µm were greater among choroidal melanomas than similarly sized elevated nevi. Further, SS-OCTA imaging captured clear vascular patterns within choroidal nevi and small choroidal melanomas. Though OCTA features may not supplant pre-established risk factors, vascular markers found in the current study could provide additional information for the assessment of challenging cases.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1: Choriocapillaris Flow Assessment in Flat Choroidal Nevus, Elevated Nevus and Melanoma. (A-B) Choriocapillaris slab of a flat choroidal nevus and corresponding cross-sectional OCT with flow overlay. (A) En face image shows increased signal over the lesion (white arrow). (B) Cross-sectional image reveals increased choriocapillaris flow (yellow arrow). (C-D) Choriocapillaris slab of an elevated choroidal nevus and corresponding cross-sectional OCT with flow overlay. (C) En face image shows decreased signal over the lesion (white arrow). (D) Cross-sectional image reveals decreased choriocapillaris flow. (E-F) Choriocapillaris slab of a choroidal melanoma and corresponding cross-sectional OCT with flow overlay. (E) En face image shows decreased signal over the lesion. (F) Cross-sectional image reveals decreased choriocapillaris flow.

Figure 2: Choroidal Vessel Visualization. (A-B) Choroidal slab of a flat choroidal nevus and corresponding cross-sectional OCT with flow overlay. (A) En face image shows dark choroidal vessels (red arrow). Such vessels were not assessed in this study as they are shadows of underlying vasculature. Only hyperreflective choroidal vessels were assessed. (C-D) Choroidal slab of an elevated choroidal nevus and corresponding cross-sectional OCT with flow overlay. (C) Red arrow shows an example of a hyperreflective choroidal vessel. (E-F) Lesion slab of an elevated nevus and corresponding cross-sectional OCT with flow overlay. Choroidal vessels had to be visualized on en face and cross-sectional OCT with flow overlay to be measured. (E) En face image shows hyperreflective choroidal vessels. Slice navigators point to a hyperreflective choroidal vessel (F) Cross-sectional OCT with flow overlay shows vessel pixel in cross-section.
(G) Magnified cross-sectional OCT shows caliper tool and corresponding vessel depth measurement.

**Figure 3: Elevated Choroidal Nevus.** (A) Color fundus photograph of an elevated choroidal nevus. (B) B-scan ultrasound of an elevated choroidal nevus, depth 2.39mm. (C-D) Choriocapillaris slab of an elevated choroidal nevus and corresponding cross-sectional OCT with flow overlay. Reduced CC flow is noted on en face and cross-section. (E-F) Choroidal slab of an elevated choroidal nevus and corresponding cross-sectional OCT with flow overlay. Avascular areas are noted throughout the lesion with increased spacing between adjacent vessels. (G-H) Lesion slab of an elevated choroidal nevus and corresponding cross-sectional OCT with flow overlay. Choroidal vessels visualized are straight and parallel in nature. Areas of central shadowing are noted.

**Figure 4: Choroidal Melanoma with Nevus-like Architecture.** (A) Color fundus photograph of a choroidal melanoma. (B) B-scan ultrasound of a choroidal melanoma, depth 2.70mm. (C-D) Choriocapillaris slab of a choroidal melanoma and corresponding cross-sectional OCT with flow overlay. Reduced CC flow is notable on en face and cross-section. Significant shadowing impedes proper assessment superiorly. (E-F) Choroid slab of a choroidal melanoma and corresponding cross-sectional OCT with flow overlay. Avascular areas are noted superiorly. (G-H) Lesion slab of a choroidal melanoma and corresponding cross-sectional OCT with flow overlay. Choroidal vessels appear straight and parallel in nature. No looping or cross-linking is noted, vessels travel parallel to the retinal surface. Areas of avascularity distance neighboring vessels.
Figure 5: Choroidal Melanoma with Vessel Loops. (A) Color fundus photograph of a choroidal melanoma. (B) B-scan ultrasound of a choroidal melanoma, depth 3.93mm. (C-D) Choriocapillaris slab of a choroidal melanoma and corresponding cross-sectional OCT with flow overlay. Reduced CC flow centrally is noted on both images. There is significant shadowing superiorly as the tumor extends beyond the imaging frame in this area. (E-F) Choroid slab of a choroidal melanoma and corresponding cross-sectional OCT with flow overlay. Areas of avascularity are noted centrally and inferiorly. (G-H) Lesion slab of a choroidal melanoma and corresponding cross-sectional OCT with flow overlay. (G) Superficially, distorted choroidal vessels of small caliber are noted (white arrowhead). Deeper vessels of greater caliber with multiple loops are noted centrally, these vessels travel perpendicular to the retinal surface (yellow arrow).

Figure 6: Choroidal Melanoma with Vessel Cross-linking. (A) Color fundus photograph of a choroidal melanoma. (B) B-scan ultrasound of a choroidal melanoma, depth 3.94mm. (C-D) Choriocapillaris slab of a choroidal melanoma and corresponding cross-sectional OCT with flow overlay. Reduced CC flow centrally is noted on both images. (E-F) Choroidal slab of a choroidal melanoma and corresponding cross-sectional OCT with flow overlay. A large, avascular area is noted centrally. (G-H) Lesion slab of a choroidal melanoma and corresponding cross-sectional OCT with flow overlay. (G) There are few choroidal vessels centrally, with more choroidal vascularity noted towards the lesion’s periphery. (G) Two large vessels arise within the lesion and appear to cross-link centrally (yellow arrow). (H) Cross-sectional OCT with flow overlay. (I)
Magnified cross-sectional OCT shows vessel traveling perpendicular to the retinal surface (yellow arrow).
### Demographic and Baseline Characteristics

| Characteristic                  | Total (n = 29) | Flat Choroidal Nevus (n = 7) | Elevated Choroidal Nevus (n = 17) | Choroidal Melanoma (n = 5) | X² / F | DF | p-value |
|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------|----|---------|
| **General Features**           |               |                             |                                  |                           |        |    |         |
| Age (years)                    | 65.2 (21.3)   | 66.6 (17.3)                 | 65.2 (11.8)                      | 64.8 (6.1)                | 0.039  | 2, 26| 0.96    |
| Female                         | 48.3%         | 42.9%                       | 52.9%                            | 40%                       | 0.38   | 2   | 0.83    |
| Follow-up (years)              | 7.9 (5.5)     | 7.3 (3.3)                   | 8.2 (5.8)                        | 7.4 (7.7)                 | 0.92   | 2, 26| 0.92    |
| Largest Basal Diameter (mm)    | 4.4 (2.8)     | 1.8 (0.5)                   | 4.3 (2.7)                        | 7.6 (0.93)                | 10.2   | 2, 26| 0.0006* |
| Lesion Depth (mm)              | 2.7 (1.3)     | -                           | 1.85 (1)                         | 3.3 (0.6)                 | 23.3   | 2, 26| <0.0001*|
| Distance from Fovea (mm)       | 2.7 (2.1)     | 3.8 (2.1)                   | 3.8 (2.1)                        | 3.0 (1.4)                 | 1.8    | 2, 26| 0.19    |
| Distance from Optic Nerve Head (mm) | 3.4 (2.0) | 3.3 (2.4)                   | 3.7 (1.9)                        | 2.6 (1.9)                 | 0.57   | 2, 26| 0.58    |
| RPE Disruption                 | 48.3%         | 0%                          | 58.8%                            | 80%                       | 9.3    | 2   | 0.009*  |
| Choriocapillaris Compression   | 58.6%         | 0%                          | 70.6%                            | 100%                      | 14.5   | 2   | 0.0007* |
| Total High-Risk Features       | 2.2 (2.4)     | 0 (0)                       | 2 (1.9)                          | 5.8 (0.4)                 | 21.9   | 2, 26| <0.0001*|
| **Vascular Features**          |               |                             |                                  |                           |        |    |         |
| Increased Choriocapillaris Flow| 27.6%         | 42.9%                       | 29.4%                            | 0%                        | 2.8    | 2   | 0.25    |
| Decreased Choriocapillaris Flow| 55.2%         | 28.6%                       | 52.9%                            | 100%                      | 6.1    | 2   | 0.047*  |
| Avascular Area                 | 53.8%         | 0%                          | 62.5%                            | 100%                      | 10.9   | 2   | 0.0043* |
| Choroidal Vessels Visualized   | 65.5%         | 14.3%                       | 76.5%                            | 100%                      | 11.7   | 2   | 0.003*  |
| Mean Choroidal Vessel Depth (µm) | 93.1 (20.2) | 70 (5.2)                    | 84.4 (16.4)                      | 110.1 (11.4)              | 6.9    | 2, 16| 0.009*  |
| Mean Choroidal Vessel Depth >107µm | 13.8% | 0%                           | 5.9%                             | 60%                       | 14.2   | 2   | 0.0008* |
| Deepest Choroidal Vessel (µm)  | 122.2 (43.9)  | 74.4 (9.3)                  | 110 (31.9)                       | 180.3 (28.7)              | 9.3    | 2, 16| 0.0024* |

**Pairwise Comparison**

|                  | Flat vs. Elevated Choroidal Nevus (p-value) | Flat Choroidal Nevus vs. Melanoma (p-value) | Elevated Choroidal Nevus vs. Melanoma (p-value) |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                  | Flat vs. Elevated Choroidal Nevus (p-value) | Flat Choroidal Nevus vs. Melanoma (p-value) | Elevated Choroidal Nevus vs. Melanoma (p-value) |
| Age (years)      | 0.96                                       | 0.96                                        | 0.99                                          |
| Female           | 0.83                                       | 1                                          | 1                                             |
| Follow-up (years)| 0.92                                       | 0.93                                        | 0.96                                          |
| Largest Basal Diameter (mm)| 0.0006*                                    | 0.032*                                     | 0.0004*                                       |
| Lesion Depth (mm)| <0.0001*                                   | 0.004*                                     | <0.0001*                                      |
| Distance from Fovea (mm)| 0.19                                         | 0.17                                        | 0.77                                          |
| Distance from Optic Nerve Head (mm)| 0.58                                         | 0.93                                        | 0.80                                          |
| RPE Disruption   | 0.009*                                     | 0.028*                                     | 0.613                                         |
| Choriocapillaris Compression| 0.0007*                                     | 0.007*                                     | 0.29                                          |
| Total High-Risk Features| <0.0001*                                   | 0.017*                                     | <0.0001*                                      |
| Increased Choriocapillaris Flow| 0.66                                         | 0.43                                        | 0.43                                          |
| Decreased Choriocapillaris Flow| 0.39                                         | 0.029*                                     | 0.17                                          |
| Avascular Area   | 0.023*                                     | 0.014*                                     | 0.27                                          |
| Choroidal Vessels Visualized| 0.023*                                     | 0.023*                                     | 0.54                                          |
| Mean Choroidal Vessel Depth (µm)| 0.21                                         | 0.011*                                     | 0.042*                                        |
| Mean Choroidal Vessel Depth >107µm| 0.036*                                     | 0.035*                                     | 0.035*                                        |
| Deepest Choroidal Vessel (µm)| 0.45                                         | 0.17*                                     | 0.004*                                        |
| Deepest Choroidal Vessel >155µm | 17.2% | 0% | 5.9% | 100% | 21.6 | 2  | <0.0001* | 1   | 0.0045* | 0.0025* |

*statistically significant (p<0.05). SD = Standard Deviation. $X^2$: Chi-square statistic. F = F statistic (ANOVA test). DF = Degrees of Freedom.
## Size-matched Sub-analysis

| Characteristic                  | Elevated Choroidal Nevus (n = 5) | Choroidal Melanoma (n = 5) | t-value | p-value |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|---------|
| **General Features**           | Mean (SD)                        | Mean (SD)                 |         |         |
| Age (years)                    | 58 (17.4)                        | 64.8 (6.1)                | 0.82    | 0.43    |
| Female                         | 60%                              | 40%                       | -       | 1       |
| Follow-up (years)              | 6.2 (5.0)                        | 7.4 (7.7)                 | 0.29    | 0.78    |
| Largest Basal Diameter (mm)    | 6.50 (0.83)                      | 7.6 (0.93)                | 1.99    | 0.10    |
| Lesion Depth (mm)              | 2.70 (0.37)                      | 3.3 (0.6)                 | 1.7     | 0.12    |
| Distance from Fovea (mm)       | 1.93 (1.0)                       | 3.0 (1.4)                 | 1.30    | 0.23    |
| Distance from ONH (mm)         | 3.9 (2.1)                        | 2.6 (1.9)                 | 1.1     | 0.31    |
| RPE Disruption                 | 80%                              | 80%                       | -       | 1       |
| Choriocapillaris Compression   | 100%                             | 100%                      | -       | 1       |
| **Total High-Risk Features**   | 3.6 (1.14)                       | 5.8 (0.4)                 | 5.37    | 0.0007* |
| **Vascular Features**          |                                  |                           |         |         |
| Increased Choriocapillaris Flow| 20%                              | 0%                        | -       | 1       |
| Decreased Choriocapillaris Flow| 80%                              | 100%                      | -       | 1       |
| Avascular Area                 | 80%                              | 100%                      | -       | 1       |
| Choroidal Vessels Visualized   | 80%                              | 100%                      | -       | 1       |
| Mean Choroidal Vessel Depth (µm)| 90.58 (26.32)                | 110.1 (11.4)              | 2.0     | 0.015*  |
| Mean Choroidal Vessel Depth >107µm|                                     | 29%                      | 60%     | -       | 0.21    |
| Deepest Choroidal Vessel (µm)  | 125.4 (32.87)                    | 180.3 (28.7)              | 2.6     | 0.034*  |
| Deepest Choroidal Vessel >155µm| 20%                              | 100%                      | -       | 0.048*  |

*statistically significant (p<0.05).
