Antibiofilm and Antivirulence Efficacies of Flavonoids and Curcumin Against *Acinetobacter baumannii*
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**INTRODUCTION**

Most bacteria are able to form biofilms on various biotic and abiotic surfaces, and these films constitute structurally complex systems that defend microbial communities. Biofilm formation is a common cause of persistent infections by bacteria (Costerton et al., 1999), and resistance to eradication and high tolerance of conventional antimicrobial treatments are characteristic of bacterial biofilms (Wu et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2018).

*Acinetobacter baumannii* has been documented to be the most successful indigenous pathogen in healthcare institutions (Howard et al., 2012; Pakharukova et al., 2018). *A. baumannii* is an opportunistic Gram-negative bacillus that is responsible for a variety of nosocomial infections with high morbidity and mortality rates, these include, pneumonia, wound infections, bloodstream...
infections, urinary tract infections, and secondary meningitis (Howard et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2016). Furthermore, in intensive care neonatal and burns units, A. baumannii is one of the most commonly encountered pathogens (Seifert et al., 1994) (a claim shared with Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus aureus) (Paling et al., 2017a,b). Drug-resistant biofilm formation appears to play a vital role in the pathogenicity of A. baumannii (Qi et al., 2016), and biofilm development is critically dependent on the assembly of the csuA/BABCDE chaperon–usher, whereas pili production is required for adhesion to abiotic surfaces (Pakharukova et al., 2018). Furthermore, in A. baumannii it has been reported that biofilm formation and pili production were abolished by inactivation of the csuE gene (Tomaras et al., 2003), and that biofilm formation and motility are under the direct control of the two-component response regulator BfmR, which acts as a master control switch for biofilm development (Russo et al., 2016).

Flavonoids are omnipresent in the plant kingdom and exhibit antioxidative, anti-inflammatory, anti-mutagenic, and anti-carcinogenic effects (Panche et al., 2016), that coupled with metal chelation and scavenging of free radicals (Abuelsaad et al., 2014). Recently, curcumin and several other flavonoids were reported to inhibit biofilm formation by Streptococcus mutans (Duarte et al., 2006), Aeromonas hydrophila (Abuelsaad et al., 2014), Candida albicans (Alalwan et al., 2017), S. aureus (Lee et al., 2012), and Escherichia coli O157:H7 (Lee et al., 2011) and persistor cells formation in A. baumannii (Kaur et al., 2018). However, the antibiofilm activities of flavonoids have not been investigated against A. baumannii.

In this study, twelve flavonoids initially screened for nontoxic biofilm inhibitors against A. baumannii ATCC 17978, and the effects of three active biofilm inhibitors were further investigated with eight A. baumannii clinical isolates. In order to investigate the antibiofilm efficacy of the most active curcumin, confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) were utilized. Also, the effect of curcumin on pellicle formation and motility was studied. In addition, antibiofilm activity of curcumin was studied in two dual species biofilm models of C. albicans and A. baumannii. Furthermore, an in vivo Caenorhabditis elegans model was used to study the effect of curcumin on A. baumannii virulence.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics Statement
This study does not involve any human or animal participants nor does the study involve any invasion of privacy or accessing confidential information of individuals. The ethical committee of Yeungnam University has granted the exemption of ethical approval.

Bacterial Strain and Chemicals
A. baumannii ATCC 17978 and eight clinical A. baumannii isolates (ATCC BAA-1709, A 550, A 578, A 553, A 556, A 580, A 571, A 564) were obtained from burns patients at the National Rehabilitation Institute of Mexico; A. baumannii ATCC 17978 was used as a reference strain (Cruz-Muniz et al., 2017). For the dual biofilm experiment, we used C. albicans DAY185 (obtained from the Korean Culture Center of Microorganisms1) and A. baumannii ATCC 17978. All experiments were conducted at 37°C, and trypticase soy broth (TSB) and potato dextrose broth (PDB) media were used for the biofilm assay, Luria-Bertani (LB) medium for the pellicle assay, and motility agar (MA) medium in the motility experiment. Chemicals including twelve flavonoids viz. flavone (99%), 6-amino flavone (97%), 6-hydroxy flavone (98%), apigenin (97%), chrysin (97%), curcumin (94%), daidzein (98%), fisetin (98%), genistein (98%), luteolin (98%), phloretin (99%), and quercetin (98%), gallium nitrate (99.9%), and crystal violet (90%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (MO, United States). The structures of these flavonoids are provided in Figure 1A.

TSB, PDB, LB media, and ethanol (95%) were purchased from Becton Dickison and company (NJ, United States) and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) from Duksan Pure Chemicals (Daegu, South Korea), respectively. All 12 flavonoids solutions were prepared by diluting them in DMSO that was also used as a negative control.

Bacterial Culture and Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) Assay
A. baumannii initially was streaked from −80°C glycerol stock on trypticase soy agar (TSA) plates, and a single fresh colony was inoculated in TSB (2 ml) in 14-ml tubes and incubated at 37°C and 250 rpm (Lee et al., 2012). Overnight cultures were reinoculated at 1:100 dilution in TSB. For cell growth measurements, a 1:100 inoculum was cultured in TSB (25 ml) in 250 ml flasks and incubated at 37°C overnight with agitation at 250 rpm. Optical densities were then measured at 600 nm using a spectrophotometer (Optizen 2120 UV, Mecasys, South Korea). Streaking and subculturing of C. albicans DAY185 was performed using potato dextrose agar (PDA) or PDB, unless otherwise specified (Manoharan et al., 2017). C. albicans was preserved at −80°C in 1 ml of PDB supplemented with 30% glycerol, and when needed, streaked on PDA plates. Plates were incubated for 48 h at 37°C and a fresh single colony was then inoculated into 25 ml of PDB and cultured overnight at 37°C. A minimum two independent cultures were used for each experiment.

MICs were determined (Betts and Wareham, 2014; Singh, 2014; Singh et al., 2017) using the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (2002) susceptibility testing guidelines in 96-well microtiter plates (SPL Life Sciences, Pocheon, South Korea). Briefly, an overnight culture at a dilution of 1:100 inoculated in TSB was cultured for 24 h in the presence of curcumin (100, 200, or 500 µg/ml) at 37°C. After incubation cultures were spread on TSA plates, incubated for 24 h at 37°C, and cell colonies were counted. Experiments were performed using at least two independent cultures.

1http://www.kccm.or.kr/
Crystal Violet Biofilm Assay and Antibiofilm Screening

Static biofilm formation was assayed in 96-well polystyrene plates as previously reported (Lee et al., 2014). Briefly, cells in TSB (total volume 300 µl) inoculated at initial turbidity of 0.05 at 600 nm (OD600) were cultured with or without for 24 h without shaking at 37°C. The same amount of TSB was added to peripheral wells of 96-well plate to avoid edge effects. To quantify total biofilm formation, biofilms in 96-well plates were stained with 0.1% crystal violet for 20 min, dissolved in 95% ethanol, and absorbances were measured at 570 nm (OD570). Cell growth in 96-well plates was also measured at 620 nm (OD620). For initial anti-biofilm screening, we tested all twelve flavonoids at a concentration of 50 µg/ml; results presented are averages of at least six replicate wells. Another static biofilm formation assay was performed in 14 ml polyethylene tube, as previously described (Pour et al., 2011). A. baumannii ATCC 17978 cells were inoculated 1:100 in 5 ml of TSB medium with curcumin at 0, 10, 20, 50, and 100 µg/ml and incubated for 24 h without shaking. Ring biofilms in 14 ml polyethylene tubes were stained with crystal violet and results presented are the averages of at least three repetitions.

Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy

Acinetobacter baumannii was inoculated at an OD600 of 0.05 in 3 ml of TSB in glass bottomed confocal dishes (SPL life Sciences, Pocheon, South Korea) for 24 h at 37°C with curcumin at 0, 10, and 50 µg/ml without shaking. To visualize biofilm structures, cells were stained with carboxyfluorescein diacetate succinimidyl ester (Invitrogen, Molecular Probes, Inc, Eugene, OR, United States). Biofilm structures were evaluated by CLSM (Nikon Eclipse Ti, Tokyo, Japan) (Lee et al., 2014), and their spatial characteristics were quantified using COMSTAT biofilm program2 by analyzing at least four random positions in three independent cultures. To measure biofilm formation, color confocal images (20 image stacks) were converted to gray scale using ImageJ program3. COMSTAT biofilm software was used to determine biomasses (µm³ per µm²), mean thicknesses (µm), and substratum coverages (%) (Runci et al., 2017).

Assessment of Pellicle Formation

A. baumannii can form pellicles more readily at air-liquid interfaces than other pathogenic Acinetobacter species (Chabane et al., 2014). The pellicle formation assay used was a modification of a previously described protocol (Marti et al., 2011). In brief, overnight bacterial cultures were diluted 1:100 in 5 ml of LB broth and grown in glass tubes for 72 h at 25 and 37°C in the dark without agitation (Mussi et al., 2010). Amounts of pellicle material were assessed by adding 1 ml of ethanol to tube underneath pellicle material, removing floating

---

2http://www.comstat.dk/
3https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/
pellicles, and resuspending them in phosphate buffer saline (PBS) as previously reported (Giles et al., 2015). OD_{600} values were measured using a spectrophotometer (Optizen 2120 UV, Mecasys, South Korea). Experiments were conducted in triplicate on three different days.

**Surface Motility Assay**

To assess surface motility with different concentrations of agar, MA containing 0.4% agarose, 1% tryptone, and 0.5% yeast extract was used (Clemmer et al., 2011), and MA medium supplemented with 0.25% agar (Eijkkelkamp et al., 2011). Curcumin at 10 and 50 μg/ml concentration was added to MA, and DMSO (0.1%) was used as a negative control. Overnight grown ~0.2 μl cultures of *A. baumannii* ATCC 17978 and three other multi-drug resistant clinical isolates (A 550, A 556, and A 580) were placed on motility plates using a sterile pipette tip. Sizes of halos produced by cells traveling across agar plates were measured after 9 h of incubation at 37°C. Each experiment was performed using at least three independent cultures.

**Mixed Culture Biofilm Assay**

Because of its antibiofilm activity against *C. albicans* (Alalwan et al., 2017; Tan et al., 2018), we speculated curcumin would exhibit antibiofilm activity against a mixed culture of *C. albicans* and *A. baumannii*. Cells were inoculated together in PDB and TSB (50:50) mixed for *C. albicans* and *A. baumannii* at 1:50 (CFU ~1 × 10^5) and 1:100 (CFU ~7 × 10^5) dilution ratios from each with overnight cultures, respectively. Pure cultures of *C. albicans* and *A. baumannii* were tested at the same time. Biofilms in 96-well plates were stained with 0.1% crystal violet, dissolved 95% ethanol, and OD_{570} values were used to quantify total biofilm formation. Cell growth in 96-well plate were determined using OD_{620} values. Hyphal formation by *C. albicans* and mixed biofilm formation were assessed by SEM as previously described (Lee et al., 2014). Briefly, small pieces (0.5 cm × 0.5 cm) of nylon filter were placed in wells of 96-well plates containing 300 μl cells/well. Cells were incubated in the absence or presence of curcumin at 37°C for 24 h without shaking. Prior to observation, biofilm samples were fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde and 2% formaldehyde for 24 h, serially post fixed in PBS and osmium tetroxide, and dehydrated using an ethanol series (50, 70, 80, 90, 95, and 100%) and isoamyl acetate. After critical-point drying, cells on filters were sputter-coated with palladium/gold and observed under an S-4100 scanning electron microscope (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) at magnifications ranging from ×1,000 to 10,000 using an accelerating voltage of 15 kV.

**Molecular Docking Simulations of Flavonoids With BfmR**

The molecular docking assays was conducted as previously described (Russo et al., 2016; Rajasekharan et al., 2017). Docking studies were performed to evaluate interactions between all twelve flavonoids and BfmR binding sites of *A. baumannii* (Protein Data Bank 6BR7). The three-dimensional structure of the beryllium fluorinated (BeF_3^-) receiver domain of *A. baumannii* BfmR resolved at 1.86 Å was used for docking simulation. This BfmR domain consisted of two chains (A and B) and has a sequence length of 133 amino acids. For grid generation, beryllium fluorinated ligand was used as the centroid and ligands were docked at positions proximal to BeF_3^- binding pockets (Draughn et al., 2018) using Schrodinger software 11.4 (Cambridge, MA, United States). A BfmR inhibitor 2-aminoimidazole and two biofilm inhibitors, virstatin and LED 209, were also docked at active sites. The glide score value and more specific target binding interactions to Asp15 and Asp58 were recorded.

**C. elegans Killing Assay**

The *C. elegans* killing assay used was a modification of a previously described protocol (Beceiro et al., 2014). Briefly, non-infected nematodes (~20–30) [fer-15(hb26);fem-1(hc17)] were pipetted into 96-well plate containing M9 buffer and overnight curcumin (50 μg/ml) treated and untreated with *A. baumannii* and/or *C. albicans* cells. As a second dose curcumin was added to respective wells to make final concentration 50 μg/ml (total volume 300 μl). Nematodes were incubated at 25°C and viabilities were determined as previously described (Rajasekharan et al., 2018), by exposing them to LED or UV LED lights for 10–30 s using an iRiSTM Digital Cell Imaging System (Logos BioSystems, South Korea). Three independent experiments (n = ~20–30) were conducted.

**Statistical Analysis**

Replication numbers for assays are provided above and results are expressed as means ± standard deviations. The statistical analysis was performed by one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test using SPSS version 23 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States). *P* values of < 0.05 were regarded significant and asterisks are used to indicate significant differences between treated and untreated samples.

**RESULTS**

**Impacts of Flavonoids on Biofilm Formation by *A. baumannii***

The effects of the 12 flavonoids (Figure 1A) were initially investigated on *A. baumannii* ATCC 17978 biofilm formation in 96-well polystyrene plates using a crystal violet assay. Of the 12 flavonoids, luteolin, genistein, quercetin, fisetin, phloretin, and curcumin at 50 μg/ml exhibited biofilm inhibition, whereas 6-amino flavone, apigenin, 6-hydroxy flavone, and chrysin increased biofilm formation, and the backbone flavone and daidzein had little effect (Figure 1B). Three flavonoids, that is, fisetin, phloretin, and curcumin, significantly and dose-dependently reduced biofilm formation, for example, these three flavonoids at 10 and 100 μg/ml reduced biofilm formation by *A. baumannii* ATCC 17978 by >45 and >86%, respectively (Figure 1C).
Antibiofilm Activities of Fisetin, Phloretin, and Curcumin Against A. baumannii Clinical Isolates

Next, we investigated the antibiofilm activities of fisetin, phloretin, and curcumin against eight clinical A. baumannii strains (Cruz-Muniz et al., 2017). Of these strains, ATCC BAA-1709, A 550, A 578, and A 553 were highly biofilm-forming, A 556, A 580, A 571 were intermediate, and A 564 had poor biofilm forming ability. Interestingly, fisetin, phloretin, and curcumin at 50 µg/ml all inhibited biofilm formation by the multidrug resistance (MDR) strains A 550, A 556, A 580, and A 564, and the antibiotic-sensitive ATCC BAA-1709 and A 571 strains. On the other hand, biofilm formation by two MDR strains, that is, A 553 (sensitive to colistin and amikacin), and A 578 (sensitive to colistin, imipenem, and meropenem) were not affected by fisetin, phloretin, or curcumin (Figure 2). Of these three flavonoids, curcumin was the most effective biofilm inhibitor against tested A. baumannii strains, and thus, it was the focus of subsequent studies conducted using the reference A. baumannii ATCC 17978 strain.

Effects of Curcumin on Planktonic Cell Growth and Biofilm Formation

The antibiofilm activity of curcumin was compared with that of gallium nitrate (a known biofilm inhibitor) (Runci et al., 2017). Both curcumin and gallium nitrate dose-dependently inhibited biofilm formation by the ATCC 17978 strain, though curcumin was superior to gallium nitrate at same concentrations (Figures 3A,B). For example, curcumin at 20 or 100 µg/ml decreased biofilm formation in polystyrene 96-well plate by 46 and 93%, respectively, whereas gallium nitrate at these concentrations decreased biofilm formation by 24 and 67%, respectively. Biofilm formation was also assessed in polyethylene tubes, as previously described (Pour et al., 2011), and curcumin was found to dose-dependently inhibit ring biofilm formation by A. baumannii (Figure 3D). We also examined the effect of curcumin on planktonic cell growth. The MICs of curcumin and gallium nitrate against ATCC 17978 strain were determined to be >500 and >1000 µg/ml, respectively. It was difficult to determine an exact MIC as curcumin precipitated from solution at higher concentrations, as previously reported (Betts and Wareham, 2014). Curcumin at concentrations up to 200 µg/ml slightly reduced (by ≤38%) the planktonic cell growth of A. baumannii under shaking conditions in a flask (Figure 3C). These results indicated that antibiofilm activity of curcumin was not due to its antimicrobial activity, indicating curcumin may less prone to the development of drug resistance.

Microscopic Observations of Biofilm Inhibition by Curcumin

The antibiofilm effect of curcumin was further confirmed by CSLM and COMSTAT analysis. Interestingly, A. baumannii ATCC 17978 formed relatively thin surface biofilms on glass surfaces (Figure 3E) but robust ring biofilms on polyethylene (Figure 3D). Furthermore, the antibiofilm activity of curcumin was more marked on glass than polystyrene (Figure 3A) and polyethylene (Figure 3D) surfaces. For example, curcumin at 10 µg/ml markedly reduced surface biofilm formation on glass (Figure 3E), whereas at 100 µg/ml curcumin was more effective at preventing ring biofilm formation on polystyrene (Figure 3D). Biofilm reduction was also confirmed by COMSTAT analysis, which showed curcumin at 10 or 50 µg/ml significantly reduced biofilm biomasses, average thicknesses, and substrate coverage (Figure 3F). Specifically, biofilm biomass, thickness, and substrate coverage were reduced by curcumin at 10 µg/ml by >95% versus untreated controls.

Inhibitory Effect of Curcumin on Pellicle Formation

A. baumannii colonizes the upper surfaces of static liquids and form biofilms at air-liquid interfaces by a process called pellicle formation, which is a type of biofilm formation (Kentache et al., 2017). The effect of curcumin on pellicle formation of ATCC 17978 and three other multi-drug resistant clinical isolates (A 550, A 556, and A 580) were measured at 25
and 37°C in LB medium without shaking. After 24 h, a thin pellicle started to form at the liquid surface, and by the end of the third day, an opaque, solid pellicle covered the entire liquid surface (Figures 4A,B and Supplementary Figure S1D). Pellicle growth was found to be greater at 25°C, which concurs with the results of Marti et al. (2011), and to be significantly inhibited by curcumin at 50 µg/ml (Figure 4C and Supplementary Figure S1F).

**Inhibition of Surface Motility by Curcumin**

*Acinetobacter baumannii* biofilm formation depends on the synthesis of pili, which are structures assembled by the *csaA/BABCDE* chaperone-usher secretion system (Luo et al., 2015). Curcumin at 10 µg/ml reduced surface motility on 0.4% agarose and 0.25% agar (Figures 5A,B). Surface motility of ATCC 17978 with 0.4% agarose measuring mean halo diameters at 9 h were 1.4 ± 0.6 cm for curcumin treatment and 5.6 ± 1.6 cm for the non-treated control. Similarly, surface motilities measured in 0.25% agar using mean halo diameters were 0.3 ± 0.2 cm for curcumin at 10 µg/ml and 6.6 ± 2.3 cm for the control. Also, three clinical isolates (A 550, A 556, and A 580) were motile, which was significantly inhibited by curcumin (Supplementary Figures S1A–C,E). This is interesting since pili play a role both in biofilm formation and motility that were markedly abolished by curcumin.

**Mixed Culture Biofilm Inhibition by Curcumin**

Biofilm formation is a survival policy for bacteria and fungi in challenging environments (Wu et al., 2015). Crystal violet biofilm and SEM assays were used to examine the inhibitory effects of curcumin on mixed biofilms of *C. albicans* and *A. baumannii*. To form these dual biofilms, we used a (50:50) mixed medium of PDB and TSB to enable *C. albicans* and
A. baumannii growth, respectively. Under these conditions, decent biofilm formation (1.0~3.0 at OD_{570}) of individual C. albicans and A. baumannii strain and also co-culture of two species was observed (Figures 6A,B). As previously reported by Alalwan et al. (2017), curcumin dose-dependently inhibited biofilm formation by C. albicans (Figure 6A), for example, at 10 µg/ml curcumin reduced its biofilm formation by >80%. Importantly, curcumin at 20 µg/ml reduced mixed biofilm formation by >85% (Figure 6B). SEM analysis showed that in mixed biofilms of C. albicans and A. baumannii, C. albicans formed large hyphae and few yeast cells, which were much larger than A. baumannii cells, and A. baumannii cells appeared to be encased in C. albicans hyphae (Figure 6C).

**Interactions of Flavonoids With the Biofilm Response Regulator BfmR**

Biofilm inhibition in A. baumannii is under the control of a BfmR/S, which is a two-component system (Liou et al., 2014). In this part of the study, we investigated interactions between several flavonoids and the BeF$_3^-$ domain of BfmR (Figure 7).
FIGURE 6 | Antibiofilm activity of curcumin in the mixed biofilm model. Antibiofilm effect of curcumin against C. albicans DAY185 in PDB medium (A). Antibiofilm effect of curcumin on mixed C. albicans DAY185 and A. baumannii ATCC 17978 biofilms was determined in a (50:50) mixed of PDB and TSB media after culture for 24 h in 96-well plates (B). SEM observation of C. albicans and A. baumannii mixed biofilms. In insets, the larger cells are C. albicans and the smaller cells are A. baumannii (C). Scale bar = 30 µm. ∗p < 0.05 versus untreated controls.

and Supplementary Figure S2). The positive control, 2-aminomidazole (Thompson et al., 2012) exhibited hydrogen bond interactions with Asp15 and Asp58 and a Pi-Pi stacking with Lys107 (Figure 7A). Also, two known biofilm inhibitors (virustatin and LED209) interacted with Asp16 (adjacent to Asp15) and Lys107 (Figures 7B,C). Curcumin was found to interact well with the active site with a binding energy of −38.7 kcal/mol (Supplementary Table S1). It formed backbone H-bonds with negatively charged Asp15 and two H-bonds with non-polar Val109 (Figure 7D). Fistein and quercetin also formed two H-bonds with Asp15 and Pi-Pi stacking with Lys107 (Figure 7F, Supplementary Figure S2, and Supplementary Table S1), while phloretin was found to interact better than fistein or quercetin with a binding energy of −41.8 kcal/mol, resulting from the formation of H-bonds with Asp15 and Asp58 and Pi-Pi stacking with Lys107 (Figure 7E, Supplementary Figure S2, and Supplementary Table S1). Several other flavonoids (6-aminoflavone, apigenin, 6-hydroxyflavone, luteolin, chrysin, flavone, and daidzein) were also tested which did not interact with the active site and showed poor interaction patterns (Supplementary Figure S2). Furthermore, our in vitro studies, showed these flavonoids did not inhibit biofilm formation (Figure 1B). Overall, BfmR binding efficacies of all 12 flavonoids were correlated with their antibiofilm efficacies. Based on in vitro and in silico findings, we speculate that the interaction of curcumin with BfmR could be one of the possible causes for its antibiofilm activity. However, further in vitro studies are required to confirm the curcumin/BfmR interaction.

Curcumin Increased the Survival of C. elegans Exposed to A. baumannii
Since A. baumannii and C. albicans kills the nematode C. elegans (Becceiro et al., 2014), a C. elegans killing assay was performed to examine the protective effect of curcumin. A. baumannii infection caused 80% C. elegans fatality (20% survival) in 5 days (Figure 8A), but the presence of curcumin at 50 µg/ml reduced this to 35% (Figure 8A and Supplementary Figure S3), while the cell numbers of A. baumannii are similar (Supplementary Figure S4). Also, curcumin significantly attenuated the virulence of C. albicans in the nematode. These results show that curcumin effectively reduced the virulence of A. baumannii and C. albicans in our nematode model. Interestingly, mixed infection of C. albicans and A. baumannii showed much less virulence than the single pathogenic infection on C. elegans. This result confirms that C. albicans and A. baumannii are antagonistic each other and reduce their virulence against C. elegans as reported in references (Kostoulas et al., 2016). In addition, we investigated the chemical toxicity of curcumin against uninfected C. elegans.
After 4 days trial, curcumin treated nematodes showed similar trends like the non-treated controls (Figure 8B), confirming that curcumin was nontoxic to worms, and did not affect the survival rate.

**DISCUSSION**

Flavonoids are a ubiquitous class of phytocompounds and considered prospective candidates for drug design (Merken and Beecher, 2000). Here, we report the biofilm inhibitory potentials of several flavonoids against the clinically relevant biofilm-forming bacterial pathogen *A. baumannii*. Of the twelve flavonoids tested, curcumin, fisetin, and phloretin most efficiently reduced biofilm formation by *A. baumannii* strains, including six clinical isolates (Figures 1, 2). In particular, curcumin inhibited ring biofilm formation, pellicle formation, motility, and mixed *C. albicans* and *A. baumannii* biofilm formation (Figures 3–6). Molecular docking analysis indicated flavonoids can interact with the biofilm response regulator BfmR (Figure 7 and Supplementary Figure S2).

Curcumin is an unstable, reactive, nonbioavailable compound (Nelson et al., 2017) while it is an established therapeutic
agent and is effective against various strains of Gram-negative and Gram-positive pathogens (Jaiswal and Mishra, 2018). Its mode of action at the molecular level has not been established, but it is thought to disrupt bacterial membranes (Tyagi et al., 2015; Teow et al., 2016). In the present study, we observed that at low concentrations, curcumin possibly inhibits biofilm formation by blocking BfmR, which is an interesting prospective therapeutic target in A. baumannii, as it has been shown inactivation of BfmR inhibits biofilm, motility and pellicle formation by A. baumannii (Russo et al., 2016). Recently, BfmR in A. baumannii was identified as a drug target, and as a result, several high-throughput molecular docking approaches have been used to identify small molecule BfmR inhibitors that interact strongly with biologically relevant sites in BfmR (Draughn et al., 2018). Similarly, we performed molecular docking to predict the binding efficacies of flavonoids with modeled BfmR. Active sites in BfmR were putatively identified by Draughn et al. (2018) who found several negatively charged amino acids in its active site. These included the conserved Asp58 and Asp15 residues coordinated with the BeF$_3^-$ domain and a Mg$^{2+}$ ion. In the present study, we found that our lead compounds (genestein, quercetin, fisetin, phloretin, and curcumin) and the standard inhibitors (virstatin, LED209, and 2-aminoimidazole) have strong positive interactions with BfmR. However, no such interactions were observed for seven other flavonoids (luteolin, apigenin, daidzein, chrysin, flavone, 6-hydroxyflavone, and 6-aminoflavone), and these flavonoids did not exhibit antibiofilm activity (Figure 1B). Thus, our results suggest the inhibitory activities of flavonoids are related to BfmR binding modes.

In mixed culture of A. baumannii and C. albicans biofilms, the outer membrane protein of A. baumannii FhaB binds to Hyr1p (encoded by HYR1) of C. albicans, and it has been reported that HYR1 knockdown significantly reduces A. baumannii binding to C. albicans hyphae (Darwish Alipour Astaneh et al., 2017; Uppuluri et al., 2018). We observed mixed cultures C. albicans and A. baumannii formed substantial amounts of biofilm and that this was dose-dependently reduced by curcumin. We hope our findings will aid the fight against polymicrobial infections and believe they have significant disease management implications because they impact antimicrobial therapy selection against drug-resistant microorganisms. Furthermore, curcumin effectively reduced A. baumannii virulence in vivo in our C. elegans model without exhibiting toxicity (Figure 8). These findings show curcumin is a potential candidate for antivirulence strategies against persistent A. baumannii infections.

CONCLUSION

The expansion in drug resistance to conventional antibiotics has necessitated the developments of alternative antibiotic and antifungal agents. Over past decades, curcumin has been demonstrated to have potent antibiofilm activity and other pharmacological actions. Curcumin is marketed as a health supplement mainly for its antibacterial, antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties. However, the present study, curcumin was found to inhibit biofilm formation by A. baumannii strains and by A. baumannii and C. albicans mixtures and to attenuate A. baumannii virulence in our nematode model. These findings indicate curcumin has potential use as an alternative antibiotic or antifungal agent. However, we recommend more investigations be conducted to better understand the broad action of curcumin before efforts are made to develop antibiofilm or antivirulence agents based on curcumin.
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