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Introduction

Materials containing boron investigated for solid state neutron detection [1] include semiconducting boron carbide [1–12], boron nitride [13–15], boron phosphide [16–19], Mg2B14 [20] and lithium borates [21–27]. In particular, undoped lithium tetraborate (Li2B4O7) is capable of being enriched with 6Li up to 95% and 10B up to 97.3% [26], well above the natural abundances (6Li–7.42%, 10B–19%), thereby increasing thermal neutron capture [1, 21, 26].

Many rare earth (RE) ions exhibit high luminescence efficiency in host borate crystals and glasses with various chemical compositions [27–46]. Consequently, combining rare earth dopants with the high thermal neutron capture cross-sections of 6Li and 10B may result in highly efficient neutron scintillators [26, 27, 46, 47]. In lithium tetraborate, this is particularly true as undoped Li2B4O7 has a wide band gap of ~9.8 eV, based on measurements of [100] and [110] oriented single crystals [24, 48–51] and is highly transparent in the visible spectrum. In general, the borates, including lithium tetraborate (Li2B4O7) single crystals, are characterized by high optical transmission from far infrared to vacuum ultraviolet [49, 52].

Obtaining single crystal Li2B4O7 is technologically challenging; thus, application to large area scintillation detectors will favor glasses over single crystals due to lower fabrication costs. The very low crystal growth velocity and high melt viscosity lead to difficulties with dopants, particularly rare earths [53, 54]. Therefore, from the technological viewpoint, the vitreous Li2B4O7 compounds are more advantageous when compared with their crystalline analogs.

Padlyak, Teslyuk and coworkers studied the luminescence properties of some rare earth doped lithium tetraborate (Li2B4O7:RE) glasses [39, 41]. They deduced from the optical characteristics that the rare earths generally occupy the Li+ site exclusively in the +3 valence state. Compared to other rare earth doped borates and crystalline counterparts, a broadening of the spectral lines was observed which was theorized to be a result of the dopant interaction with a varying O-coordination environment in the glassy material. Studying this varying O-coordination structure is not ideal [55] with traditional bulk diffraction measurements (e.g., XRD) due to the lack of long range order. Instead, a more localized probe such as extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) may be used.

In this research, lithium tetraborate glasses have been separately doped with four different rare earth elements: Nd, Gd, Dy, and Er. The local environment about the dopants was studied with EXAFS in order to determine the positional disorder of the varying O environment, an average coordination number and bond length, and to assist in validating the presumption that a varying O-environment is the source of the spectral line broadening. Further, the experimentally determined site has been used as a basis for density functional theory (DFT) calculations to investigate the electronic nature...
behind the spectral line broadening observed in the emission spectra.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The doped Li₂B₄O₇:Nd, Li₂B₄O₇:Gd, Li₂B₄O₇:Dy, and Li₂B₄O₇:Er glasses were obtained in the air from corresponding polycrystalline compounds according to standard glass synthesis. For solid-state synthesis of the doped materials, highly pure carbonate (Li₂CO₃) and boric acid (H₃BO₃) were used. Solid-state synthesis of the doped Li₂B₄O₇:RE compounds was carried out using a multi-step heating process that follows the reaction sequence [39, 41]:

\[
\text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 + \text{H}_3\text{BO}_3(150^\circ\text{C}, \text{H}_2\text{O} \uparrow) \rightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 + \alpha - \text{HBO}_2(250^\circ\text{C}, \text{H}_2\text{O} \uparrow) \rightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 + \text{B}_2\text{O}_3(600^\circ\text{C}, \text{CO}_2 \uparrow) \rightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{B}_4\text{O}_7 + [\text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 + \text{B}_2\text{O}_3] \rightarrow (800^\circ\text{C}, \text{CO}_2 \uparrow) \rightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{B}_4\text{O}_7
\]

The Nd, Gd, Dy, and Er impurities were added as RE₂O₃ (RE= Nd, Gd, Dy, and Er) in amounts of 0.5 and 1.0 mol. %. Large doped glasses were obtained by fast cooling of the corresponding melt that was heated more than 100 K higher than the melting temperature for excluding the crystallization processes. Samples were cut for optical measurements and polished to a size of approximately 5 × 3 × 2 mm³.

Optical absorption spectra were recorded at room temperature with a Varian spectrophotometer (model 5E UV–VIS–NIR). Emission and luminescence excitation spectra were acquired with a Dongwoo (model DM711) scanning system consisting of an excitation monochromator with 150 mm focal length and emission monochromator having 750 mm focal length equipped with a photomultiplier and an InGaAs detector.

The Li₂B₄O₇:RE extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectra were measured using a double crystal monochromator of Lemonnier type [56] at the DCM and WDCM beamlines located at the Center for Advanced Microstructures and Devices (CAMD) [57–59]. Due to the thickness of the glass samples and low dopant concentrations (nominally 1%), the absorption spectra were measured in fluorescence mode and the spectra analyzed without self-absorption corrections. For all samples, the L₃ (2p₃/₂) edge fluorescence was measured corresponding to the M₅-L₃ (3d₅/₂→2p₃/₂) transition and was found to be in reasonable agreement with expectations [60].

The EXAFS spectra were analyzed in two different ways. First, the spectra were analyzed using standard procedures with the IFEFFIT tool suite and FEFF 6 [61–65]. This consisted of isolating the EXAFS signal, converting to k-space, then using the Fourier transform to determine the average coordination number and element-type around the rare earth dopants, as detailed elsewhere for other doped Li₂B₄O₇ crystals [66] and glasses [67]. The second method of analysis employed the use of a Latin hyper-cube sampling (LHS) routine and the scattering code FEFF 9 for phase shifts and backscattering amplitudes. This code was developed as a bridge between a Monte Carlo modeling approach and traditional EXAFS fitting and is a more recent development. The power of this latter approach lies in the ability to cover a very large parameter space in modeling the experimental data and locating false minima in the fits to the data. The results of both analysis methods are generally in agreement, although the Latin hypercube (LHS) approach is computationally faster.

Despite the glassy nature of the samples that may result in positional disorder around the RE dopant, the model used for fitting the EXAFS was based on the known crystal structure (Figure 1) of Li₂B₄O₇ [49, 55, 68–69]. This was an appropriate starting point for analyzing EXAFS spectra that will on average reflect the coordinating environment, including an average distance to the first shell and an average coordination number. As it is hypothesized that the rare earth dopants will substitutionally dope for the Li⁺ atoms, starting with the crystalline model and then adjusting the O environment to reflect the average coordinating environment makes the most sense. Furthermore, due to the low Z nature of the glassy matrix, it is expected that the photoelectric backscattering signal will be very weak beyond the first shell, unless there is significant dopant clustering in the material, which would result in a detectable RE-RE scattering shell.

**OPTICAL ABSORPTION AND LUMINESCENCE**

High-quality scintillation is dependent upon significant quantum efficiency while retaining optical transparency [27, 43–47]. For energies less than 3.3 eV (wave lengths longer than 375 nm) the absorption is low [26, 27, 49, 70, 71] and the optical absorption spectra of the investigated glasses are dominated by absorption bands of the Nd³⁺, Gd³⁺, Dy³⁺, and Er³⁺ centers and can be generally assigned to the appropriate electronic f to f transitions, as illustrated for the doped Li₂B₄O₇:Nd glass in Figure 2.

Although the luminescence emission spectra are characteristic of the f to f transitions of the +3 rare earth ions, the effect of the host matrix plays a role. In the case of the Nd³⁺ centers, where four characteristic f to f transition bands due to the ⁴F₃/₂ → ⁴I₉/₂, ⁴F₃/₂ → ⁴I₁₁/₂, ⁴F₅/₂ → ⁴I₁₃/₂, and the ⁴F₃/₂ → ⁴I₁₅/₂ transitions...
dipole transitions (some shown in Figure 3) combine to provide a net quantum efficiency of 24%, inhomogeneous broadening of spectral lines does occur [72, 73]. We know that the rare earth states will couple to the host matrix, as clearly demonstrated for rare earth doped GaN [74]. As with prior studies [41], the rare earth ions are placed in the Li$^+$ site but there is positional disorder of the RE$^{3+}$ luminescence centers in the Li$^+$ sites of the Li$_2$B$_4$O$_7$ lattice and this is characterized by slightly different spectroscopic parameters compared to other rare earth doped borates and crystalline counterparts. EXAFS studies of the L3 edge indicate that the local structure (first coordination shell) around the rare earth ions in glassy lithium tetraborate samples is closely similar. This similar result was observed in the EXAFS study of rare earth impurities on crystal and glass samples of the CaO–Ga$_2$O$_3$–GeO$_2$ system [75]. The structural studies must be understood in this context.

In Figure 4, the normalized experimental XAFS signal is plotted for Li$_2$B$_4$O$_7$:Nd. All the rare earth doped samples of this study, Li$_2$B$_4$O$_7$:Nd, Li$_2$B$_4$O$_7$:Gd, Li$_2$B$_4$O$_7$:Dy, and Li$_2$B$_4$O$_7$:Er, have very similar XAFS spectra (Figure 4 inset), including the presence of the sharp white line: a strong feature just above the absorption edge. The measured L3 edge for the Li$_2$B$_4$O$_7$:Nd was determined to be 6212 eV by identifying the inflection point on the rising photoelectric edge, or about 4 eV greater than the expected 6208 eV [60]. This shift in the dopant core level absorption edge is typical of lithium tetraborate and in some cases has been attributed to the large band gap of this oxide, even when doped [66] (although such a shift is commonly related to the charge on the absorbing element – a rare earth in this case). This shift in the L3 absorption edge is similar for all the data obtained for all four of the rare earth doped Li$_2$B$_4$O$_7$ glass samples.

In Figure 5, the experimental EXAFS spectra have been extracted from the data in Figure 4, taken above the rare earth dopant L3 edge, have been replotted as a function of wave vector $k$ for the Li$_2$B$_4$O$_7$:Nd, Li$_2$B$_4$O$_7$:Gd, Li$_2$B$_4$O$_7$:Dy, and Li$_2$B$_4$O$_7$:Er glass samples. In all cases, the EXAFS is evident up to approximately 6 Å$^{-1}$ and is similar for the rare earth doped lithium tetraborate samples studied, with only small shifts. The signal-to-noise in the EXAFS spectra deteriorate with wave vectors greater than about 7.5 Å$^{-1}$ as is expected for glassy samples when the host matrix about the dopant consists primarily of low Z material [55, 67]. The energy range available for the various EXAFS spectra is limited by the presence of the L2 edge; hence, higher Z elements have a wider EXAFS energy range.

The rare earth doped lithium tetraborates are very similar in structure, as is evident from the EXAFS spectra in Figure 5.
similarly in the EXAFS features for all the samples is an indication that the rare earths dope the Li$_2$B$_4$O$_7$ lattice in a similar fashion. This is expected, as nearly all lanthanides adopt the +3 valence state [27–47]. In spite of the similarities of the spectra obtained for the Li$_2$B$_4$O$_7$:Nd, Li$_2$B$_4$O$_7$:Gd, Li$_2$B$_4$O$_7$:Dy, and Li$_2$B$_4$O$_7$:Er glass samples, there are small shifts in wave vector placement of the EXAFS oscillations with increasing atomic number. This characteristic shift in the EXAFS features corresponds to smaller bond lengths with increasing atomic number (lanthanide contraction); larger wave vector components correspond to smaller bond lengths in the Fourier transformation to R-space.

The reliability of the structural information from our EXAFS data is limited in scope to the first coordination shell due to the signal-to-noise deterioration. Furthermore, the structural information is an indication of the average environment due to the Fourier transform.

The Fourier transformed EXAFS spectrum for Li$_2$B$_4$O$_7$:Nd is plotted in Figure 6. The Fourier transformed EXAFS is typical for all the rare earth doped glass samples studied: Li$_2$B$_4$O$_7$:Nd, Li$_2$B$_4$O$_7$:Gd, Li$_2$B$_4$O$_7$:Dy, and Li$_2$B$_4$O$_7$:Er. The out-of-phase real and imaginary components of the R-space signal (plotted in Figure 6) demonstrate the high level of confidence that may be placed in the Fourier transform.

In the inset of Figure 6, the imaginary component for the Fourier transforms of the data of Figure 5 are plotted for all the doped samples. Traditionally, peaks in the imaginary spectra for EXAFS transforms have been correlated to physical distance in the radial distributions. Figure 7 is provided to show the quality of the EXAFS fit results and is indicative of the RE located substitutionally in a Li$^+$ site. Although all the fits are not exact, this and the extracted coordination numbers are an indication of multiple interactions being measured coherently. If each interaction was known exactly, then a multiple-PEFF calculation could be made and different weights applied to each in order to determine an exact fit. Figure 7, nonetheless, demonstrates that the fits, despite
deficiencies, are acceptable for all of the studied doped glass samples. The bond length contraction is noticeable with increasing Z, matching with the inset of Figure 6. The fit quality may be determined from the fitting parameters given in Table 1 for both the standard fitting method and using the LHS routine. For this result of the rare earth dopant substituting in a Li$^+$ site, the greater charge of the RE$^{3+}$ dopant may be easily compensated by anion vacancies, (V_Li)$^-$ and (V_B)$^{3-}$, elsewhere in the glassy matrix.

Due to the size and oxygen coordination number of the rare earths, placement of a rare earth dopant in the B complexes can almost immediately be excluded. A rare earth substitution for the B atom sites would result in a very large distortion of the lithium tetraborate lattice that does not fit with our experimental EXAFS data. Table 1 supports our position as to why a rare earth in a B substitution site can be neglected. To have a rare earth substitute for B in either the BO$_3$ or BO$_4$ clusters would result in coordination numbers of 3 and 4, less than the observed 7–10 oxygen coordination obtained from the EXAFS data. The RE-O bond length is reduced if placed in a boron site, even in the best fit to the EXAFS data. In the case for Nd, the Nd-O bond length is 1.92 Å for a boron site, still significantly less than the 2.7 Å observed, but the fit (Table 1) to the EXAFS data requires an unphysical shift in the adsorption edge, as discussed below in the context of our near edge X-ray absorption experimental data. It is noteworthy that for Nd substituting for boron in the BO$_3$ structure, the fit to the EXAFS data would still require a expansion of the bonding distance from the B-O approximately 1.3–1.9 Å, which would greatly distort the interlocking BO$_3$-BO$_4$ portions of the unit cell. In general, substituting a rare earth element such as Nd with a radius of roughly 1.123 Å with a coordination number of 6 into

![Figure 5](image5.png) **FIGURE 5** | The $k^2$ weighted extended X-ray absorption fine structure taken above the L3 rare earth dopant edge for Li$_2$B$_4$O$_7$:Nd, Li$_2$B$_4$O$_7$:Gd, Li$_2$B$_4$O$_7$:Dy and Li$_2$B$_4$O$_7$:Er.

![Figure 6](image6.png) **FIGURE 6** | The Fourier transformed extended X-ray absorption fine structure signal (solid) for Li$_2$B$_4$O$_7$:Nd plotted in Figure 5. The real (long dash) and imaginary (small dash) components are plotted to show Fourier transform contributions. In the inset, the Fourier transform imaginary components for Nd, Gd, Dy, and Er are shown. There is a trend from left to right in the inset, with the left most (small dash) line resulting from the Er doped sample and then as the atomic number decreases the peak position moves to longer bond length (Er → Dy → Gd → Nd) with Nd as the right most (solid) line.
a site where the B\(^{3+}\) radius is, on average, 0.15–0.25 Å for oxygen coordination numbers of 3 and 4 respectively, is unphysical. Substituting a rare earth element, such as Nd, in a manner that would in a way that would result in a bond length of 1.273 to 1.373 Å, so as to achieve less distortion of the interlocking BO\(_3\)-BO\(_4\) portions of the unit cell, is possible only if we ignore the obvious problem of coordination number mismatch and the very large resulting charge distributions, as partly elaborated by theory below.

**X-RAY ABSORPTION NEAR EDGE STRUCTURE**

In Figure 8, the experimental X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) region for Li\(_2\)B\(_4\)O\(_7\):Nd has been plotted in more detail than in Figure 4. The solid black line is the experimental data and the dotted red line is the theoretical calculation using FEFF 9.05 and full metal scattering with a cluster size of 5.1 Å and a small convergence factor of 0.05. The Hedin-Lundqvist to ground state exchange correlation was used.

Peak fitting to the XANES data was accomplished by using an arctangent function to represent the bare atom absorption background and two Voigt functions to fit the peaks. The results are listed in Table 2. Two broad peaks are identified in the XANES spectra; one at approximately 4.00 eV beyond the experimentally determined core binding energy (6212 eV) and the other at approximately 37.57 eV above the core L3 edge. A similar peak structure is observed in a rare earth oxygen environment in Joseph et al. [76], where the broad peak at around 35 eV has been associated with nearest neighbor scattering. The other rare earth...
doped glass samples Li2B4O7:Gd, Li2B4O7:Dy, and Li2B4O7:Er provide similar results in spite of the differences in the placement of the L3 edge. Additionally, using the Nd doped sample as a reference, the total yields of each of the respective peaks were compared to one another and indicate similar absorption. If the total area/yield is associated with an unoccupied density of states, then there is no detectable (at least in these sample conditions) difference between the doped samples. In fact, compared to the yield, the first peak has an average ratio of 1.04 and the second 0.99, hovering just around 1 and indicating that the electronic density of states are nearly the same for all of the rare earth doped glass samples. This conclusion is consistent with strong hybridization of the unoccupied rare earth 5d states with the host doped borate glasses as in Shimizugawa et al. [78]. Placement of a rare earth (e.g., Nd) in a boron site, in the most realistic fit to the EXAFS data (as noted above), presents a huge problem for the Heyd-Scuseria-Ernzerhof hybrid functional (HSE). For example, PBE+U and HSE predict CeO2 to be an insulator with the band gaps 5.3 and 7.0 eV respectively compared to the experimental value of 6.0 eV. The calculated energy difference between the lowest 4f state and the vacant conduction band (E_{g-4f}) of Ce2O3 were found to be 2.6 eV (PBE+U) and 2.5 eV (HSE) compared to the experimental value of 2.4 eV [88]. Our approach is very much the same as that PBE+U approach in the work of Da Silva and coworkers [88] except we used U = 6.0 eV for the 4f states of Nd, Gd, Dy, Er, and Yb, instead of the U = 4.5 eV for the Ce 4f used in Da Silva et al. [88]. The band gap for undoped lithium tetraborate has been estimated [89], by the above DFT approach, to be 6.48 eV, much less than the experimental value of 9.8 eV found in combined photoemission and inverse photoemission [48, 49, 89]. This is not surprising as the band gaps found in density functional theory of Li2B4O7 are generally observed to the underestimates of values obtained from combined photoemission and inverse photoemission experiments [48, 49, 89].

We built a cubic cell of Li16B32O56, as shown in Figure 9. Based on our EXAFS results that place the RE dopant in a Li+ site, one Li+ atom is then substituted by a Nd, Gd, Dy, Er, or Yb atom, representing 6.25% atomic doping which is much higher than the experimental level, but computationally tractable. Monkhorst-Pack [90] 2 × 2 × 2, k-points grids were adopted for Brillouin zone sampling. Geometry optimizations were performed for the coordinates of the atoms and the lattice parameters until the maximum force on the atoms was less than 0.01 eV/Å, confirming slight strain in the lattice in the vicinity of the rare earth dopant and dependent on the choice of the rare earth dopant. There is strong evidence of rare earth state hybridizations with the lithium tetraborate host, while the boron and oxygen are connected by valence bonds, but Li and borate are connected through ionic bonding, as is common in density functional calculations of lithium tetraborate [49–51] and is evident in the overlap of the partial density of states as plotted in Figure 10. This indicates that the Li+ site is also the most reasonable choice for substitutional rare earth dopants, because the borate B4O7 are mostly tied by strong covalent bonds, so a rare earth dopant is very unlikely to replace either B or O. The Li+ on the other hand, is bonded to the borate primary through ionic bonds, which is evident from the partial densities of states of Li2B4O7. Thus we feel that it is legitimate to substitute some of the Li+ by the rare earth atoms.

Table 2 | Tabulated are the results for fitting the XANES region of the doped samples with an arctangent function and two Voigt functions.

| Dopant | Peak 1–E0 (eV) | Peak 2–E0 (eV) | Peak 1/Nd yield ratio | Peak 2/Nd yield ratio | Reduced chi^2 |
|--------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| Nd     | 2.22 (6.71)    | 35.93 (15.24)  | 1.00                  | 1.00                  | 1.64          |
| Gd     | 1.76 (6.65)    | 37.46 (16.54)  | 1.08                  | 1.07                  | 2.13          |
| Dy     | 1.68 (6.57)    | 36.75 (14.95)  | 0.98                  | 0.98                  | 1.91          |
| Er     | 2.19 (7.16)    | 39.00 (14.87)  | 1.07                  | 0.93                  | 1.42          |
| Average| 2.00           | 3757           | 1.04                  | 0.99                  |               |

The “error” given in parenthesis in the Peak 1 and Peak 2 shifts from the inflection point (E_{inf}) are the full width half maximums FWHM.
In previous work [89], we noted that the Mulliken bond population, which is a measure of overlap charges in the chemical bonds [91, 92] of B-O bond is about 0.58 to 0.82, whereas Li-O bond is less than <0.06. From this one can deduce that the B-O bond is in nature strongly covalent, and Li-O is most likely ionic. The bond lengths of the B-O and Li-O are 1.36–1.51 Å and 1.97–2.46 Å, respectively, the latter being a much better fit to the EXAFS data discussed above, for substitutional rare earth doping. In Li2B4O7:Nd (Figure 10A) and Li2B4O7:Dy (Figure 10B), we observe that nearly all the unoccupied 4f levels hybridize with the lithium tetraborate conduction bands. This could explain the similarity of the edge shift uncovered by the Latin hypercube sampling (LHS) analysis summarized in Table 1 between Nd and Dy doped samples. We find that in Er the 4f levels, particularly the spin down component situated at EF do not mix with the host lithium tetraborate, whereas at 2–4 eV above EF, the f state population is very low (Figure 10C). For Li2B4O7:Yb (Figure 10D), there are few unoccupied 4f states, which leads to little depletional of the Yb 4f14 occupancy, unlike GaN:Yb [74]. For Nd (Figure 10A), Dy (Figure 10B), Er (Figure 10C), and Yb (Figure 10D) there is a significant 4f partial density of states that shows up within the lithium tetraborate band gap, but this is not observed for Li2B4O7:Gd. We find that the occupied Gd 4f states are embedded deep at the bottom of the valence band of the Li2B4O7:Gd valence band. The differences in the Gd electronic 4f state are also reflected in Table 1 from the experimental edge shift E0 being very different from the other doped samples. Overall we interpret the rare earth 4f state placement and the clear rare earth 4f hybridization to the lithium tetraborate lattice as contributing to the f to f transition spectral broadening, similar to our expectations for Er doped GaN due to the strong hybridization of the GaN matrix with the imbedded Er 4f states [74]. Such 4f states, as calculated here, do give rise to states within the band gap, not only as plotted in Figure 10, but are seen as sharp absorption lines in the transmission spectra at wave lengths greater than 375 nm, as well as reflected in the luminescence spectra of rare earth doped lithium tetraborates [27, 72, 73].

The correlation U has been applied, as noted, to the rare earth 4f orbitals only, the remaining various orbital subset of orbitals may in some cases have applicable correlation energies as well. There is no a priori exclusion of multiple correlation energies, nor of wave vector dependence of the various possible correlation energies and such complications might well exist. This could lead to an incomplete (or insufficient) description of orbital hybridization effects including the luminescent and optical properties. In general, the increased hybridization will decrease excited state lifetimes and increase luminescent spectral feature widths, including the f to f transition spectral features. The contributions to f to f transition spectral broadening, nonetheless, arising from the strong rare earth hybridization with the lithium tetraborate lattice, are significant even without considering the variations in oxygen coordination, although Li2B4O7:Yb (Figure 10D) may be the exception. The variations in oxygen coordination evident from the EXAFS, and expected for a glassy lithium tetraborate, will be even more significant because of the strong rare earth hybridization with the lithium tetraborate lattice, affecting the f to f transition spectral broadening to a great degree.

**CONCLUSION**

Previous studies of rare earth doped lithium tetraborate glasses revealed asymmetry in the RE 4f spectral emission lines. In order to determine the source of the spectral line distortion, X-ray absorption fine structure studies were conducted in order to extract the local environment surrounding the rare earth dopants. The near edge absorption spectra data analysis indicates that for all of the rare earth doped samples studied (Li2B4O7:Nd, Li2B4O7:Gd, Li2B4O7:Dy, and Li2B4O7:Er), the dopant substitutes into the Li+ ion site as RE3+. The empirically determined site was then used to perform a density functional theory calculation and determine the electronic source of the asymmetry in the spectral emission lines.

As a result of the doping, there is a slight expansion of the coordination shells (O and B atoms) that surrounded the original Li+ atom from approximately 2.0 Å to approximately 2.5 Å, the exact value depending upon the size of the rare earth. The results for rare earth doped borates compare well with expected bonding distances when compared with rare earth coordination number and atomic radii from Shannon [93], the radial distributions functions obtained from X-ray diffraction [55] and consistent with prior EXAFS studies [66, 67]. The first coordination shell’s distance and coordination number (in the range of 6–8) (amplitude reduction factor fixed at 0.85) compares well with the expectations for a rare earth oxygen coordination environment and occupation of the Li+ site. Since these are an

---

**FIGURE 9** The schematic of the structural geometry for Li15B32O56RE (RE = Nd, Gd, Dy, Er, or Yb). Oxygen (red), boron (gray), lithium (blue), and rare earth (green) are indicated.
average coordination number and coordination distance in the glass samples, it is expected that there are hypo- and hyper-environments throughout the glass that average out.

A qualitative XANES analysis confirms a $+3$ valence state and is consistent with our theoretical expectations. The resulting density functional theory calculations indicate there is strong hybridization with the unoccupied 4f orbitals with the host lithium tetraborate matrix. This hybridization is a likely the source of the spectral emission line distortion observed when the glass oxygen positional disorder is also taken into account.
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