Methylome and transcriptome analyses of yaks of different ages revealed that DNA methylation and transcription factor ZGPAT co-regulate milk production

**CURRENT STATUS:** UNDER REVIEW
Abstract

Background
Domestic yaks play an indispensable role in sustaining the livelihood of Tibetans and other ethnic groups on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau (QTP), by providing milk and meat, and have evolved numerous physiological adaptabilities to high-altitude landscape, such as strong capacity of blood oxygen transportation and high metabolism. The molecular mechanisms underlying milk production and adaptation to high altitudes of yak need further exploration.

Results
We performed genome-wide DNA methylome and transcriptome analyses of breast, lungs, and gluteal muscle from yaks of different ages. We identified differentially methylated regions (DMRs) across age groups within each tissue, and breast tissue had considerably more differential methylation than that from the three younger age groups. Hypomethylated genes with high expression level might regulate milk production by influencing protein processing in the endoplasmic reticulum. Weighted gene correlation network analysis revealed that the “hub” gene ZGPAT was highly expressed in adult breast tissue and that it potentially regulated the transcription of 280 genes, which play roles in regulating protein synthesis, processing, and secretion. Besides, Tissue network analysis indicates that high expression of HIF1A regulates energy metabolism in the lung.

Conclusions
The results of this comprehensive study provide a solid basis for understanding the epigenetic mechanisms underlying milk production in yaks, which could be helpful to breeding programs aimed at improving milk production.

Background
Domestic yaks play an indispensable role in sustaining the livelihood of Tibetans and other ethnic groups on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau (QTP) and in the Himalayas and connecting Central Asian highlands by providing milk, meat, hide, fiber, fuel, and transportation [1, 2]. Milk is an important source of high-quality protein because of its high content of essential amino acids, such as lysine, which is deficient in many human diets [3], and because of its well-known physiological effects, such
as immunomodulatory and gastrointestinal activities [4]. The milk protein content and composition influence the technological properties of milk and are therefore important for the dairy industry, especially in Europe, where the majority of the milk produced is transformed into cheese. In recent decades, there have been extraordinary advances in our knowledge of the physiology and biochemistry of the lactating mammary gland. It has been clearly demonstrated that milk protein synthesis in the mammary gland depends on hormonal and developmental cues that modulate the transcriptional and translational regulation of genes through the activity of specific transcription factors, non-coding RNAs, and alterations of the chromatin structure in the mammary epithelial cells [5]. The interplay between all these aforementioned factors might play a key role in milk protein synthesis, which is crucial during the onset of and throughout lactation in high-producing dairy cattle. Despite such advances, little is currently known about the regulation of the physiological and cellular mechanisms required for milk protein synthesis and secretion in yak. We hypothesized that genes related to milk production might be regulated by DNA methylation and distinct sub-modules of correlated expression variation might be indentified. In this study, we performed genome-wide DNA methylome and transcriptome analyses of lung, breast, and biceps brachii muscle tissues at four different month ages (MA) from yaks to identify the regulatory networks associated with milk protein synthesis, metabolism, and secretion in yak.

Results

Global DNA methylation and gene expression in the breast, lungs, and biceps brachii muscle at different ages

We generated the methylomes and transcriptomes of lung, breast, and biceps brachii muscle tissues at four different month ages (MA), representing four life stages with 3 replicates (MA = 6, 30, 54, and 90 months; childhood, juvenile, youth, and adult), from a total of 12 female Riwoqe yaks. After performing sequence quality control and filtering, we obtained a single-base resolution methylome covering 85.6% (27,471,373/32,092,725) of CpG sites across the genome with an average depth of 22.5×. We first calculated pairwise Pearson’s correlations of CpG sites with at least 10 × coverage depth across all samples, and the samples were well clustered by tissue (Fig. 1a). Biological replicates
highly correlated with each other (median Pearson’s r = 0.74), and the correlation between ages (median Pearson’s r = 0.72) was relatively weaker and showed the lowest coefficients among tissues (median Pearson’s r = 0.66) (Fig. 1c). The transcriptome sequencing data of all samples were aligned to our newly assembled yak genome reference (unpublished), and subsequently obtained the transcripts. In total, we obtained a total of 2,0504 transcripts, which were annotated to the Gene Ontology (GO) [6], InterPro [7], Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) [8], Swiss-Prot [9], and TrEMBL [10] databases (Table S1). We also calculated pairwise Pearson’s correlations of all transcripts and obtained similar results as with DNA methylation (Fig. 1b). Biological replicates showed the highest correlation coefficients, while different tissues showed the lowest correlation coefficients (Fig. 1d).

Differential DNA methylation among the age groups was involved in milk production

We looked for differentially methylated regions (DMRs) across age groups within the breast, lungs, and gluteal muscle (Table S2-4). Within the lung and gluteal-muscle tissues, age groups did not differ in age-related DMRs (A-DMRs), but adult breast tissue had considerably more differential methylation than the three younger age groups (Fig. 2a). At ~ 90 months, yaks enter the lactation period, so it is possible that the observed methylation may at least partially control yak lactation. We then selected 375 hypomethylated (highly expressed) genes along with 207 hypermethylated (lowly expressed) genes from adult yak breast tissue. The hypomethylated genes were enriched in only “protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum (ER)” (nine genes, 2.964-fold enrichment, p = 0.0049).

Specifically, the genes were involved in vesicle trafficking (SEC23B), oligosaccharide linking (MOGS, RPN2), folding and assembly (HSPA5), transportation (LMAN2, SEL1L), and ubiquitination and degradation (UBE2J1, UBE2J2, DERL2) [11, 12]. Thus, methylation regulates milk production by influencing protein processing in the endoplasmic reticulum during the vigorous period of lactation.

We examined A-DMRs that overlapped across age groups. Childhood and adult tissues rarely shared A-DMRs when comparing the lung and muscle tissues (childhood, muscle: 586 A-DMRs, breast: 2,278, lung: 496; adult, muscle: 471, breast: 12,050, lung: 772) (Fig. 2b, c). Juvenile and youth stages also rarely shared A-DMRs across muscle and lung tissues (Fig. 2d), suggesting that methylation patterns
were already established at the childhood stage and that no extensively divergent epigenetic
difference occurred through the different ages under natural high-altitude conditions.

Consensus Network Analysis For Tissues And Age Groups

We first performed a multi-way ANOVA test for each gene among all samples (n = 36), to test the null hypothesis that the gene expression level did not differ among age groups and tissues. At the threshold for significance (p < 0.05), 417 age-related and 8,560 tissue-related genes were selected for further weighted gene correlation network analysis (WGCNA), which takes advantage of the correlations among genes and groups genes into modules using network topology [13]. Subsequently, we conducted WGCNA for tissue- and age-related gene expression separately to identify a “consensus network”—a common pattern of genes that are correlated in all conditions. We performed consensus network, module statistic, and eigengene network analyses to identify modules, assess relationships between modules and traits, and study the relationships between co-expression modules [14]. The consensus networks identified for tissues and age groups had clearly delineated modules (Fig. 1a, 1b), and the modules identified were significantly correlated with tissues and age groups (Fig. 1c, 1d).

Age network analysis indicates that ZGPAT might regulate milk production

Within the age-related gene network, the largest module (“turquoise”, n = 356) had opposite directions of correlation for breast tissue (r=-0.57, p = 3e-04) and age (r = 0.37, p = 0.03) and showed a positive correlation with biceps brachii muscle (Table S5). The breast tissue had a stronger signal than age and possibly overwhelmed the signal from age. Genes in this module were enriched in the GO categories of “protein polyubiquitination,” “RNA polymerase II core promoter proximal region sequence-specific DNA binding,” “ATP binding,” “transcription, DNA-templated,” and “negative regulation of transcription from RNA polymerase II promoter” (p-values of 0.000344, 0.000822, 0.000991, 0.00139, and 0.00244, respectively). The “blue” (n = 48) and “grey” (n = 13) modules showed only a negative correlation with age (r= -0.58, p = 2e-04; r = -0.76, p = 6e-08, respectively) and exhibited no enrichment of GO categories for genes. After applying the threshold of the absolute value of gene significance for age (|GS| > 0.5) and module membership measures (|MM| >0.6) in each module, we defined 20 and 7 “hub” genes in the “turquoise” and “blue” modules (Table 1). The gene
expression of the “hub” genes was well clustered by modules, which was consistent with the opposite directions of correlation with age (“turquoise” \( r = 0.37 \), “blue” \( r = -0.58 \)). The upregulated expression level of the “hubs” in breast tissue at 90 months of age indicated that the “turquoise” module had a stronger correlation with breast tissue than with age (Fig. 4a).

| Yak ID    | Gene symbol | Module color | Gene significance | p-value    | Module membership | p-value    |
|-----------|-------------|--------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| BmuPB00986
8         | AP3D1       | turquoise    | 0.513965075       | 0.001344116 | 0.868945999       | 6.37E-12  |
| BmuPB00408
3         | TMEM30A     | turquoise    | 0.505722627       | 0.001652657 | 0.848054843       | 6.65E-11  |
| BmuPB00072
6         | DDRGK1      | turquoise    | 0.535961222       | 0.000754414 | 0.842070133       | 1.22E-10  |
| BmuPB01901
1         | OTUD3       | turquoise    | 0.543916749       | 0.000606215 | 0.828680521       | 4.37E-10  |
| BmuPB00009
1         | CNPPD1      | turquoise    | 0.51195228        | 0.001414359 | 0.814537409       | 1.50E-09  |
| BmuPB00681
5         | TOR1AIP1    | turquoise    | 0.544705745       | 0.000593032 | 0.809789221       | 2.21E-09  |
| BmuPB00713
7         | MGAT4A      | turquoise    | 0.545969144       | 0.000572452 | 0.798170686       | 5.51E-09  |
| BmuPB00738
5         | HECA        | turquoise    | 0.603273223       | 9.84E-05    | 0.770629907       | 3.85E-08  |
| BmuPB01944
3         | SYAP1       | turquoise    | 0.500377628       | 0.001884511 | 0.733120646       | 3.68E-07  |
| BmuPB00982
5         | PHAX        | turquoise    | 0.561938984       | 0.00036184  | 0.699367268       | 2.08E-06  |
| BmuPB00803
2         | UBE2G2      | turquoise    | 0.52385538        | 0.001041698 | 0.809789221       | 2.21E-09  |
| BmuPB01251
7         | SYF2        | turquoise    | 0.568328068       | 0.000299194 | 0.699367268       | 2.08E-06  |
| BmuPB00161
0         | FURIN       | turquoise    | 0.567906296       | 0.000303008 | 0.67046314        | 2.32E-06  |
| BmuPB00067
9         | ZGPAT       | turquoise    | 0.504624296       | 0.001698141 | 0.683958391       | 4.25E-06  |
| BmuPB00704
9         | SKP2        | turquoise    | -0.527608908      | -0.669790419 | 7.91E-06          |           |
| BmuPB00022
4         | C2orf6      | turquoise    | 0.531191165       | 0.000857925 | 0.652871802       | 1.59E-05  |
| BmuPB00742
0         | Table 2     | turquoise    | 0.505905132       | 0.001645204 | 0.652844304       | 1.59E-05  |
| BmuPB01856
9         | ORAOV1      | turquoise    | 0.51035386        | 0.001472421 | 0.637043276       | 2.95E-05  |
| BmuPB01055
0         | CCPG1       | turquoise    | 0.644779605       | 2.19E-05    | 0.612874899       | 7.08E-05  |
| BmuPB01252
1         | TMEM57      | turquoise    | 0.57317319        | 0.000258349 | 0.60967979        | 7.91E-05  |
| BmuPB01332
4         | MCM3        | blue         | -0.583585649      | 0.00186982  | 0.841505398       | 1.29E-10  |
| BmuPB01006
4         | SPC24       | blue         | -0.509962181      | 0.001486965 | 0.744497748       | 1.93E-07  |
| BmuPB00310
2         | CI7orf49    | blue         | -0.526803776      | 0.000964031 | 0.741805787       | 2.26E-07  |
| BmuPB01590
2         | SERPINH1    | blue         | -0.607769763      | 8.44E-05    | 0.721017606       | 7.04E-07  |
| BmuPB01658
2         | SRPX2       | blue         | -0.51838468       | 0.001200358 | 0.698151166       | 2.20E-06  |
| BmuPB01299
6         | UCK2        | blue         | -0.588274454      | 0.000161067 | 0.677420455       | 5.68E-06  |
| BmuPB01537
2         | UMP5        | blue         | -0.503577413      | 0.001742514 | 0.648111158       | 1.92E-05  |

We then used the AnimalTFDB 3.0 database [15] to examine transcription factors in these 27 “hubs”
and found that ZGPAT encodes a transcription regulator protein and was significantly upregulated in breast tissue at 90 months of age (Fig. 4a). Previous study reported that this protein specifically binds the 5'-GGAG[GAGA][GAGA]-3' consensus sequence and represses transcription by recruiting the chromatin multi-complex NuRD to target promoters [16]. High expression of ZGPAT in breast tissue at 90 months of age was observed, and it potentially regulated the transcription of 280 genes (weight > 0.15) in the network from the “turquoise” module. To identify the most important cellular activities controlled by this TF regulatory network, we analyzed over-represented GO biological process and molecular function terms and KEGG pathways. These potential target genes were enriched in the GO categories of “protein binding,” “ATP binding,” and “zinc ion binding,” among others, and the KEGG categories of “aminoacyl-tRNA biosynthesis,” “autophagy animal,” and “protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum” (Fig. 4b). These enriched GO terms and KEGG pathways are likely to play roles in regulating protein synthesis, processing, and secretion in breast tissue. For example, 6 of 7 genes from “protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum” were also upregulated at 90 months of age in breast tissue (Fig. 4c) and involved in multiple processes in the endoplasmic reticulum, including vesicle trafficking (SEC24C), folding and assembly (SELENOS), transportation (BCAP31), and ubiquitination and degradation (BAG1, UBE2G2, and MARCH6) [11, 12]. Only DNAJC10 was downregulated at 90 months of age in breast tissue, and this gene encodes an endoplasmic reticulum co-chaperone that is part of the endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation complex involved in recognizing and degrading misfolded proteins [12].

Tissue network analysis indicates that high expression of HIF1A regulates energy metabolism in the lung

Within the tissue-related gene module network, four modules showed a positive correlation and two modules showed a negative correlation with the lung, and all significant module-trait relationships were negative in the muscle but positive in the breast (Fig. 3d). Moreover, 99.54% of the total 8,560 tissue-related genes were related to the top 4 modules (“turquoise,” n = 3833, “blue,” n = 2795, “brown,” n = 1052, “yellow,” n = 339) (Fig. 5a, Table S6), and these modules were also highly correlated with other modules; for example, “brown,” “yellow,” and “black” showed a high eigengene
adjacency with each other (Fig. 5b).

We applied the more stringent threshold of the absolute value of gene significance for the age and module membership measures in the top four modules to identify “hub” genes in the “turquoise,” “blue,” “brown,” and “yellow” modules. With the threshold values of |GS|>0.7 and |MM| >0.8, 34 “hub” genes were identified in the “turquoise” module. Then, 24 “hubs” were filtered from the gene significance of module-lung relationships, and 10 “hubs” were filtered from the gene significance of module-breast relationships; these were further divided into 3 clusters by hierarchical clustering, and they showed high expression levels in the breast (cluster 1), lung (cluster 2), and biceps brachii muscle (cluster 3) tissues, respectively, with distinct clustering patterns by tissue (Fig. 5c).

Table 2

| Yak ID   | Gene symbol | Module color | Tissue | Gene significance | p-value | Module membership | p-value |
|---------|-------------|--------------|--------|------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| BmuPB0143 36 | EEF1G       | turquoise    | lung   | -0.73907039      | 2.64E-07 | 0.82674812         | 5.20E-10 |
| BmuPB0173 52 | PMS1        | turquoise    | lung   | -0.71599797      | 9.13E-07 | 0.85055295         | 5.12E-11 |
| BmuPB0008 78 | MTPAP       | turquoise    | lung   | -0.71558346      | 9.33E-07 | 0.91287761         | 8.73E-15 |
| BmuPB0187 62 | CXHXorf58   | turquoise    | lung   | -0.70943335      | 1.27E-06 | 0.82262518         | 7.50E-10 |
| BmuPB0144 50 | RWDD4       | turquoise    | lung   | -0.70852680      | 1.33E-06 | 0.92371361         | 9.94E-16 |
| BmuPB0110 05 | HUS1        | turquoise    | lung   | -0.70713730      | 1.43E-06 | 0.87505219         | 2.97E-12 |
| BmuPB0055 40 | MTUS1       | turquoise    | lung   | -0.70448283      | 1.62E-06 | 0.87162644         | 4.58E-12 |
| BmuPB0114 53 | EBF3        | turquoise    | lung   | -0.70337345      | 1.71E-06 | 0.87071705         | 5.13E-12 |
| BmuPB0106 08 | LAMB3       | turquoise    | lung   | 0.70783756       | 1.38E-06 | -0.85067459        | 5.05E-11 |
| BmuPB0042 99 | C3H3orf58   | turquoise    | lung   | 0.70887254       | 1.31E-06 | -0.88522675        | 7.61E-13 |
| BmuPB0208 71 | G6PD        | turquoise    | lung   | 0.70893041       | 1.30E-06 | -0.90727231        | 2.41E-14 |
| BmuPB0074 38 | ZCCHC6      | turquoise    | lung   | 0.70974096       | 1.25E-06 | -0.84738754        | 7.13E-11 |
| BmuPB0075 92 | CTDSPL      | turquoise    | lung   | 0.71163432       | 1.14E-06 | -0.8813581         | 1.30E-12 |
| BmuPB0048 94 | HIF1A       | turquoise    | lung   | 0.71323741       | 1.05E-06 | -0.87302984        | 3.84E-12 |
| BmuPB0205 08 | FAM122B     | turquoise    | lung   | 0.72013961       | 7.37E-07 | -0.82428698        | 6.48E-10 |
| BmuPB0181 02 | CCDC82      | turquoise    | lung   | 0.72059285       | 7.20E-07 | -0.90665968        | 2.68E-14 |
| BmuPB0145 39 | CNTRL       | turquoise    | lung   | 0.72213049       | 6.64E-07 | -0.87149974        | 4.65E-12 |
| BmuPB0038 82 | VAV3        | turquoise    | lung   | 0.72560128       | 5.53E-07 | -0.89496378        | 1.82E-13 |
| BmuPB0201 53 | EP8L1       | turquoise    | lung   | 0.72749514       | 4.99E-07 | -0.82286844        | 7.34E-10 |
| BmuPB0103 03 | PGM2        | turquoise    | lung   | 0.74681160       | 1.69E-07 | -0.83795918        | 1.83E-10 |
| BmuPB0040 08 | GDAP2       | turquoise    | lung   | 0.75480687       | 1.05E-07 | -0.88661303        | 6.26E-13 |
| BmuPB0125 | WASF2       | turquoise    | lung   | 0.75742894       | 8.92E-08 | -0.83874427        | 1.69E-10 |
According to the AmalTFDB 3.0 database [15], EBF3, HIF1A, and STAT6 were annotated as transcription factors. EBF3 encodes a member of the early B-cell factor (EBF) family of DNA binding transcription factors. EBF proteins are involved in B-cell differentiation, bone development, and neurogenesis and may also function as tumor suppressors [17]. STAT6 is a member of the STAT family of transcription factors. In response to cytokines and growth factors, STAT family members are phosphorylated by the receptor associated kinases and then form homo- or heterodimers that translocate to the cell nucleus where they act as transcription activators [18]. HIF1A, hypoxia-inducible factor-1, functions as a master regulator of the cellular and systemic homeostatic response to hypoxia by activating the transcription of many genes, including those involved in energy metabolism, angiogenesis, and apoptosis and other genes whose protein products increase oxygen delivery or facilitate metabolic adaptation to hypoxia [19]. HIF1A was found to be upregulated in the lung and to potentially regulate the transcription of 2008 genes (weight > 0.15), which were enriched in multiple GO biological process and molecular function categories and KEGG pathways. Notably, most of the enriched GO terms and KEGG pathways were related to energy metabolism, such as “mitochondrial respiratory chain complex I assembly,” “NADH dehydrogenase (ubiquinone) activity,” “ATP binding,” “mitochondrial translation,” “tricarboxylic acid cycle,” and “GTP binding” in GO terms and “thermogenesis,” “carbon metabolism,” and “citrate cycle TCA cycle” in KEGG pathways.
Mitochondria function as the primary energy producers of the cell and serve as the center of biosynthesis, the oxidative stress response, and cellular signaling, placing them at the hub of a variety of immune pathways [20]. NADH dehydrogenase is a core subunit of the mitochondrial membrane respiratory chain and believed to belong to the minimal assembly required for catalysis [21]. Protein which binds ATP or GTP, carries three phosphate groups esterified to a sugar moiety and represents energy and phosphate sources for the cell [22, 23]. The tricarboxylic acid cycle, a series of metabolic reactions in aerobic cellular respiration, occurs in the mitochondria of animals and plants, and during this cycle, acetyl-CoA, formed from pyruvate produced during glycolysis, is completely oxidized to CO₂ via the interconversion of various carboxylic acids. It results in the reduction of NAD and FAD to NADH and FADH₂, whose reducing power is then used indirectly in the synthesis of ATP by oxidative phosphorylation [24]. The “thermogenesis” pathway is essential for warm blooded animals, ensuring normal cellular and physiological functioning under conditions of environmental challenge [25].

**Discussion**

Numerous studies reported transcription profiling of mammary gland in different livestock animals such as cattle [26], sheep [27], and goat [28], and DNA methylation profiling of mammary gland in cattle [29]. These studies have indicated temporal and spatial specificity in the methylome and transcriptome profiles of the mammary gland in different species. However, these work only reported differential gene expression profile in mammary gland, and the regulatory network is still unknown. In the present study, we generated the methylomes and transcriptomes of lung, breast, and biceps brachii muscle tissues at four different month ages (MA) from yak for the first time, representing four life stages (MA = 6, 30, 54, and 90 months; childhood, juvenile, youth, and adult). We identified 375 hypomethylated genes with higher expression level from adult yak breast tissue, and 9 of these genes were involved in vesicle trafficking (SEC23B), oligosaccharide linking (MOGS, RPN2), folding and assembly (HSPA5), transportation (LMAN2, SEL1L), and ubiquitination and degradation (UBE2J1, UBE2J2, DERL2). This result illustrated that methylation regulates milk production by influencing protein processing in the endoplasmic reticulum during the vigorous period of lactation.
One of the contributions of this study is that hub genes were identified by WGCNA. The data show that the hub genes with the highest MM and GS in modules of interest should be considered as the natural candidates for further research. This study identified turquoise module genes associated with milk yield, and 20 genes were considered as the hub genes and showed the highest mRNA expression level in breast tissue at 90 months, when yaks enter the lactation period. In these hub genes, ZGPAT was annotated as transcription factor and it potentially regulated the transcription of 280 genes in the network from the “turquoise” module, which were enriched in the KEGG categories of “aminoacyl-tRNA biosynthesis,” “autophagy animal,” and “protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum”. This result revealed that ZGPAT is likely to play driving role in regulating protein synthesis, processing, and secretion in breast tissue. Moreover, the 7 genes potentially regulated by ZGPAT in “protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum” were totally different from aforementioned 9 genes regulated by hypomethylation, illustrating that DNA methylation and transcription factor possibly co-regulate milk production. In addition, the tissue network analysis indicates the central role of HIF1A in regulating energy metabolism, which is important in adaptation to low temperature and hypoxia in high altitude environment.

Conclusions

The results of this comprehensive study provide a solid basis for understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying milk protein synthesis and high-altitude adaptation in yaks. This information advances our understanding of regulatory network in mammary gland at different development stages and could be helpful to breeding programs aimed at improving milk production.

Methods

Animals and samples

In total, twelve female yaks that were 6, 30, 54 or 90 months old with 3 replicates for each month age (sampled from private farms in Riwoqe at altitudes of 3800-4000 meters above sea level; an indigenous yak breed distributed in Riwoqe, Tibet, China) were collected in June-December of 2016. At the time of slaughter, their mean live weights were 44.93 (6 months old), 153.06 (30 months old), 188.3 (54 months old) and 243.56 kg (90 months old). There was no direct or collateral blood
relationship within the last three generations among individuals, which were housed simultaneously and fed the same diets. The yaks were not fed the night before they were slaughtered as necessary to ameliorate suffering, and were humanely sacrificed by performing the following procedures: (1) taking showers for the yaks with clean water close to body temperature (35–38 °C), (2) yaks were electrically stunned (120V dc, 12 s) prior to exsanguination, (3) during the coma, yaks were sacrificed by bloodletting from carotid artery and jugular vein, (4) after further dissection, each tissue including breast, lung, and biceps brachii muscle samples were rapidly obtained from each individual, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at − 80 °C until RNA and DNA extraction.

Whole Genome Bisulfite Sequencing

A total of 1 µg of genomic DNA was fragmented by sonication to a mean size of approximately 250 bp and subsequently used for whole genome bisulfite sequencing (WGBS) library construction using an Acegen Bisulfite-Seq Library Prep Kit (Acegen, Shenzhen, GD, China) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, fragmented DNA was end-repaired, 5'-phosphorylated, 3'-dA-tailed, and then ligated to methylated adapters. The methylated adapter-ligated DNAs were purified using 1 × Agencourt AMPure XP magnetic beads (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA) and subjected to bisulfite conversion with a ZYMO EZ DNA Methylation-Gold Kit (Zymo research, Irvine, CA, USA). The converted DNAs were then amplified using 25 µl HiFi HotStart U + RM and 8-bp index primers with a final concentration of 1 µM each. The constructed WGBS libraries were then analyzed with an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA), quantified with a Qubit fluorometer with Quant-iT dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and finally sequenced on an Illumina Hiseq X ten sequencer (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA)

Identification Of DMRs

After filtering the low-quality reads, the methylation sequencing data of the samples were aligned to the yak reference genome (unpublished data) using BSMAP (Version 2.74) [30]. The methylated CpG (mCG) sites were identified following a previously described algorithm [31]. Differentially methylated regions (DMRs) were identified using metilene (Version 0.2-6) within a 500 bp sliding window at 250 bp steps, applying the thresholds of differential methylation $\beta \geq 15\%$, two-dimensional
Kolmogorov-Smirnov-Test p-value < 0.05, and Mann-Whitney-U test p-value < 0.05 [32].

Total RNA extraction, library preparation, and sequencing

The TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was used to isolate the total RNA of each sample. The purity, concentration, and integrity of RNA were checked using the NanoPhotometer spectrophotometer (IMPLEN, Westlake Village, CA, USA), the Qubit RNA Assay Kit in Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and the RNA Nano 6000 Assay Kit of the Bioanalyzer 2100 System (Agilent Technologies, SantaClara, CA, USA), respectively. We utilized 3 µg high-quality RNA per sample as input material for RNA-seq library preparation. First, we removed ribosomal RNA by the Epicentre Ribo-Zero rRNA Removal Kit (Epicentre, Madison, WI, USA). Second, the rRNA-depleted RNA was used to create sequencing libraries by the NEBNext Ultra Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB, Ipswich, MA, USA). Finally, the library products were purified using 1 × Agencourt AMPure XP magnetic beads (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA) and the Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 System (Agilent Technologies, SantaClara, CA, USA) was employed to assess the library quality. After completing the clustering of the index-coded samples on a cBot Cluster Generation System using the TruSeq PE Cluster Kit v3-cBot-HS (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA), the libraries were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq X Ten Platform to generate 150 bp paired-end reads.

Quality Analysis, Transcriptome Assembly, And Abundance Estimation

Clean reads were obtained by removing reads containing adapter or poly-N and low-quality reads from the raw data using in-house perl scripts. The Q20, Q30, and GC contents of the clean reads were calculated. All the downstream analyses were based on the good-quality clean reads. Paired-end clean reads were mapped to the yak reference genome (unpublished data) with STAR (available at https://github.com/alexdobin/STAR/releases). The mapped reads of each sample were assembled using StringTie [33]. The mapped reads of each sample were assembled using StringTie. Then, all transcriptomes from the samples were merged to reconstruct a comprehensive transcriptome using perl scripts. After the final transcriptome was generated, StringTie and edgeR were used to estimate the expression levels of all transcripts [34]. StringTie was used to assess the expression level of mRNAs by calculating fragments per kilobase of transcript per million fragments mapped (FPKM).
Weighted Gene Correlation Network Analysis

A WGCNA network [13] was generated for age-related genes and tissue-related genes. Consensus networks and module statistics followed the overall approach described by Langfelder et al. (2008). Briefly, the network was derived based on a signed Spearman correlation using a b of 10 as a weight function. The topological overlap metric (TOM) [14] was derived from the resulting adjacency matrix and used to cluster the modules using the blockwiseModules function (blockwise Consensus Modules, for the consensus modules) and the dynamic tree cut algorithm [14] with a height of 0.25 and a deep split level of 2, a reassign threshold of 0.2, and a minimum module size of 30 (100 for the consensus network). The eigenmodules—essentially the first principal component of the modules, which can be used as a "signature" of a module’s gene expression—were then correlated with the dose, and each module that was correlated with the dose-response curve with a p-value < 0.01 (p-value < 0.05 for the consensus network) was considered statistically significant.
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Figures
Global DNA methylation and gene expression among samples. Pearson’s correlation analysis based on the methylation of CpG sites (a) and gene expression (b) among samples. Boxplot of Pearson’s correlation coefficients between replicates, ages, or tissues for methylation (c) and gene expression (d).
Overview of month age-associated DMRs. (a) Basic statistics for A-DMRs within each tissue.

Overlap of A-DMRs associated with the 6 months age group (b), 90 months age group (c), and 30 and 54 months age groups (d) in the muscle, breast, and lung respectively.
Figure 3

Modules of consensus networks and correlation with traits. Consensus networks from the age (a) or tissue (b) curve. Gene expression similarity was determined using a pair-wise weighted correlation metric and clustered according to a topological overlap metric into modules; assigned modules are colored on the bottom, and gray genes were not assigned to any module. Consensus network modules for age (c) and tissue (d) correlated with traits using the eigenmodule (the first principal component of the module). The correlation coefficients along with the p-value in parenthesis are provided underneath; color-coding refers to the correlation coefficient (legend at right).
“Hub” genes and potential target genes of ZGPAT in the age network. (a) Expression level of 27 “hub” genes. (b) Enrichment analysis of ZGPAT’s potential target genes. (c) Expression level of 7 genes in “protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum”, which was enriched from potential target genes of ZGPAT.
Figure 5

Modules and “hub” genes in the tissue network. (a) WGCNA modules of the tissue-related genes, (b) correlations between modules showed by the eigenmodule adjacency heatmap, (c) expression level of “hub” genes in the tissue network, (d) enrichment analysis of potential target genes of HIF1A, and the number of enriched genes and enrichment fold were indicated on the right.
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