Mg$^{2+}$ in the Major Groove Modulates B-DNA Structure and Dynamics
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Abstract

This study investigates the effect of Mg$^{2+}$ bound to the DNA major groove on DNA structure and dynamics. The analysis of a comprehensive dataset of B-DNA crystallographic structures shows that divalent cations are preferentially located in the DNA major groove where they interact with successive bases of (A/G)pG and the phosphate group of 5’-CpA or TpG. Based on this knowledge, molecular dynamics simulations were carried out on a DNA oligomer without or with Mg$^{2+}$ close to an ApG step. These simulations showed that the hydrated Mg$^{2+}$ forms a stable intra-strand cross-link between the two purines in solution. ApG generates an electrostatic potential in the major groove that is particularly attractive for cations; its intrinsic conformation is well-adapted to the formation of water-mediated hydrogen bonds with Mg$^{2+}$. The binding of Mg$^{2+}$ modulates the behavior of the 5’-neighboring step by increasing the BII ($\zeta_0>0$) population of its phosphate group. Additional electrostatic interactions between the 5’-phosphate group and Mg$^{2+}$ strengthen both the DNA-cation binding and the BII character of the 5’-step. Cation binding in the major groove may therefore locally influence the DNA conformational landscape, suggesting a possible avenue for better understanding how strong DNA distortions can be stabilized in protein-DNA complexes.

Introduction

Specific DNA base-cation interactions have instigated numerous studies [reviewed in (1–3)] because it is presumed that cations can modulate DNA structure in a sequence-dependent manner, thus affecting the readout and packaging of DNA. Most of these studies are devoted to divalent cations because, in contrast to monovalent alkali cations, divalent cations can be unequivocally located in X-ray structures when they are ordered in the lattice [1–4]. In addition, DNA-cation interactions seem preferentially involve divalent cations – at least Mg$^{2+}$ – over monovalent cations [5].

Within crystals, hydrated divalent cations frequently mediate intermolecular contacts between adjacent DNA molecules. Mg$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$, or Mn$^{2+}$ cross-link DNA bases, especially guanines, to phosphates of neighboring helices [6–14]. Molecular dynamics simulations have shown that Mg$^{2+}$ stabilizes groove-backbone interactions in right-handed DNA crossovers [15]. Cations mediating intermolecular interactions thus behave as adhesives between helices and may play a biological role in crowded DNA media.

Both NMR and crystallographic approaches have been used to localize cations in DNA grooves where more specific intramolecular interactions link cations and groove atoms. Despite potential steric hindrance due to the minor groove width, the minor groove is able to accommodate divalent cations. NMR experiments show that divalent cations interact with the 5’-ApA minor groove floor in tracts of ApApApA [16,17]. Mg$^{2+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$ inter-strand coordination modes have been observed at pure A:T sites [7,11,18] and, in some cases, at mixed A:T/G:C sites [7,9,13]. The strong electronegative potential of A:T minor groove is likely a key factor for constituting favorable cation-binding sites.

In the major groove, cations may preferentially bind to purines, in which G6/N7 guanine and N7 adenine atoms offer excellent anchoring points for ions [19,20]. Various experimental results have shown that divalent cation interactions require dinucleotides containing at least one guanine [7,21]. NMR spectra of a series of oligomers in presence of either paramagnetic Mg$^{2+}$ and Co$^{2+}$ or diamagnetic Zn$^{2+}$ have shown H8 resonance broadening or shift for guanines in various sequence contexts [21–24]. The most pronounced effects occur on guanines in GpGP or GpAp and, to a lesser extent, guanines in GpT and GpC. These interesting results can be better interpreted in light of high-resolution X-ray DNA structures, which provide a detailed description of cation intra- and inter-strand cross-links. Intra-strand interactions seem to be predominant, with Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ coordinating the N7 and O6 atoms of GpG or ApG [7,9] and, in one case, of GpA [11]. Less frequently, inter-strand bridges have also been observed, with Mg$^{2+}$ coordinating the guanines of GpGpG or GpA at one end of the Dickerson-Drew dodecamer [13,25] or G and T in GpA[TpC in a different sequence obtained at low temperature [14]. Fourier-transform infrared investigations on calf thymus DNA demonstrate that the interaction between Mg$^{2+}$ or Ca$^{2+}$ and the N7 of
purines was not an artifact due to the short lengths of the oligomers studied by NMR and crystallography [26]. From all of these results, one can conclude that divalent cations within the major groove are able to bridge a guanine to an opposite base but preferentially form intra-strand bridges within G-containing dinucleotides.

Many studies therefore concur and have established that divalent cations interact with DNA bases within the grooves. However, the effect of cations on DNA structure is still unknown and is not a trivial issue to address. The main problem is to separate cause from effect. Does cation binding induce structural adjustments in DNA, or do cations recognize an intrinsic structural property in the sequence that favors their binding? Further, because our knowledge is mainly based on crystallographic structures, observed DNA distortions are difficult to interpret, as they may be due to lattice influences, the presence of inter-molecular contacts and, more generally, biases intrinsic to the solid-state approach. Thus, the impact of divalent ions buried in minor grooves on groove dimensions remains controversial [7,9,13,25]. There are nonetheless several indications that DNA curvature is sensitive to divalent cations in the major groove. In crystals, DNA locally bends when Ca$^{2+}$ or Mg$^{2+}$ bridge the two guanines in GpG, compressing the major groove [7], or when Mg$^{2+}$ binds opposite guanines in GpCppGpCpp [13,27]. Some experiments in solution also support the possibility that divalent counterions influence DNA curvature, but these experiments could not specify their locations. Mg$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$, or Zn$^{2+}$ increase the gel mobility anomaly of GGGCCC repeats [28]. Also, Zn$^{2+}$- or Mg$^{2+}$-induced bends have been detected in G:C rich regions embedded in d(A)n tracts by atomic force microscopy [29] and NMR measurements of diffusion coefficients [30]. However, the molecular basis of such possible effects of divalent counterions on DNA remains far from well-characterized and understood.

The present work focuses on divalent cations located in the DNA major groove. We first analyzed divalent cation interactions in high-resolution X-ray DNA structures, using recent deposits in the Protein Data Bank. Upon identification of one major interaction pattern, extensive molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were then used to examine in solution the stability of this identified DNA-Mg$^{2+}$ interaction and its impact on DNA structure and dynamics. Poisson-Boltzmann calculations helped to build a credible scenario of DNA-Mg$^{2+}$ interactions. These investigations were done using the so-called Jun-Fos oligomer, which has been exhaustively studied by NMR and modeling [31–34]. Possible repercussions of these findings involve DNA-protein interactions, particularly nucleosome structures in which numerous cations coordinate DNA.

Results

Divalent cations in the DNA major groove: analysis of X-ray structures

The dataset of high resolution X-ray DNA structures (listed in Materials and Methods), including structures recently deposited in the PDB, provided for the examination a total of 23 major groove environments with divalent cations. In 20 cases, the DNA-cation interactions involve two successive purines of ApG or GpG steps (R$_i$, pG$_i$), confirming the predominance of intra-strand cross-links [7,9]. Focusing our analysis on this frequent interaction pattern, we found that four Ca$^{2+}$ and all of the 14 Mg$^{2+}$ interact with the N7/O6 electronegative atoms of these bases via their first hydration shells (Figure 1). In two cases, Ca$^{2+}$ directly coordinates the bases. These types of interaction have been previously described in detail (reviewed in [3]).

Regarding DNA structure, we examined the two crucial inter-base pair parameters of twist and roll, and the conformational state of the phosphate groups (BI and BII conformers, represented Figure 2), three descriptors that are correlated in crystals as well as in solution [32,34–41]. From this structural point of view, R$_i$, pG$_i$ interacting with ions are characterized by low twist (25.8±4.6° on average), positive roll (7.9±2.9° on average), and BI phosphate groups. Interestingly, the preceding steps, C$_p$ i–1Ai–1 or T$_p$i–1Gi–1, also exhibit homogeneous structural features, with twist and roll of 49.3±4.7° and −9.8±2.8°, respectively; their phosphate linkages are in BII. These two contrasted profiles, low twist/positive roll/BI conformer and high twist/negative roll/BII conformer, illustrate the couplings imposed by the intrinsic mechanics of B-DNA [32,34–41].

It is established that positive roll is required to allow divalent cation interaction with R$_i$, pG$_i$ sites [7]. Thus, cations indirectly impose the BI backbone state, disqualifying the BII state, which is incompatible with positive roll. This is manifest on the phosphate groups of GpG, all in BI in the presence of cations, although they are particularly conducive to BII [42]. The observation of BII-phosphate is more intriguing, especially for TpG that shows a non negligible but yet moderate BII propensity [42]. This feature can be better understood in the following section.

Figure 1. Interaction of Mg$^{2+}$ in the DNA major groove. The 5’-CpApG-pG-3’ fragment binds Mg$^{2+}$ in both crystallographic (left) and simulated (right) structures. Mg$^{2+}$ (green) forms water-mediated hydrogen bonds (gray arrows) with the N7 of both purines and the O6 of the guanine via its first hydration shell (left: oxygen atoms in red; right: explicit water molecules). The phosphate groups of CpA and ApG are in BI and BII conformation, respectively. Owing to the orientation of the O2P-O1P vector in the BII-CpA linkage, an additional hydrogen bond occurs between Mg$^{2+}$ and O2P (left) in several X-ray structures. For the same reason, Mg$^{2+}$ remains close to O2P in the simulated structures (right, thin yellow arrow). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041704.g001

Figure 2. BI and BII phosphate groups. The two panels represent two views of the same 5’-CpApG-pG-3’ fragment and highlight the structural differences between BI (p in CpA, circled in blue in the left panel) and BII (p in CpA, circled in red in the right panel) phosphate groups. The orientation of the O1P-O2P vector is roughly perpendicular and parallel to the axis of the double helix in BI and BII, respectively. The O3’ atom points outside the helix in BI whereas it is turned towards the helix center in BII. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041704.g002
understood by considering the structural implications of the BI→BII transition and its relationship with cations. The BII backbone conformation differs from its canonical BI alternative in regard to the torsion angles $\xi$ and $\zeta$, which are respectively $\text{trans}$/$\text{g}$- in BI ($\approx -90^\circ$) and $\text{g}$/$\text{trans}$ in BII ($\approx 90^\circ$) [37]. This $\xi$/C$\zeta$ crankshaft induces two major structural changes in the phosphate group (Figure 2): first, the orientation of the O1P-O2P vector is roughly perpendicular and parallel to the axis of the double helix in BI and BII, respectively; second, the O3$'$ atom that points outside the helix in BI is turned towards the center in BII. In particular, the phosphate group motion from BI to BII shortens the distance between O2P(p1, 1) and N7(Ri, 1) by roughly 1.8 Å. Therefore, any ion binding to N7(Ri, 1) will be closer to O2P(p1, 1) when p1, 1 is in BI. In nine occurrences of our dataset, hydrogen bonds were observed between O2P(p1, 1) and one water molecule belonging to the cation hydration layer (Figure 1). In the absence of visible or stable hydrogen bonds (11 cases), the short distances (5.8±0.2 Å on average) separating O2P and O3$'$ from cations implies the existence of significant electrostatic interactions.

This analysis of crystallographic data confirms the previous observation that divalent cations in the major groove preferentially bind to the DNA backbone state. However, this analysis also suggests that cations influence the structure and dynamics of the 5'-step by interacting with its phosphate group. This was further explored using theoretical modeling approaches.

**Overview of the simulations**

Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations in explicit solvent were done on the Jun-Fos oligomer. In addition to benefiting from an experimental support [31–34], this 14 bp oligomer contains a motif, CpApG, which appears to be recurrently contacted by purines. Four simulations of the Jun-Fos oligomer were considered (Table 1, detailed in Materials and Methods). The RMSD values calculated between canonical B-DNA and the simulated structures were very stable during all simulations. The structural characteristics of B-DNA such as $\chi$ values and sugar conformation were realistic. Convergence of the MD simulations with respect to the DNA structure was checked by comparing S1–S3. Statistics for the backbone state. The other steps are characterized by low twist, positive roll and a BI backbone state. However, this analysis also suggests that cations influence the structure and dynamics of the 5'-step by interacting with its phosphate group. This was further explored using theoretical modeling approaches.

### Table 1. MD simulations performed on the Jun-Fos oligomer.

| Name | Ions | Restraints on Mg$^{2+}$ | $t_{\text{total}}$ (ns) | $t_{\text{int}}$ (ns) | ff for Mg$^{2+}$ |
|------|------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| S0   | Na$^+$ | N.A.                     | 50                      | N.A.                | N.A.           |
| S1   | Na$^+$ Mg$^{2+}$ | No                     | 50                      | 20                  | Amber default |
| S2   | Na$^+$ Mg$^{2+}$ | No                     | 25                      | 18.5                | [15]           |
| S3   | Na$^+$ Mg$^{2+}$ | Yes                    | 75                      | 75                  | Amber default |

The simulations were performed with solvent containing Na$^+$ or Na$^+$ and Mg$^{2+}$. Mg$^{2+}$ was either free of restraints or restrained to be close ($<5.5$ Å) to A22 and P23, $t_{\text{total}}$ is the total duration of the trajectories. For S1–S3, $t_{\text{int}}$ is the duration of the interaction between Mg$^{2+}$ and the major groove of A22 and G23. Two force field parameters for Mg$^{2+}$ (ff for Mg$^{2+}$) were used. N.A.: not applicable. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041704.001

### Mg$^{2+}$ binding in the DNA major groove: effect on DNA structure and dynamics

We first present the results for S1 and S2, in which Mg$^{2+}$ is free to escape from its initial position, close to A22 and G23. Mg$^{2+}$/DNA interactions, monitored by the distances between Mg$^{2+}$ and the electronegative atoms of A22 and G23 (example from S1: Figure 3), are observed during 20 and 18.5 ns in S1 and S2, respectively. These similar results show that the cation force field parameters do not significantly impact the Mg$^{2+}$ behavior, since S1 and S2 use different parameters for the cation, either from Amber [32] or from Varnai and Timsit [15]. During the time where Mg$^{2+}$ interact with the oligomer, the low root mean square fluctuation (RMSF) values of Mg$^{2+}$ (1.55 Å on average) reflects the existence of a well-defined attractive cation pocket. In the simulated structures, three water molecules of the Mg$^{2+}$ hexahydrate cluster form hydrogen bonds with N7(A22, G23) and O6(G23), as observed in the crystallographic structures (Figure 1).

The residence times of Mg$^{2+}$ in the major groove indicates that B-DNA is likely not a Mg$^{2+}$ binder as strong as RNA [43,44], for instance the major groove of G-U wobble pairs [45]. However, the observation of ~20 ns DNA-Mg$^{2+}$ interactions ensures that the X-ray pattern is not an artifact, and that B-DNA in solution can transiently capture Mg$^{2+}$.

The Mg$^{2+}$/DNA interactions extracted from S1–S3 present identical characteristics. Thus, the snapshots of the trajectories S0–S3 (Table 1) were sorted in two groups. The first one contains the structures in which Mg$^{2+}$ does not interact with the DNA major groove, for a total duration of 86.5 ns. The second group includes the snapshots characterized by water mediated hydrogen bonds between Mg$^{2+}$, A22 and G23, which cover 113.5 ns.

Independently of the presence of Mg$^{2+}$, CpApG is characterized by low twist (28.8±4° on average) and positive roll (4.6±4° on average). P23 is confined in BI, with less than 4% of BII. Indeed, ApG is not conducive to BII [42]. These ApG structural features are ideally adapted to the octahedral structure of hydrated Mg$^{2+}$ (Figure 4). The distance between the two N7 atoms of A22 and G23 is 3.5±0.2 Å on average, allowing the bridging of two water molecules belonging to the first solvation shell of Mg$^{2+}$. The other conformational profile encountered in B-DNA, i.e. high twist/ negative roll/BII phosphate, pushes the two N7 atoms away (N7–N7 distance of 4.2±0.2 Å on average) and is thus less convenient for binding Mg$^{2+}$. Our simulations confirm that bridging two successive purines by Mg$^{2+}$ necessitates low twist and positive roll [7] and, indirectly, BI phosphate group.

In contrast with p23, p22 undergoes BI→BII transitions. C21p22A22p23G23 with p23 in BI and p22 either in BI or BII differ by ~1 Å of RMSD. BI/BII populations of p22 are modulated by Mg$^{2+}$ (Figure 5). In absence of Mg$^{2+}$, p22 presents on average 24% of BII conformers ([<θ> >80°]). This percentage reaches 39% when hydrated Mg$^{2+}$ coordinates A22 and G23. Thus, Mg$^{2+}$ interacting with A22 and G23 increases the proportion of the p23 BII population. This latter result and the observations made on the crystallographic structures prompted a careful examination of the spatial relationship between Mg$^{2+}$ and the atoms belonging to p22.

The distances between O2P/O3’ (p22) and N7(A22) depend on the conformational state of p22 (Figure 6) owing to the structural changes during the BI→BII transition, as mentioned above (Figure 2). When Mg$^{2+}$ interacts with A22, both d(O2P(p22)- Mg$^{2+}$) and d(O3’ (p22)-Mg$^{2+}$) are shortened for stable BII conformers ([<θ> >75°]) (Figure 6). These distances are compatible with significant electrostatic interactions between Mg$^{2+}$, O3’ (p22) and phosphate group, which is crucial for our study, is well reproduced through the trajectories, with between 36 and 40% of BII conformers on C21p22A22 in S1–S3.
and O2P(p22) but remain generally too great to allow the formation of additional hydrogen bonds. In particular, Mg\(^{2+}\)–O2P water-mediated hydrogen bonds are observed in nearly the half of the crystallographic interactions whereas they were only marginal in our simulations (3.5% of the DNA-Mg\(^{2+}\) interactions).

Actually, the Mg\(^{2+}\) locations in X-ray and simulated structures differ slightly (Figure 1). Mg\(^{2+}\) remains coplanar with the guanine during the trajectories, a position that increases the distance between the cation and O2P compared to the X-ray structures. Without excluding a possible force field bias, we cannot reasonably expect that Mg\(^{2+}\) locations are exactly identical in the solid state (i.e. from the crystallographic dataset) and in solution (i.e. from simulations).

The twist and roll of C21pA22 cover a large range of values, positive/negative rolls and low/high twists being associated with BI and BII conformers, respectively, according to the intrinsic B-DNA mechanics. The evolution of twist and roll as a function of \((\epsilon - \zeta)\), shown Figure 7, is common to all the simulations. A clear transition between low and high twist values occurs around \((\epsilon - \zeta) = -30^\circ\). Roll values change more slowly and are more gradual.

Mg\(^{2+}\)-mediated increase in the pure BII population of p22 leads to an increase in the proportion of the high twist/negative roll conformation in C21pA22 without affecting the structural couplings characteristic of the double helix.

In sum, the interaction between Mg\(^{2+}\) and the DNA major groove involves the C21pA22p23G23 fragment. The intrinsic structural properties of A22p23G23 match the octahedral configuration of the hydrated cation. Mg\(^{2+}\) modulates p22 behavior, favoring BII conformers. In turn, the conformational landscape explored by C21pA22 is modified in terms of roll and twist. The proximity between Mg\(^{2+}\) and both O2P(p22) and O3\(^{\prime}\) (p22) indicates that p22 actively participates in the electrostatic component of the interaction, which is investigated in the next section.

Electrostatic relationships between Mg\(^{2+}\) and DNA

Poisson-Boltzmann calculations were undertaken on a series of snapshots extracted from S1 to investigate the effects of the

![Figure 3. Distances characterizing the interaction between Mg\(^{2+}\) and DNA during the MD simulations.](image)

The distances between Mg\(^{2+}\) and the electronegative atoms N7(A22) and G23, O6(G23) and O2P(p22) belonging to the p23A22p23G23 fragment were extracted from S1. Mg\(^{2+}\) interacts with A22 and G23 via its first hydration shell for roughly 20 ns, as shown by the values of the distances d(Mg\(^{2+}\) – N7(A22)), d(Mg\(^{2+}\) – N7(G23)), and d(Mg\(^{2+}\) – O6(G23)). O2P(p22) is transitorily close to Mg\(^{2+}\). Short Mg\(^{2+}\) – O2P(A22) distances are associated with p22 in BII (BI in blue, BII in red). Identical interaction patterns are observed in S2 and S3.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041704.g003

![Figure 4. ApG step with and without Mg\(^{2+}\).](image)

Superimposition of the average structures of A22pG23 either free of Mg\(^{2+}\) (blue) or binding Mg\(^{2+}\) (red). In both cases, this step shows the same structural characteristics (low twist, positive roll and BI phosphate group). ApG is thus ideally adapted to the octahedral structure of hydrated Mg\(^{2+}\).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041704.g004

![Figure 5. (\(\epsilon - \zeta\)) distribution without and with Mg\(^{2+}\) in the DNA major groove.](image)

N is the percentage of snapshots within each \((\epsilon - \zeta)_{p22}\) interval. The distribution of \((\epsilon - \zeta)_{p22}\) values was calculated on two groups of structures extracted from S0–S3. The first group (blue) corresponds to snapshots in which Mg\(^{2+}\) does not interact with the DNA major groove. In the second group (red), Mg\(^{2+}\) binds to the N7 and O6 atoms of A22/G23 through three water-mediated hydrogen bonds. The BII population of the 5’ phosphate group, p22, increases.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041704.g005
Electrostatic potentials were calculated on DNA atomic positions, either removing (Epref) or keeping Mg\(^{2+}\). Additional Poisson-Boltzmann calculations were done to better reproduce the average location of Mg\(^{2+}\) in the simulations. Instigated by a body of crystallographic evidence, our modeling investigations show that Mg\(^{2+}\) is able to form stable intra-strand cross-links in the major groove of the ApG step in solution.

**Figure 6. Effect of p\(_{22}\) conformational state on Mg\(^{2+}\)-p\(_{22}\)A\(_{22}\) distances.** The distances between the OP2 and O3\(^{+}\) atoms of p\(_{22}\) and A\(_{22}\) (d(O2P(p\(_{22}\))−N7(A\(_{22}\))), indicated by a dashed red line; d(O3\(^{+}\)−p\(_{22}\))−N7(A\(_{22}\)) by a dashed blue line) depend on the conformational state of p\(_{22}\), represented here by (ς-ζ) p\(_{22}\) values. When Mg\(^{2+}\) interacts with A\(_{22}\) and G\(_{23}\), d(Mg\(^{2+}\)-O2P(p\(_{22}\))) (red) and d(Mg\(^{2+}\)-O3\(^{+}\) (p\(_{22}\))) (blue) are parallel to d(O2P(p\(_{22}\))−N7(A\(_{22}\))) and d(O3\(^{+}\)−p\(_{22}\))−N7(A\(_{22}\)), respectively. The standard deviations for distances are 1.2 Å. The distances were examined on the two groups of structures defined in Figure 5 caption.

**Table 2. Electrostatic potential values at cation locations in the DNA major groove.**

| p\(_{i-1}\)N\(_{i}\)-p\(_{i}\)N\(_{i}\) | Ep (kT/e) for p\(_{i-1}\) in BI | Ep (kT/e) for p\(_{i}\) in BI |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| p\(_{20}\)T,p\(_{21}\)C \(_{21}\) | −2.4 (0.6) | −2.2 (0.2) |
| p\(_{21}\)C,p\(_{22}\)A \(_{22}\) | −2.7 (0.5) | −3.2 (0.6) |
| p\(_{22}\)A,p\(_{23}\)G \(_{23}\) | −3.3 (0.7) | −4.3 (0.6) |
| p\(_{23}\)G,p\(_{24}\)A \(_{24}\) | −3.3 (0.7) | −3.5 (0.5) |
| p\(_{24}\)A,p\(_{25}\)A \(_{25}\) | −2.9 (0.4) | −3.2 (0.5) |

Poisson-Boltzmann electrostatic potentials (Ep) were calculated for 0.5 Å sided cubes centered on the average position of Mg\(^{2+}\) observed in MD structures (Figure 1). These squares were shifted along the five N\(_{i}\)-p\(_{i}\)N\(_{i}\) dinucleotide steps composing the T\(_{20}\)p\(_{21}\)C\(_{21}\)p\(_{22}\)p\(_{23}\)G\(_{23}\)p\(_{24}\)A\(_{24}\)p\(_{25}\)A\(_{25}\) fragment. The potentials are sorted according to the conformational state of p\(_{22}\). A total of 100 snapshots extracted from S1 were examined. Standard deviations are given in parentheses.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041704.t002

**Figure 7. Changes in inter-base pair parameters as a function of (ς-ζ) values.** The C\(_{21}\)p\(_{22}\)A\(_{22}\) average values of roll (°) and twist (°) and their standard deviations (bars) are plotted as a function of the (ς-ζ) values of p\(_{22}\), considering S0–S3. Mg\(^{2+}\)-mediated enhancement of the BII population of p\(_{22}\) leads to an increase in the proportion of conformations characterized by negative rolls and high twists.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041704.g007

BII. However, it explains the shift of the BI→BII equilibrium towards BII. Therefore, N7(A\(_{22}\)), N7(G\(_{23}\)), O2P(p\(_{22}\)) and O3\(^{+}\) (p\(_{22}\)) are major players in the interaction of Mg\(^{2+}\) within the DNA major groove.

These calculations create a credible scenario for Mg\(^{2+}\) interacting with the DNA major groove. Hydrated Mg\(^{2+}\) takes advantage of the negative pocket in the major groove of two successive bases, one guanine and one purine, to bridge them by water-mediated hydrogen bonds. When the 5’ phosphate group spontaneously shifts towards BII, its O2P and O3\(^{+}\) atoms move closer to the bound Mg\(^{2+}\), increasing their mutual electrostatic attractiveness. This additional interaction therefore helps stabilize the cation within the major groove and increases the BII population of p\(_{22}\).

**Discussion**

Instigated by a body of crystallographic evidence, our modeling investigations show that Mg\(^{2+}\) is able to form stable intra-strand cross-links in the major groove of the ApG step in solution. The
interaction network involves the first hydration shell of Mg$^{2+}$ and the $p_i A_i p_{i+1}$ fragment. $A_i p_{i+1}$ adopts a conformation characterized by positive roll and BI phosphate linkages. Our molecular dynamics approach demonstrates that the counterelectrolyte increases the percentage of BI conformers of $p_i$, such that $Cp_i$, $p_{i+1}$ more frequently explores negative roll conformations. MD trajectories associated with Poisson-Boltzmann calculations helped reveal the mechanism underpinning the interaction of divalent cations with the DNA major groove. Cations are attracted to the major groove of $A_i p_{i+1}$, which is structurally well-adapted to cation binding and forms a strong and well-defined electronegative pocket. Both O2P and O3' atoms of $p_{i-1}$ benefit from a favorable electrostatic interaction with the cation, further enhanced when $p_{i-1}$ is in BI. This latter interaction helps stabilize both the cation and BI conformers of $p_{i-1}$.

Divalent cations bridging two successive guanines in the major groove have been suspected to induce local bends in X-ray structures [7]. Such cation-induced curvature is supported by indirect indications gleaned from observations in solution made on DNAs containing (G)$_n$ tracts [28–30]. The Jun-Fos oligomer in the DNA-protein complexes. Ions in DNA wrapped around a histone core offer a good system through which the potential role of cations in DNA-protein interactions could be addressed. The nucleosome core particle (NCP) consists of 146–147 bp of DNA wound twice around an octameric core of four histone proteins. This DNA superhelical path induces a periodic alternation of positive and negative roll tracts [49], mainly associated with BI and BII phosphates, respectively. Other trinucleotides, made of BII-rich dinucleotides followed by ApG, GpG or GpA, may also fix cations. In this line, another caveat concerns the balance between the Mg$^{2+}$ residence times near the charged phosphate groups and near the major groove electronegative atoms. This point was previously addressed by a modeling study [46], which demonstrated that Mg$^{2+}$ were more frequently found near phosphates than in the major groove. Yet, this study also suggested that the presence of Mg$^{2+}$ in the major groove affects the bending occurring at A-tract flanking sequences. Given this context, systematic studies should be done to inventory the most propitious sites for harboring cations.

Are our findings applicable to cations other than Mg$^{2+}$? The examination of the six Ca$^{2+}$ present in the crystallographic dataset strongly suggests that this physiological cation behaves similarly to Mg$^{2+}$. Nevertheless, the stability of Ca$^{2+}$ in the DNA major groove remains to be confirmed, given that its first solvation shell, crucial for the interaction, may be more labile than the Mg$^{2+}$ solvation shell [9]. Regarding monovalent cations, $31^P$ NMR results [33] show the differential influence of Na$^+$ and K$^+$ on the BI$\rightarrow$BII equilibrium of several phosphate linkages, unambiguously demonstrating that DNA in solution is influenced by the nature of the monovalent cation. The examination of the two crystallographic structures that contain bound monovalent cations in the major groove (Rb$^+$, PDB code 3GGT; Tt$^+$, PDB code 3GGJ) reveals an interaction scheme identical to the one observed here for divalent cations. We carried out several MD simulations not presented here, replacing Mg$^{2+}$ with K$^+$. Nevertheless, the residence times of K$^+$ at the ApG step were very limited (at best 1.5 ns) compared to those observed with Mg$^{2+}$. Such instability, also noticed in other DNA sequences [47,48], hinders the acquisition of significant statistics on ion coordination and structural effects. Thus, the mechanism by which monovalent cations influence DNA behavior remains an open question.

Although divalent cations do not radically change DNA structure and dynamics, they appear to modulate DNA behavior and they may thereby help stabilize sharp DNA deformations in DNA-protein complexes. Ions in DNA wrapped around a histone core offer a good system through which the potential role of cations in DNA-protein interactions could be addressed. The nucleosome core particle (NCP) consists of 146–147 bp of DNA wound twice around an octameric core of four histone proteins. This DNA superhelical path induces a periodic alternation of positive and negative roll tracts [49], mainly associated with BI and BII phosphates, respectively [42]. In the best resolved NCP structure (PDB code 1KK5), 12 Mn$^{2+}$ (mimicking Mg$^{2+}$) are observed in the DNA major groove [50,51]. Two of them form inter-strand bridges. The 10 remaining cations coordinate GpG or ApG, characterized by positive rolls and BI phosphates. The 5'-TpG or CpA neighbors of these cation-binding dinucleotides exhibit marked negative rolls (-13±8° on average) associated with BII phosphates, and their O2P atoms are involved in a water-mediated hydrogen bond with Mn$^{2+}$. These features are surpris-
ingly similar to those observed on free DNA. Hence, divalent cations may fix particular DNA conformations required by interacting proteins, limiting the energetic and entropic costs of protein binding.

In conclusion, the present study suggests that DNA structure and dynamics are sensitive to divalent cations in the major groove. Cation binding is sequence-dependent and modulates the intrinsic sequence-dependent properties of DNA in terms of the population of conformational states, including the BII±BII equilibrium of phosphate groups. Although the role of cation-mediated modulation in DNA packaging and readout is largely speculative at this stage, it is an exciting hypothesis to test in regard to DNA-protein processes.

Materials and Methods

Crystallographic dataset

DNA crystal structures were selected based on the following two criteria: high or very high resolution and the presence of divalent cations in the DNA major groove. The dataset comprises 11 B-DNA decamers with a resolution of ≤1.65 Å (PDB codes: 3GGI, 3GGK, 3GBG, 1ZF7, 1ZFB, 1D23, 1ENE, 1EN9, 1EN8, 1EN3, 1DBG).

DNA sequence

The double-stranded DNA oligomer studied using molecular dynamics simulations has the following 14 bp sequence: 5'-d(G1 C2 A3 T4 T5 C6 T7 G8 A9 G10 T11 G12 A13 G14 )-3' d(C13 T14 G15 C16 A17 G18 C19 T20 G21 A22 G23 A24 A25 T26 G27 C28 )-3'. This oligomer, called the Jun-Fos oligomer, has been exhaustively equilibrated in the NVT ensemble at 100 K for 100 ps, with the heuristically and the center-of-mass motion was removed every electrostatic interactions. The non-bonded pair-list was updated off and a 0.00001 Ewald convergence tolerance for the long range bonds involving hydrogen were constrained using SHAKE [59]. The integration time step was 2 fs and covalent nature (300 K) and pressure (1 bar) using a Berendsen coupling around DNA. Simulations were performed at constant tempera-

90 Å, which allows for a solvent shell extending at least 15 Å around DNA. Simulations were performed at constant temperature (300 K) and pressure (1 bar) using a Berendsen coupling algorithm [58]. The integration time step was 2 fs and covalent bonds involving hydrogen were constrained using SHAKE [59]. Long-range electrostatic interactions were treated using the particle mesh Ewald approach [60] with a 9 Å direct space cut-off and a 0.000001 Ewald convergence tolerance for the long range electrostatic interactions. The non-bonded pair-list was updated heuristically and the center-of-mass motion was removed every 10 ps.

Water molecules and cations were energy-minimized and equilibrated in the NVT ensemble at 100 K for 100 ps, with the DNA constrained. The entire system (DNA, water molecules and ions) was then heated from 100 to 300 K in 10 ps in 5 K increments with harmonic restraints of 5.0 kcal mol⁻¹ Å⁻² on the solute atoms. The simulations were continued in the NPT ensemble, without a noticeable change in volume. The positional restraints were gradually removed over 250 ps and followed by the production phases.

All MD simulations were run with a set of restraints consisting in three NMR internucleotide distances per dinucleotide, H2'-H6/H6', H2'-H6/H6', and H6/H6/H6/H6', inferred from 31P chemical shifts [31,32]. These restraints were applied instantaneously via a mixed parabolic (for distance −10%) and hyperbolic (for distance +10%) potential with a force constant of 10 Kcalmol⁻¹Å⁻² around a central, flat-bottomed shape covering the experimental range of distances (estimated at 10% of the distances). We previously showed that this protocol best reproduces the exhaustive NMR data measured on the studied oligomer, while preserving the DNA dynamics [31]. Removing these NMR restraints in an additional 25 ns trajectory ensured that the main conclusions presented here were robust.

Four trajectories were considered (Table 1): S0, done in presence of Na⁺ counterions, will be the reference simulation. Three simulations, S1–S3, were carried out with Na⁺ and Mg²⁺, keeping the same starting point but reinitializing velocities. Mg²⁺ was initially restrained close to two bases of the Jun-Fos oligomer, A22 and G23, by three distance restraints (d(Mg²⁺−N7(A22))<4.0 Å, d(Mg²⁺−N7(G23))<6.0 Å and d(Mg²⁺−O6(G23))<5.0 Å), according to the preferred major groove location observed in X-ray DNA structures. In S1 and S2, the restraints were then gradually removed before running trajectories in which Mg²⁺ was thus free to escape from its initial position. To ensure robust statistics on DNA-ion interactions, an additional trajectory, S3, was carried out maintaining the restraints. The analysis covered a total of 200 ns of production runs.

The default Mg²⁺ parameters provided in AMBER were used in S1 and S3. S2 was carried out using Mg²⁺ parameters given by a recent study [15]. These parameters (r* = 0.6244 Å and ε = 28.4444 kcal mol⁻¹) reinforce the van der Waals potential, and accurately reproduce the free energy of Mg²⁺ hydration and the radial distribution function of water.

Electrostatic Calculations

Electrostatic potential maps were calculated with the Adaptive Poisson-Boltzmann Solver (APBS) [61] using a nonlinear solution. These calculations were done on snapshots extracted from trajectories, using APBS default parameters (physiological NaCl concentration of 150 mM, temperature of 298 K, solvent dielectric of 78.4, and solute dielectric of 2). Van der Waals radii and partial charges for DNA were those of the Parm98 force field. Solute charges were distributed onto grid points using a cubic B-spline discretization. The molecular surface was defined by the interface between a 1.4 Å solvent probe, corresponding to the radius of a water molecule, and the solute van der Waals radii.

Structure analysis

X-ray and MD DNA structures were analyzed using the Curves+ algorithm [62]. The phosphate conformations were analyzed in terms of BI and BII states, defined by the value of the pseudo-angle (ε-ζ): BI corresponds to (ε-ζ)<0° and BII to (ε-ζ)>0°. The alternative atomic positions proposed in the PDB files of some X-ray structures (PDB codes: 3GGI, 3GGK, 3GBG, 1EN3, 1D0G, 1EN9) were analyzed separately. The first nanosecond of each MD was discarded from the analysis.
For clarity, we consider that p, phosphate linkage is composed of the atoms O3\(^{-}\), O1P, P, O2P and O5\(^{-}\), noted X(p i).
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