Heterotrophic Ossification after Aggressive Rehabilitation in Patients with Trauma
- A Case Report -
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Heterotrophic ossification (HO) is a reactive disease presenting the formation of mature lamellar bone in soft tissues. It is known to occur following surgery, soft tissue injury, or central nervous system anomalies. However, a definite cause has not yet been clearly addressed. During the process of approach, reduction, and fixation while conducting surgeries, partial injury of soft tissue is inevitable. Additionally, secondary injuries may be caused during the active and passive range of motion exercises that should be done for the recovery of joint motion after surgery. The authors experienced cases of HO that may occur during surgery and rehabilitation after surgery. The authors recognized that special care is required for patients complaining of severe pain during the early stage of rehabilitation immediately after surgery. This study aimed to reaffirm the principles of fracture treatment by reviewing the cases and to investigate the occurrence of HO after fracture surgery.
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may occur occasionally when the two cases happen simul-
taneously. Alternately, misunderstanding of the principles
of fracture treatment may lead to immoderate joint motion
and thereby to soft tissue injury. In the aforementioned cir-
cumstances, HO can be caused, ultimately resulting in joint
stiffness, severe pain, and gait disorder. Hence, this study
aimed to reaffirm the principles of fracture treatment by re-
viewing the cases and to investigate the HO occurring after
fracture surgery.

**Case Reports**

1. Case 1

A 51-year-old female visited the trauma center with a
severely injured pelvis and thigh caused by the falling of
a heavy object directly on her while working. The patient
complained of both hip pain and deformity of the thigh.
In addition, the injury severity score was observed to be at
23 points. According to the advanced trauma life support
(ATLS) guidelines, X-ray images were taken after resusci-
tation. Consequently, right hip posterior dislocation, pelvic
bone fracture (lateral compression type II) with straddle
fracture, and both femur shaft fractures were found (Fig.
1). Although acetabular posterior wall fracture was found,
it was not sufficient to meet the surgical indication as the
displacement was <3 mm. Associated injuries included liver
contusion and multiple rib fractures with hemothorax.
There was no specific injury in the head, no special medical
history was detected, and her cognition was alert.

Femoral subtrochanteric fracture and associated right
femoral head dislocation were unable to be reduced manu-
ally in the emergency room. Therefore, closed reduction and
intramedullary nailing for the right femoral head dislocation

![Fig. 1. (A, B) Simple radiographs of a 51-year-old female patient who was di-
rectly injured by being struck by a heavy object during work and she visited the
trauma center with a straddle fracture of the pelvic bone, right sacroiliac joint
widening, right hip dislocation, and shaft fractures of both femurs. (C, D) Emer-
gency surgery was performed, including right hip reduction and intramedullary
nailing. Additionally, plating and intra-
medullary nailing for both the left femur
shaft fracture and the pelvic fracture
were performed a week after the initial
emergency surgery.](image-url)
and reduction for the femoral head were performed under general anesthesia with the consent of a caregiver. Subsequently, open reduction and plate fixation were performed for the pelvic fracture and closed reduction and nail fixation were performed for the left femoral shaft fracture upon the recovery of the general condition. The patient began active and passive hip and knee range of motion (ROM) exercises a week after the surgery. Additionally, weight bearing was resumed six weeks after the surgery in consideration of the pelvic fracture. The hip was the main site of injury and the patient showed a tolerable reaction for hip ROM exercises. However, the patient was not able to perform active knee ROM exercises and the rehabilitation involved passive ROM exercises advised by doctor and physical therapist. Since the knee was not injured, it was presumed that the reason was simple irritation caused by the interlocking screw inserted into the distal femur for antegrade nailing. Consequently, the passive ROM exercise was performed once in every three days at the time of the daily rounds by making the patient to do hip and knee flexion and extension while holding her ankle and knee. A total of five exercise sessions were continued until the patient's discharge.

The patient reported severe pain (visual analogue scale [VAS] score, 7 points) every time she did the knee joint motion and rehabilitation was performed while administering pain reliever. The patient attended the follow up sessions post her discharge two weeks after the surgery. Notably, the passive and active knee ROM measured at the time of discharge was 10° to 120° and 20° to 80°, respectively. HO around the popliteal fossa, wide enough to connect the tibia to the femur, was observed since the second month of the follow-up, and the HO was observed to expand to the medial and lateral femoral condyle and along the quadriceps (Fig. 2). Joint stiffness was observed as the patient presented knee ROM as 20° to 30° for the right and 20° to 40° for the left side. The patient underwent surgery for HO excision and knee ROM recovery six months after the primary surgery. She did not undergo radiotherapy. However, she took Cox-2 inhibitor as it was prescribed for the treatment (Fig. 3).

2. Case 2

A 65-year-old male who fell down from the second floor while working, was diagnosed with patellar fracture.
based on the X-ray images. Consequently, he was treated by performing open reduction and plate fixation three days after the injury. The patient began aggressive passive motion three days after the surgery and complained of pain (VAS score, 8 points) during the passive ROM exercises. However, we thought that this was a normal postoperative rehabilitation process, and we proceeded with ROM exercise, ignoring the pain of the patient. The patient was discharged two weeks after the surgery and joint stiffness and HO diffusing into the patellar tendon and medial femoral condyle area were observed (Fig. 4). The knee ROM was 10° to 40°. Therefore, we recommended that the patient should undergo surgical removal of HO. However, he refused to undergo the surgery and chose crutch gait.

**Discussion**

HO observed in fracture patients without central nervous system injury may be due to excessive soft tissue injury caused during surgery. However, as shown in the aforementioned Case 1, the patients who did not undergo direct injury in the knee presented HO formation in the knee during the rehabilitation after the antegrade nailing in the femur. Such HO was considered to be formed due to excessive passive joint motion during the rehabilitation that may have caused micro bleeding within the muscle, which then formed hematoma and progressed through ossification. This is the first report of severe HO in the intact body regions of patients with general trauma who did not present...
central nervous system injury or burns. Considering that the occurrence of HO around the patella is rare and that such Case 2 has rarely been reported, HO can be predicted to occur during the postoperative rehabilitation rather than soft tissue injury during the surgery.\textsuperscript{5)}

Joints are relatively avascular regions and are nourished with the nutrients and oxygen obtained through diffusion from the joint fluid. Hence, circulation of the joint fluid is essential, and treatment for intra-articular fractures should be facilitated by beginning early joint motion to promote the circulation.\textsuperscript{6)} In addition, while performing intramedullary nailing, at least two distal screws are inserted to fix the shaft fractures and a maximum of five screws are inserted around the knee to fix the infra-isthmal fractures.\textsuperscript{7)} In the Case 1, knee stiffness may be caused if early joint motion is not initiated. Therefore, early knee joint motion is preferred. It is also required for performing weight bearing exercises early. Moreover, early mobilization after the surgery can also improve lung function, reduce the risk of deep vein thrombosis and urologic complications, and eliminate secondary risk factors, including muscular weakness and falls, which can be caused by bed rest and limited physical activity. It was also effective for the alleviation of the symptoms of delirium that may occur in elderly patients.\textsuperscript{3)}

The underlying issue is that excessive joint motion will lead to soft tissue injury. However, there has been no study that has clearly defined the extent for which joint exercise is considered appropriate and when the exercise is considered im-

---

**Fig. 5.** (A, B) The clinical picture of the patient sitting on the edge of the bed while performing knee joint exercise using gravity and the opposite leg.
Steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and radiotherapy, and surgical removal of heterotrophic osseous tissue. Cases with severe disorder of joint motion or severe pain require surgical treatment. All of the cases in this study presented joint stiffness and consequent gait disorder and required surgical treatment. The optimal timing for the removal has not been defined yet: there are controversial reports including some studies in conflict regarding the optimal timing for the removal (6 months after the onset or 12 months after the onset) and other studies recommending the early removal, regardless of the timing.

The methods to prevent the relapse of HO include the administration of NSAIDs and radiotherapy. NSAIDs are known to prevent HO by inhibiting the action of cyclooxygenase. Notably, indomethacin is the most frequently used NSAID. Schmidt et al. reported that indomethacin exerts a preventive effect on the relapse of HO after hip joint surgery beyond a simple placebo effect. Radiotherapy is also known prevent the relapse of HO but has no preventive effect on the remaining HO tissue since bone is already formed after cell differentiation. Although the aforementioned methods for relapse after the surgery were not applied for the Case 1 in this study, relapse was not observed. This was possibly because the authors performed extensive HO removal including the removal of normal tissues.

In conclusion, appropriate ROM exercise after fracture surgery is significantly beneficial for patients in treatment of fractures as it helps the lubrication of the joint. However, immoderate passive ROM exercise may cause HO. Particular attention should be paid to patients complaining of extreme pain during postoperative rehabilitation, and ROM exercise should be performed at a gentle tolerable level.

요 약

이소성 골화증은 성숙한 층판골이 연부조직에 생기는 반응성 질환으로 수술 및 연부조직 손상 또는 중추신경계의 이상으로 발생하는 것으로 알려졌으나, 정확히 어떤 원인으로 인해 발생하는지 명확하지 않다. 그리고 관절 내 골절을 수술하면서 불가피하게 골절 부위로의 접근, 정복, 고정하는 과정에서 연부조직의 부분적 손상을 줄 수밖에 없으며, 수술 후 관절 운동 회복을 위해서 관절 각도 운동을 시키면서 2차적인 손상을 주기도 한다. 저자들은 수술 후 일련의 재활과정에서 생길 수 있는 이소성 골화증을 증례를 통하여 경험하였으며, 특히 수술 직후 초기 재활에서 동통을 심각하게 호소하는 환자에서 주의를 기울여야 함을 알게 되었다. 이에 증례를 통하여 골절 치료의 원칙을 다시 확인해보고, 골절 수술 후 발생한 이소성 골화증에 대해서 알아보고자 한다.
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