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Construction of Perovskite Solar Cells Using Inorganic Hole-Extracting Components

Esmaiel Nouri, Mohammad Reza Mohammadi,*†‡ and Panagiotis Lianos*‡

†Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Sharif University of Technology, Azadi Street, 11369 Tehran, Iran
‡Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Patras, 26500 Patras, Greece

ABSTRACT: A NiOₓ–graphene oxide (NiOₓ–GO) hybrid has been prepared by a simple solution-processed method and was used as hole-extraction material in perovskite solar cells with either gold or carbon as back contact electrode. The impact of GO content on the optoelectronic behavior of NiOₓ and the photovoltaic performance of the fabricated devices has been studied. Thus, GO incorporation showed a significant improvement in the performance of NiOₓ-based devices. The best attained efficiency was 13.3%, and it was 45% higher than that with pure NiOₓ. This is attributed to a significant improvement in the hole extraction, recombination resistance, and energy-level matching in comparison to pure NiOₓ. In addition, NiOₓ–GO/Au-based perovskite solar cell devices showed a negligible hysteresis effect and high reliability and repeatability. When carbon was used as back contact electrode, the obtained efficiencies were lower, but it leaves space for improvement. Devices based on inorganic hole transporters NiOₓ or NiOₓ–GO demonstrated higher stability in ambient air compared to a standard cell based on spiro-OMeTAD.

INTRODUCTION

Organometal halide perovskite solar cells (PSCs), which is the youngest technology in solar energy conversion, have incited very high interest among a large number of researches by exhibiting very high power-conversion efficiency (PCE) >22%. Such an amazing performance is due to the excellent optoelectronic characteristics of the hybrid organic–inorganic perovskite, which acts as light harvester. Among these properties is its high light absorption coefficient at room temperature, charge accumulation in high density of states, very high electron–hole diffusion lengths, no optically detectable deep states, and high charge-carrier mobility. Mesoscopic PSC devices are grown on a transparent electrode (usually, fluorine-doped tin oxide, FTO) by first depositing a mesoporous scaffold (typically, TiO₂ or Al₂O₃), followed by a perovskite light absorber, a high-purity organic hole-transporting material (HTM), typically spiro-OMeTAD, and a noble metal electrode (Au or Ag). The PSC devices with the above configuration are very expensive because of the high cost of spiro-OMeTAD and of the noble metal back contact. In addition to their high cost, applying metal electrodes on PSC devices requires a high-vacuum and costly evaporation installation, limiting their future commercial application. It is clear that to overcome these cost-limiting problems, the expensive components should be replaced or removed. In this respect, we have proposed soluble n-butyl-substituted copper phthalocyanine and soluble tetraphenylamine Zn phthalocyanine as promising soluble candidates for spiro-OMeTAD substitution. However, it is broadly illustrated and discussed in the literature that there are other limiting factors (besides high cost) brought about by metal back contact and organic hole conductors in PSCs. Thus, metal migration as well as moisture- and illumination-induced degradation of organic components is of primary concern with PSCs. Migration of metal inside the perovskite film can create shunt paths across the cell and also deep trap states within the semiconductor, leading to reduction of fill factor (FF). In addition, enhancement of nonradiative recombination is accompanied by lower open-circuit voltage (V_OC) and short-circuit current density (J_SC). One solution to control metal-migration-induced degradation is application of a buffer layer between hole conductor and perovskite films. We have applied graphene oxide (GO) buffer layer infiltrated with HTM to suppress metal-atom penetration into the perovskite layer during aging. On the other hand, moisture and illumination can drastically degrade the organic components. Such degradation can affect the long-term stability of PSCs under ambient air conditions, and this constitutes the biggest challenge faced by organic components for realistic applications of PSC devices.

In recent years, inorganic carbonaceous materials have been attracting substantial attention as promising alternatives to the organic components, thanks to their stability, abundancy, and cost reduction of fabrication procedure via paintable or
blend. The choice of NiO\textsubscript{x} schematic configuration of the NiO\textsubscript{x}GO-based PSC devices, which have been studied in this work. The work function and the corresponding energy levels of device components have been obtained in our previous works\textsuperscript{20,21}.

Results and Discussion

The data listed in this work refer to PSC devices which were made using inorganic components with a principal role played by carbonaceous materials. Devices were constructed and tested first using a Au and then a carbon back contact electrode. As explained in the Experimental Procedures section, the NiO\textsubscript{x}GO mixtures used in the present work are abbreviated as NiO\textsubscript{x}−1GO, NiO\textsubscript{x}−2GO, NiO\textsubscript{x}−3GO, and NiO\textsubscript{x}−4GO. The numbers in front of GO correspond to the added multiple of a unit quantity of GO.

Figure 1 illustrates the energy band alignment together with a schematic configuration of the NiO\textsubscript{x}GO-based PSC devices, which have been studied in this work. The work function and the corresponding energy levels of device components have been obtained in our previous works\textsuperscript{20,21}.

Figure 1a, electron–hole pairs are generated when incident photons are absorbed by the photoactive perovskite film. Then, electrons are transferred to the transparent FTO substrate, whereas holes are extracted by the back Au or carbon terminals. Electron- and hole-extraction layers (EEL and HEL, respectively) are necessary at the interface between the perovskite film and the electrodes to reduce the developing energy barriers and suppress interfacial charge recombination. In addition, the output \(V_{OC}\) is a function of the energy-level difference of electron transport layer (ETL) and hole transport layer (HTL). It is obvious from Figure 1b that there is a perfect matching of the energy levels, which guarantees cell functioning.

The actual deposited materials and the formation of layers during device construction were characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM). The FESEM and TEM images in Figure 2a,b, respectively, show the as-synthesized NiO\textsubscript{x}GO hybrids. It can be seen from the FESEM image in Figure 2a that a heavy NiO\textsubscript{x} nanoparticles loading on GO sheets was obtained. As revealed by the inset of Figure 2b, the sizes of the NiO\textsubscript{x} nanoparticles are in the range of 5–10 nm. Energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometry (EDS) mapping of the NiO\textsubscript{x}GO hybrids was conducted to analyze their chemical composition and further confirm the uniformity of the distribution of GO carbonaceous sheets in NiO\textsubscript{x} nanoparticle matrix. Figure 2c–e shows the distribution of Ni, O, and C elements in the NiO\textsubscript{x}GO blend, respectively, indicating a satisfactory dispersion of components within the hybrid. Crystallinity of the obtained pure NiO\textsubscript{x} and NiO\textsubscript{x}−3GO nanoparticulate hybrids was characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis. As shown in Figure 2f, both samples exhibit three main Bragg diffraction peaks at \(2\theta\) of 37.3, 43.6, and 62.8°, which can be, respectively, assigned to the (111), (200), and (220) planes, suggesting a cubic crystalline structure (PDF: 47-1049). Obviously, only NiO\textsubscript{x} contributes to the detected diffraction peaks.

The morphology of the perovskite film fabricated on mesoporous TiO\textsubscript{2} layer is shown in Figure 3a. We can observe a continuous, flat, and full-coverage film with large grains, which guarantee the perovskite crystallite formation and subsequently the PSC device functioning. Figure 3b depicts a fully covered compact structure of the NiO\textsubscript{x}−3GO film without voids (i.e., no perovskite can be seen through this film). This helps to control metal-migration-induced degradation, which may damage the layer underneath by creating shunt paths between
the perovskite layer and the back contact electrodes. A typical cross-sectional FESEM image of the PSC device is demonstrated in Figure 3c, showing a configuration of FTO/TiO$_2$ compact layer/TiO$_2$ mesoporous layer/perovskite film/NiO$_x$-3GO/Au. The most interesting characteristic of this image is the fact that a continuous and compact hole-extraction layer of NiO$_x$−3GO has been obtained, and this was achieved with a simple solution-processed procedure.

Figure 4 shows $J$−$V$ and incident photon-to-charge carrier efficiency (IPCE) curves of PSC devices with Au back contact electrode under both forward and reverse scans with pure NiO$_x$ and NiO$_x$-incorporated graphene oxide as hole-extracting layer (HEL). For the NiO$_x$−3GO/Au-based device, a PCE of 13.3% was obtained under forward scan, where $J_{SC}$, $V_{OC}$, and FF were 20.4 mA/cm$^2$, 1.03 V, and 0.63, respectively. However, the control device with pure NiO$_x$/Au layer exhibited a $J_{SC}$ of 19.0 mA/cm$^2$, a $V_{OC}$ of 0.95 V, and a FF of 0.51, resulting in a PCE of 9.2% under forward scan. It is noteworthy that the NiO$_x$/Au-based device demonstrated a serious hysteresis effect, which may be attributed to the inefficient hole extraction from the perovskite film to the NiO$_x$ layer. However, in the presence of GO, such obstacles were overcome so that the NiO$_x$−GO/Au-based PSC devices had a negligible hysteresis effect, indicating that the GO sheets markedly improved the charge-collection capacity.

Corresponding photovoltaic characteristics of the cells made by using carbon as back contact electrode are presented in Figure 5 and Tables 1 and 2. All photovoltaic parameters reached lower values than in the case of gold. Especially, the fill factor and the open-circuit voltage suffered large losses. This lower performance is due to different conductive characteristics of carbon compared to gold, as well as to the porous structure.
Figure 3. FESEM top views of (a) perovskite film applied on a TiO$_2$ mesoporous layer and (b) NiO$_x$−3GO nanoparticulate film on top of the perovskite film. (c) Cross-sectional FESEM image of the subsequent layers making the PSC device based on NiO$_x$−3GO hole-extraction layer with a configuration of FTO/TiO$_2$ compact layer/TiO$_2$ mesoporous layer/perovskite film/NiO$_x$−3GO/Au.

Figure 4. Photovoltaic metrics of PSCs made by NiO$_x$/Au and NiO$_x$−GO/Au hole-extraction layers: (a) Current density−voltage (J−V) curves under forward and reverse scans and (b) incident photon-to-carrier efficiency spectra (left ordinate) and photocurrent density (right ordinate) calculated by integrating the corresponding IPCE spectra under AM 1.5 simulated sunlight.
under Simulated AM 1.5 (100 mW/cm²) Conditions calculated by integrating the corresponding IPCE spectra under AM 1.5 simulated sunlight. The luminescence spectra, illustrated in Figure 4b, show a reference sample. The corresponding steady-state photo-pervoskite films on NiOₓ and NiOₓ−GO layers deposited on FTO substrates were studied and are presented in Figure 6. The UV−vis absorption spectra (Figure 6a) show a much higher absorbance in the range of 400−750 nm for NiOₓ and NiOₓ−GO-containing films, compared to neat perovskite as reference sample. The corresponding steady-state photoluminescence spectra, illustrated in Figure 4b, show a perovskite film luminescence peak at 709 nm. In the presence of NiOₓ, photoluminescence was substantially quenched, indicating charge transfer between NiOₓ and perovskite photoactive layer. It is interesting to note that photoluminescence quenching was even more extensive in the presence of GO. These data then indicate that charge transfer between the photoactive layer and the hole-extracting layer is effective and it is even more so in the presence of GO.

Table 1. Photovoltaic Parameters of the NiOₓ− and NiOₓ−GO-Based PSC Devices with Au as Back Contact Electrode Measured under Simulated AM 1.5 (100 mW/cm²) Conditions

| hole-extraction layer | J víct (mA/cm²) | V eff (V) | FF | η (%) |
|-----------------------|----------------|----------|----|-------|
| NiOₓ (neat)           | 19.0 ± 0.51    | 0.95 ± 0.023 | 0.51 ± 0.032 | 9.2 ± 0.75 |
| NiOₓ−1GO              | 19.5 ± 0.36    | 0.98 ± 0.006 | 0.60 ± 0.020 | 11.5 ± 0.56 |
| NiOₓ−2GO              | 20.2 ± 0.22    | 0.99 ± 0.021 | 0.62 ± 0.027 | 12.4 ± 0.76 |
| NiOₓ−3GO              | 20.4 ± 0.30    | 1.03 ± 0.019 | 0.65 ± 0.022 | 13.3 ± 0.66 |
| NiOₓ−4GO              | 20.4 ± 0.19    | 1.01 ± 0.026 | 0.61 ± 0.030 | 12.6 ± 0.87 |

Table 2. Photovoltaic Parameters of the NiOₓ− and NiOₓ−GO-Based PSC Devices with Carbon as Back Contact Electrode Measured under Simulated AM 1.5 (100 mW/cm²) Conditions

| hole-extraction layer | J víct (mA/cm²) | V eff (V) | FF | η (%) |
|-----------------------|----------------|----------|----|-------|
| NiOₓ (neat)           | 13.9 ± 0.26    | 0.82 ± 0.052 | 0.34 ± 0.037 | 4.1 ± 0.59 |
| NiOₓ−1GO              | 14.6 ± 0.62    | 0.86 ± 0.021 | 0.42 ± 0.032 | 5.3 ± 0.75 |
| NiOₓ−2GO              | 14.8 ± 0.30    | 0.86 ± 0.010 | 0.48 ± 0.023 | 6.1 ± 0.38 |
| NiOₓ−3GO              | 15.6 ± 0.51    | 0.88 ± 0.023 | 0.51 ± 0.032 | 7.0 ± 0.75 |
| NiOₓ−4GO              | 15.8 ± 0.98    | 0.87 ± 0.014 | 0.52 ± 0.013 | 7.1 ± 0.59 |

of the carbon layer. However, we believe that this is a matter of optimization and therefore there is room for improvement.

To better understand hole-extraction functionality by the NiOₓ−GO layer, UV−vis and photoluminescence (PL) spectra of the perovskite films on NiOₓ and NiOₓ−GO layers deposited on FTO substrates were studied and are presented in Figure 6. The UV−vis absorption spectra (Figure 6a) show a much higher absorbance in the range of 400−750 nm for NiOₓ and NiOₓ−GO-containing films, compared to neat perovskite as reference sample. The corresponding steady-state photoluminescence spectra, illustrated in Figure 4b, show a perovskite film luminescence peak at 709 nm. In the presence of NiOₓ−GO, photoluminescence was substantially quenched, indicating charge transfer between NiOₓ and perovskite photoactive layer. It is interesting to note that photoluminescence quenching was even more extensive in the presence of GO. These data then indicate that charge transfer between the photoactive layer and the hole-extracting layer is effective and it is even more so in the presence of GO.

An obvious question may then be asked as to the nature of the support brought about by the presence of GO. Both NiOₓ and GO are not thermally treated; therefore, NiOₓ exact stoichiometry cannot be assured and GO is not transformed into reduced graphene oxide. Thus, the presence of GO cannot offer higher conductivities to the layer. This matter has been studied by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements. Figure 7 shows EIS data and the insets present the equivalent circuit models. By fitting Nyquist plots, the total series resistance (Rₛ) values in all devices was due to the similar device structure, as shown in Figure 1. However, an obvious difference was observed with the charge-transfer resistance (Rₓ) values at the ETL/perovskite or HTL/perovskite interface between devices made with neat NiOₓ and devices made with the optimal NiOₓ−GO layer (i.e., NiOₓ−3GO). Figure 7a shows the corresponding Nyquist plots under dark and at a bias of V eff, exhibiting internal charge transport and recombination through the PSC devices. Only one semicircle could be distinguished in the Nyquist plots,
attributed to charge-transfer resistance ($R_t$) at the interface between NiO$_x$ or NiO$_x$−GO and perovskite film. The NiO$_x$−GO-based PSC devices had lower $R_t$, i.e., smaller semicircle, than the control device with neat NiO$_x$ as HTL. The smaller $R_t$ implies a faster charge transport at the interface of HEL/perovskite. This result confirms the conclusions drawn by the above photoluminescence measurements, as shown in Figure 6b. Nyquist plots of the cells measured under AM 1.5G illumination conditions and the equivalent circuit are shown in Figure 7b, where both high- and low-frequency semicircles become evident. The high-frequency part of the spectra contains information on charge-transfer resistance ($R_t$) and series resistance ($R_s$) elements, as well as dielectric contributions. The low frequency arc is attributed to recombination resistance ($R_r$) at the photoactive layer. By fitting the Nyquist plot with an equivalent circuit, the NiO$_x$−GO-based device shows a smaller value of $R_t$ and a larger value of $R_r$ (i.e., interfacial recombination resistance). The more efficient hole-extraction process from perovskite absorber layer and the more efficient suppressing of charge recombination in the case of NiO$_x$−GO-based PSC device resulted in a better device performance, compared to the neat NiO$_x$-based device.

Figure 6. (a) UV−vis absorption and (b) photoluminescence spectra of the perovskite films on NiO$_x$ and NiO$_x$−GO layers deposited on FTO substrates. Photoluminescence was recorded by excitation at 450 nm.

Figure 7. Nyquist plots and equivalent circuits for fitting the EIS data of the NiO$_x$- and the NiO$_x$−GO-based PSC devices measured under (a) dark and (b) AM 1.5G illumination conditions with a bias voltage of $V_{OC}$. The solid lines are the fitted curves. On the transmission line equivalent circuit used to fit the impedance data, $R_s$ represents the resistance of conductive substrate, $C_{BL}$ is the capacitance, $R_{BL}$ is the charge-transfer resistance at TiO$_2$ compact blocking layer, $R_t$ is the transport resistance at the ETL/perovskite or the HTL/perovskite interface, $R_r$ is the recombination resistance accounting for losses of electrons from perovskite absorber layer, $C_μ$ is the chemical capacitance, and $R_{HTL}$ is the resistance of NiO$_x$ or NiO$_x$−GO as hole-transporting materials.
answer then the question at the beginning of this paragraph, we may conclude that the presence of GO does not support higher conductivity, thus leaving series resistance unaffected, but offering a better structural organization of the HEL facilitates charge transfer while impeding charge recombination.

The photoconversion efficiency vs time curves for the corresponding PSC devices based on spiro-OMeTAD (as reference standard HTL) and for the above NiO$_x$ and NiO$_x$−3GO devices with either Au or carbon back contact electrodes are compared in Figure 8. The devices were kept in a dry box of relative humidity 28–32% under dark conditions and then their photovoltaic performance was measured at different time intervals under ambient air at room temperature. Comparison of performance of different HTL components indicated that the photoconversion efficiency of the spiro-based PSCs underwent intensive more rapid deterioration in a period of a few days and reached nearly a dead point, with only 14% of its initial PCE remaining, after 15 days of device operation. During the same period of time, the NiO$_x$−3GO component significantly delayed the photoinduced degradation, thereby retaining about 72% (carbon electrode) and 57% (Au electrode) of the initial photoconversion efficiency after 15 days of device operation. It is noteworthy that in the beginning of the aging test spiro-based device exhibited 27% higher PCE than the best-performance device based on NiO$_x$−3GO. But after about 6 days of their initial operations, they had the same PCE of 11.2% and then the inorganic-based PSC showed a better performance. This indicates that the oxygen and moisture apparently deteriorate all fabricated PSC devices, but those with NiO$_x$ HTL show distinctly more stable behavior, especially in the presence of graphene oxide. This may be attributed to the good shielding role of NiO$_x$−GO hybrids against the penetration of humidity into the highly sensitive perovskite layer under ambient conditions.

One more interesting point in Figure 8 is the impact of back contact electrode material on long-term stability of PSCs. If we consider a certain HTL (NiO$_x$ or NiO$_x$−3GO) with different carbon and Au back contact electrodes, it is not surprising that Au caused more rapid deterioration of PCE than carbon back contact. Metal can migrate inside the perovskite film during device operation and create shunt paths across the cell. This seems to be less probable in the case of carbon. In addition, carbon layer adds to the protective effect brought about by its hydrophobic nature.

## CONCLUSIONS

Perovskite solar cells have been constructed using solution-processable inorganic components with NiO$_x$−GO making the hole-extraction layer. Devices have been made and characterized under ambient conditions of 28–32% relative humidity. The obtained maximum efficiency was lower than the values reported with organic hole-transporting materials; however, with all factors taken into account, the proposed route might come out to be much more realistic and thus more promising. Moreover, these devices showed a higher stability in ambient air compared to a standard PSC device based on spiro-OMeTAD. Devices have been constructed by easy procedures, and this is particularly true for the deposition of the NiO$_x$−GO layer, which was functional despite the simplicity of synthesis and deposition. GO does not increase conductivity as has been observed with metal-doped NiO$_x$, but its presence apparently helps to organize the hole-extraction layer and thus increase its functionality.

## EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

### Materials. All reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, unless otherwise specified, and used as received. SnO$_2$:F transparent conductive substrates (FTO, resistance 7 Ω/square) (TCO22-7) and carbon paste were purchased from Solaronix. Commercial Degussa P25 was used for the preparation of homemade TiO$_2$ paste used for the deposition of the mesoporous titania layer.

### Preparation of GO, NiO$_x$, and NiO$_x$−GO. Full details of GO synthesis have been reported in our previous work. 28 Briefly, GO was synthesized on the basis of the Hummers and Offman method involving two steps: preoxidation of graphite flakes and exfoliation of graphene oxide. In the first step, K$_2$S$_2$O$_8$ and P$_2$O$_5$ were utilized as oxidizing agent of graphite flakes in concentrated H$_2$SO$_4$ at 80 °C. In the second step, the above oxidized graphite powder underwent progressive oxidation and exfoliation using KMnO$_4$ and NaNO$_3$ in a cooled H$_2$SO$_4$ bath. Deionized water was added into the mixture to increase the oxidation degree of the GO product. Residual oxidizing agents were dissolved with 30% H$_2$O$_2$. The gross product was treated with 10% HCl solution in ethanol and deionized water to eliminate the residual metal ions. The yellow-brown GO dispersion was then subjected to dialysis to completely remove metal ions and acids. Finally, it was dehydrated in an air oven to yield the GO powder. In the next step, a dispersion of the GO sheets in isopropanol and glacial acetic acid with a concentration of 5 mg/mL were prepared using an ultrasonic bath. The resultant homogenously exfoliated suspensions were used as graphene source to synthesize NiO$_x$−graphene oxide (NiO$_x$−GO) hybrids via a simple solution-processed method as follows. Nickel(II) nitrate hexahydrate (Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O, 1.5 g) was added to 50 mL of isopropanol and stirred overnight. The resultant green NiO$_x$ precursor solution was filtered using a porous poly(tetrafluoroethylene) membrane filter (0.45 μm). To prepare NiO$_x$−GO hybrids with different contents of GO, four different amounts (1, 2, 3, and 4 mL) of the above prepared GO dispersion were added dropwise into 10 mL of NiO$_x$ precursor solution in isopropanol under stirring, followed by 10 min
sonication. The obtained NiO$_x$–GO mixtures are abbreviated as NiO$_x$–1GO, NiO$_x$–2GO, NiO$_x$–3GO, and NiO$_x$–4GO, respectively. The numbers in front of GO correspond to the added amounts (mL) of GO dispersion, and because the content of the latter in GO was 5 mg/mL, it is expected that the quantity of GO in the mixture was equal to the corresponding multiples of 5 mg (i.e., 5, 10, 15, and 20 mg, respectively).

**Construction of PSC Devices.** FTO-coated glass substrates were cut in pieces of dimensions 1 cm × 3 cm. One-third of the conductive layer was etched using zinc powder and hydrochloric acid. They were washed with mild detergent, rinsed several times with distilled water and subsequently with ethanol and acetone in an ultrasonic bath, and finally dried under air stream. A compact thin layer of TiO$_x$ was then deposited on this patterned and cleaned FTO electrode by aerosol spray pyrolysis using a solution of 0.2 M diisopropoxytitanium bis(acetylacetonate) in ethanol. After spraying, the samples were dried for 10 min at 100 °C and heated for 1 h at 500 °C. Subsequently, a mesoporous TiO$_x$ layer was spin-coated at 4000 rpm for 60 s using a TiO$_2$ paste made of P25 nanoparticles. The obtained film was dried at 100 °C for 20 min and calcined for 30 min at 500 °C. After that, the samples were treated in TiCl$_4$ by dipping into a solution made of 0.04 M TiCl$_4$ in H$_2$O for 30 min at 70 °C, then copiously rinsing, and finally calcining at 500 °C. Active perovskite layer was deposited on mesoporous TiO$_2$ film by the following procedure. PbI$_2$ (507 mg) and PbCl$_2$ (253 mg) were dissolved in 1.5 mL of dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) at 170 °C. After stirring for about 2 h, PbI$_2$ (507 mg) and PbCl$_2$ (253 mg) were dissolved in 1.5 mL of dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) at 170 °C. After stirring for about 2 h at about 80 °C and then deposited by two consecutive spin-coating steps: first 1000 rpm for 10 s and then 6000 rpm for 30 s. During the second step, 1 mL of chlorobenzene, as an antisolvent, was gently dropped on the spinning substrate. The layer was then heated at 90 °C for about 45 min, which made the sample’s color turn from yellow to black. Thereafter, NiO$_x$ or NiO$_x$–GO as hole-extraction layer was spin-coated from its stock solution at 3000 rpm for 40 s directly on the annealed perovskite layer at room temperature, followed by heating at 100 °C for 10 min. All procedures were carried out under ambient conditions of 28−32% relative humidity. The last step for preparing the PSC devices was the deposition of back contact electrode. Two different procedures and materials were used for this purpose. First, 90 nm thick gold electrodes were deposited by thermal evaporation under vacuum. In the second case, carbon electrodes were constructed by screen-printing a commercial carbon paste on NiO$_x$ or NiO$_x$–GO layers and dried at 100 °C for 10 min. Two unit devices were made in each case with an active size of 15 mm$^2$ (10 mm × 1.5 mm) as defined by the size of gold or carbon electrodes.

**Characterization and Measurements.** The size and morphology of NiO$_x$ nanoparticles blended with GO sheets were analyzed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM/ JEOL, JEM-2100, Japan) operating at 200 kV. Solar cell devices configuration and subsequent layers’ morphology were imaged by means of field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM, MIRA3 TESCAN, Czech Republic) with a field emission gun equipped with an energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometry (EDS) system. The UV−vis absorbance properties of mesoporous layers were recorded using an AVASPEC-2048-TEC instrument (Avantes, The Netherlands). Photoluminescence (PL) spectra were recorded on a Cary Eclipse fluorescence spectrometer. The photovoltaic parameters of the PSC devices were studied using a Zahner Cimps PCS solar simulator (Zahner, Kronach, Germany) set at 100 mW/cm$^2$. The devices were illuminated through a mask of aperture size 1 mm × 6 mm under ambient conditions at a scan rate of 50 mV/s. Incident photon-to-charge carrier efficiency (IPCE) was obtained using a setup with a Jarrell-Ash monochromator, a 100 W halogen lamp, and a calibrated photodiode (Thorlabs). Electronic impedance spectroscopy (EIS) characterization was carried out with a potentiotstat/galvanostat (PGSTAT128N, Autolab B.V., The Netherlands) under both dark and AM 1.5G illuminated conditions at a frequency range of 1 Hz to 3 MHz.
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