Affective Temperament and Glycemic Control – The Psychological Aspect of Obesity and Diabetes Mellitus
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Purpose: Affective temperament shows innate predisposition to affective disorders and has been studied in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and obesity. Studies describing connections between depressive disorders, obesity and T2DM, show a bidirectional way in which these disorders affect each other. Given that obesity, depression, and T2DM are still growing health problems of our times, the improvement of therapeutic strategies is required. The aim of our study was to evaluate affective temperament in obese individuals with T2DM and pre-diabetes and to investigate the correlations between affective temperaments and glycemic control.

Materials and Methods: The study enrolled 185 obese individuals (146 females; 39 males) who were diagnosed with pre-diabetes, diabetes or without any carbohydrate disorder. For affective temperament evaluation, Temperament Evaluation of Memphis, Pisa, Paris and San Diego Autoquestionnaire (TEMPS-A) was utilized; for glycemic control, the assessment of hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) was performed.

Results: We did not observe any significant differences of affective temperament between studied groups. In the group of patients with diabetes, depressive, cyclothymic and anxious temperaments positively correlated with HbA1c values indicating worse glycemic control. Inversely, hyperthymic dimension showed negative correlation with HbA1c values.

Conclusion: Affective temperaments may affect glycemic control in obese individuals with carbohydrate disorders. Individuals with stronger expression of cyclothymic, depressive and anxious temperaments may need more medical aid for better self-management. Hence, TEMPS-A is an easy and useful tool which may significantly improve the compliance in obese patients with carbohydrate disorders.

Keywords: affective temperament, TEMPS-A, obesity, diabetes mellitus, glycemic control

Introduction

Currently, obesity is perceived as a world crisis. The prevalence of obesity increases every year, hence it creates more challenges for healthcare and economic systems. In 2013, the American Medical Association decided to perceive obesity as a chronic and complex disease to encourage physicians to tackle problems associated with obesity in different ways. It is believed that such aproach will diminish the stigma linked to the development of obesity.

Even though perceiving obesity as a disease still incurs many controversies, this condition is associated with severe complications. Obesity increases risk of disease of almost every system in the body, i.e. hypertension, dyslipidemia, cardiovascular,
arthrits, breast cancer, colon cancer or endometrial cancer. In such manner obesity affects mortality and morbidity rates, worsens quality of life, and hinders daily functioning.2,3

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is strongly connected with obesity. Its high prevalence in obese people (and the fact that obesity is one of the most important risk factors of T2DM) has led to the term “diabesity”. T2DM is a multifactorial disease and is described as a state of hyperglycemia, hyperinsulinemia, and insulin resistance.4 T2DM contributes to greater rate of cardiovascular disease in adults which is one of the leading causes of death in diabetic patients.5 In addition, patients with prediabetes, ie, impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) or impaired fasting glucose (IFG) show increased risk of cardiovascular disease, hence greater risk of mortality due to stroke or myocardial infarction.6

Literature has shown that both obesity and T2DM exert negative effects on brain functions.7–9 Those alterations may lead to another fatal disease of our times – depression. Yet, medical databases contain abundant studies proving the link between both T2DM and obesity, and depression. Individuals with T2DM have a greater risk of developing depression compared to healthy controls.10 There are some researchers who propose a bidirectional relationship between both diseases and common pathways of their pathogenesis.11,12

Published meta-analyses yield information about the greater risk of T2DM and metabolic syndrome in patients suffering from mental diseases (bipolar disorder, major depression disorder), hence this group of patients require closer monitoring and screening tests for diabetes.10,13 Common factors which are involved in the pathogenesis of both mental diseases and metabolic syndrome (which predispose to obesity and T2DM) are: genetic links, endocrine system function, neuroinflammation and epigenetic influence.14,15 Another meta-analysis confirmed the interplay between obesity and depression; namely depression is a risk factor for obesity, and obesity is a risk factor for depression.16,17

Taken together, the interplay between T2DM, obesity, and mental diseases is evident. Therefore, patients with those conditions require a multidisciplinary approach in order to achieve better treatment results, better patient compliance or to diminish the risk of complications related to those disorders.18 For example, treatment for obesity may improve the course of depression.19 Cognitive-behavioral therapy implemented in mood disorders may contribute to better patient self-care and ameliorate treatment of diabetes.20,21

Building on the connection between the three disorders, it is crucial to develop proper screening tests evaluating predisposition of mental disorders in individuals with obesity or T2DM. In this manner, those patients who are more prone to develop mood disorders might undergo tailored preventive programs or be referred for proper treatment.

Fortunately, there are tests which may be useful in screening for mood diseases. In this study we utilized Temperament Evaluation of Memphis, Pisa, Paris and San Diego Autoquestionnaire (TEMPS-A) - this tool enables assessment of affective temperament which will be described subsequently.

The term affective temperament was proposed by Hagop Akiskal et al. Affective temperament refers to inherited personal traits and may be determined by genetic transmission or biological factors. Throughout life it is a rather stable construct, however its dysregulation can putatively predispose to greater risk of mood disorders.22–26 Affective temperament consists of five dimensions: cyclothymic, depressive, hyperthymic, anxious and irritable. So far, research results show that utilization of TEMPS-A may provide interesting data regarding the evaluation of patients’ predisposition to depression and anxiety or in determining the diagnosis of bipolar disorders.27,28

Recent literature shows that TEMPS-A as a tool has been found useful in determining affective disorders and in other conditions like insomnia or pain syndrome.29–31 The evaluation of affective temperament seems to determine which of the infertile women are more prone to the development of depression and anxiety.32 Moreover, recent research in a group of gestational diabetes patients showed promising data regarding the association between affective temperament and the development of gestational diabetes, as well as disturbances in glycemic metabolism in this group of patients.33 Hence, TEMPS-A might be of great utility in determining patients with greater susceptibility of pregnancy complications. Also in our previous work, we found associations between affective temperament dimensions and dopaminergic genes which may be involved in the development of depression in obese patients.34–36

Owing to our interesting results concerning affective temperament in obese patients, we decided to take another step in our research. The aim of this study was to scrutinize the relationship between affective temperament and the control of carbohydrate metabolism in obese patients with
diabetes and pre-diabetes. Another main point of our study was to evaluate the differences between the intensity of depressive disorders in those groups of patients, and analyze whether depression is a significant factor associated with glycemic control and affective temperament.

**Materials and Methods**

**Participants**

The study enrolled 185 Caucasian people (146 females; 39 males), who were diagnosed with primary obesity. On the basis of a medical history and an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) patients were classified into three groups. The first one - control group - included 87 patients with no carbohydrate disorders (65 women and 22 men), second group of 42 subjects with impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) or impaired fasting glucose (IFG) (33 women and 9 men), and third group of 56 patients (48 women and 8 men) with diabetes. The mean age of participants was 35.8±10.9 (range, 18–68 years) for no carbohydrate disorders group, 43.1±12.5 (range, 18–69 years) for IFG/IGT group, and 51.1±7.1 (range, 31–61 years) for diabetes group. Demographic characteristics are shown in Table 1. Patients were treated at the outpatient clinic at the Endocrinology and Diabetology Clinic and, with the consent of the bioethics committee, were recruited on the basis of a proposal from the attending diabetologist who carried out the therapeutic and diagnostic process. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Participants were included in the study according to subsequent criteria: adulthood (age between 16 and 69 y.o.), consent to study participation, and primary obesity. Secondary causes of obesity were excluded due to performed medical assessment and the results of metabolic and hormonal tests. Exclusion criteria included: serious psychiatric or neurological illnesses, addictions to any illicit drugs or alcohol, or any significant somatic diseases.

We provided detailed information about the aims and the nature of the study to participants. We received written informed consent for participation from every patient. In order to conduct the study, we obtained consent of the bioethical commission at the Nicolaus Copernicus University (No 533/2008).

**Clinical Assessments and Measures**

Obesity was diagnosed according to anthropometric measures and the calculation of body mass index (BMI). BMI is a proxy for body fat concentration and is calculated as the ratio of weight (kg) to square of height (m).

Disorders associated with impaired glucose metabolism were diagnosed based on the oral glucose tolerance test performed with 75g of anhydrous glucose in solution. If the patient had a history of diabetes and received adequate treatment, he was included in the diabetic group. Glucose level was obtained at baseline, prior to glucose load, and two hours after glucose intake. Patients fasted for

| Table 1 | Demographic and Clinical Parameters in Study Subgroups |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------|
|         | Nondiabetic (n=87) | IFG/IGT (n=42) | Diabetic (n=56) | P | Post Hoc |
| Gender (♀/♂) | 65/22 | 33/9 | 48/8 | 0.69 | ns. |
| Age [y] | 35.0 (18.0–68.0) | 42.0 (18.0–69.0) | 52.0 (31.0–61.0) | <0.0001 | Non-diabetic vs IFG/IGT p=0.00004 Non-diabetic vs Diabetic p<0.00001 IFG/IGT vs Diabetic np=0.0004 |
| BMI | 41.5 (30.1–64.1) | 42.5 (31.2–58.6) | 48.9 (35.5–61.3) | 0.0036 | Non-diabetic vs IFG/IGT p=0.83 Non-diabetic vs Diabetic p=0.002 IFG/IGT vs Diabetic p=0.003 |
| Degree of obesity (n,%) | I – 10 (11.5%) | I – 5 (12%) | I – 8 (14%) | 0.025 | Non-diabetic vs IFG/IGT p=0.73 Non-diabetic vs Diabetic p=0.001 IFG/IGT vs Diabetic p=0.01 |
| Hypertension [n, %] | 21 (24%) | 22 (52.4%) | 28 (50%) | <0.0001 | Non-diabetic vs IFG/IGT p=0.001 Non-diabetic vs Diabetic p<0.00001 IFG/IGT vs Diabetic p=0.03 |

Notes: Kruskal Wallis ANOVA; Post hoc analysis – Fischer NIR test. Results with statistical significance are presented in bold font. Abbreivation: BDI, Beck Depression Inventory.
at least 8 hours prior to the OGTT. Depending on the result, the patients were assigned to the study subgroup:

1. If the fasting glucose level was below 99 mg% (5.5 mmol/l) and the level after two hours was below 140 mg% (7.8 mmol/l), the patient had no diagnosis of carbohydrate disorders.
2. If the patient had an elevated fasting glucose level above 100 mg%, and the result after two hours was normal, the patient was diagnosed with abnormal fasting glucose and was included in IFG/IGT group.
3. If the patient had a glucose level after 2 hours in the range of 140 to 199 mg% (7.8–11.1 mmol/l) he was diagnosed with impaired glucose tolerance and was included in the IFG/IGT group.
4. In the case of obtaining a glucose level above 200 mg% (11.1 mmol/l) in the determination after 2 hours, the patient was diagnosed with diabetes.

Metabolic status was analyzed from the blood sample and comprised C-peptide, and for glycemic control hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c).

**Psychological Assessment**

For psychological assessment, we utilized Temperament Evaluation of Memphis, Pisa, Paris and San Diego Autoquestionnaire (TEMPS-A) to perform an analysis of five affective temperaments. TEMPS-A measures affective temperaments: depressive, cyclothymic, anxious, irritable and hyperthymic. TEMPS-A questionnaire consists of 110 items for females and 109 for males. Questions regarding each temperament require “yes” (score 1) or “no” (score 0) answers, and are grouped together in the following manner:

1. questions 1 to 21 (21 points) relate to depressive temperament;
2. questions 22 to 42 (21 points) relate to cyclothymic temperament;
3. questions 43 to 63 (21 points) relate to hyperthymic temperament;
4. questions 64 to 84 (21 points, 20 points in the version for men) relate to irritable temperament;
5. questions 85 to 110 (26 points) relate to anxious temperament.

Points scored for each temperament are summed up and then divided by the number of questions pertaining to each dimension. Based on that, the severity of each temperament is measured. In our study, the Polish version of TEMPS-A was utilized – TEMPS-A has been validated in a Polish population and showed satisfactory internal consistency.

To assess the severity of depressive symptoms we used Beck Depression Inventory (BDI). The Beck Depression Inventory was developed by Aaron Beck in 1961. It includes the 21 (A to U) most frequently observed symptoms of depression in the following order: depressed basic mood (sadness), pessimism, feeling inadequate, loss of satisfaction, guilt, expectation of punishment, lack of self-acceptance, self-accusation, wish to die, cry for help, irritability, withdrawal from social contact, lack of decision, distorted body image, difficulties at work, sleep disturbances, fatigue, loss of appetite, weight loss, somatic complaints, low energy levels. The patient is asked to select the severity of the individual symptoms on a scale from 0 to 3. After completing the scale, all points are added up. BDI has been translated into Polish language and validated in a Polish population.

**Statistical Analysis**

Using the Shapiro–Wilk test, it was determined that the test group did not meet the normal distribution criteria. Statistical significance of differences among 3 groups was examined by the Kruskal–Wallis analysis of variance (ANOVA). The NIR Fisher test was used for post hoc analyses. The significance of differences between the two groups was tested using the Mann Whitney U test. Correlation analysis was performed using the R-Spearman correlation test. Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was performed to examine interaction among anthropometric (gender, age, BMI), psychological (affective temperaments) effects on fasting glucose and HbA1c. Effect size was determined using Cohen’s d. Statistica 13.0 was used for statistical analyses.

**Results**

Table 1 shows demographic and clinical parameters of the studied groups. The group of patients with diabetes mellitus showed the highest BMI values. Both pre-diabetes and diabetic groups demonstrated significant percentage of comorbid hypertension. However, BDI results were insignificant in all groups.

Typical differences in biochemical parameters related to carbohydrate metabolism were observed in the studied group of patients (Table 2). The group of diabetic patients had the highest levels of not only fasting glucose and
HbA1c, but also C-peptide as a marker of insulin resistance.

Psychometric properties for TEMPS-A, BDI, and biochemicals in study group with a breakdown into women and men are included in Table 3. Men were characterized by a higher level of C-peptide, HbA1c and an irritable temperament.

Further analyses concerned the comparison of the intensity of affective temperaments in the studied subgroups. They did not reveal any significant differences (Table 4).

### Table 2 Metabolic Results in Study Subgroups (Median and Range)

|                | Nondiabetic (n=87) | IFG/IGT (n=42) | Diabetic (n=56) | P          | Post Hoc               |
|----------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|------------------------|
| Fasting glucose [mg/dl] | 88.0 (71.0–99.0)   | 103.0 (81.0–124.0) | 130 (98–215.0) | <0.0001    | Nondiabetic vs IFG/IGT p<0.00001
|                |                    |                |                 |            | Nondiabetic vs Diabetic p<0.00001
|                |                    |                |                 |            | IFG/IGT vs Diabetic p<0.00001 |
| C-peptide level [nmol/l] | 2.44 (0.28–11.8)   | 3.36 (0.22–101.0) | 4.08 (0.33–101.0) | 0.026     | Nondiabetic vs IFG/IGT p=0.28
|                |                    |                |                 |            | Nondiabetic vs Diabetic p=0.03
|                |                    |                |                 |            | IFG/IGT vs Diabetic p=0.16 |
| HbA1c (%)      | 5.4 (4.36–6.5)     | 5.8 (5.0–7.2)  | 7.8 (4.84–8.7) | <0.0001    | Nondiabetic vs IFG/IGT p=0.001
|                |                    |                |                 |            | Nondiabetic vs Diabetic p<0.00001
|                |                    |                |                 |            | IFG/IGT vs Diabetic p<0.00001 |

**Notes:** Kruskal Wallis ANOVA; Post hoc analysis – Fischer NIR test. Results with statistical significance are presented in bold font.

### Table 3 Psychometric Properties for TEMPS-A and BDI in Study Group

|                | All (n=185) | Women (n=146) | Men (n=39) | P          |
|----------------|------------|---------------|------------|------------|
| TEMPS_D        | 0.42 (0.28–0.52) | 0.38 (0.28–0.52) | 0.42 (0.23–0.42) | 0.09       |
| TEMPS_C        | 0.38 (0.23–0.57) | 0.33 (0.23–0.57) | 0.52 (0.23–0.61) | 0.12       |
| TEMPS_H        | 0.52 (0.38–0.61) | 0.52 (0.33–0.57) | 0.57 (0.38–0.61) | 0.37       |
| TEMPS_I        | 0.19 (0.04–0.28) | 0.09 (0.04–0.28) | 0.23 (0.09–0.33) | 0.01       |
| TEMPS_A        | 0.32 (0.23–0.52) | 0.32 (0.24–0.47) | 0.35 (0.17–0.52) | 0.34       |
| BDI            | 9.0 (5.0–18.0)  | 9.0 (5.0–17.0) | 10.0 (5.0–22.0) | 0.38       |
| Fasting glucose | 95.0 (88.0–111.0) | 94.0 (88.0–107.0) | 97.0 (90.0–115.5) | 0.19       |
| C-peptide      | 2.99 (2.28–3.9)  | 2.77 (2.19–3.65) | 3.9 (2.7–4.9)   | 0.003      |
| HbA1c          | 5.6 (5.3–6.3)   | 5.6 (5.3–6.1)  | 5.8 (5.6–6.8)   | 0.01       |

**Note:** Mann–Whitney U test.

### Table 4 TEMPS-A Affective Temperament Results in Study Subgroups (Median and Q25-Q75)

|                | Nondiabetic (n=87) | IFG/IGT (n=42) | Diabetic (n=56) | P          |
|----------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|
| TEMPS_D        | 0.35 (0.30–0.45)  | 0.33 (0.27–0.42) | 0.42 (0.28–0.47) | 0.83       |
| TEMPS_C        | 0.38 (0.19–0.59)  | 0.47 (0.23–0.57) | 0.47 (0.28–0.57) | 0.62       |
| TEMPS_H        | 0.52 (0.39–0.61)  | 0.53 (0.38–0.63) | 0.57 (0.28–0.61) | 0.67       |
| TEMPS_I        | 0.09 (0.05–0.25)  | 0.17 (0.04–0.28) | 0.19 (0.05–0.33) | 0.81       |
| TEMPS_A        | 0.32 (0.24–0.51)  | 0.32 (0.23–0.55) | 0.35 (0.24–0.47) | 0.76       |

**Note:** Kruskal Wallis ANOVA; Post hoc analysis – Fischer NIR test.
In subgroups with carbohydrate metabolism disorders - prediabetes and diabetes - the correlations of affective temperaments with the level of fasting glucose and the level of HbA1c were analyzed. In IGT/IFG group, only hyperthymic temperament was significantly associated with higher fasting glucose levels. In the subgroup of diabetic patients, depressive, cyclothymic and anxious temperaments correlated with significantly higher glycemic levels. Inversely, hyperthymic temperament correlated with lower glycemic values. A significantly higher level of HbA1c was associated with a higher intensity of anxious temperament (Table 5).

In the studied group of patients, the ANCOVA analysis of covariance confirmed the significance of the relationship between the cyclothymic temperament and fasting glucose; and anxious temperament and level of HbA1c (Table 6).

### Discussion

The aim of this study was to analyze affective temperament in context of biochemical factors in obese patients suffering from diabetes mellitus and pre-diabetes.

#### Table 5 R-Spearman Correlations of TEMPS-A and BDI Scores with Metabolic Parameters

| TEMPS-A         | Fasting Glucose [mg/dl] | p    | HbA1c (%) | P    |
|-----------------|-------------------------|------|-----------|------|
| Depressive      | −0.162                  | 0.30 | −0.210    | 0.18 |
| Cyclothymic     | −0.049                  | 0.75 | −0.079    | 0.61 |
| Hyperthymic     | 0.327                   | 0.03 | 0.126     | 0.42 |
| Irritable       | 0.045                   | 0.77 | 0.095     | 0.54 |
| Anxious         | −0.096                  | 0.54 | 0.042     | 0.79 |
| BDI             | 0.042                   | 0.79 | −0.233    | 0.13 |

| TEMPS-A         | Fasting Glucose [mg/dl] | p     | HbA1c (%) | P     |
|-----------------|-------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Depressive      | 0.455                   | 0.0004| −0.226    | 0.09  |
| Cyclothymic     | 0.274                   | 0.04  | −0.130    | 0.33  |
| Hyperthymic     | −0.324                  | 0.01  | 0.036     | 0.79  |
| Irritable       | −0.119                  | 0.38  | −0.257    | 0.055 |
| Anxious         | 0.347                   | 0.008 | 0.401     | 0.002 |
| BDI             | 0.091                   | 0.50  | 0.372     | 0.004 |

Note: Results with statistical significance are presented in bold font.

#### Table 6 ANCOVA Multicovariance Test in Diabetes Group

| Metabolic Parameter | SS   | F   | p   |
|---------------------|------|-----|-----|
| Gender              | 1423 | 3.46| 0.09|
| Age                 | 235  | 0.57| 0.47|
| BMI                 | 561  | 1.36| 0.27|
| TEMPS-A             |      |     |     |
| Depressive          | 899  | 2.18| 0.17|
| Cyclothymic         | 4407 | 10.7| 0.011|
| Hyperthymic         | 1033 | 2.51| 0.15|
| Irritable           | 965  | 2.35| 0.16|
| Anxious             | 1.8  | 0.004| 0.94|
| HbA1c               |      |     |     |
| Gender              | 0.48 | 1.08| 0.32|
| Age                 | 0.0001| 0.0003| 0.98|
| BMI                 | 0.05 | 0.11| 0.74|
| TEMPS-A             |      |     |     |
| Depressive          | 0.02 | 0.05| 0.81|
| Cyclothymic         | 0.004| 0.009| 0.90|
| Hyperthymic         | 0.66 | 1.48| 0.25|
| Irritable           | 0.19 | 0.44| 0.52|
| Anxious             | 3.41 | 7.58| 0.02|

Note: Results with statistical significance are presented in bold font.

Results presented in Table 1 indicate that individuals with diabetes mellitus were older and showed greater intensity of obesity in comparison to the other two groups. Those results are consistent with findings of epidemiological studies and publications regarding risk factors of T2DM. Also, diabetic patients presented significant results regarding the percentage of hypertension; it is well known that both diseases often appear concomitantly. Comorbidity between hypertension and pre-diabetes was significant as well, which is consistent with findings in the literature.

Obtained scores of BDI in all groups were insignificant. Depression is an important risk factor for both obesity and diabetes. Researchers also established evidence that obesity and diabetes might contribute to greater susceptibility to depression, however there are still many uncertainties regarding the exact mechanism responsible for this pathomechanism. We reckon, that the possible explanation of our results might result from this bidirectional effect between depression, obesity and
diabetes and therefore none of the groups obtained significant results regarding the intensity of depressive symptoms.

Table 2 reflects metabolic results in all three groups of patients. We obtained statistically significant results regarding fasting plasma glucose (FPG) levels, as well as HbA1c values in all groups. Those results are consistent with the definition and diagnostic criteria of IFG and T2DM. Building on these results, the T2DM group showed the worst glycemic control due to elevated HbA1c levels and fasting plasma glucose (FPG). Previously mentioned results also point to the association between obesity and T2DM. The term “diabesity” reflects the close relationship between both obesity and diabetes. Those chronic disorders stem from disturbances involving environmental, genetic, behavioral or physiological factors. Greater calorie intake and lower physical activity, which is characteristic of obesity, may lead to hyperglycemia and insulin-resistance which favor the development of T2DM.

Temperament consists of constitutional or genetic aspects of a human’s personality. Hagop Akiskal proposed that affective temperaments might point to the innate predispositions to affective disorders, if one’s exposed to biological or environmental stressors. Obtained results, as shown in Table 4, showed no significant differences of affective temperament dimensions in obese prediabetic and diabetic group. These outcomes point to the environmental relations between diabesity and susceptibility to mood disorders. Genetic factors do not seem to be a common factor connecting vulnerability to affective disorders and obese individuals with T2DM. In their study, Mezuk et al showed strong evidence, that environmental factors rather than genetic ones are involved in the pathomechanisms of T2DM and depressive disorders. One of the most important is stress exposure, which may affect eating habits, leading to overeating foods rich in sugar or fat.

Table 5 reflects results of correlations between both: glycemic control (measured with HbA1c) and fasting glucose values; and TEMPS-A dimensions. Obtained data point to the significant relationship between affective temperament and glycemic status in both pre-diabetic and diabetic obese patients.

Diabetes requires proper self-management and, in order to achieve treatment goals, patients need adequate education provided by health professionals. Data show that psychological factors are crucial in glycemic control. For instance, depression, anxiety or stress may influence daily life choices, the willingness to self-care and adherence to physician’s recommendations. It has been shown that psychological support may contribute to better compliance and in this manner enhance therapeutic effects of applied treatment.

The concept that temperament is linked to weight gain has been studied using various questionnaires designed to evaluate temperament, including TEMPS-A. Evidence has shown that temperament is linked to the progression of metabolic syndrome and central obesity. The study of Altinbas et al even pointed to the relationship between seasons of the year and the greater risk of metabolic syndrome in subjects with depressive temperament. Metabolic syndrome is closely related to obesity and T2DM. Obtained results of obese patients with T2DM point to the positive correlations between FPG levels and temperaments – depressive, anxious and cyclothymic – and HbA1c and anxious temperament. Other researchers have also studied the relation between temperament and glycemic control in diabetic patients and shared similar results regarding the relation between HbA1c and anxious temperament. In their work, Hall et al obtained results showing negative relationship between anxious temperament and HbA1c at the beginning of the diagnosis. Anxious temperament was also a good predictor for pre-diabetes. Taken together, those results display beneficial role of anxious temperament in earlier detection of pre-diabetes and diabetes. Patients with high scores of anxious temperament presented greater motivation for seeking proper medical help, due to their increased concerns regarding their new diagnosis. However, in the group of patients who were already diagnosed with diabetes, anxious temperament was associated with lower physical activity even though this group of patients was already educated about managing their disease. Individuals with anxious temperament, by showing greater concerns and arousal, may hinder proper educational processes which are essential for adequate self-management of diabetes.

Another work of Gois et al obtained results linking depressive and anxious temperament to worse metabolic control expressed with HbA1c values. Both affective temperaments may be viewed as factors predisposing to greater distress which may link the vulnerability to depressive disorders in diabetic patients. The distress may act bidirectionally, ie, emotional distress related to the disease may affect self-care and medication adherence - which may impact HbA1c values; however worse compliance to physician’s recommendations and worse glycemic control may bring about greater distress and in this manner influence proper disease management.
have been obtained in a study which scrutinized the moderating model of affective temperament on the role of depression and diabetes management. Both anxious and depressive temperaments led to greater distress and severity of depressive symptoms which aggravated compliance and glycemic control.\(^6\)

Our results also indicate the positive correlation between cyclothymic and only FPG values. Unfortunately we did not show significant results between cyclothymic temperament and HbA1c. In the work of Yamamoto et al, cyclothymic temperament was significantly associated with worse glycemic control in diabetic patients.\(^6\) The possible explanation is that individuals with cyclothymic temperament seem to be prone to addictive behaviors like overeating and in this manner they try to cope with distress and changes of mood.\(^6\) Such behavior may also be responsible for worse compliance in managing T2DM and reflect worse HbA1c values. Published results also indicate that cyclothymic temperament is linked to morbid obesity or eating disorders like binge eating.\(^6\)

In our study, hyperthymic temperament was associated with higher FPG levels in pre-diabetic patients, but in diabetic ones showed negative correlation with HbA1c values. Established data indicate protective role of hyperthymic temperament in mood disorders, which may be a potential explanation of better coping with distress and better glycemic control.\(^7\) Later in their work, the same authors proposed the dual role of hyperthymic temperament. Within TEMPS-A questionnaire, hyperthymic temperament consists of both protective items like the item concerning self-confidence, as well as risk items which may point to the vulnerability traits similar to irritable temperament – “the irritable components of hyperthymic temperament”.\(^7\) Given that irritable temperament has been associated with poor glycemic control in diabetic patients, this may be a possible explanation of ambiguous results of hyperthymic temperament in our study.\(^7\)

To sum up, our results indicate that the evaluation of affective temperament may be useful in the assessment of the course of pre-diabetes and T2DM in obese individuals. Moreover, patients with anxious, depressive and cyclothymic temperament might need even more attention from various specialists (dietitians, psychologists, diabetologists) to adjust proper management of their disease. More research on this issue would provide more interesting and helpful data.

**Limitations**
The main limitation of this study is the relatively small sample of research groups. Moreover the study lacked a control group of healthy, lean persons.

**Conclusion**
To our knowledge this is the first study assessing affective temperament glycemic control in obese persons with prediabetes and T2DM. Obtained results indicate that cyclothymic, anxious and depressive temperament correlate with worse glycemic control in T2DM, however hyperthymic dimension seems to have a protective effect on glycemia. The evaluation of affective temperament may be useful in order to create more tailored educational programs for obese patients with carbohydrate disorders.
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