Requirement of Neuronal Ribosome Synthesis for Growth and Maintenance of the Dendritic Tree*
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The nucleolus serves as a principal site of ribosome biogenesis but is also implicated in various non-ribosomal functions, including negative regulation of the pro-apoptotic transcription factor p53. Although disruption of the nucleolus may trigger the p53-dependent neuronal death, neurotoxic consequences of a selective impairment of ribosome production are unclear. Here, we report that in rat forebrain neuronal maturation is associated with a remarkable expansion of ribosomes despite postnatal down-regulation of ribosomal biogenesis. In cultured rat hippocampal neurons, inhibition of the latter process by knockdowns of ribosomal proteins S6, S14, or L4 reduced ribosome content without disrupting nucleolar integrity, cell survival, and signaling responses to the neurotrophin brain-derived neurotrophic factor. Moreover, reduced general protein synthesis and/or formation of RNA stress granules suggested diminished ribosome recruitment to at least some mRNAs. Such a transcriptional insufficiency was accompanied by impairment of brain-derived neurotrophic factor-mediated dendritic growth. Finally, RNA stress granules and smaller dendritic trees were also observed when ribosomal proteins were depleted from neurons with established dendrites. Thus, a robust ribosomal apparatus is required to carry out protein synthesis that supports dendritic growth. Consequently, deficits of ribosomal biogenesis may disturb neurodevelopment by reducing neuronal connectivity. Finally, as stress granule formation and dendritic loss occur early in neurodegenerative diseases, disrupted homeostasis of ribosomes may initiate and/or amplify neurodegeneration-associated disconnection of neuronal circuitries.

The ribosome is the principal component of the protein synthesis machinery. Most steps of ribosomal biogenesis occur in the nucleolus starting with the RNA-polymerase-1 (pol 1)2-mediated transcription of rRNA genes (1). In addition, pol 1 activity is required for the maintenance of the nucleolus. Therefore, inhibition of pol 1 not only blocks ribosomal biogenesis but also perturbs non-ribosomal functions of the nucleolus, including negative regulation of the pro-apoptotic transcription factor p53 (2). Although pol 1 initiates ribosome production, many additional steps are required for that process, including rRNA processing and binding to ribosomal proteins (RPs) (3). Consequently, depletion of various RPs, including S6 or S14, disrupts ribosomal biogenesis without interfering with nucleolar integrity (4).

Impaired ribosome production and/or ribosomal deficits are documented in various neurodegenerative diseases, including Alzheimer, Huntington, Parkinson, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), and fronto-temporal lobe dementia (FTLD) (5–13). Reduced ribosomal biogenesis may also contribute to neurodevelopmental disorders. Thus, microcephaly and neurodevelopmental delays are present in Bowen-Conradi syndrome that is caused by deficiency of the ribosomal biogenesis factor EMG1 or in patients with a newly described RPL10 ribosomopathy (14, 15). In addition, nucleolar insufficiency has been documented in Rett, Prader-Willi, Roberts, and Cornelia De Lange syndromes (16–18). Hence, determining neuronal consequences of disrupted ribosomal homeostasis may help to elucidate pathogenesis of several neurodegenerative and neurodevelopmental disorders.

Inhibition of pol 1-driven transcription is sufficient to induce neuronal death (7, 19–21). Such a response has been associated with nucleolar disruption and activation of p53. In addition, we have previously reported that pol 1 is necessary for neurite outgrowth in response to activation of the BDNF-ERK1/2 signaling cascade (22). Although such observations may suggest that ribosome biogenesis is critical for neuronal morphogenesis, they do not prove its role in this process. Indeed, disruption of proliferative growth following inactivation of a pol 1 co-factor Treacle has been shown to be a consequence of p53 activation rather than ribosomal depletion (23). Although the pol 1 requirement for neurite outgrowth was demonstrated with p53 embryonic day; Fl, fluorescence intensity; FTLD, fronto-temporal lobe dementia; IT51, internal transcribed spacer-1; OPP, O-propargyl-puromycin; P, postnatal day; RFP, red fluorescent protein; RP, ribosomal protein; SG, stress granule; TIA-1, T-cell intracellular antigen-1; TIAR, T-cell intracellular antigen-related; TIF1A, transcription initiation factor 1A; tshRNA, tetracycline-inducible shRNA; ANOVA, analysis of variance; EGFP, enhanced GFP; mTOR, mechanistic target of rapamycin; CRE, cAMP-response element.
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being blocked to enhance survival of pol 1-inhibited neurons, it is conceivable that disruption of the p53-independent, non-ribosomal functions of the nucleus may reduce morphogenesis (22). Examples of such functions include negative regulation of some branches of stress signaling or non-rRNA processing (24, 25).

This study was initiated to determine neuronal consequences of ribosomal depletion. First, activity of ribosomal biogenesis and cellular ribosome content were monitored during rat forebrain development. Then, in cultured rat hippocampal neurons, ribosome supply was disrupted to investigate its role in growth and maintenance of the dendritic tree.

Experimental Procedures

Animals—Sprague-Dawley pregnant female rats were purchased from Harlan (Indianapolis, IN). Timed pregnant animals were used for collecting cortical samples from embryonic brains. For postnatal development studies, two separate litters were used to collect samples from littersmates of various ages. All animal experiments strictly followed the protocols that were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Louisville and the National Institutes of Health guidelines.

Materials—Human recombinant BDNF was obtained from Alomone (Israel). Oligonucleotides were purchased from IDT. All other reagents were obtained from Sigma, VWR, Invitrogen, or Qiagen unless stated otherwise.

Plasmids—The following plasmids were described previously: chicken β-actin promoter-driven EGFP (26) and RFP (27); tagged ribosomal protein vectors RPS6-EGFP, RPS14-Venus, and RPL4-EGFP (28); CRE-luciferase and EF1α-LacZ (EF1α promoter-driven β-galactosidase) (29); Kif5C560-dTomato (30); shTIF1A, shGFP, and DN-p53 (19); shLuc (22); and pSuper-TRE and pTS (31).

Cell Culture—Cultures of hippocampal neurons were prepared from newborn Sprague-Dawley rats at postnatal day 0 (P0) as described previously (32). Cells were plated onto poly-d-lysine- and laminin-coated 12-mm diameter plastic coverslips that were produced in the lab from the electron microscopy-grade Mylar masks (Electron Microscopy Sciences, catalog no. 50425). Hippocampal neurons were grown in Neurobasal medium containing 2% B-27 supplement, 1 mM L-glutamine, 100 units/ml penicillin, and 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin unless indicated otherwise. Half of the medium was changed every other day. COS-7 cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 units/ml penicillin, and 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin. Neuronal transfections were performed using Lipofectamine 2000 as described previously (32). A standard Lipofectamine 2000 protocol was used to transfect COS-7 cells as recommended by the manufacturer.

BDNF Treatment—For cell stimulations, BDNF stock solution was prepared in 0.1% BSA/PBS at 5 μg/ml. For dendritic outgrowth studies, BDNF was added after replacement of half the culture media with neurobasal, 0.5% B27. For all other experiments, BDNF was added directly to the regular culture medium. Vehicle control treatments were performed using 0.1% BSA/PBS.

Quantitative Reverse Transcriptase-PCR—TRizol-based RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis, and quantitative PCR were performed as described previously (19). The RNA levels were analyzed using ΔΔCt method; each sample was run in triplicate; 18S rRNA was used as a normalizer. The sequence of oligonucleotide primers is provided in Table 1.

DNA and RNA Purification—DNA and RNA were purified using DNasey Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen, catalog no. 69504) and TRizol, respectively. Concentrations of the nucleic acids were determined using a UV spectrophotometer (Nanodrop 2000, Thermo Scientific).

Ribosome Visualization—Animals were euthanized, and brains were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 24 h, cryoprotected in 30% sucrose for at least 3 days at 4 °C, mounted in a Cryomount medium, and sectioned coronally on a cryostat to produce 25-μm-thick sections through the dorsal hippocampus. Mounted sections were used for stainings. For experiments with cultured neurons, a standard 20-min 4% formaldehyde fixation was used. Ribosomes were visualized with the NeuroTrace 500/525 Green Fluorescent Nissl Stain (Invitrogen, N21480, 1:500); DNA was counterstained with 2.5 μg/ml Hoechst-33258. To control for specificity of NeuroTrace staining, some brain sections or coverslips with attached cells were pre-treated with RNase A (0.13 units/μl in PBS, 1 h at 37 °C).

Protein Synthesis Assays—Protein synthesis was determined using puromycin labeling of nascent peptides that were then detected in cell culture homogenates or at the single cell level. For culture homogenate assay, SURface SEnsing of Translation (SUNSET) technique was employed (33). Cells were treated with 20 μg/ml puromycin (Sigma, P7255) for 30 min. Western blotting with a mouse monoclonal anti-puromycin antibody (clone PMY-2A4, the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank at University of Iowa) was used to detect puromyincylated peptides by Western blotting. Five μg of total protein/sample was used for each analysis. To visualize protein synthesis in individual neurons, the O-propargyl-puromycin (OPP) labeling method was used followed by Click-it chemistry detection of nascent peptides (34). A commercial kit was employed according to the manufacturer’s recommendations (Click-it Plus OPP Alexa Fluor 594 protein synthesis assay kit, Molecular Probes, C10457).

Immunostaining—Slide-mounted brain sections were prepared as for ribosome staining. Then they were incubated in 10 mM sodium citrate, 0.05% Tween 20, pH 6.0, at 90–95 °C for 20
min followed by standard immunostaining protocol with mouse monoclonal anti-nucleophosmin/B23 antibody (1:750, Sigma catalog no. B0556). Some sections were co-immuno-stained for the neuronal marker NeuN (rabbit polyclonal, 1:1000, Millipore catalog no. ABN78); Hoechst 33258 was used to counter-stain nuclei. All staining of cultured neurons were performed following 20 min of fixation in 4% formaldehyde at room temperature; 4% formaldehyde in 4% sucrose was used for GFP immunostaining. The following primary antibodies were used as follows: rabbit anti-GFP (MBL, catalog no. 598; 1:1000); chicken anti-β-galactosidase (Abcam, ab9361, 1:1000); mouse anti-B23/Npm1 (Sigma, B0556, 1:750); rabbit anti-phospho-RPS6 (Ser(P)-235/236, Cell Signaling Technology, catalog no. 4856, 1:200); rabbit anti-phospho-Akt (Ser(P)-473, Cell Signaling Technology, catalog no. 9271, 1:200); mouse anti-phospho-p44/42 MAPK (ERK1/2, Thr-202/Tyr-204, Cell Signaling Technology, catalog no. 9106, 1:200); rabbit anti-neuN (Milli-pore, catalog no. ABN78, 1:1000), rabbit anti-TIA-1/TIAR antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, catalog no. 28237, 1:100). The following secondary antibodies were used: Alexa Fluor 488 anti-mouse IgG (Invitrogen, A11029, 1:300), Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit IgG (Invitrogen, A11034, 1:300), Alexa Fluor 594 anti-mouse IgG (Invitrogen, A11005, 1:300), Alexa Fluor 594 anti-chicken IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch, catalog no. 103-585-155, 1:300). In all cases, standard staining protocols were followed.

Quantification of the Fluorescence Intensity (FI)—Stained cells on plastic coverslips were visualized with Zeiss Observer.Z1 fluorescent microscope using a ×40 lens. Stained brain sections were visualized with a Nikon Eclipse Ti inverted microscope using a ×40 or a ×4 lens to image nucleophosmin immunostaining or ribosome staining, respectively. Digitalized pictures were captured using Zeiss AxioVision (Zeiss) or Elements software (Nikon Instruments) followed by conversion to grayscale TIFF files. Identical exposure times were used for all pictures that were used for comparative analyses. Quantification of the FI at the single cell level or across stained 25-µm-thick brain tissue was prepared by using “Integrated Density” parameter in the ImageJ software with background correction. Whenever territory of the nucleus or the nucleolus was measured, an “Area” parameter was used. A change of FI was expressed as a fold control that was defined by a ratio of an individual FI value to the average FI value of the control group as indicated for each set of experiments. Such calculations were performed for each independent experiment before pooling data for statistical analysis.

Western Blot Analysis—Western blotting was performed using standard procedures. The primary antibodies were as follows: anti-GFP (MBL, 1:1000), anti-β-galactosidase (MP Biomedicals, 1:1000), anti- puromycin (DSHB, PMY-2A4, 1:1000), and anti-GAPDH (Chemicon, 1:5000), and secondary antibodies were horseradish peroxidase-conjugated.

Generation of shRNA Expression Constructs—To generate shRNAs against RPs, each mRNA sequence was analyzed using shRNA design software (Ambion) and off-target prediction software (Sonnhammer). Comparison of human and rat mRNA sequences of S6 and S14 demonstrated conservation of the identified target regions. Oligonucleotides were designed as follows: S6-1, 5’-gatccgctagatgtcgcggatcatagttcaagacatacgtgccggacatcactttaaa3’; S6-2, 5’-gatccgctagatgtcgcggatcatagttcaagacatacgtgccggacatcactttttta3’; S14-1, 5’-gatccgctagatgtcgcggatcatagttcaagacatacgtgccggacatcactttta3’; L4-2, 5’-gatccgctagatgtcgcggatcatagttcaagacatacgtgccggacatcactttttta3’; L1-1, 5’-gatccgctagatgtcgcggatcatagttcaagacatacgtgccggacatcactttttta3’; L1-2, 5’-gatccgctagatgtcgcggatcatagttcaagacatacgtgccggacatcactttttta3’.

RESULTS

Ribosome Biogenesis Is Down-regulated during Forebrain Development—To monitor activity of ribosomal biogenesis in the developing rat neocortex, relative levels of pre-rRNA were determined by quantitative RT-PCR. Two different regions of pre-rRNA (5’ external transcribed spacer or 5’ external tran-

Quantification of Neuronal Survival—To visualize nuclear morphology, cells were stained with Hoechst-33258 followed by fluorescent microscopy (35). Cell with uniformly stained nuclei were scored as viable cells; cells with condensed and/or fragmented nuclei were scored as apoptotic cells. At least 150 cells were analyzed for each condition in each experiment.

Transcription Luciferase Assay—Activities of luciferase and β-gal were determined using a standard procedure (29). Luciferase activity was normalized against β-gal.

Quantification of the Stress Granule Response—TIA-1 immunostained cells (staining protocol as described in Ref. 36) were imaged using the Zeiss Observer.Z1 system equipped with a ×63 oil immersion lens. Formation of stress granules (SG) was identified by perikaryal appearance of at least four large TIA-1/ TIAR-positive foci (diameter >0.5 µm) together with reduced intensity of the diffuse TIA-1/TIAR signal. Cells that showed occasional fine foci together with a bright diffuse signal throughout the soma were interpreted as SG-negative. The fraction of SG-positive cells was determined by scoring at least 220 cells for each experimental condition.

Morphometric Analysis of Neurites—Neurites of transfected neurons were visualized by GFP immunostaining. Images were captured as grayscale TIFF format files using a Zeiss Observer.Z1 fluorescent microscope with ×10 objective lens and AxioVision software. Unfragmented dendrites that were longer than 15 µm were traced manually with the Neuro-Studio freeware (37). The resulting dendritic arbor skeletons were exported into MATLAB program (MathWorks). A custom MATLAB script was prepared to enable automatic analysis and to extract the key morphological parameters of the dendritic tree, including total length of dendrites, territory of the dendritic tree, number of primary dendrites, number of branches per primary dendrite, and Sholl analysis. The script is available to other researchers upon request.

Statistical Analysis—Unless indicated otherwise, data were analyzed using one- or two-way ANOVA that was followed by Fisher’s LSD post hoc tests.

Results

Ribosome Biogenesis Is Down-regulated during Forebrain Development—To monitor activity of ribosomal biogenesis in the developing rat neocortex, relative levels of pre-rRNA were determined by quantitative RT-PCR. Two different regions of pre-rRNA (5’ external transcribed spacer or 5’ external tran-
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scribed spacer and internal transcribed spacer-1 or ITS1) were amplified for quantifications. As pre-rRNA is rapidly processed to mature rRNAs, its relative levels provide a good indicator of pol 1-driven transcription that initiates ribosomal biogenesis (19). On embryonic day 17, a 40% decline of 5’ external transcribed spacer was observed as compared with its embryonic day 12 levels (Fig. 1A). It was followed by a 90% decline at postnatal day 1 (P1). The relative expression of pre-rRNA remained at such low levels throughout the postnatal development. Identical trends were observed for the ITS1 amplicon of pre-rRNA (Fig. 1A). Moreover, expression of mRNAs for protein components of the ribosome, including Rpl7 and Rpl22, showed a similar expression pattern (Fig. 1B). Such a postnatal down-regulation of ribosomal biogenesis was associated with lower mRNA expression of N-Myc but not Gapdh (Fig. 1, C and D). N-Myc is a transcription factor that is known to drive cortical neurogenesis and regulate many ribosomal biogenesis genes (38, 39). Therefore, high activity of ribosomal biogenesis in the rat embryonic cortex may be related to ongoing proliferation of cortical neuroblasts (40).

As cellular activity of ribosomal biogenesis is often correlated with size and/or number of nucleoli in a given cell (41), these parameters were evaluated in neuron-like cells of the developing neocortex at P7, P21, and P42. Nucleoli were visualized by immunofluorescence staining with an antibody against the nucleolar protein nucleophosmin/B23 (Fig. 1E). The analysis focused on layers 4–5 of the retrosplenial cortex as this region could have been reproducibly identified throughout the developmental time points that were included in this study. In addition, most perikaryal profiles from this region showed positive staining for the neuronal marker NeuN and could be imaged in a non-overlapping manner enabling accurate morphometric analysis of a neuron-enriched cell population (Fig. 1E). Over the studied period, relative nucleolar size was declining as determined by the ratio of the nucleolar area to the total nuclear area (Fig. 1F). Likewise, a number of nucleoli per cell was also decreasing (Fig. 1F). Thus, ribosomal biogenesis is down-regulated during forebrain development with most dramatic changes occurring immediately after birth.

Ribosome Content Is Increasing during Forebrain Development—To determine whether cellular ribosome content changes during brain development, brain sections from P7, P21, and P42 rats were stained with a fluorescent dye NeuroTrace Green that labels cellular ribosomes (Fig. 2A and B). For normalization, cellular DNA was counterstained with Hoechst-33258. Importantly, RNase pre-treatment abolished the NeuroTrace Green signal as expected for ribosomes (Fig. 2A). In the investigated period of cortical development, the NeuroTrace/Hoechst intensity ratio increased by more than 100% suggesting doubling of the average cellular ribosome content (Fig. 2B). Moreover, a steady increase of the ribosome content was detected during in vitro maturation of hippocampal neurons (Fig. 2, C and D). In this system, ribosome content doubled between DIV1 and DIV6 and then DIV6 and DIV18 (Fig. 2D). When culture medium was supplemented with BDNF, the expansion of the ribosome pool appeared to be slightly accelerated. Significant differences of about 10% were observed at DIV4, DIV8, and DIV12 but not at other time points, including the final DIV18. Therefore, at least in developing neurons, perikaryal ribosome content is only moderately affected by exogenous BDNF. As total RNA consists mostly of rRNA, neuronal maturation-associated accumulation of ribosomes is also supported by nearly 3-fold higher DNA-normal-
The presented data suggest that the average daily growth rates of the perikaryal ribosome pool were 3.1 and 23.7% in the cortex and in cultured hippocampal neurons, respectively. The divergence of the rates may be due to many factors, including brain region differences and cell culture effects. In addition, the neuronal ribosome accumulation may have been underestimated in vivo due to analysis of a mixed population of cells with increasing glial component during brain maturation. Although BDNF-stimulated neurons appeared to have more ribosomes at some time points, the overall effect of the production of ribosomes is needed to support neuronal morphogenesis by providing adequate machinery for protein synthesis. Indeed, neuronal maturation-associated expansion of ribosomes was accompanied by increased global protein synthesis (Fig. 3). Thus, total content of newly synthesized proteins increased 1.85-fold between DIV1 and DIV7 as determined by puromycin labeling of nascent peptides followed by Western blotting (Fig. 3A). In addition, in situ detection of nascent peptides revealed a similar upward trend when analyzed at DIV2, DIV6, and DIV9 (Fig. 3, B and C). Therefore, in developing neurons, increasing the number of ribosomes may be needed to support a growing demand for new proteins to build expanding neurites and establish neuronal connectivity.

Knockdowns of Ribosomal Proteins Reduce Ribosomal Biogenesis—It has been well documented that in developing neurons and in proliferating cells, inhibition of pol 1 leads to nucleolar disruption (19, 42). However, in cell lines, knockdowns of RPs that are required for synthesis of the small ribosome subunit such as S6 or S14 result in ribosome biogenesis inhibition without nucleolar stress (4). Therefore, shRNA expression constructs were prepared to target these RPs (Fig. 4, A and B). As RPL4 is critical for biogenesis of the large ribosome subunit (43), shRNA against L4 was also prepared to test
whether in neurons it may inhibit ribosome synthesis without nucleolar disruption (Fig. 4, A and B).

Next, tagged RPs, including S6-EGFP and L4-EGFP, were used to determine their nucleo-cytoplasmic partition following knockdowns of S14 and S6, respectively. Previous work has documented that free RPs accumulate in the nucleus/nucleolus, whereas their cytosolic location represents primarily the ribosome-bound pool (43). Therefore, the reduced cytosolic/nuclear ratio of transiently expressed RPs can be used as a sensitive indicator of disrupted ribosome synthesis (43). However, not all fluorescently tagged RPs assemble into ribosomal subunits when overexpressed at particular levels in a particular cell type (44). In such cases, reporter RPs remain mostly nuclear/nucleolar. Conversely, their ribosome incorporation is associated with the initial appearance of the reporter signal in the nuclei/nucleoli that is followed by its increasing intensity in perikarya (44). In neurons that were transfected with the control shRNA, S6-EGFP or L4-EGFP was observed in the nucleolus, throughout the perikaryon, and sometimes in proximal dendrites (Fig. 4C). Such a distribution pattern is reminiscent of ribosomes (Fig. 2C). In addition, when S6-EGFP expression was monitored in live neurons that were transfected at DIV0, EGFP appeared initially in the nucleus/nucleolus (DIV1–2) and then became predominantly present in the perikaryon and proximal dendrites (DIV5–7, data not shown). Hence, the reporter RPs that were used in these experiments appear to be incorporated in ribosomes.

When shRNA against S14 was combined with the S6-EGFP, the latter reporter was shifted to the nucleus confirming the critical role of S14 in biogenesis of the small ribosomal subunit (Fig. 4, C and D). Similar shift was observed with L4-EGFP when S6 was knocked down indicating that synthesis of the large ribosomal subunit may be impaired after S6 depletion (Fig. 4, C and D). These findings suggest that knocking down S6 or S14 perturbs ribosomal biogenesis in postmitotic neurons.

**Neither Neuronal Survival nor Integrity of the Neuronal Nucleolus Is Affected by Moderate Ribosome Depletion**—Previous work from proliferating cells suggested that RP knockdowns lead to activation of the pro-apoptotic transcription factor p53 even in the absence of the nucleolar stress (4). However, no major declines in cell survival were observed in RP-depleted hippocampal neurons (Fig. 5, A and B).

Nucleolar localization of nucleophosmin/B23 is highly sensitive to inhibition of pol 1 and serves as a convenient indicator of nucleolar integrity (19, 42). However, none of the anti-ribosomal shRNAs affected the nucleolar presence of nucleophosmin (Fig. 5C). Conversely, consistent with our previously published results from cortical neurons, knockdown of the pol 1 co-activator TIF1A resulted in nucleoplasmic dispersion of nucleophosmin (Fig. 5C) (19). Therefore, knockdowns of S6, S14, or L4 appear to have a selective effect on post-transcriptional steps of ribosomal biogenesis. Thus, at least in hippocampal neurons, knockdowns of these RPs allow us to study consequences of impaired ribosome biogenesis without interference by the nucleolar disruption and/or cell death.

After 3 days of expressing shS6, shS14, or shL4, the total perikaryal ribosome content was down by 22, 30, or 26%, respectively (Fig. 5, D and E). Addition of BDNF for the last
5E). Similar effects were observed with individual shRNAs targeting S6 or L4 as well as validated shRNAs against L11 (Table 2). In neurons that were transfected with the control shRNA, S6-EGFP or L4-EGFP was observed in the nucleolus, throughout the perikaryon, and sometimes in proximal dendrites (Fig. 4C). Hence, depletion of RPs reduces neuronal ribosome supply.

**Signaling Responses to BDNF Are Maintained after Ribosome Depletion**—It has been reported that independently of their protein synthesis functions, ribosomes provide an activation scaffold for the TORC2 branch of the mTOR pathway (45). In addition, at least in some neuronal populations, nucleolar stress was associated with inhibition of TORC1 signaling (21). Therefore, effects of BDNF on activation of several signaling kinases were examined in RP-depleted neurons. RP knockdowns reduced basal activities of TORC1 and TORC2 as evaluated by phosphorylation of S6 and Akt, respectively (Fig. 6, A–C). Conversely, they did not prevent BDNF-mediated activation of these pathways. For instance, in shLuc or shS14-transfected neurons, BDNF elevated pS6 levels 2.07- or 2.64-fold in unstimulated controls, respectively (p < 0.001, Fig. 6B). However, in RP-depleted neurons, the BDNF-mediated activation of TORC1 and TORC2 was reduced when normalized to basal pS6 and pAkt levels in shLuc-transfected neurons (Fig. 6, B and C). The shRPs did not interfere with BDNF-mediated activation of the ERK1/2 MAPK pathway except for a relatively minor reduction in the BDNF-induced increase of phospho-ERK1/2 levels after knockdown of S14 (Fig. 6, D and E). Moreover, in BDNF-stimulated neurons, activation of the CRE-driven transcription that is a well established pro-dendritic effector of the ERK1/2 pathway was unaffected by ribosomal depletion (Fig. 6F) (46, 47). Therefore, in cultured rat hippocampal neurons, at least moderate reduction of ribosome content does not prevent signaling responses to BDNF.

**Protein Synthesis Deficiency in Ribosome-depleted Neurons**—As in cultured rat forebrain neurons, 65% of ribosomes are engaged in polysomes, and one could expect that ribosome depletion will impair neuronal translation (48). Indeed, in neurons that were transfected with shRNAs against S6 or S14, average perikaryal density of the nascent peptide signal declined at least 30% as compared with control shRNA-receiving cells (Fig. 7, A and B). BDNF was unable to rescue such a protein synthesis deficiency (Fig. 7B). Surprisingly, depletion of L4 did not affect general protein synthesis. Similar differential effects were also visible when individual shRNAs against S6 or L4 were used (Table 3). In addition, depletion of L11 had relatively milder anti-translational effects as compared with S6 (Table 2). Therefore, supply of the large ribosomal subunits may be relatively less critical than that of the small ribosomal subunit for maintenance of general protein synthesis.

In ribosome-depleted cells, translational inhibition could be caused by reduced recruitment of ribosomes to mRNAs. In such a case one could expect formation of RNA stress granules (SGs), which are large ribonucleoprotein complexes sequestering mRNAs away from the translation machinery (49–51). In
FIGURE 5. In hippocampal neurons, inhibition of ribosomal biogenesis results in ribosome depletion without cell death or nucleolar disruption. DIV6 hippocampal neurons were co-transfected with shRNAs against RPs together with an expression vector for β-gal (A and B) or EGFP (C) or RFP (D and E) (0.4 + 0.2 μg of plasmid DNAs/2 × 10⁵ neurons, respectively). Neuronal survival, nucleolar integrity, and perikaryal ribosome content were evaluated 3 days later. A and B, knockdowns of ribosomal proteins did not affect neuronal viability. Transfected cells were identified by β-gal immunostaining; nuclear morphology was visualized with Hoechst-33258. A, transfected neurons with non-condensed nuclei were counted as live cells (arrows); presence of condensed and/or fragmented chromatin identified dead cells (arrowhead). B, quantitation of surviving fraction of transfected neurons. Data represent means ± S.E. of three independent experiments; NS, not significant; p > 0.05, U test. C, integrity of neuronal nucleoli after ribosomal protein knockdowns. Neuronal integrity was determined using nucleophosmin immunostaining; nuclei were counter-stained with Hoechst-33258. In neurons that received control shRNA (shLuc) or shRPs, the nucleophosmin signal was concentrated in the nucleoli (arrows). Conversely, when pol 1 activity was disrupted with shTIF1A, most nucleophosmin was nucleoplasmic (arrowhead); an adjacent untransfected neuron displayed nucleolar nucleophosmin (asterisk). D and E, reduced somatic ribosome content after inhibition of ribosomal biogenesis. On DIV7, 10 ng/ml BDNF was added to some cultures as indicated. D, representative images of ribosome staining in transfected neurons (e.g. RFP-positive; arrows). Note that pre-treatment with RNaseA abolished the ribosome signal (arrowhead). E, quantification of the perikaryal ribosome content. Data represent means ± S.E. of at least 45 individual cells from three independent experiments; two-way ANOVA, effect of shRNA, F₃,₅₅₆ = 39.543, p < 0.001; post hoc tests: ***, p < 0.001; NS, p > 0.05.
fact, SGs were observed in ribosomal biogenesis inhibited neurons as determined by immunostaining for the SG marker proteins T-cell intracellular antigen-1 and T-cell intracellular antigen-related (TIA-1/TIAR, Fig. 7, C and D). Both diffuse and fine granular TIA-1/TIAR signals were detected in the perikaryal cytosol of neurons that received control shRNA (Fig. 7C). In shS14-transfected cells, the diffuse signal was reduced, and large TIA-1/TIAR-positive granules formed in the cytosol consistent with the SG response (Fig. 7C). Indeed, the SGs in response to S14 depletion were similar to those observed after exposure to a well established SG inducer, sodium arsenite (Fig. 7C’). SGs were also observed in neurons whose ribosomal biogenesis was inhibited by shS6 or shTIF1A (Fig. 7D). Importantly, although shL4 did not affect general protein synthesis, it still induced the SG response, albeit in a smaller fraction of neurons as compared with shS6, shS14, or shTIF1A (Fig. 7D).

Neither pharmacological inhibition of mTOR nor treatment with BDNF affected the SG formation in ribosome biogenesis-inhibited or control neurons (Fig. 7, C and D, and data not shown).

As the p53 pathway may be activated by impaired ribosomal biogenesis (4), one could consider the possibility that in ribosomal protein-depleted neurons, translational inhibition may be a consequence of p53 activation. However, overexpression of

### TABLE 2

| shRNA  | Vehicle                        | BDNF                        |
|--------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Luc    | 1 ± 0.036ab                 | 1.04 ± 0.038ab              |
| S6-1   | 0.522 ± 0.023c               | 0.659 ± 0.033a              |
| S6-2   | 0.617 ± 0.039c               | 0.674 ± 0.029b              |
| L4-1   | 0.611 ± 0.037c               | 0.585 ± 0.029b              |
| L4-2   | 0.724 ± 0.040c,de            | 0.668 ± 0.032c              |
| L11-1  | 0.651 ± 0.053cd             | 0.589 ± 0.046c              |
| L11-2  | 0.605 ± 0.033c              | 0.657 ± 0.026c              |

A, B, C, D, and E: arrows indicate a significant difference (**p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; NS: not significant), p < 0.05 as compared with shS6-1.

### FIGURE 6

Inhibition of ribosomal biogenesis does not prevent signaling responses to BDNF. A–E. neurons were transfected as for studies in Fig. 5, D and E. After 2 days, cells were stimulated with 50 ng/ml BDNF for 60 min (A–C) or 10 min (D and E) as indicated. A and B, S6 phosphorylation at residues Ser-235/236 (pS6) was monitored by immunofluorescence to determine activation of the PI3K-TORC1 pathway. A, representative images of transfected cells (arrows). B, analysis of perikaryal FI of the pS6 signal in transfected neurons revealed that RP knockdown did not prevent BDNF-mediated activation of TORC1. Conversely, the activation was abolished by the drug inhibitor of mTOR, KU0063794 (0.3 μM, KU006). However, BDNF effects on pS6 were reduced by shRPs. C, similar effects were also observed when TORC2 activity was monitored by immunofluorescence for the phosphorylated form of Akt at Ser-473. D and E, immunostaining for the phosphorylated ERK1/2 residues Thr-202/Tyr-204 (pERK1/2) revealed that RP knockdowns did not prevent the BDNF-mediated activation of the ERK1/2 pathway except its moderate reduction with shS14. The BDNF effect was abolished by the drug inhibitor of the ERK1/2 pathway, U0126 (50 μM). F, DIV4 neurons were transfected as for Fig. 5, A and B, except the CRE-luciferase reporter plasmid was added (0.4 μg of plasmid DNAs/2 × 10⁵ neurons) and shRNA against GFP (shGFP) was used as a control. On DIV6, 10 ng/ml BDNF was added for 8 h as indicated. CRE-driven transcription was determined by measuring luciferase activity that was normalized against β-gal activity. BDNF-mediated activation of CRE-driven transcription was unaffected by inhibition of ribosomal biogenesis. B, C, and E, data represent means ± S.E. of fold controls as defined by dividing the FI value of a cell by the average FI value of control cells (shLuc-transfected, no BDNF stimulation); at least 60 (B and C) or 48 (E) randomly selected individual neurons from three independent experiments were analyzed; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; NS (not significant), p > 0.05. F, fold controls were calculated as ratios of β-gal-normalized luciferase activity from each sister culture to the average of control cultures (shGFP-transfected, no BDNF stimulation); means ± S.D. of eight sister cultures from two independent experiments are depicted.
the dominant-negative mutant form of p53 affected neither translational inhibition nor SG formation in ribosome-depleted neurons (data not shown). In our hands, the dominant-negative p53 construct that was used for these studies potently blocked p53-dependent neuronal apoptosis, including that in response to nucleolar stress (19, 52). Therefore, in neurons, ribosome biogenesis defects result in impairment of protein synthesis that is likely due to insufficient ribosome supply and consequent reduction in ribosome recruitment to at least some mRNAs. Moreover, BDNF fails to rescue such a deficit despite

FIGURE 7. Impairment of global protein synthesis after inhibition of ribosomal biogenesis. A and B, DIV6 hippocampal neurons were transfected as for Fig. 5C. After 3 days, cells were treated with 50 ng/ml BDNF. After 45 min, OPP was added followed by cell fixation 30 min later. The OPP-labeled nascent peptides were visualized with fluorescent Click-iT chemistry. A, representative images depicting nascent peptide accumulation in shLuc- and shS14-transfected neurons (arrows). B, nascent peptide accumulation in the perikaryon was reduced by shS6 or shS14 but not shL4 (two-way ANOVA, effect of shRNA, $F_{3,459} = 49.425$, $p < 0.01$). Data represent means ± S.E. of at least 50 individual cells from three independent experiments; *, $p < 0.05$; ***, $p < 0.001$ (post hoc tests). C and D, DIV6 hippocampal neurons were transfected as for Fig. 5, D and E. After 2 days, 50 ng/ml BDNF was added for 24 h as indicated. SGs were visualized by TIA-1/TIAR immunostaining. C, representative images of shLuc and shS14-transfected neurons. In shLuc-transfected neurons TIA-1/TIAR signal is visible throughout the soma, including fine extra-nuclear granules (arrows). In shS14-transfected cells, the diffuse signal is reduced, and large granules appear in the perikaryal region and proximal dendrites (arrowheads) consistent with SG morphology. C’, well established inducer of SGs, sodium arsenite (0.5 mM), was added to neuronal cultures for 1 h. Note similarity of arsenite-induced SGs to those produced after depletion of S14 (arrowheads). D, fraction of SG-positive cells increased when ribosomal biogenesis was inhibited by knockdowns of RPs or TIF1A. Such a response was also present in BDNF-treated neurons. NS, not significant. Data represent means ± S.E. of three independent experiments; ***, $p < 0.001$, U test.
its stimulatory effects on pro-translational signaling pathways, including mTOR and ERK1/2.

**Inhibition of Ribosome Production Impairs BDNF-induced Enhancement of Dendritic Morphogenesis and Triggers Dendritic Degeneration**—BDNF-stimulated development of dendrites was analyzed after RP knockdowns. Neurites of the shRNA-transfected cells were identified by expression of the co-transfected GFP. Dendrites were identified as neurites with decreasing width (Fig. 8, A and B). Axons were defined as very long and evenly wide processes that occurred once per cell (Fig. 8A). Accuracy of neurite type identification was confirmed using the overexpressed axonal marker Kif5C560-dTomato (data not shown). Dendrites were traced, and their total length, territory of the dendritic tree, number of primary dendrites, and dendritic branching were determined (Fig. 8, C–F). In addition, the overall complexity of the dendritic trees was evaluated using Sholl analysis (Fig. 8, G–J).

In the absence of BDNF, knocking down ribosomal proteins had relatively moderate and shRNA-specific effects on dendritic trees. For instance, significant reductions of the dendritic length were observed with shS6 and shS14 but not shL4; dendritic territory was reduced only by shS6; none of the shRPs affected branching (Fig. 8, D and F, and Table 4). Conversely, all shRPs simplified dendritic trees as revealed by Sholl analysis (number of crossings for shLuc versus shS6 or shS14 or shL4, p < 0.001, ANOVA, Fig. 8G).

Ribosome depletion had profound effects on BDNF-mediated enhancement of dendritic growth. In control shRNA-transfected neurons, BDNF stimulated dendritic growth as illustrated by increases in total dendritic length (908 versus 678 μm, p < 0.001), dendritic territory (51,760 versus 42,815 μm², p < 0.05), and the number of primary dendrites (4.1 versus 3.4 dendrites/cell, p < 0.05, Fig. 8, C–E, Table 4). In addition, Sholl analysis revealed a highly significant increase in dendritic tree complexity (number of crossings in shLuc-transfected neurons, −BDNF versus +BDNF; p < 0.0001, ANOVA, Fig. 8, G and H). After knocking down RPs, all but one of these morphogenetic responses to BDNF were blocked or reduced (Fig. 8 and Table 4). The single outlier was the increase in the number of primary dendrites in shS14-transfected neurons (Fig. 8E). Finally, in BDNF-stimulated neurons, dendritic branching was inhibited by RP knockdowns (Fig. 8F). Similar anti-morphogenic effects of RP depletion were also present when individual shRNAs against S6, L4, or L11 were used or when the p53 pathway was inhibited by co-transfection of the dominant-negative mutant form of p53 (Fig. 8, I and J, and data not shown). These findings indicate that during neuronal maturation ribosome depletion inhibits dendritic morphogenesis in response to BDNF.

To determine whether ongoing ribosome synthesis is required for dendritic maintenance, S6 or S14 was knocked down in mature neurons (e.g. DIV13–16). That time point of differentiation was chosen based on previous observations of relative morphological as well as molecular maturity of rat hippocampal cultures after 2 weeks *in vitro* (53, 54). Because in our hands neuronal transfections after DIV6–7 produced high toxicity, we bypassed this limitation by using tetracycline-inducible shRNAs (tshRNAs) that were transfected at DIV6. When neurons were co-transfected with expression plasmids for the FLAG-tagged S6, the tetracycline-regulated silencer tTS, and the tshS6 or tshLuc (control), the number of FLAG-positive cells was similar for either tshRNA as long as doxycycline was absent from culture media (Fig. 9A). Conversely, tshS6 but not tshLuc reduced the number of FLAG-positive neurons after doxycycline treatment (Fig. 9A). These data confirm the ability of shRNAs to inducibly knock down neuronal expression of their target genes as demonstrated previously using a similar expression system (31).

At DIV6, hippocampal neurons were transfected with the EGFP expression plasmid and tshRNAs. Then at DIV13 tetracycline was added to the media, and dendritic morphology was evaluated at DIV16 (Fig. 9, B–G). Total dendritic length and dendritic territory but not the number of primary dendrites were reduced after inducible knockdowns of S6 or S14 (Fig. 9, C–E). Decreased dendritic branching was observed with tshRP56 but not tshS14 (Fig. 9F). Sholl analysis revealed diminished dendritic tree complexity with either tshRNA (Fig. 9G). Such anti-dendritic effects were associated with impaired translation as indicated by increased fraction of 5G-positive neurons (Fig. 9, H and I). Although in DIV13–16 hippocampal neuron dendritic growth is still possible, it is slower than at DIV6–9 (53, 55). However, at DIV13–16, anti-dendritic effects were at least as strong as at DIV6–9 (Fig. 8 and Table 4). Therefore, compromised dendritic maintenance was a likely contributor to anti-dendritic effects of RP depletion in mature neurons.

Given the anti-dendritic effects of ribosomal protein depletion, one could expect that axonal growth and/or maintenance would be similarly reduced after inhibition of ribosomal biogenesis. However, in rat hippocampal neurons, at least early phases of axonal growth (*i.e.* between DIV1 and DIV4) were differentially affected by knockdowns of S6, L4, or TIF1A. Under basal conditions, shS6 but not other shRNAs reduced total axonal length (Fig. 10). Although in shLuc-transfected neurons no effects of BDNF were observed on axonal length, BDNF rescued the phenotype of shS6 and increased total length in shL4-transfected cells (Fig. 10, B–D). One can speculate that the appearance of such pro-axonal effects of BDNF may be related to enhanced activation of growth-promoting signaling pathways and/or greater neuronal responsiveness to such activations. Despite strong effects on dendritic development (22), shTIF1A had no significant effects on axonal length with or without BDNF (Fig. 10, F and G). Therefore, although individual RPs, including S6, may be required for axonal development,

### Table 3

| shRNA  | Vehicle | BDNF |
|--------|---------|------|
| Luc    | 1 ± 0.04 | 1.098 ± 0.04<sup>a</sup> |
| S6–1   | 0.567 ± 0.033<sup>a</sup> | 0.509 ± 0.029<sup>a</sup> |
| S6–2   | 0.587 ± 0.037<sup>a</sup> | 0.58 ± 0.026<sup>a</sup> |
| L4–1   | 0.883 ± 0.033<sup>a</sup> | 0.851 ± 0.039<sup>a</sup> |
| L4–2   | 0.925 ± 0.046<sup>a</sup> | 0.846 ± 0.043<sup>a</sup> |
| L11–1  | 0.949 ± 0.036<sup>a</sup> | 0.895 ± 0.035<sup>a</sup> |
| L11–2  | 0.81 ± 0.0038<sup>a</sup> | 0.703 ± 0.052<sup>a</sup> |

<sup>a</sup> p < 0.001 as compared with shS6-1.
<sup>b</sup> p < 0.001 as compared with shS6-2.
<sup>c</sup> p < 0.001 as compared with shLuc.
<sup>d</sup> p < 0.05 as compared with shLuc.
ribosomal biogenesis per se appears to be dispensable, at least, for the early stages of axonal growth.

As dendritic morphogenesis was studied at DIV6–16, one can speculate that at early stages of neuronal differentiation, ribosome biogenesis is relatively unimportant for neurite outgrowth while gaining significance during neuronal maturation. Such a switch may be a reflection of a growing demand for ribosomes as neurons expand their size and scale up protein synthesis. However, anti-translational effects of ribosomal biogenesis inhibition were at least as strong at DIV4 as at DIV9 (Figs. 7B and 11). Interestingly, a notable difference was a significant anti-translational effect of shL4 at DIV4 but not DIV9 indicating that supply of the large ribosomal subunit may be a limiting factor for general protein synthesis at the early stages of neuronal maturation. Therefore, at least early axonal development appears to be
more tolerant of partial deficiency in protein synthesis than dendritic morphogenesis.

Discussion

The presented data revealed that during the maturation phase of neuronal development, neuronal ribosome content kept increasing despite lower activity of ribosomal biogenesis. Interference with the ribosome supply lowered global protein synthesis and/or induced SGs suggesting defective recruitment of ribosomes to at least some mRNAs during their translation initiation. Such a translational deficiency was accompanied by disruption of dendritic morphogenesis in response to exogenous BDNF and diminished dendritic maintenance under basal conditions. Thus, one could propose that in maturing neurons, ribosomal biogenesis is necessary to provide a sufficient number of ribosomes to support translation of critical mediators of dendritic development (Fig. 12A). Conversely, when ribosomal biogenesis is inhibited, an insufficient supply of ribosomes decreases translation initiation resulting in SG formation, reduced synthesis of pro-dendritic proteins, impairment of dendritic growth, and dendritic degeneration (Fig. 12B).

The presented results reveal an apparent paradox that in maturing neurons intense expansion of ribosomes is accompanied by sharp down-regulation of ribosomal biogenesis. As high activity of ribosomal biogenesis is required for cell proliferation, it is likely that in the embryonic brain ribosome synthesis is up-regulated to support neurogenesis (1). However, because of cell divisions, average cellular ribosome content is not expected to change. After cell cycle exit, ribosome content may increase despite down-regulation of ribosomal biogenesis as long as its rate exceeds that of ribosome turnover.

| shLuc | shLuc+BDNF | shS6+BDNF | shS14+BDNF | shL4+BDNF |
|-------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| shS6  | shS6       | shL4      | shLuc+BDNF | shS6+BDNF |

TABLE 4

Effects of shRNAs on BDNF-induced dendrite growth

The results of post hoc comparisons for experimental data are from Fig. 8, C–H. The abbreviations used are as follows: TL, total dendrite length; DT, dendritic territory; PD, number of primary dendrites; DB, dendrite branching; NS, p > 0.05; *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001 (Fisher’s LSD test).
Indeed, postmitotic neurons continue to produce ribosomes (56). In addition, in the brain, ribosome turnover rate is low with an estimated ribosome half-life time of 7 days (57, 58). Therefore, maturing neurons may accumulate a large number of ribosomes despite a slower rate of ribosomal synthesis. In cultured hippocampal neurons, the average daily increase of ribosome content was estimated at 23%. However, the rate of ribosome expansion was uneven with only a 4.8% increase/day in a period between DIV6 and DIV10. In contrast, during that time RP depletion reduced ribosome content by 20–30%.
Therefore, it is possible that the rate of ribosomal biogenesis and/or rate of ribosome turnover is modulated during neuronal maturation accounting for the changing dynamics of ribosome accumulation.

Why do maturing neurons need so many ribosomes? The most obvious answer to that question could be that a high number of ribosomes is required to support intense protein synthesis that is needed for neuritic morphogenesis. Indeed, our observations suggest that during maturation of the neuronal culture the expansion of the ribosome pool is accompanied by growing global protein synthesis. These observations are in agreement with results of polysome profiling as well as metabolic labeling studies suggesting that general protein synthesis keeps increasing during the postnatal period of rat brain development reaching a peak at weaning (59, 60). Our data also suggest that when ribosome supply is diminished the protein synthesis is impaired. Such findings fit well with a report that in cultured rat cortical neurons, 65, 25, and 10% of ribosomes are in the polysomes, the free ribosome pool, and the RNA granules, respectively (48). Therefore, during neuronal maturation, availability of free ribosomes may be a limiting factor for synthesis of pro-morphogenic proteins.

Reduced ribosomal biogenesis disrupted BDNF-induced dendritic growth. Gene expression, including protein synthesis, plays a well established role in neurotrophin-mediated neurite outgrowth (26, 46, 47, 61, 62). Moreover, inhibition of ribosomal biogenesis did not prevent activation of pro-dendritic signaling responses to BDNF but compromised translation regardless of the presence of BDNF. Hence, ongoing production of neuronal ribosomes may contribute to dendritic morphogenesis by supplying a sufficient number of ribosomes to execute the translational phase of the pro-dendritic gene expression program that is turned on by such stimuli as BDNF.

Translational deficiency may also have caused dendritic degeneration that was observed after inhibition of ribosomal biogenesis. Such a notion is supported by importance of protein synthesis for neuritic maintenance as well as correlations between reduced protein synthesis and dendritic degeneration in neurodegenerative diseases (63–66).

The presented data reveal that disruption of ribosome supply by knockdowns of various RPs produces similar anti-dendritic effects with variable impairment of general protein synthesis. Specifically, depleting RPs of the large ribosomal subunit (RPL) had lesser effects on general protein synthesis than depleting RPs of the small ribosomal subunit (RPS). In contrast, in both cases SG induction was observed suggesting that translation of at least some mRNAs is inhibited by RPL deficiency. Interestingly, mRNAs that are related to transcriptional regulation, neurite outgrowth, and synaptic transmission appear enriched in SGs (67). Hence, an intriguing possibility emerges that in maturing neurons an uninterrupted supply of ribosomes is of particular importance for translation of a subset of mRNAs that support dendritic morphogenesis.

Mechanistically, such selectivity could be a consequence of the rate-limiting role of ribosome recruitment for translation initiation of these mRNAs. Interestingly, in Diamond Blackfan anemia, which is caused by heterozygous loss of function mutations of several RPSs and RPLs, bone marrow failure has been proposed to be a consequence of a selective translational deficit of the pro-erythrocytic transcription factor GATA1 (68). In that case, a highly structured 5'UTR of GATA1 mRNA makes its translation uniquely sensitive to ribosome shortage. Hence, similar dependence on abundance of ribosomes may characterize translation of at least some proteins with critical contributions to dendritic morphogenesis. Finally, there is emerging evidence of ribosome specialization. For instance, specific subsets of ribosomes play a critical role in translation of homeobox transcription factors during body pattern formation or internal ribosome entry site-driven translation in cancer (69). Therefore, one should consider the possibility that translation of pro-dendritic proteins is carried out by a specialized subset(s) of ribosomes that are produced during neuronal maturation.

The presented findings suggest that the impairments of ribosome synthesis that were reported in neurodevelopmental diseases may be pathogenic by inducing translational deficits, diminishing dendritic morphogenesis, and/or inducing dendritic degeneration. In support of such a hypothesis, ribosomal deficits, translational defects, and impaired neuritic morphogenesis were reported in a human neuronal cell culture model of Rett syndrome (70). All these effects have been proposed as consequences of a general transcriptional failure. Hence, ribosome depletion would create a feed-forward mechanism enhancing defects in protein expression.

In Rett syndrome, general transcriptional inhibition is due to deficits in an abundant transcriptional activator, MeCP2 (70). Reduced brain growth and mental retardation are also found in Roberts and Cornelia De Lange syndromes that are caused by deficits in chromatin structure proteins that regulate sister chromatid cohesion (18). As in the case of MeCP2 deficiency, these mutations are proposed to disrupt transcription, including rRNA production (71, 72). Indeed, ribosomal deficits were
reported in non-neuronal cell culture models of these conditions (71, 72). Therefore, the pathological sequel triggered by neuronal ribosome deficiency may underlie the neurological phenotype not only in Rett syndrome but also in cohesinopathies. Finally, the presented finding suggests that disruption of FIGURE 10. Inhibition of ribosomal biogenesis has moderate effects on initial stages of axonal growth. DIV1 hippocampal neurons that were seeded on poly-D-lysine-coated plastic coverslips (no laminin coating) were co-transfected with expression plasmids for EGFP and shRNAs against S6 (shS6), L4 (shL4), TIF1A (shTIF1A), or Renilla luciferase (shLuc) at 0.075 μg of plasmid DNA/105 neurons, respectively. On DIV2, 10 ng/ml BDNF was added for 24 h as indicated. Transfected neurons were visualized by GFP immunostaining. A, representative images of transfected neurons. B–G, depletion of S6 reduced total length of axon (B) and length of the longest axon (C, two-way ANOVA, effect of shS6, F1,154 = 13.357, p < 0.001 and 5.827, p < 0.05, respectively). However, BDNF rescued these defects (two-way ANOVA, effect of BDNF, F1,154 = 7.175, p < 0.01 and 12.342, p < 0.001, respectively). Depletion of L4 or TIF1A had no significant effects on axonal growth except increased total axonal length in shL4-transfected neurons that were stimulated with BDNF (D–G). In experiments with shTIF1A, nucleolar disruption was verified by immunostaining for nucleophosmin/B23 as shown in Fig. 5C. Only neurons with nucleolar disruption were selected for the morphometric analysis. The axonal morphogenesis experiments did not include shS14 due to its apparent toxicity when transfected at DIV1 (data not shown). Data represent means ± S.E. of at least 30 individual cells from two independent experiments; ***p < 0.001; **p < 0.01; *p < 0.05; NS (not significant), p > 0.05.

FIGURE 11. At DIV4, inhibition of ribosomal biogenesis affects global protein synthesis. A and B, DIV1 hippocampal neurons were transfected as described for Fig. 10. On DIV4, cells were treated with 50 ng/ml BDNF for 45 min as indicated. Then OPP was added for an additional 30 min followed by fixation and visualization of nascent peptides as in Fig. 7, A and B. A, representative images of transfected neurons (arrows). B, in shS6-, shL4-, or shTIF1A-transfected cells, nascent peptide accumulation was significantly decreased. BDNF was unable to rescue such a deficit. Data represent means ± S.E. of at least 49 individual cells from three independent experiments; two-way ANOVA, effect of shRNA, F3,415 = 26.752, p < 0.001; post hoc tests: ***p < 0.001; *p < 0.05; NS, p > 0.05.
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dendritic morphogenesis may contribute to the pathogenesis of ribosomopathies with neurological involvement, including Bowen-Conradi and RPL10 syndromes (14, 15). Future studies are needed to validate the novel concept that reduced ribosomal biogenesis and subsequent impairment of neurites play a role in the pathogenesis of these and other neurodevelopmental diseases.

Recently, the appearance of aberrant SGs at the early stages of ALS/FTLD and Alzheimer disease led to proposals that the SG-mediated disruption of mRNA metabolism and/or functional loss of SG-sequestered proteins may be key culprits triggering neuritic degeneration (73, 74). Although in some familial cases of ALS/FTLD, SG formation is due to mutations in RNA-binding proteins, and it is unclear what are the alternative triggers and/or modulators of such pathology. Our findings suggest that ribosome depletion is a novel inducer of the SG response. Therefore, it is tempting to speculate that it may facilitate aberrant SG formation by mutant RNA-binding proteins such as TDP43 or FUS or EWS. In addition, ribosomal deficits could enhance and/or initiate SG formation in those cases of ALS/FTLD, in which the SG-regulating proteins are normal.

Taken together, during maturation of postmitotic neurons, the cellular ribosome content increases. Interference with ribosome supply triggers translational defects and disrupts dendritic growth and maintenance. Consequently, reduced ribosomal biogenesis may contribute to neurodevelopmental diseases by disturbing neuronal connectivity. Moreover, consequences of ribosome depletion overlap with key features of major neurodegenerative diseases suggesting that loss of ribosomal homeostasis plays a pathogenic role in at least some forms of neurodegeneration.
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