Identification and Quantitative Assessment of Schlemm’s Canal in the Eyes with 360° Angle Recession Glaucoma
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ABSTRACT

Synopsis: Angle recession, trabecular meshwork injury, increased trabecular pigmentation, and reduced Schlemm’s canal dimensions can cause reduced aqueous outflow and unilateral glaucoma in an eye, following blunt trauma. Also, these patients are possibly at risk to develop raised intraocular pressure (IOP) in the normal fellow eye due to reduced Schlemm’s canal area.

Aim: To identify and quantitatively evaluate Schlemm’s canal (SC) parameters by anterior segment optical coherence tomography (AS-OCT) in the patients with unilateral 360° angle recession glaucoma (ARG) and compare with the fellow normal eyes and age-related normal control.

Materials and methods: Six patients with a history of unilateral ocular blunt trauma and unilateral 360° ARG, normal fellow eyes and 34 age-matched normal controls underwent anterior chamber angle imaging with corneal line scan protocol using AS-OCT. Schlemm’s canal cross-sectional area (SC-CSA) and meridional and coronal diameters were measured on temporal and nasal sections at 3 and 9 o’clock positions.

Results: In the AS-OCT cross-sectional images, SC was observed as a horizontally oval or ellipsoidal translucent space. The mean SC-CSA (1,710 ± 376.1 μm² vs 6,100 ± 2,700 μm², p < 0.0001), mean meridional diameter (243.6 ± 55.47 μm vs 474 ± 125.6 μm, p < 0.0001), and mean coronal diameter (32.68 ± 6.27 μm vs 57.42 ± 16.27 μm, p < 0.0001) of the SC were smaller in ARG eyes when compared with the normal eyes. The SC dimensions were reduced in the untraumatized fellow eyes of ARG patients when compared with the normal eyes (SC-CSA: 2,350 ± 602.1 μm², p = 0.001, meridional diameter: 341.8 ± 88.8 μm, p = 0.012 and coronal diameter: 31.67 ± 3.8 μm, p < 0.0001). There was no difference in the measured SC dimensions between the ARG eyes and the normal fellow eyes.

Conclusion: The reduced SC parameters in the eyes with unilateral 360° ARG and the normal fellow eyes could mean that these patients probably have an underlying structural abnormality in the SC. Trabecular meshwork injury, angle recession, and increased trabecular pigmentation are probably the predisposing factors in the ARG eyes for the increase in IOP. Whether the normal fellow eyes develop IOP rise in the future needs to be seen during regular follow-up.
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INTRODUCTION

Anterior chamber iridocorneal angle recession is a known complication after an ocular-closed globe injury. It is a radial tear between the longitudinal and circular muscle fibers at the anterior face of ciliary body and seen on gonioscopy as a characteristic marked deepening and widening of ciliary body band and often bare sclera.

It has been reported that a 60° to 77%2 of these eyes will develop some degree of angle recession after ocular concussion injury and up to 6% to 7%4 of the eyes may develop angle recession glaucoma (ARG), which is classified under secondary open-angle glaucoma (OAG). There appears to be two peak incidences of ARG, which is classified under secondary open-angle glaucoma (OAG) and meridional and corona diameters reviewed. The purpose of our study was to quantitatively evaluate the SC parameters by AS-OCT in the eyes with unilateral 360° ARG and compare it with the SC parameters of the normal fellow eyes and age-matched normal controls.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In our prospective study, 14 patients with unilateral 360° ARG, who were referred to glaucoma clinic for gonioscopy after blunt trauma or for the management of raised IOP between August 2017 and April
2018, and 34 age-related normal controls were enrolled. The study was conducted in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki, and a written informed consent was obtained from all the study participants.

A detailed medical history, past history of blunt ocular trauma (mode of injury, time from trauma to the first visit, previously sought ophthalmic consultation, and treatment), and family history of glaucoma were obtained. Patients with iridodialysis, subluxated lens, history of surgery for traumatic cataract, vitreoretinal surgery for posttraumatic vitreous hemorrhage, and retinal detachment were excluded.

All subjects underwent a complete ophthalmic evaluation which included uncorrected and best-corrected visual acuity, IOP measurement with Goldmann applanation tonometry, slit-lamp biomicroscopy, gonioscopy with Sussman goniolens, dilated retinal evaluation with +90 Diopter (D) lens for the optic disk evaluation, and indirect ophthalmoscopy. Central corneal thickness (CCT) was measured with the AL-scan (Nidek, Gamagori, Japan), and Humphrey visual field analysis (HFA II, 720; Carl Zeiss Meditec, CA) with a 24-2 SITA (Swedish interactive threshold algorithm) standard was performed.

On gonioscopy, 360° recessed angle was identified as an angle with a regular deepening and widening of the ciliary body band, and the area of recession was carefully compared with areas of normal angle in the nontraumatized fellow eye. Other signs included irregular and increased angle pigmentation, broken iris processes, and widened and prominent scleral spur.

For this study, ARG was defined as a patient with raised IOP > 22 mm Hg, angle recession of 360°, glaucomatous optic neuropathy, and corresponding visual field defect. Fellow normal eye and age-matched control subjects had no history of ocular trauma or glaucoma in the family, spherical equivalent within ±3 D, normal anterior and posterior segment examination, IOP < 20 mm Hg, open angles and no angle abnormality on gonioscopy, healthy optic disk, and normal visual fields.

**Image Acquisition and Processing**

Study participants underwent imaging of SC with RTVue (Optovue, Fremont, CA) AS-OCT corneal line scan, which has 8 mm scan length. The scan line was placed on the limbus at temporal and nasal, 3 and 9 o’clock meridians. SC was identified in the cross section scan as a horizontal elliptical or oval-shaped translucent space, close to the TM (Fig. 1). All the scans were obtained by a single experienced examiner. Scans with excessive shadowing or high signal–noise ratio were discarded, and only the scans with good image quality and signal strength >50 were selected for the analysis.

An examiner, who was masked to the clinical diagnosis, exported the AS-OCT images, using OCT files, and the ImageJ tool (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/plugins/raw-file-opener.html) was used to compute the measurements. With the free hand option in the ImageJ tool, SC-CSA was manually marked and measured by fitting the optimal ellipse. Measurement of SC meridional and coronal diameters was also taken.

SPSS software version 16 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for the statistical analysis. A descriptive analysis was reported as mean ± standard deviation. Levene’s test was used for equality of variances. Independent sample t test was used for comparison between the groups. Statistical significance was considered when the p value was <0.05.

**Results**

Out of the 14 patients who were diagnosed to have unilateral 360° ARG after the blunt injury, 6 patients fulfilled inclusion criteria and were included for the analysis. All the patients had no history of blunt trauma in the fellow eye. Out of the eight eyes who were excluded, one eye had Ahmed glaucoma valve surgery for traumatic glaucoma, two eyes had subluxated lens, one patient had unilateral pseudophakia (operated for traumatic cataract), and four eyes had prior vitreoretinal surgery (two for traumatic vitreous hemorrhage and two for the retinal detachment).

Out of the six patients, two patients (cases 3 and 6) had forgotten about the ocular injury and gave distant history of eye trauma. They recalled that they had sought ophthalmic consultation at the time of the injury but could not produce the reports of prior examination. Table 1 summarizes the clinical characteristic of the six patients with unilateral 360° ARG.

There was no significant difference in the mean age, gender, laterality of the eyes, and CCT between the ARG eyes and the normal controls (Table 2). Mean duration from the time to injury to the initial presentation, when the diagnosis was made, was 130.6 ± 210.8 days (range, 1 month–43 years). Mean IOP at presentation, visual field mean deviation (MD), and visual field pattern standard deviation (PSD) were higher in the ARG eyes, when compared with the fellow eye and age-matched control. All the ARG eyes showed 360° dense grades III to IV homogeneous pigmentation in the angle and early to moderate glaucoma, at the time of diagnosis (Table 2). There was no significant difference in the IOP, CCT, MD, and PSD (all p < 0.05) between the age-matched controls and the fellow eyes of ARG patients.

The mean SC-CSA (1,710 ± 376.1 μm² vs 6,100 ± 2,700 μm², p < 0.0001), mean meridional diameter (243.6 ± 55.47 μm vs 474 ± 125.6 μm, p < 0.0001), and mean coronal diameter (32.68 ± 6.27 μm vs 57.42 ± 16.27 μm, p < 0.0001) of the SC were smaller in the ARG eyes when compared with the normal eyes (Table 3). Figure 2 shows the cross-sectional image of the SC in an eye with ARG, where a marked narrowing of the SC is clearly visible, along with the cleft in the anterior surface of the ciliary muscle (recessed angle) and posterior displacement of the iris root.

The SC dimensions were reduced in the untraumatized fellow eyes of ARG patients, when compared with the normal eyes (SC-CSA: 2350 ± 602.1 μm², p = 0.001; meridional diameter: 341.8 ± 88.8 μm, p = 0.012; and coronal diameter: 31.67 ± 3.8 μm, p < 0.0001). There was no significant difference in the SC dimensions between the ARG and the normal fellow eyes (Table 3).

**Discussion**

In our study, we found that the six eyes with 360° angle recession had reduced SC dimensions, compared with the age-matched normal controls. Interestingly, the fellow eye SC dimensions were also reduced when compared with the normal healthy subjects, and there was no significant difference in the measured SC parameters between the ARG eyes and the normal fellow eyes. Previous studies with the AS-OCT have demonstrated a reduced SC area and diameter in the primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) eyes, when compared with the normal controls.13,14 Hong et al.14 and a study by our group15 have demonstrated that there is no significant difference between the temporal and nasal section parameters as measured with AS-OCT.
Blanton postulated that the two groups of patients who get ARG are an early group who have a less degree of angle cleavage and a late group which has extensive degree of angle cleavage. Our results also confirm this finding of two time peaks after the trauma, for the onset of ARG. Two of the cases in our study were diagnosed to have raised IOP 1 month after the trauma, another patient at 4 years after the trauma, one patient recalled the history to be 10 years back, and another one at around 43 years back (Table 1).

In a study of 40 eyes with traumatic glaucoma after closed globe injury, Sihota et al. found that the factors which were predictors of glaucoma were increased baseline IOP, hyphema, increased TM pigmentation, angle recession of >180°, and lens displacement. The increased IOP at the baseline in these eyes could be due to decreased aqueous outflow because of inflammation, extensive pigment deposition, and damage of TM. Another proposed mechanism for the raised IOP in ARG eyes is the loss of ciliary muscle tension on the scleral spur resulting in SC narrowing, as the angle recession can disrupt the ciliary muscle tension on the TM and SC, which can compromise aqueous outflow. Histopathological studies have reported atrophy, degeneration, fibrosis, and scarring of the TM and SC. Wolff and Zimmerman suggested that the initial trauma to the TM stimulated proliferation and degenerative changes in the TM and obliteration of the inter trabecular spaces and SC, and inner circular muscles atrophy of the ciliary body can also occur. This can cause reduction in aqueous outflow facility, and IOP can increase with the time as with the advancing age, the outflow facility

Table 1: Clinical characteristics of the patients with unilateral 360° angle recession glaucoma and fellow normal eye

| S No. | Age/ gender | Eye affected | Cause of blunt trauma | Time interval between trauma and clinical presentation | Visual field mean deviation (dB) | Snellen's best-corrected distance visual acuity | Intraocular pressure at presentation (mm Hg) | Central corneal thickness (μm) |
|-------|-------------|--------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1     | 27/male     | RE           | Tennis ball          | 1 month                                              | −4.72/−0.8               | 20/20 (+0.5 D)                                | 34                              | 522/519                         |
| 2     | 29/female   | RE           | Fist injury         | 2 years                                              | −6.86/−2.35              | 20/20 (−3.75 D)                               | 26                              | 530/524                         |
| 3     | 35/male     | RE           | Cricket ball        | 20 years                                             | −7.49/−2.66              | 20/20 (−1.75 D)                               | 30                              | 545/543                         |
| 4     | 25/male     | LE           | Cricket ball        | 2 months                                             | −1.64/−6.14              | 20/20 (plano)                                 | 12                              | 555/549                         |
| 5     | 22/male     | LE           | Fist injury         | 1 month                                              | −1.24/−5.12              | 20/20 (plano)                                 | 12                              | 528/532                         |
| 6     | 55/male     | RE           | Cricket ball        | 43 years                                             | −7.56/−2.08              | 20/20 (plano)                                 | 22                              | 524/520                         |

RE, right eye; LE, left eye

Table 2: Clinical data of the study participants

| Variables                     | Normal subjects (n = 34) | ARG patients (n = 6) | p value |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Age (years)                   | 28.5 ± 4.24              | 29 ± 4.86            | 0.83    |
| Gender (male:female)          | 22:12                    | 4:2                  | 0.76    |
| Right eye/left eye            | 17:17                    | 3:3                  | 0.45    |
| Intraocular pressure (mm Hg)  | 13.17 ± 2.04             | 34 ± 10.81           | <0.0001 |
| Central corneal thickness (μm)| 540 ± 30.18              | 538 ± 32.32          | 0.88    |
| Mean deviation (dB)           | −1.79 ± 0.71             | −6.31 ± 1.2          | <0.0001 |
| Pattern standard deviation (dB)| 1.28 ± 0.24             | 6.93 ± 2.3           | 0.002   |
| Duration of injury at the time of presentation (days)| –                     | 130.58 ± 210.8       |         |

Blanton postulated that the two groups of patients who get ARG are an early group who have a less degree of angle cleavage and a late group which has extensive degree of angle cleavage. Our results also confirm this finding of two time peaks after the trauma,
decreases. Electron microscopy has shown the hyaline membrane over the inner TM and an endothelial layer, which is structurally similar to the normal corneal endothelium. A study reported that the 50% of ARG eyes will develop OAG in the contralateral fellow eye, over a mean of 7.7 years of follow-up. In their study, the “normal” fellow eye of patients with unilateral ARG had early glaucoma, ocular hypertension, or “high normal” IOP. It is reported that the normal fellow eyes of unilateral ARG patients are likely to have an increased IOP and a positive response to corticosteroid provocative test. This supports the hypothesis that the angle recession per se does not cause increase in the IOP in ARG eyes, but the TM injury and subsequent scarring secondary to the blunt ocular trauma, along with a predisposition for the development of primary OAG, causes ARG, with the passage of time. It was thought that the ARG eyes could have a genetic predisposition to a gradual, chronic decrease in the trabecular function in both the eyes. We speculate that the ARG patients have an underlying structural abnormality of SC in both the eyes, and the ocular blunt trauma initiated a cascade of events leading to glaucoma in the ARG eyes. Due to the extensive injury in the eyes with 360° angle recession, loss of ciliary muscle support to the scleral spur, dense TM pigmentation, and TM injury, all could have contributed to the increase in IOP in the ARG eyes. Kagemann et al. have reported that the elevated IOP causes SC compression, which could explain the trend of lower SC-CSA and SC diameters in the ARG eyes, compared with the normal fellow eyes, though the difference between the two groups did not reach statistical significance. Whether the fellow eyes will develop IOP rise in the future needs to be seen. It would also be interesting to see the changes in the SC morphology in the eyes with angle recession without increased IOP.

Limitations of the study were small sample size of ARG patients. Second, two SC scans in two quadrants may not be representative of overall SC measurements. However, it was technically not possible to obtain scans over 360° for the SC. Despite the limitations, the observed results are of interest and were consistently observed in all the patients. Careful monitoring of IOP, gonioscopy, and optic disk evaluation is recommended for all the patients after ocular blunt trauma. Clinicians must educate the patients and make them understand the lifetime risk of developing glaucoma. Also, a regular, annual follow-up examination is recommended for an indefinite follow-up period to detect late onset of glaucoma.

Table 3: Anterior segment optical coherence tomography measured Schlemm’s canal parameters in normal eyes, eyes with angle recession glaucoma and the normal fellow eyes

| Parameters                  | Normal eyes (n = 34) | Angle recession glaucoma (n = 6) | Fellow eyes of angle recession patients (n = 6) | p value<sup>a</sup> | p value<sup>b</sup> | p value<sup>c</sup> |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Area (μm<sup>2</sup>)       | 6100 ± 2700          | 1710 ± 376.1                    | 2350 ± 602.1                                  | <0.0001             | 0.60                | 0.001               |
| Meridional diameter (μm)   | 474.8 ± 125.6        | 243.6 ± 55.47                   | 341.8 ± 88.85                                 | <0.0001             | 0.11                | 0.01                |
| Coronal diameter (μm)      | 57.42 ± 16.27        | 32.68 ± 6.27                    | 31.67 ± 3.80                                  | <0.0001             | 0.89                | <0.0001             |

<sup>a</sup>p value between the normal and angle recession eyes  
<sup>b</sup>p value between the angle recession and fellow eyes  
<sup>c</sup>p value between the normal and fellow eyes

Fig. 2: Cross-sectional image of the recessed angle in the temporal quadrant, showing narrowed Schlemm’s canal and posterior displacement of iris root in the right eye of a patient with angle recession glaucoma
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