only recently has the concept of designing the environment to promote public safety and health been put into practice. We have known for decades that injury is the leading cause of death among children over one year of age in industrialized countries. Rather than trying to retrofit environments to mitigate unsafe conditions, urban planners have begun taking into consideration the requirements for well-being across the human lifespan and designing built surroundings that meet those needs. Given the high incidence of childhood injuries, it is crucial that this new proactive style of planning aim to minimize physical risk to children. At the same time, it should maximize opportunities for physical activity.

In 2002, 371,000 boys and 289,000 girls died of injury worldwide. Over 180,000 of these children were killed by roadway traffic, most as pedestrians. Drowning, fires and falls accounted for the greater proportion of the remaining deaths. Most of these children died while failing to negotiate an entirely manmade environment.

Risk of injury is related to levels of physical activity and health. Although the rate of injury by roadway traffic has been falling, the decrease is mainly because children walk much less than they used to. A sedentary childhood, adolescence and adulthood contribute to most of the chronic diseases that make up the leading causes of morbidity and mortality beyond middle age. If people lived more vigorously in past times, it was not because they were intrinsically different. Rather, the environment in which they lived either promoted sustained physical activity or required it. That quality has been unintentionally engineered out of the Western lifestyle for the sake of comfort and convenience.

Perhaps it would be more comfortable and convenient, however, not to face long commutes, unwalkable neighbourhoods and limited opportunities for physical activity in our everyday lives. The characteristics of the built environment we share have had a pervasively negative impact on the health of people of all ages. Improving the safety of our built environment is important not only because injury is the leading cause of death among children, but also because an unsafe environment is a major barrier to the levels of physical activity required for lifelong health.

Prevention of injury has traditionally been based on a theoretical framework known as the Haddon matrix (Table 1). Using this approach, an event resulting in injury is broken down into contributing factors and phases, which are then considered separately. Countermeasures are conceived, tested and implemented for each of 3 domains, which are classified as the host (i.e., the injured person), the agent (i.e., the source of mechanical energy) and the environment, and across 3 time periods, which are pre-event, the event and post-event. This approach has been very successful in certain categories of injury, most notably the improvement of protection for occupants of motor vehicles in collisions.

Under a more recent approach used in the field of public health, the rates of mortality and disability for different diseases and injuries are assessed along with the risk factors that contribute to them. The Global Burden of Disease study reported by the World Health Organization is the best example of this method. The study identified all of the health-related effects of known risk factors for disease (e.g., the respiratory, circulatory and cancer-related effects of tobacco
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International comparisons

Wide variations exist internationally both in the level of attention given by individual countries to the built environment and in socio-economic gradient (i.e., the relation between socio-economic status and incidence of disease and injury). As a result, large contrasts exist even among affluent nations in childhood mortality due to injury. The striking degree of such contrasts is shown in Table 2.

| Time period | Host (child pedestrian) | Agent (motor vehicle, driver) | Environment (road) |
|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Pre-event   | Education in pedestrian safety | Driver training | Crossing controls |
|             |                          | Impaired driving laws        | Speed humps        |
|             |                          |                               | Traffic-calming measures |
|             |                          |                               | Physical barriers   |
| Event       | Supervision              | Speed control                | Pedestrian-friendly vehicular design |
| Post-event  | Access to trauma care    | Access to rehabilitation      | Emergency medical service |
|             |                          |                               | System for care of trauma victims |

Socio-economic status and safety

In Canada, as in all countries, a strong correlation exists between socio-economic status and the risk of injury-related death among children. Children in low socio-economic levels are more than twice as likely to die of injury than children in high levels. This difference is particularly striking for deaths that are due to fires, drowning, falls and pedestrian injuries — all of which are strongly influenced by the built environment.

Socio-economic gradients in the risk of injury could be due to environmental factors, knowledge- and behaviour-related factors, or both. Where childhood injury is concerned, the differences in the environment seem to be more important for traffic injuries and for falls or other injuries in the home setting. Lower use of bicycle helmets seen in areas of lower socio-economic status suggests that behavioural differences exist across different socio-economic levels and may play a role in some types of injuries. Certain programs (e.g., walking bus programs that allow children to walk to and from school) and compared these to the health-related effects of other common risk factors (e.g., hypertension).

This “common risk factors” approach represents a newer way of thinking about complex health-related issues such as injury. The built environment is a common risk factor that affects many health-related outcomes, including risk of injury, levels of activity, lifelong cardiovascular health, levels of pollution and many aspects of quality of life. These domains should therefore be considered and studied together where possible.

When assessing the effects on health of the built environment, an approach based on common risk factors is attractive for 2 reasons (Figure 1). First, we have only one built environment for all ages and all types of injury, so modifications to that environment should be evaluated more broadly than against a single type of injury in a single age group. Second, making changes to the built environment may have multiple and opposite effects on public health and other domains. For example, walking more may be good for one’s cardiovascular health, but it may also be bad for one’s risk of injury. As such, it may be either good or bad for the overall quality of life of the general population. An analysis of the potential effects of making changes to the built environment, therefore, should take into account all demographic groups of the population and other important outcomes.

Table 1: The Haddon matrix, which has been the predominant conceptual framework for prevention of injury since the 1960s. Its reductionist and analytical approach holds that small reductions in risk or severity of injury at 3 different times and across 3 different domains can work synergistically to achieve substantial overall reductions. An analysis of injury of a child pedestrian is used here as an example.
school in chaperoned groups)\textsuperscript{20} may be more sustainable in affluent areas. Any attempt to modify the built environment to reduce injury, therefore, must take into consideration the question of whether targeting economically deprived areas is likely to increase the effectiveness of the intervention. In many cases, the answer is likely to be yes.

Traffic

Child pedestrians are vulnerable users of the road — unprotected from the kinetic energy of speeding automobiles and incapable of making mature judgements to minimize their risk of harm from traffic. Fortunately, there is substantial evidence that modifying the built environment can increase the safety of child pedestrians.

What is more, safer walking generally means more walking. An added benefit of making traffic safer for children is that it increases the likelihood that children will walk. Among Canadian children, 50% never walk to school compared with 17% who do so most of the time. Three quarters have never ridden a bicycle to school. Parents report that traffic-related dangers and distance are the principal reasons that their children do not walk or cycle to school.\textsuperscript{21} Similar reports from parents in the United States\textsuperscript{22} and Australia\textsuperscript{23} suggest that taking action to make pedestrian commutes to school safer and shorter may be an important intervention for public health. (Children themselves reported that they disliked walking to school uphill — a much more difficult environmental factor to modify!)

Trends over the past 50 years in the United States show a decline in physical activity during transport, work, housework and overall. Eighty-six percent of utilitarian trips are now made by automobile and 8.6% by walking.\textsuperscript{24} Because nearly all children are pedestrians and none are drivers, a child’s journey to school is the natural place to start reversing these overwhelming trends away from active transportation. Encouraging children to walk to school means ensuring that when communities are built, they are designed appropriately to allow short, safe walks from home to school and other destinations.\textsuperscript{25}

Two systematic reviews have supported the use of modifications to the environment to reduce pedestrian injuries.\textsuperscript{26,27} The first reviewed literature on traffic engineering and defined 3 types of interventions involving changes to the built environment. These interventions were speed control, separation in time (e.g., exclusive traffic-light phasing) or in space (e.g., fencing), and the enhancement of pedestrian visibility. The best-quality evidence came from before–after studies using control groups (equivalent to level 3 clinical evidence), although some studies had weaker designs. The review concluded that pedestrian injuries can be reduced by 50%–75% in specific locations and 25% area-wide by implementing environmental interventions alone or in combination.\textsuperscript{26}

The second review assessed methodologic quality and included 16 controlled before–after studies. It reported evidence for a 37% reduction in fatal outcomes and an 11% reduction in severe outcomes using area-wide traffic calming.\textsuperscript{27} Both of these reviews considered adult and child pedestrians together, and both were limited by their basis on controlled before–after studies at best, with no randomized trials. A case–control study that was specific to children drew attention to traffic volume, curb parking and speed over 40 km/h as important environmental risk factors for injury among child pedestrians.\textsuperscript{28} A similar study estimated that speed humps reduced the risk of injury to child pedestrians by 53% in California.\textsuperscript{29}

Because local traffic environments differ in their specific characteristics, no overall solution exists for preventing injury
among child pedestrians. However, we have consistent empiric evidence that modifying the built environment reduces pedestrian injury. By contrast, merely educating children on proper ways to cross the road has been shown in a systematic review to change only the behaviour of children but not rates of injury. Randomized trials of educational interventions showed that children who were given such training were 1.6 to 2.2 times more likely to exhibit safe behaviour (i.e., stopping, looking) while crossing the street. No randomized trial involving pedestrian education has reported injury-specific outcomes, however, and no such trial has been done in the setting of a developing country, where the burden, risk and rate of increase of pedestrian deaths among children are much greater.

Most parents would be more likely to let their children walk if other children were walking. This finding is consistent with empiric evidence that cyclists and pedestrians are safer if present in greater numbers. A “safe routes to school” program in California documented an increase in walking among schoolchildren after implementation of the program. Area-wide traffic-calming initiatives in the United Kingdom were shown to lead to a reduction in absolute risk of injury to child pedestrians. This reduction was nearly 50% in economically deprived areas of the city, where these initiatives were shown to decrease the socio-economic gradient in risk of injury when traffic-calming measures were concentrated there. Traffic-calming measures in Glasgow resulted in an increase in physical activity and measurable improvements in physical health because of increased walking or playing outside.

Falls

Few fatalities occur from injuries in school playgrounds, but this setting is the source of a substantial burden of morbidity from falls. Falls from climbing equipment are 5 times more likely to result in severe fractures (i.e., requiring manipulative or operative reduction) than falls from a standing height during play. The main risk factors for playground-related injury are falls from heights over 1.50 m and inadequate falling surfaces. Canadian standards for playground equipment are aimed at limiting potential falling heights and ensuring adequate energy-absorbing surfacing is provided beneath play structures. Evidence from a pre–post study using a control group (equivalent to level 3 evidence) showed that playgrounds that did not comply with standards set by the Canadian Standards Association had about twice the rate of injury of playgrounds that were in compliance. Furthermore, the removal of play equipment that did not comply with the standards and its replacement with equipment that was in compliance prevented 50% of expected injuries. At present, the standards set by the Canadian Standards Association are voluntary and lack regulatory authority for most public playgrounds in Canada.

Playgrounds offer developmentally appropriate physical recreation for children in a controlled environment. This environment can be designed to maximize enjoyment and activity while minimizing danger. Providing safe spaces to play increases physical activity among children living in deprived neighbourhoods.

Schools represent a built environment that can be purposefully modified to minimize injury and maximize activity. Observational studies show that opportunities for physical play in the built environment of schools are the most important determinant of the level of physical activity undertaken by both boys and girls. Such studies also show that safe playgrounds (i.e., as defined by physical audit) are possible in areas of low and very low socio-economic levels. In Japan, having more areas for play that are safe led to fewer pedestrian deaths from 1970 to 1985.

Falls from windows are a risk specific to toddlers. Children with a median age of 2 years have an incidence of up to 15 falls resulting in hospitalization per 100 000 per year. Programs to promote safety have often targeted highrise buildings, where laws requiring window-guards have resulted in a documented and sustained decrease in fatalities. Whereas the majority of fatal injuries from falls occur in the setting of highrise buildings, 98% of hospital admissions for falls from windows involve toddlers in 2- and 3-storey buildings.

Both playground-related falls and falls from buildings, which together account for much of the morbidity and mortality of childhood injury, are amenable to countermeasures in the built environment. By enhancing physical activity, playground modifications in particular can promote childhood health in addition to preventing injury.

### Table 2: Rates of death from injury, shown overall and by type of injury, among children aged 1–14 in selected countries (for which cause-specific data were available). Data are from the 2001 Unicef Innocenti Report on Child Injury Deaths in Rich Countries and include deaths occurring between 1991 and 1995.

| Country | Deaths of children from injury (per 100 000/yr) | Transport | Drowning | Fire | Falls | Deaths of children from injury (per yr) | Lives saved (per yr) if all countries matched lowest rates |
|---------|---------------------------------------------|-----------|----------|------|-------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| Sweden  | 5.20                                         | –         | –        | –    | –     | 78                                     | –                                                       |
| UK      | 6.06                                         | 2.91      | 0.39     | 0.66 | 0.26  | 637                                    | 91                                                     |
| Canada  | 9.68                                         | 4.33      | 1.26     | 1.01 | 0.20  | 533                                    | 247                                                    |
| USA     | 14.06                                        | 5.76      | 1.74     | 1.65 | 0.23  | 7453                                   | 4711                                                   |
| Korea   | 25.57                                        | 12.59     | 5.10     | 0.91 | 1.18  | 2525                                   | 2000                                                   |

Table 2: Rates of death from injury, shown overall and by type of injury, among children aged 1–14 in selected countries (for which cause-specific data were available). Data are from the 2001 Unicef Innocenti Report on Child Injury Deaths in Rich Countries and include deaths occurring between 1991 and 1995.
Drowning

The incidence of drowning peaks dramatically among children aged 4 and under. The majority of these drownings occur in the home (involving infants) or in swimming pools (involving toddlers). Another peak in incidence occurs among adolescent boys and involves natural (as opposed to manmade) bodies of water.\(^{44}\) Given that far fewer drownings occur in public pools with lifeguards than in domestic swimming pools, modifications aimed at promoting public infrastructure may also reduce the risk of drowning among children.\(^{44}\)

Childhood drownings in domestic swimming pools are increasing in Canada along with the popularity of inexpensive, above-ground pools. A systematic review of case–control studies concluded that pool fencing would prevent 73% of childhood drownings in an unfenced pool. Complete enclosure of the pool on all sides by a fence would prevent an additional 83% of drownings compared with incomplete fencing (i.e., with a house opening into the pool enclosure).\(^{45}\) Limitations of this review include the fact that the data are drawn from case–control rather than randomized designs. With rare exceptions, however, such studies likely represent the best information available. Studies using a case–control design may overestimate the benefit of having a fenced pool if the fence is a marker for other safety-related behaviours that are not measured and that cannot be legislated. Modifications to building codes and municipal bylaws across Canada mandate all-sided fencing around swimming pools could potentially save the lives of many children who drown in Canada each year.

Conclusion

In 1900, only 13% of the global population lived in cities. In 2005, 80% of Canadians lived in cities.\(^{46}\) Big cities are a recent innovation not yet perfected. By giving priority to automotive over pedestrian transportation, we have allowed road traffic to become the leading cause of death among our children. North American children are increasingly sedentary. Cross-sectional analyses of national data for the United States show that urban sprawl is associated with higher rates of both traffic-related injury\(^ {47}\) and obesity\(^ {48}\) among adolescents.

Modifying our built surroundings makes walking safer and encourages children to walk more. It prevents childhood deaths from falls and drowning and reduces injuries. It allows children more physical play that is also safer. As we move from a reductionist approach in the prevention of childhood injury (i.e., 1 risk factor at a time) to an approach that addresses common risk factors and considers underlying causes (i.e., the built environment, poverty), we uncover important gaps in our knowledge. We need direct research that will establish the extent of the association between our built environment and both positive and negative health-related outcomes.

Our built environment influences our children’s levels of activity, their physical health and their risk for injury. It influences levels of pollution and our very quality of life. The dual benefits of reducing injury and increasing physical activity by modifying the built environment must be considered and studied together to ensure both are achieved. Intelligent planning, particularly with consideration for urban design and traffic engineering to emphasize safe walking and cycling, has enormous potential to improve the health and safety of children now and across the lifespan.

Safe Kids Canada (www.safekidscanada.ca) has prepared a legislation advocacy kit to make it simple for health care practitioners or others to implement appropriate changes in their own communities. Following the Safe Kids campaign, several municipalities have already passed bylaws based on this draft legislation. Any concerned practitioner can bring this package to the attention of local municipal authorities to effect change in his or her community.\(^ {49}\)
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