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TORIC PLURIPOTENTIAL THEORY

DAN COMAN, VINCENT GUEDJ, SIBEL SAHIN, AHMED ZERIAHI

A tribute to Professor Józef SICIAK

ABSTRACT. We study finite energy classes of quasipositive subharmonic (qpsh) functions in the setting of toric compact Kähler manifolds. We characterize toric qpsh functions and give necessary and sufficient conditions for them to have finite (weighted) energy, both in terms of the associated convex function in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and through the integrability properties of its Legendre transform. We characterize Log-Lipschitz convex functions on the Delzant polytope, showing that they correspond to toric qpsh functions which satisfy a certain exponential integrability condition. In the particular case of dimension one, those Log-Lipschitz convex functions of the polytope correspond to Hölder continuous toric quasisubharmonic functions.
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**INTRODUCTION**

A toric compact Kähler manifold \((X,\omega,T)\) is an equivariant compactification of the torus \(T = (\mathbb{C}^\ast)^n\) equipped with a \((S^1)^n\)-invariant Kähler metric \(\omega\). Then \(\omega\) can be written as

\[
\omega = d\text{d}F_0 \circ L \text{ in } (\mathbb{C}^\ast)^n,
\]

where \(F_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}\) is a smooth strictly convex function and

\[(1) \quad L : (\mathbb{C}^\ast)^n \to \mathbb{R}^n, \quad L(z_1,\ldots,z_n) = (\log |z_1|,\ldots,\log |z_n|).\]

The celebrated Atiyah-Guillemin-Sternberg theorem asserts that the moment map \(\nabla F_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n\) sends \(\mathbb{R}^n\) onto the interior of a compact convex polytope

\[P = \{\ell_i(s) \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq d\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n,\]

where \(d \geq n + 1\) is the number of \((n - 1)\)-dimensional faces of \(P\) and

\[\ell_i(s) = \langle s,u_i \rangle - \lambda_i,\]

with \(\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}\) and \(u_i\) a primitive element of \(\mathbb{Z}^n\).

Delzant observed in [Del88] that in this case \(P\) is “Delzant”, i.e. there are exactly \(n\) faces of dimension \((n - 1)\) meeting at each vertex, and the corresponding \(u_i\)’s form a \(\mathbb{Z}\)-basis of \(\mathbb{Z}^n\). He conversely showed that there is exactly one (up to symplectomorphism) toric compact Kähler manifold \((X_P,\{\omega_P\},T)\) associated to a Delzant polytope \(P \subset \mathbb{R}^n\). Here \(\{\omega_P\}\) denotes the cohomology class of the \(T\)-invariant Kähler form \(\omega_P\).

Let

\[G_0(s) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (\langle x,s \rangle - F_0(x))\]

denote the Legendre transform of \(F_0\). One has that \(G_0 = +\infty\) in \(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus P\) and, for \(s \in \text{int } P = \nabla F_0(\mathbb{R}^n),\)

\[G_0(s) = \langle x,s \rangle - F_0(x) \iff s \in \nabla F_0(x) \iff x \in \nabla G_0(s).\]

Guillemin observed in [Gui94] that a “natural” representative of the cohomology class \(\{\omega_P\}\) is given by

\[G(s) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \ell_i(s) \log \ell_i(s).\]

We refer the reader to [CDG02] for a neat proof of this beautiful formula of Guillemin. Observe that \(G\) is only Log-Lipschitz regular on \(P\), although the original Kähler potential is smooth.

The purpose of this note is to undertake a systematic study of toric pluripotential analysis. There are three ways to understand a toric quasi-plurisubharmonic (qpsf) function and its Monge-Ampère measure:

- by working directly on \(X\) and imposing toric symmetries,
- by looking at the corresponding object (convex function, real Monge-Ampère measure) in \(\mathbb{R}^n\) after a logarithmic transformation, and understanding the asymptotic properties at infinity,
- by understanding the behavior near the boundary of the polytope of the Legendre transform of the corresponding convex function.
We refer to Section 3 for the definition of toric $\omega$-plurisubharmonic ($\omega$-psh) functions on $X$ and the corresponding energy classes. If $\varphi$ is $\omega$-psh, we denote by $F_\varphi$ the corresponding convex function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and by $G_\varphi$ its Legendre transform (see Sections 2 and 3).

Our main results are as follows. We first describe the class of toric $\omega$-psh functions (see Proposition 3.2):

**Proposition A.** Let $F_P(x) = \max_{s \in P} \langle x, s \rangle$ denote the support function of the polytope $P$. The following are equivalent:

(i) $\varphi \in \text{PSH}_{\text{tor}}(X, \omega)$;
(ii) $F_\varphi \leq F_P + C$ for some constant $C$;
(iii) $G_\varphi = +\infty$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus P$;
(iv) $\nabla F_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset P$.

We then characterize finite energy toric $\omega$-psh functions and their weighted versions, showing in particular the following (see Theorem 3.6):

**Theorem B.** Let $\varphi \in \text{PSH}_{\text{tor}}(X, \omega)$. The following are equivalent:

(i) $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{tor}}(X, \omega)$;
(ii) $G_\varphi$ is finite on $\text{int} P$;
(iii) $F_\varphi$ has full Monge-Ampère mass;
(iv) the Lelong numbers $\nu(\varphi, p) = 0$ for all $p \in X$.

In Theorem 4.4 we study more regular toric $\omega$-psh functions, characterizing the maximal Log-Lipschitz regularity of Legendrian potentials:

**Theorem C.** Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{tor}}(X, \omega)$. The following properties are equivalent:

(i) There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\exp(-\varepsilon \text{PSH}_{\text{tor}}(X, \omega)) \subset L^1(\text{MA}(\varphi))$;
(ii) The function $G_\varphi$ is Log-Lipschitz on $P$.

It is tempting to think that these conditions are all equivalent to the fact that $\varphi$ is Hölder continuous. This is easily seen to be the case when $n = 1$. We refer the interested reader to [DDGHK14] for more information, geometric motivations, and related questions connecting the Hölder continuity of Monge-Ampère potentials to the integrability properties of the associated complex Monge-Ampère measure.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we recall some basic facts about $\omega$-psh functions on any compact Kähler manifold $(X, \omega)$, together with the definition and main properties of various energy classes following [GZ07]. Section 2 deals with the relevant properties of convex functions and their Legendre transforms. In Section 3 we study energy classes of toric $\omega$-psh functions on a toric compact Kähler manifold $(X, \omega)$, and in Section 4 we conclude by looking at questions about the higher regularity of such functions.

**Acknowledgement.** This article has been written during the postdoctoral research period of the third named author at l’Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse. She is grateful to her co-authors for their endless support and hospitality during the stay.
1. Finite energy classes

In this section we let \((X, \omega)\) be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension \(n\), and we recall the definition of finite energy classes of quasipsh functions following [GZ07].

1.1. Bedford-Taylor theory. A function on \(X\) is \(\omega\)-plurisubharmonic \((\omega\text{-psh})\) if it is \(\omega\)-psh and if the current \(\omega + dd^c \varphi\) is positive on \(X\).

**Definition 1.1.** A function \(\varphi : X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}\) is \(\omega\)-plurisubharmonic \((\omega\text{-psh})\) if it is \(\omega\)-psh and if the current \(\omega + dd^c \varphi\) is positive on \(X\).

Let \(\text{PSH}(X, \omega)\) denote the set of all \(\omega\)-psh functions on \(X\). This is a closed subset of \(L^1(X, \omega^n)\).

Bedford and Taylor showed in [BT82] that one can define the complex Monge-Ampère operator

\[
MA(\varphi) := \omega^n = (\omega + dd^c \varphi) \wedge \ldots \wedge (\omega + dd^c \varphi)
\]

for all bounded \(\omega\)-psh functions. They showed that whenever \((\varphi_j)\) is a sequence of bounded \(\omega\)-psh functions decreasing locally to \(\varphi\), the sequence of measures \(MA(\varphi_j)\) converges weakly towards the measure \(MA(\varphi)\). Note also that

\[
\int_X MA(\varphi) = \int_X \omega^n =: V_\omega.
\]

At the heart of Bedford-Taylor’s theory lies the following maximum principle: if \(u, v\) are bounded \(\omega\)-psh functions, then

\[
(MP) \quad 1_{\{v < u\}} MA(\max(u, v)) = 1_{\{v < u\}} MA(u).
\]

The maximum principle \((MP)\) implies the so called comparison principle: if \(u, v\) are bounded \(\omega\)-psh functions then

\[
\int_{\{v < u\}} MA(u) \leq \int_{\{v < u\}} MA(v).
\]

1.2. The class \(\mathcal{E}(X, \omega)\). If \(\varphi \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)\), we let

\[
\varphi_j := \max(\varphi, -j) \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X).
\]

It follows from the Bedford-Taylor theory that the measures \(MA(\varphi_j)\) are well defined measures of total mass \(V_\omega\). The following monotonicity property holds:

\[
\mu_j := 1_{\{\varphi > -j\}} MA(\varphi_j)\text{ is an increasing sequence of Borel measures.}
\]

The proof is an elementary consequence of \((MP)\) (see [GZ07, p.445]). Since \(\mu_j\) have total mass bounded above by \(V_\omega\), we can define

\[
\mu_\varphi := \lim_{j \to +\infty} \mu_j,
\]

which is a positive Borel measure on \(X\) of total mass \(\leq V_\omega\).

**Definition 1.2.** We let

\[
\mathcal{E}(X, \omega) := \{\varphi \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) : \mu_\varphi(X) = V_\omega\}.
\]

For \(\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(X, \omega)\), we set \(MA(\varphi) := \mu_\varphi\).
The definition is justified by the following important fact proved in [GZ07]: the complex Monge-Ampère operator $\varphi \mapsto MA(\varphi)$ is well defined on the class $\mathcal{E}(X,\omega)$, in the sense that if $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(X,\omega)$ then for every decreasing sequence of bounded $\omega$-psh functions $\varphi_j \searrow \varphi$, the measures $MA(\varphi_j)$ converge weakly on $X$ towards $\mu_\varphi$.

Every bounded $\omega$-psh function clearly belongs to $\mathcal{E}(X,\omega)$. The class $\mathcal{E}(X,\omega)$ also contains many $\omega$-psh functions which are unbounded. When $X$ is a compact Riemann surface, $\mathcal{E}(X,\omega)$ is the set of $\omega$-sh functions whose Laplacian does not charge polar sets.

**Remark 1.3.** If $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(X,\omega)$ is normalized so that $\varphi \leq -1$, then $-(-\varphi)^\varepsilon$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}(X,\omega)$ whenever $0 \leq \varepsilon < 1$ (see e.g. [CGZ08]). The functions which belong to the class $\mathcal{E}(X,\omega)$, although usually unbounded, have relatively mild singularities. In particular they have zero Lelong number at every point.

It is shown in [GZ07] that the maximum principle ($MP$) and the comparison principle continue to hold in the class $\mathcal{E}(X,\omega)$. The latter can be characterized as the largest class for which the complex Monge-Ampère operator is well defined and the maximum principle holds.

### 1.3. Weighted energy classes.

Let $\mathcal{W}$ denote the set of all functions $\chi : \mathbb{R}^{-} \to \mathbb{R}^{-}$ such that $\chi$ is increasing and $\chi(-\infty) = -\infty$.

**Definition 1.4.** We let $\mathcal{E}_\chi(X,\omega)$ be the set of $\omega$-psh functions with finite $\chi$-energy,

$$\mathcal{E}_\chi(X,\omega) := \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{E}(X,\omega) : \chi(-|\varphi|) \in L^1(X,MA(\varphi)) \}.$$ 

When $\chi(t) = -(-t)^p$, $p > 0$, we set $\mathcal{E}^p(X,\omega) = \mathcal{E}_\chi(X,\omega)$.

We list here a few important properties of these classes and refer the reader to [GZ07, BEGZ10] for the proofs:

- $\mathcal{E}(X,\omega) = \bigcup_{\chi \in \mathcal{W}} \mathcal{E}_\chi(X,\omega)$;
- $\text{PSH}(X,\omega) \cap L^\infty(X) = \bigcap_{\chi \in \mathcal{W}} \mathcal{E}_\chi(X,\omega)$;
- the classes $\mathcal{E}^p(X,\omega)$ are convex;
- $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^p(X,\omega)$ if and only if for any (resp. for one) sequence of bounded $\omega$-psh functions $\varphi_j \searrow \varphi$, $\sup_j \int_X |\varphi_j|^p MA(\varphi_j) < +\infty$.
- if $\varphi_j$ is a sequence of $\omega$-psh functions decreasing to $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^p(X,\omega)$, then the measures $|\varphi_j|^p MA(\varphi_j)$ converge weakly to $|\varphi|^p MA(\varphi)$.

### 2. Facts on convex functions

We collect here a few properties of convex functions which will be used later. Some of these are well known and proofs are included for the convenience of the reader (see also [BeBe13, Section 2]).

#### 2.1. Subgradients and Monge-Ampère measures.

Let $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. The subgradient of $F$ at $x$ is the set

$$\nabla F(x) = \{ s \in \mathbb{R}^n : F(y) \geq F(x) + \langle y - x, s \rangle, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n \}.$$ 

We let

$$\nabla F(\mathbb{R}^n) := \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \nabla F(x).$$
The Legendre transform $G$ of $F$ is the lower semicontinuous convex function defined by

$$G : \mathbb{R}^n \to (-\infty, +\infty], \quad G(s) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (\langle x, s \rangle - F(x)).$$

Then $F$ is the Legendre transform of $G$,

$$F(x) = \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}^n} (\langle x, s \rangle - G(s)),$$

and one has

$$G(s) = \langle x, s \rangle - F(x) \iff s \in \nabla F(x) \iff x \in \nabla G(s).$$

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function.

(i) If $F$ is smooth and strictly convex then $\nabla F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is injective, and hence an open map.

(ii) If $s_0 \in \nabla F(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then $G(s_0) < +\infty$. Conversely, if $G(s) < +\infty$ for all $s$ in an open ball $B(s_0, r)$ then $s_0 \in \nabla F(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(iii) Let $F_j : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, $j \geq 1$, be convex functions. Then $F_j \searrow F$ pointwise on $\mathbb{R}^n$ if and only if the Legendre transforms $G_j \nearrow G$ pointwise on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

**Proof.** (i) If $p \neq q$ and $f(t) := F((1-t)p + tq)$ then $f''(t) > 0$, so $f'(0) = \langle \nabla F(p), q - p \rangle < f'(1) = \langle \nabla F(q), q - p \rangle$. Hence $\nabla F(p) \neq \nabla F(q)$.

(ii) By the definition of the subgradient, if $s_0 \in \nabla F(x)$ then $\langle y, s_0 \rangle - F(y) \leq \langle x, s_0 \rangle - F(x)$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, so $G(s_0) = \langle x, s_0 \rangle - F(x) < +\infty$. Conversely, by shrinking $r$ we may assume that $G < M$ on $B(s_0, r)$ for some constant $M$, hence $\langle x, s \rangle - F(x) \leq M$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $s \in B(s_0, r)$. Let $\tilde{F}(x) = F(x) - \langle x, s_0 \rangle + M$. It follows that $\tilde{F}(x) \geq \langle x, s - s_0 \rangle$ for all $s \in B(s_0, r)$, hence $\tilde{F}(x) \geq r \|x\|$. Therefore $\tilde{F}$ assumes a global minimum, i.e. there exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\tilde{F}(x) \geq \tilde{F}(x_0)$. Thus $0 \in \nabla \tilde{F}(x_0) = \nabla F(x_0) - s_0$. (Note that if $F(x) = e^x$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then $G(0) = 0$ but $0 \notin F'(\mathbb{R})$, so the hypothesis that $G(s) < +\infty$ in a neighborhood of $s_0$ is needed.)

(iii) Assume that $F_j \searrow F$. Then $G_j \nearrow G$, where $G$ is lower semicontinuous, convex and $G \leq G$. If $\tilde{F}$ is the Legendre transform of $G$ we have that $F_j \geq \tilde{F} \geq F$. We conclude that $\tilde{F} = F$ and so $G = G$. The converse follows by a similar argument. \qed

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. If $\chi$ is a continuous function with compact support on $\mathbb{R}^n$ then

$$\int_{(\mathcal{C}^*)^n} (\chi \circ L)(dd^c F \circ L)^n = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi MA_{\mathbb{R}}(F),$$

where $L$ is defined in (1), $d = \partial + \overline{\partial}$, $d^c = \frac{1}{2\pi i} (\partial - \overline{\partial})$, and $MA_{\mathbb{R}}(F)$ is the real Monge-Ampère measure of $F$.

**Proof.** Approximating $F$ by a decreasing sequence of smooth convex functions it suffices to assume that $F$ is smooth. Recall that in this case $MA_{\mathbb{R}}(F)$ is the measure defined by

$$MA_{\mathbb{R}}(F) = n! \det \left[ \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right] dV,$$
where $V$ denotes the Lebesgue measure on the corresponding Euclidean space. Note that the function $F \circ L$ is psh on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ and
\[ \frac{\partial^2(F \circ L)}{\partial z_i \partial \overline{z}_j} = \frac{1}{4z_i \overline{z}_j} \left( \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \circ L \right), \]

hence
\[ \det \left[ \frac{\partial^2(F \circ L)}{\partial z_i \partial \overline{z}_j} \right] = \frac{1}{4^n \prod |z_j|^2} \left( \det \left[ \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right] \circ L \right). \]

It follows that
\[ (dd^c F \circ L)^n = \left( \frac{i}{\pi} \right)^n (\partial \overline{\partial} F \circ L)^n \]
\[ = n! \left( \frac{i}{\pi} \right)^n \det \left[ \frac{\partial^2(F \circ L)}{\partial z_i \partial \overline{z}_j} \right] d\zeta_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge d\zeta_n \]
\[ = n! \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \right)^n \det \left[ \frac{\partial^2(F \circ L)}{\partial z_i \partial \overline{z}_j} \right] dV(z) \]
\[ = n! \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \right)^n \frac{1}{4^n \prod |z_j|^2} \left( \det \left[ \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \circ L \right] dV(z) \right) \]
\[ = \frac{n!}{(2\pi)^n} \det \left[ \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \left( \log r_1, \ldots, \log r_n \right) \frac{dr_1 \ldots dr_n}{r_1 \ldots r_n} d\theta_1 \ldots d\theta_n, \right. \]

where we used polar coordinates $z_j = r_j e^{i\theta_j}$. Changing variables $x_j := \log r_j$ we obtain
\[ \int_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^n} \left( \chi \circ L \right) (dd^c F \circ L)^n = \]
\[ = n! \int_{(0,\infty)^n} \left( \chi \det \left[ \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right] \right) \left( \log r_1, \ldots, \log r_n \right) \frac{dr_1 \ldots dr_n}{r_1 \ldots r_n} \]
\[ = n! \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi(x) \det \left[ \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x) \right] dV(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi \cdot MA_{\mathbb{R}}(F). \]

For a non-smooth convex function $F$ the positive measure $MA_{\mathbb{R}}(F)$ is the real Monge-Ampère measure of $F$ (in the sense of Alexandrov [Gut01]). \qed

The following lemma is proved using an idea of Al Taylor [T82].

**Lemma 2.3.** If $F_1, F_2 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ are convex functions such that $F_2(x) \to +\infty$ as $\|x\| \to +\infty$ and $F_1(x) \leq F_2(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} MA_{\mathbb{R}}(F_1) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} MA_{\mathbb{R}}(F_2). \]

**Proof.** Fix a compact $K \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$, a number $\varepsilon > 0$, and consider the psh function on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$,
\[ u := \max\{F_1 \circ L, (1 + \varepsilon)F_2 \circ L - C\}, \]

where the constant $C > 0$ is chosen such that $u = F_1 \circ L$ in a neighborhood of $K$. Since $F_2(x) \to +\infty$ as $\|x\| \to +\infty$ it follows that $F_1 \leq F_2 \leq (1+\varepsilon)F_2 - C$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K$ for some compact $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $L^{-1}(K) \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ is compact and $u = (1 + \varepsilon)F_2 \circ L - C$ on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n \setminus L^{-1}(K)$. We infer that
\[ \int_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^n} (dd^c F_2 \circ L)^n = \int_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^n} (dd^c u)^n \geq \int_{K} (dd^c F_1 \circ L)^n. \]
The lemma follows by using Lemma 2.2 and by letting $K \not\ni (C^*)^n$ and $\varepsilon < 0$.

\section{Growth properties.}

Let $P$ be a (compact) convex body in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Its support function, which is also known as the indicator function, is the convex function

\[ F_P(x) := \max_{s \in P} \langle x, s \rangle. \]

Its Legendre transform is the convex function

\[ G_P(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in P, \\ +\infty, & \text{if } x \not\in P. \end{cases} \]

If $P_\theta = \theta + P$ is the image of $P$ under the translation by $\theta$, and $P_\lambda = \lambda P$ is the image of $P$ under the dilation by $\lambda > 0$, then

\[ F_{P_\theta}(x) = F_P(x) + \langle \theta, x \rangle, \quad G_{P_\theta}(s) = G_P(s - \theta), \]

\[ F_{P_\lambda}(x) = F_P(\lambda x) = \lambda F_P(x), \quad G_{P_\lambda}(s) = G_P(\frac{s}{\lambda}). \]

\begin{lemma} \label{lem2.4}
Let $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function with Legendre transform $G$. The following are equivalent:

(i) $F \leq F_P + C$ for some constant $C$;

(ii) $G = +\infty$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus P$;

(iii) $\nabla F(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset P$.

\end{lemma}

\begin{proof}
To show that (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii), if $F \leq F_P + C$ then $G \geq G_P - C$, so $G = G_P = +\infty$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus P$. For (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii), if $s \in \nabla F(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then $G(s) < +\infty$, hence $s \in P$ by (ii).

To prove that (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i), let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and note that if $s \in \nabla F(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then $s \in P$, so $\langle s, x \rangle \leq F_P(x)$. Since $F$ is locally Lipschitz along the line $t \in \mathbb{R} \to tx$ we have

\[ F(x) - F(0) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} F(tx) \, dt = \int_0^1 \langle \nabla F(tx), x \rangle \, dt \leq \int_0^1 F_P(x) \, dt = F_P(x). \]

\end{proof}

\begin{lemma} \label{lem2.5}
Let $F_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth strictly convex function such that $F_P - C \leq F_0 \leq F_P + C$ for some constant $C$. Then $\nabla F_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \text{int } P$ is bijective and $\nabla G_0 : \text{int } P \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is its inverse, where $G_0$ is the Legendre transform of $F_0$. Moreover, if $\chi$ is a continuous function with compact support on $\mathbb{R}^n$ then

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi \, M_{\mathbb{R}}(F_0) = n! \int_{\text{int } P} \chi \circ \nabla G_0 \, dV, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M_{\mathbb{R}}(F_0) = n! \cdot \text{vol}(P). \]

\end{lemma}

\begin{proof}
By Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.1 (i), $\nabla F_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to P$ is injective. As $F_P - C \leq F_0$ we have that $G_0 \leq G_P + C$, so $G_0 \leq C$ on $P$. Thus $\text{int } P \subset \nabla F_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by Lemma 2.1 (ii), and hence $\nabla F_0(\mathbb{R}^n) = \text{int } P$ since $\nabla F_0$ is open. If $x, x' \in \nabla G_0(s)$ then $s = \nabla F_0(x) = \nabla F_0(x')$, so $x = x'$. Hence $G_0$ is differentiable on $\text{int } P$ and $\nabla G_0 = (\nabla F_0)^{-1}$. The remaining assertions of the lemma follow by the change of variables $x = \nabla G_0(s)$, $s = \nabla F_0(x)$, so

\[ dV(s) = \det \left[ \frac{\partial^2 F_0}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right] dV(x) = \frac{1}{n!} \, M_{\mathbb{R}}(F_0)(x). \]

\end{proof}
Lemma 2.6. If \( 0 \in \text{int} \, P \) then there exist constants \( a, b > 0 \) such that
\[
b \|x\| \leq F_P(x) \leq a \|x\|, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.
\]
Proof. If \( a, b > 0 \) are such that the closed balls \( \overline{B}(0, b) \subset P \subset \overline{B}(0, a) \), then
\[
b \|x\| = F_{\overline{B}(0,b)} \leq F_P(x) \leq F_{\overline{B}(0,a)} = a \|x\|.
\]
\[\square\]

Lemma 2.7. Assume that \( 0 \in \text{int} \, P \) and let \( F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) be a convex function with Legendre transform \( G \), such that \( F \leq F_P + C \) for some constant \( C \). The following are equivalent:
(i) \( G(s) < +\infty \) for all \( s \in \text{int} \, P \);
(ii) for every \( \varepsilon \in (0, 1) \) there is \( M_\varepsilon > 0 \) s.t. \( F \geq (1 - \varepsilon) F_P - M_\varepsilon \) on \( \mathbb{R}^n \).
Moreover, these conditions imply that \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M_{\varepsilon}(F) = n! \text{vol}(P) \).

Proof. Note that
\[
F_{(1-\varepsilon)P}(x) = \sup_{s \in P} \langle x, (1 - \varepsilon)s \rangle = (1 - \varepsilon)F_P(x).
\]
Assume that \( G(s) < +\infty \) for all \( s \in \text{int} \, P \). Since \( 0 \in \text{int} \, P \), \( (1 - \varepsilon)P \subset \text{int} \, P \) for \( \varepsilon \in (0, 1) \), so there exists \( M_\varepsilon > 0 \) such that \( G \leq M_\varepsilon \) on \( (1 - \varepsilon)P \).
It follows that
\[
F(x) \geq \sup_{s \in (1 - \varepsilon)P} \langle x, s \rangle - G(s) = F_{(1-\varepsilon)P}(x) - M_\varepsilon = (1 - \varepsilon)F_P(x) - M_\varepsilon.
\]
Conversely, if \( F \geq (1 - \varepsilon)F_P - M_\varepsilon \), then \( G \leq G_{(1 - \varepsilon)P} + M_\varepsilon \) for \( s \in (1 - \varepsilon)P \). As \( \varepsilon \searrow 0 \) this implies that \( G(s) < +\infty \) for all \( s \in \text{int} \, P \).
By Lemma 2.6 we have that \( F_P(x) \to +\infty \) as \( \|x\| \to +\infty \). Since \( F \leq F_P + C \), Lemmas 2.3 and 2.5 imply that
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M_{\varepsilon}(F) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M_{\varepsilon}(F_P) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M_{\varepsilon}(F_0) = n! \text{vol}(P),
\]
where \( F_0 \) is a function as in Lemma 2.5. Note that by (ii), \( F(x) \to +\infty \) as \( \|x\| \to +\infty \), hence Lemma 2.3 again shows that
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M_{\varepsilon}(F) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M_{\varepsilon}(F_P), \forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1).
\]
Letting \( \varepsilon \to 0 \) finishes the proof. \[\square\]

We conclude this section with the following lemma:

Lemma 2.8. Let \( P \) be a compact convex body in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) with nonempty interior and \( G : P \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\} \) be a lower semicontinuous convex function. Then
\[
\left| \inf_P G \right| \leq \frac{1}{(2^{1/(n+1)} - 1)} \text{vol}(P) \int_P |G| \, dV.
\]

Proof. If \( G \geq 0 \) on \( P \) then \( \int_P G \, dV \geq \inf_P G \cdot \text{vol}(P) \) and we are done. Otherwise, consider the convex set \( S = \{ G < 0 \} \subset P \). It suffices to show that if \( p \in \text{int} \, S \) then
\[
-G(p) \leq \frac{1}{(2^{1/(n+1)} - 1)} \text{vol}(P) \int_P |G| \, dV.
\]
We assume without loss of generality that \( p = 0 \) and use spherical coordinates. For \( \theta \in S^{n-1} \) let \( 0 < a(\theta) \leq b(\theta) \) be defined by \( a(\theta) \theta \in \partial S \), \( b(\theta) \theta \in \partial P \). If \( \sigma \) is the area measure on \( S^{n-1} \) we have that
\[
\text{vol}(P) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{b(\theta)^n}{n} \, d\sigma(\theta).
\]
By convexity it follows that
\[
G(t\theta) \leq \frac{-G(0)}{a(\theta)} (t - a(\theta)) \leq 0, \quad \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq a(\theta),
\]
\[
G(t\theta) \geq \frac{-G(0)}{a(\theta)} (t - a(\theta)) \geq 0, \quad \text{if } a(\theta) < t \leq b(\theta).
\]
Thus
\[
\int_P |G| \, dV \geq \int_{S^{n-1}} \left[ \int_0^{a(\theta)} \frac{-G(0)}{a(\theta)} (a(\theta) - t) t^{n-1} \, dt \, d\sigma(\theta) + \right.
\]
\[
\int_{S^{n-1}} \left[ \int_{a(\theta)}^{b(\theta)} \frac{-G(0)}{a(\theta)} (t - a(\theta)) t^{n-1} \, dt \, d\sigma(\theta) \right.
\]
\[
= \frac{-G(0)}{n(n+1)} \int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \frac{nb(\theta)^{n+1}}{a(\theta)} - (n+1)b(\theta)^n + 2a(\theta)^n \right) \, d\sigma(\theta).
\]
Note that
\[
f(a) := \frac{nb^{n+1}}{a} - (n+1)b^n + 2a^n \geq f(b2^{-\frac{1}{n+1}}) = (n+1)\left(2^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - 1\right)b^n,
\]
for \( 0 < a \leq b \). Therefore
\[
\int_P |G| \, dV \geq \frac{-G(0)}{n} \left(2^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - 1\right) \int_{S^{n-1}} b(\theta)^n \, d\sigma(\theta)
\]
\[
= -G(0)\left(2^{1/(n+1)} - 1\right) \text{vol}(P),
\]
and we are done. \( \square \)

3. Toric energy classes

Let \((X, \omega)\) be a toric compact Kähler manifold of dimension \( n \). Then \( X \) is a compactification of the complex torus \((\mathbb{C}^*)^n\) such that the canonical action by multiplication of \((\mathbb{C}^*)^n\) on itself extends to a holomorphic action of \((\mathbb{C}^*)^n\) on \( X \). Moreover, there exists a smooth strictly convex function \( F_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) such that \( \omega \mid_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^n} = dd^c F_0 \circ L \), where \( L \) is defined in (1). If \( P \) is the compact convex polytope determined by \( X \) then \( \nabla F_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \text{int} \, P \) is bijective and we may assume that \( 0 \in \text{int} \, P \). Let \( G_0 \) denote the Legendre transform of \( F_0 \).

3.1. Toric qPsh functions. A toric \( \omega \)-psh function on \( X \) is an \( \omega \)-psh function \( \varphi \) that is invariant under the \((S^1)^n\) action induced by the \((\mathbb{C}^*)^n\) action on \( X \). We denote by \( PSH_{tor}(X, \omega) \) the class of such functions. It follows that there exists a convex function \( F_\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) such that
\[
F_\varphi \circ L = F_0 \circ L + \varphi \quad \text{on } (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \subset X.
\]
We denote by \( G_\varphi \) the Legendre transform on \( F_\varphi \). Note that \( F_\varphi \) is continuous on \( \mathbb{R}^n \), hence \( \varphi \) is continuous on \((\mathbb{C}^*)^n\).
We define the energy classes of toric \( \omega \)-psh functions by
\[
\mathcal{E}_{\text{tor}}(X, \omega) = \text{PSH}_{\text{tor}}(X, \omega) \cap \mathcal{E}(X, \omega),
\]
\[
\mathcal{E}_{\text{tor}}^p(X, \omega) = \text{PSH}_{\text{tor}}(X, \omega) \cap \mathcal{E}^p(X, \omega),
\]
\[
\mathcal{E}_{\chi, \text{tor}}(X, \omega) = \text{PSH}_{\text{tor}}(X, \omega) \cap \mathcal{E}_\chi(X, \omega),
\]
where \( p > 0 \) and \( \chi \in W \) (see sections 1.2, 1.3).

We begin with the following simple lemma:

**Lemma 3.1.** There exists a constant \( C > 0 \) such that
\[
-C \leq F_0(x) - F_P(x) \leq C, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.
\]

*Proof.* Since \( \nabla F_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset P \) we have by Lemma 2.4 that \( F_0 \leq F_P + C_1 \) for some constant \( C_1 \). Let \( \omega' \in \{ \omega \} \) be a Kähler form with associated convex function \( F \) such that its Legendre transform \( G \) is given by Guillemin’s formula. Then \( F \circ L = F_0 \circ L + \theta \) for some smooth \( \omega \)-psh function \( \theta \). Hence \( F \leq F_0 + C_2 \) and \( G \geq G_0 - C_2 \), for some constant \( C_2 \). Since \( G \) is bounded above on \( P \) it follows that \( G_0 \leq G_P + C_3 \), and so \( F_0 \geq F_P - C_3 \), for some constant \( C_3 \). \( \square \)

Our next result gives a characterization of toric \( \omega \)-psh functions:

**Proposition 3.2.** The following are equivalent:

(i) \( \varphi \in \text{PSH}_{\text{tor}}(X, \omega) \);

(ii) \( F_\varphi \leq F_P + C \) for some constant \( C \);

(iii) \( G_\varphi = +\infty \) on \( \mathbb{R}^n \setminus P \);

(iv) \( \nabla F_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset P \).

*Proof.* If \( \varphi \in \text{PSH}_{\text{tor}}(X, \omega) \) then \( \varphi \) is bounded above on \( X \), hence \( F_\varphi \leq F_0 + C' \) for some constant \( C' \), and (ii) follows by Lemma 3.1.

Conversely, if (ii) holds then by Lemma 3.1, \( F_\varphi \leq F_0 + C' \) for some constant \( C' \), hence \( \varphi \leq C' \) on \( (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \subset X \). Since \( X \setminus (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \) is an analytic set invariant under the \((S^1)^n\) action, we conclude that \( \varphi \) extends to an \( \omega \)-psh function on \( X \) which is \((S^1)^n\) invariant.

The remaining equivalences (ii) \( \iff \) (iii) \( \iff \) (iv) follow from Lemma 2.4. \( \square \)

**Proposition 3.3.** If \( \varphi \in \text{PSH}_{\text{tor}}(X, \omega) \) then
\[
\sup_X \varphi \leq C_P + \frac{1}{(2^{1/(n+1)} - 1) \text{vol}(P)} \int_P |G_\varphi| dV,
\]
where \( C_P = \sup_p G_0 = \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} (F_P - F_0) \).

*Proof.* Note that, for a constant \( C \), one has \( F_\varphi - F_0 \leq C \) on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) if and only if \( G_0 - G_\varphi \leq C \) on \( P \). It follows that
\[
\sup_X \varphi = \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} (F_\varphi - F_0) = \sup_P (G_0 - G_\varphi) \leq C_P - \inf_P G_\varphi,
\]
and the proposition follows from Lemma 2.8. \( \square \)

**Example 3.4.** Let \( X = \mathbb{F}_1 \) be the blow up of \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) at a toric point \( p \). It is a geometrically ruled surface. We let \( F \) denote a generic fiber, \( E \) be the exceptional divisor, and \( H = E + F \) the total transform of a line through \( p \). The cohomology classes of \( F \) and \( H \) are both semi-positive and generate
Let $H^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{R})$. Any Kähler class $\{\omega\}$ is cohomologous to $aH+bF$, with $a, b > 0$. In coordinates $z \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ it can be represented by
\[
\omega = a\omega_1 + b\omega_2, \quad \text{where } \omega_1 = \frac{1}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log(1 + \|z\|^2), \quad \omega_2 = \partial \bar{\partial} \log \|z\|.
\]
The convex function associated to $\omega$ is
\[
F_0(x) = \frac{a}{2} \log (1 + e^{2x_1} + e^{2x_2}) + \frac{b}{2} \log \left(1 + e^{2x_1} + e^{2x_2} \right),
\]
and $P = \nabla F_0(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is the polytope
\[
P = \{ s_1 \geq 0, s_2 \geq 0, b \leq s_1 + s_2 \leq a + b \}.
\]
Thus $d = 4$, $\ell_1(s) = s_1$, $\ell_2(s) = s_2$, $\ell_3(s) = a + b - s_1 - s_2$, and $\ell_4(s) = s_1 + s_2 - b$. For $s \in P$, the Legendre transform of $F_0$ is given by
\[
G_0(s) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left( s_i \log s_i + s_2 \log s_2 + (a + b - s_1 - s_2) \log(a + b - s_1 - s_2) + (s_1 + s_2 - b) \log(s_1 + s_2 - b) - (s_1 + s_2) \log(s_1 + s_2) - a \log a \right).
\]

### 3.2. The class $E_{tor}(X,\omega)$

**Definition 3.5.** Let $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function such that $F \leq F_P + C$. We say that $F$ has full Monge-Ampère mass if
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} MA_R(F) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} MA_R(F_0) = n! \text{vol}(P).
\]
Recall that a toric point of $X$ is a point fixed by the action of the complex torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ on $X$.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let $\varphi \in PSH_{tor}(X, \omega)$. The following are equivalent:

(i) $\varphi \in E_{tor}(X, \omega)$;

(ii) $G_\varphi$ is finite on int $P$;

(iii) $F_\varphi$ has full Monge-Ampère mass;

(iv) for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set $K_\varepsilon \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ such that
\[
\varphi(z) \geq -\varepsilon \max \{|\log |z_1||, \ldots, |\log |z_n||\} \text{ on } (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \setminus K_\varepsilon.
\]

(v) the Lelong numbers $\nu(\varphi, p) = 0$ for all $p \in X$.

(vi) the Lelong numbers $\nu(\varphi, p) = 0$ at all toric points $p \in X$.

**Proof.** To prove that (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii), if $\varphi \in E_{tor}(X, \omega)$ then $\varphi \in E_{\chi,tor}(X, \omega)$ for some function $\chi \in W$. By Proposition 3.9 following this proof, $G_\varphi \in L_\chi(P)$, so $G_\varphi < +\infty$ a.e. on $P$. Since $G_\varphi$ is convex, this implies that $G_\varphi(s) < +\infty$ for all $s \in \text{int } P$. The implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) follows from Lemma 2.7.

We next prove that (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Consider the measure $\langle \omega_\varphi^n \rangle$ defined as the non-pluripolar product of the positive closed currents $\omega_\varphi := \omega + \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi$ [BEGZ10, Definition 1.1]. As $\varphi$ is locally bounded on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$, the Bedford-Taylor product $\omega_\varphi^n = \omega_\varphi \land \ldots \land \omega_\varphi$ is well-defined on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ [BT76, BT82]. Since $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n = X \setminus A$, where $A$ is an analytic subset of $X$, it follows from [BEGZ10, p. 204, Proposition 1.6] that $\langle \omega_\varphi^n \rangle$ is the trivial extension of $\omega_\varphi^n$ to $X$. Then
\[
\int_X \langle \omega_\varphi^n \rangle = \int_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^n} \omega_\varphi^n = \int_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^n} (\partial \bar{\partial} F_\varphi \circ L)^n
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} MA_R(F_\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} MA_R(F_0) = \int_X \omega^n.
\]
Therefore $\langle \omega^n \rangle$ has full mass, so $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{tor}(X, \omega)$ and $MA(\varphi) = \langle \omega^n \rangle$ [BEGZ10, Sect. 2].

To show that $(ii) \Rightarrow (iv)$, let $\varepsilon > 0$. Using Lemmas 2.7 and 3.1 we get

$$\varphi = (F_\varphi - F_0) \circ L \geq -\varepsilon F_\varphi \circ L - C - M_\varepsilon,$$

with some constants $C, M_\varepsilon > 0$. By Lemma 2.6 there exists a constant $a > 0$ such that $F_\varphi(x) \leq a \max\{|x_1|, \ldots, |x_n|\}$. These imply that

$$\varphi(z) \geq -2a \varepsilon \max\{|\log |z_1||, \ldots, |\log |z_n||\}$$

for $z \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \setminus K_\varepsilon$, where $K_\varepsilon = \{\varepsilon F_\varphi \circ L \leq C + M_\varepsilon\}$.

Conversely, to prove that $(iv) \Rightarrow (ii)$, we let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and by applying Lemma 2.7 we need to show that there exists $M_\varepsilon > 0$ such that $F_\varphi \geq (1 - \varepsilon) F_\varphi - M_\varepsilon$. By Lemma 2.6 we have $F_\varphi(x) \geq b \max\{|x_1|, \ldots, |x_n|\}$ for some constant $b > 0$. Using $(iv)$ and Lemma 3.1 we obtain that

$$F_\varphi(L(z)) = F_0(L(z)) + \varphi(z) \geq F_\varphi(L(z)) - C - b \varepsilon \max\{|\log |z_1||, \ldots, |\log |z_n||\} \geq (1 - \varepsilon) F_\varphi(L(z)) - C,$$

for $z \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \setminus K_\varepsilon$, where $K_\varepsilon \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ is a compact set. Since $F_0, F_\varphi$ are continuous this implies that $F_\varphi(L(z)) \geq (1 - \varepsilon) F_\varphi(L(z)) - M_\varepsilon$ on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$, for some constant $M_\varepsilon > C$.

Recall that functions in the class $\mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$ have zero Lelong number at each point. To complete the proof we assume that $\varphi \in PSH_{tor}(X, \omega)$ has zero Lelong number at all the toric points of $X$ and show that $(iv)$ holds.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $p_1, \ldots, p_N$ be the toric points of $X$. We denote as before by $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ the coordinates on the complex torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n \subset X$. For $1 \leq j \leq N$, there exists an open set $U_j \subset X$ and a biholomorphic map $\Phi_j : V_j \to \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\Phi_j(p_j) = 0$, $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n \subset V_j$, $\Phi_j((\mathbb{C}^*)^n) = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$, and $X = V_1 \cup \ldots \cup V_N$ (see e.g. [ALZ16, Proposition 4.4] and its proof). Moreover, if $\Phi_j(z) = \zeta = (\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n)$ then

$$(\log |\zeta_1|, \ldots, \log |\zeta_n|) = A_j(\log |z_1|, \ldots, \log |z_n|), \quad \text{where } A_j \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z}).$$

We denote by $\|A_j\|_\infty$ the operator norm of $A_j$ with respect to the sup norm (i.e. $\|A_j x\|_\infty \leq \|A_j\|_\infty \|x\|_\infty$) and let $\gamma := \max\{\|A_1\|_\infty, \ldots, \|A_N\|_\infty\}$. Since $X$ is compact we can find $R > 0$ such that $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^N \Phi_j^{-1}(\Delta^n(0, R))$, where $\Delta^n(0, R) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is the open polydisc of radius $R$ centered at 0.

We have that $(\Phi_j^{-1})^*(\omega |_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^n}) = dd^c F_{\Phi_j}^0 \circ L$, where $L(\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n) = (\log |\zeta_1|, \ldots, \log |\zeta_n|)$ and $F_{\Phi_j}^0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth strictly convex function such that $F_{\Phi_j}^0 \circ L$ extends to a smooth psh function on $\mathbb{C}^n$. It follows that $\nabla F_{\Phi_j}^0(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset (0, +\infty)^n$. Moreover, there exists a convex function $F_{\Phi_j}^1 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\nabla F_{\Phi_j}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset [0, +\infty)^n$ and $F_{\Phi_j}^1 \circ L = F_{\Phi_j}^0 \circ L + \varphi \circ \Phi_j^{-1}$ on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. The function $F_{\Phi_j}^1 \circ L$ extends to a psh function on $\mathbb{C}^n$ and has Lelong number $\nu(F_{\Phi_j}^1 \circ L, 0) = 0$, since Lelong numbers are invariant under biholomorphic maps. Hence there exists $r_j = r_j(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that $F_{\Phi_j}^1(\log r, \ldots, \log r) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \log r$ for $0 < r < r_j$. Since $F_{\Phi_j}^1$ is increasing in each variables this implies

$$F_{\Phi_j}^1(\log |\zeta_1|, \ldots, \log |\zeta_n|) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \min\{\log |\zeta_1|, \ldots, \log |\zeta_n|\},$$
if \( \min\{|\zeta_1|, \ldots, |\zeta_n|\} < r_j \). So there exists a constant \( M_\varepsilon > 0 \) such that
\[
\varphi \circ \Phi_j^{-1} (\zeta) = F_\varphi \circ L(\zeta) - F_0 \circ L(\zeta) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \min\{|\zeta_1|, \ldots, |\zeta_n|\} - M_\varepsilon,
\]
for \( \zeta \in \Delta^n(0, R) \) with \( \min\{|\zeta_1|, \ldots, |\zeta_n|\} < r_j \). By shrinking \( r_j \) we obtain
\[
\varphi \circ \Phi_j^{-1} (\zeta) \geq \varepsilon \min\{|\zeta_1|, \ldots, |\zeta_n|\} - \varepsilon \max\{|\zeta_1|, \ldots, |\zeta_n|\},
\]
for \( \zeta \in \Delta^n(0, R) \) with \( \min\{|\zeta_1|, \ldots, |\zeta_n|\} < r_j \). It follows that
\[
\varphi(z) \geq -\gamma \varepsilon \max\{|z_1|, \ldots, |z_n|\},
\]
if \( z \in U_j := (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \cap \Phi_j^{-1}(\Delta^n(0, R) \cap \{ \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n : \min\{|\zeta_1|, \ldots, |\zeta_n|\} < r_j \}) \). We note that \( U_\varepsilon := \bigcup_{j=1}^N U_j \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \) is open and \( K_\varepsilon := (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \setminus U_\varepsilon \) is compact. Moreover the above lower estimate on \( \varphi \) holds on \( (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \setminus K_\varepsilon \). This concludes the proof.

**Corollary 3.7.** If \( \varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{tor}(X, \omega) \) and \( \chi \) is a nonnegative continuous function on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) then
\[
\int_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^n} (\chi \circ L) (d^f F_\varphi \circ L)^n = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi MA_R(F_\varphi) = n! \int_{\interior P} \chi(\nabla G_\varphi(s)) dV(s).
\]

**Proof.** The first equality follows from Lemma 2.2. The second one follows from [BeBe13, Lemma 2.7], since \( F_\varphi \) has full Monge-Ampère mass by Theorem 3.6. \qed

**Examples 3.8.** If \( X = \mathbb{P}^n \) is the complex projective space and \( \omega \) is the Fubini-Study Kähler form, then \( F_0(x) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^n e^{2x_i}\right) \) and \( P = \nabla F_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \) is the simplex
\[
P = \left\{ s_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq n, \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \leq 1 \right\}.
\]
Thus \( d = n + 1, \ell_i(s) = s_i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), and \( \ell_{n+1}(s) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \). The Legendre transform of \( F_0 \) is
\[
G_0(s) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \log s_i + \left( 1 - \sum_{j=1}^n s_j \right) \log \left( 1 - \sum_{j=1}^n s_j \right) \right].
\]
This coincides with the function given by Guillemin’s formula.

1) Let \( [z] = [z_0 : z_1 : \ldots : z_n] \) denote the homogeneous coordinates on \( \mathbb{P}^n \). The function
\[
\varphi_1[z] = \log |z_1| - \log \|z\|
\]
is \( \omega \)-psh and toric. It does not belong to the class \( \mathcal{E}_{tor}(X, \omega) \) since it has positive Lelong numbers along the toric hyperplane \( (z_1 = 0) \). The associated convex function \( F_1 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) is given by \( F_1(x) = x_1 \) and its Legendre transform is
\[
G_1(s) = 0 \text{ if } s = (1, 0, \ldots, 0),
\]
and \( G_1(s) = +\infty \) otherwise.

2) The function
\[
\varphi_2[z] = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \log |z_i| - \log \|z\|
\]
is \(\omega\)-psh and toric. It does not belong to the class \(\mathcal{E}_{\text{tor}}(X, \omega)\) since it has one positive Lelong number at the point \([1 : 0 : \cdots : 0]\). The corresponding convex function is \(F_\varphi(x) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i\) and its Legendre transform is

\[
G_\varphi(s) = 0 \text{ if } s \in \left\{ s_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq n, \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i = 1 \right\},
\]

and \(G_\varphi(s) = +\infty\) otherwise.

3.3. The classes \(\mathcal{E}_{\chi,\text{tor}}(X, \omega)\). If \(\chi \in \mathcal{W}\) we define \(L_\chi(P)\) to be the set of lower semicontinuous functions \(G : P \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}\) such that

\[
\int_{P} -\chi \left( \min_{\tilde{P}} G - G(s) \right) dV(s) < +\infty.
\]

**Proposition 3.9.** Let \(\varphi \in PSH_{\text{tor}}(X, \omega)\). If \(\chi \in \mathcal{W}\) and \(\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{\chi,\text{tor}}(X, \omega)\) then \(G_\varphi \in L_\chi(P)\). Conversely, if \(p \geq 1\) and \(G_\varphi \in L^p(P)\) then \(\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{\chi,\text{tor}}^p(X, \omega)\).

**Proof.** For the first claim, let \(\varphi \in PSH_{\text{tor}}(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)\) be such that \(F_\varphi\) is smooth and strictly convex, and \(F_\varphi \leq F_P \leq F_0\). We prove the following a priori estimate:

\[
\int_{\text{int} P} -\chi(-G_\varphi(s)) dV(s) \leq \frac{1}{n!} \int_X -\chi(\varphi) MA(\varphi).
\]

Note that \(\varphi \leq 0\) on \(X\) and \(G_\varphi \geq G_P = 0\) on \(P\). Moreover, by Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 2.5, \(\nabla F_\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \text{int} P\) is bijective. Since \(F_0 \geq F_P\) and \(F_\varphi(x) = (x, s) - G_\varphi(s)\) for \(x = \nabla G_\varphi(s)\), we obtain

\[
(F_0 - F_\varphi) \circ \nabla G_\varphi(s) \geq (F_P - F_\varphi) \circ \nabla G_\varphi(s)
\]

\[
= F_P(\nabla G_\varphi(s)) - \langle \nabla G_\varphi(s), s \rangle + G_\varphi(s) \geq G_\varphi(s) \geq 0,
\]

where \(s \in \text{int} P\) and the last estimate follows from the definition of \(F_P\).

Applying Lemmas 2.5 and 2.2 we get

\[
\int_{\text{int} P} -\chi(-G_\varphi(s)) dV(s) \leq \int_{\text{int} P} -\chi((F_\varphi - F_0) \circ \nabla G_\varphi(s)) dV(s)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} -\chi(F_\varphi - F_0) MA_{\mathbb{R}}(F_\varphi)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{n!} \int_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^n} -\chi(\varphi) MA(\varphi).
\]

Let now \(\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{\chi,\text{tor}}(X, \omega)\) be such that \(F_\varphi \leq F_P - 1\). There exists a sequence \(\varphi_j \in PSH_{\text{tor}}(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)\) such that \(\varphi_j \searrow \varphi\), the associated functions \(F_{\varphi_j}\) are smooth and strictly convex, and \(F_{\varphi_j} \leq F_P\). Then

\[
\int_X -\chi(\varphi_j) MA(\varphi_j) \to \int_X -\chi(\varphi) MA(\varphi)
\]

as \(j \to +\infty\). Since \(G_{\varphi_j} \nearrow G_\varphi\) it follows by the a priori estimate applied to \(\varphi_j\) and the monotone convergence theorem that

\[
\int_P -\chi(-G_\varphi(s)) dV(s) \leq \frac{1}{n!} \int_X -\chi(\varphi) MA(\varphi) < +\infty,
\]

so \(G_\varphi \in L_\chi(P)\). This concludes the proof of the first claim.
Conversely, let \( p \geq 1 \) and consider the space of Kähler potentials \( \mathcal{H} = \{ \varphi \in C^\infty(X) : \omega + dd^c\varphi > 0 \} \) endowed with the metric

\[
d_p(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \inf \int_0^1 \left( \int_X |\dot{\varphi}_t|^p MA(\varphi_t) \right)^{1/p} dt, \quad \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{H},
\]

where the infimum is taken over all smooth paths \( t \in [0, 1] \to \varphi_t \in \mathcal{H} \) joining \( \varphi_1 \) to \( \varphi_2 \). It is shown in [Dar15, Theorem 3] that if \( \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{H} \) then

\[
\int_X |\varphi_1 - \varphi_2|^p MA(\varphi_1) \leq C_p d_p(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)^p,
\]

for some constant \( C_p > 1 \) depending on \( p \). On the other hand if \( \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{H} \cap PSH_{tor}(X, \omega) \) are determined by the convex functions \( F_1, F_2 \) with Legendre transforms \( G_1, G_2 \), then, by [G14, Proposition 4.3],

\[
d_p(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)^p = \int_P |G_1 - G_2|^p dV.
\]

If \( \varphi \in \mathcal{H} \cap PSH_{tor}(X, \omega) \) we apply (2) and (3) with \( \varphi_1 = \varphi \) and \( \varphi_2 = 0 \), and obtain that

\[
\int_X |\varphi|^p MA(\varphi) \leq C_p \int_P |G_{\varphi} - G_0|^p dV \leq 2^{p-1} C_p \left( \|G_{\varphi}\|_{L^p(P)} + \|G_0\|_{L^p(P)}^p \right).
\]

Let now \( \varphi \in PSH_{tor}(X, \omega) \) be such that \( G_{\varphi} \in L^p(P) \), and take a sequence \( \varphi_j \in \mathcal{H} \cap PSH_{tor}(X, \omega) \) such that \( \varphi_j \searrow \varphi \). Then \( G_{\varphi_j} \nearrow G_{\varphi} \), so by the above estimate applied to \( \varphi_j \) and dominated convergence we conclude that \( \sup_j \int_X |\varphi_j|^p MA(\varphi_j) < +\infty \). Hence \( \varphi \in E^p_{tor}(X, \omega) \). \( \square \)

**Example 3.10.** Let \( X = \mathbb{P}^1 \) and \( \omega \) be the Fubini-Study Kähler form. By Examples 3.8 the corresponding convex function is \( F_0(x) = \frac{1}{2} \log (1 + e^{2x}) \) and \( P = \overline{F_0'(\mathbb{R})} = [0, 1] \). Let \( \varphi \) be the toric \( \omega \)-sh function associated to the convex function \( F(x) := F_P(x) = \max(x, 0) \). Note that the Legendre transform of \( F \) is \( G = 0 \) on \([0, 1]\), and \( dd^cF(\log |z|) \) is the (normalized) Lebesgue measure on the unit circle \( S^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \). We consider the sequence of toric \( \omega \)-sh \( \{ \varphi_j \} \) defined by the convex functions

\[
F_j(x) = (1 - \varepsilon_j) F(x) + \varepsilon_j \max(x, -C_j),
\]

where \( \varepsilon_j \) decreases to 0, while \( C_j \) increases to \( +\infty \). A straightforward computation yields that the corresponding Legendre transforms are

\[
G_j(s) = \max \{ C_j(\varepsilon_j - s), 0 \}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1.
\]

Note that

\[
\varphi_j(z) - \varphi(z) = -\varepsilon_j \log^+ |z| + \varepsilon_j \max(\log |z|, -C_j)
\]

\[
= \begin{cases} 
-\varepsilon_j C_j, & |z| < e^{-C_j}, \\
\varepsilon_j \log |z|, & e^{-C_j} \leq |z| < 1, \\
0, & |z| \geq 1.
\end{cases}
\]

Thus we obtain the following:

- \( \varphi_j \to \varphi \) in \( L^1 \) if and only if \( \varepsilon_j \to 0 \);
- \( \varphi_j \to \varphi \) in \( L^\infty \) if and only if \( \varepsilon_j C_j \to 0 \);
- \( \varphi_j \to \varphi \) in \( W^{1,2} \) (the natural topology on \( E^1(X, \omega) \)) if and only if \( \varepsilon_j^2 C_j \to 0 \).
3.4. Finite moments. It is tempting to think that one can characterize the condition \( \varphi \in \mathcal{E}^q_{tor}(X, \omega) \) by a finite moment condition, as follows. Let \( \varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{tor}(X, \omega), \mu_{\varphi} := M \mathcal{A}(F_{\varphi}), 0 < q < n \), and \( q^* = nq/(n - q) \) denote its Sobolev conjugate exponent. Does one have

\[
\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^q_{tor}(X, \omega) \iff \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ||x||^q d\mu_{\varphi}(\varphi) < +\infty ?
\]

This question was raised by E. Di Nezza, who showed in [DiN15, Proposition 2.5] that, if \( \varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{tor}(X, \omega), n \geq 2 \) and \( 1 \leq q < n \), then

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ||x||^q d\mu_{\varphi}(\varphi) < +\infty \implies \varphi \in \mathcal{E}^q_{tor}(X, \omega).
\]

We have the following partial answer to this question in dimension \( n = 1 \), i.e. when \( X = \mathbb{P}^1 \) and \( \omega = \omega_{FS} \):

**Proposition 3.11.** Let \( (X, \omega) = (\mathbb{P}^1, \omega_{FS}) \), \( \varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{tor}(X, \omega) \), and \( 0 < q < 1 \).

1. If \( q \geq 1/2 \) and \( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^q d\mu_{\varphi}(\varphi) < +\infty \), then \( \varphi \in \mathcal{E}^q_{tor}(X, \omega) \).
2. If \( \varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1_{tor}(X, \omega) \), then \( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^q d\mu_{\varphi}(\varphi) < +\infty \) for all \( q < 1/2 \).

3. There exists a function \( \varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1_{tor}(X, \omega) \) with \( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^{1/2} d\mu_{\varphi}(\varphi) = +\infty \).

**Proof.** Recall that in this case \( P = [0, 1] \) (see Example 3.10).

1. Replacing \( \varphi \) by \( \varphi + C \) we may assume that \( \min_{[0,1]} G_{\varphi} = G_{\varphi}(a) = 0 \) for some \( a \in [0, 1] \). Note that \( G_{\varphi} \) is convex and finite, so it is differentiable a.e. on \( (0,1) \). Let \( s, t \in (0,1) \) be such that \( G_{\varphi}'(s), G_{\varphi}'(t) \) exist and \( t \) is between \( a \) and \( s \). Since \( G_{\varphi} \) is convex and it assumes its minimum at \( a \) we have \( |G_{\varphi}'(t)| \leq |G_{\varphi}'(s)| \).

   \[
   0 \leq G_{\varphi}(s) = \left| \int_{a}^{s} G_{\varphi}'(t) dt \right| \leq \left| \int_{a}^{s} |G_{\varphi}'(t)|^{1-q} |G_{\varphi}'(t)|^q dt \right| \\
   \leq |G_{\varphi}'(s)|^{1-q} \left| \int_{a}^{s} |G_{\varphi}'(t)|^q dt \right| \leq |G_{\varphi}'(s)|^{1-q} \int_{0}^{1} |G_{\varphi}'(t)|^q dt.
   \]

Using Corollary 3.7 we obtain

\[
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{G_{\varphi}(s)}{|G_{\varphi}'(s)|^q} ds \leq \left( \int_{0}^{1} |G_{\varphi}'(t)|^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}} \int_{0}^{1} |G_{\varphi}'(s)|^q ds \\
= \left( \int_{0}^{1} |G_{\varphi}'(s)|^q ds \right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^q d\mu_{\varphi}(\varphi) \right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}} < +\infty.
\]

Since \( q/(1 - q) \geq 1 \), Proposition 3.9 yields that \( \varphi \in \mathcal{E}^q_{tor}(X, \omega) \).

2. Let \( \varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1_{tor}(X, \omega) \) and \( q < 1/2 \). Then \( G_{\varphi} \in L^1(P) \) by Proposition 3.9. The conclusion follows by showing that if \( F_{\varphi} \leq F_{P} \) on \( \mathbb{R} \) then

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^q d\mu_{\varphi}(\varphi) \leq \frac{2(1-q)}{1-2q} \|G_{\varphi}\|^q_{L^1}.
\]

Note that it suffices to prove this in the case when \( \varphi \) is bounded. Indeed, if \( \varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1_{tor}(X, \omega) \) is such that \( F_{\varphi} \leq F_{P} \) on \( \mathbb{R} \), then there exists a sequence of bounded toric \( \omega \)-psh functions \( \varphi_j \uparrow \varphi \) such that \( F_{\varphi_j} \leq F_{P} \) on \( \mathbb{R} \). Hence
0 \leq G_{\varphi_{j}} \not\to G_{\varphi}. \quad \text{Since } \mu_{\mathbb{R}}(\varphi_{j}) \to \mu_{\mathbb{R}}(\varphi) \text{ weakly on } \mathbb{R} \text{ it follows by the monotone convergence theorem that}

\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^q \, d\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(\varphi) \leq \liminf_{j \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^q 
\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(\varphi_{j}) \leq \frac{2(1-q)}{1-2q} \|G_{\varphi}\|^q_{L^1}.

Assume that \varphi is a bounded toric \omega-psh function such that \n F_{\varphi} \leq F_P. \quad \text{Then } G_{\varphi} \geq 0 \text{ is a continuous convex function on } [0,1], \text{ and we fix } a \in [0,1] \text{ such that } \min_{[0,1]} G_{\varphi} = G_{\varphi}(a) \geq 0. \quad \text{Applying Hölder’s inequality with}

p = 1/(1-q) \text{ we get, since } 1/(1-pq) = (1-q)/(1-2q) > 1, \text{ that}

\int_{0}^{a} |G_{\varphi}'(s)|^q \, ds = \int_{0}^{a} s^{-q}(-sG_{\varphi}'(s))^q \, ds

\leq \left( \int_{0}^{a} (-sG_{\varphi}'(s)) \, ds \right)^q \left( \int_{0}^{a} s^{-pq} \, ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}

\leq \left( -aG_{\varphi}(a) + \int_{0}^{a} G_{\varphi}(s) \, ds \right)^q \left( \int_{0}^{1} s^{-pq} \, ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}

\leq \frac{1-q}{1-2q} \|G_{\varphi}\|^q_{L^1}.

Similarly,

\int_{a}^{1} |G_{\varphi}'(s)|^q \, ds = \int_{a}^{1} (1-s)^{-q}((1-s)G_{\varphi}'(s))^q \, ds

\leq \left( \int_{a}^{1} (1-s)G_{\varphi}'(s) \, ds \right)^q \left( \int_{a}^{1} (1-s)^{-pq} \, ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}

\leq \left( -(1-a)G_{\varphi}(a) + \int_{a}^{1} G_{\varphi}(s) \, ds \right)^q \left( \int_{0}^{1} (1-s)^{-pq} \, ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}

\leq \frac{1-q}{1-2q} \|G_{\varphi}\|^q_{L^1}.

Using the last two estimates and Corollary 3.7 we obtain

\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^q \, d\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(\varphi) = \int_{0}^{1} |G_{\varphi}'(s)|^q \, ds \leq \frac{2(1-q)}{1-2q} \|G_{\varphi}\|^q_{L^1}.

(iii) Let \varphi \in PSH_{tor}(X,\omega) be determined by a convex function \n F_{\varphi} \text{ defined as follows on the prescribed intervals and smooth on } \mathbb{R}:

F_{\varphi}(x) = \begin{cases} 
 x - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\ln x} & \text{if } x \geq e^3, \\
 0 & \text{if } x \leq 0.
\end{cases}

Note that 
 F_{\varphi}(x) \leq x \leq F_0(x) = \frac{1}{2} \log(1+e^{2x}) \text{ for } x \geq 0, \text{ and } \varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{tor}(X,\omega) \text{ since } F_{\varphi} \text{ has full Monge-Ampère mass. Moreover,}

\frac{1}{18x^{3/2} \ln x} \leq F_{\varphi}''(x) = \frac{1-8(\ln x)^{-2}}{2x^{3/2} \ln x} \leq \frac{1}{2x^{3/2} \ln x}, \quad \text{for } x \geq e^3.

Therefore

\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^{1/2} F_{\varphi}''(x) \, dx \geq \frac{1}{18} \int_{e^3}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{x \ln x} \, dx = +\infty.
Since \( \varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{tor}}(X, \omega) \) the measure \( MA(\varphi) \) does not charge polar sets. Hence
\[
\int_X (-\varphi) MA(\varphi) = \int_\mathbb{R} (F_0 - F_\varphi) F''_\varphi \leq C + \int_{\varepsilon^3}^{+\infty} \frac{2\sqrt{x}}{\ln x} F''_\varphi(x) \, dx
\]
\[
\leq C + \int_{\varepsilon^3}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{x(\ln x)^2} \, dx < +\infty,
\]
for some constant \( C \), which implies that \( \varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{tor}}^1(X, \omega) \).

\[ \square \]

4. Higher regularity

4.1. Continuous toric functions. These can be characterized as follows:

**Proposition 4.1.** Let \( \varphi \in PSH_{\text{tor}}(X, \omega) \). The following are equivalent:

(i) \( \varphi \) is continuous on \( X \);

(ii) \( \varphi \in L^\infty(X) \);

(iii) \( F_P - C \leq F_\varphi \leq F_P + C \) for some constant \( C \geq 0 \);

(iv) \( G_P - C \leq G_\varphi \leq G_P + C \) for some constant \( C \geq 0 \).

Moreover, we have in this case \( \|F_\varphi - F_P\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|G_\varphi\|_{L^\infty(P)} \).

**Proof.** Assume that \( \varphi \in PSH_{\text{tor}}(X, \omega) \) is bounded. Using the notation from the proof of Theorem 3.6 we let \( p_1, \ldots, p_N \) be the toric points of \( X \) and \( p_j \in V_j \subset X \) be open sets with biholomorphic maps \( \Phi_j : V_j \to \mathbb{C}^n \) such that \( \Phi_j(p_j) = 0 \), \( (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \subset V_j \), \( \Phi_j((\mathbb{C}^*)^n) = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \), \( X = V_1 \cup \ldots \cup V_N \). If \( L(\zeta) = (\log |\zeta_1|, \ldots, \log |\zeta_n|) \), \( \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n = \Phi_j(V_j) \), there exist convex functions \( F_\varphi^j, F_0^j : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) such that \( F_\varphi^j \circ L, F_0^j \circ L \) extend to a psh function, respectively to a smooth psh function, on \( \mathbb{C}^n \), and \( F_\varphi^j \circ L = F_0^j \circ L + \varphi \circ \Phi_j^{-1} \) on \( (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \). Since \( \varphi \) is bounded and polyradial psh functions on \( \mathbb{C}^n \) are continuous, this shows that \( \varphi \) is continuous on each \( V_j \), hence on \( X \).

Using Lemma 3.1 we see immediately that \( (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) \), while \( (iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv) \) follows from the definition of the Legendre transform. Moreover, if \( (iii) \) holds with a constant \( C \) then \( (iv) \) holds with the same constant, and vice versa. This implies the last claim.

We note that assertion \( (iii) \) in Proposition 4.1 is equivalent to the condition that \( G = +\infty \) on \( \mathbb{R}^n \setminus P \) and \( G \) is bounded above on \( P \).

4.2. Log-Lipschitz Legendre transforms. Recall that a continuous function \( u : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) is Log-Lipschitz if its modulus of continuity \( \omega_u(x, r) \) is locally bounded from above by \( Cr \log r \).

In order to prove Theorem C we need the following preliminary results.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let \( n \geq 1 \) and
\[
I(\lambda) = \int_0^1 (t^{n-1} + \lambda^{-1}) \log(1 + \lambda^{-1} t^{-n+1}) \, dt, \ \lambda > 0.
\]
If \( 0 < x \leq 1/e \) and \( \lambda_x = (n+3)x \log \frac{1}{x} \) then \( xI(\lambda_x) < 1 \).

**Proof.** We have
\[
I(\lambda) \leq \int_0^1 (t^{n-1} + \lambda^{-1}) \log \frac{1 + \lambda}{\lambda^{n-1}} \, dt = \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{n} \right) \log \frac{1 + \lambda}{\lambda} + \frac{n-1}{\lambda} + \frac{n-1}{n^2}.
\]
Since \( x \leq 1/e \) we have that \( 1 + \lambda_x \leq 1 + (n + 3)/e \) and
\[
\log \frac{1 + \lambda_x}{\lambda_x} \leq \log \frac{1}{x} + \log \left( \frac{1}{n + 3} + \frac{1}{e} \right) - \log \log \frac{1}{x} < \log \frac{1}{x}.
\]
Therefore
\[
I(\lambda_x) < \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_x} + \frac{1}{n} \right) \log \frac{1}{x} + \frac{n - 1}{\lambda_x} + \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{(n + 3)x} \frac{(n - 1)}{x} \log \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{x} \left( \frac{n}{n + 3} + \frac{x}{n} \log \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{n} \right) \leq \frac{1}{x}.
\]

\[\square\]

**Proposition 4.3.** Let \( P \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) be a compact convex polytope and \( f : \text{int} P \to \mathbb{R} \) be a locally Lipschitz function. If \( e^{\|\nabla f\|} \in L^1(P) \) for some \( \varepsilon > 0 \) then \( f \) extends to a Log-Lipschitz function on \( P \).

**Proof.** If \( n = 1 \) then \( P = [a, b] \), and for \( a < s_1 < s_2 < b \) it follows by Jensen’s inequality that
\[
|f(s_2) - f(s_1)| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \varepsilon |f'(t)| \, dt \leq \frac{s_2 - s_1}{\varepsilon} \log \left( \frac{1}{s_2 - s_1} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{\varepsilon |f'(t)|} \, dt \right)
\leq \frac{s_2 - s_1}{\varepsilon} \log \frac{\|e^{\varepsilon |f'|}\|_{L^1[a,b]}}{s_2 - s_1}.
\]

We consider next the case \( n > 1 \). Since \( P \) is convex there exists a constant \( c \in (0, 1) \) with the following property: for every \( s_1, s_2 \in P \) there exists a compact subset \( A \) of the hyperplane perpendicular to the segment \([s_1, s_2] \) at its midpoint such that \( A \subset \text{int} P \) and
\[
(4) \quad c\|s_1 - s_2\|^{n-1} \leq V_{n-1}(A) \leq 1, \quad \|s_1 - \sigma\| \leq \frac{\|s_1 - s_2\|}{2c} \text{ for all } \sigma \in A,
\]
where \( V_{n-1}(A) \) is the \((n-1)\)-dimensional Hausdorff measure of \( A \). Note that we then have \( \|s_2 - \sigma\| \leq \frac{\|s_1 - s_2\|}{2c} \) for all \( \sigma \in A \).

We will show that if \( s_1, s_2 \in \text{int} P \) are such that \( \|s_1 - s_2\| \leq \frac{2}{e} \) then
\[
|f(s_1) - f(s_2)| \leq 2C\|s_1 - s_2\| \log \frac{2}{c\|s_1 - s_2\|^n},
\]
where
\[
C = \frac{n + 3}{\varepsilon c} \max \left( 1, \frac{\|e^{\varepsilon \|\nabla f\|}_{L^1(P)}\|}{\|\nabla f\|_{L^1(P)}} \right).
\]
This clearly implies that \( f \) extends to a Log-Lipschitz function on \( P \).

Fix \( s_1, s_2 \in \text{int} P \) with \( \|s_1 - s_2\| \leq \frac{2}{e} \) and let \( A \) be a set as in (4). Note that (5) follows if we prove that
\[
(6) \quad \left| f(s_1) - \frac{1}{V_{n-1}(A)} \int_A f \, dV_{n-1} \right| \leq C\|s_1 - s_2\| \log \frac{2}{c\|s_1 - s_2\|^n},
\]
since the same holds with \( s_2 \) in place of \( s_1 \).

We may assume that \( s_1 = (0, a) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \), with \( a > 0 \), and that \( A = B \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\} \). Then \( \|s_1 - s_2\| = 2a \). We set \( \sigma = (\sigma', 0) \in A \)
for $\sigma' \in B$. Since $f$ is locally Lipschitz on $\text{int} \, P$ and, by (4), $\|s_1 - \sigma\| \leq a/c$ for $\sigma \in A$, we obtain
\[
|V_{n-1}(B)f(s_1) - \int_B f(\sigma) \, dV_{n-1}(\sigma')| = \\
\left| \int_B \int_0^1 \langle \nabla f((1-t)s_1 + t\sigma), s_1 - \sigma \rangle \, dt \, dV_{n-1}(\sigma') \right| \\
\leq \int_B \int_0^1 \| \langle \nabla f((1-t)s_1 + t\sigma), s_1 - \sigma \rangle \| \, dt \, dV_{n-1}(\sigma') \\
\leq \frac{a}{c} \int_B \int_0^1 \| \nabla f((1-t)s_1 + t\sigma) \| \, dt \, dV_{n-1}(\sigma') \\
= \frac{1}{\varepsilon c} \int_B \int_0^1 \varepsilon \| \nabla f((1-t)s_1 + t\sigma) \| \, t^{-n+1} \, d\mu,
\]
where $\mu$ is the measure on $B \times [0, 1]$ given by $d\mu = at^{n-1} \, dt \, dV_{n-1}$.

Consider the weight $\chi(x) = (x + 1) \log(x + 1) - x$, $x \geq 0$, with conjugate weight (Legendre transform) $\chi^*(y) = e^y - y - 1$, $y \geq 0$, and the Orlicz spaces $L^\chi(B \times [0, 1], \mu)$ and $L^{\chi^*}(B \times [0, 1], \mu)$. Recall that the norm on $L^\chi(B \times [0, 1], \mu)$ is given by
\[
\|g\|_\chi := \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{B \times [0, 1]} \chi(|g|/\lambda) \, d\mu \leq 1 \right\},
\]
and one has that $\|g\|_\chi \leq \max \left\{ 1, \int_{B \times [0, 1]} \chi(|g|) \, d\mu \right\}$.

Estimating the last integral in (7) by the multiplicative Hölder-Young inequality (see [BBEGZ, Proposition 2.15] or [RR91]) we get
\[
|V_{n-1}(B)f(s_1) - \int_B f(\sigma) \, dV_{n-1}(\sigma')| \\
\leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon c} \| \varepsilon \| \nabla f((1-t)s_1 + t\sigma) \|_{\chi^*} \| t^{-n+1} \| _\chi.
\]
If $\Gamma$ is the cone in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with vertex $s_1$ and base $A$ then
\[
\int_{\Gamma} e^{\varepsilon \| \nabla f \|} \, dV_n = \int_{B \times [0, 1]} e^{\varepsilon \| \nabla f((1-t)s_1 + t\sigma) \|} \, d\mu.
\]
Since $\chi^*(y) < e^y$ it follows that
\[
\| \varepsilon \| \nabla f((1-t)s_1 + t\sigma) \|_{\chi^*} \leq \max \left\{ 1, \int_{B \times [0, 1]} e^{\varepsilon \| \nabla f((1-t)s_1 + t\sigma) \|} \, d\mu \right\} \leq \max \left\{ 1, \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\varepsilon \| \nabla f \|} \, dV_n \right\}.
\]
It remains to estimate the second Orlicz norm in (8). We have
\[
\int_{B \times [0, 1]} \chi(t^{-n+1}/\lambda) \, d\mu = \\
= \int_B \int_0^1 \left[ \left( \frac{t^{-n+1}}{\lambda} + 1 \right) \log \left( \frac{t^{-n+1}}{\lambda} + 1 \right) - \frac{t^{-n+1}}{\lambda} \right] \, dt \, dV_{n-1} \leq aV_{n-1}(B) I(\lambda),
\]
where \( I(\lambda) \) is the function from Lemma 4.2. Note that
\[
aV_{n-1}(B) \leq \|s_1 - s_2\|/2 \leq 1/e,
\]
since \( c\|s_1 - s_2\|^{n-1} \leq V_{n-1}(B) = V_{n-1}(A) \leq 1 \) by (4). Lemma 4.2 implies that
\[
aV_{n-1}(B) I(\lambda_0) \leq 1, \quad \text{if } \lambda_0 = (n+3)aV_{n-1}(B) \log \frac{1}{aV_{n-1}(B)},
\]
hence
\[
\|t^{-n+1}\|_\chi = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{B \times [0,1]} \chi(t^{-n+1}/\lambda) d\mu \leq 1 \right\}
\leq \lambda_0 \leq (n+3)aV_{n-1}(B) \log \frac{1}{c\|s_1 - s_2\|^{n-1}a}.
\]
By (8) we conclude that
\[
|f(s_1) - \frac{1}{aV_{n-1}(B)} \int_B f(\sigma) dV_{n-1}(\sigma')| \leq \frac{2(n+3)}{\varepsilon c} \max \left( 1, \|e^{\varepsilon\|\nabla f\|}\|_{L^1(P)} \right) a \log \frac{1}{c\|s_1 - s_2\|^{n-1}a}.
\]
This yields (6), since \( a = \|s_1 - s_2\|/2 \), and the proof is finished. \( \square \)

We now prove Theorem C stated in the Introduction.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let \( \varphi \in PSH_{tor}(X, \omega) \). The following properties are equivalent:
(i) There exists \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that \( \exp(-\varepsilon PSH_{tor}(X, \omega)) \subset L^1(MA(\varphi)) \);
(ii) There exists \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that \( \exp(\varepsilon\|\nabla \varphi\|) \in L^1(P) \);
(iii) The function \( G_\varphi \) is Log-Lipschitz on \( P \);
(iv) There exists a constant \( C > 0 \) such that \( \|\nabla G_\varphi(s)\| \leq C \log \frac{C}{\text{dist}(s, \partial P)} \) holds for almost all \( s \in \text{int} P \).

Recall that Guillemin’s potentials are only Log-Lipschitz continuous on the Delzant polytope \( P \), although they correspond to smooth toric \( \omega \)-psh functions on \( X \). The observation we make here is that this regularity actually corresponds to a class of toric \( \omega \)-psh functions which seem to be merely Hölder continuous on \( X \) (see Remark 4.5).

**Proof.** We set \( \mu_{\mathbb{R}}(\varphi) := MA_{\mathbb{R}}(F_\varphi) \). Since \( \varphi \in PSH_{tor}(X, \omega) \) the measure \( MA(\varphi) \) does not charge pluripolar sets, so by Lemma 2.2,
\[
\int_X e^{-\varepsilon \psi} MA(\varphi) = \int_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^n} e^{-\varepsilon(F_\varphi - F_\varepsilon)} L(\ddc F_\varphi \circ L)^n = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\varepsilon(F_\psi - F_\varepsilon)} d\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(\varphi),
\]
for every \( \psi \in PSH_{tor}(X, \omega) \). Using Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.2, it follows that (i) is equivalent to condition
\[
(i') \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\varepsilon(F - F_P)} d\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(\varphi) < +\infty, \quad \forall F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \text{ convex function with } F \leq F_P + O(1) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n.
\]
To show \( (i') \Leftrightarrow (ii) \), we may assume that \( 0 \in \text{int} P \) and we fix constants \( a, b > 0 \) such that the closed balls \( \overline{B}(0, b) \subset P \subset \overline{B}(0, a) \). Then by Lemma
2.6, \(b\|x\| \leq F_P(x) \leq a\|x\|\). If (i') holds, we apply it with \(F = 0\) to conclude by Corollary 3.7 that

\[
\int_{\text{int } P} e^{\varepsilon b \|\nabla \varphi(s)\|} \, dV(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\varepsilon b \|x\|} \, d\mu_\mathbb{R}(\varphi) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\varepsilon F_P(x)} \, d\mu_\mathbb{R}(\varphi) < +\infty,
\]

which gives (ii). Conversely, assume (ii) holds and let \(F\) be a function as in (i'). Then by Proposition 3.2, \(\nabla F(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset P \subset \overline{B}(0, a)\), so

\[
F(x) = F(0) + \int_0^1 \langle \nabla F(tx), x \rangle \, dt \geq -a\|x\| + F(0).
\]

Therefore \(F_P(x) - F(x) \leq 2a\|x\| - F(0)\) and

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{C}{2a} (F - F_P)} \, d\mu_\mathbb{R}(\varphi) \leq e^{-\frac{C}{2a} F(0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\varepsilon \|x\|} \, d\mu_\mathbb{R}(\varphi) = e^{-\frac{C}{2a} F(0)} \int_{\text{int } P} e^{\varepsilon \|\nabla \varphi(s)\|} \, dV(s) < +\infty,
\]

so (i') holds.

Proposition 4.3 shows that (ii) implies (iii). We prove next that (iii) implies (iv). Since \(\varphi\) is Log-Lipschitz on the compact polytope \(P\) it follows that there exists a constant \(C > 0\) such that \(\|s - s'\| \leq C/2\) and

\[
|G_\varphi(s) - G_\varphi(s')| \leq C\|s - s'\| \log \frac{C}{\|s - s'\|},
\]

for all \(s, s' \in P\). Let \(s \in \text{int } P\) be such that \(G_\varphi\) is differentiable at \(s\), \(\nabla G_\varphi(s) \neq 0\), and let \(\nu\) be the unit vector in the direction of \(\nabla G_\varphi(s)\). We consider the convex function

\[
g(t) = G_\varphi(s + t\nu), \ 0 \leq t \leq t^*,
\]

where \(t^* > 0\) is defined such that \(s^* := s + t^*\nu \in \partial P\). Then \(t^* = \|s^* - s\| \geq \text{dist}(s, \partial P)\) and

\[
\|\nabla G_\varphi(s)\| = g'(0) \leq \frac{g(t^*) - g(0)}{t^*} = \frac{G_\varphi(s^*) - G_\varphi(s)}{\|s^* - s\|} \leq \frac{C}{\|s^* - s\|} \leq \frac{C}{\text{dist}(s, \partial P)}.
\]

Finally, we note that (iv) clearly implies that (ii) holds with \(\varepsilon > 0\) small enough, and the proof is complete.

Remark 4.5. It is tempting to think that these conditions are all equivalent to the fact that \(\varphi\) is Hölder continuous. This is easily seen to be the case when \(n = 1\). We refer the interested reader to [DDGHKZ14] for more information, geometric motivations, and related questions connecting the Hölder continuity of Monge-Ampère potentials to the integrability properties of the associated complex Monge-Ampère measure.

Example 4.6. Fix \(0 < \alpha < 1\) and consider the convex function \(F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) defined by \(F(x) = e^{\alpha x}\) when \(x \leq 0\) and \(F(x) = x + 1\) when \(x \geq 0\). It
determines a Hölder continuous toric $\omega_{FS}$-psh function $\varphi$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$, which is defined in $\mathbb{C}$ by

$$
\varphi(z) = \begin{cases} 
|z|^\alpha - \log \sqrt{1 + |z|^2} & \text{if } |z| \leq 1, \\
\log |z| + 1 - \log \sqrt{1 + |z|^2} & \text{if } |z| \geq 1.
\end{cases}
$$

We let the reader check that the Legendre transform of $F$ is given by

$$
G(s) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{s}{\alpha} \log \frac{s}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha} & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq \alpha, \\
-1 & \text{if } \alpha \leq s \leq 1.
\end{cases}
$$
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