Genetic Architecture of Resistance to Alternaria brassicae in Arabidopsis thaliana: QTL Mapping Reveals Two Major Resistance-Conferring Loci
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Alternaria brassicae, a necrotrophic fungal pathogen, causes Alternaria blight, one of the most important diseases of oleiferous Brassica crops. The current study utilized Arabidopsis as a model to decipher the genetic architecture of defense against A. brassicae. Significant phenotypic variation that was largely genetically determined was observed among Arabidopsis accessions in response to pathogen challenge. Three biparental mapping populations were developed from three resistant accessions viz. CIBC-5, Ei-2, and Cvi-0 and two susceptible accessions – Gre-0 and Zdr-1 (commonly crossed to CIBC-5 and Ei-2). A total of six quantitative trait locus (QTLs) governing resistance to A. brassicae were identified, five of which were population-specific while one QTL was common between all the three mapping populations. Interestingly, the common QTL had varying phenotypic contributions in different populations, which can be attributed to the genetic background of the parental accessions. The presence of both common and population-specific QTLs indicate that resistance to A. brassicae is quantitative, and that different genes may mediate resistance to the pathogen in different accessions. Two of the QTLs had moderate-to-large effects, one of which explained nearly 50% of the variation. The large effect QTLs may therefore contain genes that could play a significant role in conferring resistance even in heterologous hosts.
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INTRODUCTION

Alternaria spp. are serious necrotrophic plant pathogens, causing a range of diseases in many crops including the Brassica species. The most common and destructive diseases of the Brassica crops worldwide are caused by four species of Alternaria viz. Alternaria brassicae, Alternaria brassicicola, Alternaria raphani, and Alternaria alternata (Verma and Saharan, 1994). A. brassicae is the most invasive on all brassicaceous hosts, though it infects the oil-yielding brassicas preferentially. In the Indian subcontinent, A. brassicae is recognized as one of the most important pathogens on oilseed Brassica species, especially Brassica juncea (Grovier and Pental, 2003). Alternaria blight causes a
reduction in photosynthetic potential; results in abnormal seed development, and a reduction in seed oil content and quality leading to 10–71% yield losses worldwide (Saharan et al., 2015). Extensive screening of B. juncea germplasm has shown a lack of complete resistance to A. brassicae.

*Arabidopsis thaliana* has been used as a model host to study the pathogenesis of various plant pathogens. The extensive natural variation and the vast array of genomic and molecular resources available in *Arabidopsis* make it a genetically tractable system for unravelling the molecular components of host defense. Numerous reports of inter-accession variation in host responses to pathogens have been documented (Hinsch and Staskawicz, 1996; Deslandes et al., 1998; Denby et al., 2004; Lorang et al., 2004; Aranzae et al., 2005; Nemri et al., 2010).

Studies on *A. brassicicola* in the model species *Arabidopsis thaliana* have largely been restricted to studying the transcriptomic and proteomic responses of the host to the fungal invasion (Thomma et al., 1999; Narusaka et al., 2003; van Wees et al., 2003; Mukherjee et al., 2010). Though these studies have provided valuable information regarding the involvement of different pathways in resistance to the pathogen, the genetic basis of resistance has not been elucidated. Kagan and Hammerschmidt (2002) described qualitative phenotypic variation of *Arabidopsis* accessions in response to *A. brassicicola*, but the genetic basis of such variation was not investigated.

Given the importance of resistance to *A. brassicaceae* in *Brassica* species where no resistance is known and the lack of studies defining the genetics of resistance, a comprehensive study of the genetic determinants of resistance to *A. brassicaceae* is warranted. Here, we aim to decipher the genetic architecture of resistance to *A. brassicaceae* in *Arabidopsis*. A phenotypic screen revealed contrasting responses to pathogen challenge with high heritability suggesting that substantial amount of phenotypic variation can be explained by genetic factors. To determine whether multiple genetic factors or a few shared large-effect factors impart resistance in different genetic backgrounds we carried out QTL analysis in three different biparental mapping populations. These populations were developed by crossing three resistant accessions with two susceptible accessions. Our data reveals that two major QTLs which explain a significant amount of phenotypic variation and several minor QTLs are involved in resistance to *A. brassicaceae*.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Plant Lines

Seeds of the 15 *Arabidopsis thaliana* accessions were obtained from *Arabidopsis* Biological Resource Center (ABRC) (Supplementary Table S1). Seeds were surface sterilized, cold stratified for 3 days and were grown on MS medium supplemented with 1.5% agar. Seedlings were then transferred to six-inch pots containing Soilrite mix (Soilrite: Perlite: Vermiculite mixed in the ratio of 4:1:1) pre-soaked with nutrient solution. The plants were grown at 22°C and 60% humidity, under 10-h/14-h light/dark cycle for 5 weeks before challenging them with the pathogen.

### Alternaria brassicaceae Culture Conditions and Pathogenicity Assays

The isolate of *Alternaria brassicaceae* (J3) utilized in the current study was collected from the experimental field station in the village of Jaunty (Delhi), purified, and maintained as single spor cultures for further use. For *in vitro* culture and maintenance of *A. brassicaceae* isolates, radish root sucrose agar (RRSA) medium was used (Thakur and Kolte, 1985). Fungal cultures were subcultured every fortnight and maintained at 22°C under 12-h/12-h light/dark cycle. To maintain the virulence of the strain, the pathogen was periodically given a passage through *B. juncea* var. Varuna (its natural host) and the reisolated fungus used for pathogenicity assays.

Spores from 15-day old cultures were used for infections. Cultures were flooded with distilled water to collect spores, and the resulting spore suspension was filtered through two layers of muslin cloth. The filtered spore suspension was adjusted to a spore concentration of 3–5 × 10⁵ spores mL⁻¹ before inoculation. Inoculations were done on 5-week old *Arabidopsis* plants by drop inoculation method (Xu and Ko, 1998). A total of 8–10 similarly aged leaves per plant were marked for infection. Four droplets of 5 μL spore suspension were applied onto the adaxial surface of the true leaves. Inoculated plants were kept at 100% humidity at 20 ± 1°C under a 10-h/14-h light/dark cycle. Infected plants were evaluated for symptoms 7 days post infection (dpi).

### Disease Evaluation

The disease was discerned by the formation of necrotic lesions that were encircled by chlorotic halos. Different evaluation criteria were used for the RIL and F₂ populations. The RIL population was evaluated using a DI score where the number of necrotic spots developed was taken into account (McKinney, 1923). Since the F₂ populations were scored on the basis of the disease reaction from single F₂ individuals, the plants were scored not only for the number of necrotic spots developed but also the size of the spot. The cumulative score was thus used to model the disease spread more finely. Additionally, the cumulative DI (CDI) data was normalized to the susceptible parent.

The RIL population was quantitatively scored on the basis of DI calculated from the number of necrotic spots using the formula:

\[
DI = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{K} (ns)}{K \times ns_{\text{max}}}
\]

where,

- \( DI \) = Disease Index
- \( K \) = Total no. of leaves (usually 8)
- \( ns \) = No. of necrotic spots/leaf
- \( ns_{\text{max}} \) = Maximum no. of necrotic spots (usually 4).

The F₂ populations were scored on the basis of CDI calculated from the number of necrotic spots and the size of each spot using the formula:

\[
CDI = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{K} (ns \times ss)}{K \times ns_{\text{max}} \times ss_{\text{max}}}
\]

where,
Genomic DNA Isolation

DNA isolation from *Arabidopsis* leaves was carried out using a rapid extraction protocol (Edwards et al., 1991). Briefly, leaves (<100 mg) were crushed in 500 μL of Extraction buffer [200 mM Tris-HCl, 250 mM NaCl, 25 mM EDTA (pH 8.0), 0.5% SDS] and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 5 min to remove the debris. An equal amount of isopropanol was added to the supernatant to precipitate the DNA and centrifuged again at 13,000 rpm for 10 min. The pellet was washed with 70% ethanol, air-dried, and resuspended in 50 μL of 2 mM Tris (pH 8.0). Genomic DNA extraction for SNP genotyping was carried out using MDI (Advanced Microdevices, Pvt. Ltd, India) plant genomic DNA miniprep kit according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Development of Mapping Populations and Construction of Genetic Maps

The Cvi-0 (♂) × Gre-0 (♂♂) RIL (CvG) population, consisting of 125 individuals, was generated by single-seed descent method from a single F1 hybrid. The population was advanced up to F7 generation before use.

Two F2 populations were generated using CIBC-5 and Ei-2 as the female parent and Zdr-1 as the common male parent. Mature single siliques containing F1 seeds were harvested separately, and seeds from a single silique per cross were grown. DNA from each plant was isolated, and heterozygosity of the F1 plants was assessed using five polymorphic markers from across the genome. The F1 plants were allowed to self-pollinate, and the F2 seeds were collected and used for phenotyping. A total of 220 F2 individuals from the CIBC-5 × Zdr-1 (CZ) cross and 180 individuals from the Ei-2 × Zdr-1 (EZ) cross were phenotyped.

A linkage map for the CvG population was constructed using the genotypic data of 125 RILs for 202 markers (75 SSR, 86 AFLP, and 41 IP markers) using the JoinMap program (Version 4.1) (Supplementary Figure S1; Supplementary Table S2). SNP markers were used for constructing the linkage maps in the CZ and EZ populations. Polymorphic SNPs between the two parents were selected using the Multiple SNP Query Tool (MSQT) (Warthmann et al., 2007). SNP genotyping was carried out using KBioscience KASPar assay. The primer design, assay development, and genotyping were undertaken by KBiosciences Pvt. Ltd (Hoddesdon, UK). A total of 152 SNP markers (evenly distributed across the genome) were used for genotyping each of the F2 populations and linkage maps for both the populations were constructed using the JoinMap program (Version 4.1) (Supplementary Figures S2 and S3; Supplementary Tables S3 and S4).

QTL Analysis

The DI and normalized CDI (NCDI) were used as the trait values for QTL mapping in the RIL and F2 populations, respectively. QTLs were detected with QTL Cartographer 2.5 by composite interval mapping (Wang et al., 2012). Genome scan for QTLs was carried out at a walk speed of 2 cM. Statistical significance of QTL for each trait was assessed by performing 1000 permutation tests with a significance level of 0.05 (Churchill and Doerge, 1994; Doerge and Churchill, 1996). A minimum LOD value of 2.5 for the RIL and 3.1 for the F2 populations was used for the identification of putative QTLs based on the permutations tests. The additive effects and contribution of each QTL to phenotypic variance (R2) were estimated from the composite interval mapping results. QTLs were named as Resistance to *Alternaria brassicae* [population name] [chromosome number-1].

RESULTS

Screening of *Arabidopsis* Accessions in Response to *Alternaria brassicae*

Phenotypic variation in resistance to *A. brassicae* was tested in 15 accessions of *Arabidopsis* belonging to different geographical origins (Supplementary Table S1). The accessions showed considerable variation in their response to *A. brassicae* (Figure 1A). The accessions displayed variation in the frequency of lesion formation (presence/absence of necrotic lesions) as well as the size of the necrotic lesions formed in response to pathogen challenge. The resistant accessions displayed pinhead-sized necrotic lesions at very low frequencies whereas the highly susceptible accessions had lesions expanding beyond the droplet size at almost all the inoculated spots. The expanding lesions in the highly susceptible accessions occasionally coalesced to form a single large lesion. Typical chlorotic halos encircling the necrotic lesions were also observed in the susceptible accessions. The normalized DI (NDI) derived from the incidence of lesion formation, varied from 0.03 (CIBC-5) to 1.07 (Zdr-1). The variation in NDI was largely genetically determined as indicated by the broad sense heritability score (H2 = 0.90). Three different mapping populations were developed from the highly resistant and susceptible accessions to dissect the genetic basis of resistance to *A. brassicae*.

Phenotypic Variation of the Mapping Populations

The contrasting disease reactions of the parental accessions are given in Table 1 (Figure 1B). The phenotype of the F1 progeny from each of the three crosses was intermediate to that of the respective parental accessions, however, they were closer to the resistant parent than the susceptible one (Table 1). The DI in the CvG population ranged from 0 to 0.671 of which 17 lines showed no infection. The frequency distribution based on the DI showed nearly a bimodal distribution (Figure 2). The CDI in the CZ population varied continuously and was normally distributed with a positive skew while the CDI in the EZ population had nearly a bimodal distribution (Figure 2).
Few transgressive segregants were observed in all the mapping populations. Heritability in the three populations showed that a significant proportion of the phenotypic variation (~50%) could be explained by genetic factors (Table 1).

QTL Mapping for Disease Resistance
In the CvG population, four QTLs were identified. The major QTL RtAbeCvG2-1 was located on the long arm of chromosome 2 at around 35.5 cM in the CvG genetic linkage map (Table 2). The confidence interval based on a 2 LOD score drop from the peak placed RtAbeCvG2-1 between 27.9 and 48.1 cM covering 12 markers. The major QTL explained up to 42% of the phenotypic variance. Other minor QTLs cumulatively explained up to 19% of the variance. The Gre alleles of RtAbeCvG2-1 and RtAbeCvG1-1 increased the DI while those at RtAbeCvG4-1 and RtAbeCvG3-1 decreased the DI.

In the CZ population, three QTLs were detected. The major QTL RtAbeCZ5-1 located on the long arm of chromosome 5 was positioned around 57.9 cM in CZ linkage map (Table 2). The RtAbeCZ5-1 QTL spanned four markers between 53.4 and 63.3 cM. RtAbeCZ5-1 explained approximately 11% of the phenotypic variance while RtAbeCZ3-1 and RtAbeCZ2-1 explained approximately 8% and 6% of the phenotypic variance respectively. The Zdr-1 allele at RtAbeCZ2-1 and RtAbeCZ5-1 increased the CDI whereas the Zdr-1 allele at RtAbeCZ3-1 decreased it. The presence of QTLs with opposing additive effects (RtAbeCZ3-1 vs. RtAbeCZ2-1 and RtAbeCZ5-1) may thus be responsible for the transgressive segregants observed in the population.

In the EZ population, a single major QTL was detected. The QTL RtAbeEZ2-1 located on chromosome 2 with the maximum LOD score positioned around 37.6 cM, covered four markers.
between 36.6 and 39.7 cM (Table 2). The QTL explained nearly 57% of the phenotypic variance. The Zdr-1 allele at this QTL increased the CDI. This locus also displayed a moderate dominance effect ($D = 0.1140$), wherein the Ei-2 allele was partially dominant over the Zdr-1 allele.

A total of eight QTLs were identified in the three populations out of which three were major QTLs (Table 2; Figure 3). Since, the physical positions of most of the markers (except AFLP markers) are known, comparisons can be made across the populations even though different set of markers have been used for different populations. The QTLs identified on chromosome 2 in the three populations had overlapping physical intervals and thus could be said to be a common QTL though it had varying effect sizes in different populations. Thus, six QTLs were identified in the study, of which one is a common QTL and other five are population-specific.

**Putative Candidate Genes within the QTL Intervals of the Major Loci**

Since the markers used in the construction of the genetic maps correspond to physical positions in the *Arabidopsis* genome, all the annotated genes in the QTL intervals could be easily identified. The RtAbeCvG2-1 QTL from the CvG population was flanked by the markers in the genes At2g18950 and At2g34100. This 6.2 Mb interval contained 1609 protein-coding genes. The RtAbeCZ5-1 QTL from the CZ population was flanked by markers in the genes At5g42970 and At5g48400. A total of 601 protein-coding genes were found in this 1.8 Mb interval. The markers in the genes At2g19900 and At2g28950 flank the RtAbeEZ2-1 QTL from the EZ population, and this 1.6 Mb region contained 958 protein-coding genes. Also, the minor QTL RtAbeCZ2-1 from the CZ population approximately lies in the same physical interval flanked by the genes At2g24090 and At2g26880.

**TABLE 1** | Summary of the phenotype (DI and CDI) of parental accessions, $F_1$ progeny, and the mapping populations.

| Population                      | Mean ± SE  | Range     | Heritability* ($H^2$) |
|---------------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| Cvi-0 × Gre-0 population        | 0.2437 ± 0.0783 | 0.156–0.400 | 0.4939                |
| Gre-0                           | 0.8062 ± 0.0189 | 0.500–1.000 |            |
| $F_1$                           | 0.2717 ± 0.0472 | 0.175–0.452 |            |
| RIL                             | 0.2651 ± 0.0165 | 0.000–0.671 |            |
| CIBC-5 × Zdr-1 population       | 0.0908 ± 0.0111 | 0.000–0.234 |            |
| CIBC-5                          | 0.6219 ± 0.0192 | 0.422–1.000 |            |
| Zdr-1                           | 0.3583 ± 0.0461 | 0.167–0.583 |            |
| $F_1$                           | 0.3238 ± 0.0126 | 0.025–0.999 |            |
| $F_2$                           | 0.3326 ± 0.0161 | 0.000–0.747 |            |
| **EI-2 × Zdr-1 population**     | 0.1189 ± 0.0139 | 0.004–0.313 |            |
| EI-2                            | 0.6219 ± 0.0192 | 0.422–1.000 |            |
| Zdr-1                           | 0.3987 ± 0.0374 | 0.083–0.708 |            |
| $F_1$                           | 0.3326 ± 0.0161 | 0.000–0.747 |            |

*Heritability* ($H^2$) indicates the amount of variation in the population that is genetically determined.

Subsequently, these QTL intervals were examined for genes with known functions in disease resistance. A GO term enrichment analysis of the genes in each QTL interval was carried out. A list of genes with the GO term – response to stress and other related GO terms was compiled. The RtAbeCvG2-1 QTL consisted of 55 probable candidate genes that were annotated with known functions in disease resistance pathways. The RtAbeEZ2-1 QTL consisted of 33 such genes, which overlapped with the genes in the RtAbeCvG2-1 QTL. Some of the possible candidate genes/gene families with a role in host defense in this region include WRKYs, DEFLs (Defensin-like), EIN3, Glycosyl hydrolases, PR (pathogenesis-related) genes, lipid acyl hydrolase and ERFs (ethylene response factors).
### DISCUSSION

The work reported here explores the genetics of resistance to the necrotrophic pathogen *A. brassicae in Arabidopsis* by using three different biparental populations to map the underlying components imparting resistance. The continuous variation exhibited by the *Arabidopsis* accessions and the high heritability (H^2) points to the fact that a large part of the variation is contributed by genetic factors. The mapping populations developed from the contrasting parental accessions also showed a continuous distribution in the disease indices. The F_1 progeny of the three mapping populations displayed an intermediate phenotype that is consistent with a quantitative nature of the trait with mostly additive effects. Interestingly, in the EZ population, the QTL identified had a moderate dominance effect with the allele from the resistant parent being dominant over the allele from the susceptible parent. The transgressive segregants observed in the mapping populations may represent events where a combination of allels from both parents increased the trait value beyond the parental values.

A comparative analysis of the QTLs from the three populations revealed that **RtAbecZ2-2** and **RtAbecZ2** contributed nearly 14 defensin and putative defensin-like genes in this region that are involved in defense response and response to stress (Supplementary Table S5). A total of 27 disease resistance genes were located in this region out of which 24 were TIR-NBS-LRR class, and three were CC-NBS-LRR class. Other possible candidate genes in this region that are involved in defense signaling pathways include **BIR1** (JAZ-interacting transcription factor), **BAK1-interacting receptor-like kinase),** **ERFs (Ethylene response factors),** **BRG (Botrytis-induced Related Gene),** **RBOHD (Respiratory Burst Oxidative Homolog D) and NPR3 (Non-expressor of PR3).** Additionally, this interval contained nearly 14 defensin and putative defensin-like genes that are known to have antimicrobial/antifungal activity against many pathogenic fungi (Figure 4).

### TABLE 2 | Quantitative trait locus (QTLs) governing resistance to *A. brassicae* in the three Arabidopsis mapping populations.

| QTL | Chr | LOD^a | Peak LOD position (cM) | Physical intervals (genes flanking the QTL) | QTL effects^b | Phenotypic variance explained (R2)^c |
|-----|-----|-------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|
|     |     |       |                        |                                             | Dominance    | Additive                            |                        |
| Cvi-0 × Gre-0 population |     |       |                        |                                             |              |                                     |                        |
| RtAbecZ2-2-1 | 2   | 22.61 | 35.5                  | At2g18950–At2g34100                         | −            | −0.1511                             | 0.419                  |
| RtAbecZ2-4-1 | 4   | 6.04  | 52.8                  | At4g21445–At4g40100                        | −            | 0.0608                             | 0.084                  |
| RtAbecZ2-1-1 | 1   | 4.81  | 26.82                 | At1g06320–At1g11370                        | −            | −0.0558                             | 0.062                  |
| RtAbecZ2-3-1 | 3   | 3.61  | 15.71                 | At3g09540–At3g16760                        | −            | 0.0466                             | 0.045                  |
| CIBC-5 × Zdr-1 population |     |       |                        |                                             |              |                                     |                        |
| RtAbecZ2-5-1 | 5   | 8.01  | 57.9                  | At5g42970–At5g48400                        | −0.0499     | −0.1786                             | 0.113                  |
| RtAbecZ2-3-1 | 3   | 4.1   | 68.7                  | At3g49280–At3g52480                        | −0.0045     | 0.1183                             | 0.079                  |
| RtAbecZ2-2-1 | 2   | 3.94  | 36.6                  | At2g24090–At2g26880                        | −0.0134     | −0.1745                             | 0.065                  |
| Ei-2 × Zdr-1 population |     |       |                        |                                             |              |                                     |                        |
| RtAbecZ2-2-1 | 2   | 20.93 | 37.6                  | At2g19900–At2g28950                        | 0.114       | −0.2931                             | 0.566                  |

^a Logarithm of odds (LOD) of the identified QTL. ^b Dominance and additive effect of the QTL on the disease index. ^c The phenotypic variance accounted for by each QTL.
component of the genetic variation is the most commonly encountered variation in most quantitative traits. In the current study also, the QTLs RtAbe\textsubscript{CZ}2-1 and RtAbe\textsubscript{EZ}2-1 are additive in nature, and the presence of both these QTLs may confer durable resistance. This is supported by the fact that CIBC-5, which contains the resistance alleles at the QTLs RtAbe\textsubscript{CZ}5-1 and RtAbe\textsubscript{CZ}2-1, is more resistant than Ei-2 and Cvi-0, which contain only the resistance allele of the common QTL on chromosome 2. It may be envisaged that if RtAbe\textsubscript{CZ}2-1 and RtAbe\textsubscript{EZ}2-1 are allelic variants, then the presence of the stronger Ei-2 allele of this QTL in CIBC-5 may provide complete resistance.

A GO enrichment analysis of the genes within the physical interval of the RtAbe\textsubscript{CZ}5-1 QTL showed that biological process terms like defense response and response to stress were enriched significantly. A total of 53 defense-related genes were present in this interval that included a cluster of R genes. These R genes form a part of the major recognition gene complex MRC-J (Holub et al., 1997), which is involved in disease resistance. Some of the R genes in this region that are implicated in disease resistance against various pathogens include FLS2 (Zipfel et al., 2004; Sun et al., 2006), RPW11 (Wilson et al., 2001), RRS1 (Deslandes et al., 1998), RPP8 (McDowell et al., 1998), and RPS4 (Hinsch and Staskawicz, 1996). The presence of multiple R genes

FIGURE 3 | Quantitative trait locus (QTL) likelihood map of the three mapping populations – (A) CvG RIL population; (B) CZ \textsubscript{F2} population; (C) EZ \textsubscript{F2} population. Horizontal line denotes the threshold LOD value for the respective populations. The QTLs on chromosome 2 viz. RtAbe\textsubscript{CvG}2-1, RtAbe\textsubscript{CZ}2-1, and RtAbe\textsubscript{EZ}2-1 can be seen to align approximately in the same physical interval.
in this interval may indicate a possibility of effector-triggered immunity (ETI) or effector-triggered susceptibility (ETS) in Arabidopsis for A. brassicae. However, the role of ETI in plant-necrotrophic interactions is not well-documented except RLM3, which triggers ETI against Leptosphaeria maculans (Staal et al., 2008). The effectors of various necrotrophic pathogens activate certain signaling cascades to cause hypersensitive (HR) cell death, thus leading to susceptibility (ETS) (Lorang et al., 2004, 2007).

Interestingly, susceptibility/resistance to other necroprophs has been mapped to the physical intervals similar to that of the RtAbeC5-1 QTL. In the case of the necrotrophic pathogen, Botrytis cinerea, one of the QTLs identified for susceptibility falls into the same physical region (Denby et al., 2004). Similarly, QRP3, a QTL governing resistance to Plectosphaerella cucumerina, a necrotrophic fungus, is located in the same region (Llorente et al., 2005). The co-localization of QTLs for different necrotrophic pathogens at this region indicates that there might be a common resistance/susceptibility factor that might be involved in basal defense against these necroprophs.

Similarly, the region is also enriched for many plant defensins and defensin-like genes. Defensins are a group of antimicrobial peptides found across the plant species. They have repeatedly been shown to have antimicrobial activity in vitro against a spectrum of bacteria and fungi. A well-studied defensin, PDF1.2, which is activated by methyl jasmonate and ethylene, also lies in the QTL interval of RtAbeC5-1. PDF1.2 is a marker of jasmonic acid and ethylene signaling pathways, and accumulation of PDF1.2 has been implicated in resistance against various necroprophs (Glazebrook, 2005; Spoel et al., 2007).

However, the list of candidate genes controlling resistance cannot be limited to genes with known functions in disease because resistance/susceptibility to the pathogen may involve any aspect of plant biology. Therefore, further fine mapping efforts are required to identify the gene(s) responsible for resistance. Additionally, the results reported here encourages the screening of a wider range of Arabidopsis accessions and employing a genome-wide association study design to gain a population-wide view of the genetic architecture of disease resistance.

CONCLUSION

Arabidopsis accessions have considerable variation for resistance to A. brassicae, thus providing a good model to study the genetics of resistance. This is the first comprehensive study of quantitative phenotypic variation in response to A. brassicae leading to the identification of the loci governing resistance. QTL mapping in the three populations revealed multiple loci to be involved in resistance, thus confirming the quantitative nature of host responses to necrotrophic pathogens. The presence of different major QTLs in different populations leads us to hypothesize that the resistance to A. brassicae may be conferred by different genes/mechanisms that might be activated in various accessions of Arabidopsis. The overlapping QTL in all the three populations had different effect sizes in different populations, thus indicating that gene(s) in that locus would have varying effects on resistance depending on the genetic background. The study has also shed light on few facets of the genetic architecture of resistance to A. brassicae viz. effect size of the genetic factors identified and the additive nature of resistance. The identification of the gene(s) in the major QTLs and the mechanism underlying the resistance conferred by the gene(s) may, therefore, provide potential breeding targets for disease resistance against Alternaria blight in related Brassica species.
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