Lead (II) and zinc (II) ions removal capacity of coarse limestone and rhyolite tuff from aqueous solutions
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Abstract
The heavy metal adsorption capacity of natural stones is important because it has influence on the dispersion of pollutants. Lead (II) and zinc (II) ions removal capacity of two Hungarian stones (coarse limestone and rhyolite tuff) were analysed under laboratory conditions. Petrophysical parameters of rock samples were determined at air-dried and in water saturated conditions: apparent density, capillary water absorption, ultrasound pulse velocity, open and full porosity. The powdered rock samples and specimens were put into lead-nitrate and zinc-sulphate solutions and the amounts of adsorbed lead (II) and zinc (II) ions were identified by titration of the residual solution. According to the tests, the powdered and cylindrical rock specimens could reduce the concentration of lead (II) and zinc (II) ions in the heavy metal solutions. The results suggest that these two types of rocks could be used in environmental protection technologies such as material of permeable reactive barrier.
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1. Introduction
Waste water with heavy metal content is considered to be hazardous both for human life and for the environment due to their acute toxicity and non-biodegradability. In the last few decades several technologies have been developed to remove heavy metal ions from industrial waste water and prevent the environment from heavy metal pollution. Despite of the high efficiency of the applied technologies (like using activated carbon), these are very expensive and in some cases continuously chemical input and/or pre-treatment is needed. The removals of metals are not always incomplete and the follow-up treatment of the used adsorber materials is not highly elaborated [1]. Therefore, the search of low-cost alternative adsorbents is essential.

Some materials, like porous natural stones, could immobilize heavy metals from industrial waste water and from polluted groundwater according to their chemical and physical properties. Chemical features (especially mineralogical composition) of limestone and rhyolite tuff could bind certain contaminants (with adsorption or chemical precipitation on the mineral surface), and subtract them from the natural geochemical cycle. Physical characteristics (porosity, permeability, and pH) of these two stones could influence the accessibility of the solutions which contain heavy metals [2–5]. These properties of natural porous rocks may prefer to use in many industrial areas, such as waste water and flue gas cleaning processes, as well as agricultural practices of soil improvement. Recently, natural stones are used as adsorbents in permeable reactive barriers in polluted groundwater cleaning processes [6–9] and also as host rocks of hazardous waste deposits [10, 11].

Lead and zinc are one of the most common contaminants of industrial waste waters. Storage batteries, printing, painting, dying, mining, metallurgy and fuel combustion are the source of these heavy metals [12].

Lead and zinc poisoning could cause health problem, such as the damage of liver and kidney, mental retardation, neurological problems. Therefore the reduction of the concentration of lead, zinc and other heavy metal ions in waste water is very indispensable.

The aims of this paper were to observe the spread of heavy metal solution in Hungarian porous coarse limestone and rhyolite tuff, determine the effect of these two rocks on solutions with lead and zinc content, and recommend environmental protecting applications of the examined porous rocks.

2. Materials and methods
2.1 Materials
In this study natural rhyolite tuff and coarse limestone were used as adsorbent materials (Fig. 1).

The rhyolite tuff of Demjén, from Bükkalja (southwest of Eger), is a grey, pumice (centimeter-sized) rich and Miocene pyroclastic rock. In matrix biotite and quartz could be recognized. Three typical tuff scattering levels (lower, middle and upper) could be found at Bükkalja. The examined rhyolite tuff was from the middle tuff level. The thickness range of this tuff level is between 2-20 m [13].
The coarse limestone of Sóskút is a Miocene sedimentary rock. According to its mineralogy and texture, several variations could be identified. During our examination we used the yellowish-white, fine grained ooid rich version. The typical texture of Sóskút coarse limestone is ooid grainstone/packstone [14] by microscopic studies. This limestone could be found in two formations: the most common Túnnyei Limestone Formation (Sarmation) and Rákosi Limestone Formation (Baden). The largest volume of this limestone was mined at Sóskút, Biatorbágy and nearby the capital city of Hungary. It used like building materials of historical monuments in Budapest [15].

2.2 Petrophysical examination of rock samples

We determined basic petrophysical parameters of rock samples in water saturated and air-dried condition: apparent density, capillary water absorption, ultrasound pulse velocity, open and full porosity.

2.3 Preparation of rock samples

The preparation of rock samples was made in two steps. First of all, the coarse limestone and rhyolite tuff were grounded in an agate mortal. The grain size was less than 100 μm.

The examinations were carried out by 5 g powdered rock samples (coarse limestone, rhyolite tuff) in contact with 25 ml of pH neutral heavy metal solutions. The solutions were prepared from basic salts: lead-nitrate Pb(NO₃)₂ and zinc-sulphate ZnSO₄. The concentrations of each solution were 1000 ppm. The examined rocks were kept in lead-nitrate or zinc-sulphate heavy metal solutions. Dried rock specimens were soaked into lead-nitrate or zinc-sulphate heavy metal solutions. Rhyolite tuff contains a lot of glass beside other minerals, like biotite, quartz, feldspars. Glass has several reactive ligands, which can bind heavy metals, like lead and zinc also. This is the same situation with pumice. Demjén rhyolite rock specimens in water saturated and air-dried condition: apparent density of rhyolite tuff is reduced. Because of the low density of pumice (< 1 g/cm³) the high amounts of it, could decrease the apparent density of rhyolite tuff. The pores of pumice are mainly filled by air and have bigger pore diameter, so the ultrasound pulse velocity of rhyolite tuff is reduced. Nevertheless, the two rock open porosity values were high (> 30%) and approximately the same. This result suggests, that both types of rock are sufficiently porous to use as migration passage of the heavy metal solutions.

3. Results

3.1 Petrophysical properties of examined porous rocks

According to the petrophysical studies the coarse limestone's apparent density, ultrasound pulse velocity and capillary water absorption were larger than the rhyolite tuff’s, but the full porosity was less. According to the results, the cause of the difference is the larger amount of pumice in rhyolite tuff. The pore system of pumice is closed, so the water could not migrate so deeply in the stone. This is the reason, why the capillary water absorption and the full porosity values are lower in rhyolite tuff than in coarse limestone. Pores of pumice are mainly filled by air and have bigger pore diameter, so the ultrasound pulse velocity of rhyolite tuff is reduced. Nevertheless, the two rock open porosity values were high (> 30%) and approximately the same. This result suggests, that both types of rock are sufficiently porous to use as migration passage of the experiment solutions (Table 1).

| Tests                      | Coarse limestone | Rhyolite tuff |
|----------------------------|------------------|---------------|
| Apparent density (g/cm³)   | 2.70             | 2.45          |
| Ultrasound pulse velocity (m/s) | 2580-3165   | 1921-2255    |
| Capillary water absorption (kg/m²) | 27-26.9    | 21.1-21.4    |
| Open porosity (%)          | 30.1             | 30.2          |
| Total porosity (%)         | 30.2             | 39.4          |

Table 1: Results of petrophysical analysis

3.2 Wet chemical analysis

In accordance with wet chemicals analysis 1 kilogram of powdered rock samples could immobilize 5-10 times more lead (II) and zinc (II) ions from heavy metal solutions than 1 kilogram of cylindrical rock specimens (Fig. 2 to 3). These phenomena could be explained by the greater specific surface area of powdered rock samples than cylindrical rock specimens. It was also found, that powdered rock samples could bind the same quantity of heavy metals (lead (II) and zinc (II)) against to rock specimens. The cause is the mineralogical content of the examined rocks. Rhyolite tuff contains a lot of glass beside other minerals, like biotite, quartz, feldspars. Glass has several reactive ligands, which can bind heavy metals, like lead and zinc also. This is the same situation with pumice. Demjén rhyolite...
tuff includes many pumice fragments, which are built up mostly from glass. This kind of clasts could increase the binding features of rhyolite tuff. In contrast, coarse limestone is built up only from calcite minerals. This rock is quite homogeneous. Calcite has less reactive functional groups, which could bind heavy metals, but it does not mean that this mineral is not able to do it.

According to wet chemical analysis, rhyolite tuff specimens could bind much bigger quantity of heavy metals (lead (II) and zinc (II)), than coarse limestone specimens (Fig. 2 to 3). It has a physical explanation. Coarse limestone has much smaller pore diameter, than rhyolite tuff. That’s why pores in coarse limestone could be clogged by precipitated minerals (nitrate and sulphate salts) and the migration of heavy metal solution could stop. In this case high amount of the minerals in coarse limestone do not have a chance to contact with heavy metals, and the immobilization will not happen.

It is also important, that both of the examined rocks (in powdered or in specimens form) could bind more lead (II) than zinc (II) ions (Fig. 2 to 3). It could be explained by the difference of mobility between zinc (II) and lead (II) ions. The rate of immobilisation on the solid phase (minerals), in the case of lead (II) ions, is higher than zinc (II) ions.

3.3 Characterization of raw and treated materials

The X-ray diffraction examinations suggest that untreated rock samples did not contains any lead or zinc mineral phases (Fig. 4 to 5). After wet chemical preparation it was found that coarse limestone did not contain heavy metal ions (Fig. 5), but rhyolite tuff contained lead and zinc mineral phases (Fig. 4). It suggests, that heavy metal removal was taken place by chemical precipitation (lead or zinc mineral phases) in rhyolite tuff. Despite the facts, that lead and zinc mineral phases were not determined by X-ray diffraction in coarse limestone, it does not mean that coarse limestone was not able to immobilize heavy metals from their solution. Wet analysis proved that coarse limestone could bind heavy metals from their aqueous solutions, but probably the process did not take place by chemical precipitation, but adsorption. This type of physical adsorption could not be demonstrated by X-ray diffraction. This method is usable for phase analysis. This theory needs to be proved by scanning electron microscope (SEM) observations in the future.

4. Conclusions

Lead (II) and zinc (II) ions could be very successfully removed from aqueous solutions by chemical precipitation and adsorption process on Démjén rhyolite tuff and Sóskút coarse limestone. Lead (II) and zinc (II) ions were bound from aqueous solution by both examined rocks, but heavy metal removal capacity of rhyolite tuff specimens was greater.
Lead (II) ion removal efficiency was higher than zinc (II) ion immobilization in both types of rocks. The binding capacity of powdered rock sample was greater than rock specimens. According to X-ray diffraction analysis, heavy metals were mainly immobilized by chemical precipitation (in crystal form), but physical adsorption processes are not excluded.

Rhyolite tuff from Demjén and coarse limestone from Sóskút are widespread in Hungary. They are readily available, relatively affordable raw materials and according to our investigations these natural stones have quite high heavy metal removal efficiency from aqueous solution, with high concentration. Depending on the desired reduction of heavy metal concentration in aqueous systems, we recommended these two rocks as adsorbent materials in applied environmental processes, such as treating polluted ground water by permeable reactive barrier. Instead of the raw coarse limestone and rhyolite tuff, we also suggest the application of used building stones and materials too.
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Riolituffa és durva mészők oldott átlapotú ólom (II) és cink (II) ion megkötő képességének vizsgálata
A közétek nehézfém megkötő képességei igen fontos az előforduló környezeti szennyeződés okának terjeszkedésének befolyásolásában. Vizsgáltuk a durva mészők ólom (II) és cink (II) ionok koncentrációját csökkenteni a nehézfém tartalma ólomban. A vizsgálatok során két hazai közöttípus (durva mésző és riolituffa) ólom (II) és cink (II) megkötő képességének vizsgálatára. A vizsgálatok során megállapítottuk a durva mészők ólom (II) és cink (II) ionok koncentrációját csökkenteni a nehézfém tartalma ólomban. A vizsgálatok során két hazai közöttípus (durva mésző és riolituffa) ólom (II) és cink (II) ionok koncentrációját csökkenteni a nehézfém tartalma ólomban.