Lon protease inactivation in *Drosophila* causes unfolded protein stress and inhibition of mitochondrial translation
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**Abstract**

Mitochondrial dysfunction is a frequent participant in common diseases and a principal suspect in aging. To combat mitochondrial dysfunction, eukaryotes have evolved a large repertoire of quality control mechanisms. One such mechanism involves the selective degradation of damaged or misfolded mitochondrial proteins by mitochondrial resident proteases, including proteases of the ATPase Associated with diverse cellular Activities (AAA⁺) family. The importance of the AAA⁺ family of mitochondrial proteases is exemplified by the fact that mutations that impair their functions cause a variety of human diseases, yet our knowledge of the cellular responses to their inactivation is limited. To address this matter, we created and characterized flies with complete or partial inactivation of the *Drosophila* matrix-localized AAA⁺ protease Lon. We found that a *Lon* null allele confers early larval lethality and that severely reducing Lon expression using RNAi results in shortened lifespan, locomotor impairment, and respiratory defects specific to respiratory chain complexes that contain mitochondrially encoded subunits. The respiratory chain defects of *Lon* knockdown (*LonKD*) flies appeared to result from severely reduced translation of mitochondrially encoded genes. This translational defect was not a consequence of reduced mitochondrial transcription, as evidenced by the fact that mitochondrial transcripts were elevated in abundance in *LonKD* flies. Rather, the translational defect of *LonKD* flies appeared to be derived from sequestration of mitochondrially encoded transcripts in highly dense ribonucleoparticles. The translational defect of *LonKD* flies was also accompanied by a substantial increase in unfolded mitochondrial proteins. Together, our findings suggest that the accumulation of unfolded mitochondrial proteins triggers a stress response that culminates in the inhibition of mitochondrial translation. Our work provides a foundation to explore the underlying molecular mechanisms.

**Introduction**

Mitochondria are responsible for most of the energy produced by a cell, but the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) as a byproduct of this activity can damage mitochondrial proteins, lipids, and DNA1–3. Also, while mitochondria contain their own genome, most mitochondrial proteins are encoded in the nucleus, and a stoichiometric imbalance between mitochondrial and nuclear encoded respiratory chain subunits can cause misfolding and aggregation of the unassembled proteins4–5. Fortunately, there are many surveillance pathways that oppose or reverse this damage, including the AAA⁺ family of mitochondrial proteases6–8. All of the AAA⁺ proteases form multimeric protein complexes and use ATP to unfold and transport substrates to an internal proteolytic cavity for degradation. In higher eukaryotes, there are five major mitochondrial AAA⁺ proteases that are distinguished by their subunit composition and mitochondrial localization. The most well-studied member of the AAA⁺ protease family is Lon.
Previous work indicates that Lon possesses three different activities, serving as a chaperone and DNA-binding protein in addition to its role in proteolysis. Lon expression is regulated by multiple cellular stresses, including ROS andunfolded protein stress, and there is substantial support for the role of Lon in the degradation of oxidatively damaged and misfolded proteins. Although few Lon substrates are known with certainty, a number of candidate Lon substrates have been identified from biochemical studies aimed at the identification of Lon-binding proteins, including the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) replication factors Twinkle, polymerase gamma, Twinkle, and the chaperones Hsp60 and mtHsp70. Subsequent studies aimed at validating the significance of these binding interactions indicated that Lon inactivation results in increased mtDNA copy number and destabilization of Hsp60 and mtHsp70 under environmental stress. However, it is unclear whether these findings reflect direct effects of Lon inactivation, or downstream cellular responses to loss of Lon activity. Moreover, mutations in Lon have been shown to result in the recessive developmental disorder CODAS (cerebral, ocular, dental, auricular, and skeletal) syndrome, yet the mechanisms by which mutations in Lon cause this disease are currently unknown.

To create a simple, genetically tractable model system to explore the biological role of Lon and the pathological consequences of Lon inactivation, we used CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene targeting and RNAi to create Drosophila strains with complete and partial loss of Lon function. We found that Lon is an essential gene in Drosophila and that flies expressing RNAi against Lon (Lon knockdown flies, or Lon KD flies) had shortened lifespan, defective locomotion, and altered mitochondrial activity. The respiratory chain deficits in Lon KD flies were specific to respiratory chain complexes that contain subunits encoded by the mitochondrial genome, suggesting that altered expression of mitochondrial encoded components underlies this defect. Consistent with this conclusion, we found that reduced mitochondrial complex activity is accompanied by reduced complex abundance and diminished mitochondrial translation. The translational defect of Lon KD flies was not a consequence of reduced mitochondrial transcription, but rather appeared to be a consequence of reduced association of mitochondrial transcripts on mitochondrial ribosomes and packaging of mitochondrial transcripts into highly dense ribonucleoparticles. The translational defect of Lon KD flies was also accompanied by elevated abundance of unfolded mitochondrial proteins, and overexpression of another matrix protease, ClpP, partially rescued the defects associated with Lon inactivation, possibly by reducing the burden of unfolded mitochondrial proteins. Together, our findings strongly suggest that Lon inactivation results in the activation of an unfolded protein stress response that inhibits mitochondrial translation.

**Results**

**Creation of Lon-deficient Drosophila strains**

To explore the biological roles of Lon protease we used CRISPR/Cas9 technology to create a null allele of the Drosophila Lon gene (CG8798). Briefly, we constructed guide RNAs designed to create double-strand breaks flanking the Lon coding sequence (Suppl. Figure 1). We also created a donor vector construct consisting of the DsRed marker flanked by 5’ and 3’ untranslated sequences from the Lon coding region for use in homology-directed recombinational repair of the double-strand breaks. Flies expressing the DsRed marker were then subjected to whole-genome sequencing to verify correct targeting of DsRed to the Lon locus and complete deletion of the Lon gene. Flies heterozygous for this deletion were fully viable with no detectable phenotypes. However, homozygous flies died at the second instar larval stage of development, demonstrating that Lon is essential for viability (Suppl. Figure 2a).

RNAi often reduces but does not completely eliminate target gene expression. Thus, we tested whether RNAi lines targeting Lon would circumvent the lethality conferred by a null mutation in Lon. We tested two different RNAi constructs targeting Lon (designated Lon-RNAi-1 and Lon-RNAi-2) that we used in previously published work to explore the influence of Lon on the abundance and activity of the mitophagy factor PINK1. Our previous work demonstrated that these RNAi lines differed in the efficiency by which they reduced Lon expression, with the Lon-RNAi-2 line resulting in greater reduction in Lon expression. We expressed each RNAi line using two GAL4 drivers simultaneously: the pan-neuronal elav-GAL4 driver and the ubiquitous da-GAL4 driver. Driving the stronger Lon-RNAi-2 line with this combination of GAL4 drivers failed to yield viable adult flies, but flies expressing the weaker Lon-RNAi-1 transgene were fully viable and fertile as young adults, and had no obvious morphological alterations. Western blot analyses performed on heads and whole flies indicated that Lon expression was significantly reduced in flies bearing the UAS-Lon-RNAi-1, elav-GAL4, and da-GAL4 transgenes compared to controls expressing RNAi against the exogenous mCherry sequence (UAS-mCherry-RNAi) (Fig. 1a and Suppl. Figure 2b). Flies bearing the Lon-RNAi-1, elav-GAL4, and da-GAL4 transgenes were used in most of the remaining studies and will hereafter be called Lon KD.

**Partial inactivation of Lon results in shortened lifespan, locomotor defects, and altered respiratory chain activity**

To further characterize the Lon KD phenotypes, we subjected the flies to several standard Drosophila...
behavioral assays. Although LonKΔ flies appeared normal upon eclosion, they were significantly shorter-lived than the control flies (Fig. 1b). The maximal and median life-spans of LonKΔ flies were similar to those of flies bearing null mutations in genes encoding the other AAA+ mitochondrial protease family members dYME1L and SPG725. Young LonKΔ flies also exhibited defects in climbing and flight starting early in life (Fig. 1c, d).

Inactivation of Lon in other model systems results in reduced respiratory chain activity27. Thus, we tested whether LonKΔ flies exhibit similar respiratory chain defects, using established biochemical assays to quantify respiratory chain activity in young (1 day) and old (3 weeks) LonKΔ flies. We found that respiratory chain complexes I and IV had reduced activity in old LonKΔ flies compared to the controls, while young flies showed reduction only in complex I activity (Fig. 2a). However, complex II activity was increased significantly in both young and old LonKΔ flies. These alterations could result from a functional change in respiratory chain activity or from altered respiratory chain abundance. To distinguish between these possibilities while simultaneously confirming and extending our biochemical studies, we assessed the abundance of assembled complexes and their corresponding activities using blue native PAGE (BN-PAGE) and in-gel enzyme activity assays28. This work revealed that complexes I, III, and IV all exhibited both reduced activity and reduced abundance in LonKΔ flies, whereas complex II exhibited an increase in activity (Fig. 2b–d). Additionally, this work revealed the presence of a partially assembled but catalytically active F1 subunit-containing subcomplex of ATP synthase (complex V) (Fig. 2b, e). These alterations were also accompanied by a reduction in ATP content in LonKΔ flies (Suppl. Figure 3). Together, our findings indicate that partial inactivation of Lon results in a progressive age-dependent decline in oxidative phosphorylation capacity, and that this decline may underlie the shortened lifespan and behavioral deficits of LonKΔ flies.

Lon knockdown results in increased mtDNA-encoded transcript abundance and reduced translation

All of the respiratory chain complexes exhibiting reduced abundance and assembly in LonKΔ flies (complexes I, III, IV, and V) contain one or more subunits encoded by mtDNA. Additionally, our BN-PAGE and in-gel activity assays in LonKΔ flies indicated that the ATP synthase F1 subunit containing subcomplex (consisting entirely of subunits encoded by nuclear DNA) accumulated at the expense of the FO subcomplex (which includes two subunits encoded by mtDNA). By contrast, complex II, which exhibited increased activity in LonKΔ flies, consists entirely of nuclear DNA-encoded components. These findings led us to hypothesize that reduced mtDNA abundance, reduced transcription of mtDNA-encoded subunits, and/or reduced translation of mitochondrial transcripts might account for the decreased expression of complexes I, III, IV, and V.

To begin to explore these hypotheses, we first compared mtDNA abundance in LonKΔ flies and the controls. While increased mtDNA abundance in response to Lon inactivation has been reported29, mtDNA copy number was unchanged in LonKΔ flies (Fig. 3a). This is consistent with our previous finding of unchanged mtDNA abundance when Lon was knocked down with elav-GAL4 alone34.

We next analyzed the steady-state levels of several mitochondrial transcripts by qRT-PCR. We found that levels of all transcripts analyzed, including 12S and 16S rRNA
and several mRNAs, were increased in old LonKD flies (Fig. 3b). Additionally, the transcript abundance of the mitochondrial transcription-promoting factors TFAM and mtTFB2 was unchanged in LonKD flies (Suppl. Figure 4). Together, these findings indicate that the respiratory chain defects in LonKD flies do not derive from reduced mitochondrial gene dosage or reduced mitochondrial transcription.

To test whether LonKD flies manifest a translational defect, we used 35S-labeled methionine to perform in organello labeling of mitochondria prepared from adult flies. However, we detected very little labeling of mitochondrial proteins in our control flies (Suppl. Figure 5). This finding may reflect the fact that mitochondrial proteins are extremely long-lived and that there is thus a decreased need for mitochondrial translation during the adult stage of development. However, previous work has detected robust labeling of mitochondrial proteins using mitochondria obtained from larvae, so we repeated our in organello labeling studies using mitochondria from third instar larvae. Our western blot analysis confirmed that Lon expression was greatly reduced in LonKD larvae relative to the controls (Fig. 3c). This experiment revealed a substantial decrease in de novo labeling of mitochondrial translation products in LonKD larvae relative to the controls (Fig. 3d), suggesting that the decreased
abundance of complexes I, III, IV, and V in Lon\textsuperscript{KD} flies is a direct consequence of a translational defect. The increased abundance of mtDNA-encoded transcripts and nuclear DNA-encoded complex II may represent compensatory responses to alleviate this translational deficiency\textsuperscript{31–33}.

**Mitochondrial transcripts are packaged into untranslated particles in Lon\textsuperscript{KD} flies**

To investigate the mechanism by which inactivation of Lon impairs mitochondrial translation, we assessed the state of mitochondrial ribosome assembly by performing sucrose density gradient sedimentation analyses.
Mitochondrial extracts from Lon<sup>KD</sup> flies and controls were subjected to sucrose density gradient analysis as previously described<sup>30</sup>. Fractions from the sucrose gradient were then subjected to qRT-PCR to quantify the distribution of the 12S and 16S mitochondrial ribosomal RNAs, which mark the small (28S) and large (39S) mitochondrial ribosomal subunits, respectively. Co-localization of the 12S and 16S mitochondrial ribosomal RNAs within the same fraction is diagnostic for fully assembled and actively translating mitochondrial ribosomes (55S). This analysis revealed that the abundance of 12S and 16S ribosomal RNAs in fully assembled mitochondrial ribosome fractions (fraction 15–17) was decreased by 5.8% and 6.4%, respectively, in Lon<sup>KD</sup> flies relative to the controls (Fig. 4).

The mild decrease in mitochondrial ribosome assembly appeared insufficient to account for the translational defect of Lon<sup>KD</sup> flies, especially in light of the fact that mitochondrial transcripts are elevated in abundance relative to the controls. Thus, we examined other possible explanations for the translational defect of Lon-deficient flies. One possible explanation of our findings was that mitochondrial transcripts are translated at lower efficiency in Lon<sup>KD</sup> flies. To test this model, we used sucrose density gradient centrifugation to quantify the relative distribution of four different mitochondrial transcripts, including mt:ND5, mt:Cyt-b, mt:CoII, and ATPase8/6, in gradient fractions (Fig. 5). All of these mRNAs displayed a predominant sedimentation peak co-migrating with the 55S ribosome in control samples. By contrast, in Lon<sup>KD</sup> flies a smaller proportion of these transcripts co-migrated with the 55S ribosome, and this decrease was accompanied by a striking increase in the proportion of these transcripts that sedimented to the bottom of the gradient (Fig. 5). This finding suggests that a substantial proportion of the mitochondrial transcripts in Lon<sup>KD</sup> flies are packaged into large untranslated particles.

**Lon knockdown flies accumulate unfolded mitochondrial proteins and trigger the mitochondrial unfolded protein response**

Lon is believed to play a critical role in the degradation of unfolded mitochondrial proteins<sup>34–36</sup>. The accumulation of unfolded proteins in multiple cellular compartments has been shown to activate a stress response known as the unfolded protein response (UPR) that is specific to the compartment in which the unfolded proteins reside<sup>37–39</sup>. The cytosolic and endoplasmic reticulum (ER) UPR restore protein homeostasis through a two-tiered system consisting of increased expression of chaperones to facilitate the refolding of misfolded proteins, and phosphorylation-mediated inactivation of the cytosolic translation-initiation factor eIF2α to attenuate translation<sup>40</sup>. While previous work has established that unfolded protein stress in the mitochondria triggers the induction of chaperones and proteases, whether mitochondrial translation is inhibited by unfolded protein stress is less...
clear. Our findings that Lon inactivation appears to result in the sequestration of mitochondrially encoded mRNAs into translationally inactive particles, coupled with the severe defect in mitochondrial translation, led us to hypothesize that the mitochondrial UPR triggers translational inhibition.

To explore our hypothesis we first analyzed whether LonKD flies accumulate unfolded mitochondrial proteins and whether they activate the mitochondrial UPR. To test whether unfolded mitochondrial proteins accumulate in LonKD flies, we prepared fly head protein extracts using Triton X-100. We then subjected Triton-soluble and -insoluble proteins to western blot analysis using antisera to selected mitochondrial proteins to quantify the proportion of each protein in the soluble and insoluble fractions. LonKD flies had higher levels of insoluble mitochondrial proteins than the control flies at both day 1 and day 21 of age (Fig. 6a and Suppl. Figure 6a), indicating that LonKD flies accumulate unfolded mitochondrial proteins. We next examined the abundance of the mitochondrial UPR markers Hsp60 and Hsc70-5 in LonKD flies. This analysis revealed a marked increase in both Hsp60 and Hsc70-5 in LonKD flies at day 1 and at day 21 of age (Fig. 6b and Suppl. Figure 6b). Together, these findings indicate that LonKD flies accumulate unfolded proteins and trigger the mitochondrial UPR.
Overexpression of ClpP protease ameliorates the defect of Lon knockdown flies

Protein quality control in the mitochondrial matrix is regulated by an elaborate network of proteases and chaperones, including the AAA+ protease family member Clp protease. To test whether the accumulation of unfolded proteins in Lon$^{KD}$ flies is responsible for the phenotypes associated with Lon inactivation, we co-expressed the proteolytic subunit of the Drosophila Clp protease (CG5045, hereafter ClpP) along with the Lon-RNAi-1 RNAi construct and examined whether ClpP overexpression is capable of rescuing the locomotor defect of Lon$^{KD}$ flies. To account for possible titration of GAL4 protein in the presence of two UAS transgenes, we compared Lon knockdown flies overexpressing ClpP to Lon knockdown flies expressing mCherry RNAi. However, strong overexpression of ClpP in Lon$^{KD}$ flies worsened the locomotor defect (Suppl. Figure 7). Thus, we tested whether ClpP expression could rescue a climbing defect caused by expressing the Lon-RNAi-1 transgene using just the elav-GAL4 driver (designated as LonKD-elav).

We first confirmed that driving the UAS-ClpP transgene with elav-GAL4 resulted in the production of detectable ClpP protein (Fig. 7a) and use of the elav-GAL4 driver in conjunction with the Lon-RNAi-1 transgene reduced Lon expression (Suppl. Figure 8) and caused a climbing defect (Fig. 7b). Results of this analysis revealed that ClpP overexpression rescued the locomotor defect of Lon
knockdown flies, thus supporting the hypothesis that the accumulation of unfolded proteins is responsible for the phenotypes of Lon-deficient flies (Fig. 7b).

**Discussion**

Mitochondria are particularly prone to damage. They are the primary source and recipient of damaging ROS and continual replication of the mitochondrial genome throughout life culminates in mutation frequencies often orders of magnitude greater than that of the nuclear genome. Moreover, expression of the mitochondrial and nuclear genomes must be coordinated to ensure proper stoichiometry of mitochondrial and nuclear-encoded respiratory chain components. An imbalance in this coordination can result in the accumulation of misfolded proteins and mitochondrial dysfunction. The AAA+ mitochondrial protease family is believed to play an important role in maintaining mitochondrial competence by degrading and thereby facilitating the replacement of oxidatively damaged and misfolded proteins. However, we know little of the cellular responses and the pathogenic mechanisms of diseases caused by mutations in the genes that encode the AAA+ proteases. Our current work advances our understanding of these matters by showing that inactivation of the AAA+ protease Lon results in respiratory chain defects that appear to result from translational inhibition. Our findings further indicate that the translational defect caused by Lon inactivation results at least in part from the sequestration of mtDNA-encoded mRNAs into translationally inactive particles. Finally, we find that insoluble mitochondrial matrix proteins accumulate in LonKd animals, suggesting that mitochondrial protein aggregation triggers translational inactivation as a stress response. Our work provides a foundation to further explore the mechanisms by which mitochondrial unfolded protein stress inhibits mitochondrial translation.

Protein unfolding in the ER and cytoplasm induce well-characterized stress responses. Each of these stress pathways has two arms: increased expression of chaperones to refold proteins, and translational inhibition to limit further protein synthesis. Translational inhibition is mediated by phosphorylation of eIF2α and culminates in the formation of translationally stalled ribonucleoprotein/mRNA complexes known as stress granules. More recently, work in a variety of experimental systems has established the existence of a mitochondria-specific version of the unfolded protein response (UPRmt). The degree to which this pathway is evolutionarily conserved remains an active area of investigation, it has been shown in both vertebrate and invertebrate models that mitochondrial unfolded protein stress triggers the transcriptional activation of genes involved in protein folding and degradation. However, previous work has not clearly established whether the UPRmt also results in inhibition of mitochondrial translation. Recently published findings in vertebrate cell culture have revealed that inactivation of the matrix chaperone Trap1 results in inhibition of mitochondrial translation as a consequence of a pre-RNA processing defect. Our findings that Lon knockdown flies have both an excess of mitochondrial unfolded proteins and an impairment of mitochondrial translation raise the possibility that the UPRmt, like other unfolded protein stress pathways, has a second arm...
involving translational inhibition. However, the precise mechanism by which mitochondrial unfolded protein stress in Lon-deficient animals inhibits mitochondrial translation will require further investigation.

Although most of the respiratory chain complexes exhibited reduced activity and abundance upon Lon inactivation, complex II activity was increased. This increase may simply represent a compensatory response, as complex II has no mitochondrially encoded subunits, and increased complex II activity has previously been observed in mutants with defects in mitochondrial gene expression\(^{30,31,52}\). However, it is also possible that increased complex II activity is a consequence of reduced degradation of the complex II assembly factor Sdh5, given that previous work has shown that Lon mediates the turnover of Sdh5.\(^{53}\) Lon knockdown might thus reduce the degradation of Sdh5 and promote complex II assembly. The increased abundance of mitochondrially encoded transcripts upon Lon inactivation may likewise reflect either a general compensatory response or a specific change due to altered turnover of a possible Lon substrate. Increased mitochondrial transcript abundance has been reported previously in mutants with defective mitochondrial RNA processing and translation, suggesting that increasing the abundance of mitochondrial mRNA is a common response to inadequate mitochondrial translation\(^{30,31,52}\). However, the accumulation of mitochondrially encoded RNAs in Lon\(^{KD}\) flies could also be explained by the finding that these flies accumulate the RNA-binding protein Lrpprc1 (leucine-rich pentatricopeptide repeat motif-containing 1 protein; Suppl. Figure 9), which is known to stabilize mitochondrial transcripts\(^{31,54,55}\). Finally, Lon is a known component of mitochondrial nucleoids, and could therefore influence the turnover and abundance of other proteins involved in the synthesis or stabilization of mitochondrial transcripts\(^{56}\). Future work will be required to address these matters.

There are many unanswered questions regarding the biological roles of the AAA\(^+\) protease family and the mitochondrial response to unfolded protein stress. For example, mutations in the human gene encoding Lon cause a developmental disorder known as CODAS syndrome\(^{23}\). However, the underlying mechanisms causing disease are completely unknown. Although Lon is well known to promote the degradation of oxidatively damaged and unfolded proteins, the specific protein substrates of Lon are largely unknown. Whether unfolded protein stress in the mitochondria generally triggers translation inhibition, or this feature is specific to Lon inactivation is also unclear. Our study provides a foundation to address these questions and the mechanisms underlying CODAS syndrome in future work.

### Materials and methods

#### Fly stocks and maintenance

_Drosophila_ stocks were maintained on cornmeal-molasses food at 25°C on a 12 h:12 h light–dark cycle. The UAS-Lon-RNAi-1 construct P(GD14030)-v36036 was obtained from the Vienna Drosophila Resource Center. The w\(^{118}\), UAS-mCherry RNAi (P[VALIUM20-mCherry]attP2), UAS-Lon-RNAi-2 (P[TRiP.HMS01060]attP2), elav-GAL4, and da-GAL4 driver lines were obtained from the Bloomington Stock Center (Bloomington, IN, USA). The UAS-ClpP-FLAG-HA transgenic line was obtained from the Fly Facility, National Centre for Biological Sciences, Bangalore, India.

The Lon knockout allele was created using CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene editing according to a published procedure\(^{25,57}\). Briefly, we replaced the Lon (CG8798) coding sequence with DsRed through homology-mediated repair. The following primer sequences were used for guide RNAs targeting the 5′ and 3′ UTR regions of Lon:

- 5′-Guide RNA
  - Sense oligo: 5′-CTTCGATAATACACTCACCACACAT T-3′
  - Antisense oligo: 5′-AAAAATGTTGAGTGAGTGAT TATC-3′
- 3′-Guide RNA
  - Sense oligo: 5′-CTTCGGGTTGTTGCGGGTGTTGA T-3′
  - Antisense oligo: 5′-AACATCAACACCGCAACAC CCC-3′

Sequences flanking the Lon coding region were amplified from genomic DNA to facilitate homology-directed repair using the following primer sequences:

- 5′-Homology arm
  - Forward: 5′-CCGGCACCTCCTGCCCTGCAGTGT CCGATCATGGTGGGAATGGG-3′
- 3′-Homology arm
  - Forward: 5′-GGCGGTCTTCACTGATAGGTTTT TAAATATCTATCGTTATCAGG-3′

These homology arms were then cloned into the pHDS-DsRed-attP vector containing the eye-specific 3xP3 promoter fused with DsRed and the resulting construct was microinjected into Cas9-expressing embryos by a commercial service (Rainbow Transgenic Flies Inc.). Flies bearing the Lon deletion were identified by screening the offspring of injected adults for expression of red fluorescence in the compound eye. Flies expressing the DsRed marker were then further subjected to whole-genome sequencing to verify deletion of the Lon gene.

---
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Lifespan and behavioral analyses

Lifespan and behavioral analyses were performed using male flies. Age in all these experiments refers to the number of days following eclosion. Longevity assays were performed at 25°C and involved 20 flies per vial. Flies were transferred to fresh food every 2–3 days and the number of dead flies was recorded during each transfer. Kaplan–Meier lifespan curves were generated using GraphPad Prism v5, and we used the Mantel–Cox log-rank test to determine the statistical significance of differences in survival between tested genotypes.

For climbing and flight assays, flies were anesthetized with CO₂ and allowed to recover for at least 24 h before the experiment. Climbing behavior was assessed using the Rapid Iterative Negative Geotaxis (RING) assay at day 1 according to a previously published protocol with minor modifications. In particular, height climbed was measured on still images from video recordings rather than on photographs. Briefly, 15 flies were transferred into plastic vials and these vials were then loaded onto the RING apparatus. The apparatus was tapped down to initiate the climbing response and the height climbed by each fly after 3 s was recorded. The climbing assay was repeated three times for each group.

Flight assays were performed according to a previously published protocol. Briefly, an acetate sheet was divided into five equal parts, coated with grease and inserted into a 2-liter graduated cylinder. One-day-old flies were tapped into a funnel at the top of the cylinder and became stuck to the vacuum grease where they alighted. The number of flies that alighted in each of the five sections was counted and multiplied by the number corresponding to each section (0–4, labeled from bottom to top). The flight index was calculated by summing these values and dividing this sum by the maximum possible score (four times the number of flies used in the assay). At least 100 flies of each genotype were used for a given experiment.

Mitochondrial respiratory chain activity assay

Mitochondrial respiratory chain activity assays were performed according to a published procedure with several minor modifications. Briefly, 1000 adult flies were homogenized in isolation buffer (5 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 250 mM sucrose, and 2 mM EGTA) with 1% (w/v) fatty acid free bovine serum albumin. The lysate was subjected to centrifugation at 600 g for 10 min to remove cellular debris. The supernatant was then subjected to further centrifugation at 7000 g for 10 min to pellet mitochondria. Mitochondria were washed twice in isolation buffer, resuspended in the same buffer, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C. Roughly 100 µg of mitochondria was used for each assay. Complex I activity was determined spectrophotometrically by monitoring the oxidation of NADH at 340 nm using ubiquinone-1 as an electron acceptor. Nonspecific activity was determined using 10 µM rotenone and subtracted to calculate complex I-specific activity. Complex II activity was determined by monitoring the reduction of 2,6-dichloro-phenolindophenol at 600 nm in the presence of succinate and decylubiquinone. Background activity was determined using 10 mM malonate and subtracted to calculate complex II-specific activity. Complex III activity was determined by monitoring the reduction of cytochrome c at 550 nm in a reaction mixture containing decylubiquinol and cytochrome c. Nonspecific activity was determined using antimycin A and subtracted to calculate complex III-specific activity. Complex IV activity assay was performed by monitoring the oxidation of reduced cytochrome c at 550 nm. Background activity was determined using potassium cyanide and used to calculate complex IV-specific activity. All activities were normalized to citrate synthase activity, which was determined by following the reduction of 5,5′-dithiobis (2-nitrobenzoic acid) at 412 nm in the presence of acetyl-coenzyme A and oxaloacetate.

Total ATP determination

Total ATP was determined from whole flies according to a previously published procedure. Briefly, five 21-day-old flies were homogenized in 100 µL of homogenization buffer (6 M guanidine HCL, 100 mM Tris (pH 7.8), and 4 mM EDTA). The samples were boiled for 5 min and subjected to centrifugation for 3 min at 21,000 g to remove debris. The supernatant was then diluted to 1:750 and total ATP content was measured using an ATP determination kit (A22066, Molecular Probes). Total ATP content was determined by comparing the luminescence measurements for each sample to the ATP standard curve and normalized to the total number of flies used in the assay.

Blue native PAGE (BN-PAGE) analysis and in-gel activity assay

BN-PAGE and in-gel activity assays were performed according to a previously published protocol. Briefly, 100 µg of mitochondria prepared from 3-week-old adult flies was solubilized in a buffer containing a digitonin/protein (w/w) ratio of 8 and subjected to centrifugation at 20,000 g for 10 min at 4°C. Coomassie G-250 was added to the supernatant and the sample was analyzed by native PAGE. Following electrophoresis, the resulting gel was subjected to in-gel activity assays as described below.

The combined complex I and IV in-gel activity assay was performed by first incubating the gel in a solution containing 1 mg/ml of the complex IV substrate cytochrome c along with 0.5 mg/ml 3,3′-diaminobenzidine and 45 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) for 40 min. After
the appearance of brown reaction products, the gel was washed with water and incubated in a solution containing 0.1 mg/ml of the complex I substrate NADH along with 2 mM Tris (pH 7.4) and 2.5 mg/ml nitrotetrazolium blue chloride for 20 min. The reaction was quenched with 10% acetic acid upon the appearance of the violet color indicative of complex I activity.

The complex II in-gel activity assay was performed by incubating the gel in a solution consisting of 5 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 20 mM sodium succinate, 2.5 mg/ml nitrotetrazolium blue chloride, and 0.2 mM phenazine methosulfate for 40 min. The reaction was quenched with 10% acetic acid upon the appearance of the violet color indicative of complex II activity.

The complex V in-gel activity assay was carried out by incubating the gel in a solution containing 35 mM Tris, 270 mM glycine, 14 mM magnesium sulfate, 10 mM adenosine triphosphate, and 0.2% lead (II) nitrate for 16 h. The reaction was stopped using 50% methanol upon the appearance of silver bands indicative of complex V activity.

Immunoblotting

Fly heads were homogenized in RIPA buffer with protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) for 20 min on ice, and after centrifugation at 21,000 × g for 20 min the supernatant was collected and subjected to western blot analysis. The antibodies used were as follows: rabbit anti-LONP1 1:500 (NBP1-81734, Novus Biologicals); mouse anti-Actin 1:50,000 (MAB1501, Chemicon/Bioscience Research Reagents); mouse anti-FLAG 1:1000 (F3165, Sigma); rabbit anti-citrate synthase 1:1000 (CISY11-A, Alpha Diagnostics), rabbit anti-GRP 75 (H155) 1:1000 (sc-13967, Santa Cruz) in Phosphate buffered saline with 0.1% Tween-20. Following electrophoresis, the gel was dried and exposed to a phosphor screen. The phosphor screen was quantified using ImageJ software (NIH) and normalized to actin. Each experiment was performed in triplicate.

To analyze unfolded mitochondrial proteins, protein was extracted from fly heads as previously described, except that 0.5% rather than 1% Triton X-100 was used in accordance with other work on mitochondrial proteins. Antibodies for assaying mitochondrial protein folding status were used as follows: mouse anti-NDUFS3 1:500 (ab14711, Abcam), mouse anti-Complex V Beta 1:2000 (A-21351, Invitrogen), mouse anti-PDH E1α (clone 8D10E6) 1:1000 (45-660-0, Fisher Scientific), and rabbit anti-aconitase (ACO2) 1:2000 (AP1936C, Abgent).

Genomic DNA isolation and mitochondrial DNA copy number estimation

Mitochondrial and nuclear DNA was isolated from 40–50 heads obtained from 21-day-old adult flies using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue kit (Qiagen). A total 5 ng of genomic DNA was used as a template to perform qPCR using iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad). Mitochondrial DNA levels were estimated by using primers (Supplemental Table 1) to amplify the mt:Cyt-b gene, and normalized to levels of the nuclear gene Act79b. The relative fold change was determined through the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method.

RNA isolation and quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR)

RNA isolation and qRT-PCR was performed according to a previously published procedure. Total RNA was isolated from 40–50 heads obtained from 21-day-old adult flies using the Direct-zol RNA MiniPrep kit (Zymo Research). The RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using the iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad). For RNA quantification, qRT-PCR experiments were performed using iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix and a LightCycler 480 (Roche). Each sample was analyzed in triplicate and normalized to Act79b transcript abundance. The relative fold change was determined by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method. All primers used for qRT-PCR are listed in Supplemental Table 1.

In organello translation

De novo labeling of mitochondrial translation products was performed as previously described. Approximately 750 µg of mitochondria isolated from third instar larvae or adult flies was resuspended in 500 µl of translation buffer (100 mM mannitol, 10 mM sodium succinate, 80 mM potassium chloride, 5 mM magnesium chloride, 1 mM potassium phosphate, 25 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 60 µg/ml all amino acids except methionine, 5 mM ATP, 0.2 mM GTP, 6 mM creatine phosphate, and 60 µg/ml creatine kinase) supplemented with 500 µCi/ml of $^{35}$S-methionine (Perkin–Elmer). Following incubation at 30 °C for 1 h, mitochondria were washed four times using isolation buffer and resuspended in SDS sample buffer. Roughly 300 µg of mitochondria was subjected to SDS-PAGE. Following electrophoresis, the gel was dried and exposed to a phosphor screen. The phosphor screen was scanned using a gel imaging scanner (GE Typhoon FLA 9000). Mitochondrial translation profile was compared to a previously published study done in Drosophila larvae. Roughly 75 µg of mitochondria was loaded on gel and stained with Coomassie to use as a loading control.

Mitochondrial ribosomal profiling using sucrose density gradient assay

Mitochondrial ribosomal profiling was performed according to a previously published procedure with minor modifications. Freshly isolated mitochondria (2 mg) were incubated on ice in lysis buffer (260 mM sucrose, 100 mM...
Received: 7 September 2018 Accepted: 11 September 2018

100 mM NH₄Cl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 30 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, EDTA-free complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and PhosSTOP phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Roche). Mitochondrial lysates were cleared by pelleting the debris through centrifugation at 10,000 g for 45 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was then loaded onto a 7–47% linear sucrose gradient made in a buffer containing 100 mM NH₄Cl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 30 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, and EDTA-free complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). Samples were then subjected to centrifugation at 39,000 rpm for 6 h at 4 °C. Fractions of 500 µl from the sucrose gradient sedimentation were collected and RNA was extracted from each fraction using TRIZol LS Reagent (Invitrogen) and the Direct-zol RNA MiniPrep kit. RNA was reverse transcribed and qRT-PCR analyses were performed to quantify RNAs as described above.

**Statistics**

All findings are presented as mean ± SEM. Unless otherwise stated, statistical significance was calculated using two-tailed Student’s t-test in GraphPad Prism v5.
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