Double-stranded RNA production and the kinetics of recombinant *Escherichia coli* HT115 in fed-batch culture
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**A B S T R A C T**

Double-stranded RNA can induce interference processes. The specificity of this system raises the possibility of using dsRNA for therapeutic applications targeting viral diseases. *Escherichia coli* HT115 (DE3) has been widely used to produce dsRNA; however, the kinetics of dsRNA production and the relationship between dsRNA and biomass remain unknown. Our aims were to study the kinetics of dsRNA production and to improve dsRNA productivity with fed-batch technology. The results revealed that the production of dsRNA was growth-associated. In batch fermentation, the dsRNA/biomass yield remained close to 0.06 g·g⁻¹, with a maximum productivity of 11.1 mg·l⁻¹·h⁻¹ at 10 h of culture. In fed-batch fermentation, the yield was 0.06 g·g⁻¹, with a maximum dsRNA productivity of 15.2 mg·l⁻¹·h⁻¹ at the end of the feed (12 h). Therefore, to increase the production of dsRNA, it is necessary to enhance the biomass that produces the recombinant nucleic acid.

© 2018 Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) is involved in various biological processes, one of which is RNA interference (RNAi), wherein dsRNA triggers the sequence-specific degradation of messenger RNA [1]. This mechanism silences the expression of specific genes based on homologous sequences [2]. Several new treatments based on RNAi are currently being developed, including treatments directed at controlling viral diseases in intensive animal farming systems such as aquaculture [3–6]. Notably, several of these high-scale treatments use bacteria for dsRNA production. The use of recombinant bacteria to produce dsRNA, as bacterial factories, is an effective strategy because of the ease of handling and the high growth rate of bacteria, in addition to their capacity to retain plasmids [7]. *Escherichia coli* HT115 (DE3) possesses all of the aforementioned characteristics in addition to containing the T7 polymerase-encoding gene [8–13] and can thus generate dsRNA from dual T7 promoter-containing plasmids. Moreover, this bacterial strain has a mutation in the RNAase III-encoding gene [8,9] that confers characteristics that are suitable for its use as a dsRNA-producing factory.

The use of batch cultures under controlled conditions allows for the study of bacterial growth and dsRNA production kinetics. Some products, such as proteins or acetic acid, are associated with microbial growth. However, other bacterial products, such as xanthan and lactic acid, are formed when bacterial growth decelerates or stops [14,15]. To date, although numerous studies have used *E. coli* HT115 (DE3) to produce dsRNA, the kinetics of dsRNA production and their relationship to the growth of the bacteria remain unknown. This information would allow for the development of more efficient dsRNA bacterial factories, maximize the productivity of dsRNA and expand the application of this technology. The aims of this work were to study the kinetics of dsRNA production in *E. coli* HT115 (DE3) and to improve dsRNA productivity in fed-batch fermentation.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Bacteria strain and media

*Escherichia coli* HT115 (DE3) RNAase III deficient strain (kindly donated by the Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, NY, USA) containing the double T7-promoter plasmid L4440 with one 480-bp segment (construct L4440-s3, developed in our laboratory) was used for dsRNA production. The bacteria were grown in batches in flasks
with LB broth (10 g tryptone l\(^{-1}\), 5 g yeast extract l\(^{-1}\) and 10 g NaCl l\(^{-1}\)) supplemented with 100 \(\mu\)g ampicillin ml\(^{-1}\) and 12.5 \(\mu\)g tetracycline ml\(^{-1}\) as selection markers.

### 2.2. Batch fermentation and dsRNA production

dsRNA production was conducted in a 5 l bioreactor at pH 7, 37 °C, a 300-rpm agitation rate, and 1 VVM aeration for 12 h. To evaluate the growth of E. coli, the OD\(_{600nm}\) was recorded every hour during bacterial growth and is expressed as the biomass concentration (g l\(^{-1}\)) based on a linear model. When the culture was in its second hour of growth, the dsRNA production was induced using a 25-mM lactose pulse [16].

### 2.3. dsRNA extraction and quantification

Total nucleic acid was extracted from the biomass 2 h post-induction (at 4 h of bacterial growth) and every 2 h thereafter as previously described [5,8,9,17] using the one-step protocol of Posirii et al. [13] with modifications. The bacterial pellet was resuspended in 5 ml of 70% v/v ethanol in PBS, incubated at 4 °C for 5 min and collected by centrifugation at 10,000 g for 10 min at 4 °C. Next, the bacterial pellet was resuspended in 1 ml of 150 mM NaCl and incubated at 4 °C for 1 h. The centrifugation was then repeated for 10 min. The supernatant was collected, and the genetic material was allowed to precipitate at −20 °C overnight in absolute ethanol. Afterwards, the supernatant was centrifuged for 30 min at 10,000 g at 4 °C, and the formation of a white pellet was observed [5,13]. The purified dsRNA was quantified as described by García et al. [5].

### 2.4. Fed-batch fermentation

A fed-batch culture with an exponential feeding flow at a constant rate (0.27 h\(^{-1}\)) in a 3 l bioreactor was utilized. Fermentation began with an initial volume of 21 and ended after 12 h (9 h of feeding) with a volume of 2.8 l. The conditions were the same as those of the batch culture, i.e., LB broth supplemented with 100 \(\mu\)g ampicillin ml\(^{-1}\) and 12.5 \(\mu\)g tetracycline ml\(^{-1}\), pH 7,37 °C, 300 rpm agitation rate, and 1 VVM aeration. At 2 h, the culture was induced with 25 mM lactose, then, at the 3rd hour of culture, a feed of 0.0161 l h\(^{-1}\) began and increased exponentially at a constant rate of 0.27 h\(^{-1}\) to a maximum flow of 0.235 l h\(^{-1}\) at the end of fermentation. The system was fed with culture media and antibiotics concentrated 2x to maintain a permanent saturation condition in the system. Furthermore, the system included 25 mM lactose to maintain a constant inducer concentration in the reactor.

### 2.5. Modeling and statistical analysis

All experiments were performed in triplicate. The statistical analyses were performed using one-way ANOVA to compare the dsRNA data in time, and \(p<0.05\) was considered statistically significant. The data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 5.01 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, USA). The fit of the culture data and the modeling were performed using MATLAB (MATLAB R2016a, MathWorks, USA).

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. dsRNA production in batch culture

The bacterial growth in the batch culture is illustrated in Fig. 1a. A significant biomass increase was observed at 12 h. A bacterial growth model involving Monod kinetics was used to calculate a specific growth rate of 0.27 ± 0.03 h\(^{-1}\). At the end of fermentation, a final biomass concentration of 2.2 ± 0.2 g l\(^{-1}\) and a final dsRNA concentration of 110 mg l\(^{-1}\) were observed. The dsRNA was visualized as one band of approximately 500 bp in a 1% w/v agarose gel (Fig. 2). The literature regarding the specific growth rate of E. coli strains grown in the same conditions indicates that this parameter should be higher, i.e., approximately 0.5 h\(^{-1}\) [14,18]. This difference may be explained by the double T7 promoter in the plasmid and the RNAase III deficiency of E. coli HT115, which slow the turnover of nucleic acid within the bacterial cell. Strong expression systems, such as the T7 system, have been described to tend to exceed the metabolic capacity of the bacterial host [19]. Furthermore, the plasmid has been referred to as a molecular parasite; hence, increased replication of the plasmid of interest may cause stress to the host because not only is replication increased but the transcriptional and translational machinery are also challenged and soon run out of metabolic building blocks and energy [18,20].

**Fig. 1.** Production of dsRNA in batch culture of E. coli HT115 (DE3) induced with lactose at 2nd hour of fermentation. a Bacterial growth curve in batch culture, the line is a model using Monod kinetics (\(\mu_{max} = 0.27\) h\(^{-1}\), K \(= 0.1\) g l\(^{-1}\), r\(^2\) = 0.97); b dsRNA production in batch culture, the line is a growth-associated model using Luedeking-Piret kinetics (\(\alpha = 90, \beta = 0, r^2 = 0.96\)). Columns are dsRNA/biomass yield.
3.2. Relationship between bacterial growth and dsRNA production

To understand the relationship between dsRNA production and biomass during bacterial growth, we studied the dsRNA production kinetics in batch culture under controlled conditions. To define this kinetics, a dsRNA/biomass yield was estimated as the ratio of the total mass of dsRNA produced and the total mass of bacteria at a certain time of cultivation [14]. This yield allowed for the determination of whether the amount of dsRNA produced by each bacterial cell varied depending on the stage of the microbial growth curve and thus indicated whether the dsRNA production was growth-associated, partially growth-associated, or not growth-associated [15]. The yield results of the batch culture (Fig. 1b) revealed that there were no significant differences in the dsRNA/biomass yields at the different times of fermentation, and the yields exhibited a constant value of approximately $0.06 \text{ g·g}^{-1}$ from 6 to 12 h of fermentation (4 to 10 post-induction) and a maximum productivity of $11.1 \text{ mg·L}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ at 10 h of culture (8 h post-induction). Thammassorn et al. [11] recently reported a yield of $1.08 \mu\text{g·dsRNA·L}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ with the same strain and culture media. This yield is similar to our results when the equivalent units are used; the calculated Thammassorn’s yield corresponds to 0.02 g·g$^{-1}$. These results contrast with the results of Posiri et al. [13] in the same conditions because these authors originally reported a yield of $45 \mu\text{g·dsRNA}$ for each 1 OD$_{600}$ E. coli HT115 (DE3), which can be standardized to $1 \times 10^{-4}$ g·g$^{-1}$, i.e., a 600-fold lower value. This significant difference in dsRNA/biomass yield could be associated with the stress of the host cell as proposed by Grabherr & Bayer [19] and Diaz Ricci & Hernández [18]. Furthermore, Posiri et al. [13] used one strain to host several plasmids to produce different dsRNA, whereas in our protocol and that of Thammassorn et al. [11], each strain harbored one plasmid to produce one dsRNA [11,18,20].

We used a Luedeking-Piret product synthesis model to represent and fit the dsRNA production data in batch culture [14,15]. The dsRNA production model in batch fermentation is described by a mass balance for the dsRNA concentration with respect to time ($t$):

$$\frac{d(\text{dsRNA})}{dt} = \alpha \frac{dx}{dt} + \beta x$$

where $x$ is the biomass concentration, and $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the growth-associated, and non-growth associated coefficients, respectively. The fit of the dsRNA concentration data to the model (Fig. 1b) revealed that the dsRNA production was growth-associated, probably because all of the biochemical reactions required for dsRNA production are directly associated with microbial growth [14]. Therefore, the best strategy is a fed-batch culture with exponential feeding, which can maximize the amount of produced biomass and consequently increase the amount of dsRNA. The time at which the culture was induced with lactose was relevant; synthesizing recombinant dsRNA at the beginning of the culture may not be advantageous because dsRNA is sensitive to nucleases and thus will be more susceptible to degradation before the final harvest [10,12].

Fig. 2. Agarose gel electrophoresis of dsRNA expressed in 12 h batch culture of E. coli HT115 (DE3) induced with lactose. Lane 2, 3 and 4 are triplicates. Arrow indicate dsRNA band that resolved at ~480 bp.

Fig. 3. Production of dsRNA in fed-batch culture of E. coli HT115 induced with lactose at 2nd hour of fermentation. Bacterial growth curve in fed-batch with exponential feeding, the line is a model using Monod kinetics ($\mu_{\text{max}} 0.27 \text{ h}^{-1}$, $F_0 0.01871 \text{ h}^{-1}$, $r^2 0.95$). Columns are dsRNA/biomass yield.
3.3. Fed-batch fermentation for dsRNA production

The results of the 12 h fed-batch fermentation (Fig. 3) revealed a final biomass of $8.2 \pm 0.5 \text{ g} \left(3 \text{ g} \cdot \text{l}^{-1}\right)$, a final dsRNA concentration of $182 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{l}^{-1}$ and a maximum productivity of $15.2 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{l}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ at the end of fed-batch culture. This productivity was 37% greater than that obtained in the batch culture due to the greater amount of biomass achieved in this fermentation system. Improving productivity is important if the produced dsRNA is to be used on an industrial scale, for example, as an antiviral therapy in intensive animal farming. There were no significant differences in the dsRNA/biomass yields at the different times of culture; the dsRNA/biomass yield was constantly approximately $0.06 \text{ g} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ as observed over the 4th hour of fermentation, which is the same value that resulted from the batch culture. This observation is coincident with the dsRNA/biomass yield reported by Thammasorn et al. [11], i.e., a batch fermentation according to value of $0.02 \text{ g} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ and a fed-batch value of $0.03 \text{ g} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$. Our results for the batch and fed-batch cultures resulted in the same yield value, which reinforces the idea that the production of dsRNA was growth-associated. Indeed, the fed-batch culture, which was fed by an exponentially stream of fresh media, never caused growth limitation, which enabled us to conclude that dsRNA production occurred while the bacteria were actively multiplying.

4. Conclusions

Our results demonstrate that dsRNA production is growth-associated according to the Luedeking-Piret kinetic model. Thus, to increase the production of dsRNA, it is necessary to maximize the biomass that produces the nucleic acid. The dsRNA production associated with bacterial growth results in an increase in dsRNA productivity of 37% when using a fed-batch fermentation system in the same conditions. Further optimization of the production of dsRNA in fed-batch fermentation systems will allow for the use of this technology in therapeutic applications for viral diseases in biomedicine, veterinary medicine and large-scale production systems, such as aquaculture.
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