Chemical Mechanisms of Prebiotic Chirality Amplification
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This review article surveys the recent experimental findings that suggest alternative chemical models of directed chirality amplification at the early, prebiotic Earth. It is believed that the chirality amplification step followed the initial emergence of small enantiomeric imbalance and preceded (as a necessary condition) the occurrence of homochiral biopolymers, assembled from enantiomerically pure building blocks. This work focuses on the chemical nature of possible mechanisms of primordial chirality enhancement, without going into detail of the preceding and subsequent phases of origination of biological homochirality and life on Earth. These mechanisms are discussed through the prism of integrity of biological natural selection and chemical kinetic selection.

1. Introduction

Chirality is an inherent property of living matter; moreover, the existence of chirality has been considered as a necessary condition for the emergence of life [1], which complex phenomenon—in many of its aspects—is likely to become the central object of natural sciences of the 21st century. For evident reasons, we cannot observe experimentally the emergence of life from simple feedstock molecules, which, according to estimates, could be the case between 4.3 and 3.8 billion years ago [2–4]. At the current level of our knowledge, we cannot reliably reproduce it in the laboratory, either. We can only try to reconstruct or model some of its elements, yet so far without solid criteria to adhere to in such recapitulations.

The emergence of life has been inseparably linked with the emergence of biological homochirality (L-amino acids, D-sugars) [5–8]. To date, the biotic theories, hypothesizing that homochirality emerged as inevitable consequence of the evolution of living matter [9, 10], have been virtually abandoned (for being not amenable to testing in any scientific sense). In turn, abiotic theories have prevailed, assuming that life at its origin already had available chiral molecules possessing sufficient enantiomeric homogeneity. This homochirality could not have originated without emergence of some initial asymmetric bias (albeit small) in the primordial molecular environment, followed by amplification of enantiomeric excess up to the level sufficient for constructing biopolymers, capable of replicating their homochirality.

Abiotic theories can be subdivided into stochastic and deterministic ones, assuming that biological chirality started “by chance” or “by law,” respectively [9, 10], with the latter apparently dominating at the moment. Furthermore, the standpoint that the initial chirality protosource was introduced from without has received the major experimental and theoretical attention, given that spatial objects contain nonracemic organic matter in abundance (noticeably, the majority of nonracemic amino acids found in meteorites have L-configuration in preference [11–15]). Within this paradigm, the stage of prebiotic chirality amplification (either up to homochiral state or not) is thought of as essential step of terrestrial evolution [6, 10].

In the last decades, different hypotheses on the possible mechanisms of prebiotic, or primordial, chirality amplification were put forward and criticized from different perspectives [2, 5, 16–22]. This contribution is aimed at considering the models relying on far-from-equilibrium chemical mechanisms of enantiomeric enrichment, essentially leaving to separate discussions crystallization-induced chirality amplifications [23], typically considered in connection with the “chance” paradigm [9]. For the purposes of this work, we focus on catalysis-based mechanisms, in agreement with...
the prerogative of a (chiral) catalyst molecule to mediate a number of single asymmetric transformations, affording plenty of chiral product molecules.

Recently, Davankov pointed out the following essential characteristics of the chemical systems that claim to model or recreate primordial terrestrial chirality amplification: they should “function efficiently and unidirectionally in a complex concentrated aqueous broth” [2]. To date, there apparently have been no chemical systems that would fit ideally within these requirements. On the other hand, if the origins of biological chirality were governed “by law,” in principle, it could not be priori be excluded that chirality enrichment processes could take place elsewhere in the Universe before the resulting nonracemic organic matter approached our planet. So we might expect that model systems should conform to some universal regularities, irrespective of the conditions that hypothetically existed at the primitive Earth.

This contribution discusses experimental findings suggesting possible model catalytic mechanisms of prebiotic chirality amplification. Some of those set off the significance of dynamic nonlinear effects (DNLE) in asymmetric catalysis [24] for the overall discussion of the origins of biological chirality. A few other recent intriguing hypotheses, going without the involvement of dynamic nonlinear effects, are considered as well.

2. Asymmetric Autocatalytic Reaction

Asymmetric autocatalytic reaction is a chemical process in which a chiral molecule mediates its own formation from achiral (prochiral) reagents in a cyclic process, thus playing the role of chiral autocatalyst (Figure 1(a)). Formally, asymmetric autocatalysis is a DNLE, corresponding to a specific case of catalytic asymmetric autoinduction, a more general phenomenon consisting in the effect of the chiral reaction product on the stereochimical course of the asymmetric reaction [24–27].

The most spectacular class of asymmetric autocatalytic reactions was discovered by Soai with coworkers in 1990 [28]; crucially, in 1995, the same group reported the first example of autocatalytic reaction with amplification of enantiomeric excess (Figure 1(b)) [29]. When examining the addition reaction of diisopropylzinc to pyrimidine-5-carboxaldehyde (Figure 1(b)), the authors loaded the autocatalyst, (S)-1, having initial 5% ee, which resulted in the formation of the product having higher enantiomeric purity of 39% ee. The latter was introduced as catalyst for the next, larger-scale run, also ending up with chirality amplification, etc. After the 4th run, the newly formed product (S)-1, having 90% ee, was obtained [29].

In the context of the present work, the Soai reaction provides an attractive chemical model for the reproducible amplification of chirality, starting from initial enantiomeric imbalance, which could be as low as 0.00005% ee [30] or perhaps even lower [31]. It is thus compatible with the hypothesis of extraterrestrial introduction of organic matter with initial small enantiomeric imbalance (Figure 2). It is important to notice that the asymmetric bias could be introduced to the Soai reaction not only by nonracemic chiral product but also by extraneous chiral additives, such as quartz, chiral inorganic crystals, helical silica gel, small chiral (including isotopically chiral) organic molecules [20, 32–34], and the abiogenous chiral amino acids obtained from the Murchison meteorite [35], simulating the “chiral triggers” of extraterrestrial origin.

Moreover, the asymmetric Soai reaction also matches the stochastic hypothesis of the abiotic origin of chirality. Indeed, starting from completely achiral conditions, spontaneous symmetry breaking in the Soai reaction has been documented, demonstrating quasistochastic distribution of the (R)- and (S)-enantiomers of the product [36–38]. Noticeably, spontaneous mirror symmetry breaking, with subsequent amplification of enantiomeric excess, is not specific for the Soai reaction: it has also been reported for the asymmetric autocatalytic Mannich and aldol reactions [39–42], providing further indirect support of the viability of asymmetric autocatalytic reactions as possible protagonists of the discussion on the mechanisms of primordial chirality amplification.

The criticism of the asymmetric autocatalytic model focuses on the high sensitivity of the outcome of the Soai reaction (which so far has been the only autocatalytic reaction ensuring significant enhancement of enantiomeric excess) to external additives (even not necessarily chiral [43]), which again puts “chance” in the forefront, bringing into question the capability of the Soai system to function unidirectionally under nonideal reaction conditions. Another point is that organometallic Soai reaction, yet extremely efficient, requires strictly nonaqueous environment, which is not compatible with the presumably partially aqueous environment of the early Earth by the end of the abiotic period of its history.

3. Reaction with Asymmetric Autoamplification

An alternative, nonautocatalytic (but also DNLE-based) hypothesis of prebiotic chirality amplification was suggested by the discovery of the novel dynamic nonlinear effect, termed asymmetric autoamplification (Figure 3(a)), in the oxidative kinetic resolution of secondary alcohols (Figure 3(b)), catalyzed by chiral bis-amino-bis-pyridine manganese complexes [44, 45]. The crucial feature of asymmetric autoamplification is that the stereochimical course of the reaction is affected by the chiral substrate itself, via interaction with the chiral catalyst. The formal reaction product, ketone, is not chiral. In effect, as the reaction proceeds, the enantiomeric purity of the substrate increases, thus increasing the selectivity factor of kinetic resolution (Figure 3(c)). As shown from the above, kinetic resolution reactions are the “native habitat” of asymmetric autoamplification phenomena.

However, asymmetric autoamplification itself is not sufficient basis for designing a model chemical mechanism of chiral amplification. For this purpose, it had to meet another interesting effect, dynamic control of the catalyst chirality by the chiral substrate [46, 47]. This was achieved by using manganese catalysts bearing conformationally flexible achiral bis-amino-bis-pyridine ligands. Those octahedral chiral-at-metal manganese complexes could adopt two different
stereoconfigurations, $\Delta$ and $\Lambda$. In the absence of any chiral molecules, the equilibrium mixture of the $\Delta$ and $\Lambda$ isomers is racemic. However, chiral secondary alcohol can control the stereoconfiguration of the Mn complex via coordination, thus shifting this equilibrium towards one of the stereoisomers (Figure 3(d)). Taking into account that the catalyst enantiomers have opposite stereoselectivities in kinetic resolution, substrate coordination thus determines the net stereoselectivity of the catalyst system [47].

In effect, over the course of oxidation of an initially nonracemic (scalemic) mixture of the ($R$)- and ($S$)-alcohols, monotonic enantiomeric enrichment is the case (Figure 3(e)). The initially prevailing substrate enantiomer itself controls the stereoechemical outcome of the reaction, without action of any exogenous chirality sources, and eventually “outcompetes” the other enantiomer in the concurrent catalyzed oxidation reaction. This may be regarded as a prototype of through-competition chemical evolution model, having direct analogy with the natural selection-driven biological evolution [48]. The model reaction operates predictably and unidirectionally in aqueous-organic medium, in line with the conditions expected to exist in the primitive environment. The corresponding vision of abiotic chirality self-enrichment is presented in Figure 4, assuming (extraterrestrial?) introduction.
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**Figure 2:** Proposed asymmetric autocatalysis-assisted route to homochirality. Reprinted from Ref. [20] (https://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/ar5003208). Copyright 2014 American Chemical Society; further permissions related to the material excerpted should be directed to the ACS.

\[(S)\text{-A}^* + B \rightarrow [C^*- (S)\text{-A}^*] \rightarrow P + (S)\text{-A}^*\]

**Figure 3:** Kinetic resolution with asymmetric autoamplification, where A is the substrate, B is the reactant, C is the catalyst, P is the product, and asterisks indicate chiral molecules (a). Oxidative kinetic resolution of secondary alcohols in the presence of chiral Mn catalyst (b). Plots of $k_{\text{rel}}$ (blue; experiment: circles, theory: line) and ee (red; experiment: circles, theory: line) vs. substrate (1-p-tolylethanol) conversion (c). Dynamic control of conformationally flexible catalyst’s chirality upon substrate coordination (d). Substrate enantiomeric enrichment in the oxidative kinetic resolution of scalemic (S)-1-phenylethanol in the presence of conformationally flexible Mn catalyst, starting from substrates with differing ee.

$(\text{S})\text{-A}^* + B \rightarrow [C^*- (\text{S})\text{-A}^*] \rightarrow P + (\text{S})\text{-A}^*$
of a scalemic substrate mixture with small enantiomeric imbalance, which, upon contact with achiral prebiotic environment, triggers its own kinetic resolution with self-controlled enantioenrichment.

4. Asymmetric Catalytic Reaction with “Hyperpositive” Nonlinear Effect

Very recently, Geiger with coworkers reported an asymmetric catalytic reaction with unusual behavior [49], which suggested an idea of alternative, apparently not DNLE-based, chirality amplification mechanism. The authors discovered that the enantioselectivity of dialkylzinc addition to benzaldehyde, in the presence of nonenantiopure catalyst (1R,2S)-N-benzylephedrine ((-)NBE), counterintuitively increased with decreasing catalyst enantiomeric purity (Figure 5). In fact, at 5% ee of the (-)-NBE chiral auxiliary, the enantioselectivity reached a maximum, exceeding the enantioselectivity of the enantiopure catalyst. Such behavior is reminiscent of the “hyperpositive” nonlinear effect (NLE), theoretically predicted by Guillaneux et al. [50], yet presumably has different origin [49, 51].

The authors explained the observations by the existence of two enantiodivergent pathways, in which both monomeric (more enantioselective) and homochiral dimeric (less enantioselective) (-)-NBE-ZnMe complexes catalyzed the reaction, while different enantioselectivities, while the heterochiral dimeric species did not intervene the catalyzed reaction, precipitating down the solution [49]. This simplified mechanistic landscape does not take into account [51] possible intervention of asymmetric autoinduction (which is archetypical of dialkylzinc to aldehydes addition) [25, 26] into the observed enantioselectivity, as well as that of inoinversion behavior [52], leading to the unusual temperature dependence of enantioselectivity [49]. Although the detailed mechanism of the reaction has remained not entirely clear, the experimental observations of the authors provide the first, spectacular example of a reasonably highly enantioselective process, occurring with the assistance of a catalyst which has rather low yet statistically meaningful enantiomeric excess (i.e., similar to those documented for extra-terrestrial organic substances obtained from meteorites). The organometallic nature of the present reaction, and thus its incompatibility with aqueous environment, does not belittle the high fundamental significance of these findings for the discussion of the emergence of biological homochirality.

5. Asymmetric Catalytic Reaction without Nonlinear Effects

Vlieg with coworkers suggested an alternative mechanism, combining a catalytic reaction and crystallization-assisted deracemization. In particular, they showed that 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) catalyzed both the forwardaza-Michael reaction and the backward one (Figure 6) [53]. Under homogeneous solution conditions, this reaction leads to a racemic mixture of products. However, in case there are enantiopure nuclei of crystals of the product in the system, they facilitate crystallization of the same enantiomer (let it be (S)-enantiomer as shown in Figure 6), thus leading to depletion of the solution phase in this product enantiomer. This enantiomeric imbalance does not persist since the DBU catalyzed backward reaction, followed by the forward one, effectively leads to deracemization of the excess (R)-enantiomer in solution.

Let us now consider that there is a mixture of separate enantiopure single crystals (that is, conglomerate crystals), with the (S)-crystals being present in excess. Given the equilibrium between the solid phase and solution, the crystals of
the (R)-enantiomer can redissolve and undergo deracemization via backward reaction, and crystallize again in the (S)-form, thus eventually leading to the formation of crystalline (S)-enantiomer having 100% ee. In this case, the overall process is rate limited by dissolution of crystals, which can be accelerated by lowering the reactant concentrations [53].

Although Vlieg with coworkers did not add any crystals on purpose, working under deliberately achiral conditions and relying on spontaneous crystallization of the product, preferential formation of enantiopure (S)-product crystals (in 39 experiments) over the (R)-product (in 29 experiments) was documented. The mechanism of this bias cannot be explained by statistical fluctuations of ee or difference between size distributions of enantiomers crystals; the authors invoked possible presence of some unidentified chiral impurities, which could inhibit nucleation of the product crystals or the catalyzed processes in solution [53].

These results demonstrate the possibility of attaining enantiopure product from achiral reactants in a racemoselective reversible catalytic reaction, coupled with precipitation-induced chiral amplification, possibly biased by a minor chiral impurity, without action of any nonlinear effects. More recently, analogous approach has been reproduced in the absolute asymmetric Strecker synthesis of α-aminonitriles in a water-methanol solution [54]. In the latter case, quasistochastic formation of (R)- and (S)-products has been observed (37 vs. 36 times), apparently reflecting the absence of intervention of any external chiral effects.

6. Conclusions

Summarizing the discussion above, we would like to point out that only the models of chirality amplification based on DNLEs, i.e., on asymmetric autocatalysis and asymmetric autoamplification/dynamic chirality control [33, 34, 42, 47], stand close to the expected conditions for mimicking natural selection in chemical systems [55]. The DNLE-based models, dealing with far-from-equilibrium chemical systems, operate by analogy with competition-based biological processes (which are fundamentally irreversible) [48, 55, 56], while the models exploiting crystallization-assisted chiral amplification [53, 54] or “hyperpositive” nonlinear effects [49] lack this similarity. From the mathematical perspective, the former chemical systems obey the rules similar to those of natural selection [48, 56], which allows one entity (enantiomer), competing for the same chemical stuff, to drive the other competitor out completely and dominate the available space. From the systems theory perspective, this is realized via positive feedback coupling, further assisting the process as it proceeds—which, for a chemical process, is possible in case its rate equations are fundamentally nonlinear. The other mechanisms considered herewith lack this feedback coupling; besides, the reversible step, associated with deracemization, has no direct analogy (“resurrection”) in biological life.

At the current level of understanding, it cannot be firmly established that the process which afforded the enantiomerically enriched (bio)monomers was an abiotic prototype of biological natural selection. Still, there is no theoretical obstacle which prevents natural selection from having an equivalent (kinetic selection) in nonliving systems [55]; we could expect this, given a single driving force operating at both the molecular level and the biological level—the drive toward greater kinetic stability [57]. Also, it has remained unknown whether biomonomers achieved complete or partial enantio-purity before they polymerized into enantioenriched biopolymers, capable of self-replication [58]. These unsolved problems at the interface of chemical and biological perceptions of the complex phenomenon of life persist, thus...
continuing to be a challenge and inspiration for both disciplines, as well as for natural sciences in general. Hopefully, the present brief discussion of recent findings can add freshness to the area and contribute to the polemic on the chemical origins of life [55–59].

Conflicts of Interest
The author declares no competing financial interest.

Authors’ Contributions
K. P. Bryliakov conceptualized the idea, collected the literature, analyzed the data, and wrote the paper.

Acknowledgments
The author thanks the Russian Science Foundation (#20-13-00032) for financial support.

References
[1] A. P. Terent'ev and E. I. Klabunovskii, “The role of dissymmetry in the origin of living material,” in In The Origin of Life on Earth, pp. 95–105, Pergamon Press, 1959.
[2] V. A. Davankov, “Biological homochirality on the earth, or in the universe? A selective review,” Symmetry, vol. 10, no. 12, 2018.
[3] K. A. Maher and D. J. Stevenson, “Impact frustration of the origin of life,” Nature, vol. 331, no. 6157, pp. 612–614, 1988.
[4] N. H. Sleep, K. J. Zahnle, J. F. Kasting, and H. J. Morowitz, “Annihilation of ecosystems by large asteroid impacts on the early earth,” Nature, vol. 342, no. 6246, pp. 139–142, 1989.
[5] W. A. Bonner, “The origin and amplification of biomolecular chirality,” Origins of Life and Evolution of the Biosphere, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 59–111, 1991.
[6] V. Goldanskii and V. V. Kuzmin, “Chirality and cold origin of life,” Nature, vol. 352, no. 6331, p. 114, 1991.
[7] M. Wu, S. J. Walker, and P. G. Higgs, “Autocatalytic replication and homochirality in biopolymers: is homochirality a requirement of life or a result of it?,” Astrobiology, vol. 12, no. 9, pp. 818–829, 2012.
[8] E. I. Klabunovskii, “Homochirality and its significance for biosphere and the origin of life theory,” Russian Journal of Organic Chemistry, vol. 48, no. 7, pp. 881–901, 2012.
[9] W. A. Bonner, “Origins of chiral homogeneity in nature,” Topics in Stereochemistry, vol. 18, pp. 1–96, 1988.
[10] G. Pályi, Biological Chirality, Elsevier, 2019.
[11] J. R. Cronin and S. Pizzarello, “Enantiomeric excesses in meteoritic amino acids,” Science, vol. 275, no. 5302, pp. 951–955, 1997.
[12] S. Pizzarello, “Molecular asymmetry in prebiotic chemistry: an account from meteorites,” Life, vol. 6, no. 2, 2016.
[13] S. Pizzarello, “Identifying chiral molecules and their enantiomeric excesses in extraterrestrial samples: an experimental journey,” Israel Journal of Chemistry, vol. 56, no. 11-12, pp. 1027–1035, 2016.
[14] D. P. Glavin, J. E. Elisa, A. S. Burton et al., “Unusual nonterrestrial L-proteinogenic amino acid excesses in the Tagish Lake meteorite,” Meteoritics and Planetary Science, vol. 47, no. 8, pp. 1347–1364, 2012.
[15] S. Pizzarello and T. L. Groy, “Molecular asymmetry in extraterrestrial organic chemistry: an analytical perspective,” Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, vol. 75, no. 2, pp. 645–656, 2011.
[16] M. Gleiser and S. I. Walker, “Life’s chirality from prebiotic environments,” International Journal of Astrobiology, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 287–296, 2012.
[17] B. L. Feringa and R. A. Van Delden, “Absolute asymmetric synthesis: the origin, control, and amplification of chirality,” Angewandte Chemie, International Edition, vol. 38, no. 23, pp. 3418–3438, 1999.
[18] L. L. Morozov, V. V. K. Min, and V. I. Goldanskii, “Comparative analysis of the role of statistical fluctuations and factor of advantage (parity nonconservation) in the origins of optical activity,” Origins of Life, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 119–138, 1983.
[19] J. L. Bada, “Origins of homochirality,” Nature, vol. 374, no. 6523, pp. 594–595, 1995.
[20] K. Soai, T. Kawasaki, and A. Matsumoto, “Asymmetric autocatalysis of pyrimidyl alkanoil and its application to the study on the origin of homochirality,” Accounts of Chemical Research, vol. 47, no. 12, pp. 3643–3654, 2014.
[21] K. Soai, A. Matsumoto, and T. Kawasaki, “Asymmetric autocatalysis and the origins of homochirality of organic compounds. An overview,” in In Advances in Asymmetric Autocatalysis and Related Topics, pp. 1–30, Academic Press, 2017.
[22] A. Guijarro and M. Yus, “The Origin of Chirality in the Molecules of Life: A Revision from Awareness to the Current Theories and Perspectives of this Unsolved Problem,” Royal Society of Chemistry, 2008.
[23] C. Viedma, J. M. McBride, B. Kahr, and P. Cintas, “Enantiomer-specific oriented attachment: formation of macroscopic homochiral crystal aggregates from a racemic system,” Angewandte Chemie, International Edition, vol. 52, no. 40, pp. 10545–10548, 2013.
[24] K. P. Bryliakov, “Dynamic nonlinear effects in asymmetric catalysis,” ACS Catalysis, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 5418–5438, 2019.
[25] A. H. Alberts and H. Wynberg, “The role of the product in asymmetric carbon-carbon bond formation: stoichiometric and catalytic enantioselective autoinduction,” Journal of the American Chemical Society, vol. 111, no. 18, pp. 7265–7266, 1989.
[26] A. H. Alberts and H. Wynberg, “Enantioselective autoinduction in the aldol condensation of ethyl acetate and benzaldehyde: selective precipitation of an optically inactive Li-aggregate,” Journal of the Chemical Society, Chemical Communications, no. 6, pp. 453–454, 1990.
[27] D. R. Fenwick and H. B. Kagan, “Asymmetric amplification,” Topics in Stereochemistry, vol. 22, pp. 257–296, 1999.
[28] K. Soai, S. Niwa, and H. Hori, “Asymmetric self-catalytic reaction. Self-production of chiral 1-(3-pyridyl)alkanols as chiral self-catalysts in the enantioselective addition of dialkyl-zinc reagents to pyridine-3-carbaldehyde,” Journal of the Chemical Society, Chemical Communications, no. 14, pp. 982–983, 1990.
[29] K. Soai, T. Shibata, H. Morioka, and K. Choji, “Asymmetric autocatalysis and amplification of enantiomeric excess of a chiral molecule,” Nature, vol. 378, no. 6559, pp. 767–768, 1995.
[30] I. Sato, H. Urabe, S. Ishiguro, T. Shibata, and K. Soai, “Amplification of chirality from extremely low to greater than 99.5% ee by asymmetric autocatalysis,” Angewandte Chemie Internationale Edition, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 315–317, 2003.
A. Matsumoto, Y. Kaimori, M. Uchida, H. Omori, M. Mauksch, S. B. Tsogoeva, I. M. Martynova, and S. Wei, “Asymmetric induction by a nitrogen $^{14}\text{N}/^{15}\text{N}$ isotopomer in conjunction with asymmetric autocatalysis,” Angewandte Chemie International Edition, vol. 55, no. 49, pp. 15246–15249, 2016.

T. Kawasaki, K. Hatase, Y. Fujii, K. Jo, K. Soai, and S. Pizzarello, “The distribution of chiral asymmetry in meteorites: an investigation using asymmetric autocatalytic chiral sensors,” Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, vol. 70, no. 21, pp. 5395–5402, 2006.

K. Soai, I. Sato, T. Shibata et al., “Asymmetric synthesis of pyrimidyl alkanol without adding chiral substances by the addition of diisopropylzinc to pyrimidine-5-carbaldehyde in conjunction with asymmetric autocatalysis,” Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 185–188, 2003.

T. Kawasaki, K. Suzuki, M. Shimizu, K. Ishikawa, and K. Soai, “Spontaneous absolute asymmetric synthesis in the presence of achiral silica gel in conjunction with asymmetric autocatalysis,” Chirality, vol. 18, no. 7, pp. 479–482, 2006.

G. Lente, “Stochastic interpretation of the asymmetry of enantiomeric distribution observed in the absolute asymmetric Soai reaction,” Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, vol. 22, pp. 1595–1599, 2011.

M. Mauksch, S. B. Tsogoeva, I. M. Martyanova, and S. Wei, “Evidence of asymmetric autocatalysis in organocatalytic reactions,” Angewandte Chemie, International Edition, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 393–396, 2007.

M. Mauksch, S. B. Tsogoeva, S. Wei, and I. M. Martyanova, “Demonstration of spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking in asymmetric Mannich and aldol reactions,” Chirality, vol. 19, no. 10, pp. 816–825, 2007.

M. Mauksch and S. B. Tsogoeva, “Spontaneous emergence of homochirality via coherently coupled antagonistic and reversible reaction cycles,” ChemPhysChem, vol. 9, no. 16, pp. 2359–2371, 2008.

M. Mauksch, S. Wei, M. Freund, A. Zamfir, and S. B. Tsogoeva, “Spontaneous mirror symmetry breaking in the aldol reaction and its potential relevance in prebiotic chemistry,” Origins of Life and Evolution of Biospheres, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 79–91, 2010.

A. Matsumoto, Y. Kaimori, M. Uchida, H. Omori, T. Kawasaki, and K. Soai, “Achiral inorganic gyspum acts as an origin of chirality through its enantiotropic surface in conjunction with asymmetric autocatalysis,” Angewandte Chemie, International Edition, vol. 56, no. 2, pp. 545–548, 2017.

E. P. Talsi, D. G. Samsonenko, and K. P. Bryliakov, “Asymmetric autoamplification in the oxidative kinetic resolution of secondary benzylic alcohols catalyzed by manganese complexes,” ChemCatChem, vol. 9, no. 13, pp. 2599–2607, 2017.

E. P. Talsi and K. P. Bryliakov, “Autoamplification-enhanced oxidative kinetic resolution ofsec-alcohols and alkyl manda-

lites, and its kinetic model,” ChemCatChem, vol. 10, no. 12, pp. 2693–2699, 2018.

J. Balsells and P. J. Walsh, “The use of achiral ligands to convey asymmetry: chiral environment amplification,” Journal of the American Chemical Society, vol. 122, no. 8, pp. 1802–1803, 2000.

E. P. Talsi, A. A. Bryliakova, R. V. Ottenbacher, T. V. Rybalova, and K. P. Bryliakov, “Chiral autoamplification meets dynamic chirality control to suggest non-autocatalytic chemical model of prebiotic chirality amplification,” Research, vol. 2019, article 4756025, pp. 1–9, 2019.

J. M. Ribó and D. Hochberg, “Competitive exclusion principle in ecology and absolute asymmetric synthesis in chemistry,” Chirality, vol. 27, no. 10, pp. 722–727, 2015.

Y. Geiger, T. Achard, A. Maise-François, and S. Beller-Laponnaz, “Hyperpositive nonlinear effects in asymmetric catalysis,” Nature Catalysis, vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 422–426, 2020.

D. Guillaume, S.-H. Zhao, O. Samuel, D. Rainford, and H. B. Kagan, “Nonlinear effects in asymmetric catalysis,” Journal of the American Chemical Society, vol. 116, no. 21, pp. 9430–9439, 1994.

J. Durrani, “Counterintuitive catalyst gives purer products when used in less enantiopure form,” Chemistry World, 2020, https://www.chemistryworld.com/news/counterintuitive-catalyst-gives-purer-products-when-used-in-less-enantiopure-form/4011399.article.

H. Buschmann, H. D. Scharf, N. Hoffmann, and P. Esser, “The isoinversion principle - a general model of chemical selectivity,” Angewandte Chemie International Edition in English, vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 477–515, 1991.

R. R. E. Steendam, J. M. M. Verkade, T. J. B. van Benthem et al., “Emergence of single-molecular chirality from achiral reactants,” Nature Communications, vol. 5, no. 1, 2014.

S. Miyagawa, S. Aiba, H. Kawamoto, Y. Tokunaga, and T. Kawasaki, “Absolute asymmetric Strecker synthesis in a mixed aqueous medium: reliable access to enantioenriched α-aminonitrile,” Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 1238–1244, 2019.

G. Danger, L. L. S. d’Hendecourt, and R. Pascal, “On the conditions for mimicking natural selection in chemical systems,” Nature Reviews Chemistry, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 102–109, 2020.

A. J. Lotka, “Natural selection as a physical principle,” Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 151–154, 1922.

A. Pross, “Seeking the chemical roots of darwinism: bridging between chemistry and biology,” Chemistry - A European Journal, vol. 15, no. 34, pp. 8374–8381, 2009.

K. Ruiz-Mirazo, C. Briones, and A. de la Escosura, “Prebiotic systems chemistry: new perspectives for the origins of life,” Chemical Reviews, vol. 114, pp. 285–366, 2014.

J. D. Sutherland, “Opinion: Studies on the origin of life – the end of the beginning.” Nature Reviews Chemistry, vol. 1, article 0012, no. 2, 2017.