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Abstract—This paper presents an extension of the models used to generate fractal traffic flows in high-speed computer networks by means of the formulation of a model that considers the use of one-dimensional chaotic maps. Based on the disaggregation of the temporal series generated, a valid explanation of behavior of the values of Hurst exponent is proposed and the feasibility of their control from the parameters of the proposed model is shown.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The chaotic behavior of systems is an intermediate paradigm between two dogmatic scientific and philosophical concepts of the universe: absolute knowledge upheld by determinism, and total ignorance at the hands of randomness. Paradoxically, and supported by the existence of the above two dogmatic positions, an assertion as natural as the one made points at the main failure in the analysis of systemic behaviors: the extended use of dichotomies to characterize them.

As can be seen and inferred from the ideas given above, and in full agreement with the spirit of this research, it is neither possible nor practical to approach the problem [2] of the characterization of the behavior of the systems [2] of interest considering the full conceptual extension of the theory of chaos, and for that reason it is accepted that chaos is the phenomenon by which low-order non-linear systems show an apparently random complexity and behavior [3], [4]. These systems are of low-order because they can be described correctly by a reduced number of variables and parameters [4]. They are also dynamic systems, i.e., with the variables of interest [4], [5], which are deterministic, evolve over time, because the values of those variables at any instant of time can be determined only from their previous values given a set of dynamic laws. Finally, those dynamic laws that describe the system evolution in time are non-linear [4].

At this point it is convenient to make it clear that chaotic systems differ from conventional dynamic systems in the sense that they are intrinsically unpredictable, a fact that is evident even when its subjacent dynamic laws are of a deterministic character. But the above does not have to lead to the belief that chaos implies unpredictability, since that is only partially true because of existence of two main sources of unpredictability, namely the inaccuracy of the initial data, and its origin as a characteristic inherent to certain nonlinear relations between numerical variables [5]. Therefore, the definition of chaos as a property of a system refers to its sensitivity [6] to the initial conditions, i.e. that given two trajectories arbitrarily close to one another in the phase space of a chaotic system, they diverge at an exponential rate given by the Lyapunov global exponent.

Note that it is certainly paradoxical for an essentially deterministic system, with deterministic dynamic laws, to show a chaotic behavior, since the basic premise of dynamic systems is that the knowledge of the initial conditions makes possible the determination of the system future behavior at any time. In practice, the initial conditions can only be specified with finite precision. These uncertainties introduced in the initial conditions for case of chaotic systems increase exponentially, and that explains the unpredictability of their behavior. Strictly, chaos involves the possibility of making good short-term predictions, but it makes impossible any long-term prediction of a practical order [6], [7]. A direct result of the above is that very simple systems, even with only one degree of freedom, can give rise to surprisingly complex behaviors.

The notion of chaos often appears linked to the notion of fractal introduced by Mandelbrot [7], and even though it has not been proved rigorously, fractal properties seem inherent in chaotic processes, so apparently chaos and fractal sets are independent and unrelated concepts [8]. However, keeping in mind that the fractal dimension concept raises a generalization
of the notion of dimension through the introduction of the non-integer values for their specification, an extensively reported fact in its applications [9]; unexpectedly all chaotic systems tend to evolve asymptotically in their phase space toward a bounded region called strange attractor that has a non-integer dimension, i.e., a fractal. It can thus be argued that very often the strange attractors are fractals in their nature and are capable of exhibiting their complexity over different time or space scales. Because of the above it is therefore possible to state that the concepts of fractal geometry can be used to describe the evolutionary characteristics of chaotic systems [9], and chaotic systems in turn can be used conveniently as generators of fractal structures, thereby implying self-similarity and therefore its characterization index: Hurst exponent (H).

It should be pointed out that since there is no simple definition of fractals, they are generally defined in terms of their attributes, such as, for example, the slow decay of their variances, the hyperbolic tail distribution of the time density between successive arrivals, the infinite order moments or variances, the hyperbolic tail distribution of the time density dependence are ubiquitous, namely the degree of representatives of the notion of dimension through the introduction of the non-integer values for their specification, an extensively reported fact in its applications [9]; unexpectedly all chaotic systems tend to evolve asymptotically in their phase space toward a bounded region called strange attractor that has a non-integer dimension, i.e., a fractal. It can thus be argued that very often the strange attractors are fractals in their nature and are capable of exhibiting their complexity over different time or space scales. Because of the above it is therefore possible to state that the concepts of fractal geometry can be used to describe the evolutionary characteristics of chaotic systems [9], and chaotic systems in turn can be used conveniently as generators of fractal structures, thereby implying self-similarity and therefore its characterization index: Hurst exponent (H).

As seen from (3), a chaotic map is a class of discrete autonomous system, and this work deals exclusively with one-dimensional maps, a fact for which it is convenient to establish that a one-dimensional chaotic map is an application \( f: D \to D \), with \( D \subseteq \mathbb{R} \) such that

\[
x[n] = f(x[n-1]; \theta).
\]

(4)

The definition of chaos as a property of a chaotic system refers to its sensitivity to the initial conditions. Considering a chaotic map defined by \( x_{n+1} = f(x) \) and two trajectories with almost identical initial conditions \( x_0 \) and \( x_{0+\varepsilon} \), where \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), its sensitivity to the initial conditions is described by

\[
| f^N(x_0 + \varepsilon) - f^N(x_0) | = \varepsilon \exp(N\lambda(x_0)),
\]

(5)

where \( f^N(\cdot) \) represents the \( N \)-th iteration of the map, and \( \lambda(x_0) \) is Lyapunov global exponent which describes the exponential divergence. For the map to be chaotic this parameter must be positive for most of the \( x_0 \) [22]. Then (5) implies which points that begin with similar initial conditions develop along different trajectories.

Let \( f: I \to I \) be an application. It is said that \( f(x) \) is a one-dimensional piecewise affine map (PWA) if there is a finite number of points \( e_0 < \ldots < e_M \), such that the interval \( I = [e_0, e_M] \) can be subdivided into \( M \) smaller intervals \( E_i = [e_{i-1}, e_i] \), with \( i = 1, \ldots, M-1 \) and \( E_M = [e_{M-1}, e_M] \), within which \( f(x) \) is affine. Mathematically, a PWA map is expressed as

\[
f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} (a_i x + b_i) \Psi_{E_i}(x),
\]

(6)

where \( \Psi \) denotes the characteristic function defined as follows.

Let \( \Psi_R: D \to \{0, 1\} \) be an application with \( D \subseteq \mathbb{R} \). It is said that \( \Psi_R \) is the characteristic function of region \( R \) if

\[
\Psi_R = \begin{cases} 
1, & x \in R \\
0, & x \not\in R 
\end{cases}.
\]

(7)
In other words, $f(x)$ has a similar behavior within each of the $M$ intervals $E_i$ into which the arrival interval $I$ is divided as if it were observed in the whole interval $I$.

A one-dimensional map composed of two intervals, in which the state variable $x_n$ evolves in time according to two functions $f_1(\cdot)$ and $f_2(\cdot)$ that satisfy condition (5), allows writing it, from the development of (4), as

$$x_{n+1} = \begin{cases} f_1(x_n), & 0 < x_n \leq d \\ f_2(x_n), & d < x_n < 1 \end{cases}.$$  \hfill (8)

This notation makes it possible to conceive a frame generation process considering that the source of origin is in a passive state or in an active state (in a similar way as the case of the On/Off models) at a given moment $n$, as a function of whether the value of the state variable $x_n$ is above or below a certain activation threshold $d$. In this way all the iterations of the map in the active state correspond to frame (burst of frames) generation processes, and all the iterations of the map in the passive state to time processes between successive arrivals.

Under the same reasoning, and considering the permanence of the map in one or the other previous states, the evolution of the mesh arrival process is described from the characteristic function (7), which, for the sake of consistency with the notation used in (8), can be written as

$$y_n = y(x_n) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 < x_n \leq d \\ 1, & d < x_n < 1 \end{cases}.$$  \hfill (9)

An interesting interpretation of the above model is reported in [35] considering it formed by two dynamic layers, one hidden given by $x_n$ and one visible specified by $y_n$.

It is particularly interesting to see that the behavior of a trajectory over which the map given by (8) evolves is such that it does not have to visit the two regions of its phase space with equal frequency and furthermore, there is no reason to consider even within the same attractor, a uniform probability density function of the generated sequences, so it is reasonable to ask about the frequency with which a given trajectory visits each region of the map in an observation interval of $n$ iterations, as well as about the way of calculating such probability density function from an initial condition $x_0$.

In this respect, the reply to both questions is found in the density distribution of the map states, $\rho(x)$, [36]

$$\rho_n = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \delta[x-x_n(i)],$$  \hfill (10)

where $\delta(x)$ is Dirac delta function and the evolution of $\rho_n(x)$ is in agreement with the equation of Frobenius-Perron given by [37] for the expression

$$\rho_{n+1}(x) = \int \delta[x-f(z)] \rho_n(z) dz.$$  \hfill (11)

In the case of a one-dimensional map given by $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$, with $x_0 \in [0,1]$, $n = 0, 1, 2,...$, from (10) we have that

$$\rho(x) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \delta[x-f_n(x_0)].$$  \hfill (12)

If $\rho(x)$ does not depend on $x_0$, the system is ergodic [24], and therefore it is true that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N} g(x_n) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N} g(f^n(x_0)) = \int_0^1 \rho(x) g(x) dx.$$  \hfill (13)

However, since $\rho(x)$ must be stationary because of (13), i.e., it does not have to depend on instant $n$, it is known as the invariant density of map $f(x)$ [31], and it describes the iteration density of $x_n$ in the interval $(0, 1)$ when $n \to \infty$. Therefore, $\rho(x)$ is a self-function of the Frobenius-Perron operator with self-value 1, and therefore

$$\rho(x) = \int_0^1 \delta[x-f(z)] \rho(z) dz.$$  \hfill (14)

A concise presentation of the set of facts, that give rise to the treatment of traffic considering its self-similar and LRD nature, converge in the need to capture its fluctuations on different time scales in order to make assertive forecasts of the yield of communications systems. In this respect, the self-similarity contributes with the parsimony required to specify the statistical details of the variables involved from a minimum set of modeling parameters, and a model based on the theory of complexity contributes the robustness needed to cohere those parameters with information.

III. SPECIFICATION OF THE CHAOTIC MODEL

Consider the nonlinear double intermittence map

$$x_{n+1} = \begin{cases} e_1 + x_n + c_1 x_n^m, & 0 < x_n \leq d \\ -e_2 + x_n - c_2 (1-x_n)^m, & d < x_n < 1 \end{cases}$$  \hfill (15)

where $c_1 = (1 - e_1 - d)/d^m$, $c_2 = (d - e_2)/(1-d)^m$ [4].

If $m_2 = 1$ and $e_2 = 0$, from (15) we get the model of a nonlinear chaotic intermittent map [3]

$$x_{n+1} = \begin{cases} e + x_n + cx_n^m, & 0 < x_n \leq d \\ (x_n - d)/(1-d), & d < x_n < 1 \end{cases}$$  \hfill (16)

where $e = (1-e-d)/d^m$, with $e \ll d$.

The map parameters ($e$, $m$, and $d$) are used to control the probability of permanence in the inactive state, the traffic load; i.e., the mean rate of mesh arrival, and the degree of self-similarity density, $H$. Specifically, the fit of the $e$ parameter incides on the probability of permanence of the iterations of the map in the inactive state, while the traffic load depends on parameters $m$ and $d$ [38].

The condition $e \ll d$ is well defined, at least theoretically, if $e = 0$, which leads to the control of the limit of the range of temporal scales over which LRD is observed. In [38] it is shown that if $e = 0$, permanence time can be of any length, but as $e$ increases above 0, the escape time from the region tends to a fixed upper limit.

Considering $m = 1$ with the purpose of decreasing the degree of the function that characterizes the inactive state of the map implies generating traffic with Short-range Dependence (SRD).
which is verified from the relation existing between $H$ and $m$ given by $H = (3m - 4) / (2m - 2)$, with $m = \max \{m_1, m_2\}$ [39], i.e., $H = 0.5$. In other words, a geometric type decay takes place for the map regions, which is synonymous of uncorrelated traffic, i.e., traffic with SRD.

Therefore, the proposed map together with its characteristic function is given by

$$\begin{align*}
x_{n+1} = & \begin{cases} x_n + x_n^n, & 0 < x_n \leq d \\ (x_n - d)/d^n, & d < x_n < 1, \end{cases} \\
y_n = y(x_n) = & \begin{cases} 0, & 0 < x_n \leq d \\ 1, & d < x_n < 1. \end{cases}
\end{align*}$$

(17) and (18)

Rewriting (17) in the form

$$\begin{align*}
x_{n+1} = & \begin{cases} f_1(x) = x_n + x_n^n, & 0 < x_n \leq d \\ f_2(x) = (x_n - d)/d^n, & d < x_n < 1, \end{cases} \\
y_n = y(x_n) = & \begin{cases} 0, & 0 < x_n \leq d \\ 1, & d < x_n < 1. \end{cases}
\end{align*}$$

(19)

from the development of (14) applying (19) we get

$$\rho(x) = \int_0^d [\delta[x - f_1(x)]\rho(z)dz + \int_d^1 [\delta[x - f_2(x)]\rho(z)dz, \tag{20}$$

an equation for which Schuster [34] establishes the existence of only one physically relevant solution that is obtained from taking $z = 1/f_i(x)$, i.e.,

$$\rho(x) = \rho(f_i^{-1}(x)) + \frac{\rho(f_i^{-1}(x))}{f_i'(f_i^{-1}(x))}.$$ \tag{21}$$

where $f_i'$ represents the first derivate of $f_i$. Then the expression for the invariant density is given by

$$\rho(\infty) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\rho(y_i)}{f_i'(y_i)}$$ \tag{22}$$

which allows obtaining the system load, i.e., the probability of remaining in active state, from the integration of (22) between the limits $d$ and 1.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The self-similar behavior with LRD of the traffic generated by the proposed map is confirmed by means of the calculation of Hurst exponent using the rescaled range analysis (R/S) and the aggregate variance analysis (Var) [40].

In the R/S analysis the value of $H$ is obtained directly from the slope of the logarithmic plot, while in the Var analysis $H$ is obtained from the relation $H = 1 - \beta/2$ where $0 < \beta < 1$ [41].

In this first stage of research no online traffic is generated because we are dealing only with the validation of model; and instead of it all algorithms are programmed in MATLAB.

As a first point, two values are specified in Table I for parameter $m$, keeping the number of the map iterations $N$ and parameter $d$ fixed.

| Table I. SPECIFICATION OF THE MODEL PARAMETERS |
|---|
| $N$ | $d$ | $m$ | $\rho(\infty)$ |
| 1000 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.50 |
| 1000 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.51 |

Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 show the behavior of $x_{n+1}$ and $y_n$ for the data of Table I. The Fig. 1(b) shows only the first 80 iterations for $y_n$, since the rest, up to iteration $N = 1000$, are equal to zero.

Fig. 3 shows the graphic aspect of the traffic generated for $N = 1000$ iterations, $d = 0.5$ and $m = 2$ (for the case of Fig. 2).

Figures 4 to 9 show the behavior of the maps for different values of $N, d,$ and $m$, while Fig. 10 shows the behavior of the traffic generated for $N = 1000$ iterations, $d = 0.5$, and $m = 2$ (for the case of Fig. 4).

| Table II. SPECIFICATION OF THE MODEL PARAMETERS |
|---|
| $N$ | $d$ | $m$ | $\rho(\infty)$ |
| 1000 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.50 |
| 1000 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.51 |

| Table III. SPECIFICATION OF THE MODEL PARAMETERS |
|---|
| $N$ | $d$ | $m$ | $\rho(\infty)$ |
| 1000 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.50 |
| 1000 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.51 |

| Table IV. SPECIFICATION OF THE MODEL PARAMETERS |
|---|
| $N$ | $d$ | $m$ | $\rho(\infty)$ |
| 1000 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.50 |
| 1000 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.51 |

Figure 1. Behavior of the model considering $N = 1000, d = 0.5,$ and $m = 1$. Tables II to IV show experiments varying parameters $m$ and $d$ for a constant number of iterations of the model, to obtain the Hurst parameter $H$. 

As a first point, two values are specified in Table I for parameter $m$, keeping the number of the map iterations $N$ and parameter $d$ fixed.
Figure 2. Behavior of the model considering $N = 1000$, $d = 0.5$, and $m = 2$.

Figure 3. Traffic generating by the map. $N = 1000$, $d = 0.5$, and $m = 2$.

Figure 4. Behavior of the model considering $N = 1000$, $d = 0.3$, and $m = 1$.

Figure 5. Behavior of the model considering $N = 1000$, $d = 0.3$, and $m = 2$.

Figure 6. Behavior of the model considering $N = 500$, $d = 0.5$, and $m = 1$.

Figure 7. Behavior of the model considering $N = 500$, $d = 0.5$, and $m = 2$.

Figure 8. Behavior of the model considering $N = 500$, $d = 0.3$, and $m = 1$.

Figure 9. Behavior of the model considering $N = 500$, $d = 0.3$, and $m = 2$.

Figure 10. Traffic generating by the map. $N = 500$, $d = 0.5$, and $m = 2$.

Tables V to VII present values of the Hurst exponent for random traffic traces extracted from the original series used to formulate Tables II to IV.

These random sequences are formed from the extraction of the number of samples specified under Random $N$, and they are then analyzed as samples of traffic generated directly by the model.

The model does not experience loss of generality due to the above, since it is an adjustment in the model algorithm.




TABLE V. $H$ FOR DISSAGREGATED SERIES ACCORDING TABLE II

| $N$ | Rand. $N$ | $d$ | $m$ | $R/S$ | $H$ | Var |
|-----|-----------|-----|-----|-------|-----|-----|
| 1000 | 500 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.42 | 0.37 | 0.37 |
| 1000 | 500 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0.45 | 0.43 | 0.43 |
| 1000 | 500 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.51 | 0.48 | 0.48 |
| 1000 | 500 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 0.55 | 0.52 | 0.52 |
| 1000 | 500 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 0.65 | 0.58 | 0.58 |
| 1000 | 500 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 0.72 | 0.66 | 0.66 |

TABLE VI. $H$ FOR DISSAGREGATED SERIES ACCORDING TABLE III

| $N$ | Rand. $N$ | $d$ | $m$ | $R/S$ | $H$ | Var |
|-----|-----------|-----|-----|-------|-----|-----|
| 1000 | 500 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.44 | 0.38 | 0.38 |
| 1000 | 500 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 0.47 | 0.45 | 0.45 |
| 1000 | 500 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 0.53 | 0.51 | 0.51 |
| 1000 | 500 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 0.62 | 0.57 | 0.57 |
| 1000 | 500 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.67 | 0.62 | 0.62 |
| 1000 | 500 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.75 | 0.67 | 0.67 |

TABLE VII. $H$ FOR DISSAGREGATED SERIES ACCORDING TABLE III

| $N$ | Rand. $N$ | $d$ | $m$ | $R/S$ | $H$ | Var |
|-----|-----------|-----|-----|-------|-----|-----|
| 1000 | 500 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.43 | 0.38 | 0.38 |
| 1000 | 500 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.45 | 0.42 | 0.42 |
| 1000 | 500 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 0.52 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| 1000 | 500 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 0.57 | 0.52 | 0.52 |
| 1000 | 500 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 0.63 | 0.57 | 0.57 |
| 1000 | 500 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 0.71 | 0.66 | 0.66 |

V. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The model shows that the generation of self-similar traffic with LRD can be approached from the control of parameters $m$ and $d$ considering a fixed number of iterations, as shown from the results given in the Tables II to IV. However, because of its simplified present formulation, control of the permanence times in each state is not possible. In that respect, a general model like that of [31] does allow that with good accuracy. A simple example to illustrate that need is built on the basis of requiring that the traffic of a system should have a certain $H$, for example 0.90.

Examining the Table III and the Table IV it is found that this requirement can only be satisfied by means of a change in the boundary of the iteration regions, which is impractical because of its repercussions on the characteristic function.

Control of the degree of self-similarity of the generated traffic seems to be effective for the subjacent temporal series. The analysis of the results shown in the Tables V to VII is fundamental because it reflects the underlying problem: control the effect of locality on $H$. It is seen that the traces formed from random sections of the original samples reflect the behavior of the whole, and also that $H$ can be considered by itself as a valid indicator to characterize the effects of the generated self-similar LRD traffic on the performance of the tail systems in which it appears.

A formal mathematical proof of the above is needed, but in a first instance, from the effect that the characteristic function has on the perception of the system, an adequate substantiation is found.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The feasibility of having an efficient and effective self-similar traffic generator from the parsimony of its model is shown, a fact made evident by an adequate control of the value of Hurst exponent. Furthermore, Hurst exponent is shown to be completely valid as a representative parameter to characterize self-similar traffic.

It is shown that the value of $H$ in the disaggregation of self-similar temporal series depends on the original model of the traffic.

Based on subsets of samples obtained randomly, it is seen that its value shows a tendency to remain constant and in that way adequately characterize the segments in question.

Finally, the most relevant fact for the future development of this research is the practical proof that $m = 1$ must be discarded as an option for the formulation of a chaotic model generator of self-similar traffic because it inevitably leads to SRD traffic, as shown by the results given in Tables II to VII, so it is shown to be impossible to work only with a linear model.
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