Pressure driven topological phase transition in chalcopyrite ZnGeSb$_2$
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Recently topologically non-trivial phases have been identified in few time-reversal invariant systems that lack of inversion symmetry. Using density functional theory based first-principles calculations, we report a strong topologically non-trivial phase in chalcopyrite ZnGeSb$_2$, which can act as a model system of strained HgTe. The calculations reveal the non-zero topological invariant ($Z_2$), the presence of Dirac cone crossing in the surface spectral functions with spin-momentum locking. We also show that the application of moderate hydrostatic pressure ($\sim$7 GPa) induces topological phase transition from topological non-trivial phase to a topologically trivial phase. A discontinuity in the tetragonal distortion of non-centrosymmetric ZnGeSb$_2$ plays a crucial role in driving this topological phase transition.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

Topological insulators (TIs) are the three-dimensional (3D) counterpart of two-dimensional (2D) quantum spin Hall insulators$^{14}$, They are characterized by a non-trivial topological $Z_2$ invariant associated to the bulk electronic structure$^{5,11}$. TIs have an insulating gap in the bulk band structure, but topologically protected gapless surface or edge states on the boundary. The surface states have an odd (even) number of mass-less Dirac cones associated with the $Z_2$ topological invariant which leads to strong (weak) TIs. They have inverted band ordering due to the switching of bands with opposite parity at high symmetry momenta of the Brillouin zone (BZ) around the Fermi level compared to their topological trivial phase.

Topological insulating phases have been theoretically predicted and experimentally observed in a variety of systems including HgTe/CdTe quantum well$^{12,19}$, tetradymite semiconductors (Bi$_2$Se$_3$, Bi$_2$Te$_3$, Sb$_2$Te$_3$, Bi$_{1-y}$Se$_y$)$_{11,15}$, half-heusler compounds containing rare-earth elements Ln (Ln=$^{*}$ lanthanides) like LnAuPb, LnPdBi, LnPtSb and LnPtBi$^{12,11}$, The half Heuslers TIs and Rare-earth carbides can host many interesting topological quantum phenomena properties by tuning number of strongly correlated $f$ electrons$^{12,23}$.

In this context, it is of particular interest to identify materials for which the band ordering can be tuned, and topological properties can be switched via topological phase transitions. In principle, the phase transitions between topological trivial and non-trivial states can be achieved by the external perturbations such as the tuning the electro-negativity or tuning the strength of spin-orbit coupling (SOC) via substituting proper elements$^{11,12,10}$, by alloying composition or chemical doping$^{25,58}$ or by uniform hydrostatic pressure, and strain. Pressure induced topological phase transition have been identified in layered material$^{31}$, BiTeI$^{32}$, Pb$_{1-x}$Sn$_x$ polar semiconductors$^{33}$, topological crystalline insulators$^{34}$, rocksalt chalcogenides$^{35}$, chalcopyrite compounds like CdGeSb$_2$ and CdSnSb$_2$. Also ternary chalcopyrites of composition I-III-VI$_2$ and II-IV-V$_2$ provide an interesting platform to host topologically exotic phase$^{36}$. Structurally these chalcopyrites can be viewed as strained HgTe which crystallizes in the zinc-blende lattice structure. Few of them can be realized as the topological insulator or Weyl semi metals in their native states$^{39,10}$. Room temperature ferromagnetism can also be found in chalcopyrites by magnetic doping in addition to the topological non-trivial phases$^{41,39}$. Very recently, photovoltaic phenomena related to the Berry phase of the constituting electronic bands were established in chalcopyrite compound ZnSnP$_2$.$^{31}$ The wide availability of chalcopyrite semiconductors and the control of topological order via tuning lattice parameters, hydrostatic pressure, chemical doping opens new possibilities for this family ideal platform to further investigate the novel topological quantum phenomena.

The atomic positions (Wyckoff positions) in a materials depend on the symmetry of the systems followed by the space group of the materials family. Chalcopyrite series compounds are such a materials where the Wyckoff positions are depend on the constituent atom in that materials. In this series of materials, each anion has two dissimilar cations as nearest neighbors. This leads to the structural distortion compared to ideal HgTe structure which is determined by both the lattice parameter’s ratio and the internal displacement of the anions (anion-shift) towards one of the cation as compared to the ideal zinc-blende sites. So both the lattice parameters and atomic positions are important parameters to determine its ground state structure and consequently the properties whether it is in topological or trivial state.

Motivated by the above issues, we focused here on a
chalcopyrite compound ZnGeSb$_2$ which has a very low, positive band-inversion strength ($E_{\Gamma_0} - E_{\Gamma_1}$). This enables us to tune the topological band ordering via applying modest kind of perturbation in terms of uniform hydrostatic pressure of the order of $\approx 7$ GPa. Apparently, there are self contradicting reports found on ZnGeSb$_2$. It has been reported that the ZnGeSb$_2$ as a trivial insulator in their native state in the ref. However there are contradictory reports which shows some kind of massive Dirac states at the parent phase. But in the both studies the detailed study of the topological properties and quantities are still far from being investigation.

To clarify this discrepancy, we have decided to address this apparent contradiction through our detailed electronic structure investigation of the topological quantities. We cross checked all our results in two different basis sets to established our results on ZnGeSb$_2$ firmly. We did a similar investigation with another Chalcopyrite compound ZnSnP$_2$ in our previous report. Our fully relativistic calculations using in a four component Dirac formulation, however reveal that the ZnGeSb$_2$ is in a topologically non-trivial state at the parent phase with non-zero $Z_2$ indices and non-trivial surface states crossing near the Fermi energy with the spin-momentum locking and undergoes a topological phase transition to trivial insulating phase at high pressure ($\approx 7$ GPa). Here in our studies we explicitly correlate the topological phase transition with the position of the anion (Sb atom) and on the structural distortions in ZnGeSb$_2$.

II. CALCULATIONS METHODOLOGY

Density functional theory (DFT) based electronic structure calculations were performed using the local orbital basis set in the full potential framework as implemented in the FPLO code as well as for structural aspects through the plane-wave basis set based on a pseudo-potential framework as incorporated in the Vienna $ab$-initio simulation package (VASP). The generalized gradient exchange-correlation approximated (GGA) functional was employed following the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) prescription. For the plane-wave basis, a 600 eV cut-off was applied. The structural optimization were performed by relaxing the both $a$ and $c$ lattice parameters and the internal atomic positions of all the atoms toward the equilibrium until the Hellmann–Feynman force becomes less than 0.001 eV/Å. We also cross checked the obtained optimized lattice parameters through the energy minimization method as shown in the appendix Fig. A k-point mesh of 6 $\times$ 6 $\times$ 4 in the Brillouin zone was used for the calculations. All the structures are optimized and the self-consistent calculations are converged with tight convergence threshold for the energy ($10^{-7}$ eV).

The tight-binding model is constructed with the Wannier function basis set to investigate its topological properties. Wannierization is an energy selective method that produces the low energy, few orbital Hamiltonian defined in the effective Wannier function basis by integrating out the degrees of freedom that are not of interest through re-normalization method. In the down-folding calculations, we have kept only Sb-s, p and Ge-p orbitals as an active degrees of freedom in the projection and downfolded all other orbitals. The Wannier interpolated bands, $Z_2$ invariant, Wannier charge centers (WCC), surface states and the spin textures were calculated using Wannier90 and WannierTool starting from full DFT calculations. The reliability of the calculations and the results has been cross checked in the other basis set using full potential method as implemented in FPLO. We also calculate the $Z_2$ invariant using Wannier-Center algorithm within FPLO (Full-potential local-orbital minimum-basis). The obtained electronic structure results from two different basis sets are consistent with each others, confirms the robustness of our analysis.

III. CRYSTAL STRUCTURE AND SYMMETRY

ZnGeSb$_2$ crystallize in the body-centered tetragonal structure which in the chalcopyrite phase has a space group $I42d$ (no. 122). Structurally it is a superlattice of zinc-blende structure, like ideal HgTe, doubling the unit cell along the crystallographic $z$ direction. In the ZnGeSb$_2$, each anion Sb has two Zn and two Ge cations as nearest neighbors as shown in Fig. 1(a). Due to dissimilar atoms as neighbours the anion acquires an equilibrium position closer to one pair of cation than to other. The wyckoff positions of the different atoms in the tetragonal unit cell are: Zn atom at (0, 0, 0); Ge atom at (0, 0, 0.5) and Sb atom at ($x$, 0.25, 0.125), where $x$ is the anion displacement parameter. The full structural optimization calculations and the energy minimization of the structural parameters (shown in Fig. 1) gives us the lattice parameters $a=6.20$ Å, $c=12.33$ Å and the anion displacement parameter turn out to be $x=0.2454$. Since, this compound is not synthesized experimentally yet, its very important to examine the thermodynamic and the dynamical stability. The calculated phonon density of states with absence of any negative frequency phonon mode and the Helmoltz free energy, as shown in the Fig. confirm that the stability of the structures. The internal displacement of the anion in chalcopyrite compounds of general form ABC$_2$ is defined as $\delta u = (R^2_{AC} - R^2_{BC})/a^2$ where $R_{AC}$ and $R_{BC}$ are the bond lengths between the anion C and its two nearest A and B cation respectively. In the most general case of chalcopyrite, $x\neq 0.25$ and $\eta \neq 2$, where $\eta = c/a$, a and c are the lattice parameters. $\delta u$ measures the tetragonal distortion and responsible for the breaking of the inversion symmetry in ZnGeSb$_2$. The non-centrosymmetric ZnGeSb$_2$ crystal has two twofold glide rotational symmetries along $C_2(x)$ and $C_2(y)$ respectively and two glide mirror symmetry axes $M_{xy}$ and $M_{x-y}$, in addition to that
of the pure twofold rotational symmetry along \( C_2(z) \).

The binary compound with zinc-blende structure (cubic symmetry), \( x \) is 0.25 and \( \eta = c/a = 1 \). The zinc-blende HgTe superlattice can be regarded as a model chalcopyrite like \( ABC_2 \) with \( A=B=Hg, C=Te \), \( \eta = 2 \), and \( \delta u = 0 \). Interestingly, in the chalcopyrite, the cubic symmetry is broken due to the tetragonal distortion (\( \eta \neq 1 \)) and the internal displacement (\( \delta u \neq 0 \)). Therefore, the ZnGeSb\(_2\) can be thought of as the strained HgTe (see Fig. 1(b)).

IV. ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE AND BAND TOPOLOGY

The calculated electronic band structures with SOC are shown in Fig. 1(c)-(e) along the high symmetry lines in the BZ. In an ideal zinc-blende structure with cubic symmetry as found in HgTe (\( \delta u = 0, x = 0.25, \eta = 1 \)), the \( p \) orbital symmetric four fold degenerate \( \Gamma_8 \) states of total angular momentum \( J = \frac{3}{2} \), lies above the \( s \) orbital symmetric two fold degenerate \( \Gamma_6 \) and \( \Gamma_7 \) states of total angular momentum of \( J = \frac{1}{2} \). To understand the band topology relating to breaking of symmetry and tetragonal distortion, we did a model calculations, by considering a hypothetical structure, where \( x=0.25 \) for the Sb coordinate and setting \( \eta=2 \) (\( \eta = c/a \), where, \( c \) and \( a \) are the exact lattice parameters of ZnGeSb\(_2\) obtained through the structural optimization in the ambient structure) as shown in Fig. 1(c). In this hypothetical structure, \( \delta u = 0 \), i.e without tetragonal distortion and the same space group keeps the constant point group symmetry of the structure. The fourfold degeneracy of the \( \Gamma_8 \) is protected when \( \eta=2 \). The Dirac cone type feature at \( \Gamma \) point at the Fermi energy, similar to that of the case of HgTe with \( \eta = 2 \). However, the ZnGeSb\(_2\) formed in a chalcopyrite structure of tetragonal unit cell where \( \eta \neq 2 \) and \( x \neq 0.25 \), the four fold degenerate light-hole and heavy-hole subbands of \( \Gamma_8 \) states was lifted and form typically the top set of the valence bands and the bottom set of the conduction bands at \( \Gamma \) point above Fermi energy as shown in Fig. 1(d). In ZnGeSb\(_2\), \( E_{\Gamma_6} - E_{\Gamma_8} < 0 \), which indicates its topological nature in the native ambient structure phase.

Since, the local gap at the \( \Gamma \) point between the \( \Gamma_6 \) and \( \Gamma_8 \) band is very tiny, any moderate level of external perturbation may flip the band ordering at the \( \Gamma \) point in the BZ. With this motivation, we applied uniform hydrostatic pressure to the parent structure of ZnGeSb\(_2\) keeping the space group symmetry (I\( 4\)d) unaltered. The calculated band structure in presence of SOC, showed in the Fig. 1(e). We found that at about moderate uniform hydrostatic pressure (\( \approx 7 \) GPa), the topological band ordering at the \( \Gamma \) point in the BZ gets flipped, i.e after applying pressure, \( \Gamma_6 \) and \( \Gamma_8 \) forms the bottom of the conduction band and top of the valance band respectively, making the \( E_{\Gamma_6} - E_{\Gamma_8} > 0 \) as shown in the Fig. 1(e). Therefore, ZnGeSb\(_2\) undergoes topological phase transition from topological non-trivial to topological trivial phase by flipping of \( \Gamma_6 \) and \( \Gamma_8 \) band ordering as a function of applied external uniform hydrostatic pressure like perturbation.

To understand the evaluation of band structure across the topological phase transition mediated through hydrostatic pressure, we compared the orbital projected band structures for the parent (topologically non-trivial) and high pressure (topologically trivial) phase of ZnGeSb\(_2\) in the Fig. 1(f)-(i). The calculations show that the only Sb
states are dominating near the Fermi energy, with small contribution of Ge states, therefore we have shown the orbital contribution of Sb atoms of ZnGeSb₂ for both parent and high pressure phases. In the parent structure phase, at the Γ point of the BZ, the valence band maximum (VBM) has the major contribution from Sb-5p₈ orbital, forming the Γ₆ bands, whereas the conduction band minimum (CBM) has the major contribution from Sb-5pₓ and a minor contribution from Sb-5pₙ orbitals forming the Γ₈ bands. However, on the contrary in the high pressure phase, the VBM and CBM are formed by the Sb-5p and Sb-5s orbitals respectively, which suggests that, at the Γ point the bands get inverted compared to the parent phase. Therefore, from our calculations, it confirms that the relative weightage of the Sb-5s and Sb-5p are flipped between the parent ambient and high pressure structure phase, leading to a topological phase transition between the parent ambient topologically non-trivial phase (where \( E_{\Gamma_6} - E_{\Gamma_8} < 0 \)) to the high pressure topologically trivial phase (where \( E_{\Gamma_6} - E_{\Gamma_8} > 0 \)), which is exactly similar to that of the HgTe/CdTe quantum well.

The application of the hydrostatic pressure leads to the topological phase transition, which can be understood from the evolution of the tetragonal distortion. Under the application of the hydrostatic pressure, the structural tetragonal distortion decreases which is quantify by \( \delta u \) terms. The bond lengths and corresponding \( \delta u \)'s are shown in the Fig. 2(a)-(b). The \( \delta u \) decreases i.e the strength of tetragonal distortion decreases if we increase pressure. The distortions \( \delta u_1 \) shows monotonic fall and \( \delta u_2 \) and \( \delta u_3 \) show monotonic raise with pressure respectively, however have a discontinuous jump in \( \delta u \)'s at the same pressure range where the band ordering of \( \Gamma_8-\Gamma_6 \) switches i.e at the topological phase transition point. We also found that this increase and jump in the distortions is nicely corresponds to the deviation of the Sb atom positions from the ideal value of \( x = 0.25 \) in the ZnGeSb₂ in the body centered I\( \bar{4}\)d structure, as clearly shown in the inset of Fig. 2(b).

V. TOPOLOGICAL INVARIANT AND SURFACE DIRAC CONES

To ensure the topological character and phase transition, we calculated \( Z_2 \) invariant quantities for the both parent ambient and high pressure phases. The corresponding energy difference between \( \Gamma_6 \) and \( \Gamma_8 \) bands \( (E_{\Gamma_6} - E_{\Gamma_8}) \) as a function of external uniform hydrostatic pressure as shown in Fig. 2(c). The light-hole and heavy-hole subbands of \( \Gamma_8 \) symmetry is separated by a small local energy gap at \( \Gamma \) point. Therefore, \( Z_2 \) topological invariant can still be defined for the valence bands as they are separated from the conduction bands by local energy gap in the BZ zone around \( \Gamma \) point. We found that the \( E_{\Gamma_8} - E_{\Gamma_6} \) is \( < 0 \) (top inset Fig. 2(c)) and \( Z_2 \) invariant quantities \( (\gamma; \gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3) \) are \( (1:000) \) up to the pressure \( \approx 6-7 \) GPa, which indicates its strong topological nature (\( \gamma \) is the strong topological index and \( \gamma_i \) are the three weak topological indices). However, as the pressure increases, the major s-like \( \Gamma_6 \) symmetry bands rise above the \( \Gamma_8 \) bands leads to the \( E_{\Gamma_6} - E_{\Gamma_8} > 0 \) (see bottom inset Fig. 2(c)).

The \( Z_2 \) invariant quantities merged to the topologically trivial value of \( (0:000) \), which indicates that ZnGeSb₂ became topologically trivial phase by application of moderate hydrostatic pressure. However, increasing pressure...
further above \( \approx 10 \) GPa, the linear trends of \( E_{F_{\text{z}}} - E_{F_{\text{s}}} \) follow a downturn. This is associated by the crossing of top of the valence band (\( \Gamma_8 \)) above the Fermi level (see bottom inset of Fig.2(c)).

Interesting point to be noted that, this topological phase transition in ZnGeSb from topological non-trivial to trivial phases are not due to the breaking of time reversal symmetry. The first hypothetical band structure (Fig.1(b)) actually mimicking the two times supercell of an ideal cubic HgTe system. By reducing the symmetry of these hypothetical structure further, by setting \( \eta \neq 2 \) and \( x \neq 0.25 \), we eventually have the actual structure of ZnGeSb (figure 1(d)), which has substantial tetragonal distortions as denoted in terms of \( \delta u \)’s. Point to be noted that, the calculated \( Z_2 \) invariant quantities (1;000)(\( \gamma_1;\gamma_2;\gamma_3 \)) for both the hypothetical band structures and the original band structure of ZnGeSb are topologically non-trivial and the reduction of symmetry does not mess up with the topological \( Z_2 \) invariant characters.

In parent state of ZnGeSb, the \( K_x = 0.5, K_y = 0.5 \) and \( K_z = 0.5 \) planes have zero \( Z_2 \) invariant, while \( K_z = 0 \) plane is non-trivial as shown in appendix Fig.8. For \( K_z = 0 \) plane, the WCC crosses the reference line for odd no of times, which results in \( Z_2 = 1 \). So the topological indices for ZnGeSb are (1;000). Here \( K_x = 0 \neq K_z = 0.5 \rightarrow \nu_1 = 1, \nu_2 = 0 \) and \( \nu_3 = 0 \) as shown in appendix Fig.8. Under hydrostatic pressure, all \( K_x = 0, K_y = 0.5, K_y = 0.5 \) and \( K_z = 0.5 \) planes have zero \( Z_2 \) invariant. So the topological indices for ZnGeSb under pressure are (0;000), as shown in the appendix Fig.8.

To elucidate our results, we also calculated the spectral distribution at the surface of the semi-infinite slab of ZnGeSb via Green’s function techniques as implemented within the WannierTool. The tight binding model has been extracted using maximally projected Wannier functions (WFs). The surface states (SS) emerging from the conduction band (CB) and valence band (VB) exhibits Dirac like crossing at the \( \bar{\Gamma} \) point in the BZ as shown in Fig.9(a), which further ensures the strong topological character in ZnGeSb. We also calculated the spectral distribution at the high pressure (7.22 GPa), as shown in Fig.9(b), where there are clear gap between valence and conduction bands near the Fermi energy and absence of any surface states, which further ensure topologically trivial band insulating phase, at the high pressure. This establishes the topological and trivial character in the parent and high pressure phase in ZnGeSb. To verify the surface Dirac cone, we also calculated the spectral distribution at the surface time reversal invariant momenta (TRIM) points scanning the whole BZ in ZnGeSb via Green’s function techniques as implemented within PYFPLO module. This establishes the strong topological character as surface states have an odd number of Dirac crossing in the parent phase which gone away at high pressure (see Fig.9 in the appendix). This ensures the topological phase transition at high pressure in ZnGeSb.

The calculated Berry phase for the parent phase of ZnGeSb is very close to \( 2\pi (1.995\pi) \), which drops down close to zero \( (0.010\pi) \) at about 7 GPa, which suggest that there are a topological phase transition from the topological non-trivial phase at parent phase to the topological trivial band insulating phase at the high pressure.

To characterize the topological phase in more detail we also have calculated the Berry curvature and spin texture for the parent and high pressure phases as shown in Fig.3(c) and Fig.3(d) respectively. The figures clearly show the changes in Berry curvature by applying the pressure. Moreover, we also found the existence of the spin-momentum locking signature (inset of Fig.3(c)) in the spin texture at the parent phase and absence of it in the high pressure phase, also confirming the topological non-trivial phase to trivial phases transition by application of pressure.

VI. LOW-ENERGY EFFECTIVE MODEL

The topological nature and its effect under hydrostatic pressure in ZnGeSb is determined by the physics near the \( \Gamma \) point. Therefore, we have constructed a low-energy effective \( \mathbf{k}.\mathbf{p} \) model Hamiltonian considering time reversal (\( \tau \)) and spatial (\( C_2(x), C_2(y), C_2(z) \) and \( M_{xy} \)) symmetries in ZnGeSb. The 4 \( \times 4 \) Hamiltonian is constructed in the basis of \( \{ | \Gamma_6, \Gamma_5; \pm 1/2 \rangle = \{ s, \uparrow \downarrow \} \) and \( | \Gamma_5; \pm 3/2 \rangle = \{ p_x \pm i p_y, \uparrow \downarrow \} \) of Sb atom. In such a basis set, the Hamiltonian can be written as

\[
H(k) = \begin{pmatrix}
M(k) & A(k) & 0 & -B^*(k) \\
A(k) & -M(k) & B^*(k) & 0 \\
0 & B(k) & M(k) & A(k) \\
-B(k) & 0 & A(k) & -M(k)
\end{pmatrix}
\]  

with \( M(k) = M_0 - M_1 k_x^2 - M_2 k_z^2, A(k) = A(k_x^2 - k_y^2), B(k) = \beta k_x k_z, k_x = k_x + ik_y \). So the parameters of the low energy effective model are \( M_0, A, \) and \( \beta \) which in \( M_0 \) determines the topology of band structure. This is equivalent to Dirac mass parameter \( M_3 \) and corresponds to the energy difference between basis orbitals. Other parameters are kept fixed in our calculation to reproduce the topological transitions (\( M_1 = 1.0 \) eV \( \AA \), \( M_2 = 1.0 \) eV \( \AA \^2 \), \( A = 1.0 \) eV \( \AA \^2 \) and \( \beta = 1.0 \) eV \( \AA \^2 \)). The system is in topological insulating phase when \( M_0 M_1 < 0 \) and in normal insulating phase when \( M_0 M_1 > 0 \) as shown in Fig.3(e)-(f). \( M_0 M_1 = 0 \) equivalent to mass less Dirac semi metal though the bands have a different physical interpretation. These situations are similar to the topological phase transition under hydrostatic pressure presented above in Fig.3(e)-(h). Such a transition is driven by the sign change of the mass term (\( M_0 \)) in the three-dimensional Dirac equation which is the theory of the topological phase transition between the topological non-trivial and trivial insulators. The topological phase in ZnGeSb occurs in the inverted regime where \( E_{F_{\text{s}}} - E_{F_{\text{s}}} < 0 \) and \( Z_2 = (1;000) \), then \( M_0 < 0 \). The topological band ordering can be continuously tuned via moderate hydrostatic
FIG. 3: (color online) The surface spectral distribution along the surface BZ for topological (a) non-trivial (ambient pressure) (b) trivial phases (high pressure). Zero in the energy scale is set at the Fermi energy. (c) and (d) show the calculated Berry curvatures and the spin textures for topological non-trivial (parent phase) and trivial phases (high pressure) respectively. Band dispersion obtained from $H(k)$ for (e) $M_0 < 0$ which is in topological phase and (f) $M_0 > 0$ which is in non-topological phase. ZnGeSb$_2$ (g) $M_0 = -0.02$ eV and (h) $M_0 = 0.05$ eV. The inset of figure (g) corresponds to $M_0 = 0$.

pressure until $E_{\Gamma_6} - E_{\Gamma_8} > 0$ and $Z_2 = (0:000)$. Then the mass term $M_0$ is getting flipped from a negative to positive value i.e, $M_0 > 0$ (see Fig.3(g)-(h)). This corresponds to a phase transition from topological metallic state to normal insulating phase in ZnGeSb$_2$.

VII. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

ZnGeSb$_2$ is a strained HgTe whose topological band ordering can be tuned for appropriate applications via a moderate perturbation. The parent phase of ZnGeSb$_2$ is a strong topological non-trivial state ($Z_2 = (1:000)$) with odd number surface states crossing in the surface BZ. Apparently our findings are contradicting with the results showed in the previous report$^{39}$. Points to be noted here are that, for the chalcopyrite class of materials, both the in-plane lattice parameter $a$ and the out of plane lattice parameter $c$ are crucial along with the anion displacement parameter i.e the wyckoff coordinate $(x)$ of the Sb atom in determining the physical properties. We found that authors in the ref$^{39}$ showed the $E_{\Gamma_6} - E_{\Gamma_8}$ as a function of the lattice parameter $a$ only, without mentioning anything about the lattice parameter $c$ or the free wyckoff coordinate $(x)$ of the Sb atom in their calculations. The obtained in-plane lattice parameter $a$ in ref$^{39}$ is in quite good agreement with the value obtained by our calculations, however, we also mentioned the lattice parameter $c$ and free wyckoff coordinate $(x)$ of the Sb atom obtained through our structural optimization and the energy minimization as shown in appendix Fig.4. We also found that, keeping the lattice parameter $a$ same as it obtained in the energy minimization, if we only change the lattice parameter $c$ by around 10%, then we found that the $E_{\Gamma_6} - E_{\Gamma_8} > 0$ i.e topological trivial state, as shown in the appendix Fig.7 which in turn confirms that determination of out of plane lattice parameter $c$ is also very crucial in determining the topological properties. On the other hand, the topological nature of the ground state does not switch if we independently vary the in-plane lattice parameter $a$ or the free wyckoff coordinate $(x)$ of the Sb atom keeping other structural parameters same as that of the equilibrium value. Our obtained results have been cross checked in two different basis sets to establish our results on ZnGeSb$_2$ firmly.

By application of moderate uniform hydrostatic pressure ($\approx 7$ GPa), ZnGeSb$_2$ undergoes a topological phase transition to the topologically trivial band insulating phase followed by normal metal. The topological phase transition is also associated with the tetragonal distortions ($\delta u'$s) in ZnGeSb$_2$. With increasing the pressure, the structural distortion parameters ($\delta u'$s) are decreasing and at above a critical value there is a jump in the $\delta u$. The topological phase transition is associated with the change in the wave function parity of $\Gamma_6 - \Gamma_8$ band and sign of the mass term at the $\Gamma$ point in the BZ caused...
by the structural distortion. We hoped that our study will extend to any compounds in this chalcopyrite series. Therefore, it is interesting to investigate further the topological properties in others for realizing topological devices for quantum computing.
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**Appendix A: Energy minimization calculations of structural parameters**

Energy minimization of structural parameters viz. lattice parameters \(a, c\) and free wyckoff position of the Sb atoms as described in Sec. [II] shown in Fig. [I]. The detail first principles calculations are done with this optimized and energy minimized structural parameters.

**Appendix B: Thermodynamic and dynamical stability**

In order to establish the calculated results the stability of the compound is very important to examine. It becomes very necessary when the material is not yet synthesized experimentally. Therefore, we examine the dynamical stability through the calculated phonon density of states whereas the thermodynamic stability via calculating the Helmholtz free energy and the entropy as shown in Fig. [II]. We have calculated the phonon frequencies via finite displacement method using the first principles derived Hessian matrix. The thermodynamic quantities can be obtained through the phonon energy by integrating the phonon frequencies over the entire brillouin zone under harmonic approximation.[58]

The calculations show the absence of any imaginary and negative frequency mode in the phonon density of states confirms the dynamical stability of the both parent and high pressure phase of the ZnGeSb\(_2\). Moreover, the calculated Helmholtz free energy remains negative in the entire temperature range, whereas, the entropy of the system increases with the temperature, suggesting thermodynamic stability for the both parent ambient phase as well as the high pressure phase.

**Appendix C: Projected Band structures for ambient and High pressure phase**

This section shows the projected bands of Sb-5\(p_y\), Sb-5\(p_z\) in ZnGeSb\(_2\) at both topological and trivial phase as shown in Fig. [III]. Figure [IV] ensures that the lattice parameter \(c\) along the easy axis is a important structural parameter in determining the ground state whether it is in topological or trivial phase. 10% reduction of lattice parameter \(c\), keeping the other structural parameters same as that of the equilibrium value, ZnGeSb\(_2\) switches to trivial phase from its topological ground state.
FIG. 5: (Color online) The calculated phonon density of states for the (a) parent structure and (b) high pressure structure are shown. The inset of the two panels shows the calculated entropy (solid line) in the unit of J/K.mole and the Helmholtz free energy (dotted line) in unit of kJ/mole.

FIG. 6: (Color online) (a) and (b) represent ambient (topological non-trivial), (c) and (d) represent high pressure (topological trivial) phases of ZnGeSb$_2$ respectively for the projected bands of Sb-5p$_y$, Sb-5p$_z$ respectively. Γ$_8$ band characters are marked in the figure and the band inversion in topological phase happens between 5s (Γ$_6$) and 5p (Γ$_4$) orbitals at the Γ point in the BZ. Fermi energy set at the zero in energy axis.

FIG. 7: (Color online) The orbital projected bands of (a) Sb-s and (b) Sb-p$_z$, for the ZnGeSb$_2$, with the 10% reduced c lattice parameter, keeping a same as that of the equilibrium value of the lattice parameter. Fermi energy set at the zero in energy axis.

Appendix D: Wannier charge center calculations and surface states

Here we calculate the topological Z$_2$ invariant for non-centrosymmetric crystal ZnGeSb$_2$ using Wannier charge center (WCC) algorithm. The tight binding model has been extracted using maximally projected Wannier functions (WFs) for the Zn-3d, Ge-3d, -4s, -4p and Sb-5p orbitals. Here we are interested on the highest occupied valence band. The topology comes at Γ point where band inversion happens from this band for which we are interested to calculate Z$_2$ in ZnGeSb$_2$. The WCC are calculated in momentum space by considering four time reversal invariant planes $K_x = 0$, $K_y = 0$, $K_z = 0$ and $K_z = 0$. For example, the $K_z = 0$ plane goes through the origin and spanned by reciprocal lattice vector $G_1 - G_2$, whereas $K_z = 0$ plane goes through the $G_3$ and spanned by reciprocal lattice vector $G_1 - G_2$.

The calculated spectral distribution at the surface time reversal invariant momenta (TRIM) points in ZnGeSb$_2$ via Green’s function techniques as implemented within PYFPLO. The surface states (SS) emerging from the conduction band (CB) and valence band (VB) at Γ point in the BZ cross an odd number of times (5 times of Dirac crossing) shown in 9(a), (d) which ensures the strong topological character. Point to be noted that the crossing are not always appeared at the TRIM points due to the breaking of inversion symmetry in ZnGeSb$_2$ structure. We also calculated the spectral distribution at the high pressure (7.22 GPa), as shown in 9(b) where the both
FIG. 8: (Color online) The flow chart of the average position of Wannier charge centers (WCC) obtained by the Wilson-loop method for the highest occupied valence band for parent state (top panel) and high pressure pressure phase (bottom panel) of ZnGeSb$_2$. (a), (b), (c) and (d) of the each panels represents the calculated WCC for the four time reversal invariant planes $K_x = 0.0$, $K_x = 0.5$, $K_y = 0.5$ and $K_z = 0.5$ respectively.

FIG. 9: Spectral distribution along the surface Time reversal invariant points (TRIM) points for topological (a) non-trivial (ambient pressure) (c) trivial phases (high pressure). (b) TRIM in the BZ in ZnGeSb$_2$ and its surface projection. (d) The enlarged portion of the spectral distribution near the Fermi energy (set at zero) for the topological phase shows odd number of band crossing. Surface states are emerging from the conduction band which ensure topologically trivial band insulating phase.