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Risk factors for recurrent *Clostridium difficile* infection (CDI) hospitalization among hospitalized patients with an initial CDI episode: a retrospective cohort study

Marya D Zilberberg¹²*, Kimberly Reske³, Margaret Olsen³, Yan Yan³ and Erik R Dubberke³

**Abstract**

**Background:** Recurrent *Clostridium difficile* infection (rCDI) is observed in up to 25% of patients with an initial CDI episode (iCDI). We assessed risk factors for rCDI among patients hospitalized with iCDI.

**Methods:** We performed a retrospective cohort study at Barnes-Jewish Hospital from 1/1/03 to 12/31/09. iCDI was defined as a positive toxin assay for *C. difficile* with no CDI in previous 60 days, and rCDI as a repeat positive toxin ≤42 days of stopping iCDI treatment. Three demographic, 13 chronic and 12 acute disease characteristics, and 21 processes of care were assessed for association with rCDI. Cox modeling identified independent risk factors for rCDI.

**Results:** 425 (10.1%) of 4,200 patients enrolled developed rCDI. Of the eight risk factors for rCDI on multivariate analyses, the strongest three were 1) high-risk antimicrobials following completion of iCDI treatment (HR 2.95, 95% CI 2.25-3.86), 2) community-onset healthcare-associated iCDI (HR 1.80, 95% CI 1.41-2.29) and 3) fluoroquinolones after completion of iCDI treatment (HR 1.56, 95% CI 1.63-2.08). Other risk factors included gastric acid suppression, ≥2 hospitalizations within prior 60 days, age, and IV vancomycin after iCDI treatment ended.

**Conclusions:** The rCDI rate was 10.1%. Recognizing such modifiable risk factors as certain antimicrobial treatments and gastric acid suppression may help optimize prevention efforts.
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**Background**

Over the past decade *Clostridium difficile* infection (CDI) has increased in both frequency and severity in the US and abroad. A study from Quebec identified a 5-fold rise in the incidence of hospitalizations with CDI over 13 years, accompanied by a doubling in the risk of complicated disease [1]. Similarly, multiple US-based studies have reported a more-than-doubling of hospitalizations with a CDI diagnosis between 2000 and 2005 [2,3]. These numbers have continued to rise through 2009, albeit less rapidly [4]. Much of this growth is thought to be due to the recent emergence of the hypervirulent epidemic strain of *C. difficile*, BI/NAP1/027. A fluoroquinolone-resistant toxin overproducer, this strain has now been detected in most of the states in the US, in North America, Europe and beyond [5].

One of the most challenging aspects of CDI is its propensity to recur. Both metronidazole and vancomycin, first-line therapies recommended in the joint evidence-based practice guideline from the Society of Healthcare Epidemiology of America (SHEA) and Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA), have exhibited unacceptably high rates of recurrence [6]. Indeed, a recent meta-analysis has found that CDI recurs in 13% – 50% of all patients after an initial episode, and in the setting of a randomized controlled trial, the recurrence rate was 25% [7-9].

Recurrent CDI (rCDI) is a cause of much morbidity, and its economic impact is likely substantial. Several studies have identified important risk factors for rCDI,
including advanced age, chronic renal insufficiency, elevated white blood cell count, low serum albumin, use of proton pump inhibitors (PPI), and continued use of systemic antimicrobials during the initial CDI episode (iCDI) [7,10-13]. However, a meta-analysis identified major gaps in our understanding of the risk factors for CDI recurrence [7]. Although the authors found concomitant antimicrobials, gastric acid suppressants and older age to be strongly predictive of rCDI, other factors, including iCDI treatment and specific non-CDI antimicrobials, could not be evaluated adequately due to the lack of robust data. Additionally, most studies have focused on the factors immediately preceding rCDI onset, ignoring the possibility that factors present at or near the onset of the iCDI episode may also impact this risk. In fact, recent data suggest that the burden of community-onset healthcare-facility associated (CO-HCFA) CDI is much higher than previously appreciated, and poses an additional risk pool for inpatient exposure [14,15]. Since CO-HCFA implies an ongoing exposure to the healthcare system, it may itself be a marker for a recurrence.

A precise understanding of who is likely to recur is an important clinical question for two reasons. First, if there are modifiable exposures that increase this risk, knowing what they are may aid clinicians in avoiding them. Second, if patient characteristics not subject to modification predispose to rCDI, recognizing them may help target preventive measures more effectively. In order to define more fully the risk factors for rCDI, we conducted a single center retrospective cohort analysis among patients hospitalized with an iCDI episode.

Methods

This study was approved by the Washington University Institutional Review Board, and its conduct was in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration.

Cohort definition

We conducted a retrospective cohort study of all adult (age ≥ 18 years) patients with an inpatient episode of iCDI at Barnes-Jewish Hospital (BJH) between January 1, 2003, and December 31, 2009. An episode of CDI was defined as a positive toxin assay (C. DIFFICILE TOX A/B II from Techlab, Blacksburg, VA, USA) for C. difficile. Because the hospital laboratory performs a test for C. difficile only if the treating physician suspects CDI and if the stool is unformed, all patients with positive toxin results were considered to be CDI case patients. The first episode of CDI during the study period in the absence of any CDI in the prior 60 days was defined as the iCDI, and patients were included only once. Patients were excluded if they died during or were discharged to hospice from the iCDI hospitalization.

All included patients were followed for 42 days from the date of the end of iCDI treatment or until rCDI onset, defined as a repeat positive toxin within this time frame. Initial CDI cases were categorized according to published surveillance definitions as community-onset healthcare facility-associated (CO-HCFA) (indeterminate CDI cases were grouped with CO-HCFA), healthcare facility-onset (HCFO), and community-associated (CA) [16].

Data sources

Demographic and clinical data were derived from BJH Medical Informatics databases and the BJH electronic medical records. The data available from the Informatics databases included C. difficile toxin assay results and date of stool collection; patient demographics; dates of admission and discharge; discharge disposition; admission location; ICD-9-CM diagnosis (used to define underlying comorbidities in the year prior and during the index hospitalization) and procedure (assessed only during the index hospitalization) codes; dates of ICU stays; start and stop dates of all inpatient CDI treatments, gastric acid suppressors and antimicrobials; and white blood cell count, hemoglobin, serum creatinine, and serum albumin levels on admission and at the time of positive C. difficile toxin assays from the index admission and all readmissions in the 42 days after iCDI treatment end. The BJH medical records included data on antimicrobials and CDI treatments the patient received as an outpatient within the BJH system, and whether a readmission was for CDI. In addition, admission and discharge summaries were reviewed for all included hospitalizations to help determine whether the patient had a history of CDI at another healthcare facility or as an outpatient.

Statistical analyses

The exposure interval was divided into three periods: 1) from hospital admission until diagnosis of iCDI, 2) from the time of diagnosis of iCDI until the end of its treatment, and 3) from the end of iCDI treatment until the onset of recurrence or until the end of the 42-day monitoring period for recurrence. We compared patients with rCDI to those without rCDI based on their characteristics in these time periods. Cox proportional hazards modeling was used to determine variables associated with at least one episode of rCDI on univariate analysis. Antimicrobials were categorized based on association with CDI as high-risk (cephalosporins, aminopenicillins, and clindamycin), low-risk (aminoglycosides, beta lactamase inhibitors, carbapenems, daptomycin, doxycycline, linezolid, macrolides, rifampin, rifaximin, and tigecycline), fluoroquinolones (>90% was ciprofloxacin), and intravenous vancomycin [17,18]. Gastric acid suppressors (histamine receptor 2...
blockers [HR2B] and proton pump inhibitors [PPI]), choice and duration of iCDI treatment, and iCDI severity, as defined by the SHEA/IDSA Clinical Practice Guidelines for CDI, were also assessed as potential risk factors for rCDI [6].

We employed extended Cox proportional hazards modeling to determine independent risk factors for at least one episode of rCDI, with variable selection according to the methodology of Hosmer-Lemeshow [19]. Variables eligible for inclusion in the multivariable models were those associated with increased risk of rCDI from the literature or those with clinical or biologic plausibility, and those with p-values <0.20 in the univariate analyses. Antimicrobial exposures from the end of CDI treatment until rCDI or 42 days were analyzed as time-dependent variables. Backward stepwise selection was used to arrive at the best-fitting and most parsimonious model. All relevant 2-way interactions were tested after selection of the main effects, and included in the final models only if they were significant at the alpha ≤0.05. The proportional hazards assumption was verified by assessing the parallel nature of curves in log-log plots. The appropriate functional formats of continuous variables were determined by examining nonparametric regression (smoothing) plots with a restricted cubic spline function. To facilitate interpretation of results, the hazard ratios for the piecewise linear spline variable (fluoroquinolone exposure while on CDI treatment) compared the hazards of developing CDI for values between the 75th and the 25th percentiles of the variable [20]. To assess the importance of time dependency for antimicrobial exposures that occur after CDI treatment, these exposures included in the final model were also analyzed in a time-independent fashion.

All analyses were performed in SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) and R (R Foundation, Vienna, Austria) [21]. All statistical testing was two-tailed with significance set at the alpha level ≤0.05.

Results
Among the 4,200 patients enrolled with iCDI, 425 (10.1%) had at least one rCDI identified. Those with a recurrence were older (median age 64.8, range 18.3 – 98.2, vs. 61.6, range 18.0 – 102.4), and had a greater comorbidity burden, as evidenced by the higher Charlson score than those without (Table 1). Of the specific comorbidities, diabetes mellitus was more prevalent in the rCDI group than in the group without rCDI. Notably, prior exposure to the healthcare system was more likely among those with rCDI than those without. Persons with rCDI were nearly twice as likely to fit the surveillance definition for CO-HCFA CDI during their initial episode (39%) as those without rCDI (22%, p < 0.001). Consistent with this, patients with rCDI had a higher frequency of at least one inpatient admission within 60 days prior to the iCDI episode (53% vs. 39%, p < 0.001), as well as a higher risk for multiple recent hospitalizations than those without rCDI (Table 1). The iCDI admission laboratory data did not differ substantially between the groups.

There were several differences between patients with and those without rCDI with respect to exposure to medications known to raise the risk of CDI (Table 2). Nearly ¾ of all patients in each group were on at least one antimicrobial at the onset of their iCDI. There were no differences in antibiotics considered to be “high-risk” for causing CDI between the rCDI and non-rCDI groups. Conversely, antimicrobials designated as “low-risk” for CDI were used with lower frequency in patients with (22%) compared to those without rCDI (28%, p = 0.02). Fluoroquinolone treatment was more prevalent during the iCDI onset among those with rCDI (28%) than those without (23%, p = 0.02). Furthermore, patients with rCDI who used gastric acid suppressors were nearly twice as likely as those without to be started on one within 24 hours of the iCDI diagnosis (Table 2).

Although there were no differences in the treatment regimen aimed at the iCDI episode, patients who developed rCDI were more frequently started on such high-risk medications as gastric suppressors and non-CDI antimicrobials, regardless of their designation as high- or low-risk, after the onset of iCDI (Table 2). Those with subsequent rCDI were more likely to be discharged to a healthcare facility following the iCDI hospitalization than those without rCDI, though this difference did not reach statistical significance. Following the hospitalization with iCDI, patients with a subsequent rCDI were also more likely to be readmitted to the hospital both before and/or after the end of the iCDI treatment (Table 3).

In a multivariate Cox proportional hazards model, where we examined 49 potential covariates for their impact on the risk of rCDI, eight factors emerged as predictive of a future episode of rCDI (Table 4). In addition to age, case status of iCDI designation of CO-HCFA was strongly associated with rCDI, increasing its risk by 80% (HR 1.80, 95% CI 1.41 to 2.29). In a similar vein, having had two or more inpatient hospitalization within 60 days prior to the onset of CDI was associated with an increased risk of rCDI (HR 1.40, 95% CI 1.04-1.89). A number of modifiable risk factors also predicted the risk of rCDI. They included initiation of gastric acid suppressors at the time of iCDI diagnosis (HR 1.36, 95% CI 1.04-1.89), and cumulative exposure to fluoroquinolones while on iCDI therapy (HR 1.45, 95% CI 1.09 to 1.41). Exposure to fluoroquinolones (HR 1.56, 95% CI 1.16 to 2.08), IV vancomycin (HR 1.45, 95% CI 1.09 to 1.92), and high-risk antimicrobials (HR 2.95, 95% CI 2.25 to 3.86) at any time t after the end of iCDI therapy ended also increased the risk of rCDI. Demonstrating
Table 1 Patient characteristics and treatments at hospital admission involving the initial CDI episode

| Patient characteristics | Patients who developed rCDI (n = 425) | Patients who did not develop rCDI (n = 3775) | Hazard ratio (95% CI) |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Demographics            |                                        |                                               |                      |
| Age, years (median[range]) | 64.8 (18.3 – 98.2)                      | 61.6 (18.0 – 102.4)                           | 1.01 (1.01 – 1.02)   |
| Gender: female          | 210 (49)                               | 1824 (48)                                     | 1.05 (0.87 – 1.27)   |
| Comorbidities           |                                        |                                               |                      |
| Myocardial infarction   | 40 (9)                                 | 328 (9)                                       | 1.12 (0.81 – 1.55)   |
| Congestive heart failure| 108 (25)                               | 854 (23)                                      | 1.23 (0.99 – 1.53)   |
| Peripheral vascular disease | 34 (8)                              | 269 (7)                                       | 1.13 (0.79 – 1.60)   |
| Cerebrovascular disease | 41 (10)                                | 256 (7)                                       | 1.51 (1.10 – 2.09)   |
| Chronic renal failure   | 21 (5)                                 | 190 (5)                                       | 0.98 (0.64 – 1.53)   |
| Dementia                | 5 (1)                                  | 23 (1)                                        | 1.83 (0.76 – 4.41)   |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease | 116 (27) | 912 (24)                                      | 1.18 (0.95 – 1.46)   |
| Rheumatologic disease   | 18 (4)                                 | 146 (4)                                       | 1.11 (0.69 – 1.78)   |
| Peptic ulcer disease    | 20 (5)                                 | 154 (4)                                       | 1.18 (0.75 – 1.85)   |
| Mild liver disease      | 17 (4)                                 | 201 (5)                                       | 0.81 (0.50 – 1.32)   |
| Moderate to severe liver disease | 12 (3) | 134 (4)                                       | 0.86 (0.48 – 1.53)   |
| Diabetes                | 135 (32)                               | 975 (26)                                      | 1.32 (1.08 – 1.62)   |
| Paraplegia or hemiplegia| 12 (3)                                 | 78 (2)                                        | 1.40 (0.79 – 2.45)   |
| Any malignancy (excluding leukemia/lymphoma) | 83 (20) | 770 (20)                                      | 0.99 (0.78 – 1.25)   |
| Leukemia or lymphoma    | 78 (18)                                | 660 (18)                                      | 1.05 (0.82 – 1.34)   |
| Metastatic solid tumor  | 56 (13)                                | 449 (12)                                      | 1.19 (0.90 – 1.58)   |
| HIV/AIDS                | 10 (2)                                 | 66 (2)                                        | 1.30 (0.70 – 2.44)   |
| Charlson composite score|                                        |                                               |                      |
| 0-2                     | 223 (53)                               | 2182 (58)                                     | Ref                  |
| 3-5                     | 117 (28)                               | 922 (24)                                      | 1.27 (1.01 – 1.59)   |
| > = 6                   | 85(20)                                 | 671 (18)                                      | 1.32 (1.03 – 1.69)   |
| Case status            |                                        |                                               |                      |
| HCFO/HCFA               | 203 (48)                               | 2332 (62)                                     | Ref                  |
| CA or unknown           | 57 (13)                                | 597 (16)                                      | 1.07 (0.79 – 1.43)   |
| CO/HCFMA, indeterminate or non-BJH HCFA | 165 (39) | 846 (22)                                      | 2.17 (1.76 – 2.66)   |
| Admitted from another healthcare facility | 109 (26) | 1019 (27)                                     | 0.97 (0.78 – 1.21)   |
| Number of inpatient admissions in previous 60 days | | | |
| 0                       | 200 (47)                               | 2313 (61)                                     | Ref                  |
| 1                       | 150 (35)                               | 1021 (27)                                     | 1.70 (1.37 – 2.10)   |
| 2+                      | 75 (18)                                | 441 (12)                                      | 1.96 (1.50 – 2.55)   |
| Baseline laboratory data|                                        |                                               |                      |
| Low albumin at admission | 52 (12)                              | 522 (14)                                      | 0.94 (0.70 – 1.25)   |
| Low WBC at admission     | 44 (10)                                | 402 (11)                                      | 0.92 (0.68 – 1.26)   |
| High WBC at admission    | 236 (56)                               | 2122 (56)                                     | 0.86 (0.66 – 1.12)   |
| Low hemoglobin at admission | 182 (43)                             | 1572 (42)                                     | 1.09 (0.90 – 1.32)   |
| High creatinine at admission | 108 (25)                             | 947 (25)                                      | 1.04 (0.84 – 1.30)   |
| Low creatinine clearance | 218 (51)                              | 1636 (43)                                     | 1.43 (1.18 – 1.73)   |

*CDI = recurrent *Clostridium difficile* infection.

*aComorbidities diagnosed within previous 1 year (identified by ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes.

*bHCFO = health care facility onset; HCFA = healthcare facility-associated; CA = community acquired; CO = community onset. Case she status for 6 patients' was unknown: 1 among those who developed rCDI and 5 among those who did not.

The following threshold values defined "high" and "low" levels: albumin <2.5 g/dL; WBC low <3.8*10^3/mm^3; WBC high >9.8*10^3/mm^3; hemoglobin <10.0 g/dL; creatinine >1.5 mg/dL; creatinine clearance <70 mL/min. WBC = white blood cells.
the importance of time dependency of concomitant antimicrobials started after CDI treatment ended, when post-CDI treatment antimicrobials were modeled in a time independent fashion, their association with rCDI decreased significantly (Table 4).

Discussion
We have identified eight discrete independent risk factors for recurrent CDI. Although some characteristics, such as age, cannot be altered, several of them constitute modifiable exposures. New gastric acid suppression and concomitant antimicrobial exposures were associated with increased hazards of developing recurrent CDI. Reducing these exposures could potentially decrease the risk of recurrent CDI. This may serve as yet another reason for institutions to engage in aggressive antimicrobial stewardship programs.

Prior investigations have reported advanced age, chronic renal insufficiency, elevated white blood cell count, low serum albumin, use of PPI and H2RB, as well as continued use of systemic antimicrobials to be important risk factors for rCDI [7,10-13,22]. Our results are in general agreement with these prior data. Gastric acid suppressors have garnered a particular interest with respect to their impact on iCDI and rCDI incidence. Specific to recurrent disease, a recent meta-analysis substantiated this concern, finding a more-than doubling of the risk of rCDI in the setting of these drugs [7]. At the same time, it is unclear whether both PPIs and H2RBs are associated with the risk of rCDI, or whether one is a more likely culprit than the other. For example a meta-analysis by Kwok and colleagues implicated PPIs but not H2RBs in a 2-fold rise of rCDI incidence [23]. Similarly, Tleyjeh et al. in a meta-analysis of 33 studies focusing specifically on H2RB exposure reported a smaller, albeit still significant, association between receiving H2RBs and development of CDI [24]. Both meta-analyses suggested that gastric protection in conjunction with antibiotic administration carries a higher risk of CDI

---

Table 2 Processes of care at the onset of and treatment for the initial CDI hospitalization

| Patient characteristics | Patients who developed rCDI (n = 425) | Patients who did not develop rCDI (n = 3775) | Hazard ratio 95% CI |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Laboratory results iCDI onset | | | |
| Low albumin              | 50 (12)                               | 548 (15)                                   | 0.84 (0.63 – 1.13) |
| Low WBC                  | 64 (15)                                | 635 (17)                                   | 0.99 (0.82 – 1.20) |
| High WBC                 | 247 (58)                               | 2027 (54)                                  | 1.23 (1.01 – 1.49) |
| Low hemoglobin           | 218 (51)                               | 1985 (53)                                  | 0.96 (0.79 – 1.16) |
| High creatinine          | 99 (23)                                | 862 (23)                                   | 1.08 (0.86 – 1.35) |
| Relevant medications present at iCDI onset | | | |
| Any antimicrobial        | 314 (74)                               | 2729 (72)                                  | 1.10 (0.88 – 1.36) |
| Low risk antimicrobial(s)a | 95 (22)                                | 1058 (28)                                  | 0.76 (0.60 – 0.95) |
| High risk antimicrobial(s)b | 174 (41)                               | 1490 (40)                                  | 1.07 (0.88 – 1.29) |
| Fluoroquinolone          | 120 (28)                               | 861 (23)                                   | 1.29 (1.05 – 1.60) |
| IV vancomycin            | 130 (31)                               | 1321 (35)                                  | 0.86 (0.70 – 1.05) |
| Gastric acid suppressor, any | 310 (73)                               | 2850 (76)                                  | 0.91 (0.73 – 1.12) |
| New gastric acid suppressor | 54 (13)                                | 255 (7)                                    | 1.87 (1.41 – 2.49) |
| Relevant medications received following iCDI onset | | | |
| Any antibiotic first dose after CDI | 278 (65)                               | 1622 (43)                                  | 2.47 (2.02 – 3.02) |
| Low risk antimicrobial(s) first dose after CDIa | 141 (33)                               | 710 (19)                                   | 2.09 (1.71 – 2.56) |
| High risk antimicrobial(s) first dose after CDIb | 150 (35)                               | 714 (19)                                   | 2.30 (1.89 – 2.81) |
| Fluoroquinolone first dose after CDI | 124 (29)                               | 703 (19)                                   | 1.69 (1.37 – 2.09) |
| IV vancomycin first dose after CDI | 115 (27)                               | 337 (12)                                   | 2.61 (2.11 – 3.23) |
| Initial CDI treatment | | | |
| Metronidazole alone       | 323 (76)                               | 2841 (75)                                  | Reference |
| Oral vancomycin alone     | 16 (4)                                 | 104 (3)                                    | 1.32 (0.80 – 2.18) |
| Metronidazole and oral vancomycin | 86 (20)                               | 829 (22)                                   | 0.95 (0.75 – 1.20) |

ICDI = initial episode of C. difficile infection, rCDI = recurrent C. difficile infection.

aLow risk antimicrobials included aminoglycosides, beta lactamase inhibitors, carbapenems, daptomycin, doxycycline, linezolid, macrolides, penicillinase inhibitors, rifampin, rifaximin, and tigecycline.

bHigh risk antimicrobials included all cephalosporins, clindamycin, and penicillins.
development than exposure to PPIs or H2RBs alone [23,24]. In our study, we examined gastric acid suppressors as a single category because our prior work, including preliminary analyses for this study (data not shown), has consistently found no difference in the associations between these two classes of medications and CDI [17,18]. Whether gastric acid suppression is truly an independent risk factor for CDI or a marker for patients at risk for CDI remains unknown [6].

A large body of evidence also ties concomitant use of non-CDI antimicrobials to an increased risk of a recurrence [7,17,18,25]. We found that high-risk antimicrobials raise the risk for rCDI, particularly when administered after the completion of iCDI treatment. We have also confirmed previous findings that link exposure to such specific antimicrobials as IV vancomycin and fluoroquinolones to the risk for CDI incidence [17,18,26]. The BI/NAP1/027 strain has been associated with fluoroquinolone exposures, and may be more likely to cause rCDI than other strains of C. difficile [27]. Consequently, it is possible that fluoroquinolone exposure is a marker for CDI specifically due to this strain. For IV vancomycin, however, this association may represent not a causal relationship, but rather a marker for higher illness severity and, thus, confounding by indication.

We were also able to demonstrate the importance of timing of antimicrobial exposure after the end of CDI treatment. When modeled as time dependent variables, high-risk antimicrobials, fluoroquinolones, and IV vancomycin were all associated with rCDI. When modeled as time independent variables, the hazards of rCDI associated with high-risk antimicrobials dropped from

| Table 3 Outcomes following initial CDI hospitalization |
|------------------------------------------------------|
| **Patient characteristics**                          |
| **Patients who developed rCDI**                      |
| **(n = 425)**                                        |
| **Patients who did not develop rCDI**                |
| **(n = 3775)**                                       |
| **Hazard ratio**                                    |
| **p-value**                                         |
| **95% CI**                                          |
| Discharged to a healthcare facility                 | 130 (31) | 1029 (27) | 1.18 (0.96 – 1.45) | 0.11 |
| Inpatient readmission(s) before end of iCDI treatment | 48 (11)  | 241 (6)   | 1.76 (1.30 – 2.37) | <.001 |
| Inpatient readmission(s) after end of iCDI treatment | 126 (30) | 857 (23)  | 1.31 (1.07 – 1.62) | 0.01 |

**Table 4 Cox proportional hazards multivariable model examining risk factors for recurrent CDI**

| **Risk factor**                                      |
|------------------------------------------------------|
| **Antimicrobials after iCDI treatment modeled**      |
| **as time dependent variables**                      |
| **Hazard ratio**                                     |
| **95% CI**                                          |
| **Antimicrobials after iCDI treatment modeled**      |
| **as time independent variables**                    |
| **Hazard ratio**                                     |
| **95% CI**                                          |
| At admission to the hospital                         |
| CDI case status                                      |
| HO CDI                                               |
| COHCFA CDI                                           |
| CA CDI                                               |
| Number of hospitalizations in previous 60 days       |
| None                                                 |
| 1                                                    |
| >1                                                   |
| Age (per 1 year)                                     |
| Gastrointestinal acid suppression                     |
| Cumulative fluoroquinolone exposure*                 |
| Following completion of iCDI treatment               |
| High risk antimicrobial<sup>b</sup>                  |
| Fluoroquinolone<sup>a</sup>                          |
| IV vancomycin<sup>a</sup>                            |

**iCDI = initial episode of C. difficile infection, rCDI = recurrent C. difficile infection.**

<sup>a</sup>Cumulative fluoroquinolone exposure was modeled as a three node spline.

<sup>b</sup>High risk antimicrobials included all cephalosporins, clindamycin, and penicillins.

<sup>c</sup>Exposure at any time t.
2.95 (2.25-3.86) to 1.86 (1.42-2.42), and fluoroquinolones and IV vancomycin were no longer associated with rCDI. Intuitively, this makes sense. An antimicrobial should not increase the risk of rCDI after CDI treatment has ended until the patient is exposed to the antimicrobial. Not modeling antimicrobials as time dependent variables after CDI treatment has ended dilutes the association with rCDI, since the days not on these drugs are included in the model.

A direct relationship between CO-HCFA status and iCDI and rCDI development is a newer finding [15]. Namely, the CO-HCFA designation of the iCDI episode is associated with at least a 25% and as much as a 2-fold increase in the risk of rCDI. A likely mechanism relates to the fact that CO-HCFA defines a population of patients who is likely sicker as evident by recent hospitalizations, and more likely to be exposed to antimicrobials. However, CO-HCFA CDI remained an independent risk factor when controlling for recent hospitalizations.

It is worth noting that the recurrence rate we observed in the current study is at the lower end of what has been reported previously. For example, a recent meta-analysis by Garey et al. examined the literature on risk factors for rCDI [7]. In the 12 studies meeting the inclusion criteria, the rates of recurrence ranged from 13% to 50%. More current data from randomized controlled trials suggest that CDI is likely to recur in approximately 25% of the patients treated for iCDI with vancomycin [8,9]. A potential explanation for the lower rCDI rate in our study compared to others is how cases of CDI were identified. Most stools submitted for C. difficile testing at the BJH microbiology laboratory come from inpatients and the emergency room. A minority of specimens come from outpatients or affiliated skilled nursing facilities. It is likely that milder cases of rCDI were missed because the patient did not require care in an emergency room or need to be admitted. Therefore, the rCDI in this study may consist of more clinically important episodes, occurring in sicker patients, many of whom required an admission or evaluation in the emergency department.

It is possible that patients who resided outside the St. Louis metropolitan area would not be likely to return to BJH for testing for a recurrence. To examine the impact of this potential loss to follow up, we performed a sensitivity analysis of rCDI risk factors by excluding all patients who resided beyond the greater St. Louis postal code. After excluding the 1230 (31.1%) patients with iCDI who met this criterion, the rCDI risk factors and their hazard ratios did not change appreciably (data not shown). This suggested that our results were not biased by including these patients.

Conversely, rCDI in randomized trials may be subject to a detection bias. Patients in trials are prospectively monitored for recurrent diarrhea and instructed to seek testing if it occurs. Even if the patient’s symptoms are not from CDI, the person may test positive for CDI as many patients continue to shed C. difficile in stool after cessation of CDI treatment [28].

Our study has some limitations. As a retrospective observational study it is prone to several forms of bias, most notably a selection bias. To mitigate this, we enrolled all consecutive patients meeting our enrollment criteria. To avoid misclassification of the main outcome variable, we applied a stringent case definition to CDI, which included a positive toxin assay. Although confounding is an issue with observational data, we adjusted for all the available relevant potential confounders in the regression model. However, the possibility of residual confounding remains. The biggest limitation, however, is its generalizability, since the data reflected patients and treatment patterns at an urban academic medical center with a large referral base, and may not have mirrored those of institutions with different characteristics or patients with iCDI diagnosed and managed completely in the outpatient setting. Additionally, many of the patients who resided outside the St. Louis metropolitan area may not have had their specimens retested at the BJH laboratory. After excluding these patients from the analysis as part of a sensitivity analysis, neither the rCDI hazard ratios nor the rCDI risk factors were majorly impacted in the overall cohort.

Conclusion
In summary, we have demonstrated that a number of modifiable factors exist whose presence raises the risk for developing rCDI. Avoiding such exposures as non-CDI antimicrobial treatment and gastric acid suppressors may go a long way toward attenuating the burden of rCDI. On the other hand recognizing CO-HCFA and advanced age as predispositions to rCDI should serve patients and clinicians well by highlighting the importance of targeting these populations for more aggressive prevention efforts.
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