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Through years of evolution, biological soft fibrous tissues have developed remarkable functional properties, unique hierarchical architectures, and most notably, an unparalleled and extremely efficient deformation ability. Whereas the structure-function relationship is well-studied in natural hard materials, soft materials are not getting similar attention, despite their high prevalence in nature. These soft materials are usually constructed as fiber-reinforced composites consisting of diverse structural motifs that result in an overall unique mechanical behavior with large deformations. Biomimetics of their mechanical behavior is currently a significant bioengineering challenge. The unique properties of soft fibrous tissues stem from their structural complexity, which, unfortunately, also hinders our ability to generate adequate synthetic analogs, such that autografts remain the “gold standard” materials for soft-tissue repair and replacement. This review seeks to understand the structural and deformation mechanisms of soft collagenous tissues, with a particular emphasis on tendon and ligaments, the annulus fibrosus (AF) in the intervertebral disc (IVD), skin, and blood vessels. We examined and compared different mechanical and structural motifs in these different tissue types, which are subjected to complex and varied mechanical loads, to isolate the mechanisms of their deformation behavior. Herein, we focused on their composite structure from a perspective of the different building blocks, architecture, crimping patterns, fiber orientation, organization and their structure-function relationship. In the second part of the review, we presented engineered soft composite applications that used these structural motifs to mimic the structural and mechanical behavior of soft fibrous tissues. Moreover, we demonstrated new methodologies and materials that use biomimetic principles as a guide. These novel architectural materials have tailor-designed J-shaped large deformations behavior. Structural motifs in soft composites hold valuable insights that could be exploited to generate the next generation of materials. They actually have a two-fold effect: 1) to get a better understanding of the complex structure-function relationship in a simple material system using reverse biomimetics and 2) to develop new and efficient materials. These materials could revolutionize the future tailor-designed soft composite materials together with various soft-tissue repair and replacement applications that will be mechanically biocompatible with the full range of native tissue behaviors.
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INTRODUCTION

From a mechanical and material point of view, natural materials and tissues are composite materials, which in thousands of years of evolution, are designed as hierarchical materials with very efficient structures (Sanchez et al., 2005; Bar-Cohen, 2006; Munch et al., 2008; Studart, 2012; Ma et al., 2013; Jang et al., 2015; Wegst et al., 2015; Kokkinis et al., 2018; Schaffner et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2019). Although these materials are composed of relatively simple building blocks, their multi-level structures and inherent structural motifs result in remarkable mechanical properties. Unfortunately, while soft fibrous tissues’ unique properties stem from their structural complexity, this complexity also hinders our ability to generate adequate synthetic analogs (Mazza and Ehret, 2015). Thus autografts remain the “gold standard” materials for soft-tissue repair and replacement.

Understanding the structure-function relationship in these structures and its mimicking will revolutionize our ability to repair organs and tissues and restore the biomechanical function of the native tissues. Moreover, using the same principles in classical engineering applications can make a new leap and revolutionize the next generation of materials.

The current review is focused on different structural motifs in functional soft fibrous tissues and their ability to function under complex loading modes. We aim to take a step forward in disentangling the structural complexity of soft fibrous tissues to explain how their different structural elements endow them with their unique large deformation behavior. We have examined the basic principles of the functional tissues as multi-scale composites and their newly developed biomimetic designs.

BUILDING BLOCKS OF SOFT FIBROUS TISSUES

Despite their naturally wide structural and functional diversity, all biological soft fibrous tissues, from the cornea to tendon, have a composite structure assembled from repeating simple building blocks. In general, collagen fibers act as reinforcement and provide stiffness and strength to the tissue. Proteoglycans (PGs) and Glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) serve as a matrix and bind the fibrils and fibers. They are negatively charged, absorb water, act as a shock absorber, and provide viscoelasticity to the tissue; and elastin, which provides flexibility, recoiling ability, and fatigue resistance (Holzapfel, 2001; Meyers et al., 2013; Godinho et al., 2021). These constituents are arranged in diverse structures and allow varied mechanical functions and complex loading modes. Although these materials also have essential biological functions, this review will focus only on the mechanical and structural roles.

Soft fibrous tissues consist of multiple variations of intrinsic structural elements, such as the fiber volume fraction, orientation, crimping angles, and structural hierarchy in multiple length scales. However, they share multiple structural mechanisms such as anisotropy, large deformations, and a unique nonlinear J-shaped mechanical behavior with strain stiffening (Figure 1). The absolute values are different between diverse tissues; however, from examining their structure and mechanical behavior, we can learn about repeating structural mechanisms and their effect on the mechanical behavior for tissue repair applications and the engineering of new materials.

Proteoglycans and Glycosaminoglycans

PGs and GAGs are a diverse family of biomolecules with varied roles in the extracellular matrix (ECM). Biologically, they play an essential role in mediating cell-cell and cell-matrix interactions. GAGs are linear polysaccharides whose disaccharide building blocks consist of amino sugar. PGs are polysaccharide chains covalently linked to protein and may contain varying amounts of sulfate, resulting in a strong negative charge. This negative charge allows them to absorb water up to 1,000 times their volume and provide viscoelasticity to the tissues (Silbert, 1982; Lindahl et al., 2017). In tendons, they are found between collagen fibrils and bind them noncovalently (Scott, 1988), enabling load transfer, sliding, and stretching of the fibrils (Rigozzi et al., 2013), as discussed in Tendons and Ligaments section. PGs and GAGs are found in all tissue length scales as part of the noncollagenous matrix (NCM). However, the lowest level they were identified was the collagen fibril level (Figure 2).

The exact role of different PGs in different length scales is not entirely resolved yet (Thorpe et al., 2013). Usually, it is accepted that GAGs resist compression, and collagen resists tension in load-bearing soft tissues. Indeed, in tissues with relatively low GAG content (e.g., tendons and ligaments), enzymatic digestion of GAG had a minor effect on tensile modulus. However, in tissues with high GAG content (e.g., articular cartilage), enzymatic digestion of GAG increases tensile modulus.

FIGURE 1 | Representative mechanical behaviors of different soft fibrous tissues under uniaxial tension and related mechanisms of their deformation behavior: tendon (De Santis et al., 2004), ligament (De Santis et al., 2004), annulus fibrosus, (stretched in the fiber direction) (Shan et al., 2015), skin (Joodaki and Panzer, 2018) and aorta (Zhalmuratova et al., 2019). The behaviors presented here are representative; different protocols, origins, and tissue types result in large variations of behaviors.
Therefore, the relation between the structure and mechanical function varied between different structural arrangements of the tissues (Han et al., 2012).

**Elastin**

Elastic fibers are a fundamental component in the ECM in all vertebrates; they are found in different amounts and orientations. Elastin is an essential component of these fibers and an insoluble polymer that is very durable with a very slow degradation rate due to extensive crosslinking of tropoelastin monomers (Mithieux and Weiss, 2005; Vindin et al., 2019). Usually, elastin is found in a complex with fibrillin 1 and 2, known as microfibrils (Kielty et al., 2002). The tropoelastin monomers are coiled along their axis. Thus, this coil provides high flexibility under tension with almost no hysteresis loss (Baldock et al., 2011). Elastin is composed of repeating structures and has a hierarchical structure at many length scales. Approximately 90% of the elastin fiber is composed of an amorphous core of highly cross-linked elastin protein, and 10% is a fibrillar layer of ~5 nm thick microfibrils (Ross and Bornstein, 1969; Cleary, 1987; Tamburro et al., 1995; Gasser, 2020). Elastin molecules are heavily cross-linked and connected to each other and other molecules, as collagen.

Elastin demonstrates linear elastic behavior up to approximately 70% strain (Gosline, 1976). Elastic fibers have a modulus of 300–600 kPa and a maximum extension of 100–220% (Fung, 2013), with incredible fatigue resistance that includes thousands of millions of cycles of extension and recoil without failure (Gosline et al., 2002; Keeley et al., 2002). Under small loads, elastin acts as a load-bearing matrix in the toe region of several tissues (Venkatraman et al., 2008).

The primary function of elastin is to provide flexibility to different tissues by enabling elastic recoil of the collagen fibrils. This function is essential for the continuous mechanical stretching and recovery of soft load-bearing tissues, providing stretch and relaxation cycles. Mechanically, elastin acts as natural rubber and synthetic elastomers (Debelle and Tamburro, 1999). However, it is only elastic when swollen in water, and its function is critically dependent on hydrated environments (Mithieux and...
Weiss, 2005). When dry, it is a hard, brittle, glassy solid. On wetting, it becomes flexible and elastic. Therefore, PGs and GAGs (as versican, biglycan, and decorin) interact with elastin, providing hydration and impact absorption (Wu et al., 1999; Isogai et al., 2002; Reinboth et al., 2002).

Collagen

Collagen is a structural protein that plays a crucial role in tissue biomechanics. It is the most widespread protein in vertebrates and accounts for approximately 25–35% of the proteins. The collagen family consists of 28 types and can be divided into fibrillar and non-fibrillar types. The Fibrillar collagens include types I, II, III, V, XI, XXIV, and XXVII. The different collagens are discussed comprehensively in Fratzl (2008). Herein, a specific emphasis on Type I collagen.

Type I collagen is named “the steel of biological materials” (Sherman et al., 2015). It is the most abundant and structurally essential and a crucial building block in blood vessels, ligaments, tendons, cornea, skin, bone, etc. Type I collagen is extensively studied for its structure-function relationship in tissues and nature-based materials (Sherman et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2019). However, the collagen as the fibrous phase in a complex composite material system is not fully established in means of hierarchy in different tissues, including interaction with other building blocks, interfaces, and structural motifs. The structural hierarchy of collagen and interaction with other building blocks dominate the characteristic tissues’ nonlinear J-shaped behavior and provide remarkable strength and toughness.

The Molecular Level

At the molecular level, Type I collagen is formed by three left-handed alpha-helices composed primarily of glycine, proline, and alanine amino acids coiled together to form a triple helix, stabilized by hydrogen bonds and covalent cross-links (Tropocollagen). Tropocollagen has approximately 1.5 nm in diameter and 300 nm in length (Figure 2).

The Fibrillar Level

The tropocollagen molecules are staggered to create well-organized fibril aggregates by covalent cross-linking between the helices. These fibrils are semi-crystalline aggregates of tropocollagen. The staggering of tropocollagen creates a visible characteristic D-banding pattern (D-period) of 67 nm (Orgel et al., 2011). The 2D arrangement of the fibril is illustrated in Figure 2. However, to allow 3D arrangement with one D-period, Smith (1968) suggested five-tropocollagen molecules arranged in microfibrils that can justify the fibril observation of 67 nm. Then, Orgel et al. (2006) presented the complete crystallographic structure of the microfibrils with a diameter of 3.5–4 nm (Fratzl, 2008; Yang et al., 2019).

A comparison between different tissues, such as cornea, skin, reticular tissue, etc., demonstrated that some tissues have a shorter D-period of ~64 nm with a small and very uniform diameter (~100 nm). These fibrils were different from those in the tendon and ligaments, which have a larger and heterogeneous fibrils diameter with a 67 nm D-period. It was suggested that the difference is attributed to other collagen types, which can bind with type I to form heterotypic fibrils and cross-links. However, this assumption was not accepted (Franchi et al., 2008). In tissues such as skin, cornea, and blood vessels, which are subjected to multiaxial loads, a molecular tilt of approximately 18° was discovered. Therefore, a shorter D-period of 64 nm (67 cos 18°) exists. However, molecular tilts of ~5° were found in the tendon, ligament, and bone tissues, all subjected to uniaxial stresses (Fratzl, 2008).

The fibril diameter is in the range of 50–500 nm. The variation depends on different factors such as tissue type, local variability, hydration, pathologies, and age (Han et al., 2012). The fibril diameter also affects the mechanical behavior: as fibril diameter increases, tensile stiffness decreases. Namely, when the number of tropocollagen molecules in a fibril is larger, it will be less stiff.

As also seen for higher levels of collagen hierarchy, the fibril mechanical behavior is nonlinear and includes initial elastic deformation stemming from collagen molecular uncoiling. It is followed by a linear regime of molecular sliding and then an additional stiffer elastic regime that results from stretching the molecular backbone (Depalle et al., 2015). The fibril mechanical behavior is also rate-dependent with strain stiffening with susceptibility to cyclic fatigue (Shen et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2012). When comparing different scales, the collagen molecule is stiffer than fibril, which is stiffer than collagen fiber (2.4–9 GPa, 0.2–1 GPa, and 0.05–1.57 GPa for molecule, fibril, and fiber, respectively) (Sherman et al., 2015). Cross-linking in collagen fibrils affects their mechanical strength, deformation, and fracture behaviors. Thus, increased density of cross-links results in stronger but more brittle fibrils, whereas a reduced amount of cross-links results in a large yield regime and highly dissipative deformation behavior (Buehler, 2008).

Measuring the fibril length is quite challenging since it is longer than sequential microscope sections. It was estimated between 0.3 to greater than 10 mm for a rat tail tendon, depending on the developmental stage (Craig et al., 1989; Starborg et al., 2013). Thus, in some cases, the fibrils are not crossing the full fiber length, and hence load transfer between the fibrils is very important (Starborg et al., 2013). However, in a recent study by Svensson et al. (2017), due to the discovery of extremely long collagen fibrils, they can be assumed as “structurally continuous.”

Although there are differences in the collagen fibrils, the fibrillary level represents quite a similar structure when comparing different tissues. Figure 3 demonstrates transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images in the transverse section of soft fibrous tissues. In classical composite materials, the packing and fiber volume fraction significantly affect the stiffness and strength of the material. Thus, quantifying the fibril volume fraction can provide essential data regarding the tissue structure, even if partial; however, the fibril volume fraction imaged by TEM does not reflect fiber volume fraction in higher length scales.

The main structural diversity occurs above the fibrillary scale, where suprafibrillar structures emerge and are assembled,
creating diverse mechanical behaviors of different tissues. In most soft tissues, PGs and elastin are located between the fibrils and in higher length scales (Thorpe et al., 2013) (Figure 2). For example, in tendons and ligaments, the association of collagen with other biomolecules (PGs, GAGs, elastin) occurs in several length scales simultaneously, and the PGs matrix separates the fibrils, allowing mechanisms as fibril and fiber gliding, providing larger strains in the tissue level.

COLLAGEN HIERARCHY AND SUPRAFIBRILLAR STRUCTURES

The micrometer-scale organization of fibrils is highly diverse among different tissues. Mainly, the fibrils are organized to higher-level architectures. These structures are entangled composites consisting of various biomolecules with different mechanical functions, as mentioned in Building Blocks of Soft Fibrous Tissues section.

Suprafibrillar structures can be divided into bundles (fibers and fascicles) as in tendons, ligaments, skin, aorta, and lamellae as in AF and bone. Collagen fibers are bundles of fibrils. They may consist of up to hundreds of collagen fibrils, and their diameter can get to 10–50 µm. Not all fibrous tissues consist of the fiber level. For example, in the cornea, due to the need for transparency, the highest level of organization is the fibrils (Sherman et al., 2015). A collagen fiber consists of PGs and water molecules that mediate the sliding between the fibrils. Therefore, fiber stretching also includes fibril sliding mediated by PGs bound by weak interactions. The latter supports the larger strains observed in the fiber when comparing the fiber to the fibril strains and provides the fiber with more considerable energy dissipation and toughness under tension.

The comparison across different tissues can be challenging due to different names given to the same hierarchical level in various tissues, such as the definition of fibrils, fibers, and bundles and the exchange between them (Handsfield et al., 2016). Mechanically, the definitions are fundamental since they define the interactions in a specific hierarchical level architecture and the nature of interactions with other biomolecules. For instance, covalent crosslinks contribute differently to the mechanical behavior than the weak reversible interactions, thus having different mechanical roles. Herein, the level of the hierarchy will be defined by the length scale and the mechanical function.

Lamellae can be mineralized (bone) or non-mineralized (AF). It accounts for the directionality of the structure when parallel fibrils are oriented in specific directions. For example, in the cortical bone, mineralized fibrils self-assemble into planar fibril arrays (lamellae) with 3–5 µm thickness. These lamellae are found in a repetitive stacked arrangement with different orientations in each sublayer (plywood structure) (Weiner et al., 1999). The AF in the IVD is also assembled from lamellae. Unlike the bone, it is made of unmineralized collagen fibrils with a thickness between 0.14 and 1.10 mm, oriented in alternate orientations of approximately ±30° (Hohzapfel et al., 2005). The fibrils are organized as lamellae, with parallel fibrils aligned in specific directions.

The structural hierarchy in collagen fibers, explicitly, its subdivision into fibrils and micro-fibrils significantly increases its toughness and lessens the risk of crack propagation across multiple fibrils (Launey et al., 2010; Ritchie, 2011; Schriefl et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2019). We assume that working mechanisms are shared among tissues due to similar structural architectures. Therefore, in the next section, we will examine different fibrous tissues and their structure-function relationship.

STRUCTURE OF DIFFERENT FIBROUS TISSUES

In many cases, the direct modus operandi of these suprafibrillar structures is not fully resolved yet. However, comparing and observing different composite structures reveal several common working mechanisms that may explain tissue biomechanics and
contribute to tissue biomimetics since the collagen structure alone cannot explain their full deformation behavior (see Figures 1, 4).

**Tendons and Ligaments**

Tendon and ligaments are efficient structures responsible for load transferring by enabling minimal energy loss from muscle to bone and between bones, respectively. They are composed of collagen, high water content (70% w/v), PGs, and elastin. Tendons and ligaments consist of highly organized hierarchical structures from the molecular to the organ level. This hierarchy is highly dependent on collagen architectures: from collagen molecules that are covalently bound to form nano-scale fibrils, which are assembled to micro-scale fibers arranged in fascicles to the tendon macrostructure (Figure 4). Each structural level acts as an isolated composite structure to form a “composite within composite” or nested composite structure that functions together as a highly efficient material (Fratzl and Weinkamer, 2007; Gupta et al., 2010).

The collagen fibers in tendons and ligaments are assembled into fascicles. Rat tail tendon, for example, consists of about ten fascicles. Between the fibers, an interfascicular matrix consists of PGs and elastin. The latter is absent in lower length scales. The fascicle diameter is in the range of 50–300 µm and structured as a cylindrical array of planar zig-zag (crimped) fibrils (Figure 5A). The crimping in the micro-scale is responsible for the toe region behavior in tendons and ligaments, i.e., the toe region is larger when the crimping angle is smaller (Kastelic et al., 1978). Fibril crimping is thoroughly discussed in Collagen Crimping section.

Some tendons also have additional sub-tendon structures. The matrix between the sub-tendons and between the fascicles allows the sliding of tendons and fascicles in small forces (toe region) (Thorpe et al., 2015; Gains et al., 2020). The primary role of the interfascicular matrix is to allow an increased extension and cyclic loading in energy-storing tendons. However, for the sub-tendon matrix, the linear region also allows load transmission (Gains et al., 2020).

Tendon and ligaments are widely investigated in different scales. Therefore, in many cases, they thus serve as a case model for collagenous tissues for structure-function relationship. They have characteristic J-shaped mechanical behavior under uniaxial tension. Tendons demonstrate remarkable mechanical behavior with stiffness of 1–2 GPa and strength of approximately 100 MPa (Mithieux and Weiss, 2005). It is important to note that structural differences in all length scales and additional mechanical mechanisms exist in other fibrous tissues that are not always given proper attention.

**The Intervertebral Disc**

The intervertebral disc (IVD) separates between adjacent vertebrae. It is composed of the outer annulus fibrosus (AF) surrounding the nucleus pulposus (NP) core. The AF consists of
approximately 20 layers of parallel collagen fibrils called lamellae. In adjacent lamellae, the orientation alternates relative to the spinal column. The lamellar thickness is varied between the different AF regions, ranging between 50 and 260 µm (Cassidy et al., 1989). The collagen fibril angle varies between 46 and 60° with the loading direction in human discs (Cassidy et al., 1989; Marchand and Ahmed, 1996; Holzapfel et al., 2005; Guerin and Elliott, 2006). The outer AF, made of type I collagen fibril organized network with a diameter of 20–160 nm, gradually changes to type II collagen when advancing inward towards the NP (diameter of 20–140 nm). Hence, collagen organization and collagen type distinguish the inner and outer AF. A possible reason for the varying fibril diameters in the outer and inner AF may be the different types of collagen (Han et al., 2012).

Collagen lamellae have planar zig-zag crimp (Baer et al., 1991), as in the tendon fascicles (Figure 5B). The crimp angle increases in the radial direction inward from 20 to 45°, and the crimp period decreases from 26 to 20 µm (Cassidy et al., 1989). Together with the high collagen content, elastic fibers run parallel to the collagen fibrils of the lamellae to uncoil the collagen fibrils (Yu et al., 2007). The elastic fibers are oriented axially and radially, allowing them to restore more complex deformations (Roughley, 2004). The entangled network of elastic fibers is described in detail in Yu et al. (2007, 2015) and Tavakoli et al. (2017, 2020). Different PGs in the AF (such as leucine-rich repeat family, Decorin and biglycan, etc.) can interact with collagen fibrils and facilitate intermolecular interactions with varying types of collagen (Roughley, 2004).

The AF lamellae are separated via inter-lamellar matrix (ILM). The ILM thickness is approximately 30 µm, and it is composed of PGs, radial cross-bridges, elastic fibers, and cells. The ILM consist of a dense network of elastic fibers between adjacent lamellae (Yu et al., 2015). A continuous elastic network exists across the AF, connecting collagen fibrils within lamellae, and connecting

---

**FIGURE 5** Crimping and orientation patterns in different fibrous tissues: Tendons and ligaments (A,E,F), Annulus Fibrosus (B), Aorta (C) and skin (D). (A) Polarized light micrograph of longitudinally sectioned rat Achilles tendon. Reprinted from Franchi et al. (2007) under CC-BY-3.0. (B) Annulus fibrosus second harmonic generation imaging of bovine tail AF. Reprinted from Vergari et al. (2017) under CC-BY-4.0. (C) Confocal laser scanning of the rabbit arterial adventitia. Reprinted from Rezakhaniha et al. (2012) under CC-BY-NC. (D) SEM arrangement of rabbit skin. Reprinted from Yang et al. (2019) under CC BY 4.0. (E) SEM image of a rat Achilles tendon, without fibrillary crimp when fibrils change their direction. Reprinted from Franchi et al. (2007) under CC-BY-3.0. (F) Fibril crimp in Rat medial collateral ligament (SEM). Reprinted from Franchi et al. (2010a) under CC-BY-3.0.
lamellae to each other, holding the AF structure intact (Schollum et al., 2009; Yu et al., 2015).

**Blood Vessels**

Arteries are responsible for transporting blood and supply nutrients from the heart to the whole body. They are subjected to 35–40 million load cycles annually. Thus, their deformation mechanisms repeatability is crucial. Unlike tendons, ligaments, and AF, blood vessels consist of active mechanical behavior due to their muscle layer. The arteries close to the heart are mostly elastic with large diameters, whereas, in the periphery, the arteries are muscular, demonstrating pronounced viscoelastic behavior. Some arteries present mixed morphological structures (Holzapfel, 2008). Here, we will focus on the vessels’ passive mechanical behavior and the relation to their structure.

As in the other tissues, the ECM of the vessels also contains elastin, collagen, and PGs (Carey, 1991) which their hierarchical structure and three-dimensional organization enable durable support and define the mechanical behavior of the vessel (Martuš and Gasser, 2011).

Vessels are usually composed of three layers: adventitia, media, and intima (Figure 4). Collagen assembly varies between the layers but is mainly arranged in the media and adventitia, which provide the blood vessel its strength and stiffness. In the intima, collagen fibers are dispersed, while in the media, collagen fibers form an entangled tri-dimensional network with elastin and muscle cells, which are arranged into ~10 μm thickness concentric lamellae, oriented circumferentially, to restrict rotation during pressurization. The adventitia also contains a helical arrangement of thick collagen fibers, creating a 3D network similar to the media. These fibers are crimped and oriented in different directions that change between tissues (Yang et al., 2019). For instance, in the aorta, two prominent fiber families exist in the axial and circumferential directions: in the intima-axial and circumferential, in the media—primarily circumferential and the adventitia—mainly axial (Niestrawska et al., 2016). The mechanical behavior of the different layers correlates with these directions, where the higher stiffness is seen in the axial direction of the adventitia and circumferential direction for the media. However, other families of fibers exist as well (Schrieffl et al., 2012).

In vessels, approximately 10–40 collagen fibrils are cross-linked and assembled into fibers. Like tendons or ligaments, they run parallel and envelop muscle cells (O’Connell et al., 2008; Martuš and Gasser, 2011). As in tendons, fibrils are noncovalently bound using PG bridges, such as decorin (Scott, 2003; Gasser, 2020). The reversibility of these interactions is essential for the sliding between the fibrils. In the media, the collagen fibers are usually straight, whereas, in the adventitia, they have a wavy structure. Although the collagen fibers align in specific directions, unlike tendons and AF, they are separated into individual fibers and have a larger distribution than the latter tissues (Figures 4, 5C). These distributions in collagen fiber orientations vary across the vessel walls to keep their functionality (Schrieffl et al., 2012).

Unlike the latter tissues discussed here, vessels consist of significantly high elastin content (Table 1). Elastin in the aorta constitutes 40–50% dry tissue weight (Holzapfel, 2001). It is mainly found in the media and organized as mostly concentric sheets with ~1 μm thickness (71%), 100–500 nm thick rope-like interlamellar elastin fibers (27%), and ~1.5 μm thick radial struts (2%) (Berry and Greenwald, 1976; Dingemans et al., 2000; O’Connell et al., 2008; Gasser, 2020). GAGs are often neglected in the arterial tissue; however, they exist in relatively low contents (2–5% by dry weight) (Wight, 1980) (Table 1).

**Skin**

The skin comprises the “outer layer” of the body, and it is one of the most important tissues. Its thickness is about 1.5–4 mm, it averages 1.8 m² on surface, and it accounts for approximately 15% of the bodyweight (Breathnach et al., 2010; Benitez and Montañs, 2017) and has exceptional tear resistance to ensure that the internal organs remain intact (Yang et al., 2015). Different species consist of entirely different skin architectures. The differences between species were discussed in Sherman et al. (2015) and Yang et al. (2019).

Skin usually comprises three layers: the epidermis, dermis, and endodermis (Hypodermis). The dermis is the main load-bearing structural component of the skin. It contains PGs, elastic fibers, and collagen types I and III collagen (respectively, 80 and 15% of total collagen content) and cells. Collagen is arranged into fibers that account for approximately 70% of the weight of dry dermis. The dermis is divided into three layers: papillary, sub-papillary, ant reticular. In the papillary and sub-papillary layers, the fibers are wavy, thin, and sparsely distributed. In contrast, in the reticular layer, the fibers are thick (2–15 μm), organized in bundles, and densely distributed (Limbert, 2017) (Figures 4, 5D). Several studies found a bimodal orientation of fibers in skin tissue (Jor et al., 2011; Annaidh et al., 2012). The collagen fibers are wavy with a wavelength of ~10 μm and amplitude of ~3 μm (Table 2). Unlike tendons, their crimping has 3D semicircular pattern. This waviness disappears after extension to failure (Pissarenko et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2019). The orientation in planes parallel to the outer surface of the human dermis follows longer lines (Langer, 1861), corresponding to the directions of pre-existing tension in the tissue.

**MECHANICAL MECHANISMS**

The mechanical behavior and structural architectures of soft fibrous tissues are extensively studied in specific tissues (Fratzl and Zizak, 1997; Schulze-Bauer et al., 2002; Holzapfel et al., 2005; Sommer et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2015; Niestrawska et al., 2016; Holzapfel and Ogden, 2018; Frank et al., 2019; Kramer et al., 2019), including the mechanical role of the tissue constituents using enzymatic digestion (Basalo et al., 2004; Barbir et al., 2010; Isaacs et al., 2014). Recently, new techniques such as multiphoton microscopy, Second-harmonic generation (SHG), and Small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) were used for in situ multi-scale examinations of tissues under load (Bancelin et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015; Niestrawska et al., 2016; Lynch et al., 2017; Pissarenko et al., 2019; Amabili et al., 2021). These techniques provide
valuable data regarding the structure-function relationship in different tissues and how the entangled mechanical mechanisms work simultaneously on different scales. However, understanding how each isolated structural element affects the tissue mechanical behavior is still not completely revealed. Additionally, the biomimetics of these multiscale structural designs is still not getting enough attention, as further discussed in Structural Mechanisms in Biomimetic Materials and Soft Tissue Engineering section.

Structural mechanisms in tissues include several structural motifs: such as the different composite constituents and their distribution and gradients between the different length scales, the fiber fraction, orientation and crimping of the fibrous phase (the load-bearing component, namely, the collagen), the structural hierarchy-including "composite within composite" or nested composites and the interface between the fiber and matrix that enables load transfer. Moreover, herein we aim to examine the synergy between the building blocks as composite materials and not the individual effect of the building blocks as a guideline for engineering new materials for tissue repair and replacement and the next generation of engineered materials.

Collagen Crimping

Crimping or waviness of collagen fibers is found in most collagenous tissues, like the intestine, skin, tendon, cornea, AF, blood vessels (Cassidy et al., 1989; Gathercole and Keller, 1991; Franchi et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2015; Pissarenko et al., 2019; Vergari et al., 2017). The crimping properties of different tissues are presented in Figure 5 and described in Table 2. Crimping straightening creates the toe region in tendons and ligaments, presenting large deformations under small loads (Fratzl and Weinkamer, 2007). At this region, the matrix (elastin and PGs) dominates the mechanical behavior. For other tissues, additional motifs influence simultaneously.

The AF shows a zig-zag pattern oriented with the lamellar angle, varied with the radial location of the IVD (Figure 5B) (Baer et al., 1991). The fibrils introduce a joint planar crimp

### Table 1 | Representative structural and mechanical properties of different soft fibrous tissues.

| Tissue        | Collagen (% dry content) | Elastin (% dry content) | PGs and GAGs (% dry content) | Fibrel diameter [nm] | Assembly | Orientation | Young’s modulus [MPa]a (linear region) | Ultimate tensile strength [MPa] | Ultimate tensile strain |
|---------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------|-------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| Tendon        | 75–85                    | <3                      | 1–5                          | 40–340               | Fascicle | Uniaxial    | 1,000–2,000                         | 50–140                       | 10–15                  |
| Ligament      | 70–80                    | 10–15                   | 4–7                          | 40–900               | Fascicle | Uniaxial    | 200–400                             | 50–100                       | 10–15                  |
| Annulus fibrous | 59–67                   | 2                       | 7–9                          | 20–160               | Lamellae | Angle-plied (±30°) | Single-lamella: 31–77 multi-lamella: 0.82–0.96 | 3.8–16                        | 9–19                   |
| Skin          | 60–80                    | 2–4                     | ~20                          | 60–120               | Fiber    | Dispersed bimodal-langer lines.       | 50–150                              | 10–30                   | 30–70                  |
| Aorta         | 25–35                    | 40–50                   | 2–5                          | ~35                  | Fiber    | Mostly longitudinal and circumferential (0–90°) | 3–5                          | 0.3–1                  | 50–100                |

aData was taken from Wight, 1980; Mikawa et al., 1986; Silver et al., 1989; Skaggs et al., 1994; Holzapfel, 2001; Holzapfel et al., 2005; Mitteux and Weiss, 2005; Rumian et al., 2007; Holzapfel, 2008; Han et al., 2012; Matuszewski et al., 2012; Berillis, 2013; Isaacs et al., 2014; Benítez and Montáns, 2017; Ristaniemi et al., 2018; Pissarenko et al., 2019; Zhalmuratova et al., 2019. There is large variability between tendons and ligaments types and species and regions, and the mechanical properties tested under different protocols, mechanical definitions, orientations, and sample processing.

### Table 2 | Crimp properties of different fibrous tissues (*).

| Tissue                        | Angle [°] | Period [µm] |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| Rat tail tendon               | 12        | 200         |
| Kangaroo tail                 | 8–9       | 150         |
| Human diaphragm               | 12        | 120         |
| Human Achilles                | 6–16      | 40–231      |
| Biceps brachii                | 19        | 212         |
| Quadriceps                    | 18        | 227         |
| Exterior plicis longus        | 14        | 284         |
| Human periodontal ligament    | 25        | 32          |
| Human annulus fibrous (*)     | 20–42     | 12–16       |
| Heart valve leaflet           | 28–30     | 20          |
| Rat intestine                 | 30–56     | 20          |
| ACL-Ovine                     | 15–45     | 15–49       |
| Cornea-rabbit                 | Sine wave | 14          |
| Arterial wall (adventitia)    | Sine wave | Defined by Ps = straight length/fiber length = ~0.8 |
| Skin                          | Semi-circular | 10         |

aData was taken from Baer et al., 1991; Järvinen et al., 2004; Rezakhaniha et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2015; Pissarenko et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2019.

*Changing in radial position.*
waveform. The plane of the waveform is vertical to the lamellar plane and bent relative to the spinal axis by the interlamellar angle. Although the crimp length stays constant, there is a gradient in the crimp geometry: the crimp angle increases from 20 to 45°, while the crimp period decreases from 26 to 20 μm linearly from the outer region of the disc inward. This gradient influences the mechanical response to disc compression: when the IVD is compressed, axial loads are translated to radial and tangential tensile stresses in the AF lamellae. Thus, the collagen fibrils reorient in the radial direction (Klein and Hukins, 1982), and there is a decrease in the crimp angle and an increase in the crimp period. However, fiber angles increase from the anterior to the posterior region. The larger angles for the posterior fibers restrict the maximal axial distance of endplates in flexion and minimize spinal cord over-stretching (Marchand and Ahmed, 1990; Holzapfel et al., 2005).

In tendons and ligaments, crimping is parallel in the fascicle, i.e., fibrils share a zig-zag pattern in the micro-scale with bending regions that act as flexible hinges. These regions show alternating dark and light bands at polarized light microscopy (Gathercole and Keller, 1991). Different tendons have different crimping angles, such as the Patellar and Achilles tendons. The latter crimping angle was smaller than that of the patellar tendon, which allowed for a larger toe region (Amiel et al., 1983; Herod et al., 2016). The mechanical role of the tendon also influences the crimping properties. For example, energy-storing superficial digital flexor tendons (SDFTs) demonstrated much finer crimp (21.1 ± 5.5 μm) and smaller crimp angle (6.5 ± 1.4°) than positional common digital extensor tendons (CDETs) with a crimp of 135.4 ± 20.1 μm and crimp angle of 13.1 ± 2.0°. Probably due to SDFT’s adequate recoil capacity (Spiesz et al., 2018).

Fibril crimping planes are approximately parallel to the outer surface of the fascicle (Figure 5A), and adjacent fascicles have a similar crimping angle. However, crimping angle decreases significantly at the center of the fascicle (Kastelic et al., 1978). Franchi et al. (2008) found that tendon and ligament fibrils showed periodic sharp kinks in the nanometric scale, called fibrillar crimp. They found that the crimp always had local deformation (Figure 5F). However, in regions that were subjected to change in the direction, these kinks were absent (Figure 5E). These fibrils were characterized in large plurimodal diameter and a straight subfibrillar arrangement (~5°) and subjected mainly to unidirectional tension. The fibrillar kink is composed of parallel collagen fibrils that suddenly change their direction at the top angle of each crimp. They appear distorted with a sudden change of their direction, with interfered D-periodicity and swollen microfibrillar array. It is assumed that these kinks have molecular flexibility due to different sequences of amino acids in tropocollagen: proline and hydroxyproline have the highest flexibility compared with Gly-Pro-Hyp sequence, which is very rigid. Actually, these kinks act more like knots than kinks, creating more flexible regions of the rigid thick fibrils by changing their direction and microfibrillar arrangement and allowing the local structural release of the helical constraint and recoiling capabilities (Franchi et al., 2010b).

Unlike tendons and ligaments, skin and blood vessels are subjected to multidirectional loads. They also consisted of crimping (or waviness) in the microscale (fiber level). However, every fiber had a different crimping pattern and orientation (Figures 5C,D). These tissues were composed of small collagen fibrils (25–100 nm) with a highly uniform diameter (unimodal distribution) and a sinuous or helical form with diverse curvatures (Franchi et al., 2008). These small fibrils did not show any shape deformation when they changed direction. Hence, These fibrils can adapt varied curvatures without damage, thus compatible with multidirectional loadings. Herein, the recruitment and reorientation of the fibers preceded the crimping straightening, allowing even larger deformations in the toe and heel regions. These tissues are also characterized in larger toe regions and higher elastin content (Holzapfel, 2008; Venkatraman et al., 2008).

Jan and Sigal (2018) demonstrated how collagen fiber crimp straightens (or fiber recruitment increases) in different regions of the eye sclera with increasing Intraocular pressure (IOP). While this phenomenon is well studied in tendons (Fratzl and Weinkamer, 2007; Gupta et al., 2010), it is less studied experimentally in other tissues. They found that different areas of the sclera have different crimping architectures, and with increasing IOP, different amounts of fibers are recruited (straightened). At physiologic IOP, 75% of the fibers were recruited, and 25% were reserved in both regions. This mechanism of variable crimp morphology in the different areas of the tissue allows gradual recruitment and stiffening of the fibers and is also seen for vessels (Schrieff et al., 2012), tendons (Franchi et al., 2008), and AF (Baer et al., 1991).

Orientation and Recruitment
An essential structural motif that is crucial in fibrous tissues is the directionality of the collagen fibers. Fiber orientation plays a vital role in the structure-function relationship and determines the anisotropy in the mechanical properties. Collagen fibers work in tension, and they start bearing load when they are aligned in the direction of tension. Thus, fibrous composites are stronger and stiffer in the direction of the fibers and weaker and more compliant in the direction of least orientation.

Unlike hard composites, in soft composites, collagen fiber orientation enables an additional mechanism for large deformations when collagen fibers and fibrils rotate towards the loading direction and thus, increase toughness. Fiber organization also influences cellular behavior, such as cell migration, matrix production, gene expression, cell morphology, and strain transmission (Eekhoff and Lake, 2020).

In general, there are variations in structural compositions between different species, regions, and pathologies for all tissues, affecting the local and global mechanical properties. However, for different tissues, repeated trends exist. In tendons and ligaments, collagen fibers are strongly aligned in the loading direction. Their physiological role is to provide unidirectional resistance to bend between muscle and bone and between bones, so they are stiff and strong along the primary axis of loading. In the AF, Angle-plied (±30°) fiber orientation is critical for appropriate biomechanical disc functioning. It transforms the compressive forces applied to
the disc to lateral forces and makes the spine flexible enough to bend and twist in all directions. In the aorta, different fiber families are oriented mainly in the circumferential and axial directions. Fiber orientations are found in the tangential plane of the artery wall, with minimal dispersion through the wall thickness and without any radial components (Schrie et al., 2012). However, in pathological states, such as abdominal aortic aneurism (AAA), the distribution of orientations increased significantly compared to a healthy aorta (Niestrawska et al., 2016).

Although fiber distribution is relatively small in tendons, Lake et al. (2009) have found that fiber distributions became more aligned under load, mostly in the toe region. This suggests that fiber realignment may be partly responsible for observed nonlinear behavior in the tendon as well. A relatively similar small distribution exists in the AF (Ghezelbash et al., 2021); thus, a similar influence can be assumed. On the other hand, skin consist of large distribution of fibers; though specific orientations are observed (+45°), their distribution is very wide (Annaidh et al., 2012). This structure allows multiaxial loads.

Furthermore, under load, the anisotropy considerably increases when fibers are realigned and recruited. However, for different skin types, it was found that stronger skin is associated with a smaller orientation distribution (Sizeland et al., 2013). It is important to note that unlike tendons, ligaments, and AF in skin and blood vessels, the fibers are recruited separately, enabling large deformations. Furthermore, the orientation distribution there is larger (Figure 5C,D).

STRUCTURE AND FUNCTION RELATIONSHIP IN DIFFERENT FIBROUS TISSUES

All soft tissues have pronounced J-shaped behavior with large deformations attributed to different recruitment mechanisms, where more mechanisms are apparent—larger deformations are demonstrated (Figure 1). Soft tissues are also characterized by viscoelasticity, prominent fatigue life, and exceptional tear resistance. Some tissues also demonstrate unique Poisson behaviors, such as Poisson value larger than one and negative Poissons (auxetic behavior) (Derrouiche et al., 2020; Pissarenko and Meyers, 2020). This review will mainly focus on the large deformation behaviors.

Tendons and Ligaments

Tendons and ligaments have pronounced J-Shaped behavior, with a very stiff slope (tendons more than ligament) (Figure 6). Upon the beginning of stretching, the crimping in the fascicles is straightened. The matrix (elastin and PGs) dominates the mechanical behavior of this toe region, where under small loads, relatively large deformation is seen. Recent publications have also shown that the matrix in other length scales, i.e., between the sub-tendons and between the fascicles, allows sliding of tendons and fascicles in the toe region (Thorpe et al., 2015; Gains et al., 2020).

The toe region is followed by the heel region, where collagen starts carrying the load, and the stiffness increases considerably with extension. In this region, the kinks in the gap between the fibrils are straightened (Fratzl and Zizak, 1997), fibril sliding occurs simultaneously with fibril elongation, both mediated by the PG matrix in a critical balance (Rigozzi et al., 2013). Rigozzi et al. (2013) also demonstrated that there were not any differences in collagen fibril D-period and diameter in the heel region (5% strain) for either GAG-depleted or native tendons. However, in the linear region (15% strain), a significant increase in D-period length and a decrease in fibril diameter were seen. Longer D-period was observed in GAG-depleted tendons. Therefore, it appears that GAGs play a role in lower strains to reduce fibril stretching and facilitate sliding and maybe protect the fibrils from overstraining. In the linear region, stretching the collagen triple helices and cross-links leads to a change in helix pitch (Fratzl and Zizak, 1997; Fratzl and Weinkamer, 2007). An additional slipping mechanism in the molecular and sub-fibril level may occur due to a deficiency in covalent cross-linking between molecules in the fibrils. The main function of tendons and ligaments is force transmission, however, the specific pathways of force transmission between different hierarchical levels are unresolved yet (Zitnay and Weiss, 2018). The main explanation is that force transmission is done through the
the stretch direction, with an average 17.0° decrease in Holzapfel et al., 2005). Under load, the AF lamellae reorient in strains to be non-affine (Cassidy et al., 1989; Holzapfel et al., 2005). More specifically, the stiffness of the outer AF region is larger than the inner region, and the anterior region is stiffer than the posterior region. The radial variation of the lamellar stiffness is mechanically beneficial since a heterogeneous thick-walled cylinder, with internal soft layers, will reduce stress gradients compared to a homogenous inner thick-walled cylinder that yields a high gradient of circumferential stresses (Holzapfel et al., 2005). These stiffness gradients result from alternate structural collagen I and II ratio, proteoglycans type, water content, and collagen fibril diameter (Eyre and Muir, 1974; Skaggs et al., 1994; Han et al., 2012).

Isaacs et al. (2014) have tested the influence of enzymatic digestion on collagen, elastin, and PGs in different AF orientations. They found that the degradation of the elastic fibers and PGs within the lamellae resulted in deteriorated mechanical properties since these biomolecules play an essential role in load transfer between the collagen fibrils under uniaxial loading.

Michalek et al. (2009) demonstrated that the mechanical behavior under transverse shear of multilamellar sample resulted from skewing inside the lamella and fibril sliding (as in tendon and ligaments) and not from sliding between the lamellae through the ILM. The fibril sliding mechanism is similar to the one occurs in tendons and ligaments. The lack of sliding in the ILM results from the rigid fibrous connection in the transverse cross-bridges (Pezowicz et al., 2006; Michalek et al., 2009).

Blood Vessels
Arteries also have hyperelastic J-shaped behavior with toe, heel, and linear regions under tension (Figure 8). Arteries are subject to large inner pressures that stretch the arterial wall tissue. For example, human adventitia of coronary arteries demonstrated significant load-carrying capabilities with high ultimate tensile stresses of larger than 1 MPa (approximately three times more than media and intima). However, ultimate strains were similar for the three layers (Holzapfel, 2008). Thus, adventitial collagen plays a major role in arterial wall strength. In unstressed tissue, the wavy adventitial collagen fibers cause the adventitia to be less stiff than the media. However, at more significant strains, the collagen fibers are strengthened and recruited. Then, the adventitia’s mechanical response changes to that of a stiff tube that protects the muscle from acute hypertension (Schulze-Bauer et al., 2002).

Hence, collagen fibers can dominate the mechanical behavior when recruited and activated. Thus, they will carry tension forces only when they are straightened and reoriented in the load direction. Therefore, for small stresses, there is no fiber contribution to the deformation of the tissue, and the elastin matrix bears the load (Roach and Burton, 1957; Venkatraman et al., 2008). Elastin has a less significant contribution at higher strains owing to the increased bearing of load through collagen. However, its primary importance stems from its ability to recoil the vessel during pulse cycles (Gasser, 2020). Recently, Amabili et al. (2021) demonstrated using SHG combined with two-photon fluorescence (TPF), 3D interconnected structure of collagen and elastin fibers in human aorta. They presented intertwined elastin matrix that enables fiber sliding, which is also the most significant contributor to stress relaxation (Gupta et al., 2010).

The Intervertebral Disc
AF lamellae demonstrate hyperelastic J-shape stress-strain behavior, typical for type I collagen tissues under tension, with toe, heel, and linear regions (Figure 7) (Skaggs et al., 1994; Holzapfel et al., 2005). Under load, the AF lamellae reorient in the stretch direction, with an average 17.0° decrease in fiber angle for non-degenerate tissue over 10% strain (Stokes and Greenapple, 1985; Tower et al., 2002; Guerin and Elliott, 2006). This reorientation increases the rotation under torsion, AF circumferential modulus, and compressive stiffness and decreases vertical displacement under compression and AF bulging. Therefore, fiber reorientation may serve to stabilize the motion segment during axial loading. Moreover, affine fibril reorientation, that is, fibril deformations would be consistent with overall tissue deformations, was found to be primarily valid in small strains (namely, the toe region). Other mechanisms such as fibril sliding, crosslinks, fibril-fibril, or fibril-matrix interactions may constrain the reorientation at large strains to be non-affine (Guerin and Elliott, 2006).

Due to the spatial gradients in the structure, the outer lamellae are less deformable, stiffer, and have lower energy dissipation properties than the inner lamellae (Cassidy et al., 1989; Holzapfel et al., 2005). These stiffness gradients result from alternate structural collagen I and II ratio, proteoglycans type, water content, and collagen fibril diameter (Eyre and Muir, 1974; Skaggs et al., 1994; Han et al., 2012).
Furthermore, multiphoton microscopy examination demonstrated elastin and collagen in lower strains. In addition, it was found that observed for the second loading regime. However, it was not pressure and in the adventitia layer of carotid arteries: axial tension under constant modes. When two types of macroscopic loading were subjected to mechanical mechanisms work differently under different loading

and collagen fibers together with transverse elastin fibers, connecting collagen fibers.

Hill et al. (2012) examined the fiber recruitment (straightening) together with reorientation in the artery wall using a uniaxial extension device combined with a multiphoton microscope. They found that fiber recruitment starts in the heel region and continues in the linear region. When the slope changes, it indicates the specific point where fibers begin to contribute to the strain-energy function. Then, when additional load is applied, the collagen fiber becomes aligned with the stretch direction. The stretching enables storing the elastic energy in the PG matrix inside and between the fibrils (Gasser, 2020). At higher strains, sliding of collagen fibrils or damage in interfibrillar PG bridges can result in tissue softening and failure (Gasser, 2011; Schmidt et al., 2014; Li and Holzapfel, 2019). As in tendons and ligaments, fibril stretching (increased D-space) and sliding occur in arterial collagen, and the fibrillar stretch is about one order of magnitude smaller than the macroscopic stretch, mediated by PG matrix (Holzapfel, 2008).

In addition, Mattson et al. (2017) tested the influence of GAG removal on arteries. They found that the removal of GAGs results in an earlier transition point of the nonlinear stress-strain curve. However, stiffness was not significantly changed with GAG removal. Furthermore, multiphoton microscopy examination demonstrated straighter fibers in adventitial collagen and earlier recruitment of elastin and collagen in lower strains. In addition, it was found that mechanical mechanisms work differently under different loading modes. When two types of macroscopic loading were subjected to the adventitia layer of carotid arteries: axial tension under constant pressure and inflation under constant axial length, fiber realignment was observed for the second loading regime. However, it was not observed for the first one, even for large stretches (over 1.5). This behavior was attributed to the complex tension-compression interactions between very stiff collagen fibers and compliant surrounding proteins (Krasny et al., 2018). Thus, these structures allow varied structural responses for different loading modes.

One of the roles of viscoelasticity in arterial walls is to reduce stresses and strains in sudden acute hypertension events. Additionally, energy storage and dissipation can prevent mechanical failure and increase fatigue life (Zhang et al., 2007).

**Skin**

Gibson et al. (1965) stated on the dermis: “In whatever direction it is stretched, all the fibers eventually become parallel.” Hence, the collagen fibers in the dermis are widely distributed (Figure 5D); therefore, upon applying stress in a specific direction, the fibers reorient in the loading direction, allowing very large deformations (Figure 1).

As in other fibrous tissues, the skin stress-strain behavior is nonlinear with J-shaped behavior and composed of toe, heel, and linear regions (Figure 9). The exact working mechanism in every region is different from the classical one in tendons and ligaments (Tendons and Ligaments section) due to the fiber arrangement and distribution of building blocks. The crucial role of PGs can explain their high content in skin, as it accounts for 20% of the dry weight of the skin and 80% of the volume (Benitez and Montáns, 2017).

Yang et al. (2015) tested the structure-function relationship in rabbit skin using in situ tension loading combined with small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). They divided the mechanical behavior into four steps: 1, 2) toe and heel: collagen fibrils are oriented in varied angles. During these stages, collagen fibrils start to straighten and rotate toward the direction of tension. Fibrils are also stretched, and D-space starts to increase, and more strain is
attributed to straightening than stretching. 3) Linear region: Collagen fibrils rotate at approximately 10°, becoming aligned uniformly and increasing recruitment. D-spacing of collagen fibrils increases from 64.5 to 66.9 nm together with the mechanisms of inter- and intrafibrillar sliding, and 4) Fracture: collagen fibrils fracture and curl back upon unloading (Figure 10A–D). The fibrils return to a wider range of orientations, and D-spacing decreases. These mechanisms provide skin with extraordinary tear resistance.

Similarly, Bancelin et al. (2015) tested the multiscale mechanical behavior in mice skin using multiphoton microscopy. They found that the heel region is partly associated with fiber alignments, but the linear region corresponds to the maximal alignment of the collagen fibers. Thus, stretch in the linear region is associated with the increased alignment of fibers in the loading direction and not only due to fiber stretching. Accordingly, each aligned fiber provides specific stress to the tissue, regardless of the stretch ratio. The fiber sliding, reported for tendons (Gupta et al., 2010), probably occurs above a critical load, associated with breaking cross-bridges with the non-fibrillar matrix. Each stretched fiber contributes its critical load individually to the total stress of the tissue. Thus, the collagen fibers in the linear region do not behave elastically but plastically. This plastic response is likely due to inner sliding inside each collagen fiber assisted by the PG matrix between the fibrils.

Moreover, Lynch et al. (2017) found that in the heel region of skin stress-strain behavior, the aligned fiber fraction in the loading direction increases non-linearly with stretch. The fibers perpendicular to the traction direction would need to buckle and bend before they can reorient. Thus, a minor reorganization was measured in the toe and heel regions. A similar interpretation of buckling was also seen in recent numerical models based on low-density fibrous gels, simulating a large network of fibers connected by flexible junctions (Stein et al., 2011; Mauri et al., 2016; Bircher et al., 2017; Sopher et al., 2018). Nonetheless, these studies did not include the PG matrix and its mechanical effect on the fibers.

**STRUCTURAL MECHANISMS IN BIOMIMETIC MATERIALS AND SOFT TISSUE ENGINEERING**

Biomimetics is used to imitate natural mechanisms towards developing newly designed materials with superior properties. However, due to the high complexity and multi-functionality of natural materials (such as biological, chemical, mechanical functions, etc.), a systemic biomimetic approach can verify the different functions by iterative reverse-engineering approach. Such iterative feedback can also benefit soft-tissue biomechanics (Csete and Doyle, 2002; Vincent, 2003; Fratzl, 2007; Wilson and Rosen, 2009). Therefore, engineered biomimetic composite materials that follow nature’s design principles and duplicate them in simpler material systems have a two-fold effect: they provide a better understanding of the complex natural systems and allow engineers to develop superior material systems (Bar-Cohen, 2005, 2006; Laaksonen et al., 2012). For example, in hard natural composites, biomimetics in synthetic material systems is more abundant and complex structures as nacre and bone are designed to mimic their mechanical behavior (Fratzl, 2007; Espinosa et al., 2011; Studart, 2012; Libonati and Vergani, 2016; Wilkerson et al., 2016; Greenfeld et al., 2018; Peng et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2019;
FIGURE 10 | (A–D) Four structural mechanisms under tensile loading of rabbit skin: Rotating, straightening, stretching, sliding, and delaminating, and fracture. (E) Variations in SAXS peak intensity during a tensile test of rabbit skin divided into four stages: 1) toe and heel regions, 3) Linear region, and 4) Fracture. (F) Fibril reorientation: Angle of normal to the tensile axis (black dots) versus intensity of fibrils (blue dots) as a function of strain. (G) Fibril stretching and sliding: D-spacing (black dots) and full-width-at-half-maximum (FWHM) (blue dots) of fibrils as a function of strain. (H–K) Tear resistance of notched rabbit skin under uniaxial tension. Adapted from Yang et al. (2015) under CC BY 4.0.
Zhang et al., 2019; Grezza et al., 2020; Zorzet et al., 2020). Nevertheless, using the same approach in soft fibrous tissues is more scarce.

As mentioned before, soft fibrous tissues are made of the same repeating building blocks. Still, they consist of different structural motifs at different length scales, thus creating various soft tissue structures and functions (Holzapfel, 2001). Herein, we presented four different examples and tried to explain the structure-function relations known so far. The ability to mimic mechanical mechanisms and understand the structure-function relationship enables the development of custom-made hierarchical soft composite materials with tailor-designed mechanical behavior for soft tissue repair and replacement. These materials will be mechanically biocompatible with the native tissue when implanted (Maza and Ehret, 2015). Moreover, an additional benefit that arises from this scientific advance is the ability to model tissues for medical interventions and provide improved medical care.

Engineering these mechanical motifs in simpler material systems will also allow us to isolate the effect of the structural motif on the mechanical behavior and explain how these structural elements interact in an assembly to generate the required function. Although the biological aspect is very important in this area, it was widely discussed in other reviews (Dhandayuthapani et al., 2011; Nikolova and Chavali, 2019). Herein, we will further discuss the latest advances in soft tissue biomimetics from the material and mechanical point of view. Our goal is to examine engineering principles that allow the designing of new biomimetic materials based on the reviewed structural motifs.

**Material Selection**

Soft tissues are constructed as composite materials consisting of collagen, elastin, and PGs. Each has its unique function. Biomaterials for soft tissue engineering are extensively explored and developed. These materials cover multiple aspects; such as cell adhesion, biocompatibility, biodegradability, bioprinting, structural hierarchy, and mechanical compatibility (Venkatraman et al., 2008; Dhandayuthapani et al., 2011; Maza and Ehret, 2015; Nikolova and Chavali, 2019; Buwalda, 2020; Guimarães et al., 2020). Herein, we will focus on the biomimetics of structural motifs and their effect on the mechanical compatibility of the materials.

Collagen is a very important biomaterial due to its biological and mechanical properties discussed above. However, its cleaning and isolation from mammalian tissues and its fiber assembly significantly deteriorate its structural and mechanical properties, thus preventing its natural role as the load-bearing element (Bazrafshan and Stylios, 2019; Montalbano et al., 2021). Methods for collagen assembly to fibers include electrospinning and wet spinning. Other collagen sources are also widely explored (Parenteau-Bareil et al., 2010; Lim et al., 2019), including marine sourced collagens (Sharabi et al., 2014; Benayahu et al., 2018; Fassini et al., 2021). Other load-bearing biomaterials, synthetic and natural, are frequently studied as well. Elastin and Elastin-like biopolymers are also frequently used as scaffolds for tissue engineering, especially for vascular applications, due to the large content of elastin in these tissues (Daamen et al., 2007; Nettles et al., 2010). However, their structural and mechanical roles often get insufficient attention, although it is vastly known that they are responsible for the toe region behavior in vascular tissues, provide large deformation behavior, and play a role in recoiling collagen crimping (Venkatraman et al., 2008). Elastin biopolymers comprising repetitive elastin sequences can exhibit supramolecular features similar to the native protein; however, some technical problems still remain, such as stable crosslinking (Debelle and Tamburro, 1999). Proteoglycans as biomaterials have many biological functions, and they are also used as biomaterials as reviewed in Rnjak-Kovacina et al. (2018).

Although many efforts are invested in developing these building blocks, they are mostly characterized as separate biomaterials with distinct properties or as hybrid material/scaffold and not as soft composite materials, without a focus on their structure-mechanical function behavior. A combination of all the three building blocks in one construct was tested by Daamen et al. (2003). However, it did not focus on the mechanical and structural characterization discussed in this review.

Recently, attempts to mimic these combinations and examine their effect on mechanical behavior were made as nano- and microfiber-reinforced soft composites, mainly for soft-tissue repair and replacement (Caves et al., 2010a, 2011; Kumar et al., 2013b; Tonsomboon and Oyen, 2013; Naik et al., 2014; Sharabi et al., 2014, 2015; Strange et al., 2014; Iang et al., 2015; Sharabi et al., 2016, 2019; Xu et al., 2016; Tonsomboon et al., 2017, 2018; Benayahu et al., 2018; Castilho et al., 2018; Sharabi and Wagner, 2021; Wertheimer et al., 2021).

To the best of the author’s knowledge, to date, there are no engineered biomimetic constructs that consist of all three building blocks but mostly partial combinations; as soft composites made of hydrogels (polysaccharides and PGs) embedded with collagen fibers (Sharabi et al., 2014, 2015, 2016, 2019; Ayala et al., 2015; Wertheimer et al., 2021), or combinations of collagen fibers and elastin (Caves et al., 2010a, 2010c, 2011; Kumar et al., 2013b; Ryan et al., 2020).

However, these composite demonstrated superior mechanical behavior as in native soft tissues. For example, Tonsomboon et al. (2017) demonstrated the crack patterns and increased toughness of gelatin nanofiber reinforced alginate hydrogels in different fiber orientations, known for natural tissues such as skin (Yang et al., 2015, 2019) (Figures 10H–K, 11G). Alginate hydrogels are polysaccharide gels that absorb water, provide viscoelasticity, and act mechanically as the PG matrix in tissues.

Moreover, in a series of papers, Chaikof and colleagues (Caves et al., 2010a, 2010b, 2010c, 2011; Kumar et al., 2013b) developed elastin-collagen composites for soft tissue repair. These composites were made of wet spun collagen microfibers (∼20–60 µm) (Caves et al., 2010b) embedded in recombinant elastin matrix (Caves et al., 2010a, 2011). As in native tissues, the mechanical behavior of these composites was non-linear, with large deformations under uniaxial tensile testing. The authors...
also managed to control the fiber orientation, fiber fraction, fiber spacing, and thus mechanical behavior.

In another series of papers, Sharabi and colleagues (Sharabi et al., 2014, 2015, 2016, 2019; Benayahu et al., 2018; Wertheimer et al., 2021) designed a material system comprised of coral collagen fibers that reinforced an alginate hydrogel matrix. The coral collagen fibers diameter was approximately 10 µm, and the fibers had a natural hierarchical structure and demonstrated inherent crimping, similar to the native collagen fibers (Sharabi et al., 2014). The alginate hydrogel matrix provided aqueous surrounding creating weak interactions with the collagen fibers, as in native tissues. Diverse fiber orientations and fractions were tested, and a nonlinear hyperelastic material behavior with large deformations was shown, similar to various native soft fibrous tissues, like the cornea, annulus fibrosus, and blood vessels (Sharabi et al., 2014, 2015, 2016, 2019; Benayahu et al., 2018; Wertheimer et al., 2021).

One of the major drawbacks of designing biomimetic soft composite materials is creating a stiff hierarchical fibrous phase as the naturally occurring collagen. Thus, different techniques were developed for fiber spinning. Electrospinning is an essential method for creating nanofibers from a variety of materials, synthetic and natural. This method is thoroughly discussed (Mauck et al., 2009; Sell et al., 2010; Tamayo et al., 2013; Tan and Zhou, 2019; Reid et al., 2021). The diameters of electrospun fibers can be ranging from 10 nm to 100 µm depending on the material, device configuration, and process setup. They are similar in diameter to collagen fibrils (~100–300 nm). In addition, method modifications allow diverse fiber structures (Tan and Zhou, 2019).

Wet spinning is also a widely used method for fiber manufacturing (Puppi and Chiellini, 2017). However, the resultant fibers are usually microfibers (Caves et al., 2010b), in the same collagen fibers level range (and not fibrils, which is an essential scale). Caves et al. (2010b) created collagen microfibers from monomeric rat-tail collagen and demonstrated the alignment of the nanofibers inside the microfiber with applied deformation. Bioprinting is also an important emerging area in tissue engineering and biomimetics research (Murphy and Atala, 2014; Mandrycky et al., 2016).

**Fiber Volume Fraction**

In classical composites, fiber volume fraction (FVF) is an important property that dramatically influences the composites’ mechanical behavior. In native tissues, the fibril diameter is mainly measured in the cross-section view using

![FIGURE 11 | Biomimetics of soft composite fibrous structures. (A–E) Biomimetics of form and function of annulus fibrosus lamellae using collagen reinforce alginate hydrogel composite. (A) Longitudinal arrangement of collagen fibers. (B) Angle-plied (AP) arrangement of collagen fibers. (C) AP biocomposite under uniaxial tension test. (D) Distribution of collagen fiber orientations in the AP biocomposite (scale bar 5 mm). (E) Mechanical behavior of longitudinal biocomposites, alginate matrix, and representative coral collagen fiber in comparison with in vitro uniaxial AF Single lamella measurements of (Holzapfel et al., 2005). Reprinted from Sharabi et al. (2019) with permission. (F) Electropun gelatin nanofibers embedded in alginate hydrogel composites: Tensile failure strength of (a) alginate and (b) gelatin; single layer composites: (c) random fiber composite, (d) longitudinal laminate, (e) 45° laminate, (f) 90° laminate; and four-layer laminate composites with a (a) uniaxial 0°/0°/0°/0° fiber orientation pattern, (b) cross-ply 0°/90°/0°/90° fiber orientation pattern, or an (f) angle-ply 0°/45°/−45° fiber orientation pattern. (G) Crack patterns in alginate hydrogels with (a) no fibers, (b) 90° laminate, (c) 45° laminate, (d) longitudinal laminate, and (e) cross-ply 0°/90°/0°/90° composite. (F–G) Reprinted from Tonsomboon et al. (2017) under CC-BY.](image-url)
TEM. However, different physical variables (water content, osmotic loading) and biological (age, pathology) affect the diameter of the fibrils (Han et al., 2012). Furthermore, due to the hierarchical structure of the tissues, the fibril volume function does not represent the volume fraction in other length scales as fibers, fascicles, or laminates. Spatial location and gradual tissue changes also affect this parameter. In addition, fiber volume fraction can be measured in tissues like skin and vessels using diverse imaging techniques (Rezakhanli et al., 2012; Niestrawska et al., 2016; Pissarenko et al., 2019; Amabili et al., 2021).

However, as of today, the knowledge regarding FVF of different tissues is quite limited. It is important to note that the length of fibers/fibrils in these tissues is not entirely known, and thus the load–transfer mechanisms and interface properties are not completely revealed. Further research is also needed to allow better biomimetics, including the development of new multi-scale imaging technologies. This data is also essential for medical purposes and modeling of native physiological tissues (Holzapfel and Weizsäcker, 1998; Holzapfel et al., 2000, 2019; Niestrawska et al., 2016; Li and Holzapfel, 2019; Frank et al., 2019).

In biomimetic composites, the influence of FVF on soft synthetic composites have been investigated, mainly for tissue engineering applications (Caves et al., 2010a, 2010c, 2011; Kumar et al., 2013a, 2013b, 2014; Naik et al., 2014; Ayala et al., 2015; Sharabi et al., 2019, 2015, 2016) and found to affect different mechanical properties such as strength, stiffness, and ultimate strains.

**Fiber Orientation and Recruitment**

Fibrous tissues are organized in anisotropic structures as a function of their functionality. For instance, in tendons, which connect muscle to bone unidirectionally, fibrils, fibers, and fascicles are aligned with the load direction. In the AF, the parallel fibrils are oriented in what is usually defined as ±30° but actually ranges between ±22 and 50° (Holzapfel et al., 2005; Sharabi et al., 2018), due to the multiaxial loads on the IVD. Herein, the fibrils reorient under load and then recruited. In the aorta, the main orientations are circumferential and axial (depending on the layer). Still, other fiber families exist, and the orientation distribution is larger than the last two examples. A probable explanation is that reorientation and fiber recruitment allow larger deformations than in tendons and AF.

Moreover, the incontinuity of the fibers also plays a mechanical role, together with higher elastin content. Crimped isolated collagen fibers (as in skin and arterial walls) allow larger deformations without additional material stretching due to matrix interactions. Nevertheless, the main orientation allows withstanding large pressures in vessels. In the skin, the orientation is defined as bimodal but even more sparse. The large orientation distribution brings to fiber buckling and recruitment towards the loading direction. It is highly compatible with multiaxial loading, where the behavior becomes more anisotropic with applied load. This phenomenon is not similar to classical composites and unique to soft deformable composites.

Oriented biocomposites and constructs are fabricated and mechanically tested in diverse orientations mimicking native tissues, such as longitudinal (0°), transverse (90°), cross-plied (0–90°), angle-plied (±30°), and multi-lamine structures in the microfiber and nanofiber level (Figure 11) (Nerurkar et al., 2010, 2011; Caves et al., 2011; Kumar et al., 2013b; Tonsomboon and Oyen, 2013; Naik et al., 2014; Sharabi et al., 2014, 2015, 2016, 2019; Tonsomboon et al., 2017; Wertheimer et al., 2021). As more fibers are aligned in the stretching direction, the stiffer the material is. When fibers are under deflection, additional reinforcement angles are needed (Sharabi et al., 2016). Multilaminate structures are also studied in means of their mechanical behavior under different loading modes: flexure (Sharabi et al., 2016), fracture (Tonsomboon et al., 2017), and burst pressure (Kumar et al., 2013b; Wertheimer et al., 2021). Soft composites act differently as a function of the applied load. The physiological loading mode should be considered when designing a composite (Mazza and Ehret, 2015; Zhou et al., 2021). Although the oriented composites were designed and fabricated, there is not enough attention to quantifying the reorientation and fiber recruitment mechanisms, as described for tissues.

**Structural Hierarchy and Nested Composites**

One characteristic property of natural materials in general, and soft tissues, in particular, is their hierarchical structure composed of several structural levels, from the nano to macro-scale, such as the fibril-fiber-fascicle assembly in tendons and ligaments. One of the major drawbacks of soft tissue biomimetics is the ability to fabricate functional hierarchical materials. Nanoscale methods such as electrospinning and self-assembly enable the creation of multi-scale materials; however, these are not often assembled to higher levels structures (Doineau et al., 2021). The nanoscale level is often responsible for the toughness of materials and is characterized by increased fracture toughness and breaking energy (Evans, 1990; Launey and Ritchie, 2009; Launey et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2019); thus, nested composites fabrication is essential.

For soft composites, the multiscale behavior is not frequently studied. However, Stella et al. (2010a, 2010b) examined the multiscale behavior of electrospun nanofibers sheets. The samples underwent planar biaxial modes of deformation. The deformation behavior was investigated across multiple scales by defining three characteristic lengths (micro (1–2 μm), meso (40–50 μm), and macro (1–3 mm)). They demonstrated that even though the macro-scale behavior was similar to this of native valve tissue, the behavior in the micro and mesoscale were different and showed a heterogeneous deformation response. However, although the nanofibers were aligned in the loading direction and collected on a rotating drum, they did not consist of microscopic crimping nor aqueous matrix that enables sliding, as in soft tissues. Thus, biomimetics in multilevel scales is essential to imitate the native fibrous tissue and shed light on tissues’ multiscale behavior. Furthermore, for this type of composites, multi-scale finite element modeling is an important tool that can contribute significantly to the structure-function understanding of the construct (D’Amore et al., 2014).
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Higher levels constructs that include multi-laminates for vessels and tendons biomimetics were fabricated (Caves et al., 2011; Kumar et al., 2013b; Ayala et al., 2015; Sensini et al., 2019a; Wertheimer et al., 2021). For example, Sensini et al. (2019a, 2019b, 2021) developed a morphologically bioinspired hierarchical electrospun construct to mimic the structure and mechanical function of tendon and ligaments (Figures 12A–F). They control the nanofibers’ alignment and orientation, thus creating stiffer structures like tendons and ligaments. Furthermore, they created the 2nd and 3rd levels of hierarchy, demonstrating the increased stiffness and strength arising from additional hierarchy levels. Interestingly, these constructs’ behavior is not often compared with the native tissue behavior to achieve mechanical biocompatibility (Mazza and Ehret, 2015).

Sharabi and Wagner (2021) demonstrated a fiber-reinforced hydrogel hierarchical composite (Figure 12G). They fabricated a soft biomimetic composite from a hierarchical aligned electrospun polyamide fiber made of electrospun nanofibers, reinforcing an alginate hydrogel matrix. They demonstrated via SEM cross-bridges in the fiber-matrix interface, mimicking the natural form of collagen failure (Yang et al., 2019). In that case, the polyamide nanofibers created cross-bridges with the matrix and within the bundle. However, multi-scale structural and mechanical studies of the composite were not executed.

For hard tissues, hierarchy is much frequently studied and mimicked. Moreover, and very notably, the nanoscale reinforcement is known to simultaneously favor the strength and toughness of materials (Evans, 1990; Launey and Ritchie, 1998).
2009), in particular bone (Gupta et al., 2005; Gupta et al., 2006; Launey and Ritchie, 2009; Libonati and Vergani, 2016; Grezzana et al., 2020). Therefore, combining nanoscale structures in higher levels constructs may provide valuable advantages.

**Fiber Crimping**

Apart from the FVF and fiber orientation, fiber crimping also governs soft tissue mechanical behavior (Vidal, 2003; Zhao et al., 2015). Collagen crimping allows an additional degree of freedom in the material deformation due to its straightening ability under load that does not require applying force, thus protecting the material from wear. Crimp structure has been divided into different structures such as planar zig-zag, sinusoid, or helical with wavelength ranges between 10 and 200 μm (Caves et al., 2010c). It can appear as a parallel waveform (as in tendon, ligaments, and AF-Figures 5A,B) or distributed fibers (as in skin and arterial wall- Figures 5C,D). In naturally occurring collagen composites, hyperelastic behavior with strain stiffening was seen due to the inherent coral collagen crimping (Sharabi et al., 2014, 2015, 2016, 2019; Wertheimer et al., 2021) (Figures 13L,M).

Crimped fibers were synthetically fabricated as a part of a laminate or as isolated fibers to investigate their deformation behavior (Caves et al., 2010c; Fleischer et al., 2013; Nunes et al., 2013; Chao et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2014; Kumar et al., 2014; Naik et al., 2014; Pen-hsiu Grace et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2015; Szczezny et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2017; Sharabi and Wagner, 2021). They were also beneficial for embedded cells and altered strain transmission to cells (Fleischer et al., 2013; Chao et al., 2014; Szczezny et al., 2016).
Crimping in the nanoscale can be applied on electrospun nanofibers (Figures 13J,K) by different chemical and physical methods (Chen et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2015; Szczesny et al., 2016; Zaaour et al., 2020). The degree of nanocrimping can be controlled and thus results in a changeable toe region, governable J-shaped behavior, and stiffening regime in electrospun film (Liu et al., 2015; Szczesny et al., 2016). However, in hierarchical composites consisting of nanoscale crimping, it was found not to affect the overall toe region compared with micro-and millimetric crimping. Applying different crimping degrees (experimentally and numerically) resulted in a controlled transition between the matrix and the fiber modes, where the matrix governed the toe region behavior. As was expected, the toe region was larger when the crimping angle was smaller (Sharabi and Wagner, 2021) (Figures 13A–I).

SUMMARY AND FUTURE PROSPECTIVE

Soft fibrous tissues are functional tissues that hold diverse and complex mechanical roles: from load transmission between muscle to bone – in tendons, through burst pressure under cyclic loading in arteries, mechanical barrier as in the skin, and multiaxial loads subjected to the intervertebral disc, enabling remarkable flexibility. All these abilities are the result of different combinations of the same building blocks. Nature uses simple building blocks, but its efficient use in repeating structural motifs allows it to create a set of tools that result in a wide mechanical variety. As material engineers, the building blocks we can use are much more diverse. However, understanding the structural motifs and their mechanical response can be of major importance.

We examine soft tissues that are structurally different, but similar repeating structural motifs allow their diverse unparallel mechanical behaviors. Due to the highly intricated structures and their variability, the diverse characterization methods, and protocols, the full structure-function relationship is unknown yet, and many questions still remain.

However, the comparison also reveals similar trends and motifs. As presented in the previous sections, soft fibrous tissues include multiple structural motifs that govern all fibrous tissues to allow nonlinear J-shaped behavior with large deformations. In soft tissues, nature uses motifs that control collagen’s ability to carry load, such as crimping, sliding, rotating, and stretching in multiple length scales, using nested composites and “programmed” interfaces. The simultaneous use in stretching and sliding mechanisms in different scales allows the structure to be more robust since the material itself has a finite ability to stretch.

Nature uses composites. Each of its building blocks is essential, and its assembly is responsible for the mechanical function. In different tissues, removing one constituent changes the entire behavior differently (Isaacs et al., 2014; Ross et al., 2021). Moreover, the quantities of the building blocks vastly affect the nature of the tissue. Multi-scale hierarchy and sliding mechanisms in different scales allow large deformations and failure toughness. The different length scales deflect cracks and increase the tissue robustness, and protect it from failure. Sliding is allowed due to PGs and GAGs matrix. They absorb water molecules, and the high water content results in weak hydrophilic interactions promoting collagen fibril results and weak interactions, thus, stretching is not the main mechanical mechanism, and thus the tissue can withstand substantial deformations for a long time and under different loading conditions. Biomimetics of this mechanism can improve our ability significantly to create functioning soft composite materials. Nested composites that can be up to fiber level, like skin and aorta, or in an ordered telescopic structure like tendons are also very common. In tendons, this structure allows very efficient load-bearing with minimal diameter.

Crimping occurs in the micrometer scale for all tissues (Table 2). Usually, elastin fibers exist on the same scale to allow crimping recoil. The crimp is inherent in the fibril level (fibrillar crimp) in some tissues, mainly in unidirectional loaded tissues like tendons and ligaments. These crimps generate fibrillar marks that enable the crimping pattern (such as in origami folding). In tissues that are loaded in multiaxial directions, these crimps do not exist.

Gradual recruitment is a mechanism that occurs in different tissues at different levels. In the skin and arteries, fibers are recruited fiber by fiber, allowing large deformations. The recruitment, namely, the stage where collagen fibers can carry the load, is the joint function of crimping, straightening, and reorientating the fibers in the load direction. This mechanism also allows multiaxial loading, such as in skin, where the wide distribution of fiber directions is recruited under load, becoming more anisotropic when additional load is applied. Variations and graded changes allow different regimes and local stiffening in preferred locations. For example, different tissue layers in the aorta have the same ultimate strains but different mechanical responses (Holzapfel, 2008). Biomimetic soft multi-layered architectural composites with embedded structural mechanisms can vastly increase the mechanical abilities of new constructs.

Here, we focused on the deformation behavior and did not discuss other important mechanical behaviors such as failure, viscoelasticity, fatigue, or cyclic loading, due to the complexity of the current subject. Understanding and mimicking these structural motifs can significantly improve the future of biomedical engineering. When discussing biomimetics of tissues, mechanics is an important issue together with biology and chemistry. Mechanical biocompatibility (Mazza and Ehret, 2015) is soft composites with large deformations, cannot be only defined by specific values as modulus or strength, but by the whole deformation behavior. Therefore, to imitate this behavior in different length scales, we need to understand the structure-function relationships in different scales, implement and use the natural structural motifs. The nanoscale is vital for toughness and large deformations, but all structural levels work together in fibrous tissues’ mechanical behavior. Mismatch in the mechanical behavior between highly deformable biomedical materials and native tissue might lead to short and long-term damage, including micro-injuries, cell damage, inflammation, hyperplasia, fibrosis, or necrosis (Venkatraman et al., 2008).
Therefore, multi-scale biomimetics is essential for mechanical behavior. However, one should take into account that tissue architectural variability is sometimes a result of growth and remodeling processes and does not always stem from mechanical considerations. Thus, reverse biomimetics aided with computational modeling and advanced multiscale experimental techniques can expose the desirable mechanical requirements.

These materials are highly complex in mechanical terms since the testing protocol, applied strain rate, gripping influence, sample geometry, size, and even definitions of mechanical properties (e.g., Young’s modulus) differ between researchers and applications. This creates a significant variance in tissues’ mechanical behavior and the engineered structural materials characterization, in addition to inherent biological variability that already exists in biological tissues. Therefore, there is a vital need to formulate criteria of mechanical biocompatibility. Thus, instead of a trial-and-error approach, bottom-up structural design of the sub-macroscopic scale may result in novel advancements.

Proper assembly of the building blocks, together with the use in these structural motifs that appear in nature, can create the next generation of architectural materials that will be highly efficient for tissue repair applications. Moreover, these materials may lead to new types of highly deformable soft-engineered composites, ubiquitous in nature but not in our daylife engineering.
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