CCD PHOTOMETRY OF THE GLOBULAR CLUSTER ω CENTAURI. II. STELLAR POPULATIONS AND AGE-METALLICITY RELATION
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ABSTRACT

We present wide-field and high-precision BV and Ca and Strömgren by photometry of ω Centauri, which represents one of the most extensive photometric surveys to date for this cluster. The member stars of ω Cen are well discriminated from foreground Galactic field stars in the $hk - (b-y)$ versus $b-y$ diagram. The resulting “cleaned” color-magnitude diagram (CMD) has allowed us to obtain an accurate distribution of the red horizontal-branch (HB) and the asymptotic giant branch stars. We confirm the presence of several red giant branches (RGBs), with the most metal-rich sequence well separated from other bluer metal-poor ones. Our population models suggest that four populations with different metallicities can reproduce the observed nature of the RGB. The HB distribution is also found to be consistent with the multiple stellar populations of the RGB. From our population models, we propose that the most metal-rich population is about 4 Gyr younger than the dominant metal-poor population, indicating that ω Cen was enriched over this timescale. We identify, for the first time, a continuous and slanting RGB bump in the CMD of ω Cen, which is due to the metallicity spread among the RGB stars. Our photometry also reveals a significant population of blue straggler stars. The discovery of several populations and the internal age-metallicity relation of ω Cen provides good evidence that ω Cen was once part of a more massive system that merged with the Milky Way, as the Sagittarius dwarf galaxy is in the process of doing at the present time.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The cluster ω Centauri (NGC 5139) has many unique characteristics among Galactic globular clusters (GGCs). It is the most luminous and massive cluster in the Galaxy (Harris 1996; Meylan et al. 1995) and one of the most flattened clusters (Meylan 1987; White & Shawl 1987), which must have resulted from its significant rotation (Meylan & Mayor 1986; Mayor et al. 1997; Merritt, Meylan, & Mayor 1997). The most intriguing and peculiar feature of ω Cen is the wide spread of metallicity. The majority of GGCs show homogeneity in iron-peak elements, while often exhibiting large variations in the lighter elements such as CNO, Na, Mg, and Al (Suntzeff 1993; Kraft 1994). However, unlike other GCs, ω Cen shows star-to-star abundance variations of the iron-peak elements.

Dickens & Woolley (1967) first noted the chemical inhomogeneity of stars in ω Cen from the large color width of the red giant branch (RGB) stars in the CMD shown by the photographic photometry of Woolley (1966), which was later confirmed by Cannon & Stobie (1973). Freeman & Rodgers (1975) and Butler, Dickens, & Epps (1978) also confirmed a diversity of chemical composition from the RR Lyrae variables in this cluster (see also Gratton, Tornambe, & Ortolani 1986; Rey et al. 2000). Recently, a series of spectroscopic studies for large samples of the RGB stars in ω Cen verified the range in abundances and derived detailed abundance patterns (e.g., Brown & Wallerstein 1993; Vanture, Wallerstein, & Brown 1994; Norris & Da Costa 1995; Smith, Cunha, & Lambert 1995; Norris, Freeman, & Mighell 1996; Suntzeff & Kraft 1996; Smith et al. 2000; Pancino et al. 2002; Cunha et al. 2002; Origlia et al. 2003). It has been reported that the abundance of iron spans a range from [Fe/H] ~ −2.0 up to −0.5, along with high abundance of the s-process elements over the whole [Fe/H] range.

It also appears that this anomaly of chemical inhomogeneity found in ω Cen is closely linked to the kinematics and spatial distribution of the stars. Norris et al. (1997) found that the metal-rich RGB stars are more centrally concentrated and furthermore show evidence for different velocity dispersion and rotation properties compared with the dominating population of more metal-poor stars. The metal-poor population rotates, but the metal-rich stars do not. From this apparent difference in kinematics between the metal-poor and the metal-rich stars, as well as a second peak in the metallicity distribution, they suggested a merger of two clusters as a possible origin of ω Cen. The difference in spatial distribution between different metallicity populations is also confirmed by
successive studies (Jurek 1998; Pancino et al. 2000, 2003; Hilker & Richtler 2000; see also Freeman 1985). Most recently, Ferraro, Bellazzini, & Pancino (2002) suggested that the newly discovered metal-rich RGB stars with [Fe/H] \(-0.6\) may have a different proper-motion distribution that is not compatible with that of the dominant metal-poor population of \(\omega\) Cen, while Platais et al. (2003) commented that this could arise as a spurious effect in the proper-motion determination. The close connection of metal abundance to the kinematics and spatial distribution of stars in \(\omega\) Cen would provide some important constraints for its star formation and chemical enrichment histories when combined with wide-field high-precision photometric data.

The first comprehensive and wide-field photographic study for \(\omega\) Cen was undertaken by Woolley (1966). It remained the only wide-field study of \(\omega\) Cen for more than three decades. He and coworkers obtained a CMD for several thousand stars, which extends to about 1 mag fainter than the horizontal branch (HB); however, the photometric errors for even bright RGB stars were somewhat large. These data are too shallow to allow accurate study of the properties of various populations in this cluster, despite being spatially complete. Recent wide-field studies were initiated by Lee et al. (1999), who obtained high-precision homogeneous \(BV\) CCD CMDs for more than 130,000 stars in the field toward \(\omega\) Cen, which represents one of the most extensive photometric surveys to date for this cluster (see also Pancino et al. 2000; Hilker & Richtler 2000; Majewski et al. 2000). Lee et al. (1999) discovered multiple RGBs, especially noteworthy being a red, metal-rich ([Fe/H] \(-0.5\)) sequence well separated from other, bluer, metal-poor ones in the CMD. This feature was not evident in previous photometry as a result of larger photometric uncertainties (e.g., Woolley 1966) and usually much smaller sample sizes. An independent survey by Pancino et al. (2000) confirmed the reality of this discovery. Furthermore, the most metal-rich population in \(\omega\) Cen appears to be a few billion years younger than the most metal-poor ([Fe/H] \(-1.8\)) population in this system (Lee et al. 1999; Hughes & Wallerstein 2000; Hilker & Richtler 2000).

The multimodal metallicity distribution function and the apparent age-metallicity relation would suggest that the protocluster of \(\omega\) Cen was massive enough to undergo some self-enrichment (e.g., Suntzeff & Kraft 1996; Ikuta & Arimoto 2000) and several early bursts of star formation with a variable rate. This suggests that \(\omega\) Cen evolved within a dwarf galaxy size gas-rich subsystem until it merged and disrupted with our Galaxy a few billion years after the formation of its first generation of metal-poor stars, leaving its core as today’s GC \(\omega\) Cen (Freeman 1993; Norris et al. 1997; Lee et al. 1999; Majewski et al. 2000; Hughes & Wallerstein 2000; Hilker & Richtler 2000). This is consistent with the fact that there is similarity in the distinct appearance of multiple stellar populations with an internal age-metallicity relation for both \(\omega\) Cen and the Sagittarius dwarf galaxy (Sarajedini & Layden 1995; Layden & Sarajedini 1997, 2000; Bellazzini et al. 1999a, 1999b); the latter includes M54, the second most massive GGC, as its nucleus and is now in a process of tidal disruption by the Milky Way, leading eventually to a complete merger.

In this paper we discuss our photometry of \(\omega\) Cen, which is the same data set used in the preliminary study by Lee et al. (1999), analyzing in detail the multiple stellar populations and their age-metallicity relations. The use of high-precision and wide-field CCD photometry as presented here is essential for a better understanding of the characteristics and evolutionary history for \(\omega\) Cen. We have also found some new properties of the various populations of \(\omega\) Cen which were not apparent in the previous photometric studies. In § 2 we describe our observations, the data reduction, and the photometric calibration. We present the resulting CMDs in § 3 after subtracting the contamination of the field star sequence using the \(hk\) index, which is the sensitive metallicity indicator of the Ca and Strömgren \(h\) photometric system. We also present some characteristics of various population sequences, such as the RGB, the RGB bump, the blue straggler stars (BSSs), and the HB, in the CMD of \(\omega\) Cen. In particular, we present the evidence for multiple stellar populations evinced by the structure of the RGB. In § 4 we present radial distributions of the RGB, HB, and BSS populations. Section 5 is devoted to the derivation of internal age-metallicity relation of \(\omega\) Cen from our population models. In § 6 we discuss the origin of \(\omega\) Cen and consider the possibility of other massive GCs having a metallicity spread and multiple stellar populations like \(\omega\) Cen. We summarize our results in § 7.

2. OBSERVATIONS, DATA REDUCTION, AND PHOTOMETRIC MEASUREMENT

2.1. \(BV\) Observations

All the observations were made using the Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory (CTIO) 0.9 m telescope and Tektronix 2048 No. 3 CCD during six nights of an observing run in 1996 April 5–10. At the f/13.5 Cassegrain focus, the image scale of the CCD is 0.396 pixel\(^{-1}\), which gives a sky coverage of 13.6 \(\times\) 13.6 arcmin\(^2\). We covered nine regions in a 3 \(\times\) 3 grid of \(\omega\) Cen through the Johnson \(B\) and \(V\) filters, and observed six to eight sequences of this grid per night. In total, 40 frames were taken in each filter and each field. Our whole program field, centered on the cluster, encompasses approximately a 40 \(\times\) 40 arcmin\(^2\) area of \(\omega\) Cen, which approximates the area enclosed by the half-tidal radius. Exposure times were 180 and 120 s for \(B\) and \(V\), respectively. The seeing was between 1\(\prime\)0 and 1\(\prime\)7, and all of the observing nights were fully photometric. The positions of all observed fields are given in Table 1 and in Figure 1.

The raw CCD frames were processed by zero-level subtraction followed by sky flat-field division using the IRAF QUADPROC routines to take into account the four amplifiers used. All exposure times were sufficiently long so that the center-to-corner shutter timing error was negligible. Typically, these procedures produced object frames flattened to better than 0.7%. Each of the 40–42 CCD frames for each filter and each field was reduced separately using the DAOPHOT II and ALLSTAR photometry package (Stetson 1987, 1995). Between 50 and 100 bright and isolated stars were used to construct the point-spread function (PSF) for each frame. The shape of the PSF was made to vary quadratically with radial position in the frame. The mean difference between the PSF-based magnitude and the total magnitude of selected PSF stars was calculated, and this aperture correction was applied to PSF-based instrumental magnitude for every star in each frame. The DAOMATCH/DAOMASTER programs (Stetson 1992) were then used to match stars of all frames for each filter and each field, and to derive their average instrumental magnitudes and rms scatters, keeping only those stars that were detected in at least 20 frames for each filter and each field. Finally, the mean instrumental \(B\) and \(V\) magnitudes were matched to form the \(B-V\) color.
On each night, standard stars from the list of Landolt (1992) were observed to derive coefficients of the transformation equations between the instrumental magnitudes and the standard system magnitudes. The 33 standard stars observed cover $B-V$ and $V$ ranges of $-0.27$ to $1.91$ and $11.08$ to $15.02$, respectively. The atmospheric extinction coefficients in each color have been determined by the same standard stars at different air masses. The final transformation equations were obtained by linear least-square fits. They are

$$B-V = 0.905(b-v) - 0.223,$$

$$V - v_0 = 0.021(B-V) - 2.957,$$

| Field | R.A. (J2000.0) | Decl. (J2000.0) | Comment |
|-------|----------------|-----------------|---------|
| F1    | 13 28 04.60    | -47 41 32.10    | Southeast |
| F2    | 13 28 04.90    | -47 28 30.30    | East     |
| F3    | 13 28 05.20    | -47 15 30.20    | Northeast |
| F4    | 13 26 48.60    | -47 15 30.20    | North    |
| F5    | 13 26 47.90    | -47 28 38.70    | Cluster center |
| F6    | 13 26 48.10    | -47 41 33.70    | South    |
| F7    | 13 25 31.00    | -47 41 35.60    | Southwest |
| F8    | 13 25 30.50    | -47 28 32.90    | West     |
| F9    | 13 25 30.00    | -47 15 35.30    | Northwest |

*Note.*—Units of right ascension are hours, minutes, and seconds, and units of declination are degrees, arcminutes and arcseconds.

Fig. 1.—Observed cluster region of $\omega$ Cen
where $B-V$ and $V$ are the color indexes and visual magnitude in the standard $BV$ system, $(b-V)_0$ and $v_0$ refer to instrumental magnitudes corrected for extinction. The calibration equations relate observed to standard values with rms residuals of 0.012 mag for both of $V$ and $B-V$, as shown in Figure 2.

2.2. Ca and Strömgren by Observations

As part of our investigation of the metallicity of RR Lyrae stars in $\omega$ Cen, we have obtained Ca and Strömgren by CCD frames with the CTIO 0.9 m telescope and Tektronix 2048 No. 3 CCD, during three nights of an observing run in 1997 March. These observations cover similar fields to those of our $BV$ observations. The details of observations and data reductions for these frames are described in Rey et al. (2000). We used these photometric data to discriminate the foreground Galactic field stars from the $\omega$ Cen member stars using different distributions in the $hk\left[=(Ca-b)-(b-y)\right]$ versus $b-y$ diagram (see § 3.2).

2.3. Comparison with Previous Results

We compared our $BV$ CCD photometry with data taken from other studies for the stars in common. We made a comparison, shown in Figure 3, with the $V$ and $B-V$ CCD photometry of the $\omega$ Cen HST calibration field obtained by Walker (1994). The mean difference in the sense our measurements minus Walker’s is $-0.030 \pm 0.017$ and $-0.007 \pm 0.016$ in $V$ and

![Figure 2](image1.png)

**Fig. 2.**—Magnitude and color residuals for the comparison of Landolt (1992) standards and measured values in this study, in the sense of our values minus those of Landolt (1992).

![Figure 3](image2.png)

**Fig. 3.**—Comparison of our photometry with those of (top) Walker (1994) and (bottom) Alcaino & Liller (1987). The differences are in the sense of our photometry minus others.
B–V, respectively, where the uncertainty is the standard deviation of the mean. Figure 3 also shows a comparison with photometry of Alcaino & Liller (1987). The mean differences are negligible, 0.007 ± 0.023 and 0.001 ± 0.078 in V and B–V, respectively, although with larger scatter per star.

3. STELLAR POPULATIONS OF ω CENTAURI

3.1. Color-Magnitude Diagrams

Figure 4 shows the V, B–V CMDs for each of the nine program fields, separately. The field F5 corresponds to the one placed on the cluster center. Figure 5 shows the V, B–V CMD of all observed stars in ω Cen, where more than 130,000 stars in our program fields are plotted. To eliminate the field stars superposed on the ω Cen sequences (see § 3.2), we matched BV photometric data with those from Ca and Strömgren by photometry. Due to the lower signal-to-noise ratio of the Ca and Strömgren by data compared with that for BV, the matched CMD includes only about 85,000 stars. These color-magnitude data for ω Cen stars and for field star candidates with V < 16.0 are tabulated in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. The x-y positions in the tables refer to the pixel coordinates relative to the origin of the field F1. The full data table will be published in a forthcoming paper. For the following analyses, we...
selected stars with a frame-to-frame errors in the $B$ and $V$ magnitudes of less than 0.05 mag, with the CMD resulting from this more restrictive cut containing only 38,000 objects.

3.2. Field Star Elimination

As shown in the CMDs, the signature of the Galactic field star contamination is evident, primarily as a swath of stars with $0.4 < B - V < 0.8$ for $V < 16$ and with many fainter redder stars. This field star sequence, which probably corresponds to the old disk stars (Jonch-Sorensen & Knude 1994; Ng et al. 1995; Kaluzny et al. 1996, 1997), obviously complicates the interpretation of the data for some interesting sequences, such as the red horizontal-branch (RHB), the asymptotic giant-branch (AGB), and the RGB.

The field star contamination can be minimized by using the metallicity-sensitive $hk$ index, defined as $(Ca - b) - (b - y)$ from the Ca and Str"omgren $by$ photometric system (Anthony-Twarog et al. 1991; Twarog & Anthony-Twarog 1995; Anthony-Twarog & Twarog 1998). The Ca and Str"omgren $by$ photometric system is an extension of the standard Str"omgren $uvby$ intermediate-band photometric system with the inclusion of a fifth filter, Ca, centered on the K and H lines of Ca II (90 Å FWHM). We isolated relatively metal-rich field stars from the more metal-poor cluster members using the $hk$ versus $b - y$ diagram. Because even the most metal-rich ([Fe/H] $\sim$ 0.6, Pancino et al. 2002) stars in $\omega$ Cen are relatively metal-deficient compared with the typical disk field stars (Jonch-Sorensen & Knude 1994; Twarog & Anthony-Twarog 1995; Wyse & Gilmore 1995), it is possible to eliminate foreground field stars through the use of the $hk$ index without seriously biasing the direct estimate of the abundance of stellar populations in the cluster.

As shown in the top panel of Figure 6, at a given $b - y$, more metal-rich stars are offset from the metal-poor ones by virtue of a larger $hk$ index, therefore an upper envelope line which differentiates field stars can be drawn. Any star that lies below this envelope is tagged as a field star. The upper envelope of these field star candidates appears to cover empirical isometallicity lines for main-sequence dwarfs with [Fe/H] = $-0.5$ and 0.0 (solid lines; Anthony-Twarog et al. 2000). We selected 293 field star candidates for $V < 16.0$. The selected field stars show a prominent sequence, as expected, running through the middle of the $\omega$ Cen CMD (Fig. 6; solid circles, bottom). The foreground field stars at $V > 16.0$ are overlapped with the SGB and the RGB stars in the $hk$ versus $b - y$ diagram making their identification difficult from photometry alone. The radial distribution of the field star candidates is uniform and is significantly different from that of the $\omega$ Cen RGB sequence, which confirms that most of our selected field star candidates are in fact not members of $\omega$ Cen (see Fig. 14a). This suggests that the use of the $hk$ versus $b - y$ diagram is ideal for eliminating more metal-rich field stars from the member stars of $\omega$ Cen, as well as most GGCs with reasonable resolution, and hence for constructing “cleaned” CMDs. The $hk$ index is about 3 times more sensitive to metallicity than the $m_{1}$ index (see Fig. 9 of Twarog & Anthony-Twarog 1995); therefore, it is a better discriminator of field stars from cluster member stars. In Figure 7 we present the result of the decontamination of the CMD for $\omega$ Cen, showing data with frame-to-frame errors in the $B$ and $V$ magnitudes of less than 0.05 mag after subtraction of the subset of field stars obtained from Figure 6. The resulting “cleaned” CMD has allowed us to obtain a more accurate distributions of bright stars on the RHB, AGB, and RGB.

3.3. Multiple Stellar Populations from Red-Giant Branches

One of the most notable features in our CMDs is the most metal-rich (MMR) sequence well separated from the bulk of bluer, more metal-poor stars, which was first discovered by Lee et al. (1999). The distinct feature of the MMR RGB sequence was later confirmed by Pancino et al. (2000) from their

| ID     | $x$     | $y$     | $V$     | $\sigma_{V}$ | $B - V$ | $\sigma_{(B-V)}$ |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|--------------|---------|-----------------|
| 1       | 1041.68 | -1702.05 | 9.458   | 0.008        | 0.460   | 0.013           |
| 2       | 4973.86 | -1018.14 | 10.406  | 0.008        | 0.295   | 0.009           |
| 3       | 2198.69 | 319.31  | 10.499  | 0.004        | 0.573   | 0.005           |
| 4       | 1825.23 | -3152.36 | 10.514  | 0.008        | 0.478   | 0.008           |
| 5       | 270.93  | 1119.84 | 10.770  | 0.003        | 0.531   | 0.004           |

Note.—Table 3 is presented in its entirety in the electronic edition of the Astronomical Journal. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content.
independent wide-field survey and could also be identified from other following surveys based on different photometric systems (e.g., Stro¨mgren system of Hilker & Richtler 2000; Washington system of Majewski et al. 2000; Frinchaboy et al. 2002).

To investigate the nature of the RGB, in Figure 8, we plot histograms of the RGB color distribution for two magnitude ranges ($12.5 < V < 13.0$ and $13.0 < V < 13.5$). Dereddened colors were derived, adopting $E(B-V)_0 = 0.12$ (Rey et al. 2000). The RGB stars are selected in a relatively narrow magnitude range, so that the field star and the RGB bump contamination is minimized, and which also avoids mixing of the RGB stars with different metallicities in the histogram. The presence of three pronounced RGBs [i.e., most metal-poor (MMP), metal-poor (MP), and metal-rich (MR) RGBs] can be identified, while the MMR component is not as well distinguished as it is in the CMDs due to the small sample size of such stars in these magnitude ranges.

Because the intrinsic color of the RGB will vary with its metallicity, we obtained mean $[\text{Fe/H}]$ abundances for the four main components using the relation between $[\text{Fe/H}]$ and $(B-V)_0$ at fixed magnitude, based on the data of GGCs compiled by Ferraro et al. (1999a, hereafter F99). The peaks in the histograms correspond to colors of fiducial lines of four components at $V = 12.75$ and $V = 13.25$, which were adjusted by eye. From RGB fiducial lines of 55 GGCs in the absolute plane of $[\text{Fe/H}], (B-V)_0$, which was kindly provided by F. R. Ferraro, we plotted the $(B-V)_0$ of the RGB measured at $M_V = -1.35$ and $-0.85$ as a function of $[\text{Fe/H}]$ in the Zinn & West (1984) scale. These two values of $M_V$ correspond to the mean magnitude of each magnitude range of Figure 8, adopting $(m-M)_V = 14.1$ (Rey et al. 2000). Finally, we derived relations of $[\text{Fe/H}]$ versus $(B-V)_0$ for GGCs by third-order polynomial fit (see also F99). We estimated their mean $[\text{Fe/H}]$ abundances as $[\text{Fe/H}]_{ZW} = -1.75, -1.45, -1.00,$ and $-0.55$, respectively, which are averaged from values obtained at two magnitude ranges using these relations. In Figure 8, we label the four main components and indicate their mean $[\text{Fe/H}]$ abundances.4 The $[\text{Fe/H}]$ values of the first three components agree well with those by Pancino et al. (2000) at $[\text{Fe/H}] = -1.7, -1.3,$ and $-0.8$, and by Frinchaboy et al. (2002) at $[\text{Fe/H}] = -1.6, -1.2,$ and $-0.9$. Our metallicity estimation for the MMR RGB component ($[\text{Fe/H}] = -0.55$) is also consistent

---

4 We compared photometric errors with the dispersions of Gaussian fitting for four RGB components in the histograms and found that the dispersions, which must arise from an intrinsic metallicity spread, are much larger (factor of 2–5) than the photometric errors.
with the value ([Fe/H] = −0.60) found by Pancino et al. (2002) from their high-resolution spectroscopy observations for these stars.5

In the light of the detection of four separate populations with different metallicities, we divided our photometry of the RGB stars into four different subpopulations (i.e., MMP, MP, MR, and MMR RGBs). This division of the RGB stars will be adopted for the following analyses of the RGB stars. We relied on the shape of isochrones to divide the RGB stars into four subpopulations directly in the CMD, assuming the shape of the cluster RGB with different metallicity can be adequately represented by isochrones. We selected $Y^2$ isochrones (Yi et al. 2001), which go through the dips in the histograms and fully cover the RGB color distribution of ω Cent. We fitted isochrones to quadratic or cubic relations and then, using these relations, divided all RGBs into four subpopulations in the plane of $M_V$ versus $(B-V)_0$. The metallicity for each subpopulation was empirically estimated using the relation of [Fe/H] versus $(B-V)_0$ of GGCs, as explained above. We obtained relations between [Fe/H] versus $(B-V)_0$ at $M_V = -1, 0,$ and +1. The metallicity for each RGB subpopulation was obtained at each magnitude, and then averaged. The mean [Fe/H] of the four subpopulations are $[\text{Fe/H}]_{\text{ZW}} = -1.8, -1.5, -1.1,$ and $-0.5$, respectively, and their uncertainties are 0.1–0.3 dex. These metallicities are, within the error, consistent with the ones obtained from the histogram of the color distribution (see Fig. 8).

Figure 9 shows a zoomed CMD of the RGB region which is divided into four RGB subpopulations. To estimate the reliability of our division of the RGB stars into four subpopulations, and of their estimated metallicities, we compared them with [Fe/H] values ([Fe/H]_{SK96}) for a set of giants (bright giants and subgiants), which were obtained from spectroscopic Ca abundances by Suntzeff & Kraft (1996, hereafter SK96). In Figure 9 we have marked the common stars observed by SK96 and us using different symbols for different metallicity groups: closed circles are stars with $[\text{Fe/H}]_{SK96} < -1.7$, crosses have $-1.6 < [\text{Fe/H}]_{SK96} < -1.3$, solid squares have $-1.3 < [\text{Fe/H}]_{SK96} < -0.7$, and triangles have $[\text{Fe/H}]_{SK96} > -0.7$. The giants belonging to the first three metal-poor groups of the SK96 sample are located consistently in our RGB sequences. However, the majority of the most metal-rich giants of SK96 deviate from the sequence of our MMR RGB. Most of these giants have $[\text{Fe/H}]_{SK96} > -0.3$ to $-0.6$, and therefore these should be located near our MMR RGB sequence, if our method dividing RGB subpopulations is reliable. It is worth noting that the Ca triplet calibrations adopted by SK96 are usually rather uncertain in the high-metallicity regime (see Norris et al. 1996; Pacino et al. 2002). Furthermore, from the first direct abundance determination

---

5 Because the color of the RGB also slightly depends on the age of the stars, we have to consider an effect of different age of the MMR RGB stars compared to the dominant metal-poor stars. Assuming the age of the MMP population is 12 Gyr and the MMR population is 4 Gyr younger than the MMP one (see §5), we calculate the offset in the RGB color associated with an offset in age as $(B-V) \sim 0.05$ mag at $M_V = -1$ using the $Y^2$ isochrones with $z = 0.005$ (Yi et al. 2001). This produces only about 0.1 dex offset in [Fe/H] of the MMR component (i.e., $[\text{Fe/H}] \sim -0.45$).

---

![Fig. 8.—(a) Zoomed CMD of the RGB region. To construct histograms of color distribution, the RGB stars are selected in a relatively narrow magnitude ranges shown by dashed lines. The arrow indicates the MMR RGB, well separated from the bulk of bluer metal-poor stars. (b) and (c) Color distributions of the RGB stars for two magnitude ranges. The number of four main components (1: MMP RGB, 2: MP RGB, 3: MR RGB, and 4: MMR RGB) and their [Fe/H] abundances are indicated.](image)

![Fig. 9.—Four subpopulations of the RGB stars in the CMD. The RGB subpopulations (i.e., MMP, MP, MR, and MMR RGBs) are divided by five RGB sequences (dashed lines) whose metallicities and shapes are determined by a semiempirical method (see text). The common stars observed by Suntzeff & Kraft (1996) and us are marked using different symbols for different metallicity groups.](image)
based on high-resolution spectroscopy for the most metal-rich RGBs (i.e., “RGB-a” stars), Pancino et al. (2002) obtained their mean metallicity as $\langle [\text{Fe/H}] \rangle \sim -0.60$, which is about $+0.3$ dex more metal-poor than one obtained from SK96 (see also Origlia et al. 2003). While SK96 obtained $[\text{Fe/H}] = -0.23$ and $-0.33$ for ROA 300 and ROA 371, respectively, Pancino et al. (2002) present their metallicities as $[\text{Fe/H}] = -0.77$ and $-0.95$, respectively, which are more consistent with their locations in the CMD. In any case, we believe this ambiguity of metallicities for a few of the brighter MMR RGB stars will not invalidate our analyses given below.

3.4. Red-Giant Branch Bumps

Most CMDs of GGCs show the intriguing feature of an RGB bump, which is an evolutionary pause on the first-ascent RGB (Thomas 1967; Iben 1968; Fusi Pecci et al. 1990; Cassisi & Salaris 1997). The number of observational detections of the RGB bumps for GGCs has grown recently, and the dependence of the RGB bump luminosities on their metallicities is now well established (Fusi Pecci et al. 1990; Cassisi & Salaris 1997; Alves & Sarajedini 1999; F99; Zoccali et al. 1999). The RGB bump of most GGCs generally is clumped as a result of the homogeneous metallicity among their stars. However, considering the wide spread of metallicity of the Cen RGB stars, one would expect a continuous and slanting RGB bump in the CMD of Cen, because the luminosity of the RGB bump becomes fainter as its metallicity increases. The large sample of stars, coupled with the high photometric accuracy, allowed us to identify this feature on the RGB of Cen. As one can see in our CMDs (e.g., Fig. 8), from $([B-V]_0, V) \sim (0.8, 14.3)$ to $(0.9, 14.9)$, an inclined sequence of the RGB bump is evident. The RGB bump of the MMR population with $[\text{Fe/H}] \sim -0.5$ is less clearly defined, as its sample is not populous, but probably is separated from the main feature of the RGB bump in the region of $([B-V]_0, V) \sim (0.95, 15.5)$.

To see the shape of the RGB bump in detail, we arbitrarily sliced the RGB into seven groups with different metallicities and then examined their RGB bump features in the differential luminosity functions (LFs). In the top panel of Figure 10 we present seven RGB groups. The division of different RGB groups and the determination of their metallicities (see Table 4) are the same as ones presented in §3.3. The LFs for seven RGB groups are presented in the bottom panel of Figure 10. These LFs were obtained by counting the number of stars in bins of 0.05 mag width in $V$. From the detection of slope change in the cumulative LF for each RGB group, we determined the magnitude of these RGB bumps. All bumps for the seven RGB groups are clearly defined and marked with arrows.

From our determination of luminosity and metallicity for seven RGB bumps of Cen, we constructed a plot of the RGB bump luminosity against metallicity and compared this with a general relation among GGCs, to see whether subpopulations in Cen follow this general trend. F99 gave a tight relation for the RGB bump luminosity ($\Delta V^{\text{bump}}_{\text{ZAHB}}$), in terms of the magnitude difference between the RGB bump ($V^{\text{bump}}$) and the zero-age horizontal-branch ($V_{\text{ZAHB}}$) in the RR Lyrae instability strip, as a function of metallicity using the data for 47 GGCs. In measuring the $\Delta V^{\text{bump}}_{\text{ZAHB}}$ of Cen, we follow the procedures consistent with those of F99. We adopted a single mean magnitude of the HB stars for all RGB bumps, excluding the most metal-rich one, as $\langle V_{\text{HB}} \rangle = 14.64 \pm 0.10$, which corresponds to the mean value for RR Lyrae stars at $[\text{Fe/H}] \sim -1.5$ (see Fig. 6b of Rey et al. 2000). It is difficult to select the MMR RHB clump stars, because the expected location of the RHB clump overlaps with that of the more metal-poor RGB stars in the CMD. Therefore, from our synthetic HB models (see §5.1), we estimated $\langle V_{\text{HB}} \rangle = 14.70 \pm 0.04$ for the mean magnitude of the RHB clump with $[\text{Fe/H}] \sim -0.5$ and age $\sim 8$ Gyr. It should be noted that metal-rich clusters with $[\text{Fe/H}] > -1.0$ have no RR Lyrae stars and their RHB magnitude would be brighter than that of the RR Lyrae stars, if some existed (see the discussion in Lee, Demarque, & Zinn).
1987, 1990; Castellani, Chieffi & Pulone 1991). To provide a consistent determination of \( \langle V_{HB} \rangle \) with those of the metal-poor HB, the \( \langle V_{HB} \rangle \) of the RHB clump at the level of the RR Lyrae instability strip was evaluated by adding 0.10 ± 0.05 mag (i.e., \( \langle V_{HB} \rangle = 14.80 \)), according to the suggestion by Fullton et al. (1995) and Cassisi & Salaris (1997). The \( \langle V_{HB} \rangle \) was converted to \( V_{ZAHB} \) magnitude as suggested by F99 (see their eq. [2]), and then finally, the \( \Delta V_{ZAHB} \) were derived. The \( \Delta V_{ZAHB} \) errors have been calculated by quadratically combining the errors on \( V_{ZAHB} \) and \( V_{bump} \), where the uncertainties of \( V_{bump} \) and \( V_{bump} \) are standard deviations of the mean and binning size of LF, respectively. Table 4 lists the relevant observational quantities for the RGB bumps of \( \omega \) Cen.

In Figure 11a, we present the parameter \( \Delta V_{ZAHB}^{bump} \) as a function of metallicity for the seven groups of the \( \omega \) Cen RGB (closed circles). The data for 42 GGCs are also plotted as open circles. The best fit to the data for GGCs obtained by F99 (see their Table 6) is also overplotted in the figure (dotted line). As shown in the figure, the behavior of \( \omega \) Cen regarding its relation between luminosity and metallicity of the RGB bumps shows excellent agreement with that for the GGCs. To avoid an uncertainty of ZAHB level of the MMR population predicted by our synthetic HB models, it is useful to see directly the absolute magnitude of the RGB bump \( M_V(bump) \) as a function of metallicity. In Figure 11b we plotted \( M_V(bump) \) against \( [Fe/H]_{ZW} \) for 42 GGCs from the catalog of F99 and superposed a best fit to the data as a dotted line, which shows a tight correlation. The RGB bumps with different metallicities of \( \omega \) Cen also follow the general relation found for GGCs in this diagram.

### 3.5. Horizontal-Branch Stars

From the distinct multiple populations of the \( \omega \) Cen RGB stars and their wide spread of metallicity, it is naturally expected that the HB distribution of \( \omega \) Cen should be represented by a mixture of different populations following the internal age-metallicity relation (Lee et al. 1999; Hughes & Wallerstein 2000; Hilker & Richtler 2000; see § 5 for details). Figure 12 shows the HB region of the CMD after subtraction of the field stars. In this figure the RR Lyrae variables are plotted using mean \( V \) and \( B-V \) values from our photometric data. The HB of \( \omega \) Cen is predominantly bluerward of the RR Lyrae instability strip, which is in agreement with the low metallicity of the majority population of this cluster. It is also clear that the BHB of \( \omega \) Cen shows a long tail of very blue stars, with gaps occurring at \( V \approx 16.6, 17.0, \) and 17.7. The overall HB distribution is consistent with the discrete nature of the RGB populations: there are BHB and RR Lyrae variables mainly associated with the metal-poor and old RGBs (i.e., MMP and MP RGBs), some RR Lyrae and RHB stars associated with the metal-rich and slightly younger population (i.e., MR RGB), and finally the RHB clump superposed on the RGB, which must be associated with the most metal-rich and younger population (i.e., MMR RGB; see § 5 for details).

The “cleaned” CMD has allowed us to obtain a more accurate distribution of the RHB stars which must be located from the red edge of the RR Lyrae instability strip to the base of the AGB stars. We defined RHB stars as having \( 14.20 < V < 14.85 \) and \( 0.55 < B-V < 0.71 \), marked by a box (dashed line) in the CMD. The CMD indicates that the RHB stars are loosely grouped and separated by a luminosity gap from stars at the base of the AGB. The vertical extent of

![Fig. 11. (a) The parameter \( \Delta V_{ZAHB}^{bump} \) as a function of metallicity in the Zinn & West (1984) scale for seven groups of the \( \omega \) Cen RGB bump (filled circles). The data for 42 GGCs compiled by Ferraro et al. (1999a) are plotted as open circles. The dotted line is the regression through these GGCs data. (b) Same as (a) but for absolute magnitude \( M_V(bump) \) of the RGB bump as a function of metallicity. Note that the behavior of the luminosities of the \( \omega \) Cen RGB bumps as a function of metallicity is in good agreement with that found for GGCs.](image-url)

| RGB Bump | \( V_{bump} \) | \( \Delta V_{ZAHB}^{bump} \) | \([Fe/H]_{ZW} \) |
|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1.        | 14.28 ± 0.05   | -0.44 ± 0.11   | -1.92 ± 0.14    |
| 2.        | 14.35 ± 0.05   | -0.36 ± 0.11   | -1.74 ± 0.11    |
| 3.        | 14.50 ± 0.05   | -0.20 ± 0.11   | -1.56 ± 0.14    |
| 4.        | 14.55 ± 0.05   | -0.15 ± 0.05   | -1.37 ± 0.13    |
| 5.        | 14.73 ± 0.05   | 0.02 ± 0.11    | -1.19 ± 0.13    |
| 6.        | 14.93 ± 0.05   | 0.21 ± 0.01    | -0.94 ± 0.21    |
| 7.        | 15.45 ± 0.05   | 0.55 ± 0.09    | -0.51 ± 0.29    |
the RHB stars in the CMD is about 0.7 mag. This indicates that the majority of these stars are metal-rich ([Fe/H] \sim -1.0) and have higher stellar masses, following blue loops prior to rapidly evolving redward (Lee & Demarque 1990; Yi, Demarque, & Kim 1997). Some bright RHB stars are probably not ZAHB stars, but may be MP ([Fe/H] \sim -1.5) stars that have evolved from the HBH, at the final phase of core helium burning, to the AGB (Lee et al. 1990). Several bright stars, about 0.7 mag above the mean magnitude of the RHB stars, with 0.58 < B-V < 0.73 and 13.5 < V < 14.0 are believed to be highly evolved low-mass stars from the MMP ([Fe/H] \sim -1.8) BHB. There is a group (13.95 < V < 14.60, 0.71 < B-V < 0.79) of stars slightly brighter than the RHB stars that are well separated from the base of the AGB but mixed with stars in the red edge of the RHB. They are probably the BSS progeny candidates evolved from the main population of the BSS (see § 3.6).

### 3.6. Blue Straggler Stars

Because of small sample size and large photometric errors, only a few BSSs have been reported from the early photometry for \( \omega \) Cen (e.g., Da Costa, Norris, & Villumsen 1986). Recently, Kaluzny et al. (1996, 1997) reported about 200 BSS candidates as a by-product of their OGLE project. Our photometry has also revealed a significant population of the BSS in \( \omega \) Cen. In our CMDs, most of the BSSs form a well defined sequence extending from the MSTO to about 2 mag brighter than the MSTO.

Figure 12 shows the BSS region of the CMD, where the total of 222 BSSs are plotted as closed circles. The definition of the “BSS region” is somewhat arbitrary at the edge between the BSS region and the upper MS. It should be noted that unlike the distribution of the BSSs in many other GCs, the blue edge of the BSS sequence of \( \omega \) Cen is clearly defined. We shall refer to it as the blue straggler main sequence (BSMS), following the suggestion of Mandushev et al. (1997) from a narrow and tight BSS distribution seen in M55. The BSS sequence redder than the BSMS shows a broad distribution in color. The spread in color is probably intrinsic and could be attributed to the effect of stellar evolution of the BSSs with different masses off the BSMS. The broadening may partially result from the wide spread of metallicity of \( \omega \) Cen, given that the mean location of the cluster BSS sequence is correlated with its metallicity, since the BSS sequences are progressively located to the red side with increasing cluster metallicity (Sarajedini & Da Costa 1991; Fusi Pecci et al. 1992). However, considering the fact that the metal-poor population comprises more than 80% of the \( \omega \) Cen population, this metallicity effect may be small.

In Figure 12 we overplot \( Y^2 \) isochrones (Yi et al. 2001) for the ZAMS (solid line) and a few different ages (2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 Gyr, long dashed lines) for the BSS region of the CMD. These isochrones match the MMP population of \( \omega \) Cen with \( Z = 0.0006 \) (see § 3.6), and correspond to a MSTO mass range of about 1.0–1.5 \( M_\odot \), consistent with the general expectation of higher masses for the BSSs than for the MS stars. We also plot the 12 Gyr isochrone (gray line) as a reference. The brightest BSS lies below the 2 Gyr isochrone, which suggests that the masses of the BSSs in our sample do not exceed 1.4 \( M_\odot \). In our final list of the BSSs we excluded a few bright stars which are located above the BSS sequence at \( V \sim 15.2-15.8 \), because of their large scatter from the main BSS sequence and their proximity to the HB.

There is an intriguing group of stars (crosses, Fig. 12) that are separated from the bulk of the main branch of the BSSs and lie above the cluster SGB in the region of 16.0 < \( V < 16.8 \) and 0.40 < \( B-V < 0.55 \). At first glance, these stars appear to lie in a series of distinct sequences evolving from the BSSs toward redder colors. They are unlikely to be field stars, because, at \( V \sim 16.5 \), they have bluer \( B-V \) colors than expected for the foreground field sequence. Such stars, so-called “yellow straggler stars” (YSSs), have been found in many clusters and interpreted as being an optical blend of BSSs with SGB stars (Ferraro, Fusi Pecci, & Buonanno 1992; Ferraro et al. 1992). The images of these stars were inspected on the CCD frames to check the possibility that our photometry of these stars has been affected by their neighboring stars. Most (about 70%) of these stars are found to be isolated and clearly defined. Hesser et al. (1984) explained the YSSs as being evolved BSSs, which are located between the BSSs and the cluster giant branch (e.g., post–mass-transfer binaries, Landsman et al. 1997). The lack of YSSs in many GCs is ascribed to the effects of rapid stellar evolution after the hydrogen exhaustion in the core of the BSS (Sarajedini & Da Costa 1991; Sandquist, Bolte, & Hernquist 1997). However, considering the large number of BSSs in \( \omega \) Cen, we cannot rule out the possibility that some of these stars are chemically unmixed structures evolved from the main BSS sequence (e.g., Sandquist et al. 1997). On the other hand, these YSSs appear to be separated from the main BSSs by a gap and are located about 0.7 mag brighter than the BSSs. This suggests that, alternatively, some of these stars might be
lead to different lifetimes and evolutionary tracks in the CMD and therefore lead to different LFs for the BSSs. The BSSs created by primordial binary mergers would be seen less often at the bright end of the LF compared with the BSSs created by stellar collisions. As shown in Figure 13, we obtained observed BSS LFs of ω Cen for the inner (< 3rc; top) and outer regions (>3rc; bottom) of the cluster, respectively, and compared those with the models of BP95. The error bars represent Poisson noise. The models of BP95 are represented by a dashed line (collisional model) and a dotted line (primordial binary merger model), respectively. Estimating the MSTO magnitude of ω Cen as V_{MSTO} = 18.2 from our photometry and assuming a differential bolometric correction of −0.1 mag between the BSS and the MSTO stars, we derive the BSS bolometric luminosity from the relation log (L/L_{MSTO}) = 0.4(V_{MSTO}−V−0.1), following BP95. The observed LFs of the inner and outer regions appear to be different from each other, at the 99.9% confidence level from the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test. While the BSSs in the inner region show a flat LF for all magnitudes, the BSS LF of the outer region has a shape of increasing numbers of stars with magnitude. Furthermore, the shape of the BSS LF of the inner region appears to be similar to that of the collisional model (dashed line), while the LF of the outer region resembles the merger model (dotted line). The comparison in Figure 13 presents observational support for the hypothesis that the origin of BSSs might be at least partially affected by overall environmental conditions even in different regions of a single GC (Ferraro et al. 1997b; Rey et al. 1998), in addition to the case of GCs with different overall structural properties (Fusi Pecci et al. 1992; Sarajedini 1993; Pietto et al. 1999).

4. RADIAL DISTRIBUTIONS

4.1. Red Giant Branch Stars

Norris et al. (1997) noted that the metal-rich RGB stars of ω Cen are more centrally concentrated than the metal-poor ones. From their wide-field observations, Hilker & Richtler (2000) also confirmed that the metal-rich RGBs with [Fe/H] < −0.9 are more centrally concentrated than the metal-poor counterpart with [Fe/H] < −1.8. However, they found that the most metal-rich RGB stars with [Fe/H] > −0.5 appear less concentrated than the other metal-poor populations. On the other hand, Pancino et al. (2000, 2003) suggested that their most metal-rich RGB stars (i.e., “RGB-a” stars) have a similar distribution with their intermediate-metallicity RGB ones, and both seem to be more centrally concentrated than the most metal-poor population.

The cumulative radial distributions of our four RGB subpopulations (see § 3.3) with different metallicities are plotted as a function of the projected radius in Figure 14a. We included only bright RGB stars with V < 15.0 for these distributions. As a reference, we present a distribution of the probable foreground field stars (thick gray line) extracted from our Ca and Strömgren by photometry (see § 3.2). The radial distribution of the selected field star candidates is uniform, and significantly different from those for the ω Cen RGB stars. Two-sided K-S tests show that the radial distribution of the MP RGB is different from that of the MPP and the MR RGB at the 77.4% and 57.2% confidence level, respectively. The radial distribution of the MR RGB is found to be different from that of the MPP RGB at the 96.9% confidence level. However, we could not confirm a central concentration of more metal-rich RGB stars compared with metal-poor counterparts.
as suggested by previous studies (Norris et al. 1997; Hilker & Richtler 2000; Pancino et al. 2000).

A notable feature of Figure 14a is the radial distribution of the MMR RGB with [Fe/H] \sim -0.5, which is different from those of other more metal-poor ones. The MMR RGB appears to marginally show a bimodal distribution. The cumulative radial distribution of the MMR RGB appears to be different from the more metal-poor ones. The cumulative radial distributions of the MMP and the MMR RGB stars in (b) the east and (c) the west field. The MMR RGB stars in the east field show a more prominent bimodal distribution compared to that in the west field.

![Fig. 14.—(a) Cumulative radial distributions of four RGB subpopulations with different metallicities. The thick gray line represents a distribution of the probable foreground field stars extracted from our Ca and Strömgren by photometry. The radial distribution of the MMR RGB appears to be different from the more metal-poor ones. The cumulative radial distributions of the MMP and the MMR RGB stars in (b) the east and (c) the west field. The MMR RGB stars in the east field show a more prominent bimodal distribution compared to that in the west field.](image)

As Figure 14a, we plot a x-y position map for the MMP (Fig. 15a) and the MMR (Fig. 15b) RGB stars with $V < 15.0$. A gap of the distribution in the MMR RGB stars toward the east direction, which can primarily explain this bimodal distribution, is visible. On the other hand, the MMP RGB stars show a different distribution without a hint of bimodality. For more clarity, in Figure 14b and Figure 14c, we also present cumulative radial distributions of the MMP and the MMR RGB stars in the regions of east and west direction, respectively.

![Fig. 15.—Spatial distributions of (a) the MMP RGB and (b) the MMR RGB stars with $V < 15.0$. There are more MMR RGB stars at higher radii to the east.](image)
4.2. Horizontal-Branch Stars

We present the radial distributions for the BHB and the RHB stars in Figure 16. To check if they are consistent with being drawn from the same parent distribution of the RGB, we also present radial distributions of two RGB populations (gray lines) with [Fe/H] $\sim -2.0$ to $-1.3$ (i.e., MMP and MP RGBs) and [Fe/H] $\sim -1.0$ (i.e., MR RGB) which must be associated with the BHB and the RHB, respectively. We have made the comparison for stars with a roughly equivalent magnitude range of $14.0 < V < 15.0$, so as to minimize a difference of completeness between the HB and the RGB stars. In Figure 16a, the BHB shows a consistent distribution with that of the MMP and the MP RGB populations. In contrast, the radial distribution of the RHB is somewhat different from that of the corresponding MR RGB (Fig. 16b), with the RHB (solid line) appearing to be more centrally concentrated than the MR RGB. A one-sided K-S test shows that the distribution of the RHB stars is different from that of the MR RGB stars at the 77.6% confidence level. In Figure 16c we also compared the radial distributions between the BHB and the RHB. The RHB shows more central concentration than the BHB with 89.2% K-S probability. This result is consistent with the situation for most dwarf galaxies in the local group (Harbeck et al. 2001).

We show a radial distribution of stars located in the hot and faint HB ($16.0 < V < 18.4, -0.40 < B-V < 0.15$) in Figure 17. We also plot a distribution of the MS and SGB stars in the same magnitude range of the hot HB stars. The MS and SGB stars have been chosen to lie within $0.05$ mag in $B-V$ of the cluster fiducial sequence.

6 It is difficult to find a reason for the inconsistency between the RHB and the MR RGB radial distributions. From an evident feature of the field star sequence extending through the RHB in the CMD (see Fig. 6, bottom), it might be suggested that some field stars from our selection could really be bona fide RHB stars, if our field star selection was overly restrictive. In this case, if we convert some field stars, whose radial distribution is uniform for all radii (see Fig. 14a), into RHB stars, the radial distribution of the RHB can be made similar to that of the MR RGB. However, we consider this explanation rather unlikely, considering the clear separation between the RHB and the field star candidates in the $hk$ versus $b-y$ distribution (see Fig. 6, top).

7 Although the MS and SGB stars have been selected around the fiducial, a small contamination by field stars might still be present for $V > 16$. 
more concentrated toward the cluster center than are the MS and SGB stars. From one-sided K-S test, we found that the central concentration of the hot HB stars is more significant with a 99.0% confidence level. This result is consistent with that of Bailyn et al. (1992) that extreme BHB stars of ω Cen are centrally concentrated with respect to the SGB and the BHB stars.

4.3. Blue Straggler Stars

We compare the radial distribution of the BSSs with that of the SGB/MS stars selected to have similar photometric uncertainty and completeness. The SGB/MS stars have been chosen to lie within ±0.05 mag in $B-V$ of the cluster fiducial sequence between $16.0 < V < 18.4$. Figure 18a shows the cumulative radial distribution of the BSSs (solid line) compared with the SGB/MS stars (dotted line). This figure appears to indicate that the radial distribution of the ω Cen BSSs is similar to that of the SGB/MS stars with similar magnitude and might seem to contradict the general results that the BSSs are more massive and more centrally concentrated than the other cluster stars, in the context of the BSS formation scenario as being due to the merger of close binary stars or to the direct collision of two or more stars (Stryker 1993; Bailyn 1995). In Figure 18b, to secure more stars in the central region, we present cumulative radial distributions for the BSSs and the SGB/MS stars with frame-to-frame error in the $B$ and $V$ magnitudes of less than 0.1 mag, which is larger than that used for our final good photometric list and Figure 18a. A K-S test applied to these two distributions indicates the BSSs to be more centrally concentrated than the SGB/MS stars at the 97.0% level. Although our results are based on data with some large errors, we suggest it cannot be ruled out that the radial distribution of the BSSs is different to that of the SGB/MS stars, the former being more concentrated toward the cluster center. The spatial distribution of the YSSs is compared with that of the bright ($16.0 < V < 17.2$) BSSs in Figure 18c. The YSSs appear to be more centrally concentrated than the bright BSSs. A one-sided K-S test shows that the distribution of the YSSs is different from that of the bright BSSs at the 95.3% significance level. This suggests that the YSSs are slightly higher mass single stars that evolved from the main BSS population, or, alternatively, may be the high-mass binary BSSs.

5. AGE-METALLICITY RELATION OF ω CENTAURI

For sufficiently old (>8 Gyr) stellar populations, evolutionary models (e.g., Lee et al. 1994) predict that the surface temperature distribution of the HB stars is very sensitive to age, which makes it an attractive age indicator from the interpretation of the HB morphology in the CMDs. For a fixed metal abundance, age differences of several billion years produce dramatic changes in the morphology of the HB in the sense that older clusters have bluer HBs. Our most recent update of the HB models makes the HB morphology more sensitive to age (see Fig. 9 of Rey et al. 2001) compared with our earlier models (Lee et al. 1994). For the case of clusters and dwarf galaxies with multiple stellar populations and internal age-metallicity relation among them, once we have information on metallicities of different populations it is possible to interpret an HB morphology in terms of relative age differences between different populations. In the following analysis using our updated synthetic HB models for ω Cen, we have estimated the age difference between four subpopulations from the HB morphology once a good match is obtained between the observed and model HB’s. In particular, we have made an estimation of the total age spread within ω Cen from the location of the RHB clump (i.e., the MMR RGB) associated with the MMR RGB with respect to the BHB associated with the MMP RGB.

5.1. Horizontal-Branch Models and Synthetic Color-Magnitude Diagram

We constructed synthetic CMDs of ω Cen using our most updated version of the HB population models based on the Y2 isochrones (Yi et al. 2001) and corresponding HB evolutionary tracks (Yi, Demarque, & Kim 1997). First, we assumed the absolute age of the oldest GGCs and the MMP population of ω Cen is about 12 Gyr, as suggested by the
Hipparcos distance calibration and other improvements in stellar models (Gratton et al. 1997; Reid 1998; Chaboyer et al. 1998; Grundahl, VandenBerg, & Andersen 1998; Yi et al. 2001). We assumed primordial helium abundance $Y_p = 0.23$ and a helium enrichment factor $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 2$, respectively (see Yi et al. 2001). We adopted $[\alpha/Fe] = 0.3$ for the three metal-poor populations with $[Fe/H] < -0.8$ (Norris & Da Costa 1995; Smith et al. 2000), and we assumed that it declines to 0.1 for the most metal-rich population of $\omega$ Cen (Pancino et al. 2002; Origlia et al. 2003). The treatment suggested by Salaris, Chieffi, & Straniero (1993) was used to simulate the effect of $\alpha$-element enhancement. The empirical mass-loss law of Reimers (1975) was used to consider the effect of age and metallicity on the mass-loss from the RGB to the HB. The reader is referred to Lee et al. (1990, 1994) and Park & Lee (1997) for the details of model constructions (see also Rey et al. 2001).

After a series of model calculations with various combinations of metallicity and age, we found our best estimates for the metallicities and ages of four distinct subpopulations as $[Z, \text{Age (Gyr)}] = (0.0006, 12), (0.001, 12), (0.002, 10.8)$, and $(0.006, 8)$. The metallicities correspond to the $[Fe/H]$ obtained from the peak values in the color distribution of the RGB stars (see Fig. 8). Figure 19, the observed CMD of $\omega$ Cen (gray dots) is overplotted by our synthetic HB models, which include the scatter expected from the random errors in magnitude and in color as estimated by our photometry. We also overplotted four $Y^2$ isochrones representing four distinct populations. One can see from Figure 19 that the HB morphology produced by our synthetic HB models is consistent with the observed complex nature of the RGB (see also Fig. 1 of Ree et al. 2002). The RHB clump associated with the MMR RGB overlaps in the CMD with the RGB bumps of the less metal-rich populations. Note again that the BHB is from the combination of the metal-poor and old populations (i.e., MMP and MP RGBs), the RHB is from the metal-rich population (i.e., MR RGB), and finally the RHB clump is from the most metal-rich and younger population (i.e., MMR RGB).

5.2. Age-Metallicity Relation

RR Lyrae stars are intrinsically an abundance-biased sample because of the failure of the metal-rich RHB stars to penetrate into the instability strip. Furthermore, the frequency of RR Lyrae stars at a given metallicity depends on the HB morphology, as well as the metallicity distribution of the underlying stellar population (e.g., Lee 1992 for RR Lyrae stars in Baade’s window). Our models suggest that the RR Lyrae variables are mainly produced by the two metal-poor (MMP and MP; $Z = 0.0006, 0.001$) populations with similar ages ($\sim 12$ Gyr), and some RR Lyraes are from the younger ($\sim 10.8$ Gyr) metal-rich (MP; $Z = 0.002$) population, which is consistent with the metallicity measurements of these stars (Butler et al. 1978; Gratton et al. 1986; Rey et al. 2000). For the RR Lyrae stars in $\omega$ Cen, we have new metallicity measurements from our Ca and Strömgren $b$ photometry (Rey et al. 2000), and therefore a more detailed comparison with the models is possible. We confirm that our models reproduce the luminosity versus metallicity and the period-shift versus metallicity relations of the RR Lyrae variables in $\omega$ Cen, including the sudden upturn of the RR Lyrae luminosity and corresponding increase in period-shift at $[Fe/H] \sim -1.5$, which is a result of redward evolution from the BHB (see Rey et al. 2000; Yoon & Lee 2002). This suggests we have a very good understanding of what is happening in the instability strip of $\omega$ Cen.

The estimated ages of the MP and the MR populations in our HB models are based on the observational relations, such as luminosity versus metallicity and period-shift versus metallicity of the RR Lyrae stars in $\omega$ Cen (Rey et al. 2000). The suggested age (12 Gyr) of the MP population is supported by the observed number of the RR Lyrae stars in the range of $[Fe/H] \sim -2.0$ to $-1.5$. If the age of the MP population is younger than the MMR population by 1 Gyr, for example more HB stars of the MP population could penetrate into the instability strip and the number of the RR Lyrae stars would be increased, which is not consistent with the observational results. The HB color distribution of the MR component ($Z = 0.002$) in our models is almost centered at the red edge ($B-V = 0.5$) of the instability strip with same number of stars on either sides. The observational data suggest that some metal-rich RR Lyrae stars with $[Fe/H] \sim -1.0$ do exist and they would be unevolved ZAHB stars (see Rey et al. 2000). With these constraints from the RR Lyrae distribution in $\omega$ Cen, we estimate the age of the MR population to be about 1.2 Gyr younger than the MMP and the MP populations.8

Our models also reproduce $\sim 150$ RHB clump stars associated with the MMR RGB stars, but only when the age of the MMR population is somewhat younger (a few gigayears) than the BHB can be reasonably fitted by HB models with metal-poor and old populations, the model RHB stars ($\sim 80$ stars) are too many to be explained by the number of observed stars ($\sim 50$ stars). Furthermore, the observed mean luminosity of the RHB stars is brighter than the expected ZAHB levels by about 0.2 mag. As shown in Fig. 12, we suggest some bright stars in the region of the RHB might be old metal-poor stars which have evolved from the BHB toward the AGB. Although there are some discrepancies between models and observations for the MR RHB stars, we strongly suggest that the MR RHB stars are not more than $\sim 2$ Gyr younger than the MMP population.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig19.png}
\caption{HB population models for $\omega$ Cen (MMP, MP, MR, and MMR) plotted over the observed CMD. We also overplot $Y^2$ isochrones representing four distinct populations. The instability strip is schematically represented by solid lines. Four populations with different metallicities and ages can reproduce the observed discrete nature of the RGB and the HB morphology (see text). The RHB clump associated with the MMR RGB is located in the region overlapping with the RGB bumps of the more metal poor populations.}
\end{figure}
the MMP population. This is illustrated in Figure 20, where we can see the variation of the RHB clump location in the CMD under different assumptions regarding the age difference between the MMP and the MMR populations. These figures illustrate the relative age estimation from the location of the RHB clump associated with the MMR RGB with respect to the BHB and the MMP RGB stars. Figure 20a shows the case where all the stars in ω Cen have the same age despite their different metallicities. However, from the shape of the LF of the MMP RGB (see the LF of the RGB1 in Fig. 10), we can rule out the case of no age difference between the ω Cen populations, because the observed LF does not show a prominent peak at $V \sim 14.8$, which corresponds to the mean magnitude of the RHB clump predicted by our models. Furthermore, this predicts the blue part of the RHB clump should be clearly bluer than the MMP RGB sequence at the level of the HB. Age differences greater than 6 Gyr (Fig. 20d) can also be excluded from the observed LF of the RGB (RGB6 of Fig. 10), because the observed LF of the RGB does not show a significant peak at $V \sim 14.7$ due to enhancement of the RGB by the RHB clump. On the other hand, the observed CMDs do not show a spur of the MMR MSTO stars rising above the MMP SGB stars, similar to the feature corresponding to the metal-rich and young ($Δt \sim 7$ Gyr) population seen in the CMD of the Sagittarius dwarf galaxy (see Layden & Sarajedini 2000). Therefore, we conclude that the acceptable range of age differences are $Δt \sim 2–4$ Gyr as shown in Figures 20b and 20c.

This conclusion is somewhat affected by the presence of the RGB bumps associated with the discrete RGBs (see § 3.4), because the expected location of the RHB clump overlaps with that of the more metal-poor RGB bump on the CMD. Therefore, it is important to discriminate the RHB clump from the more metal-poor RGB bumps. The variation in mean colors of the RHB clumps as well as in the MSTO luminosities of the MMR population.

![Fig. 20.](image-url) Models illustrating the estimation of age difference between the BHB associated with the MMP ($Z = 0.0006$) component and the RHB clump associated with the MMR ($Z = 0.006$) population assuming the fixed age (12 Gyr) for the MMP population.
an inclined sequence due to the metallicity spread of the ω Cen RGB stars. A smaller box indicates the predicted location of the RHB clump with [Fe/H] ~ −0.5, from our synthetic HB models, which is younger (Δt ~ 4 Gyr) than the MMP population. As shown in the top panel, if Δt ~ 4 Gyr, then the RHB clump is predicted to be superposed on the RGB bump of the intermediate-metallicity (−1.5 < [Fe/H] < −1.0) population, and therefore we could expect an enhancement of stars on the intermediate-metallicity RGB by the RHB clump stars. In the bottom panel, we compared normalized LFs of the metal-poor ([Fe/H] < −1.5) RGB stars (dashed line) with that of the intermediate-metallicity RGB ones (solid line). The LF of the metal-poor RGB is shifted horizontally to make its RGB bump coincide with that of the intermediate-metallicity RGB. An arrow indicates the predicted location of the RHB clump from our models, which is younger (Δt ~ 4 Gyr) than the MMP population. It is worthwhile to note that the peak of the intermediate-metallicity RGB bump appears to be higher than that of the metal-poor one, indicating that the RHB clump stars might enhance the intermediate-metallicity RGB bump feature as a result of their similar locations. A one-sided K-S test shows that the peak of the LF of the intermediate-metallicity RGB is higher than that of the metal-poor one at the 99.5% significance level. In the case of Δt ~ 2 Gyr from our HB models, we did not find a significant enhancement of the LF of the RGB. From this and similar analyses under different assumptions regarding Δt, we conclude that a Δt of ~ 4 Gyr is our best estimate for the age spread within ω Cen, in the sense that the MMR population is younger.

Our conclusion is in qualitative agreement with the results based on the Strömgren photometry of MS stars (Hughes & Wallerstein 2000; Hilker & Richtler 2000). Recently, from their preliminary results obtained from AAT 2dF observations for a sample redward of the MS, Cannon et al. (2002) found that faint and red members of ω Cen, which must be the most metal-rich and young population in the vicinity of the SGB, do indeed exist. In Table 5 we summarize our final suggestions on the age-metallicity relation and characteristics of the four distinct populations in ω Cen.

6. DISCUSSION

6.1. The Origin of ω Centauri

The recent discovery of multiple stellar populations and the internal age-metallicity relation of ω Cen found in our work and others (Lee et al. 1999; Pancino et al. 2000; Hilker & Richtler 2000; Hughes & Wallerstein 2000), resembling the characteristics of the Sagittarius dwarf galaxy, suggest that ω Cen was massive enough for the processes of self-enrichment and several bursts of star formation to proceed over several gigayears. These properties of ω Cen are also consistent with the characteristics of Local Group dwarf spheroidal galaxies, which are known to have complex star formation

| Population             | [Fe/H] | Z  | Age (Gyr) | RR Lyraes | Remark               |
|------------------------|--------|----|-----------|-----------|----------------------|
| 1: MMP RGB and BHB     | −1.8   | 0.0006 | 12        | Many      | Age from Y^2 isochrones |
| 2: MP RGB and BHB      | −1.5   | 0.001 | 12        | Many      | Δt from HB models      |
| 3: MR RGB and RHB      | −1.0   | 0.002 | 10.8      | Few       | Δt from HB models      |
| 4: MMR RGB and RHB clump | −0.5   | 0.006 | 8         | None      | Δt from HB models and RGB LF |
The relatively extended enrichment period of \( \sim 4 \) Gyr, then, indicates that the initial evolution of \( \omega \) Cen occurred away from the dense central regions of the young Milky Way, because at smaller galactocentric distances, such as the current location of \( \omega \) Cen, we would expect the gaseous materials to have been stripped from the proto \( \omega \) Cen on a much shorter timescale as a result of disk shocking or similar processes. This view is not inconsistent with the rather unusual kinematics and retrograde orbit of \( \omega \) Cen, which would allow the proto \( \omega \) Cen to have a long orbital decay time (Dinescu, Girard, & van Altena 1999; Dinescu 2002; Mizutani, Chiba, & Sakamoto 2003). This scenario is also supported by the interesting result that the \( x \)-process elements are enriched over the whole [Fe/H] range (Norris & Da Costa 1995; Smith et al. 2000), which implies a sufficient time interval (order of \( 2-3 \) Gyr) to allow the formation of the low-mass (1–3 \( M_\odot \)) AGB stars from interstellar gas retained in the deep potential well.

From the apparently discrete nature of the RGB in the CMD, as well as dynamical and metallicity peculiarities between RGBs with different metallicities, it might be suggested that \( \omega \) Cen could be a merger of two or several GCs (Norris et al. 1997; Smith et al. 2000; see also Catelan 1997). But mergers of clusters are very unlikely, if not impossible, in the Milky Way, considering the rather high velocities between the clusters in the halo. Furthermore, the broad metallicity distribution of the \( \omega \) Cen RGB cannot be explained by simple merging of monometallic GCs (Pancino et al. 2002). We cannot rule out this scenario in dwarf galaxies, however, because the internal velocity dispersion is much lower than in the Milky Way (van den Bergh 1996; Thurl & Johnston 2002; Fellhauer & Kroupa 2003).

On the other hand, the origin and evolution of the MMR population with [Fe/H] \( \sim -0.5 \) may play a key role in the picture of the formation and evolution of \( \omega \) Cen. Pancino et al. (2000) and Hilker & Richtler (2000) found that the spatial distribution of the MMR population is significantly different from that of the MMP one. Furthermore, Norris et al. (1997) earlier reported that the metal-rich stars do not show rotation, whereas the metal-poor ones do rotate. These studies suggest that the MMR population may have a different formation mechanism with respect to the bulk of the cluster population. Within the hypothesis that \( \omega \) Cen is the relic of a larger galaxy and was disrupted by the Galactic tidal field, Ferraro et al. (2002) suggested that the MMR stars were part of a small independent stellar system that has been accreted by the proto larger \( \omega \) Cen. They propose this idea as an example of hierarchical merging on the subgalactic scale.

Alternatively, the observational results of cold kinematics and central concentration for more metal-rich stars with respect to the metal-poor ones can lead to the speculation that the MMR stars were formed from the chemically enriched gas, which has selectively low angular momentum and was moved to the center of the cluster (Norris et al. 1997; Freeman 2002). This may be possible from the barlike asymmetry in the inner region that occurred in the process of the interactions between \( \omega \) Cen and the Milky Way (Norris et al. 1997; Mayer et al. 2001a, 2001b). Close to the pericentric passage, \( \omega \) Cen could develop a central bar, allowing part of the gas to funnel into the center of \( \omega \) Cen and generating one burst of star formation. Stars in this central region could more efficiently lose their angular momentum because of the bar instability (Mayer et al. 2001a, 2001b). Probably the past “tidally stirred” interaction between \( \omega \) Cen and the Milky Way has disrupted the previously smooth and well-ordered distributions of the metal-poor populations in \( \omega \) Cen and made it more efficient to trigger star formation of the MMR stars. If this is the case, the overall star formation of \( \omega \) Cen must be an outside-in process. The initial star formation of the old MMP and MP populations occurred over the whole proto \( \omega \) Cen far away from the young Milky Way, and subsequent bursts of the young and more metal-rich populations were progressively more centrally concentrated, which may be dynamically connected to interactions with the Milky Way (Mayer et al. 2001a, 2001b; see also Strader et al. 2003 for the Fornax dwarf galaxy). If the MMR population was formed during the accretion to the Milky Way, the age of the MMR population may provide a hint of time taken for \( \omega \) Cen to be accreted into the Galaxy.

While the detailed mechanism of star formation in \( \omega \) Cen is not currently clear, all of above information strongly suggests that \( \omega \) Cen is a relic or nucleus of a disrupted dwarf galaxy. The cases of \( \omega \) Cen and the Sagittarius dwarf system therefore provide direct evidence for past and continuing accretion of protogalactic fragments, which suggests that similar accretion events may have continued throughout the history of Milky Way formation in the context of surviving “building blocks” of large galaxies (e.g., Searle & Zinn 1978; Larson 1988; Zinn 1993).

6.2. Other Globular Clusters with Metallicity Spread and Multiple Populations?

Finally, it is of interest to note that \( \omega \) Cen and M54, now proposed as possible nuclei or parts of disrupted dwarf galaxies, are respectively the most massive and second most massive GC in our Galaxy. Thus, it is interesting to examine other massive GCs for indications that they have similar histories, and of these NGC 6388 and NGC 6441 deserve detailed examination. They are the third and fifth most massive GCs, respectively, and they both have very peculiar bimodal HB distributions. In particular, they show color spreads among the RGB stars that appear to be larger than the effects expected from photometric errors and differential reddenings (Rich et al. 1997). Moreover, recent studies also have suggested a possible intrinsic spread in metallicity of these two clusters, although direct star-by-star metallicity determinations need to be made (Piotto et al. 1997; Pritzl et al. 2001; Sweigart 2002).

Our population models for these unusual clusters show that the adoption of two distinct populations within the systems and very mild internal age-metallicity relations between the two populations can reproduce the observed features on the HB and the RGB (Ree et al. 2002; Yoon, Ree, & Lee 2003). The age and metallicity spreads, which are required to reproduce their CMD morphologies, are only about 1.2 Gyr and 0.15 dex for both clusters. This conclusion is also supported by the fact that the mean period of RR Lyrae variables in these clusters is too long for their high metallicities ([Fe/H] \( \sim -0.5 \) to \(-0.6\), Pritzl et al. 2000), which is understood in the two populations scenario if the RR Lyraes are highly evolved stars from the older and metal-poor BHB population.

It is worth noting that the most recent observations of the GC G1 in M31, which is more than twice as massive as \( \omega \) Cen, also shows intrinsic metallicity dispersion (Meylan et al. 2001), implying a deep potential well enables massive clusters to retain enriched gas. It is suggestive, therefore, that there are additional GCs in the Milky Way and M31 that are the relics of disrupted dwarf galaxies, as are probably \( \omega \) Cen and M54.
6.3. Classification of the Galactic Halo Globular Cluster System

Our conclusions on the origin of $\omega$ Cen and other massive GCs, when combined with other recent findings on the origin of the GGC system, suggest that the present-day Galactic halo GCs can be subdivided into three different types:

1. Clusters formed in a collapsing proto-Galaxy (e.g., Eggen, Lynden-Bell, & Sandage 1962). They are located mostly in the inner halo and are only genuine GGCs, in the classic sense.

2. Clusters originally formed in satellite dwarf galaxies later accreted to the Milky Way. They include GCs (Ter 7, Ter 8, Arp 2, and Pal 12) belonging to the Sagittarius dwarf galaxy (Ibata, Irwin, & Gilmore 1994; Ibata et al. 1997; Bellazzini, Ferraro, & Ibata 2003 and references therein), and young outer halo clusters with retrograde motion (Zinn 1993; van den Bergh 1993). Most recently, Yoon & Lee (2002) also reported very compelling evidence that metal-poor ([Fe/H] $\lesssim -2.0$) Oosterhoff II clusters have the positional and orbital characteristics fully consistent with the hypothesis that they originated from a satellite galaxy such as the Magellanic Clouds.

3. Nuclei (or relicts) of disrupted dwarf galaxies. $\omega$ Cen and M54, the nucleus of the Sagittarius dwarf, are belonging to this type. Our population models suggest other massive GCs with bimodal HBs (e.g., NGC 6388 and NGC 6441) might also be included in this category.

7. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we present wide-field and high-precision $BV$ photometry for $\omega$ Cen, which represents one of the most extensive photometric surveys yet obtained for this cluster. We draw the following conclusions:

1. From our Ca and Strömgren $bvy$ photometry, we removed foreground field populations with $V < 16.0$ and [Fe/H] $\geq -0.6$ dex in the CMD. The distinctly different distributions between $\omega$ Cen and the foreground field stars in the $hk$ versus $b-v$ diagram, which is correlated with metallicity, has allowed us to discriminate the foreground field stars from the member stars of $\omega$ Cen and then to construct decontaminated CMDs.

2. The "cleaned" CMDs of $\omega$ Cen show the presence of several RGBs. Notably, there exists a prominent feature of the MMR ([Fe/H] $\sim -0.5$) RGB, which is clearly separated and far redder away from the main metal-poor RGBs. From the histograms of the RGB color distribution, we confirmed the existence of multiple stellar populations. The HB distribution is also consistent with the multimodal nature of the RGB. The evidence of discrete RGBs and corresponding HBs suggests that star formation in $\omega$ Cen occurred in successive bursts.

3. We identified for the first time a continuous and slanting RGB bump in the CMD of $\omega$ Cen, which is a unique feature among GGCs and is due to the metallicity difference between the stars. We found that multiple peaks of the RGB bump in the differential LF and the luminosities of these peaks depend on their metallicities, which is in good agreement with observational data among GGCs. All of these findings again allow us to confirm the existence of complex and multiple stellar populations with a wide spread in metallicity within $\omega$ Cen.

4. Our photometry has revealed a significant population of the BSSs in $\omega$ Cen. We found an intriguing group of stars, clearly separated from the main branch of the BSSs and lying above the cluster SGB, which may be stars evolved from the main BSS sequence. We also detected the BSS progeny candidates of $\omega$ Cen, which are slightly brighter than the normal RHB stars and well separated from the AGB base. From the different shape of the observed BSS LFs for the inner and outer regions and comparison with the models, we found that there might be two different origins of the BSSs in $\omega$ Cen.

5. From our population models, we confirmed that four distinct populations with different metallicities can reproduce the observed multimodal nature of the RGB and the corresponding HB distributions. We determined the age-metallicity relation between the four distinct subpopulations in $\omega$ Cen by comparing the observed HB distribution with our population models. We suggest that the MMR ([Fe/H] $\sim -0.5$) population is a few billion years younger ($\Delta t \sim 4$ Gyr) than the MMP ([Fe/H] $\sim -1.8$) population. A consistent picture of the evolved stars in $\omega$ Cen is given by the presence of (1) a metal-poor population of [Fe/H] $\sim -1.8$ to $-1.3$ and age $\sim 12$ Gyr with exclusively BHB and blue side of the RGB (MMP and MP subpopulations), (2) a metal-rich population of [Fe/H] $\sim -1.0$ and age $\sim 11$ Gyr with the RHB and red side of the RGB (MR subpopulation), and (3) the most metal-rich population of [Fe/H] $\sim -0.5$ and age $\sim 8$ Gyr required to explain the distinctly separated RGB sequence and its RHB clump visible in the more metal-poor RGB bump region (MMR subpopulation). From the discovery of several distinct populations and the internal age-metallicity relation found in our work and others (Lee et al. 1999; Pancino et al. 2000; Hilker & Richtler 2000; Hughes & Wallerstein 2000), we suggest $\omega$ Cen was once part of a more massive system that merged with the Milky Way, as the Sagittarius dwarf galaxy is in the process of doing now.

New observational data are required to confirm our conclusions presented above. In particular, detection of any RHB clump stars residing among more metal-poor RGB stars would be possible via a spectroscopic and/or spectrophotometric (e.g., $uvby$ Strömgren photometry) survey for stars around the RHB clump region in the CMD. From derived metallicity and gravity values, the RHB clump stars could be directly discriminated from the more metal-poor RGB stars. This would clarify the age-metallicity relation suggested by our models, which is based on the location of the RHB clump in the CMD.
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