Nonlinear models in the height description of the Rhino sunflower cultivar
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ABSTRACT: Sunflower produces achenes and oil of good quality, besides serving for production of silage, forage and biodiesel. Growth modeling allows knowing the growth pattern of the crop and optimizing the management. The research characterized the growth of the Rhino sunflower cultivar using the Logistic and Gompertz models and to make considerations regarding management based on critical points. The data used came from three uniformity trials with the Rhino confectionery sunflower cultivar carried out in the experimental area of the Federal University of Santa Maria - Campus Frederico Westphalen in the 2019/2020 agricultural harvest. In the first, second and third trials 14, 12 and 10 weekly height evaluations were performed on 10 plants, respectively. The data were adjusted for the thermal time accumulated. The parameters were estimated by ordinary least square’s method using the Gauss-Newton algorithm. The fitting quality of the models to the data was measured by the adjusted coefficient of determination, Akaike information criterion, Bayesian information criterion, and through intrinsic and parametric nonlinearity. The inflection points (IP), maximum acceleration (MAP), maximum deceleration (MDP) and asymptotic deceleration (ADP) were determined. Statistical analyses were performed with Microsoft Office Excel® and R software. The models satisfactorily described the height growth curve of sunflower, providing parameters with practical interpretations. The Logistics model has the best fitting quality, being the most suitable for characterizing the growth curve. The estimated critical points provide important information for crop management. Weeds must be controlled until the MAP. Covered fertilizer applications must be carried out between the MAP and IP range. ADP is an indicator of maturity, after reaching this point, the plants can be harvested for the production of silage without loss of volume and quality.
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INTRODUCTION

Sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) is an annual broadleaf crop belonging to the Asteraceae family, known worldwide for producing achenes and oil of the highest quality (KOUTROUBAS et al., 2020). This species has a great productive ability, being used for medicinal and ornamental purposes,
silage and forage production, green manure, bioremediation, biofuel production, among others (HESAMI et al., 2015; AMORIM et al., 2020; IRAM et al., 2020).

About 10% of the world’s annual sunflower production is destined for non-oil purposes, this demand being met by confectionery genotypes that are characterized by having greater stature of larger plants and seeds with lower oil contents and higher protein contents (HLADNI et al., 2011). Height of plants is one of the most important characters for confectionery sunflower genotypes (PEKCAN et al., 2015; HLADNI et al., 2016), as it correlates with characters such as stem diameter, number of leaves, chapter diameter, seed yield per plant and oil and protein contents (PIVETTA et al., 2012; YANKOV & TAHSIN, 2015).

Low water availability and incidence of pests are responsible for lower productivity and retraction of sunflower’s planted area (CONAB, 2020). One way to overcome these difficulties is to seek greater knowledge about how the crop responds to the environment in which it is inserted, aiming to adapt and improve management techniques through growth models. Therefore, modeling becomes an indispensable tool to characterize plant growth and development (STRECK et al., 2008).

Nonlinear models have been used to characterize the growth of many crops such as coffee (FERNANDES et al., 2014), cocoa (MUNIZ et al., 2017), tomato (SARI et al., 2019), sugar cane (JANE et al., 2020), among others. Nonlinear, Logistic and Gompertz models are the most used since they provide a better fit compared to linear models in growth studies and for having parameters with practical and biological interpretation (MAZZINI et al., 2003). Both models have a sigmoidal shape (“S” shape), presenting a slow initial growth, increasing until reaching the so-called inflection point, and decreasing again until reaching its asymptotic limit (MISCHAN & PINHO, 2014). The Logistic model is characterized for being symmetrical in relation to the inflection point, that is, at the inflection point, 50% of the upper asymptote is reached, while in the Gompertz model the inflection point is reached at 37% of the upper asymptote, where there is a change in the concavity of the curve and the growth rate starts to decrease (FERNANDES et al., 2014; JANE et al., 2020).

The critical points in non-linear models have been used in many studies in agricultural sciences, as it provides relevant information on crop management. In this sense, CARINI et al. (2020), used inflection points, maximum acceleration and maximum deceleration to make inferences about the growth and behavior of three lettuce cultivars. In turn, KLEINPAUL et al. (2019), besides using inflection points, maximum acceleration and maximum deceleration, made use of the asymptotic deceleration point to describe the accumulation of fresh and dry rye mass. Therefore, this study was to characterized the growth of the confectionary sunflower cultivar Rhino by nonlinear Logistic and Gompertz models and to make considerations regarding management based on critical points of the models.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

During the 2019/2020 agricultural harvest, three uniformity trials (experiments without treatments) were carried out with sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) in the experimental area of the Federal University of Santa Maria – Frederico Westphalen-RS-Brazil. The area’s soil is classified as Red Latosol and the climate is characterized by Köppen as Cfa (ALVARES et al., 2013). Sowing was performed on September 23, 2019 (First), October 7, 2019 (Second) and October 23, 2019 (Third) using the confectionary sunflower cultivar Rhino, with 0.5 m spacing between rows and 0.33 m between plants.

Sowing was performed manually with two seeds per point and subsequent thinning to obtain the recommended population of 60,000 plants.ha⁻¹. Each trial consisted of a strip of 250 m², containing 10 rows (5 m) per 50 m in length. Fertilization was carried out according to soil analysis and recommendations for the crop (COFS, 2016), with 10 kg.ha⁻¹ of N, 70 kg.ha⁻¹ of K₂O and 60 kg.ha⁻¹ of P₂O₅ applying at sowing and 50 kg.ha⁻¹ of N at 30 days after emergence. All cultural treatments were performed uniformly in the experimental area. Height was assessed weekly, destructively on 10 plants per trial, collected at random, with 14, 12 and 10 assessments for the first, second and third trials, respectively.

Height data were adjusted according to the accumulated thermal sum (TSA), calculated according to the method of GILMORE & ROGERS (1958) and ARNOLD (1959), with a base temperature of 4.2 °C according to determinations made by SENTELHAS et al. (1994). Logistic and Gompertz models were used according to the equations

\[ y_i = \frac{a}{1 + e^{-b(x - x_i)}} + e_i \]

\[ y_i = a + b e^{\alpha(x - x_i)} + e_i \]

respectively, where \( y_i \) represents the observed height values (dependent variable) for \( i = 1, 2, ..., n \) observations, and \( x_i \) is the \( i \)th time measurement of the independent
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RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The models did not deviate from the normality, homogeneity and independence assumptions, as the values of the Shapiro-Wilk, Durbin-Watson and Breusch-Pagan tests had a statistical p-value<0.05. These results are in agreement with those of CARINI et al. (2020) when using nonlinear models to describe the growth of lettuce cultivars. The Gompertz model stim or greater height asymptotic values (parameter b) for the third trial and the fourth situation (All) using all trial, compared to the Logistic model (Table 1). The Logistic model estimates higher b values for the second and third trials and the Gompertz model estimates higher values of parameter b for the first trial. The c values estimated for Logistics were higher in all trials.

When comparing the Logistic model between trials, the estimates of the first and third trials are the same for all parameters, based on the overlapping of confidence intervals (CI), used by WHEELERN et al. (2006), BEM et al. (2017) and CARINI et al. (2020). According to these authors, when at least one parameter estimate is contained within the CI of the other, the difference is not significant. So, the estimated values of 197.357 cm and 202.866 cm for a, respectively, in the first and third trials did not differ. The estimates for the second trial are for plants with reduced height asymptotic (192.058 cm), but with no significant differences for b and c in relation to the first and third trials (Table 1).

Gompertz model estimated different a and b parameters for all trials (Table 1). The asymptotic height values were 201.088, 195.617 and 213.101 cm, respectively for the first, second and third trial. The b parameter differed between the trials, being more variable for the Gompertz model. SARI et al. (2019) used nonlinear models to describe the accumulated tomato production in successive harvests and named b as a “scale parameter”, associated with the degree of maturation (initial production), however, this approach does not apply to sunflower height growth. According to CARINI et al. (2020), the estimate of b, in theory, provides a concept of the ratio between the initial values and the amount left to reach the asymptote.

The values of parameter c, related to precocity (DIEL et al., 2021), are not different between the trials for Logistics and Gompertz, but they are different between the models, where Logistic model estimates are higher (Table 1). The non-difference of c between trials can be explained by the use of the same cultivar. The models generated using data from the three trials estimate asymptotic height values of 196.364 cm for Logistics and 200.757 cm for Gompertz, a similar pattern to what we have when the parameters were estimated for the third trial, where the Gompertz values are higher.
Table 1 - Estimation of parameters \( a, b \) and \( c \), lower limit (LL) and upper limit (UL) of the confidence interval (CI\textsubscript{95%}), Adjusted coefficient of determination (\( R^2_s \)), Akaike information criterion (AIC), Bayesian information criterion (BIC), intrinsic curvature measurements (IN), parameter effect curvature measurements (PE), maximum acceleration point (MAP), inflection point (IP), maximum deceleration point (MDP) and asymptotic deceleration point (ADP), of the Logistic and Gompertz models for the trials (First, Second, Third and All) as a function of the accumulated thermal sum (\( ^\circ\)Cd) of the Rhinose sunflower cultivar.

|                | Logistic |                | Gompertz |
|----------------|----------|----------------|----------|
|                | First    | Second         | Third    | All      | First    | Second         | Third    | All      |
| \( a \) Mean   | 193.357\(^{(A)}\) | 192.058\(^{(A)}\) | 202.866\(^{(A)}\) | 196.364\(^{(A)}\) | 201.088\(^{(A)}\) | 195.617\(^{(A)}\) | 213.101\(^{(A)}\) | 200.757\(^{(A)}\) |
| UL             | 200.718  | 196.094        | 209.978  | 198.936  | 205.419  | 200.954        | 223.804  | 204.145  |
| \( b \) Mean   | 4.137    | 4.656          | 4.337    | 4.504    | 10.035   | 2.658          | 2.289    | 2.550    |
| UL             | 5.920    | 5.740          | 5.266    | 5.056    | 17.372   | 3.417          | 2.928    | 2.934    |
| \( c \) Mean   | 0.0066\(^{(A)}\) | 0.0076\(^{(A)}\) | 0.0064\(^{(A)}\) | 0.0068\(^{(A)}\) | 0.0043\(^{(A)}\) | 0.0051\(^{(A)}\) | 0.0039\(^{(A)}\) | 0.0045\(^{(A)}\) |
| UL             | 0.0072   | 0.0085         | 0.0071   | 0.0072   | 0.0048   | 0.0057         | 0.0045   | 0.0048   |
| \( R^2_s \)    | 0.972    | 0.968          | 0.967    | 0.966    | 0.969    | 0.963          | 0.964    | 0.963    |
| AIC            | 1111.840 | 967.070        | 813.306  | 2917.444 | 1131.775 | 990.471        | 825.891  | 2966.234 |
| BIC            | 1123.606 | 978.220        | 823.727  | 2932.988 | 1143.541 | 1001.621       | 836.312  | 2981.779 |
| IN             | 0.069    | 0.082          | 0.073    | 0.045    | 0.095    | 0.108          | 0.103    | 0.060    |
| PE             | 0.145    | 0.172          | 0.236    | 0.101    | 0.203    | 0.240          | 0.421    | 0.143    |
| MAP            | 486.545  | 504.587        | 542.138  | 507.958  | 368.150  | 403.643        | 410.909  | 394.881  |
| IP             | 41.707   | 40.587         | 42.871   | 41.494   | 14.678   | 14.281         | 15.557   | 14.657   |
| MDP            | 687.964  | 677.780        | 749.283  | 701.958  | 590.247  | 594.189        | 655.954  | 609.294  |
| ADP            | 1038.632 | 979.566        | 1109.068 | 1039.638 | 1005.121 | 950.126        | 1113.693 | 1009.815 |

\(^{(A)}\)Comparison of parameter estimates \( (a, b \) and \( c \) between trials and between models, based on the overlapping of confidence intervals (CI\textsubscript{95%}). Averages followed by the same lowercase letter do not differ between trials for the same model. Averages followed by the same capital letter do not differ for the same trial between models.

Both models fit the data; however, the fitting quality estimators used show the Logistic model best described the growth of sunflower plants in height in the four situations studied (Table 1). For all situations, differences between Logistical and Gompertz models were not verified when observing \( R^2_s \) in isolation, as the values are similar, varying from 0.963 to 0.972, which showed that both models adjust to all situations, and emphasizes the need for more than one criterion for comparison. The differentiation can be made by observing the other evaluators. The Logistic model presented the lowest values of AIC, BIC, IN and PE for the three trials and also for the fourth situation in which all data are used. Models that present higher values of \( R^2_s \) and lower values of AIC, BIC, IN and PE, should be preferable for growth description (ZEVIANI, 2012; FERNANDES et al., 2014; JANE et al., 2020). The \( R^2_s \), AIC and BIC estimators cannot be compared between trials of the same model because they depended on the number of parameters and observations made (AKAIKE, 1974; SCHWARZ, 1978; SEBER, 2003), and as already mentioned, both models have three parameters, but 14, 12 and 10 evaluations were performed for the first, second and third trials, respectively. So, the number of observations between trials is unbalanced.
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The Logistics model showed a better fit to the data based on the lower values of the AIC, BIC, IN and PE evaluators (Table 1) and on the response of the curves on the data (Figure 1 A-D). Furthermore, the adjustment of Logistics and Gompertz was better when more points were used to estimate the parameters. Also, the Gompertz model underestimated plant height values in the initial period for all situations studied (Figure 1 A-D), being the Logistic model preferable to describe the height growth of the Rhino sunflower cultivar.

As the Logistics model best fits the data, only the critical points generated by this model will be considered. The estimated critical points are shown to be important helpers in crop management. Approximately 21.10% of the asymptote occurs when MAP is reached; 50.00% when IP is reached; 78.80% when MDP is reached; and 90.80% when ADP is reached (MISCHAN & PINHO, 2014). MAP values show plant growth becomes positive and growing from 41.707 cm and 486.545 °C, 40.587 cm and 504.587 °C accumulated for the first, second and third trials, respectively (Table 1). This indicator is important because in the initial period, before MAP, plants have less growth capacity and; consequently, less ability to compete with spontaneous plants, requiring greater care with weed control up to this point. This observation corroborates studies by BRIGHENTI et al. (2004) and BRIGHENTI (2012), who reported that they are necessary for the plant to express all its productive potential, about 30 days after emergence free of weed plants, as they cause growth reduction, chlorosis and decrease in leaf area, stem diameter, chapter and achenes yield.

When IP is reached, the curve changes in the concavity and the growth rate starts to decrease (FERNANDES et al., 2014; JANE et al., 2020). In this study, the height values for the IP were 98.679 cm, 96.029 cm and 101.433 cm with687.964 °C, 677.780 °C and 749.283 °C accumulated for the first, second and third trials, respectively. According to LOBO et al. (2013), nitrogen and potassium are the nutrients that most limit sunflower production, and from 28 to 56 days after emergence, a period that can be compared to the MAP and IP interval, there is a rapid increase in nutritional demand. Still, VALADÃO et al. (2020), recommend installment applications of boron at 15, 30 and 45 days after sowing, and nitrogen at 30 days after emergence to achieve higher yields. Therefore, fertilizer coverage applications would have optimized results if they were carried out between MAP and IP range.

The plant height values observed in the ADP were 179.262 cm, 174.410 cm and 187.237 cm.
cm with 1038.632 °C, 979.566 °C and 1109.068 °C accumulated for the first, second and third trials, respectively. According to UCHÔA et al. (2011), the smaller stature of plants is associated with precocity, which gives plants a shorter period of development. Still, the short stature of plants makes it possible to reduce the spacing in future crops, which would assist in the control of weeds (AMABILE et al., 2003). The ADP can be used as a maturity indicator since when reaching this point plants start growth stabilization and can be harvested for producing silage without volume loss and with higher quality, as the flowering phase would be complete (R6 stage), being suitable for silage production (TAN, 2010).

CONCLUSION

The models show differences between the trials. The Logistic model has a better fit quality, being the most suitable for characterizing the growth curve of the sunflower confectionery cultivar in height. The estimated critical points provide important information for crop management. Weeds must be controlled until the maximum acceleration point. Covered fertilizer applications must be carried out between the maximum acceleration and inflection points. Asymptotic deceleration point is an indicator of maturity, after reaching this point the plants can be harvested for the production of silage without loss of volume and quality.
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