Impact of a single water molecule on the atmospheric oxidation of thiophene by hydroperoxyl radical

Hamed Douroudgari, Maryam Seyed Sharifi & Morteza Vahedpour

Water as an important assistant can alter the reactivity of atmospheric species. This project is designed to investigate the impact of a single water molecule on the atmospheric reactions of aromatic compounds that have not been attended to comprehensively. In the first part, the atmospheric oxidation mechanisms of thiophene initiated by hydroperoxyl radical through a multiwell-multichannel potential energy surface were studied to have useful information about the chemistry of the considered reaction. It was verified that for the thiophene plus HO₂ reaction, the addition mechanism is dominant the same as other aromatic compounds. Due to the importance of the subject and the presence of water molecules in the atmosphere with a high concentration that we know as relative humidity, and also the lack of insight into the influence of water on the reactions of aromatic compounds with active atmospheric species, herein, the effect of a single water molecule on the addition pathways of the title reaction is evaluated. In another word, this research explores how water can change the occurrence of reactions of aromatic compounds in the atmosphere. For this, the presence of one water molecule is simulated by higher-level calculations (BD(T) method) through the main interactions with the stationary points of the most probable pathways. The results show that the mechanism of the reaction with water is more complicated than the bare reaction due to the formation of the ring-like structures. Also, water molecule decreases the relative energies of all addition pathways. Moreover, atoms in molecule theory (AIM) along with the kinetic study by the transition state (TST) and the Rice–Ramsperger–Kassel–Marcus (RRKM) theories demonstrate that the overall interactions of a path determine how the rate of that path changes. In this regard, our results establish that the interactions of water with HO₂ (thiophene) in the initial complex 1WHA (1WTA or 1WTB) are stronger (weaker) than the sum of its interactions in transition states. Also, for the water-assisted pathways, the ratio of the partition function of the transition state to the partition functions of the reactants is similar to the respective bare reaction. Therefore, the reaction rates of the bare pathways are more than the water-assisted paths that include the 1WHA complex and are less than the paths that involve the 1WTA and 1WTB complexes.

As is well known, the most abundant atmospheric species are N₂, O₂, and H₂O. The concentration of water in the lower troposphere is high (around 10¹⁸ molecules cm⁻³). Also, it is proved that water can alter the rate of some atmospheric reactions due to its unique properties, hydrogen bonding. In the gas phase reactions, a water molecule due to the partial charges of O and H atoms plays a dual role to form hydrogen bonds. In some situations, it acts as a hydrogen bond donor or hydrogen bond acceptor and in others, both roles are seen simultaneously, especially in forming ring-like structures. This property causes the atmospheric particles to have a complex structure with water. Thus, it facilitates the elimination of heterogeneous atmospheric compounds and changes their chemical and photochemical properties. Furthermore, these complexes lead to the formation of water droplets and secondary aerosols. Therefore, water can influence the chemistry of the atmosphere.

In the gas phase, Hamilton and Lii reported the first experimental evidence of the water (in vapor form) impact on the self-reaction of HO₂. Their results showed that the formation of the HO₂...H₂O and HO₂...NH₃ complexes lead to an increase in the reactivity of HO₂ compared to the naked state. Aloisio and Francisco through a theoretical study predicted that up to 30% of HO₂ is complex in the presence of water. They concluded...
that ignoring this percent makes large errors in atmospheric models. The HO$_2$...H$_2$O complex has also been involved in the heterogeneous uptake of hydroperoxyl radical by water. In the last decade, the effects of water vapor on the main reaction pathways of methane, formic acid, acetaldehyde, acetone, HOCI, glyoxal, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), propionaldehyde, sulfuric acid nitric acid, and HCl with hydroxyl radicals have been investigated by several researchers. In the dimethyl sulfide, dimethyl sulfoxide, and dimethyl sulfone reactions with OH, the presence of the water molecule reduces the barrier height of the H-abstraction channel. Also, the results indicated that the transition states are more stabilized in the DMSO + OH...H$_2$O reaction than the DMS + OH...H$_2$O reaction compared to the respective naked reactions. However, in some simulated atmospheric reactions, an increase in the calculated rate constant was obtained for the water-assisted reactions compared to corresponding bare reactions. For example, the rate of H$_2$SO$_4$ + OH reaction in the presence of water is higher than the naked reaction (about 10$^8$ times). In contrast, water not only cannot accelerate the rate of the NCO plus HCHO reaction, but also it has a negative influence on that reaction. The same trend is also reported for the HNO$_3$ + OH reaction rate. The main reason is a rearrangement in the geometry of the hydrogen-bonded pre-reactive complex, which causes the kinetics of the reaction to be more complicated, and ultimately entire reaction process is slow.

The simple and volatile organic compounds containing a sulfur atom in the field of heterocyclic chemistry, thiophene, and its derivatives, are emitted into the atmosphere by numerous sources such as the combustion of fossil fuels, burning plants, and biomasses. The detection of sulfur compounds like thiophenes over seaweed fields and oceans was reported previously. Also, oxidation of these compounds occurs (with a high likelihood) near the surface of oceans in the marine boundary layer. It should be noted that the water concentration in this region is too high. So, it may form hydrated complexes with those compounds.

It is worth mentioning that the removal of aromatic compounds is a main atmospheric issue. So, the oxidation of these chemicals plays a pivotal role in both atmospheric chemistry and the combustion process. In this regard, the oxidations of thiophene with active species have been studied widely. Atkinson et al. have previously shown that, for the thiophene plus HO$_2$ reaction, the B3LYP method has good accuracy for simulation results. Consequently, the potential energy surfaces for the addition of HO$_2$ to thiophene in the wet gas phase media (a fact that goes beyond this particular reaction). A straightforward approach to accomplish this aim is using simple atmospheric models. But, as we know, the reactions of aromatic compounds are complex. Thus, we select the thiophene reaction with hydroperoxyl radical that has been studied by the same authors in the dry environment. We showed that this reaction begins with the addition of HO$_2$ to thiophene and proceeds through different channels. Here, we consider the impact of water on addition reactions and epoxy formation due to OH elimination only. First, we will construct the PES of the title reaction and investigate the influence of water on the relative energies of all stationary points. The geometries of species involved in the PES will be analyzed to find the main reason for the stability of water-assisted reactions. It will be shown that strong interactions due to water presence play an important role in the formation of ring-like structures in the course of the simulated reaction. Also, NBO analysis will be used for elucidating water’s role in the stability of stationary points. We will use the AIM theory for clarifying the nature and strength of the interactions in ring-like structures. Second, the kinetic of the designed channels will be investigated to elucidate how water position impacts the rate of reaction. Finally, the results of wet and naked reactions will be compared for judging the water’s role in the reactions of aromatic compounds. This study will aid the understanding and rationalization of similar processes that may occur in the gas-phase oxidation of other heterocyclic and aromatic compounds in the atmosphere.

Theoretical methods

We have previously shown that, for the thiophene plus HO$_2$ reaction, the B3LYP method has good accuracy for simulation results. Consequently, the potential energy surfaces for the addition of HO$_2$ to thiophene in the naked and water-assisted reaction are examined by the DFT-B3LYP method in conjunction with the Pople type basis set 6–31 + G(d,p). However, full optimization of the probable structure of all stationary points with and without the presence of water accompanied by vibrational frequencies is carried out at the DFT-B3LYP/6–31 + G(d,p) level of theory. The obtained frequencies along with the intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations are employed to verify the validity of the computed saddle points and their connectivity at the mentioned level of theory. The optimized structures of all saddle points contain just an imaginary frequency that shows an alteration along the reaction coordinate. Also, each transition state is connected to the respective minimum
structures, such as pre-and post-reactive complexes by the corresponding IRC\(^\text{36}\) path. Furthermore, a high level of quantum chemical calculations by means of the CBS-QB\(^\text{37}\) \& CCSD(T)\(^\text{38}\) methods are utilized to compute the main energetic parameters with high accuracy. But, some of the calculated T1 diagnostic values\(^\text{59,60}\) from the CCSD(T) method are higher than the threshold value (0.045 for open-shell species), which shows that a higher level calculation is necessary to reach accurate energetic parameters. Thus, we use the Brueckner Doubles calculation with triples contribution, the BD(T) method\(^\text{61,62}\). In addition, for each stationary point, the correction for internal energy, enthalpy, and free energy obtained at the B3LYP/6–31 + G(d,p) level accompanied by the calculated energies at the CCSD(T) and BD(T) methods are mixed to produce thermodynamic parameters with high precision. Also, a similar manner is used for extracting valid kinetic data of the title reaction in which the partition functions are calculated at the B3LYP/6–31 + G(d,p) level and the energies are computed at the BD(T) method. All energetic parameters and harmonic vibrational frequencies are computed by the Gaussian 09 package\(^\text{63}\). The visualization of all structures is carried out by the Chemcraft program\(^\text{64}\). The nature of the line critical points (LCP)\(^\text{65}\) and the ring critical points (RCP) is analyzed by using the topological analyses of atoms in molecules theory, AIM. The electron density and related parameters are visualized by the AIM2000 package\(^\text{66}\). Kinetic studies were accomplished for all pathways using the conventional transition state (TST)\(^\text{67}\) \& RRKM\(^\text{68}\) theories by using the obtained energies of the highest theoretical level, BD(T)/6–31 + G(d,p). The Gpop (for bimolecular reactions) \& Simes (for unimolecular reactions) programs are applied as standard software for the calculations of the rate constants of the pathways\(^\text{69,70}\).

**Determining reactive centers in thiophene and hydroperoxyl radical by the Fukui function.** In DFT, the Fukui functional is a favorite concept for determining a more reactive site on a molecule\(^\text{71,72}\). This function for a compound containing N electron is defined by

\[
\begin{align}
 f(r) &= \frac{\partial \rho(r)}{\partial N} v(r) \\
\end{align}
\]

where \(\rho(r)\) is the electron density, \(N\) is the number of atoms in the studying molecule, \(v(r)\) is a constant external potential. This function is not evaluated directly because of a limitation associated with discontinuity\(^\text{73,74}\). Thus, the modified equations based on the involved mechanism in the investigating reaction are used for calculating the Fukui function\(^\text{75}\).

(a) For the nucleophilic attack, the following equation is used

\[
 f_X^+ = [P_X(Y + 1) - P_X(Y)] 
\]

where \(P_X(Y+1)\) \& \(P_X(Y)\) are the population of atom X in molecule Y that denote the electron states with + \& 0 signs, respectively, obtained from the geometry of neutral species.

(b) For the electrophilic attack, it can be written

\[
 f_X^- = [P_X(Y) - P_X(Y - 1)] 
\]

where \(P(Y-1)\) is for the state with + signs.

(c) For the radical attack, we have

\[
 f_X^0 = \frac{1}{2} [P_X(Y + 1) - P_X(Y - 1)] 
\]

The population of an atom is obtained by subtracting the atomic number \((A)\) of the target atom from its atomic charge \((Z)\)

\[
 P_X = A - Z 
\]

We apply the Hirschfeld charges in the calculation of the population of atom X. It is worth mentioning that the charge transfer mechanism is studied by using these formulas. Therefore, the Fukui function is a useful parameter to identify the reactive sites on a molecule. Tables S14–S21 contain the Fukui analysis results for all stationary points of the title reaction.

**Results and discussion**

**Fraction of possible complexes formed between HO\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O, thiophene and H\(_2\)O molecules.** In this section, we argue about the complexes that may take part in the title reaction. First, all possible complexes are found by closing water molecules from different sides to the hydroperoxyl radical and thiophene molecules separately. Then, using the kinetic theory of gases, the fraction percent of those complexes is calculated based on their stability. In this regard, the Boltzmann distribution is used as follows\(^\text{56}\):

\[
 N_i = c \exp \left( \frac{\Delta E_i}{k_B T} \right) 
\]

where \(N_i\) is the number of molecules with energy \(\Delta E_i\) \& \(c\) is constant. \(k_B\) is the Boltzmann constant. The fraction of molecules which has the energy \(\Delta E_i\) is
where \( N \) is the total number of molecules. This equation shows obviously that the most stable complexes will have a higher percentage. This is an important result since it helps us to find more abundance complexes and consider them for reaction design.

Figure 1 shows all possible complexes between hydroperoxyl and water molecules. By the possible interactions that may form between HO\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O molecules, three stable H-bonded complexes are established and named 1WHA, 1WHB, and 1WHC. The most stable complex is 1WHA with a relative energy of \(-9.99\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\) and after that 1WHB (\(-3.60\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\)). The origin of more stability for 1WHA is the formed hydrogen bond (\(\rho(lcp) = 0.0392\) e bohr\(^{-3}\) and \(\nabla^2\rho(lcp) = 0.1153\) e bohr\(^{-5}\)) in which water is a hydrogen bond acceptor. In the 1WHB and 1WHC complexes, the water molecule is a hydrogen bond donor with the electronic charge density of \(\rho_{1WHB}(lcp) = 0.0192\) and \(\rho_{1WHC}(lcp) = 0.0196\) e bohr\(^{-3}\), and its Laplacian \(\nabla^2\rho_{1WHB}(lcp) = 0.0527\) and \(\nabla^2\rho_{1WHC}(lcp) = 0.0492\) e bohr\(^{-5}\). The percent of the 1WHA fraction is almost 100\% at 300 K. This result proposed that all HO\(_2\) molecules at room temperature are complexed with water in the 1WHA form. Also, Table 1 shows that this complex has a dominant fraction over the 300–3000 K temperature range.

As abovementioned, thiophene can be hydrated by water molecules. Thus, the probable complexes between thiophene and water are explored. The sketch of the complexes is depicted in Fig. 1. By closing a water molecule to thiophene, four different complexes are yielded named 1WTA, 1WTB, 1WTC, and 1WTD. These complexes have also near relative energies. The obtained relative energies forecast that the 1WHA and 1WHB (HO\(_2\)...H\(_2\)O) complexes are more stable than the thio…H\(_2\)O complexes under the same conditions. This is related to the nature of interactions among the interacting molecules. In the 1WTA and 1WTB complexes, there is a van der Waals interaction between nonbonding electrons of water with a hydrogen (\(\rho(lcp) = 0.0122\) e bohr\(^{-3}\) and \(\nabla^2\rho(lcp) = 0.0395\) e bohr\(^{-5}\)) and \(\sigma\) hydrogen (\(\rho(lcp) = 0.0109\) e bohr\(^{-3}\) and \(\nabla^2\rho(lcp) = 0.0361\) e bohr\(^{-5}\)) of thiophene. For the 1WTC complex, the interaction between the hydrogen of water with \(\pi\) electrons of thiophene is also van der Waals type (\(\rho(lcp) = 0.0098\) e bohr\(^{-3}\) and \(\nabla^2\rho(lcp) = 0.0271\) e bohr\(^{-5}\)). Finally, there is a
van der Waals interaction between the hydrogen of water and nonbonding electrons of the sulfur atom in the 1WTD complex \((\rho(lcp) = 0.0089 \text{ e bohr}^{-3} \text{ and } \nabla^2 \rho(lcp) = 0.0267 \text{ e bohr}^{-5})\). The computed fractions at 300.00 K (and relative energy) for 1WTA, 1WTB, 1WTC, and 1WTD complexes are 32.11\% (−2.72 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)), 12.89\% (−3.42 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)), 41.51\% (−3.42 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)), and 13.50\% (−2.75 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)), respectively. It should be noted that the 1WTC complex during the reaction when HO\(_2\) is close to interacting with it will convert to the 1WHX complex \((X = A, B, \text{ and } C)\) due to the orientation of the complexed water molecule. Thus, we skip its assistance for reaction.

The gas-phase reaction of \(\text{C}_4\text{H}_4\text{S} + \text{HO}_2\) without and with water. All possible complexes between reactant species and water are displayed in Fig. 1. The main parameters of the optimized geometries for all reaction components computed by the B3LYP method are shown in Fig. 2. The potential energy surfaces (PESs) for the title reaction in the presence and absence of water are drawn in Fig. 3. the rate constants of all paths are depicted in Fig. 4 and are listed in Tables S9 and S10. The energetics of each stationary point is compared by considering the original reactants as the base of comparison and are listed in Tables 2 and 3. Also, for all interacted molecules, the extracted T1 diagnostic values from the CCSD(T) calculations are listed in Tables 2 and 3. The useful thermodynamic parameters, such as inner energies, enthalpies, Gibbs free energies, and entropies computed in the standard conditions are collected in Table 4 (Tables S3 and S4 (Supporting Information). From a computational point of view, the doublet state (as an open-shell system) may have the spin contamination problem. So, it must be checked during calculations. Therefore, the expectation values are checked after calculations of all complexes, transition states, and other radical species. The results show that the spin contamination values \((S_z)_{BS} = 0.75\) never exceed 0.066 (see Table S1 of Supporting Information). Thus, the obtained energetics are acceptable. The optimized stationary points of the \(\text{C}_4\text{H}_4\text{S} + \text{HO}_2…\text{H}_2\text{O}\) reaction have ring-like structures due to intermolecular interactions, then the AIM analysis is utilized to extract beneficial information about the line and ring critical points. The results of AIM are reported in Table S2.

In the preceding study\(^{54}\), we offered all probable pathways for thiophene plus hydroperoxyl radical reaction by similar theoretical methods. It was proven that the reaction starts with the addition of HO\(_2\) to the α (more preferable) or β carbon atoms of the thiophene ring. The electrophilic attack of oxygen on the S atom was investigated as well. The reaction is simulated in the presence of a single water molecule to have an insight into the influence of a wet environment on activation energies and so the final rate constants.

To understand the role of water vapor in the title oxidation reaction, all electronic structure calculations are carried out with and without water to construct the respective potential energy surfaces (PESs). Comparing the PESs clears how water changes the reactivity of thiophene and hydroperoxyl radical when react with each other. For this, we must have the same reaction pathways for bare and water-assisted reactions. According to our previous research\(^{55}\), the HO\(_2\)-addition pathways include two pre-reactive complexes. After the formation of the van-der-Waals complexes and passing through desired transition states, the path is completed. But, the presence of water is accompanied by more complexity due to several pre-reactive complexes having different relative energies and structures. In the sequel, we focused on the wet reaction and compare its results with the bare reaction.

Addition to β-C in the presence of water. When 1WHA and thiophene, and 1WTA and HO\(_2\) are gathered, two pre-reactive complexes CR-TS1-1WHA and CR-TS1-1WTB are formed, respectively, that are starting points of the β-C addition reaction. Since water can form ring-like structures, there is large stability in these complexes. The relative energies of CR-TS1-1WHA and CR-TS1-1WTB are −16.24 and −11.20 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), respectively, which are −10.32 and −5.28 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) more stable than CR1(bare complex). This result is important because water position determines how much energy is released. Then, according to water position, two transition states TS1-1WHA and TS1-1WTB are found for the addition of HO\(_2\) to β-C. The TS1-1WHA saddle point has a five-membered ring structure which is stabilized by two hydrogen bonds. In these bonds, water and HO\(_2\) play both hydrogen bond donor and hydrogen bond acceptor roles simultaneously. The relative energy of TS1-1WHA is 5.95 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), which is 9.65 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) more stable than the naked reaction due to the ring structure containing hydrogen bonds. Also, for the TS1-1WTB, a six-membered ring structure is obtained including one hydrogen bond and two van der Waals interactions. So, the stability of this transition state is slightly less than the TS1-1WHA. After the mentioned transition states the product complexes CP-TS1-1WHA1 and CP-TS1-

| Complex       | Energy | %Fraction (300.00 K) | %Fraction (1000.00 K) | %Fraction (2000.00 K) | %Fraction (3000.00 K) |
|---------------|--------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| HO\(_2\) + HO\(_2\) | 0.00   | -                   | -                    | -                    | -                    |
| 1WHA          | −9.99  | 100.00              | 93.38                | 72.69                | 60.40                |
| 1WHB          | −3.60  | 0.00                | 3.74                 | 14.55                | 20.67                |
| 1WHC          | −3.08  | 0.00                | 2.88                 | 12.76                | 18.93                |
| Thio + HO\(_2\) | 0.00   | -                   | -                    | -                    | -                    |
| 1WTA          | −3.27  | 32.11               | 29.95                | 28.30                | 25.94                |
| 1WTB          | −2.72  | 12.89               | 17.32                | 20.10                | 23.67                |
| 1WTC          | −3.42  | 41.51               | 34.93                | 31.16                | 26.61                |
| 1WTD          | −2.75  | 13.50               | 17.80                | 20.45                | 23.78                |

Table 1. The relative energy (kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) and the percentage of fractions for the probable complexes formed between HO\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O, and thiophene and H\(_2\)O computed at the BD(T) method.
Figure 2. Bond lengths of the reactants and products, and all fragments of the pre-reactive, intermediates, transition states, and post-reactive complexes optimized at the B3LYP/6–31 + G(d,p) level (unit of all distances is angstrom).
Figure 2. (continued)
Figure 2. (continued)
Figure 2. (continued)
**Figure 3.** The energy profiles (at the BD(T) method) of the addition pathways in the thiophene + HO₂ reaction (the bare paths are shown by black dash lines, and the water-assisted paths are drawn by blue dash lines). (a) The terminal oxygen addition to β-C (first step) and the epoxy formation (second step). (b) The middle oxygen addition to β-C. (c) The terminal oxygen addition to α-C (first step) and ring-opening (second step). (d) The terminal oxygen addition to the sulfur atom.

**Figure 4.** Graphs of all rate constants and fitted non-Arrhenius expressions for the addition mechanism in the thiophene + HO₂, thiophene + 1WHA, 1WTA + HO₂, and 1WTB + HO₂ reactions obtained at the BD(T)/6–31+G(d,p) level of theory. (a) The terminal oxygen addition to β-C. (b) The middle oxygen addition to β-C. (c) The terminal oxygen addition to α-C. (d) The terminal oxygen addition to sulfur atom. (e) Ring-opening pathway. (f) Epoxy formation pathway.
1WTB1, are produced. The obtained product complexes have ring structures as well, which are confirmed by an AIM analysis. CP-TS1-1WHA1 has a five-membered ring structure with $\rho(r_{cp}) = 0.0138$ e bohr$^{-3}$ and $\nabla^2\rho(r_{cp}) = 0.0070$ e bohr$^{-5}$. And, CP-TS1-1WTB1 includes a six-membered ring structure with $\rho(r_{cp}) = 0.0062$ e bohr$^{-3}$ and $\nabla^2\rho(r_{cp}) = 0.0306$ e bohr$^{-5}$. Breaking the intermolecular interactions in both CP-TS1-1WHA1 and CP-TS1-1WTB1 is in accord with the P1 and water formation as final products. The thermodynamic parameters of P1 are $\Delta H^\circ = 6.49$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ and $\Delta G^\circ = 17.47$ kcal mol$^{-1}$. According to Tables S14 and S15, the Fukui values for the formation of a covalent bond. This means that after the formation of a covalent bond between the reacting centers, the reactivity of these centers is decreased.

In the sequel, the reaction can be continued by the TS5-1WHA and TS5-1WTB saddle points. Firstly, without any barrier, the CP-TS1-1WHA1 and CP-TS1-1WTB1 can rearrange to the intermediate complexes CP-TS1-1WHA2 and CP-TS1-1WTB2, respectively. After that, an epoxy structure can obtain if the oxygen–oxygen bond distance is greater than any barrier, the CP-TS1-1WHA1 and CP-TS1-1WTB1 can rearrange to the intermediate complexes CP-TS1-1WHA2 and CP-TS1-1WTB2, respectively.

**Table 2.** Relative energies (in kcal mol$^{-1}$) of the reactants, products, intermediates, and transition states involved in the bare reaction at different levels of theory. *B3LYP method in conjunction with 6–31 + G(d,p) basis set. **CCSD(T) single point on the optimized structure of the B3LYP/6–31 + G(d,p) level. ***B3LYP method in conjunction with 6–31 + G(d,p)///B3LYP/6–31 + G(d,p) level. ****T1 diagnostic values at the CCSD(T)/6–31 + G(d,p) level. *****CCSD(T)/6–31 + G(d,p)//B3LYP/6–31 + G(d,p) level. ******T1 diagnostic values at the CCSD(T)/CC-PV(T + d)Z level. 

| Stationary points | This work | Previous study |
|-------------------|-----------|----------------|
|                   | B3LYP     | B3LYP         |
|                   | CBS-QB3   | CCSD(T)       |
|                   | CCSD(T)   | BD(T)         |
|                   | Ti$^a$    | Ti$^b$        |
| C$_3$H$_5$S + HO$_2$ | 0.00  | 0.00           |
| CR1               | $-3.41$  | $-3.41$        |
| CR3               | $-2.74$  | $-2.74$        |
| TS1               | 17.06    | 17.06          |
| TS2               | 11.97    | 11.97          |
| TS3               | 33.26    | 33.26          |
| TS4               | 57.76    | 57.76          |
| TS5               | 21.70    | 21.70          |
| TS8a              | 10.42    | 10.42          |
| CP3               | 13.95    | 13.95          |
| CP5               | $-2.47$  | $-2.47$        |
| CP8a              | $-10.49$ | $-10.49$       |
| P1                | 10.74    | 10.74          |
| P2(3H$_2$SO + OH) | 18.72    | 18.72          |
| P7(5H$_2$SO + OH) | $-7.60$  | $-7.60$        |
| P4(5H$_2$SO + OH) | 1.84     | 1.84           |

In the other pathway, the HO$_2$ addition to β-C is occurred by the OH part of HO$_2$. This reaction starts by attacking the HO$_2$ moiety to the β-C of the 1WTB complex or the addition of the 1WHA moiety to the β-C of...
thiophene in which an oxygen atom is released for a very short time. Then, the free oxygen abstracts immediately the hydrogen atom of the attacking OH and forms a covalent bond with the O atom of OH. The transition states of this process are TS4-1WHA and TS4-1WTB. The relative energy for the water-assisted (TS4-1WHA and TS4-1WTB) and bare (TS4) saddle points is 46.51, 45.64, and 55.13 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), respectively. Geometrically, the TS4-1WTB transition state contains a seven-membered ring structure due to the establishment of one hydrogen bond and two van der Waals interactions (\(\rho(\text{rcp}) = 0.0040\) e bohr\(^{-3}\) and \(\nabla^2\rho(\text{rcp}) = 0.0283\) bohr\(^{-5}\)).

| Stationary points | Water-assisted reaction |
|-------------------|-------------------------|
| \(\text{C}_4\text{H}_4\text{S} + \text{HO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}\) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | – |
| Thiophene + 1WHA  | – 7.43 | – 6.13 | – 9.97 | – 9.99 | 0.028 |
| \(\text{HO}_2 + 1\text{WTA}\)  | – 1.43 | – | – 3.27 | – 3.27 | – |
| \(\text{HO}_2 + 1\text{WTB}\)  | – 1.01 | – | – 2.73 | – 2.72 | – |
| CR-TS1-1WHA  | – 11.05 | – 11.38 | – 15.91 | – 16.24 | 0.033 |
| CR-TS1-1WTB  | – 5.95 | – 10.35 | – 10.90 | – 11.20 | 0.034 |
| CR-TS2-1WTA  | – 6.29 | – | – 11.39 | – 11.69 | 0.030 |
| CR-TS3-1WHA  | – 10.36 | – 11.71 | – 14.78 | – 15.26 | 0.034 |
| CR-TS4-1WHA  | – 10.16 | – 12.59 | – 15.34 | – 15.36 | 0.021 |
| CR-TS4-1WTB  | – 7.94 | – 8.86 | – 12.79 | – 13.08 | 0.030 |
| TS1-1WHA  | 11.07 | 8.80 | 6.60 | 5.95 | 0.033 |
| TS1-1WTB  | 12.85 | 10.86 | 8.87 | 8.16 | 0.034 |
| TS2-1WHA  | 5.57 | 6.97 | 2.23 | 1.40 | 0.034 |
| TS2-1WTB  | 7.59 | 4.21 | 4.81 | 3.99 | 0.034 |
| TS3-1WHA  | 28.18 | 23.72 | 24.90 | 24.28 | 0.034 |
| TS3-1WTB  | 26.28 | 25.19 | 24.46 | 22.92 | 0.047 |
| TS4-1WHA  | 51.42 | 48.28 | 46.45 | 46.51 | 0.027 |
| TS4-1WTB  | 50.52 | 48.46 | 45.79 | 45.64 | 0.033 |
| TS5-1WHA  | 18.19 | 16.80 | 12.74 | 11.36 | 0.043 |
| TS5-1WTB  | 15.27 | 16.03 | 11.61 | 10.00 | 0.046 |
| TS8a-1WHA  | 6.56 | 11.63 | 5.36 | 4.83 | 0.045 |
| TS8a-1WTA  | 3.84 | 10.43 | 3.76 | 3.12 | 0.050 |
| IN8a-1WHA  | – 8.08 | – 11.34 | – 15.29 | – 15.67 | 0.024 |
| IN8a-1WTA  | – 4.71 | – 7.54 | – 11.58 | – 11.97 | 0.025 |
| CP-TS1-1WHA1  | 6.03 | 1.59 | – 2.80 | – 3.02 | 0.023 |
| CP-TS1-1WTB1  | 7.53 | 3.59 | – 0.79 | – 1.03 | 0.025 |
| CP-TS1-1WHA2  | 5.60 | 0.84 | – 2.80 | – 3.64 | 0.023 |
| CP-TS1-1WTB2  | 7.72 | 3.71 | – 0.33 | – 0.56 | 0.023 |
| CP-TS2-1WHA  | – 5.07 | – 8.94 | – 13.26 | – 13.63 | 0.016 |
| CP-TS2-1WTA  | – 2.26 | – 5.90 | – 9.71 | – 10.08 | 0.048 |
| CP-TS3-1WHA  | 4.23 | – 7.53 | – 4.75 | – 4.76 | 0.023 |
| CP-TS3-1WTA  | 3.83 | – 6.33 | – 4.29 | – 4.31 | 0.022 |
| CP-TS4-1WHA  | 5.64 | 1.20 | – 3.03 | – 3.26 | 0.023 |
| CP-TS4-1WTB  | 7.26 | 3.26 | – 0.62 | – 0.85 | 0.023 |
| CP-TS5-1WHA  | – 9.49 | – 13.77 | – 21.56 | – 21.70 | 0.021 |
| CP-TS5-1WTB  | – 8.70 | – 12.92 | – 20.24 | – 20.32 | 0.015 |
| CP-TS8a-1WHA  | – 18.91 | – 14.75 | – 23.74 | – 26.06 | 0.046 |
| CP-TS8a-1WTA  | – 15.83 | – 14.54 | – 18.11 | – 20.72 | 0.047 |
| P1 + H2O  | 10.74 | 6.38 | 5.52 | 5.29 | – |
| P2 + H2O  | – 1.90 | – 2.80 | – 5.81 | – 4.13 | – |
| P3 + H2O  | 18.72 | 6.19 | 15.80 | 15.64 | – |
| P4 + H2O  | – 7.60 | – 4.43 | – 9.92 | – 9.93 | – |
| P5 + H2O  | 1.84 | – 2.34 | – 4.34 | – 4.51 | – |

Table 3. Relative energies (in kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) of the reactants, products, intermediates, and transition states involved in the water-assisted reactions at three different levels of theory. \(^{\text{a}}\)B3LYP method in conjunction with the 6–31 + G(d,p) basis set. \(^{\text{b}}\)CCSD(T) single point on the optimized structure of the B3LYP/6–31 + G(d,p) level. \(^{\text{c}}\)BD(T)/6–31 + G(d,p)//B3LYP/6–31 + G(d,p) level. \(^{\text{d}}\)T1 diagnostic values at the CCSD(T)/6–31 + G(d,p) level.
And there is only a strong interaction between shifting hydrogen and oxygen atom of water which is hydrogen type \( \rho(lcp) = 0.0376 \, e\, \text{bohr}^{-3} \) and \( \nabla^2 \rho(lcp) = 0.1028 \, e\, \text{bohr}^{-5} \). Also, the CP-TS4-1WTB has a seven-membered ring structure with one hydrogen bond \((H14\ldots O12)\) and one van der Waals interaction \((O13\ldots H7)\). The ring structure of the post-reactive complex CP-TS4-1WHA is similar to the CP-TS1-1WHA complex.

### Table 4.

The calculated thermodynamic parameters (298.15 K) for all components of the water-assisted reactions using the BD(T) energies and the B3LYP corrections (unit of all numbers is kcal mol\(^{-1}\)).

| Species                  | \( \Delta E^0 \) | \( \Delta H^0 \) | \( \Delta G^0 \) | \( T \Delta S^0 \) |
|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| \( \text{C}_4\text{H}_4\text{S} + \text{HO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \) | 0.00             | 0.00             | 0.00             | 0.00             |
| Thiophene + 1WHA         | -7.53            | -8.12            | 0.07             | -7.60            |
| \( \text{HO}_2 + 1\text{WTA} \) | 3.19             | 2.60             | 10.29            | -7.69            |
| \( \text{HO}_2 + 1\text{WTB} \) | 3.73             | 3.14             | 10.54            | -7.41            |
| CR-TS1-1WHA             | -11.91           | -13.09           | 3.36             | -12.27           |
| CR-TS1-1WTB             | -7.20            | -8.39            | 6.75             | -13.96           |
| CR-TS2-1WTA             | -7.70            | -8.88            | 6.45             | -14.14           |
| CR-TS3-1WHA             | -10.94           | -12.13           | 4.05             | -14.98           |
| CR-TS4-1WHA             | -10.99           | -12.17           | 3.08             | -14.07           |
| CR-TS4-1WTB             | -8.96            | -10.15           | 6.24             | -15.21           |
| TS1-1WHA                | 9.32             | 8.14             | 28.47            | -19.14           |
| TS1-1WTB                | 11.35            | 10.16            | 29.61            | -18.26           |
| TS2-1WHA                | -1.49            | 3.59             | 23.88            | -25.37           |
| TS2-1WTA                | 7.18             | 6.00             | 25.68            | -18.49           |
| TS3-1WHA                | 26.60            | 25.41            | 44.28            | -17.68           |
| TS3-1WTA                | 25.30            | 24.12            | 43.90            | -18.60           |
| TS4-1WHA                | 47.13            | 45.94            | 65.92            | -18.79           |
| TS4-1WTB                | 46.57            | 45.38            | 65.41            | -18.84           |
| TS5-1WHA                | 13.96            | 12.77            | 32.18            | -18.22           |
| TS5-1WTB                | 12.66            | 11.47            | 31.17            | -18.51           |
| TS8a-1WHA               | 0.92             | 6.33             | 25.70            | -24.78           |
| TS8a-1WTA               | 5.87             | 4.68             | 24.22            | -18.36           |
| IN8a-1WHA               | -11.31           | -12.50           | 8.32             | -19.64           |
| IN8a-1WTA               | -7.97            | -9.15            | 9.06             | -17.03           |
| CP-TS1-1WHA1            | 1.16             | -0.02            | 19.73            | -18.57           |
| CP-TS1-1WTB1            | 2.96             | 1.77             | 21.02            | -18.06           |
| CP-TS1-1WHA2            | 0.50             | -0.69            | 49.73            | -49.23           |
| CP-TS1-1WTB2            | 3.35             | 2.17             | 20.62            | -17.27           |
| CP-TS2-1WHA             | -9.39            | -10.57           | 9.27             | -18.65           |
| CP-TS2-1WTA             | -6.86            | -7.24            | 11.96            | -18.02           |
| CP-TS3-1WHA             | -1.70            | -2.88            | 16.15            | -17.85           |
| CP-TS3-1WTA             | -1.59            | -2.78            | 15.71            | -17.30           |
| CP-TS4-1WHA             | 0.91             | -0.27            | 19.53            | -18.62           |
| CP-TS4-1WTB             | 3.08             | 1.90             | 20.83            | -17.75           |
| CP-TS5-1WHA             | -18.00           | -19.19           | 0.53             | -18.53           |
| CP-TS5-1WTB             | -17.01           | -18.19           | 0.69             | -17.70           |
| CP-TS8a-1WHA            | -22.66           | -23.85           | -7.52            | -15.15           |
| CP-TS8a-1WTA            | -17.33           | -18.51           | -2.34            | -14.98           |
| P1 + H\(_2\)O           | 7.08             | 6.49             | 17.47            | -10.39           |
| P2 + H\(_2\)O           | -2.17            | -2.77            | 8.53             | -10.71           |
| P3 + H\(_2\)O           | 14.35            | 14.35            | 16.10            | -1.75            |
| P4 + H\(_2\)O           | -10.84           | -10.84           | -11.20           | 0.36             |
| P5 + H\(_2\)O           | -5.22            | -5.22            | -2.72            | -2.50            |

**Addition to α-C in the presence of water.** Before describing the reaction paths, it should be noted that the Fukui value for the α-C center \( f_{\alpha-C} \) is \( \rho(lcp) = 0.0376 \, e\, \text{bohr}^{-3} \) and \( \nabla^2 \rho(lcp) = 0.1028 \, e\, \text{bohr}^{-5} \). Also, the CP-TS4-1WTB has a seven-membered ring structure with one hydrogen bond \((H14\ldots O12)\) and one van der Waals interaction \((O13\ldots H7)\). The ring structure of the post-reactive complex CP-TS4-1WHA is similar to the CP-TS1-1WHA complex.
calculated relative energies for TS3-1WTA and TS3-1WHA are predicted to lie 10.72 and 9.36 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) below Fig. 2). After van-der-Waals interactions and one hydrogen bond. The van der Waals interactions are between O13 (of water) and interacts with the O10 atom as an acceptor in the newly formed hydrogen bond. The characteristics of the interaction with O10. In the TS3-1WHA structure, a six-membered ring is confirmed by AIM analysis with two van-der-Waals interactions. One of those interactions is located between the S atom of thiophene and the H14 atom. But, in the TS3-1WHA structure, a six-membered ring is confirmed (\(\rho(\text{rcp}) = 0.0287\) e bohr\(^{-3}\) and \(\nabla^2 \rho(\text{rcp}) = 0.0858\) e bohr\(^{-5}\)) by AIM data with two van der Waals interactions and one hydrogen bond.

Addition to S in the presence of water. The influence of water on the electrophilic attack is investigated by HO\(_2\) addition on the S center of the 1WTA complex or the 1WHA complex addition on the S atom of thiophene that progresses from the TS3-1WTA and TS3-1WHA saddle points, respectively. The calculated Fukui parameter for the S center of thiophene and the terminal O center of HO\(_2\) in the electrophilic addition is \(-0.267\) and \(-0.583\) e in reactants, respectively. In TS3-1WHA and TS3-1WTA, the mentioned values are \(-0.170\) and \(-0.044\) e and \(-0.177\) and \(-0.048\) e, respectively, indicating the formation of a covalent bond. The pre-reactive complexes for this attack are CR-TS2-1WTA and CR-TS3-1WHA with relative energies of \(-11.69\) and \(-15.26\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\), respectively. The geometry of CR-TS2-1WHA has been discussed above. The CR-TS3-1WHA complex has an eight-membered ring-like structure in which the primary 1WHA complex is connected to thiophene by two van-der-Waals interactions. One of those interactions is located between the S atom of thiophene and the H14 atom of water, and the other is established between the O10 atom of HO\(_2\) and the H2 atom of thiophene (see Fig. 2).

From an energetic point of view, there is high stabilization for transition states after the addition of water. The calculated relative energies for TS3-1WTA and TS3-1WHA are predicted to lie 10.72 and 9.36 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) below the energy of TS3, respectively. Besides, CP-TS3-1WTA and CP-TS3-1WHA are 14.41 and 14.86 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) below CP3, indicating more stability for post-reactive complexes compared to reactants. The differences in the stabilization energies of the mentioned transition states goes back to their ring structures. The seven-membered ring (\(\rho(\text{rcp}) = 0.0040\) e bohr\(^{-3}\) and \(\nabla^2 \rho(\text{rcp}) = 0.0184\) e bohr\(^{-5}\)) in TS3-1WTA includes three van-der-Waals interactions and one hydrogen bond. The van der Waals interactions are between O13 (of water) and H6 (of thiophene), O11 (of HO\(_2\)) and O10 (of HO\(_2\)), and O10 and S5 atoms. The hydrogen bond is between O11 and H14 atoms. But, in the TS3-1WHA structure, a six-membered ring is confirmed (\(\rho(\text{rcp}) = 0.0044\) e bohr\(^{-3}\) and \(\nabla^2 \rho(\text{rcp}) = 0.0195\) e bohr\(^{-5}\)) by AIM data with two van der Waals interactions and one hydrogen bond. The hydrogen atom (H14) of water also has a hydrogen bond with the O11 atom, and O11 has a van-der-Waals interaction with O10. In the TS3-1WHA structure, a six-membered ring is confirmed by AIM analysis with two van der Waals interactions and one hydrogen bond. The van der Waals interaction in TS3-1WHA is similar to TS3-1WTA, but the hydrogen bond is slightly different. The hydrogen atom of the water molecule acts as a donor and interacts with the O10 atom as an acceptor in the newly formed hydrogen bond. The characteristics of the new bond are \(\rho(\text{lc}) = 0.0287\) e bohr\(^{-3}\) and \(\nabla^2 \rho(\text{lc}) = 0.0827\) e bohr\(^{-5}\).

Similar trends are true about the energies of the product complexes CP-TS3-1WTA and CP-TS3-1WHA in comparison with CP3. The optimized structures of the CP-TS3-1WTA and CP-TS3-1WHA also indicate different ring structures in these complexes. Also, the calculated densities for these rings by AIM theory are \(\rho(\text{rcp}) = 0.0018\) e bohr\(^{-3}\) (\(\nabla^2 \rho(\text{rcp}) = 0.0087\) e bohr\(^{-5}\)) for CP-TS3-1WTA and \(\rho(\text{rcp}) = 0.0033\) e bohr\(^{-3}\) (\(\nabla^2 \rho(\text{rcp}) = 0.0131\) e bohr\(^{-5}\)) for CP-TS3-1WHA, respectively. The CP-TS3-1WTA has an eight-membered ring structure due to three established interactions. In this ring, the H12 atom of hydroxyl radical interacts with the connected oxygen (O10) to the sulfur atom. Also, the water molecule has one van der Waals interaction with the hydrogen of thiophene and one hydrogen bond with hydroxyl radical (\(\rho(\text{rcp}) = 0.0309\) e bohr\(^{-3}\) and \(\nabla^2 \rho(\text{rcp}) = 0.0858\) e bohr\(^{-5}\)). The interactions in the CP-TS3-1WHA complex are different from CP-TS3-1WTA. The eight-membered ring (\(\rho(\text{rcp}) = 0.0033\) e bohr\(^{-3}\) and \(\nabla^2 \rho(\text{rcp}) = 0.0131\) e bohr\(^{-5}\)) is seen due to the presence of three interactions.
including two hydrogen bonds and one van der Waals interaction. The hydrogen atom of water has an interaction with the attached oxygen to the sulfur atom. Also, the hydrogen of the OH radical interacts with the oxygen of the water molecule. In addition, the radical site of OH interacts with the C2 atom of thiophene (the van der Waals interaction). Finally, these channels are ended by rupturing CP-TS3-1WTA and CP-TS3-1WHA to thiophene-S-oxide, hydroxyl radical, and water moieties. The computed standard thermodynamic parameters for this reaction show that the formation process of the final adduct (P3) is endothermic by 14.35 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) and nonspontaneous by 16.10 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) in Gibbs free energy in the gas phase.

**Natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis.** To interpret the charge transfer processes\(^5\) and characterize the inter- and intra-molecular interactions in molecular systems, a suitable quantum chemistry method is the NBO analysis. The energies of such interactions are expressed by the second-order perturbation theory between a donor NBO (i) and an acceptor NBO (j). The second-order stabilization energy \(E_2\) for delocalization of electrons between i and j NBOs can be calculated as:

\[
E_2 = \frac{\langle \Delta n_i \Delta n_j \rangle}{\langle n_i \rangle \langle n_j \rangle}
\]

where \(\Delta n_i\) and \(\Delta n_j\) are the changes in occupation numbers of NBOs i and j, and \(\langle n_i \rangle\) and \(\langle n_j \rangle\) are their average occupation numbers. The NBO analysis was performed using the NBO program\(^5\) to elucidate the electronic structure and the inter-and intra-molecular interactions in the thiophene plus hydroperoxyl radical reaction with and without a single water molecule.

### Table 5. Analysis of the Fock matrix using the NBO calculations for some species in the thiophene plus hydroperoxyl radical reaction with and without a single water molecule.

| Compound | Donor NBOs | Occupancy (e) | Acceptor NBOs | Occupancy (e) | \(E_2\) (kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) |
|----------|------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|
| Thiophene | \(n_{2(2)}\) | 1.6299 | \(\pi^*(C_2-C_3)\) | 0.2960 | 21.26 |
| CR1      | \(n_{2(2)}\) | 1.6173 | \(\pi^*(C_2-C_3)\) | 0.2866 | 21.50 |
| CR-TS1-1WHA | \(n_{2(2)}\) | 1.6238 | \(\pi^*(C_2-C_3)\) | 0.3071 | 21.92 |
| CR-TS1-1WTB | \(n_{2(2)}\) | 1.6199 | \(\pi^*(C_2-C_3)\) | 0.3133 | 21.89 |
| CR-TS2-1WTA | \(n_{2(2)}\) | 1.6196 | \(\pi^*(C_2-C_3)\) | 0.3140 | 22.25 |
| CR-TS4-1WTA | \(n_{2(2)}\) | 1.6422 | \(\pi^*(C_2-C_3)\) | 0.2880 | 21.59 |
| CR3      | \(n_{2(2)}\) | 1.6239 | \(\pi^*(C_2-C_3)\) | 0.3068 | 21.67 |
| CR-TS3-1WHA | \(n_{2(2)}\) | 0.8668 | \(\pi^*(C_2-C_3)\) | 0.2127 | 10.88 |
| TS1      | \(n_{2(2)}\) | 0.9905 | \(\pi^*(C_1-C_2)\) | 0.2127 | 48.00 |
| TS1-1WHA | \(n_{2(2)}\) | 0.8621 | \(\pi^*(C_1-C_2)\) | 0.2134 | 5.68 |
| TS1-1WTB | \(n_{2(2)}\) | 0.8704 | \(\pi^*(C_1-C_2)\) | 0.2134 | 47.94 |
| TS2      | \(n_{2(2)}\) | 0.8656 | \(\pi^*(C_1-C_2)\) | 0.2086 | 5.63 |
| TS2-1WHA | \(n_{2(2)}\) | 0.8761 | \(\pi^*(C_1-C_2)\) | 0.2086 | 44.88 |
| TS2-1WTA | \(n_{2(2)}\) | 0.8472 | \(\pi^*(C_1-C_2)\) | 0.2015 | 13.69 |
| TS3      | \(n_{2(2)}\) | 0.9988 | \(\pi^*(C_1-C_2)\) | 0.2015 | 13.26 |
| TS3-1WTA | \(n_{2(2)}\) | 0.8490 | \(\pi^*(C_1-C_2)\) | 0.2025 | 13.66 |
| TS3-1WHA | \(n_{2(2)}\) | 0.9094 | \(\pi^*(C_1-C_2)\) | 0.2232 | 2.37 |
| TS4      | \(n_{2(2)}\) | 0.9069 | \(\pi^*(C_1-C_2)\) | 0.2232 | 2.37 |
| TS4-1WTA | \(n_{2(2)}\) | 1.8935 | \(\pi^*(C_1-C_2)\) | 0.2232 | 2.37 |
\[ E_2 = \Delta E = q_i \left[ \frac{F_{ij}^2}{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j} \right] \]  

where \( q_i \) is the orbital occupancy, \( \varepsilon_i \) and \( \varepsilon_j \) are diagonal elements of the Fock matrix, and \( F_{ij} \) is the off-diagonal elements\(^7\). The results of the Fock matrix obtained by the NBO calculations for some stationary points are listed in Table 5.

By referring to part \(^1\), we stated that two strong interactions exist in thiophene due to the \( n_{(2)} \rightarrow \pi^* (C_{1-C_2}) \left( E_2 = 21.26 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1} \right) \) and \( n_{(2)} \rightarrow \pi^* (C_{1-C_2}) \left( E_2 = 21.28 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1} \right) \) transitions. In encountering thiophene with HO\(_2\), in wet (and dry) media, the energies of \( n_{(2)} \rightarrow \pi^* (C_{1-C_2}) \) and \( n_{(2)} \rightarrow \pi^* (C_{1-C_2}) \) transitions in CR-TS1-1WHA (and CR1) are 21.92 (and 21.50) and 21.40 (and 21.99), and in TS1-1WHA (TS1) are 5.68 (5.46) and 10.90 (10.88) kcal mol\(^{-1}\) respectively. The stabilization energies (\( E_s \)) of the same transitions in TS1-1WTB are 5.63 and 10.70 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) respectively. These results show that for \( \beta \) addition, water alters the \( n_{(2)} \rightarrow \pi^* (C_{1-C_2}) \) and \( n_{(2)} \rightarrow \pi^* (C_{1-C_2}) \) transitions. There are also transitions between the lone pairs of the H10 atom and the unoccupied \( \pi^* (C_{1-C_2}) \) and \( \pi^* (C_{1-C_2}) \) orbitals in TS1-1WHA (TS1) and TS2-1WHA (TS2), respectively. These transitions vary the interactions of the lone pair electrons of the S atom and the unoccupied \( \pi^* (C_{1-C_2}) \) and \( \pi^* (C_{1-C_2}) \) orbitals. Thus, the activation energies of these TSs are changed. The stabilization energies \( E_2 \) value for the \( n_{(2)} \rightarrow \pi^* (C_{1-C_2}) \) transition in TS1-1WHA and TS1-1WTB (and TS1) are 47.94 and 44.88 (48.00) kcal mol\(^{-1}\), respectively.

In TS2-1WHA (and TS2), the stabilization energies (\( E_s \)) of the \( n_{(2)} \rightarrow \pi^* (C_{1-C_2}) \) and \( n_{(2)} \rightarrow \pi^* (C_{1-C_2}) \) transitions are 13.72 (13.69) and 8.48 (8.29) kcal mol\(^{-1}\) respectively, which show \( E_2 \) values for this TS are increased in the presence of a single water molecule. Also, for the TS2-1WHA (TS2), the \( n_{(2)} \rightarrow \pi^* (C_{1-C_2}) \) transition has the \( E_s \) value of 26.26 (29.08) kcal mol\(^{-1}\) which is less than TS1-1WHA (TS1). So, the TS2-1WHA saddle point with the smallest \( E_2 \) value for the \( n_{(2)} \rightarrow \pi^* (C_{1-C_2}) \) transition is the most stable TS of this reaction. A comparison of the relative energies of these two TSs shows that the TS2-1WHA is 10.12 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) more stable than TS2. Then, the charge-transfer delocalization for TS2-1WHA more than TS2.

The \( n_{(1)} \rightarrow \pi^* (C_{1-C_2}) \) stabilization energy for TS3 is 2.37 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) but for TS3-1WTA and TS3-1WHA are reduced to 2.09 and 2.19 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) respectively. However, the \( n_{(1)} \rightarrow \pi^* (C_{1-C_2}) \) stabilization energy for TS3 is 0.94 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) and for TS3-1WTA and TS3-1WHA is increased to 2.2 and 2.19 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), respectively. The \( n_{(2)} \rightarrow \pi^* (C_{1-C_2}) \) and \( n_{(2)} \rightarrow \pi^* (C_{1-C_2}) \) stabilization energy (\( E_s \)) for TS4 are 9.68 and 0.4 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) respectively. But for TS4-1WTB, only the \( n_{(2)} \rightarrow \pi^* (C_{1-C_2}) \) with 1.30 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) is seen in NBO analysis data.

Overall, all the new interactions by a water molecule can influence directly and indirectly the transitions between the \( \pi^* (C_{1-C_2}) \) and \( \pi^* (C_{1-C_2}) \) orbitals and lone pair electrons that can change the stability of the thiophene ring. Finally, we can conclude that in the presence of a single water molecule the \( E_s \) value is reduced for the discussed stationary points, relating to the involved intermolecular interactions (particularly hydrogen bonds by water molecules).

**Kinetic rate constant calculation.** To find the effect of an added single water molecule on the kinetics of the title reaction, all rate constants of the studied pathways are calculated in terms of the canonical transition state theory\(^8\). That paths start with the formation of a pre-reactive complex and then pass through just a transition state and are finally released to the final products can be considered by the following reaction mechanism

\[ \text{R}_1 + \text{R}_2 \ldots \text{H}_2\text{O} \xrightarrow{k_1} \text{pre-reactive complex(CR)} \xrightarrow{k_2} \text{products} \]  

where \( \text{R}_1 \) and \( \text{R}_2 \) are reactants, \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) are the rate constants of the association of reactants and dissociation of the pre-reactive collision complex, respectively. Also, \( k_1 \) is the rate constant for the formation of final products from CR. The steady-state approximation should be used to calculate the overall reaction rate. Thus, the following rate expression by considering the equilibrium between CR and reactants is obtained.

\[ k_{\text{overall}} = \frac{k_1}{k_1} k_2 = K_{\text{eq}} k_2 \]  

where the \( K_{\text{eq}} \) is the equilibrium constant of the first step in the reaction of eq. 4. The following equations are applied to calculate the rate constant of two-step reactions

\[ K_{\text{eq}} = \frac{k_1}{k_1} = \frac{Q_{\text{CR}}}{Q_{\text{R}_1}Q_{\text{R}_2}} \exp \left[ \frac{E_{\text{CR}} - (E_{\text{R}_1} + E_{\text{R}_2} + H_{\text{H}_2\text{O}})}{k_B T} \right] \]  

\[ k_2 = \sigma k_0 k_B T Q_{\text{TS}} \frac{Q_{\text{CR}}}{h} \exp \left[ \frac{E_{\text{TS}} - E_{\text{CR}}}{k_B T} \right] \]  

where \( k_0 \), \( T \), \( h \), and \( \sigma \) are the Boltzmann constant, temperature, the Planck constant, the tunneling correction, and the symmetry factor, respectively. \( Q_{\text{R}_1}Q_{\text{R}_2}H_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}, Q_{\text{CR}}, \) and \( Q_{\text{TS}} \) represent the partition functions of the reactants (that is shown by the product of separated reactants), pre-reactive complex, and transition states, respectively. The \( E_{\text{R}_1}H_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} + E_{\text{R}_2}, E_{\text{CR}}, \) and \( E_{\text{TS}} \) indicate the total energy of the reactants, the complexes, and transition, respectively.

The tunneling effect is a quantum mechanical phenomenon that is defined as the ratio of barrier crossing rates obtained by quantum mechanics and classical mechanics. In this study, the unsymmetrical Eckart potential.
energy barrier has been used to simulate approximately the potential energy curve with high accuracy during tunneling\textsuperscript{79}. The Eckart formula which is a potential function uses an unsymmetrical barrier to estimate the tunneling factor for computing precise rate constants theoretically. The Eckart formalism uses the following integral over the threshold energy $E$ to calculate the tunneling factor:

$$\kappa = \exp \left( \frac{E_b}{k_B T} \right) \int_{E_0}^{\infty} \exp \left( -\frac{E}{k_B T} \right) \frac{dE}{k_B T}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7a)$$

where $E_b$ is the barrier energy of the reaction path, and $P^T(E)$ is the tunneling transmission probability.

$$P^T(E) = \frac{\cosh(a + b) - \cosh(a - b)}{\cosh(a + b) + \cosh(c)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7b)$$

The tunneling transmission depends on three parameters that are as follows

$$a = \frac{2\pi \sqrt{2\mu E}}{\hbar \alpha}$$ \hspace{1cm} (7c)$$

$$b = \frac{2\pi \sqrt{2\mu (E - \Delta E)}}{\hbar \alpha}$$ \hspace{1cm} (7d)$$

$$c = \frac{2\pi \sqrt{\left(2\mu \beta - \frac{\hbar^2 \alpha^2}{4}\right)}}{\hbar \alpha}$$ \hspace{1cm} (7e)$$

where $\Delta E$ is calculated from the difference of energy between the sum of products and the sum of reactants which is called the reaction energy. The definition of other parameters is found elsewhere\textsuperscript{80}. Also, we use the statistical Rice–Ramsperger–Kassel–Marcus (RRKM) theory to compute the rate constants of two-step reactions, which are considered to be continued by unimolecular reaction channels\textsuperscript{81}.

To gain more useful insight into the influence of a single water molecule on the title reaction, all rate constants for the naked and water-assisted reactions are calculated by the abovementioned theories. For the above-discussed paths, the calculated rate constants are denoted by $k_X$ as follows:

\begin{align*}
C_4H_4S + HO_2 & \overset{TS1}{\longrightarrow} P1 \quad (k_{P1}) \\
C_4H_4S + HO_2...H_2O & \overset{TS1-1WHA}{\longrightarrow} CP - TS1 - 1WHA \rightarrow P1 + H_2O \quad (k_{P-1WHA}) \\
C_4H_4S...H_2O + HO_2 & \overset{TS1-1WTB}{\longrightarrow} CP1 - TS1 - 1WTB \rightarrow P1 + H_2O \quad (k_{P-1WTB}) \\
C_4H_4S + HO_2 & \overset{TS4}{\longrightarrow} P1 \quad (k_{P1}) \\
C_4H_4S + HO_2...H_2O & \overset{TS4-1WHA}{\longrightarrow} CP - TS4 - 1WHA \rightarrow P1 + H_2O \quad (k_{P4-1WHA}) \\
C_4H_4S...H_2O + HO_2 & \overset{TS4-1WTB}{\longrightarrow} CP - TS4 - 1WTB \rightarrow P1 + H_2O \quad (k_{P4-1WTB}) \\
C_4H_4S + HO_2 & \overset{TS2}{\longrightarrow} P1 \quad (k_{P2}) \\
C_4H_4S + HO_2...H_2O & \overset{TS2-1WHA}{\longrightarrow} CP2 - TS2 - 1WHA \rightarrow P2 + H_2O \quad (k_{P2-1WHA}) \\
C_4H_4S...H_2O + HO_2 & \overset{TS2-1WTA}{\longrightarrow} CP2 - TS2 - 1WTA \rightarrow P2 + H_2O \quad (k_{P2-1WTA}) \\
C_4H_3S + HO_2 & \overset{TS3}{\longrightarrow} CP3 \rightarrow P3 \quad (k_{P3}) \\
C_4H_4S + HO_2...H_2O & \overset{TS3-1WHA}{\longrightarrow} CP - TS3 - 1WHA \rightarrow P3 + H_2O \quad (k_{P3-1WHA}) \\
C_4H_4S...H_2O + HO_2 & \overset{TS3-1WTA}{\longrightarrow} CP3 - TS3 - 1WTA \rightarrow P3 + H_2O \quad (k_{P3-1WTA}) \\
C_4H_4S + HO_2 & \overset{TS2}{\rightarrow} P2 \overset{TS8a}{\longrightarrow} CP8a \rightarrow P4 \quad (k_{P4})
\end{align*}
Table 6. The ratios of the computed rate constants at the BD(T) level for the water-assisted paths to the respective ones in the bare reaction.

| T [K] | kP1-1WTA/kP1 | kP2-1WTA/kP2 | kP3-1WTA/kP3 | kP4-1WTA/kP4 | kP5-1WTA/kP5 |
|-------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 300   | 3.58E−02     | 1.01E+02     | 2.64E−01     | 5.18E+03     | 3.35E−02     |
| 400   | 4.42E−02     | 1.11E+01     | 5.40E−02     | 1.21E+02     | 3.35E−02     |
| 500   | 4.97E−02     | 2.91E+00     | 5.70E−02     | 1.59E+01     | 3.35E−02     |
| 600   | 5.37E−02     | 1.18E+00     | 6.70E−02     | 2.43E+00     | 3.35E−02     |
| 700   | 5.67E−02     | 6.12E−01     | 7.79E−02     | 1.65E+00     | 3.35E−02     |
| 800   | 5.90E−02     | 3.75E−01     | 8.66E−02     | 1.87E+00     | 3.35E−02     |
| 900   | 6.08E−02     | 2.55E−01     | 9.88E−02     | 2.43E+00     | 3.35E−02     |
| 1000  | 6.23E−02     | 1.88E−01     | 1.08E−01     | 3.37E+00     | 3.35E−02     |
| 1100  | 6.35E−02     | 1.46E−01     | 1.17E−01     | 4.23E+00     | 3.35E−02     |
| 1200  | 6.45E−02     | 1.18E−01     | 1.26E−01     | 5.14E+00     | 3.35E−02     |
| 1300  | 6.54E−02     | 9.87E−02     | 1.33E−01     | 6.34E+00     | 3.35E−02     |
| 1400  | 6.62E−02     | 8.46E−02     | 1.41E−01     | 7.66E+00     | 3.35E−02     |
| 1500  | 6.69E−02     | 7.41E−02     | 1.47E−01     | 8.92E+00     | 3.35E−02     |
| 1600  | 6.75E−02     | 6.59E−02     | 1.54E−01     | 1.02E+01     | 3.35E−02     |
| 1700  | 6.80E−02     | 5.95E−02     | 1.59E−01     | 1.16E+01     | 3.35E−02     |
| 1800  | 6.85E−02     | 5.43E−02     | 1.65E−01     | 1.30E+01     | 3.35E−02     |
| 1900  | 6.90E−02     | 5.00E−02     | 1.70E−01     | 1.45E+01     | 3.35E−02     |
| 2000  | 6.94E−02     | 4.65E−02     | 1.75E−01     | 1.60E+01     | 3.35E−02     |
| 2100  | 6.97E−02     | 4.35E−02     | 1.79E−01     | 1.75E+01     | 3.35E−02     |
| 2200  | 7.01E−02     | 4.09E−02     | 1.84E−01     | 1.90E+01     | 3.35E−02     |
| 2300  | 7.04E−02     | 3.87E−02     | 1.88E−01     | 2.05E+01     | 3.35E−02     |
| 2400  | 7.06E−02     | 3.68E−02     | 1.91E−01     | 2.20E+01     | 3.35E−02     |
| 2500  | 7.09E−02     | 3.51E−02     | 1.94E−01     | 2.35E+01     | 3.35E−02     |
| 2600  | 7.11E−02     | 3.36E−02     | 1.98E−01     | 2.50E+01     | 3.35E−02     |
| 2700  | 7.14E−02     | 3.23E−02     | 2.02E−01     | 2.65E+01     | 3.35E−02     |
| 2800  | 7.16E−02     | 3.11E−02     | 2.05E−01     | 2.80E+01     | 3.35E−02     |
| 2900  | 7.18E−02     | 3.01E−02     | 2.07E−01     | 2.94E+01     | 3.35E−02     |
| 3000  | 7.20E−02     | 2.91E−02     | 2.10E−01     | 3.08E+01     | 3.35E−02     |

The obtained rate data are reported in Table S9 and S10. Also, for easier comparison, the ratios of rate constants in the presence and absence of water are collected in Table 6.

As seen in Fig. 4, for the calculated rate constants at the mentioned temperature range, the non-Arrhenius behavior is observed. Therefore, the three-parameter equation \( k = A \exp \left( -\frac{E}{RT} \right) \) is used to fit the calculated temperature dependence of rate constants. All the following rate expressions are bimolecular in the unit of L mol\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\).
\[ k_{P1} = 3.81 \times 10^5 \left( \frac{T}{300} \right)^{3.15 \pm 0.01} \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{7.11 \pm 0.01}{RT} \right) \right] \] (8)

\[ k_{P1,1WHA} = 3.04 \times 10^4 \left( \frac{T}{300} \right)^{3.14 \pm 0.02} \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{7.34 \pm 0.02}{RT} \right) \right] \] (9)

\[ k_{P1,1WTB} = 5.49 \times 10^3 \left( \frac{T}{300} \right)^{3.03 \pm 0.02} \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{4.47 \pm 0.02}{RT} \right) \right] \] (10)

\[ k_{P1} = 3.35 \times 10^4 \left( \frac{T}{300} \right)^{4.08 \pm 0.10} \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{25.86 \pm 0.09}{RT} \right) \right] \] (11)

\[ k_{P1,1WHA} = 2.40 \times 10^2 \left( \frac{T}{300} \right)^{5.50 \pm 0.32} \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{24.96 \pm 0.28}{RT} \right) \right] \] (12)

\[ k_{P1,1WTB} = 9.29 \times 10^1 \left( \frac{T}{300} \right)^{4.39 \pm 0.14} \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{21.56 \pm 0.13}{RT} \right) \right] \] (13)

\[ k_{P2} = 4.02 \times 10^5 \left( \frac{T}{300} \right)^{3.13 \pm 0.01} \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{5.09 \pm 0.01}{RT} \right) \right] \] (14)

\[ k_{P2,1WHA} = 3.41 \times 10^4 \left( \frac{T}{300} \right)^{3.12 \pm 0.02} \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{5.09 \pm 0.02}{RT} \right) \right] \] (15)

\[ k_{P2,1WTA} = 1.17 \times 10^3 \left( \frac{T}{300} \right)^{3.04 \pm 0.02} \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{2.53 \pm 0.02}{RT} \right) \right] \] (16)

\[ k_{P3} = 1.03 \times 10^6 \left( \frac{T}{300} \right)^{3.50 \pm 0.04} \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{16.18 \pm 0.03}{RT} \right) \right] \] (17)

\[ k_{P3,1WHA} = 2.87 \times 10^5 \left( \frac{T}{300} \right)^{3.53 \pm 0.04} \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{16.66 \pm 0.03}{RT} \right) \right] \] (18)

\[ k_{P3,1WTA} = 7.12 \times 10^2 \left( \frac{T}{300} \right)^{3.34 \pm 0.02} \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{11.88 \pm 0.02}{RT} \right) \right] \] (19)

\[ k_{P4} = 3.41 \times 10^5 \left( \frac{T}{300} \right)^{3.16 \pm 0.02} \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{5.66 \pm 0.02}{RT} \right) \right] \] (20)

\[ k_{P4,1WHA} = 4.76 \times 10^4 \left( \frac{T}{300} \right)^{3.02 \pm 0.05} \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{6.09 \pm 0.04}{RT} \right) \right] \] (21)

\[ k_{P4,1WTA} = 4.38 \times 10^2 \left( \frac{T}{300} \right)^{3.09 \pm 0.02} \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{2.76 \pm 0.02}{RT} \right) \right] \] (22)

\[ k_5 = 4.98 \times 10^5 \left( \frac{T}{300} \right)^{3.08 \pm 0.08} \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{8.23 \pm 0.07}{RT} \right) \right] \] (23)
$k_{P5-1WHA} = 4.68 \times 10^4 \left( \frac{T}{300} \right)^{3.14 \pm 0.13} \exp \left[ -\frac{(9.47 \pm 0.11) \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}}{RT} \right]$ \quad (24)

$k_{P5-1WTB} = 1.42 \times 10^5 \left( \frac{T}{300} \right)^{3.29 \pm 0.04} \exp \left[ -\frac{(4.85 \pm 0.04) \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}}{RT} \right] \quad (25)$

Also, the plots of these fitted equations are shown in Fig. 4.

These results demonstrated that the water-assisted reaction has a similar trend as the naked reaction. Our computed rate constants show that the addition to the α carbon, α-C, is more favorable than β-C in the studied temperature range. For access to more detail about the naked reaction please see our previous paper\textsuperscript{54}. It should be noted that according to the TST theory, in addition to the barrier energy of a path, the ratio of the total partition function of a saddle point to the product of the partition function of reactants is important. It shall be emphasized to this point that this ratio for the water-assisted reactions is smaller than the corresponding bare reactions.

As aforementioned, a single water molecule has different effects due to different positions. So, the rate constants $k_{P1-1WHA}$ and $k_{P1-1WTB}$ have different behavior by temperature variation. $k_{P1-1WHA}$ in a temperature range of 300–1600 K but above 1600 K, the rate constant $k_{P1-1WHA}$ is more. Also, the ratio of rate constants ($k_{\text{water}}/k_{\text{naked}}$) for $k_{P1-1WHA}/k_{P1}$ and ($k_{P1-1WTB}/k_{P1}$) is 3.58E–02 (1.01E+02), 4.97E–02 (2.92E+00), and 5.90E–02 (3.57E–01) at 300, 500, and 800 K, and is 6.23E–02 (1.88E–01), 6.69E–02 (7.41E–02), and 7.20E–02 (2.91E–02) and, at 1000, 1500, and 3000 K, respectively. These results have a meaningful difference in the values of computed rate constants for the P1 generation pathways in wet and dry media at high temperatures. Other paths to produce P1 include TS4, TS4-1WHA, and TS4-1WTB. The calculated rate constants for these paths ($k_{P1-1WHA}$ and $k_{P1-1WTB}$) are small due to having large energy barriers. It is better to say that the P1 formation through the mentioned paths in the presence and absence of water is not kinetically important up to 1500 K since the ratio of rates of $k_{P1-1WHA}$ to $k_{P1}$ is small. This shows that this path even in the presence of water does not occur at low and moderate temperatures. The value of the rate constant for the P2 product, $k_{P2-1WTA}$, is more than all pathways of the water-assisted reactions that coincide with the corresponding naked reaction because addition to the α carbon is the main reaction pathway among all addition reactions. Also, the computed rate constants for the HO$_2$ addition to the S atom ($k_{P2-1WHA}$ and $k_{P2-1WTB}$) in the water-assisted reaction indicate that the same as the respective naked reaction ($k_s$), this reaction does not occur at low temperatures. However, the computed rate constant for $k_{P3-1WTA}$ is higher than $k_{P3-1WHA}$ up to 800 K and after that the rate of P3 formation via the path that is proceed by the 1WHA complex is high. The ratio $k_{P3-1WHA}/k_{P3}$ ($k_{P3-1WTB}/k_{P3}$) is 5.57E–02 (1.07E+03), 1.58E–01 (1.30E–01), 2.16E–01 (943E–03), 2.59E–01 (2.10E–03) at 300, 800, 1500, and 3000 K, respectively (see Table 6).

For two-step reactions, the rate constant of product formation is computed in both dry and wet media (see Tables S9 and S10). For P4 generation, the ratio of rate constant in the presence and absence of a water molecule $k_{P4-1WHA}/k_s$ ($k_{P4-1WTB}/k_s$) is 3.35E–02 (2.66E+01), 8.23E–03 (4.72E–02), and 8.68E–02 (3.02E–03) at 300, 1500, and 3000 K, respectively. These results demonstrate that the same as other paths the product formation through 1WHA formation has a lower rate constant at low temperatures. Regarding the ratio $k_{P4-1WHA}/k_s$, the results indicate that these ratios are smaller than others at low temperatures. The obtained value for $k_{P4-1WHA}/k_s$ is 3.61E–03, 3.99E–03, 7.11E–02 and at 300, 400, and 500 K, respectively. But, for $k_{P4-1WTB}/k_s$ the same values are 1.97E+02, 1.52E–01 and 3.03E+00, respectively. Finally, it can be concluded that there is a remarkable difference in the computed rate constants of a product formation in wet media due to the orientation of the water molecule. Also, there is a meaningful difference between the computed rate constants of the argued paths in wet and dry media because of a change in barrier energy over the 300–3000 K temperature range.

**Conclusion**

This work was accomplished to prove the crucial role of a water molecule in atmospheric reactions in which one component is an aromatic compound. To establish the impact of water, the addition mechanism in the HO$_2$...H$_2$O + thiophene system was selected as an example. The reaction begins with the association of the 1WHA and 1WTB, and then the formation of different pre-reactive complexes in the initial stage of addition reactions. Then, the reactions go on with adding HO$_2$ radical to the α and β carbons and also the sulfur atom. The obtained reaction pathways showed that the reaction mechanism with a single water molecule is more complicated than the naked reaction. Our results made clear that the presence of water molecules leads to the creation of ring-like structures. These ring structures were confirmed by AIM analysis and were observed due to hydrogen bonds and van der Waals interactions in all prereactive, post-reactive, and transition state structures. The main consequence of the strong interactions in ring structures was to lead to high stabilization of the stationary points. The amounts of stabilization energies for TS1-1WTA (TS1-1WTB), TS2-1WHA (TS2-1WTB), TS3-1WHA (TS3-1WTB), TS8a-1WHA (TS8a-1WTB) are 9.65 (7.43), 10.13 (7.53), 9.36 (10.72), 8.62 (9.49), 7.75 (9.12), and 8.96 (10.68) kcal mol$^{-1}$, respectively. Although the ratio of the partition function $\frac{Q_{TS}}{Q_{RI}}$ is similar for all paths, the amount of stabilization energy due to the presence of water in the 1WHA complex is higher than that of the water-assisted TSs. Thus, these paths have a smaller rate constant than the respective naked reactions. Despite this, the inverse treatment is seen for the paths that start with the 1WTA and 1WTB complexes. Therefore, the results of this study show that the presence of water accelerates or does not accelerate the rate constant of the addition pathways which is related to the reacting primary complex formed between water and one of the reactants.
Data availability
All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article [and its supplementary information files].

Received: 21 March 2022; Accepted: 19 October 2022
Published online: 08 November 2022

References
1. Buszek, R. J., Francisco, J. S. & Anglada, J. M. Water effects on atmospheric reactions. Int. Rev. Phys. Chem. 30, 335–369. https://doi.org/10.1080/01442253X.2011.634128 (2011).
2. Latimer, W. M. & Rodebush, W. H. Polarity and ionization from the standpoint of the Lewis theory of valence. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 42, 1419–1433. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja01452a015 (1920).
3. Stockwell, W. R. On the HO2 + H2O reaction: Its misapplication in atmospheric chemistry models. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 100, 11695–11698. https://doi.org/10.1029/94JD03107 (1995).
4. McCabe, D. C., Rajakumar, B., Marshall, P., Smith, J. W. M. & Ravishankara, A. R. The relaxation of OH (v = 1) and OD (v = 1) by H2O and D2O at temperatures from 251 to 390 K. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 8, 4563–4574. https://doi.org/10.1039/B609330B (2006).
5. Hamilton, E. J. & Naleway, C. A. Theoretical calculation of strong complex formation by the hydroperoxy radical: hydroperoxy. J. Phys. Chem. A 92, 5199–5208. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp000599a018 (1976).
6. Mikhailov, E. F., Vlasenko, S. S., Krämer, L. & Niessner, R. Interaction of soot aerosol particles with water droplets: Influence of surface hydrophilicity. J. Aerosol Sci. 32, 697–711. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-8502(00)00101-4 (2001).
7. Hayeck, N., Hamilton, E. J. & Naleway, C. A. Theoretical calculation of strong complex formation by the hydroperoxy radical: hydroperoxy. J. Phys. Chem. A 116, 9151–9162. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp20935d (2010).
8. Canneaux, S., Sokolowski-Gomez, N., Henon, E., Bohr, F. & Dobé, S. Theoretical study of the reaction OH + acetone: A possible kinetic effect of the presence of water?. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 6, 5172–5177. https://doi.org/10.1039/B409900A (2004).
9. Alonso, S. & Francisco, J. S. Existence of a hydroperoxide and water (HO2-H2O) radical complex. J. Phys. Chem. A 102, 1899–1902. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp07217p (1998).
10. Morita, A., Kanaya, Y. & Francisco, J. S. Uptake of the HO2 radical by water: Molecular dynamics calculations and their implications for atmospheric modeling. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 109, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD004420 (2004).
11. Anglada, J. M. & Gonzalez, J. Different catalytic effects of a single water molecule: The gas-phase reaction of formic acid with hydroxyl radical in water vapor. ChemPhysChem 10, 3034–3045. https://doi.org/10.1002/cphc.200900387 (2009).
12. Gonzalez, J. & Anglada, J. M. Gas phase reaction of nitric acid with hydroxyl radical without and with water. A theoretical investigation. J. Phys. Chem. A 114, 9151–9162. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp20935d (2010).
13. Iuga, C., Alvarez-Idaboy, J. R. & Vivier-Bunge, A. Single water-molecule catalysis in the glyoxal+OH reaction under tropospheric atmospheric conditions: Fact or fiction? A quantum chemistry and pseudo-second order computational kinetic study. Chem. Phys. Lett. 501, 11–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2010.01.043 (2010).
14. Martin, D., Jourdain, J. L. & LeBras, G. Kinetic study for the reactions of OH radicals with dimethylsulfide, diethylsulfide, tetrahydrothiophene, and thiophene. J. Phys. Chem. A 114, 4857–4863. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp910202n (2010).
15. Vöhringer-Martinez, E., Téllebach, E., Liessmann, M. & Abel, B. Role of water complexes in the reaction of propionaldehyde with OH radicals. J. Phys. Chem. A 114, 9720–9724. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp090804c (2010).
16. Long, B. et al. Theoretical study on the gas phase reaction of sulfuric acid with hydroxyl radical in the presence of water. J. Phys. Chem. A 115, 1350–1357. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp107550w (2011).
17. Buszek, R. J., Barker, R. I. & Francisco, J. S. Water effect on the OH + HCl reaction. J. Phys. Chem. A 116, 4712–4719. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp2015107 (2012).
18. Buszek, R. J. & Zhang, W. Theoretical study on the water-assisted reaction of NCO with HCHO. J. Phys. Chem. A 117, 6883–6892. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp105687c (2013).
19. Kropp, K. G. & Fedorak, P. M. A review of the occurrence, toxicity, and biodegradation of condensed thiophenes found in petroleum. Can. J. Microbiol. 44, 605–622. https://doi.org/10.1139/w98-045 (1998).
20. Westerholm, R. N. et al. Chemical and biological characterization of particulate-, semivolatile-, and gas-phase-associated compounds in diluted heavy-duty diesel exhausts: A comparison of three different semivolatile-phase samplers. Environ. Sci. Technol. 35, 332–338. https://doi.org/10.1021/es00146a018 (1991).
21. Martin, D., Jourdain, J. L. & Lebras, G. Kinetic study for the reactions of OH radicals with dimethylsulfide, diethylsulfide, tetrahydrothiophene, and thiophene. Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 17, 1247–1261. https://doi.org/10.1002/kin.550171202 (1985).
22. Atkinson, R. & Carter, W. P. L. Kinetics and mechanisms of the gas-phase reactions of ozone with organic compounds under atmospheric conditions. Chem. Rev. 84, 437–470. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr0063a002 (1984).
23. Song, X., Fanelli, M. G., Cook, J. M., Bai, F. & Parish, C. A. Mechanisms for the reaction of thiophene and methylthiophene with singlet and triplet molecular oxygen. J. Phys. Chem. A 116, 4934–4946 (2012).
24. Wine, P. H. & Thompson, R. J. Kinetics of OH reactions with furan, thiophene, and tetrahydrothiophene. Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 16, 867–878. https://doi.org/10.1002/kin.550167007 (1984).
25. Lee, J. & Tang, I. Absolute rate constants for the hydroxyl radical reactions with ethane, furan, and thiophene at room temperature. J. Chem. Phys. 77, 4459–4463 (1982).
26. Atkinson, R., Aschmann, S. M. & Carter, W. P. Kinetics of the reactions of O3 and OH radicals with furan and thiophene at 298±2 K. Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 15, 51–61 (1983).
27. Woltington, W. A. Kinetics of the gas phase reaction of OH radicals with pyrrole and thiophene. Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 18, 487–496 (1986).
28. MacLeod, H., Jourdain, J. L. & Lebras, G. Absolute rate constant for the reaction of OH with thiophene between 293 and 473 K. Chem. Phys. Lett. 98, 381–385 (1983).
29. Jourdain, J., Leod, H. M., Poulet, G. & Bras, G. L. in Physico-Chemical Behaviour of Atmospheric Pollutants 143–148 (Springer, 1984).
30. Zhang, Y., Sun, J., Sun, Y., Tang, Y. & Wang, R. Mechanistic and kinetic study on the reaction of thiophene with hydroxyl radical. Comput. Theor. Chem. 1092, 74–81 (2016).
31. Shiroudi, A. & Deleuze, M. S. Theoretical study of the oxidation mechanisms of thiophene initiated by hydroxyl radicals. J. Mol. Model. 21, 1–20 (2015).
35. Shiroudi, A. & Deleuze, M. S. Reaction mechanisms and kinetics of the O2 addition pathways to the main thiophene–OH adduct: A theoretical study. Prog. React. Kinet. Mech. 41, 398–417 (2016).
36. Lee, J. & Tang, I. Absolute rate constant for the reaction of O (3 P) with thiophene from 238 to 448 K. J. Chem. Phys. 75, 137–140 (1981).
37. Gao, X., Hall, M., Smith, D. & Grice, R. Dynamics of ring cleavage and substitution in the reactive scattering of O (3P) atoms with C2H4S and C4H4S molecules. J. Phys. Chem. A 101, 187–191 (1997).
38. Gao, X. Dynamics of the reactions of oxygen atoms with iodine and sulphur containing compounds. (The University of Manchester (United Kingdom), 1999).
39. Zhang, Y., Sun, Y., Huang, B. & Wang, Z. Mechanistic and kinetic study on the reaction of thiophene (C4H4S) with O (3P). ChemistrySelect 3, 8644–8650 (2018).
40. D'i. W. et al. Theoretical study on the mechanism of the thiophene structure with O2 reaction. J. China Coal Soc. 39, 699–704 (2014).
41. Cabañas, B. et al. Products and mechanism of the N3 reaction with thiophene. J. Atmos. Chem. 51, 317–335 (2005).
42. Cabañas, B. et al. A kinetic study of gas-phase reaction of thiophene with NO3 by using absolute and relative methods. Chem. Phys. Lett. 358, 401–406 (2002).
43. Zhang, W., Wang, T., Feng, C., Du, B. & Mu, L. Computational studies on the mechanism for the gas-phase reaction between thiophene and NO3. Chem. Phys. Lett. 467, 52–57 (2008).
44. Coonradt, H. L. & Hartough, H. D. The chlorination of Thiophene. I. Addition Products. (Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1989).
45. Leininger, M. L., Nielsen, I. M. B., Crawford, T. D. & Janssen, C. L. A new diagnostic for open-shell coupled-cluster theory. J. Chem. Phys. 115, 14599–14611 (2001).
46. Leininger, M. L. & Hartough, H. D. The chlorination of Thiophene. I. Addition Products. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 70, 2564–2568 (1948).
47. Altarawneh, M. K., Dlugogorski, B. Z., Kennedy, E. M. & Mackie, J. C. Rate constants for reactions of ethylbenzene with hydroperoxyl radical. Combust. Flame 160, 9–16 (2013).
48. Alecu, I. & Truhlar, D. G. Computational study of the reactions of methanol with the hydroperoxyl and methyl radicals. 2. Accurate thermal rate constants. J. Phys. Chem. A 115, 14599–14611 (2011).
49. Simmie, J. M. Detailed chemical kinetic models for the combustion of hydrocarbon fuels. Prog. Energy Combust. Sci. 29, 599–634. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-1285(03)00060-1 (2003).
50. Ren, X. et al. OH and HO2 chemistry in the urban atmosphere of New York City. Atmos. Environ. 37, 3639–3651. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(03)00459-X (2003).
51. Heard, D. E. & Pilling, M. J. Measurement of OH and HO2 in the Troposphere. Chem. Rev. 103, 5163–5198. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr020522s (2003).
52. Gonzalez, C. & Schlegel, H. B. An improved algorithm for reaction path following. J. Chem. Phys. 90, 2154–2161. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.465010 (1989).
53. Wood, G. P. et al. A restricted-open-shell complete-basis-set model chemistry. J. Chem. Phys. 125, 094106 (2006).
54. Bartlett, R. J. Many-body perturbation theory and coupled cluster theory for electron correlation in molecules. Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 32, 359–401. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pc.32.100181.002043 (1981).
55. Leininger, M. L., Nielsen, I. M. B., Crawford, T. D. & Janssen, C. L. A new diagnostic for open-shell coupled-cluster theory. Chem. Phys. Lett. 328, 431–436. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2614(00)00966-0 (2000).
56. Le, T. T. Comparison of the T1 and D1 diagnostics for electronic structure theory: A new definition for the open-shell D1 diagnostic. Chem. Phys. Lett. 372, 362–367. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2614(00)00435-4 (2001).
57. Handy, N., Pople, J. & Head-Gordon, M. K. Raghavachari and GW Trucks. Chem. Phys. Lett. 164, 185 (1989).
58. Handy, N. C., Pople, J. A., Head-Gordon, M., Raghavachari, K. & Trucks, G. W. Size-consistent Brueckner theory limited to double substitutions. Chem. Phys. Lett. 164, 185–192 (1989).
59. Gaussian 09 Revision D (Wallingford, CT, 2009).
60. Zharko, G. & Zharko, D. Chemcraft. Version 1.7 (Build 132). HTML: www.chemcraftpro.com (2014).
61. Shahbazian, S. Why bond critical points are not “bond” critical points. Chem. Eur. J. 24, 5401–5405. https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201705163 (2018).
62. Biegler-König, F., Schönbohm, J., Derda, R., Bayles, D. & Bader, R. AIM2000 version 2 edn, (McMaster University, 2002).
63. Eyring, H. The activated complex in chemical reactions. J. Chem. Phys. 3, 107–115 (1935).
64. Steinfield, J. L., Francisco, J. S. & Hase, W. L. Chemical kinetics and dynamics. (Prentice Hall Upper Saddle River, NJ, 1999).
65. Miyoshi, A. Gaussian Post Processor (GPP). (University of Tokyo, 2010).
66. Miyoshi, A. Study-State Unimolecular Master-Equation Solver (SSUMES). (University of Tokyo, 2010).
67. Thangamani, D., Shankar, R., Vijayakumar, S. & Kolanudatti, P. Mechanism and kinetics of the atmospheric degradation of 2-(m-methylphenyl)acetylene with O3 and hydroxyl OH radicals—a theoretical study. Mol. Phys. 114, 3055–3075 (2016).
68. Subramani, M., Rajamani, A. & Shankar, R. Kinetics and degradation mechanism of atmospheric isoprene (2-methyl-1,3-butadiene (CSH8)) with chlorine radical and its derivatives—A theoretical study. Int. J. Quantum Chem. 121, e26573 (2021).
69. Yang, W. & Parr, R. G. Hardness, softness, and the fukui function in the electronic theory of metals and catalysis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 82, 6723–6726 (1985).
70. Yang, W., Parr, R. G. & Pucci, R. Electron density, Kohn–Sham frontier orbitals, and Fukui functions. J. Chem. Phys. 81, 2862–2863 (1984).
71. Yang, W. & Mortier, W. J. The use of global and local molecular parameters for the analysis of the gas-phase basicity of amines. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 108, 5708–5711 (1986).
72. McQuarrie, D. A. Statistical mechanics. (Sterling Publishing Company, 2000).
73. Reed, A. E., Curtiss, L. A. & Weinhold, F. Intermolecular interactions from a natural bond orbital, donor-acceptor viewpoint. Chem. Rev. 88, 899–926. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr0088a005 (1988).
74. Steinfield, J. L., Francisco, J. S. & Hase, W. L. Chemical Kinetics and Dynamic. (Prentice-Hall, 1989).
75. Eckart, C. The penetration of a potential barrier by electrons. Phys. Rev. 35, 1303–1309. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.35.1303 (1930).
76. Bao, J. L. & Truhlar, D. G. Variational transition state theory: Theoretical framework and recent developments. Chem. Soc. Rev. 46, 7548–7596 (2017).
Acknowledgements
The Authors thank the Reviewers for their insightful comments that improved the quality of the work greatly. This work was supported by the University of Zanjan.

Author contributions
H.D. and M.S.S. performed calculations, analysed data, and wrote the paper, M.V. corrected the manuscript and supervised the whole study.

Competing interests
The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information
Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-22831-8.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to H.D. or M.V.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher’s note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022

81. Rice, O. K. & Ramsperger, H. C. Theories of unimolecular gas reactions at low pressures. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 49, 1617–1629. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja01406a001 (1927).