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Abstract. We provide a detailed description of solutions of Curve Shortening in $\mathbb{R}^n$ that are invariant under some one-parameter symmetry group of the equation, paying particular attention to geometric properties of the curves, and the asymptotic properties of their ends. We find generalized helices, and a connection with curve shortening on the unit sphere $S^{n-1}$. Expanding rotating solitons turn out to be asymptotic to generalized logarithmic spirals. In terms of asymptotic properties of their ends the rotating shrinking solitons are most complicated. We find that almost all of these solitons are asymptotic to circles. Many of the curve shortening solitons we discuss here are either space curves, or evolving space curves. In addition to the figures in this paper, we have prepared a number of animations of the solitons, which can be viewed at http://www.youtube.com/user/solitons2012/videos?view=1.

1. Introduction

A family $c : (t_0, t_1) \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ of smooth parametrized curves in $\mathbb{R}^n$ evolves by Curve Shortening if it satisfies

$$p_c(c_t) = c_{ss},$$

where $s$ is arc length along the curve, and $p_a$ denotes orthogonal projection along the vector $a$, so that $p_a c_t$ is the component of the velocity vector $c_t$ normal to the curve. If the curves are parametrized so that $c_t \perp c_s$ at all times, then (1) is equivalent with

$$c_t = c_{ss}.$$

Curve Shortening for compact curves has been extensively studied, especially in the case of curves in the plane or on a surface (see e.g. [6].) Here we look at Curve Shortening for noncompact curves in $\mathbb{R}^n$. More specifically, we consider solitons, i.e. self-similar solutions. Since noncompact curves can be geometrically much more complex than compact curves, it is to be expected that Curve Shortening for noncompact curves will present a much wider range of phenomena than for compact curves.

In geometric analysis, solitons are considered to be solutions of some geometric evolution equation that are invariant under some subgroup of the full symmetry group of the equation. The equation (1) is invariant under Euclidean motions in space, translations in time, and parabolic dilations of space-time. If $G$ is the group generated by these transformations of space-time, then we will classify and describe all solutions of (1) that are invariant under some one-parameter subgroup of $G$. In §2 we classify the one-parameter subgroups of $G$ up to conjugation and find three
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essentially different kinds of one-parameter subgroup and thus three types of self similar solution of (1) (see Table 1).

**Solutions with static symmetry:** The first kind of solution we encounter (“category A” in Table 1) consist of curves that at each moment in time are invariant under some family of Euclidean motions. The most general static symmetry that is preserved by Curve Shortening is of the form

\[ C \mapsto e^{\theta M} C + \theta v, \quad (\theta \in \mathbb{R}) \]

for any fixed skew symmetric matrix \( M \) and any fixed vector \( v \) with \( Mv = 0 \).

**Rotating and translating solitons:** The solutions in category B (Table 1) are defined for all time \( t \in \mathbb{R} \). They are characterized by the fact that the curve \( c(t, \cdot) \) at any time \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) is obtained from the initial curve \( c(0, \cdot) \) by a combination of translation and rotation, i.e.

\[ c(t, \xi) = e^{tA} c(0, \xi) + tv. \]

Here \( v \) is the translation velocity and \( A = -A^t \) is an antisymmetric matrix representing the rate at which the soliton rotates.

**Rotating and dilating solitons:** Solitons in category C (Table 1) are characterized by the condition that the curve \( c(t, \cdot) \) at any time \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) is obtained from the curve \( c(0) \) at time \( t = 0 \) by a combination of dilation and rotation, i.e.

\[ c(t, \xi) = (1 + 2\alpha t)^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\alpha}} A c(0, \xi), \]

where \( \alpha \neq 0 \) is a constant and \( A = -A^t \) is the skew symmetric matrix that describes the rotation. For \( \alpha = 0 \) we have

\[ c(t, \xi) = e^{tA} c(0, \xi) \]

instead.

Some special well-known solutions of Curve Shortening include *straight lines* (which fall under all three categories); *circles* (which have static symmetry, and which also evolve by dilating), the *Grim Reaper*, i.e. the graph of \( y = -\log \cos x \) (which moves by steady translation upward along the \( y \)-axis); the *Yin-Yang curve* (a spiral in the plane which moves by steady rotation); the *Abresch-Langer curves* (plane curves that evolve by shrinking dilation\(^1\)); the *Brakke wedge* (a convex plane curve that evolves by expanding dilation.) See Figure 1. The case of plane solitons has been fully analyzed by Halldorsson in [8], where one can also find many figures of plane solitons.

**Summary of main results.** The existence of special solutions with static symmetry was first reported in [3, 4, 2] where it was noted that under Curve Shortening a helix in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) will remain a helix, and that this helix will shrink to its central axis. Since Curve Shortening preserves the symmetries (2), any solution of (1) whose initial curve is invariant under (2) will at all times be invariant under (2). The symmetries in (2) form a one parameter group so that curves invariant under this group are exactly the orbits of the group action on \( \mathbb{R}^n \). The Curve Shortening flow on curves invariant under (2) can thus be reduced to a finite system of ODEs. In §3

\(^1\)Abresch and Langer derived and analyzed the ODE that describes planar dilating solitons. A main point of their paper [1] was to show that while most of these curves are not compact, there is a discrete family of compact immersed curves among them. Nonetheless, we will call both compact and non compact purely shrinking solitons “Abresch-Langer curves.”
we derive this system and find that after a time change it is equivalent with the first order linear system $x' = M^2 x$, which is trivially solved. Translating the solutions of $x' = M^2 x$ back to curves evolving by (1), we find two somewhat different cases. For $v \neq 0$ the solutions behave as in the Altschuler-Grayson helix example, namely, in backward time they are helix-like curves wound on a cylinder of radius $O(\sqrt{-t})$ while in forward time the curves converge at an exponential rate to the line through the origin in the direction of $v$. For $v = 0$, solutions only exist for $t \in (\infty, T)$ for some $T$. At time $t$ the curve is contained in a sphere of radius $\sqrt{2(T-t)}$. After rescaling to the unit sphere, the solutions converge both as $t \to -\infty$ and as $t \to T$ to different great circles. In fact, after changing time, the rescaled solutions are solutions to Curve Shortening for immersed curves on the unit sphere $S^{n-1}$.

Starting in §4 we consider solitons. Any rotating-translating or rotating-dilating soliton $c(t)$ is completely determined by its initial curve $c(0)$. A curve $C$ is the initial curve of a rotating-dilating or a rotating-translating soliton exactly when it is the solution of the second order ODE

$$C_{ss} = (\alpha + A)C + v + \lambda C_s,$$

where $\lambda$ is determined by the condition $C_s \perp C_{ss}$. The parameters $\alpha, A$ and $v$ are as above.

The case of non-rotating solitons, where $A = 0$, turns out not to present any new curves in higher dimensions. On one hand it is a well-known folk theorem that all purely translating solitons are planar, so that up to rotation and scaling they are equivalent to the Grim Reaper (we prove a more general statement in Lemmas 5.1, 5.2, and 5.4). On the other hand, it is also known that all purely dilating solitons are planar. They are therefore copies of the Abresch-Langer solitons. Again, Lemma 5.1 presents a generalization of this statement.

The classification in §2 of one-parameter subgroups of the complete group $G$ of symmetries of Curve Shortening shows that we do not have to consider solitons that simultaneously dilate and translate. While the soliton equation (3) certainly has solutions when $\alpha \neq 0$ and $v \neq 0$, these curves turn out to be non-translating solitons whose center of dilation and rotation is not at the origin. We therefore only consider rotating-translating or rotating-dilating solitons.

In studying the global behaviour of the curve we distinguish between unbounded ends of a soliton, and ends that stay within a bounded region. We show in §6 that the unbounded ends can be decomposed into high curvature and low curvature parts. The high curvature parts are characterized by the condition that the tangent and the vector $a = (\alpha + A)C + v$ are not almost parallel. These high curvature arcs are short (their length is $O(||a||^{-1})$), and the further away they are from the origin, the better they are approximated by a small copy of the Grim Reaper. (See Figure 4.) On the remaining low curvature arcs, which comprise most of the soliton, the tangent $C_s$ is almost parallel to $a$, so that these arcs are solutions of

$$\lambda \frac{dC}{ds} = (\alpha + A)C + v + \text{ a small error term}.$$

After reparametrization this equation is linear, and easily solved. It should provide a good description of “medium length” sections of solitons. This description can in certain cases be shown to be globally correct (see below, and Lemma 7.7.)

Of all the rotating-dilating solitons, the ones that rotate and expand are simplest to describe. The distance to the origin from a point on a rotating-expanding soliton
is a function on the curve that has exactly one global minimum, and no other critical points. On both ends of the curve the distance to the origin grows without bound. Both ends are low curvature arcs, and using the approximate equation 4 we show that the ends are asymptotic to generalized logarithmic spirals (Lemma 7.7). In the very special case where $A = 0$ these spirals reduce to straight lines, and the solitons are just the Brakke wedges.

For purely rotating solitons the approximate equation (4) (with $\alpha = 0$, $v = 0$) suggests that the ends will merely rotate. However we find in §6 that the ends must be unbounded, which shows that the ends of purely rotating solitons slowly “spiral off to infinity.” If the null space $N(A)$ is non-empty then either the soliton is contained in a proper subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$, or else $N(A)$ contains a line $\ell$ such that the orthogonal projection of the soliton onto $\ell$ is injective (Lemma 5.4). This must always be the case in odd dimensions; e.g. in $\mathbb{R}^3$ the null space $N(A)$ is the axis of the rotation generated by $A$, and Lemma 5.4 says that the soliton is either planar, or a graph over the axis of rotation.

A similar result holds for translating-rotating solitons ($\alpha = 0$, $\mathbf{A}v = 0$, $v \neq 0$.) Here the projection of the soliton onto its translation axis (the line through the
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**Figure 1.** For three dimensional solitons the soliton equation (3) contains three essential parameters: the dilation rate $\alpha$, the rotation rate $\omega$, and the translation velocity $v_z$. For each fixed choice of $(\alpha, \omega, v_z)$ many solitons exist, namely, one through each point $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and unit tangent vector $\mathbf{T}$. This figure shows a few solitons in $\mathbb{R}^3$. The named solitons are well known planar examples.
origin in the direction of \( \mathbf{v} \) is bijective, or else the function \( x \mapsto \langle \mathbf{v}, x \rangle \) has a unique minimum on the soliton. In the latter case the projection onto the translation axis is two-to-one except at the point where \( \langle \mathbf{v}, x \rangle \) is minimal (see Figure 2.) The quantity \( \langle \mathbf{v}, x \rangle \) is unbounded along each end. If \( \langle \mathbf{v}, x \rangle \to \infty \) along an end, then along this end the distance to the translation axis must also become unbounded. Any end along which \( \langle \mathbf{v}, x \rangle \to -\infty \) converges to the translation axis (Lemma 6.4).

The most complicated solitons are the rotating shrinking solitons \( (\alpha < 0, A \neq 0, \mathbf{v} = 0) \). First, given any \( A \) any circle of radius \( 1/\sqrt{-\alpha} \) that is invariant under the rotations \( e^{iA} \) is trivially a soliton that dilates and rotates with parameters \( (\alpha, A) \). Similarly, any Abresch-Langer curve in \( N(A) \) is a dilating-rotating soliton with the same parameters. In §8 we show that any bounded end of a rotating shrinking soliton must converge to these trivial cases, i.e. \( e^{iA} \) invariant circles, or Abresch-Langer curves in \( N(A) \).

The class of rotating shrinking solitons also includes the rotating solitons for Curve Shortening of immersed curves on the sphere \( \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \). These were studied by Hungerbühler and Smoczyk in [10] (in [10] solitons on other surfaces were also considered). The connection is explained in §3.1 and §6.3.

In Lemmas 8.1 and 8.3 we show that a shrinking-rotating soliton can have at most one unbounded end, unless it is a straight line in \( N(A) \). If a rotating-shrinking soliton has an unbounded end, then we find that there are two distinct cases. One possibility is that the distance from points on the end to \( N(A) \) never falls below a certain lower bound (specified in Lemma 7.7). In this case the end is asymptotic to a generalized logarithmic spiral. In Lemma 7.8 we prove existence of such solitons.

The other possibility is that the unbounded end of the soliton stays within a fixed distance of \( N(A) \). In this case it must accumulate on Abresch-Langer curves and straight lines in \( N(A) \). Although we were initially inclined to conjecture that this last case could not occur, preliminary computations involving matched asymptotic expansions, which we intend to present in a forthcoming paper, indicate that that such exotic solitons may actually exist.
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2. The symmetry group for Curve Shortening and its one-parameter subgroups

The Curve Shortening equation is invariant under translations in space-time, rotations in space, and, being a heat equation, parabolic dilations of space-time. In this section we classify the one-parameter subgroups of the full symmetry group of Curve Shortening. We then describe what solutions invariant under each of these subgroups look like, and derive the differential equations they satisfy.

Let \( \mathcal{G} \) be the group of transformations of space-time \( \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \) of the form

\[
g : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}, \quad g(x, t) = (\Theta \mathbf{R} x + \mathbf{a}, \Theta^2 t + b)
\]

where \( \Theta > 0, b \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{R} \in O(n, \mathbb{R}) \), and \( \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n \). Any transformation \( g \in \mathcal{G} \) leaves (1) invariant, i.e. it maps solutions of (1) to solutions of (1).
Let
\[ g_\epsilon(x, t) = (\Theta(\epsilon)R(\epsilon)x + a(\epsilon), \Theta(\epsilon)^2t + b(\epsilon)) \]
be a one-parameter subgroup of \( G \). Such a subgroup is completely determined by
the vector field
\[ V(x, t) = \frac{\partial g_\epsilon(x, t)}{\partial \epsilon} \bigg|_{\epsilon=0} = ((\theta + M)x + v, 2\theta t + w), \]
where \( \theta, v, w, \) and \( M \) are defined by
\[ \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \bigg|_{\epsilon=0} (\Theta(\epsilon), a(\epsilon), b(\epsilon), R(\epsilon)) = (\theta, v, w, M). \]
Specifically, the orbit \( g_\epsilon(x_0, t_0) = (x(\epsilon), t(\epsilon)) \) through any point \((x_0, t_0)\) is the solution of the first-order differential equation
\[ (\dot{x}, \dot{t}) = V(x, t), \]
for suitable choice of constants \( \theta \in \mathbb{R}, w \in \mathbb{R}, v \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( M \in \mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{R}) \) (i.e. \( M : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n \) is antisymmetric).

Instead of considering the most general one-parameter subgroup generated by
(7), one can simplify the problem by conjugating the subgroup with some \( h \in G \), i.e. by applying a linear change of coordinates \((x, t) = h(\hat{x}, \hat{t})\). Below we choose simple representatives in each conjugacy class of subgroups.

**Choice of parameter for the subgroup.** If \((\theta, v, w, M)\) generates the subgroup \( \{g_\epsilon\} \), then the one parameter subgroup \( \{h_\epsilon\} \) generated by any multiple \((\lambda\theta, \lambda v, \lambda w, \lambda M)\) is given by \( h_\epsilon = g_{\lambda\epsilon} \). Therefore multiplying the vector field \( V \) with a constant does not change the subgroup it generates. In particular, we may always assume that
\[ w \geq 0. \]

**Rotating the coordinates.** We can rotate in space and substitute \( x = S\hat{x}, t = \hat{t}, \)
for any orthogonal \( S \in O(n, \mathbb{R}) \). Then \( \dot{t} \) and \( t \) satisfy the same equation, while \( \dot{x} \) satisfies
\[ \frac{d\hat{x}}{d\epsilon} = (\theta + S^{-1}MS)\hat{x} + S^{-1}v. \]
Since \( M : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n \) is antisymmetric, its rank is even, say \( \text{rank}(M) = 2m, \) and there is an \( M \)-invariant orthogonal decomposition
\[ \mathbb{R}^n = E_1 \oplus E_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_m \oplus N(M) \]
in which each \( E_j \) is two dimensional. This allows us to choose the rotation \( S \) so that after conjugation with \( S \) the matrix of \( M \) has the form
\[ M = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \mathbb{J} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \omega_m \mathbb{J} & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \mathbf{0}_{n-2m} \end{pmatrix} \]
with \( \mathbb{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \)
where the rotation rates \( \omega_j \) are positive and ordered
\[ 0 < \omega_1 \leq \omega_2 \leq \cdots \leq \omega_m, \]
and where \( \mathbf{0}_{n-2m} \) is the \((n-2m) \times (n-2m)\) zero matrix.
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Cat. Parameters $(\dot{x}, \dot{t})$ $g_r(x_0, t_0) = (x(\epsilon), t(\epsilon))$ Description

| A  | $\theta = 0$ | $\dot{x} = Mx + v$ | $x(\epsilon) = e^{\epsilon M}x_0 + \epsilon v$ | Circles and Shrinking Helices |
|----|---------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|    | $w = 0$       | $\dot{t} = 0$       | $t(\epsilon) = t_0 + \epsilon$      |                             |
|    | $\mathcal{N}v = 0$ |                   |                                      |                             |

| B  | $\theta = 0$ | $\dot{x} = Mx + v$ | $x(\epsilon) = e^{\epsilon M}x_0 + \epsilon v$ | Translating and Rotating Solitons |
|----|---------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|    | $w = 1$       | $\dot{t} = 1$       | $t(\epsilon) = t_0 + \epsilon$      |                             |
|    | $\mathcal{N}v = 0$ |                   |                                      |                             |

| C  | $\theta = 1$ | $\dot{x} = x + Mx$ | $x(\epsilon) = e^{\epsilon M}x$ | Dilating and Rotating Solitons |
|----|---------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|    | $w = 0$       | $\dot{t} = 2t$      | $t(\epsilon) = e^{2\epsilon}t_0$ |                             |
|    | $v = 0$       |                     |                                   |                             |

**Table 1.** A taxonomy of one-parameter subgroups of $\mathcal{G}$. Up to coordinate changes there are three categories of subgroups of $\mathcal{G}$.

**Translating in space.** After rotating space, we can relocate the origin in space to $p$ and substitute $x = \hat{x} + p$, $t = \hat{t}$. The equations (7) then become

$$d\hat{x}/d\epsilon = (\theta + M)\hat{x} + \hat{v}, \quad d\hat{t}/d\epsilon = 2\theta \hat{t} + w$$

where

$$\hat{v} = v + (\theta + M)p$$

If $\theta \neq 0$ then, since $M$ is antisymmetric, $\theta + M$ is invertible, and so we can choose $p = -(\theta + M)^{-1}v$ to make $\hat{v} = 0$. In short: if $\theta \neq 0$ then, after choosing a different origin in space, we may assume that $v = 0$.

If $\theta = 0$ we have $\hat{v} = v + Mp$, so that we can still choose $p$ to make $\hat{v} \perp \text{Range}(M)$. Since $M$ is antisymmetric, this is the same as choosing $p$ so that $M(\hat{v}) = 0$.

**Translating in time.** Having chosen the origin in space, we can translate in time by setting $t = \theta + \hat{t}$. This leaves the equation for $x$ in (7) unchanged and turns the $t$-equation into

$$d\hat{t}/d\epsilon = 2\theta \hat{t} + w + 2\theta \theta = 2\theta \hat{t} + \hat{w}.$$  

When $\theta = 0$ this has no effect at all, but if $\theta \neq 0$ we can choose $\theta = -w/2\theta$ so that $\hat{w} = 0$.

**Dilating space and time.** Finally, we can apply a parabolic dilation, $x = \vartheta \hat{x}$, $t = \vartheta^2 \hat{t}$, with $\vartheta > 0$. If $\theta \neq 0$ then we have already arranged that $v = 0$, $w = 0$ by appropriately choosing our origin in space-time. The equations (7) are in this case linear homogeneous and thus the dilation has no effect.

If $\theta = 0$ then the dilation turns the $t$-equation into $d\hat{t}/d\epsilon = \vartheta^{-2}w = \hat{w}$. Since $w \geq 0$ by assumption (8) we are left with two options: either $w > 0$ and we can arrange $\hat{w} = 1$ by choosing $\vartheta = w^{-1/2}$, or $w = 0$.

We conclude from the above discussion that after applying a suitable coordinate transformation we may always assume that the parameters $\theta, v, w, M$ belong to one of three categories, namely, A: $\theta = w = 0, Mv = 0$; B: $\theta = 0, w = 1, Mv = 0$; and C: $\theta \neq 0, w = 0, v = 0$. (See Table 1.)
3. Circles and Shrinking Helices

Let \( \{g_\epsilon : \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}\} \) be a one-parameter subgroup corresponding to category A and let \( \{c(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \) be a family of curves invariant under \( g_\epsilon \). If \( (C_0, t_0) \) is a point on one of these curves, then the \( g_\epsilon \) orbit through \( (C_0, t_0) \) lies in the curve. Since the \( g_\epsilon \) do not change the time variable \( t \), the \( g_\epsilon \) orbit of any point sweeps out a curve at time \( t \) parametrized by \( \epsilon \). Therefore a \( g_\epsilon \) invariant family of curves can be parametrized by choosing one point \( C_0(t) \) on the curve for each time \( t \), and then letting the group \( g_\epsilon \) act on that point. The result is

\[
(11) \quad c(\epsilon, t) = e^{\epsilon M} C_0(t) + \epsilon v.
\]

If the vector \( v \neq 0 \) then one can always choose \( C_0(t) \perp v \).

Recall that we had chosen coordinates in which the matrix of \( M \) is given by (10). The exponential \( e^{\epsilon M} \) therefore acts on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) by rotating the \( (x_{2j-1}, x_{2j}) \) component by an angle \( \omega_j \epsilon \) for \( j = 1, \ldots, m \). In particular, in two and three dimensions \( e^{\epsilon M} \) performs a rotation by an angle \( \omega_1 \epsilon \) around the origin in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) or the \( x_3 \)-axis in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \), and we see that \( c(\epsilon, t) \) traces out the circle in the \( x_1, x_2 \)-plane through \( C_0 \) if \( v = 0 \), or a helix around the \( x_3 \)-axis if \( v \neq 0 \).

In higher dimensions the curve traced out by \( c(\epsilon, t) \) depends on the rotation frequencies \( \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_m \). If they are integer multiples of a common frequency, i.e. if \( \omega_j = p_j \Omega (\Omega > 0, p_j \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq j \leq m) \), then the exponential \( e^{\epsilon M} \) is \( 2\pi/\Omega \) periodic.

If \( v = 0 \) then the curve \( c(\epsilon) = e^{\epsilon M} C_0 \) is a closed curve on the sphere with radius \( \|C_0\| \in \mathbb{R}^{2m} \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \). For instance, for \( n = 4 \), \( \omega_1 = p_1 \), \( \omega_2 = p_2 \), with \( p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{N} \), and for \( C_0 = (r_1, 0, r_2, 0) \) with \( r_1^2 + r_2^2 = 1 \), the curve

\[
c(\epsilon) = (r_1 \cos p_1 \epsilon, r_1 \sin p_1 \epsilon, r_2 \cos p_2 \epsilon, r_2 \sin p_2 \epsilon)
\]

is a \((p_1, p_2)\) torus knot in \( S^3 \).

If the rotation rates \( \omega_j \) are not multiples of some common frequency, then the curve \( c(\epsilon) = e^{\epsilon M} C_0 \) is not closed, but instead is dense in a torus whose dimension is the largest number of \( \omega_j \) that are independent over the rationals.

If \( v \neq 0 \), then the projection of \( c(\epsilon) = e^{\epsilon M} C_0 + \epsilon v \) onto \( \mathbb{R}^{2m} \times \{0\} \) is the curve \( \tilde{c}(\epsilon) = e^{\epsilon M} C_0 \). Therefore \( c(\epsilon) = e^{\epsilon M} C_0 + \epsilon v \) describes a generalized helix over \( \tilde{c} \).

Suppose now that \( c(\epsilon, t) \) given by (11) is a family of curves evolving by Curve Shortening that is invariant under the one parameter group \( g_\epsilon \). Then Curve Shortening implies a differential equation for the time evolution of \( C_0(t) \), which we now derive.

Direct computation shows that

\[
c_\epsilon = Me^{\epsilon M} C_0(t) + v, \quad c_{\epsilon \epsilon} = M^2 e^{\epsilon M} C_0(t), \quad c_\epsilon = e^{\epsilon M} C_0'(t),
\]

where \( ' \) is the derivative with respect to time.

Since \( M \) is antisymmetric and since \( Mv = 0 \) we have \( e^{\epsilon M} v = v \). From there we see that the two terms in \( c_\epsilon \) are orthogonal. Therefore

\[
\|c_\epsilon\|^2 = \|v\|^2 + \|MC_0(t)\|^2.
\]

Hence the arc length derivative and derivative with respect to \( \epsilon \) are related by

\[
\frac{d}{ds} = \frac{1}{\|c_\epsilon\|} \frac{d}{d\epsilon} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\|v\|^2 + \|MC_0(t)\|^2}} \frac{d}{d\epsilon}.
\]
This allows one to compute
\[ c_{ss} = \frac{c_{c}}{\|c_{c}\|^2} = e^{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\mathcal{M}^2 C_0(t)}{\|v\|^2 + \|MC_0(t)\|^2}. \]
Together with \( c_t = c_{ss} \), i.e. if \( e^{\mathcal{M}} C_0'(t) = e^{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\mathcal{M}^2 C_0(t)}{\|v\|^2 + \|MC_0(t)\|^2} \).

Cancelling \( e^{\mathcal{M}} \) on both sides we see that (1) implies
\[ C_0'(t) = \mathcal{M}^2 C_0 \frac{\|v\|^2 + \|MC_0(t)\|^2}{\|v\|^2 + \|MC_0(t)\|^2}. \]
This equation is essentially linear, after a time-change. If one introduces a new time variable \( \tau \), related to \( t \) by
\[ dt \frac{d}{d\tau} = \|v\|^2 + \|MC_0(t)\|^2, \]
then \( C_0 \), as a function of \( \tau \) satisfies
\[ \frac{dC_0}{d\tau} = \mathcal{M}^2 C_0. \]
Since \( \mathcal{M} \) is given by (10), we have
\[ \mathcal{M}^2 = \begin{pmatrix}
-\omega_1^2 1_{\mathbb{R}^2} \\
\vdots \\
-\omega_m^2 1_{\mathbb{R}^2} \\
0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad 1_{\mathbb{R}^2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \]
It follows that
\[ C_0(\tau) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} e^{-\omega_j^2 \tau} C_j \]
for certain constant vectors \( C_j \in E_j \) (\( E_j \) as in (9)). To eliminate \( \tau \), we observe that
\[ \|v\|^2 + \|MC_0\|^2 = \|v\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \omega_j^2 e^{-2\omega_j^2 \tau} \|C_j\|^2 \]
and integrate (13) to get
\[ t = \|v\|^2 \tau - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\omega_j}{2} e^{-2\omega_j^2 \tau} \|C_j\|^2. \]
One cannot explicitly solve this equation for \( t \), but one can find approximate solutions when \( \tau \to \pm \infty \). Assume that \( \omega_1 \leq \omega_2 \leq \cdots \leq \omega_m \), and let \( k \) and \( l \) be the smallest and largest values of \( j \) respectively for which \( C_j \neq 0 \).

As \( \tau \to -\infty \) we can approximate \( t \) by the largest term in (15), giving us
\[ t = -\left( \frac{\omega_k}{2} + o(1) \right) e^{-2\omega_k^2 \tau} \|C_k\|^2, \]
while, by (14),
\[ C_0 = e^{-\omega_l^2 \tau} C_l + o(e^{-\omega_l^2 \tau}). \]
These expansions for $C_0$ and $t$ show that as $\tau \to -\infty$, the actual time $t$ also goes to $-\infty$, and

\begin{equation}
C_0(t) = \sqrt{-\frac{2t}{\omega_j}} \frac{C_j}{\|C_j\|} + o(\sqrt{-t}).
\end{equation}

Thus for $t \to -\infty$ the rescaled curves $(-t)^{-1/2}c(\epsilon, t)$ converge to a circle with radius $\sqrt{2/\omega_j}$ in the $E_j$ plane.

Examples: If $C_j$ is the only non zero $C_j$, then $(-t)^{-1/2}c$ actually coincides with this circle, but if there are other nonzero terms in (14), then when the $\omega_j = p_j \Omega$ are integer multiples of a common rate $\Omega$, the normalized curve $(-t)^{-1/2}c(\epsilon, t)$ is a closed curve converging to a multiple cover of the circle with radius $\sqrt{2\omega_j}$; if at least two $\omega_j$ with $C_j \neq 0$ are independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, then $(-t)^{-1/2}c(\epsilon, t)$ is densely wound on a torus, which converges to the circle in $E_j$ with radius $\sqrt{2\omega_j}$ as $t \to -\infty$.

The behaviour for $\tau \to \infty$ depends on whether or not $v = 0$.

If $v \neq 0$, then (15) implies that

$$
t = (\|v\|^2 + o(1))\tau, \quad (\tau \to \infty).
$$

By (14), $C_0(t)$ decays exponentially as $t \to \infty$, so that (11) tells us that the curve $c(\epsilon, t)$ converges at an exponential rate to the straight line $c(\epsilon, \infty) = \epsilon v$.

On the other hand, if $v = 0$, then (15) implies that

$$
t = -\left(\frac{\omega_k}{2} + o(1)\right)e^{-\omega_k^2\tau}.
$$

Reasoning as above we find that

\begin{equation}
C_0(t) = \sqrt{-\frac{2t}{\omega_k}} \frac{C_k}{\|C_k\|} + o(\sqrt{-t}).
\end{equation}

Thus as $t \not\to 0$ the rescaled curves $(-t)^{-1/2}c(\epsilon, t)$ converge to a circle with radius $\sqrt{2/\omega_k}$ in the $E_k$ plane.

3.1. Connection with Curve Shortening on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. The solutions we have found for $v = 0$ are related equivalent to certain special solutions of Curve Shortening on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.

**Lemma 3.1.** If $C_0(t)$ is a solution of (12) and if $v = 0$, then $\|C_0(t)\|^2 = 2(T - t)$ for some $T \in \mathbb{R}$. The corresponding curve $c(t, \epsilon) = e^{\epsilon M}C_0(t)$ therefore lies on a sphere of radius $\sqrt{2(T - t)}$.

**Proof.** We compute, using (12) and $M' = -M$,

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\|C_0(t)\|^2 = 2\frac{\langle C_0(t), MC_0(t) \rangle}{\|MC_0(t)\|^2} = -2\frac{\langle MC_0(t), MC_0(t) \rangle}{\|MC_0(t)\|^2} = -2,
$$

which immediately implies the Lemma. \(\square\)

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $c : (\epsilon, T) \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a family of curves with $\|c(t, \epsilon)\|^2 = 2(T - t)$, and consider the family of curves $\tilde{c}$ on the unit sphere given by

\begin{equation}
c(t, \epsilon) = \sqrt{2(T - t)} \tilde{c}(\frac{1}{2} \ln(T - t), \epsilon).
\end{equation}

Then $c$ evolves by Curve Shortening if and only if $\tilde{c}$ evolves Curve Shortening on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. 
Proof. Since Curve Shortening for immersed curves in $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is given by $\dot{c}_\theta = \dot{c}_{ss} + \dot{c}$, the lemma follows from a direct computation:

$$c_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}}\{\dot{c}_\theta - \dot{c}\}, \quad c_{ss} = \frac{\dot{c}_{ss}}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}},$$

where $\theta = -\frac{1}{2} \ln(T-t)$. \hfill $\Box$

Putting these two Lemmas together we see that if $c(t, \epsilon) = e^{\epsilon \theta} C_0(t)$ is a solution to (1), then for suitably chosen $T$ the family of curves $\hat{c}(\theta, \epsilon)$ defined by (18) is an eternal solution of Curve Shortening on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. The asymptotics derived in (16) and (17) imply that $\hat{c}$ converges to a cover of some great circle on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, both for $\theta \to \infty$ and for $\theta \to -\infty$.

4. Solitons

The one-parameter symmetry groups that led us to the shrinking helices left the time coordinate $t$ invariant. From here on we will consider one-parameter symmetry groups $\{g_\epsilon\}$ of $\mathfrak{G}$ of categories B and C (see Table 1), for which $dt/d\epsilon \neq 0$.

In this section we will derive an ODE whose solutions generate all solutions to (1) that are invariant under a one-parameter subgroup of $\mathfrak{G}$ of category B or C. In both cases we start with a family of curves $c(\xi, t)$. Assuming the family is invariant under a category B or C subgroup $\{g_\epsilon\}$ we find an equation relating $c_t$ and $c_{\xi \epsilon}$. Combining this with the further assumption that $c$ is a solution of (1), i.e. of $\mathfrak{p}_c, c_t = c_{ss}$, we can eliminate the time derivative $c_t$ after which we are left with an ODE. This ODE must be satisfied by the curve $c(\cdot, t)$ at all times $t$.

4.1. The ODE for Translating and Rotating Solitons. If $\{g_\epsilon\}$ is of category B, then we have $\theta = 0, w = 1, Mv = 0$, and the subgroup is given by

$$g_\epsilon(x, t) = (e^{\epsilon M} x + \epsilon v, t + \epsilon).$$

In words: $g_\epsilon$ advances time by $\epsilon$, and translates and rotates space by $\epsilon v$ and $e^{\epsilon M}$, respectively.

If a family of curves $c(\xi, t)$ is known at time $t = t_0$, and if the family is known to be invariant under the action of the subgroup $g_\epsilon$, then this action determines the curves at other times. Thus for any given $c(\xi_0, t_0)$ the point $g_\epsilon(c(\xi_0, t_0), t_0)$ also belongs to the family of curves, so that a $\xi_1$ exists for which

$$g_\epsilon(c(\xi_0, t_0), t_0) = (c(\xi_1, t_0 + \epsilon), t_0 + \epsilon)$$

holds. Different choices of $\epsilon$ will lead to different values of $\xi_1$. We denote the function $\epsilon \mapsto \xi_1$ defined in this way by $\xi_1 = \xi(\epsilon)$. Consequently we have for all $\epsilon$

(19) \quad $c(\xi(\epsilon), t_0 + \epsilon) = e^{\epsilon \theta} c(\xi_0, t_0) + \epsilon v.$

Since $\xi(\epsilon)$ is obtained by solving this equation, and since $\xi \mapsto c(\xi, t)$ is an immersion, the Implicit Function Theorem implies that $\xi(\epsilon)$ depends smoothly on $\epsilon$. We can therefore differentiate (19) with respect to $\epsilon$ at $\epsilon = 0$, which leads to

$$c_t + \frac{dc}{d\epsilon} c_\xi = Mc + v,$$

hence

$$\mathfrak{p}_c c_t = \mathfrak{p}_c (Mc + v)$$
and, because of (1),
\[ c_{ss} = p_{c_{0}}(Mc + v). \]
This equation contains no time derivatives and is in fact an ODE. Therefore we find that at any time \( t_{0} \) the parametrized curve \( \mathbf{C}(\xi) = c(\xi, t_{0}) \) is a solution of the ODE
\[
(20) 
\mathbf{C}_{ss} = p_{c_{0}}(M\mathbf{C} + \mathbf{v})
\]
Conversely, if a parametrized curve \( \mathbf{C} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{n} \) satisfies (20), then the family of curves defined by (19) satisfies (1).

4.2. The ODE for Dilating and Rotating Solitons. If \( \{g_{\epsilon}\} \) is of category C, then we have \( \theta = 1, w = 0, v = 0. \) The action of \( g_{\epsilon} \) is given by
\[
g_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t) = (e^{\epsilon}e^{\epsilon M}\mathbf{x}, e^{2\epsilon}t).
\]
If \( c(\xi, t) \) is a solution of (1) that is invariant under \( \{g_{\epsilon}\} \), and if it is defined at some time \( t_{0} \in \mathbb{R} \), then, reasoning as in the category B case above, we find
\[
g_{\epsilon}(c(\xi_{0}, t_{0}), t_{0}) = \left(c(\xi(\epsilon), e^{2\epsilon}t_{0}), e^{2\epsilon}t_{0}\right)
\]
for some \( \xi(\epsilon) \) that depends smoothly on \( \epsilon. \) Consequently,
\[
(21) 
c(\xi(\epsilon), e^{2\epsilon}t_{0}) = e^{\epsilon}e^{\epsilon M}c(\xi_{0}, t_{0}).
\]
Once again we can differentiate with respect to \( \epsilon \) and set \( \epsilon = 0, \) this time with result
\[
2t_{0}c_{t} + \frac{d\xi}{d\epsilon}c_{\xi} = c + Mc.
\]
Taking the normal component, and using (1) we arrive at the ODE
\[
(22) 
2t_{0}c_{ss} = p_{c_{0}}(c + Mc).
\]
We now have to distinguish among the possibilities \( t_{0} = 0 \) and \( t_{0} \neq 0. \)

If \( t_{0} = 0, \) then (22) is not a second order differential equation at all. However, (21) implies that for some smooth \( \epsilon \mapsto \xi(\epsilon) \) one has
\[
c(\xi(\epsilon), 0) = e^{\epsilon}e^{\epsilon M}c(\xi_{0}, 0).
\]
The curve at time \( t_{0} = 0 \) is a reparametrization of a generalized logarithmic spiral: it is traced out by \( \epsilon \mapsto e^{\epsilon}e^{\epsilon M}c_{0} \) for some constant vector \( c_{0}. \)

If \( t_{0} \neq 0, \) then we can divide both sides of (22) by \( 2t_{0} \) and use (1) to obtain an ODE for the curve at time \( t_{0}. \) Introducing the constants
\[
(23) \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{2t_{0}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2t_{0}}M
\]
we find that the parametrized curve \( \mathbf{C}(\xi) = c(\xi, t_{0}) \) satisfies
\[
(24) \quad \mathbf{C}_{ss} = p_{c_{0}}(\alpha\mathbf{C} + \mathcal{A}\mathbf{C})
\]
whenever \( c \) is a \( \{g_{\epsilon}\}-\text{invariant solution of (1).} \)

Conversely, if \( \mathbf{C} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{n} \) is a given solution to (24) for some \( \alpha \neq 0 \) and some \( \mathcal{A} \in so(\mathbb{R}^{n}), \) then upon defining \( t_{0} = 1/2\alpha, \) \( M = 2t_{0}\mathcal{A}, \) one can use (21) to extend the curve \( \mathbf{C} \) to a \( \{g_{\epsilon}\}-\text{invariant family of curves} \) \( c(\xi, t) \) that satisfies (1). We have not specified how to choose \( \xi(\epsilon) \) in (21), but in view of the invariance of Curve Shortening under reparametrization of the curves, the choice of \( \xi(\epsilon) \) is immaterial.
One could simply set $\xi(\epsilon) = \xi_0$ for all $\epsilon$, so that our extension through (21) of $C$ would be given by

$$c(\xi, t) = e^{e^{\epsilon M} C(\xi)}, \text{ with } \epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{t}{t_0}.$$

This family of curves is defined for all $t$ that have the same sign as $t_0$. Thus if a given curve $C$ satisfies (24) with $\alpha < 0$, then the resulting family of curves is defined for all $t < 0$ and represents a rotating and shrinking soliton. If $\alpha > 0$ then $c$ is defined for all $t > 0$ and represents a rotating and expanding soliton.

4.3. The general soliton equation. Equations (20) and (24) are both special cases of (3), i.e. $C_{ss} = (\alpha + A)C + v + \lambda C_s$. Here $\lambda$ is a smooth function of the parameter and is uniquely determined by the requirement that $C_s \perp C_{ss}$.

Comparing the inner product with $C_s$ of both sides of (3) leads to

$$\lambda = -\langle (\alpha + A)C + v, C_s \rangle. \tag{25}$$

We can also write (3) in the following form

$$C_{ss} = p_T \left((\alpha + A)C + v\right), \tag{26}$$

where $T = C_s$ is the unit tangent to the curve $C$.

The soliton equation (3) defines a first order system of differential equations for $(C, T)$,

$$C_s = T, \quad T_s = p_T \left[(\alpha + A)C + v\right]. \tag{27}$$

Since $C \in \mathbb{R}^n$ can be arbitrary and $T$ is always a unit vector, this system defines a flow on $\mathbb{R}^n \times S^{n-1}$. It is this system that we used to produce the soliton images in this paper.

5. Components in the Null space and range of $A$

In this section we split $\mathbb{R}^n$ into the null space and range of $A$, i.e. $\mathbb{R}^n = N(A) \oplus R(A)$, and we consider the corresponding components of a soliton. Thus, for a given soliton we write

$$C(s) = Z(s) + W(s), \quad Z(s) \in N(A), \quad W(s) \in R(A). \tag{28}$$

It is well known that non-rotating dilating or non-rotating translating solitons (i.e. those with $A = 0$) are planar. This fact continues to be true in a weaker sense in the case where the rotation matrix $A$ does not necessarily vanish. Here we show that the projection $Z$ of any rotating-dilating or rotating-translating soliton onto the null space $N(A)$ is planar. In the case of purely rotating solitons, the projection $Z$ is in fact at most a line segment, and the soliton is a graph over this line segment.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let $C$ be dilating-rotating soliton satisfying (3) with $v = 0$. Then the orthogonal projection of $C$ onto the null space $N(A)$ of $A$ is contained in a two dimensional subspace of $N(A)$.

In particular, all purely dilating solitons ($\alpha \neq 0$, $A = 0$, $v = 0$) are planar: they are copies of the Abresch-Langer curves.
Figure 2. **Examples of non-planar solitons involving rotation.** Shown are sixteen different solitons that rotate around the z-axis (top); rotate and translate upward along the z-axis (second row); rotate around the z-axis and expand (3rd row); rotate and shrink (bottom).

**Proof.** $C$ satisfies (3). Therefore, if $\Pi_N$ denotes the orthogonal projection onto $N(A)$, then $\Pi_N A = A\Pi_N = 0$ and thus $Z = \Pi_N C$ satisfies

$$Z_{ss} = \alpha Z + \lambda(s)Z_s.$$

Let $\Phi_1(s)$ and $\Phi_2(s)$ be the two solutions of the general solution of the second order scalar linear differential equation $\Phi'' = \alpha \Phi + \lambda(s)\Phi'$ with $\Phi_1(0) = 1$, $\Phi_1'(0) = 0$ and $\Phi_2(0) = 0$, $\Phi_2'(0) = 1$. Then $Z$ is given by

$$Z(s) = \Phi_1(s)Z(0) + \Phi_2(s)Z'(0).$$

It follows that $Z(s)$ is contained in the two dimensional subspace spanned by $Z(0)$ and $Z'(0)$. □

**Lemma 5.2.** Let $C$ be translating-rotating soliton satisfying (3) with $\alpha = 0$. Then the orthogonal projection of $C$ onto the null space $N(A)$ of $A$ is contained in a two dimensional affine subspace of $N(A)$. 
In particular, all purely translating solitons \((\alpha = 0, A = 0, v \neq 0)\) are planar: they are copies of the “Grim Reaper.”

**Proof.** We again consider the projection \(Z = \Pi_N C\). Since we always assume \(v \in N(A)\), we have \(\Pi_N v = v\). Therefore \(Z\) satisfies
\[
Z_{ss} = v + \lambda(s)Z_s
\]
where \(s\) is still the arclength along the curve \(C\). The general solution of the (scalar) ODE
\[
y'' - \lambda(s)y' = 1
\]
can be written as
\[
y(s) = y(0) + y'(0)\Phi_1(s) + \Phi_p(s),
\]
where \(\Phi_1\) is the solution of the homogeneous equation with \(\Phi_1(0) = 0\) and \(\Phi_1'(0) = 1\), while \(\Phi_p\) is the particular solution with \(\Phi_p(0) = \Phi_p'(0) = 0\).

It follows that
\[
Z(s) = Z(0) + \Phi_1(s)Z'(0) + \Phi_p(s)v.
\]
Therefore \(Z(s)\) is always contained in the plane through \(Z(0)\) spanned by the vectors \(Z'(0)\) and \(v\).

\[\square\]

**Corollary 5.3.** Let \(T(s)\) be a smooth family of unit vectors, and let
\[
C(s) = C(0) + \int_0^s T(s')\, ds'
\]
be the corresponding curve in \(\mathbb{R}^n\). If \(T(s)\) satisfies the differential equation
\[
T_s = pr(v)
\]
for some fixed vector \(v\), then the curve \(C\) is a purely translating soliton with velocity vector \(v\).

**Lemma 5.4.** Let \(C\) be a purely rotating soliton. Then its projection \(Z\) onto the null space \(N(A)\) is contained in some line \(\ell \subset N(A)\).

The projection consists of either one point, a half line obtained by splitting \(\ell\) at a point \(P \in \ell\), or the line segment between two points \(P, Q \in \ell\).

If the projection is a half line or a line segment \(m \subset \ell\), then the soliton is a graph over \(m\) in \(m \times R(A)\).

The projected soliton approaches any endpoint of \(m\) at an exponential rate, i.e. if \(\lim_{s \to \pm\infty} Z(s) = P\) then \(\|Z(s) - P\| \leq Ce^{-\delta|s|}\) for some \(C, \delta > 0\).

This is illustrated in Figure 2 (top row). Non-planar rotating solitons in \(\mathbb{R}^3\) are graphs over the rotation axis, and if they are asymptotic to some plane orthogonal to the rotation axis, then they approach this plane at an exponential rate.

**Proof.** By the previous Lemma the soliton is given by (29) where in our case \(v = 0\). Thus the projection onto \(N(A)\) of a purely rotating soliton is given by
\[
Z(s) = Z(0) + \Phi_1(s)Z'(0),
\]
where \(\Phi_1\) satisfies \(\Phi_1'' = \lambda(s)\Phi_1'\) with \(\Phi_1(0) = 0, \Phi_1'(0) = 1\). The projected soliton is therefore contained in the line \(\ell\) through \(Z(0)\) with direction \(Z'(0)\). If \(Z'(0) = 0\) then the projection reduces to just the one point \(Z(0)\).
Assume from here on that $Z'(0) \neq 0$. The line $\ell$ is parametrized by
\[
Z = Z(0) + \phi Z'(0), \quad \phi \in \mathbb{R}.
\]
The equation for $\Phi_1$ implies that $\Phi_1'(s) > 0$ for all $s$. One way to see this is to observe that $\Phi_1'$ satisfies a linear homogeneous first order differential equation so that either $\Phi_1'(s) = 0$ for all $s$, or $\Phi_1'(s) \neq 0$ for all $s$. Alternatively, one can just solve the ODE for $\Phi_1$, resulting in
\[
\Phi_1(s) = \int_{0}^{s} e^{\int_{0}^{s} \lambda(s') ds'} ds'.
\]
(31) Since $\Phi_1$ is a monotone function the map $s \mapsto Z(s)$ is one-to-one. The range of $\Phi_1$ is an interval $(\phi_-, \phi_+)$ where
\[
\phi_\pm = \lim_{s \to \pm \infty} \Phi_1(s)
\]
and the projection of the soliton is the line segment $m \subset \ell$ consisting of all points $Z(0) + \phi Z'(0)$ with $\phi_- < \phi < \phi_+$. For each $\phi \in (\phi_-, \phi_+)$ one can find $s = \Phi^{-1}_1(\phi)$ and write
\[
C(s) = Z(0) + \phi Z'(0) + W,
\]
where $W$ is the projection of $C(s)$ onto $N(A)^\perp = R(A)$. Regarding $W$ as a function of $\phi \in (\phi_-, \phi_+)$ we see that the soliton is indeed a graph over the line segment $m$.

We now estimate the rate at which $\Phi_1$ changes sign, i.e. $\lambda(s) > 0 > \lambda(s_{**})$ for certain $s < s_{**}$. Let $\lambda(s_{**}) = -\delta$. Then $\lambda(s) \leq -\delta < 0$ for all $s \geq s_{**}$. Hence $\Phi_1'(s)/\Phi_1'(s_{**}) = e^{\int_{s_{**}}^{s} \lambda(s') ds'} \leq e^{-\delta(s-s_{**})}$. Integrating we find that $\phi_+$ is finite, and that $|\Phi_1(s) - \phi_+| \leq C e^{-\delta s}$ for all $s \geq s_{**}$. An analogous argument applies to the behaviour of $\Phi_1(s)$ for $s \to -\infty$.

\lamb\ does not change sign, and $\lambda(s_{**}) \neq 0$ for some $s_{**} \in \mathbb{R}$. Without loss of generality we assume that $\lambda(s) \geq 0$ for all $s$. As in the previous case where $\lambda(s)$ changed sign we find that $\Phi_1(s)$ converges exponentially as $s \to -\infty$. We must still examine the behaviour of the soliton as $s \to +\infty$.

The components $Z$ and $W$ of $C$ satisfy linear differential equations
\[
W_{ss} - \lambda(s) W_s = AW,
\]
(32)
\[
Z_{ss} - \lambda(s) Z_s = 0.
\]
(33) Multiply the second equation with the integrating factor and integrate. We get
\[
Z_s(s) = e^{\int_{0}^{s} \lambda(s') ds'} Z_s(s_0).
\]
(34) We can choose $s_0$ so that $Z_s(s_0) \neq 0$, for if we could not, then $Z_s(s) = 0$ for all $s$, implying that $Z(s)$ is constant, in which case the soliton would lie in an affine subspace parallel to $R(A)$.
Since $Z_s$ is a projection of a unit vector, (34) implies that
\[ \int_{s_0}^{s} \lambda(s') ds' \leq -\ln \|Z_s(s_0)\| \]
for all $s > s_0$. The integrand $\lambda$ is nonnegative, so we can take the limit $s \to \infty$ to get
\[ \int_{s_0}^{\infty} \lambda(s) ds \leq -\ln \|Z_s(s_0)\|. \]
Recalling that $\lambda(s)$ is non-increasing we conclude that $\lim_{s \to \infty} \lambda(s) = 0$.

It follows from (34) and (35) that
\[ Z_s(\infty) = \lim_{s \to \infty} Z_s(s) \]
exists, and is non-zero.

Now that we know that $\lambda(s) = o(1)$ as $s \to \infty$, we see that the equation satisfied by $W$ is a small perturbation of the constant coefficient equation
\[ W_{ss} - \lambda W = 0. \]
The eigenvalues of $A$, as a linear transformation on $R(A)$ are $\{\pm i\omega_1, \ldots, \pm i\omega_m\}$.
It follows that the characteristic exponents of the equation (36) are
\[ \pm \sqrt{\pm i\omega_k} = (\pm 1 \pm i) \frac{\omega_k}{2}, \quad (k = 1, \ldots, m). \]
We note that none of these exponents lie on the imaginary axis so that the associated system is hyperbolic. A perturbation theorem [7] therefore implies that the general solution of (32) can be written as
\[ W(s) = W_+(s) + W_-(s) \]
where $W_+(s)$ consists of exponentially growing terms, and $W_-(s)$ is exponentially decaying.

We claim that the exponentially growing component $W_+$ vanishes. Indeed,
\[ \lambda'(s) = -\|C_{ss}\|^2 = -\|W_{ss}\|^2 - \|Z_{ss}\|^2 \]
implies that $\int_{\infty}^{\infty} \|W_{ss}\|^2 ds < \infty$. Integrating twice, it follows that $\|W(s)\| \leq C s^{3/2}$.
Since $W$ and $W_+$ differ by the exponentially decaying term $W_-$ we see that $\|W_+\|$ also grows at most like $O(s^{3/2})$. As $W_+$ grows exponentially this can only happen if $W_+$ vanishes.

6. The Distance to the Origin and to the Null space of $A$

6.1. A differential equation for distance functions. If $C : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a soliton, i.e. a solution of (3), then we again split $C = W + Z$, as in (28). The lengths of these components satisfy various monotonicity properties, depending on the parameters $\alpha, A, v$.

**Lemma 6.1.** If $B : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is linear with $AB = BA$, $Bv = 0$, then the quantity
\[ \delta(s) = \frac{1}{2} \|BC\|^2 \]
satisfies
\[ \delta_{ss} - \lambda(s)\delta_s - 2\alpha\delta = \|BC_s\|^2. \]
In particular, $\delta(s) = \frac{1}{2}\|W(s)\|^2$ satisfies the differential equation
\begin{equation}
\delta_{ss} - \lambda(s)\delta_s - 2\alpha\delta = \|W_s\|^2.
\end{equation}
If $C$ is non-translating soliton, so that $v = 0$, then $\delta(s) = \frac{1}{2}\|C(s)\|^2$ satisfies
\begin{equation}
\delta_{ss} - \lambda(s)\delta_s - 2\alpha\delta = 1.
\end{equation}

Proof. The general case implies the special case by choosing $B$ to be the orthogonal projection onto $R(A)$ for (38) or by letting $B$ be the identity for (39). To prove the general case we simply compute the derivatives of $\delta = \frac{1}{2}\|BC\|^2$,
\begin{align*}
\delta_s &= \langle BC, BC_s \rangle, \\
\delta_{ss} &= \langle BC_s, BC_s \rangle + \langle BC, B_{ss} \rangle \\
&= \|BC_s\|^2 + \langle BC, B\{\alpha + A\}C + v + \lambda C_s \rangle \\
&= \|BC_s\|^2 + \alpha\|BC\|^2 + \lambda\langle BC, BC_s \rangle \\
&= \|BC_s\|^2 + 2\alpha\delta + \lambda\delta_s.
\end{align*}
\[\square\]

Lemma 6.2. Let $C$ be a rotating soliton that is either translating ($\alpha = 0$, $Av = 0$, $v \neq 0$) or not shrinking ($\alpha \geq 0$, $v = 0$). Let $B$ again be linear with $AB = BA$ and $Bv = 0$. Assume moreover that $R(B) \subset R(A)$.

If $BC(s) \neq 0$ for some $s \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\|BC(s)\|$ is either strictly monotone on the entire soliton, or else it has exactly one minimum.

The same statement applies to the length of $W$.

In the next section we will use this lemma with $B = A$. In the case that has the most natural interpretation $B$ is the orthogonal projection on $R(A)$, so $BC = W$.

Proof. Since $BC(s) \neq 0$ for some $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\delta(s) \neq 0$ for at least some $s \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\delta(s) = \frac{1}{2}\|BC(s)\|^2$.

If $\delta_s \neq 0$ for all $s$ then $\delta_s$ must have the same sign for all $s$, and therefore $\delta$ is monotone.

The remaining case to consider is that $\delta_s(s_0) = 0$ for some $s_0$. We will show that this implies $\delta(s)$ has a strict local minimum at $s_0$, and in particular, that $\delta$ has no local maxima. This implies that a strict local minimum must be unique, since any two strict local minima would have to bracket a local maximum. Having established that $\delta$ has exactly one critical point $s_0$ it follows that $\delta(s)$ is decreasing for $s < s_0$ and increasing for $s > s_0$.

Let $s_0$ be a critical point of $\delta$. The differential equation for $\delta$ implies that
\begin{equation}
\delta_{ss}(s_0) = 2\alpha\delta(s_0) + \|BC_s(s_0)\|^2 \geq 0.
\end{equation}
If either $\alpha\delta(s_0) > 0$ or $BC_s(s_0) \neq 0$ then we have $\delta_{ss}(s_0) > 0$ so that $\delta$ has a local minimum at $s_0$. We are therefore left with the possibility that both $\alpha\delta(s_0) = 0$ and $BC_s(s_0) = 0$.

If $\alpha > 0$ then $\alpha\delta(s_0) = 0$ implies $\delta(s_0) = 0$ and therefore $BC(s_0) = 0$. Applying $B$ to both sides of the differential equation (3) and using the assumption that $B$ commutes with $A$, we find that $BC$ satisfies a linear homogeneous differential equation,
\begin{equation}
BC_{ss} = (\alpha + A)BC + \lambda(s)BC_s.
\end{equation}
It then follows from $BC(s_0) = BC(s_0) = 0$ that $BC(s) = 0$ for all $s$. We had assumed this was not the case.

Finally we have one more case left, namely, $\alpha = 0$. Compute the next two derivatives of $\delta$ using (38):

$$\delta_{sss} = \lambda \delta_{ss} + \lambda_s \delta_s + 2 \langle BC_s, BC_{ss} \rangle,$$
$$\delta_{ssss} = \lambda \delta_{sss} + 2 \lambda_s \delta_{ss} + \lambda_{ss} \delta_s + 2 \|BC_{ss}\|^2 + 2 \langle BC_s, BC_{sss} \rangle.$$

At $s_0$ the conditions $BC_s = 0$ and $\delta_s = \delta_{ss} = 0$ imply first $\delta_{sss} = 0$, and then $\delta_{ssss} = 2 \|BC_{ss}\|^2$.

If $BC_{ss}(s_0) = 0$, then (40) with $\alpha = 0$ implies that $ABC(s_0) = BC_{ss} - \lambda BC_s = 0$. Since $A$ is invertible on $R(A)$ and since $R(\mathcal{B}) \subset R(A)$ this forces $BC(s_0) = 0$. But then $BC(s_0) = BC(s_0) = 0$ so that (40) implies $BC(s) = 0$ for all $s$, once more contradicting our assumption.

Since we have shown that $BC_{ss}(s_0) \neq 0$ we know that $\delta_{ssss}(s_0) > 0$ and therefore $\delta$ has a strict local minimum at $s_0$ is this last case too.

\[\square\]

6.2. Unbounded ends for non-shrinking solitons.

**Lemma 6.3.** If $C$ is a rotating non-shrinking soliton, i.e. a solution of (3) with $v = 0$ and $\alpha \geq 0$, then both ends of $C$ are unbounded, i.e.

$$\lim_{s \to \pm \infty} \|C(s)\| = \infty.$$ 

**Proof.** We have shown in the previous Lemma that $\|C(s)\|$ can have at most one minimum. This implies that either $\lim_{s \to \infty} \|C(s)\| = \infty$ or else $\sup_{s \geq 0} \|C(s)\| < \infty$.

We will show that the second alternative leads to a contradiction.

Suppose $K = \limsup_{s \to \infty} \|C(s)\| < \infty$. Choose a sequence $s_j \to \infty$ with $\|C(s_j)\| \to K$, and consider the solitons defined by

$$C_j(s) \overset{\text{def}}{=} C(s_j + s).$$
On any bounded interval $|s| \leq L$ the $C_j$ are uniformly bounded. Their derivatives $C_{j,s}$ are unit vectors and hence also uniformly bounded, and by virtue of the differential equation (26) the second derivatives are also uniformly bounded. After passing to a subsequence we may therefore assume that the differential equation (26) the second derivatives are also uniformly bounded. After

Thus $C_s$ is a rotating-expanding soliton on which $\delta(s) = \frac{1}{2}||C_s(s)||^2$ attains a maximum at $s = 0$. By Lemma 6.1 we then have

$$\delta_{ss}(0) = \lambda\delta_{s}(0) + \alpha\delta(0) + 1 = \alpha\delta(0) + 1.$$ 

Since $\alpha \geq 0$ we see that $\delta_{ss}(0) \geq 1 > 0$ so that $\delta(s)$ has a local minimum at $s = 0$. This is our contradiction.

A slight modification of these arguments shows that the other end of the soliton is also unbounded, i.e. that $\lim_{s \to -\infty} ||C(s)|| = \infty$. 

Lemma 6.4. Let $C$ be a translating-rotating soliton ($\alpha = 0, v \neq 0, Av = 0$). Then $z(s) = \langle v, C(s) \rangle$ has at most one minimum, and

$$\lim_{s \to \pm\infty} z(s) = \pm \infty.$$ 

Furthermore, the $R(A)$ component $W(s)$ of $C(s)$ satisfies

$$\lim_{s \to \pm\infty} ||W(s)|| = 0 \text{ or } \infty.$$ 

Proof. By Lemma 6.2 the quantity $\delta(s) = \frac{1}{2}||W(s)||^2$ has at most one minimum. It is therefore monotone for $s$ sufficiently large.

If $\delta(s)$ remains bounded for $s \to \infty$, then

$$\delta_\infty = \lim_{s \to \infty} \delta(s)$$ 

exists. Consider the sequence of translates $C_j(s) = C(j + s) - Z(j)$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}$) of our given soliton. These curves are again solutions of (3) (since $\alpha = 0$ the equation is invariant under translations parallel to $N(A)$). Extracting a convergent subsequence one finds a soliton $C_s(s)$ for which $\frac{1}{2}||W_s(s)||^2 = \delta_\infty$ is constant. According to Lemma 6.2 this is impossible unless $W_s(s) = 0$ for all $s$. So we see that for our original soliton we either have $||W(s)|| \to \infty$ or $||W(s)|| \to 0$ as $s \to \infty$.

The case $s \to -\infty$ follows by reversing the orientation of the curve and applying the same arguments.

For $z(s)$ one has a differential equation,

$$(42) \quad z_{ss} = \lambda(s)z_s + ||v||^2.$$ 

This equation implies, as before, that $z$ is either strictly monotone or has a unique local minimum, which then also is a global minimum.

We also have the following trivial bound for $z_s$

$$|z_s| = |\langle C_s, v \rangle| \leq ||v||.$$ 

**Case 1: assume that** $z_s \geq 0$ **for** $s \geq s_0$. **The coefficient** $\lambda$ **is given by (25), which in the current context is** $\lambda = \langle C_s, A C + v \rangle$. **As before we have**

$$\frac{d\lambda}{ds} = -||C_{ss}||^2 \leq 0.$$
We therefore know that $\lambda$ is decreasing. To show that $z(s) \to \infty$ we distinguish between a few cases, depending on the behaviour of $\lambda$ for large $s$.

If $\lambda(s) > 0$ for all $s \geq s_0$, then \eqref{eq:42} implies $z_{ss} \geq \lambda z_s$ which, in turn, implies

$$
\ln \frac{z_s(s)}{z_s(s_0)} = \int_0^s \frac{z_{ss}(s')} {z_s(s')} ds' \geq \int_{s_0}^s \lambda(s') ds'.
$$

Since $z_s \leq \|v\|$ for all $s$, we find that

\begin{equation}
\int_{s_0}^\infty \lambda(s) ds \leq \ln \frac{\|v\|}{z_s(s_0)} < \infty.
\end{equation}

The integrating factor for \eqref{eq:42} is given by

\begin{equation}
m(s) = e^{-\int_{s_0}^s \lambda(s') ds'}.
\end{equation}

In view of \eqref{eq:43} we conclude that there exist $m_\pm$ such that

$$
0 < m_- \leq m(s) \leq m_+ \text{ for all } s \geq s_0.
$$

Integrating \eqref{eq:42} we get

\begin{equation}
\left( mz_s \right)_s = m(s) \|v\|^2 \geq m_- \|v\|^2 \implies m(s) z_s(s) \geq m_- \|v\|^2 s - C
\end{equation}

$$
\implies z_s(s) \geq \frac{m_-}{m_+} \|v\|^2 s - C.
$$

Thus $z_s \to \infty$, which cannot be, since $z_s \leq \|v\|$. The contradiction shows that $\lambda$ cannot stay positive for all $s \geq s_0$. Since $\lambda$ is strictly decreasing we must have $\lambda(s) \lessgtr 0$ for all large enough $s$. We may assume that $\lambda(s) \leq -\delta$ is $s \geq s_1$ for certain $\delta, s_1$.

Applying the variation of constants formula to \eqref{eq:42} we find

\begin{align*}
z_s(s) &= e^{\int_{s_1}^s \lambda(s') ds'} z_s(s_1) + \|v\|^2 \int_{s_1}^s e^{\int_{s_1}^{s'} \lambda(s'') ds''} ds' \quad \text{(drop the 1st term)}
\geq \|v\|^2 \int_{s_1}^s e^{\int_{s_1}^{s'} \lambda(s'') ds''} ds' \quad \text{(use $\lambda(s') > \lambda(s)$)}
\geq \|v\|^2 \int_{s_1}^s e^{\lambda(s)(s-s')} ds'
\geq \|v\|^2 \frac{1 - e^{\lambda(s)(s-s_1)}}{-\lambda(s)}.
\end{align*}

The formula \eqref{eq:25} for $\lambda$ implies

$$
|\lambda(s)| \leq \|AC(s) + v\| \leq C(1 + s)
$$

since $\|C(s)\| \leq \|C(0)\| + |s|$.

Thus we have $z_s \geq C/(1 + s)$, which, upon integration, shows that $z(s) \to \infty$ as $s \to \infty$. We are done with the case in which $z_s > 0$ for $s \geq s_0$.

**Case 2: assume that $z_s \leq 0$ for $s \geq s_0$.** If additionally we assume that $\lambda \leq 0$ for large enough $s$, then $z_{ss} = \lambda z_s + \|v\|^2 \geq \|v\|^2$. Integrating, we find that $z_s$ would have to become positive for large enough $s$, which rules out the possibility that $\lambda(s) \leq 0$ for some large enough $s$. We are left with the case that $\lambda \geq 0$ for all $s \geq s_0$. Since $\lambda$ is decreasing this implies $0 \leq \lambda(s) \leq \lambda(s_0)$ for all $s \geq s_0$. 


Again consider the integrating factor \( m(s) \) from (44). We have for \( s_0 < s < s_1 \)
\[
- m(s) z_s(s) = - m(s_1) z_s(s_1) + \|v\|^2 \int_s^{s_1} m(s') ds'.
\]
All terms are positive so we can let \( s_1 \to \infty \) and conclude that
\[
\int_{s_0}^{\infty} m(s) ds < \infty.
\]
Since \( m(s) \) is nonincreasing this implies that \( \lim_{s \to \infty} m(s) = 0 \), so that we can also conclude from (45) that
\[
- z_s(s) = \|v\|^2 \int_s^{\infty} m(s')/m(s) ds'.
\]
Use \( 0 \leq \lambda(s) \leq \lambda(s_0) \) to estimate
\[
\frac{m(s')}{m(s)} = e^{\int_s^{s'} \lambda(s'') ds''} \geq e^{-\lambda(s_0)(s'-s)},
\]
and from there
\[
- z_s(s) \geq \|v\|^2 / \lambda(s_0)
\]
for all \( s \geq s_0 \). After integration this implies that \( \lim_{s \to \infty} z(s) = -\infty \). \qed

6.3. Rotating Shrinking Solitons in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and Rotating Solitons on \( S^{n-1} \). We observed in §3.1 that there is a one-to-one correspondence between Curve Shortening on the sphere \( S^{n-1} \) and certain shrinking solutions of Curve Shortening in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Under this correspondence some of the rotating shrinking solitons we study in this paper correspond to purely rotating solitons on \( S^{n-1} \) as studied by Hungerbühler and Smoczyk in [10] (e.g. see Figure 6 in [10]). In particular, every rotating soliton on \( S^{n-1} \) is also a shrinking rotating soliton for Curve Shortening on \( \mathbb{R}^n \). The following Lemma shows directly that there is a large class of rotating shrinking solitons that lie on a sphere.

**Lemma 6.5.** Let \( \alpha < 0 \) and \( v = 0 \). Then the rotating soliton that is tangent to the sphere with radius \( (-\alpha)^{-1/2} \) is entirely contained in that sphere.

**Proof.** The squared distance \( \delta(s) = \frac{1}{2} \|C(s)\|^2 \) to the origin satisfies (39). Uniqueness of solutions of differential equations implies that if \( \delta(s_0) = 1/(-2\alpha) \) and \( \delta'(s_0) = 0 \) holds for some \( s_0 \) then \( \delta(s) = 1/(-2\alpha) \) for all \( s \in \mathbb{R} \). \qed

7. Behavior at infinity

7.1. High and low curvature decomposition of soliton ends. In this section we consider the behaviour of solitons far away from the origin. The main finding is that when \( C \) (or rather its projection onto \( R(\alpha + A) \)) is large, the soliton \( C \) decomposes into two parts. One part consists of short arcs of high curvature on which \( C \) is approximately constant. On such arcs the soliton is approximated by a solution of
\[
C_{ss} = pC_s(a)
\]
for some constant vector \( a \) (one has \( a \approx (\alpha + A)C + v \)). The solutions to (46) with constant \( a \) are exactly the Grim Reapers with translation velocity vector \( a \).
On the other part of the soliton the curvature \( C_s \) is small, and in fact much smaller than the remaining terms in (26). It follows that on these low curvature arcs the vector \((\alpha + A)C + v\) is nearly parallel to \( C_s \). Low curvature arcs are therefore approximately solutions of

\[
C_s = \pm \hat{a}(C)
\]

where

\[
a(C) = (\alpha + A)C + v, \quad \hat{a} = \frac{a}{\|a\|}.
\]

After reparametrization (47) is equivalent to a linear equation. Namely, if one introduces a parameter related to arc length via

\[
\sigma = \int \frac{ds}{\|a(C(s))\|},
\]

then (47) is equivalent with \( C_\sigma = \|a\|C_s = \pm a(C) \), i.e.

\[
\pm \frac{dC}{d\sigma} = (\alpha + A)C + v.
\]

When \( \alpha \neq 0 \) we may assume that \( v = 0 \), and the solutions to (50) are given by

\[
C = e^{\pm \sigma(\alpha + A)} C_0
\]

for arbitrary \( C_0 \). These curves rotate according to \( A \) and simultaneously move toward or away from the origin at an exponential rate \( e^{\alpha \sigma} \). In the simplest, two-dimensional case we get logarithmic spirals; in higher-dimensional cases we get curves that spiral inward or outward on cones. Below we show that the low curvature parts of any soliton with \( \alpha \neq 0 \) are actually \( O(1) \) close to one of the generalized logarithmic spirals (51).

If the dilation parameter \( \alpha = 0 \), then we must allow \( v \neq 0 \), although we still have \( v \in N(A) \). In this case we can split \( C \) into its components in the null space and range of \( A \),

\[
C = W + Z, \quad W \in R(A), \quad Z \in N(A).
\]

Then (50) decouples into \( W_\sigma = A W, Z_\sigma = v \), which shows that the general solution to (50) is

\[
C = e^{\sigma A} W_0 + \sigma v + Z_0
\]

with \( W_0 \in R(A), Z_0 \in N(A) \) arbitrary constants.

The simplest case is again two dimensional with \( N(A) = \{0\} \) and \( v = 0 \). Here the rotating solitons are the Yin-Yang curves that spiral outward. On the other hand, the corresponding solution (52) to (50) parametrizes a circle; in particular, it remains bounded. This suggests that for \( \alpha = 0 \) the solution of (52) can provide a good approximation of finite length segments of the solitons, but that the approximation is not uniform as \( \sigma \to \pm \infty \).

### 7.2. Small and distant Grim Reapers

In what follows we will make the preceding discussion precise. We write the soliton equation (26) as

\[
T_s = \|a\| p_T(\hat{a}),
\]

and consider the quantities

\[
\mu = \langle \hat{a}, T \rangle, \quad \nu = \|a\|^4 (1 - \mu^2).
\]
Here \( \mu \) the cosine of the angle between the unit tangent \( T \) and \( \hat{a}(C) \). If \( \mu = \pm 1 \) then \( \hat{a} = \pm T \). More generally, if \( \mu \) is close to \( \pm 1 \) then \( \hat{a} \) almost lines up with \( T \). In particular, we have the identities

\[
\| \hat{a} - T \|^2 = 2(1 - \mu), \quad \| \hat{a} + T \|^2 = 2(1 + \mu).
\]

Since \( C_{ss} = a - (a, T)T = \|a\|(\hat{a} - \mu T) \), and since \( C_{ss} \) and \( T \) are orthogonal, one finds that the curvature of the soliton is given by

\[
\| C_{ss} \| = \|a\| \sqrt{1 - \mu^2}.
\]

Therefore

\[
\nu = \left( \|a\| \|C_{ss}\| \right)^2
\]

can be interpreted as a scale-invariant curvature of the soliton.

We begin with an estimate for the rate at which \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) change along a soliton.

**Lemma 7.1.** There is a constant \( C \), which only depends on \( \alpha \) and \( A \), such that \( \mu \) satisfies

\[
\|a\|^{-1} \mu_s \geq 1 - \mu^2 - \frac{C}{\|a\|^2} \sqrt{1 - \mu^2}.
\]

The quantity

\[
\nu = \|a\|^{-1}(1 - \mu^2)
\]

satisfies

\[
\|a\|^{-1} \nu_s \leq -2\mu \nu + C \mu \sqrt{\nu} + \frac{C}{\|a\|^2} \nu \text{ when } \mu > 0, \text{ and}
\]

\[
\|a\|^{-1} \nu_s \geq -2\mu \nu - C \mu \sqrt{\nu} - \frac{C}{\|a\|^2} \nu \text{ when } \mu < 0.
\]

**Proof.** We begin with

\[
a_s = (\alpha + A)T, \quad \hat{a}_s = \|a\|^{-1} p_{\hat{a}}(a_s) = \|a\|^{-1} p_{\hat{a}}((\alpha + A)T).
\]

This leads to

\[
\mu_s = (\hat{a}_s, T_s) + (\hat{a}_s, T)
\]

\[
= \|a\| \langle \hat{a}, p_{\hat{a}}T \rangle + \|a\|^{-1} \langle T, p_{\hat{a}}((\alpha + A)T) \rangle
\]

\[
= \|a\|(1 - \mu^2) + \|a\|^{-1} \langle p_{\hat{a}}T, (\alpha + A)T \rangle
\]

Next, we observe that \( \|p_{\hat{a}}T\|^2 = 1 - \mu^2 \) so that

\[
\|a\|^{-1} \mu_s \geq 1 - \mu^2 - \|a\|^{-2}\|\alpha + A\| \sqrt{1 - \mu^2}
\]

\[
= 1 - \mu^2 - \frac{C}{\|a\|^2} \sqrt{1 - \mu^2}.
\]

The quantity \( \nu = \|a\|^{-1}(1 - \mu^2) \) satisfies

\[
\|a\|^{-1} \nu_s = -2\mu \|a\|^{-3} \mu_s + 4\|a\|^2 \|a\| \nu_s (1 - \mu^2)
\]

Using (56) and

\[
\|a\|_s \leq \|a_s\| = \|(\alpha + A)T\| \leq \|\alpha + A\|
\]
we find that when \( \mu > 0 \) one has
\[
\|a\|^{-1}\nu_s \leq -2\mu\|a\|^4 \left( 1 - \mu^2 - \frac{C}{\|a\|^2} \sqrt{1 - \mu^2} \right) + 4\|a\|^2\|\alpha + A\|(1 - \mu^2)
\]
\[
\leq -2\mu\nu + C\mu\sqrt{\nu} + \frac{C}{\|a\|^2}\nu,
\]
as claimed. The inequality for \( \mu < 0 \) follows in the same way.

The following lemma tells us where the regions of large curvature are.

**Lemma 7.2.** There is a constant \( C < \infty \) depending only on \( \alpha \) and \( A \) such that for any point \( C_0 = C(s_0) \) on a solution of (26) that satisfies
\[
A_0 = \|a(C_0)\| \geq C, \quad \text{and} \quad |\mu(C_0, T_0)| \leq 1 - CA_0^{-4}
\]
there exist \( s_- < s_+ \) with \( s_0 \in [s_-, s_+] \) and
\[
s_+ - s_- \leq \frac{C}{A_0}\ln A_0,
\]
such that at the ends of the arc \( C([s_-, s_+]) \) one has
\[
\mu(s_-) = -(1 - CA_0^{-4}), \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(s_+) = (1 - CA_0^{-4}).
\]

Since the quantity \( \mu \) measures how well the unit tangent \( T \) matches the vector \( a(C) \), this lemma says that if at some point \( C_0 \) on a soliton the unit tangent is not very close to either \( \hat{a}(C) \) or \( -\hat{a}(C) \), then the point \( C_0 \) is contained in an arc on which the unit tangent \( T \) turns from almost \( -\hat{a}(C) \) to \( +\hat{a}(C) \). The larger \( A_0 \) is, the smaller the upper bound (60) for the length of the arc; if the arc is very short then \( C \approx C_0 \) on the arc, and it approximately satisfies (46) with \( a = a(C_0) \), i.e. the arc is approximately a Grim Reaper. See Figure 4.

**Proof.** Consider the arc of length 2 centered at \( C_0 \). Along this arc we have \( \|C - C_0\| \leq 1 \), and hence
\[
\left\| \|a\| - \|a_0\| \right\| \leq \|a - a_0\| \leq \|\alpha + A\| \|C - C_0\| \leq C.
\]
If we assume that \( A_0 \geq 2C \) then this implies that
\[
\|a\| \geq A_0 - C \geq \frac{1}{2}A_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|a\| \leq A_0 + C \leq 2A_0.
\]
Along this arc it follows from (56) that
\[
\|a\|^{-1}\mu_s \geq 1 - \mu^2 - \frac{4C}{A_0^2}\sqrt{1 - \mu^2} \geq \frac{1}{2}(1 - \mu^2),
\]
provided \( \sqrt{1 - \mu^2} \geq 8C/A_0^2 \), i.e. provided
\[
|\mu| \leq 1 - CA_0^{-4}.
\]
Integrating the differential inequality (62) we find that the length of any arc containing \( C_0 \) on which the above inequality holds is at most
\[
\int_{\mu=1-CA_0^{-4}}^{1-CA_0^{-4}} \frac{d\mu}{1-\mu^2} \leq 2\int_{\mu=1-CA_0^{-4}}^{1-CA_0^{-4}} \frac{d\mu}{1-\mu^2} \leq \frac{4}{A_0} \int_{\mu=-1+CA_0^{-4}}^{-1+CA_0^{-4}} \frac{d\mu}{1-\mu^2} \leq \frac{C}{A_0}\ln A_0.
\]
If \( A_0 \) is sufficiently large then \( CA_0^{-1}\ln A_0 < 1 \), and the arc is contained within the arc of length 2 with which we began the proof.
Figure 4. High curvature segments of solitons approximate Grim Reapers (Lemma 7.2.) The solitons here rotate around the \( z \)-axis with rate \( \omega \), and translate along the \( z \)-axis with velocity \( v_z \). Magnifications of the high curvature segments of the solitons are shown in the right column.

**Lemma 7.3.** If \( \|a\| \geq C \) and \( \mu \geq 1 - C\|a\|^{-4} \), then

\[
\left| \frac{d\|a\|}{ds} - \alpha \right| \leq C\|a\|^{-2}
\]
If \( \|a\| \geq C \) and \( \mu \leq -1 + C\|a\|^{-4} \), then

\[
\left| \frac{d\|a\|}{ds} + \alpha \right| \leq C\|a\|^{-2}.
\]

**Proof.** From \( a = (\alpha + \mathcal{A})a + v \) we get

\[
\frac{d}{ds}\|a\| = \langle \dot{a}, a \rangle = \langle \dot{a}, (\alpha + \mathcal{A})T \rangle.
\]

If \( \mu \geq 1 - C\|a\|^{-4} \), then (63) implies that \( \|a - T\| = \sqrt{2(1 - \mu)} \leq C\|a\|^{-2} \), so that

\[
\frac{d}{ds}\|a\| = \langle T, (\alpha + \mathcal{A})T \rangle + \langle \dot{a} - T, (\alpha + \mathcal{A})T \rangle
\]

where we have used that \( (T, \mathcal{A}T) = 0 \) and \( (T, T) = 1 \). Hence

\[
\left| \frac{d\|a\|}{ds} - \alpha \right| \leq \langle \dot{a} - T, (\alpha + \mathcal{A})T \rangle \leq \|\dot{a} - T\| \|\alpha + \mathcal{A}\| \|T\| \leq C\|a\|^{-2}.
\]

Thus (63) is proved. To prove (64) we assume \( \mu \leq -1 + C\|a\|^{-4} \) and use (54) again to conclude that \( \|\dot{a} + T\| \leq C\|a\|^{-2} \). From there we get

\[
\left| \frac{d\|a\|}{ds} + \alpha \right| \leq \langle \dot{a} + T, (\alpha + \mathcal{A})T \rangle \leq \|\dot{a} + T\| \|\alpha + \mathcal{A}\| \|T\| \leq C\|a\|^{-2}.
\]

\[\square\]

**Lemma 7.4.** There is a constant \( C \) depending only on \( \alpha \) and \( A \) such that for \( \alpha > 0 \) it follows from \( \|a\| \geq C \) that \( \|a\| \) is increasing when \( \mu \geq 1 - C\|a\|^{-4} \) and decreasing when \( \mu \leq -1 + C\|a\|^{-4} \).

On the other hand, if \( \alpha < 0 \) and \( \|a\| \geq C \) then \( \|a\| \) is decreasing when \( \mu \geq 1 - C\|a\|^{-4} \) and increasing when \( \mu \leq -1 + C\|a\|^{-4} \).

**Proof.** In the case \( \alpha > 0 \) we let \( C_1 \) be the constant from (63). Then if \( \|a\| \geq \sqrt{\alpha/2C_1} \) then (63) implies that \( d\|a\|/ds \geq \frac{1}{2} \alpha > 0 \). So the Lemma holds if we set \( C = \sqrt{\alpha/2C_1} \).

The case \( \alpha < 0 \) is entirely analogous. \[\square\]

Consider the two regions

\[
\mathcal{R}_+(K) = \{(C, T) : \|a\| \geq K, \nu \leq K, \mu > 0 \}
\]

\[
\mathcal{R}_-(K) = \{(C, T) : \|a\| \geq K, \nu \leq K, \mu < 0 \}
\]

where \( a = (\alpha + \mathcal{A})C \).

**Lemma 7.5.** For any \( C \in \mathbb{R}^n \) one has

\[
\|a\|\|C\| \leq \|(\alpha + \mathcal{A})C\| \leq \|\alpha + \mathcal{A}\|\|C\|.
\]

**Proof.** The second inequality is just the definition of the operator norm \( \|\alpha + \mathcal{A}\| \).

The first inequality follows from the fact that \( \mathcal{A} \) is antisymmetric. By Cauchy's inequality and \( (C, \mathcal{A}C) = 0 \) one has

\[
\pm \alpha\|C\|^2 = \pm \langle C, (\alpha + \mathcal{A})C \rangle \leq \|C\| \|(\alpha + \mathcal{A})C\|,
\]

which implies the Lemma. \[\square\]
Lemma 7.6. If $K$ is large enough then for any solution $(C(s), T(s))$ to (27) with $\|a(0)\| \geq 2K$ one has

- either $(C(0), T(0)) \in R_+(K) \cup R_-(K)$,
- or there exist $s_- < 0 < s_+$ such that $(C(s_+), T(s_+)) \in R_+(K)$ and $(C(s_-), T(s_-)) \in R_-(K)$. Moreover, $s_\pm$ are bounded by $|s_\pm| \leq C\|a(0)\|^{-1} \ln \|a(0)\|$.

Proof. This is a reformulation of Lemma 7.2.

Lemma 7.7. If $\alpha > 0$ then for sufficiently large $K$ the region $R_+(K)$ is forward invariant and the region $R_-(K)$ is backward invariant under the soliton flow (27) on $\mathbb{R}^n \times S^{n-1}$.

If $(C(s), T(s)) \in R_+(C)$ for all $s \geq 0$, then

\begin{equation}
C = e^{\sigma(\alpha + A)\Gamma} + O(e^{-\alpha \sigma})
\end{equation}

for some constant vector $\Gamma \neq 0$, provided $\sigma$ is defined as in (49).

If $\alpha < 0$, then there is a $K < \infty$ such that any solution $(C(s), T(s))$ of (27) that remains in $R_-(K)$ for all $s \geq 0$ satisfies

\begin{equation}
C = e^{-\sigma(\alpha + A)\Gamma} + O(e^{\alpha \sigma})
\end{equation}

for some constant vector $\Gamma \neq 0$, again, provided $\sigma$ is defined as in (49).

Proof. In the region $R_+(K)$ we have $\|a\| \geq K$, and hence, by Lemma 7.5, $\|C\| \geq CK$ in $R_+(K)$.

We also have $\nu \leq K$ in $R_+(K)$. By definition of $\nu$ this implies $\mu \geq 1 - CK/\|a\|^4$. According to Lemma 7.4 we find that for sufficiently large $K$ one has

\begin{equation}
d\|a\|/ds \geq \frac{\alpha}{2}
\end{equation}

in $R_+(K)$. Thus the only way that a solution could escape from $R_+(K)$ is by violating the inequality $\nu \leq K$. However, in $R_+(K)$ we have, by Lemma 7.1,

\[ \|a\|^{-1} \frac{d\nu}{ds} \leq (-2\mu + C\|a\|^{-2})\nu + C\sqrt{\nu} \]
\[ \leq (-2 + C\|a\|^{-4} + C\|a\|^{-2})\nu + C\sqrt{\nu} \]
If at any point on a solution in \( \mathcal{R}_+(K) \) one has \( \nu = K \), then one also has
\[
\|a\|^{-1} \frac{d\nu}{ds} \leq (\nu - 2CK^{-2})K + C\sqrt{K}.
\]
If \( K \) is large enough this implies \( \frac{d\nu}{ds} < 0 \) when \( \nu = K \). Therefore \( \mathcal{R}_+(K) \) is forward invariant when \( K \) is sufficiently large.

The exact same arguments show that \( \mathcal{R}_-(K) \) is backward invariant, especially if one observes that the transformation \((C, T) \mapsto (C, -T)\) maps \( \mathcal{R}_+(K) \) to \( \mathcal{R}_-(K) \) and reverses the orientation of the soliton flow. Therefore forward invariance of \( \mathcal{R}_+(K) \) is equivalent with backward invariance of \( \mathcal{R}_-(K) \).

We now turn to the proof of (65). If a soliton satisfies \((C(s), T(s)) \in \mathcal{R}_+(K)\) for all \( s \geq 0 \), then we have \( \nu \leq K \) and hence \( \mu \geq 1 - C\|a\|^{-4} \) for all \( s \geq 0 \). We are assuming that \( \alpha > 0 \), so we have
\[
\|a\| = \|\alpha + A\|C \geq \alpha \|C\|,
\]
and therefore \( \mu \geq 1 - C\|C\|^{-4} \). Since \( \|\hat{a} - \hat{T}\|^2 = 2(1 - \mu) \) we also have
\[
\|\hat{T} - \hat{a}\| \leq C\|\|C\|^2.
\]
Recall that \( \hat{a} = a/\|a\| \) and \( a = (\alpha + A)C \) (since \( \alpha > 0 \) we have \( \nu = 0 \)). We get
\[
\left\|\|\alpha + A\|C \frac{dC}{ds} - (\alpha + A)C\right\| \leq \|\alpha + A\|C \|\|C\|^2 \leq \frac{C}{\|\|C\|^2}
\]
Defining \( \sigma \) as in (49), we arrive at
\[
\frac{dC}{d\sigma} - (\alpha + A)C = g(\sigma), \quad \text{where} \quad \|g(\sigma)\| \leq \frac{C}{\|\|C\|}.
\]
In particular, \( \|g(\sigma)\| \) is bounded since \( \|\|C\| \) is bounded away from 0 in \( \mathcal{R}_+(K) \).

Multiply (69) with the integrating factor \( e^{\sigma(\alpha + A)} \) and integrate:
\[
e^{-\sigma(\alpha + A)}C(\sigma) = e^{-\sigma(\alpha + A)}C(\sigma) + \int_{\sigma}^{\sigma_0} e^{-\sigma' (\alpha + A)}g(\sigma') d\sigma'
\]
Since \( A \) is antisymmetric \( e^{\sigma A} \) is orthogonal and \( \|e^{\sigma(\alpha + A)}\| = e^{\sigma \alpha} \). Therefore boundedness of \( g(\sigma) \) implies that the integrand in (70) decays exponentially. This allows us to take the limit \( \sigma_0 \rightarrow \infty \) and conclude that
\[
\Gamma = \lim_{\sigma_0 \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\sigma(\alpha + A)}C(\sigma_0)
\]
exists. Moreover, we have
\[
\Gamma = e^{-\sigma(\alpha + A)}C(\sigma) + \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} e^{-\sigma' (\alpha + A)}g(\sigma') d\sigma'
\]
and therefore
\[
C(\sigma) = e^{\sigma(\alpha + A)}\Gamma - \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} e^{-\sigma' (\alpha + A)}g(\sigma') d\sigma' .
\]
This last integral can be estimated by
\[
\left\|\int_{\sigma}^{\infty} e^{-(\sigma' - \sigma)(\alpha + A)}g(\sigma') d\sigma'\right\| \leq \sup_{\sigma' \geq \sigma} \|g(\sigma')\| \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha \sigma'} d\sigma' \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \sup_{\sigma' \geq \sigma} \|g(\sigma')\|.
\]
In particular, the integral is bounded. It follows that \( \Gamma \neq 0 \), for if \( \Gamma \) were to vanish then (72) would imply that \( C(\sigma) \) is bounded for all \( \sigma \geq 0 \). This is impossible when \( \alpha > 0 \) because (67) says that \( \|a\| \) must keep growing as long as the solution stays in \( \mathcal{R}_+(K) \).

Having established (72) with \( \Gamma \neq 0 \) we can conclude that \( \|C\| \geq Ce^{\alpha \sigma} \). Combined with \( \|g\| \leq C/\|C\| \) this implies \( \|g(\sigma)\| \leq C e^{-\alpha \sigma} \). Our estimate for the integral in (72) then implies that this integral is \( O(e^{-\alpha \sigma}) \), as claimed in (65).

To prove (66) one can use very similar arguments. Since \((C(s), T(s)) \in \mathcal{R}_-(K) \) for all \( s > 0 \) we have \( \mu < -1 + C\|C\|^{-4} \), and hence \( \|a + T\| \leq C\|C\|^{-2} \). From there one concludes that

\[ \frac{dC}{d\sigma} = -(\alpha + A)C + O(\|C\|^{-1}), \]

which then leads to (66). \( \square \)

When \( \alpha < 0 \) the regions \( \mathcal{R}_{+}(K) \) are no longer invariant for the soliton flow in either forward or backward direction, and most orbits that enter \( \mathcal{R}_-(K) \) will leave \( \mathcal{R}_-(K) \) after a while. However, there do exist solutions of the soliton flow that, if you follow them in the direction of \( T \), remain in \( \mathcal{R}_-(K) \) forever. We have just shown in Lemma 7.7 that such orbits are again asymptotic to logarithmic spirals. The following Lemma constructs these special solitons via a topological "shooting method."

**Lemma 7.8.** Let \( \alpha < 0 \). If \( \|a_0\| \geq K \) then there is a \( T_0 \in S^{n-1} \) with \( \|T_0 + \hat{a}(C_0)\| \leq K\|a_0\|^{-4} \) such that the solution \((C(s), T(s)) \) of (27) with \((C(0), T(0)) = (C_0, T_0) \) remains in \( \mathcal{R}_-(K) \) for all \( s \geq 0 \).

**Proof.** The map \( \phi : (C, T) \mapsto (a, T) = ((\alpha + A)C, T) \) is a homeomorphism of the set \( \mathcal{R}_-(K) \) with

\[ \phi[\mathcal{R}_-(K)] = \{ (a, T) : \|a\| \geq K, \langle \hat{a}, T \rangle \leq -\sqrt{1-K\|a\|^{-4}} \}. \]

The boundary of \( \mathcal{R}_- \) consists of two parts,

\[ \mathcal{R}_{in} = \phi^{-1}\{ (a, T) : \|a\| = K, \langle \hat{a}, T \rangle \leq -\sqrt{1-K\|a\|^{-4}} \} \]

and

\[ \mathcal{R}_{ex} = \phi^{-1}\{ (a, T) : \|a\| \geq K, \langle \hat{a}, T \rangle = -\sqrt{1-K\|a\|^{-4}} \} \]

As above one concludes that within \( \mathcal{R}_-(K) \) one has

\[ \frac{d}{ds}\|a\| \geq -\alpha/2 > 0. \]

Therefore any solution that starts in \( \mathcal{R}_-(K) \) can never reach \( \mathcal{R}_{in} \) again, since \( \|a\| = k \) on \( \mathcal{R}_{in} \); if a solution starts in \( \mathcal{R}_-(K) \) and then exits \( \mathcal{R}_-(K) \), it must hit \( \mathcal{R}_{ex} \).

The same arguments which used Lemma 7.1 to establish forward invariance of \( \mathcal{R}_+(K) \) when \( \alpha > 0 \) show in the case \( \alpha < 0 \) that, when a solution within \( \mathcal{R}_-(K) \) reaches \( \nu = K \), one has \( \nu_s > 0 \). Hence solutions that start in and then exit \( \mathcal{R}_-(K) \) cross \( \mathcal{R}_{ex} \) transversally when they exit. It follows that for those initial data \((C_0, T_0) \in \mathcal{R}_-(K) \) whose orbit \( \{(C(s), T(s)) : s \geq 0\} \) under the soliton flow eventually exits \( \mathcal{R}_-(K) \), the point \( E(C_0, T_0) \in \mathcal{R}_{ex} \) at which they exit is a continuous (and even smooth) function of the initial point \((C_0, T_0) \). We call the map \( E : (C_0, T_0) \mapsto E(C_0, T_0) \) the exit map.

We now consider the topology of the exit set \( \mathcal{R}_{ex} \).
For any fixed \( a \) with \( \|a\| \geq K \) the set of unit vectors \( T \in S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) that satisfy

\[
\langle \hat{a}, T \rangle = -\sqrt{1 - K\|a\|^2}
\]

is an \((n-2)\)-dimensional sphere in a hyperplane in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) perpendicular to \( a \). From this one sees that the map

\[
(C, T) \mapsto \left( \hat{a}, \frac{p_a T}{\|p_a T\|} \right)
\]

is a homotopy equivalence of \( \mathcal{R}_-(K) \) with the unit tangent bundle \( T_1S^{n-1} \) of \( S^{n-1} \). Under this homotopy equivalence the set of initial data \((C_0, T_0)\) with prescribed \( C_0 \) maps to the fiber over \( \hat{a}_0 \).

It is a fact from homotopy theory that the fiber in the unit tangent bundle \( T_1S^{n-1} \to S^{n-1} \) is not contractible in \( T_1S^{n-1} \). Indeed, the fiber bundle \( S^{n-2} \to T_1S^{n-1} \to S^{n-1} \) leads to a long exact sequence of homotopy groups

\[
\ldots \to \pi_{n-1}(S^{n-1}) \overset{\partial}{\to} \pi_{n-2}(S^{n-2}) \to \pi_{n-2}(T_1S^{n-1}) \to \pi_{n-2}(S^{n-1}) \to \ldots
\]

Since \( \pi_{n-2}(S^{n-1}) \) is trivial \( \pi_{n-2}(T_1S^{n-1}) \) is isomorphic to \( \pi_{n-2}(S^{n-2})/\partial_*\pi_{n-1}(S^{n-1}) \). Both \( \pi_{n-2}(S^{n-2}) \) and \( \pi_{n-1}(S^{n-1}) \) are isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z} \), and by explicit computation one finds that \( \partial_* \) vanishes when \( n \) is odd, and acts by multiplication with 2 when \( n \) is even. Thus for even \( n \) we find that \( \pi_{n-2}(T_1S^{n-1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \), while for odd \( n \) we have \( \pi_{n-2}(T_1S^{n-1}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \). In either case, the fiber \( S^{n-2} \) represents a homotopy class that generates \( \pi_{n-2}(S^{n-2}) \), and does not lie in the image of \( \partial_* \). Its image in \( \pi_{n-2}(T_1S^{n-1}) \) is therefore nontrivial, and so the fiber is not contractible in \( T_1S^{n-1} \).

To prove the Lemma we consider the given set of initial data: we are given one point \( C_0 \), and we let \( T \) be any unit vector that satisfies

\[
\langle \hat{a}_0, T \rangle \leq -\sqrt{1 - K\|a_0\|^2}
\]

This set of forms an \((n-1)\)-dimensional ball \( B^{n-1} \subset \mathcal{R}_-(K) \). If the orbit starting at all possible initial data \( T_0 \) in this ball \( B^{n-1} \) were to exit \( \mathcal{R}_-(K) \), then the exit map \( E \) restricted to \( B^{n-1} \) would provide a continuous map \( B^{n-1} \to \mathcal{R}_\text{ex} \). On the other hand, the points on the boundary of \( B^{n-1} \) are already in the exit set \( \mathcal{R}_\text{ex} \), so the exit map restricted to \( \partial B^{n-1} \) is the identity. Under the homotopy equivalence (73) the boundary \( \partial B^{n-1} \) maps to a fiber in the unit tangent bundle \( T_1S^{n-2} \). The exit map restricted to \( B^{n-1} \) would then provide a contraction of this fiber within \( T_1S^{n-2} \) to a point, which is impossible. We therefore conclude that for at least one choice of \( T_0 \) satisfying (74) the orbit of the soliton flow will not leave \( \mathcal{R}_-(K) \).

\[\Box\]

8. Global behavior of Rotating-Dilating Solitons

8.1. Decomposition of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) into complex subspaces determined by \( \mathcal{A} \). In the previous section we have seen that rotating-dilating solitons can have ends that are asymptotic to logarithmic spirals. Here we determine all possible asymptotic behaviours that an end of a rotating-dilating soliton can have.

There are a number of trivial rotating-dilating solitons, namely, circles with appropriate radius, and Abresch-Langer curves in case \( N(A) \) is nontrivial. We will show that all bounded ends of rotating-dilating solitons must be asymptotic to these simpler solitons.
It will be convenient to change our notation for the normal form of the infinitesimal rotation matrix \( A \). Recall that in a suitable basis \( A \) is of the form (10). In the most general case several of the rotation frequencies \( \omega_j \) may coincide. We now denote the set of distinct nonzero eigenvalues of \( iA \) by \( \{ \pm \Omega_k : 1 \leq k \leq \bar{m} \} \) with \( 0 < \Omega_1 < \Omega_2 < \cdots < \Omega_{\bar{m}} \), and with \( \bar{m} \leq m \). The matrix \( A \) can then be written as

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
\Omega_1 j_1 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \Omega_{\bar{m}} j_{\bar{m}} & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

with \( j_k^2 = -1 F_k \), and \( F_k \overset{\text{def}}{=} N(A^2 - \Omega_k^2 1) \).

The subspaces \( F_k \) are invariant under \( A \). Restricted to each \( F_k \) the matrix \( A \) satisfies \( A^2 = -\Omega_k^2 1 \), so that

\[
j_k \overset{\text{def}}{=} \Omega_k^{-1} A
\]

defines a complex structure on \( F_k \).

8.2. An almost Lyapunov function for the dilating-rotating soliton flow. The dilating-rotating soliton equation defines a flow on the unit tangent bundle \( \mathbb{R}^n \times S^{n-1} \) of \( \mathbb{R}^n \). When the rotation matrix \( A \) vanishes, one can use Huisken’s monotonicity formula for Mean Curvature Flow [9, 5] to show that this flow is exactly the geodesic flow of the metric

\[
(ds)^2 = e^{\alpha/2 \|C\|^2} \|dC\|^2
\]

on \( \mathbb{R}^n \). For translating solitons one can use Ilmanen’s monotonicity formula [11] instead to conclude that that self translating solitons are geodesics for the metric

\[
(ds)^2 = e^{\langle v, C \rangle} \|dC\|^2.
\]

Since geodesic flows are Hamiltonian systems one expects a high degree of recurrence in the flow, and, indeed, all dilating, non-rotating solitons are either circles or Abresch-Langer curves.

However, as we are about to show, when \( A \neq 0 \) the flow becomes dissipative and most trajectories accumulate on a small number of circles or Abresch-Langer curves. Here we will exhibit an “almost Lyapunov function” for the flow (3) with \( A \neq 0 \) and \( v = 0 \). Recall that a Lyapunov function for a flow is a function which is non-decreasing along all orbits of the flow, and is in fact strictly increasing except at fixed points of the flow. A flow that has a Lyapunov function cannot have periodic orbits, and therefore the soliton equation cannot have a true Lyapunov function, since the dilating-rotating circles from \[8.3\] are periodic orbits for the flow. The following lemma presents us with a function that is non-decreasing along orbits of the soliton flow, and that is constant only on the trivial dilating-rotating solitons from \[8.3\].

**Lemma 8.1.** If \( A \neq 0 \), then along any solution \( (C(s), T(s)) \) of the soliton flow the quantity

\[
V(C, T) = e^{\alpha/2 \|C\|^2 + \langle v, C \rangle} \langle T, AC \rangle
\]

satisfies

\[
\frac{dV}{ds} = e^{\alpha/2 \|C\|^2 + \langle v, C \rangle} \|p_T(AC)\|^2.
\]

In particular one has \( \frac{dV}{ds} \geq 0 \) with equality only if \( AC \) is a multiple of \( T \).
Proof. Differentiating $V$, we get

$$e^{-\frac{\omega}{2}\|C\|^2 - \langle v, C \rangle} \frac{dV}{ds} = \langle \alpha C + v, T \rangle \langle T, A C \rangle + \frac{d}{ds} \langle T, A C \rangle$$

The last term can be expanded using antisymmetry of $A$ and the equation for $T_s$,

$$\frac{d}{ds} \langle T, A C \rangle = \langle T_s, A C \rangle + \langle T, A T \rangle$$

$$= \langle T_s, A C \rangle$$

$$= \langle p_T(\alpha C + AC + v), AC \rangle$$

$$= \langle p_T(\alpha C + v), AC \rangle + \langle p_T(AC), AC \rangle$$

$$= \langle p_T(\alpha C + v), AC \rangle + \|p_T(AC)\|^2.$$

Substituting this in (77) we get

$$e^{-\frac{\omega}{2}\|C\|^2 - \langle v, C \rangle} \frac{dV}{ds} = \langle \alpha C + v, T \rangle \langle T, A C \rangle + \langle p_T(\alpha C + v), AC \rangle + \|p_T(AC)\|^2$$

$$= \left\langle \langle \alpha C + v, T \rangle T + p_T(\alpha C + v), AC \rangle + \|p_T(AC)\|^2. \right.$$

By definition of $p_T$ one has $w = p_T(w) + \langle w, T \rangle T$ for any vector $w$. Therefore

$$e^{-\frac{\omega}{2}\|C\|^2 - \langle v, C \rangle} \frac{dV}{ds} = \langle \alpha C + v, AC \rangle + \|p_T(AC)\|^2.$$

The first term on the right vanishes. Indeed, antisymmetry of $A$ implies that $\langle C, AC \rangle = 0$, while $\alpha = 0$ also implies $\langle v, AC \rangle = -\langle Av, C \rangle = 0$. This implies (76).

8.3. The trivial rotating-dilating solitons. Under Curve Shortening any circle centered at the origin remains a circle with a varying radius. It therefore generates a dilating soliton, and if the circle happens to be invariant under the rotations $e^{tA}$ then it automatically defines a dilating-rotating soliton which satisfies (3). A circle can only remain invariant under the rotations $e^{tA}$ if it is contained in one of the eigenspaces $F_k$. Moreover the circle must lie in a complex line in $F_k$ relative to the complex structure $\bar{\partial}_k = \Omega_k^{-1}A$.

If the null space of $A$ contains a plane, then any Abresch-Langer curve in this plane defines a dilating soliton which also rotates according to $A$ (by not rotating at all: $e^{tA}$ is the identity on the null space of $A$.)

Lemma 8.2. Let $\alpha < 0$, and let $C$ be a rotating dilating soliton on which $V(C, T)$ is constant. Then $C$ is

- a circle with radius $1/\sqrt{-\alpha}$ in a complex line in one of the eigenspaces $F_k$, or
- an Abresch-Langer curve in the null space of $A$ (or a straight line through the origin in $N(A)$, which can be considered a “degenerate Abresch Langer curve.”)

The functional $V$ vanishes on the Abresch-Langer solitons. For the circles in $F_k$ one has

$$V = \pm \frac{\Omega_k}{\sqrt{-\alpha}},$$

with the sign depending on their orientation.
Proof. Since $V$ is constant on $C$ we have $\|AC\|^2 = \langle T, AC \rangle^2$ and hence $AC = \gamma(s)T$ for some smooth function $\gamma$. Keeping in mind that $T$ is a unit vector, so that $T_s \perp T$, we conclude from

$$
\frac{d\gamma}{ds} = \langle AC, T \rangle_s = \frac{1}{\gamma} A C
$$

that $\gamma$ must in fact be a constant.

If $\gamma = 0$, then $AC = 0$. The soliton lies in the kernel of $A$, and must therefore be an Abresch-Langer curve. Clearly we have $V = 0$ on any curve in $N(A)$.

If $\gamma \neq 0$, then we have

$$(79) \quad \frac{dC}{ds} = 1 \gamma A C.
$$

On the other hand, by taking the inner product with $T$ on both sides of the soliton equation (3) we get

$$
0 = \langle T, AC \rangle + \lambda(s)\|T\|^2 = \gamma + \lambda(s),
$$

where we have used $C_s = \gamma^{-1}AC \implies C_s \perp C$. It follows that $\lambda = -\gamma$. Using $AC = \gamma T$ once more we can rewrite the soliton equation as

$$
C_{ss} = (\alpha + A)C + \lambda T = \alpha C.
$$

Differentiating (79) we also get

$$
C_{ss} = \frac{1}{\gamma} AC_s = \frac{1}{\gamma^2} A^2 C.
$$

Combining these last two equations we see

$$
A^2 C = \gamma^2 \alpha C
$$

so that $C$ must be an eigenvector of $A^2$ with eigenvalue $\gamma^2 \alpha$. Thus for some $k$ we have $C \in F_k$ and $\gamma^2 \alpha = -\Omega_k^2$, i.e.

$$
\gamma = \pm \frac{\Omega_k}{\sqrt{-\alpha}}.
$$

By equation (79) we have

$$
C(s) = e^{\pm \sqrt{-\alpha} \Omega_k^2 s} C(0),
$$

which shows that $C$ is a circle in the complex line in $F_k$ that contains $C(0)$. The radius of the circle is $\|C(0)\|$, and is determined by the condition $\|C_s\| = 1$:

$$
\|C_s\| = \|e^{\pm \sqrt{-\alpha} \Omega_k^2 s} C(0)\| = \sqrt{-\alpha} \|C(0)\| = 1 \implies \|C(0)\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\alpha}}.
$$

The value of $V$ on this soliton is

$$
V = e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|C\|^2 \langle T, AC \rangle = \gamma e^{-1/2} = \pm \frac{\Omega_k}{\sqrt{-\alpha}}.
$$

$$\square$$
8.4. **Compactification of the Soliton Flow.** One obstacle for studying the global behaviour of solitons is that the phase space \( \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \) on which the soliton flow (27) is defined is not compact. To overcome this we show here that, after reparameterizing the flow, one can compactify the phase space and extend the flow by adding points at infinity.

We map \( \mathbb{R}^n \) onto the interior of the unit ball via \( C \mapsto P \),

\[
P = \frac{C}{\sqrt{1 + \|C\|^2}}.
\]

The inverse of this map is given by

\[
C = \frac{P}{\sqrt{1 - \|P\|^2}}.
\]

A short computation shows that if \((C, T)\) satisfy (27), then

\[
P_s = \frac{C_s}{\sqrt{1 + \|C\|^2}} - \frac{(C, C_s)C}{(1 + \|C\|^2)^{3/2}}.
\]

This implies

\[
\sqrt{1 - \|P\|^2} P_s = (1 - \|P\|^2) (T - (P, T)P).
\]

The evolution of \( T \) can be written as

\[
\sqrt{1 - \|P\|^2} T_s = p_T((\alpha + A) \sqrt{1 - \|P\|^2} C) = p_T((\alpha + A) P).
\]

Hence, if we introduce a new parameter \( \varsigma \) along the soliton that is related to arc length via

\[
d\varsigma = \frac{ds}{\sqrt{1 - \|P\|^2}} = \sqrt{1 + \|C\|^2} \, ds,
\]

then the soliton system (27) is equivalent with the following system for \((P, T)\)

\[
\begin{align*}
P_s &= (1 - \|P\|^2) (T - (P, T)P) \quad (80) \\
T_s &= p_T((\alpha + A) P). \quad (81)
\end{align*}
\]

This system is obviously well-defined at all \((P, T) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\). The original phase space, which was described in terms of \( C \) and \( T \), is embedded in the \((P, T)\) phase space as \(\{(P, T) : \|P\| < 1, \|T\| = 1\}\). The closure of this set,

\[
\mathbb{B}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} = \{(P, T) : \|P\| \leq 1, \|T\| = 1\}
\]

is invariant under the extended flow (8.4), and it is also compact.

The almost Lyapunov function \( V \) also extends to the compactified phase space, at least when \(\alpha < 0\). Indeed, direct substitution shows that

\[
V = e^{\alpha \|P\|^2/(1 - \|P\|^2)} (1 - \|P\|^2)^{-1/2} \langle A P, T \rangle
\]

for \(\|P\| < 1\). When \(\alpha < 0\) the exponential is the dominant factor, which causes the whole expression to extend to a \(C^\infty\) function on \(\mathbb{B}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\). One has \(V = 0\) at “the points at infinity,” i.e. when \(\|P\| = 1\).

Since \( V \) is constant on the added points at infinity, one easily verifies that the continuous extension of \( V \) to \(\mathbb{B}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\) still is an almost Lyapunov function for the flow (8.4):
Figure 6. Rotating and shrinking solitons limit to a circle. Shown are solitons that rotate around the $z$-axis and shrink toward the origin simultaneously. A circle in the $xy$-plane with radius $\sqrt{2}$ is one such soliton. Both ends of any generic soliton of this type are asymptotic to the special circle.

Lemma 8.3. Let $X(\varsigma) = (P(\varsigma), T(\varsigma))$ be an orbit in $\mathbb{B}^n \times S^{n-1}$ of the compactified soliton flow (8.4). Then $\varsigma \mapsto V(X(\varsigma))$ is either strictly decreasing or constant along the entire orbit.

If $V(X(\varsigma))$ is constant then $X$ corresponds to one of the trivial solitons from Lemma 8.2, or the entire orbit $X(\varsigma)$ consists of “points at infinity,” i.e. $\|P(\varsigma)\| = 1$ for all $\varsigma \in \mathbb{R}$.

8.5. The ends of rotating-dilating solitons. Let $C : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a solution of (3) with $\alpha < 0$, and write $X(s) = (P(s), T(s)) \in \mathbb{B}^n \times S^{n-1}$ for the corresponding solution to the system (8.4). By definition, the $\omega$-limit set of the orbit $\{X(s) : s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ consists of all possible limits $X_* = \lim_{n \to \infty} X(s_n)$ one can obtain by choosing arbitrary sequences $s_n \nearrow \infty$, i.e.

$$
\omega(X) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ X_* \in \mathbb{B}^n \times S^{n-1} : (\exists s_n \nearrow \infty) \lim_{n \to \infty} X_s = X(s_n) \right\}
$$

$$
= \bigcap_{s > 0} \left\{ X(s') : s' \geq s \right\}.
$$

The second description shows that $\omega(X)$ is always a compact connected subset of $\mathbb{B}^n \times S^{n-1}$, which is invariant under the flow (8.4).
The \( \alpha \)-limit set \( \alpha(X) \) of the orbit \( \{X(s) : s \in \mathbb{R}\} \) is defined similarly as the set of all possible limits of \( X(s_n) \) for sequences \( s_n \downarrow -\infty \).

The compactification of the soliton flow, and the almost Lyapunov function now give us the following description of the global behaviour of rotating-dilating solitons.

Let \( C : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) be a rotating-dilating soliton, i.e. a solution of (3) with \( \alpha < 0 \), and let \( X(\varsigma) = (P(\varsigma), T(\varsigma)) \) be the corresponding orbit of (8.4). Then, since \( V \) is monotone along the soliton, and since \( V \) is obviously bounded, the limits

\[ V_\pm \overset{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{s \to \pm \infty} V(C(s), C_s(s)) \]

must exist. One has \( V_- \leq V_+ \). Moreover, \( V \) is constant on both \( \omega(X) \) and \( \alpha(X) \) with

\[ V|_{\alpha(X)} = V_-, \quad V|_{\omega(X)} = V_+. \]

By Lemma 8.3 both \( \alpha \) and \( \omega \) limit sets must consist of trivial rotating-dilating solitons, Abresch Langer curves in \( N(A) \), or points at infinity.

We consider the possibilities for the \( \omega \)-limit set (the \( \alpha \)-limits are analogous.)

**Lemma 8.4.** If \( V_+ \neq 0 \) then \( V_+ = \pm \Omega_k/\sqrt{-\epsilon \alpha} \) for some \( k \), and \( \omega(X) \) consists of complex circles with radius \( (-\alpha)^{-1/2} \) in the subspace \( F_k \), as found in Lemma 8.2.

In the special (but generic) case that all eigenvalues \( i\Omega_k \) of \( A \) are simple, the subspaces \( F_k \) are two-dimensional, and they contain exactly one soliton-circle: when this happens \( \omega(X) \) contains that circle, and the soliton \( C \) is asymptotic to the circle.
The case $V_+ = 0$ is more complicated. If $V_+ = 0$ then $\omega(X)$ could contain points at infinity, compact Abresch-Langer curves in $N(\mathcal{A})$, or straight lines through the origin in $N(\mathcal{A})$.

**Lemma 8.5.** Assume $V_+ = 0$. If $\omega(X)$ is not contained in $N(\mathcal{A}) \times S^{n-1}$ then $C(s) \in R_-(K)$ for some large enough $K$ and all large enough $s$. In this case the soliton $C$ is asymptotic to a log spiral as in (66). In particular $\omega(X)$ is disjoint from $N(\mathcal{A})$.

**Proof.** Since $V_+ = 0$ we know that $\omega(X)$ is a subset of $(\partial B^n \cup N(\mathcal{A})) \times S^{n-1}$. If there is a $(P_0, T_0) \in \omega(X) \cap \partial B^n$ with $AP_0 \neq 0$, then we can choose a sequence $s_n \to \infty$ for which $\|C(s_n)\| \to \infty$, and $C(s_n)/\|C(s_n)\| \to P_0$.

In view of $\mathfrak{a}(s_n) = (\alpha + \mathcal{A})C(s_n)$ we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\mathfrak{a}(s_n)\| = \infty.$$  

If $\nu(s_n) > K$ and if $(C(s_n'), T(s_n')) \notin R_-(K) \cup R_+(K)$ then Lemma 7.2 implies that for some $s_n' = s_n + o(1)$ one has $(C(s_n'), T(s_n')) \in R_+(K)$. So after changing the $s_n$ slightly we may assume that $(C(s_n), T(s_n)) \in \mathcal{R}_-(K) \cup \mathcal{R}_+(K)$. If $(C(s_n), T(s_n)) \in \mathcal{R}_+(K)$, then at $s = s_n$ we have

$$\langle AC, T \rangle = \langle AC, \hat{a} \rangle + \langle AC, T - \hat{a} \rangle = \frac{(\omega + C)^n_{AC}}{\|\omega + C\|^n} + \langle AC, T - \hat{a} \rangle \geq \|AC\| \left\{ \frac{|AC|^n}{\|\omega + C\|^n} - \|T - \hat{a}\| \right\}.$$  

On the other hand $V(C(s), T(s))$ is a nondecreasing function which converges to zero, so $V(C(s), T(s)) \leq 0$, and thus $\langle AC, T \rangle \leq 0$ for all $s$. Hence we have shown that at $s = s_n$

$$\|AC\| \leq \frac{\|\omega + C\|}{\|C\|} \|T - \hat{a}\| \leq \|\omega + C\| \|T - \hat{a}\|.$$  

Since we are assuming that $(C(s_n), T(s_n)) \in \mathcal{R}_+(K)$ we know that $\|T(s_n) - \hat{a}(s_n)\| \to 0$, and therefore we end up with

$$\|AP_0\| = \left\| \lim_{n \to \infty} A \frac{C(s_n)}{\|C(s_n)\|} \right\| = 0.$$  

This is impossible since we have assumed $P_0 \notin N(\mathcal{A})$. The contradiction shows that we must have $(C(s_n), T(s_n)) \in \mathcal{R}_-(K)$ for all $n$. Moreover, if $(C(s_n), T(s_n)) \in \mathcal{R}_+(K)$ and if $(C(s), T(s))$ were to leave $\mathcal{R}_-(K)$ for some $s \geq s_n$ then it follows from Lemma 7.2 that $(C(s), T(s))$ would end up in $cR_+(K)$. The previous arguments show that this again contradicts $V_+ \leq 0$. We can therefore conclude that $(C(s), T(s))$ must remain in $\mathcal{R}_-(K)$ for all $s \geq s_n$. Lemma 7.7 implies that the soliton is asymptotic to a generalized logarithmic spiral. \[\Box\]

If $\omega(X)$ is contained in $N(\mathcal{A}) \times S^{n-1}$ then all we can say now is that $\omega(X)$ consists of lines and Abresch-Langer curves (and $\omega(X)$ must of course be compact and connected.) It would be natural to conjecture that if $\omega(X)$ contains an Abresch-Langer curve, then that curve is all of $\omega(X)$, and the soliton converges to that Abresch-Langer curve. It is also natural to conjecture that $\omega(X)$ can never contain a straight line, since the soliton would have to march up and down this line.
THE ZOO OF SOLITONS FOR CURVE SHORTENING IN $\mathbb{R}^n$

ininitely often. However, preliminary computations involving matched asymptotic expansions seem to show that even in the 3 dimensional case a shrinking-rotating soliton exists for which $\alpha(X)$ is a circle in the $xy$-plane, while $\omega(X)$ consists of the entire $z$-axis. The construction and analysis of this solution will be the subject of a future paper.

References

1. U. Abresch and J. Langer, The normalized curve shortening flow and homothetic solutions, J. Differential Geom. 23 (1986), no. 2, 175–196.
2. Steven J. Altschuler, Singularities of the curve shrinking flow for space curves, J. Differential Geom. 34 (1991), no. 2, 491–514.
3. ______, Shortening space curves, Differential geometry: Riemannian geometry (Los Angeles, CA, 1990), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 54, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993, pp. 45–51.
4. Steven J. Altschuler and Matthew A. Grayson, Shortening space curves and flow through singularities, J. Differential Geom. 35 (1992), no. 2, 283–298. MR 1158337 (93b:58031)
5. Sigurd B. Angenent, Shrinking doughnuts, Nonlinear diffusion equations and their equilibrium states, 1 (Gregynog, 1989), Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., vol. 7, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1992, pp. 21–38. MR 1167827 (93d:58032)
6. Kai-Seng Chou and Xi-Ping Zhu, The curve shortening problem, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2001. MR 1888641 (2003e:53088)
7. Earl A. Coddington and Norman Levinson, Theory of ordinary differential equations, McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1955. MR 0069338 (16,1022b)
8. Hoeskuldur P. Halldorsson, Self-similar solutions to the curve shortening flow, (2010), http://arxiv.org/abs/1007.1617v1.
9. Gerhard Huisken, Asymptotic behavior for singularities of the mean curvature flow, J. Differential Geom. 31 (1990), no. 1, 285–299. MR 1030675 (90m:53016)
10. N. Hungerbühler and K. Smoczyk, Soliton solutions for the mean curvature flow, Differential Integral Equations 13 (2000), no. 10-12, 1321–1345. MR 1787070 (2001j:53090)
11. Tom Ilmanen, Elliptic regularization and partial regularity for motion by mean curvature, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 108 (1994), no. 529, x+90. MR 12196160 (95d:490060)

DJA: ST. MARKS SCHOOL OF TEXAS, 10600 PRESTON RD, DALLAS, TEXAS
SJA,LWU: GREEN CENTER FOR SYSTEMS BIOLOGY, UT SOUTHWESTERN MEDICAL CENTER, DALLAS, TEXAS
SBA: MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN AT MADISON