Tetrahydro-2-naphthyl and 2-Indanyl Triazolopyrimidines Targeting *Plasmodium falciparum* Dihydroorotate Dehydrogenase Display Potent and Selective Antimalarial Activity
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**ABSTRACT:** Malaria persists as one of the most devastating global infectious diseases. The pyrimidine biosynthetic enzyme dihydroorotate dehydrogenase (DHODH) has been identified as a new malaria drug target, and a triazolopyrimidine-based DHODH inhibitor 1 (DSM265) is in clinical development. We sought to identify compounds with higher potency against *Plasmodium* DHODH while showing greater selectivity toward animal DHODHs. Herein we describe a series of novel triazolopyrimidines wherein the p-SF₅-aniline was replaced with substituted 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-naphthyl or 2-indanyl amines. These compounds showed strong species selectivity, and several highly potent tetrahydro-2-naphthyl derivatives were identified. Compounds with halogen substitutions displayed sustained plasma levels after oral dosing in rodents leading to efficacy in the *P. falciparum* SCID mouse malaria model. These data suggest that tetrahydro-2-naphthyl derivatives have the potential to be efficacious for the treatment of malaria, but due to higher metabolic clearance than 1, they most likely would need to be part of a multidose regimen.

**INTRODUCTION**

Malaria is one of the most deadly infectious diseases in human history with 3.2 billion people in 97 countries at risk. An estimated 444,000 deaths from malaria were reported by the WHO in 2015 and ~90% of these occurred in sub-Saharan Africa, mostly among children under the age of five. Human malaria, which is transmitted by the female *Anopheles* mosquito, can be caused by five species of *Plasmodia*; however, *Plasmodium falciparum* and *Plasmodium vivax* are the most significant. *P. falciparum* is dominant in Africa and accounts for most of the deaths, while *P. vivax* has a larger global distribution. To simplify treatment options it is desirable that new drugs be efficacious against all human infective species.
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**Supporting Information**
Malaria is a treatable disease and malarial control programs depend on drug therapy for treatment and chemoprevention, and on insecticides (including insecticide impregnated bed nets) to prevent transmission. A large collection of drugs has been used for the treatment of malaria, but many of the most important compounds have been lost to drug resistance (e.g., chloroquine and pyrimethamine). Artemisinin combination therapies (ACT) replaced older treatments, becoming highly effective, crucial tools in global efforts that have led to the decline in malaria deaths over the past decade. However, resistance to the artemisinin components (associated with Kelch13 propeller protein mutations) has been found in Southeast Asia putting at risk malaria treatment programs. To combat drug resistance a significant effort is underway to identify new compounds that can be used for the treatment of malaria, with several new entities currently in clinical development.

The triazolopirimidine DSM265 (1) (Figure 1) developed by our group is the first antimalarial agent that targets dihydroorotate dehydrogenase (DHODH) to reach clinical development, validating this target for the treatment of malaria. DHODH is a mitochondrial enzyme that is required for the fourth step of de novo pyrimidine biosynthesis, catalyzing the flavin-dependent oxidation of dihydroorotate to orotic acid with mitochondrially derived coenzyme Q (CoQ) serving as a second substrate. Pyrimidines are essential for both RNA and DNA biosynthesis, and because Plasmodia do not encode pyrimidine salvage enzymes, which are found in humans and other organisms, the de novo pyrimidine pathway and DHODH are essential to the parasite. We identified the triazolopirimidine DHODH inhibitor series by a target-based high throughput screen, and the initial lead DSM1 (2) (Figure 1) was shown to selectively inhibit P. falciparum DHODH and to kill parasites in vitro, but it was ineffective in vivo due to poor metabolic properties. The series was subsequently optimized to improve its in vivo properties resulting in the identification of DSM74 (3), which while metabolically stable lacked potency. X-ray structural data of 2 and 3 bound to PfDHODH were then used to guide the medicinal chemistry program in the search for more potent analogues, resulting in the identification of 1.

While 1 has progressed successfully to Phase II clinical trials, we sought to identify potential backup compounds if unforeseen issues arise during its clinical development. Importantly, 1 has potent activity on PfDHODH and P. falciparum parasites in vivo, and its pharmacokinetic properties support its use as a single dose treatment or once weekly prophylactic. However, while 1 has excellent selectivity against human DHODH and thus is not expected to show on target activity in humans, it does have some activity against rodent DHODHs that has complicated animal toxicity testing. We therefore sought to identify compounds that have broad selectivity against all mammalian DHODHs, while if possible identifying compounds with higher potency against PfDHODH that could potentially reduce the dose required for treatment. We describe herein a series of triazolopirimidines where the SF₆-aniline moiety of 1 has been replaced by either substituted 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-naphthyl or 2-indanyl amines. Compounds from both series are active against a range of mammalian DHODHs, while several of the identified tetrahydronaphthalenes are more potent than 1 against P. falciparum parasites in vitro and indeed are among the most potent compounds that have been identified in the series. However, these compounds are less metabolically stable than 1 in mouse liver microsomes, suggesting the likelihood of higher clearance in mice and explaining the requirement for higher doses to inhibit parasites in the in vivo mouse model of malaria.

**Chemistry**

The focus of the study was to examine the structure–activity relationships (SARs) of replacing the p-SF₆-aniline of 1 with substituted 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-naphthyl or 2-indanyl amines with the goal of eliminating activity on rodent DHODHs, while potentially improving potency against P. falciparum DHODH and thereby lowering the dose required for in vivo efficacy. The clinical candidate 1 is a potent inhibitor of PfDHODH with only minimal activity detected against human DHODH (selectivity window > 5000-fold; Table 1). However, 1 showed inhibitory activity against rodent and to a lesser extent dog DHODHs, although potency toward these enzymes remained considerably less than for PfDHODH. Because these species are required for toxicity testing in preclinical studies we sought to eliminate rodent and dog DHODH inhibitory activity for any potential candidate that might be developed as a backup to 1. We previously reported that substitution of the p-SF₆-aniline with p-CF₃ aniline in the context of either mono or difluoro groups at the meta position led to greater inhibition of the mammalian enzymes. X-ray structural data suggested that increasing hydrophobicity drove the interaction of these compounds with the mammalian enzymes. We hypothesized based on these structures that increasing the size of the aromatic amine might prevent binding to mammalian DHODHs, while increasing potency toward the parasite enzyme. We previously tested several tetrahydro-2-naphthyl and 2-indanyl amines as substitutes for the CF₃-aniline of 3 but found these compounds lacked potency, and furthermore, the one analogue containing 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-naphthyl also lacked metabolic stability in mouse microsomes. However, these amines were never tested within the context of haloalkyl groups (CF₃CH₂ or CF₃) at the C2 position of the triazolopirimidine ring, which was a key substitution required to boost the potency of the series. We therefore synthesized a series of tetrahydro-2-naphthyl or 2-indanyl analogues with halo alkyl groups at the C2 position. Substituents (haloalkyl, Me, CF₃, OMe, SO₂Me, and SO₂NMe₂) were incorporated onto the tetrahydro-2-naphthyl or 2-indanyl aromatic rings to improve metabolic stability over the unsubstituted analogues. The synthetic strategy to generate the appropriately substituted triazolopirimidines has previously been de-
Table 1. Structure–Activity Relationships

| Compd | R          | Ar/R1       | IC50 (μM) |
|-------|------------|-------------|-----------|
|       |            | PyDHODH     | PfDHODH   | hDHODH    | EC50 (μM) | Py3D7 cells |
| 1     | CF3CH3     | 4-SF2-Ph    | 0.0089    | 0.027     | >100      | 0.0043     |
| 2     | H          | 2-naphthyl  | 0.047     | 0.23      | >100      | 0.068      |
| 3     | H          | 4-CF3-Ph    | 0.28      | 2.6       | >100      | 0.34       |
| 5     | CF3CH3     | H           | 0.021     | 0.035     | ND        | 0.0028     |
| 6     | CF3CH3     | H           | 0.024     | 0.056     | ND        | 0.0075     |
| 7     | CF3CH3     | 6-Cl (E-I)  | 0.0037    | 0.029     | >100      | 0.0038     |
| 8     | CF3CH3     | 6-Cl (E-II)| 1.34      | ND        | >100      | 0.42       |
| 9     | CF3CH3     | 7-Cl (E-I, S)| 0.0063    | 0.010     | >100      | 0.0012     |
| 10    | CF3CH3     | 7-Cl (E-I, R)| 0.79      | ND        | >100      | 2.8 (1.0–7.8)|
| 11    | CF3CH3     | 6-Br (E-I)  | 0.0046    | 0.024     | >100      | 0.0026     |
| 12    | CF3CH3     | 6-Br (E-II)| 0.79      | 2.1       | >100      | 0.14 (0.09–0.21)|
| 13    | CF3CH3     | 7-Br (E-I)  | 0.0046    | 0.012     | >100      | 0.00039    |
| 14    | CF3CH3     | 7-Br (E-II)| 0.64      | ND        | >100      | 1.4 (1.2–1.5)|
| 15    | CF3CH3     | 6-OMe      | 0.14      | 0.22      | >100      | 0.017      |
| 16    | CF3CH3     | 7-OMe (E-I)| 0.021     | 0.13      | >100      | 0.025      |
| 17    | CF3CH3     | 7-OMe (E-II)| 1.0       | ND        | ND        | 0.64 (0.56–0.75)|
| 18    | CF3CH3     | 6,7-di-F (E-I)| 2.3      | ND        | ND        | >2.5       |
| 19    | CF3CH3     | 6,7-di-F (E-II)| 0.060    | 0.071     | ND        | 0.022      |
| 20    | CF3CH3     | 6-F·7-CF3  | 0.089     | 0.39      | >100      | 0.097      |
| 21    | CF3CH3     | 6-CF3·7-F  | 0.087     | 0.82      | >100      | 3.3 (2.97–3.63)|
| 22    | CF3       | H           | 0.17      | ND        | >100      | 0.021      |
| 23    | CF3       | 6-F        | 0.077     | 0.28      | ND        | 0.066      |
| 24    | CF3       | 7-Cl (E-I)| 0.015     | ND        | >50       | 0.033      |
| 25    | CF3       | 7-Cl (E-II)| 1.1       | ND        | ND        | 1.5 (1.2–1.7)|
| 26    | CF3CH3     | H           | 0.048     | ND        | >100      | 0.036      |
| 27    | CF3CH3     | 5-Br       | 0.11      | ND        | ND        | 0.59 (0.25–1.4)|
| 28    | CF3CH3     | 5-Cl       | 0.085     | ND        | ND        | 0.12 (0.045–0.20)|
| 29    | CF3CH3     | 5,6-di-Cl  | 0.14      | ND        | ND        | 0.58 (0.22–1.5)|
| 30    | CF3CH3     | 5-SO2Me    | 4.0       | ND        | ND        | 6.5 (4.9–8.1)|
| 31    | CF3CH3     | 5-SO2NMMe2 | 8.0       | ND        | ND        | ND        |
| 32    | CF3CH3     | 4,7-di-Me  | 0.014     | 0.024     | >100      | 0.00039    |
| 33    | CF3CH3     | 4,7-di-F   | 0.065     | 0.15      | >100      | 0.046      |
| 34    | CF3CH3     | 4-F        | 0.052     | 0.3        | >100      | 0.064      |
| 35    | CF3CH3     | 5,6-di-F   | 0.052     | 0.37      | >100      | 0.040      |
| 36    | CF3CH3     | 4-CF3·2F  | 0.066     | 0.28      | >100      | 0.0043     |
| 37    | CF3       | 5,6-di-F   | 0.12      | ND        | ND        | 0.15 (0.092–0.23)|

DHODH IC50 and P. falciparum EC50 values were determined from triplicate data points at each concentration in the dose titration. Values in parentheses represent the 95% confidence interval of the fit. Enantiomer-I is the second eluted on the chiral column. Enantiomer-II is the first eluted on the chiral column. Data were collected using albumax unless otherwise stated. Data for 215 and 312 were taken from previous reports. Compound 1 has been previously profiled in these assays, and parasite data were previously reported.1,12 Enzyme data were recollected herein to serve as a direct comparator.

For the studies described herein, 7-chloro-2-(1,1-difluoroethyl)-5-methyl[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-α]pyrimidine (4a) and 7-chloro-2-(trifluoromethyl)-5-methyl[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-α]pyrimidine (4b) were prepared using these methods (Scheme 1) and were reacted with the requisite amines to afford the final products containing either the 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-naphthyl (5–25) or 2-indanyl (26–37) amines in place of the p-SF2-aniline of 1. Most amines utilized for the study were commercially available with the exception that amines for compounds 29–37 were synthesized as described in Scheme 2 and Supplementary Scheme S1. The 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-naphthyls contained a chiral center, and for select compounds, individual enantiomers were purified using a chiral column as described in the Experimental Section.
Further regioselective chlorosulfonylation of 39 led to the sulfonyl chloride intermediate 40. Reduction of the sulfonyl chloride to the thiol was achieved by tin chloride, which was converted to a methylthio derivative 41 using sodium methoxide and methyl iodide. Next, oxidation of methylthio intermediate 41 with mCPBA gave the acetyl protected methanesulfonyl derivative 42. Upon deprotection of this acetyl group using 3 N HCl, the required amine precursor 43 was obtained.

## RESULTS

### Optimization of Inhibitor Potency and Selectivity

Compounds were first analyzed for potency against *P. falciparum, P. vivax,* and human DHODH, and for activity on *P. falciparum* parasites *in vitro* (Table 1). Compounds in the series showed IC$_{50}$ against PfDHODH in the range of 0.005–8 μM and EC$_{50}$ against *P. falciparum* 3D7 parasites in whole cell assays between 0.00039–6.5 μM (Table 1). Generally, the tetrahydro-2-naphthyl compounds (5–25) were more potent in both assays than the 2-indanyls (26–37). The most potent analogues based on the whole cell *P. falciparum* assay were 9 and 13, both of which contain a halogen (chloro or bromo, respectively) in the 7 position. Compound 13 is the most potent analogue identified in the triazolopyrimidine series that has been reported. Overall the trend was for 7-substituted tetrahydro-2-naphthyls to be more potent than those with substitutions at the 6 position. Compounds with Br and Cl substituents (7, 9, 11, 13) were more potent than those with fluoro 6, OMe (15, 16) or with dissubstitutions (19, 20, 21), with the least active tetrahydro-2-naphthyl 21 containing a 6-CF$_3$ 7-F. Potency of 21 in the whole cell parasite assay was worse than expected based on the inhibitory activity against PfDHODH, suggesting that the compound may be poorly transported into the parasite. Analogues with CF$_3$ at C2 (22, 23, 24) on the triazolopyrimidine ring were less active than those with CF$_3$CH$_3$ (5, 6, 9) at this position. Within the indanyl series the most potent compounds were 32 and 36 containing 4,7-dimethyl or 4-CF$_3$ substituents, respectively, while the least active compounds were 30 and 31 containing 5-SO$_2$Me or 5-SO$_2$NMe$_2$ substituents, respectively.

For the tetrahydro-2-naphthyls where individual enantiomers were characterized, differences in potency between the active enantiomer (7, 9, 11, 13, 16, 19, 24) and the inactive enantiomer (8, 10, 12, 14, 17, 18, 25) ranged from 20- to 360-fold toward PfDHODH and from 30- to 2000-fold against *P. falciparum* 3D7 parasites. The small molecule X-ray structure of the active enantiomer 9 was solved demonstrating that it was in the S configuration (Figure S1 and Table S1). We did not isolate the individual enantiomers for compounds from the indane series (27, 28, 30, 31, 34, and 36), and it is likely that the purified active enantiomers would also show higher activity for these compounds as well. Thus, the reported potency data for the racemic mixture likely overestimates the true IC$_{50}$ by ~2-fold.

Overall we observed a good correlation between potency on PfDHODH and potency on 3D7 *P. falciparum* parasites (Figure S2). There was a tendency for the most potent compounds on the parasite to show lower potency against PfDHODH, but this difference is likely caused by the complication of stoichiometric binding for compounds with IC$_{50}$ at or below the concentration of enzyme used in the assay (PfDHODH = 5 nM). In order to confirm that, for the most potent compounds, parasite killing is due to DHODH inhibition, we tested select compounds (9 and 13) for their ability to inhibit growth of a genetically engineered parasite strain that expresses yeast DHODH (D10 yDHODH20). This strain is resistant to DHODH inhibitors if their mechanism of action is on target. The EC$_{50}$ (D10 yDHODH EC$_{50}$ = 8.6 and 7.4 μM for 9 and 13, respectively) for both compounds were increased by >600-fold against this parasite strain relative to the wild-type 3D7 parasites, supporting DHODH inhibition as their mechanism of action (Table 1 and Figure S3). The addition of proguanil did not reverse the resistance of this strain, which is also consistent with DHODH as the mechanism of parasite killing. In contrast, it has been previously reported...
Table 2. Activity of Select Triazolopyrimidines on Various Mammalian DHODHs

| compd | human IC₅₀ (μM) | rat IC₅₀ (μM) | mouse IC₅₀ (μM) | dog IC₅₀ (μM) |
|-------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|
| 1     | ~100            | 2.6 ± 0.39   | 2.3 ± 0.64      | 16 ± 6.5     |
| 35    | >100            | >100         | >100            | >100         |
| 11    | >100            | >100         | >100            | >100         |
| 13    | >100            | >100         | >100            | >100         |
| 7     | >100            | >100         | >100            | >100         |
| 9     | >100            | >100         | >100            | >100         |

“Errors represent standard deviation for four independent replicates using different batches. Each replicate IC₅₀ was determined from triplicate data points at each concentration in the dose titration.”

Figure 2. X-ray structure of PfDHODH bound to 13 (PfDHODH-13). Limited residues from the 4 Å shell around 13 are shown, and the structure has been aligned to the PfDHODH structure bound to 2 (PDB 3I65) to allow comparison of the binding modes. Only the inhibitor 2 from 3I65 is displayed. PfDHODH amino acid, FMN, and orotate carbons are shown in purple, the carbons of 13 are shown in tan, and the carbons of 2 are shown in green. Nitrogens are blue, oxygens are red, sulfur is light yellow, fluorines are light blue, and bromine is deep red. Protein residues are labeled with their amino acid number.

that proguanil reverses the atovaquone resistance phenotype of the D10 yDHODH parasite strain, providing a mechanism to distinguish between DHODH and bc1 targeted parasite growth inhibition. 20 The weak but detectable activity observed on the D10 yDHODH parasites for both compounds suggests that at high concentrations there is a secondary target. For a couple of select compounds (9 and 35) whole cell activity was also tested on several additional cell lines including chloroquine and pyrimethamine resistant parasites (Table S2). Both compounds were equally active on all tested strains.

Species selectivity was first evaluated by testing against human and P. vivax DHODH (Table 1) and then for a selection of the more potent compounds, inhibitor activity was also measured for mouse, rat, and dog DHODH (Table 2). None of the compounds showed any inhibition of human DHODH up to the highest tested concentration (100 μM), which is similar to results for 1. However, unlike 1, none of the compounds showed any activity against either the rodent or dog DHODHs (Table 2), demonstrating that we had achieved our objective of eliminating mammalian DHODH activity. Measured IC₅₀s for P. vivax DHODH ranged from 1.5–10-fold higher than for PfDHODH. The 7-position substituted tetrahydro-2-naphthyl, 9 and 13 showed minimal differences (1.5–3-fold) between the two enzymes suggesting that they would show good activity against both P. falciparum and P. vivax parasites, whereas the 6 position compounds (7 and 11) had 5–8-fold lower activity on P. vivax than P. falciparum DHODH.

X-ray Structure of 13 Bound to PfDHODH. In order to understand the structural basis for the superior potency of 13 we solved its X-ray structure bound to PfDHODH. The structure was solved to 2.32 Å resolution at an Rwork and Rfree of 0.18 and 0.21, respectively (Figure 2 and Table S3). Strong electron density was observed for the entirety of 13 (Figure S4A). Compound 13 was oriented in the pocket similarly to other triazolopyrimidines (e.g., 1–3,12,17,18) with the triazolopyrimidine ring bound adjacent to the flavin cofactor in position to form H-bond interactions with Arg-265 and His-185 (Figures 2 and S4B). The tetrahydro-2-naphthyl moiety bound in a hydrophobic pocket forming edge-to-face stacking interactions with Phe-227 and Phe-188 and was in a very similar orientation to what we previously observed for the naphthyl moiety of 2 (Figure 2). Some modest conformational differences in ring geometry were observed reflecting the difference between the fully planar and aromatic naphthyl and the puckered configuration of the nonaromatic ring of the tetrahydro-2-naphthyl. A halogen bond was observed between the 7-bromo group on the tetrahydro-2-naphthyl and Cys-233 SH, which likely provides significant binding energy to the enzyme inhibitor interaction. This is a novel interaction that has not been previously observed.

Physicochemical Properties and Plasma Protein Binding. Selected compounds were evaluated for their physicochemical properties to determine if they had good drug-like properties. Analysis included in silico calculations, chromato-
and the more potent analogues tended to have higher Log D. This series had lower kinetic solubility than naphthyl and the tetrahydro-2-naphthyl compounds substituted at the 6 position (1, 11, 13, 35). The tetrahydro-2-naphthyl compounds substituted at the 6 position (1 and 11) showed higher protein binding compared to the compounds substituted at the 7 position (9 and 13). Binding was similar across the species tested for each of the compounds. By comparison, 1 exhibited high protein binding in human and mouse plasma but lower binding in rat plasma. The indanyl analogue 35 had considerably lower protein binding compared to either 1 or the tetrahydro-2-naphthyl compounds.

Table 3. Physicochemical Properties, in Vitro Metabolism, and hERG Activity

| compd | log D<sub>(pH 7.4)</sub> | kinetic solubility pH 6.5 (μg/mL)<sup>a</sup> | PPB<sup>b</sup> (H/R/M, % bound) | blood to plasma ratio (rat) | in vitro CL<sub>int</sub> (H/R/M, μL/min/mg protein)<sup>c</sup> | predicted in vivo CL<sub>int</sub> (H/R/M, mL/min/kg)<sup>d</sup> | hERG (μM) | in vivo pharmacokinetic testing (7, 9, 11, 13, 35). The tetrahydro-2-naphthyl compounds substituted at the 6 position (1 and 11) showed higher protein binding compared to the compounds substituted at the 7 position (9 and 13). Binding was similar across the species tested for each of the compounds. By comparison, 1 exhibited high protein binding in human and mouse plasma but lower binding in rat plasma. The indanyl analogue 35 had considerably lower protein binding compared to either 1 or the tetrahydro-2-naphthyl compounds.

Table 4. Solubility in Physiologically Relevant Media<sup>e</sup>

| media | solubility (μg/mL) | 1 | 35 | 11 | 13 | 7 | 9 |
|-------|-------------------|----|----|----|----|---|---|
| FeSSIF pH 5.0 | 27.6<sup>e</sup> | 65<sup>e</sup> | 211<sup>e</sup> | 39 | 211 | 36 |
| FeSSIF pH 6.5 | 5.1 | 15 | 13 | 8.3 | 47 | 12 |

<sup>a</sup>Log D (pH 7.4), aqueous solubility, and plasma protein binding (Tables 3 and 4). Tested compounds.

<sup>b</sup>Human, rat, and mouse plasma protein binding (PPB) was assessed for the more potent compounds that were progressed to in vivo pharmacokinetic testing (7, 9, 11, 13, 35). The tetrahydro-2-naphthyl compounds substituted at the 6 position (1 and 11) showed higher protein binding compared to the compounds substituted at the 7 position (9 and 13). Binding was similar across the species tested for each of the compounds. By comparison, 1 exhibited high protein binding in human and mouse plasma but lower binding in rat plasma. The indanyl analogue 35 had considerably lower protein binding compared to either 1 or the tetrahydro-2-naphthyl compounds.

<sup>c</sup>In vitro intrinsic clearance in human (H), rat (R), and mouse (M) liver microsomes. Predicted in vivo intrinsic clearance obtained using physiological scaling factors. Given the early stage of optimization for the series, protein binding was not determined for all compounds, and therefore, no attempt was made to predict the in vivo blood clearance (which requires corrections for both plasma and microsomal binding). In vitro CL<sub>int</sub> values of <20 μL/min/mg protein were taken as being suggestive of good metabolic stability, assuming that clearance only occurs via hepatic metabolism. Overall, the substituted tetrahydro-2-naphthyls showed good metabolic stability in human liver microsomes, but they were less stable in mouse microsomes (Table 3). Chloro-, bromo-, and CF<sub>3</sub>-substituted compounds (7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 20, 21) were marginally more metabolically stable than the fluoro- (6, 19) or OMe-substituted (16) compounds.
With the exception of 35, the indanyl analogues were generally metabolically unstable showing high intrinsic clearance in mouse liver microsomes and moderate to high values in human and rat microsomes. The least stable compounds were the unsubstituted 2-indanyl 26 and the 4,7 dimethyl substituted derivative 32. Replacement of both methyl groups with fluorne 33 did not improve stability, although perhaps surprisingly the single 4-F derivative 34 was significantly more stable. Substantial improvement in metabolic stability was obtained by moving the fluorne to the 5 and 6 positions of the ring 35, leading to low intrinsic clearance in human and rat microsomes and moderate clearance in mouse microsomes. These data suggested that 35 would show good plasma exposure in both mice and rats.

**hERG Channel Activity and CYP Inhibition.** In order to evaluate any potential cardiac risks, select compounds were tested for inhibition of the human ether-a-go-go-related gene (hERG) K⁺ channel in a standard patch clamp assay. hERG channel inhibition has been associated with QT prolongation and arrhythmias, and patch clamp assays have become a routine method to provide an initial analysis that a compound may potentially be associated with QT syndromes in humans. Compounds in both the tetrahydro-2-naphthyl and 2-indanyl series inhibited the hERG channel with IC₅₀ in the range of 0.5–1.8 μM (Table 3).

In order to test for the potential for drug–drug interactions, select compounds were tested for their ability to inhibit cytochrome P450 isoforms in human liver microsomes (Table 5). All tested compounds showed moderate inhibition of isoforms 2C9 and 2D6. The most significant inhibition was observed for 9 and 13 as both inhibited these two isoforms in the 2–4 μM range.

**In Vivo Pharmacokinetic Studies in Rats and Mice.** Five of the most potent compounds were selected for assessment of their in vivo pharmacokinetic properties based on their good in vitro metabolic stability. Mice were dosed orally while rats were dosed orally, and intravenously to allow determination of the clearance, volume of distribution, and oral bioavailability. Compounds included one from the indanyl series (35) and four from the tetrahydro-2-naphthyl series (7, 9, 11, 13).

All five compounds showed good exposure over 24 h in both mice and rats after oral administration (Tables 6 and 7; Figures 3 and 4). Compounds were well tolerated at the administered doses, and no adverse reactions were observed. In mice, Cₘₐₓ and AUC₂₄₉ values were highest for 35 and lower for the tetrahydro-2-naphthyl compounds. Compared to 1 and taking into account the differences in dose, total Cₘₐₓ and AUC₂₄₉ values were similar or lower for the tetrahydro-2-naphthyl compounds, whereas unbound Cₘₐₓ and AUC₂₄₉ were similar (for 7) or somewhat higher (3–4-fold for 9, 11, and 13). The indanyl (35) had the highest plasma exposure in mice in terms of both total and unbound Cₘₐₓ and AUC₂₄₉ and significantly higher exposure compared to 1. Similar to 1, the terminal elimination half-life (t½) in mice was ~2 h for all five compounds (Table 6 and Figure 3).

In rats, the oral bioavailability was high for all compounds tested, ranging from 63–100% compared to 57% for 1 (Table 7 and Figure 4). The plasma clearance and volume of distribution were significantly lower for the tetrahydro-2-naphthyl compounds substituted on the 6 position (7 and 11) than for those substituted on the 7 position (9 and 13); however, when corrected for differences in plasma protein binding, values across the four tetrahydro-naphthyl compounds were comparable (within 2-fold). The 6 position compounds also had lower blood to plasma partitioning consistent with their higher plasma protein binding compared to the 7 position analogues. Unbound clearance values for the tetrahydro-2-naphthyl compounds were somewhat lower for the two chloro-substituted compounds (438 and 589 mL/min/kg for 7 and 9, respectively) compared to the bromo compounds (933 and 800 mL/min/kg for 11 and 13, respectively), and all were higher than for 1 (263 mL/min/kg). As a result, unbound AUC values for the tetrahydro-2-naphthyls were approximately 2–4-fold lower than 1 after oral dosing at approximately 20 mg/kg. The t½ for the tetrahydro-2-naphthyl compounds ranged from 6 to 7 h in comparison to 13 h for 1. The indanyl compound (35) had low unbound clearance and volume of distribution, and overall unbound oral exposure was superior to 1 and to the tetrahydro-2-naphthyls (Table 7).

In **In Vivo Efficacy in the SCID Mouse Model of P. falciparum.** Based on the observation of good oral exposure after dosing in mice, we tested three compounds in the SCID mouse model of P. falciparum efficacy model, which has become the standard model for evaluating the efficacy of new antimalarial compounds. The tetrahydro-2-naphthyl compounds substituted at the 7 position (9 and 13) were more potent against P. falciparum in the in vitro parasite assay than those substituted on the 6 position (7 and 11) and showed fairly equivalent exposure in mice after oral dosing. We therefore decided to test both a 6- and 7-substituted tetrahydro-2-naphthyl (7 and 9) in the P. falciparum SCID mouse model. Because 35 showed significantly higher plasma exposure in mice than the remaining

---

**Table 5. Inhibition of Cytochrome P450 Enzymes in Human Liver Microsomes**

| CYP   | IC₅₀ (μM) | IC₅₀ (μM) |
|-------|----------|----------|
|       | 35       | 11       | 13       | 7        | 9        |
| 1A2   | >20      | >20      | >20      | >20      | >20      |
| 2B6   | >20      | 17.7     | >20      | 18.4     | >20      |
| 2C8   | ND       | 18.7     | 16.9     | >20      | >20      |
| 2C9   | 18.5     | 8.7      | 3.1      | 10.2     | 2.1      |
| 2C19  | >20      | >20      | >20      | >20      | >20      |
| 2D6   | 5.9      | >20      | 3.9      | 20       | 2.7      |
| 3A4   | >20      | >20      | >20      | >20      | >20      |

**Table 6. Mouse Plasma Pharmacokinetic Parameters after a Single Oral Dose**

| parameter | 1b | 35 | 11 | 13 | 7 | 9 |
|-----------|----|----|----|----|---|---|
| dose (mg/kg) | 10 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| mouse PPB (%) bound | 99.7 | 95.3 | 99.2 | 97.2c | 99.2c | 97.4 |
| Cₘₐₓ (h) | 1.5 | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  |
| AUC₂₄₉ (μMh) | 22.6 | 169 | 51 | 167 | 19.2 | 22.8 |

*Values in parentheses have been corrected for plasma protein binding and represent unbound values. Average from two studies as previously reported. * Protein binding in mouse plasma is an approximation based on rat and human plasma protein binding data. Calculated unbound parameters (in italics) are therefore approximations only.*
compounds, we also selected this compound for an efficacy study despite the 10-fold lower potency in the \textit{P. falciparum} parasite assay. All three compounds were dosed orally once a day for 4 days and tested for efficacy and blood concentrations at two doses (10 and 30 mg/kg). Each was well tolerated, and there were no adverse reactions. The effective dose that led to 90% parasite clearance (ED\textsubscript{90}) was calculated 24 h after the last dose (Table 8 and Figure 5A). Pharmacokinetic sampling was performed on day 1 to allow the total blood AUC at the ED\textsubscript{90} to be assessed (Tables 8 and S4; Figure 5B). Because of the complicated nature of blood in the SCID mouse model (i.e., mice contain a mixture of human and mouse plasma and erythrocytes and a hematocrit of 70−80%), it was not possible to accurately convert blood concentrations to plasma concentrations or to correct concentrations for differences in plasma protein binding.

| Parameter                  | oral administration | intravenous administration |
|----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| dose (mg/kg)               | 16.3                | 9.9                        |
| rat PPB (% bound)          | 83.7                | 99.3                       |
| blood/plasma               | 0.7                 | 1.8                        |
| apparent $T_{1/2}$ (h)     | 11.8                | 12.0                       |
| AUC\textsubscript{0-inf} (μM⋅h) | 171 (0.16)       | 12.0 (0.40)                |
| CIh (mL/min/kg)            | 6.0 (263)           | 36 (52)                    |
| Vh (L/kg)                  | 5.9 (257)           | 2.7 (47)                   |
| oral BA (%)                | 57                  | 63                         |

Values represent the average $n = 2$ rats per dose group. Values in parentheses have been corrected for plasma protein binding and represent unbound values. \textsuperscript{b}Data from ref 12.

Figure 3. Plasma concentration versus time profiles following a single oral dose of 20 mg/kg to male Swiss outbred mice. Data represent the mean concentrations for two mice at each time point.

Figure 4. Plasma concentration versus time profiles following a single intravenous (IV) or oral (PO) dose to male Sprague−Dawley rats. Dose levels are shown in Table 7. Each data point represents the mean of data from two rats, and error bars represent the range.

Table 7. Rat Plasma Pharmacokinetic Parameters after Intravenous (IV) or Oral (PO) Administration\textsuperscript{a}

| Parameter                  | oral administration | intravenous administration |
|----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| dose (mg/kg)               | 16.3                | 9.9                        |
| rat PPB (% bound)          | 83.7                | 99.3                       |
| blood/plasma               | 0.7                 | 1.8                        |
| apparent $T_{1/2}$ (h)     | 11.8                | 12.0                       |
| AUC\textsubscript{0-inf} (μM⋅h) | 171 (0.16)       | 12.0 (0.40)                |
| CIh (mL/min/kg)            | 6.0 (263)           | 36 (52)                    |
| Vh (L/kg)                  | 5.9 (257)           | 2.7 (47)                   |
| oral BA (%)                | 57                  | 63                         |

Values represent the average $n = 2$ rats per dose group. Values in parentheses have been corrected for plasma protein binding and represent unbound values. \textsuperscript{b}Data from ref 12.

Table 8. SCID Mouse Efficacy Data Based on Once Daily (QD) Dosing for 4 Days\textsuperscript{a}

| compd | ED\textsubscript{90} (mg/kg/day) | AUC\textsubscript{ED90} (μM⋅h/day) |
|-------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 9\textsuperscript{b}             | ~19                             | 10.3                             |
| 35\textsuperscript{b}            | ~35                             | ~137                             |
| 7\textsuperscript{b}             | >30                             | ~23                              |
| 1 QD\textsuperscript{d}          | 8.1                             | 45.7                             |
| 1 BID\textsuperscript{d}         | 3.0                             | 30.8                             |
| chloroquine\textsuperscript{d}   | 4.3                             | 3.1                              |

\textsuperscript{a}ED\textsubscript{90} was calculated from parasitemia levels measured 24 h after the last dose. AUC data are based on Day 1 pharmacokinetic data. \textsuperscript{b}Based on only two dose levels administered. \textsuperscript{c}1 QD data were taken from ref 11 and converted to different units for consistency. \textsuperscript{d}1 BID and chloroquine data were taken from ref 12 and converted to different units for consistency.
All three compounds showed efficacy in this model; however, 9 significantly outperformed the other two compounds based on ED₉₀ (Tables 8 and S4; Figure 5). The ED₉₀ was higher for all three compounds than for 1 ranging from ~19 mg/kg for 9 to >30 mg/kg for 7 and 35. The pharmacokinetic data indicated that, as expected, 35 exhibited higher total blood concentrations than the other two compounds. Both 7 and 9 were approximately dose linear for both C_max and AUC, whereas 35 showed significant nonlinearity and evidence of a second peak at 8 h at the lower dose (Table S4). Despite requiring a higher dose, 9 showed higher apparent efficacy compared to 1 based on the total blood AUC at the ED₉₀, which was 5-fold lower than that required for 1 in the once daily dosing regimen (Table 8). However, when accounting for differences in the plasma unbound fractions for 1 and 9 (approximately 0.002 for 1 and 0.03 for 9, Table 3), 1 likely has lower unbound concentrations at the ED₉₀ and hence higher intrinsic efficacy. In contrast, 35 was significantly less effective than 1 suggesting that the lower intrinsic potency of 35 could not be overcome by higher exposure levels. The pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic relationship for 7 could not be evaluated in detail as the ED₉₀ was not reached in this study.

**DISCUSSION**

Malaria is one of the most serious global infectious diseases, and while a number of effective drugs have been used to treat malaria, drug resistance has led to the loss of most agents that have been in widespread use. A robust drug pipeline is therefore key to malaria control and elimination efforts. DHODH has emerged as a key new target in efforts to identify antimalarial drugs that work on clinical isolates resistant to standard therapies, and as noted, the triazolopyrimidine 1 is currently in clinical development. In order to identify potential backup compounds to 1 in case issues arise in its clinical development, we sought to identify additional compounds within the triazolopyrimidine series with the potential to advance into preclinical development. One identified potential liability of 1 has been the finding that, while it does not inhibit human DHODH, it does show moderate inhibition of the mouse and rat enzymes, which complicates toxicity testing in animals. We therefore sought to identify new derivatives that showed broad selectivity against all of the key mammalian enzymes. Herein we describe a series of tetrahydro-2-naphthyl and 2-indanyl triazolopyrimidine analogues, which display a range of potencies against Plasmodium DHODH but importantly lack activity against human DHODH or any of the key mammalian enzymes from species that are important for toxicological profiling (mouse, rat, and dog). Pharmacologic profiling and in vivo efficacy assays suggest that several of the identified analogues have potential, with 9 showing the most promising profile.

In evaluating the tetrahydro-2-naphthyl and 2-indanyl triazolopyrimidine analogues we found that the tetrahydro-2-naphthyls (e.g., 7, 9, 11, 13) had significantly greater potency on PfDHODH and Plasmodium parasites in whole cell assays than the 2-indanyl analogues (e.g., 35). The most potent of the tetrahydro-2-naphthyl derivatives also showed good activity (within 1.5-fold) against P. vivax DHODH suggesting that they would be useful for the treatment of both P. falciparum and P. vivax. In contrast, the best of the 2-indanyl analogues (35) was 7-fold less active on P. vivax DHODH suggesting it might not have good activity against the P. vivax parasite.

The X-ray structure of the 7-bromo analogue 13 showed that, in addition to the previously observed H-bonds and stacking interactions observed for other analogues in the series, a good halogen bond was formed between the bromo group of 13 and Cys-233 that is likely to provide considerable binding energy to the interaction. Indeed halogen bonding to protein residues that include Lewis bases (e.g., sulfur) are commonly observed in protein structures deposited in the PDB. The overall binding mode of 13 is very similar to that of 2, which was expected based on their close structural similarity. Like the naphthyl of 2, the tetrahydro-2-naphthyl of 13 better fills the available binding pocket than the SF₅-aniline of 1, which supports our initial hypothesis that compounds with improved potency could be identified by substitution of the SF₅-aniline with bulkier aromatic groups. Comparison of the tetrahydro-2-naphthyl compounds containing 7 position (9 and 13) versus 6 position (7 and 11) substitutions identified a number of interesting differences. Analogues substituted in the 7 position were more potent than 6 position analogues, and indeed 13 with subnanomolar activity against the parasite in whole cell assays is the most potent analogue that has been identified in the series. However, these assays did not take into account potential differences in protein binding. The 6 position analogues exhibited consistently higher plasma protein binding than the 7 position compounds and a similar trend is also likely for binding to alsumax present in the in vitro test medium. Assessment of the pharmacologic properties showed that while the 7 position tetrahydro-2-naphthyls had greater apparent antimalarial potency, analogues substituted at the 6 position had somewhat improved...
physicochemical properties. The 6 position analogues 7 and 11 were more soluble (in 0.1 N HCl and FeSSIF media) than either of the 7 position analogues (9 and 13). While the total plasma exposure (AUC and $C_{\text{max}}$) in rats was higher for the 6 position analogues, correction of the data for protein binding indicated that the unbound exposure and unbound clearance were similar (within 2-fold) for the 6 and 7 position analogues with the chloro-substituted compounds (7 and 9) showing about 2-fold lower unbound clearance than the bromo-substituted compounds (11 and 13). So while total exposure appears greater for the 6 position analogues, unbound concentrations are comparable across the tetrahydro-naphthyl compounds tested. Protein binding data in mouse plasma was only available for 9, 11, and 35, and binding for each of these compounds was similar in each of the three species tested. Mouse plasma protein binding was therefore estimated for 7 and 13 using the average of the human and rat values, suggesting that unbound concentrations for each of the compounds are likely to be within a similar range (Table 6). The best of the 2-indanyl analogues (35) had considerably higher unbound plasma exposure in rats compared to the tetrahydro-2-naphthyls and to 1 and had similarly low unbound clearance.

The in vitro data generated in liver microsomes provided a mechanism to rank compounds based on their relative metabolic stability. The compounds selected for in vivo testing were expected to have good exposure, and this was seen following dosing to both rats and mice indicating that, qualitatively, the in vitro data were informative. Converting the in vitro intrinsic clearance determined in rat microsomes to a plasma clearance using physiologically based scaling factors and taking into account binding to rat plasma and microsomal proteins (as per ref 21) and blood to plasma partitioning ratio led to an under prediction of the actual in vivo clearance by a factor of 3- to 16-fold for the five compounds tested. These trends are similar to what had been observed previously for 1 and suggest that in vivo clearance mechanisms for the series also involve additional non-CYP pathways not fully represented by the microsomal test system. In the case of 1, hepatocyte assays also led to an under prediction of in vivo clearance highlighting the difficulties in obtaining accurate clearance predictions based on in vitro data alone. Further studies with the current compounds using hepatocytes may have provided additional insight into their clearance mechanisms.

In vivo efficacy was assessed in the P. falciparum SCID mouse model. Of the three tested compounds, 9 (7 position) had the most potent in vivo activity. The total blood AUC required to reach ED90 was lower than for 1; however, it took a higher dose (∼19 mg/kg versus 8.1 mg/kg for QD dosing) to achieve this activity, possibly due to a higher unbound clearance of 9 compared to 1 as seen in rats. The binding trends in human and mouse plasma would suggest that unbound concentrations of 9 in the SCID mouse are likely to be considerably higher compared to those for 1 at the same total blood concentration. Therefore, the true in vivo potency of 1 based on unbound concentrations is likely to be greater than that for 9. In spite of the high blood exposure, the 2-indanyl (35) lacked sufficient intrinsic potency to provide good activity in the in vivo model. In order to improve patient compliance, single dose treatments are being sought by the international organizations that are promoting new drug discovery for malaria. While all three tested compounds (7, 9, and 35) showed in vivo antimalarial activity, it is unlikely that any of these compounds have the necessary properties to support a single dose treatment regimen at a practical dose level in humans.

Preliminary safety analysis included characterizing hERG channel activity to assess potential cardiac effects and CYP inhibition studies to determine the potential for drug–drug interactions. All five of the profiled compounds showed some CYP inhibition, with CYP isoform 2D6 being inhibited to the greatest extent. CYP2D6 inhibition was modest with the most potent inhibition observed in the 3–6 μM range, but does suggest some potential for drug–drug interactions with compounds that are substrates for this enzyme. Tested compounds in these series also showed some hERG inhibition (0.5–1.5 μM range). This inhibitory activity is similar to what was observed for 1, where subsequent studies using the rabbit wedge model and dog cardiovascular studies showed no evidence for QT prolongation, arrhythmias, or cardiac effects.

Additionally, for 1 the unbound plasma concentration at likely therapeutic doses is 70–320-fold lower than the IC50 for hERG channel inhibition, suggesting it would be very unlikely that 1 would inhibit the channel in vivo. Insufficient efficacy data is available for compounds 7 and 35 to determine the safety margin relative to unbound concentrations at an efficacious dose; however, for 9 the $C_{\text{max}}$ at the ED90 in the SCID study (unbound concentration of approximately 0.05 μM) is ∼30-fold lower than the IC50 on the hERG channel, again suggesting a low likelihood the channel would be inhibited in vivo. However, given the hERG channel activity, careful evaluation of cardiac safety would be an important component of preclinical development if any of these compounds were to be advanced.

Several potential avenues remain feasible for additional optimization of the triazolopyrimidine series. First, only the chloro-substituted tetrahydro-2-naphthyls were tested in the SCID efficacy model, leaving open the possibility that one of the bromo analogues might have shown better in vivo efficacy. Additional optimization of the series might focus on reducing lipophilicity as this could lead to reduced intrinsic clearance and better in vivo exposure and also could lead to reduced hERG activity. Reduced lipophilicity would also likely result in improved aqueous solubility thereby simplifying formulation approaches for oral administration. Decreasing lipophilicity will likely require the SF2-aniline of 1 to be replaced with more hydrophilic aromatic amines, provided potency can be maintained. Within the tetrahydro-2-naphthyls, it might yet be possible to identify more metabolically stable analogues by identifying the specific sites of metabolism and attempting to block them.

**CONCLUSION**

We have described the identification of several tetrahydro-2-naphthyl and 2-indanyl triazolopyrimidine analogues that are potent inhibitors of Plasmodium DHODH and that have improved species selectivity over the mammalian enzymes in comparison to the clinical candidate 1. The compounds have potent activity against P. falciparum in parasite assays and showed antimalarial activity in the P. falciparum SCID mouse model of disease. These compounds showed good plasma exposure after oral dosing in mice and rats and were well tolerated in the in vivo studies that were performed. Several of the identified compounds, most notably 9, have the potential to be further developed for treatment of malaria. However, the findings that 9 is less intrinsically potent than 1 in vivo (based on expected unbound concentrations) and that the unbound clearance in rat is 2-fold higher than for 1 suggest that this
compound is unlikely to meet the strict criteria required for a single dose cure at a reasonable dose.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**DHODH Purification.** Plasmodium and mammalian DHODHs were expressed in *E. coli* as N-terminal truncations (lacking the mitochondrial transmembrane domains) fused to His<sub>6</sub> purification tags as previously described. Protein (pDHODH<sub>204-413</sub>) for crystallization studies was further truncated to remove a proteolytically sensitive loop (amino acids 384–421) that prevents crystallization as previously described. Protein complexes were expressed in *E. coli* BL21 phage-resistant cells (Novagen), and they were purified by Ni<sup>2+</sup>-agarose column chromatography (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, HisTrap HP) and gel-filtration column chromatography (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 pg) as previously described. Protein was concentrated to 10–30 mg/mL and stored at −80 °C.

**DHODH Kinetic Analysis.** Steady-state kinetic analysis was performed using a dye-based spectrophotometric method in assay buffer (100 mM HEPES, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.05% Triton X-100) as previously described. All buffers were degassed prior to use. Enzyme and substrate concentrations were DHODH (E = 5 nM), substrates (0.2 mM L-dihydroorotate, 0.02 mM CoQ<sub>d</sub>, and 0.12 mM 2,3-dichloroindophenol (DCIP)). Inhibitor stock solutions (100 mM) were made in DMSO and protected from light in dark amber vials. Serial dilutions were then performed to generate a 3-fold dilution series of 100x stocks also in DMSO that were used in the final assay (final inhibitor concentration range was 0.01–100 μM). Data were collected in triplicate for each concentration in the titration. Data were fitted to the log<sub>10</sub>[I] vs response (three parameters) equation to determine the concentration that gave 50% enzyme inhibition (IC<sub>50</sub>) except for compounds where the IC<sub>50</sub> > 10 μM, which were instead fitted to the standard IC<sub>50</sub> equation (Y = 1/(1 + X/IC<sub>50</sub>)) in Graphpad Prism. Error represents the 95% confidence interval of the fit.

**P. falciparum Growth Assays.** *P. falciparum* parasites were grown in RPMI-1640 containing 0.5% Albumax-II as previously described. Inhibitor stock solutions were prepared as described above for DHODH inhibition analysis except that a 500x dilution series prepared in DMSO was used to generate a 10x dilution series in RPMI so that final DMSO concentration in the media was 0.2%. Growth assays for *P. falciparum 3D7* cells and drug-resistant parasites were performed using the SYBR-green 72 h growth assay. Data were collected in triplicate to quadruplicate for each concentration in the titration. Data were fitted to the log<sub>10</sub>[I] vs response variable slope (four parameter) equation to determine the effective concentration (EC<sub>50</sub>) that led to 50% reduction in parasitemia. Error represents the 95% confidence interval of the fit.

**In Vitro Metabolism and Physicochemical Assessment.** *In Vitro Metabolism.** Selected compounds were incubated at a concentration of 1 μM with mouse, rat, or pooled human liver microsomes (BD Gentest, Woburn, MA or XenoTech LLC, Lenexa, Kansas City) at a microsomal protein concentration of 0.4 mg/mL. Substrate depletion was assessed by LC–MS. Measured in vitro intrinsic clearance values (μL/min/mg microsomal protein) were converted to predicted in vivo intrinsic clearances (mL/min/kg) using published physiologically-based scaling factors.

**Solubility.** Aqueous solubility of compounds was assessed by nephelometry as described previously. Compound stock solutions were prepared in DMSO, which was then spiked into pH 6.5 phosphate buffer giving a final DMSO concentration of 1%. Solubility measurements in physiologically relevant media were conducted for selected compounds. Media included 0.1 N HCl to represent a simulated gastric condition, fasted (FaSSIF, pH 6.5) and fed (FeSSIF, pH 5.0 or 5.8) state simulated intestinal fluids prepared as described previously. Solid material was incubated in media for a period of 5–6 h at 37 °C with periodic mixing and sample supernatant concentrations determined by HPLC with UV detection following two separate centrifugations to remove undissolved compound.

**Plasma Protein Binding and Partitioning.** Select compounds were analyzed for plasma protein binding (PPB) in human, rat, or mouse plasma using an ultracentrifugation method at 37 °C as previously described followed by LC–MS analysis as described below. Protein binding was calculated by comparing the unbound concentration in the ultracentrifuged samples to the total plasma concentration in control samples incubated at 37 °C for the same time period. For compounds progressing to rat pharmacokinetic studies, blood to plasma partitioning ratios in rat blood were also assessed as described previously.

**Pharmacokinetic Analysis in Mice and Rats.** Pharmacokinetic studies for select compounds were performed in mice and rats in accordance with the Australian Code of Practice for the Care and Use of Animals for Scientific Purposes, and the study protocols were approved by the Monash Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences Animal Ethics Committee.

Oral doses were administered as a suspension in a vehicle containing 0.5% w/v carboxymethylcellulose, 0.5% v/v benzy alcohol (as a preservative), and 0.4% v/v Tween 80, and intravenous doses were administered in cosolvent vehicle containing 40% propylene glycol, 10% ethanol, 0.4% Tween 80 in water. IV doses to rats were administered as a 10 min constant rate infusion of 1 mL into an indwelling jugular vein cannula, and oral doses were administered by gavage as 10 mL/kg (both rats and mice). Blood was collected from rats via a cannula inserted into the carotid artery on the day prior to dosing, and from mice via either submandibular bleed or terminal cardiac puncture (maximum of two samples per mouse) into tubes containing heparin as an anticoagulant, and plasma was separated by centrifugation. Compound concentrations were quantitated by LC–MS using a Waters Xevo TQ mass spectrometer coupled to a Waters Acquity UPLC. Chromatography was conducted using a Supelco Ascentis Express RP Amide column (50 × 2.1 mm, 2.7 μm) with a mobile phase consisting of 0.05% formic acid in water and 0.05% formic acid in methanol mixed via a linear gradient with a cycle time of 4 min. The flow rate was 0.4 mL/min, and the injection volume was 3 μL. Detection was via positive electrospray ionization mass spectrometry with multiple-reaction monitoring using a cone voltage of 30–40 V and collision energy of 25–35 V. Calibration curves were prepared using blank rat or mouse plasma, and validation studies confirmed the accuracy, precision, and limit of quantitation to be within acceptable ranges.

**Cyp Inhibition Assays.** Inhibition of cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes was assessed in human liver microsomes using a substrate specific approach where the formation of metabolites specific to a particular CYP isomorph was monitored. Microsomes were suspended in phosphate buffer and incubated at 37 °C in the absence of inhibitor. Data were fitted to the log<sub>10</sub>[I] vs response variable slope (four parameter) equation in graph pad prism to determine the effective concentration (EC<sub>50</sub>) that led to 50% reduction in parasitemia. Error represents the 95% confidence interval of the fit.

**HERG Analysis.** Select compounds were tested for activity against the human ether-a-go-go related gene (hERG) K<sup>+</sup> channel in a IonWorks patch clamp electrophysiology assay under contract to Essen BioScience (Welwyn Garden City Hertfordshire, UK).

**SCID Mouse Efficacy Studies.** NOD-scid IL-2Rnull (NSG) mice (Jackson Laboratory, USA) (23–36 g) were engrafted with human erythrocytes and then infected with *P. falciparum* Pf3D<sub>70K7/79</sub> parasites (20 × 10<sup>6</sup>) by intravenous injection as described. Compounds (7, 9, and 33) were administered by gavage once daily (QD) for four consecutive days starting on day 3 postinfection in vehicle (0.5% w/v sodium carboxymethylcellulose, 0.5% v/v benzy alcohol, 0.4% v/v Tween 80 in water). Parasitemia was monitored by flow cytometry. To verify the actual doses administered, formulation concentrations were measured. Compound levels in the formulations or in the blood of infected mice were measured by LC–MS/MS as described above. Human biological samples were sourced ethically,
and their research use was in accordance with the terms of the informed consents.

Small Molecule X-ray Structure Determination and Refinement of 9. Compound 9 was crystallized from ethanol. A colorless prism, measuring 0.20 × 0.13 × 0.10 mm, was mounted on a loop with oil. Data was collected at −173 °C on a Bruker APEX II single crystal X-ray diffractometer, Mo radiation. Crystal-to-detector distance was 40 mm, and exposure time was 15 s per frame for all sets. The scan width was 0.5°. Data collection was 99.9% complete to 2σ in θ. A total of 113483 reflections were collected covering the indices, h = −11 to 11, k = −38 to 38, l = −18 to 18. Of that, 16915 reflections were symmetry independent and the Rint = 0.0703 indicated that the data was of average quality (0.07). Indexing and unit cell refinement indicated a primitive monoclinic lattice. The space group was found to be P21 (No. 4). The data were integrated and scaled using SADABS within the Apex2 software package by Bruker.[50] Solution by direct methods (SHELXS, SIR97) produced a clear electron density map for the structure. The structure was completed by difference Fourier synthesis with SHELXL97.[33,34] Scattering factors are from Waasmair and Kirfel.[35] Hydrogen atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms with C−H = 0.93 Å. The structure contains only one molecule of 9. The asymmetric unit contains 9 reagents and starting materials were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification unless otherwise stated. Reaction progress was monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) on precoated silica gel 60 F254 plates. Visualization was achieved with UV light and iodine vapor. Flash chromatography was carried out using prepacked Teledyne Isco RediSep Rf silica-gel columns as the stationary phase and analytical grade solvents as the eluent unless otherwise stated. Yields were of purified product and were not optimized. 1H NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance 300 and 500 MHz spectrometer at ambient temperature. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (δ) and coupling constants in Hz. 1H NMR spectra were referenced to the residual solvent peaks as internal standards (7.26 ppm for CDCl3, 2.50 ppm for DMSO-d6, and 3.34 ppm for MeOD). Spin multiplicities are described as s (singlet), bs (broad singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), dd (doublet of doublets), dt (doublet of triplets), and m (multiplet). Total ion current traces were obtained for electrospray positive and negative ionization (ES+/ES−) on a Bruker Esquire Liquid Chromatograph-Ion trap mass spectrometer. Analytical chromatographic conditions used for the LC−MS analysis are as follows: Column, Zorbax Extend C18 from Agilent technologies, 2.1 × 100 mm. The stationary phase particle size is 3.5 μm. Solvents were A, aqueous solvent = water + 5% acetonitrile + 1% acetic acid; B, organic solvent = acetonitrile + 1% acetic acid; Methods, 14 min run time (0–10 min 20% B; 10–12 min 100% B, flow rate 0.275 mL/min; 12–12.50 min 100–20% B, flow rate 0.350 mL/min; 12.50–14 min 20% B, flow rate 0.350 mL/min). The following additional parameters were used: injection volume (10 μL), column temperature (30 °C), UV wavelength range (254−330 nm). Analytical HPLC analyses were performed on a Supelcosil LC18 column (5 μm, 4.6 mm × 25 cm) with a linear elution gradient ranging from 0 to 100% ACN over 27 min, using a SupelcoSIL LC18 column (5 μm, 4.6 mm × 25 cm) at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. A purity of >95% has been established for all reported compounds (Table 1). The two enantiomers were separated for selected compounds on a semi-preparative chiral HPLC on Chiralcel OD-H (250 × 20 mm column eluting with 0.1% diethylamine in hexane (A)/ethanol (B) in 36 min run time (0–10 min, 20% B; 10–20 min, 20–35% B; 20–30 min, 35% B; 30–31 min, 20% B; flow rate 5 mL/min). Experimental Data. 2-(1,1-Difluoroethyl)-5-methyl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-7-amine (5) Enantiomer-I. White solid (89% yield). 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ 8.24 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.13–7.09 (m, 4H), 6.67 (s, 1H), 4.16–4.01 (br, 1H), 3.10–3.04 (m, 2H), 2.92–2.85 (m, 2H), 2.43 (s, 2H), 2.15–2.06 (m, 4H), 2.04–1.91 (m, 1H). ESIMS m/z: 344 (MH)+. 2-(1,1-Difluoroethyl)-N-(6-fluoro-2,1,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)-5-methyl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-7-amine (6) Enantiomer-I. White solid (75% yield). 1H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ 8.29 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.28–7.07 (m, 1H), 7.06–6.88 (m, 2H), 6.69 (s, 1H), 4.17–3.94 (m, 3H), 3.14–2.92 (m, 4H), 2.47 (s, 3H), 2.12 (t, J = 18.8 Hz, 3H), 2.03–1.90 (m, 2H). ESIMS m/z: 362 (1H)++. 2-(1,1-Difluoroethyl)-N-(6-chloro-2,1,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)-5-methyl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-7-amine (8) Enantiomer-I. White solid. 1H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD): δ 7.17–7.12 (m, 3H), 6.55 (s, 1H), 4.15–4.13 (m, 1H), 3.26–3.20 (m, 1H), 3.05–2.94 (m, 3H), 2.55 (s, 3H), 2. Twenty-nine 2.25 (m, 2H), 2.11 (t, J = 18.7 Hz, 2H), 2.01–1.97 (m, 1H). ESIMS m/z: 378 (MH)+. 2-(1,1-Difluoroethyl)-N-(6-chloro-2,1,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)-5-methyl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-7-amine (10) Enantiomer-I. White solid. 1H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD): δ 7.16–7.13 (m, 3H), 6.55 (s, 1H), 4.16–4.12 (m, 1H), 3.26–3.21 (m, 1H), 3.01–2.97 (m, 3H), 2.55 (s, 3H), 2.29–2.25 (m, 1H), 2.11 (t, J = 18.75 Hz, 2H), 2.01–1.95 (m, 1H). ESIMS m/z: 376 (MH)+. 2-(1,1-Difluoroethyl)-N-(6-bromo-2,1,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)-5-methyl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-7-amine (11) Enantiomer-I Is the Second Eluted on the Chiral Column. White solid. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 7.32–7.30 (m, 2H), 6.99 (d, J = 8.04 Hz, 1H), 6.17 (d, J = 8.32 Hz, 1H), 4.02–3.99 (m, 1H), 3.29–3.23 (m, 1H), 3.02–2.99 (m, 2H), 2.91–2.84 (m, 1H), 2.60 (s,
(N-(6-fluoro-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)-5-methyl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-7-amine (23). White solid (80% yield). 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3): δ 7.17–7.05 (m, 1H), 7.0–6.82 (m, 2H), 6.43–6.12 (m, 2H), 4.12–3.99 (m, 1H), 3.37–3.36 (m, 1H), 3.10–2.89 (m, 3H), 2.64 (s, 3H), 2.40–2.29 (m, 1H), 2.09–1.98 (m, 1H). ESIMS m/z: 366.3 (MH+)3.

(N-Chloro-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)-5-methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-7-amine (24). (Enantiomer-II). 1H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD): δ 7.17–7.10 (m, 3H), 6.62 (s, 1H), 4.19–4.09 (m, 1H), 3.27–3.19 (m, 1H), 3.05–2.90 (m, 3H), 2.56 (s, 3H), 2.30–2.20 (m, 1H), 2.05–1.94 (m, 1H). ESIMS m/z: 382.2 (MH+)3.

(N-Chloro-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)-5-methyl-2-(trifluoromethyl)-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-7-amine (25) (Enantiomer-II). 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ 7.17–7.10 (m, 3H), 6.62 (s, 1H), 4.18–4.10 (m, 1H), 3.27–3.19 (m, 1H), 3.05–2.90 (m, 3H), 2.56 (s, 3H), 2.30–2.20 (m, 1H), 2.05–1.97 (m, 1H). ESIMS m/z: 382.0 (MH+)3.

(2-(1,1-Difluoroethyl)-N-(2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-2-yl)-5-methyl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-7-amine (26). Pale yellow solid (85% yield). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 7.30–7.24 (m, 4H), 6.3 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.18 (s, 1H), 4.57–4.49 (m, 1H), 3.51 (dd, J = 7.1 Hz, J = 16.2 Hz, 2H), 3.13–3.05 (m, 2H), 2.62 (s, 3H), 2.13 (t, J = 18.7 Hz, 3H). ESIMS m/z: 330 (MH+)3.

N-(5-Bromo-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-2-yl)-5-methyl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-7-amine (28). White solid (82% yield). 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ 8.57–8.48 (m, 1H), 7.34–7.29 (m, 1H), 7.29–7.19 (m, 2H), 6.63 (s, 1H), 4.72–4.57 (m, 1H), 3.41–3.35 (m, 2H), 3.23–3.07 (m, 2H), 2.09 (s, J = 19.1 Hz, 3H). ESIMS m/z: 364 (MH+)3.

(N)-(5-Dichloro-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-2-yl)-5-methyl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-7-amine (29). White solid (41% yield). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 8.55–8.49 (br, 1H), 7.46–7.44 (br, 1H), 7.37–7.35 (m, 1H), 7.22–7.20 (m, 1H), 6.69 (s, 1H), 4.68–4.58 (br, 1H), 3.32–3.28 (br, 1H), 3.21–3.12 (m, 3H), 2.47 (s, 3H), 2.09 (t, J = 19.2 Hz, 3H). ESIMS m/z: 408 (MH+)3.

N-(5-Chloro-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-2-yl)-5-methyl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-7-amine (30). White solid (58% yield). 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ 8.57 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.82–7.78 (m, 1H), 7.78–7.69 (m, 1H), 7.57–7.47 (m, 1H), 6.65 (s, 1H), 4.79–4.63 (m, 1H), 3.52–3.38 (m, 2H), 3.30–3.18 (m, 2H), 3.19 (s, 3H), 2.48 (s, 3H), 2.09 (t, J = 19.2 Hz, 3H). ESIMS m/z: 408 (MH+)3.

(2-(1,1-Difluoroethyl)-5-methyl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-7-amine (31). White solid (30% yield). 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ 8.57 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.82–7.78 (m, 1H), 7.78–7.69 (m, 1H), 7.57–7.47 (m, 1H), 6.65 (s, 1H), 4.79–4.63 (m, 1H), 3.52–3.38 (m, 2H), 3.30–3.19 (m, 2H), 2.61 (s, 3H), 2.48 (s, 3H), 2.09 (t, J = 19.2 Hz, 3H). ESIMS m/z: 437 (MH+)3.

N-(4,7-Dimethyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-2-yl)-5-methyl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-7-amine (32). White solid (74% yield). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 6.99 (s, 2H), 6.31 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.19 (s, 1H), 4.58–4.50 (m, 1H), 3.45 (dd, J = 7.3 Hz, J = 16.2 Hz, 2H), 3.05–2.99 (m, 2H), 2.63 (s, 3H), 2.26 (s, 6H), 2.14 (t, J = 18.7 Hz, 3H). ESIMS m/z: 358 (MH+)3.

N-(4,7-Difluoro-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-2-yl)-5-methyl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-7-amine (33). White solid (65% yield). 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): δ 6.94 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 6.08–5.98 (m, 1H), 6.01–5.93 (m, 1H), 5.81–5.71 (m, 1H), 5.37–5.29 (m, 1H), 4.98–4.89 (m, 1H), 4.60–4.45 (m, 1H), 4.28–4.16 (m, 1H), 2.32–2.22 (m, 1H), 2.09–1.95 (m, 1H). ESIMS m/z: 452.3 (M+Na+)3.
A solution of N-(5-(methylsulfonyl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-2-yl)acetamide (42) as a white solid (1.87g, 75% yield).
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