Chromatographic Techniques to Separate and Identify Bioactive Compounds in Microalgae
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Microalgae are potential sources for the sustainable production of valuable chemicals including polyphenols, pigments, and ω-3 PUFAs. However, successful exploitation of these high value compounds in the food, healthcare and pharmaceutical sectors depends greatly on their effective separation, identification, and analysis after recovery from the biomass. The findings of this review paper illustrated that chromatographic methods coupled to different types of detectors have been used as a crucial part of research on microalgal polyphenols, Omega-3 Polynsaturated Fatty Acids (ω-3 PUFAs), and pigments production through identification, measurement, sample preparation, and purification practices. Therefore, it is important to provide a comprehensive review regarding the current research in the field. The basic operating principles, parametric optimisation and detection units of common (liquid chromatography and gas chromatography) and novel chromatographic techniques (counter current chromatography, expanded bed adsorption chromatography and supercritical fluid chromatography) used to separate, identify, and quantify polyphenols, PUFAs and pigments from microalgae matrices are comprehensively reviewed.
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1 INTRODUCTION: CHROMATOGRAPHIC SEPARATION OF MICROALGAL BIOPRODUCTS

Microalgae are eukaryotic photosynthetic microorganisms with a variety of species living in a diverse range of ecological circumstances such as freshwater, seawater, soil, hot spring, etc. Over 50,000 species of microalgae are present, but only around 30,000 of them have been identified and analyzed (Richmond and Hu, 2013). Microalgae have attracted significant industry and academic attention over the past few decades because of their wide range of potential commercial applications. However, there are still major limitations for the large-scale production of microalgae and their derivatives (Dębowski et al., 2020; Mohsenpour et al., 2021). Some industrially relevant algae species include Chlorella sp, Dunaliella sp., Nanochloropsis sp. and Haematococcus sp, and the filamentous cyanobacteria Arthospira sp (traditional name: Spirulina sp.) (Buono et al., 2014). Microalgae can be used as a primary source of feed/food, biofuels, fertilizer, plant growth promoters, biopesticides, antibiotics and medicines (Halim and Danquah, 2012; Halim et al., 2012, 2019;
Adetunji et al., 2022; Fernandes et al., 2022). As biological factories, microalgae can also purify wastewaters and fix CO₂ in a sustainable manner (Adetunji et al., 2022; Fernandes et al., 2022; Goswami et al., 2022; Xie et al., 2022). In addition, microalgae are a good source of plant-based proteins and high-value nutraceutical compounds that can promote human health (Miranda et al., 1998; Herrero et al., 2006; Rea et al., 2011; Schwenzfeier et al., 2011; Caporgno and Mathys, 2018; Olasehinde et al., 2019; Gill et al., 2020; Ashaolu et al., 2021).

The surge in demand for natural health promoting ingredients from the pharmaceuticals and food industries has driven the recent increase in the exploration for powerful bioactive ingredients in microalgae (Sansone and Brunet, 2019). The manifold range of different healthy products, such as polyunsaturated fatty acids, polyphenols, proteins, carotenoids, pigments, sterols, and vitamins accessible from different microalgal species, clearly demonstrates a wide range of applications and importance of these versatile microbes as cellular factories in biotechnology (Gill et al., 2020; Singh et al., 2020). A summary of different categories of valuable ingredients produced by microalgae cells is depicted in Figure 1. The bioactivity of a compound (e.g., antioxidative, antihypertensive or anticarcinogenic activities) can be evaluated in vivo, ex vivo, and in vitro (Caporgno and Mathys, 2018). But in order to fully assess the health benefits of these compounds, effective extraction, purification, and separation methods are needed. Quantification of microalgal metabolites has often been carried out spectrophotometrically, but these methods lack specificity and should only be used for preliminary testing. Chromatographic methods are regarded as the gold standard for the separation and quantification of microalgal metabolites. Despite this, few studies have exclusively investigated the development of chromatographic methods for high-resolution separation of microalgal products. In most microalgal studies, little consideration has been given to the development and optimization of chromatographic separation, with most simply adapting known methods from plant and microbial matrices for their analysis. This lack of attention on chromatography can often result in poor-quality analysis which is not only restrictive to the scope of the concerned microalgal studies but also harmful to the advancement of microalgal product development as future studies emulate methods which have not been specifically tailored/optimised for microalgae.

Therefore, in this paper, a comprehensive review of different chromatographic techniques employed for the separation and analysis of microalgal bioactive ingredients is provided. The three groups of compounds reviewed in the review (polyphenols, ω-3 PUFAs, and pigments) are well-known health-promoting agents and have mainly been selected for their, commercial significance as higher-value products from microalgae, current commercial demand and limited supply sources, and their ubiquitous presence across different industrially promising microalgal genera (e.g., Spirulina, Chlorella, Phaeodactylum, Dunaliella, Nannochloropsis, Scenedesmus, and Schizochytrium). For each group of compounds, this review provided a brief description of their composition in microalgae, biochemical properties, extraction affinity and recovery methods before discussing appropriate chromatographic techniques for their identification and quantification. The discussions will include choice of stationary and mobile phases, detection units, and chromatographic parameters.

1.1 Main Principles for the Separation and Identification of Different Algal Bioproducts

Chromatography is a separation technique widely used for the identification and/or purification purposes in different research and industrial sectors. The main principle behind
TABLE 1 | summary of different techniques and the governing principles of different chromatography methods used for microalgae ingredients analysis.

| Method                        | Sub-categ                  | Governing principles                                           | Detector units                      | Application                                                                 |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Liquid chromatography (LC and/or HPLC) | Ion-exchange               | Ionic interaction with both stationary and mobile phases. Mobile phase is changed during separation | Conductivity, Light emitting detectors, Photo diode array (PDA), Amperometric, potentiometric, Mass spectrometer (MS) | Metal elimination and water treatment; Wilde and Benemann, (1993); Sandau et al. (1998); McSheehy and Szpunar, (2000); Monteiro et al. (2012); Jiang et al. (2020); Wang et al., 2021; Protein analysis and purification (Schwenzfeier et al., 2011); Al-Zuhair et al. (2017); Silva et al. (2019) |
| Normal-phase                 | Polar stationary phase, non-polar mobile phase | Conductivity, Light emitting detectors, Photo diode array (PDA), Amperometric, potentiometric, Mass spectrometer (MS) | UV, Fluorescence, Refractive index, Conductivity, Evaporative light scattering | low-molecular or high-molecular polar compounds, such as peptides, proteins, oligosaccharides, and metabolites; Do Nguyen et al. (2017); Moreau et al. (2018); Differentiate between classes of lipids and steroids Donct et al. (2016); Fangundes et al. (2020) |
| Reversed-phase               | Non-polar stationary phase, polar mobile phase | Conductivity, Light emitting detectors, Photo diode array (PDA), Amperometric, potentiometric, Mass spectrometer (MS) | MS, UV, Fluorescence, Refractive index, Conductivity, Evaporative light scattering | Different organic compounds including acids, sugars, pigments, vitamins, polyphenols, low chain fatty acids, polar lipids, volatile compounds, amino acids, flavonoids; Stein et al. (1992); Kromova and Wagner, (1995); Derrien et al. (1998); Gois et al. (2014); Do Nguyen et al. (2017); Soares et al. (2019); Fischer et al. (2021) |
| Size-exclusion               | Separation based on size or weight, flow through a packed column containing beads of specific polymers | Conductivity, Light emitting detectors, Photo diode array (PDA), Amperometric, potentiometric, Mass spectrometer (MS) | Fluorescence, Refractive index, Conductivity, Evaporative light scattering | Different poly saccharides; Gómez-Ordóñez et al. (2012); Dogra et al. (2017); González-Hourcade et al. (2020); active biomolecules such as phytochelatins and phorotannins; Scarano and Morelli, (2002); Zhou et al. (2019); and other small proteins and peptides; Morelli and Scarano, (2004) |
| Affinity                     | Separation according to specific interaction between molecules and specific substance in the column, a highly selective method | Conductivity, Light emitting detectors, Photo diode array (PDA), Amperometric, potentiometric, Mass spectrometer (MS) | MS, UV, Fluorescence, Refractive index, Conductivity, Evaporative light scattering | Different poly saccharides; Fredrick, (1975); Hahn et al. (2016); lectins; Pajic et al. (2002); Hori et al. (2007); Range of proteins; Biele et al. (2021) |
| Paper chromatography          | A relatively old method, mostly replaced by other new techniques, colored molecules travel along with the solvent through a paper | Conductivity, Light emitting detectors, Photo diode array (PDA), Amperometric, potentiometric, Mass spectrometer (MS) | MS, UV, Fluorescence, Refractive index, Conductivity, Evaporative light scattering | A wide range of chemicals including algal pigments; Sathya, (2017); Peraman and Nachimuthu, (2019); phenolic compounds; Akbarizadeh et al. (2019); steriods; Megawati et al. (2020); lipid analysis; Jouhet et al. (2017); Lage and Gentili, (2018); Viegas et al. (2020) |
| Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) | Thin layer of absorbent deposited onto a sheet, mobile phase travels by capillary action. Separation performed based on solubility in solvent | Conductivity, Light emitting detectors, Photo diode array (PDA), Amperometric, potentiometric, Mass spectrometer (MS) | MS, UV, Fluorescence, Refractive index, Conductivity, Evaporative light scattering | Lipids and fat soluble compounds; Moazami et al., (2011); Halm et al. (2012); Law et al. (2018); such as fatty acid profile, volatile compounds; Alalayah et al. (2017); Hrebien et al. (2020); Olander et al., 2021 | dimethylsulphoniopropionate; Archer et al. (2011); several other compounds; Guidetti Vendruscolo et al. (2019) |
| Gas chromatography (GC)       | Mobile phase is a heated gas | Conductivity, Light emitting detectors, Photo diode array (PDA), Amperometric, potentiometric, Mass spectrometer (MS) | MS, Flame ionization (FID), Flame photometric (FPD), Atomic emission, Thermal conductivity, Electron capture, Photo ionization, Olfactometric | Carotenoids; Matsubara et al. (2009); Abrahamsson et al. (2012); Jumaah et al. (2016); lipid analysis and lipidomics; Bernal et al. (2013); Fatigue et al. (2015); Chollet et al. (2019); other specific metabolites; Herrero et al. (2019) |
| Supercritical fluid chromatography (SFC) | Fluids in their supercritical region are used (Temperature and pressure controlled) | Conductivity, Light emitting detectors, Photo diode array (PDA), Amperometric, potentiometric, Mass spectrometer (MS) | MS, UV, Fluorescence, Refractive index, Conductivity, Evaporative light scattering | Carotenoids; Matsubara et al. (2009); Abrahamsson et al. (2012); Jumaah et al. (2016); lipid analysis and lipidomics; Bernal et al. (2013); Fatigue et al. (2015); Chollet et al. (2019); other specific metabolites; Herrero et al. (2019) |

In general, High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) and Gas Chromatography (GC) techniques are the most widely used methods in the field of microalgae research. The chromatography method is able to deliberately separate different compounds from each other in a mixture, but a complementary technique is required for the detection and/or quantification of substances. A wide range of methods have been coupled to chromatographic separation instruments for the...
analysis of different compounds. The choice of the method largely depends on the chemical structure of the target compounds as well as their concentrations. Table 1 provides a summary of selected detection methods. More information regarding the governing principles together with application of these methods will be discussed in the following sections.

2 POLYPHENOLS

2.1 Microalgae as a Source of Polyphenols and Recovery of Polyphenols From Biomass

Polyphenols are a structurally diverse group of organic compounds that contain at least one aromatic ring with one or more hydroxyl groups attached (Rein et al., 2013). As described in Figure 2, polyphenols can be divided into several classes depending on their structure: phenolic acids, flavonoids and non-flavonoids. Phenolic acids are carboxylic acids derived from either benzoic or cinnamic acids (Rentzsch et al., 2009). Flavonoids can be subdivided into different subgroups depending on the degree of unsaturation and oxidation of the C ring, currently there are about 6000 flavonoids (Panche et al., 2016). Non-flavonoids, which include stilbenes, tannins, lignans, among others.

Microalgae contain different types of polyphenols (Jerez-Martel et al., 2017). This diversity can be partly explained due to the different mechanism of adaptation that microalgae have been through, as they can be found in very extreme conditions (soils, ice, lakes, rivers, hot springs, and oceans, anywhere sunlight and water co-occur). The polyphenolic profile of Euglena cantabrica, for example, is comprised of gallic acid, protocatechuic acid, catechin, chlorogenic acid, and epicatechin (Jerez-Martel et al., 2017; Anwer et al., 2022; Sonkamble and Wagh, 2022). The main function of polyphenols in microalgae is to protect the cells from biotic and abiotic stresses and act as UV filters (Panche et al., 2016).

In humans, polyphenols serve as strong antioxidants that complement the functions of vitamins and enzymes as a defence against oxidative stress caused by excess reactive oxygen species (ROS). They can offer preventative measures against diseases, such as coronary heart disease, stroke, and cancers, and work as health-promoters with pharmacological properties, especially anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, antimutagenic and anticarcinogenic activities (Goleniowski et al., 2013; Panche et al., 2016). Although most of the evidence of the antioxidant activity of polyphenols is based on in vitro studies, increasing number of studies have indicated that they may have similar antioxidant functions in vivo (Tsao, 2010; Ajila et al., 2011; Mousavi et al., 2011; Goleniowski et al., 2013; Panche et al., 2016; Gu et al., 2019; Yu et al., 2020; Shen et al., 2022).

Being polar compounds, phenolic acids are generally extracted using hot or cold water while flavonoids are extracted using a mixture of water and organic solvents. However, for quantitative extraction of polyphenols, studies have shown water/methanol, water/ethanol or water/acetone solvent combinations with a trace of acid (acetic acid, formic acid or hydrochloric acids) to be the most effective solvents (Barkia et al., 2019). A recent study comparing the antioxidant capacities of different extracts (hexane, ethyl acetate and water) from 23 different microalgae showed that hexane (a highly non-polar solvent) obtained the highest extraction yield of antioxidant compounds from Chlamydomonas nivalis, Anabaena flos-aquae FACHB 245, Chlorella pyrenoidosa (Li et al., 2006). Such findings highlighted the need to select an optimized extraction solvent mixture based on solvent affinity with not only the target compounds but also the individual biomass matrices (Stalikas, 2007).
Due to increasing demand and future perspective uses for polyphenol extracts in the food and pharmaceutical sectors, green extraction methods such as ultrasound-assisted extraction (UAE), pressurized-liquid extraction (PLE), pulsed-electric field-assisted extraction, and supercritical fluid extraction using different green solvents (e.g., water) (Ojha et al., 2020) are fast gaining importance. A review on the best techniques to extract polyphenols from several complex matrices (including microalgae) found matrix solid phase dispersion (MSPD), microwave-assisted extraction (MAE) and supercritical fluid extraction (SFE) to be the most suitable extraction methods (Stalikas, 2007).

Since polyphenols are stable at low pH values, extraction processes are often carried out under mild acidic conditions to enhance solubilisation and increase yields (Friedman and Jürgens, 2000). Most polyphenols exist naturally in the free form. On the other hand, phenolic acids such as ferulic acid and lignans are often bound to structural materials with complex glycosylation patterns. Excessive use of acid, however, may cause hydrolysis of glycosides giving a different polyphenol profile than the original profile.

2.2 Identification and Quantification of Polyphenols
It is important to identify and quantify different polyphenol compounds found in microalgae for different purposes. The exact bioactive role played by each phytochemical in microalgae is not understood yet, mainly due to the lack of the use of advanced analytical techniques (Caporgno and Mathys, 2018; Cardoso et al., 2020). Moreover, little is known about the nature of polyphenolic compounds that are present in microalgae, since only a few articles have assessed the complete profile of phenolic compounds using chromatography methods (Saadaoui et al., 2020).

2.2.1 Spectrophotometry
Spectrophotometric methods are commonly used for the estimation of Total Phenolic Content (TPC) and Total Flavonoid Content (TFC) in microalgal polyphenol extracts (Haoqujar et al., 2019). While spectrophotometric methods are rapid and simple, they lack the specificity for individual phenolic compounds. Interferences from non-polyphenolic components can also cause false readings and thus lead to erroneous results. For instance, some amino acids, metal ions and other reducing substances present in the sample have reducing powers and therefore can reduce chemical indicators such as DPPH (Tsao, 2010; Shahidi and Yeo, 2020). These methods should therefore only be used as a preliminary assessment of phenolic contents prior to more thorough chromatographic evaluation (Panche et al., 2016; Shahidi and Yeo, 2020).

2.2.2 High-Performance Liquid-Chromatography: Applications and Optimization
High-Performance Liquid-Chromatography (HPLC) can be used for the separation, identification and quantification of phenolic compounds recovered from complex matrices such as microalgae. As can be seen in Table 2, several studies have used HPLC to analyse the phenolic composition of microalgal biomass. Considering the relatively non-polar nature of phenolic compounds, reversed-phase columns (C-18) are the most used stationary phase for polyphenol chromatography. Separation of polyphenols is usually carried out in a gradient mode using a multi-component mobile phase (or eluant) constituting an aqueous buffer and an organic solvent (Matuszewski et al., 2003; Pitt, 2009; Machu et al., 2015; Safafar et al., 2015; Jerez-Martel et al., 2017; Papalia et al., 2019; Cardoso et al., 2020; Singh et al., 2020; Dahmen-Ben Moussa et al., 2022). The most commonly used organic solvent is methanol or acetonitrile. The mobile phase is often blended with a weak acid like formic acid, acetic acid, or trifluoroacetic acid to improve the retention, separation and peak shape by suppressing the ionization of surface silinol groups present in the stationary phase (Table 2) (Onofrejová et al., 2010; Safafar et al., 2015; Jerez-Martel et al., 2017; Papalia et al., 2019; Cardoso et al., 2020; Dahmen-Ben Moussa et al., 2022). Special attention must be paid to the pH of the mobile phase as extremely low pH values can lead to the hydrolysis of glycosidic polyphenols. Recently, researchers have also investigated Ultra-High Performance Liquid Chromatography (UHPLC) systems as it offers better resolution and sensitivity, while dramatically reducing solvent consumption and analysis time (Matuszewski et al., 2003; Pitt, 2009; Cutignano et al., 2016; Kukula-Koch et al., 2016; Castillo et al., 2022; Cinq-Mars et al., 2022).

HPLC can be coupled to different detectors to identify and quantify polyphenols. The most commonly used detectors for polyphenols analysis are ultraviolet detector (UV/Vis) and diode array detectors (DAD) as can be seen in Table 1. Processing of chromatographic data obtained by HPLC-UV/Vis or HPLC-DAD is rather simple. It should, however, be noted that the identification of polyphenols using a fixed wavelength UV/Vis detector is prone to uncertainties even in the presence of standards, as there is always a risk of co-elution of other absorbing species which cannot be resolved by the detector (Matuszewski et al., 2003; Pitt, 2009; Do Nguyen et al., 2017; Soares et al., 2019; Fischer et al., 2021; Dahmen-Ben Moussa et al., 2022; Maalej et al., 2022). On the other hand, the DAD is capable of acquiring UV-vis spectra (190—800 nm) of analytes simultaneously, which aid in subsequent identification of the analytes (Ainsworth and Gillespie, 2007; Dahmen-Ben Moussa et al., 2022; Maalej et al., 2022). Overall, it is important to compare the retention time, peak shape and the UV spectra of a polyphenol by HPLC-DAD with those of a standard to confirm identity.

HPLC coupled with mass spectrometer (HPLC-MS) is also widely used for the identification and characterization of polyphenols due to its capability in determining the molecular mass and structural information of unknown compounds (Carrasco-Pancorbo et al., 2007; Zhu et al., 2008; Pitt, 2009; Gu et al., 2019; Hu et al., 2019; Peng et al., 2019). HPLC-MS analysis is often several hundred-fold more sensitive than HPLC-UV/Vis methods, thereby facilitating trace-level identification and quantification of phenolic compounds present in complex samples (Matuszewski et al., 2003; Pitt, 2009; Peng et al., 2019).
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**TABLE 2 | HPLC instrumentation and parameters used in recent microalgal studies for polyphenol analysis.**

| Microalgae species | Stationary phase/mobile phase/detection unit | HPLC parameters | Compounds | Ref |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------|-----|
| *Arthrospira platensis* | RP Acclaim TM 120 C18 column (4.6 x 250 mm, 5 µm)/1% acetic acid solution (eluent A) and acetonitrile (eluent B)/diode array as the detector (DAD) | Flow rate: 0.7 ml/min, column T: 20°C, injection volume: 20 µL; Elution gradient: 10–40% B in a linear fashion for 28 min, 40–60% B for 39 min, 60–90% B for 50 min | Gallic acid, catechin, caffeic acid, p-hydroxybenzoic acid, p-coumaric acid, ferulic acid, quercetin, genistin and kaempferol | Papala et al. (2019) |
| *Ankistrodesmus sp.*, *Spirulina* sp., *Euglena* cantabrica, and *Crasiella pascheri* | RP Pursuit XRs C18 column (250 mm x 4.6 mm, 5 µm)/Water enriched with 0.1% formic acid (eluent A) and methanol (eluent B)/DAD | Flow rate: 1.0 ml/min, injection volume: 20 µL; Elution gradient: 15–40% B for 13 min, 40% B kept isocratically for 5 min | Gallic, syringic, protocatechuic, and chlorogenic acids and the flavonoids (+) catechin and (-) epicatechin | Jerez-Martel et al. (2017) |
| *Chlorella pyrenoidosa* and *Spirulina platensis* | Zorbax SB-C18 column (150 mm x 4.6 mm, i.d. 5 µm)/water-acetic acid (99.1:1, v/v) (eluent A) and water-acetonitrile-acetic acid (67:32:1, v/v/v) (eluent B)/DAD | Flow rate: 1 ml/min, injection volume: 10 µL at 23°C; Elution gradient: 0–10 min: 90% A + 10% B, 10–16 min: 80% A + 20% B, 16–20 min: 60% A + 40% B, 20–25 min: 50% A + 50% B, 25–27 min: 60% A + 40% B, 27–35 min: 90% A + 10% B | Gallic acid; 4-hydroxybenzoic acid; catechin hydrate; epicatechin; catechin gallate; epigallocatechin; epigallocatechin gallate and pyrocatechol | Machu et al. (2015) |
| *Phaeodactylum sp.*, *Nannochloropsis sp.*, *Chlorella* sp., *Dunaliella* sp., and *Desmodesmus* sp | Prodigy QDS-3 column (250 mm x 46 mm, 5 µm particle)/phosphoric acid in de-ionized water, pH = 3 (eluent A) and acetonitrile (eluent B)/DAD | Flow rate: 0.9 ml/min, injection volume: 20 µL; Elution gradient: 5% of B for 2 min, 5–40% B for 20 min, 40–100% B for 15 min and finally kept constant for 25 min | Gallic acid, 2.5 dihydroxy benzoic acid, 3,4 dihydroxy benzoic acid, caffeic, ferulic, p-coumaric, salicylic and cinnamic acid | Safaraf et al. (2015) |
| *Spongiochloris spongiosa* ; *Spirulina platensis* ; *Anabaena* doliformis ; *Chlorella vulgaris* ; *Nostoc* 16 | Zorbax SB—C 18 column (75 mm x 4.6 mm, 3.5 µm)/0.2% acetic acid (eluent A) and acetonitrile (eluent B)/DAD | Flow rate: 1.1 ml/min, column T: 30°C, elution gradient: a linear gradient was applied, 0–4% B for 4 min, 4–17% B for 2 min, 17–30% B for 4 min, a decrease to 4% B for 5 min | Gallic acid, protocatechuic acid, p-OH-benzoic acid, 3,4-dIOHbenzaldehyde, chlorogenic acid, vanillic, caffeic acid, syringic acid, p-OH benzoic acid, p-coumaric acid and ferulic acid | Klejdus et al. (2013) |
| *Spongiochloris spongiosa* | Zorbax SB-C18 column (150 mm x 4.6 mm, 3.5 µm)/0.2% acetic acid (eluent A) and acetonitrile (eluent B)/DAD and MS detector operated in a negative ESI mode | Flow rate: 1.1 ml/min, column T: 30°C, injection volume: 25µL, elution gradient: 0–4 min (4% B), 4–6 min (17% B), 6–10 min (30% B), and 10–15 min (4% B) | Protocatechucic acid, p-hydroxybenzoic acid, 2,3-dihydroxybenzoic acid, chlorogenic acid, vanillic, caffeic acid, p-coumaric acid, salicylic acid, cinnamic acid, p-hydroxybenzoic acid, 3,4-dihydroxybenzaldehyde acid and vanillin | Otoñefrivá et al. (2010) |
| *Nannochloris sp.*, *T. suecica*, *P. tricornutum*, and *N. gaditana* | Ascentis Express RP C18 column (150 mm x 4.6 mm, 2.7 µm)/water/acetic acid (99.85/0.15v/v) (eluent A) and acetonitrile (eluent B)/POA–ESI–MS | Flow rate: 1 ml/min, elution gradient: 0–5 min (5% B), 5–15 min (10% B), 15–30 min (20% B), 30–60 min (60% B), 60 min (100% B) | Protocatechucic acid, caffeic acid, quinic acid, catechin, kaempferol, quercetinetc. | Haoujar et al. (2019) |
| *Spongiochloris spongiosa* and *Spirulina platensis* | Zorbax SB–C18 column (75 mm x 4.6 mm, 3.5 µm)/0.2% acetic acid (eluent A) and acetonitrile (eluent B)/MS | Flow rate: 1.1 ml/min, column T: 30°C, elution gradient: linear, 0–4 min (4% B), 4–6 min (17% B), 6–10 min (30% B), and 10–15 min (4% B) | Benzoic acid derivatives, hydroxybenzaldehydes and cinamic acid derivatives | Klejdus et al. (2009) |
| *Cyanobacteria*, *Rhodophyta*, *Chlorophyta*, *Haptophyta* and *Ochrophyta* | BEH-shield RP18 (3.0 x 150 mm, 1.7 µm)/water + 0.1% formic acid (eluent A) and acetonitrile + 0.1% formic acid (eluent B)/MS/MS | Flow rate: 0.5 ml/min, column T: 40°C, elution gradient: 0–26% B for 9.9 min, 26–65% B from 9.9 to 18.5 min, 65–100% B from 18.5 to 18.8 min and held constant at 100% B from 18.8 to 20.8 min | Several flavonoids such us quercetin and Kaempferol | Goiris et al. (2014) |
| *Tetraselmis* sp. IMP3, *Tetraselmis* sp. CTP4, *Skeletonema* sp | Mediterranean Sea18 column (15 x 0.21 cm, 5 µm)/methanol (eluent A) and 2.5% acetic acid aqueous solution (eluent B)/HPLC-DAD | Flow rate: 0.35 ml/min, injection volume: 20 µL, Elution gradient: 0–5 min (10% A), 5–10 min (10–30% A), 10–40 min (30–90% A), 40–45 min (80% A), 45–55 min (90–10% A), and 55–60 min (10% A) | Gallic acid, gentisic acid, catechin hydrate, caffeic acid, epicatechin, salicylic acid, 5-caffeoyl-l-glucoside, and quercetin were the most abundant compounds studied | Cardoso et al. (2020) |
HPLC system is connected to MS through different interfaces, where analytes are ionized prior to reaching the mass analyzer. The two well-known ionization techniques include electrospray ionization (ESI) and atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI). Amongst several MS systems available on the market, ESI-MS is regarded as the most versatile ionization technique and is mainly preferred for the detection of ionic and polar compounds (Ajila et al., 2011). Therefore, polyphenols are commonly detected and identified using HPLC-ESI-MS systems. In this regard, several studies have been published using a ESI-MS detector to quantify polyphenols in microalgae (Guillaumie et al., 2006; Carrasco-Pancorbo et al., 2007; Zhu et al., 2008; Klejdus et al., 2009; Onofrejová et al., 2010; Wybraniec et al., 2013; Haoujar et al., 2019; Peng et al., 2019).

UHPLC coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) detector has recently been used to detect flavonoids in microalgae from different evolutionary lineages (Goiris et al., 2014; Cutignano et al., 2016). UHPLC often operates at low flow rates (0.2–0.6 mL/min) and can be directly connected to MS systems. The combination of UHPLC and MS makes a powerful system, offering extraordinary selectivity and sensitivity.

Today, there are different types of MS analyzers available, with quadrupole, ion-trap, and time-of-flight (TOF) mass analyzers being the most commonly used. Quadrupole mass analyzers are relatively cheap and provide acceptable selectivity, but have low resolution power (Matuszewski et al., 2003; Pitt, 2009; Cutignano et al., 2016). On the other hand, TOF and Orbitrap (a type of ion-trap mass analyzer) offer improved mass accuracy over a wide range of molecular weights, provide excellent peak resolution and allow for the measurements of isotopic patterns, all of which will help to elucidate the elemental composition of polyphenols (Matuszewski et al., 2003; Pitt, 2009). Given these advantages, more studies are expected to be carried out using Q-TOF for the analysis of microalgal polyphenols in the near future. HPLC-Q-TOF has recently been used for proteomics profiling on Dunaliella strain (Emami et al., 2015), and the identification of pigments in Tetraselmis suecica (Sansone et al., 2017). Considering the complexity of microalgal biomass, extracts should be purified by solid-phase extraction (SPE) or liquid-liquid extraction prior to HPLC analysis (Jerez-Martel et al., 2017) to aid separation, improve sensitivity and reduce interference (Stalikas, 2007).

Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS) is another detection system that has been widely employed for characterizing polyphenols in biological matrices such as herbs, vegetables, fruits and by-products (Tzima et al., 2018; Gu et al., 2019; Peng et al., 2019). Its use for microalgal polyphenol analysis, however, has not yet been reported.

Even after obtaining UV/Vis spectra and MS fragmentation patterns, it is important to use authentic standards to confirm the identity of individual polyphenols. However, this approach is seldom followed, as polyphenol standards are either expensive or commercially unavailable (Matuszewski et al., 2003). Individual polyphenols can first be separated using thin layer chromatography (Stalikas, 2007) or preparative chromatography prior to HPLC to aid identification and then can be further characterized by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR).

### 2.2.3 Gas Chromatography: Applications and Optimization

Gas Chromatography (GC) has been widely used in microalgae analysis to characterize volatile compounds such as fatty acid methyl esters (Yu et al., 2020) (described in section 3.3) and assess the presence of contaminants in Chlorella and Spirulina (Martín-Girela et al., 2020). GC is generally considered to be a more robust and sensitive technique compared to HPLC. GC can be commonly coupled with detection units such as flame ionization detectors (FID), electron capture detectors (ECD), or mass spectrometry (MS). Despite these advantages, GC is not widely used to separate and identify microalgal polyphenols and we have only managed to find a few studies that used GC for microalgae phenolic separation (Table 3). The lack of widespread GC use could be attributed to the challenges that arise due to the physicochemical properties of polyphenols compounds:

1. High temperatures are needed to separate polyphenols using GC. This may lead to undesirable consequences such as thermal degradation of thermolabile phenolic compounds (Ameer et al., 2017).
2. Extra sample preparation steps to remove lipids from the extract (defatting) and release polyphenols through the cleavage of ester and glycosidic bonds (acid, alkali or enzymatic hydrolysis) are needed (Ajila et al., 2011).
3. Polyphenols are not volatile and require a time consuming derivatization step (silylation, methylation, or acetylation) to increase their volatility (Viñas and Campillo, 2014).

Overall, it is evident that HPLC technique is the method of choice to separate and identify polyphenols compared to GC. However, GC can still be effectively used to identify and quantify selected polyphenols if the method is optimized. Table 3 summarises the instruments and operating parameters used for GC analysis of microalgal polyphenols.

To conclude, phenolic compounds are very diverse in microalgae. Spectrophotometric methods are not accurate enough for the determination of these compounds while HPLC coupled with different detectors seemed to be very useful. Especially, when unknown compounds are present, HPLC-MS is the choice. However, GC has not been widely used due to major obstacles such as high temperature, sample preparation.

### 3 POLYUNSATURATED FATTY ACIDS (PUFAS)

Fatty acids are the building blocks of lipids, one of the major constituents in living organisms. According to their carbon chain length and the number of double bonds present in the carbon chain, fatty acids are classified into different groups including short chain (less than 12 carbon atoms) and long chain fatty acids (more than 12 carbon atoms). Long chain fatty acids are further divided into monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAS). PUFAS are further divided into omega-3 (n-3) and omega-6 (n-6) fatty acids. PUFAS are important as they play a key role in various biological processes such as cell membrane structure, energy storage, and signaling pathways.
TABLE 3 | GC instrumentation and parameters used in recent microalgal studies for polyphenol analysis.

| Microalgae species          | Stationary phase/mobile phase/ detection unit | GC parameters                                    | Compounds                                      | Ref                      |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| *Spirulina maxima*          | A capillary polymethylphenylsiloxan-FI 95 column/no information/fire ionization detector (FID) | Oven temperature: 150°C for 3 min, 150–300°C at 5°C/min | Salicylic, trans-cinnamic, synapatic, chlorogenic, quinic and caffeic acids | Miranda et al. (1998)    |
| *Chlorella vulgaris*        | Capillary column/no information/FID            | No information                                   | Phenolic compounds, salicylic, trans cinnamic, synapatic, chlorogenic, chomic and caffeic acids | Miranda et al. (2001)    |
| *Chlorella vulgaris*        | DBS, (0.53 mm IDX-5µm film)/carrier gas: He (1 ml/min)/Mass Spectrometry (MS) | Oven temperature: 60°C for 0.5 min, 60–150°C at 10°C/min, 150–250°C at 10°C/min | Quercetin                                      | Ahmed, (2016)            |
| *Chlorococcum minutum*      | HP-5MS column (30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 µm)/carrier gas: He (0.9 ml/min)/MS | Oven temperature: 60–250°C at 2°C/min | Flavonoid Lucerin-2                           | Elshobary et al. (2020)  |
| *Chlorella sorokiniana* and *Chlorella minutissima* | Column DB-5 (30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 µm)/carrier gas: He (1 ml/min)/MS | Oven temperature: 40°C for 1 min, 40 to 280°C at 3°C/min | Polyphenols were detected by TPC test and FTIR characterization. However, no polyphenols were detected through GC-MS screening | Olasehinde et al. (2019) |

classified into saturated (no double bonds, SFA), monounsaturated (a single double bond, MUFA), and polyunsaturated fatty acids (more than double bonds, PUFA). Major natural SFAs are stearic acid (C18:0) and palmitic acid (C16:0) while oleic acid (C18:1) is the main naturally occurring MUFA. PUFAs include a broader range of fatty acids such as linoleic acid (C18:2), linolenic acid (C18:3), EPA (C20:5) and DHA (C22:6). PUFAs are normally classified into omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids depending on the position of the initial double bond counted from the methyl end on the chain (Cretton et al., 2022; Patel et al., 2022). Omega-3 fatty acids are essential ingredients as they cannot be synthesized in human body and their uptake is through food. Although fish oil is considered as the main food source for omega-3 fatty acids, fish cannot also synthesize omega-3 oil. Microalgae are the main producers of omega-3 and their consumption by aquatic animals can enrich their fatty acid content in terms of EPA and DHA (Halim et al., 2012; Ryckeboosh et al., 2012).

3.1 Microalgae as a Source of Long-Chain ω-3 Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids: Recovery and Transesterification

Long-chain ω-3 PUFAs in microalgae cells are principally accumulated in the polar lipid fractions that make up membrane lipids (phospholipids and glycolipids) though a smaller amount exists as part of biofuel-convertible storage neutral lipids (triacylglycerols) (Halim et al., 2012; Ryckeboosh et al., 2014). A triacylglycerol (TAG) is a hydrophobic lipid molecule consisting of three fatty acids ester-bonded to a glycerol backbone, while phospholipid and glycolipid are polar lipid molecules that consist of two fatty acids bound to either a glycerol-phosphate group complex or a carbohydrate molecule (Halim et al., 2012). In microalgae cells, TAG is synthesized in the endoplasmic reticulum from the acylation of diacylglycerol (DAG), an intermediate by-product of membrane polar lipids. For this reason, membrane lipid and storage lipid are inherently interconnected and their levels fluctuate depending on the physiological state of the biomass (Jouhet et al., 2017).

The intracellular levels of microalgal ω-3 PUFAs vary significantly between taxonomic groups. Furthermore, intracellular fat content depends also on microalgal growth phase and culture conditions. For example, at early stages phospholipid content is higher since they are found in the cell membrane. On the other hand, at late stages of cultivation, neutral lipids are accumulated due to nitrogen or phosphorus starvation (Yan et al., 2010; Law et al., 2018; Halim et al., 2019; Cardoso et al., 2020). Promising ω-3 PUFAs-rich microalgae strains include *Nannochloropsis* sp (EPA content = 9–38 wt% of total lipid, total lipid content = 16–68 wt% of biomass) and *Phaeodactylum* sp (EPA content = 2–36 wt% of total lipid, total lipid content = 15–40 wt% of biomass) for EPA commercial production and *Isochrysis* sp (DHA content = 1–40 wt% of total lipid, total lipid content = 7–33 wt% of biomass) and *Schizochytrium* (DHA content = 25–52 wt% of total lipid, total lipid content = 13–60 wt% of biomass) for DHA commercial production (Patil et al., 2007; Jakobsen et al., 2008; Ryckeboosh et al., 2012; Xue et al., 2013; Singh et al., 2020; Kujawska et al., 2021).

The standard laboratory procedure for recovering ω-3 PUFAs from microalgal biomass involves 1) harvesting the cells from their suspension with a solid-liquid separation method (such as centrifugation, filtration, flocculation/filtration), 2) drying the resulting slurry with a non-thermal process (such as spray drying or freeze drying) to prevent fatty acid oxidation while preserving the functionality of ω-3 PUFAs, 3) extracting ω-3 PUFA lipids from the biomass powder with organic solvents (such as ethanol, acetone, chloroform/methanol), supercritical carbon dioxide or a mixture of supercritical carbon dioxide and organic solvents (Halim and Danquah, 2012). Cell disruption technologies (such as ultrasonication, microwave and high pressure) are often integrated in the extraction system in order to accelerate mass transfer kinetics and enhance ω-3 PUFAs yields. Other bioactive components, such as pigments (carotenoids and chlorophylls), vitamins, and polyphenols, are often co-extracted during the recovery process, contributing to the nutritional properties and health benefits of the ω-3 PUFA lipid extracts (Halim et al., 2012; Ryckeboosh et al., 2012). Since long-chain ω-3
PUFAs tend to be more abundant in the polar lipid fractions, they are less effectively extracted by organic solvent extraction mixtures with low polarity (such as chloroform or hexane) and more readily extracted by polar organic solvents (such as acetone, ethanol and isopropanol) (Halim et al., 2012).

Transesterification reaction is needed to convert lipids recovered from microalgae biomass into volatile Fatty Acid Methyl Esters (FAMEs) suitable for GC vaporisation and analysis (Halim et al., 2012). During transesterification, the lipid is blended with methanol in the presence of a catalyst. The reaction cleaves fatty acids from triacylglycerol, phospholipid and glycolipid structures and methylate the free fatty acids to form FAMEs (Halim et al., 2012).

Catalyst selection during transesterification is critical for accurate FAME analysis. The choice of either a base (OH⁻ ions from sodium hydroxide NaOH, potassium hydroxide KOH, calcium oxide CaO, lithium hydroxide LiOH, sodium methoxide NaOMe, potassium methoxide KOME) or an acid catalyst (H⁺ ions from hydrochloric acid HCl, sulphuric acid H₂SO₄) will depend on the composition of the lipid fractions (Halim et al., 2012; Goh et al., 2019). A poor catalyst selection will result in partial or incomplete conversion of available ω-3 lipids into FAMEs, reducing the overall yield and reliability of the analysis. Recent studies have also shown that enzymes can also be used to successfully catalyse microalgal lipid transesterification (Goh et al., 2019). Lipase has a relatively low operational temperature range (25–50°C) which minimises the probability of lipid degradation, and has been proven effective in transesterifying all lipid fractions (including free fatty acids) (Navarro López et al., 2016).

Table 4 provides a summary of the catalysts and operating conditions used in recent studies investigating transesterification of microalgal lipids. In Figure 3, the transesterification process steps are shown.

### 3.2 Chromatographic Analysis of ω-3 PUFAs: Governing Principles

The separation and analysis of ω-3 PUFAs recovered from microalgae biomass can be carried out with either 1) lipid transesterification and GC analysis or 2) HPLC-MS analysis.
GC analysis is currently the universal method used for microalgal ω-3 PUFA determination because of its reliability, ease of sample preparation and results analysis (Goh et al., 2019). GC method enables the identification and absolute quantification of fatty acids (including ω-3 PUFAs) present in the microalgal lipid extract. The method is linear for a wide range of fatty acid concentrations and has a broad range of FA chain lengths. On the other hand, HPLC-MS fractionates lipids into their constituent classes prior to species and fatty acid determination. Because of this, the method provides additional layers of analysis compared to the standard fatty acid identification and quantification carried out by GC (Carrasco-Pancorbo et al., 2007; Goh et al., 2019; Rontani, 2022). HPLC-MS has the capacity to identify lipid classes and species present in the microalgal lipid, measure their relative abundance while at the same time evaluate the composition and distribution of fatty acids (including ω-3 PUFAs) in the different lipid classes. The multilayered nature of HPLC-MS analysis makes it a very attractive lipid profiling tool to be used for microalgal studies investigating lipid metabolism, fatty acid biosynthesis and phylogenetics. The use of the method for microalgal analysis, however, is currently still limited given the high level of technical expertise needed for the operation of the equipment and the interpretation of the results. Section 3.3 presents a review of the basic operating principles associated with GC analysis of ω-3 PUFAs, while Section 3.3.1 provides an overview of the development of HPLC-MS for the analysis of microalgal lipid and ω-3 PUFAs.

3.3 Gas Chromatography: Applications and Optimization

Gas chromatography is currently the universal analytical technique used to determine the fatty acid compositions of microalgae (Tang and Row, 2013; Dębowski et al., 2020; Kujawska et al., 2021; Barati et al., 2022). During GC analysis, FAMEs are separated from each other based on their interaction with the stationary phase of the GC column and boiling points. FAMEs with stronger interaction to the stationary phase will stay in the column longer and thus have higher retention times compared to FAMEs with weaker interaction to the stationary phase. FAMEs with low boiling points will be vapourised more rapidly during temperature ramp and thus have shorter retention times compared to FAMEs with high boiling points. This combination of phase interaction and boiling point separation as a separation mechanism allows GC to resolve FAME molecules according to their chain length (carbon number excluding the carbon in the head methyl group), degree of unsaturation (number of double bonds), position of unsaturation (location of double bonds) and cis/trans geometric configuration of the double bonds (David and Vickers, 2005). The stationary phase has a substantial impact on the efficiency and success of fatty acid separation and determination.

Frequently used stationary phases for FAME separation are made of fused silica, polyethylene glycol (PEG) or cyanopropyl polysiloxane. PEG columns (also known as Wax columns) are commercially available under the tradename Omegawax, Carbowax-20M, CP-Wax 52CB, Supelcowax-10 and DB-Wax. Commercial variants of cyanopropyl polysiloxane column include DB-23, HP-88, CP-Sil88, BPX70, SP-2340, SP-2560 and J&W DB-FastFAME (Dobowy and Pyka, 2015; Zou and Wu, 2018). The polarity of the stationary phase determines the column’s ability to separate FAME molecules. PEG columns give relatively good separation of FAMEs from a lipid mixture according to their chain length but are generally considered to lack the ability to completely separate cis-trans isomers and different ω-3 PUFAs (Tang and Row, 2013). Cyanopropyl columns can be classified as either moderate polarity or high polarity. Cyanopropyl columns with moderate polarity (e.g., DB-23, DB-FastFAME) provide excellent separation of ω-3 PUFAs from a complex FAME mixture. Detailed and successful separation of geometric (cis- and trans-) isomers of polyunsaturated FAMES can, however, only be achieved using cyanopropyl columns with high polarity (e.g., HP-88, CP-Sil88) (Tang and Row, 2013). The thickness of stationary phase inside the column generally ranges from 0.15 to 0.25 μm.

Cyanopropyl columns are generally recommended for GC analysis of microalgae lipids to ensure complete peak separation and accurate quantification of resolved ω-3 PUFAs. In their work evaluating the effect of stationary phase interaction on the analysis of microalgal FAME mixtures, David and Vickers (2005) determined that cyanopropyl columns with moderate polarity, such as DB-23, were able to completely resolve EPA (cis-20:5 n-3) and DHA (cis-22:6 n-3) from other FAMEs in the lipid mixture. Separation of ω-3 PUFAs using PEG columns (such as DB-Wax) was limited and co-elution of DHA (cis-22:6 n-3) and tetracosanoic acid (cis-24:1 n-9) was observed. Even though the cyanopropyl columns with high polarity (such as HP-88) had an excellent capacity for complete separation of cis- and trans-isomers, they lacked the ability to fully resolve ω-3 PUFAs from other FAMEs, with EPA (cis-20:5 n-3) co-eluting at the same time with docosadienoic acid (cis-22:2 n-6).

Findings from Woo et al. (2012) in their investigation of GC parameters on PUFA analysis from Chlorella vulgaris, Ankistrodesmus gracilis, and Scenedesmus quadricauda echoed those obtained from David and Vickers (2005). Columns with moderate polarity were found to be able to effectively resolve individual C16 - C18 PUFAs from their corresponding C16-C18 saturated and monounsaturated PUFAs in the microalgal FAME mixture. The authors noted the importance of choosing appropriate GC methods as artefact differences in fatty acids resulting from poorly conditioned GC operations can be significant and have adverse effects on the biomass fatty acid profiles.

Column dimension and carrier gas also play an important role in determining the efficiency of ω-3 PUFA separation during GC analysis. Zou and Wu (2018) investigated the effect of column length and carrier gas on the ability of cyanopropyl DB-FastFAME column in separating and resolving a 37-FAME standard mixture. Reducing column diameter was found to increase separation efficiency per unit length of the column, while the use of gas with a higher diffusivity (H2 instead of He) was shown to provide a faster analysis without compromising resolutions of the separated ω-3 PUFA peaks (Zou and Wu, 2018; Zotov et al., 2022).

Recent advancement in GC stationary phase includes the development of ionic liquid (IL) columns. In comparison with...
polyethylene glycol and cyanopropyl stationary phases, ionic liquid stationary phases showed comparable resolution, but lower column bleeding, resulting in more sensitive quantification. IL columns are also known to be able to separate geometric and positional fatty acid isomers. Gu and coworkers compared the performance of ionic liquid columns to PEG and cyanopropyl columns for the GC-MS analyses of algal fatty acids (Gu et al., 2011). The reduction in column bleeding of the ionic liquid columns (SLB-IL 82 and SLB-IL 100) meant that they were able to detect and quantify trace fatty acids in the samples more accurately than the conventional columns.

Identification and quantification individual microalgal FAMEs (including ω-3 PUFAs) after chromatographic separation is normally carried out with either a Flame Ionisation Detector (FID) or a Mass Spectrometer Detector (MSD). The purpose of the detector unit is to identify and measure the components that have been separated by the GC column. FID and MSD are the most commonly detectors used for algal FAMEs because of their low detection limits, being able to quantify fatty acids at nanogram (10⁻⁹) to femtogram (10⁻¹⁵) concentration levels (Tang and Row, 2013; Dobowy and Pyka, 2015). FID performance by burning organic compounds in the GC column effluent with a hydrogen flame (280°C) and measuring the total ions formed during the combustion. The level of ion signals is proportional to the concentration of organic species at the specific elution time of the column gas stream. Such indiscriminate ionisation of eluted FAMEs means that the detector is unable to identify the molecular signatures of the combusted components (Tang and Row, 2013; Dobowy and Pyka, 2015). FAME identification with GC-FID therefore solely relies on component separation by the GC column and retention time matching with known FAME standards. Limited availability of standards for certain FAME species can restrict the scope of fatty acid identification (and thus quantification) with GC-FID.

An MSD is able to identify and quantify different FAME species by ionising the molecules in the GC column effluent through electron bombardment and measuring the charged fragments formed from the ionisation process in accordance to distinct specific mass-to-charge ratio. Each ion species has its own unique isotopic mass-to-charge (M/Z) signature that can be directly compared to the MS database library for identification. FAME identification with GC-MSD is achieved through both the comparison of a particular retention time from the column. FAME identification and measurement of FAME species eluting at its own unique isotopic mass-to-charge (M/Z) signature can be directly compared to the MS database library for identification.

TABLE 5 | GC instrumentation and parameters used in recent microalgal studies involving ω-3 PUFAs analysis.

| Microalgae species | Stationary phase/mobile phase/detection unit | GC Parameters | Compounds | Ref |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|-----|
| Nannochloropsis g. | DB-23 (cyanopropyl polysiloxane)/Helium/FID | Column: 0.32 mm id x 30 m x 0.25 μm; Oven: 50–210°C; FID: 320°C | ω-3 FAMEs: C20:5 (n-3); other FAMES: C14:0, C16:0, C16:1, C18:1, C18:2, C20:3 | Halim et al. (2019) |
| Nannochloropsis g. | EC-Wax (PEG)/no information on mobile phase/FID | Column: 0.32 mm id x 30 m x 0.25 μm; Oven: 70–235°C | Only ω-3 FAMEs: C18:3 (n-3), C18:4 (n-3), C20:5 (n-3), C22:5 (n-3), C22:6 (n-3) | Ruyckeboeck et al. (2014) |
| Chlorella sp., Nannochloropsis sp | VF-5 MS (phenylmethyl polysiloxane)/Helium/MSD | Column: 10 mm x 0.25 μm Oven: 50–230°C; Injection: 250°C | ω-3 FAMEs: C20:3 (n-3), C20:5 (n-3); other FAMES: numerous saturated and monounsaturated fatty acids | Omstead et al. (2013) |
| Twelve different species, including Synechococcus sp., Isochrysis galbana, Phaeodactylum tricornutum, Tetraselmis suecica, Nannochloropsis oceanica | DB-Wax (PEG)/no information | Column: 0.1 mm id x 10 m x 0.1 μm; Oven: 70–250°C | ω-3 FAMEs: C18:3 (n-3), C18:4 (n-3), C20:5 (n-3), C22:6 (n-3); other FAMES: numerous saturated and monounsaturated fatty acids | Patil et al. (2007) |
| Chlorella vulgaris, Ankistrodesmus gracilis, Scenedesmus quadricauda | Three different stationary phases: HP-5 (methylpolysiloxane), DB-Wax (PEG), Supelcowax (PEG)/Helium/FID | Column 1: 0.32 mm id x 30 m x 0.25 μm; Column 2: 0.25 mm id x 30 m x 0.25 μm; Column 3: 0.32 mm id x 60 m x 0.5 μm; Oven: 50–850°C | ω-3 FAMES: C18:3 (n-3); other FAMES: C16:0, C16:1, C18:0, C18:1, C18:2 | Woo et al. (2012) |
| 16 different microalgal species | Condition 1: DB-5MS (methylpolysiloxane)/He/MSD; Condition 2: DB Wax (PEG)/He/FID | For condition 1: column; 0.25 mm id x 30 m x 0.25 μm, oven: 45–320°C, injection: 240°C; MSD: 230°C. For condition 2: column 0.25 mm id x 30 m x 0.25 μm, oven: 25–250°C, injection: 100°C.; FID: 280°C | ω-3 FAMES: C18:3 (n-3); other FAMES: numerous saturated and monounsaturated fatty acids | Kchech, (2017) |
| Chlorella vulgaris | EZ-Guard VF 5 ms (dimethylpolysiloxane)/no information/TOF-MS | Column: 0.25 mm id x 30 m x 0.25 μm | ω-3 FAMES: C16:3 Δ7,9,11,13, C18:2 Δ9,12,15; other FAMES: numerous saturated and monounsaturated fatty acids | Morschett et al. (2019) |
Flight Mass Spectrometry (TOF-MS) detection. This detection ω
Nannochloropsis gaditana
Luna Si column (5 μm, 4.6 ×
250 mm)/Solvent A: acetonel
and Solvent B: hexane/detector: APCI/MS
Flow rate: 1 ml/min, injection
volume: 50 μl, elution
gradient: 98–93% B for 11 min, 93 B
for 14 min, 93% B for 17 min, 74% B
for 1 min, 74% B for 3 min, 74–98% B
for 1 min, 98± B for 5 min. Mass spectrum recorded
inΔ + and− mode
Twenty nine lipid compounds, including 4
triacylglycerols (TAG), 2 diacylglycerols (DAG), 3
preenol lipids, 4 wax esters, 4 alkoxy ether lipids,
8 sterols and 3 sugar alcohols. Main FA in TAG:
C16:0, C18:1, C18:2; main FA in DAG: C16:0,
C18:1
Donot et al. (2013)

Phaeodactylum tricornutum,
Nannochloropsis gaditana
Diol column (5 μm, 3 ×
150 mm)/Solvent A: hexane,
isopropanol, water, 1 M
ammonium acetate (0.5/350/
24/1 v/v/v/v) and Solvent B:
isopropanol, water, 1 M
ammonium acetate (85/149/1
v/v/v)/detector: MS/MS
Flow rate: 200 μl/min, column:
T: 40°C, injection volume: 20 μl,
elution gradient: 0–100% B for
30 min, 100% B for 15 min,
0–100% B for 5 min, 0% B for
20 min
Monogalactosyldiacylglycerol (MGDG),
phosphatidylcholine (PC), triacylglycerol (TAG).
Main FA in MGDG: C20:5 (n-3); main FA in PC:
C20:5 (n-3), C16:1; main FA in TAG: C16:0,
C16:1
Jouhet et al. (2017)

Cyclotella cryptica,
Nannochloropsis salina,
Thalassiosira weissflogii,
Alexandrium minutum,
Alexandrium tamatum
Kinetex Biphenyl column
(2.6 μm, 2.1 × 150 mm)/Solvent:
A: water and Solvent B:
methanol/detector: MS
Flow rate: 0.3 ml/min, column:
T: 28°C, injection volume: 10 μl,
elution gradient: 40–80% B for
2 min, 80–100% B for 13 min,
100% B for 7 min
Phospholipids (PL),
sulfoquinovosyldiacylglycerols (SQDG),
monogalactosyldiacylglycerols (MGDG),
digalactosyldiacylglycerols (DGDG) and
triacylglycerols (TAG). For Nannochloropsis
salina, main FA in PL: C20:4 (n-3); C20:5 (n-3),
C16:1, main FA in SQDG: C16:0, main
FA in MGDG: C20:5 (n-3), main FA in DGDG:
C20:5 (n-3), main FA in TAG: C16:0, C16:1,
C18:1
Cutignano et al. (2016)

One of the main limitations of using GC for fatty acid analysis
(as opposed to the more targeted HPLC methods) is its inability
to distinguish the lipid classes from which the ω-3 fatty acids were
recovered/derivatised from (e.g., free fatty acids, triacylglycerols,
phospholipids and glycolipids) (Olmstead et al., 2013; Morschett
et al., 2019). Obtaining detailed information on ω-3 fatty acid
distribution amongst different lipid classes in microalgal biomass
is critical to species screening, metabolic studies on ω-3 PUFA
biosynthesis and development of optimal cultivation conditions
aimed at maximum ω-3 production. Such analytical limitations can,
however, be overcome by performing Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC) or Solid Phase Extraction (SPE) to
fractionate the total biomass lipid extracts into different classes
prior to transesterification and GC analysis.
Olmstead and coworkers used a simple and commercially
available silica-based SPE system to successfully fractionate total

**TABLE 6 | HPLC instrumentation and parameters used in recent microalgal studies involving ω-3 PUFA analysis.**

| Microalgae species | Stationary phase/mobile phase/detection unit | HPLC Parameters | Compounds | Ref |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----|
| Botryococcus braunii | Luna Si column (5 μm, 4.6 × 250 mm)/Solvent A: acetonel and Solvent B: hexane/detector: APCI/MS | Flow rate: 1 ml/min, injection volume: 50 μl, elution gradient: 98–93% B for 11 min, 93% B for 14 min, 93% B for 17 min, 74% B for 1 min, 74% B for 3 min, 74–98% B for 1 min, 98± B for 5 min. Mass spectrum recorded in Δ + and− mode | Twenty nine lipid compounds, including 4 triacylglycerols (TAG), 2 diacylglycerols (DAG), 3 preenol lipids, 4 wax esters, 4 alkoxy ether lipids, 8 sterols and 3 sugar alcohols. Main FA in TAG: C16:0, C18:1, C18:2; main FA in DAG: C16:0, C18:1 | Donot et al. (2013) |
| Phaeodactylum tricornutum, Nannochloropsis gaditana | Diol column (5 μm, 3 × 150 mm)/Solvent A: hexane, isopropanol, water, 1 M ammonium acetate (0.5/350/24/1 v/v/v/v) and Solvent B: isopropanol, water, 1 M ammonium acetate (85/149/1 v/v/v)/detector: MS/MS | Flow rate: 200 μl/min, column T: 40°C, injection volume: 20 μl, elution gradient: 0–100% B for 30 min, 100% B for 15 min, 0–100% B for 5 min, 0% B for 20 min | Monogalactosyldiacylglycerol (MGDG), phosphatidylcholine (PC), triacylglycerol (TAG). Main FA in MGDG: C20:5 (n-3); main FA in PC: C20:5 (n-3), C16:1; main FA in TAG: C16:0, C16:1 | Jouhet et al. (2017) |
| Cyclotella cryptica, Nannochloropsis salina, Thalassiosira weissflogii, Alexandrium minutum, Alexandrium tamatum | Kinetex Biphenyl column (2.6 μm, 2.1 × 150 mm)/Solvent A: water and Solvent B: methanol/detector: MS | Flow rate: 0.3 ml/min, column T: 28°C, injection volume: 10 μl, elution gradient: 40–80% B for 2 min, 80–100% B for 13 min, 100% B for 7 min | Phospholipids (PL), sulfoquinovosyldiacylglycerols (SQDG), monogalactosyldiacylglycerols (MGDG), digalactosyldiacylglycerols (DGDG) and triacylglycerols (TAG). For Nannochloropsis salina, main FA in PL: C20:4 (n-3); C20:5 (n-3), C16:1, main FA in SQDG: C16:0, main FA in MGDG: C20:5 (n-3), main FA in DGDG: C20:5 (n-3), main FA in TAG: C16:0, C16:1, C18:1 | Cutignano et al. (2016) |
lipid extracts from *Chlorella* sp. and *Nannochloropsis* sp. into their constituent neutral lipids (triacylglycerols and free fatty acids), phospholipids and glycolipids before subjecting the individual lipid fractions to transesterification and GC separation. This approach enabled them to demonstrate that the majority of ω-3 PUFAs (between 65–94 wt% of available ω-3 PUFAs) in *Nannochloropsis* biomass partitioned in the phospholipid and glycolipid fractions rather than the neutral lipid (or triacylglycerol) fraction (Olmstead et al., 2013). These findings have immediate implications to microalgal downstream processing as it highlighted the requirements for extraction solvents with high affinity to polar lipids for effective ω-3 PUFA recovery.

### 3.3.1 High-Performance Liquid Chromatography

HPLC-MS is a powerful method that can be used to not only identify lipid classes and species and measure their relative abundances but also to determine the composition of fatty acids (including ω-3 PUFAs) in each lipid class/species (Cajka and Fiehn, 2014; Dobowy and Pyka, 2015). This is in contrast to GC-based analysis which lacks the ability to separate out lipid classes and can thus only give global fatty acid information of the total biomass lipids (unless additional lipid fractionation techniques, such as TLC or SPE, is introduced to separate the lipid into individual classes prior to GC analysis). LC-MS lipidomic analysis can be untargeted (acquisition of full spectra of all lipid classes) or targeted for specific lipid classes. In addition to superior separation capacity, the method is sensitive at trace levels, has minimal background interference and does not require a separate transesterification step that can lead to artefact generation (Cajka and Fiehn, 2014). Absolute quantification of the amount of each lipid class with the HPLC-MS method, however, can be quite challenging given the varying degrees of ionization efficiencies for the different lipid classes and the large number of internal standards (at least one representative standard for each lipid class) required for such a calculation (Jouhet et al., 2017). **Table 6** provides a summary of the HPLC instrumentation and parameters used in recent microalgal studies for ω-3 PUFA analysis.

HPLC-MS lipidomic analysis of biological systems, such as microalgae biomass, typically involves the following steps (**Figure 4**) (Cajka and Fiehn, 2014; Dobowy and Pyka, 2015; Domingues and Calado, 2022; Evans et al., 2022):

1. extraction of the lipids from the biomass using chloroform/methanol protocol with the addition of internal standards to cover the specific lipid classes,
2. LC separation of the lipid extract using short microbore columns with 2.6–2.8 μm particle size with C18 or C8 stationary phase,
3. electrospray ionisation of the separated lipid species in positive- and negative-ion modes followed by the capture, amplification and detection of ions with high-resolution MS. The detection can be carried out in an untargeted (full spectra acquisition) or targeted (multiple-reaction monitoring) mode.
4. data handling for the identification and (semi-) quantification of the detected lipid fragments based on the values of their mass-to-charge (M/Z) ratio (Cajka and Fiehn, 2014; Dobowy and Pyka, 2015). Phospholipids, glycolipids, di- and triacylglycerols are the most frequently targeted lipid classes for microalgal analysis (Cajka and Fiehn, 2014; Dobowy and Pyka, 2015).

The comprehensive nature of HPLC-MS analysis makes it a very effective lipid profiling tool. It has the potential to significantly advance our insights into microalgal lipid metabolism and acclimation, uncovering novel lipid biosynthetic pathways and opening up new opportunities in strain screening and phylogenetic studies. The use of the method for microalgal lipid analysis, however, is currently still limited given the high level of technical expertise needed for the operation of the equipment and the processing and interpretation of the results. A number of studies have recently used hyphenated HPLC–MS platform with tandem Q-TOF (Yan et al., 2010, Yan et al., 2011 X; Donot et al., 2013), QqQ (Jouhet et al., 2017) or Orbitrap (Cutignano et al., 2016) mass analyser to elucidate the detailed make-up of microalgal lipids in industrially relevant microalgal biomass (e.g. Botryococcus braunii, *Phaeodactylum tricornutum, Nannochloropsis gaditana* and *Chlorella* sp). The HPLC-MS method was used either for targeted analysis of the fluctuation of specific lipid classes in the investigated microalgal strains under certain cultivation/physiological states or untargeted analysis aimed at acquiring global lipidome profiles of the investigated microalgal strains.

The use of HPLC coupled with a tandem atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) –MS/MS detector enabled Donot and

---

**FIGURE 4** | The pipeline of HPLC-MS lipidomic analysis for the analysis of microalgal lipids.
coworkers to determine the lipid class and fatty acid distribution of *Botryococcus braunii*’s lipid within a single analysis (Donot et al., 2013). Based on the m/z mass fragments of the lipid, twenty nine different compounds were identified, including 4 triacylglycerols (TAG), 2 diacylglycerols (DAG), 3 prenol lipids, 4 wax esters, 4 alkoxy ether lipids, 8 sterols and 3 sugar alcohols. The authors were able to show that TAG and DAG molecules in *Botryococcus braunii* (race A) were composed of C16:0, C18:1 and C18:2 fatty acids. The absence of ω-3 PUFAs in *B. braunii* validated that research on the species should continue to focus on biofuel instead of antioxidant production.

Similarly, Jouhet and coworkers successfully used HPLC coupled with Electrospray Ionisation (ESI)-MS/MS detector to develop a protocol of determining the fatty acid distributions of two microalgal species *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* and *Nannochloropsis gaditana* (Jouhet et al., 2017). The accuracy of the HPLC- EIS-MS/MS method was demonstrated through successful production of corrected fatty acid distribution profiles that were very similar to those generated with TLC fractionation followed by transesterification and GC/MS. Unlike GC/MS that required additional TLC and transesterification steps, however, the HPLC-ESI-MS/MS method was able to establish this distribution without any pretreatment that may produce artefacts. The HPLC analysis verified that the majority of EPA (C20:5 n-3) in both *P. tricornutum* and *N. gaditana* biomass partitioned in the glycolipids fraction (~25 and 60% of monogalactosyldiacylglycerol signal). The method was also used to track changes in *N. gaditana*’s fatty acid synthesis under nitrogen (N) deprivation. The accumulation of TAG during N-deplete cultivation was shown to be accompanied with de novo synthesis of C16:0 and C16:1 FAs and concomitant reduction in the global level of membrane lipids and C20:5 FA, suggesting of transfer of ω-3 PUFAs to form saturated and monounsaturated fatty acids during nutrient depletion.

Cutignano and coworkers used UHPLC - MS/MS for comprehensive regiochemical assignments of fatty acids to different lipid classes (phospholipids PL, sulfoquinovosyldiacylglycerols SQDG, monogalactosyldiacylglycerols MGDG, digalactosyldiacylglycerols DGDG and triacylglycerols TAG) in the marine microalgal strains: *Cyclotella cryptica*, *Nannochloropsis salina*, *Thalassiosira weissflogii*, *Alexandrium minutum* and *Alexandrium tamatum* (Cutignano et al., 2016). The core-shell Biphenyl column coupled with a methanol/water elution gradient developed specifically for the chromatographic separation was found to yield good resolution between the lipid species and introduce minimal adduct formation, enabling the authors to assess unambiguously the fragmentation pattern of eluted lipid and carry out absolute quantitation of targeted neutral and polar individual lipid classes. The study found >350 individual lipid species between the five microalgal strains and confirmed that EPA was the principal component of MGDG and SQDG (both of which are sub-groups of glycolipids) in all of the investigated strains.

In conclusion, lipids are a major class of target compounds found in microalgae. Both HPLC and GC can be used for the determination of lipid composition. GC has shown advantages due to its robustness for fatty acid analysis and has gained a prominence while HPLC also offers benefits such as lipid classes analysis as well as fatty acid determination in their free form.

## 4 PIGMENTS

Microalgae are associated with a large range of natural pigments. The major classes of pigments found in microalgae cells include three main groups of phycobiliproteins, chlorophylls and carotenoids (Figure 5). The pigments are not only crucial for the photosynthetic metabolism of microalgae but also provide antioxidant activities to protect the cells from damage. The produced and isolated pigments can be valuable products with several health benefits such as anticancer, anti-inflammatory, anti-obesity, neuroprotective, etc (Mimouni et al., 2012; Dewi et al., 2018; Ambati et al., 2019; Prabakaran et al., 2020). Microalgal pigments are exploited in a variety of industries ie. food dyes and health products. The most frequently used species for pigments production are *Dunaliella salina*, *Haematococcus pluvialis*, *Chlorella spp.*, *Muriellopsis spp.*, *Scenedesmus spp.*, *Spirulina* (Arthrospira) spp., and *Porphyridium spp* (Hu et al., 2018; Silva et al., 2020).

### 4.1 Carotenoids

Carotenoids are isoprenoid molecules that can be chemically divided into carotenoids (hydrocarbons; e.g., α-carotene, β-carotene, lycopene) and xanthophylls (oxygenated molecules; e.g., astaxanthin, lutein, canthaxanthin). Carotenoids light absorption range is between 400 and 550 nm. Carotenones are lipophilic molecules yellow to red in color required in the human diet. β-Carotene was the first high-value product commercially produced from microalgae *Dunaliella salina*, which is its richest source (14% DW) (Baker and Günther, 2004; Dufossé et al., 2005; Lou et al., 2020; Pourkarimi et al., 2020). Xanthophylls are polar molecules used in food and cosmetic additives. Main xanthophylls found in microalgae are astaxanthin and lutein. Astaxanthin found in *Haematococcus pluvialis* (0.2–5.0% DW) has powerful antioxidant capacity and high tinctorial properties (pinkish-red color) (Molino et al., 2018a). Lutein found in *Scenedesmus*, *Chlorella*, *Muriellopsis* spp, and many other microalgal species, is used as a natural yellow colorant and have been found to help in minimizing age-related blindness (macular degeneration and cataracts), and preventing cardiovascular diseases, atherosclerosis, and certain type of cancers (Low et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2022).

Due to their structure, carotenoids are only soluble in organic solvents, oils and fats. The conjugated double bonds, determining the unsaturated nature of carotenoids can cause oxidation and autoxidation reactions (if exposed to open air). Carotenoids are conventionally extracted using organic solvents. Non-polar carotenes and esterified xanthophyll are extracted using non-polar solvents (e.g., hexane, petroleum ether or tetrahydrofuran (THF). Polar carotenoids are extracted using polar solvents (e.g acetone,
ethanol, and ethyl acetate). Current methods for the extraction of carotenoids include low pressure solvent extraction and supercritical fluid extraction. Recent review on the techniques studied to extract carotenoids from several matrices (including microalgae), highlights the importance of choosing the right solvent for an efficient extraction of carotenoids, and concluded that supercritical fluid extraction (SFE) was the superior green technique for extraction of carotenoids compared with other techniques such as Soxhlet, maceration, microwave-assisted extraction (MAE), ultrasound-assisted extraction (UAE), pressurized liquid extraction (PLE), pulsed electric field (PEF) assisted extraction and enzyme-assisted extraction (EAE) (Saini and Keum, 2018). SFE has been reported as a method to extract carotenoids in Botryococcus braunni, Chlorella vulgaris, Dunaliella salina and Spirulina maxima, Haematococcus pluvialis using supercritical CO2 as an extraction media (Molino et al., 2018b; Tirado and Calvo, 2019).

A summary of different methods used for the analysis of carotenoids is shown in Table 7. Different methods have been employed for the extraction of the pigments for analytical purposes including simple mixing, heating and ultrasound assisted extraction. The solvents used for the extraction of these pigments have been mostly based on non-aqueous systems as the pigments are fat soluble. The solvent systems included methanol, acetone, concentrated KOH solution, and

| Pigment | HPLC column type | Mobile phase | Source | Ref |
|---------|------------------|--------------|--------|-----|
| Lutein  | Reversed phase C18, reversed phase C8, reversed phase C30 | Methanol/dichloromethane, Acetonitrile: distilled water, acetonitrile/methanol, methanol/ethyl acetate, methanol/acetone, ethyl acetate | Chlorella pyrenoidosa, Chlorella protothecoides, Chlorella vulgaris, Chlorella pyrenoidosa, Chlorella zofingiensis, Tetradesmus sp., Coccocmyxa acidiphila, Dunaliella Chlorella sorokiniana, Coccocmyxa acidiphila, Scenedesmus dimorphus | Shi et al., 1997, 2000; Wu et al. (2009); Cordero et al., 2010, 2011; Yen et al. (2011); Van Wagenen et al. (2015); Chen et al., 2016, 2017; Hamed et al. (2021) |
| Violaxanthin | Reversed phase C8, reversed phase C18 | Ethyl acetate, Acetonitrile/distilled water, dichloromethane/acetonitrile/methanol | Chlorella sorokiniana, Haematococcus pluvialis, Chlorella zofingiensis, Scenedesmus dimorphus | Casal et al. (2011); Van Wagenen et al. (2015); Ahmad et al. (2022) |
| Astaxanthin | Reversed phase C8 | Ethyl acetate, Acetonitrile/distilled water, dichloromethane/methanol/acetonitrile/water, acetonitrile/methanol/Tris-HCl, methanol/ethyl acetate, dichloromethane/acetonitrile/methanol | | Ip et al. (2004); Sun, (2006); Liu et al. (2013); Van Wagenen et al. (2015); Ahmad et al. (2022) |
| Fucoxanthin | Reversed phase C8, C30 | Water/methanol/acetonitrile, methanol/methyl tert-butyl ether/water | Chlorella sorokiniana, Phaeodactylum tricornutum, Nitzschia laevis | | |
| Zeaxanthin | Reversed phase C8, reversed phase C18 | Ethyl acetate, Acetonitrile/distilled water, dichloromethane/acetonitrile/methanol | Chlorella sorokiniana, Coccocmyxa acidiphila, Scenedesmus dimorphus | Casal et al. (2011); Van Wagenen et al. (2015); Ahmad et al. (2022) |
| Carotene | Reversed phase C8, reversed phase C18 | Methanol/dichloromethane:, Acetonitrile/distilled water, ethyl acetate, dichloromethane/acetonitrile/methanol | Chlorella sorokiniana, Coccocmyxa acidiphila, Scenedesmus dimorphus, Dunaliella | Casal et al. (2011); Van Wagenen et al. (2015); Hamed et al. (2021); Ahmad et al. (2022) |
Chlorophylls are tetrapyrroles with a centrally bound magnesium ion. Chemically, chlorophylls can be divided into chlorophylls a, b, c, d, e, and f. Chlorophylls show two absorption bands: 450–475 and 630–675 nm.

Chlorophylls are the green pigments responsible for the photosynthesis process in plants and algae. They have small differences in their absorption spectra (chlorophyll a is blue-green in color, chlorophyll b is brilliant green, chlorophyll c is yellow-green, chlorophyll d is brilliant/forest green, and chlorophyll f is emerald green). Microalgae 

4.2 Chlorophylls

Chlorophylls are tetrapyrroles with a centrally bound magnesium ion. They have small differences in their absorption spectra (chlorophyll a is blue-green, chlorophyll b is brilliant green, chlorophyll c is yellow-green, chlorophyll d is brilliant/forest green, and chlorophyll f is emerald green). Microalgae 

4.3 Phycobiliproteins

Phycobiliproteins (PBPs) are unique pigments produced exclusively by cyanobacteria and microalgae. These pigments are composed of proteins that are covalently bound to chromophores called phycobilins, belonging to open-chain tetrapyrroles including phycocyanin (blue pigment), phycoerythrin (red pigment), and allophycocyanin (light-blue pigment).

Phycocyanin from 

4.4 Purification of Phycobiliproteins

Chromatography plays a major role in both the purification and analysis of phycocyanin. The majority of the methods used in the literature rely on ammonium sulfate precipitation followed by a range of different chromatographic separation methods for the purification of phycocyanin. Application of ion chromatography, gel filtration chromatography and affinity chromatography alone or in combination with each other have been reported in the literature (Patel et al., 2005; Yan S.-G. et al., 2011; Song et al., 2013; Kissoudi et al., 2018).

Phycocyanin from 

4.5 Applications of Phycobiliproteins

Phycobiliproteins are used in various applications such as food and beverage, health and wellness, agriculture, and cosmetics. They are known for their antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and anticancer activities. Phycobiliproteins are liberated from cellular matrix by cell disruption. However, other novel solvents such as protic ionic liquids could be employed for simultaneous extraction and purification (Rodrigues et al., 2020).

Chromatography is widely used for the extraction and purification of phycobiliproteins. Aqueous acetonitrile and trifluoroacetic acid were used in the mobile phase (Prabakaran et al., 2020). In a work by Rossano et al. (2003) purification of R-phycoerythrins from Corallina elongata was performed by a two-step chromatographic method using ion-exchange and gel filtration chromatography. Some researchers (Liu et al., 2005; Kissoudi et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2020) coupled ion-exchange and affinity chromatography in a single run and introduced a one-step chromatography method to separate and purify phycocyanins, including initial precipitation of the target compound on the column followed by pH gradient elution. Some studies also employed a multistep procedure to purify phycocyanins from 

Table 7

A summary of different methods employed for the extraction and chromatographic analysis of phycobiliproteins is shown in Figure 6. Effective extraction of phycocyanin has always been a challenging issue, as the protein is bound to the cell structure of microalgae. Therefore, there is a need for cell disruption techniques such as high pressure homogenisation (Moraes et al., 2011), osmotic treatment (Doke, 2005), enzymatic treatment (Blaskar et al., 2005), grinding, freeze-thawing, cold plasma, microwave treatment, high pressure processing, pulsed electric fields, and ultrasound assisted extraction (Son et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2014). The pigments are water soluble and thus can be extracted directly using cold and hot aqueous system once they are liberated from cellular matrix by cell disruption. However, other novel solvents such as protic ionic liquids could be employed for simultaneous extraction and purification (Rodrigues et al., 2020).

Chromatography is widely used for the extraction and purification of phycobiliproteins. Aqueous acetonitrile and trifluoroacetic acid were used in the mobile phase (Prabakaran et al., 2020). In a work by Rossano et al. (2003) purification of R-phycoerythrins from Corallina elongata was performed by a two-step chromatographic method using ion-exchange and gel filtration chromatography. Some researchers (Liu et al., 2005; Kissoudi et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2020) coupled ion-exchange and affinity chromatography in a single run and introduced a one-step chromatography method to separate and purify phycocyanins, including initial precipitation of the target compound on the column followed by pH gradient elution. Some studies also employed a multistep procedure to purify phycocyanins from 

4.6 Conclusion

Phycobiliproteins have gained significant interest in recent years due to their potential applications in various fields. Further research is needed to develop more efficient and cost-effective methods for the extraction and purification of these valuable compounds.
and gel-filtration HPLC suggest several benefits for some pigment classes found in microalgae such as phycobiliproteins, as these compounds possess large molecules and proteins are found in their structure.

5 CONCLUDING REMARKS, FURTHER TRENDS AND CHALLENGES

The results of this review paper indicated that, LC and GC methods coupled to different type of detectors provide powerful and reliable analytical tools for the identification and quantification of polyphenols, ω-3 PUFAs, and pigments present in different microalgae species. There could be limitations for each method depending on the nature of the compounds under investigation. The choice of the appropriate instrument and method is carried out based on different factors including volatility, solubility, sample preparation requirement, and accuracy.

Chromatographic techniques have been proved to be critical in not only building our understanding of microalgal metabolism, biosynthesis pathways and acclimation to adverse cultivation conditions but also in measuring the yields and effectiveness of downstream processes developed for the recovery of these high-value metabolites from the biomass. As such, chromatographic techniques play an indispensable analytical role in establishing both the technological viability and the economic feasibility of commercial-scale production of microalgal polyphenols, PUFAs and pigments for nutraceutical and food applications. In addition to LC and GC methods described in Section 3, 4, and 5, there are other chromatographic methods that can potentially be applied in the development of microalgal biotechnology.

In addition to sample analysis, chromatography can also be used for sample preparation and purification. Preparative chromatography is a powerful method that can be used to fractionate/purify microalgal lipid extract to constituent lipid, polyphenol and pigments classes prior to analytical chromatography. Preparative chromatography, however, has scarcely been applied for microalgae. We were only able to find a few studies demonstrating the use preparative chromatography for the isolation of peptides from *Spirulina Plantensis* (Hu et al., 2019), the separation of pigments from *Nitzschia laevis* (Sun et al., 2019) or polyphenol fractionation from *Chlamydomonas* sp. KSF108 (Khanh Tran et al., 2019).

Multi-dimensional preparative chromatographic techniques such as GC x GC or/and LC x LC coupled to MS system can be used to enable both the purification and identification of new phytochemicals in microalgal matrices and are particularly useful for sample screening with minimal clean-up. Countercurrent chromatography has been already used in microalgae matrices to separate pigments and polyphenols. Li and coworkers presented a study using preparative High-Speed Countercurrent Chromatography (HSCCC) with biphasic solvent system (n-hexane-ethanol-water in the ratio of 10:9:1 v/v) for the purification of crude extract of canthaxanthin from the microalga *Chlorella zofingiensis* to a purity level of about 98.7% (Li et al., 2006). Another emerging chromatographic technique that can be used in industrial scale for product purification is Expanded Bed Adsorption (EBA) chromatography that was recently described for isolation of phycoerythrin from the microalga *Porphyridium cruentum*,

![FIGURE 6 | Schematic representation of different methods for the extraction, purification, and analysis of phycocyanin from microalgae.](image-url)
using dimethylaminoethyl cellulose (DEAE-) as a resin (Bermejo et al., 2003).

Supercritical fluid chromatography (SFC) is a relatively recent addition to analytical chromatographic technique. The difference of supercritical fluid chromatography from other chromatographic techniques is the use of a supercritical fluid as the mobile phase. This has the advantages of reducing solvent requirements and retention time, while also being able to analyze thermolabile compounds that GC cannot (Ajila et al., 2011). In this regard, SFC using a C18 column and a 2-ethyl pyridine column was recently used for the quantitative determination of 8 different carotenoids in the extracts of Scenedesmus sp. The method was able to validate and quantify the 8 carotenoids in Scenedesmus sp. extract. A recent study has also successfully coupled supercritical fluid extraction and supercritical fluid chromatography–tandem mass-spectrometry for the sequential extraction and characterization of 28 apocarotenoids from four different microalgal strains: Chlamydomonas sp, Tetraselmis chuii S, Nannochloropsis gaditana and Chlorella sorokiniana (Zoccali et al., 2019).
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