Assessment of Mesiobuccal Canal Configuration, Prevalence and Inter-Orifice Distance at Different Root Thirds of Maxillary First Molars: A CBCT Study

Aim: The aims of this study were to assess the prevalence and configuration of the second mesiobuccal (MB2) canal in the mesiobuccal MB root of permanent maxillary first molars at different root levels in a Saudi sub-population using cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) and to measure the inter-orifice distance between MB & MB2 at the pulpal floor level.

Materials and Methods: This retrospective study was carried out on CBCT images of Saudi patients seen at King Abdul Aziz University. A total of 106 maxillary first molars were evaluated using a specialized software program (iCAT CBCT software). The prevalence of MB2, canal configuration and location of joining (apical-middle-coronal), if present was calculated. Also, the inter-orifice distance between both canals was measured at the level of the pulpal floor. Chi-square and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used.

Results: The MB2 canal was found in 92 (86.8%) maxillary first molar teeth. The MB and MB2 canals were joined in 61 (58%) cases, where the location of joining was 14 (23%), 17 (27%), and 31 (50%) in the coronal, middle, and apical third, respectively. The mean inter-orifice distance between both canals at the level of the pulpal floor was 2.52±0.76 mm.

Conclusion: The prevalence of MB2 canal in this Saudi sub-population was high. The prevalence decreased as the root canal approached the apical third. There was no correlation between the average distance and occurrence of canal joining. CBCT is useful in detecting and mapping the mesiobuccal root canal system, with a likelihood of enhancing the quality of root canal treatment.
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Introduction
To achieve the main objectives of endodontic treatment, all root canals need to be identified, accessed, cleaned, shaped, and filled.1,2 Hence, it is crucial to understand the anatomy of the root canal system and the prevalence of frequent variations.1 It has been reported that maxillary first molars usually have three roots with three to four canals.3 Several methods of examining the anatomy of the mesiobuccal (MB) root of the maxillary first molar have been reported in the literature. Some of these methods include clinical, radiographical, and histological investigations such as clearing, staining, and sectioning.4,5 More recently, investigators have begun using cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) to measure the prevalence of the second
mesiobuccal canal (MB2) and the type of canal configuration.\textsuperscript{6,7} Anatomical studies have reported the prevalence of the MB2 canal in the mesial root of the maxillary first and second molar to be between 69 to 93%.\textsuperscript{6,8–12}

The complex root and canal anatomy of maxillary molars lie behind the high rates of endodontic treatment failure observed for these teeth. It has been hypothesized that failure to find the MB2 canal is the main cause of reduced long-term prognosis after root canal treatment in maxillary molars.\textsuperscript{13,14} The prevalence of endodontic treatment failure due to untreated MB2 canal as reported in the literature ranges from 46% to 78%.\textsuperscript{15–17} This shows the importance of locating and treating the MB2 canal.

The most commonly used technique to identify the anatomy of root canals is a periapical radiograph, which provides limited details of the root canal anatomy.\textsuperscript{4,18,19} CBCT, however, can generate 3-D images with higher resolution and lower radiation dose than conventional computed tomography (CT).\textsuperscript{20,21}

It has been reported that MB2 detection using CBCT is more reliable than clinical visual inspection.\textsuperscript{21} CBCT images have become an important aid in identifying MB2 by providing anatomical information, avoiding unnecessary tooth preparation, and reducing the risk of untoward incidents such as instrument separation, canal transportation, and perforation.\textsuperscript{4,22,23} Specific guidelines have been issued by the American Association of Endodontists and American Academy of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology justifying the use of CBCT imaging in endodontics specifically for teeth with complex root canal anatomy systems.\textsuperscript{24}

Certain aspects of the root and canal morphology of permanent maxillary first molars in the western Saudi Arabian subpopulation revealed by CBCT have not been reported previously in the literature. Thus, the aim of this study was to use CBCT to assess the prevalence and configuration of the MB2 canal in the MB root of permanent maxillary first molars in a Saudi population at different root levels and to measure the inter-orifice distance between MB and MB2 at the level of the pulp floor.

**Materials and Methods**

This retrospective study was conducted at the Faculty of Dentistry at King Abdul Aziz University. The study protocol was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Dentistry at King Abdul Aziz University (No. 02-02-20) and all participants signed an informed consent that their data will anonymously be used for research purposes. The present study complied with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. A total of 106 maxillary first molars were included in this study (44 males and 62 females) with an age range of 20 to 65 years. The sample was divided into four age groups (20–30, 31–40, 41–50, 51–65). The CBCT scans had been taken earlier for various diagnostic purposes such as implant planning, surgical removal of impacted teeth and endodontic evaluations. Teeth were selected if they met the following inclusion criteria: no periapical lesions, resorption, or canal calcification, no previously root canal treated or previously initiated root canal teeth, and no cemented posts or large coronal restorations.

All patients were imaged with an iCAT scanner (Imaging Sciences International, Hatfield, PA, USA); 8 × 8 cm images were scanned at 120 KVP and 5–7 mA using voxel size 0.2 mm. Images were displayed on a calibrated Dell (Round Rock, TX) 17 inch (0.28 dot pitch) high-resolution color monitor set at a 16-bit color depth and a screen resolution of 1024 × 768 pixels. Two calibrated examiners, two certified endodontists (examiner 1, 2), reviewed the CBCT scans using Vision software (Imaging Science International, Hatfield, PA, USA). Before evaluation of the CBCT images, an adequate review of image processing and reconstruction was performed and criteria for reading and recording data were set. After intra-examiner calibration, the two examiners separately evaluated the images in the study samples. If a disagreement on the interpretation of an image occurred, it was first discussed between the two examiners. When a consensus could not be reached, a radiologist assisted in reaching a decision. The images were reviewed in a dimly lit room with no windows. After adjusting the image contrast and using the magnification tool for optimal visualization, all 106 permanent maxillary first molars were evaluated. The presence or absence of MB2 and the location where MB and MB2 join (coronal, middle or apical) were also recorded. The distance between both canals was measured at the level of the pulp floor. The examination was performed using a multi-planar view, mainly the axial view, by scrolling through the sections from the canal orifice to the root apex several times searching for the MB2 canal. When the presence of MB2 was identified, the distance between MB and MB2 was measured. Measurement of the whole root was done and divided equally into thirds (coronal, middle, or apical). The joining of MB and MB2 canals was determined by
identifying the location of joining at root one-third levels, coronal, middle or apical.

Descriptive statistics were generated for the configuration and presence of the MB2 canal and the distance between MB and MB2 in the maxillary first molars. Intra- and inter-examiner reliability data were analyzed using Kappa tests. The SPSS for Windows software (ver. 22.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used to conduct the statistical tests using the Pearson chi-square test and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Statistical significance was set at \( P < 0.05 \).

### Results

The intra-examiner reliability was 0.87 and 0.85 for examiner 1 and 2 respectively, and the inter-examiner reliability was 0.82. The study involved 106 maxillary first molars including 44 (41.5%) males and 62 (58.5%) females with an average age of 40 ± 11.76 years (Tables 1 and 2). A second mesiobuccal canal was found in 92 teeth (86.8%) maxillary first molar teeth (41 males, 51 females) as shown in Table 1. Representative CBCT images showing different mesiobuccal root canal configurations are shown in Figure 1.

A Pearson chi-square test showed no statistically significant correlation between the prevalence of MB2 and gender \( (P = 0.102) \) (Table 1), or age group \( (P = 0.162) \) (Table 2). When comparing the bilateral symmetry of the number of roots and canals, all patients included in the sample had both right and left maxillary first molars present. We found that 92% of the sample had a similar number of roots and canal configurations on both sides.

The joining between MB and MB2 occurred in 61 teeth (58% of cases) (Table 3) and the location of joining was 14 (23%), 17 (27%), and 31 (50%) coronal, middle, and apical third, respectively (Table 3). ANOVA showed that there was no statistically significant difference between the locations of joining at different root thirds \( (P = 0.19) \). The mean inter-orifice distance between both canals at the level of the pulpal floor was 2.52±0.76 mm (Figure 2).

### Discussion

The objectives of this study were to assess the prevalence and configuration of a second mesiobuccal canal in the MB root of permanent maxillary first molars in the western Saudi sub-population using CBCT, to determine the location where MB2 joins MB at different root levels and to measure the mean distance between both MB and MB2 canal orifice at the level of the pulpal floor which has not been reported in the literature previously.

Our results show that the prevalence of the MB2 canal in the maxillary first molars of Western Saudi sub-population is 86.8%. This finding is in close agreement with several international anatomical studies that showed a prevalence between 69% and 90%.6,8–11 Other studies have reported the morphology and canal anatomy of the mesiobuccal root (MBR) in maxillary first molars in the Saudi Arabian population specifically25–28 Al-Nazhan25 found that only 23.3% of their sample had two canals while Al-Fouzan et al reported a higher MB2 prevalence of 51.3%.27 These studies showed a lower prevalence of MB2 than our finding. The difference is most likely due to different methods used in those study such as clinical and

| Table 1 | The Prevalence of MB2 Canals According to Gender |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Gender | Sample Number n (%) | MB2 Present n (%) | MB2 Absent n (%) | P-value |
| Male | 44 (41.5) | 41 (93.2) | 3 (6.8) | 0.102 |
| Female | 62 (58.5) | 51 (82.3) | 11 (17.7) |
| Total | 106 (100) | 92 (86.8) | 14 (13.2) |

| Table 2 | The Prevalence of MB2 Canals According to Age |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Age Group | Sample Number | MB2 Present (%) | MB2 Absent (%) | P-value |
| 20–30 | 46 | 41 | 5 | 0.162 |
| 31–40 | 36 | 32 | 4 |
| 41–50 | 20 | 17 | 3 |
| 51–65 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Total n (%) | 106 (100) | 92 (86.8) | 14 (13.2) |
radiographic evaluation using optical magnification and/or periapical radiographs only. The use of conventional radiographs to study tooth morphology has the limitation by showing the object in two-dimensional views instead of in three dimensions. CBCT evaluation used in our study detects canal configuration at different root levels more accurately. On the other hand, when CBCT was used as the method of evaluation, a higher prevalence of the MB2 canal has been reported (55.6% and 70.6%), but still lower than our finding. This may be due to differences in sample size or different radiographic interpretation and CBCT setting parameters ie, voxel setting of 0.3 mm). The accuracy of detecting additional canals depends on the voxel dimension and contrast resolution used. Bauman et al reported that ≤0.2 mm voxel sizes are optimal for the detection of MB canals with better CBCT resolution. In our present study, the voxel size of the CBCT machine was set at 0.2 mm, which offered good diagnostic performance. The higher prevalence of MB2 reported in the majority of CBCT studies, including ours, suggests that

![Figure 1](image1.png) Representing CBCT images showing mesiobuccal root canal configurations. Arrows represent position of the mesiobuccal canals in axial sections (coronal, middle, apical thirds), sagittal and coronal sections (left to right). (A) Vertucci type I, (B) Vertucci type II, (C) Vertucci type IV.

Table 3 The Prevalence of MB2 Canal According to the Location of Joining in the MB Root

| Joining Location | Vertucci Type II (MB+MB2 Joining) n (%) | Vertucci Type IV (MB+MB2 Not Joining) n (%) | Total n (%) |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------|
|                  | 62 (58.5)                              | 44 (41.5)                                   | 106 (100)   |
| Coronal Third    | 14 (23%)                               |                                            |             |
| Middle Third     | 17 (27%)                               |                                            |             |
| Apical Third     | 31 (50%)                               |                                            |             |
| P-value          | 0.19                                   |                                            |             |
CBCT is an imaging modality aid that helps clinicians assess complex root canal morphology in a reliable manner.²⁹,³⁰

CBCT scanning is a conservative tool that provides highly accurate 3D images with minimal distortion. It is considered an excellent method for identifying the internal anatomy of maxillary first molars and is a reliable method in the detection of the MB2 canal.²¹,³¹ However, the amount of radiation dose and cost of CBCT relative to intraoral radiography, routine use of CBCT imaging may not be justifiable. It should be used as an adjunctive method when more information for example in cases of unusual canal anatomy or calcified canals.

CBCT has some limitations that may affect the quality of images and therefore may affect the detection of MB2. Those factors include high bone density, presence of restorations in the same arch, and movement of the patient during exposure.⁵ A significant issue that can impact the image interpretation and diagnostic accuracy of CBCT images is the scatter and beam hardening artifacts caused by high density adjacent structures, such as enamel or bone, and radiopaque materials such as metal posts, restorations and root filling materials.⁵

CBCT is also more effective in the mapping of the MB2 canal in all different levels of root structure: coronal, middle, apical.³² The possibility of joining of mesiobuccal canals increased moving from coronal to apical third with the same software program used in this study, which means that MB2 canals are less prevalent in the middle and apical thirds than in the coronal third. This finding is very helpful to the clinician when negotiating the MB2 canal during root canal treatment.

Our results demonstrate that the prevalence of MB2 canals decreases as the root canal approaches the apical third, which agrees with other studies in the Saudi population that reported a higher prevalence of Vertucci type I and II root canal configuration for MB canals of maxillary first molars in which there is a single canal or when MB2 joins the main canal, subsequently ending in one canal and one foramen.²⁶ These findings have also been reported in Kuwaiti, Iraqi, Chinese, Japanese, and Brazilian populations.³⁰,³²–³⁵

The mean distance between MB and MB2 canals at the level of the pulpal floor was 2.52±0.76 mm. This finding gives insight to the clinician on where to locate the MB2 orifice relative to the MB orifice on the pulp floor in the Saudi sub-population. Su et al⁶ reported an average inter-orifice distance of 1.91±0.59 mm in the Taiwanese population while Moidu et al⁷ reported 2.58±0.04 mm in the Indian population.

In the present study, there was no correlation between gender and the presence of MB2. This result is in agreement with those of Reis et al³² Al-Nazhan³⁵ and Zhang et al.³⁴ In contrast, MB2 was shown to be predominant in males, as also reported by Al-Shehri et al²⁶ Faraj,³⁸ Ratanajirasut et al³⁹ and Kim et al.³⁵ who found a relationship between gender and the
number of canals. We also found no relationship between age and prevalence of MB2 canals. This is in agreement with the studies of Al-Shehri et al.26 Ratanajirasut et al.39 and Fogel et al.40 On the other hand, Reis et al. found that the prevalence of MB2 canals decreases as age increases in a Brazilian population.32 This decrease could be attributed to dentin deposition that can result in narrowing of the MB2 canal.40

There are some limitations in our study, which include a small sample size and collection of the sample from one center. Also, our sample was limited to teeth with no previous root canal treatment, cemented posts or large coronal restorations, which was hard to collect since the first molar erupts at an early age and was usually found carious or restored by adulthood.

More accurate and reliable data may be generated by increasing the sample size and collecting it from different centers, leading to a stronger conclusion about the common morphological features and anatomical variations of maxillary first molars. Furthermore, the use of a limited view CBCT machine with lower voxel size will provide superior image quality, helping to explore the root and canal morphology more accurately. Moreover, cohort studies in which the same individuals are observed over time are necessary to analyze and describe factors such as age to determine whether the MB canals narrow or calcify into one canal and what age range impacts the number and size of the MB canals in maxillary molars.

Conclusion
A second mesiobuccal canal was present in 86.8% of maxillary first molars in the western Saudi sub-population. When analyzed with CBCT scanning, the prevalence of MB2 canals decreases as the root approaches the apical third. These results may help endodontists to understand the canal configuration of maxillary molars and improve the outcomes of their endodontic treatments.
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