Occurrence and Distribution of Multiple Antibiotic Resistance Bacteria of Public Health Significance in Backwaters and Aquaculture Farm
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A B S T R A C T

Resistance to antimicrobial agents among clinically important pathogens in the community and environment has compromised therapy and requires constant monitoring of emerging pathogens. Current investigation was aimed at determining the antimicrobial resistance pattern in bacteria isolated from public water body near a hospital and also from two fish farms which used the same water for aquaculture. Of 105 isolates, maximum number of isolates belonged to the Enterobacter spp. Multiple antibiotic resistance (MAR), i.e. resistance to more than two antibiotics, occurred in almost 100% of Enterobacter spp. and Streptococcus spp. Of the total 105 isolates, only 6.66% were susceptible to all the antibiotics. Of the 93.33% isolates, 6.1% were resistant to three antibiotics, 44.89% were resistant to 4 to 10 antibiotics 48.97% were resistant to more than 10 antibiotics. Most strains exhibited multi drug resistant character and all the isolates had a very high MARindex, suggesting the origin of the isolates is from an area highly contaminated with antibiotics. Antibiotic resistance indices were found to be highest for Enterobacter spp followed by Streptococcus spp and lowest for Vibrio and Aeromonas group. The results highlight a much higher risk of spreading of MAR from terrestrial environment to aquatic environment which will distinctly affect aquaculture production.
to another country, for instance, through export/import of food items including fish and fishery products. Antimicrobial resistance deriving from the usage of antimicrobials in aquaculture presents a risk to public health.

Development of acquired resistance in bacteria in aquatic environments that can infect humans. This can be regarded as a direct spread of resistance from aquatic environments to humans. Development of acquired resistance in bacteria in aquatic environments whereby such resistant bacteria can act as a reservoir of resistance genes from which the genes can be further disseminated and ultimately end up as human pathogens. This can be viewed as an indirect spread of resistance from aquatic environments to humans caused by horizontal gene transfer.

Intensive aquaculture (shrimp and fish farming) has also led to growing problems with bacterial diseases, the treatment of which very often requires the intensive use of antimicrobials. These include disinfectants (e.g., hydrogen peroxide and malachite green), antibiotics (e.g., sulfonamides and tetracyclines) and anthelminthic agents (e.g., pyrethroid insecticides and avermectins) (Rawn et al., 2009). Frequent use of antimicrobials leads to the development of resistance in bacteria as a natural survival mechanism. Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is the “resistance of a microorganism to an antimicrobial medicine to which it was previously sensitive. Standard treatments become ineffective and infections persist and may spread to others.” (WHO, 2012).

As existing antimicrobial agents decline in effectiveness, infections will be more difficult and expensive to treat and epidemics harder to control. The environmental consequences of the widespread use of antimicrobial agents are still little understood. The medical community, governments, the World Health Organization, and other nongovernmental international agencies have begun to institute policies to address the problem of antimicrobial resistance. Thus this study was undertaken to understand the nature of increasing antimicrobial resistance in bacteria in water bodies and in aquaculture fish farm from these water bodies. The objectives of the present investigation were: to assess the susceptibility of isolates from different water bodies to various antibiotics and to determine the Antibiotic Resistance Index (ARI) and Multiple Antibiotic Resistance index (MAR).

**Materials and Methods**

**Description of the site**

Water, soil and fish samples were collected from water bodies and aquaculture farms in the Panangad region (N 09° 54. 828’ (E 076° 19. 501’) of Vembanad backwaters of Kerala. Different samplings sites are indicated in the map as per the location in Figure 1. The figure suggest that the study area is comprises of two stations. Station 1 comprises of lakeshore area (L1) and station 2 comprises of farm 1 (F1) and farm 2 (F2).

**Sample collection**

Three Samples of each water, soil and fish were collected from four different stations. Samples for microbial analysis were collected from surface and bottom using standard protocol and were aseptically transferred into sterile glass bottles and transported to the laboratory through ice box and was processed with 2 hours. Analysis was performed in duplicated for each stations.

**Biochemical characterisation**

The purified samples were allowed to grown in Nutrient broth for 18 hr and the n the isolates were used for further biochemical
confirmation. Purified isolates were subject to a series of 13 biochemical and 21 sugar test for the identification and differentiation. Further morphological and biochemical properties were also studied according to Bergey’s manual of determinative bacteriology for identification of isolates.

**Antibiotic sensitivity testing**

Antibiotic susceptibility patterns for the various bacterial isolates were determined using commercial antibiotic disks (Hi Media, Mumbai) in accordance with the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines by Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method (Bauer et al., 1996). A total of 18 antibiotics, viz., Ampicillin (AMP, 10µg), Amikacin (AK 30µg), Azithromycin (AZM 15µg), Carbenicillin (CB 100µg), Cloramphenicol (C 30µg), Ciprofloxacin (CIP 5µg), Cefepime (CPM 30µg), Erythromycin (E 15µg), Gentamicin (GEN 10µg), Kanamycin (K 30µg), Methicillin (MET 5µg), Nalidixic acid (NA 30µg), Penicillin (P 30µg), Polymyxin-B(PB 30µg), Streptomycin (S 10µg), Tetracycline (TE 30µg), Trimethoprim (TR 5µg) and Vancomycin (VA 30µg) were used in the present study.

The sterile Petri plates containing 20ml of Muller Hinton (MH) agar and 12-hour fresh culture of isolates were used for the study. The response of the organism to antibiotic was determined by spreading 1×10^6 cells per ml culture on MH agar plates.

The standardized bacterial suspension was then swab inoculated on the Muller Hinton agar using a sterile cotton swabs. An antibiotic impregnated disc of 6mm diameter was used for the test. Discs were placed on the agar media with the help of a sterile forceps ensuring sufficient distance between discs. The plates were then incubated at room temperature for 12 to 15 hours and were observed. Zones of growth inhibition were measured (CLSI, 2009). These results were used in the calculation of ARI and MAR index for total number of isolates as shown below:

**Antibiotic resistance pattern**

Antibacterial resistance index (ARI) of each sampling site was determined using the formula, ARI = y/nx, where y is the actual number of resistance microbes in the sample, n is the population size and x is the total number of antibiotics tested in the sensitivity test (Hinton and Linton, 1983). Based on the occurrence of resistance to more than three antibiotics the isolates of each sampling site were also grouped as multiple antibiotic resistance isolates.

**Multiple Antibiotic Resistance (MAR)**

Multiple Antibiotic resistance (MAR) index was determined for isolates that showed resistance to more than three antibiotics (Krumperman, 1985).

\[
\text{MAR index} = \frac{a}{b}
\]

Where, a is the number of antibiotics to which the isolate shows resistance.

b is the number of antibiotics to which the isolate was exposed.

MAR index value higher than 0.2 is considered to have originated from high risk sources of contamination like human, commercial poultry farms, swine and dairy cattle where antibiotics are very often used. MAR index value of less than or equal to 0.2 is considered to have originated from strains in animals in which antibiotics are seldom or never used (Krumperman, 1985).
Statistical analysis

Mean of the antibiotic resistance pattern from different sampling sites were compared by one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using statistical software SPSS. Multiple antibiotic resistance percentage was also calculated for all 18 different antibiotics and 10 samples using ANOVA. Post Hoc test was carried out if there was any significance difference among them.

Results and Discussion

Bacterial Counts

The distributions of microbial populations among different sampling sites are shown in Table 1. Out of 105 isolates, 101 were identified and remaining 4 which cannot be identified are listed as unknown. Maximum 18 numbers of bacterial strains were recorded from fish sample, while minimum of 6 numbers was recorded from water of each of farm and L₁ area. The population of bacteria in different classes exhibited in the study are shown in figure 2. Among all the different isolates the most frequently occurring with maximum resistance in the samples were Klebsiella pneumoniae, Klebsiella oxytoca, Acinetobacter johnsonii, Enterobacter aeroginosa, Bacillus circulans and Enterococcus phoeniculicola.

Biochemical characterisation

Using the morphological as well as biochemical characteristics obtained from different biochemical tests, the isolates were identified using online software called Online Bacterial Identification software (AIBS) 2014 (http://www.tgw1916.net/bacteria_logare_desktop.html) as well as Bergey’s Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, Vol-I. Out of 100 isolates (excluding the unidentified 4 numbers), 53 are different types of bacteria which were isolated, while the remaining 48 isolates were the same as the 53 identified species.

Antibiotic sensitivity test

The antibiotic sensitivity test was determined based on the measurement of zone formation, given according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, 2009). The strains with no zone or with size of the zone formation less than 10 mm in diameter was regarded as resistant strain. On the other hand the strains is said to be sensitive when the zone formation is equal to or more than 15 mm in diameter, as given by the CLSI. During the study period maximum number of strains isolated from station 1 showed no zone formation. K. oxytoca was found to be resistant to all the 18 different antibiotic tested. K. pneumoniae and Bacillus circulans were found to be sensitive to Chloramphenicol, gentamycin, nalidixic acid and tetracycline. Other strains like Flavobacterium breve, Bacillus carbonificus and Acinetobacter calcoaceticus (A₁ phenotype) showed slightly less resistance than the above strains. Similarly, all samples from the other station showed similar kind of resistance as observed in station 1. All the strains isolated from fish or shellfish from both the stations should 100% resistance to penicillin, methicillin and vancomycin. Bacterial strains isolated from shellfish from station 1 revealed maximum resistance to antibiotics employed in the study.

Antibiotic resistance index

Of the total 105 isolates, only 6.66% were susceptible to all the antibiotics. Of the 93.33% isolates, 6.1% were resistant to three antibiotics (cluster I), 44.89% were resistant to 4 to 10 antibiotics (cluster II), 48.97% were resistant to more than 10 antibiotics (cluster III) and a total of 93.33% exhibited Multiple
Antibiotics Resistance (MAR). The pattern of antibiotic resistance in different isolates from different sources is represented in Table 2. In water sample, highest antibiotic resistance (>10 antibiotics) was evident in L1 and F2 followed by F1. Similarly, multiple antibiotic resistance index was highest in soil and clam sample collected from L1 followed by F2 soil sample. Incidence of antibiotic resistance in isolates from farm samples (water, soil and fish) showed slightly lower level as that of Lakeshore samples. Thus, incidence of antibiotic resistance was higher in station 1 (L1) when compared to that of station 2 (F2).

**Antibiotic wise comparison of ARI**

Percentage wise comparison of antibiotic resistance is represented in Table 3. Incidence of antibiotic resistance was evident against all the 18 different types of antibiotics used in the study.

But the highest incidence was recorded against penicillin, methicillin, vancomycin, polymyxin and ciprofloxacin followed by ampicillin, amikacin, tetracycline and trimethoprim irrespective of the sampling site, as is shown in Table 3. However antibiotic resistance was slightly in the lower against gentamycin, azithromycin chloramphenicol, carbenicillin and erythromycin (Table 3). Bacterial strain isolated from the study area showed 100% resistance to antibiotics like penicillin, methicillin and vancomycin. Apart from this, other antibiotics like ampicillin, azithromycin, chloramphenicol, kanamycin, polymyxin B and Streptomycin showed almost 85% resistant to the isolated tested. Few antibiotics like amikacin, Ciprofloxacin, gentamycin, carbenicillin and erythromycin showed 66% resistant to all the isolates.

However, site wise comparison showed that L1 i.e in the public water body near the hospital strains like *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Klebsiella oxytoca* and *Bacillus circulans* revealed maximum resistance to all the antibiotics. The isolates from the water sample collected from this station showed 100% resistance to penicillin, methicillin, ciprofloxacin and nalidixic acid. Among all samples within the two stations 100% resistance was observed in case of penicillin, methicillin and vancomycin.

Interestingly, more resistance was observed in fish samples rather than water and soil from the two stations in overall comparison of antibiotic resistance. In both samples of fish and shell fishes collected from the study area 100% resistance was reported in penicillin, methicillin, ciprofloxacin and vancomycin (Table 3).

**Class wise comparison of resistance**

Among all the classes streptococcus groups top the charts with 100% multiple antibiotic resistance (MAR) followed by Enterobacteriaceae with 93.44%. *Bacillus* and *Penaeibacillus* showed 80% whereas by *Pasteurellaceae* and *Vibrio/Aeromonas* groups showed 70% and 66.66% respectively.

Maximum susceptibility to the antibiotics was shown by the class *Vibrio/Aeromonas* with 33.35 susceptibility while *Pasteurellaceae* showed 30% and *Bacillus* and *Penaeibacillus* with 20% whereas enterobactereace showed only 6.5% susceptibility.

Among the different classes the maximum antibiotic resistance index was shown by Enterobactereacea and streptococcus groups with ARI index of 0.05 followed by Pasteurellaceae and Bacillus/Penaeibacillus with 0.04 and Vibrio/Aeromonas with 0.03. Diagrammatic representation of MAR percentage of different samples and MAR percentage exhibited by the different classes of bacteria is shown in Figure 2, 3 and 4.
**Table 1** Distribution of bacteria belonging to different classes among stations

| Stations | samples | isolates | Enterobacteriaceae | Pasteurellaceae | Vibrio and Aeromonas | Bacillus and Penaeibacillus | Streptococcus |
|----------|---------|----------|-------------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| L₁       | water   | 6        | 4                 | -              | 1                    | 1                           | -             |
|          | soil    | 7        | 2                 | 3              | -                    | 2                           | -             |
|          | fish    | 18       | 11                | 1              | 1                    | -                           | 3             |
|          | shell fish | 12    | 8                 | 1              | 1                    | -                           | 1             |
| F₁       | water   | 8        | 3                 | -              | -                    | 3                           | 2             |
|          | soil    | 6        | 3                 | -              | 1                    | 3                           | -             |
|          | fish    | 12       | 4                 | 1              | -                    | 4                           | 1             |
| F₂       | water   | 12       | 9                 | -              | 1                    | 2                           | -             |
|          | soil    | 10       | 8                 | 2              | -                    | -                           | -             |
|          | fish    | 14       | 10                | 2              | 1                    | -                           | 1             |
| **Total**|         | **105**  | **62**            | **10**         | **6**                | **15**                      | **8**         |

**Table 2** Antibiotic resistance pattern in various isolates from different sources

| Sr. No | Isolate sites | Total isolates | Susceptible | Resistant Clusters | MAR | ARI |
|--------|---------------|----------------|-------------|--------------------|-----|-----|
| 1      | L₁ water      | 6              | 1           | 1                  | 3   | 5   | 0.05 |
| 2      | L₁ soil       | 7              | 0           | 0                  | 2   | 5   | 0.06 |
| 3      | L₁ fish       | 18             | 1           | 1                  | 10  | 6   | 0.05 |
| 4      | L₁ clam       | 12             | 0           | 0                  | 7   | 5   | 0.05 |
| 5      | F₁ water      | 8              | 1           | 1                  | 1   | 5   | 0.04 |
| 6      | F₁ soil       | 6              | 0           | 0                  | 2   | 4   | 0.06 |
| 7      | F₁ fish       | 12             | 2           | 2                  | 4   | 4   | 0.04 |
| 8      | F₂ water      | 12             | 0           | 0                  | 8   | 4   | 0.06 |
| 9      | F₂ soil       | 10             | 0           | 0                  | 3   | 7   | 0.06 |
| 10     | F₂ fish       | 14             | 2           | 1                  | 6   | 5   | 0.05 |

Cluster I: Contains isolates resistant to ≤ 3 antibiotics
Cluster II: Contains isolates resistant to 4-10 antibiotics
Cluster III: Contains isolates resistant to ≥ 10 antibiotics
MAR Group: Contains isolates resistant to ≥ three antibiotics
Table 3 Antibiotic resistance profile (individual antibiotic wise) from different sampling sites (%)

| Antibiotic Used | Class of antibiotic | Individual antibiotic resistance profile (%) |
|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| AMP             | β-lactamase.        | 83.33 85.71 83.33 91.66 75.00 66.50 83.33 80.00 75.00 83.65 |
| AK              | Aminoglycoside      | 58.33 71.42 72.22 83.33 87.50 50.00 75.00 70.00 66.66 78.57 |
| AZM             | Macrolides          | 41.66 85.71 72.22 75.00 87.50 66.50 66.50 70.00 75.00 75.56 |
| CB              | Penicillins         | 66.66 71.42 77.77 83.33 75.00 66.50 83.33 80.00 75.00 78.57 |
| C               | Chloramphenicol     | 66.66 71.42 66.66 75.00 75.00 66.66 75.00 70.00 58.33 64.28 |
| CIP             | Fluoroquinolones    | 66.66 57.14 55.55 66.66 87.50 50.00 66.66 60.00 58.33 64.28 |
| CPM             | Cephalosporin       | 91.66 85.71 77.77 91.66 87.50 83.33 100 80.00 75.00 85.71 |
| E               | Macrolides          | 58.33 57.14 66.66 83.33 62.50 83.33 75.00 70.00 66.66 64.28 |
| GEN             | Aminoglycoside      | 50.00 57.14 55.55 75.00 50.00 66.50 58.50 70.00 58.33 57.14 |
| K               | Aminoglycoside      | 66.66 71.42 66.66 75.00 62.50 66.66 75.00 80.00 75.00 64.28 |
| MET             | Penicillins         | 91.66 85.71 100 100 87.50 83.33 91.66 100 100 100 |
| NA              | Fluoroquinolones    | 83.33 85.71 77.77 83.33 75.00 83.33 91.66 90.00 83.33 85.71 |
| P               | Penicillins         | 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 |
| PB              | Polypeptides        | 83.33 100 72.22 75.00 100 83.33 83.33 80 75.00 78.57 |
| S               | Aminoglycoside      | 83.33 85.71 77.77 83.33 75.00 66.66 75.00 70.00 66.66 71.42 |
| TE              | Tetracyclines       | 58.33 57.14 55.55 75.00 75.00 66.66 75.00 70.00 58.33 64.28 |
| TR              | Sulphonamides       | 83.33 71.42 66.66 66.66 75.00 83.33 83.33 80.00 66.66 78.57 |
| VA              | Glycopeptide        | 91.66 100 94.44 100 100 100 83.33 100 91.66 100 |
Fig. 1 Different sampling sites of the study

Fig. 2 The population of bacteria in different classes exhibited in the study

- Enterobacteriaceae: 65%
- Bacillus and Paenibacillus: 14%
- Vibrio and Aeromonas: 4%
- Pasteurellaceae: 8%
**Fig. 3** MAR % of different samples

**Fig. 4** MAR % of total isolates irrespective of all samples. Note: X axis denotes samples and y axis denotes MAR %
MAR index

The multiple antibiotic resistances (MAR) pattern of isolates was calculated and it was observed that all the isolates showed MAR index of more than 0.2, indicating multiple resistance to antibiotics. A MAR index of 0.2 or more is said to have originated from high risk sources of contamination like human, commercial poultry farms, swine, dairy cattle and domestic sewage where antibiotics are very often used. MAR index value less than or equal to 0.2 considered as the origination of strain from animals in which antibiotics are seldom or never used (Krumperman, 1985).

In the present study the strains like Klebsiella pneumoniae, Klebsiella oxytoca, Bacillus circulans, Streptococcus equi, Bacillus spp, Edwardsiella spp, Flavobacterium breve and Photobacterium spp etc, which were almost isolated from all the samples showed similar kind of MAR index, which was on the higher range. Higher value of MAR index means that the microbes were mainly originated from human contamination like hospital discharge and were disseminating its resistance potential to other aquatic microbes in the aquatic environment. Similar kind of resistance observed from the two stations implies that the microbes is either acquiring or disseminating its resistance potential at an alarming rate which is a global threat (Fig. 5).

Statistical analysis

Analysis of variance carried out for comparing the antibiotic resistance index (ARI) in both the station. During the analysis it was observed that there was no significant difference (P >0.01) among the antibiotic resistance index in the seven samples among the two stations. Since the water from station 1 served as the source water for the aquaculture farm (station 2), the isolates would have spread and reached other areas. So we can conclude saying that the resistance from station 1 is equally transmitted to the other stations i.e., station 2.

Similarly ANOVA was also carried out for comparing the MAR% among the antibiotics as well as among the sampling sites. During the analysis we found out that there was
significant difference in MAR% among the antibiotics as well as among sampling sites (P< 0.01).

The wide spread of antibiotic resistance in the global ecosystem has become a major public health distress. High incidence of resistant bacteria in response to antibiotic usage have been reported in coastal maricultural areas (Herwig et al., 1997; Manjusha et al., 2005 and 2011). Large scale aquaculture has been associated with environment issues worldwide as a consequence of accelerated development and high stocking density. Not surprisingly, intensification of aquaculture activities has seen a surge in the use of chemicals and antibiotics either to prevent or treat diseases. Similarly, the increase in antibiotic resistance within clinical bacterial isolates is undermining the efforts of antibiotics used in the treatment of infectious bacterial diseases. Intensive use of antibiotic in clinical and agriculture settings has been suggested to promote an increase in antibiotic resistant bacterial populations (Aminov, 2009). In the present study we found that a large number of different isolates showed a high degree of resistance to different antibiotics used in the study. It also has been observed that same isolates from different stations showed different antibiotic resistance pattern.

The present study revealed that most of the bacteria showed high resistance to almost all the antibiotics tested. The highest ARI was calculated for Enterobacteriaceae and Streptococcus. It may be because a maximum number of isolates belonged to these two groups and all these isolates showed resistance against multiple number of antibiotics. The observations were also quite similar with findings of Patra et al., (2009), who investigated the occurrence and distribution of resistant bacteria in the coastal waters of Orissa. Similar results was also reported from earlier by Manjusha et al., (2005), who studied the occurrence of multiple antibiotic resistance isolated from vibrio from coastal and brackish waters of Kerala. In the present study, Klebsiella pneumoniae and Klebsiella oxytoca were observed to be highly resistant bacteria among all the isolates. The increase in the concentration of multi drug resistant bacteria in the aquatic environment may create selective pressure on natural bacterial strains which leads them to acquire the resistance from the resistant bacterial plasmid. (Osman et al., 2007). In the present study statistically, it was observed that there was no significant difference among the antibiotic resistance index between the two sampling sites in the stations, which may be the indications of the above-mentioned statement.

Of the total isolates from 10 different samples of the study area 45.71% were resistant against more than 10 antibiotics, 41.90% were resistant against 4 to 10 antibiotics, 5.71% were resistant against three antibiotics and 6.66% were susceptible to all the antibiotics. This result may be the indication that majority of bacteria in aquatic environment are developing resistance against commonly used antibiotics in aquatic environment.

Highest incidence of antibiotic resistance was recorded from penicillin, methicillin, vancomycin, ampicillin, nalidixic acid and cefepime. These antibiotics are commonly used to prevent disease in human beings. The result of the present study clearly indicates that terrestrial bacteria entering into water bodies with antibiotic resistant plasmids might have contributed to the prevalence of the resistance genes in the aquatic environment. A similar observation was made by Chandrasekaran et al., (1998) who reported the presence of antibiotic resistant genes. The isolates collected from different sampling sites showed similar resistance
pattern. On statistical analysis, it was observed that there was significant difference in the resistance of the antibiotics irrespective of sampling sites, which is shown in table 3. A high degree of resistance towards methicillin, vancomycin and penicillin has been displayed by the isolates. Maximum resistance was shown by the isolates from fish and clam samples from the study area of station one. They showed 100% resistance to the above-mentioned antibiotics. Schmidt and Bruun (2001), Akinbowale and Peng (2007) and Sredharan et al., (2012) have also observed high tetracycline resistance in Aeromonas spp isolated from aquaculture farms.

In the present study it is found that MAR value was slightly higher in station 1 when compared to station 2. Within station 1 the value was higher in clam and fishes. Bacteria like Klebsiella spp and Streptococcus which showed high resistance to different antibiotics like penicillin, methicillin and vancomycin was isolated from the fish sample obtained from the study area.

Presence of antibiotic resistant bacteria in a given environment may be an indication that an area is contaminated with antibiotics (Herwig, 1997). The high levels of antibiotic resistance prevalent among bacteria in the farms suggest that the source water is contaminated with antibiotics. This clearly indicates that the discharge from the hospital reaches the public water body and is the main source of contamination. Antibiotic resistance revealed by bacteria which are normally present in the aquaculture environment and sensitive to most antibiotics suggest the transfer of resistance genes from clinical isolates such as Klebsiella, Streptococcus, etc. obtained in the present study.

The outcomes of the present study distinctly show the occurrence and the spread of AMR from terrestrial environment to aquatic environment. Since the spread of AMR genes can occur very easily between bacteria as shown in the present study, the implication in the terms of the risk to human health is truly alarming. Use of antibiotics and spread of AMR genes in the aquatic environment will distinctly affect aquaculture production, with farmers having to use higher and higher doses of antibiotics to control bacterial infections. This will ultimately affect the health of consumers too. The mounting problem or hazard posed to human health can be checked only by educating or creating awareness among the public about the impending risks. Use of antibiotics importantly, government has to issue and implement very strict guidelines to check indiscriminate use of antibiotics and ensure proper treatment of wastes before discharge to the environment.
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