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OBJECTIVE — To measure prospectively bone mineral density (BMD) of the Charcot and non-Charcot foot in 36 diabetic patients presenting with acute Charcot osteoarthropathy.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — Calcaneal BMD was measured with quantitative ultrasound at presentation, at 3 months of casting, and at the time of the clinical resolution.

RESULTS — BMD of the Charcot foot was significantly reduced compared with BMD of the non-Charcot foot at presentation (P = 0.001), at 3 months of casting (P < 0.001), and at the time of clinical resolution (P < 0.001). Overall, from the time of presentation to the time of resolution there was a significant fall of BMD of the Charcot foot (P < 0.001) but not of the non-Charcot foot (P = 0.439).

CONCLUSIONS — Although the Charcot foot was treated with casting until clinical resolution, there was a significant fall of BMD only from presentation up until 3 months of casting.
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Studies on bone mineral density (BMD) have shown a reduction of BMD of the Charcot foot compared with the contralateral non-Charcot foot (1–4). However, it is not known what happens to BMD in the natural history of the osteoarthropathy. The aim of this study was to measure prospectively the longitudinal changes of BMD of the Charcot and non-Charcot foot in patients presenting with acute Charcot osteoarthropathy.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — We studied 36 consecutive patients (19 type 1 diabetic patients; 20 male subjects; mean age 54 years [95% CI 49.4–57.9]; mean duration of diabetes 22 years [16.9–26.3]) who presented to the Diabetic Foot Clinic between February 2002 and October 2008 with a red, hot, swollen foot and a skin temperature >2°C compared with that of the contralateral foot and who had no previous offloading treatment. Foot skin temperature at presentation, at 3 months of casting, and at the time of clinical resolution were used to assess the effect of time. Results are presented as means (95% CI). Differences were considered significant at P < 0.05.

RESULTS — BMD of the Charcot foot was significantly reduced compared with BMD of the non-Charcot foot at presentation (0.456 g/cm² [95% CI 0.411–0.502] vs. 0.494 g/cm² [0.456–0.533]; P = 0.001), at 3 months of casting (0.433 g/cm² [0.389–0.476] vs. 0.482 g/cm² [0.393–0.564]; P < 0.001), and at the time of clinical resolution (0.432 g/cm² [0.388–0.477] vs. 0.479 g/cm² [0.393–0.579]; P < 0.001).

Time to clinical resolution was 8.2 months (95% CI 6.9–9.5). The multivariate analysis demonstrated a significant fall of BMD of the Charcot foot from the time of presentation to the time of clinical resolution (Wilks Λ = 0.525, P < 0.001). This was noted in both type 1 (Wilks Λ = 0.528, P = 0.006) (Fig. 1A) and type 2 diabetes (Wilks Λ = 0.497, P = 0.015) (Fig. 1B).

The pairwise comparisons between the different time points demonstrated a significant fall of BMD of the Charcot foot from presentation to clinical resolution (P = 0.015). The fall of BMD from presentation (0.456 g/cm² [95% CI 0.411–0.502]) to 3 months of casting (0.433 g/cm² [0.389–0.476]) was highly significant (P < 0.001), both in type 1 (P = 0.002) and type 2 diabetes (P = 0.004), but the subsequent fall of BMD from 3 months of casting to clinical resolution was not significant (0.433 g/cm² [0.389–0.476] to 0.432 g/cm² [0.388–0.477]; P = 0.949). This applied to both type 1 (P = 0.748) and type 2 diabetes (P = 0.832).

In contrast to the Charcot foot, the multivariate analysis in the non-Charcot foot indicated that there was a nonsignificant fall of BMD from the time of presentation (0.494 g/cm² [95% CI 0.456–0.533]) to the time of resolution (0.479 g/cm² [0.393–0.579], Wilks Λ = 0.947, P = 0.439), and this was present in both type 1 (Wilks Λ = 0.935, P = 0.583).
There was a significant fall of the foot skin temperature difference between the Charcot and non-Charcot foot from the time of presentation to the time of resolution (Wilks $\Lambda = 0.423$, $P < 0.001$). The foot skin temperature difference also fell significantly from $3.5^\circ C$ (95% CI 3.1–4.1) at presentation to $2.2^\circ C$ (1.8–2.2) at 3 months of casting ($P < 0.001$), and the latter further significantly reduced to $1.4^\circ C$ (1.1–1.8) at clinical resolution ($P = 0.001$).

**CONCLUSIONS** — This study demonstrated that from the time of presentation to clinical resolution there was a significant fall of BMD of the Charcot foot but not of the non-Charcot foot. Although there was a significant fall of BMD of the Charcot foot at 3 months of casting compared with BMD at presentation, there was no further significant reduction of BMD from 3 months of casting up until clinical resolution, despite the ongoing casting of the Charcot foot.

Our study showed a fall of BMD at 3 months of casting in the Charcot foot both in type 1 and type 2 diabetes. The foot skin temperature of the Charcot foot was still $2^\circ C$ greater compared with that of the non-Charcot foot, and this may have been related to inflammatory osteolysis that would have resulted in a fall of BMD (4). Increased levels of proinflammatory cytokines have been reported in patients with acute Charcot osteoarthropathy, and this may explain this observed reduction of BMD (7–9).

However, this fall of BMD may have been aggravated by the cast immobilization of the Charcot foot, and a recent case report has documented a fall of BMD in a total contact cast, highlighting the effect of immobilization (10). In our study, although there was a significant fall of BMD of the Charcot foot from presentation to 3 months of casting, this was not followed by a further significant fall of BMD up until clinical resolution despite the ongoing casting. Thus, it is more likely that the overall fall of BMD was related to inflammatory osteolysis rather than casting immobilization.

A limitation of this study is that we measured BMD of the calcaneum not BMD at the site of Charcot osteoarthropathy. Nevertheless, the calcaneum is a disease-responsive bone with a high metabolic turnover rate (11) and should have reflected overall changes of BMD in the foot.

In conclusion, although the Charcot foot was treated with casting until clinical resolution, there was a significant fall of BMD only from presentation until 3 months of casting. This may be related to the inflammatory osteolysis of Charcot osteoarthropathy.

Acknowledgments — N.P. was supported by Diabetes U.K. Grants D.B.A. RD 01/002284 and BDA: 05/0003025.

No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

N.P. and M.E.E. researched data, contributed to the discussion, wrote the manuscript, and reviewed/edited the manuscript.

**References**

1. Petrova NL, Foster AV, Edmonds ME. Calcaneal bone mineral density in patients with Charcot neuropathic osteoarthropathy: differences between Type 1 and Type 2 diabetes. Diabet Med 2005; 22:75–761

2. Young MJ, Marshall A, Adams JE, Selby PL, Boulton AJ. Osteopenia, neurological dysfunction, and the development of Charcot neuroarthropathy. Diabetes Care 1993; 16:34–38
Fall of BMD in Charcot osteoarthropathy

3. Jirkovská A, Kasalický P, Boucek P, Hosová J, Skibová J, Kasalicky P, Boucek P, Hosova J, Skibova J. Calcaneal ultrasonometry in patients with Charcot osteoarthropathy and its relationship with densitometry in the lumbar spine and femoral neck and with markers of bone turnover. Diabet Med 2001;18:495–500

4. Sinacore DR, Hastings MK, Bohnert KL, Fielder FA, Villareal DT, Blair VP 3rd, Johnson JE. Inflammatory osteolysis in diabetic neuropathic (charcot) arthropathies of the foot. Phys Ther 2008; 88:1399–1407

5. Armstrong DG, Lavery LA, Liswood PJ, Todd WF, Tredwell JA. Infrared dermal thermometry for the high-risk diabetic foot. Phys Ther 1997;77:169–175

6. Petrova NL, Edmonds ME. Charcot neuro-osteoarthropathy: current standards. Diabete Metab Res Rev 2008; 24(Suppl. 1):S58–S61

7. Jeffcoate WJ, Game F, Cavanagh PR. The role of proinflammatory cytokines in the cause of neuropathic osteoarthropathy (acute Charcot foot) in diabetes. Lancet 2005;366:2058–2061

8. Jeffcoate WJ. Abnormalities of vasomotor regulation in the pathogenesis of the acute charcot foot of diabetes mellitus. Int J Low Extrem Wounds 2005;4:133–137

9. Petrova NL, Dew T, Musto R, Langworthy R, Sherwood R, Moniz C, Edmonds ME. The proinflammatory cytokines, TNF-alpha and IL-6, are linked with pathological bone turnover in the acute Charcot foot (Abstract). Diabet Med 2008;25:A22

10. Hastings MK, Sinacore DR, Fielder FA, Johnson JE. Bone mineral density during total contact cast immobilization for a patient with neuropathic (Charcot) arthropathy. Phys Ther 2005;85:249–256

11. Langton CM, Palmer SB, Porter RW. The measurement of broadband ultrasonic attenuation in cancellous bone. Eng Med 1984;13:89–91