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SUMMARY

Eukaryotic cells use two principal mechanisms for repairing DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs): homologous recombination (HR) and nonhomologous end-joining (NHEJ). DSB repair pathway choice is strongly regulated during the cell cycle. Cyclin-dependent kinase 1 (Cdk1) activates HR by phosphorylation of key recombination factors. However, a mechanism for regulating the NHEJ pathway has not been established. Here, we report that Xlf1, a fission yeast XLF ortholog, is a key regulator of NHEJ activity in the cell cycle. We show that Cdk1 phosphorylates residues in the C terminus of Xlf1 over the course of the cell cycle. Mutation of these residues leads to the loss of Cdk1 phosphorylation, resulting in elevated levels of NHEJ repair in vivo. Together, these data establish that Xlf1 phosphorylation by Cdc2\(^{\text{Cdk1}}\) provides a molecular mechanism for downregulation of NHEJ in fission yeast and indicates that XLF is a key regulator of end-joining processes in eukaryotic organisms.

INTRODUCTION

The ability to repair DNA damage is critically important for the preservation of genomic integrity. DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) can be repaired by two different cellular pathways: homologous recombination (HR) and nonhomologous end-joining (NHEJ) (Symington and Gautier, 2011). HR processes use undamaged homologous DNA sequences—typically from the sister chromatid—as a repair template, thus enabling error-free repair. NHEJ can also restore chromosome integrity by the sister chromatid—as a repair template, thus enabling error-free repair. NHEJ repair in vivo. Together, these data establish that Xlf1 phosphorylation by Cdc2\(^{\text{Cdk1}}\) provides a molecular mechanism for downregulation of NHEJ in fission yeast and indicates that XLF is a key regulator of end-joining processes in eukaryotic organisms.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cdk1 Phosphorylates Xlf1 In Vitro

NHEJ is tightly regulated in fission yeast, but the mechanism is unknown (Ferreira and Cooper, 2004). To identify if posttranslational
Figure 1. Xlf1 Is Phosphorylated by Cdk1
(A) Alignment of the C-terminal portion of four fission yeast xlf1 homologs (S. pombe, S. octosporus, S. cryophilus, and S. japonicus), displaying two conserved cdc2\(^{\text{Cdk1}}\) phosphorylation motifs (yellow boxes): [ST]P-x-[KR].

(B) In vitro Cdk1 kinase assay. Recombinant Xlf1 protein was incubated with mammalian Cdk1 in the presence of \(\gamma\)-\[^{32}\text{P}\]-ATP and analyzed by autoradiography. Proteins used were the Cdk1 substrate histone H1 (positive control, 32 kDa), wild-type Xlf1 (27 kDa), single mutations of T180A and S192A, and double mutations T180A S192A (AA). Recombinant Xlf1 is susceptible to cleavage between T180 and S192, and the cleavage product (indicated by an asterisk) is visible in the Coomassie-stained loading control.

(C) In vitro kinase assay using S. pombe Cdc2. Kinase assay with recombinant protein was conducted as in (B), except using Cdc2 complex purified from S. pombe cells.

(D) In vivo phosphorylation of Xlf1. GFP-tagged Xlf1 was immunoprecipitated from cell extracts of wild-type and xlf1.T180A S192A (xlf1.AA), treated or mock treated with lambda phosphatase, and separated by SDS-PAGE in the presence of the phosphate-binding retardant Phos-tag. Phosphorylated Xlf1 is indicated by an arrow.

(E) GFP-tagged wild-type Xlf1 was immunoprecipitated from cells containing the Shokat active site mutation cdc2.F84G (Dischinger et al., 2008) that had either been treated or mock treated with the inhibitor 1NM-PP1. Immunoprecipitates were treated or mock treated with lambda phosphatase and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting in either the presence or the absence of Phos-tag.

(F) A temperature-sensitive mutation was used to block cdc10-M17 nmt41-GFP.xlf1 cells in G\(_1\) phase and then released into the cell cycle. Phosphorylation of GFP-Xlf1 was analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting of cell extracts in the presence or absence of Phos-tag. A nonspecific band detected by the GFP antibody is indicated by an asterisk.

modifications regulate NHEJ in S. pombe, we analyzed the sequences of the core factors (Ku, Lig4, and Xlf1). The C-terminal region of Xlf1 has two sites, T180 and S192, that conform to [ST]P-x-[KR], a consensus motif for phosphorylation by the Cdc2\(^{\text{Cdk1}}\) kinase. These potential phosphorylation sites are conserved in other fission yeasts (Figure 1A). To test if these sites serve as substrates for Cdc2\(^{\text{Cdk1}}\), we mutated them to alanine and performed kinase assays. Wild-type (WT) and mutated Xlf1 proteins were incubated with mammalian Cdk1 kinase (Figure 1B) or S. pombe Cdc2\(^{\text{Cdk1}}\) complex (Figure 1C). Similarly to histone H1, a known Cdc2\(^{\text{Cdk1}}\) substrate (Moreno et al., 1989), Xlf1 was phosphorylated, establishing it as an in vitro substrate for the mammalian and the fission yeast kinase. Phosphorylation of single point mutants (Xlf1.T180A or Xlf1.S192A) was markedly reduced compared to WT Xlf1, suggesting that the two sites can be phosphorylated. When both residues were mutated to alanine (Xlf1.T180A S192A or Xlf1.AA), Xlf1 phosphorylation was abolished. Thus, Cdc2\(^{\text{Cdk1}}\) can phosphorylate Xlf1 on two conserved C-terminal residues in vitro.

**Xlf1 Is Phosphorylated by Cdk1 in a Cell-Cycle-Dependent Manner**

Next, we sought to investigate if phosphorylation of Xlf1 occurs in vivo. While phosphorylation had no apparent effect on the migration of Xlf1 in standard SDS-PAGE (Figures 1B and 1E), addition of a phosphate-binding agent, Phos-tag (Kinoshita et al., 2006), resolved phosphorylated Xlf1 as a distinct band. GFP-tagged Xlf1 was immunoprecipitated from asynchronous cultures and analyzed by Phos-tag western blotting. The slower migrating species was abolished by treatment with lambda phosphatase (Figure 1D), confirming that this represented phosphorylated cellular Xlf1. This species was absent in xlf1.AA, a strain that expresses Xlf1.AA, regardless of treatment with phosphatase. Together, these results demonstrate that Xlf1 is phosphorylated at T180 and S192 in vivo and indicate that further phosphorylation at T180 and S192 is required to allow entry into mitosis. To study changes in Xlf1 phosphorylation status as cells progressed through the cell cycle, we used a temperature-sensitive cdc2-as mutant strain in which we could inhibit Cdc2\(^{\text{Cdk1}}\) activity (Dischinger et al., 2008). Treatment of cdc2-as GFP-xlf1 cultures with the inhibitor (1NM-PP1) caused a significant reduction of the phosphoband of Xlf1 in Phos-tag western blots, which could be further reduced by phosphatase treatment (Figure 1E). This establishes that Xlf1 is phosphorylated by Cdc2\(^{\text{Cdk1}}\) in unperturbed asynchronous cultures. Cdc2\(^{\text{Cdk1}}\) activity increases from a minimum in G\(_1\) to levels peaking in G\(_2\), triggering entry into mitosis. To study changes in Xlf1 phosphorylation status as cells progressed through the cell cycle, we used a temperature-sensitive cdc10 mutant (cdc10-M17) to synchronize GFP-xlf1 cultures in G\(_1\). A phospho-Xlf1 species was not detectable in G\(_1\)-arrested cells (Figure 1F); however, upon release from the arrest, phosphorylated Xlf1 appeared and increased after 120 min, as cells entered G\(_2\) phase. These data indicate that Cdc2\(^{\text{Cdk1}}\) phosphorylates Xlf1 in a cell-cycle-dependent manner.
Xlf1 Phosphorylation by Cdc2<sup>Cdk1</sup> Alters the Repair of DSBs by NHEJ

As NHEJ is most active in G<sub>1</sub> but inhibited in S/G<sub>2</sub> (Ferreira and Cooper, 2004), we predicted that Xlf1 phosphorylation inhibits NHEJ. To test this hypothesis, we examined the ability of xlf1 phosphomimetic mutants to religate linearized plasmid DNA (Manolis et al., 2001). Leucine auxotrophic cells were transformed with linearized plasmid DNA containing the LEU2 marker. Their ability to religate ends and form colonies on selective plates lacking leucine was assessed by comparison to cells transformed with uncut plasmid. Log-phase cultures in which Ctp1 and phosphorylation mutants to religate linearized plasmid DNA formed with linearized plasmid DNA containing the LEU2 marker. Their ability to religate ends and form colonies on selective plates lacking leucine was assessed by comparison to cells transformed with uncut plasmid. Log-phase cultures in which Ctp1 had been abolished (xlf1.AA) displayed a ~2.5-fold increase in end-joining compared to WT cells (Figure 2A). In contrast, the Xlf1 phosphomimetic mutant xlf1.EE showed a moderate decrease in plasmid end-joining. The religation levels were unaffected by the nature of the ends (blunt or overhangs) in xlf1 mutants. These results indicated that an inability to phosphorylate Xlf1 leads to increased NHEJ activity and supports the hypothesis that Cdc2<sup>Cdk1</sup> phosphorylation of Xlf1 inhibits NHEJ.

The resection of DSBs is thought to make them unsuitable for end-joining. Therefore, we asked if we could increase levels of end-joining of linearized plasmids by impairing the resection of DNA ends. We repeated the plasmid religation assays in a strain lacking the resection gene ctp1<sup>+</sup>. Deleting ctp1<sup>+</sup> had no effect on plasmid religation levels (Figure 2B), suggesting that preventing Ctp1-dependent resection did not channel plasmid DSBs into NHEJ. However, when ctp1<sup>+</sup> was deleted in xlf1.AA cells, end-joining levels increased ~4-fold compared to WT levels, significantly above an ~2-fold increase caused by xlf1.AA in the presence of functional Ctp1. This finding suggested that, in log-phase cells, Ctp1 and phosphorylated Xlf1 synergistically counteract end-joining of linearized plasmid DNA.

Xlf1.AA Slows Down Cellular Responses to DSBs

Next, we characterized cellular response to ionizing radiation (IR)-induced DSBs in Xlf1 phospho-null strains. A key event in the cellular response to DNA damage is the activation of DNA damage checkpoints that arrest progress in the cell cycle to ensure that DSBs can be repaired. We monitored the phosphorylation status of Chk1 kinase, a marker of G<sub>2</sub> checkpoint activation (Walworth and Bernards, 1996), in response to IR. IR-induced Chk1 phosphorylation was observed in nmt41-xlf1.AA cultures at all IR doses, with no discernible difference to WT cultures (Figure 2C). We also characterized the kinetics of the checkpoint response. In chk1-HA cells, Chk1 phosphorylation reached a maximum value within 10 min. In contrast, the phospho-Chk1 band did not appear until 10 min after irradiation, rising further at later time points. This observation suggests that nonphosphorylatable Xlf1 causes a deceleration of the checkpoint response, though full induction of the G<sub>2</sub> checkpoint, as measured by Chk1 phosphorylation, is still achieved within 30 min.

Next, we studied the processing of IR-induced DSBs. Rad52 foci form after strand resection has begun and channeled into recombination processes (Symington and Gautier, 2011). In order to study the possible interference of phospho-null Xlf1 on such processes, we analyzed Rad52-GFP foci formed in live cells following IR. We irradiated rad52-GFP and nmt41-xlf1.AA rad52-GFP cells with 50 Gy and monitored the formation and persistence of Rad52-GFP foci using live-cell imaging. While Rad52 foci were observed in most cells with both WT and nmt41-xlf1.AA, foci formation was slower in nmt41-xlf1.AA (Figure 2D). Rad52 foci formation peaked ~30 min after IR in WT cells but 40–70 min after IR in nmt41-xlf1.AA, in which Rad52 foci also persisted for longer. This observation is consistent with HR processes, including DSB resection, being slowed in nmt41-xlf1.AA cells. We did not observe a general decrease of Rad52 foci in nmt41-xlf1.AA. As
Rad52 foci are associated with resection, and as resected DSBs are not a suitable substrate for NHEJ, the observed deceleration of HR is unlikely to be the result of the overall balance of DSB repair pathways being tipped in favor of NHEJ.

**Overexpression of Xlf1.AA Sensitizes Cells to DNA Damage**

As the balance between HR and NHEJ is tightly regulated in the cell cycle, we next asked if deregulation of repair pathways resulted in altered sensitivity to DNA damage. To address this question, we characterized the IR sensitivity of Xlf1 phosphomutants in a stationary state, not requiring cell cycle regulation. Spores from homozygous crosses of xlf1.AA displayed an IR sensitivity similar to that of WT spores, in contrast to a small but reproducible increase in IR sensitivity with xlf1.EE spores (Figure S1). This suggests that NHEJ is active but can be attenuated, as observed in the phosphomimetic xlf1 mutant. Similarly, while deletion of ctp1 increased IR sensitivity of spores, there was a small but reproducible increase in radioresistance in xlf1.AA ctp1d, whereas the opposite effect was evident with xlf1.EE ctp1d spores (Figure S1). The IR sensitivity of ctp1d spores may be due to damage other than DSBs induced in the spore state, such as single-strand breaks and other lesions impeding the first round of replication following germination. Overexpression of WT xlf1 and xlf1.AA from nmt41 increased the IR resistance in spores above WT levels. This is again compatible with the notion that Xlf1 is a limiting factor regulating NHEJ levels.

In contrast to spores, mutation of the Xlf1 phosphorylation sites did not have a discernible effect on the sensitivity of vegetative cells to a range of DNA damage treatments (data not shown). However, overexpression using the medium-level nmt41 promoter of Xlf1 in vegetative cells rendered nmt41-xlf1.AA cells mildly sensitive to a variety of DNA-damaging agents, such as camptothecin, tert-butyl hydroperoxide, phleomycin, and methyl methanesulfonate in comparison to nmt41-xlf1 (WT) (Figure S1). The sensitivity was increased in nmt41-xlf1.AA. No increase in xlf1.AA DNA damage sensitivity was observed in strains in which rad50 had been deleted (Figure S1), revealing an epistatic relationship with rad50. In addition, we noted that high-level overexpression from the nmt1 promoter of xlf1.AA, but not with WT xlf1, caused cells to become inviable (Figures S1 and S2).

**NHEJ Is Hyperactivated in an Xlf1 Cdk1 Phosphorylation Null Mutant**

As plasmid religation assays have relaxed requirements for NHEJ (Almeida and Godinho Ferreira, 2013), we sought another approach to study the potential inhibitory effect of Xlf1 phosphorylation on NHEJ in vivo. We first compared G1-arrested (nitrogen-starved) and S/G2 (log-phase) cultures in which telomeres were unprotected due to taz1+ deletion. Chromosome fusions were detected in taz1d cells in G1 but not in S/G2 cells (Figure 3A). In contrast, no fusions appeared in G1-arrested taz1d xlf1d cells, because of downregulation of NHEJ, as expected. Chromosome fusions were also observed in taz1d xlf1.AA cells when arrested in G1 phase, showing that mutation of the phosphorylation sites preserves the ability to carry out NHEJ. It is important to note, however, that fusions were not detected in taz1d xlf1.AA during S/G2 phases. Thus, contrary to our prediction, disinhibition of NHEJ by preventing Xlf1 phosphorylation is not sufficient to fuse unprotected chromosome ends in log-phase cultures.

NHEJ and HR are regulated independently in the fission yeast cell cycle, as inactivation of HR does not lead to increased use of NHEJ in G2 cells (Ferreira and Cooper, 2004). Conversely, we expected that abnormal activation of NHEJ in G2 cells will take place in the presence of activated HR, since the disinhibition of NHEJ alone in the xlf1.AA mutant would not affect HR activity. Therefore, we reasoned that competition for DSBs between NHEJ and HR is likely taking place in taz1d xlf1.AA cells, potentially masking the disinhibition of NHEJ, which may only become detectable once HR is inactivated. To test this hypothesis, we repeated the experiment in a background in which ctp1 was deleted. Ctp1 is essential for the initiation of resection, targeting DSBs toward HR (Limbo et al., 2007). When the chromosome fusion assays were repeated, no chromosome fusions were detected in ctp1d taz1d xlf1+ during S/G2 phases (Figure 3B), establishing that inactivation of Ctp1-dependent resection alone is not sufficient to cause fusion of unprotected chromosome ends. Strikingly, inactivation of HR in ctp1d taz1d xlf1.AA cells led to substantial telomere fusions. We analyzed seven independent clones (Figure S3A) and found that all contained intra- or interchromosomal end fusions, including clones with circular chromosomes (both termini of a chromosome are fused) during S/G2 phases, a striking effect of NHEJ activity as confirmed by its absence in the corresponding lig4Δ strain (Figure S3B). This indicates that xlf1.AA prevents the inhibition of NHEJ in G2 cells when HR is inactivated. In contrast, no chromosome fusions were detected in the corresponding phosphomimicking xlf1 mutant, ctp1d taz1d xlf1.EE. These observations support the hypothesis that Cdc2Cdk1 phosphorylation of Xlf1 switches off NHEJ during the cell cycle.

To further explore the nature of competition between HR and NHEJ when both DSB repair pathways are active in the xlf1.AA phospho-null mutant, we speculated that overexpression of xlf1+ may overcome the requirement to inactivate HR. We constructed taz1d strains in which xlf1+ is controlled by the medium-strength nmt41 promoter integrated at the xlf1+ locus. Chromosome fusions could be detected in log-phase nmt41-xlf1.AA taz1d cells, even though HR was functional (Figure 3C). Overexpression of WT xlf1+ similarly caused telomere fusions in the presence of HR function, though to a lesser extent than in the phospho-null mutant. This suggests that xlf1+ overexpression contributes to overcoming recombinogenic mechanisms that prevent chromosome fusions, tipping the HR/NHEJ balance...
in favor of end-joining, either by promoting NHEJ before DSB becomes subject to HR or by separately inhibiting HR. These observations imply that xlf1 is an important regulator in the balance of HR versus NHEJ during the cell cycle.

**Xlf1.AA Affects Both HR and NHEJ of Linearized Plasmid DNA**

The observation that chromosome end ligations (taz1d cells) in xlf1 mutants in taz1d strains. Scheme of telomeric NotI restriction fragments. Chromosomes I and II each release two telomeric restriction fragments (C, I, L, and M). Chromosome III lacks NotI restriction sites; NotI digests of genomic DNA of the indicated strains were separated by PFGE, and chromosomal end-to-end fusions were detected by Southern blotting with a telomere probe (arrows indicate positions of resolved telomere fusions). N+N+TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT
that disabling the phosphorylation of Xlf1 leads to inhibition of HR. In addition, while deletion of ctp1+ by itself had no significant impact on NHEJ, deletion of ctp1+ in an xlf1.AA mutant led to a much larger increase in plasmid religation than in HR-competent xlf1.AA. Together, these observations provide further evidence that phospho-null xlf1.AA affects the levels of HR. Cell cycle regulation of DSB repair pathway selection by Cdk1 was first established with the discovery that DSB resection requires Cdk1 activity (Aylon et al., 2004; Ira et al., 2004). However, while Cdk1 has been shown to control the function of several HR factors, a reciprocal regulation of NHEJ by Cdk1 has not been reported. While budding yeast Ku70 and Ku80 contain several cofactors, a reciprocal regulation of NHEJ by Cdk1 has not been reported. Aylon et al. (2004), Ira et al. (2004). However, while Cdk1 has been shown to control the function of several HR factors, a reciprocal regulation of NHEJ by Cdk1 has not been reported.

Figure 4. Model of Cdk1 Regulation of the Balance between NHEJ and HR

The activity of Cdk1 is a major determinant of DSB repair pathway choice. When Cdk1 is low, non-phosphorylated Xlf1 exists in the cell and NHEJ is fully active. As Cdk1 activity rises, phosphorylation of Xlf1 increases, leading to the inactivation of NHEJ. The phosphorylation of Cdk1 targets in the HR pathway leads to the activation of HR.

Cell cycle regulation of DSB repair pathway selection by Cdk1 was first established with the discovery that DSB resection requires Cdk1 activity (Aylon et al., 2004; Ira et al., 2004). However, while Cdk1 has been shown to control the function of several HR factors, a reciprocal regulation of NHEJ by Cdk1 has not been reported. While budding yeast Ku70 and Ku80 contain several potential Cdk1 phosphorylation sites, their mutation does not affect NHEJ activity (Zhang et al., 2009). Moreover, the dependence of resection for Cdk1 activity can be overcome by the deletion of Ku, suggesting an indirect control over NHEJ by modulation of HR (Clerici et al., 2008). Nej1, the budding yeast XLF homolog, was discovered as a factor downregulating NHEJ in diploid cells (Frank-Vaillant and Marcand, 2001; Kegel et al., 2001; Valencia et al., 2001). Lif1, the S. cerevisiae XRCC4 homolog, has been found to be phosphorylated by Cdk1, but this phosphorylation has little effect on the levels of classical NHEJ and, instead, affects a Sae2<sup>ctp1</sup>-dependent resection-mediated imprecise joining pathway (Matsuzaki et al., 2012). Our study shows that Cdk1 phosphorylation of a core NHEJ factor directly regulates classical NHEJ during the cell cycle. We show that Xlf1 becomes phosphorylated by Cdc2<sup>Cdk1</sup> on T180 and S192 and that the levels of phosphorylation increase through the cell cycle as Cdc2<sup>Cdk1</sup> activity increases. These results establish that phosphorylation of Xlf1 has an inhibitory effect, as there is a reduction in the levels of religation of linearized DNA with the phosphomimetic xlf1.EE but an increase with the phospho-null xlf1.AA mutant. Together, these observations allow us to propose a model in which Xlf1 functions as a cellular switch for NHEJ (Figure 4), with nonphosphorylated Xlf1 representing the on state (NHEJ active) and phosphorylated Xlf1 representing the off state (NHEJ inactive). The NHEJ repair pathway is fully active in G<sub>1</sub>, but as cells advance in the cell cycle, Cdc2<sup>Cdk1</sup> levels rise and Xlf1 becomes increasingly phosphorylated, leading to inactivation of end-joining. Although this report establishes that Xlf1 is an important NHEJ regulator, further studies are required to establish how Cdk1 phosphorylation of this factor restrains NHEJ in cycling cells and whether this key regulatory function is also conserved in other eukaryotic organisms.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Standard methods used for strain construction, western blotting, and microscopy are detailed in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures. Strains used are listed in Table S1.

Phosphatase Treatment of nmt41-GFP-Tagged Xlf1

A total of 10<sup>9</sup> log-phase cells grown without thiamine was resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Na phosphate [pH 7], 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM NaF, 10 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, 0.5% NP40, Roche protease inhibitor) and broken with glass beads. Cleared lysate containing 15 μg total protein was incubated for 2 hr at 4°C with 15 μg GFP-Trap A magnetic beads (Chromotek) per immunoprecipitation. Beads were washed with lysis buffer, washed three times in PMP buffer (New England Biolabs; NEB) plus MnCl<sub>2</sub>, resuspended in 100 μl divided into two, and either mock treated or incubated with 800 units of lambda phosphatase (NEB) at 30°C for 30 min. Beads were resuspended in Laemmli buffer and boiled. Samples were separated by SDS-PAGE on 12% gels containing 25 μM Phos-tag (AAL-107 Wako) and 50 μM MnCl<sub>2</sub>. Prior to transfer onto polyvinylidene fluoride, gels were incubated for 10 min in transfer buffer with 1 mM EDTA and then without EDTA. GFP-Xlf1 was detected using anti-GFP antibody (Invitrogen, 1:2,500 dilution).

In Vitro Cdc2<sup>Cdk1</sup> Kinase Assay

Active Cdc2 enzyme was isolated from a lysate of 5 × 10<sup>6</sup> WT S. pombe cells resuspended in 400 μl of HB buffer (25 mM Tris [pH 7.5], 15 mM EGTA, 15 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.1% NP40, protease inhibitor cocktail) broken using glass beads. Per kinase reaction, cell lysate containing 1 mg total cellular protein was mixed with 40 μl of p13suc1 agarose conjugate (Millipore), incubated with rotation at 4°C for 3 hr, washed three times with HB buffer, and then washed once with kinase buffer (10 mM HEPES [pH 7.5], 75 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM dithiothreitol). For each kinase assay reaction, beads were then mixed in a total volume of 15 μl containing 1.5 μg purified protein (either recombinant εHiss-Xlf1 or histone H1), 20 μM ATP, and 5 μCi γ-<sup>32</sup>P-ATP, all diluted in kinase buffer. The same method was used with Cdk1 (NEB). The kinase reaction was allowed to proceed for 10 min at room temperature and then was stopped by adding SDS-PAGE loading buffer and heated to 90°C for 5 min. Protein
was separated on 15% gels and subjected to Coomassie staining and autoradiography.
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Cdk1 Restrains NHEJ through Phosphorylation of XRCC4-like Factor Xlf1

Pierre Hentges, Helen Waller, Clara C. Reis, Miguel Godinho Ferreira, and Aidan J. Doherty
Supplemental Table 1: strains used

| Name     | genotype                                                                 |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| PH111    | xlf1:: natMX-nmt41-GFP-xlf1::loxP/M                                        |
| PH113    | xlf1:: natMX-nmt41-GFP-xlf1.T180A.S192A::loxP/M                            |
| PH369    | cdc2.F84G xlf1:: natMX-nmt41-GFP-xlf1::loxP/M                             |
| PH371    | cdc2.F84G xlf1:: natMX-nmt41-GFP-xlf1.T180A.S192A::loxP/M                 |
| PH365    | cdc10.M17 xlf1:: natMX-nmt41-GFP-xlf1::loxP/M                             |
| PH360    | cdc10.M17 xlf1:: natMX-nmt41-GFP-xlf1.T180A.S192A::loxP/M                 |
| PH001    | ura4D-18 leu1-32 nmt41-xlf1::natMX                                        |
| PH095    | ade6-704 leu1-32 ura4-D18 xlf1::xlf1::loxP/M                              |
| PH097    | ade6-704 leu1-32 ura4-D18 xlf1::xlf1.T180A.S192A::loxP/M                  |
| PH099    | ade6-704 leu1-32 ura4-D18 xlf1::xlf1.T180E.S192E::loxP/M                  |
| MGF490   | ade6-704 leu1-32 ura4-D18 xlf1::xlf1::loxP/M                              |
| MGF491   | ade6-704 leu1-32 ura4-D18 xlf1::xlf1::loxP/M                              |
| MGF492   | ade6-704 leu1-32 ura4-D18 xlf1::xlf1.T180A.S192A::loxP/M                  |
| MGF493   | ade6-704 leu1-32 ura4-D18 xlf1::xlf1.T180E.S192E::loxP/M                  |
| MGF633   | ade6-704 leu1-32 ura4-D18 xlf1.T180A.S192A::loxP/M xlf1EE ctp1::KanR      |
| MGF669   | leu1-32 ura4-D18 xlf1.T180A.S192A::loxP/M ctp1::KanR taz1::URA4+           |
| MGF672   | ura4D-18 leu1-32 nmt41-xlf1::natMX taz1::URA4+                            |
| MGF673   | ura4D-18 leu1-32 nmt41-xlf1.AA::natMX taz1::URA4+                         |
| MGF1776  | ade6-704 leu1-32 ura4-D18 xlf1.T180A.S192A::loxP/M taz1::kan lig4::natMX  |
|          | ctp1::hphMX                                                               |

Table of the strains used and their genotypes. Related to Experimental Procedures.
Figure S1

A. Ctp1 Spore Survival

B. Nmt41 Spore Survival

C. CTP (7.5 μM)
   - wild-type
   - nmt1-xlf1
   - nmt1-xlf1.AA
   - nmt41-xlf1
   - nmt41-xlf1.AA

D. Control
   - TBH (peroxide)

E. MMS (0.012%)
   - wild-type
   - nmt1-xlf1
   - nmt1-xlf1.AA
   - rad50d
   - rad50d nmt1-xlf1
   - rad50d nmt1-AA

Figure S1
Supplemental Figure Legends
Figure S1 related to Figure 1

A. Spore survival of spores deleted for ctp1
B. Spore survival of xlf1 point mutants
C. Spot tests with DNA damage treatment of strains overexpressing xlf1 from medium-level nmt41
D. Spot tests with DNA damage treatment of xlf1 mutants overexpressing from medium-level nmt41 and high-level nmt1
E. Spot tests with DNA damage treatment of xlf1 overexpression in rad50 deletion background
Figure S2
Figure S2 related to Figure 2

A. Spot test with nmt1 high-level overexpression of xlf1 mutants.

B. Spot test with nmt1 high-level overexpression of xlf1 mutants in rad50d background.
Figure S3

Telomeric probe

Ethidium bromide stained

+ Thiamine - Thiamine
Figure S3 related to Figure 3

A. 7 independent clones were isolated by deleting \textit{taz1} in \textit{ctp1d xlf1.AA} cells through integration of a \textit{kanMX} antibiotic resistance cassette. \textit{xlf1.AA} is expressed at endogenous levels.

Diagram of telomeric \textit{NotI} restriction fragments. Chromosomes I and II each release two telomeric restriction fragments (C, I, L and M). Chromosome III lacks \textit{NotI} restriction sites; \textit{NotI} digests of genomic DNA of the indicated strains were separated by PFGE and chromosomal end-to-end fusions were detected by Southern blot using a telomere probe (arrows indicate the positions of the resolved telomere fusions). Nitrogen starved \textit{taz1d} was used as positive control for fusions.

B. NHEJ-mediated telomeric fusions in cycling cells of two independent clones of \textit{ctp1d taz1d xlf1.AA} and two independent clones of \textit{ctp1d taz1d lig4d xlf1.AA}. PFGE analysis and Southern blot using a using a telomere probe.

C. Southern blot (top) and ethidium bromide stained agarose gel (bottom) of PFGE shown in Fig 3. Decreases in the signal intensity from the telomere probe are not due to less DNA being loaded. Wild-type \textit{xlf1} and \textit{xlf1.AA} are expressed from the medium-strength thiamine-derepressible \textit{nmt41} promoter.
Experimental Procedures

Genetic and Cell Studies
Media and standard genetic techniques were as described previously (Moreno et al., 1991). Spot tests and spore survival assays were carried out as in (Hentges et al., 2006) $xlf1$ phosphorylation mutant strains were created by integrating DNA fragments containing T180A.S192A and T180E.S192E at the $xlf1$ locus using a cre-lox method described in (Watson et al., 2008). $nmt41$, $nmt1$ and $nmt41$-GFP strains were derived from this by integrating the corresponding cassettes described in (Van Driessche et al., 2005). Nat deletion strains were constructed as in (Hentges et al., 2005). For the $taz1d$ assays, strains were created by integrating a kanMX cassette into the relevant background at the $taz1$ locus while assays was carried out as described previously (Reis et al., 2012).

To determine the formation of Rad52 foci after IR, $nmt1$-$xlf1$ rad52-GFP and $nmt1$-$xlf1$.AA $rad52$-GFP cells were grown to log-phase in YNB without thiamine. A population of early G2 cells was isolated using centrifugation through a lactose gradient. Cells were treated with 50Gy gamma irradiation, attached to a concanavalin A-coated chamber. The presence of Rad52 foci was monitored over 4 hours.

DSB Plasmid Repair Assays
The plasmid religation assay using leucine selection (fig 2.a) was carried out as described previously (Manolis et al., 2001). The plasmid religation assay using hygromycin selection (fig 2.b) was carried out in the same manner except that plasmid pRL1 bearing a hphMX marker was used, and that plasmid transformation was followed by a 2 hour incubation step before hygromycin selection was applied by plating cells on hygromycin-containing media. The plasmid repair assay measuring HR and NHEJ in parallel (fig. 3.d) used plasmids pRL1 (hygromycin) for end-joining and pJK148 (leu1 marker) for chromosomal integration at $leu1$. 2x10^8 mid-log phase cells were washed and incubated in 4ml DTT buffer for 15min at 30°C. Cells were washed twice with 2ml 1M sorbitol, resuspended in a total volume of 100μl by adding 70μl 1M sorbitol and then divided in two. For the NHEJ electroporations, 200ng uncut pAL19 and 600ng EcoRV and PvuII digested pRL1 plasmid. For the HR electroporations add 600ng uncut pRL1 and 400ng NdeI digested pJK148
plasmid DNA. Cells were then transferred to pre chilled electroporation cuvettes (0.2cm), electroporated at 1500V, 200Ω, 25µF. 950µL ice cold YNB with 1M sorbitol was added immediately and cells were incubated at 30°C for 2 hours. Cells were then plated on selective plates (YNB +ura +ade -leu, YEA +hyg) and incubated at 30°C for 4-5 days. NHEJ efficiency was calculated by dividing the number of hygromycin resistant colonies by the number of leucine positive colonies. HR efficiency was calculated by dividing leu+ colonies by the number of hygromycin resistant colonies. Values were normalised to wt = 100% for both repair pathways.

**Protein methods**

To separate and detect phosphorylated Xlf1 using the Phos-tag, samples were separated by SDS-PAGE on 12% gels containing 25µM Phos-tag (AAL-107 Wako) and 50µM MnCl2. Prior to transfer onto PVDF, gels were incubated for 10min in transfer buffer with 1mM EDTA, then buffer without EDTA, followed by standard Western blotting. GFP-Xlf1 was detected using anti-GFP antibody from Invitrogen (1:2500 dilution).

For the experiment with *cdc10.M17* ts cells, cultures were grown to log-phase in EMM2 without thiamine at 25°C, blocked by growth at 36.5°C for one generation time, and released by switching temperature to 25°C. Whole cell lysates were prepared using the TCA method (Watson et al., 2008) and analysed by Western blotting with Phos-tag as described above.

Chk1 phosphorylation was analysed using lysates prepared using the TCA method, and detection of Chk1-HA using monoclonal anti-HA antibody (diluted 1:2000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology).

Recombinant Xlf1 protein with the mutations T180A, S192A, or T180A.S192A was prepared by site-directed mutagenesis of xlf1 on pET28a, followed by expression and purification as described previously (Hentges et al., 2006).
References

Van Driessche, B., Tafforeau, L., Hentges, P., Carr, A.M., and Vandenhaute, J. (2005). Additional vectors for PCR-based gene tagging in Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Schizosaccharomyces pombe using nourseothricin resistance. Yeast Chichester Engl. 22, 1061–1068.

Hentges, P., Van Driessche, B., Tafforeau, L., Vandenhaute, J., and Carr, A.M. (2005). Three novel antibiotic marker cassettes for gene disruption and marker switching in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Yeast Chichester Engl. 22, 1013–1019.

Hentges, P., Ahnesorg, P., Pitcher, R.S., Bruce, C.K., Kysela, B., Green, A.J., Bianchi, J., Wilson, T.E., Jackson, S.P., and Doherty, A.J. (2006). Evolutionary and functional conservation of the DNA non-homologous end-joining protein, XLF/Cernunnos. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 37517–37526.

Manolis, K.G., Nimmo, E.R., Hartsuiker, E., Carr, A.M., Jeggo, P.A., and Allshire, R.C. (2001). Novel functional requirements for non-homologous DNA end joining in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. EMBO J. 20, 210–221.

Moreno, S., Klar, A., and Nurse, P. (1991). Molecular genetic analysis of fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Methods Enzymol. 194, 795–823.

Reis, C.C., Batista, S., and Ferreira, M.G. (2012). The fission yeast MRN complex tethers dysfunctional telomeres for NHEJ repair. EMBO J. 31, 4576–4586.

Watson, A.T., Garcia, V., Bone, N., Carr, A.M., and Armstrong, J. (2008). Gene tagging and gene replacement using recombinase-mediated cassette exchange in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Gene 407, 63–74.