Rationale for Possible Targeting of Histone Deacetylase Signaling in Cancer Diseases with a Special Reference to Pancreatic Cancer
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There is ongoing interest to identify signaling pathways and genes that play a key role in carcinogenesis and the development of resistance to antitumoral drugs. Given that histone deacetylases (HDACs) interact with various partners through complex molecular mechanisms leading to the control of gene expression, they have captured the attention of a large number of researchers. As a family of transcriptional corepressors, they have emerged as important regulators of cell differentiation, cell cycle progression, and apoptosis. Several HDAC inhibitors (HDACis) have been shown to efficiently protect against the growth of tumor cells in vitro as well as in vivo. The pancreatic cancer which represents one of the most aggressive cancer still suffers from inefficient therapy. Recent data, although using in vitro tumor cell cultures and in vivo chimeric mouse model, have shown that some of the HDACis do express antipancreatic tumor activity. This provides hope that some of the HDACis could be potential efficient anti-pancreatic cancer drugs. The purpose of this review is to analyze some of the current data of HDACis as possible targets of drug development and to provide some insight into the current problems with pancreatic cancer and points of interest for further study of HDACis as potential molecules for pancreatic cancer adjuvant therapy.

1. Background

Cancer diffusion and metastasis account for approximately 90% of all cancer-related deaths [1]. Metastasis follows a multistep complex processes in which neighboring healthy tissue is invaded by primary tumor cells, which access the systemic circulation and finally proliferate at distant sites into macroscopic secondary tumors via the perivascular and/or perilymphatic tissue [2]. In the case of pancreatic cancer, most of the patients already have metastases at the time of diagnosis. These patients have a poor prognosis, and less than 5% of patients are alive 5 years after the initial diagnosis [3].

Specific events that promote tumorigenesis and cancer progression are linked with complex molecular modifications such as DNA methylation, histone acetylation, phosphorylation, ubiquitylation, and ADP ribosylation. Currently, results from basic research underline the importance of acetylation and deacetylation at the level of not only histone lysine residues but also other cellular factors that are supposed to interfere with the regulation of gene expression. HDAC enzymes play a central role to oppose histone deacetylases (HDATs), which catalyze histone acetyltransferases (HATs) [4].

Previously, eighteen mammalian HDACs have been characterized and are currently classified as follows: Class I and II share similarities with yeast deacetylases RPD3 and HDA1; Class III shows homology to yeast silent information regulatory protein (SIR2p) [5]; Class I includes HDACs 1, 2, 3, and 8; Class II comprises HDACs 4, 5, 6, 7, and 9 [5]. HDAC6 and HDAC10 are carriers of two catalytic sites...
and are therefore grouped in subclass IIb. HDAC 11 shares conserved residues with Class I and II enzymes in their catalytic site and is allocated to Class IV [5].

Based on their primary structure, the SIR2 family [Hst proteins (Homologous of Sir two)] or sirtuins are currently grouped into five different classes [6]: Sirtuin Class I: Human SIRT1, 2, 3; Class II: SIRT4; Class III: SIRT5; Class IV: SIRT6, 7, SIRT-T8, which was recently detected in thyroid carcinoma cell lines and tissue samples [7], shares 85% homology in the core sirtuin domain with SIRT-T7 and is therefore grouped into Class IV.

HDAC enzymes differ in their subcellular localization, catalytic activity, and susceptibility to different inhibitors. Class I HDACs are found exclusively in the nucleus, whereas HDAC3 has both nuclear import (NIS) and export (NES) signals being able to localize to the cytoplasm [8]. The absence of NES in HDAC1 and HDAC2 sequences attest to their nuclear localization [8]. HDAC11, the unique member of class IV, resides in the nucleus [5]. While Class II HDACs are able to shuttle in and out of the nucleus, the Class III sirtuin family (SIRT1–7) has a different localization. Whereas three SIRT proteins (SIRT1, SIRT6, and SIRT7) are nuclear localized, SIRT3, SIRT4, and SIRT5 are localized in the mitochondria and SIRT2 is a cytoplasmic protein [8].

HDAC enzymes deacetylase histones and other protein substrates. Trichostatin (TSA), a fermentation product of Streptomyces, originally used as an antifungal agent, was found to have anticancer cells proliferation activity and the ability to inhibit HDAC with an IC50 in the nanomolar range [8]. In a recent elegant study a high-throughput, precise profiling of HDACi potency against all class I and II enzymes has been achieved using a panel of structurally diverse small HDACi molecules comprising those reported in the literature [9]. Surprisingly, an apparent redundancy of pharmaceutical compounds toward HDAC1, HDAC2, and HDAC3 was evidenced and at relevant concentrations the class IIa enzymes are not targeted by most HDACi tested. Unlike other class I and II HDACs, the sirtuins require nicotinamide dinucleotide (NAD) as a cofactor. The search for sirtuin inhibitors has identified, besides the physiological inhibitor nicotinamide, synthetic inhibitors such as sirtinol and splitomicin [10, 11].

3. HDACi and Cancer Therapy/Mechanisms of Action of HDACi

Since the discovery of the anti-tumor effect of Trichostatine TSA in 1990 [25], many other HDACi have been identified. A major therapeutic limitation of HDACi is their nonselectivity. Indeed, they target both HDAC Class I and Class II. Although some HDACi have some degree of selectivity such as the depsipeptide (FK228) with a preference for HDACs 1 and 2 [9], to date, an HDAC isoform selective inhibitor has not been developed which indicates that selectivity may be less of a therapeutic limitation than the so-called pan-HDACi. The mechanism of action was elucidated by crystallization of the catalytic domain of TSA or suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA) [26]. TSA is a product based on a hydroxamic acid, which reaches the active site of HDAC, chelates Zn2+, and inhibits the enzyme at nanomolar concentrations [5, 26].

4. Cytostatic Effect and Induction of Differentiation

HDACi induce differentiation of tumor cells. Initial applications have focused on promyelocytic leukemia, in which the expression of the fusion protein PML-RAR plays a crucial role. This part of the RAR (retinoic acid receptor) behaves as a constitutive transcriptional repressor of differentiation; HDACi, which lift the repression, initiate the differentiation program. Similar results were obtained in various models of leukemia and solid tumors. The treatment of such tumors by a variety of HDACi shows that the inhibitors have a cytostatic effect on tumor cells by induction of cell cycle arrest in G1 or in some cases in the G2 phase [27]. The p21 gene is the target that appears to be most often associated with this phenotype. It is a repressor complex that is formed by cyclins and kinases, cyclin E-Cdk2, and cyclin A-Cdk2 whose constitutive activity is responsible for the deregulation of the cell cycle of tumor cells. HDACi may act, at least in some cases, in synergy with retinoic acid, thereby inducing differentiation of myeloid cells in vitro and in vivo [5].
5. Induction of Apoptosis

In most cases, HDACi induce death of tumor cells by apoptosis through either the intrinsic or extrinsic pathways [27]. The intrinsic pathway involves the activation of mitochondrial proapoptotic members, which leads to the generation of free radicals. A number of studies have shown that overexpression of Bcl-2 not only inhibits apoptosis, but also inhibits the apoptosis-inducing effect of HDACi. For instance, lymphoma B cells that overexpress Bcl2 were found to be resistant to SAHA [5]. Moreover, in prostate cancer cell line PC3, SAHA causes an increase accumulation of Bcl-2 which correlates with the resistance to SAHA-induced cell death. In contrast, the lack of Bcl-2 in DU145 cell line is associated with its marked sensitivity [28]. In the extrinsic pathway, HDACi can induce expression of Fas and its ligand. The protein death domain TRAIL also seems to be induced in tumor cells derived from acute promyelocytic leukemia [29]. Indeed, in a transgenic mouse model defective in TRAIL receptor expression, treatment with valproic acid (HDACi of Class I) induces overexpression of these receptors. Moreover, their loss reduced the induction of apoptosis by 50% in the transgenic mouse model [30]. Additionally, it has been shown that HDACis have an effect on the cleavage of Bid and phosphorylation of Bad and Bim [31–33]. However, the initiation process is not yet well established. It is possible that Bid is activated via a promoter hyperacetylation and the activation of a transcription factor (E2F1) [34, 35].

6. Effect on the Production of Oxygen Radicals

HDACis induce the production of free oxygen radicals. However, the exact mechanism leading to this phenomenon is unknown [36]. A change of the mitochondrial membrane potential occurs after a rise in free oxygen radicals. However, even within this apoptotic pathway, there are a number of unknown parameters. Indeed, the work of Garcia Morales et al. [37] showed that despite overexpression of caspase 3 after treatment of three pancreatic cancer cell lines with HDACi, the inhibition of caspase 3 did not abolish cell death by apoptosis. These results support the fact that the apoptotic pathways induced by HDACi is still far from being elucidated.

7. Antiangiogenic Activity

The HDACi have antiangiogenic properties due to their ability to repress the expression of VEGF (vascular endothelial growth factor) in vitro and in vivo [38]. They also suppress the transcription of the chemokine (CXCR4) encoding gene that plays a pivotal role in both the differentiation of endothelial cells in the bone marrow and their mobilization [39]. Moreover, inhibition of HDACs induced by FK228, a cyclic depsipeptide isolated from Chromobacterium violaceum, increases gene transcription encoding factors that inhibit angiogenesis and decreases the expression of the gene encoding factors stimulating angiogenesis. These observations demonstrate that HDACis interfere with the process of neovascularization, which is pivotal for tumor growth.

8. Immunomodulatory Properties

HDACis play a role in anti-tumor immune mechanisms. HDACis activate the transcription of genes encoding MHC Class I and II molecules and also costimulate CD40, CD80, and CD86 molecules [40, 41]. This biological property appears to be related to the removal of repression [42]. Furthermore, HDACi can also alter the secretion of different interleukins. For instance, suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA) reduces acute graft-versus-host disease after allogenic bone marrow transplantation [43]. This action results from a decreased production of different interleukins (TNF, INFγ and IL-1), which all play a major role in graft-versus-host reactions. Moreover, it has been shown that the acetylation status of STAT1 (signal transducer and activator of transcription) [44], STAT3 [45], as well as NF-kappa B, is related to their degree of activation/deactivation, which affects the cytokine secretion profile [23]. Furthermore, SAHA activates differentiation of dendritic cells [46], which potentiates the immune response against tumors.

A link between inflammation and cancer development has been shown in population studies. Regulatory factors such as NF-kappa B, as described above, are substrates of HDACs [27]. In premalignant cells, NF-kappa B, by inducing the expression of proinflammatory and survival genes and inhibiting those that promote cell death, may increase the potential of precancerous cells. By blocking the transactivation of NF-kappa B, HDACi may promote the expression of genes that are repressed in tumor cells. Therefore, they exert their therapeutic effect by reverting the tumor phenotype of cancer cells to a normal phenotype.

9. Combination of HDACi and Anticancer Drugs

Interestingly, HDACi can potentiate the anti-tumor effect of drugs [27]. It has been previously demonstrated that coincubation of K562 leukemic cells with a proteasome inhibitor, bortezomib, SAHA, or sodium butyrate, increases apoptosis by inducing alteration of mitochondrial function [47]. Bortezomib, which is used for the treatment of myeloma, has a limited action due to formation of aggregates and corresponding accumulation of ubiquitylated proteins. This limiting step is controlled by HDAC6. A recent study demonstrated that the use of TSA, which inhibits the action of HDAC6, enhances bortezomib-induced apoptosis in pancreatic cancer cells [48]. Moreover, pretreatment of human leukemic cells with MS-275, an orally active synthetic benzamidine derivative that belongs to HDACi significantly enhances the activity of the cytotoxic drug Fludarabine, a purine analogue that has demonstrated significant activity in B-cell malignancies [49].

10. Pancreatic Cancer

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is ranked fourth and fifth to sixth leading cause of cancer death in USA and Europe, respectively [50]. Standard treatments for
advanced disease include radiotherapy and/or chemotherapy regimens. However, radiotherapy is often toxic and the chemotherapy which includes drugs such as 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) and gemcitabine (GEM) are either ineffective or effective for only short duration [51]. Recent phase III trial comparing the efficiency of treatment with either GEM alone or GEM combined to Erlotinib, an inhibitor of EGFR tyrosine kinase showed a modest increased survival time of 15 days [52]. Furthermore, a combination of GEM with the bevacizumab, an inhibitor of VEGF, in a phase III trial did not increase survival time [53]. Moreover, a failure to demonstrate significant clinical benefit for the patients was recorded in a phase III trial combining GEM and cetuximab, an anti-EGFR monoclonal antibody [54].

Historically, the ras oncoproteins have been considered the target substrate responsible for the anti proliferative effects of enzyme farnesyl protein transferase (FPT) inhibition. Despite 70 to 90% mutation of K-ras in pancreatic cancer [55], the combination of FPT inhibitor and gemcitabine did not prolong overall survival in advanced pancreatic cancer compared with single agent gemcitabine [56]. The reasons for the failure of these treatments are not yet understood.

Tumor chemoresistance could be among factors responsible for the lack of effective therapies [57]. Indeed, multiple biochemical and molecular alterations occur in cancer cells. For instance, pancreatic cells overexpress the death receptor decoys DcR2 and DcR3 as well as Bcl-XL which play a role in pancreatic cancer chemoresistance [57]. Moreover, a number of other genetic alterations for several specific genes including p53, p16INK4a, and Smad4, have been documented [58]. Interestingly, reintroduction of the wild-type p53 in the human pancreatic cells increases their sensitivity to GEM [59].

Therefore, in pancreatic cancer, there is an urgent need for rationally designed molecules displaying improved efficacy and tolerability compared to existing treatments. HDACi have emerged as promising antineoplastic agents. In human pancreatic adenocarcinoma cell lines, TSA and SAHA have been shown to induce the cell death by apoptosis found to be caspase independent [60]. Other investigators have reported that TSA can synergize with gemcitabine [61] or the proteasome inhibitor PS-341 [62] to induce apoptosis of human pancreatic cancer cells. In a recently published study by our research group, we demonstrated that HDAC Class I and II inhibitors such as TSA can induce death of tumor cell lines via apoptosis [63]. Interestingly, the data also showed, for the first time, that Class III HDACis, such as sirtinol and nicotinamide, are able to induce pancreatic cell death. However, whether sirtuin inhibitors are efficient anticancer drugs in vivo is yet to be demonstrated.

To provide insight into the biological behavior of pancreatic cancer and to identify new potential biomarkers, a study aiming to examine the levels of HDAC and SIRT gene expression in pancreatic cancer compared to normal pancreas tissue has been initiated. Because normal pancreatic tissue can only be obtained under certain circumstances (i.e., donor liver transplantation), samples of control tissues from the surgical specimens of patients with pancreatic adenocarcinomas [close proximity termed normal adjacent, far away from the tumor as possible termed normal distant], serous cystadenoma (SC), intraductal papillary mucinous tumor of the pancreas (IMPN), or complicating chronic pancreatitis (CP) have to be used as controls. So far, an increased number of SIRT5 mRNA transcripts have been observed not only in most of the Pancreatic adenocarcinoma (PA) samples but also in other tissue samples (SC, IMPN and CP). Approximately 81% of the PA tissue samples displayed increased expression of HDAC7 mRNA transcripts as well as its corresponding protein [64]. Furthermore, it has been shown that more than 90% of the analyzed PA samples contain activated point mutations of the K-ras gene, and a large number of these neoplasms also exhibit alterations in genes controlling the G1/S-phase cell cycle transition such as p16INK4a [65]. Additionally, the p16INK4a genetic alterations are significantly more frequently observed in patients with the shortest tumor survival compared with those patients with the longest [65]. Other molecular alterations may contribute to carcinogenesis of the pancreas such as those related to growth factors and/or their receptors. Due to the fact that almost 90% of the patients are unsuitable for resection, our own data are the first to demonstrate that HDAC gene expression, particularly HDAC7, could be a possible marker of PA [64]. Although it is difficult to determine at this stage whether upregulation of HDAC7 in PA is a cause or a consequence of malignant progression, its overexpression in cancerous tissues and not in their normal counterparts constitutes an interesting field of future research for new approaches in the design of antipancreatic cancer therapy.

HDACs are key modulators of endothelial cell migration and angiogenesis and regulate PDGF-Bp/PDGF-beta gene expression [66]. Taking into account that angiogenesis is required for tumor progression, it is reasonable to suggest that molecules that are able to interfere with HDAC expression/activity may be of particular therapeutic benefit. In this perspective, a recent study has shown that vorinostat, the first HDACi approved for clinical trials in the treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma [67], selectively downregulates HDAC7 expression [68]. However, rational targeting of HDAC7 signaling will require extensive investigation of cross-talk between the HDAC7 signaling pathway and other pathways involved in pancreatic cancer progression. This will probably allow us to define which component will be more effective when combined with a potential HDAC7 inhibitor. Another field nicely developed in a recent review by Stimson and La Thangue is the search for predictive biomarkers that may inform on the tumor response to HDACi [69]. For instance, the HR23B gene validated as a sensitivity determinant for HDACi-induced apoptosis, was identified at high levels in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL) in situ, a malignancy that responds favorably to HDACi-based therapy. Therefore, the identification of such biomarkers which might be linked to HDAC7 overexpression will help to select patients who might benefit from HDACi therapy. A recent study have shown that histone modification levels indicate patients treated for pancreatic cancer whith adjuvant chemotherapy were more or less likely to derive survival
benefit from adjuvant fluorouracil relative to gemcitabine. Although differences were modest and require validation, they raise the possibility that histone modification levels could serve as predictive biomarkers for adjuvant treatment [70]. For instance, it has been previously demonstrated that HDAC7 interacts with the transcriptional regulator MEF2D, which binds to the promoters and transcriptionally represses the proapoptotic orphan receptor Nur77 [71]. Interestingly, which binds to the promoters and transcriptionally represses HDAC7 interacts with the transcriptional regulator MEF2D, [70]. For instance, it has been previously demonstrated that could serve as predictive biomarkers for adjuvant treatment they raise the possibility that histone modification levels

HDAC3, is enzymatically inactive [74]. Taken together these

the nucleus is dependent upon its interaction with HDAC3. Consequently, cytoplasmic HDAC7, which is not bound to HDAC3, is enzymatically inactive [74]. Taken together these observations pointed to the need of further investigations of pancreatic-tumor-associated HDAC7 in order to better understand its exact role in cancer progression and to design selective inhibitors containing a variety of scaffolds with interesting physicochemical properties.

11. Critical Point of View

The critical challenge in pancreatic cancer is the detection of early lesions at an asymptomatic stage that will allow for curative resection and to offer a greater chance of a cure. In recent years, HDACs emerged as promising targets for therapeutic interventions that could revert the aberrant epigenetic states associated with cancer [75, 76]. Thus, in recent years HDACs have been leading focus for the clinical development of molecules that modulate their activities. A number of HDACi have been identified, they represent promising additive in cancer therapy as they can induce upregulation of specific proapoptotic genes and/or downregulation of prosurvival genes, therefore reactivating pathways controlling apoptosis, differentiation, or cell growth [27]. In recent in vitro studies, HDACi inhibitors such as TSA and SAHA have been shown to induce pancreatic cell death by apoptosis [60]. Other investigators have reported that TSA can synergize with gemcitabine [61] or the proteasome inhibitor PS-341 [62] to induce apoptosis of human pancreatic cancer cells. Thus, HDAC might also be promising targets for therapeutic intervention in pancreatic cancer.

With HDAC7 overexpression in pancreatic tumor tissues and not in their normal counterparts, it is reasonable to suggest that it might represent an interesting target for novel approaches in the design of anti-pancreatic cancer therapies. However, rational targeting of HDAC7 signaling in this aggressive cancer disease needs further research to better understand its exact role in cancer progression. Also, it will require a clear understanding of cross-talk between HDAC7 signaling and other biochemical pathways that play a role in pancreatic cancer development. This may help to define which compound family could be more appropriate to be combined with HDAC7 inhibitors.
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