Sonographic Assessment of Renal Size in Healthy Adults
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Abstract

Objective: To assess the normal sonographic values of renal length and cortical thickness in healthy adults and establish reference ranges in our population for comparison when examining renal disease. Subjects and Methods: Sonographic assessment of renal length and cortical thickness were performed from January 2006 to December 2011 in 252 healthy individuals who were self-referred to the El-Reshaid Renal Clinic in Kuwait. They were screened for the absence of renal abnormalities. Weight and height were measured, and body mass index (BMI) and body surface area calculated. Patients were divided into 5 age groups: 18–30, 31–40, 41–50, 51–60 and 61–80 years, in order to generate reference graphs for renal length and cortical thickness. Results: The mean renal lengths for the right and left kidney were 10.68 ± 1.4 and 10.71 ± 1.0 cm, respectively (p = 0.56) without a significant change with age. The minimum cortical thickness was 0.6 cm. The renal length correlated with the weight of the patients (p < 0.01) and their BMI (p < 0.01) but not with their height. There was no difference in renal size or cortical thickness in patients older than 60 years despite an age-related decline in the glomerular filtration rate (p < 0.001). Conclusions: Renal length and cortical thickness did not vary significantly with age. Renal length correlated well with weight and BMI but not with height. Hence, establishing normal ranges of renal parameters is essential for comparison in situations where possible renal disease is being investigated.

Introduction

Measurement of renal size by ultrasound is essential when evaluating patients with possible renal disease. However, it requires prior knowledge of actual normal renal size in the population being studied. Renal ultrasound is simple, inexpensive and can be done at the bedside to provide the clinician with important anatomical details of the kidneys with a low interobserver variability [1–3]. It is also an essential procedure when performing renal biopsy in adults or children [4, 5], with both renal length and cortical thickness being important parameters that should be within normal limits before the procedure [6]. The safety of the diagnostic procedure using ultrasound is well established [7].
Renal length and cortical thickness have been studied extensively in animals and their parameters are well documented [8, 9]. In humans, however, there have been only a few studies actually designed to measure these parameters in adults who do not have renal disease [10, 11]. Unfortunately, in previous studies, some of the adults included had diseases such as diabetes and hypertension that could affect the kidneys [12]. Most also used serum creatinine alone as a measure of normal renal function without checking for urinary sediments and daily urinary protein excretion to rule out glomerular structural abnormalities [11, 12]. Serum creatinine is not an accurate estimate of kidney function and does not rule out the presence of renal disease even if it is within a normal range [11, 13]. The objective of this study was to investigate the normal parameters of renal size and cortical thickness by ultrasound in individuals who have no renal disease and to establish the normal curves for these parameters on which comparisons can be made in situations where renal disease comes into question.

**Subjects and Methods**

The medical records of 477 patients aged 18–80 years for the period from January 2006 to December 2011 were reviewed at the El-Reshaid Renal Clinic, Kuwait, in order to exclude any disease that could affect renal length and cortical thickness. All the patients were asymptomatic at presentation. They had a normal serum creatinine level and normal calculated creatinine clearance using the Cockcroft-Gault formula to establish the estimated glomerular filtration rate [14]. Abnormalities of the glomerular membrane such as proteinuria and hematuria were ruled out when normal urinary sediments and normal-range protein on 24-hour urine collection were reported. A general physical examination of all patients was performed including measurement of systolic and diastolic blood pressure. They were weighed on a digital scale while wearing an examination gown over underwear, and height was measured using a wall-mounted mechanical measuring rod with the patient standing barefoot. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated and body surface area (BSA) was obtained with the help of special charts using the weight and height of the patients. Exclusion criteria included diabetes in 108 patients, hypertension in 67, renal stones in 8, renal scars in 6, multiple cortical cysts in 3, a single kidney in 2, poor cortical visualization in 15, increased cortical echogenicity in 5 and primary renal disease on screening tests in 19. A total of 252 healthy individuals (111 males and 141 females) were enrolled in the study after screening.

Digital renal ultrasound was performed with a LOGIQ C8 device (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, Wisc., USA). The sonographic measurements included the longest longitudinal diameter (bipolar axis) and the cortical thickness of the kidney (the distance from the outer border of the renal cortex to the outer border of the medullary pyramid). In order to establish the standard normal reference values for renal length and cortical thickness, patients were stratified into 5 age groups: 18–30 years (n = 87), 31–40 years (n = 68), 41–50 years (n = 52), 51–60 years (n = 32) and 61–80 years (n = 13).

Analysis of the data was performed using the SPSS statistical package, version 17. Means for continuous variables were compared using the Student t test. The differences between categorical variables were compared using the χ² test. The Pearson correlation coefficient was used to test the significance of linear association between variables. p < 0.05 was considered significant. Normal graphs for population were created using means with 10 and 90% (95% confidence interval).

**Results**

The mean right and left renal lengths in our population were 10.68 ± 1.4 and 10.71 ± 1.0 cm, respectively, with a significant correlation (r: 0.67, p < 0.001). The mean cortical thickness was 1.1 ± 0.53 cm (range 0.60–1.61; fig. 1). Weight ranged from 37 to 124 kg while height ranged from 143 to 190 cm. Renal length correlated sig-
significantly with weight (Pearson: 0.57, p < 0.01). Renal length increased by 0.23 cm for each 10-kg increase in body weight within the range of 60–120 kg (fig. 2). Renal length, however, had no statistical correlation with height (r: 0.23, p = 0.46). BSA correlated with renal length (Pearson: 0.71, p = 0.02) with a significantly higher BSA in males than in females (p < 0.001). The BMI showed a correlation that corresponded with renal length (p < 0.005), as it is dependent on weight. Cortical thickness, however, did not show a similar correlation with weight or BMI (p = 0.49 and 0.94, respectively). There was no difference between males and females in total renal length or cortical thickness (table 1).

There was a significant decline in creatinine clearance in subjects who were >60 years of age compared to those <30 years (100 vs. 135 ml/min, respectively, p < 0.005) but without a corresponding reduction in renal length (10.5 vs. 10.75 cm, respectively, p = 0.47; table 2). Similarly, the reduction of cortical thickness with age was not statistically significant (0.89 cm for individuals >60 years of age vs. 0.96 cm for those <30 years, p = 0.23). Hence, a reference graph for our population was generated to show the normal values for renal length and cortical thickness for both the right and left kidneys with a 95% confidence interval (fig. 3).

Discussion

This study of 252 healthy individuals of renal disease showed that a normal renal length is 10.67 ± 1.4 cm and cortical thickness is 1.1 ± 0.53 cm in our Kuwaiti population. The mean renal length in our study is similar to that reported for healthy Latin Americans and Nigerians (10 ± 0.7 and 10.3 ± 1.7 cm, respectively) indicating an absence of disparity between renal size and ethnicity [15, 16]. The significant correlation between renal length and body weight was established in previous studies [17, 18]. A probable explanation is based on Brenner’s principle of right renal dosing which states that larger body size requires a larger nephron dose to meet its metabolic demands [19–21]. The finding that there is no difference in renal length between males and females in our study is consistent with other studies [22]. Some studies, however, show that renal length is greater in males than in females [17, 18]. Gender difference is not significant if body weight and BSA are controlled for during statistical analysis. Height did not have an impact on renal size in our study, and the significant correlation between BMI and renal length was due to body weight.

Our finding of a cortical thickness of 0.6 cm was considered to be normal and is consistent with that reported by O’Neil [23]. Previous studies showed that cortical thickness is reduced in patients with a reduced glomerular filtration rate [24, 25]. An age-related decline in renal function in elderly patients, considered normal by DOQI guidelines [26], did not have a significant impact on renal cortical thickness in our study [27]. Cortical thickness in
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**Table 1.** Clinical and renal sonographic parameters in males and females

| Parameter        | Males (n = 111) | Females (n = 141) | p value |
|------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------|
| Age, years       | 37.9 ± 11.3    | 37.6 ± 13.6       | n.s.    |
| RK length, cm    | 10.8 ± 0.9     | 10.5 ± 1.1        | n.s.    |
| LK length, cm    | 10.9 ± 0.8     | 11.2 ± 0.9        | n.s.    |
| RCK, cm          | 0.98 ± 0.2     | 0.98 ± 0.8        | n.s.    |
| LCK, cm          | 1.02 ± 0.2     | 1.02 ± 0.6        | n.s.    |
| Weight, kg       | 85 ± 19        | 70 ± 14           | <0.0001 |
| Height, cm       | 172 ± 6.5      | 158 ± 6.5         | <0.0001 |
| BMI              | 28.6 ± 5.7     | 28.2 ± 6.1        | n.s.    |
| BSA, m²          | 2.01 ± 0.24    | 1.76 ± 0.2        | <0.0001 |
| CrCl, ml/min     | 122 ± 33       | 122 ± 33          | n.s.    |

CrCl = Creatinine clearance; LCK = left cortical thickness; LK = left kidney; RCK = right cortical thickness; RK = right kidney; n.s. = not significant.
A recent study of monozygotic and dizygotic adult twins showed the negligible role of heritability and the important role of environment on renal parenchymal thickness [28]. The generation of reference graphs for normal renal length and cortical thickness in our Kuwaiti population could offer a comparison for future studies evaluating patients with renal abnormalities.

A limitation of this study was that there were fewer patients in the group aged ≥60 years than in the other age groups. This did not impact significantly (p = 0.27) on the results of the study, besides probably representing a normal age pyramid distribution, i.e. a wide base of individuals of a younger age and the older groups on the higher levels with fewer individuals.

### Conclusion

This study has provided measurements of normal renal length and cortical thickness in the Kuwaiti population. We report a significant increase in renal length with patient weight and BMI but no similar increase was observed in cortical thickness. Increasing patient age or declining glomerular filtration rate, typically seen in elderly patients, did not correlate with a decrease in renal length or cortical thickness.

**Table 2. Renal size and clinical parameters of different age groups**

| Parameter       | 18–30 years (n = 87) | 31–40 years (n = 68) | 41–50 years (n = 52) | 51–60 years (n = 32) | 61–80 years (n = 13) | p value |
|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------|
| RK, cm          | 10.75±1.3            | 10.6±0.9             | 10.7±0.9             | 10.4±0.7             | 10.5±0.9             | n.s.    |
| LK, cm          | 10.6±1.2             | 10.8±1.3             | 10.9±0.9             | 10.4±0.7             | 10.8±1.1             | n.s.    |
| RCK, cm         | 0.96±0.2             | 1.1±0.1              | 0.92±0.2             | 0.98±0.2             | 0.89±0.2             | n.s.    |
| LCK, cm         | 1.00±0.2             | 1.00±0.2             | 0.98±0.2             | 0.95±0.2             | 0.97±0.2             | n.s.    |
| Weight, kg      | 70±16                | 81±21                | 80±22                | 79±13                | 83±15                | <0.01   |
| Height, cm      | 165±5                | 166±9                | 164±10               | 163±10               | 156±7                | n.s.    |
| BMI (kg/m²)     | 25.7±4.9             | 28.9±6.2             | 29±6                 | 29.8±4               | 33.9±6               | n.s.    |
| BSA, m²         | 1.8±0.2              | 1.9±0.2              | 1.9±0.3              | 1.9±0.2              | 1.9±0.2              | n.s.    |
| CrCl, ml/min    | 135±32               | 131±30               | 116±27               | 99.8±35              | 100±21               | <0.001  |
| Systolic BP, mm Hg | 120±9              | 121±9                | 122±11               | 124±9                | 130±9                | <0.01   |
| Diastolic BP, mm Hg | 78±5               | 79±6                 | 79±6                 | 79±6                 | 76±8                 | n.s.    |

BP = Blood pressure; CrCl = creatinine clearance; LCK = left cortical length; LK = left kidney length; n.s. = not significant; RCK = right cortical length; RK = right kidney length.
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