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Abstract. The challenges encountered in computational analysis of wind turbines and turbomachinery include turbulent rotational flows, complex geometries, moving boundaries and interfaces, such as the rotor motion, and the fluid–structure interaction (FSI), such as the FSI between the wind turbine blade and the air. The Arbitrary Lagrangian–Eulerian (ALE) and Space–Time (ST) Variational Multiscale (VMS) methods and isogeometric discretization have been effective in addressing these challenges. The ALE-VMS and ST-VMS serve as core computational methods. They are supplemented with special methods like the Slip Interface (SI) method and ST Isogeometric Analysis with NURBS basis functions in time. We describe the core and special methods and present, as examples of challenging computations performed, computational analysis of horizontal- and vertical-axis wind turbines and flow-driven string dynamics in pumps.
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1. Introduction

Complexity level and reliability of computational analysis of wind turbines and turbomachinery define the practical value of the computations. The Arbitrary Lagrangian–Eulerian (ALE) and Space–Time (ST) Variational Multiscale (VMS) methods and isogeometric discretization are now enabling in wind turbine and turbomachinery computational analysis a complexity level that reflects the actual conditions, with reliable results (see, for example, [1–4]). The computational challenges encountered in this class of problems include turbulent rotational flows, complex geometries, moving boundaries and interfaces, such as the rotor motion, and the fluid–structure interaction (FSI), such as the FSI between the wind turbine blade and the air.
Our core methods in addressing the computational challenges are the ALE-VMS [5] and ST-VMS [6]. We have a number special methods used in combination with them. The special methods used in combination with the ST-VMS include the ST Slip Interface (ST-SI) method [1, 7], ST Isogeometric Analysis (ST-IGA) [6, 8, 9], ST/NURBS Mesh Update Method (STNMUM) [8], a general-purpose NURBS mesh generation method for complex geometries [10, 11], and a one-way-dependence model for the string dynamics [12]. The special methods used in combination with the ALE-VMS include weak enforcement of no-slip boundary conditions [13-15] and “sliding interfaces” [16, 17] (the acronym “SI” will also indicate that).

We will provide an overview of the core and special methods and present examples of challenging computations performed with these methods, including computational analysis of horizontal- and vertical-axis wind turbines (HAWTs and VAWTs) and flow-driven string dynamics in pumps. Much of the material presented in this review article has been extracted from [18] and the earlier articles written by the authors.

We provide the governing equations in Section 2. The core and special methods and other methods are described in Sections 3-10. In Sections 11 and 12, as examples of the ST computations, we present flow-driven string dynamics in a pump and aerodynamics of a VAWT. In Section 13, as an example of the ALE computations, we present FSI of a HAWT with rotor-tower coupling. The concluding remarks are given in Section 14.

2. Governing equations

2.1. Incompressible flow

Let \( \Omega_t \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_{sd}} \) be the spatial domain with boundary \( \Gamma_t \) at time \( t \in (0, T) \), where \( n_{sd} \) is the number of space dimensions. The subscript \( t \) indicates the time-dependence of the domain. The Navier–Stokes equations of incompressible

flows are written on \( \Omega_t \) and \( \forall t \in (0, T) \) as

\[
\rho \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{f} \right) - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{\sigma} = 0, \tag{1}
\]

\[
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \tag{2}
\]

where \( \rho \), \( \mathbf{u} \) and \( \mathbf{f} \) are the density, velocity, and body force. The stress tensor \( \mathbf{\sigma}(\mathbf{u}, p) = -p \mathbf{I} + 2\mu \varepsilon(\mathbf{u}) \), where \( p \) is the pressure, \( \mathbf{I} \) is the identity tensor, \( \mu = \nu \sigma \) is the viscosity, \( \nu \) is the kinematic viscosity, and the strain rate \( \varepsilon(\mathbf{u}) = (\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T) / 2 \). The essential and natural boundary conditions for Eq. (1) are represented as \( \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{g} \) on \( (\Gamma_t)_g \) and \( \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{\sigma} = \mathbf{h} \) on \( (\Gamma_t)_h \), where \( \mathbf{n} \) is the unit normal vector and \( \mathbf{g} \) and \( \mathbf{h} \) are given functions. A divergence-free velocity field \( \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}) \) is specified as the initial condition.

2.2. Structural mechanics

In this article we will not provide any of our formulations requiring fluid and structure definitions simultaneously; we will instead give reference to earlier journal articles where the formulations were presented. Therefore, for notation simplicity, we will reuse many of the symbols used in the fluid mechanics equations to represent their counterparts in the structural mechanics equations. To begin with, \( \Omega_t \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_{sd}} \) and \( \Gamma_t \) will represent the structure domain and its boundary. The structural mechanics equations are then written, on \( \Omega_t \) and \( \forall t \in (0, T) \), as

\[
\rho \left( \frac{d^2 \mathbf{y}}{dt^2} - \mathbf{f} \right) - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{\sigma} = 0, \tag{3}
\]

where \( \mathbf{y} \) and \( \mathbf{\sigma} \) are the displacement and Cauchy stress tensor. The essential and natural boundary conditions for Eq. (3) are represented as \( \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{g} \) on \( (\Gamma_t)_g \) and \( \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{\sigma} = \mathbf{h} \) on \( (\Gamma_t)_h \). The Cauchy stress tensor can be obtained from

\[
\mathbf{\sigma} = \mathbf{J}^{-1} \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{F}^T, \tag{4}
\]

where \( \mathbf{F} \) and \( \mathbf{J} \) are the deformation gradient tensor and its determinant, and \( \mathbf{S} \) is the second Piola–Kirchhoff stress tensor. It is obtained from the strain-energy density function \( \varphi \) as follows:

\[
\mathbf{S} = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \mathbf{E}}, \tag{5}
\]
where $E$ is the Green–Lagrange strain tensor:

$$E = \frac{1}{2} (C - I),$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)$$
and $C$ is the Cauchy–Green deformation tensor:

$$C \equiv F^T \cdot F.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

From Eqs. (5) and (6),

$$S = 2 \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial C},$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

2.3. Fluid–structure interface

In an FSI problem, at the fluid–structure interface, we will have the velocity and stress compatibility conditions between the fluid and structure parts. The details on those conditions can be found in Section 5.1 of [19].

3. ST-VMS and ST-SUPS

The ST-VMS and ST-SUPS are versions of the Deforming-Spatial-Domain/Stabilized ST (DSD/SST) method [20–22], which was introduced for computation of flows with moving boundaries and interfaces (MBI), including FSI. The ST-SUPS is a new name for the original version of the DSD/SST, with “SUPS” reflecting its stabilization components, the Streamline-Upwind/Petrov-Galerkin (SUPG) [23] and Pressure-Stabilizing/Petrov-Galerkin (PSPG) [20] stabilizations. The ST-VMS is the VMS version of the DSD/SST. The VMS components of the ST-VMS are from the residual-based VMS (RBVMS) method [24–27]. The five stabilization terms of the ST-VMS include the three that the ST-SUPS has, and therefore the ST-VMS subsumes the ST-SUPS. In MBI computations the ST-VMS and ST-SUPS function as a moving-mesh methods. Moving the fluid mechanics mesh to follow an interface enables mesh-resolution control near the interface and, consequently, high-resolution boundary-layer representation near fluid–solid interfaces. Because of the higher-order accuracy of the ST framework (see [6, 28]), the ST-VMS and ST-VMS are desirable also in computations without MBI.

The ST-SUPS and ST-VMS have been applied to many classes of challenging FSI, MBI and fluid mechanics problems (see [29] for a comprehensive summary of the computations prior to July 2018). The classes of problems include spacecraft parachute analysis for the landing-stage parachutes [12, 19, 30–32], cover-separation parachutes [33] and the drogue parachutes [34–36], wind-turbine aerodynamics for horizontal-axis wind-turbine rotors [19, 37–39], full horizontal-axis wind-turbines [40–43] and vertical-axis wind-turbines [1, 4, 44], flapping-wing aerodynamics for an actual locust [8, 19, 45, 46], bioinspired MAVs [41, 42, 47, 48] and wing-clapping [49, 50], blood flow analysis of cerebral aneurysms [41, 51], stent-blocked aneurysms [51–53], aortas [54–58], heart valves [42, 49, 56, 58–64] and coronary arteries in motion [65], spacecraft aerodynamics [33, 66], thermo-fluid analysis of ground vehicles and their tires [60, 67], thermo-fluid analysis of disk brakes [7], flow-driven string dynamics in turbomachinery [3, 68, 69], flow analysis of turbocharger turbines [9–11, 70, 71], flow around tires with road contact and deformation [60, 72–75], fluid films [75, 76], ram-air parachutes [77], and compressible-flow spacecraft parachute aerodynamics [78, 79].

For more on the ST-VMS and ST-SUPS, see [19]. In the flow analyses presented here, the ST framework provides higher-order accuracy in a general context. The VMS feature of the ST-VMS addresses the computational challenges associated with the multiscale nature of the unsteady flow. The moving-mesh feature of the ST framework enables high-resolution computation near the rotor surface. The advection equation involved in the residence time computation associated with flow-driven string dynamics in pumps is solved with the ST-SUPG method.

4. ALE-VMS, RBVMS and ALE-SUPS

The ALE-VMS [5, 19, 80–84] is the VMS version of the ALE [85]. It succeeded the ST-SUPS [20] and ALE-SUPS [86] and preceded
the ST-VMS. The VMS components are from the RBVMS [24–27]. It is the moving-mesh extension of the RBVMS formulation of incompressible turbulent flows proposed in [26], and as such, it was first presented in [3] in the FSI context. The ALE-SUPS, RBVMS and ALE-VMS have also been applied to many classes of challenging FSI, MBI and fluid mechanics problems. The classes of problems include ram-air parachute FSI [86], wind-turbine aerodynamics and FSI [4, 37, 43, 44, 87–93], more specifically, vertical-axis wind turbines [4, 44, 94, 95], floating wind turbines [96], wind turbines in atmospheric boundary layers [4, 44, 93, 97], and fatigue damage in wind-turbine blades [2], patient-specific cardiovascular fluid mechanics and FSI [5, 98–103], biomedical-device FSI [104–109], ship hydrodynamics with free-surface flow and fluid-object interaction [110, 111], hydrodynamics and FSI of a hydraulic arresting gear [112, 113], hydrodynamics of tidal-stream turbines with free-surface flow [114], passive-morphing FSI in turbomachinery [115], bioinspired FSI for marine propulsion [116, 117], bridge aerodynamics and fluid-object interaction [118–120], and mixed ALE-VMS/Immersogeometric computations [107–109, 121, 122] in the framework of the Fluid–Solid Interface-Tracking/Interface-Capturing Technique [123]. Recent advances in stabilized and multiscale methods may be found for stratified incompressible flows in [124], for divergence-conforming discretizations of incompressible flows in [125], and for compressible flows with emphasis on gas-turbine modeling in [126].

For more on the ALE-VMS, RBVMS and ALE-SUPS, see [19]. In the flow analyses presented here, the VMS feature of the ALE-VMS addresses the computational challenges associated with the multiscale nature of the unsteady flow. The moving-mesh feature of the ALE framework enables high-resolution computation near the rotor surface.

5. ALE-SI and ST-SI

The ALE-SI was introduced in [16, 17] to retain the desirable moving-mesh features of the ALE-VMS in computations with spinning solid surfaces, such as a turbine rotor. The mesh covering the spinning surface spins with it, retaining the high-resolution representation of the boundary layers. The method was in the context of incompressible-flow equations. Interface terms added to the ALE-VMS to account for the compatibility conditions for the velocity and stress at the SI accurately connect the two sides of the solution. The ST-SI was introduced in [1], also in the context of incompressible-flow equations, to retain the desirable moving-mesh features of the ST-VMS and ST-SUPS in computations with spinning solid surfaces. The starting point in its development was the ALE-SI. Interface terms similar to those in the ALE-SI are added to the ST-VMS to accurately connect the two sides of the solution. An ST-SI version where the SI is between fluid and solid domains was also presented in [1]. The SI in this case is a “fluid–solid SI” rather than a standard “fluid–fluid SI” and enables weak enforcement of the Dirichlet boundary conditions for the fluid. The ST-SI introduced in [7] for the coupled incompressible-flow and thermal-transport equations retains the high-resolution representation of the thermo-fluid boundary layers near spinning solid surfaces. These ST-SI methods have been applied to aerodynamic analysis of vertical-axis wind turbines [1, 4, 44], thermo-fluid analysis of disk brakes [7], flow-driven string dynamics in turbomachinery [3, 68, 69], flow analysis of turbocharger turbines [9–11, 70, 71], flow around tires with road contact and deformation [60, 72–75], fluid films [75, 76], aerodynamic analysis of ram-air parachutes [77], and flow analysis of heart valves [56, 58, 61–64].

For more on the ST-SI, see [1, 7]. In the computations here, with the ALE-SI and ST-SI the mesh covering the rotor spins with it and we retain the high-resolution representation of the boundary layers.

6. Stabilization parameters

The ST-SUPS, ALE-SUPS, RBVMS, ALE-VMS and ST-VMS all have some embedded stabilization parameters that play a significant role
These parameters involve a measure of the local length scale (also known as “element length”) and other parameters such as the element Reynolds and Courant numbers. There are many ways of defining the stabilization parameters. Some of the newer options for the stabilization parameters used with the SUPS and VMS can be found in [1,8,39,40,67,74,127-130]. Some of the earlier stabilization parameters used with the SUPS and VMS were also used in computations with other SUPG-like methods, such as the computations reported in [115,131-142]. The stabilization-parameter definitions used in the computations reported in this article can be found from the references cited in the sections where those computations are described.

7. ST-IGA

The ST-IGA is the integration of the ST framework with isogeometric discretization, motivated by the success of NURBS meshes in spatial discretization [5,16,98,143]. It was introduced in [6]. Computations with the ST-VMS and ST-IGA were first reported in [6] in a 2D context, with IGA basis functions in space for flow past an airfoil, and in both space and time for the advection equation. Using higher-order basis functions in time enables getting full benefit out of using higher-order basis functions in space (see the stability and accuracy analysis given in [6] for the advection equation).

The ST-IGA with IGA basis functions in time enables, as pointed out and demonstrated in [6,8,28,45,47], a more accurate representation of the motion of the solid surfaces and a mesh motion consistent with that. It also enables more efficient temporal representation of the motion and deformation of the volume meshes, and more efficient remeshing. These motivated the development of the STNMUM [8,40,45,47]. The STNMUM has a wide scope that includes spinning solid surfaces. With the spinning motion represented by quadratic NURBS in time, and with sufficient number of temporal patches for a full rotation, the circular paths are represented exactly. A “secondary mapping” [6,8,19,28] enables also specifying a constant angular velocity for invariant speeds along the circular paths.

The ST framework and NURBS in time also enable, with the “ST-C” method, extracting a continuous representation from the computed data and, in large-scale computations, efficient data compression [3,7,60,67-69,144]. The STNMUM and the ST-IGA with IGA basis functions in time have been used in many 3D computations. The classes of problems solved are flapping-wing aerodynamics for an actual locust [8,19,45,46], bioinspired MAVs [41,42,47,48] and wing-clapping [49,50], separation aerodynamics of spacecraft [33], aerodynamics of horizontal-axis [40-43] and vertical-axis [1,4,44] wind-turbines, thermo-fluid analysis of ground vehicles and their tires [60,67], thermo-fluid analysis of disk brakes [7], flow-driven string dynamics in turbomachinery [3,68,69], flow analysis of turbocharger turbines [9-11,70,71], and flow analysis of coronary arteries in motion [65].

The ST-IGA with IGA basis functions in space enables more accurate representation of the geometry and increased accuracy in the flow solution. It accomplishes that with fewer control points, and consequently with larger effective element sizes. That in turn enables using larger time-step sizes while keeping the Courant number at a desirable level for good accuracy. It has been used in ST computational flow analysis of turbocharger turbines [9-11,70,71], flow-driven string dynamics in turbomachinery [3,69], ram-air parachutes [77], spacecraft parachutes [79], aortas [56-58], heart valves [56,58,61-64], coronary arteries in motion [65], tires with road contact and deformation [73-75], and fluid films [75,76]. Using IGA basis functions in space is now a key part of some of the newest arterial zero-stress-state (ZSS) estimation methods [58,145-150] and related shell analysis [151].

For more on the ST-IGA, see [9,19,45,77]. In the computational flow analyses presented here, the ST-IGA enables more accurate representation of the turbine and turbomachinery geometries, increased accuracy in the flow solution, and using larger time-step sizes. Integration of the ST-SI with the ST-IGA enables a more accurate representation of the rotor motion and a mesh motion consistent with that, and we will describe the ST-SI-IGA in Section 8.
8. ST-SI-IGA

The ST-SI-IGA is the integration of the ST-SI and ST-IGA. The turbocharger turbine flow [9–11, 70, 71] and flow-driven string dynamics in turbomachinery [3, 69] were computed with the ST-SI-IGA. The IGA basis functions were used in the spatial discretization of the fluid mechanics equations and also in the temporal representation of the rotor and spinning-mesh motion. That enabled accurate representation of the turbine geometry and rotor motion and increased accuracy in the flow solution. The IGA basis functions were used also in the spatial discretization of the string structural dynamics equations. That enabled increased accuracy in the structural dynamics solution, as well as smoothness in the string shape and fluid dynamics forces computed on the string.

The ram-air parachute analysis [77] and spacecraft parachute compressible-flow analysis [79] were conducted with the ST-SI-IGA, based on the ST-SI version that weakly enforces the Dirichlet conditions and the ST-SI version that accounts for the porosity of a thin structure. The ST-IGA with IGA basis functions in space enabled, with relatively few number of unknowns, accurate representation of the parafoil and parachute geometries and increased accuracy in the flow solution. The volume mesh needed to be generated both inside and outside the parafoil. Mesh generation inside was challenging near the trailing edge because of the narrowing space. The spacecraft parachute has a very complex geometry, including gores and gaps. Using IGA basis functions addressed those challenges and still kept the element density near the trailing edge of the parafoil and around the spacecraft parachute at a reasonable level.

In the heart valve analysis [56, 58, 61–64], the ST-SI-IGA, beyond enabling a more accurate representation of the geometry and increased accuracy in the flow solution, kept the element density in the narrow spaces near the leaflet contact areas at a reasonable level.

In computational analysis of flow around tires with road contact and deformation [73–75], the ST-SI-IGA enables a more accurate representation of the geometry and motion of the tire surfaces, a mesh motion consistent with that, and increased accuracy in the flow solution. It also keeps the element density in the tire grooves and in the narrow spaces near the contact areas at a reasonable level. In addition, we benefit from the mesh generation flexibility provided by using SIs. In computational analysis of fluid films [75, 76], the ST-SI-IGA enabled solution with a computational cost comparable to that of the Reynolds-equation model for the comparable solution quality [76]. With that, narrow gaps associated with the road roughness [75] can be accounted for in the flow analysis around tires.

An SI provides mesh generation flexibility in a general context by accurately connecting the two sides of the solution computed over nonmatching meshes. This type of mesh generation flexibility is especially valuable in complex-geometry flow computations with isogeometric discretization, removing the matching requirement between the NURBS patches without loss of accuracy. This feature was used in the flow analysis of heart valves [56, 58, 61–64], turbocharger turbines [9–11, 70, 71], and spacecraft parachute compressible-flow analysis [79].

For more on the ST-SI-IGA, see [77]. In the computations presented here, the ST-SI-IGA is used for the reasons given and as described in the first paragraph of this section.

9. General-purpose NURBS mesh generation method

While the IGA provides superior accuracy and high-fidelity solutions, to make its use even more practical in computational flow analysis with complex geometries, NURBS volume mesh generation needs to be easier and more automated. The general-purpose NURBS mesh generation method introduced in [10] serves that purpose. The method is based on multi-block-structured mesh generation with established techniques, projection of that mesh to a NURBS mesh made of patches that correspond to the blocks, and recovery of the original model surfaces. The recovery of the original surfaces is to the extent
they are suitable for accurate and robust computations. The method targets retaining the refinement distribution and element quality of the multi-block-structured mesh that we start with. Because good techniques and software for generating multi-block-structured meshes are easy to find, the method makes general-purpose NURBS mesh generation relatively easy.

Mesh-quality performance studies for 2D and 3D meshes, including those for complex models, were presented in [11]. A test computation for a turbocharger turbine and exhaust manifold was also presented in [11], with a more detailed computation in [70]. The mesh generation method was used also in the pump-flow analysis part of the flow-driven string dynamics presented in [3] and in the aorta flow analysis presented in [56, 57]. The performance studies, test computations and actual computations demonstrated that the general-purpose NURBS mesh generation method makes the IGA use in fluid mechanics computations even more practical.

For more on the general-purpose NURBS mesh generation method, see [10, 11]. In the computations presented here, the method is used for the VAWT and for the pump-flow part of the flow-driven string dynamics.

10. Other computational methods

10.1. String dynamics

The string in the flow-driven string dynamics is modeled with bending-stabilized cable elements [152], using the IGA with cubic NURBS basis functions. This gives us a higher-order method, and smoothness in the structure shape. It also gives us smoothness in the fluid forces acting on the string. Because a string is a very thin structure, its influence on the flow will be very small. In the one-way-dependence model, we compute the influence of the flow on the string dynamics, while avoiding the formidable task of computing the influence of the string on the flow. The fluid mechanics forces acting on the string are calculated with the method described in [12] for computing the aerodynamic forces acting on the suspension lines of spacecraft parachutes. Contact between the string and solid surfaces is handled with the Surface-Edge-Node Contact Tracking (SENCT-FC) method [133], which is a later version of the SENCT introduced in [22].

10.2. Particle residence time

In flow-driven string dynamics in pumps, the residence time computations help us to have a simplified but quick understanding of the string behavior. The computation is based on solving a time-dependent advection equation with a unit source term. For more on the computation method, see [3].

10.3. Rotation representation with constant angular velocity

We use quadratic NURBS functions, as described in [8], to represent a circular-arc trajectory. The secondary mapping concept, introduced in [6], enables us to specify a constant velocity along that trajectory. For more on this method, see [6, 8].

11. ST computation: flow-driven string dynamics in a pump

This section is from [3].

11.1. Flow analysis of the pump

We use a vortex pump with 6 blades, including two higher-height blades. The rotor diameter is roughly 150 mm. We are unable to provide more details due to the industrial-partner restrictions. The quadratic NURBS mesh used in the computation is shown in Figure 1. The number of control points and elements are 838,222 and 544,466. The pump is used for water, the density is 998.2 kg/m³, and the kinematic viscosity $8.7\times10^{-7}$ m²/s. The rotation speed is
2,544 rpm. The boundary conditions are shown in Figure 2.

![Control mesh](image1)

**Fig. 1:** Control mesh. Red circles represent the control points.

![Boundary conditions](image2)

**Fig. 2:** Boundary conditions. Flow velocity at the inlet (red), zero-stress at the outlet (blue), and no-slip on the wall and rotor (green). The circular interface (yellow) is the SI.

At the inlet, \( Q = 5.46 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^3/\text{s} \). The time-step size is \( 9.8 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s} \). The number of nonlinear iterations per time step is 3, and the number of GMRES iterations per nonlinear iteration is 100. Stabilization parameters of the ST-VMS are those given by Eqs. (2.4)–(2.6), (2.8) and (2.10) in [1].

Figure 3 shows the second invariant of the velocity gradient tensor. The turbulent nature of the flow is well represented. The solution is compared to the experimental data from Professor Kazuyoshi Miyagawa’s group (Waseda University). The conditions here are close to those corresponding to the best-efficiency operating point, and the relative error in the efficiency compared to the experimental data is less than 1.5%. The computed flow field from rotations 17 through 21 is stored with the ST-C [144] as the data compression method and is used repeatedly in the string dynamics and residence time computations.

![Isosurfaces](image3)

**Fig. 3:** Isosurfaces of the second invariant value of velocity gradient tensor, colored by the velocity magnitude (m/s).
11.2. String dynamics in the pump

The string has 1.5 mm diameter and circular-shape cross-section. We compute with three different string lengths, 10, 50 and 70 mm. The Young's modulus and density are $5.0 \text{ MPa}$ and $960 \text{ kg/m}^3$. We use a cubic NURBS mesh, with 19 control points and 16 elements. There are 17 different initial positions, shown in Figure 4. The initial string velocity is 2.0 m/s in the flow direction. The time-step size is $9.8\times10^{-4}$ s, which is 10 times smaller than the time-step size used in the flow computation. The number of nonlinear iterations per time step is 3, with full GMRES (i.e. until no more Krylov vectors can be found).

Figures 5–7 show, for the three different string lengths, the string with the initial position at A (see Figure 4). In all three cases the string first hits the top of the blade, and then moves to the edge of the pump casing.

11.3. Residence time for the pump

The computation is carried out with a time-step size of $4.9\times10^{-4}$ s, which is 5 times larger than the time-step size used in the flow computation. The number of nonlinear iterations per time step is 2, and the number of GMRES iterations per nonlinear iteration is 30.

The flow-rate-averaged residence time over the outlet is shown in Figure 8. After 1.2 s it reaches the maximum value. Figure 9 shows the spatial distribution of the residence time at the end of the computation. The residence time under the rotor is much higher than the residence time at the outlet, which is around 0.4 s. This means that this region is not connected to the main flow.

11.4. Discussion

We discuss the relationship between the string dynamics and the residence time. Figure 10 show, for the string with length 70 mm, the time histories of the string centroid positions in radius and height. We see some strings moving in circles along the bottom edges of the casing. These strings tend to stay there and cannot rise up. Therefore they stay in the pump forever. This can be correlated with the high residence time at the bottom of the pump (Figure 9).

12. ST computation: aerodynamics of a VAWT

We present our preliminary test computations with 2D model of the aerodynamics of a VAWT. The wind turbine has four support columns at the periphery. Figure 11 shows the wind turbine. The design is modeled after the wind turbine in [154]. The rotor diameter is 16 m, and the machine height is 45 m. The three blades are based on the NACA0015 airfoil, and the cord length and the blade height are 1.5 m and 18 m, respectively. There are two connecting rods from the hub to each blade, and the blades are supported without any tilt with respect to the tangent of the rotation path. The four support columns are cylindrical with circular cross-section, and they provide enough strength to support the rotor, which is estimated to weigh 3 t.

We carry out the computations at a constant free-stream velocity $U_\infty$ and with prescribed rotor motion at constant angular velocity. The rotation is clockwise viewed from the top. The air
Fig. 5: String with length 10 mm and initial position at A.
Fig. 6: String with length 50 mm and initial position at A. We note that the string leaves the casing before the 6th picture.
Fig. 7: String with length 70 mm and initial position at A.
density and kinematic viscosity are 1.205 kg/m$^3$ and $1.511 \times 10^{-5}$ m$^2$/s. We define the blade orientation as represented by the angle $\phi$ seen in Figure 12.

With that orientation, the flow speed seen by a blade can be calculated as

$$V = U_\infty \sqrt{1 - 2\lambda \sin \phi + \lambda^2},$$

where $\lambda$ is the tip-speed ratio (TSR). The symbol $T$ will denote the rotation cycle.

The computational-domain size is 62.5 times the rotor diameter in the wind direction, with a distance of 18.75 times the rotor diameter between the upstream boundary and the center of the rotor. In the cross-wind direction, the domain size is 37.5 times the rotor diameter.

The mesh position is represented by quadratic NURBS in time. There are three patches that are 120$^\circ$ each, and the secondary mapping introduced in [8] is used to achieve the constant angular velocity. The free-stream velocity is 12.56 m/s.

We compute with TSR = 4. The model geometry and the SI are shown in Figure 13. The boundary conditions are $U_\infty$ at the inflow, zero stress at the outflow, slip at the lateral boundaries, and no-slip on the rotor and support column surfaces. The prescribed velocity is evaluated at the integration points, with the values extracted from the NURBS representation of the rotor surface velocity.

We use two different meshes. We start with Mesh 1, and obtain the other mesh by knot insertion. We halve the knot spacing to get Mesh 2. Figure 14 shows Mesh 1. The number of control points and elements are shown in Table 1. We compute with two different time-step sizes. The two time-step sizes selected translate to $\Delta \phi = 2^\circ$ and $\Delta \phi = 1^\circ$ per time step. The number of nonlinear iterations per time step is 5, and the number of GMRES iterations per nonlinear iteration is 300. The first three nonlinear iterations are based on the ST-SUPS, and the last two the ST-VMS. The stabilization parameters are those given by Eqs. (4)–(8), and (10) in [70]. In the ST-SI, we set $C = 2$.

Figures 15 and 16 show, for Mesh 1 with $\Delta \phi = 1^\circ$ and Mesh 2 with $\Delta \phi = 2^\circ$, the velocity magnitude in the wake of the support columns located at $\phi = 180^\circ$ and $\phi = 90^\circ$. Overall, the wakes are captured better with smaller Courant numbers.
Fig. 10: String with length 70 mm. Time histories of the string centroid positions in radius and height.
Fig. 11: A VAWT.

Fig. 12: Blade orientation as represented by the angle $\phi$.

Fig. 13: 2D VAWT. Model geometry and SI.

Fig. 14: 2D VAWT. Mesh 1 (control mesh).

Fig. 15: 2D VAWT. Velocity magnitude for Mesh 1 with $\Delta \phi = 1^\circ$ in the wake of the support columns located at $\phi = 180^\circ$ (left) and $\phi = 90^\circ$ (right), for $t/T$ ranging from 0.2 to 1.
Fig. 16: 2D VAWT. 2D VAWT. Velocity magnitude for Mesh 2 with $\Delta \phi = 2^\circ$ in the wake of the support columns located at $\phi = 180^\circ$ (left) and $\phi = 90^\circ$ (right), for $t/T$ ranging from 0.2 to 1.
13. ALE computation: HAWT FSI with rotor–tower coupling

Dynamic coupling of a spinning rotor with flexible blades to a deformable tower presents a challenge for standalone structural, as well as coupled FSI simulations. In this section we address this challenge by using a penalty-based approach that allows load transfer between the spinning rotor and tower (see Figure 17). This approach presents an alternative technique to that proposed in [92], and naturally accommodates coupling of distinct structural models (e.g., shells and solids) and discretizations (e.g., finite elements and IGA).

13.1. Formulation of the rotor–tower penalty coupling

In a wind turbine, the rotor hub is connected to the nacelle by the main shaft that transfers the rotational motion of the rotor hub to the gearbox. Since we do not wish to model the drivetrain operation directly, a simplified rotor-tower coupling strategy is required. We develop such a strategy by exploiting a penalty-based technique. For this, we first define the regions on both the rotor and nacelle surfaces that interact with one another, and denote them by $\Gamma_1$ (rotor side) and $\Gamma_2$ (nacelle side). These regions, which are assumed to have a circular shape, are highlighted using distinct colors in Figure 18. We then design the penalty operator, which precludes all relative motion between $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$ except for relative rotation about the rotor axis. This is achieved, conceptually, by using an overconstrained truss-like system to link the two interaction surfaces. More specifically, the change of distance between a point on one surface and every point on the opposing surface, as shown in Figure 18 (a), is penalized. Figure 18 (b) illustrates all penalized distances between the two surfaces. If the set of current distances (see Figure 18 (c)) is not the same as the set of reference distances (see Figure 18 (b)), the penalty term will produce forces to keep the current distances the same as the reference distances. The remaining challenge is to remove the forces associated with the relative spinning motion. For this, the distances in the reference configuration are computed from the rotated configuration of the rotor. The latter requires calculation of the total rotation angle $\theta$ (see Figure 18 (d)).

With these considerations, the potential form of the penalty term becomes

$$\Pi_p = \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_{\Gamma_2} \left( \| x_1 - x_2 \| - \| X^r_1 - X^r_2 \| \right)^2 \text{d}\Gamma_2 \text{d}\Gamma_1, \quad (10)$$

where $\beta$ is the penalty constant, $x_1$ and $x_2$ are the current positions of the two interaction surfaces, and $X^r_1$ and $X^r_2$ are the reference positions of the two interaction surfaces after taking their relative rotation into account. To arrive at the contribution of the penalty term to the weak form of the structural mechanics problem, we take a variation of $\Pi_p$ with respect to $x_1$ and $x_2$ to obtain

$$\delta \Pi_p = \frac{\partial \Pi_p}{\partial x_1} \cdot \delta x_1 + \frac{\partial \Pi_p}{\partial x_2} \cdot \delta x_2$$

$$= \beta \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_{\Gamma_2} \left( \| x_1 - x_2 \| - \| X^r_1 - X^r_2 \| \right) \cdot \frac{x_1 - x_2}{\| x_1 - x_2 \|} \text{d}\Gamma_2 \text{d}\Gamma_1. \quad (11)$$
(a) A set of distances between a point on a surface and points on another surface.
(b) A set of distances in the reference configuration.
(c) A set of distances in the current configuration.
(d) Total rotation angle.

Fig. 18: Key concepts of the penalty-based methodology for rotor–tower coupling.

In the discrete setting, the above integrals are approximated using numerical quadrature. Because only quadrature-point locations and weights are needed to formulate the method, it is well suited for coupling of distinct models and discretizations for the different structural components, which we do in this work.

13.2. Rotor and tower models and meshes

A 3D model of the Hexcrete tower is constructed parametrically using the computer-aided design (CAD) software Rhinoceros 3D and the Grasshopper algorithmic modeling plugin for Rhinoceros (see [155] for details of the parametric modeling methodology). The profile of the tower is hexagonal with smaller hexagonal columns at each corner (see Figure 19). The cylindrical nacelle is also modeled as part of the tower and approximated considered as a solid block. The tower is discretized using 295,332 linear tetrahedral elements. The columns have a Young’s modulus 51.36 GPa, whereas the panels have a Young’s modulus 47.23 GPa. The density and
Poisson’s ratio of both are assumed to be 2,392 kg/m$^3$ and 0.2, respectively. The nacelle has a Young’s modulus 500 GPa, Poisson’s ratio 0.2, and density 741 kg/m$^3$ to produce a realistically stiff structure with a mass of 82 metric tons. Given these design characteristics, the combined tower and nacelle structure has a mass of approximately 1,662 metric tons.

For the NREL 5 MW rotor design, we use the geometry definition provided in [156] to generate an initial blade model using the Grasshopper algorithmic modeling plugin for Rhinoceros. We then scale the blade by a factor appropriate to achieve a 108 m rotor and convert the model to a T-spline geometry description. Three such blades are then attached to a hub with a precone angle of 2.5 degrees to produce the final rotor model. A simplified blade structural model is considered in this work. Internal shear webs are not modeled, and an isotropic material with an assumed thickness distribution is used (more details can be found in [87]). The Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio are set to 55.2 GPa and 0.2, respectively. The density is set to 2500 kg/m$^3$. Material properties and shell thickness distribution are selected such that the rotor has a mass of 60,000 kg, and such that the blade undergoes reasonable deflection and has a natural frequency of 0.705 Hz. This frequency was calculated using a simple proportional scaling law [157] applied to the original NREL 5 MW blade natural frequency of 0.870 Hz. Figure 20 shows the rotor model, where the T-spline mesh

---

**Fig. 19:** CAD model of the Hexcrete tower (left) and a section of the tower solid mesh (right).
Fig. 20: T-spline mesh of the rotor surface.

Fig. 21: Air speed contours at a planar cut (left) and wind-turbine deflected shape (right). The undeformed structure is shown in gray and the deformed structure is shown in light green.

Fig. 22: Penalty coupling error as a function of time.

Consists of 23,244 $C^1$-continuous cubic elements and 25,151 control points.

13.3. Results

The FSI simulation is performed at the rated wind speed of 11.4 m/s. Figure 21 shows the flow visualization of the full wind turbine configuration, and the deflection of the tower and blades.

The figure clearly demonstrates that the rotor and tower displacements are coupled while the rotor is spinning. To assess the penalty-coupling error $E_{\text{int}}$ we define it as

$$E_{\text{int}} \equiv \frac{\int_{\Gamma_1} \left( \| x_1 - x_2 \| - \| X_{r1} - X_{r2} \| \right)^2 d\Gamma_2 d\Gamma_1}{\int_{\Gamma_1} \int_{\Gamma_2} \| X_{r1} - X_{r2} \|^2 d\Gamma_2 d\Gamma_1},$$

and plot it as a function of time in Figure 22. The figure clearly shows that the coupling error, defined as a relative, dimensionless quantity, is very small.

14. Concluding remarks

We have described how the challenges encountered in computational analysis of wind turbines...
and turbomachinery are being addressed by the ALE-VMS and ST-VMS methods and isogeometric discretization. The computational challenges include turbulent rotational flows, complex geometries, MBI, such as the rotor motion, and the FSI, such as the FSI between the wind turbine blade and the air. The ALE-VMS and ST-VMS serve as the core computational methods. They are supplemented with special methods like the ST-ALE and ST-SI, weak enforcement of the no-slip boundary conditions, and ST-IGA with NURBS basis functions in time. We described the core methods and some of the special methods. We presented, as examples of challenging computations performed, computational analysis of a HA WT, a VA WT and flow-driven string dynamics in pumps. The examples demonstrate the power and scope of the core and special methods in computational analysis of wind turbines and turbomachinery.
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