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Abstract
In this work, the case of coupling (bidirectional and unidirectional) between two identical nonlinear chaotic circuits via a linear resistor, is studied. The produced dynamical systems have different structure, in regard to other similar works, due to the choice of coupling nodes. As a circuit, a modification of the most well-known nonlinear circuit that can operate in a wide range of radiofrequencies, the Colpitts oscillator, is chosen. The simulation and the experimental results show a variety of dynamical phenomena, such as periodic, quasi-periodic and chaotic behaviors, as well as anti-phase and complete synchronization phenomena, depending on the value of the coupling coefficient.
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1. Introduction
In the last decades the design of nonlinear circuits seems to attract the interest of the research community because of its nature and its rapid development. The easy simulation of chaotic phenomena with nonlinear circuits and the great number of applications, such as in cryptography, in secure communications and in neuronal networks, are some of the reasons that appoint the research in this field significant [1-3].

However, the great majority of the nonlinear circuits, which were historically proposed, work at low frequencies [4-7]. For this reason, there is an increased interest in designing nonlinear circuits that can operate in a wider range of radiofrequencies. In this direction the well-known circuit of Colpitts oscillator proved to be an ideal candidate.

Although it is commonly used to generate sinusoidal signals, with special settings of the circuit parameters, it may exhibit chaotic behavior [8]. Also, compared to its low frequency counterparts, such as Chua’s circuit [7], which bandwidth was greatly limited by the nonlinear negative resistance commonly built with operational amplifiers, Colpitts circuit works in higher frequencies.

So, especially the last two decades, the research activity on chaotic circuits has shifted from low to high operating frequencies. This occurs because chaotic oscillators, capable of generating chaotic oscillations from audio frequencies up to the optical band, may be used as sources of chaotic carriers in a variety of applications including broadband communications, signal masking, chaos modulation, spectrum spreading, radar and cryptography of high entropy sources [9-13].

In the aforementioned applications crucial role on the success plays the chosen chaotic synchronization scheme. The notion of chaotic synchronization was introduced by Pecora and Carroll in 1990 [14]. Since then, a great number of works which present different synchronization schemes or various dynamical phenomena have been reported to the literature [15-20].

In this paper, the case of coupling between two Colpitts-type circuits, by using two different coupling schemes (bidirectional or mutual and unidirectional) is presented. In contrast to the standard Colpitts oscillator, in this circuit, its base is biased by the second voltage source which is in parallel with a third capacitor. Computer simulations as well as experimental results of the proposed coupling scheme confirm not only the achieving of chaotic synchronization but also many interesting dynamical phenomena in the route from desynchronization to synchronization.

So, the rest of the chapter is organized as follows. Sections 2 presents the new proposed Colpitts-type circuit and the analysis of its dynamical behaviour, by using the bifurcation diagram and the phase portraits. In Section 3 the two coupling schemes, which are used in this work, the mutual or bidirectional coupling and the unidirectional coupling are discussed. Also, the numerical simulations and the experimental results confirm the rich dynamical
behaviour of the proposed coupling schemes, as well as the achieving of the chaotic synchronization in both cases. Finally, Section 4 includes the conclusion remarks of this work.

2. The Proposed Colpitts Circuit

The proposed circuit, which is used, is a fourth order Colpitts-type circuit, as it is shown in Fig.1(a). In more details, this circuit is based on the standard Colpitts circuit [21], which its base is biased by the second voltage source \( V_{bb} \) through the resistor \( R_B \) and this branch is in parallel with the capacitor \( C_B \).

In order to derive a mathematical model that is tractable both analytically and numerically, two basic assumptions, are considered:

- Firstly, all capacitors, inductor, and resistors are assumed to be linear.
- Secondly, the transistor Q is modeled by a nonlinear resistor \( R_N \) and a linear current-controlled current source \( a I_E \), as shown in Fig.1(b).

Denoting by \( (x, y, z) \) the voltages \( V_{C_i} (i = 1, 2, 3) \) across the capacitors \( C_i (i = 1, 2, 3) \) and by \( (w) \) the voltage across the resistor \( R_S \), the Kirchhoff’s electric circuit laws can be applied to the schematic diagram of Fig.1(a) to obtain the following fourth-order autonomous dynamical system.

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dx}{d\tau} &= Q[w - \alpha F] \\
\frac{dy}{d\tau} &= Q[w + (1 - \alpha) F - \rho y] \\
\frac{dz}{d\tau} &= \lambda Q[k V_{bb} - (1 - \alpha) F - kz] \\
\frac{dw}{d\tau} &= \frac{1}{2Q}[V_{CC} - x - y - w]
\end{align*}
\]  

(1)

As it is mentioned, the BJT is modeled by a voltage-controlled nonlinear resistor \( R_N \) and a linear current-controlled current source, while the parasitic and the reverse effects are discarded [22]. This BJT model is illustrated in Fig.1(b), where \( \alpha \) denotes the Common-Base (CB) short-circuit forward current gain of the BJT. The nonlinear characteristic of \( R_N \) is approximated by an exponential function:

\[
I_E = f(V_{BE}) = I_S \left( \frac{V_{BE}}{V_T} \right)^{0.1} - 1 
\]  

(2)

which is modeled, in this paper, by using the following piece-wise linear function:

\[
I_E = f(V_{BE}) = \begin{cases} 
I_S \left( \frac{V_{BE} - V_{th}}{V_T} \right), & \text{if } V_{BE} \geq V_{th} \\
0, & \text{if } V_{BE} < V_{th} 
\end{cases} 
\]  

(3)

with \( V_{BE} = z - y \) and \( V_{th} = V_T \left[ \ln \left( \frac{a I_E}{I_S} \right) - 1 \right] \). Also, \( I_S \) is the reverse saturation current of the base-emitter junction and \( V_T = k_B T/q \) is the thermal voltage, with \( k_B \) the Boltzmann constant, \( T \) the absolute temperature expressed in Kelvin, and \( q \) the electron charge. Note that \( V_T \approx 27 \text{ mV} \) at room temperature (300 K).

The system’s (1) parameters are described by the following expressions:

\[
Q = \frac{1}{R_C C_0}, \quad \lambda = C C_0 / C_1, \quad \rho = \frac{R_S}{R_C}, \quad k = \frac{R_S}{R_C}, \quad \gamma = \frac{R_S}{V_T}, \quad \alpha_0 = 2\pi f_0 \text{ and the normalized time is } \tau = \alpha_0 t.
\]

![Fig. 1.](image)

The numerical simulation of system’s equation (1) was done using a fourth-order Runge-Kutta algorithm. For the simulation the following values of circuit’s parameters have been used: \( V_{CC} = 12 \text{ V}, V_{BB} = 7 \text{ V}, I_S = 15 \text{ mA}, I_E = 14.34 \times 10^{-10} \text{ A} \) (for Q2N2222), \( R_L = 20 \text{ } \Omega, R_B = 400 \text{ } \Omega, R_S = 1000 \text{ } \Omega, C = C_1 = C_2 = 56.5 \text{ nF}, C_3 = 107.8 \text{ nF}, \alpha = 0.99379 \) (the forward current gain of the device is \( \beta = 160 \)), while \( L \) played the role of the control parameter.

To understand the behavior of such a dynamical system with the variation of a parameter, the bifurcation diagram can be used. There are many ways to extract this diagram (using the Poincaré surface plot, plot the maxima of the time-series, etc). However, in this work the bifurcation diagram is obtained by plotting the points of intersection of the trajectory with a selected section plane \( (w = 0 \text{ with }dw/dt > 0) \).

In Fig.2(a) the bifurcation diagram of the variable \( y \) versus the control parameter, which is the value of inductor \( L \), is displayed. For the chosen set of parameters, the system follows a period-doubling route to a two band-chaos for \( 55 \mu \text{H} < L < 66.5 \mu \text{H} \), which is interrupted by windows of periodic behavior. Then, the system undergoes a reverse period-doubling sequence and a period-2 steady state is observed for \( 93.5 \mu \text{H} < L < 129 \mu \text{H} \). Next, the period is
doubling again for 129 \( \mu H < L < 289.5 \, \mu H \) and finally, after a reverse period doubling the system undergoes to a period-1 steady state for \( L > 445 \, \mu H \). These forward and reverse period-doubling sequences, as parameter \( L \) increases in a monotone way, is called antimonotonicity [23-28].

In Fig. 3 the phase portraits of the collector voltage \((x + y)\) versus the emitter voltage \(y\), produced by circuit’s simulation process as well the respective experimental by using the digital oscilloscope TDS 2024B of Tektronix, are shown for various values of the inductor \( L \). Furthermore, the initial conditions of a system (1) in the simulation are: \((x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0) = (0.5, 0.2, -0.5, 0.0)\). The very good agreement between the simulation and experimental results can be observed.

![Fig. 2. The bifurcation diagram of \( y \) vs. \( L \). The chaotic region is shown more clearly in the inset figure.](image)

\[ \begin{align*}
\frac{dx_1}{dt} &= Q\left[w_1 - \alpha_1 f_1 - \xi(x_1 - x_2) - \xi(y_1 - y_2)\right] \\
\frac{dy_1}{dt} &= Q\left[w_1 + (1 - \alpha_1) f_1 - \rho y_1\right] \\
\frac{dz_1}{dt} &= iQ\left[kv_{ab} - (1 - \alpha_1) f_1 - kz_1\right] \\
\frac{dw_1}{dt} &= \frac{1}{2Q}\left[v_{cc} - x_1 - y_1 - w_1\right] \\
\frac{dx_2}{dt} &= Q\left[w_2 - \alpha_2 f_2 - \xi(x_2 - x_1) - \xi(y_2 - y_1)\right] \\
\frac{dy_2}{dt} &= Q\left[w_2 + (1 - \alpha_2) f_2 - \rho y_2\right] \\
\frac{dz_2}{dt} &= iQ\left[kv_{ab} - (1 - \alpha_2) f_2 - kz_2\right] \\
\frac{dw_2}{dt} &= \frac{1}{2Q}\left[v_{cc} - x_2 - y_2 - w_2\right]
\end{align*} \] (4)

The first four equations of system (4) describe the first of the two coupled identical Colpitts circuits, while the other four describe the second one. The bipolar transistors are chosen to have the same forward current gain \((g_1 = g_2 = 160)\). So, \( \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 \). Also, the parameter \( \xi = R_{11}/R_{E} \) is the coupling coefficient and it is present in the equations of both circuits, since the coupling between them is mutual. As it is shown in this system, there are two terms, in the first and fifth equation, depending on the coupling coefficient \( \xi \), instead of one which is obtained usually in the bidirectionally coupling scheme.

In Fig. 5 the bifurcation diagram of the signal’s difference \((y_2 - y_1)\) versus the coupling coefficient \( \xi \) is shown. This diagram is produced by increasing the coupling coefficient \( \xi \), from \( \xi = 0 \) (uncoupled system) to \( \xi = 2 \) with step \( \Delta \xi = 0.002 \). The initial conditions of the system are \((x_{10}, y_{10}, z_{10}, w_{10}, x_{20}, y_{20}, z_{20}, w_{20}) = (0.5, 0.2, -0.5, 0.0, 0.0, -0.3, 0.2)\), while the circuits’ parameters are the same as in the previous section. Also, the value of the inductor \( L \) is chosen equal to 58.5 \( \mu H \) in order for each circuit to be in a chaotic mode.

From the bifurcation diagram’s analysis, very interesting synchronization phenomena, concerning the coupled circuits, are obtained. In more details, the system begins from a chaotic desynchronization state for \( \xi = 0 \) and very rapidly is driven to a region where the system is in periodic state (i.e. for \( \xi = 0.028 \)). Specifically, in this region the system shows a period-2 steady state, as it can be observed from the phase portrait of \( y_2 \) versus \( y_1 \) in Fig. 6(a).

Also, this behavior drives us to the conclusion that the system shows the phenomenon of anti-phase synchronization [30-31]. This synchronization phenomenon is observed when a coupled system is in a phase locked (periodic) state (Fig. 6(b)), depending on the coupling coefficient and it can be characterized by a \( \pi \)-phase delay.

So, the periodic signals of each coupled circuit have a time lag \( \tau = 0.0155 \, ms \), which is equal to \( T/2 \), where \( T = 0.0310 \, ms \) is the period of the signals (Fig. 7(a)).

This time lag is verified in the proposed system by calculating the well known Similarity function \( S \) [32], defined with respect to the state variables \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \).
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The same phenomenon of anti-phase synchronization is also observed in the second periodic region of $\xi \in [0.09, 0.36]$, as it is confirmed from Figs. 8. By using again the similarity function of Eq.(5) the same time lag, as in the previous case, has been calculated.

Next, the system is driven to a quasi-periodic behavior (Fig. 9), as it is found by calculating the system’s Lyapunov exponents for $\xi = 0.7$ ($LE_1 = 0$, $LE_2 = 0$, $LE_3 = -0.04241$, $LE_4 = -0.04695$, $LE_5 = -0.05199$, $LE_6 = -0.46153$, $LE_7 = -1.28539$, $LE_8 = -1.89186$). The two zero Lyapunov exponents is a sign of a quasi-periodic behavior.

As the coupling factor increases the system gradually exits from the quasi-periodic region and for $\xi [1.42, 1.76]$ the coupled system enters in a region of periodic behavior, as it is observed from Figs. 10, in which the simulation and the respective experimental phase portrait of $y_2$ versus $y_1$, for $\xi = 1.5$ are shown. This region is divided in two sub-regions in which the system is driven by sudden jumps.

Finally, for $\xi > 1.76$ the two coupled circuits are in a chaotic synchronization state (Fig. 11), in which the difference signal $y_2 - y_1$ tends to zero.

### 3.2. The Unidirectional Coupling Scheme

In this case, the coupling is achieved by adding a buffer in the coupling branch, as it is shown in Fig. 8. So, only the first circuit affects the dynamic behavior of the second one. By applying again Kirchhoff’s laws, the following system of differential equations is obtained.

$$S(t) = \sqrt{\frac{\left(y_2(t + \tau) - y_2(t)\right)^2}{\left(\left(y_2(t)\right)^2 - \left(y_1(t)\right)^2\right)^2}}$$
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dx_1}{dt} &= Q[w_1 - \alpha_1 F_1] \\
\frac{dy_1}{dt} &= Q[w_1 + (1 - \alpha_1) F_1 - \rho y_1] \\
\frac{dz_1}{dt} &= \lambda Q[kV_{ab} - (1 - \alpha_1) F_1 - kz_1] \\
\frac{dw_1}{dt} &= \frac{1}{2Q}[V_{cc} - x_1 - y_1 - w_1] \\
\frac{dx_2}{dt} &= Q[w_2 - \alpha_2 F_2 - \bar{\alpha}(x_2 - x_1) - \bar{\epsilon}(y_2 - y_1)] \\
\frac{dy_2}{dt} &= Q[w_2 + (1 - \alpha_2) F_2 - \rho y_2] \\
\frac{dz_2}{dt} &= \lambda Q[kV_{ab} - (1 - \alpha_2) F_2 - kz_2] \\
\frac{dw_2}{dt} &= \frac{1}{2Q}[V_{cc} - x_2 - y_2 - w_2]
\end{align*}
\]

Fig. 4. The schematic of the bidirectionally coupled Colpitts-type circuits, via a linear resistor \(R_x\).

Fig. 5. The bifurcation diagram of \(y_2 - y_1\) vs. \(\zeta\), in the case of bidirectional coupling.

Fig. 6. Simulation phase portraits of (a) \(y_2\) vs. \(y_1\) and (b) \(x_{1,2} + y_{1,2}\) vs. \(y_{1,2}\) for \(\xi = 0.028\) (anti-phase synchronization).

Fig. 7. Time-series of \(y_1\) and \(y_2\), for \(\xi = 0.028\).
Fig. 8. (a) Simulation phase portraits of $y_2$ vs. $y_1$ and (b) time-series of $y_1$ and $y_2$, for (a) $\xi = 0.258$ (anti-phase synchronization).

Fig. 9. (a) Simulation phase portraits of $y_2$ vs. $y_1$ for $\xi = 0.7$ (quasi-periodic behavior).

Fig. 10. (a) Simulation and (b) experimental phase portraits of $y_2$ vs. $y_1$, for $\xi = 1.5$.

By using again the bifurcation diagram of $y_2 - y_1$ versus the coupling coefficient $\xi$, for the same set of circuits’ parameters and initial conditions, as in the previous coupling scheme, an interest dynamical behavior is observed.

The system begins from the chaotic desynchronization state (Fig. 14(a) & (b)), but unexpectedly the system’s chaotic region is interrupted by a small window of chaotic synchronization behavior (Fig. 14(c) & (d)).

However, this state is unstable and the system enters again in an extended chaotic desynchronization region (Fig. 14(e) & (f)), which is gradually reduced and finally after a sudden jump for $\xi_c = 4.0$, the system is driven to a permanent chaotic synchronization state (Fig. 14(g) & (h)).

The value of $\xi_c$ in this case of coupling is bigger than in the case of mutual coupling, due to the fact that only the first circuit affects the dynamics of the second circuit.
Fig. 12. The schematic of the unidirectionally coupled Colpitts-type circuits, via a linear resistor $R_X$ and a buffer.

Fig. 13. The bifurcation diagram of $y_2 - y_1$ vs. $\xi$, in the case of unidirectional coupling.
4. Conclusion

In this paper, the case of resistively coupling between two identical nonlinear chaotic nonlinear circuits has been studied. As coupling schemes the bidirectional and unidirectional cases were chosen. Also, the choice of the coupling nodes was done based on the fact that these coupled systems produce dynamical systems, with different structure, in regard to other similar works.

As a circuit, a modification of the most well-known nonlinear circuit that can operate in a wide range of radiofrequencies, the Colpitts oscillator, is chosen. In this work, circuit’s base is biased by a second voltage source which is parallel with a third capacitor.

The simulation and the experimental results, in both coupling cases, show a variety of dynamical phenomena, depending on the value of the coupling coefficient.

In the case of bidirectional coupling scheme the system presented a complicated dynamical behavior because each circuit influences the dynamics of the other one. So, the classical route from chaotic desynchronization to chaotic synchronization was interrupted by regions in which the coupled system was in a chaotic, periodic or quasi-periodic state. Also, in the periodic regions, for low values of the coupling coefficient, the anti-phase synchronization phenomenon, between the coupled identical Colpitts-type circuits, was observed.

On the other hand, in the case of unidirectional coupling scheme, a more simple and slow route from chaotic desynchronization to chaotic synchronization, was observed. This occurs because only the first circuit affects the dynamics of the second circuit. However, the extended chaotic desynchronization region was interrupted by an unexpected window of unstable chaotic synchronization state, for extremely low values of the coupling coefficient, which has been reported for the first time. This phenomenon occurred because of coupling system’s structure.

Fig. 14. Simulation and experimental phase portraits of $y_2$ vs. $y_1$ for (a) $\xi = 0.06$, (b) $\xi = 0.16$, (c) $\xi = 0.8$ and (d) $\xi = 4.2$.
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