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ABSTRACT

Background: Uterine abnormalities contribute to 10% of infertility cases and 50% of women with recurrent early pregnancy loss whereas fallopian tube abnormalities contribute to 20% of such cases.

Methods: Total 61 patients of infertility and subfertility undergoing evaluation and treatment at our centre were selected for HSG. Total 25 patients from this group have undergone diagnostic laparoscopy, their findings were correlated with HSG findings retrospectively.

Results: All the patients in the study group were either primary or secondary infertility patients. Of the 61 patients of infertility, 49 were in primary infertility group and 12 were in secondary infertility group. The age of patients was between 23 and 35 years. The average duration of primary infertility was 5 years and secondary infertility was 3.5 years. Total 61 patients underwent HSG, 42 (68.8%) patients had normal findings and 19 (31.14%) patients had abnormal findings. In abnormal findings 4 (6.55%) were Mullerian abnormalities and 15 (24.59%) were either unilateral or bilateral tubal block. Total 25 patients underwent diagnostic laparoscopy out of 61 patients. The sensitivity of HSG was 90% and specificity was 60% with positive predictive value of 60% and negative predictive value of 90% as compared to diagnostic laparoscopy. In our laparoscopy findings, peri-adnexal adhesions were found in 5 (20%) of the blocked tubes on laparoscopy. Endometriosis was detected in 1 (4%) of the blocked tubes and suspected intra-tubal block in 2 (8%). Pelvic inflammatory disease was found to contribute in 3 (12%).

Conclusions: HSG demonstrates high sensitivity in our study. So, it should be used as the initial investigation for identifying uterine abnormality and tubal patency. As the specificity is less, we suggest that laparoscopy is necessary to recognize those cases of tubal block, which were unrecognized or wrongly recognized on HSG. In addition, the patients who were found to have tubal block on HSG, laparoscopy helps in finding the cause of infertility like existence of peritubal adhesions and endometriosis that can guide appropriate therapy.
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INTRODUCTION

Infertility is defined as the inability to conceive after one year of unprotected intercourse of reasonable frequency. It is mainly of two types, Primary and Secondary infertility. Most of the clinicians will start evaluation of couple after one year. Approximately 25 to 30 percent of all infertile women are diagnosed with tubal disease in developing countries.¹,² Genital tuberculosis may account for 3-5 percent of infertility cases in developing countries including India Congenital or acquired uterine abnormalities also contribute to infertility or subfertility in females.³,⁴ Congenital abnormalities are uterine septum, unicornuate uterus, bicornuate uterus. Acquired
anomalies are like intrauterine leiomyomas, polyps or synechiae, which all can be causes of subfertility too.5-9

During investigations HSG is first line approach to assess the patency of fallopian tubes and uterine anomalies. However, despite tubal patency being demonstrated by HSG, laparoscopy has shown better detection of tubal patency with additional information of peritubal adhesions and endometriosis.10,11 HSG is less invasive test compared to diagnostic laparoscopy and safe, rapid and cost effective also.

Uterine abnormalities contribute to 10% of infertility cases and 50% of women with recurrent early pregnancy loss whereas fallopian tube abnormalities contribute to 20% of such cases.12,13

METHODS

61 patients of primary and secondary infertility after complete evaluation underwent HSG. 25 patients from these patients underwent Diagnostic Laparoscopy in a secondary care hospital from Dec 2016 to Jan 2018.

Contrast Media

Water soluble dyes have been found to provide better detail of uterine cavity and mucosal folds of the ampullary portion of the tube and are more quickly eliminated.14

In the present study, we have used Urografin 76% and Ultrascan 60%. 1 Rad or 10mGy for upto 10mins (100Gy total) has been suggested as the threshold below which the added incidence of teratogenicity is exceedingly low. The radiation dose to the ovaries during HSG varies from 0.4t-5.5Gy.15

HSG was performed between day 5 to day 10 of cycle to avoid possible pregnancy. Patients were made to lie down in dorsal lithotomy position, Cervix and vagina were cleaned with antiseptic solution and then cervix was held with the help of Sims speculum and valsellum.

Prefilled with water soluble dye, Lych Wilkinson canula of appropriate size was introduced in cervical canal. Serial spot radiographs were taken during uterine filling phase after injecting 3-4 cc of dye followed by another 3-4 cc for visualization of tubal image and spillage as shown in Figure 1.

Patients were given Diclofenac suppository 100mg per rectal 30 min before the procedure for analgesia. No antibiotics were given except in two patients.

Diagnostic laparoscopy was done in patients who did not conceive with 2 cycles of Intra uterine insemination after HSG or had abnormal findings on HSG. Procedure was done under general anesthesia after preoperative evaluation. Patients were in lithotomy position. Parts preparation was done, and subsequently uterine manipulator was introduced through cervix for visualization and introduction of dye. Primary ports were introduced through umbilical incision and secondary port in right side of abdomen. Anatomical inspection and evaluation of abdomen was done and finding noted. Finally, methylene blue was introduced through manipulator and its spillage in abdominal cavity through bilateral fallopian tubes were noted.

Complications of HSG

No major complications were encountered in the present study; however, patients who complained of post procedural pain were given oral NSAIDs for 3 days.

Figure 1: 4 cc for visualization of tubal image and spillage.

RESULTS

All the patients in the study group were either primary or secondary infertility patients. Of the 61 patients of infertility, 49 were in primary infertility group and 12 were in secondary infertility group. The age of patients was between 23 and 35 years. The average duration of primary infertility was 5 years and secondary infertility was 3.5 years.

Total 61 patients underwent HSG, 42 (68.8%) patients had normal findings and 19 (31.14%) patients had abnormal findings. In abnormal findings 4 (6.55%) were mullerian abnormalities and 15 (24.59%) were either unilateral or bilateral tubal block. Total 25 patients
underwent diagnostic laparoscopy out of 61 patients as shown in Figure 2 and 3.

Figure 2: Comparison of abnormal findings in HSG and Diagnostic laparoscopy.

Figure 3: Comparison of normal findings in HSG and Diagnostic laparoscopy

The sensitivity of HSG was 90% and specificity was 60% with positive predictive value of 60% and negative predictive value of 90% as compared to diagnostic laparoscopy as shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Relative risk of abnormal Doppler indices with adverse perinatal outcome.

|                      | Diagnostic lap positive | Diagnostic lap negative | Total |
|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| HSG positive         | 9                       | 6                       | 15    |
| HSG negative         | 1                       | 9                       | 10    |
| Total                | 10                      | 15                      |       |

Tubal block was defined as any form of tubal occlusion detected at HSG and finally confirmed on laparoscopy.

In our laparoscopy findings, periadnexal adhesions were found in 5 (20%) of the blocked tubes on laparoscopy.

Endometriosis was detected in 1 (4%) of the blocked tubes and suspected intratubal block in 2 (8%). Pelvic inflammatory disease was found to contribute in 3 (12%).

DISCUSSION

Infertility is defined as one year of unprotected intercourse without conception. Incidence of infertility has remained relatively constant over last three decades. Infertility varies across regions of the world and is estimated to affect 8 to 12 per cent of couples worldwide one of the major causes for infertility in India is tubal and peritoneal factors, which accounts for 30-40%.16-19

Authors try to look mainly for these factors by doing HSG and Diagnostic laparoscopy. HSG shows the shape and size of uterine cavity and define tubal status; it is an excellent predictor of tubal patency but not for peritubal and pelvic adhesions.

Laparoscopy is more invasive test than HSG but has the advantage of detecting peritoneal and peritubal factors as compared to HSG, which makes it gold standard for detection of peritoneal and tubal factors especially when HSG results are abnormal.
In the present study, a total of 61 patients were selected as per inclusion criteria. Out of these 61 cases, primary and secondary infertility were 49 and 12 respectively. 42 (68.85%) HSG were normal and 19 (31.14%) HSG were having abnormal findings. Out of 19 abnormal cases, Mullerian anomalies constituted 4 (6.55%) with 2 cases of arcuate uterus and single case of bicornuate uterus and unicornuate uterus each. The other type of abnormalities investigated were 15 (24.59%) cases of tubal block, unilateral and bilateral as shown in Figure 6.

![Figure 6: Findings in diagnostic laparoscopy.](image)

In the present study, we have taken into consideration the diagnostic laparoscopy as the reference standard in detecting tubal blockage. We compared HSG findings of tubal patency with laparoscopic chromotubation findings and found a sensitivity of 90% (95%CI: 76.43-96.86) and specificity was 60% (95%CI: 59.24-89.39) which were comparable with study by Gokhan Goynumer et al which showed sensitivity and specificity of 80% and 75% respectively when tubal block was defined as either unilateral or bilateral.22 Another study in the year 2016 by Syeed Masuma Rizvi et al also shows similar results.23

**CONCLUSION**

HSG demonstrates high sensitivity in the present study. So, it should be used as the initial investigation for identifying uterine abnormality and tubal patency. As the specificity is less, we suggest that laparoscopy is necessary to recognize those cases of tubal block, which were unrecognized or wrongly recognized on HSG. In addition, the patients who were found to have tubal block on HSG, laparoscopy helps in finding the cause of infertility like existence of peritubal adhesions and endometriosis that can guide appropriate therapy.
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