Analysis of exocyst function in endodermis reveals its widespread contribution and specificity of action
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Abstract
The exocyst is the main plasma membrane vesicle-tethering complex in eukaryotes and is composed of eight different subunits. Yet, in plant genomes, many subunits display multiple copies, thought to reflect evolution of complex subtypes with divergent functions. In Arabidopsis thaliana root endodermal cells, the isoform EXO70A1 is required for positioning of CASP1 at the Casparian Strip Domain, but not for its non-targeted secretion to the plasma membrane. Here, we show that exo84b resembles exo70a1 mutants regarding CASP1 mistargeting and secretion of apoplastic proteins, but exo84b additionally affects secretion of other integral plasma membrane proteins. Moreover, conditional, cell-type-specific gene editing of the single-copy core component SEC6 allows visualization of secretion defects in plant cells with a complete lack of exocyst complex function. Our approach opens avenues for deciphering the complexity/diversity of exocyst functions in plant cells and enables analysis of central trafficking components with lethal phenotypes.

Introduction
Membrane trafficking is essential for eukaryotic cells growth and cytokinesis. Transport of integral membrane proteins from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) to plasmamembrane (PM) via the Golgi apparatus relies on vesicular trafficking and requires the function of numerous proteins along the way (Schekman and Novick, 2004; Schekman, 2010). This plethora of proteins support ER budding, vesicular transport to the target membranes where the vesicle will be tethered, docked and fused, releasing the embedded membrane proteins and luminal content (Kanazawa and Ueda, 2017). Pioneering work from Novick and Schekman identified key factors involved in this process by forward genetics in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisae leading to the identification of 23 SEC loci (Novick and Schekman, 1979; Novick et al., 1980). Since then, the number of proteins involved in secretory processes has further expanded involving over 100 players (Schekman, 2010) and spatiotemporal regulation of secretion events is now accepted to be essential for correct
protein function, regulating their activation, stability and localization to various membrane locations. SEC3, SEC5, SEC6, SEC8, SEC8, SEC10, SEC15, EXO70, and EXO84 proteins work together as subunits in a complex termed the exocyst. The exocyst is a vesicle-tethering complex conserved in eukaryotes and plays a key role in the last steps of secretion at the plasma membrane (Vukasinnović and Žárský, 2016; Saeed et al., 2019). Whereas in the S. cerevisiae genome single genes encode each subunit, plant genomes have expanded their numbers, from a couple of isoforms for most subunits, up to several dozen for the EXO70 subunit (e.g. 23 isoforms in Arabidopsis thaliana and 41 in rice Oryza sativa). This large gene expansion of EXO70 suggests a functional diversification and specialization of the exocyst in plants, driven by the diversity of this subunit (Saeed et al., 2019; Žárský et al., 2020). Recent studies revealed indeed unique function for some EXO70 isoforms in targeting specific cargoes to discrete places in different plant cell types. Thus EXO70A1 is required for the localization of CASP1 proteins (Roppolo et al., 2011) at the Casparian Strip Domain (CSD) but not for its secretion to the plasma membrane per se (Kalmbach et al., 2017). In other words, EXO70A1 appears to be uniquely required for CASP1 targeted secretion to the CSD, but other EXO70 subunits can replace it for secretion to other domains of the plasma membrane. This idea is further supported by correct secretion of other protein cargoes such as SYP122 (SYNTAXIN122, [Pfister et al., 2014]), BOR1 (Takano et al., 2005), PDR6 (PLEIOTROPIC DRUG RESISTANCE 6, [Pfister et al., 2014]), PER64 (PEROXIDASE 64, [Lee et al., 2013]), or ES1 (ENHANCED SUBERIN 1, [Hosmani et al., 2013]) in endodermal cells of exo70a1 mutant (Kalmbach et al., 2017). Similarly EXO70H4 has a unique function in secreting autofluorescent compounds in leaf trichomes, as exo70h4 mutant could only be complemented by expressing EXO70H4 but not by any of these other EXO70 Arabidopsis isoforms tested (Kulich et al., 2018). Additionally, EXO70A1 and EXO70H4 are differentially localized in Arabidopsis trichomes: EXO70A1 labels the plasma membrane at the trichome base, whereas EXO70H4 is rather localized at its apex (Kubátová et al., 2019). Together these studies suggest the presence of different, functionally divergent, exocyst complex subtypes co-existing in plant cells.

Since the endodermis is an easily observed cell-type, with plasma membrane subdomains distinguished by clearly defined markers, we thought to define the function of distinct exocyst complexes by carrying out a reverse genetic approach. Our aim was to identify different exocyst subtypes required for secretion of different sets of markers in the root endodermis. We investigated the contribution of different exocyst subunits involved in EXO70A1-dependent localization of CASP1 at the CSD or in EXO70A1-independent secretion of other protein cargoes. In this study, we identify the EXO84B isoform as an essential component for both the localization of CASP1 at the CSD and for the secretion of other tested integral membrane proteins to the PM, distinguishing it from EXO70A1. Interestingly, we found that EXO84B is dispensable for the secretion of the apoplastic markers PER64 and ES1. Therefore, these latter proteins are likely secreted by the two other EXO84 isoforms, EXO84A and EXO84C. Yet, both were still correctly secreted in an exo84a/c double mutant, suggesting that they can either use any of the three EXO84 subunits, or are secreted in an exocyst-independent fashion. The lethality of full knock-outs of exocyst function makes this question difficult to address and has been a major obstacle in understanding exocyst function in plants. We, therefore, decided to use a cell-type specific and inducible genome editing (IGE) system. With this, we demonstrate that all tested endodermal cargoes require a functional exocyst complex, since conditional gene editing of the unique exocyst subunit SEC6 affects their secretion in endodermal cells. Thus, our study reveals differential contribution of plant EXO84 isoforms for secretion and demonstrates the essential role the exocyst for general secretion in plants.

**Results**

EXO84B is required for CASP1 positioning but not for its secretion

The exo70a1/lotr2 mutant has been recovered from a genetic screen for mislocalization of the CSD-resident CASP1 proteins. In exo70a1/lotr2 mutants CASP1-GFP fails to accumulate as a continuous narrow band at the CSD and is instead scattered in patches all over the plasma membrane (Kalmbach et al., 2017). In contrast, other integral membrane proteins tested (polar or not) are properly secreted in exo70a1 (Kalmbach et al., 2017). This highlighted the unique function of EXO70A1 in CSD targeting, but also the functional redundancy with other EXO70 isoforms for secretion of unaffected protein cargoes. To further characterize the composition of the CASP1-targeting exocyst complex, we investigated the contribution of EXO84 isoforms in CASP1 secretion (Figure 1A). EXO84 is the second most expanded subunit (three isoforms EXO84A-C) after the EXO70 subunit (23 isoforms). Consistent with its higher expression level (Figure 1B), EXO84B appears to have a predominant role among the isoforms, as witnessed by the strong growth defect of exo84b mutants, while exo84a or exo84c mutants, although deeply conserved in the plant lineage (Supplemental Figure S1, note that EXO84C gene diverges earlier in evolutionary history) were indistinguishable from wild-type plants (Figure 1D). Single mutants in either exo84a or exo84c possess a functional apoplastic barrier as shown by blockage of the apoplastic tracer, propidium iodide (PI, Figure 1C and Supplemental Figure S1A). The strong developmental phenotype of exo84b mutants (Figure 1D) prevented a meaningful readout for the PI-block assay, so we investigated directly the localization of CASP1-GFP in exo84b mutant. Interestingly, exo84b mutant phenotypes exo70a1-1 in still allowing secretion of CASP1-GFP to the PM, but again in a non-localized fashion, leading to scattered ectopic patches at the PM instead of being focalized at the CSD, similar to what is observed in exo70a1 mutants (Figure 1E). However,
CASP1 stability at the PM is greatly reduced in exo84b mutants and is only present in a few endodermal cells before its disappearance from the PM (Supplemental Figure S2A). This might be a secondary effect due to the strongly pleiotropic root growth defects of the mutant.

**EXO84B function differentiates secretion of apoplastic and integral membrane proteins**

Previous studies reported that exo84b mutants have a strong defect in secretion of plasma membrane marker Lti6b-GFP and polar membrane proteins PEN3 and NIP5;1, leading to their cytoplasmic accumulation in root epidermal cells (Mao et al., 2016). Puzzled by the PM-localization of CASP1 (albeit in a non-localized fashion) in exo84b endodermal cells, we investigated the secretion of a set of proteins targeted to various PM domains of endodermal cells in exo84b mutant background. The apoplastic plasma membrane marker SYNTAXIN 122 (SYP122, Figure 2A) and both inner (BOR1, Figure 2B) and outer (PDR6, Figure 2C) polar markers showed secretion defects in exo84b endodermal cells leading to intracellular accumulation. In exo84b mutants, those markers failed to clearly label the PM. Instead, mCherry-SYP122 and BOR1-mCitrine present a strong intracellular signal in endodermal cells (Figure 2, A and B), while PDR6 shows a rather weak signal mostly in the vacuole (Figure 2C). However, like CASP1-GFP, the apoplastic markers PER64-mCherry (Figure 2D) and ES81-mCherry (Supplemental Figure S2C) are correctly secreted to the cell wall in exo84b, but unexpectedly fail to localize to the central Caspian strip (CS) region, reminiscent of what has been observed in exo70a1/lotr2 mutants (Kalmbach et al., 2017).
EXO84A&C are dispensable for secretion of all tested markers
The observed secretion of CASP1 to ectopic patches at the PM and of PER64 to the apoplast in exo84b mutants could be attributed to the remaining expression of functional isoforms EXO84A&C. To investigate a possibly dedicated role of those isoforms in CASP1 and PER64 secretion, we expressed them in exo84a exo84c double mutant. Because of the close linkage of EXO84A and EXO84C on chromosome 1, we generated a double mutant by CRISPR-Cas9 editing of EXO84C in an exo84a-1 T-DNA insertion mutant (Supplemental Figure S3). Surprisingly, double mutants exo84a-1 exo84c-1 display no obvious growth phenotype and both CASP1-GFP and PER64-mCherry are correctly secreted to the CSD and to the CS, respectively, in this background (Figure 3A). Thus, secretion of CASP1 and PER64 could be redundantly mediated by any of the EXO84 isoforms. Alternatively, their secretion might occur through an

Figure 2 EXO84B is essential for secretion of integral membrane proteins, but is dispensable for secretion of apoplastic PER64 proteins. Effect of exo84b mutation on localization of apolar plasma membrane marker pCASP1:mCherry-SYP122 (A), inner polar marker pCASP1:BOR1-3YA-mCitrine (B), outer polar marker pCASP1:PDR6-mVenus (C) and apoplastic marker pCASP1:PER64-mCherry (D). Root endodermal cells from 7-d-old seedling. Upper panel corresponds to a maximum Z-projections covering half of the endodermis layer, lower panel corresponds to a transverse/orthogonal view from this image stack. Bar = 10 μm.
entirely exocyst-independent pathway. Interestingly, despite these differences in secretion, both CASP1 and PER64 are sensitive to BFA-treatment (Figure 3B), as are PM-marker SYP122 and apoplastic ESB1 (Supplemental Figure S4, A and B). Thus secreted proteins, showing different requirement for exocyst function, are equally dependent on the ARF-GEF GNOM for their endosomal sorting.

Inducible endodermal editing of SEC6 demonstrates exocyst-dependent secretion of CASP1 and apoplastic proteins

To our knowledge, no secretion defect (i.e. intracellular accumulation) has yet been observed for CASP1 or any apoplastic proteins in viable exocyst mutants investigated (Kalmbach et al., 2017; Figures 2D and 3A). This leaves the protracted question whether, in those mutants, secretion occurs in an exocyst-independent manner, or whether a level of exocyst function necessary for viability is simply always sufficient to maintain secretion of CASP1 and apoplastic proteins. Indeed, stable genetic manipulation of non-redundant, core exocyst component is lethal, often at the male gametophytic stage, precluding straightforward cellular biological analysis of strong exocyst knock-outs (Hała et al., 2008). Taking advantage of a recently developed IGE in plants (Wang et al., 2020), we generated IGE lines expressing, inducibly upon Estradiol application, the CRISPR-Cas9 protein in early differentiating endodermis to generate targeted mutations in the unique SEC6 gene in the Arabidopsis genome. Using the SCARECROW promoter to drive the expression of estrogen inducible XVE system (Siligato et al., 2016) would allow expression of Cas9 protein in meristematic endodermis upon Estradiol treatment (Wang et al., 2020). This should allow early editing of SEC6 locus in order to obtain homozygous sec6 mutant before the onset of expression of the fluorescent markers that are under the expression of CASP1 promoter (active during early endodermal differentiation, Figure 4A). As expected from their dependency on EXO84B function (Figure 2), SYP122, BOR1, and PDR6 showed clear defects in secretion to the plasma membrane upon induction of SEC6 editing (Figure 4, B–D). Importantly, although not complete, we could observe a secretion defect for the apoplastic markers PER64 (Figure 4E) and ESB1 (Figure 4F) upon SEC6 mutation. Also for CASP1-GFP proteins, a weak intracellular accumulation is observed (Figure 4B; Hosmani et al., 2013; Kalmbach et al., 2017). However, we could not observe a complete disappearance of CASP1 from the CSD, nor of the apoplastic proteins from the cell wall, suggesting that editing either occurred either too late in endodermal development or that SEC6 proteins are particularly stable and remain present long after mutation of the SEC6 locus (Christiano et al., 2014). Nevertheless, we were able to obtain stable transgenic lines, showing a reproducible phenotype in the endodermis upon induction (Figure 4, B–F and Supplemental Figure S5). We could confirm editing at the SEC6 locus by extracting DNA from roots of transgenic lines after Cas9 induction and performing Sanger sequencing of PCR amplicons spanning the region matching the targeting sgRNA SEC6 (Supplemental Figure S5, A and C–E).

Ultrastuctural analysis reveals strong, cell-specific secretion defects in sec6-edited lines

Genetic interference with SEC6 by the IGE system leads to increased intracellular signal of the studied cargoes that either appear smooth/”cytosolic” (Figure 4B and Supplemental Figure S4B) or in the form of intracellular aggregates/patches (Figure 4, C–F). To gain further insight into the defects induced in those lines, we observed intracellular structures by electron microscopy of ultra-thin transverse sections of roots, performed after induction of SEC6 editing. We observed strong vesicle crowding in the cytoplasm of endodermal cells in two independent lines expressing the SEC6 IGE construct (Figure 5A), strikingly resembling the original, strong yeast exocyst mutants (Novick and Schekman, 1979). Importantly, neighboring pericycle cells appeared completely normal, impressively illustrating the cell-specificity of the inducible CRISPR/Cas9 system. This was confirmed by quantification of vesicles in the cytoplasm of endodermal and adjacent pericycle cells showing a 4–5 times increase in vesicle density specifically in the endodermis of IGE lines compared to induced-control cells (Figure 5B). No difference in vesicle density is observed in neighboring pericycle cells confirming the tissue-specificity of the IGE system in the endodermis. Additionally, using the IGE system targeting EXO84 genes, we could recapitulate the phenotypes observed in exo84b T-DNA allele (Supplemental Figure S6B), which differed from the secretion defect observed upon editing of SEC6. Furthermore, the lack of secretion defect upon editing of EXO84A or EXO84C genes excludes a possible effect of Cas9 itself in the phenotypes observed.

Discussion

The identification of EXO70A1 as an essential exocyst component for CASP1 positioning, but not for its secretion, suggested the existence of functionally divergent exocyst complex subtypes co-existing in the endodermis. This was further supported by the correct secretion and localization of other protein cargoes (namely SYP122, BOR1, PDR6, and PER64) tested in exo70a1 mutants (Kalmbach et al., 2017). Here, we show that exo84b mutant is mimicking exo70a1 mutant’s phenotype regarding CASP1 positioning (Figure 1E). This demonstrates that EXO84B is required, together with EXO70A1, to target CASP1 to the CSD, which fits with the reported interaction between those two subunits (Fendrych et al., 2010). Yet, it also suggests that in exo84b mutants, the functional EXO70A1 protein is not able to associate with the other EXO84 isoforms expressed to achieve the localized secretion of CASP1. Thus, EXO70A1 is likely exclusively interacting with EXO84B to perform its function of targeting CASP1 to the CSD. Mutation of EXO84B also affects a broader set of protein cargoes...
than seen in exo70a1 mutants (Kalmbach et al., 2017), showing that EXO84B must be engaged in different exocyst complex subtypes (likely containing different EXO70 isoforms) and has a more essential/widespread function than EXO70A1 in plants cells. Consistently, exo84b mutants, display a much stronger growth defect than exo70a1 mutants (Figure 1D).

Surprisingly, secretion of apoplastic proteins PER64 and ESB1 is not affected neither in exo70a1 (Kalmbach et al., 2017) nor in exo84b mutants (Figure 2D and Supplemental Figure S2). This could be explained by functional redundancy among the remaining EXO70 or EXO84 isoforms expressed in the endodermis of those mutants. Alternatively, secretion of apoplastic proteins could occur in an exocyst-independent manner unlike the PM proteins that strictly rely on it. The large number of EXO70 isoforms expressed in the endodermis (Kalmbach et al., 2017) complicates the genetic investigation of their putative redundancy. Hence, we investigated the role of EXO84A&C isoforms for apoplastic secretion. Like in exo84b mutants, PER64-mCherry remains properly secreted in exo84a/c double mutants (Figure 3), showing that EXO84A&C isoforms are not

Figure 3 EXO84A&C isoforms are also dispensable for secretion of integral membrane protein CASP1 and apoplastic protein PER64. A, Both CASP1-GFP and PER64-mCherry are correctly secreted and localized in exo84a/c double mutants. B, Both CASP1 and PER64 are BFA-sensitive at the onset of their expression in the endodermis. Root endodermal cells from 7-d-old seedlings treated with 50 μM BFA for 2 h in 0.5 × MS liquid medium. Upper panel corresponds to a maximum Z-projections covering half of the endodermis layer, lower panel corresponds to transverse/orthogonal view from this image stack. White arrowheads point to BFA-bodies. Bar = 10 μm.
dedicated to secretion of this apoplastic marker. However, whether PER64 secretion requires the redundant function of EXO84 isoforms or whether it occurs through an exocyst-independent mechanism still remained unclear. Due to its essential and widespread function, a complete lack of an exocyst subunit (i.e. "exocyst-deficient" mutant) is male gametophytic lethal, preventing analysis of homozygous mutant phenotype (Hála et al., 2008) and, very probably, the generation of an exo84a/b/c triple mutant. Fortunately, the recent development of IGE tools (Wang et al., 2020) allowed us to generate endodermal cells completely lacking functional exocyst-complexes by targeting its uniquely encoded subunit SEC6. Using this system, we could thus demonstrate that, in sec6 mutated cells, all investigated protein cargoes tested (including the apoplastic ones) were dependent on exocyst function for their secretion (Figure 4). Consistently, we could observe by electron microscopy, the accumulation of vesicles in the cytoplasm of sec6-mutated endodermal cells (Figure 5), reminiscent of defect observed in sec mutants of S. cerevisae (Novick and Schekman, 1979). This work further illustrates the diversity of exocyst subunit function in plant cells. Particularly, it clarifies the requirement of EXO84B isoform for secretion of many integral membrane proteins, but more specifically for CASP1 positioning at the CSD. Additionally, it reveals a differential requirement for EXO84 isoforms for secretion of some apoplastic proteins (e.g. PER64 and ESB1, Figures 2 and 3 and Supplemental Figures S2 and S6). Finally, our work reveals the power of IGE systems for addressing previously
unanswerable questions, allowing to study essential gene functions in specific cell types in an otherwise normal organ and tissue context. This methodology is evidently widely applicable, but will be particularly useful for the study of intracellular trafficking, a field that has been repeatedly hampered by the inability to study strong vesicle trafficking in a cell-type of interest and in a normal developmental context, because of early lethality of mutants in many important trafficking regulators. This has too often forced research into the analysis of weak mutants, whose phenotypes can be often difficult to interpret.

Materials and methods

Plant material and growth conditions

Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) ecotype Columbia was used for all experiments. For in vitro assays, seeds were stratified 2 d at 4°C in the dark, then germinated vertically for 7 d at 22°C under continuous light (100 μE) on agar plates containing half-strength Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium. For induction of IGE lines, seedlings were grown for 5 d on 0.5/2 MS solid media and transferred for 2 d on 0.5/2 MS solid media supplemented with 2 μM Estradiol.

BFA treatments were performed on 7 d old seedling grown on 0.5/2 MS solid media transferred for 2 h in liquid 0.5/2 MS medium + 50 μM BFA (or + 0.1% v/v DMSO as mock treatment).

Figure 5 Ultrastructural analysis of SEC6-edited lines reveals vesicle crowding in the cytoplasm of endodermal cells. A, TEM micrographs of roots cells from the indicated genotypes showing the interface between pericycle and endodermal cells. Seedlings were all treated 2 d with 2 μM estradiol prior to fixation for TEM analysis. Bar = 1 μm. B, Quantification of vesicles in pericycle and endodermal cells of WT (Col pCASP1:CASP1-GFP; pCASP1:mCherry-SYP122) and two independent homozygous lines expressing pSCR>>Cas9 and pU6-26:sgRNASEC6. Both WT and inducible lines were treated for 2 d with 2 μM estradiol prior to fixation for TEM analysis. 5–10 μm² cytoplasmic areas from n = 5 cells of each cell type were analyzed from two roots of each genotype. Different letters (uppercase letters refer to pericycle, lowercase letters refer to endodermis) show statistical differences according to Tukey’s HSD following one-way ANOVA (P < 0.05).

The following mutants were used in this study: exo70a1-1 (SALK_014826); exo84a-1 (SALK_072277); exo84b-1 (GABI_459C01); exo84c-1 (SALK_011569).

The following transgenic lines pCASP1:CASP1-GFP/pCASP1:mCherry-SYP122 (Kalmbach et al., 2017); pCASP1:CASP1-GFP/pCASP1:PER64-mChe (Lee et al., 2013); pCASP1:CASP1-GFP/pEBS1-mCherry (Hosmani et al., 2013); pCASP1:BOR1XYA-mCitrine (Kalmbach et al., 2017); and pCASP1:PDR6-mVenus (Kalmbach et al., 2017) were crossed with exo84b-1 mutant or transformed with the IGE system constructs targeting SEC6. exo84a-1 exo84c-2 was generated by CRISPR editing of EXO84C in exo84a-1 expressing pCASP1:PER64-mChe and further transformed with pCASP1:CASP1-GFP with FastRed selection cassette (Rojas-Murcia et al., 2020). Between two and four transgenic lines carrying a single T-DNA of each constructs (based on a 3/1 segregation ratio of the selection marker) were isolated for further characterization. A representative image is shown for confocal microscopy figures.

Gene Numbers: EXO70A1 (At5g03540); EXO84A (At1g10385); EXO84B (At5g49830); EXO84C (At1g10180); SEC6 (At1g71820).

Construct cloning

All constructs were generated using the Multisite Gateway Technology (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and plant transformation performed according to the floral dip protocol (Clough and Bent, 1998) using Agrobacterium.
tumefaciens GV3101 (pMP90). Generation of stably edited lines in EXO84C in exo84a-1 has been done as described previously (Rojas-Murcia et al., 2020) with sgRNA<sup>exo84C</sup> described in Supplemental Figure S3 using primers listed in Supplemental Table S1. IGE constructs were assembled by LR reaction between a pDEST FR34GW (FastRed selection marker) and the pENTR vectors pl4L1r-pSCR-XVE/pLexA (Siligato et al., 2016), pl1L2-SpCas9:T35s (Wang et al., 2020), and pl2L3-pU6-26-sgRNA with sgRNA<sup>SEC6</sup> annealed primers (Supplemental Table S1) cloned at BbsI site by ligation (Rojas-Murcia et al., 2020).

Sequencing of CRISPR-edited lines
Stable mutants were generated as described (Rojas-Murcia et al., 2020). T1 lines were selected based on editing detected on DNA extracted from a rosette leaf after PCR amplicon Sanger sequencing. Homozygous mutants were selected from FastRed negative T2 plants showing monoallelic homozygous mutation.

For verification of SEC6 editing in IGE lines, seedlings were induced as described above. Genomic DNA was extracted from the lower half of 7d old roots (~1 cm long segment) and SEC6 amplicon were sent for Sanger sequencing (cf. Supplemental Figure S5) allowing to detect frameshift symptomatic of base pair deletion due to Cas9-editing at the predicted position of the sgRNA targeting SEC6 locus.

Fluorescence microscopy
Confocal laser-scanning microscopy experiments were performed either on a Leica SP8 equipped with an Argon Laser and a white light laser. GFP/mCherry were acquired in sequential mode with 488/561 nm excitation and detection parameters 490–530/590–630 nm, respectively. mCitrine or mVenus fluorophores were acquired with 514 nm excitation and a 520–560 nm detection window.

For the apoplastic barrier functionality assay, 7-d-old seedlings were incubated 5 min with PI (10 mg/mL). Quantification was performed by confocal microscopy, visualizing PI with 561 nm excitation and 600–650 nm detection. The "onset of endodermal elongation" was defined as the point where the length of an endodermal cell in a median optical section was more than three times its width. From this point, cells in the file were counted until the endodermal cells blocked the PI penetration to the stele.

Electron microscopy
Plants were fixed in glutaraldehyde solution (EMS, Hatfield, PA, USA) 2.5% in phosphate buffer (PB 0.1 M [pH 7.4]) for 1 h at RT and postfixed in a fresh mixture of osmium tetroxide 1% (EMS) with 1.5% of potassium ferrocyanide (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) in PB buffer for 1 h at RT. The samples were then washed twice in distilled water and dehydrated in ethanol solution (Sigma) at graded concentrations (30%—40 min; 50%—40 min; 70%—40 min; 100%—2 × 1 h). This was followed by infiltration in Spurr resin (EMS) at graded concentrations (Spurr 33% in ethanol—4 h; Spurr 66% in ethanol—4 h; Spurr 100%—2 × 8 h) and finally polymerized for 48 h at 60°C in an oven. For the multiple mutant, ultrathin sections of 50 nm thick were cut transversally at 2 mm above the root tip, using a Leica Ultratcut (Leica Mikrosysteme GmbH, Vienna, Austria), picked up on a copper slot grid 2 × 1 mm (EMS) coated with a polystyrene film (Sigma). Micrographs and panoramic were taken with a transmission electron microscope FEI CM100 (FEI, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) at an acceleration voltage of 80 kV with a TVIPS TemCamF416 digital camera (TVIPS GmbH, Gauting, Germany) using the software EM-MENU 4.0 (TVIPS GmbH). Panoramic were aligned with the software IMOD (Kremer et al., 1996).

Statistical analysis
Statistical significance was assessed using one-way ANOVA, followed by post hoc analysis with Tukey’s HSD test at α < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed in GraphPad PRISM version 8. In the box plots, the boxes indicate the first and third quartiles, the lines represent the median values, the whiskers indicate minimal and maximal values, and the points represent individual measurements.

Accession numbers
Sequence data from this article can be found in the GenBank/EMBL data libraries under the accession numbers listed in Supplemental Table S1.
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