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Abstract. A restaurant menu recommendation system is becoming a necessity following the trend of eating out at the restaurants. The task of such a system is to generate a top-N list of menus that may be of interest to a customer, in which the customer previous rating behavior is used as the learning model. In this paper, we apply the SVD (Singular Vector Decomposition) latent factor model as the learning technique of the recommendation system. Beforehand, we implement the mean imputation technique to fill in the missing rating entries so that SVD can also deal with the new customer that has no rating record in the system. Evaluation on a real-world restaurant menu recommendation dataset shows that our recommendation system is able to generate a top-10 list of menu recommendations to a target customer and that the results of the low-rank approximation using SVD are comparable with that of the full-rank.

Keywords: latent factor model; low-rank approximation; recommendation system; restaurant menu; SVD; Singular Vector Decomposition

1. Introduction

Nowadays, eating out at restaurants has become a lifestyle [1, 2]. A restaurant is a business that sells various menus of food and drinks to its customers. The bigger the business, the more menus are offered. Consequently, choosing which menus to be ordered can be challenging for the customers since the choice of the menus provided is overwhelming.

Recommendation systems help users to tackle the problem of having to find items suit their preference by generating a set of a personalized list of recommendations that might be of interest its users by learning through their previous rating activities [3, 4]. In this case, the task of a restaurant menu recommendation system is to generate a list of menus that may be of interest to a customer by learning from his previous rating behavior.

Collaborative Filtering (CF) is a very popular learning approach in RS [3-6], in which the latent factor models are considered to perform the best [4]. Such models learn from the rating data to derive the latent relationships between its dimensions. One of the well-known methods of the latent factor model is the Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) [7-9] in which the low-rank approximation technique is implemented. Low-rank approximation means that to generate the list of recommendation, we use the reduced size of the dimension of the latent factors instead of their full size. On the other hand, CF is known to typically suffer from a sparsity issue that impacts the recommendation performance [5, 10-14]. The problem occurs since the unobserved rating entries are commonly dominant in numbers compared to the observed data.
Our work is focusing on a restaurant menu recommendation system that applies the low-rank approximation using SVD as the latent factor model to learn from the rating data. To deal with the sparsity issue, we implement the mean imputation technique [15] that is to replace the non-observed rating entries with the mean rating of each menu, resulting in dense rating data.

Experiment results in a real-world restaurant menu rating dataset show that the recommendation system can generate a top-N list of menu recommendations to a target customer. We also show that the low-rank approximation using SVD works effectively as its results are comparable with that of the full-rank.

The summary of our contributions is as follows: (1) a restaurant menu recommendation system that implements low-rank approximation using SVD as the latent factor model to learn from the menu rating data, (2) and the implementation of mean imputation technique to deal with the missing rating entries.

2. Literature Review

The task of a recommendation system is to generate a top-N list of recommendations to the users. A widely approach used in the recommendation systems is Collaborative Filtering (CF). It can be implemented based on the memory-based or model-based approach [4]. The memory-based is a CF approach that employs the users or items similarities to generate the list of recommendations to a target user [4]. On the other hand, the model-based is a CF approach that develops a model to learn a pattern based on the training data and then uses it to generate the list of recommendations [4]. Several approaches can be implemented to create the model using Bayesian [16], clustering [17-19], and latent factor model [7-9, 20, 21] techniques. The later performs the best in compared to others[4] that we apply the technique to our restaurant menu recommendation system.

The latent factor model can be solved using Singular Value Decomposition (SVD), Principal Component Analysis (PCA), Probabilistic Matrix Factorization (PMF), and Non-Negative Matrix Factorization (NMF) methods [4]. Out of those four methods, the SVD is the most popular one [9]. For this reason, our study applies the low-rank approximation using SVD latent factor model as the learning technique of the recommendation system.

The CF approach commonly suffers from the sparsity problem, which impacts the recommendation quality [10]. This issue can be tackled by using imputation [11, 15, 22] or clustering [11-14, 23, 24] technique. The former is more straightforward, and thus it is simpler compared to the later. Therefore, our study implements the mean imputation technique [15] to deal with the sparsity issue in the recommendation model.

3. Applying Latent Factor Model on a Restaurant Menu Recommendation System

3.1. Overview

Our work focuses on building a restaurant menu recommendation system that applies a latent factor model. To address the sparsity issue of customer-menu rating data, we use the mean rating of each menu to impute the missing values rating matrix before applying a latent factor model technique. Low-rank latent factor matrices of customer and menu are then computed by reducing the dimension of the latent factors. Figure 1 shows the framework of our study.

3.2. Preliminary

The rating data consists of observed entries which form the binary correlations between customers and menus. Each rating score represents the customer’s level of preference towards menus. Let $U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, ..., u_m\}$ be the set of $m$ customers and $I = \{i_1, i_2, i_3, ..., i_n\}$ be the set of $n$ menus. The binary correlation of rating data is modeled as a rating matrix of $R \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, in which $r_{ui}$ denotes the rating given by customer $u$ to menu $i$. Whereas $U_i$ indicates the set of customers who have rated menu $i$. Figure 2(a) presents the toy examples of a rating matrix $R \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 4}$ where $U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ and $I = \{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4\}$. Therefore, $U_1 = \{1, 2\}$, $U_2 = \{1\}$, $U_3 = \{2\}$, and $U_4 = \{1, 3\}$.
Figure 1. Framework of the application of low-rank approximation using a latent factor model on a restaurant menu recommendation system

Figure 2. Examples of: (a) Sparse customer-menu rating matrix $R$ and (b) Dense customer-menu rating matrix $\hat{R}$

3.3. Low-rank Approximation using Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

In this paper, we implement the Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) as the latent factor model due to its popularity [9]. SVD factors a matrix $R \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ into three matrices as [4]:

$$R = V \cdot D \cdot W'$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $V \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ and $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are the orthogonal matrices that respectively represent the latent factor of customer and menu, and $S \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is the diagonal matrix of singular values of $R$ which satisfy $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \ldots \geq \sigma_{\text{min}(m,n)} \geq 0$. The SVD of a matrix is visualized in Figure 3.
To deal with the sparse customer-menu rating data, we impute the missing value in $R$ with the mean rating of each menu [15] to result in $\hat{R}$:

$$\hat{r}_{ui} = \begin{cases} r_{ui} & \text{if } r_{ui} \neq 0 \\ \frac{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{U}} r_{uk}}{|\mathcal{U}|} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

By this, the SVD technique is implemented on $\hat{R}$, instead of $R$. Figure 2(b) shows the sparse $R$ (Figure 2) now becomes the dense $\hat{R}$.

**Algorithm: Low-rank Approximation using SVD Technique**

**Input:** Training set $D_{\text{train}} = U \times I$, the size of rank $f$

**Output:** Low-rank latent factor matrices $V\sqrt{S}$ and $\sqrt{S}W$

**Process:**
1. Construct customer-menu matrix $R \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ using rating data of $D_{\text{train}}$ where $m = |\mathcal{U}|$ and $n = |\mathcal{I}|$
2. Calculate the mean rating of each menu. Fill in the empty cell of $R$ with the value of mean rating accordingly, resulting dense customer-menu matrix $\hat{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$
3. Apply SVD technique to matrix $\hat{R}$ to produce $V, S, W$
4. Reduce the matrix $S$ to dimension $f$
5. Compute the square root of the reduced matrix $\hat{S}$ to obtain $\sqrt{\hat{S}}$
6. Compute the two low-rank latent factor matrices: $V\sqrt{\hat{S}}$ of size $m \times f$ and $\sqrt{\hat{S}}W'$ of size $f \times n$

Figure 4 presents the complete algorithm of the low-rank approximation using the SVD technique.
3.4. Generating Menu Recommendation
The menu rating prediction is calculated by using the low-rank latent factor matrices of customer and menu generated in the previous section. In this case, the rating prediction of a target customer to menu is computed by the dot product of the row of and column of :

\[ p_{ui} = \sum_{k=1}^{f} \left( \sqrt{\tilde{\theta}} \cdot \sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}} \right)_{uk} \cdot \left( \sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}} \cdot \tilde{\omega} \right)_{ki} \]  

Afterwards, the menus with high predicted ratings are recommended as a top-N list of menu recommendations to each target customer.

4. Empirical Analysis

4.1. Dataset and Experiment Design
For the experiments, we manually collected the customer-menu rating data from the Laras Liris Resto & Coffee Shop \(^1\) from January 2018 until September 2018. The collection consists of 100 customers, 119 menus, and 1344 menu rating.

To evaluate the recommendation quality, we implement the 4-fold cross-validation where each fold is randomly split into a 75% training set and a 25% test set. The recommendation quality is reported over the average results of all folds.

4.2. Evaluation Metric
We measure the recommendation quality of each target customer based on the following F1-Score formulation:

\[ F1 - \text{Score}(N) = \frac{2 \times \text{Precision}(N) \times \text{Recall}(N)}{\text{Precision}(N) + \text{Recall}(N)} \]  

where

\[ \text{Precision}(N) = 100 \cdot \frac{|\text{Top}(N) \cap \text{GT}|}{N} \]  
\[ \text{Recall}(N) = 100 \cdot \frac{|\text{Top}(N) \cap \text{GT}|}{|\text{GT}|} \]

where \(\text{Top}(N)\) is the top-N list of restaurant menu recommendations and \(\text{GT}\) is the list of ground-truth menus in \(D_{test}\). The reported F1-Scores are the average scores of all customers in the \(D_{test}\).

4.3. Experiment Result
The restaurant application is built using the Laravel PHP Framework \(^2\), and the SVD technique is implemented using the Real Matrices Tools Class Package V 1.0.0 \(^3\). The recommendation process is conducted whenever a customer opens the restaurant application.

Figure 5(a) and (b) respectively show the examples of the back-end results of menu rating prediction and top-10 menu recommendation for a target customer \(u_{119}\). Meanwhile, Figure 5(c) shows the front-end results, i.e., web page display, of the top-10 menu recommendation. Note that the list of menu rating prediction in Figure 5 is cropped for convenient presentation. Furthermore, since the total number of customers recorded of the menu rating data is 100, \(u_{119}\) indicates that the customer is new in the system and that he will be recorded as the 120\(^{th}\) customer in the database – given that the indexing of PHP starts from 0. The generation of recommendations for customers that have no record in the \(D_{train}\) is possible since we implement the imputation technique formulated in Equation (2).

To evaluate the sensitivity of low-rank dimension \(f\), we conduct experiments of various \(f\) by using the size of \(H \in \min\{m,n\}\) multiply by a total grid of coefficients between 0.1 and 1 with an interval

\(^1\) https://www.facebook.com/pages/Cafe-laras-liris-Lamongan/168047237302614
\(^2\) https://laravel.com/
\(^3\) https://www.phpclasses.org/blog/package/10348/post/1-PHP-Matrix-Math-Library.html
of 0.1, resulting \( f \in \{(0.1*H),(0.2*H),(0.3*H),(0.4*H),(0.5*H),(0.6*H),(0.7*H),(0.8*H),(0.9*H),(1.0*H)\} \). Note that \( f = 1.0*H \) means that the latent factor matrices are not truncated. Figure 6 shows that the best recommendation performance is achieved when \( f \in \{(0.8*H),(0.9*H),(1.0*H)\} \). These results confirm that the low-rank approximation technique (i.e., \( f \in \{(0.8*H),(0.9*H)\} \)) can generate a comparable recommendation quality as the full-rank SVD (i.e., \( f = 1.0*H \)).

\[
0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, 1.0
\]

Note that 0.1 means that the latent factor matrices are not truncated.

Figure 6 shows that the best recommendation performance is achieved when \( f \in \{(0.8*H),(0.9*H),(1.0*H)\} \). These results confirm that the low-rank approximation technique (i.e., \( f \in \{(0.8*H),(0.9*H)\} \)) can generate a comparable recommendation quality as the full-rank SVD (i.e., \( f = 1.0*H \)).
5. Conclusion
Our study applies the low-rank approximation using SVD as the latent factor learning model on restaurant menu recommendation system. To deal with the sparsity problem, we implement the mean imputation technique to fill in the missing rating entries to generate the dense data. The empirical analysis shows that the restaurant menu recommendation system is able to generate a top-10 list of menu recommendations to a target customer. Moreover, we also show that the results of the low-rank approximation using SVD are comparable with that of the full-rank.
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