Hyperprolactinemia and Hypopituitarism in Acromegaly and Effect of Pituitary Surgery: Long-Term Follow-up on 529 Patients
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Purpose: Studies on hyperprolactinemia and hypopituitarism in acromegaly are limited. We aimed to analyze the preoperative status, postoperative alterations, and correlated factors of hyperprolactinemia and hypopituitarism in acromegaly patients.

Methods: This is a single-center cohort study with long-term follow-up. We prospectively enrolled 529 acromegaly patients. Hyperprolactinemia and hypopituitarism were evaluated by testing hypothalamus-pituitary-end organ (HPEO) axes hormones before and after surgery.

Results: Hyperprolactinemia (39.1%) and hypopituitarism (34.8%) were common in acromegaly. The incidences of axis-specific hypopituitarism varied (hypogonadism, 29.7%; hypothyroidism, 5.9%; adrenal insufficiency, 5.1%), and multiple HPEO axes dysfunction was diagnosed in 5.3% of patients. Patients with preoperative hyperprolactinemia [hazard ratio (HR)=1.39 (1.08-1.79); p=0.012], hypogonadism [HR=1.32 (1.01-1.73); p=0.047], and hypothyroidism [HR=3.49 (1.90-6.44); p<0.001] had higher recurrence rates than those without. Age, sex, body mass index, tumor size, invasiveness, prolactin staining, ki-67 index, and GH/IGF-1 levels were significantly correlated with preoperative hypopituitarism and hyperprolactinemia. At median 34-month follow-up after surgery, hyperprolactinemia in 95% and axis-specific hypopituitarism in 54%-71% of patients recovered, whereas new-onset hypopituitarism (hypogonadism, 6.2%; hypothyroidism, 4.0%; adrenal insufficiency, 3.2%) was also diagnosed. A shorter tumor diameter was associated with the normalization of preoperative hyperprolactinemia after surgery. Cavernous sinus non-invasion, a shorter tumor diameter, cure at follow-up, and a lower GH nadir level were associated with the improvement of preoperative hypopituitarism after surgery. A larger tumor diameter was associated with the newly developed hypopituitarism after surgery.
INTRODUCTION

Acromegaly is a rare, slowly progressive endocrine disease with high levels of circulating growth hormone (GH) and insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) caused mostly by GH-secreting pituitary adenomas or the so-called “somatotroph adenomas” (1–3). The adenoma can cause acromegalic manifestations by producing excessive GH, hyperprolactinemia by secreting prolactin or compressing the pituitary stalk, and hypopituitarism in at least one axis by damaging the pituitary gland (4–6). Hypopituitarism in acromegaly mainly includes central hypogonadism, central hypothyroidism, and central adrenal insufficiency (1, 2, 7–9). Therefore, apart from the influence of excessive GH and IGF-1, acromegaly patients also suffer from hyperprolactinemia and hypopituitarism, causing comorbidities and worsening their quality of life and prognosis (7, 9–11).

Many studies have focused on the endocrine alterations in patients with non-functioning pituitary adenomas (NFPAs) (12–18). Evaluation for the function of the hypothalamus-pituitary-end organ (HPEO) axes was recommended for patients with macroadenomas and large microadenomas (19). However, compared with NFPAs, the mechanism of endocrine alterations in acromegaly patients has unique features. For instance, GH can influence the gonadal function and is correlated with hypogonadism (20, 21). Mammosomatotroph adenoma or mixed somatotroph-lactotroph adenoma could directly secret prolactin and cause hyperprolactinemia (6, 22). Alleviating tumor compression on the pituitary gland and stalk, removing mammosomatotroph or mixed somatotroph-lactotroph tumors, and lowering GH and IGF-1 levels after surgery may be possible mechanisms that result in the recovery of hypopituitarism and hyperprolactinemia (6, 20, 21, 23, 24). Meanwhile, pituitary tissue also receives mechanical interference during surgery, possibly causing new-onset hypopituitarism after surgery (14, 24). Understanding the patterns of improvement or worsening of hypopituitarism and hyperprolactinemia after surgery and their correlated factors are essential in the management of acromegaly.

Unfortunately, due to the rarity of the disease, information regarding the rates and clinical correlations of hyperprolactinemia and hypopituitarism in acromegaly and the effect of surgery is limited. Previous studies were usually retrospective, enrolled small sample size, or focused only on a single axis (9, 21, 25, 26). The Pituitary Surgery Center of Neurosurgery Department at Peking Union Medical College Hospital (PUMCH) is one of the largest in China performing 800–1000 pituitary surgeries per year (27). Starting from 2015, we prospectively enrolled acromegaly patients at our institute and recorded their HPEO axes function before surgery and at follow-up. Based on the large sample size and the complete, long-term follow-up data, we aimed to address the following concerns: (i) the rate of hyperprolactinemia and the axis-specific rates of hypopituitarism among acromegaly patients and the clinicopathological correlations; (ii) whether preoperative hyperprolactinemia and hypopituitarism could predict surgical outcomes; (iii) whether and to what extent hyperprolactinemia and hypopituitarism could recover after surgery and the clinical predictors; (iv) the rates of newly-developed hyperprolactinemia and hypopituitarism after surgery and the risk factors. The results of this study would help prompt clinicians to increase their attention to the screening and management of hyperprolactinemia and hypopituitarism in acromegaly and assist patient consultation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient Cohort and Data Collection

Acromegaly patients aged 18 and older admitted at PUMCH Neurosurgery from January 2015 to December 2018 were prospectively enrolled. The diagnosis of acromegaly was based on the Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline (28). Pregnant patients and those with polycystic ovarian syndrome, primary hypogonadism, primary hypothyroidism, and primary adrenal insufficiency were excluded. This study was carried out in accordance with the tenets of the Helsinki declaration and was approved by the Institutional Review Board at PUMCH. All patients signed the informed consent before enrollment.

Hormones of the HPEO axes were tested on each patient at baseline. Demographic information (age at diagnosis, sex, disease duration, and body mass index), tumor imaging features (diameter, cavernous sinus invasion, sphenoid sinus invasion, and optic chiasm compression), and endocrine results [fasting GH, GH nadir after the oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), fasting IGF-1, prolactin, gonadal function, thyroid function, and adrenal function] were recorded. Hormones representing gonadal, thyroid, and adrenal functions in this study included follicle-stimulating hormone, luteinizing hormone, estrogen, progesterin, testosterone, thyroid-stimulating hormone, thyroxine, triiodothyronine, free thyroxine, free triiodothyronine, adrenocorticotropic hormone, and cortisol.

Disease duration was defined as the interval from the onset of acromegalic presentations to clinical diagnosis. Tumor diameter represented the widest diameter on any plane in preoperative imaging. Macroadenoma was defined as a tumor with a diameter
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≥ 10 mm. On the coronal plane, a Knosp grade (29) of 3 to 4 on either side indicated tumor invasion into the cavernous sinus.

**Surgery for Pituitary Adenomas and Patient Follow-Up**

All the patients received pituitary tumor resection, and all the tumors were confirmed pathologically by the histopathological and immunohistochemical (IHC) staining.

Routine postoperative follow-up was scheduled for all patients. Evaluation at follow-up included GH and IGF-1 levels, GH nadir level after OGTT, prolactin level, HPEO axes function, and pituitary imaging. Cure of acromegaly was achieved if GH<1ng/ml or GH nadir<0.4 ng/ml and IGF-1 was normalized (30). For cured patients, we recommended a follow-up frequency of every half year or one year. For patients who had a recurrence, follow-up was ceased, and repeated surgery, somatostatin analog treatment, or radiation were recommended based on individualized conditions. The follow-up time was defined as the interval from surgery to the last follow-up for cured patients or the time from surgery to recurrence for recurrent patients.

**Evaluation of Hyperprolactinemia and Hypopituitarism**

The levels of HPEO axes hormones and prolactin before surgery and at follow-up were available for all patients. Serum prolactin level above the upper limit of normal limits the diagnosis of hyperprolactinemia (31). The upper limits of normal prolactin for males and females at our institute were 15 and 25 ng/ml, respectively. Central hypogonadism, central hypothyroidism, and central adrenal insufficiency were diagnosed based on low levels of end-organ hormones (testosterone in males, estradiol in females, free thyroxine, cortisol), and low/normal levels of pituitary hormones (11, 32, 33). Patients receiving hormone replacement therapy were also identified as having hypopituitarism even though their hormones were normal. Hypofunction in two or more HPEO axes was classified as multiple HPEO axes dysfunction.

After surgery, prolactin normalization was achieved if the elevated preoperative prolactin level dropped back to normal. However, if prolactin exceeded the upper limit in the patients with normal preoperative prolactin, they were diagnosed with newly-developed hyperprolactinemia. Likewise, hypopituitarism improvement was recognized if HPEO axes hormones recovered to normal and symptoms disappeared after surgery in the patients who had preoperative hypogonadism, hypothyroidism, or adrenal insufficiency. On the contrary, if the HPEO axis function changed from normal into hypofunction, it was identified as new-onset hypopituitarism.

**Statistical Analysis**

SPSS Statistics (version 26.0, IBM, USA) was used to analyze the data, and Prism (version 8.4.3, GraphPad, USA) was used to generate graphs. Categorical variables were shown as numbers and percentages. Comparisons of categorical variables were performed using the chi-squared test. Continuous variables were presented as the means ± standard deviations or medians plus interquartile range (25th and 75th percentile), according to the distribution of data evaluated by Levene’s test. Nonpaired t-tests were used to assess the differences between normally distributed continuous variables, and Mann-Whitney U tests were used with variables that failed the normality test. The false discovery rate (FDR) algorithm was adopted to control the chance of generating false positives during multiple comparisons. Statistical significance was defined as p<0.05. Kaplan-Meier analysis was used to analyze the probability of surgical cure among patients with or without preoperative hyperprolactinemia/hypopituitarism. A log-rank p<0.05 indicated a significant difference in the surgical outcomes during the postoperative follow-up.

**RESULTS**

**Clinical Features and Endocrine Alterations of Active Acromegaly Patients**

Data of the 529 enrolled patients, including 235 males (44.4%) and 294 females (55.6%), were summarized in **Table 1**. Hyperprolactinemia was diagnosed in 207 patients (39.1%), and 90 (43.5%) of them had prolactin-positive adenomas. Hypopituitarism in at least one axis was found in 183 patients (34.6%). Central hypogonadism (29.7%) was the most common type of hypopituitarism, followed by central hypothyroidism (5.9%) and central adrenal insufficiency (5.1%). Twenty-eight patients who had preoperative hypogonadism, hypothyroidism, and adrenal insufficiency at diagnosis were cured, and the cure rate was 90 (43.5%) of 90 prolactin-positive adenomas.

| TABLE 1 | Clinical and endocrine features of 529 active acromegaly patients. |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Age at diagnosis, years | 41.5 ± 12.2 |
| Male, n (%) | 235 (44.4%) |
| Body mass index, kg/m² | 26.3 ± 3.6 |
| Disease duration, months | 60 (24, 108) |
| Magnetic resonance imaging features | |
| Tumor diameter, mm | 16.7 ± 8.2 |
| Macroadenoma, n (%) | 439 (83.0%) |
| Cavernous sinus invasion, n (%) | 175 (33.1%) |
| Compression of the optic chiasma, n (%) | 174 (32.9%) |
| Sphenoid sinus invasion, n (%) | 278 (52.6%) |
| Surgical approach and tumor pathology | |
| Microscopic transphenoidal approach, n (%) | 390 (73.7%) |
| Endoscopic transphenoidal approach, n (%) | 130 (24.6%) |
| Transcranial approach, n (%) | 9 (1.7%) |
| Prolactin-positive on IHC staining, n (%) | 184 (34.8%) |
| Ki-67 index, % | 2 (1.3) |
| Ki-67 index ≥ 3%, n (%) | 162 (30.6%) |
| Endocrine hormone alterations | |
| Growth hormone, ng/ml | 13.5 (6.9, 28.5) |
| Growth hormone nadir after OGTT, ng/ml | 9.7 (4.5, 19.8) |
| Insulin-like growth factor 1, ng/ml | 823 (688, 1027) |
| Prolactinemia, n (%) | 207 (39.1%) |
| Hypopituitarism, n (%) | 193 (34.6%) |
| Central hypogonadism, n (%) | 157 (29.7%) |
| Central hypothyroidism, n (%) | 31 (5.9%) |
| Central adrenal insufficiency, n (%) | 27 (5.1%) |
| Multiple HPEO axes dysfunctions, n (%) | 28 (5.3%) |

Continuous variables are presented as means ± standard deviations if normally distributed or medians (25th and 75th quartile) if not normally distributed. HPEO, hypothalamic-pituitary-end organ; IHC, immunohistochemical; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test.
patients (5.3%) developed multiple HPEO axes dysfunctions before surgery.

**Clinicopathological Correlations of Hyperprolactinemia and Hypopituitarism**

Patients with hyperprolactinemia were younger ($p=0.006$) and more likely to be females ($p=0.001$), had larger and more invasive pituitary adenomas ($p<0.001$), and had higher levels of GH ($p=0.004$) and GH nadir ($p=0.003$), compared to patients with normal prolactin (Table 2).

Among those with hyperprolactinemia ($n=207$), patients with prolactin-negative adenomas ($n=117$) had larger tumors ($p=0.004$) and sphenoidal sinus ($p=0.003$), compared to the patients with prolactin-positive adenomas ($n=90$).

Among all the patients with prolactin-positive tumors ($n=184$), those with hyperprolactinemia ($n=90$) had larger tumors ($p<0.001$) and higher levels of GH ($p=0.026$) and GH nadir ($p=0.024$), and their tumors were more likely to invade into cavernous sinus ($p=0.003$) and sphenoidal sinus ($p=0.001$), compared to the patients without hyperprolactinemia ($n=94$).

Patients with hypogonadism were younger ($p<0.001$), fatter ($p=0.001$), and more likely to be males ($p<0.001$), had larger and more invasive tumors ($p<0.001$) and greater ki-67 index ($p<0.01$), and had higher levels of GH ($p<0.001$), GH nadir ($p=0.001$) and IGF-1 ($p=0.007$), compared to patients with normal gonadal function. 74 of patients (47.1%) with hypogonadism had hyperprolactinemia, while only 35.8% of patients with hypogonadism had hyperprolactinemia ($p=0.014$). Patients with hypothyroidism were thinner ($p=0.004$) and more likely to be females ($p=0.012$), had larger and more invasive tumors, and lower levels of IGF-1 ($p<0.001$), compared to patients with normal thyroid function. No differences were found regarding clinicopathological characteristics between patients with and without adrenal insufficiency or multiple HPEO axes dysfunctions.

### Table 2: Clinicopathological correlations of hyperprolactinemia and hypopituitarism in acromegaly.

|                | Hyperprolactinemia | Central hypogonadism | Central hypothyroidism | Central adrenal insufficiency |
|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                | Yes ($n=207$)      | No ($n=322$)          | Yes ($n=157$)          | No ($n=372$)                  | Yes ($n=27$)                  | No ($n=502$)                  |
| Age at diagnosis, years | 39.6 ± 11.8        | 42.6 ± 12.3           | 38.1 ± 11.2            | 42.9 ± 12.3                  | 41.3 ± 14.0                   | 41.5 ± 9.5                   | 37.2 ± 4.7                                 |
| Male, n (%)     | 74 (35.7%)         | 161 (50.0%)           | 89 (45.2%)            | 146 (39.2%)                  | 7 (22.6%)                     | 228 (60.6%)                  | 16 (51.4%)                                 |
| Body mass index, kg/m² | 26.2 ± 3.5         | 26.4 ± 3.7            | 27.1 ± 3.7            | 26.0 ± 3.5                   | 24.5 ± 3.3                    | 26.4 ± 3.7                   | 25.2 ± 2.4                                 |
| Disease duration, months | 60 (24, 108)       | 60 (32, 108)          | 60 (30, 108)          | 60 (24, 110)                 | 60 (36, 108)                  | 60 (24, 108)                 | 60 (42, 108)                              |
| Tumor diameter, mm | 19.1 ± 8.6         | 15.1 ± 7.5            | 20.6 ± 9.0            | 15.1 ± 7.1                   | 21.7 ± 8.7                    | 16.4 ± 9.0                   | 8.0 ± 8.8                                  |
| Macroagranoma, n (%) | 187 (90.3%)        | 252 (78.3%)           | 145 (75.4%)           | 294 (79.0%)                  | 30 (96.8%)                    | 409 (93.2%)                  | 8.3 (63.0%)                                |
| Cavernous sinus invasion, n (%) | 96 (46.4%) | 79 (24.5%) | 75 (49.7%) | 100 (26.9%) | 21 (67.7%) | 154 (37.3%) | 12 (44.4%) |
| Optic chiasm compression, n (%) | 89 (43.0%) | 85 (26.4%) | 71 (47.8%) | 103 (27.7%) | 20 (64.5%) | 154 (37.3%) | 11 (40.7%) |
| Sphenoid sinus invasion, n (%) | 135 (65.2%) | 143 (44.4%) | 112 (71.3%) | 166 (44.6%) | 22 (71.0%) | 256 (60.3%) | 17 (62.3%) |
| Prolactin+ on IHC staining, n (%) | 90 (43.5%) | 94 (29.2%) | 43 (27.4%) | 141 (37.9%) | 7 (22.6%) | 177 (40.7%) | 9 (33.3%) |
| Tumor Ki-67 index value, n (%) | 2 (1.3) | 2 (1.3) | 2 (1.3) | 2 (1.3) | 2 (1.3) | 2 (1.3) | 2 (1.3) |
| Tumor Ki-67 index ≥ 3%, n (%) | 70 (32.6%) | 92 (28.6%) | 62 (39.5%) | 100 (26.9%) | 15 (48.4%) | 147 (35.9%) | 12 (44.4%) |
| Growth hormone, ng/ml | 16.3 (9.2, 34.1) | 11.2 (5.9, 23.1) | 22.4 (11.6, 21.8) | 11.6 (6.5, 21.8) | 13.2 (8.1, 35.8) | 13.6 (6.8, 28.2) | 32.1 (8.0, 66.8) |
| Growth hormone nadir, ng/ml | 12.0 (2.1, 63.3) | 7.9 (3.9, 17.7) | 15.3 (6.3, 33.4) | 8.3 (4.1, 16.1) | 9.1 (4.8, 16.1) | 9.7 (4.5, 19.6) | 19.4 (6.6, 44.1) |
| Insulin-like growth factor 1, ng/ml | 846 (677, 1068) | 808 (667, 985) | 875 (717, 1087) | 799 (659, 988) | 680 (484, 797) | 831 (677, 1037) | 790 (665, 1073) |

Continuous variables are presented as means ± standard deviations if normally distributed or medians (25th and 75th quartile) if not normally distributed.

IHC, immunohistochemical; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test

*Indicates statistically significant differences after being justified by the FDR algorithm, used to control the chance of generating false positives during multiple comparisons.
Preoperative Hyperprolactinemia and Hypopituitarism Predict Worse Surgical Outcomes

In Figure 1, we present the Kaplan-Meier curves for follow-up among patients with acromegaly. Patients with preoperative hyperprolactinemia had a higher recurrence rate and shorter median recurrence time after surgery than those with normal prolactin (HR, 1.39 (1.08-1.79); log-rank \( p=0.012 \)). Patients with preoperative hypogonadism had a higher recurrence rate and shorter median recurrence time than those with normal gonadal function (HR, 1.32 (1.01-1.73); log-rank \( p=0.047 \)). Patients with preoperative hypothyroidism had a higher recurrence rate and shorter median recurrence time than those with normal thyroid function [HR, 3.49 (1.90-6.44); log-rank \( p<0.001 \)].

Effect of Pituitary Tumor Resection on Endocrine Hormones in Acromegaly

The median follow-up time after surgery was 34 (16, 53) months. At the latest follow-up, 267 patients (50.5%) were in endocrine remission, while 262 (49.5%) experienced recurrence. While the prolactin levels in most patients with hyperprolactinemia returned to normal after surgery, hyperprolactinemia remained in 10 patients (1.9%). Six of these ten patients had prolactin-positive adenomas, and all of them experienced recurrence during follow-up. Additionally, five patients (0.9%) developed new-onset hyperprolactinemia, and the rate of postoperative hyperprolactinemia rose to 2.8%. The gonadal function in about half of the patients with preoperative hypogonadism recovered after surgery. With 33 patients (6.2%) developing new-onset postoperative hypogonadism, the rate of hypogonadism at follow-up was 19.8%. The thyroid function in two-thirds of the patients with preoperative hypothyroidism (5.9% of all) recovered after surgery, while 2.0% of patients didn’t. Twenty-one patients (4%) developed new-onset postoperative hypothyroidism, and the hypothyroidism rate at follow-up was 6.0%. More than 70% of the preoperative adrenal insufficiency (5.1% of all) recovered after surgery, while 17 patients (3.2%) developed newly-onset postoperative adrenal insufficiency. Thus, the rate of adrenal insufficiency at follow-up was 4.7%. Of the 28 patients diagnosed with multiple HPEO axes dysfunction (5.3% of all) before surgery, 68% recovered at
follow-up. Unfortunately, 21 patients (4%) developed multiple HPEO axes dysfunction after surgery, and the rate at follow-up turned up to 5.7%. Therefore, as shown in Figure 2, the preoperative hyperprolactinemia ($p<0.001$), hypogonadism ($p<0.001$), hypothyroidism ($p=0.002$), adrenal insufficiency ($p=0.002$), and multiple HPEO axes dysfunctions ($p=0.002$) all improved significantly after surgery, especially in the cured patients. However, adrenal insufficiency and multiple HPEO axes dysfunctions in the recurrent patients did not remarkably improve. Given that some patients developed new-onset hyperprolactinemia and hypopituitarism after surgery, only the rates of hyperprolactinemia (39.1% to 2.8%, $p<0.001$) and hypogonadism (29.7% to 19.8%, $p=0.004$) decreased at follow-up. In subgroups, the rates of hyperprolactinemia and hypogonadism decreased in cured patients, while only the rate of hyperprolactinemia decreased in recurrent patients.

Predictors of Prolactin Normalization and Hypopituitarism Improvement and Risk Factors of Newly Developed Hypopituitarism After Surgery

In Table 3, we present that a shorter tumor maximal diameter ($p=0.001$) was associated with the normalization of preoperative hyperprolactinemia after surgery. Cavernous sinus non-invasion ($p=0.001$), a shorter tumor diameter ($p=0.001$), cure at follow-up ($p=0.002$), and a lower GH nadir level ($p=0.009$) were associated with the improvement of preoperative hypopituitarism after surgery.

**Figure 2** | The effect of surgery on hyperprolactinemia and hypopituitarism in all acromegaly patients (A–E), cured patients (F–J), and recurrent patients (K–O). (A, F, K) Improvement of preoperative hyperprolactinemia and newly-developed postoperative hyperprolactinemia. (B, G, L) Improvement of preoperative hypogonadism and newly-developed postoperative hypogonadism. (C, H, M) Improvement of preoperative hypothyroidism and newly-developed postoperative hypothyroidism. (D, I, N) Improvement of preoperative adrenal insufficiency and newly-developed postoperative adrenal insufficiency. (E, J, O) Improvement of preoperative multiple hypothalamus-pituitary-end organ (HPEO) axes dysfunctions and newly-developed postoperative multiple HPEO axes dysfunctions. The stars to the lower left of the dotted lines indicated that the improvement of hyperprolactinemia or hypopituitarism after surgery was significant. The stars to the upper right of the dotted lines indicated that the differences between preoperative rates of hyperprolactinemia/hypopituitarism and postoperative rates were significant. * indicated $p<0.05$, ** indicated $p<0.01$, and *** indicated $p<0.001$. Preop, preoperative; Postop, postoperative.
In Table 4, we present that patients with a larger tumor (p=0.002) were more likely to develop hypopituitarism after surgery.

**DISCUSSION**

The current study systematically analyzed the preoperative status, postoperative alterations, and correlated clinicopathological factors of hyperprolactinemia and hypopituitarism in 529 acromegaly patients who were prospectively enrolled and followed up in a single center. The results showed that nearly two-thirds of acromegaly patients developed hyperprolactinemia before surgery, and over one-third had hypopituitarism in at least one axis. The most common single-axis hypopituitarism was hypogonadism, followed by hypothyroidism and adrenal insufficiency. Multiple HPEO axes dysfunction was diagnosed in over 5% of acromegaly patients. Patients with preoperative hyperprolactinemia, hypogonadism, or hypothyroidism were more likely to experience tumor recurrence after surgery than those without. Hyperprolactinemia in 95% of patients and hypopituitarism in over half of patients recovered after surgery. In addition, newly-developed hypogonadism, hypothyroidism, and adrenal insufficiency occurred in ~5% of patients at follow-up, in both cured and recurrent patients. Factors correlated with preoperative hyperprolactinemia and hypopituitarism and the predictors for their improvement and worsening after surgery were analyzed.

The rate of hyperprolactinemia in the acromegaly population was reported to range from 25% to nearly one-third (3, 6, 8). Our result showed that the rate was 39.1%, and the female to male ratio was 2:1. 43.5% of patients with hyperprolactinemia had prolactin-positive tumors, suggesting that prolactin hypersecretion by tumor might be a primary etiology in these patients. Arafah and colleagues (13) proposed that high intrasellar pressure was a mechanism for hyperprolactinemia. Our result paralleled this hypothesis and showed that macroadenomas and sinus invasion were correlated with hyperprolactinemia in patients with prolactin-negative tumors compared to those with prolactin-positive tumors, implying that prolactin-negative adenomas could cause hyperprolactinemia through compressing the pituitary stalk and elevating intrasellar pressure. After surgery, hyperprolactinemia recovered in most patients. Whether the patients were cured or not had little impact on the recovery. We also found that preoperative hyperprolactinemia was more likely to recover in patients who had smaller pituitary adenomas.

Whether preoperative hypopituitarism and hyperprolactinemia could predict the surgical outcome is unclear. Our data revealed that patients with hyperprolactinemia, hypogonadism, and hypothyroidism had higher recurrence rates after surgery than those without. Therefore, evaluation of HPEO axes functions is needed among acromegaly patients because the result could provide us with clues whether we should conduct more intensive follow-up and subsequent in-time intervention for this group of patients.

Studies showed the rates of HPEO axes dysfunctions varied by axis and generally presented in the following sequence: GH deficiency, hypogonadism, hypothyroidism, and adrenal insufficiency (17, 23). The rates of hypopituitarism in the current study were in the same order. After surgery,
improvement and worsening of HPEO axes dysfunctions coexisted, and the rate of hypopituitarism recovery was higher than the incidence of new-onset hypopituitarism (14). Previous studies revealed that 37.4% to 57% of patients with hypopituitarism caused by mass effect, GH excess, or hypogonadism. One reason might be the different definitions of hypopituitarism (1, 12, 18).

Hypogonadism is the most prevalent type of single-axis hypopituitarism caused by mass effect, GH excess, or hyperprolactinemia (20, 21). Of the 363 patients in Katznelson’s study, all the female patients with hypogonadism were diagnosed with hypogonadism (18). However, only 30% of the 529 patients in our cohort presented with hypogonadism (20). Grynberg and colleagues (21) found that hypogonadism was significantly lower than that in NFPA patients, which might result from the stimulation effect of GH/IGF-1 on thyroid function (9).

In Katznelson’s study, the female patients with amenorrhea who had no history of surgical menopause and all the male patients with only a single value of low serum testosterone were diagnosed with hypogonadism, which probably expanded the sample size by enrolling unexpected patients, e.g., primary amenorrhea, or error/variation in hormone test (10, 20). Adrenal insufficiency was the most severe type of endocrine deficiency at baseline. One Dutch study showed that the rate of adrenal insufficiency one year after surgery was low (9%), and the late-onset adrenal insufficiency is infrequent in cured patients (25). Our follow-up data characterized that 90% of preoperative central adrenal insufficiency in the cured acromegaly patients recovered after surgery. However, for all the patients as a whole, although 3.6% had their adrenal insufficiency recovered, 3.2% of patients developed new-onset adrenal insufficiency, leading to a postoperative rate of 4.7%, similar to the preoperative level.

The current study has some limitations. First, the patients were enrolled from only one medical center, leading to possible selection bias compared with multi-center research. However, the Pituitary Surgery Center at PUMCH Neurosurgery is the only institution to satisfy the inclusion criteria.
founder of China Pituitary Adenoma Specialist Council and China Pituitary Disease Registry Center. Acromegaly patients from all over the country come to our institute for treatment. By reviewing our database, we found that the patients were from 30 of 34 provinces in China, revealing a fair representation of the overall Chinese population. Second, the median follow-up time was only 34 months. A longer follow-up is still needed. Third, although our sample size of 529 acromegaly patients was relatively large, the numbers of patients in some subgroups were not enough to reach statistical significance given the low incidence of hypothyroidism and adrenal insufficiency in acromegaly. A larger sample size might be a potential means to avoid false-negative results and to verify the result from this analysis.

CONCLUSIONS
More than one-third of acromegaly patients suffer from hyperprolactinemia or hypopituitarism. Central hypogonadism is the most common hypopituitarism in acromegaly. Preoperative hyperprolactinemia, hypogonadism, and hypothyroidism can predict worse surgical outcomes. After surgery, hyperprolactinemia and HPEO axes dysfunction are recoverable, especially in cured patients. However, approximately 5% of patients develop new-onset hyperprolactinemia or hypopituitarism. Tumor diameter, cavernous sinus invasion status, and the remission state and GH nadir levels at follow-up are key factors predicting the recovery or worsening of hyperprolactinemia and hypopituitarism after surgery. This study with the largest sample size and intact follow-up data provides deep insight into the preoperative status of hyperprolactinemia and axis-specific hypopituitarism in acromegaly and the effect of clinical care and surgical correlations.
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