Relative Abundance and Invasion Dynamics of Alien Fish Species Linked to Chemical Conditions, Ecosystem Health, Native Fish Assemblage, and Stream Order
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Abstract: The incidence and dispersal of invasive alien fish species (IAFS) have ecological impacts on biodiversity and environmental health at regional to global scales. We identified links between the presence of largemouth bass (Lṛ) and bluegill (Bṛ), and selected indicators of environmental water quality, trophic and tolerance guilds, ecological health factors, and stream order. We used the data collected from national biomonitoring study sites in four major rivers of South Korea. IAFS occurred in eutrophic waters (Lṛ = total phosphorus: 140 ± 170 µg/L, chlorophyll a: 16.7 ± 27.5 µg/L; Bṛ = total phosphorus: 160 ± 190 µg/L, chlorophyll a: 19.43 ± 28.05 µg/L) and dominated at higher ambient ratios of total nitrogen to total phosphorus (TN:TP). At TN:TP ≤ 100, the relative abundance of Lṛ and Bṛ was highest (95.3% and 96.0%, respectively). Concerning tolerance guilds, Lṛ (R² = 0.78, p < 0.0001) and Bṛ (R² = 0.59, p < 0.0001) had positive relationships with tolerant species in all four river watersheds and negative relationships with the percentages of insectivores and omnivores. This indicates the harmful impacts of IAFS on the aquatic food web. These invasive fish species also influenced stream health, particularly in the Nakdong and Yeongsan/Seomjin rivers. Our findings suggest that assessing chemical water quality can help identify the optimal and suboptimal survival and spread ranges of IAFS (Lṛ and Bṛ), as they directly influence tolerance and trophic guilds in the aquatic food web. In conclusion, these IAFS could be a major factor in the deteriorating ecosystem health, which had negative relationships with the abundance and occurrence of IAFS. Therefore, approaches that use appropriate water chemistry factors and species tolerance may provide critical insights into the efficient management of river health that has been perturbed by the presence of IAFS.
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1. Introduction

Biological invasions are causing losses of biodiversity at regional to global scales [1,2]. The extent of the invasion influences the structure and size of the native community and its dynamics because of several factors, which are not limited to population responses to large-scale threats. Such factors, including climate change, environmental variations, habitat change, and ecological processes, are strongly interconnected [3–6]. These factors may affect the overall ecosystem by altering the community structure, ecosystem functions, and resultant ecological services [2,7]. Fish communities may be utterly jeopardized when a top carnivore (e.g., largemouth bass) and an opportunistic feeder (e.g., bluegill) become established and dispersed throughout a vulnerable aquatic ecosystem. Therefore, an in-depth study of successful fish invasions that considers the persistent ecological impacts of invasive alien fish species (IAFS) in lentic and lotic ecosystems is essential to achieving management priorities [8–11]. It is particularly critical in terms of environmental water quality, establishment, and expansion of these species to the recipient ecosystems.
With the deterioration of aquatic ecosystems, the abundance and diversity of fish species have been continuously declining in South Korea [12,13]. This decline has resulted in a reduction in sensitive species (SS) and an increase of tolerant species (TS) in streams and rivers [14,15]. Consequently, water resource management decisions, based on water quality assessment, have shifted toward the biological management of fish species in inland waters [16–20]. Using the index of biological integrity (IBI) introduced by Karr [21] is the most common method of diagnosing and evaluating aquatic ecosystems based on fish assemblages [22–24].

According to the IBI, every ecosystem comprises biological assemblages that undergo continuous intraspecific and interspecific environmental interactions. Furthermore, IBI is widely applicable due to its political and social implications as described in, for instance, European Water Framework Directive 2000/60/EC, as well as has a broad range of usage not limited to streams but widely used for ecological health assessment of natural [25] and artificial lakes [26], and coastal areas [27]. Therefore, evaluating and comparing the health of streams with pristine ecosystems could yield vital links between changes in ecological integrity and ecosystem processes [28–31]. Fish populations include species of various tolerance levels (SS, intermediate species [IS], and TS) and trophic guilds (herbivores, carnivores, insectivores, and omnivores). Such species traits may provide critically valuable insights for integrated management and analysis of vulnerable aquatic ecosystems [32–34].

At the same time, it is also essential to examine the degradation of water quality and habitat and its effects on fish communities, as these factors could predict cryptic shifts in fish communities and the presence of IAFS [35–37].

Micropterus salmoides (largemouth bass: Lₐ) and Lepomis macrochirus (bluegill: Bₐ) are designated harmful ecosystem-disturbing fish species in South Korea. In reservoirs and slow-flowing waters, native fish species are under grave threat from largemouth bass [15,38,39]. Numerous studies have revealed the tolerance and trophic traits of various fish species [21,40,41], indicating particular links with water chemistry, organic matter loads, specific nutrients (total nitrogen (TN) and total phosphorus (TP)), and ambient nutrient ratios. For example, TS and omnivorous species are regularly observed in polluted regions, whereas SS and insectivorous species prefer relatively clean and fast-flowing (riffle) zones [42,43]. Such characteristics of indicator guilds are fundamental tools for evaluating the relationships between ecosystem health, water chemistry, fish assemblages, and IAFS in lotic ecosystems [44–47].

The habitat selection and exploitation, spawning, and feeding patterns of largemouth bass and bluegill are identical [38,41]. In addition, the tolerance attributes of these two fish species are the same. Therefore, comparative analyses of the tolerance ranges of these two species in terms of water chemistry could yield valuable links for evaluating riverine ecosystem health in the presence of invasive fish species. However, almost no recent research is available on the relationships and dynamics among the relative abundance (RA) of largemouth bass and bluegill populations, water quality variables, and the ecological health of riverine waters. It is essential to mention that the invasive species trigger the degradation of a suite of ecosystem services, including recreational fishing, native fish breeding and feeding grounds, water chemistry, and indirectly inflict economic lassoes, making it imperative to understand their invasion dynamics at the watershed scale.

Therefore, we investigated the links among selected environmental variables, the presence and dispersal of IAFS, and ecological health based on fish assemblages in four major rivers in South Korea. We also identified the tolerance ranges of these two fish species according to physicochemical water quality parameters. We explored whether the tolerance guilds of these two species indicate ecosystem health, as represented by physicochemical water quality parameters and the fish community’s characteristics. Furthermore, we investigated the relationships between IAFS and stream order in four major river basins as well as the overall ecological health of the river basin. Finally, we estimated the dynamic interactions between largemouth bass and bluegill populations in relation to environmental guilds.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Biological Overview of the Selected Invasive Fish Species

There are several exotic invasive fish species in South Korean freshwater ecosystems that are actively damaging those ecosystems. However, largemouth bass and bluegill are some of the most damaging fish species. Therefore, we will discuss these two species as follows.

2.1.1. Largemouth Bass

Largemouth bass, which falls within the Perciforms (Centrarchidae), is an apex predator in the aquatic food chain that can cause a significant disturbance in aquatic ecosystems [37,38]. Since its introduction to extensive parts of the world, it has become widely established. The presence of aquatic vegetation enhances adult largemouth bass’s foraging efficiency and provides shelter to juveniles, protecting them against predation [39,41]. This species can thrive in rivers with little or no flowing water, indicating high tolerance for unfavorable conditions. It is a robust carnivorous species that usually preys on fish, aquatic insects, and shrimp [48,49].

2.1.2. Bluegill

Bluegill, the most common sunfish species, is native to the lakes and rivers of North America [50]. It has general feeding preferences, including prey from zooplankton to small vertebrates, and is considered an opportunistic feeder [51]. Where naturalized, it puts enormous pressure on native fish fauna, which can result in threats to and even extinction of native species [46]. Because of its numerous ecosystem-disrupting traits, bluegill’s release is strongly forbidden in South Korea by the Korean Ministry of Environment (MOE) [52]. Bluegill has shown a propensity for high fertility relative to native fish species. It inhabits shallow waters that include grassy areas with a depth of approximately 1 m. When the water temperature drops, it moves to pool areas for overwintering, which allows it to survive under unfavorable environmental conditions [53].

2.2. Study Area

This study was conducted in the watersheds of four major rivers in South Korea: Han River (HR; total length: 514 km, watershed area: 26,219 km²), Geum River (GR; total length: 414 km, watershed area: 9886 km²), Nakdong River (NR; total length: 525 km, watershed area: 23,860 km²), and Yeongsan/Seomjin River (Y/SR; total length: 348 km, watershed area: 8267 km²). We treated the Yeongsan and Seomjin rivers as one watershed because of similar geographic settings, and climate, fish fauna, anthropic pressures, as well as to equalize the watershed size of the other three river basins. The sampling stations were located in streams ranging from first to sixth orders based on the Strahler [54] classification system. The boundaries of the targeted watersheds are shown in Figure 1. Geographic, demographic, and hydrological details are provided in Table 1. This study spanned two consecutive years, 2008–2009, at study stations designated by the Korean MOE for national biomonitoring. The number of sites surveyed was 640 in 2008 (HR: 320, GR: 100, NR: 100, and Y/SR: 120) and 720 in 2009 (HR: 320, GR: 130, NR: 130, and Y/SR: 140). These sites were sampled twice per year during the study period. The land-use configurations in the four major rivers’ basins were unique in each watershed, as were the locations of point and nonpoint sources. For example, the upper HR watershed is mostly forest, whereas the downstream area of HR is an urban population center. The GR and NR watersheds share identical longitudinal gradients and receive anthropogenic impacts, whereas Y/SR is mainly cropland.
Figure 1. Study area map showing distinct watershed boundaries of each of the four major rivers included as well as the distribution of all the study sites sampled during this study.
Table 1. Geographic locations coordinates, and demographic and hydrological details of the four major rivers in South Korea.

| Variables               | Han River | Nakdong River | Geum River | Yeongsan/Seomjin Rivers |
|-------------------------|-----------|---------------|------------|-------------------------|
| Geographic location     | 36° N 30°, 126° E | 35° N 03°, 127° E | 35° N 35°, 126° E | 34° N 40°, 126° E       |
| coordinates             | 24°–38° N 55°, 129° E 02° | 27°–37° N 13°, 129° E 18° | 41°–37° N 05°, 128° E 25° | 26°–35° N 50°, 127° E 53° |
| Human Population *      | 27,432,203 | 13,726,794 | 6,251,437 | 4,174,595               |
| Daily discharge         | 1,089,801.92 | 1,363,452.72 | 692,017.98 | 235,607.66              |
| (m³/s) *                |           |               |             |                         |

* The information is taken from NIER (National Institute of Environmental Research) Korea, 2013.

2.3. Fish Sampling

Field sampling of fish was conducted twice per year in the pre-monsoon (April–June) and post-monsoon (September–October) seasons of 2008–2009. We used the wading method of An et al. [44] and the MOE National Institute of Environmental Research (NIER) approved methods [55], which was modified from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) method [38] based on catch per unit effort (CPUE). During sampling events, we considered all habitat types, including riffles, runs, and pools. We also considered the origin of fish species (native or exotic). We sampled an upstream to downstream reach of at least 200 m length for 50 min. The fish sampling gears used included cast net (5 × 5 mm; CN) and kick net (3 × 3 mm; KN), while no other gears were used due to the MOE restrictions. All fish were identified to the species level on-site using the identification key compiled by Kim and Park [56] and the taxonomic classification system of Nelson [57], then released immediately. All captured fish specimens were carefully examined for any external anomalies such as deformities (D), erosion (E), lesions (L), and tumors (T), collectively referred to as DELT, based on Sanders et al. [58].

2.4. Analysis of Ecological Indicators

The presence of invasive fish species is mainly associated with a change in various ecological indicators, including riverine water chemistry. We used the US EPA [42] and Karr [21] methodology to elucidate those relationships between fish assemblages and water chemistry indicators by sorting species into guilds based on tolerance and trophic level. Based on Barbour et al. [43], the tolerance guild structure was composed of sensitive species (SS), intermediate species (IS), and tolerant species (TS). In this structure, the SS group contained species that cannot tolerate degraded water quality or a perturbed habitat and may disappear or be displaced rapidly in lotic ecosystems. However, the TS group includes fish species that increased in their relative abundance despite the degradation of water quality and habitat. Meanwhile, the IS group contained all the fish species that do not fit neatly into the SS and TS groups. Similarly, we applied the trophic guild grouping considering omnivore (O), insectivore (I), carnivore (C), and herbivore (H) species. Barbour et al. [43] described omnivore proportions increased with decreasing water quality, whereas insectivore proportions decreased as water quality deteriorates.

2.5. IBI for Ecosystem Health Analyses

The IBI theory states that diverse fish communities and assemblages react predictably to or are displaced by modification and degradation of habitat and water quality. For example, decreasing biotic integrity indicates structural modifications in total native, TS, and SS fish populations [59,60]. The IBI is composed of eight metrics divided into three main classes: species richness and composition, trophic and tolerance guild compositions, and total fish abundance. Each metric represents a specific type of fish assemblage, thereby covering nearly all fish found in Korean freshwater bodies. The metrics are M₁: total number of native species, M₂: number of riffle-benthic species, M₃: number of SS, M₄: proportion of individuals that are TS, M₅: proportion of individuals that are omnivorous.
species, $M_{6}$: proportion of individuals that are insectivorous species, $M_{7}$: total number of native individuals, and $M_{8}$: proportion of individuals that are abnormal species. The four of the eight metrics, namely, $M_{1}$, $M_{2}$, $M_{3}$, and $M_{7}$, were evaluated in the famous maximum species richness line (MSRL) concept presented by Rankin and Yoder [61] in relation to stream order. Each metric has ascribed a score of 5, 3, or 1, and we obtained the final health assessment by summing all scores. Finally, based on the total scores, sites were placed into five categories: excellent (Ex; 40–36), good (G; 34–28), fair (F; 26–20), poor (P; 18–14), or very poor (VP; 13–8).

2.6. Physicochemical Analyses of Water Quality

Selected water quality parameters were monitored monthly at designated study sites of national biomonitoring survey in the four major river basins. The parameters were chosen on the assumption that changes in these factors may indicate conditions that support endemic or invasive fish species. All the tested water quality parameters are independent parameters that were measured separately. The parameters included biological oxygen demand (BOD), chemical oxygen demand (COD), TN, nitrate-nitrogen (NO$_3$-N), ammonia nitrogen (NH$_4$-N), TP, total suspended solids (TSS), and electrical conductivity (EC). Water samples 1 L in volume were collected in polyethylene bottles, stored in a dark icebox to avoid light-induced chemical reactions, and transported from the study site to the laboratory. TN was appraised through the second derivative method following a digestion protocol in a persulfate solution [62]. NH$_4$-N and NO$_3$-N were evaluated with the phenate method and ion chromatography, respectively, followed by filtration of the extract from the source sample through coarse glass fiber (GF/C) filters (Whatman, Maidstone, UK). TP was assessed through the ascorbic acid technique followed by persulfate oxidation [63]. EC, COD, BOD, and TSS were estimated with standard methods [42,64,65]. Analyses of nutrients (TN, TP) and their allied chemical species were executed in triplicate, whereas BOD testing was duplicated [42,64].

2.7. Statistical Analyses

We conducted linear regression on log-transformed data representing native and invasive fish assemblages and water chemistry results. The total number of sites where largemouth bass was sampled ($n = 527$) was considered its presence level, and the bluegill presence level was determined in the same manner ($n = 178$). The difference between the feeding guild classes of the largemouth bass and bluegill was calculated from the presence sites at the interval of five and the percent of fish species from each fish guild was plotted with the help of Sigma plot. Then we checked the regression relationships among the classes. Regression analysis was also performed on the basis of presence data with the help of Sigma Plot. The percentages of trophic and tolerance guilds at the watershed scale were calculated based on invasive species presence data. However, this is important to mention that largemouth bass and bluegill are not the only fish species present in the four major rivers watershed. The compelling reason for selecting these two species as IAS include their wider distribution and potential ecological damaging activities that jeopardize the local fish communities. We used Sigma Plot (ver. 14.0) to make illustrations, and means and standard deviations were calculated with SPSS Statistics ver. 22 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Furthermore, we used a $t$-test to identify significant differences in water quality factors and invasive fish species. Our study targeted the different aspects of largemouth bass and bluegill only as they are reportedly posing serious management challenges to the South Korean freshwater ecosystems. Given many study sites, we visually analyzed relationships based on the significant fish assemblages present and selected water quality parameters. For those analyses, we calculated IBI values following the Korea IBI model. Furthermore, we identified superficial relationships between selected parameters using regression and correlation techniques.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Ambient Water Chemistry and Invasive Species

We investigated the relationships between IAFS and ambient water quality factors, and the results indicated that tolerance ranges and water quality parameters (TP, TN, NO₃-N, NH₄-N, EC, BOD, COD, and TSS) had a broad range of interactions (Table 2). With the exception of EC (F = 8.06, t = –2.565, p = 0.011), the water chemistry variables showed no statistically significant differences by population (p > 0.05). The means of all water quality variables except TSS (12.25 ± 12.6) and COD (6.15 ± 2.9) were lower for largemouth bass populations than bluegill. This result supports the notion that largemouth bass is a hardy species that increases its tolerance range when exposed to deteriorating water quality. The negative sign before the t value in Table 2 indicates that the sample means less than the hypothesized mean, supporting the alternative hypothesis. Therefore, both fish species distributions are mainly dependent on the range of tolerance to ambient water quality. This hypothesis is supported by the higher number (n) of largemouth bass individuals sampled relative to bluegill, with n ranges of 381–532 and 125–178, respectively.

| Ambient Factors | Largemouth Bass | Bluegill | t-Test |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------|--------|
|                 | n               | Mean ± SD (Min-Max) | n       | Mean ± SD (Min-Max) | F Value | t Value | p Value |
| BOD (mg/L)      | 532             | 2.63 ± 2.3 (0–16.7) | 178     | 2.91 ± 2.55 (0–17) | 0.806   | –1.419  | 0.156   |
| COD (mg/L)      | 381             | 6.15 ± 2.9 (1–18)  | 128     | 6.66 ± 3.03 (2–19) | 0.060   | –1.572  | 0.117   |
| TN (mg/L)       | 525             | 2.64 ± 2.4 (0–20.00) | 175     | 2.95 ± 2.6 (0–17)  | 2.923   | –1.479  | 0.088   |
| NO₃-N (mg/L)    | 526             | 1.50 ± 1.1 (0.02–5.96) | 178     | 1.66 ± 1.2 (0–6)   | 2.591   | –1.592  | 0.112   |
| NH₄-N (mg/L)    | 422             | 0.40 ± 1.4 (0–7.09) | 137     | 0.62 ± 1.7 (0–5.7) | 4.787   | –1.493  | 0.136   |
| TP (µg/L)       | 522             | 140 ± 170 (0–1080) | 177     | 160 ± 190 (0–1000) | 3.185   | –1.398  | 0.075   |
| PO₄-P (mg/L)    | 514             | 0.08 ± 0.12 (0–0.82) | 171     | 0.10 ± 0.15 (0–1)  | 3.279   | –1.502  | 0.134   |
| TSS (mg/L)      | 465             | 12.25 ± 12.6 (0–96) | 158     | 11.58 ± 10.69 (0–69) | 1.597   | 0.600   | 0.549   |
| Conductivity (µS/cm) | 381 | 318.9 ± 221.7 (58–156) | 125     | 380.18 ± 260.05 (58–1279) | 8.062   | –2.565  | 0.011   |
| Chl-a (µg/L)    | 527             | 16.7 ± 27.5 (0–252.3) | 175     | 19.43 ± 28.05 (0–154) | 1.285   | –1.131  | 0.259   |

BOD = Biological oxygen demand, COD = Chemical oxygen demand, TN = Total nitrogen, NO₃-N = Nitrate-nitrogen, NH₄-N = Ammonia-nitrogen, TP = Total phosphorus, PO₄-P = Phosphate-phosphorus, TSS = Total suspended solids, Chl-a = Chlorophyll-a, SD = Standard deviation, n = number.

The ranges of NH₃-N, EC, BOD, and COD indicate that bluegill has the potential to thrive at higher levels of critical organic matter. We created separate scatter plots relating to the two invader species distributions with selected water quality parameters (Figure 2). Another notable result revealed by the final range of values is that largemouth bass is better able to tolerate significantly elevated levels of TP (0–1080 µg/L) and chlorophyll-a (0–252.3 µg/L), which corroborates recent local studies in South Korea [46]. The preference for lower levels of parameters that could hamper water clarity may be related to visibility, as largemouth bass depends on sight to select its prey.
We further tested the likelihood of the presence of largemouth bass and bluegill based on levels of ambient nutrients (TN, TP, and chlorophyll a) and their ratios, and the results showed that both species preferred eutrophic bodies of water (Table 3). The values (mean ± standard deviation, and range) for percent RA (%RA) of two invaders are presented. Note that phosphorus and nitrogen act as limiting factors in the ecosystem, and additional supply leads to intense eutrophication. However, in South Korea, lotic waters have gradually become more nitrogen-rich, causing phosphorus to be the limiting factor in most circumstances. As indicated by ambient TN:TP ratios, most largemouth bass (RA = 95.3%) and bluegill (RA = 96.0%) were distributed at TN:TP ≤ 100, whereas neither
species was observed at TN:TP > 300. We found that both species were found in waters with either eutrophic or mesotrophic nutrient levels when we used TN and TP separately to distinguish sites into oligotrophic, mesotrophic, and eutrophic ranges. Furthermore, the highest %RA for largemouth bass (42.5) and bluegill (44.3) was recorded in eutrophic waters (TP > 0.1 µg/L).

Table 3. Relationship between the relative abundance (RA %) of largemouth bass and bluegill populations, ambient Chl-a, TN, TP, and their ratios.

| Ambient Factors | Criteria       | Largemouth Bass RA (%) | Bluegill RA (%) |
|-----------------|----------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| Ambient nutrients | TN (%)       | 2.64 ± 2.367 (0–20) | 2.95 ± 2.585 (0–17) |
|                 | TP (%)       | 0.14 ± 0.17 (0–1.08) | 0.16 ± 0.19 (0–1) |
|                 | Chl-a (%)    | 16.70 ± 27.36 (0–0.82) | 19.43 ± 28.05 (0–154) |
| TN:TP Ratios (%) | TN:TP ≤ 100 | 95.3 | 96.0 |
|                 | 100 < TN:TP < 200 | 3.7 | 3.4 |
|                 | TN:TP > 300 | 0 | 0 |
| TN (%)          | TN ≤ 1      | 14.8 | 15.5 |
|                 | TN ≤ 2      | 36.1 | 28.2 |
|                 | TN > 3      | 25.5 | 31.0 |
| TP (%)          | TP ≤ 0.02   | 10.7 | 13.2 |
|                 | TP ≤ 0.05   | 22.6 | 18.4 |
|                 | TP > 0.1    | 42.5 | 44.3 |

TN = Total nitrogen, TP = Total phosphorus, TN:TP = Ambient ratios of nitrogen and phosphorus.

Deciphering the potential abiotic and biotic factors that render lotic ecosystems vulnerable to likely invasive species and predicting observable distribution patterns has long been a significant challenge in freshwater ecology [35,66]. Available data indicate that IAFS have greater diversity and richness in new and disrupted aquatic ecosystems relative to stable biotic and abiotic environments [67–69]. Therefore, water chemistry and habitat are critical factors for identifying ecosystems threatened by impending invasions [70,71].

3.2. Ecological Guild Dynamics and RA of Invasive Species

We investigated the dynamics of %RA, as well as those of trophic and tolerance guilds, to determine the distributions of trophic and tolerance assemblages and to clarify their trends through regression modelling for largemouth bass (Figure 3) and bluegill (Figure 4) in four major rivers in South Korea. We used the assemblage class intervals to identify spatial trends in the numbers of largemouth bass and bluegill individuals collected.

Trophic guild analyses showed that the maximum percentages of insectivores ($R^2 = 0.75$) and omnivores ($R^2 = 0.96$) had strong negative relationships with the %RA of largemouth bass. However, the percentages of insectivores ($R^2 = 0.062$, $p < 0.0001$) and omnivores ($R^2 = 0.13$, $p < 0.0001$) were inversely linked to the %RA of largemouth bass in the four major rivers.

Relations with trophic guild compositions showed similar trends for bluegill, with a slightly greater intensity of impacts. As the RA of bluegill increased, the percentages of insectivores ($R^2 = 0.038$, $p < 0.0001$) and omnivores ($R^2 = 0.25$, $p < 0.0001$) decreased. The maximum percentages of insectivores ($R^2 = 0.43$) and omnivores ($R^2 = 0.75$) decreased as the bluegill RA increased. The strong negative trend between trophic guild (omnivore and insectivore) assemblages and largemouth bass highlights the impact of a top predator on food webs at the habitat and ecosystem levels. This finding provides an impetus for managing rivers and streams to mitigate the impacts of invasions.

Tolerance guild analyses showed varying degrees of impact on SS and TS of invasive species. The proportion of SS decreased as the RA of largemouth bass increased ($R^2 = 0.11$, $p < 0.0001$), whereas TS increased ($R^2 = 0.038$, $p < 0.0001$). However, the proportion of SS showed a smaller decline with increasing the bluegill population ($R^2 = 0.01$, $p < 0.0001$), and the abundance of TS increased ($R^2 = 0.06$, $p < 0.0001$).
Figure 3. Dynamics of trophic and tolerance guilds in relation to the relative abundance (RA %) of Largemouth bass (*M. salmoides*).

We confirmed this result using the distribution of class intervals for both tolerance guilds. The RA of SS decreased similarly with largemouth bass ($R^2 = 0.37$, $p < 0.0001$) and bluegill populations ($R^2 = 0.28$, $p = 0.0003$). Tolerant assemblages increased in the presence of invaders, a clear indication of the survival of only hardy species in the face of ecological degradation in lotic bodies of water. It is interesting that the minimum TS appears to show the opposite trend relative to the maximum SS, further illustrating the ecological crisis associated with the presence of invaders. The proliferation of SS suggests better ecological health, whereas intensification of TS indicates a declining ecology [12,15,23,42,72]. The
present findings show that these two species impact lotic ecosystems by altering the trophic and tolerance guilds, with diverse impacts on native fish assemblages.

3.3. Ecosystem Health Dynamics and Invasive Species

We investigated the dynamics of ecosystem health by applying the IBI to four major river watersheds, evaluating individual study sites, combining the results for each study site’s ecological health, and obtaining the overall ecosystem health, as shown in Table 4. The total number of native fish species had above-average scores in all watersheds except NR (2.14), which showed a propensity toward declining native fish abundance. The total number of riffle-benthic species decreased at all sites except HR (2.49), in particular, NR (1.23) and Y/SR (1.29). This indicated decline of riffle-benthic fish species, which mostly prefer rapid currents with good water quality, may be linked to several factors. The factors could include deteriorating water quality, habitat degradation, and the proliferation of top
predators such as largemouth bass and opportunistic feeders such as bluegill, which create a hostile environment for these species. Similarly, the number of SS was approximately equal to the number of riffle-benthic species, which might have emigrated for various reasons. Our results also provide valuable insights into the increasing levels of point and nonpoint source pollutants in these watersheds. However, they also show that TS individuals were approximately as abundant as riffle-benthic species and SS and increased in basins where those assemblages declined.

Table 4. Ecological health assessment based on the index of biotic integrity (IBI) in the four major rivers watersheds during 2008–2009. HR = Han River, NR = Nakdong River, GR = Geum River, Y/SR = Yeongsan/Seomjin Rivers.

| Category                        | Metric | Scoring Criteria | Four Major Watersheds |
|---------------------------------|--------|------------------|-----------------------|
|                                 |        |                  | HR  | NR  | GR  | YR  |
| Species richness and compositions | M₁: Total number of native fish species | Expectations of M₁–M₃ vary with stream size and region. | 3.25 | 2.14 | 3.62 | 3.25 |
|                                 | M₂: Number of riffle benthic species |                           | 2.49 | 1.23 | 1.73 | 1.29 |
|                                 | M₃: Number of sensitive species |                           | 2.53 | 1.57 | 1.52 | 1.91 |
|                                 | M₄: Proportion of individuals as tolerant species | <5 5–20 >20 | 2.2 | 1.85 | 1.3 | 1.52 |
| Trophic compositions            | M₅: Proportion of individuals as omnivore species | <20 20–45 >45 | 2.65 | 2.9 | 1.74 | 1.97 |
|                                 | M₆: Proportion of individuals as native insectivore species | >45 45–20 <20 | 3.45 | 2.94 | 2.69 | 3.06 |
| Fish abundance and conditions   | M₇: Total number of native individuals | Expectations of M₇ vary with stream size and region. | 2.6 | 1.6 | 3.09 | 2.2 |
|                                 | M₈: Proportion of individuals with deformities, erosion, lesion, and tumors (DELT) | 0 0–1 >1 | 4.22 | 4.65 | 4.35 | 4.23 |
|                                 |        |                  | 23.39 | 18.88 | 20.04 | 19.43 |

Among trophic guilds, the proportions of individuals that were omnivores and insectivores differed. For example, omnivores in the GR (1.74) and Y/SR (1.97) watersheds displayed an increasing trend, reflecting a disturbance in the food chain that could be linked to omnivorous occurrence bluegill and largemouth bass, a top predator. However, for native insectivorous species, the lowest score was obtained in GR, where a reasonable proportion is present but shows signs of a possible decline in the future. In terms of fish abundance and condition, the findings showed declines in NR and Y/SR, whereas GR had little change in native individuals. The declining quantity of native individuals indicates the alarming impacts of IAFS along with other environmental factors. The overall physical health (DELT) of sampled fish individuals showed similar results across all four major watersheds.

The overall ecosystem health of each basin reflected the impacts of significant anthropogenic activity in the watersheds. HR displayed fair (23.37) biological integrity, as did GR (20.04), which narrowly escaped being listed in the poor category. The NR and Y/SR watersheds showed poor ecosystem health, again reflecting degrading water quality and habitat as well as disturbed fish assemblages due to invasions of exotic fish species. Our results reaffirm the impacts of invasive and ecosystem-disturbing species on native sensitive and riffle-benthic populations as well as their disruption of ecological balance through increasing TS. However, this responsibility cannot be placed solely on IAFS, as
each species’ physicochemical water quality preferences and environmental characteristics must also be considered.

Alexander et al. [73] reported increased impacts of IAFS on the incidence of habitat modification and simplification triggered by native fish assemblage dislocation. Note that biological disturbances spread in every microhabitat and affect almost all fish assemblages. Alarming changes to habitat, anthropogenic and agricultural pollution, and monsoon weather create change in hydrological regimes, dam and weir construction, river channel modification, unbalanced nutrient inputs, demolition of riverine foliage, and succession of shelter-providing aquatic plants accompany successful invasions [15,74,75]. These findings show the magnitude of adverse impacts from environmental deterioration and IAFS on Korean lotic water bodies. In addition, they suggest immediate management measures that can be taken to rehabilitate disturbed and declining native fish assemblages.

3.4. Stream Order Preferences of Invasive Fish Species

We explored the presence of invasive species concerning stream order and their relationships with the biotic health of four major rivers. The %RA of both invaders was specific to stream order (Figure 5). We plotted the %RA of the ecosystem-disturbing fish species and ecosystem health based on the IBI in first- to sixth-order streams in the four major watersheds, which revealed interesting patterns. Most largemouth basses preferred relatively deep waters, mainly in third- to fifth-order streams, except NR, where the highest percentage was recorded in a sixth-order stream. This result may provide useful insights into stream fish assemblage structures. First- and second-order streams in South Korea can be easily waded, which indicates a countermeasure used by this species to escape from danger. Other reasons for this preference could be a lack of shelter for spawn and frequent drought events that cause prolonged shortages of sustainable streamflow.

**Figure 5.** Relative abundance of *M. salmoides* and *L. macrochirus* against stream order and ecological health assessment based on the Index of Biological Integrity (IBI). HR = Han River, NR = Nakdong River, GR = Geum River, Y/SR = Yeongsan/Seomjin Rivers.
Furthermore, hydrological fluctuations due to widespread weir construction combined with intensive monsoon rainfall lead to extreme flow events that could affect native fish species and their food chains at the local scale. HR showed decreased largemouth bass with increasing stream order, whereas NR displayed an inverse relationship between %RA and stream order. No bluegill was observed in any first-order streams. The HR watershed showed decreasing bluegill abundance, whereas NR displayed an increasing trend, similar to that of largemouth bass. GR supported the fewest bluegill, with first- and second-order streams showing zero occurrences and a decreasing trend with increasing stream order. In the Y/SR watershed, bluegill did not appear in first- and sixth-order streams and occurred most often in third-order streams.

It is essential to understand the spatial distribution of both native species and IAFS for conservation and management [76]. Longitudinal gradients structure fish assemblages [77], supported by numerous studies, and species richness increases in the downstream direction. This longitudinal increase may be explained by two factors, namely, faunal zonation and species addition. Faunal zonation occurs when ecologically related species form distinctive assemblages in reaction to fluctuations in the local habitat [76,78], with excellent examples in cold-to-warm water system transitions experiencing channel morphological alterations [79]. Species addition can follow downstream gradients; however, the acquisition may occur with or without disappearances and replacements [76,77,80,81]. Previous studies have also indicated that TS and top predator species show increasing trends toward downstream waters [23,82].

3.5. Relationships between Trophic and Tolerance Guild and IAFS

We examined interactions between trophic and tolerance guilds and ecosystem-disturbing fish species (i.e., largemouth bass and bluegill) and obtained fascinating results. Insectivorous and sensitive fish assemblages showed significant declines in the presence of largemouth bass and bluegill. Simultaneously, omnivorous species and TS increased concomitant with these alien species (Figure 6). An in-depth exploration suggested that the increase in largemouth bass populations further compressed the insectivorous and sensitive fish populations in the four major rivers. The role of bluegill in the destruction of SS and insectivorous species was smaller. For largemouth bass, the mean RA of insectivores was 15.17% (HR), 14.08% (NR), 22.55% (GR), and 16.55% (Y/SR), whereas that of omnivores was 72.64% (HR), 36.67% (NR), 57.13% (GR), and 65.94% (Y/SR). Proportions of insectivores and omnivores were approximately inversely proportional to each other. SS abundance was 0.95% (HR), 0.94% (NR), 0.98% (GR), and 1.93% (Y/SR), whereas of largemouth bass as TS levels were 76.54% (HR), 83.24% (NR), 65.59% (GR), and 73.72% (Y/SR). However, the RA of bluegill, as TS was 92.08% (HR), 88.53% (NR), 75.15% (GR), and 83.24% (Y/SR).

It is interesting that the occurrence of these ecological nuisance species precipitously decreased the abundance of SS. However, this finding may not be solely associated with these species, as the environment reflects the worsening water quality. Insectivores and sensitive fish species are usually quick to respond to declining water quality, as their feeding grounds are disturbed. The invasion of ecosystem-disturbing fish into vulnerable freshwater bodies can have detrimental effects, disrupting the food web from either its apex or center [75,83]. Both omnivorous and tolerant assemblages were invigorated by the invasions of the two species investigated here. Head-to-head competition between the two invaders occurs in these four major rivers and has devastating effects on the local ecosystems. In HR, bluegill was dominant over largemouth bass, whereas bluegill was outcompeted in the remaining three watersheds (NR, GR, and Y/SR).

However, the percentages of TS generally improved in the presence of bluegill, as it outcompeted largemouth bass in all watersheds. This finding is also symptomatic of the notion that bluegill is more tolerant of environmental degradation because of its prolific breeding and resistance to ecological disturbances. This species has shown a strong capacity to benefit from a deteriorating environment and fluctuating hydrological conditions [69].
was previously hypothesized that invasions have grave consequences when anthropogenic impacts are already present, and this hypothesis was validated by this case [84,85].

Figure 6. Invasive fish species interactions with trophic and tolerance guilds in the four major rivers during 2008–2009. HR = Han River, NR = Nakdong River, GR = Geum River, Y/SR = Yeongsan/Seomjin Rivers.
4. Conclusions and Further Research

This study provides detailed insights into the potential links between two ecosystem-disturbing IAFS and the relationships of their presence and abundance with the water chemistry and biotic communities of four major river watersheds in South Korea. Our findings could clarify priorities for management actions at the watershed scale to mitigate the impacts of IAFS. The results suggest that both largemouth bass and bluegill thrive in deteriorating water quality and can succeed in water bodies poised to become eutrophic. The majority of these fish were sampled at sites with ambient TN:TP ratios of less than 100.

The most strongly impacted native fish assemblages were the sensitive and insectivore fish species, given the springy and voracious feeding styles of these invaders, which disrupt the feeding grounds and food web. This devastating feeding and preying habit damages the overall food web and native fish assemblages and their normal activities. The degradation of water quality further aggravates this situation due to anthropogenic impacts. In this study, the most critical water quality factors that stressed native populations and supported invading fish were TN, TP, chlorophyll a, COD, and BOD, all of which were strongly connected to oxygen depletion. These findings indicate which factors should be prioritized in the management and conservation of the impacted watersheds. Future studies should include robust interdisciplinary analyses of hydrobiology, taxonomy, hydrochemistry, ecological niche modelling, and habitat suitability in these areas. We suggest the inclusion of associated urban, forest, estuarine, and agricultural regions is essential, which may affect the hydrological regime, particularly the water flow and anthropogenic activities that could render the ecologically degraded environments suitable for invasion. Therefore, more studies are required to elaborate on the critical links prevalent among the biotic and abiotic factors that might help spread these exotic fish species. In this way, we can comprehensively manage and improve water quality, predict threatened fish communities, and mitigate the impending threats of IAFS at the regional and global scales.
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