Transcription Factor IIS Cooperates with the E3 Ligase UBR5 to Ubiquitinate the CDK9 Subunit of the Positive Transcription Elongation Factor B*
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Elongation of transcription by mammalian RNA polymerase II (RNAPII) is regulated by specific factors, including transcription factor IIS (TFIIS) and positive transcription elongation factor b (P-TEFb). We show that the E3 ubiquitin ligase UBR5 associates with the CDK9 subunit of positive transcription elongation factor b to mediate its polyubiquitination in human cells. TFIIS also binds UBR5 to stimulate CDK9 polyubiquitination. Co-localization of UBR5, CDK9, and TFIIS along specific regions of the γ fibrinogen (γFG) gene indicates that a ternary complex involving these factors participates in the transcriptional regulation of this gene. In support of this notion, overexpression of TFIIS not only modifies the ubiquitination pattern of CDK9 in vivo but also increases the association of CDK9 with various regions of the γFG gene. Notably, the TFIIS-mediated increase in CDK9 loading is obtained during both basal and activated transcription of the γFG gene. This increased CDK9 binding is paralleled by an increase in the recruitment of RNAPII along the γFG gene and the phosphorylation of the C-terminal domain of the RNAPII largest subunit RPB1 on Ser-2, a known target of CDK9. Together, these results identify UBR5 as a novel E3 ligase that regulates transcription and define an additional function of TFIIS in the regulation of CDK9.

Transcription factor IIS (TFIIS) 3 is the first general transcription factor reported to associate with RNAPII (1). The functions of TFIIS in transcription have been extensively studied, and although early evidence pointed to a specific role in elongation, more recent studies have revealed the implication of TFIIS in early transcriptional stages such as formation of the preinitiation complex (2) and release of the polymerase from elongation complexes paused close to the promoter (3). The architecture of TFIIS is modular and comprises three domains with distinct functions: domain III is essential for the stimulation of elongation; domain II and the flexible linker between domains II and III are required for binding to RNAPII; and domain I is required for the interaction with the Mediator and other initiation factors (4–6). The most well characterized function of TFIIS in elongation consists of the rescue of backtracked RNAPII through stimulation of its intrinsic endonucleolytic activity (7). In support of the function of TFIIS in both early and late stages of transcription, chromatin immunoprecipitation studies in human cells (8) and lower eukaryotes (2, 9) have revealed the association of TFIIS along the entire sequence of tested genes, including promoters, and have shown that this factor is required for the recruitment of polymerase molecules at specific promoters.

TFIIS participates in important regulatory mechanisms that evolved from lower to higher eukaryotes. For instance, although yeast TFIIS is not essential for growth, TFIIS knockout mice die during embryonic development (10). The regulation of post-initiation stages of transcription was generally believed to be involved in the control of a small subset of genes (e.g. heat shock response genes in Drosophila, c-Myc, c-Fos, and HIV-1) (11). However, genome-wide studies in different systems suggest that this regulatory mechanism is much more common than initially thought (12), given that paused polymerase molecules were identified along a majority of transcribed genes. Because TFIIS stimulates the release of polymerase from promoter-proximal paused complexes, the regulatory potential of TFIIS could be much wider than previously believed.

The transcription elongation factor P-TEFb also stimulates the release of promoter-proximal paused RNAPII (13–15). This activity is mediated by the kinase subunit of P-TEFb, CDK9, which phosphorylates multiple targets, including the C-terminal domain (CTD) of the Rpb1 subunit of RNAPII and the negative elongation factors DSIF and NELF (16). These phosphorylation events abolish the inhibitory effect of DSIF and NELF (14, 17) and allow the phosphorylated CTD to become a platform for the recruitment of stimulatory elongation factors (18). These concerted actions cause the release of the polymerase from its paused state and its entry into pro-
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ductive elongation. Notably, it has been shown that DSIF and NELF not only inhibit RNAPII but also negatively affect the activity of TFII S in vitro, both negative factors being required (19). These data suggest that a self-regulatory loop could be formed with positive and negative elongation factors inhibiting each other; P-TFEB counteracts DSIF and NELF, which in turn inhibits TFII S. Although DSIF and NELF have been shown to function as negative elongation factors only when both proteins are present, no direct link between TFII S and P-TFEB has been reported yet.

In this article, we report on the identification of a new interaction partner for TFII S and CDK9, namely, the E3 ubiquitin ligase UBR5. We show that UBR5 catalyzes the ubiquitination of CDK9 in vitro and that both UBR5 and TFII S are essential for this ubiquitination event in both human cells. We also show that overexpression of TFII S increases the occupancy of CDK9 along the γFG gene, an event that is accompanied by an increased loading of RNAPII and an increased phosphorylation of the Ser-2 residue of its RPBI CTD. Moreover, we provide direct evidence that the effect of TFII S on the ubiquitination of CDK9 and its occupancy level along the γFG gene is dependent upon the UBR5 ubiquitin ligase.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

*Protein Affinity Purification Coupled with Mass Spectrometry*—Human Ecr 293 cells stably transfected were induced with 3 μM ponasterone A (Invitrogen) to express TAP-tagged versions of TFII S and TFII S.1. Whole cell extracts were subjected to TAP purification, and the eluates were run on SDS-PAGE gels, as described previously (20). Noninduced cells were used as TAP purification controls. After silver or Sypro Ruby (Bio-Rad) staining, the bands were excised and digested with trypsin. The tryptic peptides were purified and identified by tandem mass spectrometry, as described previously (21). To discriminate between specific and spurious interactions, we used a computational method that we have described previously (21, 22). This method uses an algorithm that assigns an interaction reliability score to each protein interaction based on parameters such as the strength of the MS score and the local topology of the network (e.g. number of common interaction partners and the identification of the interaction in reciprocal purifications). The graph in Fig. 1A shows the interaction partners of TFII S with an interaction reliability score higher than 0.73. We estimate that above this threshold, the specificity is ~88%, and the rate of false positives is lower than 12%. By setting this threshold value of interaction reliability, we evaluate that the sensitivity of our method to detect relevant interactions approaches 72%, which suggests a rate of 28% false negatives (see Ref. 22 for details on the computational method used to evaluate the confidence of our interaction networks.

*Chemicals and Immunoreagents*—The following antibodies were used: monoclonal anti-TFII S (Transduction Laboratories), M2 monoclonal anti-FLAG (Sigma), polyclonal anti-CDK9 (C-20), anti-UBR5 (N-19), RNA Pol II (N-20 and C-21), anti-ubiquitin (FL-76), anti-CAND1 (A-13) (Santa Cruz Biotechnologies), monoclonal His6 tag (ab18184) (Abcam), and monoclonal anti Ser(P)-2 CTD Pol II (H5) (Conver-
siRNA Knockdown Experiments—Pools of ON-TARGET plus Smartpool oligonucleotides (four oligonucleotides) targeting TFIIS, UBR5, and, as a negative control, a mix of four oligonucleotides that do not target any known human gene (Dharmacon) were used. HeLa and HepG2 cells cultured in six-well dishes were transfected using Oligofectamine (Invitrogen) and siPORT NeoFX transfection agent (Ambion), respectively, according to the manufacturers’ instructions. For efficient knockdown, we used a double transfection procedure: after the first transfection, the cells were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h and then retransfected using the same protocol. To determine the knockdown efficiencies, the cell lysates obtained after 48, 72, and 96 h of culture were resolved on SDS-PAGE gels and tested by immunoblotting with relevant antibodies. Because the most efficient knockdown was obtained after 48 h (data not shown), these conditions were used for all siRNA knockdown experiments.

In Vitro Ubiquitination Assay—Full-length CDK9 carrying a C-terminal His₆ tag was obtained by baculovirus expression along with cyclin T1 (1–290), followed by nickel-nitrotriacetic acid and Mono S purification. UBR5 was purified by the TAP procedure from a human EcR 293 cell line stably expressing full-length UBR5 with a C-terminal TAP tag. After the second step of the TAP purification, the calmodulin beads containing immunoprecipitated UBR5 were washed twice with cold ubiquitination buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT, 0.5% Nonidet P-40, and protease inhibitor mixture) and then washed twice with 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, and 1 mM DTT. The reaction mixture performed in ubiquitination buffer: 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 37 °C for 5 min, ubiquitin (500 ng) was added to start the reaction. The mixtures were incubated for 55 min with gentle agitation every 10 min. The reaction was stopped by adding SDS loading buffer and boiling for 10 min. After the isolation of beads by centrifugation (1 min at 1000 rpm), the supernatant was loaded on 6% SDS-PAGE gels. The ubiquitination reactions were analyzed by Western blotting.

In Vivo Ubiquitination Assays—Transient transfection of HeLa cells with vectors driving the expression of TFIIS-FLAG or the empty vector, together with a ubiquitin-HA expression construct, was performed with calcium phosphate in 100-mm dishes. Lactacystin (12 μM) was added for 5 h. After 48 h of culture, the cells were lysed for 1 h at 4 °C in lysis buffer containing 1% Nonidet P-40, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, 2 mM N-ethylmaleimide, and protease inhibitor mixture (Roche Applied Science) and supplemented with 6 M urea. The soluble lysate was diluted to 0.3 M urea with lysis buffer supplemented with 1 mM DTT and immunoprecipitated at 4 °C overnight with a CDK9 antibody (10 μg). The immunocomplexes were collected with protein A-Sepharose beads, and after extensive washing, they were eluted with reducing SDS sample buffer. Eluates and a sample (5%) of the whole cell extracts were tested by immunoblotting with the indicated antibodies, using a standard procedure. The ubiquitination in HepG2 cells stably expressing UBR5-FLAG or TFIIS-FLAG was assessed using the same procedure.

To analyze the effect of TFIIS or UBR5 knockdown on the ubiquitination of CDK9, HeLa cells cultured in six-well plates were transfected with siRNAs and co-transfected with the DNA plasmids and siRNAs, as indicated in the figures, using Oligofectamine. After 24 h, the cells were transfected a second time with siRNAs. Final concentrations of siRNAs were 25 and 50 nM, as indicated. After a total culture time of 48 h, the cells were lysed and subjected to ubiquitination assays and to parallel verification of the knockdown efficiency by immunoblotting with relevant antibodies.

Luciferase Assay—HeLa cells were transfected directly into white 96-well plates with plasmids expressing N- or C-terminal CDK9-Renilla luciferase fusion proteins and with a normalizing plasmid expressing the Fluc using Oligofectamine (Invitrogen). UBR5-targeting and control siRNAs were co-transfected with the DNA plasmids, and after 24 h, a second transfection of siRNAs was performed. After a total of 48 h, the Renilla and firefly luciferase activities were measured with the Dual-Glo Luciferase system (Promega), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. De novo protein synthesis was inhibited by adding cycloheximide at a final concentration of 100 μg/ml, and the luciferase substrates were added at the indicated time points.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation—Two-step ChIP assays were performed as described previously (25). HepG2 cells were cultured in 100-mm dishes, and 4–6 × 10⁶ cells were cross-linked at room temperature in two steps. First, disuccinimidyl glutarate was added for 45 min for protein-protein cross-linking, and second, protein-DNA cross-linking was performed by adding formaldehyde for 10 min. After washing with PBS, the cells were lysed, and the nuclei were resuspended in SDS lysis buffer and sonicated on ice 12 times using 15-s pulses (Fisher Model 100 sonic dismembrator). The average length of DNA fragments was 350 bp. The immunoprecipitation of equal amounts of DNA was performed overnight at 4 °C in ChIP dilution buffer. We used 4 μg of the indicated antibodies or 10 μg in the case of the H5 antibody. The immunoprecipitated complexes were collected with protein A-Sepharose (GE Healthcare) for CDK9 (C20) and RNAPII (N20) antibodies, and with Dynabeads PanMouse IgG or rat anti-mouse IgM (Invitrogen) for anti-FLAG (M2) and anti-RNAPII Ser(P)-2-CTD form (H5) antibodies, respectively. After washing and elution, the cross-links were reversed at 65 °C for 4 h, and the DNA was purified by phenol/chloroform extraction. The quantification of the immunoprecipitated DNA fragments was performed by quantitative PCR, as described (20). The primers used are described elsewhere (26). For siRNA knockdowns coupled with ChIP experiments, HepG2 cells were cultured in 100-mm dishes, a double transfection of siRNAs was performed with siPORT NeoFX (Ambion), and after a total culture time of 48 h, the cells were subjected to the two-step ChIP assay as described above. For ChIP-re-ChIP experiments, the complexes were washed with washing buffer containing a protease inhibitor mixture (Roche Applied Science), eluted by incubation for 30 min at
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37 °C in Re-ChiP buffer (0.5 mM DTT, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, protease inhibitor mixture), and subjected again to the ChIP procedure.

RESULTS

TFIIS Interacts with the E3 Ubiquitin Ligase UBR5 and CDK9—To map the space of the human interactome that contains the transcription elongation factor TFIIS (official gene symbol: TCEA1) and to identify novel interaction partners that may reveal new functions for TFIIS, we used affinity purification of TAP-tagged baits targeting many transcription and RNA processing factors (22). A high confidence interaction network for human TFIIS. The graph shows part of a network of high confidence interactions obtained using affinity purification (in vitro pulldown) coupled with mass spectrometry, with 77 TAP-tagged baits targeting many transcription and RNA processing factors (22). B, in vitro pulldown using TFIIS-GST. In vitro pulldown experiments used HEK 293 whole cell extracts with TFIIS-GST bound to glutathione-Sepharose beads or with control glutathione-Sepharose beads. The eluates and input (5%) were immunoblotted using antibodies directed against UBR5, CDK9, or the control protein CAND1. The NaCl concentration (m) in the elution buffer is indicated. C and D, immunoprecipitation using anti-CDK9 (C) and anti-UBR5 (D) antibodies. Immunoprecipitation experiments used HEK 293 whole cell extracts with an antibody raised against CDK9 (C) or UBR5 (D) bound to protein A beads or with mock protein A beads. The eluates and input (5%) were run on SDS gels and immunoblotted using antibodies against UBR5, TFIIS, CDK9, and Rpb1. W, Western blotting; IP, immunoprecipitation; WCE, whole cell extract.

Figure 1. TFIIS interacts with the E3 ligase UBR5 and the P-TEFb kinase CDK9. A, high confidence interaction network for human TFIIS. The graph shows part of a network of high confidence interactions obtained using affinity purification (in vivo pulldown) coupled with mass spectrometry, with 77 TAP-tagged baits targeting many transcription and RNA processing factors (22). B, in vitro pulldown using TFIIS-GST. In vitro pulldown experiments used HEK 293 whole cell extracts with TFIIS-GST bound to glutathione-Sepharose beads or with control glutathione-Sepharose beads. The eluates and input (5%) were immunoblotted using antibodies directed against UBR5, CDK9, or the control protein CAND1. The NaCl concentration (m) in the elution buffer is indicated.

...
UBR5 Mediates the Ubiquitination of CDK9 in Vitro—Because a previous report indicated that endogenous CDK9 molecules are ubiquitinated (30), it was legitimate to speculate that its interaction partner, UBR5, may be involved in this modification. To test this hypothesis, we first performed in vitro ubiquitination assays using TAP affinity purified UBR5 and CDK9 purified from insect cells as a substrate. When the complete set of components was used in the ubiquitination reaction, a robust polyubiquitination of CDK9 was observed, with the appearance of slower migrating high molecular weight species in lanes that contain the complete reaction mixture (Fig. 2). These forms contained ubiquitinated CDK9 molecules, because they were detected with both antibodies directed toward CDK9 (anti-tag and anti-CDK9), as well as with the anti-ubiquitin antibody. When one of the components was omitted (E1, E2, CDK9, or UBR5), no ubiquitination was observed. These results show that UBR5 directly catalyzes the ubiquitination of CDK9 in vitro.

TFIIS and UBR5 Are Required for the Ubiquitination of CDK9 in Vivo—We next performed in vivo ubiquitination assays in extracts from cells in which either UBR5 or TFIIS were individually overexpressed or depleted. HeLa cells were co-transfected with constructs driving the expression of TFIIS-FLAG and an ubiquitin-HA construct were used as controls. The cells were treated with the proteasome inhibitor lactacystin, and the whole cell extracts were incubated with an anti-CDK9 antibody. After CDK9 was isolated, the eluates were resolved on SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with anti-ubiquitin, anti-CDK9, or anti-FLAG antibodies. The overexpression of TFIIS markedly increased the smear of high molecular weight proteins as compared with the controls (Fig. 3A, right panel). This effect was specific to CDK9 because no significant modification in the general ubiquitination pattern was observed in the whole cell extracts (Fig. 3A, left panel). Immunoblotting with an anti-CDK9 antibody shows that the high molecular weight species are polyubiquitinated CDK9 molecules (Fig. 3B). Moreover, these species appear specifically in the eluates from the CDK9 immunoprecipitates but not in the eluates from mock immunoprecipitation (Fig. 3C). Interestingly, proteasome inhibition by lactacystin does not affect the stability of the polyubiquitinated CDK9 species, whereas stabilization of the polyubiquitinated proteins is observed in the whole cell extracts using the same treatment (Fig. 3C).

To assess whether UBR5 is involved in the ubiquitination of CDK9 in vivo, we performed ubiquitination assays using extracts from HepG2 cells stably transfected with a construct expressing UBR5-FLAG. As controls, we used extracts prepared in parallel from a stable HepG2 cell line expressing TFIIS-FLAG or from parental HepG2 cells. Fig. 3D shows that overexpression of UBR5 specifically increased the smear of high molecular weight CDK9 species.

To confirm the role of UBR5 and TFIIS in CDK9 ubiquitination, siRNA treatments were used to knock down each protein individually in HeLa cells (Fig. 4, B and D, respectively), and the whole cell extracts were subjected to CDK9 immunoprecipitation and in vivo ubiquitination assays, as described above. The knockdown of each of TFIIS or UBR5 protein reduced the smear of polyubiquitinated CDK9 species in a dose-dependent fashion compared with control nontargeting siRNAs (Fig. 4, A and C, respectively). Together, these results indicate that both UBR5 and TFIIS are involved in CDK9 ubiquitination in vivo.
UBR5 Is Required for the Effect of TFIIS on CDK9 Ubiquitination in Vivo—Because both TFIIS and P-TEFb stimulate transcriptional elongation by RNAPII, we hypothesized that TFIIS may potentiate the activity of P-TEFb by recruiting the E3 ubiquitin ligase UBR5 to facilitate CDK9 ubiquitination. To test this idea, we performed in vivo ubiquitination assays in HeLa cells co-transfected with constructs driving the expression of TFIIS-FLAG and siRNAs targeting UBR5. As shown in Fig. 4E, TFIIS overexpression increased the accumulation of high molecular weight CDK9 species as compared with transfection with the empty vector when a nontargeting siRNA was co-transfected. However, co-transfection with the UBR5-targeting siRNA almost completely abolished this effect on CDK9 ubiquitination. This suggests that the effect of TFIIS on CDK9 ubiquitination is related, to a significant extent, to the activity of UBR5. The residual ubiquitination of CDK9 may be due to the incomplete depletion of UBR5.

The Knockdown of UBR5 Does Not Affect the Stability of CDK9—Although endogenous CDK9 is a long-lived protein, with a half-life ($t_{1/2}$) between 4 and 7 h depending on the cell type, the exogenously overexpressed CDK9 fusion protein was reported to degrade faster, with a $t_{1/2}$ dependent on the level of its overexpression (31). Because we have shown that the ubiquitination of CDK9 is dependent on UBR5, we reasoned that if the role of this modification is to target CDK9 for proteosomal degradation, then the knockdown of UBR5 should have a detectable effect on the stability of this kinase. We used a luciferase-based reporter assay combined with siRNA treatment to evaluate this hypothesis. Constructs encoding N- or C-terminal CDK9-Renilla luciferase fusion proteins were co-transfected into HeLa cells with a normalizing plasmid expressing the Fluc and with UBR5-targeting or control siRNAs. After a double transfection procedure, de novo protein synthesis was blocked by incubating the cells with cycloheximide for 2–4 h, and the luciferase activity was measured with a

FIGURE 4. TFIIS or UBR5 knockdown decreases the ubiquitination of CDK9 in vivo, and UBR5 is required for the effect of TFIIS on this ubiquitination event. A, HeLa cells were transfected with the indicated concentrations of siRNAs targeting TFIIS or control nontargeting siRNAs or mock transfected. The cells were treated with lactacystin and lysed, and the lysate was used in immunoprecipitation experiments with an anti-CDK9 antibody (C20). The eluates were run on SDS gels and immunoblotted with anti-ubiquitin or anti-CDK9 antibodies. B, comparison of knockdown efficiencies resulting from treatment with siRNAs targeting TFIIS or control nontargeting siRNAs. C, HeLa cells treated with the indicated concentrations of siRNAs targeting UBR5 or nontargeting siRNAs were assayed for in vivo ubiquitination (as in A). D, comparison of knockdown efficiencies resulting from treatment with siRNAs targeting UBR5 or control nontargeting siRNAs (50 nM) or mock-treated were transfected with a construct driving the expression of TFIIS-FLAG or with an empty vector. An in vivo ubiquitination assay (as in A) was performed with the transfected cells. The lysates were used for anti-CDK9 immunoprecipitation, and the eluates (left panel) or the input (5%) (right panel) were run on SDS gels and immunoblotted with anti-ubiquitin or anti-CDK9 antibodies. The expression of the FLAG-tagged protein was monitored by immunodetection with an anti-FLAG antibody. WB, Western blotting; IP, immunoprecipitation; WCE, whole cell extract.
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FIGURE 5. UBR5 knockdown does not affect the stability of CDK9. HeLa cells were co-transfected with constructs driving the expression of RLuc fused to the N-terminal region of CDK9 and with Fluc as an internal normalization control, together with siRNAs targeting UBR5 or control nontargeting siRNAs. Cycloheximide was added to culture medium for 0, 2, and 4 h to block overall protein synthesis, and luciferase activities were measured (upper panel). Parallel control experiments using the RLuc construct alone were conducted (lower panel). Each value represents the average of four assays, including two independent experiments.

dual luciferase assay, as described by Archambault and co-workers (32). As a control, we also performed the same set of experiments with the Renilla luciferase plasmid with no attached CDK9 moiety. The results indicate that, in our conditions, the overexpressed CDK9 has a half-life of less than 2 h (Fig. 5, upper panel), although the control protein Renilla luciferase (RLuc) was relatively stable over the duration of the experiment (Fig. 5, lower panel). In cells in which UBR5 was knocked down, the kinetics of CDK9 degradation was similar to that of cells transfected with control nontargeting siRNA or mock-treated cells (Fig. 5, upper panel). More specifically, the luciferase activity of the CDK9-RLuc fusion protein, normalized to that of Fluc in each sample, presents similar values at all time points and for all tested conditions. Similar results were obtained when the RLuc fused to the N-terminal (Fig. 5, upper panel) or C-terminal (data not shown) ends of CDK9 was analyzed. These results suggest that UBR5 is not involved in regulating CDK9 stability and that the ubiquitination event mediated by this E3 ligase does not target CDK9 for degradation by the proteasome.

TFIIS Increases CDK9 Occupancy along the γFBG Gene in a UBR5-dependent Manner and Activates Its Transcription—It was shown previously that the ubiquitination of CDK9 facilitates transactivation of HIV-1 genome transcription by Tat (30), suggesting that CDK9 ubiquitination may have a stimulatory effect on transcription. One possibility is that TFIIS promotes the activity of this kinase by mediating its ubiquitination by UBR5. To investigate this hypothesis, we used as a model the γFBG gene, which has been shown to be regulated through the recruitment of CDK9 upon IL-6 induction of HepG2 hepatocarcinoma cells (26). ChIP assays were performed in HepG2 cells with a two-step cross-linking procedure. Parental HepG2 cells or stable HepG2 cells expressing the TFIIS-FLAG protein were used for this ChIP procedure. The positions of the primers used to analyze the recruitment of different proteins along the γFBG gene are shown in Fig. 6A. Fig. 6B shows a significant increase in CDK9 occupancy levels along the γFBG gene upon IL-6 induction, as reported previously (26). Overexpression of TFIIS resulted in increased CDK9 occupancy levels along the sequence of this gene in basal conditions, with a further increase after IL-6 induction (Fig. 6B). This finding suggests that TFIIS stimulates the association of CDK9 with the γFBG gene chromatin.

To assess whether this effect is due to the increased recruitment of TFIIS itself on the γFBG gene, we performed ChIP assays with an anti-FLAG antibody in the HepG2 cells stably expressing the FLAG-TFIIS protein. As shown in Fig. 6C, TFIIS is detected both in the region of the TATA box and in downstream transcribed regions of the γFBG gene, with higher enrichment values after IL-6 induction. The low TFIIS ChIP signals in the IL-6-RE1 region, both in basal conditions and after IL-6 induction, suggest that the effect of TFIIS on CDK9 occupancy in this region may be the result of modifications induced to CDK9 before its recruitment to the preinitiation complex. A similar set of experiments was performed in HepG2 cells stably expressing a form of UBR5 carrying a FLAG tag. The location profile of UBR5 along the γFBG gene was similar to that observed for TFIIS (Fig. 6D). This supports the idea that TFIIS mediates the effect of UBR5 on CDK9 via a physical association of all three proteins in the same genomic regions. As for TFIIS, the UBR5 signals observed in the IL-6-RE1 region were low (Fig. 6D), supporting the notion that the modifications of CDK9 by UBR5 may take place both before and after the recruitment of CDK9 to the chromatin.

To further define whether the effect of TFIIS on CDK9 loading along the γFBG gene requires UBR5, we assessed the impact of UBR5 knockdown (Fig. 6E, lower panel) on CDK9 occupancy in cells that overexpress TFIIS. The results show a decreased CDK9 occupancy of the promoter and exon 5 regions upon UBR5 depletion, as compared with a control siRNA treatment (Fig. 6E, upper panel). The effect of UBR5 knockdown on the CDK9 occupancy at the TATA box and exon 5 regions cannot be explained by a lower loading of CDK9 in the upstream IL6_RE1, because no significant effect was observed in this upstream region. Rather, this finding suggests a local, on-chromatin event. This conclusion is supported by the presence of the three proteins, CDK9, TFIIS, and UBR5, on TATA box and exon 5 regions, where an effect of UBR5 knockdown on the CDK9 occupancy is observed, paralleled by the absence of TFIIS and UBR5 in the IL6_RE1 region where no significant effect of UBR5 knockdown is observed. Taken together, these results argue in favor of a model in which the E3 ubiquitin ligase UBR5 mediates the activity of TFIIS on CDK9 in a mechanism that stimulates the loading of CDK9 along transcribed regions.

To investigate whether the effect of TFIIS on the recruitment of CDK9 has a functional consequence on transcription, we performed ChIP assays with two RNAPII antibodies. One antibody recognizes all forms of RNAPII (referred to here as total RNAPII) (Fig. 6F), and one specifically recognizes Ser(P)-2 on the CTD of the large subunit (Fig. 6G). Ser-2 resi-
dues are targets of CDK9, and RNAPII containing Ser(P)-2 is found in the 3′/H11032 region of genes (33). We analyzed both the parental HepG2 and TFIIS overexpressing cells. Total RNA-PPII loading on the /H9253 FBG gene is significantly increased upon induction. Notably, the overexpression of TFIIS increased the recruitment of total RNAPII to the promoter both in basal and induced conditions, suggesting that TFIIS increases the de novo recruitment of RNAPII. This conclusion is supported by the finding that TFIIS and RNAPII have similar location patterns on the /H9253 FBG promoter in cells overexpressing TFIIS both in basal conditions and after IL-6 induction (Fig. 6, C and F). Fig. 6G shows that the occupancy level of Ser(P)-2-CTD RNAPII is increased both in promoter and downstream transcribed regions upon IL-6 induction of parental HepG2 cells, which is again in agreement with previous results (26). Of note, overexpression of TFIIS markedly increased the occupancy level of this form of polymerase along the promoter and downstream transcribed regions with anti-CDK9 antibody (C20) (upper panel). The knockdown efficiency is shown (lower panel). F, the N20 antibody that recognizes all forms of RNAPII (total) was used in ChIP experiments (as in B), prior to or following treatment with IL-6. G, an antibody that specifically recognizes the RNAPII Ser(P)-2-CTD form (H5) was used for the ChIP experiments. H, cells overexpressing TFIIS-FLAG, UBR5-FLAG, or parental HepG2 were treated with IL-6 and subjected to the ChIP-re-ChIP procedure with anti-CDK9 followed by anti-FLAG antibodies. The enrichment values for the IL6_RE1 and TATA box regions are shown. The data are presented as the means ± S.D. of at least three values including two independent experiments. Student’s t tests were performed, and the levels of significance are indicated: *, p value <0.05; **, p value <0.01.

FIGURE 6. TFIIS, CDK9, and UBR5 are recruited to the γFBG gene, and TFIIS increases the occupancy of this gene by CDK9 in a UBR5-dependent manner. A, schematic representation of the γFBG gene and the position of primers used to amplify specific regions (IL6_RE1, TATA box, exon 5, and exon 7). B, parental or TFIIS-FLAG overexpressing HepG2 cells were subjected to a two-step ChIP assay prior to or following treatment with IL-6. After cross-linking, cell lysis, and sonication, the nucleoprotein complexes were immunoprecipitated with antibodies raised against CDK9 (C20). The occupancy levels were evaluated by quantitative PCR with primers along the γFBG gene, as indicated in A. C, TFIIS-FLAG-overexpressing HepG2 cells were used in ChIP experiments (as in B) prior to or following treatment with IL-6, with an anti-FLAG (M2) antibody at the immunoprecipitation step. D, UBR5-FLAG-overexpressing HepG2 cells were used in ChIP experiments (as in B), prior to or following treatment with IL-6, with the anti-FLAG (M2) antibody at the immunoprecipitation step. E, TFIIS-FLAG-overexpressing HepG2 cells were treated with either siRNAs targeting UBR5 or control nontargeting siRNAs. The cells treated with IL-6 were used in ChIP experiments with an anti-CDK9 antibody (C20) (upper panel). The knockdown efficiency is shown (lower panel). F, the N20 antibody that recognizes all forms of RNAPII (total) was used in ChIP experiments (as in B), prior to or following treatment with IL-6. G, an antibody that specifically recognizes the RNAPII Ser(P)-2-CTD form (H5) was used for the ChIP experiments. H, cells overexpressing TFIIS-FLAG, UBR5-FLAG, or parental HepG2 were treated with IL-6 and subjected to the ChIP-re-ChIP procedure with anti-CDK9 followed by anti-FLAG antibodies. The enrichment values for the IL6_RE1 and TATA box regions are shown. The data are presented as the means ± S.D. of at least three values including two independent experiments. Student’s t tests were performed, and the levels of significance are indicated: *, p value <0.05; **, p value <0.01.
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pressing FLAG-tagged TFIIS or UBR5 proteins, by using anti-CDK9 and anti-FLAG antibodies in sequential immunoprecipitations. Fig. 6H shows that, when promoter-bound CDK9 is immunoprecipitated, both TFIIS and UBR5 are co-immunoprecipitated, because a significantly increased enrichment is observed in cells overexpressing TFIIS-FLAG or UBR5-FLAG but not in parental HepG2 cells. Nevertheless, no significant enrichment was observed at the IL6_RE1, suggesting, on one hand, that TFIIS and UBR5 are not associated with CDK9 over this region, and, on the other hand, that the signal obtained on the promoter region is specific. No co-localization signal for the three proteins was observed in transcribed regions downstream from the promoter (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we provide evidence that TFIIS is involved in the ubiquitination of CDK9 (the kinase subunit of P-TEFb) by recruiting the E3 ubiquitin ligase UBR5. Our results indicate that UBR5 catalyzes the polyubiquitination of CDK9, and this modification does not lead to the degradation of CDK9. Rather, TFIIS increases the loading of CDK9 over a cytoplasmic inducible gene in a UBR5-dependent fashion.

Independent reports showing that TFIIS and P-TEFb are required for the entry of RNAPII into productive elongation (3, 13) are in agreement with our findings. Our study provides a link between these two factors and reveals a new function of TFIIS in recruiting the E3 ubiquitin ligase UBR5 and thereby potentiating the loading of CDK9 on chromatin. UBR5 is a HECT domain E3 ubiquitin ligase involved in various processes, including the transactivation of progesterone and miocardin-controlled transcription (34, 35), the DNA damage response (36), and, on a larger scale, the initiation, maintenance, and/or termination of cell proliferation (37). UBR5 amplification and overexpression was reported to be common in different types of cancers, such as ovarian, breast, and hepatocellular carcinoma (38, 39). Interestingly, UBR5 has been shown to interact with and activate the DNA damage checkpoint kinase CHK2 (36). Our finding that endogenous UBR5 interacts under normal conditions with both TFIIS and CDK9 (Fig. 1) suggests that UBR5 participates in general RNAPII transcription mechanisms. Indeed, the chromatin immunoprecipitation of a FLAG-tagged version of UBR5 shows its association with transcribed regions in normal conditions (Fig. 6), a finding that is further supported by the identification of RNAPII itself in immunoprecipitates of endogenous UBR5 (Fig. 1).

Previous studies also pointed to a possible link between TFIIS and P-TEFb. TFIIS-deficient yeast cells were shown to present an increased dependence on BUR1/BUR2 or CTK1, the yeast orthologues of P-TEFb (40). Also, by using a combination of defined and crude in vitro transcriptions systems, a study conducted in humans concluded in the existence of a factor facilitating the function of P-TEFb in transcriptional elongation (41). Notably, whereas TFIIS, a nonessential gene in yeast, evolved to gain essential functions in higher eukaryotes, UBR5 does not have a yeast homologue and appeared later during evolution.

CDK9 is known to be ubiquitinated in vivo, and the level of its expression was suggested to be a determinant for the fate of the modified protein. When overexpressed, CDK9 is ubiquitinated and rapidly degraded (29); however, the endogenous protein is long-lived (31), and its ubiquitination may rather modulate its function in transcription by RNAPII (29, 30). Our results show that TFIIS is involved in the ubiquitination of endogenous CDK9 by recruiting the E3 ubiquitin ligase UBR5. Four lines of evidence support this conclusion. First, the interaction partner of TFIIS, UBR5, directly catalyzes the ubiquitination of CDK9 in an in vitro ubiquitination assay (Fig. 2). Second, when overexpressed, both TFIIS and UBR5 increase the smear of polyubiquitinated CDK9 species in in vivo ubiquitination assays (Fig. 3). Third, the knockdown of each of these proteins decreases the levels of ubiquitination of endogenous CDK9 (Fig. 4). Fourth, the knockdown of UBR5 abolishes the capacity of TFIIS to increase the smear of polyubiquitinated CDK9 species (Fig. 4). The appearance of new bands of polyubiquitinated species of CDK9 upon overexpression of TFIIS or UBR5 may indicate the use of new sites of ubiquitination on CDK9, or alternatively, the generation of intermediate polyubiquitinated species on the same site. On the other hand, dual ubiquitin ligase/deubiquitilase activities have been reported, as, for example, in the case of A20, an essential enzyme for attenuating NF-κB signaling (35). Based on our results, we cannot exclude that, when overexpressed, UBR5 may rather act as a deubiquitylase, leading to the appearance of intermediate polyubiquitinated species of CDK9.

In a previous report, the E3 ubiquitin ligase SCF^{SKP2} (SKP1-Cull1-F-box-protein ubiquitin ligase, S phase kinase-associated protein 2) was shown to ubiquitinate CDK9 (30). Because we did not identify this ubiquitin ligase as a TFIIS interaction partner in our systematic affinity purification coupled with mass spectrometry analysis, it is possible that different ubiquitination pathways participate in the ubiquitination of CDK9. The context could specify the ubiquitination pathway; for example, SCF^{SKP2} was shown to mediate the ubiquitination of CD9 in the context of Tat transactivation of HIV1 transcription (30) or to serve as a signal for proteosomal degradation when CDK9 is overexpressed (29). As a precedent, it has been shown that p27 is efficiently ubiquitinated by SCF^{SKP2} only when it forms a complex with a CDK but not when it is in the free, non-complexed form (42). Our results showing that the ubiquitination of endogenous CDK9 is dependent upon TFIIS and UBR5 suggest a role for this modification as a downstream event in processes mediated by TFIIS.

We used a sensitive luciferase assay (32) to evaluate whether the ubiquitination of CDK9 mediated by UBR5 has the role of triggering its degradation. Our results show that this is not the case, because the knockdown of UBR5 has no effect on the kinetics of CDK9 degradation (Fig. 5), suggesting that the depletion of UBR5 does not stabilize CDK9. This finding further suggests that CDK9 ubiquitination by UBR5 does not act in targeting CDK9 for degradation when it is overexpressed. Moreover, the endogenous CDK9 protein was not stabilized by the knockdown of UBR5 or that of TFIIS.
The activation of CDK9 is widely implicated in regulating the elongation phase of transcription by RNAPII and plays a key role in a multitude of biological processes, such as cell differentiation, proliferation, immune response, and inflammation. Its activity is tightly regulated by mechanisms involving (i) a dynamic release from and capture by inhibitory (7SK/HEXIM) and activating (phosphorylated transcription factors) complexes; (ii) regulated expression of its two isoforms; and (iii) post-translational modifications, including phosphorylation and ubiquitination (16, 46, 48). Our results depict a new mechanism of CDK9 regulation by the general transcription factor TFIIS and the E3 Ligase UBR5.
Ubiquitination of CDK9 by the E3 Ligase UBR5

TFIIS. We show that this mechanism involves the ubiquitination of CDK9, most likely in the soluble cellular fraction, through the recruitment of the E3 ubiquitin ligase UBR5. We propose that TFIIS coordinates the initiation of transcription with an increased stability of the early CDK9(P-TEFb)-RNAPII transcribing complexes, which is dependent upon UBR5.
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