A TeV source in the 3C 66A/B region
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Abstract. The MAGIC telescope observed the region around the distant blazar 3C 66A for 54.2 hr in 2007 August–December. The observations resulted in the discovery of a γ-ray source centered at celestial coordinates R.A. = 2h23m12s and decl. = 43°0.7′ (MAGIC J0223+430), coinciding with the nearby radio galaxy 3C 66B. A possible association of the excess with the blazar 3C 66A is discussed. The energy spectrum of MAGIC J0223+430 follows a power law with a normalization of $\Gamma = -3.10 \pm 0.31\text{stat} \pm 0.2\text{syst}$. The energy spectrum of 3C 66A is discussed.
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I. INTRODUCTION

As of today, there are 26 known extragalactic very high energy (VHE, defined here as $E > 100\text{GeV}$) γ-ray sources. All of them are active galactic nuclei (AGNs) with relativistic jets. With the exception of the radio galaxy M 87 and Cent A all detected sources are blazars, whose jets (characterized by a bulk Lorentz factor $\Gamma \sim 20$) point, within a small angle ($\theta \sim 1/\Gamma$), to the observer. The spectral energy distribution (logarithm of the observed energy density versus logarithm of the photon energy) of AGNs typically show a two-bump structure. Various models have been proposed for the origin of the high-frequency bump, the most popular being inverse Compton scattering of low-frequency photons. There have been several suggestions for the origin of the low-frequency seed photons that are up-scattered to γ-ray energies: they may be produced within the jet by synchrotron radiation (synchrotron self-Compton or SSC mechanism [1]) or come from outside the jet (external Compton or EC mechanism [2]). Relativistic effects boost the observed emission as the Doppler factor depends on the angle to the line of sight. In case the jet angle to the line of sight is large, models that depend less critically on beaming effects are needed [3]. The VHE γ-ray emission of AGNs might also be of hadronic origin through the emission from secondary electrons [4].

3C 66A and 3C 66B are two AGNs separated by just 6′ in the sky. 3C 66B is a large Fanaroff–Riley-I-type (FRI) radio galaxy, similar to M 87, with a redshift of 0.0215 [5], whereas 3C 66A is a distant blazar. As pointed out in [6], the often referred redshift of 0.444 for 3C 66A is uncertain. It is based on a single measurement of one emission line only [7], while in later observations no lines in the spectra of 3C 66A were reported [8]. Based on the marginally resolved host galaxy, a photometric redshift of $\sim 0.321$ was inferred [9]. In this paper we report the discovery of VHE γ-ray emission located 6.1′ away from the blazar 3C 66A and coinciding with the radio galaxy 3C 66B in 2007. Detailed results and discussion can be found in [10].

II. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA ANALYSIS

3C 66A underwent an optical outburst in 2007 August, as monitored by the Tuorla blazar monitoring program. The outburst triggered VHE γ-ray observations of the source with the MAGIC telescope following the Target of Opportunity program, which resulted in discoveries of new VHE γ-ray sources in the past.

MAGIC has a standard trigger threshold of 60 GeV, an angular resolution of $\sim 0.5′$ and an energy resolution above $150\text{GeV}$ of $\sim 25\%$ (see [11] for details). The MAGIC data analysis is described in detail in [10], [11].

Data were taken in the false-source tracking (wobble) mode, pointing alternatively to two different sky directions, each at 24′ distance from the 3C 66A catalog position. The zenith distance distribution of the data extends from 13° to 35°. Observations were made in 2007 August, September, and December and lasted 54.2 hr, out of which 45.3 hr passed the quality cuts based on the event rate after image cleaning. An additional cut removed the events with total charge less than 150 photoelectrons (corresponding to an energy of $\sim 90\text{GeV}$) in order to assure a better background rejection.

Just before the start of the observation campaign $\sim 5\%$ of the mirrors on the telescope were replaced, worsening the optical point-spread function (PSF). As a consequence, a new calibration of the mirror alignment system became necessary, which took place within the observation campaign and improved the PSF again. The sigma of the Gaussian PSF (40% light containment) was measured to be 3.0 in 2007 August 12-14, 2.6 in 2007 August 15-26 and 2.1 in 2007 September and December. To take this into account, data were analyzed separately for each period and the results were combined at the end of the analysis chain. However, the realignment resulted in a mispointing, which was taken care of by a new pointing model applied offline using
starguider information \cite{12}. Considering the additional uncertainty caused by the offline corrections, we estimate the systematic uncertainty of the pointing accuracy to be 2′ \cite{13}.

III. RESULTS

Figure 1 (left plot) shows a significance map produced from the signal and background maps, both smoothed with a Gaussian of $\sigma = 6′$ (corresponding to the $\gamma$-PSF), for photon energies between 150 GeV and 1 TeV. Loose background rejection cuts are applied to keep a large number of gamma-like events. The center of gravity of the $\gamma$-ray emission is derived from Figure 1 by fitting a bell-shaped function of the form

$$F(x, y) = A \cdot \exp \left[ -\frac{(x - \bar{x})^2 + (y - \bar{y})^2}{2\sigma^2} \right]$$

for which the distribution of the excess events is assumed to be rotationally symmetric, i.e., $\sigma_x = \sigma_y = \sigma$. The fit yields reconstructed coordinates of the excess center of R.A. = 23$^{h}$23$^{m}$12$^{s}$ and decl. = 43$^{\circ}$0.7. The detected excess, which we name MAGIC J0223+430, is 6.1′ away from the catalog position of 3C 66A, while the distance to 3C 66B is 1.7′. We made a study to estimate statistical uncertainty of the reconstructed position. The probabilities are shown in Figure 1 by the green contours corresponding to 68.2%, 95.4%, and 99.7% for the inner, middle, and outer contour, respectively. Using this study we find that the measured excess coincides with the catalog position of 3C 66B. The origin of the emission from 3C 66A can be excluded with a probability of 95.6% (2.0 $\sigma$). Linearily adding the systematic uncertainty of the pointing for this data set (2′, see above), i.e., shifting the excess position by 2′ toward the catalog position of 3C 66A, the exclusion probability is 85.4% (1.5 $\sigma$).

To calculate the significance of the detection, an $|\text{ALPHA}|$ distribution was produced (see Figure 1 left), where $\text{ALPHA}$ is the angle between the major axis of the shower image ellipse and the source position in the camera. A signal of 6.0 $\sigma$ significance (pre-trial, at the position of 3C 66B) and 5.4 $\sigma$ (post-trial, using 30 independent trials) has been calculated.

Figure 2 shows the light curve of MAGIC J0223+430 together with the flux of 3C 66A in optical wavelengths. As we integrate over $\gamma$-ray events from a wide sky region ($\sim 0.07$ deg$^2$), we cannot exclude that 3C 66A contributes to the measured signal. The integral flux above 150 GeV corresponds to $(7.3 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-12}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (2.2% of the Crab Nebula flux) and is the lowest ever detected by MAGIC. The $\gamma$-ray light curve is consistent with a constant flux within statistical errors. These errors, however, are large, and some variability of the signal cannot be excluded.

For the energy spectrum of MAGIC J0223+430, loose cuts are made to keep the $\gamma$-ray acceptance high. The differential energy spectrum was unfolded and is shown in Figure 3. The spectrum can be well fitted by a power law which gives a differential flux (TeV$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) of:

$$\frac{dN}{dE \, dA \, dt} = (1.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-11} (E/300 \text{ GeV})^{-3.1 \pm 0.3}$$

(2)

The quoted errors are statistical only. The systematic uncertainty is estimated to be 35% in the flux level and 0.2 in the power law photon index \cite{11}. As we cannot exclude that 3C 66A contributes to the measured signal, the spectrum shown in Figure 3 represents a combined $\gamma$-ray spectrum from the observed region.
IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

A VHE $\gamma$-ray source MAGIC J0223+430 was detected in 2007 August–December. Given the position of the excess measured by MAGIC above 150 GeV, the source of the $\gamma$-rays is most likely 3C 66B. The VHE $\gamma$-ray flux was found to be on the level of 2.2\% Crab Nebula flux and was constant during the observations. The differential spectrum of MAGIC J0223+430 has a photon spectral index of $\Gamma = 3.10 \pm 0.31$ and extends up to $\sim 2\text{TeV}$.

The VERITAS collaboration recently detected VHE gamma-ray emission from 3C 66A \cite{14}, with most of the signal coming from a gamma-ray flare 2008 September–October. The measured integral flux above 200 GeV is 6\% of the Crab Nebula flux, and the energy spectrum is characterized by a soft power law with photon index $\Gamma = 4.1$ (see Figure 3). In view of this detection, we note that if 3C 66A was emitting $\gamma$-rays in 2007 August to December then its flux was at a significantly lower level than in 2008.

The position of the source found by MAGIC is not spatially compatible with 3C 66A at a level of 1.5\%. In addition to this discrepancy in the source position, the measured spectrum extending up to $\sim 2\text{TeV}$ poses an additional drawback to the association of MAGIC J0223+430 with 3C 66A. Due to the energy-dependent absorption of VHE $\gamma$-rays with low-energy photons of the extragalactic background (EBL, \cite{15}), the VHE $\gamma$-ray flux of distant sources is significantly suppressed. We investigated the measured spectrum of MAGIC J0223+430 assuming a blazar-like emission mechanism, and following the prescription of \cite{16}, deriving a redshift upper limit of the source to be $z < 0.17$ ($z < 0.24$) under the assumption that the intrinsic energy spectrum cannot be harder than $\Gamma = 1.5$ ($\Gamma = 0.666$). This assumption of $\Gamma > 1.5$ is based on particle acceleration arguments \cite{17}, and the fact that none of the sources in the EGRET energy band (not affected by the EBL) have shown a harder spectrum, a trend confirmed by Fermi-LAT in their first three months of observations. The latter assumption of $\Gamma > 0.666$ can be considered as an extreme case of the spectrum hardness, suggesting a monochromatic spectrum of electrons when interacting with a soft photon target field \cite{18}. The derived redshift upper limit is incompatible with the association of MAGIC J0223+430 with 3C 66A, unless the redshift of 3C 66A is much lower than the assumed value of $z = 0.444$, or exotic scenarios are responsible for its VHE emission \cite{20}.

3C 66B is a FRI radio galaxy similar to M 87, which has been detected to emit VHE $\gamma$-rays \cite{21}, \cite{22}. Since the distance of 3C 66B is 85.5 Mpc, its intrinsic VHE luminosity would be two to eight times higher than the one of M 87 (22.5 Mpc) given the reported variability of M 87 \cite{22}, \cite{23}.

As in the case of M 87, there would be several possi-

\[ \text{Fig. 2. Upper panel: MAGIC integral flux above 150 GeV in bins of 3 days (except for periods where the sampling was coarser). The grey dashed line indicates the average $\gamma$-ray flux. Lower panel: Optical light curve of 3C 66A as measured by the KVA telescope. During the MAGIC observations 3C 66A was very bright at optical wavelengths.} \]
These sources are rather weak in the VHE flux at 100 MeV than 3C 66B, but possibly many of them are also VHE γ-ray emitting sources. As a new class of VHE γ-ray emitting models are needed to further study the γ-ray emission properties of radio galaxies.
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