High Behavioral Variability Mediated by Altered Neuronal Excitability in auts2 Mutant Zebrafish
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Abstract

Autism spectrum disorders (ASDs) are characterized by abnormal behavioral traits arising from neural circuit dysfunction. While a number of genes have been implicated in ASDs, in most cases, a clear understanding of how mutations in these genes lead to circuit dysfunction and behavioral abnormality is absent. The autism susceptibility candidate 2 (AUTS2) gene is one such gene, associated with ASDs, intellectual disability and a range of other neurodevelopmental conditions. However, the role of AUTS2 in neural development and circuit function is not at all known. Here, we undertook functional analysis of Auts2a, the main homolog of AUTS2 in zebrafish, in the context of the escape behavior. Escape behavior in wild-type zebrafish is critical for survival and is therefore, reliable, rapid, and has well-defined kinematic properties. auts2a mutant zebrafish are viable, have normal gross morphology and can generate escape behavior with normal kinematics. However, the behavior is unreliable and delayed, with high trial-to-trial variability in the latency. Using calcium imaging we probed the activity of Mauthner neurons during otic vesicle (OV) stimulation and observed lower probability of activation and reduced calcium transients in the mutants. With direct activation of Mauthner by antidromic stimulation, the threshold for activation in mutants was higher than that in wild-type, even when inhibition was blocked. Taken together, these results point to reduced excitability of Mauthner neurons in auts2a mutant larvae leading to unreliable escape responses. Our results show a novel role for Auts2a in regulating neural excitability and reliability of behavior.
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Significance Statement

Autism susceptibility candidate 2 (AUTS2) is one among recently identified autism susceptibility candidate genes, whose function in neuronal circuits is unclear. Using zebrafish as a model organism, we probe the function of Auts2a (homolog of mammalian AUTS2) at the cellular, network and behavioral levels. The escape behavior of auts2a mutant zebrafish is highly variable with normal short latency escapes, long latency escapes and total failures across trials in the same fish. This occurs because neuronal excitability is inappropriately set in the Mauthner neurons of mutants leading to large trial-to-trial variability in responses. The behavioral variability is fully explained by variability in firing action potentials in the Mauthner neuron, providing an integrative understanding of how behavioral variability arises from mutations at the genetic level.
Introduction

Every neuron needs to carefully tune its excitability to be able to perform computation within the circuit in which it is embedded. When firing properties are improperly specified, neuronal function is compromised leading to abnormal behaviors. Transcriptional regulation plays important roles in specifying neuronal excitability properties and therefore, are also a chief class of genes implicated in many neurologic diseases including autism spectrum disorders (ASDs; De Rubeis et al., 2014; Bourgeron, 2015; Sztainberg and Zoghbi, 2016). The autism susceptibility candidate 2 (AUTS2; also known as activator of transcription and developmental regulator) gene is a known regulator of transcription in the nervous system (Gao et al., 2014; Russo et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018) and is associated with several neurodevelopmental disorders including ASD (Sultana et al., 2002; Kalscheuer et al., 2007; Beunders et al., 2013). AUTS2 is expressed in neurons of the central nervous system and is present both in the nucleus and in the cytoplasm (Bedogni et al., 2010; Gao et al., 2014; Hori et al., 2014; Hori and Hoshino, 2017). In the nucleus, AUTS2 binds to members of the polycomb repressor complex 1 (PRC1) but activates transcription of several genes important for neural development and function (Gao et al., 2014; Oksenberg et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2018). In the cytoplasm, AUTS2 regulates the actin cytoskeleton to control neuronal migration and neurite outgrowth (Hori et al., 2014; Hori and Hoshino, 2017). AUTS2 knock-out (KO) mice exhibited several deficits such as reduced righting reflexes and ultrasonic vocalizations (Gao et al., 2014). Nevertheless, how AUTS2 controls nervous system development, function and behavioral output are not understood at all.

Previous studies have identified and characterized four paralogs of *auts2* in zebrafish: *auts2a*, *auts2b*, fibrosin-like 1 (*fbrs1*), and fibrosin (*fbrs*; Kondrychyn et al., 2017). Both *auts2a* and *auts2b* genes are expressed in the developing and juvenile zebrafish brain. Analysis of gene structure and protein sequence revealed that among the two genes, *auts2a* is the closest orthologue to mammalian *Auts2* (61.58% identity in protein sequence), with even higher homology in the C terminus, hinting at conserved binding partners and function. In larval zebrafish, *auts2a* is widely expressed in the brain with distinctly high expression in rhombomere 4 (Kondrychyn et al., 2017), which houses neurons of the escape network (Metcalfe et al., 1986).

Teleost escape behavior consists of a sharp C-shaped tail bend away from the inducing stimulus with only a few milliseconds latency (Kimmel et al., 1974; Eaton et al., 1977). The behavior is triggered by action potential firing in one of two bilaterally located giant Mauthner neurons (M-cells; Eaton and Farley, 1975; Eaton et al., 1977; Kom and Faber, 2005; Kohashi and Oda, 2008; Sillar, 2009). Two pairs of homologous neurons, MID2cm and MID3cm, also take part in escape behaviors but fire at much longer latencies (Eaton et al., 1984; Kohashi and Oda, 2008).

Action potential firing in M-cells is required for the fast C-start response (Zottoli, 1977; Eaton et al., 1981). In response to supra-threshold depolarization via strong synaptic inputs or by direct current injection, M-cells in larval and adult zebrafish, as well as adult goldfish, generate a single action potential with a very short latency (Eaton et al., 2001; Nakayama and Oda, 2004; Watanabe et al., 2014). This action potential is conducted quickly via its giant axons to spinal circuitry, including direct synapses onto contralateral motor neurons, resulting in rapid muscle contraction and a sharp bending of the body (Fetch, 1991). M-cell excitability is thus critical for quick escape from threatening stimuli. Although not all of the conductances driving M-cell response have been delineated, it is clear that during development, M-cell intrinsic properties are progressively tuned to result in its mature firing behavior (Brewster and Ali, 2013; Watanabe et al., 2014, 2017). Since *auts2a* is expressed in rhombomere 4 at stages when M-cell properties are being defined, we sought to determine how *Auts2a* impacts excitability of M-cells and therefore the escape behavior itself.

Using customized transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs), we generated mutations in the *auts2a* locus and isolated an allele, which had a premature stop codon in the coding sequence. Using a combination of high-speed videography and *in vivo* calcium imaging, we show that escape behaviors become highly unreliable and slow in *auts2a* mutants and that this unreliability can be explained by the reduced excitability of M-cells. These results indicate a role for *Auts2a* in regulating neuronal excitability, an action by which *Auts2a* impacts behaviors significantly.

Materials and Methods

Fish care and use

Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) of AB strain and Indian wild type were housed in aquarium tanks at 28.5°C with a 14/10 h light/dark cycle. Fish were maintained according to established protocols as previously described (Westerfield, 2000) in agreement with the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee and the Institutional Biosafety Committee. For
fin amputation, fish were briefly anaesthetized in 0.01% Tricaine (MS-222, Sigma-Aldrich), the caudal fin was cut and fish were immediately returned to fresh water. Experiments were performed on 6–8 d postfertilization (dpf) larval zebrafish at room temperature. Larvae have not undergone sex specification at these stages. Larvae were maintained in 14/10 h light/dark cycle at 28 °C in medium (5 mM NaCl, 0.17 mM KCl, 0.33 mM CaCl₂ and 0.33 mM MgSO₄, pH 7.8). Larvae were treated with 0.003% 1-phenyl-2-thiourea in 10% Hanks’ saline at 24 h postfertilization (hpf) to remove pigments, for calcium imaging experiments.

**TALEN design, construction, and synthesis**

TALENs specific to *auts2a* were manually designed using criteria as described previously (Bedell et al., 2012) and assembled according to the established protocol (Sanjana et al., 2012). The plasmid kit used for building TALENs was a gift from Feng Zhang (Addgene kit #1000000019). Once assembled into a destination vector, TALENs were re-cloned into pTNT vector (Promega) containing synthetic poly A tail and T7 terminator sequence. The *auts2a* TALEN recognition sequences are as follows: left TALEN, CCAGCTGGGAGTGCCT and right TALEN, GTAATAGCACTTTAGGTGG. Between the two binding sites is a 16-nt spacer with a KpnI site (ACTCAGgtaccagtca, KpnI site is underlined, intronic sequence is in lower case), facilitating identification of mutations by PCR and KpnI restriction digestion. The spacer region overlaps with the donor splice site at exon 8 (Fig. 1A). Capped mRNA was synthesized by *in vitro* transcription using mMESSAGE mMACHINE T7 kit (Invitrogen) and purified with RNeasy Mini kit.

---

**Figure 1.** TALEN-induced mutation in the *auts2a* gene. **A**, Zebrafish *auts2a* gene locus, TALEN target sites and isolated alleles. The TALENs target a pair of binding sites (in blue) flanking a spacer with a restriction enzyme site (in green). Exonic and intronic sequences are shown in upper and lower cases, respectively. In contrast to genomic sequence annotated in the Ensembl (WT), our “in-house” zebrafish AB strain (WT*) has a polymorphism in intronic sequence adjacent to exon 8 (in red). Alleles *ncb101, ncb103, ncb104,* and *ncb105* have the nucleotide deletions that disrupt the donor splice site (in bold) leading to a frameshift after S498 and premature stop codons. Deletion in allele *ncb102* does not affect correct splicing and the *auts2a* protein sequence. Three single point mutations were introduced in the intron of *auts2a* *ncb104* allele (in orange) leading to a stop codon creation (underlined). The alternative donor splice sites used to splice mutant *auts2a* *ncb104* pre-mRNA are highlighted in gray. **B**, top, *Auts2a* and *Auts2a* *ncb104* proteins. The *ncb104* mutation causes the loss of the C-terminal portion of *Auts2a*, comprising PY motif, PR region PR2 and the *Auts2* family domain. **B**, bottom left, RT-PCR analysis of *auts2a* mRNA, isolated from wild-type (WT), *ncb104* heterozygote (HET), and homozygote (HOM) embryos. M, 100-bp DNA ladder (NEB). **B**, bottom right, Partial protein sequences of mutant alleles. See also Extended Data Figure 1-1.
Microliter solution was then used in a 25-μl PCR containing DNA isolation and genotyping

Genomic DNA was isolated from either embryos or fin clips using HotSHOT method (Meeker et al., 2007). One microliter solution was then used in a 25-μl PCR containing the following reagents at these concentrations: 200 nm each gene-specific primers (forward 5’-TCAGCGAACC CTACAGCTTCACACA-3’ and reverse 5’-TGCGGTAC GCACCATGGGGCGTGCA-3’), 0.2 mM dNTPs, 1 × PCR buffer and 0.625 units OneTaq HotStart DNA polymerase (New England BioLabs). Reaction was amplified using the following conditions: 94°C for 1 min; 40 cycles of 94°C for 20 s, 68°C for 1 min; followed by 68°C for 1 min. PCR products were purified using PCR purification kit (QIAGEN) and digested with restriction enzyme KpnI-HF (New England BioLabs) at 37°C for 60 min. The resulting reactions were loaded onto a 1.8% agarose gel and electrophoresed in 1 × Tris-aceate-EDTA (TAE) buffer. Mutations were assessed by loss of restriction enzyme digestion. To verify mutations, the gel purified uncut PCR products were cloned into pcRII-TOPO vector (TOPO TA Cloning Kit, Invitrogen) and sequenced.

RT-PCR and RNA isolation

Total RNA was isolated from wild-type and autos2α heterozygote and homozygote embryos using an RNasy Mini kit (QIAGEN), and first-strand cDNA was synthesized from 1 μg of total RNA by oligo(dT) priming using SMARTScribe Reverse Transcriptase (Clontech) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Amplification of cDNA was performed using Herculase II Fusion DNA polymerase (Agilent). Identity of amplified PCR products was verified by direct sequencing.

Whole-mount immunohistochemistry

Embryos were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde at 4°C overnight, washed three times for 15 min in PBS (1 × PBS, 0.1% Tween 20) and permeabilized in 0.1% Triton X-100 in 0.1% sodium citrate for 30 min at 4°C. Then embryos were incubated for 2 h in 5% blocking reagent (Roche) in MAB (150 mM maleic acid, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween 20) at room temperature. Embryos were incubated with 3A10 antibodies (DSHB, 1:200) overnight at 4°C, washed four times for 30 min in MAB and incubated with HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse F(ab)2 fragments (Molecular Probe, 1:500) for 6 h at room temperature or overnight at 4°C. Embryos were extensively washed in PBST, stained with 3,3’-diaminobenzidine (DAB) and washed several times in PBST. Embryos were kept in 50% glycerol in PBS at 4°C until further imaging.

Head restrained preparation

For behavior and calcium imaging experiments, larvae were embedded in 2% low gelling agarose (Sigma-Aldrich). E3 medium was added after the agarose coagulated. Agarose around the tail and the OV were removed for observing tail movements and application of water pulse for behavioral experiments.

High-speed recording of escape response

Escape responses were evoked by applying a water pulse to the OV or to the tail at the level of cloaca, with a glass capillary (tip diameter 0.05–0.06 mm) mounted on a micromanipulator (Narishige). The water pulses were generated by a pressure pulse of 10-ms duration and pressure of 30 psi from a microinjection dispense system (Picospritzer III, Parker Hannifin). Videos were acquired at 1000 fps with a high-speed camera (Phantom Miro eX4, Vision Research) mounted on a stereo microscope (SZX16, Olympus) at 512 × 512-pixel resolution and 500-μs exposure time. Methylene blue (1%) was used to visualize the water jet for tracking its contact with the larva. Six trials (three on each side) were performed on each larva.

Retrograde labeling of Mauthner neurons

Mauthner neurons were retrogradely labeled with fluorescent calcium indicator Oregon Green Bapta-1 dextran, 10,000 MW (Invitrogen) or Calcium Green dextran, 10,000 MW (Invitrogen) for calcium imaging experiments. For Mauthner and its homologs imaging, neurons were retrogradely labeled with tetramethylrhodamine dextran, 10,000 MW (Invitrogen). Larvae were first anaesthetized in 0.01% MS-222 (Sigma-Aldrich) in E3 medium, 25% OGB-1/CGD/TMR-dextran in 10% HBSS (137 mM NaCl, 5.4 mM KCl, 1.2 mM MgCl2, 10 mM NaH2PO4, 0.44 mM KH2PO4, 1.3 mM CaCl2, 1.0 mM MgSO4, and 4.2 mM NaHCO3) was pressure injected with a glass microcapillary into the spinal cord (at the level of cloaca) using a Picospritzer. After injection, larvae were allowed to recover in HBSS for >12 h.

Electrical stimulation

Electric shock stimuli (40 μA, 1 ms) were delivered using a bipolar electrode (FHC) placed at the OV. The pulse was generated using ISO-Flex stimulus isolator (A.M.P.I.), triggered by pClamp (Molecular Devices). For antidromic stimulation of the M-axon, larvae were anaesthetized in 0.01% MS-222 (Sigma-Aldrich) and were pinned down through notochord using fine tungsten wire (California Fine Wire). The MS222 was then replaced by external solution (composition: 134 mM NaCl, 2.9 mM KCl, 1.2 mM MgCl2, 10 mM HEPES, 10 mM glucose, 2.1 mM CaCl2, and 0.01 mM D-tubocurarine; pH 7.8; 290 mOsm) and skin along the tail was carefully removed using forceps (Fine Science Tools). Muscles in a hemi-segment (between the 10th and 13th myotomes) were carefully removed to expose the spinal cord. The bipolar electrode was placed on top of the exposed spinal segment and brief electrical stimuli of increasing strengths (10 μA onwards, 1 ms in duration) were delivered.

Calcium imaging

Calcium activity on electrical stimulation of OV/M-axon, in retrogradely labeled Mauthner neurons, was imaged at 35–51 frames per second using an EMCCD camera (Evolve,
Photometrics) mounted on a compound microscope (BX61W1, Olympus) with a water-immersion objective (LUMPlanFL 60×C2) and Image-Pro Plus (Media Cybernetics) acquisition software.

**Drugs**

A total of 50 μM strychnine (Sigma-Aldrich) and 100 μM gabazine (Sigma-Aldrich) was dissolved in external solution. Measurements were taken after 2 min of drug application.

**Analysis**

Data were analyzed using MATLAB (The MathWorks) and Fiji (NIH).

**Behavioral analysis**

Latency was defined as the time taken from when the water jet made contact with the larva to the first visible tail contraction. Tail bend angle was calculated by measuring the angle formed by joining a straight line passing through the tail at maximum bend and a straight line passing through the head and the tail in a prestimulus frame (Fig. 2C). Escape responses were defined as contralateral tail bends with latency ≤100 ms (Kimmel et al., 1974; Kohashi and Oda, 2008). Tail bend responses with latency >100 ms or no observable tail bend up to 1 s after stimulus delivery were classified as failures (“no response”).

**Calcium activity analysis**

Relative changes in fluorescence from resting (ΔF/F) were calculated postbackground and movement correction. Activity in Mauthner neurons was considered to have occurred only for ΔF/F traces with minimum peak ΔF/F of 0.1 for OGB-1 dextran and 0.05 for CGD and full width at half maximum of at least 1 s. Threshold for antidromic stimulation of Mauthner was defined as the minimum stimulus intensity at which the Mauthner neuron showed calcium activity for at least three of five trials at the given stimulus intensity.

Dendritic length and soma volume were measured using simple neurite tracer and volume viewer plugins in Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012). Dendritic length of the homologs could not be measured because of inadequate labeling given their small size.

**Statistics**

Data were tested for normality using one sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test (p < 0.05) and equality of variance with F test (p < 0.05). Two sample t test or Mann–Whitney U test were performed for comparisons between two groups and Kruskal–Wallis test was used for...
comparing three groups. χ² test was performed for comparison of proportions. Table 1 presents a statistical summary of the data shown in this manuscript.

Results

Generation of the auts2a KO zebrafish line

A pair of TALENs were designed to target the donor splice site at exon 8 of the auts2a gene (Fig. 1A). The TALEN-targeted sequences surround a restriction enzyme site for easy screening through introduction of a restriction fragment length polymorphism. We identified 5 different mutant alleles (Fig. 1A), three of which led to a frameshift after S498 and premature stop codons after several missense amino acids (Fig. 1B) and one of them, auts2a<sup>ncb104</sup>, was selected to establish an auts2a KO zebrafish line. This line harbors a 11-nt deletion, which disrupts the donor splice site affecting correct splicing between exon 8 and exon 9. RT-PCR analysis of RNA isolated from homozygous auts2a<sup>ncb104</sup> pre-mRNA uses two alternative cryptic donor splice sites found in the intron to splice exon 8 to exon 9 (Fig. 1A,B). As a result, the intronic sequence is partially retained in auts2a<sup>ncb104</sup> mRNA leading to a premature stop codon at amino acid 504 after 6 missense amino acids (Fig. 1B).

The zebrafish Auts2a protein has several domains, previously predicted in human AUTS2 (Sultana et al., 2002): two proline-rich (PR) regions, PR1 at amino acids 273–492 and PR2 at amino acids 558–656, PY (PPPY) motif at amino acids 524–528, and the Auts2 family domain at amino acids 660–882 (Fig. 1B). In auts2a<sup>ncb104</sup>, only the PR1 region is retained (Fig. 1B). The zebrafish auts2a gene locus shows tremendous transcriptional complexity with multiple isoforms generated via alternative splicing and alternative promoter usage (Kondrychyn et al., 2017). Exon 8 is a common exon in all isoforms (Extended Data Fig. 1-1A) and in auts2a<sup>ncb104</sup> mutant all isoforms will be similarly affected: a loss of the C-terminal portion of Auts2a, comprising PY motif, PR2 region and the Auts2 family domain (Extended Data Fig. 1-1B).

auts2a mutants display high variability in escape responses

auts2a KO zebrafish showed normal development and gross morphology (Fig. 2A). auts2a<sup>ncb104</sup> homozygote fish did not show significant difference in size [mean ± SD (mm): wild type, 5.0 ± 0.1 (24 larvae); mutants, 4.9 ± 0.2 (24 larvae); p = 0.37; Mann–Whitney test]. Moreover, auts2a<sup>ncb104</sup> homozygote fish survive to become fertile adults. As auts2a is a neurodevelopmental gene (Oksenberg and Ahituv, 2013) and is expressed at very high levels in rhombo mere 4 (Kondrychyn et al., 2017), which houses the escape network, we first investigated whether M-cells, the command-like neurons driving escape behavior, are present in auts2a mutants. Wild-type larvae possess a single pair of M-cells that send commissural axons down the spinal cord (Fetcho, 1991). In auts2a mutants both M-cells are present and send commissural axons (Fig. 2B). However, M-cells in auts2a mutants were smaller: both soma volume and dendritic length were significantly reduced (Fig. 2C,D). No significant difference in soma volume was observed for the M-cell homologs (Fig. 2C,E). This suggests possible effects on M-cell function leading to deficits in escape behavior.

Next, we asked whether auts2a mutants exhibited any deficits in escape behavior. We evoked the C-start escape behavior in partially restrained zebrafish larvae between 6 and 8 dpf, by directing a strong jet of water at the otic vesicle (OV: Fig. 3A). The restrained preparation ensures similar location of water jet delivery across larvae. The C-start escape response consists of a large angle contralateral tail bend initiated within 3–13 ms of stimulus delivery (Fig. 3B). We measured three parameters associated with the C-start escape response: the probability of initiating escapes (% trials where escapes were observed), the latency (time from stimulus arrival at the OV to movement onset) and the maximum tail bend angle, in wild type, heterozygotes, and auts2a mutant larvae. First, auts2a mutants showed a higher percentage of failures to initiate escape responses compared with heterozygotes and wild-type larvae (Fig. 3C). While wild-type and heterozygote larvae showed a contralateral tail bend response in nearly 98% of trials, auts2a mutants were able to generate escapes in only 76% of trials. Mutants also had increased probability of failures (no tail bend response) compared with heterozygote and wild-type larvae. Next, we asked whether the increased failure rates observed in mutants was because of few individuals that did not respond across any of the trials. Surprisingly, we observed that individual larvae displayed highly variable responses across trials (Movie 1). Figure 3D shows responses from five wild-type and five mutant larvae across six trials. While all wild-type larvae were able to initiate escape responses within 10–20 ms, four of five auts2a mutants showed failures in at least one trial. In addition, the latency to initiate escapes was longer and more variable in mutants compared with wild type (range: 3–552 ms; Fig. 3D, E). Escape response can be generated via multiple pathways. While fast escape responses to head directed stimuli result from activation of the M-cells and its segmental homologs (M-series; Liu and Fetcho, 1999; Kohashi and Oda, 2008), neural circuitry underlying long-latency escape responses is less well understood (but see Marquart et al., 2019). Therefore, we next compared latencies of the fast escape response (cutoff latency: <20 ms) between wild type, heterozygotes, and auts2a mutants. Fast escape responses in auts2a mutants occur at longer latencies compared with wild type (Fig. 3F). Further, the coefficient of variation (CV) of latencies for individual larvae across successive trials was significantly higher for the mutant group in comparison to wild-type larvae (Fig. 3G). Nevertheless, the kinematics of the escape response were not affected in mutants, as evidenced by the similar maximal tail bend angles observed (Fig. 3H). Thus, initiation of tightly regulated, high performance escape response is unreliable and slow in auts2a mutants.

Escape response defects in auts2a mutants persist on changing the location of sensory stimulation

Stimulation of the OV with water jet activates the M-cell and its homologs, while the same stimulus applied to the
tail activates the M-cell alone (O’Malley et al., 1996; Liu and Fetcho, 1999). To investigate whether M-cell mediated escapes are compromised in auts2a mutants, we applied the water jet to the tail at the level of the cloaca (Fig. 4A,B). In wild-type larvae, tail stimulation with water pulse resulted in fast escape responses with characteristic short latency and contralateral tail bend in 76% trials (Fig. 4B,C). Similar to OV stimulation, auts2a mutants displayed a high percentage of failures in escape response but similar percentage of ipsilateral tail bend responses to wild type (Fig. 4C). Tail stimulation also resulted in significantly longer latencies in mutants than in wild-type larvae (Fig. 4D). However, no significant difference was observed in the CV of latencies across trials in an individual larva.
between wild-type and mutants (Fig. 4E). This result indirectly implies that the increased CV in latencies seen with the head stimulation of auts2a mutants (Fig. 3G) is contributed by deficits in M-cell homologs. No difference was observed in tail bend angles between wild-type and mutant groups (Fig. 4F).

Mauthner neuron fails to fire reliably in auts2a mutants

To directly assess M-cell firing in auts2a mutants, we next monitored calcium activity in OGB-1 dextran labeled M-cells on electrical stimulation of OV (Fig. 5A). OV stimulation resulted in a large increase in fluorescence from rest (Fig. 5B,C; Movie 2) and this response was evoked reliably in wild-type larvae (Fig. 5B–D). Mutants displayed a greater proportion of failures in calcium response (Fig. 5B–D; Movie 3). Mutants also showed lower peak calcium signals compared with wild type (Fig. 5C,E). In addition, the CV of the peak calcium response was not statistically different between wild-type and mutant larvae (Fig. 5F). This implies that the increased variability in latency seen with OV stimulation (Fig. 3G) does not arise from variability in the response of the M-cell itself.

Mauthner neurons in auts2a mutants have reduced excitability

Failures in calcium activity response in the mutants after OV stimulation could result either from reduced excitability of M-cells and/or defects in sensory processing involving mechanosensory hair cells and the VIIIth cranial nerve. To ascertain the role of the M-cells, we stimulated its axon (antidromic stimulation) which resulted in calcium activity transients similar to OV stimulation (Fig. 6A).

Because of the large diameter of the M-cell axon, it has the lowest threshold for extracellular stimulation (Kimmel et al., 1982). At low stimulation intensity other cells are unlikely to be activated, making the stimulation M-cell specific. We defined the threshold intensity as the minimum stimulus intensity at which calcium signals were evoked in the M-cell in at least three out of five trials (Fig. 6B). Compared with wild type, threshold intensity was significantly higher in auts2a mutants.
However, at intensities equal to or higher than threshold, M-cells responded reliably in both mutants and wild-type larvae (Fig. 6E) and the peak calcium signal was significantly reduced in mutants compared with wild-type larvae (Fig. 6F, G), similar to that seen after OV stimulation (Fig. 5E).

Alternatively, the increased threshold to fire in M-cells could result from increased inhibition on them. To rule out this possibility, we next performed antidromic stimulation before and after application of strychnine and gabazine to block glycine receptors and GABA-A receptors, respectively (Takahashi et al., 2002; Roy

---

**Figure 5.** Mauthner neuron fails to fire reliably in autos2a mutants. A, Schematic representation of experimental set up. Mauthner neuron was retrogradely labeled with OGB-1 dextran and calcium activity was monitored on electrical stimulation (40 μA, 1 ms) of OV. B, left, Raster plot of all trials in WT (n = 68 trials; 10 larvae) showing consistent calcium activity across several trials on OV stimulation. Right, Calcium responses observed across all trials in the mutant group (80 trials; 14 larvae). White line represents the time of stimulus delivery. C, top, ΔF/F profile of a Mauthner neuron in an example wild-type larva across eight trials in response to electrical stimulation of the OV. Bottom, ΔF/F profile of a Mauthner neuron in an example autos2a mutant larva showing subthreshold response as well large calcium transients across eight trials on electrical stimulation of OV. D, Probability of calcium activity response across trials per larva (nWT = 10 larvae, nMUT = 14 larvae). E, Peak ΔF/F in WT and mutants (nWT = 68 trials, nMUT = 80 trials). F, Comparison of CV of peak ΔF/F between wild-type and mutant larvae. Mann–Whitney U test; **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.0001, ns: not significant.

---

**Movie 2.** OGB-1 labeled Mauthner neuron in a wild-type larva showing robust calcium response after otic vesicle stimulation. [View online]

**Movie 3.** OGB-1 labeled Mauthner neuron in an autos2a mutant larva showing failure to respond after otic vesicle stimulation. [View online]
Application of these antagonists did not alter the threshold intensity (Fig. 6H) or peak ΔF/F (Fig. 6I) in the wild-type or auts2a mutant larvae. These results show that the increased failures, latency, and variability in latencies all derive from increased threshold to fire M-cells.

### Discussion

We show that auts2a mutant zebrafish exhibit interesting deficits in escape response: the response probability and latency are highly variable across trials in individual mutant larvae. As a consequence of auts2a mutation, reliable firing of M-cells is lost and therefore, escape...
responses become unreliable also. To explain the behavioral variability, we propose that in trials where the M-cell fires, normal short latency escape is triggered; in trials where neither the M-cell nor its homologs fire, fish fail to generate escape responses; and in trials where only the homologs fire, the latency to respond is much longer (Fig. 7). We confirmed the hypoexcitability of the mutant M-cells by directly stimulating the M-axon. Mounting an appropriate and fast escape response reliably is essential for survival and thus Auts2a serves an essential function for the animal. We also observed reduced peak calcium response in the mutants. As calcium response on antidromic stimulation of M-cells reflects calcium influx via voltage-activated calcium channels (Takahashi et al., 2002), the reduced peak calcium response could result from deficits in the number or activation of these channels in the mutants.

We also showed that somata and dendrites of auts2a mutant M-cells are smaller compared with wild-type. M-cells receive dense synaptic input on their dendrites and reduction in dendritic size may lead to decrease in synaptic drive. The smaller size of the cells may lead to reduced channel conductances, and/or reduced synaptic drive. In addition, global auts2a KO, as was done in this study, is indeed likely to result in widespread changes both within the escape network and outside. Nevertheless, given that we see longer, more variable escape latencies and a consistent increase in the threshold of M-cell firing in the auts2a mutants, we can conclude that these specific deficits in the short latency escape behavior are because of the hypoexcitability of the M-cell.

The escape circuit as a model circuit for testing gene function

The escape system in zebrafish has been an advantageous tool for dissecting the genetic underpinnings of behavior, learning and decision-making (Gahtan and Baier, 2004; Wolman and Granato, 2012). Forward genetic screens identified mutants with specific deficits in generating escapes such as the twitch twice and space cadet mutants (Granato et al., 1996; Lorent et al., 2001; Burgess et al., 2009). More recent studies have reported mutants with deficits in sensitivity (Marsden et al., 2018), habituation (Wolman et al., 2015), prepulse inhibition (Burgess and Granato, 2007), or in deciding between Mauthner-mediated short latency escapes and non-Mauthner-mediated long latency escapes (Jain et al., 2018). The specific defects identified in these studies range from errors in axon guidance, extracellular calcium sensing and IGF signaling in M-cells and other members of the escape circuit. Our study makes an important addition to these studies by identifying Auts2a to be a direct genetic determinant of excitability in M-cells.

M-cells are specified soon after gastrulation and evoke escape responses to touch in larvae as young as early as 2 dpf (Kimmel et al., 1974; Kohashi et al., 2012). As the larvae mature, M-cells drive startle behaviors in response to auditory/vestibular stimulation as well. Concomitant with these changes, the firing behavior of M-cells changes from firing multiple action potentials on reaching threshold to firing only a single action potential after four dpf. This change in firing behavior of the M-cells drives maturation of the escape behavior from one involving multiple C-bends to that with only a single C-bend followed by routine swimming. The alteration in M-cell firing behavior is in part because of the expression of distinct types of potassium channels including those that are sensitive to dendrotoxin (Watanabe et al., 2014, 2017). These studies underline the critical importance of regulating the intrinsic properties of M-cells for generating appropriate C-start behaviors. Hyperexcitability will result in multiple C-starts while hypoexcitability in M-cells and its homologs, as seen in auts2a mutants, will lead to failures in escapes.
| Figure number | Data structure | Type of test                      | Statistics                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Figure 2D     | Non-normal     | Mann–Whitney U test               | $p = 0.0219$ for differences in dendritic length between wild type and mutants                                                         |
| Figure 2E     | Non-normal     | Mann–Whitney U test               | $p = 0.0420$ for differences in soma volume between wild type and mutants                                                              |
| Figure 2F     | Normal          | t test                            | $p = 0.679$ for differences in soma volume of homologs between wild type and mutants                                                      |
| Figure 3C     | -               | $\chi^2$ test                     | $p = 6.5630e-09$ and $p = 6.2760e-15$ for differences in contralateral tail bend between wild type and mutants and heterozygotes and mutants, respectively |
| Figure 3C     | -               | $\chi^2$ test                     | $p = 0.0549e-08$ and $p = 2.2116e-12$ for differences in no tail bend responses between wild type and mutants and heterozygotes and mutants, respectively |
| Figure 3E     | Non-normal     | Kruskal–Wallis; Mann–Whitney for between group comparisons | $p = 1.0831e-06$; $p = 2.5258e-05$ for differences in latencies between wild type and mutants, $p = 8.7877e-06$ for differences between heterozygotes and mutants. |
| Figure 3F     | Non-normal     | Kruskal–Wallis; Mann–Whitney for between group comparisons | $p = 0.0004$; $p = 0.0010$ for differences in latencies between wild type and mutants, $p = 0.0010$ for differences between heterozygotes and mutants. |
| Figure 3G     | Non-normal     | Kruskal–Wallis; Mann–Whitney for between group comparisons | $p = 0.0004$; $p = 6.3799e-04$ for differences in CV of latencies between wild type and mutants, $p = 5.7969e-04$ for differences in CV between heterozygotes and mutants. |
| Figure 3H     | Non-normal     | Kruskal–Wallis; Mann–Whitney for between group comparisons | $p = 0.0413$; $p = 0.9107$ for differences in tail bend angle between wild type and mutants, $p = 0.01$ for differences between heterozygotes and mutants. |
| Figure 4C     | -               | $\chi^2$ test                     | $p = 0.0111$ for differences in contralateral tail bend responses between wild type and mutants                                              |
| Figure 4C     | -               | $\chi^2$ test                     | $p = 1.4745e-06$ for differences in no tail bend responses between wild type and mutants                                                  |
| Figure 4C     | -               | $\chi^2$ test                     | $p = 0.3513$ for differences in ipsilateral tail bend responses between wild type and mutants                                             |
| Figure 4D     | Normal          | t test                            | $p = 0.0044$ for differences in Cumulative Density Function of latencies between wild type and mutants                                     |
| Figure 4E     | Normal          | t test                            | $p = 0.0676$ for differences in CV of latencies between wild type and mutants                                                           |
| Figure 4F     | Normal          | t test                            | $p = 0.0625$ for differences in tail bend angle between wild type and mutants                                                           |
| Figure 5D     | Non-normal     | Mann–Whitney U test               | $p = 0.0079$ for differences in probability of calcium activity in M-cell between wild type and mutants                                  |
| Figure 5E     | Non-normal     | Mann–Whitney U test               | $p = 1.4939e-13$ for differences in peak $\Delta F/F$ between wild type and mutants                                                    |
| Figure 5F     | Non-normal     | Mann–Whitney U test               | $p = 0.119$ for differences in CV in $\Delta F/F$ between wild type and mutants                                                        |
| Figure 6D     | Non-normal     | Mann–Whitney U test               | $p = 0.0196$ for differences in threshold between wild type and mutants                                                                |
| Figure 6G     | Non-normal     | Mann–Whitney U test               | $p = 2.8355e-04$ for differences in peak $\Delta F/F$ between wild type and mutants                                                      |
| Figure 6H     | Non-normal     | Wilcoxon signed-rank test          | $p = 1$ for before and after comparison for WT, $p = 0.5$ for before and after comparison for mutants                                     |
| Figure 6I     | Normal          | t test                            | $p = 0.1596$ for before and after comparison for WT, $p = 0.6467$ for before and after comparison for mutants                           |
Mechanism of action

ChiP-seq analysis revealed that in the mouse brain, AUTS2 binds preferentially to promoter and enhancer regions of genes involved in nervous system development (Gao et al., 2014; Oksenberg et al., 2014). In mouse forebrain alone, 784 AUTS2 binding sites were in promoter regions and 1146 sites were distal to promoter regions. Regardless of whether the sites were within promoter regions, these AUTS2 binding sites were found to be associated with genes implicated in ASDs. Further, binding of AUTS2 to these sites seems to activate their expression resulting in higher transcription levels. Importantly, among the targets of AUTS2 binding are genes associated with intracellular calcium homeostasis such as pumps and transporters, voltage-gated calcium channels and sodium channels, potassium channels as well as synaptic receptors (Oksenberg et al., 2014). If these targets are conserved in zebrafish as well, loss of Auts2a might interfere with expression levels of one or more of these targets leading to hypoexcitability of M-cells.

Manipulations that reduce global activity levels of neurons in culture lead to homeostatic resetting of intrinsic and synaptic properties (Turrigiano et al., 1998; Desai, 2003; Turrigiano and Nelson, 2004), a process that requires transcription (Ibata et al., 2008). Recently, it was shown that manipulations that induce homeostatic plasticity also trigger significant upregulation of AUTS2 expression (Schaukowitch et al., 2017). Thus, on the basis of our study and these earlier studies, we propose that Auts2 is important for setting and maintaining the excitability set-points of neurons.

Altersations in neuronal excitability and ASDs

Since ASDs are frequently also comorbid with epilepsy, and because mutations that reduce inhibition or increase excitation frequently associate with them, ASDs were initially thought to result from hyperexcitability of M-cells. However, it is becoming clear that balance between excitation and inhibition is key and when that balance is tilted toward more excitation or more inhibition, network function is impaired leading to hypoexcitability of M-cells.

Thus, on the basis of our study and these earlier studies, we propose thatAUTS2 is important for setting and maintaining the excitability set-points of neurons.
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