On the possible secondary component of the order parameter observed in London penetration depth measurements
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We discuss the effect of a secondary component of the superconducting order parameter on the superfluid density in the cuprates. If we assume a main \( d_{x^2-y^2} \) gap, the most stable realization of a mixed order parameter has a time-reversal breaking \( d_{x^2-y^2} + ud_{xy} \) symmetry. In this state the nodes are removed and the temperature dependence of the superfluid density changes from the linear behavior of a pure \( d \)-wave to a more rounded shape at low temperature. The latter is compatible with the behavior experimentally observed in the in-plane magnetic field penetration depth of optimally doped \( La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_2 \) and \( YBa_2Cu_3O_7-\delta \).

I. Introduction

The identification of the pairing mechanism behind high-temperature superconductivity in copper oxides\(^1\) remains one of the greatest challenges in solid state physics. A key ingredient is the symmetry of the order parameter, which is expected to reflect that of the pairing interaction thus providing information on the microscopic mechanism. The well-established evidence of lines with vanishing amplitude in the gap function of cuprates along the \( \Gamma-X \) direction of the Brillouin Zone (nodes) indicates a dominant \( d_{x^2-y^2} \) symmetry of the order parameter\(^2\), hardly compatible with the standard phonon pairing mechanism, which leads to an isotropic \( s \)-wave order parameter. Anyway a small secondary component of the order parameter can develop either spontaneously or driven by external factors like magnetic field, doping or presence of magnetic impurities\(^3-5\). The development of a mixed order parameter has been also invoked to explain anomalies observed in the thermal conductivity in magnetic field of \( Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_8 \).\(^6\) Moreover substantial deviation from the \( d_{x^2-y^2} \)-wave symmetry has been clearly observed in \( YBa_2Cu_3O_7-\delta \) (YBCO) both in tunneling measurements\(^7\) and in laser angle-resolved photoemission spectra revealing nodeless bulk superconductivity\(^8\). A series of low-temperatures anomalies has been observed in the in-plane magnetic field penetration length in muon-spin rotation (\( \muSR \)) experiments\(^9-12\). Experiments in optimally doped \( La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_2 \) (LSCO) and YBCO have indeed shown a low-temperature bump superimposed to the linear temperature behavior associated to \( d \)-wave superconductivity and to the presence of nodes. These deviations from \( d \)-wave behavior have been associated to a secondary component, which has been proposed to be isotropic \( s \)-wave in light of its vulnerability to a magnetic field. Among alternative proposals, some\(^13,15\) do not assume the presence of a mixed order parameter, and they associate the low-temperature feature to a non-local response of the \( d \)-wave superconductor, which modifies the magnetic field distribution in the vortex state with respect to the standard London model. Beside the interpretation in terms of a secondary superconducting \( s \)-wave\(^16\), a particle-hole secondary gap associated to spin density wave ordering has been invoked\(^17\).

Here we focus on the secondary superconducting gap interpretation, and we show that only a \( d_{x^2-y^2} + ud_{xy} \) mixed order parameter can reasonably describe the \( \muSR \) experimental results. Previous analysis\(^18\) has shown that, assuming a leading \( d_{x^2-y^2} \) symmetry, the most stable realization of a mixed order parameter has indeed this time-reversal breaking \( d_{x^2-y^2} + ud_{xy} \) symmetry. Moreover the development of such a time-reversal breaking order parameter does not require \textit{ad hoc} assumptions, in contrast e.g. with a \( d+s \) symmetry, which requires completely unrealistic parameters as long as the \( d_{x^2-y^2} \) is the dominant component of the order parameter.

This work is organized as follows: In Sec. II we present our model and approach. In Sec. III we discuss the general behavior of the superfluid density with a mixed order parameter and presents the comparison with experiments. Sec. IV contains our conclusions.

II. Model

In this section we briefly summarize the formalism used in Ref. 18 to identify the conditions for a secondary component to establish in the presence of a dominant \( d_{x^2-y^2} \) wave. We consider a two-dimensional square lattice characterized by the \( C_4 \) point group and a single band with dispersion

\[
\xi_k = \pm 2t(\cos k_x a - \cos k_y a) + 4t' \cos k_x a \cos k_y a - \mu, \quad (1)
\]

where \( t \) and \( t' \) are the nearest and next-nearest hopping parameters, \( \mu \) is the chemical potential and \( a = 1 \) is the lattice spacing. Values for hopping parameters for different compounds...
have been chosen according to density-functional theory calculations in the local-density approximation.

The aim of the present analysis is the understanding of the competition between the different components of a superconducting order parameter. Therefore we do not attempt a solution of a microscopic model including different kind of realistic interactions, and we simply consider an effective low-energy interaction, whose strength in each symmetry channel controls the corresponding instability. Moreover, we will study the superconducting phase within the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) mean-field approach, which fully takes into account for the symmetry of the order parameter. This approach is reasonably justified for instance by the relatively large doping of the samples of Refs. 9,12.

We now briefly recall some relevant aspects of the BCS equations for a mixed order parameter, referring to 18 and references therein for more details. If we require the invariance under the symmetry of the lattice of the order parameter, the latter has to transform either as an irreducible representation or as a complex combination of the form $\Delta^\mu + i\Delta^\nu$ (with $\Delta^\mu$ and $\Delta^\nu$ transforming as two different irreducible representations) which breaks time-reversal invariance. The development of each harmonic with a given symmetry is controlled by a specific spatial component of the pair potential. The isotropic s-wave is associated to the local component of the potential $V_0$, which is repulsive in the cuprates due to the strong Coulomb interaction. The $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and extended-s $(s_{x^2+y^2})$ are controlled by the nearest-neighbor coupling $V_1$, while the $d_{xy}$ and $s_{xy}$ (which are analogous to $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $s_{x^2+y^2}$ with lobes along the diagonal directions in the plane) are related to the next-neighbor coupling $V_2$. Here we will simply assume that $V_0$ is repulsive and that $V_1$ and $V_2$ are attractive. For the sake of definiteness we report the equations for the $d_{x^2-y^2} + id_{xy}$ mixed order parameter

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{V_1} &= - \sum_k \omega^2_d(k) \frac{1}{2\epsilon_k} \tanh \left( \frac{1}{2} \beta \epsilon_k \right) \\
\frac{1}{V_2} &= - \sum_k \omega^2_d(k) \frac{1}{2\epsilon_k} \tanh \left( \frac{1}{2} \beta \epsilon_k \right) \\
n &= 1 - \sum_k \frac{\epsilon_k}{\epsilon_k} \tanh \left( \frac{1}{2} \beta \epsilon_k \right)
\end{align*}
\right)

(2)

Here $\beta = 1/T$ is the inverse temperature, $\omega_d(k) = \cos(k_xa) - \cos(k_ya)$ and $\omega_d'(k) = 2\sin(k_xa)\sin(k_ya)$ are the harmonics associated to $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $d_{xy}$-wave respectively, $\Delta_d$ and $\Delta_d'$ are the associated components of the gap and $\epsilon_k = \sqrt{\epsilon_k^2 + \Delta_d^2 + \Delta_d'^2}$. $\Delta_d$, $\Delta_d'$ and the chemical potential are derived solving self-consistently Eqs. (2). An energy cutoff $\omega_0$ is used in the first two k-sums.

For realistic dispersions, the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ symmetry is the leading instability for small dopings due to the Van Hove singularity (VHS)\textsuperscript{20}. When the main $d_{x^2-y^2}$ order parameter appears at $T_c$, for $T < T_c$ the effective dispersion $\epsilon_k$ is gapped and any secondary instability requires a minimum (critical) value for the associated interaction strength, as opposed to the case of an instability developing in a Fermi sea ground state. The $d_{xy}$ component turns out to be the best candidate for the secondary gap (i.e., it has the lowest critical value of the interaction) since it has the largest contributions from the regions in which the main gap has nodes. Since the onset of a secondary component is essentially determined by the competition with the main gap, one can favor a mixed state by reducing the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ component. The complementarity between $d_{xy}$ and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ also implies that the two components can exist simultaneously for a wide range of parameters. Other instability channels require much larger couplings and, even more importantly, hardly give rise to a “coexistence” of order parameters. In most cases, and in particular for s-wave components, the secondary order parameter can less efficiently exploit the Fermi-surface portions in which the first gap has nodes. Therefore, if we increase the associated coupling, we have an abrupt change from a pure $d_{x^2-y^2}$ to a pure order parameter of different symmetry, and a very fine tuning is required to have both order parameters.

The focus of this paper is the effect of a secondary component of the superconducting order parameter on the superfluid density $\rho_s$, which is directly related to the London penetration depth by the relation $\lambda^2 = 4\pi c^2 \rho_s/mc^2$, being $m$ the electron mass and $c$ the speed of light. $\rho_s$ is defined as

$$
\rho_s = \sum_k \frac{\partial^2 \xi_k}{\partial k^2} \langle c_{k\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\sigma}\rangle - \lim_{\beta \to 0} \int_0^\beta d\tau (j(k\tau)j(-k0)), \quad (3)
\right)

where the first term is the zero-temperature contribution, while the other is the current-current-current expression. For BCS pairing, in case of spin degeneracy, the previous expression then reads

$$
\rho_s = \sum_k \frac{\partial^2 \xi_k}{\partial k^2} \left[ 1 - \frac{\epsilon_k}{\epsilon_k} \tanh \left( \frac{1}{2} \beta \epsilon_k \right) \right] + 2 \sum_k \left( \frac{\partial \xi_k}{\partial k} \right)^2 \frac{\partial f(\epsilon_k)}{\partial \epsilon_k}, \quad (4)
\right)

being $f(\epsilon_k) = 1/(e^{\beta \epsilon_k} + 1)$ the Fermi distribution function for the Bogoliubov quasiparticles.

*** Superfluid Density in the mixed state

Before addressing the comparison with experimental data, we consider the effect of the onset of the $d_{x^2-y^2} + id_{xy}$ mixed order parameter in general terms. In Fig. 1a we plot the temperature dependence of the superfluid density and of the components of the superconducting gap (all normalized to their $T = 0$ values) for $V_1/t = 0.50$, $V_2/t = 1.05$, $\omega_0/t = 0.25$ and $t'/t = 0.25$\textsuperscript{14}. Indeed the results show that the linear temperature behavior characteristic of the d-wave state\textsuperscript{15}, associated to the presence of nodal quasi particles, is modified below a temperature $T'_s$ (see Fig. 1a). The low-temperature feature of the superfluid density is clearly related to the development of a $d_{xy}$ gap, which fills the nodes of the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ component below the secondary “critical temperature” $T'_c$. In this regime, in which a $d_{x^2-y^2} + id_{xy}$ order parameter is stable, the shape of the superfluid density is more similar to that of a usual s-wave superconductor, reflecting the absence of low energy excitations. This shows that an “s-wave-like” behavior at low temperatures does not automatically suggest an
s-wave component, and that the \( d_{x^2-y^2} + id_{xy} \) order parameter generates a temperature behavior which reproduces the qualitative results of Refs. 9,10.

We now briefly discuss how the shape of the superfluid density depends on the parameters of the system. A crucial parameter which varies in the different cuprates is the next-neighbor hopping \( t' \) which controls the position of the VHS. Therefore \( t' \) can push the singularity close to the chemical potential, thereby favoring the \( d_{x^2-y^2} \) at the expenses of the secondary gap. Indeed, as shown in Fig. 1b) at fixed doping \( T_c^{\prime} \) decreases as the chemical potential approaches the VHS. The same behavior holds for the amplitude of the secondary gap as expected within BCS. Similar results are naturally obtained by changing the hole concentration instead of \( t' \), i.e. shifting the chemical potential and preventing it to lie within the cutoff energy range from the VHS. In practice the variation of \( t' \) in different materials can be quite large, and it affects the symmetry of the order parameter much more than the doping, if the latter is taken in the physically relevant regime. In some cases (e.g. in LSCO compounds, where \( t'/t \approx 0.15^{19} \)), the chemical potential can approach or cross the VHS in the relevant doping range (see Fig. 1c). On the other hand when \( t' \) is larger (e.g. in YBCO compounds) and the singularity is far from the Fermi level, the effect of doping becomes less important. Also orthorhombic distortions or bilayer splitting reduce the \( d_{x^2-y^2} \) gap, allowing for a larger secondary component.18

As a more technical note, the value of the cutoff \( \omega_0 \) plays a role in the stability of the secondary component because it selects the portion of density of states which contributes to the effective coupling, i.e. a small cutoff makes the system more sensitive to the details of the bandstructure. For the range of parameters of interest this reflects in a stronger effect of the VHS, which favors the main component at the expenses of the secondary one.

We now turn to the experimental evidences discussed above considering the specific cases of optimally doped LSCO9 and YBCO12. We use parameters \( (V_1/t = 0.55, V_2/t = 1.1, \omega_0/t = 0.25, t'/t = 0.135 \) for LSCO and \( V_1/t = 1.1, V_2/t = 1.275, \omega_0/t = 0.25, t'/t = 0.35 \) for YBCO) that reproduce the experimental dispersions and the zero-temperature value of the gaps. The doping is \( \delta = 0.17 \) in both cases. As shown in Fig. 2, our simple theoretical approach well reproduces the temperature behavior of \( \rho_s \) for a wide range of temperature. The appearance of the secondary component is much more pronounced for LSCO, in agreement with the above analysis about the role of \( t'/t \). The deviation between the BCS results and the experiments close to \( T_c \) are obviously expected because of the relevance of fluctuations for quasi two-dimensional strong-coupling superconductors.

Our analysis shows that the experimental evidence of a low-temperature “bump” on top of the linear temperature dependence can be understood in terms of a \( d_{x^2-y^2} + id_{xy} \) order parameter without invoking an s-wave component. On the other hand an isotropic component is extremely hard to establish when the main order parameter has \( d_{x^2-y^2} \) symmetry.18

In particular an s-wave order parameter requires a huge local attractive interaction, in clear contradiction with the almost universally recognized role of Coulomb repulsion. We emphasize that even if we accept an attractive local component, the s-wave and the \( d_{x^2-y^2} \) gaps hardly coexist in the same Fermi surface. It is therefore almost impossible to reproduce the experimental behavior with an isotropic secondary gap without making totally ad hoc and unrealistic assumptions and a very fine tuning of parameters.

As a final remark we focus our attention on the effect of an external magnetic field, which seems to flatten out the low-temperature behavior of the penetration depth in the experimental data9,10. Several conflicting interpretations have been proposed. Some of them13,15 do not rely on the presence of a mixed order parameter, but relate the flattening to a non-local response of the d-wave superconductor, which modifies the magnetic field distribution in the vortex lattice with respect to the standard London model. Other studies identify the low-temperature feature with a second gap being either spin density wave17 or a different superconducting gap in the same spirit of the present analysis18. In Ref 16 in particular, the

---

FIG. 1: (a) \( \rho_s \) and superconducting gaps \( \Delta_{x^2-y^2} \) and \( \Delta_{xy} \) as a function of \( T \). Each quantity is normalized to its \( T = 0 \) value in order to confront the curves; it is clear as the low-temperature feature of the superfluid density relies on the existence of a secondary component of the order parameter. (b) Behavior of \( \rho_s \) for different values of \( t'/t \) for \( \delta = 0.17 \); (c) Behavior of \( \rho_s \) for different dopings at fixed \( t'/t = 0.15 \)
hardly shed lights on the symmetry of the secondary component. Within the second gap interpretation, we notice that the main element for the stability of the secondary component is actually the size of the main gap, and that tiny variations of the latter may completely suppress the former.

IV. Conclusions

In this paper we have shown the effect of a time-reversal breaking order parameter $d_x^2-y^2 + id_{xy}$ on the temperature evolution of the superfluid density within a BCS formalism. As previously shown, this combination is the most stable mixed order parameter if the main component has $d_x^2-y^2$ symmetry. Moreover, it is essentially the only way to have a smooth evolution from a pure d-wave to a superconducting phase which displays a secondary component at low temperature. The same smooth evolution is mirrored in the temperature behavior of the superfluid density, in which a small “bump” is superimposed to the linear behavior characteristic of a pure $d_x^2-y^2$-wave.

We compared numerical results to experimental data on two cuprates and showed that the low-temperature feature observed in $\mu$SR measurements can be reproduced assuming reasonable parameters for the system in such an unconventional symmetry phase.
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