Genome-Wide Analysis and Exploration of WRKY Transcription Factor Family Involved in the Regulation of Shoot Branching in Petunia
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Abstract: The WRKY transcription factors (TFs) participate in various physiological, growth and developmental processes of plants. In our study, a total of 79 WRKY family members were identified and classified into three groups (Group I, Group IIa–e, and Group III) based on phylogenetic and conservative domain analyses. Conserved motif analysis showed that seven WRKYGQK domains changed. The promoter sequence analysis suggested that there were multiple stress- and hormone-related cis-regulatory elements in the promoter regions of PhWRKY genes. Expression patterns of PhWRKYs based on RNA-seq data revealed their diverse expression profiles in five tissues and under different treatments. Subcellular localization analysis showed that PhWRKY71 was located in the nucleus. In addition, overexpression of PhWRKY71 caused a significant increase in branch number. This indicated that PhWRKY71 played a critical role in regulating the shoot branching of Petunia hybrida. The above results lay the foundation for further revealing the functions of PhWRKY genes.
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1. Introduction

WRKY, one of the ten largest transcription factor (TF) families in higher plants [1], is involved in regulating plant growth and development [2], senescence and disease resistance [3,4], hormone pathways [5], stress [6], and biosynthesis of secondary metabolites [7]. WRKYs possess conserved domains at the N-terminal and C-terminal. N-terminal has a highly conserved WRKYGQK sequence [8], and the C-terminal is composed of a zinc finger-like structure, CX_4-CX_22-23HXH (C_2-H_2) or CX_7-CX_23HXC (C_2-HC) [9]. According to the number and the features of WRKY domains (WDs), WRKY TFs can be divided into three main groups (I, II, and III) [10]. Group I usually has two WDs, while the other two groups contain only one WRKY domain. Group I and II include the C_2-H_2-type zinc finger, whereas Group III has the zinc finger structure of C_2-HC-type. Group II is further divided into five subgroups (IIa–e) according to different protein sequences [11].

Plant architecture is considered a particularly important trait in horticultural plants, whereas the branching pattern is a key component of plant architecture [12]. Generally, axillary shoot development consists of two stages: the initiation of axillary meristems (AM) in the axil and subsequent outgrowth of the axillary buds [13]. Whether these buds continue to grow to be lateral branches or become dormant mainly depends on endogenous and developmental signals and environmental factors [14]. Those factors may influence shoot branching via targeting different branching-related genes.

Studies in Arabidopsis thaliana showed that WRKY71 increased excessive AM initiation and bud activity by positively regulating the transcription of REGULATOR OF AXILLARY MERISTEMS 1 (RAX1), RAX2, RAX3, and negatively regulating auxin signaling [15]. In addition, overexpression of WRKY8, WRKY28, WRKY48 and WRKY57 driven by the WRKY71 promoter or four copies of cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S enhancers caused excessive...
lateral branches, resulting from much more AM initiation and elevated bud activities [15], which suggested that WRKY genes were important for plant morphological adaptation. With the completion of the whole genome mapping in various plants, WRKY genes have been identified and characterized, such as grape [16], watermelon [17], cucumber [18], and cabbage [19], etc.

*Petunia hybrida* Vilm is one of the most important ornamental crops. However, in its cultivation, it is necessary to manually remove the shoot apex to promote shoot branching so as to achieve the ornamental effect of flourishing flowers. This greatly increases the production expense. Therefore, the cultivation of new varieties with different branch types is one of the most important breeding directions in *P. hybrida*. In this study, the members of the WRKY family of *P. hybrida* were identified, and their phylogenetic relationship, exon–intron structure, conserved domains, cis-regulatory elements, gene expression pattern, subcellular localization and function of *PhWRKY71* were analyzed. This study provides a theoretical foundation for future research on the functions of *PhWRKY* genes in shoot branching.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Materials

*Petunia* × *hybrida* cv ‘Mitchell Diploid’ seedlings were planted in pots (5 × 5 cm) and placed in a tissue culture incubator for cultivation at 24 ± 2 °C, a photoperiod of 16 h/8 h (light/dark), and a light intensity of 3500 LX.

2.2. Identification of PhWRKY Genes

The known *Petunia* WRKY proteins were used as the query sequences. The BLAST search was carried out on the *Petunia* genome website (https://solgenomics.net/tools/blast/, accessed on 2 October 2020), and the candidate sequences of WRKY proteins were obtained. These candidate sequences were further filtered by Pfam (http://pfam.janelia.org/, accessed on 2 October 2020) and SMART tools (http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de/, accessed on 2 October 2020). The repetitive sequences and sequences not containing WRKY domains were removed, and finally, the *P. axillaris* WRKY gene sequences were obtained. The prediction of the physical and chemical features of PhWRKY proteins, including molecular weight (MW), protein sequence length and isoelectric point (IP), were assessed with ExPaSy (https://web.expasy.org/compute_pi/, accessed on 2 October 2020). To predict the subcellular localization of the identified PhWRKY proteins, WoLF PSORT (https://wolfpsort.hgc.jp/, accessed on 2 October 2020) was used to analyze the protein sequences.

2.3. Phylogenetic Tree Analysis of PhWRKYs

The WRKY protein sequences of *Petunia* and *Arabidopsis* were compared using MEGA X software, and a phylogenetic tree was constructed by the neighbor-joining method with a boot-strap value based on 1000 replicates. The *Arabidopsis* WRKY sequences were obtained from the UniProt protein database (https://www.uniprot.org/, accessed on 2 October 2020).

2.4. Analysis of Motifs and Gene Structure

The conserved motifs for each PhWRKY member were analyzed using MEME Suite version 5.0.5 (http://meme.nbcr.net/meme/, accessed on 4 October 2020). The gene structures of the *PhWRKYs* were determined by the online Gene Structure Display Server (GSDS) 2.0 software (http://gsds.cbi.pku.edu.cn/, accessed on 4 October 2020).

2.5. Analysis of the Cis-Elements in PhWRKY Promoters

The cis-elements in the promoter regions of *PhWRKY* genes were investigated by downloading the 1700 bp upstream sequences from the transcription start site of each putative *PhWRKY* gene. The promoter sequence analysis was then performed using
2.6. Expression Analysis of PhWRKY Genes

Seventy-day-old seedlings of Petunia × hybrida cv ‘Mitchell Diploid’ were used as the experimental materials. For the tissue-specific expression experiment, roots, stems, leaves, axillary buds and flowers were harvested for RNA extraction.

For the treatment experiment, forty-day-old seedlings of Petunia × hybrida cv ‘Mitchell Diploid’ were divided into three groups. One group was used as control, the second group was decapitated and the third group was treated with 6-BA (50 µM) to the axillary buds at the top 4th nodes. After 6 h, the buds at the 1st nodes (decapitation) or 4th nodes (6-BA treatment) were harvested for RNA extraction. The RNA was placed in foam boxes containing dry ice and sent to Beijing Novogene Technology Co. Ltd. (Beijing, China) for transcriptome sequencing. Subsequently, Log2 based on the Fragments Per kb per Million reads (FPKM) value was used to create the heat map with the ClustVis tool (https://biit.cs.ut.ee/clustvis/, accessed on 15 October 2020).

2.7. Subcellular Localization

The coding regions of PhWRKY71 were amplified by a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with primers F (5′-3′): CCAATCGACTCTAGAATGGCTGATGAACTAAGAAATTT and R (5′-3′): CCACATAGATTTAAATGTTCTTTTCCATGAGACATT and then fused to the pSuper1300-eGFP plant expression vector by the homogenous recombination method. The fused plasmid pSuper1300-PhWRKY71-eGFP was then transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens GV3101, and the positive clones were selected for transient infiltration into leaves of Nicotiana benthamiana for the subcellular localization assay. After at least 48 h of culture, the GFP fluorescence was observed under a confocal microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

2.8. Overexpression of PhWRKY71 and Phenotype Analysis in Petunia

The 35S::PhWRKY71 was transformed to Petunia × hybrida cv ‘Mitchell Diploid’ according to the method reported by Guo et al. [20]. The expression level of PhWRKY71 was detected by qRT-PCR. The number of branches with a bud length ≥10 mm was scored, and the height of the main stems was measured for phenotype analysis.

3. Results

3.1. Identification of the WRKY TFs in Petunia

In total, we identified 79 WRKY proteins through the Petunia genomic database in this study (Additional file: Table S1). The characteristics were analyzed, including protein length, MW and isoelectric point IP. As shown in Table S1, the length of PhWRKY proteins varied from 81 amino acids (aa) (PhWRKY54) to 741 aa (PhWRKY1), and the average length of protein sequence was 382 aa. The IP ranged from 4.8 (PhWRKY78) to 9.9 (PhWRKY54), and the MW ranged from 9577.91 Da (PhWRKY54) to 80,217.87 Da (PhWRKY1).

3.2. Phylogenetic Analysis and Classification of PhWRKY Proteins

To investigate the evolutionary relationships among the PhWRKY proteins, an un-rooted phylogenetic tree was constructed with 71 AtWRKY and 79 PhWRKY proteins (Figure 1). Among the identified 79 PhWRKYs, there were 14 and 15 PhWRKYs belonging to Group I and III, respectively. Group II, as the largest group, contained 50 PhWRKYs, and was further divided into five subgroups as follows: Group IIa (4 PhWRKYs), Group IIb (8 PhWRKYs), Group IIc (19 PhWRKYs), Group IId (9 PhWRKYs), and Group IIe (10 PhWRKYs).
3.2. Phylogenetic Analysis and Classification of PhWRKY Proteins

To investigate the evolutionary relationships among the PhWRKY proteins, an unrooted phylogenetic tree was constructed with 71 AtWRKY and 79 PhWRKY proteins (Figure 1). Among the identified 79 PhWRKYs, there were 14 and 15 PhWRKYs belonging to Group I and III, respectively. Group II, as the largest group, contained 50 PhWRKYs, and was further divided into five subgroups as follows: Group IIa (4 PhWRKYs), Group IIb (8 PhWRKYs), Group IIc (19 PhWRKYs), Group IId (9 PhWRKYs), and Group IIe (10 PhWRKYs).

Multiple sequence alignment showed the existence of WRKYGQK and zinc-finger motifs in all identified PhWRKYs. The WRKY TFs have two conserved motifs, and the first one was WRKYGQK. In our study, three variations of the WRKYGQK sequence, WRKYGLK (PhWRKY50) in Group III, WRKYGMK (PhWRKY29) and WRKYGKK (PhWRKY51, PhWRKY59) in Group II, were found (Additional file: Figure S1). The other one was C2H2- or C2HC-type zinc finger motif. As shown in Figure S1, Group I was clustered by 14 PhWRKY proteins. Among them, PhWRKY34 lacked an intact WRKYGQK sequence in its N-terminal. The zinc-finger motif of the PhWRKYs in Group I belonged to the C2H2 type (C-X4-C-X22-H-X1-H). There were 50 members belonging to Group II, and 47 of them contained C-X4-5-C-X23-27-H-X1-H, while PhWRKY47, PhWRKY73 and PhWRKY76 all lacked a typical zinc-finger-like motif. The zinc-finger motif of Group III was C2HC type (C-X5-7-C-X23-38-H-X1-C), except PhWRKY41, which lacked an intact zinc-finger structure.

3.3. Gene Structure and Conserved Motif Analysis of PhWRKY Genes

The gene structure of each PhWRKY gene was mapped here. As shown in Figure 2, the number of introns in PhWRKY genes ranged from zero to five. The majority of PhWRKY genes (41) had two introns; followed by 12 PhWRKYs with three introns; 12 PhWRKYs with four introns; seven PhWRKYs with one intron; six PhWRKYs with five introns; and PhWRKY29 had no introns. As we can see from Figure 2, the most closely related members in the same group or subgroup presented a similar exon–intron structure. For instance, most of the PhWRKY genes in Group III contained two introns.

Figure 1. Phylogenetic tree of WRKYs from Petunia hybrida and Arabidopsis thaliana. The unrooted phylogenetic tree was constructed based on the WDs from Petunia and Arabidopsis. The names of groups (I, II a–e, and III) are shown outside of the circle. Branch lines of subtrees are colored for different WRKY subgroups.
The gene structure of each PhWRKY gene was mapped here. As shown in Figure 2, the number of introns in PhWRKY genes ranged from zero to five. The majority of PhWRKY genes (41) had two introns; followed by 12 PhWRKYS with three introns; 12 PhWRKYS with four introns; seven PhWRKYS with one intron; six PhWRKYS with five introns; and PhWRKY29 had no introns. As we can see from Figure 2, the most closely related members in the same group or subgroup presented a similar exon–intron structure. For instance, most of the PhWRKY genes in Group III contained two introns.

To obtain a better understanding of structural similarity and dissimilarity among different PhWRKY proteins, ten conserved motifs were identified. All PhWRKYs had the conserved heptapeptides WRKYGQK (Motif 1 or Motif 2), which is the basic feature of WRKYs. The conserved motifs were commonly found in specific groups. For example, the members of Group IIa included six conserved motifs (Motifs 1, 2, 5, 6, 7 and 10), and Group IIb and Group IId contained eight (Motifs 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 10) and four conserved motifs (Motifs 1, 2, 6 and 9), respectively. It was clear that some motifs specifically existed in one or more groups (subgroups). For instance, Motif 5 and Motif 7 existed in Group IIa and Group IIb members, and Motif 9 only existed in Group IId members, while Motif 8 was mainly present in Group I members.

3.4. Identification of Cis-Acting Elements in the Promoters of PhWRKY Genes

The 1700 bp promoter sequences of the PhWRKY genes were extracted and submitted to the PlantCARE database to detect cis-acting elements. As depicted in Figure 3, in addition to a significant number of light response elements, there were a variety of hormone and stress response elements. The five hormone-related elements were MeJA-responsive element (CGTCA-motif), abscisic acid (ABA) response element (ABRE), auxin response elements (TGA-element, TGA-box, AuxRR-core), gibberellin (GA) response elements (GARE-motif, TATC-box) and salicylic acid (SA) reaction element (TCA-element). Four elements involved in stress were the defense and stress response element (TC-rich repeats), anaerobic induction (ARE), MYB binding sites (MBS) involved in drought in-
duction, and cryogenic reaction element (LTR). The element associated with growth and development was the circadian rhythm regulatory element (circadian).

The 1700 bp promoter sequences of the PhWRKY genes were extracted and submitted to the PlantCARE database to detect cis-acting elements. As depicted in Figure 3, in addition to a significant number of light response elements, there were a variety of hormone and stress response elements. The five hormone-related elements were MeJA-responsive element (CGTCA-motif), abscisic acid (ABA) response element (ABRE), auxin response elements (TGA-element, TGA-box, AuxRR-core), gibberellin (GA) response elements (GARE-motif, TATC-box) and salicylic acid (SA) reaction element (TCA-element). Four elements involved in stress were the defense and stress response element (TC-rich repeats), anaerobic induction (ARE), MYB binding sites (MBS) involved in drought induction, and cryogenic reaction element (LTR). The element associated with growth and development was the circadian rhythm regulatory element (circadian).

The light-responsive element (CATA-motif) and ABRE were the most abundant elements in the promoter regions of 79 PhWRKYs, with 67 promoters containing the two elements. CGTCA-motifs were found in 63 promoters. Forty-six gene promoters had the TCTA-box and GARE-motif. LTR, MBS, TCA-element and TGA-box were detected in 36, 39, 39, and 11 promoters of PhWRKY genes, respectively. The above promoter analysis revealed that the expression of PhWRKY genes might be associated with different environmental factors.

3.5. Analysis of Expression Patterns of PhWRKY Genes in Five Tissues

To explore the potential functions of PhWRKY genes involved in plant growth and development, the expression profiles of PhWRKYs were analyzed based on transcriptome data from five tissues, including roots, stems, leaves, buds, and flowers (Figure 4). We detected the expression of 79 genes, while the expression of PhWRKY7, PhWRKY54, PhWRKY68, PhWRKY74 and PhWRKY79 were not detected in any of these five tissues, possibly due to the special expression patterns that cannot be examined in our libraries. Most of the PhWRKY genes (43) presented the highest expression level in the root, indicating that they
might play an important role in Petunia root growth and development. The expression levels of PhWRKY1, PhWRKY27, PhWRKY43, PhWRKY64 and PhWRKY52 in buds were higher than that in any other tissue, revealing the potential functions of the five members in shoot branching.

In particular, we noticed that the expression patterns of PhWRKY23, PhWRKY28, PhWRKY46, PhWRKY47, PhWRKY48, PhWRKY57 and PhWRKY71 were similar to that of WRKY71 [15]. That is, the expression level was highest in roots, followed by higher expression level in buds. The result suggested that these genes may have similar functions.

3.6. Response Analysis of PhWRKY Genes to Decapitation and 6-BA

In order to study the effects of decapitation and 6-BA on the growth of axillary buds of Petunia, the bud length at the first node or the fourth node was measured on different days.
after treatment. As can be seen from Figure 5, the average length of the buds increased from the second day and increased to 14.2 (decapitation) or 10.0 mm (6-BA) on the eighth day. However, no obvious bud growth of control was observed.

We further analyzed the expression characteristics of six PhWRKY genes. The expression levels of PhWRKY23, PhWRKY28, PhWRKY48 and PhWRKY71 increased dramatically after decapitation. However, 6-BA treatment did not significantly affect the expression levels of these four genes. The expression levels of PhWRKY46 and PhWRKY57 did not change significantly after decapitation, and the expression of PhWRKY57 was not detected.

3.7. Subcellular Localization of PhWRKY71

Since PhWRKY71 can respond to decapitation and 6-BA treatment, and studies in Arabidopsis showed that WRKY71 was involved in regulating shoot branching, we intend to study the function of the homologous gene of WRKY71 in Petunia. To determine the subcellular localization of PhWRKY71, the coding sequence of PhWRKY71 was fused to the Green fluorescent protein (GFP) gene driven by the CaMV 35S promoter. The constructed expression vector was used to perform a transient expression assay in N. benthamiana leaves. The GFP fluorescence of the control was distributed throughout the cell, while the green fluorescence of PhWRKY71-GFP and the red fluorescence of the nucleus-localization marker were both observed only in the nucleus (Figure 6). The subcellular localization pattern of PhWRKY71 was consistent with the characteristics of TFs.
3.8. Phenotypes of Transgenic Petunia Plants Overexpressing PhWRKY71

The 35S::PhWRKY71 was transformed into Petunia, and a total of 14 independent transgenic T0 lines were obtained. In the T2 generation, three independent transgenic lines (OE 1, OE 2 and OE 3), with higher expression levels and obvious phenotypic differences, were selected for further phenotype analysis. We observed that overexpression of PhWRKY71 induced more branches accompanied by a semi-dwarf phenotype (Figure 7A). The PhWRKY71-overexpression (PhWRKY71-OE) lines all displayed a marked increase in branching (Figure 7C), including basal branches and stem branches. On average, the number of basal branches from lines OE 1, OE 2 and OE 3 was 6.4, 7.1 and 7.2, respectively, while the control had 1.4 branches. The number of stem branches from lines OE 1, OE 2 and OE 3 was 13.9, 16.3 and 15.2, respectively, compared to the control with 0.9 branches. Furthermore, the average plant height of the three PhWRKY71-OE lines was 25.5, 27.4 and 28.6 cm, respectively, whereas that of control plants reached 51.8 cm on average (Figure 7D). Thus, the average plant height in the three PhWRKY71-OE lines was decreased by 47%. Taken together, our results indicated that PhWRKY71 was a crucial regulator for shoot branching and plant height.
The WRKY TF family, as one of the largest families of plant transcriptional regulators, has been identified in various species. Although some research on Petunia WRKYs has been reported [21], characterization and function analysis of PhWRKYs were still insufficient. In the present study, 79 PhWRKY genes were systematically characterized in Petunia for the first time. The number was higher than that in Dianthus caryophyllus (53) [22], and Cucumis Melo L. (57) [23] but lower than that in Triticum aestivum (177) [24] and Zea mays (119) [11], suggesting a distinct evolutionary expansion of WRKY genes among different plant species. PhWRKYs were classified into three major groups (I, II, III) based on the conserved domains, and Group I, II and III contained 14, 50 and 15 members, respectively. The composition of the WRKY gene family drastically varies among different plant species, for example, previous research has demonstrated that Group I houses the largest number of WRKYs in poplar [25], while Group III is largest in rice [26]. Therefore, we speculate that numerous Group II members in Petunia implies more gene duplications during the course of evolution.

In our study, almost all of the PhWRKY proteins contained the WRKYGQK domain, whereas some variations, such as WRKYGLK, WRKYGMK and WRKYGKK, were also found. Slight variations of the WRKYGQK domain have also been reported in other plants. For instance, there were nine changed SlWRKY domains in tomato [27], and six changed VvWRKY domains in Vitis vinifera [28]. Previous studies have shown that the WRKYGQK domain can bind to W-box cis-elements in the promoter region to activate the

Figure 7. Phenotype and gene expression analysis of transgenic Petunia × hybrida cv ‘Mitchell Diploid’ plants overexpressing PhWRKY71. (A) Phenotypic comparisons of WT and transgenic plants overexpressing PhWRKY71. OE 1, OE 2 and OE 3 represent different lines overexpressing PhWRKY71. (B) The expression level of PhWRKY71 was assayed by quantitative real-time-PCR (qRT-PCR). Detection of PhACTIN was used as the control. Three samples with 10 plantlets for each were averaged (±SE). (C) The basal branch number for each is shown (n = 12). (D) The stem branch number for each is shown (n = 12). (E) The height of the main stem for each is shown (n = 12).

4. Discussion

The WRKY TF family, as one of the largest families of plant transcriptional regulators, has been identified in various species. Although some research on Petunia WRKYs has been reported [21], characterization and function analysis of PhWRKYs were still insufficient. In the present study, 79 PhWRKY genes were systematically characterized in Petunia for the first time. The number was higher than that in Dianthus caryophyllus (53) [22], and Cucumis Melo L. (57) [23] but lower than that in Triticum aestivum (177) [24] and Zea mays (119) [11], suggesting a distinct evolutionary expansion of WRKY genes among different plant species. PhWRKYs were classified into three major groups (I, II, III) based on the conserved domains, and Group I, II and III contained 14, 50 and 15 members, respectively. The composition of the WRKY gene family drastically varies among different plant species, for example, previous research has demonstrated that Group I houses the largest number of WRKYs in poplar [25], while Group III is largest in rice [26]. Therefore, we speculate that numerous Group II members in Petunia implies more gene duplications during the course of evolution.

In our study, almost all of the PhWRKY proteins contained the WRKYGQK domain, whereas some variations, such as WRKYGLK, WRKYGMK and WRKYGKK, were also found. Slight variations of the WRKYGQK domain have also been reported in other plants. For instance, there were nine changed SlWRKY domains in tomato [27], and six changed VvWRKY domains in Vitis vinifera [28]. Previous studies have shown that the WRKYGQK domain can bind to W-box cis-elements in the promoter region to activate the
transcription of downstream target genes [1], while the variation of the WRKYGQK domain may affect the DNA-binding specificity. Therefore, further work is required to investigate the molecular function and binding specificity of each protein with motif variations.

The MWs and IPs of the PhWRKY proteins showed large variations, likely due to their different functions in plant growth and development. The motif analysis of PhWRKY proteins demonstrated that PhWRKY proteins that clustered into the same phylogenetic clade showed highly similar motif distributions and exon–intron structures, implying the functional similarity. Most of the PhWRKY genes had two introns, as commonly found in other plants, such as sesame [29], chickpea [30], pear [31] and cassava [32]. Overall, the position and number of introns in the same group showed a good similarity. For example, the Group I members contained four to six introns, whereas most of the Group III members consisted of two introns. In addition, the distribution proportion of introns in different species of the same family was also similar. For example, there were two introns in tomato and Petunia, accounting for 60% and 52% of the WRKY family, respectively, followed by three introns (13.5% in tomato and 9% in Petunia). About 2.5% of tomato WRKYs and 1.2% of Petunia WRKYs were intron-free. These results indicated that the distribution of introns among different species was conserved to some extent.

In our study, the expression profile for each PhWRKY gene in five different tissues was revealed, providing valuable data for further research. As can be seen from the evolutionary tree, PhWRKY23, PhWRKY28, PhWRKY46, PhWRKY48, PhWRKY57, PhWRKY71 were closely related to WRKY8, WRKY28, WRKY48 and WRKY57. Studies showed that WRKY8, WRKY28, WRKY48 and WRKY57 had similar expression patterns to WRKY71/EXCESSIVE BRANCHES1 (EXB1). The ectopic expression of WRKY8, WRKY28, WRKY23, WRKY48 and WRKY57 all caused excessive branching [15,33]. Therefore, we speculate that PhWRKY23, PhWRKY28, PhWRKY46, PhWRKY48, PhWRKY57 and PhWRKY71 are involved in shoot branching in Petunia. Tissue expression analysis showed that the expression levels of these genes were highest in roots, implying that these genes not only participated in shoot branching but also played an important role in root growth and development. Moreover, we found that decapitation promoted the obvious up-regulation of PhWRKY23, PhWRKY28, PhWRKY48 and PhWRKY71, indicating that decapitation may partially regulate the expression of these genes, resulting in bud outgrowth.

Overexpression of PhWRKY71 caused a remarkable increase in the total number of lateral branches. Not only the number of branches at the base increased notably, but also the branches on the stem were significantly more than those in the control. This indicates that the overexpression of PhWRKY71 greatly weakens the apical dominance of Petunia. At the same time, we noticed that the plant height of transgenic lines decreased, which was similar to the phenotype of plants overexpressing WRKY71 in Arabidopsis [15], suggesting the functional conservation of the homologous gene. The function of PhWRKY71 is also similar to that of most genes that regulate shoot branching, implying there are some relationships between plant height and shoot branching. In addition, how PhWRKY71 regulates branch development of Petunia and what its direct downstream target genes are need to be further studied.

5. Conclusions

Genome-wide analysis of phylogenetic relationships, intron/exon structures, motif compositions, and expression characteristics of PhWRKY genes was carried out in this study. A total of 79 WRKY members from Petunia were identified. Gene expression analysis revealed that most of the PhWRKY genes had tissue-specific expression patterns, and some of them were speculated to be involved in bud development, consistent with the molecular function predictions based on phylogenetic analysis. Some PhWRKY genes could respond to decapitation, suggesting that decapitation may cause axillary bud growth partly by affecting the expression levels of these PhWRKY genes. PhWRKY71 was shown to be localized in the nucleus. Overexpression of PhWRKY71 caused an excessive increase in
branch number and a significant decrease in plant height, indicating an important role in regulating shoot branch development.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/genes13050855/s1, Figure S1: Comparison of WRKY domain sequences from PhWRKY proteins, Table S1: Protein information of WRKY TF in Petunia.

Author Contributions: L.D. and X.D. designed the research project and drafted the manuscript. H.Y. completed the experiments with the help of T.Y. and J.Q. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was financially supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Province of China (grant number: 1808085MC85), Excellent Young Talents Support Plan of Anhui Province College and Universities (grant number: gxyq2019010), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number: 31902042).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The RNA-seq data generated during the current study were obtained from the NCBI (ID: PRJNA836568).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References
1. Eulgem, T.; Rushton, P.J.; Robatzek, S.; Somssich, I.E. The WRKY superfamily of plant transcription factors. Trends Plant Sci. 2000, 5, 199–206. [CrossRef]
2. Ding, Z.J.; Yan, J.Y.; Li, C.X.; Li, G.X.; Wu, Y.R.; Zheng, S.J. Transcription factor WRKY46 modulates the development of Arabidopsis lateral roots in osmotic/salt stress conditions via regulation of ABA signaling and auxin homeostasis. Plant J. 2015, 84, 56–69. [CrossRef]
3. Choi, C.; Hwang, S.H.; Fang, I.R.; Kwon, S.I.; Park, S.R.; Ahn, I.; Kim, J.B.; Hwang, D.J. Molecular characterization of Oryza sativa WRKY6, which binds to W-box-like element 1 of the Oryza sativa pathogenesis-related (PR) 10a promoter and confers reduced susceptibility to pathogens. New Phytop. 2015, 208, 846–859. [CrossRef]
4. Zhao, L.; Zhang, W.; Song, Q.; Xuan, Y.; Li, K.; Cheng, L.; Qiao, H.; Wang, G.; Zhou, C. A WRKY transcription factor, TaWRKY40-D, promotes leaf senescence associated with jasmonic acid and abscisic acid pathways in wheat. Plant Biol. 2020, 22, 1072–1085. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
5. Hu, Z.; Wang, R.; Zheng, M.; Liu, X.; Meng, F.; Wu, H.; Yao, Y.; Xin, M.; Peng, H.; Ni, Z.; et al. TaWRKY51 promotes lateral root formation through negative regulation of ethylene biosynthesis in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Plant J. 2015, 84, 56–69. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
6. Wang, C.-T.; Ru, J.-N.; Liu, Y.-W.; Li, M.; Zhao, D.; Yang, J.-F.; Fu, J.-D.; Xu, Z.-S. Maize WRKY Transcription Factor ZmWRKY106 Confers Drought and Heat Tolerance in Transgenic Plants. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2018, 19, 3046. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
7. An, J.-P.; Zhang, X.-W.; You, C.-X.; Bi, S.-Q.; Wang, X.-F.; Hao, Y.-J. MdWRKY40 promotes wounding-induced anthocyanin biosynthesis in association with MdMYB1 and undergoes MdBT2-mediated degradation. New Phytop. 2019, 224, 380–395. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
8. Song, H.; Sun, W.; Yang, G.; Sun, J. WRKY transcription factors in legumes. BMC Plant Biol. 2018, 18, 243. [CrossRef]
9. Rushton, P.J.; Somssich, I.E.; Ringler, P.; Shen, Q.J. WRKY transcription factors. Trends Plant Sci. 2010, 15, 247–258. [CrossRef]
10. Zhang, Y.; Wang, L. The WRKY transcription factor superfamily: Its origin in eukaryotes and expansion in plants. BMC Evol. Biol. 2005, 5, 1. [CrossRef]
11. Wei, K.-F.; Chen, J.; Chen, Y.-F.; Wu, L.-J.; Xie, D.-X. Molecular Phylogenetic and Expression Analysis of the Complete WRKY Transcription Factor Family in Maize. DNA Res. 2012, 19, 153–164. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
12. Wang, M.; Le Moigne, M.-A.; Bertheloot, J.; Crespel, L.; Perez-Garcia, M.-D.; Oge, L.; Demotes-Mainard, S.; Hamama, L.; Daviere, J.-M.; Sakr, S. BRANCH1: A Key Hub of Shoot Branching. Front. Plant Sci. 2019, 10, 76. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
13. Shimizu-Sato, S.; Mori, H. Control of Outgrowth and Dormancy in Auxillary Buds. Plant Physiol. 2001, 127, 1405–1413. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
14. Rameau, C.; Bertheloot, J.; Leduc, N.; Andrieu, B.; Foucher, F.; Sakr, S. Multiple pathways regulate shoot branching. Front. Plant Sci. 2015, 5, 741. [CrossRef]
15. Guo, D.; Zhang, J.; Wang, X.; Han, X.; Wei, B.; Wang, J.; Li, B.; Yu, H.; Huang, Q.; Gu, H.; et al. The WRKY Transcription Factor WRKY71/EXB1 Controls Shoot Branching by Transcriptionally Regulating RAX Genes in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 2015, 27, 3112–3127. [CrossRef]
16. Wang, L.; Zhu, W.; Fang, L.; Sun, X.; Su, L.; Liang, Z.; Wang, N.; Londo, J.P.; Li, S.; Xin, H. Genome-wide identification of WRKY family genes and their response to cold stress in *Vitis vinifera*. *BMC Plant Biol.* 2014, 14, 103. [CrossRef]

17. Yang, X.; Li, H.; Yang, Y.; Wang, Y.; Mo, Y.; Zhang, R.; Zhang, Y.; Ma, J.; Wei, C.; Zhang, X. Identification and expression analyses of WRKY genes reveal their involvement in growth and abiotic stress response in watermelon (*Citrus lanatus*). *PLoS ONE* 2018, 13, e0191308. [CrossRef]

18. Ling, J.; Jiang, W.; Zhang, Y.; Yu, H.; Mao, Z.; Gu, X.; Huang, S.; Xie, B. Genome-wide analysis of WRKY gene family in *Cucumis Sativus*. *BMC Genom.* 2011, 12, 471. [CrossRef]

19. Tang, J.; Wang, F.; Hou, X.-L.; Wang, Z.; Huang, Z.-N. Genome-Wide Fractionation and Identification of WRKY Transcription Factors in Chinese Cabbage (*Brassica rapa ssp. pekinensis*) Reveals Collinearity and Their Expression Patterns Under Abiotic and Biotic Stresses. *Plant Mol. Biol. Report.* 2014, 32, 781–795. [CrossRef]

20. Guo, Y.L.; Yu, Y.; Yang, Z.; Qin, X.T.; Ma, J.; Han, Y.; Yang, X.; Li, M.Y. Over-expressing PMADS20-SRDX repressor leads to the formation of ectopic trichome and stoma on petals and pistils in petunia. *Acta Hortic. Sin.* 2014, 41, 509–520.

21. Verweij, W.; Spelt, C.E.; Bliek, M.; de Vries, M.; Wit, N.; Faraco, M.; Koes, R.; Quattrocchio, F.M. Functionally Similar WRKY Proteins Regulate Vacuolar Acidification in Petunia and Hair Development in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 2016, 28, 786–803. [CrossRef]

22. Lin, S.-N.; Liu, J.-W.; Zhang, X.-N.; Bao, M.-Z.; Fu, X.-F. Genome-wide Identification and Expression Analysis of WRKY Gene Family in *Dianthus caryophyllus*. *Acta Hortic. Sin.* 2021, 48, 1768–1784.

23. Chen, Y.; Jing, X.; Wang, S.; Wang, J.; Zhang, S.; Shi, Q. Genome-wide Analysis of WRKY Transcription Factor Family in Melon (*Cucumis Melo L*) and Their Response to Powdery Mildew. *Plant Mol. Biol. Report.* 2021, 39, 686–699. [CrossRef]

24. He, H.; Dong, Q.; Shao, Y.; Jiang, H.; Zhu, S.; Cheng, B.; Xiang, Y. Genome-wide survey and characterization of the WRKY gene family in *Populus trichocarpa*. *Plant Cell Rep.* 2012, 31, 1199–1217. [CrossRef]

25. Ross, C.A.; Liu, Y.; Shen, Q.J. The WRKY gene family in rice (*Oryza sativa*). *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* 2007, 49, 827–842. [CrossRef]

26. Huang, S.; Gao, Y.; Liu, J.; Peng, X.; Niu, X.; Fei, Z.; Cao, S.; Liu, Y. Genome-wide analysis of WRKY transcription factors in *Solanum lycopersicum*. *Mol. Genet. Genom.* 2012, 287, 495–513. [CrossRef]

27. Zhang, Y.; Feng, J.C. Identification and Characterization of the Grape WRKY Family. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2014, 2014, 787680. [CrossRef]

28. Li, D.; Liu, P.; Yu, J.; Wang, L.; Dossa, K.; Zhang, Y.; Zhou, R.; Wei, X.; Zhang, X. Genome-wide analysis of WRKY gene family in the sesame genome and identification of the WRKY genes involved in responses to abiotic stresses. *BMC Plant Biol.* 2017, 17, 152. [CrossRef]

29. Waqas, M.; Azhar, M.T.; Rana, I.A.; Azeem, F.; Ali, M.A.; Nawaz, M.A.; Chung, G.; Atif, R.M. Genome-wide identification and expression analyses of WRKY transcription factor family members from chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L*) reveal their role in abiotic stress-responses. *Genes Genom.* 2019, 41, 467–481. [CrossRef]

30. Qi, K.; Song, X.; Yuan, Y.; Bao, J.; Gong, X.; Huang, X.; Khanizadeh, S.; Zhang, S.; Tao, S. CAD Genes: Genome-Wide Identification, Evolution, and Their Contribution to Lignin Biosynthesis in Pear (*Pyrus bretschneideri*). *Plants* 2021, 10, 1444. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

31. Wei, Y.; Shi, H.; Xia, Z.; Tie, W.; Ding, Z.; Yan, Y.; Wang, W.; Hu, W.; Li, K. Genome-Wide Identification and Expression Analysis of the WRKY Gene Family in Cassava. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2016, 7, 25. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Guo, D.; Qin, G. EXB1/WRKY71 transcription factor regulates both shoot branching and responses to abiotic stresses. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 2016, 11, e1150404. [CrossRef] [PubMed]