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Infiltrating Ductal Breast Carcinoma: Correlation of Cytological Grading with Histopathological Grading and regional lymphnode status
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Abstract

In the initial evaluation of breast lumps, Fine Needle Aspiration (FNA) is a proven diagnostic technique. Aim of the study is to grade the infiltrating ductal carcinoma of breast by both cytologically and histologically and to correlate its grading. A total of 44 cases with a cytological diagnosis of invasive ductal carcinoma subsequently proved on histology were studied. These were graded according to Robinson’s cytological grading (RCG) and Elston’s modification of Bloom Richardson’s histological grading system. Statistical analysis was done using χ² test. Multiple regression analysis was done to assess the significance of each cytological and histological parameter. There were 22(50%), 19(43.1%) and 3(6.8%) cases of grade I, II and III ductal carcinomas respectively graded cytologically and 19(43.2%), 20(45.5%) and 5(11.4%) cases of ductal carcinomas graded I, II and III histologically. Overall, in 37 cases (84%) the cytological grade correlated with the histological grade and in 7 cases (18%) discrepancy was noted. There was a significant association (p<0.05) between the cytological and histological grading. This study showed that the extent of cell size, cell uniformity, nucleoli were the most influential features. 68% of grade I tumors 53% of grade II and 100% of grade III tumors showed lymphnode metastasis. Lymph node metastasis was seen irrespective of the grade of the tumor. To conclude grading act as powerful predictor of tumor aggressiveness; should thus be addressed in pathological analysis of breast carcinoma as it is simple and feasible.
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Introduction

In developing countries, the incidence of breast carcinoma is gradually increasing and approaching to replace cervical carcinoma as a leading malignancy among women including India.¹ The reason attributable for increasing the incidence is the shift towards Western life style and the incidence can be reduced by making the awareness about signs and symptoms of breast lesions and its early detection by regular self-examination of breast.² The management of breast cancer depends on various prognostic parameters, example; tumor histopathological grading, lymphnode status, estrogen receptor status and cell proliferation index.³ Most of these parameters are determined after it has been
excised from the body on the histopathological examination only. However, the ideal situation would be to characterize the tumor while it is still in vivo so that preoperatively an appropriate therapy can be designed. While conservative therapy is recommended for low grade breast carcinoma. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAT) and radiotherapy are becoming increasingly popular modes of treatment for high grade breast carcinoma. In recent years, Attempts have been made to determine various prognostic parameters on FNA material. Fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) is increasingly being used for the pre-operative diagnosis of breast carcinoma. In our present study we report to compare cytological grading of ductal carcinoma by Robinson cytological grading on FNA material and histological grading by Elston’s modification of Bloom Richardson method on surgically resected specimens. Palpable lymph nodes were also assessed for metastatic deposits.

Materials and Methods
The study was a prospective and retrospective study done over a period of two and half years in the department of pathology, southern part of Andhra Pradesh. A total of 44 cases were included which were diagnosed as infiltrating ductal carcinoma on FNA, and all the clinical data regarding were collected. FNA procedure was performed using multidirectional technique with 23 gauge needle attached to 10 ml syringe with Franzer type syringe holder. Aspirated material was expressed on to the slides, smears were prepared and fixed in alcohol and stained with Haematoxylin & Eosin (H&E) and Papanicolaou stain (Pap stain). Air dried smears were also prepared and stained with May Grunwald Giemsa (MGG) stain. Inclusion criteria was only the cases having six or more epithelial cell clusters were studied as this is the minimum criteria for adequacy. The smears were evaluated based on grading system described by Robinson presented in (Table 1). The values between 1 and 3 was given to each parameter in table 1. The scores for each of the 6 parameters were added together to give a total score for each case, where the final score ranged between 6 and 18. Surgical specimens were obtained and the cases with histological diagnosis of infiltrating ductal carcinoma whose cytological diagnosis was infiltrating ductal carcinoma were taken. Samples were routinely processed and stained with H&E. Axillary lymph nodes were also similarly processed. Histological grading of H&E stained tissue sections was done by Nottingham modification of Scarff-Bloom Richardson method, where three parameters were taken into consideration: nuclear pleomorphism, number of mitosis and degree of tubule formation. Mitotic counts were scored using Olympus microscope with a field diameter 0.59mm. Each parameter was scored between 1 and 3. Thus the overall score for each case ranged between 3 and 9. Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS statistical software package, version 19. X² test used to compare and to examine the degree of correlation between the cytological and histopathological grades. P value of less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results
Majority of the tumors were grade I on cytology (50%). The next commoner was grade II tumors (43%) and only 6.8% were of cytological grade III. On histopathological grading, 19 (43%) tumors grade I, 20 (46%) tumors grade II and 5(11%) grade III. (Figures 1-3) shows the cytological features found for the Robinson grades I to III. (Table 2) shows the comparison of cytological grade with histopathological grade. The concordance rate between grade I tumors in cytology and histopathology was 82%, while for grade II was 84% and 100% for grade III. In the present study the correlation between cytological grade and histopathological grade was statistically significant (p value <0.05) Overall sensitivity was 88.7%. Significant correlation between cytological grade and histological grade was obtained in 37 (84%) cases and in seven cases (15.9%) there was...
a discrepancy. Out of the seven cases, six cases were upgraded and one case downgraded on histopathology.

Data from (Table 3) shows that 68% of grade I tumors 53% of grade II and 100% of grade III tumors showed lymphnode metastasis. Lymphnode metastasis was seen irrespective of the grade of the tumor. The grade of the tumor showed no significant association (p>0.05) with the lymphnode involvement although all the grade III tumors showed metastasis.

Table 1: Robinson’s Cytological Grading of Breast Carcinomas on FNA Material

| Cell features      | Score I              | Score II             | Score III             |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Dissociation       | Cells mostly in clusters | Mixture of singles and clusters | Cells mostly in single |
| Cell size          | 1-2X RBC             | 3-4 X RBC            | =5 X RBC             |
| Cell uniformity    | Monomorphic          | Mildly pleomorphic   | Pleomorphic           |
| Nucleoli           | Indistinct           | Noticeable           | Prominent or Pleomorphic |
| Nuclear margin     | Smooth               | Slightly irregular/folds/grooves | Buds or clefts |
| Chromatin          | Vesicular            | Granular             | Clumped and cleared |

Table 2: Correlation of Cytological Grade with Histopathological Grade

| Histopathological grade (MBR) | Total | Concordance rate (%) |
|-------------------------------|-------|----------------------|
| I (RCG)                       |       |                      |
| I                             | 18 (94.7%) | 4 (20%) | 0 | 22 (50%) | 81.8 |
| II                            | 1 (5.3%) | 16 (80%) | 2 (40%) | 19 (43.2%) | 84.2 |
| III                           | 0      | 0 | 3 (60%) | 3 (6.8%) | 100 |
|                               | 19 (100%) | 20 (100%) | 5 (100%) | 44 | 88.7 |

Table 3: Correlation of Cytological Grade and Axillary Lymphnode Status

| Cytological grade | Positive | Negative | Total |
|-------------------|----------|----------|-------|
| 1                 | 15 (68.1%) | 7 (31.8%) | 22    |
| 2                 | 10 (52.6%) | 9 (47.3%) | 19    |
| 3                 | 3        | 0        | 3     |
| Total             | 28 (63.6%) | 16 (36.3%) | 44    |

Figure 1: Photomicrograph of cytological grade I duct cell carcinoma. The cells are in cohesive clusters with enlarged mild pleomorphic nuclei, smooth nuclear margin and inconspicuous nucleoli. [Pap,x400]

Figure 2: Photomicrograph of cytological grade II duct cell carcinoma. Cells are in loose clusters with dispersed cells. Nuclei are three to four times the erythrocyte, with smooth margin and single prominent nucleoli. [Pap,x400]
**Figure 3:** Photomicrograph of cytological grade III duct cell carcinoma. Cells are in singles with coarsely granular chromatin, with irregular folded nuclear margins and very prominent nucleoli. [Pap,x400]

**Discussion**

In the review of literature a number of studies have confirmed the prognostic value of histopathological grading in invasive ductal carcinoma.[7,8]. On FNA samples a variety of techniques have been described for grading invasive carcinomas to determine the best therapy for a particular given case. The National cancer institute which were sponsored conference on the “uniform approach to breast FNA biopsy” has also recommended that tumor grading on FNA material should be incorporated in FNA reports for prognostication.[9]. Based on cytological studies a wide number of grading systems were developed with results similar to those obtained from histopathological sections. Among those, the grading system developed by Robinson was very well correlated with histopathological grading system that is Scarff Bloom Richardson method, which was proved by various studies[6,10-15]. The purpose of cytological prognostic grading is to identify fast growing tumors (grade III), which are more likely to respond to chemotherapy than low grade. In the present study, there was a statistically significant correlation seen in 37 (84%) cases. Overall concordance rate was 88.7%. In other studies with total number of cases less than 70, showed the concordance rates varied from 63% to 89.1%. [16,17] Also in studies with equal to or more than 70 cases, the concordance rates varied from 43.3% to 90.7%.[18] In present study grading systems showed stronger correlations in higher grades. Out of all cases which were studied the seven cases showed discrepancy, out of which six cases were upgraded and one case downgraded on histopathology. It may be due to the observer variability when assigning the grade. Nuclear margins (smooth, slightly irregular, folds, grooves, clefts, budding) and chromatin pattern (granular/clumping and clearing) are some of the features where observer variability is more likely. This may also be attributable to tumor heterogeneity and large tumor size. When tumor size is large, the most undifferentiated areas of tumor may not be represented in our routine FNAs. In contrast in histopathological examination, the entire tumor is sampled, so that it will be allowing for evaluation of the most undifferentiated areas.

Multiple regression analysis of cytological features was used to assess the significance of each cytological parameter, where the cell size, uniformity and nucleoli were the most influential factors. In all other various studies grade II tumors were most common but in our present study grade I tumors were commonly seen, similar to the study of Sultana T et al[14]. This may be due to the early presentation of patients because of increased awareness of breast cancer in and around of study location.

In breast carcinoma, lymph node metastasis is a factor of known prognostic significance. Patients with definitive lymph node involvement have highly significant poor prognosis than the patients those are without nodal metastasis.[19] A woman who presents breast cancer with negative lymphnodes have 70-80% likelihood of long term survival without metastasis, while the patients who present with regional lymphnode metastasis have approximately an 80% likelihood of developing distant metastatic disease[20]. In the present study 28(63%) out of 44 cases had lymph node metastasis. There was 68.2% of grade I, 52.6% of grade II, 100% of grade III tumors showed metastasis. There was no significant
association between the grade of the tumor and lymph node metastasis, but grade III tumors had a high rate of metastasis in concordance with Dash et al study.\cite{21}

**Conclusion**

To conclude from this study that FNAC can be a simple, rapid and effective valid tool for the first line diagnostic modality in ductal carcinomas of breast. The Robinson cytological grading was found to be sensitive and easily reproducible. The RBS grading system is very simple. The information obtained is of clinical use and also used for prognostic value especially when combined with mammographic findings. Thus it is suggested that effort should be made to include the cytological grading in all the FNAC reports of breast cancers, so that an appropriate decision regarding the preoperative therapy can be taken and the unnecessary treatment of low grade cancers is avoided.
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