Orthology Analysis and *In Vivo* Complementation Studies to Elucidate the Role of DIR1 during Systemic Acquired Resistance in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Cucumis sativus*
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AtDIR1 (Defective in Induced Resistance1) is an acidic lipid transfer protein essential for systemic acquired resistance (SAR) in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Upon SAR induction, DIR1 moves from locally infected to distant uninfected leaves to activate defense priming; however, a molecular function for DIR1 has not been elucidated. Bioinformatic analysis and *in silico* homology modeling identified putative AtDIR1 orthologs in crop species, revealing conserved protein motifs within and outside of DIR1's central hydrophobic cavity. *In vitro* assays to compare the capacity of recombinant AtDIR1 and targeted AtDIR1-variant proteins to bind the lipophilic probe TNS (6, P-toluidinylnaphthalene-2-sulfonate) provided evidence that conserved leucine 43 and aspartic acid 39 contribute to the size of the DIR1 hydrophobic cavity and possibly hydrophobic ligand binding. An *Arabidopsis*–cucumber SAR model was developed to investigate the conservation of DIR1 function in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*), and we demonstrated that phloem exudates from SAR-induced cucumber rescued the SAR defect in the *Arabidopsis dir1-1* mutant. Additionally, an AtDIR1 antibody detected a protein of the same size as AtDIR1 in SAR-induced cucumber phloem exudates, providing evidence that DIR1 function during SAR is conserved in *Arabidopsis* and cucumber. *In vitro* TNS displacement assays demonstrated that recombinant AtDIR1 did not bind the SAR signals azelaic acid (AzA), glycerol-3-phosphate or pipecolic acid. However, recombinant CsDIR1 and CsDIR2 interacted weakly with AzA and pipecolic acid. Bioinformatic and functional analyses using the *Arabidopsis*–cucumber SAR model provide evidence that DIR1 orthologs exist in tobacco, tomato, cucumber, and soybean, and that DIR1-mediated SAR signaling is conserved in *Arabidopsis* and cucumber.
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INTRODUCTION

Systemic acquired resistance (SAR) is a plant defense response during which an initial infection leads to resistance to a broad spectrum of normally virulent pathogens in distant naive tissues. Pathogen-induced mobile SAR signals produced in locally infected leaves travel to distant leaves, resulting in signal perception and the manifestation of SAR. A number of physiological experiments demonstrated that mobile SAR signals travel from induced to distant tissues, predominantly via the phloem (reviewed in Guedes et al., 1986; Tuzun and Kuc, 1985; Champigny and Cameron, 2009). More recently this was also shown in Arabidopsis (Kiefer and Slusarenko, 2003). To date, a number of potential SAR mobile signals have been identified (reviewed in Dempsey and Klessig, 2012; Shah and Zeier, 2013; Shah et al., 2014), including lipid transfer proteins (LTPs; Maldonado et al., 2002; Jung et al., 2009; Xia et al., 2012; Champigny et al., 2013; Li et al., 2014; Cecchini et al., 2015), methyl salicylate (MeSA; Park et al., 2007; Vlot et al., 2008), azelaic acid (AzA; Jung et al., 2009; Wittek et al., 2014; Cecchini et al., 2015), a glycerol-3-phosphate (G3P)-derived molecule (Chanda et al., 2011), piperocolic acid (Pip; Navarova et al., 2012; Vogel-Adghough et al., 2013), and the abietane diterpenoid dehydroabietaenol (DA; Chaturvedi et al., 2012). The existence of numerous putative SAR signals illustrates the complexity of the SAR signaling pathway and highlights the need to better understand the roles of these signals during SAR.

Since plants cannot predict which leaf will become infected, each leaf must have the capacity to produce SAR long-distance signals. Additionally, long-distance SAR signals must move from SAR-induced to distant leaves to establish SAR. The LTP DIR1 (Defective in Induced Resistance 1) possesses these characteristics as it is expressed in all living cells of leaves (Champigny et al., 2011) and experiments using an estrogen-inducible DIR1–GFP line provide compelling evidence that DIR1 is a mobile signal or chaperone that becomes activated in locally infected leaves to access the phloem and move to establish SAR in distant leaves (Champigny et al., 2013). Moreover, the resistance-promoting activity of G3P, AzA, and DA all require functional DIR1 (Jung et al., 2009; Chanda et al., 2011; Chaturvedi et al., 2012) and the SAR-related LTPs AzA Induced 1 (AZI1) and Early Arabidopsis Aluminum Induced 1 (EARLI1) have been shown to interact with DIR1 in transient expression experiments in Nicotiana benthamiana (Yu et al., 2013; Cecchini et al., 2015). These findings suggest that DIR1 participates as a member of a SAR signal complex. In support of this idea, a high molecular weight protein complex was identified in petiole exudates collected from SAR-induced leaves (Chaturvedi et al., 2012) and immunoblot analysis provided evidence that DIR1 is present in this complex (Shah et al., 2014). Taken together, these studies support the idea that DIR1 is an integral component of long-distance signaling during SAR.

Analysis of the DIR1 crystal structure revealed that DIR1 is a unique non-specific (ns)-LTP, most similar to members of the LTP2 family (Lascombe et al., 2008). Like other nsLTPs, DIR1 has eight cysteine residues that participate in four disulfide bonds to form a central hydrophobic cavity or pocket. Unlike other LTP2 proteins, DIR1 has an acidic isoelectric point (pI), it binds two monoacylated lipids within its hydrophobic pocket in vitro and it possesses a putative protein interaction PxxP motif (where P is proline and x is any amino acid; Lascombe et al., 2008). Given the characteristics of DIR1, it is possible that it interacts with lipids or other hydrophobic molecules, acting as a chaperone and/or as part of a larger protein complex that translocates from induced to distant tissues during SAR.

The importance of DIR1 in the SAR response is further supported by studies of DIR1 orthologs in other plant species. A putative DIR1 ortholog was identified in tomato and immunoblot analysis confirmed its presence in petiole exudates collected from healthy tomato plants (Mitton et al., 2009); however, its role during SAR was not investigated. Transgenic Arabidopsis plants expressing two putative DIR1 orthologs from Nicotiana tabacum rescued the SAR defect in the Arabidopsis dir1-1 mutant and RNAi-mediated knockdown of these orthologs in N. tabacum impaired SAR (Liu et al., 2011). These studies suggest that DIR1 and DIR1-mediated SAR are conserved in other plants. Additionally, a DIR1-like protein with high sequence similarity to DIR1 (88% of the mature protein at the amino acid level) is present in Arabidopsis. Phylogenetic analysis, and the fact that DIR1 and DIR1-like are adjacent to one another on chromosome 5, suggests they arose from a duplication event (Champigny et al., 2013). DIR1 and DIR1-like are similarly expressed in naïve and pathogen-treated plants, and transiently expressed DIR1-like complements the dir1-1 SAR defect (Champigny et al., 2013). Moreover, the dir1-1 mutant occasionally displays a partially SAR-competent phenotype, suggesting that in some circumstances DIR1-like acts redundantly to DIR1 (Champigny et al., 2013).

To further understand the role of DIR1 during SAR, we used bioinformatic analyses and in silico homology modeling to identify and characterize orthologous DIR1 proteins from a number of agriculturally relevant plants. Conserved motifs in areas important for LTP structure were identified. Mutations redundantly to DIR1 (Champigny et al., 2013).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Growth Conditions

Wild-type Arabidopsis thaliana Wassilewskija (Ws-2), dir1-1, and npr1-2 seeds were surface sterilized and stratified at 4°C for 2 days in the dark. Sterilized seeds were plated on Murashige and Skoog (MS) plates and germinated under continuous light for 5–7 days. Seedlings were transplanted to soil hydrated with 1 g L⁻¹ 20–20–20 fertilizer. Arabidopsis plants were grown in short day photoperiod conditions (9 h light; 150 µE m⁻² s⁻¹) in 65–85% relative humidity at 22°C. Cucumber Wisconsin S.M.R 58 146B seeds (Stokes Seeds LTD., St. Catharines, ON, Canada) were
sown directly onto soil hydrated with 1 g L\(^{-1}\) 20–20–20 fertilizer and grown in 65–85% relative humidity at 22°C in a long day photoperiod (16 h light: 150 μE m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)).

**Pathogen Culture and Inoculation**

Systemic acquired resistance experiments with *Arabidopsis* employing virulent *Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato* (Pst) DC3000 (pVSP1) and avirulent Pst (DC3000 containing pVSP1 + avrRpt2) described in Whalen et al. (1991). SAR experiments with cucumber employed *P. syringae pv. syringae* D20 (Rasmussen et al., 1991). *Pseudomonas* strains were cultured overnight with shaking at room temperature in sterile King’s B (KB) medium (King et al., 1954). Pst cultures were supplemented with 100 μg ml\(^{-1}\) rifampicin and 50 μg ml\(^{-1}\) kanamycin. SAR assays in *Arabidopsis* were performed as described in Champigny et al. (2013). In cucumber, SAR was induced in 3–4 week-old plants by resuspending *Ps* D20 in 10 mM MgCl\(_2\) and infiltrating leaves with 10\(^8\) colony forming units (cfu) ml\(^{-1}\). In planta bacterial levels were quantified by dilution plating as described by Cameron et al. (1999).

**Cucumber Petiole Exudate Collection**

Cucumber exudates were collected according to Rasmussen et al. (1991) by cutting the petiole on an angle 3–5 cm below the leaf blade with a razor blade. Exudate droplets (30–40 μl) were collected from the petiole cut ends using capillary pipettes and immediately added to 300 μl of cucumber exudate buffer (0.05 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.5 with 0.1% -mercaptoethanol). Cucumber exudates contained between 5 and 15 μg μl\(^{-1}\) total protein (Biorad Protein Assay Kit). Samples were used immediately in cucumber--*Arabidopsis* SAR-rescue experiments or frozen at –20°C for later concentration by lyophilization and protein gel blot analysis.

**Agro-SAR and Petiole Exudate-Swapping SAR Assays**

Agro-SAR assays were performed as described in Champigny et al. (2013) using *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* GV3101 (pMP90) expressing EYFP, DIR1-YFP, CsDIR1, or CsDIR2. The EYFP and DIR1-YFP constructs are described in Champigny et al. (2013). Cucumber DIR1 orthologs were cloned into pMDC32 (Curtis and Grossniklaus, 2003) to create the 35S:CsDIR1 and 35S:CsDIR2 constructs. Constructs were verified by sequencing. Cloning primers are described in Supplementary Table S1.

Cucumber petiole exudates were collected from leaves that were mock-inoculated or induced for SAR with 10\(^7\) cfu ml\(^{-1}\) *Pseudomonas syringae pv syringae* D20 at 8 and 22 h post inoculation (hpi). Cucumber exudates were filter sterilized (0.45 μm, EMD Millipore) and samples were stored at −20°C for future lyophilization and protein gel blot analysis or used immediately in the cucumber--*Arabidopsis* SAR assay. Cucumber exudates from mock-inoculated and SAR-induced leaves were diluted 10-fold in sterile distilled water then pressure-infiltrated into two lower *Arabidopsis* leaves using a needleless syringe. Two days later, distant upper leaves were challenge-inoculated with virulent Pst at 10\(^5\) cfu ml\(^{-1}\), followed by *in planta* Pst quantitation 3 days post-inoculation (dpi).

**Phylogenetic and Bioinformatic Analyses**

A rooted phylogenetic Maximum Likelihood tree was created for AtDIR1 (AT5G48485), Brassicaceae ortholog family members and crop plant DIR1 orthologs. The phylogeny used protein sequences lacking the divergent ER signal sequence. Signal P 4.0 was used to determine the location of the signal sequence cleavage site (Perterson et al., 2011). The sequences were aligned in MEGA 5 using Muscle (Tamura et al., 2011). The evolutionary history was inferred using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the Kimura 2-parameter (Kimura, 1980) model with discrete Gamma distribution using MEGA 5 (Tamura et al., 2011). A total of 10,000 bootstrap replicates were conducted and percent bootstrap values were placed on the branches (Felsenstein, 1985). Branches were drawn to scale, measured in number of substitutions per site and were labeled by species name followed by The Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR\(^\text{1}\)) gene number or Phytozome 8.0 accession (Goodstein et al., 2012). For the Brassicaceae plus crop plant DIR1 ortholog phylogeny, branches with <50% bootstrap values were collapsed using Archaeopteryx software (Han and Zmasek, 2009). The phylogenetic tree was viewed in FigTree v1.4 (Drummond et al., 2012).

The coding sequences and amino acid sequences of AtDIR1 (AT5G48485) and AtDIR1-like (AT5G48490), AtLTP2.12 (AT5G38170), were retrieved from TAIR. Tobacco DIR1 ortholog sequences were retrieved from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI\(^\text{2}\)) website. Cucumber, tomato, and soybean DIR1 ortholog sequences were retrieved using Phytozome\(^\text{3}\). Sequences were compared using the EMBOSS Needleman–Wunsch pairwise alignment algorithm\(^\text{4}\). Signal peptides were deduced using the SignalP 3.0 prediction server\(^\text{5}\) (Perterson et al., 2011). SWISS-MODEL homology models of AtDIR1-like, CsDIR1 and CsDIR2 were produced using the AtDIR1-phospholipid crystal structure (Lascombe et al., 2008) as a template (Peitsch, 1995; Guex and Peitsch, 1997; Schwede et al., 2003; Arnold et al., 2006; Kiefer et al., 2009). The Swiss-pdb viewer 4.0.1 and ICM browser were used to compare the AtDIR1 structure and the AtDIR1-like, CsDIR1, and CsDIR2 protein models\(^\text{6}\) (Guex and Peitsch, 1997). A Sequence Logo plot was created by submitting the Muscle aligned mature DIR1 ortholog protein sequences in FASTA format to the Web logo program\(^\text{7}\).

**DIR1 Variant Cloning and Recombinant Protein Purification**

DIR1 variants were synthesized by BioBasic Inc (Markham, ON, Canada). Full sequence information for each variant can

\(^{1}\)http://www.arabidopsis.org
\(^{2}\)http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/
\(^{3}\)http://phytozome.net
\(^{4}\)http://www.ebi.ac.uk/emboss/align
\(^{5}\)http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP
\(^{6}\)http://www.expasy.org/spdbv/
\(^{7}\)http://weblogo.berkeley.edu
be found in Supplementary Document 1. Variants lacking the N-terminal ER signal sequence were subcloned into the pET29b expression vector (Novagen) using the primers in Supplementary Table S1 and were verified by sequencing. The constructs were transformed into competent Rosetta Gami E. coli cells (Novagen). For protein expression, 250 and 500 ml Rosetta Gami E. coli cultures were grown overnight in liquid LB (Luria–Bertani) at 30°C with shaking at 200 rpm. At an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6, 100 ml of each culture was poured into a new flask and 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG, BioShop) was added to induce protein expression. Cultures were shaken for another 4 h at 30°C. Cells were harvested by centrifugation in two sterile 50 ml tubes at 4000 × g for 20 min at 4°C. One milliliter of cells was also collected for crude protein extraction. The pellets were dried and kept at −80°C prior to either a crude total protein extraction or S-Tag thrombin protein purification (Novagen).

For crude extraction of total protein, pellets from 1 ml of IPTG-induced E. coli cells were resuspended in 100 µl of lysis buffer (140 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 1.8 mM KH₂PO₄, Phosphate Buffered Saline pH 7.3, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 0.1% TritonX-100). This mixture was sonicated three times for 10 s each (60% amplitude, cooling on ice in between. After lysis the cells were centrifuged for 5 min at 20,000 × g (4°C) to separate soluble and insoluble fractions.

An S-Tag thrombin purification kit (Novagen) was used to purify the recombinant Rosetta Gami expressed proteins. Proteins were purified according to manufacturer’s instructions (Novagen) and quantified (Biorad Protein Assay Kit) using bovine serum albumin (BSA) as a standard. Samples were stored at −80°C until further use.

**Immunoblot Analysis**

Protein samples (crude protein extract, purified protein or petiole exudates concentrated by lyophilization) were mixed with 5x SDS loading buffer (350 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 30% glycerol, 10% SDS, 0.01% bromophenol blue and 200 mM dithiothreitol) followed by boiling for 5 min. Samples were loaded onto 4–12% NuPAGE Bis-Tris polyacrylamide gels (Life Science Technologies) and subjected to electrophoresis in denaturing MES running buffer (9.7 g L⁻¹ MES, 6.0 g L⁻¹ Tris, 1 g L⁻¹ SDS, 0.37 g L⁻¹ EDTA). Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes in Towbin transfer buffer (25 mM Tris base, 192 mM glycine, 20% methanol, pH 8.3). Membranes were probed with anti-DIR1 antiseras (Maldonado et al., 2002) at a 1:20,000 dilution, or anti-6-His (Covance) at a 1:3,000 dilution in 5% non-fat milk in 1X Tris Buffered Saline with Tween 20 (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% Tween 20). Antibody binding was detected with a goat anti-rabbit (AtDIR1-antibody) or goat anti-mouse (His-antibody, Sigma–Aldrich) horseradish peroxidase conjugate and WestFemto reagents (Pierce) as described by the manufacturer.

**TNS Binding Assay and TNS Displacement Experiments**

Proteins were engineered to resemble mature protein lacking the ER signal sequence and were expressed in Rosetta Gami E. coli. For TNS binding assays, increasing concentrations (0–30 µM) of 6-P-toluidinylnapthalene-2-sulfonate (TNS, Sigma–Aldrich) were added to 1 µg of each Rosetta Gami E. coli (Novagen) purified protein in measurement buffer (0.5 mM K₂SO₄, 0.5 mM CaCl₂, 0.175 M mannitol, 5 mM MES, pH 7) and TNS concentrations were increased in 5 µM increments from a 0.3 mM TNS stock (dissolved in DMSO). As a control, proteins were denatured by boiling in a solution of 6 M urea for 10 min and analyzed. Samples were loaded into microfluor two black bottom 96 well microtiter plates (Thermo Fisher) and analyzed using the Gen5 Synergy 4 plate reader (BioTek Instruments). Experiments using the DIR1revolutionary variant were performed using an Infinite M1000 (TECAN) plate reader. TNS-binding curves displayed similar trends irrespective of the instrument used. Samples were excited at 320 nm and emission was collected at 437 nm. The change in fluorescence (x µM TNS – 0 µM TNS) was calculated for three technical replicates.

6-P-Toluidinylnapthalene-2-sulfonate displacement/ligand binding assays were performed using the same plates and instrument. Fluorescence was first measured when TNS (5 µm) and putative ligands (16 µm Aza, pipecolic acid, or G3P; Sigma–Aldrich) were co-incubated for 3 min in a 96 well plate, then a second reading was recorded after purified proteins were added. Plates were loaded with 1 µg of protein in measurement buffer (0.5 mM K₂SO₄, 0.5 mM CaCl₂, 0.175 M mannitol, 5 mM MES, pH 7) and 5 µM TNS. Aza was prepared in 5 mM MES (pH 5.6), while all other putative ligands were prepared in water. Fluorescence was measured at an excitation wavelength of 320 nm and emission was collected at 437 nm in technical triplicate. Ligand binding was represented by the percent of TNS fluorescence that was quenched by the addition of the purified proteins.

**RNA Isolation and Reverse Transcription (RT)-PCR**

Total RNA was isolated from frozen leaf tissue using the Sigma TRI-Reagent as previously described (Carella et al., 2015). Primers for RT-PCR analysis can be found in Supplementary Table S1. Twenty-eight PCR cycles were used.

**RESULTS**

**Identification of Putative DIR1 Orthologs**

To gain insight into the phylogenetic relationships among DIR1 orthologs, we identified and examined putative DIR1 sequences in model and crop plants. Putative DIR1 orthologs from members of the Brassica family (Arabidopsis thaliana, Arabidopsis lyrata, Brassica rapa, Eutrema salugineum), Nicotiana tabacum (tobacco), Solanum lycopersicum (tomato), Cucumis sativus (cucumber), and Glycine max (soybean) were identified as having >51% amino acid sequence similarity with mature AtDIR1 (Table 1). The evolutionary relationships between AtDIR1 and putative orthologs were examined by constructing a phylogenetic tree (Figure 1). We selected the Arabidopsis
TABLE 1 | Amino acid sequence similarity and identity of putative DIR1 orthologs.

| Organism                  | Protein       | Amino Acid Sequence | Similarity a | Identity a |
|---------------------------|---------------|---------------------|--------------|------------|
| Arabidopsis thaliana      | AtDIR1-like   |                      | 88%          | 80%        |
|                           | AtLTP2.12b    |                      | 38%          | 27%        |
| Arabidopsis lyrata        | AlDIR1        |                      | 96%          | 93%        |
|                           | AlDIR1-like   |                      | 87%          | 80%        |
| Brassica rapa             | BrDIR1        |                      | 83%          | 72%        |
| Eutrema salsugineum       | EsDIR1        |                      | 86%          | 77%        |
| Nicotiana tabacum         | NtDIR1        |                      | 62%          | 52%        |
|                           | NtDIR2        |                      | 57%          | 44%        |
|                           | NtDIR3        |                      | 67%          | 51%        |
| Solanum lycopersicum      | SlDIR1        |                      | 63%          | 50%        |
|                           | SlDIR2        |                      | 63%          | 47%        |
|                           | SlDIR3        |                      | 58%          | 46%        |
| Cucumis sativus           | CsDIR1        |                      | 61%          | 48%        |
|                           | CsDIR2        |                      | 65%          | 47%        |
| Glycine max               | GmDIR1        |                      | 59%          | 47%        |
|                           | GmDIR2        |                      | 57%          | 48%        |
|                           | GmDIR3        |                      | 61%          | 49%        |

a Mature protein lacking signal peptide. b Outgroup for phylogenetic analysis.

ortholog of wheat LTP2, AtLTP2.12 (At5g38170; Edstam et al., 2011), as an outgroup because it has only 38% amino acid sequence similarity to AtDIR1. As with the DIR1 Brassica phylogeny described previously (Champigny et al., 2013), this expanded phylogeny (Figure 1) predicted that AtDIR1 and AtDIR1-like were the result of a tandem duplication event in an ancestor of A. lyrata and A. thaliana. Independent DIR1 duplications in tomato, cucumber, tobacco, and soybean are also predicted. A lineage-specific duplication event was predicted in soybean, resulting in GmDIR1 and GmDIR2, while duplication events in cucumber, tomato, and tobacco occurred in a common ancestor. Moreover, the Arabidopsis DIR1/DIR1-like and cucumber DIR1/DIR2 duplications occurred independently. This DIR1 phylogeny provided evidence that DIR1 is conserved in agriculturally relevant plant species. Additionally, the identification of several DIR1 orthologs facilitates the discovery of conserved motifs and residues that can be used to learn more about DIR1 structure and function.

Homology Modeling Supports the Existence of DIR1 Orthologs in Cucumis sativus

The cucumber SAR model system was used in seminal SAR studies to investigate the nature of long-distance signaling (Guedes et al., 1980; Rasmussen et al., 1991; Smith-Becker et al., 1998), largely due to the ability to directly collect phloem sap from cut cucumber petioles. Therefore, we chose to investigate DIR1 orthologs in this well-developed SAR model system. Homology modeling was used to compare the protein structure of AtDIR1 and the putative cucumber DIR1 orthologs CsDIR1 and CsDIR2. Using the AtDIR1-phospholipid crystal structure (Lascombe et al., 2006, 2008) as a template, a homology model of each cucumber ortholog was generated using the SWISS-MODEL server (Figure 2) (Peitsch, 1995; Guex and Peitsch, 1997; Schwede et al., 2003; Arnold et al., 2006; Kiefer et al., 2009). AtDIR1, CsDIR1, and CsDIR2 all share a similar hydrophobic cavity into which hydrophobic phospholipid tails may extend (Figure 2A). The AtDIR1 PxxPxxP motif is a proposed protein–protein interaction site (Lascombe et al., 2008). CsDIR1 (PxPxxxPP) and CsDIR2 (PPxPxPP) contain a proline-rich region, rather than the canonical PxxPxxP motif (Figure 2B). Another region considered to be important for in vitro phospholipid docking is the entrance of the hydrophobic cavity, which contains hydrophilic residues. Lascombe et al. (2008) postulated that these charged residues interact with the hydrophilic region of putative lipid head groups, while the hydrophobic acyl tails are bound within the hydrophobic cavity. AtDIR1 possesses three hydrophilic residues within 5 Å of the phospholipid head groups (GLN9, ASN13, LYS16), while CsDIR1 and CsDIR2 both possess two residues (TYR7, ARG10 and GLU8, THR12, respectively; Figure 2C). Homology models of the putative...
and their frequency determines the height of the residue alignments, where residues are stacked on top of one another (et al., 2004). This provides a visual output of multiple sequence Web Logo algorithm (Schneider and Stephens, 1990; Crooks, 2004). Protein motifs a Sequence Logo was created using the online important for DIR1 function during SAR. To identify conserved the existence of DIR1 orthologs, we used these DIR1 sequences to identify additional conserved protein motifs that may be the importance of these motifs for DIR1 function. Moreover, the high degree of structural similarity between cucumber, tobacco, and soybean DIR1 proteins with function. Moreover, the high degree of structural similarity among putative DIR1 orthologs and because it could be a site of protein–protein interactions (Lascombe et al., 2008). Multiple PxP motifs are thought to strengthen such interactions (Williamson, 1994), therefore each proline residue was changed to an alanine (PxPxxP to AxxAxxA). Although not identified in the Sequence Logo alignment, the polar amino acids at the DIR1 hydrophobic cavity entrance (Gln9, Asn13, Lys16) may be essential for stabilizing ligand binding (Lascombe et al., 2008). These residues were investigated using a variant in which the polar head group residues are changed to alanine to create the “No Polar Head group” (NPH) DIR1 variant (Gln9Ala, Asn13Ala, Lys16Ala).

The hydrophobic pocket of DIR1 was investigated using a DIR1 variant in which non-polar phenylalanine 40 is modified to tyrosine to resemble AtDIR1-like, which has a polar tyrosine at this position. This difference is hypothesized to affect the ability of AtDIR1-like to participate in SAR by disrupting the integrity of the pocket and/or reducing ligand interactions (Champigny et al., 2013). This idea is supported by the Sequence Logo alignment in which only AtDIR1-like and AlDIR1-like possessed a polar residue at this location. Leucine 43 lies between two cysteine residues in the hydrophobic cavity of many nsLTP2 proteins and has been shown to be important for protein folding, therefore a DIR1 variant in which cysteine motifs that form the four intramolecular disulfide bonds essential for LTP protein structure. The proline rich PxP-like regions, which represent possible sites of protein–protein interaction, were common to all DIR1 proteins. Moreover, two previously unidentified motifs, AD and LAxxLP, were identified. Both motifs are located at the bottom of the hydrophobic cavity. In the AD motif, alanine faces inward toward the hydrophobic cavity and aspartic acid reaches outward toward the solution, while the LAxxLP motif is exposed to the solution. The polar tyrosine residue inside the hydrophobic cavity of AtDIR1-like (Y40 of mature polypeptide) was only present in AtDIR1-like and its corresponding putative ortholog in A. lyrata, while the predicted DIR1 orthologs had various non-polar residues at this location.

Modification of AtDIR1 to Investigate Conserved Motif Function

The importance of the motifs identified in the Sequence Logo was investigated by creating several DIR1 variants with amino acid substitutions in these regions (Figure 3B). The PxPxxP motif in AtDIR1 was selected for modification because of its high conservation among putative DIR1 orthologs and because it could be a site of protein–protein interactions. Multiple PxP motifs are thought to strengthen such interactions, therefore each proline residue was changed to an alanine (PxPxxP to AxxAxxA). Although not identified in the Sequence Logo alignment, the polar amino acids at the DIR1 hydrophobic cavity entrance (Gln9, Asn13, Lys16) may be essential for stabilizing ligand binding. These residues were investigated using a variant in which the polar head group residues are changed to alanine to create the “No Polar Head group” (NPH) DIR1 variant (Gln9Ala, Asn13Ala, Lys16Ala).

DIR1 orthologs from tobacco, tomato, and soybean were generated and all were structurally similar to AtDIR1 (data not shown). Homology modeling of AtDIR1 and the putative DIR1 orthologs identified common structural motifs, providing additional support for the importance of these motifs for DIR1 function. Moreover, the high degree of structural similarity between cucumber, tobacco, and soybean DIR1 proteins with AtDIR1 validates our bioinformatics analyses and provides support for the list of putative DIR1 orthologs (Table 1; Figure 1).

Sequence Alignment of DIR1 Orthologs Identifies Conserved Motifs

Given that the DIR1 phylogeny presented in Figure 1 supports the existence of DIR1 orthologs, we used these DIR1 sequences to identify additional conserved protein motifs that may be important for DIR1 function during SAR. To identify conserved protein motifs a Sequence Logo was created using the online Web Logo algorithm (Schneider and Stephens, 1990; Crooks et al., 2004). This provides a visual output of multiple sequence alignments, where residues are stacked on top of one another and their frequency determines the height of the residue letter, allowing identification of conserved regions. Alignment of AtDIR1 and predicted DIR1 orthologs (Table 1) was performed using MEGA and submitted to the Sequence Logo online application. The Sequence Logo output (Figure 3A) predicted several conserved regions (Figure 3B), including the eight-cysteine motif that forms the four intramolecular disulfide bonds essential for LTP protein structure. The proline rich PxP-like regions, which represent possible sites of protein–protein interaction, were common to all DIR1 proteins. Moreover, two previously unidentified motifs, AD and LAxxLP, were identified. Both motifs are located at the bottom of the hydrophobic cavity. In the AD motif, alanine faces inward toward the hydrophobic cavity and aspartic acid reaches outward toward the solution, while the LAxxLP motif is exposed to the solution. The polar tyrosine residue inside the hydrophobic cavity of AtDIR1-like (Y40 of mature polypeptide) was only present in AtDIR1-like and its corresponding putative ortholog in A. lyrata, while the predicted DIR1 orthologs had various non-polar residues at this location.
**In Vitro TNS Binding Assays to Compare Hydrophobic Cavities of Recombinant DIR1 and DIR1 Variant Proteins**

Recombinant protein of AtDIR1, AtDIR1-like, AtLTP2.12, CsDIR1, CsDIR2, and the DIR1 variants discussed above were expressed in Rosetta Gami E. coli. Unlike many E. coli strains used to isolate recombinant proteins, Rosetta Gami E. coli promotes disulfide bond formation of proteins in the bacterial cytosol (Rosano and Ceccarelli, 2014). Disulfide bond formation contributes to formation of the LTP hydrophobic cavity (Desormeaux et al., 1992; Douliez et al., 2000; Yeats and Rose, 2008). To confirm that the proteins produced in Rosetta Gami E. coli were folded, and to begin to investigate the structural effects of modifying the conserved DIR1 motifs identified above (Figure 3), a protein-folding assay, based on the lipophilic synthetic probe TNS, was employed. TNS fluoresces in hydrophobic environments (McClure and Edelman, 1966) and is routinely used to investigate the hydrophobic cavities of LTPs (Mikes et al., 1998; Girault et al., 2008; DeBono et al., 2009; Guo et al., 2013). The formation of a hydrophobic cavity was examined by incubating each Rosetta Gami-generated recombinant protein with increasing concentrations of TNS and measuring the change in fluorescence. As a control, TNS binding curves of recombinant protein were compared to curves generated using protein samples that were denatured by boiling in urea. The TNS binding curves of each DIR1 variant is shown alongside folded and denatured AtDIR1 to illustrate the TNS binding capacity of each protein relative to AtDIR1 (Figure 4). Individual TNS binding curves for each protein (folded and denatured) are shown in Supplementary Figure S1 and batch-to-batch repeatability of TNS assays for selected proteins is shown.

![Figure 3](image-url)
FIGURE 4 | Comparative in vitro TNS (6-P-toluidinylnaphthalene-2-sulfonate) binding assays of recombinant DIR1 variant proteins and AtDIR1. DIR1 variants (L43D, D39Q, AxxAxxA, NPH, F40Y, and DIR1Δcys; red lines, squares) are compared to natured (blue lines, circles) and denatured (black lines, triangles) AtDIR1 protein. Increasing concentrations of TNS were added to each Rosetta Gami E. coli purified protein lacking the ER signal sequence. TNS binding curves were generated in PRISM6 by non-linear curve fitting for one site saturation binding. Proteins were denatured by boiling in 6 M Urea. Samples were excited at 320 nm and emission at 437 nm and the change in fluorescence was calculated for three replicates. Error bars represent the standard deviation. Significant differences between AtDIR1 and each variant at a given concentration of TNS were determined by Student’s t-test (p < 0.05) and are indicated by an asterisk (*). The DIR1Δcys data was obtained using a different instrument (see Section “Materials and methods”).

in Supplementary Figure S2. Denatured recombinant proteins displayed little change in fluorescence with increasing TNS, and were used as negative controls for TNS binding (Figures 4 and 5; Supplementary Figures S1 and S2). In assays containing native AtDIR1 and DIR1 variant proteins, fluorescence increased with increasing TNS concentrations in comparison to denatured protein negative controls, providing evidence that Rosetta Gami E. coli produced properly folded LTP proteins (Figure 4). The AxxAxxA, F40Y, and NPH variants displayed statistically similar TNS binding profiles in comparison to AtDIR1, which suggests that these residues are not essential for the formation or maintenance of the hydrophobic cavity. As expected, the TNS binding curve of the DIR1Δcys variant was similar to that of denatured AtDIR1, confirming the importance of the disulfide bonds of DIR1 for protein folding. Interestingly, TNS fluorescence was reduced in assays containing the L43D and D39Q variants compared to native AtDIR1 (Figure 5), indicating that replacing these hydrophobic cavity residues with charged or polar residues reduced the TNS-DIR1 interaction.

TNS Displacement Assays to Determine whether Arabidopsis or Cucumber DIR1 Proteins Interact with Putative SAR Signals

Fluorescence displacement assays can be used to assess in vitro binding of lipids to LTP proteins (Buhot et al., 2004; Krasikov et al., 2011; Lei et al., 2014). To determine if AtDIR1 and/or DIR1 homologs from Arabidopsis or cucumber interact with known SAR-activating small molecules, we
purified recombinant AtDIR1, AtDIR1-like, CsDIR1, CsDIR2, and the unrelated AtLTP2.12 protein from Rosetta Gami E. coli for use in fluorescence displacement assays. Before performing ligand-interaction assays, the folding status of each recombinant protein was assessed using in vitro TNS binding assays. In assays comparing native AtDIR1, AtDIR1-like, CsDIR1, CsDIR2, and AtLTP2.12, fluorescence increased with increasing TNS concentrations in comparison to denatured protein controls, providing evidence that these LTP proteins are properly folded (Figure 5). Interestingly, the unrelated AtLTP2.12 protein displayed significantly higher TNS fluorescence compared to AtDIR1 with 10, 20, and 30 μM TNS (Student’s t-test, p < 0.05). This result was expected, as AtLTP2.12 is a putative ortholog of wheat LTP2 that has two hydrophobic cavities with volumes of 300 and 103 Å³ (Hoh et al., 2005) compared to AtDIR1, which has a single hydrophobic cavity with a volume of 242 Å³ (Lascombe et al., 2008).

To investigate whether the AtDIR1 hydrophobic cavity interacts with known SAR signal molecules, TNS displacement assays were performed with AtDIR1, AtDIR1-like, CsDIR1, CsDIR2, and AtLTP2.12 proteins and commercially available SAR signal molecules, AzA, piperolic acid (Pip), and G3P, as well as a buffer control (MES). Fluorescence was measured before and after the addition of each SAR molecule to a mixture of recombinant protein and TNS. If a putative ligand enters the LTP hydrophobic cavity, TNS molecules are displaced and fluorescence decreases. No significant differences in TNS fluorescence were observed for AtLTP2.12, AtDIR1 and AtDIR1-like, regardless of whether MES or SAR signal molecules were added (Figure 6). Interestingly, the addition of AzA lead to reduced TNS fluorescence in both CsDIR1 (~25% lower than the MES control) and CsDIR2 (~30% lower), while the addition of Pip to CsDIR1 resulted in a similar reduction in TNS fluorescence (~25% lower). This suggests that features of the CsDIR1 and CsDIR2 hydrophobic cavities allow for modest binding of AzA or Pip in vitro. Alternatively, Pip and AzA may interact outside of the cavity, resulting in a conformational change that modestly impacts the TNS binding of CsDIR1/2. In contrast, interaction of AtDIR1 with these SAR signals was not observed, perhaps because AtDIR1 requires in vivo factors to facilitate signal binding.

Validation of In Silico Orthology Analysis Using the Cucumber and Arabidopsis Model Systems

To validate our in silico orthology data (Figures 1 and 2; Table 1) we investigated the role of DIR1 during SAR in cucumber by combining the Arabidopsis and cucumber SAR model systems (Rasmussen et al., 1991; Cameron et al., 1994, 1999) in two ways. First, we reasoned that if cucumber and Arabidopsis DIR1 proteins are functionally equivalent, transiently expressed CsDIR1/2 proteins should rescue the SAR-defective dir1-1 Arabidopsis mutant. The Agrobacterium-mediated transient-SAR (Agro-SAR) assay previously developed in our lab (Champigny et al., 2013) was used to transiently express CsDIR1 or CsDIR2 in one leaf of dir1-1. Agrobacterium-mediated transient expression of AtDIR1 and EYFP was included as a positive and negative control, respectively. RT-PCR analysis confirmed successful Agro-mediated transient expression of each transgene in dir1-1 leaves 4 days post agro-infiltration (Supplementary Figure S3). Four days after Agrobacterium inoculation, leaves were induced for SAR using 10⁶ cfu ml⁻¹ Pst(avrRpt2). The SAR response was measured in distant leaves at 3 dpi with 10⁵ cfu ml⁻¹ virulent Pst. The positive control gave the expected result in that transient expression of AtDIR1 complemented the dir1-1 mutation, as indicated by a significant ~fivefold reduction (Student’s t-test, p < 0.05) in bacterial density in distant leaves of SAR-induced plants compared to mock-inoculated control plants (Figure 7A). Expression of EYFP did not complement the
SAR defect in \textit{dir1-1}, confirming that \textit{Agrobacterium} infection did not induce the SAR response (Figure 7A). Expression of \textit{CsDIR1} in one leaf of the \textit{dir1-1} mutant resulted in a significant ∼12-fold reduction in \textit{Pst} levels in SAR-induced versus mock-inoculated plants (Figure 7A), while expression of \textit{CsDIR2} in a separate experiment resulted in a significant ∼sixfold reduction (Figure 7B). We concluded that transient expression of \textit{CsDIR1} and \textit{CsDIR2} complemented the \textit{dir1-1} SAR defect, providing evidence that both cucumber orthologs are functionally similar to \textit{AtDIR1}.

We further combined the cucumber and \textit{Arabidopsis} SAR models to examine the importance of \textit{CsDIR1/2} during SAR and to determine if cucumber \textit{DIR1} proteins act as SAR long distance signals in cucumber. Phloem exudates collected from mock- and SAR-induced cucumber leaves were collected and infiltrated into the \textit{dir1-1} and \textit{npr1-2} \textit{Arabidopsis} SAR mutants to determine whether SAR-induced cucumber exudates contain SAR signals capable of rescuing these mutants. In cucumber, SAR signals move out of induced leaves between 4 and 8 hpi (Rasmussen et al., 1991). Therefore, cucumber phloem exudates were collected at two time-points after inoculation with $10^8$ cfu ml$^{-1}$ \textit{P. syringae pv syringae} D20 (\textit{Pss}): at 8 hpi when SAR signals are accumulating and at 22 hpi when SAR signals are no longer present in the phloem. Cucumber exudates containing 5–15 mg ml$^{-1}$ protein were diluted 15-fold and infiltrated into two lower leaves of \textit{dir1-1} or \textit{npr1-2} (negative control). Two days later, distant \textit{Arabidopsis} leaves were infiltrated with $10^5$ cfu ml$^{-1}$ virulent \textit{Pst DC3000} and bacterial levels were measured 3 dpi to assay for SAR competence. The \textit{npr1-2} mutant is defective in acting on mobile SAR signals in systemic leaves (Cao et al., 1997; Fu and Dong, 2013). Therefore, as expected, SAR was not established in \textit{npr1-2} distant leaves after infiltration of SAR-induced (8 hpi) cucumber exudates, as demonstrated by similar \textit{Pst} levels in plants that received exudates collected from either mock-inoculated or SAR-induced leaves (Figure 8A). SAR was established in \textit{dir1-1} distant leaves after infiltration of SAR-induced cucumber exudates (8 hpi), as demonstrated by a sixfold reduction in \textit{Pst} levels compared to plants that were infiltrated with exudates collected from mock-inoculated leaves or inactive (22 hpi) exudates (Figure 8A). Similar to previous reports (Rasmussen et al., 1991; Smith-Becker et al., 1998), SA was not detected in the 8 hpi cucumber exudates (data not shown), ruling out the possibility that SA present in the exudates induced the observed resistance. These experiments suggest that cucumber petiole exudates collected at 8 hpi, but not at 22 hpi contain SAR signals.
Figure 7 | In vivo complementation of dir1-1 by Agrobacterium-mediated transient expression of (A) CsDIR1 and (B) CsDIR2. At 3 weeks post germination (wpg), two leaves of dir1-1 were inoculated with Agrobacterium expressing EYFP, AtDIR1(-EYFP), CsDIR1, or CsDIR2. Four days later, the same leaves were mock-inoculated (10 mM MgCl₂) or induced for SAR with 10⁶ cfu ml⁻¹ Pst (avrRpt2). Distant leaves were challenged for SAR 2 days post mock/SAR-induction with virulent Pst (10⁵ cfu ml⁻¹) and in planta bacterial levels were determined in distant leaves 3 days post challenge. Statistically significant differences between mock-induced and SAR-induced plants (Student’s t-test p < 0.05) are indicated with asterisks (*). (A) was performed four times with similar results and (B) was performed three times with similar results.

SAR long-distance signals that rescue the dir1-1 SAR defect, and that mobile SAR signals are conserved between cucumber and Arabidopsis. Moreover, this provides additional evidence that the cucumber genome contains DIR1 orthologs that can compensate for the absence of AtDIR1 protein in the dir1-1 mutant.

Rescue of the dir1-1 SAR defect by transient expression of CsDIR1/2 or by infiltration of SAR-induced cucumber exudates suggested that cucumber DIR1 orthologs function like AtDIR1 during SAR. To obtain additional support for this idea, we investigated whether the AtDIR1 antibody detected orthologous CsDIR1/2 proteins in cucumber petiole exudates. Petiole exudates collected from mock- (10 mM MgCl₂) and SAR-induced (Pst D20) cucumber leaves at 8 hpi and 22 hpi were subjected to immunoblot analysis with the AtDIR1 antibody. A ~15 kDa band was detected in untreated, mock-inoculated and SAR-induced petiole exudates, suggesting that a protein related to AtDIR1 is constitutively present in cucumber phloem (Figure 8B). To confirm that the AtDIR1 antibody detects CsDIR1 and/or CsDIR2, these proteins, along with AtDIR1 and AtDIR1like controls, were ectopically expressed in Rosetta Gami E. coli. Immunoblots revealed that, as with AtDIR1 and AtDIR1like, the AtDIR1 antibody detected both CsDIR1 and CsDIR2 proteins (Figure 8C). This suggests that the proteins detected by the AtDIR1 antibody in the cucumber phloem samples (Figure 8B) represent CsDIR1 and/or CsDIR2, providing further support for the hypothesis that CsDIR1/2 act as long-distance signals during SAR.

Discussion

Orthology Analysis Identifies Conserved Residues in DIR1

DIR1 is hypothesized to bind and translocate a lipidic signal during SAR. In support of this hypothesis, in vitro experiments have demonstrated the non-specific loading of fatty acids into the hydrophobic pocket of DIR1 (Lascombe et al., 2008). However, in vivo evidence supporting this or any biochemical function during SAR has not been reported. In this study, we identified and compared DIR1 orthologs to reveal conserved residues or motifs. Many conserved residues among DIR1 orthologs were involved in the formation of the central hydrophobic cavity. This result is not surprising, as LTPs are small proteins whose defining feature is a central cavity that can accommodate fatty acids. We generated DIR1 variant proteins to determine the structural importance of each conserved residue/motif. This was achieved using an in vitro binding assay based on the fluorescent lipophilic probe TNS. As described previously, the TNS probe fluoresces only in hydrophobic environments (McClure and Edelman, 1966). Since LTPs are small proteins with a central hydrophobic cavity, TNS fluorescence in the presence of an LTP is attributed to loading of TNS into the cavity. As such, this assay is often used as an indicator of LTP folding status and lipid-binding capacity in vitro (Mikes et al., 1998; Girault et al., 2008; DeBono et al., 2009; Choi et al., 2012; Guo et al., 2013). Among the DIR1 variants tested, a significant reduction in TNS-binding was observed in the D39Q, L43D, and DIR1ΔCys proteins compared to the wild-type AtDIR1 protein. The largest reduction in TNS-binding was...
observed in the DIR1$^{ΔCys}$ protein, which lacks the eight cysteine residues responsible for the generation of four disulphide bonds that establish the hydrophobic cavity. This variant displayed reduced TNS-fluorescence levels that were comparable to those observed with denatured AtDIR1, indicating that the DIR1$^{ΔCys}$ protein does not contain the hydrophobic cavity. Both the L43D and D39Q variants also displayed reduced TNS-binding compared to the wild-type AtDIR1. Leucine 43 is located between two cysteine residues, a position that is hypothesized to be important for cysteine bond pairing (Samuel et al., 2002). In the L43D DIR1 variant, the conserved non-polar leucine residue was modified to a polar aspartic acid residue. This modification resulted in reduced TNS-fluorescence compared to that observed with AtDIR1, suggesting that this residue is important for hydrophobic ligand interactions, or that it contributes to the size or shape of the hydrophobic cavity. A similar effect was reported in mutagenesis studies of the rice (Oryza sativa) OsLTP2 protein, where modifying the same position from phenylalanine to alanine (F36A) disrupted the formation of the hydrophobic cavity, as determined by circular dichroism, NMR spectroscopy, and a fluorescence-based assay using the ANS (1-anilino-8-naphthalene sulfonate) probe (Cheng et al., 2008). Using the comparable TNS binding assay, we observed similar binding defects for the L43D AtDIR1 variant as was observed for the OsLTP2 F36A variant (Cheng et al., 2008). The conserved D39 (aspartic acid) residue was modified to determine the importance of the DIR1-specific AD motif present at the bottom of the DIR1 cavity. In this variant, the charged aspartic acid residue
was modified to a similarly shaped but non-charged glutamine residue. TNS binding was affected to a small degree in the D39Q variant compared to the wild-type protein, suggesting that this residue contributes to ligand binding. Alternatively, the AD motif could be the site of an adduct formation with potential SAR signals/ligands, as a similarly exposed aspartic acid residue in the barley LTP1 protein is the site of allene oxide adduct formation (Bakan et al., 2006).

The other DIR1 variants (AxxAxxA, F40Y, and NPH) displayed similar TNS-binding profiles to that of wild-type AtDIR1. The NPH variant lacks three polar residues (Gln9, Asn13, Lys16) at the entrance of the hydrophobic cavity. It is not surprising that that TNS-binding was unaffected in this variant as these exterior residues are thought to stabilize a ligand possessing a hydrophilic moiety that remains outside of the hydrophobic cavity. In contrast, the F40 phenylalanine residue is located within the central hydrophobic cavity. AtDIR1-like, which is hypothesized to have a reduced capacity to participate in SAR (Champigny et al., 2013), contains a polar tyrosine residue at position 40, while AtDIR1 and other orthologs contain a non-polar phenylalanine residue. In this study, we demonstrated that the F40Y and AtDIR1-like proteins have similar TNS-binding curves to that of AtDIR1, indicating that the F40 residue does not affect the ability of the cavity to bind TNS molecules. As outlined previously (Champigny et al., 2013), we hypothesize that the F40Y substitution decreases the affinity of DIR1-like for a specific ligand, thereby compromising its ability to participate in SAR.

The conserved PxxPxxP and LxxLP motifs are located on the exterior of AtDIR1 and are thought to contribute little to cavity shape, size or ligand interaction. The PxxPxxP motif is predicted to mediate protein–protein interactions (Lascombe et al., 2008), however PxxP motifs are thought to interact with SH3 domain-containing proteins (Li, 2005). It is possible that DIR1 interacts with one of the three predicted SH3 domain-containing proteins (AtSH3P1-3) in Arabidopsis (Lam et al., 2001), or that the mechanism of PxxP-based protein–protein interaction in Arabidopsis is distinct from the classical PxxP–SH3 interaction. Lastly, the LxxLP motif is located on the surface of DIR1 and may also be involved in mediating, or maintaining, protein–protein interactions.

**DIR1 Is Conserved in Tobacco, Tomato, Cucumber, and Soybean**

DIR1 is known to play a significant role during SAR in Arabidopsis; however, its importance in other plants has not been well defined. Mitton et al. (2009) identified a putative DIR1 ortholog in tomato, SIDIR1 (SGN-U584000, formerly LeDIR1; SGN-327306). SIDIR1 is 63% similar to mature AtDIR1 at the amino acid level and has a similarly low pI of 4.03. Interestingly, SIDIR1 is present in petiole exudates of untreated tomato leaves (Mitton et al., 2009), whereas AtDIR1 only accumulates to detectable levels in petiole exudates collected from local and distant leaves of SAR-induced plants (Champigny et al., 2013; Shah et al., 2014; Carella et al., 2015). Nevertheless, the presence of SIDIR1 in petiole exudates suggests that it participates in long-distance SAR signaling, and thus may function similarly to AtDIR1. A previous report hinted at the functional redundancy of SAR signals between Arabidopsis and tomato, as infiltration of petiole exudates collected from SAR-induced leaves of Arabidopsis induced SAR in tomato (Chaturvedi et al., 2008). We hypothesize that SIDIR1 (and/or SIDIR2/3) participates in tomato long-distance SAR signaling.

A more comprehensive study demonstrated that DIR1-mediated SAR signaling is conserved in tobacco. Of the three N. tabacum DIR1 orthologs, NtDIR2 and NtDIR3 complemented the SAR-defect in Arabidopsis dir1-1, demonstrating that these two orthologs (which have 57 and 67% protein sequence similarity, respectively, to AtDIR1) have a similar function to AtDIR1 (Liu et al., 2011). Knockdown of NtDIR2/3 expression in tobacco RNAi lines resulted in a loss of SAR to TMV (tobacco mosaic virus), which was associated with heightened SAMT1 (Salicylic Acid Methyl-transferase) expression and increased MeSA levels compared to wild-type plants. This was consistent with the observation that dir1-1 mutants have increased MeSA levels and higher BSMT1 (Benzolic acid/Salicylic acid Carboxyl Methyl-transferase) expression after pathogen infection relative to wild-type plants (Liu et al., 2011). Interestingly, NtDIR1, which shares 62% amino acid similarity with AtDIR1, was unable to complement Arabidopsis dir1-1 and NtDIR1-RNAi tobacco lines with reduced NtDIR1 expression (but wild-type NtDIR2/3 expression levels), were SAR-competent. Although NtDIR2/3 movement into petiole exudates during SAR was not determined, the authors suggested that NtDIR2/3 are translocated through the phloem to activate SAR in distant tobacco leaves (Liu et al., 2011). Taken together, the existence of DIR1 orthologs in several crop plants, and the conservation of DIR1 function in tobacco and cucumber, suggests that DIR1-mediated SAR is important in a number of plant species.

**DIR1-Mediated SAR in Cucumis sativus**

Two putative DIR1 orthologs are encoded in the C. sativus genome, CsDIR1 and CsDIR2, which share 61 and 65% amino acid sequence similarity, respectively, with mature AtDIR1. Homology models of the CsDIR1/2 proteins identified structural similarities with AtDIR1. TNS-binding experiments demonstrated that CsDIR1, CsDIR2, and AtDIR1 have statistically similar affinities for the lipophilic TNS probe, confirming that these proteins are indeed structurally similar. Phloem exudate rescue and Agro-SAR rescue experiments demonstrated that DIR1-mediated SAR is conserved in cucumber. Interestingly, proteins that cross-reacted with the AtDIR1-antibody were detected in phloem exudates collected from both mock- and SAR-induced cucumber leaves at 8 and 22 hpi. Accumulation of the LeDIR1 protein in petiole exudates collected from untreated tomato plants was also observed (Mitton et al., 2009). However, DIR1-antibody signals were only detected in Arabidopsis exudates after SAR induction (Champigny et al., 2013; Shah et al., 2014; Carella et al., 2015). Importantly, only exudates from SAR-induced cucumber leaves collected at 8 hpi, when SAR signals are present, were able to induce SAR in the Arabidopsis dir1-1 mutant, even though DIR1-antibody cross-reacting proteins were present in all exudates.
This result supports the idea that both cucumber and Arabidopsis DIR1 are activated during SAR-induction, perhaps by binding a ligand(s) and/or becoming part of a mobile signal complex.

Searching for DIR1 Ligands

We used an in vitro TNS-based fluorescence displacement assay to determine whether AtDIR1 can interact with known inducers of SAR (G3P, AzA, Pip). Although these molecules do not resemble typical fatty acid ligands of LTPs in vitro, we examined their ability to displace TNS from the hydrophobic cavity of DIR1 because these signals are present in the phloem during SAR induction, along with DIR1. Moreover, G3P and AzA both require DIR1 for their resistance-inducing activity (Jung et al., 2009; Chanda et al., 2011). AtDIR1 and AtDIR1-like failed to interact with any of the SAR inducers, suggesting that these molecules are not DIR1 ligands. However, it also possible that SAR induction causes DIR1 or AzA/G3P/Pip modification and/or the formation of a SAR signal complex in planta that is required for DIR1–ligand interaction. Alternatively, DIR1 may bind a different SAR-inducing molecule or an unknown ligand. Given that DIR1-containing high molecular weight complexes co-fractionate with the diterpenoid SAR-inducer dehydroabietinal in phloem exudates collected from SAR-induced Arabidopsis leaves (Chaturvedi et al., 2012; Shah et al., 2014), we speculate that DIR1 may interact with dehydroabietinal. Moreover, DIR1’s association with a high molecular weight complex may indicate that other proteins are required for DIR1-ligand binding, which could explain why purified recombinant AtDIR1 did not interact with the tested SAR signaling molecules.

Intriguingly, the TNS displacement assays suggest that AzA and Pip displace TNS from the hydrophobic cavity of CsDIR1, while AzA displaces TNS from the CsDIR2 cavity. This leads us to speculate that AzA and Pip may contribute to DIR1 function in cucumber, as ligands that enter the DIR1 hydrophobic cavity. Alternatively, AzA and Pip may act outside of the hydrophobic cavity to cause allosteric effects that alter the shape or size of the cavity, which is currently unknown. However, AzA or Pip accumulate in phloem exudates during SAR induction in cucumber, therefore further experimentation is needed to characterize the role of these SAR signal molecules during the cucumber SAR response.

CONCLUSION

DIR1 orthology analysis identified amino acid motifs (L43, AD, and the eight cysteine motif) that are important for TNS binding, supporting the hypothesis that they contribute to the size, shape, or lipid binding ability of the hydrophobic cavity, an essential feature for hydrophobic ligand–LTP interaction. In addition, we developed an Arabidopsis–cucumber SAR model to further explore the role of DIR1 during SAR. Using this model, we discovered two cucumber orthologs that function similarly to AtDIR1 during SAR. Although DIR1-antibody signals are constitutively present in cucumber phloem sap, only SAR-induced cucumber phloem exudates rescued the SAR defect in dir1-1. Together, these data suggest that DIR1-mediated SAR signaling is conserved in cucumber, further demonstrating the importance of DIR1 in long-distance systemic immune signaling in plants.
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FIGURE S1 | Individual in vitro TNS (6-P-toluidinylnaphthalene-2-sulfonate) binding assays of recombinant ADIR1, ADIR1-like, AtLTP2.12, CsDIR1, CsDIR2, and DIR1 variant proteins. Natured protein (black lines, circles) is compared to denatured protein for sample (gray lines, squares). Increasing concentrations of TNS were added to each Rosetta Gami E. coli purified protein lacking the ER signal sequence. TNS binding curves were generated in PRISM by non-linear curve fitting for one site saturation binding. Proteins were denatured by boiling in 6 M Urea. Samples were excited at 320 nm and emission at 437 nm and the change in fluorescence was calculated for three replicates. Error bars represent the standard deviation. An asterisk (*) indicates proteins that were analyzed using a TECAN M1000 rather than the Gen5 BioTek fluorometer.

FIGURE S2 | Batch Reproducibility of the TNS binding assay. TNS binding curves of identical proteins purified from different batches was performed for ADIR1 (A) and ADIR1-like (B). Student’s t-tests (p < 0.05) of natured proteins from batch 1 and batch 2 did not identify statistically significant differences in TNS binding capacity (means of denatured proteins were not compared). Values represent the mean ± standard deviation of 3 technical replicates. These assays were performed using a Gen5 Synergy 4 (BioTek) plate reader.

FIGURE S3 | Confirmation of successful Agrobacterium–mediated expression in dir1-1. RT-PCR analysis was used to monitor the expression of EYFP, ADIR1-EYFP, CsDIR1, and CsDIR2 four days post agro-infiltration of dir1-1 leaves (3.5 week-old plants). Expression of the endogenous ACT7N1 housekeeping gene was monitored as a loading control. Primers for RT-PCR analysis can be found in Table S1. This was performed twice with similar results.
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