A non-equilibrium thermodynamics model for combined adsorption and diffusion processes in micro- and nanopores
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A non-equilibrium thermodynamics model able to analyze the combined effect of diffusion and adsorption in porous materials is proposed. The model considers the coupled dynamics of the diffusive phase, described by a diffusion type equation, and the adsorbed phase governed by a generalized Langmuir equation. It is shown that the combination of diffusion and adsorption can be treated as diffusion in an effective medium, with an effective diffusion coefficient depending on the characteristics of the adsorption kinetics. The interplay between these effects leads to the appearance of different regimes in which the effective diffusion coefficient exhibits peculiar behaviours such as a decrease due to the presence of the adsorption process and a non-monotonous behavior resulting from particle interactions. We outline applica-
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tions of the model developed to diffusion in porous materials such as zeolites and bentonites, and to engineered highly porous materials.
1. INTRODUCTION

Diffusion is a fundamental irreversible process intervening in the evolution of many out of equilibrium systems. At sufficiently large scales it is successfully described by Fick’s law obtained from non-equilibrium thermodynamics and, at shorter time scales, by means of kinetic equations of the Fokker-Planck type derived from mesoscopic non-equilibrium thermodynamics.

When the particles diffuse through a heterogeneous or complex medium, the structure and the physicochemical properties of the medium may give rise to the appearance of additional kinetic processes that affect the dynamics of the particles. An important example of this situation, with applications ranging from biomedicine to engineering, is diffusion in the presence of adsorption in which particles moving through the pores of the material have certain probability to become attached at the walls of the pores. Two possibilities can be analyzed: total or partial immobilization of the particles at the surface. The kinetics is thus dominated by a set of transport equations that may present different relaxation time scales depending on the physicochemical properties of the surface and of the bulk fluid.

Our purpose in this article is to propose a simple model able to analyze the mutual influence of diffusion and adsorption processes in micro and nanopores, a situation observed in zeolites, bentonites or in new engineered highly porous materials. We are interested in provide a simple theoretical model able to explain, at least in qualitative form, how this mutual influence is responsible
for interesting effects observed in experiments\textsuperscript{12} and recently studied via molecular dynamics and cellular automaton techniques on the diffusion properties of the particles in the pore\textsuperscript{28–30}. Examples of these effects are the non-monotonic behavior of the diffusivity as a function of particle concentration or particle loading of the pore, or even the strong suppression of self-diffusivity as the number of particles in the pore increases\textsuperscript{28–30}. The model describes diffusion of one component in another at rest by means of the Fick law and adsorption through a generalized Langmuir equation obtained from non-equilibrium thermodynamics\textsuperscript{4}. Using the fact that the concentration of the diffusing particles depends on that of the adsorbed particles, due to mass conservation, we formulate an effective medium theory in which the overall process is described as effective diffusion, with a diffusion coefficient depending on the characteristics of the adsorption process. Our analysis on the effects of adsorption on bulk diffusion is complementary to the usual theoretical approach to the problem which makes emphasis on the surface dynamics of the adsorbed phase\textsuperscript{11–13,16–18}. Here, we are considering the case of isothermal adsorption. The generalization to the case when non-isothermal effects, such as pervaporation associated to the mass transport through a zeolite membrane could also be performed by following a non-equilibrium thermodynamics analysis similar to that of Ref. \textsuperscript{[30]}.

The effective diffusion coefficient is calculated for a particular adsorption kinetics. It is shown that it includes a factor accounting for its deviation for the
case of absence of adsorption. At high concentrations of adsorbed particles, a virial expansion is proposed to estimate the effects of interactions. We conclude that the effective transport properties of the system strongly depend on the adsorption-desorption rate constants as well as on temperature through the corresponding virial coefficients. The great multiplicity of behaviours found reveals the strong influence that one of these processes exerts on the other.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the model and analyze the case of total immobilization of the adsorbed phase. Section 3 is devoted to study the effect of surface diffusion of the adsorbed phase on the diffusion/adsorption process. Finally, our conclusions are presented in Section 4.

2. INTERPLAY BETWEEN ADSORPTION AND DIFFUSION

Non-equilibrium thermodynamics provides a general framework to describe both adsorption and diffusion process and to analyze their mutual influence\textsuperscript{1-4}.

In many instances, diffusion and adsorption occur simultaneously. Particles in the bulk phase diffuse and arrive at the surface where they become attached due to the presence of molecular forces. This last process corresponds to adsorption and has been modeled by considering it as a diffusion through a potential barrier\textsuperscript{4}.

When the pore is very narrow, the particles practically move along the pore, in a thin central layer (see Fig. 1). Under these conditions transport is quasi-
FIG. 1. Diffusing particles in a quasi-one-dimensional micropore. The orange circles represent the mobile particles in the porous at time $t$. The light grey circles represent the mobile particles at time $t$ that become adsorbed at time $t + \tau$. The lines schematically represent the trajectories they follow. The black circles represent the particles adsorbed at time $t + \tau$.

onedimensional and the corresponding description can be performed in terms of averaged concentrations depending on the coordinate along the axis of the pore. It is convenient to stress here that we will only consider the case when the diffusing particles have a coherent structure, such that their geometry can be characterized by an effective radius which is much larger than the molecules of the solvent. This assumption avoids to consider the rotational dynamics of
the particles with more complicated geometries.

After averaging the three dimensional diffusion equations over \((y, z)\)-directions, it can be shown that the evolution equation for the average number concentration \(c_1(x)\) in the bulk is given by

\[
\frac{\partial c_1(x,t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} J_1(x,t) + j, \tag{1}
\]

where \(J_1\) is the diffusion current of particles having dimensions of number of particles per unit area and time along the \(x\)-direction, and \(j\) has dimensions of number of particles divided by volume and time and represents the net amount of particles leaving the bulk by crossing the dotted lines indicated in Figure 1. In similar way, the evolution equation for the concentration \(c_2(x)\) of the adsorbed phase is

\[
\frac{\partial c_2(x)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} J_2(x) - j + j_{cs}, \tag{2}
\]

Here \(J_2(x)\) is the corresponding diffusion current density and we used the fact that the net amount of particles arriving at the surface is \(j_{2n} = -j\) and that \(j_{cs}\) is the reaction term associated to chemisorption. In the following, for simplicity we will only consider the case of physical adsorption, that is, when \(j_{cs} = 0\). Further details on the derivation of Eq. (2) are given in Ref. [4].

The expression for the currents entering Eqs. (1) and (2) follows from the entropy production rate per unit mass \(\sigma\) of the system. This quantity is given by \(\sigma = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2\), where the contribution associated to the bulk is

\[
\sigma_1 = -\frac{1}{T} J_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mu_1, \tag{3}
\]
and the entropy production at the surface is given by
\[ \sigma_2 = -\frac{1}{T} J_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mu_2 - \frac{1}{T} j (\mu_1 - \mu_2), \] (4)

where \( \mu_i(x, t) \) (\( i = 1, 2 \)) represent the corresponding non-equilibrium chemical potentials. It is convenient to mention that, by hypothesis, no chemical reactions occur in the bulk and therefore Eq. (3) has no contribution due to chemical reactions. From Eqs. (3) and (4) and assuming linear relationships between fluxes and forces, one finds: \( J_1 \propto (\partial \mu_1/\partial x), \) \( J_2 \propto (\partial \mu_2/\partial x), \) \( j \propto \mu_1 - \mu_2. \)

In the dilute case at constant temperature, the last relation implies that the flow of particles from the bulk to the surface \( j \) becomes proportional to the pressure difference which in turn is proportional to the concentration (assuming Henry’s law in the bulk). This flow has two contributions, \( j = j_a + j_d, \) one for the particles leaving the bulk, \( j_a, \) and one for the particles arriving to the bulk from the surface, \( j_d. \) The first contribution is proportional to a constant rate \( \tilde{k}_a \) giving the probability per unit time that the particles in the bulk is adsorbed by the surface. However, this probability must depend on the available surface. In Langmuir approach, this factor was assumed as proportional to the concentration of particles at the surface: \( 1 - c_2/c_2^{\text{max}} \) with \( c_2^{\text{max}} \) the maximum of adsorbing sites at the surface. However, if interactions exist among these particles, then it depends upon the non-linear function \( Z_2(c_2) \) which takes into account excluded volume interactions of the adsorbed phase at the surface\(^4\). That is, \( \tilde{k}_a = k_a Z_2(c_2) \) with \( Z_2 = 1 - c_2/c_2^{\text{max}} \) for ideal systems.
and $Z_2(c_2)$ arbitrary in the general case. Hence, the flow $j_a$ can be written as: i) $j_a = -k_ac_1(1 - c_2/c_2^{\max})$ for Langmuir and ii) $j_a = -k_ac_1Z_2(c_2)$ for the non-ideal case. In both expressions $k_a$ represents the adsorption rate constant.

The second contribution $j_d$ is proportional to the covered surface and is usually expressed as $j_d = -k_dc_2$, where $k_d$ is the desorption rate constant. Using these relations in Eqs. (1) and (2) one obtains

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} c_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D_1 \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial x} \right] + j, \quad (5)
\]

for the mass balance in the bulk, whereas for the adsorbed particles in the nonlinear case we have

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} c_2 = k_ac_1Z(c_2) - k_dc_2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D_2 \frac{\partial c_2}{\partial x} \right]. \quad (6)
\]

In writing Eqs. (5) and (6) we are considering the concentrations $c_1$ and $c_2$ in terms of volume. This allows us to use the mass conservation condition $c = c_1 + c_2$, in order to considerably simplify the description without loss of generality, and takes into account the fact that surface diffusion is strictly a three-dimensional process that occurs in a small volume surrounding the surface of the solid. Thus, Eqs. (5) and (6), together with mass conservation $c = c_1 + c_2$, describe the dynamics of the particles in the pore. It is convenient to mention that the adsorption rate constant follows an Arrhenius law in which this quantity depends on temperature Ref. 4. As a consequence, the interplay between adsorption and diffusion could be controlled by changing the temperature of the bath.
Instead of proceeding with the numerical solution of Eqs. (5) and (6), we will use mass conservation in order to adopt a simpler effective medium description for the interplay between adsorption and diffusion processes in which the boundary term $j$ in Eq. (5) does not appear explicitly. This can be done because this term is coupled to the reaction term in (6), which in fact determines a relation between the concentrations $c_1$ and $c_2$ corresponding to the adsorption isotherm. For instance, in the stationary case with no surface diffusion effects, $j$ is strictly zero. However, even in this case, we now that the diffusion of particles in the bulk is modified by the adsorption process, in such a way that $D_1 = D_1(c_1, c_2)$. Using the mass conservation relation and the corresponding adsorption isotherm, this effect can be incorporated through an effective diffusion coefficient $D_{eff}(c)$\(^{119,25}\). The effective diffusion current for the total number concentration is

$$J_{eff} = -D_{eff} \frac{\partial c}{\partial x},$$

(7)

Note that the effective diffusion coefficient $D_{eff}(c)$ can be associated to an effective activity $a_{eff}(c) = cf_{eff}(c)$ in such a way that we may write

$$D_{eff}(c) = RTB \left[ 1 + \frac{\partial \ln |f_{eff}|}{\partial \ln |c|} \right],$$

(8)

where $f_{eff}(c)$ is the effective activity coefficient and $B$ is the mobility which in the present case can be well approximated by the Stokes friction coefficient, $B = 6\pi \eta R_{eff}$ where $\eta$ is the dynamic viscosity of the solvent and $R_{eff}$ is the effective radius of the particle. Using equations (7) and (8) in the mass
conservation law for the bulk yields

\[ \frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D_{\text{eff}} \frac{\partial c}{\partial x} \right]. \]  

(9)

It remains now to determine the expression of \( D_{\text{eff}}(c) \) which, after using the adsorption kinetics and the mass conservation condition, can be expressed as a function of the total concentration \( c \). This will be performed by analyzing different cases in the next section.

2.1. Total immobilization of the adsorbed phase

The case in which adsorbed particles are completely immobilized follows from the general model by imposing that the effective diffusion current \( J_{\text{eff}} \), referring initially only to the mobile fraction \( c_1 \) is given by

\[ J_{\text{eff}} = -D_1(c_1, c_2) \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial x}. \]  

(10)

The diffusion process can also be described by means of the effective Fick’s law (7). This fact implies a relationship between the diffusion coefficients \( D_1(c_1) \) and \( D_{\text{eff}}(c) \) that can be established by considering the constraint \( c = c_1 + c_2 \), with the subindex 2 corresponding to the immobile fraction. Since \( c_2 \) depends on \( c_1 \) through the adsorption isotherm: \( c_2 = \phi(c_1) \), this gives \( c = c_1 + \phi(c_1) \) or equivalently \( c_1 = c_1(c) \). Thus, using the equality \( \partial c_1 / \partial x = (\partial c_1 / \partial c) \partial c / \partial x \), we finally obtain

\[ D_{\text{eff}}(c) = \Delta(c) D_1(c), \]  

(11)
where the factor $\Delta(c) \equiv \partial c_1/\partial c$ accounts for deviations of the diffusion coefficient with respect to its value in the case of absence of adsorption.

The influence of adsorption on diffusion enters through the evolution in time of the concentration of the immobilized particles $c_2$. An interesting analysis on how distinct kinetic regimes may appear in adsorption-diffusion interplay is discussed in Ref. 23. This dependence can be determined by means of the generalized Langmuir adsorption equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} c_2 = k_a c_1 Z(c_2) - k_d c_2. \quad (12)$$

When adsorption occurs in time scales much shorter than the characteristic time of diffusion, $\tau_{ad} << \tau_D$, we may assume that the adsorbed phase is practically in equilibrium. Under this condition, one has from (12)

$$c_1 = \alpha \frac{c_2}{Z(c_2)}, \quad (13)$$

where $\alpha = k_d/k_a$. For the case of a non-equilibrium stationary state in which $dc_2/dt = J_{ads}$, with $J_{ads}$ a constant current of particles, one obtains

$$c_1 = \alpha \frac{1}{Z(c_2)} \left( c_2 + \frac{J_{ads}}{k_a} \right). \quad (14)$$

**Low coverage:** When the concentration of the adsorbed particles is small we may assume $Z_2 \simeq 1 - \xi c_2$, with $\xi = 1/c_2^{max}$ the inverse value of the total concentration of adsorbing sites $c_2^{max}$ in the system. Then, Eq. (12) yields the Langmuir isotherm

$$c_2 = \phi(c_1) = \frac{c_1}{\alpha + \xi c_1}. \quad (15)$$
Using Eq. (15) in the condition \(c = c_1 + \phi(c_1)\), one obtains

\[
c_1(c) = \frac{1}{2\xi} \left( \overline{c} - \alpha + \sqrt{(\overline{c} - \alpha - 1)^2 + 4c\alpha} - 1 \right),
\]

where \(\overline{c} \equiv c\xi\) is the total concentration scaled by the total concentration of adsorbing sites. From Eq. (16), the correction factor \(\Delta(c)\) becomes

\[
\Delta(c) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\overline{c} - \alpha - 1 + 2\alpha}{\sqrt{(\overline{c} - \alpha - 1)^2 + 4\overline{c}\alpha}} \right).
\]

In Fig 2, the correction factor \(\Delta(c)\) is represented as a function of the total concentration \(c\) for different values of \(\alpha\) in the equilibrium case. From now on, we will assume for simplicity that \(\xi = 1cm^3\). Values of \(\xi\) different from the unity only rescale the results, the qualitative behavior of the functions remains the same. When adsorption is dominant, as occurs for \(\alpha \sim 0.01\), the effective diffusion coefficient becomes negligible for concentrations \(c < 0.4cm^{-3}\). Increasing the importance of desorption, \(\alpha \sim 1.0\), makes \(\Delta(c)\) more significant taking values of about 50% to 70% of \(D_1(c)\). As expected, this tendency becomes even more manifest when desorption is faster than adsorption, \(\alpha \gg 1\).

In similar form, for the stationary case we have

\[
c_2 = \phi_s(c_1) = \frac{c_1}{\alpha + c_1} - \frac{J_{ads}}{k_a} \frac{1}{\alpha + c_1},
\]

and therefore the correction factor \(\Delta_s(c)\) is in this case

\[
\Delta_s(c) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{c - \alpha - 1 + 2\alpha}{\sqrt{(c - \alpha - 1)^2 + 4(\overline{J} + c\alpha)}} \right).
\]
FIG. 2. The factor \(\Delta(c)\) from (17) in terms of concentration for different values of the parameter \(\alpha\). Solid lines correspond to equilibrium adsorption (15) whereas dashed and dotted lines correspond to the non-equilibrium stationary case (19) for \(\tilde{J} = 0.1 cm^{-3}\) and \(\tilde{J} = 1.1 cm^{-3}\), respectively. The values of \(\alpha\) used were (from red to purple) \(\alpha = k_d/k_a = 0.01, 1.0, 3.0\) and 30.0 and \(\xi = 1 cm^3\).

here we have introduced the parameter \(\tilde{J} = J_{ads}/k_a\), having dimensions of number concentration.

Dashed and dotted lines in Fig 2 show the stationary non-equilibrium values of \(\Delta(c)\) as a function of the total concentration \(c\) for the same values of \(\alpha\) in the equilibrium case and for \(\tilde{J} = 0.1 cm^{-3}\) (dashed) and \(\tilde{J} = 1.1 cm^{-3}\) (dotted). The stationary adsorption process is still dominant for \(\alpha \sim 0.01\), but in this case the effective diffusion coefficient takes values considerably larger than those of the equilibrium case, 10\% for \(J_{ads} = 0.1 cm^{-3}\) and 30\% for \(J_{ads} = 1.1 cm^{-3}\). As in the equilibrium case, this effect decreases when increasing \(\alpha\). This behavior of the correction factor \(\Delta(c)\) as a function of particle
concentration in the bulk is similar to the one observed for the effective diff-
fusion coefficient in experiments and simulations.\textsuperscript{12,28,29} The relation between both quantities can be established by means of Eq. (11). Unfortunately, a quantitative comparison is not possible due to the fact that reported results in simulations are given in terms of the so-called loading parameter measuring the number of particles in the pore.

**High coverage:** When the concentration of adsorbed particles is higher, one has to take into account nonlinear contributions to $Z(c_2)$ through the virial expansion

$$Z(c_2) \simeq 1 - \xi c_2 + bc_2^2 + O(c_2^3),$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

where $b$ is a constant having dimensions of square volume and may take positive or negative values depending on the nature of the interactions between adsorbed-adsorbed particles and may also depend on temperature. It is convenient to mention that the expansion (20) is consistent with a second order virial expansion in which interactions (excluded volume and direct ones) between adsorbed particles are taken into account. This is the case since the factor $Z(c_2)$ plays the role of a fugacity. More important is that Eq. (20) allows us to go beyond the classical Langmuir model. Using this relation, we obtain the following adsorption isotherm

$$c_2 = \phi_{ni}(c_1) = \frac{\alpha + c_1\xi}{2bc_1} \left[ 1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4bc_1^2/\left(\alpha + c_1\xi\right)^2} \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)
where the subindex \( ni \) indicates the non-ideal case under consideration. Note that Eq. (21) is valid for \( b > 0 \). Substitution of this equation into the mass balance leads to the relation

\[
c = c_1 + \frac{\alpha + c_1\xi}{2bc_1} \left[ 1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4bc_1^2/(\alpha + c_1\xi)^2} \right].
\]

This equation is a third degree polynomial equation for \( c_1(c) \) that has a real solution from which the correction factor \( \Delta_{ni}(c) \) can be calculated. The resulting relations can be used to estimate the corrections due to the non-ideal
nature of the adsorbed phase. The condition \( b \leq (1+\alpha)/2 \), obtained from Eqs. (21) and (22), guarantees the existence of real values for the concentration \( c \).

Figure 3(i) shows the nonideal correction factor \( \Delta_{ni}(c) \) in terms of the total concentration \( c \) by assuming \( \xi = 1cm^3 \). For \( \alpha \lesssim 2 \), interactions reduce the magnitude of the effective diffusion coefficient although it increases monotonically with \( c \). However, for \( \alpha \geq 1 \), interactions between particles of the adsorbed phase may cause a non-monotonic behavior of the effective diffusion coefficient for certain values of \( b \), making it to decrease for larger values of \( c \) as it is shown in detail in Figure 3(ii) for which \( \alpha = 2 \). This behavior implies that an optimal value for the concentration exists for which the effective diffusion coefficient reaches its maximum value. For \( \alpha > 10 \), interactions monotonically reduce the effective diffusion coefficient as \( c \) increases. As in the previous case, the non-monotonic behavior manifested by the correction factor agrees well with the non-monotonic behavior of the effective diffusion coefficient observed in simulations and experiments\textsuperscript{12,28–30}.

3. THE EFFECT OF SURFACE DIFFUSION ON THE ADSORPTION-DIFFUSION INTERPLAY

The case in which the adsorbed particles may diffuse on the surface of the sorbent can be analyzed by adding a diffusion term to Eq. (12). The
evolution equation for $c_2$ takes the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} c_2 = k_a c_1 Z(c_2) - k_d c_2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D_2(c_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} c_2 \right], \quad (23)$$

where $D_2(c_2)$ is the diffusion coefficient at the surface.

An estimation of the contribution of surface diffusion to the adsorption-diffusion interplay can be performed by assuming that $D_2 \sim L^2/\tau_D$ where $\tau_D$ is the characteristic diffusion time and $L$ a characteristic length of the sorbent surface. In first approximation, the diffusion term in (23) can be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D_2(c_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} c_2 \right] \sim \frac{c_2}{\tau_D}. \quad (24)$$

This type of approximation is frequently and successfully used in transport processes problems to examine and estimate, for instance, viscous or thermal effects near to a surface.

At low coverage, when the adsorbed phase is dilute, one can make the approximation $Z(c_2) \simeq 1 - \xi c_2$. Eq. (23) reduces to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} c_2 = k_a c_1 (1 - \xi c_2) - k_d^{\text{eff}} c_2, \quad (25)$$

where $k_d^{\text{eff}} = k_d \left(1 - \tau_D^{-1} k_d^{-1}\right)$. This equation leads to the adsorption isotherm

$$c_2 = \phi(c_1) = \frac{c_1}{\tilde{\alpha} + \xi c_1}, \quad (26)$$

where now $\tilde{\alpha} = k_d^{\text{eff}}/k_a < \alpha$. Therefore, in the dilute case the influence of surface diffusion on adsorption is to reduce the desorption rate. As a consequence, the values of the effective diffusion coefficient of the system $D_{\text{eff}}(c)$ become shifted to lower concentrations.
In the nondilute case, both $Z(c_2)$ and $f_2$ must include non-linear contributions. The expression of $Z(c_2)$ is given in Eq. (20). According to Eq. (8), the activity coefficient can be written in terms of the non-ideal contribution of the chemical potential: $f_2 = \exp(\Delta \mu_{ni}/RT)$. A virial expansion of $\Delta \mu_{ni}$ at the lower order in concentration leads to the relation $f_2 \simeq \exp(\beta c_2)$, where $\beta = \tilde{B}_2/RT$ has dimensions of volume and $\tilde{B}_2(T)$ is the second virial coefficient which must take into account excluded volume effects and direct interactions.

Using the previous expressions for $Z(c_2)$ and $f_2(c_2)$, one obtains the generalized Langmuir equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} c_2 = k_a c_1 \left(1 - \xi c_2 + bc_2^2\right) - k_d^{\text{eff}} c_2,$$

(27)

where now the effective desorption rate is $k_d^{\text{eff}} = k_d \left[1 - \tau_d^{-1}k_0^{-1}(1 + \beta c_2)\right]$. The coefficient $\beta$ can be positive or negative depending on the temperature. In the non-equilibrium stationary case one obtains from Eq. (27)

$$c = c_1 + \frac{\alpha - \gamma + \xi c_1}{\beta \gamma + 2bc_1} \left[1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4(c_1 - J)(bc_1 + \beta \gamma)/((\alpha - \gamma + \xi c_1)^2)}\right].$$

(28)

where $\gamma = \tau_d^{-1}k_0^{-1}$.

In Figure 4, we show the nonideal correction factor $\Delta_{sd}(c)$ for $\xi = 1$ in the case of equilibrium adsorption with surface diffusion in terms of the total concentration $c$ for low, Fig. (ii), and high temperatures, Fig. (iv). As in Fig. 2, interactions induce a non-monotonic behavior but in the present case, for $\alpha < 1$ and low temperatures ($\beta < 0$), they lead to an enhancement of diffusion.
FIG. 4. The nonideal correction factor for the case of surface diffusion $\Delta_{sd}(c)$ in terms of the total concentration $c$ for $b = (1 + \alpha)^2/4$, $\bar{J} = 0$, $\gamma = \tau_d^{-1}k_a^{-1} = 0.25$ and different values of $\alpha = k_d/k_a$ and $\beta$. In (i) the solid lines represent the behavior of $\Delta_{sd}(c)$ for equilibrium adsorption whereas dashed lines correspond to $\Delta_{ni}(c)$ for $\beta = -1.5cm^3$ (low temperatures) and $\alpha = 0.25, 0.85, 1.45, 3.45$ and $13.45$ (from red to purple). The nonmonotonic behavior of the effective diffusion coefficient is more pronounced for $\alpha < 1$. (ii) shows $\Delta_{sd}$ for $\beta = 0.5cm^{-3}$ (high temperatures) and $\alpha = 0.45, 1.5, 3.0, 7.5$ and $15.0$ (from red to purple) and $\xi = 1cm^3$. For the present model, high values of $\beta > 0$ may induce a discontinuity of the correction factor.

for large concentrations due to the attractive nature of direct interactions of the particles in channel. At low concentrations, diffusion is significatively lower than in the case of Fig. 2. When the concentration is higher and the temperature is such that $\beta > 0$, excluded volume effects cause suppression of diffusion, as observed in the figure.
4. CONCLUSIONS

In this article, we have analyzed the combined effect of diffusion and adsorption in porous materials by adopting an effective medium description based on non-equilibrium thermodynamics\(^4\).

We have treated the case of very narrow pores, present in some porous materials, microfluidic devices and in biological channels, in which particles move essentially along the direction of the pores and may occasionally become attached to their surface. The fact that the pores are narrow, makes it possible to adopt a quasi-onedimensional description in terms of an average concentration along the channel and a kinetic mechanism governing the attachment-detachment of the particles to the surface of the channel. The model proposed considers the combined effect of these two mechanisms and analyzes particle transport by means of effective diffusion in which the diffusion coefficient depends on the adsorption kinetics described in turns by a generalized Langmuir equation.

The analysis of the effective diffusion coefficient reveals the existence of a multiplicity of different regimes controlled by different adsorption-desorption kinetics and different coverages of particles at the surface. We have quantified the change of the diffusion coefficient and found a non-monotonic behavior of this quantity due to excluded volume interactions. These results are in qualitative agreement with previous ones obtained from numerical models.

The model proposed could be extended to the case of different shapes of
the pores by considering entropic barriers emerging from the variation of the cross section of the pores. This generalization can be performed following the approach presented in Refs.\textsuperscript{32,33}. The resulting model may represent a simple and useful tool to analyze particle transport in nanoporous materials and biological channels.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the DGiCYT of Spanish Government under Grant No. FIS2008-04386 and by UNAM DGAPA Grant No. IN100112-2. ISH appreciates the hospitality of Prof. J. M. Rubi and the Department of Física Fonamental of the University of Barcelona where this work was done during a sabbatical leave. J. M. Rubi acknowledges financial support from Generalitat de Catalunya under program Icrea Academia. Z.J.Grzywna acknowledges support from MNiSzW under the project NN508409137.

1 S. de Groot, P. Mazur, \textit{Non-equilibrium thermodynamics} (Dover, New York, 1984).

2 D. Reguera, J.M. Rubi , J.M.G. Vilar, The Mesoscopic Dynamics of Thermodynamic Systems, J. Phys. Chem. B \textbf{109} (2005), 21502.

3 J. M. Rubi, A. Pérez-Madrid, Inertial Effects in Non-equilibrium Thermodynamics, Physica A \textbf{264} (1999), 492.
4 I. Pagonabarraga, J. M. Rubi, Derivation of the Langmuir Adsorption Equation from Non-equilibrium Thermodynamics, Physica A 188 (1992), 553.

5 D. R. Paul and W. J. Koros, Effect of Partially Immobilizing Sorption on Permeability and the Diffusion Time Lag, J. Pol. Sci. 14 (1976), 675.

6 B. Smit and T. L. Maesen, Molecular Simulations of Zeolites: Adsorption, Diffusion, and Shape Selectivity, Chem. Rev. 108 (2008), 4125.

7 C.-C. Hu, C.-S. Chang, R.-C. Ruaan and J.-Y. Lai, Effect of free volume and sorption on membrane gas transport, J. Membr. Sci. 226 (2003), 51.

8 V. Saxena and S. A. Stern, Concentration-dependent transport of gases and vapors in glassy polymers, J. Membr. Sci. 12 (1982), 65.

9 J. Wloch and J. Kornatowski, Sorption Rate and Thermal Barriers in a Gas-Zeolite System: Investigation of n-Hexane Sorption in MFI-Type Zeolite, Langmuir 20 (2004), 1180.

10 G. K. Papadopoulos, H. Jobic and D. N. Theodorou, Transport Diffusivity of $N_2$ and $CO_2$ in Silicalite: Coherent Quasielastic Neutron Scattering Measurements and Molecular Dynamics Simulations, J. Phys. Chem. B 108 (2004), 12748.

11 B. Baranowski, Non-equilibrium thermodynamics as applied to membrane transport, J. Membr. Sci. 57 (1991) 119.

12 J. Kärger, D. M. Ruthven, Diffusion in Zeolites and Other Microporous Materials (Wiley, New York, 1992).
13 R. M.A. Roque-Malherbe, *Adsorption and diffusion in nanoporous materials* (CRC Pres, Boca Raton, 2007).

14 K. J. Klabunde, R. M. Richards, *Nanoscale Materials in Chemistry* (Wiley, New York, 2009).

15 J. Karger and D. M. Ruthven, Diffusion in zeolites. Comparison of sorption and nuclear magnetic resonance diffusivities, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1 **77** (1981), 1485.

16 E. A. Brinkmann and R. P. King, A Kinetic Model Framework for Combined Diffusion and Adsorption Processes, SAIMM **2** (1987), 189.

17 N. L. Filippova, Kinetic-Diffusion-Controlled Adsorption and Desorption Kinetics on Planar Surfaces J. Coll. Int. Sci. **206** (1998) 592.

18 A. García Cantú Ros, J.-S. McEwen, P. Gaspard, Effect of Ultrafast Diffusion on Adsorption, Desorption, and Reaction Processes over Heterogeneous Surfaces, Phys. Rev. E **83** (2011), 021604.

19 J. L. Vazquez, *The porous medium equation* (Oxford University Press, 2006).

20 Z. J. Grzywna, J. Podkowka, Effect of immobilizing adsorption on mass transport through polymer films, J. Membr. Sci. **8** (1981), 23.

21 S. Brandani, J. Hufton and D. Ruthven, Self-diffusion of propane and propylene in 5A and 13X zeolite crystals studied by the tracer ZLC method, Zeolites **15** (1995), 624.
22 F. Martin et. al, Tailoring width of microfabricated nanochannels to solute size can be used to control diffusion kinetics, J. Cont. Rel. 102 (2005) 123.

23 J. F. Douglas, H. E. Johnson, S. Granick, A Simple Kinetic Model of Polymer Adsorption and Desorption, Science 262 (1993) 2010.

24 J.-M. Simon, J. M. Rubi, Temperature at small scales: a lower limit for a thermodynamic description, J. Phys. Chem. B 115 (2011), 1422.

25 H. Jost, Diffusion in solids, liquids and gases (Academic Press, New York, 1960).

26 A.S. Sheta, A.M. Falatah, M.S. Al-Sewailem, E.M. Khaled, A.S.H. Sallam, Sorption characteristics of zinc and iron by natural zeolite and bentonite, Microporous and Mesoporous Materials 61 (2003), 127.

27 O. M. Yaghi, M. O’Keeffe, N. W. Ockwig, H. K. Chae, M. Eddaoudi, J. Kim, Reticular synthesis and the design of new materials, Nature 423 (2003), 705.

28 P. Demontis, F. G. Pazzona, G. B. Suffritti, Diffusion in tight confinement: A lattice-gas cellular automaton approach. II. Transport properties, 126 (2007) 194710.

29 P. Demontis, F. G. Pazzona, G. B. Suffritti, Introducing a Cellular Automaton as an Empirical Model to Study Static and Dynamic Properties of Molecules Adsorbed in Zeolites, J. Phys. Chem. B 112 (2008) 12444.

30 A. M. Pintus, F. G. Pazzona, P. Demontis, G. B. Suffritti, A parallelizable block cellular automaton for the study of diffusion of binary mixtures containing CO2 in microporous materials, J. Chem. Phys. 135 (2011) 124110.
31 J. Kuhn, R. Stemmer, F. Kapteijn, S. Kjelstrup, J. Gross, A non-equilibrium thermodynamics approach to model mass and heat transport for water pervaporation through a zeolite membrane, J. Membr. Sci. 330 (2009), 388.

32 D. Reguera, J. M. Rubi, Kinetic equations for diffusion in the presence of entropic barriers, Phys. Rev. E 64 (2001), 061106.

33 D. Reguera, G. Schmid, P. S. Burada, J. M. Rubi, P. Reimann, P. Hanggi, Entropic Transport: Kinetics, Scaling, and Control Mechanisms, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 (2006), 130603.