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Abstract: Organic soil management has become the pressing need today for reversing the cycle of soil degradation and thereby putting a step forward towards soil and crop sustainability. Adverse effects of modern agricultural practices not only on the farm but also on the health of all living things and thus on the environment have been well documented all over the world. After years of chemical fertilizer use, many Indian farmers are beginning to return to organic fertilizers. Vermicompost is a nutritive organic fertiliser rich in NKP, micronutrients like calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), zinc (Zn) and manganese (Mn), humic acid, acceptable C:N ratio etc. The problem of quality control is a major limitation to the efficient use of compost in modern commercial agriculture, it is very difficult to standardize and characterize the finished products. Compost Quality Index (CQI), using four specific compost quality parameters viz. total nutrient content, C/N ratio, microbial potential and germination percent, is the best way to classify the quality of different types of compost as good, moderate, poor etc. for easy understanding at the users’ level.
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1. Introduction

The injudicious and haphazard use of chemical in agriculture is a matter of concern in recent times. Soil deprived of one or more elements either reduces production or degrades quality of crops. It has been seen that farmers make excessive use of urea and less use of potash and micro nutrients which results in less produce due to imbalanced use of fertilizers thus affecting the soil fertility. Organic soil management has become the pressing need today for reversing the cycle of soil degradation and thereby putting a step forward towards soil and crop sustainability. Adverse effects of modern agricultural practices not only on the farm but also on the health of all living things and thus on the environment have been well documented all over the world. After years of chemical fertilizer use, many Indian farmers are beginning to return to organic fertilizers to help control soil-borne diseases improve soil properties and conserve soil moisture.

Vermicompost is a nutritive organic fertiliser rich in NKP, micronutrients like calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), zinc (Zn) and manganese (Mn), humic acid, acceptable C:N ratio and beneficial soil microbes. Additionally, vermicompost contain enzymes like amylase, lipase, cellulase and chitinase, which continue to break down organic matter in the soil (to release the nutrients and make it available to the plant roots). The soil treated with vermicompost has significantly more electrical conductivity (EC) and near neutral pH, scientifically proven to be excellent growth promoters and protectors for crop plants, benefits the environment by reducing the need for chemical fertilizers and decreasing the amount of waste going to landfills (Sinha, r. herat, et al, 2009)
The problem of quality control is a major limitation to the efficient use of compost in modern commercial agriculture, it is very difficult to standardize and characterize the finished products. There is the danger that farmers may be buying substandard products, they also need to know the nutrient content of organic fertilizer, so as to understand how much to apply (Bera, R. et al., 2013). A major problem in compost production is the presence of toxic elements and pathogens which may be harmful to crop growth and/or human health. Two of the most plentiful sources of raw materials in industrialized societies are municipal wastes and sewage. Both these often contain unacceptably high levels of heavy metals such as arsenic, cadmium and lead and pathogens like Salmonella, E. Coli, Shigella etc. which contaminate the food chain (Bhattacharyya, P. et al., 2001).

Several parameters have been developed to determine the maturity of compost. One of the most common is determination of the C/N ratio. During composting, the content of total carbon decreases while that of total nitrogen increases, resulting in a fall in the C/N ratio. Other properties which give an indication of maturity include cation exchange capacity (CEC), humic substances, ash content, level of volatile gases, the colour of compost, and its smell and temperature. Hence, an effective composting method, which enables the production of good quality compost at an economical cost, can only meet the criteria for sustainable organic soil management. Compost Quality Index (CQI), using four specific compost quality parameters viz. total nutrient content, C/N ratio, microbial potential and germination percent, is the best way to classify the quality of different types of compost as good, moderate, poor etc. for easy understanding at the users’ level.

A study was planned and conducted at Ayurved Research Foundation (ARF) R&D Centre to evaluate the nutritional contents, pathogenic load of in house produced vermicompost and different types of organic composts available in market, calculation of CQI to do the quality grading.

2. Material and Methods:
Samples of ARF’s in house produced vermicompost and commonly available from the markets of dist. Ghaziabad, U.P. were taken for evaluation of their quality.

2.1 Manufacturing process for vermicompost:

a. Added 400 Kg of cow dung already cooled for 15 days to remove methane gas in pit and mixed 2 Kg of neem leaves.

b. After 6 days added approx. 750 g of earthworms (Eisenia fetida)

c. To maintain moisture sprayed 10 litre of water in morning and 10 lt of water in evening during peak summer/ sprayed 10 litre of water every fourth day during winter continued the process for 35 days.

d. Harvested the compost on 40th day, sieved and packed (Fig: 1-3).

e. Yield = 200 Kg

![Fig.1- Vermicomposting](image1)
![Fig.2- Sieving](image2)
![Fig.3- Packing and sealing](image3)
2.2 Physicochemical and microbiological parameters analyzed:
Colour, Moisture, Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium, Sodium, Organic carbon, Humic acid, Fulvic acid, Cadmium, Mercury, Arsenic, Lead, TPC, Total Yeast and Mould Count, Total coliform count, E. coli, Salmonella. sp., S. aureus, Shigella.sp. and P. aeruginosa.
Kjeldhal methodology was used to analyze the nitrogen content, UV for Phosphorus, Flame photometer for Sodium and Potassium, Organic carbon by titration, Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer for Arsenic, Cadmium, Mercury and Lead, Humic and Fulvic acid by gravimetry. Standard ISO methodology was adopted for the evaluation of pathogenic load (Fig.4 – 6).

2.3 Calculation of QI (Quality Index) of compost:
Prepared nine 1m x 1m experimental plots, watered and ploughed. Plot no. 1 was kept as control where no compost was added, 5Kg each of vermicompost was added in plot no. 2 to 8 and sown the known quantity of mustard seeds in each plot, measured the germination rate to calculate the GI (Germination index) as per formula, GI=n/d. Where n= number of seedlings emerging on day‘d’, where d = day after sowing.
GI= day 1, n/d+ day 2, n/d+ day 3, n/d+ day 4, n/d+ day 5, n/d+ day 6, n/d+ day 7n/d + day 8n/d + day 9n/d + day 10n/d

\[
\text{Compost Quality Index (CQI)} = \frac{\text{NV}_{\text{NPK}} \times \text{MP} \times \text{GI}}{\text{C/N ratio}}
\]

NV \(_{\text{NPK}}\) = Total nutrient value in terms of total (N+P\(_{2}\)O\(_5\)+K\(_2\)O) percent.
MP = \log\(_{10}\) value of total microbial population in terms of total bacteria, total fungi and total actinomycetes.
GI = Germination Index.

| Classification | Index (CQI) |
|----------------|-------------|
| Poor           | < 2.00      |
| Moderate       | 2.00 – 4.00 |
| Good           | 4.00 – 6.00 |
| Very Good      | 6.00 – 8.00 |
| Extremely Good | 8.00 – 10.00|
### 3. Results:

**Table 1:** Physicochemical analysis of in house produced vermicompost and samples available in market.

| Parameter                  | Limits (The Fertilizer Control Order 1985) | Samples |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------|
|                            |                                             | 1      | 2      | 3      | 4      | 5      | 6      | 7      | 8      |
| pH                         | NA                                         | 6.54-6.87 | 7.67 | 8.40 | 8.74 | 6.76 | 7.79 | 8.75 | 8.30 |
| Bulk density, g/cm³        | 0.7 -0.9                                   | 0.85 | 1.1 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 0.85 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.05 |
| Moisture, %                | 15-25                                      | 32.7 | 59.58 | 37.28 | 46.15 | 61.0 | 60.11 | 44.08 | 52.32 |
| Nitrogen, %                | NLT 1.0                                    | 1.12 | 0.52 | 1.12 | 1.92 | 0.75 | 0.58 | 1.34 | 0.69 |
| Phosphorus, %              | NLT 0.35                                   | 0.43 | 0.46 | 0.35 | 0.44 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 0.00 |
| Potassium, %               | NLT 0.66                                   | 0.63 | 0.00 | 1.51 | 3.74 | 0.52 | 0.65 | 2.68 | 0.51 |
| Organic Matter, %          | NA                                         | 85.10 | 73.86 | 57.51 | 81.62 | 78.55 | 73.63 | 67.50 | 73.78 |
| Ash, %                     | NA                                         | 14.90 | 26.14 | 42.49 | 18.62 | 21.45 | 26.37 | 32.50 | 26.22 |
| Acid Insoluble Ash, %      | NA                                         | 11.87 | 22.77 | 27.87 | 7.17 | 17.79 | 21.75 | 19.10 | 21.41 |
| Organic Carbon, %          | NLT 18.0                                   | 18.7 | 17.31 | 20.92 | 25.49 | 17.76 | 17.03 | 21.89 | 20.40 |
| C: N Ratio                 | NA                                         | 16.67 | 33.28 | 18.67 | 13.27 | 23.68 | 29.36 | 16.33 | 29.56 |
| Water holding capacity, %  | NA                                         | 32.17 | 32.24 | 46.11 | 35.20 | 31.63 | 30.14 | 42.52 | 36.21 |

Legends: NLT = Not Less Than; NMT = Not More Than; NA = Not Available
Table 2: Microbiological analysis of In-house produced vermicompost and samples available in market

| Parameter                        | Limits (The Fertilizer Control Order 1985) | 1            | 2            | 3            | 4            | 5            | 6            | 7            | 8            |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Total Plate Count/ g             | NA                                         | 6.5x10^7 CFU | 1.3x10^7 CFU | 1.4x10^7 CFU | 5.4x10^5 CFU | 1.2x10^7 CFU | 1.5x10^7 CFU | 8.2x10^3 CFU | 9.0x10^6 CFU |
| Total Yeast and Mould Count/g    | NA                                         | 5.1x10^3 CFU | 5.3x10^3 CFU | 3.0x10^3 CFU | <10 CFU      | 1.9x10^3 CFU | 4.5x10^4 CFU | 2.7x10^3 CFU | 5.2x10^4 CFU |
| Total Coliform Count/g           | NA                                         | 3.2x10^2 CFU | 1.7x10^4 CFU | 3.0x10^2 CFU | <10 CFU      | 7.6x10^3 CFU | 3.5x10^3 CFU | <10 CFU      | 6.1x10^3 CFU |
| Escherichia coli/g               | Absent                                     | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       |
| Staphylococcus aureus/ g         | Absent                                     | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       |
| Salmonella. sp./g                | Absent                                     | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       |
| Shigella sp./g                   | Absent                                     | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa /g        | Absent                                     | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       | Absent       |

Legends: NA- Not Available.
4. Discussion:

Moisture content in all samples was found to be in range of 32.7 – 61.0 %, well beyond the specified range 15.0% - 25.0%. N and P contents of only 4 samples (1, 3, 4 & 7) were found to be well above the specified range. Ash and Acid insoluble ash of all samples were found to be in range of 14.90% - 42.49% and 7.17% - 27.87%, respectively. OC in all samples was found to be in range of 17.03% - 25.49%, close to or above the specified limit of 18.0%, C: N ratio was found to be in range of 13.27 % - 33.28% against the specified limit of 20:1 (Table 1). Pathogen load in all samples were found to be within specified limit (Table 2). Experiment conducted to evaluate the QI (Quality Index) of all composts on scale of < 2- 10 (poor to extremely good ) revealed quality of vermicompost samples no. 1,3,4 and 7 were found to be in scale of 4.0 – 6.0 or good quality, whereas vermicompost sample 5 was found to be in scale of 2.0 – 4.0 or moderate quality and sample nos. 2,6,8 were found to fall in the scale of < 2.00 or poor quality.

5. Conclusion:

The problem of quality control is a major limitation to the efficient use of compost in modern commercial agriculture, it is very difficult to standardize and characterize the finished products. Two of the most plentiful sources of raw materials in commercially produced composts are municipal wastes and sewage. Both these sources contain unacceptably high levels of heavy metals such as arsenic, cadmium and lead and pathogens like Salmonella, E. Coli, Shigella etc. which contaminate the food chain. Compost maturity is also an important aspect of compost quality. Several parameters have been developed to determine the maturity of compost. Compost Quality Index (CQI), using four specific compost quality parameters viz. total nutrient content, C/N ratio, microbial potential and germination percent, is the best way to classify the quality of different types of compost as good, moderate, poor etc. for easy understanding at the users’ level. Experiments conducted at Ayurved Research Foundation (ARF) R&D Centre to evaluate the nutritional contents, pathogenic load of in-house produced vermicompost, different types of organic composts available in market helped in grading the quality of samples, this study will definitely help in creating the mass awareness among the end users and help them in purchasing good quality vermicompost from reliable source for optimal productivity.
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