Abstract. We construct extension rings with fast arithmetic using isogenies between elliptic curves. As an application, we give an elliptic version of the AKS primality criterion.

1. Introduction

Classical Kummer theory considers binomials of the form $x^d - \alpha$ where $d \geq 2$ is an integer and $\alpha$ is a unit in a (commutative and unitary) ring containing a primitive $d$-th root of unity $\zeta$. The associated $R$-algebra $S = R[x]/(x^d - \alpha)$ has shown to be extremely useful, including in very recent algorithmic applications such as integer factoring and discrete logarithm computation [12], primality proving [1, 6], fast polynomial factorization and composition [14], low complexity normal basis [20, 11, 2] of field extensions and ring extensions [17].

Part of this computational relevance is due to the purely algebraic properties of $S$: a finite free étale $R$-algebra of rank $d$, endowed with an $R$-automorphism $\sigma : x \mapsto \zeta x$ such that $R$ is the ring of invariants by $\sigma$ in $S$ (see Section 3.1). However, there are more geometric properties involved. For example, we can define the degree of a non-zero class in $R[x]/(x^d - \alpha)$ to be the smallest degree of non-zero polynomials in this class. This degree is subadditive and invariant by the automorphism $\sigma$. To understand this, it is sensible to introduce the multiplicative group $\mathbb{G}_m = \text{Spec}(R[x, 1/x])$ over $R$ and the multiplication by $d$ isogeny $[d] : \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathbb{G}_m$. Then $x = \alpha$ defines a section $A$ of $\mathbb{G}_m \to \text{Spec}(R)$ and $S$ can be seen as the residue ring at $\mathfrak{F}_A = [d]^{-1}(A)$. The kernel of $[d]$ is the disjoint union of $d$ sections in $\mathbb{G}_m(R)$. Let $T$ be the one defined by $x = \zeta$. Translation by $T$ defines an automorphism of $\mathbb{G}_m$ that stabilizes $\mathfrak{F}_A$.

One can then view elements in $S$ as congruence classes of functions on $\mathbb{G}_m$ modulo $\mathfrak{F}_A$. The main restriction of classical Kummer theory is that not every ring $R$ has a primitive $d$-th root of unity. One may look for an auxiliary extension $R' \supset R$ that contains such a primitive root, but this may result in many complications and a great loss of efficiency. Another approach, already experimented in the context of normal bases [9] for finite fields extensions, consists in replacing the multiplicative group $\mathbb{G}_m$ by some well chosen elliptic curve $E$ over $R$. We then look for a section $T \in E(R)$ of exact order $d$. Because elliptic curves are many, we increase our chances to find such a section. We call the resulting algebra $S$ a ring of elliptic periods because of the strong analogy with classical Gauss periods.

The first half of the present work is devoted to the explicit study of Kummer theory of elliptic curves and, more specifically, to the algebraic and algorithmic description of the residue algebras constructed as sketched above. The resulting elliptic functions and equations are not quite as simple as binomials. Still they can be described very explicitly and quickly, e.g. in...
quasi-linear time in the degree \( d \). The geometric situation is summarized by Theorem 1 and the \( R \)-algebra \( S \) of elliptic periods is described by Theorem 2. The second half of the paper proposes an elliptic version of the AKS primality criterion. A general, context free, primality criterion in the style of Berrizbeitia is first given in Theorem 3. This criterion involves an \( R \)-algebra \( S \) where \( R = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \) and \( n \) is the integer to be tested for primality. If we take \( S \) to be \( R[x]/(x^d - \alpha) \), we recover results by Berrizbeitia and his followers. If we take \( S \) to be a ring of elliptic periods, we obtain the elliptic primality criterion of Corollary 2.

While the proof of Corollary 2 uses the results in Section 2, much of Section 3 is independent of Section 2. Readers only interested in primality proving may skim through Section 2 and read Section 3, then come back to Section 2 for technical details.

2. ISOGENIES BETWEEN ELLIPTIC CURVES

In this section, we use isogenies between elliptic curves to construct ring extensions. To this end, we extend the methods introduced by Couveignes and Lercier \([9]\) in two different directions. Firstly, we provide efficient explicit expressions for the constants that appear in the multiplication tensor of the ring of elliptic periods. Thanks to these formulae, one can construct the ring of elliptic periods in quasi-linear time. Secondly, we explain how these methods, originally introduced in the context of finite fields, can be adapted to the more general context of rings.

We recall in Section 2.1 more or less classical formulae about elliptic curves and isogenies over fields. In Section 2.2, these formulae are proved to hold true over almost any base ring. In Section 2.3, we use isogenies to construct extension rings and we finally give a numerical example in Section 2.4.

**Notation:** If \( \overrightarrow{\alpha} = (\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \) and \( \overrightarrow{\beta} = (\beta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \) are two vectors of length \( d \), we denote by \( \overrightarrow{\alpha} \ast_j \overrightarrow{\beta} = \sum_i \alpha_i \beta_{j-i} \) the \( j \)-th component of the convolution product. We denote by \( \sigma(\overrightarrow{\alpha}) = (\alpha_{i-1})_i \) the cyclic shift of \( \overrightarrow{\alpha} \), by \( \overrightarrow{\alpha} \odot \overrightarrow{\beta} = (\alpha_i \beta_i)_i \) the component-wise product and by \( \overrightarrow{\alpha} \ast \overrightarrow{\beta} = (\overrightarrow{\alpha} \ast_i \overrightarrow{\beta})_i \) the convolution product.

2.1. Elliptic curves over fields. In this section, \( K \) is a field with characteristic \( p \) and \( E/K \) is an elliptic curve given by a Weierstrass equation

\[
Y^2 + a_1 X Y Z + a_3 Y Z^2 = X^3 + a_2 X^2 Z + a_4 X Z^2 + a_6 Z^3.
\]

We set

\[
b_2 = a_1^2 + 4a_2, \quad b_4 = a_1 a_3 + 2a_4, \quad b_6 = a_3^2 + 4a_6, \quad b_8 = a_2^3 + 4a_2 a_4 a_6 - a_1 a_3 a_4 + a_4^2 - a_2^2.
\]

We denote by \( O = [0 : 1 : 0] \) the origin.

Following Vélu \([26, 25]\) and Couveignes and Lercier \([9]\), we state a few identities related to a degree \( d \) separable isogeny with cyclic kernel \( I : E \to E' \). We exhibit in Section 2.1.3 a normal basis for the field extension \( K(E)/K(E') \) consisting of degree 2 functions. We study in Section 2.1.4 the matrix of the trace form in this normal basis.
2.1.1. Some simple elliptic functions. If $A$ is a point in $E(\overline{K})$, we denote by $\tau_A : E \to E$ the translation by $A$. Following [9, Section 2], we set $x_A = x \circ \tau_A$ and $y_A = y \circ \tau_A$.

We check that
\[
x_A \times (x - x(A))^2 = (a_3 + 2y(A) + a_1x(A)) y + x(A)x^2 + a_4 + a_1^2x(A) + a_1a_3 + 2a_2x(A) + a_1y(A) + x(A)^2 x + a_2^2 + a_4a_3x(A) + a_3y(A) + a_4x(A) + 2a_6. \tag{1}
\]

We do not give an explicit expression for $y_A$ but we check that $y_A \times (x-x(A))^3$ can be written as a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6, x(A), y(A), x, y]$. We also check that
\[
(x_A - x(A))(x - x_A) - (x - x(A)) = -\frac{\psi_3(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6, x(A))}{(x - x(A))^2} - \frac{\hat{\psi}_3(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6, x(A))}{x - x(A)} \tag{2}
\]
where $\psi_3(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6, x)$ is the so called 3-division polynomial:
\[
\psi_3 = 3x^4 + b_2x^3 + 3b_4x^2 + 3b_6x + b_8,
\]
and
\[
\hat{\psi}_3 = \psi_3/3 = 4x^3 + b_2x^2 + 2b_4x + b_6.
\]

We also check that the resultant of $\psi_3$ and $\hat{\psi}_3$ in the variable $x$ is
\[
\text{Res}_x(\psi_3, \hat{\psi}_3) = -\Delta^2 \tag{3}
\]
where $\Delta \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6]$ is the discriminant of the elliptic curve $E$.

If $A$, $B$ and $C$ are three pairwise distinct points in $E(\overline{K})$, we define $\Gamma(A, B, C)$ as in [9, Section 2],
\[
\Gamma(A, B, C) = \frac{y(C-A) - y(A-B)}{x(C-A) - x(A-B)}. \tag{4}
\]
Taking for $C$ the generic point on $E$, we define a function $u_{A,B} \in \overline{K}(E)$ by $u_{A,B}(C) = \Gamma(A, B, C)$. It has two simple poles: one at $A$ and one at $B$. The following identities are proven in [9, Section 2].
\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma(A, B, C) &= \Gamma(B, C, A) = -\Gamma(B, A, C) - a_1, \\
u_{A,B} + u_{B,C} + u_{C,A} &= \Gamma(A, B, C) - a_1, \\
u_{A,B}u_{A,C} &= x_A + \Gamma(A, B, C)u_{A,C} + \Gamma(A, C, B)u_{A,B} + a_2 + x_A(B) + x_A(C), \\
u_{A,B}^2 &= x_A + x_B - a_1u_{A,B} + x_A(B) + a_2. \tag{5}
\end{align*}
\]

We further can prove in the same way
\[
\begin{align*}
x_Cu_{A,B} &= \Gamma(A, B, C)x_C + x_B(C)u_{C,B} - x_A(C)u_{C,A} + y_A(C) - y_B(C), \\
x_Au_{A,B} &= y_A + x_B(A)u_{A,B} - y_B(A), \\
x_Bu_{A,B} &= -y_B - a_1x_B - a_3 + x_B(A)u_{A,B} - y_B(A).
\end{align*}
\]
2.1.2. Vélu’s formulae. Let \( d \geq 3 \) be an odd integer and let \( T \in E(K) \) be a point of order \( d \). For \( k \) an integer, we set \( x_k = x_{kT}, y_k = y_{kT} \) and following Vélu [26], we define
\[
x' = x + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d-1} [x_k - x(kT)] \quad \text{and} \quad y' = y + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d-1} [y_k - y(kT)].
\]
We also set
\[
w_4 = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq (d-1)/2} 6x(kT)^2 + b_2x(kT) + b_4,
\]
\[
w_6 = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq (d-1)/2} 10x(kT)^3 + 2b_2x(kT)^2 + 3b_4x(kT) + b_6,
\]
\[a'_4 = a_4 - 5w_4,
\]
\[a'_6 = a_6 - 2b_4w_4 - 7w_6,
\]
and
\[a'_1 = a_1, \quad a'_2 = a_2, \quad a'_3 = a_3.
\]
Vélu proves the identity
\[(y')^2 + a'_1x'y' + a'_3y' = (x')^3 + a'_2(x')^2 + a'_4x' + a'_6.
\]
So the map \((x, y) \mapsto (x', y')\) defines a degree \( d \) isogeny \( I : E \to E' \) where \( E' \) is the elliptic curve given by the above Weierstrass equation.

2.1.3. Elliptic normal basis. Let
\[U_k = u_{kT,(k+1)T} \quad \text{and} \quad u_k = au_{kT,(k+1)T} + b
\]
where \( a \neq 0 \) and \( b \) are scalars in \( K \) chosen such that
\[\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} u_k = 1.
\]
Such scalars always exist by [9, Lemma 4]. For \( k \) and \( l \) distinct and non-zero in \( \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \), we set
\[
\Gamma_{k,l} = \Gamma(O,kT,lT).
\]
Recall
\[u_{O,kT} = \frac{y - y(-kT)}{x - x(kT)}.
\]
We check that
\[U_k = u_{kT,(k+1)T} = u_{O,(k+1)T} - u_{O,kT} + \Gamma_{k,k+1}.
\]
The system \((u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}\) is a basis of \( K(E) \) over \( K(E') \). More precisely, we have the following lemma, that generalizes Lemma 5 of [9].

**Lemma 1** (A normal basis). Let \( E \) be an elliptic curve over a field \( K \). Let \( T \in E(K) \) be a point of odd order \( d \geq 3 \) and \( I : E \to E' \) be the degree \( d \) separable isogeny defined from \( T \) by Vélu’s formulæ. Let \((u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}\) be the functions in \( K(E) \) defined above. Then the system \((u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}\) is a \( K(E') \)-basis of \( K(E) \).

Moreover, let \( L \supset K \) be an extension of \( K \) and let \( A \in E'(L) \) be a non-zero point. Let \( B \in E(L) \) be a point on \( E \) such that \( I(B) = A \) and let
\[I^{-1}(A) = [B] + [B + T] + [B + 2T] + \cdots + [B + (d-1)T].\]
be the fiber of \( I \) above \( A \). Then the three following conditions are equivalent:

(i) The images of the \((u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}\) in the residue ring at \( I^{-1}(A) \) form a \( \mathbf{L} \)-basis of it;

(ii) The matrix \((u_k(B + lT))_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}\) is invertible;

(iii) The point \( A \) is not in the kernel of the dual isogeny \( I' : E' \to E \).

Proof. We preliminary base change \( E \) and \( E' \) to \( L \) and observe that the \((u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}\) are \( \mathbf{L} \)-linearly independent and form a basis of the linear space \( \mathcal{L}(I^{-1}(O')) \) where \( O' \) is the origin on \( E' \) and \( I^{-1}(O') = \{O\} + [T] + [2T] + \cdots + [(d - 1)T] \) is the kernel of \( I \). Indeed, let \((\lambda_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}\) be scalars in \( \mathbf{L} \) such that \( f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \lambda_k u_k \) is a non-degenerate quadratic form. For \( \text{Tr}(\lambda_k u_k) \), we deduce that every \( \lambda_k \) is zero. So the \((u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}\) are \( \mathbf{L} \)-independent. They form a basis of \( \mathcal{L}(I^{-1}(O')) \) because \( I^{-1}(O') \) is a degree \( d \) divisor (Riemann Roch theorem).

Now, let us prove the second part of the lemma.

To prove that (i) and (ii) are equivalent, we notice that a vector \((\lambda_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}\) is in the kernel of the matrix \((u_k(B + lT))_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}\) if and only if \( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \lambda_k u_k(B + lT) \) is zero for every \( l \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \). This is equivalent to the vanishing of the function \( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \lambda_k u_k \) on the fiber \( I^{-1}(A) \). Incidentally, we notice that the matrix \((u_k(B + lT))_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}\) is circulant.

To show that (iii) implies (i), let \((\lambda_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}\) be scalars in \( \mathbf{L} \) such that \( f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \lambda_k u_k \) vanishes on the fiber \( I^{-1}(A) \). If the \( \lambda_k \) are not all zero, then \( f \) is non-zero, and its divisor is \( I^{-1}(A) - I^{-1}(O') \). We deduce that \( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} [B + kT] - [kT] \) is a principal divisor. Thus \( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} (B + kT - kT) = dB = I'(A) = O \), the origin on \( E \). So \( A \) lies in the kernel of \( I' \).

Conversely, if \( A \) lies in the kernel of \( I' \), then the divisor \( I^{-1}(A) - I^{-1}(O') \) is principal. Let \( f \) be a non-zero function on \( E \) such that \( (f) = I^{-1}(A) - I^{-1}(O') \). Since \( f \) lies in \( \mathcal{L}(I^{-1}(O')) \), there exists a non-zero vector \((\lambda_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}\) in \( \mathbf{L}^d \) such that \( f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \lambda_k u_k \). But if \( f \) vanishes on the fiber \( I^{-1}(A) \), by construction. So (i) implies (iii).

To finally prove the first part of the lemma, it is now enough to take for \( A \) the generic point of \( E'/\mathbf{K} \). The generic point is not in the kernel of \( I' \) and thus the system \((u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}\) is a \( \mathbf{K}(E') \)-basis of \( \mathbf{K}(E) \). □

2.1.4. **The trace form.** Lemma 1 above provides a basis for the residue ring at a fiber \( I^{-1}(A) = [B] + \cdots + [B + (d - 1)T] \) where \( A \in E'(\mathbf{K}) \). We need fast algorithms for multiplying two elements in this residue ring, given by their coordinates in our basis. A prerequisite is to determine the coordinates of \( x(B) \) in the basis \((u_k(B))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}\). More generally, we are interested in the coordinates of \( x \) in the basis \((u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}\) of the \( \mathbf{K}(E') \)-vector space \( \mathbf{K}(E) \). The reason is that when multiplying \( u_k \) and \( u_l \) there appear some translates of \( x \). See Eqs. (5) and (6). We will give explicit expressions for these coordinates and explain how to compute them efficiently. We shall make use of the trace form of \( \mathbf{K}(E)/\mathbf{K}(E') \). Remind this is a non-degenerate quadratic form. For \( f \) a function on \( E \), we denote by \( \text{Tr}(f) \) the sum \( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} f \circ \tau_k T \). It can be seen as a function on \( E' \). Our goal is to compute \( \text{Tr}(u_k u_l) \) and \( \text{Tr}(u_k x) \) as linear combinations of 1, \( x' \) and \( y' \). We then deduce an explicit formula for the determinant of the trace form.
2.1.4.1. Traces of $u_{O,T}$. For $1 \leq k \leq d - 1$, we set $c_k = \text{Tr}(u_{O,T})$. It is proven in [9, Section 4.2] that

$$c_1 = \text{Tr}(u_{O,T}) = \sum_{1 \leq l \leq d - 2} \Gamma_{l+1} - a_1. \quad (14)$$

Assume $k$, $l$ and $k + l$ are non-zero in $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, then $\text{Tr}(u_{O,(k+l)T}) = \text{Tr}(u_{O,T}) + \text{Tr}(u_{O,lT}) - d\Gamma_{k,k+l}$. Thus,

$$c_{k+l} = c_k + c_l - d\Gamma_{k,k+l}. \quad (15)$$

This formula enables us to compute all the $c_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq d - 1$, at the expense of $O(d)$ operations in $\mathbb{K}$. Indeed, we first compute the coordinates $(x(kT), y(kT))$ for $1 \leq k \leq d - 1$. Then, using Eqs. (4) and (11), we compute $\Gamma_{k,k+1}$ for every $1 \leq k \leq d - 2$. We then use Eq. (14) to compute $c_1$. Finally, we use Eq. (15) repeatedly for $l = 1$ and $1 \leq k \leq d - 2$, and we deduce the values of $c_2, \ldots, c_{d-1}$.

2.1.4.2. Traces of $u_{kT}$. Assume first that $k \not\in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, so $O$, $T$, $kT$ and $(k+1)T$ are pairwise distinct. Then

$$U_0U_k = u_{O,T}(u_{O,(k+1)T} - u_{O,kT} + \Gamma_{k,k+1}),$$

$$= x + \Gamma_{1,k+1}u_{O,(k+1)T} - \Gamma_{1,k+1}u_{O,T} + x((k+1)T) - x - \Gamma_{1,k}u_{O,kT} + \Gamma_{1,k}u_{O,T} - x(T) - x(kT) + \Gamma_{k,k+1}u_{O,T},$$

$$= \Gamma_{1,k+1}(u_{O,(k+1)T} - u_{O,T}) - \Gamma_{1,k}(u_{O,kT} - u_{O,T}) + x((k+1)T) - x(kT) + \Gamma_{k,k+1}u_{O,T}. $$

So

$\text{Tr}(U_0U_k) = \Gamma_{1,k+1}(c_{k+1} - c_1) - \Gamma_{1,k}(c_k - c_1) + d(x((k+1)T) - x(kT)) + \Gamma_{k,k+1}c_1. \quad (16)$

For $k = 0$, we have $U_0^2 = x + xT - a_1u_{O,T} + x(T) + a_2$. And thus

$$\text{Tr}(U_0^2) = 2x' + d(x(T) + a_2) - a_1c_1 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq l \leq d - 1} x(lT). \quad (17)$$

For $k = -1$, we have

$$U_0U_{-1} = u_{O,T}u_{-T,O} = -u_{O,T}u_{O,-T} - a_1u_{O,T},$$

$$= -(x - \Gamma_{1,-1}u_{O,-T} - \Gamma_{1,-1}u_{O,T} + a_2 + x(T) + x(-T)), $$

$$= -x + \Gamma_{1,-1}(u_{-T,O} + a_1) + \Gamma_{1,-1}u_{O,T} - a_2 - 2x(T). $$

And thus

$$\text{Tr}(U_0U_{-1}) = -x' + 2\Gamma_{1,-1}c_1 + d(a_1\Gamma_{1,-1} - a_2) - 2dx(T) - \sum_{1 \leq l \leq d - 1} x(lT). \quad (18)$$

Finally, for $k = 1$, we have

$$\text{Tr}(U_0U_1) = \text{Tr}(U_{-1}U_0) = \text{Tr}(U_0U_{-1}). \quad (19)$$

Now, for any $k$ and $l$, we have

$$\text{Tr}(u_{kT}u_l) = a^2 \text{Tr}(U_kU_l) + b^2d + 2abc_1. \quad (20)$$

We set

$$\epsilon_k = \text{Tr}(u_0u_k). \quad (21)$$
This is a polynomial in \( x' \) with degree one if \( k \in \{ -1, 0, 1 \} \), and zero otherwise. We denote by \( \overline{\epsilon} \) the vector \( (\epsilon_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \).

Assume now we are given a non-zero point \( A \in E'(K) \). For every \( k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \), we write

\[
e_k = \epsilon_k(A). \tag{22}
\]

We can compute the vector \( \overline{\epsilon} = (\epsilon_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \) at the expense of \( O(d) \) operations in \( K \). We first compute the coordinates \( (x(kT), y(kT)) \) for \( 1 \leq k \leq d - 1 \), the coefficients \( \Gamma_{k,k+1} \) for every \( 1 \leq k \leq d - 2 \) and the \( c_k \) for \( 1 \leq k \leq d - 1 \) as explained in Section 2.1.4.1. We then compute the \( \Gamma_{1,k} \) for \( 2 \leq k \leq d - 1 \) using Eqs. (4) and (11). Then, we use Eqs. (16), (17), (18), and (19) to compute the values of the \( \text{Tr}(U_0 U_k) \) at \( A \). Finally, we use Eq. (20) to deduce \( \overline{\epsilon} \).

2.1.4.3. Traces of \( xu_k \). For \( k \notin \{ -1, 0 \} \), we have

\[
xU_k = xO_{kT,T}(k+1)T,
\]

\[
= \Gamma_{k,k+1} x + x((k+1)T)uO,(k+1)T - x(kT)uO,kT + 
\]

\[
y((k+1)T) - y(kT) + a_1(x((k+1)T) - x(kT)).
\]

And thus,

\[
\text{Tr}(xU_k) = \Gamma_{k,k+1} (x' + \sum_{1 \leq l \leq d-1} x(lT)) x((k+1)T) c_{k+1} - x(kT) c_k + 
\]

\[
d(y((k+1)T) - y(kT) + a_1(x((k+1)T) - x(kT))). \tag{23}
\]

For \( k = 0 \), we have

\[
xU_0 = xO_{0,T,T} = y + x(T)uO,T + y(T) + a_1x(T) + a_3.
\]

And thus,

\[
\text{Tr}(xU_0) = y' + x(T)c_1 + d(y(T) + a_1x(T) + a_3) + \sum_{1 \leq l \leq d-1} y(lT). \tag{24}
\]

For \( k = -1 \), we have

\[
xU_{-1} = xO_{-1,T,0} = -y - a_1x + x(T)uO_{-1,T,0} + y(T) + a_1x(T).
\]

And thus,

\[
\text{Tr}(xU_{-1}) = -y' - a_1x' + x(T)c_1 + d(y(T) + a_1x(T)) - \sum_{1 \leq l \leq d-1} (y(lT) + a_1x(lT)). \tag{25}
\]

We set

\[
u_k = \text{Tr}(xu_k) = a \text{Tr}(xU_k) + b(x' + \sum_{1 \leq l \leq d-1} x(lT)).
\]

This is a polynomial in \( x' \) and \( y' \) with total degree at most 1. The vector \( \overline{u} = (u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \) is the coordinate vector of \( x \) in the dual basis of \( (u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \). Remind we are interested in the coordinates of \( x \) in the dual basis \( (u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \) itself. Call \( \overline{\hat{u}} = (\hat{u}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \) these coordinates. We have

\[
\overline{u} = \overline{\epsilon} \star \overline{\hat{u}}. \tag{26}
\]

Assume now we are given a non-zero point \( A \in E'(K) \). For every \( k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \), we write

\[
u_k = u_k(A) \text{ and } \hat{u}_k = \hat{u}_k(A).
\]
We can compute the vector \( \overrightarrow{v} = (\iota_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \) at the expense of \( O(d) \) operations in \( K \). Then, using Eq. (26), we can compute the vector \( \overrightarrow{v} = (\iota_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \) at the expense of one division in the degree \( d \) convolution algebra over \( K \). This boils down to \( d(\log d)^2 \log \log d \) operations in \( K \).

### 2.1.4.4. The trace form

We now study the trace form in the basis \((u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}\). The matrix \((\text{Tr}(u_ku_l))_{k,l}\) is circulant and its determinant is

\[
D = |\text{Tr}(u_ku_l)|_{k,l} = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \zeta^{kl} \epsilon_l, \tag{27}
\]

where \( \zeta \) is a primitive \( d \)-th root of unity (that is \( \zeta^d = 1 \) and \( \zeta^k - 1 \) is a unit for every \( 1 \leq k \leq d - 1 \)).

We compute

\[
\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \epsilon_l = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \text{Tr}(u_0u_l) = \text{Tr}(u_0 \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} u_l) = \text{Tr}(u_0) = 1.
\]

Using Eqs. (16), (17), (18) and (19), we deduce that \( D \) is a degree \( \leq d - 1 \) polynomial in \( x' \) and the coefficient of \( (x')^{d-1} \) is

\[
a^{2d-2} \prod_{1 \leq k \leq d-1} (2 - \zeta^k - \zeta^{-k}) = a^{2d-2}d^2.
\]

Since \( \epsilon_k = \epsilon_{-k} \) for every \( k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \), we deduce from Eq. (27) that \( D \) is a square.

We now assume that \( d \) and the characteristc of \( K \) are coprime. So the degree of \( D(x') \) is \( d - 1 \). From Lemma 1, we deduce that the roots of \( D \) are the abscissae of points in the kernel of the dual isogeny \( I' : E' \to E \) and they all have multiplicity two. Using Eq. (7), we deduce

\[
\psi_I^{2d}(x)D(x') = a^{2d-2} \psi_d^2(x), \tag{28}
\]

where

\[
\psi_I(x) = \prod_{1 \leq k \leq (d-1)/2} (x - x(kT)), \tag{29}
\]

is the factor of \( \psi_d(x) \) corresponding to points in the kernel of \( I \).

#### 2.1.4.5. Example

We detail on a simple example how to construct a ring of elliptic periods. Following [9], we consider the elliptic curve \( E \) of order 10 defined by

\[
E/\mathbb{F}_7 : y^2 + xy + 5y = x^3 + 3x^2 + 3x + 2.
\]

The point \( T = (3,1) \) generates a subgroup \( T \subset E(\mathbb{F}_7) \) of order \( d = 5 \). The quotient elliptic curve \( E'/E/T \) given by Vélu’s formulae has equation

\[
E'/\mathbb{F}_7 : y^2 + xy + 5y = x^3 + 3x^2 + 4x + 6,
\]

and the quotient isogeny is

\[
I : (x,y) \mapsto (x',y') = \left( \frac{x^5 + 2x^2 + 5x + 6}{x^4 + 3x^2 + 4}, \frac{(x^6 + 4x^4 + 3x^3 + 6x^2 + 3x + 4)y + 3x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + 3x^2 + 4x + 1}{x^6 + x^4 + 5x^2 + 6} \right).
\]
We focus first on $\text{Tr}(u_{O,t})$. We have

$$\begin{align*}
(u_{O,k})_{1 \leq k \leq d-1} &= \left( \frac{y + 2}{x + 4}, \frac{y + 2}{x + 3}, \frac{y + 6}{x + 4} \right).
\end{align*}$$

A direct but heavy calculation yields

$$c_1 = \frac{y + 2}{x + 4} + \frac{y + 2x^2 + 5}{x^2 + 5} + \frac{5}{x + 3} + \frac{6yx + 3y + 2x^3 + 3x}{(x^2 + 5)(x + 4)} + \frac{6y + 6x + 4}{x + 4} = 3.
$$

Alternatively, if we first compute $\Gamma_{1,2} = 2$, $\Gamma_{2,3} = 0$, $\Gamma_{3,4} = 2$, we more easily come to $c_1 = 2 + 0 + 2 - 1 = 3$. From Eq. (15), we deduce $c_2 = 3$, $c_3 = 6$, $c_4 = 6$.

Let us now consider $\text{Tr}(U_0^2)$. A direct calculation yields

$$\begin{align*}
\text{Tr}(U_0^2) &= \frac{(y + 2)^2}{(x + 4)^2} + \frac{(y + 2x^2 + 5)^2}{(x^2 + 5)^2} + \frac{5^2}{(x + 3)^2} + \\
&\quad \frac{6y(x + 3) + 2x^3 + 3x}{(x^2 + 5)(x + 4)^2} + \frac{(6y + 6x + 4)^2}{(x + 4)^2},
\end{align*}$$

But, from Eq. (24), we find that this is equal to

$$2x' + 5(3 + 3) - 1.3 + 2(3 + 4 + 4 + 3).$$

If we now look more carefully at $\text{Tr}(xU_0)$, we have

$$\begin{align*}
\text{Tr}(xU_0) &= x \cdot \frac{y + 2}{x + 4} + \frac{3y + 3x^2 + 4x + 2}{x^2 + x + 2} \cdot \frac{y + 2x^2 + 5}{x^2 + 5} + \\
&\quad \frac{2y + 4x^2 + 3x + 5}{x^2 + 6x + 2} \cdot \frac{5}{x + 3} + \\
&\quad \frac{5y(x + 1) + 4x^3 + 6x^2 + 5x + 6}{(x^2 + 6x + 2)(x + 3)} \cdot \frac{6yx + 3y + 2x^3 + 3x}{(x^2 + 5)(x + 4)} + \\
&\quad \frac{4y + 3x^2 + x + 1}{x^2 + x + 2} \cdot \frac{6y + 6x + 4}{x + 4},
\end{align*}$$

But, from Eq. (24), we find that this is equal to

$$y' + 3.3 + 5(1 + 1.3 + 5) + (1 + 5 + 5).$$

Let us finally notice that since $c_1 = 3 \neq 0$, we can take $a = 1/c_1 = 3$ and $b = 0$ (see Section 2.1.3). Moreover, let now $A = (4, 2) \in E'(\mathbb{F}_7)$. Take $B \in E(\mathbb{F}_7)$ such that $I(B) = A$. We set $\tau = x(B) \in \mathbb{F}_7$ and check that $\tau$ is a root of the irreducible $\mathbb{F}_7$-polynomial $(x^5 + 2x^2 + 5x + 0) - 4(x^4 + 3x^2 + 4) = x^5 + 3x^4 + 4x^2 + 5x + 4$. We find that $v = (0, 4, 0, 0, 4)$. 

2.2. Universal Weierstrass elliptic curves. All identities stated in Section 2.1 still make sense and hold true for an elliptic curve over a commutative ring under some mild restrictions. Some (but not all) of these identities are proven in this general context in Vélu’s thesis [25] and Katz and Mazur’s book [13, Chapter 2]. In this section, we give an elementary proof for all the required identities. We consider in Section 2.2.2 a sort of universal ring for Weierstrass curves with torsion. This ring being an integral domain, the identities hold true in its fraction field. There only remains to check the integrality of all quantities involved. By inverting the determinant of Eq. (27), we define in Section 2.2.3 a localization of the universal ring where the system \((u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d}\) remains a basis for the function ring extension associated to the isogeny.

2.2.1. Division polynomials. Let \(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_6\) be indeterminates and set \(B_2 = A_1^2 + 4A_2, B_4 = 2A_1 + A_1A_3, B_6 = A_1^3 + 4A_6, B_8 = A_1^2A_6 + 4A_2A_6 - A_1A_3A_4 + 2A_2A_6^2 - A_1^2\), and

\[
\Delta = -B_2^2B_8 - 8B_4^2 - 27B_6^2 + 9B_2B_4B_6.
\]

Set

\[
A_1 = \mathbb{Z}[A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_6, \frac{1}{\Delta}].
\]

Let \(x\) and \(y\) be two more indeterminates. Set

\[
\Lambda(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_6, x, y) = y^2 + A_1xy + A_3y - x^3 - A_2x^2 - A_4x - A_6 \in A_1[x, y].
\]

Let \(E_{\text{aff}}\) be the affine smooth plane curve over \(A_1\) with equation \(\Lambda(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_6, x, y) = 0\). Let \(E\) be the projective scheme over \(A_1\) with equation \(Y^2Z + A_1XYZ + A_3YZ^2 = X^3 + A_2X^2Z + A_4XZ^2 + A_6Z^3\). We denote by \(O\) the section \([0, 1, 0]\). We have \(E_{\text{aff}} = E - O\) and \(E\) is an elliptic curve over \((\text{the spectrum of})\) \(A_1\) in the sense of [13].

For every integer \(k \geq 0\), we denote by \(\psi_k(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_6, x, y)\) the functions in \(A_1[x, y]/(\Lambda)\) defined recursively as in [10, Prop. 3.53]:

\[
\psi_0 = 0, \quad \psi_1 = 1, \quad \psi_2 = 2y + A_1x + A_3,
\]

\[
\psi_3 = 3x^4 + B_2x^3 + 3B_4x^2 + 3B_6x + B_8,
\]

\[
\psi_4 = \psi_2(2x^6 + B_2x^5 + 5B_4x^4 + 10B_6x^3 + 10B_8x^2 + (B_2B_8 - B_4B_6)x + B_4B_8 - B_6^2),
\]

\[
\psi_{2k} = \frac{\psi_k}{\psi_2} \left( \psi_{k+2} \psi_k - \psi_k \psi_{k+1} \right),
\]

\[
\psi_{2k+1} = \psi_{k+2} \psi_k - \psi_k \psi_{k+1}.
\]

These are in \(A_1[x, y]/(\Lambda)\) but we can see them as polynomials in \(A_1[x, y]\) with degree 0 or 1 in \(y\). If \(k\) is odd, then \(\psi_k\) belongs to \(A_1[x]\) and, as a polynomial in \(x\), we have \(\psi_k = kx^{k^2-3} + O(x^{k^2-3})\). If \(k\) is even, then \(\psi_k/\psi_2\) belongs to \(A_1[x]\). The ring \(A_1[x, y]/(\Lambda)\) is an integral domain. Following [10, Prop. 3.52, Prop. 3.55], we define the following elements of its field of fractions:

\[
g_k = x - \frac{\psi_{k+1}\psi_{k-1}}{\psi_k},
\]

\[
h_k = y + \frac{\psi_{k+2}\psi_{k-1}}{\psi_k^2} + (3x^2 + 2A_2x + A_4 - A_1y) \frac{\psi_{k-1}\psi_{k+1}}{\psi_k^2}.
\]
The following important relation holds true:

\[ g_k - g_l = -\frac{\psi_k + \psi_{k-l}}{\psi_k^2 \psi_l^2} \text{ if } k > l \geq 1. \]  

(30)

We recall that multiplication by \( k \) on \( E - E[k] \) is given by \( (x, y) \mapsto (g_k x, h_k y) \). Indeed, this is well known on the generic fiber of \( E \) and it extends to all \( E \) by (Zariski) continuity.

2.2.2. Universal Vélu’s isogenies. Let \( d \geq 3 \) be an odd integer and let “\( x(T) \)” and “\( y(T) \)” be two more indeterminates. Let \( S \) be the multiplicative subset in \( A_1[x(T), y(T)] \) generated by all \( \psi_k(x(T), y(T)) \) for \( 1 \leq k \leq d - 1 \). Let \( A_d \) be the ring

\[ A_d = A_1[x(T), y(T), 1/S, 1/d]/(\psi_d(x(T)), \Lambda(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_6, x(T), y(T))). \]

This is an étale algebra over \( A_1[1/d, 1/S] \). Since the later is a regular ring, \( A_d \) is regular too. This is also an integral domain. Indeed, the \( d \)-torsion of the generic Weierstrass curve is irreducible. We denote by \( K_d \) the field of fractions of \( A_d \). The point \( T = (x(T), y(T)) \) defines a section of \( E_{\text{aff}} \) over \( A_d \). The curve \( E \), base changed to \( A_d \), may be seen as the universal Weierstrass elliptic curve with a point of exact order \( d \) over a ring where \( d \) is invertible.

For every for all scalars such that \( 1 \leq k \leq d - 1 \), the point \( kT \) defines a section of \( E \) over \( A_d \). We call \( x(kT) \) and \( y(kT) \) its coordinates and we have

\[ x(kT) = g_k(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_6, x(T), y(T)) \in A_d, \]
\[ y(kT) = h_k(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_6, x(T), y(T)) \in A_d. \]

We note that due to Eq. (30), the difference \( x(lT) - x(kT) \) is a unit in \( A_d \) for any \( k \) and \( l \) in \( \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \) such that \( k, l, k + l \) and \( k - l \) are not zero. If we base change \( E \) to \( K_d \), we obtain an elliptic curve over a field and we can introduce all the scalars and functions of Section 2.1: the \( \Gamma_{k, l} \), the \( x_k, y_k, U_k, x', y', w_4, w_6, c_k \ldots \) The denominators arising in the definition of these scalars and functions are units in

\[ A_d \big[ E - E[d] \big] = A_d \big[ 1/\psi_d(x), x, y \big]/(\Lambda(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_6, x, y)). \]

So all these scalars (resp. functions) are in \( A_d \) (resp. \( A_d \big[ E - E[d] \big] \)). Especially, we can now define the isogenous curve \( E' \) thanks to Eq. (8), then the isogenies \( I \) and \( I' \).

There remains to choose \( a \) and \( b \). We just take \( a = 1 \) and \( b = (1 - c_1)/d \). Then the functions \( u_k = aU_k + b \) are in \( A_d \big[ E - E[d] \big] \). All equations from Eq. (11) to Eq. (29) still hold true because they are true in \( K_d(E) \) and \( A_d \big[ E - E[d] \big] \) embeds in the later field.

2.2.3. A normal basis. The open subset \( E - E[d] \) is the spectrum of the ring \( A_d \big[ E - E[d] \big] \). This is an integral domain and a regular ring (because it is smooth over \( A_d \)). Therefore it is integrally closed. The open subset \( E' - \text{Ker} I' \) is the spectrum of the ring

\[ A_d \big[ E' - \text{Ker} I' \big] = A_d \big[ 1/D(x'), x', y' \big]/(\Lambda(A'_1, A'_2, A'_3, A'_4, A'_6, x', y')). \]

This is again an integral domain and a regular ring (because it is smooth over \( A_d \)). Therefore it is integrally closed too. Eqs. (1), (7), (28) and (29) show that \( A_d \big[ E' - \text{Ker} I' \big] \) is included in \( A_d \big[ E - E[d] \big] \). Eqs. (1) and (7) prove that \( x \) and \( y \) are integral over \( A_d \big[ E' - \text{Ker} I' \big] \). We deduce that the translates \( (x_k)_{1 \leq k \leq d-1} \) and \( (y_k)_{1 \leq k \leq d-1} \) are integral over \( A_d \big[ E' - \text{Ker} I' \big] \) too. Using Eq. (2), we deduce that \( 1/(x - x(kT)) \) are integral over \( A_d \big[ E' - \text{Ker} I' \big] \). Note that in the special case \( d = 3 \), we also need Eq. (3). Now Eqs. (28) and (29) prove that
Lemma 2 is a unit. This finite free module of rank integrally closed. Now remember that the determinant of the trace form is a unit in \(A\). Ker \(I\). Therefore their traces \(\text{Tr}(fu_k)\) belong to \(A[E' - Ker I']\), since this ring is integrally closed. Now remember that the determinant of the trace form is

\[ D(x') = |\text{Tr}(u_k u_l)|_{k,l}, \]

a unit in \(A[E' - Ker I']\). We deduce that the coordinates of \(f\) in the basis \((u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}\) are in \(A[E' - Ker I']\). We thus have found a basis for the \(A[E' - Ker I']\)-module \(A[E - E[d]]\). This finite free module of rank \(d\) is also étale because the determinant \(D(x')\) of the trace form is a unit.

Let \(\sigma\) be the \(A[E' - Ker I']\)-automorphism of \(A[E - E[d]]\) induced by the translation \(\tau_{-T}\). We have \(\sigma(u_k) = u_{k+1}\) for every \(k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}\).

Lemma 2 (A freeness result). The ring

\[ A_d[E - E[d]] = A_d[1/\psi_d(x)], x, y]/(\Lambda(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_6, x, y)) \]

is a finite free étale algebra of rank \(d\) over

\[ A_d[E' - Ker I'] = A_d[1/D(x')], x', y']/(\Lambda(A_1', A_2', A_3', A_4', A_6', x', y')) \]

and \((u_k)_{1 \leq k \leq d-1}\) is a basis for this free algebra. For every \(k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}\), we have \(\sigma(u_k) = u_{k+1}\) where \(\sigma\) is the \(A_d[E' - Ker I']\)-automorphism of \(A_d[E - E[d]]\) induced by the translation \(\tau_{-T}\).

The following theorem is proven by base change in Lemma 2.

Theorem 1 (Elliptic Kummer extension). Let \(d \geq 3\) be an odd integer. Let \(R\) be a ring where \(d\) is invertible. Let \(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6, x\) and \(\eta\) be elements in \(R\) such that

- \(\Delta(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6)\) is a unit in \(R\),
- \(\psi_d(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6, x, \eta) = 0\),
- \(\psi_d(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6, x, \eta)\) is a unit in \(R\) for any \(1 \leq k \leq d - 1\).

Then \(T = (x, \eta)\) is a point of exact order \(d\) on the Weierstrass elliptic curve given by the equation \(y^2 + a_1 xy + a_3 y = x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_4 x + a_6\) over \(R\).

Set \(a = 1\) and \(b = (1 - c_1)/d\) and \(u_k = aU_k + b\). Then all equations from Eq. (11) to Eq. (29) still make sense and hold true in the ring

\[ R[E - E[d]] = R[1/\psi_d(x)], x, y]/(\Lambda(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6, x, y)) \]

and this ring is a finite free étale algebra of rank \(d\) over

\[ R[E' - Ker I'] = R[1/D(x')], x', y']/(\Lambda(a_1', a_2', a_3', a_4', a_6', x', y')) \]

and \((u_k)_{1 \leq k \leq d-1}\) is a basis for this free algebra.

For every \(k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}\), we have \(\sigma(u_k) = u_{k+1}\) where \(\sigma\) is the \(R[E' - Ker I']\)-automorphism of \(R[E - E[d]]\) induced by the translation \(\tau_{-T}\).
2.3. Rings of elliptic periods. In this section, we give a recipe for constructing an extension of a ring $R$ using an isogeny between two elliptic curves over $R$. The resulting ring will be called a ring of elliptic periods. It will be a finite free étale algebra over $R$. We just adapt the construction of [9, Section 4] to the case where the base ring is no longer a field. So in this section, $R$ is a ring and $d \geq 3$ is an odd integer. We assume that $d$ is invertible in $R$ and that we are given an elliptic curve $E$ over $R$ by its Weierstrass equation $y^2 + a_1 xy + a_3 y = x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_4 x + a_6$ where $\Delta(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6)$ is a unit in $R$. We also are given a $R$-point $T = (x, y)$ on $E$ with exact order $d$. We call $I : E \rightarrow E'$ the corresponding isogeny, given by Vélu's formulae. Let $D(x') = |e_{t-k}|_{k,l}$ be the polynomial in $R[x']$ defined by Eqs. (27), (28) and (21).

We further assume that we are given a section $A = (x'(A), y'(A)) \in E'(R)$ of $E'_{\text{aff}} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$. We assume that $D(x'(A))$ is a unit in $R$. Geometrically, this means that the section $A$ does not intersect the kernel of the dual isogeny $I' : E' \rightarrow I$. This is equivalent to the circulant matrix $(e_{t-k}(A))_{k,l}$ being invertible. For every $k$ in $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, we write $e_k = e_{t}(A)$. This is an element of $R$. Saying that the circulant matrix $(e_{t-k})_{k,l}$ is invertible means that the vector $\vec{e} = (e_{k})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}$ is invertible for the convolution product $*$ on $R^d$. We denote by $\vec{e}^{-1}$ the inverse of $\vec{e}$ for the convolution product. The ideal $(x' - x'(A), y' - y'(A))$ of $R[E - E[d]] = R[x, y, 1/\psi_d(x)]/(\Lambda(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6, x, y))$ is denoted $\mathfrak{f}_A$. We call

$$S = R[x,y,1/\psi_d(x)]/(\Lambda(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6, x, y), \mathfrak{f}_A),$$

the residue ring of $I^{-1}(A)$. We say that $S$ is a ring of elliptic periods. If we specialize at $A$ in Theorem 1, we find that $S$ is a finite free étale $R$-algebra with basis $\Theta = (\theta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}$ where

$$\theta_k = u_k \mod \mathfrak{f}_A.$$  

We call $\sigma : S \rightarrow S$ be the $R$-automorphism induced on $S$ by the translation $\tau_{-T},$

$$\sigma : f \mod \mathfrak{f}_A \longrightarrow f \circ \tau_{-T} \mod \mathfrak{f}_A.$$  

It is clear that $\sigma(\theta_k) = \theta_{k+1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. So, if $\alpha = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \alpha_k \theta_k$ is an element of $S$ with coordinates $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \in R^d$ in the basis $\Theta$, then the coordinate vector of $\alpha$ is the cyclic shift $\sigma(\vec{\alpha}) = (\alpha_{k-1})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}$ of $\vec{\alpha}$. We see that the $R$-automorphism $\sigma : S \rightarrow S$ of the free $R$-algebra $S$ takes a very simple form on the basis $\Theta$.

We call $L \subset R[E - E[d]]$ the $R$-module generated by the $u_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. We know that reduction modulo $\mathfrak{f}_A$ defines an isomorphism of $R$-modules:

$$\epsilon_A : L \longrightarrow S,$$

$$f \longrightarrow f \mod \mathfrak{f}_A.$$

So elements in $S$ can be represented by elements in $L$.

We now study the multiplication tensor in $S$. We shall find a simple expression for this tensor using interpolation at some auxiliary points, in the spirit of discrete Fourier transform. We first notice that if $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ and $k \neq l, l + 1, l - 1 \mod d$, then

$$u_k u_l \in L.$$  

This is proven using Eqs. (5), (9), and (13). Using Eqs. (5), (6), (9), and (13), we also show that

$$u_{k-1} u_k + a^2 x_k \in L \text{ and } u_k^2 - a^2 x_k - a^2 x_{k+1} \in L.$$
So if \((\alpha_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}\) and \((\beta_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}\) are two vectors in \(R^d\), we have

\[
\left(\sum_k \alpha_k u_k\right) \left(\sum_k \beta_k u_k\right) = a^2 \sum_k \alpha_k \beta_k (x_k + x_{k+1}) - a^2 \sum_k \alpha_{k-1} \beta_k x_k - a^2 \sum_k \beta_{k-1} \alpha_k x_k \mod \mathcal{L} \\
= a^2 \sum_k (\alpha_k - \alpha_{k-1})(\beta_k - \beta_{k-1}) x_k \mod \mathcal{L}.
\]

We now assume we are given an auxiliary section \(M = (x(M), y(M)) \) of \(E_{\text{aff}} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)\) such that the image \(N = I(M)\) of \(M\) by \(I\) is a section \((x'(N), y'(N))\) of \(E_{\text{aff}} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)\) and \(D(x'(N))\) is a unit in \(R\). So, the residue ring at \(I^{-1}(N)\) is a free \(R\)-module of rank \(d\) and the evaluation map

\[
\epsilon_N: \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow R^d, \\
f \longrightarrow (f(M + kT))_{k\in\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}.
\]

is a bijection. Also, the vector

\[
\overline{u}_N = (u_0(M + kT))_{k\in\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}
\]

is invertible for the convolution product in \(R^d\). We call \(\overline{u}_N^{-1}\) its inverse. We denote by \(\overline{x}_N\) the vector

\[
\overline{x}_N = \epsilon_N(x) = (x(M + kT))_{k\in\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}.
\]

We note

\[
\xi_k = x_k \mod \mathfrak{g}_A
\]

for every \(k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}\). Since \(S\) is free over \(R\) and \(\Theta\) is a basis for it, there exist scalars \((i_k)\) in \(R\) such that

\[
\xi_0 = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} i_k \theta_k.
\]

So \(\overline{\iota} = (i_k)\) is the coordinate vector of \(\xi_0\) in the basis \(\Theta\). In Section 2.1.4.3, we already explained how to compute these coordinates in quasi-linear time in the dimension \(d\).

Let \(\alpha, \beta\) and \(\gamma\) be three elements in \(S\) such that \(\gamma = \alpha \beta\). Let \(\overline{\alpha} = (\alpha_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}\) be the coordinate vector of \(\alpha\) in the basis \(\Theta\). Define \(\overline{\beta}\) and \(\overline{\gamma}\) in a similar way. To compute the multiplication tensor, we use an argument similar to the one of [9, Section 4.3]. We define four functions in \(A_d[E - E[d]]\),

\[
f_\alpha = \sum_i \alpha_i u_i, \quad f_\beta = \sum_i \beta_i u_i, \\
Q = a^2 \sum_i (\alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1})(\beta_i - \beta_{i-1}) x_i, \\
R = f_\alpha f_\beta - Q.
\]

The product we want to compute is \(f_\alpha f_\beta = Q + R \mod \mathfrak{g}_A\). From Eq. (31), we deduce that \(R\) is in \(\mathcal{L}\). From the definition of \(\overline{\iota}\), we deduce that the coordinates in \(\Theta\) of \(Q \mod \mathfrak{g}_A\) are given by the vector

\[
\overline{\iota} \ast \left(a^2(\overline{\alpha} - \sigma(\overline{\alpha})) \circ (\overline{\beta} - \sigma(\overline{\beta}))\right).
\]

The evaluation of \(f_\alpha\) at the points \((M + kT)\) is the vector \(\epsilon_N(f_\alpha) = \overline{u}_N \ast \overline{\alpha}\). The evaluation of \(R\) is \(\epsilon_N(R) = ((\overline{u}_N \ast \overline{\alpha}) \circ (\overline{u}_N \ast \overline{\beta}) - \overline{x}_N \ast (a^2(\overline{\alpha} - \sigma(\overline{\alpha})) \circ (\overline{\beta} - \sigma(\overline{\beta})))\). If we \(\ast\) multiply this last vector on the left by \(\overline{u}_N^{-1}\), we obtain the coordinates of \(R\) in the basis \((u_0, \ldots, u_{d-1})\). These are the coordinates of \(R \mod \mathfrak{g}_A\) in the basis \(\Theta\) too.
So the multiplication tensor in the $R$-basis $\Theta$ of the free $R$-algebra $S$ is given by

\[
\bar{\gamma} = (\alpha^2 \bar{\varepsilon}) \star \left( (\bar{\alpha} - \sigma(\bar{\alpha})) \diamond (\bar{\beta} - \sigma(\bar{\beta})) \right) + \\
\bar{u}_N^{(-1)} \star \left( (\bar{u}_N \star \bar{\alpha}) \diamond (\bar{u}_N \star \bar{\beta}) - (\alpha^2 \bar{x}_N) \star \left( (\bar{\alpha} - \sigma(\bar{\alpha})) \diamond (\bar{\beta} - \sigma(\bar{\beta})) \right) \right)
\]  

(34)

This multiplication tensor consists of 5 convolution products, 2 component-wise products, 1 addition and 3 subtractions between vectors in $R^4$.

The following theorem summarizes the results in this section.

**Theorem 2** (The ring of elliptic periods). Let $d \geq 3$ be an odd integer. Let $R$ be a ring where $d$ is invertible. Let $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6, x$ and $\eta$ be elements in $R$ such that $\Delta(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6)$ is a unit in $R$ and the point $T = (x, \eta)$ is a point of exact order $d$ on the Weierstrass elliptic curve over $R$ given by the equation $y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$. Let $I : E \to E'$ be the Vélu’s isogeny with kernel $(T)$ and let $A = (x'(A), y'(A)) \in E'(R)$ be a section of $E_{\text{aff}}' \to \text{Spec}(R)$ that does not intersect the kernel of the dual isogeny $\hat{I} : E' \to I$ (equivalently $D(x'(A))$ is a unit in $R$). Let $\mathfrak{I}_A = (x' - x'(A), y' - y'(A))$ be the corresponding ideal of $R[E - E[d]] = R[x, y, 1/\psi_d(x)]/(\Lambda(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6, x, y))$. Let

\[
S = R[x, y, 1/\psi_d(x)]/(\Lambda(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6, x, y), \mathfrak{I}_A),
\]

be the residue ring of $I^{-1}(A)$. Then $S$ is a finite free étale $R$-algebra of rank $d$. If we call $\sigma : S \to S$ the $R$-automorphism induced on $S$, by the translation $\tau_{-T}$, then $S$ is a free $R[\sigma]$-module of rank 1.

Using notations introduced from Eq. (11) to Eq. (29), we set $a = 1$, $b = (1 - c_1)/d$, $u_k = aU_k + b$ and $\theta_k = u_k$ mod $\mathfrak{I}_A$. Then $\sigma(\theta_k) = \theta_{k+1}$, and $\Theta = (\theta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}$ is an $R$-basis of $S$. If $M = (x(M), y(M)) \in E(R)$ is an auxiliary section that does not cross $E[d]$, then the multiplication tensor of $S$ in the basis $\Theta$ is given by Eq. (34).

2.4. **Example.** Let $R$ be the ring $\mathbb{Z}/101^2\mathbb{Z}$. We consider the elliptic curve $E$ over $R$ defined by the Weierstrass equation $E/(\mathbb{Z}/101^2\mathbb{Z}) : y^2 = x^3 + 55x + 91$. Let $T$ be the point $(659, 8304) \in E/(\mathbb{Z}/101^2\mathbb{Z})$. This is a point with exact order $d = 7$.

We first compute $\Gamma_{1,2} = 5780$, $\Gamma_{2,3} = 4390$, $\Gamma_{3,4} = 3956$, $\Gamma_{4,5} = 4390$ and $\Gamma_{5,6} = 5780$. We then find $c_1 = 3534$, and from Eq. (15), we deduce $c_2 = 7412$, $c_3 = 618$, $c_4 = 9583$, $c_5 = 2789$ and $c_6 = 6667$. Moreover $c_1$ is a unit in $R$ and we set $a = 1/c_1 = 6665$ and $b = 0$.

We compute the quotient elliptic curve $E' = E/(T)$ thanks to Vélu’s formulae. This yields the curve $E'/(\mathbb{Z}/101^2\mathbb{Z}) : y^2 = x^3 + 6725x + 6453$. Let $A$ be the point $(1373, 1956) \in E'(\mathbb{Z}/101^2\mathbb{Z})$. This is a point with exact order 14.

We can efficiently compute traces of $u_kU_l$ evaluated at $A$ with Eqs. (16), (17), (18), (19) and (20). We find

\[
\bar{\varepsilon} = (9428, 6046, 1946, 2596, 2596, 1946, 6046).
\]

This vector is invertible for the convolution product in $R^d$ and its inverse is

\[
\bar{\varepsilon}^{(-1)} = (3392, 3344, 10161, 101, 101, 10161, 3344).
\]

We now compute traces of $xu_k$ evaluated at $A$ with Eqs. (23), (24), (25) and (26), and find

\[
\bar{\eta} = (10063, 4509, 6660, 4259, 6660, 4509, 138).
\]
We finally obtain
\[ \overrightarrow{t} = e^{(t-1)} \circ \overrightarrow{t} = (7790, 6555, 2470, 2741, 4358, 2047, 636). \]
Let us consider the additional evaluation point \( M = (8903, 4033) \in E(\mathbb{Z}/101^2 \mathbb{Z}). \) We check that \( (\epsilon_k(N))_k \) where \( N = I(M) \) is invertible for the convolution product in \( R^d. \) So \( N \) does not cross the kernel of the dual isogeny. Then Eq. (33) yields
\[ \alpha^2 \overrightarrow{x_N} = (2742, 2044, 649, 2348, 7216, 9732, 7464). \]
Similarly, Eq. (32) yields
\[ \overrightarrow{u_N} = (1029, 7201, 10176, 1807, 4875, 3261, 2255). \]
And therefore, \( \overrightarrow{u_N}^{(-1)} = (7790, 1761, 3889, 6998, 5866, 1090, 3210). \)

Now, let us make use of these precomputations to, for instance, compute \( \theta_0^2 \) with Eq. (34). We thus start from \( \overrightarrow{\alpha} = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), \) and we first compute
\[ \overrightarrow{u_N} \circ \overrightarrow{\alpha} = (1029, 7201, 10176, 1807, 4875, 3261, 2255), \]
and
\[ \alpha^2 \overrightarrow{x_N} \circ (\overrightarrow{\alpha} - \sigma(\overrightarrow{\alpha})) = (5, 4786, 2693, 2997, 9564, 6747, 6995). \]
Thus,
\[ \overrightarrow{u_N}^{(-1)} \circ (\overrightarrow{u_N} \circ \overrightarrow{\alpha}) \circ (\overrightarrow{u_N} \circ \overrightarrow{\beta}) = (8133, 8133, 8133, 8133, 8133, 8133, 8133, 8133, 8133). \]
It follows,
\[ (\alpha^2 \overrightarrow{t}) \circ (\overrightarrow{\alpha} - \sigma(\overrightarrow{\alpha})) = (6406, 4952, 8520, 969, 8109, 7516, 7834), \]
and finally
\[ \overrightarrow{\gamma} = (4338, 2884, 6452, 9102, 6041, 5448, 5766). \]

3. An elliptic AKS criterion
Agrawal, Kayal and Saxena have proven [1] that primality of an integer \( n \) can be tested in deterministic polynomial time \( \log^2 n + o(1). \) Their test, often called the AKS test, relies on explicit computations in the multiplicative group of a well chosen free commutative \( R \)-algebra \( S \) of finite rank, where \( R = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}. \) More precisely, they take for \( S \) the cyclic algebra \( R[x]/(x^r - 1) \) where \( r \) is a well chosen, and rather large, integer.

Lenstra and Pomerance generalized this algorithm and obtained the better deterministic complexity \( \log^6 n + o(1) \) [16]. The main improvement in Lenstra and Pomerance’s approach consists in using a more general construction for the free commutative algebra \( S. \) As a consequence, the dimension of \( S \) is much smaller for a given \( n \), and this results in a faster algorithm. A nice survey [24] has been written by Schoof.

Berrizbeitia first [6], and then Cheng [8], have proven that there exists a probabilistic variant of these algorithms that works in time \( \log^2 n + o(1) \) provided \( n - 1 \) has a divisor \( d \) bigger than \( \log^2 n \) and smaller than a constant times \( \log^2 n. \) Avanzi and Mihăilescu [4], and independently Bernstein [5], explain how to treat a general integer \( n \) using a divisor \( d \) of \( n^f - 1 \) instead, where \( f \) is a small integer. The initial idea consists in using \( R \)-automorphisms of \( S \) to speed up the calculations. In these variants, the free commutative \( R \)-algebra \( S \) has
to be constructed in such a way that a non-trivial $R$-automorphism $\sigma : S \to S$ is effectively given, and can be efficiently applied to any element in $S$.

All the aforementioned algorithms construct $S$ as a residue ring modulo $n$ of a cyclotomic or Kummer extension of the ring $\mathbb{Z}$ of integers. In this section, we propose an AKS-like primality criterion that relies on Kummer theory of elliptic curves. The main advantage of this elliptic variant, compared to the Berrizbeitia-Cheng-Avanzi-Mihăilescu-Bernstein one, is that it allows a much greater choice for the value of $d$, since there exist many elliptic curves modulo $n$. We are not restricted to divisors of $n - 1$. We can use any $d$ that divides the order of any elliptic curve modulo $n$. In particular, we avoid the complication and the cost coming from the exponent $f$ in $n^f - 1$. The algorithm remains almost quartic both in time and space. However, we heuristically save a factor $(\log \log n)^{O(\log \log \log \log n)}$ in the complexity. From a practical viewpoint, it might be worth choosing for $d$ a product of prime integers of the appropriate size, depending of ones implementation of fast Fourier transform.

Section 3.1 gathers prerequisites from commutative algebra. In Section 3.2, we describe a rather general variant of the AKS primality criterion: it makes uses of a free $R$-algebra $S$ of rank $d$ together with an $R$-automorphism $\sigma : S \to S$ of order $d$. We recall how this algebra can be constructed from multiplicative Kummer theory as in [6]. In Section 3.3, we state and prove a primality criterion involving rings of elliptic periods. The construction of such rings is detailed in Section 3.4.

3.1. Étale cyclic extensions of a field. Let $K$ be a field and let $L \supset K$ be a commutative algebra over $K$. We assume $L$ is of finite dimension $d \geq 1$ over $K$. We also assume there exists a $K$-automorphism $\sigma$ of $L$ and a $K$-basis $(\omega_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z} / d\mathbb{Z}}$ of $L$ such that $\sigma(\omega_i) = \omega_{i+1}$. So $L$ is a rank 1 free $K[\tilde{G}]$-module, where $\tilde{G} = \langle \sigma \rangle$ is the cyclic group generated by $\sigma$. And $\omega_0$ is a basis of the $K[\tilde{G}]$-module $L$. In this section, we recall a few elementary facts about the arithmetic of $L$.

First, $L$ is a noetherian ring, because it is of finite type over the field $K$. Further $K$ is the subring $L^\sigma$ of elements in $L$ that are invariant by $\sigma$. We deduce [7, Chapitre 5, paragraphe 1, numéro 9, proposition 22] that $L$ is integral over $K$. Let $p$ be a prime ideal in $L$. The intersection $p \cap K$ is a prime ideal in $K$, so it is equal to 0. Since 0 is maximal in $K$, the ideal $p$ is maximal in $L$ [7, Chapitre 5, paragraphe 2, numéro 1, Proposition 1]. Thus $L$ is a ring of dimension 0. Since $L$ is noetherian, it is an artinian ring [7, Chapitre 4, paragraphe 2, numéro 5, Proposition 9]. Its nilradical $\mathfrak{N}$, which is equal to its Jacobson radical, is nilpotent. The automorphism $\sigma$ acts transitively on the set of prime ideals in $L$ [7, Chapitre 5, paragraphe 2, numéro 2, Théorème 2]. We denote by $G^\sigma$ (resp. $G^T$) the decomposition group (resp. inertia group) of all these prime ideals. Let $e \geq 1$ be the order of the inertia group $G^T$, and let $f$ be the order of the quotient $G^\sigma / G^T$. We check that $d = efm$ where $m$ is the number of prime ideals in $L$. Let $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_{m-1}$ be all these prime ideals. They are pairwise relatively prime. The radical of $L$ is

$$\mathfrak{N} = \bigcap_{0 \leq i \leq m-1} p_i = \prod_{0 \leq i \leq m-1} p_i.$$

The canonical map

$$\phi : L \to \prod_{0 \leq i \leq m-1} L/p_i$$

is a ring epimorphism and its kernel is the radical $\mathfrak{N}$. For every $i$ in $\{0, 1, \ldots, m - 1\}$, the quotient $G^\sigma / G^T$ is isomorphic to the group of $K$-automorphisms of the residue field $M_i = L/p_i$ [7, Chapitre 5, paragraphe 2, numéro 2, Théorème 2]. The field extensions $M_i$ of $K$ are normal.
and their separable degree is \( f \). Let \( r \) be their inseparable degree. The dimension of the \( \mathbf{K} \)-vector space \( M_i \) is \( rf \). We deduce that the dimension of \( \prod_{0 \leq i \leq m-1} L/p_i \) is \( rf m \). And the dimension of the radical \( \mathfrak{R} \) is

\[
\dim K(\mathfrak{R}) = d - r fm = (e - r) fm. \tag{35}
\]

The radical \( \mathfrak{R} \) is nilpotent: there exists an integer \( k \) such that \( \mathfrak{R}^k = 0 \). The artinian ring \( L \) is isomorphic \([3, \text{Theorem 8.7}]\) to the product of local artinian rings \( \prod_{0 \leq i \leq m-1} L/p_i^k \).

One says that the algebra \( L \) is unramified over \( K \) \([18, \text{Chapter 4, Definition 3.17}]\) if the residue fields \( L/p_i \) are separable extensions of \( K \) (that is \( r = 1 \)) and the local factors \( L/p_i^k \) are fields (e.g. the nilradical is zero or equivalently \( e - r = 0 \)). This is equivalent to \( L \) being étale over \( K \), e.g. the trace form being non-degenerate.

A sufficient condition for \( L \) to be unramified over \( K \) is that for every prime divisor \( \ell \) of \( d \) there exists an element \( a_\ell \) in \( \mathbf{L} \) such that \( \sigma^{D/\ell}(a_\ell) - a_\ell \) is a unit. Indeed this proves that \( \sigma^{D/\ell} \) does not lie in \( G^\ell \). So \( e = 1 \). And \( r = 1 \) also, using Eq. (35).

Assume now \( K \) is a finite field and \( L \) is reduced (therefore étale over \( K \)). Remember \( p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_{m-1} \) are the prime ideals in \( L \). The Frobenius automorphism \( \Phi_i \) of \( M_i = L/p_i \) is the reduction modulo \( p_i \) of some power \( \sigma^i \) of \( \sigma \) lying in \( G^Z \). Especially, for every \( a \) in \( \mathbf{L} \), one has \( \sigma^m(a) = a^p \mod p_0 \) for some integer \( z_0 \). We let \( \sigma \) act on the above congruence and deduce that \( z_0 = z_1 = \cdots = z_{d-1} \) because \( \sigma \) acts transitively on the set of primes. So there exists an integer \( z \) such that for every element \( a \) in \( \mathbf{L} \) we have

\[
a^p = \sigma^z(a). \tag{36}
\]

Of course, \( z \) is a multiple of \( m \).

3.2. Ring extensions and primality proving. Let \( n \geq 2 \) be an integer and set \( R = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \). In this section, we state a general AKS-like primality criterion in terms of the existence of some commutative free \( R \)-algebra \( S \) of finite rank fulfilling simple conditions.

Let \( S \supset R \) be a finite free commutative \( R \)-algebra of rank \( d \geq 1 \). Then \( R \) can be identified with a subring of \( S \). Let \( \sigma : S \to S \) be an \( R \)-automorphism of \( S \) and assume that there exists an \( R \) basis \( (\omega_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \) of \( S \) such that \( \sigma(\omega_i) = \omega_{i+1} \). Let \( p \) be a positive prime integer dividing \( n \). Set \( L = S/pS \) and \( K = R/pR = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \). Assume \( L \) is reduced. This is always the case when \( S \) is étale over \( R \) \([18, \text{Chapter 4, Definition 3.17}, \text{Lemma 3.20}]\). The \( R \)-automorphism \( \sigma : S \to S \) induces a \( K \)-automorphism of \( \mathbf{L} \) that we call \( \sigma \) also. Let \( \theta \) be a unit in \( \mathbf{S} \) such that

\[
\theta^n = \sigma^{z}(\theta).
\]

Reducing this identity modulo \( p \) and setting \( a = \theta \mod p \in \mathbf{L} \), we obtain

\[
a^n = \sigma(a). \tag{37}
\]

Using Eqs. (37) and (36) repeatedly, we prove that there exists an integer \( z \) such that for \( k, l \in \mathbb{N} \), we have

\[
a^{nk+pl} = \sigma^{k+zl}(a). \tag{38}
\]

Let \( p \) be a prime ideal in \( \mathbf{L} \) and set \( M = \mathbf{L}/p \). Set \( b = a \mod p \in \mathbf{M} \). Let \( G \subset \mathbf{L}^* \) be the group generated by \( a \) and let \( H \subset \mathbf{M} \) be the group generated by \( b \). We first show that the reduction modulo \( p \) map \( G \to H \) is a bijection. Indeed, let \( k \) be a positive integer such that \( b^k = 1 \in \mathbf{M} \). Then \( a^k = 1 \mod p \). We raise both members in this congruence to the \( n \)-th power. Using Eq. (37), we find \( a^{kn} = a^{nk} = \sigma(a)^k = \sigma(a^k) = 1 \mod p \). So \( a^k = 1 \mod \sigma^{-1}(p) \).

We remind that \( \sigma \) acts transitively on the set of primes in \( \mathbf{L} \). So \( a^k \) is congruent to 1 modulo all these primes. Since \( \mathbf{L} \) is reduced, we deduce that \( a^k = 1 \).
The group $H$ is a subgroup of $M^*$. Therefore the order $h$ of $H$ (which is the order of $G$ also) divides $p^f - 1$ where $f$ is the dimension of $M$ over $K$. It is thus clear that $p$ and $\#H$ are coprime. Iterating $d$ times Eq. (37), we find that $a^{cd} = a$. So $n$ also is invertible modulo $h = \#G = \#H$. So Eq. (38) makes sense and holds true for $k$ and $l$ in $\mathbb{Z}$, provided the exponents are seen as residues modulo $h$.

We set $q = n/p$ and from Eqs. (37) and (36), we deduce that $a^q = \sigma^{1-z}(a)$. Moreover, there exist four integers $i$, $i'$, $j$ and $j'$ in $\{0, 1, \ldots, \lfloor \sqrt{d} \rfloor \}$ such that $(i, j) \neq (i', j')$ and $i(1 - z) + jz$ is congruent to $i'(1 - z) + j'z$ modulo $d$. Setting in Eq. (38), first $k = i$ and $l = j - i$, and then $k = i'$ and $l = j' - i'$, we find that exponentiations by $q^p i$ and $q^p j'$ act similarly on $a$. We deduce that

$$q^p i = q^p j' \mod \#G. \tag{39}$$

We now observe that both integers $q^p i$ and $q^p j'$ are bounded above by $n^{\lfloor \sqrt{d} \rfloor}$. If

$$n^{\lfloor \sqrt{d} \rfloor} \leq \#G,$$

then Congruence (39) is an equality between integers and we deduce that $n$ is a power of $p$.

**Theorem 3** (AKS criterion). Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer and set $R = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Let $S \supset R$ be a free algebra of rank $d$ over $R$. Let $\sigma$ be an $R$-automorphism of $S$. Let $G$ be the group generated by $\sigma$. Assume $S$ is a free $R[G]$-module of rank 1: there exists an element $\omega$ in $S$ such that $(\omega, \sigma(\omega), \ldots, \sigma^{d-1}(\omega))$ is an $R$ basis of $L$. Let $\theta$ be a unit in $S$ such that $\theta^n = \sigma(\theta)$. Let $p$ be a prime divisor of $n$. Assume $S/pS$ is reduced and $\theta \mod p$ generates a subgroup of order at least $n^{\lfloor \sqrt{d} \rfloor}$ in $(S/pS)^*$. Then $n$ is a power of $p$.

The condition that $S/pS$ is reduced is granted if $S$ is étale over $R$. A sufficient condition for $S$ to be étale over $R$ is that for every prime divisor $\ell$ of $d$, there exists an element $a_\ell$ in $S$ such that $\sigma^{\ell/(\ell}(a_\ell) - a_\ell$ is a unit.

The condition on the size of the group generated by $\theta \mod p$ is often obtained with the help of geometric arguments. In our cases, these are degree considerations, which yield a lower bound for $d$.

Berrizbeitia, Cheng, Avanzi, Mihăilescu and Bernstein construct $S$ as $R[x]/(x^d - \alpha)$ where $d \geq 2$ divides $n - 1$ and $\alpha$ is a unit in $R$. We set $n - 1 = dm$ and $\zeta = \alpha^m$. Assume $\zeta$ has exact order $d$ in $R^*$. This means that $\zeta^d = 1$ and $\zeta^k - 1$ is a unit for every $1 \leq k < d$. We define an $R$ automorphism $\sigma : S \to S$ by setting $\sigma(x) = \zeta x$. We set $\omega = (\alpha - 1)/(x - 1) = 1 + x + x^2 + \ldots + x^{d-1} \mod x^d - \alpha$ and we check that $(\omega, \sigma(\omega), \ldots, \sigma^{d-1}(\omega))$ is an $R$-basis of $S$. Indeed $(1, x, x^2, \ldots, x^{d-1})$ is a basis, and the matrix connecting the two systems is a Vandermonde matrix $V(1, \zeta, \ldots, \zeta^{d-1})$ which is invertible since $\zeta$ has exact order $d$. So $S$ is a free $R[\sigma]$-module of rank 1.

We note that $x \mod x^d - \alpha$ is a unit in $S$ because $\alpha$ is a unit in $R$. For every integer $1 \leq k < d$, the difference $\sigma^k(x) - x = (\zeta^k - 1)x$ is a unit in $S$, because $\zeta$ has exact order $d$. So $S$ is étale over $R$. The main computational step in Berrizbeitia test is to check, by explicit calculation, that the following congruence holds true in $S$,

$$(x - 1)^n = \zeta x - 1 \mod (n, x^d - \alpha). \tag{40}$$

So, we set $\theta = x - 1 \mod (n, x^d - \alpha)$. This is a unit in $S$ because $\alpha - 1$ is a unit in $R$. Letting $\sigma$ repeatedly act on Eq. (40), we deduce that for any positive integer $k$, the class $\zeta^k x - 1 \mod (n, x^d - \alpha)$ is a power of $\theta$. 
Let $p$ be any prime divisor of $n$. We set $a = \theta \mod p = x - 1 \mod (p, x^d - \alpha) \in S/pS$. We show that the order of $a$ in $(S/pS)^*$ is large. For every subset $S$ of $\{0, 1, \ldots, d-1\}$, we denote by $a_S$ the product
\[
\prod_{k \in S} (\zeta^k x - 1) \mod (p, x^d - a) = \prod_{k \in S} \sigma^k(a).
\]
This is a power of $a$, because every $\sigma^k(a)$ is. Degree considerations similar to those in the original paper [1] show that if $S_1$ and $S_2$ are two strict distinct subsets of $\{0, 1, \ldots, d-1\}$, then $a_{S_1}$ and $a_{S_2}$ are distinct elements in $S/pS$. So the order of $a$ in $(S/pS)^*$ is at least $2^d - 1$. This lower bound can be improved by several means (see for instance Voloch’s work [27]).

If $2^d$ is bigger than $n^{1/\sqrt{d}}$, we deduce from Theorem 3 that $n$ is a prime power.

**Corollary 1** (Berrizbeitia criterion). Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer and set $R = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Let $S = R[x]/(x^d - \alpha)$ where $d \geq 2$ divides $n-1$. Set $n-1 = dm$ and assume $\zeta = \alpha^m$ has exact order $d$ in $R^*$. Assume Eq. (40) holds true in $S$. If $2^d$ is bigger than $n^{1/\sqrt{d}}$, then $n$ is a prime power.

In Section 3.3, we adapt this construction to the broader general context of Kummer theory of elliptic curves. This way, we get rid of the condition that $d$ divides $n - 1$.

### 3.3. A primality criterion

In this section, we state and prove a primality criterion involving elliptic periods. Assume we are given an integer $n \geq 2$. We set $R = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ and we assume we are in the situation of Theorem 2. We are given a Weierstrass elliptic curve $E$ over $R$, a positive integer $d$ relatively prime to $2n$ and a section $T \in E(R)$ of exact order $d$. The quotient by $(T)$ isogeny $I : E \to E'$ is given by Vélu’s formulae. We are given a section $A \in E'_{\text{aff}}(R)$ and we call
\[
\mathfrak{F}_A = (x' - x'(A), y' - y'(A))
\]
the ideal of $I^{-1}(A)$ in $R[x, y, 1/\psi_d(x)]/(\Lambda(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6, x, y))$. We assume that $D(x'(A))$ is a unit in $R$, where $D$ is defined in Eqs. (27), (28) and (29). Let
\[
S = R[x, y, 1/\psi_d(x)]/(x' - x'(A), y' - y'(A))
\]
be the residue ring of $R[x, y, 1/\psi_d(x)]/(\Lambda(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6, x, y))$ at $I^{-1}(A)$.

We call $\sigma : S \to S$ the automorphism induced on $S$ by the translation $\tau_T$:
\[
\sigma : \begin{array}{c}
S \longrightarrow S, \\
f \mod \mathfrak{F}_A \longmapsto f \circ \tau_T \mod \mathfrak{F}_A.
\end{array}
\]

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, we set $\theta_k = u_k \mod \mathfrak{F}_A$. The $(\theta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}$ form an $R$-basis of $S$ and we have $\sigma(\theta_k) = \theta_{k+1}$. The algebra $S$ is finite free étale of rank $d$ over $R$ because the determinant $D(x'(A))$ of the trace form is a unit. The main computational step now is to check, by explicit calculation, that the following congruence holds true in $S$,
\[
\theta_0^n = \theta_1. \tag{41}
\]

Letting $\sigma$ repeatedly act on Eq. (41), we deduce that for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, $\theta_k$ is a power of $\theta_0$. In particular, all $\theta_k$ belong to the ideal generated by $\theta_0$. Using Eq. (10), we deduce that $1 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \theta_k$ belongs to the ideal generated by $\theta_0$. So $\theta_0$ is a unit.

Let $p$ be any prime divisor of $n$. We set $a = \theta_0 \mod p \in S/pS$. We show that the order of $a$ in $(S/pS)^*$ is large. To every subset $S$ of $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, we associate the product
\[
u_S = \prod_{k \in S} u_k.
We note that $u_S \mod (\mathfrak{g}_A, p) = \prod_{k \in S} (\theta_k \mod p)$ is a power of $a$. Let $S_1$ and $S_2$ be two subsets of 
$\{0, 2, 4, \ldots, d - 3\} \subset \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$.

Let $l_1$ and $l_2$ be two integers that are relatively prime to $p$. Then $l_1 u_{S_1} \neq l_2 u_{S_2} \mod (\mathfrak{g}_A, p)$ unless $S_1 = S_2$ and $l_1 = l_2 \mod p$. Indeed, if $l_1 u_{S_1} = l_2 u_{S_2} \mod (\mathfrak{g}_A, p)$ then $l_1 u_{S_1} - l_2 u_{S_2} \mod p$ is a function on $E \mod p$ with divisor $\geq - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} [kt]$ and it cancels on the degree $d$ divisor $I^{-1}(A) \mod p$. So $l_1 u_{S_1} = l_2 u_{S_2} \mod p$. Therefore these two functions have the same poles. We deduce first, that $S_1 = S_2$, and then, that $l_1 = l_2$.

There are $2^{d-1}$ subsets of $\{0, 2, 4, \ldots, d - 3\}$. So, the order of $a$ in $(S/pS)^*$ is at least $2^{d-1}$.

Using Theorem 3, we deduce the following primality criterion.

**Corollary 2** (Elliptic AKS criterion). Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer and let $E$ be a Weierstrass elliptic curve over $R = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Let $T \in E(R)$ be a section of exact order $d$ where $d$ is an integer relatively prime to $2n$. Let $E'$ be the quotient $E/(T)$ given by Vélu’s formulae. Let $A \in E'_{\text{aff}}(R)$ be a section such that the vector $\overline{e} = (\varepsilon_k(A))_k$ defined by Eq. (22) is invertible for the convolution product $\ast$ on $R^d$.

Assume that

$$
(\theta_0)^n = \theta_1
$$

holds true in the ring of elliptic periods $S = R[x, y, 1/\psi_d(x)]/(x' - x'(A), y' - y'(A))$.

Assume further that

$$
2^{d-1} \geq n\sqrt{d}.
$$

Then $n$ is a prime power.

We recall that the condition that the vector $\overline{e}$ be invertible means that the section $A$ does not cross the kernel of the dual isogeny $I' : E' \to E$. Checking Eq. (42) requires $O(\log n)$ multiplications in the ring $S$. Any such multiplication requires $O(d \log d \log \log d)$ operations (additions, subtractions, multiplications) in $R = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. So the total cost is $O((\log n)^2 (\log \log n)^{1+o(1)} \times d \log d \log \log d)$ elementary operations using fast arithmetic [22, 23]. In Section 3.4, we explain why one can hope to find a degree $d$ that is $O((\log n)^2)$. With such a $d$, one can verify Eq. (42) in time $O((\log n)^4 (\log \log n)^{2+o(1)}).

Moreover, we explain how to construct the ring $S$ in Corollary 2.

### 3.4. Construction of a ring of elliptic periods.

In this section, we explain how to construct the ring of elliptic periods that is required to prove that a given integer $n \geq 2$ is prime using Corollary 2. So, we are given an integer $n \geq 2$ which is probably prime: it already passed many pseudo-prIMALITY tests. We want to construct a ring of elliptic periods modulo $n$ with rank $d$ for some $d$ satisfying Inequality (43). A sufficient condition is that $d \geq d_{\text{min}}$ with

$$
d_{\text{min}} = \left\lfloor 4(\log_2 n)^2 + 2 \right\rfloor.
$$

We assume that $d$ is odd too. We like $d$ to be as small as possible. We set $d_{\text{max}} = d_{\text{min}} \times O(1)$ and ask that $d \in [d_{\text{min}}, d_{\text{max}}]$. The construction is probabilistic and relies on several heuristics. Since $n$ is probably prime, we shall allow ourselves to use algorithms that are only proven to
work under the condition that $n$ is prime. This is not an issue as far as we can check the result rigorously (and efficiently).

We set $R = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. We want to construct an elliptic curve $E$ over $R$ with a section $T \in E(R)$ of exact order $d$ in the sense of [13, Chapter 1, 1.4]. We use complex multiplication theory.

The first step of the algorithm selects quadratic imaginary orders. We look over the maximal quadratic imaginary orders $\mathcal{O}$ for decreasing fundamental discriminants $-\Delta$. We start with $-\Delta = -7$. For each order $\mathcal{O}$, we first look for a square root $\delta$ of $-\Delta$ modulo $n$ using the algorithm of Legendre. Since $n$ is expected to be prime, the algorithm will succeed in probabilistic time $(\log n)^2(\log \log n)^{1+o(1)}$. And of course we can check the result rigorously in time $(\log n)^2(\log \log n)^{1+o(1)}$. For a given $n$, such a square root exists for one quadratic order over two. If we fail to find such a square root, we go to the next quadratic order.

Once we have found a square root $\delta$ of $-\Delta$ modulo $n$, we call $\mathfrak{n}$ the ideal $(n, \sqrt{-\Delta} - \delta)$ in $\mathcal{O}$ and we look for an element with norm $\mathfrak{n}$ in $\mathfrak{n}$. We use fast Cornachia’s algorithm. It runs in deterministic time $(\log n)(\log \log n)^{2+o(1)}$ and finds such an element $\phi \in \mathcal{O}$ when it exists.

We then set $t = \text{Tr}(\phi)$ and look for an integer $d$ that satisfies the following conditions:

- $d \in [d_{\min}, d_{\max}]$,
- $d$ is relatively prime to $n(n-1)(n+1)$,
- there exists an $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ such that $d$ divides $n + 1 - \epsilon t$ and is relatively prime to $(n + 1 - \epsilon t)/d$.

In order to find such a $d$, we apply the elliptic curve factoring method to $n + 1 - t$ and $n + 1 + t$. Since the factors we are looking for are very small, we expect to find them in time $(\log n)^{1+o(1)}$. If we find no such integer $d$, we go to the next fundamental discriminant $-\Delta$.

We expect to succeed in finding an integer $d$ for some $\Delta = (\log \log n)^{2+o(1)}$. Also the expected running time of this first step is $(\log n)^{2+o(1)}$. We note that the search for split discriminants can be accelerated using the same technique as in the J.O. Shallit fast-ECPP algorithm [15, 19].

The second step of the algorithm constructs the ring $S$ from the couple $(-\Delta, d)$. Once we have found a quadratic order $\mathcal{O}$, we compute the associated Hilbert class polynomial. Computing $H_\mathcal{O}(X)$ requires quasi-linear time in the size of this polynomial. This polynomial has degree $\Delta^{1/2+o(1)}$ and height $\Delta^{1/2+o(1)}$, where $-\Delta$ is the discriminant of $\mathcal{O}$. So $H_\mathcal{O}(X)$ can be computed in time $\Delta^{1+o(1)}$. Finding a root $j$ of $H_\mathcal{O}(X)$ modulo $n$ is achieved in probabilistic time

$$\Delta^{1/2+o(1)}(\log n)^{2+o(1)}.$$ 

So the time for finding this root will be $(\log n)^{2+o(1)}$.

Once computed a root of the modular polynomial, we construct an elliptic curve $E$ over $R = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ having modular invariant $j$. We then construct a random $R$-section $P$ on $E$. We expect one and only one among $[n + 1 - t]P$ and $[n + 1 + t]P$ to be equal to the zero section $O$. If this is not the case, we pick another point $P$. Let $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ be such that $d$ divides $n + 1 - \epsilon t$. If we have found a section $P$ such that $[n + 1 - \epsilon t]P \neq O$, then we replace $E$ by its quadratic twist. And we start again with this new curve. If we have found a point $P$ such that $[n + 1 - \epsilon t]P = O$ and $[n + 1 + \epsilon t]P \neq O$, then we multiply $P$ by $(n + 1 - t)/d$ and obtain a section $T$ that, we hope, has exact order $d$. We can test that $T$ has exact order $d$.
by checking that \( \psi_k(x(T)) \) is a unit in \( R \) for every strict divisor \( k \) of \( d \). If this condition does not hold, we pick another section \( P \) on \( E \).

Once we have found a \( T \) of exact order \( d \), we consider the quotient isogeny \( I : E \to E' \). We compute the coefficients in the Weierstrass equation of \( E' \) thanks to Eq. (8). We do not write down explicit equations for \( I \). We look for a \( R \)-section \( A \) on \( E' \) having exact order \( d \). We let \( S \) be the residue ring of \( I^{-1}(A) \). Elements in \( S \) are represented by vectors in \( R^d \). The automorphism \( \sigma \) is the cyclic shift of coordinates. There remains to describe the multiplication law. To this end, we pick an auxiliary \( R \)-section \( M \) of \( E \) such that \( N = I(M) \) does not cross the kernel of the dual isogeny \( I' \); or equivalently \( D(x'(N)) \) is a unit in \( R \). We now can compute the multiplication tensor of the ring \( S \). This tensor is given by Theorem 2.

We just need to compute the vectors \( \hat{\iota}, \hat{u}^{\sigma^i}_N, \hat{x}^{\sigma^i}_N \) using the method given in Section 2.1.4. This requires \( O(d(\log d)^2 \log \log d) \) operations in \( R \). This finishes the construction of the ring \( S \).

The expected running time of this second step is \((\log n)^{1+o(1)}(\log n+ d^{1+o(1)}) = (\log n)^{3+o(1)}\) operations in \( R \).

**Remark.** To improve memory requirements of the algorithm, we may try to replace the degree \( O(\log^2 n) \) extension \( S \) by a direct product of \( O(\log n) \) extensions \( S_k \), each of degree \( d_k = O(\log n) \) and each endowed with an \( R \)-automorphism \( \sigma_k \) of order \( \sigma_k \). Unfortunately, this product is endowed with an \( R \)-automorphism, \( \prod_k \sigma_k \), of order \( \prod_k d_k \), much larger than the rank \( \sum_k d_k \) and this is a serious drawback to get an efficient primality criterion.

3.5. Example. We consider here a primality test for \( n = 1009 \).

We first notice that \( d_{\text{min}} = [4(\log_2 n)^2 + 2] = 401 \), and a quick search among maximal quadratic imaginary orders \( \mathcal{O} \) for decreasing fundamental discriminants yields \( d = 479 \) for \( -\Delta = -148 \) (and class number 2). In truth, we have \( 52^2 + 3^2 \cdot 148 = 4 \cdot n \), and the corresponding elliptic curve has got \( n + 1 - 52 (= 2 \cdot 479) \) points.

The Hilbert class polynomial associated to \( -\Delta = -148 \) is

\[
H_{-148}(X) = X^2 - 39660183801072000 X - 7898242515936467904000000.
\]

One of its roots mod \( n \) is \( j_E = 353 \), and one can check that the point \( T = (296, 432) \) is of order \( d \) on the elliptic curve

\[
E : y^2 + xy = x^3 + 364 x + 907.
\]

Similarly, we can check that the point \( M = (726, 695) \) is of order 958. Vélu’s formulae yield then the quotient elliptic curve,

\[
E/\langle T \rangle : y^2 + xy = x^3 + 130 x + 233.
\]

We choose \( A = (383, 201) \), a point of order \( d \) on \( E/\langle T \rangle \). We can check also that the image of \( M \) by the isogeny is equal to \( N = (321, 344) \), a point of order 2.

With this setting, we can now define, without any ambiguity, a normal elliptic basis \( \Theta = (\theta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \) (see Section 2.3) and a final computation yields

\[
\theta_0^{1009} = \theta_{91}.
\]

We check that 91 is relatively prime to 479. So \( T' = 91T \) is a point of exact order 479. Applying Corollary 2 with \( T' \) instead of \( T \), we prove that 1009 is a prime.
4. A STRONGER CRITERION

We now improve on the primality criterion of Section 3, at the expense of some more geometry and combinatorics. If we come back to the proof of Corollary 2, we find ourselves with an elliptic curve $E$ over a field $K = \mathbb{F}_p$. We are given a point $T$ of odd order $d \geq 3$ and the corresponding automorphism $\sigma$ of the field of functions,

$$\sigma : K(E) \to K(E), \quad f \mapsto f \circ \tau_T.$$  

We also are given a function $u_0$ on $E$. We have an isogeny $I : E \to E'$, a divisor $\text{Ker} I = [O] + [T] + [2T] + \cdots + [(d-1)T]$ and the associated $K$-linear space $\mathcal{L}(\text{Ker} I)$ of dimension $d$ lying inside $K(E)$. We consider the $\mathbb{Z}[\sigma]$-module $\mathcal{U}$ generated by $u_0$ inside $K(E)^*$. The essential point is that the intersection $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{L}(\text{Ker} I)$ is large: the quotient $(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{L}(\text{Ker} I))/K^*$ has cardinality at least $2^{2d-4}$. All the functions in this intersection have degree $\leq d$. We want to replace $u_0$ by a slightly different function and obtain an even larger set of functions with small degree in the corresponding monogenous $\mathbb{Z}[\sigma]$-module.

This section is organized as follows. Section 4.1 studies the structure of the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathcal{U} = K[E - (T)]^*$ of units in $K[E - (T)]$. We show that the quotient module $\mathcal{U}/K^*$ is monogenous as a $\mathbb{Z}[\sigma]$-module and we exhibit a generator for it. Section 4.2 gives a lower bound for the number of functions with degree $\leq (d-1)/2$ in $\mathcal{U}/K^*$. The resulting strengthened primality criterion (Corollary 3) is stated in Section 4.3. It is asymptotically twenty five times faster than the test resulting from Corollary 2.

We postpone to Appendix A some of the technical results needed in the proof of the stronger primality criterion. The determinant needed in Section 4.1 is calculated in Section A.1. Section A.2 gives a simple lower bound for binomial coefficients that is useful to prove in Section A.3 a combinatorial lemma.

4.1. A group of elliptic units. Let $K$ be a field and $E$ an elliptic curve over $K$. Let $d \geq 3$ be an odd integer and let $T$ be a point of order $d$ in $E(K)$. Let $\sigma : K(E) \to K(E)$ be the automorphism that sends $f$ to $f \circ \tau_T$. In this paragraph, we are interested in the group $\mathcal{U}$ of functions in $K(E)$ having no zeros nor poles outside the group $\langle T \rangle$ generated by $T$.

There is a unique multiple $\hat{T}$ of $T$ such that $T = 2\hat{T}$. For every $k$ in $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, we define $u_k$ as in Section 2.1.3. This is a function having two simple poles: one at $kT$ and one at $(k+1)T$. If $l = 2k \mod d$, we set $\hat{u}_l = u_k - u_0(\hat{T}) = u_k - u_k(kT + \hat{T}) = \hat{u}_0 \circ \tau_{-\hat{T}}$. Its divisor is

$$(\hat{u}_l) = -[kT] + 2[\hat{T} + kT] - [(k + 1)T] = -[\hat{T}] + 2[(l + 1)\hat{T}] - [(l + 2)\hat{T}]$$

and it is clear that

$$\prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \hat{u}_k \in K^*. \quad (44)$$

We want to prove that the $\hat{u}_k$ generate the lattice $\mathcal{U}/K^*$, or equivalently that $(\hat{u}_k)_{0 \leq k \leq d-2}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for it. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the submodule of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ consisting of vectors $(e_k)_k$ such that $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} e_k = 0$. Let $\mathcal{W}$ be the sublattice of $\mathcal{V}$ consisting of vectors $(e_k)_k$ such that $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} e_k = 0$ and $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} ke_k = 0 \mod d$. The index of $\mathcal{W}$ in $\mathcal{V}$ is $d$. We construct a bijection

$$\mathcal{V} : \mathcal{U}/K^* \to \mathcal{W} \quad (45)$$
Lemma 4. If \((\hat{u}_k)_{0 \leq k \leq d-2}\) is a \(\mathbb{Z}\)-basis for \(\mathcal{U}/\mathbb{K}^*\), we consider the following \((d-1) \times d\) matrix,

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 2 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (46)

We stress that the \(d-1\) lines in this matrix are the images \(V(\hat{u}_k)\) of the \(\hat{u}_k\) by \(V\), for \(0 \leq k \leq d-2\). We want to show that these lines form a basis of \(\mathcal{W}\). We call them \(W_k\) for \(0 \leq k \leq d-2\). From equation (48) below, we deduce that the determinant of the rightmost \((d-1) \times (d-1)\) minor in the above matrix is \(d\). So the index of the lattice generated by the \((W_k)_{0 \leq k \leq d-2}\) inside \(V\) is a divisor of \(d\). This implies that this lattice is equal to \(\mathcal{W}\).

Lemma 3. Let \(\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{K}(E)^*\) be the group of functions having no zero nor pole outside the subgroup \((T)\) generated by \(T\). Then \(\mathcal{U}/\mathbb{K}^*\) is a free \(\mathbb{Z}\)-module and \((\hat{u}_k)_{0 \leq k \leq d-2}\) is a basis for it. As a \(\mathbb{Z}[\sigma]\)-module, \(\mathcal{U}/\mathbb{K}^*\) is monogenous and \(\hat{u}_0\) is a generator for it.

4.2. Elliptic units with small degree. In this paragraph, we are interested in the subset \(\mathcal{T}\) of \(\mathcal{U}\) consisting of functions in \(\mathcal{U}\) having degree \(\leq (d-1)/2\). Recall the definitions of \(\mathcal{V}\) and \(\mathcal{W}\) given in Section 4.1. Let \(\mathcal{I}\) be the subset of the lattice \(\mathcal{V}\) consisting of vectors having \(L^1\)-norm \(\leq d-1\). Let \(\mathcal{J}\) be the intersection of \(\mathcal{I}\) and \(\mathcal{W}\). The set \(\mathcal{T}/\mathbb{K}^*\) is mapped bijectively onto \(\mathcal{J}\) by the map \(V\) defined in Eq. (45). We want to bound from below the cardinality of \(\mathcal{J}\).

For every \(k\) and \(l\) in \(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}\), the map \(\kappa_{k,l} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\) is defined to be the map that increments the \(k\)-th coordinate and decrements the \(l\)-th one. There are \(d(d-1)+1\) such maps. We fix an arbitrary total order on the set consisting of these \(d(d-1)+1\) maps. For every vector \(\overrightarrow{v} = (v_1)_{1 \leq i \leq d} \in \mathcal{I}\), there is at least one map \(\kappa_{k,l}\) such that \(\kappa_{k,l}(\overrightarrow{v})\) is in \(\mathcal{J}\):

- if \(\overrightarrow{v}\) is already in \(\mathcal{W}\), we apply the identity \(\kappa_{0,0}\) to \(\overrightarrow{v}\);
- otherwise, we assume for instance that the \(l\)-th coordinate is positive. We set \(k = l - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} iv_i \mod d\) and we can check that \(\kappa_{k,l}(\overrightarrow{v})\) is in \(\mathcal{W}\) and its norm is not bigger than the norm of \(\overrightarrow{v}\).

For every vector \(\overrightarrow{v}\) in \(\mathcal{I}\), we call \(\kappa(\overrightarrow{v})\) the image of \(\overrightarrow{v}\) by the smallest map \(\kappa_{k,l}\) such that \(\kappa_{k,l}(\overrightarrow{v})\) is in \(\mathcal{J}\). This way, we define a map \(\kappa : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}\). Every element in \(\mathcal{J}\) has at most \(d(d-1)+1\) preimages by \(\kappa\). Therefore, the sizes of \(\mathcal{I}\) and \(\mathcal{J}\) are related by the following inequation,

\[
\#\mathcal{J} \geq \frac{\#\mathcal{I}}{d^2}.
\]

We know from Lemma 5 that \(\log \#\mathcal{I} \geq 1.74498 \times d\) if \(d \geq 2001\). We deduce that \(\log \#\mathcal{J} \geq (1.74498 - 0.0076) \times d\) in this case. Hence, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4. If \(d \geq 2001\) is an odd integer, the set \(\mathcal{T}/\mathbb{K}^*\) consisting of elliptic units (modulo constants) having degree \(\leq (d-1)/2\) has cardinality

\[
\#(\mathcal{T}/\mathbb{K}^*) \geq \exp(1.73738 \times d).
\]
4.3. **A strong primality criterion.** Assume that we are in the situation of Section 3. We are given an integer \( n \geq 2 \) and we set \( R = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \). Let \( E \) be an elliptic curve over \( R \), let \( d \geq 2001 \) be a prime to \( 2n \) integer and let \( T \in E(R) \) be a section of exact order \( d \). We call \( I : E \to E' \) the quotient by \( \langle T \rangle \) isogeny as given by Vélu’s formulæ. Assume we are given a section \( A \in E'_{\text{aff}}(R) \) and call
\[
\mathfrak{f}_A = (x' - x'(A), y' - y'(A))
\]
the ideal of \( I^{-1}(A) \) in \( R[E - E[d]] \). We assume that \( D(x'(A)) \) is a unit in \( R \). We call \( S = R[x, y, \psi_d(x)]/(x' - x'(A), y' - y'(A)) \) the ring of elliptic periods. We define the functions \((u_l)_{l \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}}\) as in Section 2.1.3. There is a unique multiple \( \hat{T} \) of \( T \) such that \( T = 2\hat{T} \). We set \( \eta = u_0(\hat{T}) \in R \). If \( l = 2k \) mod \( d \), we set \( \hat{u}_l = u_k - \eta \). We set \( \hat{\theta}_l = u_k \mod \mathfrak{f}_a \) and \( \hat{\theta}_l = \theta_k - \eta \).

Assume now that the following equality holds true in the ring \( S \):
\[
(\hat{\theta}_0)^n = \hat{\theta}_1. \tag{47}
\]
Let \( \hat{\sigma} : R[E - \langle T \rangle] \to R[E - \langle T \rangle] \) be the automorphism induced on \( R[E - \langle T \rangle] \) by the translation \( \tau_{-\hat{T}} \),
\[
\hat{\sigma} : R[E - \langle T \rangle] \to R[E - \langle T \rangle], \quad f \mapsto f \circ \tau_{-T}.
\]
We also denote by \( \hat{\sigma} : S \to S \) the induced map on \( S \). Letting \( \hat{\sigma} \) repeatedly act on Eq. (47), we deduce that for any \( k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \), \( \hat{\theta}_k \) is a power of \( \theta_0 \). In particular, the product \( \prod_k \hat{\theta}_k \) is a power of \( \hat{\theta}_0 \). But Eq. (44) tells us that this product is a unit in \( R \). So \( \hat{\theta}_0 \) is a unit.

Let \( p \) be any prime divisor of \( n \). We set \( a = \theta_0 \mod p \in S/pS \). We show that the order of \( a \) in \( (S/pS)^* \) is large.

Let \( \overline{v} \) be a vector in \( J \subset \mathbb{Z}^d \). Let \((w_k)_{0 \leq k \leq d-2}\) be the coordinates of \( \overline{v} \) in the basis \((W_k)_{0 \leq k \leq d-2}\) of \( W \) defined at the end of Section 4.1. Let \( f_{\overline{v}} = \prod_{0 \leq k \leq d-2} u_k^{w_k} \) be the unique multiplicative combination of the \( \hat{u}_k \) such that \( V(f_{\overline{v}} \mod p) = \overline{v} \), where \( V \) is the valuation map defined in Eq. (45). We note that \( f_{\overline{v}} \mod (\mathfrak{f}_A, p) = \prod_{0 \leq k \leq d-2} (\hat{\theta}_k \mod p)^{w_k} \) is a power of \( a \). Since \( \overline{v} \) is in \( J \), we know that \( f_{\overline{v}} \mod p \) has degree \( \leq (d-1)/2 \). Let \( \overline{v}_1 \) and \( \overline{v}_2 \) be two distinct vectors in \( J \). Let \( l_1 \) and \( l_2 \) be two integers that are relatively prime to \( p \). Then \( l_1 f_{\overline{v}_1} \neq l_2 f_{\overline{v}_2} \mod (\mathfrak{f}_A, p) \) unless \( \overline{v}_1 = \overline{v}_2 \) and \( l_1 = l_2 \mod p \). Indeed, if \( l_1 f_{\overline{v}_1} = l_2 f_{\overline{v}_2} \mod (\mathfrak{f}_A, p) \) then \( l_1 f_{\overline{v}_1} - l_2 f_{\overline{v}_2} \mod p \) is a function on \( E \mod p \) with degree \( \leq d-1 \) and it cancels on the degree \( d \) divisor \( I^{-1}(A) \mod p \). So \( l_1 f_{\overline{v}_1} = l_2 f_{\overline{v}_2} \mod p \). Therefore, \( f_{\overline{v}_1} \) and \( f_{\overline{v}_2} \) have the same divisor. We deduce that \( \overline{v}_1 = \overline{v}_2 \). Therefore \( l_1 = l_2 \mod p \) also.

Using Theorem 3 and the lower bound in Lemma 4, we deduce the following corollary.

**Corollary 3** (Strong elliptic AKS criterion). Let \( n \geq 2 \) be an integer and let \( E \) be an elliptic curve over \( R = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \). Let \( T \in E(R) \) be a section of exact order \( d \) where \( d \geq 2001 \) is a prime to \( 2n \) integer. Let \( E' \) be the quotient \( E/\langle T \rangle \) given by Vélu’s formulæ. Let \( A \in E'_{\text{aff}}(R) \) be a section such that the vector \( \overline{v} = (x_k(x'(A)))_k \) defined by Eq. (22) is invertible for the convolution product \( \star \) on \( R^d \). Assume the congruence
\[
(\hat{\theta}_0)^n = \hat{\theta}_1
\]
holds true in the ring of elliptic periods \( S = R[x, y, 1/\psi_d(x)]/(x' - x'(A), y' - y'(A)) \).

Assume further that
\[
\exp(1.73738 \times d) \geq n^{\sqrt{d}}.
\]
Then $n$ is a prime power.

**Appendix A.**

A.1. A determinant. We first compute a determinant that is useful in Section 4.1. For every integer $n \geq 1$, we define $D_n$ to be the determinant of the matrix defined by Eq. (46).

We have $D_1 = 2$ and $D_2 = 3$. We develop the determinant $D_n$ along the first column and find that $D_n = 2D_{n-1} - D_{n-2}$ for any $n \geq 3$. We deduce, for any $n \geq 1$,

$$D_n = n + 1.$$  \hfill (48)

A.2. Lower bounds for binomial coefficients. In this paragraph, we compute effective lower bounds for binomial coefficients. These estimates will be useful in Section A.3. Let $K \geq 2$ be an integer and let $(d_k)_{1 \leq k \leq K}$ be a family of positive integers. We set $d = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq K} d_k$ and $\alpha_k = d_k/d$. We shall need the following definition.

**Definition 1.** Let $\beta = (\beta_k)_{1 \leq k \leq K}$ be a family of reals in $[0,1]$ such that $\sum_{1 \leq k \leq K} \beta_k = 1$. Let $d$ be a positive integer. We assume $\beta_k > 1/d$ for every $1 \leq k \leq K$. For every integer $k$ such that $1 \leq k \leq K-1$, set $d_k = |\beta_k d|$. We observe that $d_k$ is positive. Set $d_K = d - \sum_{1 \leq k \leq K-1} d_k$. It is positive also. The rounded multinomial coefficient associated to $d$ and $\beta$ is defined to be

$$\left(\frac{d}{\beta}\right) = \left(\frac{d}{d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_K}\right).$$

In order to find a nice lower bound for this coefficient, we set $\alpha_k = d_k/d$ for every $1 \leq k \leq K$. It is clear that

$$\beta_k - \frac{1}{d} \leq \alpha_k \leq \beta_k, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq K-1, \quad \text{and } \beta_K \leq \alpha_K \leq \beta_K + \frac{K}{d}.$$

We set $\mu = \max(- \log(\min_{1 \leq k \leq K}(\beta_k - 1/d)) - 1, 1)$ and we notice that for any $1 \leq k \leq K$ the derivative of $z \mapsto -z \log z$ is bounded by $\mu$ in absolute value between $\alpha_k$ and $\beta_k$. Since $|\beta_k - \alpha_k| \leq 1/d$ when $1 \leq k \leq K-1$ and $|\beta_K - \alpha_K| \leq K/d$, we deduce

$$|H(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_K) - H(\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_K)| \leq \frac{2K\mu}{d}.$$

And thus,

$$\frac{1}{d} \log \left(\frac{d}{\beta}\right) \geq H(\beta) - \frac{2K\mu}{d} + \frac{(1-K) \log 2\pi d}{2d} + \frac{1}{d} \left(\frac{1}{13} - \frac{K}{12}\right).$$  \hfill (49)
A.3. An enumeration problem. Let \( d \geq 3 \) be an odd integer. We are interested in the set \( S_d \) of vectors \( \overrightarrow{e} = (e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_d) \) in \( \mathbb{Z}^d \) such that the sum \( \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d} e_k \) of all coordinates is zero and the \( L^1 \)-norm \( \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d} |e_k| \) of \( \overrightarrow{e} \) is \( d - 1 \).

We look for a lower bound for the cardinality of \( S_d \). To every vector \( \overrightarrow{e} \) in \( S_d \), we associate a partition of \( \{1, 2, \ldots, d\} \) in three sets \( E_0, E_+, E_- \) corresponding to the indices with zero, positive and negative coordinates respectively. The sum of positive coordinates equals \( \sum E_0 \geq (d - 1)/2 \). The sum of negative coordinates equals \( -(d - 1)/2 \).

We fix a real number \( \beta \in ]0, \frac{1}{2}[ \) and define the subset \( S_{d, \beta} \subset S_d \) consisting of vectors in \( S \) having exactly \( \lfloor \beta d \rfloor \) positive coordinates and \( \lfloor \beta d \rfloor \) negative coordinates. We assume \( \beta d \geq 1 \). The number of elements in \( S_{d, \beta} \) is

\[
\#S_{d, \beta} = \left( \lfloor \beta d \rfloor, \lfloor \beta d \rfloor, d - 2\lfloor \beta d \rfloor \right) \frac{d}{\lfloor \beta d \rfloor - 1} \frac{d - 1}{\lfloor \beta d \rfloor - 1}. \tag{50}
\]

The first factor in the product above is the number of corresponding partitions \( E_0 \cup E_+ \cup E_- \). The second factor is the number of ways one can write \( (d - 1)/2 \) as a sum of \( \lfloor \beta d \rfloor \) strictly positive integers. The third factor is the number of ways one can write \( -(d - 1)/2 \) as a sum of \( \lfloor \beta d \rfloor \) strictly negative integers.

We want to choose the real \( \beta \) so as to make the product in Eq. (50) as big as possible. The logarithm of this product divided by \( n \) tends to \( H(\beta, \beta, 1 - 2\beta) + H(2\beta, 1 - 2\beta) \) as \( n \) tends to infinity. This expression is maximal for \( \beta = 1/(2 + \sqrt{2}) \) and its value is then bigger than \( 1.7627 \). We set \( \beta = 1/(2 + \sqrt{2}) \) and we look for an effective lower bound for every factor in Eq. (50).

We first apply Eq. (49) for \( K = 3 \), \( \overrightarrow{\beta} = (\beta, \beta, 1 - 2\beta) \), \( \mu = 1 \), \( H(\beta, \beta, 1 - 2\beta) \geq 1.08439 \) and \( d \geq 2001 \). We find that

\[
\frac{1}{d} \log \left( \frac{d}{\lfloor \beta d \rfloor, \lfloor \beta d \rfloor, d - 2\lfloor \beta d \rfloor} \right) \geq 1.08439 - 0.00781 = 1.07658. \tag{51}
\]

We now notice that \( \lfloor \beta d \rfloor - 1 \geq ((d - 1)/2 - 1)/2 \) and \( \lfloor \beta'(d - 3) \rfloor \geq \lfloor \beta d \rfloor - 1 \) provided \( \beta' / \beta \geq d/(d - 3) \), which is guaranteed by setting \( \beta' = 0.29334 \). So,

\[
\left( \frac{d}{\lfloor \beta' d \rfloor} - 1 \right) \geq \left( \frac{d - 3}{2\beta d} \right). \tag{52}
\]

We then apply Eq. (49) for \( K = 2 \), \( \overrightarrow{\beta} = (2\beta', 1 - 2\beta') \), \( \mu = 1 \), \( H(2\beta', 1 - 2\beta') \geq 0.678 \), and \( d \geq 999 \). We find that

\[
\frac{1}{d} \log \left( \frac{d}{\lfloor 2\beta' d \rfloor} \right) \geq 0.678 - 0.0085 = 0.6695.
\]

If we substitute \( d \) by \( (d - 3)/2 \) in the above formula, we obtain, for \( d \geq 2001 \),

\[
\frac{1}{d} \log \left( \frac{d - 3}{\lfloor 2\beta' d - 3 \rfloor} \right) \geq 0.6695 \times \frac{d - 3}{2d} \geq 0.3342. \tag{53}
\]

Combining Eqs. (50), (51), (52), and (53), we deduce the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.** Let \( d \geq 2001 \) be an odd integer and let \( S_d \subset \mathbb{Z}^d \) be the set of vectors having \( L^1 \)-norm equal to \( d - 1 \) and the sum of all coordinates equal to 0. We have

\[
\log \#S_d \geq 1.74498 \times d.
\]
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