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Abstract: Background. The COVID-19 lockdown has had a significant impact on mental health. Patients with eating disorders (ED) have been particularly vulnerable. Aims. (1) To explore changes in eating-related symptoms and general psychopathology during lockdown in patients with an ED from various European and Asian countries; and (2) to assess differences related to diagnostic ED subtypes, age, and geography. Methods. The sample comprised 829 participants, diagnosed with an ED according to DSM-5 criteria from specialized ED units in Europe and Asia. Participants were assessed using the COVID-19 Isolation Scale (CIES). Results. Patients with binge eating disorder (BED) experienced the highest impact on weight and ED symptoms in comparison with other ED subtypes during lockdown, whereas individuals with other specified feeding and eating disorders (OFSED) had greater deterioration in general psychological functioning than subjects with other ED subtypes. Finally, Asian and younger individuals appeared to be more resilient. Conclusions. The psychopathological changes in ED patients during the COVID-19 lockdown varied by cultural context and individual variation in age and ED diagnosis. Clinical services may need to target preventive measures and adapt therapeutic approaches for the most vulnerable patients.
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1. Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has been a challenge for governments and health care professionals. The lockdown has been a worldwide response to control the spread of the disease. Although the measures taken have been effective in reducing the transmission of the infection, health professionals have expressed concerns about the mental health consequences that can result from social isolation and restrictions to daily life [1–3].

The psychological impact of lockdown in history and in the current context has been considered [4]. Higher levels of negative emotions such as anxiety, depression, anger, guilt or even posttraumatic stress symptoms have been reported [4,5]. A more profound impact has been observed in individuals with chronic diseases and mental illness [1,6,7]. Patients with eating disorders (ED) have been found to be at risk of adverse psychological consequences in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic [8,9].

Several studies have highlighted the emotional distress due to lockdown in patients with an ED, reporting high levels of anxiety, depression, and post-stress traumatic symptoms that may persist after lockdown [10–12]. Social distancing might obstruct adaptive strategies to deal with psychological distress [3,9,13] and maladaptive coping strategies, such as engaging in substance abuse and potentially addictive behaviors (e.g., gaming), may be adopted [14,15].
Changes in eating behaviors, exercise and weight/body mass index (BMI) have been detected both in the general population and in patients with an ED [16,17]. Emotional disturbances secondary to environmental changes and “food insecurity” have been considered as some possible explanatory factors [17–19]. Reduced social support, low self-direction, childhood trauma, and insecure attachment or difficulties in emotion regulation are vulnerability factors leading to psychological distress in lockdown, which can be associated with disturbed eating patterns [11,20–23].

Increased dietary restriction and physical activity in patients with anorexia nervosa (AN) or higher frequency of binge episodes among patients with bulimia nervosa (BN) and binge eating disorder (BED) have been reported during lockdown [10,11,21,24,25]. However, there are few studies focusing on the evolution of ED symptoms after lockdown and these have yielded mixed results [10,11]. A differential impact on eating and general psychopathology has been assessed in patients with an ED when compared with the general population [11,16]. This may vary with the ED subtype [26]. Moreover, age may be a possible factor to consider when evaluating clinical changes in the context of lockdown. In this line, younger age [1,7], together with cultural and socio-demographic factors may modulate them [27,28].

In order to assess the global effect of lockdown due to the COVID-19 pandemic in patients with a current diagnosis of ED, an international group of clinical and research experts developed the COVID Isolation Eating Scale (CIES), which has been translated in nineteen languages [26]. The study by Fernandez-Aranda, Munguía et al. [26] provided evidence of the psychometric robustness of the Spanish version of the CIES, with an adequate goodness-of-fit for the confirmatory factor analysis and good to excellent Cronbach alpha values. Preliminary data suggested that the effects of lockdown differed between ED subtypes, whereby patients with other specified feeding and eating disorders (OSFED) reported the highest global impairment [26].

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first observational study to analyze clinical changes in patients with ED longitudinally during lockdown, from a multicenter and international perspective. Both child/adolescent and adult populations were assessed using the CIES. The aims of the present study were: (1) to explore eating symptoms and behavioral changes, as well as other psychopathological features in the context of lockdown, and (2) to examine whether ED subtypes, age and geography moderated this effect.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Participants

The sample comprised $N = 829$ participants, from European (Spain, $n = 300$; Austria, $n = 43$; Germany, $n = 103$; Russia, $n = 119$; Portugal, $n = 28$; Lithuania, $n = 23$; Czech Republic, $n = 50$; Ukraine, $n = 10$) and Asian (China, $n = 92$; Korea, $n = 50$; Japan, $n = 11$) private and public ED units. Participants were diagnosed according to DSM-5 criteria [29] by expert clinical psychologists and psychiatrists using a semi-structured clinical interview (SCID-5) [30].

2.2. Assessment

The COVID Isolation Eating Scale (CIES) [26] is a self-report questionnaire that assesses the impact of lockdown on patients with ED and/or obesity and has been translated in 19 languages [26]. Supplementary Material contains the English version of the scale. It is composed of 4 subscales:

I. Circumstances of the lockdown (eight items).

II. Effects of the lockdown on eating symptoms (thirteen items): it evaluates symptomatology of AN, BN, BED and OSFED, according with the DSM-5. Comorbidity with other psychiatric disorders or diabetes is assessed.

III. Reaction to the lockdown (34 items): it evaluates the effects of the confinement on eating behaviors, attitudes and habits, anxious-depressive symptoms, emotion
dysregulation, and other symptomatology associated with substance use disorders and behavioral addictions.

IV. The evaluation of remote interventions (thirteen items) assesses acceptance, general satisfaction, and motivation for virtual interventions.

The last three subscales are answered in a five-point Likert scale. Sections 2 and 3 consider two moments of time, before confinement and the current moment of time.

According to the factorial analysis [26], five factors were identified. Factor 1 was defined by the items measuring eating related symptoms; Factor 2 by the items measuring the effects of lockdown on the eating-related style; Factor 3 by the items assessing anxiety and depressive symptoms; Factor 4 was defined by the items related to emotion regulation; and Factor 5 by those that evaluate telemedicine.

Only the subscales I, II and III (that correspond to the factor 1, 2, 3 and 4) were used in this study. Therefore, no results referring to the acceptance of virtual are reported (subscale 4, factor 5).

2.3. Additional Assessment

Socio-demographic and clinical information (i.e., age, ED subtype, and variables related to COVID-19 and lockdown) were also obtained through the CIES questionnaire.

2.4. Procedure

All the participants were already involved in outpatient ED treatment in specialized units of the different countries. The subjects were asked by therapists from each center to voluntarily complete the study questionnaire (i.e., CIES), translated into participants’ languages [26]. Data collection took place retrospectively between August 2020 and January 2021.

The study was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of the leading University Hospital (Bellvitge University Hospital) (PR239/20), and informed written consent was obtained from all participants.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with Stata17 for Windows [31]. The assessment of the pre-post changes in the quantitative measures was carried out through repeated measures analysis of variance (repeated-ANOVA), while the McNemar test was used for paired nominal data.

Additionally, the differences post-pre values were generated for the scores registered in the CIES, and for the weight (kg) and the BMI (kg/m²) (values equal to zero in these new variables indicate absence of change; negative values indicate a decreasing pre-post change; and positive values indicate an increasing pre-post change). Next, ANOVA procedures compared the mean differences between the diagnostic subtypes, the groups of age, and the continent.

In this study, the statistical analyses were adjusted by the sociodemographic sex and age, due to the differences between the groups (defined by the diagnostic subtypes and the origin of the samples). The effect size was estimated with Cohen’s-h coefficient for the differences between the proportions and with Cohen’s-d for the differences between the means (null effect size was considered for |h| < 0.20 or |d| < 0.20, low-poor for |h| > 0.20 or |d| > 0.20, moderate-medium for |h| > 0.50 or |d| > 0.50 and large-high for |h| > 0.80 or |d| > 0.80) [32,33]. Finner’s method controlled the increase in the Type-I error due to the use of multiple significance tests [34].

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of the Participants

Table S1 (Supplementary Material) displays sociodemographic and clinical characteristic of the sample during the lockdown, as well as the comparison between the diagnostic types, the age groups, and the different continents. For the total sample, the mean age
was 27.9 years old ($SD = 12.3$). Most participants were women (70.4%), lived with other people during the lockdown (78.9%), did not have to take care of others (73.8%), were not infected with COVID-19 (92.8%), did not know anyone close to them who was infected with COVID-19 (84.8%), were working (53.8%), and reported a minimal financial loss (73.5%).

3.2. Comparison between Diagnostic Subtypes of Changes Pre to Post Lockdown

Table 1 contains the changes in the CIES subscales scores between the pre- and post-lockdown within each diagnostic subtype. After adjusting by sex and age, patients with AN reported a significant worsening in eating style and alcohol use. Patients with BN had an increase in weight, and a decrease in ED symptoms, but emotion dysregulation and alcohol use were increased. Individuals with BED reported increased weight, an impaired eating style and had an increase in anxiety-depression symptoms. The group with OSFED reported an increase in anxiety-depression symptoms and emotion dysregulation.

| Table 1. Assessment of the post-pre changes stratified by ED subtype. |
|---------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|               | Pre        | Post        | p           | $|d|$        |
| **Anorexia ($n = 370$)** |            |             |             |             |             |
| Weight (kg)   | 48.29      | 48.27       | 0.954       | 0.00        |
| BMI (kg/m$^2$) | 17.92      | 17.94       | 0.862       | 0.01        |
| CIES-F1 ED symptoms | 13.94      | 13.32       | 0.089       | 0.09        |
| CIES-F2 Eating style | 10.96      | 9.98        | 0.005       | 0.11        |
| CIES-F3 Anxiety-depression | 17.20      | 17.93       | 0.089       | 0.07        |
| CIES-F4 Emotion dysregulation | 8.51      | 9.27        | 0.247       | 0.05        |
| Tobacco       | 52         | 52          | 1.00        | 0.00        |
| Alcohol       | 57         | 38          | 0.001       | 0.15        |
| Other illegal drugs | 33        | 26          | 0.143       | 0.07        |
| Behavioral addictions | 244       | 242         | 0.875       | 0.01        |
| **Bulimia ($n = 148$)** |            |             |             |             |             |
| Weight (kg)   | 61.09      | 62.26       | 0.026       | 0.08        |
| BMI (kg/m$^2$) | 22.27      | 22.70       | 0.025       | 0.09        |
| CIES-F1 ED symptoms | 19.78      | 18.39       | 0.048       | 0.20        |
| CIES-F2 Eating style | 21.96      | 20.28       | 0.073       | 0.16        |
| CIES-F3 Anxiety-depression | 20.36      | 20.84       | 0.467       | 0.05        |
| CIES-F4 Emotion dysregulation | 9.91      | 9.22        | 0.045       | 0.14        |
| Tobacco       | 42         | 46          | 0.388       | 0.06        |
| Alcohol       | 62         | 54          | <0.001      | 0.24        |
| Other illegal drugs | 30        | 22          | 0.115       | 0.14        |
| Behavioral addictions | 108       | 106         | 0.839       | 0.03        |
| **BED ($n = 113$)** |            |             |             |             |             |
| Weight (kg)   | 95.27      | 99.18       | <0.001      | 0.12        |
| BMI (kg/m$^2$) | 33.63      | 35.08       | <0.001      | 0.14        |
| CIES-F1 ED symptoms | 14.10      | 14.57       | 0.449       | 0.07        |
| CIES-F2 Eating style | 20.88      | 18.86       | 0.010       | 0.18        |
| CIES-F3 Anxiety-depression | 17.66      | 19.45       | 0.004       | 0.16        |
| CIES-F4 Emotion dysregulation | 8.99      | 8.88        | 0.745       | 0.02        |
| Tobacco       | 29         | 28          | 1.00        | 0.02        |
| Alcohol       | 36         | 31          | 0.405       | 0.10        |
| Other illegal drugs | 18        | 13          | 0.332       | 0.13        |
| Behavioral addictions | 73        | 79          | 0.263       | 0.11        |
Table 1. Cont.

|                              | Pre       | Post      | Mean  | SD    | Mean  | SD    | p      |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| OSFED (n = 198)              |           |           |       |       |       |       |        |
| Weight (kg)                  | 81.68     | 28.56     | 82.25 | 28.77 | 8.13  | 0.340 | 0.02   |
| BMI (kg/m²)                  | 13.74     | 5.95      | 13.35 | 5.70  | 0.266 | 0.07  |        |
| CIES-F1 ED symptoms          | 13.94     | 9.14      | 13.99 | 9.12  | 1.23  | 0.00  |        |
| CIES-F2 Eating style         | 6.73      | 5.08      | 7.80  | 5.86  | 0.75  | 0.20  |        |
| CIES-F3 Anxiety-depression   | 13.99     | 10.03     | 16.17 | 10.90 | 0.80  | 0.10  |        |
| CIES-F4 Emotion dysregulation| 0.53      | 4.06      | −0.62 | 4.25  | 0.53  | 0.10  |        |

Table 2 shows the comparison between the diagnostic ED subtypes for post-pre changes (defined as the difference between the measures at the end of the follow-up and the post lockdown versus the pre lockdown). The first line-plot displayed in Figure 1 also contains the mean changes within each measure (mean values close to the horizontal line at value 0 represent absence of post-pre changes). After adjusting by sex and age, compared with other groups, patients with BED were characterized by the highest increase in weight, BMI, and the CIES-F1 ED symptoms. For the CIES-F2 eating style, BED and BN achieved statistically equal changes, which represented a higher decrease compared with AN, and OSFED. For CIES-F3 anxiety-depression and CIES-F4 emotional dysregulation, OSFED registered the highest increase compared with the other diagnostic conditions.

Figure 1. Differences (post-pre changes) for the weight, the BMI and the CIES factors.
Table 2. Comparison of the post-pre differences by the ED subtype.

|                     | Anorexia (AN) n = 370 | Bulimia (BN) n = 148 | BED n = 113 | OSFED n = 198 | Significant Pairwise Comparisons |
|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------------------------|
| Weight (kg)         | −0.32 6.66             | 1.10 6.32             | 4.22 11.58  | 1.02 7.43    | BED ≠ (AN = BN = OSFED)          |
| BMI (kg/m²)         | −0.09 2.54             | 0.40 2.31             | 1.55 4.13   | 0.35 2.71    | BED ≠ (AN = BN = OSFED)          |
| CIES-F1 ED symptoms | −0.43 7.03             | −1.32 8.52            | 0.26 6.54   | −0.67 4.90   | BED ≠ (AN = BN = OSFED)          |
| CIES-F2 Eating style| −0.60 6.72             | −1.58 11.34           | −2.42 8.12  | −0.52 6.69   | BED ≠ (AN = BN = OSFED)          |
| CIES-F3 Anxiety-dep.| 1.19 8.28              | 0.65 8.28             | 1.28 6.53   | 1.47 7.04    | OSFED ≠ (AN = BN = BED)         |
| CIES-F4 Emot.dysreg.| −0.03 4.06             | −0.62 4.25            | −0.34 3.73  | 0.75 3.94    | OSFED ≠ (AN = BN = BED)         |

BMI: body mass index. BED: binge eating disorder. OSFED: other specified feeding eating disorders. SD: standard deviation.

3.3. Comparison between the Groups of Age for the Changes during the Lockdown

Table 3 contains the results of the repeated-ANOVA assessing the changes between the pre- and post-lockdown within each age group (young/adolescents versus adults). These analyses were adjusted by the ED subtype and sex. Among young/adolescents, a significant decrease was observed for the CIES-F2 eating style. Adult patients reported increased weight, BMI and CIES-F3 anxiety-depression symptoms, decreased CIES-F2 eating style, and the likelihood of alcohol and other illegal drug use.

Table 3. Assessment of the post-pre changes stratified by groups of age.

|            | Pre Mean | SD  | Post Mean | SD  | p   | |d| |
|------------|----------|-----|-----------|-----|-----|-----|
| Age: young/adolescents (n = 172) | | | | | | |
| Weight (kg) | 63.49 13.52 | | 65.44 13.51 | | 0.073 | 0.14 |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 22.74 4.00 | | 23.37 3.97 | | 0.119 | 0.16 |
| CIES-F1 ED symptoms | 14.83 6.73 | | 13.32 7.52 | | 0.213 | 0.21 |
| CIES-F2 Eating style | 16.54 9.23 | | 12.75 8.60 | | 0.003 | 0.43 |
| CIES-F3 Anxiety-dep. | 15.96 8.89 | | 15.46 8.83 | | 0.701 | 0.06 |
| CIES-F4 Emo. dysreg. | 8.84 5.24 | | 8.39 5.33 | | 0.537 | 0.09 |
| Tobacco | 14 9.6% | | 16 11.1% | | 0.566 | 0.05 |
| Alcohol | 18 9.7% | | 9 3.1% | | 0.118 | 0.28 |
| Other illegal drugs | 9 6.0% | | 8 5.8% | | 0.945 | 0.01 |
| Behavioral addictions | 125 79.7% | | 127 80.1% | | 0.948 | 0.01 |

|            | Pre Mean | SD  | Post Mean | SD  | p   | |d| |
|------------|----------|-----|-----------|-----|-----|-----|
| Age: adults (n = 657) | | | | | | |
| Weight (kg) | 72.18 27.82 | | 73.62 27.96 | | <0.001 | 0.05 |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 25.79 9.00 | | 26.32 9.10 | | <0.001 | 0.06 |
| CIES-F1 ED symptoms | 15.54 7.14 | | 15.22 6.96 | | 0.237 | 0.04 |
| CIES-F2 Eating style | 17.17 10.60 | | 16.32 10.52 | | 0.008 | 0.08 |
| CIES-F3 Anxiety-dep. | 17.69 10.13 | | 19.26 10.57 | | <0.001 | 0.15 |
| CIES-F4 Emo. dysreg. | 8.61 5.27 | | 8.70 5.53 | | 0.546 | 0.02 |
| Tobacco | 167 26.2% | | 175 27.4% | | 0.235 | 0.03 |
| Alcohol | 194 31.4% | | 156 25.4% | | <0.001 | 0.13 |
| Other illegal drugs | 85 13.9% | | 69 11.0% | | 0.025 | 0.09 |
| Behavioral addictions | 423 65.0% | | 431 66.6% | | 0.272 | 0.03 |

BMI: body mass index. SD: standard deviation. * Bold: significant comparison. Results adjusted by ED subtype and sex.

The results of the ANOVA procedures comparing between the age group the differences generated between the values measured at post- and pre-lockdown are shown in Table 4 (ANOVA procedures adjusted by the ED subtype and sex) (see also the second line-plot of Figure 1). Statistical differences were achieved by comparing the mean changes in the CIES-F2 eating style and the CIES-F3 anxiety-depression symptoms.
Table 4. Comparison of the post-pre differences by the groups of age.

|                     | Young/Adolescents | Adults |
|---------------------|------------------|--------|
|                     | Mean  | SD   | Mean  | SD   | p    | 1d  |
| Weight (kg)         | 0.99  | 7.16 | 1.50  | 7.86 | 0.480| 0.07 |
| BMI (kg/m²)         | 0.36  | 2.66 | 0.56  | 2.89 | 0.471| 0.04 |
| CIES-F1 ED symptoms | −1.30 | 7.94 | −0.39 | 6.50 | 0.154| 0.13 |
| CIES-F2 Eating style| −2.71 | 8.17 | −0.93 | 7.85 | 0.017| 0.22 |
| CIES-F3 Anxiety-depression symptoms | −0.13 | 8.55 | 1.43  | 7.52 | 0.030| 0.19 |
| CIES-F4 Emotion dysregulation | −0.37 | 4.77 | 0.03  | 3.84 | 0.289| 0.09 |

BMI: body mass index. SD: standard deviation. * Bold: significant comparison. Results adjusted by ED subtype and sex.

3.4. Comparison between Continents for the Changes during the Lockdown

Table 5 contains the results of the repeated-ANOVA (adjusted by the ED subtype, sex, and age) assessing the changes between the pre- and post-lockdown in the weight, the BMI and the CIES scores. Separated/stratified analyses have been performed within each continent (Europe and Asia). Among the European patients, a significant increase was observed in weight, BMI and the CIES-F3 anxiety-depression symptoms. Besides, a significant decrease in the CIES-F2 eating style and in the likelihood of alcohol and other illegal drug use was reported. In Asian patients, while an increase in weight and BMI was obtained, a decrease in the CIES-F1 ED symptoms was found.

Table 5. Assessment of the post-pre changes stratified by continent.

|                     | Pre  | Post |
|---------------------|------|------|
|                     | Mean | SD   | Mean | SD   | p    | 1d  |
| Europe (n=676)      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Weight (kg)         | 72.99| 27.78| 74.20| 28.24| 0.001*|0.04 |
| BMI (kg/m²)         | 26.06| 8.95 | 26.49| 9.14 | 0.001*|0.05 |
| CIES-F1 ED symptoms | 14.94| 6.61 | 15.09| 7.06 | 0.587 |0.02 |
| CIES-F2 Eating style| 16.29| 9.73 | 15.03| 9.82 | <0.001*|0.13 |
| CIES-F3 Anxiety-depression symptoms | 17.00| 9.65 | 18.51| 10.34| <0.001*|0.15 |
| CIES-F4 Emotion dysregulation | 8.54 | 5.13 | 8.58 | 5.46 | 0.805 |0.01 |
| Tobacco             | 166  | 28.2%| 173  | 29.3%| 0.306 |0.02 |
| Alcohol             | 172  | 30.8%| 132  | 22.9%| <0.001*|0.18 |
| Other illegal drugs | 75   | 14.5%| 58   | 10.2%| 0.003*|0.13 |
| Behavioral addictions | 440  | 66.9%| 452  | 68.4%| 0.209 |0.03 |
| Asia (n=153)        |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Weight (kg)         | 56.19| 15.41| 58.01| 14.99| 0.038*|0.12 |
| BMI (kg/m²)         | 20.79| 4.95 | 21.51| 4.91 | 0.030*|0.15 |
| CIES-F1 ED symptoms | 17.91| 8.14 | 15.55| 7.53 | 0.006*|0.30 |
| CIES-F2 Eating style| 19.78| 12.44| 18.61| 12.15| 0.314 |0.10 |
| CIES-F3 Anxiety-depression symptoms | 19.02| 10.74| 19.13| 10.59| 0.899 |0.01 |
| CIES-F4 Emotion dysregulation | 9.13 | 5.75 | 8.61 | 5.73 | 0.241 |0.09 |
| Tobacco             | 15   | 12.0%| 18   | 14.6%| 0.167 |0.08 |
| Alcohol             | 40   | 28.6%| 33   | 25.6%| 0.354 |0.07 |
| Other illegal drugs | 19   | 13.6%| 19   | 14.4%| 0.736 |0.02 |
| Behavioral addictions | 108  | 68.0%| 106  | 73.0%| 0.284 |0.11 |

BMI: body mass index. SD: standard deviation. * Bold: significant comparison. Results adjusted by ED subtype, sex, and age.

Finally, the results of the ANOVA comparing the differences post- and pre-lockdown between the geographical area are shown in Table 6 (results adjusted by the ED subtype, sex, and age) (see also the third line-plot of Figure 1). Statistical differences were achieved
comparing the mean changes in the CIES-F1 ED symptoms (higher decrease for Asian patients).

Table 6. Comparison of the post-pre differences by continent.

|                     | Europe |      | Asia |      | p     | 1d1   |
|---------------------|--------|------|------|------|-------|-------|
|                     | Mean   | SD   | Mean | SD   |       |       |
| Weight (kg)         | 1.27   | 7.47 | 2.37 | 8.73 | 0.138 | 0.13  |
| BMI (kg/m²)         | 0.46   | 2.73 | 0.91 | 3.28 | 0.100 | 0.15  |
| CIES-F1 ED symptoms | −0.04  | 6.32 | −2.40| 8.43 | <0.001* | 0.32 |
| CIES-F2 Eating style| −1.37  | 6.90 | −0.95| 11.48| 0.583  | 0.04  |
| CIES-F3 Anxiety-depression symptoms | 1.40 | 7.54 | 0.22 | 8.62 | 0.113 | 0.15 |
| CIES-F4 Emotion dysregulation | 0.02  | 3.94 | −0.37| 4.47 | 0.309 | 0.09  |

BMI: body mass index. SD: standard deviation. * Bold: significant comparison. Results adjusted by ED subtype, sex, and age.

4. Discussion

The aim of this study was to compare the psychopathological effects in the context of lockdown due to the COVID-19 pandemic in patients with ED from different continents (i.e., Europe and Asia). Secondly, we examined if these differences pre/post lockdown varied by ED subtype, age, and continental provenance.

4.1. ED Subtypes and Changes during Lockdown

In line with previous studies [26] the findings highlight a differential psychopathological impact during lockdown according to the ED diagnosis. Patients diagnosed with BN and BED reported weight gain after lockdown, the BED group experiencing the greatest weight changes when comparing by ED subtypes. It is possible that a sedentary lifestyle favored by “stay-at-home” measures and mobility restrictions during lockdown [35] may play a pivotal role in these weight changes [36,37]. Some of the increased eating behaviors related to “food insecurity” or boredom, such as snacking [35], could also lead to weight gain [16,17]. In this line, individuals with BED and BN showed a higher impairment in their eating style in comparison with the other ED subtypes. Moreover, previous studies have recognized an increased vulnerability to weight gain in individuals with overweight and obesity [38], conditions that are usually presented in individuals with BN and BED [39,40].

Eating behaviors have been described as maladaptive strategies to cope with emotional distress [13,18,22]. However, ED symptoms and emotion dysregulation were reduced in BN, which aligns with other findings [10,41]. The continued presence of other people at home and maintaining daily routines [4] are two significant socio-demographic features that characterized our sample, and may have allowed patients with BN to reduce binge episodes and purging. Moreover, the observed decrease in other maladaptive behaviors after lockdown (i.e., alcohol consumption) has been previously described in an international non-clinical study [35].

Individuals with BED reported the highest impact on ED symptoms when comparing ED subtype groups, although no significant changes in ED symptoms pre- and post-lockdown were individually reported in this group. A greater illness perception among these patients together with a higher motivation for change [42], and the weight gain during lockdown, could encourage them to improve their eating style (e.g., non abuse of certain palatable foods).

Changes in eating style have also been described in patients with AN, which may suggest an increased control over food intake during lockdown. Changes in diet habits have been observed both in general and clinical populations during lockdown [16], the restricting pattern being commonly mentioned [24]. Surprisingly, and in contrast to other studies [12,43], they did not report significant modification in weight/BMI, ED symptoms
nor other psychological features. Furthermore, a reduction in alcohol use was described in this ED subtype after lockdown.

Regarding OSFED group, both psychopathology and emotion regulation worsened during lockdown. This aligns with previous research which found that individuals with OSFED deteriorated in adverse situations [44,45]. Not only they did experience a greater psychological impairment than subjects from other ED groups but this impairment also persisted after lockdown [10,11,23].

4.2. Age Differences Regarding Changes during Lockdown

Overall young/adolescent patients had a significant improvement in eating habits in comparison to older individuals. The adult group reported significant weight/BMI changes and higher psychological impact than the former one. These results differed from those described in the general population in which younger people had a poorer adjustment to lockdown [1,7]. One possible explanation for our results could be that older age is linked to patients with BED, who in our study reported a greater psychopathological impact than other ED subtypes. Besides, younger people may be supervised and accompanied more frequently by others, constituting a possible protective factor [4]. Furthermore, young people are usually more familiar with the use of social media, which might imply a better adaptation to the online modality, promoting therapeutic adherence and the maintenance of academic/work routines, as well as social contact [4,13].

4.3. Influence of Continental Provenance on the Changes during Lockdown

Both European and Asian patients experienced weight gain during lockdown, as has been described in clinical and general populations [36,37,46], without significant differences between groups. In comparison with European patients, participants from Asia reported an improvement of their ED symptoms. These findings were not in line with other studies exploring the impact of lockdown on the Asian population [46]. Individual differences in personality and coping features have been reported between countries [27,28] which might lead to a differential adjustment to stress such as the COVID-19 pandemic [47].

4.4. Strengths and Limitations

The large sample size with international participation using a validated and homogeneous method of evaluation is a strength of this study. Moreover, the analysis related to age and provenance were adjusted for the ED subtype. However, the observational design of the study and the voluntary nature of participation in it could be some of the limitations of the present work. Furthermore, the presence of memory bias due to the retrospective nature of the assessment and the heterogeneity of the sample must be mentioned. Even though initial evidence regarding clinical changes in ED patients during lockdown has been measured by the CIES, future studies should consider involving other ED severity questionnaires.

5. Conclusions

In this international study of the adjustment of patients with an ED during lockdown, we observed differences that varied according to ED subtype, age, and provenance. Individuals with BED showed higher worsening of eating symptoms and change in weight during lockdown than those from other ED subtypes. The greatest psychological impairment was described in the OSFED group. Finally, young and Asian patients appeared to be more resilient. These findings may enhance preventive and therapeutic approaches in similar future circumstances.
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