Synthesis of some butenolides and study of their antibacterial activity
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ABSTRACT

Butenolides and their analogues represent a wide range of natural compounds of a medical and biological importance. In the last decades, a great number of compounds of various structures, in general from Alkylidene butenolide, were isolated and showed biological activities. In this work we have studied the reactivity of some alkylidene butenolide and their antibacterial activity. The study is of a scientific interest in terms of the synthesis of new compounds (Butenolide 01: 5-hydroxy-5-(1-methoxypropan-2-yl)-4-methylfuran-2(5H)-one, Butenolide 02: 5-(1-methoxypropan-2-yl)-4-methylfuran-2(5H)-one) that have not been studied before by other researchers; and of an economic importance because of its synthesis process which is easy and inexpensive. Moreover, butenolide showed a positive antimicrobial activity (antibiotic) against pathogenic bacteria (mainly Enterobacter hafniae).
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1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, there has been a great interest in preparing butenolides [1-8] containing compounds due to the biological effects attributed to this class of natural products such as freelingyne, rubrolides, etrenolin-furanosesquiterpenoid, Protoanemonin [9-11]. Among these bioactive compounds, there exist the alkylidenes butenolides, which have a large spectrum of biological activity [12-17].

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION
2.1. Preparation of Lactones

We have been interested in the preparation of some alkylidene butenolides using the method previously developed in our laboratory. In fact the method deals with the cyclization of β--iodide-α,β-unsaturated acids using an alkyne with the presence of potassium carbonate and copper iodide, for this purpose we have used a type of alkyne.
We noticed that very poor yields were obtained with (Z)-3-iodoacrylic acid. On the other side, good yields were found with (Z)-3-iodobut-2-enoic acid. Hence, we opted to work on this acid.

Reactions with hexyne and octyne gave rise to two isomers: a furanone and a pyranone contrarily to other types of alkyne that produced only furanone.

Under a nitrogen atmosphere the iodide acid was added to a stirred solution of calcium carbonate (2eq) in DMF, the resulting mixture was cooled down to –80 °C for 10 minutes and then warmed up to room temperature. After that, the alkyne (1,5 eq) and the copper iodide (0.2 eq) were added respectively under nitrogen flux. Heating to 80 °C and stirring overnight the solution, it was cooled to rt and quenched with saturated aqueous solution of NH₄Cl before being extracted three times with AcOEt. The combined organic layers were washed with saturated brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and then concentrated in vacuo. The residual oils were purified by flash chromatography using an eluent system of petroleum ether/ether to give the corresponding lactones.

\[\text{Acid} \quad \text{CuI} \quad \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3, 80 \degree \text{C} \quad \text{8H in DMF} \quad \text{Alkyne} \quad \text{Lactone} \quad 60 \%\]

\((Z)-5-(2\text{-methoxyethylidene})-4\text{-methylfuran-2(5H)}-\text{one}\)

RMN \(^1\text{H}\) (300 MHz, CDCl₃): \(\delta = 2.16(s, 3\text{H}), 3.39(s, 3\text{H}), 4.29(d, J = 6.80 \text{Hz}, 2\text{H}), 5.41(t, J = 6.80 \text{Hz}, 1\text{H}), 5.98(s, 1\text{H}).\)

RMN \(^{13}\text{C}\) (75 MHz, CDCl₃): \(\delta = 12.16(1\text{C}), 58.96(1\text{C}), 66.87(1\text{C}), 108.30(1\text{C}), 117.81(1\text{C}), 150.63(1\text{C}), 154.74(1\text{C}), 169.48(1\text{C}).\)

2. 2. Addition of organocuprates

Once the lactones were in hand, the next step consisted in carrying out the organocuprate addition using copper iodide. For this operation, the prescribed method is to
add the methyllithium on copper iodide in anhydrous ether at $-5 \, ^\circ C$. Then, the lactone is added dropwise via canola before being quenched with $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}/\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ (90/10) at 0 °C and warmed till r.t. This method revealed the presence of two isomers as shown below:

$$\text{Me}_2\text{CuLi, LiI,}\quad T=0\degree\text{C, }\text{NH}_4\text{Cl/NH}_4\text{OH}$$

Butenolide 01 + Butenolide 02

total yield 46 % (74:46)

To improve the total output, the idea was to replace the copper iodide by other copper salts such as copper cyanide. Nevertheless, there was almost no enhancement even by adding TMSCl as an accelerator.

$$\text{Me}_2\text{CuLi, LiCN,}\quad T=-78\degree\text{C, }\text{NH}_4\text{Cl/NH}_4\text{OH}$$

TMSCl

Butenolide 01 + Butenolide 02

$\rho < 50\%$

Butenolide 01: 5-hydroxy-5-(1-methoxypropan-2-yl)-4-methylfuran-2(5H)-one
Butenolide 02: 5-(1-methoxypropan-2-yl)-4-methylfuran-2(5H)-one

2.3. The biological activity of compounds

After the preparation of derivatives Alkylidene Butenolide, different amounts of Butenolides has been melted (dissolved) in an organic solvent (di-ethylether) in three different concentrations and injected into the discs. Then, the solvent is evaporated through a dryer in a temperature less than $37\degree\text{C}$.

To study the antibacterial properties of Butenolide, we used three types of bacteria affecting human health, these bacteria are:

Bacteria strains

*E. coli* a Gram-negative bacteria found in the lower intestine of some animals (endotherms). Most *E. coli* strains are harmless, but some serotypes can cause serious food poisoning in humans.
Proteus mirabilis is a Gram-negative bacteria, facultatively anaerobic. P. mirabilis causes 90% of all Proteus infections in humans.

Enterobacter hafniae: gram negative bacteria, commensal of the human gastrointestinal tract and not pathogenic, but may cause diseases.

These bacteria were cultivated at the Mohamed Boudhiaf Hospital in Ouargla. After the preparation of Mueller Hinton culture medium, it is poured into the Petri dishes (20 ml/dish), then it is spread uniformly in the trays and dried in the oven for 20 min to remove the excess of moisture we cut disks of blotting paper of 6 mm diameters, and then put them in a test tube for sterilization in the oven at a temperature of 120 °C for a period of 30 min. Using platin tweezers we took samples of the target bacteria in a test tube containing 10 ml of NaCl. Then pour 01 ml of suspension in each Petri dishes and spread it using tug reaching the edges. Finally the dishes are left in the incubator for 15 d at a temperature of 37 °C.

We put the sterilized disks in Petri dishes containing the microbial suspension (06 disks per pack of 10 cm), at equal distances. Then, the disks are injected with different concentrations of the chosen antibiotic. After that, the dishes are left in the incubator for 24 hours at a temperature of 37 °C.

2. 4. The treatment with the antibiotic

The study was carried to find The Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) which is the lowest concentration of antibiotic to inhibit (bacteriostasis) fully bacterial growth after 18 to 24 contact hours at 37 °C. The most important ways to treat bacteria is diffusion.

The diffusion method is the most commonly used. It consisted in the use of Miller Hinton culture medium in order to determine the resistance or sensitivity of some harmful species of bacteria to antibiotics.

The groups of bacteria are as follows:
- (S) Sensitive to the antibiotic: c > MIC
- (R) Resistant to the antibiotic: C < MIC
- (I) Intermediate sensitivity to the antibiotic if the concentration is c > MIC > C

where:
C is the Top critical concentration of the antibiotic in which the effectiveness is weak.
C is the lesser critical concentration of the antibiotic with a large effectiveness.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results are read by the measurement of inhibition diameter around the disk. We injected three different concentrations of each compound in Petri dishes containing E. coli. After, 24 hours of incubation, we measured the diameter of the repression area in the dishes. We repeated the process many times to check the results. This same process was done with the other bacteria. The results are recorded in Table 1.
Table 1. The diameter changes of repression area function to antibiotic concentration.

| Compound       | Concentration (µg/ml) | Inhibition zone diameter (mm) |
|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
|                |                       | Escherichia coli | Proteus mirabilis | Enterobacter hafniae |
| Butenolide 01  | 0.073                 | -                | -                | 20                     |
|                | 0.042                 | -                | -                | 18                     |
|                | 0.015                 | -                | -                | 13                     |
| Butenolide 02  | 0.073                 | -                | -                | 21                     |
|                | 0.042                 | -                | -                | 10                     |
|                | 0.015                 | -                | -                | 09                     |

The effect of the compounds on *Proteus mirabilis* and *Escherichia coli*: the inhibition diameter is nil with all the concentrations of the compounds. Therefore, we can say that the bacteria did not show any sensitivity towards Butenolide.

The effect of the compounds on *Enterobacter hafniae*:

**Butenolide 01:**
- At 0.073 and 0.042 µg/ml; The inhibition diameters were 20 and 18 mm respectively, as a result, we can say that the bacterium *Enterobacter hafniae* has a medium sensitivity to this compound.
- At 0.015 µg/ml; the inhibition diameter marked 13 mm showing a resistance of the *Enterobacter Hafniae* towards it.

**Butenolide 02:**
- At 0.073 and; inhibition diameter was 21mm. We can say that the bacterium *Enterobacter hafniae* is sensitive to it.
- At 0.042 µg/ml and 0.015 µg/ml; the inhibition diameter marked 10 mm and 9 mm, respectively, the bacteria showed a resistance to Butenolide 2.

Figure 1 shows clearly the inhibition diameters of the compounds that gave positive results with Butenolides

![A.B. of Butenolide 01](image1.jpg) ![A.B. of Butenolide 02](image2.jpg)

**Figure 1.** The impact of the Butenolide derivatives on *Enterobacter hafniae*. 
Through the results obtained in the previous study, we can determine the sensitivity of each type of bacteria to various concentrations of each compound. Table 2 illustrates this details.

**Table 2.** Comparison of the biological activity of the compounds on the types of the selected bacteria.

| Compounds      | Enterobacter hafniae | Proteus mirabilis | Escherichia coli |
|----------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Butenolide 01  | I                    | -                 | -                |
| Butenolide 02  | S                    | -                 | -                |

**4. CONCLUSION**

According to the results obtained, we can determine the sensibility of each bacteria towards each compound. According to the obtained results, we can deduce:
- The derivative are obtained by adding organocupper reagent to butenolides at the position -1.6.
- The obtained butenolides from the addition -1.6 are new compounds.
- Most of the steps of reaction are simple and economical.
- The Chemical compound 5-(1-methoxypropan-2-yl)-4-methylfuran-2(5H)-one gave positive results against *Enterobacter hafniae*.
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