A newly discovered *Bordetella* species carries a transcriptionally active CRISPR-Cas with a small Cas9 endonuclease
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) and CRISPR-associated genes (cas) are widely distributed among bacteria. These systems provide adaptive immunity against mobile genetic elements specified by the spacer sequences stored within the CRISPR.

**Methods:** The CRISPR-Cas system has been identified using Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) against other sequenced and annotated genomes and confirmed via CRISPRfinder program. Using Polymerase Chain Reactions (PCR) and Sanger DNA sequencing, we discovered CRISPRs in additional bacterial isolates of the same species of *Bordetella*. Transcriptional activity and processing of the CRISPR have been assessed via RT-PCR.

**Results:** Here we describe a novel Type II-C CRISPR and its associated genes — *cas1, cas2, and cas9* — in several isolates of a newly discovered *Bordetella* species. The CRISPR-cas locus, which is absent in all other *Bordetella* species, has a significantly lower GC-content than the genome-wide average, suggesting acquisition of this locus via horizontal gene transfer from a currently unknown source. The CRISPR array is transcribed and processed into mature CRISPR RNAs (crRNA), some of which have homology to prophages found in closely related species *B. hinzii*.

**Conclusions:** Expression of the CRISPR-Cas system and processing of crRNAs with perfect homology to prophages present in closely related species, but absent in that containing this CRISPR-Cas system, suggest it provides protection against phage predation. The 3,117-bp *cas9* endonuclease gene from this novel CRISPR-Cas system is 990 bp smaller than that of *Streptococcus pyogenes*, the 4,017-bp allele currently used for genome editing, and which may make it a useful tool in various CRISPR-Cas technologies.
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**Background**

Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)-Cas (CRISPR-associated) systems serve as an adaptive immune mechanism in prokaryotes that confer protection against bacteriophages and other mobile elements and vectors [1]. A typical CRISPR-cas locus includes a CRISPR array of containing direct repeats (DR) separated by spacers (Sp) and adjacent *cas* genes [2]. In response to invading DNA, CRISPRs acquire short fragments of the foreign nucleic acid sequences and insert those as new spacers at the beginning of the CRISPR array, with each spacer flanked on both sides by direct repeat sequences. This acquisition step involves Cas1, Cas2, and Cas9 proteins [3–5]. Cas9, the signature of Type II CRISPR systems [6], is a RNA-guided endonuclease. CRISPR arrays are transcribed and subsequently processed into small individual CRISPR RNAs (crRNA). This “maturation” step of the array precursor requires a *trans*-activating crRNA (tracrRNA), an endogenous ribonuclease RNase III, and Cas9 [7, 8]; although RNase-III-independent systems exist for some
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bacteria with Type II-C CRISPRs [9]. In Streptococcus pyogenes, one of the most well studied Type II CRISPR-Cas systems, both tracrRNA and crRNA guide the Cas9 endonuclease to a complementary target sequence (protospacer) to mediate a double-stranded DNA break during target interference. For additional specificity and to avoid cutting within the array itself (autoimmunity), RNA-guided Cas9 cleavage requires a protospacer adjacent motif (PAM; in S. pyogenes: 5′-NGG-3′) flanking the target site. The specifically targeted endonuclease activity of the S. pyogenes Type II-A CRISPR-Cas system has allowed for important breakthrough applications in RNA-guided control of gene expression, genome engineering, and genome editing of multiple organisms [7, 10]. But limitations of this particular system have led to a search for new CRISPR-Cas systems with altered features.

The publicly available CRISPRFinder program [11] identified CRISPR-cas loci in 45 % (1176/2612) of the bacterial genomes analyzed, but CRISPR-Cas systems have not been identified within the genus Bordetella. This genus, which is comprised of nine species, is historically subdivided into “classical” and “non-classical” bordetellae. The extensively studied classical bordetellae consist of the three respiratory pathogens: B. pertussis and B. parapertussis, the causative agents of “whooping cough” in humans, and B. bronchiseptica, which causes a broad variety of respiratory disease in many different mammals. The non-classical bordetellae are both genotypically and phenotypically different from the classical bordetellae [12]. They consist of the six recently described species: B. hinzii, B. holmesii, B. anisorpii, B. trematum, B. petrii, and B. avium, all of which are only partially characterized [13–17]. While the classical bordetellae are usually associated with respiratory disease, several non-classical species have also been isolated from wound and ear infection, septicemia and endocarditis, predominantly from immunocompromised patients. For example, B. hinzii, which is a respiratory pathogen in poultry [18] and rodents [19], has also been isolated from humans with chronic cholangitis [20], bacteremia [21], or fatal septisemia [22].

We set out to define the sequence diversity within the Bordetella genus and recently published the genome sequences of numerous isolates from several species [23–26]. During these studies, we discovered a novel species that we named Bordetella pseudohinzii (manuscript in preparation). This species is a close relative of B. hinzii and naturally infects laboratory-raised mice. B. hinzii and B. pseudohinzii are distinguishable based on substantial divergence in sequence and gene content, as well as the presence of a CRISPR-Cas system that is unique to the genome of B. pseudohinzii. Here, we describe this novel CRISPR-Cas system, demonstrate that it is transcriptionally active and present evidence that it acts as an adaptive immune system against mobile genetic elements, including bacteriophage sequences present in B. hinzii. These data suggest that both species have recently shared an ecological niche with phages, which are represented by the prophages in B. hinzii genomes and the matching spacers in the genome of B. pseudohinzii, and that acquisition of this CRISPR-Cas system protects against those.

**Methods**

**Bacterial strains and culture conditions**

Bacterial isolates used in this study are described in Additional file 1: Table S1. Cultures used for preparation of DNA were grown at 37 °C on Bordet-Gengou agar containing 10 % sheep’s blood. Stainer-Scholte broth cultures inoculated with colonies from Bordet-Gengou agar and incubated at 37 °C with shaking were used for RNA purification. Growth in broth culture was monitored periodically by checking optical density values (at 600 nm wave length).

**DNA isolation, PCR, and sequencing**

DNA used for amplification and sequencing of cas genes and the CRISPR array was purified using a commercially available kit (Promega) and was quantified with a Nanodrop 2000 (Thermo Scientific). Primers for amplification of the complete CRISPR array and of the cas9, cas1, cas2, and 16S rRNA genes from other B. pseudohinzii isolates (Additional file 2: Table S2) were designed based on the genome sequence of isolate 8-296-03 [GenBank:JHEP010000084]. PCR reactions included 200 μM of dNTPs, 0.5 μM of each primer, 1.5 mM of MgCl2, 2 U of Taq polymerase (Roche), 5.0 μl of 10x Buffer II, 10 % DMSO and ~150 ng of purified DNA template in a final volume of 50 μl. Cycling conditions for the amplification of cas9 were 95 °C for 15 min and 35 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 54 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 3 min, followed by a final elongation step of 72 °C for 4 min. Cycling conditions used with the remaining primer pairs were identical except that the extension time was shortened from 3 min to 1 min. PCR amplions used for sequencing were purified with ExoSAP-IT (USB Corporation) and sequenced at the National Animal Disease Center Genomics Unit using Applied Biosystems Big Dye Terminator v3.1 on an Applied Biosystems 3130 XL Genetic Analyzer sequencer.

**CRISPR-cas locus annotation and protospacer prediction**

All Bordetella genome sequences available at GenBank were searched for the presence of CRISPR systems using CRISPRFINDER [11]. Predicted spacer sequences were submitted to BLAST search to query the nucleotide collection (nt/nt) and whole-genome shotgun contigs (wgs) databases at NCBI. Because E-value is inadequate when using short nucleotide sequences as BLAST queries, we
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introduce a “percent hit quality” score (% HQ) to identify and rank the most significant BLAST hits:

$$\%HQ = \frac{\%cov \times \%ID}{100\%}$$

where %cov represents the percentage of coverage between the spacer and predicted protospacer sequences and %ID stands for percent nucleotide identity between the two.

**GC-content**

The guanine and cytosine content (GC-content) was calculated within a 120-bp sliding window. The difference in GC-content between the CRISPR-cas locus and the genome average was determined using a two-proportion test implemented in Minitab 17 (www.minitab.com). Briefly, numbers of G + C (“positive events”) and A + T (“negative events”) were calculated separately for the chromosome [GenBank:JHEP01000084] and for the CRISPR-cas locus. Because the CRISPR array consists of repetitive sequences, its GC-content is skewed and, therefore, the array sequence was not included. The significance of the difference (P-value) was calculated using two-tailed Fisher’s exact test.

**RNA purification and RT-PCR analysis**

Total RNA was isolated from bacterial cultures during logarithmic (Log) and stationary phases of growth. Each PCR reaction consisted of a spacer sequence, a flanking part of the unprocessed transcript of the CRISPR array. Each PCR product was converted to cDNA. crRNAs corresponding to spacers Sp2, Sp3, Sp10, and Sp19 were PCR-amplified from the resulting cDNA library with primers (Additional file 2: Table S2) complementary to the attached adaptor and the individual spacer sequences. The PCR only yielded amplicons from mature crRNAs but not from the unprocessed transcript of the CRISPR array. Each PCR product consisted of a spacer sequence, a flanking part of the direct repeat and an attached poly(A)-tail with a universal primer sequence. The expected size of each of the four tested amplicons is ~85 bp. PCR products were electrophoresed in 2.8 % agarose gels and visualized with ethidium bromide under UV-light.

Mature crRNAs were PCR amplified using the Quantimir RT Kit (Systems Biosciences) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, a poly(A)-tail with an attached adaptor sequence was ligated to the mRNA transcripts, and the product was converted to cDNA. crRNAs corresponding to spacers Sp2, Sp3, Sp10, and Sp19 were PCR-amplified from the resulting cDNA library with primers (Additional file 2: Table S2) complementary to the attached adaptor and the individual spacer sequences. The PCR only yielded amplicons from mature crRNAs but not from the unprocessed transcript of the CRISPR array. Each PCR product consisted of a spacer sequence, a flanking part of the direct repeat and an attached poly(A)-tail with a universal primer sequence. The expected size of each of the four tested amplicons is ~85 bp. PCR products were electrophoresed in 2.8 % agarose gels and visualized with ethidium bromide under UV-light.

**Results**

**Annotation of the CRISPR-Cas elements and expression in vitro**

The genome of *Bordetella pseudohinzii* strain 8-296-03 contains three consecutive, apparently co-transcribed, genes (Fig. 1a) that are homologous to *cas9, cas1*, and *cas2* of *Alicyclus denitrificans* (Additional file 3: Figure S1, Additional file 4: Table S3). Upstream of those genes, a putative tracrRNA is encoded divergently, flanked by a putative promoter and a rho-independent stem-loop terminator. Downstream of the *cas* genes, the CRISPR array contains 22 direct repeats (DR) and 21 spacer sequences (Sp). Of these, 19 direct repeats are identical, one repeat (DR-4) has a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP), and the terminal direct repeat (DR-1) has 3 SNPs (Fig. 1b). While each direct repeat is exactly 36 nucleotides in length, the spacer sequences vary: 19 spacers are 30 nucleotides and two are 29 nucleotides long. The sequence of each spacer is unique. Based on the presence and organization of *cas9, cas1*, and *cas2* genes within the operon, we typed this CRISPR-Cas system as Type II-C, according to the classification of the CRISPR-Cas systems established by Makarova et al. [6].
A functional CRISPR-Cas system requires expression of the cas genes and the CRISPR array, followed by maturation of individual crRNAs. Therefore, we performed an RT-PCR to test whether the cas genes are transcribed during growth in vitro. Amplicons of cas9, cas1, and cas2 were observed from RNA obtained during both logarithmic and stationary phases of growth (Fig. 1c). Processing of the precursor CRISPR array transcript into mature crRNAs was also confirmed by RT-PCR (Fig. 1d).

To predict putative protospacer targets we submitted each spacer sequence to BLAST search. Table 1 summarizes hits with >80 % hit quality (HQ). Two spacers, Sp8 and Sp9, are identical to prophage elements found in B. hinzii. Sp16, Sp10, and Sp20 show high HQ (97 %, 86 %, and 83 %, respectively) with different prophages found in B. hinzii and B. bronchiseptica and with a capsid gene of a Microviridae-family phage (subfamily Gokushovirinae), respectively. Spacer Sp13 matches a transposase of the IS3/IS911 family with (90 % HQ). Importantly, several prophages identified as likely sources of spacer elements are not found in the genome of B. pseudohinzii 8-296-03 but are present in closely related B. hinzii, which appear to lack a CRISPR-Cas system. Collectively, these observations suggest that acquisition of the CRISPR-Cas system by B. pseudohinzii conferred CRISPR-mediated protection against these bacteriophages and other mobile genetic elements.

The S. pyogenes Cas9 protein (SpyCas9) contains RuvC-like and HNH motifs that were shown to be essential for its function [7]. We searched for both these motifs in the corresponding Cas9 from B. pseudohinzii (BpsuCas9) (Additional file 5: Figure S2). The RuvC-like endonuclease motif showed 80 % amino acid (aa) similarity (47 % aa identity) and the HNH motif had 66 % aa similarity (33 % aa identity). In each of the motifs, amino acid residues that are conserved among different type-II Cas9 proteins and that were shown to be essential for Cas9 function are identical in BpsuCas9.

Targeted cleavage by Cas9 requires a protopspacer adjacent motif (PAM), a short sequence, which is 5′-NGG-3′ in S. pyogenes [7]. We attempted to determine in silico a possible PAM sequence that is recognized by BpsuCas9, but the few available protospacer sequences with a high HQ score (Table 1) limited the number of potential sequence candidates. Although eight predicted protospacers and their flanking sequences are not sufficient to conclusively determine the exact PAM sequence, we propose 5′-WGR-3′ as a potential motif used by BpsuCas9 (Additional file 6: Figure S3).

### Additional B. pseudohinzii isolates possess the CRISPR-cas locus

Eleven other isolates identified as B. pseudohinzii on the basis of their 16S rRNA genes were tested for the presence of a CRISPR-cas locus. PCR using gene-specific primers confirmed the presence of cas9, cas1, and cas2 in all isolates (Fig. 2a-c). A CRISPR array was also found in all isolates but some variation in size was observed (Fig. 2d). Sequencing of the CRISPR array PCR amplicons revealed that their lengths are affected by the loss of Sp14 in isolate#2, of Sp8 to Sp11 in isolate#6, and of Sp9 in isolate#10, consistent with the difference in size observed among amplicons (Fig. 2d and e). Each missing spacer is accompanied by the loss of adjacent direct repeat, so that the overall architecture of the array, (−DR-Sp−)n, remains intact. The array sequences from all isolates are otherwise identical to one another (Fig. 2e).

| Table 1 | Highest-scoring BLASTn hits for spacer sequences |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| BLASTn | Locus tag/name | Organism | Sp# | %ID | %cov | %HQ |
| Protospacer | | | | | | |
| Prophage: hypothetical protein | L544_3238 | Bordetella hinzii OH87 BAL007II | 8 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Prophage: intergenic region | L544_1114 | Bordetella hinzii OH87 BAL007II | 9 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Prophage: replicative DNA helicase | L541_4891 | Bordetella hinzii CA90 BAL1384 | 16 | 100 | 97 | 97 |
| Mobile element of IS3/IS911 family | dnaB_2 | | | | | |
| Pyruvate carboxyl transferase | C791_4721 | Amycolatopsis azurea DSM 43854 | 6 | 93 | 97 | 90 |
| transfer RNA | tRNA-Gly | Bifidobacterium asteroides PRL2011 | 1 | 93 | 93 | 86 |
| Prophage: Siphovirus gp157 | BB3535 | Bordetella bronchiseptica RB50 | 10 | 93 | 93 | 86 |
| Phage structural component | KF689531.1 | Gokushovirinae clone BBHD08n1 | 20 | 96 | 86 | 83 |

Sp# spacer number, %ID percent nt identity, %cov percent coverage, %HQ percent hit quality
The insertion site of the CRISPR-Cas system is a recombination hotspot in *Bordetella*.

Since we found no evidence for a CRISPR-Cas system in any *Bordetella* species, suggesting it was acquired solely by the *B. pseudohinzii* lineage, we assessed the local gene organization near the insertion site of the CRISPR-cas locus in other *Bordetella* genomes (Fig. 3). Only *B. pseudohinzii* and *B. hinzii* exhibit synteny of both the upstream *dapB*—*murB*—tRNA-Gly gene cluster and the downstream cluster consisting of a reductase (*redoxin*) and a disulfide-isomerase (*S₂isom*). In other species, synteny is conserved only upstream of the point at which the CRISPR-Cas locus is located in *B. pseudohinzii*. Genes downstream vary both in identity and orientation, even among isolates of the same species (*B. bronchiseptica*), suggesting this region is a hotspot for recombination.

Since absence of the CRISPR-Cas system in the other *Bordetella* species suggests that it was acquired via horizontal gene transfer (HGT), we examined the GC-content of the region including upstream *dapB*—*murB*—tRNA-Gly genes, the CRISPR-cas locus, and the downstream *redoxin-S₂isom* genes. The GC-profile of the upstream and downstream genes is consistent with the genome average of 66.5 % (Fig. 4, grey horizontal line). In contrast, the CRISPR-cas locus has a GC-content of 56 %, which is significantly lower (two-tailed Fisher's exact test, \( P < 0.01 \)). These data strongly suggest that the CRISPR-cas locus of *B. pseudohinzii* has been horizontally acquired from an unknown source, likely one with a lower GC-content.

Evolutionary relationship and horizontal gene transfer

The Cas9 protein is a signature feature of all type-II CRISPR-Cas systems. To identify a possible source of the *B. pseudohinzii* CRISPR-Cas system, we performed BLAST searches for Cas9 protein sequences (Fig. 5). The
two highest-scoring hits, both from *Alicyclobacillus denitrificans*, have 74 % aa identity (Additional file 4: Table S3), suggesting that the proposed recent acquisition of this CRISPR-Cas system into the genome of *B. pseudohinzii* was probably from an unknown vector. The Cas9-based phylogeny depicted in Fig. 5 includes the highest-scoring hits together with a subset of selected Cas9 sequences previously published elsewhere [27]. Notably, the 5 closest hits are from related genera, all of which belong to the order *Burkholderiales* in the class *Betaproteobacteria*. Immediately outside of this clade is the Cas9 from other Betaproteobacteria and from *gamma proteobacterium* *HdN1*. The six-member clade of the *Burkholderiales*, including *B. pseudohinzii*, is not the only occurrence of Cas9 in the *Burkholderiales*; *Ralstonia syzygii* and *Oligella urethralis* also belong to this order but possess divergent Cas9 sequences more closely related to those from a variety of *Alphaproteobacteria* (Fig. 5). The presence of closely related bacteria within several clades of the tree suggests multiple, independent HGT events associated with the acquisition of CRISPR systems.

To further explore horizontal acquisition of CRISPR-Cas systems, we calculated the GC-contents for both the *cas9* gene and the genome for all taxa on the tree. The *cas9* sequences ranged from 73.5 % to 29 % GC-content. Likewise, the genomes varied in a similar range from 70.7 % to 30.5 % GC-content. However, in several cases a discrepancy is apparent between the GC-contents of *cas9* and the corresponding genome (Fig. 5b, column Δ). The largest difference was found in *S. pyogenes* M1 whose *cas9* has a GC-content 24.9 % higher than the average for the genome. Discrepancy between the 16S-rRNA-gene tree relating bacterial species and the tree relating their *cas9* gene products suggests horizontal acquisition of the CRISPR-Cas. Similarly, GC-content differences between the CRISPR-*cas* locus and the rest of the genome further support this HGT.

**Discussion**

Several lines of evidence suggest that the novel CRISPR-Cas system described here is functional. We observed active transcription of *cas* genes and array sequence, as well as maturation of the array transcript. Further, the array contains multiple spacer sequences with homology to prophages in genomes of the most closely related species, *B. hinzi*. Yet, those prophages are absent from *B.
suggesting that the CRISPR-Cas may have provided protection against them as an adaptive immune system.

Interestingly, *B. hinzii* contains prophages and *B. pseudohinzii* contains CRISPR-associated spacer sequences that perfectly match those prophages. These observations indicate that both species have been predated by the same phage and have survived that predation in these two different ways. Acquisition of the prophage or the CRISPR-Cas system, either of which would prevent further phage predation, could have also accelerated the divergent evolution of *B. hinzii* and *B. pseudohinzii* by differently affecting uptake or loss of various other genes, contributing to the observed differences in gene content of these closely related species.

It is often observed that horizontally acquired DNA has a lower GC-content than the genome that receives it [28]; and the GC-content of the CRISPR-Cas system in *B. pseudohinzii* follows this trend. However, our comparison of multiple genomes revealed several cases in which the GC-content of the acquired CRISPR-Cas system is higher than the genome average (Fig. 5). The most striking example is *S. pyogenes* whose *cas9* gene is functional and is successfully used in genome manipulations. This gene has a 25% higher GC-content than the genome that contains it, suggesting that *S. pyogenes* acquired its CRISPR-Cas system by HGT and that substantial differences in GC-content do not prevent the function of the Cas9 protein.

Recent advances in genome editing, genome engineering, and transcriptional control of genes in multiple organisms take advantage of the endonuclease SpyCas9. However, an important limitation of SpyCas9 is its size. The *S. pyogenes* cas9 allele measures 4,107 base pairs, a size that stretches the carrying capacities of some commonly employed vectors. To address this problem, a recent paper described the use of a 3,159-bp gene encoding Cas9 from *Staphylococcus aureus* (SaCas9), which recognizes a different PAM sequence (5′-NNGRR-3′) [29]. We introduce BpsuCas9, which is of a similarly small size (3,117 bp) and employs a PAM consensus sequence that putatively consists of 5′-WGR-3′ (Additional file 6: Figure S3) and may provide further flexibility with regards to designing guide RNAs. Future experiments will determine the specific features of the *B. pseudohinzii* CRISPR-Cas system and its potential utility as an additional or alternative tool for genome editing and other applications.
Conclusions
This study revealed for the first time presence of the CRISPR-Cas system within the genus Bordetella, in a genome of newly discovered B. pseudohinzii sp. nov. We confirmed that this CRISPR-Cas system is actively transcribed and its crRNAs are processed during bacterial growth. Importantly, the CRISPR array carries spacer sequences matching bacteriophages that infect this and two closely related B. hinzii species, thus, conferring adaptive immunity in B. pseudohinzii against these phages. The GC-content analysis of the CRISPR-cas locus and homology searches of Cas9 protein sequences explained how single species of Bordetella acquired this system horizontally from yet an unknown source. The most important observation made about this Bordetella CRISPR-Cas system is its Cas9 endonuclease that is different both in sequence and size from the endonucleases commonly employed in the CRISPR-Cas technology. While the smaller size of BpCas9 is of potential utility for more efficient use of biological shuttle vectors during transformations and viral transductions, the unique sequence of BpCas9 might allow for some alternative uses of these endonucleases, for example in addition to the genome editing and genome engineering.
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