A study of pedicle screw fixation combined with an intermediate screw at the fracture level for treatment of thoracolumbar burst fractures without Neurological injury
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Abstract
The main aim of the management of thoracolumbar fractures is the stabilization of fractured vertebrae which in turn promotes healing of the fractured vertebrae. The pedicle screw placed at the level of fracture is called an intermediate screw; it has been shown to improve the clinical results in managing thoracolumbar fractures.

Methods: This prospective cross-sectional study was done in the Department of Orthopedics, Prathima Institute of Medical Sciences, Naganoor, Karimnagar. The inclusion criteria were all thoracolumbar fractures without neurological deficits, involving a fracture of the thoracolumbar spine. Exclusion criteria were Patients not under the ambit of inclusion criteria, those with medical conditions who could not undergo surgery, those not willing to participate in the study. The patients were subjected to radiological examination by X-rays, AP and lateral view. MRI/CT scan was done to determine the instability of the spine. The patients were then subjected to pre-surgical laboratory investigations which included complete hemogram, BT & CT, FBS, LFT, and KFT. Pedicle screw placement was done as per the standard operating procedure. Postoperative antibiotics were given Thoracolumbar orthosis was used from the 4th postoperative day. Postoperative follow up was done up to 1 year.

Results: Out of the total n=25 patients n=17(68%) were male and n=8(32%) were females. The most common age group was 31 - 35 having n=8(32%) of patients. The most commonly involved level of fracture was T12 in 40% of cases followed by L1 in 36% of cases. As per AO classification, the A1 was 36% followed by A2 32 % and A3 24%. The mean preoperative VAS score was 8.5 and at the last follow up the mean VAS scores were 1.5. The most commonly encountered complication was wound infection in n=2(8%) of the patients followed by screw misplacement in n=1(4%).

Conclusion: The results of the present study shows that the intermediate pedicle screw placement has several advantages like better correction of kyphotic angle, ability to maintain the height of injured vertebrae and reduce the correction loss at follow up. The overall stress distribution pattern is favorable in the intermediate screw placement technique. Hence this technique may be considered for the treatment of unstable thoracolumbar burst fractures.
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Introduction
Thoracolumbar compression fractures are one of the common fractures in the spine caused by the failure of the anterior and middle columns of the vertebral body due to flexion forces\(^1\). A greater portion of thoracolumbar fractures occurs at the level of T11 to L2 which are generally weaker areas against external stress force\(^2\). The thoracolumbar fractures are caused due to high energy impacts such as motor vehicle accidents and fall from height\(^3\). Surgical treatment of thoracolumbar fractures can decrease the rate of complications associated with prolonged immobility\(^4\). Pedicle screw systems, which were first introduced by Boucher, have been widely used in the instrumentation of the lumbar spine\(^5\). Posterior short-segment pedicle screw fixation which is done one level above and one level below the fracture level is the most widely used method for thoracolumbar fractures. The advantage includes providing immediate spinal stability, improved correction of kyphotic deformities, early mobilization and indirect decompression of spinal canal. Many studies have reported that short-segment pedicle screw instrumentation may not be adequate to achieve and maintain the reduction of thoracolumbar fractures and is associated with unacceptable failure rate\(^6-9\). The biomechanical studies of thoracolumbar fractures by pedicle screw fixation at fractured vertebrae since first reported in 1994 have shown that pedicle screw fixation combined with screws at the fractured improves the spinal stability\(^10-12\). It also decreases the level of stress by pedicle screws in the upper and lowers normal vertebrae. JC Dick et al;\(^13\) have shown that that the addition of intermediate screws at the fractured vertebrae can obtain stronger fixation and decrease the reduction of vertebral height as compared to the conventional pedicle screw fixation. Anekstein Y et al;\(^14\) Baaj AA et al;\(^11\) and Norton RP et al;\(^12\) have shown that pedicle screw at the fracture levels did improve the stability of pedicle screw fixation and decrease the stress on each pedicle screw. However, Hakalo et al;\(^15\) have found that pedicle screw insertion into fractured vertebrae did not effectively increase the spinal axial bearing capacity and stability. It also did not reduce the post-operative correction loss and the failure rate of internal fixation. With this background, we in the present study tried to evaluate the clinical outcome of pedicle screw fixation combined with an intermediate screw at the fracture level for the treatment of thoracolumbar burst fractures.

Material and Methods
This prospective cross-sectional study was done in the Department of Orthopedics, Prathima Institute of Medical Sciences, Naganoor, Karimnagar. Institutional Ethical committee permission was obtained for the study. Written consent was obtained from all the participants of the study. The inclusion criteria were all thoracolumbar fractures T10-L3 without neurological deficits, involving a fracture of the thoracolumbar spine. Exclusion criteria were Patients not under the ambit of inclusion criteria, those with medical conditions who could not undergo surgery, those not willing to participate in the study. A complete record of the patient which included a detailed history, complete clinical examination to neurological deficits and spinal deformity was done. The patients were subjected to radiological examination by X-rays, both AP and lateral view. MRI/CT scan was done to determine the instability of the spine. The patients were then subjected to pre-surgical laboratory investigations which included complete hemogram, BT & CT, FBS, LFT, and KFT. The patients were operated under General Anesthesia, the position of the patient was prone to the spinal operating table which created lordosis. A posterior midline incision was made over the involved spinal unit extending one level above and one level below. The dissection was done until the tip of the transverse process. The pedicle entrance point was demarcated by the line that connects the middle transverse processes and the lateral edge of the facet. For the thoracic spine, the entrance point is
in line with the middle of the transverse process about 2mm below the inferior edge of the facet. The cortical bone is removed and the pilot hole was made with a trocar with stopper. The depth of the pedicle is confirmed with the probe by the marking on it and confirming position by passing it to 80% of its depth. The pedicle screws were then inserted into both the above and below vertebrae to the fracture level. Both mono and polyaxial screws were used. Under fluoroscopic guidance, the screws were also inserted into the pedicles of the fractures vertebrae (Intermediate screws). The intermediate screws were inserted in both the pedicles of the fractured vertebrae however if pedicle was also fractured no screw was inserted into the pedicle. The length of the intermediate screws was generally kept short so that it just enters the vertebral body after crossing the pedicle. The contouring of the rod depended on the site of the fracture. A straight or a slightly lordotic rod was chosen for fractures from T11- L1, and a rod contoured to lordosis was chosen for lumbar fractures. The rod was introduced to the screw heads from distal to proximal and the distal screw head is tightened first. The proximal and intermediate screw heads were kept loose. The rod was also inserted into the proximal screw heads without tightening. With the help of a rod holder and a distractor, the proximal screws are distracted along the rod. This was done on both sides simultaneously. The locking heads of the proximal and intermediate screws were tightened first to secure the distraction achieved. Fusion was performed in highly unstable fractures like A2, B2, and B3. Non-fusion fixation is performed in A3, A4, and B1 type of injuries. Before wound closure, intra-operative imaging was performed to check the adequacy of reduction, position, and length of screws and the overall coronal and sagittal spinal alignment. The wound was closed in layers with a drain in place. The clean dressing was applied. Postoperative antibiotics were given IV which included third-generation cephalosporin and aminoglycosides for five days. The oral antibiotics were continued till the sutures were removed on the 10th postoperative day. Physiotherapy exercises were started from the next postoperative day. Postoperative follow was done up to 1 year.

Results
Out of the total n=25 patients, n=17 (68%) were male and n=8(32%) were females. The most common age group was 31 - 35 having n=8(32%) of all patients followed by n=7(28%) in the age group 26 - 30 years. The age group 21-35 contributed to n=17(68%) of the total patients in the study given in table 1.

Table 1: showing the demographic profile of the cases in the study

| Age Group | Male | Female | Total | Percentage |
|-----------|------|--------|-------|------------|
| 21 – 25   | 02   | 00     | 02    | 08         |
| 26 – 30   | 06   | 01     | 07    | 28         |
| 31 – 35   | 04   | 04     | 08    | 32         |
| 36 – 40   | 02   | 02     | 04    | 16         |
| 41 – 45   | 02   | 01     | 03    | 12         |
| 46 – 50   | 01   | 00     | 01    | 04         |
| Total     | 17   | 08     | 25    | 100        |

The most commonly involved level of fracture was T12 in 40% of cases followed by L1 in 36% of cases. The total numbers of cases at T12-L1 were 76% of cases. The other distributions of cases from T8 to L3 are given in table 2.

Table 2: Showing the location of fractures in the cases of study

| Fracture level | Frequency | Percentage |
|----------------|-----------|------------|
| T8             | 01        | 04         |
| T11            | 02        | 08         |
| T12            | 10        | 40         |
| L1             | 09        | 36         |
| L2             | 02        | 08         |
| L3             | 01        | 04         |
| Total          | 25        | 100        |

The thoracolumbar fractures in the study were classified as per the AO classification system [reference 1 below]. The A1 was 36% followed by A2 32 % and A3 24%. The total number of fractures in A group was 92%. Only 8% of fractures were belonging to B category in which B1 and B2 were 4% each respectively. No cases of C1 or C2 were noted in our study shown in

Table 1:

| Fracture level | Frequency | Percentage |
|----------------|-----------|------------|
| T8             | 01        | 04         |
| T11            | 02        | 08         |
| T12            | 10        | 40         |
| L1             | 09        | 36         |
| L2             | 02        | 08         |
| L3             | 01        | 04         |
| Total          | 25        | 100        |
In the study, n=17 (68%) cases were due to fall from height and n=8 (32%) were due to road traffic accidents chart 1.

**Table 3:** showing the AO classification [16] of fractures in patients of the study

| AO Fracture classification | Frequency | Percentage |
|----------------------------|-----------|------------|
| A1                        | 09        | 36         |
| A2                        | 08        | 32         |
| A3                        | 06        | 24         |
| B1                        | 01        | 04         |
| B2                        | 01        | 04         |
| C1                        | 00        | 00         |
| C2                        | 00        | 00         |

**Chart 1:** Showing the cause of fractures in cases of the study

Table 4: Showing the mean operative indices of the patients in the study

| Operative indices             | Mean     | Standard deviation |
|------------------------------|----------|--------------------|
| Mean operative time (min)    | 185.0    | 42.5               |
| Intra-operative blood loss (ml) | 350.5  | 100.2              |
| Postoperative drainage volume (ml) | 120.5 | 80.6               |
| Mean duration of hospital stay (days) | 25.5   | 5.5                |

The mean preoperative regional angle was 15.2°, at three months post-operation the angle was 4.9° and after 1 year of operation was 4.40°. The mean anterior wedge angle was 19.05° before surgery and it was reduced to 5.3° after the operation. The mean vertebral height which was 15.9 mm at the pre-operation was increased to 23.9 mm one year of operation given in table 6. The frequency of complication was recorded in the study, the most commonly encountered complication was wound infection in n=2 (8%) of the patients followed by incorrect screw positioning in n=1 (4%). No other complication was recorded in the cases of study.

**Table 6:** The Regional angle, Anterior Wedge angle and vertebral height of cases in the study

| Variable               | Mean Regional angle (degree) | Mean Anterior wedge angle (degree) | Mean Vertebral height in (mm) |
|------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Pre Operative          | 15.2                         | 19.05                             | 15.9                          |
| 3 months Postoperative | 4.9                          | 5.1                               | 23.2                          |
| 6 months Postoperative | 4.66                         | 5.5                               | 22.9                          |
| 1 year                 | 4.40                         | 5.3                               | 22.3                          |

Table 5: Visual Analogue Scales [VAS] score of the cases in the study

| VAS at a different interval | Mean VAS score | Standard deviation |
|-----------------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Pre-operative               | 8.5            | 1.6                |
| 3rd postoperative day       | 4.5            | 1.5                |
| 10th postoperative day      | 3.5            | 1.4                |
| On discharge                | 2.1            | 0.9                |
| 6 months postoperative      | 1.9            | 0.6                |
| 1-year postoperative        | 1.5            | 0.5                |

The mean preoperative regional angle was 15.2°, at three months post-operation the angle was 4.9° and after 1 year of operation was 4.40°. The mean anterior wedge angle was 19.05° before surgery and it was reduced to 5.3° after the operation. The mean vertebral height which was 15.9 mm at the pre-operation was increased to 23.9 mm one year of operation given in table 6. The frequency of complication was recorded in the study, the most commonly encountered complication was wound infection in n=2 (8%) of the patients followed by incorrect screw positioning in n=1 (4%). No other complication was recorded in the cases of study.

**Table 7:** showing the rate of complication in the study

| Complications         | Frequency | Percentage (total n=25) |
|-----------------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| Wound infection       | 02        | 08                      |
| Incorrect screw       | 01        | 04                      |
| positioning           |           |                         |
| Screw fracture        | 00        | 00                      |
| Neurological symptoms | 00        | 00                      |
| Total                 | 03        | 12                      |

**Discussion**

The surgical management of thoracolumbar burst fractures varies depending on several factors that...
include fracture morphology, neurological status, and surgeon preference. Burst fractures account for 20% of thoracolumbar fractures and occur due to an axial loading force which fails to support the anterior and middle column\(^\text{[17,18]}\). There are two approaches the anterior and posterior approach for the spine. While anterior surgery is effective to remove retropulsed fragments posterior surgery is now becoming increasingly popular because it is easy as well as allows clearance of spinal canal by ligamentotaxis\(^\text{[19,20]}\). The posterior intermediate screw fixation at the level of fracture can improve and maintain the kyphosis corrections and the biomechanical stability is also increased\(^\text{[21-24]}\). Norton RR et al;\(^\text{[12]}\) have shown that the addition of two screws in the fractured vertebra of an unstable burst fracture resulted in increased stiffness of the implant, and reduce the stress on each pedicle screw compared to the conventional four screw construct. Ji-Wei Tian et al;\(^\text{[25]}\) in their retrospective study of 62 patients comparing the results of posterior short-segmental fixation combined with intermediate screws or conventional 4-screw intersegmental fixation found that pedicle screw fixation of fractured vertebra did not achieve better correction of a segmental kyphotic angle compared to conventional intersegmental fixation. However, they have reported that 6 screw fixation of fractured vertebra allowed patients to ambulate approximately 10 days earlier than those undergone conventional screw placements. In the present study, the mean preoperative VAS score was 8.5. On the 3\(^{rd}\) postoperative day, the mean VAS scores were 4.5 and the VAS scales were gradually reduced on discharge day the VAS scores were 2.1 and the last follow up at 1 year the mean VAS scores were 1.5. Wang et al;\(^\text{[10]}\) comparing the conventional to combined screw fixation found the mean VAS score was 1.6. Yin et al;\(^\text{[26]}\) also in a similar study found the mean VAS score was 2.2 in close agreement with the results of the present study. In the present study, the mean vertebral height was 22.3mm at the end of one year of treatment. The mean regional angle was 15.2° pre-operative was reduced to 4.4° at the end of one year of treatment. Nasser MG et al;\(^\text{[27]}\) evaluating the conventional screw placement patients noted that kyphotic angle at pre-operative state was 23.6° and was reduced to 7° postoperatively and 11.5° at latest follow-up. Razak M. et al;\(^\text{[28]}\) noted that the average kyphotic angle was 20° preoperatively, 7° postoperatively and 9° at the latest follow up. The overall rate of complication in the study was 12%. The complication rate in published literature has shown inconsistent rates. However, in the present study, there were only two cases of wound infection which were managed with antibiotics. There were no instances of hardware failure. This is explained by the fact that the combined screw at fractured vertebrae could significantly improve stress distribution between the screws. The load to screw is reduced and hence enhances the stability of fixation\(^\text{[29,30]}\).

**Conclusion**

The results of the present study show that the intermediate pedicle screw placement has several advantages like better correction of kyphotic angle, ability to maintain the height of injured vertebrae and reduce the correction loss at follow up. The overall stress distribution pattern is favorable in the intermediate screw placement technique. Hence this technique may be considered for the treatment of unstable thoracolumbar burst fractures.
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