Transition of Caregiver Perceptions after Pediatric Neuromuscular Scoliosis Surgery
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Abstract:

Introduction: Spinal fusion for children with neuromuscular scoliosis has been known to improve sitting balance and quality of life as well as for high caregiver satisfaction. However, most studies performed were single surveys, and it remains unclear whether high satisfaction levels are maintained. Thus, in this article, we report the short- and medium-term improvements in caregiver standing assessment after neuromuscular scoliosis surgery in children with Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS) level IV or V.

Methods: In total, 18 patients with GMFCS levels IV and V were included in this study. The underlying diseases were typical cerebral palsy in 12 cases, chromosomal abnormalities in 5 cases, and congenital myopathy in 1 case. The median age at the time of surgery was 14.5 years. The medians for the first and second follow-up surveys were after 1.4 and 5.9 years, respectively. All the patients had undergone posterior spinal fusion, whereas 12 had undergone pelvic fixation. These patients were assessed using a caregiver questionnaire, in addition to patient demographic data and radiographic assessments.

Results: The median BMI was 15.4 kg/m^2 preoperatively, 16.6 kg/m^2 at the first survey, and 17.1 kg/m^2 at the second survey. The main Cobb angles were 97.5°, 36.5°, and 37.0° and the spino-pelvic obliquity angles were 22.5°, 6.0°, and 6.5° preoperatively, at the first survey and at the second survey, respectively. In the questionnaire, most domains were rated similarly in the first and second surveys, but the ratings for the “children’s QOL” and “digestion and defecation” domains were noted to increase, while that for the “transfer” and “satisfaction with treatment” domains have decreased.

Conclusions: Neuromuscular scoliosis surgery in children has been associated with extremely high treatment satisfaction in the early postoperative period. However, some caregivers showed a decline in the “transfer” and “treatment satisfaction” domains over time.
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Introduction

We have previously investigated the outcomes of pediatric neuromuscular scoliosis surgery and reported a high level of caregiver satisfaction1). Although there are many similar reports globally2-4) and the efficacy of surgical treatment has been established, most studies have evaluated the results in a single postoperative survey, and few have assessed the changes in the results over a time period. It will be interesting for surgeons to assess if high level of treatment satisfaction is maintained over a period after surgery. Thus, in this study, we aimed to determine the short- and medium-term improvements in caregiver standing assessment after scoliosis surgery in children with neuromuscular scoliosis with Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS) level IV or V. GMFCS is a standardized system that classifies gross motor function in children with cerebral palsy into five levels, with level I being the mildest and IV and V being the non-ambulatory levels. We have also examined the impact of surgery-related complications on short- and mid-
term postoperative caregiver ratings.

Materials and Methods

This study was conducted with the approval of the Ethics Committee of our hospital (approval number: 2102-5). We previously evaluated the outcomes of spinal fusion surgery in children with neuromuscular scoliosis and caregiver satisfaction. This study is a clinical evaluation of the participant of the previous research subject over time.

We included children with neuromuscular scoliosis who underwent spinal fusion surgery at our institution between April 2012 and November 2015. Additional inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) non-ambulatory children (GMFCS level IV or V), (2) children less than 20 years of age at the time of surgery, and (3) children who were followed up for at least 4 years after surgery.

The surgery was performed by a single surgeon. We performed regular clinical and imaging evaluations. The median number of years for the first postoperative follow-up survey was 1.4 years (0.6-3.6), while that for the second survey was 5.9 years (4.8-8.2). Postoperative complications and length of hospital stay were also assessed.

Questionnaire assessment for caregivers

We performed a health-related assessment of the affected child and assessed their caregivers' satisfaction with surgical treatment using a specially designed questionnaire for caregivers of children with neuromuscular spinal deformities. The questionnaire was a modified evaluation method (ordinal variable) of Bridwell’s questionnaire, with a 0-10 visual analog scale (VAS) and several additional questions. Typical questionnaire domains include sitting balance, digestion and defecation, sleep, transfer, perineal care, dressing, QOL of the child, respiratory, sociality, and satisfaction with treatment, which are similar to other questionnaires used in evaluating the treatment of children with neuromuscular diseases. A unique feature of this questionnaire is the use of VAS.

Perioperative complications

Complications such as general medical, dysphagia, gastrointestinal, respiratory, neurological, hip pain, and surgical site infection that have developed within 4 weeks postoperatively were investigated.

Additional outcome measures

General demographic data, including their underlying condition as detailed in the patient charts and the current hip status (i.e., normal, subluxated, dislocated, or postoperative), were collected for all patients. Radiographic data included the anteroposterior main Cobb angle, spino-pelvic obliquity (SPO), thoracic kyphosis angle from T5 to T12, and lumbar lordosis from T12 to S1.

Statistical analysis

Comparisons of the preoperative data, the first survey data, and the second survey data were performed using Friedman test. The comparison between the occurrence of perioperative complications and patient demographic data was analyzed using Mann-Whitney U test. The correlation between complications and each domain score of the second survey was analyzed using Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient.

Statistical significance was set at P<0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using EZR (Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University, Saitama, Japan), which is a graphical user interface for R (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). More precisely, it is a modified version of R commander designed to include statistical functions frequently used in biostatistics.

Results

In total, 19 patients were included in this study, of whom 1 died (sudden death at night). None of the patients were lost to follow-up; therefore, complete follow-up data was obtained for 18 patients (girls, 11; males, 7) in this study. The median age at the time of surgery was 14.5 years (11.6-19.4); 2 patients were classified as GMFCS level IV and 16 as GMFCS level V. The underlying disease was cerebral palsy in 17 patients (12 with typical cerebral palsy and 5 with chromosomal abnormalities) and muscle disease (congenital myopathy) in 1 patient. Moreover, 15 patients had moderate to severe global developmental delay, and 13 patients were nonverbal. Two patients had undergone gastrotomy, and 14 patients had epilepsy.

The median Cobb angle at surgery was 97.5°(70°-125°), and the median SPO was 22.5° (0°-51°).

All the patients had undergone single-stage posterior fusion, of whom 12 had undergone pelvic fixation. The upper instrumented vertebra was T2 in five patients, T3 in ten patients, and T4 in three patients.

After surgery, the patients’ BMI were noted to have improved steadily (p<0.001).

There was significant improvement in coronal deformity at the first survey after the surgery from a median of 97.5° to 36.5°, which was maintained at the second survey (p<0.001). SPO decreased to a median of 6.0° at the first survey and remained stable in the second survey, following surgery (p=0.005). However, in this cohort, there was no significant difference in T5-T12 kyphosis and L1S1 lordosis from the preoperative to the second survey (Table 1).

Analysis of caregivers’ questionnaire scores showed a significant beneficial effect of surgery on sitting balance (p<0.001), digestion and defecation (p<0.001), and QOL (p=0.003). Transfer domain scores showed improvement from the preoperative to the first survey but decreased at the second survey (p=0.025). Scores for the sleep (p=0.184), perineal care (p=0.931), dressing (p=0.069), respiratory (p=...
**Table 1.** Demographic Data and Radiographic Measurements.

|                        | Preope. | First survey | Second survey | P value |
|------------------------|---------|--------------|---------------|---------|
| Age at surgery (year)  | 14.5 (11.6–19.4) | 1.4 (0.6–3.6) | 5.9 (4.8–8.2) |         |
| Postoperative follow-up (year) | 11/7         | 1.4 (0.6–3.6) | 5.9 (4.8–8.2) |         |
| BMI (kg/m²)            | 15.4 (10.8–21.8) | 16.6 (11.8–22) | 17.1 (11.8–27.0) | <0.001* |
| GMFCS level (IV/V)     | 2/16     |              |               |         |
| Comorbidities (cases)  |          |              |               |         |
| Developmental delay    | 15       |              |               |         |
| Nonverbal              | 13       |              |               |         |
| Epilepsy               | 14       |              |               |         |
| Gastrostomy            | 2        |              |               |         |
| Hip status (cases)     |          |              |               |         |
| Normal                 | 12       | 12           | 12            |         |
| Subluxated             | 1        | 2            | 2             |         |
| Dislocated             | 3        | 2            | 2             |         |
| Postop. Hip            | 2        | 2            | 2             |         |
| Coronal Cobb angle (deg) | 97.5 (70–125) | 36.5 (22–66) | 37.0 (26–63) | <0.001* |
| T5–12 kyphosis (deg)   | 15 (–20–78) | 25.5 (9–52) | 26.0 (11–60) | 0.593   |
| L1–S1 lordosis (deg)   | 36.5 (–22–89) | 38.0 (23–69) | 30.5 (12–59) | 0.696   |
| Spino-pelvic obliquity (deg) | 22.5 (0–51) | 6.0 (0–12) | 6.5 (1–13) | 0.005* |

* P-values from Friedman test for variables.

Data are presented as the median and minimum-maximum.

**Table 2.** Questionnaire Results for Caregivers.

|                        | Preope. | First survey | Second survey | P-value |
|------------------------|---------|--------------|---------------|---------|
| Sitting balance         | 1.8 (0–10) | 9.4 (5.6–10) | 9.3 (3.6–10) | <0.001* |
| Digestion and defecation | 2.0 (1–5) | 5.0 (3.6–10) | 8.0 (1.5–9.8) | <0.001* |
| Sleep                  | 7.5 (0.5–10) | 8.4 (3.4–10.0) | 7.6 (2–10) | 0.184   |
| Transfer               | 5.0 (1–10) | 5.8 (4.2–10) | 2.7 (0–9.5) | 0.025*  |
| Perineal care          | 5.0 (0–10) | 5.0 (0–10) | 6.6 (0–10) | 0.931   |
| Dressing               | 3.3 (0–10) | 5.0 (0–10) | 5.0 (0.8–9.1) | 0.069   |
| QOL                    | 5.0 (1.4–10) | 5.5 (2.1–10) | 8.8 (1.7–10) | 0.003*  |
| Respiratory            | 8.3 (0–10) | 8.7 (0.4–10) | 9.1 (3.6–10) | 0.367   |
| Social                 | 10.0 (4.6–10) | 10.0 (4.6–10.0) | 9.3 (1.7–10) | 0.336   |
| Satisfaction with surgical treatment | 10.0 (5.8–10.0) | 8.9 (5–10) | 8.9 (5–10) | 0.045*  |

* P-values from Friedman test.

Data are presented as the median and minimum-maximum.

0.367), and social (p=0.336) domains were not significantly different after surgery. Caregivers’ satisfaction with surgical treatment decreased in the second survey compared to that in the first survey (p=0.045) (Table 2).

No consistent changes were observed for the sleep, perineal care, dressing, respiratory, and social domains (Table 3).

No significant correlation was also noted between residual spine deformity, quality of life score, and caregiver treatment satisfaction score at the time of the second survey (p=0.123-0.850).

There were 18 perioperative complications that occurred in 10 patients. One complication occurred in six patients, two in one patient, three in two patients, and four in one patient. Four patients had dysphagia, three had paralytic ileus, and one had temporary hip pain, aspiration pneumonia, urinary tract infection, superior mesenteric artery syndrome, and hepatic dysfunction to acetaminophen. In this cohort, there could be a possible effect of lumbar hyperlordosis and low body weight on the occurrence of complications (Table 4).

There was no correlation between the occurrence or number of complications and questionnaire scores in this cohort (Table 5).

There were no cases of SSI or reoperation in this cohort. All the patients recovered with symptomatic treatment for complications, and the median duration of hospitalization was 4.6 weeks (3.4-8.7). There was no significant correlation between complications and length of hospital stay (p=0.106).
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Table 3. Two-point Comparison in Caregivers’ Questionnaire.

|                          | Preop. to first survey | First survey to second survey | Preop. to second survey |
|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Sitting balance          | 0.001*                 | 0.248                         | 0.001*                  |
| Digestion and defecation | <0.001*                | 0.222                         | <0.001*                 |
| Sleep                    | 0.16                   | 0.63                          | 1                       |
| Transfer                 | 0.128                  | 0.005*                        | 0.888                   |
| Perineal care            | 1                      | 1                             | 1                       |
| Dressing                 | 0.38                   | 1                             | 0.3                     |
| QOL                      | 0.226                  | 0.278                         | 0.003*                  |
| Respiratory              | 0.16                   | 1                             | 0.32                    |
| Social                   | 1                      | 1                             | 1                       |

* Pairwise comparisons using Wilcoxon signed rank test with Bonferroni correction-adjusted significance at p<0.0167.

Table 4. Comparison between the Occurrence of Perioperative Complications and Patient Demographic Data.

| Presence of complication | No      | Yes     | P-value   |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|-----------|
| n                        | 8       | 10      |           |
| Hip_dislocation (%)      | Dislocation | 0 (0.0) | 3 (30.0) | 0.299    |
|                          | Norm    | 6 (75.0) | 6 (60.0) |           |
|                          | Postope | 1 (12.5) | 1 (10.0) |           |
|                          | Sublux  | 1 (12.5) | 0 (0.0)  |           |
| PelvicFix (%)            | No      | 3 (37.5) | 3 (30.0) | 1         |
|                          | Yes     | 5 (62.5) | 7 (70.0) |           |
| Sex (%)                  | Boy     | 4 (50.0) | 3 (30.0) | 0.63      |
|                          | Girl    | 4 (50.0) | 7 (70.0) |           |
| Age 14.10 [13.70, 15.28] |         | 14.80 [13.93, 15.55] | 0.534    |
| BMI 16.90 [15.80, 18.15] |         | 14.30 [14.03, 15.60] | 0.197    |
| EBL 3154.50 [2137.50, 4536.00] | 4218.50 [2424.25, 6490.00] | 0.534    |
| Fusion levels            | 15.00 [12.75, 16.00] | 16.00 [14.25, 16.00] | 0.462    |
| HT 144.35 [136.47, 147.62] | 138.65 [128.75, 144.75] | 0.131    |
| OpeTime 606.00 [552.50, 634.75] | 623.50 [541.50, 639.00] | 1         |
| PreCobb 90.50 [82.75, 97.50] | 90.00 [80.00, 85.00] | 0.155    |
| PreLSI1 14.00 [0.50, 25.50] | 66.50 [50.75, 78.25] | 0.002*   |
| PreSPO 25.00 [10.25, 41.50] | 20.00 [6.75, 31.75] | 0.594    |
| PreTST12 14.00 [-6.00, 16.75] | 27.50 [3.50, 55.75] | 0.131    |
| WT 34.95 [29.77, 39.72] | 25.15 [23.27, 30.15] | 0.033*   |

* P-values from Mann-Whitney U test

Table 5. Correlation of Complications with Caregivers’ Questionnaire Score (First Survey).

|                          | Sitting balance | Digestion and defecation | Sleep | Transfer | Perineal care | Dressing | QOL | Respiratory | Social | Satisfaction with surgical treatment |
|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-------|----------|--------------|----------|-----|-------------|--------|--------------------------------------|
| Presence of complications | rho -0.119       | 0.000                    | -0.195| -0.076   | -0.151       | -0.248   | -0.022| -0.044      | 0.196  | 0.000                                |
|                          | p-value 0.638    | 0.500                    | 0.438 | 0.766    | 0.550        | 0.321    | 0.932| 0.864       | 0.436  | 0.000                                |
| No. of complications     | rho 0.028        | 0.002                    | -0.145| -0.044   | -0.032       | -0.227   | 0.009| 0.053       | 0.218  | 0.067                                |
|                          | p-value 0.913    | 0.993                    | 0.567 | 0.862    | 0.900        | 0.365    | 0.970| 0.833       | 0.384  | 0.793                                |

QOL, quality of life

Discussion

Spinal deformities associated with pediatric neuromuscular diseases are severe and progressive. Since the progression of spinal deformity cannot be controlled by brace treatment, spinal fusion has been considered to be the standard treatment\(^{7-9}\). Although corrective spinal fusion for pediatric neuromuscular scoliosis has a high risk of complications
such as postoperative pneumonia\textsuperscript{2,10-17}, massive intraoperative bleeding\textsuperscript{18-20}, and wound infection\textsuperscript{2,3,14,18-23,26}, many benefits have been reported including stable sitting position\textsuperscript{30,33}, improved HRQoL of the child\textsuperscript{4,14,15,18,30,32-34}, high caregiver satisfaction\textsuperscript{2,4-23}, and weight gain\textsuperscript{40}.

Efforts are being made to assess the impact of surgery on HRQoL with a more patient-centered approach. This is because there is a growing recognition of the importance of patient-centered outcome measures in terms of assessing the benefits of surgery. In recent years, several cerebral palsy-specific HRQoL assessment tools have been developed, but there is still no agreement in the literature on the most appropriate tool\textsuperscript{30}. Caregiver Priorities and Child Health Index of Life with Disabilities (CPCHILD)\textsuperscript{37}, is a tool that has been validated and has gained recognition in the assessment of children with cerebral palsy. Sewell et al. retrospectively compared 18 patients with cerebral palsy who were treated surgically with 15 patients with cerebral palsy who were treated conservatively. They reported that personal care, positioning, and comfort scores increased significantly in patients who were treated surgically, while there was a slight decrease in the scores of those treated conservatively.

However, the use of CPCHILD is challenging, owing to its large number of questions and the long duration (approximately 30 min) required for the caregivers to answer them. In addition, some questions that are appropriate for children with GMFCS I-III (high motor function) may appear uncomfortable for the caregivers of children with GMFCS IV-V, which can affect their self-esteem. The authors’ experience, most caregivers stop answering midway in the questionnaire or deliberately skip some questions. Therefore, we have been using a simple questionnaire\textsuperscript{5} with the VAS, which is a modification of Bridwell et al.’s questionnaire\textsuperscript{5} that is specialized for children with GMFCS IV and V, which was also used by Watanabe et al.\textsuperscript{40} The caregivers answer the question in about 5 minutes, and none of the questions are skipped.

Most studies perform only a single postoperative survey, but there are a few reports of multiple follow-up surveys.\textsuperscript{20,30} Di Fazio et al. reported on 26 patients with CP (3 with GMFCS IV and 23 with V) who showed improvement in the total CPCHILD score in the first postoperative year but returned to baseline in the second year\textsuperscript{32}. In contrast, Miyaji et al. reported similar improvements in the personal care, positioning, and comfort domains in the first year, and no worsening of scores was observed in the second and fifth postoperative years. However, it was noted from their study that the total score of 29% of the patients decreased by the fifth postoperative year\textsuperscript{30}.

Although simple comparisons cannot be performed between the results in previous studies and that in our study due to the difference in questionnaires, the improvements observed in the first survey of our study were maintained in the second survey in many domains including the HRQoL of the children. In this study, the presence of complications and residual deformities did not correlate with reduction in caregiver satisfaction or the quality of life of the children. This is consistent with the report by Miyaji et al.\textsuperscript{30} which indicates that the presence of residual deformity or complications does not correlate with final caregiver satisfaction or the patient’s HR-QOL score. On the other hand, Watanabe et al.\textsuperscript{40} used a two-group comparison of “Satisfied” and “Less Satisfied,” wherein a difference was noted between these indicators which may be a difference in evaluation due to differences in statistical analysis.

In contrast, in this cohort, some caregivers experienced difficulty in transferring their children, which decreased their satisfaction with the treatment over time. Assessing the weight gain of children who have undergone spinal fusion over time and aging of caregivers may be the focus for future research.

This study has limitations: the Japanese translation of Bridwell’s questionnaire has not been officially validated, and there are no data on nonoperative cases. Spinal fusion is the standard of care for children with NMS, and patients cannot be randomly assigned to nonoperative group.

In conclusion, this current study showed that spinal fusion for NMS children with GMFCS level IV or V function significantly improved and maintained the HRQoL of the affected children. And the effect was maintained in the medium-term. However, some caregivers showed a decline in the “transfer” and “treatment satisfaction” domains over time.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that there are no relevant conflicts of interest.

Sources of Funding: None.

Author Contributions: Naoyuki Nakamura, Jiro Machida, and Yutaka Inaba designed the study; Naoyuki Nakamura, Masatoshi Oba, Takako Momose, and Yuichiro Kawabe performed the experiments and analyzed the data; Jiro Machida and Yutaka Inaba supervised the study; and Naoyuki Nakamura wrote the manuscript.

Ethical Approval: This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Kanagawa Children’s Medical Center (approval code: 2102-5).

Informed Consent: Informed consent for publication was obtained from all participants in this study.

References
1. Nakamura N, Inaba Y, Kato S, et al. Scoliosis surgery for handicapped children. Spine Surg Relat Res. 2017;1(4):185-90.
2. Legg J, Davies E, Raich AL, et al. Surgical correction of scoliosis in children with spastic quadriplegia: benefits, adverse effects, and patient selection. Evid Based Spine Care J. 2014;5(1):38-51.
3. Tsirikos AI, Mains E. Surgical correction of spinal deformity in patients with cerebral palsy using pedicle screw instrumentation. J
Spinal Disord Tech. 2012;25(7):401-8.
4. Watnabe K, Lenke LG, Daubs MD, et al. Is spine deformity surgery in patients with spastic cerebral palsy truly beneficial?: a patient/parent evaluation. Spine. 2009;34(20):2222-32.
5. Bridwell KH, Baldus C, Iffrig TM, et al. Process measures and patient/parent evaluation of surgical management of spinal deformities in patients with progressive flaccid neuromuscular scoliosis (Duchenne’s muscular dystrophy and spinal muscular atrophy). Spine. 1999;24(13):1300-9.
6. Kanda Y. Investigation of the freely available easy-to-use software “EZR” for medical statistics. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2013;48(3):452-8.
7. Tsirikos AI. Development and treatment of spinal deformity in patients with cerebral palsy. Indian J Orthop. 2010;44(2):148-58.
8. Miller A, Temple T, Miller F. Impact of orthoses on the rate of scoliosis progression in children with cerebral palsy. J Pediatr Orthop. 1996;16(3):332-5.
9. Terjesen T, Lange JE, Steen H. Treatment of scoliosis with spinal bracing in quadruplegic cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2000;42(7):448-54.
10. Bendon AA, George KA, Patel D. Perioperative complications and outcomes in children with cerebral palsy undergoing scoliosis surgery. Paediatr Anaesth. 2016;26(10):970-5.
11. Hod-Feins R, Anekstein Y, Miroyevsky Y, et al. Pediatric Scoliosis Surgery - the association between preoperative risk factors and postoperative complications with emphasis on cerebral palsy children. Neuropediatrics. 2007;38(5):239-43.
12. Nectoux E, Giacomelli MC, Karger C, et al. Complications of the Luque-Galveston scoliosis correction technique in paediatric cerebral palsy. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res. 2010;96(4):354-61.
13. Samdani AF, Belin EJ, Bennett JT, et al. Major perioperative complications after spine surgery in patients with cerebral palsy: assessment of risk factors. Eur Spine J. 2016;25(5):793-800.
14. Sewell MD, Malagelada F, Wallace C, et al. A preliminary study to assess whether spinal fusion for scoliosis improves carer-assessed quality of life for children with GMFCS level IV or V cerebral palsy. J Pediatr Orthop. 2016;36(3):299-304.
15. Sewell MD, Wallace C, Malagelada F, et al. Does spinal fusion and scoliosis correction improve activity and participation for children with GMFCS level 4 and 5 cerebral palsy? Medicine. 2015;94(49):e1907.
16. Sharma S, Wu C, Andersen T, et al. Prevalence of complications in neuromuscular scoliosis surgery: a literature meta-analysis from the past 15 years. Eur Spine J. 2013;22(6):1230-49.
17. Vivas AC, Pahys JM, Jain A, et al. Early and late hospital readmissions after spine deformity surgery in children with cerebral palsy. Spine Deform. 2020;8(3):507-16.
18. Hollenbeck SM, Yaszay B, Sponseller PD, et al. The pros and cons of operating early versus late in the progression of cerebral palsy scoliosis. Spine Deform. 2019;7(3):489-93.
19. Jain A, Njoku DB, Sponseller PD. Does patient diagnosis predict blood loss during posterior spinal fusion in children? Spine. 1976;37(19):1683-7.
20. Jain A, Sponseller PD, Shah SA, et al. Incidence of and risk factors for loss of 1 blood volume during spinal fusion surgery in patients with cerebral palsy. J Pediatr Orthop. 2017;37(8):e484-7.
21. Piazzolla A, Solarino G, De Giorgi S, et al. Cotrel-Dubousset instrumentation in neuromuscular scoliosis. Eur Spine J. 2011;20(Suppl 1):S75-84.
22. Sponseller PD, Shah SA, Abel MF, et al. Scoliosis surgery in cerebral palsy: differences between unit rod and custom rods. Spine. 1976;34(8):840-4.
23. Tsirikos AI, Lipton G, Chang WN, et al. Surgical correction of scoliosis in pediatric patients with cerebral palsy using the unit rod instrumentation. Spine. 1976;33(10):1133-40.
24. Dekker A, Crawford HA, Stott NS. How do complications within the first 30 days after spinal deformity surgery in children with cerebral palsy affect length of stay? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2021;479(2):366-75.
25. Lonstein JE, Koop SE, Novacheck TF, et al. Results and complications after spinal fusion for neuromuscular scoliosis in cerebral palsy and static encephalopathy using luque galveston instrumentation: experience in 93 patients. Spine. 1976;37(7):583-91.
26. McElroy MJ, Sponseller PD, Buttito JR, et al. Growing rods for the treatment of scoliosis in children with cerebral palsy: a critical assessment. Spine. 1976;37(24):E1504-10.
27. Sitouda P, Holmes L, Jr., Sees J, et al. The long-term outcome of early spine fusion for scoliosis in children with cerebral palsy. Clin Spine Surg. 2016;29(8):E406-12.
28. Zhou J, Wang R, Huo X, et al. Incidence of surgical site infection after spine surgery: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Spine. 2020;45(3):208-16.
29. Adams AJ, Refakis CA, Flynn JM, et al. Surgeon and caregiver agreement on the goals and indications for scoliosis surgery in children with cerebral palsy. Spine Deform. 2019;7(2):304-11.
30. Miyanji F, Nasto LA, Sponseller PD, et al. Assessing the risk-benefit Ratio of scoliosis surgery in cerebral palsy: Surgery is worth it. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2018;100(7):556-63.
31. Modi HN, Hong JY, Mehta SS, et al. Surgical correction and fusion using posterior-only pedicle screw construct for neuropsychiatric scoliosis in patients with cerebral palsy: a three-year follow-up study. Spine. 1976;34(11):1167-75.
32. DiFazio RL, Miller PE, Vessey JA, et al. Health-related quality of life and care giver burden following spinal fusion in children with cerebral palsy. Spine. 2017;42(12):E733-9.
33. Mercado E, Alman B, Wright JG. Does spinal fusion influence quality of life in neuromuscular scoliosis? Spine. 2007;32(19 Suppl):S120-5.
34. Miller DJ, Flynn JIM, Pasha S, et al. Improving health-related quality of life for patients with nonambulatory cerebral palsy: who stands to gain From scoliosis surgery? J Pediatr Orthop. 2020;40(3):e186-92.
35. DeFrancesco CJ, Miller DJ, Cahill PJ, et al. Releasing the tether: Weight normalization following corrective spinal fusion in cerebral palsy. J Orthop Surg. 2018;26(2):230949018782556.
36. Carlon S, Shields N, Yong K, et al. A systematic review of the psychometric properties of quality of life measures for school aged children with cerebral palsy. BMC Pediatr. 2010;10:81.
37. Narayanam UG, Fehlings D, Weir S, et al. Initial development and validation of the Caregiver Priorities and Child Health Index of Life with Disabilities (CPCHILD). Dev Med Child Neurol. 2006;48(10):804-12.