Seismic Behaviour of TRC-Strengthened RC Columns under Different Constraint Conditions

Abstract: This paper studied the confinement effect of textile layers and the stirrup ratio on the seismic behaviour of TRC-strengthened RC columns using the numerical method. The results showed that the numerical values have good consistency with the experimental. Within the range of 1 to 3 layers of textile, with the increase of the number of textile layers, the peak load, displacement ductility ratio and energy dissipation capacity of the columns increased; however, these parameters only exhibited a limited increase when the textile layers continued to increase. In addition, the textile layers had a slight influence on the rate of the energy dissipation capacity. With the increase of the stirrup ratio, the yield load, peak load and ultimate load of the column did not change significantly, but the ductility coefficient and energy dissipation capacity of the column increased. Furthermore, for a TRC-strengthened column with three layers of textile and a stirrup ratio of 0.34%, the hysteresis loop fullness and the bearing capacity are better than those of a column with two layers of textile and a stirrup ratio of 0.67%. Therefore, it can be seen that TRC could play an efficient constraint role on the column when the stirrup arrangement is less.
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Introduction

In recent years, due to earthquakes’ suddenness and destructiveness, large casualties and economic losses have been suffered by countries all over the world. The reinforced concrete (RC) column is the most important load-bearing component in building structures. Therefore, related scholars have studied how to improve the RC column’s seismic performance and to find a way to improve the ductility, energy dissipation capacity and seismic performance of concrete columns on the basis of satisfying the bearing capacity of concrete columns [1]. At present, the traditional reinforcement methods for a concrete column mainly include the method of increasing section and the method of wrapping steel, both of which have the disadvantages of great influence on the stiffness of the original structure and complex construction technology [2]. Therefore, many scholars introduced FRP (Fibre Reinforced Polymer) materials as an alternative.

At present, FRP composites are not only used to reinforce and repair old structures but are also used in new structures. Relevant scholars have also carried out many experiments and relevant finite element analysis. Parvin and Granata [3] used the finite element analysis software ANSYS to study the application of FRP materials in the repair and reinforcement of beam-column joints. Lakshmi et al. [4] studied three types of beam-column joints through finite element simulation and explored a reasonable finite element numerical model for beam-column joints. Mahini et al. [5] proposed the beam-column joint model of FRP plate bonding reinforcement, which used the nonlinear connection element to evaluate the seismic behaviour of the joints. Niroomandi et al. [6] performed finite element analysis on specimens strengthened by FRP, corrected the joint stiffness through nonlinear finite element analysis and introduced the modified joint stiffness into the overall framework for seismic evaluation. Although the FRP reinforcement method is widely used at present, due to the use of epoxy resin as a binder in FRP reinforcement, its compatibility with the concrete substrate is poor, water diffusion is limited, and more importantly, it is difficult to check and evaluate the status of the repaired components hidden by the FRP reinforcement [7]. Therefore, scholars introduced a relatively new alternative cement-
based composite (TRC) for the reinforcement of RC structures. This material can be applied to a wet substrate and will not carry moisture leading to freeze-thaw cycle and other factors that may cause damage; at the same time, the crack formed in the matrix will usually appear in the reinforcement layer, which is convenient for visual examination after reinforcement [8]. These cement-based composites are generally divided into two categories. The first category consists of fibre textile and mortar, including fabric-reinforced cementitious matrix (FRCM) [9], textile reinforced concrete (TRC) [10] and textile reinforced mortar (TRM) [11]. The second category is composed of prefabricated rigid FRP grids and mortar, including mineral basic composites (MBC) [12].

In recent years, many scholars have conducted preliminary studies on the seismic behaviour of reinforced concrete structures reinforced by TRC. Bournas and Triantafillou [13] analysed the yield change process of RC columns with TRM under earthquake action. The research shows that when the axial force of the reinforcement reaches the critical load, TRM can transfer the surcharge distribution to the column centre, and the deformation capacity of the strengthened column increases with the increase of the number of TRM reinforcement layers. Al-salloum et al. [14] used TRM to reinforce beam-column joints, and the results of quasi-static tests showed that TRC reinforcement could significantly improve the ultimate bearing capacity and deformation capacity of the joints, as well as improving the ductility and energy dissipation capacity of the joints. With the increase of the number of reinforcement layers, the bearing capacity and ductility of the joints increase. Abdel [15] studied the beam-column joints strengthened with TRM by using finite element simulation and analysed the load-displacement characteristics, ultimate load and crack development model of beam-column joints.

In conclusion, relevant scholars discussed the improvement effect on seismic performance of RC columns strengthened by TRC through experiments, and some progress has been made in the research on the seismic performance of TRC reinforcement columns. However, the current research on the factors affecting the seismic performance of TRC reinforcement columns is mainly based on experimental studies. Due to the constraints of experimental conditions, it is not possible to fully analyse and study the advantages of TRC reinforcement materials. Therefore, this paper establishes a model of the TRC-strengthened column on the basis of ABAQUS and further studies the seismic performance of RC columns strengthened by TRC under low-cycle repeated load. Then, this information is combined with the stress-strain nephogram, hysteretic curve, skeleton curve, ductility and energy dissipation capacity of each TRC strengthened column, and the influence of the number of reinforcement layers and stirrup ratio on the seismic performance of the column is analysed.

1 Numerical Model

1.1 Overall design of test program

In this paper, the samples found in the literature [16] are selected as the research objects of numerical calculations. The section size of the column was 300 mm × 300 mm and the clear height of the specimen was 1140 mm so that the shear span ratio was 3.8. The axial compression ratio for all of the columns applied was 0.30; the longitudinal reinforcement diameter of the specimen is 14 mm, the diameter of the stirrup is 8 mm and the spacing is 100 mm. The specific geometric size and reinforcement of the specimen are shown in Figure 1, and the basic parameters of the specimen are shown in Table 1.

![Figure 1: Specimen size and reinforcement detailing](image)

1.2 Numerical Modelling

In this paper, the damage-plasticity model in ABAQUS is used to simulate the unrecoverable damage in the process of concrete crushing. Concrete uniaxial compression stress-strain relationship adopts the concrete model [15] proposed by E. Hognestad et al. in 1951, and the uniaxial tensile stress-strain relationship of concrete uses the model proposed by Jiang Jianjing et al. [17]. Tri-linear model is selected for the constitutive relation of reinforce-
Table 1: Specimen grouping

| Specimen Number | Concrete Strength | Number of Strengthening Layers | Stirrup Spacing/Stirrup Ratio | Axial Compression Ratio | Application               |
|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| C0              | C40               | 0                             | 100/0.34%                   | 0.3                    | Comparative Analysis      |
| C1              | C40               | 1                             | 100/0.34%                   | 0.3                    | Comparative Analysis      |
| C2              | C40               | 2                             | 100/0.34%                   | 0.3                    | Comparative Analysis      |
| C3              | C40               | 3                             | 100/0.34%                   | 0.3                    | Comparative Analysis      |
| C4              | C40               | 4                             | 100/0.34%                   | 0.3                    | Comparative Analysis      |
| C5              | C40               | 2                             | 50/0.67%                    | 0.3                    | Comparative Analysis      |
| C6              | C40               | 2                             | 150/0.22%                   | 0.3                    | Comparative Analysis      |
| C7              | C40               | 2                             | 100/0.34%                   | 0.25                   | Contrast Verification     |
| C8              | C40               | 2                             | 100/0.34%                   | 0.15                   | Contrast Verification     |

In the TRC reinforced column model, the contact relationship between the plate and the core concrete and between TRC and the core concrete at the load ending use the "TIE" constraint, meaning that the joint works together to ensure the effective transfer of load. For the contact relationship between the reinforcement and the concrete (the textile grid and fine grained concrete), it is assumed that they are completely bonded without relative slip, and the constraint mode of "embedded fixation" is adopted.

The bottom of the column of the model adopts a fixed constraint, which constrains all degrees of freedom of all nodes at the bottom of the column pier. In addition, the allowable displacement of the side of the column and the horizontal load is set to 0 to avoid displacement of the column during loading. The axial pressure at the top of the column and the horizontal reciprocating load are applied through a reference point coupled to the top loading plate. The model is recorded in displacement mode, and the loading apparatus is shown in Figure 3. Loading control is carried out by increasing the yield displacement step by step. Loading is carried out twice at each stage. When the horizontal reaction force drops to about 85% of the ultimate load, the loading is completed.

1.3 Numerical Model Verification

In this paper, the specimens based on literature [16] were selected for finite element analysis; the feasibility of solid modelling and finite element analysis of TRC reinforced
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Table 2: Mechanical properties of steel bar

| Type of steel | \( f_y / \text{MPa} \) | \( f_u / \text{MPa} \) | Elongation | application       |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------------|
| \( \phi 14 \)  | 463             | 597             | 28.10%     | Longitudinal reinforcement |
| \( \phi 18 \)  | 387             | 571             | 28.83%     | Longitudinal reinforcement |
| \( \phi 8 \)   | 325             | 496             | 27.27%     | Stirrup           |

Table 3: Mechanical properties of textile

| Fiber type | Number of filaments per bundle | Filament tensile strength/MPa | Filament elastic modulus/GPa | Filament elongation at break/% | Fiber bundle linear density/Tex | Fiber bundle density/(g.cm\(^{-3}\)) |
|------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| T700S      | 12k                            | 4660                          | 231                         | 2                             | 801                           | 1.78                              |
| E-glass    | 4k                             | 3200                          | 65                          | 4.5                           | 600                           | 2.58                              |

Figure 2: Loading system

RC columns using ABAQUS is verified by comparing the numerical calculation results and the test results. It can be seen from the hysteretic curves of each specimen in Fig. 4 and in combination with Table 4 that the load-displacement hysteretic curves obtained by finite element analysis are generally in good agreement with the test curves. However, there is a difference between the calculated load-deflection hysteretic curve and the actual load-deflection hysteretic curve of TRC confined concrete. This is because the numerical model established in this paper does not produce experimental errors during loading process. For example, there is no negative slip in the model during loading process. However, the actual test in the negative loading process, due to the reasons of test equipment and the inaccuracy of physical alignment before the beginning of the test, the negative slip occurs during negative loading. Moreover, the damage degree of material in the numerical model under low cyclic reciprocating load is smaller than that in the actual test, which can be seen from the skeleton curves of each specimen in Fig. 5 and in combination with Table 4. The skeleton curves obtained by finite element analysis are in good agreement with the overall trend of the experimental skeleton curves, but the limit value of the skeleton curve obtained by finite element analysis is higher than that of the test skeleton curve, and the displacement reaching the limit value is also smaller than that of the test value. This finding is observed mainly because in the finite element analysis of this paper, the uniaxial stress and strain are adopted in the constitutive relation of concrete, and the bond slip between the reinforcement and the concrete is neglected. However, the actual test is a low-frequency cyclic loading test, in which the stiffness and strength of concrete are reduced by different degrees under the action of reciprocating load, and small bond slip between the steel and concrete will also appear. Combined with the actual situation of the test, the limit value of a skeleton curve obtained by finite element analysis is reasonable.

2 Numerical results and analysis

The numerical results are shown in Table 5:

(1) Under the conditions of the same axial compression ratio and shear span ratio and with the number of the textile grid layout layers within the range of 1 to 3, the peak load, displacement ductility ratio and energy dissipation capacity of specimens with different numbers of reinforcement layers (C0, C1, C2, C3, C4) increase with the increase of the number of reinforcement layers; however, if the number of layers continues to increase, which has a limit, the energy dissipation rate of the specimens also increases slightly with the increase of the number of layers of the textile grid.

(2) Under the conditions of the same reinforcement layer and different stirrup ratio, the yield load, peak load and ultimate load of specimens with different stirrup spacing (C2, C5, C6) do not change significantly with the increase of stirrup spacing. However, the ductility coefficient
Table 4: Comparison of calculation results and test results data

| Specimen’s Number | Yield Point | Peak Point | Failure Point |
|-------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|
|                   | Py/kN       | △y/mm      | Pm/kN         | △m/mm | Pu/kN     | △u/mm |
| C8 (Numerical results) | 129.44 | 6.77 | 145.50 | 16.00 | 123.68 | 37.51 |
|                   | -129.50 | -6.65 | -146.17 | -16.00 | -124.24 | -33.70 |
| C8 (Test Data)    | 114.45 | 8.62 | 142.00 | 19.94 | 120.70 | 35.55 |
|                   | -115.17 | -8.16 | -130.80 | -20.30 | -111.18 | -32.33 |
| C7 (Numerical results) | 86.50 | 6.93 | 94.35 | 16.00 | 80.20 | 36.00 |
|                   | -87.10 | -6.90 | -94.74 | -16.00 | -80.53 | -36.00 |
| C7 (Test Data)    | 85.29 | 7.81 | 106.4 | 20.02 | 90.44 | 36.99 |
|                   | -70.49 | -8.93 | -86.6 | -19.93 | -73.61 | -36.80 |

Figure 3: Loading apparatus

and energy dissipation capacity of the specimens increase with the decrease of stirrup spacing.

Figure 4: Hysteresis curves of concrete columns

2.1 Analysis of Stress-Strain Nephogram

In this paper, the crack development direction is defined by the concept of the equivalent plastic strain of the core concrete of the reinforced concrete; the normal vector of
Table 5: Test results of each specimen

| Specimen's Number | Yield Point | Peak Point | Failure Point | Ductility Coefficient AVG | Accumulated Energy Dissipation Q/kNmm |
|------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|                  | Py/kN       | △y/mm     | Pm/kN         | △m/mm                     | Pu/kN       | △u/mm     |                          |
| C0 Unstrengthened| 104.59      | 7.09       | 112.85        | 8.00                      | 95.92       | 31.55      | 4.36  26072.56          |
|                  | -102.47     | -6.34      | -110.82       | -8.00                     | -94.20      | -27.10     |                  |
| C1 One layer     | 107.77      | 5.48       | 119.92        | 8.00                      | 101.93      | 36.21      | 6.76  33085.75         |
|                  | -108.93     | -5.49      | -121.25       | -8.00                     | -103.06     | -37.95     |                  |
| C2 Two layers    | 110.75      | 6.05       | 121.80        | 12.00                     | 103.53      | 42.52      | 6.91  46881.53         |
|                  | -110.51     | -6.05      | -123.80       | -12.00                    | -105.23     | -41.17     |                  |
| C3 Three layers  | 111.73      | 7.09       | 124.08        | 16.00                     | 105.47      | 45.59      | 6.50  48895.22         |
|                  | -111.88     | -7.09      | -124.47       | -16.00                    | -105.80     | -46.62     |                  |
| C4 Four layers   | 112.84      | 6.96       | 124.10        | 16.00                     | 105.49      | 43.17      | 6.60  48527.27         |
|                  | -109.99     | -6.15      | -125.51       | -16.00                    | -106.68     | -43.06     |                  |
| C5 Stirrup Spacing 50 | 101.89    | 5.76       | 124.27        | 12.00                     | 105.63      | 40.29      | 7.59  46203.74         |
| C6 Stirrup Spacing 150 | 113.73    | 6.95       | 123.96        | 12.00                     | 105.37      | 35.81      | 5.32  33469.22         |

the crack surface is parallel to the direction of the equivalent plastic strain, and the crack development is shown by showing the maximum plastic strain. At the same time, the distribution of compression damage on the components is analysed through the distribution map of compression damage, to study the compression area and distribution position of concrete [19]. Combined with the maximum principal strain nephogram of carbon fibre, the strain distribution of carbon fibre under low-cycle repeated load is analysed.

(1) Analysis of plastic strain and compression damage of core concrete

Specimen C0 (Unstrengthened Column)

The nephograms of equivalent plastic strain and maximum principal plastic strain of the specimens are shown in Fig. 6. When reaching the peak displacement of the un-reinforced column, the strain at the side subjected to tension at the bottom of the column is large and decreases gradually towards the middle of the column. When the concrete column is destroyed, the bottom of the column body clearly expands, the concrete in the plastic hinge region at the bottom of the column body is crushed, and the concrete strain gradually decreases from the bottom of the column to the middle of the column body. The plastic strain of the unreinforced column shows a gradually changing region from bottom to top. In this region, the colour change of the lower side of the column is the deepest followed by the middle side, and the colour change of the upper side is not clear. Combined with the equivalent plastic strain cloud pictures, it can be seen that the crack of the specimen is mainly horizontal crack and primarily concentrated in the plastic hinge region at the bottom of the column. Under the action of low-cycle repeated load, horizontal cracks are most fully developed in the lower side of the column followed by the middle side, and the development of the upper side is not clear. With the increase of the horizontal load, the horizontal crack increases gradually and passes through along the section of the column. When the specimen reaches the peak displacement, the horizontal cracks of the specimen clearly increase, the concrete at the bottom of the column is crushed in a large area, and the bearing capacity of the specimen decreases rapidly. The crack development is consistent with the specimen in the literature [16]. Fig. 7 shows the distribution cloud pictures of compressive damage. In the initial stage of loading, the compression degree of the lower part of the specimen is high and decreases gradually upwards along the column; the damage degree of the mid-span region of the column is low, and the damage degree of the column increases gradually with the increase of horizontal load.

Specimens C2, C3 and C4 (RC Columns Strengthened with Two To Four Layers)

The cloud pictures of equivalent plastic strain and maximum principal plastic strain of the specimens C2, C3 and C4 are shown in Fig. 8, Fig. 10 and Fig. 12. Compared with the unreinforced specimens in the former section, when the core concrete of the reinforced specimens is at its peak displacement, the column body generates a large
plastic strain; the strain at the bottom of the column body on the tensile side is the largest and gradually decreases towards the middle of the column body, and as the number of reinforcement layers increases, the plastic strain increases but the increase is not obvious. Compared with the unreinforced column, the plastic strain distribution of the reinforced column is more uniform and the swelling deformation at the bottom of the column is relatively small, and with the increase of the number of reinforcement layers, the restraining effect on the core concrete is enhanced, and the expansion deformation is gradually reduced; the concrete deformation decreases gradually from the bottom to the middle of the column. Compared with the unstrengthened specimens in the former section, the principle of gradual change of the plastic strain cloud pictures of the strengthened specimens is basically the same, but the strain distribution of the strengthened column is more uniform in the loading process. The stress cloud pictures show that the cracking load and yield load of the specimen increase, which indicates that the cracks of the strengthened column appeared late, and the distribution of cracks is relatively uniform and dense. In addition, horizontal cracks of the strengthened column are most fully developed in the lower side of the column followed by the middle side, and the development of the upper side is not clear. The distribution cloud pictures of the compressive damage are in Fig. 9, Fig. 11 and Fig. 13. Compared with the unreinforced column, the distribution of compressive damage on the column body of the strengthened column is largely the same, but the degree of compression is smaller, and the distribution is more uniform.

*Specimen C5 (Stirrup Spacing is 50)*

In this part, the specimens with stirrup spacing of 50 (C5) and 150 (C6) (concrete strength of C40 and TRC reinforcement of two layers) are compared with C2 specimens, and the seismic behaviour is analysed.

The cloud pictures of equivalent plastic strain and maximum principal plastic strain of the specimen are shown in Fig. 14, combined with the deformation figure of the RC column. Compared with the specimens with stirrup spacing of 100 (C2), TRC columns with stirrup spacing of 50 (C50) have smaller swelling deformation at the bottom of the column during the loading process. This finding is observed because the stirrup spacing decreases, the stirrup ratio of the specimen increases, and the stirrup of the column can effectively restrain the core concrete’s swelling deformation. Combined with the distribution cloud pictures of the compressive damage in Fig. 15, it can be seen that the compressive damage area of TRC columns strengthened with stirrup spacing of 100 (C2) is relatively smaller than that with stirrup spacing of 50 (C5). With the increase of the stirrup ratio, the crack of core concrete in the column body decreases gradually under the same horizontal load and axial force. This finding is observed because the increase of the stirrup ratio can effectively restrain core concrete and reduce its deformation, thereby improving the ductility and seismic behaviour of the specimen. In addition, with the gradual increase of horizontal load, the cracks in the column body gradually expand along the whole section of the specimen, and finally, the whole section is connected, resulting in the reduction of the bearing capacity of the specimen to failure.

*Specimen C5 (Stirrup Spacing is 150)*

The cloud pictures of equivalent plastic strain and maximum principal plastic strain of the specimen are shown in Fig. 16, combined with the deformation figure of RC column. Compared with the specimens with stirrup spacing of 50 and 100 (C2, C5), the swelling deformation of TRC columns with stirrup spacing of 150 (C6) at the bot-
Figure 6: Nephograms of Equivalent Plastic Strain and Maximum Principal Plastic Strain of C0

Figure 7: Nephograms of Compression Damage of C0
Figure 8: Nephograms of Equivalent Plastic Strain and Maximum Principal Plastic Strain of C2

Figure 9: Nephograms of Compression Damage of C2
Figure 10: Nephograms of Equivalent Plastic Strain and Maximum Principal Plastic Strain of C3

Figure 11: Nephograms of Compression Damage of C3
tom of the column increases during the loading process. This finding is observed because the stirrup spacing increases, the stirrup ratio of the specimen decreases, and the stirrup of the column fails to effectively restrain the core concrete’s swelling deformation. Compared with TRC columns with stirrup spacing of 50 and 100 (C2, C5), TRC columns with stirrup spacing of 150 (C6) have largely the same gradual change rule in the plastic strain cloud pictures, but the plastic strain distribution range of the column increases during the loading process. This finding indicates that the range-increase and development of cracks in core concrete with a small stirrup ratio are more obvious than those of other specimens because the stirrup ratio decreases and the restraining effect of the stirrups is low. It can be seen from the distribution cloud pictures of the compressive damage in Fig. 17 and Table 5 that for those specimens with a low stirrup ratio, in the late loading stage, the compressive damage area increases, and its ductility and seismic behaviour weaken.

(2) Analysis of Maximum Principal Strain

According to the maximum principal strain nephogram of carbon fibre, the carbon fibre in specimen C2 has a large strain at the bottom of the specimen in the process of horizontal load loading, and the maximum strain appears at the corner of the plastic hinge region at the bottom of the column and the tensile side. This strain is caused by the stress concentration at the corner of the specimen and the core concrete’s swelling deformation;
the carbon fibre strain increases gradually with the increase of the horizontal load. Compared with specimen C5, the carbon fibre strain value of specimen C6 is larger in the process of horizontal load. This phenomenon exists because the column of the specimen with a small stirrup ratio will produce obvious swelling deformation under horizontal load and axial force, which leads to the increase of the carbon fibre hoop stress and strain. In addition, compared with specimen C5, the strain of the carbon fibre in specimen C2 is relatively small during horizontal displacement loading, which indicates that the specimens with a higher stirrup ratio have smaller carbon fibre strain under the same horizontal load. It can be seen from the strain nephogram that the carbon fibre of each specimen can still play an effective restriction effect in the corner and the tension side of the specimen until the specimen is destroyed, which indicates that carbon fibre can fully play a constraint role in the process of low-cycle repeated loading.

2.2 Analysis of Hysteretic Curve

(1) Influence of the Number of Reinforcement Layers on TRC Columns

The hysteretic curves of specimens with different reinforcement layers are shown in Fig. 18. The hysteretic curves of the concrete columns before and after reinforcement have a shuttle shape; however, the bearing capacity of the unreinforced column C0 decreases rapidly after it reaches the peak load and passes through several hysteretic loops, and the seismic behaviour of the specimen is poor. Compared with unreinforced columns, the hysteretic curves of reinforced columns are full, and the number of hysteretic loops increases obviously before being destroyed. It can be seen from Table 5 that the horizontal bearing capacity and ductility of the strengthened components have been improved. This finding indicates that the seismic behaviour of the TRC strengthened specimens improves compared with the unstrengthened specimens, and it increases with an increase of the number of strengthened layers within a certain range.

(2) Influence of Stirrup Ratio on TRC Columns

The hysteretic curves of specimens with different stirrup spacings are shown in Fig. 19, although the stirrup spacing has no obvious effect on the peak load and failure load of specimens. However, the hysteresis loop area of specimen C5 (stirrup spacing 50) is larger than that of specimen C2 (stirrup spacing 100) and C6 (stirrup spacing 150). Furthermore, the bearing capacity of specimen C5 decreases more slowly after reaching the peak load, which indicates that within a certain range, the smaller the stirrup spacing is, the better the deformation and energy dissipation capacity of the specimen are. It can be seen from the hysteretic loop curves of specimens with different reinforcement layers in the former section that although the stirrup spacing in C3 and C4 is larger than that in C5 specimens, the hysteretic loop fullness and bearing capacity decline rate are better than that of C5. Therefore, TRC reinforcement can effectively restrain core concrete, and its restraint effect is better than that of a simple stirrup.
2.3 Analysis of Skeleton Curves

(1) Influence of Reinforcement Layer Number on TRC Columns

The skeleton curves of specimens with different reinforcement layers are shown in Fig. 20(a). The reinforced column and the unreinforced column go through several stages under the action of low-cycle repeated load, namely, the elastic stage, the elastic-plastic stage, the plastic strengthening stage and the plastic decline stage. In the elastic stage, the initial stiffness of the TRC strengthened column is slightly greater than that of the unstrengthened column. This finding is observed because the TRC reinforcement slightly increases the cross-section area of the specimen, but the different numbers of textile grid layers have no obvious influence on the rigidity of the specimen. With the increase of displacement, TRC reinforcement has no obvious influence on the yield load of the specimen. The descent segment of the skeleton curve of the strengthened column is long and the descending speed is slow. There is an obvious horizontal section, which indicates that TRC reinforcement can effectively restrain the core concrete and improve the ductility of columns significantly. By comparing the peak load before and after reinforcement, it can be seen that the peak load of specimens reinforced by 1–4 layers (C1, C2, C3 and C4) is 9.41%, 11.80%, 13.15% and 14.10% higher than that of specimens C0, respectively. It can be seen that the peak load increases with the increase of the number of reinforcement layers within the range of reinforcement 1 to 4, but the TRC reinforcement has a limited increase in the peak load of the specimens because the longitudinal reinforcement still bears the main load during the whole loading process.

(2) Influence of Stirrup Ratio on TRC Columns

Based on data in Table 5, the relationship between the skeleton curves of specimens with different stirrup
spreading is shown in Fig. 20(b). The specimens with stirrup spacing of 100 (C2) and 150 (C6) showed no significant changes in the yield load, peak load and ultimate load compared with specimens with stirrup spacing of 50 (C5). Therefore, the increase in the stirrup ratio could not significantly improve the bearing capacity of specimens. However, the bearing capacity of specimens C5 and C2 decreased more slowly than that of specimen C6 after reaching the peak load, and it will be destroyed only after experiencing more hysteretic loops and horizontal displacement. Therefore, it can be seen that the deformation and ductility performance of specimens increase with the increase of stirrup ratio in the later stage of horizontal load loading. The reason is that the stirrup with large stirrup ratio can fully develop the idea of restraint performance and improve the deformability of the specimen at the later stage of loading.

2.4 Analysis of Ductility

The main numerical results of the specimens are shown in Table 3, and the ductility factor is equal to the figure of dividing ultimate displacement by yield displacement. Additionally, there are many methods to determine the yield point, e.g. energy equivalence method, geometric drawing method, Park method [20] and Feng method [21]. The ultimate displacement mainly refers to the displacement corresponding to the load that is the 85% of peak load or the displacement when the specimens are damaged. In this paper, the yield point is determined with the Park method and the ultimate displacement was corresponding to the ultimate load that was the 85% of peak load in the skeleton curves.

(1) Influence of Reinforcement Layer Number on TRC Columns

From the data in Table 4, the displacement ductility ratios of each strengthened specimen (C1, C2, C3, C4) increase by 55.05%, 58.49%, 49.08%, and 51.38%, respectively, compared with the unreinforced specimens (C0). This finding indicates that TRC reinforcement can effectively improve column ductility, and the increase of the displacement ductility ratio increases with the increase of reinforcement layers within the range of 1 to 2 layers. Moreover, when the textile grid is arranged with two layers, the TRC reinforcement increases the ductility coefficient of the specimen most effectively. However, the increased range of the displacement ductility ratio is basically the same when the textile grid is arranged with 3 or 4 layers, which indicates that the increased range of the specimens’ ductility is limited when the textile grid is arranged with 3 or more layers.

(2) Influence of the Stirrup Ratio on TRC Columns

From the data in Table 4, the displacement ductility coefficients of the specimens with stirrup spacings of 100 (C2) and 150 (C6) were 8.96% and 18.44% lower than those with stirrup spacing of 50 (C5), respectively. It can be seen that the ductility coefficient of specimens increases with the increase of the stirrup ratio. This increase occurs because the increase of the stirrup ratio enhances the deformation capacity of specimens; after the bearing capacity of the specimens reaches the peak, the bearing capacity of specimens with larger stirrup ratio declines slowly, and the failure displacement of specimens increases, thereby improving the ductility of specimens. The displacement ductility coefficient of specimen C0 (unreinforced, stirrup spacing 100) is 41.97% lower than that of sample C6 (reinforced by two layers, stirrup spacing 150). It can be seen that compared with a simple stirrup, TRC has a more obvious constraint effect and can effectively improve the defor-
formation capacity of the specimen and enhance its seismic behaviour.

2.5 Analysis of Stiffness Degradation

The stiffness degradation of each specimen is analyzed by reference to the equivalent secant stiffness, which is recommended in the reference literature [22], where $\eta$ is the ratio of the secant stiffness to the yield stiffness for each hysteresis loop and $\beta$ is the ratio of peak displacement to yield displacement.

(1) Influence of Reinforcement Layer Number on TRC Columns

The stiffness degradation curves of specimens with different reinforcement layers are shown in Fig. 21(a). Before reaching the yield load, the stiffness degradation rate of an unstrengthened column (C0) is largely the same as that of a strengthened column (C1, C2, C3, C4). Nevertheless, before reaching the yield point, the deformation of the specimen is still dominated by elastic deformation. However, after the yield load point, the stiffness degradation rate of C0 obviously increases. The degradation rate of other reinforced columns is slightly different, but the overall difference is not large. The total length of the degradation curves of specimens C3 and C4 are relatively long, indicating that they have good seismic behaviour. In general, TRC reinforcement mainly delays the stiffness degradation of specimens after yielding and ensures sufficient deformation capacity of specimens during large displacement cycle. The number of textile grid layers increases the failure displacement of components but has no obvious influence on the stiffness degradation rate.

(2) Influence of Stirrup Ratio on TRC Columns

The stiffness degradation curves of specimens with different stirrup spacing are shown in Fig. 21(b). Before reaching the yield load, the stiffness degradation rate of an unstrengthened column (C0) is largely the same as that of a strengthened column (C1, C2, C3, C4). Nevertheless, before reaching the yield point, the deformation of the specimen is still dominated by elastic deformation. However, after the yield load point, the stiffness degradation rate of C0 obviously increases. The degradation rate of other reinforced columns is slightly different, but the overall difference is not large. The total length of the degradation curves of specimens C3 and C4 are relatively long, indicating that they have good seismic behaviour. In general, TRC reinforcement mainly delays the stiffness degradation of specimens after yielding and ensures sufficient deformation capacity of specimens during large displacement cycle. The number of textile grid layers increases the failure displacement of components but has no obvious influence on the stiffness degradation rate.
and after yield, the curves of C2 are nearly coincident with those of C5 and C6, but the stiffness degradation curve of C5 is relatively gentle. At a later stage of loading, the curve of specimen C5 is longer, indicating that the strengthened column with a large stirrup ratio has better ductility and deformation capacity. By observing the degradation curves of C2 and C5, it can be found that the two curves almost overlap; both the size of the stiffness and the stiffness degradation trends are very similar, which indicate that lowering a column’s stirrup ratio within a certain range will have no effect on the stiffness degradation of specimens. This finding further demonstrates that when the layout of a stirrup is small, the TRC restricts the specimens effectively.

Figure 17: Nephograms of Compression Damage of C6

Figure 18: Hysteretic curves of the specimens with different numbers of reinforcement layers
2.6 Analysis of Energy Dissipation Capacity

(1) Influence of Reinforcement Layer Number on TRC Columns

The accumulated energy dissipation curves of specimens with different reinforcement layers are shown in Fig. 22(a). The total energy dissipations of reinforced columns (C1, C2, C3 and C4) were 26.90%, 79.81%, 87.54% and 86.13% higher than that of unreinforced columns (C0), respectively. It can be seen that TRC reinforcement within the range of 1 to 3 layers of textile grid layout can effectively improve the energy dissipation capacity of components, and it increases with an increase of the number of reinforcement layers, but the increase of energy dissipation capacity is limited when the number of textile grid layout layers is increased. From the aspect of energy dissipation rate, the unstrengthened column and the strengthened column are largely the same before and after reaching the yield point, which is consistent with the stiffness degradation law. However, in the later stage of displacement loading, the energy dissipation rate of the strengthened column increases, and the role of TRC is fully utilized.

As the number of layers of textile grid increases, the rate of energy dissipation of the specimen increases slightly, but the increase is not obvious.

(2) Influence of Stirrup Ratio on TRC Columns

The energy dissipation curves of specimens with different stirrup spacing are shown in Fig. 22(b). The accumulated energy dissipation of C2 and C5 increased by 39.77%
and 38.04%, respectively, compared with that of C6. This finding is observed because the cyclic displacements of C2 and C5 are slightly larger than that of C6. Therefore, increasing the stirrup ratio of a TRC strengthened column can improve the column’s deformation capacity and seismic behaviour. Except for when the accumulated energy dissipation curves of C2 and C6 at the later stage of displacement loading are different, the energy dissipation curves at other stages are largely coincident; this finding indicates that the reduction of the stirrup ratio has little influence on the energy dissipation capacity of the strengthened column within a certain range of stirrup ratios.

3 Conclusions

1. In this paper, the finite element analysis software ABAQUS is used to establish the finite element analysis model of RC columns strengthened by TRC. The rationality of the model is verified and analysed by modelling, selecting a constitutive relation, and determining such parameters as the element type, interface treatment and mesh generation.

2. Within the range of 1 to 3 layers of textile grid layout, the peak load, displacement ductility ratio and energy dissipation capacity of TRC strengthened columns increase with the increase of the number of layers, although the increased range is limited. With the increase of the number of textile grid layers, the energy dissipation rate of the specimen also increases slightly but not obviously. In addition, the number of textile grid layers has no obvious influence on the yield load and stiffness degradation rate of the specimen.

3. In the case of the same number of reinforcement layers and a different stirrup ratio, the yield load, peak load and ultimate load of the specimens do not change significantly with the increase of stirrup spacing, but the ductility coefficient and energy dissipation capacity of the specimens increase with the decrease of stirrup spacing.
4. TRC reinforcement can effectively restrain core concrete, and its constraint effect is better than the effect of a simple stirrup. When the amount of stirrup is small, TRC plays an efficient constraint role on the specimen, which can effectively improve the deformation capacity of the specimen and enhance its seismic behaviour.
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