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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: CXC chemokine ligand 13 and interleukin 10 have emerged as CSF biomarkers for the diagnosis of CNS lymphoma. Our hypothesis is that the combined use of ADC, CXC chemokine ligand 13, and interleukin 10 will result in increased diagnostic performance compared with the use of ADC values alone.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Eighty-seven patients were included in this study, including 43 with CNS lymphoma and 44 without CNS lymphoma (21 metastases, 14 high-grade gliomas, 9 tumefactive demyelinating lesions) who had undergone CSF proteomic analysis and had a new enhancing mass on brain MR imaging. Average ADC was derived by contouring the contrast-enhancing tumor volume. Group means were compared via t tests for average ADC, CXC chemokine ligand 13, and interleukin 10. Receiver operating characteristic analysis was performed for each individual variable. Multiple-variable logistic regression with receiver operating characteristic analysis was performed, and the multiple-variable receiver operating characteristic was compared with single-variable receiver operating characteristics.

RESULTS: The average ADC was lower and CSF CXC chemokine ligand 13 and interleukin 10 values were higher in CNS lymphoma (P < .001). Areas under the curve ranged from 0.739 to 0.832 for single-variable ROC. Multiple-variable logistic regression yielded statistically significant individual effects for all 3 variables in a combined model. Multiple-variable receiver operating characteristics (area under the curve, 0.928) demonstrated statistically significantly superior diagnostic performance compared with the use of single variables alone.

CONCLUSIONS: The combined use of ADC, CSF CXC chemokine ligand 13, and interleukin 10 results in increased diagnostic performance for the diagnosis of CNS lymphoma. This finding highlights the importance of CSF analysis when the diagnosis of CNS lymphoma is considered on the basis of MR imaging.

ABBREVIATIONS: ADCavg – average ADC; CNSL – central nervous system lymphoma; CXCL-13 – CXC chemokine ligand 13; IL-10 – interleukin 10; ROC – receiver operating characteristic; AUC – area under the curve

Central nervous system lymphoma (CNSL) may be primary CNSL when isolated to the central nervous system or secondary CNSL in the setting of systemic lymphoma.1–3 Patients who are immunocompromised are at an increased risk of developing CNSL (primary or secondary); however, the rates of primary CNSL are increasing among immunocompetent patients.4–8 CNSL now accounts for approximately 1%–5% of all brain tumors and thus should be considered in the differential diagnosis of a patient with a new brain mass lesion.4–7

Arriving at a consistently accurate preoperative diagnosis for a patient with a brain mass lesion encountered on MR imaging remains an overall difficult task.9 There is considerable overlap in the clinical presentation and appearance of brain mass lesions on MR imaging, including CNSL, and there is much research into using advanced imaging techniques to arrive at a diagnosis.9–13 Ultimately, most patients with a newly encountered brain mass lesion will undergo stereotactic brain biopsy to arrive at a diagnosis, an invasive procedure with a rate of diagnostic failure that may be as high as 35%.14,15 The diagnosis of CNSL may be further complicated by its response to glucocorticoids, which may com-
CNSL are related to high cellularity, which theoretically inter-
rupts the diffusion of water within the tumor.20,21 These
MR imaging findings may be helpful but are also present in
numerous other conditions and are ultimately nonspecific.

Multiple MR imaging features have been reported useful for
distinguishing CNSL from the more common high-grade gli-
oma, including relatively low ADC values on DWI, relatively
low cerebral blood volume and high permeability on MR per-
fusion, lipid peaks and high choline-to-creatinine ratios on
MR spectroscopy, and the absence of foci of susceptibility on
high-resolution susceptibility imaging.10-13,18,19 ADC derived
from DWI is of particular interest because this sequence is
routinely performed on all brain MRI. Low ADC values in
CNSL are related to high cellularity, which theoretically inter-
fers with the diffusion of water within the tumor.20,21 These
MR imaging findings may be helpful but are also present in
numerous other conditions and are ultimately nonspecific.

CXCL chemokine ligand 13 (CXCL-13), a mediator of B-cell
migration, and interleukin 10 (IL-10), an anti-inflammatory cy-
tokine, are produced by lymphocytes in CNSL, can be detected
in the CSF, and have emerged as CSF biomarkers for the diagnosis of
CNSL.22-25 These CSF biomarkers should be considered in the eval-
uation of a brain mass detected on MR imaging. The aim of our study
was to investigate the performance of the combined use of ADC
derived from the preoperative clinical MR imaging and CSF
CXCL-13 and IL-10 concentrations for the diagnosis of CNSL. Our
hypothesis is that the combined use of ADC, CSF CXCL-13, and CSF
IL-10 will result in increased diagnosti performance compared with
the diagnostic performance of ADC values alone.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Subjects

Eighty-seven patients were included in this cohort study compli-
ant with the institutional review board and Health Insurance Por-
tability and Accountability Act. We performed a retrospective re-
view of a prospectively built cohort data base of patients who had
undergone CSF sampling from 2003 to 2011 at our institution as part of a multi-
iinstitutional study.22,24 We cross-refer-
ced this data base with MR imaging. Inclusion criteria for our study were CSF
sampling with CXCL-13 and IL-10 and a preoperative brain MR imaging showing
an enhancing mass lesion. Exclusion crite-
reria were age younger than 12 years, trau-
matic CSF collection, or therapeutic inter-
vention within 3 weeks. Eighty-seven
patients were included in this study, in-
cluding 43 with CNSL (38 primary CNSL,
5 secondary CNSL) and 44 without CNSL
(21 metastases, 14 high-grade gliomas, 9
tumefactive demyelinating lesions). All di-
agnoses were pathologically confirmed
following surgical biopsy. None of the
patients were HIV-positive. The medical
record was retrospectively reviewed to
determine whether patients received
corticosteroids before CSF sampling.

CSF Proteomic Analysis

These methods have been previously described.22,24 Briefly, CSF
samples were frozen within 2 hours of collection and stored at −70°C
until analysis, at which point they were thawed and CSF concentra-
tions of CXCL-13 and IL-10 were determined in duplicate by using
an enzyme-linked immunoabsorbent assay. All CSF CXCL-13 and
IL-10 concentrations are reported in picograms per milliliter.

MR Imaging and ADC Measurements

Standard clinical MR imaging was performed at 1.5T (n = 68) or 3T
(n = 19). Axial DWI (TR/TE, 10,000/99 ms; section thickness/inter-
section gap, 5/0 mm; matrix size, 256 × 256; FOV, 24 cm × 24 cm; 3
orthogonal diffusion gradient directions; b-values, 0 and 1000
s/mm²) was performed in the transverse plane covering the whole
brain. Contrast-enhanced 3D spoiled gradient-recalled T1-weighted
imaging (TR/TE, 34/8 ms; section thickness/intersection gap, 1.5/0
mm) was performed in the axial plane. Slight variations in the scan-
ning protocol were allowed as changes were made in the departmental
protocol with time, as long as the patients had diffusion-weighted
imaging performed at b = 0 and 1000 s/mm².

All MR images were initially reviewed on the clinical PACS.
We performed additional image processing and analysis in a
blinded fashion off-line from the clinical PACS workstation using the
FuncTool application (Version 9.4.05a; GE Healthcare, Mil-
waukee, Wisconsin) of an Advantage Workstation (Version 4.5;
GE Healthcare). ADC maps were constructed from the diffusion-
weighted images and coregistered to the postcontrast T1-
weighted images. Each lesion was manually segmented on each
section of the ADC map by contouring the contrast-enhancing
lesion on the postcontrast T1-weighted images (Fig 1) by using
established methods.23,26 Manual adjustments were made on the
ADC map if there was any misregistration. Average ADC
(ADCavg) was calculated for each lesion volume in units of 10⁻⁶
mm²/s (all ADC values in this article are reported in units of 10⁻⁶
mm²/s). All ROIs were performed by a neuroradiology trainee
(R.F.B.) and secondarily approved by an attending neuroradiologist (S.C.) certified by the American Board of Radiology with a Certificate of Added Qualification in neuroradiology.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis and line art production were performed by using MedCalc for Windows, Version 14.8.1 (MedCalc Software, Mariakerke, Belgium) and the R statistical computing software (http://www.r-project.org). The mean and SD of age were calculated for all patients and for the 2 patient groups, which were compared with a Welch 2-tailed t test. Corticosteroid administration was compared between the 2 patient groups with a Fisher exact test. Mean and 95% confidence intervals for the CNSL and non-CNSL groups were calculated for ADCavg, CXCL-13, and IL-10, and means were compared with Welch 2-tailed t tests. Single-variable receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was then conducted for each variable for the diagnosis of CNSL. Multiple-variable logistic regression with ROC was then performed for the identification of CNSL by using the predictive variables ADCavg, CXCL-13, and IL-10. Optimized sensitivities and specificities were identified by using the maximum Youden J Index (maximum vertical distance to the null hypothesis AUC = 0.5 line or sensitivity [1-specificity]). Additionally, thresholds required for 95% specificity were calculated along with the sensitivity at this threshold. Pair-wise comparisons of the multiple-variable ROC curve AUCs were made to the single-variable ROC curves by using the ROC compare function of MedCalc, which uses the method of Delong et al.,27 accounting for correlated variables. A two-tailed P < .05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Patient Characteristics**

Patient characteristics are reported in Table 1. The CNSL group (mean age, 61.84 years) was statistically significantly older than the non-CNSL group (mean age, 49.95 years) (P < .001). Corticosteroid administration information before CSF sampling was

| Table 1: Patient characteristics* |
|----------------------------------|
| Overall (n = 87) | CNSL (n = 43) | Non-CNSL (n = 44) |
| Mean age (yr) (SD) | 56.03 (16.97) | 61.84 (15.20) | 49.95 (16.57) |
| Age range (yr) | 15–85 | 24–84 | 15–85 |
| Male/female ratio | 43:44 | 20:23 | 23:21 |

*The patients with CNSL were statistically significantly older than the patients without CNSL (P < .001).

| Table 2: Variables for the CNSL and non-CNSL groups with P values* |
|----------------------------------|
| Factor | Overall Means by Patient Group | CNSL (n = 43) | Non-CNSL (n = 44) |
| ADCavg | 864.81 (95% CI 832.50–897.13) | 1071.65 (981.23–1162.04) |
| 95% CI | | <.001 |
| CXCL-13 | 2960.49 (95% CI 2124.96–4796.01) | 72.54 (9.02–136.05) |
| 95% CI | | <.001 |
| IL-10 | 557.48 (95% CI 167.49–947.47) | 5.93 (3.42–8.43) |
| 95% CI | | <.001 |

*The patients with CNSL had lower ADC values and higher CSF CXCL-13 and IL-10 values than those without CNSL.

FIG 2. Boxplots of ADCavg, CSF CXCL-13, and CSF IL-10. ADCavg is statistically significantly lower, and CSF CXCL-13 and IL-10 are statistically significantly higher in patients with CNSL (P < .001).
retrospectively available for 64/87 patients (30/43 patients with CNSL and 34/44 without it). More patients with CNSL were confirmed to have received corticosteroids (n/H11005 24) before CSF sampling than those in the non-CNSL group (n/H11005 14, P/H11005 .005).

The corticosteroid administered was dexamethasone in 22 and hydrocortisone in 2 of the patients with CNSL and dexamethasone in 11, methylprednisolone in 2, and prednisone in 1 of the patients without CNSL.

| Factor and P Value | AUC (95% CI) | Maximum Youden Index J | Threshold Sensitivity/Specificity | Threshold ± Likelihood Ratios | Threshold PPV/NPV |
|--------------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| ADCavg [P < .001] | 0.739 (0.634–0.827) | 0.521 at ≥971 | 90.70/61.36 | 2.35/0.15 | 69.64/87.10 |
| CXCL-13 [P < .001] | 0.832 (0.737–0.904) | 0.677 at <106.0 | 76.74/90.91 | 8.44/0.26 | 89.19/80.00 |
| IL-10 [P < .001] | 0.792 (0.692–0.872) | 0.583 at >21.77 | 56.79/95.45 | 13.81/0.39 | 93.10/72.41 |
| ADCavg, CXCL-13, IL-10 [P < .001] | 0.928 (0.851–0.972) | 0.723 at probability of >0.527 | 81.40/90.91 | 11.26/0.25 | 89.74/83.33 |

Note:—NPV indicates negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value.

All ROC curves were statistically significant. Optimized thresholds were selected by using the maximum Youden Index J, the maximum vertical distance from the AUC = 0.5 null hypothesis line (sensitivity [1-specificity]). PPV and NPV should be interpreted with caution because they are highly dependent on the prevalence of the disease in the tested population, and as CSF testing becomes more common, this may not be reflective of our study population.

**Single-Variable ROC for the Identification of CNSL**

ROC curves for all 3 variables analyzed were statistically significant for the identification of CNSL (P < .001). Results with AUC and optimized thresholds with the maximum Youden Index J, sensitivities, specificities, likelihood ratios, and predictive values are reported in Table 3 and depicted in Fig 3. AUCs ranged from 0.739 (ADCavg) to 0.832 (CXCL-13), and maximum Youden Index J values ranged from 0.521 (ADCavg) to 0.677 (CXCL-13). Threshold values for 95% specificity with associated sensitivity are reported in Table 4. Sensitivity at 95% specificity ranged from 0.00% (ADCavg, ≤598.2) to 62.79% (IL-10 > 20.65).

**Multiple Variable Logistic Regression for the Identification of CNSL**

The results of multiple-variable logistic regression are presented in Table 5. The model was overall statistically significant (P < .001), and the 3 individual variables were each individually statistically significant. The logistic regression equation can be represented as: probability of CNSL = 1/[1 + e^(-2.617 - 0.0048 (ADCavg) - 0.0024 (CXCL-13) - 0.0626 (IL-10))]. The multiple-variable ROC results are presented in Table 3, and the curve is depicted in Fig 3. The AUC was 0.928, and the maximum Youden Index J was 0.723, with sensitivity and specificity of 81.40% and 90.91% at a probability threshold of 0.527. Results at set 95% specificity are reported in Table 4. Sensitivity at set 95% specificity was 76.74 at a probability threshold of 0.639.

**ROC Comparisons**

Results of ROC curve comparisons are reported in Table 6. The multiple-variable ROC demonstrated statistically significantly better diagnostic performance than the single-variable AUCs.

**DISCUSSION**

While the diagnosis of CNSL can be suggested on the basis of MR imaging findings, arriving at a consistently accurate preoperative
diagnosis for a patient with a brain mass lesion encountered on MR imaging remains an overall difficult task and an active area of research.\textsuperscript{9,13,18-20} Considerable advances, however, have been made in the field of CSF proteomics that have yielded 2 CSF biomarkers for CNSL, CXCL-13 and IL-10.\textsuperscript{22-25} In this study, we have examined the individual diagnostic performances of ADC, CSF CXCL-13, and IL-10 and the combined diagnostic performances in a multiple-variable model considering ADC, CSF CXCL-13, and CSF IL-10 in cohorts of patients with and without CNSL. We found that the combined use of ADC, CSF CXCL-13, and CSF IL-10 results in a statistically significantly increased diagnostic performance for the diagnosis of CNSL and that each variable has a statistically significant individual effect. CSF CXCL-13 and CSF IL-10 values should be considered when a brain mass with reduced ADC values is encountered and when the diagnosis of CNSL is considered. This study provides the statistical basis for considering all 3 variables in clinical practice. Consideration of these factors could potentially be used in the future to make the diagnosis of CNSL without the need for stereotactic biopsy.

Our study supports the observations in the literature that ADC values are decreased and CSF CXCL-13 and IL-10 values are increased in CNSL, while demonstrating the combined use of these variables in multiple-variable diagnostic models.\textsuperscript{9,13,18-26} ADCavg demonstrated a moderate diagnostic performance for CNSL in ROC analysis with an AUC of 0.739 (Fig 3) and was relatively sensitive compared with specificity, with an optimized sensitivity/specificity pair of 90.70/61.36 at a threshold of \(78\). CNSL in ROC analysis with an AUC of 0.739 (Fig 3) and was relatively sensitive compared with specificity, with an optimized sensitivity/specificity pair of 90.70/61.36 at a threshold of \(78\). CSF CXCL-13 and CSF IL-10 also demonstrated moderate diagnostic performance as previously shown for CNSL in ROC analysis with AUCs of 0.832 for CXCL-13 and 0.792 for IL-10 (Fig 3). In agreement with our prior analysis and the literature, these CSF biomarkers (particularly IL-10) were found to be more specific than sensitive, with optimized sensitivity/specificity pairs of 76.74/90.91 for CXCL-13 (at \(>106\)) and 62.79/95.45 for IL-10 (at \(>21.77\)).\textsuperscript{22-25}

Our multiple-variable model takes advantage of the different sensitivity/specificity profiles offered by each variable with resulting improved and optimized diagnostic performance demonstrated by a statistically significantly larger AUC (0.928) on ROC analysis (Tables 3 and 6). The logistic regression results demonstrated that each of these variables had a statistically significant individual effect in the model and thus contributed to the probability of CNSL after the other variables had been taken into account. Our logistic regression equation can thus be used to calculate the probability of CNSL, given IL-10, CXCL-13, and ADCavg, and demonstrates that higher IL-10 and CXCL-13 values and lower ADC values should increase the diagnostic confidence for CNSL. Conversely, lower CXCL-13 and IL-10 values and higher ADC values should decrease diagnostic confidence for CNSL. These results statistically demonstrate the importance of considering CSF analysis in these patients and not relying solely on the presence of a mass with reduced diffusion in making the diagnosis of CNSL.

Our study contains several important limitations. The size of our study is relatively modest, and it was performed at only 1 institution. Clinical factors such as corticosteroid administration were not controlled, and more of the patients with CNSL received corticosteroids before CSF sampling than the patients without CNSL, the effect of which is unknown. A larger, prospective, multi-institutional study could be considered to further evaluate the results of this study. The quantitative measurement of ADC values as performed in this study may pose a limitation to adoption into clinical workflow; however, we routinely use a similar processing method on the same platform for perfusion analysis. Possible future directions to address this limitation could include evaluating the performance of subjectively “restricted diffusion” with CSF CXCL-13 and IL-10. Our multiple-variable models are limited by the variables that we included. With the CNSL group being older that the non-CNSL group, age could have been added to the model and likely would have had an effect; age was, however, omitted for simplicity in comparison of the ROC curves with the corresponding single-variable ROCs. Likewise, additional imaging features could have also been investigated but were omitted for model simplicity. Furthermore, the predictive model that we present is unlikely to be easily adopted in clinical practice; however, a version of the regression equation could conceivably be combined with automatic lesion segmentation to measure ADC and automated data extraction from the medical record for use in computer-aided diagnosis and decision support in the future. We likely have the computing power to accomplish this automated

### Table 4: Sensitivities and corresponding threshold values from the ROC analysis for a set specificity of 95%\textsuperscript{a}

| Factor        | Sensitivity at Set 95% (95% CI) | Threshold Value for 95% Specificity |
|---------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| ADCavg        | 0.00 (0.00–2.33)                | 598.2                             |
| CXCL-13       | 51.16 (18.60–79.07)             | 262.82                            |
| IL-10         | 62.79 (48.84–79.07)             | 20.65                             |
| ADCavg, CXCL-13, IL-10 | 72.09 (55.81–86.05) | Probability of >0.61 |

\textsuperscript{a} ADCavg alone was essentially unable to reach a specificity of 95% (the sensitivity at the calculated threshold is 0%); however, with the addition of the CSF variables in the combined model, we can reach a specificity of 95% with a sensitivity of 72.09%. The highest sensitivity at 95% specificity is reached in the multiple-variable model.

### Table 6: Comparisons of the multiple-variable ROC with the single-variable ROCs\textsuperscript{a}

| Model          | ADCavg, CXCL-13, IL-10 | P < .001 | P = .016 | P = .002 |
|----------------|------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| ADCavg         |                         |          |          |          |
| CXCL-13        |                         |          |          |          |
| IL-10          |                         |          |          |          |

\textsuperscript{a} The multiple-variable ROC demonstrated a statistically significantly larger AUC than the corresponding single-variable AUCs, signifying statistically significantly superior diagnostic performance.

### Table 5: Results of multiple-variable logistic regression with the ADCavg and CSF CXCL-13 and IL-10\textsuperscript{a}

| Model          | Overall P | Intercept | ADCavg Effect and (OR) | CXCL-13 Effect and (OR) | IL-10 Effect and (OR) |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| ADCavg, CXCL-13, IL-10 | <.001     | 2.617     | 0.0048                 | 0.0024                  | 0.0626                |

\textsuperscript{a} The model was overall statistically significant, and all individual variables were individually statistically significant. This result demonstrates that all 3 variables should be factored into the diagnostic confidence that a lesion is a CNSL.
In this study, we have demonstrated that the combined use of ADC, CSF CXCL-13, and CSF IL-10 results in statistically significantly increased diagnostic performance for the diagnosis of CNSL compared with the diagnostic performance of ADC alone. Our multiple-variable diagnostic model demonstrated excellent diagnostic performance (AUC of 0.928 and optimized sensitivity/specificity of 81.40/90.91), which was statistically significantly superior to the diagnostic performance of the individual variable models. Within this multiple-variable model, we found statistically significant individual effects for ADCav (OR, 0.995; P = .012), CXCL-13 (OR, 1.002; P = .022), and IL-10 (OR, 1.065; P = .017) demonstrating that each variable contributed individually to the probability of CNSL. This study statistically demonstrates that each variable contributed individually to the probability of CNSL. A combined diagnostic model of CNSL is considered. Higher CXCL-13 and IL-10 values and reduced ADC values is encountered and when the diagnosis of CNSL is confirmed, each variable contributed individually to the probability of CNSL. A combined diagnostic model incorporating ADCav, CSF CXCL-13, and CSF IL-10 could potentially be used in the future to make the diagnosis of CNSL without the need for stereotactic biopsy.
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