Successful laparoscopic management of duplicate gallbladder: A case report and review of literature
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A B S T R A C T

INTRODUCTION: Gallbladder duplication is a rare congenital anomaly. Recognition of this anomaly and its various types is important since it can complicate a simple hepatobiliary surgical procedure.

PRESENTATION OF CASE: We report a case of a 42 year old female who presented a 6 year history of intermittent right upper quadrant abdominal pain. Her basic blood investigations including liver function tests were normal. Pre-operative imaging revealed a cystic lesion communicating with biliary tree representing duplicated gallbladder. She subsequently underwent successful laparoscopic cholecystectomy. The operative challenges were more than those anticipated at the usual laparoscopic gablectomy procedures. After six months follow up the patient remained asymptomatic.

DISCUSSION: Preoperative diagnosis plays a crucial role in planning surgery, and preventing possible biliary injuries or re-operation if accessory gallbladder has been overlooked during initial surgery. Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) is the imaging modality of choice for suspected duplicate gallbladder. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy for duplicate gallbladder is a challenging operation and should be performed with meticulous dissection of the cysto-hepatic triangle.

CONCLUSION: Gallbladder anomalies should be anticipated in the presence of a cystic lesion reported around the gallbladder. The laparoscopic cholecystectomy remains feasible for intervention and should be done by an experienced laparoscopic surgeon.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Gallbladder duplication is a rare congenital anomaly. Anticipation and recognition of this anomaly and its various types are important to avoid surprises. Preoperative diagnosis plays a crucial role in planning surgery and preventing possible surgical complications or re-operation if accessory gallbladder has been overlooked during initial surgery. We present a case report in accordance with the case report (CARE) guidelines [35] of an unusual case of bilobed gallbladder managed successfully by laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Our review sought to determine the challenges in the diagnosis and management of this rare anomaly.

2. Case report

A 42 year old lady was presented to hepatopancreatobiliary surgery outpatient clinic with a six year history of intermittent right upper quadrant (RUQ) pain associated with occasional nausea and vomiting. She had no history of jaundice or fever. She had been on iron supplement and oral contraceptive pill for iron deficiency anemia secondary to menorrhagia. Physical examination revealed soft abdomen with no tenderness or palpable mass. Her blood investigations were normal including complete blood count, liver function test, bilirubin and tumor markers. Abdominal ultrasound (US) showed a multi-septated echoic cystic lesion in the right liver adjacent to segment V and gallbladder. Abdominal computed tomography (CT) showed a non-enhancing lobulated cystic lesion in segment V with extension reaching the gallbladder (Fig. 1). She was further investigated with magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP), which demonstrated a multilocular cystic lesion communicating with biliary tree most likely representing duplicated gallbladder (Fig. 2). Patient was admitted for elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Informed consent was obtained after explaining the surgical procedure and possible complications. During surgery, while dissecting the gallbladder from the liver bed, a thick fibrous structure was found adherent to gallbladder’s posterior surface at the infundibulum. Careful dissection of this fibrous band revealed its communication with the intrahepatic cystic lesion that was anticipated and confirmed later to be the duplicate gallbladder.

The dissection of the intrahepatic gallbladder was challenging because of its close proximity to the right portal vein and middle...
In the recovery room, patient complained of mild chest tightness and shortness of breath. Air pulmonary embolism was suspected, which was resolved with oxygenation and changing patient’s position to the left lateral decubitus. Patient was discharged home on postoperative day 2. Final histopathology revealed features of chronic cholecystitis. The adjacent pouch showed ulcerated epithelium with extensive hemorrhage in the wall, and proliferation of glands with gastric metaplasia. No evidence of dysplasia or malignancy was found. After six months follow up the patient remained asymptomatic.

3. Discussion

Gallbladder duplication is a rare congenital anomaly that occurs in 1 per 4000 individuals [1], occurring nearly twice in women than in men [2]. Duplication of gallbladder occurs during the 5th or early 6th embryonic week during which a primordium bifurcates [1]. The time that bifurcation occurs determines the type of duplication that will occur i.e. the earlier the bifurcation; the more complete the degree of duplication [1]. A true accessory gallbladder arises from two separate primordia on the biliary tree and possesses a separate cystic duct. Histologically, gallbladder duplication is differentiated from a cholecystic cyst by the presence of a muscular wall with an epithelial lining [3].

In 1929 Boyden reported 20 cases of double gallbladder he found in the literature from 1674 to 1929 [1]. He described a system to classify gallbladder duplications including “vesica fellea divisa” (bilobed gallbladder that has one cystic duct) and “vesica fellea duplex” (true gallbladder duplication). The latter is subclassified into “Y-shaped type” (two cystic ducts uniting before entering the common bile duct), and “H-shaped or ductular type” (two cystic ducts enter separately into the common bile duct).

In 1936, Gross described congenital abnormalities of gallbladder and classified them into six types labeled A–F [4]. In 1977, Harlaftis et al. further modified the classification by describing two main types based on morphology and embryogenesis [2] (Table 1). Although his classification is the most universally accepted, a modified Harlaftis classification has been reported in the literature by describing a left trabecular variant to type 2 classification [5]. Has-san et al. reported an accessory gallbladder branching from both the left and right hepatic ducts [6]. Causey et al. reported a new variant in which a septated type 1 gallbladder has 2 cystic ducts [7]. Our case represents Boyden type I, Gross type C, and Harlaftis type I septated gallbladder.

There are no specific symptoms or signs associated with duplicate gallbladders. Cholelithiasis, acute/chronic cholecystitis, empyema, fistula, torsion, papilloma and carcinoma that are seen in a single gallbladder can affect a duplicated gallbladder [8–13]. However, the most common complication is stone formation [14], and the risk is similar to that of a single gallbladder [3,15]. Surgery should be the treatment of choice only in symptomatic gallbladder duplication. It is recommended to remove both gallbladders at one stage to prevent subsequent disease in the remnant gallbladder at a later date.

Preoperative diagnosis of duplicate gallbladder is important because diagnosis of a second gallbladder may be overlooked during surgery. Factors that can lead to overlooking of the diagnosis include non-specific signs and symptoms, lack of awareness of the surgeon of the anatomic variations and inadequacy of the imaging techniques [16]. This may result in recurrence of symptoms or biliary complications. Although successful preoperative diagnosis is reported in only half of all cases [5], the imaging methods for viewing anatomic structures of the biliary tree and diagnosing the disease have progressed recently [17,18].

hepatic vein, and extending partially into segment VIII. The dissection was continued carefully until the duplicate gallbladder was completely removed en bloc. On the back table the gallbladder was confirmed to be bilobed joining at the infundibulum with a single cystic duct. The intrahepatic gallbladder wall was thick with mucous content (Fig. 3).
Abdominal US can be helpful for preoperative diagnosis. It can recognize duplicate gallbladder in the presence of two cyst structures occupying the gallbladder fossa [3]. However, US does not reliably delineate the anatomic detail of the cystic ducts neither their relations to the biliary tree [19,20]. Therefore, further investigations must be performed to determine the type of the anomaly. Abdominal CT cannot differentiate the gallbladder anomalies and its relation to the biliary tree in most of the cases. The differential diagnosis given by CT in our case was biliary cyst adenoma or hydatid cyst. A 3-dimensional intravenous infusion cholangiography- spiral CT (IVC-SCT) may be useful in the diagnosis of duplicate gallbladder [21].

Given the limitations of US and CT scan, MRCP can correctly identify the specific type of duplication. MRCP images and the 3D maximum intensity projection (MIP) images can further delineate the anatomy of the biliary tree [18]. MRCP has the advantage of being a non-invasive tool and a valid method for the evaluation of patients with suspected gallbladder anomalies after initial scanning with US [18]. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) can accurately delineate the biliary tract anatomy in gallbladder duplications [3]. It can be used as a helpful adjunct method but not as routine because of its invasive nature. Similarly intraoperative cholangiogram (IOC) can be used to define the biliary tract anatomy and help identify additional anomalous structures, especially if MRCP has not been carried out and an anomaly is encountered during laparoscopic cholecystectomy [22]. In our case, IOC was not performed for three reasons. First, diagnosis of duplicate gallbladder with normal appearing bile ducts from MRCP did not necessitate further intraoperative diagnostic evaluation. Second, no significant abnormal biliary anatomy was encountered intraoperatively prior to dividing the cystic duct. Third, dissection of gallbladder was carried out without any concern of biliary injury.

We reviewed 17 case reports summarized in Table 2. Our review demonstrated that the most common symptom of duplicate gallbladder is epigastric or RUQ abdominal pain. Ultrasound confirmed duplicate gallbladder in only 3 cases. While in other studies, presence of a cystic lesion in the gallbladder fossa on ultrasound raised the suspicion of duplicate gallbladder and necessitated further investigations to confirm the diagnosis. CT scan did not add to the abdominal US compared to MRCP, which was able to delineate the anatomy of the biliary tree and describe the gallbladder anomalies in the majority of cases. Almost all cases were managed with laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Few cases were converted to open for uncontrolled bleeding or were done open for missed duplicate gallbladder and/or bowel obstruction.

Although some authors advised an open surgical approach to prevent missed diagnosis, several authors have reported cases of duplicate gallbladder successfully treated by laparoscopic cholecystectomy [9,23–25]. In view of its advantages, laparoscopy has become the procedure of choice. It allows visualization of the hepatic hilum, gallbladder bed and local adjacent structures more easily and efficiently than open surgery [26]. Furthermore, laparoscopy is associated with less postoperative pain, shorter hospital stay and faster return to activities of daily living.

Although the frequency of complications associated with the laparoscopic approach for duplicate gallbladder has not been well studied, probably because of the small number of reported cases, but one would expect the risks to be slightly higher than the standard laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Unfortunately, the rarity of this condition, does not allow conducting randomized controlled studies to prove or disprove that. However, the available data showed no increased risk of biliary leak or gallbladder cancer. In addition, gallbladder anomalies are not associated with increased risk of other biliary anomalies. The risk of conversion rate might be slightly higher due to risk of bleeding associated with infrahepatic dissection.

4. Conclusion

Duplication of gallbladder is a rare congenital anomaly that requires special attention. Preoperative diagnosis can be challenging to the surgeon who should be aware of the anatomic variations of the gallbladder and biliary system. Presence of cystic lesions adjacent to the gallbladder on imaging should raise the suspicion of gallbladder anomaly. Further diagnostic preoperative imaging is important to avoid surprises, complications and overlooking of a second gallbladder. MRCP should be the imaging modality of choice for suspected duplicate gallbladder. Overall, we think the risks associated with laparoscopic cholecystectomy for duplicate gallbladders are comparable to those with non-duplicate gallbladder. However, these cases probably do better in the hand of an experienced laparoscopic surgeon or a hepatobiliary surgeon.
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| Authors                  | Type of duplication | Symptoms                              | US                        | CT                          | MRCP                                      | ERCP                                   | Procedure                  | Challenge/recommendation            |
|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Yorganci et al. [27]    | Accessory GB       | RUQ pain                              | Cystic lesion             | Cystic mass                 | –                                         | Accessory GB arising from RHD with stones | 2nd lap cholecystectomy        | Lap is safe and correct choice for management | Conversion to open due to hemorrhage |
| Weihe et al. [28]       | Accessory GB       | Pain post lap cholecystectomy          | Accessory GB              | –                           | –                                         | Accessory GB arising from RHD with stones | Lap cholecystectomy            | MRCP is recommended to detect anatomical variant |
| Mazzotti et al. [18]    | Ductular type      | RUQ pain                              | 2 cystic structures in GB fossa containing stones | –                           | 2 vesica with 2 separate cystic ducts, stones in one GB | –                                         | Open cholecystectomy          | Detailed preoperative investigations are essentials before considering lap cholecystectomy |
| Goel et al. [29]        | Accessory GB       | RUQ pain, dyspepsia                   | Acute cholecystitis       | –                           | Confirmed findings of US and ERCP         | Double GB Normal bile duct and biliary tree | Lap cholecystectomy            | Laparoscopy is safe and effective. IOC is recommended to avoid complications |
| Ozmen et al. [25]       | Bilobed GB         | RUQ pain                              | Bilobed GB                | –                           | Confirmed US finding                      | –                                         | –                             | Successful use of ENB in removing duplicated GB by laparoscopy |
| Shirahe et al. [30]     | Accessory GB       | RUQ pain                              | 2 cystic structures in GB fossa containing stones | –                           | –                                         | 2 GB each has cystic duct draining into CBD separately | Lap cholecystectomy            | Laparoscopy is safe and effective. IOC is recommended to avoid complications |
| RolDan-Valadez et al. [31] | Vesica fellea duplex | Annual evaluation                      | 2 cystic structures in GB fossa. | –                           | Y-shaped duplication of GB                | –                                         | –                             | MRCP is recommended              |
| Hishinuma et al. [32]   | Accessory GB       | Epigastric pain                       | Cystic structure next to Cystic structure between GB and liver | –                           | Could not delineate GB                    | GB filled with stones. Adjacent cystic structure accessory cystic duct entering RHD | Lap cholecystectomy            | ERCP confirmed the diagnosis |
| Sasaki et al. [24]      | Accessory GB       | Epigastric pain                       | Multi-lobebulated cystic structure adjacent to GB | GB branching from CBD      | Accessory GB draining into duodenum, communicating with dilated pancreatic duct | –                                         | Lap cholecystectomy            | 3D IOC-SCT +/- ERCP recommended for diagnosis |
| Vijayaraghavan and Belagavi [16] | Ductular type   | RUQ pain                              | Separate GB with stones | –                           | –                                         | –                                         | Lap cholecystectomy            | IOC is recommended to delineate anatomy |
| Singh et al. [33]       | Trabecular type    | Jaundice 1 year post lap cholecystectomy with dilated intra- and extra-hepatic biliary tree | CT confirmed presence of remnant GB | –                           | –                                         | –                                         | –                             | Missed duplicate GB in 1st lap cholecystectomy. Second operation findings; GB draining into RHD. Adenocarcinoma of CBD |
| Desolneux et al. [34]   | Y shaped GB        | RUQ pain, fever, nausea, Wall thickening of GB and gallstones and vomiting Cerebral palsy with abnormal laboratory tests | Complex mass adjacent to GB with irregular wall containing debris | Complex mass displacing CBD. Intrahepatic and extrahepatic duct dilatation. Mass communicating with duodenum | –                                         | –                                         | Lap cholecystectomy            | IOC is recommended to delineate anatomy |
| Brady and Mitchell [8]  | Accessory GB ductular type | RUQ pain, fever, nausea, Wall thickening of GB and gallstones and vomiting Cerebral palsy with abnormal laboratory tests | Complex mass adjacent to GB with irregular wall containing debris | Complex mass displacing CBD. Intrahepatic and extrahepatic duct dilatation. Mass communicating with duodenum | –                                         | –                                         | Lap cholecystectomy            | IOC recommended for preoperative preparation due to bowel obstruction. IOC is recommended for diagnosis. |
| Causey et al. [7]       | Bilobed GB         | RUQ pain                              | Cholethiasis, retrospectively a septum dividing the 2 GBs | –                           | –                                         | –                                         | Lap cholecystectomy            | IOC is recommended to delineate anatomy |
| Smelt et al. [36]       | Double GB          | RUQ pain                              | Two GBs, one containing gallstones | –                           | 2 separate cystic ducts with stones in posterior GB | –                                         | Lap cholecystectomy            | Awareness of anatomic variations is important |
| Bulus et al. [15]       | Accessory GB       | RUQ pain                              | Gallstones Cystic mass behind GB | Duplicate GB | Confirmed duplication of GB but could not show cystic duct containing gallstones | –                                         | Lap cholecystectomy            | Preoperative diagnosis is important |
| Hassan et al. [6]       | Accessory GB       | Generalized abdominal pain on GB wall. No stones | Small neoplastic lesion in the GB | –                           | –                                         | Accessory GB containing stone and connecting to both right and left HD, Main GB joins CHD via its own cystic duct | Not operated due to age and multiple medical comorbidities | MRCP is recommended to detect anatomical variant |

**Abbreviations:** GB = Gallbladder, RUQ = Right upper quadrant, CHD = common hepatic duct, RHD = Right hepatic duct, Lap chole = Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy, ENT = Endoscopic Nasobiliary Tube.
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