Chemoselective γ-Oxidation of β,γ-Unsaturated Amides with TEMPO
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Abstract: A chemoselective and robust protocol for the γ-oxidation of β,γ-unsaturated amides is reported. In this method, electrophilic amide activation, in a rare application to unsaturated amides, enables a regioselective reaction with TEMPO resulting in the title products. Radical cyclisation reactions and oxidation of the synthesised products highlight the synthetic utility of the products obtained.

It is well-documented that amides react only sluggishly with common nucleophiles, a fact typically ascribed to the electron-releasing effect of the nitrogen center. Activation is therefore often necessary to promote carbonyl-type reactivity in this family of compounds.[1] After early, successful attempts at amide activation,[2] trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride (Tf2O) has eventually emerged as a general activating reagent following its introduction by Ghosez et al. in 1981.[3–5] This paved the way for further discoveries, ranging from Movassaghi’s heterocycle synthesis to Huang’s sequential reductive alkylation or Charette’s chemoselective reduction methods.[6–9] Our group has employed this activation mode, as a platform enabling ready access to highly reactive keteniminium ions, for the development of rearrangement-driven transformations, including α-arylation[10,11] and α-amination.[12] In combination with N-oxide reagents,[13] a conceptually different Umpolung approach enabled the nucleophilic α-incorporation of halides[14] and other heteroatoms into amides,[15] as well as the formation of lactams[16] and 1,4-dicarbonyls.[17]

In comparison to this plethora of methods for α-function-alisation,[10–16] accessing remote positions has remained largely an unexplored area in amide activation. Two examples of γ-amination were reported for conjugated acyloazo-lidinone (imide) Ti-enolates by Romea and Urpi (Scheme 1a). [19] A Se-catalysed approach for the synthesis of γ-alkoxy or γ-hydroxy-α,β-unsaturated carbonyl compounds was developed by Tiecco, although only one amide example was reported and an excess of ammonium persulfate as oxidising agent was required, limiting functional group tolerance (Scheme 1b).[20] TEMPO addition to ketenes was previously reported, including one sole example of γ-amino-xylation, albeit in low yield.[21a] γ-Hydroxylation of carbonyls, however not selective to amides, has also been achieved under copper catalysis.[21b] A general method for direct, chemoselective γ-oxidation of unsaturated amides has, however, not emerged yet. Our group has previously studied the interception of keteniminium ions in oxidative contexts beyond the use of N-oxides, namely involving the persistent radical TEMPO.[22,23] Herein, we present an approach to the chemoselective γ-oxidation of unsaturated amides—to the best of our knowledge, a rare instance of electrophilic amide activation as applied to unsaturated substrates—as well as the intriguing reactivity that is unlocked when this reactivity manifold is leveraged by single-electron processes.

We focused our attention on model β,γ-unsaturated amide 1a. Even though such substrates are rare features in the context of electrophilic amide activation, from the outset selective γ-oxidation with concomitant double-bond migration dominated the reactivity panorama. Further optimisation (see Supporting Information for details) showed that slightly more than two equivalents of TEMPO are required for efficient conversion. Equally relevant appears to be the

How to cite: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 19123–19127
International Edition: doi.org/10.1002/anie.202104023
German Edition: doi.org/10.1002/ange.202104023

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 19123–19127 © 2021 The Authors. Angewandte Chemie International Edition published by Wiley-VCH GmbH

Wiley Online Library
choice of workup, with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ being optimal and enabling the isolation of product 2a in 96% yield. It should be noted that substrate 1a is the readily available product of a simple deconjugative Knoevenagel condensation and subsequent amide formation (Scheme 2, see Supporting Information for details).

With optimised reaction conditions in hand, we explored the scope of this transformation, first evaluating possible substitution patterns in the carbon chain of the substrate (namely around the olefin, Scheme 3). Several alkyl substituents were tolerated at the terminal position, affording the desired products (2a, 2b, 2c) in good to excellent yields. A terminal olefin yields the corresponding product 2d in 63% yield. We were pleased to find that a β-allene was a competent substrate in this transformation and the α,β-γ-unsaturated amide 2e could be obtained in 55% yield. Amides bearing a second β-substituent could also be used (2h), though an α-methyl group led to sluggish reactivity (2f).

Scheme 2. Optimised γ-aminoxylaion of unsaturated amides.

Scheme 3. Scope of the γ-oxidation of unsaturated amides. [a] The reaction was conducted at 40°C for 4 hours.

**From bioactive compounds**

2aa 80% from Piperine
2ab 81% from Desipramine

**Limitations**

1o 7
1ac 3
1ad 11
Additionally, the reaction showed very good functional group tolerance. As is a common feature in electrophilic amide activation, other carbonyl functionalities such as an ester (2i), a ketone (2j), or a nitrile (2k) were unaffected as well as a halide (2l). Additional unsaturated moieties (2m and 2n) remained untouched under the reaction conditions. We then investigated different substituents on the amide nitrogen. Symmetrical (2p, 2q, 2r, 2s, 2t) as well as unsymmetrical amides (2u, 2v, 2w, 2x) were well tolerated. In the latter cases, no cyclisation products, potentially originating from radical addition to an olefin/cyclopropane opening could be detected. More elaborate substrates could also be γ-oxidised with ease (2g, 2y, 2z). The reaction also proved scalable: when one gram of 1a was employed, the product was obtained without erosion of the yield, establishing the robustness of this method. Similar results were obtained with a 100-fold scale increase. Neither a secondary amide (1o) nor an alkyne (1ac) were competent substrates, both reactions led to decomposition. The use of γ,γ-disubstituted precursor 1ad resulted in no reaction.

At this juncture, we turned our attention to exploring the reactivity of the newly prepared aminoxyl-amides (Scheme 4). While treatment of 2a with mCPBA afforded the ketone 3a in 78% yield as a single (E)-isomer, formation of the corresponding (Z)-isomer (albeit in modest yield) was observed when 2a was irradiated under O₂ atmosphere (Scheme 4a). Such 1,4-dicarbonyls have been reported to possess antimicrobial properties, and 3c is one specific example. Furthermore, we hypothesised that compounds 2 might be amenable to C=O homolytic cleavage to generate delocalised allylic radical intermediates. In that regard, isoprenyl-bearing amides appeared as the ideal substrates to explore the possible capture of a thermally generated radical through cyclisation (Scheme 4). Pleasingly, thermolysis of compound 2n at 150°C under microwave irradiation cleanly delivered product 4a in 83% yield (Scheme 4b-1). Interestingly, when compound 2u, bearing the isoprenyl substituent on the amide nitrogen, was employed, cyclisation occurred at the α-position, affording the γ-lactam 4b (Scheme 4b-2). This compound could also be accessed starting from amide 1u in a one-pot-two-step process with an enhancement of the overall yield. Noteworthy, these products appear to arise from 5-exo-trig cyclisation followed by an oxidative elimination, rather than atom-transfer. A subsequent competition experiment revealed that γ-lactam formation outcompetes cyclisation onto the side-chain, providing 4c as the sole product (Scheme 4b-3). It is likely that a combination of proximity to the electron-withdrawing carbonyl (rendering the radical more electrophilic) as well as the rigidity of the amide bond (increasing the availability of favourable conformations) are all beneficial factors in promoting these cyclisations. Intriguingly, when a monosubstituted olefin (2v) was utilised as an acceptor, an OTMP-transfer cyclisation product (4d) was obtained in 62% yield rather than the previously observed alkene (Scheme 4b-4).

When no suitable radical acceptor is present, as in the case of simple substrates like 2a (Scheme 4c), microwave thermolysis of 2a under 120°C for 1 h delivered the α,β-unsaturated amide 2d in 12.7% yield.
molysis at 120°C results in elimination, as shown by α,β-γ,δ-unsaturated amide II in 73% yield.

Mechanistically, we assume that attack of TEMPO radical on the keteniminium intermediate IC[22,23] generates a radical species II which rapidly recombines with a second equivalent of TEMPO at the distal γ-position to form intermediate III, suggesting a radical–radical cross-coupling controlled by the persistent radical effect.[27] Fragmentation thereof results in product IV and a ring-contraction iminium ion V.[23] It is noteworthy that the corresponding amine VI could be isolated after treatment of the crude reaction mixture with NaBH₄, in support of this proposal (Scheme 5).

In summary, we developed a chemoselective method for remote γ-oxidation of unsaturated amides through electrophilic activation under mild conditions. The obtained compounds open a large range of possible post-functionalisations, including not only further oxidation but most intriguingly the introduction of one-electron chemistry is bound to result in exciting avenues for further research.
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