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With the continuous development of coal and rock mass engineering, water inrush grouting has become an urgent problem in engineering disaster management. Herein, a theoretical model of the optimal plugging flow rate was established, and a comparative theoretical analysis was performed based on the results of indoor model tests. The particle incipient velocity was defined as the optimal plugging flow rate. The effects of hydrodynamic velocity, water-cement ratio, grouting pressure, and fracture aperture on the cement slurry grouting plugging were studied, and the optimal threshold of the plugging flow rate was obtained for theoretical model verification. Results showed that, at a high hydraulic gradient, the plugging effect of the grout was mainly affected by the hydrodynamic velocity, water-cement ratio, and grouting pressure (listed in the order of importance). When the hydrodynamic velocity was low, the difference in the slurry deposition thickness was large under different water–cement ratios and pipe diameters. When the hydrodynamic velocity was increased, the influence of various factors on the slurry deposition thickness decreased. Through a comparative analysis of the experimental and theoretical values, the optimal plugging velocity of pure cement slurry was 0.5–0.55 m·s⁻¹ under different conditions, and the error between the experimental and theoretical values was less than 0.1 m·s⁻¹, which confirmed the rationality of the proposed model.

1. Introduction

Under western developmental strategies, the numbers of urban subways, deep mines, cross-sea tunnels, and other projects have increased in China. The developers of coal and rock mass projects encounter complex geological structures, variable environments, and frequent disasters [1–5]. Water inrush disasters are the most frequent and damaging events in coal and rock mass engineering, accounting for more than half the total number of accidents occurring in this field [6–8]. Grouting is the main protective measure against water inrush disasters; however, traditional grouting approaches are ineffective against water inrush disasters with large flows and high hydrodynamic velocities. Under such conditions, the grout retention rate is extremely low. Intercepting the water passage will decelerate the flow, and grouting is performed to improve the blocking effect. However, when the flow-drop rate is small, the hydrodynamic velocity remains high, the slurry retention rate remains low, and the grouting effect remains poor. Conversely, when the flow-drop rate is large, the hydrodynamic velocity is significantly reduced; however, the excessive amount of materials causes slurry sedimentation. By studying the grouting plugging law of cement slurry under high-hydraulic-gradient conditions, we can provide guidance for disaster prevention and mitigation in grouting water plugging engineering.

To this end, many researchers have investigated grouting plugging against large flow rates of dynamic water. Using theoretical analyses and laboratory experiments, Li et al. [9] studied the flow control and grouting blocking mechanism of gushing karst pipeline water with large flows and proposed a complete set of treatment methods under this condition. Niu [10] established a three-dimensional fracture model of large-flow and high-hydraulic-gradient
groundwater using a fluent numerical simulation software. Based on the time-varying viscosity of the slurry, the author deduced the migration law and diffusion of the slurry in a fracture channel and the changes in the pressure and velocity fields. Li et al. [11] characterized the time-varying characteristics of slurry viscosity using the Sequential Diffusion and Solidification (SDS) method and verified the simulation results in laboratory tests of pipeline-type dynamic water grouting. In SDS simulations of grout plugging in large-flow and high-hydraulic-gradient moving water, the mechanism of flow control and speed reduction using dual-hole-combined grouting was revealed, providing a theoretical basis for engineering projects [11]. Liu and Huang [12, 13] numerically simulated the erosion-resisting characteristics of a new cement paste. Using the Bingham model, they controlled the grouting and water flow rates and studied the antisilting ability of the paste. Zhang et al. [14] experimentally investigated porous aggregate infusions in a mine submerged beneath a roadway. By combining the results of an indoor experiment with theory, they established a visual pipeline-aggregate infusion test platform for analyzing the hydrodynamic velocity and the influence of different factors on aggregate plugging. Aggregate interception and water shutoff were mainly affected by the hydrodynamic velocity and aggregate particle sizes. From these results, Zhang et al. [14] derived the law of aggregate sedimentary migration and accumulation. Li et al. [15] developed a grouting-model test bed that visualizes fracture developments under a dynamic water supply. They examined water inrush through a fractured rock mass, clarified the layered and partitioned diffusion mechanism of cement slurry, and obtained a U-shaped diffusion of the slurry and the sectionalized and layered diffusion mechanism of grout. Chang [16] established a multipipe water-gushing flow model and analyzed the mutual influence of the slurry type and pipeline on the grouting of multiple pipes. Combining numerical simulations and laboratory experiments, Chang [16] proposed a grouting treatment method that “controlled the flow rate at the gushing point + provided preferential treatment for branch pipelines + combined grouting and blocking for main pipelines.” Zhang et al. [17] proposed a stepwise calculation method for describing the grouting process according to pressure and flow control conditions and analyzed the pressure distribution in the grouted zone using case studies. Du et al. [18] performed a series of experiments to show the sealing efficiency mechanism of cement grouting in tortuous fractures with flowing water and verified it using engineering applications. Liang et al. [19] reported a critical inclined angle (12.4°) that differentiates the grout patterns into two types, namely, the gel deposition grout pattern and erosion dispersion grout pattern. When the inclination angle was greater than the critical inclination angle, grouting could not stop the water and sand flow. Some researchers [20–23] have numerically analyzed the diffusion law of cement grout in rock mass fractures and the influences of fracture aperture and grout properties on rock mass grouting.

In summary, grouting under high hydraulic slope is quite different from the traditional fracture grouting. The retention rate of grout in this state is much lower than that of low-velocity fracture grouting; therefore, it is generally necessary to first use aggregate infusion to form a water-blocking section and then reduce the flow rate before grouting [24–26]. However, the hydrodynamic velocity, when the aggregate is poured, and optimal grouting effect (here, defined as the optimal plugging effect of the hydrodynamic velocity) have not been investigated. Additionally, current studies on grout plugging have typically focused on stagnant or low-velocity fissure water [24, 27–31]. The grouting plugging law in coal rock mass fractured owing to high-hydraulic-gradient water has not been considerably investigated. To fill this gap, in this study, an indoor test of grout plugging was performed under high-hydraulic-gradient conditions, and a theoretical model was established to explore the grouting plugging law of cement grout under different working conditions (water-cement ratio, fracture aperture, and hydrodynamic velocity). By optimizing the plugging threshold of the hydrodynamic velocity and addressing the unanswered questions in this field, we provide important guidance for elucidating the grout diffusion mechanism and targeted prevention. The presented result provides a future exploration path and will beneficially supplement the grouting plugging system under high hydraulic slopes.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Model of Optimal Plugging Flow Rate. In slurry transportation, the minimum hydrodynamic velocity at which particles leave a crack beneath the flow is called the incipient [32–38] or accumulation velocity. At the incipient velocity, particles start to move by rolling and migration. When the hydrodynamic velocity exceeds the incipient velocity, the particles cannot remain in the cracks. When the hydrodynamic velocity exceeds the starting flow rate under given conditions, grouting is an ineffective solution for cement slurry crack plugging (Figure 1). Therefore, the incipient velocity of the cement particles was used as the optimal plugging flow rate in this research.

2.1.1. Basic Hypothesis. To optimize the plugging flow rate of the cement slurry, the following assumptions were made:

1. The water flow in the fracture is turbulent (Reynolds number (Re) ≥ 4000)
2. The slurry comprises discrete particles at a high hydraulic gradient
3. The slurry is in the initial injection state, and its viscosity is constant
4. The cement particles are spherical, and their diameters are assumed to be the average particle size

2.1.2. Initiation Conditions of a Single Cement Particle. Initially, the stationary cement particles begin to move along the bottom of the fissure. They are mainly affected by the drag force $F_D$ of the water flow, upward force $F_u$ of the water flow, effective gravity $W$, and bonding force $N$ between the particles, which are, respectively, expressed as
Flow, and the probability of cement particles is expressed as follows:

\[ L \text{— therefore, as the motion-start criterion, the particle-starting simultaneously initiate the moving state of all particles [28].} \]

Whether the stationary particles start to move under a water flow depends on their position and exposure to the flow rate; consequently, no water flow condition can be ignored. \( \Phi \) is the normal distribution function (Table 1), and the probability of sliding in the counter-current direction is extremely small, the second term \( \varnothing (\cdot) \) of the normal distribution function can be ignored. Thus, equation (8) can be expressed as

\[ \varepsilon = 1 - \Phi \frac{u_0 - \bar{u}_1}{\sigma_1} - \Phi \frac{-u_0 - \bar{u}_1}{\sigma_1} = 1 - \Phi \frac{u_0 - \bar{u}_1}{\sigma_1} \]

where \( \bar{u}_1 \) is the time-averaged velocity at the bottom of the flow, and \( \sigma_1 \) is its mean square error.

**Figure 1:** Schematic of the incipient motion of cement particles.

**Equation (1):**

\[ F_D = \frac{1}{8} C_D \pi d^2 \rho u^2 \]

**Equation (2):**

\[ F_L = \frac{1}{8} C_L \pi d^2 \rho u^2 \]

**Equation (3):**

\[ W = \frac{\pi}{6} d^3 (\rho_p - \rho_g) g \]

**Equation (4):**

\[ N = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \sqrt{\frac{q_0 \delta_0^3 d}{\rho}} \left( \frac{1}{t^2} - \frac{1}{\delta_1^2} \right) \left( 3 - \frac{t}{\delta_1} \right) \]

where \( C_D \) and \( C_L \) are the coefficients of the drag and uplifting forces, respectively (here, they are set to 0.4 and 0.1, respectively), \( u \) is the instantaneous hydrodynamic velocity at the bottom of the water flow when the particles are entrained, \( \rho_p \) and \( \rho_g \) are the densities of cement and water, respectively, \( d \) is the diameter of the cement particles, \( \delta_1 \) is the thickness of a water molecule (generally considered as \( 3 \times 10^{-10} \) m), \( \delta_0 \) is the water thickness of the film \( (4 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}) \) [39], \( t \) is the interparticle distance (generally set to \( 0.25 \delta_1 \)), and \( q_0 \) is the binding force of the particles per unit area at \( t = \delta_0 \) (here, it is set to \( 1.3 \times 10^6 \text{ kg/m}^2 \)).

By setting point \( O \) as the center of rotation (Figure 2) and \( \sum M = 0 \), the critical equation at which the cement particles start moving is expressed

\[ F_D K_1 d + F_L K_2 d = W K_3 d + N K_4 d \]

where \( K_1, K_2, K_3, \) and \( K_4 \) are the arms of (force) \( F_D \), \( F_L \), \( W \), and \( N \), respectively, with \( K_1 = K_2 = K_3 = K_4 = 1 \).

Substituting equations (1)–(4) in (5), we obtain

\[ \frac{1}{8} C_D \pi d^2 \rho u_0^2 + \frac{1}{8} C_L \pi d^2 \rho u_0^2 = \frac{\pi}{6} d^3 (\rho_p - \rho_g) g + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{q_0 \delta_0^3 d}{\rho}} \left( \frac{1}{t^2} - \frac{1}{\delta_1^2} \right) \left( 3 - \frac{t}{\delta_1} \right) \]

The first movement of the cement particles is a random phenomenon. Whether the stationary particles start to move under a water flow depends on their position and exposure to the flow rate; consequently, no water flow condition can simultaneously initiate the moving state of all particles [28]. Therefore, as the motion-start criterion, the particle-starting probability of the cement particles is expressed as follows:

\[ \varepsilon = P(u_1^2 \geq u_0^2) \]



**Douv [40] considered a pulsating water flow but did not consider the impact of particle size and position on the incipient velocity and divided the particle starting probability criteria into weak movements, moderate movements, and general movements. The corresponding starting probabilities are, respectively, weak movement, \( \varepsilon_1 = 0.0014 \); moderate movement, \( \varepsilon_2 = 0.0228 \); general movement, \( \varepsilon_3 = 0.01585 \). In this paper, the starting probability corresponding to the general motion is used as the starting probability of cement particles to obtain

\[ 1 - \Phi \left( \frac{u_0 - \bar{u}_1}{\sigma_1} \right) = 0.01585 \]

From the numerical table of the normal distribution function (Table 1),

\[ \frac{u_0 - \bar{u}_1}{\sigma_1} = 1 \]

Substituting equation (10) into equation (12),
By substituting equation (7) into equation (13) and after considering the starting probability, the near-bottom starting flow rate is

$$u_1 = \frac{u_0}{1.37} = 0.730u_0, \quad \varepsilon = 0.01585. \quad (13)$$

Because the near-bottom velocity is difficult to determine, it is replaced by the average vertical velocity $u_2$.

The particle velocities follow an exponential distribution:

$$u = u_m\left(1 - \frac{y}{h}\right)^m, \quad (15)$$

where $u_m$ is the water hydrodynamic velocity at $y = h/2$. Here, $h$ is the fracture aperture, and $u$ is the hydrodynamic velocity at distance $y$ from the bottom of the channel. The average vertical velocity is expressed as

$$u_2 = \frac{u_m}{h} \int_0^h \left(1 - \frac{y}{h}\right)^m dy = \frac{u_m}{1 + m}. \quad (16)$$

2.1.3. Optimal Plugging Flow Rate. Cement particles usually start to move in groups. To explain this phenomenon, the particle size is corrected, and the incipient velocity of a single particle becomes the incipient velocity of a particle group with diameter $D = d + f(d)$. In this expression, $d$ is the characteristic size of the cement particles, and $f(d)$ increases the particle size by an amount $f(d) = adn^x$ [41], where $a$ is the proportionality relation between the additional and characteristic particle...
sizes. The number of internal particles per unit volume \( n \) is related to the concentration of the cement slurry, and the exponent \( x \) relates the additional particle size to the number of particles (here, we set \( a = 0.006 \) and \( x = 0.335 \)).

\[
\bar{u}_g = \frac{1}{(1 + m)(1 - \frac{D}{h})^{\frac{m}{3}}} \left[ \frac{1}{\rho(C_L + C_D)} \right]^{1/2} \left[ 4D(\rho_p - \rho_g) g \left( \frac{2\sqrt{3} \pi \rho_p \delta_0 \left( (1/\delta_1) - (1/\delta_1^2) \right) (3 - t/\delta_1) \right) \right]^{1/2}.
\]  

**2.2. Test of the Plugging Law of Cement Slurry at High Hydraulic Gradient**

**2.2.1. Test System.** Herein, the plugging law of cement slurry is investigated under large-flow and high-hydraulic-gradient conditions. Experiments are performed in a self-developed adjustable dynamic water grouting test system. The plugging effect is observed at different water-cement ratios, grouting pressures, pipeline diameters, and other factors, thus providing theoretical support for grouting treatments of water inrush disasters. The test system is divided into five parts: a pipeline fracture simulation system, grouting control system, dynamic water simulation system, data acquisition system, and test monitoring system (Figure 3).

The pipeline fracture simulation system comprises two main parts: a plexiglass pipeline and pipeline bracket (Figure 4). The plexiglass pipe is composed of poly(methyl methacrylate), a lightweight, easily processed material with high transparency and mechanical strength. To ensure visibility, single pipes are connected through plexiglass flanges. The entire pipeline is placed on the pipeline bracket located 16 cm from the desktop. The grouting speed and flow are controlled using a modified hand-operated grouting pump, which is particularly suitable for small pressure control.

During the test, the hydrodynamic velocity is adjusted through the combined actions of the water pump, ball valve, and electromagnetic flowmeter. The electromagnetic flowmeter and pressure transmitter monitored the flow rate and pressure change of the water, respectively, flowing through the pipeline in real time and imported the results to a paperless recorder. The recording interval is one second. Table 2 presents the data acquisition parameters.

**2.2.2. Test Scheme**

(1) **Orthogonal Test Scheme.** The main test parameters are the pipeline diameter, hydrodynamic velocity, grouting pressure, and single-liquid water-cement ratio. The grout plugging effect against rock mass fractures is assessed based on the slurry deposition thickness and reverse diffusion distance. The experimental scheme (Table 3) is designed using orthogonal experimental theory.

(2) **Test Scheme for Optimizing the Blocking Flow Rate.** The test variables are the pipeline diameter, water-cement ratio, and hydrodynamic velocity. The water-cement ratio is varied at 0.8:1, 1:1, and 1.5:1, which are typical ratios in grouting engineering. The pipeline diameter is varied at 50 and 70 mm. The hydrodynamic velocity is initially set to 0.1 m·s\(^{-1}\) and increased to 0.55 m·s\(^{-1}\) at 0.05 m·s\(^{-1}\) intervals. The grouting pressure is fixed. At the optimal plugging flow rate, the complete dispersion of the slurry in the pipeline is expected. In total, this comprehensive test method covers 54 test sets.

**3. Results and Discussion**

**3.1. Orthogonal Test Analysis**

3.1.1. **Analysis of Slurry Deposition Thickness.** The slurry deposition thickness is the most intuitive manifestation of the grouting effect in blocking rock mass fractures. Once the cement slurry is injected into the cracks of the rock mass, the strong bonding forces between the particles resist erosion owing to dynamic water. As the slurry continues to sediment and consolidate, the cross section of the water decreases, and the water flow is eventually blocked. The thickness of the slurry deposition depends on the pipeline diameter, hydrodynamic velocity, slurry water-cement ratio, grouting pressure, and other factors. Figure 5 shows the measured thicknesses of the slurry deposition.

The data are analyzed using an intuitive analysis method that reveals the primary and secondary relations between the various factors and slurry deposition thickness. The analysis steps are as follows:

(1) Add the test results under the conditions of various factors, and define them as \( K_i \)

(2) Take an average of \( K_i \), and define it as \( k_i \)

(3) Calculate the range (the difference between the maximum and minimum values)

Tables 4 and 5 present the results of the 70 and 50 mm diameter pipes, respectively.

In the pipes of both diameters, the most important parameter is the hydrodynamic velocity, followed by the water-cement ratio and grouting pressure. Figure 6 shows the influence of various factors on the retained slurry thickness.

Each factor analyzed in Figure 6 is obviously correlated with the retained slurry thickness. The trends are detailed below:

(1) In the 50 mm diameter pipe, the average deposition thickness decreases by 25% when the hydrodynamic
velocity is increased from 0.15 to 0.25 m·s\(^{-1}\). The average deposition thickness further decreases by 11% when hydrodynamic velocity is increased from 0.25 to 0.35 m·s\(^{-1}\). In the 70 mm diameter pipe, the sedimentation thickness decreased by 17% when the hydrodynamic velocity is increased from 0.15 to 0.35 m·s\(^{-1}\).
It further decreased by 15% when the hydrodynamic velocity is increased from 0.25 to 0.35 m·s\(^{-1}\). The slurry deposition thickness is significantly negatively correlated with the hydrodynamic velocity.

(2) The thickness of the slurry deposition also decreases with an increase in the water-cement ratio. Increasing the water-cement ratio reduces the concentration of the solid phase; consequently, the plastic viscosity and ultimate shear force of the slurry decrease, thus significantly reducing the contact force between the slurry and pipeline and enhancing the scouring effect of the moving water.

(3) Under the same hydrodynamic velocity, reducing the pipe diameter increases the slurry deposition thickness, and the pipe is easily blocked. Conversely, in the 70 mm diameter pipe, a large surface area of the deposited slurry is washed by the dynamic water flow, intensifying the turbulent state of the dynamic water and inhibiting the deposition and aggregation of cement particles.
Although increasing the grouting pressure increases the grout deposition thickness, the extremum of the grouting pressure is considerably smaller than those of the other factors (Tables 3 and 4), implying that the grouting pressure exerts a weak effect on the thickness of slurry deposition.

3.1.2. Analysis of the Reverse Diffusion Distance. The reverse diffusion distance of the grout largely affects the effectiveness of grouting in rock mass fractures and reflects the anti-scouring ability of the grout. Figure 7 shows the reverse diffusion distances of the slurry measured through the pipes. Both ends of the ruler are fixed.

The influences of the above factors on the backwater diffusion distance are determined using the range analysis method, and the results are shown in Tables 6 and 7. Based on Tables 5 and 6, in the pipes of both diameters, the reverse diffusion distance is most strongly influenced by the hydrodynamic velocity, followed by the water-cement ratio, and then by the grouting pressure. Figure 8 shows the influence of various factors on the reverse diffusion distance. The trends of the influencing factors on the reverse diffusion distance of the slurry are described as follows:

1. The reverse diffusion distance of the slurry is negatively related to the hydrodynamic velocity of the water. This result is expected because flowing water counteracts the reverse diffusion. As the water flow rate increases, the resistance of the slurry increases; thus, the reverse diffusion distance decreases.

2. The grouting pressure is positively correlated with the reverse diffusion distance of the slurry. The kinetic energy of the slurry in the pipeline is provided by the grouting pressure. When the grouting pressure is increased, the kinetic energy of the slurry increases accordingly, enhancing the resistance to water flow and increasing the reverse diffusion distance of the slurry.

3. The maximum reverse diffusion distance of the slurry is obtained at a water-cement ratio of 1:1. When the water-cement ratio is changed to 0.8:1, the solid-phase concentration of the slurry is increased, and the friction between the slurry and pipe wall becomes large. The strong antierosion ability increases the reverse diffusion distance of the slurry. When the water-cement ratio is 1.5:1, the spreading and dispersing ability of the slurry is weakened; hence, the cement particles are insufficiently agglomerated and passively washed away by water. Consequently, the reverse diffusion distance of the slurry decreases.

3.2. Experimental Analysis and Theoretical Model Verification of Optimal Plugging Flow Rate

3.2.1. Analysis of Optimal Plugging Flow Rate. In the orthogonal test, the hydrodynamic velocity of the water has the highest impact on the cement slurry deposition thickness. The greater the hydrodynamic velocity, the smaller the slurry deposition thickness. At some threshold of the hydrodynamic velocity, the cement slurry cannot deposit on the bottom of the fracture. This threshold flow rate is the optimal plugging flow rate. When the fluid velocity in the fracture exceeds the optimal plugging velocity, the grouting process is useless. Figure 9 shows the test results.

As observed in Figure 10, when the hydrodynamic velocity is small, the slurry deposition thickness is more affected by the water-cement ratio compared with the case when the hydrodynamic velocity is large. Increasing the hydrodynamic velocity reduces the influence of the water-cement ratio on the slurry deposition thickness. At a hydrodynamic velocity of 0.2 m·s⁻¹, the slurry deposition thickness differs by 6 and 9 mm between the water-cement ratios of 0.8 and 1.0, and 1.0 and 1.5, respectively. When the hydrodynamic velocity is increased to 0.3 m·s⁻¹, these differences decrease to 4 and 6 mm, respectively, and when the hydrodynamic velocity is further increased to 0.4 m·s⁻¹, these differences reduce to 3 and 5 mm, respectively. At low
flow rates, the scouring effect of water flow on the slurry is weak, and the slurry deposition thickness is mainly affected by the water-cement ratio. Increasing the dynamic water velocity increases the pulsation between the water molecules, and the main controlling factor becomes the hydrodynamic velocity, not the water-cement ratio. The impact of other factors on the slurry deposition thickness decreases. Based on the test results in the 50 mm diameter pipe, the optimal plugging flow rate (at which the slurry deposition thickness reduces to zero) is achieved at hydrodynamic velocities of 0.55, 0.55, and 0.50 m·s⁻¹ with the slurries exhibiting water-cement ratios of 0.8, 1.0, and 1.5, respectively.

When the hydrodynamic velocity is low, increasing the pipe diameter reduces the thickness of the accumulation layer (Figure 7). However, when the flow rate approaches the optimal plugging flow rate, the accumulation layer thickness in the pipes of both diameters is more similar, indicating that as the water speed is increased, the slurry deposition thickness is less affected by the pipe diameter. At a water-cement ratio of 1.0, the optimal plugging flow rates of the slurry in the 50 and 70 mm diameter pipes are 0.50 and 0.55 m·s⁻¹, respectively.

In summary, the water-cement ratio and pipe diameter have little effect on the optimal plugging flow rate of the cement slurry. The optimal plugging flow rate ranges from 0.50 to 0.55 m·s⁻¹ depending on the working conditions.

### 3.2.2. Comparison and Verification of Theoretical Models

The working conditions and material parameters of the test are provided as inputs to the optimal plugging flow rate model, and the results are compared with those of the indoor test for model validation. In the 50 mm diameter pipe, the optimal plugging flow rates at the water-cement ratios of 0.8, 1.0, and 1.5 are 0.55, 0.55, and 0.5 m·s⁻¹, respectively, in the experiment, and 0.48, 0.46, and 0.44 m·s⁻¹, respectively, in the model (Figure 11). The errors between the theoretical and experimental results are less than 0.1 m·s⁻¹. The theoretical values tend to be smaller than the experimental results, and the error is reduced in pipes with a smaller diameter.

The error between the theoretical and experimental results is attributed to three causes: ① the theory is based on certain assumptions that do not exactly reflect the actual situation, e.g., ignoring the lubrication effect of water on the particles and the influence of particle shape on the optimal plugging flow rate; ② deviations inevitably arise from indoor test conditions, such as water pressure control,
plexiglass tube roughness, and other factors; and observation errors are introduced by the limitation of the sensor-discrimination ability and technical proficiency of the testers. However, the theoretical and experimental values are highly consistent, verifying the rationality of the optimal plugging flow rate model.
4. Conclusions

The optimal plugging flow rate of the cement slurry is modeled using the particle motion-start theory, and the plugging law of the cement slurry at high flow rates is tested in a self-developed and adjustable dynamic water grouting test system. The theoretical model is verified by comparing the theoretical and experimental results. The findings of this study are summarized:

(1) In the optimal plugging flow rate model, the flow rate under which the cement particles started moving is defined as the optimal plugging flow rate. The proposed model provides theoretical guidance for cement slurry grouting and plugging under different conditions (fracture aperture and water-cement ratio) that affect the optimal plugging flow rate.

(2) An orthogonal test of the cement slurry deposition law is performed under a high hydrodynamic velocity. By selecting the slurry deposition thickness and reverse diffusion distance as evaluation indexes, the primary and secondary relations between the factors affecting each evaluation index are obtained in a range analysis.

(3) In an indoor test of the optimal plugging flow rate, the dependence of the slurry deposition thickness on the hydrodynamic velocity is determined in pipes with different diameters and for slurries with different water-cement ratios. When the hydrodynamic velocity is small, the slurry deposition thickness largely depends on the water-cement ratio and pipe diameter. When the flow rate of the dynamic water is increased, the influence of these factors on the slurry deposition thickness decreases.

(4) In the indoor test, the optimal plugging flow rate of the pure cement slurry under different working conditions is in the range of 0.50–0.55 m·s$^{-1}$. The theoretical values agreed with the experimental values (with errors of 0.1 m·s$^{-1}$ or less), thus verifying the rationality of the model.
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