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Abstract
We derive exact tail asymptotics of sojourn time above the level \( u \geq 0 \)
\[
\mathbb{P}
\left(
  v(u) \int_0^T \mathbb{I}(X(t) - ct > u) dt > x
\right), \quad x \geq 0,
\]
as \( u \to \infty \), where \( X \) is a Gaussian process with continuous sample paths, \( c \) is some constant, \( v(u) \) is a positive function of \( u \) and \( T \in (0, \infty] \). Additionally, we analyze asymptotic distributional properties of
\[
\tau_u(x) := \inf \left\{ t \geq 0 : v(u) \int_0^t \mathbb{I}(X(s) - cs > u) ds > x \right\}, \quad x \geq 0,
\]
as \( u \to \infty \), where \( \inf \emptyset = \infty \). The findings of this contribution are illustrated by a detailed analysis of a class of Gaussian processes with stationary increments and a family of self-similar Gaussian processes.
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1 Introduction

Let $Y(t), t \in \mathbb{R}$ be a centered random process with càdlàg sample paths and let for $T > 0$

$$\int_0^T \mathbb{I}_u(Y(t)) dt$$

be the sojourn time of $Y$ above the level $u \in \mathbb{R}$ in interval $[0, T]$, where $\mathbb{I}_u(x) = \mathbb{I}(x > u)$. The asymptotic properties of (1), as $u \to \infty$ for $Y$ being a centered Gaussian process, have been extensively studied by Berman, see e.g., [1–4]. An explicit form of the distribution of (1) is known only for very few special processes. In particular, for $Y = B_1$ being a standard Brownian motion, by the arcsin law of Paul Lévy, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\int_0^T \mathbb{I}_0(B_1(t)) dt > x\right) = 1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin\left(\sqrt{\frac{x}{T}}\right), \quad 0 < x < T < \infty.$$  (2)

An extension of this arcsin law is obtained in [5] for the case of $Y(t) = B_1(t) - ct, c \neq 0$. For the infinite-time horizon, i.e., $T = \infty$ and $c > 0$, in view of [6, Eq. (3), p. 255]

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\int_0^\infty \mathbb{I}_0(B_1(t) - ct) dt \in dy\right) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{2c}}{\sqrt{\pi}y} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2c}} - \frac{c^2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\sqrt{2c}/\sqrt{\pi}}^\infty e^{-v^2} dv\right) dy, \quad y \geq 0,$$

which implies that for any $x \geq 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\int_0^\infty \mathbb{I}_0(B_1(t) - ct) dt > x\right) = 2(1 + c^2 x) \Psi(c \sqrt{x}) - \frac{c \sqrt{2x}}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2c}},$$  (3)

where $\Psi$ denotes the tail distribution of a standard normal random variable $N(0, 1)$.

The above result can be extended to the class of spectrally negative Lévy processes with a negative drift and a general level $u$. Indeed, let $X$ be a spectrally negative Lévy process. Then, for any nonnegative $\lambda$

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{\lambda X(t)}\right\} = e^{t \psi(\lambda)}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

with $\psi$ being a strictly convex function such that $\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \psi(\lambda) = \infty$ and $\psi'(0+) = \mathbb{E}\{X(1)\}$.

**Theorem 1.1** Suppose that $X(t), t \geq 0$ is a spectrally negative Lévy process such that $\mathbb{E}\{X(1)\} < c$ for some $c > 0$. Then, for any $u \geq 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\int_0^\infty \mathbb{I}_u(X(t) - ct) dt > x\right) = e^{-\alpha u} \mathbb{P}\left(\int_0^\infty \mathbb{I}_0(X(t) - ct) dt > x\right),$$  (4)

where $\alpha > 0$ is the unique positive solution to $\psi(\alpha) = c\alpha$.  
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In the particular case that $X = B_1$, we have $\mathbb{E}\{B_1(1)\} = 0 < c$ and further \( \psi(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha^2}{2} = c\alpha \) has the unique positive solution $\alpha = 2c$. Consequently, for any $x \geq 0$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$, Theorem 1.1 combined with (3) implies

$$
\mathbb{P}\left( \int_0^\infty \mathbb{I}_u(B_1(t) - ct)dt > x \right) = \left( 2(1 + c^2x)\psi(c\sqrt{x}) - \frac{c\sqrt{2x}}{\sqrt{\pi}}e^{-\frac{c^2x}{2}} \right)e^{-2cu}.
$$

(5)

The study of distributional properties of occupation-type functionals for Lévy processes is crucial for many applications in finance and insurance (e.g., the occupation time in red or the inverse occupation time—the time of cumulative Parisian ruin), see for instance [5,7–10]. The number of papers dealing with occupation times (sojourn times) is huge; most of the articles discuss the derivation of Laplace transform, see the recent contributions [11–14] and references therein. Recent paper [10] derives the density of occupation time for spectrally negative Lévy processes with exponential time horizon.

In this paper, we shall focus on analogs of Theorem 1.1 for a wide class of Gaussian processes. Since the distribution of (1) is not tractable in the general Gaussian case, our investigation is concerned with the derivation of the exact asymptotic behavior of

$$
p_T(u, x) := \mathbb{P}\left( v(u) \int_0^T \mathbb{I}_u(X(t) - ct)dt > x \right), \quad x \geq 0
$$

(6)
as $u \to \infty$, where $X$ is a Gaussian process with continuous sample paths, $v(u), u > 0$ is a positive function (will be specified below) and $T \in (0, \infty]$. In order to avoid trivialities, we suppose that $c > 0$ if $T = \infty$, while for $T < \infty$, we allow $c \in \mathbb{R}$. In contrast to the classical results for centered Gaussian processes $X$ by Berman, see e.g., [1–4], where the asymptotics of $\mathbb{P}\left( v(u) \int_0^T \mathbb{I}_u(X(t))dt > x \right)$ as $u \to \infty$ is given for a.e. $x \geq 0$, an important advantage of the technique used in this contribution allowed us to show that the asymptotics of (6) hold for all $x \geq 0$. This is due to continuity of Berman-type constant which is proven in Lemma 4.1.

Additionally, motivated by recent investigations on the ruin time, see e.g., [15,16], we shall analyze asymptotic distributional properties of

$$
\tau_u(x) := \inf\left\{ t \geq 0 : v(u) \int_0^t \mathbb{I}_u(X(s) - cs)ds > x \right\},
$$

(7)
as $u \to \infty$, $x \geq 0$, with the convention that $\inf\emptyset = \infty$. Note that $\tau_u(x)$ is called the cumulative Parisian ruin time in [9], which is of interest in risk theory; $\tau_u(0)$ is the first passage time of the level $u$ by the process $X(t) - ct$, which is referred to as the ruin time in [15]. In Sect. 3, a distributional approximation, as $u \to \infty$, of $\tau_u^*(x_1, x_2)$ defined by

$$
\tau_u^*(x_1, x_2) := \tau_u(x_2) \mid \tau_u(x_1) < \infty, \quad 0 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 < \infty
$$

(8)
is derived.

Brief outline of the paper: In Sect. 2, we derive an exact approximation of sojourn times for general Gaussian processes. In Sect. 3, we apply this result to (6), for $X$ being a centered Gaussian process with stationary increments and a self-similar Gaussian process. Both scenarios $T \in (0, \infty)$ and $T = \infty$ are considered. Section 4 contains some lemmas that are useful in the proofs of the main results, while the proofs are presented in Sect. 5.

2 Main Result

In this section, we provide a general result preparing us to derive exact asymptotics of (6) for a wide class of centered Gaussian process $X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}$ with continuous trajectories. In order to motivate the study of this section, write first for $u > 0$, $x \geq 0$, $c > 0$ and $v(u)$ representing an arbitrary positive function

$$p_{\infty}(u, x) := \mathbb{P} \left( v(u) \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{I}_{u}(X(t) - ct)dt > x \right) = \mathbb{P} \left( v(u)u \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{I}_{0}(X(ut) - cut - u)dt > x \right) = \mathbb{P} \left( v(u)u \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{I}_{M(u)} \left( \frac{X(ut)}{u(1 + ct)}M(u) \right) dt > x \right), \quad (9)$$

where $M(u) = \inf_{t \in [0, \infty)} \frac{u(1 + ct)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(X(ut))}}$ is assumed to be positive. Then, $\frac{X(ut)}{u(1 + ct)}M(u)$ is a Gaussian process with mean 0 and $\sup_{t \geq 0} \text{Var} \left( \frac{X(ut)}{u(1 + ct)}M(u) \right) = 1$. As it is proven in Sect. 5, for $\delta_u$, a properly chosen function of $u$ with $\lim_{u \to \infty} \delta_u = 0$, and $t_u = \arg \inf_{t \geq 0} \frac{u(1 + ct)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(X(ut))}}$ for $x \geq 0$, we have

$$p_{\infty}(u, x) \sim \mathbb{P} \left( v(u)u \int_{[t_u - \delta_u, t_u + \delta_u]} \mathbb{I}_{M(u)} \left( \frac{X(ut)}{u(1 + ct)}M(u) \right) dt > x \right) \quad (10)$$

A similar transformation for $p_T(u, x), T \in (0, \infty)$ shows that in general, the problem to deal with can be reduced to

$$p_T(u, x) \sim \mathbb{P} \left( \int_{E(u)} \mathbb{I}_{n(u)}(Z_u(t))dt > x \right), \quad u \to \infty,$$

where $x \geq 0$, $n(u)$ is a function of $u$ and $Z_u, u > 0$ is a family of centered Gaussian processes with continuous trajectories defined on the interval $E(u) = [a_1(u), a_2(u)]$.

In the rest of this paper, we shall impose some standard assumptions on the behavior of the variance function $\sigma_u$ and the correlation function $r_u$ of $Z_u$. In particular, we shall assume that

\[ \square \] Springer
\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{t \neq 0, t \in E(u)} \left| \frac{1 - \sigma_u(t)}{w(g(u)|t|)} - 1 \right| = 0, \tag{11}
\]

where \( w \) is a positive regularly varying function at 0 with index \( \beta > 0 \), and \( g(u) \) satisfies \( \lim_{u \to \infty} g(u) = 0 \).

In the following, \( \Delta(u), n(u), u > 0 \) are positive functions such that

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} \Delta(u) = \varphi \in [0, \infty], \quad \lim_{u \to \infty} n(u) = \infty. \tag{12}
\]

For the correlation function of \( Z_u \), we shall assume that for \( \Delta(u) \) satisfying (12), we have

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{s \neq t, s, t \in E(u)} \left| \frac{n^2(u) (1 - r_u(s, t))}{\frac{\sigma^2_u(\Delta(u)|t-s|)}{\sigma^2_u(\Delta(u))}} - 1 \right| = 0, \tag{13}
\]

where \( \eta(t), t \in \mathbb{R} \) is a centered Gaussian process with continuous trajectories, stationary increments and variance function \( \sigma^2(t) > 0, t > 0 \), being regularly varying at 0 and at \( \infty \) with indexes \( 2\alpha_0 \in (0, 2] \) and \( 2\alpha_\infty \in (0, 2) \), respectively.

Assumptions (11) and (13) are satisfied for large classes of Gaussian processes, see e.g., [17–20]. For example, they are compatible with those in Theorem 3.2 in [21].

Next, for any \( \varphi \in [0, \infty] \), set

\[
\eta_\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} 
B_{2\alpha_0}(t), & \varphi = 0 \\
\eta(\varphi t) / \sigma_\varphi(t), & \varphi \in (0, \infty) \\
B_{2\alpha_\infty}(t), & \varphi = \infty,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( B_{\alpha} \) is a fractional Brownian motion (fBm) with self-similarity index \( \alpha/2 \in (0, 1] \) and process \( \eta \) is defined above.

For a random process \( W(t), t \in \mathbb{R} \) with continuous trajectories, \( x \geq 0, E \), a compact subset of \( \mathbb{R} \) and \( h \), a continuous function on \( E \), we define

\[
\mathcal{B}^h_W(x, E) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P} \left( \int_E \mathbb{I}_0(\sqrt{2}W(t) - Var(W(t)) - h(t) + z)dt > x \right) e^{-z}dz
\]

and when the limit exists, we set

\[
\mathcal{B}^h_W(x) = \lim_{S \to \infty} \frac{\mathcal{B}^h_W(x, [0, S])}{S^{1(h=0)}}.
\]

Moreover, set below

\[
\mathcal{B}^h_{B_{\alpha}}(x) = \lim_{S \to \infty} \mathcal{B}^h_{B_{\alpha}}(x, [-S, S]),
\]
provided that the above limit is finite. For \( h = 0 \), we suppress the superscript and write \( \mathcal{B}_W(x) \) or \( \mathcal{B}_W(x, E) \). If \( W = B^e \) with \( B^e \) fractional Brownian motion, then \( \mathcal{B}_B^e(0) \) is simply the Pickands constant, see e.g., [18–20,22].

If \( h \) is strictly positive, then \( \mathcal{B}_B^h(0) \) and \( \mathcal{B}_B^\hat{h}(0) \) reduce to Piterbarg constants. We refer to [17–20] for the existence and properties of Pickands- and Piterbarg-related constants. All our asymptotic results below hold for all \( x \geq 0 \), which generalize the results in [1–4,23], where the asymptotics hold for almost all \( x \in [0, \infty) \).

Throughout this paper, \( \mathcal{f} \) stands for the generalized asymptotic (unique) inverse of a regularly varying function \( f \); see [24].

Next, we present the main result of this contribution.

**Theorem 2.1** Let \( Z_u(t), t \in E(u) \) with \( E(u) := [a_1(u), a_2(u)] \) be a family of centered Gaussian processes with continuous trajectories. Suppose that (11)–(13) hold, \( \theta(u) = \mathcal{w}(n^{-2}(u))/g(u) \) and

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} n^2(u)w(g(u)) = \gamma \in [0, \infty], \quad \lim_{u \to \infty} g(u)|a_i(u)| = 0, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (14)
\]

(i) If \( \gamma = 0 \) and

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} n^2(u)|w(g(u)|a_1(u))| = x_i, \quad \lim_{u \to \infty} n(u)w(g(u)|a_i(u)) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2,
\]

then

\[
\mathbb{P}\left( \int_{E(u)} \mathbb{I}_{n(u)}(Z_u(t))dt > x \right) \sim \mathcal{B}_{\eta\phi}(x) \beta \int_{y_1}^{y_2} |t|^{1/\beta - 1} e^{-|t|} dt \theta(u)\Psi(n(u)), \quad (15)
\]

with \( y_2 - y_1 > 0 \) and

\[
y_i = x_i \mathbb{I}_{(x_i > 0, \lim_{u \to \infty} a_i(u) = \infty)} - x_i \mathbb{I}_{(x_i > 0, \lim_{u \to \infty} a_i(u) = -\infty)}, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (16)
\]

(ii) If \( \gamma \in (0, \infty) \) and \( \lim_{u \to \infty} a_i(u) = a_i, i = 1, 2, \) with \( a_1 \in [-\infty, 0], a_2 \in [0, \infty] \) and \( a_2 - a_1 > x \), then

\[
\mathbb{P}\left( \int_{E(u)} \mathbb{I}_{n(u)}(Z_u(t))dt > x \right) \sim \mathcal{B}_{\eta\phi}^{\eta\phi}(x, \beta) \Psi(n(u)). \quad (17)
\]

(iii) If \( \gamma = \infty \) and

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} a_i(u) = b_i, \quad i = 1, 2,
\]
with \( b_1 \in [-\infty, \infty) \), \( b_2 \in (-\infty, \infty] \), and \( b_2 - b_1 > x \), then

\[
\mathbb{P}\left( \int_{E(u)} \mathbb{I}_{n(u)}(Z_u(t)) \, dt > \theta(u) x \right) \sim \mathcal{B}_0^{\theta|\beta}(x, [b_1, b_2]) \Psi(n(u)). \tag{18}
\]

**Remark 2.2**
(i) If we assume that \( \lim_{u \to \infty} \Delta(u) = 0 \) and \( \sigma^2_{\eta} \) in (13) is a nonnegative regularly varying function at 0 with index \( 2\alpha_0 \in (0, 2] \), then Theorem 2.1 still holds with \( \eta_{\varphi} \) replaced by \( \mathcal{B}_{2\alpha_0} \).

(ii) The case \( x = 0 \) in Theorem 2.1 generalizes the results in [17–20] and together with (i) of this remark covers the results for one-dimensional case in [22].

In the following lemma, we calculate the exact value of two special Berman constants.

**Lemma 2.3** For \( \gamma, \beta > 0 \)

\[
\mathcal{B}_{0}^{\gamma|\beta}(x) = \mathcal{B}_{0}^{\gamma|\beta}(x, [0, \infty)) = e^{-\gamma x \beta},
\]

\[
\mathcal{B}_{0}^{\gamma|\beta}(x, (-\infty, y]) = \begin{cases} 
  e^{-\gamma(y-x)^\beta}, & y < x/2 \\
  e^{-\gamma 2^{-\beta} x \beta}, & y \geq x/2,
\end{cases}
\tag{19}
\]

and \( \mathcal{B}_{0}^{\gamma|\beta}(x, [b_1, b_2]) \) is continuous for all \( x \geq 0 \). For any \( x \geq 0 \) and \( \gamma > 0 \)

\[
\hat{B}_{\mathcal{B}_{2}}^{\gamma|\beta}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{1 + \gamma}{\gamma}} e^{-\frac{(1+\gamma)x^2}{4}}.
\tag{20}
\]

### 3 Applications

In this section, we apply Theorem 2.1 to two classes of Gaussian processes: Gaussian processes with stationary increments and self-similar Gaussian processes.

#### 3.1 Gaussian Processes with Stationary Increments

Given \( X(t), t \in \mathbb{R} \), a Gaussian process with stationary increments and continuous sample paths, we consider

\[
p_T(u, x) := \mathbb{P}\left( v(u) \int_{0}^{T} \mathbb{I}_{u}(X(t) - ct) \, dt > x \right), \quad x \geq 0,
\tag{21}
\]

where the positive scaling function \( v \) will be specified later, and \( T \in (0, \infty] \). Let \( \sigma^2(t) = Var(X(t)) \). We distinguish two cases, leading to qualitatively different asymptotics, namely \( T \in (0, \infty) \) and \( T = \infty \).
3.1.1 Infinite-Time Horizon

By the stationarity of increments in $X$, the covariance function of $X$ is completely determined by its variance function $\sigma^2$. Along the same lines as in [20] or [25], we assume that

**AI** $\sigma^2(0) = 0$ and $\sigma^2(t)$ is regularly varying at $\infty$ with index $2\alpha_\infty \in (0, 2)$. Further, $\sigma^2(t)$ is twice continuously differentiable on $(0, \infty)$ with its first derivative $\dot{\sigma}^2(t) := \frac{d\sigma^2}{dt}(t)$ and second derivative $\ddot{\sigma}^2(t) := \frac{d^2\sigma^2}{dt^2}(t)$ being ultimately monotone at $\infty$.

**AII** $\sigma^2(t)$ is regularly varying at 0 with index $2\alpha_0 \in (0, 2]$.

Assumptions **AI–AII** cover a wide range of Gaussian processes with stationary increments, including two important families: (1) fractional Brownian motions $B_\alpha(t)$, $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ and (2) Gaussian integrated processes, i.e., the case where $X(t) = \int_0^t Z(s)ds$, with $Z$ a centered continuous stationary Gaussian process with variance 1 and correlation function $r(s) = \text{Cov}(X(t), X(t+s))$, $s, t \geq 0$ satisfying some regularity conditions; see, e.g., [18–20,25,26].

Suppose that $c > 0$ and let in the following ($\sigma$ stands for the asymptotic inverse of $\sigma$)

$$1/v(u) = \frac{\sqrt{2\sigma^2(u\tau^*)}}{u(1+ct^*)}, \quad \tau^* = \frac{\alpha_\infty}{c(1-\alpha_\infty)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

According to (9), recall that

$$p_\infty(u, x) = \mathbb{P}\left(uv(u) \int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}_{M(u)} \left( \frac{X(u\tau)}{u(1+ct)} M(u) \right) dr > x \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

where

$$M(u) = \inf_{t > 0} \frac{\text{Var}^{-1/2} \left( \frac{X(u\tau)}{u(1+ct)} \right)}{\sigma(u\tau)} = \inf_{t > 0} \frac{u(1+ct)}{\sigma(u\tau)}.$$  

We note that

$$\frac{X(u\tau)}{u(1+ct)} M(u), \quad t \geq 0$$

is a family of centered Gaussian processes with the maximum of their variance functions equal to 1.

Applying Theorem 2.1, we arrive at the following results, where

$$A = \left( \frac{\alpha_\infty}{c(1-\alpha_\infty)} \right)^{-\alpha_\infty} \frac{1}{1-\alpha_\infty}, \quad B = \left( \frac{\alpha_\infty}{c(1-\alpha_\infty)} \right)^{-\alpha_\infty-2} \alpha_\infty.$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)
**Theorem 3.1** Let $X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}$ be a centered Gaussian process with continuous trajectories and stationary increments satisfying AI–AII and $c > 0$. If

$$\varphi = \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{\sigma^2(u)}{u} \in [0, \infty],$$

then for any $x \geq 0$

$$p_{\infty}(u, x) \sim B_{X_{\varphi}}(x) \sqrt{\frac{2A\pi}{B}} \frac{u}{M(u) \overline{\sigma}} \left( \frac{\sqrt{2\sigma^2(u\cdot^*)+c}}{u(1+c\cdot^*)} \right) \Psi(M(u)), $$

where

$$X_{\varphi}(t) = \begin{cases} B_{2\alpha_0}(t), & \text{if } \varphi = 0 \\ \frac{1+c\cdot^*}{\sqrt{2\varphi t^*}} X \left( \overline{\sigma} \left( \frac{\sqrt{2\varphi t^*}}{1+c\cdot^*} \right) \right) t, & \text{if } \varphi \in (0, \infty) \\ B_{2\alpha_{\infty}}(t), & \text{if } \varphi = \infty. \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

Application of Theorem 3.1 to $X = B_1$ with comparison to (5) leads to the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.2** For any $x \geq 0$,

$$B_{B_1}(x) = (2 + x) \Psi \left( \sqrt{\frac{x}{2}} \right) - \sqrt{\frac{x}{\pi}} e^{-\frac{x}{2}}.$$ 

Next, we analyze the asymptotic distribution of $\tau_{u\cdot}^{x_1, x_2}$ defined in (8), assuming that these random variables are defined on the same probability space.

**Corollary 3.3** Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, for $0 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 < \infty$, the following convergence in distribution holds

$$\frac{\tau_{u\cdot}^{x_1, x_2} - ut_{u\cdot}}{A(u)} \xrightarrow{d} N_{x_1, x_2}, \quad u \to \infty,$$

where

$$\mathbb{P} (N_{x_1, x_2} \leq y) = \frac{B_{X_{\varphi}}(x_2)}{B_{X_{\varphi}}(x_1)} \mathbb{P} (N \leq y), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathbb{P} (N_{x_1, x_2} = \infty) = 1 - \frac{B_{X_{\varphi}}(x_2)}{B_{X_{\varphi}}(x_1)},$$

with $A(u) = \frac{\sigma(u\cdot^*)}{c} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{\infty}}{1-\alpha_{\infty}}}$ and $N$ an $N(0, 1)$ random variable.
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3.1.2 Finite-Time Horizon

In this subsection, we consider the finite-time horizon case, i.e., we are interested in the asymptotics of \( p_T(u, x) \) as \( u \to \infty \), where \( X \) has stationary increments, \( x \geq 0 \), \( T \in (0, \infty) \) and \( c \in \mathbb{R} \). Due to the finiteness of \( T \), we allow in this section \( c \in \mathbb{R} \).

Clearly,

\[
p_T(u, x) = P \left( v(u) \int_0^T I_0 \left( \frac{X(t)}{u + ct} - 1 \right) \, dt > x \right) = P \left( v(u) \int_0^T I_{m(u)} \left( \frac{X(t)}{u + ct} m(u) \right) \, dt > x \right).
\]

(26)

where

\[
m(u) = \frac{u + cT}{\sigma(T)}.
\]

We shall impose the following assumptions on \( \sigma \).

**BI** \( \sigma(0) = 0 \) and \( \sigma \in C([0, T]) \) with the first derivative \( \dot{\sigma}(t) > 0, t \in (0, T] \).

**BII** \( \sigma \) is regularly varying at 0 with index \( \alpha_0 \in (0, 1] \).

We note that both fBm and introduced in Sect. 3.1.1 Gaussian integrated processes \( \int_0^t Z(s) \, ds \) with correlation of \( Z \) such that \( r(t) > 0 \) satisfy conditions **BI**–**BII** (note that \( \sigma^2(t) = 2 \int_0^t \int_0^s r(u) \, du \, ds, t \geq 0 \) and \( \alpha_0 = 1 \)).

Assumption **BI** ensures that the first derivative of \( \frac{\sigma(t)}{u + ct} \) is positive and further its maximizer over \([0, T]\) is unique and equals \( T \) for sufficiently large \( u \). Assumption **BII** gives the correlation structure of \( \frac{X(t)}{u + ct} m(u) \), \( t \in [0, T] \) is a centered continuous Gaussian process with the maximum of variance function attained at \( t = T \) and equal to 1.

Set

\[
v(u) = \begin{cases} 
1/\bar{\sigma} \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} \sigma^2(T)}{u + cT} \right), & \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{|t|}{\sigma^2(|t|)} \in [0, \infty) \\
(m(u))^2, & \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{|t|}{\sigma^2(|t|)} = \infty.
\end{cases}
\]

**Theorem 3.4** Suppose that \( X(t), t \in [0, T] \) is a centered continuous Gaussian process with stationary increments satisfying **BI**–**BII** and let \( x \geq 0, c \in \mathbb{R} \) be given.

(i) If \( t = o(\sigma^2(t)) \) as \( t \to 0 \), then

\[
p_T(u, x) \sim B_{B_{2\alpha_0}}(x) \frac{\sigma(T)}{\dot{\sigma}(T)} \frac{1}{(m(u))^2} \bar{\sigma} \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} \sigma^2(T)}{u + cT} \right) \Psi(m(u)).
\]

\( \circledast \) Springer
(ii) If \( \lim_{t \to 0} \sigma^2(t)/t = \theta \in (0, \infty) \), then
\[
p_T(u, x) \sim B_{B_1}^{2 \hat{\sigma}(T)/\theta} |t| (x) \Psi(m(u)).
\]

(iii) If \( \sigma^2(t) = o(t) \) as \( t \to 0 \), then
\[
p_T(u, x) \sim e^{-\hat{\sigma}(T) x/\sigma(T)} \Psi(m(u)).
\]

With the convention that \( \inf \emptyset = \infty \), define \( \tau_{u, T}(x) \), \( T > 0 \), \( x \geq 0 \) by
\[
\tau_{u, T}(x) = \inf \left\{ t : v(u) \int_0^t \|u\| (X(s) - cs)ds > x, 0 \leq t \leq T \right\}.
\]
Further, let
\[
\tau^*_u, T(x_1, x_2) := \tau_{u, T}(x_2) \bigg| \tau_{u, T}(x_1) \leq T, \ 0 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 < \infty.
\]

Corollary 3.5 Suppose that \( X(t), t \in [0, T] \) is a centered continuous Gaussian process with stationary increments satisfying \( \text{BI–BII} \), \( 0 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 < \infty \), \( c \in \mathbb{R} \) are given and

\[
\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{|t|}{\sigma^2(|t|)} \in [0, \infty].
\]

Then,
\[
\frac{\hat{\sigma}(T)}{\sigma(T)} u^2(T - \tau_{u, T}^*(x_1, x_2)) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{E}_{x_1, x_2}, \ 0 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 < \infty, \ u \to \infty,
\]
where
\[
\mathbb{P} (\mathcal{E}_{x_1, x_2} > y) = \Gamma(x_1, x_2) e^{-y}, \ \mathbb{P} (\mathcal{E}_{x_1, x_2} = -\infty) = 1 - \Gamma(x_1, x_2) \geq 0, \ y \geq 0,
\]
with
\[
\Gamma(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases}
\frac{B_{B_{200}}(x_2)}{B_{B_{200}}(x_1)} & t = o(\sigma^2(t)) \\
\frac{2 \hat{\sigma}(T)/\sigma(T)|t|}{B_{B_1}^{2 \hat{\sigma}(T)/\theta}} (x_2) & \sigma^2(t) \sim \theta t, \ 0 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 < \infty.
\end{cases}
\]
3.2 Self-Similar Gaussian Processes

In this subsection, we apply our findings to the class of self-similar Gaussian processes with drift. We focus on the exact asymptotic probabilities of sojourn time, without specifying analogs of Corollaries 3.3 and 3.5, since they essentially lead to the same type of results as given in Sect. 3.1.

Suppose that \( X \) is a centered self-similar Gaussian process with self-similarity index \( H \in (0, 1) \), i.e.,

\[
\{X(bt), t \geq 0\} \overset{d}{=} \{b^H X(t), t \geq 0\},
\]

where \( \overset{d}{=} \) means the equality of finite-dimensional distributions.

Equality (29) implies that \( \sigma^2(t) = \text{Var}(X(t)) = \text{Var}(X(1))t^{2H} \). Without loss of generality, in what follows, we assume that \( \text{Var}(X(1)) = 1 \) and hence \( \sigma^2(t) = t^{2H} \).

Moreover, we assume that:

- There exist a function \( \rho \) which is regularly varying at 0 with index \( \alpha \in (0, 2] \), \( \rho(0) = 0 \) and \( \rho(t) > 0, t > 0 \), and \( t_0 \in [0, T] \) such that

\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \sup_{s \neq t, |s-t_0| < \epsilon, |t-t_0| < \epsilon, s, t \in [0, T]} \left| \frac{1 - \text{Corr}(X(s), X(t))}{\rho(|t-s|)} \right| - 1 = 0. \quad (30)
\]

Condition \( S \) is satisfied by such classes of self-similar Gaussian processes as fBms, bi-fractional Brownian motions, sub-fractional Brownian motions or generalized fractional Brownian motions; see, e.g., [27–29] or [30].

3.2.1 Infinite-Time Horizon

Suppose that \( T = \infty \) and \( c > 0 \). Then, by self-similarity of \( X \), we have

\[
\mathbb{P} \left( v(u) \int_0^\infty I_u (X(t) - ct) dt > x \right) = \mathbb{P} \left( uv(u) \int_0^\infty I_{u^{1-H}} \left( \frac{X(t)}{1+ct} \right) dt > x \right).
\]

Note that the maximizer of \( \sqrt{\text{Var} \left( \frac{X(t)}{1+ct} \right)} = \frac{t^H}{1+ct} \) is unique and equals \( \frac{H}{c(1-H)} \). Further, referring to [17],

\[
\hat{A} \frac{t^H}{1+ct} = 1 - \frac{\hat{B}}{2\hat{A}} \left( t - \frac{H}{c(1-H)} \right)^2 (1 + o(1)), \quad t \to \frac{H}{c(1-H)}, \quad (31)
\]

with

\[
\hat{A} = \left( \frac{H}{c(1-H)} \right)^{-H} \frac{1}{1-H}, \quad \hat{B} = \left( \frac{H}{c(1-H)} \right)^{-H-2}.
\]

We arrive at the following result.
Theorem 3.6 Let $X(t)$ be a centered self-similar Gaussian process with self-similarity index $H \in (0, 1)$ satisfying (29) and $S$ with $t_0 = \frac{H}{c(1-H)}$. Suppose that

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{t^2}{\rho(t)} = \gamma \in [0, \infty]$$

and let $x \geq 0$, $c > 0$ be given.

(i) If $\gamma = 0$, then

$$P\left( u \leftarrow \rho\left( (\hat{A}u^{1-H})^{-2}\int_0^\infty I_u(X(t) - ct)dt > x \right) \right) \sim \mathcal{B}_{B_\alpha}(x) \sqrt{\frac{2\hat{A}}{B}} \frac{1}{\rho\left( (\hat{A}u^{1-H})^{-2}\hat{A}u^{1-H} \right)} \psi(\hat{A}u^{1-H}).$$

(ii) If $\gamma \in (0, \infty)$, then

$$P\left( u \leftarrow \rho\left( (\hat{A}u^{1-H})^{-2}\int_0^\infty I_u(X(t) - ct)dt > x \right) \right) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2\hat{A} + \hat{B} \gamma}{B \gamma}} e^{\frac{2\hat{A} + \hat{B} \gamma}{8\hat{B}} x^2} \psi(\hat{A}u^{1-H}).$$

(iii) If $\gamma = \infty$, then

$$P\left( u^{-H} \int_0^\infty I_u(X(t) - ct)dt > x \right) \sim e^{\frac{\hat{A} + \hat{B}}{8} x^2} \psi(\hat{A}u^{1-H}).$$

3.2.2 Finite-Time Horizon

Let $T \in (0, \infty)$. We arrive at the following result.

Theorem 3.7 Let $X(t)$ be a centered self-similar Gaussian process with self-similarity index $H \in (0, 1)$ satisfying (29) and $S$ with $t_0 = T$. For given $x \geq 0$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, let $p_T(u, x)$ be defined in (21) with $v(u) = \frac{1}{\rho\left( u^{-H} (u+cT)^2 \right)}$ if $\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{|t|}{\rho(t)} \in [0, \infty)$ and $v(u) = \frac{(u+cT)^2}{T^{2H}}$ if $\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{|t|}{\rho(t)} = \infty$.

(i) If $t = o(\rho(t))$ as $t \to 0$, then

$$p_T(u, x) \sim \mathcal{B}_{B_\alpha}(x) \frac{T^{2H+1-2H/\alpha}}{H} \frac{1}{u^2 \rho\left( u^{-2} \right)} \psi\left( \frac{u + cT}{T^H} \right).$$

(ii) If $\lim_{t \to 0} \rho(t)/t = \theta$, then

$$p_T(u, x) \sim \mathcal{B}_{B_1}^{\frac{H}{\theta}}(x) \psi\left( \frac{u + cT}{T^H} \right).$$
(iii) If ρ(t) = o(t) as t → 0, then

\[ p_T(u, x) \sim e^{-\frac{u^T H}{T H}}. \]

### 4 Technical Lemmas

We first present a modification of Theorem 5.1 in [23], which is crucial for the proofs below. Then, we present two lemmas related with the local behavior of the variance and correlation function of the investigated Gaussian process. Let \( \{\xi_{u,k}(t), t \in E, k \in K_u\} \), with \( K_u \) an index set and \( E = [a, b] \) with \( a \leq 0 \leq b \) be a family of centered continuous Gaussian random processes with variance function \( \sigma^2_{\xi_{u,k}} \). We impose the following assumptions:

**C0** Let \( \{g_k(u), k \in K_u\} \) be a sequence of deterministic functions of \( u \) satisfying

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} \inf_{k \in K_u} g_k(u) = \infty.
\]

**C1** \( \sigma_{\xi_{u,k}}(0) = 1 \) for all large \( u \) and there exists a continuous function \( h \) on \( E \) such that

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{t \in E, k \in K_u} \left| g_k^2(u) \left(1 - \sigma_{\xi_{u,k}}(t)\right) - h(t)\right| = 0.
\]

**C2** There exists a centered Gaussian process with continuous trajectories and stationary increments \( \zeta(t), t \in \mathbb{R} \), satisfying **AI–AII**, and

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{k \in K_u} \sup_{s \neq t, s, t \in E} \left| g_k^2(u) \left(1 - Corr(\xi_{u,k}(s), \xi_{u,k}(t))\right) - \frac{\sigma^2_\zeta(\nu(u)\Delta(u)|t-s)}{\sigma^2_\zeta(\Delta(u))}\right| = 0,
\]

where \( \Delta(u), \nu(u), u > 0 \) are positive functions such that

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} \Delta(u) = \varphi \in [0, \infty], \quad \lim_{u \to \infty} \nu(u) = \nu \in [0, \infty).
\]  \hspace{1cm} (32)

Let, for given \( x \geq 0 \) and continuous function \( h \)

\[
B_{h\varphi}^\psi(x, E) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}\left(\int_E \mathbb{I}_0(\sqrt{2} \xi(\varphi) - \sigma_{\xi\varphi}(t) - h(t) + \varphi)dt > x\right)e^{-z}dz,
\]

with

\[
\xi(\varphi) = \begin{cases} 
B_{2\alpha_0}(\nu t) & \varphi = 0, \\
\xi(\nu t) & \varphi \in (0, \infty), \\
B_{2\alpha_\infty}(\nu t) & \varphi = \infty.
\end{cases}
\]
In the special case \( \nu = 0 \), \( \zeta_\varphi(t) \equiv 0 \). Note that by Borell–TIS inequality [31,32], we have

\[
B_h^h (x, E) \leq B^h_0 (0, E)
\]

\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in E} \left( \sqrt{2} \zeta_\varphi(t) - \sigma^2_\varphi(t) - h(t) \right) > z \right) e^z \, dz
\]

\[
\leq e^a + \int_a^\infty e^{-\frac{iz^2 - 2zh}{2}} e^z \, dz < \infty,
\]

with

\[
a = \mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{t \in E} \left( \sqrt{2} \zeta_\varphi(t) - \sigma^2_\varphi(t) - h(t) \right) \right) < \infty, \quad b = \sup_{t \in E} Var(\sqrt{2} \zeta_\varphi(t)) < \infty.
\]

**Lemma 4.1** Let \( \{\xi_{u,k}(t), t \in E, k \in K_u\} \) with \( E = [a, b] \) be a family of centered continuous Gaussian processes satisfying C1–C2. If \( g_k(u), k \in K_u \) satisfies C0 and for any \( x \geq 0 \)

\[
P \left( \int_E \mathbb{I}_0 \left( \xi_{u,k}(t) - g_k(u) \right) dt > x \right) > 0, \quad \forall k \in K_u,
\]

then

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{k \in K_u} \left| \frac{P \left( \int_E \mathbb{I}_0 \left( \xi_{u,k}(t) - g_k(u) \right) dt > x \right)}{\Psi(g_k(u))} - B^h_0 (x, E) \right| = 0 \quad (33)
\]

holds for all \( x \geq 0 \). Additionally, \( B^h_0 (x, E) \) is a continuous function over \([0, \text{mes}(E)]\).

**Remark 4.2** (i) In the special case \( \nu = 0 \), for all \( x \geq 0 \), we have

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{k \in K_u} \left| \frac{P \left( \int_E \mathbb{I}_0 \left( \xi_{u,k}(t) - g_k(u) \right) dt > x \right)}{\Psi(g_k(u))} - B_0^h (x, E) \right| = 0 \quad (34)
\]

(ii) There exists a nonnegative function \( \rho \) which is a regularly varying function at 0 with index \( 2\alpha_0 \in (0, 2] \) such that

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{k \in K_u, s \neq t, s, t \in E} \left| \frac{g^2_k(u) \left( 1 - Corr \left( \xi_{u,k}(s), \xi_{u,k}(t) \right) \right)}{\rho(u)\Delta(u) |t-s|} - \rho(\Delta(u)) \right| = 0 \quad (35)
\]

with \( \Delta(u), \rho(u), u > 0 \) positive functions satisfying (32) for \( \varphi = 0 \). If C2 is replaced by (35), then Lemma 4.1 still holds with \( \zeta_\varphi(t) \) replaced by \( B_{2\alpha_0}(vt) \).

**Proof of Lemma 4.1** In order to prove this lemma, it suffices to check the conditions of Theorem 5.1 in [23]. That is, we have to prove that (with \( \overline{\xi}_{u,k}(t) = \frac{\xi_{u,k}(t)}{\sigma_{u,k}(t)} \))
(i) \( \lim_{u \to \infty} \inf_{k \in K_u} g_k(u) = \infty. \)
(ii) \( \sigma_{\xi_{u,k}}(0) = 1 \) for all large \( u \) and any \( k \in K_u \), and there exists some bounded continuous function \( h \) on \( E \) such that

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{t \in E, k \in K_u} |g_k^2(u) \left( 1 - \mathbb{E} \{ \xi_{u,k}(t) \xi_{u,k}(0) \} \right) - \sigma_{\zeta_{\psi}}^2(t) - h(t)| = 0.
\]

(iii) For any \( s, t \in E \),

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{k \in K_u} |g_k^2(u) \left( \text{Var}(\bar{\xi}_{u,k}(t) - \bar{\xi}_{u,k}(s)) \right) - 2 \text{Var}(\zeta_{\psi}(t) - \zeta_{\psi}(s))| = 0.
\]

(iv) These exist positive constants \( C, \nu, u_0 \) such that

\[
\sup_{k \in K_u} g_k^2(u) \mathbb{E} \{ (\xi_{u,k}(t) - \xi_{u,k}(s))^2 \} \leq C \|s - t\|^{\nu}
\]

holds for all \( s, t \in E, u \geq u_0 \).

It follows that (i) holds straightforwardly from \textbf{C0}. Next, we verify (ii), (iii) and (iv).

We first prove (iii). Uniform convergence theorem (see, e.g., [24]) gives that, for all \( \nu \in [0, \infty) \) and \( \varphi \in \{0, \infty\} \),

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{s, t \in E} \left| \frac{\sigma_{\zeta_{\varphi}}^2(\nu(u)\Delta(u)|t - s|)}{\sigma_{\zeta_{\varphi}}^2(\Delta(u))} - \text{Var}(\zeta_{\psi}(t) - \zeta_{\psi}(s)) \right| = 0. \quad (36)
\]

For \( \nu \in [0, \infty) \) and \( \varphi \in (0, \infty) \), the above limit is still valid by the continuity of \( \sigma_{\zeta_{\psi}}^2 \).

Hence, it follows from \textbf{C2} that

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{k \in K_u, s, t \in E} \left| g_k^2(u) \text{Var}(\bar{\xi}_{u,k}(s) - \bar{\xi}_{u,k}(t)) - 2 \text{Var}(\zeta_{\psi}(s) - \zeta_{\psi}(t)) \right| = 0. \quad (37)
\]

This confirms that (iii) is satisfied.

We next focus on (iv). Let \( f(t) = \frac{\sigma_{\zeta_{\varphi}}^2(t)}{t^{\lambda}} \) with \( 0 < \lambda < 2 \min(\alpha_0, \alpha_{\infty}) \). Then, \( f \) is a regularly varying function at 0 and \( \infty \) with index \( 2\alpha_0 - \lambda > 0 \) and \( 2\alpha_{\infty} - \lambda > 0 \), respectively. By \textbf{C2}, we have that for \( u \) sufficiently large,

\[
\sup_{k \in K_u} g_k^2(u) \mathbb{E} \left\{ (\bar{\xi}_{u,k}(t) - \bar{\xi}_{u,k}(s))^2 \right\} \\
\leq 4 \frac{\sigma_{\zeta_{\varphi}}^2(\nu(u)\Delta(u)|t - s|)}{\sigma_{\zeta_{\varphi}}^2(\Delta(u))} \\
\leq 4(\nu + 1)^{\lambda} \frac{f(\Delta(u)\nu(u)|t - s|)}{f(\Delta(u))} |t - s|^{\lambda}, \quad s, t \in E.
\]
Uniform convergence theorem yields that for $0 < \lambda < 2 \min(\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty)$ and $\varphi \in [0, \infty)$, $\nu \in [0, \infty)$

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{s \neq t, t \in E} \frac{|f(\Delta(u)\nu(u)|t - s|)}{f(\Delta(u))} - (\nu|t - s|)^{(2\alpha_0 - \lambda)I_{\{\nu = 0\}} + (2\alpha_\infty - \lambda)I_{\{\varphi = \infty\}}} = 0,$$

which implies that for $u$ large enough

$$\sup_{s \neq t, t \in E} \frac{f(\Delta(u)\nu(u)|t - s|)}{f(\Delta(u))} < Q,$$

with $Q$ a positive constant. For $\varphi \in (0, \infty)$ and $\nu \in (0, \infty)$, the above inequality follows straightforwardly by noting the continuity of $f$. Hence for $u$ sufficiently large, for all $\varphi \in [0, \infty)$ and $\nu \in [0, \infty)$

$$\sup_{k \in K_u} g_k^2(u)E\left\{\left(\bar{\xi}_{u,k}(t) - \bar{\xi}_{u,k}(s)\right)^2\right\} \leq Q|t - s|^\lambda, \ s, t \in E, \ (38)$$

with $0 < \lambda < 2 \min(\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty)$. This implies that (iv) holds.

Finally, we prove (ii). Notice that

$$1 - E\left\{\xi_{u,k}(t)\xi_{u,k}(0)\right\} = (1 - \sigma_{\xi_{u,k}}(t)) + (1 - Corr(\xi_{u,k}(t), \xi_{u,k}(0))) - (1 - \sigma_{\xi_{u,k}}(t))(1 - Corr(\xi_{u,k}(t), \xi_{u,k}(0))).$$

In view of (37),

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{t \in E, k \in K_u} |g_k^2(u)(1 - Corr(\xi_{u,k}(t), \xi_{u,k}(0))) - \sigma_{\xi_{u,k}}^2(t)| = 0,$$

which combined with C1 leads to

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{t \in E, k \in K_u} |g_k^2(u)(1 - Corr(\xi_{u,k}(t), \xi_{u,k}(0)))(1 - \sigma_{\xi_{u,k}}(t))| = 0.$$

Hence in view of C1 and the above limits,

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{t \in E, k \in K_u} |g_k^2(u)(1 - E\left\{\xi_{u,k}(t)\xi_{u,k}(0)\right\}) - \sigma_{\xi_{u,k}}^2(t) - h(t)| = 0.$$

This confirms that (ii) holds. Thus, applying Theorem 5.1 in [23], the claim is established for all the continuity points of $B^h_\xi(x, E)$.

**Continuity of $B^h_\xi(x, E)$** Next, we show that $B^h_\xi(x, E)$ is continuous over $[0, mes(E))$. Since $B^h_\xi(x, E)$ is right-continuous at 0, we are left with the continuity of $B^h_\xi(x, E)$ over $(0, mes(E))$. The claimed continuity at $x \in (0, mes(E))$ follows if

$$\int_E P\left(\int E(\sqrt{2}\xi_{\varphi}(t) - \sigma_{\xi_{\varphi}}^2(t) - h(t) + s > 0)dt = x\right)e^{-s}ds = 0.$$
Assume that the probability space \((C(E), \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}^*)\) with \(E = [a, b]\) is induced by the process \(\{\sqrt{2} \zeta(t) - \sigma^2(t) - h(t), t \in E\}\) with \(C(E)\) denoting the collection of all continuous functions over \(E\) and equipped with sup-normal, and \(\mathcal{F}\) being the Borel \(\sigma\)-field on \(C(E)\). Thus in order to complete the proof, it suffices to prove that for any \(x \in (0, \text{mes}(E))\)
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}^* \left( \int_E \mathbb{1}(w(t) + s > 0) dt = x \right) e^{-s} ds = 0,
\]
where \(w \in C(E)\). For any \(x \in (0, \text{mes}(E))\), let
\[
A_x = \left\{ w \in C(E) : \int_E \mathbb{1}(w(t) + s > 0) dt = x \right\}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.
\]
If \(\int_E \mathbb{1}(w(t) + s > 0) dt = x\) with \(x \in (0, \text{mes}(E))\), then \(\inf_{t \in E} w(s) \leq -s < \sup_{t \in E} w(t)\). By the continuity of \(w\), for \(s < s'\),
\[
\int_E \mathbb{1}(w(t) + s' > 0) dt \in (x, \text{mes}(E)].
\]
This implies that
\[
A_s \cap A_s' = \emptyset, \quad s \neq s', s, s' \in \mathbb{R}.
\]
Since \(A_s, s \in \mathbb{R}\) are measurable sets and
\[
\sup_{\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}, \#\Lambda < \infty} \sum_{s \in \Lambda} \mathbb{P}^*(A_s) \leq 1,
\]
where \(\#\Lambda\) means the cardinality of set \(\Lambda\), then
\[
\{ s : s \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } \mathbb{P}^*(A_s) > 0 \}
\]
is a countable set, which indicates that
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}^*(A_s) e^{-s} ds = 0.
\]
Hence, \(B_{\zeta(t)}^h(x, E)\) is continuous over \((0, \text{mes}(E))\). This completes the proof. \(\square\)

Let
\[
\sigma_u^*(t) = \text{Var}^{1/2} \left( \frac{X(ut)}{u(1 + ct)} M(u) \right), \quad t \geq 0
\]
\[
r_u(s, t) = \text{Corr} \left( \frac{X(us)}{u(1 + cs)}, \frac{X(ut)}{u(1 + ct)} \right), \quad s, t > 0.
\]
Assume that $\delta_u > 0$ with $\lim_{u \to \infty} \delta_u = 0$. The following lemma is due to [25].

**Lemma 4.3**  
(i) Suppose that $\mathcal{A}_1$ is satisfied and let $t_u = \arg \inf_{t > 0} \frac{u(1+ct)}{\sigma(ut)}$. If $u$ is large enough, then $t_u$ is unique and $\lim_{u \to \infty} t_u = t^* = \frac{\alpha_\infty}{c(1-\alpha_\infty)}$. Moreover,

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{t \in (t_u - \delta_u, t_u + \delta_u) \setminus \{t_u\}} \left| \frac{1 - \sigma_u^2(t)}{B(t - t_u)^2} - 1 \right| = 0,$$

with $A, B$ defined in (24).

(ii) If $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ hold, then

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{s \neq t, s, t \in (t_u - \delta_u, t_u + \delta_u)} \left| \frac{1 - r_u(s, t)}{\frac{\sigma^2(u|s-t|)}{2\sigma^2(u|t^*|)}} - 1 \right| = 0.$$

Let next

$$\hat{\sigma}_u(t) = \text{Var}^{1/2} \left( \frac{X(t)}{u + ct} m(u) \right), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

$$\hat{r}_u(s, t) = \text{Corr} \left( \frac{X(s)}{u + cs}, \frac{X(t)}{u + ct} \right), \quad s, t \in [0, T].$$

**Lemma 4.4**  
(i) If $\mathcal{B}_1$ holds, then

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{t \in (T - \delta_u, T)} \left| \frac{1 - \hat{\sigma}_u(t)}{|T - t|} - \frac{\hat{\sigma}(T)}{\sigma(T)} \right| = 0.$$

(ii) If $\mathcal{B}_2$ holds and $t = o(\sigma(t))$ as $t \to 0$, then

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{s \neq t, s, t \in (T - \delta_u, T)} \left| \frac{1 - \hat{r}_u(s, t)}{\frac{\sigma^2(|s-t|)}{2\sigma^2(T)}} - 1 \right| = 0.$$

### 5 Proofs

Hereafter, denote by $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ positive constants that may differ from line to line. The equivalence $f(u, S) \sim h(u)$ as $u \to \infty, S \to \infty$ means that $\lim_{S \to \infty} \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{f(u, S)}{h(u)} = 1$. Moreover, for any non-constant random variable $X$, denote by $\overline{X} := \frac{X}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(X)}}$.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1** By the lack of upward jumps and using the strong Markov property, for any $x, u \geq 0$, we have
\[ P \left( \int_0^\infty \mathbb{I}_u(X(t) - ct) \, dt > x \right) = \mathbb{P} \left( \int_0^\infty \mathbb{I}_0(X(t) - ct) \, dt > x \right) \]
\[ \times \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \geq 0} (X(t) - ct) \geq u \right) \]

and using e.g., [33, Theorem 3.3], we get \( \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \geq 0} (X(t) - ct) \geq u \right) = e^{-\alpha u} \), where \( \alpha \) is the positive solution to the equation \( \psi(\alpha) = c \alpha \) which by the strict convexity of \( \psi \) and the assumption \( \psi'(0+) < c \) exists and is unique. This completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 2.1** We consider each case (i)--(iii) separately. The following notation is valid for all three cases.

Put for \( u > 0, x \geq 0 \) and \( S > 0 \)

\[ \pi(u) = \mathbb{P} \left( \int_{E(u)} \mathbb{I}_0(Z_u(t) - n(u)) \, dt > x \right), \]
\[ \tilde{Z}_{u,k}(t) = \tilde{Z}_u(kS + t), \quad I_k = [kS, (k + 1)S], \]
\[ N_1(u) = \left[ \frac{a_1(u)}{S} \right] - 1, \quad N_2(u) = \left[ \frac{a_2(u)}{S} \right] + 1, \]

and for some \( \epsilon \in (0, 1) \) set

\[ n_{u,k}^- = n(u) \left( 1 + (1 - \epsilon) \inf_{t \in I_k} w(g(u)|t|) \right), \]
\[ n_{u,k}^+ = n(u) \left( 1 + (1 + \epsilon) \sup_{t \in I_k} w(g(u)|t|) \right). \]

Note that

\[ \pi(u) \leq \mathbb{P} \left( \sum_{k=N_1(u)-2}^{N_2(u)+2} \int_{I_k} \mathbb{I}_0(Z_u(t) - n(u)) \, dt > x \right) \]
\[ \leq \mathbb{P} \left( \exists N_1(u) - 2 \leq k \leq N_2(u) + 2 \text{ such that } \int_{I_k} \mathbb{I}_0(Z_u(t) - n(u)) \, dt > x \right) \]
\[ + \mathbb{P} \left( \exists N_1(u) - 2 \leq k < l \leq N_2(u) + 2, k \neq l \text{ such that } \right. \]
\[ \left. \int_{I_k} \mathbb{I}_0(Z_u(t) - n(u)) \, dt > 0, \int_{I_l} \mathbb{I}_0(Z_u(t) - n(u)) \, dt > 0 \right), \]

and

\[ \pi(u) \geq \mathbb{P} \left( \sum_{k=N_1(u)+2}^{N_2(u)-2} \int_{I_k} \mathbb{I}_0(Z_u(t) - n(u)) \, dt > x \right) \]
\[ \geq \mathbb{P} \left( \exists N_1(u) + 2 \leq k \leq N_2(u) - 2 \text{ such that } \int_{I_k} \mathbb{I}_0(Z_u(t) - n(u)) \, dt > x \right). \]
Hence in view of (11) and using Bonferroni inequality, we have

$$\pi^+(u, S) - \Sigma_1(u) - \Sigma_2(u) \leq \pi(u) \leq \pi^-(u, S) + \Sigma_1(u) + \Sigma_2(u),$$

(43)

where

$$\pi^\pm(u, S) = \sum_{k=N_1(u) \pm 2}^{N_2(u) \mp 2} \mathbb{P} \left( \int_{I_0} \mathbb{I}_0 \left( \frac{\bar{Z}_{u,k}(t) - n_{u,k}^\pm}{\sigma_{u,k}} \right) dt > x \right),$$

$$\Sigma_1(u) = \sum_{N_1(u) - 2 \leq k \leq N_2(u) + 1} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in I_k} \bar{Z}_u(t) > n_{u,k}^-, \sup_{t \in I_{k+1}} \bar{Z}_u(t) > n_{u,k+1}^- \right),$$

$$\Sigma_2(u) = \sum_{N_1(u) - 2 < k < k+1 < l \leq N_2(u) + 1} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in I_k} \bar{Z}_u(t) > n_{u,k}^-, \sup_{t \in I_l} \bar{Z}_u(t) > n_{u,l}^- \right).$$

\(\diamond Case\ (i)\) The idea of the proof is to divide \(E(u)\) into a large number of tiny intervals for each of which we give a uniform exact asymptotics of sojourn times. For notational simplicity, define

$$\Theta(u) := \beta^{-1} \int_{y_1}^{y_2} \frac{v(n^{-1}(u))}{g(u)} \Psi(n(u)) dt.$$ 

Without loss of generality, we assume that \(x_1 > 0, \lim_{u \to \infty} a_1(u) = \infty\) and \(x_2 > 0, \lim_{u \to \infty} a_2(u) = -\infty\). Then by (16)

$$y_i = x_i \mathbb{I} \left( x_i > 0, \lim_{u \to \infty} a_i(u) = \infty \right) - x_i \mathbb{I} \left( x_i > 0, \lim_{u \to \infty} a_i(u) = -\infty \right), \quad i = 1, 2$$

we have, \(y_1 = x_1\) and \(y_2 = -x_2\). By (43), in order to complete the proof, it suffices to prove that as \(u \to \infty, S \to \infty, \pi^-(u, S) \sim \pi^+(u, S)\) and to show that \(\Sigma_i(u) = o(\pi^+(u, S), i = 1, 2)\).

**Analysis of \(\pi^\pm(u, S)\)** We apply Lemma 4.1 to derive the uniform asymptotics for each term in the above sum. For this, we have to check conditions C0–C2. Following the notation in Lemma 4.1, let

$$\xi_{u,k}(t) = \overbar{Z}_{u,k}(t), \quad \sigma_{\xi_{u,k}} = 1, \quad g_k(u) = n_{u,k}^-, \quad E = I_0,$$

$$K_u = \{k : N_1(u) - 2 \leq k \leq N_2(u) + 2\}.$$

Conditions C0–C1 hold straightforwardly with \(h(t) = 0\). By (13), C2 holds for \(\zeta = \eta, v(u) = 1\) and \(\Delta(u)\) given in (12). Hence, we have that \(\xi_{\phi}(t) = \eta_{\phi}(t)\). Thus by Lemma 4.1, we have that for \(S > x\)

$$\lim \sup_{u \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P} \left( \int_{I_0} \mathbb{I}_0 \left( \frac{\overbar{Z}_{u,k}(t) - g_k(u)}{\Psi(g_k(u))} \right) dt > x \right) - \mathcal{B}_{\eta_{\phi}}(x, [0, S])}{\Psi(g_k(u))} = 0. \quad (44)$$
Consequently,

\[ \pi^-(u, S) \]

\[ = \sum_{k=N_1(u)-2}^{N_2(u)+2} \mathbb{P} \left( \int_{I_0} \mathbb{I}_0 \left( Z_{u,k}(t) - n_{u,k}^- \right) \, dt > x \right) \]

\[ \sim \sum_{k=N_1(u)-2}^{N_2(u)+2} \mathbb{B}_{\eta\phi}(x, [0, S]) \Psi(n_{u,k}^-) \]

\[ \sim \mathbb{B}_{\eta\phi}(x, [0, S]) \Psi(n(u)) \sum_{k=N_1(u)-2}^{N_2(u)+2} e^{-\epsilon n^2(u) \inf_{t \in I_k} w(g(u)|t|)}, \quad u \to \infty. \]

To get an upper bound for \( \pi^-(u, S) \), it suffices to compute the sum above. Note that

\[ \lim_{u \to \infty} g(u)|a_i(u)| = 0, \quad i = 1, 2. \]

Thus by Potter’s theorem (see [24]) or Lemma 6.1 in [34], we have for any \( 0 < \epsilon < \min(1, \beta) \) and all \( u \) sufficiently large

\[ \frac{w(g(u)|s|)}{w(g(u)|t|)} \geq (1 - \epsilon/2) \min \left( \left| \frac{s}{t} \right|^{\beta-\epsilon}, \left| \frac{s}{t} \right|^{\beta+\epsilon} \right), \quad s, t \in E(u), t \neq 0 \]

implying that for \( u \) large enough

\[ \inf_{t \in I_k} w(g(u)|t|) \geq (1 - \epsilon/2) \left( \frac{|k| - 1}{|k|} \right)^{\beta-\epsilon} \sup_{t \in I_k} w(g(u)|t|), \quad k \in K_u, k \neq 0. \]

Consequently, for any \( 0 < \epsilon < 1 \), there exists \( k_{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{N} \) such that for \( |k| \geq k_{\epsilon} \) and \( k \in K_u \) when \( u \) is sufficiently large

\[ \inf_{t \in I_k} w(g(u)|t|) \geq (1 - \epsilon) \sup_{t \in I_k} w(g(u)|t|). \]

Hence, for \( u \) large enough

\[ \sum_{k=N_1(u)-2}^{N_2(u)+2} e^{-\epsilon n^2(u) \inf_{t \in I_k} w(g(u)|t|)} \]

\[ \leq 2k_{\epsilon} + \sum_{k \in K_u, |k| \geq k_{\epsilon}} S^{-1} \int_{I_k} e^{-\epsilon n^2(u)w(g(u)|t|)} \, dt \]

\[ \leq 2k_{\epsilon} + S^{-1} \int_{a_2(u)}^{a_2(u)} e^{-\epsilon n^2(u)w(g(u)|t|)} \, dt \]
We have that for $S > x$

$$ \int \frac{g(u) a_2(u)}{g(u) a_1(u)} e^{-(1-\epsilon)\frac{1}{2}n^2(u)w(|t|)} \, dt \sim (1 - \epsilon)^{-2/\beta} \frac{n}{y} \beta^{-1} \int (1-\epsilon)^{1/2} |t|^{1/\beta - 1} e^{-|t|} \, dt. $$

The proof of (48) is postponed to “Appendix.” Further by (45)–(48), we obtain for $S > x$

$$ \pi^-(u, S) \leq \frac{B_{n_u}(x, [0, S])}{S} \Theta(u)(1 + o(1)), \quad u \to \infty $$

and similarly,

$$ \pi^+(u, S) \geq \frac{B_{n_u}(x, [0, S])}{S} \Theta(u)(1 + o(1)), \quad u \to \infty. $$

**Upper bound of** $\Sigma_i(u), i = 1, 2$ (44) with $x = 0$ gives that

$$ \lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{N_1(u) - 2 < k \leq N_2(u) + 2} \left| \frac{\mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in I_k} \overline{Z}_u(t) > n_{u,k}^- \right) - B_{n_u}(0, [0, S])}{\Psi(n_{u,k}^-)} \right| = 0. $$

Thus in light of (45)–(49), we have that

$$ \Sigma_1(u) \leq \sum_{N_1(u) - 2 < k \leq N_2(u) + 1} \left( \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in I_k} \overline{Z}_u(t) > n_{u,k}^- \right) + \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in I_{k+1}} \overline{Z}_u(t) > n_{u,k+1}^- \right) - \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in I_k \cup I_{k+1}} \overline{Z}_u(t) > \tilde{n}_{u,k} \right) \right) $$

$$ \leq \sum_{N_1(u) - 2 < k \leq N_2(u) + 1} B_{n_u}(0, [0, S]) \Psi(n_{u,k}^-)(1 + o(1)) $$

$$ + \sum_{N_1(u) - 2 < k \leq N_2(u) + 1} B_{n_u}(0, [0, S]) \Psi(n_{u,k+1}^-)(1 + o(1)) $$

$$ - \sum_{N_1(u) - 2 < k \leq N_2(u) + 1} B_{n_u}(0, [0, 2S]) \Psi(\tilde{n}_{u,k})(1 + o(1)) $$

$$ \leq \mathbb{Q} S^{-1} \left( 2B_{n_u}(0, [0, S]) - B_{n_u}(0, [0, 2S]) \right) \Theta(u), \quad u \to \infty, $$

where $\tilde{n}_{u,k} = \max(n_{u,k}^-, n_{u,k+1}^-)$. Moreover, by [21, Corollary 3.1] and (13), there exists $C, C_1 > 0$ such that for $N_1(u) - 2 < k < k + 1 < l \leq N_2(u) + 1$ and all $u$ large enough.
\[ \mathbb{P} \left( \max_{t \in I_k} Z_u(t) > n_{u,k}, \max_{t \in I_l} Z_u(t) > n_{u,l} \right) \leq C S^2 e^{-C_1 |k-l|^\gamma} \Psi(\hat{n}_{u,k,l}), \]  

with \( \hat{n}_{u,k,l} = \min(n_{u,k}, n_{u,l}) \) and \( \gamma = \min(\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty) \), which combined with (45)–(49) leads to

\[ \Sigma_2(u) \leq \sum_{N_1(u) - 2 \leq k < k + 1 < l \leq N_2(u) + 1} C S^2 e^{-C_1 |k-l|^\gamma} \Psi(\hat{n}_{u,k,l}) \]
\[ \leq \sum_{N_1(u) - 2 \leq k \leq N_2(u) + 1} \Psi(n_{u,k}^-) \sum_{l \geq 2} C S^2 e^{-C_1 l^\gamma S^\gamma} \]
\[ \leq \sum_{N_1(u) - 2 \leq k \leq N_2(u) + 1} \Psi(n_{u,k}^-) Q S^2 e^{-Q_1 S^\gamma} \]
\[ \leq Q S^2 e^{-Q_1 S^\gamma} \Theta(u), \quad u \to \infty. \]  

(54)

Consequently, by (52) and (54), for any \( S > 0 \)

\[ \Sigma_1(u) + \Sigma_2(u) \]
\[ \leq Q \left( S^{-1} \left( 2 B_{\eta_p}(0, [0, S]) - B_{\eta_p}(0, [0, 2S]) \right) + S^2 e^{-Q_1 S^\gamma} \right) \Theta(u). \]  

(55)

**Exact asymptotics of \( \pi(u) \)** Inserting (49), (50) and (55) into (43) and dividing each term by \( \Theta(u) \), we have that for \( S > x \)

\[ \limsup_{u \to \infty} \frac{\pi(u)}{\Theta(u)} \leq \frac{B_{\eta_p}(x, [0, S])}{S} + Q \left( 2 \frac{B_{\eta_p}(0, [0, S])}{S} - \frac{B_{\eta_p}(0, [0, 2S])}{S} + S^2 e^{-Q_1 S^\gamma} \right) \]  

(56)

\[ \liminf_{u \to \infty} \frac{\pi(u)}{\Theta(u)} \geq \frac{B_{\eta_p}(x, [0, S])}{S} - Q \left( 2 \frac{B_{\eta_p}(0, [0, S])}{S} - \frac{B_{\eta_p}(0, [0, 2S])}{S} + S^2 e^{-Q_1 S^\gamma} \right). \]  

(57)

Combination of (56)–(57) and the fact that (see [20,22])

\[ \lim_{S \to \infty} \frac{B_{\eta_p}(0, [0, S])}{S} \in (0, \infty) \]

leads to

\[ \liminf_{S \to \infty} \frac{B_{\eta_p}(x, [0, S])}{S} = \limsup_{S \to \infty} \frac{B_{\eta_p}(x, [0, S])}{S} < \infty. \]
We next prove that

\[
\liminf_{S \to \infty} \frac{B_{\eta \phi}(x, [0, S])}{S} > 0. \tag{58}
\]

Note that for \(\mathbb{P}\left(\int_{E(u) \cap (\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} I_{2k})} \mathbb{I}_0(Z_u(t) - n(u))dt > x\right)\), similarly as in (56)–(57), we have for \(S > x\)

\[
\liminf_{u \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(\int_{E(u) \cap (\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} I_{2k})} \mathbb{I}_0(Z_u(t) - n(u))dt > x\right)}{\Theta(u)} \geq \frac{B_{\eta \phi}(x, [0, S])}{2S} - Q S^2 e^{-Q_1 S^\gamma},
\]

which together with (56) gives that for any \(S_1 > x\)

\[
\liminf_{S \to \infty} \frac{B_{\eta \phi}(x, [0, S])}{S} \geq \frac{B_{\eta \phi}(x, [0, S_1])}{S_1} - Q S_1^2 e^{-Q_1 S_1^\gamma}.
\]

Notice that for \(S > x\), \(B_{\eta \phi}(x, [0, S], x)\) is non-decreasing with respect to \(S\) for \(S > x\) and

\[
B_{\eta \phi}(x, [0, S], x) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{t \in [0, S]} \left(\sqrt{2} \eta \phi(r) - \text{Var}(\eta \phi(r))\right) > -z\right) e^{-z} dz > 0.
\]

Hence for some \(S_1 > x\),

\[
\liminf_{S \to \infty} \frac{B_{\eta \phi}(x, [0, S])}{S} \geq \frac{B_{\eta \phi}(x, [0, S_1])}{S_1} - Q S_1^2 e^{-Q_1 S_1^\gamma} > 0,
\]

which confirms that (58) holds. Hence, we have

\[
B_{\eta \phi}(x) = \lim_{S \to \infty} \frac{B_{\eta \phi}(x, [0, S])}{S} \in (0, \infty).
\]

Letting \(S \to \infty\) in (56)–(57), we derive

\[
\pi(u) \sim B_{\eta \phi}(x) \Theta(u), \quad u \to \infty.
\]

This completes the proof of case (i).

\(\diamond\) Case (ii) Let us first assume that

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} a_1(u) = -\infty, \quad \lim_{u \to \infty} a_2(u) = \infty.
\]

By (11), observe that for \(u\) sufficiently large

\[
p_0(u) \leq \pi(u) \leq p_0(u) + p_1(u), \tag{59}
\]

\(\Box \) Springer
where
\[ p_0(u) = \mathbb{P} \left( \int_{[-S,S]} \mathbb{I}_0(Z_u(t) - n(u)) dt > x \right), \]
\[ \pi_1(u) = \sum_{k=N_1(u) - 2, k \neq -1, 0}^{N_2(u) + 2} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in I_0} Z_{u,k}(t) > n_{u,k}^- \right). \]

In order to complete the proof, we shall derive the asymptotics of \( p_0(u) \) and show further that
\[ \pi_1(u) = o(p_0(u)), \quad u \to \infty, S \to \infty. \]

The analysis of \( p_0(u) \) In order to apply Lemma 4.1, we need to check the validity of \( C0-C2 \). \( C0 \) holds straightforwardly. By (14) and uniform convergence theorem (e.g., in [24]),
\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [-S,S]} \left| n^2(u) w(g(u)|t|) - \gamma |t|^\beta \right| \\
\leq \lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [-S,S]} \left| \left( n^2(u) w(g(u)) - \gamma \right) \frac{w(g(u)|t|)}{w(g(u))} \right| \\
+ \gamma \lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [-S,S]} \left| \frac{w(g(u)|t|)}{w(g(u))} - |t|^\beta \right| = 0.
\]
By (11), (14) and uniform convergence theorem (e.g., in [24]), we have that for any \( S > 0 \)
\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [-S,S]} \left| n^2(u)(1 - \sigma_u(t)) - \gamma |t|^\beta \right| \\
\leq \lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [-S,S]} \left| n^2(u) w(g(u)|t|) \right| \left| \frac{1 - \sigma_u(t)}{w(g(u)|t|)} - 1 \right| \\
+ \lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [-S,S]} \left| n^2(u) w(g(u)|t|) - \gamma |t|^\beta \right| \\
= 0,
\]
which confirms that \( C1 \) hold with \( h(t) = \gamma |t|^\beta \). By (13), \( C2 \) is satisfied with \( \xi_{\psi}(t) = \eta_{\psi}(t) \). Thus we have,
\[
p_0(u) \sim \mathcal{B}^{\psi |t|^\beta}_{\eta_{\psi}}(x, [-S, S]) \Psi(n(u)). \tag{60}
\]
Upper bound of \( \pi_1(u) \) By (44)

\[
\pi_1(u) \sim \sum_{k=N_1(u) - 2, k \neq -1, 0}^{N_2(u) + 2} B_{\eta_\psi}(0, [0, S]) \Psi(n_{u,k})
\]

\[
\leq B_{\eta_\psi}(0, [0, S]) \Psi(n(u)) \sum_{k=N_1(u) - 2, k \neq -1, 0}^{N_2(u) + 2} e^{-(1-\epsilon) \inf_{t \in I_k} n^2(u) w(g(u)|t|)}, \quad u \to \infty.
\]

Further, using (46) for \( u \) sufficiently large and \( S > 1 \), we have

\[
\inf_{t \in I_k} n^2(u) w(g(u)|t|) \geq \frac{\gamma}{2} \inf_{t \in I_k} \frac{w(g(u)|t|)}{w(g(u))} \geq \mathbb{Q}(|k|S)^{\beta/2},
\]

\[
N_1(u) - 2 \leq k \leq N_2(u) + 2, \quad k \neq -1, 0.
\]

Thus we have,

\[
\pi_1(u) \leq B_{\eta_\psi}(0, [0, S]) \Psi(n(u)) \sum_{k=N_1(u) - 2, k \neq -1, 0}^{N_2(u) + 2} e^{-\mathbb{Q}(|k|S)^{\beta/2}}
\]

\[
\leq B_{\eta_\psi}(0, [0, S]) \mathbb{Q}_2 e^{-\mathbb{Q}_1 S^{\beta/2}} \Psi(n(u)), \quad u \to \infty.
\]  \hspace{2cm} (61)

Exact asymptotics of \( \pi(u) \) Inserting (60) and (61) into (59) and dividing each terms by \( \Psi(n(u)) \), we have

\[
B_{\eta_\psi}^{\gamma|t|^\beta}(x, [-S, S]) \leq \lim_{u \to \infty} \inf \frac{\pi(u)}{\Psi(n(u))}
\]

\[
\leq \lim_{u \to \infty} \sup \frac{\pi(u)}{\Psi(n(u))}
\]

\[
\leq B_{\eta_\psi}^{\gamma|t|^\beta}(x, [-S, S]) + B_{\eta_\psi}(0, [0, S]) \mathbb{Q}_2 e^{-\mathbb{Q}_1 S^{\beta/2}}.
\]  \hspace{2cm} (62)

This implies that for \( S_1 > x \)

\[
0 < \lim_{S \to \infty} B_{\eta_\psi}^{\gamma|t|^\beta}(x, [-S, S])
\]

\[
\leq B_{\eta_\psi}^{\gamma|t|^\beta}(x, [-S_1, S_1]) + B_{\eta_\psi}(0, [0, S_1]) \mathbb{Q}_2 e^{-\mathbb{Q}_1 S_1^{\beta/2}} < \infty.
\]

Moreover, by case (i)

\[
\lim_{S \to \infty} \frac{B_{\eta_\psi}(0, [0, S])}{S} \in (0, \infty).
\]

Therefore, letting \( S \to \infty \) in (62), we have

\[
\pi(u) \sim B_{\eta_\psi}^{\gamma|t|^\beta}(x, (-\infty, \infty)) \Psi(n(u)), \quad u \to \infty.
\]

This establishes the claim for \( a_1 = -\infty, a_2 = \infty \).
Next, we focus on the case $a_i \in (-\infty, \infty), i = 1, 2$ with $a_2 - a_1 > x$. Note that for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small and $u$ sufficiently large

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\int_{[a_1+\epsilon,a_2-\epsilon]} \mathbb{I}_0(Z_u(t) - n(u))dt > x\right) \\
\leq \pi(u) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\int_{[a_1-\epsilon,a_2+\epsilon]} \mathbb{I}_0(Z_u(t) - n(u))dt > x\right).
$$

Applying Lemma 4.1, it follows that

$$
\mathcal{B}_{\eta u}^{\gamma|I|^\beta}(x, [a_1 + \epsilon, a_2 - \epsilon])\Psi(n(u)) \\
\leq \pi(u) \leq \mathcal{B}_{\eta u}^{\gamma|I|^\beta}(x, [a_1 - \epsilon, a_2 + \epsilon])\Psi(n(u)), \ u \to \infty. \quad (63)
$$

Notice that

$$
\mathcal{B}_{\eta u}^{\gamma|I|^\beta}(x, [a_1 - \epsilon, a_2 + \epsilon]) \\
= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}\left(\int_{[a_1-\epsilon,a_2+\epsilon]} \mathbb{I}_0(\tilde{\eta}(t) + z)dt > x\right) e^{-z}dz \\
= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}\left(\int_{[a_1,a_2]} \mathbb{I}_0(\tilde{\eta}(t) + z)dt + \int_{[a_1-\epsilon,a_1]\cup[a_2,a_2+\epsilon]} \mathbb{I}_0(\tilde{\eta}(t) + z)dt > x\right) e^{-z}dz,
$$

where $\tilde{\eta}(t) = \sqrt{2}\eta_\nu(t) - Var(\eta_\nu(t)) - \gamma|I|^\beta$, and

$$
0 \leq \int_{[a_1-\epsilon,a_1]\cup[a_2,a_2+\epsilon]} \mathbb{I}_0(\tilde{\eta}(t) + z)dt \leq 2\epsilon.
$$

Hence,

$$
\mathcal{B}_{\eta u}^{\gamma|I|^\beta}(x, [a_1, a_2]) \leq \mathcal{B}_{\eta u}^{\gamma|I|^\beta}(x, [a_1 - \epsilon, a_2 + \epsilon]) \leq \mathcal{B}_{\eta u}^{\gamma|I|^\beta}(x - 2\epsilon, [a_1, a_2]).
$$

The continuity of $\mathcal{B}_{\eta u}^{\gamma|I|^\beta}(x, [a_1, a_2])$ (see Lemma 4.1) leads to

$$
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \mathcal{B}_{\eta u}^{\gamma|I|^\beta}(x - 2\epsilon, [a_1, a_2]) = \mathcal{B}_{\eta u}^{\gamma|I|^\beta}(x, [a_1, a_2]),
$$

implying that

$$
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \mathcal{B}_{\eta u}^{\gamma|I|^\beta}(x, [a_1 - \epsilon, a_2 + \epsilon]) = \mathcal{B}_{\eta u}^{\gamma|I|^\beta}(x, [a_1, a_2]). \quad (64)
$$

We can analogously show that

$$
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \mathcal{B}_{\eta u}^{\gamma|I|^\beta}(x, [a_1 + \epsilon, a_2 - \epsilon]) = \mathcal{B}_{\eta u}^{\gamma|I|^\beta}(x, [a_1, a_2]). \quad (65)
$$
Letting $\epsilon \to 0$ in (63), we have that

$$\pi(u) \sim B_{\theta_0}^{r|t|^\beta}(x, [a_1, a_2])\Psi(n(u)), \quad u \to \infty.$$

This establishes the claim for case $a_i \in (-\infty, \infty), \ i=1, 2$ with $a_2 - a_1 > x$.

For the case that $a_1 = -\infty, a_2 \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and $a_1 \in (-\infty, \infty), a_2 = \infty$, we can establish the claim by using same approach.

$\diamond$ Case (iii) Let us first consider the case that

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} a_1(u) g(u) = -\infty, \quad \lim_{u \to \infty} a_2(u) g(u) = \infty.$$

By (11), we have

$$p_1(u) \leq \pi_2(u) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{3} p_i(u) + \pi_3(u), \quad (66)$$

where

$$\pi_2(u) = \mathbb{P}\left(\int_{E(u)} I_0(Z_u(t) - n(u))dt > \theta(u)x\right),$$

$$p_1(u) = \mathbb{P}\left(\int_{[-\theta(u)S, \theta(u)S]} I_0(Z_u(t) - n(u))dt > \theta(u)x\right),$$

$$p_i(u) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in I_{i-3} \setminus [-\theta(u)S, \theta(u)S]} Z_u(t) > n'(u)\right), \quad i = 2, 3,$$

$$\pi_3(u) = \sum_{k=N_1(u)-2, k \neq 0, -1}^{N_2(u)+2} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in I_k} Z_u(t) > n_{u,k}^-\right),$$

with

$$\theta(u) = \frac{w(n^{-2}(u))}{g(u)},$$

$$n'(u) = n(u) \left(1 + (1 - \epsilon) \inf_{t \in [-S,S] \setminus [-\theta(u)S, \theta(u)S]} w(g(u)|t|)\right), \quad 0 < \epsilon < 1.$$

We shall derive the exact asymptotics of $p_1(u)$ and then prove that $p_2(u), p_3(u)$ and $\pi_3(u)$ are all negligible compared with $p_1(u)$ as $u \to \infty$ and $S \to \infty$.

Analysis of $p_1(u)$ Substituting $t$ by $\theta(u)s$, we obtain

$$p_1(u) = \mathbb{P}\left(\int_{[-S,S]} I_0(Z_u(\theta(u)t) - n(u))dt > x\right).$$
Next, we check \( C_0 - C_2 \) in Lemma 4.1. \( C_0 \) holds straightforwardly. Moreover, by (11) and uniform convergence theorem (e.g., in [24]), with noting that \( n^2(u) = (w(\frac{\hat{w}}{\hat{w}}(n^{-2}(u))))^{-1} \), we have that for any \( S > 0 \)

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [-S, S]} |n^2(u)(1 - \sigma_u(\theta(u)t)) - |t|^{\beta}| \\
\leq \lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [-S, S]} \left| \frac{w(\hat{w}(n^{-2}(u))|t|)}{w(\frac{\hat{w}}{\hat{w}}(n^{-2}(u)))} \right| \left| \frac{1 - \sigma_u(\theta(u)t)}{w(\frac{\hat{w}}{\hat{w}}(n^{-2}(u))|t|)} - 1 \right| \\
+ \lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [-S, S]} \left| \frac{w(\frac{\hat{w}}{\hat{w}}(n^{-2}(u))|t|)}{w(\frac{\hat{w}}{\hat{w}}(n^{-2}(u)))} - |t|^{\beta}\right| \\
= 0.
\]

This confirms that \( C_1 \) holds with \( h(t) = |t|^{\beta} \). It follows from (13) that

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{s, t \in [-S, S], s \neq t} \left| n^2(u)(1 - Corr(\hat{Z}_u(\theta(u)t) - \hat{Z}_u(\theta(u)s))) - \frac{\sigma_u^2(\theta(u) \Delta(u)|t-s|)}{\sigma_u^2(\Delta(u))} \right| = 0
\]

with

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} \theta(u) = \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{\hat{w}(n^{-2}(u))}{g(u)} = 0.
\]

This means that \( C_2 \) is satisfied with \( \zeta_\phi = 0 \). Therefore, we have that

\[
p_1(u) \sim \mathcal{B}_{0}^{[t]}(x, [-S, S])\Psi(n(u)). \tag{67}
\]

**Upper bound for \( \pi_3(u) \), \( p_1(u) \), \( i = 2, 3 \)** Next, we find an upper bound of \( \pi_3(u) \). Similarly as (61), by (44), we have

\[
\pi_3(u) \leq \mathcal{B}_{\eta_{\phi}}(0, [0, S])\hat{Q}_2 e^{-Q_1 S^{\beta/2}} \Psi(n(u)), \quad u \to \infty. \tag{68}
\]

Finally, we focus on deriving an upper bound of \( p_i(u) \), \( i = 2, 3 \). In light of (44), we have that

\[
p_i(u) \leq \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in I_{i-3}} \hat{Z}_u(t) > n'(u) \right) \sim \mathcal{B}_{\eta_{\phi}}(0, [0, S])\Psi(n'(u)), \quad i = 2, 3. \tag{69}
\]

By (46), we have that

\[
n^2(u) \inf_{t \in [-S, S], [-\theta(u)S, \theta(u)S]} w(g(u)|t|) \geq \frac{1}{2} \inf_{t \in [0, S/|\theta(u)|], [-S, S]} \frac{w(\frac{\hat{w}}{\hat{w}}(n^{-2}(u))|t|)}{w(\frac{\hat{w}}{\hat{w}}(n^{-2}(u)))} \geq \frac{1}{4} \inf_{t \in [0, S/|\theta(u)|], [-S, S]} |t|^{\beta/2} \geq \frac{1}{4} |S|^{\beta/2},
\]

\( \square \) Springer
which together with (69) leads to
\[
p_i(u) \leq B_{\eta \varphi}(0, [0, S])e^{-Q|S|^\beta/2}/\Psi(n(u)), \quad u \to \infty, \ i = 2, 3. \tag{70}
\]

**Exact asymptotics of \( \pi_2(u) \)**

Inserting (67), (68) and (70) into (66), we have that
\[
\liminf_{u \to \infty} \frac{\pi_2(u)}{\Psi(n(u))} \geq B_0^{|t|^{\beta/2}}(x, [-S, S]), \\
\limsup_{u \to \infty} \frac{\pi_2(u)}{\Psi(n(u))} \leq B_0^{|t|^{\beta/2}}(x, [-S, S]) + B_{\eta \varphi}(0, [0, S])e^{-Q|S|^\beta/2}, \tag{71}
\]

which implies that
\[
0 < \lim_{S \to \infty} B_0^{|t|^{\beta/2}}(x, [-S, S]) \leq B_0^{|t|^{\beta/2}}(x, [-S_1, S_1]) + B_{\eta \varphi}(0, [0, S_1])e^{-QS_1^{\beta/2}} < \infty, \\
S_1 > x.
\]

Letting \( S \to \infty \) in (71) yields that
\[
\pi_2(u) \sim B_0^{|t|^{\beta/2}}(x, (-\infty, \infty))\Psi(n(u)).
\]

This establishes the claim for \( b_1 = -\infty, b_2 = \infty \).

Next, we focus on the case \( b_1, b_2 \in (-\infty, \infty) \) with \( b_2 - b_1 > x \). For \( \epsilon > 0 \) sufficiently small and \( u \) sufficiently large, we have
\[
P \left( \int_{[\theta(u)(b_1+\epsilon), \theta(u)(b_2-\epsilon)]} \mathbb{I}_0(Z_u(t) - n(u))dt > \theta(u)x \right) \\
\leq \pi_2(u) \leq P \left( \int_{[\theta(u)(b_1-\epsilon), \theta(u)(b_2+\epsilon)]} \mathbb{I}_0(Z_u(t) - n(u))dt > \theta(u)x \right).
\]

Applying Lemma 4.1, similarly as in (67), we derive that
\[
B_0^{|t|^{\beta/2}}(x, [b_1 + \epsilon, b_2 - \epsilon])\Psi(n(u)) \leq \pi_2(u) \leq B_0^{|t|^{\beta/2}}(x, [b_1 - \epsilon, b_2 + \epsilon])\Psi(n(u)), \quad u \to \infty.
\]

In light of (64) and (65), letting \( \epsilon \to 0 \) in above inequality, we get
\[
\pi_2(u) \sim B_0^{|t|^{\beta/2}}(x, [b_1, b_2])\Psi(n(u)), \quad u \to \infty.
\]

Hence, the claim for case \( b_1, b_2 \in (-\infty, \infty) \) is established. The proofs of claims for other cases of \( b_1 \) and \( b_2 \) can be done by the same line of reasoning.

This completes the proof. \( \Box \)
Proof of Theorem 3.1} We set \( \Delta(u) = \hat{\sigma} \left( \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma^2(u^*t^*)}{u(1+c^*t^*)}} \right) \). By \((23)\), observe that for any \( u > 0 \) (recall the definition of \( \hat{\sigma} \) in \((22)\))

\[
\pi_4(u) \leq \mathbb{P} \left( \frac{1}{\Delta(u)} \int_0^\infty I_u(X(t) - ct) dt > x \right) \leq \pi_4(u) + \pi_5(u) \tag{72}
\]

where

\[
\pi_4(u) = \mathbb{P} \left( \frac{u}{\Delta(u)} \int_{E_1(u)} I_0 \left( \frac{X(u t u)}{u(1 + c t u)} M(u) \right) dt > x \right),
\]

\[
\pi_5(u) = \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, \infty] \setminus E_1(u)} \frac{X(u t u)}{u(1 + c t u)} M(u) > M(u) \right), \tag{73}
\]

with

\[
E_1(u) = \left[ t_u - \frac{\ln M(u)}{M(u)}, t_u + \frac{\ln M(u)}{M(u)} \right]. \tag{74}
\]

The idea of the proof is to derive the exact asymptotics of \( \pi_4(u) \) using Theorem 2.1 and to show that \( \pi_5(u) = o(\pi_4(u)) \) as \( u \to \infty \).

The analysis of \( \pi_4(u) \) Scaling time with \( \frac{u}{\Delta(u)} \), we have

\[
\pi_4(u) = \mathbb{P} \left( \int_{E_2(u)} I_0 (Z_u(t) - M(u)) dt > x \right), \tag{75}
\]

where

\[
Z_u(t) = \frac{X(u t u + \Delta(u) t u)}{u(1 + c t u) + c \Delta(u) t u}, \quad E_2(u) = \left[ -\frac{u \ln M(u)}{\Delta(u) M(u)}, \frac{u \ln M(u)}{\Delta(u) M(u)} \right]. \tag{76}
\]

It follows from \((39)\) and \((40)\) that

\[
1 - \sqrt{\text{Var}(Z_u(t))} \sim \left( \frac{\sqrt{B 2 \Delta(u) |t|}}{2A} \right)^2, \quad t \in E_2(u),
\]

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{s \neq t, s, t \in E_2(u)} \left| M^2(u) \frac{1 - \text{Corr}(Z_u(s), Z_u(t))}{\sigma^2(\Delta(u)|s-t|)/\sigma^2(\Delta(u))} - 1 \right| = 0, \tag{77}
\]

which imply that \((11)\) and \((13)\) hold with

\[
w(t) = t^2, \quad g(u) = \sqrt{\frac{B \Delta(u)}{2A} u}, \quad n(u) = M(u), \quad \eta = X,
\]

\[
\Delta(u) = \hat{\sigma} \left( \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma^2(u^*t^*)}{u(1+c^*t^*)}} \right),
\]
\[ a_1(u) = -\frac{u \ln M(u)}{\Delta(u) M(u)}, \quad a_2(u) = \frac{u \ln M(u)}{\Delta(u) M(u)}. \] (78)

Next, we check the assumptions of (i) in Theorem 2.1. Following the notation in Theorem 2.1, we have

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} g(u) = \lim_{u \to \infty} \sqrt{\frac{B}{2A}} \frac{\Delta(u)}{u} = 0,
\]

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} g(u) |a_i(u)| = \lim_{u \to \infty} \sqrt{\frac{B}{2A}} \frac{\ln M(u)}{M(u)} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{79}
\]

Note that

\[
n^2(u) w(g(u)) = \frac{B}{2A} \left( \frac{M(u) \Delta(u)}{u} \right)^2 \sim \mathcal{Q} \left( \frac{\sigma(u)^{-1} \sigma^2(u)}{\sigma(u)} \right)^2
\]

is a regularly varying function at \( \infty \) with index \( 2\tau \) with

\[
\tau = \begin{cases} 
\frac{2\alpha_\infty - 1}{\alpha_0} - \alpha_\infty, & \varphi = 0 \\
-\alpha_\infty, & \varphi \in (0, \infty) \\
\frac{2\alpha_\infty - 1}{\alpha_\infty} - \alpha_\infty, & \varphi = \infty.
\end{cases} \tag{80}
\]

Since \( \tau < 0 \) for all \( \varphi \), then for all \( \varphi \in [0, \infty] \)

\[
\gamma = \lim_{u \to \infty} n^2(u) w(g(u)) = 0. \tag{81}
\]

Moreover,

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} n^2(u) w(g(u)|a_i(u)|) = \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{B}{2A} (\ln M(u))^2 = \infty, \quad i = 1, 2 \tag{82}
\]

and by (80)

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} a_1(u) = -\lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{u \ln M(u)}{\Delta(u) M(u)} = -\mathcal{Q} \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{\sigma(u) \ln M(u)}{\sigma(u)^{-1} \sigma^2(u)} = -\infty, \tag{83}
\]

which imply that \( \gamma_1 = -\infty \). Similarly, we can check that \( \gamma_2 = \infty \). Additionally,

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} n(u) w(g(u)|a_i(u)|) = \frac{B}{A} \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{(\ln M(u))^2}{M(u)} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{84}
\]

Hence all the assumptions in (i) of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied, leading to
\[ \pi_4(u) \sim B_{X_\varphi}(x) \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |t|^{1/2-1} e^{-|t|} \, dt \sqrt{\frac{2A}{B} \frac{u}{M(u)}} \Psi(M(u)) \]

\[ \sim B_{X_\varphi}(x) \sqrt{\frac{2A\pi}{B} \frac{u}{M(u)}} \Psi(M(u)), \quad u \to \infty, \quad (85) \]

where \( X_\varphi \) is given in (25).

**Upper bound of \( \pi_5(u) \)** By [20, Lemma 7] or [25, Lemma 5.6], we have

\[ \pi_5(u) = o \left( \frac{u}{M(u)} \Psi(M(u)) \right), \quad u \to \infty, \quad (86) \]

which combined with (72) and (85) leads to

\[ P \left( \frac{1}{\Delta(u)} \int_0^{\infty} I_u(X(t) - ct) \, dt > x \right) \sim B_{X_\varphi}(x) \sqrt{\frac{2A\pi}{B} \frac{u}{M(u)}} \Psi(M(u)), \quad u \to \infty. \]

This completes the proof.

\[ \square \]

**Proof of Corollary 3.3** We also set \( \Delta(u) = \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma_2^2}{u(1+ct^+)} \left( \frac{u}{M(u)} \right)^2} \). Observe that for \( 0 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 < \infty \) and \( u > 0 \), the conditional distribution can be rewritten as the ratio of two sojourn probabilities over different intervals, i.e.,

\[ P \left( \frac{\tau_u^+(x_1, x_2) - ut_u}{A(u)} < y \right) = \frac{q(u)}{P \left( \frac{1}{\Delta(u)} \int_0^{\infty} I_u(X(t) - ct) \, dt > x_1 \right)}, \quad (87) \]

where \( y \in \mathbb{R} \) and

\[ q(u) = P \left( \frac{1}{\Delta(u)} \int_{[0, ut_u+A(u)y]} I_u(X(t) - ct) \, dt > x_2 \right). \]

Hence in order to complete the proof, it suffices to derive the asymptotics of \( q(u) \).

Using notation for \( E_1(u) \) and \( \pi_5(u) \) introduced in (73) and (74), we have that

\[ q_1(u) \leq q(u) \leq q_1(u) + \pi_4(u), \quad (88) \]

with

\[ q_1(u) = P \left( \frac{u}{\Delta(u)} \int_{t_u - \frac{\ln M(u)}{M(u)}, t_u + \frac{A(u)}{u} y} \mathbb{I}_0 \left( \frac{X(ut)}{u(1+ct)} - M(u) \right) \, dt > x_2 \right). \]

Scaling time by \( \frac{u}{\Delta(u)} \), we rewrite

\[ q_1(u) = P \left( \int_{E_3(u)} \mathbb{I}_0 (Z_u(t) - M(u)) \, dt > x_2 \right), \]
where

\[ Z_u(t) = \frac{X(ut_\mu + \Delta(u)t)}{u(1 + ct_\mu) + c\Delta(u)t}, \quad E_3(u) = \left[ -\frac{u \ln M(u)}{\Delta(u)M(u)}, \frac{A(u)}{\Delta(u)} y \right]. \]

Using the same notation as introduced in (78) with the exception that \( a_2(u) = \frac{A(u)}{\Delta(u)} y \), we have that (77), (79), (81) and (84) also hold. We next get the value of \( y_i, i = 1, 2 \).

For this, note that

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} n^2(u) w(g(u)|a_2(u)) = \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{B}{2A} \left( \frac{M(u)A(u)}{u} \right)^2 y^2 = \frac{\alpha_{\infty}}{C^2(1 - \alpha_{\infty})^3} y^2,
\]

where \( A \) and \( B \) are given in (24). Moreover, by (80)

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{A(u)}{\Delta(u)} = \Phi \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{\sigma(u)}{u^{-1} \sigma^2(u)} = \infty.
\]

Hence, \( y_2 = \frac{\gamma^2}{2} I_{[y > 0]} - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} I_{[y < 0]} \). Additionally, it follows from (82)–(83) that \( y_1 = -\infty \). Thus applying (i) in Theorem 2.1, we have

\[
q_1(u) \sim \mathcal{B}_{X_\psi}(x_2) \sqrt{\frac{2A\pi}{B}} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{y_2} |t|^{-1/2} e^{-|t|} dt \frac{u}{M(u)\Delta(u)} \Psi(M(u)), \quad u \to \infty.
\]

Combination of (86), (88) and (89) leads to

\[
q(u) \sim \mathcal{B}_{X_\psi}(x_2) \sqrt{\frac{2A\pi}{B}} \Phi(y) \frac{u}{M(u)\Delta(u)} \Psi(M(u)), \quad u \to \infty.
\]

Consequently, by Theorem 3.1 and (87)

\[
P\left( \frac{\tau_u^\tau(x_1, x_2) - ut_\mu}{A(u)} < y \right) = \frac{\mathcal{B}_{X_\psi}(x_2)}{\mathcal{B}_{X_\psi}(x_1)} \Phi(y), \quad u \to \infty
\]

establishing the proof.

**Proof of Theorem 3.4** We set \( \Delta(u) = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma} \left( \frac{\sqrt{2\sigma^2(T)}}{u + cT} \right) \). Following (26), we have

\[
\lambda(u) \leq P\left( v(u) \int_0^T I_u(X(t) - ct)dt > x \right) \leq \lambda(u) + \lambda_1(u), \quad (90)
\]
where
\[ \lambda(u) = P \left( v(u) \int_{E_4(u)} \mathbb{I}_0 \left( X(t) \frac{m(u) - m(u)}{u + ct} \right) dt > x \right), \]
\[ \lambda_1(u) = P \left( \sup_{t \in [0,T] \setminus E_4(u)} \frac{X(t)}{u + ct} m(u) > m(u) \right), \]
with
\[ E_4(u) = \left[ T - \left( \frac{\ln m(u)}{m(u)} \right)^2, T \right], \]
\[ v(u) = 1/\Delta(u) \]
if \( \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{|t|}{\sigma^2(|t|)} \in [0, \infty) \) and
\[ v(u) = (m(u))^2 \]
if \( \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{|t|}{\sigma^2(|t|)} = \infty \). We shall derive the exact asymptotics of \( \lambda(u) \) by applying Theorem 2.1, and show that
\[ \lambda_1(u) = o(\lambda(u)), \quad u \to \infty. \]
We distinguish three cases: \( \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{|t|}{\sigma^2(|t|)} = 0, (0, \infty) \) and \( \infty \), respectively.

**Case** \( \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{|t|}{\sigma^2(|t|)} = 0. \)

**Asymptotics of** \( \lambda(u) \)

Noting that \( v(u) = 1/\Delta(u) \) and scaling time by \( \Delta(u) \) we get,
\[ \lambda(u) = P \left( \int_{E_5(u)} \mathbb{I}_0 (Z_u(t) - m(u)) dt > x \right), \]
with
\[ Z_u(t) = \frac{X(T - \Delta(u)t)}{u + ct - c\Delta(u)t} m(u), \quad E_5(u) = \left[ 0, (\Delta(u))^{-1} \left( \frac{\ln m(u)}{m(u)} \right)^2 \right]. \]

In light of Lemma 4.4, we have that
\[ 1 - \sqrt{\text{Var}(Z_u(t))} \sim \frac{\dot{\sigma}(T)}{\sigma(T)} \Delta(u)|t|, \quad t \in E_5(u), \]
\[ \lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{s \neq t, s, t \in E_5(u)} \left\| \frac{m^2(u)(1 - \text{Corr}(Z_u(t), Z_u(s)))}{\frac{\sigma^2(\Delta(u)|t-s|)}{\sigma(\Delta(u))}} - 1 \right\| = 0. \]

With the notation introduced in Theorem 2.1, (93) implies that
\[ n(u) = m(u), \quad w = t, \quad g(u) = \frac{\dot{\sigma}(T)}{\sigma(T)} \Delta(u), \]
\[ \Delta(u) = \hat{\sigma} \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} \sigma^2(T)}{u + cT} \right), \quad \eta = X, \]

\[ E(u) = [a_1(u), a_2(u)], \quad a_1(u) = 0, \quad a_2(u) = (\Delta(u))^{-1} \left( \frac{\ln m(u)}{m(u)} \right)^2. \quad (94) \]

Next, we check the conditions in (i) of Theorem 2.1. It follows that

\[ \lim_{u \to \infty} g(u) = \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{\dot{\sigma}(T)}{\sigma(T)} \Delta(u) = 0, \quad g(u) a_1(u) = 0, \]

\[ \lim_{u \to \infty} g(u) a_2(u) = \lim_{u \to \infty} \left( \frac{\ln m(u)}{m(u)} \right)^2 = 0, \quad (95) \]

and

\[ \gamma = \lim_{u \to \infty} n^2(u) w(g(u)) = \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{\dot{\sigma}(T)}{\sigma(T)} (m(u))^2 = \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{\hat{\sigma}(u^{-1})}{\sigma(u^{-1})} = 0. \]

Moreover,

\[ x_1 = \lim_{u \to \infty} n^2(u) w(g(u) a_1(u)) = 0, \]

\[ x_2 = \lim_{u \to \infty} n^2(u) w(g(u) a_2(u)) = \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{\dot{\sigma}(T)}{\sigma(T)} (\ln m(u))^2 = \infty, \]

\[ \lim_{u \to \infty} a_2(u) = \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{\sigma^2(\sigma(u^{-1}))}{\sigma(u^{-1})} \ln m(u) = \infty, \]

imply that \( y_1 = 0 \) and \( y_2 = \infty \). Additionally,

\[ \lim_{u \to \infty} n(u) (w(g(u)|a_1(u)|) + w(g(u)|a_2(u)|)) = \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{\dot{\sigma}(T)}{\sigma(T)} \frac{(\ln m(u))^2}{m(u)} = 0, \]

\[ \lim_{u \to \infty} \Delta(u) = 0. \]

Consequently, by (i) of Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.2, we have

\[ \lambda(u) \sim \mathbb{B}_{2\alpha_0}(x) \frac{\sigma(T)}{\dot{\sigma}(T)} \frac{1}{(m(u))^2 \Delta(u)} \Psi(m(u)). \quad (96) \]

**Upper bound of \( \lambda_1(u) \)** From (41), we have for sufficiently large \( u \) that

\[ \sup_{t \in [0,T] \setminus E_4(u)} \text{Var} \left( \frac{X(t)}{u + ct} m(u) \right) \leq 1 - \frac{(\ln m(u))^2}{m(u)}. \]

Further, by BII
\[(m(u))^2 \text{Var} \left( \frac{X(t)}{u + ct} - \frac{X(s)}{u + cs} \right) \leq 2(m(u))^2 \left( \frac{\sigma^2(|t-s|)}{(u + ct)^2} + \frac{\sigma^2(s)c^2(t-s)^2}{(u + ct)^2(u + cs)^2} \right) \leq \mathcal{Q} \left( \sigma^2(|t-s|) + |t-s|^2 \right) \leq \mathcal{Q}|t-s|^{\alpha_0}, \quad s, t \in [0, T]. \]

Consequently, in light of Piterbarg theorem [22, Theorem 8.1], we have that for \( u \) sufficiently large

\[
\lambda_1(u) \leq \mathcal{Q}_1(m(u))^{2/\alpha_0} \Psi \left( \frac{m(u)}{\sqrt{1 - \mathcal{Q}\left( \frac{\ln(m(u))}{m(u)} \right)^2}} \right). \tag{97}
\]

Combination of (90), (96) and (97) leads to

\[
P \left( \frac{1}{\Delta(u)} \int_0^T \mathbb{I}_u(X(t) - ct) \, dt > x \right) \sim B_{B_{2\alpha_0}}(x) \frac{\sigma(T)}{\sigma(T) (m(u))^2 \Delta(u)} \Psi(m(u)),
\]

establishing the claim.

Case \( \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{|t|}{\sigma^2(|t|)} = 1/\theta \in (0, \infty) \) First note that (91)–(95) still hold. Next, we check the conditions of (ii) in Theorem 2.1. Following the notation in Theorem 2.1, we have that

\[
\gamma = \lim_{u \to \infty} n^2(u)w(g(u)) = 2\sigma(T)\hat{\sigma}(T) \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{\hat{\sigma}(u^{-1})}{\sigma^2(\frac{\ln(m(u))}{m(u)})} = \frac{2\sigma(T)\hat{\sigma}(T)}{\theta},
\]

\[
a_1 = \lim_{u \to \infty} a_1(u) = 0, \quad a_2 = \lim_{u \to \infty} a_2(u) = \lim_{u \to \infty} (\Delta(u))^{-1} \left( \frac{\ln(m(u))}{m(u)} \right)^2 = \infty.
\]

Consequently, in light of case (ii) in Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.2,

\[
\lambda(u) \sim B_{B_{2\alpha_0}}(x) \frac{\sigma(T)}{\sigma(T) (m(u))^2 \Delta(u)} \Psi(m(u)), \quad u \to \infty,
\]

which together with (90) and (97) establishes the claim.

Case \( \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{|t|}{\sigma^2(|t|)} = \infty \) Noting that \( v(u) = (m(u))^2 \), scaling of time by \( \Delta(u) \), we have

\[
\lambda(u) = \mathbb{P}\left( \int_{E_5(u)} \mathbb{I}_0(Z_u(t) - m(u)) \, dt > \frac{x}{\Delta(u)(m(u))^2} \right),
\]

with \( E_5(u) \) defined in (92) and

\[
Z_u(t) = \frac{X(T - \Delta(u)t)}{u + cT - c\Delta(u)t} m(u).
\]

\( \square \) Springer
Next, we verify conditions of case (iii) in Theorem 2.1. Notice that (91)–(95) still hold. Using notation in Theorem 2.1, we have

\[ \gamma = \lim_{u \to \infty} n^2(u)w(g(u)) = 2\sigma(T)\dot{\sigma}(T) \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{\bar{\sigma}(u^{-1})}{\sigma^2(u^{-1})} = \infty, \]

\[ b_1 = \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{a_1(u)g(u)}{w(n^{-2}(u))} = 0, \quad b_2 = \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{b(u)g(u)}{w(n^{-2}(u))} = \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{\dot{\sigma}(T)(\ln m(u))^2}{\sigma(T)m(u)} = \infty. \]

Moreover,

\[ \frac{x}{\Delta(u)(m(u))^2} = \frac{\bar{w}(n^{-2}(u))}{g(u)} \frac{\dot{\sigma}(T)}{\sigma(T)} x = \theta(u) \frac{\dot{\sigma}(T)}{\sigma(T)} x. \]

Consequently, by case (iii) in Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.2

\[ \lambda(u) = \mathbb{P}\left( \int_{E_5(u)} \mathbb{I}_0 \left( Z_u(t) - m(u) \right) dt > \bar{w}(n^{-2}(u)) \frac{\dot{\sigma}(T)}{g(u)} x \right) \]

\[ \sim \mathcal{B}_0(\frac{\dot{\sigma}(T)}{\sigma(T)} x, [0, \infty)) \Psi(m(u)), \quad u \to \infty, \]

which combined with (90), (97) and (19) yields that

\[ \mathbb{P}\left( (m(u))^2 \int_0^T \mathbb{I}_u(X(t) - ct) dt > x \right) \sim e^{-\frac{\dot{\sigma}(T)}{\sigma(T)} x} \Psi(m(u)), \quad u \to \infty. \]

This completes the proof. \hfill \Box

**Proof of Corollary 3.5** Observe that for any \( y \geq 0 \)

\[ \mathbb{P}\left( \frac{\dot{\sigma}(T)}{\sigma^3(T)} u^2(T - \tau_{u,T}^*(x_1, x_2)) \geq y \right) = \frac{\mathbb{P}\left( \tau_{u,T}(x_2) \leq T_u(y) \right)}{\mathbb{P}\left( \tau_{u,T}(x_1) \leq T \right)}, \quad (98) \]

with \( T_u(y) = T - u^{-2}\frac{\sigma^3(T)}{\sigma(T)} y \). By the definition of \( \tau_{u,T}(x) \), we have

\[ \mathbb{P}\left( \tau_{u,T}(x_1) \leq T \right) = \mathbb{P}\left( v(u) \int_0^T \mathbb{I}_u(X(t) - ct) dt > x_1 \right), \]

\[ \mathbb{P}\left( \tau_{u,T}(x_2) \leq T_u(y) \right) = \mathbb{P}\left( v(u) \int_0^{T_u(y)} \mathbb{I}_u(X(t) - ct) dt > x_2 \right), \quad (99) \]

with \( v(u) = 1/\bar{\sigma} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\sigma^2(T)}}{u+ct} \right) \) if \( \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{|t|}{\sigma^2(|t|)} \in [0, \infty) \), and \( v(u) = (m(u))^2 \) if \( \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{|t|}{\sigma^2(|t|)} = \infty \). Since \( \lim_{u \to \infty} T_u(y) = T \), we get that the asymptotics of (99)
is the same as in Theorem 3.4 with $T$ replaced by $T_u(y)$ and $x$ replaced by $x_2$. Using this fact and by Theorem 3.4 for all $\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{|t|}{\sigma(|t|)} \in [0, \infty]$

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(\tau_u, T(x_2) \leq T_u(y))}{\mathbb{P}(\tau_u, T(x_1) \leq T)} \sim \Gamma(x_1, x_2) \frac{\Psi\left(\frac{u + cT_u(y)}{\sigma(T_u(y))}\right)}{\Psi(m(u))}$$

$$\sim \Gamma(x_1, x_2) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{u + cT}{\sigma(T)}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{c(T - T_u(y))}{u + cT} \left(1 - \frac{\dot{\sigma}(T)(T - T_u(y))}{\sigma(T)}\right)^{-2} - 1\right)\right)$$

$$\sim \Gamma(x_1, x_2)e^{-\gamma}, \quad \gamma \geq 0,$$

where $\Gamma(x_1, x_2)$ is given in Theorem 3.5. This completes the proof. \qed

**Proof of Theorem 3.6** By self-similarity of $X$, we have that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{I}_u(X(t) - ct)dt > x\right)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{\rho} ((\dot{A}u^{1-H})^{-2}) \int_0^\infty \mathbb{I}_0 \left(\frac{X(t)}{1 + ct} - u^{1-H}\right)dt > x\right)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{\rho} ((\dot{A}u^{1-H})^{-2}) \int_0^\infty \mathbb{I}_0 \left(\frac{\dot{A}X(t)}{1 + ct} - \dot{A}u^{1-H}\right)dt > x\right).$$

Let

$$Z(t) = \frac{\dot{A}X(t)}{1 + ct}, \quad n(u) = \dot{A}u^{1-H}, \quad \Delta(u) = \rho ((n(u))^{-2}). \quad (100)$$

Observe that

$$\varpi(u) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{\Delta(u)} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{I}_0(Z(t) - n(u))dt > x\right) \leq \varpi(u) + \varpi_1(u), \quad (101)$$

where

$$\varpi(u) = \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{\Delta(u)} \int_{t_0 - (\ln n(u))/n(u), t_0 + (\ln n(u))/n(u]} \mathbb{I}_u(Z(t) - n(u))dt > x\right),$$

$$\varpi_1(u) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in [0, \infty) \setminus [t_0 - (\ln n(u))/n(u), t_0 + (\ln n(u))/n(u)]} Z(t) > n(u)\right).$$
First, we derive the asymptotics of $\varpi(u)$ by applying Theorem 2.1 and then show that $\omega_1$ is asymptotically negligible in comparison with $\varpi(u)$ as $u \to \infty$. We distinguish between three cases: $\gamma = 0$, $\gamma \in (0, \infty)$ and $\gamma = \infty$.

**Case $\gamma = 0$**

The asymptotics of $\varpi(u)$ In order to apply Theorem 2.1, we rewrite

$$\varpi(u) = \mathbb{P}\left( \int_{[−\delta_u, \delta_u]} I_0(Z(t_0 + \Delta(u)t) - n(u))dt > x \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (102)

with $\delta_u = \frac{\ln(n(u))}{n(u)\Delta(u)}$. By (30) and (31), we have that as $u \to \infty$

$$1 - \sqrt{\text{Var}(Z(t_0 + \Delta(u)t))} \sim \frac{\hat{B}}{2A}(\Delta(u)t)^2, \quad t \in [−\delta_u, \delta_u],$$  \hspace{1cm} (103)

and

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} \sup_{s \neq t, s, t \in [−\delta_u, \delta_u]} \left| \frac{n^2(u)(1 - \text{Corr}(Z(t_0 + \Delta(us), Z(t_0 + \Delta(u)t)))}{\rho(\Delta(u)[t-s])} - 1 \right| = 0.$$  

Thus we have that corresponding to the notation in Theorem 2.1,

$$w(t) = \frac{\hat{B}}{2A}t^2, \quad g(u) = \Delta(u), \quad a_1(u) = -\delta_u, \quad a_2(u) = \delta_u.$$  \hspace{1cm} (104)

A direct calculation shows that

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} n^2(u)w(g(u)) = \frac{\hat{B}}{2A} \lim_{u \to \infty} (n(u)\Delta(u))^2 = \frac{\hat{B}}{2A} \lim_{u \to \infty} (n(u)\rho((n(u))^2))^2$$  
$$= \frac{\hat{B}}{2A} \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{t^2}{\rho(|t|)} = 0.$$

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} n(u)w(g(u)|a_i(u)|) = \frac{\hat{B}}{2A} \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{(\ln(n(u))^2}{n(u)} = 0.$$

$$x_i = \lim_{u \to \infty} n^2(u)w(g(u)|a_i(u)|) = \frac{\hat{B}}{2A} \lim_{u \to \infty} (\ln(n(u))^2 = \infty, \quad i = 1, 2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (105)

By the fact that

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} a_1(u) = -\lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{\ln(n(u))}{n(u)\Delta(u)} = -\infty, \quad \lim_{u \to \infty} a_2(u) = \infty,$$

we have that

$$y_1 = -\infty, \quad y_2 = \infty.$$  \hspace{1cm}
Noting that \( \lim_{u \to \infty} \Delta(u) = 0 \) and by (i) in Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.2 (i), we have

\[
\varpi(u) \sim B_{B_\alpha}(x) 2^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |t|^{-1/2} e^{-|t|} \frac{\sqrt{2A/B}}{n(u) \Delta(u)} \Psi(n(u)) \\
\sim B_{B_\alpha}(x) \sqrt{\frac{2A\pi}{B}} \frac{1}{\rho((\tilde{A}u^{1-H})^{-2}) \tilde{A}u^{1-H}} \Psi(\tilde{A}u^{1-H}).
\]

**Upper bound of** \( \varpi_1(u) \)**

Observe that for \( u \) sufficiently large,

\[
\varpi_1(u) \leq \sum_{i=2}^{4} \varpi_i(u),
\]

where

\[
\varpi_2(u) = \mathbb{P}\left( \sup_{t \in [0, \epsilon]} Z(t) > n(u) \right),
\]

\[
\varpi_3(u) = \mathbb{P}\left( \sup_{t \in [\epsilon, L] \cap [t_0-(\ln n(u))/n(u), t_0+(\ln n(u))/n(u)]} Z(t) > n(u) \right),
\]

\[
\varpi_4(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left( \sup_{t \in [L+k, L+k+1]} Z(t) > n(u) \right),
\]

with \( L > t_0 \). In order to prove that \( \varpi_1(u) = o(\varpi(u)), u \to \infty \), it suffices to show that \( \varpi_i(u), i = 2, 3, 4 \) are negligible compared with \( \varpi(u) \), respectively. We begin with \( \varpi_2(u) \). Using the fact that for \( \epsilon > 0 \) sufficiently small, there exists \( 0 < \delta < 1 \) such that

\[
\sup_{t \in [0, \epsilon]} \text{Var}(Z(t)) < 1 - \delta,
\]

and by Borell–TIS inequality (see [31,32]), we have

\[
\varpi_2(u) \leq e^{- \left( \frac{n(u) - \mathbb{E}\left[ \sup_{t \in [0, \epsilon]} Z(t) \right]}{2^{1-\delta}} \right)^2} = o(\varpi(u)), \quad u \to \infty.
\]

Next, we focus on \( \varpi_3(u) \). By (30) and self-similarity of \( X \), we have that for \( s, t \geq \epsilon > 0 \) and \( r = \frac{t_0}{t} \)

\[
\mathbb{E}\left( \left( \overline{X}(t) - \overline{X}(s) \right)^2 \right) = 2(1 - \text{Corr}(X(s), X(t)))
\]

\[
= 2(1 - \text{Corr}(X(rs), X(rt)))
\]

\[
\leq 4\rho(r|s-t|) \leq 8 (t_0/\epsilon)^{\alpha/2} |t-s|^{\alpha/2}, \quad |s-t| \to 0,
\]

\( \Box \) Springer
which indicates that for \( s, t \geq \epsilon > 0 \) and \( |s - t| \leq L_1 < \infty \) with \( L_1 \) a positive constant

\[
\mathbb{E} \left\{ (\overline{X}(t) - \overline{X}(s))^2 \right\} \leq Q|t - s|^{\alpha/2}.
\] (106)

Hence, (31) and Piterbarg inequality (Lemma 8.1 in [22]) lead to

\[
\varpi_3(u) \leq \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in [\epsilon, 2\epsilon]} \overline{X}(t) > \frac{n(u)}{1 - Q_1 (\ln n(u))^2/n^2(u)} \right)
\]

\[
\leq Q(n(u))^{4/\alpha} \Psi \left( \frac{n(u)}{1 - Q_1 (\ln n(u))^2/n^2(u)} \right)
\]

\[
= o(\varpi(u)), \quad u \to \infty.
\]

Finally, we consider \( \varpi_4(u) \). Using the fact that

\[
\mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in [L+k, L+k+1]} \text{Var} \left( Z(t) \right) \leq \left( \frac{\hat{A}_{c}(L+k)^{H-1}}{c} \right)^2 \right)
\]

and (106), by Piterbarg inequality we have that for \( L \) sufficiently large,

\[
\varpi_4(u) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in [L+k, L+k+1]} \overline{X}(t) > \frac{n(u)}{\hat{A}_{c}(L+k)^{H-1}} \right)
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q(n(u))^{4/\alpha} \Psi \left( \frac{n(u)}{\hat{A}_{c}(L+k)^{H-1}} \right)
\]

\[
\leq Q(n(u))^{4/\alpha} \Psi \left( \frac{n(u)}{Q_1 L^{H-1}} \right) = o(\varpi(u)), \quad u \to \infty.
\]

Consequently, we conclude that

\[
\varpi_1(u) = o(\varpi(u)), \quad u \to \infty.
\] (107)

Therefore,

\[
\mathbb{P} \left( \frac{1}{u \tilde{\rho} ((\hat{A}u^{1-H})^{-2})} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{I}_u (X(t) - ct) dt > x \right)
\]

\[
\sim B_{\beta_u}(x) \sqrt{\frac{2\hat{A} \pi}{B} \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho} ((\hat{A}u^{1-H})^{-2}) \hat{A}u^{1-H} \Psi(\hat{A}u^{1-H})}}.
\]

This establishes the claim for \( \gamma = 0 \).
Case $\gamma \in (0, \infty)$

The asymptotics of $\varpi(u)$ Note that (102)–(104) also hold for $\gamma \in (0, \infty)$. As in (105), we have that

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} n^2(u) w(g(u)) = \frac{\hat{B}}{2A} \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{t^2}{\rho(|t|)} = \frac{\hat{B}}{2A} \gamma \in (0, \infty).$$

Moreover,

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} g(u) a_1(u) = 0, \quad \lim_{u \to \infty} a_1(u) = -\lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{\ln n(u)}{n(u) \Delta(u)} = -\infty, \quad \lim_{u \to \infty} a_2(u) = \infty.$$

Hence by (ii) in Theorem 2.1, we have that

$$\varpi(u) \sim \frac{b_1 t^2}{B_2} (x \Psi^{1-H},$$

which combined with (107) and (20) establishes the claim.

Case $\gamma = \infty$

The asymptotics of $\varpi(u)$ Using the notation for $Z(t), \Delta(u), n(u), \delta_u, \varpi_1(u)$ in (100)–(102), we have that

$$\varpi_5(u) \leq \mathbb{P} \left( \int_0^\infty \mathbb{I}_u(X(t) - ct) \, dt > xu^H \right) \leq \varpi_1(u) + \varpi_5(u),$$

where

$$\varpi_5(u) = \mathbb{P} \left( \int_{[-\delta_u, \delta_u]} \mathbb{I}_0(Z(t_0 + \Delta(u)t) - n(u)) \, dt > \frac{xu^{H-1}}{\Delta(u)} \right).$$

We focus on $\varpi_5(u)$. Note that in this case, (103)–(104) still hold. Following the notation in Theorem 2.1, we have that

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} n^2(u) w(g(u)) = \frac{\hat{B}}{2A} \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{t^2}{\rho(|t|)} = \infty,$$

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} g(u) |a_i(u)| = \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{\ln n(u)}{n(u)} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Moreover,

$$b_1 = \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{a_1(u)g(u)}{w(n^{-2}(u))} = -\sqrt{\frac{\hat{B}}{2A}} \lim_{u \to \infty} \ln n(u) = -\infty,$$

$$b_2 = \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{a_2(u)g(u)}{w(n^{-2}(u))} = \sqrt{\frac{\hat{B}}{2A}} \lim_{u \to \infty} \ln n(u) = \infty.$$
In this case, using the notation in (104), we have
\[
\frac{xu^{H-1}}{\Delta(u)} = x\sqrt{\frac{\hat{A}\hat{B}}{2}} \varpi(n^{-2}(u))/g(u).
\]

In light of (iii) in Theorem 2.1, we have that
\[
\varpi_5(u) = \mathbb{P}\left(\int_{[\delta_u, \delta_u]} I_0(Z(t_0 + \Delta(u)t) - n(u))dt > x\sqrt{\frac{\hat{A}\hat{B}}{2}} \varpi(n^{-2}(u))/g(u)\right)
\sim \mathcal{B}^2_0\left(x, (\infty, \infty)\right) \Psi(n(u)),
\]
which together with (107) and (19) completes the proof. \(\square\)

**Proof of Theorem 3.7** It follows that
\[
\mathbb{P}\left(v(u) \int_0^T I_u(X(t) - ct)dt > x\right)
= \mathbb{P}\left(v(u) \int_0^T I_0\left(\frac{X(t) - u + cT}{u + ct} - \frac{1}{T^{2H}}\right)dt > x\right),
\]
where
\[
X_u(t) = \frac{X(t) - u + cT}{u + ct} \frac{1}{T^{2H}}.
\]
Direct calculation shows that
\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} \lim_{t \to T, t < T} \left|1 - \frac{Var(X_u(t))}{|T - t|} - \frac{H}{T}\right| = 0.
\]
Moreover, by \(S\) with \(t_0 = T\),
\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \sup_{s \neq t, T - \epsilon \leq s, t \leq T} \left|1 - \frac{Corr(X_u(t), X_u(s))}{\rho(|t - s|)} - 1\right| = 0.
\]
The local behavior of variance and correlation functions is the same as the one in Theorem 3.4 (see Lemma 4.4). Hence, proceeding similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.4, that is, replacing \(\frac{\hat{\sigma}(T)}{\sigma(T)}\) by \(\frac{H}{T}\), \(\frac{\sigma^2(\cdot)}{2\sigma^2(T)}\) by \(\rho(\cdot)\) and \(\sigma(T)\) by \(T^H\) in the proof of Theorem 3.4, we establish the claim. \(\square\)
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6 Appendix

Proof of (48) In order to prove (48), it suffices to prove that for $c_1 > 0$
\[
\int_0^{g(u)a_2(u)} e^{-c_1 n^2(u)w(t)} dt \sim c_1^{-1/\beta} \tilde{w}(n^{-2}(u)) \beta^{-1} \int_0^{c_1 y_2} t^{1/\beta - 1} e^{-t} dt, \quad u \to \infty.
\]
Recall that $w$ is a regularly varying function at 0 with index $\beta > 0$ satisfying
\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} n^2(u)w(g(u)a_2(u)) = y_2 > 0,
\]
where $a_2(u) > 0$ and $\lim_{u \to \infty} g(u) = \infty$ and $\lim_{u \to \infty} g(u)a_2(u) = 0$. We can assume that $w(x) = \ell(x)x^\beta$ with $\ell$ normalized slowly varying function at 0. Then from [24], we know that $\ell(x)x^\beta$ is ultimately monotone for any $\beta \neq 0$, $\ell$ is continuously differentiable and
\[
\lim_{x \to 0} x \ell'(x) = 0. \tag{108}
\]
Let $c_1 n^2(u)w(t) = s$. Then, we have that
\[
\int_0^{g(u)a_2(u)} e^{-c_1 n^2(u)w(t)} dt = \frac{1}{c_1 n^2(u)} \int_0^{c_1 n^2(u)w(g(u)a_2(u))} \frac{1}{w'\left(\frac{s}{c_1 n^2(u)}\right)} e^{-s} ds.
\]
By (108), it follows that
\[
w'(x) \sim \beta \frac{w(x)}{x}, \quad x \to 0.
\]
Hence,
\[
\int_0^{g(u)a_2(u)} e^{c_1 n^2(u)w(t)} dt \sim \int_0^{c_1 n^2(u)w(g(u)a_2(u))} \frac{\tilde{w}\left(\frac{s}{c_1 n^2(u)}\right)}{\beta s} e^{-s} ds
\]
\[
= \frac{\tilde{w}\left(\frac{1}{c_1 n^2(u)}\right)}{\beta} \int_0^{c_1 n^2(u)w(g(u)a_2(u))} \frac{\tilde{w}\left(\frac{s}{c_1 n^2(u)}\right)}{\tilde{w}\left(\frac{1}{c_1 n^2(u)}\right)} s^{-1} e^{-s} ds.
\]
Note that $\mathring{w}$ is a regularly varying function at 0 with index $1/\beta$. Moreover, using Potter’s bound for $\mathring{w}$ (see e.g., [24] or [35] or Lemma 6.1 in [34]), we have that for any $\epsilon \in (0, \min(1, 1/\beta))$ and all $u$ large

$$\frac{\mathring{w}(u^{1/\beta-\epsilon})}{\mathring{w}(u^{1/\beta})} \leq (1+\epsilon)s^{1/\beta-\epsilon}, \quad 0 < s < c_1 n^2(u)w(g(u)a_2(u)).$$

Moreover, since $\mathring{w}$ is regularly varying at 0, then for any $s > 0$

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{\mathring{w}(u^{1/\beta})}{\mathring{w}(u^{1/\beta-\epsilon})} = s^{1/\beta}.$$

Hence, the dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$\int_0^{g(u)a_2(u)} e^{-c_1 n^2(u)w(t)} dt \sim c_1^{-1/\beta} \mathring{w}(u^{1/\beta-1}) \beta^{-1} \int_0^{c_1 y^2} s^{1/\beta-1} e^{-s} ds.$$

This completes the proof. \qed
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