Heavy metal remediation in soil with chemical amendments and its impact on activity of antioxidant enzymes in Lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) and soil enzymes
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Abstract
Chemical amendments have been used to remediate soils contaminated with heavy metals. However, there is little understanding on the impacts of these amendments on the physiological and biochemical functions of plants and soil. This study used in situ microcosm experiment to understand the effect of chemical amendments on antioxidant and soil enzyme activity in plant and soil with respect to heavy metal reduction. Three chemical amendments—acid mine drainage sludge (AMDS), limestone (LS), and steel slag (SS)—were applied to soil at 3, 5, and 10% mixing ratios, and lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) was cultivated in that soil for 30 days. The results showed that bioavailable Cd and Pb in soil was reduced by 9.8–40.5% and 4.2–92.5%, respectively. The most efficient amendment for heavy metal reduction was AMDS. The uptake of Cd and Pb also decreased by 0.5–66.1 and 21.6–79.5%, respectively, depending on the amendment type and application ratio. The activity of three antioxidants—catalase (CAT), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), and glutathione reductase (GR)—was generally higher than the control with no amendments. This result indicated that there was minimal inhibition of antioxidant activity due to the reduction of heavy metal uptake. Also, no significant difference was observed in chemical amendments applied soil compared to control in terms of soil enzyme activity. However, correlation analysis between heavy metal concentration in soil and two soil enzyme activities showed that significantly negative correlation (p < 0.01) was observed between bioavailable Pb in soil and acid-phosphatase activity. This result might indicate that impact of bioavailable Pb was much higher than Cd in terms of inhibition of soil enzyme activity. Overall, the application of chemical amendments to heavy metal polluted had a positive effect on plant physiological function and soil enzyme activity with a reduction in bioavailable heavy metals in soil and plants.
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Introduction
Heavy metal pollution in the arable land has been a concern because of the potential for bioaccumulation of hazardous heavy metals in crops [1–3], and ultimately pose a risk to human health [4–6]. Thus, numerous studies have focused on the remediation of heavy metals in soil [7, 8].

Heavy metal remediation in soil can apply physical, chemical, and biological techniques depending on land use, pollution level, and time/labor availability [9, 10]. Physical techniques include soil washing [11] and replacement [12] as well as thermal treatment [13]. The main advantage of physical treatment is the high efficiency of the heavy metal removal. However, the management of secondary pollutants, such as acid/alkaline...
chemicals and leachates containing high concentrations of heavy metals, presents another challenge.

Chemical stabilization technique is one of the representative in situ method using different soil amendments including liming materials, organics, clay materials, and metal oxides to immobilize bioavailable fractions of heavy metals in soil [10]. Liming materials such as limestone, oyster/egg shell, and calcium hydroxide Ca(OH)₂ generally increase soil pH and make complexation between hydroxide ions (OH⁻) and soluble heavy metals resulting enhancement of the immobilization of heavy metals in soil [14]. Organic compost and biochar are the most common carbon rich materials used as amendments for heavy metal remediation because of their sorption capacity and ionic bonding with functional organics groups [15, 16]. Clay materials, including sepiolite and zeolite, can increase the partition of soluble heavy metals into carbonate or Fe/Mn oxide [17]. They also increase soil pH and enhance the precipitation of bioavailable heavy metals in soil [18]. As chemical stabilization techniques are cheap, safe, and eco-friendly, this in situ method has been widely used in Korea [19, 20].

Heavy metal pollution in soil have a great possibility to impact plant growth by altering physiological functions. The formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) is representative of the damage to plants as ROS adversely impacts on cell membranes, nucleic acids, and chloroplast pigments [21]. When ROS are generated by induced heavy metals, plants activate cellular antioxidant metabolism by producing antioxidant enzymes such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), glutathione reductase (GR), and peroxidase (POD) as well as non-enzymatic compounds such as cysteine (Cys), carotenoids and ascorbate [22, 23]. The relationship between the bioaccumulation of heavy metals and activation of antioxidant enzymes has been examined in varied plant species [21, 24, 25]. Previous study showed that antioxidant enzymes, CAT, APX, and GR, was found to increase by 2.3–5.3 times in plants grown in highly polluted soil with heavy metals [21, 26]. Higher concentrations of hazardous heavy metals elevate the stress in plants, thereby increasing the formation of ROS. In order to scavenge ROS, there is an increased production of antioxidant enzymes. In contrast, production of antioxidant enzymes decreases when plants were exposed to heavy metals over a long period of time [25, 26]. This decline of antioxidant enzymes may be attributed to the impairment of the scavenging function of antioxidant enzymes with prolonged metal stress [25]. Thus, monitoring of antioxidant production in plants may provide useful insights to understanding heavy metal toxicity on plant physiological function and growth [27, 28].

Soil enzyme activity is also a good indicator of heavy metal toxicity in soil [29]. Common soil enzymes may be categorized into oxidoreductases (polyphenoloxidase (PPO) and catalase for redox control) and hydrolases (amylase, acetylglucosaminidase (NAG), β-glucosidase (BG), cellulose, invertase, protease, urease, and acid phosphatase for soil nutrients cycling control) [30]. When soil is exposed to high concentrations of hazardous heavy metals, the microbial activity is inhibited and prompted a general decline in soil enzyme activity. A strong negative correlation was observed in catalase production when high concentrations of Cd, Cu, Pb, Ni, and Zn were introduced in soil via wastewater irrigation from industrial factories [31, 32]. Natural or anthropogenic pollution of heavy metals in soil also altered microbial community structure and had an adverse effect on soil enzyme activity [33].

Numerous studies have reported on the effect of heavy metals on plant physiological function and soil enzyme activity. However, there is little detailed information on the effect of chemical amendments on plant and soil enzyme activity following heavy metal remediation. The main objective of this research was to examine (1) the reduction efficiency of heavy metals in soil with chemical amendments, (2) the response of antioxidant activity in plants, and (3) the effect of chemical stabilization techniques on soil enzyme activity.

Materials and methods

Soil collection and experimental setup

Heavy metal polluted soil was collected from an agricultural field located within a 2 km radius of an abandoned metal mine in Korea. Surface soil samples (0–30 cm) were collected with shovel at five different locations within a 100 m² area and composited into one sample bag. After transporting soil samples to the laboratory, stone or debris were removed and mixed with 3, 5, and 10% (w/w) of each chemical amendment, lime stone (LS), steel slag (SS), and acid mine drainage sludge (AMDS) based on 3.5 kg of soil. Selected three chemical amendments have been widely used to immobilize bioavailable heavy metals via ionic complexation or precipitation mechanism in soil [8, 26, 34, 35]. Total of 30 mixed soil samples including triplicates of each treatment, application level, and control (without mixing chemical amendments) were placed in each container (Ø 23 × 18 cm depth) and all containers were randomly placed in the greenhouse. Three grams of chemical fertilizer (N-P-K, 12-10-9) was applied to each container according to the guidelines of the National Academy of Agricultural Science (NAAS) in Korea. After one week of aging, one piece of pre-grown lettuce (Lactuca sativa) in the growing medium was transplanted to the middle of each container and cultivated for 30 days.
Analysis of soil properties
Soil samples were collected after the lettuce was harvested (30 days after sowing). Half of the soil sample in each container was air-dried for physicochemical and heavy metal analyses. The other half of the sample was kept in the refrigerator at 4 °C for soil enzyme analysis. The physicochemical properties of the soil samples were measured based on a previous study [36]. Soil texture was measured using the micropipette method, and soil pH and electrical conductivity (EC) (1:5) were analyzed with a pH (Mettler Toledo, MP 200, Switzerland) and EC (Mettler Toledo, S230, Switzerland) meter after 5 g soil and 25 mL of deionized (DI) water were thoroughly mixed in a 50 mL flask for 1 h. Soil organic matter (OM) was determined using the Walkley Black method, and cation exchange capacity (CEC) was analyzed using a 1 M CH₃COOH extraction method.

Analysis of heavy metal concentration in soil and plant
The air-dried soil sample was passed through a 0.15 mm sieve for heavy metal analysis, and two different extractants were used to extract heavy metals from the soil. Aqua regia (HCl: HNO₃, 3:1, v/v) was used for total concentration and Mehlich-3 was used to determine the bio-available fraction of heavy metals in the soil. Briefly, 3 g of soil and 21 mL of aqua regia were added in the Teflon tube and digested in a heating block (Block Heating Sample Preparation System, Ctrl-M Science) for total heavy metal extraction. In case of Mehlich-3 extraction, 10 g of soil and 50 mL of extractant were placed into a 100 mL polypropylene centrifuge tube and shaken for 1 h at 30 °C in an incubation shaker (JSR, JSOS-500, Korea). After shaking, samples were filtered through a 0.45 µm filter and filtrates with metal concentrations were measured using inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) (8300DV, Perkin-Elmer, USA).

The air-dried soil sample was passed through a 0.15 mm sieve for heavy metal analysis, and two different extractants were used to extract heavy metals from the soil. Aqua regia (HCl: HNO₃, 3:1, v/v) was used for total concentration and Mehlich-3 was used to determine the bio-available fraction of heavy metals in the soil. Briefly, 3 g of soil and 21 mL of aqua regia were added in the Teflon tube and digested in a heating block (Block Heating Sample Preparation System, Ctrl-M Science) for total heavy metal extraction. In case of Mehlich-3 extraction, 10 g of soil and 50 mL of extractant were placed into a 100 mL polypropylene centrifuge tube and shaken for 1 h at 30 °C in an incubation shaker (JSR, JSOS-500, Korea). After shaking, samples were filtered through a 0.45 µm filter and filtrates with metal concentrations were measured using inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) (8300DV, Perkin-Elmer, USA).

Soil enzyme analysis
Two different soil enzyme activities, β-glucosidase and acid phosphatase, were evaluated based on a previous study [39]. To analyze β-glucosidase, 0.5 g of fresh soil was incubated at 30 °C for 1 h to convert p-nitrophenyl-β-glucoside (PNG) into p-nitrophenol (PNP), and UV/vis spectrometer measurements were conducted at 400 nm. For phosphatase analysis, 1 g of fresh soil was incubated at 37 °C for 1 h after the addition of 0.2 mL toulene, 0.025 M p-nitrophenyl phosphate (pNPP), and 1 mL of modified universal buffer (MUB, pH 6.5) to the test tube. Then, 4.0 mL of 0.5 M NaOH and 1 mL of 0.5 M CaCl₂ were added to quench the reaction. The filtrate was measured with a UV/vis spectrometer at 400 nm. A calibration curve for both β-glucosidase and phosphatase was generated with a 0.1 M Tris buffer solution mixed with p-nitrophenol between 0.4 and 1.7 µg. Soil enzyme activity was expressed as µg p-nitrophenol produced by 1 g dry weight soil/h.

Data analysis
All measured values were the average of triplicate measurements and statistical analysis, analysis of variance
(ANOVA), and correlation analysis, were conducted using SPSS (Ver. 22) with a significance of p<0.05 and p<0.01.

Results and discussion

Changes to soil properties with chemical amendment application

The soil texture was sandy loam and chemical properties such as soil pH (5.54), EC (0.10 dS m⁻¹), SOM (1.50%), and available P₂O₅ (42.4 mg kg⁻¹) were typical of the upland soil in Korea (Table 1). Table 2 summarizes the soil chemical properties following 30 days of lettuce cultivation. Soil pH increased in all treatments compared to the control. Significantly high soil pH (p<0.05) was observed in the LS and SS treatments, while no significant difference was observed in the AMDS treatment. The highest increase in soil pH (7.12) was observed when 10% SS was applied. LS and SS are widely used chemical amendments to remediate heavy metal polluted soils due to their ability to increase soil pH. As soil pH is increased, soluble fraction of heavy metals can make a complexation or bonding with ions and enable the immobilization of soluble heavy metals in soil [8, 34, 40].

Bioavailable heavy metal concentration in soil

The bioavailable fraction of heavy metals in the soil for each treatment after the lettuce was harvested is shown in Fig. 1. The reduction efficiency of bioavailable Cd and Pb in the treatment compared to the control was in the range of 9.8–40.5 and 4.2–92.5%, respectively. Among the three amendments, SS and AMDS showed the highest reduction efficiency for Cd and Pb, respectively while LS showed the least reduction efficiency. In case of varied application ratio, no significant difference was observed in each treatment with the exception of AMDS for Pb.

AMDS generally contains iron oxide or iron sulfate hydroxide, and these two dominant chemical compositions were able to form complexes with the cation forms

| Table 2 Physicochemical properties of soil in each treatment after 30 days of chemical amendment application |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------|---------|----------|----------|
| Treatments  | w/w | pH   | EC   | Organic matter | Av. P₂O₅ b |
| Control    | 5.54 a | 0.10 | 1.5 abc | 42.4 | d |
| AMDS 3      | 5.87 a | 0.10 | 1.5 bc | 26.7 bc |
| AMDS 5      | 5.75 a | 0.09 abc | 1.6 bc | 24.9 ab |
| AMDS 10     | 5.77 a | 0.16 e | 1.6 bc | 16.2 a |
| LS 3        | 6.70 b | 0.11 cd | 1.6 bc | 38.9 d |
| LS 5        | 6.92 bc | 0.15 e | 1.8 c | 39.0 d |
| LS 10       | 6.85 bc | 0.13 de | 1.6 bc | 39.3 d |
| SS 3        | 6.55 b | 0.07 ab | 1.5 abc | 42.8 d |
| SS 5        | 6.81 bc | 0.10 bc | 1.5 abc | 44.5 d |
| SS 10       | 7.12 c | 0.10 bc | 1.5 ab | 35.4 cd |

All value is an average of triplicated measurement. Different letter indicates measured value is significantly different in different treatment (p<0.05)
a EC: Electrical conductivity
b Av. P₂O₅: Available P₂O₅

| Table 1 Physicochemical properties and heavy metal concentration of soil and chemical amendments used in the experiment |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------|---------|----------|----------|
| Properties          | Soil | AMDS | LS | SS |
| Soil texture        |       |       |     |     |
| Sand (%)            | 67.7  |       |     |     |
| Silt (%)            | 15.5  |       |     |     |
| Clay (%)            | 16.8  |       |     |     |
| Sandy loam          |       |       |     |     |
| pH (1:5)            | 5.54 ±0.05 |       |     |     |
| EC (dS m⁻¹)         | 0.10 ±0.01 |       |     |     |
| OM (%)              | 1.50 ±0.08 |       |     |     |
| Av. P₂O₅ a (mg kg⁻¹) | 42.4 ±6.80 |     |     |     |
| Total-Cd (mg kg⁻¹)  | 2.60 ±0.02 | 2.20 ±0.32 | 0.20 ±0.01 | 2.18 ±0.03 |
| Total-Pb (mg kg⁻¹)  | 1,289 ±27.7 | 15.9 ±3.50 | 8.30 ±1.32 | 9.0 ±5.2 |
| CaO (%)             | 4.03   |       |     |     |
| SiO₂ (%)            | 6.93   |       |     |     |
| Al₂O₃ (%)           | 5.91   |       |     |     |
| Fe₂O₃ (%)           | 80.3   |       |     |     |

a Av. P₂O₅: Available P₂O₅
b Representative 4 elements of each chemical amendment measured with X-ray fluorescence
of Cd and Pb in soil [36, 41]. Although the main reduction mechanism with AMDS application in soil for heavy metal stabilization was not confirmed in this study, result of XRF measurement in our study revealed that much higher content of Fe₂O₃ in AMDS (80.3%) compared to LS (0.33%) and SS (41.6%) might contribute complexation of soluble Cd and Pb in soil (Table 1). Previous study had also identified that the application of AMDS was effective in reducing the bioavailable fraction of heavy metals in soil due to FeO-related complexation [36, 42].

In the case of SS treatment, reduction rate of 5.2–34.9% for Cd and 4.2–11.2% for Pb was observed. Previous study reported that the main reduction mechanism for bioavailable heavy metals in soil with SS treatment could be sorption, precipitation, and ion exchange [37]. The SS surface is mainly composed of minerals such as TiO₂, FeO₂, FeO, Fe₂O₃, BaO, MgO, CaO, MnO, and SiO₂, and can make a complexation with the cation forms of Cd and Pb in soil. Moreover, the addition of SS increase soil pH and reduce the bioavailable fraction of Cd and Pb in soil. Although significantly different reduction efficiency for bioavailable Cd and Pb was not observed in SS treatment, alkaline property (pH: 12.43) and of content of Fe₂O₃ (41.6%) might contribute reduction of bioavailable Cd and Pb in soil (Table 1).

Numerous studies have reported that LS is an efficient soil amendment for heavy metal remediation as it increases soil pH, precipitates hydroxyl forms of heavy metals, and subsequently reduces the bioavailable forms of heavy metals in soil [35, 40, 43, 44]. However, no significant reduction efficiency was observed in our study. This may be because 65% of LS used in our study was distributed at 1 mm < GS < 2 mm of the grain size (data not shown). Bigger grain sizes of LS compared to AMDS and SS (0.15 mm < GS < 1 mm) may decrease the efficiency of heavy metal reduction in soil [45]. In addition, smaller grain size of AMDS showed high efficiency of heavy metal reduction in soil compared to bigger grain size of LS and SS because of sorption capacity [36].

Correlation analysis revealed that soil pH and available P₂O₅ were significantly correlated (p < 0.01) with bioavailable Pb in the soil (Table 3). A strong positive correlation between bioavailable Pb concentration and soil pH or available P₂O₅ indicate that increased soil pH and available P₂O₅ concentration enabled an increase in the concentration of bioavailable Pb in the soil. This result is inconsistent with a previous study showing that increasing soil pH is the main mechanism reducing bioavailable cation heavy metals, including Pb, in soil [19, 20, 46]. In our study, AMDS had the highest reduction efficiency for bioavailable Pb in soil, not LS and SS. As the reduction mechanism of AMDS was complexation between heavy metals and iron oxide or iron sulfate hydroxide, we may conclude that soil pH had a minimal impact on the reduction of bioavailable Pb while soluble ion complexation between heavy metal and iron had more impact on reducing bioavailable Pb in soil [36, 41].

In case of Pb–P correlation, previous study pointed out that addition of P containing amendment can reduce Pb concentration in soil by immobilization or precipitation mechanism [47, 48]. However, acidic condition of soil can release soluble P into soil [48] and positive correlation could be observed between Pb and P concentration in soil.

Heavy metal uptake and biomass of lettuce

Heavy metal concentrations in lettuce is shown in Fig. 2. Compared to the control, the uptake of Cd and Pb was reduced by 0.5–66.1 and 21.6–79.5%, respectively, depending on the amendments and application ratio. The highest reduction of Cd was observed in 5% LS (66.1%) and 3% AMDS for Pb (79.5%). Among the three amendments, LS had the highest reduction for Cd and AMDS for Pb in lettuce.
Uptake of heavy metal is mainly occurred when ionic forms of heavy metals are sorbed in the root and translocated to other compartments such as stems, leaves, or fruits. In this process, sorption of heavy metals in root is highly dependent on soil properties such as soil pH, organic matter contents, and nutrient level in soil [49, 50]. Higher soil pH and organic matter content can enhance immobilization of heavy metals in soil due to complexation and ionic bonding mechanism [51]. Correlation analysis in Table 3 showed that Cd and Pb concentration in the lettuce is negatively correlated to soil pH and organic matter content in soil except positive correlation between Pb in lettuce and soil pH. This result agreed with the previous study that increased soil pH and organic matter concentration increased immobilization of heavy metals in paddy or upland soil and decreasing uptake to the crops [2, 15, 16].

In case of soluble phosphorus (P$_2$O$_5$), positive correlation was observed with Cd and Pb concentration in soil and plant indicating that higher soluble P increased uptake of Cd and Pb in the lettuce (Table 3). Phosphorus (P) is an essential nutrient for crop growth and phosphorus fertilizer also has been used to remediate heavy metals in soil by making non-soluble phosphate precipitates [3, 52]. However, contrast result was observed in our study and the reason could be assumed that AMDS treated soil showing the most efficient reduction for bioavailable heavy metals in soil contained the lowest soluble P compared to other treatments. Therefore, effect of soluble P on reducing bioavailable heavy metals in soil was minimal in this study.

Fresh weight of lettuce cultivated after 30 days of each treatment is shown in Fig. 2. Although no significant difference was observed in the treatment compared to the control, the fresh weight was generally in the order SS > LS > AMDS. The highest fresh weight (80.6 g) was observed when 10% SS was applied followed by 5% SS (70.8 g).

**Antioxidant enzyme activity in the lettuce**

Compared to the control, no significant difference (p > 0.05) was observed for the activity of three antioxidant enzymes, CAT, APX, and GR, in all three amendments except APX activity in 3% AMDS application (Fig. 3). Additionally, there were no variables, including soil chemical properties and heavy metal concentrations in the soil and plants, that had a significant correlation with antioxidant enzymes in plants (Table 3).

However, generally higher antioxidant enzyme activity was observed when chemical amendments were applied. This result is consistent with a previous study reporting that antioxidant enzyme activity in plant cells was stimulated when amendments (biochar and chitosan) were applied to reduce the uptake of heavy metals in soil [39]. When heavy metal concentrations are high in soil, the activity of antioxidant enzymes such as CAT, APX, and GR as a preventive system is inhibited and the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) increases [49]. The application of amendments had an effect on reducing bioavailable heavy metal concentrations in soil and consequently, decreasing the inhibition of antioxidant activity in plants.

| Table 3 Correlation analysis between heavy metal concentration and biochemical properties in soil |
|-------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| pH     | EC      | OM      | P$_2$O$_5$ | Cd$_{Soil}$ | Pb$_{Soil}$ | Cd$_{Plant}$ | Pb$_{Plant}$ | CAT      | GR      | APX     | GLY     | PHOS    |
|        |         |         |           |           |           |           |           |          |         |         |         |         |
| pH     | 1.000   |         |           |           |           |           |           |          |         |         |         |         |
| EC     | 0.029   | 1.000   |           |           |           |           |           |          |         |         |         |         |
| OM     | -0.035  | 0.682** | 1.000     |           |           |           |           |          |         |         |         |         |
| P$_2$O$_5$ | 0.475** | -0.006  | 0.062 | 1.000     |           |           |           |          |         |         |         |         |
| Cd$_{Soil}$ | 0.058   | 0.287   | 0.115   | 0.046 | 1.000     |           |           |          |         |         |         |         |
| Pb$_{Soil}$ | 0.654** | 0.195   | 0.162   | 0.827** | 0.126 | 1.000     |           |          |         |         |         |         |
| Cd$_{Plant}$ | -0.060  | -0.361  | -0.354  | 0.436* | -0.308   | 0.184     | 1.000     |          |         |         |         |         |
| Pb$_{Plant}$ | 0.078   | -0.301  | -0.049  | 0.507** | -0.243   | 0.417* | 0.586** | 1.000   |          |         |         |         |
| CAT    | 0.048   | 0.232   | 0.306   | 0.247   | 0.025   | 0.016    | 0.052    | -0.094  | 1.000   |          |         |         |
| GR     | -0.044  | -0.060  | 0.098   | -0.217  | -0.333  | -0.178   | 0.005    | -0.042  | -0.082  | 1.000   |          |         |
| APX    | -0.012  | -0.080  | 0.135   | 0.033   | 0.135   | -0.113   | -0.147   | -0.078  | 0.180   | -0.128  | 1.000   |          |
| GLY    | 0.366*  | 0.120   | -0.036  | 0.070   | 0.181   | 0.167    | -0.289   | -0.167  | 0.188   | -0.072  | 0.190   | 1.000   |
| PHOS   | -0.644**| -0.186  | -0.140  | -0.559**| -0.234  | -0.753** | 0.074    | -0.196  | -0.140  | 0.330   | 0.344   | -0.163  | 1.000   |

All value is an average of triplicated measurement

CAT catalase, APX ascorbate peroxidase, GR glutathione reductase (GR), GLY β-glucosidase, PHOS phosphatase

* (p < 0.05)
** (p < 0.01) indicates that measured value is significantly different in varied parameters
Soil enzyme activities

The average of triplicate measurements for soil enzyme activity ranged between 0.05–0.15 µg g soil⁻¹ h⁻¹ for β-glucosidase and 1.23–4.44 nmol g soil⁻¹ min⁻¹ for acid phosphatase (Fig. 4). Compared to the control, no significant difference was observed in the β-glucosidase treatment, except for the 5% LS application, which had the highest activity of β-glucosidase (0.15 µg g soil⁻¹ h⁻¹).

Although the difference in activity was insignificant, higher activity of β-glucosidase was observed when AMDS, LS, and SS were applied to the soil compared to the control.

In the case of acid phosphatase, higher activity was observed in AMDS treatment compared to the control, and similar or lower activity was observed in both LS and SS treatments (Fig. 4). The highest acid phosphatase activity was measured in 10% AMDS (4.44 nmol/g soil/
min) followed by 3% AMDS (4.14 nmol g soil\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)). When LS and SS were applied, the activity of acid phosphatase decreased as the application rate increased.

Soil enzyme activity can be affected by several factors such as soil pH, SOM, and heavy metal concentration [53]. A previous study showed that the activity of β-glucosidase decreased when soil pH increased from 4.3 to 7.4 in paddy soil [54]. Another study, however, identified a positive correlation between soil pH and β-glucosidase activity in soil when biochar and compost were applied for heavy metal remediation [55]. These contrast result of correlation between soil pH and microbial activity explained that microbial activity is highly dependent on surrounding soil environment.

In this study, the correlation analysis in Table 3 showed a significant positive correlation (p < 0.05) between soil pH and β-glucosidase activity. This result can be explained by the fact that the addition of amendments improved acidic condition of soil pH and consequently enhanced soil microbial activity.

Soil pH also impacts activity of phosphatase. Dick et al. [56] reported that soil pH affects soil enzyme activity in terms of nutrient availability, diversity of microorganism, and effective concentration of substrate in soil solution [56]. As shown in Table 3, strong negative correlation (p < 0.01) was observed between soil pH and activity of acidic phosphatase indicating that more activity of phosphatase was observed at lower soil pH. Since acid phosphatase was measured in this study, this result agreed with the previous study showing higher activity of acid phosphatase than alkaline phosphatase in acidic condition [56].

Heavy metal concentrations in soil also greatly impact microbial activity. In general, there is a negative correlation between heavy metal concentration and microbial activity. Hu et al. [11] reported that the activity of dehydrogenase, urease, catalase, and acid phosphatase was reduced by 5.3–74.8% compared to the control because of heavy metal toxicity in soil [11, 31]. These study concluded that increased bioavailable fractions of heavy metals can inhibit soil enzyme activities by reacting metal ions with the enzyme sulfhydryl group or chelating with enzyme substrate. However, microbial activity can vary when organic or inorganic amendments are applied in soil for heavy metal remediation [55]. Soil microbial activity can be enhanced when heavy metals are used as substrates for microbial activity.

Our study found a positive correlation with β-glucosidase activity and a negative correlation with phosphatase for both bioavailable Cd and Pb concentrations in soil. In particular, a strong negative correlation was observed between bioavailable Pb concentration and phosphatase activity in soil (Table 3). This result can be interpreted that much higher Pb concentration compared to Cd in soil inhibits microbial activity, resulting in reduced phosphatase activity, while impact of soluble Cd on inhibition of microbial activity was minimal. In addition, phosphatase activity could be more sensitive than β-glucosidase activity when soil is polluted with heavy metals [29, 57]. Yang et al. [29] tested two different soil enzymes, oxidoreductase and hydrolase, to select the bio-indicator for evaluating heavy metal pollution in soil. This study revealed that amylase (for C cycling), acid phosphatase (for P cycling), and catalase (for redox reaction) was sensitive to heavy metal pollution showing significantly positive correlation (p < 0.05) with Pb, Cd, Zn concentration.

**Conclusion**

The application of three chemical amendments, AMDS, LS, and SS in soil polluted with heavy metals had an effect on soil chemical properties and heavy metal concentrations in soil and plants. The application of LS and
SS resulted in a significantly higher soil pH (<0.05) than the control and AMDS. However, no significant difference was observed in the reduction of bioavailable Cd and Pb in soil. In contrast, significantly lower bioavailable Pb was observed in the AMDS treatment. This may be attributed to the oxidation of bioavailable heavy metals in soil as the main reduction mechanism in this study.

No significant difference (p > 0.05) was observed for the activity of three antioxidant enzymes, CAT, APX, and GR, compared to the control. However, generally higher antioxidant enzyme activity was measured when chemical amendments were applied. This result could be interpreted that application of amendments had an effect on reducing bioavailable heavy metal concentrations in soil and consequently, decreasing uptake of heavy meals and inhibiting antioxidant activity in plants.

Two soil enzyme activities also increased after chemical amendments were applied to the soil. Reduced bioavailable heavy metals in soil can cause increased microbial activity, resulting in enhanced soil enzyme activity. Comparing sensitivity of two soil enzymes to heavy metal pollution and soil properties, acid phosphatase was more sensitive to soil pH and heavy metal pollution than β-glucosidase. Overall, the application of chemical amendments had a positive effect on reducing heavy metals in both soil and plants. It also helped vitalizing plant physiological functions and soil microbial activity in soil.
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