Unusual Sonochemical Assembly between Carbon Allotropes for High Strain-Tolerant Conductive Nanocomposites
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ABSTRACT: Facile methods toward strain-tolerant graphene-based electronic components remain scarce. Although being frequently used to disperse low-dimensional carbonaceous materials, ultrasonication (US) has never been reliable for fabricating stretchable carbonaceous nanocomposite (SCNC). Inspired by the unusual sonochemical assembly between graphene oxide (GO) and carbon nanotube (CNT), we verified the roots-like GO−CNT covalent bonding, rather than just π−π conjugation, was formed during US. In addition, the shockwave-induced collision in the binary-component system enables a burst of fragmentation at the early stage, spatially homogeneous hybridization, and time-dependent restoration of graphitic domains. All of the above are distinct from extensive fragmentation of a conventional single-component system and π−π conjugative assembly. The optimized SCNC exhibits conductivity comparable to reduced monolayer GO and outperforms π−π assemblies in retaining electrical conductance at a strain of 160%—among one of the best reported stretchable conductors. Raman analysis and mechanics simulation confirm the dominant role of counterweighing between the intrinsic and external strains on the mechano-response and durability of SCNC. This work suggests the guideline of creating multiple-component sonochemical systems for various functional nanocomposites.
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The rapid development of the stretchable electronics inspired the pursuit of strain-tolerant conductive components that retain the integrity of structure and conductivity even under large strains.3,2 Two-dimensional (2D) graphene has been reckoned as a highly promising candidate due to its intrinsic tensile strength (130 GPa), flexibility, low resistivity (10⁻⁶ Ω-cm) and negative Poisson’s ratio when the tensile strain exceeds 6%.3,4 Disappointingly, the overall conductivity of most reported graphene sheets is retained at less than 5% strain due to brittle fracture at unavoidable defect or strain-induced inhomogeneity of charge carriers mobility.5−8 To enhance the strain tolerance, the monatomic graphene has been geometrically engineered into the mechano-responsive patterns, such as crumple9,10 and kirigami.11 Meanwhile, the assembly between 2D graphene and its deformed states (scrolls12,13 and crumpled ball14) or carbon allotropes15 provide scalable alternatives. However, the critically high concentration of additives induces the strong dependence of stretchability on the tricky preparative methods and the nature of additives. So far, a facile and easier-handling
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method to fabricate graphene-based components with high strain tolerance is rarely reported.

Ultrasoundation (US), among the strategies of instantaneous energy input, is a versatile wet method to disperse and produce graphene-based carbon nanomaterials. It is also kinetically complicated due to the intertwined processes, including dispersion/exfoliation or reaggregation, damage, or restoration of crystal structure and radical generation or recombination. Categorically, the vast majority of researchers believe the shockwave-induced $\pi-\pi$ conjugation and lamellar spacing between graphitic domains dominates the superior stretchability and mechanical stability of nanocomposites to their parent materials. Nevertheless, few examples exist to argue this overtrusted claim in the context that the collisions among suspended solid particles arise during extreme heating at the point of impact to overcome the bonding energy barrier. In a single-component system—graphene oxide (GO) or carbon nanotube (CNT) dispersion as a typical model—extensive sonication intensifies the fragmentation and structural damage, while in binary- or even multiple-component systems, the understandings of kinetics in bond cleavage, generation of active intermediates and high-velocity heterogeneous collision for bond formation/local fusion are quite vague.

In this work, we carefully distinguish the unusual sonochemical phenomena of a well-known GO–CNT binary system from common concepts of $\pi-\pi$ conjugation and fragmentation and thereby reintroduce US as a reliable method to fabricate a strain-tolerant nanocomposite. Notably, we highlight three critical findings. First, US enables roots-like covalent bonding between GO and CNT, which was only realized before via chemical vapor deposition (CVD). Second, we reveal (1) shockwave-induced collision between pristine GO (p-GO) and CNT (0.05 equiv) occurs at the early stage, and the resulting reductant fragments immediately help with restoration of graphitic domains, which is distinct from (2) $\pi-\pi$ conjugative assembly (GO + CNT) and (3) kinetically different from single-component sonication systems (GO–n, CNT–n) (Figure 1a). Third, covalently bonded CNT induces or retains in-plane compressive stress of crumpled GO sheets, leading to stretchable carbonaceous nanocomposites (SCNC–n, where n is sonication minutes). The mechano-responsive pattern outperforms $\pi-\pi$ assemblies in retaining electrical conductance and structural integrity even at a strain of 160%.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Roots-like Covalent Bonding. The additive-free water over organic solvent is employed as sonication medium because water is (1) a well-reported background and versatile reagent in chemical reactions on GO surface, (2) minimization of heteroatomic doping, and (3) the possibility for environmental-friendly and scalable production. Exclusively for SCNC–n, the pH value increases from 2 to 4–5, and concurrently, the zeta-potential decreases from $-12.5$ to $-8.8$ mV. The estimated bandgap energy from light extinction is minimized at SCNC-15 ($\sim$3.5 eV), while GO-15 and GO + CNT change little in comparison with p-GO ($\sim$4.35 eV). Wide-scan survey X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) further showed a much higher C/O atomic ratio of SCNC-15 (4.9) than p-GO (2.2), GO-15 (2.0), and GO + CNT (2.6), suggesting a notable removal of oxygen-containing groups during US (Table S1 and Figures S1 and S2). All of the above preliminary results strongly indicate that ultrasound plays a role not only in breaking up the entanglement and guiding conjugative assembly but also in enabling mechanochemical reactions yet to be clarified.

TEM images of SCNC-15 (Figure 1b) reveal three configurations of the anchoring CNTs, including single concentric root (I), two or multiple roots per CNT (II), and multiple roots belonging to an entanglement (III). A statistic of CNTs (and entanglement) presents an averaged frequency of appearance of I, II, and III, which is approximately 24%, 35%, and 12%. The proportion ratio of I and II varies in each experiment, but III can be reproducibly minimized to zero until SCNC-30. The magnified images (Figure 1c) unveil that the outermost layers of CNT roots are disrupted and gradually merged with GO planes. These distorted carbon lattices imply the out-of-plane bonding region, which is similar to the pillared graphene/CNT junctions induced by CVD. In addition, we approved the robustness of our roots-like covalent bonding over the $\pi-\pi$ interactions that could also expose the open ends of CNTs (see Figures S3–S6).

We also exclude the possibility that I, II, and III are the free open ends of unzipped CNT with sidewalls melded onto GO. Shell-isolated nanoparticle-enhanced Raman spectros-
copy (SHINERS) of GO-15 is featureless, and a CNT-15 or CNT-15 + GO-15 physical mixture shows three companion modes at around 1170, 1255, and 1450 cm\(^{-1}\) which are assigned to the vibration mode of transpolyacetylene-like chains at the open concentric edges of CNTs (see the Methods and Figure 2a). However, such modes are negligible for SCNC-15. Instead, SCNC-15 is featured with a mode at 1530 cm\(^{-1}\) which refers to C(sp\(^3\))−aryl bonds or five- and seven-membered carbon rings in the regions with high local curvature. This result further supports the previous suggestion based on our TEM observations.

**Sonochemical Assembly.** We then carried out spatially resolved Raman analysis to set SCNC apart from \(\pi−\pi\) assembly. Figures 2b and S7 show the spectra of p-GO (black) differentiate CNT (orange) with the lower frequency of the G band (\(\omega_G\)) and wider full width at half-maximum (fwhm, here \(\Gamma_G\)). The difference among GO-15 (cyan), SCNC-15 (red), and GO + CNT (red) becomes evident when we compare the mean absolute deviation (MAD) of points of each sample (see the Methods). The MAD order is p-GO \(\approx\) GO-15 (0.47) < SCNC-15 (0.86) < GO + CNT (2.35) \(\approx\) CNT. Even though SCNC-15 and GO + CNT are analogous at a micron level (Figure S8), the comparison of MAD can be a potential spectral methodology to differentiate much more homogeneous sonochemical hybridization from the conjugative assembly.

Parallel to MAD, we take the averaged D/G intensity ratio (\(I_D/I_G\)) reflecting variations in defective and graphitic domains of SCNC-n. An identical temporal-dependent process was found: both MAD and \(I_D/I_G\) decrease sharply at the initial 5 min and reach the lowest value at between SCNC-10 and 15. \(I_D/I_G\) of SCNC-15 is even lower than GO-15 (1.32), GO + CNT (1.21), p-GO (1.20), and CNT (1.19) (Figure 2C and Figure S9). Thus, the US-induced assembly accompanies the restoration of sp\(^2\) carbon networks, rather than only conjugative adhesion of CNT. These coupled processes enable us to clarify the mechanism behind US-induced hybridization by interpreting the restoration of graphitic domains in SCNC-n.

Deconvoluted XPS C 1s spectra of SCNC-15 unveil \(\sim\)10 atom % increase for both sp\(^2\)- and sp\(^3\)-hybridized carbon compared with p-GO and GO-15. Elimination of C−O−C and O−C═O contributes to the major diminishment of oxygen, but C−OH surprisingly increases from 4.8 to 19.6 atom % (Figure 3a). Furthermore, FTIR temporal analysis of SCNC-n even illustrates that the overall evolution of oxygen-containing groups achieves equilibrium in only 1 min, leaving more stable...
Figure 4. Strain-tolerant electrical conductance and structural integrity. (a–c) SEM images of SCNC-15 flakes deposited on a PDMS substrate which was stretched by a precise vice at strain of 0, 40, and 120%, respectively (scale bar 1 μm). Insets are snapshots of an ideal 2D flake which was simulated to be isometrically compressed into irregular wrinkles, stretched uniaxially and fully stretched. (d) $I_D$ and $\alpha_G$ of SCNC-15 as functions of strain are shown, along with the variations at 20th and 50th stretch. (e) Upon being stretched at transverse (red), longitudinal (dark cyan), and diagonal (orange) directions, the resistance of SCNC-15 in each case shows the initial decrease before the strain of 80% and slight increase until 160%. The inset shows the schematic of our strain-conductivity measurement setup, with flakes bridging two Pt electrodes, across which the scanning voltage from −0.4 to 0.4 V was applied. (f) Gauge factors of different stretchable carbonaceous materials/elastomer composites as functions of strain are shown. Graphene is referred to as Gr.

hydroxyl and ether groups (see Figure S10). It first rules out the role of ultrasonic thermal deoxygenation that removes −OH by instantaneous hot spot or local thermal annealing.43−45 The main origin of −OH is deemed to be nucleophilic attack of H$_2$O to surface vacancies and edge dangling bonds generated right after bond cleavage or decarboxylation in the aqueous medium.46 Meanwhile, sonolysis of water primarily produces reactive hydrogen and hydroxyl radicals (H$_2$O $\rightarrow$ *H + *OH),28 and −OH groups can consume oxidative radicals (*OH) to prevent the radicals’ recombination or produce *H (*OH + OH = H$_2$O + *H).46 The variation of *H radicals, therefore, illustrates the evolution of −OH-loaded moieties. We conduct the DPPH assay to quantify the H-donator (see the Methods, Figure 3d, and Figure S13). We found SCNC-n notably quenches the DPPH absorbance (at 516 nm) faster than GO- or CNT-mainly derived from the stepwise loss of CO/CO$_2$, at first rules out the role of ultrasonic thermal deoxygenation that removes −OH by instantaneous hot spot or local thermal annealing.43−45 The main origin of −OH is deemed to be nucleophilic attack of H$_2$O to surface vacancies and edge dangling bonds generated right after bond cleavage or decarboxylation in the aqueous medium.46 Meanwhile, sonolysis of water primarily produces reactive hydrogen and hydroxyl radicals (H$_2$O $\rightarrow$ *H + *OH),28 and −OH groups can consume oxidative radicals (*OH) to prevent the radicals’ recombination or produce *H (*OH + OH = H$_2$O + *H).46 The variation of *H radicals, therefore, illustrates the evolution of −OH-loaded moieties. We conduct the DPPH assay to quantify the H-donator (see the Supporting Information, Figure 3b, and Figure S11). As expected, SCNC-n notably quenches the DPPH absorbance (at 516 nm) faster than GO-n or CNT-n from the beginning of sonication to the end. The H-donator reaches the highest concentration at the first 2.5 min under US, which represents the early increase of −OH groups and also coincides with the considerable decrease of $I_D$/+$I_G$ from SCNC-1 (1.52) to SCNC-5 (1.27).

We indeed found −OH-containing fragments, small (0−4k Da) and large (5−50k Da), absorbed on the surface of SCNC-15 by using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS). Figure 3c even shows some recognized fragments of flavonoid-type molecules, mainly derived from the stepwise loss of CO/CO$_2$, at $m/z$ 241, 213, 197, and 181 (Figure S12).47 Note that all of the adsorbates can be washed away with isopropyl alcohol or acetone/titrile, confirming their noncovalent connections with SCNC-n surface. The generation of CO$_2$ as a final product of deoxygenation was tracked to confirm the proposed mechanism (see the Methods, Figure 3d, and Figure S13). We found SCNC-n increased, maximized, and ceased the CO$_2$ concentration in carrier flow just before 15 min sonication (30 min with 5 s on and 5 s off), whereas GO-n exhibited a much lagged but massive CO$_2$ production. The kinetics of CO$_2$ production of SCNC-n matches the 5 min window we found for the optimization of MAD and $I_D$/+$I_G$.

As such, a convincing explanation of the restoration of graphitic domains is that the shockwaves arise to high-velocity GO−CNT collisions, inducing GO−CNT covalent bonds and fast generation of fragments (Figure 1a). CNT is more likely to be rooted at the edge or a defective basal plane of GO at the initial stage of collision, as we found in SCNC-5 (Figure S14) and other work.39 The free fragments increase in a short time but decrease gradually as less probability of GO−CNT collision. The initial fragments continue alternatively to interact with *OH and further fragment, yielding carbonyls or carboxylates for deoxygenation and more stable hydroxyl/ether loading fragments.48 In the presence of *OH and H$_2$O, carbonyls and carboxylates will be easily converted into gaseous CO/CO$_2$ or dissolved H$_2$CO$_3$ to increase the pH of the system. The unpaired electrons lead to the formation of covalent bonds with H$_2$O or other carbon atoms.48 Unlike gradually fragmented GO-n, the burst increase of surface-absorbed fragments at the initial stage of sonication protects SCNC-n from intensive fracture, leading to flakes twice the size of GO-n (Table S1). When the fragments are used up, SCNC-30 increases MAD and $I_D$/+$I_G$ (Figure 2c). Without initial collision and fragmentation, GO + CNT under US for extra 15 min keeps MAD unchanged, but $I_D$/+$I_G$ increases from 1.21 to 1.31. Furthermore, MALDI-TOF MS detected a similar fragmentation when GO and fullerene were cosonicated (Figure S15), implying that the mechanism of collision can also be applied to other binary-component systems. We also
find that excess fullerene proportion intensifies the collision frequency and degrades the MAD and \( I_0/I_Q \) reflecting the possible detrimental effect of collision (Figure S16). In addition, we also discussed the size and dimension of the secondary carbonaceous component (see the Supporting Information and Figure S17). We found the large 3D objects weakened the collision,\(^{21,22}\) while a 2D partner led to a homogeneous assembly mainly by \( \pi-\pi \) conjugation or hydrophobic attraction.

**Strain-Tolerant Structural Integrity and Conductance.** Morphologically, the crumples and rooting CNTs are densely observed on SCNC-15 (Figure 4a). It is difficult to decouple the effects of rooting CNTs and heterogeneous atomic hybridization of \( \text{sp}^2 \) networks to the out-of-plane deformation.\(^{49,50}\) The rooting CNTs indeed retain the crumples against 2D capillary-force stretching during drying on a substrate, whereas p-GO, GO-n, and GO + CNT are flattened easily (Figure S18). The mechnano-response becomes notable as the tensile strain increases to 40% uniaxially. The regular ridges along the stretching direction can be identified (Figure 4b). Until the strain reaches 120%, all nanotubes were extensively stretched and merged with the ridges and, if present, grooves (Figure 4c). The ridges tend to align along the tensile direction with a roughly averaged distance of 300 nm, although they are slightly distracted locally by the innate stress. Our parallel simulations reveal the configurations II and III of rooting CNTs can induce in-plane expansion of the SCNC-15, which is in turn constrained by the substrate, engendering compressive stresses in SCNC-15. When the SCNC-15 substrate is stretched, the nanotubes are extended along the tensile direction, and in turn, the stresses between CNTs and GO also distribute along the tensile direction (insets of Figure 4a−c, see the Supporting Information). The variation from labyrinthine crumpling to a groove pattern with the magnificence of strain is consistent with our SEM observation.

Spectrally, the stretched SCNC-15 shows \( \sim 3 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) blueshifts of \( \omega_c \) and 10 cm\(^{-1} \) \( \Gamma_c \) narrowings of G peak below 40% strain, followed by the stress-induced redshifts of \( \omega_c \) (by \( \sim 2 \text{ cm}^{-1} \)) and increases of \( \Gamma_c \) (by \( \sim 4 \text{ cm}^{-1} \)) at the strain of 120%.\(^{51,52}\) Such “relax-stress” alteration of SCNC-15 generally maintains good reproducibility when the substrate is repeatedly stretched to the 80% strain and back (Figure 4d). In comparison, the conventional 2D flakes usually undergo an opposite “stress-relax” or even cracking process under tensile strain,\(^{10}\) and so we found for p-GO, GO-15, and GO + CNT (Figure S19−S21).

Inspired by the Raman “relax-stress” alteration of SCNC-15 and strong electron−phonon coupling of graphene-based materials,\(^8\) we deduce that stress-induced variations of electronic structure can be relieved by counterweighing between the intrinsic and external strains.

Without any reduction, the electrical conductivity of SCNC-15 flakes is estimated at a range of 0.46−1.84 × 10\(^4\) S/m, already approaching the record of reduced GO monolayer (2.0 × 10\(^4\) S/m)\(^{53,54}\) (see the Methods and Figure S22). When the strain is below 80%, the resistance \((R)\) remains almost unchanged \((\Delta R = R - R_0 \approx 0, R_0 \text{ defined as the resistance without strain})\) at transverse, longitudinal, and diagonal directions (Figure 4e). It indicates that the multidirectional rooting CNTs maintain an efficient connection across SCNC-15 to overcome the transport barriers. Beyond bridging the sheets, the rooting CNTs restore the structural integrity of SCNC-15 even at a strain of 160% (Figure S23). It is in contrast to the conventional pillared structures that undermine the overall tensile strength because of localized high stress concentration.\(^{55}\) The propagation of microcracks is ceased, as evidenced by the fact that the resistance of SCNC-15 remains almost intact while GO + CNT has a 50000-fold increase (Figure S24). The strain tolerance was evaluated by comparing the gauge factor \((\Delta R/(R \times \text{strain}))\) of SCNC-15 with the existing stretchable nanocarbonaceous composites. Regarding the gauge factor (0.06) and strain durability (0−160%), the single-layer SCNC-15 is analogous to the best-performing crumpled graphene\(^{26}\) and graphene scroll hybrid\(^{12}\) (Figure 4f and Table S2). These properties make SCNC-15 particularly suitable for flexible electronic devices.

**CONCLUSIONS**

To summarize, we confirm that US induces roots-like covalent bonds by carefully distinguishing the GO−CNT binary-component system from the single ones and the pairs dominated by \( \pi-\pi \) conjugative assembly. The US-directed assembly was systematically tracked to produce nanocomposites whose electrical conductance resists high external strain by its mechnano-responsive crumbled structure. The rooting morphologies of CNTs reflect the covalent bonding formed by shockwave-mediated collisions between GO and CNT. Adopting the collision strategy can not only guide the formation of all-carbon composites but also provides an approach to understand the concurrence of restoration of \( \text{sp}^2 \) networks and homogeneous assembly in the binary-component sonication systems between GO and Au, Ag, Pt, Pd, ZnO, TiO\(_2\), and CuO nanoparticles.\(^{55}\)

Although we confirmed the covalent bonding and securitized the role of US in making stretchable composites, it is unclear yet how the fragments and rooting CNT affect the mechanical and electrical properties of planar materials. To address this problem, we are trying to couple the PeakForce with the conductive AFM techniques. To fundamentally understand the process of collision between carbon materials \((0.1−100 \text{ km/s})\),\(^{57−59}\) the dependence of collision velocity on the size and dimensions need to be quantified. Our current sonication model only partially explains these results. Determination of the exact mechanism and precise tailoring collision kinetics for desired performance will be the focus of future work.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Fabrication of SCNC-n and CO\(_2\) Sensing.** We conducted US protected by argon within a Suslick cell to discount the disruptive reactions (Figure S13). Before use, all of the GO solution \((2 \text{ mg/mL})\), multiwalled CNT (O.D. × L, 6−13 nm × 2.5−20 mm), and fullerene from Sigma-Aldrich were washed with IPA three times. The washed GO was redispersed in water. CNT and C60 solids were dried under vacuum. The mixture of 8 mL of GO aqeous solution \((2 \text{ mg/mL}, \text{pH } = 2)\) and 0.8 mg of CNT was pulse-sonicated (model FB705, 20 kHz, Power 700 W, Fisher Scientific, USA) for a certain time (amplitude: 40%, S s on and S s off) with a titanium microtip probe \((1/8 \text{ in.})\) in the Suslick reaction cell \((\text{cooled by } 4 \text{ °C circulating water})\). Then the power density at probe surface was calculated to be around 216 W/cm\(^2\). Sonication of GO/C60, GO, or CNT followed the same procedure. SpinTIR-W high-speed CO\(_2\) sensor with a flow adapter was connected to the gas outlet of Suslick cell. The sensor takes record 20/s. Here, we controlled the argon rate as 3−4 bubbles/second.

**Raman Analysis.** For SHINERS, Reinshaw S0LW4D objective was used. The excitation wavelength was 637.8 nm from a He−Ne laser.
General methods, supporting table (Tables S1 and S2), and detailed spectra and experimental data (Figures S1–S23) (PDF)
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