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Abstract

Non topological solitons, Q-balls can arise in many particle theories with $U(1)$ global symmetries. As was shown by Cohen et al. [2], if the corresponding scalar field couples to massless fermions, large Q-balls are unstable and evaporate, producing a fermion flux proportional to the Q-ball’s surface. In this paper we analyse Q-ball instabilities as a function of Q-ball size and fermion mass. In particular, we construct an exact quantum-mechanical description of the evaporating Q-ball. This new construction provides an alternative method to compute Q-Ball’s evaporation rates. We shall also find the new expression for the upper bound on evaporation as a function of the produced fermion mass and study the effects of Q ball’s size on particle production.
I. INTRODUCTION

A scalar field theory with an unbroken continuous global symmetry admits a remarkable class of solutions, non-topological solitons or Q-Balls. These solutions are spherically symmetric non-dissipative solutions to the classical field equations [1, 2, 8]. In a certain way they can be viewed as a sort of Bose-Einstein condensate of “classical” scalars. The construction of these solutions uses the fact that they are absolute minima of the energy for a fixed value of the conserved $U(1)$-charge $Q$. So in the sector of fixed charge the Q-Ball solution is the ground state and all its stability properties are due to charge conservation. An important amount of work has been done on Q-Ball dynamics and on their stability versus decay into scalars [1, 9]. Apart from some existence theorems that depend on the type of symmetry and the potentials involved [8], the stability of Q-Balls is due to the fact that their mass is smaller than the mass of a collection of scalars.

In realistic theories the scalar field has a coupling with fermionic fields. The addition of this coupling modifies the criterions of Q-Ball stability since they can now produce fermions. This fact will have an important interest for cosmology since Q-Balls can play the role of dark matter [2, 3]. Particle production from the Q-Ball will reduce its charge $Q$ and at a certain point the Q-Ball will become unstable versus decay into scalars to finally disappear. This problem has been considered in [2, 8] for the production of massless fermions by a large Q-ball. The method used was to construct the quantum field as a superposition, with operator valued coefficients, of the classical solutions. In most cases we can express the general solution as a superposition of partial waves. The next step will be to use the asymptotic behaviour of the fields, considering the far past and the far future where the fields can be identified to free ones. In most configurations the fields in the far past and in the far future are free fields and the relation, the $S$-matrix, linking them together contains all the information needed to answer the question of particle production. The problem we have here is that the Q-ball is a time-dependent configuration, so we need to be careful when we identify the asymptotic states to free (static) ones. We must make sure that the identification is made before the interaction is turned on and after it is turned off.

To avoid the problems linked to the time dependence of the Q-ball we shall propose an alternative method. This uses Heisenberg’s picture of quantum mechanics. We shall construct the time independent state representing particle production, it is done by solving
the condition that no fluxes are coming from infinity (no particles are moving towards the Q-ball). We can then build the Heisenberg field operator containing all the relevant information and time dependence. Particle creation is then computed by using the number operator, but any other operator valued quantity can be calculated. The use of this method needs no limit calculations on the Q-ball’s size, so it can be used to study small Q-ball as well. The difficulty now lies in solving the production condition. This condition can be solved considering asymptotics of the fields far away from the Q-ball.

The major difference between the standard construction and this one lies in the kinematical conditions used. The standard S-matrix based method will solve matching conditions to compute all the reflection and transmission amplitudes of an incident wave. The approach used here is different in the sense that we construct a state having no incoming wave, making the computation of scattered amplitudes useless. Using this construction implies that all the particles are created inside the Q-ball, since they all move away from the Q-ball.

The other important fact to investigate is the production of massive fermions. Can a Q-Ball produce any type of fermions, and is the fermion mass relevant for the Q-Ball’s life time? To answer this question we can use both pictures, the problem is now that solutions to massive field equations have twice as many degrees of freedom. Even if the construction of the Heisenberg field operator is possible and not very difficult, the resolution of the particle production condition is a complex task to achieve, so we shall use the S-matrix picture. The partial wave expansion of the solution can easily be obtained when working with one space dimension. In fact using this simple picture allowed us to obtain analytical results describing a fermion field being scattered by a Q-ball. Computing the evaporation rate as a function of the produced fermion mass will lead to a new definition for the absolute upper bound of evaporation rate. An other important question we can ask is the role of the Q-ball’s size on the different particle creation regimes. To answer this question we shall study both very big and small Q balls.

The paper is organised as follows. We first give a review of the simplest 3 dimensional Q-Ball model to build up its basic properties and we then reduce it to 1 space dimension. We then consider interaction of Q-Balls with massless fermions and construct a solution where there are only fermions moving away from the Q-Ball, this construction will give us the evaporation rate of Q-Balls for production of massless fermions. We then consider production of massive fermions, using the incident wave outside the Q-Ball. This requires
the knowledge of the reflection and transmission amplitudes on the Q-Ball’s surface. Finally we extend our results to $3 \oplus 1$ dimensions.

II. A SIMPLE Q-BALL MODEL

We review here the basic properties of a 3-dimensional Q-Ball using the simplest possible model. As we mentioned in the introduction, the Q-Ball is the ground state of a scalar theory containing a global symmetry. We can now build the simplest model in $3 \oplus 1$ dimensions having a Q-Ball solution: it is a $SO(2)$ invariant theory of two real scalar fields (in fact it is the $U(1)$ theory of one complex scalar field) [1]. We start by writing down the Lagrangian and the equations of motion for the scalar field, to obtain the conserved charge and current. The Lagrangian of the scalar sector is given by:

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_{\mu} \phi^* \partial^{\mu} \phi - U(|\phi|).$$

(1)

The $U(1)$ symmetry is

$$\phi \rightarrow e^{i\alpha} \phi.$$  

The conserved current is

$$j_{\mu} = i(\phi^* \partial_{\mu} \phi - (\partial_{\mu} \phi^*) \phi),$$

(2)

and the conserved charge is

$$Q = \int d^3 x j_0.$$  

(3)

We build a solution with the minimal energy: if $U(0) = 0$ is the absolute minimum of the potential, $\phi = 0$ is the ground state and the $U(1)$ symmetry is unbroken. It was shown in [1] that new particles (Q-Balls) appear in the spectrum, if the potential is such that the minimum of $\frac{U}{|\phi|^2}$ is at some value $\phi_0 \neq 0$.

$$\text{Min}[2U/|\phi|^2] = 2U_0/|\phi_0|^2 < \mu^2 = U''(0).$$

(4)

The charge and energy of a given $\phi$ field configuration are:

$$Q = \frac{1}{2i} \int (-\partial_t \phi^* \phi + \text{c.c.}) d^3 x,$$

$$E = \int \left[ \frac{1}{2} |\dot{\phi}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + U(\phi) \right] d^3 x.$$  

(5)
The Q-Ball solution is a solution with minimum energy for a fixed charge, we thus introduce the following Lagrange multiplier

$$\varepsilon_\omega = E + \omega [Q - \frac{1}{2i} \int (\phi^* \partial_t \phi + c.c.) d^3x].$$  \hfill (6)

Minimising this functional with the standard Q-Ball ansatz:

$$\phi = \phi(\vec{x}) e^{i \omega t},$$  \hfill (7)

where \(\phi(r)\) is a monotonically decreasing function of distance to the origin, and zero at infinity. Inserting the Q-Ball ansatz in the equations of motion gives in spherical coordinates

$$\frac{d^2 \phi}{dr^2} = -2 \frac{d \phi}{r dr} - \omega^2 \phi + U'(\phi).$$  \hfill (8)

If we interpret \(\phi\) as a particle position and \(r\) as time this equation is similar to a Newtonian equation of motion for a particle of unit mass subject to viscous damping moving in the potential \(\frac{1}{2} \omega^2 \phi^2 - U\). We are searching for a solution in which the particle starts at \(t = 0\) at some position \(\phi(0)\), at rest, \(\frac{d \phi}{dr} = 0\), and comes to rest at infinite time at \(\phi = 0\). Solving this problem is not difficult (see \([1]\) for details). One of the solutions can be the localised step function. Although we can solve exactly the equation of motion, we will not do it in this work.

This construction is the Q-Ball we where looking for, in the sense that it is the ground state of the theory with constant charge. We used only one field to describe it but it is in fact made of a collection of scalars. Q-Balls rotate with constant angular velocity in internal space and are spherically symmetric in position space. As the charge \(Q\) goes to infinity, \(\omega\) approaches

$$\omega_0 = \sqrt{2U_0/\phi^2},$$  \hfill (9)

where \(U_0\) is the value of the potential at the minimum. In this limit, \(\phi\) resembles a smoothed-out step function. The two regions \((r < R\) and \(r > R\)) are separated by a transition zone of thickness \(\mu^{-1}\). This leads to the consideration of two approximations the thick and thin wall regime (see \([8]\) and \([3]\) for details). The radius of the Q-Ball can easily be calculated using the definition of charge:

$$Q = \frac{4}{3} \pi R^3 \omega_0 \phi_0^2.$$

\hfill (10)
This calculation has been done $\phi(r) = 0$ if $r > R$. All the properties of the Q-Ball are now known except the exact profile of the Q-Ball field. We shall now build up the Q-Ball solution to our problem. The energy is given by the integral (5) and using the previous properties and taking the limit $V \to \infty$, where $V$ is the volume of the Q-Ball, the energy becomes

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 |\phi|^2 V + UV. \quad (11)$$

The charge becomes

$$Q = \omega_0 |\phi|^2 V. \quad (12)$$

We wish to minimise the energy with fixed charge. Using eq. (12) to eliminate $\omega$, we have in the limit of $Q \to \infty$,

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q}{|\phi|^2 V} + UV. \quad (13)$$

As a function of $V$ it has its minimum at

$$V = \frac{Q}{\sqrt{2|\phi|^2 U}}. \quad (14)$$

Here the energy is given now by

$$E = Q \sqrt{\frac{2U}{\phi^2}}, \quad (15)$$

With some little modifications this construction can be adapted to any dimensions. The model we shall use in the next section is a $1 \oplus 1$ dimensional model. In one space dimension the Q-ball profile will be the standard step function localised in a space region of size $l$.

III. PRODUCTION OF MASSLESS FERMIONS

The solution to the problem of particle creation by a Q-ball can be solved using two different pictures. The first one is based on the $S$-matrix formalism, using the idea that the field is free for $t \to \pm \infty$. This construction is done by finding the solution to the equations of motion for a fermion interacting with a Q-ball, in terms of a superposition of classical solutions. The quantisation is made by upgrading expansion coefficients to operators, this will give us the Heisenberg field operator. The $S$-matrix will then be constructed by identifying the fields in the far past and in the far future to fields having the exact positive
and negative frequency behaviour. This method was widely used to solve the problem for particle creation. This method was used to compute the evaporation rate of Q-balls \[2, 8\] where the expansion was made using rotational eigenfunctions. Once the total solution is known it is simple to build the transformation from the far past to the far future. In the far past only the incoming wave will survive and in the far future only the outgoing ones.

The construction we are going to use here is different, we shall in the first place solve the equations of motion and obtain the Heisenberg field operator representing a fermion interacting with a Q-ball. In one space dimension this solution will be expressed in the form,

\[
\Psi_Q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int d\epsilon \left( \psi_Q^+(\epsilon, t, z)A(\epsilon) + \psi_Q^-(\epsilon, t, z)B(\epsilon) \right),
\]

where the \(\psi_Q^\pm(\epsilon, t, z)\) are a basis of the solution to the Dirac equation for fermions interacting with a Q-ball of charge Q. \(A(\epsilon)\) and \(B(\epsilon)\) are operators depending on energy, their anti-commutation relations are the standard ones if the \(\psi\) solutions satisfy proper orthogonality conditions. The next step we shall use is consider the space asymptotics of this solution. Far away from the Q-ball \((z = \pm\infty\) for one space dimension) the solution is the standard free field solution. This identification will give us a relation between the solution operators \(A(\epsilon), B(\epsilon)\) and the free asymptotic ones \(a(p), b(p)\). The only difficulty in this identification is that the quantisation of the solution was made using energy (due to the time dependence of interaction) while the asymptotical operators depend on momentum. The next step will be to define and solve the particle production condition, saying that no particles are moving towards the Q-ball. In terms of asymptotic operators it is

\[
\begin{align*}
   a_L(p)|\Psi> &= b_L(p)|\Psi> = 0 & \text{for } p > 0, \text{ on the left} \\
   a_R(p)|\Psi> &= b_R(p)|\Psi> = 0 & \text{for } p < 0, \text{ on the right}.
\end{align*}
\]

The last step of the resolution will consist in using the total Heisenberg operator \(\Psi\), and the particle productive state to compute the fermionic flux giving evaporation rate. The main idea used here is to construct a solution having no incoming wave, so we do not need to compute any reflected or transmitted coefficients. This way all the particles come from inside the Q-ball. As mentioned before this new construction gives a good alternative to the standard scattering kinematics. The other advantage of this picture is to allow us giving a consistent treatment of time continuity.
In the next two subsections we shall build the solution and the relation to asymptotic operators, while in the two last subsections we shall solve the production condition and compute the evaporation rate.

A. Solutions to the equations of motion

Writing down the Lagrangian of a massless fermion having a Yukawa interaction with a scalar field gives in one spatial dimension,

\[ L_{\text{ferm.}} = i \bar{\psi} \sigma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi + (g \phi \bar{\psi}^C \psi + h.c), \]  

(17)

where the \( C \) superscript indicates the charge conjugated fermion. The equations of motion and their solutions are fully described in literature on the subject ([1, 2, 8]). Instead of treating separately the fermion and the anti-fermion, we shall construct the exact global solution to this problem, this solution will be made of different parts first the solution inside the Q-Ball (for \( z \in [-l, l] \)). Equations of motion for the two components of the \( \Psi \) field are:

\[
\begin{align*}
(i \partial_0 + i \partial_z) \psi_1 - \kappa \phi \psi_2^* &= 0, \\
(i \partial_0 - i \partial_z) \psi_2^* - \kappa \phi^* \psi_1 &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(18)

and \( \phi = \phi_0 e^{-i \omega_0 t} \) in the zone from \(-l\) to \(+l\) and zero everywhere else. Using the ansatz:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\psi_1 \\
\psi_2^*
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
e^{-i \frac{\omega_0}{2} t} & 0 \\
0 & e^{i \frac{\omega_0}{2} t}
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
A \\
B
\end{pmatrix} e^{-i \epsilon t + i (k + \frac{\omega_0}{2})},
\]

(19)

the equations of motion are reduced to the following \( 2 \times 2 \) linear system

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
k - \epsilon & M \\
M & -(k + \epsilon)
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
A \\
B
\end{pmatrix} = 0.
\]

The determinant of the system gives \( k = \pm \sqrt{\epsilon^2 - M^2} \equiv \pm k_\epsilon \). Solving for the two cases \( k = +k_\epsilon \) and \( k = -k_\epsilon \), we obtain the solution inside the Q-Ball:

\[
\Psi_Q = \begin{pmatrix}
\psi_1 \\
\psi_2^*
\end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\
k_\epsilon + \frac{\epsilon}{M}
\end{pmatrix} e^{-i k_\epsilon z} + B \begin{pmatrix} k_\epsilon + \frac{\epsilon}{M} \\
1
\end{pmatrix} e^{i k_\epsilon z},
\]

(20)

where \( M = g \phi_0 \), \( g \) is the coupling constant and \( \phi_0 \) the value of the scalar field. The second part is the solution when \( \phi_0 = 0 \) (outside the Q-Ball) it is,

\[
\Psi = \begin{pmatrix}
\psi_1 \\
\psi_2^*
\end{pmatrix} = e^{-i \epsilon t} \begin{pmatrix} C_1^L e^{iz} \\
C_2^L e^{-iz}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(21)
where superscripts $L, R$ indicate the left and right side of the Q-Ball. In order to solve Dirac’s equation everywhere the solution needs to be continuous in space. Space continuity gives at $z = -l$:

$$C_1^L = A e^{i(k_\epsilon + \epsilon)t} + B \alpha e^{-i(k_\epsilon - \epsilon)t},$$
$$C_2^L = A \alpha e^{i(k_\epsilon - \epsilon)t} + B e^{-i(k_\epsilon + \epsilon)t},$$

and at $z = +l$,

$$C_1^R = A e^{-i(k_\epsilon + \epsilon)t} + B \alpha e^{i(k_\epsilon - \epsilon)t},$$
$$C_2^R = A \alpha e^{-i(k_\epsilon - \epsilon)t} + B e^{i(k_\epsilon + \epsilon)t}.$$

These matching relations are used to express the solution only using the parameters coming from the inner part of the solution. This construction will allow us to build a state where there is no incoming fermion, all the fermions are now produced inside the Q-Ball. Putting together all these parts gives the full solution continuous in space and time:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}
\psi_1 \\
\psi_2
\end{array}\right) = \int d\epsilon \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\left( e^{itl}(A e^{i(k_\epsilon + \epsilon)t} + B \alpha e^{-i(k_\epsilon - \epsilon)t}) e^{-i(\epsilon + \frac{M}{2}t)l} e^{i(\epsilon + \frac{M}{2}t)z} \\
- \left( A \alpha e^{i(k_\epsilon - \epsilon)t} + B e^{i(k_\epsilon + \epsilon)t} e^{-i(\epsilon + \frac{M}{2}t)l} e^{i(\epsilon + \frac{M}{2}t)z} \right) \end{array} \right\}, \quad (22)$$

where

$$\alpha_\epsilon = \frac{k_\epsilon + \epsilon}{M}. \quad (23)$$

A little work on the solution and on its orthogonality properties leads to a solution of the form:

$$\Psi_Q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int d\epsilon e^{-it\epsilon} \left( \psi_1^+ (\epsilon) A(\epsilon) + \psi_2^- (\epsilon) B(\epsilon) \right) e^{i\frac{M}{2}z} \Omega(t), \quad (24)$$

with

$$\Omega(t) = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
e^{-i\frac{M}{2}t} & 0 \\
0 & e^{i\frac{M}{2}t} \end{array} \right). \quad (25)$$
\[ \psi^\pm = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} f^\pm_1(\epsilon, l)e^{i\epsilon z} \\ (f^\pm_2(\epsilon, l))^*e^{-i\epsilon z} \end{pmatrix} & z < -l \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N^\pm}} \begin{pmatrix} \pm e^{-ik^z_\epsilon z} + \alpha_\epsilon e^{ik^z_\epsilon z} \\ \pm \alpha_\epsilon e^{-ik^z_\epsilon z} + e^{ik^z_\epsilon z} \end{pmatrix} & -l \leq z \leq +l \\ \begin{pmatrix} f^\pm_1(\epsilon, -l)e^{i\epsilon z} \\ (f^\pm_2(\epsilon, -l))^*e^{-i\epsilon z} \end{pmatrix} & z > +l \end{cases} \]  

(26)

and the functions \( f^\pm_{1,2} \) having the form

\[ f^\pm_1(\epsilon, l) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi N^\pm}}e^{i\epsilon l}(\pm e^{ik^z_\epsilon l} + \alpha_\epsilon e^{-ik^z_\epsilon l}), \]

\[ f^\pm_2(\epsilon, l) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi N^\pm}}e^{i\epsilon l}(\pm \alpha^*_\epsilon e^{-ik^z_\epsilon l} + e^{ik^z_\epsilon l}). \]  

(27)

Finally the time dependent matrix was introduced for simplicity, the \( N^\pm \) are the normalisation constants. Quantisation of solution (24) was made using equal time anti-commutation relations for \( \Psi \). If the \( \psi^\pm \) functions satisfy

\[ \int dz (\psi^{\sigma'}(\epsilon'))^\dagger \psi^\sigma(\epsilon) = \delta_{\sigma'^\sigma}\delta(\epsilon' - \epsilon) \]

we can show that,

\[ \{A(\epsilon), A^\dagger(\epsilon')\} = \int dz \int dz' (\psi^+_Q(z, \epsilon))^\dagger(\psi^+_Q(z', \epsilon')) \left< \Psi_Q, \left( \hat{\Psi}_Q^' \right)^\dagger \right>_{\delta(z' - z)} \]

\[ = \delta(\epsilon' - \epsilon). \]

The \( \Psi_Q \) solution we obtained has now being upgraded to a Heisenberg field operator describing fermions interacting with a Q-ball.

**B. Relation to asymptotic operators**

First we conjugate the second component of the above solution, in order to compare it with the standard free solution for a massless fermion in 1 \( \oplus \) 1 dimensions (see [13] for
details). We look at the asymptotic behaviour of the Q-Ball solution \(^{(24)}\). On the left and right-hand side of the Q-Ball, it has to be the standard free solution since the interaction is zero outside the Q-Ball’s volume. After elimination of integrals and standard manipulations and variable changes, we obtain at \(z \to -\infty\):

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} \theta(p) \\ \theta(-p) \end{pmatrix} a(p) + \begin{pmatrix} \theta(-p) \\ \theta(p) \end{pmatrix} b^\dagger(-p) = \begin{pmatrix} f^+_1(\epsilon, l)A(\epsilon) \bigg|_{\epsilon=p-\frac{i\omega}{2}} \\ f^+_2(\epsilon, l)A(\epsilon) \bigg|_{\epsilon=p+\frac{i\omega}{2}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} f^-_1(\epsilon, l)B(\epsilon) \bigg|_{\epsilon=p-\frac{i\omega}{2}} \\ f^-_2(\epsilon, l)B(\epsilon) \bigg|_{\epsilon=p+\frac{i\omega}{2}} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(29)

\(\theta(p)\) is the heavy-side function, \(\theta(p)=0\) if \(p\) is negative and \(\theta(p) \neq 0\) when \(p\) is positive. Multiplying eq. \((29)\) by \(\begin{pmatrix} \theta(p) \\ \theta(-p) \end{pmatrix}^\dagger\) and by \(\begin{pmatrix} \theta(-p) \\ \theta(p) \end{pmatrix}^\dagger\) we obtain the two following equations:

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} a_L(p) = [f^+_1(\epsilon, l)A(\epsilon) + f^-_1(\epsilon, l)B(\epsilon)] \bigg|_{\epsilon=p-\frac{i\omega}{2}} \theta(p) + [f^+_2(\epsilon, l)A^\dagger(\epsilon) + f^-_2(\epsilon, l)B^\dagger(\epsilon)] \bigg|_{\epsilon=p+\frac{i\omega}{2}} \theta(-p),
\]

(30)

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} b^\dagger_L(-p) = [f^+_1(\epsilon, l)A(\epsilon) + f^-_1(\epsilon, l)B(\epsilon)] \bigg|_{\epsilon=p-\frac{i\omega}{2}} \theta(-p) + [f^+_2(\epsilon, l)A^\dagger(\epsilon) + f^-_2(\epsilon, l)B^\dagger(\epsilon)] \bigg|_{\epsilon=p+\frac{i\omega}{2}} \theta(p).
\]

In these two equations the \(l\) subscript indicates we are on the left-hand side of the Q-Ball, the same manipulations on the right-hand side lead to:

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} a_R(p) = [f^+_1(\epsilon, -l)A(\epsilon) + f^-_1(\epsilon, -l)B(\epsilon)] \bigg|_{\epsilon=p-\frac{i\omega}{2}} \theta(p) + [f^+_2(\epsilon, -l)A^\dagger(\epsilon) + f^-_2(\epsilon, -l)B^\dagger(\epsilon)] \bigg|_{\epsilon=p+\frac{i\omega}{2}} \theta(-p),
\]

(31)

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} b^\dagger_R(-p) = [f^+_1(\epsilon, -l)A(\epsilon) + f^-_1(\epsilon, -l)B(\epsilon)] \bigg|_{\epsilon=p-\frac{i\omega}{2}} \theta(-p) + [f^+_2(\epsilon, -l)A^\dagger(\epsilon) + f^-_2(\epsilon, -l)B^\dagger(\epsilon)] \bigg|_{\epsilon=p+\frac{i\omega}{2}} \theta(p).
\]

Checking the anti-commutation relations of operators \(a_{L,R}\) and \(b_{L,R}\) is a tedious task but using the different energy ranges and orthogonality properties of the \(f^\pm_{1,2}\) it can be done.
These four equations will be the basis of the construction of particle productive state, since they give a relation between free operators (lower case) and solution operators (upper case). These relations will give the solution in terms of free operators, so the next task we need to achieve is to define and construct the particle productive state.

C. Construction of particle productive state

As mentioned before, the construction of this quantum state $\Psi$ will be done using the fact that there are no particles moving towards the Q-Ball. These are negative momentum particles on the left and positive momentum particles on the right. In terms of $a_{L,R}$ and $b_{L,R}$ operators:

$$a_L(p)|\Psi\rangle = b_L(p)|\Psi\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } p > 0, \text{ on the left} \quad (32)$$

$$a_R(p)|\Psi\rangle = b_R(p)|\Psi\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } p < 0, \text{ on the right.}$$

This construction will lead to the opposite sign of the fermionic current on the left and on the right hand side of Q-Ball using eqs. (30-31). We then obtain four equations. For positive $p$, we have:

$$\left. (f_1^+ (\epsilon, l) A(\epsilon) + f_1^- (\epsilon, l) B(\epsilon)) \right|_{\epsilon = p - \frac{\omega_0}{2}} |\Psi\rangle = 0,$$

$$\left. (f_1^+ (\epsilon, l))^* A^\dagger (\epsilon) + (f_1^- (\epsilon, l))^* B^\dagger (\epsilon) \right|_{\epsilon = -p - \frac{\omega_0}{2}} |\Psi\rangle = 0, \quad (33)$$

and for negative $p$

$$\left. (f_2^+ (\epsilon, -l) A^\dagger (\epsilon) + f_2^- (\epsilon, -l) B^\dagger (\epsilon)) \right|_{\epsilon = p + \frac{\omega_0}{2}} |\Psi\rangle = 0,$$

$$\left. (f_2^+ (\epsilon, -l))^* A(\epsilon) + (f_2^- (\epsilon, -l))^* B(\epsilon) \right|_{\epsilon = -p + \frac{\omega_0}{2}} |\Psi\rangle = 0. \quad (34)$$

Due to the relation between $\epsilon$, $p$, $\frac{\omega_0}{2}$ given in the subindices of eqs. (33, 34) and the fact that $p$ is either positive or negative, we can identify three ranges for $\epsilon$:

- For $\epsilon > +\frac{\omega_0}{2}$ we only have the following two equations:

$$\left. (f_1^+ (\epsilon, l) A(\epsilon) + f_1^- (\epsilon, l) B(\epsilon)) \right|_{\epsilon = p - \frac{\omega_0}{2}} |\Psi\rangle = 0,$$

$$\left. ((f_2^+ (\epsilon, -l))^* A(\epsilon) + (f_2^- (\epsilon, -l))^* B(\epsilon)) \right|_{\epsilon = -p - \frac{\omega_0}{2}} |\Psi\rangle = 0. \quad (35)$$

- For the negative range $\epsilon < -\frac{\omega_0}{2}$ we have:

$$\left. ((f_1^+ (\epsilon, l))^* A^\dagger (\epsilon) + (f_1^- (\epsilon, l))^* B^\dagger (\epsilon)) \right|_{\epsilon = p + \frac{\omega_0}{2}} |\Psi\rangle = 0,$$

$$\left. (f_2^+ (\epsilon, -l) A^\dagger (\epsilon) + f_2^- (\epsilon, -l) B^\dagger (\epsilon)) \right|_{\epsilon = -p + \frac{\omega_0}{2}} |\Psi\rangle = 0. \quad (36)$$
• For the middle range \( \epsilon \in [-\frac{\omega_0}{2}, \frac{\omega_0}{2}] \) we have:

\[
\begin{align*}
(f_1^+(\epsilon, l)A(\epsilon) + f_1^-(\epsilon, l)B(\epsilon))|\Psi\rangle &= 0, \\
(f_2^+(\epsilon, -l)A^\dagger(\epsilon) + f_2^-(\epsilon, -l)B^\dagger(\epsilon))|\Psi\rangle &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(37)

The range where \(|\epsilon| > +\frac{\omega_0}{2}\) is easy to solve, since we expect the solution to be the vacuum and to lead to no evaporation. The determinant of the matrix:

\[
\det\left[\begin{array}{cc}
f_1^+(\epsilon, l) & f_1^-(\epsilon, l) \\
(f_2^+(\epsilon, -l))^* & (f_2^-(\epsilon, -l))^*
\end{array}\right] = 2(1 - \alpha^2),
\]

(38)

is always different from zero. The only solution for an evaporating state in this range is the trivial solution given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
A(\epsilon)|\Psi\rangle &= B(\epsilon)|\Psi\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \epsilon > \frac{\omega_0}{2}, \\
A^\dagger(\epsilon)|\Psi\rangle &= B^\dagger(\epsilon)|\Psi\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \epsilon < \frac{\omega_0}{2}.
\end{align*}
\]

(39)

In fact these two equations are the same, because we can always use the transformation \(A(\epsilon) = A'(\epsilon)\theta(\epsilon) + B'^\dagger(\epsilon)\theta(-\epsilon)\), all equations will have vacuum solutions. Here the anti-commutation relations are trivial to check because of the two different energy ranges. For the middle range \(\epsilon \in [-\frac{\omega_0}{2}, \frac{\omega_0}{2}]\) things are a little more complicated, this being the range where particle production occurs as first shown in [2]. Taking a look at solution (26) in this range, only particles are created and changing the sign of \(\omega_0\) changes the particle type. We now need to check normalisation of these new operators describing the evaporating state and their anti-commutation relations. Defining the evaporation operators in all the energy ranges, we have

\[
a_e(\epsilon) = \begin{cases} 
A^\dagger(\epsilon) & \epsilon < -\frac{\omega_0}{2} \\
\sqrt{8\pi}(f_1^+(\epsilon, l)A(\epsilon) + f_1^-(\epsilon, l)B(\epsilon)) & \epsilon \in [-\frac{\omega_0}{2}, \frac{\omega_0}{2}] \\
A(\epsilon) & \epsilon > \frac{\omega_0}{2}
\end{cases},
\]

(40)

and

\[
b_e(\epsilon) = \begin{cases} 
B^\dagger(\epsilon) & \epsilon < -\frac{\omega_0}{2} \\
\sqrt{8\pi}(f_1^+(\epsilon, l)^*A^\dagger(\epsilon) - f_1^-(\epsilon, l)^*B^\dagger(\epsilon)) & \epsilon \in [-\frac{\omega_0}{2}, \frac{\omega_0}{2}] \\
B(\epsilon) & \epsilon > \frac{\omega_0}{2}
\end{cases},
\]

(41)

where the \(\sqrt{8\pi}\) factor is the normalisation \(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|f_1^+(\epsilon, l)|^2 + |f_1^-(\epsilon, l)|^2}}\). The anti-commutation relations of these operators are easy to check. They use the fact that \(|f_1^\pm|^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi}\). The particle
production state is now fully characterised by the simple relation:

\[ a_e(\epsilon)|\Psi > = b_e(\epsilon)|\Psi > = 0. \tag{42} \]

This simple relation gives the ground state for a Q-Ball producing fermions. We could now compute lots of different properties of these fermions but we compute their number. The state defined by this relation has no incident fermion, it is exactly the state we wanted to build. The final step will now be to compute the fermionic flux and obtain the particle production rate.

D. Particle production rate

The particle production rate is given by the current operator \( \vec{j}(x) = \bar{\psi}(x)\gamma^\mu\psi(x) \), which in our case is

\[ \psi_1^*\psi_1 - \psi_2^*\psi_2 = \vec{j}(x) \tag{43} \]

that we shall apply on the evaporating state defined by the vacuum for \( a_e \) and \( b_e \) operators.

First we invert the systems (40) and (41) to obtain:

- \( \epsilon < -\frac{\omega_0}{2} \)
  \[
  A(\epsilon) = a^\dagger_e(\epsilon) \\
  B(\epsilon) = b^\dagger_e(\epsilon)
  \]

- \( \epsilon > \frac{\omega_0}{2} \)
  \[
  A(\epsilon) = a_e(\epsilon) \\
  B(\epsilon) = b_e(\epsilon)
  \]

- \( \epsilon \in [-\frac{\omega_0}{2}, +\frac{\omega_0}{2}] \)
  \[
  A(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi^2\omega_0^2}}(a_e(\epsilon) + b^\dagger_e(\epsilon)) \\
  B(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi^2\omega_0^2}}(a_e(\epsilon) - b^\dagger_e(\epsilon))
  \]

Now we can compute the first term of the current on the left hand side of the Q-Ball: Using anti-commutation relations and the separate range of integrals and the definition of \( A(\epsilon) \) and \( B(\epsilon) \) in terms of evaporation operators \( a_e(\epsilon), b_e(\epsilon) \) we obtain:

\[
< 0|\psi_1^\dagger\psi_1|0 > = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\epsilon(|f^+_1(\epsilon, l)|^2 < 0|a_e(\epsilon)a^\dagger_e(\epsilon)|0 > + |f^-_1(\epsilon, l)|^2 < 0|b_e(\epsilon)b^\dagger_e(\epsilon)|0 >) \\
+ \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\frac{\omega_0}{2}}^{+\frac{\omega_0}{2}} d\epsilon \left| \frac{f^+_1(\epsilon, l)}{2\eta} - \frac{f^-_1(\epsilon, l)}{2\zeta} \right|^2 < 0|b_e(\epsilon)b^\dagger_e(\epsilon)|0 >. \tag{47} \]
The other term of the current, proportional to $\psi_2^\dagger \psi_2$, is very similar but we need to be careful with the fact that $\psi_2$ is proportional to $f_{2\pm}^\dagger (\epsilon, \ell)$. Applying the same method we obtain:

$$< 0|\psi_2^\dagger \psi_2|0> = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\epsilon \left| \frac{(f_{2+}^\dagger (\epsilon, \ell))^*}{2f_{1+}^\dagger (\epsilon, \ell)} + \frac{(f_{2-}^\dagger (\epsilon, \ell))^*}{2f_{1-}^\dagger (\epsilon, \ell)} \right|^2 < 0|a_\epsilon(e)a_{\epsilon}^\dagger(\epsilon)|0>$$

$$+ \int_{+\infty}^{\infty} d\epsilon \left( (f_{2+}^\dagger (\epsilon, \ell))^2 < 0|a_\epsilon(e)a_{\epsilon}^\dagger(\epsilon)|0> + (f_{2-}^\dagger (\epsilon, \ell))^2 < 0|b_\epsilon(e)b_{\epsilon}^\dagger(\epsilon)|0> \right).$$

It is easy to check that $|f_2(\epsilon, \ell)|^2 = |f_1(\epsilon, \ell)|^2$ leading to the compensation of terms with infinite bounds. Finally the expression for the fermionic current on the left is:

$$\tilde{j}_L = \int_{-\frac{\omega_0}{2}}^{+\frac{\omega_0}{2}} d\epsilon \left| \frac{(f_{2+}^\dagger (\epsilon, \ell))^*}{2f_{1+}^\dagger (\epsilon, \ell)} + \frac{(f_{2-}^\dagger (\epsilon, \ell))^*}{2f_{1-}^\dagger (\epsilon, \ell)} \right|^2$$

$$= \int_{-\frac{\omega_0}{2}}^{+\frac{\omega_0}{2}} d\epsilon \left| \frac{\alpha_\epsilon \sinh[2ikz]}{e^{2ikz} - \alpha_\epsilon^2 e^{-2ikz}} \right|^2$$

(49)

If the real part of $k_\epsilon$ equals zero ($\frac{\omega_0}{2} \leq M$), we use the definitions

$$k_\epsilon = i\sqrt{M^2 - \epsilon^2}, \quad \alpha_\epsilon = \frac{k_\epsilon + \epsilon}{M}, \quad |\alpha_\epsilon|^2 = 1$$

and the current is then:

$$j_L = \int_{-\frac{\omega_0}{2}}^{+\frac{\omega_0}{2}} d\epsilon \left| \frac{\sin^2[-2\sqrt{M^2 - \epsilon^2}]}{e^{-2\sqrt{M^2 - \epsilon^2}} - \alpha_\epsilon^2 e^{2\sqrt{M^2 - \epsilon^2}}} \right|^2$$

(50)

In the limit $M \ell \to \infty$ we can neglect the negative exponentials and the only factor we are left with is the $\frac{1}{2}$ coming from the hyperbolic sine. The right hand side the current is the same except for the sign, so the total current is equal to one half. Using the continuity equation we can write:

$$\frac{\partial j_0}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial j_1}{\partial z} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{dQ}{dt} = \int \partial_z j(z) dz = j_L - j_R = 2j_L.$$

(51)

The current does not depend on $z$ so the value of the current is constant on both sides, so after integration of the current over $\epsilon$ we obtain:

$$\frac{dQ}{dt} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \omega_0.$$  

(52)

This expression gives the particle production rate as a function of $\omega_0$ when $\omega_0$ is smaller than $M$ in the limit of big $M \ell$. It is in fact an evaporation rate since it does not depend on the Q-Ball’s size. The other importance of this result is that it gives a absolute upper bound on evaporation rate. The case when the imaginary part of $k_\epsilon$ is equal to zero is a bit more complicated to solve. In this case we need to explicitly compute the current integral, so this case will be studied numerically. The value obtained for the evaporation rate in (52) is the $1 \oplus 1$ equivalent of the results found in literature on the subject.
Particle production rate for small values of $Ml$ and for a fixed value of $\frac{\omega_0}{2M} = 0.5$.

1. Production rate in function of size

We shall first consider the limit where $l$ is small. In this case we write

$$\text{sinh}^2[2\sqrt{M^2 - \epsilon^2}l] = 4(M^2 - \epsilon^2)l^2 = 4(Ml)^2(1 - \left(\frac{\epsilon}{M}\right)^2)$$

$$\left|e^{-2\sqrt{M^2 - \epsilon^2}l} - \alpha_l^2 e^{2\sqrt{M^2 - \epsilon^2}l}\right|^2 = e^{-4\sqrt{M^2 - \epsilon^2}l} + e^{+4\sqrt{M^2 - \epsilon^2}l} - 2\Re[\alpha_l^2]$$

$$= 2(1 - \frac{2\epsilon - M^2}{M^2}) = 2\left(1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{M^2}\right).$$

These two terms will simplify to give after integration over $\epsilon$:

$$j_L = l^2 M^2 \frac{\omega_0}{8\pi},$$

leading to the particle production rate:

$$\frac{dQ}{dt} = l^2 M^2 \frac{1}{4\pi} \omega_0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (55)

This result ensures us the fact that when $Ml = 0$, the Q ball does not exist, the evaporation rate is zero. This behaviour is shown on figure 1. The next limit we shall study is the very large Q ball limit. To do so we take a look at the production rate for large values of the size parameter, $Ml$ and observe that the production rate becomes constant for big values of the size parameter (see fig 2). These considerations also stand for all the possible values of the frequency parameter the only difference is when $\frac{\omega_0}{2M}$ gets bigger the stability of the evaporation rate comes for bigger values of $Ml$. 
2. Energy flux far away from the Q ball

The next calculation we can do is the calculation of the energy flux far away from the Q-ball. In the case where we consider the observer very far from the Q-ball the only relevant coordinate is the distance to the Q-ball, we are in a one spatial dimension case. The energy flux a distant observer can measure is given after normalisation by $M$, 

$$
\frac{dE}{M dt d\sigma} = \int_{0}^{\frac{\omega_0}{2M}} d\frac{\epsilon}{M} \left| \frac{\alpha(\frac{\epsilon}{M})}{e^{2ik(\frac{\epsilon}{M})l}} - \frac{\alpha^2(\frac{\epsilon}{M})}{e^{-2ik(\frac{\epsilon}{M})l}} \right|^2 \left( \frac{\epsilon}{M} \right)^2, 
$$

this expression is obtained by computing the energy flux through a sphere containing the Q-Ball. When the real part of $k_\epsilon$ equals zero the fraction becomes equal to one. The result in this range will be proportional to $\omega_0^3$.

3. Results of numerical integration

We can now give the evaporation rate of a Q ball into massless fermions in function of its internal frequency. In the first figure we can observe a limit in the evaporation rate. The absolute upper bound can be computed using

$$
\frac{dN}{dt} \leq \int_{-\frac{\omega_0}{2M}}^{+\frac{\omega_0}{2M}} d\epsilon = \omega_0,
$$

this absolute upper bound will be used to normalise the evaporation rate.
IV. PRODUCTION OF MASSIVE FERMIONS

The evaporation of a Q-ball into massive fermions is more complicated than the previous case. We can quite easily obtain the Heisenberg field operator but solving the evaporation condition is a difficult task, even with only one space dimension. So the method we are going to use is the same $S$-matrix based method used in [2, 8]. This picture will need as starting point the expression of the solution as a superposition of wave packets. It is done by expressing the motion equations in matrix form and then expanding the solutions over
the eigenfunctions. This gives the separation into left and right movers. Choosing which wave is the incident one, we can write the solution as

\[
\Psi_L = [B_1 e^{i\bar{p}_1 x} u_{\bar{p}_1} + B_2 e^{i\bar{p}_2 x} u_{\bar{p}_2} + r_1 e^{-i\bar{p}_1 x} u_{-\bar{p}_1} + r_2 e^{-i\bar{p}_2 x} u_{-\bar{p}_2}] ,
\]

(57)

\[
\Psi_R = [t_1 e^{i\bar{p}_1 x} u_{\bar{p}_1} + t_2 e^{i\bar{p}_2 x} u_{\bar{p}_2}] ,
\]

(58)

where the \( u \)'s and \( \bar{p} \)'s describe the solution away from the Q-ball and the \( L, R \) subscripts stand for the left- or right-hand side of the Q-ball. This solution has two incident waves associated with particles or anti-particles moving towards the Q-ball, giving two solutions. The reflected and transmitted waves are associated with particles moving away from the Q-ball. The same construction is done on the other side of the Q-ball, to give four solutions. Finally we obtain the total solution as a superposition of these four solutions with the expansion coefficients becoming operators. This canonical quantisation does not introduce any big problem and can be done in a straightforward way. The next step will be to consider that in the far past only the incoming wave survives, giving us a relation between the operators in the far past and in the far future (where only outgoing waves survive). The last step we shall do is compute the number operator.

The only difficult task is the computation of reflection and transmission amplitudes appearing in the solutions. Will shall provide two methods for calculating these amplitudes. One method will consist in calculating all the scalar products of the motion eigenvectors, while the other one will consist in the diagonalisation of the motion matrices. The results are fully consistent, and the two methods serve to illustrate a variety of physical insights. The main objective we shall reach is the computation of the new value of the upper bound on evaporation rate. This bound will now depend on the produced fermion mass and not only on the internal Q-ball frequency.

The first three subsections describe the solutions in terms of eigenvectors of \( 4 \times 4 \) matrices. In the three next subsections we compute the transmission and reflection amplitudes for massive waves on the Q-Ball’s surface. In the last subsections we compute the evaporation rate and simplify the problem by diagonalisation of the motion matrices.
A. Preliminaries

Using the same Lagrangian as for the massless case and adding a Dirac mass coupling for massive fermions, gives the fermionic Lagrangian:

\[ \mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi + g (\bar{\psi} C \psi \phi + h.c.) + M_D(x) \bar{\psi} \psi. \]  

The equations of motion using two component \( \psi \)-field, in \( 1 \oplus 1 \)-dimensions are

\[ (i \partial_t + i \partial_z) \psi_1 - M e^{-i \frac{\omega_0}{2} t} \psi_1^* + M_D \psi_2 = 0, \]
\[ (i \partial_t - i \partial_z) \psi_2 + M e^{-i \frac{\omega_0}{2} t} \psi_1^* + M_D \psi_1 = 0. \]  

These equations can be solved if we use fields with four degrees of freedom. Solving this system will give us the solution inside the Q-Ball, which is the first step. To solve these equations of motion we use the following ansatz

\[ \psi_1 = f_1(z) e^{i (\epsilon - \frac{\omega_0}{2}) t} + f_2(z) e^{-i (\epsilon + \frac{\omega_0}{2}) t}, \]
\[ \psi_2 = g_1(z) e^{i (\epsilon - \frac{\omega_0}{2}) t} + g_2(z) e^{-i (\epsilon + \frac{\omega_0}{2}) t}. \]  

Due to the separation of time components this ansatz will lead to four equations. These equations can easily be modified to reduce the numbers of parameters: we divide all equations by \( M \neq 0 \). We shall now re-write these equations, taking

\[ f_1(z) = Ae^{ipz}, f_2^*(z) = Be^{ipz}, g_1(z) = Ce^{ipz}, g_2^*(z) = De^{ipz}. \]  

After some re-arrangement of the equations we obtain:

\[ -\epsilon_- f_1 - g_2^* + M_D g_1 = pf_1, \]
\[ \epsilon_- g_1 - f_2^* - M_D f_1 = pg_1, \]
\[ -\epsilon_+ f_2^* + g_1 - M_D g_2^* = pf_2^*, \]
\[ \epsilon_+ g_2^* + f_1 + M_D f_2^* = pg_2^*. \]  

where \( \epsilon_- = \epsilon - \frac{\omega_0}{2} \) and \( \epsilon_+ = \epsilon + \frac{\omega_0}{2} \). This arrangement has the advantage that we can now write the \( \psi \)-field in terms of four component spinors as:

\[ \Psi = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ g_1 \\ f_2^* \\ g_2^* \end{pmatrix}. \]
The idea of using four-component spinors is that now the fermion field contains both energy components, just like the solution used in the previous chapter. The other advantage is that this rearrangement leads to the standard four component spinor solution. The equations of motion become in matrix form,

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-\epsilon_- & M_D & 0 & -1 \\
-M_D & \epsilon_- & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -\epsilon_+ & -M_D \\
1 & 0 & M_D & \epsilon_+
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
A \\
B \\
C \\
D
\end{pmatrix}
= M_p
\begin{pmatrix}
A \\
B \\
C \\
D
\end{pmatrix}.
\tag{65}
\]

All the parameters are normalised by \( M \). The fact that we have the \( M \) factor on the right hand side will allows us to simplify the space components and replace \( l \) by \( Ml \). All the parameters we are left with now are all dimensionless, we should read the matrix elements to be all divided by \( M \) and thus dimensionless. These satisfy

\[
\tau M \tau = M^T,
\tag{66}
\]

with \( \tau = DiagonalMatrix[1, -1, 1, -1] \). This symmetry will be used to perform the normalisation of eigenvectors.

**B. Solution inside the Q-Ball**

Using the dimensionless parameters and the eigenvectors of the motion matrix we can write the time independent solution inside the Q-Ball in the form:

\[
\Psi_Q = \sum_{j=1}^{4} C_j v_j e^{ip_j z},
\tag{67}
\]

The \( v \)'s are the eigenvectors of the motion matrix while the \( p \)'s are its eigenvalues. We shall not compute here the exact form of these eigenvectors, but we can prove that the eigenvalues are either purely imaginary or purely real. For reasons that will become clear later on, the first two terms of this solution have positive momentum while the two last have negative momentum. This arrangement does not modify the shape or any properties of the solution, but will greatly simplify the rest of the work. Inside the Q-ball the time dependent solution is:

\[
\Psi = \sum_{j=1}^{4} C_j e^{i(\omega-\epsilon) t} v_j^{\text{up}} e^{ip_j z} + C_j^* e^{-i(\epsilon+\omega) t} (v_j^{\text{down}} e^{ip_j z}^*) ,
\tag{68}
\]
where the \textit{up} superscript stands for the first two components of the eigenvectors, while the \textit{down} one indicates we take the two last components.

C. Solution without Q-Ball background.

Outside the Q-Ball the solution is given by the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the following matrix:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-\epsilon_- & M_D & 0 & 0 \\
-M_D & \epsilon_- & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\epsilon_+ & -M_D \\
0 & 0 & M_D & \epsilon_+
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
A \\
B \\
C \\
D
\end{pmatrix}
= p
\begin{pmatrix}
A \\
B \\
C \\
D
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (69)

All its parameters are normalised by $M$, so they are all dimensionless. The eigenvalues are given by:

\[
\tilde{p}_{1,3} = \pm \sqrt{\epsilon_-^2 - M_D^2} \equiv \pm \tilde{p}_1,
\]
\[
\tilde{p}_{2,3} = \pm \sqrt{\epsilon_+^2 - M_D^2} \equiv \pm \tilde{p}_2,
\] (70)

Let us stress that all parameters are dimensionless since we have to read them as being divided by $M$, the Majorana mass coupling. The exact eigenvectors can be easily calculated but we do not need them. Here the $\tilde{p}_{1,2}$ momentum can be complex or real. If we want some particle to propagate outside Q-ball we need both $\tilde{p}_{1,2}$ to be real, it gives for $\epsilon$

\[
|\epsilon_-| \geq M_D,
\]

to solve this we must identify two cases. The first case is,

\[
\epsilon_- \geq 0 \Rightarrow \epsilon \geq \frac{\omega_0}{2}
\]
\[
\epsilon_- \geq M_D \Rightarrow \epsilon \geq M_D + \frac{\omega_0}{2} \geq \frac{\omega_0}{2},
\]

the last inequality is verified if $\frac{\omega_0}{2} \geq M_D$. The second case is,

\[
\epsilon_- \leq 0 \Rightarrow \epsilon \leq \frac{\omega_0}{2}
\]
\[
-\epsilon_- \geq M_D \Rightarrow \frac{\omega_0}{2} \geq \frac{\omega_0}{2} - M_D \geq \epsilon,
\]

once more the last inequality is valid when $\frac{\omega_0}{2} \geq M_D$. A similar calculation for $|\epsilon_+| \geq M_D$ gives:

\[
\epsilon \geq M_D - \frac{\omega_0}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon \leq -M_D - \frac{\omega_0}{2}.
\] (71)
\[ \epsilon \in [M_D - \frac{\omega_0}{2}, \frac{\omega_0}{2} - M_D]. \]  

This range will mix both the particles and anti-particles and thus lead to the non-trivial Bogolubov transformation. We also have:

\[ \frac{\omega_0}{2} \geq M_D. \]  

This range is the equivalent as the range defined for the massless case. Following the same construction as in the previous section, the static solution outside the Q-Ball can also be written in the form

\[ \Psi_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{4} A_j u_j e^{i\bar{p}_j z}, \]  

\[ \Psi_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{4} B_j u_j e^{i\bar{p}_j z}, \]  

this time the \( B_j \) coefficients are on the left-hand side of Q-Ball while the \( A_j \) are on the right hand side. The time dependent solution is once more given by,

\[ \Psi = \sum_{j=1}^{4} (A_j, B_j) e^{i(\epsilon - \omega)t} u_{p_j} e^{i\bar{p}_j z} + (A_j^*, B_j^*) e^{-i(\epsilon + \omega)t} u_{p_j}^\down (e^{i\bar{p}_j z})^*. \]  

These considerations allow us to find the particle production energy range where particles can propagate outside the Q-ball. The first part of our calculation is over, the next task is to compute the reflection and transmission amplitudes. It is in fact solving the matching equations in matrix form. The solution will give a relation from the far left to the far right of the Q-ball and the centre part (the Q-ball itself) will not appear directly.
D. Construction of scattering matrix

We want to construct the matrix linking the solution at \( z = -\infty \) to the solution at \( z = +\infty \). We are searching for the matrix:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
B_1 \\
B_2 \\
B_3 \\
B_4
\end{pmatrix}
= \mathcal{V}
\begin{pmatrix}
A_1 \\
A_2 \\
A_3 \\
A_4
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(77)

As a reminder the \( B \)'s are on the left while the \( A \)'s are the right hand side of the Q-Ball. This construction will not contain directly any inside parameters so the \( A \) and \( B \) can be considered as free parameters while the reflection and transmission amplitudes will be contained in the \( \mathcal{V} \) matrix. To compute the matrix elements we need to solve the matching equations.

E. Matching in space

We first start by matching the solutions at \( z = -l \) we have:

\[
B_1 u_{p_1} e^{-i\bar{p}_1 l} + B_2 u_{p_2} e^{-i\bar{p}_2 l} + B_3 u_{p_3} e^{-i\bar{p}_3 l} + B_4 u_{p_4} e^{-i\bar{p}_4 l} = \\
C_1 v_{p_1} e^{-i\bar{p}_1 l} + C_2 v_{p_2} e^{-i\bar{p}_2 l} + C_3 v_{p_3} e^{-i\bar{p}_3 l} + C_4 v_{p_4} e^{-i\bar{p}_4 l}
\]

(78)

We redefine the \( B_i \) and the \( C_i \) in the way

\[
\tilde{B}_i = B_i e^{-i\bar{p}_i l}, \quad \tilde{C}_i = \frac{C_i}{\sqrt{N_i}}.
\]

(79)

Multiplying equation (78) by \( \tilde{u}_i^T \tau \), orthogonality property coming from the symmetry of the motion matrices:

\[
\tilde{B}_i u_{p_i}^T \tau u_{p_i} = \sum_{j=1}^{4} u_j^T \tau v_j e^{-i\bar{p}_j l} \tilde{C}_j,
\]

(80)

Doing the same for all the \( B \)'s and writing down all the relations in matrix form we obtain:

\[
U
\begin{pmatrix}
B_1 \\
B_2 \\
B_3 \\
B_4
\end{pmatrix}
= \mathcal{S} \mathcal{E}
\begin{pmatrix}
C_1 \\
C_2 \\
C_3 \\
C_4
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(81)
with,

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} u_1^T \tau v_1 & u_1^T \tau v_2 & u_1^T \tau v_3 & u_1^T \tau v_4 \\ u_2^T \tau v_1 & u_2^T \tau v_2 & u_2^T \tau v_3 & u_2^T \tau v_4 \\ u_3^T \tau v_1 & u_3^T \tau v_2 & u_3^T \tau v_3 & u_3^T \tau v_4 \\ u_4^T \tau v_1 & u_4^T \tau v_2 & u_4^T \tau v_3 & u_4^T \tau v_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (82)$$

$$E(l) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-ip_1 l} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-ip_2 l} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-ip_3 l} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-ip_4 l} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (83)$$

and

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} u_{\bar{p}_1}^T \tau u_{\bar{p}_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & u_{\bar{p}_2}^T \tau u_{\bar{p}_2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u_{\bar{p}_3}^T \tau u_{\bar{p}_3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & u_{\bar{p}_4}^T \tau u_{\bar{p}_4} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (84)$$

We can then write for the expression we obtain at $z = -l$

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \\ B_3 \\ B_4 \end{pmatrix} = U^{-1} S E \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \\ C_3 \\ C_4 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (85)$$

At $z = +l$ we have using the same definitions as for before:

$$U \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \\ A_4 \end{pmatrix} = S E(-l) \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \\ C_3 \\ C_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (86)$$

Mixing up these two relations we obtain for the total transformation matrix $V$ the following relation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \\ B_3 \\ B_4 \end{pmatrix} = U^{-1} S E E^{-1} S^{-1} U \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \\ A_4 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (87)$$
Using the definition
\[ \mathcal{E}(l)\mathcal{E}(-l)^{-1} \equiv E, \]  
we can easily show that:
\[ [E, \tau]_\tau = 0. \]  

As we shall find out later on the last form is a transformation that allows us to diagonalise the motion matrix inside the Q-ball. Using this matrix we shall construct all the reflection and diffusion coefficients for all the waves moving inside and outside of the Q-Ball. Before we continue we need to remember that \( p_3 = -p_1 \) and \( p_4 = -p_2 \) for both sets of \( p \)'s (barred ones and no bar ones). We see here that the choice for normalisation of eigenvectors will just act on the \( U \) matrix that can be either the identity or the \( \tau \) matrix or any other choice we can make. Finally the diffusion matrix \( V \) we where looking for is given by:
\[ V = U^{-1}SES^{-1}U. \]

In fact nothing we shall do depends on these matrices but we have shown the full procedure for completeness.

F. Construction of reflection and transmission amplitudes

We shall first construct the reflection and transmission amplitudes from the left side to the right hand side of the Q-Ball. To do so we shall use the definition of the \( V \) matrix given by equation 77. The first two coefficients are linked to right-moving waves while the last two coefficients are linked to left-moving waves. This choice for arranging the waves was done for simplicity, we can show that any other arrangement leads to same results. In fact, we choose the simplest possible arrangement. Due to the shape of the \( u \) spinors and the \( \Omega \) matrix in front the first and the third coefficients of the free solution have the same energy while the second and the fourth coefficients correspond to another energy wave. We shall identify these two energy ranges to the type one particles (1) and type two particles (2).
Using equation 77 and separating the matrix into four two by two blocs we can write:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\rightarrow \\
\rightarrow \\
r_1 \\
r_2 \\
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
\nu_{11} & \nu_{12} \\
\nu_{21} & \nu_{22} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
t_1 \\
t_2 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\end{pmatrix},
\tag{91}
\]

where the two arrows stand for the incoming waves, the first two coefficients will be replaced by one. Using the bloc separation of the matrix we find:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\rightarrow \\
\rightarrow \\
r_1 \\
r_2 \\
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
\nu_{11} \\
\nu_{21} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
t_1 \\
t_2 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\end{pmatrix},
\tag{92}
\]

leading to

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
t_1 \\
t_2 \\
r_1 \\
r_2 \\
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
\nu_{11}^{-1} \\
\nu_{21}^{-1} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\rightarrow \\
\rightarrow \\
\end{pmatrix},
\tag{94}
\]

leading this time to

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
t_1 \\
t_2 \\
r_1 \\
r_2 \\
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
\nu_{11}^{-1} \\
\nu_{21}^{-1} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\rightarrow \\
\rightarrow \\
\end{pmatrix}.
\tag{95}
\]

The $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ matrices will give the reflection and transmission amplitudes when they are applied on the incoming wave coefficients. These two matrices are two by two the first line corresponding to transmission or reflection of particles with two different incoming waves, while the second line gives the coefficients for anti-particles. We shall construct the transmission and reflection coefficients from the right to the left hand side of Q-Ball. Using the same method as before we have this time:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
\tilde{t}_1 \\
\tilde{t}_2 \\
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
\nu_{11} & \nu_{12} \\
\nu_{21} & \nu_{22} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{r}_1 \\
\tilde{r}_2 \\
\end{pmatrix},
\tag{96}
\]

leading this time to

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
\tilde{t}_1 \\
\tilde{t}_2 \\
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
\nu_{11} \\
\nu_{21} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{r}_1 \\
\tilde{r}_2 \\
\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}
\nu_{12} \\
\nu_{22} \\
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
\leftarrow \\
\leftarrow \\
\end{pmatrix},
\tag{97}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{t}_1 \\
\tilde{t}_2 \\
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
\nu_{21} \\
\nu_{22} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{r}_p \\
\tilde{r}_{a-p} \\
\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}
\nu_{21} \\
\nu_{22} \\
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
\leftarrow \\
\leftarrow \\
\end{pmatrix}.
\tag{98}
\]
FIG. 6: Sketch of both cases used to build the solution: we have each time two incident particles, two reflected and two transmitted. It is an effect of massive particles because we can not identify any more the particles with the anti-particles.

Solving these two equations gives the reflection and transmission matrices for incoming particles from the left, they are:

$$\tilde{R} = -\mathcal{V}_{12} \mathcal{V}_{11}^{-1},$$
$$\tilde{T} = \mathcal{V}_{22} - \mathcal{V}_{21} \mathcal{V}_{11}^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{12}. \quad (99)$$

Now that all the coefficients are known we can construct and quantise the solution.

G. Construction of standard solution

Using the transmission and reflection coefficients we can identify two different cases the first case is when incident particles are on the left hand side of the Q-Ball, while the other case stands for incident particles coming from the right hand side (see fig. 6). We shall treat separately the solution on the left and the solution on the right, the matching coefficients are those found in the previous section, they link the expansion coefficients on the right to those on the left. Writing down these two possibilities we have:

$$\Psi_L = [B_1 e^{i\bar{p}_1 x} u_{\bar{p}_1} + B_2 e^{i\bar{p}_2 x} u_{\bar{p}_2} + r_1 e^{-i\bar{p}_1 x} u_{-\bar{p}_1} + r_2 e^{-i\bar{p}_2 x} u_{-\bar{p}_2}], \quad (101)$$
$$\Psi_R = [t_1 e^{i\bar{p}_1 x} u_{\bar{p}_1} + t_2 e^{i\bar{p}_2 x} u_{\bar{p}_2}], \quad (102)$$

for the first case, the two incident particles coming from the left hand side of the Q-Ball and

$$\Psi_L = [\tilde{t}_1 e^{-i\bar{p}_1 x} u_{-\bar{p}_1} + \tilde{r}_2 e^{-i\bar{p}_2 x} u_{-\bar{p}_2}], \quad (103)$$
$$\Psi_R = [\tilde{r}_1 e^{i\bar{p}_1 x} u_{\bar{p}_1} + \tilde{t}_2 e^{i\bar{p}_2 x} u_{\bar{p}_2} + A_1 e^{-i\bar{p}_1 x} u_{-\bar{p}_1} + A_2 e^{-i\bar{p}_2 x} u_{-\bar{p}_2}], \quad (104)$$

for the second case. In both of these definitions we have:

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{R} \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{T} \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (105)$$
To clearly understand the construction, the $B$’s are the incident amplitudes from the left while the $A$’s are the amplitudes from the right. The 1 and 2 subscript indicate the type of particle we are dealing with, we have two different exponentials in $\Omega(t)$. We then need to take the complex conjugate of the terms corresponding to the two last components of spinors. To continue building the solution we still need to separate each of these two cases in two, considering only one type of incident particle at the time. This construction leads to the four following pieces, that will be identified to the four degrees of freedom that our solution has:

\[
\Psi_L = [e^{i\hat{p}_1 x} u_{\hat{p}_1} + r_{11} e^{-i\hat{p}_1 x} u_{-\hat{p}_1}] + [r_{12} e^{-i\hat{p}_2 x} u_{-\hat{p}_2}],
\]

\[
\Psi_R = [t_{11} e^{i\hat{p}_1 x} u_{\hat{p}_1}] + [t_{12} e^{i\hat{p}_2 x} u_{\hat{p}_2}],
\]

for the one incident type one particle from the left and

\[
\Psi_L = [r_{21} e^{-i\hat{p}_1 x} u_{-\hat{p}_1}] + [e^{i\hat{p}_2 x} u_{\hat{p}_2} + r_{22} e^{-i\hat{p}_2 x} u_{-\hat{p}_2}],
\]

\[
\Psi_R = [t_{21} e^{i\hat{p}_1 x} u_{\hat{p}_1}] + [t_{22} e^{i\hat{p}_2 x} u_{\hat{p}_2}],
\]

for an incident type two particle. The coefficients are given by:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
r_{11} \\
r_{12}
\end{bmatrix}
\end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{R} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, 
\begin{pmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
t_{11} \\
t_{12}
\end{bmatrix}
\end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{T} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
r_{21} \\
r_{22}
\end{bmatrix}
\end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{R} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, 
\begin{pmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
t_{21} \\
t_{22}
\end{bmatrix}
\end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{T} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

The two other pieces for particles incident from the right we have:

\[
\Psi_L = [\hat{t}_{11} e^{-i\hat{p}_1 x} u_{-\hat{p}_1}] + [\hat{t}_{12} e^{-i\hat{p}_2 x} u_{-\hat{p}_2}],
\]

\[
\Psi_R = [\hat{r}_{11} e^{i\hat{p}_1 x} u_{\hat{p}_1} + e^{-i\hat{p}_1 x} u_3] + [\hat{r}_{12} e^{-i\hat{p}_2 x} u_{2}],
\]

for one incident type one particle from the right and

\[
\Psi_L = [\hat{t}_{21} e^{-i\hat{p}_1 x} u_{-\hat{p}_1}] + [\hat{t}_{22} e^{-i\hat{p}_2 x} u_{-\hat{p}_2}],
\]

\[
\Psi_R = [\hat{r}_{21} e^{i\hat{p}_1 x} u_{\hat{p}_1}] + [\hat{r}_{22} e^{-i\hat{p}_2 x} u_{\hat{p}_2} + e^{-i\hat{p}_2 x} u_{-\hat{p}_2}],
\]

for an incident type two particle and finally the coefficients are given by:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{r}_{11} \\
\hat{r}_{12}
\end{bmatrix}
\end{pmatrix} = \hat{\mathcal{R}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, 
\begin{pmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{t}_{11} \\
\hat{t}_{12}
\end{bmatrix}
\end{pmatrix} = \hat{\mathcal{T}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{r}_{21} \\
\hat{r}_{22}
\end{bmatrix}
\end{pmatrix} = \hat{\mathcal{R}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, 
\begin{pmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{t}_{21} \\
\hat{t}_{22}
\end{bmatrix}
\end{pmatrix} = \hat{\mathcal{T}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
If we want to easily remember the coefficients there is an easy trick. For the coefficients without the tilde we read the subscript from the left to the right, \( r_{21} \) is the coefficient for an incident type two particle being reflected as a type one particle. The same lecture stands also for the tilde coefficients representing incident particles from the right.

\[ H. \text{ Quantisation and Bogolubov transformation} \]

Quantisation of solution is now easy, the total quantised solution will be a linear combination of all four parts, with expansion coefficients becoming operators after the normalisation is made. The solution is given by:

\[
Ψ = \sum_{j=1}^{4} (A_j, B_j)e^{i(\epsilon - \frac{\omega}{2})t} u_{pj} e^{i\bar{p} j z} + (A_j^*, B_j^*)e^{-i(\epsilon + \frac{\omega}{2})t} (u_{pj}^{down} e^{i\bar{p} j z})^*,
\]

in our case only the eigenvectors corresponding to the \( \bar{p}_1 \) eigenvalue have \( up \) components, while only the eigenvectors corresponding to \( \bar{p}_2 \) have down components.

\[
Ψ_L = e^{i(\epsilon - \frac{\omega}{2})t}[e^{i\bar{p}_1 x} u_{p_1} + r_{11} e^{-i\bar{p}_1 x} u_{p_1}^{up}] + e^{i(\epsilon + \frac{\omega}{2})t} [r_{12} e^{-i\bar{p}_2 x} u_{p_2}],
\]

incident particle is the wave containing \( u_{p_1} \), all this superposition must be represented using the same operator so we are sure to have only four degrees of freedom. Quantisation will be done using energy, for the incident wave we have:

\[
e^{i(\epsilon - \frac{\omega}{2})t} u_1 \rightarrow \epsilon - \frac{\omega}{2} \geq M_D,
\]

\[ \rightarrow \epsilon \geq M_D + \frac{\omega}{2}, \tag{117} \]

leading for this first wave to

\[
Ψ_L = \int_{M_D + \frac{\omega}{2}}^{\infty} d\epsilon \{ e^{i(\epsilon - \frac{\omega}{2})t} [e^{i\bar{p}_1 x} u_{p_1} + r_{11} e^{-i\bar{p}_1 x} u_{p_1}^{up}] + e^{i(\epsilon + \frac{\omega}{2})t} [r_{12} e^{-i\bar{p}_2 x} (u_{p_2}^{down})^*] b_1^\dagger (\bar{p}_1),
\]

after the conjugation of the term proportional to \( e^{i(\epsilon + \frac{\omega}{2})t} \) we have,

\[
Ψ_L = \int_{M_D + \frac{\omega}{2}}^{\infty} d\epsilon \{ e^{i(\epsilon - \frac{\omega}{2})t} [e^{i\bar{p}_1 x} u_{p_1} + r_{11} e^{-i\bar{p}_1 x} u_{p_1}^{up}] b_1^\dagger + \]

\[ e^{-i(\epsilon + \frac{\omega}{2})t} [r_{12} e^{i\bar{p}_2 x} (u_{p_2}^{down})^*] b_1^\dagger \}.
\]

Applying the same method to all the terms we finally obtain for the total solution having four degrees of freedom:

\[
Ψ_L = \int_{M_D + \frac{\omega}{2}}^{\infty} d\epsilon \{ e^{i(\epsilon - \frac{\omega}{2})t} [e^{i\bar{p}_1 x} u_{p_1} + r_{11} e^{-i\bar{p}_1 x} u_{p_1}^{up}] b_1^\dagger + e^{-i(\epsilon + \frac{\omega}{2})t} [r_{12} e^{i\bar{p}_2 x} (u_{p_2}^{down})^*] b_1^\dagger \}
\]

30
The solution on the right hand side of the Q-Ball is given by:

\[ L \text{ to be a operator we need to check that it satisfies the same anti-commutation relations as } \omega \]

The upper integration bound is \( \frac{\omega}{2} - M_D \) so we are only left with the terms containing the \( a \) operators,

\[
\Psi_L = \int_{M_D - \frac{\omega}{2}}^{\frac{\omega}{2} - M_D} d\epsilon \{ e^{i(\epsilon - \frac{\omega}{2})t} [r_{21} e^{-ip_1 x} u_{-p_1}] a_{p_2}^\dagger + e^{-i(\epsilon + \frac{\omega}{2})t} [e^{-ip_2 x} (u_{-p_2})^*] a_{p_2} \} + \int_{M_D + \frac{\omega}{2}}^{\infty} d\epsilon \{ e^{i(\epsilon - \frac{\omega}{2})t} [\tilde{r}_{12} e^{-ip_1 x} u_{-p_1}] b_{-p_1} + e^{-i(\epsilon + \frac{\omega}{2})t} [\tilde{r}_{12} e^{ip_2 x} (u_{-p_2})^*] b_{-p_1} \} + \int_{M_D - \frac{\omega}{2}}^{\infty} d\epsilon \{ e^{i(\epsilon - \frac{\omega}{2})t} [\tilde{r}_{21} e^{-ip_1 x} u_{-p_1}] a_{-p_2}^\dagger + e^{-i(\epsilon + \frac{\omega}{2})t} [\tilde{r}_{22} e^{ip_2 x} (u_{-p_2})^*] a_{-p_2} \}.
\]

(120)

One interesting result can be found here is that like in the massless case if we change the sign of \( \frac{\omega}{2} \) we change the particle type since we change the operator type. For the moment the \( a \) coefficients are only expansion coefficients since we have not quantised the wave yet.

The solution on the right hand side of the Q-Ball is given by:

\[
\Psi_R = \int_{M_D - \frac{\omega}{2}}^{\frac{\omega}{2} - M_D} d\epsilon \{ t_{12} e^{ip_1 x} u_{p_1} a_{p_2}^\dagger + t_{22} e^{-ip_2 x} u_{p_2} a_{-p_2} \} + \int_{M_D + \frac{\omega}{2}}^{\infty} d\epsilon \{ \tilde{t}_{12} e^{-ip_1 x} u_{p_1} a_{-p_2}^\dagger + \tilde{t}_{22} e^{ip_2 x} u_{-p_2} a_{p_2} \}.
\]

(121)

At \( t = +\infty \) only the terms without any incident wave will survive we have then

\[
r_{22}^* a(p_2) + \tilde{r}_{22}^* a(-p_2) + r_{21} a^\dagger(p_2) + \tilde{t}_{12} a^\dagger(-p_2) = a_{out}(p_2), \quad (122)
\]

this is the Bogolubov transformation we where looking for. If we want the \( a_{out} \) coefficient to be a operator we need to check that it satisfies the same anti-commutation relations as \( a_{in} \). We have:

\[
\{(a_{out}^\dagger)' , a_{out} \} = \left( (r_{21})^* a_{p_2} + (r_{22})^* a_{-p_2}^\dagger + (\tilde{t}_{21})^* a_{-p_2} + (\tilde{t}_{22})^* a_{p_2}^\dagger \right) \times \left( (r_{12}) a_{p_2}^\dagger + (r_{22}) a_{p_2} + (t_{21}) a_{-p_2}^\dagger + (t_{22}) a_{-p_2} \right)
\]

\[
= \left( (r_{21})^* r_{21} + (r_{22})^* r_{22} + (\tilde{t}_{21})^* \tilde{t}_{21} + (\tilde{t}_{22})^* \tilde{t}_{22} \right) \{(a_{in})^\dagger , a_{in} \}.
\]

This relation can also be obtained if we set that the incident current is equal to the outgoing one, or even with the normalisation of wave packets. At this stage it can be important to
use some normalised eigenvectors. As will shall show later on it is always the case if we diagonalise the matrix outside the Q-Ball. The number of created particles is now given by

\[ i_n < 0 | a_{out}^\dagger a_{out}^\dagger | 0 >_{in} = \left( \frac{|r_{21}|^2 + |\tilde{t}_{21}|^2}{|r_{21}|^2 + |r_{22}|^2 + |\tilde{t}_{21}|^2 + |\tilde{t}_{22}|^2} \right) \delta(\epsilon - \epsilon'). \]  

(123)

We need to smooth out this result, to do so we shall use the same argument as \[2\] to finally obtain

\[ \frac{dN}{dt} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{M_D - \frac{\omega_0}{2}}^{\omega_0 - M_D} \left( \frac{|r_{21}|^2 + |\tilde{t}_{21}|^2}{|r_{21}|^2 + |r_{22}|^2 + |\tilde{t}_{21}|^2 + |\tilde{t}_{22}|^2} \right) d\epsilon. \]  

(124)

Since we are dealing with a Bogolubov transformation we have

\[ \left( \frac{|r_{21}|^2 + |\tilde{t}_{21}|^2}{|r_{21}|^2 + |r_{22}|^2 + |\tilde{t}_{21}|^2 + |\tilde{t}_{22}|^2} \right) \leq 1, \]  

(125)

\[ \frac{dN}{dt} \leq \omega_0 - 2M_D, \]  

(126)

In fact the best thing to do is to consider the solution in all space on the left and on the right instead of considering only one side. To do so we just need to consider an incident particle on the left and build the solution without tilde factors. The rest of the procedure is the same we have,

\[ \Psi_{L+R} = \int_{M_D - \frac{\omega_0}{2}}^{\omega_0 - M_D} d\epsilon \{ e^{i(\epsilon - \frac{\omega_0}{2})} [r_{21} e^{-ip_{\text{down}} u_{p_{\text{up}}}^\dagger} a_{p_2}^\dagger + e^{-i(\epsilon + \frac{\omega_0}{2})} [e^{-ip_{\text{down}} x} (u_{p_{\text{down}}}^\dagger)^* + r_{22} e^{i\frac{\omega_0}{2}} (u_{p_{\text{down}}}^\dagger)^* a_{p_2}^\dagger ] , \]  

(127)

\[ + \int_{M_D - \frac{\omega_0}{2}}^{\omega_0 - M_D} d\epsilon \{ e^{i(\epsilon + \frac{\omega_0}{2})} [t_{21} e^{i\frac{\omega_0}{2}} u_{p_{\text{up}}}^\dagger a_{p_2}^\dagger e^{-i(\epsilon - \frac{\omega_0}{2})} [e^{-ip_{\text{down}} x} (u_{p_{\text{down}}}^\dagger)^* + r_{22} e^{i\frac{\omega_0}{2}} (u_{p_{\text{down}}}^\dagger)^* a_{p_2}^\dagger ] , \]  

\]  

this time leading to

\[ \frac{dN}{dt} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{M_D - \frac{\omega_0}{2}}^{\omega_0 - M_D} \left( \frac{|r_{21}|^2 + |t_{21}|^2}{|r_{21}|^2 + |r_{22}|^2 + |t_{21}|^2 + |t_{22}|^2} \right) d\epsilon, \]  

(128)

after normalisation of operators. These results seem to be correct because when the fermions become massless there is identification of both types of produced particles so the total coefficient becomes equal to one as in the previous section and there is total reflection. We could stop our calculations here since we’ve got the expression of particle production state and all the matrix elements are known. But we can greatly simplify this problem so the expressions we obtain become simpler and more readable.
I. Direct construction of $S$ Matrix

Using the shape of the different matrices we deal with we think there is a simpler way to construct the diffusion matrix. In fact all the matrices of motion equations can be diagonalised using simple transformations that preserve the symmetry of the problem. If the matrices can be diagonalised the eigenvectors will have automatic orthogonality and normalisation properties. This diagonalisation will be done using simple transformations depending on six parameters. The symmetry to conserve is given in (66).

Taking a look at the $M_0$ matrix defined in eq. (69) we can diagonalise it using the Lorentz boost-transformation:

$$M'_0 = \tau v_1^T \tau M_0 v_1,$$

with,

$$v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(x_1) & \sinh(x_1) & 0 & 0 \\ \sinh(x_1) & \cosh(x_1) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cosh(x_2) & -\sinh(x_2) \\ 0 & 0 & -\sinh(x_2) & \cosh(x_2) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$v_1^T \tau v_1 = \tau.$$

The last equation ensures us the fact that $\tau v^T \tau = v^{-1}$ and that the symmetry of the problem is conserved. Setting $x_1$ and $x_2$ being solutions of:

$$\cosh(2x_1) = \frac{\epsilon_1 \sinh(2x_1)}{M_D},$$
$$\cosh(2x_2) = \frac{\epsilon_2 \sinh(2x_2)}{M_D},$$

we find for the $M'_0$ matrix the following diagonal form,

$$M'_0 = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -k_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & k_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -k_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$k_1 = \frac{(M_0^2 - \epsilon_1^2) \sinh(x_1)}{M_D},$$
$$k_2 = \frac{(M_0^2 - \epsilon_2^2) \sinh(x_2)}{M_D}.$$
All the parameters we find after this transformation are real, the $k$’s that we find represent the momentum of the particles in this new base. The same transformation applied on the $M_1$ matrix defined by eq. (65) gives:

$$M'_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
    k_1 & 0 & \sinh(x) & -\cosh(x) \\
    0 & -k_1 & -\cosh(x) & \sinh(x) \\
    \sinh(x) & \cosh(x) & k_2 & 0 \\
    \cosh(x) & \sinh(x) & 0 & -k_2
\end{pmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (135)

with $x = x_1 + x_2$. We can check that this new matrix has the same symmetry properties as $M_1$. This simple transformation allows us to eliminate the Dirac coupling of our equations but in presence of the Q-Ball it is replaced by a double Majorana coupling. Since this transformation is made everywhere, it will not change any of the properties. A solution of equations (132) is easy to construct it is:

$$\frac{\cosh(2x_1)}{\sinh(2x_1)} = \coth(2x_1) = \frac{\epsilon_-}{M_D}$$

$$2x_1 = \text{argcoth}(\frac{\epsilon_-}{M_D}) \hspace{1cm} (136)$$

Once this transformation is made inside and outside of the Q-Ball the eigenvectors outside of the Q-Ball only contain one non zero component, the important fact here is that the $M'_0$ matrix is now self adjoint so its eigenvectors have the standard orthogonality properties without the $\tau$ matrix. When we do the matching in space eq. (80) instead of multiplying by $u_i^T \tau$ we multiply by $u_i^\dagger$ and the $S$ matrix is made of the components of $M'_1$ eigenvectors, so the only thing we did was to diagonalise the transformed matrix. To continue the Diagonalisation process we now transform $M'_1$ in the way:

$$M''_1 = \tau(s_1 s_2)^T \tau M'_1 (s_1 s_2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (137)

with,

$$s_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
    \cosh[y/2] & 0 & 0 & \sinh[y/2] \\
    0 & \cosh[y/2] & -\sinh[y/2] & 0 \\
    0 & -\sinh[y/2] & \cosh[y/2] & 0 \\
    \sinh[y/2] & 0 & 0 & \cosh[y/2]
\end{pmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (138)
\[ s_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos[z/2] & 0 & \sin[z/2] & 0 \\ 0 & \cos[z/2] & 0 & -\sin[z/2] \\ -\sin[z/2] & 0 & \cos[z/2] & 0 \\ 0 & \sin[z/2] & 0 & \cos[z/2] \end{pmatrix}. \]  

(139)

As before we have:

\[ (s_1 s_2)^T \tau(s_1 s_2) = \tau, \]  

(140)

to preserve the symmetry of the problem. This set of transformations looks more complicated then the simple boost we used to start, it is the case for the parameters we shall need to use. But it is of great use for the final simplifications and results. Setting \( y \) and \( z \) to be solutions of:

\[
\sin(z) = \frac{-2 \cos(z) \cosh(y) \sinh(x)}{k_1 - k_2},
\](141)

\[
\sinh(y) = \frac{2 \cosh(y) \cosh(x)}{k_1 + k_2},
\](142)

\[
\Rightarrow \tan(z) = \frac{-2 \cosh(y) \sinh(x)}{k_1 - k_2},
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \tanh(y) = \frac{-2 \cosh(x)}{k_1 + k_2}
\]

we have

\[
M''_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A & -\bar{M} & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{M} & -A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B & \bar{M} \\ 0 & 0 & -\bar{M} & -B \end{pmatrix},
\]

(143)

with,

\[
A = \frac{1}{2} \left( (k_1 - k_2) \cos(z) + (k_1 + k_2 - \frac{4 \cosh(x)^2}{k_1 + k_2}) \cosh(y) + \frac{4 \cos(z) \sinh^2(x) \cosh^2(y)}{k_1 - k_2} \right),
\]

(144)

\[
B = \frac{1}{2} \left( (-k_1 + k_2) \cos(z) + (k_1 + k_2 - \frac{4 \cosh(x)}{k_1 + k_2}) \cosh(y) - \frac{4 \cos(z) \sinh^2(x) \cosh^2(y)}{k_1 - k_2} \right),
\]

(145)

\[
\bar{M} = \frac{\cosh(y) \sinh(2x)}{k_1 + k_2}.
\]

(146)
Taking a look at this $M_1''$ matrix we see it has the same form as the $M_0$ matrix so we shall diagonalise it using the same boost transformation. This time the transformation will not be a boost since some parameters can be complex. In fact before going any further we have to find the solution to equation (142) that might be complex, $k_1 + k_2$ is small so the fraction on the right hand side is always bigger than one. We shall have to set $y = i\frac{\pi}{2} + \eta$, this little trick allows us to easily solve all these equations. Finally to finish the diagonalisation we transform using the $v_1$ matrix:

\[
M_1''' = \tau v_1^T \tau M_1'' v_1 \\
= \tau v_1^T \tau v_2^T \tau M_1' v_2 v_1 \\
= \tau v_3^T \tau M_1' v_3, \quad (147)
\]

with $v_3 = v_2 v_1$. This last transformation can also be done using a slightly different matrix the $v_1'$ matrix defined by:

\[
v_1' = \begin{pmatrix}
\cosh(a) & \sinh(a) & 0 & 0 \\
\sinh(a) & \cosh(a) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cosh(b) & -\sinh(b) \\
0 & 0 & -\sinh(b) & \cosh(b)
\end{pmatrix}, \quad (148)
\]

\[
v_1'^T \tau v_1' = \tau. \quad (149)
\]

We finally have for the $M_1'''$ matrix the form

\[
M_1''' = \begin{pmatrix}
\xi_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\xi_1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \xi_2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\xi_2
\end{pmatrix}, \quad (150)
\]

with

\[
\tilde{M}\xi_1 = (A^2 - \tilde{M}^2) \sinh(2a), \\
\tilde{M}\xi_2 = (B^2 - \tilde{M}^2) \sinh(2b), \quad (151)
\]

where

\[
\tilde{M} \cosh[2a] = A \sinh(2a), \\
\tilde{M} \cosh[2b] = B \sinh(2a). \quad (152)
\]
Using these transformation the diffusion matrix $\mathcal{V}$ can be expressed in terms of the diagonalisation matrices in the way:

$$\mathcal{V} = \tau (s_1 s_2 v_1')^T \tau E (s_1 s_2 v_1').$$

(153)

This form will be in fact far more simple then all the other possible ones, so this is the reason why we decided to use it rather then the form with the scalar products of the eigenvectors. What we do is exactly the same since we work in a base where the matrix of motion equations is diagonal. If we keep the scalar products with the $\tau$ matrix we see that the only to vectors having negative values are the negative moving ones, with a simple calculation we could link the $\tau$ matrix to the helicity operator. This final expression we obtained will lead to simple results and is used to compute all the reflection and transmission amplitude the results are given in the next section.

1. Small sized $Q$ balls

Using the results of previous section we where able to compute all the amplitudes for small sized $Q$ balls. The method used was to replace the exponentials in the $E$ matrix by: $(1 - ip_{1,2,3,4} l)$. These amplitudes still have complicated expressions but all of them exect $t_{22}$ are proportional to the size parameter $Ml \equiv l$. In the limit where $l$ goes to zero all the amplitudes fall to zero except $t_{22}$ going to one. The $t_{22}$ amplitude representing the probability of a fermion remaining a fermion. This probability is obviously one if the Q ball disappears. If the size parameter is small the amplitudes will become proportional $l^2$ as for massless particle production. This quadratic behaviour is shown on figure 7.

V. RESULTS OF NUMERICAL INTEGRATION

We first tested the stability of production rate in function of size to see if like in the previous case the particle production rate becomes constant and stable for big values of the size. If the production becomes constant above a certain size then we do not need to care about complex averaging processes. Figure 8 shows the stability of evaporation rate for large $Q$ balls. The little oscillations are due to numerical instabilities that vanish for very big values of size. We can now compute the evaporation rate for values of the Dirac
mass smaller than the Majorana mass (the coupling inside the Q ball). The next task we need to do is test the stability of our computations when the fermion mass parameter is bigger than the Majorana coupling inside the Q Ball, it is the case when $M_D \geq 1$. This case shows exactly the same behaviour of the other one except for the fact that it takes more computer time to obtain the plot of evaporation. The results are on figure 10. A quick analysis of these results shows that there is a superior limit for all parameter sets, this limit does not depend on the mass parameter. Is seems to be normal since an infinite Q-Ball with an infinite internal frequency can produce any mass fermions. The last words we shall say
FIG. 9: Evaporation rate, $\frac{2\pi dN}{M dt}$ for infinite (very big) Q-Balls in function of the frequency parameter for different values of the fermion mass parameter.
FIG. 10: Evaporation rate, $\frac{2\pi dN}{Mdt}$, for infinite (very big) Q-Balls in function of the frequency parameter for different values of the fermion mass parameter bigger than one.

about these results is that the “angle” in the curve correspond to the value of the frequency where the imaginary part of the impulsion inside the Q-Ball becomes zero, it is the point where particles start to propagate inside the Q-Ball. The normalisation of evaporation by its upper bound will lead to the same shape as the massless case but there will be a gap from zero to the value of the fermion mass.

VI. ENERGY FLUX FAR AWAY FROM THE Q BALL

The last step we need to achieve is compute the energy flux far away from the Q-Ball it is done by considering the flux through a sphere surrounding the Q ball. As before if the observer is far away from the Q ball the only important dimension is the distance to the Q
The transmission amplitudes disappear when the Q-Ball’s size is very big. This integration can be done numerically and we can also introduce the Q ball’s size to see its influence on the energy flux. The only difference is that a small Q ball will produce less energy for until the value of the frequency parameter becomes big. We could normalise these figures with the absolute upper bound. This normalisation does not introduce any new features since the normalised curve for very big Q ball would start with a constant part to then fall down. For the small one we will not find any constant part in the normalised curve.
As we have seen the coupling between the scalar field and fermionic field leads to particle production from the Q-ball [2]. To study this particle production we constructed the exact quantum-mechanical state describing the particle producing Q-ball. We used Heisenberg’s picture of quantum mechanics, the state describing the produced fermions is fully characterised by the fact that no fluxes are moving towards the Q-ball. This condition is solved considering the asymptotics of the fields far away from the Q-ball. Using this state we constructed the Heisenberg field operator describing massless fermions produced by a Q-ball. This construction allowed us to prove that for large Q-balls in one space dimension the particle production does not depend on the Q-ball’s size. While for small Q-balls the particle production rate is proportional to $l^2$. The extension of these results to three space dimensions is simple. For large Q-balls particle production is an evaporation, while for small Q-balls it depends on the size. The other result we need to point out is that we can consider a variety of kinematical constructions to compute the evaporation rates. The first one is the standard one where we compute the reflection and transmission amplitudes for an incoming wave. The second one we used is based on the fact that no particles are moving towards the Q-ball. We proved that these two pictures are equivalent.

The fact that fermions acquire a Dirac mass does not introduce many changes. In $1 \oplus 1$ dimensions the particle production rate does not depend on the Q-ball’s size for sufficiently big ones. This result is not very surprising, since taking the limit $m \to 0$ leads to the same results as the coupling with massless fermions. In this case the only difference is that evaporation occurs in a different range. The internal frequency $\omega_0$, the energy of one single scalar forming the Q-ball, must be bigger than the produced fermion mass. This result is also quite intuitive, the scalars forming the Q-ball desintegrate into fermions so their energy must be bigger than the fermion mass. The second fact is that particle production occurs in the range mixing positive and negative frequency terms. In this range the Bogolubov transformations we build are non trivial. Using these two results we proved that evaporation can only take place in the range : $[M_D - \frac{M_D}{2}; \frac{M_D}{2} - M_D]$, with $\frac{M_D}{2} \geq M_D$. This result is in total accordance with the previous work done on the subject [2, 8], and extends it a significant way. This new definition for the evaporation range will introduce a new upper bound for the evaporation rate.
When the Q ball’s size becomes small there is no more evaporation since the production rate depends on the size. For small sized Q balls the particle production rate is proportional to \( l^2 \). The size will also slow down the energy flux a distant observer can measure. Taking the limit \( l \to \infty \) does not need any complex averaging processes since the evaporation rate is constant and \( l \) independent for big values of the size.

We also computed all the transmission and reflection coefficients for a massive fermion being scattered by a large Q-ball. This construction allowed us to compute the exact profile of the evaporation rate. Using these profiles we proved that both constructions are equivalent. The last result we have proved is that evaporation rate is proportional to \( \omega_0 \) in one space dimension while it is proportional to \( \omega_0^3 \) in three dimensions. In fact in three dimensions it is proportional to \( (\omega_0^2 - M_D)^3 \). These reflection and transmission amplitudes will be used to study the behaviour of Q Balls in matter.
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