Experimental observation of the avoided crossing of two $S$-matrix resonance poles in an ultracold atom collider
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In quantum mechanics, collisions between two particles are captured by a scattering matrix which describes the transfer from an initial entrance state to an outgoing final state. Analyticity of the elements of this $S$-matrix enables their continuation onto the complex energy plane and opens up a powerful and widely used framework in scattering theory, where bound states and scattering resonances for a physical system are ascribed to $S$-matrix poles. In the Gedankenexperiment of gradually changing the potential parameters of the system, the complex energy poles will begin to move, and in their ensuing flow, two poles approaching will interact. An actual observation of this intriguing interaction between scattering poles in a collision experiment has, however, been elusive. Here, we expose the interplay between two scattering poles relating to a shape resonance and a magnetically tunable Feshbach resonance by studying ultracold atoms with a laser-based collider. We exploit the tunability of the Feshbach resonance to observe a compelling avoided crossing of the poles in their energies which is the hallmark of a strongly coupled system.

I. INTRODUCTION

Atomic collision resonances occur when a scattering state in the energy continuum couples to a quasi-bound state of the inter-atomic potential. Near a resonant energy, the scattering of colliding atoms may be dramatically affected and either enhanced or suppressed. According to the superposition principle of quantum mechanics, the final state of the system results from a linear operator $S$ acting on the initial state of the incoming particles [1]. The $S$-matrix responsible for this transformation is a function of the centre-of-mass collision energy $E$, which is a positive, real quantity for a physical process. It may, however, be analytically continued into the complex plane for most physical interaction potentials. Remarkably, poles of this analytically extended $S$-matrix occurring at nonphysical, complex values of $E$ are intimately related to the scattering resonances observed when scanning the collision energy along the real energy axis [2–4]. Indeed, characterizing a resonance through its pole position provides a powerful alternative to Breit-Wigner parametrization [5, 6].

The locations of $S$-matrix poles are determined by the interaction potential of the system Hamiltonian. As a consequence, upon changing the parameters describing the potential the poles will move and their ensuing flow has been investigated theoretically, for example, in the cases of various potential wells [7–12], or coupled two-state systems [13–17]. In the latter instance it was shown that inter-channel coupling may give rise to avoided crossings of poles similarly to the Landau-Zener avoided crossing for coupled discrete states of a bound system. An observation of this intriguing interplay between poles of the $S$-matrix in a collision experiment has, however, been lacking.

In this study, we experimentally investigate atomic scattering when both a shape resonance and a Feshbach resonance are at work at the same time. In particular, we observe the signature of an avoided crossing between the two $S$-matrix poles corresponding to the resonances. We consider rubidium atoms colliding at energies in the nano-eV range, with one pole originating from a $d$-wave shape resonance and the other from a $d$-wave magnetic Feshbach resonance. Our system is well described by a two-channel picture and illuminates with remarkable clarity the interplay between the two archetypal types of resonances found in atomic scattering: shape and Feshbach resonances. Because both resonances have a $d$-wave character, the interplay dramatically affects the scattering cross section at the nominal position of the shape resonance while scattering at threshold remains $s$-wave and largely unaffected.

II. SCATTERING RESONANCES

In atomic scattering, shape resonances are typically formed by coupling to a quasi-bound state accommodated behind the centrifugal barrier for collisions with non-zero angular momentum. Atomic shape resonances have been observed using a number of techniques including photoassociation spectroscopy [18], molecule loss spectroscopy [19], molecular dissociation [20], or in collider-like settings [21–24]. Residing in the open-channel the quasi-bound state, and hence the resonance, typically only has minuscule tuning with external fields [19].

In contrast to shape resonances, Feshbach resonances...
are highly tunable. These occur due to the presence of a bound state in an energetically closed channel which has a different spin to the entrance channel. With inter-channel coupling present one can use the Feshbach resonance to vary the entrance scattering length over a wide range by tuning the resonance position via optical or magnetic fields. Manipulating interactions in this way is an indispensable tool in ultracold atomic physics and magnetic fields. Manipulating interactions in this way is an indispensable tool in ultracold atomic physics and paved the way for landmark quantum many-body experiments such as the formation of superfluid solitons [28], the realization of the BEC-BCS crossover [29, 30], and self-bound quantum droplets [31].

III. SYSTEM UNDER STUDY

Figures 1(a)–1(c) presents a scenario where a shape resonance and a magnetic Feshbach resonance are simultaneously in play. The shape resonance is associated with open-channel scattering-state wave functions (blue) and manifests as an amplitude increase (dark blue) behind the centrifugal barrier at a particular energy [see Fig. 1(b)] The Feshbach resonance on the other hand, relates to a bound state with a wave function (orange) which decays rapidly with atomic separation. In Fig. 1(b) this state is depicted to lie below the open channel threshold at $E = 0$. For a magnetic Feshbach resonance as we explore here, the closed channel hosting the bound state, has a different magnetic moment to the open channel state. In this two-channel setting, Fig. 1(c) shows the poles on the so-called L(−+) Riemann sheet [32, 33] of the analytically continued $S$-matrix. If coupling between the channels is introduced, a bound state in the closed channel with $E > 0$ becomes an “unstable bound state”[32] that can decay into the open channel by a change in spin state. By adjusting an external magnetic field, the offset between the open and closed channels can be varied as indicated by the arrows in Figs. 1(b). As the bound state moves to a positive energy, coupling to the open channel makes it unstable and it becomes the origin of a collisional resonance while its corresponding $S$-matrix pole moves off the real energy axis in Fig. 1(c).

A theoretical description of the interaction between a shape resonance and Feshbach resonance has been established by Dürr et al. [34], who provided a framework for interpreting experiments on the dissociation of rubidium Feshbach molecules into multiple partial waves. In particular, a $d$-wave shape resonance made a compelling spatial imprint on the halo of dissociating molecules [20]. As the starting point of these experiments was a true bound molecule, the explanation required the introduction of the concept of “half collisions” as the dissociation experiment did not include the incoming stage of a collision. In contrast, we investigate the interplay between a Feshbach resonance and a shape resonance in a genuine collision experiment and seek an interpretation in terms of an avoided crossing of scattering poles. Along

![FIG. 1. Scenario for atomic scattering with two $S$-matrix poles simultaneously in play. (a) Relevant atomic-basis potentials for cold $d$-wave collisions between two $^{87}$Rb atoms in the $|F = 1, m_F = 1\rangle$ hyperfine state [25]. The difference between the $|2, 0\rangle + |2, 2\rangle$ and $|2, 1\rangle + |2, 1\rangle$ potentials is not discernible for the window shown. The energy scale also renders the $\ell = 2$ centrifugal barriers invisible, but the red diamond shows the classical turning point inside the barrier for the $|1, 1\rangle + |1, 1\rangle$ channel at threshold (dashed line). (b) Scattering state wave functions in the $|1, 1\rangle + |1, 1\rangle$ entrance channel [blue, with dark blue highlighting a $d$-wave shape resonance (SR)]. For an external magnetic field $B = 927.5$ G a bound state (BS) resides below threshold of the open channel: the orange wave function shows its $|2, 0\rangle + |2, 2\rangle$ component; the other significant component (not shown), $|2, 1\rangle + |2, 1\rangle$, is very similar. Upon increasing the external magnetic field, the position of the bound state moves up in energy as indicated by the arrow. It eventually crosses the $|1, 1\rangle + |1, 1\rangle$ threshold to become a quasi-bound state corresponding to a Feshbach resonance in this channel. (c) The bound state and the shape resonance correspond to $S$-matrix poles on the complex energy plane and the magnetic field will tune their relative positions.](image)
with a $d$-wave shape resonance at $E/k_B \sim 300 \mu$K, we make use of a narrow $d$-wave Feshbach resonance near 930 G for ultracold $^{87}$Rb in the $|F,m_F\rangle \equiv |1,1\rangle$ hyperfine state [35]. This Feshbach resonance relates to a closed channel $\ell = 2$ molecular state designated by the quantum numbers $(F_1 = 2, F_2 = 2)v' = -5, M = 2$, where $M = m_{F_1} + m_{F_2}$ and $v'$ is the vibrational quantum number counting from the $(F_1 = 2, F_2 = 2)$ threshold. Represented in the separated atomic basis the molecular state decomposes predominantly into $|2,0\rangle + |2,2\rangle$, and $|\bar{2},0\rangle + |\bar{2},2\rangle$ (cf. Fig.1 and [25]).

IV. EXPERIMENT

A. Procedure

Our experimental setup has been described previously [36]. In brief, we begin our collider experiment with a cloud of $^{87}$Rb atoms in the $|2,2\rangle$ state held in an optical dipole trap. The dipole trap is formed by a crossed pair of red-detuned laser beams—a static horizontal beam defines the collision axis, while a vertical beam is steered by a pair of crossed acousto-optic deflectors [37]. By rapidly toggling the frequency of the deflector rf drive, we produce a pair of time-averaged optical traps, which we pull apart along the horizontal beam forming two clouds separated by 0.5 mm. We then transfer the cloud to the $|1,1\rangle$ state by adiabatic rapid passage [38] using a swept microwave field in the presence of a small magnetic bias field. The clouds are evaporatively cooled to a temperature of $\sim 800 \mu$K by lowering the power of the horizontal trap beam. To minimize heating of the clouds at our higher collision energies, the clouds are then further separated up to 2 mm, providing a longer run-up and reducing the magnitude of the acceleration needed.

Once two separated clouds have been prepared, we apply a magnetic field along the collision axis using a pair of water-cooled coils in the Helmholtz configuration, which carries a current regulated to the sub-ppm level [39]. The field strength is selected to inspect the 930 G Feshbach resonance and the vertical trapping beams are steered to accelerate the atomic clouds towards each other as illustrated in Fig. 2. All trapping beams are turned off before the collision and the clouds collide in free space in the presence of the field from the Helmholtz coils. Following the collision, we perform absorption imaging of the scattering halo which projects the atomic density distribution onto a plane [Fig. 2(b)]. We integrate the acquired absorption image perpendicular to the collision axis and
FIG. 3. Fraction of scattered atoms as a function of both magnetic field and collision energy. Experimentally acquired data is shown as blue dots while the orange lines are curve fits of Beutler-Fano lines shapes given by Eq. (2). The surface is an estimate of the scattered fraction based on coupled-channels predictions of the elastic cross section. Insets: Fano profiles at selected collision energies spanning the shape resonance, with error bars showing the standard deviation.

fit a model based on the projections of both the unscattered clouds and the relevant scattered partial-wave components. The curve fit extracts the fraction of scattered atoms, $S$, which can be related to the scattering cross section $\sigma$ by

$$S(B, E) = \frac{\alpha(E)\sigma(B, E)}{1 + \alpha(E)\sigma(B, E)}.$$  

Here, $\alpha$ is a fitted parameter in our model whose value depends on the density and cross-sectional geometry of the cloud [36].

B. Measurements

Figure 3 shows our measurements of $S(B, E)$ over a domain spanning magnetic fields from 900 G to 960 G and collision energies ranging from 150 $\mu$K to 850 $\mu$K. We also present predictions based on coupled-channels calculations of the elastic cross section (See appendix A) which displays excellent agreement with our experimental measurements. In the experiment, the scattered fractions were acquired by fixing $E$ while scanning $B$, and insets in Fig. 3 show four exemplary B-field scans which capture resonance features that are all well described by a Beutler-Fano line shape [40]. As the energy passes over the nominal shape resonance position (~300 $\mu$K), we observe a handedness flip of the Fano profile (see insets of Fig. 3). Additionally, we can see that the profile broadens for scans around 300 $\mu$K as a direct result of the increase in the open-channel short-range wave-function amplitude [see Fig. 1(b)] enhancing the coupling of incoming particles to the bound state in the closed channel.

C. Beutler-Fano lines

The Beutler-Fano line shape which describes the near-resonance $d$-wave scattering is a function of magnetic field $B$ and collision energy $E$. When combined with the cross section from other partial waves, $\sigma_{\ell \neq 2}$, we have

$$\sigma(E, B) = \frac{4\pi\hbar^2(2\ell + 1)}{mE} \left\{ \frac{[\epsilon(E, B) + q]^2}{(1 + q^2)(1 + \epsilon(E, B)^2)} \right\} + \sigma_{\ell \neq 2}(E),$$

with the dimensionless parameter $\epsilon(E, B) = 2(B - B_0)/\Gamma(E)$, where $B_0$ and $\Gamma$ are the position and width of
FIG. 4. (a) Rb S-matrix pole trajectories as functions of magnetic field with dots spaced every 2 G. The two poles can be seen to move quickly when outside of the vicinity of 200 µK to 400 µK. Inset above shows the observed scattering at 3 fixed energies. The narrow Fano profile at 156 µK is a result of the orange pole moving past quickly. At 303 µK, the broad Fano dip is the combined effect of the blue pole leaving, and the orange pole arriving and their intermediate interference. The resonance at 697 µK is due to the pass-by of the blue pole. (b) The avoided crossing nature of the trajectories of (a) highlighted by viewing the real energy position of the poles in the magnetic field. The region below threshold is shaded grey, and the data in this region is derived from direct calculations of bound state positions in the coupled channels.

the resonance respectively. The parameter q defines the shape of the Fano profile, and changes sign as the profile flips in Fig. 3—a phenomenon known as q-reversal [34]. We fit each data scan to a model which combines Eqs. (1) and (2) and plot the fitted curves in Fig. 3. From the fits we extract the cross section at a slice of the magnetic field at constant energy, along with the shape parameter q and width Γ.

V. INTERACTING S-MATRIX POLES

To interpret our experiment within the framework of S-matrix scattering poles, we analytically continue the S-matrix provided by our coupled-channels calculations into the complex energy plane by fitting a Padé approximant (See appendix B).

In Fig. 4(a), we plot the trajectory of the poles as they move in the complex plane with an increasing magnetic field (see also SI Movie 1 [41]). The position of a pole, \( z = E - \frac{i}{2} \gamma \), provides the energy \( E \) and width \( \gamma \) of a resonance experienced along the real energy line. The pole following the blue curve is initially the source of the shape resonance, while the pole following the orange curve, initially residing well below threshold, is associated with the bound state in the closed channel, that is, the budding Feshbach resonance. As we increase the magnetic field, both poles move to higher (real) energies, and eventually the orange pole takes over the position of the shape resonance, while the blue pole continues tuning as the Feshbach resonance. In the intermediate region where the two resonances interact, the role of each pole is not clearly defined.

Inset above the pole trajectories in Fig. 4(a), we show the magnetic resonance as witnessed at three fixed energies—our collected data—and can observe which poles contribute to the form of the resonance. In particular, we observe that at energies well below the nominal
position of the shape resonance (300 µK), the movement and presence of the orange pole is responsible for the profile in magnetic field. At energies above 300 µK, it is the blue pole moving past that makes an imprint on the scattered fraction. Close to 300 µK, both poles are involved, with their interference resulting in a dip profile. Here, the poles swap roles and avoid crossing in the complex energy plane, akin to the well-known Landau-Zener avoided crossing [14]. The avoided crossing is captured in Fig. 4(b) which shows the real energy of the poles during a magnetic field sweep. The two poles never coincide at the same real energy.

VI. QUALITATIVE TWO-LEVEL MODEL

The essential physics of the crossing phenomenon is revealed by considering a coupled two-channel model described by the effective Hamiltonian [14, 42, 43]

\[ H = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1(B) & \omega \\ \omega & \varepsilon_2(B) \end{bmatrix}, \]

with inter-channel coupling \( \omega > 0 \) and complex bare energies \( \varepsilon_n(B) = E_n(B) - \frac{i}{2} \gamma_n \) which we assume intersect in their real parts at \( B = B_0 \) such that \( E_1(B_0) = E_2(B_0) \). The effective Hamiltonian in Eq. (3) differs from that of a closed two-level system by introducing an imaginary component to the diagonal elements, representing a decay into the continuum and making the matrix non-Hermitian. The eigenvalues of this system, and hence the poles of the coupled \( S \)-matrix, are

\[ E_{\pm} = \frac{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)^2 + 4\omega^2}, \]  

(4)

As previously established [43], the character of the avoided crossing can be classified into three cases by the argument of the square-root in Eq. (4) at \( B = B_0 \) evaluating positive, zero, or negative. This is equivalent to comparing \( \omega \) to the difference in the state widths, \( |\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| \), as summarized in Table I.

When \( \omega = |\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|/4 \) (case II), the two states and the corresponding poles will coalesce exactly (\( E_+ = E_- \)) at a so-called exceptional point [44, 45] on the complex plane for \( B = B_0 \). Unlike a closed two-level system, this case of a “pole collision” [46] displays crossing for a non-zero coupling. In contrast, for \( \omega < |\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|/4 \) (case I) the two poles will coincide only in real energy, with one pole moving in front of the other. Finally, for \( \omega > |\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|/4 \) (case III), the poles will avoid crossing in real energy, but they will coincide in imaginary energy at \( B_0 \) where they represent two equally wide resonances. In the flow of poles, one appears to displace the other—this is exactly the strongly coupled situation established in our collision experiment.

VII. SHAPE RESONANCE SHIFT

A striking effect of the avoided crossing in our experiment is a movement of the 300 µK shape resonance feature, which happens even before the “orange pole” in Fig. 4 crosses the open channel threshold. Figure 5 shows how the shape resonance moves, nearly doubling in energy as \( B \) changes from 925 G to 929 G. This arises through strong coupling from atomic pairs in the \( d \)-wave entrance channel to the closed channel molecular bound state—the origin of the Feshbach resonance—which has \( d \)-wave character. Interestingly, while the effect is dramatic at higher energies, the scattering behaviour at threshold is not affected. This is a consequence of Wigner’s threshold law [47] for partial-waves with \( \ell > 0 \), which is ultimately a statement of restricted tunnelling to the inner potential region as the centrifugal barriers become wide at low energies. Meanwhile, for our system the coupling of the entrance \( s \)-wave channel and the \( d \)-wave bound state is small, so that the \( s \)-wave scattering at threshold is not significantly affected by the presence of the closed channel bound state. Finally, Fig. 5 also shows how the shape resonance eventually reemerges at its nominal position when the magnetic field is further increased.

VIII. DISCUSSION

We have studied the interplay between two strongly interacting complex \( S \)-matrix poles that both reside above the open channel threshold and made use of a shape resonance and a tunable Feshbach resonance for this purpose [see Fig. 1(d)]. Our results shown in Figs. 3–5 are distinctly different from those obtained in the theory work by Diur et al. [34], who also considered cold collisions of \( 1 \) and \( 1 \) rubidium atoms, but unlike our study focused on a Feshbach resonance at 632 G. In particular, they showed that when combining this Feshbach resonance with the shape resonance, their equivalent of our Fig. 5 describes a narrow Fano profile performing a \( q \)-reversal as it moves across a stationary shape resonance feature. Indeed, our calculations show they examined a case I interaction (see Table I).

In a previous work [36], we explored the interplay between a magnetic Feshbach resonance and a virtual (antibound) state in the collision of K and Rb. There we observed a non-monotonic trajectory of the Feshbach resonance in a parameter space spanned by \( E \) and \( B \) as predicted by Ref. 48. This result can also be interpreted in terms of interacting \( S \)-matrix poles. However, with the antibound state and its corresponding \( S \)-matrix pole residing below threshold at all times, our collider experiments, requiring \( E > 0 \), only allowed us to see half the picture of the associated pole flow.

The experiments presented in this article have elucidated the behaviour of two strongly coupled \( S \)-matrix poles for colliding \( ^{87} \)Rb atoms in an \( E-B \)-parameter space.
TABLE I. Classification of S-matrix pole interaction

| Case I | Case II | Case III |
|--------|---------|----------|
| $(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)^2 + 4\omega^2$ | Positive | Zero | Negative |
| Coupling strength $\omega$ | $< \frac{1}{4}|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|$ | $= \frac{1}{4}|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|$ | $> \frac{1}{4}|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|$ |
| Crossing | Real energy | Poles coincide | Imaginary energy |
| Pole trajectories given by Eq. (4) (assuming $E_1 \propto B$ and $E_2, \gamma_1,$ and $\gamma_2$ constant). |

where a shape resonance and a magnetic Feshbach resonance are simultaneously present. While S-matrix poles constitute a well-established paradigm of quantum scattering, an experimental observation of the avoided crossing between two such poles has so far been missing. The tunability of the Feshbach resonance provides the crucial agent that allows us to record the imprint of this intriguing phenomenon on a quantum system. Curiously, in classical systems such as coupled microwave [49] and optical resonators [50], the interactions of poles and their roles in forming Fano-Feshbach resonances and exceptional points have been studied extensively, underscoring the ubiquity of these phenomena in coupled resonant systems [51–57].

For atomic scattering, the “collision” of S-matrix poles at an exceptional point [46] (case II in Table I) remains to be experimentally observed. As noted in Ref. 58, two tuning parameters are needed to achieve this in general, whereas the experiments reported in this article only has one—the external magnetic field. In the future, the additional tuning knob needed to access an exceptional point in a collision experiment might be provided by auxiliary electric [59] or optical [60] fields.
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Appendix A: Coupled channels calculations

To compute elements of the S-matrix, we solve the radial Schrödinger equation for the atomic separation using numerical integration. The system is described using a coupled-channel Hamiltonian for rubidium derived from a model by Strauss et al. [61], additionally including Casimir-Polder long-range interactions [62]. The Hamiltonian is experimentally determined and models the internal magnetic structure of the atom, $X^1\Sigma_+ + a^3\Sigma^+$ molecular potentials, dipole-dipole interactions, and the centrifugal barrier of the $\ell > 0$ angular momentum states. The integration of the coupled equation uses the log-derivative method of Manolopoulos [63] as described in Ref. 64 and implemented in MATLAB computer code [65].

Appendix B: Analytic continuation of S-matrix

A function over the field of complex numbers is said to be analytic if its complex derivative is well defined. Analytic functions are subject to a uniqueness theorem which states that if two analytic functions are identical along a curve, then they are identical everywhere. The S-matrix is analytic and in our work it is provided on the real, positive energy axis by our coupled channels calculations (see appendix A). In practice, analytical continuation into the complex plane can be performed by finding a so-called Padé approximant that matches the calculated S-matrix on the real line.

The Padé approximant [66] is a rational of two finite-
order polynomials,
\[ f^{[N,M]}(z) = \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)}, \quad (B1) \]
where \( P(z) \) and \( Q(z) \) are polynomials of degree \( N \), and \( M \) respectively, given by
\[ P(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} a_i z^i, \quad (B2a) \]
\[ Q(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{M} b_i z^i, \quad (B2b) \]
with \( b_0 = 1 \) to fix the scaling of the coefficients. Padé approximants can be considered a generalisation of the
Taylor polynomial to include the presence of poles.

We fit a Padé approximant \( f^{[4,4]}(z) \) of fourth order in both numerator and denominator to the \( S \)-matrix cal-
culated at a given magnetic field by linear least-squares methods [4, 67]. From the fitted \( f^{[4,4]}(z) \), the \( S \)-matrix
poles are readily located by finding the roots of the poly-
nomial \( Q \).
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