Motif 1 Binding Protein suppresses wingless to promote eye fate in Drosophila
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The phenomenon of RNA polymerase II (Pol II) pausing at transcription start site (TSS) is one of the key rate-limiting steps in regulating genome-wide gene expression. In Drosophila embryo, Pol II pausing is known to regulate the developmental control genes expression, however, the functional implication of Pol II pausing during later developmental time windows remains largely unknown. A highly conserved zinc finger transcription factor, Motif 1 Binding Protein (M1BP), is known to orchestrate promoter-proximal pausing. We found a new role of M1BP in regulating Drosophila eye development. Downregulation of M1BP function suppresses eye fate resulting in a reduced eye or a “no-eye” phenotype. The eye suppression function of M1BP has no domain constraint in the developing eye. Downregulation of M1BP results in more than two-fold induction of wingless (wg) gene expression along with robust induction of Homothorax (Hth), a negative regulator of eye fate. The loss-of-eye phenotype of M1BP downregulation is dependent on Wg upregulation as downregulation of both M1BP and wg, by using wgRNAi, shows a significant rescue of a reduced eye or a “no-eye” phenotype, which is accompanied by normalizing of wg and hth expression levels in the eye imaginal disc. Ectopic induction of Wg is known to trigger developmental cell death. We found that upregulation of wg as a result of downregulation of M1BP also induces apoptotic cell death, which can be significantly restored by blocking caspase-mediated cell death. Our data strongly imply that transcriptional regulation of wg by Pol II pausing factor M1BP may be one of the important regulatory mechanism(s) during Drosophila eye development.

During organogenesis, the intricate process of gene regulation is facilitated by sequence specific factors and coregulators, which turns on only a fraction of genes, while the rest of the genes are repressed or turned off. The dynamic temporal and spatial expression patterns of developmental control genes involves several checkpoints, starting from recruiting the general transcription machinery and RNA polymerase II (Pol II) to the gene promoter to initiate transcription. In higher eukaryotes, pausing of Pol II during early elongation phase of transcription serves as one of the regulatory mechanisms1,2. The genome-wide studies have shown that the regulation of Pol II activity near the transcription start site (TSS) is a widespread phenomenon in mammalian embryonic stem cells (ESCs) and Drosophila3,4. During Drosophila embryogenesis, most of the developmental control genes such as Hox genes, including the target gene promoters for various transcription factors and components of signaling pathways are transcriptionally paused. In Drosophila embryo, transcriptional regulation of three critical segmentation genes, sloppy-paired-1 (slp1), wingless (wg) and engrailed (en) by Pol II pausing may play an important role in controlling the gene expression. However, its exact mechanism along later developmental time points is not completely understood2,5–8. We used Drosophila eye model to study the role of Pol II pausing during organogenesis such as development of adult organs/appendages from their imaginal primordium.

Drosophila eye is a highly versatile and tractable model system for understanding the gene regulatory mechanisms underlying complex developmental programs6–13. The adult Drosophila compound eye is a highly organized structure with approximately 600–800 ommatidia or unit eyes arranged in a hexagonal lattice14. Each ommatidium is comprised of approximately 20 cells including 8 photoreceptor (PR) cells, and non-neuronal cells like pigment cells, cone cells and bristles15–16. Of these 8 photoreceptor cells, there are outer photoreceptors R1-R6 and inner photoreceptors R7-R8. The adult eye develops from the larval eye-antennal imaginal disc17. The eye imaginal disc is specified during embryonic and early larval development by action of core retinal determination mechanisms.
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Here, we demonstrate that wg, which encodes a ligand for the highly conserved Wg/WNT signaling pathway is one of the targets for M1BP mediated transcriptional regulation during Drosophila eye development. We show that downregulation of M1BP ectopically induces wg gene expression in the developing eye disc, which causes suppression of the eye fate and induction of developmental cell death. Our results clearly indicate that M1BP mediated transcriptional regulation of Wg signaling could be a key regulatory mechanism during Drosophila eye development. We found potential M1BP binding sites in regulatory regions of wg gene using bioinformatics. Furthermore, this relation was also observed in the wing imaginal discs.

Materials and methods
Fly stocks. Fly stocks used in this study are described in Flybase (https://flybase.bio.indiana.edu). We used ey-Gal462, ey-Gal463, bi-Gal4 (BL 58,815)64, dpp-Gal463, UAS-M1BP566 (BL 41,937)65, UAS-wg50/67 (BL 31,249)68, UAS-hth547, yw, hth1622/75,9TM6B, Tb67, dpp-lacZ (BL 5528)69, wg-lacZ68, UAS-P3566. We used the wild-type Canton-S stock of D. melanogaster in this study. Fly stocks were maintained at 25 °C on the regular cornmeal, yeast, molasses food medium.

Genetic crosses. We used Gal4/UAS TARGET system to misexpress the gene of interest64. All Gal4/UAS crosses were maintained at 18 °C, 25 °C and 29 °C, unless specified, to sample different induction levels64. The ey-Gal4 driver used in this study targets misexpression of inducible transgene M1BP566 in the entire developing eye domain (ey>M1BP566) of larval eye imaginal disc. To misexpress M1BP566 in specific domains of the eye disc, different Gal4 drivers were used: ey-Gal4 targets misexpression of transgene at the equator, bi-Gal4 selectively targets the expression of the transgene at the dorso-ventral (DV) eye margin64, dpp-Gal4 drives the expression of the transgene at the posterior margin of the eye disc65.

We also tested the gain-of-function of M1BP using the CRISPR/Cas9- based transcriptional activation approach66 to overexpress TrIP-CRISPR Overexpression (TRIP-OE) M1BP (BL 80231) in the dpp domain of the
developing eye by crossing the TOE M1BP flies with dpp-Gal4; dcas9-VPR (BL 67045) flies, in which the tissue-specific Gal4 directs expression of a catalytically inactive dead Cas9 (dCas9) fused to a tripartite transcriptional activator domain, VP64-p65-Rta (VPR).

**Immunohistochemistry.** Eye-antennal discs of wandering third instar larvae were dissected in 1 x phosphate buffered saline (PBS), fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS (fixative) for 20 min and washed in PBST (three times). The tissues were stained with a combination of antibodies following the standard protocol. Primary antibodies were used rabbit anti-β-GAL (1:100; Cappel); rat anti-Elav (1:100), mouse anti-Wg (1:100; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, DSHB), mouse anti-Dlg (1:100); mouse anti-Eya (1:100; DSHB), mouse anti-Dac (1:100; DSHB), goat anti-Hh (1:200; Santa Cruz), mouse anti-Sca (1:100), goat anti-Ato (1:50), rabbit anti-Dcp1 (1:150, Santa Cruz), mouse anti-pH3 (1:100, Cell Signaling). The discs were washed in PBST thrice for 10 min. Secondary antibodies used were donkey anti-rat IgG conjugated to Cy5 (1:250), donkey anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to Cy3 (1:300) or goat anti-mouse IgG conjugated to FITC (1:200) (Jackson Laboratories). The discs were mounted in Vectashield and photo-documented on a Fluoview 3000 Laser Scanning Confocal Microscope. We took the images at 20 x magnification unless stated otherwise. We analyzed and prepared the final figures with images using Adobe Photoshop CS6 software.

**Adult eye imaging.** Adult eye images were captured after freezing flies at ~ 20 °C for ~4 h. Images were taken on a MrC5 color camera mounted on an Axiosmager.Z1 Zeiss Apotome using a Z-sectioning function of Axiosvision software 4.6.3. The final images were prepared using Adobe Photoshop CS6 software.

**Real time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR).** Tissue was collected and homogenized in TRZol Reagent (Invitrogen, Cat# 15956026). Total RNA was extracted following TRZol protocol. Aqueous phase was transferred to RNA Clean & Concentrator-5 (Zymo research, Cat# R1013) columns and eluted in DNase/RNase-free water. Quality and quantity of isolated RNA was determined by Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). cDNA was synthesized from 1 µg of total RNA through Reverse Transcription reaction (RT) using first-strand cDNA synthesis kit (GE healthcare, Cat# 27926101). RT-qPCR was performed using BioRad iQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad, Cat# 1708860) according to the standard protocol. 

**Statistics.** Statistical analysis was performed using Microsoft excel software. The P-values were calculated using student’s t-test and the error bars represent Standard deviation from Mean. Statistical significance in each graph is shown by P-value: ***P < 0.001; **P < 0.01; *P < 0.05. 

**Results**

**Downregulation of M1BP function suppresses the eye fate.** The larval eye imaginal disc (Fig. 1A) develops into the adult compound eye comprising of 600–800 ommatidia or unit eyes (Fig. 1B). Targeted misexpression of UAS-GFP reporter transgene under ey-Gal4 driver (ey > GFP, shown in green) marks the entire eye imaginal disc (Fig. 1A). The eye discs were stained with a membrane-specific marker Dlg and pan-neuronal marker Elav (red), which marks the nuclei of the photoreceptor neurons. Targeted misexpression of inducible UAS-M1BPΔN transgene using ey-Gal4 driver (ey > M1BPΔN), which downregulates M1BP function in the developing eye imaginal disc, results in the suppression of eye fate (Fig. 1C,E). The eye suppression phenotype of ey > M1BPΔN is evident from pan-neuronal marker Elav expression, which results in either highly reduced eye field (Fig. 1C) or a “no-eye” phenotype (Fig. 1E). The adult flies of ey > M1BPΔN genotype also exhibits reduced eye phenotype (Fig. 1D). The penetrance of eye phenotype(s) in the adult ranges from “small-eye” (Fig. 1D, 5%, n = 100) to a “no-eye” (Fig. 1E, 95%, n = 100). Further, quantification of the adult eye area shows that the eye size significantly reduces in case of both small-eye as well as “no-eye” phenotype in ey > M1BPΔN flies, when compared with the control (ey > GFP) flies (p < 0.001, Fig. 1G). We also studied the M1BP gain-of-function phenotype using the CRISPR/Cas9- based transcriptional activation approach. We did not see any eye phenotypes in terms of change in size or fate. Although we found higher levels of M1BP protein expressed in the dpp-Gal4 driver expression domain (Fig. S1). These results suggest that M1BP function is required for Drosophila eye development.

**Eye suppression phenotype due to downregulation of M1BP function has no domain constraint.** In order to understand if the M1BP function has any domain constraint, we downregulated M1BP functions in different domains of the developing eye by misexpressing inducible UAS-M1BPΔN transgene using various Gal4 drivers. The bi-Gal4 drives expression of UAS-GFP reporter (bi > GFP, shown in green) along the dorso-ventral (DV) margin of larval eye imaginal disc (Fig. 2A) as well as the adult eye (Fig. 2B). Downregulation of M1BP function in the bi expression domain (bi > M1BPΔN) results in eye suppression along the DV margin in the eye imaginal disc (Fig. 2C). About 56% (n = 100) of the bi > M1BPΔN adult flies showed reduced eye phenotype (Fig. 2D). We employed dpp-Gal4 driver, which drives expression of UAS-GFP reporter (dpp > GFP, shown in green) along the posterior margin of the developing eye imaginal disc (Fig. 2E). On its own it does not affect the phenotype of adult eye (Fig. 2F). Downregulation of M1BP function in the dpp expres-
Downregulation of M1BP suppresses the eye fate during *Drosophila* eye development. (A) *ey*-Gal4 driven expression of UAS-GFP transgene in the eye. Note that *ey-GFP* (green) expressed in the entire eye field of third instar larval eye disc. Note that eye imaginal disc is stained with pan neuronal marker Elav (red) which marks the nuclei of retinal neurons and Dlg, a membrane specific marker, to mark outline of the tissue. (B) Adult eye. (C–F) Downregulation of M1BP in the eye by driving expression of UAS-M1BP<sup>RNAi</sup> (*ey>M1BP<sup>RNAi</sup>) suppresses the eye fate as seen in (C,E) the eye imaginal disc and the (D,F) adult eye. *ey>M1BP<sup>RNAi</sup> exhibits a range of eye suppression phenotype ranging from a (CD) small-eye to a 'no-eye'. (G) The area of adult eye was quantified using Image J software (NIH). The p values for the eye size (μm<sup>2</sup>) were calculated in a set of five (n = 5) using Student's t-test in MS Excel Software. ey-Gal4 was found to be statistically significant from *ey>M1BP<sup>RNAi</sup> in case of both small-eye (p < 0.001, *** ) and no-eye phenotype (p < 0.001, *** ). The orientation of all imaginal discs is identical with posterior to the left and dorsal up. The magnification of all eye-antennal imaginal disc is 20 × and the adult eye is 10 ×. A total of five eye-antennal imaginal discs (n = 5) for each genotype were analyzed for respective immunohistochemistry staining.

Downregulation of M1BP function blocks the eye fate and MF progression. Since downregulation of M1BP causes eye suppression, we studied Retinal Determination (RD) gene expression levels as a read-out to study retinal determination and differentiation, the fundamental processes in the developing eye. A RD gene, *eya*, which acts downstream to *ey*, is expressed in a broader stripe in the differentiatied cells posterior to the MF (Fig. 2A) whereas *dac* is expressed as two stripes directly anterior and posterior to the MF (Fig. 2C). We found that the downregulation of M1BP in the entire eye disc using *ey*-Gal4 (*ey>M1BP<sup>RNAi</sup>) significantly
reduces the size of the eye field as evident from the pan-neuronal marker Elav expression, which was accompanied by strong suppression of Eya and Dac expression levels (Fig. 3B,D, arrows).

Expression of Atonal (Ato) and Scabrous (Sca) serves as early markers for retinal differentiation and are employed for R8 specification (Fig. 3E,G)32,82,83. Based on Elav and RD gene expression, we found that misexpression of UAS-M1BP\textsuperscript{RNAi} in the developing eye (ey\textgreater M1BP\textsuperscript{RNAi}) suppresses retinal neuron(s) differentiation as evident from significantly reduced expression levels of Ato and Sca (Fig. 3F,H, arrows). Our data suggests that downregulation of M1BP function not only affects the retinal determination but also suppresses the markers for R8 photoreceptor differentiation. It is known that R8 specification and differentiation is associated with MF progression. We therefore tested the requirement of M1BP function in MF progression.

In the developing eye imaginal disc, Hh and Dpp signaling is required for normal initiation and progression of MF14,29,84,85. We used dpp\textsuperscript{-lacZ}, a transcriptional reporter for dpp gene, which also marks the progression of MF in the third instar eye imaginal disc. dpp\textsuperscript{-lacZ} is expressed in a thin stripe that overlays the apical constrictions caused by the MF cells and marks the anterior boundary of Elav positive differentiated retinal neurons (Fig. 3I). dpp\textsuperscript{-lacZ} expression in ey\textgreater M1BP\textsuperscript{RNAi} discs shows that MF fails to progress from the posterior margin of the eye disc towards the anterior side (Fig. 3I, arrow) and hence, downregulation of M1BP in the developing eye represses differentiation, resulting in eye suppression. To discern the mechanism behind eye suppression phenotypes of ey\textgreater M1BP\textsuperscript{RNAi}, we looked for the putative target(s) of M1BP.

Downregulation of M1BP function induces \textit{wg} and Hth expression. In the developing eye imaginal disc, Wg serve as a negative regulator of eye fate, and blocks the progression of MF16,37,38,85,86. We tested if downregulation of M1BP affects the \textit{wg} gene expression. Since M1BP is a transcriptional pausing factor, we studied the \textit{wg} gene transcription quantitatively by using qPCR approach and qualitatively by using \textit{wg}\textsuperscript{-lacZ} reporter.
reduced "no-eye" phenotype (Fig. 5B), whereas downregulation of disc (Fig. 4B, shown in green). The controls (Fig. 4A).

However, reduction of eyhth function in the eye disc (ey > M1BP RNAi) results in a significant rescue of "no-eye" phenotype (Fig. 5D). In comparison to the wild-type eye-antennal imaginal disc and orientation of all imaginal discs is identical with posterior to the left and dorsal up. The magnification of all eye-antennal imaginal disc is 20 ×. A total of five eye-antennal imaginal discs (n = 5) for each genotype were analyzed for respective immunohistochemistry staining.

Reducing hth function rescues M1BP loss-of-function phenotype in developing eye. We wanted to determine, if reduced eye or no-eye phenotype observed in ey > M1BP RNAi discs as compared to the controls (Fig. 4A).

The wg-lacZ reporter is expressed at antero-lateral margins of the developing third instar larval eye imaginal disc (Fig. 4B, shown in green). The ey > M1BP RNAi eye imaginal discs are significantly reduced in size and exhibits a robust ectopic induction of wg-lacZ reporter (arrow, Fig. 4C). To test if wg upregulation in ey > M1BP RNAi discs is responsible for eye suppression phenotype, we downregulated wg gene expression levels using wg RNAi in the background of ey > M1BP RNAi (ey > M1BP RNAi + wg RNAi), which resulted in significant reduction in eye suppression phenotype and restoration of size of eye field to near wild-type (Fig. 4D). In addition wg-lacZ reporter expression is restored (Fig. 4D). In comparison to the wild-type Wg expression in eye disc (Fig. 4E), we found robust induction and ectopic localization of Wg protein in reduced eye disc of ey > M1BP RNAi (arrow, Fig. 4F) whereas Wg protein levels are restored to wild-type levels in ey > M1BP RNAi + wg RNAi background (Fig. 4G).

Since Wg is a negative regulator of eye development and it promotes head fate by inducing downstream hth expression, we further analyzed Hth protein localization in the eye discs of ey-Ga4 (Fig. 4H), ey > M1BP RNAi (Fig. 4I) and ey > M1BP RNAi + wg RNAi background(s) (Fig. 4J). Hth, which is predominantly expressed anterior to the MF (Fig. 4H) in wild-type, exhibits robust induction in the reduced eye field of ey > M1BP RNAi (arrow, Fig. 4I). We found that downregulation of M1BP in the eye disc induces robust Hth expression. These results strongly imply that M1BP plays an important role in promoting eye development by negatively regulating Wg and downstream Hth levels in the developing eye.

Downregulation of M1BP triggers developmental cell death. It has been shown that ectopic induction of Wg signaling in the eye disc induces developmental cell death, which results in reduced eye phenotypes. To understand the genetic mechanism responsible for the reduced eye phenotype, manifested by flies where M1BP function was downregulated, we tested the role of cell death. It is known that ectopic expression of baculovirus P35 blocks caspase-dependent cell death. In comparison to the wild-type eye-antennal imaginal disc and
Figure 4. Downregulation of M1BP induces ectopic expression wg and hth expression in the developing eye disc. (A) Relative expression of wg at the transcriptional level using quantitative PCR (q-PCR) in ey-Gal4, and ey>M1BP^{RNAi} background. (B,E,H) Eye antennal imaginal disc stained for (B) wg-lacZ reporter (green) (E) Wg protein exhibits antero-lateral expression, (H) Hth (Green), a negative regulator of eye, is expressed anterior to the MF in the eye disc. (C,F,I) Downregulation of M1BP (ey>M1BP^{RNAi}) suppresses the eye fate accompanied with ectopic induction of (B) wg transcription as evident from lacZ reporter (arrow), (E) Wg protein (arrow), and (I) Hth, (arrow) in the developing eye field. (D,G,J) Downregulation of wg by using ey>wg^{RNAi} along with M1BP^{RNAi} (ey>M1BP^{RNAi} + wg^{RNAi}) restores reduced eye size and (D) wg-lacZ, (G) Wg protein, and (J) Hth expression in the eye imaginal disc. The orientation of all imaginal discs is identical with posterior to the left and dorsal up. The magnification of all eye-antennal imaginal disc is 20×. A total of five eye-antennal imaginal discs (n = 5) for each genotype were analyzed for respective immunohistochemistry staining.
the adult eye (Fig. 6A,B), downregulation of M1BP function in ey>M1BP RNAi results in reduced eye as seen in the eye imaginal disc and the adult eye (Fig. 6C,D). Blocking caspase-dependent cell death by ectopic expression of UAS-P35 transgene in ey>M1BP RNAi (ey>M1BP RNAi + P35) background can restore the eye suppression phenotype as observed in the eye disc and the adult eye (Fig. 6E,F). Further, quantification of the area of the adult eyes of ey-Gal4 (Fig. 6B,G), ey>M1BP RNAi (Fig. 6D,G) and ey>M1BP RNAi + wg RNAi background(s) (Fig. 6E,G) shows that the eye size significantly reduces in ey>M1BP RNAi flies, when compared with ey-Gal4 (p < 0.001, Fig. 6G). However, blocking caspase-dependent cell death significantly restores the eye size in ey>M1BP RNAi + P35 background, when compared with the ey>M1BP RNAi flies (p < 0.001, Fig. 6G), and less significant than control ey-Gal4 flies (p < 0.01, Fig. 6G).

To validate our hypothesis that ectopic upregulation of wg induces developmental cell death, which results in reduced eye phenotype seen in ey>M1BP RNAi eye disc, we used the antibody against Drosophila effector caspase, death caspase-1 (Dcp-1). Dcp-1, a critical executioner of apoptosis, serves as an excellent marker for cell death. In the control ey-Gal4 eye disc, we found a few Dcp-1 positive dying cells in the retina (Fig. 6H). The number of Dcp-1 positive dying cells gets almost doubled in ey>M1BP RNAi eye disc, which is highly reduced in size (Fig. 6I,K). The number of Dcp-1 positive dying cells is restored to the control ey-Gal4 (Fig. 6K) when P35 levels are upregulated in ey>M1BP RNAi (ey>M1BP RNAi + P35) background (Fig. 6J). Further, quantification of the Dcp-1 positive nuclei shows that downregulation of M1BP in the eye disc (ey>M1BP RNAi) induces apoptotic cell death as the average number of dying cells were significantly higher in ey>M1BP RNAi (p < 0.001, Fig. 6K) as compared to the control ey-Gal4 eye discs, however, when compared with the ey>M1BP RNAi + P35 discs, the number of Dcp-1 positive dying cells were non-significant with respect to the ey-Gal4 eye discs (ns, Fig. 6K). These results suggest that overexpressing P35 where M1BP levels are downregulated restores the size of the eye field by reducing the average number of dying cells.

**Downregulation of M1BP is independent of cell proliferation function.** Since downregulation of M1BP function results in the small-eye phenotype, it is possible that the reduced number of Elav positive cells (red; Fig. 7B), which marks the photoreceptor neurons in the eye disc, is due to reduced cell proliferation. To test the role of cell proliferation in reduced eye phenotype, we stained the eye imaginal discs with phospho-histone 3 (pH3) that marks the proliferating cells (Fig. 7). Quantification of the pH3 positive cells show that the proliferating cells are significantly reduced in ey>M1BP RNAi discs (Fig. 7B,D; p < 0.001) when compared with ey-Gal4 discs (Fig. 7A,AD). The reduction in number of pH3 positive cells in ey>M1BP RNAi discs does not clearly address if both cell death and cell-proliferation are involved. Although we have seen earlier that reduced size of the ey>M1BP RNAi eye disc is due to developmental cell death. In order to test the role of cell proliferation, we counted the pH3 positive cells in the ey>M1BP RNAi + P35 eye disc (where caspase-dependent cell death is blocked). Overexpression of UAS-P35 transgene along with downregulation of M1BP (ey>M1BP RNAi + P35) results in significant increase in the number of proliferating cells ey>M1BP RNAi + P35 discs, when compared with ey>M1BP RNAi discs (p < 0.001, Fig. 7C,D). Interestingly, the number of pH3 positive nuclei are restored...
to the control (Fig. 7A,D). This data suggests that cell proliferation function is not the major contributing factor in reduced eye phenotype in ey>M1BPRNAi.

Discussion

Pol II pausing near the transcription start site has been identified as a key step in optimizing transcription of many genes in metazoans. It has been proposed that pausing allows the coupling of transcription and RNA processing. Pausing can contribute to dynamic regulation of gene expression in response to developmental and environmental signals, and can function to repress transcription. The genome-wide studies have revealed that ~10–40% of all genes in mammalian embryonic stem cells and Drosophila have paused promoters. In Drosophila, while the phenomenon of promoter proximal pausing has been well studied in regulation of genes encoding the heat shock proteins (Hsp) and different components involved in immune response pathways, it is also proposed to play important role in regulating the gene expression during early developmental events such as patterning, sex determination etc. So far, the sequence-specific transcription factors such as GAGA factor and M1BP, and other regulators HEXIM, LARP7 (La Ribonucleoprotein 7, Transcriptional Regulator) have been implicated in dictating Pol II pausing in Drosophila. However, the biological relevance of transcriptional
pausing and the exact mechanism by which the regulatory factors may contribute in pausing of Pol II is not fully understood.

**M1BP regulates retinal determination and MF progression in developing eye.** We tested for the first time the role of transcription pausing factor, M1BP during *Drosophila* eye development. We found that downregulation of M1BP levels in the developing eye results in strong suppression of eye fate (Fig. 1C–F), however, gain-of-function of M1BP did not affect the eye fate (Fig. S1) suggesting that optimum levels of M1BP are required for *Drosophila* eye development. Furthermore, we did not find any domain constraint in eye suppression function when M1BP levels were downregulated (Fig. 2C,D,G,H,K,L). In addition, when M1BP levels were downregulated (ey > M1BPRNAi) the expression of retinal determination and differentiation genes were strongly downregulated (Fig. 3B,D,F,H). Interestingly, we found that protein encoded by RD genes were downregulated in ey > M1BP RNAi background. Therefore, M1BP may not be affecting RD gene expression directly.

During eye development, a wave of differentiation, emanates from the posterior margin of the developing eye imaginal disc, which sweeps anteriorly across the retinal primordium. The crest of this wave is referred to as the MF, which results in retinal differentiation behind it. The two signals *dpp* and *hh* plays an important role in initiating the MF waves. The MF is a transient wave that forms and then gradually recedes, leaving a posterior retinal field of undifferentiated cells. This process is crucial for the development of the eye and involves the coordination of gene expression and transcriptional pausing. M1BP, a transcriptional pausing factor, is believed to play a role in this process.

**Figure 7.** Overexpression of P35 in loss-of-function background of M1BP promotes proliferation in the developing eye field. (A–C) Eye imaginal disc stained for Elav (red) and pH3 (green). Note that pH3 marks the proliferating cells in the disc. (A’–C’) Eye antennal imaginal disc showing split channel for pH3 staining. (D) Quantification of the pH3 positive cells shows (green) that the proliferating cells are significantly reduced in ey > M1BP RNAi (p < 0.001, ***) than eyGal4 discs, however, when compared with the ey > M1BP RNAi + P35 discs, the number of proliferating cells were found to be comparable (non-significant) with the ey-Gal4 discs. Overexpression of P35 in M1BP loss-of-function background promotes significantly higher rate of proliferation (p < 0.001, ***) when compared with the ey > M1BP RNAi discs. The orientation of all imaginal discs is identical with posterior to the left and dorsal up. The magnification of all eye-antennal imaginal disc is 20×. A total of five eye-antennal imaginal discs (n = 5) for each genotype were analyzed for respective immunohistochemistry staining.
role in initiation and progression of MF. We found that downregulation of M1BP affects retinal differentiation as well as progression of MF (Fig. 3). It suggests that M1BP role is to promote retinal differentiation as well as MF progression. Also, M1BP downregulates the level of negative regulator(s) of the eye fate. We screened for the genes, which may serve as target for M1BP mediated transcriptional pausing mechanism in Drosophila eye imaginal disc.

M1BP regulates wg gene expression in the developing eye. The protein encoded by Drosophila wg gene, a member of Wg/WNT signaling pathway, act short range inducer, which organizes the pattern of cells at a distance in the embryo. Since M1BP downregulation resulted in blocking retinal differentiation and MF progression, we looked for the targets of M1BP transcriptional pausing function using the candidate gene approach. We found that wg-lacZ reporter, which serves as a transcriptional read out for Wg, exhibits robust induction in eye imaginal discs where M1BP levels were downregulated (Fig. 4C). This observation was further validated by qPCR approach which showed that there is a 2.2-fold increase in wg gene expression (Figs. 4A, 8A). Furthermore, in high throughput microarray screen carried out in S2R+ cells, wg was also identified as a target whose expression is downregulated by M1BP using M1BP RNAi. According to microarray analysis, wg shows a 5.5-fold change (raw value against eyRNAi gene ID) when cells are treated with M1BPRNAi.57.

To validate the results from qPCR approach as well induction of wg-lacZ reporter expression in ey>M1BP RNAi eye imaginal disc (Fig. 4), we also employed bioinformatics analysis to determine if there are M1BP binding sites in the wingless (wg) gene. The M1BP binding sequence (YGGTCACTR) has been reported earlier57,61. We used this sequence for MEME analysis to screen for M1BP binding sites in wg gene and regulatory region60. We found 36 potential binding sites for M1BP in wingless gene and regulatory regions as shown in (S. Fig. 2B, Supplementary Table S1). Using these all 36 potential binding sites web logo was generated from weblogo.berkeley.edu/logo.cgi (Fig. S2B).

Wg, a ligand for evolutionarily conserved Wg/WNT signaling pathway, is known to act as a negative regulator of eye development13,37–39. During Drosophila eye development, Wg activity promotes head specific fate by negatively regulating MF progression in the differentiating eye imaginal disc32,37,38. Wg regulates expression of downstream gene hth, which encodes a MEIS class of transcription factor, and act as a negative regulator of eye development (Figs. 4, 8A)12,47–49. We found that in ey>M1BP RNAi background, robust induction of wg transcription also accompanies ectopic induction of hth along with the suppression of the eye fate (Figs. 4I, 8). Further, downregulation of wg levels, using wgRNAi, in ey>M1BP RNAi background rescued the eye suppression phenotype (Figs. 4, 8). This data clearly suggested that M1BP downregulates levels of wg, which in turn regulate expression of hth in the developing Drosophila eye (Fig. 5).

M1BP blocks Wg upregulation mediated developmental cell death. Higher levels of Wg are known to trigger developmental cell death in the developing eye field44. Interestingly, in ey>M1BP RNAi eye discs, the eye field was significantly reduced. Since, majority of the cell death is triggered by the activation of caspase-dependent cell death, blocking caspase-dependent cell death by ectopic expression of anti-apoptotic P35 transgene56 in ey>M1BP RNAi background showed rescue of eye suppression phenotype (Fig. 6E,F). However, these P35 mediated rescues of ey>M1BP RNAi were not as significant as seen with wgRNAi (Fig. 3). This suggests that Wg might be regulating eye fate through hth induction (Figs. 4, 8A) and eye field size by triggering caspase mediated cell death (Figs. 6, 8B). In order to rule out that these in ey>M1BP RNAi phenotypes are not affected by reduced cell proliferation rates, we also tested levels of pH3 in these developing eye fields (Fig. 7). We found that cell proliferation rates were not affected by this transcriptional pausing mechanism in the developing eye.

Figure 8. Models for M1BP function during Drosophila eye development. (A) M1BP suppresses the head fate by downregulating Wg and downstream Hth in the developing eye. Note that Wg and Hth are negative regulators of the eye development. (B) Blocking caspase-dependent cell death by overexpressing anti-apoptotic P35 transgene in ey>M1BP RNAi (ey>M1BP RNAi + P35) background significantly rescues the reduced eye phenotype.
Our results imply that the transcription pausing function of M1BP in regulating Wg signaling may play a critical role in Drosophila eye development (Fig. 8). However, other factors and signaling pathways involved in regulating the M1BP function at the mechanistic level is yet to be determined. In order to further understand, if M1BP mediated transcriptional regulation is also implicated during development of other imaginal discs in Drosophila, we studied the downregulation of M1BP function in bi-Gal4 domains of wing imaginal disc (Fig. S3).

We wanted to test if this role of M1BP in regulating Wg gene expression is exclusive to developing eye disc or it extends to other larval imaginal disc. We employed a bi-Gal4 driver which drives the expression of a transgene in wing imaginal disc (Fig. S2A, A’ shown in green)\(^63,64\). Downregulation of M1BP in bi-Gal4 expression domains of wing (bi > M1BP\(^{RNAi}\), Fig. S2B, B’) exhibits ectopic upregulation of Wg expression in the pouch region of the wing imaginal disc (Fig. S2B, arrowhead). Furthermore, M1BP expression levels are downregulated in the wing pouch region, which corresponds to the bi-Gal4 expression domain. These results suggested that the transcription pausing function of M1BP may have similar target in the eye and wing imaginal disc. Recently, HEXIM1, another transcriptional regulator associated with pol II pausing, has been reported to affect wing development in Drosophila by regulating Hh signaling\(^52\). In Drosophila wing imaginal disc, HEXIM knockdown causes developmental defects by inducing ectopic expression of hh and its transcriptional effectors cubitus interruptus (ci), which triggers apoptosis. This suggests that the regulatory factors involved in Pol II pausing are important in maintaining the expression levels of different signaling pathways during development in Drosophila.

A number of highly conserved transcriptional pausing and elongation factors such as Spt5 precisely regulate transcription during Drosophila embryogenesis. The Spt5\(^{misse} \) missense mutation causes defects in the anterior–posterior patterning and segmental patterning during embryogenesis\(^86\). Interestingly, the mutant allele of Spt5 (longy\(^{808} \)) in Zebrafish also causes multiple developmental defects such as discrete problems with pigmentation, tail outgrowth, eye formation and cardiac differentiation. These studies suggest that the regulatory mechanism in Pol II pausing during fly development are also conserved in higher organisms. The Drosophila compound eye shares similarities with the vertebrate eye at the level of genetic machinery as well as the processes of differentiation\(^69,100\). Therefore, the information generated in Drosophila can be extrapolated to higher organisms\(^11,100,101\).

Since Wnt signaling is known to induce programmed cell death in patterning the vasculature of the vertebrate eye\(^86\), it will be important to study what molecules other than M1BP can prevent Wg signaling from inducing cell death during early eye development.
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