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Abstract
The purpose of this study is to determine the internal consistency reliability of Self-Compassion Scale-Malay Version (SCS-MV) and its subscale scores among non-clinical youth samples in Malaysia. The original Self Compassion Scale (SCS) comprises of 6 subscales which are self-kindness, self-judgement, common humanity, isolation, mindfulness and over-identification, this scale was translated into Bahasa Melayu (Malay Language) which is the primary language in Malaysia. The Bahasa Melayu translated version of SCS (SCS-MV) was administered on 377 adolescents aged 16 years old who attended secondary school. Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) was carried out and Cronbach alphas were used to estimate the reliability or internal consistency of the SCS-MV subscales. Results demonstrated that this study support the use of the six factors scoring method of the original SCS with the overall Cronbach’s alpha for SCS-MV ranging from 0.76 to 0.95. These results provided further psychometric evidence and lent a confirmatory support that SCS-MV can be used among Malay-speaking adolescent populations in Malaysia.
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Introduction
Human life is full of unforeseen circumstances that switch back and forth between sadness and happiness. People may react differently between one another to express their feelings towards a situation. Some might probably feel disappointed with their shortcomings and start to give up (Leary, 2004; Leary et al., 2007). People that have a strong will use the proper mechanism to encounter their negative feelings and struggle to move out from the distressing situations (Leary et al., 2007). One of the mechanisms which is self-compassion has been shown to have impact on the way people think about happiness and well-being (Neff, Tóth-Király & Colosimo, 2018; Raes et al., 2011). This self-compassion construct has also been conceptualized as a coping strategy that promotes positive psychological functioning (Allen, 2010) and consistently linked with positive mental health (Bluth & Neff, 2018). Results from
previous studies showed that people who are highly self-compassionate, tend to treat themselves with kindness and concern when encountered the negative events (Allen & Leary, 2010).

Self-compassion is fundamentally known as an ability to endure one’s feelings of suffering or hardship in life with a sense of warmth, connectedness and concern (Kelvin & Hashimah, 2016; Raes et al., 2011; Sirois, 2014). It is a new concept introduced in social sciences and health research that has been shown to relate to improvement in human life (Leary et al., 2007) and plays a big role in positive psychology (Karakasidou et al., 2017). The self-compassion construct has been studied over the past decades and demonstrated respectable impacts on both adults and adolescents, in terms of increasing self-esteem, subjective well-being, positive affects and life satisfactions (Bluth & Blanton, 2014; Sirois et al. 2015). It has also been shown to increase emotional flexibility (Beshai, Prentice & Huang 2018) and happiness (Holli-Walker & Colosimo, 2011; Neff & Costigan, 2014) as well as reducing the risk of mental illness, suicidal ideation and depression (Kaurin, Schönfelder & Wessa, 2018; Rabon, Sirois, & Hirsch, 2018). Terry and Leary (2011) further suggested that self-compassion is very important in promoting more effective decision making and achieve long term goals that has been set earlier. Choi, Lee and Lee (2014) postulated that self-compassion is a form of self-concept that is receiving an increasing attention in the field of psychology.

Presently, the Self-Compassion Scale (SCS) is the only instrument that can measure self-compassion construct. It was designed to measure six subscales of self-compassion and a total self-compassion score. The scale was developed to explicitly represent the thoughts, emotions and behaviors that were associated with various components of self-compassion (Neff, 2003). The scales comprises of the following components which are: self-kindness, self-judgement, common humanity, isolation, mindfulness and over-identification. Worldwide, there are many translated versions of SCS available (Costa e. al., 2016; Deniz, Kesici & Sümer, 2008; Garcia-Campayo et al., 2014; Raes et al., 2011; Neff, 2003; Neff, 2016). Studies on psychometric properties of SCS also demonstrated good internal consistencies across a variety of samples and countries (Cleare, Gumley, Cleare & O’Connor, 2018; López et al., 2015; Neff, 2003; Raes et al., 2011).

In sum, the extant literature suggested the importance of investigating the self-compassion construct as it has been associated with a variety of positive impacts on our lives. However, within the context of Malaysia there is a dearth of research focusing on self-compassion construct. Examples of the few research on self-compassion conducted in Malaysia were Voon, Lau and Leong (2017); Kelvin and Hashimah (2016). In fact both of these studies suggested that research on self-compassion in Malaysia were not extensively covered and the translated version of SCS in Bahasa Melayu (Malay) version, has lagged far behind (Kelvin & Hashimah, 2016). Bearing in mind the importance of self-compassion in determining many facets of psychological well-being, it is clear that there is a need to study this construct further among Malaysian population. For the purpose of local use, it is important that the SCS be translated into Bahasa Melayu, the national language in Malaysia. Hence, this study aims to investigate the factor structure of the Self-Compassion Scale (SCS) originally developed by Neff (2003), but translated it into Bahasa Melayu (Malay) known as SCS-Malay Version (SCS-MV).
This study employed the Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA), which examined certain group of items that clustered together to represent the SCS-MV subscales. This is in line with suggestions from past researchers (Nor Azzatunnisak, Roseliza-Murni, Suzana & Jamiah, 2018; Suwaibah, Suzana, Roseliza-Murni & Zainah, 2016; Siti Fardaniah, 2016; Mohd Effendi, Ahmad Zamri & Rafidah, 2019; Wan Marina, Mohd Suhaime & Sharifa Ezat, 2020) whereby EFA is able to help the researchers to explore the underlying dimensions of the construct being investigated. Muhamud, Engku Ahmad Zaki, Zamri, Hanif and Zaizul (2019) further suggested that an EFA test was used to determine the significance of data and to identify the components that exist in a set of questionnaire. According to Harwati, Melor and Mohamed Amin (2018) EFA is usually employed to validate an instrument as well as to investigate its content validity and check for internal consistency reliability. Mohammad Rahim et al., (2018) and Mohammad Rahim et al., (2017) suggested that we need to run for Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy and Bartlett’s Test of Sphericity first, in order to ensure the appropriateness of conducting EFA.

Method
Participants
The participants consisted of 377 adolescents aged 16 years old recruited from secondary schools located in the urban city of Federal Territory, Kuala Lumpur. This sample size was considered enough for conducting the EFA as suggested by Tabachnick, Fidell and Ullman (2007). The sites selected were the metropolitan areas of Malaysia and they represented adolescents from families who migrated from all over Malaysia. Although the Federal Territory of Kuala Lumpur holds the largest economy compared to other states in Malaysia based on gross domestic income and a high standard of living (Department of Statistics Malaysia, 2016), participants in this study represented adolescents from a variety of socio-economic groups. The selection of participants was in accord with the terms set by the Education Planning and Research Division, Ministry of Education Malaysia (EPRD, MOE), whereby only students who were not going to sit for national examinations were allowed to be recruited in any study. Hence, the form 4 students aged 16 years old attending public schools were recruited in this study.

Measure
The original Self-Compassion Scale (Neff, 2003) consisted of 26 questions in the form of a 5-point Likert scales ranging from 1-5 (1 = almost never to 5 = almost always). The SCS generates total score of six subscales reflecting the following structures: 1 (self-kindness), 2 (self-judgement), 3 (common humanity), 4 (isolation), 5 (mindfulness) and 6 (over-identification). The negative item should be reversed coded to get the total mean. Each subscale was added together to get the total mean. High scores indicated that the person was a very compassionate person, while the lower scores indicated low level of self-compassion.

Procedures
The approval to conduct this study was obtained from the Educational Planning and Research Division, Ministry of Education Malaysia (EPRD, MOE). The permission granted by EPRD was used to obtain the permission for data collection from the school principals. Integrity of translating SCS-MV was verified using the back translation technique (Brislin, 1970). An expert from the educational psychology background and independent translator translated the original SCS (Neff, 2003) into Bahasa Melayu. Then a third person translated this Bahasa
Melayu version back into English. Any difference in meanings and discrepancies with the original SCS were then adjusted in SCS-MV.

Prior to collecting the data, parents of the participants were consulted for permissions to include their children in this study. During data collection process the school counsellors placed the participants in a mass classroom where they were provided with information sheets about this study and briefed about the purpose of the study, the advantages/disadvantages of participating and the confidentiality of data collected. The participants took about 15 minutes to complete all the questionnaires and they received small tokens upon completing the questionnaires.

All of the completed questionnaires were keyed into SPSS software for analysis. To investigate psychometric properties of SCS-MV, statistical analyses involving checking for normality and sphericity assumptions, the mean scores, standard deviations, skewness and kurtosis of each item were conducted. The internal consistencies were then calculated using the IBM-SPSS AMOS 22. This procedure involved two stages: identifying the descriptive and inferential statistics.

**Results**

Our data showed that the EFA revealed six domains/components of self-compassion. Initially, the SCS-MV was measured by the 26 items that were labelled as SCS-MV1 to SCS-MV26. Responses of these items were based on 5-point Likert scale. The mean scores and the standard deviations for each item measuring the self-compassion construct are shown Table 1.

Then, the EFA procedure using Principal Component Analysis (PCA) with Varimax Rotation was carried out on the 26 items of SCS-MV. The findings from Table 2 showed that the Bartlett’s Test of Sphericity value was significant (p<0.05). The Measure of Sampling Adequacy by Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) = 0.75 also demonstrated good result, as it was above the minimum value of 0.60 for internal reliability (Awang, 2010; Hoque et al., 2016). Both of these achievements (significant Bartlett’s Test and KMO value > 0.6) demonstrated that the data was feasible for the next procedure in the Exploratory Factor Analysis (Awang, 2010; Hoque et al., 2016).
TABLE 1: Means and standard deviations for the SCS-MV items

| Item       | Mean | Standard Deviation | n  |
|------------|------|--------------------|----|
| SCS-MV5    | 4.14 | 1.04               | 377|
| SCS-MV12   | 3.92 | 0.97               | 377|
| SCS-MV19   | 4.03 | 1.08               | 377|
| SCS-MV23   | 4.11 | 1.06               | 377|
| SCS-MV26   | 4.17 | 0.74               | 377|
| SCS-MV1    | 3.71 | 0.81               | 377|
| SCS-MV8    | 3.75 | 0.85               | 377|
| SCS-MV11   | 3.74 | 0.92               | 377|
| SCS-MV16   | 3.78 | 0.88               | 377|
| SCS-MV21   | 3.78 | 0.93               | 377|
| SCS-MV3    | 3.16 | 0.77               | 377|
| SCS-MV7    | 3.29 | 0.91               | 377|
| SCS-MV10   | 3.22 | 0.96               | 377|
| SCS-MV15   | 3.21 | 0.89               | 377|
| SCS-MV4    | 3.11 | 0.82               | 377|
| SCS-MV13   | 3.24 | 0.78               | 377|
| SCS-MV18   | 3.20 | 0.79               | 377|
| SCS-MV25   | 2.93 | 0.88               | 377|
| SCS-MV9    | 2.30 | 1.06               | 377|
| SCS-MV14   | 2.34 | 1.02               | 377|
| SCS-MV17   | 2.68 | 1.20               | 377|
| SCS-MV22   | 3.69 | 0.91               | 377|
| SCS-MV6    | 3.71 | 0.83               | 377|
| SCS-MV2    | 3.62 | 0.80               | 377|
| SCS-MV20   | 3.50 | 0.90               | 377|
| SCS-MV24   | 3.16 | 0.93               | 377|

TABLE 2: Value of KMO and Bartlett’s Test

| Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy | 0.75 |
| Bartlett’s Test of Sphericity | Approx. Chi-Square | 1903.90 |
| df            | 325          |
| Sig.          | 0.000        |

The total value of variance estimated is essential for us to know the exact percentage of the items that can measure the self-compassion construct. Table 3 showed the total value of variance estimated by the SCS-MV subscales in measuring self-compassion. Details of the results showed that SCS-MV subscales was able to measure self-compassion construct via its six components, namely component 1 (self-kindness) measured 16.32%, component 2 (self-judgement) = 15.01%, component 3 (common humanity) = 11.03%, component 4 (isolation) = 9.78%, component 5 (mindfulness) = 8.79% and component 6 (over-identification) measured 8.10% of the constructs. The total estimated variance for the self-compassion
construct was 69.07%. This value represented a good confirmatory as it exceeded the minimum requirement of 60% from the total scale (Awang, 2010; 2012; Hoque et al., 2016).

Table 3 showed the distribution of items for the six components that measured the self-compassion construct consisting of SCS-MV1 to SCS-MV26. Component 1 – self kindness consisted of 5 items, component 2 – self-judgement also consisted of 5 items. Meanwhile, component 3 – common humanity and component 4 – isolation also consisted of 4 items respectively. Component 5 – mindfulness and component 6 – over-identification also
### TABLE 4: Distribution of self-compassion dimensions

**Rotated Component Matrix and the components**

| Items/Components | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   |
|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| SCS-MV5          | 0.89|     |     |     |     |     |
| SCS-MV12         | 0.95|     |     |     |     |     |
| SCS-MV19         | 0.91|     |     |     |     |     |
| SCS-MV23         | 0.88|     |     |     |     |     |
| SCS-MV26         | 0.88|     |     |     |     |     |
| SCS-MV1          |     | 0.75|     |     |     |     |
| SCS-MV8          |     | 0.85|     |     |     |     |
| SCS-MV11         |     | 0.84|     |     |     |     |
| SCS-MV16         |     | 0.83|     |     |     |     |
| SCS-MV21         |     | 0.85|     |     |     |     |
| SCS-MV3          |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| SCS-MV7          |     |     | 0.82|     |     |     |
| SCS-MV10         |     |     | 0.80|     |     |     |
| SCS-MV15         |     |     | 0.81|     |     |     |
| SCS-MV4          |     |     |     | 0.72|     |     |
| SCS-MV13         |     |     |     | 0.79|     |     |
| SCS-MV18         |     |     |     | 0.73|     |     |
| SCS-MV25         |     |     |     | 0.74|     |     |
| SCS-MV9          |     |     |     |     | 0.80|     |
| SCS-MV14         |     |     |     |     | 0.86|     |
| SCS-MV17         |     |     |     |     | 0.79|     |
| SCS-MV22         |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| SCS-MV6          |     |     |     |     | 0.81|     |
| SCS-MV2          |     |     |     |     | 0.65|     |
| SCS-MV20         |     |     |     |     | 0.79|     |
| SCS-MV24         |     |     |     |     | 0.75|     |

**Extraction Method:** Principal Component Analysis.
**Rotation Method:** Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.
a. Rotation converged in 6 iterations.

### TABLE 5: Reliability of the instrument

| No. | Components/Subscales | Number of items | Cronbach’s Alpha |
|-----|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| 1   | Component 1           | 5               | 0.95             |
| 2   | Component 2           | 5               | 0.90             |
| 3   | Component 3           | 4               | 0.79             |
| 4   | Component 4           | 4               | 0.78             |
| 5   | Component 5           | 3               | 0.78             |
| 6   | Component 6           | 3               | 0.76             |
| 1 to 6 | Total SCS-MV       | 24              | 0.80             |
consistent of 3 items each. Items of SCS-MV3 and SCS-MV22 did not represent any component and were removed due to low factor loadings. All items that represent each of the six components/subscales had weighting factors (Factor Loadings) exceeded the minimum limit of 0.60.

Next, the reliability was examined using the Cronbach’s Alpha to determine internal consistency of SCS-MV. The accepted value for Cronbach’s Alpha should exceed the minimum limit of 0.7 (Awang, 2010; Hoque et al., 2016). Table 5 showed the Cronbach’s Alpha values for all six components/subscales of SCS-MV, with all of them in the high range and exceeded the value of 0.75. The total score for SCS-MV also demonstrated high Cronbach’s Alpha of 0.80. However, the SCS-MV only retained 24 items instead of 26 items as proposed by the original SCS (Neff, 2003).

Discussion and Conclusion
In the current study, we examined the psychometric properties of the Malay Version of SCS (SCS-MV) subscales and its total score. Our findings showed that the internal consistencies calculated using the Cronbach’s alpha coefficients were high with α scores ranging from 0.76 to 0.95. Item descriptions were examined in terms of means, standard deviations, skewness and kurtosis. Subsequent to data transformation, all the data showed good normality and kurtosis values. This study demonstrated that SCS-MV that comprised of six original SCS subscales were able to measure the self-compassion construct. However, 2 items from the original SCS were omitted as they did not load on any of the Malay translated version of self-compassion subscales, hence leaving behind 24 items in SCS-MV. In conclusion, the Malay version of the SCS showed acceptable and adequate levels of internal consistency.

Results of EFA indicated that the SCS-MV conducted on Malaysian adolescents were able to retain the 6 components/subscales as originally postulated by Neff (2003). The SCS-MV demonstrated excellent psychometric properties in which all items highly loaded on the expected factors. The validity of the SCS-MV also presented results in the expected directions and offered support to the external validity of the instrument. These results were consistent with the original SCS (Neff, 2003) and all other adapted as well as translated versions of the SCS (Cleare, Gumley, Cleare & O’Connor, 2018; López et al., 2015; Neff, 2003; Raes, Pommier, Neff & Van Gucht, 2011).

In sum, this study showed that the Self-Compassion Scales - Malay Version (SCS-MV) is indeed a valid and reliable measure to evaluate the self-compassion construct among a sample of young Malaysian population. This provides a good starting point for further research on other sub-groups such as adult population. Future research should also be devoted to investigating the role of self-compassion construct on promoting psychological well-being among Malaysian population and possibly developing a model that shows the importance of self-compassion in influencing many aspects of our lives. For a start, future studies may need to confirm these initial findings and explore the new directions of self-compassion construct and SCS-MV using more advance statistical analyses such as Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) or Structural Equation Modelling (SEM).

This study may have some limitations. Firstly, data were gathered only from adolescents residing in the metropolitan area of Federal Territory, Kuala Lumpur. Results may not represent the true nature of Malaysian youth population as a large proportion of them resided in suburban or small town areas that span across the 14 states and 2 other federal territories in Malaysia. Secondly, all of the participants were 16 years old adolescents. This limitation was due to the ruling of EPRD, MOE which only allowed students who were not
sitting for national examination to participate in any study. We had option to include the form 1 and form 2 students aged between 13 and 14 years old to be the study participants as they were also not involved in national examination. However, we decided to recruit the older adolescents to be the study participants since the questionnaire may be more appropriate for them. This assumption was based on the original development of SCS that used undergraduate samples (Neff, 2015). Taking these limitations in mind, future studies may need to recruit a large number of adolescents and young adults from a variety of settings so that results can be generalizable to larger population.
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