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Abstract
Bibliometric is increasingly used for the analysis of discipline dynamics and management related decision-making. This study analyzes 937,923 keywords from 78,986 articles concerning forest ecology and conducts a serial analysis of these articles’ characteristics. The articles’ records, published between 2002 and 2011, were downloaded from the Web of Science, and their keywords were exported by Java processing programs. The result shows that forest ecology studies focused on forest diversity, conservation, dynamics and vegetation in the last decade. Developed countries, such as the USA, Canada, and Germany, were the most productive countries in the field of forest ecology research. From 2002 to 2011, the number of articles published annually related to forest ecology grew at a stable rate, as indicated by the fit produced by a high determination coefficient ($R^2 = 0.9955$). The findings of this study may be applicable for planning and managing forest ecology research and partners involved in such research may use this study as a reference.
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Introduction
Bibliometric analysis is an important part of reference and research services. Forest ecology is closely related to forest management and many studies have been performed from various perspectives, including studies of ecosystems at multiple forest spatial scales (Rodrigues et al. 2011; Sitzia et al. 2010), long term ecosystem change (Diaz et al. 2007; van Oudenhoven et al. 2012), climate change (Cheaib et al. 2012; Şekercioglu et al. 2012), soils (McLachlan and Bazely 2003; Wang et al. 2011), physiography (Morrissey et al. 2009; Rubio and Escudero 2005), carbon balance (Mitchell et al. 2009; Sillett et al. 2010), nutrient cycling (Berger et al. 2009; XU and Chen 2006), landscape ecology (Loucks et al. 2001; Wintle et al. 2005) and biodiversity (Hanberry et al. 2012; Lamb et al. 2005). In addition to these studies, a bibliometric analysis of global forest ecology could provide a fresh look at the current status of global forest ecology research and help identify hot spots.

In recent years, along with its continuously expanding range of application, bibliometric analysis plays an increasingly important role in management and decision-making in science and technology. It has been used to document the development of some research fields (Grandjean et al. 2011; Hendrix 2008; Narotsky et al. 2012; van Eck et al. 2010; van Raan 2006), including forestry (Dobbertin and Nobis 2010; Perez et al. 2004).

In this study, we perform a bibliometric analysis of forest ecology research over the last 10 years (2002–2011) aimed at (1) examining the temporal hot topics of forest ecology research by keyword frequency analysis, (2) revealing the distribution of articles by country/region, organization, funding agency, research area, author, year and publication name for articles covering forest ecology research and revealing advancements in forest ecological research, and (3) providing a new keywords frequency analysis method, which may benefit future research.

Materials and methodology
Data collection
Literature records, our analytical objects, were derived from the Web of Science, an online academic citation index database provided by Thomson Reuters. To define search terms, we used the “thesaurus” tool of Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux (CAB) Abstracts.

We conducted a search on the word “ecology” in CAB Abstracts and the search produced 41 terms, including 19 narrower terms and 22 other related terms (Figure 1).
| Narrower Terms | Hits |
|----------------|------|
| animal ecology | 6052 |
| autecology     | 1150 |
| chemical ecology | 733  |
| community ecology | 3503 |
| dendroecology  | 213  |
| fire ecology   | 1529 |
| forest ecology | 9340 |
| freshwater ecology | 2471 |
| human ecology  | 602  |
| landscape ecology | 4586 |
| marine ecology | 949  |
| microbial ecology | 7890 |
| palaeoecology  | 3505 |
| phenoology     | 13073|
| plant ecology  | 7454 |
| population ecology | 3293 |
| restoration ecology | 1668 |
| riparian ecology | 56  |
| synecology     | 4362 |

- [Related Terms]

| related terms | hits |
|---------------|------|
| biocenosis    | 344  |
| biodiversity  | 36118|
| bioenergetics | 536  |
| biogeography  | 3304 |
| ecological balance | 465  |
| ecological disturbance | 5000 |
| ecologists    | 12   |
| ecosystems    | 25391|
| ecotypes      | 3275 |
| environmental degradation | 8427 |
| environmental factors | 31508|
| food chains   | 1293 |
| food webs     | 2264 |
| habitats      | 43231|
| landscape     | 15144|
| lowland areas | 1719 |
| microenvironments | 228  |
| plant communities | 21514|
| populations   | 6551 |
| predator prey relationships | 2929 |
| species diversity | 37244 |
| species richness | 31934 |
We selected terms with more than 200 hits and used Microsoft Excel to rank them in descending order. We then removed the words “ecology” and “forest” from the Excel sheet and added the terms “climate,” “soils,” “physiography,” “carbon balance” and “nutrient cycling,” based on the concepts related to forest ecology defined by Barnes et al. (1997). Then, we defined the remaining 43 search terms and constructed a new search query. The search was limited to “article” type publications published between 1 January 2002 and 31 December 2011 in English.

The search query included 43 terms (see Appendix A). This query was run in Web of Science, which is a citation database of the Web of Knowledge, and a total of 78,986 forest ecology-related articles were identified.

Using the Web of Science’s analysis tools, we exported the 78,986 articles by country/region, organization, funding agency, research area, author, year, and publication. The statistical methods used by the Web of Science for the above statistical indicators of multi-author articles do not distinguish between the order of author’s locations, which may result the sum of these statistical result was greater than 78,986. The article records, including title, author, keywords, abstract, and organization, were exported in full record mode from the Web of Science to text files. A total of 158 text files were created, because the Web of Science limits each export to 500 records. In every text file, “author keywords” were marked by “DE,” and “keywords plus” were provided by the Web of Science and marked by “ID”. Both these two kinds of keywords were considered in this study.

Keywords analysis
First, the frequency of each keyword was counted in each text file. We developed a java program named count.java (Additional file 1: Appendix B) using Eclipse software, a famous cross-platform integrated development environment. This java program can find and select keywords in the output text file by identifying parameters, and connect each keyword to a long string, while deleting the carriage returns. After detection, the keywords in the string were split by semicolons, and counted using HashMap traversal algorithm. The HashMap traversal result was saved to an array, sorted by the counters, and exported into a result file.

Second, the 158 intermediate files were merged, and the frequency of each keyword was counted. We developed a java program named merge.java (Additional file 1: Appendix C) using Eclipse software. When this program was run, the intermediate files defined in the input parameters were opened, and the keywords and their counters were saved to a HashMap. Then the keywords were counted again with HashMap traversal algorithm: the counters of the same keywords were added. Then, the HashMap traversal result was saved to an array, sorted by the counters, and exported into a result file.

Third, we developed a program (Additional file 1: Appendix D) to create a java package named frequency.jar to store the compiled java class files which were produced by compiling count.java and merge.java.

Fourth, we developed a batch program named count.bat (Additional file 1: Appendix E) to call the count.class with the input parameters “DE” and “ID”. All 158 intermediate files were processed one by one. As a result, 158 intermediate files were created.

Fifth, we developed another batch program named merge.bat (Additional file 1: Appendix F) to call the merge.class with the input parameters, that is, the 158 intermediate files, to merge them. As a result, a final file was created, in which all keywords in 78,986 articles were counted and sorted.

After data processing, 937,923 keywords from those 78,986 articles were merged into 150,974 keywords. All of the keywords were sorted in reverse order based on their frequencies. The 100 most frequently used keywords became the focus of our study.

Results
Keywords analysis results
To narrow the research scope, the 100, 200, 300 most frequently used keywords were selected and analyzed. As a result, the 100 most frequently used keywords, 0.07% of the 150,974 unique keywords analyzed here represented 18.54% of the total (937,923) of all keywords harvested (Table 1). We focused on the top 100 keywords to examine the hot topics of forest ecology research (Table 2).

Articles analysis result
By country/region
The 78,986 articles were analyzed by countries or regions and sorted in reverse order by their total numbers and Table 3 lists the results for the top 20 countries. We supplemented a column in the original table and classified these 20 countries/regions by their respective continents, which showed that North America and 12 European countries had about 44.71% and 42.35% of all the articles, respectively, indicating published articles related to forest ecology in North America and Europe predominate.

| Keywords number | Keywords ratio | Keywords frequencies | Frequencies Ratio  |
|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| 100             | 0.07%(100/150974) | 173925              | 18.54%(173925/937923) |
| 200             | 0.13%(200/150974) | 233042              | 24.85%(233042/937923) |
| 300             | 0.20%(300/150974) | 271233              | 28.92%(271233/937923) |
| Keywords                        | Frequencies |
|--------------------------------|-------------|
| forest                         | 9302        |
| diversity                      | 5424        |
| conservation                   | 5135        |
| dynamics                       | 4886        |
| vegetation                     | 4720        |
| biodiversity                   | 4613        |
| patterns                       | 4166        |
| growth                         | 4069        |
| rain-forest                    | 3253        |
| management                     | 3236        |
| nitrogen                       | 3136        |
| forests                        | 3069        |
| soil                           | 2793        |
| ecology                        | 2677        |
| communities                    | 2596        |
| carbon                         | 2568        |
| climate-change                 | 2412        |
| ecosystems                     | 2407        |
| disturbance                    | 2389        |
| species richness               | 2381        |
| boreal forest                  | 2334        |
| landscape                      | 2180        |
| biomass                        | 2130        |
| model                          | 2100        |
| climate                        | 2095        |
| fire                           | 2043        |
| abundance                      | 1855        |
| united-states                  | 1849        |
| habitat                        | 1846        |
| temperature                    | 1824        |
| plants                         | 1782        |
| organic-matter                 | 1755        |
| populations                    | 1733        |
| decomposition                  | 1603        |
| climate change                 | 1599        |
| dispersal                      | 1590        |
| responses                      | 1576        |
| regeneration                   | 1531        |
| tropical forest                | 1513        |
| land-use                       | 1509        |
| habitat fragmentation          | 1495        |
| trees                          | 1486        |
| fragmentation                  | 1473        |
| forest soils                   | 1441        |
| evolution                      | 1408        |
| succession                     | 1384        |
| deforestation                  | 1375        |
| ecosystem                      | 1362        |
| birds                          | 1333        |
| population                     | 1276        |
| competition                    | 1273        |
| water                          | 1235        |
| variability                    | 1210        |
| deciduous forest               | 1190        |
| forest management              | 1189        |
| community structure            | 1178        |
| behavior                       | 1140        |
| community                      | 1131        |
| restoration                    | 1127        |
| tropical forests               | 1107        |
| photosynthesis                 | 1093        |
| seed dispersal                 | 1081        |
| usa                            | 1067        |
| productivity                   | 1054        |
| microbial biomass              | 1040        |
| density                        | 1034        |
| impact                         | 1019        |
| brazil                         | 1018        |
| models                         | 988         |
| carbon-dioxide                 | 978         |
| phosphorus                     | 971         |
| size                           | 971         |
| predation                      | 947         |
| classification                 | 943         |
| respiration                    | 932         |
| scale                          | 927         |
| drought                        | 920         |
| national-park                  | 918         |
| plant                          | 910         |
| selection                      | 909         |
| tree                           | 902         |
| deposition                     | 889         |
| history                        | 888         |
| recruitment                    | 875         |
| norway spruce                  | 874         |
| soil respiration               | 870         |
The combined frequency of keywords related to tropical forest, represented by “rain-forest” (3,253), “tropical forest” (1,513), “tropical forests” (1,107), and “tropical rain-forest” (839), totaled 6,712 keyword entries, which was exceeded only by the keyword “forest” with 9,302 entries (Table 2). This indicates that tropical forest was the main focus of research in forest ecology studies. Tropical forest is mainly distributed in Southeast Asia, Central America, South America, Australia, Africa. However, the main countries with strong research capabilities related to tropical forest research were not located in those areas, but were found in North America and Europe.

The combined frequency of keywords related to tropical forest, represented by “rain-forest” (3,253), “tropical forest” (1,513), “tropical forests” (1,107), and “tropical rain-forest” (839), totaled 6,712 keyword entries, which was exceeded only by the keyword “forest” with 9,302 entries (Table 2). This indicates that tropical forest was the main focus of research in forest ecology studies. Tropical forest is mainly distributed in Southeast Asia, Central America, South America, Australia, Africa. However, the main countries with strong research capabilities related to tropical forest research were not located in those areas, but were found in North America and Europe.

### Table 2 The top 100 keywords in forest ecology articles indexed using the Web of Science during 2002–2011 (Continued)

| Rank | Keyword            | Frequency |
|------|--------------------|-----------|
| 87   | australia          | 868       |
| 88   | consequences       | 864       |
| 89   | tropical rain-forest | 839   |
| 90   | survival           | 834       |
| 91   | quality            | 830       |
| 92   | mexico             | 819       |
| 93   | costa-rica         | 813       |
| 94   | impacts            | 812       |
| 95   | new-zealand        | 796       |
| 96   | forest soil        | 794       |
| 97   | mortality          | 788       |
| 98   | soils              | 787       |
| 99   | grassland          | 786       |
| 100  | assemblages        | 785       |

### Table 3 Top 20 countries/regions publishing articles on forest ecology indexed using the web of science during 2002–2011

| Countries/Regions  | Records | Ratio (%) | Continents       |
|--------------------|---------|-----------|------------------|
| USA                | 28060   | 35.53     | North America    |
| Canada             | 7255    | 9.19      | North America    |
| Germany            | 6311    | 7.99      | Europe           |
| Brazil             | 4561    | 5.77      | Africa           |
| Australia          | 4375    | 5.54      | Australia        |
| England            | 4229    | 5.35      | Europe           |
| Peoples R China    | 4122    | 5.22      | Asia             |
| France             | 3930    | 4.98      | Europe           |
| Japan              | 3504    | 4.44      | Asia             |
| Spain              | 3402    | 4.31      | Europe           |
| Sweden             | 2708    | 3.43      | Europe           |
| Finland            | 2417    | 3.06      | Europe           |
| Italy              | 2230    | 2.82      | Europe           |
| Netherlands        | 1921    | 2.43      | Europe           |
| Switzerland        | 1871    | 2.37      | Europe           |
| India              | 1798    | 2.28      | Asia             |
| Mexico             | 1572    | 1.99      | South America    |
| Russia             | 1554    | 1.97      | Europe           |
| Scotland           | 1455    | 1.84      | Europe           |
| New Zealand        | 1421    | 1.80      | Europe           |

### Table 4 Top 20 organizations publishing articles on forest ecology indexed using the web of science during 2002–2011

| Organizations | Records | Ratio (%) | Countries       |
|---------------|---------|-----------|-----------------|
| Univ Calif System | 2749 | 3.48 | USA             |
| Chinese Acad SCI | 2359 | 2.99 | China           |
| US Forest Serv | 2203 | 2.79 | USA             |
| Swedish Univ Agr SCI | 1342 | 1.70 | Sweden          |
| Oregon State Univ | 1200 | 1.52 | USA             |
| Univ Helsinki | 1055    | 1.34 | Finland         |
| Univ British Columbia | 1008 | 1.28 | Canada          |
| Univ Wisconsin System | 978 | 1.24 | USA             |
| Univ Alberta | 973     | 1.23 | Canada          |
| Russian Acad SCI | 925 | 1.17 | Russia          |
| Univ Florida | 905     | 1.15 | USA             |
| USDA | 905     | 1.15 | USA             |
| Univ Sao Paulo | 896 | 1.13 | Brazil          |
| US Geol Survey | 883 | 1.12 | USA             |
| Univ Fed Santa Maria | 868 | 1.10 | Brazil          |
| Smithsonian Inst | 867 | 1.10 | USA             |
| Max Planck Society | 808 | 1.02 | Germany         |
| Univ Gottingen | 785     | 0.99 | Germany         |
| INRA | 771     | 0.98 | France          |
| CSIC | 766     | 0.97 | Spain           |

USDA United States department of agriculture, INRA Institut National de la recherche agronomique, CSIC consejo superior de investigaciones científicas.
Table 5 The 15 most productive agencies funding forest ecology research indexed by the web of science during 2002–2011

| Funding agencies | Articles number | Ratio (%) | Countries          |
|------------------|----------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 1 CNPq            | 2240           | 2.84      | USA                |
| 2 Chinese Academy | 831            | 1.05      | China              |
| 3 Natural Sciences | 807            | 1.02      | Canada             |
| 4 NASA            | 744            | 0.94      | Brazil             |
| 5 Academy of Finland | 601          | 0.76      | EU                 |
| 6 CAPES           | 372            | 0.47      | China              |
| 7 NOAA            | 337            | 0.43      | USA                |
| 8 European Commission | 311          | 0.39      | Finland            |
| 9 Australian Research Council | 265 | 0.34 | Australia |
| 10 Russian Foundation for Basic Research | 221 | 0.28 | Brazil |
| 11 National Basic Research Program of China | 196 | 0.25 | China |
| 12 FAPESP         | 192            | 0.24      | Brazil             |
| 13 Russian Foundation for Basic Research | 185 | 0.23 | Russia |
| 14 USDA Forest Service | 172 | 0.22 | USA |

Table 6 The top 20 research areas related to forest ecology indexed using the web of science during 2002–2011

| Research areas                        | Articles number | Ratio (%) |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| 1 Environmental Sciences Ecology      | 31172           | 39.47     |
| 2 Forestry                            | 13164           | 16.67     |
| 3 Agriculture                         | 8354            | 10.58     |
| 4 Plant Sciences                      | 8027            | 10.16     |
| 5 Zoology                             | 6470            | 8.19      |
| 6 Biodiversity Conservation            | 6005            | 7.60      |
| 7 Geology                             | 5660            | 7.17      |
| 8 Meteorology Atmospheric Sciences    | 3654            | 4.63      |
| 9 Physical Geography                  | 3453            | 4.37      |
| 10 Water Resources                    | 2521            | 3.19      |
| 11 Marine Freshwater Biology          | 2271            | 2.88      |
| 12 Entomology                         | 2176            | 2.76      |
| 13 Engineering                        | 1981            | 2.51      |
| 14 Life Sciences Biomedicine Other Topics | 1650           | 2.09      |
| 15 Evolutionary Biology               | 1631            | 2.07      |
| 16 Remote Sensing                     | 1611            | 2.04      |
| 17 Science Technology Other Topics    | 1319            | 1.67      |
| 18 Biochemistry Molecular Biology      | 1269            | 1.61      |
| 19 Imaging Science Photographic Technology | 1205        | 1.53      |
| 20 Genetics Heredity                  | 1079            | 1.37      |

Table 7 The 20 most productive authors of research papers related to forest ecology indexed using the Web of Science during 2002–2011

| Authors          | Authors' countries | Articles number | Ratio (%) |
|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| 1 Bergeron Y     | Canada             | 146             | 0.19      |
| 2 Kulmala M      | Finland            | 123             | 0.16      |
| 3 Hermy M        | Belgium            | 114             | 0.14      |
| 4 Lindenmayer DB | Australia          | 110             | 0.14      |
| 5 Black TA       | Canada             | 103             | 0.13      |
| 6 Coops NC       | Canada             | 95              | 0.12      |
| 7 Asner GP       | USA                | 91              | 0.12      |
| 8 Verheyen K     | Belgium            | 91              | 0.12      |
| 9 Reich PB       | USA                | 87              | 0.11      |
| 10 Penuelas J    | Spain              | 85              | 0.11      |
| 11 Vesala T      | Finland            | 85              | 0.11      |
| 12 Leuschner C   | Germany            | 81              | 0.10      |
| 13 Peres CA      | England            | 81              | 0.10      |
| 14 Chen JM       | Canada             | 80              | 0.10      |
| 15 Ciais P       | France             | 80              | 0.10      |
| 16 Groffman PM   | USA                | 79              | 0.10      |
| 17 Law BE        | USA                | 78              | 0.10      |
| 18 Malhi Y       | England            | 78              | 0.10      |
| 19 Fahey TJ      | USA                | 77              | 0.10      |
| 20 Yu GR         | China              | 77              | 0.10      |

(CNPq), the European Union (EU), and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC).

The National Science Foundation (USA), National Natural Science Foundation of China (China), Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (Canada), Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (Brazil), and European Commission were more prolific in forest ecology than other funding agencies. Combining the number of articles in Table 5 by country/region demonstrates that the USA (2,769), China (1,399),

Table 8 Annual number of articles on forest ecology indexed using the Web of Science during 2002–2011

| Years | Articles number | Ratio (%) |
|-------|-----------------|-----------|
| 1     | 2002            | 5245      | 6.64      |
| 2     | 2003            | 5729      | 7.25      |
| 3     | 2004            | 6250      | 7.91      |
| 4     | 2005            | 6816      | 8.63      |
| 5     | 2006            | 7555      | 9.57      |
| 6     | 2007            | 8098      | 10.25     |
| 7     | 2008            | 8970      | 11.36     |
| 8     | 2009            | 9311      | 11.79     |
| 9     | 2010            | 10096     | 12.78     |
| 10    | 2011            | 10915     | 13.82     |
Brazil (1,157), Canada (807), and EU (601) were also the top five countries/regions and provided more financial aid to forest ecology research than other countries.

By research area
In the analysis, forest ecology was related to 72 research areas identified by the Web of Science data. Table 6 lists the top 20 research areas and clearly shows that forest ecology studies were related to a wide range of disciplines. Environmental sciences ecology (31,172 or 39.47% of all articles), forestry (13,164, 16.67%), agriculture (8,354, 10.58%), and plant sciences (8,027, 10.16%) were the top four major related research areas.

Table 9 The top 20 journals related to forest ecology analyzed using the Web of Science during 2002–2011

| Publications                                    | Articles number | Ratio (%) |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| 1 Forest Ecology and Management                 | 3876            | 4.91      |
| 2 Canadian Journal of Forest Research           | 1399            | 1.77      |
| 3 Biological Conservation                       | 933             | 1.18      |
| 4 Soil Biology Biochemistry                      | 929             | 1.18      |
| 5 Biodiversity and Conservation                 | 928             | 1.18      |
| 6 Global Change Biology                         | 824             | 1.04      |
| 7 Ecology                                       | 750             | 0.95      |
| 8 Oecologia                                     | 741             | 0.94      |
| 9 Biotropica                                    | 666             | 0.84      |
| 10 Plant and Soil                               | 653             | 0.83      |
| 11 Ecological Applications                      | 636             | 0.81      |
| 12 Plant Ecology                                | 614             | 0.78      |
| 13 Ecological Modeling                          | 599             | 0.76      |
| 14 Remote Sensing of Environment                | 598             | 0.76      |
| 15 Agricultural and Forest Meteorology          | 589             | 0.75      |
| 16 Journal of Tropical Ecology                  | 543             | 0.69      |
| 17 Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres  | 523             | 0.66      |
| 18 Conservation Biology                         | 516             | 0.65      |
| 19 Journal of Biogeography                      | 510             | 0.65      |
| 20 Tree Physiology                              | 508             | 0.64      |

By author
A total of 48,373 authors participated in forest ecology related studies. Among the 20 authors publishing the most articles, five were from the USA, four were from Canada, and two each were from Belgium, Finland, and England (Table 7).

By year
From 2002 to 2011, the annual number of published articles about forest ecology was growing at a stable rate (Table 8), as the fit produced a high determination coefficient from the collected data ($R^2 = 0.9955$). The best fit for forest ecology was found to be: $y = 629.75x - 1.2557e+06$, where $y$ is the article number and $x$ is the number of years since 2002. Extrapolating from the model, the number of articles about forest ecology in the following years could be forecasted (Figure 2).

By publication
The number of journals publishing forest ecology related articles each year increased from 430 in 2002 to 856 in 2011. Table 9 shows the top 20 major journals indicating that *Forest Ecology and Management* (3,876, 4.91%) was the top journal on forest ecology by article count, followed by *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* (1,399, 1.77%) and *Biological Conservation* (1,399, 1.77%).

Discussion
The results of this study pointed to several significant hotspots in global research related to forest ecology based on an analysis of article keywords for articles published during 2002–2011, and revealed the distribution of the articles from seven aspects listed above. The keyword analysis method and the java analysis program could be extended to other related research fields.

In the keywords analysis, we presumed that a keyword appeared only once in the keywords list of an article (Campbell 1963). Therefore the frequency of a keyword could show the number of articles that had used this keyword. For example, the frequency of “forest” was 9,302, meaning that 9,302 articles had used “forest” as a keyword in 73,740 articles.

It was undisputed that “forest” was the most frequently used keyword (9,302 articles). Most writers used this word to express the concept of “forest” instead of its plural “forests”; therefore, “forest” appeared in articles three times more than “forests” (3,069). The next four most frequently used words were “diversity” (5,424), “conservation” (5,135), “dynamics” (4,886), and “vegetation” (4,720) indicating forest diversity, forest conservation, forest dynamics and forest vegetation were the focus of forest ecological studies.

The frequency of “patterns” (4,166), “model” (2,100), and “models” (988) demonstrated that these words were
widely used in forest developmental pattern and model studies. The keywords “management” (3,236), “ecology” (2,677), “ecosystems” (2,407), and “ecosystem” (1,362) were also frequently used in macro research (9,682 times), accounting for 1.03% in all keywords indicating large numbers of studies had been carried out in these aspects of forest research in last ten years.

USA” (2,916), “Brazil” (1,018), “Australia” (868), “Mexico” (819), “Costa Rica” (813) and “New Zealand” (796) appeared more frequently than the names of other countries showing that many studies focused on those countries. During the early twenty-first century, the warm droughts in the United States, Europe and Australia have been recognized as a considerable change from the climatological conditions and variability of the late twentieth century (Dai 2011), and the focus of forest ecology studies in those regions were impacted accordingly. From a regional point of view, we can see that the total frequencies of “rain-forest” (3,253), “tropical forests” (1,107), and “tropical forest” (1,513) were 5,873, 2.5 times more frequent than “boreal forest” (2,334), indicating that forest ecology studies concerning tropical forests were produced more frequently than those related to boreal forests.

In 2005, large-scale, warm droughts occurred in North America, Africa, Europe, Amazonia and Australia, resulting in major effects on terrestrial ecosystems, carbon balance and food security (Breshears 2005). The words “nitrogen” (3,136), “carbon” (2,568), and “phosphorus” (971) were used frequently in the studies concerning elemental nutrients. There were numerous studies related to how the climate is affecting forest ecology, as indicated by the frequencies of “climate-change”, “climate”, and “climate change,” which were 2,412, 2,095 and 1,599, respectively.

This study did reveal some problem areas. Some keywords were not being used consistently, such as soil, soils, forest soil and forest soils, which all pointed to the same thing: forest soil. Another example was that tropical forest and tropical forests also expressed similar meanings. The use of multiple keywords for a single concept might be related to the writing styles and habits of different authors, but this creates difficulty in statistical analysis.

The USA, Canada, and Germany were the top three most productive countries of forest ecology related research. The most three productive organizations were the University of California System, Chinese Academy of Sciences, and the US Forest Service. The three most productive funding agencies were the National Science Foundation, the National Natural Science Foundation of China, and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. Environmental science / ecology, forestry, and agriculture were the top three most popular categories. The spatial clusters of authors were mainly in the USA and Canada. Forest Ecology and Management, Canadian Journal of Forest Research, and Biological Conservation were the top three journals with the most publications related to forest ecology research. In the article analysis, the results by country/region, organization, funding agency, author distribution, and sources titles, was clustered in developed countries, apparently because these countries have economic strength required to invest in science and technology.

In this study, the limitations of search term expressions and the English language made it impossible to include all related keywords in the field of forest ecology research, especially in other languages. This study did not analyze the effects of cooperation between authors and joint papers by authors from multiple nations. In the journal sort, the impact factor of the journal was not considered.

Conclusions
A serial java program was developed and applied to conduct keyword frequency analysis. That improved the efficiency of data processing and provided an analysis method. Keyword analysis offered insight into forest ecology research areas of interest, while the abundance of less frequent keywords suggested a lack of continuity in research and a wide disparity in the focus of forest ecology research. The top 100 keywords in the keyword analysis were almost all included in the top 20 research areas in the article analysis, so one could conclude that keyword frequency analysis is consistent with article research area analysis. Their difference is the former is concrete and the latter is abstract.

Appendix A
(TS = (habitats) or TS = (species diversity) or TS = (biodiversity) or TS = (species richness) or TS = (environmental factors) or TS = (ecosystems) or TS = (plant communities) or TS = (landscape) or TS = (phenology) or TS = (environmental degradation) or TS = (plant) or TS = (populations) or TS = (animal) or TS = (ecological disturbance) or TS = (landscape) or TS = (synecology) or TS = (palaeo ecology) or TS = (community) or TS = (biogeography) or TS = (population) or TS = (ecotypes) or TS = (predator prey relationships) or TS = (microbial) or TS = (freshwater) or TS = (food webs) or TS = (lowland areas) or TS = (restoration) or TS = (fire) or TS = (food chains) or TS = (autecology) or TS = (marine) or TS = (chemical) or TS = (human) or TS = (bioenergetics) or TS = (ecological balance) or TS = (bio coenosis) or TS = (microenvironments) or TS = (dendro ecology) or TS = (climate) or TS = (soils) or TS = (physiography) or TS = (carbon balance) or TS = (nutrient cycling) and (TS = (forest)).
Additional file

Additional file 1: Appendix B: count.java, Appendix C: merge.java, Appendix D: makejar.bat, Appendix E: count.bat, and Appendix F: merge.bat.
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