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Abstract: Cardiovascular disease is the leading cause of death in the United States. Physical activity (PA) is a known preventative factor. It is recommended that children participate in 60 minutes of PA daily, but most do not meet these guidelines. Further, boys, aged 8-17 years, spend more time in PA than girls of the same age. The purposes of this study were to identify gender differences in PA beliefs and practices among fourth and fifth graders and to determine when gender disparities in self-confidence regarding PA and fitness occur. Subjects were 41 fourth (19 boys; 22 girls) and 33 fifth (16 boys; 17 girls) graders in a public elementary school in the rural northwest. They participated in the FitnessGram, a nationwide assessment of flexibility, aerobic capacity, and muscular strength and endurance, and a proctored survey about their PA beliefs, self-confidence, and participation. There were no differences in FitnessGram data between boys and girls for aerobic capacity or muscular strength and endurance, but girls had increased flexibility when compared to boys in both grades. Importantly, survey results showed fifth grade girls had less confidence they could improve their physical fitness (p = 0.002) or their overall health (p = 0.004) when compared to fourth grade girls. Research is needed to determine how these changes in self-confidence contribute to the gender gap in time spent in PA. We recommend physical education programs throughout all grades teach healthy behaviors, including time spent in PA, and work to build and maintain self-confidence in girls.
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1. Introduction

In the United States, cardiovascular disease is the leading cause of death for people of all ages and most racial and ethnic groups and in 2018, more than 868,000 people died from cardiac-related events. Direct and indirect costs of cardiovascular disease, which include health care service, medicine, and lost productivity, totaled $363.4 billion in 2016-17 [1]. Risk factors for cardiovascular disease include: smoking, high blood pressure, high cholesterol, being overweight or obese, diabetes, eating an unhealthy diet, excessive alcohol use, and physical inactivity [1]. On the other hand, physical activity (PA) and physical fitness have been identified as preventative factors for cardiovascular disease and other chronic ailments [2]. These risk factors and preventative factors may start in childhood and carry over into adulthood [3, 4]. Therefore, it is imperative that children are taught healthy habits early and that they meet daily and weekly PA recommendations. The current PA recommendations, which were updated in 2007 by the American College of Sports Medicine and the American Heart Association, state that healthy adults participate in a minimum of 30 minutes of moderate-intensity aerobic exercise on 5 days each week or a minimum of 20 minutes of high-intensity aerobic exercise on 3 days per week in addition to muscle-strengthening activities on two or more days per week [5]. Based on a nationally representative sample in 2018, only 24.0% of healthy adults met these guidelines [6]. The recommendation for children and adolescents ages 6 through 17 years is that they participate in 60 minutes or more of moderate-to-vigorous PA daily, which should include aerobic, muscle-strengthening, and bone-strengthening movements [7]. As with adults, most children and adolescents are not meeting these recommendations.
According to the 2019 High School Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS), 64.7% of girls and 47.2% of boys were not physically active at least 60 minutes per day on 5 or more days per week and 84.6% of girls and 69.1% of boys were not physically active at least 60 minutes per day on all 7 days [8]. Further, for both time periods, girls were significantly more likely than boys to not be physically active. Other questions on the YRBS pertain to physical education (PE) class attendance. 2019 survey results showed 51.4% of girls and 44.6% of boys did not attend PE class on one or more occasions per school week, while 77.2% of girls and 71.1% of boys did not attend a single PE class during an average school week. Again, girls were significantly more likely than boys to not attend PE class on both one or more days per week and on all 5 days of an average school week [8]. Data from the 2019 Middle School YRBS showed similar results [9].

In the state where our study was conducted, 54.7% of girls and 43.1% of boys were not physically active for at least 60 minutes per day on 5 or more days per week. Further, 77.7% of girls and 64.4% of boys were not physically active at least 60 minutes per day on all 7 days. Gender differences over both 5 or more days and 7 days were statistically significant [9]. Data from our state about PE class attendance was not available. The 2019 YRBS data corroborates that as students advance through school, their participation in daily PA decreases and significant gender disparities in PA are evident in both middle and high school [10-14].

In fact, gender disparities in PA begin in elementary school and sometimes, even earlier [10, 14, 15]. Boys, 8-17 years, spend more time in PA than girls of the same age [11, 16]. Data shows that girls’ participation in organized sport is less than that of boys’ participation [17]. Additionally, studies have shown that girls are less active during unstructured recess, free play before school, and free play at lunchtime [18, 19]. While studies comparing activity levels of middle school boys and girls during organized school PE have been conflicting, results of one study showed boys were more active in PE than girls [18, 20]. Social, cultural, and biological factors contribute to this gender gap [21]. One study in adolescents aged 12-16 years found that boys received more peer support to engage in after-school and weekend PA than girls [22]. This is important because parental and peer encouragement to participate in PA has been linked to an increase in PA outside of school [23]. It has also been shown that children aged 3-18 years with more active parents are more active themselves [24-26]. Finally, the biological effects of puberty may also contribute to the gender gap in PA [16, 29, 30]. The increase in girls’ fat mass and boys’ muscle mass can affect balance and coordination, motor skills, and cardiorespiratory fitness, all of which could affect an individual’s sport and PA confidence and preference [16, 27-29].

Psychological factors also affect PA behavior. According to social cognitive theory, self-efficacy (confidence in one’s capabilities) is an important determinant of motivation and action: in this case the action is PA and exercise [30]. A study conducted in middle school students showed that self-esteem, perceived benefits of exercise, perceived athletic ability, and belief in one’s ability to maintain commitments all positively correlated with current exercise behavior and exercise intent [31]. More importantly, of these factors, perceived benefits of exercise and self-esteem showed the strongest correlation. We previously showed that women in college had less self-confidence than men in their abilities to increase PA and improve physical fitness. Women in college also perceived barriers to PA to be more discouraging and cues to action as less encouraging than men [32]. Together, these studies suggest that confidence levels and self-efficacy, in addition to social, cultural, and biological determinants, contribute to the gender gap in PA practices. Further, the contribution of confidence and self-efficacy to PA behavior and the gender disparities in PA behavior begin at an early age.

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to identify gender differences in PA beliefs and practices among elementary school fourth and fifth grade students. We also sought to determine when gender disparities in self-confidence regarding PA and fitness begin to occur. Through surveys and fitness assessments, we compiled data about elementary school students’ PA patterns and attitudes as well as their perceived barriers to and cues to action for PA. These findings help elucidate how PA beliefs and perceived self-efficacy contribute to the gender gap in time spent in PA. The results of this study can be translated into PE curriculum design and into school and after-school planning so that students, especially girls, are taught and encouraged to build and maintain self-confidence in themselves and self-efficacy in their abilities to maintain PA throughout their lifespan.

2. Materials and Methods

This protocol was approved by the Bridgewater College Institutional Review Board. Guardian informed
consent and personal informed assent was obtained from all subjects. Subjects were fourth and fifth grade students enrolled in a public elementary school in rural, Northwestern Virginia. Data was collected over two subsequent academic years (2017-2019). This study consisted of two parts: the FitnessGram fitness assessment and a proctored PA behaviors survey. All testing was conducted during the subjects’ designated PE class time over several weeks in the Spring. Descriptions of the individual protocol components follow.

Data from the Virginia Department of Education Fitness Assessment, also known as the FitnessGram, was collected. All our measurements are listed in inches, which follows FitnessGram guidelines set by the Virginia Department of Education. This assessment is conducted annually in all Virginia elementary schools. For fourth and fifth graders, the fitness assessment measures aerobic capacity, flexibility, muscular strength, muscular endurance, and body composition. The specific tests performed were the Flexed Arm Hang, Trunk Lift, and Curl-up to assess upper body and core muscular endurance; the Progressive Aerobic Cardiovascular Endurance Run (PACER) to assess cardiorespiratory endurance; and the Back-Saver Sit-and-Reach and Shoulder Stretch to assess flexibility [33]. During the Flexed Arm Hang, students hung from a bar with their gripped hands’ palms forward and their chins above the bar for as long as possible. To perform the Trunk Lift, students started by lying on a mat in a prone position (facedown). Toes were pointed and hands were placed under the thighs. The students lifted the upper body off the floor, in a slow and controlled manner, to a maximum height of 12 inches. The head was maintained in neutral alignment with the spine and the position was held long enough to allow the evaluator to measure the distance in inches from the floor to the student’s chin. For the Curl-up (crunches) test, students completed as many consecutive repetitions as possible at a cadence of 20 curl-ups per minute with correct form until either the second correction or 75 curl-ups have been completed. Correct form consisted of the student lying on their back on a mat with their knees bent and feet flat on the floor. Arms were by their sides with palms facing down. To perform a curl-up, students lifted their torsos and heads off the ground while sliding their arms across the floor. Their fingers needed to travel 4.5 inches across the floor before returning their heads and torsos to the mat. Form errors included: not lifting the torso, lifting the feet, not returning to the original position between repetitions, and not following the cadence. To complete the PACER test, students ran back and forth across a 20-meter distance at a specified pace that increased as the test progressed. When the student could no longer keep pace with the beeps of a standardized audio track for two subsequent times, the test ended and the number of 20-meter repetitions was recorded. This number was then used to estimate maximal oxygen consumption (VO2max). Finally, during the Back-Saver Sit-and-Reach, students removed their shoes and sat on the ground with one leg extended in front of them and their foot flat against the sit-and-reach box used to measure flexibility. The other leg was bent at the knee with the foot flat on the ground. The student then reached their fingers towards their toes as far as possible without bending the extended knee. The distance was measured in inches on the sit-and-reach box. The test was conducted twice, once with each leg bent. For the Shoulder Stretch, students touched their fingertips together behind their backs by reaching over one shoulder and under the other arm’s elbow while standing. This test was completed twice, once on each side. A passing score was given if students could touch fingers on both sides [33].

In addition to participating in the FitnessGram, subjects also completed a proctored, 13-question survey. Most questions on this survey were answered on a 10 cm Likert scale that ranged from Low to High (Table 1). The survey determined students’ beliefs about and knowledge of PA, self-confidence in their physical fitness and ability to change, and PA practices. Additionally, the survey identified cues to action for and barriers to PA changes in the elementary school student population. This questionnaire was administered during PE class times and took approximately 30 minutes to complete. At the beginning of the survey, students were asked to self-report grade level and gender. Questions 1-11 were written to determine the values and beliefs that guide the student’s PA behavior and were based on the Health Belief Model (HBM). The HBM proposes that people are more inclined to engage in healthy behaviors when they think doing so can reduce a threat [34, 35]. In this survey, the healthy behavior was PA while the threat was an unhealthy heart. Students provided information about the perceived benefits of and barriers to PA (taking action) as well as the perceived likelihood of developing an unhealthy heart. Questions 1-11 also determined how cues to action and self-efficacy helped guide PA behavior.
Data was analyzed using GraphPad Prism (version 9.1.2 for Windows). Independent variables were grade level and gender. All data is reported as average ± standard error of means (SEM). FitnessGram data was analyzed by one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons and chi squared tests. Survey responses on questions 1-11 were measured and reported to the nearest half centimeter on the Likert scale and then analyzed using one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons and two-way ANOVA. Questions 12 and 13 were analyzed by chi squared tests. Alpha was set at 0.05 for all statistical analysis.

### 3. Results and Discussion

Forty-one fourth grade students (19 boys and 22 girls) and 33 fifth grade students (16 boys and 17 girls) participated in this study.

Seventeen students (9 boys and 8 girls) participated in the study in both fourth and fifth grade.

Overall, there were no differences in FitnessGram data between fourth grade boys and girls for the PACER, Flexed Arm Hang, Curl-up, Trunk Lift, or Shoulder Stretch assessments (Table 2). However, girls performed better on the left and right-side Sit-and-Reach (p = 0.022 and p = 0.033, respectively), which measures lumbar and hamstring muscle flexibility. Fifth grade FitnessGram data was similar; girls performed better on the left and right-side Sit-and-Reach (p = 0.016 and p = 0.028, respectively), but there were no differences in the other fitness assessments.

Table 3 shows data obtained from the proctored survey. All fourth and fifth graders ranked their risk of developing heart disease as well as the effects of heart disease similarly (questions 2 and 3). While fourth and fifth grade boys rated the effects of

| Table 1 – Survey questions listed with corresponding models of behavior change. |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Questions Based on Health Belief Model | Response |
| 1 How much do you feel at risk for developing an unhealthy heart? | 0 (low) – 10 (high) |
| 2 How bad do you feel the effects of an unhealthy heart are? | 0 (low) – 10 (high) |
| 3 How bad do you feel the effects of low physical activity are? | 0 (low) – 10 (high) |
| 4 How would you rate the benefits of physical activity on your overall health? | 0 (low) – 10 (high) |
| 5 How would you rate the benefits of physical activity on making your heart stronger? | 0 (low) – 10 (high) |
| 6 What prevents and/or discourages you from physical activity? Taken together, how would you rate the level of their overall prevention/discouragement? | Free response, 0 (low) – 10 (high) |
| 7 What allows and/or encourages you to be physically active? Rate their overall level of encouragement. | Free response, 0 (low) – 10 (high) |
| 8 What would allow and/or encourage you to be more physically active than you currently are? How would you rate the strength of encouragement from these factors? | Free response, 0 (low) – 10 (high) |
| 9 How much do you think you can increase your weekly physical activity? | 0 (low) – 10 (high) |
| 10 How much do you think you can improve your physical fitness? | 0 (low) – 10 (high) |
| 11 How much do you think you can improve your overall health? | 0 (low) – 10 (high) |

Questions Based on Precaution Adoption Process Model

| Questions Based on Precaution Adoption Process Model | Response |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 12 Have you ever heard that 60 min/day of moderate or vigorous physical activity makes your heart stronger? | Yes/No |
| 13 Do you currently do 60 min/day of moderate (breathing hard to where you can talk, but can’t comfortably sing) or vigorous (can’t comfortably speak a complete sentence without stopping to breathe) physical activity? | Yes/No |
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low PA similarly, fifth grade girls ranked the effects as less harmful than fourth grade girls (question 3; \( p = 0.049 \)).

| FitnessGram Assessments | Grade | Girls | Boys | Girls compared to Boys |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|------|------------------------|
|                         | 4\(^{th}\) (n = 22) | 5\(^{th}\) (n = 17) | 4\(^{th}\) (n = 19) | 5\(^{th}\) (n = 16) | 4\(^{th}\) | 5\(^{th}\) |
| PACER (mL/kg/min)       | 55.1 ± 0.8 | 55.4 ± 1.1 | 0.996 | 54.2 ± 1.1 | 58.0 ± 1.4 | 0.080 | 0.934 | 0.374 |
| Flexed Arm Hang (s)     | 4.7 ± 1.1 | 5.7 ± 1.1 | 0.961 | 6.4 ± 1.6 | 7.7 ± 2.0 | 0.923 | 0.833 | 0.795 |
| Curl-ups (#)           | 17.6 ± 2.6 | 17.0 ± 2.3 | 0.998 | 12.4 ± 2.2 | 15.6 ± 2.1 | 0.803 | 0.379 | 0.976 |
| Trunk Lift (in)         | 9.5 ± 0.2 | 10.2 ± 0.3 | 0.417 | 8.5 ± 0.4 | 9.0 ± 0.5 | 0.733 | 0.110 | 0.070 |
| Sit & Reach (L; in)     | 11.2 ± 0.4 | 10.8 ± 0.4 | 0.904 | 9.4 ± 0.5** | 8.8 ± 0.4** | 0.744 | 0.022 | 0.015 |
| Sit & Reach (R; in)     | 11.3 ± 0.4 | 10.5 ± 0.5 | 0.607 | 9.6 ± 0.5** | 8.6 ± 0.5** | 0.466 | 0.033 | 0.028 |
| Shoulder Stretch (both sides completed) | 18 of 22 | 15 of 17 | 0.679 | 15 of 19 | 13 of 16 | 0.865 | 0.817 | 0.576 |

---

| Survey Questions | Grade | Girls | Boys | Girls compared to Boys |
|------------------|-------|-------|------|------------------------|
|                  | 4\(^{th}\) (n = 22) | 5\(^{th}\) (n = 17) | 4\(^{th}\) (n = 19) | 5\(^{th}\) (n = 16) | 4\(^{th}\) | 5\(^{th}\) |
| 1. How much do you feel at risk for developing an unhealthy heart? | 2.5 ± 0.6 | 1.8 ± 0.6 | 0.808 | 1.5 ± 0.5 | 2.1 ± 0.7 | 0.930 | 0.642 | 0.984 |
| 2. How bad do you feel the effects of an unhealthy heart are? | 7.7 ± 0.7 | 7.4 ± 0.9 | 0.990 | 7.1 ± 0.7 | 7.7 ± 1.0 | 0.971 | 0.956 | 0.995 |
| 3. How bad do you feel the effects of low physical activity are? | 8.5 ± 0.4 | 6.1 ± 1.0** | 0.049 | 6.3 ± 0.7 | 8.0 ± 0.6 | 0.323 | 0.079 | 0.226 |
| 4. How would you rate the benefits of physical activity on your overall health? | 9.0 ± 0.4 | 9.2 ± 0.2 | 0.998 | 8.5 ± 0.3 | 7.1 ± 0.8** | 0.163 | 0.870 | 0.026 |
| 5. How would you rate the benefits of physical activity on making your heart stronger? | 9.1 ± 0.2 | 9.2 ± 0.2 | 0.999 | 8.6 ± 0.4 | 8.8 ± 0.3 | 0.980 | 0.563 | 0.793 |
| 6. What prevents and/or discourages you from physical activity? Taken together, how would you rate the level of their overall prevention/discouragement? | 3.6 ± 0.7 | 4.7 ± 0.9 | 0.729 | 3.3 ± 0.8 | 3.1 ± 0.8 | 0.995 | 0.995 | 0.493 |
| 7. What allows and/or encourages you to be physically active? Rate their overall level of encouragement. | 8.2 ± 0.3 | 8.6 ± 0.3 | 0.971 | 7.6 ± 0.7 | 8.3 ± 0.6 | 0.743 | 0.731 | 0.585 |
| 8. What would allow and/or encourage you to be more physically active than you currently are? How would you rate the strength of encouragement from these factors? | 7.1 ± 0.7 | 8.6 ± 0.4 | 0.403 | 7.7 ± 0.7 | 7.1 ± 0.8 | 0.898 | 0.905 | 0.430 |
| 9. How much do you think you can increase your weekly physical activity? | 7.6 ± 0.5 | 5.3 ± 0.6 | 0.095 | 5.4 ± 0.9 | 6.1 ± 1.0 | 0.931 | 0.146 | 0.857 |
| 10. How much do you think you can improve your physical fitness? | 8.3 ± 0.4 | 4.7 ± 0.8* | 0.002 | 6.1 ± 0.7 | 5.8 ± 0.9 | 0.986 | 0.112 | 0.743 |
| 11. How much do you think you can improve your overall health? | 7.9 ± 0.5 | 4.7 ± 0.7** | 0.004 | 6.7 ± 0.7 | 5.9 ± 0.8 | 0.800 | 0.579 | 0.635 |
Additionally, there was a significant gender x time interaction for question 3 (p = 0.004). Fifth grade boys rated the benefits of PA on their overall health significantly less than fifth grade girls (question 4; p = 0.026). There were no differences in ratings between fourth grade boys and girls or between fourth and fifth grade boys or fourth and fifth grade girls. All groups scored the benefits of PA similarly (question 5).

Question 6 asked students to list the barriers that prevent/discourage PA. Fourth and fifth graders listed “technology” 19 times (6 boys and 13 girls) and 13 times (7 boys and 6 girls), respectively. Specific types of technology mentioned were: television, iPad, social media, XBOX and video games. "Homework" and “schoolwork” were mentioned 9 times by fourth graders (4 boys and 5 girls) and 8 times by fifth graders (1 boy and 7 girls) and the general term “busy” was listed one time by a fourth grade boy and 4 times by fifth graders (1 boy and 3 girls). Environmental barriers such as “rainy days”, “winter”, and “no friends in my neighborhood” were mentioned 8 times by fourth graders (4 boys and 4 girls) and 8 times by fifth graders (4 boys and 4 girls). Finally, “nothing” prevented 3 fourth grade boys and 5 fifth graders (4 boys and 1 girl) from being physically active. All fourth and fifth graders rated these PA barriers similarly in their level of overall prevention/discouragement.

Question 7 asked students to list factors that allow and/or encourage them to be physically active. Sports and recreational activities were mentioned 29 times by fourth graders (11 boys and 18 girls) and 17 times by fifth graders (7 boys and 10 girls). “Family”, “friends”, and “pets” were mentioned 17 times by fourth graders (6 boys and 11 girls) and 13 times by fifth graders (7 boys and 6 girls). Environmental factors were mentioned by 4 fourth grade boys and 5 fifth graders (4 boys and 1 girl). All fourth and fifth graders rated these factors similarly in their overall level of encouragement.

Question 8 asked students to list factors that would allow and/or encourage them to be more physically active than they currently were. Variations of “less school” and “no homework” were mentioned 14 times by fourth graders (4 boys and 10 girls) and 10 times by fifth graders (5 boys and 5 girls). Environmental factors, such as “more summertime” accounted for 14 responses from fourth graders (8 boys and 6 girls) and 11 responses from fifth graders (5 boys and 6 girls). Participating in more sports and recreational activities were listed 9 times by fourth graders (3 boys and 6 girls) and 9 times by fifth graders (6 boys and 3 girls). “Less technology” was listed 5 times by fourth graders (2 boys and 3 girls) and by one fifth grade girl. Parents, friends, siblings, and pets were mentioned 6 times by fourth graders (1 boy and 5 girls) and 9 times by fifth graders (3 boys and 6 girls). Finally, two fourth grade girls and one fifth grade boy wanted to get healthier, increase fitness, and lose weight. All fourth and fifth graders rated the strength of encouragement from these factors similarly.

There were no differences in fourth and fifth grade students’ self confidence in increasing their weekly PA (question 9). However, fifth grade girls had less confidence that they could improve their physical fitness when compared to fourth grade girls (question 10; p = 0.002). There was also a significant gender x time interaction for questions 10 (p = 0.025). Finally, girls’ confidence that they could improve their overall health significantly decreased from fourth to fifth grade (question 11, p = 0.004). There were no differences between fourth and fifth grade boys or girls and boys in each grade level.

Figures 1 and 2 show the answers to survey questions 12 and 13, respectively. There were no significant differences between the number of fourth grade boys and girls (p = 0.207) or fifth grade boys and girls (p = 0.737) that were aware they needed 60 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity exercise per day. However, there was a significant difference in PA awareness in girls from fourth to fifth grade (9/22 compared to 13/17; p = 0.026). There was no difference in PA awareness between fourth and fifth grade boys (11/18 compared to 13/16; p = 0.198). Question 13 asked students if they met the recommendation of 60 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity exercise per day. Nineteen of 22 fourth grade girls, 12 of 18 fourth grade boys, 13 of 16 fifth grade girls, and 12 of 15 fifth grade boys answered yes. There were no significant differences between genders or grade levels for this question.

Main findings from this study are that girls’ self-confidence in their abilities to improve fitness and overall health decreased from fourth to fifth grade (questions 10 and 11). This decrease is alarming because self-efficacy is a determinant of motivation and action and has been correlated with both current exercise behavior and exercise intent in middle school children [30, 31, 38].
It is important to note that the FitnessGram results indicate the girls' confidence cannot be explained by differences in cardiorespiratory or muscular fitness. Fourth and fifth grade boys and girls performed similarly on all fitness assessments except for the flexibility test. In both fourth and fifth grade, girls performed significantly better than boys on the Sit-and-Reach assessment. Our results agree with previous studies that show girls' confidence and perceived competence decrease in elementary and middle schools [12, 39]. One study found boys have a higher perceived competence in PE than girls did at both 8 and 12 years.

Moreover, there was a trend for girls' perceived competence to decrease from aged 8 years to 12 years, while boys' perceived competence remained the same over the same time period [16]. Importantly, perceived athletic competence has been associated with PE enjoyment in middle school children [40]. Further, lower perceived athletic competence was related to PE enjoyment and differed by gender [39]. A longitudinal study found that from fourth to sixth grade, boys had increased enjoyment in PE while girls reported a slight decrease in PE enjoyment [40]. Additionally, girls with lower perceived athletic competence reported decreasing PE enjoyment over
the two-year study [40]. Taken together with our data, these studies suggest an association between self-efficacy, perceived competence in athletic abilities, and PE enjoyment regardless of fitness and athletic ability. Because self-efficacy is a determinant of being physically active, it follows that as girls’ PE enjoyment and self-efficacy in athletic ability decline, so will their time spent in PA. To increase girls’ self-confidence in their fitness abilities and time spent in PA, PE teachers should consider grade level and gender when designing curriculum [41, 42].

Another important finding was that fifth-grade girls rated the effects of low PA as less severe than they did the previous year in fourth grade (question 3). However, significantly more fifth grade girls than fourth grade girls were aware that 60 minutes of PA per day was beneficial for heart health (question 12). These beliefs about the negative effects of low PA spotlight shortfalls in PE and health curriculum. While it is commendable that more fifth grade girls know the recommended PA guidelines when compared to fourth grade girls, it is concerning that they believe the effects of not meeting these PA guidelines are less consequential on their health than they did the previous year. It is unclear if these beliefs are related to self-efficacy and time spent in PA. Finally, it is also important to note that despite their beliefs about the severity of low PA, fifth grade girls rated the benefits of PA on overall health higher than fifth grade boys (question 4).

The barriers to (question 6) and cues to action (questions 7 and 8) for PA listed by students in this study are similar to findings reported in the literature. For example, in children aged 8 to 10 years, parental support has been found to be a predictor for both participation and dropout in organized sport [17]. Multiple studies have found that children’s activity levels correlate to both that of their parents and siblings [24-26]. However, it is important to note that throughout adolescence, peer influence on PA behavior increases while parental influence weakens [43, 44]. Perceived barriers to exercise reported by adolescents in the literature include environment, school work and responsibilities, and television watching [45, 46]. While technology was seen as a barrier to PA by our students, it has also been used as an intervention strategy to increase PA [47, 48].

Technology can be an asset in the health and fitness landscape when it’s utilized to combat the negative effects of inactivity by promoting PA. One technological measure that increases PA is mobile health apps with wearable activity trackers [48, 49]. Wrist-worn devices and smartphones can monitor heart rate, steps taken, blood glucose, and more and they can be incorporated into daily life to aid in health behavior change [47-49]. Another application of technology that could increase PA is virtual reality (VR). As a rehabilitation tool, VR exercise programs have been effective at improving physical function in both adults and children [50-52]. Application of this technology could provide a more mobile, active experience for children who currently play sedentary games. Finally, to address the PA gender gap, several organizations have been designed specifically to promote the PA of women and girls. Examples of these programs include Girls on the Run (www.girlsontherun.org), GirlTrek (www.girltrek.org), and the Women’s Walking Program [53]. These programs encourage girls and women to increase daily PA through in-person meetings, apps, phone calls, and podcast. Through these organizations, members support each other and create a community that engages in PA together, despite being geographically separated.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, our data shows that from fourth to fifth grade, girls’ confidence that they could improve their physical fitness and their overall health significantly decreased. This decreased self-confidence persists and is also seen in women in college. The cause of this decrease in self-confidence is most likely multifactorial and more research is needed to elucidate why these changes in self-confidence occur. Our study also illustrates that there are clear gender gaps in adolescents’ beliefs about PA and more research is needed to determine how perceived self-confidence and competence in athletic abilities contribute to gender discrepancies in time spent in PA. To increase girls’ self-confidence in their fitness abilities and time spent in PA, PE teachers should consider grade level and gender when designing curriculum. We propose PE programs throughout all grades dedicate more time to and find more ways to incorporate the importance of healthy behaviors, including time spent in PA, and work to build and maintain self-confidence in all students, especially girls. A focus on healthy behaviors, that begins in elementary school, could help decrease incidences of cardiovascular disease and other chronic illnesses in American adults.
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