Rich spatio-temporal stimulus dynamics unveil sensory specialization in cortical area S2
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Tactile perception in rodents depends on simultaneous, multi-whisker contacts with objects. Although it is known that neurons in secondary somatosensory cortex (wS2) respond to individual deflections of many whiskers, wS2’s precise function remains unknown. The convergence of information from multiple whiskers into wS2 neurons suggests that they are good candidates for integrating multi-whisker information. Here, we apply stimulation patterns with rich dynamics simultaneously to 24 macro-vibrissae of rats while recording large populations of single neurons. Varying inter-whisker correlations without changing single whisker statistics, we observe pronounced supra-linear multi-whisker integration. Using novel analysis methods, we show that continuous multi-whisker movements contribute to the firing of wS2 neurons over long temporal windows, facilitating spatio-temporal integration. In contrast, primary cortex (wS1) neurons encode fine features of whisker movements on precise temporal scales. These results provide the first description of wS2’s representation during multi-whisker stimulation and outline its specialized role in parallel to wS1 tactile processing.
he whisker system in rodents supports localization and identification of objects in the environment through precisely controlled spatio-temporal patterns of contact with the mystacial vibrissae. At the neuronal level, representations of vibrissal movements and contacts have been extensively studied in the primary somatosensory cortex (wS1) in different laminae, cell types, and topographical locations1,2. Much less attention has been given to the whisker secondary somatosensory area (wS2), which like wS1 contains a somatotopic map of the mystacial vibrissae3–5, but lacks the detailed topographical structure found in the barrel cortex. In narcotized rats, single neurons in wS2 yield rapidly adapting responses to individual deflections of many whiskers. Each whisker eliciting a response can do so with a different latency6. The fastest wS2 responses are in the same time range as the low-latency responses found in wS1 neurons7, which suggests that at least some of the responses are driven by direct thalamic input and do not depend on wS1 activation.

A wealth of anatomical data exists on the connectivity and hierarchical organization of the somatosensory system in rats. Many anatomical tracing studies suggest that based on the thalamic input structure and the nature of their reciprocals connectivity, wS2 and wS1 can be thought of as equally placed in the somatosensory hierarchy8–11. Direct thalamic input to wS2 comes through both the extralemniscal and paralemniscal pathways12, while corticocortical projections from S1 to S2 originate in layers 2, 3, and 5a of S1 and terminate in extragranular layers of S2. The reciprocal connections from S2 to S1 follow the same connection pattern9. In the mouse, infragranular cells in wS1 receive more numerous connections from infragranular wS2 cells, and likewise supragranular wS1 cells receive more connections from supragranular wS2 cells11. Although there is still some controversy, these data suggest that sensory processing at the level of wS2 and wS1 in rodents is done in an interdependent, parallelized manner.

While there is anatomical evidence for parallel processing of somatosensory information in wS2 and wS1, recent perceptual studies in mice have highlighted wS2’s role in the choice-related, top-down flow of information13,14. Although choice-related activity in wS2 is more predominant than it is in wS1, it is unknown whether the single whisker periodic deflection used in these studies adequately engages wS2’s sensory representation. With such a stimulus, there is no global or multi-whisker component, which could be an important factor in delineating wS2’s sensory function6,13. With this in mind, we set out to assess wS2’s sensory representation during multi-whisker stimulation. To this end, the first important question to ask is what patterns of whisker movement are salient for wS2 neurons, and how these whisker movement patterns differ from those represented in wS1. Accordingly, this work aims to provide a detailed characterization of the responses of large populations of single units recorded in wS2 during multi-whisker stimulation.

Using a custom-built multi-whisker stimulation matrix16, we applied different types of Gaussian white noise to the caudal 24 vibrissae in anesthetized rats. We introduce novel analysis methods to extract spatio-temporal stimulus dependencies during continuous multi-whisker stimulation. We describe wS2 and wS1 using this new dynamic receptive field method and reveal specialization between the two regions. The stimulus dependencies in wS2 are extended in space and time, which facilitates the computation of the global statistics of the tactile scene, while wS1 contains a more pronounced representation of spatially local and temporally precise stimulus features. Correlated whisker movements produce larger supra-linearities in the responses of wS2 neurons than seen in wS1. These specialized and complementary cortical representations can support the large range of tactile discrimination abilities that rats are known to possess and have long been hypothesized to exist along the somatosensory pathway.

**Results**

**Temporal integration is longer in wS2.** While it has been reported that wS2 neurons respond to individual deflections of many single whiskers, some with latencies comparable to wS1 cells9, it is still unknown how they respond during continuous multi-whisker stimulation. To carry out this analysis in wS2, we recorded the activity of 1157 single units during globally correlated Gaussian white noise whisker movements along the rostro-caudal axis (Fig. 1a). To obtain sufficient spiking activity, all recorded neurons from wS2 were in granular or infragranular layers (layers 4, 5, and 6). From the activity observed during these correlated stimulations, we applied spike-triggered covariance (STC) analysis. Briefly, this method entails tabulating the whisker movement shapes preceding each spike from a single unit, computing the covariance matrix of these whisker movements, and using eigenvector decomposition of this matrix to find the whisker movements, or filters, responsible for eliciting the most spikes (See Methods for details). Using this approach, we obtained 411 significant linear filters from 204 of the neurons recorded in wS2. To compare, we applied the same analysis to 1038 neurons recorded previously in wS117, which yielded 1320 significant linear filters from 567 responsive neurons. Thus, there is a major difference in the number of cells yielding significant filters in the two regions (204/1156 in wS2 vs. 567/1038 in wS1). This observation could be due to a real difference between the whisker movements coded in the two regions (with wS1 more strongly activated by features contained in globally correlated white noise) or reflect a difference in the signal-to-noise-ratio (SNR) of the responses in the two regions (where less spikes/stimulus in wS2 results in lower filter yields).

Focusing for now on the cells giving filters, single wS2 neurons showed as many as four significant filters. In both wS2 and wS1, we performed a PCA on all the significant filters and found that the two predominant dimensions explain 88.96 and 86.77% of the variance in the filter shapes of the respective populations (Fig. 1b, c). This implies that the populations of filters in both wS2 and wS1 can be well-represented by a two-dimensional “phase” space (Fig. 1d, e). Most of the individual significant filters lie very close to the unit circle when projected into this phase space, meaning that they can be described as a linear combination of two representative filters. The top two significant filters for each neuron (filled circles in Fig. 1d, e) are particularly well-described in these two dimensions. This indicates that across the populations of neurons in wS2 and wS1 and within the statistics of our globally correlated stimulation, these relevant phase spaces provide a much-reduced, concise description of the stimulus dependence of the firing in each cortical area.

To easily compare the phase spaces of wS2 and wS1, we phase-aligned and overlaid them, as shown in Fig. 1f. Although the shapes appear similar, wS1 filters have more energy close to the spike time and wS2 filters have larger stimulus dependencies at longer temporal delays. To assess the significance of this observation, we computed the temporal envelope of the subspace filter energies for wS2 and wS1 (Fig. 1g, h) and carried out a permutation test by shuffling the cell-to-region mappings. We found that in wS2 both the half-width of the filter energy distribution and the long-latency filter energy (time at which one half of the energy is to the left) are significantly larger (by ~4–6 ms) than wS1 (Fig. 1h, p < 0.001, permutation test). This highlights that the temporal integration windows in the two regions are significantly different. Even if both cortical areas contain low-latency sensory responses, wS2 integrates whisker movements over a longer time window than wS1.
**Fig. 1** Extended temporal integration in wS2 during correlated Gaussian white noise stimulation. **a** Recording setup. Top: The right 24 caudal macrovibrissae of isoflurane-anesthetized rats are trimmed and placed inside plastic tips attached to piezo-electric benders. Only two stimulators are shown, each controlling whisker movements along the rostral-caudal (R-C) axis. All whiskers received the same Gaussian white noise deflections (in position). Multi-shank silicon probes are placed in either wS2 or wS1. Bottom: The spike-triggered covariance (STC) technique involves tabulating stimulus shapes preceding each spike from a single unit (referred to as the spike-triggered ensemble, STE). A covariance based, PCA-like analysis is then applied to the STE to recover the stimulus shape, or filters, most correlated with spiking. The same process is applied to shuffled spike trains to determine which filters are significant (See Methods). **b, c** PCA carried out on the populations of significant filters in wS2 and wS1. **b** Left: The top two PCs for the wS2 population explain 88.96% of the variance in the wS2 filters. Right: The top two eigenvectors for the wS2 significant filters. **c** Left: The top two PCs for the wS1 population explain 86.77% of the variance in the wS1 filters. Right: The top two eigenvectors for the wS1 significant filters. **d, e** Significant filters from each cortical region are projected into the two-dimensional space generated by the first two PCs of their respective regions. Filled circles: first two significant filters for each neuron; open circles: remaining significant filters for each neuron. Phase is color-coded representing alignment to the stimulus onset. Filters from 204 neurons out of 1157 single units measured in wS2. **d** Phase aligning first and second PCs across regions shows an increased filter amplitude at longer temporal delays for wS2 (solid lines), and for wS1 (dashed lines) there is higher filter energy near the spike. Arrows illustrate differences. **g** Energy envelopes (black) of the relevant subspaces consider all phases in the space (wS2 solid lines, wS1 dashed lines, color coded as in **d, e**). **h** Left: The energy envelopes for wS2 (solid lines) and wS1 (dashed lines), the half-width (hwS2 and hwS1) and half-area time (tS2 and tS1) are illustrated. Right top: The half-area time is later in wS2 by 4.5 ms (p < 0.001, permutation test). Right bottom: The half-width in wS1 is wider than wS1 by -6 ms (p < 0.001, permutation test). The rat image in this figure is adapted from Diamond et al.1, Nature Reviews Neuroscience 9(8). All rights reserved.

**wS2 has less feature-selective neurons than wS1.** The standard next step in the STC approach is to evaluate the selectivity of the neurons in the filter space. Thus, we computed the non-linear functions that map stimulus input to spiking output in the phase space shown in Fig. 1. The advantage of these phase spaces, or relevant spaces as we will refer to them from now on, is that we can generate these non-linear functions in a common subspace for every cell. Using this technique, it has been shown that wS1 presents simple/simple dichotomy17. Simple cells are tuned to a small phase region in the subspace, which reflects a precise whisker movement in time. Complex cells fire equally well to all whisker movements that have high magnitude projections into the space, a property called phase-invariance.

We found that 12.7% of the wS2 cells and 29.4% of the wS1 cells that gave significant filters were tuned to a small phase region. This proportion of phase-tuned cells in wS1 agrees with a prior study17 (Fig. 2a–c, see Methods). The half-width of the phase tuning among phase-selective cells differs between wS2 and wS1, with wS1 cells on average more sharply tuned as shown in Supplementary Fig. 1a (wS1 average half-width = 64° and wS2 average half-width = 80°, p-value = 0.018, permutation test). Thus, precise whisker movements are not as well represented in wS2 as they are in wS1. In addition to phase tuning, another cell-type that we observed was tuned to one phase and to its opposite. We call these orientation cells (Fig. 2d, e). We found that 2.5% of the wS2 cells are tuned to orientation and 6.2% of the wS1 cells (p < 0.001, Fig. 2f). These cells respond precisely to high velocity movements in either direction, since opposite phases correspond to an inversion of the movement direction (black filter shapes in Fig. 2d, e).
Finally, we quantified the percentage of cells that were not specifically tuned to any phase or combination of phases in the subspace (Fig. 2g, h). These phase-invariant cells were much more prevalent in wS2 than wS1 (84.8% in wS2 vs. 64.4% in wS1, Fig. 2i). Comparing the percent of phase-tuned (either phase or orientation-selective) cells in the two regions shows that in wS1, twice as many cells in the population encode a precise temporal pattern of whisker movement during fully correlated stimulation (15.2% in wS2 and 35.6% in wS1). These population differences are highly significant (Fisher’s exact test, \( p = 6.7 \times 10^{-8} \)).

This suggests that wS2 cells code the stimulus more sparsely than what is found in wS1.

**wS2 has a distinct dynamic stimulus dependence from wS1.**

The analysis up until now was focused on the relevant phase spaces shown in Fig. 1. However, there are some filters (~10%) that do not fall near the unit circles (open circles in the subspace plots in Fig. 1b, c). This is because STC analysis requires that all significant filters that come from a single neuron are orthogonal. Neurons with more than two significant filters have some that are not well-described by the relevant subspace. The remaining filters can be described in a complementary two-dimensional subspace for each region, which resembles a time-shifted version of the relevant subspace as illustrated in Fig. 3a for wS2 (more details in Supplementary Fig. 2). This suggests that for some neurons these filters extend the temporal integration window, retaining the same shape of the relevant filter space. To capture the complete...
stimulus dependence of spiking activity across both relevant and complementary subspaces, and thus obtain temporal dynamics, we looked at the evolution in time of the spike-eliciting stimuli in the relevant subspace.

To this end, we applied the change of coordinates procedure illustrated in Fig. 3b. It takes as input a 50 ms window of rostro-caudal whisker movement (the raw stimulus) and transforms it into a point in the region-specific relevant subspace. The coordinates of this point are computed as scalar products between the raw stimulus and the two relevant subspace filters. This procedure is a transformation from whisker position coordinates to a new coordinate system which is empirically determined to be most relevant for the neurons. Sliding the 50 ms window across the stimulus produces an evolution in time in the relevant subspace, which can be equivalently represented as Cartesian (x(t), y(t)) or polar (r(t), theta(t)). Polar coordinates are more convenient because the spiking activity for neurons in both wS2 and wS1 occurs predominantly at high values of the radial coordinate, which correspond to relevant filter-like whisker movements, as shown in Fig. 2. We proceeded with only the radial coordinate to further compare wS2 and wS1. Applying the temporal projection method (TPM) described in Fig. 3b to the spike-eliciting stimulus sequences, we computed the spike-triggered average (STA) of the radial coordinate in a 200 ms window around the spike time (150 ms before and 50 ms after).

To test for statistical significance, we used a z-score based on shuffled data (see Methods). These projection space STAs (PS-STAs) reveal a more elaborate picture of the temporal stimulus dependence of the evoked neuronal response than classical STC (Fig. 3c, d). The full dependence on the stimulus not only includes high radial projections just before the spike, as expected based on the STC analysis, but there is also an extended low-radial-projection tail. Low-projection movements can be low magnitude movements (window 3 in Fig. 3b), or also movements that do not project well into the space (window 1 in Fig. 3b). These tails were not present in all neurons, but a subpopulation analysis of the neurons with them showed that they have both larger strength (integral of curve) and longer duration in wS2 than in wS1 (Supplementary Fig. 3h–i). For each example cell in Fig. 3c, d, we show 4 individual spike-eliciting stimuli in both raw stimulus space and projection space to clarify the link between them (population averages in Supplementary Fig. 3a–b).

The use of TPM with continuous whisker movements uncovers extended stimulus dependencies for many neurons that yield no significant filters using classical STC. In wS2, we find 679 neurons with significant PS-STAs, while we were only able to recover STC filters for 204 of these cells. For wS1, we detect 828 PS-STAs from the same population of 1038 neurons that yielded filters for 567 cells. The cells that have significant PS-STAs but do not show significant STC filters are still well-tuned to the relevant subspaces and exhibit temporal dynamics similar to the STC filter-producing cells (Supplementary Fig. 3c–g). TPM is much less data hungry than the classical STC analysis, and it can partially compensate for the fact that wS2 responses, although
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present, seem to be less strong than wS1 responses in terms of spikes/filter-like stimulus (Supplementary Fig. 1 and 3). The reason why the TPM is more sensitive at detecting functional responses than classical STC is that once the stimulus subspace of interest for the cells in a region is known, we can focus our analysis in this subspace, thus increasing the signal-to-noise ratio on which we base our functional assessment. This added sensitivity, coupled with its applicability across longer time windows than STC make it a useful tool to obtain rich dynamic stimulus dependencies.

TPM uncovers whisker-pad scale spatio-temporal dynamics. All analysis up until this point has focused on fully correlated multi-whisker movements, where the classical STC approach was successful. However, during a different stimulation pattern where each whisker receives a unique, uncorrelated Gaussian white noise stimulus, the STC approach yields 12 significant filters for wS2 (20 if each whisker is processed independently, see Methods). The paucity of significant filters is unique to wS2, as the single whisker approach yielded 680 significant monovibrissal filters in wS1\textsuperscript{17}. This suggests that many wS2 neurons compute tactile statistics at a spatial scale that is larger than a single whisker during continuous multi-whisker movements.

To investigate the whisker-pad scale spatio-temporal dynamics in wS2 and wS1, we took advantage of the TPM. Using the relevant subspaces derived from the correlated stimulation and projecting every whisker’s raw stimulus trajectory into the respective relevant subspace allowed the identification of whisker-pad scale spatio-temporal receptive fields during uncorrelated stimulation. These receptive fields reveal remarkable spatio-temporal dynamics that are exemplified in Supplementary movies 1–10. These movies emphasize that important information is present beyond the static feature detection assumed by STC analysis. The stimulus dependence is not fully described within a small temporal window before a spike. In Fig. 4a, b, the top panels show example dynamic receptive fields of neurons from both wS2 and wS1, and the bottom panels display the classical receptive fields of the same cells obtained with sparse noise stimuli. There is a correspondence between the whiskers
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**Fig. 4** TPM reveals whisker-pad scale spatio-temporal dynamics. **a, b** Top: PS-STAs under continuous uncorrelated Gaussian white noise for the same neurons shown in Fig. 3. Each whisker subplot is z-scored as in Fig. 3c, d. Non-faded subplots are significant responses based on the windowed energy compared to a shuffled stimulus distribution (see Methods). Significant responses are shaded for high-PS tuning (red) and low PS tuning (blue). Black boxes delineate the strongest whisker (largest integral of the curve). A to E: whisker rows, Greek letters: straddlers, 1 to 4: whisker arcs. Bottom: Receptive fields mapped using sparse noise. Each whisker subplot is a PSTH aligned to the stimulus (including stimulus from caudal and rostral deflections for each whisker). Non-faded subplots are the ones with significant PSTH responses compared with the blank (bottom left) based on a surprise analysis (see Methods) for each direction. **a** wS2 cell. **b** wS1 cell. **c** The peak time of the PS-STAs for the populations in wS2 and wS1 shown for all whiskers (All) in the top plot and the principal whiskers (PW) in the bottom plot. The wS2 peak times are adjusted by the Δt found in Fig. 1g for a realistic depiction of the distribution compared with wS1. **d** Latency distributions for all cells from wS2 and wS1 for all whiskers (top) and principal whiskers (PW, bottom). As expected, these distributions match well with **c**. Latencies in wS2 for all whiskers are 23 ± 10 ms (median 21 ms) and for the PWs only are 20 ± 9 ms (median 18 ms) for 681 cells and 5489 whiskers. In wS1, latencies are 14 ± 7 ms for all whiskers (median 12.5 ms) and 10 ± 4 ms for PWs only (median 10 ms) for 152 cells and 994 whiskers. All ± values are standard deviations.
eliciting significant responses from the two stimulation types and between the peak times for the uncorrelated PS-STAs (Fig. 4c) and the latencies for the sparse noise (Fig. 4d). Comparing these response properties shows more temporal spread in wS2 than wS1, which agrees with the wider energy envelopes seen in wS2 (Fig. 1). As with the correlated stimulation pattern, the TPM greatly increased the number of detected functional responses during uncorrelated stimulation. We detected 735 responsive neurons from wS2 (vs 5 with STC) and 870 from wS1 (vs 429 neurons with STC).

TPM reveals distinct specialization in wS2 and wS1. The information present in the dynamic receptive fields shown in Fig. 4a, b allows a detailed comparison of the full spatio-temporal stimulus dependencies in wS2 and wS1. To establish this comparison, we quantified stimulus-response properties, detailed in Supplementary Fig. 4, that were chosen to be reliable features of the PS-STA curves. These include peak times, spread (width and extrema time difference), and peak sharpness for both the correlated and uncorrelated stimulation patterns, as well as their ratios between the two types of Gaussian white noise stimulation, and the number of whiskers impacting neuronal firing in the uncorrelated stimulation.

Some of the quantified features show differences in their distributions between wS2 and wS1. For example, the quarter-width of the PS-STA computed for correlated stimulations confirms the extended temporal integration windows for wS2 that were described in Fig. 1g, h (Fig. 5a, top). The sharpness of the response to the whisker eliciting the strongest PS-STA during uncorrelated stimulations reflects how precisely locked a response is to a relevant whisker movement (Fig. 5a, bottom). Sharp PS-STAs likely correspond to fine feature selectivity, and these properties are significantly less pronounced in wS2 than in wS1 (Fig. 2 and Fig. 5a).

Given that there are differences in the distributions of individual response characteristics between wS2 and wS1, we quantified how they separate across all the measured response properties. We summarized the differences using PCA, which helps to visualize the degree of specialization between wS2 and wS1 (Fig. 5b, c, the single feature basis and PCA weights are provided in Supplementary Fig. 4). The PCA shows clear specialized regions for wS2 and wS1, reflecting the unique parts of the representations in each cortical area. Different cell densities in different regions of the coding space imply that higher percentages of the respective populations occupy certain functional regimes. The separation between wS2 and wS1 lies mainly along the first principal component which is derived from the spread, latency, and sharpness of the evoked responses in both correlated and uncorrelated stimulation patterns (magenta bars in Supplementary Fig. 4n). The second principal component is related to the ratios between responses to the correlated and uncorrelated stimulation (cyan bars in Supplementary Fig 4n). The bigger spread in the second principal component of wS2 compared to wS1 emphasizes the diversity of the different responses across the two stimulation patterns.

Multi-whisker integration is supra-linear in wS2. Beyond temporal features, the PS-STAs also provide information about spatial integration. To extract this, we compared the sum of the response strengths (see Methods) of single whiskers obtained during the uncorrelated stimulation to the response strength obtained during correlated stimulation (Fig. 6). This ratio indicates whether inter-whisker correlation in the stimulus increases the response of a neuron more than what would be expected by linear summation across whiskers.

An exemplary wS2 cell (Fig. 6a, left) has a broad temporal response profile for the correlated stimulation and a much smaller response to its principal whisker during uncorrelated stimulation (but also broad). This is a neuron that supra-linearly integrates correlated whisker movements across space. In contrast, the characteristic wS1 cell shown in Fig. 6b (left) has a sharp, fast temporal response for both correlated and uncorrelated stimulations, and a slightly supra-linear integration across whiskers. The principal whisker evoked response during

![Fig. 5](image-url) TPM reveals wS2 and wS1 specialization. a Top: correlated quarter-width feature (Q-width) of PS-STA across all responsive neurons in wS2 and wS1. Bottom: the sharpness (height/width) of the PS-STA to the whisker eliciting the strongest response during uncorrelated stimulation. All quantified PS-STA features are detailed in Supplementary Fig. 4. b Top: wS2 density plot across the first two principal components of all quantified PS-STA features. Bottom: wS1 density plot across the first two principal components of all quantified PS-STA features. Solid lines are contours (blue wS2 and red wS1) with everything inside at greater than ¼ of the maximum density. c wS1–wS2 density plot (blue for higher wS2 densities and red for higher wS1 densities) in feature space shows specialized zones where there is predominance of neurons from each cortical area. Stars (blue for wS2 cell and red for wS1 cell) show location of the example cells from Figs. 3 and 4.
Unsupervised stimulation is slightly smaller than the response in the correlated stimulation. The multi-whisker response of wS2 neurons is more than a simple summation of the single-whisker influences, as shown by the many neurons in wS2 that fall above the diagonal in Fig. 6a (right). This supra-linearity is much more pronounced in wS2 than in wS1 (Fig. 6b, right). The wS1 population falls very close to the diagonal of the plot, which suggests mainly linear integration across whiskers, as is reflected by the histograms and mean comparisons depicted in Fig. 6c. The response strength differences are not caused by a difference in firing rate in the regions between the different stimulation patterns (Supplementary Fig. 5). These results demonstrate that inter-whisker correlations generally reinforce the strength of the response in wS2 more than in wS1. This suggests that wS2 carries out a computation relating to the multi-whisker statistics of the tactile scene.

**Discussion**

Our work demonstrates that wS2 and wS1 cortical areas in the rat contain specialized representations that likely support complementary and interdependent aspects of tactile sensation. In the visual system, it is generally accepted that as early as the retina, different types of visual information are extracted and sent through many processing channels, and this is reflected by a visual cortex parcellated into specialized areas. By applying three stimulation patterns with different statistical properties and carefully comparing evoked responses in wS2 and wS1, we conclude that the somatosensory system follows the same general principles. wS1 encodes precise temporal stimulus features occurring at a single whisker scale (Figs. 2 and 4). The responses in wS2 depend to a much larger degree on multi-whisker correlation, they integrate whisker movements over longer temporal windows (Figs. 1 and 5) and exhibit supra-linear responses to correlated multi-whisker movements (Fig. 6). If the single whisker contributions to the firing of a single neuron are temporally very sharp as in wS1, the coding space would explode in terms of multi-whisker spatio-temporal combinations. The wS2 neurons sum inputs over longer temporal windows to more efficiently encode multi-whisker synergies. Broad-scale textural statistics, object-shape configurations, and global motion are examples of the computations that can be made with multiple spatial inputs sampled across extended windows of time.

Properties that we found in wS2 cells are consistent with the ability to perform these global types of computations. What does this mean regarding the hierarchical organization of wS2 and wS1? In the rat whisker system, the mechanoreceptor connections with the neurons in the principalis nucleus (PrV) of the brainstem preserve single-whisker, temporally precise receptive field properties in this area, which are then passed along the lemniscal pathway all the way to wS1. In a parallel manner, multi-whisker information is gathered through the broadly branching dendrites in the interpolaris region of the spinal nucleus (SpVI) of the brainstem and routed through the para-lemniscal and extralemniscal pathways to the cortex. wS2 seems to be the preferred destination for extralemniscal projections, although there are weaker projections to the septa in wS1, and paralemniscal projections equally target wS2 and wS1.

Our results confirm that the functional segregation that originates in the brainstem is also reflected in the coding properties found in wS2 and wS1. The stimulus feature encoding along these pathways has been studied using both forward and reverse correlation techniques. Reverse correlation has revealed increasingly complex and diverse feature representations arising between the mechanoreceptors and wS1. Our results show that very few of the cells recorded from wS2 can be easily characterized as responding to a single stimulus feature. Phase and orientation tuning in our relevant subspace model of wS2 were much rarer than for wS1, and tuned wS2 cells are relatively less tuned than tuned wS1 cells. While taking a classical feed-forward view would clearly point to wS2 as a higher cortical area based on its increased phase invariance, if this is taken together with the anatomical connectivity, it looks more likely to be the hub of cortical processing for a different kind of whisker information than what is found in wS1.

From a perceptual standpoint, intact somatosensory cortical processing in both wS2 and wS1 is required even for single whisker detection tasks in mice. Thus, it might be very difficult to find a perceptual task that can tease apart the respective sensory contributions of the two areas. In our anesthetized preparation, we can precisely control the stimulus applied to every whisker, which makes it possible to vary global (inter-whisker) and local (single whisker) statistical properties of the stimulus independently. This would not be possible in awake, behaving animals as they would actively palpate objects and the
statistics of the input at the level of the whisker follicles would be behavior-dependent.

The anatomical and functional details of the whisker cortical areas in rats that we have described elucidate the complementary and interdependent nature of the whisker information streams. Conceptually, fine feature encoding can be enhanced by accounting for the global statistics of the tactile scene and the global tactile scene can depend on the collection of fine features encountered in the recent history. Until now, these specialized functional representations were only theorized, not yet observed, and not assigned to specific cortical regions. We present the first evidence that maps these functions to the two largest somatosensory cortical regions in the rat. Within these regions, there is also redundancy in the representations, which is not surprising considering they are highly interconnected and share thalamic inputs. The specialized representations found in the two whisker cortical regions provide important insights into how rodents parse the tactile world.

Methods

Animal procedures. Experiments were performed in conformity with the French Ethical Committee (Direction générale de la recherche et de l’innovation) and European legislation (2010/63/EU). Animals were male Wistar rats (N = 54, 310 ± 42 g). Anesthetic induction was made with 3% isoflurane in N2O and 50% O2, and maintained with 1.5% isoflurane in 70% N2O and 30% O2. Perioperative pain was controlled with 0.02 mg/kg buprenorphine (Alphabate, Sanofi-Aventis, France). Animals were housed individually in a temperature-controlled room (22 ± 1 °C) and on a 12 h light-dark cycle.

Electrophysiological recordings and clustering method. Raw electro-physiological traces were acquired at 30 kHz. They were processed offline, using the Klusta suite35. Signal was filtered between 500 Hz and 3 kHz with a third order Butterworth filter with a cut-off distance of 30 dB. The filtering was done to do the spike sorting. Automatic sorting of waveforms was run by the Klusta suite, and a second step of data curation was made manually. As a first step in the manual cleaning, signal to noise ratio (SNR) was evaluated for each triggered spike with respect to root mean square noise of the recorded traces. Spikes with SNRs < 3 on all recording sites were discarded. To aid with the quantification of our manual curation, we computed the L50, and the isolation distance (L50)13, which offer an advanced measure for multi-channel recordings to evaluate cluster quality. L50 measures the compactness of a cluster, with low values meaning low contribution from non-cluster spikes and highlights type I (false negative) error types, whereas L50 measures the distance of the closest noise spike if a cluster has no spikes, and highlights type I (false positive) error rates. The metrics for our population of wS2 cells allowed us to focus on well isolated units for our analysis.

Stimulus application. The 24 caudal vibrissae from the right snout of the rat were cut to 10 mm length and then placed inside the tip of each piezo-electric deflector of either wS2 (Supplementary Fig. 7a, left). The deflection of each piezo-electric bender matched the resting position of each whisker, which was stimulated 7 mm from the base. The resting position was defined as the angle 0° for the rostro-caudal direction (Supplementary Fig. 7a, right). The stimulation protocol included three different patterns, two with continuous Gaussian white noise stimulation and one with sparse noise stimulation, with each condition lasting 10 s. The repetition of stimulation of the three patterns of stimuli was randomized during 2.5 h of measurements for each recording (Supplementary Fig. 7b).

Gaussian white noise stimuli were built by selecting from a Gaussian distribution of positions with mean 0 and standard deviation of 5000 at 5.5 ms intervals. These points were then connected by cubic splines and smoothed to obtain a whisker deflection command rate of 1 kHz that fell within the technical specifications of our piezo-electric benders. Distribution tails were cut to avoid possible ringing from large deflections16. For the correlated deflections all whiskers had the exact same synchronous movement and for the uncorrelated every whisker had a unique Gaussian white noise. Sparse noise stimulations consisted of randomly stimulating one whisker at a time in either the rostral or the caudal direction with a stimulus profile that was a 10–10 ms ramp-hold-ramp with an amplitude identical to the maximum in the Gaussian white noise (Supplementary Fig. 7b).

The stimulation command was followed by the stimulator as can be seen in Supplementary Fig. 7e (r = 0.997) when measured with laser telemetry (MicroEpton). The angular position and velocity of the whiskers sampled across the stimulus can be seen in Supplementary Fig. 7d. (1.2° to 1.2° angle range and 400°/s maximum speed), which match the range of values observed during contacts with textures in freely behaving rats16. The frequency content of our experimental stimulus followed closely the experimental design and had a power spectrum up to 79 Hz (Supplementary Fig. 7e). To make sure that the stimuli applied during wS2 and wS1 recordings did not have any differences in temporal correlation, we computed the autocorrelation of the stimulus used in both wS2 and wS1 experiments. We found a full width half maximum of 7 ms for both (Supplementary Fig. 7e).

Transient onset responses. At the onset of each sweep of Gaussian white noise stimuli, there was a global increase in firing that quickly attenuated. Before making STC analyses, all spikes in the time window including this transient response were discarded. This enhances the power of the STC method because the system is steadily in the adapted state and is firing to stimulus features rather than to a large contextual change. To compute the duration of this transient response, we constructed a peri-stimulus-time-histogram (PSTH) of the spiking activity of each neuron with respect to the onset of each stimulation pattern. We found the mean firing rate μ and its standard deviation σ at a stationary state (between 0.75 and 1 s after stimulus onset) and the peak of the PSTH with 10 ms bins. We then performed a forward search in the PSTH until three consecutive bins gave responses below μ + 3 σ. Population data in the wS2 correlated stimulation rendered an offset value of 150 ms, whereas after stimulus onset leaving only 4 neurons out of 1157 with responses extending slightly out of this window. The uncorrelated stimulus offset times followed closely the ones in the correlated stimulation.

Spike triggered covariance method (STC). All off-line data analyses were performed with programs coded in the Python language. Reverse correlation analyses were made on spikes recorded during Gaussian white noise stimulation. In brief, STC analysis aims to find the relevant subspace of the stimulus ensemble, or bank of linear filters, that best captures the variations in the stimulus leading to the spiking of a neuron. The filter shapes are useful for understanding the features of the stimulus encoded in a sensory region35,36. These reverse correlation methods have not yet been applied in wS2. To obtain the filters, an inspection window containing pre-spike stimulus is determined first, which in our case was chosen to be 50 ms (45 ms pre- and 5 ms after-spike). Because our stimulus contained temporal correlation, we were able to down-sample in 2 ms steps without losing information, obtaining windows containing only 4 neurons out of 1157 with responses extending slightly out of this window. The uncorrelated stimulus offset times followed closely the ones in the correlated stimulus.

Histology. In all wS2 experiments and half of the wS1 experiments, Dil was deposited on the shanks of the electrode37. At the end of the experiment, a pen-tobarbital overdose was injected (ip) and the animal was perfused transcardially with saline and then 4% formaldehyde solution. Either coronal slices of 90 μm thickness or flattened cortical slices of 46 μm thickness were cut and stained with cytochrome oxidase to visualize simultaneously electrode placement and layer IV barretts in wS1 with different perspectives (Supplementary Fig. 6). Since there are no barrel structures in wS2, we confirmed that the electrode recording sites were away from the postero-medial barrel cortex.
While it was possible to uncover many filters with uncorrelated Gaussian white noise in wS1 (680 filters, Estebanez et al17), wS2 yielded very few filters. If all dimensions of the stimulus are considered (24 whiskers × 25 time bins), we found 12 significant filters from 5 neurons. If each whisker is processed independently (25 time bins), there were 20 significant filters from 13 neurons. These few filters fall into the same subspace as those in the correlated stimulation. The main reasons for this are likely due to random fluctuations of data for neurons with broad multi-whisker receptive fields. If we consider a minimum of 50 spikes per dimension, this gives a cutoff of 1250 spikes during correlated stimulation to carry out the STC analysis. This number gets multiplied by the number of whiskers contributing to the spiking in the uncorrelated stimulation, which is larger in wS2 than in wS1.

**Phase tuning.** The phase-tuned type of cell (Fig. 2a, b), as already described in wS117, can be thought of as the nonlinear part (sqrt(·)) of the stimulus (Fig. 2b, right). The procedure to detect orientation-tuned cells is equivalent, with invariant cells all the rays that cross the origin. For example, the 0° stimulus is correlated along the x-axis. This indicates a right circular symmetry that are encountered with traditional STC. A neuron that does not have any stimulus on the same spike train. This is done by shifting a window of 25 ms across the 200 ms shape and computed the maximum positive and negative area. The small window is used to capture short time dynamics are extended and depend on stimulus history at longer latencies. Biologically, this can be interpreted either as a 'spatial' tuning curve, a stimulus that occurred before a spike) with respect to the spike times. When we average across all spikes, we can get an idea, compared to the average stimulus, how different is the average spike-spike) with respect to the spike times. When we average across all spikes, we can get an idea, compared to the average stimulus, how different is the average spike-eliciting stimulus in terms of 'filter-like-ness'. This quantification can be extended backwards in time well before the spikes occurred and gives an interesting picture of the stimulus dynamics that occur before spikes.

**Surprise analysis.** Classical sparse noise stimulus responses were analyzed by convolution with individual high frequency projections and then the maximum counts from the blank stimulation were used to show the different specializations of wS2 and wS1. For those purposes, 12 features were obtained for each responsive neuron, as can be seen in Supplementary Fig. 4. Then, a combined PCA analyses of all wS2 and wS1 neurons was performed.

**Latency detection.** Once the surprise analysis was done for the 20 bin sizes of significantly responding whiskers, we computed the latency for each of them and reported the lowest value, since different firing rates or response profiles could be detected using an optimal bin size. Surprise profiles are very sensitive and rise rapidly in the presence of a functional response. Therefore, we made a backward search in surprise profiles from its maximum value, until finding a value below the threshold in a 5 ms range. To be more precise, we made a second backward search from this value to a bin below half the threshold value.

**Data availability**

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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