Satisfying patients’ rights in Iran: Providing effective strategies

Zohreh Anbari1, Mehri Mohammadi2, Magid Taheri3

ABSTRACT

Background: Assessment of patients’ views about the observance of their rights and obtaining feedback from them is an integral component of service quality and ensures healthcare ethics. The aim of this study was to assess patients’ awareness of their rights and their satisfaction with observance of their rights, and provide effective strategies to improve the management of patients’ rights in hospitals of Markazi Province, Iran in 2012.

Materials and Methods: This analytical study was conducted on 384 patients at 10 hospitals. Patients’ awareness of the relevant hospital legislation was assessed by a structured interview, and then patients’ satisfaction with observance of their rights was measured by a standardized questionnaire consisting of 10 principles approved by the Iran Ministry of Health of Iran in 2012. In this study, through Delphi technique, effective strategies have been provided to improve the management of patients’ rights in the hospitals of Iran. Analysis of variance (ANOVA), t-test, and Z test were applied for data analysis.

Results: Overall, 89% of the patients were unaware of the relevant hospital legislation and 28% of them were not satisfied with the observance of their rights (1.4 ± 0.6). A significant difference was observed between observance of patients’ rights according to hospitals, language, and place of residence of the patients (P < 0.05), but there was no significant difference with respect to patients’ rights according to sex, education, job, and duration of hospital stay (P > 0.05).

Conclusions: The Patient Bill of Rights of Iran needs further revision and modification. Moreover, extensive education of patients and healthcare processionals as the most structural strategies to promote professional ethics, reduce ethical conflict, and increase implementation of the law to respect patients’ rights should be taken into deeper consideration.
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INTRODUCTION

Patient Bill of Rights (PBR) calls for equal rights for all patients to access health services.[1] Patient rights are universal values that have to be adopted.[2] They establish a foundation for preserving good relationships among patients, doctors, and other healthcare providers.[3] Patient rights is considered as a reflection of human rights in the modern day society.[4] It is a recently introduced term in health sciences literature and practice,[5] and the aphorism that patients have needs, not rights, is sometimes a set response to demands for increased patient rights. In fact, patients have both “health” and “life” as the primary values expressed by healthcare professionals and others.[6] Meanwhile, social, economic, cultural, ethical, and political developments, such as population growth and new developments in medical technology,[7,8] increase the awareness and expectations of patients,[9] complexity in healthcare systems, and economic pressures or inflation. These have given rise to a movement in the world toward fuller elaboration and fulfillment of the patients’ rights.[10] Historically, the first texts protecting patients’ rights are Hippocratic in origin, imposing on physicians the respect of patients’ dignity,[11] and legislations on patients’ rights have been passed throughout the world since the Human Rights Act was published by the United Nations in 1948.[12] In 1973, the American Hospital Association (AHA) adopted the first official text of PBR. Since then, protection of patients’ rights has been a focal point in the agenda of many national and international organizations and has become part of national legislation.[13] However, the fundamental reason for the importance attached to patients’ rights and the corresponding increase in legislation is that respecting patients’ rights is an essential part of providing good healthcare.[14] Open and honest communication,[15] respect for personal and professional values,[16] sensitivity to differences, and treatment autonomy are integral to optimal patient care,[17,18] and it is a strategy for guaranteeing continuous quality in healthcare.[19]
Respecting patient rights and effective healthcare requires a collaboration among patients, physicians, and other healthcare professions. Research has shown that assessment of patients' views about the observance of their rights in the healthcare for evaluation of such systems is necessary. Therefore, hospitals, both as one of the most important elements of the health service and as an organization, must ensure healthcare ethics and observance of the rights of patients, their families, physicians, and other care providers. On 25 October 2002, the Ministry of Health in Iran adopted 10 principles of patients’ rights and the third edition of the national PBR was published in 2009. Unfortunately, this charter does not cover all patients’ rights compared to what exists in other developed countries. Researches have shown that Iranian patients do not have the right to choose treatment options, be informed of the contents of medical records, participate in clinical decision making, and continue to receive treatment at home. It has been mentioned that 42% of patients in the teaching hospitals in Iran are not satisfied with their participation in clinical decision making and observance of their privacy and confidentiality. On the other hand, the ethical difficulties in healthcare have increased in the world, and healthcare providers are involved in recurrent ethical problems. Conducting surveys with respect to patients’ rights and the strategies to reduce ethical conflicts in the hospitals is necessary. Research has shown that using qualitative and quantitative research in ethics, we will be able to deal with problems such as inequities, promotion of healthcare, development institutional ethics, and observance of patient rights.

Recently, patient rights have been gained increasing importance as a major component of system performance by international agencies such as the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). WHO included an index of responsiveness to the expectations of consumers in its recent health systems in the world.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess patients’ awareness of their rights, satisfaction with observance of their rights, and provision of effective strategies to improve the management of patients’ rights in hospitals of Markazi Province, Iran in 2012.

**Materials And Methods**

To investigate the awareness of and satisfaction with patients’ rights in Iranian hospitals, an analytical study was conducted in January and December 2012. Patients were selected by stratified random sampling of 10 hospitals in Markazi Province. A total of 394 patients were included in the study according to the following criteria: (1) age: 18 years or older; (2) duration of hospital stay: Hospitalization for at least 3 days to enable them to assess their rights; (3) inpatient wards: Patients of intensive care unit (ICU), critical care unit (CCU), and hemodialysis wards were excluded because they were in certain conditions. Patients’ awareness of their relevant hospital legislation was assessed by a structured interview, and then patients’ satisfaction of observance of their rights was measured by a standardized questionnaire with 10 principles approved by the Iran Ministry of Health in 2002. Initially, to avoid bias, an interview with patients about their knowledge of their rights was carried out, and 1 hour later, observance of their rights was assessed by a questionnaire with 20 questions. The structured interview and the completion of the questionnaire lasted 30-35 min. The Likert-type questionnaire consisted of 15 closed questions on the observance of patients’ rights and 5 open questions on the mechanisms of protection of their rights and the weaknesses and strengths of the hospitals. The patients were asked to rate their responses to questions on observance of their rights on a 5-point scale (1 for “strongly disagree” and 5 for “strongly agree”). The questionnaire was composed of 10 principles of patients’ rights in Iran. These rights which are approved by the Iran Ministry of Health include the right of privacy and confidentiality, explanation of common risks and side effects, providing sufficient information about the disease and diagnosis, obtaining informed consent, introducing the doctor and the nurse to the patient, respectful provision of healthcare, provision of equitable access to healthcare service, provision of sufficient information about medical costs and insurance, denial of access to medical records except for the care providers, observance of patients’ diet, getting clear answers to questions from the treatment team, recognizing the healthcare provision team, access to physician and nurses, and seeking the opinions of patients about clinical research. A pilot study was conducted with 35 patients of similar status, which proved the validity, the easy-to-use format, and the understandable nature of the questionnaire. The reliability of this questionnaire was examined using Cronbach’s alpha (0.83).

In the second phase of this study, in order to provide effective strategies for the management of patient rights in Iran, a comparative study was performed on the management of patient rights in places such as the United States, countries in European Union, Canada, England, France, Hong Kong, New Zealand, Africa, and Lithuania. The components and strategies of patient rights in Iran were compared with these countries on the basis of comparative tables. Based on this comparative study and the opinions of the patients about the mechanisms of protection of their rights, a questionnaire was developed. This questionnaire included strategies based on structure, human resources, process, and output. Strategies with this classification were validated by Delphi technique. For this purpose, the questionnaire was sent to 36 connoisseurs, including: Ph.D graduates of hospital
management, Ph.D graduates of management of health service, and those with doctorate degrees in law. The scores of this questionnaire were classified as strongly disagree (1), disagree (2), neutral (3), agree (4), and strongly agree (5). Average scores were calculated according to the formula: 

\[ Z = \frac{(X/n - P0)/\sqrt{P0(1 - P0)/n}}{P0 \geq 75\%, n = 36, P < 0.05, H1 : P0 > 75\%, H0 : P0 \leq 75\%}. \]

If \( Z \) computed was over +1.64 or less than −1.64, the component of strategy would be approved and it would be considered valid, but if \( Z \) was calculated to be between +1.64 and −1.64, the component would be disapproved and it would not be considered valid. In addition, this study was approved by the Medical Research Ethics Committee and informed consent was obtained from all patients. Also, patients had not written their names in the questionnaire.

**RESULTS**

An almost equal number of men and women participated in this study (44.8% and 55.2%, respectively). Overall, 67.4% of the patients had hospital stay duration between 3 and 6 days and most of them were hospitalized in the general surgery ward (12.8%). In these hospitals, 89% of patients were not aware of the relevant hospital legislation and 62.2% were not aware of the complaints process [Table 1]. Overall, observance of patient rights was good (3.6 ± 0.6) [Table 2] and the patients in Tafresh Hospital showed the most satisfaction in this regard (4.1 ± 0.3). The results showed that there was a significant difference between observance of the patient rights according to hospitals, patients’ language, and duration of hospitalization (\( P = 0.001, P = 0.045, \) and \( P = 0.001, \) respectively). However, there was no significant difference in terms of observance of the patient rights according to sex (\( P = 0.59), \) education (\( P = 0.33), \) patients’ place of living (\( P = 0.08), \) and job (\( P = 0.9). \) Hospitals in this study were poor in some of the principles, such as the right of privacy and confidentiality (2.66 ± 2.29), denial of access to medical records except for care providers (1.97 ± 2.17), and providing sufficient information about medical costs and insurance (2.81 ± 1.61) [Figure 1]. On the other hand, the comparative study between patients’ rights in developed and developing countries showed that some rights were not observed in Iran. These rights included the right of copyright of and access to medical records, the right to accept or refuse treatment, the right to accept or reject a meeting, the right to receive treatment at home, the right to access an interpreter, the right to be informed about hospital rules, the right to select other physicians for continuing the treatment, the right to determine the time and place to meet with doctors, and the right to extradite the consent. Finally, this study approved the strategies of patient rights’ management to promote patients’ rights and overcome the weaknesses of laws related to these rights.

![Figure 1: Comparison of patients’ rights in the hospitals in central province of Iran](image-url)
in hospitals in Iran. These included strategies based on structure, human resources, process, and output [Table 3]. Strategies based on structure were the most important strategies to improve the management of patient rights.

**Discussion**

The results of this study indicate that despite the introduction of specific legislation, hospital patients are not yet aware of their legal rights, as 9 out of 10 patients were not aware of the relevant hospital legislation and 4 out of 10 patients were not aware of the complaints process, which is consistent with the findings of other similar studies.\(^{[35]}\) It has been suggested that patients must be informed about their rights during their hospital admission as an effective strategy for respecting patients’ rights.\(^{[11]}\) Among the health professionals, it is accepted that the greatest responsibility for preserving patients’ rights lies with physicians, midwives, and nurses.\(^{[10]}\) This task can be undertaken by the nursing staff as they are usually in closer contact with patients compared to other healthcare workers, and thus are the most suitable supporters of the patients’ rights.\(^{[35]}\) To date, nurses have not undertaken this role in Iran because there is a lack of nursing personnel and time, which stems from unsuitable organization of the manpower in hospitals. This is consistent with the findings of other similar studies.\(^{[11]}\)

Overall, patients were not satisfied with the observance of their privacy and confidentiality. The concept of privacy is used in many disciplines and is recognized as one of the important concepts in nursing as well.\(^{[17,36]}\) In fact, the terms “privacy and confidentiality of the person” and “dignity” are interrelated. On the other hand, this issue builds trust in the patient-physician relationship. Kleinman has shown that physicians should consider patients’ information as professional secrets.\(^{[37]}\) The consequences of violating this law will increase the stress and aggressive behaviors in patients.\(^{[38,39]}\) It is consistent with the findings of other similar studies.\(^{[40]}\)

In our study, there was no “denial of access to medical records except for the care providers,” whereas all information about the patient’s health status, medical condition, diagnosis, and all other personal information must be kept confidential, even after the patient’s death. Mechanic\(^{[41]}\) and Kilpia\(^{[42]}\) showed that patients who are not reassured and lack trust require constant alertness and they are in a state of anxiety. It seems that in Iran, absence of electronic health records (EHR) is a barrier to the establishment of patients’ rights in hospital structure. On the other hand, in this study, three out of five patients stated that they were not fully informed about clinical research. Consent forms are the principal method for obtaining informed consent from biomedical research participants. Reicken\(^{[43]}\) showed that all protocols must be submitted to proper ethical review procedures, and Ezekie\(^{[44]}\) believed that informed consent makes clinical research ethical. Altavilla\(^{[45]}\) showed that many differences exist in the protection of children enrolled in clinical trials. Such differences are especially due to a lack of public awareness on ethical issues in this field.

It also seems that in Iran, a lack of binding rules, knowledge of the law, education, and punitive policies can undermine these rights. This finding is consistent with the findings of other similar studies.\(^{[46]}\) In the present study, the patients responded that they did not know the healthcare provision team. However, attention to this right reinforces the physician–patient interactions and maintains patients’ freedom in clinical decision making. This could be the result of

| Strategies | Components                                                                 | Z >1.64 |
|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Based on structure | Revision and modification of the laws; determining the authority and responsibility of healthcare providers; determining the mechanism for informing the public through national media; networking between and among patients and healthcare provider groups; supporting the government and NGOs in the field of patients’ rights; holding national conferences with participation of all connoisseurs; providing the necessary infrastructure for treatment and research; being committed to guidelines; applying the standard equipment in hospitals; revision of the organizational structure (dispersion, formality, and the complexity); reinforcing of hospital committee; reviewing the curriculum in universities of medical sciences and placing professional and medical ethics; creating systems of punishment and reward; revision of management development programs and healthcare providers; organizing appropriate staffing based on knowledge and skill in healthcare systems; designing the mechanisms for protection of patients’ rights; designing the mechanisms for the courts there should be independent at institutional and other levels to facilitate the process of lodging, mediating, and adjudicating complaints; developing electronic health record; building up a scientific assessment system of performance | Z =2.64 |
| Based on human resources | Selection, employment, and organization of appropriate manpower based on knowledge and skill; application of motivation at work through job rotation, job enrichment, job engineering, and career development; sustaining mechanism for prompting and rewarding employees; programming the blueprints for employee’s career; setting a competitive mechanism for employment | Z =1.95 |
| Based on process | Informing the hospitalized patients about their rights; monitoring the process of training given to the healthcare providers about communication and professional ethics; monitoring of respect to ethical codes in treatment and clinical researches; monitoring the processes of selection of managers and healthcare providers; monitoring the process of handling and adjudicating patients’ complaints | Z =2.13 |
| Based on output | Survey of indicators of effectiveness; analysis of complaints; provide feedback on complaints to the healthcare providers and on their performance | Z =2.05 |
various factors such as complexity and dispersion in hospital structure and a lack of time. These findings are seemingly consistent with similar studies conducted in this area.\(^{[25]}\)

In our survey, most of the patients were satisfied with respectful provision of healthcare. This could be the result of effective communication. In fact, having good communication skills is essential for doctors to establish good physician–patient relationship.\(^{[47]}\) Vally showed effective communication with patients has a desirable impact on treatment, recovery, and final outcome.\(^{[48]}\) In this study, most of these patients were satisfied with provision of sufficient information about their disease (73%) and explanation of common risks and side effects (69.2%). Patients have the right to be fully informed about their condition; the proposed medical procedures together with the potential risks and benefits of each procedure; alternatives to the proposed procedures, including the effect of non-treatment; and about the diagnosis, prognosis, and progress of treatment. Rogers\(^{[49]}\) showed that respect for patient autonomy is a fundamental principle of medical ethics, demonstrated in practice by facilitating patient choice. As Beckman\(^{[50]}\) reported, information is a very important issue for patients, given that it constitutes one of the major indicators of their satisfaction as well as a reason for legal proceedings. Shared decision making is associated with patient satisfaction. Studies have shown that most patients prefer to be involved in decision making, although their preferences vary.\(^{[23]}\) Patients’ participation in decision making and preservation of their rights cause improvement in treatment, shorter hospitalization period, reduced treatment costs, and prevention of irreparable physical and emotional damages.\(^{[51]}\) In this study, this could be attributed to various factors such as having an effective communication or informing the patients about their disease, which is not consistent with the findings of other similar studies.\(^{[22,52]}\) though the findings of this study are in line with the findings of other studies.\(^{[11,53]}\)

Moreover, about half of the patients were satisfied with informed consent (53.8%). This could be due to the protection of doctors against potential shortcomings. As Dawes\(^{[54]}\) reported, informed consent is an important aspect of surgery. Yet, there has been little inquiry as to what patients want to know before their operation. Also, the government has a responsibility to ensure a healthy social and economic environment and provide the structures and mechanisms to guarantee equal access to affordable healthcare, with special regard to the more vulnerable groups of the population. As Black\(^{[55]}\) has stated, healthcare policies must be justly since they ensure healthcare ethics.

The patients assessed equitable access to healthcare service as good, which is consistent with the findings of other corresponding studies.\(^{[53]}\) In our survey, there was quick access to nurses, but the patients did not have access to their doctors in the hospitals. In fact, everyone has the right to receive healthcare quickly. Overall, it seems that due to their administrative and educational duties and the need for doctors in the operating room or in the ambulatory care visit, there is a lack of access to doctors. This finding is in line with other findings reported in Iranian studies.\(^{[27]}\) The limitation of this study was the lack of time for implementation of these strategies and studying their impact on the promotion of patients’ rights in the hospital under study.

**Conclusion**

The results suggest that the establishment of a framework for application of effective strategies can help to promote professional ethics, encourage board-based agreements related to ethical decisions, reduce ethical conflicts, and increase implementation of law on patients’ rights. Moreover, the PBR of Iran is in need of further revision and modification, and extensive education should be provided for the patients and the healthcare professionals as this is the most important structural strategy.
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