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Overview

Gram-negative bacteria outer membrane vesicles (OMVs) are extracellularly released blebs, constantly detaching from the bacterial cell surface. Being ubiquitous among bacteria and diverse in content, OMVs have a plethora of functions: promoting virulence, mediating bacterial cell–cell communication, modulating host immune response, and more. Though most research on OMVs has been carried out on animal pathogens, production of OMVs by plant pathogenic bacteria is predicted to be similarly intrinsic to their biology. Recent studies in the field of plant–bacteria interactions have begun to unravel the roles of OMVs, showing their involvement in biofilm formation, virulence, and modulation of plant immunity. With a range of general to highly specialized roles, these structures can act as an adaptive toolbox during pathogenesis and stress. This Pearl will crystallize current OMV research with a special focus on the role OMVs play in plant–bacteria interactions.

OMVs are intrinsic to Gram-negative bacteria

OMVs are formed continuously during growth and host colonization and are natural extensions of the bacteria producing them [1]. The phospholipid membrane bilayer of OMVs also contains lipopolysaccharides (LPS) and outer membrane–localized proteins. The OMV lumen envelops periplasmic constituents such as peptidoglycans (PG), soluble proteins, and enzymes and can contain an array of other small molecules, including RNA and DNA.

Released OMVs have been connected to several crucial bacterial behaviors, such as stress response, formation of biofilms, horizontal gene transfer, virulence, and cell–cell communication, and represent a general mechanism for the removal of misfolded toxic proteins [2][3]. As such, it is likely that OMV biogenesis and release are indispensable for bacteria and, thus far, mutants that do not produce OMVs have not been identified. The rate of vesicle production and the protein content of secreted OMVs vary when bacteria are grown under different environmental conditions, hinting at the existence of regulated biogenesis and cargo-sorting processes that direct specific proteins into OMVs [2,4]. The determinants that induce vesicle budding, the machinery that guides this process, and the rules governing incorporation or exclusion of specific proteins into OMVs are not clearly defined and are an active area of investigation [3][5][6][7][8][9]. The detection of virulence factors in OMVs from a wide range of bacteria, including plant pathogens, supports a general role for OMVs in promoting pathogenesis [10][11]. Resolving the distinction between proteins secreted selectively for pathogenesis against those selectively removed for bacterial health or simply due to abundance will be a key challenge in interpreting the proteomic data being generated for diverse bacterial OMVs [6]. The fact that OMVs are naturally and regularly produced with a broad range of constituents,
representing a multifunctional secretion pathway, sets the stage for inevitable multifarious interactions with the host environment.

OMVs are carriers of multiple immune elicitors and modulate plant immunity

Pathogen recognition is essential for the host to mount an effective immune response. Host cells may monitor for OMVs as a cue for pathogen invasion by recognizing OMV microbe-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs) [12]. The perception of OMVs in mammalian systems is facilitated by cell surface and cytosolic receptor recognition of OMV MAMPs and was recently reviewed [13]. Plants have only recently been shown to recognize and respond to OMVs purified from plant pathogens by activating typical innate immune responses [14]. MAMP diversity in OMVs is large and ranges from integral elements like LPS and PG to variable proteinaceous cargo such as Elongation Factor-Tu (EF-Tu) and flagellin, which have been found to be associated with purified OMVs [3,4,10,13]. This complex array of immune elicitors can be recognized by plant immune receptors known as pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), which have extracellular domains for MAMP recognition (Fig 1) [15].

Mutants of the model plant Arabidopsis lacking single PRRs show little or no change in the induction of defense responses following OMV treatment. This observation, together with biochemical evidence for the association of known MAMPs with OMVs, may suggest that OMVs can activate multiple PRRs, reflecting the redundancy of immune receptor signaling pathways potentially activated by OMVs [14]. Furthermore, an Arabidopsis mutant line producing a nonfunctional version of the co-receptor brassinosteroid-insensitive 1–associated kinase (BAK1), which is known to be a signalling hub for multiple PRRs, showed a significantly reduced response to OMVs, strengthening the hypothesis that multiple PRRs are responsive to and activated by OMVs [14]. A similar response, albeit slightly less significant, was seen with an Arabidopsis knockout line of the co-receptor Suppressor of BIR1-1 (SOBIR1) [14]. Intriguingly, EF-Tu was found to be associated with OMVs and to be recognized by its respective plant immune receptor [14]. The fact that EF-Tu is associated with OMVs from a broad range of bacteria suggests that OMVs could represent a conserved secretion pathway for this protein [5]. Whether bacterial OMVs can enter the plant cell or whether there are plant cytosolic receptors to detect them is unknown. However, clathrin-mediated endocytosis of OMVs is an element of the mammalian immune surveillance system for pathogens like enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli, for which internalization of OMVs allows cytosolic sensing of LPS [16]. Does the presence of the plant cell wall prevent OMV fusion to the plant cell plasma membrane? Future studies should shed light on this. Moreover, what is the outcome of these interactions, and how do OMV-induced immune responses differ from or contribute to those caused directly by the bacterium? The lack of bacterial mutants that do not produce OMVs makes resolving this problem and clarifying the role of OMVs from that of their constituents produced by bacteria even more challenging. These are just some of the questions that will probably challenge the field of plant–microbe interactions in the future.

The OMV secretion pathway facilitates the bacterial infection process

OMVs are beneficial to bacterial pathogens in the context of host colonization [3]. In addition to mitigating the effects of host-produced antibiotics through increased vesiculation, OMVs can shield the bacterial body from antibiotics by carrying enzymes that mediate antibiotic protection [17]. The packaging and delivery of key molecules and enzymes in and by OMVs have
implications in regulating host development, biofilm formation, nutrient acquisition, and in promoting disease (Fig 1).

One of the unique features of the OMV secretory pathway is the ability to coordinately deliver multiple effector molecules simultaneously to the target site. One example of this capability is provided by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, which releases OMVs loaded with virulence factors, protectively traveling to the target site at the host cytoplasmic membrane, where the vesicle fuses with the host membrane to deliver the cargo into the cytoplasm [18]. This phenomenon resembles, in a way, the effective and directed delivery of type III-secreted (T3S) effectors by certain plant pathogens into the host cytoplasm (Fig 1, [19]). OMVs, in contrast to the T3S system, have the potential to deliver a much larger and diverse array of molecules to
the host cytoplasm without the requirement of direct proximity between the bacteria and host cell.

Although OMV fusion with plant cells is yet to be shown, OMVs do contribute to bacterial virulence in planta. In the xylem-inhabiting plant pathogen *Xylella fastidiosa*, quorum sensing through the production and perception of diffusible signaling factor (DSF) induces aggregation, surface attachment, and biofilm formation. In a recent report by Ionesco et al. (2014), it was shown that a lack of DSF production, as in the ΔrpfF mutant, promotes a more virulent-free swimming form of the bacteria that overproduces OMVs. The authors suggest that OMVs serve as anti-adherence factors participating in mediating the switch from a sessile biofilm form of the bacterium to a free-swimming form, facilitating cell dispersion in the xylem and promoting virulence [20].

The OMVs secretory pathway has also been found to serve as an alternative route for extracellular enzymes secreted by the type II secretion (T2S) system [21]. Enzymes such as lipases, proteases, and cell wall–modifying proteins found in proteomics analyses of OMVs [10][22] could be the source of damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) that are also recognized by PRRs. In fact, there seems to be an overlap in the enzymes secreted via the T2S system and those packaged into OMVs. T2S xylanase, a plant cell wall–degrading enzyme whose secretion into the extracellular space is important for *Xanthomonas campestris* virulence, was shown to be secreted by both the T2S system and by OMVs [21]. Other virulence factors take the same route, as well. For instance, the packaging of LesA, a lipase/esterase also secreted by the T2S system in *X. fastidiosa*, into OMVs promotes the spread of disease symptoms [23]. This indicates that OMVs are an alternative route for T2S substrates and important for plant pathogen disease progression [21][23]. The packaging of LesA and xylanase into OMVs has several advantages over the T2S route: it can broaden the effective range of activity by protecting the protein from the extracellular environment and can allow targeted and coordinated delivery simultaneously [24]. The detection of T3S effector proteins and proteins related to their transport in plant pathogen OMVs suggests that OMVs could also be an alternative pathway for the T3S system or act in coordination with it [22][10].

OMVs could thus facilitate delivery of virulence factors both proximal and distal to the site of bacteria. Understanding the mechanisms of delivery as well as how OMV production cooperates with the other bacterial secretion systems will clarify how bacteria influence their extracellular environments.

**Future perspective**

Clearly, understanding the biology of plant–bacteria interactions is not complete without accounting for OMVs. The multitude of roles played by these extracellular organelles, from immune modulation to regulation of biofilms, nutrient acquisition, protein secretion, and detoxification, makes them a multifunction tool, much like a Swiss Army knife, available to respond to a variety of challenges. Whether bacteria can in fact select the tool set or if the OMV is only a microcosm of what is synthesized in the bacterial body remains to be seen. The rich species diversity of plant pathogenic bacteria offers many avenues for investigation into the way bacteria utilize these tools in specific plant–bacteria interactions. Beyond plant pathogenic bacteria, other microorganisms such as plant pathogenic fungi, nematodes, and also mutualistic microorganisms like rhizobia are likely to secrete extracellular vesicles [25,26]. The role of these extracellular vesicles in pathogenesis and in symbiosis is a fascinating area of research. It is clear we have barely crossed the outer membrane of plant–bacteria OMV research.
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