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Tamirat Herago*
Ethiochicken, AGP Poultry PLC, Hawassa, Ethiopia

Abebe Agonafir
Jigjiga University, college of Veterinary Medicine, Jigjiga, Ethiopia

Tamirat Chuko
Humbo Woreda Livestock and Fishery office, Wolaita Zone, Ethiopia

Abstract

The safety of human food is threatened by various agents including pathogenic microorganisms, and antimicrobial agents. Antibiotics are natural products of a micro-organism, or similar semi-synthetic products that inhibit the growth or destroy microorganisms. Residues of veterinary medicines are defined as pharmacologically active substances, principles, or degradation products and their metabolites, which remain in foodstuffs obtained from animals that have been administered the veterinary medicine. The most common causes for the presence of antibiotic residues in food of animal origin are violation of withdrawal periods, overdosing of antibiotics and use of inaccurate routes of administration of drugs. Drug residues concentrations vary from tissue to tissue and are generally observed to be higher in tissues of storage such as body fat or in organs that actively metabolize and excrete them. Probably the most common way milk is contaminated by penicillin is through intra-mammary infusion of the drug in the treatment of mastitis. The presence of antibiotics or their metabolites in food is potentially hazardous to health as it may cause allergic reactions in people and antibiotic resistance in pathogenic microorganisms. Some antibiotics like streptomycin, neomycin and gentamicin have been reported to cause damage to the kidney and to hearing. Allergic reaction to the human body mostly resulted from β-Lactam antibiotics. Anaphylactic reactions are reported to result from consumption of beef or pork containing penicillin.

1. Introduction

The safety of human food is threatened by various agents including pathogenic microorganisms, aflatoxins, pesticides and antimicrobial agents. Pathogenic microorganisms constitute the most important food related threat to public health. Relatively, little is known about food safety in relation to antimicrobial agents, in the developing world. While pasteurization and other forms of heat treatment eliminate pathogenic microorganisms from animal source food, these procedures have limited or variable effects on drug residues in animal originated food (Moat, 1988).

Antibiotics are natural products of a micro-organism, identical synthetic products, or similar semi-synthetic products that inhibit the growth or destroy microorganisms. Due to their availability and low cost, antibiotics have been widely used in the dairy, beef, poultry, aquaculture, and honey production industries in various countries. In veterinary medicine, antibiotics are used for therapeutic, prophylactic, nutritive and growth purposes (Wassenaar, 2005). Antimicrobials are also needed for the relief of pain and suffering in animals. For food animals, the gains that have been made in food production capacity would not have been possible without the ability for reliable drugs to contain the threat of disease to animals (Jones and Seymour, 1988).

In recent years, concerns about the use of antimicrobial products in food producing animals have focused on human food safety because foods of animal origin are sometimes identified as the vehicles of food borne disease in humans and therefore, also vehicles of resistant food borne pathogens and resistant genetic material. The major zoonotic pathogens of concern for the development of antimicrobial resistance are Salmonella species and Campylobacter jejune (Smith et al., 2004).

The benefit to human health in the proper use of antibiotics in food animals is related to the ability for drugs to combat infectious bacteria that can be transferred to humans by either direct contact with the sick animal,
consumption of food contaminated with pathogens from animals or proliferation into the environment. The use of drugs in animals is fundamental to animal health and well-being. However, the use of antimicrobials in food animals is not without risks (Lee et al., 2005).

Various antibiotics used in the treatment of animal diseases have been shown to occur in animal products used as human food and are usually attributed to non-observance of withdrawal periods before sale of animal source food (Redundant and Moretain, 1990). Residues of veterinary medicines are defined as pharmacologically active substances whether active principles, or degradation products and their metabolites, which remain in foodstuffs obtained from animals that have been administered the veterinary medicine. The most common causes for the presence of antibiotic residues in food of animal origin are violation of withdrawal periods, overdosing of antibiotics, and use of banned drugs for treatment and injection of drugs through incorrect routes for treatment of economic animals (Lee et al., 2005).

The use of antibiotics in animal husbandry and its occurrence in related food may lead to selection of resistance in bacterial populations that do not respond to treatment commonly used for human diseases. Residues are also concern due to their possible adverse effects on inhibition of starter cultures used to produce cultured milk products such as yogurt and cheeses (Jones and Seymour, 1988).

Though the use of antimicrobials in food animals and their residue in human consuming foods of animals’ origin need great concentration, so much works was not conducted to create awareness and emphasise them. Therefore, objectives of this paper were to review the major classes of drugs used in food animals, its residues in animal originated food and to understand the potential adverse effects of drugs residues in human health.

2. Major classes of drugs used in food animals
The aim of anti-microbiological therapy is to rapidly produce and maintain an effective concentration of drug at the site of infection for sufficient time to allow host specific and non-specific defences to eradicate the pathogen (Benet and Bellemain, 2005).

There are many drugs that used in food producing animals. Some of them are: topical antiseptics, bactericides, fungicides, steroid anabolic growth promoters and peptide production enhancers, Anti-parasite drugs (Abamectin, Albendazole, Azaperon, Doramectin, Ivermectin, and Fenbendazole), Antibiotics (Penicillin, Tetracycline), amino-glycosides, and other food animal drugs (Delgado et al., 1999).

Some drugs are prohibited from use in food animals. Drugs that are not permitted to give food producing animals are: clenbuterol, chloramphenicol, nitroimidazoles, and nitrofurans. Chloramphenicol not used for any purpose that would result in the presence of residues in food animals for consumption by human beings. In veterinary medicine, the drug is approved for use only in the non-food producing animals (Mercer, 1975).

2.1. Major Drugs Used in Beef Cattle
There are many drugs used in beef cattle but, major ones are: penicillin, tetracycline, dihydrostreptomycin, macrolides, hormones and anti-helminthic. Penicillin derivatives (β-lactam antibiotics) are widely used in beef cattle to treat infections and as feed or drinking water additives to prevent some diseases. Preparation containing a combination of benzathine penicillin, procaine penicillin, and dihydrostreptomycin are used in mixed to synergize their action on pathogens (Mercer et al., 1970).

Oxytetracycline is a broad spectrum antibiotic used to treat a variety of infections and is also used as a growth promoter in animals. Addition of tetracycline to animal feeds at 5-20ppm does not seem to produce residues in edible tissues. In addition to these; neomycin, gentamicin, flunixin, streptomycin, erythromycin, anti-helminthic, and other drugs are also used in beef cattle (Commission of the European Communities, 2005).

Many feed additives are available that can improve rate of gain and feed efficiency or prevent certain diseases. Feed additives may be both nutritive and non-nutritive; and work by either direct or indirect methods on the animal’s system. Many of the products influence different systems. Therefore, the effects of one can be additive to another. Since some feed additives must be withdrawn from the diet before slaughter, the beef cattle feeder has crucial responsibilities. The use of medicated feed additives is controlled by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Other feed additives such as larvicides are controlled by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) since they are not absorbed and have no direct physiological impact on the animal (Tishler et al., 1990).

Growth promotants are primarily given to cattle in the form of small pellets placed under the skin of the animal’s ear. These ear implants dissolve slowly over a 100-120day period. The ear is used to inject, because ears do not enter in the food supply. The active ingredients most of which are naturally occurring hormones are either oestrogens or androgens. The oestrogens can be natural, synthetic or plant based. The androgens also may be either natural or synthetic. The synthetic androgen used in implants (trenbolone acetate) has low adverse effect on public health (Redundant and Moretain, 1990). Depending on the implant, age and sex of the animal, implants will improve growth rate from 10 to 20 percent and decrease the cost of beef production by 5 to 10 percent (Sbampato et al., 2001).
2.2. Drugs Used in Dairy Cattle

The most commonly used drugs in dairy cattle are: penicillin, tetracycline, amino-glycosides, ceftiofur, chlorhexidine, povidone iodine, polymixin B, macroldes, Sulfonamides and other drugs. Dairy cattle that have been treated with antibiotics produce milk containing antibiotic residues for a period of time after treatment. Treated cows are therefore required to be excluded from the milk supply for a specific time period to ensure that antibiotic residues no longer remain in their milk (Mcevoy et al., 1999).

Extra label intramammary administration of drugs occurs with some regularity in dairy cattle most commonly in association with mammary gland infections (mastitis) that fail to respond to approved products (Smith et al., 2004).

Ceftiofur sodium and ceftiofur hydrochloride are approved for intramuscular and subcutaneous use only and label use does not result in drug concentrations in milk greater than the tolerance limit level. Occasionally, these antimicrobials have been used in an extra labeled manner by bovine practioners or dairy producers for the intramammary treatment of coliform mastitis (Smith et al., 2004).

Chlorhexidine solution is occasionally, used to cause cessation of lactation in chronically infected mammary gland quarters. After its intramammary infusion, no residues were detected in milk from untreated mammary gland quarters. However, it was detected in milk from treated quarters throughout the duration (Middleton et al., 2003).

Polymixin B is narrow spectrum antimicrobial with good in-vitro activity against gram negative bacteria including coliforms after intramammary infusion. The drug was not systemically absorbed from normal udders although: substantial blood and urine polymixin concentrations were detected after infusion of acutely inflamed udder (Ziv and Schulze, 1982).

Povidone iodine is also given by the intra-mammary route for cessation of lactation in chronically infected mammary gland quarters. It may be less likely to cause milk residues than chlorhexidine (WHO, 1963).

Because povidone iodine is very effective completely eliminating all secretions from treated mammary gland quarter, it is likely a better choice for therapeutic cessation of lactation because the residues risk should be minimal if no secretion (milk) is produced. However, it should be emphasized that this represents extra label use of drug and because appropriate milk withdrawal data are not available extra care must be taken to avoid milking treated mammary gland quarters, which could potentially lead to residues (Middleton and Fox, 2001).

2.3. Drugs Used in Poultry

Antibiotics are used on a large scale in poultry farms to treat or prevent diseases to promote growth (Richard, 1986).

Many antibacterial and anticoccidial drugs are licensed for use in poultry for treatment of enteric and respiratory disease. Veterinary drugs are therapeutically used for laying hens, generally by mass application via water or feed or may reach them accidentally: for example, as a result of cross contamination in the feed mill (WHO, 1963). The major drugs used in poultry are: chlortetracycline, sulfonamides, erythromycin, gentamicin, furazolidone, nitrofurazone, tylosine, lincomycin, ampicillin, doxycycline, neomycin and other drugs are used for the treatment and prophylactic purposes. Sulfonamides in poultry are widely used for the treatment of infectious coryza, pullorum disease, fowl typhoid, and coccidiosis. The compounds roxarsone, carbarsone, and arsanilic acid have been used in drugs added to feed for chickens, and turkeys to prevent disease, increase feed efficiency, and promote growth (Giguere et al., 2006).

3. Drug residues in edible tissues

The drugs or their metabolites left over in the body after their administration for a long time are termed as residues. After the treatment of infected animals with drugs, the residues of drugs are present at some level in edible products like milk, eggs, and meat of treated animals. Drug residues concentrations vary considerably from tissue to tissue and are generally observed to be higher in tissues of storage such as body fat or in organs that actively metabolize and excrete them. For example, the residue may be highest in body fat, in the liver and kidneys (Booth, 1973).

Chlorinated compounds such as pesticide agents have a high affinity for storage in the fat. Internationally, the levels of antibiotics that may be added to feeds without veterinary medical prescription vary from country to country. In general, use of antibiotics that might result in deposition of residue on meat, milk, and egg must not be permitted in food intended for human consumption. However, if the use of antibiotics is necessary as in the treatment of animal diseases, a withholding period must be observed until the residues are negligible or can no longer be detected (Rasmussen, 1998).

Concerns have been reflected about the presence of residues in food products and questions have arisen among various public health authorities about the wisdom of using low or sub therapeutic levels of antibiotics in the feed of animals. It is generally accepted that such levels have less than an optimum antimicrobial effect and that the induction of bacterial resistance including transference of R-factor can occur. There is sufficient
Antibiotics are invariably administered to dairy cattle to control infectious diseases, but their indiscriminate use, without adequate technical and veterinary control, can lead to a series of negative consequences at all levels of the dairy productive chain (Portugal, 2001). Antibiotic residues in milk and milk products are a rare consequence of antibiotic usage on dairy farms. The use of intra-mammary antibiotics and mistakes regarding withholding periods of milk are the most frequently cited reasons for antibiotic residues. Antibiotic residues enter the milk of dairy cattle requires 48 hours and the same dosage in oil requires 72 hours (Peoples and Pier, 1960).

Other antimicrobial agents can lead to the partial or total inhibition of the lactic bacteria resulting in products with compromised structural and sensory features (Kecelli and Robinson, 1997). In the typical case of stretched curd cheeses, such as provolone and mozzarella, the stretching process becomes impossible and the fermentation periods can be very extensive. When the cheese curd is cut, it results in higher losses of casein and fat to the whey leading to yield reduction. Cheese curing is also affected due to the evidence to indicate that heavily exposed human personnel have a higher incidence may be the result of exposure to antibiotics or antibiotic feed animals or their food products. However, the animals that serve as shedders of antibiotic resistance pathogens for general human population remain undetermined (Smith, 1974b).

### 3.1. Drug Residues in Meat

Drugs most likely to be detected in meat are: penicillin (including ampicillin), tetracycline (including chlortetracycline, and oxytetracycline), neomycin, gentamicin, streptomycin, flunixin, arsenicals, and sulfonamides (including sulfadimethoxine and sulfamethazine and sulfamethoxazole). Penicillin and sulfonamide drugs were most commonly detected at violative levels in swine and cattle. Neomycin and gentamicin were also detected in a number of cattle particularly, calves. Other drugs detected in cattle and swine included tilmicosin, flunixin, and tetracycline (Gregory, 1988). According to Garrod (1964), antibiotics used in dry feeds at less than 20 ppm will not give rise to detectable levels in meat even if administration was continued until the time of slaughter. Under certain circumstances the WHO Expert Committee in 1963, acknowledged that it might be necessary to exceed this level. All edible tissues (liver, muscle, fat, and kidney) were found to be free from penicillin residue after 0.5 and 7 days of withdrawal respectively. In calves, penicillin residues were present in the injection site and in urine 45 days after treatment with a preparation containing a combination of benzathine penicillin, procaine penicillin and dihydrostreptomycin (Righter, 1971b).

Sulfonamides are generally used to treat a wide variety of bacterial and coccidial infections in food producing animals especially, in poultry and are used as growth promoters in swine. Consequently, drug manufacturers were not required to submit data on tissues residues following their use in food producing animals (Tishler et al., 1990). In sheep, 10-day withdrawal period is required for sulfamerazine when given at the recommended therapeutic level of 132 mg/kg for 3 days. Most of analytical methodology for the detection of sulfonamide residues in tissues is sensitive to 0.1 ppm. For blood and serum, sensitivity to 2 ppm has been reported (Righter et al., 1972).

Tetracycline was presented in the bones of chickens that were fed only 5 ppm for no longer than 3 days. It has also been detected in bones at the level of 5.5 ppm in chickens fed 9.2 ppm for approximately 2 months, 0.52 ppm in swine fed 30 mg/day for 3 months and 1.79 ppm in calves fed 60 mg/days for 2 months. The tetracycline absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract and may become firmly bound in teeth and skeletal structures (WHO, 1969b). In swine, the continuous feeding of tetracycline 100g/ton in combination with penicillin 50g/ton and sulfamethazine 100g/ton for 14 weeks resulted in less than 1 ppm for chlortetracycline in all tissues on the day 0 of withdrawal (Babapour et al., 2012).

The kidney contained 20 times the concentrations of 235-288 μg of dihydrostreptomycin/g of tissue of antibiotic compared to that detected in muscle 1.2-6μg/g from the injection site or in the liver 14-21μg/g. In pigs treated for 3 days with dihydrostreptomycin at a dosage of 26 mg/kg/day in combination with penicillin, dihydrostreptomycin residues were detected for 60 days in the kidneys and muscle injection sites. In as much as the urine did not contain detectable dihydrostreptomycin at 60 days, an affinity, or binding capability of the antibiotic to renal tissue was suspected (Mercer et al., 1970).

### 3.2. Drug Residues in Milk

Antibiotics are invariably administered to dairy cattle to control infectious diseases, but their indiscriminate use, without adequate technical and veterinary control, can lead to a series of negative consequences at all levels of the dairy productive chain (Portugal, 2001). Antibiotic residues in milk and milk products are a rare consequence of antibiotic usage on dairy farms. The use of intra-mammary antibiotics and mistakes regarding withholding periods of milk are the most frequently cited reasons for antibiotic residues. Antibiotic residues enter the milk of dairy cattle requires 48 hours and the same dosage in oil requires 72 hours (Peoples and Pier, 1960).

In the fermented dairy products manufacturing, such as cheeses and yogurts, the presence of antibiotics and other antimicrobial agents can lead to the partial or total inhibition of the lactic bacteria resulting in products with compromised structural and sensory features (Kecelli and Robinson, 1997).
level is very high and the detection limit for the drug tested very low, residues deposited in the yolks, which are taken up during the rapid growth phase of the yolk have been excreted with the eggs. However, if the exposure to neurological disorders. Antibiotic resistance has become a definite complicating factor in the treatment of animal allergic reaction, hypersensitivity, tissue damage, gastrointestinal disturbance, carcinogenic effect, and considering drug residues has been disputed. It appears that the extent of exposure, as well as the characteristics remain in eggs until 70 days after administration. The main compartment of eggs to be taken into account when in the intermediate stage of growth, will also be detectable. This can explain the fact, chloramphenicol residues increase, be constant or decrease (Tishler
rapid growth, thus depending on the length and timing of the exposure relative to yolk growth, levels in yolk can plasma and hence white is generally 2-3 days. Residues in yolk reflect the plasma levels during 10 days of their distributed through the body of the chicken, accumulate in various tissues and transferred into their products. The recommended withdrawal periods if not observed before slaughtering of the medicated animals and its products obtained from such animals may be contaminated with residues of sulfonamides (Weiss et al., 2007).
As a result, there is a concern that the residues may be retained in the meat and eggs that present a potential risk to human health. In order to decrease the potential risk to the consumer’s health and to ensure the reduction of sulfonamide residues in edible tissues and eggs to an acceptable level, these substances must be administered only in recommended concentrations and their respective withdrawal times must be observed. The maximum residue level (MRL) of sulfonamides in poultry tissues and eggs is 100 μg/kg (Kozarova et al., 2004).
The pharmacokinetics of drug residues in yolk and egg white show certain common features. Residues of drugs appear first in egg white, where they reflect plasma levels. The time required to achieve constant levels in plasma and hence white is generally 2-3 days. Residues in yolk reflect the plasma levels during 10 days of their rapid growth, thus depending on the length and timing of the exposure relative to yolk growth, levels in yolk can increase, be constant or decrease (Tishler et al., 1990).
An exposure of about 8-10 days is necessary for yolk levels to become constant. A single exposure towards a drug might be sufficient to detect the drug in either egg white or yolk, depending on the characteristics of the drug and the sensitivity of the analytical method used for testing. Disappearance of drugs from white and yolk depends heavily on the plasma levels of the drug tested. Drugs that clear rapidly from the body also disappear from egg white in about 2-3 days after cessation of exposure (Franco et al., 1990).
Disappearance of drugs from yolk generally takes about 10 days. By that time, drug-containing lipoproteins taken up during the rapid growth phase of the yolk have been excreted with the eggs. However, if the exposure level is very high and the detection limit for the drug tested very low, residues deposited in the yolks, which are in the intermediate stage of growth, will also be detectable. This can explain the fact, chloramphenicol residues remain in eggs until 70 days after administration. The main compartment of eggs to be taken into account when considering drug residues has been disputed. It appears that the extent of exposure, as well as the characteristics of the drugs themselves is the determinant factors (Roudaut, 1998).

3.3. Drug Residues in Egg
When therapeutically used veterinary drugs or their metabolites reach the blood stream of hens, they are distributed over the whole body, especially the ovary with growing follicles and the oviduct, where the egg white is formed and secreted (Radu et al., 2001).
Therefore, may increase the incidence of unacceptable residues in eggs. Such residues are reduced by establishing and adhering to withdrawal periods before slaughter and by sometimes prohibiting the use of certain antibiotics in laying hens (Kan and Petz, 2000).
Due to lack of biosecurity measures prevalence of infectious diseases and subsequently indiscriminate drug usage, without observing withdrawal period, has made the poultry products unsafe for human health (Weiss et al., 2007).
Sulfonamide drugs are most commonly used on poultry farms. These drugs can be easily absorbed and distributed through the body of the chicken, accumulate in various tissues and transferred into their products. The recommended withdrawal periods if not observed before slaughtering of the medicated animals and its products obtained from such animals may be contaminated with residues of sulfonamides (Weiss et al., 2007).
As a result, there is a concern that the residues may be retained in the meat and eggs that present a potential risk to human health. In order to decrease the potential risk to the consumer’s health and to ensure the reduction of sulfonamide residues in edible tissues and eggs to an acceptable level, these substances must be administered only in recommended concentrations and their respective withdrawal times must be observed. The maximum residue level (MRL) of sulfonamides in poultry tissues and eggs is 100 μg/kg (Kozarova et al., 2004).
The pharmacokinetics of drug residues in yolk and egg white show certain common features. Residues of drugs appear first in egg white, where they reflect plasma levels. The time required to achieve constant levels in plasma and hence white is generally 2-3 days. Residues in yolk reflect the plasma levels during 10 days of their rapid growth, thus depending on the length and timing of the exposure relative to yolk growth, levels in yolk can increase, be constant or decrease (Tishler et al., 1990).
An exposure of about 8-10 days is necessary for yolk levels to become constant. A single exposure towards a drug might be sufficient to detect the drug in either egg white or yolk, depending on the characteristics of the drug and the sensitivity of the analytical method used for testing. Disappearance of drugs from white and yolk depends heavily on the plasma levels of the drug tested. Drugs that clear rapidly from the body also disappear from egg white in about 2-3 days after cessation of exposure (Franco et al., 1990).
Disappearance of drugs from yolk generally takes about 10 days. By that time, drug-containing lipoproteins taken up during the rapid growth phase of the yolk have been excreted with the eggs. However, if the exposure level is very high and the detection limit for the drug tested very low, residues deposited in the yolks, which are in the intermediate stage of growth, will also be detectable. This can explain the fact, chloramphenicol residues remain in eggs until 70 days after administration. The main compartment of eggs to be taken into account when considering drug residues has been disputed. It appears that the extent of exposure, as well as the characteristics of the drugs themselves is the determinant factors (Roudaut, 1998).

4. Potential adverse effects of drug residues in human
The presence of antibiotics in human food is associated with several adverse public health effects including allergic reaction, hypersensitivity, tissue damage, gastrointestinal disturbance, carcinogenic effect, and neurological disorders. Antibiotic resistance has become a definite complicating factor in the treatment of animal diseases. There is also concern that resistant organisms shed by animals may transfer R-factors to the enteric organisms of human beings and conceivably complicate the treatment of human disease (Aronson, 1975). Although some hypersensitive effects reported in human beings have been associated with drinking milk containing antibiotic residues such as penicillin, is generally recognized that there are no known deleterious effects on human health attributable to drug residues permitted in food supply (Storrs et al., 1970a). An antibiotic residue in milk leads to: severe allergic reactions in sensitive consumers, culture failure, and subsequent loss of product, a change in consumer perception of milk being pure, unadulterated, natural product (Kozarova et al., 2002).

4.1. Allergic effect
Allergic reaction to the human body mostly resulted from consumption of animal products especially milk that
contaminated with β-Lactam antibiotics (DeWeck, 1983). The occurrence of antibiotic residues in milk intended for human consumption is undesirable for a number of reasons. Recently, the presence of antibiotics in milk has been prohibited because of concerns about public health. Initially, public health officials desired to protect hypersensitive individuals from exposure to specific antibiotics. Attention has shifted to the potential for antibiotic residues in milk to contribute to the development and/or transmission of antibiotic resistant bacteria (Mitchell, et al., 1998).

Anaphylactic reactions have been reported to result from consumption of beef or pork containing penicillin. Allergic reactions to antibiotics are well recognized and hypersensitivity to β-lactam compounds is especially prevalent (Kurwijila et al., 2006).

The immunological characteristics of most other drug classes (including macrolides, tetracycline, and amino-glycosides) make the development of allergic responses to minute residues. Unlikely, although it is considered theoretically, possible that exposure could result in clinically relevant immunological events. Allergic reactions (dermatitis, pruritis, and urticaria) of pre-sensitized individuals caused by β-lactam residues in milk have been documented for a small number of people (DeWeck, 1983).

Allergic effect of macrolide antibiotics (erythromycin, oleandomycin, and spiromycin) has not been observed in human beings following their clinical use. Residue level of erythromycin in milk, meat and egg as high as 0.04, 0.3 and 0.3ppm respectively; oleandomycin as high as 0.15, 0.3 and 0.1ppm respectively and spiromycin as high as 0.025ppm reported only in meat are not likely to cause allergic effect. Ingestion of antibiotics present in milk can influence gut flora (Sutiak et al., 2000).

4.2. Microbiological effect

Drugs can cause disruption of normal human flora in the intestine and bacteria that usually live in the intestine act as a barrier to prevent incoming pathogenic bacteria from getting established and causing disease. Antibiotic residue might reduce total numbers of these bacteria or selectively kill some important species (Woodward, 1991).

Bacteria that are sensitive to antimicrobials are killed or put at a competitive disadvantage, while bacteria that have the ability to resist antimicrobials have an advantage and are able to grow more rapidly than more susceptible bacteria. In addition, bacteria can become resistant when resistance genes are passed from a resistant bacterium to a sensitive one. Thus, antimicrobial agents may increase the prevalence of resistant bacteria among both target pathogens and normal bacterial flora (Gregory, 1988).

4.3. Carcinogenic effect

Drug whose residues induce cancer in humans or consumers are: Nitrofurans, NitroimdaZoles. Inorganic arsenic is also a known carcinogen and may adversely affect the circulatory and nervous systems. Although, furazolidone had been labeled and approved for anti-protozoal and other uses for a wide variety of conditions in poultry and swine, its metabolites also have been shown to induce cancer in animals and human beings (Gregory, 1988).

4.4. Toxic effect

Toxic effects mostly resulted from the consumption of food animal products medicated with drugs like: clenbuterol (Gustavsson et al., 2004). Toxic effects to human beings include hearing problems caused by streptomycin and allergy problems and anaphylactic shock caused by penicillin. In addition, it is widely recognized that the indiscriminate use of such substances promotes the development of resistant microorganisms hindering the antibiotic therapy. They are also heat resistant, so the temperatures used in the UHT process are ineffective in eliminating them (Brancher and Fagundes, 1998).

In tetracycline, some toxic effects were observed at high doses. Therapeutic doses are occasionally associated with discolored teeth, allergic reactions, or peripheral blood changes (Gustavsson et al., 2004).

Some antibiotics like streptomycin, neomycin and, gentamicin has been reported to cause damage to the kidney and to hearing. They depleted rapidly from muscle and fat but tend to persist in kidney and liver, not readily metabolized in animals or in humans (Eberhart et al., 1998).

One significant adverse effect of gentamycin in humans that occurred during treatment of pregnant women with tuberculosis and also infants of women treated first trimester: damage to a cranial nerve and congenital deafness. No other evidence of effects on fertility or reproduction. It is not expected that low food residues/low absorption would affect fetal development (Seguin et al., 1974).

Data cited by JECFA indicate that the primary mechanism of toxicity of sulfonamides is associated with the thyroid–hypothalamus. Toxicity should be measured by parameters of thyroid and pituitary function. Hypersensitivity reactions or primarily skin rashes to therapeutic levels of sulfonamides have been reported: but, there have been no cases that involved exposure to residues in foods (Fechner et al., 1974).
5. Conclusion
Antibiotics are natural products of a micro-organism used for therapeutic, prophylactic, and nutritive and growth purposes in food animals. Drugs that used in food animals are Topical antiseptics, bactericides, and fungicides, Steroid anabolic growth promoters and peptide production enhancers, Anti-helminthic drugs, Antibiotics (Penicillin, Tetracycline), Amino-glycosides and others. Drugs most likely to be detected in meat are: neomycin, gentamicin, streptomycin, flunixin, arsenicals, penicillin tetracycline, and sulfonamides. Antibiotics are invariably administered to dairy cattle, but their indiscriminate use, without adequate technical and veterinary control, can lead to a series of negative consequences at all levels of the dairy productive chain. Many antibacterial and anticoccidial drugs are licensed for use in poultry for treatment of enteric and respiratory disease. Potential adverse effects of drugs that appear as residues in food animals are: carcinogenic, allergic, toxic, neurologic disorder and microbiological effects.
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