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Abstract

Background: The mitochondrial protein import complexes arose early in eukaryogenesis. Most of the components of the protein import pathways predate the last eukaryotic common ancestor. For example, the carrier-insertase TIM22 complex comprises the widely conserved Tim22 channel core. However, the auxiliary components of fungal and animal TIM22 complexes are exceptions to this ancient conservation.

Methods: Using comparative genomics and phylogenetic approaches, we identified precisely when each TIM22 accretion occurred.

Results: In animals, we demonstrate that Tim29 and Tim10b arose early in the holozoan lineage. Tim29 predates the metazoan lineage being present in the animal sister lineages, choanoflagellate and filastereans, whereas the erroneously named Tim10b arose from a duplication of Tim9 at the base of metazoans. In fungi, we show that Tim54 has representatives present in every holomycotan lineage including microsporidians and fonticulids, whereas Tim18 and Tim12 appeared much later in fungal evolution. Specifically, Tim18 and Tim12 arose from duplications of Sdh3 and Tim10, respectively, early in the Saccharomycotina. Surprisingly, we show that Tim54 is distantly related to AGK suggesting that AGK and Tim54 are extremely divergent orthologues and the origin of AGK/Tim54 interaction with Tim22 predates the divergence of animals and fungi.

Conclusions: We argue that the evolutionary history of the TIM22 complex is best understood as the neutral structural divergence of an otherwise strongly functionally conserved protein complex. This view suggests that many of the differences in structure/subunit composition of multi-protein complexes are non-adaptive. Instead, most of the phylogenetic variation of functionally conserved molecular machines, which have been under stable selective pressures for vast phylogenetic spans, such as the TIM22 complex, is most likely the outcome of the interplay of random genetic drift and
mutation pressure.
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Introduction

Mitochondria evolved from an ancient alphaproteobacterial endosymbiont (Martijn et al., 2018; Roger et al., 2017). The integration of the symbiont into host cell processes required the evolution of dedicated machinery for the import of host-encoded proteins (Roger et al., 2017). The establishment of symbiont protein import allowed the transfer of many genes from the symbiont to the host genome as well as domestication of symbiont metabolic processes (e.g., via the evolution of mitochondrial carrier family proteins MCFs) (Cavalier-Smith, 2006). Understanding how symbions become organelles and integrate into host cell processes requires an understanding of how protein import machineries originate and diversify.

Proteins imported into the mitochondria require several dedicated protein complexes to ensure proper sorting and assembly into mitochondrial subcompartments, including the mitochondrial outer membrane (MOM), the mitochondrial inner membrane (MIM), the intermembrane space (IMS) and the matrix (Wiedemann & Pfanner, 2017). The translocase of the mitochondrial outer membrane complex (TOM) facilitates the transport of all mitochondrial proteins (with the exception of some MOM proteins (Becker et al., 2008; Becker et al., 2011; Dimmer et al., 2012; Doan et al., 2020)). The sorting and assembly machinery complex (SAM) is required for the import and assembly of MOM β-barrel proteins like Tom40, Sam50, Mdm10, and Porin. Two translocase of the mitochondrial inner membrane (TIM) complexes facilitate MIM protein import. The TIM23 complex is required for the membrane translocation of proteins with presequences that are directed into the matrix as well as single-pass transmembrane domain (TMD) proteins (Mokranjac & Neupert, 2010). The TIM22 complex is responsible for inserting and assembling multi-pass TMD proteins like MCFs into the MIM (Horton et al., 2020).

All of these protein complexes are inferred to have been present in the last eukaryotic common ancestor (LECA) and the general phylogenetic profiles of their components have been recently reported (Fukasawa et al., 2017; Mani et al., 2015). Investigations have so far focused on the broad distribution of subunits across eukaryotes, leaving some details unexplored, like the evolution of TIM22 complex components.

The TIM22 complex functions to assemble multi-pass TMD proteins like MCFs into the MIM (Kerscher et al., 1997). In both human and yeast cells, TIM22 substrates first cross the MOM via TOM and are delivered to the small tim complexes (Tim9-10 and Tim8-13 complexes; Tim12 is additionally present in S. cerevisiae, whereas Tim10a and Tim10b, as well as Tim8a and Tim8b are present in human) which shuttle proteins through the IMS to TIM22 (Beverly et al., 2008; Davis et al., 2007; Gentle et al., 2007; Hoppins & Nargang, 2004; Koehler et al., 1998; Sirrenberg et al., 1998).

In S. cerevisiae, the TIM22 complex comprises Tim22, Tim54, Tim12, Tim18, and Sdh3, whereas in human, the complex contains Tim22, Tim29, AGK (acylglycerol kinase), and a subset of small Tims (Tim9, Tim10a, and Tim10b); as the small Tims are soluble proteins that shuttle hydrophobic substrates, they might not constitute stable components of the TIM22 complex. In S. cerevisiae, Tim54 plays a role in TIM22 complex stability as well as assembly of the Yme1 complex (Hwang et al., 2007; Kerscher et al., 1997). Sdh3 (a component of succinate dehydrogenase [SDH] – Complex II of the electron transport chain) and Tim18 (a parologue of Sdh4) facilitate the integration of Tim54 into the TIM22 complex (Gebert et al., 2011; Kerscher et al., 2000; Koehler et al., 2000). A recent cryo-EM structure has been solved for the human TIM22 complex, showing how the components interact within the MIM (Qi et al., 2019). In human mitochondria, Tim29 stabilizes the TIM22 complex and links it to TOM (Callegari et al., 2016; Kang et al., 2016). AGK plays a role in the function of TIM22 as well as lipid metabolism (Kang et al., 2017; Vukotic et al., 2017).

Because animal and fungal TIM22 complexes are best characterized, both structurally and functionally, these lineages offer an ideal case to dissect the fine-grained evolutionary history of multi-protein complexes. Apart from the central Tim22 subunit (Fukasawa et al., 2017; Žárský & Doležal, 2016), the origin and evolution of the TIM22 complex components in animals and fungi has not been extensively investigated. In this paper, we explore the evolutionary history of the TIM22 complex in animals and fungi, using homology searching and phylogenetic methods. We found that each lineage’s TIM22 complex accreted subunits independently. We place our findings in a larger theoretical framework recently developed by Lynch (Lynch, 2020; Lynch & Trickovic, 2020). We argue that most of the structural variation seen in the functionally conserved TIM22 complex across the Holozoa and the Holomycota is non-adaptive. The evolutionary history of the TIM22 complex probably represents an example of effectively neutral divergence from an optimal mean phenotype which has primarily been governed by the joint forces of drift and mutation.

Results and discussion

Tim29, AGK, Tim10b, and Tim8b have varied phyletic distribution in the holozoan lineage

Recent investigations have identified complex components beyond Tim22 in human cells, namely Tim29 and AGK (Callegari et al., 2016; Kang et al., 2016; Kang et al., 2017; Mårtensson & Becker 2017; Qi et al., 2019; Vukotic et al., 2017). Tim29 was originally identified as a complex component primarily involved in complex assembly and stability (Kang et al., 2016) but was subsequently also shown to be important for protein import (Callegari et al., 2016). The Sengers syndrome-associated AGK was originally shown to catalyze the phosphorylation of acyglycerols to lysophosphatidic and phosphatidic acid in the MIM (Bektas et al., 2005), but has recently been identified as a TIM22 complex member in human cells (Kang et al., 2017; Vukotic et al., 2017). A cryo-EM structure that includes Tim22, Tim29, AGK, and the small Tims (Tim9, Tim10a, and Tim10b) has recently been reported (Qi et al., 2019). Since Tim29, AGK, and Tim10b are not present in fungi (Fukasawa et al., 2017), we sought to determine when in the holozoan lineage these proteins were gained.
Using the reciprocal best BLAST search method, we identified orthologues of Tim29 in the genomes of most animal species and unicellular eukaryotes (i.e., protists) most closely related to animals (Figure 1). This means Tim29 originated prior to the origin of animals. We could not identify Tim29 in Gallus gallus; however, orthologous sequences were recovered from other birds and reptiles, suggesting loss of Tim29 is limited to chickens. We used our set of Tim29 sequences to search for orthologues across eukaryotes using the HMMer server (Finn et al., 2011) at EBI. When restricting our taxon searching to exclude holozoans, we retrieved no hits below our 0.01 e-value significance cut off, strongly suggesting that no homologues of Tim29 exist outside Holozoa (Extended data, Supplemental Text File 1; Wideman et al., 2020).

To determine when in the holozoan lineages the TIM22 subunits AGK, Tim10b, and Tim8b first appeared, we collected sequences related to AGK and the small Tims from diverse holozoan genomes. We aligned sequences using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) and performed phylogenetic reconstructions using RAxML (Stamatakis, 2014) and MrBayes (Ronquist et al., 2012).

The phylogenetic reconstruction of AGK and related sequences clearly distinguish putative clades of holozoan AGK, ceramide kinase, and sphingosine kinase indicative of a pre-metazoan ancestry of these enzymes (Figure 2A). We did not include representatives from an outgroup as the best BLAST hits of AGK outside the holozoan lineage were cyanobacteria, oomycetes, and plants, suggesting that a detailed analysis of this gene family is required to understand its origin and evolutionary history in eukaryotes.

For the small Tims, the reconstructed phylogenies (Figures 2B and 2C) include well-supported Tim10a and Tim13 clades. This

---

**Figure 1. Distribution of TIM22 complex components across eukaryotes.** The Coulson plot was generated using the Coulson Plot Generator (Field et al., 2013). Abbreviations: Vertebrates: Hsap, Homo sapiens; Mdom, Monodelphis domestica; Drer, Danio rerio; Xtro, Xenopus tropicalis; Ggal, Gallus gallus; Mmus, Mus musculus; Invertebrates: Dmel, Drosophila melanogaster; Cele, Caenorhabditis elegans; Tric, Trichoplax sp.; Acro, Acropora sp.; Aque, Amphimedon queenslandica; Mlei, Mnemiopsis leidyi; Nvec, Nematostella vectensis; Bran, Branchiostoma sp.; Unicellular Holozoa: Mbre, Monosiga brevicollis; Cowa, Capsaspora owczarzaki; Sarc, Sphaeroforma arctica; Sros, Salpingoeca rosetta; Fungi: Spom, Schizosaccharomyces pombe; Scer, Saccharomyces cerevisiae; Ncra, Neurospora crassa; Cneo, Cryptococcus neoformans; Umay, Ustilago maydis; Lsta, Lipomyces starkeyi; Ylip, Yarrowia lipolytica; Piro, Piramyces sp.; Piromyces sp.; Spun, Spizellomyces punctatus; Bden, Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis; Mdap, Mitosporidium daphnia; Ecun, Encephalitozoon cuniculi; Piro, Piramyces sp.; Ylip, Yarrowia lipolytica; Pir, Rhizophagus irregularis; Crev, Coemansia reversa; Ccor, Conidiobolus coronatus; Cang, Catenaria anguillulae; Rall, Rozella allomyces; Fonticulids: Fonticula alba; Apusozoa. Ttra, Thecamonas trahens.
Figure 2. Phylogenetic analysis of holozoan TIM22 subunits. (A) Acylglycerol Kinase (AGK) was present in the ancestral holozoan. (B) Tim10b arose from a duplication of Tim9 at the base of metazoans. (C) Tim8a and Tim8b arose from a duplication of Tim8 at the base of chordates. Homologues of AGK and small tims were collected from a subset of holozoan taxa using BLASTp. Sequences were aligned and trimmed resulting in 296, 75, and 73 sites for AGK, Tim9/10, and Tim8/13, respectively. The asterisks indicate true Tim8a and Tim8b orthologues that are not supported in our tree but are confirmed by best BLAST analysis. The resulting alignments were subjected to phylogenetic analysis using MrBayes (Ronquist et al., 2012) for posterior probability and RAxML (Stamatakis, 2014) for maximum likelihood frameworks.

suggests that Tim10b was the result of a duplication of Tim9 at the base of animals (Figure 2B), whereas Tim8a and Tim8b are the result of a duplication at the base of chordates (Figure 2C). Although we did not recover Tim8a and Tim8b sequences from Branchiostoma floridae within the vertebrate clades of Tim8a and Tim8b (Figure 2C, asterisks), their best BLAST hits are clearly Tim8a and Tim8b from vertebrates, respectively. We therefore conclude that Tim8a and Tim8b arose prior to the divergence of chordates from the rest of animals. We were unable to recover small Tim sequences from the Choanoflagellate Monosiga brevicollis, but this is likely due to an incomplete database as Tim9 and Tim10 are probably essential in holozoans.

These results demonstrate that TIM22 complex subunits were accreted very early in the holozoa. Tim29 and AGK (but see...
Tim54 is related to AGK and diverged at the base of fungi whereas Tim18 and Tim12 are the result of Saccharomycotina-specific gene duplications

Components of the TIM22 complex were identified in fungi much earlier than the recent discoveries in animals (Kerscher et al., 1997; Kerscher et al., 2000; Koehler et al., 2000). Tim54 appears to be involved in complex stability as well as integration of MIM proteases (Hwang et al., 2007; Kerscher et al., 1997), but its mechanism of action is unclear. In yeast, Tim18 is related to Sdh4 of the SDH complex (Kerscher et al., 2000; Koehler et al., 2000). Another SDH complex member, Sdh3 interacts with Tim18 as a TIM22 complex module that is integrated into the MIM by the OXA complex (Stiller et al., 2016). Sdh3 and Tim18 are involved in the biogenesis and assembly of Tim22 and Tim54 into a functional TIM22 complex (Gebert et al., 2011). Finally, Tim12 is a small Tim that is not found outside the yeast lineage.

Using the reciprocal best BLAST method, we were able to identify Tim54 in representatives from every major fungal lineage as well as Fonticula alba, but no other eukaryotic lineage (Figure 1). We were unable to identify Tim54 in Rozella allomycis or microsporidians except Mitosporidium daphniae, a short-branching microsporidian with canonical mitochondria (Haag et al., 2014). We used our set of Tim54 sequences to search for orthologues across eukaryotes using the HMMER (Finn et al., 2011) server at EBI. When restricting our taxon searching to exclude fungi and Fonticula, we surprisingly retrieved AGK sequences from animals (141 hits above threshold) and Capsaspora as top hits (Extended data, Supplemental Text File 2; Wideman et al., 2020). These results indicate that Tim54 and AGK likely share a common ancestor; however, the diacylglycerol kinase (DAGK) domain is now virtually undetectable in fungal sequences.

To determine when Tim18 and Tim12 originated, sequences related to Tim18, Sdh4, Tim10, and Tim12 were collected from all Saccharomycotina in the Myccosom database (Grigoriev et al., 2013). We trimmed long sequences and removed any spurious hits (as determined by reciprocal BLAST into the S. cerevisiae S288c genome). Sequences were aligned using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004), manually trimmed, and phylogenies reconstructed using RAxML (Stamatakis, 2014) and MrBayes (Ronquist et al., 2012) for likelihood and posterior probability calculations, respectively. The phylogenetic reconstruction of Tim18 indicates that a duplication occurred after the divergence of early-branching Saccharomycotina (e.g. Lipomyces and Yarrowia), but before the divergence of a major clade that includes Wickerhamomyces and Saccharomyces (Figure 3A). An additional Sdh4 parologue Shh4 is the result of the more recent Saccharomyces lineage whole genome duplication/hybridization event (Kellis et al., 2004; Mar cet-Houben & Gabaldón, 2015; Wolfe & Shields, 1997). The phylogenetic reconstruction of Tim12 suggests that a duplication of the Tim10 protein occurred even earlier in Saccharomycotina (Figure 3B) as only the earliest-branching species lack clear Tim12 representatives (e.g., Lipomyces starkeyi).

In contrast to the animal TIM22 complex, which accreted subunits early in the evolution of animals, we demonstrate that a gradual accretion of TIM22 complex subunits occurred in the lineage leading to S. cerevisiae. Tim54 is likely a divergent fungal AGK which lost the DAGK domain after the divergence of holomyctota from holozoa (Figure 4). Tim18 and Tim12 are respectively derived from duplications of Sdh4 and Tim10 deep within the Saccharomycotina. It is unknown if other fungal lineages have undergone similar expansions of the TIM22 complex.

Conclusions

The first elements of a larger theoretical framework to quantitatively understand the macroevolution of cells, their organelles, and molecular machines have been developed (Lynch, 2020; Lynch & Trickovic, 2020). Our findings are consistent with the predictions made by the theory of effectively neutral divergence of mean phenotypes across major phylogenetic lineages (Lynch, 2020). This theory assumes that the selective pressures on many molecular machines have remained relatively constant for long stretches of macroevolutionary time. This is most likely the case for many multi-protein complexes whose functions are strongly conserved across vast phyletomic spans. Mitochondrial protein import complexes, such as TIM22, are good examples of such strongly functionally conserved systems. The TIM22 complex has to physically interact with dozens or even hundreds of substrates for their proper insertion into the MIM. This implies that its functional divergence is constrained (and/or buffered) by its many physical interactions. However, slight deviations from an optimal (functional) phyletomic mean could be expected as a consequence of a permissive population-genetic environment, i.e., stronger drift due to historical bottlenecks or smaller effective population sizes. As selective pressures are assumed to remain constant for many conserved multi-protein complexes in stable cellular environments, most phenotypic divergence would be dictated by the combined action of random genetic drift and mutation pressure. Most divergence seen in multi-protein complexes or molecular machines, therefore, would primarily be non-functional and non-adaptive but mostly structural (e.g., subunit composition) in character.

Many other observations appear to be compatible with this view. The common recruitment of paralogous subunits, as well as the presence of highly derived and lineage-specific subunits in multi-protein complexes provide general examples. More specifically, kinetoplastids offer another example in a multi-protein complex whose functions are strongly conserved across vast phyletomic spans. Mitochondrial protein import complexes, such as TIM22, are good examples of such strongly functionally conserved systems. The TIM22 complex has to physically interact with dozens or even hundreds of substrates for their proper insertion into the MIM. This implies that its functional divergence is constrained (and/or buffered) by its many physical interactions. However, slight deviations from an optimal (functional) phyletomic mean could be expected as a consequence of a permissive population-genetic environment, i.e., stronger drift due to historical bottlenecks or smaller effective population sizes. As selective pressures are assumed to remain constant for many conserved multi-protein complexes in stable cellular environments, most phenotypic divergence would be dictated by the combined action of random genetic drift and mutation pressure. Most divergence seen in multi-protein complexes or molecular machines, therefore, would primarily be non-functional and non-adaptive but mostly structural (e.g., subunit composition) in character.

Many other observations appear to be compatible with this view. The common recruitment of paralogous subunits, as well as the presence of highly derived and lineage-specific subunits in multi-protein complexes provide general examples. More specifically, kinetoplastids offer another example in a protein-import complex. Trypanosoma brucei lacks the TIM23 complex and instead contains a bifunctional Tim22 protein that acts both as a presequence and a carrier translocase complex (Harsman et al., 2016; Schneider, 2020; Singha et al., 2008). We have recently suggested that the bifunctional Tim22 complex of kinetoplastids evolved neutrally via homologue replacement...
of ancestral components followed by loss of TIM23 (von Känel et al., 2020). This massive divergence from the ancestral state probably added no obvious benefit to the organism. As long as the whole still performs the same function, it doesn’t matter what parts are used. This is also the sentiment behind neutral evolution of cellular structures (Wideman et al., 2019; Zhang, 2018). Evolutionary divergence primarily dictated by drift and mutation (under stable selective pressure in constant cellular environments (Lynch, 2020)); would certainly allow for the constructive, ratchet-like, structural evolution of multi-protein complex ((Gray et al., 2010; Stoltzfus, 1999; Stoltzfus, 2012; Wideman et al., 2019); a.k.a., constructive neutral evolution). Further comparative investigations at the bench are required to determine what functional differences exist between fungal and animal TIM22 complexes, and whether other eukaryotic lineages have accreted subunits in a similar way to opisthokonts (Figure 4).

**Figure 3. Phylogenetic analysis of Saccharomycotina TIM22 subunits.** (A) Tim18 arose from a duplication of Sdh4 deep within the yeast lineage. (B) Tim12 arose from a duplication of Tim10 near the base of Saccharomycotina. Homologues of Tim18/Sdh4 and Tim10/12 were collected from sequenced Saccharomycotina on Mycocosm (Grigoriev et al., 2013) using BLASTp. Sequences were aligned and trimmed resulting in 128 and 82 sites for Tim18 and small tims, respectively. The resulting alignments were subjected to phylogenetic analysis using MrBayes (Ronquist et al., 2012) for posterior probability and RAxML (Stamatakis, 2014) for maximum likelihood frameworks.

**Methods**

**Homology searching**

Tim22 orthologues were identified previously (Žárský & Doležal, 2016). We used the reciprocal best hit method to identify Tim54 and Tim29 orthologues in fungi and holozoans, respectively. Briefly, *S. cerevisiae* Tim54 and *H. sapiens* Tim29 were used as BLASTp (Altschul et al., 1997) queries into opisthokont predicted proteomes (see Figure 1 for organism list) using the NCBI BLAST server or Mycocosm database (Grigoriev et al., 2013). The top hit was retrieved and used as a BLASTp query into *S. cerevisiae* (for Tim54) or *H. sapiens* (for Tim29) proteome. If the top hit was the original BLASTp
query, then the retrieved protein was considered orthologous. Using HMMer (Finn et al., 2011) at EBI we used our collected Tim54 and Tim29 sequences to build Hidden Markov Model profiles to identify orthologues across eukaryotes. Orthologue distribution across opisthokonts was visualized using the Coulson Plot Generator (Field et al., 2013).

Phylogenetic analysis of AGK, Tim18, and small Tim homologues

Homologues of AGK, and small Tims were collected from metazoan predicted proteomes using BLASTp. Homologues of Tim18, Sdh4, Tim10, and Tim12 were collected from all sequenced Saccharomyctina from the Mycocosm database using BLASTp (Grigoriev et al., 2013). Pertinent homologues were aligned with MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) and manually trimmed using Mesquite v.2.75. Phylogenetic tree reconstructions were performed using MrBayes v.3.2.6 for Bayesian analysis (Ronquist et al., 2012). MrBayes analyses were run with the following parameters: prset aamodelpr = fixed (WAG); mcmcn-gen = 2,000,000; samplefreq = 1000; nchains = 4; startingtree = random; sumt burnin = 250. Split frequencies were checked to ensure convergence. Maximum-likelihood bootstrap values (100 pseudoreplicates) were obtained using RAxML v.8.2.10 (Stamatakis, 2014) under the LG model (Le & Gascuel, 2008).

Data availability

Source data

Sequence accession are provided in Extended data, Supplemental Table 1 (Wideman et al., 2020). Sequences are from the NCBI database, the Mycocosm database at the Joint Genome Institute, or from the Mnemiopsis leydii genome database.

Extended data

Figshare: Extended Data: Independent accretion of TIM22 complex subunits in the animal and fungal lineages. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12818786.v1 (Wideman et al., 2020).

This project contains the following extended data:

• Supplemental Table 1. TIM22 complex subunit accessions collected in this investigation.
• Supplemental Text File 1. Tim29 HMMer results .txt file.
• Supplemental Text File 2. Tim54 HMMer results .txt file.
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The TIM22 complex promotes import of carrier proteins into the inner mitochondrial membrane. Recent studies showed the composition of the TIM22 complex in human mitochondria, which displayed remarkable differences to the yeast homolog. Munoz-Gomez and colleagues investigates the phylogenetic origin of the TIM22 subunits. The authors found that yeast Tim54 and human AGK are distantly related. The furthermore showed that yeast Tim12 and Tim18 arose from gene duplication of Tim10 and Sdh3, respectively. Tim29 is specific for holozoan, while Tim12, Sdh3, Tim18 are specific for holomycota. Overall, the findings are interesting and well presented. I have only minor recommendations.

The authors should describe in more detail the substrates of the carrier pathway, including substrates with an uneven number of transmembrane spans that have been reported recently (Gomkale et al., 2020\(^1\); Rampelt et al., 2020\(^2\)).

The authors should name all import pathways into mitochondria in the introduction. The current version is rather incomplete and lacks the MIM-dependent import pathway into the outer membrane. Furthermore, appropriate citations for TOM and SAM complexes should be added.

The section about the TIM22 components should be modified. The authors should describe that Tim18/Sdh3 are important for the stability of the TIM22 complex. Tim54 could serve as a docking site for small TIM chaperones of the intermembrane space.
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The well-studied *S. cerevisiae* and human Tim22 complexes both function in the transport/insertion of integral membrane proteins into the inner mitochondrial membrane, yet the only conserved protein of the complexes is Tim22 itself. Through a variety of protein sequence comparison techniques, the authors document the appearance of various Tim22 complex proteins in holozoan and holomycotan lineages. Surprisingly, the authors found that the animal Tim22 complex protein, AGK, is distantly related to the fungal Tim22 complex protein, Tim54. I do not have particular expertise in Molecular Evolution, rather my interest in the study is primarily through the narrower lens of an ongoing interest in the biochemistry of AGK.

The authors do not state what regions of AGK and Tim54 were found to be related, and this information is of interest, because even when comparing AGK with the closely related sphingolipid kinases, the only similarity (detected by BLASTP searches) is in the amino terminal, ATP-binding
region (identified by inference from human SPHK1 crystal structure). The authors report that AGK orthologs are readily identifiable when searching metazoan databases and can likewise be identified in a few unicellular eukaryotes that are closely related to animals (e.g. choanoflagelattes, *Capsaspora*). This is readily verified with simple BLASTP searches using human AGK as a query sequence. However, after reading the paper twice, I remain confused as to whether AGK orthologs have been identified in other protist databases. Specifically, how do the authors distinguish between AGK orthologs and the paralogous DAG kinases?

While it is tangential to the subject of this paper, I note that AGK-focused publications have a somewhat tortuous history (of which the authors are, understandably, probably unaware). As documented in their Figure 2A, AGK is most closely related to sphingolipid kinases. That group in turn is more distantly related to the diacylglycerol kinase (DAGK) family and the NAD+ kinases. The earliest AGK literature focused on its putative kinase activity. The authors reference the 2005 Bektas et al. paper in support of the contention that AGK is an acylglycerol kinase as is the common practice in AGK papers since 2005. However, there is little in the Bektas paper or elsewhere to validate this claim. Indeed, AGK appeared in the literature originally as a multi-substrate lipid kinase (PMID: 152520461) and later as a possible ceramide kinase in *Drosophila* (PMID: 220694802). Another group reported a failure to detect any kinase activity, i.e. AGK as an “orphan” kinase (PMID: 162698263). I recommend that the authors consider citing all of these mutually contradictory reports since all save one have been neglected in the subsequent AGK literature – for no apparent reason except ‘everybody else does it’. The more recent AGK literature includes the discovery that humans born deficient in the protein suffer from Sengers syndrome, which is characterized by mitochondrial insufficiency. Subsequent to that discovery, AGK was found to be a component of the Tim22 complex in human cells. Interestingly, a single amino change (G126E) in human AGK that would eliminate enzyme activity (by inference from the better characterized sphingosine kinases) does not inhibit the import function of the Tim22 complex in cultured cells.
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