Drought stress has transgenerational effects on soybean seed germination and seedling vigor
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Abstract

Effects of environmental stressors on the parent may be transmitted to the F1 generation of plants that support global food, oil, and energy production for humans and animals. This study was conducted to determine if the effects of drought stress on parental soybean plants are transmitted to the F1 generation. The germination and seedling vigor of F1 soybean whose maternal parents, Asgrow AG5332 and Progeny P5333RY, were exposed to soil moisture stress, that is, 100, 80, 60, 40, and 20% replacement of evapotranspiration (ET) during reproductive growth, were evaluated under controlled conditions. Pooled over cultivars, effects of soil moisture stress on the parents caused a reduction in the seed germination rate, maximum seed germination, and overall seedling performance in the F1 generation. The effect of soil moisture stress on the parent environment induced seed quality that carried on the F1 generation seed germination and seedling traits under optimum conditions and further exasperated when exposed to increasing levels of drought stress. Results indicate that seed weight and storage reserve are key factors positively associated with germination traits and seedling growth. Our data confirm that the effects of soil moisture stress on soybean are transferable, causing reduced germination, seedling vigor, and seed quality in the F1 generation. Therefore, optimal water supply during soybean seed formation period may be beneficial for seed producers in terms of optimizing seed quality and vigor characteristics of commodity seed.

Introduction

Soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merr.] is a globally important annual crop, and one of the major export commodities providing oil and protein for both human and animal food. It is the second most planted field crop in the U.S. next to corn [1] and accounts for about 90% of U.S. oil-seed production. Hence, soybean production provides substantial input to the economic structure of the United States.
Soil moisture stress causes extensive losses to soybean production annually [2], and losses due to drought stress are projected to increase due to climate change. Climate change models indicate that historical precipitation patterns will change, and drought stress will become more severe in soybean growing regions in the United States [3]. As soil moisture stress episodes are greatly intensified, bigger importance has been directed in recent years to research on the unfavorable effects of soil moisture stress on soybean crop performance and yield [2].

It is well known that variations in environmental conditions such as photoperiod, water, nutrient status, and solar radiation can have an effect on plant growth and development [4]; however, previous studies have reported that some effects of environmental stressors are transmittable and have fitness and phenotype costs on the first set of offspring from parental generation (F1 generation) [5–7]. For instance, Nosalewicz et al. [8] reported that exposing barley (*Hordeum vulgare* (L.)) to drought stress during reproductive stages decreased the shoot: root ratio and the number of thick roots in the F1 generation. Moreover, exposing *Astragalus nitidiflorus* to drought stress increased seed dormancy in the F1 generation [9].

With the understanding that, effects of environmental stressors are transmittable to the F1 generation of plants that we depend on for food and fiber, then when, where, and how commercial seed is produced may be more critical than originally thought. We know that the quantity and quality of seed are reduced when produced in environments that have erratic precipitation patterns, high evaportranspiration demands, and high atmospheric temperatures, and that seed quality affects germination and seedling vigor [6,7,10–12].

Environmental conditions influence seed size, the concentration of stress hormones in the seed, and germination rates [13,14]. Elevated concentrations of stress hormones in the seed may affect the physiology and phenotypic expression through activation of the abscisic acid responsive element-controlled genes [13]. Additionally, epigenetic mechanisms that affect gene activity without changing the underline DNA sequence are transmitted to successive generations leading to phenotypic modifications in the offspring [15,16]. Many studies on *Arabidopsis thaliana* indicate that stress-induced responses are inherited through the plant’s transgenerational stress memory where the offspring adaptation to particular stress is determined by the stress response established by the parent [17,18]. Some studies have also reported that previous exposure to certain stress would help the plant to acquire tolerance or to develop adaptive mechanisms to a same or different kind of stress during the crop cycle or over the generations [19,20].

In this study, we hypothesized that the effects of drought stress are transferable to the F1 generation and that the transferable effects on the F1 generation are exasperated in low osmotic potential environments during seed germination and in low water-limited environments during early seedling growth. The objective of this study was to determine if the effects of drought stress on soybean are transmittable to the F1 generation and alter seed germination and seedling vigor of the progeny in low soil moisture level environments.

## Materials and methods

### Parental and progeny generations

Three experiments were conducted at the Environmental Plant Physiology Laboratory, Mississippi State University, MS, USA during the 2015 through 2017 growing seasons. The first experiment was conducted in the Soil-Plant-Atmosphere-Research (SPAR) chambers in 2015. These SPAR units can precisely control air temperatures and chamber atmospheric CO₂ concentration at preset set points and near ambient levels of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR). Each SPAR chamber consists of a 1.27 cm thick Plexiglas which allows 97% of the visible solar radiation to pass without spectral variability in absorption. The Plexiglas chamber
(2.5 m tall by 2 m long by 1.5 m wide) accommodates aerial plant parts and a steel soil bin (1 m deep by 2 m long by 0.5 m wide) houses the root system. More details of operation and control of the SPAR facility have been described by Reddy et al. [21].

Seed from an indeterminate (the type which continues to develop new leaves even after the floral induction until photosynthetic demand by the developing seed causes a termination in the production of vegetative growth) belonging to maturity group V (Asgrow AG5332) and a determinate (the type which ceases vegetative activity at or soon after photoperiod- and temperature-induced floral induction) belonging to maturity group V soybean cultivar (Progeny P5333RY) were sown into 15.2-cm by 30-cm high polyvinylchloride (PVC) pots that contained a 3:1 mixture of a sand:loam (87% sand, 2% clay, and 11% silt). The selection of these two cultivars were based on their popularity among the producers as these were the commonly grown cultivars in US mid-south region. Before imposing drought stress at R1 (beginning bloom), experimental units were maintained at ambient conditions outside the SPAR units. From 41 days after seeding (DAS) to until physiological maturity (126 DAS), the cultivars were placed in separate SPAR units and exposed to 5 different levels of drought stress, 100, 80, 60, 40, and 20% evapotranspiration (ET) replacement (Table 1) [22]. Parental lines were self-fertilized under uniform SPAR conditions and generated 10 distinct F1 genetic lines, each representing a distinct cultivar by drought stress treatment. At harvest, pods were collected, air-dried at room temperature, and seed separated manually for inclusion in a subsequent germination and vigor experiments. The total number of seed was counted using a seed counter (NP5056-Model 850–2, LiCOR Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA). Individual seed weight was determined by dividing the plant seed weight from seed number per plant. Seed size was determined by the weight. Seed size was divided into three main categories: small (< 12 g/100 seed), medium (12–18 g/100 seed), and large size (>18 g/100 seed).

Measurement of seed quality and chemical composition

Seed from the first experiment was evaluated for protein, oil, fatty acids, sugars, and mineral content, as previously described [23]. Briefly, about 25 g of seed from pooled samples from each cultivar under each treatment was ground using a Laboratory Mill 3600 (Perten, Springfield, IL, USA) and the ground material was analyzed for protein, oil, fatty acids, sugar, and minerals. Seed protein and oil were determined using near infrared (NIR) spectroscopy using a diode array feed analyzer AD 7200 (Perten, Springfield, IL) at the USDA-ARS research facility in Stoneville, MS, USA [24]. Perten’s Thermo Galactic Grams PLS IQ software was used to produce calibration equations, and the curves were established according to AOAC methods [25–28]. Analyses of fatty acids were performed on an oil basis [24,28]. Seed sugar content for

| Table 1. Environmental variables during the experimental period. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Treatments** | **Soil moisture, m³ m⁻³** | **Mean Temperature, °C** | **[CO₂], μmol mol⁻¹** | **Mean daily VPD, kPa** | **Mean daily ET, L d⁻¹** |
|----------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 100% ET        | 0.15a†                    | 26.09a                   | 410a                   | 3.5a                    | 15.95a                  |
| 80% ET         | 0.14b                     | 26.19a                   | 405a                   | 3.3a                    | 13.80b                  |
| 60% ET         | 0.13c                     | 26.48a                   | 408a                   | 4.2a                    | 12.79c                  |
| 40% ET         | 0.12d                     | 25.64a                   | 412a                   | 4.0a                    | 8.73d                   |
| 20% ET         | 0.11e                     | 25.96a                   | 409a                   | 4.2a                    | 6.54e                   |

Treatments based on the percentage of daily evapotranspiration (ET) imposed at 41 d after planting, average soil moisture, mean temperature, chamber CO₂ concentration, vapor pressure deficits (VPD), and evapotranspiration (ET) during the experimental period for each treatment.

† Soil moisture values are averaged for each treatment from 41 to 126 days after planting. Values within a column with the different letter are significantly different at P<0.05.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214977.t001
glucose, sucrose, raffinose, and stachyose was determined using near-infrared reflectance (AD 7200, Perten, Springfield, IL) and analyzed based on a seed dry matter basis [24,28,29]. The concentrations of seed mineral nutrients including nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), zinc (Zn), copper (Cu), boron (B), iron (Fe), and manganese (Mn) were determined at the Soil Testing Laboratory, Mississippi State University, MS, USA using standard procedures [30].

Seed germination assay for parents and offspring

The second experiment was conducted to examine the transgenerational effects of soil moisture stress on the F1 generation. Seed germination characteristics (maximum seed germination, time to 50% germination, and seed germination rate), seedling fitness, and tolerance to osmotic stress under in-vitro using polyethylene glycol (PEG, molecular weight 8000 Sigma-Aldrich Company, St. Louis, MO, USA) to mimic drought stress were measured. Using a previously described procedure, four replications of 100 seed of two parental lines and their F1 lines developed during experiment 1 were exposed to six levels of osmotic stress including 0.0, -0.1, -0.3, -0.5, -0.7, -0.9 [31]. The incubator was set at 25°C, which was the reported optimum temperature for the germination of soybean seed [32]. The plastic trays were vertically stacked inside the incubator and rearranged every 4 h to minimize the potential of small temperature fluctuations. Seed was considered germinated when the radicle length was greater than half the seed length. Counts were discontinued if no seed in a replication germinated for five consecutive days.

Maternal effects on seedling vigor

The third experiment was conducted to examine the transgenerational effects of soil moisture stress on seed emergence and seedling vigor, by exposing the F1-generated seed to three levels of drought stress, 100%, 66%, and 33% of field capacity. The experiment was conducted using pre-fabricated mini-hoop structures (rain-out shelters). Each structure consisted of a PVC framework with 4 MIL polythene wrapping having the dimensions of 2-m width x 1.5-m height x 5-m length. Seed was sown in PVC pots (15.2-cm diameter by 30.5-m high) that contained a 3:1 mixture of sand: loam (87% sand, 2% clay, and 11% silt). Pots were arranged in a completely randomized design with 4 replications per cultivar organized in 2 rows with twenty-four pots per row. Four seed were sown in each pot but were thinned to one per pot 1 week after emergence. From emergence until 6 DAS, plants were maintained at 100% of FC. Drought stress treatments were imposed at 7 DAS and continued until harvest, 29 DAS. Throughout the experimental period, plants were fertigated with full-strength Hoagland’s nutrient solution [33] delivered through an automated and computer-controlled drip irrigation system. Soil moisture contents were monitored using Decagon soil moisture sensors (5TM Soil Moisture and Temperature Sensor, Decagon Devices, Inc., Pullman, WA) inserted at a depth of 15 cm in five random pots of each treatment. Irrigation amounts were varied based on measured soil moisture levels to achieve the desired soil moisture treatments.

Measurements

Physiological and gas-exchange measurements. At 26 DAS, leaf chlorophyll (Chl) content, epidermal flavonoids (Flav), epidermal anthocyanin (Anth), and nitrogen balance index (NBI) were measured on the uppermost recently fully expanded leaf with a Dualex Scientific Polyphenols and Chlorophyll Meter (FORCE-A, Orsay, France). This hand-held instrument enabled to assess the level of chlorophylls in the mesophyll, flavonoids, and anthocyanin in the epidermis, and NBI which indicates nitrogen status using the ratio of chlorophyll and
flavonoids units of soybean leaves. Gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence parameters were measured using the LI-6400 photosynthesis system (LiCOR Inc., Lincoln, NE). Measurements were made on the uppermost recently fully expanded leaf from three plants in each cultivar from each treatment between 10:00 and 12:00 h. While measuring photosynthesis (Pn), the instrument was set at 1500 μmol photon m⁻² s⁻¹ photosynthetically active radiation, daytime temperature 29˚C, 410 μmol mol⁻¹ CO₂, and 50% relative humidity. The flow rate through the chamber was adjusted to 350 mol s⁻¹. Pn and the fluorescence (Fv/Fm) were recorded as the total coefficient of variation (%CV) reached a value of less than 0.5. The instrument itself calculates stomatal conductance (gs), transpiration (E), and electron transport rate (ETR) by considering incoming and outgoing flow rates and leaf area. Intrinsic water-use-efficiency (WUE) and the ratio of internal (Ci) to external (Ca) CO₂ concentration were estimated as the ratio of Pn/Trans and Ci/Ca.

**Growth and biomass components.** At harvest, plant height and the number of nodes on the mainstem were recorded. Leaf area was measured using the LI-3100 leaf area meter (LI-COR, Inc., Lincoln, NE). Stems, leaves, and roots were separated from each plant, and total dry weight per plant was calculated by adding the dry weight of different plant components after oven drying at 80˚C for 5 days.

**Root morphology.** After separating the stem from the root system of each plant, roots were washed with water on a sieve [34–36]. Washed roots were scanned with a WinRhizo Pro optical scanner (Regent Instruments, Inc., QC, Canada) by floating the individual root system in 5 mm of water in a Plexiglas tray [34,35]. Gray-scale root images were acquired by setting the parameters to high accuracy (resolution 800 x 800 dpi), and the images were analyzed using WinRhizo Pro software (Regent Instruments, Inc.). From the scanned images, seven root parameters, root length, surface area, diameter, volume, number of tips, forks, and crossings, were recorded.

**Data analysis**

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to determine the differences among the cultivars and treatments for the seed quality traits, mineral composition, growth, physiological, and root developmental parameters using SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Multiple comparison analyses were performed to identify cultivar-specific responses to treatment effects at the P = 0.05 level of significance using the t-test. Graphical analysis was carried out using Sigma Plot 13.0 (Systat Software Inc., San Jose, CA, USA).

**Curve fitting procedure.** Germination time-course was fitted with a 3-parameter sigmoidal function (Eq 1) using Sigma Plot 13 (Systat Software Inc.):

\[ Y = \frac{\text{MSG}}{1 + \exp \left( -\frac{t - t_{50}}{G_{\text{rate}}} \right)} \]

Eq 1

where Y is the cumulative seed germination percentage, MSG is the maximum seed germination percentage, t₅₀ is the time to 50% maximum seed germination, and G_rate is the slope of the curve [37].

Maximum seed germination and seed germination rate (SGR) responses to osmotic potential were analyzed using quadratic (Eq 2) and linear (Eq 3) regression functions. Based on the highest coefficient of determination (r²), MSG was modeled using a quadratic function, whereas SGR was modeled by a linear function. [37]. These model functions provided regression constants to estimate minimum osmotic potential when seed germination was zero (MSGOP_min) (Eq 4) and minimum osmotic potential when seed germination rate was zero (SGROP_min) (Eq 5), correspondingly, for all treatments and replications in both the cultivars
MSG = a + bx + cx^2  \quad \text{Eq 2}

SGR = a + bx  \quad \text{Eq 3}

\begin{align*}
\text{MSGOP}_{\text{min}} &= -b + (\sqrt{b^2 - 4ac})/2c \\
\text{SGROP}_{\text{min}} &= -a/b
\end{align*}

\text{Eq 4}

\text{Eq 5}

where x is the treatment osmotic potential and a and b are cultivar-specific equation constants generated using regression functions in Sigma Plot 13 (Systat Software Inc.).

The effect of time, osmotic potential, and cultivar on cumulative percent germination were tested using three-way ANOVA, followed by a Tukey multiple comparison tests. The same statistics were applied to analyze the effect of maternal soil moisture stress effects on offspring germination-based parameters (MSG and SGR) and seedling growth. Also, the replicated values of SGROP_{\text{min}} and MSGOP_{\text{min}} estimated from linear and quadratic functions, were analyzed using the PROC GLM procedure of SAS. Means were compared using Fisher’s protected least significant difference at \( P < 0.05 \) probability.

The environmental productivity index (EPI) was utilized to quantify the soil moisture stress effects on estimated seed germination-based parameters such as MSG, SGR, SGROP_{\text{min}}, and MSGOP_{\text{min}} [39–43]. To obtain soil moisture stress indices for the above parameters, the values were normalized by dividing estimated values at control treatment (100% ET) by all estimated values. The resultant relative indices ranged from 0 to 1, where, 1 indicates zero stress or the optimum soil moisture, and 0 indicates total stress or severe water deficit. The developed EPI values were tested to understand the difference between the cultivars and the regression analyses were performed on the relationship between derived values and soil moisture. Critical limits for seed germination-based parameters as a function of soil moisture content were calculated as 90% of the control of soil moisture treatment.

**Results**

**Experiment 1: Seed number, seed size, and quality**

Soil moisture stress during reproductive stages had an adverse effect on soybean pod and seed number, individual seed weight, and seed yield (S1 Table, Fig 1). Pooled over cultivar, seed number was positively correlated with soil moisture level. As soil moisture stress intensified, not only did the number of seed decrease, but the production of small, shriveled, and wrinkled seed in the seed lot increased (Fig 2). At severe water deficit (20% ET), most of the seed was long-oval shaped and shrunken, whereas, under well-irrigated condition (100% ET), seed were large, full, and rounded in shape (Fig 2).

The two soybean cultivars showed significant differences (\( P < 0.05 \)) for individual seed weight, seed protein, palmitic acid, and nitrogen except sucrose and phosphorus (S1 Fig). Overall, Asgrow AG 5332 showed higher seed weight, proteins, fatty acids, sucrose, and minerals signifying the genetic variation in the sensitivity to the soybean maternal environmental variations. Similar to seed weight, seed protein, palmitic acid, sucrose, nitrogen, and phosphorus also exhibited linear correlations concerning maximum seed germination (S1 Fig).
Fig 1. The effect of increasing soil moisture stress (100, 80, 60, 40, and 20% ET) on soybean (a) pod number, (b) seed number, (c) individual seed weight, and (d) yield of Asgrow AG5332 and Progeny P5333RY harvested 126 d after planting.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214977.g001

Fig 2. The effect of increasing soil moisture stress (100, 80, 60, 40, and 20% ET) on soybean seed number, size, and shape of Asgrow AG5332 harvested 126 d after planting. Each seed lot under each treatment represents 1/10 of the total fraction of seed collected from one plant. Due to the absence of significant difference for the seed number between the cultivars, seed only from one soybean cultivar is presented.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214977.g002
Experiment 2: Seed germination traits

Cumulative percent germination. For a given osmotic water potential and cultivar, percent germination was inversely correlated with ET replacement level of the parental line. The percent germination of Asgrow AG5332 (S2 Fig) was higher than that of Progeny P5333RY (S3 Fig) at all osmotic potentials except that of -0.9 MPa. No seed from the parental lines of Progeny P5333RY exposed to 40 or 20% ET replacement germinated at an osmotic potential of -0.9 MPa (S3 Fig). No Asgrow AG5332 lines germinated at an osmotic potential of -0.9 MPa (S2 Fig).

Maximum seed germination and seed germination rate. Similar to cumulative percent germination, drought stress during the reproductive growth stages of the maternal line caused a decrease in the maximum seed germination and germination rate in the F1 generation (Table 2). Moreover, maximum germination for most osmotic potentials was inversely correlated with parent’s stress level at the time of seed formation (Fig 3). Seed germination rate was correlated with osmotic stress, and the relationship was best described by a linear regression model (mean $r^2 = 0.95$) (Fig 4).

The transgenerational effect of drought stress on MSG and SGR differed between cultivars (S2 Table) and was exacerbated when the F1 generation was exposed to increased osmotic potentials (Table 2). The seed from 20% ET maternal environment showed the lowest MSG for both Asgrow AG5332 (Fig 3A) and Progeny P5333RY (Fig 3B) cultivars, where they showed 26 and 22% reduction in MSG compared to their parents. The rate of decline was greater for Progeny P5333RY relative to Asgrow AG5332 as osmotic potential increased from 0.0 to 0.9 MPa. There were transgenerational differences in seed germination parameters for cultivar and parental environments (Table 2). At an osmotic potential of 0.0 MPa, the MSG of parent line for Asgrow AG5332 (Fig 3A) was 3.3% greater than that of parent line of Progeny P5333RY (Fig 3B). Stressful maternal environments (20% ET), decreased the rate of seed germination in which it ranged from 0.57 d$^{-1}$ to 0.61 d$^{-1}$ for Asgrow AG5332 (Fig 4A) and Progeny P5333RY (Fig 4B), at 0.0 MPa osmotic potential compared to their parents.

Table 2. Maximum germination and estimated parameters of soybean seed from the F1 generation as a function of osmotic potential.

| Cultivars | MSG (%) | Equation constants | $r^2$ | MSGOP$_{\text{min}}$ | Equation constants | $r^2$ | GRGOP$_{\text{min}}$ |
|-----------|---------|--------------------|-------|----------------------|--------------------|-------|---------------------|
|           | a       | b                  | c     |                      | a                  | b     |                     |
| Parent AG | 95.40a  | 90.55              | -57.00 | 167.01              | 0.95               | -0.93 | 0.69                |
| AG 100%   | 95.13a  | 89.06              | -66.32 | 181.18              | 0.98               | -0.91 | 0.65                |
| AG 80%    | 92.01b  | 86.83              | -59.38 | 170.28              | 0.98               | -0.91 | 0.62                |
| AG 60%    | 90.25b  | 85.82              | -53.85 | 163.83              | 0.98               | -0.91 | 0.59                |
| AG 40%    | 78.04c  | 75.46              | -36.48 | -128.92             | 0.97               | -0.92 | 0.59                |
| AG 20%    | 67.77c  | 67.35              | -12.68 | -95.18              | 0.98               | -0.91 | 0.57                |
| Parent PR | 95.00a  | 90.94              | -51.78 | -144.29             | 0.98               | -0.99 | 0.69                |
| PR 100%   | 93.97a  | 88.75              | -59.65 | -170.53             | 0.98               | -0.92 | 0.65                |
| PR 80%    | 91.88b  | 89.15              | -40.85 | -152.60             | 0.98               | -0.91 | 0.61                |
| PR 60%    | 85.52c  | 83.34              | -34.76 | -137.84             | 0.99               | -0.91 | 0.61                |
| PR 40%    | 82.08c  | 79.81              | -35.60 | -139.59             | 0.97               | -0.89 | 0.61                |
| PR 20%    | 71.13d  | 70.89              | -9.85  | -99.54              | 0.98               | -0.89 | 0.61                |

F1 seed was collected from the soybean cultivar Asgrow AG5332 and Progeny P5333RY after exposure to drought stress, including replacement of 100, 80, 60, 40, and 20% of the evapotranspiration demand. Maximum seed germination (MSG), quadratic equation constants (a, b, c), coefficient of determination ($r^2$) for MSG, estimated minimum osmotic potential when seed germination was zero (MSGOP$_{\text{min}}$), linear regression constants (a, b), coefficient of determination ($r^2$) for seed germination rate, and estimated minimum osmotic potential when SGR was zero (SGROP$_{\text{min}}$). AG and PR represent Asgrow AG5332 and Progeny P5333RY correspondingly.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214977.t002
Fig 3. Maximum germination of soybean seed from the F1 generation as a function of osmotic potential. F1 seed was collected from the soybean cultivar (a) Asgrow AG5332 and (b) Progeny P5333RY after exposure to drought stress treatments of y including replacement of 100, 80, 60, 40, and 20% of the evapotranspiration demand. Symbols represent the mean of four replications, while bars represent the standard error. Lines are the best fit of a quadratic function.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214977.g003
Fig 4. The germination rate of soybean seed from the F1 generation as a function of osmotic potential. F1 seed was collected from the soybean cultivar (a) Asgrow AG5332 and (b) Progeny P5333RY after exposure to drought stress including replacement of 100, 80, 60, 40, and 20% of the evapotranspiration demand. Symbols represent the mean of four replications, while bars represent the standard error. Lines are the best fit of a quadratic function.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214977.g004
Parameter estimates. The two soybean cultivars varied significantly ($P < 0.05$) for minimum osmotic potential when MSG was zero (MSGOP$_{\text{min}}$) and minimum osmotic potential when SGR was zero (SGROP$_{\text{min}}$), which ranged from -0.99 to -0.93 MPa and -1.17 to -1.05 MPa at control condition for Progeny P5333RY and Asgrow AG5332, respectively (Table 2).

The parameters estimated based on EPI (MSG, SGR, MSGOP$_{\text{min}}$, and SGROP$_{\text{min}}$) declined linearly under moderate and severe water-stressed conditions except for MSGOP$_{\text{min}}$ (S4 Fig). Since the two cultivars did not show a significant difference, one linear regression was fitted for the two soybean cultivars. Based on the estimated critical limits (CL), the parameter estimates (MSGOP$_{\text{min}}$ CL = 1.116 m$^3$ m$^{-3}$ and SGROP$_{\text{min}}$ CL = 1.110 m$^3$ m$^{-3}$) were lower than MSG and SGR. The critical limit of SGR (0.139 m$^3$ m$^{-3}$) was higher than that of MSG (0.133 m$^3$ m$^{-3}$).

Experiment 3: Maternal effects on seedling establishment

The maternal environment affected the rate of emergence of F1 seedlings (Fig 5), and the effect was exasperated (Fig 6) as the average soil moisture content (S5 Fig) decreased from 0.14 to 0.07 m$^3$ m$^{-3}$. Pooled over cultivar, seed from a maternal environment receiving 100% ET replacement emerged 120% faster than seed from a maternal environment receiving 20% ET replacement (Fig 5).

Drought stress imposed during the reproductive stages of soybean also had a transferable effect on shoot growth and developmental traits (S3 Table). Pooled over cultivar, parameters measured at 29 days after seeding, decreased in response to increasing soil moisture stress for

Fig 5. Days to emergence and rate of emergence for the F1 generation of soybean cultivar Asgrow AG5332 and Progeny P5333RY exposed to drought stress including replacement of 100, 80, 60, 40, and 20% of the evapotranspiration demand. Each data point is the mean of four replications pooled over cultivar, while bars represent the standard error of the mean. Lines are the best fit of a linear function.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214977.g005
plant height (S6A Fig), leaf area, and biomass components. The leaf area (S6 Fig), stem and leaf dry weights, and total weight (S6 Fig) of the offspring plants grown under both control (100% FC) and 33% FC were consistently lower than the offspring from control maternal treatment (100% ET) and parents. The mean leaf area and total dry weight were reduced by 48% at the 100% FC (control treatment) in Asgrow AG5332 offspring from 20% ET maternal treatment, compared to the parent plant at the same irrigation treatment. The offspring of Progeny P5333RY from 20% ET maternal treatment, on the other hand, exhibited 61 and 55% reduction for leaf area and total dry weight, respectively, compared to its mother plant (S6 Fig).

The number of root tips, forks, and crossings was also lower in soil moisture stressed offspring compared to their parents (S7 Fig). Regardless the maternal environmental effects, all the soybean plants showed less lateral branching close to the surface layer and more taproot elongation towards the deeper layers of soil under sub-optimal soil moisture conditions (66 and 33% FC), compared to the optimum irrigation (100% FC) (Fig 7). Overall, parental plant and the plant from optimum maternal environment had highly branched, longer, and thicker
root system compared to the root systems of offspring plants from stressed maternal environments (Fig 7).

Similar to growth and developmental traits, physiological and gas-exchange traits of the offspring were also affected by maternal environments (S3 Table). The lower content of chlorophyll and increased content of flavonoids were observed in offspring from stressed maternal environments (data not presented). The net photosynthesis (Pn), stomatal conductance (gs), and electron transport rate (ETR) were consistently lower in the offspring plants than the parents irrespective of the irrigation treatment (S8 Fig). The Pn was reduced by 62, 57, and 53% in Asgrow AG5332 offspring at 100, 66, and 33% FC while the reduction was 48, 57, and 50% for Progeny P5333RY when compared to their parents (S8 Fig). Regardless of the maternal environments, gs and Ci/Ca both decreased with increasing soil moisture stress.

Discussion

Our data show that during the reproductive stage of soybean, water supply has a pronounced effect on seed quality and seedling vigor of the progeny showing transgenerational consequences. In the present study, soil moisture stress reduced soybean pod and seed number, individual seed weight, and seed yield. The soil moisture stress-induced reduction in seed yield is consistent with the long-known relationship between water supply and yield enhancement during the critical stages of seed development. Other studies also noted that soil moisture stress caused a reduction in seed number and individual seed weight due to the reduction in biomass accumulation and partitioning towards seed when plants were under drought stress [44,45]. When soil moisture stress begins in the early reproductive stages, it causes flower abortion, while drought stress during seed fill reduces carbon assimilation and causes smaller seed, lower seed weights, and reduced seed quality [44].

Seed germination time-course data are important to explain the total viability of seed and lead to estimate the number of seed that will grow into successful seedlings in the field. Moreover, it provides information on the capability of seed to germinate under ideal conditions as well as stress conditions. In the present study, PEG 8000 was used to simulate water stress.
conditions in germinating the seed. PEG application on the seed in different concentrations has effectively been used in many crop species as a suitable criterion to screen for drought tolerance [33–35]. Our data indicate that the maternal environment has a strong influence on both the timing of germination and viability of soybean seed. Parental seed and the seed from well-irrigated plants in both the cultivars germinated more rapidly than those from unfavorable maternal environments. The percent germination varied substantially for the two cultivars when subjected to the stress of the maternal environment and the proportion of non-germinated seed remained higher at the end of the experiment. Other studies have noted a variation in germination timing in different plant species concerning adverse maternal environments [8,13,46].

When soybean plants were subjected to soil moisture stress at the reproductive stage, the maternal environment significantly affected maximum seed germination and germination rate in both the cultivars. Maximum seed germination decreased as osmotic potential decreased, while seed germination rate decreased linearly in relation to the osmotic stress. The effect of osmotic potential on maximum seed germination and the rate of seed germination could be due to reduced imbibition of water and subsequent effects on embryo growth and development. Seed germination rate is a key factor, which determines seed’s survival potential under optimal or stressful conditions [35]. With rapid germination, the chance of survival is much greater regarding successful stand establishment and rapid resource exploration [33–35,47].

In our study, the parameter estimates, \( \text{MSGOP}_{\text{min}} \) and \( \text{SGROP}_{\text{min}} \), were obtained from the regression constants derived by the quadratic and linear model functions of MSG and SGR. According to our observations, the parameter estimates were also modified by the maternal environment. Our findings suggest that Asgrow AG5332 exhibits higher osmotic stress at which MSG and SGR become zero or in other words, it has a higher tolerance to drought compared to Progeny P5333RY cultivar at the seed germination stage. These values indicate cultivar’s critical germination potential at the given osmotic stress.

The environmental productivity indices (EPI) concept was used to quantify the effects of soil moisture on maximum seed germination, seed germination rate, and other parameter estimates such as \( \text{MSGOP}_{\text{min}} \) and \( \text{SGROP}_{\text{min}} \) in a changing soil moisture environment in relative terms. Our results indicate that the estimated critical limits for the parameter estimates \( (\text{MSGOP}_{\text{min}} \text{ and } \text{SGROP}_{\text{min}}) \) were lower than MSG and SGR, indicating their less sensitivity towards soil moisture. The critical limit of SGR was higher than that of MSG; therefore, MSG was more sensitive to soil moisture stress than SGR. This finding suggests that approximately 90% of optimum soil moisture condition, the critical soil moisture level would be 0.11 and 0.14 m\(^3\) m\(^{-3}\) for soybean maximum seed germination and seed germination rate.

The two soybean cultivars showed significant differences in the measured seed quality traits. Overall, Asgrow AG 5332 showed higher seed weight, proteins, fatty acids, sucrose, and minerals signifying the genetic variation in the sensitivity to the soybean maternal environmental variations. Seed size and weight are key characters of determining seed quality and known to be strongly influenced by the maternal environment. Previous studies have found that seed weight was the driving factor on the differences in germination timing due to changes in maternal environments [48]. Agreeing with our observations, individual seed weight linearly correlated with maximum seed germination; with heavier and bigger seed tending to germinate much earlier and faster. Similar to seed weight, seed protein, palmitic acid, sucrose, nitrogen, and phosphorus also exhibited linear correlations with respect to maximum seed germination. This implies that heavier soybean seed with the higher content of seed proteins, fatty acids, sugars, and minerals and storage reserves were able to provide energy more rapidly to germinating seed, which, in turn, increased the maximum seed germination and germination rate. Therefore, the parental environment during seed development
apparently could have a significant impact on carbohydrate reserve, proteins, minerals, and overall seed quality.

Although seed weight and quality appeared to affect germination traits for the seed came from stressful maternal environments, some other mechanisms might have involved in the transmission of the observed maternal effects on the progeny. These include epigenetic mechanisms such as histone modifications, DNA methylation, changes in the frequency of homologous recombination, and changes in small and micro RNAs [16,18,19]. Furthermore, direct soil moisture stress effects on the accumulation of metabolites and mRNA or proteins in the seed [6] can also play a vital role in transmitting maternal effects to the offspring. However, our experiment methodology does not permit to differentiate whether the observed changes are due to heritable or non-heritable transgenerational effects, which decreased the fitness of the progeny under the water-stressed conditions similar to their maternal environments.

The aspect of the abiotic maternal environment on the offspring phenotype of the soybean plant has hardly been explored thus far. Therefore, the third experiment was accomplished to evaluate the maternal effects on offspring seedling growth based on their growth, developmental, and physiological characteristics. The maternal environment directly affected the rate of seedling emergence of the offspring. The slower rate of emergence from soybean seed that developed in a 20% ET replacement environment might be due to the effect of drought stress on seed mass and quality [11,45]. The shoot growth and developmental parameters also decreased in response to increasing soil moisture stress. In addition, root length, surface area, root diameter, and the number of tips, forks, and crossings were also lower in soil moisture-stressed offsprings compared to their parents. Regardless of the maternal environmental effects, both the cultivars exhibited an elongated tap root system under sub-optimal soil moisture conditions, compared to the optimum irrigation. Having a longer tap root system could be a drought-adaptive mechanism to increase water and nutrient uptake under stressed conditions [49,50].

Similar to shoot and root traits, physiological and gas-exchange traits of the offspring were also affected by maternal environments. The lower content of chlorophyll and increased content of flavonoids were observed in offspring from stressed maternal environments. Typically, flavonoids production is influenced by genotype and environmental factors [43], particularly an increased production in the leaf as a protective mechanism against abiotic stresses. Regardless of the irrigation treatment, the Pn, gs, and Ci/Ca were also lower in the offspring than the maternal plants. Moreover, irrespective of the maternal environments, gs, and Ci/Ca both decreased with increasing soil moisture stress. Although many studies have reported that gs is the main factor which is responsible for the net photosynthesis reduction [51,52] compared to non-stomatal limitation such as specific impairments of key metabolic enzymes (Rubisco), decrease in energy consumption, and decrease in the chemical and enzymatic reactions [53,54], our findings suggest the involvement of both stomatal and non-stomatal factors for the decrease in net photosynthesis in soybean plants of the both parents and offsprings. However, in contrary to our findings, beneficial adaptive effects have also been reported in some plants such as faster germination, enhanced resistance, and competitive success in comparison to the offspring of parents not affected by drought stress [55,56]. The possible explanation for these responses would be transgenerational stress memory that allows plants to adapt to future stress events. While we cannot exclude the possibility of such changes in our study, we can at least not confirm the adaptive value of the induced changes.

In conclusion, this research confirms that drought stress during the reproductive growth of soybean affects the fitness and tolerance of the F1 generation to drought stress. The transgenerational effects of drought stress on the germination of the F1 generation were correlated with seed weight, protein, fatty acids, seed carbohydrates, and minerals. The correlation
between germination and the seed quality parameters indicate that the drought stress affects how the maternal lines allocate seed storage reserves, which, subsequently, affects seedling emergence and growth in the F1 generation. However, the screening outcomes need to be further validated under field conditions, as crop performance is highly influenced by environmental conditions such as soil, water availability, and temperature.

The effect of the environment has serious ramifications for seed production and multiplication companies. Our data indicate that seed produced in environments where drought occurs during or through the reproductive stages is deleterious to seed production and multiplication. The smaller, poor quality seed that resulted from the stressed maternal environment could result in lower seedling survival in progeny, making them less adapted to withstand drought stress in subsequent generations. However, although we concluded that the main factor responsible for offspring susceptibility to soil moisture stress was the difference in seed size and storage reserve, different epigenetic-based mechanisms also cannot be excluded when describing the maternal effects on offspring fitness.
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and 33% of field capacity. The F1 generation was collected from the soybean cultivars Asgrow AG5332 and Progeny P5333RY after exposure to drought stress, including replacement of 100, 50, 60, 40, and 20% of the evapotranspiration demand. Symbols represent the mean of eight replications that have been pooled over cultivar. Standard error bars are not displayed when smaller than the symbol for the mean.
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S7 Fig. Root tips, forks, and crossings for the F1 generation of soybean cultivar Asgrow AG5332 and Progeny P5333RY exposed to drought stress including replacement of 100, 80, 60, 40, and 20% of the evapotranspiration demand. Each data point is the mean of four replications pooled over cultivar, while bars represent the standard error of the mean. Lines are the best fit of a linear function.
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S8 Fig. Photosynthesis, stomatal conductance, and electron transport rate for the F1 generation of soybean cultivar Asgrow AG5332 and Progeny P5333RY exposed to drought stress including replacement of 100, 80, 60, 40, and 20% of the evapotranspiration demand. Each data point is the mean of four replications pooled over cultivar, while bars represent the standard error of the mean. Lines are the best fit of a linear function.
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