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ABSTRACT

Background: Fluoride protects the teeth from dental caries using the needed amount of fluoride products.
Methods: A Modified structured questionnaire has been validated through a pilot trial (20 responses) prior to administration that contains 16 questions was distributed. Other than the demographics, the data were collected from 500 participants including undergraduate male and female dental students.
Results: The majority described the mild fluorosis appearance in the teeth according to Dean’s index appropriately as white opacity of the enamel (64%, \( n=320 \)). On the other hand, only 31.1% \( (n=155) \) and 46% \( (n=229) \) described the moderate and severe fluorosis appearance in the teeth according to Dean’s index appropriately.
Conclusions: The dissemination and education about dental fluorosis is urgently needed and should be tailored to reach this population. The knowledge about causes of fluoride and the effect on dental fluorosis should be presented in the education.
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INTRODUCTION

Dental fluorosis can be divided into three distinct historical periods. First period was from (1901-1933), which was concerned with the cause of developmental enamel defect described in 1916 by Dr. Fredrick Mckay of Colorado USA North America. He discovered permanent stains on teeth of his patients which were referred as "Colorado stains"; later on, this defect was identified as mottled enamel or in more specific term chronic endemic enamel, that lead to discover the action of fluoride caries prevention.1,2

Dental fluorosis is stated as hypoplasia or hypomineralization and disturbance in the tooth formation caused by the excessive and prolonged ingestion (eating or drinking) of fluoride during the formative and developing stage of the dentition. In most situations, it is non-caries disease characterized clinically by discoloration ranging from minute white flecks, yellow, or brown to black scattered irregular spots over the teeth surface, crippling, mottled enamel.3 To rely on the index that has been used it should be sensitive, easy to understand and consistent.4,5

Continued long time of exposure will harden and brittle the enamel by replacing the hydroxyapatite by fluoroapatite. During primary stages, the color of the enamel will be yellow, brown to black depending on the...
severity. The coloration on the teeth appears as spots or streaks.6-8

Direct cytotoxicity will cause cell death due to fluorosis. The postponed development of narrow junctions, the apical and basin-lateral surfaces is essential to form a sealed barrier between them, and the effect of fluoride on factorial calcium and/or bicarbonate transport is directly inhibitory.9

The duration, timing and the dose of fluoride exposure affects the degree of dental fluorosis.10 The most frequently contributor to fluoride is drinking water, and according to the WHO1993, the superior limit of fluoride in drinking water has been set as 1.5mg/111. Furthermore, improper use of fluoride supplement, milk bottle formula in long-term use, when the young children start to brush their teeth in an early age using fluoride dentifrices, high consumptions of dietary practices like fish, drinking tea before age of 7 and higher socioeconomic status increase the chances of toxicity.12-14

assessing the presence and severity of mottled enamel. The index undertook two changes, appearing in its final form in 1942, an individual's fluorosis score is based on the most severe form of fluorosis found on two or more teeth.17,18

![Figure 3: Mild fluorosis.](image)

**The classification method should rely on clinical examination (gold standard)**

Asking about the living area (fluoridated or none - fluoridated area) followed by using of Visual observation (Observe the enamel surface appearance), dental mirror, blunt probe (to discover the changes on enamel surface) and portable lightening may be helpful.19

In dentistry prevention is better than the cure so we can prevent fluorosis with little simple ways like reducing amount of fluoride intake by using toothpaste with less amount of fluoride, drinking water with optimal fluoride level recommended by WHO which is 1ppm.20,21

**METHODS**

The presented study is a survey study carried out in Riyadh region, Saudi Arabia. A Modified structured questionnaire has been validated through a pilot trial (20 responses) prior to administration that contains 16 questions was distributed. Other than the demographics, the data were collected from 500 participants including undergraduate male and female dental students from 3rd year to internship by submitting their attempts via online survey (Survey Monkey).

The study registration was done in the research center of Riyadh Elm University (REU) colleges of dentistry and pharmacy and the number of the registration FUGRP/2018/59 that has been reviewed by IRB of REU.

The research was approved by the ethics committee No: RC/IRB/2016/721 and the study was concluded in two study semesters. The questionnaire consists of sixteen questions in two parts.

The first part consists of general information such as age and academic year (from 3rd year to internships); the
second part of the questionnaire included the questions regarding the awareness and knowledge of undergraduate dental students. It consisted of fourteen questions, three of them described the appearance of fluorosis (mild, moderate and severe) in the teeth according to dean index consist of these following options (a. white opacity of the enamel, b. all teeth surface affected marked with brown stain maybe, c. smooth, glossy creamy white translucent, d. pitting and wide spread brown stain, e. I don’t know) and one question was about the main cause of dental fluorosis options (a. public water, b. fluoride application in dental clinic, c. toothpaste, d. hereditary and e. genetic) and one of the questions was asked about the suitable treatment for moderate to severe dental fluorosis and the following options (a. dental enamel micro abrasion, b. vital bleaching c. composite build up, d. ceramic crown or ceramic veneer) the rest of the six questions general information about dental fluorosis to assess the awareness with options of (a. yes and b. no).

The data analysis was performed using SPSS version 22 windows. Descriptive analysis was performed and difference between the groups was examined using Chi square and Fisher exact test. A p value of <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

Descriptive analysis

The sample was (n=500) with the gender frequency of males: 131 and female: 369. Academic year (n=496) with frequency of the third and fourth years was 129, fifth and sixth years was 223 and the dental interns were 144. The majority of the respondents were females (73.8%, n=369) (Figure 4). The distribution of subjects by subjects by academic year is shown in Figure 5. There is a statistically significant association between gender and academic year (p=0.002). Males are more likely to be in internship and females are more likely to be in 3rd and 4th year.

Table 1 shows the responses of participants related to their knowledge about moderate dental fluorosis and its clinical presentation. It can be noted that 17.8% of the participants believed it is related to white opacities. However, moderate dental fluorosis is presented as brown discoloration without pitting. Therefore, 31% of the subjects were knowledgeable about the correct diagnosis.

Table 2 shows the answers provided by the study participants regarding the clinical presentation of severe dental fluorosis. As it is mentioned in the literature review that severe dental fluorosis is associated with pitted surface and roughness, 45.8% of the subjects revealed the correct response. On the other hand, 5.6% did not know the right answer.

Table 3 shows the participants knowledge about the main cause behind dental fluorosis. It can be noted from the findings that 74.6% of the participants blamed the public water supply, which may be true as long-term water consumption with high fluoride content causes dental fluorosis. However, only 5.6% believed that dental fluorosis can be caused by ingestion of toothpaste.

---

**Table 1: Responses of participants when inquired about the clinical presentation of moderate dental fluorosis.**

| Responses of participants                              | Frequency | Percent |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| White opacity of the enamel                            | 89        | 17.8%   |
| All teeth surfaces affected marked with brown stain maybe | 155       | 31.0%   |
| Smooth, glossy creamy white translucent                | 93        | 18.6%   |
| Pitting and wide spread                                | 125       | 25.0%   |
| I don’t know                                           | 37        | 7.4%    |
| Total                                                  | 500       | 100.0%  |

---
It can be noted from the table that 82.4% of the study subjects believed that using fluoride would not lead to dental fluorosis as it is associated with the amount of care.

Table 2: Responses of participants when inquired about the clinical presentation of severe dental fluorosis.

| Responses of participants                              | Frequency | Percent |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| White opacity of the enamel                             | 2         | 0.4%    |
| All teeth surfaces affected marked with brown stain maybe | 213       | 42.6%   |
| Smooth, glossy creamy white translucent                 | 13        | 2.6%    |
| Pitting and wide spread Brown stains                    | 229       | 45.8%   |
| I don’t know                                            | 28        | 5.6%    |
| Total                                                   | 500       | 100.0%  |

Table 3: Knowledge of participants about the main cause of dental fluorosis.

| Frequency | Valid | Cumulative |
|-----------|-------|------------|
| Public water | 373   | 74.6% | 74.6% | 75.0% |
| Fluoride application in dental clinic | 27    | 5.4%  | 5.4%  | 80.4% |
| Toothpaste | 28    | 5.6%  | 5.6%  | 86.0% |
| Hereditary / genetics | 70    | 14.0% | 14.0% | 100.0% |
| Total      | 500   | 100.0%| 100.0%|

Table 4: Attitude of participants about the use of topical fluoride.

| Frequency | %        | Valid % | Cumulative % |
|-----------|----------|---------|--------------|
| Yes       | 88       | 17.6%   | 17.6% | 17.6% |
| No        | 412      | 82.4%   | 82.4% | 100.0%|
| Total     | 500      | 100.0%  | 100.0%|

Table 6 represents the possible linkage of dental fluorosis with caries and it can be noted from the findings that a large majority of participants did not link these two together.

Although there is a small percentage supporting this theory, which may be a result of the fact that dental fluorosis makes the teeth weaker as compared to the natural tooth.

Table 5: Perception of participants on the relation between fluorosis with socioeconomic status.

| Frequency | %        | Valid % | Cumulative % |
|-----------|----------|---------|--------------|
| Yes       | 277      | 55.4%   | 55.4% | 55.8% |
| No        | 56       | 11.2%   | 11.2% | 67.0% |
| Maybe     | 139      | 27.8%   | 27.8% | 94.8% |
| I don’t know | 26    | 5.2%    | 5.2%  | 100.0%|
| Total     | 500      | 100.0%  | 100.0%|

Table 6: Perception of participants regarding fluorosis causing dental caries.

| Frequency | %        | Valid % | Cumulative % |
|-----------|----------|---------|--------------|
| Yes       | 104      | 20.8%   | 20.8% | 21.2% |
| No        | 301      | 60.2%   | 60.2% | 81.4% |
| I don’t know | 39    | 7.8%    | 7.8%  | 89.2%|
| Maybe     | 54       | 10.8%   | 10.8% | 100.0%|
| Total     | 500      | 100.0%  | 100.0%|

Table 7: Knowledge of fluorosis according to Dean’s index.

| Frequency (percent) n (%) | Appropriate | Inappropriate |
|---------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Describe the mild fluorosis appearance in the teeth according to Dean’s index (n=500) | White opacity of the enamel | 320 (64.0) | 180 (36.0) |
| Describe the moderate fluorosis appearance in the teeth according to Dean’s index (n=499) | All teeth surfaces affected marked with brown stain | 155 (31.1) | 344 (68.9) |
| Describe the severe fluorosis appearance in the teeth according to Dean’s index (n=498) | Pitting and wide spread brown stains | 229 (46.0) | 269 (54.0) |
The majority described the mild fluorosis appearance in the teeth according to Dean’s index appropriately as white opacity of the enamel (64%, n=320). On the other hand, only 31.1% (n=155) and 46% (n=229) described the moderate and severe fluorosis appearance in the teeth according to Dean’s index appropriately (Table 1, 2). Approximately three quarter responded appropriately that public water is the main cause of dental fluorosis (74.9%, n=373) and that fluoride application will be effective in prevention of tooth decay (76.8%, n=384) (Tables 3, 4, 5, 6).

On the other hand, approximately half responded that there is a relation between dental fluorosis and socioeconomic status (55.4%, n=277), that the rural area have more prevalence in developing dental fluorosis (51.6%, n=258), ceramic crown or veneer is the best treatment for moderate to severe dental fluorosis (55.2%, n=276), and if a pregnant woman ingested high dose of fluoridated water it affect the teeth development of the fetus (53.2%, n=266). One hundred and forty (28.1%) subjects reported family members have dental fluorosis (Table 8).

Females are more likely to have the knowledge of mild and moderate fluorosis appearance in the teeth according to Dean’s index. Moreover, this association was found to be statistically significant (p <0.05) (Table 9). Females were more likely to respond appropriately that the dental fluorosis can affect both enamel and dentin. This association was also found to be statistically significant (p <0.05) (Table 10). Family members of females are more likely to have fluorosis than males (p=0.244).

| Table 9: Knowledge of fluorosis according to Dean’s index by gender. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Describe the mild fluorosis appearance in the teeth according |
| to Dean’s index | Gender | Frequency (percent) n (%) | p-value |
| White opacity of the enamel | Male (n=131) | 68 (58.9) | 63 (41.1) |
| | Female (n=369) | 262 (68.3) | 107 (31.7) |
| Describe the moderate fluorosis appearance in the teeth according | Male (n=131) | 29 (22.1) | 102 (77.9) |
| to Dean’s index | Female (n=368) | 126 (34.2) | 242 (65.8) |
| Describe the severe fluorosis appearance in the teeth according | Male (n=130) | 46 (35.4) | 84 (64.6) |
| to Dean’s index | Female (n=368) | 183 (49.7) | 185 (50.3) |

* indicates statistical significance
DISCUSSION

In present study, authors found the response rate from the female participants were more than the male participants, which is predictable as the female students have more compliance with the surveys. Regarding the description of mild fluorosis, the majority choose the white opacity of the enamel followed by smooth, glossy creamy white translucent, while the rest of the options came at the distribution.

Regarding the description of the severe fluorosis, the mainstream of the responses was allocated between all teeth surfaces affected marked with brown stain maybe and pitting and wide spread brown stains, and little responses were scattered among the rest of the options. A study finding suggested that perceptions of unattractiveness, dissatisfaction and treatment need increase with increasing severity of dental fluorosis. As a result in line with previous findings and indicating that greater severities of fluorosis have an adverse effect on aesthetics.

More than half of the sample size agreed that the main cause of dental fluorosis is the public water. The main sources which could be responsible for increased fluoride consumption are fluoride containing drinking water and the rest 125 responses were distributed between the other options. Another investigation mentioned that the fluorosis is the most prevalent problem in 24 countries of the world. The majority of the participants was aware of the fact that water contains fluoride and had some knowledge about fluorosis.

The respondents agree about stopping the use of topical fluoride on your own teeth because it may cause dental fluorosis. If children swallow fluoridated toothpaste are they susceptible to severe dental fluorosis in your opinion? If a pregnant woman ingested high dose of fluoridated water, does it affect the teeth development of the fetus?

### Table 10: Knowledge of fluorosis according to Dean’s index by gender.

| What do you think is the main cause of dental fluorosis? | Gender      | Frequency (percent) n (%) | p value |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Public water                                           | Male (n=129) | 95 (73.6)                  | 34 (26.4) | 0.208 |
|                                                        | Female (n=369) | 278 (75.3)              | 91 (24.7) |
| Did you stop using topical fluoride on your own teeth because it may cause dental fluorosis? | Yes         | Male (n=131) | 23 (17.6) | 108 (82.4) | 0.988 |
|                                                        | Female (n=369) | 65 (17.6)                 | 304 (82.4) |
| Do you think there is a relation between dental fluorosis and socioeconomic status? | Yes         | Male (n=131) | 63 (48.1) | 68 (51.9) | 0.166 |
|                                                        | Female (n=367) | 214 (58.3)         | 153 (41.7) |
| Do you think that dental fluorosis can lead to dental caries? | No          | Male (n=130) | 80 (61.5) | 51 (38.5) | 0.548 |
|                                                        | Female (n=368) | 221 (60.1)             | 148 (39.9) |
| Do you think the dental fluorosis can affect both enamel and dentin? | Yes         | Male (n=131) | 73 (55.7) | 58 (44.3) | 0.029 |
|                                                        | Female (n=367) | 148 (40.3)             | 219 (59.7) |
| Can fluoride application be effective in prevention of tooth decay? | Yes         | Male (n=131) | 103 (78.6) | 28 (21.4) | 0.812 |
|                                                        | Female (n=368) | 281 (76.2)           | 88 (23.8) |
| Do you think the rural area have more prevalence in developing dental fluorosis? | Yes         | Male (n=131) | 76 (58.0) | 55 (42.0) | 0.063 |
|                                                        | Female (n=367) | 182 (49.6)            | 185 (50.4) |
| What is the best treatment for moderate to severe dental fluorosis in your opinion? | Ceramic crown or veneer | Male (n=131) | 73 (55.7) | 58 (44.3) | 0.529 |
|                                                        | Female (n=368) | 203 (55.2)             | 165 (44.8) |
| If a pregnant woman ingested high dose of fluoridated water, does it affect the teeth development of the fetus? | Yes         | Male (n=131) | 66 (50.4) | 65 (49.6) | 0.352 |
|                                                        | Female (n=366) | 200 (56.4)            | 166 (43.6) |
| If children swallow fluoridated toothpaste are they susceptible to develop dental fluorosis? | Yes         | Male (n=131) | 43 (32.8) | 88 (67.2) | 0.949 |
|                                                        | Female (n=369) | 131 (35.5)            | 238 (64.5) |

* indicates statistical significance

Table 10: Knowledge of fluorosis according to Dean’s index by gender.
causing fluoride toxicity. Another study found that the prevalence of fluorosis was very high and recommended further research on topical use of fluoride, as well as consumption of diet enriched with fluoride.26

Regarding the relationship between dental fluorosis and socioeconomic status, 277 responded with yes, although 139 were not sure about it while 56 decided to ignore the relationship between them. According to few authors, the family income had inverse relation to children’s dental fluorosis status; however, it could not predict fluorosis status as shown in the multivariate analysis.27,28 Other studies also found the mix results that higher socioeconomic status correlated with higher dental fluorosis or vice versa, which may due to the influence of local factors in various circumstances.

In the treatment of the severe cases of fluorosis the best option was the ceramic crowns or veneers which have been chosen by 276 of the responses. In another study, it is also seen that majority of participants believe that fluorosis can be treated by tooth bleaching which is an effective option for the mild cases followed by composite veneers and crowns for the moderate and severe cases.29

Depending on the public water the rural area will have more prevalence than other areas; the answer for this question was yes it will be more in rural areas which approved in the literature. Almas et al, found that the fluorosis was prevalent in rural subjects and there is a need of de-fluoridation of water supplies in the areas with high fluoride level.30

CONCLUSION

Within the limitation of this study it was concluded that although students were aware of the levels of fluorosis and had good didactic knowledge, they experienced practical difficulty in differentiating between stages of fluorosis. This difficulty was significant between moderate and severe dental fluorosis. The dissemination and education about dental fluorosis is urgently needed and should be tailored to reach this population. The knowledge about causes of fluoride and the effect on dental fluorosis should be presented in the education because of the consequence of the problem. However, the major issue regarding fluorosis prevention would be to optimize fluoride level in drinking water, or the other sources of drinking water need to be available and accessible. To prevent dental fluorosis in the community a policy should be formulated to strictly adhere to a safety level of fluoride in drinking water.

Recommendations

Based on this study it can be recommended that easy and short messages in oral health educational media should be encouraged and tested with an awareness of low learning levels. Increases the lectures and workshops related to fluorosis with discussion of different severity clinical cases.
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