Conceptualising a channel-based overlapping CNN tower architecture for COVID-19 identification from CT-scan images
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Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) has been employed in classifying the COVID cases from the lungs’ CT-Scan with promising quantifying metrics. However, SARS COVID-19 has been mutated, and we have many versions of the virus B.1.1.7, B.1.135, and P.1, hence there is a need for a more robust architecture that will classify the COVID positive patients from COVID negative patients with less training. We have developed a neural network based on the number of channels present in the images. The CNN architecture is developed in accordance with the number of the channels present in the dataset and are extracting the features separately from the channels present in the CT-Scan dataset. In the tower architecture, the first tower is dedicated for only the first channel present in the image; the second CNN tower is dedicated to the first and second channel feature maps, and finally the third channel takes account of all the feature maps from all three channels. We have used two datasets viz. one from Tongji Hospital, Wuhan, China and another SARS-CoV-2 dataset to train and evaluate our CNN architecture. The proposed model brought about an average accuracy of 99.4%, F1 score 0.988, and AUC 0.99.

The World Health Organization (WHO) in March 2020 authoritatively pronounced COVID-19 as a pandemic because of its infectious nature which infected people all around the globe. Coronavirus is profoundly irresistible because of its infectious nature–it can advance to lethal intense respiratory trouble disorder. Early localisation and desolation are the basic measures to curb the spread of virus. The most well-known and gold standard screening technique to recognize it is RT-PCR testing. However, it is an arduous process. Due to this impediment, the virus is not detected in its premature stage, and thus the infection rate is increasing, which is pressuring the health care system in every country as an outcome of this the healthcare personnel are working round the clock¹.

In the quest of diagnosis by other alternatives, chest scans, for example, X-rays and CT scans checks have been utilized to distinguish morphological examples of lung sores connected to COVID-19². However, we are not even fully capable of diagnosing the COVID-19 infection in our lungs with the help of CT-Scan¹. Be that as it may, the exactness of the determination of COVID-19 by chest filters unequivocally relies upon specialists, and Deep learning strategies have been concentrated as an apparatus to robotize and assist with the finding. Researchers have invented various techniques to classify the COVID-19 patient from the CT-Scan dataset but there are discrepancies between these models as they are state of the art in classifying the infected as well as a non-infected person but this model lacks in recall and precision, which can have devastated result if these models are moved into production also, they need a huge dataset, as well as computation capability to correctly classify⁴,⁵. Model named as a CTnet-10 was composed having an accuracy of 82.1% to classify the CT-Scan of COVID patients. To improve the precision, researchers developed various types of models based on the CT-Scan dataset. Researchers formulated that the VGG-19 model is ideal to group the pictures as COVID-19 positive or negative as it gave a superior precision of 94.52%. There is a need to detect the infection at early stages with a high F1-score as well as accuracy so there is a balance between True Positive and False Positive. True Positive means correctly classifying
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the infected person and False Positive means incorrectly classifying the COVID positive person as well as the COVID negative person, so the infection rate does not increase by sending the COVID positive person outside the isolation area and as well as bringing the COVID negative person inside the isolation area if this scenario happened then it can lead to community transmission and can cripple the healthcare system in any country.

In this paper, we have developed a CNN tower in conjunction with the channel present in the CT-Scan dataset. Having clearly discerning the characteristics of CT-Scan images of the patients spread over three channels, in contrast we designed a CNN model consisting of three towers, which takes the channel of the image as an input in order to analyze the feature maps from those 3-channels and then classify the images.

The proposed CNN architecture comprising of CNN towers is developed as per the number of channels present in the images. Channels play a very crucial role while extracting the feature maps from the images because it is the arrangement of the pixels in predefined patterns. Human brain does not recognise the distinctions in each channel as compared to the machines. For example, if we change the number of bits, which are allocated for storing the channel, then the quality of the image will enhance. Studies have shown that if we compress the blue channel more and red channel less, the features of the images are fine-tuned because the blue channel is most prone to the noise with respect to the red channel. Our architecture focus on the channel based features from the images which play a huge role in developing the initial stage feature maps and in the later stages, it concatenates the feature map of the current layer with the preceding layers. Hence each tower has information, i.e. a feature map of its own as well as the feature maps of the previous towers. In this way, we are focusing on the distinctive channel features as well as the combination of the feature maps obtained from the convolution layers. By this process the CNN towers are able to learn from the individual channels as well as the combination of the channels present in the images.

The contributions of the paper towards novelty are as follows:

1. We have designed the CNN tower architecture in conjunction with the CT-Scan image dataset. The CNN tower will extract the features from these channels and classify them.
2. Each CNN tower outputs the features of the image channel by combining with the feature of its previous channels which is concatenated to produce the intermediate feature maps from the dataset which is fitted into a dense layer for final classification.
3. The proposed CNN achieved superior prediction results on COVID-19 datasets and outperformed the existing models.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: in Sect. 2, we have discussed the recent research pertaining to COVID identification using CNNs, the detailed methodology and techniques of COVID detection using channel-based CNN towers is discussed in Sect. 3. Section 4 exhibits the experimental results obtained along with its analysis. Finally, Sect. 5 concludes the work with a hint on future scope of the research.

Related work
As of late, various examinations and investigation work have been completed in the clinical field which handled tomography and was analyzed using artificial mental ability with significant learning. At first, the Artificial Neural Network combined with CNN was utilized for the examination of 77 frontal cortex CTs by Grewal et al. RADNet showed 81.82% precision with the CT Scan dataset. Three sorts of the significant neural organization were employed for cell breakdown in the lung's course of action by Song et al. But the CNN model was more feasible in real-time deployment when contrasted with various models. Using deep learning, unequivocally CNN, Gonzalez et al. had the option to recognize and organize progressing obstructive respiratory disease (COPD), anticipate mortality and exceptional respiratory affliction (ARD) events in smokers. In the early phase of Covid-19, CT-Scan was seen as valuable for perceiving the Covid-19 disease in individuals. The central issues envisioned from the CT-Scan for the area of Covid-19 defilement in the lungs are ground-glass opacities, solidifying, reticular models, and insane clearing plan.

Few of the contemporary research on detecting COVID-19 from CT-Scan images are studied and they are listed in Table 1. The radiographs were autonomously investigated by six analysts and by the AI structure, the framework exactly coordinates CXR pictures as COVID-19 pneumonia with an AUC of 0.81. Another recent work by Santosh et al. discusses the impact of data size, data augmentation, model fit and transfer learning on the image classification such as COVID-19 positive. Lung Cancer, Pneumonia and healthy classes for clinical importance. Furthermore, the importance of active learning strategies for quick decision-making with fewer input images are investigated by a researcher. This study also discusses the various methods for working with multitudinal and multimodal datasets.

Similarly, many pre-trained networks as well as a voting mechanism were trained but they are mostly focused on Accuracy and Area Under Curve (AUC) but we have to be very precarious because we are dealing with people life and life of the people who are in their physical range so we have to consider other metrics with our trained model which will minimize the event of covid negative person sending in isolation centers or covid positive being sent to in normal environment as to avoid any catastrophe.

Methodology
Outline of methodology. The proposed detailed methodology is summarized in Fig. 1. It includes the following steps: CT-scan image acquisition, pre-processing, data augmentation, rotation, dilation, erosion, resizing the images, channel separation, feature extraction, designing CNN tower architecture consisting of three channels, training the CNN and finally predicting using the COVID data. These steps are explained in more detail in the subsequent subsections.
Dataset. In this research, we have combined two datasets; first one is of SARS-CoV CT-Scan dataset and it is publicly available\(^2\) which consists of 2482 CT-scans in total, out of which 1252 CT-scans belongs to 60 positive patients of which 32 were male and the remaining 28 were female and 1230 CT-Scan of 60 non-covid patients of which 30 were males and the remaining 30 were females.

The second dataset is extracted from GitHub repository\(^23\) and its details are described in Yang et al.\(^24\). This data consists of 349 CT images from 216 COVID-19 patients. The researchers gathered pictures from two other datasets (MedPix dataset, LUNA dataset), from the Radiopaedia site, and from different articles and research journals accessible over the internet. Total 463 samples of CT-Scan were collected from 55 distinguished non-COVID patients. A snapshot of Covid and Non-Covid sample CT-Scan images are exhibited in Fig. 2.

Data augmentation and pre-processing. As shown in Table 2, our experimental dataset consists of 3292 CT-Scan images, and we do intend the deep learning based evaluation, moreover, deep learning architecture requires sufficient data for training the model. At first, the entire dataset is split into three subsets in 60:20:20 ratio, known as training, validation and testing sets. By doing so we have 1976 images for training the model, however, in order to have robust training, we augmented the training dataset with a pipeline that rotates the image by 90°, 180°, 360° along with that we dilated and eroded the dataset after analyzing the images present in the dataset. The erosion and dilation operations were performed so that we can prevent any data leakage from the dataset. The obtained accuracy is 96.28%, kappa was 0.59.

Table 1. Review on methods and quantitative results for the classification of COVID-19 CT-Scan Images.

| Objectives                                                                 | Data Description                                                                 | Methodology                                                                 | Model Performance                                                                 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Zhao et al., 2021\(^{10}\)                                               | To make use of CNNs in combination with transfer learning techniques             | This study uses the COVIDx CT-2 dataset                                      | Demonstrates an increase in the classification accuracy of CT-Scan images         |
| Silva et al., 2020\(^{11}\)                                              | To improve the accuracy of Efficient CovidNet model performance                  | Multiple datasets were retrieved from data repositories and journals         | This study shows an improved accuracy of 87.68%                                    |
| Li et al., 2021\(^{12}\)                                                | To perform multi-classification prediction challenges                           | CT scans of 1417 patients                                                    |                                                                                   |
| Halder et al., 2021\(^{13}\)                                            | To determine the most appropriate model for COVID CT Scan classification using transfer learning techniques | CT scans of patients were taken from hospitals in São Paulo, Brazil through Kaggle |                                                                                   |
| Wang et al., 2021\(^{14}\)                                              | Classifying CT Scan images by extracting COVID-19-specific graphical features    | Multiple pre-processing techniques followed by M-Inception transfer learning models |                                                                                   |
| Shah et al., 2021\(^{15}\)                                              | To find out the best suitable deep learning model for the COVID CT Scan image classification | The COVID-CT-Dataset contains a total of 738 people’s CT reports             |                                                                                   |
| Mukherjee et al., 2021\(^{16}\)                                         | To design a NN that best suitable for both CT and CXR types of COVID medical images | The mix of 672 CT Scan and CXR images                                         |                                                                                   |
| Pham, 2020\(^{17}\)                                                    | To investigate the 16 pretrained CNNs for COVID-19 classification                | Sixteen pretrained CNNs were tested using raw data and augmented data separately |                                                                                   |

Channel-based overlapping CNN tower architecture.

We propose an image channel based on overlapping CNN tower architecture; the model is conceptualised in order to have exactly same number of towers as the count of the channels present in the dataset.
Figure 1. The framework of the proposed approach.
Figure 2. CT images—COVID-19 positive (top) and COVID-19 negative (bottom) from SARS-CoV-2 CT-scan dataset.

Table 2. Original dataset distribution.

| Dataset               | Covid Images | Non-covid images | Covid | Non-covid |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------------|-------|-----------|
| SARS-CoV-2 CT-scan    | 1252         | 1230             | 60    | 60        |
| Covid-CT-scan         | 349          | 463              | 216   | 55        |
| Total                 | 1599         | 1693             | 276   | 115       |

Table 3. Detailed experimental data distribution after augmentation.

| Dataset          | Covid +ve | Covid –ve | Total raw images | Augmented? | Total images after augmentation |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|------------|---------------------------------|
| Training         | 961       | 1015      | 1976             | Yes        | 6628                            |
| Validation       | 319       | 339       | 658              | No         | 658                             |
| Testing          | 319       | 339       | 658              | No         | 658                             |
| Total images     | 1599      | 1693      | 3292             |            | 7944                            |

Table 4. Dataset after pre-processing.

| Dataset                                      | Number of images | Image shape | Description                                      |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Augmented dataset                            | 7944             | H, W, C     | H, and W vary accordingly but the value of C is 3 |
| Preprocessed dataset (Channel has been separ- | 7944             | H, W, C     | H, and W value is 64 and value of C is 1        |
| ated)                                        |                  |             |                                                  |
Generally, images have three channels and our experimental CT-Scan images also contain three channels i.e., red, green, and blue channels. These channels are solely responsible for the features present in the respective images; features are the result when the pixel present in these channels orient themselves in a well-defined pattern. Similarly, our dataset is also composed of three channels and the pixels present in these channels can overpower the pixel present in another channel which can only be analyzed by trained healthcare personnel. So, we designed the CNN model in such a way that it extracts the features from each channel separately and it concatenates the features extracted from the specific channel into the following CNN towers. In this way, we can extract features from the specific channels, and by concatenation of channels, we analyzed the feature map combining the respective channels also. Figure 3 represents the image channel-based overlapping CNN tower architecture. The constituents of individual towers’ along with the combined tower are shown in Table 5.

This model is also composed of traditional CNN and dense layers along with the concatenation layer to concatenate the feature map from the previous CNN towers—enabling the model to extract features from the individual layer as well as the combination between the channels of the images. The layers of the proposed model are discussed below.

Input layer. We have examined that dataset images comprise of 3 channels where pixel esteem has the scope of 0–255 (comprehensively) additionally we have talked about the high likelihood of losing the spatial and also the highlights which are installed into the individual channel. We have 3 input channels of each shape [64, 64, 1]. These input channels indicate the state of the pictures which the model will accept as input to train/test and predict.

---

**Algorithm 1: The Operating Process of Proposed CNN**

```plaintext
Input: CT-scan image  
Output: Classified data

begin
Batch_Size=32, Epochs=30;  
CNN_Towers= []
for epoch in Epochs: do
    Marker= [];
    image_no= len(Dataset)/batch_size;
    data_batched= Dataset [marker: marker+32];
end

for images, labels in data_batched: do
    // Pre-processing steps
    Rotate 90 degrees;
    Rotate 180 degrees;
    Rotate 360 degrees;
    Perform Dilation;
    Perform Erosion;
    Channel separation;
    //Model object
    //No of tower based on the channel of image
    No_towers= (dataset [0].shape)[-1]
end

for towers in range (0, No_towers): do
    # Create tower for channel
    CNN_Towers[i] = Model ();
    //Model for combining the CNN_Tower in concatenation classification
    input_variable= [CNN_Tower[i].inputs
end

for i in range (0, length (CNN_Tower)):
    Model_classification = Classification (inputs= [ input_variable]);
    Model_classification. Forward ()
    Loss Calculation
end
```

---

Generally, images have three channels and our experimental CT-Scan images also contain three channels i.e., red, green, and blue channels. These channels are solely responsible for the features present in the respective images; features are the result when the pixel present in these channels orient themselves in a well-defined pattern. Similarly, our dataset is also composed of three channels and the pixels present in these channels can overpower the pixel present in another channel which can only be analyzed by trained healthcare personnel. So, we designed the CNN model in such a way that it extracts the features from each channel separately and it concatenates the features extracted from the specific channel into the following CNN towers. In this way, we can extract features from the specific channels, and by concatenation of channels, we analyzed the feature map combining the respective channels also. Figure 3 represents the image channel-based overlapping CNN tower architecture. The constituents of individual towers’ along with the combined tower are shown in Table 5.

This model is also composed of traditional CNN and dense layers along with the concatenation layer to concatenate the feature map from the previous CNN towers—enabling the model to extract features from the individual layer as well as the combination between the channels of the images. The layers of the proposed model are discussed below.

Input layer. We have examined that dataset images comprise of 3 channels where pixel esteem has the scope of 0–255 (comprehensively) additionally we have talked about the high likelihood of losing the spatial and also the highlights which are installed into the individual channel. We have 3 input channels of each shape [64, 64, 1]. These input channels indicate the state of the pictures which the model will accept as input to train/test and predict.
Convolutional layer 2D. In this paper, we have utilized channel-based CNN towers, where CNN towers have been matched by the number of channels present in the images of the dataset. The 2D convolutional layers were employed because our dataset comprises pictures that are 2D matrices. The quantity of the channels which establish the convolution layers and are liable for extracting the component maps from the pictures were 256, 128 and 64 respectively. Even though we have made the second convolutional layer with a large portion of the number of channels in the past layers as we move on from the tower to the next the feature maps from the previous tower are concatenated so the model can be trained on the feature maps from the previous layers also.

Figure 3. Image channel-based overlapping CNN tower architecture.
Concatenate layer. Concatenate layer is employed to stack the feature map from the previous CNN tower to the current CNN tower. It enables the model to concentrate on the feature map from each tower, stacking the feature map extracted from previous towers to the next tower. The concatenation allowed us to focus the features from every single layer as well as the combination of the feature maps from the image channels. Finally, we concatenated all the feature maps extracted from the combination of the feature map extracted from the overlapping CNN tower so we can analyze it as a single unit.

Layers max-pooling 2D, flatten and dense. Maxpooling 2D layer is used to down sample the element map by examining the component map created when convolution channels slide over the pictures to extricate the basic highlights. In this paper, we utilized the Maxpooling layer of size 2 × 2 with the step of 1 followed by the level layer to change the 2D tensor addressing the scant component guide of the information and question into a 1D tensor. As demonstrated in Fig. 1, our proposed model utilizes three thick layers with various neurons in each layer of 128, 70 and 2 separately. A thick layer is utilized in the organization to change the spatial element removed from the CNN into a 1D tensor which will be used to classify the pictures.

Activation function. Relu represents the Rectifier Linear Unit. It is quite possibly the most conspicuously utilized nonlinear enactment work capacity. We have utilized the Relu function because of its elements which do not permit all neurons to start up all the while at a similar place of time27.

Optimiser-Rmsprop. In this paper, we have carried out Rmsprop as an optimizer to control the backpropagation for the best result. Rmsprop has proved its usefulness by showing good adaptation of learning rate in different model applications28.

In the proposed model architecture, we trained the model which includes the features from the receptive channels present in the dataset as well as we concatenated each feature map obtained from the specific towers with the following CNN towers, and finally, we concatenated all those features maps. This model allowed us to analyze and train the proposed model by considering feature maps from the CT-Scan dataset individually and with the combination of other channels so the model can analyze the feed image. This will have a positive effect,

| Tower Name | Name of Layers | Parameters | Output Shape | Description |
|------------|----------------|------------|--------------|-------------|
| Tower 1    | Input_layer_T1 | 0          | (None,64,64,1) | Input layer: [Input_layer_T1]  
Output layer: [Maxpool_T1] |
|            | Conv2d_T1     | 1280       | (None,63,63,256) |  
MaxPool_T1 |
|            | MaxPool_T1_c  | 131,200    | (None,30,30,128) |  
MaxPool_T1 |
|            | Conv2d_T1     | 32,832     | (None,14,14,64) |  
MaxPool_T1 |
| Tower 2    | Input_layer_T2| 0          | (None,64,64,1) | Input layer: [Input_layer_T2]  
Output layer: [Maxpool_T2]  
Concatenation layer: [Conv2d_T2_c, Conv2d_T1_c] |
|            | Conv2d_T2     | 1280       | (None,63,63,256) |  
MaxPool_T2 |
|            | MaxPool_T2_c  | 131,200    | (None,30,30,128) |  
MaxPool_T2 |
|            | Concat_T2     | 0          | (None,30,30,256) |  
MaxPool_T2 |
|            | Conv2d_T2     | 32,832     | (None,14,14,64) |  
MaxPool_T2 |
| Tower 3    | Input_layer_T3| 0          | (None,64,64,1) | Input layer: [Input_layer_T3]  
Output layer: [Maxpool_T3]  
Concatenation layer: [Conv2d_T3_c,Conv2d_T2_c, Conv2d_T3_c] |
|            | Conv2d_T3     | 1280       | (None,63,63,256) |  
MaxPool_T3 |
|            | MaxPool_T3_c  | 131,200    | (None,30,30,128) |  
MaxPool_T3 |
|            | Concat_T3     | 0          | (None,30,30,256) |  
MaxPool_T3 |
|            | Conv2d_T3     | 32,832     | (None,14,14,64) |  
MaxPool_T3 |
| Combined tower |           | Concat_T  | 0          | (None,7,7,192) | Input layer: [Max_Pool_T1, Max_Pool_T2, Max_Pool_T3]  
Output layer: [Dense] |
|            | Conv2d_T     | 24,608     | (None,6,6,32) |  
MaxPool_T |
|            | MaxPool_T     | 0          | (None,3,3,32) |  
Flatten_T |
|            | Dense_1       | 36,692     | (None,128) |  
Dense_2 |
|            | Dense_2       | 9030       | (None,70) |  
Dense_3 |
|            | Dense_3       | 142        | (None,2) |

Table 5. Model summary.
especially on F1-score as well as other qualitative metrics. The metrics which were especially monitored during training the model are Accuracy, Loss, F1-score and AUC. Accuracy and Loss are the basic metrics that tell about the goodness of the model, but F1-score depends on Recall as well as Precision\textsuperscript{29}. In this scenario we must closely monitor that we minimize the False Positive and True Negative to prevent any catastrophe, so we used F1-score along with AUC and Accuracy to prove the productivity of the proposed model.

The experiments were run, on a system comprised of Intel (R) Xeon (R) Haswell processor, with a CPU frequency of 2.3 GHz and 12 GB RAM upgradable to 26.7 GB.

**Results**

**Validation of the model based on Grad-CAM.** We use Gradient-weighted Class Activation Mapping (Grad-CAM) in order to verify the model’s activation trend around the correct patterns.

The results of running Grad-CAM on our experimental dataset with output labelled as Covid and non-Covid are represented in Figures 4 and 5.

**Prediction based on the proposed model.** A prediction of Covid and Non-Covid cases as generated by the proposed model on testing is shown in Fig. 6.

**Performance of the model.** The pre-processed dataset represented in Table 4 comprises of 7944 images, out of this 6628 images are used for training the model, 658 images for validating the training process in order to tune the model and rest 658 images for evaluating the model performance which signifies the larger ratio is reserved for the training part and the smaller one for evaluation. The model was trained for 20 epochs with 32 batch sizes i.e. 331 images per epoch were fitted into the model, then the loss was back propagated with the help of the RMSprop optimizer. To protect the model from overfitting we have employed the call-back routine with

![Figure 4. Grad-CAM of proposed model—Heatmap for Non-Covid class.](image1)

![Figure 5. Grad-CAM of proposed model—Heatmap for Covid class.](image2)
3 different images of the patients i.e. if within 3 epochs the specified metric does not improve, it will stop the training of the model.

Figure 7 shows the variation of accuracy value with iteration and Fig. 8 represents the change in loss value according to epoch. It is quite evident from the figures that both validation and testing accuracy significantly increase in between 0 and 10 epochs, whereas, after 15 epochs the accuracy curve became almost flat and around 20 epoch it became stable. On the other hand, the loss value sharply declined between 0 and 10 iterations, thereafter rate of fall of loss slowed down and in between 15 and 20 epochs, the loss curve smoothed. Clearly, the convergence of loss value towards zero indicates the persuasiveness of the model.

The ROC (receiver operating characteristics) curve obtained is shown in Fig. 9. It is a curve plotted by taking true positive rate (TPR) versus false positive rate (FPR). From the validation and test ROC curve, AUC (area under the curve) values obtained are 0.998 and 0.990 respectively.

Figure 10 shows the confusion matrix to exhibit the model performance after classifying the CT-Scan images of the Covid-positive and Covid-negative patients. The evaluation dataset is a subset of the full dataset which is unseen by the model and it consists of 20 percent of the total raw images (658 images). Clearly out of the 658
evaluation dataset, only 5 scans were misclassified, the rest of 653 were classified correctly, revealing the reliability of the model.

**Comparison to other methods.** The proposed model architecture has shown distinguished performance in all aspects when compared to the other existing models trained on the same dataset used by us and the comparison is represented in Table 6.

From the literatures, we identified that few of the authors used a part of our dataset with little modification and other studies employed separate dataset. However, none of the studies employed exactly identical data as ours. Silva et al. used 2482 CT-scans from 120 patients (1252 scans from Covid + ve and 1230 scans from Non-Covid); Mobiny et al. used 349 Covid and 397 non-Covid images. In order to have a fair comparison with the existing models, we employed our pre-processed 7944 images to the voting based ensemble architecture as proposed by Silva et al. and the result is shown in Table 6. Similarly, we experimented using the transfer learning architecture proposed by Halder et al. However, few of the literatures do not explain the architecture clearly and even few authors have not published the architecture in public. Hence, we evaluated only a few models using our experimental data.
From the Table 6, it is obvious that the best outcome was secured by Mobiny et al. for Covid-19 detection with deep learning framework, however, our proposed methodology of classifying the CT-Scan images come through in all prospective especially in terms of accuracy, precision and AUC as compared to the previously models.

| Approach      | Accuracy | Precision | AUC  |
|---------------|----------|-----------|------|
| Silva et al.  | 88.5     | 0.876     | 0.918|
| Halder et al. | 90.4     | 0.907     | 0.927|
| Mobiny et al. | 91.5     | 0.918     | 0.945|
| Our proposed model | 99.6     | 0.995     | 0.996|

Table 6. Comparison with other methods.

Classification results without data augmentation. For the case of training the network without data augmentation, we used 3292 original samples as described in the Dataset section. Out of this 2633 samples were used for training the network.

Figure 11(a) shows the variation of accuracy value with iteration and Fig. 11(b) represents the change in loss value according to epoch. Figure 12 represents ROC curve obtained for training and testing samples and the corresponding AUC values secured are 0.990 and 0.989 respectively.

On testing the model over a validation data set of 659 images, the model can be evaluated by the help of confusion matrix and it is represented in Fig. 13. It is evident from Fig. 13 that out of 659 images, 646 images correctly classified whereas only 13 images are misclassified.
Discussion
In traditional architecture proposed by the researchers -state of the art methodology but the majority were using transfer learning as well as ensemble methodology in which they were indirectly implementing transfer learning and few of them proposed the architecture of their own. In case of transfer learning we have seen that around 90% accuracy the models were in plateau state i.e. its stopped improving or we can say it reached its maximum improving capacity whereas the researchers who proposed their own model for this problem statement there were not much of the statistical proof to support the efficiency and truthfulness of the models thought the model were performing in controlled environment but when we are working with the problem statement which is related to medical or health care sector there is very high chances that the proposed techniques will be use in real time scenarios we will have to deal will uncontrollable parameters hence it will have direct impact in the efficiency of the model.

In this paper, we have proposed a technique which deals with the image by considering its channel, it does not consider the image as a whole, instead it considers channels present in the images. Images are composed of pixels which have a range between 0 and 255. These pixels combine in the form of matrices which are known as channels. Images generally have 3 channels i.e. rgb, hsv, lab, CrCb, Luv, Yuv and many others. Some of the images can have 4 channels, it totally depends on the image we are using. These channels have specific roles to play when we are considering extracting the features from these images, especially in the health care sector where minute details of features have a huge weightage. So, we have proposed a neural network architecture where we are focusing on every channel present in the covid dataset. Channel is the main building block of features maps in CNN. We are basically separating the channels present in the datasets so they cannot affect the overall feature maps. Channels present in the map can easily overpower the other channels because some channels are somewhat immune to noise and some are susceptible to noise and we have seen how in rgb image one channel can totally overpower the rest of the channel’s features. We have created the channel based overlapping CNN architecture

Figure 12. ROC curve attained using original data without augmentation.

Figure 13. Confusion matrix on testing with twenty percent of original data.
Conclusion
The proposed model is tailored with suitable metrics in such a way that it holds its ground on qualitative as well as quantitative parameters. The proposed channel-based overlapping CNN tower considers the features from each channel present in the images, and it combines the features extracted by the feature map from the respective tower. This enables the CNN model to pay attention to the pixel orientation which reveals the infection in the CT-Scan because if we analyze the CT-Scan dataset by combining all the channels, one or more channels can overpower the orientation of pixels in another channel. The model was trained using Rmsprop—optimizer based on the Gradient descent method to minimize Type-1 as well as Type-2 errors, and it is evaluated with the metrics Accuracy, Loss, F1-Score, and AUC. The proposed CNN Tower architecture is designed as per the number of channels present in the images. However, this ensuing architecture may not be suitable for processing X-ray images. This is one of the limitations of the proposed model. However, there is a lot of scope with respect to the model architecture by incorporating residual networks concept and by increasing the dataset size and training the model as well as by increasing the density and regularization layer in the model architecture.

Data availability
The datasets analysed during the current investigation are available in the following repository: https://www.kaggle.com/plameneduardo/sarscov2-ctscan-dataset, https://github.com/UCSD-AI4H/COVID-CT. All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations as publicly available data set is used.
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