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Thrombospondin-1 overexpression stimulates loss of Smad4 and accelerates malignant behavior via TGF-β signal activation in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is characterized by abundant stroma and cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) provide a favorable tumor microenvironment. Smad4 is known as tumor suppressor in several types of cancers including PDAC, and loss of Smad4 triggers accelerated cell invasiveness and metastatic potential. The thrombospondin-1 (TSP-1) can act as a major activator of latent transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) in vivo. However, the roles of TSP-1 and the mediator of Smad4 loss and TGF-β signal activation during PDAC progression have not yet been addressed. The aim is to elucidate the biological role of TSP-1 in PDAC progression.

Methods and results: High substrate stiffness stimulated TSP-1 expression in CAFs, and TSP-1 knockdown inhibited cell proliferation with suppressed profibrogenic and activated stroma-related gene expressions in CAFs. Paracrine TSP-1 treatment for PDAC cells promoted cell proliferation and epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT) with activated TGF-β signals such as phosphorylated Akt and Smad2/3 expressions. Surprisingly, knockdown of DPC4 (Smad4 gene) induced TSP-1 overexpression with TGF-β signal activation in PDAC cells. Interestingly, TSP-1 overexpression also induced downregulation of Smad4 expression and enhanced cell proliferation in vitro and in vivo. Treatment with LSKL peptide, which antagonizes TSP-1-mediated latent TGF-β activation, attenuated cell proliferation, migration and chemoresistance with enhanced apoptosis in PDAC cells.

Conclusions: TSP-1 derived from CAFs stimulates loss of Smad4 expression in cancer cells and accelerates malignant behavior by TGF-β signal activation in PDAC. TSP-1 could be a novel therapeutic target, not only for CAFs in stiff stroma, but also for cancer cells in the PDAC microenvironment.

Introduction

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) displays aggressive malignant behavior and is the most lethal cancer type worldwide [1]. PDAC is characterized by abundant stroma that harbors tumor-promoting properties and chemoresistance. The hard and fibrotic shell of desmoplasmia serves as a barrier to the infiltration of both chemo- and immunotherapy drugs and host immune cells to the tumor [2]. The stromal component of PDAC occupies up to 80% of the tumor mass and causes PDAC to become one of the stiffest malignancies with solid stress values close to 10 kPa [3]. In many solid cancers, the dense stroma is predominantly composed of cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs), as well as macrophages, immune cells, endothelial cells, and epithelial cells [4]. Epithelial mesenchymal
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transient (EMT) is a process of cellular plasticity that contributes to cancer cell invasion and metastasis [5], and CAFs can promote EMT in cancer cells [6]. CAFs also produce various paracrine molecules such as transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) that aid tumor growth, local invasion, and metaseses development for cancer cells. TGF-β is a potent inducer of EMT [7], and TGF-β signaling has a central role in the tumor progression of multiple cancers, including PDAC [8]. Smad4 plays a pivotal role in the signal transduction of the TGF-β superfamily cytokines by mediating transcriptional activation of target genes [9]. Smad4 is known as a tumor suppressor in several cancer types such as PDAC, colorectal cancer, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma, and prostate cancer, and loss of Smad4 triggers accelerated cell invasiveness and metastatic potential [10–16]. In patients with resectable PDAC, loss of Smad4 immunolabeling was an independent poor prognostic factor for overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival [12], and all patients who achieved 5-year survival displayed intact Smad4 expression [12]. Furthermore, PDAC patients with biallelic deletion of DPC4 (Smad4 gene) had more frequent metastasis compared with those with wild-type DPC4 [17]. Thus, the inactivation and/or downregulation of Smad4 plays a critical role in PDAC progression. However, the mediator of Smad4 loss and TGF-β signal activation during PDAC progression is unknown.

The matricellular protein thrombospondin-1 (TSP-1) (encoded by THBS1) was first demonstrated as a component of the α-granule in platelets and is induced in response to tissue damage or stress, playing a role as a transient component of the extracellular matrix during tissue repair [18–20]. TSP-1 can convert latent TGF-β1 complexes to their biologically active form [21,22]. Because TGF-β is synthesized as a latent complex consisting of latency-associated peptide (LAP), an important step in the regulation of its biological activity is the conversion of the latent form to the active form in vivo [21]. However, the roles of TSP-1 and the mediator of Smad4 loss and TGF-β signal activation during PDAC progression have not yet been addressed.

Here, we investigated the biological role of TSP-1 in PDAC progression. Then, we identified that TSP-1 derived by CAFs stimulates the loss of Smad4 expression in cancer cells accelerating malignant behavior via TGF-β signal activation in PDAC.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and culture conditions

Human pancreatic cancer cell lines (AsPC-1, KLM-1, MIA PaCa-2, PANC-1, PK-59, PK-8, S2-013, and S2-VP10) were used. AsPC-1, KLM-1, PANC-1, PK-59, and PK-8 were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), MIA PaCa-2, S2-013, and S2-VP10 were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (4.5 g/L glucose) supplemented with 10% FBS. All cell lines were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2.

Establishment of CAFs and NFs

Establishment of CAFs and normal fibroblasts (NFs) was performed as previously described [23]. Patient-derived material was obtained from pancreas resections (pancreatoduodenectomy or distal pancreatectomy) of primary cancers. CAFs were extracted from pancreatic cancer tissues, and paired NFs were extracted from noncancerous tissues using a scalpel. NFs were collected at least 5 cm away from the cancerous region. The tissues were transferred to culture medium and placed on ice. Using scalpels, each material was minced into small pieces that were approximately 2–4 mm in size. After mincing, the tissue pieces were transferred into a gentleMACS C tube containing the enzyme mix by gently adding 4.7 ml RPMI 1640, 200 ml Enzyme H, 100 ml Enzyme R, and 25 ml Enzyme A. The tissue was dispersed and disrupted using the gentleMACS Dissociator. A short centrifugation was performed at 300 × g for 30 s at room temperature (22°C–25°C) to collect the sample materials at the bottom of the tube. The pellet was washed with 20 ml RPMI 1640 to collect any remaining cells lodged in the cell strainer. The cell suspension was then centrifuged at 300 × g at 4°C for 7 min and the supernatant was completely aspirated. The cells were cultured in a 10 cm collagen-coated dish in 10 ml culture media in a 37°C incubator with 5% CO2 and 95% humidity, and were expanded until they reached a confluency of 90% in a 15 cm dish.

RNA extraction and quantitative reverse transcription PCR

Total RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) were performed as previously described [20, 24]. See Supplementary materials and methods for additional details.

Protein sample preparation and western blot analysis

Protein extractions from cultivated cells and subsequent western blot analyses were performed as previously described [20,24]. Briefly, whole cell lysates from cell lines or 50 mg protein were prepared in RIPA buffer [25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.6), 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS] containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors. Equal amounts of protein from cell lysates were electrophoretically separated on 10%–15% sodium dodecyl sulfate gels and transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat dry milk in TBS/Tween-20 (0.1%) for 1 h at room temperature, followed by incubation with a primary antibody in Can Get Signal Solution 1 (Toyobo) at 4°C overnight. After washing the membrane with TBS/Tween-20, the membranes were incubated with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody in Can Get Signal Solution 2 at room temperature for 1 h. The membranes were washed with TBS/Tween-20, incubated with an Enhanced Chemiluminescence Detection System [ECL (GE Healthcare Corp) or ECL-Prime (GE Healthcare)], and visualized using a ChemiDoc™ Touch Gel Imaging System (Bio-Rad). Quantification of band intensities for each represented blot was performed using ImageJ (NIH, USA) (Fig. S1).

Immunohistochemical and immunofluorescence staining

Sample processing and immunohistochemical procedures were performed as described previously [20,24]. Briefly, immunohistochemical staining was performed on 4-μm-thick sections from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded blocks. Sections were microwave-pretreated in Histofine antigen retrieval solution (pH 9) (Nichirei, Tokyo, Japan). Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked with 3% hydrogen peroxide, and the sections were incubated with diluted antibodies overnight at 4°C. A subsequent reaction was performed with a biotin-free horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody in Can Get Signal Solution 2 at room temperature for 1 h. The membranes were washed with TBS/Tween-20, incubated with an Enhanced Chemiluminescence Detection System [ECL (GE Healthcare Corp) or ECL-Prime (GE Healthcare)], and visualized using a ChemiDoc™ Touch Gel Imaging System (Bio-Rad). Quantification of band intensities for each represented blot was performed using ImageJ (NIH, USA) (Fig. S1).

C. A subsequent reaction was performed with a biotin-free horseradish peroxidase enzyme-labeled polymer from the Envision Plus detection system (Dako Co. Tokyo, Japan). A positive reaction was visualized with diaminobenzidine (DAB) solution, followed by counterstaining with Mayer’s hematoxylin. Negative control for immunostaining was performed by omitting the primary antibody. For immunofluorescence staining, cells were fixed for 10 min with ice-cold 4% PFA. After being blocked with 3% BSA (Sigma) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), cells were permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 and incubated with primary antibody in 3% BSA in PBS and phalloidin overnight, followed by secondary antibody labeled with Alexa Fluor 488 (1:200). After being washed twice with PBS, the nuclei were stained with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Negative control staining was performed by omitting the primary antibody. The slides were visualized using a BX-710 microscope (Keyence). Immunochemical analysis of the expression in cancer stroma and cells (TSP-1 and LAP) was performed by a two-way system using staining intensity and extent. Staining intensity was graded as 0 (negative), 1 (weak), 2 (moderate) and 3 (strong); staining extent was graded
Fig. 1. Stiffness stimulates TSP-1 expression in CAFs, and the downregulation of TSP-1 hinders cell proliferation with suppressed profibrogenic response. A Cell morphology of CAFs cultured on 0.2 kPa and 16 kPa substrate stiffness. Representative images are shown. B Immunofluorescence staining of TSP-1 (green)/phallloidin (red)/4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, blue) in CAFs cultured on 0.2 kPa and 16 kPa for 24 h. C The area of CAFs cultured on 0.2 kPa and 16 kPa substrate stiffness was quantified by the phallloidin/-actin-positive area (*P < 0.05; n = 3). D qRT-PCR analysis of TSP-1 and CTGF mRNA expression in CAFs cultured on 0.2 kPa and 16 kPa for 24 h. Data were normalized to the amount of β-actin mRNA serving as the internal control (*P < 0.05; n = 3). E Western blot analysis of TSP-1, CTGF, Fibronectin, and phosphorylation of Akt in CAFs cultured on 0.2 kPa and 16 kPa for 24 h. β-actin served as a loading control (n = 3). F TSP-1 knockdown using siRNA was performed in CAFs. qRT-PCR and western blot analysis were performed in CAFs cultured on conventional 0.5% gelatin coated 100 mm dish for 48 h after TSP-1 knockdown (*P < 0.01; n = 3). G Cell proliferation assay in CAFs cultured on conventional 0.5% gelatin coated 100 mm dish with TSP-1 knockdown was performed (*P < 0.01; n = 3). H Left panel) TSP-1 knockdown downregulated CTGF mRNA levels in CAFs cultured on conventional 0.5% gelatin coated 100 mm dish. Right panel) TSP-1 knockdown decreased CTGF expression and phosphorylation of Akt in CAFs. Representative blots are shown. qRT-PCR and western blot analyses were performed at 48 h after TSP-1 knockdown (*P < 0.01; n = 3). I Suppression of activated stroma subtype-related genes (ITGAM and FAP) by TSP-1 knockdown. qRT-PCR was performed in CAFs cultured on conventional 0.5% gelatin coated 100 mm dish for 48 h after TSP-1 knockdown in CAFs (*P < 0.05; n = 3).

Animal studies

All animal studies were conducted according to the guidelines of the Animal Care and Use Committee of Kumamoto University (approval number A2021-130). Six-week-old male BALB/c nude mice (Clea Japan, Shizuoka, Japan) were subcutaneously inoculated in both flanks with empty vector or TSP-1-overexpressing stable transfectant AsPC-1 cells (1 x 10^6 per mouse). The tumor size was measured twice weekly using a digital caliper (VWR International, GA) and the tumor volume was determined with the formula: tumor volume [mm^3] = (length [mm]) x (width [mm])^2 x 0.52. Twenty-one days from the start of injections mice were killed and tumors were excised, measured, and weighed.

Statistical analysis

All the experiments were performed in triplicate, and the data shown are representative of consistent results. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare continuous variables between 2 groups. Kaplan–Meier curves and the generalized Wilcoxon test were used to evaluate the statistical significance of the differences. Data analysis was performed with JMP (version 9, SAS Institute, Japan). A P-value of 0.05 was considered significant and n are reported in the figure legends.

Results

High substrate stiffness stimulates TSP-1 expression in CAFs and knockdown of TSP-1 inhibited cell proliferation with suppressed profibrogenic and activated stroma-related gene expression

PDAC is characterized by abundant stroma. We examined whether stiffness stimulates TSP-1 expression in CAFs. Culture of CAFs on high substrate stiffness (16 kPa) induced morphological changes to a spindle shape compared with those on low substrate stiffness (0.2 kPa) (Fig. 1A). On high substrate stiffness, TSP-1 was strongly expressed around the nuclei of enlarged CAFs, as detected by immunofluorescence staining (Fig. 1B, C). Culture on high substrate stiffness enhanced the expression of TSP-1, connective tissue growth factor (CTGF), and Fibronectin, and further stimulated phosphorylation of Akt compared with low stiffness in CAFs (Figs. 1D, E and S1A). These results indicated that high stiffness substrate stimulated TSP-1 expression with a profibrogenic phenotype in CAFs.

To investigate the biological role of TSP-1 in CAFs, knockdown of TSP-1 was performed using siRNA (Figs. 1F and S1B). Knockdown of TSP-1 attenuated cell proliferation compared with the control in CAFs (Fig. 1G) and suppressed CTGF expression and phosphorylation of Akt (Figs. 1H and S1C). Recent comprehensive genomic studies have elucidated the subtypes of PDAC, which link malignant behavior and prognostic outcomes based on molecular information [29–32]. Especially,
Fig. 2. Overexpression of TSP-1 in stroma and LAP in cancer cells were associated with worse prognostic outcomes in PDAC patients. A Immunohistochemical analysis of TSP-1 protein expression in human PDAC tissue (n = 196). TSP-1 is predominantly expressed in cancer stroma. The figure illustrates examples with negative, weak (upper panels) and moderate, strong (lower panels) staining intensity. Representative images are shown. Scale bars, 100 µm. B Kaplan–Meier curves of RFS (upper panel) and OS (lower panel) according to TSP-1 expression levels in cancer stroma. C TSP-1 mRNA expression levels were examined by qRT-PCR. Data were normalized to the amount of β-actin mRNA serving as the internal control. Lower panel) TSP-1 protein expression was examined by western blot analysis. β-actin served as a loading control. Representative blots are shown (**P < 0.01; n = 3). D Immunohistochemical analysis of LAP in human PDAC tissue (n = 196). LAP is expressed in the nucleus and/or cytoplasm in PDAC tissues. The figure illustrates examples with negative, weak (upper panels) and moderate, strong (lower panels) staining intensity. Representative images are shown. Scale bars, 100 mm. E Kaplan–Meier curves of RFS (upper panel) and OS (lower panel) according to LAP expression levels in the nucleus and/or cytoplasm.
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**Figure A**: Graph showing relative expression of TSP-1 in various cell lines.

**Figure B**: Graphs showing relative expression of TSP-1 and PK-8/59 with and without TSP-1 siRNA.

**Figure C**: Graphs showing absorbance (OD 450nm) of PK-8 and PK-59 over time.

**Figure D**: Western blots showing expression levels of Akt and p-Akt in PK-8 and PK-59.

**Figure E**: Graphs showing absorbance (OD 450nm) with TSP-1 Low and HighExpression.

**Figure F**: Western blots showing expression levels of p-Akt, Akt, and β-actin in AsPC-1, PK-8, and PK-59.

*Caption on next page*
Moffitt et al. [31] categorized PDAC tumors into two subtypes according to genetic expression patterns in tumor stroma. The activated stromal subtype with ITGAM and FAP expression showed a worse prognosis compared with the normal stromal subtype [31]. In this study, knockdown of TSP-1 attenuated the expression of activated stroma-related genes (ITGAM and FAP) compared with the controls (Fig. 1). These findings indicated that TSP-1 played a crucial role in the proliferative activity and proinflammatory response of CAFs, revealing an activated stroma subtype in PDAC.

**Overexpression of TSP-1 in stroma and LAP in cancer cells were associated with worse prognostic outcomes in PDAC patients**

We examined the expression patterns of TSP-1 and LAP, the latent form of TGF-β, in PDAC human tissues. TSP-1 expression was detected in 161 (82.1%) of 196 cases by immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining and was predominantly expressed in cancer stromal tissue. In limited cases (n = 17, 8.7%) among 196 cases, cancer cells were also positive for TSP-1 by IHC staining (Fig. S2). These findings indicated that TSP-1 was predominantly produced by cancer stroma cells rather than cancer cells in PDAC. According to the intensity of TSP-1 staining in the stroma, 35 patients (17.9%) were categorized as “negative”, 92 patients (46.9%) as “weak”, 63 patients (32.1%) as “moderate”, and 6 patients (3.1%) as “strong” (Fig. 2A). Patients with low scores were classified as “low expression” (n = 127; 64.8%), whereas patients with high scores were defined as “high expression” (n = 69; 35.2%). We subsequently assessed the clinical impact of TSP-1 expression levels in cancer stroma on the prognostic outcome of PDAC patients with pancreatic resection. High TSP-1 expression was significantly associated with worse prognostic outcomes in RFS and OS compared with those with low TSP-1 expression (P = 0.023 and P = 0.0047, respectively) (Fig. 2B). The median survival time in the high and low stromal TSP-1 expression groups was 26.2 and 35.1 months, respectively. Using established CAFs and NPs from resected human PDAC tissues, we further confirmed that CAFs stimulated higher TSP-1 expression compared with NPs (Figs. 2C and S1D). TSP-1 is a major activator of TGF-β1 [22]. Next, the expression of LAP was examined in human PDAC tissues by IHC staining. LAP expression was detected in the nuclei of and/or cytoplasm of cancer cells 180 patients (91.8%) (Fig. 2D) and stromal cells also expressed LAP in 36 patients (18.4%). Cancer cells were excessively positive for LAP compared with stroma cells. These findings suggested that LAP was predominantly expressed by cancer cells in PDAC tissue. According to the intensity of LAP staining, 14 cancer cells (8.9%) were classified as “negative”, 87 patients (44.4%) as “weak”, 75 patients (38.3%) as “moderate”, and 18 patients (9.1%) as “strong”. Furthermore, high LAP expression (n = 93) in cancer cells was significantly associated with worse prognostic outcomes in RFS and OS compared with those with low LAP expression (n = 103) (P = 0.0139 and P = 0.00133, respectively) (Fig. 2E). The median survival time in patients with high and low LAP expression levels was 29.6 and 34.4 months, respectively. These results suggested that TSP-1 derived from CAFs and local TGF-β signal activation in cancer cells was associated with malignant behavior in PDAC.

**TSP-1 plays a crucial role in cell proliferation and EMT in a paracrine and autocrine manner in PDAC cells**

The biological roles of TSP-1 in PDAC cells were examined. Although TSP-1 was predominantly expressed in the stromal tissue of human PDAC, cancer cells also expressed TSP-1 in some cases, as mentioned above. Among 8 human PDAC cell lines, strong TSP-1 expression was detected in PK-8 and PK-59 (Fig. 3A). In these two TSP-1-positive cell lines, knockdown of TSP-1 was performed to investigate the biological role of TSP-1 in cancer cells (Figs. 3B and S1E). Knockdown of TSP-1 in PDAC cancer cells attenuated cell proliferation and phosphorylation of Akt (Figs. 3C, D, and S1F). Next, we examined the paracrine effect of TSP-1 in PDAC cancer cells because TSP-1 was predominantly expressed by CAFs in human PDAC tissues. Interestingly, treatment with rTSP-1 promoted cell proliferation and phosphorylation of Akt both in TSP-1-negative (AsPC-1) and -positive (PK-8 and PK-59) PDAC cell lines (Figs. 3E, F, and S1G). These results indicated that TSP-1 accelerated cell proliferation rate with activated Akt signal in a paracrine and autocrine manner in PDAC cells.

In addition, we examined the biological effect of TSP-1 on EMT in PDAC cells because TSP-1 is a major activator of TGF-β1, which is a potent inducer of EMT. Treatment with the rTSP-1 promoted cancer invasion (Fig. 4A). In addition, treatment of TSP-1-negative (AsPC-1) and -positive (PK-8 and PK-59) PDAC cell lines with rTSP-1 promoted the phosphorylation of Smad2/3 with altered expression of EMT markers (loss of E-cadherin and gain of N-cadherin) (Figs. 4B and S1H). In contrast, knockdown of TSP-1 in TSP-1-positive cells (PK-8 and PK-59) attenuated cell invasiveness and phosphorylation of Smad2/3 with the altered expression of EMT markers (gain of E-cadherin and loss of N-cadherin) (Figs. 4C, D, and S1D). These findings indicated that TSP-1 functioned as an EMT inducer via TGF-β1/Smad signal activation in a paracrine and autocrine manner in PDAC cells.

**Downregulation of Smad4 expression induces TSP-1 overexpression with TGF-β signal activation in PDAC**

In the multistep process of PDAC carcinogenesis, loss of Smad4 triggers accelerated cell invasiveness and metastatic potential with TGF-β1 signal activation [29]. Smad4 expression in cancer cells was undetectable in 82 (42%) of 196 cases by IHC staining (Fig. 5A). Smad4-negative expression was significantly associated with worse prognostic outcomes in RFS and OS compared with those with Smad4-positive expression (P < 0.0001 for both) (Fig. 5B). Among 8 human PDAC cell lines, strong Smad4 expression was detected in AsPC-1, MIA PaCa-2, PANC-1, and PK-59 (Fig. 5C). Surprisingly, knockdown of DPC4 induced TSP-1 overexpression with accelerated phosphorylation of Smad2/3 and Akt expression in Smad4-positive cells (PK-59 and MIA PaCa-2) (Figs. 5D and S1J). We further analyzed the clinical impact of Smad4 and TSP-1 expression on the prognostic outcomes of PDAC patients. Double-positivity was detected in 16.8% of patients, while Smad4 or TSP-1 single-positivity was identified in 41.8% and 18.3% patients, respectively. Double-negativity was found in 23.1% of patients. Among them, Smad4-negativity/TSP-1-positivity was associated with worst prognostic outcomes of RFS and OS in patients with pancreatic resection for PDAC, whereas Smad4-positivity/TSP-1-negativity revealed the most favorable prognostic outcomes (Fig. 5E).
The median survival times in patients with Smad4-negativity/TSP-1-negativity and Smad4-positivity/TSP-1-negativity expression were 19.5 and 52.4 months, respectively. These findings suggest that downregulation of Smad4 expression and TSP-1 overexpression were associated with worse prognostic outcomes in PDAC and that downregulation of Smad4 expression triggered TSP-1 expression with TGF-β signal activation and promoted malignant behavior in PDAC.

TSP-1 overexpression induces downregulation of Smad4 expression and enhances cell proliferation in vitro and in vivo

The biological effects of TSP-1 expression in cancer cells were examined. Stable TSP-1-overexpressing cells were established using AsPC-1 cells with low TSP-1 expression (Fig. 6A). Interestingly, overexpression of TSP-1 in AsPC-1 cells induced downregulation of Smad4 expression and enhanced phosphorylation of Akt (Figs. 6B and S1K). Furthermore, overexpression of TSP-1 in AsPC-1 cells promoted cell proliferation compared with the controls (Fig. 6C). Thus, TSP-1 and Smad4 exhibited a mutual double negative feedback loop (Fig. 6D). In an in vivo experiment using a xenograft model, tumors with TSP-1 expression displayed enhanced tumor volumes after 21 days compared with the controls (Figs. 6E–G). Furthermore, tumors with TSP-1 expression had more cancer cells with mesenchymal features (loss of E-cadherin and gain of Vimentin) invading into the surrounding tissue at the invasive front compared with the controls (Fig. 6H).

LSKL peptide, which inhibits TSP-1 binding to LAP and the subsequent TGF-β signal activation, displays anti-cancer effects in PDAC cells

To examine the therapeutic potential of inhibiting TSP-1-mediated TGF-β signal activation, PK-8 cells were treated with LSKL peptide, which inhibits TSP-1 binding to LAP and the subsequent TGF-β signal activation. LSKL peptide treatment inhibited cell proliferation and migration compared with SLLK peptide treatment (control peptide) (Fig. 7A, B). Furthermore, treatment with LSKL peptide in PK-8 cells suppressed phosphorylation of Akt (Figs. 7C and S1L). Next, we examined whether LSKL peptide could overcome chemoresistance for L-OHP in PK-8 cells. PK-8 cells were treated with different concentrations of L-OHP (0.001–100 μM) and cell viability was determined by Cell proliferation assay (Fig. S3). LSKL peptide treatment with L-OHP enhanced cell apoptosis in a cell proliferation assay, as represented by upregulated Cleaved caspase-3 expression (Figs. 7D, E and S1M). Thus, TSP-1 played a critical role in the chemoresistance. Collectively, these results suggested that LSKL peptide could be a novel therapeutic approach in PDAC by antagonizing TSP-1-mediated latent TGF-β activation.

Discussion

Here, we identified a novel biological role of TSP-1 in PDAC progression (Fig. 7F). PDAC is characterized by abundant stroma. In this study, high substrate stiffness promoted TSP-1 expression in CAFs. For CAFs themselves, TSP-1 played a crucial role in the proliferative activity and profibrotic response with activated stroma subtype in PDAC. TSP-1 inhibition suppressed the expression of activated stroma subtype-related genes, suggesting that TSP-1 could be a therapeutic target in the tumor microenvironment of PDAC. Furthermore, TSP-1 overexpression in CAFs and LAP overexpression in cancer cells were worse prognostic factors in patients with surgically resected PDAC, suggesting that TSP-1 derived from cancer stroma and local TGF-β signal activation in cancer cells play a crucial role in acquired malignant behavior in PDAC microenvironment. In the current study with a relative larger number of PDAC cases, TSP-1 expression patterns were similar to two previous reports [33,34] and the high TSP-1 expression in desmoplastic stroma was further identified as a worse prognostic marker in patients with surgically resected PDAC. Furthermore, we examined LAP expression patterns in PDAC tissues. In 93 cases (47.4%), cancer epithelial cells showed moderate and strong positivity for LAP. Additionally, high LAP expression was identified as a worse prognostic marker in patients with surgically resected PDAC. LAP is required for the correct folding of the TGF-β homodimer and its secretion from cells. Furthermore, LAP shields the receptor binding epitope of mature TGF-β, indicating that LAP renders TGF-β biologically inactive and prevents interactions of TGF-β with receptors [21]. When TSP-1 binds to the LAP/TGF-β complex, TGF-β is released from LAP or latent TGF-β complex undergoes conformational change [21,35]. Therefore, active TGF-β is exposed to the TGF-β receptor binding site, and TGF-β signals through Smad phosphorylation. Furthermore, knockdown and overexpression of TSP-1 do not affect the expression of TGF-β1 itself in pancreatic cancer cells (Fig. S4). These findings suggest that stroma-derived TSP-1 interacts with LAP in cancer cells and then activates local TGF-β signal during PDAC progression.

Although TSP-1 expression in PDAC was almost all localized to desmoplastic stroma, elective cancer cells of PDAC tissues and PDAC cell lines were also positive for TSP-1 expression in this study. In PDAC cells, TSP-1 acted as an EMT inducer via TGF-β/Smad signal activation and promoted malignant behavior in a paracrine and autocrine manner in PDAC cells. SB431542, inhibitor of the kinase function of the TGF-β type I receptor, reduced Smad2/3 phosphorylation and blocked the TGF-β-mediated EMT (Fig. S5). Furthermore, downregulation of Smad4 expression triggered TSP-1 overexpression with TGF-β signal activation in cancer cells and promoted malignant behavior in PDAC. Oshima et al. [12] previously reported that downregulation of Smad4 immunolabeling was an independent poor prognostic factor in 106 resectable PDAC. During PDAC carcinogenesis, downregulation of Smad4 is an important step in acquiring malignant behavior such as high invasiveness and metastatic potential [29,36,37]. The high invasiveness and metastatic potential are not increased directly by the downregulation of Smad4, but these are regulated in cooperation with other genes [38]. Intriguing, this study elucidated that TSP-1 overexpression also induced downregulation of Smad4 expression in PDAC cells. Furthermore, TGF-β treatment also enhances the TSP-1 expression, and results in decreased Smad4 expression in PDAC cells (Fig. S6). Downregulation Smad4 caused upregulation of cell cycle-related genes (Fig. S7) [39]. This study is the first to report the interplay between downregulation of Smad4 and TGF-β signal activation via TSP-1 during PDAC progression. Clinical trials of a therapeutic strategy targeting TSP-1 except LSKL peptide have been conducted in colorectal cancer and renal cell carcinoma but not PDAC [40,41]. However, these two trials failed to show an improvement in prognosis and resulted in severe adverse effects [40,41]. In the current study, LSKL peptide treatment attenuated Akt signal activation, and the combined administration of LSKL peptide and chemotherapy (L-OHP) enhanced apoptosis in PDAC cells. The anti-tumor effect of LSKL peptide has also been demonstrated in thyroid carcinoma and multiple myeloma, and the effect was impressive in combination with chemotherapy rather than a single agent, although the
Fig. 5. Downregulation of Smad4 expression triggered TSP-1 overexpression with TGF-β signal activation and was associated with worse prognostic outcomes in PDAC. A Immunohistochemical analysis of Smad4 expression in 196 PDAC tissue samples. Smad4 protein expression was localized to the nuclei and/or cytoplasm of cancer cells. Representative images are shown. Scale bars, 100 µm. B Kaplan–Meier curves for RFS (left panel) and OS (right panel) according to Smad4 expression. C Western blot analysis of Smad4 expression in 8 PDAC cell lines. β-actin protein expression served as a loading control. Representative blots are shown (n = 3). D Western blot analysis of TSP-1, phosphorylated Smad2/3, and phosphorylated Akt in PDAC cells (PK-59 and MIA PaCa-2) with DPC4 knockdown. β-actin protein expression served as a loading control. Representative blots are shown (n = 3). E Kaplan–Meier curves for RFS (left panel) and OS (right panel) according to Smad4 and TSP-1 expression.
Fig. 6. TSP-1 expression induced downregulation of Smad4 expression and enhanced cell proliferation and invasiveness in vitro and in vivo. A Stable TSP-1-overexpressing cells were established using AsPC-1 cell lines. TSP-1 expression at the mRNA and protein level was confirmed by qRT-PCR (left panel) and western blot (right panel) (**P < 0.01; n = 3). B Western blot analysis of Smad4 and phosphorylation of Akt. β-actin protein expression served as a loading control. Representative blots are shown (n = 3). C Cell proliferation assays in AsPC-1 cells with TSP-1 overexpression (**P < 0.01; n = 3). D Schema of a supposed mutual double negative feedback loop. E Schema of the experimental procedure (n = 8 in each group). AsPC-1 cells with TSP-1 overexpression or control were injected subcutaneously in nude mice. Mice were killed at 21 days. F Tumor volume was measured twice weekly for 21 days (**P < 0.01; n = 8). Tumor volume was calculated using the formula: tumor volume [mm$^3$] = (length [mm]) × (width [mm])$^2$ × 0.52. G Gross morphologies of extracted tumors at 21 days are shown (n = 8). H Microscopic findings (hematoxylin-eosin, E-cadherin, and Vimentin staining) at the invasive front in extracted tumors with control vector and TSP-1 overexpression. Scale bars, 100 μm.
Fig. 7. Therapeutic potential of LSKL peptide by antagonizing TSP-1-mediated latent TGF-β activation in PDAC cells. A Cell proliferation assay was performed in PK-8 cells treated with LSKL peptide or control (SLLK peptide) (**P < 0.01; n = 3). B Wound healing assay was performed in PK-8 cells treated with LSKL peptide or control (SLLK peptide). Representative phase-contrast microscope images at 0 h and 24 h are shown (n = 3). C Western blot analysis of Akt phosphorylation in PK-8 cells at 12 h after LSKL peptide or control (SLLK peptide) treatment. β-actin protein expression served as a loading control. Representative blots are shown (n = 3). D Proliferation assay for L-OHP was performed in PK-8 cells treated with LSKL peptide or control (SLLK peptide) (*P < 0.5 and **P < 0.01; n = 3). E Western blot analysis of Cleaved caspase-3 in PK-8 cells at 12 h after L-OHP and LSKL peptide or control (SLLK peptide) treatment. β-actin protein expression served as a loading control. Representative blots are shown. F Schema of the biological roles of TSP-1 in PDAC progression.
reasons for this observation was not elucidated in these studies [42,43]. Further clinical trials using LSKL peptide with anti-cancer agents may be required for patients with PDAC.

There are several limitations to this study. Integrin avb6 is another major activator of local TGF-β signal in vivo [44], and the local TGF-β signal activation mechanism is likely to be tissue-specific [45]. In this study, the expression and biological role of integrin avb6 was not investigated. Another limitation is that it is unclear which of Smad4 downregulation and TSP-1 overexpression plays an important role as an initial step in cancer cells during PDAC carcinogenesis. TSP-1 derived from CAFs may promote downregulation of Smad4 in cancer cells in the tumor microenvironment. Interestingly, PK-59 cells have robust Smad4 expression and relative high TSP-1 expression. In human resected samples, approximately 17% of tumors reveals high TSP-1 expression in stroma cells and Smad4 expression in cancer cells by IHC staining. There findings suggest an alternative mechanism of interplay between TSP-1 and Smad4 during PDAC progression. In the clinical setting, no established therapeutic strategies using TSP-1 inhibitor for cancer treatment are currently available [46]. We hope to initiate clinical trials to elucidate the potential of TSP-1 inhibitor or LSKL peptide as a therapeutic approach for PDAC patients worldwide.

In summary, TSP-1 derived from CAFs stimulates downregulation of Smad4 expression in cancer cells and accelerates malignant behavior by TGF-β signal activation in PDAC. TSP-1 could be a novel therapeutic target, not only for CAFs in stiff stroma, but also for cancer cells in the PDAC microenvironment.
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