Diabetes mellitus (DM) is an escalating public health problem with an estimated global prevalence of 2.8% in 2000 and a projected prevalence of 4.4% in 2030 (171 million in 2000 to 366 million in 2030). Saudi Arabia, a country undergoing a rapid epidemiologic transition, is witnessing a steady increase in the prevalence of DM with the most recent estimate of prevalence being as high as 23.7% among adult citizens.

Despite the fact that the cause of DM is unknown, many of its modifiable lifestyle-related risk factors have been identified and studied. The accumulating evidence suggests that DM is a potentially preventable disease if its risk factors are identified early and avoided. Lifestyle interventions (e.g., physical activity, weight loss) have proven to be more effective than medicine in preventing or delaying the onset of DM in persons at high risk of developing the disease. However, transferring such evidence into an effective community intervention program requires an understanding of the specific needs of these communities before introducing any kind of intervention. Learning about DM risk factors and preventive measures is the first step in prevention, since it will enable the public to make the informed decision of adopting a healthy lifestyle. In addition, policy makers as well as public health practitioners need...
reliable and valid data regarding the distribution and determinants of DM-related health issues among their population. These data are needed to design, implement and evaluate successful intervention programs.15

In Saudi Arabia in general, and in Al-Khobar in particular, there are few studies conducted to assess the level of awareness and knowledge of the population of DM risk factors and preventative measures. Since such knowledge forms the basis for the development of community intervention programs, this study was conducted to provide DM-related information to the health care team at the Aqrabya Primary Care Center. Such information will help in designing, implementing and evaluating a health education program about risk factors and prevention of DM for the attendees of this center. The specific objective of the study was to assess the awareness and knowledge of attendees of the Aqrabya Primary Care Center about DM risk factors and preventive measures.

METHODS

This cross-sectional study population consisted of adult Saudi males and females (ages 18 years and above) attending the Aqrabya Primary Care Center, the largest center in Al-Khobar, Eastern Saudi Arabia. The center is the first health contact point for all those living in the catchment area and had a catchment population of 53616 in the year 2005.

The sample size (n) was calculated using the following formula:15

\[ n = \frac{[DEFF \times p(1-p)]}{\left[\left(d^2 / Z_{\alpha/2}^2\right) \times (N-1) + p \times (1-p)\right]} \]

Where N is the population size = 53616, p the prevalence of DM (±24% ±5%), d the confidence limits (=5%), DEFF the design effect (=1) in this case. The above formula yielded a sample of 279, which was rounded for practical reasons to 300. The sample was selected using probability sampling with proportional allocation of gender representation in the study population. As the ratio of male to female in the study population was 1:2, 100 males from the male reception and 200 females from the female reception were selected using a systematic random sampling technique. Given 22 working days per month and an average of 200 persons attending the center daily, the number of participants to be interviewed per day was 300/22 = 14. The random interval for the 14 participants was 200/14 = 14. For practical purposes, every 15th attendee of the center was invited to participate in the study. Selection of the first participant was done randomly using a random number table from which a number between 1 and 14 was selected. For example, if number 9 was chosen randomly on the male side then the first participant would be the 9th attendee to the male reception desk at that day; then the next participant would be the 24th, 39th, 54th and 69th accordingly. Similarly, in the female side if number 14 was chosen randomly then the first participant would be the 14th attendee to the female reception desk at that day; then the next participants would be the 29th, 44th, 59th, 74th, 89th, 104th, 119th, 134th, and 149th accordingly. If a selected attendee (e.g. the 89th) was not eligible to participate in the study (i.e. non-Saudi or under age of 18 years) or refused to participate, then the next attendee (e.g. the 104th) would be invited to participate. For practical reasons, the study was conducted over a period of 20 days by recruiting 10 female and 5 male attendees on an average each day as long as the number of attendees for that day allowed. For example, if the number of attendees on a certain day allowed for recruiting more than five participants on the male side and more than ten on the female side then the sampling procedure would continue to recruit as many participants as the number of attendees allowed, but if the number of attendees on a certain day led to recruiting less than five on the male side and less than ten on the female side then this would be compensated for by recruiting more participants in the coming days.

To ensure validity, data was collected through a structured face-to-face interview with participants using a pre-piloted Arabic instrument, instead of distributing a self-administered questionnaire among participants. The instrument was piloted by interviewing volunteers at the family medicine clinic of the university to test the clarity of questions and to estimate the time needed to complete an interview. All questions were asked directly in a standardized way to ensure data reproducibility (reliability). The instrument included demographic questions (i.e. age, sex, educational status) and open-ended questions about DM risk factors (i.e. What might lead to diabetes?), as well as prevention (i.e. What might prevent diabetes?). Trained interviewers conducted the interviews; the first author interviewed male participants and a female nurse interviewed female participants. Participants who had attended one or more formal schooling establishments were defined as educated, while others were defined as undereducated. Knowledge of DM risk factors and preventative measures were coded 0 for not mentioning correctly any risk factor or preventive measure and 1 for mentioning correctly at least one risk factor or one preventive measure. OpenEpi (version 2)15 and EpiInfo (version 3.3.2)16 were used for data entry and analysis. Frequency distributions were obtained and regression analysis was used.
to identify the predictors of knowledge. The chi-square and t tests were used to test significance, with a P value <.05 indicating statistical significance; 95% confidence intervals were also calculated.

The study was approved by the research ethics committee at the Department of Family and Community Medicine and permission to conduct the study was granted by the Director of Aqrabya Primary Care Center. The objective of the study was explained to the participants and their verbal consent was acquired before conducting the interview. Health education pamphlets on the risk factors and prevention of DM were provided to all participants at the end of the interview.

RESULTS
Of the 300 sampled attendees, 288 (response rate, 96%) agreed to participate in the study, including 100 (34.7%) males and 188 (65.3%) females. Mean (SD) for age was 44.7 (12.6) years for males and 33.8 (12.4) years for females (t=7.06, df=286, P<.001). Other characteristics are shown in Table 1. Overall, 121 participants (42.0%) had knowledge of DM risk factors and 120 (41.7%) had knowledge of DM prevention. Forty-one males (41%), 51 (38.1%) subjects 40 years of age or older and 96 (59.6%) educated participants had knowledge of DM risk factors. There was a statistically significant association between educational status and knowledge of risk factors (chi-square=46.5, df=1, P<.001). Forty-four males (44%), 50 (37.3%) subjects 40 years of age or older and 92 (57.1%) educated participants had knowledge of DM prevention (Table 2). There was a statistically significant association between educational status of participants and knowledge of DM prevention (chi-square=35.98, df=1, P<.001) (Table 3). Of three independent variables (age, sex, and education) entered into a regression model, education had a significant positive association with knowledge of DM risk factors and prevention, while age had a significant negative association with knowledge of DM risk factors and prevention (Table 4). The risk factors of DM most frequently stated by respondents were obesity and lack of physical exercise (Table 5). The most commonly mentioned preventive measures were weight reduction and exercise. Of all participants, 77 (26.7%) stated two or more DM risk factors and 89 (30.9%) mentioned two or more preventive measures (Table 5).

DISCUSSION
The lack of knowledge of risk factors of a disease (DM in this case) may impede preventive efforts such as the adoption of positive lifestyle changes. Therefore, a knowledge-based perception of personal risk for the disease appears to be an important factor in many prevent-
Table 3. Knowledge of diabetes prevention in relation to age, sex and education among the respondents (n=288).

| Variables        | Knowledge of DM prevention | Total | Chi-square test (df) | P  |
|------------------|----------------------------|-------|----------------------|----|
|                  | Yes No (%)                 | No No (%) |                   |    |
| Age (years)      | 70 (45.5)                  | 84 (54.5) | 154 (100)            |    |
| <40              | 50 (37.3)                  | 84 (62.7) | 134 (100)            | .001|
| ≥40              | 120 (41.7)                 | 168 (58.3) | 288 (100)            | .162|
| Gender           | 44 (44.0)                  | 56 (56.0) | 100 (100)            |    |
| Male             | 76 (40.4)                  | 112 (59.6) | 188 (100)            | .001|
| Female           | 120 (41.7)                 | 168 (58.3) | 288 (100)            | .558|
| Education        | 35.978 (1)                 |         | <.001                |    |
| Educated         | 92 (57.1)                  | 69 (42.9) | 161 (100)            |    |
| Undereducated    | 28 (22.0)                  | 99 (78.0) | 127 (100)            |    |
| Total            | 120 (41.7)                 | 168 (58.3) | 288 (100)            |    |

Table 4. Regression analysis showing predictors of knowledge of diabetes risk factors and prevention among the respondents (n=288).

| Variables                         | Knowledge of diabetes risk factors | Knowledge of diabetes prevention |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                                   | Odds ratio 95% CI P               | Odds ratio 95% CI P              |
| Age (<40=0)*                       | 0.377 0.207-0.685 .001            | 0.407 0.231-0.717 .001           |
| Education (Undereducated=0)*      | 12.548 2.629-25.158 <.001         | 7.558 4.010-14.243 <.001         |

Table 5. Diabetes risk factors and preventive measures as stated by the respondents (n=288).

| Knowledge                                    | No. (%) | 95% Confidence interval |
|----------------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------|
| Risk factors                                 |         |                         |
| Obesity                                      | 103 (35.8) | 30.5-41.5               |
| Lack of physical exercise                   | 93 (32.3) | 27.2-37.9               |
| Smoking                                      | 81 (28.1) | 23.3-33.6               |
| Genetic                                      | 33 (11.5) | 8.3-15.7                |
| Two or more of the above                     | 77 (26.7) | 21.9-32.1               |
| Others (e.g. stress, alcohol, drugs)         | 44 (15.3) | 11.6-19.9               |
| Preventive measures                          |         |                         |
| Reduce weight                                | 109 (37.9) | 32.4-43.6               |
| Perform physical exercise                    | 91 (31.6) | 26.5-37.2               |
| Two or more of the above                     | 89 (30.9) | 25.9-36.5               |
| Others (e.g. avoid stress, take medicine)    | 38 (13.2) | 9.8-17.6                |
The program should address each person's unique situation and cater to individual risk factors. However, we believe that this question type allowed for identification of the most known DM risk factors and preventive measures. Several findings from this study support the need for well-designed health education programs at the community level for primary prevention of DM. The program should address each person's unique situation and cater to personal variation, and should include the local needs of the older and undereducated population in particular.
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We may have underestimated the level of knowledge, as a limitation of having used unaided open-ended questions within the questionnaire as opposed to mentioning specific risk factors. However, we believe that this question type allowed for identification of the most known DM risk factors and preventive measures. Several findings from this study support the need for well-designed health education programs at the community level for primary prevention of DM. The program should address each person's unique situation and cater to personal variation, and should include the local needs of the older and undereducated population in particular.
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