Hypoxia-induced TGF-β–RBFOX2–ESRP1 axis regulates human MENA alternative splicing and promotes EMT in breast cancer
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ABSTRACT
Hypoxic microenvironment heralds epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT), invasion and metastasis in solid tumors. Deregulation of alternative splicing (AS) of several cancer-associated genes has been instrumental in hypoxia-induced EMT. Our study in breast cancer unveils a previously unreported mechanism underlying hypoxia-mediated AS of hMENA, a crucial cytoskeleton remodeler during EMT. We report that the hypoxia-driven depletion of splicing regulator ESRP1 leads to skipping of hMENA exon 11a producing a pro-metastatic isoform, hMENAΔ11a. The transcriptional repression of ESRP1 is mediated by SLUG, which gets stimulated via hypoxia-driven TGF-β/H9252 signaling. Interestingly, RBFOX2, an otherwise RNA-binding protein, is also found to transcriptionally repress ESRP1 while interacting with SLUG. Similar to SLUG, RBFOX2 gets upregulated under hypoxia via TGF-β signaling. Notably, we found that the exosomal delivery of TGF-β contributes to the elevation of hypoxia-mediated AS of hMENA. Our findings reveal a new paradigm of hypoxia-driven AS regulation of hMENA and insinuate important implications in therapeutics targeting EMT.

INTRODUCTION
Hypoxia is a hallmark of rapidly proliferating solid tumors, including breast cancer, wherein angiogenesis fails to meet the tissue growth rate, resulting in shackled oxygen diffusion to the respiring neoplastic and stromal cells (1–3). Studies reveal that the normal breast tissues exhibit a median pO2 of 65 mmHg that declines to 10 mmHg in breast cancer, the most frequent cancer among women (4,5). Hypoxic milieu leads to the dissolution of cell–cell adhesion and a dramatic reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton through epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT), thereby acquiring migratory and invasive phenotypes. A wealth of studies has attributed EMT to alternative splicing (AS) of a plethora of cancer-associated genes, including CD44, FGFR2, hMENA (also known as ENAH) and p120 catenin (6–10). Interestingly, several EMT-related AS events such as CD44 and CYR61 in breast cancer were shown to be governed by hypoxia (11,12). The process of EMT is associated with a remarkable reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton that causes a change in the morphology of epithelial cells with an apicobasal polarity to spindle-like mesenchymal phenotype (13,14). The hMENA, a member of the Ena/VASP family of proteins, regulates the cytoskeleton dynamics during EMT and is vastly deregulated in several cancers (15,16). Out of 15 exons of hMENA gene, exon 6 and exon 11a are known to be alternatively spliced in different contexts. The exon 11a containing isoform (hMENAΔ11a) is reported to undermine the metastatic and invasive properties of the cells (17). The splicing switch from hMENA11a to hMENAΔ11a, wherein the 63-bp-long exon 11a is excluded, leads to a deletion of 21-amino acid region from the EVH2 domain of hMENA. The EVH2 domain, which is responsible for homo-tetramerization and contains G- and F-actin binding motifs, is phosphoregulated by EGFR signaling to al-
ter actin filament dynamics (18,19). The phosphorylation of ESRP1 dishevels actin polymerization at free barbed ends within the lamellipodia of cells in the course of growth factor-induced cell motility (17,19). Thus, the exclusion of exon 11a splices out the possible sites of phosphorylation and thereby supports rapid actin polymerization at the barbed ends that in turn promotes EMT. Though the hypoxic response is central to EMT, whether the AS of hMENA exon 11a contributes to the hypoxia-induced EMT has not been reported. Hence, here we have systematically investigated the molecular mechanism of hypoxia-induced AS of hMENA exon 11a and thereby its contribution to EMT in breast cancer. Our work shows that (i) hypoxia-induced TGF-β signaling contributes to hMENA exon 11a exclusion, (ii) hypoxia-induced exosomes contribute to the activation of TGF-β signaling, (iii) hypoxia-induced TGF-β signaling stimulates the expression of SLUG, downregulating ESRP1 and thereby causing hMENA exon 11a exclusion, and (iv) RBFOX2 acts as a transcriptional repressor for ESRP1, resulting in hMENA exon 11a exclusion that in turn enhances EMT, and therefore paves the way for therapeutic targeting of hypoxia-driven invasion and metastasis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture

Human breast cancer cell lines MCF7, HCC1806, HCC1395, MDA-MB-231, SKBR3, and T47D were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and were cultured in ATCC recommended media supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Sigma, F7524), 100 units/ml of penicillin and streptomycin (Invitrogen, 15140122) and 2 mmol/l L-glutamine (Sigma, G7513). All cell lines were cultured in a humidified atmosphere at 37°C and 5% CO₂. MDA-MB-231 was cultured in 0% CO₂ at 37°C. For treatment under hypoxic conditions (1% O₂), cells were kept in a Ruskinn INVIVO2 400 hypoxia chamber. For TGF-β treatment and inhibition, recombinant human TGF-β1 (R&D Systems, 240-B-002; 10 ng/ml) and LY-364947 (Sigma, L6293: 30 μg/ml) were added in the media respectively.

Breast cancer sample collection

Paraffin-embedded tumor and adjacent normal breast tissue sections (on poly-l-lysine-coated slides) were collected from Bansal Hospital, Bhopal, India. The study was approved by the Institute Ethics Committee of the Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhopal, India. Informed consent was obtained from all the patients. The clinical characteristics of patients used in the study are presented in Supplementary Table S9.

RNA interference

The MCF7 and HCC1806 cells (2 × 10⁵) were seeded in six-well culture plates. After 24 h, cells were infected with lentivirus containing small hairpin RNA (shRNA) (Sigma, Mission Human Genome shRNA Library) against ESRP1, RBFOX2, ESRP2, SLUG, ZEB1 and eGFP (shControl) with 8 μg/ml polybrene (Sigma, H9268) containing media. Cells were selected using 1 μg/ml puromycin (Sigma, P9620) for 3 days. Post-selection, cells were used for downstream experiments. For rescue experiments, overexpression of hMENA11a and hMENAΔ11a was done 2 days post-selection using Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen, 11668019) as per the manufacturer's instructions. The list of shRNAs used in this study is given in Supplementary Table S8.

CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout of HIF-1α

Single-guide RNA (sgRNA) targeting a constitutive exon (exon 2) of HIF-1α was designed using the online tool GPP sgRNA Designer (https://portals.broadinstitute.org/gpp/public/analysis-tools/sgrna-design). The sgRNA 5'-CTGTTGATGAGGCTTACATC-3', targeting exon 2 of HIF-1α, was cloned into the BbsI site of the lentiviral vector pLentiCRISPR-E (Addgene, 78852). The correct cloning was verified by Sanger sequencing. The lentivirus containing sgRNA cloned plasmid was produced in HEK293T cells. HCC1806 cells were seeded in a six-well plate, and lentivirus containing medium with 8 μg/ml polybrene was used for transduction. The empty vector pLentiCRISPR-E was used as the control. Twenty-four hours post-transduction, the cells were selected using 1 μg/ml puromycin for 2–5 days. The selected cells were used to generate single-cell suspension in a 96-well plate by a serial dilution method. The single cell-derived clones were screened for the knockout by western blot and by Sanger sequencing of the region targeted by sgRNA.

Microarray expression profiling (Human Transcriptome Array 2.0)

MCF7 and HCC1806 cells were cultured in 1% O₂ (hypoxic) and 21% O₂ (normoxic) for microarray profiling of AS under hypoxia. For profiling of AS under TGF-β induction, MCF7 and HCC1806 cells were treated with TGF-β ligand (10 ng/ml). All samples were lysed using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen), and total RNA was isolated using the PureLink RNA Mini Kit (Invitrogen). One hundred nanograms of total RNA was used to prepare biotinylated cDNA using GeneChip™ WT Plus Reagent Kit (Applied Biosystems) as per the instructions given by the manufacturer. After amplification of cDNA, fragmentation was done, and 5.5 μg of fragmented cDNA was hybridized on Affymetrix GeneChip™ Human Transcriptome Array 2.0 (HTA 2.0) chips at 45°C for 16 h. The chips were then washed and stained in the Affymetrix Fluidics Station 450. After hybridization, the fluorescence intensity of the arrays was scanned using the Affymetrix Scanner 7G. The raw CEL files generated after the scan were used for further analysis.

Human Transcriptome Array 2.0 data analysis

CEL files generated after scanning were analyzed for differential AS on Transcriptome Analysis Console (TAC) 4.0 using the gene+exon–SST-RMA method of summarization. The results of the microarray analysis were deposited...
in Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under accession number GSE147516. Splicing events with absolute splicing index (ISII) ≥1.5 and P < 0.05 were considered to be significant. The significant events were represented by a scatter plot made using ggplot2 package in R. A heat map was constructed for top 50 cassette exon exclusion and inclusion events under hypoxia using the online tool Morpheus (https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus). For finding events pertaining to EMT, the top 10 000 inclusion and top 10 000 exclusion events were taken into consideration. Out of these top events, those corresponding to genes that are known to get spliced during EMT were shortlisted. The list of genes that get differentially spliced during EMT were obtained from the RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) data by Hu et al. (GSE139074). These genes were shown to have differential splicing in a tamoxifen-inducible HMLE/Twist-ER model of EMT (Supplementary Table S4). Eventually, our search was narrowed down to genes that are involved in the regulation of the actin cytoskeleton (genes contained in the gene signature KEGG_REGULATION_OF_ACTIN_CYTOSKELETON were selected). A final list of events belonging to 16 genes for MCF7 and 10 genes for HCC1806 was obtained (Supplementary Table S1). Venn diagrams were constructed to find the overlap between the genes that undergo exon inclusion or exclusion under hypoxia, TGF-β treatment and during EMT using Venn Diagram Plotter (https://omics.pnl.gov/software/venn-diagram-plotter) (21) (Supplementary Table S3). Over-representation analyses (ORAs) to obtain significantly enriched Gene Ontology (GO) terms in the set of genes that showed differential exon inclusion or exclusion in all three conditions were performed using the Web-Based Gene Set Analysis Toolkit (WebGestalt) (22). False discovery rate (FDR)-corrected P-value of <0.05 was considered significant while obtaining enriched GO terms. For HTA 2.0 triple-negative breast cancer patient profile (GSE76250) (23), CEL files for 165 tumor samples were downloaded from GEO, and the gene expression levels were obtained using oligo package in R (24). The HYPOXIA_HALLMARK signature from Molecular Signature Database (25) was used to stratify the patient samples into hypoxic or normoxic using a similar strategy as described previously (26). The sum of expression levels corresponding to genes contained in the HYPOXIA_HALLMARK signature was calculated (hypoxia activation score) for all the samples. The samples having higher hypoxia activation scores (top 20%) were considered as hypoxic, whereas samples with lower scores (bottom 20%) were considered as normoxic. Raw CEL files for these stratified samples were then used to perform differential AS analysis using TAC 4.0. Details of all gene signatures used are provided in Supplementary Table S5.

Analysis of The Cancer Genome Atlas data
The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) RNA-seq gene expression data for invasive breast carcinoma samples were downloaded from https://xenabrowser.net/. The dataset consisted of 1099 tumor and 113 normal samples. To check the enrichment of hypoxia pathway genes (using the WINTER HYPOXIA UP gene signature) in normal versus tumor samples, gene set enrichment analysis was performed using GSEA software (27). Stratification of tumor samples was then done, as described previously (26). Hypoxia activation score was calculated by summing up the expression of genes contained in the WINTER HYPOXIA UP signature for each tumor sample, and then the samples were ranked on the basis of this score. Those with higher scores (top 20%) were categorized as Hypoxia_high and those with lower scores (bottom 20%) as Hypoxia_low. GSEA for various signaling pathways (Supplementary Table S2) and EMT between these stratified samples was then performed. Details of all gene signatures used in GSEA or for stratification are provided in Supplementary Table S5. To check the confidence of stratification, unsupervised K-means clustering was done and clusters were then visualized using a scatter plot after principal component analysis.

RBFOX2 ChIP-seq analysis
Chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) data corresponding to RBFOX2 were downloaded from GEO (GSE106042). The transcription start sites (TSSs) of all annotated human genes were downloaded from Biomart by Ensembl. Bedtools toolset was used to compare the genomic positions of the ChIP-seq peaks relative to TSSs of all genes. A region of 2 kb around the TSS was considered to be the promoter region. ChIPseeker package in R (28) was used for generating occupancy profile and distribution of peaks as well as for obtaining enriched pathways among genes with RBFOX2 binding on promoter.

Molecular cloning
The ESRP1, hMENA11a and hMENAΔ11a were cloned in pCMV-3Tag1a (Agilent, 240195) overexpression plasmid from MCF7 cDNA using DreamTaq DNA Polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, EP0702). Primers were as follows: ESRP1 Fw (5′-CGGGATCCATGACGGCCTCTCCGGATTA-3′) and hMENA11a Rev (5′-ACGCGTACCAGCTGTATTTATCGTGATGG-3′), hMENA11a/hMENAΔ11a Fw (5′-CGGAATTCTAGACAGACTATCTGTCGG-3′) and hMENA11a/hMENAΔ11a Rev (5′-ACGGCGCTAGACCATGATTTATGTCGTCGGAT-3′). ESRP1 was cloned between the BamHI F and HindIII R sites and hMENA11a/hMENAΔ11a was cloned between the EcoRI F and SalI R sites.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation
The binding of transcription factors (TFs) on target promoters was evaluated by performing the ChIP assay, as described previously (29). Briefly, lysed cells were sonicated (approximate chromatin fragment length: 200–500 bp), and chromatinins (25 μg) were immunoprecipitated with concomitant antibody followed by overnight incubation at 4°C. The following antibodies were used for ChIP: anti-human pSMA2/3 (S465/S467) (R&D Systems, MAB8935, lot no. CJRA0318051), anti-SLUG (Sigma, P359359, lot no. 87711801), anti-RBFOX2 (Abcam, ab57154, batch no.
GR317972-9), normal rabbit IgG (Millipore, 12-370, lot no. 2295402) and normal mouse IgG (Millipore, 12-371B, lot no. 2332526). The immunoprecipitated protein-DNA complexes and 5% input were analyzed by qRT-PCR in triplicate using specific primers (Supplementary Table S10) flanking the predicted binding sites and SYBR Green Master Mix (Promega, A6002, lot no. 0000385100). All the ChIP experiments were performed at least thrice. IP values were normalized to input using the following formula: $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ (30). Resultant values were subsequently normalized to IgG control IP values. The significance between two different groups was identified using Student’s t-test. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Real-time PCR

qRT-PCR was done as previously described (30). Total RNA was extracted from breast cancer cells using the TRIzol (Invitrogen, 15596026) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The RNA concentrations were measured using NanoDrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific, ND8000). cDNA was synthesized from 1 μg of total RNA by PrimeScript 1st strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (TaKaRa, 6110A, lot no. AJX1015N) as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Amplification reactions were performed on light cycler 480 II (Roche) using SYBR Green (Affymetrix, 75665) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Each reaction was carried out in triplicate. The average cycle thresholds from biological triplicates were calculated and normalized to control gene RPS16 using the following formula: $2^{-\Delta Ct}$ (control – Ct_Immunoprecipitation) (30). Resultant values were subsequently normalized to IgG control IP values. The significance between two different groups was identified using Student’s t-test. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Semi-quantitative PCR

Total RNA was extracted from breast cancer cells using the TRIzol (Invitrogen, 15596026) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The RNA concentrations were measured using NanoDrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific, ND8000). cDNA was synthesized from 1 μg of total RNA by PrimeScript 1st strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (TaKaRa, 6110A, lot no. AJX1015N) as per the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA was amplified using Dream Taq polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, EP0702) in PCR reactions consisting of 35 cycles using flanking primers as given in Supplementary Table S7. PCR products were analyzed on a 2% agarose gel after ethidium bromide staining.

Co-immunoprecipitation

The SLUG and RBFOX2 interactions were evaluated by performing co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP), as described previously (31). Briefly, the hypoxia-treated MCF7 cells were lysed using a lysis buffer [10 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP-40 and protease inhibitor cocktail (PIC; Roche)]. The lysates were incubated with anti-SLUG (Sigma, PRS3959, lot no. 87711801) or anti-RBFOX2 (Abcam, ab57154, batch no. GR317972-9) antibody along with normal rabbit IgG (Millipore, 12-370, lot no. 2295402) or normal mouse IgG (Millipore, 12-371B, lot no. 2332526) for 2 h at 4°C. Subsequently, 25 μl Protein-G Dynabeads (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 10004D, lot 0078227) were added to the immunoprecipitated lysate and further incubated for 8 h at 4°C. The beads were then washed thrice with the lysis buffer and boiled with 2× Laemmli buffer for 5 min. Immunoblotting analysis was performed with the eluted proteins with anti-SLUG and anti-RBFOX2 antibodies.

Generation of promoter deletion constructs

To generate promoter constructs, human ESRP1 (gene ID: 54845) promoter sequence was retrieved from the Eukaryotic Promoter Database (https://epd.vital-it.ch/). The ESRP1 gene promoter from −1748 bp upstream to +110 bp downstream of the TSS at +1 was amplified by PCR and inserted in the pGL3-Basic expression vector (Promega) using genomic DNA as a template, and the deletion construct ESRP1-1482 was derived from pGL3-1748. Primers were as follows: ESRP1-1748 Fw (5′-GGGGTACCTGTCAGGAGGGGTACCCTGGCCTT-3′) and Rev (5′-GGGGTGATCCGGCCTGCCCTTAACTGTCATG-3′). ESRP1-1482 Fw (5′-GGGGTGATCCGGCCTGCCCTTAACTGTCATG-3′) and Rev (5′-GGGGTGATCCGGCCTGCCCTTAACTGTCATG-3′). ESRP1 deletion construct was cloned between the KpnI F and NheR R sites.

Luciferase reporter assays

MCF7 cells (0.05 × 10^6) were seeded in 24-well plates and cultured for 16 h. The cells were co-transfected with different ESRP1 promoter–luciferase constructs, and pRL-TK Renilla luciferase plasmid (Promega, E2231) harvested at 48 h and lysed in passive lysis buffer. For TGF-β and normoxic/hypoxic experiments, cells were transfected with SBE4-Luc (Addgene, 16495) or pBV-Luc (Addgene, 16539). After 12 h of transfection, respective treatments were given. The firefly luciferase activities were measured in a GloMax-Multi Detection System (Promega), and the values were normalized to Renilla luciferase activities. The relative values are represented as mean ± SD of triplicates from a representative experiment.

Site-directed mutagenesis

The site-directed mutant construct of the ESRP1 promoter was prepared using oligonucleotides with mutations in the RBFOX2 binding site (TGCGAT). The SDM primers were as follows: Fw (5′-TCTGCAGGGATAGGTaaATGTTGCCCCCTTC-3′) and Rev (5′-GTGTAACACCTATGCTATCCTC-3′). The mutated nucleotides are represented by lowercase letters and underlined. The ESRP1 promoter SDM was confirmed by DNA sequencing after the digestion of non-mutated vectors with the endonuclease DpnI (TaKaRa, 1235A).

Immunoblotting

The cells were lysed using urea lysis buffer (8 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 2% CHAPS, 1% DTT) and 1× PIC (leupeptin
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10–100 μM, pepstatin 1 μM, 1–10 mM EDTA, <1 mM AEBSF), spun at maximum speed (16 900 × g) in a 4°C centrifuge. The supernatant was separated, quantified and equal concentration of protein samples was loaded. Quantification of the bands was done using ImageJ software. Details of antibodies used for immunoblotting are provided in Supplementary Table S6.

**Phalloidin assay**

After puromycin selection, cells were transfected with overexpression plasmids pCMV-hMENA11a and pCMV-hMENA A11a. Cells were seeded in 12-well plates on coverslips. After 48 h of hypoxic or normoxic treatment, cells were washed and fixed using 4% formaldehyde and permeabilized using 0.1% Triton X-100. After washing, cells were then incubated with FITC-conjugated phalloidin (Sigma, P5282) for 4 h, washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and mounted. Sections were analyzed using an Olympus FV3000 confocal laser scanning microscope with a 60× Plan Apo N objective (oil, 1.42 NA).

**RNA immunoprecipitation**

The RNA IP assay was performed as described previously (32). Briefly, after 24 h of hypoxic/normoxic treatment, 10–20 million cells were washed with PBS and lysed with 4 ml of ice-cold swelling buffer A (25 mM HEPES, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 85 mM KCl, pH 8.0) for 5 min. Cells were scraped and centrifuged at 1350 × g for 5 min at 4°C. The pellet was lysed in 1 ml of buffer C (25 mM HEPES, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 85 mM KCl, pH 8.0, 0.2% NP-40, 1% Triton X-100, 1 × PIC, 2 U/ml of RNaseOUT, 5 mM sodium fluoride, 5 mM sodium orthovanadate, 5 mM β-glycerophosphate) for 30 min and cleared by centrifugation at 21 000 × g for 10 min. The supernatant was split into two fractions of 500 μl each for IgG and IP. Five percent aliquot of the supernatant was incubated as input. Specific antibodies (details of the antibodies are provided in Supplementary Table S6) were added to the IP supernatant and incubated for 1–2 h at 4°C with gentle rotation. Forty microliters of Dynabeads was added to each sample and incubated for 1 h at 4°C with gentle rotation. Magnetic rack was used to remove the supernatant and beads were washed three times with 500 μl of buffer D (25 mM HEPES, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 85 mM KCl, pH 8.0, 0.02% NP-40, 0.25% Triton X-100, 1 × PIC, 0.1 U/ml of RNaseOUT, 5 mM sodium fluoride, 5 mM sodium orthovanadate, 5 mM β-glycerophosphate). Beads were resuspended in TRIZol RNA extraction reagent (Invitrogen, 15596026), and RNA was isolated according to the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA was synthesized using total RNA isolated by PrimeScript 1st strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (TaKaRa, 6110A, lot no. AJX1015N) as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Primers used for downstream real-time PCR are provided in Supplementary Table S7 for exon 3 of hMENA.

**Exosome isolation**

The cells were cultured in exosome-depleted media for all the exosome-related experiments. To remove the residual bovine exosomes from the FBS, Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium and RPMI 1640 medium containing 20% FBS were centrifuged overnight at 100 000 × g at 4°C. The FBS concentration was made up to 10% by adding serum-free media. For exosome isolation from conditioned media, the ExoEnrich™ (ExoCAN, PEC-25, lot no. 1220161) kit was used as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Dynamic light scattering (Delsa™ Nano C, Beckman Coulter) was used to obtain the size distribution of isolated exosomes. The isolated exosomes were lysed using the ExoLyseP (ExoCAN, PEL-25P, lot no. 1220161) kit.

**Immunohistochemistry**

The study was approved by the Institute Ethics Committee of the Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Bhopal, India. Informed consent was obtained from all the patients. Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded human breast cancer tissue sections were obtained from Bansal Hospital, Bhopal, India. Immunohistochemistry was performed according to the experimental protocol of the Vectastain ABC elite kit (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA) (33), visualized with the DAB (3,3’-diaminobenzidine, Sigma) chromogenic method and counterstained with Harris’ hematoxylin (Merck). Slides were fixed overnight at 65°C in the water bath, deparaffinized and rehydrated as per the standard procedure. Subsequently, 10 mM sodium citrate buffer (pH 6)-based antigen retrieval was done in the laboratory microwave for 10 min. Endogenous peroxidase was quenched with 1:10 dilution of 3% hydrogen peroxidase in methanol, followed by blocking with 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA). Primary antibodies against CAIX (1:50), phospho-SMAD2/3 (1:50), hMENA11a (1:200) and ESRP1 (1:50) were used (details of the antibodies are provided in Supplementary Table S6). Sections were examined using the Thermo Scientific™ Invitrogen™ EVOS™ FL Auto 2 Imaging System and at 40× magnification. Images were then processed in Adobe Photoshop CS Version 8.0. Quantification was done using color deconvolution in ImageJ (Fiji) and mean gray values were then converted to optical density.

**Invasion assay**

Invasion assays were performed as described previously (34). The puromycin-selected 2 × 10⁴ cells were added to the upper chamber of transwell (Corning) over Matrigel (Corning) layer and incubated for 48 h in a cell culture incubator or a hypoxia chamber. The non-migrated cells in the upper layer of Matrigel were removed, and cells migrated to the lower chamber of transwell were fixed in 4% formaldehyde and then stained with 0.05% crystal violet in 30% methanol. Five random fields were counted using an inverted microscope (Olympus CKX41).

**Immunofluorescence**

Cells (3 × 10⁴) were seeded in 12-well plates on coverslips. After TGF-β and hypoxic/normoxic treatments, cells were washed with PBS, fixed using 4% formaldehyde and permeabilized using 0.1% Triton X-100. Cells were then blocked using 2% BSA for 1 h at room temperature followed by primary antibody phospho-SMAD2 (Ser465/467)/SMAD3.
(Ser423/425) (CST, 8828S, lot no.7) incubation overnight in 4°C. Cells were then washed with PBS and incubated with Alexa-Flour 555 anti-rabbit IgG (Invitrogen, A32732, lot no. 1858260) for 1 h at room temperature and counterstained with Hoechst. Coverslips were then mounted and analyzed by Thermo Scientific™ Invitrogen™ EVOS™ FL Auto 2 Imaging System.

RESULTS

Hypoxia promotes exon 11a exclusion from hMENA pre-mRNA in breast cancer cells

Cancer cells, while adapting to hypoxic stress, instigate a more aggressive phenotype by rewiring gene expression programs (35). However, the role of AS events in breast cancer cells that occur during low-oxygen stress leading to oncogenesis has remained largely obscure. To identify hypoxia-elicited AS events, we performed HTA 2.0 microarray in breast cancer cell lines MCF7 and HCC1806 under normoxic (21% O2) or hypoxic (1% O2) conditions. Among several actin cytoskeleton remodeling proteins (Supplementary Table S1) that showed noteworthy splicing switch under hypoxia, hMENA was selected on the strength of its well-documented role in EMT (19). Although AS of hMENA is reported to be associated with EMT, the role of hypoxia in driving AS of hMENA remained largely unexplored. The HTA 2.0 array data from MCF7 and HCC1806 cell lines show a significant exclusion ($P = 4.22 \times 10^{-5}$ and 0.0026, respectively) of exon 11a from hMENA pre-mRNA under hypoxia (Supplementary Figure S1A). To validate the cell line data, we stratified 165 tumor samples from a breast cancer patient microarray profile (GSE76250) (23) as hypoxic or normoxic based on the HOXPIA_HALLMARK (25) gene signature using a method described previously (26). It appeared that the exon 11a is significantly excluded ($P = 1.19 \times 10^{-5}$) in samples stratified as hypoxic as compared to those stratified as normoxic (Figure 1A). The real-time PCR using specific primers (Figure 1B and C) and semi-quantitative PCR (Figure 1D and Supplementary Figure S1B) using the flanking primers (arrows shown in Figure 1B) also show a significant decrease in the expression of the hMENA11a isoform under hypoxia in various breast cancer cell lines. Consistent with the role of hMENA11a in invasion (19), MDA-MB-231, a highly invasive cell line, shows an ablated expression of the hMENA11a isoform (Supplementary Figure S1B). The same phenomenon is implicated in the immunoblot with the antibody exclusively targeting hMENA11a isoform (Figure 1E and Supplementary Figure S1C). Additionally, hMENA exon 11a AS appears to be HIF-1α dependent as the CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout of HIF-1α resulted in an upregulation of hMENA11a (Supplementary Figure S1F and G). The AS of the hMENA pre-mRNA generates another cancer-specific splice isoform lacking exon 6 (19). Nevertheless, splicing of hMENA exon 6 is not affected by hypoxia as the expression of hMENA6v6 isoform is invariant in both the conditions (Supplementary Figure S1D). These results indicate that the expression of the epithelial-specific isoform of hMENA (i.e. hMENA11a) significantly declines under hypoxia as compared to normoxia, highlighting the role of hMENA11a in hypoxia-induced EMT. Further, to explore the role of hypoxia in breast cancer cell invasion, we performed the transwell invasion assay for MCF7 and HCC1806 cells. We found that hypoxic cells exhibited augmented invasion as compared to normoxic cells (Figure 1F and G). Since actin cytoskeleton remodeling and stress fiber formation are hallmarks of EMT (36), we have performed phalloidin staining in MCF7 and HCC1806 cells to verify the role of hypoxia in cytoskeleton remodeling. Normoxic cells showed a largely cortical organization of phalloidin-labeled F-actin with a cobblestone morphology. On the contrary, 48-h hypoxia treatment to cells resulted in a mesenchymal-like elongated phenotype with the reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton into aggregated actin stress fibers (Figure 1H and Supplementary Figure S1E).

Further, to investigate whether the hypoxia-driven alteration of phenotype and invasive behavior of breast cancer cells is reliant on decreased hMENA11a expression, we ectopically expressed the hMENA11a isoform in MCF7 and HCC1806 cells under hypoxia, and performed invasion and phalloidin staining assays. We observe a significant reduction in the invasiveness (Figure 1F and G) and actin stress fiber formation (Figure 1H and Supplementary Figure S1E) upon hMENA11a overexpression under hypoxia. In accordance with this observation, the expression of the mesenchymal markers such as N-cadherin and vimentin declined substantially, along with an elevation in E-cadherin level when hypoxic cells were overexpressed with hMENA11a (Figure 1I). These results indicate that the diminishment of hMENA11a expression is critical for hypoxia-driven EMT.

Hypoxia-induced TGF-β signaling results in exon 11a exclusion

To investigate the mechanism of hypoxia-induced AS of hMENA exon 11a, we performed GSEA using TCGA RNA-seq data consisting of 1099 tumor and 113 normal samples. It emerged that the hypoxia-associated genes were significantly enriched in the tumor set (Supplementary Figure S2A). Next, we stratified the tumor samples into two groups (Hypoxic_high and Hypoxic_low) based on the degree of hypoxia activation using a method described previously (26) (Supplementary Figure S2B), followed by GSEA to check for the enrichment of various signaling pathways implicated in EMT (37) (Supplementary Table S2). Notably, expression of the TGF-β signaling pathway genes was found to be significantly enriched in tumor samples with a higher degree of hypoxia activation ($n = 220$) against those with a lower degree of hypoxia activation ($n = 220$; Supplementary Figure S2C). Further analysis with these stratified tumor samples revealed a positive association of EMT-related genes with hypoxia (Supplementary Figure S2D), suggesting a possible involvement of TGF-β signaling in the acquisition of EMT under hypoxia. Our in silico analysis is in agreement with the prior studies correlating hypoxia with EMT (38), hypoxia with TGF-β (39) and TGF-β with EMT individually (40). Therefore, we hypothesize that the hypoxia-induced hMENA exon 11a AS and thereby EMT is under the control of TGF-β signaling.

Upon ligand binding, the TGF-β receptors get phosphorylated and further activate SMAD2/3 by phosphorylation
Figure 1. hMENA11a isoform is downregulated under hypoxia, augmenting actin polymerization and invasive properties of breast cancer cells. (A) Triple-negative breast cancer samples (n = 165) from the microarray dataset GSE76250 were stratified into normoxic and hypoxic, followed by AS analysis to check the levels of inclusion of exon 11a of hMENA gene. The reversal of the inclusion of exon 11a in hypoxic samples as compared to normoxic samples is represented by the SI values. (B) Schematic of hMENA splicing demonstrating the exclusion and inclusion of exon 11a in hypoxia and normoxia, respectively. Red arrows indicate position of primers used for semi-quantitative PCR and blue arrows indicate positions of qRT-PCR primers. (C) Real-time PCR for hMENA exon 11a isoform (Ct values normalized to RPS16) and (D) semi-quantitative PCR of hMENA (using exon9 forward and exon15 reverse primers) showing upper (exon 11a included) and lower (exon 11a excluded) bands (RPS16 used as a control), 24 h after hypoxic treatment in MCF7 and HCC1806. (E) Immunoblotting of hMENA11a, pan-hMENA and HIF-1α under normoxia (N) and hypoxia (H) in MCF7 and HCC1806 (GAPDH as a control). (F) Invasion assay and (G) its quantification (as % cells invaded), in MCF7 and HCC1806, and (H) phalloidin staining, in HCC1806, after 48 h under normoxia, hypoxia and hMENA11a overexpression (OE) under hypoxic conditions. (I) Immunoblotting of vimentin, N-cadherin, E-cadherin and Flag under normoxia, hypoxia and hMENA11a overexpression in HCC1806 and MCF7 (GAPDH as a control). Error bars show mean values ± SD (n = 3 unless otherwise specified). As calculated using two-tailed Student’s t-test, **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001.

(41–43). We examined the upregulation of TGF-β signaling in cells under hypoxia by using the SMAD binding element 4:Luciferase promoter construct (SBE4:Luc) containing four copies of the SMAD binding site upstream of a luciferase reporter gene. The luciferase activity increased drastically after 12-h hypoxia treatment in both MCF7 and HCC1806 cells (Supplementary Figure S2E). Similarly, immunofluorescence experiment with anti-pSMAD2/3 antibody also reflects elevated TGF-β signaling after 12-h hypoxia treatment to MCF7 and HCC1806 cells (Figure 2A and B). Hence, to confirm the entanglement of hypoxia-induced TGF-β signaling in hMENA AS, we stimulated the MCF7 cells with TGF-β ligand (10 ng/ml) under normoxia. This led to the exclusion of hMENA exon 11a as confirmed by real-time PCR and immunoblotting (Figure 2C and Supplementary Figure S2G). Activation of TGF-β signaling upon TGF-β ligand treatment is confirmed by the luciferase assay (Figure 2D) as well as by immunofluorescence (Supplementary Figure S2F). For further validation of the role of TGF-β signaling in the exon 11a exclusion, we treated both the cell lines with LY-364947 (30 μM), which is a potent and selective ATP-competitive inhibitor of TGF-β receptor kinase I, under hypoxia (44). The inhibitor treatment reverted the hMENA11a exclusion event under hypoxia, as shown by real-time PCR (Figure 2E) and immunoblotting (Supplementary Figure S2H). The inhibition of TGF-β signaling after LY-364947 treatment is verified by the luciferase assay (Figure 2F). We also observed that the invasiveness and actin stress fiber formation are augmented in breast cancer cells when treated with TGF-β ligand (Supplementary Figure S2I–K). Next, we wanted to investigate how hypoxic stress contributes to TGF-β signaling through TGF-β1 signaling. 

41–43)
Figure 2. Activation of TGF-β signaling under hypoxia causes exon 11a exclusion from hMENA pre-mRNA. Immunofluorescence of pSMAD2/3 showing enhanced nuclear localization under hypoxia as compared to normoxia in MCF7 (A) and HCC1806 (B) after 12 h. (C) qRT-PCR for hMENa11a isoform 24 h after TGF-β induction in MCF7 (Ct values normalized to RPS16). (D) Relative luciferase activity (SBE4:Luc) after 12 h of TGF-β (10 ng/ml) induction in MCF7 versus control. (E) qRT-PCR for hMENa11a after 24 h of TGF-β signaling inhibition under hypoxia in MCF7. (F) Relative luciferase activity (SBE4:Luc) after 12 h of TGF-β signaling inhibition in MCF7 using 30 μM LY-364947 inhibitor under hypoxia. (G) Dynamic light scattering analysis (done using conditioned media of hypoxia-treated HCC1806) showing particles with a size distribution of 20–200 nm. Most of the particles fall in the range defined for exosomes (40–100 nm). (H) Immunoblot for TGF-β in normoxic and hypoxic exosomes (CD63 exosomal marker was used as a control) collected from media of HCC1806 treated under normoxia and hypoxia, respectively. (I) Relative luciferase activity (SBE4:Luc) after 12 h of normoxia- and hypoxia-conditioned (CM) media treatment in HCC1806. (J) qRT-PCR for hMENa11a, 24 h after normoxia- and hypoxia-conditioned treatment in HCC1806. Error bars show mean values ± SD (n = 3 unless otherwise specified). As calculated using two-tailed Student’s t-test, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001.

activation. Wang et al. showed that the hypoxic breast cancer cells tend to augment exosome production in an HIF-dependent manner, wherein the HIF-driven expression of the small GTPase RAB22A is critical for microvesicle formation (45). We verified the same with immunoblot analysis using exosomal marker CD63 after isolating exosomes from cell culture supernatant for both normoxic and hypoxic conditions (Supplementary Figure S2L). The size distribution profile, as obtained from dynamic light scattering, confirmed the exosomal nature of extracellular vesicles isolated from conditioned media (Figure 2G). Interestingly, it was observed that the hypoxic cells package a greater amount of TGF-β in secreted exosomes as compared to normoxic cells (Figure 2H and Supplementary Figure S2M). Next, we treated HCC1806 and MCF7 cells containing SBE4:Luc promoter construct with hypoxia-conditioned culture media and observed a steep increase in the luciferase activity (Figure 2I and Supplementary Figure S2N). The treatment with hypoxia-conditioned media also caused significant hMENA exon 11a skipping in normoxic HCC1806 (Figure 2J) and MCF7 cells (Supplementary Figure S2O). Moreover, hypoxia-conditioned media treatment enhanced actin stress fiber formation in HCC1806 cells, as shown by the phalloidin assay (Supplementary Figure S2P). These results unravel the novel role of hypoxia-induced exosomes in AS of hMENA 11a mediated by TGF-β signaling.

The decrease in the ratio of ESRP1 to RBFOX2 causes exclusion of hMENA exon 11a

EMT is known to be induced under hypoxia via altered expression of a plethora of transcription and splicing factors (46). As far as splicing factors are concerned, the positive correlation of a low ESRP/RBFOX2 ratio with metasta-
sis reported in various studies (6,47,48) led us to investigate their plausible role in hypoxia-induced hMENA splicing. Upon hypoxic stress, we observed a steep decline in ESRP expression and an increase in RBFOX2 expression in MCF7 (Figure 3A) and HCC1806 cells (Supplementary Figure S3A). To explore the involvement of the ESRPs in hMENA splicing, we performed an shRNA-mediated knockdown of ESRP1 under normoxia and observed a drastic ablation of hMENA11a expression in both MCF7 (Figure 3B and C) and HCC1806 cells (Supplementary Figure S3B). This validates the role of ESRP1 in the inclusion of exon 11a, which is consistent with the decreased expression of hMENA11a under hypoxia. The inclusion of exon 11a was rescued when ESRP1 was ectopically expressed under hypoxia (Supplementary Figure S3C–F). Besides, the downregulation of ESRP2 also inflected the exclusion of exon 11a (Supplementary Figure S3G). Notwithstanding, ESRP1 appears to be more effective in protecting hMENA exon 11a, probably because ESRP2 is less robust than ESRP1 (49). Hence, further studies were performed only concerning ESRP1. To understand the binding of ESRP1 on hMENA transcript, we performed the RNA IP assay in MCF7 that indicated that the strong enrichment of ESRP1 decreased significantly under hypoxia (Figure 3D). We also observed that the invasiveness and actin stress fiber formation were increased when ESRP1 was knocked down in MCF7 cells under normoxia, and this phenomenon was reversed when hMENA11a isoform was ectopically expressed (Figure 3E and F, and Supplementary Figure S3J). Similar results were also obtained in HCC1806 cells (Supplementary Figure S3H–J). Downregulation of ESRP1 and ectopic expression of hMENA11a in these knockdown MCF7 and HCC1806 cell lines were confirmed by immunoblotting (Supplementary Figure S3K–M). These results confirm that the ESRP1 downregulation under hypoxia is key to hMENA exon 11a exclusion. Previous reports have suggested that, unlike ESRP1, RBFOX2 gets upregulated during the acquisition of EMT (6,48). Our data also show upregulation of RBFOX2 under hypoxia in both MCF7 (Figure 3A) and HCC1806 (Supplementary Figure S3A). We went on to investigate the interplay between RBFOX2 and hMENA splicing by an shRNA-mediated knockdown of RBFOX2 under hypoxia, which increased the expression of hMENA11a isoform in MCF7 cells (Figure 3G and Supplementary Figure S3N). This suggested that the presence of RBFOX2 enhances the cassette exon 11a exclusion under hypoxia. The detailed analysis of how RBFOX2 contributes to hMENA AS is discussed later (Figure 5).

**Hypoxia-induced upregulation of SLUG represses ESRP1 expression**

Next, we wanted to characterize the transcriptional repressors that downregulate the expression of ESRP1 and eventually modulate hMENA splicing. Previous studies have reported the role of transcription repressors SLUG, SNAIL and ZEB1 in the acquisition of EMT (50). We observe that the SLUG and ZEB1 were consistently upregulated in HCC1806 (Figure 4A) and MCF7 (Supplementary Figure S4A) cells under 24 h of hypoxia treatment. Further, to verify whether SLUG and ZEB1 transcriptionally modulate the expression of splicing factors and thereby modulate hMENA splicing, knockdown experiments were performed. We observed that the shRNA-mediated SLUG knockdown, but not ZEB1 knockdown (Figure 4B and C, and Supplementary Figure S4B–D), showed an increase in ESRP1 and hMENA11a expression. To examine whether hypoxia-driven TGF-β signaling activation leads to SLUG upregulation, we treated HCC1806 cells with TGF-β ligand (10 ng/ml) under normoxia. It was observed that TGF-β treatment led to an upregulation of SLUG, and downregulation of ESRP1 and hMENA11a (Figure 4D and Supplementary Figure S4E). These phenomena were reversed when the cells were treated with TGF-β inhibitor under hypoxia (Figure 4E and Supplementary Figure S4F). These results indicate that upon getting upregulated via hypoxia-induced TGF-β signaling, SLUG might act as a transcriptional repressor of ESRP1. Next, we confirmed the binding of SLUG on ESRP1 promoter under hypoxia and upon TGF-β treatment by ChIP. Both the treatments showed significant enrichment of SLUG on the ESRP1 promoter as compared to the controls (Figure 4F and G). To further prove that hypoxia-mediated upregulation of SLUG is orchestrated by TGF-β signaling, we checked for the enrichment of pSMAD2/3 on SLUG promoter by ChIP upon hypoxia as well as upon TGF-β treatment. Both the treatments showed a significantly enhanced enrichment of pSMAD2/3 on the SLUG promoter (Figure 4H and I). This suggests that the hypoxia-induced TGF-β pathway upregulates the expression of SLUG.

Furthermore, we also observed that the knockdown of SLUG under hypoxic conditions leads to the reduced invasion of breast cancer cells, which was reversed by both overexpression of hMENA11a isoform and ESRP1 knockdown in the SLUG-depleted cells (Supplementary Figure S4G–K). Collectively, these results indicate that SLUG is induced by hypoxia-driven TGF-β signaling, and it transcriptionally downregulates ESRP1, which eventually results in an abatement of hMENA11a isoform.

**Hypoxia-driven TGF-β signaling upregulates RBFOX2 that in turn transcriptionally represses ESRP1**

In the previous section, we have shown that RBFOX2 gets upregulated upon hypoxia treatment (Figure 3A and Supplementary Figure S3A). The same phenomenon has been validated by qRT-PCR in MCF7 cells (Figure 5A). To investigate whether hypoxia-induced RBFOX2 expression (Figure 5A) is mediated by TGF-β signaling, normoxic cells were treated with TGF-β ligand that resulted in RBFOX2 upregulation (Figure 5B). Likewise, TGF-β inhibitor treatment under hypoxia, as expected, reduced RBFOX2 expression (Figure 5C). To assess the direct role of TGF-β signaling in RBFOX2 expression, we further performed the ChIP assay using the pSMAD2/3 antibody under hypoxia as well as upon treatment with TGF-β. Both the treatments exhibited enhanced enrichment of pSMAD2/3 on the RBFOX2 promoter as compared to control (Figure 5D and E), confirming the role of TGF-β signaling in the upregulation of RBFOX2 under hypoxia. To identify how RBFOX2 affects hMENA splicing, we performed the RNA IP assay and observed that the difference in the occupancy of RBFOX2
Figure 3. ESRP1 is downregulated under hypoxia and leads to exon 11a exclusion from hMENA pre-mRNA. (A) Immunoblot of hMENA11a, RBFOX2, ESRP1 and ESRP2 under hypoxia versus normoxia in MCF7. (B) qRT-PCR of hMENA11a isoform after ESRP1 knockdown in MCF7 under normoxic condition. (C) Immunoblot of ESRP1 and hMENA11a on ESRP1 knockdown in MCF7 under normoxic condition. (D) qRT-PCR of hMENA transcript after ESRP1 IP in MCF7 under normoxic and hypoxic conditions. Fold enrichment (ESRP1/IgG) was normalized to input. (E) Invasion assay and (F) its quantification in MCF7 and (G) immunoblot of RBFOX2 and hMENA11a after RBFOX2 knockdown in MCF7 under hypoxic condition. Error bars show mean values ± SD (n = 3 unless otherwise specified). As calculated using two-tailed Student’s t-test, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001.

on hMENA transcript under hypoxia versus normoxia was insignificant (Figure 5F). This finding insinuated that RB-FOX2 might promote hMENA exon 11a splicing indirectly by regulating other splicing factors, for instance, ESRP1. We went on to investigate the possible involvement of RB-FOX2 in the splicing switch of ESRP1 transcript under hypoxia from a set of isoforms containing NLS (NLS+) to the isoforms devoid of NLS (NLS−) (51). Semi-quantitative and qRT-PCR experiments with appropriate primers (Supplementary Table S7) showed no significant turnaround in the isoform type as the expression of both NLS+ and NLS− isoforms of ESRP1 was repressed under hypoxia (Supplementary Figure S5A and B). The shRNA-mediated knockdown of RBFOX2 under hypoxia increased the expression of both isoforms (Supplementary Figure S5C and D), suggesting an inhibitory role of RBFOX2 at the transcription level rather than at the splicing level of ESRP1. In a few recent studies, proteins involved in RNA processing have been appreciated for their entanglement in various stages of gene expression aside from their canonical roles in RNA splicing (52–54). In 2017, Wei et al. showed that RBFOX2 can regulate gene expression in a nascent RNA-dependent manner in conjunction with polycomb complex 2 (55). To investigate the role of RBFOX2 as a transcriptional repressor of ESRP1, we transfected MCF7 cells with the luciferase reporter construct of full-length ESRP1 promoter (~1748), as well as of a truncated ESRP1 promoter that is devoid of a putative RBFOX2 binding site (Figure 5G). The luciferase activity is highly elevated in cells transfected with luciferase construct of truncated promoter as compared to those with full-length promoter under hypoxic condition (Figure 5H), which is indicative of the transcriptional repressor activity of RBFOX2. In line with this observation, the shRNA-mediated knockdown of RBFOX2 showed increased luciferase activity as compared to control shRNA (Figure 5I) in cells transfected with the full-length ESRP1 promoter–luciferase construct. To further validate the transcriptional repressor activity of RBFOX2, we further created luciferase reporter constructs of ESRP1 promoter wherein the RBFOX2 binding site (TGCA TG) is disrupted by site-directed mutagenesis (Figure 5J) (56,57). Upon transfection, the mutated construct showed higher luciferase activity as compared to its wild-type counterpart (Figure 5K). Moreover, the ChIP assay performed with the anti-RBFOX2 antibody revealed a remarkable enrichment of RBFOX2 at ESRP1 promoter under hypoxia (Figure 5L). Similar to RBFOX2, the knockdown of SLUG enhanced the luciferase activity in cells housing the full-length ESRP1 promoter–luciferase construct (Supplementary Figure S5E). Furthermore, the co-IP assay revealed a strong interaction of RBFOX2 with SLUG (Figure 5M). We also investigated the possible interdependence of SLUG and RBFOX2 to repress ESRP1 expression. Engagingly, the shRNA-mediated knockdown of either of SLUG and RBFOX2 decreased the occupancy of its partner on ESRP1 promoter (Supplementary Figure S5F–I). These studies en masse attribute RBFOX2, for the first time, as a transcriptional repressor of ESRP1. Additionally, to investigate the genome-wide binding of RBFOX2 to the gene promoters, we obtained the closest RBFOX2 ChIP-seq peak (obtained from
Figure 4. SLUG upregulation under hypoxia downregulates ESRP1 and causes exon 11a exclusion from hMENA pre-mRNA. (A) Immunoblot of SLUG, ZEB1 and SNAIL under hypoxia versus normoxia in HCC1806. (B) Immunoblot of SLUG, hMENA11a and ESRP1 and (C) qRT-PCR of hMENA11a isoform after SLUG knockdown in HCC1806 under hypoxic condition. Immunoblot of SLUG, hMENA11a and ESRP1 after (D) TGF-β (10 ng/ml) (under normoxia) and (E) TGF-β inhibitor treatment (under hypoxia) in MCF7. ChIP qRT-PCR on ESRP1 promoter using SLUG antibody in normoxia and hypoxia (F), and TGF-β treatment (G) in HCC1806 cells. Fold enrichment (SLUG/IgG) was normalized to 5% input. ChIP qRT-PCR on SLUG promoter using pSMAD2/3 antibody in normoxia and hypoxia (H), and TGF-β treatment (I) in HCC1806 cells. Fold enrichment (pSMAD2/3/IgG) was normalized to 5% input. Error bars show mean values ± SD (n = 3 unless otherwise specified). As calculated using two-tailed Student’s t-test, **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001.

the dataset GSE106042) corresponding to the TSSs of all human genes and calculated the genomic distance between them. We found that several genes belonging to various pathways like mRNA splicing, translation and DNA repair, among others, were having RBFOX2 ChIP-seq peaks within 2 kb of their TSSs (Supplementary Table S11 and Supplementary Figure S5J). The occupancy profile of RBFOX2 around a region of 2 kb of TSS and the distribution of the peaks on several regions in genome showed that a remarkable number of peaks were coinciding with the gene promoters (Supplementary Figure S5K and L). This indicates that binding of RBFOX2 to genomic DNA could be widespread and that it might play a role in governing the expression of several genes.

Clinical validation of hypoxia-induced TGF-β–ESRP1–hMENA11a axis

To investigate the association between hypoxia and hMENA11a in vivo, we performed immunohistological analyses of breast tissue sections obtained from breast cancer patients. Since carbonic anhydrase IX (CAIX) has been previously identified as a biomarker of hypoxia (58), the sections were immunostained with CAIX antibody in parallel with hMENA11a, ESRP1 and pSMAD2/3. The regions corresponding to positive CAIX staining showed weak ESRP1 and hMENA11a expression and strong pSMAD2/3 expression (Figure 6A, and Supplementary Figure S6A, C and G). Notably, normoxic regions (negatively stained for CAIX) of the tumor tissue correspond to strong hMENA11a and ESRP1 expression and reduced pSMAD2/3 expression (Figure 6B, and Supplementary Figure S6B, D, E and F). Hematoxylin and eosin staining for patient samples is shown in Supplementary Figure S6H. The DAB staining when quantified for all 19 patients shows increased staining for CAIX and pSMAD in hypoxic compared to normoxic regions (even in the same patient), while it shows decreased staining for ESRP1 and hMENA11a (Figure 6C). These results corroborate with our findings in the breast cancer cell lines that hypoxic tumor cells are associated with increased pSMAD2/3 and decreased ESRP1 and hMENA11a expression, while normoxic cells are associated with strong ESRP1 and hMENA11a expression. Taken together, these clinicopathological
observations support the role of hypoxia-induced TGF-β signaling in mediating the hMENA AS and thereby cancer progression.

**Hypoxia-induced TGF-β signaling modulates global AS of EMT-associated genes**

After establishing the crucial role of TGF-β signaling in mediating the splicing switch of hMENA under hypoxia, we went on to investigate TGF-β-induced global changes in the AS program of breast cancer cells. In MCF7 and HCC1806 cell lines, we performed microarray profiling experiments using the HTA 2.0 array to look for the splicing changes in cells treated with TGF-β ligand compared to control cells. Additionally, HTA 2.0 profiling was also performed with hypoxia-treated cells compared to normoxic cells. The splicing events with an |SI| value of ≥1.5 and P < 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant and are represented in Figure 7A and B, and Supplementary Figure S7A–D. The differential splicing of cassette exons upon hypoxia exposure, corresponding to a few representative genes (KDM5B, USP47, DLG1 and PLOD2), was con-
Figure 6. (A, B) CAIX, pSMAD2/3, hMENA11a and ESRP1 immunostaining of two illustrative cases of breast cancer patients. (A) Hypoxic regions of patients 1 and 2. Hypoxic regions: areas representing strong membranous and/or cytoplasmic immunostaining for CAIX also exhibit strong expression of pSMAD2/3 (nuclear) and weak expression of hMENA11a and ESRP1 (cytoplasmic). (B) Normoxic regions of patients 1 and 2. Normoxic regions: areas representing weak immunostaining for CAIX also exhibit weak expression of pSMAD2/3 and strong expression of both hMENA11a and ESRP1. Magnification: 40x. (C) Quantification for hMENA11a, ESRP1, CAIX and pSmad staining represented as optical density for hypoxic versus normoxic regions of 19 breast cancer patients.

Confirmed by qRT-PCR (Supplementary Figure S7E and F). Confining the analysis to events that are relevant to our hypothesis, we looked for the overlap among splicing changes after TGF-β induction, hypoxia treatment and those associated with EMT (20). In HCC1806, we found that out of a total of 7185 genes that showed significant exon inclusion events after TGF-β treatment, 4104 genes get spliced in the same direction under hypoxia as well. Moreover, 458 genes that showed exon inclusion during EMT also overlap with the aforesaid conditions (Figure 7C). Furthermore, out of the 7058 genes that undergo exon exclusion events after TGF-β induction in HCC1806, 3922 genes showed the same pattern when treated with hypoxia. The 302 genes that showed exon exclusion during EMT also intersect with both hypoxia- and TGF-β-treated conditions (Figure 7D). In line with our observation with HCC1806, MCF7 cells also show a comparable overlap among hypoxia-induced, TGF-β-induced and EMT-associated splicing profiles (Supplementary Figure S7G and H, and Supplementary Table S3). These findings suggest that the change in AS events influenced by TGF-β signaling under hypoxia is not just confined to hMENA but instead is a large-scale phenomenon. Furthermore, ORA was performed with the set of common genes that showed either exon inclusion or exclusion in all three conditions. We found that GO terms for biological processes such as cell projection organization, cytoskeleton organization and cell projection assembly, among others, were enriched in gene sets from both MCF and HCC1806 in the ORA (Figure 7E and Supplementary Figure S7I). These GO terms are indicative of the transition of breast cancer cells to a more migratory and invasive phenotype upon the induction of TGF-β signaling, further reinforcing its association with the global changes in AS of EMT-associated genes under hypoxia.

DISCUSSION

There is a growing appreciation that hypoxic milieu present in the core of solid tumors plays a crucial role in cancer progression by effectuating EMT (38). Previous studies have identified a substantive change in the AS profile of EMT-associated genes (6). However, whether and how hypoxia contributes to AS events leading to EMT lacks clarity. It is noteworthy that AS of exon 11a of hMENA, which regulates the actin remodeling during EMT, is vastly deregulated in many cancer types (15,16). The splicing switch from hMENA11a to hMENA/Delta11a in cancer cells supports rapid actin polymerization that in turn promotes invasiveness and migration (17). In this study, for the first time, we divulge the molecular insight about how low-oxygen stress brings about AS of hMENA in breast cancer. A myriad of signaling pathways are known to be deregulated in tumor hypoxia (46). We report that activation of canonical TGF-β signaling under hypoxia plays a substantial role in the AS of hMENA.

Moreover, studies have shown that the TGF-β signaling is stimulated in cancer cells by TGF-β cargo packaged
Figure 7. The global effect of TGF-β signaling on AS under hypoxia in breast cancer cell line HCC1806. (A) Microarray HTA 2.0 profile showing differential splicing events in TGF-β-treated versus control HCC1806 cells (n = 2, |SI| ≥ 1.5, P < 0.05). (B) Microarray HTA 2.0 profile showing the differential splicing events in hypoxia-treated (cells cultured in 1% O2) versus control (normoxic) HCC1806 cells (n = 2, |SI| ≥ 1.5, P < 0.05). (C) The common genes that show significant exon inclusion events on TGF-β treatment, hypoxia induction and during EMT in HCC1806. A total of 4104 genes show exon inclusion in both hypoxia and TGF-β treatment, while 458 genes show inclusion events in all the three conditions. (D) Common genes that show significant exon exclusion events on TGF-β treatment, hypoxia induction and during EMT in HCC1806. A total of 3922 genes show exclusion events under both hypoxia and TGF-β induction, while 302 genes show exon exclusion under all three conditions. (E) Volcano plot representing the enrichment ratio of various GO terms over-represented in the set of genes (n = 760) that show either exon inclusion or exclusion in all three conditions (FDR < 0.05).

Besides, cancer cells are reported to release a greater amount of exosomes under hypoxic stress in an HIF-dependent manner (45). Our present study also corroborated the elevated exosome release by breast cancer cells under low-oxygen tension. Further, we report that the hypoxic exosomes contain greater quanta of TGF-β as against normoxic exosomes. Subsequently, the treatment with hypoxic exosomes resulted in **hMENA** exon 11a exclusion by triggering TGF-β signaling. We believe that the HIF-dependent nature of exosome production under hypoxia could be one major reason behind the HIF dependence of **hMENA** AS. Remarkably, this is the very first instance where the potential of hypoxic exosomes to drive the AS has been established. This finding lays the foundation for future studies on the prospective involvement of exosomes to rewire cancer-associated AS events.

**EMT** has widely been correlated with the upregulation of TFs such as SLUG, SNAIL, ZEB1 and TWIST (50). We report that hypoxia-elicited TGF-β signaling enhances the expression of SLUG that subsequently constrains the expression of ESRP1, which governs **hMENA** exon 11a splicing. This happens to be the first study describing the SLUG-mediated transcriptional regulation of **ESRP1**. Although, besides ESRP1 splicing factor, RBFOX2 has been associated with **hMENA** AS regulation (47), the precise molecular mechanism was not reported. Intriguingly, we observed that RBFOX2 does not regulate **hMENA** AS by directly binding to its pre-mRNA. Rather, it acts as a transcriptional repressor of **ESRP1** while strongly interacting with SLUG under hypoxia. Genome-wide analysis of ChIP-seq data (obtained from the dataset GSE106042) revealed that a remarkable number of genes had RBFOX2 ChIP-seq peaks within 2 kb of their TSSs. These findings envision that RBFOX2 can potentially be involved in the transcriptional regulation of a range of other genes synergistically with TFs and epigenetic modifiers.

Moreover, our clinicopathological results substantiate the role of hypoxia-driven TGF-β signaling for **hMENA** AS in breast cancer tissues. The global analysis of splicing changes during hypoxia-induced TGF-β signaling ac-
Figure 8. Schematic representation of hypoxia-driven TGF-β signaling to mediate AS of hMENA exon 11a. The TGF-β signaling is stimulated under hypoxia, effectuating the phosphorylation of SMAD2 and/or SMAD3 proteins. Hypoxic exosomes contribute to the actuation of TGF-β signaling. Phosphorylated SMAD2/3 form a complex with their constitutive partner SMAD4. The activated SMAD protein complex translocates to the nucleus and enhances SLUG and RBFOX2 expression. SLUG and RBFOX2 transcriptionally repress ESRP1 while interacting with each other. Under the normoxic condition, ESRP1 promotes the inclusion of exon 11a of hMENA in the final transcript that supports epithelial phenotype. A reduced level of ESRP1 under hypoxia leads to the production of hMENAΔ11a isoform that gives rise to the mesenchymal phenotype under hypoxia and thereby promotes cell invasion.

tivation reveals a large-scale rewiring of AS programs in EMT-related genes. Collectively, this body of work provides the mechanistic foundation for how hypoxia drives hMENA AS in breast cancer (Figure 8), and suggests the relevance of hypoxia-driven TGF-β signaling and its multifaceted aspects in the potential development of novel anti-EMT therapeutic approaches.

DATA AVAILABILITY
The results of the microarray analyses were deposited in GEO under accession number GSE147516.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA
Supplementary Data are available at NAR Cancer Online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
We thank all members of the Epigenetics and RNA Processing Lab for their helpful discussions and technical assistance.

Author contributions: N.A., C.A. and S.S. designed the research; N.A., C.A., S.N., D.P., A.C., M.R.P., P.Y. and V.N.S.S. performed the research; J.M., A.S. and S.S. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; N.A., C.A., S.N., D.P., A.C., V.N.S.S. and S.S. analyzed the data; N.A., C.A., S.N., D.P., A.C. and S.S. wrote the manuscript.

FUNDING
This work is supported by DBT/Wellcome Trust India Alliance Fellowship Grant IA/1/16/2/502719 [to S.S.]; Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, India [to N.A. and P.Y.]; University Grants Commission [to D.P. and M.R.P.]; Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Bhopal [to C.A.]; Department of Science and Technology, Ministry of Science and Technology [to S.N., A.C. and V.N.S.S.]. Conflict of Interest statement. None declared.

REFERENCES
1. Fleming, I.N., Manavaki, R., Blower, P.J., West, C., Williams, K.J., Harris, A.L., Domarkas, J., Lord, S., Baldry, C. and Gilbert, F.J. (2015) Imaging tumour hypoxia with positron emission tomography. Br. J. Cancer, 112, 238–250.
2. Hockel, M. and Vaupel, P. (2001) Tumor hypoxia: definitions and current clinical, biologic, and molecular aspects. J. Natl. Cancer Inst., 93, 266–276.
3. Vaupel,P., Kallinowski,F. and Okunieff,P.(1989) Blood flow, oxygen and nutrient supply, and metabolic microenvironment of human tumors: a review. Cancer Res., 49, 6449–6465.

4. Vaupel,P., Höckel,M. and Mayer,A.(2007) Detection and characterization of tumor hypoxia using $\text{PO}_2$ histography. Antioxid. Redox Signal., 9, 1221–1236.

5. Semenza,G.L.(2016) The hypoxic tumor microenvironment: a driving force for breast cancer progression. Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 1863, 382–391.

6. Shapiro,I.M., Cheng,A.W., Fytaznis,N.C., Balsamo,M., Condeelis,J.S., Oktyab,M.H., Burge,C.B. and Gertler,F.B.(2011) An EMT-driven alternative splicing program occurs in human breast cancer and modulates cellular phenotype. PLoS Genet., 7, e47.

7. Xu,H., Tian,Y., Yuan,X., Wu,H., Liu,Q., Pestell,R.G. and Wu,K.(2015) The role of CD44 in epithelial–mesenchymal transition and cancer development. OncoTargets Ther., 8, 3783.

8. Savagner,P., Valles,A., Jouanneau,J., Yamada,K. and Thiery,J.(1994) Alternative splicing in fibroblast growth factor receptor 2 is associated with induced epithelial–mesenchymal transition in rat bladder carcinoma cells. Mol. Biol. Cell., 5, 851–862.

9. Morris,H. and Machesky,L.(2015) Actin cytoskeletal control during epithelial to mesenchymal transition: focus on the pancreas and intestinal tract. Br. J. Cancer, 112, 613–620.

10. Keirsebilck,A., Bonné,S., Staes,K., van Hengel,J., Nollet,F., Hirschfeld,M., zur Hausen,A., Bettendorf,H., Jäger,M. and Shankar,J., Messenberg,A., Chan,J., Underhill,T.M., Foster,L.J. and Eberhard,M.L., Gillette,M.A., Pomeroy,S.L., Golub,T.R. and Lander,E.S.(2005) Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 102, 15545–15550.

11. Krishnamachary,B., Penet,M.-F., Nimmagadda,S., Mironchik,Y., Krause,M., Dent,E.W., Bear,J.E., Loureiro,J.J. and Gertler,F.B.(2011) Metastasis: tumor cells becoming stop and go with Ena.

12. Hirschfeld,M., zur Hausen,A., Bettendorf,H., Jäger,M. and Shankar,J., Messenberg,A., Chan,J., Underhill,T.M., Foster,L.J. and Eberhard,M.L., Gillette,M.A., Pomeroy,S.L., Golub,T.R. and Lander,E.S.(2005) Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 102, 15545–15550.
44. Sawyer, J.S., Anderson, B.D., Beight, D.W., Campbell, R.M., Jones, M.L., Herron, D.K., Lampe, J.W., McCowan, J.R., McMillen, W.T. and Mort, N. (2003) Synthesis and activity of new aryl- and heteroaryl-substituted pyrazole inhibitors of the transforming growth factor-β type I receptor kinase domain. *J. Med. Chem.*, 46, 3953–3956.

45. Wang, T., Gilkes, D.M., Takano, N., Xiang, L., Luo, W., Bishop, C.J., Chaturvedi, P., Green, J.J. and Semenza, G.L. (2014) Hypoxia-inducible factors and RAB22A mediate formation of microvesicles that stimulate breast cancer invasion and metastasis. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 111, E3234–E3242.

46. De Craene, B. and Berx, G. (2013) Regulatory networks defining EMT during cancer initiation and progression. *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, 13, 97–110.

47. Fici, P., Gallerani, G., Morel, A.-P., Mercatali, L., Ibrahim, T., Scarpi, E., Amadori, D., Puisieux, A., Rigaud, M. and Fabbri, F. (2017) Splicing factor ratio as an index of epithelial–mesenchymal transition and tumor aggressiveness in breast cancer. *Oncotarget*, 8, 2423.

48. Venables, J.P., Brosseau, J.-P., Gadea, G., Klinck, R., Prinos, P., Beaulieu, J.-F., Lapointe, E., Durand, M., Thibault, P. and Tremblay, K. (2013) RBFOX2 is an important regulator of mesenchymal tissue-specific splicing in both normal and cancer tissues. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 33, 396–405.

49. Warzecha, C.C., Shen, S., Xing, Y. and Carstens, R.P. (2009) The epithelial splicing factors ESRP1 and ESRP2 positively and negatively regulate diverse types of alternative splicing events. *RNA Biol.*, 6, 546–562.

50. Stemmler, M.P., Eccles, R.L., Brabletz, S. and Brabletz, T. (2019) Non-redundant functions of EMT transcription factors. *Nat. Cell Biol.*, 21, 102–112.

51. Yang, Y. and Carstens, R.P. (2017) Alternative splicing regulates distinct subcellular localization of epithelial splicing regulatory protein 1 (Esrp1) isoforms. *Sci. Rep.*, 7, 3848.

52. Kaida, D., Berg, M.G., Younis, I., Kasim, M., Singh, L.N., Wan, L. and Dreyfuss, G. (2010) U1 mRNP protects pre-mRNAs from premature cleavage and polyadenylation. *Nature*, 468, 664–668.

53. Lin, S., Coutinho-Mansfield, G., Wang, D., Pandit, S. and Fu, X.-D. (2008) The splicing factor SC35 has an active role in transcriptional elongation. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.*, 15, 819.

54. Ji, X., Zhou, Y., Pandit, S., Huang, J., Li, H., Lin, C.Y., Xiao, R., Burge, C.B. and Fu, X.-D. (2013) SR proteins collaborate with 7SK and promoter-associated nascent RNA to release paused polymerase. *Cell*, 153, 855–868.

55. Wei, C., Xiao, R., Chen, L., Cui, H., Zhou, Y., Xue, Y., Hu, J., Zhou, B., Tsutsui, T. and Qiu, J. (2016) RBfox2 binds nascent RNA to globally regulate polycomb complex 2 targeting in mammalian genomes. *Mol. Cell.*, 62, 875–889.

56. Allen, S.E., Toro, C.P., Andrade, A., López-Soto, E.J., Denome, S. and Lipscombe, D. (2017) Cell-specific RNA binding protein Rbfox2 regulates Cav2.2 mRNA exon composition and Cav2.2 current size. *eNeuro*, 4, ENEURO.0332-16.2017.

57. Damianov, A., Ying, Y., Lin, C.-H., Lee, J.-A., Tran, D., Vashisht, A.A., Bahrani-Samani, E., Xing, Y., Martin, K.C. and Wohlschlegel, J.A. (2016) Rbfox proteins regulate splicing as part of a large multiprotein complex LASR. *Cell*, 165, 606–619.

58. Potter, C. and Harris, A.L. (2004) Hypoxia inducible carbonic anhydrase IX, marker of tumour: hypoxia, survival pathway and therapy target. *Cell Cycle*, 3, 159–162.

59. Shelke, G.V., Yin, Y., Jung, S.C., Lasser, C., Wemmalm, S., Hoffmann, H.J., Li, L., Gho, Y.S., Nilsson, J.A. and Lötvall, J. (2019) Endosomal signalling via exosome surface TGFβ-1. *J. Extracell. Vesicles*, 8, 1650458.