THE NON-THERMAL, TIME-VARIABLE RADIO EMISSION FROM Cyg OB2 #5: A WIND-COLLISION REGION
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ABSTRACT

The radio emission from the well-studied massive stellar system Cyg OB2 #5 is known to fluctuate with a period of 6.7 years between a low-flux state, when the emission is entirely of free–free origin, and a high-flux state, when an additional non-thermal component (of hitherto unknown nature) appears. In this paper, we demonstrate that the radio flux of that non-thermal component is steady on timescales of hours and that its morphology is arc-like. This shows that the non-thermal emission results from the collision between the strong wind driven by the known contact binary in the system and that of an unseen companion on a somewhat eccentric orbit with a 6.7 year period and a 5–10 mas semimajor axis. Together with the previously reported wind-collision region located about 0\,′′8 to the northeast of the contact binary, so far Cyg OB2 #5 appears to be the only multiple system known to harbor two radio-imaged wind-collision regions.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Cyg OB2 #5 (V729 Cyg, BD +40 4220) is a radio-bright early-type multiple system comprising an eclipsing contact binary with a 6.6 day orbital period. In addition to the primary radio component coincident with the contact binary, Abbott et al. (1981) and Mira-les et al. (1994) reported on the existence of a radio “companion” located 0′′8 to the northeast of the contact binary. Contreras et al. (1997) determined that this secondary radio component has an elongated shape and lies in between the binary system and a B-type star, first reported by Herbig (1967). The emission from the secondary component is non-thermal (i.e., synchrotron; White 1985) and has been ascribed to the interaction region formed by the wind from the contact binary and that of the B-type star. These interaction regions consist of a contact discontinuity with two shocks, one shock on either side of the contact discontinuity. The study of objects such as Cyg OB2 #5 allows us to investigate the physics of particle acceleration in massive star environments (De Becker 2007; Benaglia 2010). The study of sources of cosmic-ray acceleration (in addition to supernova remnants) is very important since there is observational evidence that they are produced in a variety of Galactic sources (Adriani et al. 2011).

Observations of Cyg OB2 #5 taken over a period of about 20 years show that the radio emission varies in time between a low- and a high-flux state (Persi et al. 1990; Contreras et al. 1997). In the low-flux state, the emission has a flux density of about 2 mJy at 4.8 GHz, and is due to thermal free–free emission from the ionized material in the stellar wind driven by the contact binary. The spectral index of the emission is 0.60 ± 0.04 (Kennedy et al. 2010), as expected for a wind with a density decreasing as 1/r^2 (Panagia & Felli 1975; Olnon 1975; Wright & Barlow 1975). During the high-flux state, the total flux rises to about 8 mJy at 4.8 GHz, as an additional non-thermal component appears. Because of the non-thermal nature of the additional component, the spectral index of the system is flatter in the high-flux state than during the low-flux state.

Recently, Kennedy et al. (2010) have reanalyzed all existing Very Large Array (VLA) observations of Cyg OB2 #5 and showed that the radio flux variations arise directly in the primary component with a periodicity of 6.7 ± 0.2 yr, while the flux from the secondary component to the northeast remains constant in time. These authors proposed that the variations can be represented by a simple model in which a source (with constant non-thermal emission) moves around the contact binary in a 6.7 year orbit and the varying radio emission results from the variable free–free opacity of the wind between the source and the observer (Kennedy et al. 2010). The non-thermal emission requires the presence of a fourth star in the system, but two different scenarios are possible. In the first one, the non-thermal emission would arise from a wind-collision region between the contact binary and the fourth star in the system. The fourth star would evidently have to be sufficiently massive to drive a wind powerful enough to produce an interaction region with the wind from the contact binary. The presence of a wind-collision region is supported by the detection of X-rays (Waldron et al. 1998; Linder et al. 2009). Interestingly, Kennedy et al. (2010) found evidence of a reflex motion resulting from a putative star with a mass of 23^{+22}_{−14} M\odot, consistent with a late O- or early B-type star in the radial velocities of the contact binary. Alternatively, the fourth star itself could generate the non-thermal emission as a consequence of coronal activity. This would favor a scenario where the fourth star in the system would be a low-mass object since convection in the stellar interior is required for coronal activity to occur.

Two diagnostics can be used to distinguish between these two scenarios. The first one is related to variability. Coronal radio emission from low-mass (Feigelson & Montmerle 1999) and some intermediate-mass (Dzib et al. 2010) stars tends to be variable, and to exhibit short-duration flares on a timescale of hours (Osten & Wolk 2009). If the non-thermal source in Cyg OB2 #5 is from a star with coronal activity, variability on timescales of hours would be expected to occur during the high-flux state when the star travels in front of the binary system. In the low-flux state, the free–free opacity of the wind from the contact binary would hide the orbiting non-thermal source, and we would solely detect the constant, thermal emission from the wind. In the wind interaction scenario, on the other hand, no rapid variations are expected in the high-flux state since wind-collision regions are relatively extended and generate fairly constant radio emission, provided timescales significantly shorter than the orbital period are considered. The second
diagnostic is related to the size of the non-thermal emitting region. For Cyg OB2 #5 at 1.7 kpc (Torres-Dodgen et al. 1991), the emission due to a wind interaction is expected to have an extent of order 10 mas (Pittard & Dougherty 2006), whereas emission from the active magnetosphere of a low-mass star would be more compact than 0.1 mas. Rodríguez et al. (2010) estimated an angular size of ≤0.02 for the non-thermal source by subtracting the persistent thermal component (low-flux state) from the high-flux state (thermal plus non-thermal emission present). Both scenarios remain consistent with this estimate, so higher angular resolutions are needed.

In this paper, we first reanalyze (in Section 2) a total of 19 archival observations obtained during the high-flux state of Cyg OB2 #5 between 1983 and 2003, to search for short-timescale flux variability. Detection of such variability would support the scenario where the non-thermal emission comes from a low-mass companion, whereas a non-detection would be in better agreement with the wind interaction scenario. In Section 3, we present the first very long baseline interferometry (VLBI) observation ever reported of Cyg OB2 #5. This observation will enable us to put direct constraints on the morphology and size of the non-thermal emission in Cyg OB2 #5.

2. A SEARCH FOR SHORT-TIMESCALE RADIO VARIABILITY

The data analyzed here comprise 19 VLA observations of Cyg OB2 #5 at frequencies between 1.4 and 43.3 GHz during the high-flux state of the source, and were obtained from the NRAO archive. The on-source integration time for these observing sessions varies between 11.5 and 157.7 minutes. The detailed parameters of the observations are given in Ortiz-León (2010). The archive data were edited and calibrated using the Astronomical Image Processing System (AIPS) software package of NRAO. The absolute amplitude calibrator for 16 of the 19 epochs was 1331+305, while 0137+331 was used for the remaining three epochs. The phase calibrator was 2007+404 for all epochs. The adopted and bootstrapped flux densities of the amplitude and phase calibrators are given in Ortiz-León (2010). These observations have been analyzed by several authors (e.g., Persi et al. 1990; Kennedy et al. 2010) but using the entire on-source integration time of each session as a single data point. Here, we are interested, instead, in the short-timescale variability. Our strategy to search for such variability was the following. First, images of Cyg OB2 #5 were made with the AIPS task IMAGR for each observation epoch. With the AIPS task JMFIT, the precise position of the primary component was determined. The \((u, v)\) data were then recentered at the position of the main component using the AIPS task UVFIX. With these new \((u, v)\) data, the real and imaginary parts of the interferometer data were plotted as a function of time, averaged over the \((u, v)\) plane. The real part gives us information on the flux density of the source and the imaginary part of its position and symmetry. We then averaged over time in bins from 5 to 20 minutes. A detailed description of this technique is given by Neria et al. (2010). To avoid contamination from extended emission, in observations at 4.8 GHz with the D configuration, we used only \((u, v)\) data with baselines larger than 0.125 km (suppressing the emission from structures larger than ~2\'). Furthermore, a self-calibration process was applied in four epochs to diminish the remaining phase errors in the visibility.

We searched for rapid variability in the light curves of the real part of the visibility, but found no statistical evidence for variations at typical levels of 10%. An example of this data analysis is shown in Figure 1. This lack of strong short-timescale variability clearly favors the scenario where the non-thermal radio emission comes from a wind interaction region. It should be pointed out, however, that while fast variability is common in non-thermal stellar sources (i.e., Feigelson & Montmerle 1999; Güdel 2002; Bower et al. 2003; Forbrich et al. 2008; Gómez et al. 2008), there is at least one known example of a young stellar source generating steady non-thermal emission (André et al. 1988, 1991; Loinard et al. 2008). Thus, the lack of fast variability cannot be considered definitive proof that the non-thermal emission comes from a wind interaction region. A direct, high-angular-resolution image is needed to distinguish between a compact stellar source and a wind-collision region. We will present such an image in the following section.

3. VLBA OBSERVATIONS OF Cyg OB2 #5

Cyg OB2 #5 was observed at \(\lambda = 3.6\) cm (\(\nu = 8.4\) GHz) with the Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA) on 2010 December 13. The position of the peak of the source was at \(\alpha_{2000.0} = 20\,^h\,32\,^m\,22\,^s\,421\), \(\delta_{2000.0} = +41\,^\circ\,18\,'\,90\). The observation was for 5 hours, and consisted of a series of cycles with two minutes spent on source, and one minute spent on the main phase-referencing quasar J0218+3851, located 3.6 away. Every 15 minutes, the radio bright X-ray binary Cyg X-3, which is 20′′ from Cyg OB2 #5, was also observed. Although Cyg X-3 is very close to Cyg OB2 #5, it was not used as a primary calibrator due to its extreme variability in both flux density and morphology and the fact that it is heavily scattered at the observing frequency (Desai & Fey 2001). The data were edited and calibrated using the AIPS software following the standard procedure for phase-referenced VLBA observations. The calibration determined from the observations of J0218+3851 was...
applied to both Cyg OB2 #5 and Cyg X-3. At this point, most of the phase errors left are caused by the 3:6 separation between the source and the phase calibrator. To remove most of these, Cyg X-3 was self-calibrated and the phase gains determined from that self-calibration were then interpolated and applied to Cyg OB2 #5. This resulted in a significant improvement in the quality of the image of Cyg OB2 #5 compared to a direct phase transfer between J0218+3851 and Cyg OB2 #5. Cyg OB2 #5 is quite resolved so the best image was made by limiting the maximum uv length to 60000 kλ and using pure natural weighting.

The resulting image is shown in Figure 2. The total flux density of the source is \( \sim 5 \) mJy, in agreement with the value expected for the non-thermal component as derived from lower angular resolution observations. This result suggests that we are observing synchrotron emission at these high angular resolutions. The peak flux density of the source is 1.1 mJy beam\(^{-1}\), implying a brightness temperature \( T_B \gtrsim 1.6 \times 10^6 \) K. This brightness temperature is not large enough to clearly favor a non-thermal origin, and VLBI observations at several wavelengths are needed to establish the nature of the emission beyond doubt. The source is clearly resolved with a total extent, mostly along the north–south direction, of about 10 mas (consistent with the upper limit reported by Rodríguez et al. 2010). The arc-like morphology of the emission is the structure expected for a wind interaction region. Since the apex of the arc shape is located to the west, one would expect the source with the strongest wind (the contact binary system in the present case) to be located west of the arc. To examine this issue, the position of the contact binary at the epoch of the VLBA observations must be determined.

From the VLA observations mentioned in Section 2, we selected the 3.6 and 6 cm data obtained in the A configuration of the array. Using these observations, the position\(^3\) of the radio emission associated with the contact binary was determined at

\[ \text{Figure 2. Image of Cyg OB2 #5 obtained with the VLBA on 2010 December 13. The contours are at } -1, 1, \sqrt{2}, 2, \text{ and } 2\sqrt{2} \text{ times the } 3\sigma \text{ noise level in the image (0.165 mJy beam}\(^{-1}\)). The synthesized beam is shown in the bottom left. The position of the contact binary at the epoch of this observation is shown as a cross, whose size represents the error bar. The small white square is an estimate of the position of the additional star in the region. \]

\[ \text{Figure 3. Position in right ascension (top) and declination (bottom) of the contact binary in Cyg OB2 #5 measured with the VLA at five epochs between 1983 and 2004. The best fit to these data with a uniform proper motion is shown as solid lines.} \]

five epochs between 1983 and 2004 (Figure 3) and its proper motion was measured to be

\[ \mu_\alpha \cos \delta = -3.80 \pm 0.71 \text{ mas yr}^{-1} \]
\[ \mu_\delta = -4.43 \pm 0.32 \text{ mas yr}^{-1}. \]

This is in good agreement with the proper motions of several Wolf–Rayet stars located in the same region (Dzib & Rodríguez 2009). The position of the source at the epoch (2010.95) of the VLBA observation and its error bar is shown as a cross in Figure 2. Within the errors, this position lies along the direction of the apex of the arc seen in the VLBA image, as expected. The star responsible for the second colliding wind must be located east of the arc along its bisector, and presumably fairly close to the arc itself since its wind is likely to be significantly less powerful than that of the contact binary. Assuming that the wind momentum rate of the star responsible for the second colliding wind is 0.1 that of the contact binary and following Cantó et al. (1996), we obtain a location at the position of the small white square shown in Figure 2.

The detection of an arc-like structure in the VLBA observations demonstrates that the non-thermal emission detected during the high-flux state of Cyg OB2 #5 most probably originates in a wind-collision region, but raises an interesting additional issue. The separation between the wind-collision region and the position of the contact binary (Figure 2) is only about 12 mas. The diameter of the region surrounding the contact binary where the free–free radiation is emitted was measured to be about 60 mas (Rodríguez et al. 2010). Thus, the wind-collision arc appears to be located within the nominal region occupied by the free–free emission. The free–free opacity in that region should prevent the non-thermal emission from escaping and reaching the observer. A possible solution to this apparent
conundrum is that the wind driven by the contact binary is very inhomogeneous (Blomme et al. 2010; Muijres et al. 2011) and consequently partially transparent at centimeter wavelengths. This is a problem that has to be studied in the future because the non-thermal excess emission is clearly seen even at frequencies as low as 1.4 GHz (Persi et al. 1985; G. N. Ortiz-León et al. 2011, in preparation), where the free–free opacity is expected to be quite large.

Assuming that our estimate of the position of the fourth star in the system is reasonable, the current separation between that star and the contact binary is about 15 mas, or 25.5 AU (at a distance of 1.7 kpc; Torres-Dodgen et al. 1991). If this provided a good estimate of the orbital semimajor axis, then using Kepler’s third law, the total mass of the system would have to be about 400 $M_\odot$. This seems unreasonably large, and suggests that the orbit is somewhat eccentric and the system is currently near apastron. For instance, taking an eccentricity of 0.5 could reduce the mass of the system by up to a factor of eight down to about 50 $M_\odot$, and consistent with a system of three O-type stars. A high eccentricity is in agreement with that deduced by Kennedy et al. (2010) from their best-fit model for the variable non-thermal flux from Cyg OB2 #5.

Interestingly, if we adopt the shorter distance of 925 pc for these estimates proposed by Linder et al. (2009), we obtain a total mass of the system of only about 60 $M_\odot$, assuming that the current separation between the contact binary and the putative position of its companion is representative of the orbital semimajor axis. We are currently monitoring the emission of Cyg OB2 #5 with VLBI observations and may be able to provide the geometric parallax and an accurate distance in the future.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have first reanalyzed archival VLA observations of the radio emission from Cyg OB2 #5 taken when the system was in its high-flux state. This reanalysis shows that the flux of the non-thermal emission in the system does not suffer strong short-term variability. We then presented the first VLBI image of this system and demonstrated that the non-thermal component most probably originates in an arc-shaped wind-collision region resulting from the interaction between the wind driven by the known contact binary in the system and that powered by a companion star in a 6.7 yr, somewhat eccentric, orbit. VLBI observations at several wavelengths are needed to establish unambiguously the nature of the emission from the arc-shaped region. To our knowledge, Cyg OB2 #5 so far is the only multiple system known to harbor two radio-imaged wind-collision regions—one described in this paper and the other reported previously by Contreras et al. (1997).
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