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\textbf{Abstract}
Beginning with the work of Landau, Pollak and Slepian in the 1960s on time-band limiting, commuting pairs of integral and differential operators have played a key role in signal processing, random matrix theory, and integrable systems. Previously, such pairs were constructed by ad hoc methods, which essentially worked because a commuting operator of low order could be found by a direct calculation. We describe a general approach to these problems that proves that every point $W$ of Wilson’s infinite dimensional adelic Grassmannian $\text{Gr}^{ad}$ gives rise to an integral operator $T_W$, acting on $L^2(\Gamma)$ for a contour $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$, which reflects a differential operator with rational coefficients $R(z, \partial_z)$ in the sense that $R(-z, -\partial_z) \circ T_W = T_W \circ R(w, \partial_w)$ on a dense subset of $L^2(\Gamma)$. By using analytic methods and methods from integrable systems, we show that the reflected differential operator can be constructed from the Fourier algebra of the associated bispectral function $\psi_W(x, z)$. The exact size of this algebra with respect to a bifiltration is in turn determined using algebro-geometric methods. Intrinsic properties of four involutions of the adelic Grassmannian naturally lead us to consider the reflecting property above in place of plain commutativity. Furthermore, we prove that the time-band limited operators of the generalized Laplace transforms with kernels given by the rank one bispectral functions $\psi_W(x, -z)$ always reflect a differential operator. A $90^\circ$ rotation argument is used to prove that
the time-band limited operators of the generalized Fourier transforms with kernels \( \psi_W(x, iz) \) admit a commuting differential operator. These methods produce vast collections of integral operators with prolate-spheroidal properties, associated to the wave functions of all rational solutions of the KP hierarchy vanishing at infinity, introduced by Krichever in the late 1970s.

1 | BACKGROUND

1.1 | The prolate-spheroidal phenomenon

In his foundational work \cite{Shannon41} on communication theory, Claude Shannon posed the problem of finding the best information that one can infer about a signal \( f(t) \) which is supported in the interval \([-\tau, \tau]\) from the knowledge of its frequencies in the interval \([-\kappa, \kappa]\). This requires determining the singular value decomposition of the finite Fourier transform

\[
(E f)(z) = \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} e^{izx} f(x) dx, \quad z \in [-\kappa, \kappa],
\]

that is, the eigenfunctions of the integral operator

\[
(EE^* f)(z) = 2 \int_{-\kappa}^{\kappa} \frac{\sin \tau(z - w)}{z - w} f(w) dw, \quad z \in [-\kappa, \kappa].
\]

This is a numerically extremely unstable problem. Landau, Pollak and Slepian \cite{LandauPollak31, PollakSlepian43} bypassed this issue based on the property that

\[
R(z, \partial_z) = \partial_z (\kappa^2 - z^2) \partial_z - \tau^2 z^2
\]

commutes with \( EE^* \). The differential operator \( R(z, \partial_z) \) is the “radial part” of the Laplacian in prolate-spheroidal coordinates, and (the joint) eigenfunctions of it and the integral operator \( EE^* \) are known as the prolate-spheroidal functions, which can be computed numerically in very stable ways. The commuting pair can be traced back to Bateman \cite[Eqs. 38–41 plus differentiation]{Bateman6,Eqs. 38–41 plus differentiation} and the classical text by Ince \cite[p. 201]{Ince23}. Mehta \cite{Mehta34} independently discovered and used it to analyze the Fredholm determinant of \( EE^* \) in relation to asymptotic problems for random matrices. An in-depth study of the numerical properties of prolate-spheroidal functions (the joint eigenfunctions of \( EE^* \) and \( R(z, \partial_z) \)) was carried out in \cite{Slepian37}.

Slepian \cite{Slepian42} extended the time-band limiting analysis to \( n \)-dimensions by passing to polar coordinates and relying on a different commuting pair. He proved that the integral operator

\[
(\mathcal{E} f)(z) = \int_0^1 J_N(czw) \sqrt{czw} f(w) dw
\]

acting on a subspace of \( L^2(0, 1; d\omega) \) with appropriate boundary conditions commutes with

\[
\partial_z (1 - z^2) \partial_z - c^2 z^2 + \frac{1/4 - N^2}{z^2},
\]

where \( J_N(x) \) denotes the Bessel function of the first kind.
Tracy and Widom [46] constructed another remarkable commuting pair of integral and differential operators associated to the Airy kernel

\[ \frac{A(z)A'(w) - A'(z)A(w)}{z - w} \]

acting on \( L^2(\tau, +\infty; dw) \), where \( A(z) \) denotes the Airy function. They proved that this integral operator commutes with

\[ \partial_z(\tau - z)\partial_z - z(\tau - z). \]

This commuting pair and a modification of the one for the Bessel kernel were used for the asymptotic analysis of the level spacing distribution functions of the edge scaling limits of the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble and the Laguerre and Jacobi Ensembles in [45, 46].

Fourth and sixth order commuting differential operators were constructed in [20] by using two 1-step Darboux transformations from the Bessel functions with parameters \( N = 3/2, 5/2 \). Examples of differential operators commuting with the finite Laplace transform were also found in [11].

All of the above commuting pairs (and others that have been found in the past) fit into one general scheme: commuting differential operators were constructed for an integral kernel of the form

\[ K_\psi(z, w) := \int_{\Gamma_1} \psi(x, z)\psi^\dagger(x, w)dx \]  

acting on \( L^2(\Gamma_2; dw) \), where \( \Gamma_1 \) and \( \Gamma_2 \) are contours in \( \mathbb{C} \), \( \psi(x, z) \) is a wave function for the KP hierarchy and \( \psi^\dagger(x, z) \) is its adjoint wave function defined below. (For Slepian’s Bessel-type example, we get a kernel of this form for the square of Slepian’s operator \( E \) defined above.)

### 1.2 The adelic Grassmannian

The decades of the 1960s and 1970s witnessed a rich development in the area of “integrable systems with an infinite number of degrees of freedom”. It was soon realized that the fact that certain nonlinear differential equations could be explicitly solved was linked to several parts of mathematics including algebraic geometry, representation theory of infinitely dimensional groups, etc. By 1981 M. Sato and his school noticed that the solutions of the KP hierarchy can be understood in terms of an infinite dimensional Grassmannian and that a central role is played by a “tau function” \( \tau(t_1, t_2, \ldots) \) of infinitely many variables. In the simplest case this is a character of a representation of \( GL_N(\mathbb{C}) \), and more generally it is an appropriate linear combination of these, with coefficients satisfying the Plücker quadratic conditions. These efforts were pushed further by several people, and the reader can consult [15, 38, 40, 48].

Wilson’s adelic Grassmannian \( Gr^{\text{ad}} \), [48], is the infinite dimensional Grassmannian consisting of all subspaces \( W \subset \mathbb{C}(z) \) of the form

\[ W = \frac{1}{q(z)}\{ f(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z] : \langle \chi_j(z), f(z) \rangle = 0, 1 \leq j \leq n \} \text{ for some } n \geq 0, \]

where \( \chi_j(z) \) are distributions on \( \mathbb{C} \) that are linear combinations of derivatives of delta functions such that the support of each \( \chi_j(z) \) is a singleton (adelic condition). Here \( q(z) \) is the monic
polynomial of degree $n$ whose roots are the supports of $\chi_1(z),...,\chi_n(z)$. Each $W \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$ gives rise to a wave function $\psi_W(x,z)$ of the KP hierarchy [40, 47] of the form \( \frac{h(x,z)}{p(x)q(z)} e^{xz} \) for some polynomials $p(x), q(z)$ and $h(x,z)$, see Section 3.1.

In terms of the tau function $\tau_W(t_1,t_2,...)$ of $W \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$, the wave function and the adjoint wave function of $W$ have expressions given by the following modified version of Sato’s formula and its dual [47, Theorem 1.5]

\[
\psi_W(x,z) = \frac{\tau_W(t-[1/z])}{\tau_W(t)} e^{xz}, \quad \psi_W^+(x,z) = \frac{\tau_W(t+[-1/z])}{\tau_W(t)} e^{xz}.
\]

Here $t = (x,t_2,t_3,...)$ for some parameters $t_i$ and $[z] := (z, z^2/2, z^3/3, ...)$. Furthermore, a modified version of the differential Fay identity [47, Theorem 1.9],

\[
\psi_W(x,z)\psi_W^+(x,w) = \frac{1}{z+w} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ e^{x(z+w)} \frac{\tau_W(t-[1/z]+[-1/w])}{\tau_W(t)} \right]
\]

allows us to explicitly integrate our expression for the kernel (1.1), as in (2.4). We note that we do not rely on the $\tau$ function, Sato’s formula, or the differential Fay identity for any of the results in the paper. However Sato’s formula and the differential Fay identity is utilized for examples in Section 6.

Remark 1.1. The adjoint wave function $\psi_W^+(x,z)$ which we use throughout the paper is the wave function of the point of the adelic Grassmannian obtained from $W$ by the action of a certain adjoint involution. It is closely related to the dual wave function of $W$ [47, Theorem 1.1(f)], see Remark 2.2. The use of the adjoint wave function allows us to treat the two factors of (1.1) on an equal footing, which plays a key role in all proofs.

The adelic Grassmannian $\text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$ plays a ubiquitous role as the classifying space of objects of very different nature. Firstly, Wilson proved in [48] that $\text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$ classifies all (normalized) rank 1 bispectral functions. The bispectral problem posed by Duistermaat and Grünbaum in [16], asks for a classification of all meromorphic functions $\psi(x,z)$ on a subdomain $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$ which are eigenfunctions in both variables, that is,

\[
L(x,\partial_x) \cdot \psi(x,z) = g(z)\psi(x,z) \quad \text{and} \quad M(z,\partial_z) \cdot \psi(x,z) = h(x)\psi(x,z)
\]

for a pair of differential operators $L(x,\partial_x), M(z,\partial_z)$ on $\Omega_1$, $\Omega_2$ and nonconstant meromorphic functions $h(x), g(z)$ on $\Omega_1$, $\Omega_2$. The rank of such a bispectral function $\psi(x,z)$ is defined to be the greatest common divisor of the orders of the operators $L(x,\partial_x)$ for which $\psi(x,z)$ is an eigenfunction. Note that this definition of rank is consistent with the one in [48].

The following is a partial list of other situations in which $\text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$ arises as a classifying space:

(i) The space $\text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$ parametrizes the set of rational solutions of the KP hierarchy vanishing as $x \to \infty$, introduced and studied by Krichever [30]. Wilson [49] constructed a decomposition of the adelic Grassmannian

\[
\text{Gr}^{\text{ad}} = \bigsqcup_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{CM}_k
\]
and proved that each stratum $\mathcal{CM}_k$ is isomorphic to a completion of the complexification of the real phase space of the Calogero–Moser system on $k$ particles, constructed via Hamiltonian reduction by Kazhdan–Kostant–Sternberg [27].

(ii) Etingof and Ginzburg [18] proved that the Calogero–Moser stratum $\mathcal{CM}_k$ parametrizes the simple modules of the rational Cherednik algebra associated to the symmetric group $S_k$ when the deformation parameter $t = 0$.

(iii) Cunnings–Holland [12] and Berest–Wilson [9] proved that $Gr^{ad}$ classifies the equivalence classes of one-sided ideals of the first Weyl algebra.

(iv) Berest and Chalykh [8] proved that $Gr^{ad}$ is a classifying space for the isomorphism classes of strict $A_\infty$-modules over the first Weyl algebra.

The adelic Grassmannian is a quantum analog of the Hilbert scheme points in $\mathbb{A}^2$=

\[
\bigsqcup_{k \geq 0} \text{Hilb}_k(\mathbb{A}^2),
\]

which also plays a key role as a universal classifying space [35].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we state our main results: Theorems A–E. Section 3 contains background material on Wilson’s adelic Grassmannian and algebro-geometric structures that we use in later sections. Theorem E is proved in Section 4 by an algebro-geometric approach to the structure of Fourier algebras. In Section 5 we prove Theorems A–D by using methods from integrable systems and noncommutative algebra. Finally, Section 6 contains applications of our results to different examples.

## 2 STATEMENT OF RESULTS

### 2.1 A general theorem on reflective integral operators

The unifying feature of the diverse lines of research in §1.1 is that commuting differential operators were constructed for the integral kernels given by (1.1) for very concrete choices of bispectral functions $\tilde{\psi}(x, z)$. Previous results were based on direct computations done on a case-by-case basis. They did not offer insight into the nature of the commuting differential operator and essentially succeeded because a commuting operator of low order ($\leq 6$) could be constructed for each concrete situation. Consequently, the construction of commuting differential operators for the kernels associated to the points of the second Calogero–Moser stratum $\mathcal{CM}_2$ remained wide open despite the fact that those wave functions were prominently featured in many settings: they come from the simplest rational solutions of the KdV equation by Airault, McKean, and Moser [3] and the simplest nontrivial bispectral functions of Duistermaat and Grünbaum [16].

In this paper we give a general solution of these problems that is applicable to the integral operators associated to all points of $Gr^{ad}$. It is based on a conceptual way of constructing the commuting differential operators from Fourier algebras associated to bispectral functions and proving sharp bounds on their growth using algebro-geometric methods.

One of our key ideas is that the intrinsic properties of the adelic Grassmannian naturally lead us to a more general property of its integral operators than a plain commutativity:
**Definition 2.1.** An integral operator $I$, acting on $L^2(\Gamma)$ for a contour $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$ and taking a function $f(w)$ to a new function $I(f)(z)$, is said to **reflect** a differential operator $R(z, \partial_z)$ with rational coefficients if

$$R(-z, -\partial_z) \cdot I(f)(z) = I(R(w, \partial_w) \cdot f)(z)$$

on a dense subspace of $L^2(\Gamma)$.

This condition can be restated in several equivalent forms. For instance, it is equivalent to requiring that $I \circ \sigma : L^2(-\Gamma) \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma)$ commutes with $R(z, \partial_z)$ on a dense subspace of $L^2(-\Gamma)$ where $\sigma : L^2(-\Gamma) \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma)$ is the operator $\sigma(f(x)) = f(-x)$.

To state our results we need to introduce some background on bispectral functions and the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$. For a bispectral function $\psi(x, z)$, define the **left and right Fourier algebras** of $\psi$ respectively by

$$F_x(\psi) := \{S(x, \partial_x) : \exists R(z, \partial_z) \text{ such that } S(x, \partial_x) \cdot \psi(x, z) = R(z, \partial_z) \cdot \psi(x, z)\},$$

$$F_z(\psi) := \{R(z, \partial_z) : \exists S(x, \partial_x) \text{ such that } S(x, \partial_x) \cdot \psi(x, z) = R(z, \partial_z) \cdot \psi(x, z)\}.$$

If $\psi$ is nontrivial (i.e., $\psi(x, z) \neq \lambda(x)\mu(z)$ for some meromorphic functions $\lambda(x)$ and $\mu(z)$ on $\Omega_1$ and $\Omega_2$), then there is a canonical antiisomorphism

$$b_\psi : F_x(\psi) \rightarrow F_z(\psi) \text{ defined by } b_\psi(S(x, \partial_x)) := R(z, \partial_z),$$

where $S(x, \partial_x) \cdot \psi(x, z) = R(z, \partial_z) \cdot \psi(x, z)$. When $\psi(x, z)$ is appropriately normalized, the operators in $F_x(\psi)$ and $F_z(\psi)$ have rational coefficients.

Wilson [48] introduced three involutions $a, b, s$ of $\text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$. The **adjoint involution** $a$ acts on a space $W \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$ by taking its orthogonal complement for a certain inner product. For brevity we write, with more details coming in Section 3.1,

$$\psi^a_W(x, z) = \psi_a(W)(x, z).$$

**Remark 2.2.** The adjoint wave function $\psi^a_W(x, z)$ is related to the dual wave function $\psi^*_W(x, z)$ of [15] by $\psi^a_W(x, z) = \psi^*_W(x, -z)$.

The **bispectral involution** $b$ interchanges the roles of $x$ and $z$ in a wave function $\psi_{b(W)}(x, z) = \psi_W(z, x)$. The **sign involution** $s$ is given by $\psi_{s(W)}(x, z) = \psi_W(-x, -z)$. We will also need a fourth one, the **Schwarz involution** $c$, given by $\psi_{c(W)}(x, z) = \psi_W(x, z)$.

Define the **degree** of $W \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$ by setting $\text{deg} W = n$ for the minimal $n$ for which $W$ can be represented in the form (1.2). Set

$$h(W) = 2 \left\lceil \frac{\text{deg}(W) + \text{deg}(aW)}{2} \right\rceil.$$

**Theorem A.** Consider $W \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$ and smooth contours $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \subset \mathbb{C}$ starting from some $r, t \in \mathbb{C}$ and going to $+\infty$ in the strip $|\text{Im } z| < \text{const}$, such that $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ avoids the poles of $\psi_W(x, -z)$ and
ψ_{\text{W}}(x, −z). The integral operator \( T_W \) on \( L^2(\Gamma_2) \) with kernel

\[
K_W(z, \xi) := \int_{\Gamma_1} \psi_W(x, −z)\psi_{\text{W}}(x, −\xi)dx
\]

reflects a non-constant differential operator \( R_{r,t}(z, \partial_z) \in \mathcal{P}_2(\psi) \) of order less than or equal to \( \min(h(W), h(bW)) \) with the properties that

(a) \( R_{r,t}(z, \partial_z) \) is independent of the choice of paths \( \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \) from \( r \) and \( t \), respectively and

(b) the coefficients of \( R_{r,t}(z, \partial_z) \) are rational functions in \( r, t \) and \( z \).

The set of polynomial \( \tau \)-functions of the KP hierarchy lies inside \( \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}} \). The integral operators built from those \( \tau \)-functions will in general reflect a differential operator, but may not commute with a differential operator, as illustrated in Section 6.

The simplest example of Theorem A arises when \( \psi_W(x, z) = \psi_{\text{W}}^\dagger(x, z) = e^{xz} \). In this case, the theorem tells us that

\[
T_W(f)(z) = \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z + w}e^{-r(z+w)}f(w)dw \text{ reflects } R(z, \partial_z) = (z − t)\partial_z - rz.
\]

Details are in Section 6.

Remark 2.3. If \( r \) is the unique finite endpoint of \( \Gamma_1 \), then \( K_W(z, \xi) \) equals the specialization of

\[
K_W(z, \xi; t) = \frac{1}{z + \xi} \left[ e^{-r(z+\xi)} \tau_W(t - [-1/z] + [1/\xi]) \right]
\]

at \( t_i = 0 \) for all \( i > 1 \) where here \( t = (r, t_2, t_3, ...) \) and \( \tau_W(t_1, t_2, ...) \) is the \( \tau \)-function of the point \( W \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}} \). Note that we specialize in order to associate each point \( W \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}} \) to a unique kernel \( K_W(z, \xi; (r, 0, ..., 0)) \). The family of kernels \( K_W(z, \xi; t) \) for more general values of \( t \) define the evolution of the kernel under the KP flows. We will not use this explicit expression for the kernel until the examples in Section 6.

## 2.2 The Fourier and Laplace pictures

Returning to the setting of time-band limiting, for \( W \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}} \) we can relate the integral operators from Theorem A and those of the form \( EE^* \) by considering the following generalized Laplace transform and its adjoint with respect to the standard inner product:

\[
L_W : f(x) \mapsto \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi_W(x, −z)f(x)dx, \quad L_W^* : f(z) \mapsto \int_{0}^{\infty} \overline{\psi_W(x, −z)}f(z)dz.
\]

(In the special case \( W = \mathbb{C}[z] \), that is, \( \psi_W(x, z) = e^{xz} \), the operator \( L_W \) is precisely the Laplace transform.) The time and band-limited versions of these are

\[
(E_W f)(z) = (\chi_{[t, \infty)}L_W\chi_{[r, \infty)}f)(z) = \int_{r}^{\infty} \psi_W(x, −z)f(x)dx
\]
for \( z \geq t \), and
\[
(\mathcal{E}_W^* f)(x) = (\chi_{[r, \infty)} L_W^* \chi_{[t, \infty)}) f)(x) = \int_t^\infty \overline{\psi_W(x, -z)} f(z) dz
\]
for \( x \geq r \), respectively. The singular values of \( \mathcal{E}_W \) are the eigenvalues of the integral operator on \( L^2(t, \infty) \)
\[
(\mathcal{E}_W \mathcal{E}_W^*) f)(z) = \int_t^\infty K_W(z, \xi) f(\xi) d\xi, \quad K_W(z, \xi) = \int_r^\infty \psi_W(x, -z) \overline{\psi_W(x, -\xi)} dx. \tag{2.5}
\]
Applying Theorem A to this situation, leads us to the following result.

**Theorem B.** Let \( W \in \text{Gr}^{ad} \) be fixed under the involution \( ac \), and \( r, t \in \mathbb{C} \) be such that \( [r, \infty) \times [t, \infty) \) avoids the poles of \( \psi_W(x, -z) \). Then the integral operator \( \mathcal{E}_W \mathcal{E}_W^* \) reflects the non-constant differential operator \( R_{r,t}(z, \partial_z) \in \mathbb{C}(\partial_z) \) from Theorem A.

By performing a 90 degree rotation in the complex variable \( z \), we move from the Laplace transform picture to the Fourier transform picture. We prove in Section 5.3 that in this way one can convert the reflected differential operators from Theorem A to commuting pairs in the Fourier picture. For \( W \in \text{Gr}^{ad} \), replace \( L_W \) and \( L_W^* \) with the operators on \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \)
\[
F_W : f(x) \mapsto \int_{-\infty}^\infty \psi_W(x, iz) f(x) dx, \quad F_W^* : f(z) \mapsto \int_{-\infty}^\infty \overline{\psi_W(x, iz)} f(z) dz.
\]
(In the special case \( W = \mathbb{C}[z] \), \( F_W \) is the Fourier transform.) Consider the time and band-limited operators
\[
(E_W f)(z) = (\chi_{[t, \infty)} F_W \chi_{[r, \infty)} f)(z) = \int_r^\infty \psi_W(x, iz) f(x) dx,
\]
\[
(E_W^* f)(x) = (\chi_{[r, \infty)} F_W^* \chi_{[t, \infty)} f)(x) = \int_t^\infty \overline{\psi_W(x, iz)} f(z) dz
\]
and the self-adjoint operator on \( L^2(t, \infty) \)
\[
(E_W E_W^*) f)(z) = \int_r^\infty \int_t^\infty \psi_W(x, iz) \overline{\psi_W(x, i\xi)} f(\xi) d\xi dx. \tag{2.6}
\]

**Theorem C.** Let \( W \in \text{Gr}^{ad} \) and let \( R_{r,t}(z, \partial_z) \) be the operator from Theorem A.

(i) Let \( \Gamma_1 \) and \( \Gamma_2 \) be two smooth contours starting from \( r, t \in \mathbb{C} \) respectively and going to \( +\infty \) in a strip with bounded real component, such that \( \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 \) avoids the poles of \( \psi_W(x, iz) \) and \( \psi_W^*(x, -i\xi) \). Then the integral operator on \( L^2(\Gamma_2) \) defined by
\[
U_W(f)(z) = \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_{\Gamma_2} \psi_W(x, iz) \overline{\psi_W^*(x, -i\xi)} f(\xi) d\xi dx
\]
commutes with the 90 degree rotation $\tilde{R}_{r,t}(z, \partial_z)$ of the differential operator $R_{t,z}(z, \partial_z)$, defined by

$$\tilde{R}_{r,t}(z, \partial_z) = R_{r,ii}(iz, -i\partial_z).$$

(2.7)

(ii) Assume additionally that $W$ is fixed under the involution $ac$ and let $r, t \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $[r, +\infty) \times [t, +\infty)$ avoids the poles of $\psi_W(x, iz)$. Then the integral operator $E_WE_W^*$ commutes with the nonconstant differential operator $R_{r,ii}(iz, -i\partial_z)$.

For analytic reasons, one cannot deduce Theorem C from Theorem B by an elementary change of variables.

### 2.3 Simultaneous reflectivity

The proofs of Theorems A–C produce not just a single reflected/commuting operator, but a large algebra of such operators (inside the Fourier algebra $F_z(\psi_W)$). We use this to prove the existence of universal operators which are simultaneously reflected by (or commute with) finite collections of integral operators.

**Theorem D.**

(i) Consider any finite collection $W_1, \ldots, W_N \in \text{Gr}^{ad}$ and let $T_1, \ldots, T_N$ be the associated integral operators as in Theorem A for the same values of $r$ and $t$. There exists a non-constant differential operator in $\bigcap_k F_z(\psi_{W_k})$ simultaneously reflected by each of the operators $T_k$.

(ii) If, in addition, $W_1, \ldots, W_N \in \text{Gr}^{ad}$ are fixed under the involution $ac$ as in Theorems B and C, then there exists a differential operator $R_{r,t}^{univ}(z, \partial_z)$ which is simultaneously reflected by all integral operators $E_{W_j}E_{W_k}^*$ for $1 \leq j, k \leq N$. This differential operator has rational coefficients in $z, r, t$ and $\tilde{R}_{r,t}(z, \partial_z) := R_{r,ii}^{univ}(iz, -i\partial_z)$ commutes with all integral operators $E_{W_j}E_{W_k}^*$ for $1 \leq j, k \leq N$.

The integrals considered by Landau, Pollak, Slepian and Mehta [34, 42, 43] involve finite intervals, while those considered by Tracy and Widom [45, 46] involve semi-infinite intervals. Our situation is similar to the latter. Commutativity results for finite intervals concerning subfamilies of wave functions from the adelic Grassmannian were obtained in [14, 20]. Such results do not extend in the generality considered in this paper.

Since the Fourier algebra of $\exp(xz)$ is the first Weyl algebra, we can force all coefficients of the differential operators in both parts of the theorem to be polynomials in $z$.

Theorems A–D open up avenues of broad new applications of integrable systems to the spectral analysis and numerical properties of integral operators, going far beyond sinc, Bessel and Airy kernels. We will return to this in future publications.

**Remark 2.4.** All commutativity and reflect commutativity identities in this paper are proved on dense subspaces of Hilbert spaces. We do not consider the finer analytic problem of determining maximal subspaces on which these commutativity properties hold. Even in the situation of the sinc kernel this has only recently been addressed in [26].
2.4 | The size of bifiltrations of Fourier algebras

A key ingredient in the proofs of Theorems A–D are the following sharp estimates of the growth rate of Fourier algebras, which are of independent interest. The left Fourier algebra $F_x(\psi)$ of a bispectral function $\psi(x, z)$ has a natural $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$-filtration

$$F_x^{\ell, m}(\psi) := \{L(x, \partial_x) \in F_x(\psi) : \text{ord} L(x, \partial_x) \leq \ell, \text{ord} b_\psi(L(z, \partial_z)) \leq m\}, \quad \ell, m \in \mathbb{N} \quad (2.8)$$

and similarly does the right one $F_z(\psi)$, see Section 4.1. (Here and below $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \ldots\}$.) We obtain an exact formula for their sizes:

**Theorem E.** Let $W \in C.M_k$ and recall (1.5).

(i) For $m \geq \text{deg}(W) + \text{deg}(aW) - 1$ and $\ell \geq 0$, as well as for $m \geq 0$ and $\ell \geq \text{deg}(bW) + \text{deg}(abW) - 1$, we have

$$\dim F_z^{\ell, m}(\psi_W) \geq (\ell + 1)(m + 1) - 2k,$$

with equality when both $m \geq \text{deg}(W) + \text{deg}(aW)$ and $\ell \geq \text{deg}(bW) + \text{deg}(abW)$.

(ii) $k \leq \min(\text{deg}(W) \text{deg}(aW), \text{deg}(bW) \text{deg}(ab(W)))$.

2.5 | Strategy of the proofs

We prove that, for $W \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$, the left Fourier algebra $F_z(\psi_W)$ has two more incarnations (see Theorem 4.4 and Remark 4.5). It is isomorphic to

(a) the algebra of global differential operators on a line bundle of the affine spectral curve of the solution of the KP hierarchy corresponding to $W \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$;

(b) the sub-algebra of the “additional symmetries” of the KP hierarchy see Remark 4.5 that fix the point $W \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$.

Theorem E is proved by using algebro-geometric methods and the incarnation (a) of $F_z(\psi)$. Theorems A–D are then deduced from it by using a combination of analytic methods and methods from integrable systems. The joint domain of commutativity/reflectivity of integral and differential operators is the Sobolev space $W^{\ell, 2}$ (Note that if $f \in W^{n+1,2}$, then its first $n$ derivatives must vanish at infinity.) By this, we mean that the integral and differential operators commute on a dense subset of $W^{\ell, 2}$. The intrinsic reason for the appearance of reflectivity, as opposed to plain commutativity, is that the product $ab$ of the adjoint and bispectral involutions of $\text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$ is not an involution of $\text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$. It is a fourth order automorphism of $\text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$ such that that $(ab)^2 = s$. This key property was used by Wilson [48] in 1993; here we observe that it is at the heart of the prolate-spheroidal phenomenon of Landau, Pollak and Slepian.

The $W$-constraints of a tau function of the KP hierarchy are precisely the elements of the algebra in the incarnation (b) above. In this way Theorem E determines the exact size of the $W$-constraints of the tau function of every point of the adelic Grassmannian. The $W$-constraints of tau functions of the Toda and multi-component KP hierarchies play a major role in many settings: the string...
equation for the Airy tau function arising as a partition function in quantum gravity and the generating function for the intersection theory on moduli spaces of curves \([1, 28, 50]\), the \(W\)-constraints of Gromov–Witten invariants \([36]\) and the total descendant potential of a simple singularity \([5]\), and in deriving a system of partial differential equations for the distributions of the spectra of coupled random matrices \([2]\).

In \([22]\) Iliev constructed quantum versions of the Calogero–Moser spaces. In a forthcoming publication we will prove that they give rise to difference operators that satisfy appropriate versions of Theorems A–D.

Some of the results in this paper were announced in \([13]\).

### 3 | \textbf{WILSON’S ADELCI GRASSMANNIAN AND ALGEBRO-GEOMETRIC STRUCTURES}

This section contains background material on Wilson’s adelic Grassmannian, related algebroid-geometric structures and bispectrality that will be used in later sections.

#### 3.1 | Wilson’s adelic Grassmannian

Let \(a \in \mathbb{C}\) and let \(\mathcal{C}_a\) denote the \(\mathbb{C}\)-linear span of all discrete distributions on \(\mathbb{C}\) of the form \(\delta^{(k)}(z - a)\) for \(k \geq 0\) an integer, and define \(\mathcal{C} := \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{C}} \mathcal{C}_a\). An element \(\chi(z) \in \mathcal{C}\) is called \emph{homogeneous} if \(\chi(z) \in \mathcal{C}_a\) for some \(a \in \mathbb{C}\). A subspace \(C \subseteq \mathcal{C}\) is called \emph{homogeneous} if it has a basis consisting of homogeneous elements, or equivalently if \(C = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{C}} C \cap \mathcal{C}_a\). For any \(C \in \mathcal{C}\) we define a subspace \(V_C \subseteq \mathbb{C}[z]\) by

\[
V_C := \{ f(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z] : \langle \chi(z), f(z) \rangle = 0 \quad \forall \chi \in C \}.
\]

The \emph{adelic Grassmannian} is the set \(\text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}\) given by

\[
\left\{ q(z)^{-1} V_C \subseteq \mathbb{C}(z) : C \subseteq \mathcal{C} \quad \text{homog., dim}(C) < \infty, \quad q(z) = \prod_{a \in \mathbb{C}} (z - a)^{\text{dim}(C \cap \mathcal{C}_a)} \right\}.
\]

It is worth to notice that the subspace \(C \subseteq \mathcal{C}\) is not uniquely determined by the plane \(W = q(z)^{-1} V_C\). The sign and adjoint involutions of \(\text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}\) are given by

\[
s(W) := \{ f(-z) : f(z) \in W \}, \quad a(W) := \left\{ f(z) : \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{f(z)g(-z)}{2\pi i} \, dq = 0 \quad \forall g(z) \in W \right\},
\]

(3.1)

see \([48, \text{Sect. 8}]\). We refer the reader to \([40]\) and \([47]\) for background on the KP hierarchy in the frameworks of the Segal–Wilson and Sato Grassmannians, respectively. (The Wilson adelic Grassmannian can be treated in either framework.) The wave function of the KP hierarchy \([49]\) of a plane \(W = q(z)^{-1} V_C \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}\) is given by

\[
\psi_W(x, z) := \frac{1}{p(x)q(z)} P(x, \partial_x) \cdot e^{xz} = \frac{1}{p(x)q(z)} Q(z, \partial_z) \cdot e^{xz}
\]

(3.2)
with \( p(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x], P(x, \partial_x) \in \mathbb{C}[x, \partial_x] \) and \( Q(z, \partial_z) \in \mathbb{C}[z, \partial_z] \) such that \( p(x)^{-1}P(x, \partial_x) \) is the unique monic differential operator of order \( \dim \mathcal{C} \) with kernel \( \{ \langle \chi(z), e^{xz} \rangle : \chi(z) \in \mathbb{C} \} \). The differential operator \( Q(z, \partial_z) \) is uniquely determined from the second equality in (3.2). The above differential operators and polynomials satisfy

\[
\tilde{P}(x, \partial_x) \frac{1}{\tilde{p}(x)p(x)}P(x, \partial_x) = q(\partial_z)\tilde{q}(\partial_z), \quad \tilde{Q}(z, \partial_z) \frac{1}{\tilde{q}(z)q(z)}Q(z, \partial_z) = p(\partial_x)\tilde{p}(\partial_x). \tag{3.3}
\]

for some \( \tilde{p}(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x], \tilde{q}(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z], \tilde{P}(x, \partial_x) \in \mathbb{C}[x, \partial_x] \) and \( \tilde{Q}(z, \partial_z) \in \mathbb{C}[z, \partial_z] \). Wilson [48] proved that the meromorphic functions \( \psi_W(x, z) \) on \( \mathbb{C}^2 \) (for \( W \in \text{Gr}^{ad} \)) exhaust all normalized bispectral functions of rank 1.

Each function \( \psi(x, z) \) with the above properties (3.2)-(3.3) arises as the wave function of some \( W_\psi \in \text{Gr}^{ad}, [4] \), which is reconstructed from \( \psi(x, z) \) as follows. We have \( \psi(x, z) = e^{xz} \frac{h(x, z)}{p(x)q(z)} \) for some polynomial \( h(x, z) \). Define a finite dimensional homogeneous subspace of \( \mathcal{C} \) by

\[
\mathcal{C} := \{ \chi \in \mathcal{C} : \langle \chi(z), e^{xz} h(x, z) \rangle = 0 \}. \tag{3.4}
\]

Then \( W_\psi = \frac{1}{q(z)}W_C \). Furthermore,

\[
W_\psi = \text{Span}_\mathcal{C} \{ \partial^n_x (p(x)\psi(x, z))|_{x=0} \} = q(z)^{-1}(\mathcal{C}[z] \cdot P(x, \partial_x)), \tag{3.5}
\]

where \( \mathcal{C}[z] \) is endowed with the right \( \mathbb{C}[x, \partial_x] \)-module structure by identifying \( z^k \) with the equivalence class \([\partial_x^k]\) in \( \mathbb{C}[x, \partial_x]/(x\mathbb{C}[x, \partial_x]) \). Equation (3.5) implies that the Schwarz involution of \( \text{Gr}^{ad} \) from the introduction is given by

\[
c(W) := \{ f(z) : f(z) \in W \}.
\]

The action of the sign, bispectral and Schwarz involutions on wave functions was described in Section 2.1. The adjoint involution acts on wave functions by

\[
\psi_{a(W)}(x, z) = \frac{1}{\tilde{p}(x)\tilde{q}(z)}\tilde{P}(x, \partial_x)^\dagger \cdot \psi_{\exp}(x, z)
\]

in the notation (3.2)-(3.3) (see [48, Prop. 7.3] and [4, Prop. 1.7]), where \((\cdot)^\dagger\) denotes the formal adjoint of a differential operator, constructed without taking complex conjugates of its coefficients

\[
\left( \sum_{j=0}^n a_j(x)\partial_x^j \right)^\dagger = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \partial_x^j a_j(x). \tag{3.6}
\]

Remark 3.1. Note that this differs from the adjoint operator

\[
\left( \sum_{j=0}^n a_j(x)\partial_x^j \right)^* = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \partial_x^j a_j(x) \tag{3.7}
\]

associated to the standard Hermitian inner product. We only use the latter in Section 6.
It follows from these expressions and from (3.4) that

\[ \deg(W) \leq \ord P, \quad \deg(a(W)) \leq \ord \tilde{P}, \quad \deg(b(W)) \leq \ord Q, \quad \deg(ab(W)) \leq \ord \tilde{Q}. \]  

(3.8)

It is easy to show that, for every \( W \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}} \), there exists a presentation of \( \psi_W \) of the form (3.2)–(3.3) such that all of the above inequalities become equalities.

The base point of the adelic Grassmannian is \( W_0 = \mathbb{C}[z] \). It is fixed by the involutions \( a, b, s, c \) and \( \mathcal{M}_0 = \{W_0\} \). The wave function of \( W_0 \) is the exponential function

\[ \psi_{\text{exp}}(x, z) = e^{xz}. \]

**Example 3.2.** Let \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and consider the bispectral meromorphic function

\[ \psi_n(x, z) := e^{xz} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{n! (-1)^{n-j}}{j! (xz)^{n-j}}. \]

We have

\[ \psi_{n+1}(x, z) = z^{-1}x^{-1}(x\partial_x - 1)\psi_n(x, z), \]

and thus,

\[ \psi_n(x, z) = x^{-n}z^{-n}P(x, \partial_x)\psi_{\text{exp}}(x, z) \]

for \( P(x, \partial_x) = (x\partial_x - 1)(x\partial_x - 2) \cdots (x\partial_x - n) \). We determine \( W_{\psi_n} \) using (3.4):

\[ C = \{ \chi \in \mathcal{C} : \langle \chi(z), e^{xz}(xz - 1) \rangle = 0 \} \]

\[ = \{ \chi \in \mathcal{C} : \langle \chi(z), e^{xz} \rangle \in \ker P(x, \partial_x) \} = \text{Span}_\mathbb{C}\{\delta'(z), \delta''(z), \ldots, \delta^{(n)}(z)\}. \]

Therefore,

\[ W_{\psi_n} = W_C = z^{-n}\{p(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z] : p^{(j)}(0) = 0, j = 1, \ldots, n\} = \text{Span}_\mathbb{C}\{1/z^n, z, z^2, z^3, \ldots\} \]

Alternatively, \( W_{\psi_n} \) can be determined using the formulas in (3.5). We leave the details to the reader. For brevity, set \( W_n := W_{\psi_n} \).

**Example 3.3.** Consider the Bessel bispectral functions defined for \( \nu \in \mathbb{C} \) by

\[ \psi_{\text{Be}(\nu)}(x, z) := (2xz/\pi)^{1/2}K_{\nu+1}(xz) \]  

(3.9)

where \( K_{\nu}(z) \) denote the modified Bessel functions. They satisfy

\[ L_{\nu}(x, \partial_x)\psi_{\text{Be}(\nu)}(x, z) = z^2\psi_{\text{Be}(\nu)}(x, z), \quad x^2\psi_{\text{Be}(\nu)}(x, z) = L_{\nu}(z, \partial_z)\psi_{\text{Be}(\nu)}(x, z) \]
with respect to the Bessel differential operators

\[ L_\nu(x, \partial_x) := \partial_x^2 - \frac{\nu(\nu + 1)}{x^2}. \]

The Bessel bispectral functions are Darboux transformations from each other \([4, 16]\) as follows:

\[ \psi_{\text{Be}(\nu+1)}(x, z) = \frac{1}{z} \left( \partial_x - \frac{\nu + 1}{x} \right) \psi_{\text{Be}(\nu)}(x, z), \]
\[ \psi_{\text{Be}(\nu-1)}(x, z) = \frac{1}{z} \left( \partial_x + \frac{\nu}{x} \right) \psi_{\text{Be}(\nu)}(x, z). \]

The function \(\psi_{\text{Be}(\nu)}(x, z)\) is invariant under the transformation \(\nu \mapsto -\nu - 1\). It has rank 1 for \(\nu \in \mathbb{Z}\) and rank 2 otherwise. For \(n \in \mathbb{N}\),

\[ \psi_{\text{Be}(n)}(x, z) = x^{-n} z^{-n} P(x, \partial_x) \psi_{\exp}(x, z), \]

where

\[ P(x, \partial_x) = g(x \partial_x) \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) = (x - 2n + 1)(x - 2n + 3) \ldots (x - 1). \]

For \(m > 0\)

\[ z^m \cdot P(x, \partial_x) = [\partial_x^m g(x \partial_x)] = [g(x \partial_x + m) \partial_x^m] = (m - 1)(m - 3) \ldots (m - 2n + 1)[z^m], \]

which is zero for \(m = 1, 3, \ldots, 2n + 1\) and a scalar multiple of \(z^m\) otherwise. We determine \(W_{\psi_{\text{Be}(n)}}\) using the second formula in (3.5):

\[ W_{\psi_{\text{Be}(n)}} = \frac{1}{z^n} \{ f(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z] : [f(\partial_z)] \in \mathbb{C}[z] \cdot P(x, \partial_x) \} = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ z^{-n} : j \neq 1, 3, \ldots, 2n - 1 \}. \]

Note that \(W_{\psi_{\text{Be}(n)}} = W_C\) for \(C = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ \delta^{(2j-1)}(z) \}_{j=1}^n\). Denote \(W_{\text{Be}(n)} := W_{\psi_{\text{Be}(n)}}. \)

### 3.2 Gr^{ad} and line bundles on framed curves

In this subsection we review background material of algebro-geometric nature which will play a major role in our proof of Theorem E in the next section.

Following Wilson [48], we associate to each element \(W \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}\), a framed affine curve \(X\), a line bundle \(\mathcal{L}\) on \(X\), and a generic local trivialization on \(\mathcal{L}\).

**Definition 3.4 [48].** A framed affine curve is a pair \((X, t)\) consisting of an affine curve \(X\) and a bijective map of schemes \(t : \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \to X\).
We will use the following slightly less common terminology. Following Segal–Wilson [40], by a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on an irreducible affine curve $X$ we mean a sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ on $X$ which is torsion free and generically rank 1.

Fix $W \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$ and consider the algebra

$$A_W := \left\{ f(z) \in \mathbb{C}((z^{-1})) : f(z)W \subseteq W \right\}.$$ 

One may easily verify from the definition of $\text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$ that if $f(z)$ is a Laurent series in $z^{-1}$ and $f(z)W \subseteq W$ then $f(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$. Thus $A_W \subseteq \mathbb{C}[z]$, inducing a natural morphism $\iota : \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \to X = \text{Spec}(A_W)$. Moreover, it is clear from the definition that if $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and $W = \frac{1}{q(z)}V_C$ then $q(z)^\ell \mathbb{C}[z] \subseteq A_W$ for some integer $\ell \gg 0$. Therefore the fraction field of $A_W$ is precisely $\mathbb{C}(z)$, so the morphism $\iota : \mathbb{A}^1 \to X$ is dominant. By a result of Wilson [49], the map $\iota$ is a bijection on points.

The vector space $W$ is an $A_W$-module which is torsion-free by virtue of the fact that $\mathbb{C}(z)$ is a domain. Additionally, $W$ is finitely generated as a module by a degree argument combined with the above observation that $q(z)^\ell \mathbb{C}[z] \subseteq A_W$. The inclusion $W \subseteq \mathbb{C}(z)$ induces an isomorphism $W \otimes_{A_W} K(A_W) = WC(z) = \mathbb{C}(z)$, in particular making $W$ generically free of rank 1. Thus the usual functor from $A_W$-modules to sheaves on $X$ takes $W$ to a sheaf $\mathcal{L} = \tilde{W}$ on $X$ which is torsion free and generically rank 1. The inclusion $W \subseteq \mathbb{C}(z)$ corresponds to a trivialization $\varphi : \mathcal{L}_\eta \to \mathcal{O}_{X,\eta}$, where here $\eta$ is the generic point of $X$. To summarize, each point $W$ corresponds to

1. a framed affine curve $(X, \iota)$,
2. a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $X$, and
3. a local trivialization $\varphi : \mathcal{L}_\eta \to \mathcal{O}_{X,\eta}$.

The degree valuation $\nu(f(z)) = -\deg(f(z))$ on $\mathbb{C}(z)$ defines a valuation $\nu_W$ on the fraction field $K(A_W)$ of $A_W$. From the point of view of an abstract algebraic curve, this is a “point at infinity” which can be used to complete $X$ to a projective curve $Y$. Formally, this operation is completed by taking $Y$ to be $\text{Proj}$ of the Rees ring defined by the filtration of $A_W$ induced by $\nu$. Then $\text{Spec}(A_W)$ is isomorphic to $Y$ minus a point, denoted by $\infty$, and the valuation corresponds precisely to the divisor of $Y$ defined by $\infty$.

By [10, Prop. 5.2], the map $W \mapsto (X, \mathcal{L})$ is a bijection from $\text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$ to the set of isomorphism classes of pairs of a framed affine curve and line bundle on it.

**Example 3.5.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the point $W_n = \text{Span}_\mathbb{C}\{1/z^n, z, z^2, z^3, \ldots\} \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$ from Example 3.2. The corresponding algebra $A_{W_n}$ is given by

$$A_{W_n} = \text{Span}_\mathbb{C}\{1, z^{n+1}, z^{n+2}, \ldots\}.$$ 

Note in particular that $W_n = z^{-n}A_{W_n}$ so that $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_n}$ for $X_n = \text{Spec}(A_{W_n})$. The curve $X_n$ is one of the special extremal rational, unicursal curves considered in [10, 29]. In particular $X_n$ is the simplest unicursal curve having differential genus $n$, as described below.

**Example 3.6.** For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ consider the point

$$W_{\text{Be}(n)} = \frac{1}{z^n} \text{Span}_\mathbb{C}\{1, z^2, z^4, \ldots, z^{2n}, z^{2n+1}, z^{2n+2}, \ldots\} \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$$
from Example 3.3. The corresponding algebra \( A_{W_{Be}(n)} \) is given by

\[
A_{W_{Be}(n)} = \text{Span}_\mathbb{C} \{1, z^2, z^4, \ldots, z^{2n}, z^{2n+1}, z^{2n+2}, \ldots\}.
\]

Note again that \( W_{Be}(n) = z^{-n}A_{W_{Be}(n)} \), so that \( \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_{Be}(n)} \) for \( X_{Be}(n) = \text{Spec}(A_{W_{Be}(n)}) \).

\[\square\]

### 3.3 Differential operators on line bundles

Let \( R \) be a commutative \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebra and let \( M, N \) be \( R \)-modules. The set of \( N \)-valued differential operators on \( M \) of order at most \( m \) is the vector space

\[
D^m(M, N) := \left\{ \varphi \in \text{Hom}_\mathbb{C}(M, N) : \text{ad}^{m+1}_r(\varphi) = 0 \ \forall r \in R \right\}.
\]

We write \( \mathcal{D}(M, N) := \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} D^m(M, N) \), and for \( M = N \), we write \( D^m(M) \) in place of \( D^m(M, M) \). Note that \( D(M) \) inherits an algebra structure as a sub-algebra of \( \mathbb{C} \)-linear endomorphisms of \( M \).

If \( R \) is an integral domain with field of fractions \( \mathbb{K} \), then

\[
D^m_R(M) = \{ D \in D_{\mathbb{K}}(M \otimes_R \mathbb{K}) : D \cdot M \subseteq M \}. \tag{3.10}
\]

These constructions naturally sheafify to sheaves of vector spaces \( D^m(M, \mathcal{N}) \) and \( D(M, \mathcal{N}) \) on a \( \mathbb{C} \)-scheme \( X \), with \( D(M) \) becoming a sheaf of \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebras. Denote

\[
D^m(X) := D^m(\mathcal{O}_X) \quad \text{and} \quad D^m_\mathcal{L}(X) := D^m(\mathcal{L}).
\]

For all curves \( X \) except the framed ones, the isomorphism class of the algebra of differential operators \( D(X) \) determines the isomorphism class of \( X \), [33]. For framed curves we have:

**Theorem 3.7.**

(i) (Berest–Wilson [10]) For each line bundle \( \mathcal{L} \) on a framed curve \( X \), there exists \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) such that

\[
D_\mathcal{L}(X) = D(X_n),
\]

where \( X_n = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C} \oplus z^{n+1}\mathbb{C}[z]) \) is the curve from Examples 3.2 and 3.5.

(ii) (Letzter–Makar-Limanov [32]) For \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \), \( D(X_m) \cong D(X_n) \) if and only if \( m = n \).

The special case of part (i) the theorem for \( \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_X \) was proved by Kouakou [29]. By a result of Smith and Stafford [44], all algebras \( D_\mathcal{L}(X) \) are Morita equivalent to each other.

**Definition 3.8.** The **differential genus** of a line bundle \( \mathcal{L} \) on a framed curve \( X \) is defined to be the unique \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) satisfying \( D_\mathcal{L}(X) \cong D(X_n) \).

**Example 3.9.** Recall from Example 3.6 that the line bundles associated to the Bessel planes \( W_{Be(n)} \in \text{Gr}^{\mathbb{A}^d} \) are trivial. One easily verifies that the corresponding curves \( X_{Be(n)} \) have differential genus \( n \), that is, \( D(X_{Be(n)}) \cong D(X_n) \). \[\square\]
4 | THE GROWTH OF FOURIER ALGEBRAS VIA ALGEBRAS OF DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS ON LINE BUNDLES

In his section we develop an algebro-geometric framework to obtain sharp estimates on the growth of the left and right Fourier algebras $F_x(\psi), F_z(\psi)$ of all rank 1 bispectral functions $\psi(x, z)$, and use them to prove Theorem E from the introduction.

4.1 | Fourier algebras of bispectral functions and bifiltrations

Recall that to each bispectral meromorphic functions $\psi(x, z)$ on a subdomain $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$ we associated the left and right Fourier algebras $F_x(\psi)$ and $F_z(\psi)$ (defined in (2.1)–(2.2)) and an anti-isomorphism $b_\psi : F_x(\psi) \to F_z(\psi)$ (defined in (2.3)). We will call the later the generalized Fourier map associated to $\psi(x, z)$.

The left Fourier algebra $F_x(\psi)$ has an $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$-filtration given by (2.8). The right Fourier algebra $F_z(\psi)$ has the $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$-filtration

$$F_z^{\ell, m}(\psi) : = b_\psi(F_x^{m, \ell}(\psi))$$

$$= \left\{ R(z, \partial_z) \in F_z(\psi) : \text{ord } R(z, \partial_z) \leq \ell, \ \text{ord } (b_\psi^{-1} R(x, \partial_x)) \leq m \right\},$$

where $\ell, m \in \mathbb{N}$. The algebras

$$B_x(\psi) := \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} F_x^{\ell, 0}(\psi) \ \text{and} \ B_z(\psi) := \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} F_z^{0, \ell}(\psi)$$

are precisely the bispectral algebras of $\psi(x, z)$, that is, the algebras of differential operators for which $\psi(x, z)$ is an eigenfunction in $x$ and $z$, respectively. The proof of the following lemma is simple and is left to the reader.

Lemma 4.1. For all $W \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$, the algebras

$$\bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} F_z^{0, \ell}(\psi) \text{ b}_{\psi}^{-1} W(\psi) \cong B_x(\psi) \ \text{and} \ \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} F_x^{\ell, 0}(\psi) \text{ b}_{\psi} W(\psi) \cong B_z(\psi)$$

are canonically identified with $A_W$ and $A_{b(W)}$.

Example 4.2. Consider the Bessel wavefunction $\psi_{\text{Be}(n)}(x, y)$ from Examples 3.3 and 3.6 for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. They are fixed by the bispectral involution $b$ of $W$, and as a consequence, the spaces $F_z^{\ell, m}(\psi_{\text{Be}(n)})$ for the right Fourier algebras are obtained from the spaces $F_x^{\ell, m}(\psi_{\text{Be}(n)})$ for the left Fourier algebras by replacing $x$ with $z$.

Let $Q_n = \{1, 3, \ldots, 2n - 1\}$. The left Fourier algebra has the simple expression

$$F_x(\psi_{\text{Be}(n)}) = \text{Span}_\mathbb{C}\{x^k f(x \partial_x) : f(k - n) = 0 \ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \backslash Q_n, \ \text{with } k + r \not\in \mathbb{Z}_+ \backslash Q_n\}.$$
The bifiltration of the left Fourier algebra is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_{x}^{\ell,m}(\psi_{\text{Be}(n)}) = \text{Span}\{x^{r}f(x\partial_{x}) \in F_{x}(\psi_{\text{Be}(n)}) : \deg(f) + r \leq m, \deg(f) \leq \ell\}.$$ 

In particular, we see that $\mathcal{F}_{x}^{\ell,m}(\psi_{\text{Be}(n)})$ contains an element of order $\ell$ with leading coefficient $x^{m}$ if and only if $\ell + m \not\in Q_{n}$ and thus

$$\dim \mathcal{F}_{x}^{\ell,m}(\psi_{\text{Be}(n)}) \geq (\ell + 1)(m + 1) - n(n + 1),$$

with equality when $\ell > n$ and $m \geq n$. In particular the differential genus of the associated line bundle is $n(n + 1)/2$.

**Example 4.3.** For a fixed $a$, consider the rank 1 bispectral function

$$\psi(x, z) = \frac{(x - z^{-1})^{3} + z^{-3} + a x^{3} + a e^{xz}}{x^{3} + a},$$

which is not fixed by the bispectral involution of $\text{Gr}_{\text{ad}}$. The point of Wilson’s adelic Grassmannian associated to $\psi(x, z)$ is

$$W = \text{Span}\{\partial_{x}^{k} |_{x=0}\psi(x, z) : k \in \mathbb{N}\} = \text{Span}\{1, z + 3a^{-1}z^{-2}, z^{2}, z^{3}, ... \}.$$ 

In this case

$$\mathcal{A}_{W} = \text{Span}\{1, z^{2}, z^{4}, z^{5}, z^{6}, ... \},$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{z}(\psi) = D(W) = \text{Span}\{S_{\ell,m}(z, \partial_{z}) : \ell, m \geq 0, \ell + m \neq 1, 3\},$$

where

$$S_{\ell,m}(z, \partial_{z}) = \text{ad}_{z^{2}}^{m}(S_{\ell+m,0}(z, \partial_{z}))$$

with $S_{2j+5k,0}(z, \partial_{z})$ given by

$$\left[\partial_{z}^{2} + 2a z^{2} \partial_{z} + 2a z - \frac{6}{z^{2}}\right]^{j} \left[\partial_{z}^{5} - \frac{15}{z^{2}}z \partial_{z} - \left(\frac{5}{2}a - \frac{45}{z^{3}}\right)z^{2} \partial_{z}^{2} - \frac{45}{z^{4}} \partial_{z}^{3}\right]^{k}.$$

The associated filtered components of the right Fourier algebra are

$$\mathcal{F}_{z}^{\ell,m}(\psi) = \text{Span}\{S_{j,k}(z, \partial_{z}) : 0 \leq j \leq \ell, 0 \leq k \leq m, j + k \neq 1, 3\}.$$ 

### 4.2 Fourier algebras, differential operators on line bundles and $W$-constraints

Following [10, Sect. 5], for $W \in \text{Gr}_{\text{ad}}$, define the ring of differential operators on $W$

$$D(W) := \{D \in \mathbb{C}[z][\partial_{z}] : D \cdot W \subseteq W\},$$
where we use the canonical action of $\mathbb{C}(z)[\partial_z]$ on $\mathbb{C}(z)$. Let $(X, \mathcal{L})$ be the pair of a framed affine curve and line bundle on it associated to $W$. The framing $t : \mathbb{A}^1 \to X$ identifies the function field of $X$ with $\mathbb{C}(z)$, and by (3.10), $D_\mathcal{L}(X)$ is canonically embedded in $D(\mathbb{C}(z)) \cong \mathbb{C}(z)[\partial_z]$. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is the sheafification of the $A_W \cong \mathbb{C}[X]$-module $W$, we obtain at once from (3.10) that $D_\mathcal{L}(X)$ is canonically identified with $D(W)$, (see also [10, Prop. 2.6 and 5.5]). The next theorem relates the right Fourier algebras of any rank 1 bispectral function to these algebras.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let $W \in \text{Gr}^{ad}$ and $(X, \mathcal{L})$ be the corresponding pair of a framed affine curve and line bundle on it as defined in §3.2. We have the canonical identifications:

$$F_z(\psi_W(x, z)) = D(W) = D_\mathcal{L}(X).$$

Applying the bispectral involution of $\text{Gr}^{ad}$, we obtain that for the left Fourier algebras of any rank 1 bispectral function there are the canonical identifications

$$F_x(\psi_W(x, z)) = D(\psi(W)) = D_{b(\mathcal{L})}(b(X)),$$

where $(b(X), b(\mathcal{L}))$ is the pair of a framed affine curve and line bundle on it associated to $b(W) \in \text{Gr}^{ad}$.

**Proof of Theorem 4.4.** Let $\psi_W(x, z) = e^{xz} \frac{h(x, z)}{p(x)q(z)}$. For polynomials $p(x), q(z)$, and $h(x, z)$ and set $\psi(x, z) = p(x)\psi_W(x, z).$ Recall that

$$W = \text{Span} \left\{ \frac{\partial^j}{\partial x^j}(\psi(x, z))|_{x=0} : j = 0, 1, ... \right\}.$$

If $R(z, \partial_z) \in F_z(\psi_W)$, then

$$R(z, \partial_z) \cdot \psi(x, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{d} a_k(x) \frac{\partial^k \psi(x, z)}{\partial x^k},$$

for $p(x)\psi(W) = \sum_{k=0}^{d} a_k(x)\partial^k_x.$

It follows that

$$R(z, \partial_z) \cdot \frac{\partial^j}{\partial x^j}(\psi(x, z))|_{x=0} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{j+k} \sum_{k=0}^{d} \left( \begin{array}{c} j \\ k \end{array} \right) (k+j-\ell) a_k^{(k+j-\ell)}(x) \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x^\ell} \psi(x, z).$$

Thus $R(z, \partial_z) \cdot W \subseteq W$ and this proves $F_z(\psi_W) \subseteq D(W)$.

Conversely, suppose that $R(z, \partial_z) \in D(W)$. Choose $d > 0$ such that

$$R(z, \partial_z) \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k}(\psi(x, z))|_{x=0} \in \text{Span} \left\{ \frac{\partial^j}{\partial x^j}(\psi(x, z))|_{x=0} : j = 0, 1, ..., d + k \right\}.$$

Then for all $j \geq 0$ there exist constants $c_{j, \ell}$ for $0 \leq \ell \leq j + d$ such that

$$R(z, \partial_z) \cdot \frac{\partial^j}{\partial x^j}(\psi(x, z)) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{j+d} c_{j, \ell} \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x^\ell} \psi(x, z).$$
Define functions \( a_0(x), \ldots, a_d(x) \) whose Taylor series recursively satisfy
\[
c_{j\ell} = \sum_{k=0}^{d} \binom{j}{k+j-\ell} a_k^{(k+j-\ell)}(0), \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq j + k.
\]
Then
\[
R(z, \partial_z) \cdot \psi(x, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{d} a_k(x) \frac{\partial^k \psi(x, z)}{\partial x^k},
\]
and therefore \( R(z, \partial_z) \in \mathcal{F}_z(\psi_W) \). This proves \( D(W) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_z(\psi_W) \). \( \square \)

Remark 4.5. The \( W_{1+\infty} \)-algebra, introduced in [24], is the central extension of \( w_\infty := \mathbb{C}[z\pm 1][\partial_z] \) given by
\[
[z^\ell e^{2z\partial_z}, zm^{m}e^{m\partial_z}] := \left(e^{sm} - e^{t\ell}\right)z^{\ell + m}e^{(s+t)\partial_z} + \delta_{\ell,-m} \frac{e^{sm} - e^{t\ell}}{1 - e^{s+t}},
\]
in terms of generating functions in \( s \) and \( t \). The two algebras are the algebras of additional symmetries of the KP hierarchy, written in terms of actions on tau functions and wave functions, respectively, see [47, Sect. 4 and 5].

The sub-algebra of \( W_{1+\infty} \) fixing a given tau function of the KP hierarchy is called the algebra of \( W \)-constraints of the tau function. For a given \( W \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}} \), the algebra of the \( W \)-constraints of the corresponding tau function \( \tau_W \) is precisely the central extension of the algebra of differential operators \( D(W) \) on \( W \). In this way, for all \( W \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}} \), Theorem 4.4 provides two other incarnations of the right Fourier algebra \( \mathcal{F}_z(\psi_W(x, z)) \) as the algebra of the \( W \)-constraints of the tau function \( \tau_W \) (without the central extension) and the algebra of differential operators on the line bundle \( \mathcal{L} \) on the framed affine curve \( X \) associated to \( W \). Theorem E describes the exact size of the \( W \)-constraints of the tau functions associated to all points of the adelic Grassmannian. A geometric interpretation of the \( W \)-symmetries of the adelic Grassmannian was given by Ben-Zvi and Nevins in [7].

Let \( \varphi : \mathcal{L}_{\eta} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,\eta} \) be the local trivialization of the line bundle \( \mathcal{L} \) of a point \( W \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}} \) introduced in §3.2. The bifiltration of \( \mathcal{F}_z(\psi) \) is naturally induced by \( \varphi \) in the following way. First note that postcomposing \( \varphi \) with the natural map \( \iota_{\eta} : \mathcal{O}_{X,\eta} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{C}},\eta} = \mathbb{C}(z) \) defines an injection \( \mathcal{L}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(z) \), and thereby a filtration of \( \mathcal{L}(X) \) by degree. Let
\[
\mathcal{L}_n(X) = \iota_{\eta}(\varphi(\mathcal{L}(X))) \cap \{ f(z) \in \mathbb{C}(z) : \deg(f(z)) \leq n \}.
\]

Proposition 4.6.
\[
\mathcal{F}_{z}^{\ell,m}(\psi) = \{ R(z, \partial_z) \in D^\ell(\psi) : \mathcal{R}(z, \partial_z) \cdot \mathcal{L}_n(X) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{n+m}(X) \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \}.
\]

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 4.4, we see that \( R(z, \partial_z) \in \mathcal{F}_{z}^{\ell,m}(\psi) \) if and only if \( R(z, \partial_z) \in D^\ell(\psi) \) and
\[
R(z, \partial_z) \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k} \psi(x, z)|_{x=0} \in \text{Span} \left\{ \frac{\partial^j}{\partial x^j}(p(x)\psi(x, z))|_{x=0} : j = 0, 1, \ldots, m + k \right\}
\]
for some polynomial \( p(x) \). The statement of the Proposition follows immediately. \( \square \)
4.3 | Geometric estimates of the sizes of Fourier algebras

Recall Wilson’s decomposition of the adelic Grassmannian (1.5). The $k$-th stratum is isomorphic to

$$CM_k \cong \left\{ (X, Z) \in \text{Mat}^2_{k \times k}(\mathbb{C}) : \text{rank}([X, Z] + I_k) = 1 \right\} / GL_k(\mathbb{C}),$$

where the action of $GL_k(\mathbb{C})$ is faithful and the quotient is geometric. The following results were proved in [10, Thm. 5.6 and Prop. 5.5].

**Theorem 4.7** (Berest–Wilson). For each $W \in Gr^{ad}$ the differential genus of the associated pair $(X, \mathcal{L})$ of a framed curve and line bundle on it, equals the integer $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $W \in CM_k$.

In this setting, let

$$g(W) := k.$$ 

This number can be calculated directly from $W$ as follows (see Theorem 8.1 in [10] and the discussion after it).

**Proposition 4.8.** Let $W \in Gr^{ad}$ and let $p(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ with $p(z)W \subseteq \mathbb{C}[z]$. For each $a \in \mathbb{C}$, define $r_{0,a} < r_{1,a} < \ldots$ such that

$$\{r_{i,a}\}_{i=0}^{\infty} = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \exists g(z) \in p(z)W \text{ vanishing to order exactly } n \text{ at } a\}.$$

There exists $m_a \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $r_{i,a} = m_a + i$ for all $i \gg 0$ and

$$g(W) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{C}} g_a(W), \text{ where } g_a(W) = \sum_{i \geq 0} m_a + i - r_{i,a}.$$ 

**Example 4.9.** Consider the point $W \in Gr^{ad}$ as in Example 4.3 so that

$$z^2 W = \text{Span} \left\{ z^2, \frac{3}{a}, z^4, z^5, \ldots \right\}.$$ 

For all $a \in \mathbb{C}$ with $a \neq 0$ we have $r_{i,a} = i$ so that $g_a(W) = 0$. Moreover $r_{0,0} = 0, r_{1,0} = 2$, and $r_{i,0} = i + 2$ for $i > 1$, so that we have

$$g(W) = g_0(W) = \sum_{i \geq 0} m_0 + i - r_{i,0} = 3.$$ 

Our estimates for the size of the Fourier algebra in this section are based the relationship between the differential genus of $(X, \mathcal{L})$ and the Letzter–Makar-Limanov invariant [32] of $(X, \mathcal{L})$. To define the latter, we first note the following result. Recall from §4.2 that $D_{\mathcal{L}}(X)$ is canonically embedded in $D(\mathbb{C}(z)) \cong \mathbb{C}(z)[\partial_z]$ via the framing $i : \mathbb{A}^1 \to X$. 

**Theorem 4.10** Letzter–Makar-Limanov [32]. For each affine framed curve \( X \) and a line bundle \( \mathcal{L} \) on it the vector space
\[
\{ p(z)x^j : \exists R(z, \partial_z) \in D_\mathcal{L}(X) \text{ with ord}(R) = j \text{ and with leading coefficient } p(z) \}
\]
has finite codimension in \( \mathbb{C}[z, x] \).

**Definition 4.11.** The codimension from the previous theorem is called the Letzter–Makar-Limanov invariant \( LM(X, \mathcal{L}) \) of \((X, \mathcal{L})\).

The Letzter-Makar-Limanov invariant provides another way of measuring the differential genus of \( W \).

**Theorem 4.12** [10, Theorem 8.6]. Let \( W \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}} \) and \((X, \mathcal{L})\) be the associated pair of a framed curve and line bundle on it. Then \( g(W) = LM(X, \mathcal{L})/2 \).

**Example 4.13.** Consider the point \( W \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}} \) as in Example 4.9. From our explicit expression for \( D(W) \) (which by Theorem 4.4 is identified with \( D_\mathcal{L}(X) \)), we see that \( D(W) \) contains an operator of order \( \ell \) with leading coefficient of degree \( m \) for all \( \ell \geq 0 \) and \( m \geq 0 \) with \( \ell + m \neq 1, 3 \) and therefore \( LM(X, \mathcal{L}) = 6 \). This gives a second proof of the fact that \( g(W) = 3 \), verified by a different method in Example 4.9.

Recall the adjoint and bispectral involutions of \( \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}} \) from §2.1 and §3.1.

**Proposition 4.14.** For all \( W \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}} \),
\[
g(bW) = g(aW) = g(W).
\]

*Proof.* Denote by \((X, \mathcal{L})\) and \((a(X), a(\mathcal{L}))\) the pairs of a line bundle and framed curve associated to \( W \) and \( aW \). By Theorem 4.4, \( D_\mathcal{L}(X) = D(W) \) and \( D_{a(\mathcal{L})}(a(X)) = D(aW) \). It follows from (3.1) that
\[
D(aW) = (D(W))^\dagger
\]
where \((\cdot)^\dagger\) denotes the formal adjoint of a differential operator with rational coefficients, as in (3.6). Therefore, \( LM(a(X), a(\mathcal{L})) = LM(X, \mathcal{L}) \), and hence, \( g(aW) = g(W) \). The composition
\[
D(aW) \xrightarrow{(\cdot)^\dagger} D(W) \xrightarrow{b_\phi} D(bW)
\]
is an algebra isomorphism, and using one more time Theorem 4.4 proves that \( g(bW) = g(W) \).

Alternatively, the proposition also follows by using the facts that the adjoint and bispectral involutions preserve the strata \( \mathcal{CM}_k \) of \( \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}} \) (see [49]) and then applying Theorem 4.7. \( \square \)

We finally come to the proof of Theorem E part (i).

*Proof of Theorem E part (i).* Recall from §3.1 that there exist differential operators \( P(x, \partial_x), \bar{P}(x, \partial_x), Q(z, \partial_z), \bar{Q}(z, \partial_z) \) with polynomial coefficients and polynomials \( p(x), \bar{p}(x), q(z), \bar{q}(z) \) such that
\[
\psi_W(x, z) = \frac{1}{q(z)p(x)} P(x, \partial_x) \cdot \psi_{\text{exp}}(x, z) = \frac{1}{q(z)p(x)} Q(z, \partial_z) \cdot \psi_{\text{exp}}(x, z)
\]

and
\[
\bar{P}(x, \partial_x) \frac{1}{p(x)\bar{p}(x)} P(x, \partial_x) = q(\partial_z)\bar{q}(\partial_z), \quad \bar{Q}(z, \partial_z) \frac{1}{q(z)\bar{q}(z)} Q(z, \partial_z) = p(\partial_x)\bar{p}(\partial_x).
\]

Without loss of generality, we choose the above presentation of \(\psi_W\) so that the inequalities of (3.8) become equalities.

For any differential operator \(L(x, \partial_x)\) with polynomial coefficients, we have
\[
\frac{1}{p(x)} P(x, \partial_x) L(x, \partial_x) \frac{1}{\bar{p}(x)} \psi_W(x, y) = \bar{q}(z)b \psi_{\text{exp}}(L(x, \partial_x))q(z) \cdot \psi_W(x, y).
\]

Thus for all \(\ell \geq 0\) and \(m \geq \deg(W) + \deg(aW)\) the Fourier algebra \(\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^e_m(\psi_W)\) contains a differential operator of order \(\ell\) with leading coefficient a polynomial of degree \(m\).

For each \(\ell \geq 0\) and \(m \geq 0\), let \(R_{\ell, m}(z, \partial_z) \in \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(\psi_W)\) be a differential operator of order \(\ell\) with leading coefficient a polynomial of degree \(m\) (if it exists), chosen such that the order of \(b_{\psi_W}^{-1}(R_{\ell, m})\) is as small as possible. Note that the order of \(b_{\psi_W}^{-1}(R_{\ell, m})\) is equal to the maximum of the degrees of the coefficients of \(R_{\ell, m}(z, \partial_z)\) (as can be seen by the Laurent series expansion at \(\infty\)), and therefore the order of \(b_{\psi_W}^{-1}(R_{\ell, m})\) is at least \(m\).

We claim that for all \(\ell, m\) if \(\text{ord}_{b_{\psi_W}^{-1}}(R_{\ell, m}) \neq m\), then \(m \leq \deg(W) + \deg(aW) - 1\). To see this, suppose otherwise. That is, let \(R_{\ell, m}(z, \partial_z)\) be a differential operator with \(m \geq \deg(W) + \deg(aW)\) and with \(\bar{m} := \text{ord}_{b_{\psi_W}^{-1}}(R_{\ell, m}) \neq m\). Then we may write
\[
R_{\ell, m}(z, \partial_z) = a_\ell(z)\bar{\delta}_z^\ell + \sum_{j=1}^r c_j z^{\bar{m}} \partial_z^j + \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} a_j(z) \partial_z^j
\]
for \(a_\ell(z)\) a polynomial of degree \(m\), \(c_1, \ldots, c_r \in \mathbb{C}\) and \(a_0(z), \ldots, a_{\ell-1}(z) \in \mathbb{C}(z)\) with \(\deg(a_j(z)) < \bar{m}\). For each \(j\) let \(S_j(z, \partial_z) \in \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(\psi_W)\) be an operator of order \(k_j\) with leading coefficient a monic polynomial of degree \(\bar{m}\), which exists by the fact that \(\bar{m} \geq m + 1 = \deg(W) + \deg(aW)\). Then the operator
\[
\bar{R}(z, \partial_z) := R_{\ell, m}(z, \partial_z) - \sum_{j=1}^r c_j S_j(z, \partial_z)
\]
has order \(\ell\) with leading coefficient of degree \(m\), but the order of \(b_{\psi_W}^{-1}(\bar{R})\) is strictly less than \(\bar{m}\). This contradicts the definition of \(R_{\ell, m}(z, \partial_z)\) and proves our claim.

Thus for all \(\ell \geq 0\) and \(m \geq \deg(W) + \deg(aW)\) we have
\[
\dim \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^e_m(\psi_W) \geq \dim \text{Span}\{R_{j,k}(z, \partial_z) : 0 \leq j \leq \ell, 0 \leq k \leq m\}
\]
\[
\geq (\ell + 1)(m + 1) - LM(X, \mathcal{L})
\]
\[
= (\ell + 1)(m + 1) - 2g(W).
\]
This argument with $W$ replaced by $bW$ gives us the same estimation for $m \geq 0$ and $\ell \geq \deg(bW) + \deg(abW)$. Finally, since the order of $b^{-1}_W(R_{\ell,m})$ is at least $m$ we obtain that

$$P_z^{\ell,m}(\psi_W) \subseteq \text{Span}\{R_{j,k}(z, \partial_z) : 0 \leq j \leq \ell, 0 \leq k \leq m\},$$

and therefore, for $\ell \geq \deg(W) + \deg(aW)$ and $m \geq \deg(bW) + \deg(abW)$, we have

$$\dim P_z^{\ell,m}(\psi_W) \leq \dim \text{Span}\{R_{j,k}(z, \partial_z) : 0 \leq j \leq \ell, 0 \leq k \leq m\} = (\ell + 1)(m + 1) - 2g(W).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem E part (i).

Proof of Theorem E part (ii). We will prove that

$$g(W) \leq \deg(W) \deg(aW).$$

The inequality $g(W) \leq \deg(bW) \deg(abW)$ follows from it and an application of the bispectral map.

There exists $P(x, \partial_x), \overline{P}(x, \partial_x) \in \mathbb{C}[x, \partial_x]$, $p(x), \overline{p}(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$, and $\overline{q}(z), q(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ such that

$$\psi_W(x, z) = \frac{1}{q(z)p(x)}P(x, \partial_x) \cdot \psi_{\exp}, \quad \overline{P}(x, \partial_x) \frac{1}{\overline{p}(x)p(x)}P(x, \partial_x) = g(\partial_x) := q(\partial_x)\overline{q}(\partial_x).$$

The orders $m$ and $\overline{m}$ of $P(x, \partial_x)$ and $\overline{P}(x, \partial_x)$ are the degree of $W$ and $aW$, respectively for $W \in \text{Gr}^{ad}$ associated to $\psi_W(x, z)$. Explicitly we can write

$$q(z)W = V_C = \{f(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z] : \langle f(z), \chi(z) \rangle = 0 \ \forall \chi \in C\}$$

where we have

$$C = \{\chi : \langle e^{xz}, \chi(z) \rangle \in \ker P(x, \partial_x)\}.$$

Since $P(x, \partial_x)$ right divides $g(\partial_x)$, we know that

$$C \subseteq \{\chi : \langle e^{xz}, \chi(z) \rangle \in \ker g(\partial_x)\} = \{\delta^{(k)}(z - a) : a \in \mathbb{C}, (z - a)^k \text{ divides } g(z)\}.$$ 

This decomposes as $C = \bigoplus_{g(a)=0} C_a$ with

$$C_a = C \cap \mathbb{C}_a \subseteq \{\delta^{(k)}(z - a) : (z - a)^k \text{ divides } g(z)\}.$$

For each root $\alpha$ of $g(z)$ let $n_\alpha$ be the multiplicity and $m_\alpha = \dim C_a$. Then

$$g_\alpha(W) = \sum_{i \geq 0} m_\alpha + i - r_{i,a} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n_\alpha-m_\alpha-1} m_\alpha + i - i = (n_\alpha - m_\alpha)m_\alpha.$$
Thus

\[ g(W) = \sum_{g(a)=0} g_a(\psi) \leq \sum_{g(a)=0} (n_a - m_a)m_a \leq \left( \sum_{g(a)=0} (n_a - m_a) \right) \left( \sum_{g(a)=0} m_a \right) = \bar{m}m. \]

This completes the proof. \(\square\)

5  REFLECTIVE INTEGRAL OPERATORS AND COMMUTING PAIRS

5.1 Time-band limiting operators for generalized Laplace and Fourier transforms

Throughout this section, let \( \tau > 0 \) and \( \psi_W(x,y) \) be a rank 1 bispectral meromorphic function corresponding to a point \( W \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}} \), whose poles lie in the complement of \([\tau, \infty) \times [\tau, \infty)\). For any integer \( \ell > 0 \), we define integral operators \( L_W \) and \( F_W \) on the Sobolev space \( W^{\ell,2}([\tau, \infty)) \) generalizing the Laplace and Fourier transforms, along with their adjoints \( L_W^* \) and \( F_W^* \) by

\[
L_W : f(x) \mapsto \int_{\tau}^{\infty} \psi_W(y, -z)f(y)dy, \quad L_W^* : f(z) \mapsto \int_{\tau}^{\infty} \overline{\psi(x, -w)}f(w)dw,
\]

\[
F_W : f(x) \mapsto \int_{\tau}^{\infty} \psi_W(y, iz)f(y)dy, \quad F_W^* : f(z) \mapsto \int_{\tau}^{\infty} \overline{\psi_W(x, i\omega)}f(\omega)dw.
\]

As we remarked in the introduction, when \( \tau = 0 \) and \( W = \mathbb{C} \) the operator \( L_W \) is precisely the Laplace transform. The proof of the following proposition is simple and is left to the reader.

Proposition 5.1. The linear maps \( F_W, F_W^*, L_W \) and \( L_W^* \) are bounded operators on \( W^{\ell,2}([\tau, \infty)) \).

Fix \( r, t \in [\tau, \infty) \). The time and band-limiting operators of \( L_W \) and \( F_W \) above are the operators \( E_W, \mathcal{E}_W : L^2([\tau, \infty)) \rightarrow L^2([\tau, \infty)) \) given by

\[
E_W(f(x))(z) = \chi_{[t, \infty)}(z)L_W(\chi_{[r, \infty)}(x)f(x)), \quad E_W(f(x))(z) = \chi_{[t, \infty)}(z)F_W(\chi_{[r, \infty)}(x)f(x)).
\]

The corresponding adjoints are operators \( L^2([t, \infty)) \rightarrow L^2([r, \infty)) \) defined similarly, using the adjoints \( L_W^* \) and \( F_W^* \). Here the multiplication by the characteristic functions \( \chi_{[t, \infty)} \) and \( \chi_{[r, \infty)} \) corresponds to the time-limiting operator and the band-limiting operator, respectively.

In time and band-limiting, we consider the self-adjoint operators \( \mathcal{E}_W^* \mathcal{E}_W \) and \( E_W F_W^* \) as in (2.5) and (2.6), with the specific goal of computing their eigenfunctions. As mentioned in the introduction, the operator \( \mathcal{E}_W^* \mathcal{E}_W \) has a kernel-type operator expression

\[
(\mathcal{E}_W^* \mathcal{E}_W f)(z) = \chi_{[t, \infty)}(z) \int_{t}^{\infty} K_W(z, w)f(w)dw,
\]

with

\[
K_W(z, w) = \int_{r}^{\infty} \psi_W(x, -z)\overline{\psi_W(x, -w)}dx.
\]
However, a similar expression for $E_W E_{E_W}^*$ has to be understood as a distribution in the sense of Laurent Schwartz, see [17, 19, 21]. The reader may benefit from reading the introduction to [39]. It is remarkable that M. Sato’s theory of Hyperfunctions deals with many of the same problems addressed by distribution theory, see [25].

In time and band-limiting, the strategy for finding eigenfunctions of the above operator is to determine a differential operator commuting with the integral operator. In such a case, the corresponding eigenfunctions are obtained by solving numerically the associated differential equation. The behavior of a differential operator when composed with an integral operator is described by means of integration by parts, giving rise naturally to the bilinear concomitant. For a differential operator $P = P(x, \partial x) := \sum_{j=0}^m a_j(x)\partial^j x$ the bilinear concomitant is defined by

$$C_p(f, g; p) = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} (-1)^k f^{(j-1-k)}(x)(a_j(x)g(x))^{(k)}|_{x=p}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^k \binom{k}{\ell} (-1)^k f^{(j-1-k)}(x)a_j(x)^{(k-\ell)}g(x)^{(\ell)}|_{x=p}, \quad (5.1)$$

where here $p \in \mathbb{C}$ and $f, g$ are two functions which are sufficiently smooth in a neighborhood of $p$. The bilinear concomitant generalizes the formula for integration by parts in that

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_1} [P(x, \partial x) \cdot f(x)]g(x) - f(x)(P(x, \partial x)^\dagger \cdot g(x)] dx = C_p(f, g; x_1) - C_p(f, g; x_0), \quad (5.2)$$

for any functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ which are sufficiently smooth and integrable.

### 5.2 Reflective integral operators

We define an operator $T_W$, related to the integral operator $E_W E_{E_W}^*$ in the previous section by

$$T_W : f(z) \mapsto \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_{\Gamma_2} \psi_W(x, -z)\psi_W^\dagger(x, -\xi)f(\xi)d\xi dx,$$

where here $\psi_W^\dagger := a\psi_W$. This may be rewritten as

$$T_W : f(z) \mapsto \int_{\Gamma_2} K_W(z, \xi)f(\xi)d\xi, \quad K_W(x, \xi) = \int_{\Gamma_1} \psi_W(x, -z)\psi_W^\dagger(x, -\xi)d\xi.$$

As we demonstrate below, the above integral operator reflectively commutes with a nonconstant differential operator, that is, we have

$$R(z, \partial z) \cdot T_W(f(\xi))(z) = T_W(R(-\xi, -\partial \xi) \cdot f(\xi))(z).$$

Moreover, in the specific case that $a\psi_W = c\psi_W$ we have $T_W = E_W E_{E_W}^*$ and $R(-z, -\partial z) = R(z, \partial z)^\dagger$, so that $T$ maps the eigenfunctions of $R(z, \partial z)^\dagger$ to those of $R(z, \partial z)$.
Proposition 5.2. Let \( \Gamma_1 \subseteq \mathbb{C} \) be a path from \( r \) to \( \infty \) whose real values are bounded below and imaginary values are bounded, and such that the poles in \( x \) of \( \psi_W(x, -z) \) avoid \( \Gamma_1 \). Consider the function

\[
K_W(z, \xi) := \int_{\Gamma_1} \psi_W(x, -z) \psi_W^\dagger(x, -\xi) dx.
\]

If \( R = R(z, \partial_z) \in T_z(\psi_W) \) satisfies \( C_{b^{-1}_W, R}(f, g; r) = 0 \) for all smooth functions \( f(x) \) and \( g(x) \) in a neighborhood of \( r \), then

\[
R(-z, -\partial_z) \cdot K_W(z, \xi) = R(\xi, \partial_\xi)^\dagger \cdot K_W(z, \xi).
\]

Proof. First note that

\[
b_{a\psi_W}(b_{\psi_W}^{-1}(R))^{\dagger}(\xi, \partial_\xi) = R(-\xi, -\partial_\xi)^\dagger.
\]

By integration by parts

\[
R(-z, -\partial_z) \cdot K_W(z, \xi) = \int_{\Gamma_1} R(-z, -\partial_z) \cdot \psi_W(x, -z) \psi_W^\dagger(x, -\xi) dx
\]

\[
= \int_{\Gamma_1} b_{\psi_W}^{-1}(R)(x, \partial_x) \cdot \psi_W(x, -z) \psi_W^\dagger(x, -\xi) dx
\]

\[
= \int_{\Gamma_1} \psi_W(x, -z) b_{\psi_W}^{-1}(R)(x, \partial_x)^\dagger \cdot \psi_W^\dagger(x, -\xi) dx
\]

\[
= \int_{\Gamma_1} \psi_W(x, -z) b_{a\psi_W} \left( b_{\psi_W}^{-1}(R) \right)^\dagger(-\xi, -\partial_\xi) \cdot \psi_W^\dagger(x, -\xi) dx
\]

\[
= R^\dagger(\xi, \partial_\xi) \cdot K_W(z, \xi)
\]

\( \square \)

Proposition 5.3. Let \( \Gamma_1 \) and \( \Gamma_2 \) be two paths in \( \mathbb{C} \) going from \( r \) and \( t \), respectively to \( \infty \). Assume moreover that the real part of \( \Gamma_1 \) is bounded below and the imaginary part is bounded, and that the poles of \( \psi_W(x, -z) \) and \( \psi_W^\dagger(x, -z) \) avoid \( \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 \). If \( R = R(z, \partial_z) \in T_z(\psi) \) is an operator of order \( \ell \) satisfying \( C_{b^{-1}_\psi, R}(f, g; r) = 0 \) and also \( C_{R(z, \partial_z), f, g; t} = 0 \) for all smooth functions \( f(x) \), \( g(x) \) in a neighborhood of \( r \) or \( t \), then for all \( f \in W_{\ell+1,2}(\Gamma_2) \) with compact support we have

\[
R(-z, -\partial_z) \cdot T_W(f(\xi))(z) = T_W(R(\xi, \partial_\xi) \cdot f(\xi))(z).
\]

Proof. By integration by parts

\[
R(-z, -\partial_z) \cdot T_W(f(\xi))(z) = \int_{\Gamma_2} R(-z, -\partial_z) \cdot K_W(z, \xi) f(\xi) d\xi
\]

\[
= \int_{\Gamma_2} R(\xi, \partial_\xi)^\dagger \cdot K_W(z, \xi) f(\xi) d\xi
\]
\[ \int_{\Gamma_2} K_W(z, \xi) R(\xi, \partial_\xi) \cdot f(\xi) d\xi \]
\[ = T_W(R(-\xi, -\partial_\xi) \cdot f(\xi))(z) \]

5.3 | Commuting integral operators

We define an operator \( U_W \) related to the operator \( E_W E^*_W \), analogous to the operator \( T_W \) in the previous section by

\[ U_W : f(z) \mapsto \int_r^\infty \int_t^\infty \psi_W(x, iz) \psi_W^\dagger(x, -i\xi) f(\xi) d\xi dx, \]

where here \( \psi_W^\dagger := a \psi_W \). The kernel of this integral operator requires a distributional interpretation as mentioned earlier. This issue is addressed in more detail in example 6.1.

The integral operator above commutes with a nonconstant differential operator

\[ R(z, \partial_z) \cdot U_W(f(\xi))(z) = U_W(R(\xi, \partial_\xi) \cdot f(\xi))(z). \]

Moreover, in the specific case that \( a \psi_W = c \psi_W \) we have \( U_W = E_W E^*_W \).

**Proposition 5.4.** If \( R \in \mathcal{F}_z(\psi_W) \) is a differential operator of order at most \( \ell \) which satisfies \( C_{b^{-1}_W(R)}(f, g, r) = 0 \) and \( C_{R(\xi, \partial_\xi)}(f, g, it) = 0 \) for all functions \( f, g \) smooth in a neighborhood of \( r \) and \( it \), then for all \( f(z) \in W^{\ell+1,2} \) we have

\[ R(iz, -i\partial_z) \cdot U_W(f(\xi))(z) = U_W(R(i\xi, -i\partial_\xi) \cdot f(\xi))(z). \]

**Proof.** As in the proof of Proposition 5.2, we have

\[ b_{a \psi_W}((b^{-1}_W(R))^\dagger)(\xi, \partial_\xi) = R(-\xi, -\partial_\xi)^\dagger. \]

By integration by parts

\[ R(iz, -i\partial_z) \cdot U_W(f(\xi))(z) = \int_r^\infty \int_t^\infty R(iz, -i\partial_z) \cdot \psi_W(x, iz) \psi_W^\dagger(x, -i\xi) f(\xi) d\xi dx \]
\[ = \int_r^\infty \int_t^\infty b^{-1}_W(R)(x, \partial_z) \cdot \psi_W(x, iz) \psi_W^\dagger(x, -i\xi) f(\xi) d\xi dx \]
\[ = \int_r^\infty \int_t^\infty \psi_W(x, iz) b^{-1}_W(R)(x, \partial_z)^\dagger \cdot \psi_W^\dagger(x, -i\xi) f(\xi) d\xi dx \]
\[ = \int_r^\infty \int_t^\infty \psi_W(x, iz) b_{a \psi_W} \left( (b^{-1}_W(R))^\dagger \right)(-i\xi, i\partial_\xi)^\dagger \cdot f(\xi) d\xi dx \]
\[ \int_r^\infty \int_t^\infty \psi_W(x, iz) b_{a \psi_W} \left( (b^{-1}_W(R))^\dagger \right)(-i\xi, i\partial_\xi)^\dagger \cdot f(\xi) d\xi dx \]
\[
\int_r^\infty \int_t^\infty \psi_w(x, iz) \psi_w(x, -i\xi) R(i\xi, -i\partial_\xi) \cdot f(\xi) d\xi dx
= U_w (R(i\xi, -i\partial_\xi) \cdot f(\xi))(z).
\]

## 5.4 Proofs of Theorems A–C

In this section, we prove Theorems A–C by using the dimension bounds of Theorem E and Propositions 5.3 and 5.4.

**Lemma 5.5.** Let \( R(z, \partial_z) \) be a differential operator with coefficients which are holomorphic in a neighborhood \( U \) of \( t \in \mathbb{C} \). If \( R(z, \partial_z) \) is formally \( \dagger \)-symmetric (with respect to (3.6)), then there exist functions \( a_0(z), a_1(z), \ldots, a_n(z) \) holomorphic on \( U \) satisfying

\[
R(z, \partial_z) = \sum_{j=0}^n \partial_z^j a_j(z) \partial_z^j.
\]

In this case, if \( a^{(k)}_j(t) = 0 \) for all \( 0 \leq k < j \) then \( C_R(f, g; t) = 0 \) for all functions \( f(z), g(z) \) analytic in a neighborhood of \( t \). If instead \( R(z, \partial_z) \) is formally \( \dagger \)-skew symmetric, then there exist functions \( b_0(z), b_1(z), \ldots, b_m(z) \) holomorphic on \( U \) satisfying

\[
R(z, \partial_z) = \sum_{j=0}^m \partial_z^j b_j(z) \partial_z^j.
\]

In this case, if \( b^{(k)}_j(t) = 0 \) for all \( 0 \leq k \leq j \) then \( C_R(f, g; t) = 0 \) for all functions \( f(z), g(z) \) analytic in a neighborhood of \( t \).

**Proof.** The structure formulas for symmetric and skew-symmetric elements follow immediately from obvious induction arguments. To prove the vanishing of the concomitants, recall that for any differential operators \( B(z, \partial_z) \) and \( C(z, \partial_z) \) that

\[
C_{BC}(f, g; z) = C_B(C \cdot f, g; z) + C_C(f, B^\dagger \cdot g; z).
\]

Consequently we have

\[
C_{\delta_z^j a_j(z) \partial_z^j}(f, g; t) = C_{\delta_z^j}(a_j f^{(j)}; g; t) + (-1)^j C_{\delta_z^j}(f, a_j g^{(j)}; t)
\]

which is zero if \( a^{(k)}_j(t) = 0 \) for all \( 0 \leq k < j \). Similarly for \( a^{(k)}_j(t) = 0 \) for all \( 0 \leq k \leq j \) we obtain the vanishing of the other concomitant. \( \square \)

**Lemma 5.6.** Let \( s \in \mathbb{C} \) and let \( \mathcal{P} \) be a vector space of differential operators of order at most \( \ell \), with coefficient which are smooth in a neighborhood of \( t \). Then for any open neighborhood \( U \) of \( t \) the \( \mathbb{C} \)-linear map

\[
C : \mathcal{P} \to \text{Bilinear}(C^\infty(U) \times C^\infty(U)), \ R(z, \partial_z) \mapsto [(f, g) \mapsto C_R(f, g; t)]
\]

has rank at most \( d(d + 1) \) if \( \ell = 2d \) and \( (d + 1)^2 \) if \( \ell = 2d + 1 \).
Proof. First assume that \( \ell = 2d \). Then any \( R = R(z, \partial_z) \in \mathcal{P} \) is of the form \( R = R_{\text{symm}} + R_{\text{skew}} \) for some formally \( \dagger \)-symmetric and \( \dagger \)-skew symmetric operators of the form

\[
R_{\text{symm}} = \sum_{j=0}^{d} \partial_z^j a_{2j}(z) \partial_z^j \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\text{skew}} = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \partial_z^j [a_{2j+1}, \partial_z] \partial_z^j.
\]

In particular if the \( d(d+1)/2 \) conditions \( a_{2j}^{(k)}(t) = 0 \) for all \( 1 \leq j \leq d \) and \( 0 \leq k < j \) and the \( (d + 1)/2 \) conditions \( a_{2j+1}^{(k)}(t) = 0 \) for all \( 0 \leq j < d \) and \( 0 \leq k \leq j \) are satisfied, then the concomitant \( C_R(f, g; t) \) is zero for all \( f, g \). Thus the rank is at most \( d(d+1) \).

Next assume that \( \ell = 2d+1 \). Then any \( R = R(z, \partial_z) \in \mathcal{P} \) is of the form \( R = R_{\text{symm}} + R_{\text{skew}} \) for some formally \( \dagger \)-symmetric and \( \dagger \)-skew symmetric operators of the form

\[
R_{\text{symm}} = \sum_{j=0}^{d} \partial_z^j a_{2j}(z) \partial_z^j \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\text{skew}} = \sum_{j=0}^{d} \partial_z^j [a_{2j+1}, \partial_z] \partial_z^j.
\]

In particular if the \( d(d+1)/2 \) conditions \( a_{2j}^{(k)}(t) = 0 \) for all \( 1 \leq j \leq d \) and \( 0 \leq k < j \) and the \( (d + 1)(d + 2)/2 \) conditions \( a_{2j+1}^{(k)}(t) = 0 \) for all \( 0 \leq j \leq d \) and \( 0 \leq k \leq j \) are satisfied, then the concomitant \( C_R(f, g; t) \) is zero for all \( f, g \). Thus the rank is at most \( (d + 1)^2 \). \( \square \)

Using this lemma, we prove Theorem A and Theorem B.

Proof of Theorem A. Let \( d_1 = \text{deg}(W) \), \( d_2 = \text{deg}(aW) \), \( b_1 = \text{deg}(bW) \), and \( b_2 = \text{deg}(abW) \) and \( d = \lfloor \min((d_1 + d_2)/2, (b_1 + b_2)/2) \rfloor \). Note that

\[
\min\left(\frac{d_1 + d_2}{2}, \frac{b_1 + b_2}{2}\right)^2 \geq \min(d_1d_2, b_1b_2) + \min\left(\frac{d_1 - d_2}{2}, \frac{b_1 - b_2}{2}\right)^2,
\]

which is strict when \( d_1 = d_2 = b_1 = b_2 \). Therefore \( d \geq \min(d_1d_2, b_1b_2) \).

By Proposition 5.3 and Proposition 5.4, to prove the existence of a nonconstant differential operator \( R(x, \partial_x) \) of order at most \( \ell \) reflectively commuting with \( T_W \), it suffices to prove the space \( \mathcal{F}_z^{\ell, \ell}(\psi_W) \) contains a nonconstant differential operator satisfying the property that the bilinear concomitants \( C_{\psi_W}(f, g; r) = 0 \) and \( C_{\psi_W}(f, g; t) = 0 \). Moreover, by the dimension estimates of Theorem E, we know that

\[
\dim \mathcal{F}_z^{\ell, \ell}(\psi_W) \geq (\ell + 1)^2 - 2d.
\]

Therefore if we take \( \ell = 2d \), the previous Lemma implies that \( \mathcal{F}_z^{\ell, \ell}(\psi_W) \) contains a subspace of dimension at most \( 2d^2 + 2d + 1 \) satisfying the prescribed concomitant condition. In particular it contains a nonconstant differential operator \( R_{\ell, \ell}(z, \partial_z) \) depending on the finite endpoints \( r, t \) of the paths \( \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \) which reflectively commutes with our integral operator. Since \( R_{\ell, \ell}(z, \partial_z) \in \mathcal{F}_z(\psi_W) \) it has rational coefficients in \( z \). The corresponding point in \( \mathcal{F}_z(\psi_W) \) is found by solving a linear system of equations whose entries are polynomials in \( r, t \), so \( R_{\ell, \ell}(z, \partial_z) \) also depends rationally on \( r \) and \( t \). \( \square \)
Applying Theorem A to the situation when $acW = W$, we obtain Theorem B.

**Proof of Theorem B.** Let $\Gamma_1 = [r, \infty)$ and $\Gamma_2 = [t, \infty)$. Then since $\psi_W^\dagger(x, z) = \overline{\psi_W(x, z)}$, we have for real $\xi, z$ that

$$K_W(z, \xi) = \int_r^\infty \psi_W(x, -\xi)\psi_W^\dagger(x, -z)dx = \int_r^\infty \psi_W(x, -\xi)\psi_W(x, -z)dx.$$ 

It follows that the operator $T_W$ from Theorem A is equal to $E_W^\sigma$. □

To prove Theorem C, we cannot simply apply Theorem A due to integrability issues with the relevant kernels. Instead, we use the results of Proposition 5.4.

**Proof of Theorem C.**

(i) Let $d_1 = \deg(W), d_2 = \deg(aW), b_1 = \deg(bW), b_2 = \deg(abW)$, and $d = \lfloor \min((d_1 + d_2)/2, (b_1 + b_2)/2) \rfloor$. By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem A, for $\ell = 2d$ the space $\mathcal{F}_z^\ell(\psi_W)$ contains a differential operator $R(z, \partial_z)$ satisfying $C_{\psi_W}\phi_R(f, g, r) = 0$ and $C_{R(z, \partial_z)}(f, g, it) = 0$. By Proposition 5.4, it contains a nonconstant differential operator $\tilde{R}_r(z, \partial_z)$, depending on the finite endpoints of the paths $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$, which commutes with our integral operator. In fact, from the vanishing requirements for the concomitants, we see we can take $\tilde{R}_r(z, \partial_z)$ to be the $90^\circ$ rotation of $R_{r, t}(z, \partial_z)$, as in (2.7).

(ii) Since $\psi_W^\dagger(x, z) = \overline{\psi_W(x, z)}$, we have for real $x, \xi$ that

$$U_W(f)(z) = \int_r^\infty \int_t^\infty \psi_W(x, iz)\psi_W^\dagger(x, -i\xi)f(\xi)d\xi dx = \int_r^\infty \int_t^\infty \psi_W(x, iz)\overline{\psi_W(x, i\xi)}f(\xi)d\xi dx = E_{W, W}^\sigma(f)(z).$$ □

### 5.5 Simultaneous reflectivity and commutativity for families

Our exposition so far has revealed the existence of differential operators commuting with integral operators associated to a single rank 1 bispectral meromorphic function. We next treat finite families of integral operators corresponding to points of $\text{Gr}^\text{ad}$.

**Proof of Theorem D.** For each $n$, the point $W_n \in \text{Gr}^\text{ad}$ satisfies $\mu_n(z)W_n \subseteq \mathbb{C}[z], \mu_n(z)aW_n \subseteq \mathbb{C}[z], \mu_n(z)C[z] \subseteq aW_n$, and $\mu_n(z)C[z] \subseteq W_n$, for some polynomial $\mu_n(z)$. Setting $\mu(z) = \prod_n \mu_n(z)$, we have $\mu(z)C[z] \subseteq W_n$ and $\mu(z)W_n \subseteq \mathbb{C}[z]$. It follows that for all $n$

$$F_z(\psi_{W_n}) = D(W_n) \supseteq \mu(z)F_z(\psi_{\exp})\mu(z).$$
Furthermore each $\psi_{W_n}(x, z)$ is of the form

$$\psi_{W_n}(x, z) = \frac{1}{p_n(x)q_n(z)} P_n(x, \partial_x) \cdot \psi_{\exp}(x, z) = \frac{1}{p_n(z)q_n(z)} Q_n(z, \partial_z) \cdot \psi_{\exp}(x, z)$$

for some polynomials $\overline{p}_n(x), p_n(x), \overline{q}_n(z), q_n(z)$ and differential operators $\overline{P}_n(x, \partial_x), P_n(x, \partial_x), \overline{Q}_n(z, \partial_z), Q_n(z, \partial_z)$ with polynomial coefficients satisfying

$$\overline{P}_n(x, \partial_x) = \frac{1}{p_n(x)\overline{p}_n(x)} P_n(x, \partial_x) = q_n(\partial_x)\overline{q}_n(\partial_x),$$

$$\overline{Q}_n(z, \partial_z) = \frac{1}{q_n(z)\overline{q}_n(z)} Q_n(z, \partial_z) = p_n(\partial_z)\overline{p}_n(\partial_z).$$

Note that the order of $P_n(x, \partial_x)$ must be the degree of $q_n(z)$, which counts the $z$-poles of $\psi_{W_n}(x, z)$ and therefore is at most $\deg(\mu)$. Moreover, $\mu(z)/q_n(z) = \theta_n(z)$ and $\mu(z)/\overline{q}_n(z) = \overline{\theta}_n(z)$ for some $\theta_n(z), \overline{\theta}_n(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$. Therefore for any $R(z, \partial_z) \in \mathcal{P}_z(\psi_{\exp})$ we have

$$b^{-1}_{\psi_{W_n}} (\mu(z)R(z, \partial_z)\mu(z)) = \frac{1}{p_n(x)} P_n(x, \partial_x) \overline{\theta}_n(\partial_x) b^{-1}_{\psi_{\exp}} (R(z, \partial_z)) \delta_n(\partial_x) \overline{P}_n(x, \partial_x) \frac{1}{\overline{p}_n(x)}.$$

Let $\widetilde{R}(z, \partial_z) = \mu(z)(\partial_z - r)^2 \deg(\mu)R(z, \partial_z)(\partial_z - r)^2 \deg(\mu)\mu(z)$ so that

$$b^{-1}_{\psi_{W_n}} (\widetilde{R}(z, \partial_z)) = D(x, \partial_x) b^{-1}_{\psi_{\exp}} (R(z, \partial_z)) \widetilde{D}(x, \partial_x),$$

for the operators

$$D(x, \partial_x) = \frac{1}{p(x)} P(x, \partial_x) \theta(\partial_x)(x - r)^2 \deg(\mu),$$

$$\widetilde{D}(x, \partial_x) = (x - r)^2 \deg(\mu) \theta(\partial_x) \overline{P}(x, \partial_x) \frac{1}{\overline{p}(x)}.$$

Clearly the bilinear concomitants $C_D(\cdot, \cdot; s)$ and $C_{\overline{D}}(\cdot, \cdot; s)$ are both zero and therefore for all functions $f, g$ analytic in a neighborhood of $r$

$$C_{b^{-1}_{\psi_{W_n}}} (f, g; r) = C_{b^{-1}_{\psi_{\exp}}} (R(f, g; r)),$$

$$= C_D \left( b^{-1}_{\psi_{\exp}} R\overline{D}, f, g; r \right) + C_{b^{-1}_{\psi_{\exp}}} (\overline{D} \cdot f, D^\dagger \cdot g; s) + C_{\overline{D}} \left( f, (b^{-1}_{\psi_{\exp}} R)^\dagger D^\dagger \cdot g; r \right)$$

$$= C_{b^{-1}_{\psi_{W_n}}} (\overline{D} \cdot f, D^\dagger \cdot g; r)$$

and in particular $C_{b^{-1}_{\psi_{W_n}}} (\cdot, \cdot; r)$ is identically zero if $C_{b^{-1}_{\psi_{\exp}}} (\cdot, \cdot; r)$ is identically zero. The dimension of the space of formally $\dagger$-symmetric operators in

$$\mu(z)^N(\partial_z - r)^2 \deg(\mu) \mathcal{P}^{2d,2d}(\psi_{\exp})(\partial_z - r)^2 \deg(\mu) \mu(z)^N$$
is \((2d + 1)(d + 1)\) and contains a subspace of dimension at least
\[(2d + 1)(d + 1) - \frac{1}{2}(d + 2 \deg(\mu))(d + 2 \deg(\mu) + 1)\]
of operators whose concomitants vanish at \(t\) and a further subspace of dimension at least
\[(2d + 1)(d + 1) - \frac{d + 2 \deg(\mu)}{2}(d + 2 \deg(\mu) + 1) - (d + 2 \deg(\mu))(d + 2 \deg(\mu) + 1)\]
of operators which map under \(b^{-1}_W\) to operators whose concomitants vanish at \(r\). Moreover, by the above this condition of vanishing may be taken independently of the choice of \(W\). Thus for \(d\) sufficiently large there exists a self-adjoint \(R(z, \partial_z)\) which reflectively commutes with each of the operators \(T_W\), as stated by the theorem.

Part (b) of the theorem is proved in a similar fashion.\qed

6 | EXAMPLES AND HIGHER LEVEL BESSEL FUNCTIONS

In this section we give a detailed description of the Fourier algebras of certain higher Bessel functions. We then illustrate Theorems A–D by examples in which we show how one writes the reflected and commuting differential operators in simple forms in terms of these Fourier algebras.

The subvariety of \(Gr^{ad}\) corresponding to subspaces \(C \subseteq \mathbb{C}\) supported at 0 (recall §3.1) is precisely the set of solutions of the KP hierarchy whose tau functions are polynomial. Such a \(W \in Gr^{ad}\) can be viewed as a point in a family by letting the scalars in the definition of \(C\) vary. The wave function \(\psi_W(x, z)\) can then be expressed as a combination of Bessel wave functions with coefficients that are rational functions in \(x\) by [4, Prop. 5.1(a) and Equation (5.4)]. Then Theorem D can be used to obtain a reflected/commuting differential operator for all integral operators in this family.

6.1 | First order reflected and commuting differential operators

The most immediate application we have is related to the basic case: when the bispectral function is \(\psi_{\exp}(x, z) = e^{xz}\). Thanks to Theorems A, B and C, we can obtain reflecting and commuting operators of order one. All previous examples in the literature have involved differential operators of order two or higher.

Consider \(W = \mathbb{C}[z] \in Gr^{ad}\) with wave function \(\psi_{\exp}(x, z) = e^{xz}\). Of course, we have \(\psi_{\exp}(x, z) = e^{xz}\) and therefore the integral operator
\[(T_W f)(z) = (E_W E_{W,f})(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}}^\infty K(z, \xi)f(\xi)d\xi, \quad \text{where} \quad K(z, \xi) = \frac{e^{-r(z + \xi)}}{z + \xi},\]
reflects an operator of order \(\leq 2\) (see Theorems A and B). In fact, the operator
\[R_{r,t}(z, \partial_z) = (z - t)\partial_z - rz\]
of order one is reflected by \(T_W\). This follows from Proposition 5.3 and the fact that it satisfies \(C_{b^{-1}_{\psi_{\exp}} R(z, \partial_z)}(f, g; r) = 0\) and \(C_{R(z, \partial_z)}(f, g; t) = 0\) for all smooth functions \(f(x), g(x)\) in a neighborhood of \(r\) and \(t\) (note that that \(b^{-1}_{\psi_{\exp}} R(z, \partial_z) = (x - r)\partial_x - xt\).
As a consequence, we should expect that $T_W$ will map the eigenfunctions of $R(w, \partial_w)$ to those of $R(-z, -\partial_z)$. One easily verifies that the function $\varphi_{r,t}(w, \lambda) = e^{rt}(t - w)^{r+\lambda}$ is an eigenfunction for $R_{r,t}(w, \partial_w)$ with eigenvalue $\lambda$. Furthermore, for $\lambda$ real with $-1 < rt + \lambda < 0$, a residue integral-type argument shows

$$T_W(\varphi_{r,t}(w, \lambda)) = \varphi_{r,t}(-z, \lambda) \frac{\pi}{\cos(\pi(rt + \lambda))}.$$  

The function $\varphi_{r,t}(-z, \lambda)$ is an eigenfunction of $R_{r,t}(-z, -\partial_z)$ with eigenvalue $\lambda$, as expected.

Now, thanks to Theorem C, we have that the integral operator given by

$$\overline{U_W f}(z) = (E_W E_W^* f)(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{ixz} \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-ix\xi} f(\xi) d\xi \right) dx$$

$$= \int_t^\infty \left( \int_r^\infty e^{-ix(\xi - z)} dx \right) f(\xi) d\xi =: \int_t^\infty k_r(z, \xi)f(\xi) d\xi$$

commutes with the operator $\overline{R_{r,t}(z, \partial_z)} = R_{r,t}(iz, -i\partial_z) = (z - t)\partial_z - irz$.

The kernel $k_r(z, \xi)$ has to be interpreted as a distribution acting on a $C^\infty$ rapidly decreasing function $f(\xi)$; in the simplest case when $r = -\infty$ we have $k_{-\infty}(z, \xi) = \int_0^\infty e^{ix(\xi - z)} dx = 2\pi \delta(\xi - z)$. For finite values of $r$ the integral can be written as $i(1 - e^{-ir(\xi - z)})/(\xi - z)$ plus the distribution given by $\int_0^\infty e^{-ix(\xi - z)} dx$, that is, the Fourier transform $\hat{H}$ of the Heaviside function $H$ in the variable $\xi - z$. One has

$$\hat{H}(z) = \pi \delta(z) - ip.v.(z^{-1})$$

see [19, p. 360, Formula (23)] and [21, Example 7.1.17]. Hence, $\int_0^\infty k_r(z, \xi)f(\xi) d\xi$ can be written as a linear combination of a simple term and the integral form 0 to $\infty$ of the Fourier transform of $f$.

Now since $W$ is fixed by the involution $ac$, we know that the usual adjoint $\overline{R_{r,t}(z, \partial_z)} = \overline{R_{r,t}(z, \partial_z)}$ will commute with $U_W$ also. Indeed $\overline{R_{r,t}(z, \partial_z)} = -\overline{R_{r,t}(z, \partial_z)} - 1$. Consequently the self-adjoint differential operator

$$-\overline{R_{r,t}(z, \partial_z)}^2 - \overline{R_{r,t}(z, \partial_z)} = -\partial_z(z - t)^2\partial_z + ir\{z(z - t), \partial_z\} + r^2z^2$$

commutes with the self-adjoint integral operator $U_W$. Notably, it has both real and imaginary pieces.

### 6.2 Higher Bessel functions

For any $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ consider the generalized Bessel operator

$$L_\lambda(z, \partial_z) = z^{-n}(z\partial_z - \lambda_1)(z\partial_z - \lambda_2) ... (z\partial_z - \lambda_n).$$

An eigenfunction of this operator is given by the generalized bispectral Bessel function $\psi_\lambda(x, z)$, as defined in [4, Definition 1.6], which satisfies $L_\lambda(x, \partial_x) \cdot \psi_\lambda(x, z) = z^n \psi_\lambda(x, z)$. In this section,
we explicitly identify all the Bessel operators $L_{\lambda}$ that are related via Darboux transforms to the exponential operator $\partial^n z$ (see (6.2)) and we give an explicit expression for the corresponding bispectral functions $\psi_{\lambda}(x,z)$ in (6.5) (see also (6.3) and (6.4)). Furthermore, in Theorem 6.1 we describe in detail the left and right Fourier algebras, with their bifiltrations, of every $\psi_{\lambda}(x,z)$. These descriptions are used in the examples of Sections 6.3 and 6.4.

First, let us observe that $L_{\lambda} = L_w\lambda$ for any permutation $w \in S_n$, so we can rewrite $L_{\lambda}(z, \partial_z)$ as

$$z^{-n+1}(z\partial_z - \lambda_1 + 1) \cdots (z\partial_z - \lambda_{j-1} + 1)(z\partial_z - \lambda_{j+1} + 1)(z\partial_z - \lambda_n + 1)z^{-1}(z\partial_z - \lambda_j).$$

By performing a bispectral Darboux transformation we obtain a new operator

$$z^{-1}(z\partial_z - \lambda_j)z^{-n+1}(z\partial_z - \lambda_1 + 1) \cdots (z\partial_z - \lambda_{j-1} + 1)(z\partial_z - \lambda_{j+1} + 1)(z\partial_z - \lambda_n + 1) = L_{\lambda+r_j}(z, \partial_z)$$

for $r_j = ne_j - (1,1,\ldots,1)$, where $\{e_1,\ldots,e_n\}$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Hence, for two given operators $L_{\alpha}$ and $L_{\beta}$ of the form (6.1), one can be obtained from the other by performing iterations of Darboux transformations if and only if $\beta = w\alpha + \lambda$, for $w \in S_n$ and $\lambda$ in the lattice generated by $\{r_j\}_{j=1}^n$.

Note that this is exactly the lattice of weights of type $A_{n-1}$, up to a scaling factor of $n$ with respect to the usual convention: we are considering the simple roots $\{\alpha_j \coloneqq n(e_j - e_{j+1})\}_{j=1}^{n-1}$. Hence, $L_{\alpha}$ and $L_{\beta}$ are related via Darboux transforms if and only if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are in the same $W$-orbit, where $W = S_n \rtimes P$ is the extended affine Weyl group of type $A_{n-1}$. Here we are considering the action of the group $W$ extended to $\mathbb{R}^n$ rather than its restriction to the $(n-1)$-dimensional space $E$ generated by $\{\alpha_j\}$.

The fundamental alcove is defined as

$$A = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n : 0 \leq \langle \lambda, \alpha_j \rangle, \quad \langle \lambda, \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_j \rangle \leq n \right\}.$$ 

The stabilizer of $A$ in $W$ is the non-trivial subgroup $\Omega = \{w \in W : wA = A\}$. We are specially interested in the weight $\lambda_{\exp} = (0,1,\ldots,n-1)$, for which $L_{\lambda_{\exp}} = \partial^n$. The corresponding point in $E$, given by $\lambda_{\exp} - (n-1)(1,\ldots,1)$, is the unique point in $A$ stable by $\Omega$.

The discussion above shows that, for any $w \in W$, $\psi_{w,\lambda_{\exp}}(x,z)$ is a bispectral Darboux transformation from the exponential function $\psi_{\lambda_{\exp}}(x,z) = e^{xz}$. We can now describe the orbit $W\lambda_{\exp}$ as the set of elements $\lambda = (\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that

$$\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n = n(n-1)/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \{\lambda_j - \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \lambda_k\} \mod n_{j=1}^n = \{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}. \quad (6.2)$$

Furthermore, for any $\lambda = (\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n) \in W\lambda_{\exp}$ we have

$$\lambda = w_\lambda \lambda_{\exp} + \sum_{j=1}^n r_j c_j, \quad \text{with} \quad c_j := \left\lfloor \frac{\lambda_j - \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \lambda_k}{n} \right\rfloor \quad \text{and} \quad w_\lambda \in S_n. \quad (6.3)$$
More specifically, $w_{\lambda}$ is the permutation of $\{0, 1, \ldots, n - 1\}$ that sends $j - 1$ to $((\lambda_j - \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \lambda_k) \mod n)$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. This shows us that the degree $d$ of $\psi_{\lambda}(x, z)$, as defined in Section 3, is $d = c_1 + \cdots + c_n$.

In Figure 1, we have the simple roots of $A_2$ in blue. The base point corresponding to $\lambda_{\exp}$ and its permutations are in cyan. The fundamental alcove, containing the base point, is in green. The possible one-step, two-step, and three-step Darboux transformations of the base point are in red, black, and orange, respectively.

Since for any $\lambda$ we have $L_{\lambda} = L_{w_{\lambda}\lambda}$, we can assume from the start that $w_{\lambda}$ is trivial to ease the technical notation for the computation of the Darboux transformation. Hence, for $\lambda \in P$ of the form in (6.3) with $w_{\lambda} = 1$, we define the set of exceptional degrees to be

$$U_{\lambda} = \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} \{j, j + n, j + 2n \ldots, j + (c_j - 1)n\}. \quad (6.4)$$

Then, $\psi_{\lambda}(x, z)$ is the bispectral Darboux transformation of degree $d$ from the exponential function $\psi_{\lambda_{\exp}}(x, z) = e^{xz}$ explicitly given by

$$\psi_{\lambda}(x, z) = \frac{1}{x^d z^d} \prod_{k \in U_{\lambda}} (z \partial_z - k) \cdot e^{xz} \quad \text{with} \quad d = |U_{\lambda}|. \quad (6.5)$$

The corresponding point in the adelic Grassmannian $\Gr^{ad}$ is

$$W_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{z^d} \Span\{z^k : 0 \leq k \not\in U_{\lambda}\}.$$
It follows from (6.5) that \( \psi_{\text{Be}(y)}(x, z) = \psi_{(-y, y+1)}(x, z) \) for the Bessel functions defined in (3.9). The next theorem describes the left and right Fourier algebras of generalized Bessel functions.

**Theorem 6.1.** Consider the generalized Bessel function \( \psi_\lambda(x, z) \). Since \( \psi_\lambda(x, z) = \psi_\lambda(z, x) \), the left and right Fourier algebras are isomorphic via

\[
F_x(\psi_\lambda) \to F_z(\psi_\lambda) : L(x, \partial_x) \mapsto L(z, \partial_z).
\]

Furthermore

\[
P_z(\psi_\lambda) = \text{Span}_C \left\{ z^r f(z \partial_z) : r \in \mathbb{Z}, f(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda], \text{ and } f(n - d) = 0 \forall n + r \notin \mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus U_\lambda \right\}
\]

(6.6)

and the bifiltration satisfies

\[
P^{\ell, m}_x(\psi_\lambda) = \text{Span} \{ x^r f(x \partial_x) \in F_x(\psi_\lambda) : \deg(f) \leq \ell, \deg(f) + r \leq m \}.
\]

(6.7)

**Proof.** First note that the right Fourier algebra \( F_z(\psi_\lambda) \) contains \( S(z, \partial_z) := z \partial_z \). The action of \( \text{ad}_S \) on \( F_z(\psi_\lambda) \) preserves \( P^{\ell, m}_z(\psi_\lambda) \) for all integers \( \ell, m \). A differential operator with rational coefficients \( R(z, \partial_z) \) is an eigenfunction of \( \text{ad}_S \) if and only if \( R(z, \partial_z) = z^r f(S(z, \partial_z)) \) for some polynomial \( f(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda] \). By choosing a basis for \( P^{\ell, m}_z(\psi_\lambda) \) consisting of eigenvectors of \( \text{ad}_S \), we see that \( P^{\ell, m}_z(\psi_\lambda) \) is spanned by differential operators of this form. Thus so too is \( F_z(\psi_\lambda) \).

Now if we recall that \( W_\lambda = \frac{1}{z^d} \text{Span} \{ z^k : \mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus U_\lambda \} \) and that

\[
P_z(\psi_\lambda) = \text{Span}_C \{ z^r f(S(z, \partial_z)) : r \in \mathbb{Z}, f(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda], z^r f(S(z, \partial_z)) \cdot W_\lambda \subseteq W_\lambda \},
\]

the expression (6.6) follows immediately.

The generalized Fourier map sends \( x \partial_x \) to \( z \partial_z \). Since \( \text{ad}_S(z^r f(S(z, \partial_z))) = rz^r f(S(z, \partial_z)) \), the preimage of \( z^r f(S(z, \partial_z)) \in F_z(\psi_\lambda) \) under \( b_{\psi_\lambda} \) must be an eigenfunction of \( \text{ad}_S \) with eigenvalue \( -r \), that is, of the form \( x^{-r} g(S(x, \partial_x)) \).

The generalized Fourier map sends a differential operator with leading coefficient \( x^j \partial_x^k \) to a differential operator with leading coefficient \( z^k \partial_z^j \), and consequently the bifiltration is given by (6.7). \( \square \)

### 6.3 The step one KdV case, [16]

Consider the \( \tau \)-function \( \tau(x) = x^3 - 3t_3 \). The wave functions of the KP flow corresponding to this \( \tau \)-function classify the first step bispectral functions in the KdV family of [16, Eq. 1.39], and are given by

\[
\psi(x, z) = \frac{(x - z)^3 + z^{-3} - 3t_3}{x^3 - 3t_3} e^{xz}.
\]

We can rewrite this as

\[
\psi(x, z) = \frac{x^3}{x^3 - 3t_3} \psi_{(-2,3)}(x, z) + \frac{-3t_3}{x^3 - 3t_3} \psi_{(0,1)}(x, z).
\]
The function \( \psi_{(0,1)}(x,z) = \psi_{\text{exp}}(x,z) \) is the base point of the level two lattice of Bessel functions in Section 6.2, and the function \( \psi_{(-2,3)}(x,z) \) is a one-step Darboux transformation from it.

Let us consider the integral operator \( T_\psi \) given by the kernel

\[
K_\psi(z, \xi) := \int_0^\infty \psi(x, -z)\psi^\dagger(x, -\xi)dx = e^{-r(z+\xi)} \frac{(r + z^{-1} + \xi^{-1})^3 - 3t_3z^{-3} - \xi^{-3}}{(r^3 - 3t_3)(z + \xi)}. 
\]

By theorem A we know that \( T_\psi \) reflects a differential operator. We explicitly build such operator, which is in \( F_z(\psi_{(0,2)}) \cap F_z(\psi_{(-2,3)}) \), and is therefore independent of \( t_3 \). Note that Theorem D guarantees the existence of an operator in this intersection that is reflected by \( T_\psi \), and in particular by the kernels associated to \( \psi_{(0,2)} \) and \( \psi_{(-2,3)} \), which are limiting cases of \( K_\psi \). By Theorem 6.1 we can write it in the form

\[
R(z, \partial_z) = \sum_{r=-4}^{5} z^r f_r(z \partial_z),
\]

for some polynomials \( f_r(u) \in \mathbb{C}[u] \) which satisfy \( f_r(n-2) = 0 \) whenever \( n \in \{0, 2, 4, 5, 6, \ldots \} \) and \( n+r \not\in \{0, 2, 4, 5, 6, \ldots \} \). If we further require our operator to be self-adjoint, we can require the polynomials to satisfy the additional symmetry \( f_r(u) = f_r(-u-1-r) \). A reflected operator whose polynomials satisfy this condition is specifically calculated to be

\[
\begin{align*}
f_{-4}(u) &= -it^3u(u-5)(u-3)(u-2)(u-1)(u+2), \\
f_{-3}(u) &= 3it^2u(u-4)(u-2)(u-1)^2(u+2), \\
f_{-2}(u) &= -(3/2)itu(u-3)(u-1)(u+2)(-r^2t^2 + 2u^2 - 2u), \\
f_{-1}(u) &= iu^2(u-2)(u+2)(-6r^2t^2 + u^2 - 1), \\
f_0(u) &= (3/2)ir^2tu(u+1)(5u^2 + 5u - 8), \\
f_1(u) &= -3ir^2u(u+2)(-rt + u + 1)(rt + u + 1), \\
f_2(u) &= -(1/2)ir^4t(r^2t^2 + 12u^2 + 36u + 18), \\
f_3(u) &= 3ir^4(u+2)^2, \\
f_4(u) &= (3/2)ir^6t, \\
f_5(u) &= -ir^6.
\end{align*}
\]

After a change of variables \( z \mapsto iz, \ t \mapsto it, \ \partial_z \mapsto -i\partial_z \), we obtain an operator which commutes with the integral operator given by

\[
f(\xi) \mapsto \int_r^\infty \int_{\xi}^{\infty} \psi(x, iz)\overline{\psi(x, i\xi)}f(\xi)d\xi dx.
\]

Specifically, we obtain the self-adjoint differential operator (with respect to (3.7))

\[
\tilde{R}_{r,t}(z, \partial_z) = R_{r,t}(iz, -i\partial_z) = \sum_{j=0}^{3} \delta_z^k a_k(z)\delta_z^k
\]

with
\[ a_0(z) = \frac{1}{6} z^2 (3t^3 r^6 - 54t r^4) + z^5 r^6 - \frac{3t z^4 r^6}{2} + 12z^3 r^4, \]
\[ a_1(z) = (z - t) \left( 3z^4 r^4 - 3tz^3 r^4 + 12z^2 r^2 + 9t z r^2 - 9r^2 t^2 \right), \]
\[ a_2(z) = (z - t)^2 \left( 3z^3 r^2 - \frac{3}{2} t z^2 r^2 + 12t \right), \quad a_3(z) = (z - t)^3 z^2. \]

However, if we do not mind a dependence on the parameter \( t_3 \) then we can get a differential operator of much smaller order. Applying Theorem B, we obtain that \( T_\psi \) reflects a differential operator of order three, namely the operator
\[
R_{r,t}(z, \partial_z) = -(z - t)^2 z \partial_z^3 + \left( r t^3 - 3r t z^2 + 2r z^3 - 3 t_3 t_2 z^2 + 3 t_2^2 t_1 z^3 - \frac{3}{2} t^2 z + 6t z - \frac{9}{2} z^2 \right) \partial_z^2 
\]
\[
+ (r^2 t z - r z^3 + 6r t_3 t_2 z^2 - 6r t_2 t_1 z^3 - 6r t z + 6 r z^2 - 6 t_3 t_2 z^2 + 6 t_2 t_1 z^3 + t^2 z^2 - 6 t - z) \partial_z 
\]
\[
- 6rt^3 z^2 - 3t_2^2 z^2 + r^3 t z^2 - 3r^2 t_1 t_2 z^2 + 3r^2 t_1 t_3 z^3 - \frac{3}{2} r^2 z^2 + 6r t_1 z - 9r t^2 t_3 z^2 
\]
\[
+ 3t^2 t z + 3.
\]

### 6.4 The Young diagram (2,2)

Consider the Schur tau function
\[ \tau(x) = x^4 - 12xt_3 + 12t_2^2 \]
corresponding to the Young diagram (2,2).

The wave functions of the associated KP flow are
\[ \psi(x, z) = e^{xz} \left( x - z^{-1} \right)^4 - 12 \left( x - z^{-1} \right) (t_3 - z^{-3}/3) + 12 (t_2 - z^{-2}/2)^2 \]
\[ \frac{x^4 - 12xt_3 + 12t_2^2}{x^4 - 12xt_3 + 12t_2^2}. \]

This can be rewritten as
\[
\psi(x, z) = \frac{x^4 - 2t_3 x^2}{\tau(x)} \psi_{(-1,-2,4,5)}(x, z) 
\]
\[ + \frac{8t_3 x^2 - 12xt_3}{\tau(x)} \psi_{(-1,1,2,4)}(x, z) + \frac{12t_2^2 - 6x^2 t_2}{\tau(x)} \psi_{(0,1,2,3)}(x, z). \]

The third wave function on the right hand side is the base point \( \psi_{\lambda_{\text{exp}}}(x, z) \) of the lattice of level four Bessel functions in Section 6.2, while the second and first wave functions are one-step and two-step Darboux transformations from it, respectively.
As in previous examples, we consider the integral operator \( T_\psi \) with kernel

\[
K_\psi(z, \xi) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(x, -z)\psi(x, -\xi)dx
\]

\[
= e^{-r(z+\xi)} \left( \frac{r + \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{\xi}}{z + \xi} \right)^4 -12 \left( \frac{r + \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{\xi}}{z + \xi} \right) \left( t_3 + \frac{1}{3z^3} + \frac{1}{3\xi^3} \right) + 12 \left( t_2 - \frac{1}{2z^2} + \frac{1}{2\xi^2} \right)^2
\]

\[
(z + \xi)(r^4 - 12rt_3 + 12t_2^2)
\]

By Theorem A we know that \( T_\psi \) reflects a differential operator. We explicitly build such operator, which is in the intersection

\[
F_z(\psi(-1,-2,4,5)) \cap F_z(\psi(-1,1,2,4)) \cap F_z(\psi(0,1,2,3)),
\]

and is therefore independent of \( t_2 \) and \( t_3 \). Note that its existence is guaranteed by Theorem D. It will necessarily be reflected by the integral operators with kernels associated to \( \psi(-1,-2,4,5) \), \( \psi(-1,1,2,4) \) and \( \psi(0,1,2,3) \), appearing as limiting cases of \( K_\psi \). By Theorem 6.1, we can write it in the form

\[
R(z, \partial_z) = \sum_{r=0}^{6} z^r f_r(z\partial_z)
\]

for some polynomials \( f_r(u) \in \mathbb{C}[u] \). The constructed reflected operator is order four and the polynomials are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_0(u) &= t^2u(u^3 + 2u^2 - u - 2), \\
    f_1(u) &= -2tu(u + 1)(4rt + u^2 + u(2rt + 3) + 2), \\
    f_2(u) &= (u + 1)(u + 2)(6r^2t^2 + 12rt + u^2 + u(8rt + 3) + 2), \\
    f_3(u) &= -4r(u + 2)(r^2t^2 + 6rt + u^2 + u(3rt + 4) + 4), \\
    f_4(u) &= r^2(t^2 + 20rt + 6u^2 + 2u(4rt + 15) + 38), \\
    f_5(u) &= -2r^3(rt + 2u + 6), \\
    f_6(u) &= r^4.
\end{align*}
\]

The corresponding fourth order differential operator

\[
\bar{R}_r(z, \partial_z) = R_{r,ii}(iz, -i\partial_z) = -\partial_z^2(z-t)^2z^4\partial_z^2
\]

\[
+2ri\{\partial_z^3, z^4(z-t)^2\} + 2\partial_zz^3(3r^2z(z-t)^2 - 4)(z-t)\partial_z
\]

\[
-2ri\{\partial_z, z^2((r^2z^2 + 12)(z-t)^2 - 12tz + 14z^2)\}
\]

\[
+z^2(-r^4z^2(z-t)^2 + 2r^2(6t^2 - 24tz + 19z^2) - 4).
\]

is clearly self-adjoint and by Theorem C, it commutes with the integral operator given by

\[
U_\psi : f(\xi) \mapsto \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(x, iz)\psi(x, -i\xi)f(\xi)d\xi dx.
\]
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