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Abstract
Every human being has the rights to be protected from discrimination by any party, especially the act of gross human rights violations. In order to prevent this, the Security Council has a function to secure international peace and security from threats to international peace. One of the case that is becoming an international concern is the human rights violations on Rohingya by Myanmar Military. In its implementation, the UN Security Council can intervene a country known to violate human rights of its people, however the Security Council's intervention caused a controversy that questioned the validity of the intervention by Security Council. Thus, the purpose of this research is to find out whether the situation in Myanmar is valid for the UN Security Council to carry out humanitarian interventions. Accordingly, this research also analyzes legal measures by the UN Security Council in dealing with human rights violations in Myanmar.
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1. Introduction
About 500,000 people of Rohingya ethnicity have fled Myanmar to neighboring countries due to torture, rape, and killing of Myanmar security forces against the Rohingya. As a stateless minority, this ethnic group has experienced prolonged discrimination since 1948 in Myanmar through restrictions on rights. The massacres were systematically intensified in the aftermath of the military coup in 1962. The Tatmadaw (Myanmar Armed Forces), led by General Ne Win seized power from the elected civilian government in 1962. General Ne Win seems to harbor strong animosity towards the Rohingya ethnic group. In accordance with Article 3 of Burma Citizenship Law 1982, stated that:

"Nationals such as the Kachin, Kayah, Karen, Chin, Burman, Mon, Rakhine or Shan and ethnic groups as have settled in any of the territories included within the State as their permanent home from period anterior to 1185 B.C., 1823 A.D. are Burma citizens."

Based on this article, Rohingya ethnic groups should have Myanmar citizenship, because since the beginning, a group of Muslims have lived in the northern Rakhine region and call themselves Rohingya. However, Article 4 of the Burma Citizenship Law 1982 states that "the Council of State may decide
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whether any ethnic group is national or not”. Myanmar insists that there is no such ethnic group as the Rohingya in Rakhine state, claiming instead that they are “illegal immigrants” from Bangladesh. The Myanmar government refuses to recognize the Rohingya as citizens, effectively rendering the majority of them stateless. However, this situation continued until the major crisis experienced by the Rohingya in 2017. More than 650,000 ethnic Rohingya have fled from violence and persecution in Rakhine. This brings the total number of Rohingya refugees in Bangladesh to over 900,000. The Bangladesh government in Cox's Bazar has difficulty handling the arriving Rohingya refugees, due to constraints on funding, poor coordination and planning due to the high increase in the number of refugees.

2. Method

This study uses a qualitative research model. Qualitative research aims to obtain a complete picture of something according to the human perspective being studied. Qualitative research deals with the ideas, perceptions, opinions, or beliefs of the person being studied; they cannot be measured by numbers. From the above opinion, it can be seen that qualitative research is research that is used if the research factors cannot be quantified or cannot be calculated so that variables cannot be expressed in numbers such as perceptions and opinions. The qualitative research steps that the researcher uses are divided into three steps. The first step is to consider and select a research topic, state the problem and formulate theory, facts and predecessor analysis based on secondary data. The second step is to develop a framework for completing the research. The third step is collecting data, completing research, focused activities, narrowing data collection and conducting analysis for discussion and conclusions from the collected library data.

In this study, the approach method used is the normative juridical research method, so the research is focused on examining the application of the rules or norms in positive law by examining secondary data as the basic material for research by conducting a search of regulations and literatures related to the problem.  

3. Conceptual Framework

4. Gross Violation of Human Rights

Gross violation of human rights have a wide impact both at the national and international levels which constitute a feeling of insecurity for both individuals and society, so it is necessary to immediately restore it in realizing the rule of law to achieve peace, order, peace, justice and public welfare. Gross violation of human rights are also interpreted as an offense that leads to violations, as a means for the achievement of government policies carried out in a certain quantity and in a way to create a situation for life, the right to personal integration or the right to personal freedom of the population as a whole are constantly being violated or threatened.

However, until now there has been no standard definition of gross violation of human rights. Judging from the various used terms, there are those that use the term gross and systematic violations, the most serious crimes, gross violations, grave violations and so on. Under the Rome Statute 1998, gross violation of
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human rights are listed as the most serious crimes that are of concern to the international community in their prevention.\textsuperscript{15} Although it does not provide a detailed definition, Article 5 of the Rome Statute 1998 explains that acts that are defined or considered as gross human rights violations are genocide, crimes against humanity, war crimes and crimes of aggression.\textsuperscript{16}

Gross violation of human rights is an extra ordinary crime and has a wide impact both at the national and international levels which constitutes a feeling of insecurity for both individuals and society, so it is necessary to immediately restore it in realizing the rule of law to achieve peace, order, peace, justice, and public welfare.\textsuperscript{17} There is no doubt that gross human rights violations can be said to be an international problem. Serious human rights violations are no longer an internal problem for the country concerned.\textsuperscript{18} Thus, actions such as investigations, discussions, and condemnation of gross human rights violations in a country are valid.\textsuperscript{19}

5. \textbf{Forms of Gross Violation of Human Rights}

According to the 1998 Rome Statute, there are four actions that are said to be the most serious crimes, namely genocide, crimes against humanity, war crimes and crimes of aggression.\textsuperscript{20} But this discussion will only discuss two crimes, namely genocide and crimes against humanity. Because these two crimes are relevant to be discussed with gross human rights violations against the Rohingya ethnicity. The crimes that constitute gross human rights violations against the Rohingya can be classified as follows:

\subsection{5.1 Genocide}

Genocide is a crime that first attracted international attention, namely the creation of the Genocide Convention in 1948 as an implication of the Nuremberg trials which tried Nazi leaders who systematically attacked groups of the Jewish community.\textsuperscript{21} Article 1 of the convention states that genocide committed in times of peace or war is a crime committed under international law. Genocide is a crime committed with the intention of destroying national, ethnic, racial or religious groups in whole or in part, as follows:\textsuperscript{22}

\begin{enumerate}
  \item Killing members of the group;
  \item Causing serious bodily or mental harm to members of the group;
  \item Deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part;
  \item Imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group;
  \item Forcibly transferring children of the group to another group.
\end{enumerate}

\subsection{5.1.2 Crimes Against Humanity}

According to Article 7 paragraph (1) of Rome Statute 1998, crimes against humanity means any of the following acts when committed as part of a widespread or systematic attack directed against any civilian population, with knowledge of the attack:\textsuperscript{23}

\begin{enumerate}
  \item Murder;
  \item Extermination;
  \item Enslavement;
  \item Deportation or forcible transfer of population;
\end{enumerate}
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6. The Powers of United Nations Security Council
The UN Security Council is authorized by the UN Charter to maintain international peace and security by resolving these disputes in accordance with the rules of the UN Charter. All UN members must approve and implement UN Security Council decisions. Whereas other UN organs are only limited to providing recommendations to member countries, only the UN Security Council has the power to make decisions which are then obliged to be implemented by member countries under the UN Charter. These decisions or these UN Security Council resolutions must be implemented by all the actors involved.

6.1.1 Protecting International Peace and Security
Article 34 of the UN Charter states that the UN Security Council has the authority to carry out investigations into situations that are considered to threaten international peace and security, but this does not mean that exclusive access is given to the UN Security Council as the only organ that can carry out investigations. Chapter VI of the 1945 UN Charter deals with peaceful conflict management means, if there is no outcome aimed at creating peace, the Security Council is given the authority under chapter VII of the 1945 UN Charter in determining whether or not there is a threat, violation or aggression against peace. Article 39 of the 1945 UN Charter authorizes the UN Security Council to impose sanctions with a broad interpretation in accordance with its powers.

Although the threat to international peace and security is not given clear criteria by the 1945 UN Charter, in its development, in addition to the existence of a conflict between two countries which is considered a threat to international peace and security, violent conflict within a country can also be said to be a threat against international peace and security. This is based on Security Council Resolution 713 which states that there is a threat to international peace and security in the Yugoslav internal conflict in 1991 between the Yugoslav governments and Slovenia and Croatia which recently declared their independence. In determining whether there is a threat or not, it can be interpreted broadly as long as there is agreement among the permanent members of the UN Security Council.

6.1.2 Consider and Recommend Dispute Resolution Procedures
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In chapter VI of the Charter of the United Nations, the UN Security Council is empowered to make recommendations on dispute resolution procedures. The steps the Security Council can take are as follows:

a. Call all parties to use peaceful means if the situation jeopardizes international peace and security;\(^{35}\)
b. Recommend dispute resolution mechanisms;\(^{36}\)
c. Recommend appropriate procedures or methods for dispute resolution, and consider submitting legal disputes to the International Court of Justice (“ICJ”).\(^{37}\)

In chapter VII of the UN Charter, the UN Security Council can resolve disputes using non-military and military mechanisms. Article 39 of the 1945 UN Charter refers to Articles 41 and 42 to implement the sanctions to be imposed by the UN Security Council. In other words, if the criteria of Article 39 have been fulfilled, the UN Security Council can take decisive steps to protect international peace and security under Articles 41 and 42 of the 1945 UN Charter.

7. Result and Discussion

8. The Legality of Gross Human Rights Violations in Myanmar

Humanitarian intervention in general is an effort to prevent or stop serious human rights violations with certain powers (diplomatic and military) in a country, either with or without the consent of countries experiencing internal conflicts.\(^{38}\) When a humanitarian problem occurs in a country that is a gross violation of human rights, the international community is justified in taking an intervention.\(^{39}\)

J.G Starke in his statement, believes that intervention is not necessarily an act against the law, for certain reasons, namely:\(^{40}\)

a. Collective interventions defined in the Charter of the United Nations;\(^{41}\)
b. To protect the rights and interests of other citizens;\(^{42}\)
c. Self defense;\(^{43}\)
d. If the country to be intervened is deemed to have committed serious international violations.\(^{44}\)

Article 4 of the Burma Citizenship Law 1982 stipulates that the Rohingya ethnicity is not recognized as an official ethnicity from Myanmar, because the government has the authority to decide whether an ethnicity can be considered Myanmar citizens, but the fact is Myanmar insists that there is no group like the Rohingya Rakhine states, on the other hand, claim they are "illegal immigrants" from Bangladesh.\(^{45}\) They refuse to recognize them as citizens, effectively rendering the Rohingya stateless.\(^{46}\) The loss of Rohingya ethnic citizenship will certainly affect the condition of children born in this ethnicity. Myanmar must ensure the implementation of the above rights in accordance with the laws of the respective countries and their obligations under the relevant international instruments in this field, especially if the child is declared stateless. On the other hand, the Government of Myanmar has ratified the 1989 Convention on the Rights of the Child in 1991\(^{47}\) and is obliged to grant citizenship status to children born in Myanmar who are declared stateless in accordance with Article 7 of Convention on the Rights of the Child 1991. Myanmar has ratified several international conventions related to human rights. Based on this action, Myanmar accepts an international legal obligation to comply with the convention's rules in good faith and

\(^{35}\) Article 33 ayat (2), United Nations Charter 1945.
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cannot use its national law as an excuse to violate the obligations of international conventions that have been previously ratified, this is based on Article 27 of Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties 1969. (“VCLT 1969”). The following are international conventions related to human rights that have been ratified by Myanmar, including48:

a. International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights;
b. Convention against Torture and Other Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment;
c. Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance;
d. International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights;
e. Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide;
f. International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination;
g. Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women;
h. International Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women and Children;
i. Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities;
j. Convention on the Rights of the Child;
k. Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child on the involvement of children in armed conflict.

Based on Article 26 of VCLT 1969, a country that has ratified and committed to comply with an international convention reflects that the country is willing to implement the contents of the convention in good faith. If a country does not fulfilled the provisions in accordance with the relevant international convention, then that country is deemed to have violated its legal obligations under the convention.49 Referring to Article 27 of the VCLT 1969, a state cannot argue its internal legal provisions to justify its failure to carry out its obligations under international conventions. The violation of the rules of a convention also does not merely cause the country to end the agreement unilaterally.50 If a state violates its obligations under an international convention, that state has an obligation to remedy the consequences of its actions with reasonable effort, and this obligation is not required to be stated in the violated international convention.51 Based on these facts, we can argue that Myanmar has clearly violate international law due to its behavior towards the Rohingya ethnicity.

Myanmar's actions against the Rohingya people violate many fundamental rights of the Rohingya, including the rights provided under the ICCPR. The Myanmar authorities have engaged in a deliberate campaign to make it difficult for the Rohingya in a restricted form of self-identification.52 The government has made it difficult for Rohingya people to register their newborn on the family card.53 Apart from discrimination against newborns, in northern Rakhine State, Rohingyan who are not at home at the time of the annual population census are at risk of being deleted from official records. One consequence of this campaign is that, it is nearly impossible for Rohingya who have left Myanmar to return to their homes. This has continued into 2017 which has driven more than 700,000 Rohingya to flee to Bangladesh, where they are living in refugee camps in dire conditions.54

The form of discrimination that has developed for a very long time has also led to many acts demeaning the Rohingya, even to the ethnic cleansing phase. Other serious human rights violations, namely genocide were also carried out by Myanmar Military, which had committed acts that caused the extinction of all or part of the Rohingya ethnic, such as killing members of the Rohingya ethnic group, destroying the body or mind of the Rohingya ethnic members and deliberately causing physical damage in whole or in part.

48 United Nations Human Rights Office of the High Commissioner, “Ratification Status for Myanmar”, 2021, https://tbinternet.ohchr.org/_layouts/15/TreatyBodyExternal/Treaty.aspx?CountryID=119&Lang=EN on May 2nd 2021.
49 Curtis A. Bradley, “Violation of Treaty Obligation”, American Journal of International Law, Vol. 29, 2016, pg. 1077.
50 Ibid.
51 Publication of Permanent Court of International Justice, “Collection of Judgements, Series A, No 9”, International Court of Justice, 1927.
52 Amnesty International, " Myanmar: Rohingya trapped in dehumanising apartheid regime". https://www.amnesty.org/en/latest/news/2017/11/myanmar-rohingya-trapped-in-dehumanising-apartheid-regime/ on May 1st 2021.
53 Ibid.
54 Ibid.
towards the Rohingyan.\textsuperscript{55}

The fact-finding mission states that the actions that have been carried out by Myanmar Military are considered as genocide, because the actions taken were aimed at eliminating the Rohingya ethnicity who are one of the groups protected from the 1948 Genocide Convention.\textsuperscript{56} What is interesting is that Myanmar has ratified the 1948 Genocide Convention in 1956\textsuperscript{57}, which means that Myanmar clearly violates Article 2 of the 1948 Genocide Convention.

Apart from genocide, Myanmar also conduct an act that can be classified as gross human rights violations, one of which is crimes against humanity. The crimes against humanity experienced by the Rohingya include mass and arbitrary killings, rape, torture, confiscation of land and buildings, forced labor and slavery, forced relocation, and extortion.\textsuperscript{58} This act of clearly violates article 7 of the 1998 Rome Statute and can be classified as most serious crimes according to the 1998 Rome Statute. Therefore, we argue that the situation in Myanmar could and should be classified as a gross violation of human rights.

9. \textbf{Humanitarian Intervention According to Chapter VII of the 1945 United Nations Charter}

Non-military intervention against Myanmar can be carried out by the UN Security Council by issuing a UN Security Council Resolution commanding Myanmar to establish an ad-hoc human rights court in Myanmar with the aim of restoring human rights violations that have occurred. The establishment of a human rights court within the national scope has also been carried out by the UN Security Council in Indonesia.\textsuperscript{59} The background of the establishment of a human rights court in Indonesia is the insistence of the UN Security Council through its resolution on Indonesia to immediately resolve the conflict in Timor-Timor.\textsuperscript{60} This resolution placed Indonesia under international pressure, thus urging Indonesia to immediately resolve this conflict.\textsuperscript{61} Indonesia then established a human rights court through government policy and succeeded in showing positive results, Indonesia could show the UN that the East Timor problem could be resolved by Indonesia itself, through the Indonesian legal system, and without foreign interference.\textsuperscript{62}

If the establishment of a human rights court is deemed impossible due to the response of the Myanmar government that is not willing to resolve this issue, the UN Security Council can establish a hybrid tribunal court to punish perpetrators of serious human rights crimes in Myanmar. The UN Security Council can implement binding Security Council Resolutions to create a hybrid tribunal that combines national and international elements such as the ECCC in Cambodia. This hybrid tribunal, which consists of Myanmar national and international organs, has the authority to judge according to the urgency of the gross human rights violations that have occurred, especially with the jurisdiction of genocide based on the 1948 Genocide Convention, crimes against humanity based on the 1998 Rome Statute and protection of citizenship status based on the 1961 Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness.

Another alternative dispute resolution mechanism that may be implemented by the UN Security Council is the establishment of an ad-hoc international criminal tribunal based on the UN Security Council Resolution which is in accordance with chapter VII of the UN Charter 1945 due to threats to international peace and security. An ad-hoc international criminal court has authorized the UN Security Council to take full control
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of the settlement of disputes that occurred in Myanmar, because Myanmar is considered unwilling to resolve various cases of gross human rights violations that have occurred against the Rohingya ethnicity.  

If non-military intervention has not yielded adequate results, then military intervention can be implemented through Article 42 of the 1945 UN Charter by deploying UN Peacekeeping Force inside the territory of Myanmar which aims to maintain security and restore human rights violations that have occurred. Through the UN Security Council Resolution, a UN peacekeeping force can be formed and demoted to Myanmar territory under the mandate of the UN Security Council. The mandate given to these troops is devoted to facilitating the process of protecting the Rohingya ethnic group from returning to their homes and the protection of humanitarian assistance aimed at ethnic Rohingya by the international community.

10. Humanitarian Intervention Implementation Through Coordination Between the UN Security Council and the International Criminal Court

Another mechanism that can be used by the UN Security Council is dispute resolution through the International Criminal Court (“ICC”). The ICC is a judicial institution established to resolve gross human rights violations. The ICC only has jurisdiction over the most serious crimes of international concern, which include genocide, crimes against humanity, war crimes and crimes of aggression. The main requirement for the ICC jurisdiction to be enacted is that in the event that the crime committed occurs within the territory of a party to the Rome Statute or the nationality of the perpetrator is a country that is a party to the Rome Statute.

The ICC prosecutes individuals, not groups or countries. Any individual suspected of having committed a crime within the jurisdiction of the ICC can be brought before the ICC. No one can be exempted from prosecution, regardless of what position he was during when the crime was committed. Heads of State or government, ministers or members of parliament are inseparable from the responsibility and prosecution of the ICC. In some circumstances, a person who is in charge of authority may even be held responsible for crimes committed by those acting under his orders.

Between the ICC and the UN Security Council, there are trigger mechanisms that can activate the jurisdiction of the ICC. According to Article 13 of the Rome Statute, the ICC can exercise its jurisdiction in the event that the prosecutor has been granted authority through:

a. The UN Security Council acting under the authority of chapter VII of the UN Charter;

b. States parties to the Rome Statute;

c. The prosecutor's own initiative (proprio motu) is based on information received from certain sources.

Based on the various possible legal remedies that can be made by the UN Security Council to solve the gross human rights violations towards the Rohingya ethnicity, the author conclude that the most ideal mechanisms to use are dispute resolution efforts through the ICC and the implementation of the UN Peacekeeping force to protect the Rohingyan. Unlike the local human rights tribunal, ad-hoc tribunal and hybrid tribunal, the ICC is an independent and permanent court based on an international treaty, namely the 1998 Rome Statute. The ICC has clear and appropriate adjudicatory powers to punish perpetrators of genocide crimes and crimes against humanity in Myanmar. The author argues that there is no urgency for the UN Security Council to form a new judiciary because of the role of the ICC. Apart from punishing the perpetrators of gross human rights violations, other mechanisms are also needed to maintain the security of the Rohingyan so that this
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alarming situation can be solved. The UN peacekeeping force is needed with the urgency to return Rohingya refugees to their homes in Myanmar and to maintain the continuity of humanitarian assistance for the Rohingya ethnicity.

11. Conclusion
The Rohingya ethnic conflict in Myanmar has met the indicators of a situation that threatens international peace and security, based on the gross human rights violations committed by the Myanmar military. Therefore, the UN Security Council can carry out humanitarian intervention against Myanmar strictly based on the powers it has under Chapter VII of the 1945 UN Charter and the UN Security Council should immediately issue a UN Security Council Resolution stating that this case is a situation that threatens international peace and security and refer this case of Rohingya to the ICC so that perpetrators of crimes against serious human rights violations towards the Rohingya ethnicity can be brought to justice. In establishing the UN Peacekeeping Force, the UN Security Council must pay attention to the ongoing supervision of the UN peacekeeping force in the process of returning the Rohingya to Myanmar and providing humanitarian assistance to the Rohingya so that this situation of gross human rights violations can be restored as soon as possible.
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