Quality of life in patients with intermittent claudication

Introduction

Peripheral arterial disease (PAD) is a common chronic condition that can cause lower extremity pain when walking; classically known as intermittent claudication (IC). Clinically patients have diminished or absent pulse on physical examination and an ankle-brachial pressure index (ABPI) of <0.9 [28]. The prevalence of PAD is around 4% increasing with age, gender, ethnicity and rises up to and above 10% over the age of 70 years [27].

The impact of PAD on quality of life has been well demonstrated [31], with IC not only affecting walking distance, capacity and physical activity but social function, emotional well-being and mental health [35]. The primary treatment aim is therefore not only to improve blood flow into the leg but also the quality of life for the patient. National governing bodies recommend a supervised exercise programme as the first line treatment, along with best medical therapy [25]. If supervised exercise is not feasible, acceptable or accessible for patients [17] then more invasive therapies, such as angioplasty or bypass surgery may be utilised. Quality of life (QoL) is an important outcome measure with the World Health Organization (WHO) defining it as “physical, social and mental well-being and not just an absence of infirmity” [31]. Since QoL is an important outcome indicator of treatment success, most clinical trials include some form of QoL measure amongst their outcomes. The QoL can be measured with either generic or disease-specific questionnaires and although there are a multitude of questionnaires available for use in the PAD population, no consensus exists as to which questionnaire is the
### Table 1  Summary of the study characteristics including all values for PAD patients (ABPI is taken at rest in the worst affected limb and data are presented as mean ± SD)

| Author, year | Sample size (n) | Age (years) | Males (%) | ABPI | Intervention | QoL used |
|--------------|----------------|-------------|-----------|------|--------------|----------|
| Shurique et al. [37] | 96 | 59 ± 15.6 | 65 (67.7) | Not reported | Bypass surgery | AUSVIQOL – Arabic |
| Haitjema et al. [16] | 865 | 68 | 623 (72.0) | 0.59 ± 0.21 | Endarterectomy | SF36 |
| Prévost et al. [36] | 46 | 60.3 ± 8 | 40 (87.0) | 0.7 ± 0.1 | Home exercise programme | SF36 – French |
| Maksimovic et al. [28] | 102 | 68.9 ± 8 | 33 (32.4) | Not reported | None | SF36 – Serbian |
| Inglis et al. [21] | 173 | 60.5 ± 15.4 | 70 (40.5) | Not reported | None | SF12 |
| Guidon and McGee 2013 [14] | 44 | 67 ± 8 | 33 (75.0) | 0.77 ± 0.21 | Supervised exercise | WIQ SF36 ICQ |
| Fritschi et al. [12] | 105 | 68.9 ± 8.35 | 64 (61.0) | Not reported | None | SF36 WIQ |
| Frans et al. [11] | 40 | 67 | 25 (62.5) | 0.67 ± 0.21 | None | SF36 VascuQoL ALDS |
| Lee et al. [26] | 63 | 55 ± 12 | 15 (23.8) | Not reported | None | PAQ |
| Fakhry et al. [10] | 217 | 67.5 ± 9.5 | 135 (62.2) | 0.62 ± 0.19 | Home exercise programme vs. supervised exercise programme | SF36 EuroQoL VascuQoL |
| Malagoni et al. [29] | 250 | 70.5 ± 9.2 | 191 (76.4) | Not reported | Home exercise programme | SF36 |
| Yan et al. [42] | 134 | 71 ± 9 | 94 (70.1) | 0.6 ± 0.2 | None | WIQ |
| Hedeager Momsen et al. [19] | 88 | 67.4 ± 6.9 | 56 (63.6) | 0.53 | Revascularisation | SF36 WIQ |
| Leicht et al. [27] | 28 | 69 ± 7.6 | 22 (78.6) | 0.7 ± 0.1 | None | ICQ SF36 |
| Tsai et al. [39] | 53 | 76.2 ± 3.7 | 44 (83.0) | 0.7 ± 0.1 | Supervised exercise programme | SF36 – Chinese |
| Breek et al. [6] | 151 | 63 | 100 (66.2) | Not reported | None | WHOQoL-100 |
| Bosch and Hunink [4] | 254 | 58 | 183 (72.0) | Not reported | None | RAND-36 HUI3 EQ5D |
| Hicken et al. [20] | 96 | 68 | 64 (66.7) | >0.9 | None | EQ5D |
| Mangiafico et al. [30] | 42 | 64 ± 8 | 37 (88.1) | 0.55 ± 0.22 | Drug intervention (prostaglandin) | WIQ RAND-36 |
| Bartman et al. [2] | 44 | 70.5 ± 6 | 43 (97.7) | <0.9 | None | SF36 HUI3 Rating scale |
| Cook and Galland [9] | 24 | 66 | 12 (50.0) | Not reported | Revascularisation | EuroQoL Walking distance score Visual analog scale |
| Je et al. [22] | 149 | 70.3 ± 9.7 | 125 (83.9) | 0.75 ± 0.24 | Revascularisation | PAQ |
| Oka and Sanders [34] | 74 | 72 ± 7 | 56 (75.7) | 0.67 ± 0.14 | None | SF36 |
most appropriate in this group. To date, there has been no systematic review of QoL assessment methods and outcomes in clinical trials involving claudicants or following interventional procedures for PAD. The following review aims to correct this deficit in the literature.

Methods

Search strategy

A systematic review of randomised clinical trials including a primary analysis of QoL via questionnaire was performed. The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines was used for reporting search results.

Inclusion criteria

Trials involving patients with diagnosed PAD were included (either clinically or by questionnaire). Any study involving patients with critical limb ischemia or self-reported PAD status was excluded. Any trial which had QoL as the primary outcome data was included with no limit being placed on the type of questionnaire used.

Database search

This systematic search of the MEDLINE, CENTRAL and Embase databases was performed. The search strategy aimed to include any trial where QoL was specified as the primary outcome measure. Search terms used were: “intermittent claudication” [OR] “peripheral arterial disease” [AND] “QUALITY OF LIFE” [OR] “SF36” [OR] “QUESTIONNAIRE” [OR] “EQ5D” [OR] “VASCUQOL”.

Searches were limited to run from 1947 to September 2016, full text articles related to adults over the age of 18 years and published in the English language. Abstracts were independently assessed for relevance by two reviewers (A. H & J. T). Citations from the full texts of relevant reports were hand searched for other relevant references.
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Quality of life in patients with intermittent claudication

**Abstract**

**Background.** Intermittent claudication (IC) is a common condition that causes pain in the lower limbs when walking and has been shown to severely impact the quality of life (QoL) of patients. The QoL is therefore often regarded as an important measure in clinical trials investigating intermittent claudication. To date, no consensus exists on the type of life questionnaire to be used. This review aims to examine the QoL questionnaires used in trials investigating peripheral arterial disease (PAD).

**Material and methods.** A systematic review of randomised clinical trials including a primary analysis of QoL via questionnaire was performed. Trials involving patients with diagnosed PAD were included (either clinically or by questionnaire). Any trial which had QoL as the primary outcome data was included with no limit being placed on the type of questionnaire used.

**Results.** The search yielded a total of 1845 articles of which 31 were deemed appropriate for inclusion in the review. In total, 14 different QoL questionnaires were used across 31 studies. Of the questionnaires 24.06% were missing at least one domain when reported in the results of the study. Mean standard deviation varied widely based on the domain reported, particularly within the SF36.

**Discussion.** Despite previous recommendations for Europewide standardisation of quality of life assessment, to date no such tool exists. This review demonstrated that a number of different questionnaires remain in use, that their completion is often inadequate and that further evidence-based guidelines on QoL assessment are required to guide future research.
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Lebensqualität bei Patienten mit Claudicatio intermittens

**Zusammenfassung**

**Hintergrund.** Die Claudicatio intermittens (CI) ist eine häufige Erkrankung, die beim Gehen Schmerzen in der unteren Extremität verursacht und die Lebensqualität (QoL) der Patienten nachweislich beeinträchtigt. Daher wird die QoL oft als wichtiges Messinstrument in klinischen Studien angesehen, welche die Claudicatio intermittens untersuchen. Bis heute gibt es keinen Konsens bezüglich der Art des zu verwendenden Lebensqualitätsfragebogens. Ziel dieses Reviews ist es, die Fragebögen zur Lebensqualität zu untersuchen, die in Studien zur peripheren arteriellen Verschlusskrankheit (PAVK) zum Einsatz kommen.

**Material und Methoden.** Ein systematischer Review von randomisierten klinischen Studien einschließlich einer QoL-Primäranalyse mittels Fragebogen wurde durchgeführt. Studien an Patienten mit diagnostizierter PAVK wurden eingeschlossen (entweder klinisch oder mittels Fragebogen). Jede Studie, die QoL als primären Endpunkt hatte, wurde eingeschlossen, ohne Limitierung hinsichtlich der Art des verwendeten Fragebogens.

**Ergebnisse.** Die Suche ergab insgesamt 1845 Artikel, von denen 31 für den Einschluss in die Studie als geeignet befunden wurden. Insgesamt 14 verschiedene QoL- Fragebögen kamen in den 31 Studien zum Einsatz. Bei 24.06% der Fragebögen fehlte mindestens eine Domäne in den berichteten Studienergebnissen. Die mittlere Standardabweichung fiel, je nach berichteter Domäne, sehr unterschiedlich aus, insbesondere im SF36.

**Diskussion.** Trotz früherer Empfehlungen für eine europaweite Standardisierung der Beurteilung der Lebensqualität, existiert hierfür bis heute kein Instrument. Dieser Review zeigte, dass weiterhin etliche verschiedene Fragebögen verwendet werden, dass deren Vollständigkeit häufig inadäquat ist und dass weitere evidenzbasierte Leitlinien zur Beurteilung der Lebensqualität notwendig sind, um für die zukünftige Forschung wegweisend zu sein.

**Schlüsselwörter**

Knöchel-Arm-Index · Bewegung · Periphere arterielle Verschlusskrankheit · Fragebogen · Review
Table 1  Summary of the study characteristics including all values for PAD patients (ABPI is taken at rest in the worst affected limb and data are presented as mean ± SD) (Continued)

| Author, year | Sample size (n) | Age (years) | Males (%) | ABPI | Intervention | QoL used |
|--------------|----------------|-------------|-----------|------|--------------|----------|
| 24 Gardner et al. [13] | 201 | 67 ± 9 | 159 (79.1) | 0.7 ± 0.22 | None | SF36, WIQ |
| 25 Kalbaugh et al. [23] | 54 | 64.5 ± 11.2 | Not reported | Not reported | Revascularisation | SF36 |
| 26 Nicolai et al. [33] | 91 | 66.2 ± 9.6 | 56 (61.5) | 0.72 ± 0.17 | Supervised exercise programme | WIQ, RAND-36, EuroQoL |
| 27 Keeling et al. [24] | 40 | 63 | 25 (62.5) | Not reported | Revascularisation | SF36 |
| 28 Virkkunen et al. [40] | 27 | 69.3 ± 10.7 | Not reported | 0.63 | Revascularisation | NHP |
| 29 Aquarius et al. [1] | 188 | 64.7 ± 9.9 | 119 (63.3) | 0.61 | None | RAND-36, WHOQoL-100 |
| 30 Breek et al. [5] | 200 | 63 | 135 (67.5) | 0.62 | None | RAND-36, WHOQoL-100 |
| 31 Spertus et al. [38] | 44 | 68 ± 11 | 24 (54.5) | Not reported | None | PAQ, WIQ, SF36 |

ABPI ankle-brachial pressure index

Data extraction

Data were extracted from full text articles by two investigators (A. H & J. T) using a standardised data extraction excel spreadsheet. Any disagreement as to inclusion of an article between the two assessing investigators was settled by consensus with a third investigator (G. S).

Results

Search results

As summarised in Fig. 1, the search yielded a total of 1845 articles of which 31 were deemed appropriate for inclusion in the review (Table 1).

A range of interventions (including revascularisation, drug intervention and exercise therapy) were used in included papers alongside a variety of QoL data. The QoL data collection varied widely in both the timing of collection and the tool or questionnaire utilised. Study characteristics including sample size, age and ankle-brachial pressure indices (ABPI) and QoL data collection tools utilised are summarised in Table 1.

Number of questionnaires used

A wide variety of QoL measuring tools were used in the studies included in the review. The most commonly used tool was the Short Form 36 (SF-36) or variations of it, used in 23 out of the 31 studies included (74.19%) with a total of 3256 patients and 12 studies used the SF-36 in its English form [2, 10–14, 19, 20, 23, 24, 34, 38]. Translated versions of the SF-36 were used in Serbian [28], Dutch [16], French [36], Italian [29] and Chinese [39]. Of the 23 studies 5 utilised the RAND-36 tool [1, 4, 5, 30, 33], which contains the same question set as the SF-36 but is analysed differently [18]. The second most common questionnaire used was the Walking Impairment Questionnaire (WIQ) used in 8 out of 31 studies (total 749 patients) [12–14, 19, 30, 33, 38, 42], including a direct comparison by Nicolai et al. of the WIQ and the SF-36. This is followed by the EuroQol questionnaire, or EQ-5D, used in 4 studies (586 patients) [4, 9, 10, 33].

In each of three studies two questionnaires were used; the Peripheral Artery Disease Quality of Life (PADQOL) tool [22, 26, 38] and the World Health Organization Quality of Life (WHOQoL-100) tool [1, 5, 6]. Other studies used included the Australian Vascular Quality of Life Index (AUSVIQOL) [37], the Vascular Quality of Life questionnaire (VASCUQOL) [10, 11], the Intermittent Claudication Questionnaire (ICQ) [14, 27], the Nottingham Health Profile (NHP) [40], the Health Utilities Index (HUI) questionnaire [2, 4] and Visual Analog Scales [9]. In total, 14 different QoL assessment tools were used across the 31 studies, with a total of 3928 patients surveyed.

Number of incomplete domains

A number of studies did not fully report QoL assessment data, with several omitting domains in their final publication. Of the 23 studies using SF-36 or a variant, 6 (26.09%) reported the results of 10 domains [11, 12, 14, 23, 34, 38], including a Physical Component Summary (PCS) and Mental Component Summary (MCS). The median reported domain in the SF-36 group was 8 (range 2–10). Apart from the PCS and MCS, the most commonly omitted domain of the SF-36 was Mental Health [10, 30]. Only 1 out of 8 (12.5%) [42] studies utilising the WIQ reported pain as an outcome. Stability [30] and Activity [13] were reported in 1 out of 8 WIQ studies each, with the remaining 5 studies reporting on only 3 domains of the WIQ (62.5%) and 1 out of 3 studies using PADQOL did not report all domains, Spertus et al. [38], omitting physical function. Only 1 out of 3 studies utilising the WHOQoL-100 reported all domains, with Breek et al. [5] omitting a single domain and Aquarius et al. [1] omitting 8. Of the 31 studies in
this review, 24.06% of all questionnaires were missing at least 1 domain when reported in the results of the study.

**Variance of individual results**

A common theme of the extracted QoL data is individual variance of the results. A large majority (86.79%) of results were reported as mean ± standard deviation. Within each QoL questionnaire some domains have very large standard deviations, suggesting the spread of individual results is wide and therefore less reliable. In the two papers using ICQ (measured on a 0–100 scale [8]), mean standard deviation was 17.57. In studies using SF-36 or RAND-36 (that also have a total available score of 100 [41]), mean standard deviation ranged from 14.67 in the Mental Health domain to 29.41 in Role Limitation (Emotional), suggesting that these domains are more reliably interpreted than others.

**Discussion**

**Number of questionnaires used and quality of study completion**

Despite a recommendation for European-wide standardisation of QoL assessment in 1997 [7] and again in 2009 [15], this review found that a wide variety of assessment tools remain in use. These tools differ in the domains that they measure, and although there is crossover of domains in some tools [3, 4, 32], the use of lesser known QoL utilities may lead to difficulty in interpretation of any findings and comparisons between interventions. This review showed that in those trials where a multidomain QoL utility was used (such as the SF-36, WIQ or WHO-QoL-100), it was common for domains to be omitted in the final report, often without explanation. We also found that a number of different QoL assessment tools are in use in patients with PAD, and that these are often incompletely reported. Further, up to date research is needed to identify the most appropriate standard for QoL measurement that is both thorough and related to clinical outcome and acceptable for patients in terms of their ability to understand the questionnaire and the time taken to complete it.

**Limitations**

This review focused on articles where data was presented numerically for individual QoL assessment tools, and therefore could be extracted from the study for further analysis. This accounts somewhat for the high number of exclusions between full text screening (120), and the final number of papers (31) included in the review (full reasons for exclusion are shown in Fig. 1). A total of 13 studies presented their data in graphical format only, or had no data present to extract, 15 studies presented only data comparing two different QoL utilities, the majority of these presenting correlation coefficients and 9 articles did not present results as mean values, instead presenting median, mode, range or quintiles.

**Practical conclusion**

Whilst QoL is regarded as an important clinical outcome measure for use in patients with PAD, this review found that standardisation of reporting QoL outcomes was poor, suggesting that a consensus on reporting standards relating to QoL measures is needed in order to guide future study design and allow more accurate comparisons between interventions.
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