Multiple variants of SARS-CoV-2 have emerged and are now prevalent at the global level. Currently designated variants of concern (VOCs) are B.1.1.7, B.1.351, P.1, B.1.617.2 variants and B.1.1.529. Possible options for VOC are urgently required as they carry mutations in the virus spike protein that allow them to spread more easily and cause more serious illness. The primary targets for most therapeutic methods against SARS-CoV-2 are the S (Spike) protein and RBD (Receptor-Binding Domain), which alter the binding to ACE2 (Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme 2). The most popular of these strategies involves the use of drug development targeting the RBD and the NTD (N-terminal domain) of the spike protein and multiple epitopes of the S protein. Various types of mutations have been observed in the RBDs of B.1.1.7, B.1.351, P.1, and B.1.620. The incidence of RBD mutations increases the binding affinity to the ACE2 receptor. The high binding affinity of RBD and ACE2 has provided a structural basis for future evaluation of antibodies and drug development. Here we discuss the variants of SARS-CoV-2 and recent updates on the clinical evaluation of antibody-based treatment options. Presently, most of the antibody-based treatments have been effective in patients with SARS-CoV-2. However, there are still significant challenges in verifying independence, and the need for further clinical evaluation.
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INTRODUCTION

In December 2019, a non-specific case of respiratory disorder was reported in Wuhan, Hubei Province, Republic of China, and it was transmitted from human to human (Chen et al., 2020). SARS-CoV-2, a coronavirus, is found in more than 200 nations and territories around the world. Coronaviruses are divided into four groups: Alpha (B.1.1.7), Beta (B1.351), Gamma (P.1), Delta (D.1) and Omicron (B.1.1.529). Human coronaviruses are Alpha and Beta coronaviruses (Singh et al., 2020a). Bats are hosts to the largest number of viral genotypes of coronaviruses. Coronaviruses and their characteristics are shown in Table 1 (Singh et al., 2020b).

SARS-CoV-2 has genetic markers that have been linked to a potentially increased risk (Singh et al., 2021). New variants may elude medical treatments (Haimei, 2020). Researchers from the field at a global level were notified of the emergence of a SARS-CoV-2 variant (Kar et al., 2021). More than half of the total genomic sequencing of SARS-CoV-2 was carried out in the UK. Researchers from the field have identified eight global clades and classified them as S, O, L, V, G, GH, GR, and major lineages such as A, B, B.1, B.1.1, B.1.177, and B.1.1.7 have been identified (Koyama et al., 2020; Nayak et al., 2021). The Omicron variant, known as lineage B.1.1.529, was proclaimed a variant of concern by the World Health Organization on November 26, 2021 (Callaway, 2021). There are over 30 mutations in the variant, some of which are worrisome. The number of cases in line B.1.1.529 is increasing in all regions of South Africa. First discovered in South Africa, this new strain is now spread to more than 10 countries, including Canada, the United Kingdom, the Netherlands, Denmark and Australia. Concerns are growing around the world that the new strain will be more resistant to vaccine protection, prompting concerns that the pandemic and associated lockdown restrictions will last considerably longer than planned (Callaway, 2021). Research on Omicron has begun around the globe, but it is not yet clear if this new COVID variant is more transmissible than other previous variants such as Alpha, Kappa, Delta, etc. (Callaway, 2021). Mutations found in other VOCs include the N501Y mutation, which improves the binding of peplomer proteins to cell receptors, and the D614G mutation, which is thought to increase viral replication, both of which can increase viral infectivity. There is a sex. Others include the K417N and T478K mutations. These help the virus evade neutralizing antibodies produced by vaccination or previous infections. Researchers have discovered B.1.1.529 with 43 peplomer mutations in Rome (Callaway, 2021). The SARS-CoV-2 protein recognizes host cells and is the primary target of the body’s immune response. In November, cases increased rapidly in many countries, especially schools and adolescents. Variants have spike mutations that allow detection by genotyping tests that provide much faster results than genomic sequencing. The new variant of coronavirus reportedly has more than 30 mutations in the spike protein region and therefore has the potential to develop immune escape mechanisms. Most vaccines form antibodies against the spike protein, and so many mutations in the spike protein region may lead to a decreased efficacy of therapeutic options. The effectiveness of SARS-CoV-2 therapeutic developments is affected by the new emergent variants at the global level. Antibodies against the surface of the SARS-CoV-2 are commonly used to neutralize infection (Wang et al., 2020; Diamond et al., 2021). Most of the drugs are targeted towards the receptor binding domain (RBD) of the spike protein, and multiple epitopes of the S protein, as shown in Figure 1.

As the protein SARS-CoV-2 and its RBD have been shown in vitro in cell culture, neutralization of the mAb against them effectively inhibits the binding of the virus to the host receptor, human angiotensin converting enzyme (hACE2), and thus is a major target of the mAb. Blocks viruses from invading cells (Yang et al., 2021). Some antibodies bound outside the RBD may also neutralize the virus in vitro using an undefined mechanism. Some of the neutralizing antibodies passively protect SARS-CoV-2 infected animal models with high efficacy (Sette and Crotty, 2021). Longitudinal studies evaluating the onset and duration of viral shedding and antibody response are needed in asymptomatic, mild, or severe patients. Here we discuss the emergence of variants of SARS-CoV-2 and the clinical evaluation of antibody-based treatment options. Presently, most of the antibody-based
treatments have been effective in patients with SARS-CoV-2. However, there are still significant challenges in verifying independence, and a need for further clinical evaluation.

**ANTIBODY-BASED TREATMENT OPTIONS**

The appearance of novel SARS-CoV-2 variants has been observed all over the world, hampering the drug development process (Tables 2, 3). New variants of current therapeutic options are required to maintain clinical efficacy (Sette and Crotty, 2021). More clinical investigations are required for FDA approval against emerging variants. Bamlanivimab and etesevimab, will expected stagger in efforts to improvement full FDA approval given the antiviral resistance observed against B.1.351, P.1. and B.1.526 (Doggrell, 2021). Optimization are required for its monoclonal antibody (mAb) to prove effective against the UK B.1.1.7 variant. Bamlanivimab have been observed less effective against most of the variants, but improved efficacy was observed in combination with etesevimab (Focosi et al., 2021). The FDA has cancelled the EUA for bamlanivimab as monotherapy. Combo of casirivimab/imdevimab has been observed more effective against new variants of SARS-CoV-2. Phase-III clinical trial data of casirivimab and imdevimab has been observed effective against new variants (Taylor et al., 2021).
SOTROVIMAB

Sotrovimab (VIR-7831), an antibody drug, is based on the entry of coronavirus into the body. Data from phase III clinical trials revealed that this medicine lowers the rate of hospitalization and death (Gupta et al., 2021). In a recent study published in The New England Journal of Medicine, researchers theorized that a monoclonal antibody that neutralizes all SARS-CoV-2 would target a highly conserved epitope that would remain effective as SARS-CoV-2 mutates (Aschenbrenner, 2021). In the phase III, multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled study, SARS-CoV-2 Monoclonal Antibody Efficacy Trial–Intent to Care Early (COMET-ICE), Researchers evaluated the impact of a single intravenous infusion of sotrovimab 500 mg on mild-to-moderate SARS-CoV-2 in high-risk, non-hospitalized patients (Cheng et al., 2021). The risk of severe SARS-CoV-2 is higher in patients over 55 years old or in those who have diabetes, obesity, chronic kidney disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, congestive heart failure, or moderate-to-severe asthma. One-time infusions of 500 mg of sotrovimab or placebo saline were given randomly to the patients (1:1). Primary outcomes were the percentage of patients who died or spent more than 24 hours in the hospital. A 72-day follow-up was averaged for the sotrovimab and placebo groups in the intention-to-treat population. Overall, 1% (3/291) of patients in the sotrovimab group and 7% (21/292) of patients in the placebo group had disease progression requiring hospitalization or death. In high-risk adults with symptomatic SARS-CoV-2, a single 500 mg dose of sotrovimab was found to minimize the probability of hospitalization or mortality by 85% (Aschenbrenner, 2021; Cheng et al., 2021; Gupta et al., 2021). COMET-ICE, which compared monoclonal antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 and the subsequent variants, was apparent as evidence that sotrovimab neutralized SARS-CoV-2 and its variants. Sotrovimab has also shown efficacy against variant lineages B.1.1.7, B.1.351, P.1, B.1.617, B.1.427/B.1.429 and B.1.526. Preclinical data suggest it could both block viral entry into healthy cells and clear infected cells by binding to an epitope on SARS-CoV-2 that's participated with SARS-CoV-1 (Cheng et al., 2021; Gupta et al., 2021).

LENZILUMAB

Lenzilumab is an engineered anti-human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) monoclonal antibody designed to prevent and treat cytokine release syndrome preceding lung dysfunction and acute respiratory distress syndrome in serious SARS-CoV-2 infection cases (Bonaventura et al., 2020; Temesgen et al., 2021b). Lenzilumab aced the Phase III LIVE-AIR trial (NCT04351152), a 54 relative
enhancement in the liability of survival without ventilation (SWOV) vs. placebo (Temesgen et al., 2021a). SWOV liability bettered by 92 in actors who entered both corticosteroids and Gilead Lores remdesivir (Veklury), and triple in cases under 85 times of age with a C-reactive protein position of < 150 mg/L. In the NIAID- patronized, placebo- controlled Phase II ACTIV-5 Big Effect Trial (NCT04583969), lenzilumab is being studied alone and in combination with Veklury to help and treat cytokine storms. Lenzilumab is also being researched for a variety of other indications. In May, Lenzilumab Humanigen submitted an application to the FDA for an emergency use authorization (EUA) for lenzilumab to treat SARS-CoV-2 patients hospitalized. Lenzilumab has been proven to be effective against the B.1.1.7, P.1, B.1.427/B.1.429, and B.1.526 variant lineages (Bonaventura et al., 2020; Temesgen et al., 2021b).

### TABLE 3 | List of variants for further monitoring.

| Pango lineage | GISAID clade | Date of designation | Comments |
|---------------|--------------|---------------------|----------|
| B.1.1.7 P.1   | GR           | 07-04-2021          | It has found in more than 30 countries, E484K and W152L mutation have been observed, it may cause immune escape. |
| B.1.466.2     | GH           | 28-04-2021          | First sampled in Indonesia, in Nov 2021. |
| B.1.1.318     | GR           | 02-06-2021          | Detected in the UK, it was named Fm-796H after found in Finland with E484K and D796H mutations originate from Nigeria. |
| B.1.519       | GR           | 02-06-2021          | Variants Under Monitoring (VUM) in Nov 2021. |
| C.36.3        | GR           | 16-06-2021          | VUM in Nov 2021. |
| B.1.214.2     | G            | 30-06-2021          | VUM in Nov 2021. |
| B.1.427       | GH/452R.V1   | 06-07-2021          | VUM in Nov 2021. Epsilon, first sample was observed in the United States. |
| B.1.429       | 452R.V1      |                     |         |
| B.1.1.523     | GR           | 14-07-2021          | VUM in Nov 2021.multiple countries |
| B.1.619       | G            | 14-07-2021          | VUM in Nov 2021.multiple countries |
| B.1.620       | G            | 14-07-2021          | Detected in Lithuania, Central Africa, North America, France and Belgium, the lineage contains an E484K, P681H, S477N and D614G mutation |
| C.1.2         | GR           | 01-09-2021          | It was detected in England and China, Portugal, Switzerland, Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC), Mauritius, and New Zealand with multiple substitutions C136F, R190S, D215G, Y449H, N482H, H655Y, N679K and T859N and deletions (Y144del, L242-A243del) in the spike protein. |
| B.1.617.1     | G/452R.V3    | 20-09-2021          | Kappa |
| B.1.562       | GH/253G.V1   | 20-09-2021          | Iota |
| B.1.525       | G/484K.V3    | 20-09-2021          | Eta |
| B.1.630       | GH           | 12-10-2021          | Identified in March 2021, Dominican Republic. |
| B.1.1.529     | GR/484A,     | 24-11-2021          | Named Omicron by the WHO, identified in November 2021 in more than 15 countries. |
|               | 200          |                     |         |

### AZD7442

AstraZeneca has developed two antibody cocktails known as AZD7442, which have been shown to have potent responses against SARS-CoV-2 (Mahase, 2021). In a clinical trial including 5000 volunteers, AZD7442 was reported to be 77% effective in a patient with SARS-CoV-2. AstraZeneca reported the results in August 2021 (Dong et al., 2021). That continuity would make it especially useful to immunocompromised cases who do not get important protection from vaccines (Dong et al., 2021). The federal government has reached an agreement with the company to order up to 700,000 doses of the treatment this year, but it will first need to be authorized (Dong et al., 2021). AZD7442 has been shown to be effective against the variant lineages B.1.1.7, P.1, B.1.617, B.1.427/B.1.429, and B.1.526 (Dong et al., 2021).
TABLE 4 | Recent updates on clinical data of anti-SARS-CoV-2 selected monoclonal antibodies.

| Double-blind, randomized controlled trial in SARS-CoV-2 patients with mild to moderate | Phase | Dose concentration | Inclusion criteria | Interventions compared to placebo | Participant characteristics | Interpretation compared to placebo | Primary endpoint | Primary outcomes (SARS-CoV-2 related hospitalizations over days) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Bamlanivimab (BAM) | Double-Blind, Phase 3 | 700 mg + Etesevimab + 1,400 mg in Nonhospitalized | Aged ≥12 years At high risk for severe SARS-CoV-2 patient. | BAM 700 mg + ETE 1,400 mg (n = 511) Within 3 days of a positive SARS-CoV-2, Placebo (n = 258). | Median age 56 years; 30% >65, 76% mild and 24% had moderate SARS-CoV-2 patient. | 5% absolute reduction and 87% relative reduction in SARS-CoV-2 related hospitalizations. | defined as ≥24 hours of acute care. | Day 29: 0 in BAM plus ETE arm vs. 4 (1.6%) in placebo arm; P = 0.01. |
| Bamlanivimab with Etesevimab | Phase 3 | 2,800 mg Plus Etesevimab (ETE) 2,800 mg in Nonhospitalized patients | Aged ≥12 years At high risk for severe SARS-CoV-2 or hospitalization within 7 days of laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2. | In 3 days of a positive SARS-CoV-2 patient, BAML 2,800 mg with ETE 2,800 mg (n = 518); Placebo (n = 517). | Mean age 53.8 years; 31% ≥65 years; 52% female, 48% male | Placebo with 4.8% absolute reduction and 70% relative in hospitalized patients. | Proportion of patients with SARS-CoV-2 related hospitalization. | Day 7: 9.8% in BAM plus ETE arm vs. 29.5% in placebo arm (P < 0.001) |
| Casirivimab (CAS) Plus Imdevimab (IMD) in Nonhospitalized | Phase 3 | Single IV (intravenous) infusion of CAS 600 mg with IMD 600 mg (n = 736) or placebo (n = 748); CAS 1,200 mg plus IMD 1,200 mg (n = 1,355) or placebo (n = 1,341). | Aged ≥18 years with SARS-CoV-2 positive; Symptom onset within 7 days of randomization; analysis only: ≥1 risk factor for severe SARS-CoV-2. | CAS 600 mg plus IMD 600 mg (n = 736) or placebo (n = 748), CAS 1,200 mg plus IMD 1,200 mg (n = 1,355) or placebo (n = 1,341). | Median age 50 years; 35% Hispanic/Latinx; 5% Black/African American. | CAS 600 mg with IMD 600 mg was associated with 2.2% absolute reduction and 70% relative risk reduction in SARS-CoV-2 Patients. | Proportion of patients with SARS-CoV-2 related hospitalization through Day 29. | Day 29,7 (1.0%) in CAS 600 mg with IMD 600 mg arm vs. 24 (3.2%) in placebo arm (P = 0.002), 18 (1.3%) in CAS 1,200 mg plus IMD 1,200 mg arm vs. 62 (4.6%) in placebo arm (P < 0.001) |
| Sotrovimab (SOT) in Non-hospitalized patients with mild to moderate SARS-CoV-2 | Phase III | SOT 500 mg, Placebo (n = 292) | Aged ≥18 years with ≥1 comorbidity, aged ≥55 years, Symptom onset ≤5 days Laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2. | SOT 500 mg IV (n = 291) Placebo (n = 292). | Median age 53 years; 22% ≥65 years 63% Hispanic/Latinx; 7% Black/African American. | Receipt of SOT was associated with 6% absolute reduction and 85% relative risk reduction. | Proportion of patients with all-cause hospitalization or death by Day 29. | Day 29: 3 (1%) in SOT arm vs. 21 (7%) in placebo arm (P = 0.002) |

**BRII-196/BRII-198**

BRII-196/BRII-198 is a SARS-CoV-2 negativating monoclonal antibody combination remedy (Yang et al., 2020). Preliminary in vitro evidence suggests continued antiviral activity against commonly circulating variants from the U.K. and South Africa (Yang et al., 2020). A phase 1 study completed dosing and follow-up by providing safety profiles and human pharmacokinetic profiles for two separate antibodies (Baral et al., 2021). Combination therapy consisting of BRII196 and BRII198 was originally investigated in the April 2021 NIAID ACTIV3 study (NCT04501978) in inpatients. However, it did not meet the pre-determined performance criteria required for Phase 3 entry. As part of an ongoing NIH ACTIV2 trial (NCT04518410), a mixture of BRII196 and BRII198 antibodies is in phase 3 clinical trials (Baral et al., 2021).

**CERC-002**

CERC002 is a fully human monoclonal antibody against LIGHT or TNFSF14 (a member of the tumor necrosis factor superfamily 14) (Haljasmäki et al., 2020). He is currently being tested for SARS-CoV-2 ARDS due to Crohn’s disease and cytokine storm. This study will evaluate the efficacy and safety of CERC002 in patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 for 28 days as a single dose in addition to standard treatment (Perlin et al., 2020). CERC002 increased survival by day 28 and the number of people without respiratory failure in hospitalized patients with mild to moderate SARS-CoV-2-associated pneumonia (ARDS) compared to placebo (83.9% versus 64.5%, P = 0.044). Efficacy was highest in the predefined patient subgroup 60 years and older (76.5% versus 47.1%, P = 0.042), which is the population most vulnerable to serious complications and death from SARS-CoV-2 infection (Rodriguez-Perez et al., 2021). There was an approximately 50% reduction in mortality with CERC002 compared to placebo on both the first 28 days and 60 days (7.7% vs. 14.3% at 28 days and 10.8% vs. 22.5% at 60 days) (Rodriguez-Perez et al., 2021). In the final efficacy data for the phase 2 study (NCT04412057), Cercorsh showed that more COVID19 patients with acute respiratory distress who received a single dose of CERC002 instead of placebo were alive and not...
experiencing dyspnea during the 28-day study period. The efficacy was highest in patients over the age of 60 who frequently suffered from other inflammatory diseases.

**SAB-185**

SAB185 is a therapeutic candidate for neutralizing polyclonal antibodies to treat non-hospitalized mild-to-moderate SARS-CoV-2 patients (Winkler et al., 2021). The candidate is being evaluated in the ACTIV2 trial conducted by NIAID, which is part of the NIH in collaboration with the AIDS Clinical Trial Group. SAB185 is a fully human polyclonal candidate antibody designed to confer passive immunity. The first patient in the NIAID-sponsored Phase II/III ACTIV2 study (NCT04518410) received a dose of SAB185 in April after a previous trial demonstrated the safety of an antibody with a half-life of 25-28 days. SAB185, the second drug to enter Phase 3 and the first candidate for polyclonal antibody therapy in ACTIV2, is evaluating several research drugs to treat early symptoms of SARS-CoV-2 in non-hospitalized individuals (Liu et al., 2021). SAB185 was transferred to Phase II as part of the Phase III ACTIV2 trial after meeting all required termination criteria. SAB185 effectively neutralizes viruses containing SARS-CoV-2 spikes with S477N, E484K, and N501Y mutations. This virus has been associated with the outbreak and outbreak of SARS-CoV-2 in several countries, leading to antibody resistance (Liu et al., 2021). WHO has identified several VOCs with mutations in the spike protein SAB185 was tested in BSL2 medium using a lentiviral pseudo virus experiment containing a stable 293T cell line expressing human ACE2 and TMPRSS2. Data collected from 221 patients in study SG016 Phase II (NCT04385095) showed that 33 patients with severe or severe dyspnea and received SNG001 were 3.41 times more likely to recover than patients that 33 patients with severe or severe dyspnea and received SNG001 were 3.41 times more likely to recover than patients that 33 patients with severe or severe dyspnea and received SNG001 were 3.41 times more likely to recover than patients that 33 patients with severe or severe dyspnea and received SNG001 were 3.41 times more likely to recover than patients that 33 patients with severe or severe dyspnea and received SNG001 were 3.41 times more likely to recover than patients that 33 patients with severe or severe dyspnea and received SNG001 were 3.41 times more likely to recover than patients that 33 patients with severe or severe dyspnea and received SNG001 were 3.41 times more likely to recover than patients that 33 patients with severe or severe dyspnea and received SNG001 were 3.41 times more likely to recover than patients.

**REGDANVIMAB**

Regdanvimab (CTP59) blocks the RBD interaction region of ACE2 in one direction. Therefore, CTP59 has the potential to be a promising treatment candidate for COVID19 (Kim et al., 2021). In September 2021, the Korean Ministry of Food and Drugs (MFDS) treated patients over the age of 50 with mild COVID19 and approved Regdanvimab in adults with at least one underlying disease and moderate disease symptoms. This approval is based on the first part of a global Phase 2/3 study showing a 54% reduction in progression to severe COVID 19 in patients with mild to moderate symptoms and a 68% reduction in patients over 50 years of age. In October 2021, the European Medicines Agency (EMA) began considering a marketing authorization application for this mAb for the treatment of adults with COVID19 who do not require additional oxygen therapy and are at high risk of developing severe COVID19. Did. The dose of Regdanvimab is a single intravenous infusion of 40 mg/kg (Syed, 2021). A double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized, phase II study, BLAZE4 (NCT04634409), found the efficacy of other mAbs, including bamlanivimab (700 mg) and sotrovimab (500 mg), for the treatment of symptoms. We are evaluating safety. Low-risk, non-hospitalized COVID 19 patients. Preliminary results showed that bamlanivimab/ sotrovimab (700/500 mg) showed 70% (p <0.05; day 7 cycle threshold <27.5 vs. placebo) (Syed, 2021). A recent study compared and evaluated all published studies investigating SARS-CoV-2 neutralized mAbs (single or combined vs. active comparator, placebo, or no intervention) for the treatment of patients with COVID19 and “to the evidence. I evaluated “trust”. About preventive use). The authors conclude that the available evidence is insufficient to draw meaningful conclusions about treatment with SARS-CoV-2-neutralized mAbs (Kim et al., 2021; Syed, 2021)

**CASIRIVIMAB/IMDEVIMAB**

In outpatients with mild to moderate SARS-CoV-2, a placebo-controlled randomized trial looked at different dosages of casirivimab plus imdevimab (Razonable et al., 2021). FDA simplified the EUA for casirivimab plus imdevimab, reducing the approved dose for single intravenous infusion from casirivimab 1200 mg plus imdevimab 1200 mg to casirivimab 600 mg plus imdevimab 600 mg (NCT04425629) (Deeks, 2021; Razonable et al., 2021). Participants included were 18 years of age or older, tested positive for SARS-CoV-2, and had at least one risk factor for developing severe SARS-CoV-2. Results showed a 2.2% overall reduction and a 70% reduction in hospitalizations or deaths when taking casirivimab 600 mg plus imdevimab 600 mg. These results are similar to those observed with an intravenous infusion of casirivirab 1200 mg plus imdevimab 1200 mg, which resulted in an absolute 3.3% reduction in hospitalizations or deaths and a 71% relative decrease (NCT04519437), also found to be active against delta variant (O’Brien et al., 2021).

**INTERFERONS**

Interferons are produced by our cells naturally against viral infection. Interferons have strong effects on the immune system, stimulating it to attack invaders while also inhibiting it to avoid damaging the body’s own tissues (Felgenhauer et al., 2020). Injecting synthetic interferons is now a standard treatment for several immune disorders. Interferon’s approach to storming our bodies, enthused researchers to see whether an improvement in interferon might help in the early-stage infection of patients with SARS-CoV-2 (Della-Torre et al., 2020). Preliminary investigations in cells and mice have
yielded reassuring results that have led to clinical trials (Murugan et al., 2021). On October 20, 2021, Synairgen proclaimed that the drug was moving forward into a Phase III clinical trial in mild- to-moderate SARS-CoV-2 patients. Sarilumab and tocilizumab are two classes of FDA-approved IL-6 inhibitors (Table 5).

**SARILUMAB**

Sarilumab is a monoclonal antibody that has been evaluated for off-label usage in the treatment of SARS-CoV-2. It binds to both membrane-bound and soluble IL-6 receptors with significant affinity (Gremese et al., 2020). Sarilumab 400 mg is reconstituted in 100 cc of 0.9% NaCl and administered as an hour long IV infusion. The SQ formulation was utilized to produce the IV infusion in the randomized, embedded, multifactorial adaptive platform trial for community-acquired pneumonia (REMAP-CAP) trial. In a revised report of the REMAP-CAP trial, sarilumab and tocilizumab were equally effective in improving survival and reducing time to organ supply. Patients receiving dexamethasone and sarilumab had lower mortality than patients in the control group who received dexamethasone plus placebo, had shorter time to discharge from the ICU, and had more days without organ support (https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/). The combination of sarilumab and dexamethasone (n = 943) is 99% and 98% likely to be inferior to tocilizumab (n = 943) with dexamethasone in terms of days without organ support and days of death, respectively. REMAPCAP studies have shown that tocilizumab and sarilumab show similar efficacy in treating inpatients with COVID 19, but the panel recommends the use of sarilumab only if tocilizumab is not available or applicable. A single 400 mg dose of sarilumab for injection of SQ was reconstituted with normal saline (50 or 100 ml) and intravenously over 1 hour in the REMAPCAP study (https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/). It was administered as an internal infusion. Recommendations for COVID19 treatment for the IL6 inhibitors sarilumab and tocilizumab in hospitalized patients requiring oxygenation, high flow oxygen, non-invasive ventilation or invasive ventilation (https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/).

**TABLE 5 | Recent updates on clinical evaluation of selected interleukin-6 inhibitors.**

| Open-Label RCT in hospitalized patients with SARS-CoV-2 | Key inclusion criteria | Participant characteristics (PCR-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection) | Key limitations | Interventions | Primary outcomes | Key secondary endpoints |
|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Tocilizumab                                            | Oxygen saturation (SpO2) <92% on room air or receipt of supplemental oxygen C-reactive protein (CRP)≤75 mg/L | Mean age 63.6 years; 67% male; 76% White; 41% on HFNC or non-invasive ventilation, 14% on IMV, 82% on corticosteroids. Arbitrary enrollment cut off at CRP ≥75 mg/L | 800 mg tocilizumab (n = 2,022), usual care (n = 2,094). | Day 28 mortality was lower in tocilizumab arm than in usual care arm (31% vs. 35%; rate ratio 0.85; 95% CI, 0.76–0.94; P = 0.003) | Among those not on IMV at enrollment, receipt of IMV (invasive mechanical ventilation) or death. |
| Tocilizumab and Sarilumab                              | Receipt of IMV, noninvasive ventilation, or cardiovascular support. | Mean age 60 years; Median time from ICU admission until enrollment was 14 hours | | | | |
| Sarilumab                                              | Aged ≥18 years; SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia | Median age 59 years; 63% male; 77% White; 36% Hispanic/Latinx; 39% on HFNC, IMV, or non-invasive mechanical ventilation. Only 20% of patients received corticosteroids. | | | | |

**TOCILIZUMAB**

Tocilizumab is a monoclonal antibody against interleukin-6 receptor-alpha that is used for inflammatory diseases, improved consequences have been observed in patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia (Figure 2) (Samae et al., 2020; Stone et al., 2020). Tocilizumab showed a slower progression of the disease, as well as a sharp decrease in temperature and mechanical ventilation. In the STOPCOVID study, tocifanib was associated with a lower risk of respiratory failure and death.
Within 28 days, 5.5% of patients in the placebo group (n = 145) and 2.8% of patients in the tofacitinib group (n = 144) (hazard rate 0.49, 95% CI, 0.15-1.63) had all-cause mortality. About 80% of participants in each group also received corticosteroids. Serious adverse events occurred in 14.2% of participants in the tofacitinib group and 12.0% of participants in the placebo group (Hermine et al., 2021). STOPCOVID study found that tofacitinib plus steroids improved outcomes in hospitalized SARS-CoV-2 patients.

A MONOCLONAL ANTIBODY APPROVED AGAINST SARS-CoV-2 BY EMERGENCY USE AUTHORIZATIONS (EUAs)

EUA of mAbs against SARS-CoV-2 were due to the context declared emergency without available alternatives (Aschenbrenner, 2021). EUA is a mechanism used by the FDA to facilitate making products available quickly during a public health emergency; this differs from FDA approval, which is an independent, scientifically reviewed approval for medical products, drugs, and vaccines, based on substantial clinical data and evidence (Bonaventura et al., 2020; Temesgen et al., 2021b). The use of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies has not been authorized by the FDA-EUAs for patient hospitalized for SARS-CoV-2 or for those requiring oxygen therapy due to SARS-CoV-2 or patient who are on chronic oxygen therapy due to an underlying condition not related to SARS-CoV-2 that require an increase in oxygen flow rate from baseline (Taylor et al., 2021). Furthermore, the FDA EUAs indicates that all approved mAbs may be associated with worse clinical outcomes when administered to hospitalized patients with SARS-CoV-2 requiring high flow oxygen or mechanical ventilation. In the bamlanivimab plus etesevimab arm, the trial showed a 4.8% absolute reduction and a 70% relative reduction in hospitalizations due to SARS-CoV-2 or deaths from any cause. The authorized dosage of 700/1400 mg lower than the dosage tested in BLAZE-1 is based on initial results (Dougan et al., 2021). Sotrovimab is supported by the results of an interim analysis of an ongoing multicenter, double-blind, Phase 3 COMETICE trial (NCT04545060) (Gupta et al., 2021). The main limitation of these studies is the reported result of environmental heterogeneity, making it difficult to make appropriate comparisons are shown in Table 6.

PERSONALIZED CELL THERAPIES TO COMBAT SARS-CoV-2

Personalized medicine plays an important role in the treatment of 19 cases of severe COVID (Khouri et al., 2020; Toor et al., 2021). The idea of cell-based treatment has not been accepted by some scientific communities due to some concerns about the lack of satisfactory clinical research. Nonetheless, MSC and its clinical results show the safety and efficacy of this therapeutic approach in some diseases, especially immune-inflammatory and some incurable diseases (Figure 3) (Khouri et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020). With promising results, clinical trials are ongoing. Currently, there are no approved cell-based therapies to prevent or treat patients with SARS-CoV-2 virus, and various clinical studies are underway. Recently, MSCs (Mesenchymal Stem Cells) have attracted clinical trials because of their immunomodulatory properties (Toor et al., 2021). Moreover, as long as the MSC is clinical and time consuming and costly, the MSC remains suspicious.

In mRNA vaccines, single-strand RNA (ssRNA) and double-strand RNA (dsRNA) is recognized by endosomes and cytosols, which is an important part of the natural immune response to the virus (Park et al., 2021). Endosomal Toll-like receptors bind to endosome ssRNA and inflammasome components such as MDA5, RIGI, NOD2 and PKR. Inflammasome components activate the
production of interferons and inflammation mediators. Current vaccines contain purified in vitro transcriptional single-stranded mRNA with nucleotides modified to reduce binding to TLRs and immune sensors, thus inhibiting overproduction of type I interferon and cell translation. Functions are limited. LNP carriers further protect mRNA, target delivery to lymphatic vessels, and promote protein translation in lymphatic vessels. Preclinical and early results from human studies show that both vaccines produce anti-S protein IgG and virus-specific neutralizing antibody responses months after vaccination, but T cell data not been completely elucidated (Teijaro and Farber, 2021).

### PROPHYLACTIC USE OF mAb AGAINST SARS-CoV-2

Vaccines are the most effective way for most people to protect themselves from COVID 19. For the past two years, as the only possible solution to the further spread and recurrence of SARS-CoV-2, the entire scientific community has focused on researching, developing, and ultimately manufacturing safe and effective vaccines (Liz et al., 2020; Nathan et al., 2021). Vaccine development can take years or even decades, but aggressive efforts to screen multiple COVID19 vaccine candidates simultaneously can significantly reduce the overall time required for the development process. MAbs are currently an alternative preventive route for COVID19 and may provide short-term prophylaxis to those who have not yet been vaccinated or who do not respond appropriately to vaccination, such as immunocompromised patients. In addition, MAb may be useful if the circulating mutant virus is not adequately covered by vaccination protection (Dong et al., 2021). The PROVENT study was conducted on subjects who would benefit from long-acting antibody prevention because of an increased risk of inadequate response to active immunization or an increased risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

### MONITORING RESISTANCE TO mAbs AMONG THE NEW VARIANTS

Monitoring resistance to mAbs among new mutants is important in deciding whether to discontinue some of the newly developed mAbs or investigate different combinations. Mutations in SARS-CoV-2 peplomer and clinical mAb resistance profile in VOC It is summarized in Table 7.

### CONCLUSION

The idea behind the development of SARS-CoV-2 Abs was that enhanced neutralization efficacy would equate to more therapeutic benefit. Development of deactivating cross-reactive human Abs to conserved epitopes on SARS-CoV-2 that can impede infection by emerging SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks. Identification of such conserved epitopes is also essential for the layout of broadly reactive vaccines to thwart future SARS-related coronavirus infections. Monoclonal antibodies and neutralizing antibodies targeting SARS-CoV-2 virus antigens have shown promising results in treating SARS-CoV-2 patients and controlling disease progression. To improve treatment

---

**TABLE 6** | Randomized clinical trials supporting mAbs approved by FDA EUAs.

| Monoclonal antibody | Clinical trial number | Study Design | Methods | Results | References |
|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------|----------|---------|------------|
| Bamlanivimab + etesevimab | (Trial Number NCT04427501) | Double-blind, phase 3 randomized clinical trial in outpatients with mild to moderate SARS-CoV-2 who are at high risk for progressing to severe SARS-CoV-2 and/or hospitalization | Single intravenous infusion of etesevimab 2800 mg - Placebo with etesevimab 2800 mg | Proportion of patients with SARS-CoV-2 related hospitalization or death by any cause by day 29 | Proportion of participants with SARS-CoV-2 related hospitalization in the bamlanivimab + etesevimab | Bierle et al., 2021 |
| Casirivimab + imdevimab | NCT04425629 | Double-blind, Phase 3 RCT in outpatients with mild to moderate SARS-CoV-2 | Single intravenous infusion of: - casirivimab 600 mg + imdevimab 600 mg - casirivimab 1200 mg + imdevimab 1200 mg | Proportion of patients with SARS-CoV-2 related hospitalization or all-cause death through Day 29 | SARS-CoV-2-related hospitalization or all-cause death through Day 29 | Gupta et al., 2021 |
| Sotrovimab | NCT04545060 | Double-blind, Phase 1/2/3 RCT in outpatients with mild to moderate SARS-CoV-2 | Sotrovimab 500 mg IV - Placebo | Proportion of patients with hospitalization or death from any cause by Day 29 | Proportion of participants with SARS-CoV-2 related hospitalization or death by any cause by day 29 | Dougan et al., 2021 |

---

*Note: Numbers in parentheses refer to the trial number.*
options, an effective understanding of the competent therapeutic characteristics of antibody-based treatments, primarily neutralizing monoclonal antibodies and establishing their therapeutic or prophylactic applications against SARS-CoV-2, is required. In addition, among other potential therapeutic strategies, personalized viral-specific T cells can be generated to prevent infections among populations at risk and/or treat SARS-CoV-2 infections.
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