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Abstract

The exponential functional of simple, symmetric random walks with negative drift is an infinite polynomial \( Y = 1 + \xi_1 + \xi_1\xi_2 + \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 + \cdots \) of independent and identically distributed non-negative random variables. It has moments that are rational functions of the variables \( \mu_k = \mathbb{E}(\xi^k) < 1 \) with universal coefficients. It turns out that such a coefficient is equal to the number of permutations with descent set defined by the multiindex of the coefficient. A recursion enumerates all numbers of permutations with given descent sets in the form of a Pascal-type triangle.

1 Introduction

The present work was induced by the following problem from probability theory, cf. [4]. Let \((X_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}\) be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with \( \mathbb{P}(X_j = \pm 1) = \frac{1}{2} \). Further, let \( S_0 = 0, S_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k} X_j \) \( (k \geq 1) \) be a simple, symmetric random walk. Introduce the following exponential functional \( Y \) of the random walk with negative drift:

\[
Y = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \exp(S_k - k\nu) = 1 + \xi_1 + \xi_1\xi_2 + \cdots, \quad \xi_j = \exp(X_j - \nu),
\]

where \( \nu > 0 \). \( Y \) is an approximation of a widely investigated exponential functional of Brownian motion, important for example in studying Asian options of financial mathematics. To generalize it somewhat, let \((\xi_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}\) be independent and identically distributed random variables, \( \xi_j \geq 0 \). Consider first the finite
polynomials
\[ Y_n = 1 + \xi_1 + \xi_1 \xi_2 + \cdots + \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n = 1 + \xi_1(1 + \xi_2 + \xi_2 \xi_3 + \cdots + \xi_2 \cdots \xi_n) \quad (n \geq 1). \]

This equation implies the following equality in distribution (denoted by \( \equiv \)):
\[ Y_n \equiv 1 + \xi Y_{n-1}, \]
where \( \xi \) is independent of \( Y_{n-1} \). Since \( Y_n \not\rightarrow Y = 1 + \xi_1 + \xi_1 \xi_2 + \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 + \cdots \) as \( n \to \infty \), in the limit we get a stochastic difference equation for the infinite polynomial \( Y \):
\[ Y \equiv 1 + \xi Y, \]
where \( \xi \) is independent of \( Y \).

Then using the binomial theorem, the following recursion can be obtained for the \( p \)th moment \( e_p = E(Y^p) \) of \( Y \) for any positive integer \( p \):
\[
e_p = \frac{1}{1 - \mu_1 \cdots (1 - \mu_p)} \sum_{(j_1, \ldots, j_p-1) \in \{0,1\}^{p-1}} a_j^{(p)} e_{j_1}^{j_1} \cdots e_{j_{p-1}}^{j_{p-1}}, \tag{3}
\]
where the coefficients of the numerator are universal constants, being independent of the parameters in the recursion.

These coefficients \( a_j^{(p)} \) make a symmetrical, Pascal’s triangle-like table if each row is listed in the increasing order of the binary numbers \( j_p 2^{p-2} + \cdots + j_2 \cdot 2^1 \), defined by the multiindices \( (j_1, \ldots, j_{p-1}) \), see the rows \( p = 1, \ldots, 5 \) in Table 1.

Two natural questions may arise at this point, independently of any probability theory background mentioned above. First, suppose that one defines a recursive sequence \( e_p \) by (2) with coefficients \( a_j^{(p)} \) given by (3). Can one attach any direct mathematical meaning to these coefficients \( a_j^{(p)} \)? The answer is yes, and rather surprisingly (as was conjectured in [3]), the coefficient \( a_{j_1 \cdots j_{p-1}}^{(p)} \) is equal to the number of permutations \( \pi \in S_p \) having descent \( \pi(i) > \pi(i+1) \) exactly where \( j_i = 1, 1 \leq i \leq p - 1 \), cf. Theorem 4 below.

Second, can one give a direct way to evaluate the coefficients \( a_j^{(p)} \)? The affirmative answer to this question is partly included in the previous answer, since several formulae are known for the number of permutations with given descent sets. However, an apparently new recursion was conjectured in [3], which is analogous to the recursion of binomial coefficients in the ordinary Pascal’s triangle. The proof of this is the content of Lemma 5 below.
Table 1: The Pascal’s triangle-like table of the coefficients

|    | 0   | 1   | 1       |
|----|-----|-----|---------|
| 00 | 00  | 01  | 01      |
| 01 | 00  | 01  | 01      |
| 10 | 10  | 10  | 11      |
| 11 | 10  | 10  | 11      |
| 000| 1   | 4   | 9       |
| 001| 3   | 5   | 3       |
| 010| 16  | 11  | 4       |
| 011| 1   | 11  | 9       |
| 100| 1   | 1   | 6       |
| 101| 1   | 1   | 9       |
| 110| 4   | 11  | 9       |
| 111| 1   | 1   | 1       |

2 The results

In the next lemma we establish a direct recursion for the coefficients $a_{j_1 \ldots j_{p-1}}^{(p)}$.

**Lemma 1.** Fix a multiindex $(j_1, \ldots, j_{p-1}) \in \{0, 1\}^{p-1}$. Let $S$ be the set of indices $k$ where $j_k = 1$:

$$S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_m\} = \{k : j_k = 1, 1 \leq k \leq p - 1\}, \quad m = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} j_k. \quad (4)$$

Then the coefficient $a_{j_1 \ldots j_{p-1}}^{(p)}$ defined by (3) can be obtained by the recursion

$$a_{j_1 \ldots j_{p-1}}^{(p)} = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p}{k} j_k (-1)^{j_{k+1} + \cdots + j_{p-1}} a_{j_1 \ldots j_{k-1}}^{(k)}$$

where, by definition, $a(0) = 1$, $j_0 = 1$, $s_0 = 0$ and $-1$ powered to an empty sum is 1.

**Proof.** The second equality in (5) is a direct consequence of the definitions above. To show the first equality, substitute (3) into (2):

$$e_p = \frac{1}{1 - \mu_p} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p}{k} \mu_k \sum_{(j_1, \ldots, j_{k-1}) \in \{0, 1\}^{k-1}} a_{j_1 \ldots j_{k-1}}^{(k)} \mu_{j_k} (1 - \mu_{k+1}) \cdots (1 - \mu_{p-1}).$$

Here, multiplying by the common denominator and then collecting the coefficients of $\mu_1^{j_1} \cdots \mu_{p-1}^{j_{p-1}}$ for each $(j_1, \ldots, j_{p-1})$ we obtain

$$e_p (1 - \mu_1) \cdots (1 - \mu_p)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{(j_1, \ldots, j_{k-1}) \in \{0, 1\}^{k-1}} \binom{p}{k} a_{j_1 \ldots j_{k-1}}^{(k)} \mu_1^{j_1} \cdots \mu_{k-1}^{j_{k-1}} \mu_k (1 - \mu_{k+1}) \cdots (1 - \mu_{p-1})$$

$$= \sum_{(j_1, \ldots, j_{p-1}) \in \{0, 1\}^{p-1}} \mu_1^{j_1} \cdots \mu_{p-1}^{j_{p-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p}{k} a_{j_1 \ldots j_{k-1}}^{(k)} j_k (-1)^{j_{k+1}} \cdots (-1)^{j_{p-1}}.$$

This and (3) imply the first equality in (5). $\square$
Now we turn to the proof of the equality of the coefficient $a_{j_1 \ldots j_{p-1}}^{(p)}$ given by (6) and the number of permutations $b^{(p)}(S)$ with descent set $S$ given by (4). The descent set of a permutation $\pi \in S_p$ is defined as $D(\pi) = \{ i : \pi(i) > \pi(i+1), 1 \leq i \leq p-1 \}$. It is known, cf. [3, p. 69], that the number of permutations $\pi \in S_p$ with a given descent set $S = \{ s_1, \ldots, s_m \}$, $1 \leq s_1 < \cdots < s_m \leq p-1$, can be obtained by the following inclusion-exclusion formula:

$$b^{(p)}(S) = b^{(p)}(s_1, \ldots, s_m) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_j \leq m} (-1)^{m-j} \binom{p}{s_1} \binom{p}{s_1, \ldots, s_{i_j-1}, p-s_{i_j}} \binom{p}{s_{i_j}, \ldots, s_m}.$$  

(6)

**Theorem 1.** The coefficient $a_{j_1 \ldots j_{p-1}}^{(p)}$ given by (6) and (4) is equal to the number of permutations $b^{(p)}(S)$ with descent set $S$ given by (4).

**Proof.** It is enough to show that the numbers $b^{(p)}(S)$ satisfy the same recursion as the numbers $a_{j_1 \ldots j_{p-1}}^{(p)}$ do, that is,

$$b^{(p)}(s_1, \ldots, s_m) = \sum_{l=0}^{m} \binom{p}{s_1} (-1)^{m-l} b^{(s_l)}(s_1, \ldots, s_{l-1}).$$  

(7)

where, by definition, $s_0 = 0$ and $b^{(s_0)} = b^{(0)} = 1$.

To show this, let us substitute the inclusion-exclusion formula (6) into the right hand side of (7):

$$\sum_{l=0}^{m} \binom{p}{s_1} (-1)^{m-l} b^{(s_l)}(s_1, \ldots, s_{l-1})
= (-1)^m \sum_{l=0}^{m} \binom{p}{s_1} (-1)^{m-l} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_j \leq l-1} (-1)^{l-1-j} \binom{p}{s_1, \ldots, s_{i_j}, s_l, \ldots, s_{l-1}}
= (-1)^m \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_j < l} (-1)^{m-j-1} \binom{p}{s_1, \ldots, s_{i_j}, s_l, \ldots, s_{l-1}, s_l, p-s_l}
= (-1)^m \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_j \leq l < m} (-1)^{m-l-j} \binom{p}{s_1, \ldots, s_{i_j}, s_l, \ldots, s_{l-1}, s_l, p-s_l}
= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_r \leq m} (-1)^{m-r} \binom{p}{s_1, \ldots, s_{i_r}, s_{i_r+1}, \ldots, s_{l-1}, s_l, p-s_l} = b^{(p)}(s_1, \ldots, s_m).$$

This proves (7), and so completes the proof.

**Lemma 1** described a recursion that uses all previous rows of Table 1 to compose the elements of a new row. In the next lemma we show a recursion that uses only the previous row and which is an analog of the recursion formula in the ordinary Pascal’s triangle: $\binom{p}{r} = \binom{p-1}{r-1} + \binom{p-1}{r}$. Interestingly, an application of this identity is a key step in the following algebraic proof as well. We also give a simple combinatorial proof which basically translates the well-known method by which permutations in $S_p$ can be obtained from permutations in $S_{p-1}$ by adjoining the number $p$.
Lemma 2. The following recursion holds for any \( p \geq 2 \) and multiindex \((j_1, \ldots, j_{p-1}) \in \{0,1\}^{p-1}\):

\[
a^{(p)}_{j_1 \ldots j_{p-1}} = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \delta_i a^{(p-1)}_{j_1^{(i)} \ldots j_{p-2}^{(i)}} = \sum_{(i_1, \ldots, i_{p-2}) \in L(j_1, \ldots, j_{p-1})} a^{(p-1)}_{i_1 \ldots i_{p-2}}, \tag{8}
\]

where \( a^{(1)} = 1, \delta_i = |j_i - j_{i-1}| \) for \( i \geq 2 \), \( \delta_1 = 1 \), \( j_k^{(i)} = j_k \) for \( 1 \leq k \leq i - 1 \), \( j_k^{(i)} = j_{k+1} \) for \( i \leq k \leq p - 2 \), and \( L(j_1, \ldots, j_{p-1}) \) is the set of all distinct binary sequences obtained from \((j_1, \ldots, j_{p-1})\) by deleting exactly one digit. For example, \( a^{(5)}_{0110} = 11 = a^{(4)}_{0110} + a^{(4)}_{0101} + a^{(4)}_{0011}\).

Proof. First we prove the second equality in (8). For this it is enough to show that the two recursions given in (8) are the same.

Suppose that we get the same binary sequence by deleting \( k \) for \( p - 2 \), then we change the order of summation to obtain

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \delta_i a^{(p-1)}_{j_1^{(i)} \ldots j_{p-2}^{(i)}} = \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} \begin{pmatrix} p-1 \\ k \end{pmatrix} j_k \delta(j_k) \sum_{i=1}^{k} \delta_i a^{(k)}_{j_1^{(i)} \ldots j_{k-1}^{(i)}} + \delta_k \sum_{i=k+1}^{p-1} \begin{pmatrix} p-1 \\ i-k-1 \end{pmatrix} \delta_i (-1)^{j_i}.
\]

Also, the number of permutations in \( S_{p-1} \) determined by the resulting multiindex \((i_1, \ldots, i_{p-2})\). This number is \( a^{(p-1)}_{i_1 \ldots i_{p-2}} \) by Theorem 1. We want to show that there is a uniquely determined adjoining of the number \( p \) to any such permutation from \( S_{p-1} \) to obtain a permutation from \( S_p \) corresponding to the original multiindex \((j_1, \ldots, j_{p-1})\).

If a 0 was deleted from a run of 0’s, the number \( p \) should be inserted right after the number at the position of the first 1 following the affected run of 0’s. (If the given run happens to be the last, \( p \) is inserted as the last number.) When a 1 was deleted from a run of 1’s, the number \( p \) should be inserted right after the number at the position of the last 0 preceding the affected run of 1’s. (If the given run happens to be the first, \( p \) is inserted as the first number.) Since these insertions are the only ones that reconstruct the original descent set, the recursion is proved.

An algebraic proof of the recursion. We are going to proceed by induction over \( p \). Thus suppose that the recursion holds for all multiindices of lengths smaller than \( p - 1 \). First we use the recursion of Lemma 1 for the terms in the right side of (8), then we change the order of summation to obtain

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \delta_i a^{(p-1)}_{j_1^{(i)} \ldots j_{p-2}^{(i)}} = \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} \begin{pmatrix} p-1 \\ k \end{pmatrix} j_k \delta(j_k) \sum_{i=1}^{k} \delta_i a^{(k)}_{j_1^{(i)} \ldots j_{k-1}^{(i)}} + \delta_k \sum_{i=k+1}^{p-1} \begin{pmatrix} p-1 \\ i-k-1 \end{pmatrix} \delta_i (-1)^{j_i}.
\]
Here in the last expression, one may use the induction hypothesis for the first sum. In the second sum observe that $\delta_i(-1)^{j_i}$ is 1 if $j_{i-1} = 1$ and $j_i = 0$, it is $-1$ if $j_{i-1} = 0$ and $j_i = 1$, and it equals 0 otherwise. Hence we get the identity 

$$j_k \sum_{i=k+1}^{p-1} \delta_i(-1)^{j_i} = j_k(1 - j_{p-1}).$$

Thus one obtains that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \delta_i a^{(p-1)}_{j_i, \ldots, j_{p-2}} = \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} \left\{ \binom{p-1}{k} j_{k+1}(-1)^{j_{k+2} + \cdots + j_{p-1}} a^{(k+1)}_{j_{k+1}, \ldots, j_k} + \binom{p-1}{k} (1 - j_{p-1}) j_k(-1)^{j_{k+1} + \cdots + j_{p-1}} a^{(k)}_{j_{k+1}, \ldots, j_k-1} \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{p-2} \left\{ \binom{p-1}{k-1} + \binom{p-1}{k} (1 - j_{p-1}) \right\} j_k(-1)^{j_{k+1} + \cdots + j_{p-1}} a^{(k)}_{j_{k+1}, \ldots, j_k-1}$$

$$+ (1 - j_{p-1})(-1)^{j_{p-1} + j_{p-2}} + \binom{p-1}{p-2} j_{p-1} a^{(p-1)}_{j_{p-1}, \ldots, j_{p-2}}.$$

To rewrite the terms above we use recursion (5) in the following case:

$$a^{(p-1)}_{j_{k+1}, \ldots, j_{k-1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} \binom{p-1}{k} j_k(-1)^{j_{k+1} + \cdots + j_{p-1}} a^{(k)}_{j_{k+1}, \ldots, j_{k-1}},$$

plus the identity $-j_{p-1}(-1)^{j_{p-1}} = j_{p-1}$, and the recursion for binomial coefficients:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \delta_i a^{(p-1)}_{j_i, \ldots, j_{p-2}} = \sum_{k=1}^{p-2} \left\{ \binom{p-1}{k-1} + \binom{p-1}{k} \right\} j_k(-1)^{j_{k+1} + \cdots + j_{p-1}} a^{(k)}_{j_{k+1}, \ldots, j_{k-1}}$$

$$-j_{p-1}(-1)^{j_{p-1}} a^{(p-1)}_{j_{p-1}, \ldots, j_{p-2}} - (-1)^{j_{p-1}} j_{p-1} a^{(p-1)}_{j_{p-1}, \ldots, j_{p-2}}$$

$$+ (1 - j_{p-1})(-1)^{j_{p-1} + j_{p-2}} + \binom{p-1}{p-2} j_{p-1} a^{(p-1)}_{j_{p-1}, \ldots, j_{p-2}}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p}{k} j_k(-1)^{j_{k+1} + \cdots + j_{p-1}} a^{(k)}_{j_{k+1}, \ldots, j_{k-1}} = a^{(p)}_{j_{p-1}, \ldots, j_{p-2}}.$$

This completes the proof.  

The results above imply that Table 1 has properties analogous to the ones of Pascal’s triangle: each entry $a^{(p)}_{j_{p-1}}$ is a positive integer, the first and the last entries, $a^{(p)}_{0, \ldots, 0}$ and $a^{(p)}_{p-1, \ldots, 1}$ are 1, the table has symmetries $a^{(p)}_{j_{p-1}, \ldots, j_{1}} = a^{(p)}_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{p-1}}$ and $a^{(p)}_{j_{p-1}, \ldots, j_{1}} = a^{(p)}_{j_{1}, \ldots, 1, \ldots, j_{p-1}}$, and the sum of the $2^{p-1}$ entries in the $p$th row is $p!$.

# Some remarks

We mention that beside the indirect recursion (2), (3), direct recursions (5) and (4), and sieve formula (5), there are other methods as well in the literature that can be used for evaluating the coefficients $a^{(p)}_{j_{p-1}}$. Here we mention two
of them. Zabrocki [5] uses the following rather fast and practical ‘splitting’ recursion:

\[ a_{j_1 \ldots j_{p-1}}^{(p)} = \sum_{\{k; j_{k-1} = 0, j_k = 1, 1 \leq k \leq p\}} \binom{p-1}{k-1} a_{j_1 \ldots j_{k-2}}^{(k-1)} a_{j_{k+1} \ldots j_{p-1}}^{(p-k)}, \]

where, by definition, \( j_0 = 0 \) and \( j_p = 1 \). Its verification is simple: this recursion divides the set of permutations in \( S_p \) with descent set defined by the 1’s in \((j_1, \ldots, j_{p-1})\) into disjoint subsets, where the largest number \( p \) is at the different local maxima \( k \) where \( j_{k-1} = 0 \) and \( j_k = 1 \). Then there are \( \binom{p-1}{k-1} \) ways to pick the numbers to precede the largest, with \( a_{j_1 \ldots j_{k-2}}^{(k-1)} \) permutations, and \( a_{j_{k+1} \ldots j_{p-1}}^{(p-k)} \) permutations for the numbers succeeding the largest, fitting the given descent set.

Another method (of mostly theoretical interest) can be based on MacMahon’s determinant, cf. [3, p. 69]:

\[ a_{j_1 \ldots j_{p-1}}^{(p)} = b^{(p)}(s_1, \ldots, s_m) = p! \det \left[ 1/(s_j - s_i) \right], \quad (i, j) \in [0, m] \times [0, m], \]

where the descent set \( S = (s_1, \ldots, s_m) \) is defined by [4], \( s_0 = 0 \), and \( s_{m+1} = p \). This gives the recursion

\[ b^{(p)}(s_1, \ldots, s_m) = \frac{1}{s_1!} b^{(p)}(s_2 - s_1, \ldots, s_m - s_1) - \frac{1}{s_2!} b^{(p)}(s_3 - s_2, \ldots, s_m - s_2). \]

Obviously, the coefficients \( a_{j_1 \ldots j_{p-1}}^{(p)} \) are closely related to other important classifications of permutations as well. The Eulerian number \( A(p, k) \) which counts the permutations in \( S_p \) having exactly \( k - 1 \) descents (that is, exactly \( k \) runs), where \( p \geq 1, 1 \leq k \leq p \), can be written as

\[ A(p, k) = \sum_{\{(j_1, \ldots, j_{p-1}) \in \{0, 1\}^{p-1}; \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} i j_i = k-1\}} a_{j_1 \ldots j_{p-1}}^{(p)}. \]

Also, let \( I(p, k) \) be the number of permutations in \( S_p \) with \( k \) inversions \((p \geq 1, 0 \leq k \leq \binom{p}{2})\). By MacMahon’s theorem, cf. [11, Section 5.1.1], \( I(p, k) \) is equal to the number of permutations in \( S_p \), with major index \( k \), thus

\[ I(p, k) = \sum_{\{(j_1, \ldots, j_{p-1}) \in \{0, 1\}^{p-1}; \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} i j_i = k\}} a_{j_1 \ldots j_{p-1}}^{(p)}. \]

Finally, let us express the generating function of the coefficients \( a_{j_1 \ldots j_{p-1}}^{(p)} \) by the help of a suitable recursive sequence \( (e_p(x))_{p=1}^{\infty} \) given by [2]. First, one can assign a positive integer \( n \) to any \( p \geq 1 \) and multiindex \((j_1, \ldots, j_{p-1})\) by the equation \( n = 2^{p-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} j_k 2^{k-1} \), in a one-to-one way. Then let us introduce the notation \( \alpha_n = a_{j_1 \ldots j_{p-1}}^{(p)}, n \geq 1 \). This way the coefficients are arranged in a single sequence.

Second, take the variables (moments) \( \mu_k = x^{2^{k-1}} \) for \( k \geq 1, x \neq 1 \), and let \( \mu_0 = 1 \). Then define the sequence \( (e_p(x))_{p=1}^{\infty} \) by [2]:

\[ e_p(x) = \frac{1}{1 - x^{2^p}} \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{k} x^{2^{k-1}} e_k(x) \right). \]
Also, using (3) and the definition of $n$ one obtains that

$$x^{2^{p-1}} e_p(x) = \frac{1}{(1 - x)(1 - x^2)(1 - x^4) \cdots (1 - x^{2^{p-1}})} \sum_{n=2^{p-1}}^{2^p-1} \alpha_n x^n.$$

Hence the generating function of the sequence $(\alpha_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ can be expressed as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n x^n = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} x^{2^{p-1}}(1 - x)(1 - x^2)(1 - x^4) \cdots (1 - x^{2^{p-1}}) e_p(x).$$
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