Kinematics of the ionized gas in the Local Group irregular galaxy IC 1613
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Abstract. We present Hα and [SⅡ] observations for the Local Group irregular galaxy IC 1613 using the PUMA scanning Fabry–Perot interferometer. Our goal is to analyze the kinematics of the ionized gas in the complex sample of superbubbles located in the whole extension of our field (10°), which includes most of the optical emission of this galaxy, and to study the inter-relationship between young stellar associations and nebulae based on a previous study that we have made on the stellar associations of the central region of this galaxy. The ionized gas in this galaxy is distributed in classical HⅡ regions and in a series of superbubbles (also called giant shells) covering a large fraction of the optical extent of the galaxy. We present a catalog of kinematical properties of both the HⅡ regions of this galaxy and the superbubbles. We have also compared the kinematics of the ionized gas in HⅡ regions to search for possible dynamic differences between neutral and ionized gas.
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1. Introduction

Irregular galaxies constitute a substantial fraction of all galaxies. They are also dominant among the actively star-forming galaxies. Because they lack spiral density waves, they are excellent laboratories to examine the star formation process in alternative scenarios. Also, they can provide important clues about the mechanisms of formation of “bubbles” (the ring-shaped nebulae with diameters, D ≲ 50 pc), “superbubbles” or “giant shells” (ring-shaped structures with D ≲ 400 pc) and “supershells” (ring-shaped structures with D ≈ 1 kpc). Massive stars can dramatically change the interstellar medium (ISM) of these galaxies by means of supernova explosions and stellar winds. On the other hand, the ISM content and energetics can have important effects on the subsequent mechanisms of star formation. Indeed, the compressions produced by shocks formed by supernova explosions or powerful stellar winds on the molecular clouds could trigger the formation of a second generation of stars at the edges of the shells formed by these events (self-induced star formation).

The Local Group irregular galaxies are exceptional targets for the study of the inter-relationship between gas and stars because they are easily resolved. This means that we could know the stellar content of nebular HⅡ complexes and superbubbles and try to identify for relationships between the interior stellar associations and the dimensions and kinematics of the superbubbles. This kind of investigation has been performed for the most favorable case, the Large Magellanic Cloud (Rosado 1986; Oey 1996a, 1996b, amongst other references). Indeed, it is in this galaxy that a clear example of self-induced star formation has been found: the nebular complex N11 (Parker et al. 1996; Rosado et al. 1996).

In this work, we study the nebulae and massive stellar content of the nearby irregular galaxy: IC 1613. This galaxy belongs to the Local Group and it is located at a distance of 725 kpc (Freedman 1988a, 1988b). Table 1 reviews some of its main properties. Because of its proximity, it has been the target of several studies. Its stellar content has been analyzed by Baade (1963),...
Table 1. IC 1613 properties

| Name       | IC 1613 |
|------------|---------|
| Type       | IB(s)m  |
| RA (1950)  | 01h02m11.8s |
| Dec (1950) | +01d51m00s |
| $B$ magnitude | 9.88   |
| Angular size (arcmin) | 16.2 $\times$ 14.5 |
| Heliocentric radial velocity (km s$^{-1}$) | $-237$ |
| Distance (kpc) | 725 |
| Inclination (degrees) | 30 |
| PA (degrees) | 50 |

$^a$ NED.

$^b$ Optical heliocentric radial velocity from Martín (1998).

$^c$ Adopted distance in this work, based on Freedman (1988a, 1988b).

Sandage (1971), Sandage & Katem (1976), Hodge (1978, 1980), Freedman (1988a, 1988b) and Georgiev et al. (1999; hereafter Paper I). Several candidate Wolf-Rayet (WR) stars were detected but only one of them was spectroscopically confirmed over the whole extent of this galaxy (Azzopardi et al. 1988; d’Odorico & Rosa 1982; Kingsburgh & Barlow 1995). This WR is a WO-type star embedded in a large nebular complex located at the southern region of this galaxy (Rosado et al. 2000). The H II regions of IC 1613 have been studied by Sandage (1971), Hodge et al. (1990; hereafter HLG), Price et al. (1990) and Hunter et al. (1993). The peculiarity of the kinematics of some of the superbubbles of ionized gas has been analyzed by Meaburn et al. (1988). Their work focused only on the NE region of the galaxy where bright H II complexes are easily detected. Other spectroscopic studies on the nebulae of IC 1613 mainly examine the only SNR (d’Odorico & Lozinskaya 1995; Rosado et al. 2000). The distribution of the H I gas in IC 1613 has been analyzed by Lake & Skillman (1989). These authors have found that the H I content makes up to 20 percent of the total mass (see also Huchtmeier et al. 1981).

In this work, the results of our kinematic analysis, making use of a scanning Fabry-Perot interferometer (PUMA, Rosado et al. 1995), are presented. We analyze the relationship between nebulae and the stellar associations studied in Paper I. The observations and data reductions are described in Sect. 2. In the remaining sections we study the kinematic behavior of the H II regions and superbubbles. In Sect. 3 we show the nebulosities of this galaxy in several emission lines. We also indicate how our photometric calibration has been done. In Sect. 4 we study the kinematics of HII regions and superbubbles in IC 1613. We obtain the peak velocities and velocity dispersions of the H II regions, as well as their stellar content. We also obtain the H II luminosity function and diameter distribution. In Sect. 5 we study the superbubbles found, obtaining their dimensions, expansion velocities, HII fluxes and studying their stellar content. We also obtain some important physical parameters of the superbubbles and the superbubble diameter distributions. We analyse the possibility that the superbubbles were formed by the combined action of stellar winds and supernovae explosions. In Sect. 6 we present the radial velocity field in our field of view, obtained from diffuse ionized gas, H II regions and superbubbles. We compare our trends with the results of H I observations. In Sect. 7 we present our conclusions.

2. Observations and data reduction

The observations were carried out during the nights of December 5 and 6, 1996 and November 15, 1998 with the UNAM Scanning Fabry-Perot interferometer PUMA attached to the f/7.9 Ritchey-Chrétien focus of the 2.1 m telescope at the Observatorio Astronómico Nacional at San Pedro Mártir, B.C., Mexico.

The PUMA setup was composed of a scanning Fabry-Perot interferometer (SFPI), a focal reducer with a f/3.95 camera, a filter wheel, a calibration system and a Tektronix 1K×1K CCD detector (Rosado et al. 1995). Table 2 reports the main characteristics of the instrumental setup.

We obtained a series of direct images in the lines of H II, [S II] ($\lambda 6717$ and $6731$ Å) and [O III] ($\lambda 5007$ Å) using the PUMA in its direct image mode (without the SFPI). The exposure times of each of the direct images were 60 s, 60 s and 300 s for the H I, [S II] and [O III], respectively. The CCD reading was binned 2 $\times$ 2, giving a pixel size of 1.1′ (equivalent to 4.21 pc at the adopted distance) and a field of view covering 10’ including the NE region and several other interesting regions of IC 1613.

In addition, we obtained scanning FP interferometric data cubes in the lines of H I ($\lambda 6717$ and $6731$ Å) and [S II] ($\lambda 6717$ and $6731$ Å). The H I cubes share the same scale and field of view as the direct images. Given that the [S II] intensities were lower than the H I intensities, we binned 4 $\times$ 4 the CCD reading of the [S II] cubes and correspondingly, we obtained a pixel size of 2′34.

The phase calibration was made by taking data cubes of a calibration lamp before and after the galaxy exposure. We used a hydrogen lamp for calibrating the H I nebular cubes and a neon lamp for calibrating the [S II] nebular cubes. Since the redshift of this galaxy is not high, phase corrections, due to the difference in wavelength between the galaxy and the calibration line, are not required.

The FP data cubes are composed of 48 steps, with integration times of 60 s per step. In this form, the final data cube dimensions in H I and [S II] were 512 $\times$ 512 $\times$ 48 and 256 $\times$ 256 $\times$ 48, respectively. For the H I observations we obtained 4 data cubes that were summed giving a total exposure time of 3.2 hours. For the [S II] observations we obtained 3 data cubes that also were summed, with a total exposure time of 2.4 hours.

Reductions were carried out using the software package CIGALE (Le Coarer et al. 1993). Our data cubes in
Hα are contaminated with line-sky emission such as the geocoronal Hα line and the lines of OH at 6577.18 Å and 6577.38 Å, respectively. The night-sky lines fell well outside the main emission of IC 1613, due to the systemic velocity of this galaxy. These night-sky lines were subtracted using an interactive routine of CIGALE. The data cubes in the [S II] lines are less contaminated by line-sky emission; however, the S/N ratio is not as good as that of the Hα cubes and so will be used only for comparison.

To extract the kinematic information from the FP data cubes we proceeded in different ways for the H II regions and for the superbubbles. In the case of the H II regions, we obtained only one radial velocity profile at Hα, integrated over a box containing the whole H II region. In the case of the superbubbles, we proceed in a different way in order to seek for internal motions revealed by line splitting of the velocity profiles. We obtained the radial velocity field of the superbubble by dividing the superbubble dimensions into several small boxes over which we obtained several radial velocity profiles, both in Hα and [S II].

The radial velocity profiles were fitted by Gaussian functions after deconvolution by the instrumental function (an Airy function). We constructed a map of the monochromatic (continuum) emission by integration of the radial velocity profile of each pixel, up (down) to a certain fraction of the peak. This map enabled us to separate the monochromatic emission from the continuum (Le Coarer et al. 1993). To get the radial velocity map of our observed field, we found the central velocity in the radial velocity profile of each pixel, that has a level above 2σ the value of the standard background emission, to ensure galaxy membership.

3. Morphology of the nebulae in IC 1613

Figure 1 shows the emission of IC 1613 in the lines of Hα, [S II] and [O III] as obtained from our direct images. The photometrical center of IC 1613 is located at RA(1950) = 01:02:19.6 and Dec(1950) = +01:51:56.1 (Mateo 1998). The most active region of IC 1613 is located 3′ (or 663 pc) North-East from the photometric center. Hereafter, we will call this quadrant the NE region. Bright H II regions, bubbles and superbubbles are situated in the NE region. Numerous H II regions and larger structures, some of them ring-shaped, are superimposed over the extent of the chaotic body of the galaxy. Except for the bright network of superbubbles located in the NE region of the galaxy, the nebulae are weaker in the [S II] lines and they do not show any appreciable [O III] emission.

In the South of this rich sector of the galaxy (at 3′′8 or 838 pc from the center), there is a giant H II region (Sandage 3). Southwest of the center of the galaxy at 2′4 (535 pc) we located the H II region Sandage 2.

3.1. The H II region content

The H II regions of IC 1613 have been catalogued by Sandage (1971), Lequeux et al. (1987), Price et al. (1990) and HLG (who catalogued 77 H II regions, reporting their diameters and Hα fluxes).

Our observed field contains 44 diffuse nebulae according to HLG. The limits of each H II region or group of H II regions were defined by the contour where the intensity of the Hα emission falls to the average local intensity.
Table 2. Parameters of the FP interferometric observations

| Instrument | Detector | FP Scanning Steps | Finesse | Filters | Central Lambda (Å) | Interference order | Free spectral range (km s\(^{-1}\)) | Sampling resolution (km s\(^{-1}\)/channel) | Calibration line | Binning | Final pixel size (arcsec) |
|------------|----------|-------------------|---------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------|------------------------|
| PUMA       | TEKTRONIX CCD | 48                | 24      | Ho      | 6570              | 330               | 48                              | 847                                                        | 6562.78 H      | 2 × 2   | 1.17                   |
|            |          |                   |         | [S\(_{ii}\)] | 6720              | 322               |                                 | 931                                                        | 6717.04 Ne      | 4 × 4   | 2.34                   |

Table 3. HLG H\(_{II}\) regions taken for calibration

| ID   | ID per sr \(^{f}\) | P\(^{c}\) | F\(^{c}\) | S\(^{d}\) | Counts\(^{a}\) | Counts per sr \(^{f}\) |
|------|--------------------|---------|---------|--------|----------------|------------------------|
| S2   | 13                 | 220     | 1.20    | 27 727 | 1.51          |                        |
| S18  | 31                 | 131     | 1.57    | 15 584 | 2.00          |                        |
| S6   | 36                 | 108     | 1.84    | 26 873 | 4.72          |                        |
| S16  | 46\(^{f}\)         | 63      | 5.90    | 12 398 | 10.42         |                        |
| -    | 46\(^{g}\)         | 39      | 3.47    | 8721   | 7.33          |                        |
| -    | 59                 | 45      | 0.66    | 7729   | 1.12          |                        |
| -    | 67\(^{c}\)         | 105     | 5.06    | 15 884 | 7.16          |                        |
| S11  | 70                 | 26      | 2.09    | 3489   | 2.93          |                        |

\(^{a}\) Sandage identification (Sandage 1971).

\(^{b}\) HLG identification (Hodge et al. 1990).

\(^{c}\) HLG published Ho fluxes, in units of \(10^{-15}\) erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\).

\(^{d}\) Surface brightness derived from HLG fluxes, in units of \(10^{-2}\) erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) sr\(^{-1}\).

\(^{e}\) Counts (sky subtracted) in our image integrated over the areas defined by HLG.

\(^{f}\) In units of \(10^{12}\) counts sr\(^{-1}\).

of the diffuse background. However, in some cases, the boundaries between diffuse H\(_{II}\) regions are not obvious. In addition, we were not able to resolve some regions. In these cases, we assign to them a common boundary, and, consequently, only 30 regions were clearly defined.

3.2. Photometric calibration

We calibrate our monochromatic Ho image in flux, using the measurements of HLG. Eight isolated and round regions were selected from HLG lists (Table 3). For each region we measured the flux of our monochromatic Ho image, using apertures with the same equivalent area as in HLG. A least squares fit to the data gives a conversion factor of 1 count s\(^{-1}\) (in our Ho image) = 6.26 \(10^{-18}\) erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\). We preferred a conversion factor per unit area for easy calculations of the flux in irregular H\(_{II}\) regions and superbubbles. Polygonal apertures were chosen such that they must contain all emission from a given H\(_{II}\) region or superbubble. Pixels within the apertures were summed to generate the total H\(_{II}\) region or superbubble plus background counts. The background emission was computed using apertures with the same area as the object, as close to the object as possible. The total Ho flux for every H\(_{II}\) region and every superbubble was obtained when the background was subtracted and the resulting counts multiplied by the conversion factor. Ho fluxes are presented in Col. 9 of Table 4 for the H\(_{II}\) regions and Col. 6 of Table 6 for the superbubbles.

Figure 2 shows the comparison between our measurements and HLG for the common H\(_{II}\) regions not included as calibrators.

4. Kinematics of the H\(_{II}\) regions in IC 1613

Figure 3 shows the Ho monochromatic image of IC 1613 (continuum subtracted) obtained from our FP cubes using the method described in Sect. 2. Superimposed on this image, we marked the rectangular boxes over which the radial velocity measurements of the observed H\(_{II}\) regions were performed. We obtained radial velocity profiles of
Fig. 3. Hα monochromatic image of IC 1613. The background has been subtracted at 2σ its mean value in order to reveal the weaker features. The boxes correspond to places where the kinematical analysis was performed. Sandage identifications have been quoted between parentheses if relevant. The asterisks indicate positions for the eight WR star candidates (Armandro & Massey 1985). Some labels are denoted with crosses, at the left bottom of the figure. The scale and orientation are indicated to the left and right, respectively. In this Figure the field covers approximately 9′ × 9′

these sources by integrating in those rectangular boxes. We will refer to these profiles as “integrated” because they are obtained by integrating over the whole extent of the H II region. Also, in this figure, we marked with asterisks the WR star candidates (Armandro & Massey 1985). Only one of these candidates was confirmed as a WR star; another was confirmed as the SNR Sandage 8 and the remaining were identified as OB stars (Azzopardi et al. 1988).

The integrated radial velocity profiles are, in general, single for all the H II regions; in this case, a single Gaussian function was fitted as described above. In only two cases: the SNR Sandage 8 (our source N18, see Table 4) and the giant shell Sandage 10/13 (N27), the integrated radial velocity profiles were complex. In those cases, we carried out a profile decomposition into two Gaussian functions. We then list the two velocity components obtained from our profile fitting. A more detailed study of the kinematics of S8 from the FP data will be given elsewhere (Rosado et al. 2000). Also, a more detailed study of the internal kinematics of the superbubble N27 = 67 (HLG) and of other superbubbles in this galaxy is given in the following section.

Table 4 gives a catalogue of the kinematical parameters of the H II regions of IC 1613, within the field of view of our observations. It is important to note that some of the objects listed in this table are ring-shaped and consequently are better classified as superbubbles and will be studied in more detail in the following section. We include these objects in Table 4 because they appear in previous catalogues (Sandage 1971 and HLG) but we exclude them from the calculation of the H II region luminosity function and diameter distributions.

In Table 4, we have listed the name (adopting both HLG’s and Sandage’s conventions), diameter (see the definition for this parameter in Sect. 4.2), peak velocity
and velocity dispersion from the Gaussian fitting to the radial velocity profile, and the Hα flux, derived as described in Sect. 3.2. To obtain luminosities, our fluxes were corrected only for galactic foreground extinction using the $A_V = 0.21$ mag value given in the RC2 catalog (de Vaucouleurs et al. 1976). The effects of internal extinction in these determinations seems to be not crucial (Hippelein 1986). The radial velocities were corrected to the heliocentric system of reference. Our dispersions ($σ_{\text{obs}}$) have been corrected for instrumental ($σ_{\text{inst}}$), thermal ($σ_{\text{th}}$) and intrinsic ($σ_{\text{intrinsic}}$) broadenings respectively, according to:

$$σ_{\text{corr}}^2 = σ_{\text{obs}}^2 - σ_{\text{inst}}^2 - σ_{\text{th}}^2 - σ_{\text{intrinsic}}^2$$

where $σ_{\text{inst}} = 43.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $σ_{\text{th}} = 9.1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for hydrogen gas at $T_e = 10^4$ (Spitzer 1978) and $σ_{\text{intrinsic}} = 3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for the fine structure of the Hα line. Some authors also refer to $σ_{\text{corr}}$ as the turbulent velocity dispersion.

An inspection of this table shows that the heliocentric radial velocities range from $-228$ to $-254$ km s$^{-1}$. The equivalent diameters range from 27 pc (corresponding to region N24) to 253.6 pc (for region Sandage 3 or N9) and their mean value is around 82 pc. The corrected velocity dispersions are supersonic for most of the objects with values ranging from 16 to 35 km s$^{-1}$ with a mean value of $20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Some HII regions have low S/N ratios and consequently their determinations of peak velocity and velocity dispersion are uncertain. These cases have been quoted

### Table 4. Measured parameters for HII regions in IC 1613

| Source $^a$ | Sandage $^b$ | HLG $^c$ | D $^d$ | V$_1$ $^e$ | V$_2$ $^f$ | $σ_1$ $^e$ | $σ_2$ $^f$ | log $F$(Hα)$^g$ | log L(Hα)$^h$ |
|-------------|-------------|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|----------------|
| N1          | S2          | 13       | 127.6 | -248      | 20.0      | -12.41    | 37.34     |                |                |
| N2          | (25), 30    | 53.3     | -242  | 18.8      | -13.50    | 36.25     |          |                |                |
| N3          | 26          | 78.1     | -254  | 31.4      | -13.28    | 36.47     |          |                |                |
| N4          | 27, (33)    | 47.2     | -242  | 26.5      | -13.72    | 36.03     |          |                |                |
| N5          | S12         | 29, (35) | 80.5  | -247      | 21.2      | -12.83    | 36.91     |                |                |
| N6          | S18         | 31, 32   | 99.0  | -247      | 21.2      | -12.89    | 36.86     |                |                |
| N7          | 34          | 34.2     | -244  | 21.2      | -13.53    | 36.22     |          |                |                |
| N8          | S6          | 36       | 57.5  | -240      | 12.0      | -12.89    | 36.86     |                |                |
| N9          | S3**        | 37       | 253.6 | -229      | 18.8      | -12.14    | 37.61     |                |                |
| N10         | 38          | 64.6     | -239  | 18.8      | -13.36    | 36.39     |          |                |                |
| N11         | S7          | 39, 40   | 56.6  | -239      | 10.4      | -12.87    | 36.88     |                |                |
| N12         | 42, 59      | 117.3    | -229  | 21.2      | -13.08    | 36.67     |          |                |                |
| N13         | (44)        | 29.3     | (-282)| (5.9)     | -14.74    | 35.01     |          |                |                |
| N14         | S17         | 45       | 90.8  | -236      | 18.8      | -12.79    | 36.96     |                |                |
| N15         | S15, S16    | 46       | 138.3 | -244      | 22.2      | -12.14    | 37.61     |                |                |
| N16         | 47, 57      | 34.7     | -236  | 17.6      | -13.27    | 36.48     |          |                |                |
| N17         | 48, 58, 61  | 60.1     | -235  | 16.3      | -13.09    | 36.66     |          |                |                |
| N18         | S8*         | 49       | 34.5  | -239, -335| 34.3      | 86.1      | -12.54    | 37.21     |                |
| N19         | 50, 41      | 14.0     | -242  | 17.6      | -13.61    | 36.14     |          |                |                |
| N20         | S5          | 51, 52, 53| 93.5  | -238      | 16.3      | -13.05    | 36.70     |                |                |
| N21         | S14         | 55       | 108.1 | -238      | 18.8      | -12.63    | 37.12     |          |                |
| N22         | 56          | 44.5     | -236  | 22.2      | -13.28    | 36.47     |          |                |                |
| N23         | (60)        | 29.1     | -242  | 22.2      | -14.51    | 35.24     |          |                |                |
| N24         | 63          | 26.9     | -240  | 24.4      | -13.94    | 35.81     |          |                |                |
| N25         | 64          | 76.3     | -237  | 24.4      | -12.87    | 36.88     |          |                |                |
| N26         | 66          | 184.5    | -238  | 21.2      | -12.46    | 37.29     |          |                |                |
| N27         | S10, S13    | 67       | 198.1 | -248, -185| 22.2      | 18.9      | -11.98    | 37.77     |                |
| N28         | 69          | 42.2     | -228  | 29.5      | -13.63    | 36.12     |          |                |                |
| N29         | S11         | 70, 73   | 42.7  | -235      | 22.2      | -13.53    | 36.22     |          |                |
| N30         | 72          | 99.0     | -228  | 32.4      | -13.06    | 36.69     |          |                |                |

---

$^a$ Identification used in this work.

$^b$ Sandage identification (Sandage 1971). The regions marked in boldface are known superbubbles previously catalogued as HII regions. The asterisks indicate the regions hosting the supernova remnant (a single asterisk) and the Wolf-Rayet star (two asterisks).

$^c$ HLG identification (Hodge et al. 1990). The regions marked in boldface are known superbubbles previously catalogued as HII regions. The regions quoted between parentheses are objects with uncertain identification.

$^d$ Equivalent diameter in parsecs (see text for definition).

$^e$ Peak velocity in units of km s$^{-1}$. Parentheses indicate uncertain values.

$^f$ Velocity dispersion in units of km s$^{-1}$. Parentheses indicate uncertain values.

$^g$ Logarithm of the Hα flux in units of erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$.

$^h$ Logarithm of the Hα luminosity in units of erg s$^{-1}$. 
### Table 5. Stellar content of the H\textsubscript{II} regions in IC 1613

| Source | Known stars\textsuperscript{a} | Related object\textsuperscript{b} | Stellar association\textsuperscript{c} | comments | Stellar association\textsuperscript{d} | clusters\textsuperscript{e} |
|--------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| N1     |                               | G10                           |                                 |          | H5                              | c32, c41                |
| N2     |                               | G11                           |                                 |          |                                 |                        |
| N3     |                               | G10                           |                                 |          | H10                             |                        |
| N4     |                               | G11                           |                                 |          |                                 |                        |
| N5     | AM4                           | G7                            | embedded                        |          |                                 |                        |
| N6     |                               | G10                           | center                          |          |                                 |                        |
| N7     |                               | G10                           |                                 |          | H11                             | c13                    |
| N8     |                               | G10                           |                                 |          |                                 |                        |
| N9     | AM6                           | G11                           |                                 |          |                                 |                        |
| N10    |                               | G14                           |                                 |          |                                 |                        |
| N11    |                               | G13                           |                                 |          |                                 |                        |
| N12    |                               | G13                           |                                 |          |                                 |                        |
| N13    |                               | G13                           |                                 |          |                                 |                        |
| N14    |                               | G19                           |                                 |          |                                 |                        |
| N15    | AM5                           | G16, G17                      | embedded                        |          |                                 |                        |
| N16    |                               | G14                           | embedded                        |          |                                 |                        |
| N17    |                               | G18                           | embedded                        |          |                                 |                        |
| N18    | AM8                           | G14                           | embedded                        |          |                                 |                        |
| N19    |                               | G12, G14                      | embedded                        |          |                                 |                        |
| N20    |                               | G15                           | center                          |          |                                 |                        |
| N21    |                               | G17, G21                      | embedded                        |          |                                 |                        |
| N22    |                               | G24                           |                                 |          |                                 |                        |
| N23    |                               | G23, G24                      | embedded                        |          |                                 |                        |
| N24    |                               | G19                           |                                 |          |                                 |                        |
| N25    |                               | G21, G25, G28                 | embedded                        |          |                                 |                        |
| N26    |                               | G28                           | embedded                        |          |                                 |                        |
| N27    |                               | G28                           |                                 |          |                                 |                        |
| N28    |                               | G28                           |                                 |          |                                 |                        |
| N29    |                               | G28                           |                                 |          |                                 |                        |
| N30    |                               | G28                           |                                 |          |                                 |                        |

\textsuperscript{a} Stars with known spectra: WR candidates from Armandroff & Massey (1985).
\textsuperscript{b} Spectral types from Azzopardi et al. (1988).
\textsuperscript{c} Associations determined in Paper I.
\textsuperscript{d} Associations determined by Hodge (1978).
\textsuperscript{e} Stellar clusters determined in Hodge (1978) and in Paper I.

Within parentheses in Table 4, the H\textalpha{} fluxes range from $1.60 \times 10^{-15}$ to $9.24 \times 10^{-13}$ ergs cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$; these lower and upper limits correspond to the H\textalpha{} regions N13 and N27, respectively.

In Table 5 we give the stellar content in order to identify the exciting star or stars that are related to the analyzed H\textalpha{} regions. Column 1 gives the identification labels used in this work. Columns 2 and 3 list the WR candidates (Armandroff & Massey 1985) that coincide with some of our H\textalpha{} regions and their spectral type (or related object) according to Azzopardi et al. (1988). Columns 4, 6 and 7 indicate the stellar associations and clusters determined in previous works. All but four H\textalpha{} regions have an evident stellar content that is probably the source of the UV flux.

### 4.1. The H\textalpha{} region luminosity function

Figure 4 shows the H\textalpha{} luminosity function for the H\textalpha{} regions in IC 1613. For several galaxies, it has been shown that the brighter luminosity end of the H\textalpha{} region luminosity function can be fitted with a power law in the form: $N(L) = AL^a dL$, where $N$ is the number of H\textalpha{} regions with luminosity $L$ in an interval of luminosity $dL$, and the slope $a$ is related to the morphological type of the galaxy (Kennicutt et al. 1989), ranging from mean values of $-2.3$ (Sab-Sb galaxies) to $-1.75$ (Irregulars). It also has been suggested that different ranges of the luminosity function are related to different kind of objects, such as H\textalpha{} regions ionized by single stars and those ionized by clusters of stars (Hodge et al. 1989; Youngblood & Hunter 1999).

To obtain the H\textalpha{} region luminosity function we take the logarithm of the number of H\textalpha{} regions divided by the corresponding luminosity bin width (0.26 dex in our calculation). The bin width was chosen in terms of our relatively small number of objects (30 H\textalpha{} regions) and the fit was calculated to the bins brighter than $\log L = 3.65$. Our best fit slope for IC 1613 gives $a = -1.54 \pm 0.1$. This value is similar to the value ($a = -1.6 \pm 0.2$) found by...
HLG, and is within the mean values found for a sample of irregular galaxies studied by Kennicutt et al. (1989), Strobel et al. (1991), Kingsburgh & McCall (1998) and Youngblood & Hunter (1999).

4.2. The \( \text{H}_\text{II} \) region diameter distribution

We measured the diameter of the \( \text{H}_\text{II} \) regions on the monochromatic \( \text{H}\alpha \) image and they are reported in Col. 4 in Table 4. The reported diameter is the equivalent diameter, which is defined as \( D = (4A/\pi)^{1/2} \). In this definition, \( A \) is the area of the apertures wherein the photometry was performed. The measured diameters range from 27 to 254 pc (this later value corresponds to large \( \text{H}_\text{II} \) complexes that are not ring-shaped).

The cumulative \( \text{H}_\text{II} \) region diameter distribution \( N(>D) \) has been calculated and in Fig. 5 we plot \( \log N(>D) \) vs. \( D \). This functional dependence was proposed by van den Bergh (1981) as a universal law for all galaxy types and it has been used to study the statistics of the \( \text{H}_\text{II} \) region populations in combination with the \( \text{H}_\text{II} \) region luminosity function. The slope in the cumulative \( \text{H}_\text{II} \) region diameter distribution is related to the characteristic diameter \( D_0 \) of the \( \text{H}_\text{II} \) regions in a galaxy. For IC 1613, a least squares fit gives \( D_0 = 65.41 \pm 3 \) pc. This value is marginally in agreement with a previous one determined by HLG who obtained \( D_0 = 56 \) pc. However our value differs from that of Price et al. (1990) who quoted a larger value (\( D_0 = 83 \) pc). This discrepancy can be easily explained, because Price et al. (1990) also considered some superbubbles in their calculation (see Sect. 5). Looking for other correlations, Hodge (1983), using a sample of 18 irregular and related galaxies (IC 1613 was not in his sample), found a good correlation between the characteristic diameter \( D_0 \) and the absolute magnitude \( M_B \) of a galaxy. In a subsequent work, Strobel et al. (1991) increased the sample of Hodge (1983) with 7 additional galaxies (IC 1613 was also not included in these new objects). They found that the previous correlation between \( D_0 \) and the absolute magnitude \( M_B \) becomes weaker, having the form \( \log D_0 = 0.25(\pm0.29) + 0.098(\pm0.017)M_B \). For IC 1613 this relation gives a value of \( \log D_0 = 1.64 \) – taking \( M_B = -14.2 \) from Mateo (1998) – in agreement with our calculation (\( \log D_0 = 1.83 \)). However, if we plot our resulting \( D_0 \) in Fig. 11 in Strobel et al. (1991), our data point falls outside the line fit in that plot, a fact that points towards the conclusion given by Strobel et al. (1991). In that sense, in a more recent work, Youngblood & Hunter (1999), using a sample of 29 irregular and Blue Compact Dwarfs (IC 1613 not included), have shown that this correlation is indeed a very weak one (see their Fig. 9).

5. Kinematics of the superbubbles (giant shells) of IC 1613

Figure 6 shows one of the velocity maps (at \( V_{\text{helio}} = -234 \) km s\(^{-1}\)) of the \( \text{H}\alpha \) emission of IC 1613, obtained from our FP observations. The contrast of this image is higher than the direct image shown in Fig. 1 because the FP interferometer acts as a filter with a very narrow width (0.41 Å) while the bandwidth of the filter used to obtain the direct image in Fig. 1 is 20 Å. Thus, it allows the detection of the line emission from the galaxy while reducing spurious line or continuum emission. Furthermore, in order to enhance the detection of low emissivity features of large dimensions, this velocity map was smoothed spatially using a Gaussian filter of 4 pixels width in both \( X \) and \( Y \) axis.

We note that the entire observed field is covered by networks of shells, rings and filaments whose diameters range from 110 pc (30′6) to 325 pc (90′6). We will follow the terminology of this type of structures given in the introduction. In accord with this terminology, Fig. 6 shows that the entire field of our observations of IC 1613 is
Fig. 6. Velocity map (at $V_{helio} = -234$ km s$^{-1}$) of the H$\alpha$ emission of IC 1613, obtained from the scanning FP observations. Superimposed on this map are the boundaries of the 17 superbubbles catalogued in Table 6.

covered by superbubbles. We were able to differentiate 17 large diameter ring-shaped structures. The rough location of these superbubbles are marked in Fig. 6. We detect the seven superbubbles or giant shells, GS, (from GS1a and b to GS6) already reported by Meaburn et al. (1988), as well as several others located outside the field (to the S and to the W) covered by Meaburn et al. (1988). Most of the newly detected superbubbles lie outside the bright NE region of the galaxy and they are very faint and difficult to visualize. Some of them are coincident with the H$\alpha$ regions and filaments reported by Price et al. (1990) and Hunter et al. (1993).

Figures 7 and 8 show some of the H$\alpha$ and [S$\Pi$] velocity maps (or channels) where the network of superbubbles in the NE region is clearly appreciated. The heliocentric radial velocities for these superbubbles range from $-100$ to $-350$ km s$^{-1}$. The superbubbles appear different in the several FP velocity maps, allowing us to disentangle several of them that appear superimposed in the direct images. In particular, the giant shells 1a and 1b of Meaburn et al. (1988) (corresponding to superbubbles R1 and R2, respectively) appear in different velocity channels: R1 (Meaburn’s GS 1a) is better visualized in channels covering heliocentric velocities from $-272$ to $-253$ km s$^{-1}$ (and even more negative velocities not shown in the figures), whereas R2 (Meaburn’s GS 1b) is better appreciated in channels where the heliocentric velocity ranges from $-234$ to $-196$ km s$^{-1}$.

In order to quantify the relative importance of the superbubbles and to compare with other galaxies, we have calculated the 2-D porosity parameter, $Q_{2D}$, in our field, defined as the ratio of the total area occupied by the catalogued superbubbles to the total area of the galaxy seen in our field of view (Oey & Clarke 1997). We obtain $Q_{2D} = 0.75$, a value that is intermediate between the observed $Q_{2D}$ values for the SMC (1.6) and M 31 (0.01) according with Oey & Clarke (1997). However, the $Q_{2D}$ of the whole galaxy must await observations of the type described in this work covering the whole dimension of IC 1613.
According to the procedure discussed at the end of Sect. 2, we obtained the radial velocity field of the superbubbles. We used mainly the Hα data; however, we used also the [S II] data for the superbubbles which showed bright emission in the [S II] lines. Some of the superbubbles of the NE region appear also in Table 4. In that table we have only quoted the velocity values extracted from the integrated radial velocity profiles, while in the following we will use the radial velocity field in seeking for internal motions.
Figure 9 shows, as an example, the radial velocity profile of one of the superbubbles where the splitting is clearly visible. As we can see from this figure, some of the profiles are complex and require to be fitted by a superposition of at least two Gaussian functions. We interpret the different components as being due to an expansion motion. Table 6 gives a catalogue of the detected superbubbles, their identification (if any) according to Meaburn et al. (1988), Price et al. (1990) and Hunter et al. (1993, hereafter HHG), their angular dimensions, the peak heliocentric
velocities of the Gaussian functions fitted to the velocity profile and the calibrated Hα fluxes and luminosities. The last column of Table 6 corresponds to comments on the morphology of the superbubbles. We have found that some of the superbubbles have the “ring of H II regions” structure (i.e., the shell has smaller H II regions at its boundaries). This morphological type presents some evidence of shock-induced star formation as Rosado et al. (1996) have found for superbubbles in the LMC.

5.1. The stellar content of the superbubbles

The superbubbles catalogued in Table 6 are directly related to the stellar associations of IC 1613. These stellar associations were catalogued by Hodge (1978) for the entire galaxy. In Paper I, we have studied the stellar content of the NE region of the galaxy and applied an automatic method to obtain the boundaries of the stellar associations. Figure 10 shows the stellar associations studied in Paper I, where the superbubble boundaries shown in Fig. 6 are also marked. We see that there is a good agreement between the locations of most of the stellar associations and the centers of the superbubbles. For the superbubbles outside the NE region we also find a good agreement between the positions of Hodge’s (1978) associations and the superbubbles.

The stellar associations within the superbubbles boundaries are reported in Table 7. In this table we have listed (1) the superbubble’s number (according to our catalogue), (2) the Paper I and Hodge’s (1978) stellar associations located within the superbubble boundaries, (3) the number of blue stars of the interior associations (stars with \( M_v < -2.0 \), \( (B - V)_0 < 0.0 \) and \( (U - B)_0 < -0.5 \), corresponding to stars with masses larger than \( 7 M_\odot \), (4) the age of the stellar associations, the possible existence of (5) OB stars and (6) blue supergiants with known spectral types and (7) the \( \Gamma \) exponent of the Initial Mass Function of the interior associations. Columns 3, 4, 6 and 7 were derived from the data published in Paper I. Column 5 is based on the spectra published for several Wolf-Rayet (WR) star candidates in this galaxy (Armandrof & Massey 1985; Azzopardi et al. 1988). An examination of this table shows that the stellar associations reported in Paper I have a better correlation with the catalogued superbubbles than Hodge’s. This is because Hodge’s (1978) associations are, in general, larger than those reported in Paper I. Given that so many of them are interior to the superbubbles, we can conclude that the method of identification of stellar associations discussed in Paper I works very well. We also see that every catalogued superbubble can be associated with a relatively young stellar association (except R4, which probably has an older interior association), even the dimmer superbubbles, located far away from the bright NE region of IC 1613. This suggests that the interior associations are responsible for the formation of the superbubbles.

5.2. Physical parameters of the superbubbles

From the observed data (listed in Table 6) we can extract several physical parameters of the superbubbles:

1. The rms electron density of a superbubble is calculated from its Hα flux and dimensions according to the relation:

\[
 n_e^2(\text{rms}) = 2.74 \times 10^{18} F(\text{H}\alpha) R^{-1} \theta^{-2}.
\]

This relation was obtained by assuming that the emitting gas is at \( T = 10^4 \text{ K} \) and distributed in a spherical shell.
Table 6. Catalogue of superbubbles in the galaxy IC 1613

| Source | ID$^a$ | axis$^c$ | $V_1$ | $V_2$ | log $F$(Hα)$^d$ | log $L$(Hα)$^e$ | Comments |
|--------|--------|----------|-------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| R1     | GS1a   | (S13)    | 39 x 39 | −216 | −274          | −12.23          | 37.51  | High [SII] emission |
| R2     | GS1b   | (S10)    | 49 x 33 | −244 | −147          | −11.98          | 37.77  | High [SII] emission |
| R3     | (HIV)  | (HHG 2)  | 73 x 59 | −238 | −179          | −12.23          | 37.52  | Faint [SII] emission |
| R4     | GS2    | (HHG 1)  | 66 x 50 | −277 | −213          | −12.18          | 37.57  | Ring of HII regions |
| R5     | GS4    | (S15)    | 33 x 30 | −228 | −153          | −12.10          | 37.65  | High [SII] emission |
| R6     | GS5    | (S16+HIII) | (HHG 4)| 64 x 52 | −240 | −190          | −12.64          | 37.11  | Faint [SII] emission |
| R7     | GS6    | (HIV)  | 47 x 38 | −234 | (−174)        | −12.72          | 37.03  |                     |
| R8     | –      | (HVI) | 63 x 49 | −259 | −208          | −13.08          | 36.67  |                     |
| R9     | –      | (H5+S5+S7+S8) | 90 x 90 | −237 | −317 | (−12.31) | 37.44 | Ring of HII regions |
| R10    | –      | (S6 (contained)) | 37 x 37 | −229 | (−182) | −12.71 | 37.04 | [SII] detected |
| R11    | –      | (HII (contained)) | 77 x 77 | −205 | –           | −13.19 | 36.56 | Very faint |
| R12    | –      | (HII (contained)) | 108 x 61 | −210 | −138 | −12.69 | 37.06 |                     |
| R13    | GS3    | (S12+14)  | 37 x 24 | −217 | −296          | −12.62          | 37.13  | Expansion better seen in [SII] |
| R14    | –      | (HXII) | 85 x 77 | −234 | –           | −13.39          | 36.36  | Very faint |
| R15    | –      | (HX)   | 45 x 35 | −254 | −199          | −13.20          | 36.55  | Very faint |
| R16    | –      | (D1)   | 73 x 64 | −210 | –           | −12.89          | 36.85  | Very faint |
| R17    | –      | (HII contained) | 108 x 59 | −215 | – | −13.43 | 36.32 |                     |

$^a$ Meaburn et al. (1988) identification.
$^b$ Price et al. (1990) or HHG for Hunter et al. (1993) identifications.
$^c$ Dimensions of the superbubble semiaxis in arcsecond units (see details in Sect. 4.2).
$^d$ Flux logarithm in units of erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$.
$^e$ Luminosity logarithm in units of erg s$^{-1}$.
$^f$ S8 SNR projected at the boundary of this superbubble.

of radius $R$ (in pc) and thickness, $\Delta R = R/12$. The other quantities, $F$(Hα) and $\theta^2$, are listed in Table 6;

2. The expansion velocity, $V_{\text{EXP}}$, of a superbubble can be estimated as one half of the difference in velocities of the components listed in Table 6;

3. The mechanical energy of the superbubble, $K.E. = 0.5 M V_{\text{EXP}}^2$, is calculated according with the relation:

$$K.E. \text{(ergs)} = 1.7 \times 10^{41} n_e \text{(rms)} \text{ (cm}^{-3}\text{)} V_{\text{EXP}}^2 \text{(km s}^{-1}\text{)} R^2.$$  

In obtaining this relation we have assumed that the mass is concentrated in the spherical shell of radius $R$ (in pc) and thickness, $\Delta R = R/12$. We have also assumed that $\mu = 0.65$, as for an ionized hydrogen gas.

4. The kinematic age of the superbubble can be obtained from the following relation:

$$t(\text{yr}) = 4.8 \times 10^5 \frac{R}{V_{\text{EXP}}} \text{ (km s}^{-1}\text{)}.$$  

This relation has been obtained by noting that the kinematic age of wind blown bubbles can be estimated as:

$$t = 0.5 - 0.6 \frac{R}{V} \text{ (McCray 1977}).$$  

Table 8 lists the physical parameters of the catalogued superbubbles calculated as discussed above: the superbubble's number (according to our catalogue), the shell radius (see Sect. 5.3), the rms electron density, the expansion velocity, the mechanical energy and the dynamical age of the superbubble. Meaburn et al. (1988) have obtained expansion velocities for five of these superbubbles.
Table 7. Stellar content of the superbubbles in the galaxy IC 1613

| Source | Paper I | \( N_B \) | Age (Myr) | Known stars | Blue SG | \( \Gamma \) |
|--------|---------|------------|----------|-------------|--------|---------|
| R1     | Hodge (1978) | 3          | 20       | no          | no     | \(-2.4 \pm 0.3\) |
| R2     | part of G28, H18 | 17         | 8        | no          | one    | \(-1.6 \pm 0.2\) |
| R3     | G25, part of G28, H17 | 8          | 20       | no          | no     | \(-2.1 \pm 0.1\) |
| R4     | parts of G16, G17, G21, G24, G25, H13, H17 (parts of) | 37         | 8–10     | no          | no     | \(-1.6 \pm 0.2\) |
| R5     | part of G16, H13 (part of) | 7          | 10       | one (OBem(?)) | one (S13) | \(-1.7 \pm 0.2\) |
| R6     | G5, G7, G11, H10, H13 (part of) | 36         | 5        | one (OB)    | two (CB1.5, A0Ia) | \(-1.3 \pm 0.3\) |
| R7     | G9, part of G11, H13 (part of) | 16         | 10       | no          | no     | \(-1.7 \pm 0.2\) |
| R8     | parts of G9, G10 | 5          | –        | no          | one (B2I) | \- |
| R9     | G12, G14, G15, G18, part of G6, G13, H14, H15 | 106        | 5–10     | no          | no     | \(-2.0 \pm 0.2\) |
| R10    | G8, part of G12, part of H14 | 7          | 5        | no          | one (B2I) | \(-1.1 \pm 0.2\) |
| R11    | –    | –          | –        | –           | –      | –       |
| R12    | –    | –          | –        | –           | –      | –       |
| R13    | part of G21, part of H17 | 9          | 8        | no          | no     | \(-1.6 \pm 0.2\) |
| R14    | –    | 21         | 16       | no          | no     | –       |
| R15    | –    | 36         | 31       | no          | no     | –       |
| R16    | –    | 8          | 24       | no          | no     | –       |
| R17    | –    | 25         | 11       | no          | no     | –       |

Our results agree with these previous observations except for the dimensions of some of the superbubbles.

5.3. The diameter distribution of the superbubbles

The angular dimensions of the superbubbles were determined on the velocity maps at H\( \alpha \) and they are given in Col. 3 in Table 6. The reported dimensions in this table correspond to the extension of the semiaxes in arcsec. In the cases where we have two different values, i.e., when the shell is not circular, we average them. The resulting linear radii are listed in Col. 2 in Table 8.

Comparing the size distribution of the H\( \alpha \) regions and H\( \alpha \) complexes of IC 1613 (see Sect. 4.2) against the superbubble size distribution we note the following differences: while the diameters of the H\( \alpha \) regions range from 27 to 254 pc (this later value corresponds to large H\( \alpha \) complexes not ring-shaped), those of the superbubbles range from 110 to 304 pc (note that there is an overlap between these two populations). Thus, the superbubbles have, in general, larger dimensions than those of H\( \alpha \) regions, pointing towards a more evolved nebular population. Figure 11 shows the size distribution, \( N(R) - R \), of the superbubbles in IC 1613. It is worth comparing this size distribution with the size distributions of other galaxies. In order to do so, we have examined the size distributions of the galaxies SMC, Holmberg II, M 31 and M 33 reported in Oey & Clarke (1997; see their Figs. 3 and 4). It is important to take into account the small number statistics of the superbubbles in the studied field of IC 1613 (only 17). Furthermore, our superbubbles are ionized regions while the superbubbles in Oey & Clarke (1997) correspond to H\( i \) holes. Nevertheless, we see that the distributions show some similarity. The peak of maximum frequency of the superbubbles in these galaxies corresponds to \( D \approx 80 \text{ pc} \) (SMC), 120 pc (M 33), 180 pc (M 31) and 500 pc (Hol II); this peak, in the case of IC 1613, is at \( \approx 100 - 140 \text{ pc} \), thus, similar to the one of M 33 H\( i \) holes. On the other hand, there is a difference in the maximum linear extent
Table 8. Physical parameters of the superbubbles in the galaxy IC 1613

| Source | R (pc) | $n_e$ (rms) cm$^{-3}$ | $V_{\text{EXP}}$ km s$^{-1}$ | $K.E^a$ ergs | $t^b$ Myr |
|--------|--------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|--------|
| R1     | 70     | 3.8                   | 29                          | 1.88        | 1.2    |
| R2     | 74     | 4.9                   | 49                          | 8.07        | 0.7    |
| R3     | 119    | 1.8                   | 30                          | 4.64        | 1.9    |
| R4     | 105    | 2.2                   | 32                          | 4.49        | 1.6    |
| R5     | 55     | 6.3                   | 38                          | 2.56        | 0.7    |
| R6     | 105    | 1.4                   | 25                          | 1.70        | 2.0    |
| R7     | 77     | 1.9                   | (30)                        | (1.34)      | (1.2)  |
| R8     | 101    | 0.8                   | 26                          | 1.01        | 1.9    |
| R9     | 162    | (1.1)                 |                             |             |        |
| R10    | 66     | 2.4                   | (24)                        | (0.69)      | (1.3)  |
| R11    | 138    | 0.4                   |                             |             |        |
| R12    | 152    | 0.7                   | 36                          | 5.75        | 2.0    |
| R13    | 55     | 3.6                   | 32                          | 1.04        | 0.8    |
| R14    | 146    | 0.3                   |                             |             |        |
| R15    | 72     | 1.3                   | 28                          | 0.64        | 1.2    |
| R16    | 123    | 0.7                   |                             |             |        |
| R17    | 150    | 0.3                   |                             |             |        |

$^a$ Mechanical energy in units of $10^{50}$ ergs. See Sect. 5.2 for details.

$^b$ Dynamical age in units of Myrs. See Sect. 5.2 for details.

of the superbubbles: the superbubbles in IC 1613 reach $D \approx 300$ pc while the superbubbles in the other studied galaxies reach diameters of 720 pc (M 31), 760 pc (SMC), 1160 pc (M 33) and 1400 pc (Hol II); note that the latter two values fall into the category of supershells according to the typical terminology. This difference could be due to the fact that we are only detecting ionized shells while the other studies are based on H I holes. We have also obtained the cumulative diameter distribution $N(> D)$ for the superbubbles. In Fig. 12 we plot log $N(> D)$ vs. $D$. For the superbubbles of IC 1613 a least squares fit gives $D_0 = 91.84 \pm 3$ pc. This value is in agreement with the value ($D_0 = 83$ pc) determined by Price et al. (1990) who included some superbubbles in their calculation of the diameter distribution.

5.4. Discussion

We have seen in the preceding sections (more precisely in Sect. 5.1) that every superbubble detected in this work corresponds to one (or several) stellar association(s) interior to the superbubble. This suggests that the superbubbles are formed by the combined action of stellar winds and supernova explosions of the massive stars of the associations. In what follows, we will examine in more detail this possibility and the models used to describe this formation and evolution.

The shell formation and evolution as a result of the interaction of strong winds of a massive star with its ambient medium has been studied a long time ago by several authors (Dyson & de Vries 1972; Castor et al. 1975; Steigman et al. 1975; Weaver et al. 1977, amongst others). The more favored (and complete) description of this interaction is the “standard model” (Weaver et al. 1977) which considers that a star at rest releases a constant wind power, $L_W$, that interacts with the ambient medium (assumed to be homogeneous). As a result of this interaction, two shocks are formed (one in the stellar wind and another in the ambient medium). The shell formation is the result of these shocks and the shell expansion is driven by the thermal pressure of the shocked stellar wind. The shocked stellar wind has no significant radiative cooling and for this reason this model is also called adiabatic or energy conserving. This model could also be applied to explain the formation of larger shells (superbubbles and supershells) formed by the combined action of stellar winds and supernova explosions. In spite of the popularity and theoretical simplicity of the standard model, several observational difficulties have prevented its test in real cases. The main difficulty is the poor knowledge of the interior stars and of their wind power. Observational works
(Oey 1996b) where the stellar content has been thoughtfully analyzed and using numerical (instead of the analytical) models that take into account the temporal variations of the wind power as a result of the stellar evolution (Oey 1996a), have found discrepancies between the predicted shell radius and expansion velocity in the sense that the expansion velocities are too high for the observed radii (“dynamical discrepancy”) or between the shell radius and velocity and the wind power, \( L_W \), and pre-shock density, \( n_0 \), in the sense that \( L_W/n_0 \) seems to be overestimated by a factor of 10 (“growth rate discrepancy”). Having these considerations in mind we will proceed to analyze our results, summarized in Table 8.

A close examination of the physical parameters reported in Table 8 shows that:

- The rms electron densities of the superbubbles are quite low (from 0.3 to up to 6.3 cm\(^{-3}\)). This makes it quite difficult to obtain electron densities from [S\(\text{II}\)] line-ratio because the line-ratios will fall in the low density regime (\( n_e < 300 \text{ cm}^{-3} \));

- The \( \text{H} \alpha \) luminosities of the superbubbles vary from \( 2.11 \times 10^{36} \) to \( 5.89 \times 10^{37} \text{ ergs s}^{-1} \). These luminosity values imply a number of ionizing photons \( s^{-1} \) ranging from \( 1.55 \times 10^{48} \) to \( 4.33 \times 10^{49} \). These ionizing photons can be easily supplied by the interior blue stars. Thus, the superbubbles seem to be ionized by the stars of the interior associations;

- The dynamical ages of the superbubbles (Col. 6 of Table 8) are of the order of 1–2 Myr and in every case, shorter than the age of the interior stellar association (Col. 4 of Table 5). The fact that the dynamical ages are shorter than those of the stellar associations suggests that the stellar associations could form the superbubbles. Furthermore, the fact that there is a delay (of about 10 Myr) between the formation of the stellar association and the formation of the superbubble (estimated by its dynamical age), suggests that only the most massive stars of the interior association are responsible for superbubble formation when they evolve into supergiants (or perhaps have exploded as supernovae);

- The obtained expansion velocities of the superbubbles are in general quite high. All the known expansion velocities are larger than 25 km s\(^{-1}\) and there are two superbubbles with expansion velocities larger than 40 km s\(^{-1}\). This is similar to the case of the superbubbles in the LMC where Rosado et al. (1981, 1982), Rosado (1986) and Oey (1996a) have found several superbubbles with high expansion velocities. The kinematics of this class of superbubbles (“high velocity superbubbles”) cannot be explained in terms of the “standard model” of adiabatic wind-blown bubbles (Weaver et al. 1977) because the expansion velocities are too large for the observed shell radius, according to the predictions of this model.

In the case of the superbubbles of IC 1613, our stellar photometry can give us some knowledge of the massive stars interior to the catalogued superbubbles but we cannot follow the treatment given in Oey (1996a) because further spectroscopic work is needed in order to know accurately the content of massive stars. In the absence of this accurate knowledge we can, nevertheless, estimate the required wind power \( (L_W) \) of each superbubble and compare it with the number of massive stars that we detect in our photometric observations, in order to see, in terms of energy considerations, whether the standard model could explain the superbubble formation.

Assuming that the superbubbles evolve according to the standard model of wind-blown bubbles (Weaver et al. 1977), the wind power, \( L_W \), released by the stars constantly during all of the dynamical age of the shell is given by:

\[
L_W = 3.2 \times 10^{-7} n_0 \text{ (cm}^{-3}\text{)} v_{\text{exp}}^3 \text{ (km s}^{-1}\text{)} R^2 10^{36} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}
\]

where, \( n_0 \), the ambient pre-shock density, is taken as: \( n_0 = n_e/4 \) by assuming that the swept-up mass in the shell comes from a homogeneous sphere of radius \( R \). Both \( n_e \) and \( R \) are listed in Table 8.

A wind power of \( 4 \times 10^{36} \text{ ergs s}^{-1} \) is reasonably expected for one O9.5 or B0 supergiant star (Pauldrach et al. 1986) during its lifetime in this phase (about 10^8 yr). More massive stars can have larger wind powers but the duration of those phases is ten times shorter. That is the case for O4I stars that can release more than 100 times the wind power of \( 1 \times 10^{36} \text{ ergs s}^{-1} \), or of WR stars that can release about \( 50 \times 10^{36} \text{ ergs s}^{-1} \); however, these events occur only during time scales shorter than the dynamical ages of the superbubbles considered here (a few 10^8 yr compared with the dynamical ages of about 1 Myr derived in this work).

In Table 9 we list the required wind power \( L_W \) that can explain the observed expansion velocities and radii of the superbubbles studied here, in terms of the standard model, and the number of detected blue stars (with \( M_V < -2 \), or \( M_* > 7M_\odot \), \( N_\text{B} \), quoted in Col. 3 of Table 7. We see that superbubbles with expansion velocities lower than \( 30 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) have wind powers that are easily explained by the number of blue stars inside them (assuming that they are all supergiants and earlier than B0). On the contrary, there are some extreme cases, such as R2, where the required wind power seems to imply that some of the interior blue stars should release much more powerful winds. Given our limitations in the accurate knowledge of the stellar content of the superbubbles, we can only state that, from energy considerations, the superbubbles studied here could be formed by the stellar winds of the interior stars.

It is interesting to note from Table 6 that the superbubbles of IC 1613 with higher velocities seem to be brighter in [S\(\text{II}\)] emission. On the other hand, an inspection of Table 8 shows that all the superbubbles have mechanical energies of at most 80% the energy of one supernova explosion (approximately \( 1−3 \times 10^{51} \text{ ergs} \)). Taking into account that between 20 to 30% of this energy is transmitted to the ambient medium as kinetic energy, one or two SN explosions could also account for the formation (or acceleration) of the superbubbles. However, no traces of these SN explosions (such as extended X-rays sources or non-thermal radio emission) have been found.
Fig. 13. IC 1613 Hα observed radial velocity distribution (see text for details). The colors are related to velocities given in km s$^{-1}$. The scale and the orientation are indicated to the left.

6. The velocity field of IC 1613

Figure 13 shows the global radial velocity field (not corrected for projection effects) of the central region of IC 1613 obtained from our FP data in Hα, considering diffuse gas, H II regions and superbubbles. In this map a chaotic distribution of velocities across the galaxy can be seen: some local events are superimposed onto the regular field. These local events are the peculiar kinematical signatures of the superbubbles, which are notorious. However, when the expansions are extracted, we see a variation in the mean velocities across the field. Along the NE region, a gradient of velocities ranges from $-210$ km s$^{-1}$ in the southeast to $-250$ km s$^{-1}$ in the northwest. The extreme detected velocities are due to the contamination of the superbubble and SNR internal motions. Indeed, the region N27 (with the maximum velocity of $-139$ km s$^{-1}$) is the superbubble R2 and N18 (with the minimum velocity of $-328$ km s$^{-1}$) is the SNR Sandage 8. It would be important to have kinematic data of the ionized gas over the whole dimensions of this galaxy in order to obtain its rotation curve.

On the other hand, in Fig. 14, the 21-cm isovelocities from Lake & Skillman (1989) are sketched together with the radial velocity distribution of the H II regions, obtained in this work. Their velocity resolution is 6.18 km s$^{-1}$ and the linear resolution is approximately 210 pc. In this figure we can see that in general, the optical distribution does not correspond to any particular kinematical H I feature. However, with respect to the density distribution (not shown), it has been noted that the optical extent of IC 1613 is located at the peak of the H I density distribution and at the ridge of an H I hole (Lake & Skillman 1989). In order to compare the kinematics of the ionized gas with the H I kinematics we also require more observations covering the whole optical extent of this galaxy.
Table 9. Comparison between the predicted wind power and the detected blue stars for the superbubbles of IC 1613

| Source | LW* | Nb*b |
|--------|-----|------|
| R1     | 36  | 3    |
| R2     | 253 | 17   |
| R3     | 55  | 8    |
| R4     | 64  | 37   |
| R5     | 84  | 7    |
| R6     | 19  | 36   |
| R7     | 24  | 16   |
| R8     | 11  | 5    |
| R9     | –   | 106  |
| R10    | 12  | 7    |
| R11    | –   | –    |
| R12    | 60  | –    |
| R13    | 29  | 9    |
| R14    | –   | 21   |
| R15    | 12  | 36   |
| R16    | –   | 8    |
| R17    | –   | 25   |

* Predicted wind power in units of $10^{36}$ ergs s$^{-1}$.
  See Sect. 5.4 for details.

b Observed blue stars. See Sect. 5.4 for details.

7. Conclusions

We have measured – by means of a Fabry-Perot interferometer – the kinematical parameters of the H II regions that we have detected within the 10$''$ field of view of our observations (which includes most of the optical emission of IC 1613). We found that the H II regions of IC 1613 present similar integrated properties as well as Hα line profiles with simple structure that are fitted by single Gaussian curves. We obtained also the Hα fluxes and luminosities of these H II regions. The luminosity function of these H II regions can be fitted to a power law function of slope $\alpha = -1.54 \pm 0.1$ in agreement with the value given by HLG. We have also studied the diameter distribution and the cumulative diameter distribution of the H II regions within our field of view. We obtained a characteristic diameter $D_0 = 65.41 \pm 3$ pc which is slightly larger than the previous determination by HLG, probably because our field is smaller. Our characteristic diameter value does not seem to follow the correlation found by Hodge (1983) between $D_0$ and the absolute magnitude $M_B$ for a sample of irregular galaxies.

We have also found that the ISM of the entire galaxy is organized in a complex network of superbubbles. We have catalogued the superbubbles detected in our field of view. We have also calculated the 2-D porosity parameter, $Q_{2D}$, in the field of view of our observations and seen that the $Q_{2D}$ value is intermediate between the observed values for the SMC and M 31.

Most of the superbubbles that we have detected show complex radial velocity profiles (some others are so faint that we cannot measure line-splitting in their velocity profiles). These kind of profiles along the same nebula are indicative of internal gas motions and expansions. We have determined the expansion velocities and other physical parameters of the superbubbles found in this work. In addition, we have used the photometric data published in a previous work (Paper I) and in Hodge (1978) in order to know the stellar content of the catalogued superbubbles. We find that almost every superbubble has an interior association containing massive stars, suggesting a physical link between them. Furthermore, we find that the dynamical time scales of the superbubbles are always shorter than the ages of the interior stellar associations. The mean temporal delay between those ages (about 10 Myr) suggests that only evolved members (i.e., the more massive stars) of these associations are responsible for the formation of the superbubbles, possibly when they evolve to supergiants or when they explode as supernovae.

We have found that all the superbubbles with measured expansion velocities have expansion velocities larger than 25 km s$^{-1}$. We used energy considerations in order to explain the origin of the superbubbles in terms of stellar winds and supernova explosions. We find that the superbubbles with extreme expansion velocities (larger than 36 km s$^{-1}$) require interior stars with winds much more powerful than the average of B0–O9.5 supergiant stars if they are wind blown shells following the “standard model”. On the other hand, one or two supernova explosions could explain the mechanical energies involved.
However, no other traces of SN explosions (extended X-rays, non-thermal radio emission) are known for these superbubbles except an enhancement of their \([\text{S}II]\) emission.

We have obtained the size distribution and the cumulative diameter function of superbubbles detected in our field of view and compared these size distributions with size distributions of superbubbles in other galaxies. Our results are statistically poor. However, the value of the characteristic diameter we obtain, \(D_0 = 91.84 \pm 3\) pc, is in agreement with the value given by Price et al. (1990) for the nebulae in this galaxy.

On the other hand, we have determined the global \(\text{H}\alpha\) velocity field of IC 1613, inside our 10′ field of view. The velocity field is contaminated by the internal motions of the superbubbles of this galaxy. Nevertheless, a gradient of velocities is suggested across the SE-NW direction. In addition, we compare the mean velocities obtained from the \(\text{H}\alpha\) regions with the kinematics of the \(\text{H}\beta\) gas but we do not find a clear agreement between them.
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