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ABSTRACT

Currently, popular models for Type Ia supernovae (SNe Ia) fall into two general classes. The first comprises explosions of nearly pure carbon/oxygen (C/O) white dwarfs (WDs) at the Chandrasekhar limit which ignite near their centers. The second consists of lower mass C/O cores which are ignited by the detonation of an accreted surface helium layer. Explosions of the latter type produce copious Fe, Co, and Ni Kα emission from 56Ni and 56Co decay in the detonated surface layers, emission which is much weaker from Chandrasekhar-mass models. The presence of this emission provides a simple and unambiguous discriminant between these two models for SNe Ia. Both mechanisms may produce 0.1–0.6 M⊙ of 56Ni, making them bright γ-ray line emitters. The time to maximum brightness of 56Ni decay lines is distinctly shorter in the M < Mch class of model (~15 days) than in the Mch model (~30 days), making γ-ray line evolution another direct test of the explosion mechanism. It should just be possible to detect K-shell emission from a sub-Mch explosion from SNe Ia as far away as the Virgo cluster with the XMM observatory. A 1–2 m² X-ray telescope such as the proposed Constellation-X observatory could observe Kα emission from M < Mch SNe Ia in the Virgo cluster, providing not just a detection but high-accuracy flux and kinematic information.

Subject headings: gamma rays: theory — radiation mechanisms: thermal — supernovae: general — X-rays: stars

1. INTRODUCTION

The luminosity of Type Ia supernovae (SNe Ia), the brightest stellar explosions, arises in current successful models not from the energy of the explosion itself but from the radioactive decay of 56Ni. Following Arnett (1969), in all current models these explosions are the thermonuclear incineration of a white dwarf (WD). The very compact nature of this progenitor ensures that the explosion energy is efficiently converted to kinetic energy of expansion (see Pinto & Eastman 2000b for a discussion and further references). If a significant luminosity is to be developed, energy must be injected at later times when the column depth of the ejecta has declined significantly and radiation can escape.

It is by now widely recognized that this later deposition of energy results from the radioactive decay of 56Ni. This isotope is a by-product of burning to nuclear statistical equilibrium (NSE), the process which is responsible for liberating much of the energy which disrupts the star. While γ-rays from 56Ni decay have yet to be observed from SNe Ia, the evidence from the temporal behavior of the light curve (Colgate & McKee 1969; Clayton, Colgate, & Fishman 1969) and from optical and infrared spectra (Axelrod 1980; Weaver, Axelrod, & Woosley 1980; Kuchner et al. 1994) is very strong. It is further strengthened by the success of the radioactive decay model in explaining the light curve of SN 1987A (Xu 1989; Pinto & Woosley 1988a, 1988b; Pinto, Woosley, & Ensmann 1988), from which γ-rays were directly observed (Gehrels, Leventhal, & MacCallum 1987, and references therein). Just as the X- and γ-ray emission from SN 1987A yielded new and important information about the dynamics of its explosion, so too observations of SNe Ia at these energies hold the promise of significant advances.

Despite 30 years of observation and theoretical study, progress toward understanding the detailed set of events and the physics leading to SNe Ia explosions remains elusive. In particular, the last decade has seen intense observational efforts in the optical and near-IR and matching theoretical activity. Though we have learned a great deal about SNe Ia, it must still be said that incorporating current data into a tight theoretical picture remains an enterprise fraught with difficulty. SNe Ia explosion models are frustrated by uncertainties about thermonuclear flame physics and progenitor evolution, while the interpretation of optical data is hindered by uncertainties in the non-LTE atomic physics of nearly neutral silicon- and, especially, iron-group ions.

If there exists a “standard model” for SNe Ia, the current definition would be a C/O WD in a close binary system which is pushed by accretion very near the Chandrasekhar mass limit, 1.38 M⊙. As the central density of the dwarf rises above 107 g cm⁻³, carbon ignites near the center, leading to an outward-propagating thermonuclear flame. This flame is Rayleigh-Taylor unstable and very quickly becomes turbulent, leading to a marked acceleration in its progress, as necessary to achieve an energetic explosion (see Woosley & Weaver 1986). This turbulence also makes direct numerical simulation intractable (Niemeyer & Woosley 1997; Khokh-
loch, Oran, & Wheeler 1997, and references therein); current models are thus hampered by a lack of predictive power.

A possible alternative to the standard model, or perhaps an addition to it, is a C/O WD in the mass range 0.6–0.9 $M_\odot$, bound to a helium main-sequence companion. Accretion in such systems was studied by Limongi & Tornambe (1991), who found that for accretion rates near $\sim 3 \times 10^{-8}$ $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, order of 0.2 $M_\odot$ of helium could be accreted until this layer detonated near its base. As others have noted, this is very near the accretion rate estimated by Iben & Tutukov (1991), who found this rate, driven by gravitational wave radiation, to be insensitive to the mass ratio of the two components, thereby alleviating the need to fine-tune progenitor properties to result in such an explosion.

The possibility that this ignition mechanism might lead to a SN Ia was first suggested by Livne (1990) and studied in a two-dimensional model by Livne & Glanser (1991). In one-dimensional models, detonation in the helium layer produces an inward-moving, focused compression wave which drives the central density above $10^8$ g cm$^{-3}$ and temperature above $10^7$ K, causing central carbon ignition under explosive conditions. The subsequent evolution and nucleosynthesis was studied by Woosley & Weaver (1994) in a one-dimensional model and by Livne & Arnett (1995) in a two-dimensional model. While the progress of the explosion is rather different in a two-dimensional model, both calculations produce very similar results, which may indicate the robustness of this mechanism. The models possess a number of attractive properties, such as production of 0.1–0.9 $M_\odot$ of $^{56}$Ni, with the remainder of the original C/O WD going to silicon-group isotopes. As Woosley & Weaver have pointed out, such sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ models may be the production sites for $^{44}$Ca (produced as $^{48}$Ti) and $^{48}$Ti (made as $^{48}$Cr), neither of which is accounted for by either Type II supernovae or $M_{\text{ch}}$ SN Ia (Timmes, Woosley, & Weaver 1995). Even more attractive is the fact that, since burning takes place at lower densities than in $M_{\text{ch}}$ stars, these models do not suffer from the problem of excess electron capture and the resultant overproduction of rare neutron-rich species, such as $^{54}$Fe, $^{56}$Fe, $^{54}$Cr, and $^{58}$Ni, which plague $M_{\text{ch}}$ models (though accretion at extremely high rates accompanied by strong winds may be able to cure this; see Brachwitz et al. 2000). The amount of $^{56}$Ni produced increases monotonically with the mass of the WD, implying greater maximum brightness for more massive stars. The increased mass may also mean a longer diffusion time, and the correlation of both these properties is in the right direction to explain the maximum brightness decline rate relationship described by Phillips (1993). There is some hint of this in the bolometric light curves computed by Woosley & Weaver and by Livne & Arnett, but a more accurate comparison with observations requires multigroup transport with velocity-broadened line opacities as in Pinto & Eastman (2000b).

It is not known what fraction, if any, of observed SNe Ia are due to sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ explosions. Iben & Tutukov (1991) estimated the rate for He symbiotic systems to explode at the rate of 1 per century in the galaxy, which compares well with the SNe Ia rate determined by van den Bergh & Tamman (1991), Cappellaro et al. (1997), and Hamuy & Pinto (1999). In principle, it ought to be possible to distinguish $M_{\text{ch}}$ and sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ explosion models from their predicted optical light curve and spectral evolution. Years of tuning $M_{\text{ch}}$ models to achieve a match between observed and computed spectra have resulted in a defining list of properties which the successful Ia explosion must possess. Successful models include the Nomoto, Thielemann, & Yokoi (1984) model W7 (Harkness 1991) and the Woosley & Weaver (1991) model DD4 (Kirshner et al. 1993; Eastman 1996; Pinto 1997; Pinto & Eastman 2000b). Sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ models have, on the other hand, remained largely unexplored, although some sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ models share many of the same desirable properties as their more massive cousins.

The spectra of current sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ models reported in the literature are too blue (Nugent et al. 1997; Höflich et al. 1996), resulting in part from the presence of iron and radioactivity in the outer layers. They also have not produced, to date, Ca at sufficiently high velocities to match a typical SNe Ia. We feel these results are far from conclusive, however. Models for sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ explosions have not yet undergone the same degree of tuning as their more massive cousins to bring them into better agreement with observation. Current spectrum and light-curve calculations are rendered uncertain by their lack of time dependence, especially in the high-velocity, low-density surface layers.

Since the sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ models have less mass (e.g., 0.8 vs. 1.38 $M_\odot$), they might be expected to reach maximum light in less time than an $M_{\text{ch}}$ explosion. Estimates by Contardo & Leibundgut (1998) and Riess et al. (1999) give rise times for nearby SNe Ia in the range 19–23 days in B. It would be nice if radiation transport simulations of the light-curve evolution were accurate enough to conclusively rule out sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ models (or $M_{\text{ch}}$ models) by comparison with observations. Unfortunately, the effective opacities, and even much of the basic physics, used in most calculations performed to date remain highly uncertain. To be confident in estimates of the rise time, one requires knowledge of the UV line opacity of low-ionization nickel and cobalt—opacities accurate to a factor much smaller than the mass difference between $M_{\text{ch}}$ and sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ SNe models. Errors in the opacity, which come principally from velocity-broadened UV lines of nickel, cobalt, and iron, translate directly into errors in the rise time and peak brightness (Pinto & Eastman 2000a, 2000b). Most SNe Ia light-curve calculations (e.g., Höflich, Müller, & Khokhlov 1993; Pinto & Eastman 2000b) have been based solely on lines from the Kurucz list (Kurucz 1991), which likely underestimates the nickel and cobalt opacities significantly. We have compared Rosseland mean opacity values computed for a pure cobalt composition at $\rho = 10^{-12}$ g cm$^{-3}$ and $T = 20,000$ K using the Kurucz list and transition data from the OPAL opacity code (Iglesias, Rogers, & Wilson 1990, 1992) and find that the OPAL opacity value is approximately 5 times larger. Thus, in the $M_{\text{ch}}$ calculations by Höflich et al. (1996), the model with the slowest rise time still reaches bolometric maximum light in only 15 days. In a light-curve calculation by Pinto & Eastman (2000b) of a similar model, the predicted bolometric maximum light was at 15 days, even though the $B$ and $V$ light-curves did not peak until 20 days. However, the available evidence is that the time of bolometric maximum corresponds to the time of $B$ maximum. Such discrepancies are enough to raise questions about the accuracy of current calculations and to doubt claims that sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ models are, at present, quite ruled out on theoretical grounds.

In this paper we present a simple test of the sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ model, which is quite insensitive to most details of either the modeling or the exact nature of the supernovae themselves.
It is based upon the fact that the surface helium detonation in most sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ models produces significant yields of $^{56}\text{Ni}$ at high velocities which are absent in the $M_{\text{ch}}$ models. High-energy photons produced by the decay of $^{56}\text{Ni}$ can therefore escape largely unimpeded from these surface layers, while photons released by decay in the radioactive core are strongly attenuated. A lack of observed high-energy emission at early times from SNe Ia would thus argue strongly, and probably fatally, against the sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ model. On the other hand, detection of early emission at high energies would argue strongly in favor of these models, as it is difficult to produce significant quantities of radioactivity in the surface layers of $M_{\text{ch}}$ explosions. (While hydrodynamic mixing in pure C/O models might conceivably lead to significant $^{56}\text{Ni}$ at high velocities as well, the compositional stratification deduced from early-time spectra would be destroyed by such a process.) As we shall show, the decay of surface $^{56}\text{Ni}$ in sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ explosions produces considerable Fe, Co, and Ni K~$\alpha$ emission between 6 and 8 keV, at flux levels great enough to be detected from extragalactic supernovae, possibly by current and upcoming missions and almost certainly by the proposed Constellation-X observatory. They also emit $^{56}\text{Ni}$ decay lines which, due to the 6.1 day half-life of $^{56}\text{Ni}$, peak earlier and at higher luminosities than in $M_{\text{ch}}$ models.

Most aspects of $\gamma$-ray transport in SNe Ia (and supernovae in general) have been thoroughly explored and reported on elsewhere. The $\gamma$-ray and hard (Compton scattering) X-ray continuum evolution of typical $M_{\text{ch}}$ explosion models was discussed by Gehrels et al. (1987) and especially by Burrows & The (1990) and Burrows, Shankar, & van Riper (1991). Clayton & The (1991) investigated $\gamma$-ray transport in $M_{\text{ch}}$ SNe Ia models, specifically W7, and discussed the importance of bremsstrahlung emission in forming the keV X-ray continuum. Höflich, Wheeler, & Khokhlov (1998) presented results of Monte Carlo $\gamma$-ray transport calculations for both $M_{\text{ch}}$ and sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ explosion models and pointed out differences in $^{56}\text{Ni}$ $\gamma$-ray line light-curve evolution (see § 3). However, the present work is the first to describe the X-ray properties of sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ explosion models and to propose observations that clearly discriminate between explosion models. In addition, there are significant differences between our $\gamma$-ray results for sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ models and those obtained by Höflich et al. (1998), which we shall describe below.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: in § 2 we briefly describe the methods used for our calculations. In § 3 we summarize properties of the models we have investigated—more detailed descriptions can be found in the original references—and present our results, describing and comparing the X-ray and $\gamma$-ray spectral evolution of $M_{\text{ch}}$ and sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ models. In § 4 we discuss prospects for positively detecting the unique properties of a sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ event with current and future X- and $\gamma$-ray missions. In § 5 we describe the evolution of $\gamma$-ray line profiles and what we might learn with sufficient sensitivity. Section 6 gives a short summary of the results.

2. COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

The transport of nuclear decay $\gamma$-rays was computed using an updated version of the Monte Carlo (MC) $\gamma$-ray transport code FASTGAM (Pinto & Woosley 1987). FASTGAM includes pair production and photoelectric opacities and incorporates Compton scattering in the limit of zero-electron temperature. It follows photons emitted by nuclear decay, pair annihilation, and fluorescence following K-, L-, and M-shell vacancies (induced by both photoionization and electron capture). These photons are followed until they escape from the supernova or are destroyed by absorption. FASTGAM computes the energy deposition which results from these processes, the primary electron energy spectrum produced by Compton recoil, and the emergent $\gamma$-ray spectrum.

Because the supernova ejecta are ionized, these primary electrons, with kinetic energies ranging up to ~1 MeV, lose energy primarily by exciting collective plasma oscillations. Other loss mechanisms include atomic ionization and excitation, and, as first noted by Clayton & The (1991), they also copiously produce bremsstrahlung X-rays. Under certain conditions which we discuss below, these X-rays dominate the continuum emission from ~1 to ~50 keV.

Because the transport equation is linear in the emissivity, the bremsstrahlung spectrum can be calculated separately from the MC code and then added to the primary $\gamma$-ray spectrum calculated by FASTGAM. Therefore, following the MC calculation, the bremsstrahlung contribution was computed deterministically using a one-dimensional, spherical, multifrequency, comoving frame transport code (Eastman & Pinto 1993). The opacity is dominated by K- and L-shell photoionization, followed by electron scattering. The spectrum of primary Compton electrons, $S(E) (e^{-} s^{-1} \text{ ergs}^{-1} \text{ atom}^{-1})$ resulting from the MC calculation is used to compute the bremsstrahlung emissivity and solve for the emergent X-ray continuum flux.

The bremsstrahlung emissivity was computed using the continuous slowing down approximation, given by

$$\eta_{\gamma} = \frac{\nu h v}{4\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} dE dE' S(E) \sum_{Z} \int^{E}_{E'} \frac{\delta \sigma(E')/\delta v}{L(E')} \, dE'$$

[ergs cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ Hz$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$],

(1)

where $L(E)$ is the loss function, the e-folding path length for the electron's energy (see Axelrod 1980). The partial bremsstrahlung cross section, $\delta \sigma(E)/\delta v$, for an electron of energy $E$ to produce a photon of frequency $v$ while interacting with a nucleus of charge Z, was taken from the numerical calculations of Kissel, Crawford, & Pratt (1991). The output of the deterministic transport calculation is the monochromatic photon energy density as a function of depth through the gas and the emergent X-ray flux, as measured in the observer frame.

The X-ray continuum from bremsstrahlung emission is capable of producing additional K-shell vacancies. For instance, photons with energy greater than 7.117 keV are capable of ionizing iron. These additional vacancies contribute to the Kz production rate and were not included in the MC calculation. A further source of vacancies comes from direct impact ionization of the K-shell by nonthermal electrons; however, The, Bridgman, & Clayton (1994) have shown that the collisional ionization contribution is negligible at early times. The photoionization contribution to the K-shell vacancy rate was included as follows: the monochromatic photon energy density obtained from the deterministic transport solution was used to compute the additional K-shell photoionization rate. These were combined with fluorescence yields (Kaasstra & Mewe 1993) for K$\alpha$ and K$\beta$ emission following a K-shell vacancy to obtain the line emissivities. These were then used to perform...
another deterministic transport calculation (the opacity is the same as for the bremsstrahlung calculation), giving the emergent flux, in the observer frame, of K-shell lines produced from photoionization by bremsstrahlung X-rays; it is at most a few percent contribution.

To summarize, the composite spectrum is computed in three steps:

1. The transport of decay $\gamma$-rays is calculated using a Monte Carlo code which gives the emergent spectrum of unscattered and downscattered $\gamma$-rays, the K-shell line flux, and the spectrum of primary, Compton scattered electrons.

2. We use the continuous slowing down approximation to compute the bremsstrahlung emissivity, which is used in a deterministic transport code to obtain the monochromatic X-ray photon energy density and the emergent bremsstrahlung X-ray spectrum.

3. Additional K-shell line production, produced when bremsstrahlung X-rays photoionize 1s electrons, is accounted for in a final step. All that is needed for this is the photoionization rate, which is computed using the monochromatic X-ray photon energy density obtained in step 2. The emergent spectra obtained from these three steps are added together to produce the final, composite spectrum.

The inner-shell photoionization rate due to X-rays is small compared with the rate from primary $\gamma$-rays. The loss function is determined primarily by the ionization state of the gas, and this is determined in turn by the balance of valance-shell photoionization by UV photons and radiative recombination. Thus, a fully self-consistent calculation would require a complete solution of the radiation transport and statistical equilibrium at all energies from the $\gamma$-ray to the infrared. For a gas with free (thermal) electron density $n_e \gtrsim n_{\text{ion}}$, however, the loss function is insensitive to ionization, a condition which the supernova ejecta satisfy throughout their evolution. Our three-step procedure, MC $\gamma$-ray transport, bremsstrahlung from primary and secondary electrons, and additional K-shell lines from X-ray photoionization, can thus be expected to closely approximate a full, self-consistent solution.

The emergent spectrum computed by FASTGAM consists primarily of velocity-broadened $\gamma$-ray decay lines superimposed upon a smooth, Compton scattering continuum. Sampling the emergent intensity with enough energy resolution to produce accurate line fluxes requires a large expenditure of computing time. As the opacity for $\gamma$-ray lines is absorptive (Compton scattering into the line profile, while included in the MC treatment, is negligible), a simpler procedure is to compute individual line fluxes directly, using a deterministic transport algorithm. The procedure for this is straightforward and was described in Pinto & Woosley (1988a) and Eastman et al. (1994; we note, however, that in the present work we have used $\theta_{\text{sc}} = 56^\circ$ for the mean scattering angle). This deterministic approach to computing $\gamma$-ray line transport is approximate, less accurate than MC for computing energy deposition, and does not give the Compton continuum; it does do a fine job, however, at correctly predicting the $\gamma$-integrated emergent line fluxes. This is shown in Figure 1, which compares the $^{56}\text{Co}$ 1238 keV line light curve for model W7 computed deterministically and with FASTGAM.

3. RESULTS

The models investigated in this paper consist of two $M_{\text{ch}}$ mass models and three sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ models. The properties of these models are summarized in Table I.

The $M_{\text{ch}}$ models include model W7 by Nomoto et al. (1984) and model DD4 by Woosley & Weaver (1991). The $\gamma$-ray and $\gamma$-ray spectral evolution of model W7 was studied both by Burrows & The (1990) and by Clayton & The (1991). Model DD4 is similar in many respects to model W7, in terms of mass, energy, and total mass of $^{56}\text{Ni}$ produced, although in DD4 the flame speed, which in both models was an adjusted parameter, was allowed to exceed the sound speed and become a detonation, whereas in

| Model | $M_{\text{tot}}$ | $M_{\text{CO}}$ | $M_{\text{He}}$ | $M_{\text{Ni}}$ | $M_{\text{Ni}}$ (Surface) | $M(\text{Si Group})$ | References |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| 2     | 0.90            | 0.70            | 0.20            | 0.90            | 0.43                     | 0.09                 | 0.29       | 1          |
| M1    | 0.70            | 0.55            | 0.15            | 0.69            | 0.14                     | 0.03                 | 0.26       | 2          |
| M8    | 1.10            | 0.90            | 0.20            | 0.25            | 0.71                     | 0.17                 | 0.25       | 2          |
| W7    | 1.38            | 1.38            | 0.20            | 1.2             | 0.63                     | 0.63                 | 0.29       | 3          |
| DD4   | 1.39            | 1.39            | 0.20            | 1.2             | 0.63                     | 0.63                 | 0.49       | 4          |

REFERENCES.—(1) Woosley & Weaver 1994; (2) Livne & Arnett 1995; (3) Nomoto, Thielemann, & Yokoi 1984; (4) Woosley & Weaver 1991.
model W7 the flame remained subsonic. The result is that in W7 only 0.29 \( M_\odot \) of "silicon group" nuclei (by which we mean \(^{24}\text{Mg}\) through \(^{40}\text{Ca}\)) was produced, whereas in DD4 there is 0.49 \( M_\odot \). Despite this, both models have been shown to give reasonable agreement to spectral observations of maximum light supernovae (Harkness 1991; Kirshner et al. 1993). It is not clear whether this indicates a lack of sensitivity of such calculations to distinguish large abundance differences or a large inherent variation in the objects themselves.

The sub-\( M_\text{ch} \) models investigated include model 2 by Woosley & Weaver (1994) and models M1 and M8 from Livne & Arnett (1995). Whereas model 2 was a one-dimensional calculation, models M1 and M8 were two-dimensional and have been mapped into a one-dimensional, angle-averaged structure (courtesy of Professor Arnett). In terms of the initial C/O WD mass, the total mass, the amount of accreted helium, the total amount of \(^{56}\text{Ni} \) produced, and the final explosion energy, these three models span a large range of properties.

Figure 2 shows the composition, density, and velocity structure of model DD4, which may be compared to that of model 2, shown in Figure 3. The biggest difference between the two classes of models, for present purposes, is the large mass fraction of \(^{56}\text{Ni}\) on the surface of the sub-\( M_\text{ch} \) models.

Figure 4 compares the 20 day spectra of models 2 and DD4. One consequence of the surface \(^{56}\text{Ni}\) in the sub-\( M_\text{ch} \) models is the strong K\(_a\) line at 7 keV. This feature is completely absent from \( M_\text{ch} \) models. In model 2 (and the other sub-\( M_\text{ch} \) models), K-shell line emission is superimposed upon a bright bremsstrahlung continuum, dominating the spectrum near 7 keV. At 20 days this feature is a combination of contributions from Ni (10%), Co (73%), and Fe.
(16%), reflecting the relative abundances of these ions at the time. The energies and fluorescence yields for the 12 contributing lines, taken from Kaastra & Mewe (1993), are summarized in Table 2. For all three elements, roughly 30% of the emission is due to the Kα₂ transition, 59% to Kα₁, 4% to Kβ₃, and the remaining 7% to Kβ₁. The effect of the continuum edges of the Fe, Co, and Ni K-shell photoionization cross sections near \( E \gtrsim 7 \) keV is clearly evident in the model 2 spectrum. The jump is strong in model 2 because the outer material is rich in Fe peak elements. No such jump is present in the spectrum of model DD4 because the Fe abundance is much lower in the outer part of the ejecta, dominated as it is by silicon group elements and unburned carbon and oxygen.

Although both models DD4 and W7 have 0.63 \( M_\odot \) of \( ^{56}\text{Ni} \) and, therefore, produce a large number of Kα photons, the optical depth at 7 keV remains large enough to absorb the X-ray emission from the \( ^{56}\text{Ni} \) core until several hundred days after explosion. This is shown by Figures 5 and 6, which compare the optical depth at 7 keV to the \( ^{56}\text{Ni} \) mass fraction in models 2 and DD4, respectively, at 100 days. As the opacity is not affected by ionization (for low mean ionization), the optical depth at other times can be obtained by scaling as \( \tau \propto t^{-2} \). Most of the optical depth at 7 keV is due to L-shell photoionization. Only emission from material at \( \tau \lesssim 1 \) is able to escape. Consequently, the emergent K-shell line emission is due entirely to the presence of \( ^{56}\text{Ni} \) on the surface.

Spectra from model 2 at various times during the first 160 days are shown in Figure 7. These should be compared with the spectra of model DD4 at the same times after explosion. At times \( t \lesssim 100 \) days, the \( E \gtrsim 50 \) keV spectrum in both cases is dominated by narrow (see below) γ-ray decay lines superimposed on a Compton scattering continuum produced from downscattered γ-rays. As the column density declines with time, the Compton optical depth decreases below unity, and the Compton continuum eventually disappears. An excellent discussion of the evolution of the Compton continuum is given by Xu (1989) and Xu, Ross, & McCray (1991). The smooth continuum at \( E \lesssim 50 \) keV is due to bremsstrahlung emission.

In Figure 7, the strong feature at 14.4 keV, which is visible in the 100 day spectra and afterward, is a nuclear decay line of \( ^{57}\text{Co} \). The abundance of \( ^{57}\text{Co} \) was assumed to be given by the solar \( ^{57}\text{Fe} / ^{56}\text{Fe} \) ratio (0.027) times the \( ^{56}\text{Ni} \) mass fraction in the model. As with the K-shell lines, this line will only be visible in a sub-\( M_\odot \) explosion—the optical depth to core \( ^{57}\text{Co} \) is too great for any appreciable escape to occur.

The time evolution of the integrated K-shell line luminosity for all models is shown in Figure 9. The effect of the surface \( ^{56}\text{Ni} \) is dramatic. Again, because of the large optical depth to core \( ^{56}\text{Ni} \), only Kα emission produced on the surface is able to escape, with the result that even model M1, which has only 22% as much \( ^{56}\text{Ni} \) as model W7, is nearly 100 times brighter in the Kα line than W7 at 100 days.

### Table 2

| Transition | \( E \) (keV) | Yield |
|------------|--------------|-------|
| Fe:        |              |       |
| Kα₂        | 6.3915       | 0.1013|
| Kα₁        | 6.4047       | 0.2026|
| Kβ₃        | 7.0567       | 0.0127|
| Kβ₁        | 7.0583       | 0.0254|
| Co:        |              |       |
| Kα₂        | 6.9151       | 0.1084|
| Kα₁        | 6.9295       | 0.2168|
| Kβ₃        | 7.6472       | 0.0136|
| Kβ₁        | 7.6489       | 0.0272|
| Ni:        |              |       |
| Kα₂        | 7.4611       | 0.1226|
| Kα₁        | 7.4782       | 0.2451|
| Kβ₃        | 8.2623       | 0.0154|
| Kβ₁        | 8.2642       | 0.0309|

Note.—Fe, Co, and Ni K-shell lines produced by sub-\( M_\odot \) SNe Ia (taken from Kaastra & Mewe 1993).
Fig. 7.—Spectral evolution of model 2

Fig. 8.—Spectral evolution of model DD4
The bremsstrahlung continuum time evolution behaves somewhat differently than that of the K-shell lines—at least in the \( M_{\text{ch}} \) models. Figure 10 compares the time evolution of the integrated 5–8 keV continuum luminosity, excluding contributions from K-shell line emission, for each of the models. In the \( M_{\text{ch}} \) models, the emergent X-ray flux must all come from the core. The continuum emission which reaches the surface is produced at somewhat higher energy, where the K-shell bound-free opacity is lower. However, the abundance in the outer layers ensures that the optical depth for trapping X-rays from emerging at the surface. The 5–8 keV X-ray continuum in this case is due only to surface \( ^{56}\text{Ni} \). For the sub-\( M_{\text{ch}} \) models, the controlling factor is the K-shell bound-free optical depth in the surface layers. Roughly,

\[
L_{5–8 \text{ keV}} \propto (S_{56}(t)[1 - \exp(-\tau_{\gamma})]) \exp(-\tau_{X}),
\]

where \( S_{56}(t) \) is the \( \gamma \)-ray emission rate (ergs g\(^{-1}\)), \( \tau_{\gamma} \) is an effective optical depth for trapping \( \gamma \)-rays, and \( \tau_{X} \) is the optical depth the 5–8 keV range. The term in curly brackets is a decreasing function of time, but the \( \exp(-\tau_{X}) \) is an increasing function of time and dominates the light curve. Thus, for the sub-\( M_{\text{ch}} \) models, the flux increases with time because more of the surface \( ^{56}\text{Ni} \) is “exposed” by the declining absorptive optical depth. For the \( M_{\text{ch}} \) models, we can write

\[
L_{5–8 \text{ keV}} \propto (S_{56}(t)[1 - \exp(-\tau_{\gamma})]) \exp(-\tau_{X}) \int_{E}^{\infty} \phi(E') dE',
\]

where \( \tau_{X} \) is the Compton optical depth at X-ray energy, in the absence of photoabsorption, \( \phi(E) \) is a normalized X-ray emission distribution function, and

\[
E \sim E \left(1 + \frac{3R^{2} \rho k}{ct}ight).
\]
can escape. Once again, the time derivative of the curly bracketed term in equation (5) is negative, while that of the second term is positive.

It is possible that vigorous hydrodynamic mixing in an $M_{\text{ch}}$ explosion could bring enough $^{56}\text{Ni}$ up to the surface that the X- and $\gamma$-ray light curve would more closely resemble that of a sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ explosion than an $M_{\text{ch}}$ explosion. As mentioned in the introduction, we regard such extensive mixing as unlikely because the compositional stratification deduced from early-time spectra would be destroyed. However, for the sake of argument, we consider the most extreme case possible, which is complete homogenization of the composition of model DD4. This is shown in Figure 9 as model MDD4. Unlike either the unmixed $M_{\text{ch}}$ models or the sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ models, the 5–8 keV light curve in this case is nearly flat and comparable in brightness, at 200 days, to the faintest of the sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ models considered, model M1. The constancy of the light curve is evidently due to the exponential attenuation term in equations (4) and (5) increasing at the same rate that the deposition term is decreasing. In principle, observations begun soon after optical maximum could distinguish between sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ and very mixed $M_{\text{ch}}$ explosions from the much steeper rise to maximum exhibited by the sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ explosion in the first 100 days.

Below, we discuss prospects for observing the X-ray emission from SN Ia with current, upcoming, and proposed X-ray observatories. In the case of the Chandra X-Ray Observatory, the sensitivity begins to fall off dramatically beyond 5 keV and at 7 keV is quite small (effective aperture $\sim 100 \text{ cm}^2$). This precludes direct observation of iron peak K-shell emission from all but very nearby SNe Ia. We consider the possibility of observing a SN Ia at lower energy with Chandra; Figure 11 shows the 1–5 keV luminosity evolution for each of the models. Here we find that even the faintest of the sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ models, M1, can be distinguished from the two $M_{\text{ch}}$ models after the first 50 days. The sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ light curves flatten out to remain nearly constant for several hundred days, while the $M_{\text{ch}}$ light curves fall off exponentially with a time constant of $\sim 130$ days. Off course, model M1 would be very faint optically as well.

Most previous work on the $\gamma$-ray evolution of SNe Ia focused on the evolution of $M_{\text{ch}}$ models (Gehrels et al. 1987; Burrows & The 1990) and, especially, on the $^{56}\text{Co}$ line evolution, since these have the greatest chance of detection from an $M_{\text{ch}}$ SNe Ia. However, in the sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ models, the predicted $^{56}\text{Ni}$ line fluxes are as much as 10 times brighter than for models such as W7 and DD4, within a factor of 2 as bright as their $^{56}\text{Co}$ lines. The $^{56}\text{Ni}$ 158 keV light curve for all models is shown in Figure 12. Once again, because the Compton optical depth to core $^{56}\text{Ni}$ remains high during the first few $^{56}\text{Ni}$ half-lives, the emergent flux in the sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ models is dominated by decay of surface $^{56}\text{Ni}$. Not only are the sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ models brighter, but they also peak substantially earlier ($\sim 10$ days) than the $M_{\text{ch}}$ models ($\sim 30$ days). Similar results are obtained for all the other $^{56}\text{Ni}$ decay lines. Figures 13 and 14 show the light curves for the $^{56}\text{Ni}$ 750 keV and 812 keV lines, respectively.

Höflich et al. (1998) have also shown that $^{56}\text{Ni}$ $\gamma$-ray lines peak earlier and are brighter in sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ explosions. Indeed, it appears from their Figure 8 that in the two sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ models they studied, the $^{56}\text{Ni}$ lines reach maximum luminosity at time $t = 0$. It is not clear how this can be so. In the models studied in this paper, the exploded accretion layer has sufficient Compton optical depth to delay the maximum to $\sim 10$ days. Höflich et al. (1998) also predict a substantially higher luminosity in $^{56}\text{Ni}$ lines. For instance, their model HeD6 is halfway between model 2 and model M8 in its initial mass but has nearly the same amount of surface $^{56}\text{Ni}$ (0.08 $M_{\odot}$) as model 2 (0.09 $M_{\odot}$). For the $^{56}\text{Ni}$ 812 keV line they predict a maximum luminosity of $\sim 8 \times 10^{47} \gamma \text{ s}^{-1}$. But for model M8, which has 0.17 $M_{\odot}$ of $^{56}\text{Ni}$ on the surface, we obtain only $\sim 3 \times 10^{47} \gamma \text{ s}^{-1}$ at maximum, 10 days after explosion. We cannot explain this discrepancy; to achieve their line fluxes, the surface layers must expand with a much higher velocity than in models 2, M1, or M8.
note that our line-transport results have been verified by performing the calculations by both Monte Carlo and deterministic methods, as described in the last section; consistent results were obtained between the two methods in all cases.

The situation is quite different for $^{56}$Co lines. The light curves for $^{56}$Co 847 keV and 1238 keV are shown in Figures 15 and 16, respectively. Since $^{56}$Co is so much longer-lived than $^{56}$Ni ($t_{1/2} = 77.1$ days vs. 6.1 days), the light curves for these lines reach maximum once the Compton optical depth to the core is less than 1, which happens at around 50 days past explosion. The $M_{ch}$ and sub-$M_{ch}$ models are distinguishable by the rise time, which is shorter in the sub-$M_{ch}$ models, but this might be difficult to detect, particularly for objects which are only marginally within detection limits at maximum brightness. Differences in the core $^{56}$Ni mass can mask the effect of any contribution from surface $^{56}$Ni.

4. PROSPECTS FOR DETECTION

Measurement of the 7 keV K-shell emission from Type Ia supernovae provides a direct and straightforward basis for discriminating between the two current classes of progenitor models. Detection of a large K-shell line flux or bright bremsstrahlung continuum would indicate surface $^{56}$Ni, which, at present, is predicted in significant quantities only
from the sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ model for Type Ia supernovae. Additionally, the light curves of $^{56}\text{Ni}$ γ-ray decay lines from sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ models are distinctly different than for $M_{\text{ch}}$ models, providing an unambiguous indication of the presence or absence of $^{56}\text{Ni}$ at the surface.

Unfortunately, prospects are, at best, marginal for detecting X-ray emission from an SN Ia at a distance as great as that of Virgo using the current generation of X-ray observatories. $\textit{XMM}$, which was launched 1999 December 10, has the largest effective aperture at 7 keV of current and near-term missions. We have used the $\textit{XMM}$ simulator (SCISIM) to assess the likelihood of detection for the X-ray spectrum of model 2 at 100 days. Assuming a nominal distance of 15 Mpc, the integrated 5–10 keV flux is $1.82 \times 10^{-7} \text{ g s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. Using both EPIC MOS CCDs and the PN CCD, and assuming a half-power diameter of 15", 10 counts would be detected in a $10^5$ s exposure. Although this may seem a small number, $\textit{XMM}$’s background count rate in the 5–10 keV range is very low ($\approx 1.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ g s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$); the estimated background is 1.7 counts, making this (formally) a 7 σ detection. Although this will not provide the type of detailed kinematic information which, ideally, one would like to have in order to confirm the origin of the counts, it is nonetheless sufficient to distinguish with high confidence between the $M_{\text{ch}}$ and sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ models for Type Ia supernovae.

The prospects for detecting a sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ SNe Ia with $\textit{Chandra}$ are worse. At 7 keV the effective aperture of $\textit{Chandra}$ is roughly 100 cm$^2$ for the Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (ACIS-S); under the previous assumptions this would give only 2 photons in a $10^5$ s exposure. The sensitivity is higher at lower energy, but the supernova is also fainter. The total photon flux from model M8 at 15 Mpc is $9 \times 10^{-11} \text{ g s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ in 1 keV $< E < 2$ keV and $4 \times 10^{-10} \text{ g s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ in 2 keV $< E < 5$ keV. The effective aperture of the $\textit{ASCA}$ Imaging Spectrometer in the 1 keV $< E < 2$ keV range is approximately 600 cm$^2$. Even a $10^6$ s observation would result in no detections below 5 keV.

The proposed Constellation-X observatory$^3$ (Con-X) offers the best hope for high-resolution spectroscopy of K-shell emission from a sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ SNe Ia in Virgo. The proposal calls for Con-X’s effective area to be 15,000 cm$^2$ at 1 keV and 6000 cm$^2$ at 6.4 keV. Using the assumptions above, the number of counts received in $10^5$ s would be 110 photons. Con-X might also be able to detect the lower energy bremsstrahlung continuum. In model 2 at 100 days, the integrated 1–5 keV continuum flux at 15 Mpc would be $5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ g s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. Taking the mean aperture over this energy range to be 11,000 cm$^2$, the expected number of counts in a $10^6$ s exposure is 5 photons.

Immediate prospects for detecting $^{56}\text{Ni}$ γ-line emission are less promising. As an example, the peak 812 keV luminosity for model M8 is $\sim 2 \times 10^{43} \text{ g s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (Fig. 14). At 15 Mpc this corresponds to a flux of $7.4 \times 10^{-6}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, which is just at the sensitivity limit for $\textit{International Gamma-Ray Astrophysical Laboratory (INTEGRAL)}$ to detect narrow lines in a $10^6$ s observation (Winkler 1998). As Timmes & Woosley (1997) have pointed out, flux levels such as produced by the present set of sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ models could easily be seen with the proposed ATHENA γ-ray observatory (Johnson et al. 1995).

The view of Höflich et al. (1998) for detecting $^{56}\text{Ni}$ in sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ explosions with $\textit{INTEGRAL}$ was slightly more

---

$^3$ See http://constellation.gsfc.nasa.gov.
optimistic than ours. However, as described in the proceeding section, their predicted line luminosities are much higher than ours, peaking almost immediately after explosion.

5. γ-LINE PROFILES

While neither current nor planned γ-ray spectroscopy missions are sufficiently sensitive to obtain useful profile data from other than a very nearby supernova, line profiles may someday serve as another possible probe of the radial distribution of radioactivity.

Figure 17 shows the evolution of the $^{56}\text{Ni}$ 1.562 MeV and $^{56}\text{Co}$ 1.772 MeV line profiles. While these are weak lines, they are representative, and their proximity in energy makes them a good illustration of the difference in evolution of the $^{56}\text{Ni}$ and $^{56}\text{Co}$ emission. Because Compton scattering through any appreciable angle removes photons from the profile altogether, the line shapes reflect the velocity distribution of radioactive material at low optical depth to the observer.

In the $M_{\text{ch}}$ models, radioactive material is only found deep within the supernova. Initially (15 days), the radiation which escapes is from material closest to the observer (γ-rays emitted from material on the far side, away from the observer, see too large an optical depth and are absorbed). The peak intensity of the line is therefore blueshifted by ~6000 km s$^{-1}$, and the line is very weak as a consequence of the large optical depth. There is also a broad wing to the red resulting from Compton scattering. As the ejecta expand and the column depth decreases, a larger volume of the ejecta become visible, and the red side of the profile increases in intensity. By 100 days, the ejecta are nearly transparent (optical depth to the center at 1 MeV is unity), and the profile is that of an expanding, optically thin sphere, symmetric about the rest energy of the line. This is clearly seen in the $^{56}\text{Co}$ profile, which reaches its maximum intensity at about this time. The short half-life of $^{56}\text{Ni}$ ensures that, by the time the profile has shifted back to the rest energy, the line has disappeared.

In the sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ models, the high velocity $^{56}\text{Ni}$ layer on the outside leads to $^{56}\text{Ni}$ and $^{56}\text{Co}$ lines with rapid rise times (cf. Figs. 12 and 15). At the earliest times (15 days), the only optically thin paths are those from the side approaching the observer. The resulting line centers are blueshifted by 7000 km s$^{-1}$, with wings extending up to 20,000 km s$^{-1}$ to the blue. As the ejecta expand (25 days), the optical depth through to surface layers expanding on the opposite side of the supernovae becomes smaller, and the red wings of the profiles increase in intensity. While the core radioactivity is becoming visible, it makes only a small contribution at this time. By 50 days, however, emission from the core dominates the profile, which is now virtually identical to the $M_{\text{ch}}$ model but for the low-intensity but very broad wings from the outer layer. In this model, the $^{56}\text{Ni}$ lines are proportionately much stronger at early times due to the low optical depth to the surface layers; its short decay time ensures that the $^{56}\text{Ni}$ lines will always be observed to have higher energies than at rest.

6. SUMMARY

In this paper we have presented results of X- and γ-ray transport calculations of $M_{\text{ch}}$ and sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ explosion models for Type Ia supernovae. We have shown that the X-ray and γ-ray spectral evolution of sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ models is distinctly different from $M_{\text{ch}}$ models. The presence of surface $^{56}\text{Ni}$ in sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ supernovae would make them extremely bright emitters of iron peak K-shell emission, visible for several hundred days after explosion. K-shell emission that escapes is from material closest to the observer (γ-rays emitted from material on the far side, away from the observer, see too large an optical depth and are absorbed). The peak intensity of the line is therefore blueshifted by ~6000 km s$^{-1}$, and the line is very weak as a consequence of the large optical depth. There is also a broad wing to the red resulting from Compton scattering. As the ejecta expand and the column depth decreases, a larger volume of the ejecta become visible, and the red side of the profile increases in intensity. By 100 days, the ejecta are nearly transparent (optical depth to the center at 1 MeV is unity), and the profile is that of an expanding, optically thin sphere, symmetric about the rest energy of the line. This is clearly seen in the $^{56}\text{Co}$ profile, which reaches its maximum intensity at about this time. The short half-life of $^{56}\text{Ni}$ ensures that, by the time the profile has shifted back to the rest energy, the line has disappeared.

In the sub-$M_{\text{ch}}$ models, the high velocity $^{56}\text{Ni}$ layer on the outside leads to $^{56}\text{Ni}$ and $^{56}\text{Co}$ lines with rapid rise times (cf. Figs. 12 and 15). At the earliest times (15 days), the only optically thin paths are those from the side approaching the observer. The resulting line centers are blueshifted by 7000 km s$^{-1}$, with wings extending up to 20,000 km s$^{-1}$ to the blue. As the ejecta expand (25 days), the optical depth through to surface layers expanding on the opposite side of the supernovae becomes smaller, and the red wings of the profiles increase in intensity. While the core radioactivity is becoming visible, it makes only a small contribution at this time. By 50 days, however, emission from the core dominates the profile, which is now virtually identical to the $M_{\text{ch}}$ model but for the low-intensity but very broad wings from the outer layer. In this model, the $^{56}\text{Ni}$ lines are proportionately much stronger at early times due to the low optical depth to the surface layers; its short decay time ensures that the $^{56}\text{Ni}$ lines will always be observed to have higher energies than at rest.
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