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Abstract

We consider the evolution of a 4D-universe embedded in a five-dimensional (bulk) world with a large extra dimension and a cosmological constant. The cosmology in 5D possesses “wave-like” character in the sense that the metric coefficients in the bulk are functions of the extra coordinate and time in a way similar to a pulse or traveling wave propagating along the fifth dimension. This assumption is motivated by some recent work presenting the big-bang as a higher dimensional shock wave. We show that this assumption, together with an equation of state for the effective matter quantities in 4D, allows Einstein’s equations to be fully integrated. We then recover the familiar FLRW universes, on the four-dimensional hypersurfaces orthogonal to the extra dimension. Regarding the extra dimension we find that it is growing in size if the universe is speeding up its expansion. We also get an estimate for the relative change of the extra dimension over time. This estimate could have important observational implications, notably for the time variation of rest mass, electric charge and the gravitational “constant”. Our results extend previous ones in the literature.
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1 Introduction

The possibility that our universe is embedded in a higher dimensional space has generated a great deal of active interest. In Brane-World and Space-Time-Matter (STM) theories the usual constraint on Kaluza-Klein models, namely the cylinder condition, is relaxed so the extra dimensions are not restricted to be "small". Although these theories have different physical motivations for the introduction of a large extra dimension, they share the same working scenario, lead to the same dynamics in 4D, and face the same challenges [1]. Among them, to predict observationally testable effects of the extra dimension.

The nontrivial dependence of the spacetime metric on the extra coordinate, allowed in both brane-world and STM, endows solutions of the five-dimensional equations with new intriguing properties. The first important question concerning solutions in 5D is to check whether they give back standard four-dimensional results. Next, comes the study of the new physics and predictions coming from the extra non-compact dimension.

A number of solutions are already known. However, of particular interest are those obtained on simple assumptions that allow a complete and systematic integration of the five-dimensional Einstein’s equations. As an illustration, we mention the following three classes of cosmological solutions.

(i) Solutions where the metric coefficients admit separation of variables [2]. These have been applied to the discussion of a wide variety of cosmological problems that range from singularities to geodesic motion [3]-[9].

(ii) Solutions where $g_{44}$ is static [10]. These have been discussed in the context of brane models where our universe is a domain wall in a five-dimensional anti-de Sitter spacetime [11]-[18], and in STM for vanishing cosmological constant [20].

(iii) Solutions where $g_{00}$ is independent of the extra coordinate. These have been used to study the possibility of variable physical “constants” in the context of brane-world models [21] and, a subclass of them, in the analysis of singularities in STM [22].

However, there is another simple class of models that allow straightforward integration of the field equations. We refer here to the case where the metric functions have a simple functional dependence of time and the extra coordinate similar to that in traveling waves or pulses propagating in the fifth dimension. These “wave-like” cosmological models were originally investigated by Liu and Wesson [23] and more recently by Wesson, Liu and Seahra [24] in the context of STM. They considered the special class of solutions resulting from the following two conditions.

1. The effective matter quantities satisfy the isothermal equation of state $p = \gamma \rho$.

2. The time metric coefficient $g_{00}$ and the expansion factor of the space-sections are related by a power law.

Under these conditions, they showed that for both the matter-dominated ($\gamma = 0$) and radiation-dominated ($\gamma = 1/3$) eras of the universe, the 4D spacetime defined by hypersurfaces of the 5D metric are just the same as those of the standard Friedmann-Robertson-Walker models of general relativity.
This paper is motivated by the works of Liu and Wesson [23] and Wesson, Liu and Seahra [24]. We believe that wave-like cosmologies represent an elegant class of solutions. But, unfortunately, they have not been investigated enough in the literature. Our aim in this paper is to remedy in part this situation.

Firstly, we show that wave-like cosmologies can be fully integrated in 5D without introducing additional assumptions, except for an equation of state. In particular the second condition mentioned above is not needed. Besides, for future applications in brane theory, we include a non-vanishing five-dimensional cosmological constant in the discussion.

Secondly, we show that on the four-dimensional hypersurfaces orthogonal to the extra dimension, we recover the familiar FLRW universes, for an arbitrary value of $\gamma$ (not only for $\gamma = 0$ or $\gamma = 1/3$), regardless of the specific choice of parameters in the solution in 5D.

We also obtain some “new” physics regarding the extra dimension. Namely, we find that the “size” of the extra dimension (the metric coefficient of the extra dimension) is related to the expansion factor of the space-sections through the Hubble parameter. An interesting prediction of the model is that, although the extra dimension is small today, it is growing in size if the universe is speeding up its expansion. The opposite also holds, the size of the extra dimension is decreasing if the universe is speeding down its expansion. Another significant feature is that the relative “speed” of change of the extra dimension is determined by the Hubble and deceleration parameters. This last feature has important observational implications for theories in more than four dimensions that predict the time-variation of some quantities usually considered as constants, among them the gravitational “constant” $G$ as well as the rest mass and charge of particles.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present the “cosmological” equations in 5D. In Section 3 we obtain the equation that provides the cosmological evolution of the wave-like model. In Section 4 we introduce the effective matter. This is a little more involved here than in STM, due to the non-vanishing energy-momentum tensor in 5D. In Sections 5 and 6 we discuss the solution in the 5D-bulk and the 4D-spacetime, respectively. Finally, in Section 7 we present a summary and conclusions.

## 2 Field equations

In cosmological applications the metric is commonly taken in the form

$$dS^2 = n^2(t,y)dt^2 - a^2(t,y) \left[ \frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \right] + \epsilon \Phi^2(t,y)dy^2, \quad (1)$$

where $k = 0, +1, -1$ and $t, r, \theta$ and $\phi$ are the usual coordinates for a spacetime with spherically symmetric spatial sections. We adopt signature $(+ - - -)$ for spacetime and the factor $\epsilon$ can be $-1$ or $+1$ depending on whether the extra dimension is spacelike or timelike, respectively.

The corresponding field equations in 5D are

$$G_{AB} = k_{(5)}^2 (5) T_{AB}, \quad (2)$$

where $k_{(5)}^2$ is a constant introduced for dimensional considerations, $(5) T_{AB}$ is the energy-momentum tensor in 5D and the non-vanishing components of the Einstein tensor $G_{AB}$ are
\[ G_0^0 = \frac{3}{n^2} \left( \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \frac{\dot{\Phi}}{a\Phi} \right) + \frac{3\epsilon}{\Phi^2} \left( \frac{a''}{a} + \frac{a'^2}{a^2} - \frac{a'\Phi'}{a\Phi} \right) + \frac{3k}{a^2}, \]

\[ G_1^1 = G_2^2 = G_3^3 = 1 \]

\[ G_4^4 = \frac{3}{n^2} \left( \frac{\dot{a}' - \dot{a}n'}{a} - \frac{\dot{\Phi}}{a\Phi} \right), \]

and

\[ G_4^0 = \frac{3}{n^2} \left( \frac{\dot{a}' - \dot{a}n'}{a} - \frac{\dot{\Phi}}{a\Phi} \right), \]

which is a first integral of the field equations, we get

\[ F' = \frac{2a'da^3}{3} k_5^2 (5)^T_0, \]

\[ F_0' = \frac{2a'da^3}{3} k_5^2 (5)^T_4, \]

Bearing in mind a net cosmological constant in 4D, in what follows we will assume that the five-dimensional energy-momentum tensor has the form

\[ (5)^T_{AB} = \Lambda_{(5)} g_{AB}, \]

where \( \Lambda_{(5)} \) is the cosmological constant in the bulk. We will see that, in this case the effective energy-momentum tensor in 4D is conserved. We notice that \( \Lambda_{(5)} \) can be (i) positive as in the usual de Sitter \((dS_5)\) solution, (ii) negative as in the brane-world scenarios where our spacetime is identified with a singular hypersurface (or 3-brane) embedded in an \( AdS_5 \) bulk, or (iii) zero as in STM where the matter in 4D is interpreted as an effect of the geometry in 5D.
3 The wave-like model

Now, following Liu and Wesson we assume that the metric coefficients in (1) are “wave-like” functions of the argument \((t - \lambda y)\):

\[
n = n(t - \lambda y), \quad a = a(t - \lambda y), \quad \Phi = \Phi(t - \lambda y),
\]

(11)

where \(\lambda\) is a constant. It is clear that these functions have the same values for coordinates \(t\) and \(y\) that satisfy the relation \(t - \lambda y = \text{const}\). Therefore we can say that the values of the metric functions are propagated along the extra dimension \(y\) with “speed” \(\lambda^{-1} = dy/dt\).

Now, from \(G^0_0 = 0\) we get

\[
\dot{a} = \alpha n \Phi,
\]

(12)

where \(\alpha\) is a constant of integration. Substituting this into (7) we obtain

\[
F = a^2 \left(k + \alpha^2 \Phi^2 + \epsilon \lambda^2 \alpha^2 n^2\right) \equiv a^2 f^2.
\]

(13)

The auxiliary function \(f\) satisfies the equation

\[
a f \frac{df}{da} + f^2 = \frac{a^2}{3} k_{(5)}^2 \Lambda_{(5)},
\]

(14)

which follows from (9), (10) and (13). Integrating we get

\[
f^2 = \frac{\beta \alpha^2}{a^2} + \frac{1}{6} a^2 k_{(5)}^2 \Lambda_{(5)},
\]

(15)

where \(\beta\) is a constant of integration. Consequently,

\[
\Phi^2 = -\epsilon \lambda^2 n^2 - \frac{k}{\alpha^2} + \frac{\beta}{a^2} + \frac{a^2}{6\alpha^2} k_{(5)}^2 \Lambda_{(5)},
\]

(16)

and from (12)

\[
\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{n}\right)^2 + k = -\epsilon \lambda^2 \alpha^2 n^2 + \frac{\beta \alpha^2}{a^2} + \frac{a^2}{6} k_{(5)}^2 \Lambda_{(5)}.
\]

(17)

After some manipulations one can verify that the remaining field equation \(G_1^1 = G_2^2 = G_3^3 = k_{(5)}^2 \Lambda_{(5)}\) is identically satisfied.

Thus, the complete specification of the solution requires the consideration of some physics, or a simplifying mathematical assumption, to determine \(\dot{a}\) (or \(n\)). Then, from (17) we find \(n\) (or \(a\)). Finally, the function \(\Phi\) is given by (16).

It is not difficult to show that the solution, thus specified, depends only on two parameters; namely \(\beta\) and \(\lambda\). Indeed, let us consider the scale transformation \(y = \xi \bar{y}\), where \(\xi\) is some constant. It leads to \(\lambda = \lambda/\xi\) and \(\Phi = \Phi/\xi\). If we choose \(\xi = \alpha\), then we get rid of \(\alpha\) in the set of equations (12), (16) and (17), provided we retune the constant \(\beta\) as \(\beta \alpha^2\) \((\bar{\beta} = \beta \alpha^2)\). Consequently, one can always set \(\alpha = 1\), without loss of generality.

In what follows, however we will keep \(\alpha\) arbitrary and \(\lambda \neq 0\). The case \(\lambda = 0\), for which the metric is independent of \(y\), is examined in Section 7. The physical interpretation of \(\beta\) in terms of the so-called black or Weyl radiation is discussed in Section 5.

---

1The wave-like model requires \(\lambda \neq 0\), otherwise the 5D metric is independent of \(y\) like in the classical Kaluza-Klein theory with cylindricity.
4 Effective matter

The simplest way of completing the above system of equations is making some assumption on the metric functions for example $n = 1$, or some more general relation $n = n(a)$. The same can also be done for $\Phi$.

However, we consider here a more physical approach. Namely, we are concerned about the “effective” matter induced in 4D. We show that if we assume an equation of state, for the effective quantities, then the problem becomes fully determined, i.e., we do not have to assume anything else.

The interpretation of the five-dimensional field equations, in terms of four-dimensional quantities, is provided by the fact that, for an arbitrary five-dimensional metric, the 15 equations in $5D$ can be split up into three parts. Namely, a set of 10 equations which looks effectively as the field equations in $4D$ with an effective energy-momentum tensor. A set of 4 equations which resembles Maxwell equations with sources, and an equation for the scalar field $\Phi$.

In absence of off-diagonal terms ($g_{4\mu} = 0$) the dimensional reduction of the five-dimensional equations is particularly simple. The usual assumption is that our spacetime is orthogonal to the extra dimension. Thus we introduce the normal unit $(n_A n^A = \epsilon)$ vector, orthogonal to hypersurfaces $y = \text{constant}$,

$$n^A = \delta^A_4, \quad n_A = (0, 0, 0, 0, \epsilon \Phi). \quad (18)$$

Consequently, the physical metric coincides with the spacetime part of $\Lambda$. Following the usual procedure, we define the energy-momentum tensor in four-dimensions (on the hypersurfaces $y = \text{const}$) through the effective Einstein field equations in $4D$, namely

$$^{(4)}G_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{2}{3}k_2^2 \left[^{(5)}T_{\alpha\beta} + \left(\frac{1}{4}^{(5)}T - \frac{1}{2}^{(5)}T\right)g_{\alpha\beta}\right] - \epsilon \left(K_{\alpha\lambda}K_{4\beta} - K_{4\lambda}K_{\alpha\beta}\right) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}g_{\alpha\beta} \left(K_{\lambda\rho}K^{\lambda\rho} - (K_{\lambda\lambda})^2\right) - \epsilon E_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (19)$$

where $K_{\mu\nu}$ is the extrinsic curvature

$$K_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_n g_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2\Phi} \frac{\partial g_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial y}, \quad K_{A4} = 0, \quad (20)$$

and $E_{\mu\nu}$ is the projection of the bulk Weyl tensor $^{(5)}C_{ABCD}$ orthogonal to $n^A$, i.e., “parallel” to spacetime, viz.,

$$E_{\alpha\beta} = ^{(5)}C_{\alpha\beta\beta n^A n^B} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial K_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial y} + K_{\alpha\beta} K_{4\nu} - \frac{\epsilon}{\Phi} \frac{\partial \Phi_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial y} - \frac{k_2^2}{3} \left[^{(5)}T_{\alpha\beta} + \left(\frac{1}{4}^{(5)}T - \frac{1}{2}^{(5)}T\right)g_{\alpha\beta}\right]. \quad (21)$$

The first term on the r.h.s. of $^{(19)}$ yields

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{(\Lambda)} = \frac{1}{2} k_2^{(5)} \Lambda (5) g_{\mu\nu} \equiv \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (22)$$
where we have used (11) and \( \Lambda = \frac{k^2}{2(5)} \Lambda_{(5)}/2 \) is the effective cosmological constant in 4D. The rest of the terms on the r.h.s. of (19) represent the effective matter, viz.,

\[
8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}^{(eff)} \equiv -\epsilon \left( K_{\mu\lambda} K_{\nu}^\lambda - K_{\lambda}^\lambda K_{\mu\nu} \right) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \left( K_{\lambda\rho} K_{\lambda}^\rho - (K_{\lambda})^2 \right) + \epsilon E_{\mu\nu}.
\]  

(23)

In cosmological problems, the effective matter is commonly assumed to be a perfect fluid

\[
T_{\mu\nu}^{(eff)} = (\rho_{eff} + p_{eff}) u_\mu u_\nu - p_{eff} g_{\mu\nu}.
\]  

(24)

It is clear that this interpretation is not unique. For example, we could assume that the effective matter is the superposition of several fluids with distinct equations of state. What we are doing here, since we want to recover known results, is a “modest” extension of four-dimensional general relativity. Besides, only the effective (or total) quantities have observational consequences.

As a consequence of the contracted Bianchi identities in 4D, (4) \( G^\mu_{\nu;\mu} = 0 \), and (11), the effective energy-momentum tensor satisfies the standard general relativity conservation equations, viz.,

\[
\nabla^\mu T_{\mu\nu}^{(eff)} = 0.
\]  

(25)

If in the bulk there were scalar and/or other fields, then this would be no longer true, in general.

Since \( G_{01} = 0 \), it follows that \( u_1 = 0 \). Hence the effective perfect fluid is “at rest” in the frame given by (1). Thus, for the case under consideration, the appropriate equations are,

\[
8\pi G \rho_{eff} + \Lambda = \frac{3}{n^2} \left( \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 + \frac{3k}{a^2},
\]

\[
8\pi G p_{eff} - \Lambda = -\frac{1}{n^2} \left[ \frac{2\dot{a}}{a} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right] - \frac{k}{a^2},
\]  

(26)

It is important to emphasize here that the effective matter content of the spacetime will be the same whether we interpret it as induced matter, as in STM, or as the “total” matter in a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) symmetric brane universe. This is a consequence of the identification of the tensor \( P_{\mu\nu} \) of STM with the energy-momentum tensor on the brane in brane theory [1], [25].

It is useful to introduce the “proper” time \( \tau \), as

\[
d\tau = n dt.
\]  

(27)

In terms of which the expressions for matter density and pressure become simpler. Namely,

\[
8\pi G \rho_{eff} + \Lambda = \frac{3}{a^2} \left( \frac{da}{d\tau} \right)^2 + \frac{3k}{a^2},
\]

\[
8\pi G p_{eff} - \Lambda = -\frac{2}{a} \left( \frac{d^2 a}{d\tau^2} \right) - \frac{1}{a^2} \left( \frac{da}{d\tau} \right)^2 - \frac{k}{a^2}.
\]  

(28)

We now assume that the effective matter quantities satisfy the isothermal equation of state\(^2\), viz.,

\[
p_{eff} = \gamma \rho_{eff}, \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1.
\]  

(29)

\(^2\)Negative values of \( \gamma \) are also allowed in the study of inflationary models of our universe, which require violation of the “strong” energy condition, viz., \( (\rho_{eff} + p_{eff}) < 0 \).
This provides a differential equation for \( a \), viz.,

\[
\frac{2}{a} \left( \frac{d^2 a}{d\tau^2} \right) + \frac{(3\gamma + 1)}{a^2} \left( \frac{da}{d\tau} \right)^2 + \frac{(3\gamma + 1) k}{a^2} = (\gamma + 1)\Lambda, \tag{30}
\]

whose first integral is

\[
\left( \frac{da}{d\tau} \right)^2 = \frac{C}{a^{3\gamma + 1}} - k + \frac{a^2}{3}\Lambda, \tag{31}
\]

where \( C \) is a constant of integration related to the effective matter in 4D. Thus, solving this equation and using (27) we obtain the solution as a function of the argument \((t - \lambda y)\).

5 The solution in the bulk

Thus, the problem of finding the wave-like cosmological metrics in 5D becomes totally specified by the equation of state (29). Collecting results, the metric functions in the five-dimensional metric (1) are given by

\[
n^2 = \frac{\epsilon}{\lambda^2 a^2} \left( \frac{\beta a^2}{a^2} - \frac{C}{a^{3\gamma + 1}} - \frac{a^2}{6}\Lambda \right),
\]

\[
\Phi^2 = \frac{1}{a^2} \left( \frac{C}{a^{3\gamma + 1}} - k + \frac{a^2}{3}\Lambda \right), \tag{32}
\]

where \( a \) is the solution of the equation

\[
a^2 = \frac{\epsilon}{\lambda^2 a^2} \left( \frac{C}{a^{3\gamma + 1}} - k + \frac{a^2}{3}\Lambda \right) \left( \frac{\beta a^2}{a^2} - \frac{C}{a^{3\gamma + 1}} - \frac{a^2}{6}\Lambda \right). \tag{33}
\]

We note that the parameter \( \beta \) is related to the so-called Weyl or black radiation. Indeed, substituting (12) and (32) into (21) we obtain

\[
8\pi G \rho_{Weyl} = -\epsilon E_0^0 = \frac{3\beta a^2}{a^4}, \quad p_{Weyl} = \frac{1}{3} \rho_{Weyl}, \tag{34}
\]

where \( 8\pi G \rho_{Weyl} = \epsilon E_1^1 = \epsilon E_2^2 = \epsilon E_3^3 \). Thus setting \( \beta = 0 \) is equivalent to eliminating the contribution coming from the free gravitational field.

Some particular solutions to (33), namely those with \( \beta = 0, k = 0 \) and \( \Lambda = 0 \) where discussed by Liu and Wesson. Although they make the additional assumption \( n = a^{-(3\gamma + 1)/2} \), which as we see here is unnecessary.

We note that the asymptotic behavior of the solution for \( a \to 0 \) is independent of \( k \) and \( \Lambda \). In this limit, the positiveness of \( \Phi^2 \) requires \( C > 0 \). The particular case \( \beta = 0 \) requires the extra dimension to be spacelike \((\epsilon = -1)\). For \( \beta \neq 0 \), it can be either spacelike or timelike. For \( \gamma = 1/3 \), which corresponds to a radiation-dominated era, \( n^2 > 0 \) demands \( \epsilon (\beta a^2 - C) > 0 \). Besides, from
with \( \gamma = 1/3 \), it follows that both the matter and the Weyl radiation (i.e., \( C \) and \( \beta \)) contribute to the dynamics, viz.,

\[
a^2 \approx \left( \frac{9\epsilon C (\beta \alpha^2 - C)}{\lambda^2 \alpha^2} \right)^{1/3} (t - \lambda y)^{2/3}.
\]

(35)

For \( \gamma < 1/3 \), which includes dust \( (\gamma = 0) \), the Weyl contribution dominates over the matter and \( \dot{a}^2 \sim (\epsilon \beta C/\lambda^2) a^{-3(\gamma+1)} \) with \( \epsilon \beta > 0 \), as \( a \to 0 \). For \( \gamma > 1/3 \), which includes the stiff equation of state \( p_{eff} = \rho_{eff} \), it is the opposite. Namely, in this limit the effective matter dominates over the Weyl term and \( \dot{a}^2 \sim -\epsilon C^2/a(6\gamma+2) \). This becomes possible only if the extra dimension is spacelike \( \gamma = 1 \).

At late times, the specific details of the solution in 5D for \( a \gg 1 \) do depend on \( k, \beta, \Lambda \) and the signature of the extra dimension.

6 The solution in 4D: FLRW universe

Since (33) depends on a number of parameters, it is clear that the general solution in 5D is very rich and complex. What is amazing here is that the physical scenario in 4D is always the same regardless of the specific choice of the 5D parameters \( \beta, \lambda \) and signature of the extra dimension. Namely,

\[
dS^2 = d\tau^2 - a^2(\tau) \left[ \frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2} + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \right],
\]

(36)

where the evolution of the scale factor is given by the usual equation in FLRW universes

\[
\left( \frac{da_0}{d\tau_0} \right)^2 = \frac{C}{a_0^{3(\gamma+1)}} - k + \frac{a^2_0}{3} \Lambda.
\]

(37)

Here the subscript 0 for \( \tau \) and \( a \) means that these functions are evaluated at some \( y = \text{const} \). The corresponding energy density is given by

\[
8\pi G \rho_{eff} = \frac{3C}{a_0^{3(\gamma+1)}}.
\]

(38)

Since the solutions to these equations can be found in many textbooks, we are not going to discuss them here.

In addition to the FLRW universes, we obtain some specific expressions for the extra dimension. Indeed, let us notice that

\[
\Phi^2 = \frac{1}{a^2} \left( \frac{da}{d\tau} \right)^2.
\]

(39)

If the extra dimension is timelike, then \( \beta \) must be positive and \( a \) is bounded from below. For example, for \( \gamma = 1 \), \( a^2 \geq a^2_{\min} \approx C/\beta \alpha^2 \).

4In what follows we omit the subscript 0.
Consequently the “size” of the extra dimension is related to the matter in 4D as

\[
\frac{d\Phi}{d\tau} = [\Lambda - 4\pi G(3\gamma + 1)\rho_{eff}] \frac{a}{3} = \frac{d^2 a}{d\tau^2}.
\]  

(40)

Thus for the rate of change of \(\Phi\) we get

\[
\frac{1}{\Phi} \frac{d\Phi}{d\tau} = -qH,
\]

(41)

where \(H = (a_\tau/a)\) and \(q = -(a a_{\tau\tau}/a^2)\) are the Hubble and “deceleration” 4D parameters, respectively. According to modern observations, the universe is expanding with an acceleration, so that the parameter \(q\) is, roughly, \(-0.5 \pm 0.2\). Assuming \(H = h \times 10^{-10} \text{yr}^{-1}\) we come to the estimate

\[
\frac{1}{\Phi} \frac{d\Phi}{d\tau} \approx (3.5 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-10} \text{yr}^{-1},
\]

(42)

where we have taken \(h = 0.7\) [28]. Also for the dimensionless ratio \(\Phi/a\) we have

\[
\frac{\Phi}{a} = \alpha^{-1} \times h \times 10^{-10}.
\]

(43)

We also note that the above relations hold for the three cases, \(k = -1, 0, +1\) and arbitrary cosmological constant.

7 Summary and conclusions

In this work we have discussed cosmologies in five-dimensional Kaluza-Klein theory where the metric coefficients have a wave-like behavior, in the sense that they depend on the single variable \((t - \lambda y)\). The non-vanishing parameter \(\lambda\) is the one that permits the universe to have diverse equations of state during its evolution. Namely, in the limit \(\lambda \to 0\) from (17) we get

\[
\left( \frac{da}{d\tau} \right)^2 + k = \frac{\beta \alpha^2}{a^2} + \frac{a^2}{3} \Lambda.
\]

(44)

Then, from (28) it follows that

\[
8\pi G\rho_{eff} = 8\pi G\rho_{Weyl} = \frac{3\beta \alpha^2}{a^4}.
\]

(45)

Thus for \(\lambda = 0\) there is only (Weyl) radiation, while for \(\lambda \neq 0\) other equations of state are possible as we have seen in [37] and [38].

We have shown that an equation of state, for the effective matter quantities, completely specifies wavelike cosmologies in 5D. We note that the introduction of induced matter is not reduced to a trivial isolation of the “extra” 5D-terms in the r.h.s. of the 5D-equations. If we had proceed in this way, we would have obtained \(k_{(4)}^2 \Lambda_{(5)}\) (or \(2\Lambda\)) in [28] instead of the correct term \(\Lambda = k_{(4)}^2 \Lambda_{(5)}/2\).

The specific form of the solution in 5D depends on the choice of the parameters \((\beta, C, k, \Lambda_{(5)})\) and the signature of the extra dimension. In four dimensions (on the hypersurfaces \(y = \text{const}\)) these cosmologies are just the same as those of the standard FLRW universes with \(k = 0, +1, -1\).
Our model also predicts the development of the extra dimension. Equations (38) and (40) show how the dynamics of \( \Phi \) is influenced by the effective matter. On the basis of our model we can reach some conclusions.

(i) Although \( \Phi \) is small today, it is growing in size if the universe is speeding up its expansion. The opposite also holds, the size of \( \Phi \) is decreasing is the universe is speeding down its expansion.

(ii) The relative change of \( \Phi \) is determined by the Hubble and deceleration parameters as shown in (41).

(iii) At any time during the evolution\(^5\) \( (\alpha \Phi) = Ha \).

These conclusions are general in the sense that they are the same regardless of the details of the model in 4D, i.e., the value of \( \gamma, k \) and \( \Lambda \).

The estimate given by (42), almost certainly cannot be independently tested. However, it could have important observational implications. This is because the ratio \( (\dot{\Phi}/\Phi) \) appears in different contexts, notably in expressions concerning the variation of rest mass \(^{27}\), electric charge \(^{28}\) and variation of the gravitational “constant” \( G \) \(^{29}\), \(^{30}\).

Indeed, in the Randall-Sundrum brane-world scenario and other non-compact Kaluza-Klein theories, the motion of test particles is higher-dimensional in nature. In other words, all test particles travel on five-dimensional geodesics but observers, who are bounded to spacetime, have access only to the 4D part of the trajectory. In general, the effective rest mass measured in 4D changes as the test particle travels on 5D geodesics\(^6\). The total change consists of two parts, one of them is induced by the non-trivial dependence of the metric on the extra coordinate \( (\partial g_{\mu\nu}/\partial y \neq 0) \) and the other part is due to \( \dot{\Phi}/\Phi \). Even in the simplest situation, where the metric does not depend on the extra coordinate, but only on time, \( m_0 \) the effective rest mass in 4D of a massless particle in 5D would change as

\[
\frac{1}{m_0} \frac{dm_0}{d\tau} = -\frac{1}{\Phi} \frac{d\Phi}{d\tau}, \tag{46}
\]

where we have used equation (25) in Ref. \(^{27}\). Similarly, the variation of \( \Phi \) induces a change in the electric charge, and consequently in the fine structure constant \(^{28}\).

Regarding the time-variation of \( G \), it is remarkable that in different models with extra dimensions the ratio \( (\dot{G}/G) \) is found to be proportional to \( (\dot{\Phi}/\Phi) \) \(^{21}\), \(^{29}\). At this point we have to mention that the specific value of \( (\dot{\Phi}/\Phi) \) depends on the cosmological model. For example, for the cosmologies with separable metric coefficients mentioned in the Introduction \( (\dot{\Phi}/\Phi) = (1 + q)H \), instead of (41). Consequently, the measurement of quantities like \( (\dot{m}_0/m_0) \) and \( (\dot{G}/G) \) will give the opportunity to test different models for compatibility with observational data.

For completeness we mention another important aspect of these cosmologies pointed out by Liu and Wesson for the case with \( \Lambda = 0 \). It concerns the nature of the big-bang which occurs at \( a = 0 \). In this limit the scale factor is given by (35), \( a \sim (t - \lambda y)^{1/3} \). If observers have different values of \( y \), they will experience the big-bang as having occurred at different times \( t \). Thus they will measure different values for the age of the universe.

\(^5\)The parameter \( \alpha \) can be taken as \( \alpha = 1 \), without loss of generality.

\(^6\)The general, invariant equations for the change of mass are given in \(^{27}\).
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