Applications of machine learning in pine nuts classification
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Pine nuts are not only the important agent of pine reproduction and afforestation, but also the commonly consumed nut with high nutritive values. However, it is difficult to distinguish among pine nuts due to the morphological similarity among species. Therefore, it is important to improve the quality of pine nuts and solve the adulteration problem quickly and non-destructively. In this study, seven pine nuts (Pinus bungeana, Pinus yunnanensis, Pinus thunbergii, Pinus armandii, Pinus massoniana, Pinus elliottii and Pinus taiwanensis) were used as study species. 210 near-infrared (NIR) spectra were collected from the seven species of pine nuts, five machine learning methods (Decision Tree (DT), Random Forest (RF), Multilayer Perceptron (MLP), Support Vector Machine (SVM) and Naive Bayes (NB)) were used to identify species of pine nuts. 303 images were used to collect morphological data to construct a classification model based on five convolutional neural network (CNN) models (VGG16, VGG19, Xception, InceptionV3 and ResNet50). The experimental results of NIR spectroscopy show the best classification model is MLP and the accuracy is closed to 0.99. Another experimental result of images shows the best classification model is InceptionV3 and the accuracy is closed to 0.964. Four important range of wavebands, 951–957 nm, 1,147–1,154 nm, 1,907–1,927 nm, 2,227–2,254 nm, were found to be highly related to the classification of pine nuts. This study shows that machine learning is effective for the classification of pine nuts, providing solutions and scientific methods for rapid, non-destructive and accurate classification of different species of pine nuts.

There are more than 113 formally recognized species of Pinus Linn mainly distributed in the northern hemisphere1,2, they form an important part of forest ecosystems. Pine nuts are the seeds of pine trees, they are a commonly consumed nut, and an important agent of afforestation and reproduction3. Pine nuts are rich in protein, fatty acids, minerals and vitamins. They also contain oleic acid, linolenic acid and other unsaturated fatty acids, which facilitate the prevention of cardiovascular disease4. Species recognition of pine nuts is important for food safety and pine nut quality. In recent years, the rising price of pine nuts has brought huge economic benefits. The global output of pine nuts in 2020–2021 is about 381,700 tons. China is the main import and export country of pine nuts in the world. Considering the visual similarity between pine nuts, the possibility of adulteration of products is very high, and the adulteration problem has a great impact on health and economy. Therefore, how to detect adulterated products in pine nuts in a convenient, fast and non-destructive way is a challenge to the food safety of pine nuts.

Presently, common methods of species identification include morphological analysis5, molecular marker technology6–9, protein electrophoresis10, liquid chromatography11, spectral analysis12–14 and image recognition15. Morphological analysis requires a high level of expertise that is not easily acquired and as such, due to large morphological similarity between some species, the rate of accurate identification is low16. Although the use of molecular markers returns a higher recognition rate and more accuracy, it is a destructive methodology, time-consuming, and limited by the number of published markers in the public databases. Therefore, this study establishes machine learning models for pine nut classification based on near-infrared (NIR) spectroscopy and images.

NIR spectroscopy is a methodology that makes use of molecular vibrations in the infrared spectrum in the material. The process of NIR spectroscopy involves the NIR apparatus emitting an infrared light that enters the sample. Here it is reflected, refracted, diffused and absorbed and finally carries the sample information back into the detector. This methodology is convenient, rapid, non-destructive, and cost-effective. It has been used in many
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sequences of *Hwangshanensis* were carried out again to confirm the reliability and authenticity of pine nut species. For the species recognition of some pine nuts, molecular analyses are limited by data publicly available in few reports on the application of NIR spectroscopy in forestry and pine nut research. Specifically, Tigabu et al. collected visible-NIR spectral data of *Pinus sylvestris* nuts in different areas and preprocessed the spectral data by means of Multiplicative Scatter Correction (MSC). The nuts source was constructed through Soft Independent Modelling of Class Analogy (SIMCA) and Partial Least Squares Discriminant Analysis (PLS-DA). Loewe et al. collected NIR spectral data of Mediterranean *Pinus pinea* from Chilean plantations for classification. Moscetti et al. collected the NIR spectral data of the nuts of *P. pinea* and *Pinus sibirica* in different regions and established a spectral classification model by using PLS-DA and Interval PLS-DA (IPLS-DA) methods. However, the effects of other different classification models still need to be further discussed in more species of pine nuts.

Machine learning based on image has been successfully applied to rice pest identification and other agricultural and forestry fields. Deep learning, a type of machine learning, uses hierarchical analysis and multilevel calculation to obtain results. Deep convolutional neural network (CNN) has been successfully applied in image recognition for applications such as tomato pesto recognition, fish image recognition. It is evident that CNN and *Pinus sibirica* in different regions, carried out feature extraction, obtained 10 features based on image data, and used these features to construct image-based classification model. Although the feasibility of pine nut classification has been proved based on manually extracted image-features, the automatic classification model is still worthy of further research in more species of pine nuts.

Therefore, the use of modern computer technology to classify pine nuts greatly promotes the research of non-destructive, rapid and accurate classification of pine nuts. In this study, machine learning technology is adopted, and the application potential of machine learning in pine nut classification is verified. The contributions of the current work are: (1) Molecular markers were used to identify pine nuts species; (2) NIR spectroscopy and images of 7 pine nuts (two kinds of edible pine nuts (*P. armandii* and *P. elliottii*) and five common species (*P. massoniana*, *P. thunbergii*, *P. thunbergii*, *P. taiwanensis*, and *P. bungeana*)) were collected. (3) NIR spectroscopy uses five machine learning methods for classification, while image recognition chooses five CNN models. This study verifies the potential of machine learning in pine nuts classification and provides a practical method for faster, non-destructive and accurate identification of pine nut species.

### Results

#### Molecular markers.

The assembled ITS2 and rbcL sequences were used to molecular markers by comparing to the GenBank database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/search/all/?term=blast). Table 1 shows that the ITS2 sequence length ranges from 477–482 bp while the rbcL gene length ranges from 677–720 bp (Table 2).

| Sample_name | Gene length (bp) | GenBank species name | Query_Covera (%) | Per_Identb (%) | Accession numberc |
|-------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| *P. massoniana* | 479 | *P. massoniana* | 98 | 100 | MH444832.1 |
| *P. yunnanensis* | 481 | NA \(^2\) | NA | NA | NA |
| *P. elliottii* | 478 | *P. thunbergii* | 97 | 100 | MH444826.1 |
| *P. armandii* | 479 | *P. armandii* | 97 | 100 | MH444830.1 |
| *P. taiwanensis* | 477 | *P. taiwanensis* | 84 | 100 | JR829701.1 |
| *P. thunbergii* | 480 | *P. thunbergii* | 97 | 100 | MH444826.1 |
| *P. bungeana* | 482 | *P. bungeana* | 79 | 100 | MH703244.1 |

Table 1. ITS2 sequence markers results.

The collected pine nut NIR spectra were analyzed and are represented in Fig. 1. It is apparent from all original NIR spectra (Fig. 1a) that the amplitude, peaks and troughs of the NIR spectra of the seven pine nuts have similar changes. Among them, the value of *P. armandii* is at a higher position (indicating the highest absorbance value) compared to the whole range, and the value of *P. massoniana* is at a lower position. The normalized NIR spectra (Fig. 1b) show that the NIR spectrum of each pine nut is more distinct after normalization, and the changes between the pine nut values can be observed more clearly. Among them, *P. armandii* and *P. bungeana* are highly mixed in the range of 9,000–4,000 cm\(^{-1}\) (1,111–2,500 nm).

Ten independent analyses were carried out on normalized and non-normalized NIR spectral data using the five traditional machine learning models i.e., the Decision Tree (DT), Random Forest (RF), Multilayer Perceptron (MLP), Support Vector Machine (SVM) and Naive Bayes (NB) (Table 3). It is evident from Table 3 that the
classification of pine nuts is effective using these models. When the data are not normalized, the accuracy of the DT and RF classification models is greater than 0.83. For normalized data, the classification accuracy of the five models is > 0.80, with MLP and SVM providing an accuracy of > 0.93. With pre-process of data, the performance of the MLP and SVM models have been greatly improved, the accuracy of the MLP model reaches 0.99, while the

Table 2. rbcL sequence markers results. *Query_cover, the percentage of the sample sequence covered by the GenBank sequence. **Per_Ident, the percentage similarity of the sample and GenBank sequences. *Accession number, the GenBank accession number. **NA, no match for the same species.

| Sample_name | Gene length (bp) | GenBank species name | Query_Covera (%) | Per_Identb (%) | Accession numberc |
|-------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| P. massoniana | 698             | P. massoniana       | 100              | 100            | MF564195.1         |
| P. yunnanensis | 677          | P. yunnanensis      | 100              | 100            | MK135066.1         |
|              |                 | P. thunbergia       | 100              | 100            | MH612862.1         |
| P. elliottii  | 703             | P. elliottii        | 100              | 100            | NC_042788.1        |
|              |                 | Pinus tomentosa     | 100              | 100            | NC_039586.1        |
|              |                 | Pinus taeda         | 100              | 100            | KCA27273.1         |
| P. armandii  | 705             | P. armandii         | 100              | 99.86          | KP412541.1         |
| P. taiwanensis | 701         | Pinus taiwanensis   | 100              | 100            | JN054194.1         |
|              |                 | P. thunbergii       | 100              | 100            | MH612862.1         |
| P. thunbergii | 704             | P. thunbergii       | 100              | 100            | JF512594.1         |
| P. elliottii  | 702             | P. elliottii        | 100              | 100            | NC_039586.1        |
| P. bungeana  | 720             | P. bungeana         | 100              | 100            | MH612857.1         |

Figure 1. Pine nut NIR spectral data. (a) All of the original NIR spectra; (b) The normalized NIR spectra, R stands for reflectivity and log(1/R) represents absorbance. Vertical straight stripes represent the sensitive bands at 10,506.29–10,452.29 cm⁻¹, 8712.813–8658.815 cm⁻¹, 5241.572–5187.575 cm⁻¹ and 4489.471–4435.474 cm⁻¹ (951–957 nm, 1,147–1,154 nm, 1,907–1,927 nm, 2,227–2,254 nm) selected by moving sliding windows.
The SVM model reaches 0.94. Overall, these results show that the RF model is a better classification method when the data are not normalized, while the MLP model is the best for normalized data.

The precision (Pre) and F1-score (F1) are presented in Table 4 (non-normalized data) and Table 5 (normalized data). In Table 4, the precision and F1-score of *P. armandii* and *P. bungeana* are higher, and the precision of *P. bungeana* is the highest, reaching 0.97. However, the precision and F1-score of *P. taiwanensis* and *P. massoniana* are quite low reaching precision scores of 18% and 22% respectively. In Fig. 1a, the distinction between *P. armandii* and *P. bungeana* is clear, while the *P. taiwanensis* and *P. massoniana* are less distinct and thus more difficult to classify. However, Table 5 shows that the precision and F1-scores of the seven pine nut species are greatly improved after normalization. This indicates that data normalization is a necessary step for spectral data processing.

### Table 3. Classification model results based on full spectrum data.

| Type       | Model | Acc_max | Acc_min | Acc_avg |
|------------|-------|---------|---------|---------|
| Non-normalized | DT    | 0.90    | 0.74    | 0.84    |
|            | MLP   | 0.71    | 0.36    | 0.54    |
|            | RF    | 0.95    | 0.79    | 0.86    |
|            | SVM   | 0.55    | 0.36    | 0.46    |
|            | NB    | 0.86    | 0.67    | 0.76    |
| Normalized  | DT    | 0.90    | 0.81    | 0.87    |
|            | MLP   | 1.00    | 0.98    | 0.99    |
|            | RF    | 0.90    | 0.86    | 0.89    |
|            | SVM   | 0.95    | 0.88    | 0.94    |
|            | NB    | 0.86    | 0.69    | 0.80    |

### Table 4. Precision and F1 scores of five pine nut classification models with non-normalized NIR spectral data.

| Number | Type               | DT Pre | DT F1 | MLP Pre | MLP F1 | RF Pre | RF F1 | SVM Pre | SVM F1 | NB Pre | NB F1 |
|--------|--------------------|--------|-------|---------|--------|--------|-------|---------|--------|--------|-------|
| 1      | *P. yunnanensis*   | 0.92   | 0.90  | 0.89    | 0.80   | 0.90   | 0.90  | 0.36    | 0.43   | 0.89   | 0.83  |
| 2      | *P. armandii*      | 0.83   | 0.89  | 0.55    | 0.66   | 0.81   | 0.89  | 0.83    | 0.76   | 0.82   | 0.84  |
| 3      | *P. taiwanensis*   | 0.77   | 0.77  | 0.45    | 0.28   | 0.84   | 0.84  | 0.23    | 0.18   | 0.71   | 0.70  |
| 4      | *P. elliottii*     | 0.93   | 0.78  | 0.34    | 0.28   | 0.94   | 0.81  | 0.24    | 0.32   | 0.78   | 0.77  |
| 5      | *P. bungeana*      | 0.97   | 0.96  | 0.71    | 0.74   | 0.89   | 0.89  | 0.93    | 0.93   | 0.85   | 0.89  |
| 6      | *P. massoniana*    | 0.82   | 0.86  | 0.37    | 0.43   | 0.86   | 0.87  | 0.22    | 0.31   | 0.68   | 0.75  |
| 7      | *P. thunbergii*    | 0.81   | 0.70  | 0.79    | 0.45   | 0.89   | 0.78  | 0.04    | 0.07   | 0.81   | 0.54  |
| Average|                   | 0.86   | 0.84  | 0.59    | 0.52   | 0.88   | 0.86  | 0.41    | 0.43   | 0.79   | 0.76  |
| Accuracy|                 | 0.84   | 0.54  | 0.86    | 0.46   | 0.76   |       |         |        |        |       |

### Table 5. Precision and F1 scores of five pine nut classification models with normalized NIR spectral data.

| Number | Type               | DT Pre | DT F1 | MLP Pre | MLP F1 | RF Pre | RF F1 | SVM Pre | SVM F1 | NB Pre | NB F1 |
|--------|--------------------|--------|-------|---------|--------|--------|-------|---------|--------|--------|-------|
| 1      | *P. yunnanensis*   | 0.89   | 0.87  | 0.99    | 0.99   | 0.86   | 0.87  | 0.94    | 0.97   | 0.82   | 0.83  |
| 2      | *P. armandii*      | 0.91   | 0.91  | 1.00    | 1.00   | 0.90   | 0.93  | 0.94    | 0.95   | 0.94   | 0.95  |
| 3      | *P. taiwanensis*   | 0.85   | 0.85  | 0.98    | 0.99   | 0.93   | 0.90  | 0.90    | 0.88   | 0.64   | 0.69  |
| 4      | *P. elliottii*     | 0.87   | 0.80  | 1.00    | 0.99   | 0.91   | 0.83  | 0.97    | 0.93   | 0.87   | 0.83  |
| 5      | *P. bungeana*      | 0.96   | 0.93  | 0.95    | 0.90   | 0.90   | 0.93  | 0.95    | 0.95   | 0.89   | 0.88  |
| 6      | *P. massoniana*    | 0.86   | 0.90  | 1.00    | 1.00   | 0.97   | 0.93  | 0.88    | 0.93   | 0.75   | 0.80  |
| 7      | *P. thunbergii*    | 0.80   | 0.77  | 0.95    | 0.96   | 0.86   | 0.87  | 0.98    | 0.92   | 0.71   | 0.51  |
| Average|                   | 0.88   | 0.86  | 0.99    | 0.98   | 0.90   | 0.89  | 0.94    | 0.93   | 0.80   | 0.78  |
| Accuracy|                 | 0.87   | 0.99  | 0.89    | 0.94   | 0.80   |       |         |        |        |       |
Classification model based on image data. Three pre-processing methods were run for the datasets of image_clip (clipped images), image_trans (transformed images), and image_gray (grayscale transformed images). The image_clip data is used to explore the results of the deep learning model on the original data, image_trans and image_gray are obtained by extending the image_clip transformation. VGG16, VGG19, Xception, ResNet50 and InceptionV3 models were selected with the options of 100 epochs, and accuracy and loss were used as evaluation indicators. Figures 2, 3 and 4 present the accuracy and loss values of the five trained and verified models. From these figures, Xception and InceptionV3 have the best performance with the highest accuracy and lowest loss compared to the VGG16, VGG19 and ResNet50 models. Additionally, among the three pre-processing methods, image_trans outperforms image_gray and image_clip. Therefore, Xception and InceptionV3 models are best suited for image-based classification of pine nuts and images should be transformed but not set to grayscale (Table 6).

Discussion

Previous studies have shown that genus Pinus originated in the early Cretaceous (116–83 Mya) and diverged into two subgenera Pinus (P. massoniana, P. thunbergii, P. yunnanensis, P. taiwanesis and P. massoniana, etc.) and Strobus (P. armandii and P. bungeana, etc.)2, 27. During the long evolutionary history, it may have experienced many events such as plate movement, sea-land transition and climate changes2, 28, 29. The chemical composition of plant organs is the result of the interaction between plants and the environment in the long process of evolution30–32. Our results suggested that the species P. armandii and P. bungeana of subgenus Strobus have higher bands in regions 9,000–4,000 cm⁻¹ (1,111–2,500 nm) than other five species of subgenus Pinus (Fig. 1). These bands were found to be associated with proteins, amino acids, moisture, lipids and carbohydrates in previous studies20, 22. Notably, our results also showed that three sensitive bands (1,147–1,154 nm, 1,907–1,927 nm, 2,227–2,254 nm) in these regions (1,111–2,500 nm) have great influence on the model accuracy based on sliding window method (Fig. 1). Different with subgenus Pinus, the species P. armandii and P. bungeana of subgenus Strobus were mainly
The difference of some substances could be caused by certain geographical distribution and environmental conditions such as altitude, average annual temperature, soil characteristics, precipitation, and sunshine22. Compared with previous studies based on SVM, RF and PLS-DA methods in seed classification12, 18, our results showed that MLP model presented excellent performance, which could be explained that the collected NIR spectra were different in sensitivity to the model due to different chemical components.

We also found some morphological differences among two subgenera in pine nut images. The seeds of subgenus *Strobus* probably have a smoother shape and texture than subgenus *Pinus* (Fig. 7), which would be conducive to the feature extraction of machine learning model. Previous studies have shown that the PLS-DA and IPLS-DA models were achieved good results to recognize the multiple varieties of two species22. However, our results suggested that the InceptionV3 model performed best on the pine nut images of seven species with the fastest convergence speed and highest accuracy. The similar model was found to be successfully used to diagnosis of nutrient deficiencies in rice33 and classification of multiple weed species34. The different recognition accuracy of multiple models may be related to the morphological features (shape, color and texture) of nuts between datasets.

Table 6. Precision, F1 scores and accuracy of three pre-process methods. a image_clip, the clipped images. b image_trans, the transformed images. c image_gray, the transformed grayscale images.

| Model     | image_clip | image_trans | image_gray |
|-----------|------------|-------------|------------|
| VGG16     | 0.72       | 0.91        | 0.84       |
| VGG19     | 0.72       | 0.87        | 0.86       |
| Xception  | 0.79       | 0.96        | 0.93       |
| ResNet50  | 0.44       | 0.52        | 0.45       |
| InceptionV3 | 0.71      | 0.96       | 0.94       |

Figure 5. Radar chart of analytical costs, complexity and performance. Time: the time required for the analyses; Cost: the financial cost of completing the analyses; Limitation: the degree of limiting factors of experimental conditions; Data capacity: the amount of data obtained from the analyses; Accuracy: the accuracy of identification. The scale here represents value with 0 indicating the lowest value and 1.0 indicating the highest value.

distributed in Northern China (Table S1). The difference of some substances could be caused by certain geographical distribution and environmental conditions such as altitude, average annual temperature, soil characteristics, precipitation, and sunshine22. Compared with previous studies based on SVM, RF and PLS-DA methods in seed classification12, 18, our results showed that MLP model presented excellent performance, which could be explained that the collected NIR spectra were different in sensitivity to the model due to different chemical components.

We also found some morphological differences among two subgenera in pine nut images. The seeds of subgenus *Strobus* probably have a smoother shape and texture than subgenus *Pinus* (Fig. 7), which would be conducive to the feature extraction of machine learning model. Previous studies have shown that the PLS-DA and IPLS-DA models were achieved good results to recognize the multiple varieties of two species22. However, our results suggested that the InceptionV3 model performed best on the pine nut images of seven species with the fastest convergence speed and highest accuracy. The similar model was found to be successfully used to diagnosis of nutrient deficiencies in rice33 and classification of multiple weed species34. The different recognition accuracy of multiple models may be related to the morphological features (shape, color and texture) of nuts between datasets.

There are different advantages in three recognition methods of molecular markers, NIR and images (Fig. 5). In terms of accuracy, molecular markers have higher recognition rates than NIR and images. However, molecular labeling takes a long time, as well as being limited by experimental equipment and public reference databases. In terms of cost, image analysis may be better, because it is convenient, fast and free from environmental constraints, but this method requires a large amount of images and has a lower recognition rate. In terms of performance, NIR spectroscopy may be better due to its higher recognition rate and smaller amount of data generated, but it is costly and requires special devices. In the future, we would take advantage of the ensemble learning approach by merging multiple features of molecule, NIR and images for more species.
Conclusions

Based on the present study findings, this study verifies the potential application of machine learning models based on NIR spectroscopy and images to recognition among different species of pine nuts. We collected seven species of pine nuts as the research object, constructed classification models based on NIR spectroscopy and image data. Compared with different models, MLP and InceptionV3 were proved to achieve better classification effect. At the same time, sensitive bands of NIR shows the correlation with some special molecular vibrations of functional groups. The results will provide solutions and scientific methods for the convenient, rapid and nondestructive classification of different species of pine nuts, and provide a new idea in the field of species classification, as well as a methodological and technical scheme for reference.

Materials and methods

Sample collection and pre-process. The academic permission to collect and study pine nuts was granted by the director of the Key Laboratory of Southwest Mountain Forest Resources Conservation and Utilization, Ministry of Education, Southwest Forestry University. The study met all relevant guidelines.

Used in the study of Pinus bungeana | Junying Jiao 01, Pinus armandii | Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, ZuoZh271, Pinus yunnanensis | Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, MY259, Pinus thunbergii | Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lilan898, Pinus massoniana | Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, LWY2020020, Pinus elliottii | Junying Jiao 02, and Pinus taiwanensis | Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Jiangxc0597, were prepared from the Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences and Yunnan Forest seedling work station preparation plants. The pine nuts used in the study were formally identified by Junying Jiao, director of the Key Laboratory of Forest Resources Conservation and Utilization in Southwest Mountainous Region of the Ministry of Education, College of Forestry, Southwest Forestry University. Pinus bungeana and Pinus elliottii were registered and preserved in the herbarium of Southwest Forestry University, with code access number: 0000651 and 0,000,652. Pinus armandii, Pinus yunnanensis, Pinus thunbergii, Pinus massoniana and Pinus taiwanensis were registered and preserved in the Germplasm Bank of Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, with code access number: ZuoZh271, MY259, Lilan898, LWY2020020 and Jiangxc0597.

Approximately 1.5 kg of nuts from each species were selected and subjected to pre-treatment for image and NIR spectroscopy analyses. The seed surface was rinsed with distilled water, and defective nuts were removed. The cleaned pine nuts were then dried in an oven (Model DHG-9245A, Shanghai Hengke Instrument Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) at 40 °C for 8 h. After pre-process, the nuts were randomly divided into 30 groups for subsequent acquisition of NIR spectra. One or two nuts from each group were photographed to obtain the origin images (Table 7).

Molecular markers. In order to identify pine nuts species, the primers of ITS2 and rbcL were designed based on the known sequences in a previous study35 (Table 8). Fragment genes were located and sequenced using an ABI 3730 sequencer. SeqMan tool was used to assemble the overlapping fragments.

Spectral data acquisition and pre-process. The NIR spectra were acquired using the Antaris Fourier Transform NIR spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA) equipped with an InGaAs detector with diffuse integrating sphere, a 7.78 cm quartz sampling cup and sample rotary table within the range of 12,800 to 3,800 cm⁻¹ (781 nm-2632 nm) at a resolution of 8 cm⁻¹. Samples were scanned 48 scanning times, and 2335 bands were obtained. The data were transformed using log(1/R) to represent absorbance.
The NIR spectra were normalized using a min–max normalization method to eliminate the adverse effects caused by outliers. The original data were normalized to the range of 0 and 1 using Eq. (1).

\[ x^* = \frac{x - \min(x)}{\max(x) - \min(x)} \]  

where \( x \) represents absorbance values, \( \min(x) \) and \( \max(x) \) represent the lowest and absorbance highest absorbance values, respectively.

**Image acquisition.** The pine nut images were captured using a LEICA EZ4 microscope with a white background and eightfold magnification through a Huawei Mate 30 mobile phone with a 40 MP ultrasensitive camera (wide angle, f/1.8) supporting auto focus and manual focus. The shooting angle was set to 90°, the height was 50 cm, and 52 images were taken for each species of pine nut.

**Image pre-process.** During the image capturing process, irregularities arise. These include the size variation of pine nuts, inconsistent positions, and appearance of color, all of which will affect the recognition models and accuracy of classification. Thus, image pre-processing for standardization involved the following two steps:

1. **Edge detection and clipping**
   The edge position of the pine nuts was detected with the Sobel method on the OpenCV platform. Once the top, bottom, left, and right vertices of the seed were defined, the image was cropped through a matrix frame connecting the four vertices (Fig. 6). In order to maintain a uniform image background (Fig. 6d), further manual cutting was sometimes necessary (Fig. 6e).

2. **Data augmentation and image grayscale**
   The clipped images were oriented using the ‘flip’ and ‘resize’ functions in OpenCV. The formula (2) was used to transform these aligned images into grayscale images (Fig. 7). The OpenCV’s color was used conversion function in this study: \( CV\_BGR2GRAY \) to perform image grayscale processing.

\[ \text{Gray} = R \times 0.299 + G \times 0.587 + B \times 0.114 \]  

**Figure 6.** Sobel edge detection and clipping process.

**Figure 7.** Results of image pre-processing for pine nuts of each species. Images have been clipped, flipped, resized and color transformed to grayscale.
In order to further study the pine nut classification model, two experimental approaches were employed (Fig. 8). For the first approach involved traditional machine learning methods such as DT, RF, MLP, SVM and NB which were used to classify nuts based on the NIR spectroscopy. The classification model based on NIR spectra includes five steps (Fig. 8a). Data were first prepared and then divided into a training set and a validation set according to the ratio of 8:2. The DT, RF, MLP, SVM and NB learning methods were then used to establish classification models. Following training and validation, the accuracy (Acc), Pre, and F1 were selected as performance evaluation indicators of each classification model.

The second approach, five CNN models (VGG16, VGG19, Xception, InceptionV3 and ResNet50) were constructed and trained to classify the images of pine nuts (Fig. 8b). First, the original images in the dataset were of different sizes. Before the experiment, the original images were pre-processed and then cut into 224 × 224 sizes. Second, the pine nut images were divided into a training set and a validation set according to the ratio of 8:2. Then, the VGG16, VGG19, Xception, ResNet50 and InceptionV3 models were loaded on the experimental platform for training and validation. The epochs were set to 100 times, the Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD) optimization method was adopted, and the initial learning rate was set to 0.005. The learning rate changes with training turns, with attenuation of 1e-6 per turned, and the momentum parameter was set to 0.9. The loss function was sparse_categorical_crossentropy, and the activation function was Rectified Linear Units (ReLU). Finally, the Acc, Pre, and F1 were selected for model evaluation.

These two experimental approaches were designed to compare and analyze the performance of different models to evaluate which one would best serve future research of pine nut classification. CNN models were built using the Python libraries Keras-nightly 2.6.0, TensorFlow-nightly-GPU 2.6.0, and Scikit-learn 0.24.2 run in Python v.3.7.

Data availability
The data and codes presented in this study are available in https://github.com/SWFU-JiangLiu/Recognition-of-pine-nuts.git. The GenBank accession numbers are OK271114-OK271122 and OK274058-OK274066.
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