Robust PCA and MIC statistics of baryons in early mini-haloes
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ABSTRACT

We present a novel approach, based on robust principal components analysis (RPCA) and maximal information coefficient (MIC), to study the redshift dependence of halo baryonic properties. Our data are composed of a set of different physical quantities for primordial minihaloes: dark-matter mass ($M_{\text{dm}}$), gas mass ($M_{\text{gas}}$), stellar mass ($M_{\text{star}}$), molecular fraction ($x_{\text{mol}}$), metallicity ($Z$), star formation rate (SFR) and temperature. We find that $M_{\text{dm}}$ and $M_{\text{gas}}$ are dominant factors for variance, particularly at high redshift. Nonetheless, with the emergence of the first stars and subsequent feedback mechanisms, $x_{\text{mol}}$, SFR and $Z$ start to have a more dominant role. Standard PCA gives three principal components (PCs) capable to explain more than 97 per cent of the data variance at any redshift (two PCs usually accounting for no less than 92 per cent), whilst the first PC from the RPCA analysis explains no less than 84 per cent of the total variance in the entire redshift range (with two PCs explaining $\gtrsim 95$ per cent anytime). Our analysis also suggests that all the gaseous properties have a stronger correlation with $M_{\text{gas}}$ than with $M_{\text{dm}}$, while $M_{\text{gas}}$ has a deeper correlation with $x_{\text{mol}}$ than with $Z$ or SFR. This indicates the crucial role of gas molecular content to initiate star formation and consequent metal pollution from Population III and Population II/I regimes in primordial galaxies. Finally, a comparison between MIC and Spearman correlation coefficient shows that the former is a more reliable indicator when halo properties are weakly correlated.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The standard model of cosmology predicts a structure formation scenario driven by cold dark matter (e.g., Benson 2010), where galaxies form from molecular gas cooling within growing dark matter haloes. Hence, understanding the correlation between different properties of the dark matter haloes is imperative to build up a comprehensive picture of galaxy evolution. Many authors have explored the correlation between dark-halo properties, such as mass, spin and shape, both in low- (e.g., Bett et al. 2007; Hahn et al. 2007; Macciò et al. 2007; Wang et al. 2011) and high-redshift (e.g., Jang-Condell & Hernquist 2001; de Souza et al. 2013a) regimes. Estimating the strength of these correlations is critical to support semi-analytical and halo occupation models, which assume the mass as determinant factor of the halo properties (e.g., Mo & White 1998; Cooray & Sheth 2002; Berlind et al. 2003; Somerville et al. 2008). Nevertheless, alternative approaches, based on principal components analysis (PCA), found that concentration is a key parameter, contrary to what expected before (Jeeson-Daniel et al. 2011; Skibba & Macciò 2011), and stressed the need for further investigations. PCA belongs to a family of techniques ideal to explore high-dimensional data. The method consists in projecting the data into a low-dimensional form, retaining as much information as possible (e.g., Jolliffe 2002). Hence, PCA emerges as a natural technique to investigate correlation and temporal evolution of halo properties. Because of its versatility, PCA has been applied to a broad range of astronomical studies, such as stellar, galaxy and quasar spectra (e.g., Chen et al. 2009; McGurk et al. 2010), galaxy properties (Conselice 2006; Scarlata et al. 2007), Hubble parameter and cosmic star formation (SF) reconstruction (e.g., Ishida et al. 2011; Ishida & de Souza 2011), and supernova (SN) photometric classification (Ishida & de Souza 2011).

Despite its generality, PCA is not the only way to handle huge data sets, and the growth in complexity of scien-
tific experimental data makes the ability to extract newsworth-
y and meaningful information an endeavor per se. The year-
ning for novel methodologies of data-intensive science gave rise to
the so-called fourth research paradigm (e.g., Bell et al. 2009).
Data mining methods have been used in many areas of knowl-
edge such as genetics (e.g., Venter et al. 2004) and financial
marketing decisions (e.g., Shaw et al. 2001), and their impor-
tance for astronomy has been recently highlighted as well (e.g.,
Ball & Brunner 2010; Graham et al. 2013; Krone-Martins et al.
2013; Martínez-Gómez et al. 2014). Likewise observations,
cosmological simulations are continuously increasing in complexity,
lessening the distance between observed and synthetic data (e.g.,
Overzier et al. 2013; de Souza et al. 2013b, 2014). None the less,
the application of data-mining to cosmological simulations remains
a terra incognita.

In this work, we investigate the statistical properties of
baryons inside high-redshift haloes, including detailed chemistry,
gas physics and stellar feedback. We make use of Robust PCA
(RPCA) and maximal information coefficient (MIC) to study a set
of various halo parameters. RPCA represents a generalization of
the standard PCA, whose advantage is its resilience to outliers and
and skewness, while MIC is expected to be the correlation analysis
of various halo parameters. We make use of Robust PCA
simulations, and the first use of PCA to explore the low-mass end
of the halo mass function and the birth of the first galaxies.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we de-
scribe the cosmological simulations and their outcomes. In Section
3, we describe the statistical methods. In Section 4, we present
our analysis and main results. Finally, in Section 5, we present our con-
clusions.

2 SIMULATIONS

We analyzed the results of a cosmological N-body, hydrodynam-
ical, chemistry simulation based on Buff & Maio 2013 (see also
Maio et al. 2014, 2011), that was run by means of a modified
version of the smoothed-particle hydrodynamics code GADGET2
(Springel 2005). The modifications include relevant chemical net-
work to self-consistently follow the evolution of e, H, H+, H2,
He, He+, He++, He++, H2, H+2, D, D+, HD, HeH+ (e.g., Yoshida et al.
2003; Maio et al. 2004, 2007, 2008), ultraviolet background radia-
tion, metal pollution according to proper stellar yields (He, C, O,
Si, Fe, Mg, S, etc.), lifetimes and stellar population for Population
III (Pop III) and Population II (Pop II) regimes (Fornatore et al.
2007), radiative gas cooling from molecular, resonant and fine-
structure transitions (e.g. Maio et al. 2007), and references therein)
and stellar feedback (Springel & Hernquist 2003). The transition from
the Pop III to the Pop II/I regime is determined by the value of
the gas metallicity (Z) compared to the critical value Zcrit, (e.g.,
Omukai 2004; Bromm et al. 2001), assumed to be 10−4 Z⊙.

The cosmic field is sampled at redshift z = 100, adopting stan-
dard cosmological parameters: ΩΛ = 0.7, Ωm = 0.3, Ωb =
0.04, H0 = 70 km/s/Mpc and σ8 = 0.9. We considered snap-
shots in the range 9 ≤ z ≤ 19, within a cubic volume of comov-
ing side 0.7 Mpc, and 2 × 3203 particles per gas and dark-matter

1 Although uncertain (Bromm & Loeb 2003; Schneider et al. 2003, 2006),
results are usually not very sensitive to the precise value adopted
(Maio et al. 2014).

species corresponding to particle masses of 42 and 275 M⊙ h−1,
respectively. The identification of the simulated objects is done by
applying a friends-of-friends (FoF) technique with linking length
equal to 20 per cent the mean interparticle separation and sub-
structures are identified by using a SUBFIND algorithm (Dolag et al.
2009), which discriminates among bound and non-bound particles.

The halo characteristics, such as position, velocity, dark matter and
baryonic properties, are computed and stored at each redshift.

The simulation outcomes investigated here consist of seven
parameters: dark-matter mass (Mdark), gas mass (Mgas), stellar
mass (Mstar), star formation rate (SFR), Z, gas temperature (T),
and gas molecular fraction (Xmol). We refer the reader to pre-
vious works, where more details and additional analyses about
halo spin and shape distribution (de Souza et al. 2013a), feedback
mechanisms (Maio et al. 2011; Petkova & Maio 2012; Maio et al.
2013), primordial streaming motions (Maio et al. 2011), non-
standard cosmologies (Maio et al. 2006; Maio & Iannuzzi 2011;
Maio 2014; de Souza et al. 2013b), high-z luminosity function
(Salvaterra et al. 2013; Davé et al. 2013), early gamma ray bursts-
(Campisi et al. 2011; de Souza et al. 2011a, 2012; Maio et al. 2012;
Mesler et al. 2014) and SNe-host properties (de Souza & Ishida
2010; de Souza et al. 2011b; Johnson et al. 2013; Whalen et al.
2013a,b). Lyα emitters (Jeeon-Daniel et al. 2012) and damped
Lyα (DLA) system chemical content (Maio et al. 2013) are pre-
sented and discussed.

2.1 Data set

The total dataset is composed by a few thousands haloes at very
high redshift, z ≈ 19, and reaches about 25000 primordial ob-
jects at z ≈ 9. In order to avoid numerical artifacts, created by a
poor number of gas particles (Bate & Burkert 1997), we selected
only those structures in which the gas content is resolved with at
least 300 gas particles. This usually corresponds to selecting only
objects with a total number of particles of at least ∼ 103. The re-
main ing data are therefore composed of ≈ 1680 haloes in the whole
redshift range, of which ∼ 200 are at z = 9. Fig. 1 shows the prob-
ability distribution function (PDF) for the seven halo parameters:
Mdark, Mgas, Mstar, SFR, T, Xmol and Z at each redshift. They are
portrayed by a violin plot. Each violin centre represents the median
of the distribution, while the shape, its mirrored PDF. A visual in-
spection in Fig. 1 indicates the first stages of significant SF activity
around z = 17, giving rise to a subsequent boost in metal enrich-
ment at z ≥ 15, and a similar growth of Mstar in the same redshift
range. Just after this episode, we can see the rapid spread in the
Xmol Variance, peaking few orders of magnitude above average. The
masses of the haloes range between 105 M⊙ ≤ Mdark ≤ 109 M⊙
and 10 3 M⊙ ≲ Mgas ≲ 10 4 M⊙. Typical temperatures range from
500 to 10 8 K, where H2 shapes the thermal conditions of early
objects. Hotter temperatures are due to the thermal effects of SN
explosions that heat and enrich the gas in nearby smaller haloes.

3 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

3.1 Robust Principal Components Analysis

The ultimate goal of PCA is to reduce the dimensionality of a
multivariate data, while explaining the data variance with as few
principal components (PCs) as possible. PCA belongs to a class

2 A set of measurements on each of two or more variables.
of Projection-Pursuit (PP; e.g., Croux et al. 2007) methods, whose aim is to detect structures in multidimensional data by projecting them into a lower-dimensional subspace (LDS). The LDS is selected by maximizing a projection index (PI), where PI represents the median of the distribution, while the shape, its mirrored PDF.

Given $n$ measurements $x_1, \ldots, x_n$, all of them column vectors of dimension $\Gamma$, the first PC is obtained by finding a unit vector $a_1$ which maximizes the variance of the data projected on it:

$$ a_1 = \arg \max_{||a||=1} S^2(a^T x_1, \ldots, a^T x_n), $$

where $t$ is the transpose operation and $a_1$ is the direction of the first

The PCs are computed by diagonalization of the data covariance matrix ($S^2$), with the resulting eigenvectors corresponding to PCs and the resulting eigenvalues to the variance explained by the PCs.

3 The PCs are computed by diagonalization of the data covariance matrix ($S^2$), with the resulting eigenvectors corresponding to PCs and the resulting eigenvalues to the variance explained by the PCs. The eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue gives the direction of greatest variance (PC1), the second largest eigenvalue gives the direction of the next highest variance (PC2), and so on. Since covariance matrices are symmetric positive semidefinite, the eigenbasis is orthonormal (spectral theorem).

4 $\arg \max f(x)$ is the set of values of $x$ for which the function $f(x)$ attains its largest value.

5 Robust statistics commonly use median and median absolute deviation, instead of mean and standard deviation, in order to be resistant against outliers.

6 When the PI is the standard variance, the first PC is the eigenvector of the data covariance matrix corresponding to the largest eigenvalue. But this does not hold for general choices of variance and approximative algorithms are necessary.

7 For comparison, Pearson coefficient measures the linear correlation between two variables, while Spearman coefficient ($R_s$) measures the strength of monotonicity between paired data.

8 In benchmark tests, MIC equitability behaves better than other methods such as e.g., mutual information estimation, distance correlation and $R_s$. A lack of equitability introduces a strong bias and entire classes of relationships may be missed (Reshef et al. 2013).
the mutual information between two random variables $A$ and $B$:  
\[ MI(A, B) = \sum_{a \in A} \sum_{b \in B} p(a, b) \log \left( \frac{p(a, b)}{p(a)p(b)} \right), \]  
where $p(a)$ and $p(b)$ are the marginal PDFs of $A$ and $B$, and $p(a, b)$ is the joint PDF.

Consider $D$ a finite set of ordered pairs, $\{(a_i, b_i), i = 1, \ldots, n\}$, partitioned into a $x$-by-$y$ grid of variable size, $G$, such that there are $x$-bins spanning $a$ and $y$-bins covering $b$, respectively.

The PDF of a particular grid cell is proportional to the number of data points inside that cell. We can define a characteristic matrix $M(D)$ of a set $D$ as
\[ M(D)_{x,y} = \frac{\max(MI)}{\log \min\{x,y\}}, \]
representing the highest normalized mutual informations of $D$. The MIC of a set $D$ is then defined as
\[ MIC(D) = \max_{0 < x < y < B(n)} \left\{ M(D)_{x,y} \right\}, \]
representing the maximum value of $M$ subject to $0 < xy < B(n)$, where the function $B(n) \equiv n^{0.6}$ was empirically determined by Reshef et al. [2011].

4 RESULTS

Hereafter we discuss the relations between halo properties and their relative importance. Our matrix is composed by 1680 haloes, spanning the redshift range $9 \lesssim z \lesssim 19$, with $\approx$ 200 (30) haloes at $z = 9$ (19), each halo containing at least $\sim 10^{12}$ particles. Each row of the matrix represents a halo and each column represents one of the halo properties. PCA probes the entire matrix at once. On the other hand, MIC, instead, finds general associations in matrix represents a halo and each column represents one of the halo properties. PCA probes the entire matrix at once. On the other hand, MIC, instead, finds general associations in variables, while the correlation function measures the linear dependence between them (e.g., Li 1990).

The sharp variation of the PCs around $z \simeq 14 - 16$ acts as a smoking gun for a global cosmological event. Indeed, this is a direct consequence of first SF episodes and the interplay between chemical and mechanical feedback from the first stars, which takes place around $z \simeq 15 - 20$ (e.g., Maio et al. 2014, 2011). As molecules are produced over time, they lead to gas collapse, stellar formation and metal pollution, with subsequent back reaction on the thermal behavior of the surrounding gas (see e.g., Maio et al. 2011). Biffi & Maio 2013). This redshift range represents an epoch of fast and turbulent growth of the metal filling factor, from $\sim 10^{-18}$ at $z \simeq 15$ to $\sim 10^{-12}$ at $z \simeq 14$ (see Fig. 1 from Maio et al. 2011). At the beginning, only the gas at high densities is affected by metal enrichment, due to SF concentration in these regions. As SF and metal spreading proceed, the surrounding lower density environments are affected as well. SN heat high-density gas within star-forming sites and, consequently, hot low-density gas is ejected from star-forming regions by SN winds.

The contribution of each PC dramatically changes if we use RPCA instead. The clearest advantage is the amount of variance explained by each component (hereafter, when necessary to avoid ambiguity, the PCs from RPCA analysis will be referred as RPCs).

RPC1 accounts for no less than $\approx 84$ per cent of the $S_{2d}$ at any time, whilst two RPCs account for more than $\approx 95$ per cent. Moreover, the RPC2 contribution mostly stands out between $13 < z < 17$ and $z \lesssim 10$. Albeit contributing differently to the total variance, the general behavior of PC1 and PC2 is similar to the RPC1 and RPC2, as well as the physical interpretation. But RPCA assigns less weight to the baryonic properties, suggesting the halo mass as the most significant factor. This difference occurs because even a small fraction of large errors can cause arbitrary corruption in PCA’s estimate. For instance, PCA is more sensitive to rapid variations of the halo chemical properties, having a steeper behavior of the surrounding gas (see e.g., Maio et al. 2010, 2011). As molecules dominate PC1 at $z > 14$ (see Fig. 1 from Maio et al. 2011). At the beginning, only the gas at high densities is affected by metal enrichment, due to SF concentration in these regions. As SF and metal spreading proceed, the surrounding lower density environments are affected as well. SN heat high-density gas within star-forming sites and, consequently, hot low-density gas is ejected from star-forming regions by SN winds.

The sharp variation of the PCs around $z \simeq 14 - 16$ acts as a critical epoch for the cosmic chemical enrichment (see also discussion above), triggered by a rapid variation of $x_{mol}$, followed by a wide metal pollution at $z \simeq 13$. After a decline in the chemical enrichment rate, a second peak in $Z$ occurs at $z \approx 10$. This self-regulated, oscillatory behavior is caused by the simultaneous coexistence of cold pristine-gas inflows and hot metal enriched outflows that create hydro instabilities and turbulent patterns with Reynolds numbers $\sim 10^8 - 10^{10}$ (see e.g. Fig. 2 from Maio et al. 2011). Finally at $z = 9$, $M_{dm}$ and $M_{gas}$ have become almost subdominant, since PC1 is mainly led by $T$ and $Z$, as a result of the ongoing cosmic heating from SF and thermal feedback. The dominance by $T$ to PC1 at this redshift occurs due to the presence of some small (see Fig. 1), high-temperature objects, whose properties are contaminated by hot enriched material at $T \gtrsim 10^9$ K.

An inspection of PC2 reveals the supporting roles during the galaxy formation process. The PC1 peak in $Z$ at redshift 13 is preceded by a strong contribution of $SFR$ and halo masses to PC2,
while the second PC1 peak in $Z$, around $z \approx 10$, is anticipated by an increasing contribution to PC2 from the formed stars, which later explode as SNe and start the metal enrichment of the Universe. The first rise of PC2 at $z \gtrsim 14$, dominated by SFR, occurs because the protogalaxies at this epoch are experiencing the first bursts of SF. Nevertheless, not all of them have necessarily formed stars already. Whilst the second peak is composed of a more balanced contribution from SFR and $M_{\text{star}}$. The oscillatory behavior might be caused by the competitive effects of different feedback mechanisms: the gas undergoing SF is heated by SN explosions and it is inhibited to continuously form stars (mostly in smaller structures that suffer significantly gas evaporation processes); while shock compressions and spreading of metals in the medium enhance gas cooling capabilities and consequently induce more SF. The former preferentially occurs in bigger objects that can keep and re-process their metals because of the deeper potential wells.

PC3 is nearly negligible in the whole redshift range aside $z = 14$, where $x_{\text{mol}}$ dominates the general behavior. This epoch is preceded by a significant contribution from $M_{\text{star}}$ at $z = 15$. A comparison with Fig. 4 reveals that this behavior coincides with a growth in the $x_{\text{mol}}$ variance at the same redshift. This indicates a transition in the regular trend of increasing $x_{\text{mol}}$ with increasing mass at $z \sim 15 - 16$, when initial collapse phases boost $x_{\text{mol}}$ up to $10^{-3}$. This rapid growth of $x_{\text{mol}}$ preferentially occurs in galaxies of $\sim 10^{11} - 10^{10} M_\odot$, that are forming their first stars and have not been previously affected by feedback mechanisms. At $z \gtrsim 15$, feedback effects from Pop III forming galaxies become responsible for increasing the variance of $x_{\text{mol}}$ by several orders of magnitude, either by dissociating molecules, or by partially enhancing their formation by shocks and gas compression (e.g., Ricotti et al. 2001; Whalen et al. 2008; Petkova & Madau 2012).

Looking the RPCA, the RPC1 is dominated by halo masses during all cosmic evolution (no less than 68 per cent), with other baryonic properties relegated to RPCs of higher orders. Some caution is needed to interpret these results. The higher level of compressibility presented by RPCA is a direct consequence of attributing a smaller weight to rare events. Therefore, if one intends to describe all haloes properties using the fewest parameters possible, RPCA appears to succeed, since it states that as a first approximation, the total halo mass is the main factor to describe all other properties. The mass determines the potential well and consequently the ability of the halo to form stars, retain the metals, etc, therefore roughly dictating the baryonic dynamics at a first sight. Since RPCA ascribes a lower weight to the tails of each parameter distribution, the physical interpretation may become less evident for the highest RPCs. However, we can still see the importance of $Z$, $x_{\text{mol}}$ and SFR, with the difference that now they are considered second order effects, hence starting to be dominant from the RPC2 forward. To better understand these differences between RPCA and PCA we discuss the strength with which each variable is related to one another as follows.

**MIC**

Fig. 5 shows how the seven halo properties correlate to each other. The main diagonal of Fig. 5 shows the density distribution of each variable at different redshifts (a zoomed version of half-violin presented in Fig. 1). The majority of the parameters have a well behaved distribution, with small variations in its shape during the cosmic evolution, while quantities related to the stellar feedback ($M_{\text{star}}, SFR, Z$) have their distribution shaped during the transition from a regime without SF activity at $z \gtrsim 16$ to the burst of SFR around $z \lesssim 15$. The lower triangular part of the panel shows scatter plots for each variable combination colored accordingly to their redshift.

Fig. 5 shows MIC and $R_s$ for each combination of parameters as a function of redshift. At high redshift, due to the poor statistics (less than 30 haloes at $z = 19$, with a considerably amount of null parameters), most variables are uncorrelated, receiving a low score by both $R_s$ and MIC. As expected $M_{\text{gas}}, M_{\text{dm}}$ and $T$ are strongly correlated, receiving the highest values. This is consistent with the fact that PC1 dominates at $z > 16$ and is basically dictated by $M_{\text{dm}}$ and $M_{\text{gas}}$. The result suggests that at higher redshifts, haloes are much simpler objects and their properties are basically controlled by their masses. Comparing with Fig. 4 it seems that the correlation between halo mass and $T$ shows a better agreement with RPCA, which makes of $T$ a factor almost as important as $M_{\text{gas}}$ and $M_{\text{dm}}$ in the determination of RPC1.

The molecular content, which is directly dependent on the local gas density and $T$, shows a correlation with $Z$ that increases at lower redshifts until $z \approx 12$. This trend is in agreement with the dominance of $x_{\text{mol}}$ and $Z$ on PC1 and RPC2 at $z \approx 13 - 14$, caused by the increase in the contribution of the SFR to PC2 and RPC2 at earlier redshifts.

---

12 Highest redshifts are not shown, because the few number of haloes make the PDF estimate meaningless.

13 We do not present results for $z > 17$, because of the high number of zeros in the matrix makes the correlation measurements unreliable.
At $z \gtrsim 13 - 14$, $x_{\text{mol}}$ keeps a regular trend of increasing with halo mass. Nevertheless, the SF activity at $z \lesssim 13$ leads to a dispersion of $x_{\text{mol}}$ followed by a metal enrichment process, as discussed in Section 4. Also, $M_{\text{gas}}$ shows a stronger correlation with $x_{\text{mol}}$ than with other quantities like SFR and $Z$, which indicates the crucial role of $x_{\text{mol}}$ to initiate SF and consequent metal pollution from Pop III and Pop II/I regimes in primordial galaxies. Comparing with Fig. 3, we see that RPCA better apprehends this effect. At high redshift, with the exception of $z = 16$, where the peak in RPC2 is caused by the first stages of metal enrichment (Fig. 1), $x_{\text{mol}}$ maintains a dominant contribution to RPC2, together with halo mass.

The correlation between SFR with $M_{\text{gas}}$ and $M_{\text{dm}}$ is roughly linear, increasing at later times. This may be explained by the wider spread of SFR in low massive haloes at $z \gtrsim 14$, which is caused by gas evaporation processes due to SN explosions, in contrast with later structures that have a more sustained SF activity. Albeit both PCA and RPCA are sensitive to this effect, RPCA ascribes a lower weight to the SFR than to $x_{\text{mol}}$, in accordance to the correlation analysis.

A surprising disagreement between MIC and $R_s$ appears when comparing $Z$, $M_{\text{star}}$ and SFR. $R_s$ suggests a nearly perfect correlation between $Z$ and $M_{\text{star}}$, while MIC found no significant association at the highest redshifts. This highlights the robustness of MIC with skewed and sparse data. In this redshift range, $z \gtrsim 14$, there are very few haloes with non-null $Z$ and $M_{\text{star}}$ values (Fig. 1). Therefore, the high $R_s$ score for these two quantities is misleading, as confirmed by a visual inspection of their corresponding distributions (Figs. 1 and 2). The same argument holds for the comparison between $Z$-SFR, and $M_{\text{star}}$-SFR. During the course of cosmic evolution though, the correlations between the properties of the haloes tighten and both $R_s$ and MIC converge for most of them at $z = 10$ (with $R_s$ slightly overestimating the strength of correlation compared to MIC), as shown in Fig. 5.

5 CONCLUSIONS

We investigate the redshift evolution of the gas properties of primordial galaxies using RPCA and MIC statistics making a comprehensive comparison with standard approaches.

This is the first attempt to probe the baryon properties of early mini-haloes and the effects of feedback processes by means of a highly solid statistical approach. We explore the correlation of different baryonic properties as expected from numerical N-body, hydrodynamical, chemistry simulations including gas molecular and atomic cooling, SF, stellar evolution, metal spreading and feedback effects.

The wide range of redshifts analyzed here ($9 \lesssim z \lesssim 19$) allowed us to perform an unprecedented study of the temporal evolution of the PC contribution to the total variance of the halo properties. The standard PCA needs two PCs to explain more than 92 per cent of the data variance (in the greater part of redshifts studied...
here) with PC1 dropping below 50 per cent at lower redshifts. The first RPC from RPCA analysis explains no less than 84 per cent of all data variance anytime, with two first RPCs explaining more than 95 per cent of the total robust variance.

First SF episodes and feedback mechanisms cause a drop of PC1 at $z \sim 14$, when a sharp variation in the PCs behavior marks the onset of cosmic metal enrichment. At $z > 14$ the halo properties are basically dictated by the halo mass. Among the advantages in using RPCA is the possibility to increase the capability to reduce the dimensionality of the original dataset, although at the cost to be less sensitive to rare events that may be physically relevant. Since RPCA ranks the contribution of variables to the RPCs in better agreement with their levels of correlation. It seems to be in better agreement with our independent MIC and $R_s$ correlation analysis.

An inspection in the first and second PCs reveals some interesting facts. The PC1 peak in $Z$ at redshift 13 is preceded by a strong contribution of SFR and halo masses to PC2. While the second PC1 peak in $Z$, around $z \sim 10$, is anticipated by an increasing contribution to PC2 by the formed stars, which later explode as SNe and enrich the Universe. This indicates the importance of stellar evolution in shaping baryon properties in primordial haloes. A similar trend holds for RPCA although attenuated by the smooth-

---

Figure 4. Correlations between different halo properties at redshifts $z = 9$ (blue solid lines and squares); $z = 11$ (green dashed lines and triangles); $z = 13$ (orange dotted lines and asterisks), $z = 15$ (khaki dot-dashed lines and stars). The panels on the diagonal show the density distributions of the seven parameter. The top half matrix shows a scatter plot for each pair-variable combination and the top half matrix shows the MIC and Spearman rank coefficients for each redshift.
pollution from Pop III and Pop II/I regimes in primordial galaxies. For the baryon properties represents the physical origin of gas col-
formation processes depend not only on the dark matter halo prop-
erties, but also on the local thermodynamical state of the gas, which suggests that all the gaseous properties have a stronger correlation with
mic, SFR, and T, are weakly correlated at high redshift. Nevertheless, due to the interplay between chemical and mechanical feedback from the ongoing stellar
formation and the consequent back reaction on the thermal behav-
ior of the surrounding medium, baryonic quantities start to present a moderate to high level of correlation as redshift decreases. In particular, \(x_{\text{mol}}\) shows the highest level of correlation with \(M_{\text{gas}}\), followed by \(T\), \(SFR\), \(M_{\text{star}}\) and \(Z\) respectively. In general, structure formation processes depend not only on the dark matter halo properties, but also on the local thermodynamical state of the gas, which is, in turn, affected by cooling, SF and feedback. Our analysis suggests that all the gaseous properties have a stronger correlation with \(M_{\text{gas}}\) than with \(M_{\text{dm}}\), while \(M_{\text{gas}}\) has a deeper correlation with \(x_{\text{mol}}\) than with \(Z\) or SFR. The relevance of the molecular content for the baryon properties represents the physical origin of gas collapse and concentration, crucial to initiate SF and consequent metal pollution from Pop III and Pop II/I regimes in primordial galaxies. This work represents a leap forward in the statistical analysis of N-body/hydro simulations, performed by means of RPCA and MIC into a cosmological context. We therefore stress that the use of di-
mensionality reduction algorithms and mutual information based techniques in numerical simulations might be a precious instrument for future investigations, thanks to their potential to unveil non-trivial relationships, which may go undetected by standard methods.
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