A Catalytic Enantiotopic-Group-Selective Suzuki Reaction for the Construction of Chiral Organoboronates
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ABSTRACT: Catalytic enantiotopic-group-selective cross-couplings of achiral geminal bis(pinacolboronates) provide a route for the construction of nonracemic chiral organoboronates. In the presence of a chiral monodentate taddol-derived phosphoramidite ligand, these reactions occur with high levels of asymmetric induction. Mechanistic experiments with chiral 10B-enriched geminal bis(boronates) suggest that the reaction occurs by a stereochemistry-determining transmetalation that occurs with inversion of configuration at carbon.

The versatility of nonracemic chiral organoboronates has made them sought after in asymmetric synthesis. Recent catalytic enantioselective strategies for constructing such compounds include hydroboration, conjugate borylation, allylic borylation, conjugate addition and allylic substitution with borylated electrophiles, and cycloadditions with vinyl boronate derivatives. Also developed are conjugate reduction and hydrogenation of vinyl boronates, and diborylation of alkenes and alkynes. In this connection, our laboratory has pursued the enantioselective construction and transformation of vicinal diboronates with the notion that selective mono-cross-coupling reactions result in products wherein the remaining boronate can be used in subsequent strategically useful chemical transformations. It was considered that a similarly useful strategy for construction of organoboronates might arise by the mono-cross-coupling of geminal bis(boronates). These reactions have been extensively studied by Shibata and Endo who employed Pd(PtBu3)2 as an effective catalyst for a range of such reactions. While related reactions of chiral nonracemic geminal bis(boronates) have been developed by Hall and Yun (Scheme 1), we considered that readily accessed symmetric geminal bis(boronates), reacting in the presence of an appropriately designed chiral catalyst, might participate in an enantiotopic-group-selective Suzuki reaction and that such a strategy might provide a useful new route to nonracemic organoboronate derivatives that are not readily prepared by other methods. In this manuscript, we present such a process and show that it can be employed to prepare a range of chiral boronates in an enantioselective fashion; we also provide mechanistic insight about critical steps in the catalytic cycle.

Our preliminary experiments surveyed the cross-coupling reaction between geminal bis(boronate) 1 and p-iodoanisole in the absence of ligand (entry 1), as well as nonsel ective reaction in the presence of bidentate phosphines (entries 2−3) or with a preformed JosiphosPdCl2 complex. These observations suggested a catalytic reaction pathway requiring access to three-coordinate L1PdAr(X), ostensibly as a precursor to transmetalation with bis(boronate), and it was suspected that complex formed from bidentate ligands might prove sufficiently unreactive that nonsel ective background reactions could prevail. In line with this hypothesis, reactions with chiral monodentate ligands proved more selective with L3 being most

Scheme 1

Table 1. Catalytic Enantioselective Suzuki Reaction

| entry | ligand | Ar | R1 | R2 | yield (%) | er |
|-------|--------|----|----|----|-----------|----|
| 1     | none   | −  | −  | −  | 98        | −  |
| 2     | binap  | −  | −  | −  | 78        | 50:50 |
| 3     | Josiphos | −  | −  | −  | 55        | 50:50 |
| 4     | L1     | Ph | Me | Ph | 80        | 75:25 |
| 5     | L2     | Ph | H  | NMe2 | 74       | 77:23 |
| 6     | L3     | p-tol | Me | NMe2 | >98     | 94:6 |
| 7     | L4     | 4-t-BuPh | Me | NMe2 | 92      | 92:8 |

Yield was determined by NMR in comparison to an internal standard. Enantiomer ratio (er) determined by chiral SFC analysis.
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effective. A survey of reaction conditions showed the following to be most critical: first, reactions of aryl iodides are significantly more selective than bromides (chlorides and triflates are unreactive); second, high concentrations of KOH are critical (reaction with 4.5 equiv of KOH results in 79:21 er); third, an excess of monodentate ligand is critical for highest selectivity (65:35 er was obtained with 1:1 L3/Pd), presumably as a result of competing background nonligated pathways at lower ligand loading. Lastly, it was found (vide infra) that aryl bromides can also be employed as electrophiles in asymmetric Suzuki reactions but require the addition of sodium iodide for high selectivity.

The substrate scope of enantiotopic-group-selective cross-couplings involving geminal bis(boronates) was surveyed with a number of aryl halides and geminal bis(boronates) (Figure 1). The reactions with either p-iodoanisole (method A) or p-bromoanisole combined with sodium iodide (method B) provide comparable yield and selectivity in the production of 2, although it should be noted that with other substrates (product 4) there is a moderate difference between the two methods. Also of note, electron-neutral electrophiles (products 5–7), as well as more hindered ortho substituted electrophiles (products 10, 13), also couple with good enantioselection. While protodeboronation occurred when conjugating groups were present at the para position (CN, CO₂Et; data not shown), this problem could be ameliorated for the case of ketone substrates by the use of ketal protection (product 11). Lastly, it was found that neither heterocycles (products 9, 14) nor an electron-withdrawing p-fluoro group (product 12) interfered in the process. In terms of the scope of geminal bis(boronates), the reaction applies to linear aliphatic substrates (products 16, 17), as well as more hindered substrates (product 18), although the larger substituent appears to retard the reaction rate.

The utility of the asymmetric cross-coupling reaction for the enantioselective construction of pharmacologically relevant benzhydryl derivatives was examined by targeting the construction of (R)-tolterodine (Detrol LA), a therapeutic used for the treatment of urinary incontinence (Scheme 2). Construction of substrate 22 was accomplished by deprotonation and alkylation of 1,1-di(pincolatoboryl)methane (21). Subsequent Suzuki reaction provided 23 in 74% yield and 93:7 enantiomer ratio. Subsequent stereoretentive cross-coupling of 23 with 2-iodo-4-methylanisole employing conditions similar to those developed by Crudden occurred with some erosion of enantiomeric excess, but in very good yield. Lastly, deprotection of 23 furnished 24, a known precursor to the clinically used therapeutic.

To provide insight into the processes that underlie the enantiotopic-group-selective Suzuki reaction, the cycle in Scheme 3 was considered. On the basis of findings that Suzuki reactions in the presence of base and water may occur through the intermediacy of Pd(hydroxide) intermediates, it was considered that, subsequent to oxidative addition, substitution of halide for hydroxide might occur. Next, transmetalation might furnish α-borylorganopalladium complex; reductive elimination would then furnish the product. Other possibilities notwithstanding, in this scenario the stereoselectivity-determining step might be transmetalation, or if the intermediate 25 is
subject to isomerization, reductive elimination might control the product outcome.

One possible mechanism for equilibration of intermediate 25 involves reversible deprotonation. This possibility was excluded by examination of the reaction run in the presence of D2O: less than 5% deuterium was incorporated in the product (eq 1, Scheme 4). While other mechanisms might epimerize 25 (i.e., reversible β-hydrogen elimination), the experiments in eqs 2 and 3 strongly suggest that the transmetalation is stereospecific and this step likely is stereochemistry-determining. In these experiments, enantiomerically enriched, isotopically chiral (S)-10B-26, prepared from 10B-boric acid, was subjected to the asymmetric cross-coupling with either enantiomer of chiral ligand L3. For the reaction with (R,R)-L3, mass spectral analysis of the product shows an isotopic composition consistent with one expected for a reaction where the 10B labeled boronate participates selectively, while the reaction with the (S,S) enantiomer of L3 gives an isotope pattern expected for replacement of the natural abundance B(pin) group. With the reasonable assumption that reductive elimination occurs with retention of configuration at carbon, the product isotopic composition observed in both experiments is most consistent with that calculated for transmetalation occurring with inversion of configuration at carbon.

Additional information about the nature of the species involved in the cross-coupling of geminal bis(boronates) was revealed by the experiment in eq 4. When a 1:1 mixture of doubly labeled boronates was subjected to KOH in H2O/dioxane, complete scrambling of the boronates was observed. Similarly, when the mixture is subjected to catalytic cross-coupling, both products bear deuterated and nondeuterated pinacol boronates (data not shown). While this experiment does not reveal the mechanism of pinacol exchange, it does suggest that bis(boronates) or their mono- or bis(hydrolisis) products (or the derived “ate” complexes) are candidate reactants in the transmetalation. Thus the stereoselective transmetalation might occur either by a desymmetrization if both boronates are equivalent or by a dynamic kinetic resolution if the geminal boron atoms are not substituted equivalently.

Stereoinversion has been observed in other transmetalations and is most often associated with an open transition state that does not involve preassociation between Pd and the reacting organometallic reagent. This feature was unanticipated in the present reaction and will be the subject of forthcoming studies. Further studies on expanding the scope of this reaction to the construction of other chiral boron derivatives and on further elucidation of catalytic mechanisms is in progress.
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