Clinical, epidemiological and echocardiographic features and prognostic factors in cats with restrictive cardiomyopathy: A retrospective study of 92 cases (2001-2015)
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Abstract

Background: Restrictive cardiomyopathy (RCM) is a common primary cardiomyopathy of cats. However, little information is available regarding prognostic variables in large populations of cats with RCM.

Objectives: To characterize the epidemiological, clinical, and echocardiographic features of cats with RCM and to document their survival times and risk factors for cardiac death (CD).

Animals: Ninety-two cats with RCM.

Methods: Retrospective study. Diagnosis of RCM was based on echocardiographic and Doppler criteria. Median survival time to CD and adjusted hazard ratios (HR) were estimated by the Kaplan-Meier method and multivariate Cox models, respectively.

Results: The feline population (median age [interquartile range], 8.6 years [4.1-12.4]; body weight, 4.0 kg [3.3-4.7]) included 83 cats (90%) with the myocardial RCM form and 9 (10%) with the endomyocardial fibrosis RCM form. Most RCM cats (64/92, 70%) were symptomatic at the time of diagnosis, with dyspnea related to congestive heart failure in 57 of 64 cats (89%). The median survival time of the 69 cats with the myocardial RCM form and available follow-up was 667 days (range, 2-3710 days) considering CD. Independent of age, biatrial enlargement, and arrhythmias, increase of the left atrium (LA)-to-aorta (Ao) ratio (hazard ratio [HR], 2.5 per 0.5-unit increase; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.5-4.2; P < .001) and presence of severe LA enlargement (end-diastolic LA : Ao ≥2; HR, 3.4; 95% CI, 1.3-8.7; P = .01) were significantly associated with shorter time to CD.

Conclusions and Clinical Importance: Cardiac death is common in RCM cats, and LA enlargement seems independently associated with decreased survival time in these cats.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Restrictive cardiomyopathy (RCM) in humans represents a heterogeneous group of myocardial diseases characterized by impaired ventricular filling because of decreased myocardial compliance of either or both ventricles with normal or near-normal systolic function and wall thicknesses.1–3 In humans, RCM varies considerably according to its origin (inherited or not), histopathological features, pathogenesis, clinical presentation, diagnostic criteria, and prognosis.3

Spontaneously occurring RCM also has been reported in cats.4–10 Two different histopathological forms of RCM in cats have been described (ie, the “myocardial” form characterized by patchy to diffuse interstitial myocardial fibrosis and, less commonly, the “endomyocardial fibrosis” form [EMF]).4 The hallmark feature of the EMF form is a severe endomyocardial scar that may appear as a distinct “tubular” lesion bridging the interventricular septum (IVS) and the left ventricular free wall (LVFW), or less frequently as diffuse endomyocardial scarring resulting in a decrease or obliteration of the left ventricular (LV) cavity.4

Although RCM currently is considered as a common primary cardiomyopathy of cats,6,7 little information regarding prognostic factors predictive of survival is available.

The aim of our retrospective study was therefore to (1) assess the main epidemiological and clinical features of a large population of cats with RCM recruited over a 15-year period (2001-2015), (2) describe the echocardiographic and Doppler alterations associated with the disease, and (3) analyze the clinical course of the cats investigated and identify factors associated with cardiac death (CD).

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Animals

The case records of client-owned cats, diagnosed with RCM based on conventional echocardiographic and Doppler examination at the Alfort Cardiology Unit (UCA, National Veterinary School of Alfort, France) between October 2001 and December 2015 were retrospectively reviewed.

The main epidemiological (age, body weight, sex, and breed) and clinical characteristics of the included animals were extracted from computerized databases. The presence of concurrent systemic disease and treatment at diagnosis were recorded. Cats with hyperthyroidism and those with systemic arterial hypertension (systolic arterial pressure measured in awake cats by the standard Doppler method [811-BL; Parks Medical Electronics, Inc, Aloha, Oregon] >160 mm Hg in unstressed animals)11,12 were not included in the study, nor were cats with systolic cranial motion of the mitral valve or focal hypertrophy of the IVS. The International Renal Interest Society (IRIS) serum creatinine cutoff concentrations were used to assess the renal status of patients (IRIS stages 1-4), when corresponding data were available.13

2.2 | Conventional echocardiography and Doppler examination

Standard transthoracic M-mode, 2-dimensional (2D), and Doppler examinations with concomitant ECG tracings were performed by trained observers (Dipl. ECVIM-CA Cardiology, last year resident, clinician with at least 3 years of experience at our unit) in awake cats by the use of ultrasound units (Vingmed System 5, Vivid 5, Vivid 7, Vivid E9; General Electric Medical System, Waukesha, Wisconsin) equipped with phased-array transducers, as previously described and validated.14 Data from the original echocardiographic reports were collected. All images additionally were reviewed by 2 board-certified specialists (V. Chetboul and V. Gouni).

2.2.1 | Two-dimensional and M-mode measurements

All echocardiographic measurements were performed directly on screen freeze-frame images and then averaged (3 measurements for each value). Left ventricular end-diastolic and end-systolic internal diameters (LVDd and LVDs respectively), LVFW thicknesses at end-diastole (LVFWd) and end-systole (LVFWs), IVS thicknesses at end-diastole (IVSd) and end-systole (IVSs) were measured by the use of 2D-guided M-mode,15,16 and the LV shortening fraction (SF%) then was calculated. The subaortic interventricular septal (SA-IVSd) thickness also was measured at end-diastole by 2D mode from the right parasternal 5-chamber view at the mitral valve-chordae tendineae interface and compared with reference ranges, to exclude subaortic focal myocardial hypertrophy.17,18

For each cat, all M-mode measurements (IVSd, IVSs, LVFWd, LVFWs, LVDd, LVDs, and SF%) were compared to the 95% prediction intervals assessed according to body weight from a large population of healthy cats.19 The SF% thus was considered as normal if contained within the corresponding 95% prediction interval, and as mildly decreased if below the lower bound of the interval but above the lower bound of the interval minus the interday standard deviation (SD) (5.7%) of SF% assessed by a trained observer from our group.14 The same method was used to analyze myocardial thicknesses and LV diameters and define mild myocardial hypertrophy and mild LV dilation, while taking into account corresponding interday SD values and the upper bound of the 95% prediction interval.14,19

The left atrial (LA) and aortic (Ao) diameters were measured at end-diastole by a 2D method from the right parasternal short axis view, as previously described (Figure 1).14,17 The LA: Ao ratio then was calculated, and LA enlargement was defined as an LA: Ao > 1.2 (upper cutoff obtained from a population of 100 prospectively recruited healthy cats).18 The right end-diastolic atrial diameter also was measured at the level of the tricuspid annulus from the 2D right parasternal 4-chamber view. The right atrium (RA) was judged as dilated if its diameter was wider than the upper reference limit (>15 mm) obtained from a population of 120 healthy adult (≥12 months) cats recruited at the UCA and determined as previously described by applying the statistical procedures recommended by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines20,21 and by using the Tukey method for identifying outliers.
and the Anderson-Darling test for evaluating normality of the distribution (XStat-Biomed, Version 2016, Addinsoft, Data Analysis and Statistical Solution for Microsoft Excel, Paris, France). The 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles were used to determine the limits of the reference interval, and the 90% confidence interval (CI) was calculated by a parametric approach. The results were as follows: mean RADIUS = 11.9 ± 1.4 mm; (minimum - maximum) = (7.0-15.7 mm); lower limit (90% CI) = 9.1 mm (8.8-9.5); upper limit (90% CI) = 14.7 mm (14.3-15.1).

2.2.2 | Conventional Doppler examination

Pulsed-wave and continuous-wave Doppler modes were used to record blood flow velocities. Maximum early (E) and late (A) diastolic mitral flow velocities were determined using pulsed-wave Doppler mode from the left apical 4-chamber view, and the mitral E:A ratio then was calculated. Continuous-wave Doppler recorded using the left apical 5-chamber view was used to exclude LV outflow tract obstruction characterized by turbulent aortic flow of high velocity (>2 m/s). The absence of systolic cranial motion of the mitral valve also was evaluated using both 2D and M-modes. Color-flow and spectral Doppler modes also were used to identify potential mitral valve regurgitation and exclude shunting and stenotic lesions.

2.3 | Inclusion criteria

Cats in which RCM was diagnosed during the study period were included in the study. The RCM diagnostic criteria were as follows (Figures 1 and 2): included cats should have a restrictive mitral inflow Doppler pattern, characterized by a mitral E:A ratio > 2.5, associated with LA or biatrial enlargement, and a normal or mildly modified LV as
defined above. Because tachycardia is a common occurrence in cats, leading to partial or complete fusion of early and late filling waves (thus preventing calculation of E and A waves), cats with EA fusion could be included in the study, but only if LA or biatrial enlargement was associated with normal 2D and M-mode LV variables (ie, IVSd, IVSs, LVFWd, LVFWs, SA-Iv5d, LVDd, LVDs, and SF% within normal ranges), so that misdiagnosis with other cardiomyopathies (eg, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy [HCM], dilated cardiomyopathy) would not be possible.

The differential diagnosis of RCM forms was established on the 2D morphological aspect of the LV endocardium and myocardium. Unlike the myocardial RCM form, the EMF RCM form typically is characterized by a severe endomyocardial scar that diffusely involves the LV leading to obliteration of the LV cavity or that appears as a distinct lesion bridging the IVS and LVFW at the level of the mid to apical LV chamber (Figure 2).4

2.4 | Radiography and ECG

In the case of respiratory signs (eg, dyspnea), thoracic radiographs (lateral and dorsoventral views) were obtained to confirm signs (eg, pulmonary edema) of congestive heart failure (CHF).

All cats with suspected arrhythmias on cardiac auscultation underwent an ECG in addition to concomitant ECG tracings performed during echocardiographic examinations.

2.5 | Follow-up

Follow-up data were collected by reviewing the paper and electronic records of cats diagnosed with RCM as defined above. At the time of diagnosis, cats were classified as either clinically or subclinically affected, that is, with or without clinical signs attributed to RCM, respectively (eg, dyspnea, ascites, syncope, aortic thromboembolism [ATE]). Owners of cats for which the outcome could not be found in the database at the time of writing were contacted by telephone or e-mail to determine the current status of their animals: alive (clinically or subclinically affected) or dead (date and cause of death, defined as cardiac or noncardiac). Cases of animals dying suddenly, euthanized for cardiac reasons or dying from nonresponsive CHF or ATE, were considered as CD. Sudden deaths included sudden witnessed deaths and suspected sudden deaths (cats found dead at home without an obvious cause and completely asymptomatic during the previous 24 hours). Cats for which the outcome could not be obtained at the time of writing were considered lost to follow-up and consequently were censored at the time of their last examination. Cats that survived <24 hours or that had no available follow-up were excluded from the survival analysis.

2.6 | Statistical analysis

Commercially available software (Addinsoft (2019). XLSTAT statistical and data analysis solution. Long Island, NY, USA) was used for the statistical analyses. Data were expressed as proportions (%), medians, and interquartile ranges (IQR). Median survival times to all-cause death and to cardiac death both were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method and compared by applying a log rank test. Regarding CD, adjusted hazard ratios (HR) were estimated using multivariate Cox proportional hazard models. We hypothesized that the following variables were risk factors for CD: end-diastolic LA: Ao ratio (as quantitative variable and binary variable using LA: Ao ratio ≥ 2 corresponding to severe LA enlargement versus LA: Ao ratio < 2), biatrial enlargement (ie, presence of an RA dilation), arrhythmias, RCM form (myocardial versus EMF), signs of CHF, increased IRIS stages (IRIS stages ≥ 2 versus IRIS stage = 1), and ATE. A Cox regression model was performed for each of the above exposures. Each model was adjusted for age (ie, age was a “forced” variable in each model) and for all variables considered potential confounding factors (ie,
variables statistically associated with CD and associated with each above-mentioned variable of interest at \( P < .20 \). Hazard ratios and 95% CI were calculated. The level of significance was set at \( P < .05 \).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Study population

Between October 2001 and December 2015, 131 cats were diagnosed with RCM. After a final review, 92 of them met the inclusion criteria and 39 were not included in the study either as a consequence of IVS or LVFW thicknesses being greater than the chosen cutoff values (\( n = 7 \)) or because of mildly modified LV without any mitral E and A waves values (EA fusion, \( n = 7 \); data unavailable, \( n = 11 \)). The remaining 14 cats were not included in the study owing to hyperthyroidism (\( n = 13 \)) and the absence of LA enlargement despite the presence of a large lesion bridging the IVS and LVFW, consistent with an EMF RCM form (\( n = 1 \)).

After exclusion of the 39 cats initially recruited for the study, all cats finally included (\( n = 92 \)) had normal 2D and M-mode LV variables (ie, IVSd, IVSs, LVFWd, LVFWs, SA-IVSd, LVDd, LVDs, and SF% within normal ranges)\(^{18,19} \) associated with LA or biatrial enlargement (see details below).

The study sample thus consisted of 92 cats with RCM (median age [IQR], 8.6 years [4.1-12.4]; body weight, 4.0 kg [3.3-4.7]), with 45 males (17 intact and 28 neutered) and 47 females (12 intact and 35 neutered) from 9 different breeds with a majority of Domestic Shorthairs (64/92, 70%), followed by Birmans (9/92, 10%), Persians (7/92, 8%), Siamese cats (6/92, 7%), and other breeds (6/92, 7%).

3.2 | Clinical findings at the time of diagnosis

Most recruited cats (64/92, 70%) had at least 1 clinical sign related to RCM at the time of diagnosis. The most common reported clinical sign was dyspnea related to CHF, found in 57 of 64 (89%) cats, either alone (47/57, 82%) or associated with syncope (1/57, 2%), ascites (8/57, 14%) or bilateral hind limb paralysis as a result of ATE (1/57, 2%). The remaining 7 cats with clinical signs related to RCM had ascites (\( n = 3 \)) or bilateral hind limb paralysis caused by ATE (\( n = 4 \)). In addition to the 60 cats with clinical signs of CHF at diagnosis (ie, dyspnea, ascites or both), 3 other cats (3%) received furosemide because of CHF before inclusion and had no clinical signs at the time of diagnosis. Therefore, 63 of 92 RCM cats (68%) included in the present study had past (3%) or current (65%) CHF signs.

Data regarding cardiac auscultation at the time of diagnosis were available for 86 of the 92 included RCM cats. Cardiac auscultation was abnormal for most cats (70/86, 81%), with 1 to 3 abnormalities for each. The most common abnormality was a left apical systolic heart murmur (54/70, 77%). A gallop sound was recorded in 22 cats (31%) and arrhythmias in 16 cats (23%), including supraventricular (\( n = 4 \)) and ventricular premature beats (\( n = 6 \)), atrial fibrillation (\( n = 1 \)), and third-degree atrioventricular block (\( n = 5 \)).

In 54 of 92 cats (59%), RCM was associated with a concomitant systemic disease, of which 19 (35%) were infectious diseases (eg, feline immunodeficiency virus infection, pyometra, urinary infection, bronchitis, feline infectious peritonitis, pyothorax).

Treatment status at the time of RCM diagnosis was known for all cats. Of 92 cats, 48 (52%) cats were not being treated, whereas 44 (48%) cats were receiving 1 or a combination of the following:

### TABLE 1

Echocardiographic and Doppler variables assessed in the whole restrictive cardiomyopathy (RCM) study population (\( n = 92 \)) and in each RCM form

| M-mode echocardiographic variables | Whole study population (\( n = 92 \)) | Myocardial form (\( n = 83 \)) | EMF (\( n = 9 \)) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| IVSd (mm)                         | 92 4.3 3.6-4.8                      | 83 4.4 3.7-4.9              | 9 3.9 3.6-4.4   |
| LVd (mm)                          | 92 16.2 14.0-17.8                   | 83 16.1 14.0-17.7           | 9 18.5 16.5-19.5|
| LVFWd (mm)                        | 92 4.4 3.7-4.8                      | 83 4.6 3.7-5.0              | 9 4.3 3.9-4.5   |
| IVSs (mm)                         | 92 7.0 5.8-7.6                      | 83 7.0 6.1-7.7              | 9 6.1 5.2-7.2   |
| LVs (mm)                          | 92 8.0 6.6-9.9                      | 83 7.8 6.6-9.2              | 9 11.2 7.6-11.9 |
| LVFWs (mm)                        | 92 7.6 6.4-8.2                      | 83 7.7 6.5-8.3              | 9 7.5 6.9-8.1   |
| SF%                               | 92 48 43-53                         | 83 49 44-55                 | 9 42 40-45      |

| Two-dimensional echocardiographic variables | Whole study population (\( n = 92 \)) | Myocardial form (\( n = 83 \)) | EMF (\( n = 9 \)) |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| SA-IVSd (mm)                                 | 79 4.1 3.5-4.7                      | 70 4.1 3.5-4.7              | 9 4.0 2.9-4.8   |
| LA : Ao ratio at end-diastole                | 91 1.7 1.4-1.9                      | 82 1.7 1.4-1.9              | 9 2.0 1.7-2.1   |
| RA (mm)                                      | 81 13.6 11.9-16.1                   | 73 13.8 12.0-16.1           | 8 12.0 11.0-14.0|

| Conventional Doppler variable | Whole study population (\( n = 92 \)) | Myocardial form (\( n = 83 \)) | EMF (\( n = 9 \)) |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Mitral E : A ratio            | 41 2.8 2.5-3.3                      | 35 2.8 2.6-3.3              | 6 2.6 2.3-3.5   |

Abbreviations: Ao, aorta; EMF, endomyocardial fibrosis; IVSd, end-diastolic interventricular septal thickness; IVSs, end-systolic interventricular septal thickness; LA, left atrium; LVd, end-diastolic left ventricular internal diameter; LVFWd, end-diastolic left ventricular free wall thickness; LVFWs, end-systolic left ventricular free wall thickness; LVs, end-systolic left ventricular internal diameter; RA, end-diastolic right atrial diameter; SA-IVSd, subaortic interventricular septal thickness measured at end-diastole; SF%, shortening fraction.
drugs that had been prescribed previously by referring veterinarians: furosemide (n = 31), benazepril (n = 16), spironolactone (n = 2), diltiazem (n = 3), aspirin (n = 4), clopidogrel (n = 2), and heparin (n = 2).

3.3 Conventional echocardiographic findings

All included cats (n = 92) had myocardial thicknesses as well as LV diameters and SF% within normal ranges, including 41 cats with an available E:A ratio. Echocardiographic and Doppler variables obtained in the study population are listed in Table 1. Two-dimensional and M-mode echocardiography determined that the most common RCM form was myocardial RCM (83/92, 90%), followed by the EMF RCM form characterized by a tubular lesion bridging the IVS and LVFW (9/92, 10%). As an inclusion criterion, all recruited cats showed at least LA enlargement, which was considered severe in 22 of 92 cases (24%). Additionally, biventricular enlargement was detected in 31 of the 81 cats (38%) for which the RA diameter was measured.

A spontaneous echo contrast (smoke) was found in 7 of the 92 included cats (8%), either in the left (n = 4) or in both (n = 3) atrial cavities. One of these cats had an ATE episode at the time of diagnosis.

Finally, as assessed by color-flow Doppler mode, mild mitral valve regurgitation, most commonly detected in early systole or seen just beyond the coaptation point of the mitral valve leaflets, associated with irregular and slightly thickened mitral valve leaflets, was detected in all cats with left apical systolic heart murmurs.

3.4 Cardiac events and survival

At the time of writing, the alive versus dead status was known for 72 of 92 cats (78%). Among these cats, 58 of 72 (81%) had died, 21 (36%) from noncardiac-related causes (cancer [n = 6], nephrologic and urologic [n = 3], gastrointestinal [n = 3], neurological [n = 1], endocrine [n = 2], infectious diseases [n = 3], and unknown [n = 3]), and 37 (64%) from cardiac-related causes with 16% (6/37) occurring within the first 24 hours after the initial RCM diagnosis (spontaneous death or euthanasia.
The univariate analysis showed that arrhythmia and LA enlargement, as assessed by the LA:Ao ratio, were associated with shorter time to CD. After adjustment for potential confounders, only the association between LA:Ao ratio and CD remained statistically significant. Of note, body weight and sex were not identified as confounders. Thus, independently of age, biatrial enlargement, and arrhythmias, the time to CD was significantly shorter per each 0.5-unit increase in LA:Ao ratio (HR, 2.5; 95% CI, 1.3-4.2; P < .001). In other words, the LA:Ao ratio was identified as a risk factor for CD, with a 0.5-unit increase in LA:Ao ratio associated with a >2-fold increased risk of CD. Similarly, time to CD was significantly shorter for cats with severe LA enlargement (LA:Ao ratio ≥ 2) than for others (LA:Ao ratio < 2; adjusted HR, 3.4; 95% CI, 1.3-8.7; P = .01).

No statistical association was found between CD and the other tested exposures (biatrial enlargement, arrhythmias, CHF, IRIS stage [IRIS stage ≥ 2 versus IRIS stage = 1], and ATE).

### 4 | DISCUSSION

Previous reports have provided relevant information on clinical, echocardiographic, and pathological features of RCM in cats.4–10,25,26 Survival times also have been reported in several studies.5–8,10 Our study provides additional information, particularly regarding the effects of epidemiological, clinical, and echocardiographic variables on prognosis in a large population of cats with RCM.

Most cats with RCM in our study were middle-aged animals (median age, 8.6 years), as reported by others (mean or median age from 6 to 10 years).5–8,10 Nevertheless, like RCM cats in previous studies,5–8,25 the age range was wide, thus highlighting the presence of RCM in very young (<1 year old) but also in very old (>15 years old) cats, similar to the most common cardiomyopathy of cats (HCM).27–29

### TABLE 2

| Exposures                                      | Exposed cats (n/N) | Crude associations | Adjusted associations |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
|                                               |                    | HR 95% CI          | P-value               |
|                                               |                    |                    |                       |
| LA : Ao ratio (per 0.5-unit increase)         | -                  | 2.7 1.4-3.4        | <.001                 |
| Left atrial enlargement                       | 15/69              | 2.5 1.1-5.7        | .02                   |
| (LA : Ao ≥ 2 versus LA : Ao < 2)              |                    | 3.4 1.3-8.7        | .01                   |
| Arrhythmia (yes versus no)                    | 14/69              | 2.46 1.1-5.9       | .02                   |
| Congestive heart failure (yes versus no)      | 50/69              | 1.6 0.6-4.0        | .31                   |
| Biatrial enlargement (yes versus no)          | 28/69              | 1.7 0.8-3.6        | .20                   |
| Aortic thromboembolism (yes versus no)        | 5/69               | 0.5 0.1-3.6        | .48                   |
| IRIS stage (IRIS stage ≥ 2 versus IRIS stage = 1) | 21/46              | 0.7 0.3-1.6        | .38                   |

Abbreviations: Ao, aorta; CI, confidence interval; LA, left atrium; RCM, restrictive cardiomyopathy.

Bold values indicate statistically significant P-value.

*Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards analyses were performed on the 69 cats with the myocardial RCM form and an available follow-up.

†Adjusted for age, LA:Ao ratio.

‡Adjusted for age and biatrial enlargement.

°Adjusted for age, LA:Ao ratio, and arrhythmia.

¶Adjusted for age, LA:Ao ratio and biatrial enlargement.

for acute CHF or ATE). Among the 37 cats with CD, 34 (92%) died or were euthanized because of progressive and drug refractory CHF as confirmed for all cats by thoracic radiographs (n = 33; 89%) or ATE (n = 1; 3%), and only 3 of 37 (8%) died suddenly. Among the 14 cats of the 19 RCM cats with infectious diseases and available follow-up, 13 cats died, including 5 (36%) for cardiac reasons (progressive and drug refractory CHF).

Fourteen of the 92 cats included in the study were excluded from survival analysis, either because of early death within the first 24 hours (n = 7, including 6 cardiac deaths and 1 noncardiac death, that is, euthanasia after polytraumatic shock) or because of unavailable follow-up (n = 7). Among the 78 remaining cats with available follow-up (9 cats with the EMF RCM form and 69 with the myocardial RCM form), 60 had mild to moderate LA enlargement (LA:Ao < 2). For all cats with mild LA enlargement (ie, LA:Ao ratio within the first quartile; n = 17), the actual LA diameter could have been underestimated to an unknown extent because of dehydration (n = 6), ongoing treatments (n = 17), or both prescribed by referring veterinarians, including furosemide (n = 13; mean [range] dosage: 3.4 mg/kg/day [2–6 mg/kg/day]), benazepril (n = 2), and spironolactone (n = 2). Among these 17 cats, 13 had past or current CHF related to RCM and 11 also had RA enlargement.

The median survival time of the 69 cats with the myocardial RCM form included in the survival analysis was 436 days (range, 2–3710 days) and 667 days (range, 2–3710 days) considering all-cause death and CD, respectively (Figures 3 and 4). Regarding survival, no significant difference was observed among cats with available E:A ratio and those without (P = .40).

Results of univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards analyses performed on the 69 cats with the myocardial RCM form are presented in Table 2.
No breed predisposition to RCM was found in our study. No sex predisposition was found either, as 49% of the included RCM cats were males. By comparison, in another report involving a similar population of cats with RCM, 59% of the RCM cats were males.10

Interestingly, in our study, RCM was associated with a concomitant systemic disease in >50% of the 92 included cats, of which 35% had infectious diseases (eg, feline immunodeficiency virus infection, pyometra, urinary infection, bronchitis, feline infectious peritonitis, pyothorax). Similarly, in another report, extracardiac inflammatory lesions (eg, interstitial pneumonia) were found in nearly 30% of cats with LV endocardial fibrosis.25 Additionally, Bartonella spp. recently has been identified as a possible cause or cofactor of the endomyocarditis–LV endocardial fibrosis complex in cats.30

Most cats (70%) included in our study had at least 1 clinical sign related to RCM at the time of diagnosis, with a clear predominance of CHF signs detected in 94% of these cats. These results are in accordance with those of other reports, showing that most cats with RCM show clinical signs at the time of diagnosis, predominantly related to CHF.5,8,10 This high proportion of cats with CHF may be related, at least in part, to the chosen diagnostic criteria of RCM: all RCM cats in our study had to present with LA enlargement (which was considered severe in 24% of cases and associated with RA enlargement in >33% of cases). In another report, hind limb paresis or paralysis associated with ATE was very common, occurring in >50% of the cases, respectively. Such auscultation abnormalities also have been described by other authors but in variable proportions.5–8,10 The likelihood of having included cats with pure mitral regurgitation in our study, instead of cats with RCM, is not high. According to our inclusion criteria, all included cats should have had an enlarged LA, which is not possible with mild mitral valve regurgitation.

As shown by other authors,5–8,10 our data confirm that RCM in cats has a major impact on the clinical course and survival of affected animals, although overall survival time is highly variable. More than 67% of the recruited cats had past or current CHF at the time of RCM diagnosis. Therefore, as expected, CD was very common despite medical treatment, occurring in >50% of RCM cats with an available follow-up, nearly 20% of them experiencing CD within the first 24 hours owing to acute CHF or ATE. Similarly, in another report,9 91% of RCM cats were presented with CHF at diagnosis, and their survival thereafter was limited, with 60% of CDs reported 0.1 to 52 months after diagnosis and a median survival time of only 3.4 months. Similarly, in another report, CD occurred in 83% of the 60 cats with an available follow-up,10 and in another series most of the cats with RCM died of cardiac-related causes (86%), compared with 44% of cats with HCM and only 20% of cats with secondary cardiomyopathy.7 Another study also reported a longer survival time for cats with unclassified cardiomyopathy (925 days) and HCM (492 days), as compared with those with RCM (132 days).6 However, the wide range of survival times reported in these retrospective studies, including our study, has originated from single-site referral centers, leading to potential referral bias. Further multicenter studies thus are needed to assess cardiovascular morbidity and survival in RCM cats from large cohorts recruited in many different countries around the world, as was done recently for cats with HCM.21

Lastly, in our study, LA enlargement was significantly associated with decreased survival time in cats with RCM, as also reported for cats with HCM.27 Similarly, in another report, in a population including 60 RCM cats with an available follow-up, in the univariate Cox analysis, LA enlargement (mild-to-moderate versus severe) as well as respiratory distress and pleural effusion showed statistically significant effects on survival (although in the multivariate Cox regression backward analysis, only respiratory distress showed a statistically significant effect on survival).10 In our study, independent of age, biatrial enlargement, and arrhythmias, the risk of CD was increased by 2.5-fold for a 0.5-unit LA: Ao ratio increase. In other words, from a practical point of view, a 0.5-unit difference in LA: Ao ratio between 2 RCM cats was associated with a >2-fold increased risk of CD (eg, when comparing cats with LA: Ao values of 2.0 and cats with LA: Ao values of 1.5). Additionally, severe LA enlargement was associated with a 3.4-fold increase in the risk of CD.

Our study had several limitations. As mentioned above, the RCM diagnosis was based on echocardiographic and Doppler criteria and was not confirmed by pathological examination of the heart. Moreover, owing to E and A wave fusion, Doppler evaluation of mitral valve inflow (which is 1 of the main imaging diagnostic tools used for RCM diagnosis) could be obtained for only 41 of the 92 cats included in the study. However, as an inclusion criterion (in order to avoid any misdiagnosis with other cardiomyopathies), the remaining 51 cats with fused E and A waves all showed LA or biatrial enlargement associated with normal 2D and M-mode LV variables (ie, myocardial thicknesses, LV diameters, and SF% within normal ranges). Doppler evaluation of mitral valve inflow however presents several limitations, which have been well described in human patients: only advanced RCM stages are characterized by restrictive patterns (indicative of markedly increased LV filling pressures) with, additionally, some overlap between RCM patients and healthy controls and some variations according to ongoing medical treatments.32–36 This explains the development of complementary diagnostic criteria such as pulmonary venous Doppler, tissue Doppler imaging, and more recently speckle tracking imaging criteria that were not used in our study, and this may represent another limitation.

Moreover, in our study, the chosen imaging criteria were very strict, thus limiting the number of RCM cats included (39 cats were not included due either to myocardial thicknesses greater than the chosen cutoff values or to the absence of mitral E and A waves values, concomitant hyperthyroidism, and absence of LA enlargement despite the presence of a large lesion bridging the IVS and LVFW consistent with the EMF RCM form). Other limitations are related to the retrospective nature of the study. Values for several clinical variables such as auscultation were unavailable for some animals. Regarding LA measurement, a recurring problem is left auricular enlargement that is
commonly disproportionate as compared to atrial size. Nevertheless, in our study, all images were reviewed by 2 board-certified specialists, who thoroughly determined that the left auricle was not taken into account in left atrial measurements (as shown in Figure 1). Additionally, such measurement errors would have been "nondifferential misclassification errors", leading to nondifferential misclassification bias. Therefore, without such errors, the association between the LA: Ao ratio and CD would have remained significant.

Conversely, the long survival of some cats observed in our study could raise the possibility of having included normal animals as mildly affected RCM cats, leading to a significant association between LA: Ao ratio and time to CD, all the more because "supernormal" filling patterns closely resembling restrictive filling already have been described in healthy young cats. However, this hypothesis seems unlikely because all cats with mild LA enlargement were not healthy: >75% of them had past or current CHF related to RCM, >50% also showed RA enlargement, and for all of these cats, the actual LA diameter could have been underestimated to an unknown extent owing to ongoing medical treatments at the time of diagnosis, dehydration, or both.

Lastly, in our study, each multivariate model was based on the assumption of the absence of interaction among exposures included in the model. Therefore, such potential interactions should be further investigated.

In conclusion, despite the above-noted limitations, some important conclusions can be drawn from our data. Although the overall survival time was highly variable, CD was common in RCM cats, representing 64% of all-cause deaths, with LA enlargement being significantly associated with decreased survival time. Because these results were obtained from a single-site retrospective study, further prospective multicenter studies involving large cohorts of cats from different countries are now needed to more accurately evaluate short- and long-term survival associated with RCM in cats.
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