Generation and measurement of sub-micrometer relativistic electron beams

Simona Borrelli¹, Gian Luca Orlandi¹, Martin Bednarzik¹, Christian David¹, Eugenio Ferrari², Vitaliy A. Guzenko¹, Cigdem Ozkan-Loch¹, Eduard Prat¹ & Rasmus Ischebeck¹

The generation of low-emittance electron beams has received significant interest in recent years. Driven by the requirements of X-ray-free electron lasers, the emittance of photocathode injectors has been reduced significantly, with corresponding increase in beam brightness. This has put increasingly stringent requirements on the instrumentation to measure the beam size. These requirements are even more stringent for novel accelerator developments, such as laser-driven accelerators based on dielectric structures or on a plasma. We present here the generation and measurement of a sub-micrometer electron beam, at a particle energy of 330 MeV, and a bunch charge below 1 pC. An electron-beam optics with a vertical β-function of a few millimeters has been setup. The beam is characterized through a wire scanner that employs a 1 μm wide metallic structure fabricated using the electron-beam lithography. The smallest (rms) transverse beam size presented here is <500 nm.
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Nowadays, scientists are striving for electron beams with extremely small transverse sizes and emittances, driven by the high demand of X-ray-free electron lasers (XFELs) and novel accelerators development. In linear colliders, one of the main design goals is to achieve a small beam size at the interaction point to maximize the luminosity. The design of the International Linear Collider, for example, uses a nanometer-sized beam at the interaction point\textsuperscript{12,13}. Similar requirements have to be met for advanced accelerator concepts, such as dielectric laser accelerators\textsuperscript{3–5} and plasma wakefield accelerators\textsuperscript{6–7}. These schemes utilize micron scale transverse accelerating structures, defined by either the plasma or laser wavelength, and have been shown to achieve high accelerating gradients. In a dielectric laser accelerator, the electron beam is accelerated through a dielectric microstructure that requires beam transverse dimensions in the sub-\(\mu\)m scale\textsuperscript{8}. In the field of plasma-based acceleration, reducing the transverse emittance down to the nanometer scale would open a path towards many different applications of this technology, such as colliders and light sources\textsuperscript{9}. Concerning XFELs the reduced beam emittance would allow for a compact design with lower beam energy and shorter undulator length\textsuperscript{10–12}. For future applications with ultralow emittances and beam sizes, special care may be required to avoid a deterioration of the beam quality due to space-charge effects: the so-called luminarity parameter\textsuperscript{13} should be kept smaller than one to ensure a negligible space-charge contribution.

The challenge of designing ultralow emittance accelerators brings the need for transverse beam size diagnostics with sub-micrometer resolution. However, the resolution limit of commonly used beam profile monitors hinders the possibility to measure sub-micrometer spot sizes\textsuperscript{14–16} (details are provided in ‘Discussion’).

We designed a novel wire scanner (WSC hereinafter) with resolution in the sub-micrometer range. In a WSC measurement, a thin metallic wire scans the beam transversally. The generated particle shower (loss signal) is detected downstream, enabling the reconstruction of the beam transverse profile (see ‘Methods’). The design of a WSC with improved resolution requires a reduction of the wire width. We developed a high-resolution profile monitor exploiting nanofabrication techniques to produce a 1 \(\mu\)m wide metallic stripe on a membrane by electron-beam lithography and electroplating. The proposed design ensures sub-micrometer resolution as well as enables the integration of a wire scanner on-a-chip. We present in this paper the generation and characterization of an electron beam with a 53 \(\mu\)m normalized emittance in the vertical plane, for a particle energy of 330 MeV and a bunch charge below 1 pC. This nanometer emittance has been attained at SwissFEL\textsuperscript{11,17}, the X-ray-free electron laser at the Paul Scherrer Institute. We achieved sub-micrometer spot sizes setting up an electron-beam optics to meet a 2.61 mm \(\beta\)-function in the vertical plane. The presented acceleration setup, beam optics and wire scanner on-a-chip, have enabled the generation and measurement of beams with rms (root mean square) sizes <500 nm.

## Results

### Generation of sub-micrometer relativistic electron beams.

Figure 1a shows the layout of the SwissFEL injector, where we generated and characterized the relativistic electron beams with rms transverse sizes below 500 nm.

In the SwissFEL injector, electron beams are emitted in an radio-frequency (RF) photoinjector gun\textsuperscript{18} and initially accelerated in an S-band RF booster linac (consisting of two sections: Booster 1 and 2) up to a maximum energy of 330 MeV\textsuperscript{19}. In the last injector section, an experimental chamber\textsuperscript{20} (Fig. 1b) located downstream of a bunch compressor, offers the possibility of scanning the novel wire scanner on-a-chip (Fig. 1c) vertically through the beam to measure the vertical beam profile.

The beam size was brought down to sub-micrometer scale by minimizing the beam emittance at the photoinjector and the vertical \(\beta\)-function at the interaction point with the wire scanner (see ‘Methods’). The emittance was minimized by suitably reducing the laser spot size on the cathode and by operating the gun at a very low charge (below 1 pC) where space-charge effects are negligible. The measured vertical normalized emittance was 53 nm, with estimated errors of \(\pm 10\%\).

Once the gun is optimized for the smallest emittance, the achievement of a sub-micrometer size hinges on the minimization of the vertical \(\beta\)-function. For this purpose, we calculated and implemented in the accelerator a specifically suited optics. The computed vertical \(\beta\)-function at the wire scanner location was 2.61 mm.

Using an electron energy of 330 MeV and the presented vertical normalized emittance and \(\beta\)-function, we were able to generate a beam with an expected vertical rms size of 460 ± 20 nm at the wire scanner location.

### Beam profile measurements with sub-micrometer resolution.

The goal of measuring sub-micrometer beam sizes can not be achieved using conventional profile monitors such as screens and wire scanners, since their resolution is typically limited to the micrometer scale (see ‘Discussion’). Among them wire scanners are highly promising devices in terms of spatial resolution, which mainly depends on the wire width. In the conventional design, this dimension is constrained to a few micrometers\textsuperscript{21} (see ‘Methods’). To overcome this limitation, we exploited the possibilities offered by nanofabrication techniques to develop a novel WSC on-a-chip with improved sub-micrometer resolution. The device we present here consists of a silicon chip with a silicon nitride membrane at the center. On the membrane, two gold stripes are produced by electron-beam lithography and electroplating. They are characterized by different widths, namely \(w_1 = 1 \mu\mbox{m}\) and \(w_2 = 2 \mu\mbox{m}\). Consequently, their rms geometrical resolutions are 0.3 and 0.6 \(\mu\mbox{m}\), respectively (see ‘Methods’). A vertical scan through the beam is performed for each stripe independently to measure the beam profile. For comparison, we also scan through the beam a conventional cylindrical 5 \(\mu\mbox{m}\) tungsten wire whose rms geometrical resolution is 1.25 \(\mu\mbox{m}\).

In Fig. 2, we present measurements of the beam vertical profile obtained scanning each of the three wires through the beam and acquiring the corresponding loss signal by a beam loss monitor (BLM). For each wire, we show three sequential beam profile acquisitions. We evaluated the rms size of each of the three profiles. In Table 1, we report their mean values \(\sigma_{\text{rms}}\) with their associated statistical errors. Only the \(\sigma_{\text{rms}}\) from the 1 \(\mu\mbox{m}\) gold stripe measurements is comparable with the expected beam dimension of 460 ± 20 nm. This behavior can be attributed to the resolution limits of the 5 \(\mu\mbox{m}\) tungsten wire and the 2 \(\mu\mbox{m}\) gold stripe. The measured traces are indeed the convolution of the beam profile with the wire cross-section. The cylindrical shape of the 5 \(\mu\mbox{m}\) wire is clearly visible in Fig. 2.

Nevertheless, the beam size can be extracted from the measured profiles fitting the data with the convolution of a function describing the wire shape and one describing the beam, which we assume to be Gaussian (details are provided in ‘Methods’). Figure 3 shows one of the three beam profiles measured by means of the conventional 5 \(\mu\mbox{m}\) W wire, the novel 2 and 1 \(\mu\mbox{m}\) gold stripes, and the corresponding fit curves. It also shows the reconstructed Gaussian profiles obtained by the described deconvolution. The profile measured by the 1 \(\mu\mbox{m}\) gold
stripe and the corresponding reconstructed Gaussian profile are comparable. This confirms the goodness of our initial assumption of a Gaussian beam shape.

The beam vertical sizes $d_y$ derived from the fit procedure are summarized in Table 1. Each value is the average of the fit sigma over the three sequential beam profile measurements, with the corresponding standard deviation. The measured sizes are in good agreement with each other and with the expected beam dimension of 460 ± 20 nm.

**Discussion**

We have demonstrated the generation of sub-micrometer relativistic electron beams at SwissFEL and their characterization via wire scanner profile measurements.

This is a particularly promising result in view of advanced accelerator concepts experiments. A notable example is the development of an all-on-a-chip dielectric laser accelerator, pursued by the Accelerator on a Chip International Program. A proof-of-principle experiment of high-gradient dielectric laser acceleration will be conducted at PSI using the SwissFEL high-brightness electron beam. Generating electron beams with sub-micrometer spot size will be mandatory in order to achieve full transmission through the accelerating structure.

The characterization of sub-micrometer beams requires the development of diagnostics with spatial resolution of the same order of magnitude. Several different techniques are commonly used to measure the beam transverse profile. Screens are the most used two-dimensional beam profile monitors. In the case of the SwissFEL YAG:Ce screens the spatial resolution is 8 μm with a smallest measured beam size of 15μm. A higher spatial resolution has been achieved at the UCLA Pegasus laboratory utilizing a 20 μm YAG:Ce crystal with an in-vacuum infinity-corrected microscope objective coupled to a CCD camera. This set-up allowed measurements of beam sizes down to 5 μm and transverse emittances of 5 μm x 10 nm. The spatial resolution of optical transition radiation screens (OTRs) is only limited by the camera sensor and optics. In the Accelerator Test Facility 2 at KEK, a vertical beam size of 750 nm has been measured by means of OTR monitors. Nevertheless, OTRs are limited by the emission of coherent optical transition radiation from compressed bunches, which prevents their usage in FELs. Laser wire scanners are one-dimensional profile monitors that require a laser beam focused to a diffraction-limited waist. The minimum achievable laser waist and therefore measurable beam size is limited by the laser wavelength. At the SLAC Final Focus Test Beam, scientists have measured an electron beam vertical size of 70 nm by means of a laser beam split and folded onto itself to produce an interference fringe pattern. The minimum achievable laser wavelength coupled with space constraints and costs represents the main limitation of such profile monitors.

In this work, we focused on wire scanners, since they are the most promising profile monitors in terms of spatial resolution. The latter is limited by the encoder readout, the wire diameter and vibrations. In SwissFEL, a wire-geometry dominated resolution of 1.25 μm was reached with a 5 μm tungsten wire. This was achieved thanks to a motion system provided of an encoder with resolution of 0.1 μm, and to measured wire-vibrations largely below the geometrical resolution. Therefore, a natural way to push the geometrical resolution of a WSC towards the sub-micrometer scale was by decreasing the wire diameter. However, the strength of a wire reduces with its diameter. Thus, the conventional manufacturing technique of stretching a wire onto a wire fork limits its width to a few micrometers. Nanofabrication has been used to develop wire scanners with a resolution on the micrometer scale. We were able to overcome this limitation and fabricate wires with a width down to 1 μm employing innovative nanofabrication techniques. In principle, a minimum width of 0.2 μm could be reached using the same technique. It should be noted though that reducing the stripe width implies a decrease of the signal-to-noise ratio. The reduction of the signal-to-noise ratio with a smaller stripe width could be compensated by increasing the stripe thickness.

It should also be considered that during a measurement, the electron beam transfers energy to the stripe, which increases its temperature. For the beam parameters in the present experiment, this is not a problem. The stripes were inspected with a scanning electronic microscope and no damage was observed.

**Fig. 1** Layout of the SwissFEL injector. (a) The electron beam is emitted in an radio-frequency (RF) photoinjector and then accelerated up to an energy of 330 MeV by an S-band RF booster linac (Booster 1 and 2). An experimental chamber is located downstream of a bunch compressor and offers the possibility to insert into the beamline the designed wire scanner on-a-chip. We show a picture of the experimental chamber (b) and the wire scanners installed on sample holders in the load-lock pre-chamber (c).
Fig. 2 Wire scanner beam profile measurements. Beam vertical profile measurements performed scanning through the beam a 5 μm tungsten wire (in blue), a 2 μm (in green), and a 1 μm (in purple) gold stripe. For each wire, we show three sequential and suitably normalized beam profile measurements. Each point in a profile is the mean value of the loss signals acquired in 1 s for a beam repetition rate of 10 Hz. We report with error bars the standard deviation of each point, which is always <0.02.

Fig. 3 Beam vertical size reconstructions. Beam vertical profile measurement performed scanning through the beam (a) the 5 μm W wire and the (b) 2 μm, and (c) 1 μm Au stripes. Each point in a profile is the average loss signal acquired in 1 s for a beam repetition rate of 10 Hz. We report with error bars the standard deviation, which is always two order of magnitude lower than the signal. We show in dotted black line the fitting function at the interaction point, while keeping the horizontal β-function at the WSC location.

Methods

Accelerator setup. Our goal was the generation of electron beams with rms vertical size below one micrometer at the interaction point with the wire scanner. The rms transverse size of the beam at position s along the accelerator is

\[
\sigma(s) = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_y(s)}{\gamma}} \beta(s),
\]

where \(\beta(s)\) is the β-function of the magnetic lattice at position s, \(\sigma_y\) is the normalized emittance and \(\gamma\) is the electron Lorentz factor. The beam size can be minimized by reducing the normalized emittance, increasing the beam energy, and reducing the β-function at the interaction point. The final energy \(E\) of the SwissFEL injector is 330 MeV, so we focused our efforts in minimizing the emittance and the β-function at the WSC location.

In RF photoinjectors, the emittance is determined by three different contributions: intrinsic or thermal emittance, space charge, and RF fields\(^{29}\). To minimize the space-charge contribution to the emittance increase, we operated at a very low charge, below 1 pC. The RF gun was set to its maximum accelerating gradient, while the gun phase was empirically adjusted to minimize the beam energy spread. In these conditions, the emittance was practically determined by the intrinsic or thermal contribution, which is proportional to the laser beam size on the cathode. We therefore reduced the laser iris to the smallest possible diameter, corresponding to an rms laser spot size on the cathode of about 80 μm. The electron-beam emittance was measured in both transverse planes downstream of the bunch compressor using the symmetric single-quadrupole-scan technique\(^{30}\). The measured normalized emittances are \(\epsilon_{x,x} = 42 \text{ nm}\) and \(\epsilon_{y,y} = 53 \text{ nm}\) in the horizontal and vertical planes, respectively, with estimated uncertainties of ~10%.

| Table 1 Beam vertical size and wire resolution |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Resolution (nm) | 5 μm W           | 2 μm Au         | 1 μm Au         |
| \(\sigma_{\text{rms}}\) (nm) | 1250            | 600             | 300             |
| \(\sigma_{\text{r}}\) (nm)   | 1967 ± 16       | 890 ± 2         | 449 ± 32        |

The first row shows the wire resolution. \(\sigma_{\text{rms}}\) is the average rms size of three measured beam profiles, with its standard deviation. \(\sigma_{\text{r}}\) is the mean value of the reconstructed beam size obtained by fitting convoluted Gaussian distributions to the measurements, with its standard deviation.

electron microscope after the beam tests, and no damage was found. Additionally, we modeled the temperature rise, and this model supports the finding: the maximum temperature for beam parameters as discussed in the paper is 458 K. For a higher bunch charge and beam energy, the temperature rise needs to be examined.
Sub-micrometer resolution wire scanners on-a-chip. Figure 5 shows the sketch of a sub-μm resolution WSC on-a-chip (a) and the fabricated devices mounted on sample holders (b). Each WSC on-a-chip consists of a 6 × 6 mm² Si chip having a 2 × 2 mm² silicon nitride (Si₃N₄) membrane at its center. On the membrane two metal stripes of different widths w₁ = 1 μm and w₂ = 2 μm, are electroplated. The separation between the two stripes is 0.67 mm. This distance has been chosen such that each stripe can be separately scanned through the beam. The stripe material selected is gold. This heavy metal provides a strong scattering cross-section for a good signal-to-noise ratio of the loss signal, as well as sufficient resistance against heating from the beam interaction.

The implemented fabrication procedure is an application of the nanofabrication technique described in ref.32. The prototype fabrication starts with the evaporation of a Cr–Au–Cr metal layer sequence (5–20–5 nm) on a 250 nm thick Si₃N₄ membrane. Then a 3.2 μm thick layer of poly-methyl-methacrylate (PMMA) resist is spin-coated (Fig. 6a). The two Cr layers ensure better adhesion between Au and the membrane as well as the resist33. In a second step, applying electron-beam lithography we wrote two parallel stripes into the resist, exposing it to a 100 keV electron beam at 5 nA beam current and a dose of 1900 μC cm⁻². The electron beam changes the chemical and physical properties of the PMMA resist, making it soluble in the developer solution. In particular, the exposed regions were developed by immersing the resist in a solution of isopropanol and water (7:3 by volume) and then rinsing the sample in de-ionized water and blow-drying it in a N₂ gas jet. The development time was 45 s, and as a result the exposed parts of the PMMA have been completely removed (Fig. 6b). After the development, we etched the sample by Cl₂/CO₂ plasma for 45 s, to remove the top Cr layer and reveal the underlying Au sheet. The developed resist trenches were subsequently filled with Au by electroplating in a cyanide-based plating bath at a plating current density of 2.5 mA cm⁻² for 14 min. After the electroplating, the PMMA resist was completely removed by ashing in an oxygen plasma for 15 min. In this way, only the Au stripes remained on top of the membrane (Fig. 6c). The manufactured samples were inspected and characterized with an scanning electron microscope (SEM). Figure 7 shows SEM images of a 2 and a 1 μm wide Au stripe on the Si₃N₄ membrane. The measured stripe thickness is 3 μm.

**Detection setup.** Figure 8a shows a schematic drawing of the experimental chamber in the SwissFEL injector, employed to perform the wire scanner measurements. The chamber is equipped with an in-vacuum manipulator operated by a 2-phase stepper motor via a feed-through. Four sample holders are mounted on the manipulator where four different samples can be settled. The stepper motor translates vertically the manipulator so that a sample can be inserted into the SwissFEL vacuum chamber along this direction and brought to the interaction point with the electron beam. By using a load-lock pre-chamber, the samples can be installed on the sample holders without breaking the vacuum employing a manually controllable manipulator34.

Table 2 Beam parameters

| E (MeV) | Q (pC) | β-functions | Emittances |
|--------|-------|-------------|------------|
|        |       | βₓ (m)     | εₓ (nm)   | εᵧ (nm)   |
| 300    | <1    | 0.273      | 42        | 53        |
| 600    | <1    | 0.273      | 20        | 20        |

First and second column show the electron energy and the beam charge. xₑ and yₑ are the measured normalized emittances in the vertical and horizontal plane. βₓ and βᵧ are the computed horizontal and vertical β-function at the interaction point.

Fig. 4 Evolution of the β-functions. a Horizontal and vertical β-function evolution between the bunch compressor and the experimental chamber location at the SwissFEL injector. The blue rectangles and the magenta dot in (b) indicate the location of the quadrupole magnets and the wire scanner, respectively. The magnets are set to obtain a vertical β-function of 2.61 mm at the wire scanner position.

rms vertical beam size is 460 ± 20 nm for the considered experimental parameters E, εₓ, and βₓ (see Table 2).

In the chamber, we installed the sub-μm resolution WSC on-a-chip as well as a reference WSC consisting of a 5 μm tungsten wire. The wire in this case is mounted using the conventional technique of stretching it onto the sample holder and fixing it.
The developed membrane trenches are filled with Au by electroplating. The PMMA resist is removed by ashing in an O₂ plasma.

**Fig. 6** Block diagram of the WSC on-a-chip fabrication process (not to scale). a Si₃N₄ membrane deposited on a Si chip. The membrane is coated with a Cr-Au-Cr layer. A 3.2 µm thick layer of poly-methyl-methacrylate (PMMA) resist is spin-coated on this Cr-Au-Cr layer. b The PMMA resist is exposed by electron-beam lithography to write in it two parallel stripes. Exposed resist regions are then developed by immersion in a mixture of isopropanol and water. c The developed membrane trenches are filled with Au by electroplating. The PMMA resist is removed by ashing in an O₂ plasma.

**Fig. 7** Scanning electron microscope pictures of nanofabricated stripes. The gold stripes are electroplated onto a Si₃N₄ membrane. a Tilted view of the 2 µm wide gold stripe edge. The viewing angle is 50°. The stripe thickness was measured from the SEM image to be 3 µm. b Tilted view of the 1 µm wide gold stripe.

During a WSC beam profile measurement, the wire is scanned through the beam along the vertical direction at a constant velocity. The wire position is acquired by an absolute optical encoder (0.1 µm resolution). When the electron beam intercepts the wire a shower of primary scattered electrons and secondary emitted e+ e− γ is produced. The shower intensity is proportional to the fraction of the beam intercepted by the wire and represents the signal of interest (loss signal). The loss signal is detected outside of the vacuum chamber by a beam loss monitor (BLM) installed 4.5 m downstream of the experimental chamber. SwissFEL BLMs consist of a fast scintillator fiber (Saint Gobain BCF-20) wrapped around the beam pipe. This scintillator fiber is connected via a plastic optical fiber to a photomultiplier tube, which has remotely adjustable gain in the range [5 × 10⁴, 4 × 10⁶]. The signal is finally sent to an ADC unit for digitization and processing. SwissFEL’s BLM acquisition and processing system enables resolving two bunches with a temporal separation on the nanosecond scale, being specifically designed for resolving two bunches emitted at the SwissFEL injector with 28 ns spacing between them. The BLM gain was set to 9 × 10⁴. This value guaranteed a suitable signal quality and amplification during the beam profile measurements with the three wires.

For every wire position along the beam, we measured the loss signal for one second at a beam repetition rate of 10 Hz. Every point in the beam profiles presented in Figs. 2, 3 is the average of these acquired values.

**Data processing.** Every infinitesimal element of the wire width induces on the beam, a loss that is proportional to the product of the wire thickness and the beam distribution. Under the assumption of a Gaussian beam shape, the measured loss signal is given by

$$f(y, \Delta, \alpha, \sigma, \gamma) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t(u) \left( \Delta + \alpha e^{-\frac{(u-u_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \right) du,$$

where $y$ is the wire position along the measurement direction and $t(\cdot)$ is a function describing the wire thickness shape, $\Delta, \alpha, \gamma$ are the Gaussian function amplitude and centroid, respectively; $\Delta$ is an offset and $\sigma$ is the Gaussian function standard deviation. The data were fitted through this function, with free parameters $\Delta, \alpha, \sigma, \gamma$.

The conventional 5 µm tungsten wire is cylindrical, hence to describe the wire shape we choose the function

$$t(u) = \begin{cases} 2 \sqrt{\left( \frac{D}{2}\right)^2 - u^2} & \text{for } u \in [-\frac{D}{2}, \frac{D}{2}] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

where $D = 5$ µm is the wire diameter.
effects of the convolution in the measured profile with the 1 μm stripe are indeed in good agreement, with an error below 9% (see Table 1).

Wire scanner geometrical resolution. The geometrical resolution \( r \) of a wire is defined by its rms size\( ^{14,16} \). The square rms size of a wire whose thickness is described by the function \( t(u) \) is

\[
\sigma_{\text{rms}}^2 = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t(u) (u - \langle u \rangle)^2 \, du}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t(u) \, du},
\]

(5)

where

\[
\langle u \rangle = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t(u) u \, du}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t(u) \, du}.
\]

(6)

A wire that is round in cross-section has an rms size equal to \( D / 4^{16} \), since its thickness is described by the function \( t(u) \) in Eq. (3). As a result, the 5 μm W wire geometrical resolution is equal to \( r = 1.23 \mu m \). For a rectangular stripe, we have \( r = w / \sqrt{12} \) (cf. Eq. (4)). Therefore, the geometrical resolutions of the 1 and 2 μm Au stripes are equal to 0.3 and 0.6 μm, respectively.
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