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Abstract
Background To investigate the association between personality factors and oral health-related quality of life.
Methods Data were taken from an online survey (representative for the general adult population in Germany in terms of region, sex and age group; n = 3,075) performed in late summer 2021. The well-established Oral Health Impact Profile (OHIP-G5) was used to measure oral health-related quality of life. Moreover, the established 10 Item Big Five Inventory (BFI-10) was used to quantify personality factors (in terms of agreeableness, conscientiousness, extraversion, neuroticism, and openness to experience). Sex, age, family status, educational level, smoking status, alcohol consumption, sports activities, presence of chronic diseases and self-rated health were adjusted for in multiple linear regression analysis.
Results Pearson correlations between oral health-related quality of life and personality factors ranged from r = -0.17 (conscientiousness) to r = 0.17 (neuroticism). Regressions revealed that low oral health-related quality of life is associated with higher neuroticism (β = 0.39, p < 0.001) and lower conscientiousness (β = -0.51, p < 0.001).
Conclusion This study revealed an association between personality factors (higher neuroticism and lower conscientiousness) and low oral health-related quality of life. Before dental treatment, it may be helpful to measure personality traits of patients in order to predict the expectations of patients, as well as their responses to intended treatments. This may support the identification of the most appropriate method of treatment.
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Background
Oral health-related quality of life refers to perceived oral symptoms, as well as the experienced functional and psychosocial impacts of oral disorders [1]. It is an important component of the overall health of individuals. A lower oral health-related quality of life can contribute to feelings of loneliness, social isolation or lower mental health [2, 3]. It is also associated with morbidity and mortality [4].

For example, prior research has shown that sociodemographic factors such as age and educational level are associated with oral health-related quality of life.

To date, various studies have examined the determinants of oral health-related quality of life [5, 6]. For example, previous research revealed that low oral health-related quality of life was associated with lower income and lower education [5, 6], as well as with age [7]. Moreover, an association between lifestyle factors and oral health-related quality of life has been shown [8]. Similarly, an association between health-related factors and oral health-related quality of life has been found [9, 10].
However, to date, there is limited knowledge regarding the association between personality factors and oral health-related quality of life [11–18]. Personality factors commonly refer to the “big five”: agreeableness (referring to altruism, and compliant behavior), conscientiousness (tendency to be goal-directed and to be careful), extraversion (tendency to be outgoing), neuroticism (referring to feelings of anxiety or depression, being impulsive) and openness to experience (tendency to be open to new ideas and experiences). For example, previous studies showed an association between higher neuroticism and lower oral health-related quality of life ([12, 15–18]), and also showed an association between higher conscientiousness and higher oral health-related quality of life [11]. However, most existing studies are limited in terms of their small sample sizes, illness-specific samples, or specific age groups (e.g., individuals in old age). Therefore, our goal was to assess the correlates of oral health-related quality of life in a general population sample. Individuals at risk of poor oral health-related quality of life may benefit from such knowledge. Moreover, assessing personality factors is important as it can predict patient behavior during treatment [17]. Thus, examining personality factors in association with oral health-related quality of life is potentially important for the therapeutic strategy of clinicians [19, 20]. Furthermore, personality traits may play a role in determining individual limits of oral health-related quality of life for specific treatments [17]. Personality factors can contribute to patients’ satisfaction with therapy [20]. In sum, the aim of this current study was to clarify the association between personality factors and oral health-related quality of life among the general adult population in Germany.

Sample
Data for our study were gathered from a nationally representative online survey of individuals aged 18 to 70. All of the participants were from Germany. Younger individuals (aged 17 years or lower) and older individuals (aged 71 years and above), as well as individuals not residing in Germany, were excluded. Around 14,000 individuals received an invitation to participate (response rate approximately 22%). The total sample size was n = 3,075. There were no missing values for the variables examined in this study. For reasons of data availability, we could not compare non-participants and participants (for example, with regard to age bracket or sex).

The survey took place from late August to early September 2021. The participants were recruited by a well-known market research firm (respondi). An online sample was used in such a way that it matched the gender, age, and federal state distributions in the German population [21]. A comparison between our sample and the target cohort in terms of gender, state and age group is given in Supplementary Table 1.

Outcome
To measure oral health-related quality of life, the Oral Health Impact Profile (OHIP-G5) was used [22]. It addresses the following four areas: Oral function, orofacial pain, appearance, and psychosocial impact. It has good to very good psychometric characteristics [22]. For example, individuals were asked (in each case: 0-never, 1-hardly ever, 2-occasionally, 3-fairly often, and 4-very often): Have you felt that there has been less flavor in your food because of problems with your teeth, mouth, dentures or jaws?

This tool runs from 0 to 20, with higher scores corresponding to a lower oral health-related quality of life. Cronbach’s alpha was 0.85 in our study.

Independent variables
Our key independent variables were related to personality characteristics. To measure personality (in terms of agreeableness, conscientiousness, extraversion, neuroticism and openness to experience), the 10-item Big Five Inventory (BFI-10) [23] was used. It is a well-established personality inventory that assesses the five major personality dimensions (with two items each; each dimension ranges from 1 to 5; a higher score reflects a more pronounced personality factor).

In regression analysis, we adjusted for some potential confounders (in accordance with prior research [2] and also based on theoretical considerations): sex, age, marital status (four categories: married, living together with spouse; married, not living together with spouse; divorced; widowed; single), educational level (upper secondary school; qualification for applied upper secondary school; polytechnic Secondary School; intermediate Secondary School; Lower Secondary School; currently in school training/education; without school-leaving qualification), labor force participation (full-time employed; retired; other), smoking behavior (never smoker; no, not anymore; yes, sometimes; yes, daily), alcohol consumption (daily; several times per week; once a week; 1–3 times per month; less often; never) and sports activities (regularly, more than 4 h a week; regularly, 2–4 h a week; regularly, 1–2 h a week; less than one hour a week; no sports activity), self-rated health (single item from 1=very bad to 5=very good) and chronic disease (no chronic disease; one or more chronic diseases). For example, prior research has shown that sociodemographic factors such as age and educational level are associated with oral health-related quality of life [7]. Moreover, an association between lifestyle factors and oral health-related quality of life has been shown [8]. Similarly, an association between
health-related factors and oral health-related quality of life has been found [9, 10].

Statistical analysis
Sample characteristics were calculated. Subsequently, Pearson correlations between personality factors and oral health-related quality of life were calculated. Lastly, multiple linear regressions were conducted to investigate the association between personality factors and oral health-related quality of life.

Mean variance inflation factor (VIF) was 1.36 (highest VIF was 1.99) indicating that multicollinearity was not a threat. Based on the Breusch-Pagan test we examined whether heteroscedasticity was present. Based on the results (Chi² = 427.17, p < 0.001), we can reject the null hypothesis of constant variance – implying the presence of heteroscedasticity in the residuals. For this reason, we calculated cluster-robust standard errors. Moreover, we used standardized normal probability plots to check the normality of residuals. The residuals have an approximately normal distribution following such plots.

The level of significance was set at p < 0.05. For performing statistical analyses, Stata 16.1 (Stata Corp., College Station, Texas) was used.

Results
Sample characteristics and bivariate analysis
Sample characteristics are shown in Table 1. Average age was 44.5 years (from 18 to 70 years; SD: 14.8 years) and 48.9% were male. Mean OHIP-G5 score equaled 2.2 (ranging from 0 to 20; SD: 3.3). Average agreeableness score was 3.1 (1 to 5; SD: 0.8), average conscientiousness score was 3.7 (1 to 5; SD: 0.8), average extraversion score was 3.1 (1 to 5; SD: 1.0), average neuroticism score was 2.7 (1 to 5; SD: 1.0) and average openness to experience score was 3.3 (1 to 5; SD: 1.0).

Pearson correlations between personality factors (1. agreeableness, 2. conscientiousness, 3. extraversion, 4. neuroticism, and 5. openness to experience) and oral health-related quality of life were as follows: (1) r = -0.05, p < 0.01; (2) r = -0.17, p < 0.001; (3) r = -0.05, p < 0.01; (4) r = 0.17, p < 0.001; (5) r = -0.01, p = 0.66.

Regression analysis
Results of multiple linear regressions are given in Table 2. R² was 0.11.

Adjusting for various covariates, linear regressions revealed that low oral health-related quality of life is associated with higher neuroticism (β = 0.39, p < 0.001) and lower conscientiousness (β = -0.51, p < 0.001). In contrast, low oral health-related quality of life was not associated with agreeableness (β = 0.06, p = 0.43), extraversion (β = 0.03, p = 0.55) and openness to experience (β = 0.03, p = 0.56).

Discussion
Drawing on data from a large, nationally representative sample, our objective was to investigate the association between personality factors and oral health-related quality of life. Regressions revealed that low oral health-related quality of life is associated with higher neuroticism and lower conscientiousness, but is not associated with the other three personality factors (i.e., agreeableness, extraversion and openness to experience). Using data from the general adult population, our current study greatly extends our current knowledge on the link between personality factors and oral health-related quality of life.

The results from regression analysis support previous findings regarding an association between higher neuroticism and lower oral health-related quality of life [12, 15–18]. Furthermore, the results from regression analysis confirm previous research regarding an association between higher conscientiousness and higher oral health-related quality of life [11]. More broadly, such findings are in accordance with previous studies showing an association between lower neuroticism/higher conscientiousness and favorable health outcomes such as lower frailty scores [24], better functional abilities [25], fewer depressive symptoms [26], better self-rated health [27] as well as favorable lifestyle factors such as not smoking, lower alcohol intake and non-obesity [28–30].

The association between higher conscientiousness and higher oral health-related quality of life may be explained by the fact that individuals scoring high in conscientiousness frequently use health-promotion activities [31] and have regular dental visits [32]. Consequently, they may report a higher oral health-related quality of life. Moreover, such a behavior (e.g., in terms of diligence, orderliness or self-discipline) is associated with both presence and high count of natural teeth [33]. A previous systematic review showed that tooth loss is associated with lower oral health-related quality of life [34]. It should be repeated that low conscientiousness is associated with poor lifestyle habits such as smoking [29] – which is also predictive of tooth loss [35].

A possible explanation for the association between higher neuroticism and lower oral health-related quality of life may be that individuals scoring high in neuroticism may affirm negative symptoms and may feel pain more intensely [18]. Such individuals are commonly very aware of their oral health and may very critically evaluate their oral health-related quality of life [18]. Furthermore, they often report dental anxiety [36]. Additionally, they are also often unsatisfied with dental treatment [20] compared to individuals scoring low in neuroticism. As mentioned above, it has been shown that higher neuroticism is associated with lower self-rated health (which is associated with oral health-related quality of life [37]) both
cross-sectionally and longitudinally [27]. Prior research has also shown that lower optimism (which is associated with higher neuroticism [38]) is associated with lower oral health-related quality of life [39] which may assist in explaining the findings of this study. Moreover, higher neuroticism is associated with lower well-being [40] and higher negative affect [41]. Lower well-being [42] and

| Variables                                                                 | Mean (SD) / N (%) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Oral health-related quality of life (OHIP-G5) (from 0 to 20, with higher scores indicating lower oral health-related quality of life) | 2.2 (3.3)        |
| Sex                                                                       |                  |
| Men                                                                       | 1502 (48.8%)     |
| Women                                                                     | 1570 (51.1%)     |
| Diverse                                                                   | 3 (0.1%)         |
| Age                                                                       | 44.5 (14.8)      |
| Marital status                                                            |                  |
| Single / Divorced / Widowed / Married, not living together with spouse     | 1313 (42.7%)     |
| Married, living together with spouse                                      | 1762 (57.3%)     |
| Highest educational degree                                               |                  |
| upper secondary school                                                    | 1326 (43.1%)     |
| qualification for applied upper secondary school                          | 328 (10.7%)      |
| polytechnic Secondary School                                              | 168 (5.5%)       |
| intermediate Secondary School                                             | 888 (28.9%)      |
| lower Secondary School                                                    | 347 (11.3%)      |
| currently in school training/education                                    | 9 (0.3%)         |
| without school-leaving qualification                                      | 9 (0.3%)         |
| Employment status                                                         |                  |
| Full-time employed                                                        | 1458 (47.4%)     |
| Retired                                                                   | 499 (16.2%)      |
| Other                                                                     | 1118 (36.4%)     |
| Smoking                                                                   |                  |
| Yes, daily                                                                | 716 (23.3%)      |
| Yes, sometimes                                                            | 251 (8.2%)       |
| No, not anymore                                                           | 843 (27.4%)      |
| Never smoker                                                              | 1265 (41.1%)     |
| Sports activities                                                         |                  |
| No sports activity                                                        | 834 (27.1%)      |
| Less than one hour a week                                                 | 629 (20.5%)      |
| Regularly, 1–2 h a week                                                   | 714 (23.2%)      |
| Regularly, 2–4 h a week                                                   | 473 (15.4%)      |
| Regularly, more than 4 h a week                                           | 425 (13.8%)      |
| Alcohol intake                                                            |                  |
| Daily                                                                     | 186 (6.0%)       |
| Several times per week                                                    | 564 (18.3%)      |
| Once a week                                                               | 495 (16.1%)      |
| 1–3 times per month                                                       | 532 (17.3%)      |
| Less often                                                                | 715 (23.3%)      |
| Never                                                                     | 583 (19.0%)      |
| Chronic diseases                                                          |                  |
| Absence of chronic diseases                                               | 1765 (57.4%)     |
| Presence of at least one chronic disease                                  | 1310 (42.6%)     |
| Self-rated health (1 = very bad to 5 = very good)                          | 3.6 (0.9)        |
| Agreeableness (from 1 to 5, with higher values indicating higher levels of agreeableness) | 3.1 (0.8)        |
| Conscientiousness (from 1 to 5, with higher values indicating higher levels of conscientiousness) | 3.7 (0.8)        |
| Extraversion (from 1 to 5, with higher values indicating higher levels of extraversion) | 3.1 (1.0)        |
| Neuroticism (from 1 to 5, with higher values indicating higher levels of neuroticism) | 2.7 (1.0)        |
| Openness to experience (from 1 to 5, with higher values indicating higher levels of openness to experience) | 3.3 (1.0)        |
higher negative affect [43] in turn are associated with lower oral health-related quality of life.

It should be noted that Pearson correlations between some personality characteristics (agreeableness, extraversion and openness) and oral health-related quality of life were small in terms of effect size. Moreover, the Pearson correlation between openness and oral health-related quality did not achieve statistical significance. While the sign for two associations ([1] between extraversion and oral health-related quality of life; [2] between openness and oral health-related quality of life) changed from the Pearson correlation to multiple linear regression, it should be emphasized that both associations did not achieve statistical significance in regression analysis.

Some strengths and limitations are worth acknowledging. We examined the association between personality and oral health-related quality of life based on data from a representative online survey. An established instrument was used to measure oral health-related quality of life. Moreover, the BFI-10 was used to quantify personality traits. Nevertheless, more sophisticated tools (regarding oral health-related quality of life and personality factors) are required to investigate this association in further detail. Moreover, this is a cross-sectional study with its known limitations (e.g., regarding causality). Furthermore, data were taken from an online sample. Thus, some selection bias (in terms of online affinity) may be present. Additionally, the response rate was quite low. Moreover, our study focused on individuals aged 18 to 70 years. Thus, future research regarding the association between personality factors and oral health-related quality of life among children/adolescents and oldest old individuals is needed.

Conclusions and future research
This study revealed an association between personality factors (higher neuroticism and lower conscientiousness) and low oral health-related quality of life. Assessing personality factors prior to dental treatment may be useful in predicting patients’ expectations of, and their responses to, available treatments. This can assist in determining the best treatment options. With regard to future research, longitudinal studies in particular are required to confirm the current findings.

Table 2 Association between personality factors and oral health-related quality of life. Results of multiple linear regressions

| Independent variables | Oral health-related quality of life |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| Agreeableness         | -0.06 (0.07)                       |
| Conscientiousness     | -0.51*** (0.08)                    |
| Extraversion          | 0.04 (0.06)                        |
| Neuroticism           | 0.39*** (0.07)                     |
| Openness to experience| 0.03 (0.06)                        |
| Potential confounders | ✓                                  |
| Observations          | 3,075                              |
| R²                    | 0.11                               |

Unstandardized beta-coefficients are displayed; cluster-robust standard errors in parentheses: *** p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01, * p < 0.05, + p < 0.10; Potential confounders include sex, age, family status, educational level, presence of currently smoking, alcohol consumption, sports activities, presence of chronic diseases and self-rated health.
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