Chronic infections are a serious health care problem, and bacterial persisters have been implicated in infection reoccurrence. Progress toward finding antipersister therapies has been slow, in part because of knowledge gaps regarding the physiology of these rare phenotypic variants. Evidence shows that growth status is important for survival, as nongrowing cultures can have 100-fold more persisters than growing populations. However, additional factors are clearly important, as persisters remain rare even in nongrowing populations. What features, beyond growth inhibition, allow persisters to survive antibiotic stress while the majority of their kin succumb to it remains an open question. To investigate this, we used stationary phase as a model nongrowing environment to study Escherichia coli persistence to ofloxacin. Given that the prevailing model of persistence attributes survival to transient dormancy and antibiotic target inactivity, we anticipated that persisters would suffer less damage than their dying kin. However, using genetic mutants, flow cytometry, fluorescence-activated cell sorting, and persistence assays, we discovered that nongrowing ofloxacin persisters experience antibiotic-induced damage that is indistinguishable from that of nonpersisters. Consistent with this, we found that these persisters required DNA repair for survival and that repair machinery was unnecessary until the posttreatment recovery period (after ofloxacin removal). These findings suggest that persistence to ofloxacin is not engendered solely by reduced antibiotic target corruption, demonstrate that what happens following antibiotic stress can be critical to the persistence phenotype, and support the notion that inhibition of DNA damage repair systems could be an effective strategy to eliminate fluoroquinolone persisters.
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**IMPORTANTENCE** In the absence of resistant mutants, infection reoccurrences can still occur because of persisters, rare bacterial cells that survive antibiotic treatments to repopulate infection sites. Persister survival is attributed to a transient state of dormancy in which a cell’s growth and metabolism are significantly reduced and many essential processes are thought to be inactive. Thus, dormancy is believed to protect persisters from antibiotic-induced damage and death. In this work, we show that in nongrowing populations, persisters to ofloxacin experience the same level of antibiotic-induced damage as cells that succumb to the treatment and that their survival critically depends on repair of this damage after the conclusion of treatment. These findings reveal that persistence to ofloxacin is not engendered solely by reduced antibiotic target corruption and highlight that processes following antibiotic stress are important to survival. We hypothesize that effective antipersister therapies may be developed on the basis of this knowledge.

**IMPORTANCE** In the absence of resistant mutants, infection reoccurrences can still occur because of persisters, rare bacterial cells that survive antibiotic treatments to repopulate infection sites. Persister survival is attributed to a transient state of dormancy in which a cell’s growth and metabolism are significantly reduced and many essential processes are thought to be inactive. Thus, dormancy is believed to protect persisters from antibiotic-induced damage and death. In this work, we show that in nongrowing populations, persisters to ofloxacin experience the same level of antibiotic-induced damage as cells that succumb to the treatment and that their survival critically depends on repair of this damage after the conclusion of treatment. These findings reveal that persistence to ofloxacin is not engendered solely by reduced antibiotic target corruption and highlight that processes following antibiotic stress are important to survival. We hypothesize that effective antipersister therapies may be developed on the basis of this knowledge.

**Citation** Völzing KG, Brynildsen MP. 2015. Stationary-phase persisters to ofloxacin sustain DNA damage and require repair systems only during recovery. mBio 6(5):e00731-15. doi:10.1128/mBio.00731-15.

**Address correspondence to Mark Brynildsen, mbrynild@princeton.edu.**

**Abstract** Chronic infections are a serious health care problem, and bacterial persisters have been implicated in infection reoccurrence. Progress toward finding antipersister therapies has been slow, in part because of knowledge gaps regarding the physiology of these rare phenotypic variants. Evidence shows that growth status is important for survival, as nongrowing cultures can have 100-fold more persisters than growing populations. However, additional factors are clearly important, as persisters remain rare even in nongrowing populations. What features, beyond growth inhibition, allow persisters to survive antibiotic stress while the majority of their kin succumb to it remains an open question. To investigate this, we used stationary phase as a model nongrowing environment to study Escherichia coli persistence to ofloxacin. Given that the prevailing model of persistence attributes survival to transient dormancy and antibiotic target inactivity, we anticipated that persisters would suffer less damage than their dying kin. However, using genetic mutants, flow cytometry, fluorescence-activated cell sorting, and persistence assays, we discovered that nongrowing ofloxacin persisters experience antibiotic-induced damage that is indistinguishable from that of nonpersisters. Consistent with this, we found that these persisters required DNA repair for survival and that repair machinery was unnecessary until the posttreatment recovery period (after ofloxacin removal). These findings suggest that persistence to ofloxacin is not engendered solely by reduced antibiotic target corruption, demonstrate that what happens following antibiotic stress can be critical to the persistence phenotype, and support the notion that inhibition of DNA damage repair systems could be an effective strategy to eliminate fluoroquinolone persisters.

**ABSTRACT** Chronic infections are a serious health care problem, and bacterial persisters have been implicated in infection reoccurrence. Progress toward finding antipersister therapies has been slow, in part because of knowledge gaps regarding the physiology of these rare phenotypic variants. Evidence shows that growth status is important for survival, as nongrowing cultures can have 100-fold more persisters than growing populations. However, additional factors are clearly important, as persisters remain rare even in nongrowing populations. What features, beyond growth inhibition, allow persisters to survive antibiotic stress while the majority of their kin succumb to it remains an open question. To investigate this, we used stationary phase as a model nongrowing environment to study Escherichia coli persistence to ofloxacin. Given that the prevailing model of persistence attributes survival to transient dormancy and antibiotic target inactivity, we anticipated that persisters would suffer less damage than their dying kin. However, using genetic mutants, flow cytometry, fluorescence-activated cell sorting, and persistence assays, we discovered that nongrowing ofloxacin persisters experience antibiotic-induced damage that is indistinguishable from that of nonpersisters. Consistent with this, we found that these persisters required DNA repair for survival and that repair machinery was unnecessary until the posttreatment recovery period (after ofloxacin removal). These findings suggest that persistence to ofloxacin is not engendered solely by reduced antibiotic target corruption, demonstrate that what happens following antibiotic stress can be critical to the persistence phenotype, and support the notion that inhibition of DNA damage repair systems could be an effective strategy to eliminate fluoroquinolone persisters.
phase cultures displayed a highly activated yoeB/yefM operon or high RpoS levels, nearly complete growth cessation, tolerance to ampicillin treatment, and the ability to resume growth upon antibiotic removal. However, only 1 of the 15 or 16 highly activated and nonlysing cells was shown to resume growth to form microcolonies (18), suggesting that the remaining >90% of the non-growing cells may have lacked the additional properties required for persistence. Shah and colleagues studied persistence as a function of rRNA expression in exponential-phase cultures, isolating cells with low green fluorescent protein (GFP) expression from the bulk, and observed an ~20-fold higher survival rate in this “dim” subpopulation. Although enriched, most of this subpopulation still succumbed to ofloxacin (19), indicating that the majority of the cells with low protein expression still lacked the characteristics necessary for persistence. Recently, we found that although low metabolic activity and a lack of cell division prior to antibiotic stress greatly increase the odds that a cell will be a persister, >99% of the dormant cells in an exponentially growing culture were still not persisters and bacteria that were growing rapidly prior to antibiotic treatment could also give rise to persisters (20). While these studies support a strong and important association between slow growth and persistence, they also highlight the fact that persistence is more complex than dormancy. The additional features, beyond growth inhibition, that allow persisters to weather antibiotic stress while the majority of their kin succumb to it remain ill defined.

Stationary-phase cultures have served as a valuable model of nongrowing populations, and useful knowledge about persistence has been obtained by examining these populations under antibiotic stress (21–23). Hansen and colleagues identified mutations, including several global regulators (e.g., fis, hns, and hnr), that altered tolerance to ofloxacin in stationary phase, indicating the complexity of persister formation (21). By screening a transposon library, Li and Zhang showed that inactivation of phoU, a regulator of phosphate metabolism, led to a general increase in susceptibility to numerous antibiotic and environmental stresses, particularly in stationary phase (22). Luidalepp and colleagues added to this knowledge base by demonstrating altered persister levels upon the modulation of central metabolism with ΔicdA, Δmdh, and ΔaacB and discovering that the effect of mutations on persistence could be highly dependent on the length of time in stationary phase (23). Although these studies contribute to the knowledge of persistence in stationary phase, the phenotypic qualities that allow survival remain unclear. Identifying the features that separate nongrowing persisters from nongrowing cells that die is important to understanding persister physiology and improving therapies that combat this detrimental phenotype.

In this study, we examined persistence to ofloxacin, a fluoroquinolone (FQ) antibiotic, in stationary-phase cultures. FQs are effective against nongrowing bacteria and possess broad-spectrum activity against topoisomerases of both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. Because of this ability, FQs are often used to treat serious infections (including HAIs) (24). The source of toxicity for this antibiotic class is FQ binding to topoisomerases, including DNA gyrase, which leads to DNA damage (25, 26). Specifically, ofloxacin binds DNA-bound DNA gyrase A and allows its endonuclease activity to proceed but inhibits its religation activity, thereby producing DNA breaks (27). Given the prevailing model of persistence, in which tolerance is achieved by transient inactivity of antibiotic primary targets, ofloxacin persisters should possess reduced DNA gyrase activity, limiting drug-induced corruption, DNA damage, and cell death. Evidence in support of this model for FQs has been obtained from exponentially growing cultures (28, 29). Dorr and colleagues discovered that specific DNA damage repair enzymes are required for persistence to ciprofloxacin in exponential-phase populations. However, they also determined that most persisters experienced modest ciprofloxacin-induced damage compared to that experienced by cells that died, which was evidenced by the use of a λ-derived DNA damage reporter (28). In a follow-up study, Dorr and colleagues identified the TisB toxin as the DNA damage-inducible element responsible for the majority of ciprofloxacin persistence (29). Collectively, these studies suggested that small amounts of ciprofloxacin-induced DNA damage in persisters stimulated the expression of TisB, which went on to disrupt the inner membrane, depress proton motive force and ATP synthesis, and reduce topoisomerase activity. Interestingly, Dorr and colleagues did not find TisB to be important for ciprofloxacin persistence in stationary phase (29), which suggested that the connection between FQ-induced DNA damage and persistence in exponential-phase populations may not apply to nongrowing populations.

To determine whether the extent of antibiotic-induced damage is defining for persistence to FQs in nongrowing populations, we analyzed the SOS response in ofloxacin-treated stationary-phase cultures of Escherichia coli at single-cell resolution. SOS induction occurs in response to DNA damage, and reporters of the SOS response have previously been used as indicators of DNA damage (28–30). Here, using four distinct transcriptional reporters, heterogeneous SOS induction was observed upon ofloxacin treatment and this heterogeneous activation was not found to result from heterogeneous protein synthesis between SOS-responding (R) and nonresponding (nR) subpopulations. Interestingly, using fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS), we found persisters to arise with comparable frequencies in the R and nR subpopulations. Further, upon removal of ofloxacin and introduction into fresh medium for 2 h, which stimulated an impressive induction of the SOS response in >90% of the population, persisters were found to be equally distributed throughout the spectrum of SOS induction. These data surprisingly suggested that the extent of FQ-induced DNA damage in E. coli within nongrowing populations is not a feature that distinguishes persisters from cells that succumb to antibiotic treatment. Upon further dissection of this phenomenon, we discovered that neither the level of SOS machinery before nor SOS induction during ofloxacin treatment impacts persister levels. Rather, we showed that the abundance of DNA repair machinery and the cell’s ability to repair ofloxacin-induced DNA damage during recovery, which is the time period following the conclusion of antibiotic treatment, are critical to persistence. Together, this evidence implies that persisters to ofloxacin in stationary-phase populations sustain DNA damage that is equivalent to the damage in cells that die or lose their culturability as a result of antibiotic treatment and that the events after treatment are equally, if not more, important to cell survival as what occurs before or during treatment.
RESULTS

In this study, we investigated the response of persisters in stationary-phase populations to ofloxacin. Use of nongrowing cultures allowed us to dissect the dependency of persistence on different parameters without the complicating factors of growth heterogeneity and low persister levels (20, 31, 32). On the basis of the prevailing model of persistence, which attributes cell survival to transient dormancy and antibiotic primary target inactivity (14), the expectation was that tolerance toward ofloxacin should result from reduced DNA gyrase activity and manifest from lower levels of ofloxacin-induced DNA damage than in cells that lose their culturability from treatment, either by death or entry into the viable-but-nonculturable (VBNC) state (32–34). To assess the accuracy of this model, we used transcriptional reporters of DNA damage, flow cytometry, and FACS to measure SOS induction in persisters relative to that in nonpersisters within a stationary-phase population. The SOS response is triggered by DNA damage, and it is induced by numerous DNA-damaging agents, including FQs (26, 35). During this process, RecA is involved in a variety of functions (36). One key function, the stimulation of LexA repressor self-cleavage and dissociation (37), leads to the induction of more than 50 genes, approximately 30 of which have been associated with the SOS response and DNA damage repair (38, 39). Thus, SOS induction has been used as an indicator of DNA damage in E. coli in numerous studies (28–30), and we used induction of SOS genes as a reporter for ofloxacin-induced DNA damage here.

**Ofloxacin induces a heterogeneous SOS response in stationary-phase E. coli.** With transcriptional fusions of the $P_{\text{recA}}$, $P_{\text{recN}}$, $P_{\text{sulA}}$, and $P_{\text{tisB}}$ promoters to $\text{gfp}$, we observed induction of the SOS response, indicating DNA damage, in ofloxacin-treated stationary-phase cultures of E. coli (Fig. 1A). We note that FQs do not (i) rapidly destroy bacterial cell walls to allow release of cytoplasmic contents or (ii) target the translational apparatus, which enabled the use of GFP as a reporter in ofloxacin-treated samples. Induction of GFP in response to ofloxacin was confirmed to be SOS dependent with the use of the $\Delta \text{recA}$ mutant and an uncleavable lexA mutant, lexA3 (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material) (40). In addition, the killing dynamics of all of the reporter strains under these treatment conditions were confirmed to be biphasic, which is a necessary condition for persister quantification (see Fig. S2 and S3 in the supplemental material). Interestingly, SOS induction was found to be heterogeneous, with only 20 to 30% of the total population exhibiting increased fluorescence from the SOS transcriptional reporters (Fig. 1A). Because we used induction of a fluorescent protein to indicate DNA damage, we considered the possibility that the heterogeneity observed arose from a differential ability of cells to synthesize new protein. To determine if this was the case, we employed a synthetic construct with mCherry under the control of an isopropyl-$\beta$-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG)-inducible promoter, the $P_{\text{recA}}$, $P_{\text{recN}}$, $P_{\text{sulA}}$, and $P_{\text{tisB}}$ reporters, and two-color flow cytometry. As depicted in Fig. 1B, mCherry induction produced a single, well-defined, high-fluorescence population, in both the R and nR subpopulations. Controls that confirm mCherry was produced in the R and nR subpopulations during ofloxacin treatment are provided in Fig. S4 in the supplemental material. These data showed that although only a subpopulation (20 to 30%) of the stationary-phase cells
responded to ofloxacin-induced DNA damage, the general protein synthesis capabilities of the R and nR subpopulations were equivalent during drug treatment. This indicated that stationary-phase *E. coli* exhibited differential induction of the SOS response upon ofloxacin treatment. Given the prevailing model of persister tolerance, we anticipated that the subpopulations that failed to induce the SOS response should be enriched with persisters because of a lesser extent of ofloxacin-induced DNA damage.

Persisters arise with equivalent frequency from SOS-responding and nonresponding subpopulations. To assess persister abundance in the subpopulations that did and did not elicit an ofloxacin-induced SOS response, we used FACS to segregate ofloxacin-treated cultures into four subpopulations on the basis of their induction of SOS promoters. Gates A and B were designed to capture the nR subpopulation, since ≥98% of the events from ofloxacin-treated Δ-recA mutant controls fell within these gates. The nR subpopulation was further separated such that quantile A contained a minimum number of events with the lowest GFP expression and quantile B contained the remainder. Gates C and D were designed to capture the R subpopulation, since ≤2% of the events from ofloxacin-treated Δ-recA mutant controls fell within these gates. The R subpopulation was further segregated such that quantile D included the top 10% of the cells with the highest GFP expression and quantile C contained the remainder of the R subpopulation. Surprisingly, and contrary to what was expected, we observed that persisters were equally distributed among all of the gates for each SOS reporter; in other words, survival was independent of SOS induction (Fig. 2). To confirm that these results were not due to imprecision in the sorting experiments, we reanalyzed the sorted fractions (A, B, C, and D) of each reporter (see Fig. S5 in the supplemental material). As anticipated, subpopulation A had the highest composition of nR cells upon reanalysis (~93% when averaged across all four reporters), whereas subpopulation D had the highest composition of R cells upon reanalysis (~78% when averaged across all four reporters). When this degree of imprecision was accounted for (see Text S1 in the supplemental material for details), the average frequencies of persisters in the nR and R subpopulations did not deviate by more than 13% from what was measured for the A and D subpopulations for each reporter (see Fig. S5 in the supplemental material), which confirmed that persisters arose from the nR and R subpopulations with approximately equal likelihood. These data suggested that persisters and nonpersisters experienced and responded to DNA damage equivalently. Further, these data implied that (i) the majority of stationary-phase *E. coli* cells, irrespective of whether they induced the SOS response, experienced a lethal level of DNA damage from ofloxacin and (ii) induction of the SOS response during ofloxacin treatment was inconsequential to persistence. The first of these implications is based on the fact that the majority of the cells in both the nR and R subpopulations died or lost their culturability during ofloxacin treatment, whereas the second is a direct corollary of equivalent persister frequencies in the nR and R subpopulations.

Persisters and nonpersisters dramatically induce the SOS response during recovery. To provide evidence beyond culturability measurements (numbers of CFU per milliliter) that the majority of stationary-phase *E. coli* cells experienced considerable DNA damage upon treatment with ofloxacin, we reasoned that most of the cells would exhibit filamentation and SOS induction, hallmarks of stress from DNA damage (41), upon the removal of ofloxacin and exposure to fresh nutrients (recovery). We note that filamentation was not observed while treating stationary-phase cells with ofloxacin because they were in a nongrowing state. To determine whether the above hypothesis was correct, ofloxacin was removed from stationary-phase cultures of P*recA* and P*recA*Δmutant controls. The P*recA*Δmutant controls fell within these gates. The R subpopulation was further segregated such that quantile D included the top 10% of the cells with the highest GFP expression and quantile C contained the remainder of the R subpopulation. Surprisingly, and contrary to what was expected, we observed that persisters were equally distributed among all of the gates for each SOS reporter; in other words, survival was independent of SOS induction (Fig. 2). To confirm that these results were not due to imprecision in the sorting experiments, we reanalyzed the sorted fractions (A, B, C, and D) of each reporter (see Fig. S5 in the supplemental material). As anticipated, subpopulation A had the highest composition of nR cells upon reanalysis (~93% when averaged across all four reporters), whereas subpopulation D had the highest composition of R cells upon reanalysis (~78% when averaged across all four reporters). When this degree of imprecision was accounted for (see Text S1 in the supplemental material for details), the average frequencies of persisters in the nR and R subpopulations did not deviate by more than 13% from what was measured for the A and D subpopulations for each reporter (see Fig. S5 in the supplemental material), which confirmed that persisters arose from the nR and R subpopulations with approximately equal likelihood. These data suggested that persisters and nonpersisters experienced and responded to DNA damage equivalently. Further, these data implied that (i) the majority of stationary-phase *E. coli* cells, irrespective of whether they induced the SOS response, experienced a lethal level of DNA damage from ofloxacin and (ii) induction of the SOS response during ofloxacin treatment was inconsequential to persistence. The first of these implications is based on the fact that the majority of the cells in both the nR and R subpopulations died or lost their culturability during ofloxacin treatment, whereas the second is a direct corollary of equivalent persister frequencies in the nR and R subpopulations.

### Fig. 2

Induction of the SOS response in stationary-phase persisters and nonpersisters is equivalent. (A) GFP induction from SOS transcriptional reporters with ofloxacin. Unshaded curves, Δ-recA mutant controls treated for 5 h with ofloxacin; shaded curves, samples treated for 5 h with ofloxacin. Events in gates C and D were considered to have responded to ofloxacin because ≤2% of the events from ofloxacin-treated Δ-recA mutant controls fell within those gates. Controls for each reporter are provided in Fig. S1 and S4 in the supplemental material. (B) Survival fractions of ofloxacin-treated cultures from gates designated in panel A. T, total-population control passed through the sorter (all gates); α and β, samples diluted to postsorting cell density before and after sorting that did not themselves travel through the sorter. Sorting did not significantly reduce sample culturability. This was determined by a lack of significant difference in survival between the total sorted population (T) and the presorting (α) or postsorting (β) controls (by Student’s t test, two tails with unequal variance) for any of the SOS reporters tested. Survival fractions were calculated relative to the cell density of sorted samples, 3 × 10⁸ cells/ml. Differences between the survival of P*recA* (fractons or controls) and the other three SOS reporters were not significant for the majority of the comparisons, as determined by Student’s t test (two tails with unequal variance). Biphasic killing was observed for all strains (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material), and reanalysis of FACS-segregated populations is provided in Fig. S5 in the supplemental material.
cells were then transferred to fresh liquid LB medium. After 2 h of recovery, >90% of the cells showed an impressive SOS response, with expression from \( P_{\text{recA}} \)-gfp reaching >100 times that achieved with the \( \Delta \text{recA} \) mutant control (Fig. 3A). In addition, microscopic inspection of these cultures demonstrated that most of the cells had undergone filamentation (Fig. 3D). These data provide further evidence that most of the stationary-phase \( E. \text{coli} \) cells experienced ofloxacin-induced DNA damage, regardless of the induction of the SOS response during the treatment period. Interestingly, during the 2-h recovery period, the number of CFU per milliliter was found to be stable (Fig. 3B), suggesting that persisters had yet to initiate any measurable replication. Therefore, we used FACS to segregate the culture after 2 h of recovery and determine whether persisters were enriched in any subpopulation (e.g., low-SOS-responding fraction, high-SOS-responding fraction) or equally distributed throughout the population. As depicted in Fig. 3C, persisters were found at almost equal frequencies along the entire distribution of SOS induction. This provided additional compelling evidence that ofloxacin-induced DNA damage withinpersisters and nonpersisters of stationary cultures was equivalent. To further explore this discovery, we reasoned that if persisters in nongrowing populations suffered extensive DNA damage, most of them should require DNA repair machinery for survival.

**DNA break repair machinery is required for ofloxacin persistence in stationary phase.** To test the above hypothesis, we examined the importance of different DNA repair machinery for persister survival by using genetic mutants. We measured persister abundance in strains lacking key DNA break repair enzymes (\( \Delta \text{recA} \), \( \Delta \text{recB} \), \( \Delta \text{recF} \), \( \Delta \text{recN} \), \( \Delta \text{dksA} \), and \( \Delta \text{ruvA} \) deletion mutants), and the TisB toxin (\( \Delta \text{tisAB} \) deletion mutant). RecA is central to recombination events and DNA break repair, as it catalyzes DNA strand exchange reactions in homologous recombination, recruitment of enzymes to the site of DNA damage, LexA cleavage,
FIG 4 DNA damage repair system mutants have reduced survival after ofloxacin treatment in stationary phase. Ofloxacin persisters decreased approximately 104-fold in ΔrecA mutants, 103-fold in ΔrecB mutants, and 50-fold in ΔruvA and ΔrecN mutants. ΔrecF, ΔtisAB, and ΔdksA resulted in persister levels comparable to those of the WT. Data are average values ± standard errors from at least three biological replicates.

and induction of the SOS response upon DNA damage (37). RecB interacts with RecA (42, 43), possesses DNA-dependent ATPase activity (44), and is essential for homologous recombination and DNA break repair by RecBCD (45). RecF stabilizes RecA—single-stranded DNA complexes (46). RecN is essential for the repair of two or more double-strand breaks (47), DksA is involved in RecN-DNA assembly (48), RuvA is a known DNA-binding protein involved in RecBCD-dependent DNA repair (49), and TisB is a membrane-associated peptide implicated in persister formation in exponentially growing populations (29).

Upon the treatment of stationary-phase cultures of the mutants with ofloxacin, we observed biphase killing and various levels of survival (Fig. 4). The ΔrecF, ΔtisAB, and ΔdksA mutants exhibited persistence similar to that of wild-type (WT) cells, whereas survival was significantly reduced (P values of <0.05) in the ΔrecN and ΔruvA mutants by approximately 50-fold, in the ΔrecB mutant by >1,000-fold, and in the ΔrecA mutant by >10,000-fold. These data provide evidence that persisters in stationary phase suffer ofloxacin-induced DNA damage that needs to be repaired to support survival. In consideration of the FACS data (Fig. 2), which suggested that SOS induction during ofloxacin treatment was inconsequential to persistence in stationary phase, the requirement of different DNA repair systems for persister survival raised several interesting questions. These included whether basal levels of DNA repair machinery are sufficient for persistence and, if not, when SOS induction, which activates the expression of RecN, RuvA, RecB, and RecA, is required for the phenotype.

Transcription and translation, including induction of the SOS response, during ofloxacin treatment are not required for persistence. To begin to answer the above questions, we sought to provide evidence, in addition to the FACS analysis, that demonstrated that induction of the SOS response during ofloxacin treatment was inconsequential to persistence in stationary-phase cultures. To do this, we treated PrecN reporters with 100 μg/ml rifampin (RIF) or 50 μg/ml chloramphenicol (CM) to stop RNA or protein synthesis prior to and during ofloxacin treatment. Both RIF and CM, at these concentrations, prevented GFP induction by ofloxacin, confirming that the treatments used were sufficient to prevent RNA and protein synthesis (Fig. 5A). When RIF- or CM-treated cultures were assayed for persistence to ofloxacin, survival fractions were indistinguishable from the samples treated with ofloxacin only (Fig. 5B). This illustrated that transcription and translation during ofloxacin treatment, including but not limited to induction of the SOS response, are not required for persistence to ofloxacin in nongrowing populations.

As a complement to the RIF and CM treatment assays, we measured persistence to ofloxacin in stationary-phase cultures of the lexA3 mutant, which is an uncleavable mutant that prevents SOS induction in response to DNA damage. Interestingly, the lexA3 mutant had a statistically significant ~1,000-fold reduction in persisters compared to the WT (Fig. 5C). An important difference between the RIF and CM experiments and those performed with lexA3 is that RIF and CM were removed after ofloxacin treatment, which relieved suppression of transcription and translation during the postantibiotic recovery period, whereas lexA3 continues to suppress SOS induction both during and after ofloxacin treatment. In fact, a parallel can be drawn for the DNA repair system mutants, which are devoid of the systems both during and after ofloxacin treatment.

Collectively, the data presented in Fig. 1 to 5 demonstrate that persisters induce the SOS response both during and after ofloxacin treatment and that DNA repair systems and SOS induction are required for the phenotype. Interestingly, SOS induction during treatment was found to be dispensable. These results inspired us to further examine when persisters in nongrowing populations require DNA repair systems and SOS induction to survive ofloxacin treatment.

Persisters require DNA damage repair systems and the SOS response only during recovery. To determine when stationary-phase ofloxacin persisters require DNA repair machinery, we enumerated persisters from samples with RecA expression induced prior to ofloxacin treatment, during treatment, and during recovery only. We found that while persisters were 10,000-fold less abundant in recA-deficient cells than in WT cells (Fig. 4), induction of RecA only during recovery was sufficient to restore persister levels to those with RecA expressed prior to and during treatment in stationary-phase cultures (Fig. 6A). RecA was used in these experiments because it was found to be the most important DNA repair system for persister survival from ofloxacin treatment (Fig. 4).

Because RecA is involved in both DNA damage repair and SOS induction, we tested persistence in cells harboring inducible lexA3 to more precisely examine the requirement of the SOS response. LexA3 is a dominant negative mutation (40), and therefore, in this system, lexA remained on the chromosome, which enabled normal SOS induction in the absence of lexA3 expression. We enumerated persisters from samples with lexA3 expression induced prior to ofloxacin treatment, during treatment, and during recovery only. With impaired SOS induction, which was achieved with lexA3 expression from 2 h before treatment through recovery, we observed a significant (P value of <0.05) 25-fold decrease in survival compared to that of the uninduced control. This held true with lexA3 induction during recovery only (Fig. 6B). Thus, induc-
tion of the SOS response only during recovery from ofloxacin treatment is critical for persister survival. To probe the generality of this phenomenon, we performed similar recA expression experiments with stationary-phase cultures treated with mitomycin C (MMC), a non-FQ DNA-damaging agent (50). As depicted in Fig. S7B in the supplemental material, induction of RecA only during recovery was sufficient to restore persister levels to those with RecA present prior to and during MMC treatment, which mirrors the results obtained with ofloxacin.

DISCUSSION

The prevailing model of persistence asserts that persisters survive antibiotic treatment by entering a transient state of dormancy, in which they sustain substantially less antibiotic-induced damage than cells that die (6, 8, 11, 13, 14). In addition to the studies already mentioned that analyzed persistence to β-lactams by time-lapse microscopy (10, 18), evidence for an analogous dependence between lack of primary target corruption and persistence to other drug classes has been obtained for growing cultures (28, 51). Dorr and colleagues used a λ-CI reporter, which is activated by massive DNA damage and strong SOS induction, to examine persistence to ciprofloxacin in exponential-phase populations and found that few persisters sustained extensive DNA damage relative to the bulk of growing cells that died (28). Using flow cytometry and confocal microscopy, Kim and colleagues observed that persisters to norfloxacin in growing populations are less likely to undergo filamentation in response to antibiotic treatment than are their dying kin, which also lent support to the prevailing model (51). In fact, even recent amendments to the collective understanding of persistence, which include the participation of multidrug efflux pumps (52, 53) and prodrug-activating enzymes (54), conform to a model where tolerance is achieved with a reduction in corruption of the antibiotic’s primary target. However, these lines of evidence were derived largely from growing populations, and it remained unknown, for antibiotics that retain activity against nongrowing bacteria, whether persisters from nongrowing populations sustained less antibiotic-induced damage than their kin that were also nongrowing but succumbed to the treatment. Therefore, we examined the responses of stationary-phase persisters and nonpersisters (dead cells and those that have lost their culturability [VBNC cells]) to ofloxacin. Ofloxacin binds DNA-bound DNA gyrase A and allows its endonuclease activity to continue while inhibiting its religation activity, thereby producing DNA breaks and stimulating the SOS response (27). By employing flow cytometry, FACS, and persister assays, we showed that the distribution of antibiotic-induced damage in persisters from nongrowing populations was indistinguishable from that in their non-persister kin (Fig. 2 and 3). Consistent with these results, we found that persister levels in stationary-phase cultures were significantly

FIG 5 Induction of transcription and translation during ofloxacin (OFL) treatment is not required for persister survival. (A) Treatment with CM (shaded blue curve) or RIF (shaded red curve) inhibits ofloxacin-induced translation or transcription, respectively, from P_recN-gfp. Unshaded gray curves, non-ofloxacin-treated control. (B) Treatment with CM or RIF does not alter ofloxacin persister levels or culturability; all ofloxacin-treated samples displayed biphasic killing. CM and RIF were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). (C) Ofloxacin persisters decreased 1,000-fold in the presence of LexA3 (gray curve) relative to the WT (black curve). Kill curve data are average values ± standard errors from at least three biological replicates.
reduced by several mutations to DNA damage repair systems, which included RecA, RecB, RuvA, and RecN (Fig. 4). These data, from nongrowing cultures, complement previous work that implied that DNA damage repair is important for persistence (28, 29) and more recent work that found DinG, UvrD, and RuvA to be important for ciprofloxacin persistence in stationary-phase cells cultured under different conditions (55). In fact, we postulate that the strong parallels between the mutations that reduce FQ persister levels in exponential- and stationary-phase cultures may exist because the majority of persisters in exponentially growing cultures arise from the small fraction of nongrowing bacteria within those populations (10, 18, 20, 32).

Interestingly, even though ofloxacin-induced DNA damage required repair in stationary-phase persisters (Fig. 4), induction of the SOS response during treatment did not impact persister survival (Fig. 2 and 5). However, lexA3 did reduce persister levels by 1,000-fold (Fig. 5C). This outcome directed us to probe when SOS induction and DNA damage repair are important for ofloxacin persister survival. Employing inducible recA and lexA3 expression systems, we illustrate that persistence to ofloxacin in stationary phase requires SOS induction and DNA damage repair machinery only during recovery (Fig. 6). While persister frequencies drop 10,000-fold relative to those of the WT in the absence of RecA, WT persister frequencies are robustly restored upon recA induction only during recovery on LB agar plates (Fig. 6A). Analogously, quenching the SOS response with LexA3 only during recovery reduced persistence to levels that were equivalent to those seen in cultures where inhibition of the SOS response began before ofloxacin treatment and continued throughout recovery (Fig. 6B). These data imply that both SOS induction and DNA damage repair by RecA during recovery participate in defining whether or not a nongrowing cell damaged by ofloxacin will survive as a persister.

In conclusion, the findings presented here complement the current understanding of the persister phenotype but suggest that an amendment of the prevailing dormancy model is in order. In particular, the results presented indicate that the extent of damage by FQs in nongrowing populations is not the key parameter that differentiates persisters and nonpersisters. Although the differentiating characteristic(s) was not explicitly identified in this study, on the basis of the work presented here, we postulate that it may be the location of DNA damage and/or the nature of that damage (e.g., single- versus double-strand DNA breaks [56]) that differentiates ofloxacin persisters from nonpersisters in nongrowing populations. Further, this work demonstrates that, in addition to the fact that stationary-phase ofloxacin persisters sustain DNA damage, they do not require repair machinery prior to or during treatment (Fig. 6). This differs from findings on exponentially growing cultures that imply that what happens during treatment is important for persister formation (28, 29). Alternatively, we have discovered that recovery, an element of antibiotic tolerance assays that is frequently overlooked, is pivotal for persister survival. Indeed, we found this to be true also for the DNA-damaging agent MMC (see Fig. S7B in the supplemental material), which suggests that the importance of recovery periods for survival is not a phenomenon specific to FQs but one that could be quite general. Building upon this knowledge, we postulate that focusing antibacterial discovery efforts on inhibition of damage repair systems (57)
will facilitate the identification of therapies to eliminate persisters from nongrowing populations and thereby improve the treatment outcomes for recalcitrant infections.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and plasmids. All of the bacterial strains used in this study (see Table S1A in the supplemental material) were derived from MG1655, and their construction is described in Text S1 in the supplemental material. The construction of all of the plasmids used in this study (see Table S1A) is also detailed in Text S1 in the supplemental material.

Chemicals, media, and culture conditions. All chemicals, unless otherwise noted, were purchased from Fisher Scientific or Sigma-Aldrich. IPTG was purchased from Gold Biotechnology (St. Louis, MO).

Liquid LB medium and LB agar plates were used for planktonic starter cultures and enumeration of CFU, respectively. M9 medium supplemented with 10 mM glucose as the sole carbon source (M9-glucose) was used for planktonic growth. Antibiotics were used at the following concentrations for selection, transcription, or translational inhibition, respectively: 50 μg/ml kanamycin (KAN), 100 μg/ml RIF, or 50 μg/ml CM. For persister assays, 5 μg/ml ofloxacin (16, 19, 20, 58) or 5 μg/ml MMC was used (59).

Unless otherwise noted, overnight cultures were prepared as follows. One milliliter of LB medium was inoculated from a 25% glycerol –80°C stock and grown for 4 h at 37°C with shaking (250 rpm), and then 20 μl of this starter culture was used to inoculate 2 ml of M9-glucose and subsequently grown at 37°C with shaking for another 16 h. To prepare cultures for ofloxacin or MMC treatment, 250-ml baffled flasks containing 25 ml of M9-glucose were inoculated with overnight cultures to an optical density at 600 nm (OD600) of 0.01. Cultures were then propagated for 20 h at 37°C, with shaking (250 rpm), and then 20 μl of this culture was used to inoculate 2 ml of M9-glucose and subse-

Persistence measurements. Persistence was measured by enumerating CFU after exposure to 5 μg/ml ofloxacin or MMC for up to 5 h, which was sufficient to be in the second phase of the biphasic killing curve. Five-hundred-microliter samples were removed pretreatment, and 20 μl of this starter culture was used to inoculate 2 ml of M9-glucose and subsequently grown at 37°C with shaking for another 16 h. To prepare cultures for ofloxacin or MMC treatment, 250-ml baffled flasks containing 25 ml of M9-glucose were inoculated with overnight cultures to an optical density at 600 nm (OD600) of 0.01. Cultures were then propagated for 20 h at 37°C, with shaking (250 rpm), and then 20 μl of this culture was used to inoculate 2 ml of M9-glucose and subse-

Inhibition of transcription and translation with RIF and CM. Transcription and translation were inhibited in cells harboring the P$_{T5lac}$gfp reporter plasmid by treatment with 100 μg/ml RIF and 50 μg/ml CM, respectively, for 30 min prior to and during ofloxacin treatment.

Flow cytometry of reporter strains. Transcriptional SOS response reporters (see Table S1A in the supplemental material) were tested by inducing DNA damage by treatment with 5 μg/ml ofloxacin, while general protein production ability was tested by inducing mCherry expression from MO001 with 1 mM IPTG.

Reporters were induced for 5 h at 37°C and 250 rpm. Reporter samples were diluted to 107 cells/ml in PBS plus 50 μg/ml CM (to halt protein synthesis) from which single E. coli cells were identified by forward scatter (FSC) and side scatter (SSC) on an LSRII (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). GFP and mCherry fluorescence values were acquired on a per-cell basis by interrogating each cell with a 488- or 561-nm laser and collecting fluorescence with a 525/25- or 610/10-nm band-pass filter (respectively). Data were acquired on a FACSDiVa (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) and analyzed by FlowJo software (TreeStar, Ashland, OR). All collected events were gated as either R or non-R by relative GFP expression, with the boundary set such that >99% of the untreated control events were nonresponders (Fig. 1). R and non-R subpopulations were then plotted by relative mCherry expression. The GFP and mCherry expression distributions of all reporter strains were measured by flow cytometry prior to any follow-up sorting experiments. All flow cytometry experiments were repeated at least three times, and representative curves are presented. MG1655, MG1655 plus pUA66 (promoterless), and uninduced MG1655 plus reporter (e.g., without ofloxacin, without IPTG) were run as negative controls, whereas MG1655 plus P$_{T5lac}$gfp and MO001, both induced with IPTG, were used as GFP-positive and mCherry-positive controls, respectively.

Additionally, the P$_{T5lac}$gfp SOS response reporter was treated with ofloxacin as described above and allowed to recover after ofloxacin treatment in fresh liquid LB medium (inoculated to an OD600 of 0.05 after ofloxacin removal by cell centrifugation and resuspension in fresh medium) for 2 h at 37°C and 250 rpm prior to analysis by flow cytometry and imaging. This liquid recovery was devised to resemble the nutrient environment experienced by cells when they were plated for CFU measurements, which were always conducted on LB agar, and thus, LB was used in this assay.

FACS. Transcriptional SOS response and general protein production ability reporters (see Table S1A in the supplemental material) were cultured as described above, and FACS analysis of ofloxacin-treated samples was performed (Fig. 2 and 3). Samples were prepared for sorting by diluting cells to 2.5 × 10^7 cells/ml in warm, spent culture medium sterilized with a 0.22-μm filter. For pre- and postsorting controls, cells were further diluted to 3 × 10^7/ml in PBS, which was the postsorting cell concentration, before (alpha sample) and after (beta sample) sorting experiments. Additionally, the total unsegregated population (T sample) was collected after passage through the sorter. These controls were included to confirm that neither passage through the cell sorter nor the time between the conclusion of ofloxacin treatment and the conclusion of FACS was altering persister levels.

Cells were sorted with a Vantage cell sorter (Sony-iCyt Mission Technology, Champaign, IL) with a 70-μm nozzle in PBS shear fluid at 30 lb/in². Single E. coli cells were identified and gated by using FSC and SSC, and four physiologically distinct subpopulations (A to D) were segregated on the basis of GFP fluorescence. Fluorescence was measured with a 488-nm laser and a 530/15-nm band-pass filter.

FACS gating for all reporters is detailed in Text S1 in the supplemental material. All FACS experiments were repeated at least three times, and representative curves are presented. All reported survival fractions are average values of three or more replicates, and error bars indicate standard errors.

Microscopy. Samples treated with ofloxacin and allowed to recover in fresh liquid LB medium as described above were imaged with a Nikon 90i epifluorescence microscope equipped with a 100× 1.4 numerical aperture objective (Nikon) and a Hamamatsu ORCA-R2 charge-coupled device camera. Five-hundred-microliter samples were collected upon inoculation (t = 0 h) and, after 2 h, pelleted (3 min, 15,000 rpm), resuspended in an equal volume of paraformaldehyde, and incubated at room temperature for 25 min. Cells were then pelleted again, resuspended in an equal volume of PBS, and repelleted to a 10-fold concentration in PBS. Samples were spotted onto 1% LB low-melting-point agar pads and imaged for phase-contrast and GFP fluorescence microscopy. NIS Elements software (Nikon) was used to automate image acquisition for phase-contrast and fluorescence channels.

Statistical analysis. The statistical significances of chemical treatments, genetic mutations, and inducible gene expression for persister for-
mation were determined with two-tailed Student t tests with unequal variances. These tests are detailed in Text S1 in the supplemental material.
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