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ABSTRACT:

Nowadays, it is highly important to keep road maps up-to-date since a great deal of services rely on them. However, to date, these labours have demanded a great deal of human attention due to their complexity. In the last decade, promising attempts have been carried out to fully-automatize the extraction of road networks from remote sensing imagery. Nevertheless, the vast majority of methods rely on aerial imagery (< 1 m), whose costs are not yet affordable for maintaining up-to-date maps. This work proves that it is also possible to accurately detect roads using high resolution satellite imagery (10 m). Accordingly, we have relied on Sentinel-2 imagery considering its freely availability and the higher revisit times compared to aerial imagery. It must be taken into account that the lack of spatial resolution of this sensor drastically increases the difficulty of the road detection task, since the feasibility to detect a road depends on its width, which can reach sub-pixel size in Sentinel-2 imagery. For that purpose, a new deep learning architecture which combines semantic segmentation and super-resolution techniques is proposed. As a result, fine-grained road maps at 2.5 m are generated from Sentinel-2 imagery.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, there has been a growing research interest in extracting valuable insights from remote sensing imagery. Occasionally assisted by semi-automatic tools, experts carefully observe aerial and satellite products to extract high-level features. Since, those labours demand a great deal of human attention, many attempts have been carried out to automatize them (Zhang et al., 2016).

Among the different objects that could be detected in remote sensing imagery, road networks have gained popularity in the last decade (Nachmany and Alemohammad, 2019). Ranging from urban planning and cadastral activities to route optimization, there are a plethora of services that rely on up-to-date road maps to properly work. However, nowadays it is practically impossible to keep road maps updated due to the great amount of resources demanded by those labours.

In recent years, deep learning has received a lot of attention in both scientific research and practical application. As a result, a great deal of automation attempts combining remote sensing imagery with deep learning models have been proposed (Zhu et al., 2017). Nevertheless, the feasibility to detect complex objects such as road networks, still largely depend on the spatial resolution of the imagery used. That is, the higher the spatial resolution is, the easier it will be to detect tricky objects.

The vast majority of works employ aerial imagery (< 1 m) to detect roads, whereas there are few who approach the problem using very high resolution imagery (< 10 m) (Hoesser and Kuenzer, 2020). However, increasing the spatial resolution result in higher costs, hindering its application on a daily basis.

In Europe, remote sensing data is becoming more accessible and affordable thanks to the Copernicus programme coordinated and managed by the European Commission in partnership with the European Space Agency (ESA). Moreover, information produced in the framework of Copernicus is being made available free-of-charge to the public. Under the Copernicus programme ESA is currently developing seven Sentinel missions to monitor different Earth aspects. In this work we focus on Sentinel-2 (S2), a multi-spectral sensor that provides high-resolution optical images composed of thirteen bands, principally in the visible/near infrared (VNIR) and short-wave infrared spectral range (SWIR). Among the thirteen bands, only the Red, Green, Blue and Near Infrared ones are provided at the highest resolution of 10 m. It must be noted that, the high revisit times provided by S2 allows one to monitor an specific zone up to 70 times per year in the equator.

Aiming at showing that using high resolution imagery (10 m) it is possible to accurately detect road networks, this paper proposes a novel deep learning architecture which fuses semantic segmentation and super-resolution techniques. As a result, not only associated costs could be reduced but also, the cartography update frequency could be increased due to the high revisit times of high resolution satellites.

The experimental study to validate the proposed approach consists of a set of 20 cities spread across the Spanish territory. The data-set has been handcrafted combining S2 imagery with OpenStreetMap (OSM) annotations. Taking into account that OSM is generated by volunteers, labeling errors may be present. However, OSM has been widely used to label remote sensing data-sets proving that it is possible to achieve a high performance using OSM noisy labels (Kaiser et al., 2017). Additionally, labelling errors in roads are fewer compared to other elements such as buildings. Our approach has been evaluated using the intersection over union (IoU) and F-score metrics, which are commonly used in semantic segmentation tasks.

The rest of this work is organized as follows. Firstly, previous works that uses deep learning techniques to detect roads are...
broadly recalled in Section 2. Thereafter, our proposal for detecting road networks using high resolution imagery is presented in Section 3. Afterwards, the experiments are carried out and discussed in Section 4. Finally, Section 5 concludes this work and present some future research.

2. RELATED WORKS

Nowadays, deep learning-based methods have become the standard for image processing and computer vision tasks (Goodfellow et al., 2016). Accordingly, to deal with images, Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) (Lecun et al., 1998) are often considered. Remote sensing labs, such as generating road maps, have taken advantage of that breakthrough. The road network extraction problem can be seen as a semantic segmentation task, since the aim is to assign a label (road or no-road) to every pixel in a image, thereby allowing the object to be extracted from the image. The use of deep learning techniques to extract road networks from aerial images dates back to 2010 when Mnih et al. (Mnih and Hinton, 2010) proposed to utilize restricted Boltzmann machines (RBMs) to address this problem. Moreover, to refine the output mappings, they incorporated semantic structures such as the road connectivity trough the inclusion of a post-processing network. Shortly thereafter, in 2012, Mnih and Hinton improved their methodology, making use of CNNs (Mnih and Hinton, 2012).

Promising road detection attempts started to emerge as research in CNNs progressed. Saito et al. (Saito et al., 2016) proposed a new technique to train CNNs efficiently for extracting roads and buildings simultaneously. Zhang et al. (Zhang et al., 2018) relayed on a modified version of the U-Net with residual connections to segment roads. Zhou et al. (Zhou et al., 2018) combined a LinkNet with a pretrained encoder and dilated convolutions to handle this task.

It must be noted that aforementioned works make use of aerial imagery (< 1 m). This is due to the complexity of detecting roads considering that tree occlusions, building shadows, and atmospheric and ground conditions can drastically increase its difficulty. However, the high cost and limited availability of aerial products hinders the possibility of applying proposed methodologies on a daily basis. High resolution sensors (10 m) are not a priori the proper ones for detecting complex elements due to their limited spatial resolution (Hoerser and Kuenzer, 2020). For this reason few works have assessed their capabilities for the road detection task (Oehmcke et al., 2019; Radoux et al., 2016).

However, high resolution satellite imagery must be taken into account given not only their low cost but also their high revisit times. Since information produced in the framework of Copernicus is available free-of-charge, in this work we make use of S2 imagery. Moreover, S2 provides an average revisit interval of 4.5 days at the equator enabling a great deal of monitoring activities.

3. TOWARDS FINE-GRAINED ROAD MAPS EXTRACTION USING SENTINEL-2 IMAGERY

Considering the elevated costs of using aerial imagery (< 1 m) we have opted for using high resolution satellite imagery (10 m) to detect road networks. A benefit of employing high resolution imagery is that the revisit times are higher, making it possible to keep road maps updated on a regular basis. However, the limited resolution of high resolution imagery drastically increases the complexity of this task.

Traditional fully convolutional neural networks (FCNs) (Long et al., 2015) output segmentation masks maintaining the resolution given at the input. That is, if we use a S2 image as input (10 m) the resulting road segmentation mask will have a spatial resolution of 10 m. However, it must be taken into account that in a pixel may coexist both (road and no-road) classes since roads can have sub-pixel width. This work aims at showing that it is possible to generate semantic segmentation masks with greater spatial resolution than the input. Moreover, enhancing the output resolution allows one to detect roads whose width, depending on the sensor, could reach sub-pixel size. For that purpose, a novel deep learning architecture has been developed combining semantic segmentation and super-resolution techniques.

The most widely used architecture in semantic segmentation tasks is the U-Net fully convolutional network (Ronneberger et al., 2015). Despite, the U-net was originally invented and first used for biomedical image segmentation, it has been adapted for a wide variety of segmentation problems. The model proposed in this work is based on the U-Net as it can be seen in Figure 1.

![U-Net architecture with ResNet-34 as encoder and a bicubic interpolation layer prior to the feature extractor.](image)

Figure 1. U-Net architecture with ResNet-34 as encoder and a bicubic interpolation layer prior to the feature extractor.

The model consist of a contracting path to capture context information, and a symmetric expanding path that enables precise localization. In classical fully convolutional architectures coarse segmentation masks are generated due to the loss of location information in the encoding path. The lack of fine detail is alleviating by the U-Net model, whose main contribution is to add skip connections. That is to combine high resolution features of the contracting path with the up-sampled output in the expanding path, avoiding losing pattern information.

In order to increase the resolution at the output of the network, deconvolutional layers are often used. However, when appending deconvolutional layers to the U-Net network, they cannot take advantage of the skip connections. For that reason, in this work, two main modifications have been made to the vanilla U-Net architecture:

- An up-scaling layer has been included before the encoder. As a result, the resolution at the input is quadrupled using the bicubic classical interpolation algorithm. This new outlook allows the network to provide an enhanced version of the input, making the most of the U-Net’s skip connections and thus avoiding the loss of pattern information.
However, it must be noted that the increase in the input resolution has a negative effect on the computational cost since the number of parameters is also quadrupled. In the experiments we will compare this alternative with the traditional approach of adding deconvolutional layers at the output.

- The base encoder has been replaced with a ResNet-34 (He et al., 2016). Given the increase in the number of parameters due to the up-scaling layer, residual connections are included aiming at reducing the computational cost. Moreover, features extracted by residuals models are more precise than the ones extracted by the vanilla U-Net encoder, because residual connections exploit all the information available along the down-sampling process efficiently.

## 4. EXPERIMENTAL STUDY

### 4.1 Data-set

Since open road network extraction data-sets consist of hand-labeled aerial imagery (Demir et al., 2018, Cheng et al., 2017, Bastani et al., 2018), we have opted for generating our own data-set. Considering the free availability of their products as well as the high revisit times provided, S2 was chosen as high resolution sensor. Additionally, we have relied on OpenStreet-Map (OSM) to annotate the imagery on account of the quality of the road labels.

Overall, the pipeline for a generic area of interest is depicted in Figure 2. Firstly, S2 products are queried and downloaded from the Sentinels Scientific Data Hub (SciHub). Despite 13 bands are offered by S2, we will only make use of the Red, Green, Blue and Near Infrared bands, since they are the only ones provided at the greatest resolution of 10 m. Additionally, the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) is computed and concatenated to the other bands.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 2.** Data-set generation pipeline for a generic area of interest.

Regarding OSM, as a great deal of layers are provided it needs to be reclassified. That is, several road elements outlined in Table 1 have been aggregated to build up the label road. Since OSM only provides coordinates of road center-lines, they were buffered to match S2 spatial resolution (10 m) before rasterizing (transforming to pixel coordinates). However, other approaches could have been considered such as determining an average road width for each category (Kaiser et al., 2017). Notice that when rasterizing vector data from OSM one can select the desired output resolution. In this case, we have both rasterized to 10 m and 2.5 m to compare the vanilla U-Net with 1x output with the modified versions with 4x output.

| Code | Description                           |
|------|--------------------------------------|
| 5111 | motorway                             |
| 5112 | trunk                                |
| 5113 | primary                              |
| 5114 | secondary                            |
| 5115 | tertiary                             |
| 5121 | unclassified                         |
| 5122 | residential                          |
| 5123 | living_street                        |
| 5124 | pedestrian                           |
| 5131 | motorway/link                        |
| 5132 | trunk_link                           |
| 5133 | primary/link                         |
| 5134 | secondary/link                       |

Table 1. OSM classes from the Road layer that have been aggregated to build up the label road.

For this study, we have selected 20 cities spread across the Spanish territory, which have been divided in two sets according to the machine learning standard guidelines (Ripley, 1996). That is, each whole city is assigned to either the training set or test set in order to prevent data leakage, as it is indicated in Table 2. Figure 3 shows how the data-set is geographically distributed.

| City          | Dimensions | Set  |
|---------------|------------|------|
| A coruña      | 704 × 576  | Training |
| Alicante      | 1216 × 1472| Training |
| Barakaldo     | 1088 × 986 | Training |
| Barcelona N.  | 1152 × 1728| Test  |
| Barcelona S.  | 896 × 1088 | Test  |
| Castellón     | 1024 × 1024| Training |
| Córdoba       | 1088 × 1792| Training |
| Girona        | 1536 × 1216| Training |
| León          | 1216 × 768 | Training |
| Logroño       | 768 × 960  | Training |
| Madrid N.     | 1920 × 2688| Training |
| Rivas-vacía   | 1088 × 1088| Training |
| Salamanca     | 832 × 960  | Training |
| San Sebastián| 512 × 768  | Test  |
| Santander     | 1152 × 1216| Training |
| Sevilla       | 2176 × 2368| Training |
| Palma         | 1024 × 1344| Test  |
| Pamplona      | 1600 × 1536| Test  |
| Vitoria       | 576 × 986  | Training |
| Zaragoza      | 2304 × 2752| Training |

Table 2. Summary of the data-set. Overall, training set consists of 15 zones (75%) whereas test set consists of 5 zones (25%).

It must be noted that open databases such as OSM usually contains labeling errors. Despite there is an evident lack of precision in rural areas annotations, OSM has been widely used to automatically annotate remote sensing data-sets. Moreover, deep learning-based models have been proved capable of learning from noisy data (Mnih and Hinton, 2012). Although in the future we plan to find ways for improving the generated data, in this work we rely on OSM annotations without performing any...
4.2 Experimental framework

Keras (Chollet et al., 2015) has been chosen as deep learning framework to implement the architectures proposed in this work. All the models have been trained for 100K iterations taking batches of 24 samples of 128 × 128 S2 pixels. Moreover, samples have been randomly taken considering only samples containing at least 5% of road pixels. Adam (Kingma and Ba, 2015) was chosen as optimizer using a learning rate of 1e-3.

Regarding the loss function, we use a combined loss function (Equation (1)) of Binary Cross-entropy (Ma Yi-de et al., 2004) and Dice Loss (Sudre et al., 2017). Briefly, the Dice loss controls the trade-off between false-negatives and false-positives whereas, the binary cross-entropy is used for curve smoothing.

\[
L(y, \hat{y}) = 0.5L_{BCE}(y, \hat{y}) + 0.5L_{DICE}(y, \hat{y}) \\
L_{BCE}(y, \hat{y}) = -(y \log(\hat{y}) + (1 - y) \log(1 - \hat{y})) \\
L_{DICE}(y, \hat{y}) = \frac{2\hat{y} y + 1}{y + \hat{y} + 1}
\]

The different approaches have been evaluated using both the intersection over union (IoU) and F-score metrics. Closely related to the Dice coefficient, the IoU quantifies the percent overlap between the target mask and the predicted output. Both IoU and F-score (F1) are computed as indicated in Equation (2).

\[
IoU(y, \hat{y}) = \frac{y \cap \hat{y}}{y \cup \hat{y}} \\
F1(y, \hat{y}) = \frac{2\hat{y} y}{y + \hat{y}}
\]

Like other works (Mnih and Hinton, 2010, Zhang et al., 2018) we have performed precision relaxation aiming at reducing the impact of the low spatial resolution on the metrics. That is, we have discarded doubtful pixels located on the edges of the roads.

The experiments have been run on a computing node with an Intel Xeon E5-2609 v4 @ 1.70 GHz processor with 64 GB of RAM memory and 4x NVIDIA RTX2080Ti GPUs with 11 GB of RAM.

4.3 Results and discussion

As we have already mentioned in Section 3, the most widely used technique to increase the resolution at the output of a fully convolutional network is to append deconvolutional layers. Accordingly, the architecture proposed in this work has been compared not only to the vanilla U-Net but also to an evolved version with two extra deconvolutional layers. In Table 3, we show the result in terms of IoU and F-score for all the models in the road detection task. Despite metrics are computed for each city from the test set individually, the overall performance is also included. Moreover, the best results achieved are presented in boldface.

In summary, the vanilla U-Net achieves an average IoU of 0.36 and F-score of 0.53. However, when the resolution at the output is enhanced, both metrics increase. Accordingly, the IoU gets almost doubled (0.61 and 0.68 for the Deconv and Bicubic models respectively) and the F-score also increases (0.75 and 0.81, respectively). This is mainly due to the coexistence of multiple classes in a single pixel derived from the limited spatial resolution. Moreover, as it can be observed, the results are consistent across all the cities in the test set.

Table 3 also reveals that up-scaling the input prior to the feature extractor results in a better performance compared to performing the up-scaling at the output trough the use of deconvolutional layers (0.68 vs. 0.61 in terms of IoU and 0.81 vs. 0.75 in terms of F-score). Therefore, increasing the resolution at the input makes it possible for the U-Net network to learn not only how to semantically segmentate the images but also how to super-resolve them internally. Moreover, since our approach keeps the vanilla U-Net architecture intact, shared connections between the encoder and the decoder can refine the resulting segmentation masks. As a result, pattern information is conserved diminishing the lack of fine detail in the predictions.

Finally, to assess the generalization capability of the proposed architecture to other areas different from the training and testing ones, road networks have been extracted for the main cities in the Iberian peninsula. Accordingly, the complete map is available at our web page.1

5. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper a new deep learning architecture to detect road networks in S2 imagery has been presented. Moreover, our model is capable of detecting roads regardless of their width. Results, demonstrates that increasing the resolution at the input of the feature extractor using a classical interpolation algorithm such as bicubic can boost both the IoU and F-score metrics.

Nevertheless, there are still several research lines on this topic that should be addressed in the future. The data-set could be

1 https://tracasa.es/sin-categoria-en/tracasa-succeeds-in-identifying-roads-and-highways-using-images-from-sentinel-satellites-super-resolved-with-artificial-intelligence/
Table 3. Results obtained in test set with metric relaxation.

| City      | U-Net x1 | U-Net + Deconv x4 | U-Net + Bicubic x4 (ours) |
|-----------|----------|-------------------|---------------------------|
|           | IoU      | F-score           | IoU                        | F-score                   |
| Barcelona N. | 0.2957   | 0.4564            | 0.6250                     | 0.7692                    |
| Barcelona S.  | 0.3409   | 0.5085            | 0.5231                     | 0.6869                    |
| San Sebastián | 0.4044   | 0.5759            | 0.6199                     | 0.7654                    |
| Palma     | 0.3956   | 0.5670            | 0.6430                     | 0.7827                    |
| Pamplona  | 0.3921   | 0.5633            | 0.6400                     | 0.7804                    |
| Overall   | 0.3657   | 0.5345            | 0.6102                     | 0.7569                    |

Figure 4. Visual comparison between the vanilla U-Net (col. 3), a modified version with two deconvolutional layers (col. 4), and the proposed architecture which applies a bicubic interpolation prior to the feature extractor (col. 5). The relaxed IoU and F-score metrics have been also included (IoU / F-Score).
improved including more images for training and testing. Additionally, the location of these images should cover different parts of the world to make the network more robust. Moreover, different images could be considered for a single zone taking advantage of the higher revisit times of S2.

With respect to the deep learning architecture, we would like to compare the proposed model with other state-of-the-art approaches such as HRNet. Likewise, it would be interesting to try out other feature extractors different from ResNet-34.
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