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Abstract.

Steenrod operations have been defined by Voevodsky in motivic cohomology in order to show the Milnor and Bloch-Kato conjectures. These operations have also been constructed by Brosnan for Chow rings. The purpose of this paper is to provide a setting for the construction of the Steenrod operations in algebraic geometry, for generalized cohomology theories whose formal group law has order two. We adapt the methods used by Bisson-Joyal in studying Steenrod and Dyer-Lashof operations in unoriented cobordism and mod 2 cohomology.

Introduction.

The mod 2 cohomology ring $H^*(X; Z/2)$ of any space $X$ is naturally endowed with operations; see Steenrod [1962]. The Steenrod square operations satisfy natural compatibility relations such as the Adem relations which are complicated to state. Bullet and McDonald [1982] (and Bisson [1977]) noticed that it is possible to formulate these relations in a convenient way, using formal power series. The theory of $Q$-rings described in Bisson, Joyal [1995a,b] [1997] incorporates this approach, and provides a setting for Steenrod operations within an algebra of covering spaces, interpreted as extended power functors in the category of topological spaces. Unoriented cobordism and the Thom realization functor transport the extended power functors to give operations in $Z/2$-cohomology. In this setting the structure of $Q$-ring appears naturally, and then the proof of the Adem relations, and the rest of the theory, is straightforward.

Some of the ideas described by Bisson and Joyal were inspired by the paper of Quillen [1971], which has also motivated Levine and Morel in their work on algebraic cobordism. Let $k$ be a field and let $S$ be the category of quasi-projective schemes defined over $k$. In the terminology of Levine and Morel, an oriented cohomology theory on $S$ is a ring valued functor which satisfies various axioms. We will refer to these as LM cohomology theories. Over characteristic zero, Levine and Morel’s algebraic cobordism is the universal example of this type of functor. The purpose of this paper is to define extended power functors on $S$ as a setting for the construction of Steenrod operations. In this we adapt methods from Bisson, Joyal [1995a]. As background, we note that the theory of mod 2 $Q$-rings is based on the fact that the mod 2 cohomology of the topological classifying space of $Z/2$ is free on a formal variable $t$. Classifying spaces for finite groups in algebraic geometry have recently been defined by Morel and Voevodsky and Totaro. We will follow Totaro in working with certain affine schemes built from representations as classifying space approximations. In particular, for any finite group $G$ we will define a sequence $B_nG$ of affine schemes determined by the action of $G$ on the group algebra $k[G]$.

Let $A$ be a LM cohomology theory. In order to define Steenrod-type operations, we need to make some additional assumptions on $A$:

- We assume that the formal group law $F_A(x, y)$ determined by $A$ satisfies $F_A(x, x) = 0$, and similarly for the double covering formal group law.
- We assume that $\lim_{n \to \infty} A(B_nZ/2) = A[[t]]$, the ring of formal power series over the coefficient ring for the theory $A$, where $t$ is the characteristic class for double coverings.
- We assume, for $G = \Sigma_4$, that any inner automorphism on $G$ induces the identity on $\lim_{n \to \infty} A(B_nG)$.
- We assume the existence of a well-defined external extended power operation on $A$ that satisfies a few simple naturality conditions.
- We assume that the resulting diagonal extended power operation on $A$ is additive for double coverings.

We note that some of these assumption follow from axioms for oriented cohomology suggested by Panin and Smirnov [2000].

The use of methods of algebraic topology in algebraic geometry has a long history, including the work of Grothendieck and his collaborators on defining a good framework for proving the Weil conjectures. The
approach of Grothendieck is widespread in algebraic geometry and has lead to the proofs of many conjectures. Recently, Voedvodsky has shown the Milnor and Bloch-Kato conjectures by using Steenrod operations in motivic cohomology. These operations have also been constructed in Chow rings by Brosnan. Our method is an attempt to situate their work in a simple framework.
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1. Extended power functors in topology.
In the topological setting, a covering space is a continuous map \( p : T \to B \) which is locally trivial, with a finite number of sheets over each connected component. Such a covering space can be used to define a functor from the category of topological spaces to itself. This concept is developed and applied in two Comptes Rendus by Bisson and Joyal [1995a,b], and we will closely follow that presentation here. For any functor from the category of topological spaces to itself. This construction is functorial for topological spaces and continuous maps. We will say that such a functor is an extended power functor.

The extended power functors could also be called polynomial functors. Since the term “extended power” seems well established in topology, we have chosen that terminology here.

Here is another way of thinking about this extended power construction. Let \( \mathfrak{n} = \{1, \ldots, n\} \), and let \( \Sigma_n \) denote the group of bijections of \( \mathfrak{n} \). Suppose that all the fibers of the covering \( p : T \to B \) have cardinality \( n \); for any \( b \in B \), let \( \text{Frame}_b(p) \) denote the set of bijections from \( \mathfrak{n} \) to \( p^{-1}(b) \). The principle \( \Sigma_n \)-bundle \( E(p) \to B \) associated to \( p : T \to B \) has total space

\[
E(p) = \{ (b, f) | b \in B, f \in \text{Frame}_b(p) \}
\]

with \( \Sigma_n \) acting freely on it. Then \( T = (E(p) \times \mathfrak{n})/\Sigma_n \) and \( p(X) = (E(p) \times X^n)/\Sigma_n \). In this way we see that \( p(X) \) is the total space of a bundle over \( B \) with fiber \( X^{n-1}(b) \) for \( b \in B \).

Suppose that we think of isomorphism classes of topological spaces as forming a “ring” with disjoint union as + and cartesian product as \( \times \). It is observed in Bisson, Joyal [1995a] that these extended power functors are closed under these operations of sum, product, and composition of functors from the category of topological spaces to itself. In other words, given coverings \( p \) and \( q \) there exist coverings \( p + q, p \times q, \) and \( p \circ q \) such that we have, for \( X \),

\[
(p + q)(X) = p(X) + q(X) \quad \text{and} \quad (p \times q)(X) = p(X) \times q(X) \quad \text{and} \quad (p \circ q)(X) = p(q(X)).
\]

We can explicate these operations by using the derivative \( p' \) of a covering \( p : T \to B \), which is defined to be the covering with base space \( T \) such that the fiber of \( p' \) over \( t \in T \) is the set \( p^{-1}(p(t)) - \{t\} \). We have rules like those for differential calculus:

\[
(p + q)' = p' + q' \quad \text{and} \quad (p \times q)' = p' \times q + p \times q' \quad \text{and} \quad (p \circ q)' = (p' \circ q) \times q'.
\]
In fact, the covering $p$ can be expressed as $p'(1) \rightarrow p(1)$ (where 1 denotes a singleton); and the pull-back of $p$ along the natural map $p(X) \rightarrow p(1)$ can be identified with a natural map $p'(X) \times X \rightarrow p(X)$, which is thus a covering.

Then the coverings $p + q$, $p \times q$, and $p \circ q$ are given by the natural maps

$$p + q : p'(1) + q'(1) \rightarrow p(1) \times q(1) \quad p \times q : p'(1) \times q(1) + p(1) \times q'(1) \rightarrow p(1) \times q(1)$$

and

$$p \circ q : p'(q(1)) \times q'(1) \rightarrow p'(q(1)) \times q(1) \rightarrow p(q(1)).$$

This shows that in the topological setting there is an algebra of coverings, wherein the sum, product, and composition satisfy identities appropriate to an algebra of polynomials. Several applications of these concepts in algebraic topology are given in Bisson, Joyal [1995a,b]. One observation there is that if the base space of covering $p$ is a smooth manifold, then $X \rightarrow p(X)$ is a functor from the smooth category to itself.

We want to transport the above concepts into the setting of algebraic geometry, and show that they are relevant to the description of Steenrod-type operations in algebraic geometry.

2. Some background in algebraic geometry.

It seems appropriate to work in the category of smooth quasi-projective schemes over a field. We start by sketching some definitions and results from algebraic geometry.

Let $k$ be a field. Each commutative $k$-algebra $R$ determines an affine $k$-scheme Spec$(R)$. The elements $x \in$ Spec$(R)$ correspond to the prime ideals of $R$; the set of elements is given the Zariski topology and a distinguished sheaf of local rings over this topology. Morphisms are defined so that the category of affine $k$-schemes is opposite to the category of commutative $k$-algebras. The category of $k$-schemes, including notions of image and of open and closed subschemes, is modeled on this category. Eisenbud and Harris [2000] give a very nice treatment. They describe, for instance, how any $k$-scheme $X$ can be understood through its functor of points, which assigns to each $R$ the set $X(R)$ of scheme morphisms from Spec$(R)$ to $X$.

As an example, let $A^n$ denote affine $n$-space Spec$(k[x_1, \ldots , x_n])$, so that $A^n(R) = \{ (a_1, \ldots , a_n) : a_i \in R \}$. Similarly, projective space $P^n$ is the scheme whose functor of points assigns to each $R$ the set of equivalence classes $[a_0, \ldots , a_n]$, where the $a_i \in R$ are not all zero and $[a_0, \ldots , a_n] \equiv [\lambda a_0, \ldots , \lambda a_n]$ for $\lambda \in k$ non zero. A projective $k$-scheme is a closed subscheme of some projective space; a quasi-projective $k$-scheme is any open subscheme in a projective $k$-scheme. For instance, the affine schemes of finite type (opposite to the category of finitely generated commutative $k$-algebras) are quasi-projective, since each can be identified with an open subscheme in some projective scheme.

A morphism of $k$-schemes $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a closed imbedding if and only if there exists a closed subscheme $Y'$ of $Y$ such that $f$ factors by an isomorphism $X \rightarrow Y'$. The morphism $f$ is a projective morphism, if and only if $f$ is the composition of a closed imbedding $X \rightarrow P^n_Y$ by the canonical surjection $P^n_Y \rightarrow Y$ (here $P^n_Y$ denotes the relative projective $n$-space over $Y$, which is often just $P^n \times Y$).

Within the category of $k$-schemes, let $S$ denote the full subcategory of smooth quasi-projective schemes. The category $S$ has terminal object $1 = \text{Spec}(k)$, and is closed under finite products and coproducts, denoted by $\times$ and $\oplus$. The existence of fiber products in $S$ depends on transversality. The affine spaces and projective spaces and their smooth closed subschemes are in $S$. If $E \rightarrow B$ is a vector bundle in $S$ then the associated projective bundle $P(E) \rightarrow B$ is in $S$. Eisenbud and Harris [2000] give the relevant definitions.

Suppose that $E \rightarrow X$ is a vector bundle over $X$; then an $E$-torsor is a fiber bundle $S \rightarrow X$ together with a map $E \times_X S \rightarrow S$ over $X$ such that the associated map $E \times_X S \rightarrow S \times_X S$ is an isomorphism over $X$. This gives a principal action of each fiber of $E$ on the corresponding fiber of $S$. Since a principal action of a vector space on a set given that the structure of an affine space, $S \rightarrow X$ may be called a bundle of affine spaces on $X$.

We end this section with some comments about the existence of categorical quotients by finite group actions. If a finite group acts on a scheme $X$ then one would like to have a morphism of schemes $X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the universal property of categorical quotient. This is impossible in general. Even for a free action of a finite group, such a quotient of a scheme does not exist automatically in the category of schemes; see for instance the example of Hironaka [1962], or its description on page 15 in Knutson [1971].
But for a free action of a finite group $G$ on a quasi-projective $k$-scheme $X$ there does exist a $k$-scheme $X/G$ and a morphism of $k$-schemes $X \to X/G$ which is a categorical quotient. This follows from the fact that any orbit of $G$ acting on quasi-projective $X$ is contained in an affine open subscheme of $X$; see page 69 in Mumford [1970] (the argument there holds for any field). For context, see also the discussion around Proposition 1.8 in Exposé V of Grothendieck [1971].

A principal $G$-bundle $E \to B$, for a finite group $G$, is just a free action of $G$ on an affine scheme $E$ of finite type, with $B = E/G$. For example, let $\rho : G \times V \to V$ be a faithful representation of $G$ on a finite dimensional $k$-vector space $V$. The affine space $V$ has a closed subscheme $S$ on whose complement $G$ acts freely; $S = \cup_{g \neq 1} V_g$, where $V_g$ is the linear subspace fixed by $g$. This gives a free action of $G$ on $V - S$, a smooth affine scheme of finite type. Totaro [1999] shows that every principal $G$-bundle $E \to B$ (with $B$ an affine $k$-scheme) is the pullback of one of these, for some $V$.

3. Extended power functors in algebraic geometry.

The discussion of quotients from section 2 leads us to a convenient notion of covering spaces in algebraic geometry.

Any principal $\Sigma_n$ bundle $E \to B$ gives a morphism of affine schemes $p : T \to B$, by taking $T = (E \times n)/\Sigma_n$, where $n = 1 + \cdots + 1 = \text{Spec}(k^n)$. We will refer to such $p : T \to B$ as geometric coverings with $n$ sheets; a geometric double covering is just a geometric covering with 2 sheets.

We can recover $E = E(p)$ from the geometric covering $p$ as in the topological setting. The scheme $T^n$ is affine, and the symmetric group $\Sigma_n$ acts naturally on $T^n$ (by permuting the indices of the $n$-tuples). We consider the subscheme of all $n$-tuples $(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ in $T^n$ such that $p(t_i) = p(t_j)$ for all $i, j$; this can be defined by repeatedly taking the fiber product of $T \to B$ with affine schemes over $B$, and is thus affine. The symmetric group $\Sigma_n$ acts naturally here, and $E(p)$ is defined to be the open subscheme with $t_i \neq t_j$ if $i \neq j$. Then $E(p)$ is the total scheme of a principal $\Sigma_n$-bundle over $B$.

We say that $p : T \to B$ is a smooth geometric covering if and only if $T$ and $B$ are smooth; by transversality of the fiber products defining $E(p)$, this is equivalent to the condition that $E(p)$ be smooth.

Suppose that $p : T \to B$ is a smooth geometric covering with $n$ sheets. For any smooth quasi-projective scheme $X$ we define $p(X)$ to be the quotient of $E(p) \times X^n$ by the diagonal action of $\Sigma_n$. The existence of this quotient is ensured by the result mentioned in section 2, since $E(p) \times X^n$ is a quasi-projective scheme. Since $E(p)$ and $X$ are smooth, so is $p(X)$. We can use the following argument to show that $p(X)$ is quasi-projective. Totaro shows the existence of a $\Sigma_n$-equivariant closed embedding $E(p) \to V - S$ for some linear representation, as discussed above. But $X$ is an open subscheme of some projective $k$-scheme $\bar{X}$, and the quotient of the $\Sigma_n$ action on the projective $k$-scheme $P(V \oplus k) \times \bar{X}^n$ is a projective $k$-scheme. The result follows.

Thus this construction defines a functor $p : S \to S$ for each covering in $S$. Any functor $F : S \to S$ which is isomorphic, via a natural transformation, to such a functor (for some $p$) is called an extended power functor.

Given coverings $p$ and $q$ in $S$, we use the same formulations as for topological spaces to define coverings $p + q$, $p \times q$, and $p \circ q$.

Proposition.

If $F$ and $G$ are extended power operations then the functors $F + G, F \times G, F \circ G$, defined respectively by $(F + G)(X) = F(X) + G(X), (F \times G)(X) = F(X) \times G(X)$, and $(F \circ G)(X) = F(G(X))$, are extended power functors.

The proof is the same as for topological spaces.

4. LM cohomology theories.

Levine and Morel [2001a] introduced axioms for a notion of “oriented cohomology theory” in algebraic geometry. These axioms are inspired by the method for developing complex cobordism theory which is presented in Quillen [1971]. There Quillen suggests working with contravariant functors (from smooth manifolds to rings) which have covariant (or gysin) morphisms for proper smooth maps endowed with a complex orientation. Multiplicative generalized cohomology theories which are oriented over complex cobordism provide
examples for Quillen’s discussion, but Quillen does not require that his contravariant functors satisfy the full, usual axioms for a generalized cohomology theory.

Levine and Morel work on the category \( S \). They assume the existence of gysin homomorphisms for a restricted category \( S' \) of morphisms, those which are projective morphisms of pure codimension. A morphism \( f : Y \rightarrow X \) in \( S \) has pure codimension \( d \) if we have \( \dim_\nu f(X, f(y)) - \dim_\nu Y(y) = d \) at every point \( y \) in \( Y \), where \( \dim_\nu Y(y) \) is the Krull dimension of \( Y \) in a neighborhood of \( y \). Note that \( S' \) contains the identity morphisms and is closed for composition, do it does in fact form a subcategory of \( S \) (with all smooth quasi-projective schemes as objects).

To allow for different conventions in handling dimensions, we will attach some fixed “grade multiple” \( a \) to the theory; see LM1 below. In our examples, \( a \) is one or two.

Suppose that \( a \) is a fixed integer, and \( A \) is a contravariant functor from \( S \) to the category of graded commutative rings and grade-preserving ring homomorphisms; a morphism of schemes \( f \) gives a ring homomorphism \( f^* \). We shall say that the pair \((A, a)\) is a \( LM \) cohomology theory if the functor \( A \) and integer \( a \) satisfy the following axioms LM1-LM4 from Levine and Morel [2001a].

**LM 1**: \( A \) is also a covariant functor from \( S' \) to the category of graded abelian groups, taking a morphism \( f : Y \rightarrow X \) of pure codimension \( d \) to a homomorphism \( f_* : A(Y) \rightarrow A(X) \) which raises the grading by \( ad \).

From the contravariance we have a natural map \( A(X) \times A(Y) \rightarrow A(X \times Y) \) for all \( X \) and \( Y \), given by the multiplication in the ring \( A(X \times Y) \).

As a consequence of the covariance along \( S' \), each projective morphism \( f : Y \rightarrow X \) of codimension \( d \) in \( S' \) gives a class \( \text{cl}(f) \) in \( A^a d(X) \), defined by \( \text{cl}(f) = f_*(1) \) for \( 1 \in A^0(Y) \). Another consequence is the definition of an euler class for each vector bundle in \( S \). More precisely, if \( \nu : E(\nu) \rightarrow X \) is a rank \( n \) vector bundle in \( S \), then the zero section \( s : X \rightarrow E(\nu) \) is a smooth projective morphism of pure dimension \( n \), and we define \( e(\nu) = s^* s_* (1) \) in \( A^n(X) \), where \( 1 \) is the identity in the ring \( A(X) \). It follows that the euler class satisfies \( e(\nu_1 \oplus \nu_2) = e(\nu_1) e(\nu_2) \) for vector bundles \( \nu_1 \) and \( \nu_2 \) on \( X \) in \( S \).

For the next axiom, \( \pi : P(\nu) \rightarrow X \) is the projective bundle of a rank \( n \) vector bundle \( \nu \) on \( X \) in \( S \), and \( \gamma \) is the tautological line-bundle on \( P(\nu) \).

**LM 2**: \( A(P(\nu)) \) is a free \( A(X) \)-module with basis \( 1, e(\gamma), \ldots, e(\gamma)^{n-1} \) for every rank \( n \) vector bundle \( \nu : E(\nu) \rightarrow X \) in \( S \).

By methods of Grothendieck [1958], this axiom allows the definition of a complete family of characteristic classes for vector bundles, with results like those in Milnor, Stasheff [1974]. Also, since \( P(0) = \emptyset \) for the rank 0 vector bundle, this axioms implies \( A(\emptyset) = 0 \).

Let \( f : Y \rightarrow X \) be a projective morphism in \( S' \), and let \( g : Z \rightarrow X \) be a morphism in \( S \) which is transverse to \( g \), giving the scheme \( Z' := Y \times_X Z \) in \( S \) with projections \( f' : Z' \rightarrow Z, g' : Z' \rightarrow Y \), as in the following diagram. Then we say that \( f \) and \( g \) form a transversal pullback diagram.

**LM 3**: If \( f \) in \( S' \) and \( g \) in \( S \) form a transversal pullback diagram, then \( f'_* \circ g'^* = g^* \circ f_* \).

In particular, this axiom computes \( g^* \text{cl}(f) = \text{cl}(f') \) for any projective morphism \( f \) with transversal \( g \). Also, we can deduce that \( A(X + Y) = A(X) \oplus A(Y) \) (the coproduct of rings), by applying this axiom to the transversal pullback diagrams given by \( X \rightarrow X + Y, X \rightarrow X + Y \) and \( X \rightarrow X + Y, Y \rightarrow X + Y \).

The next axiom is a partial “homotopy” axiom, among other consequences.

**LM 4**: If \( \nu : E \rightarrow X \) is a vector bundle over \( X \) in \( S \), then \( \nu^* : A(X) \rightarrow A(E) \) is an isomorphism, and the same for any bundle of affine spaces on \( X \) in \( S \).

A formal group law defined over a commutative ring \( R \) is a formal power series \( F(x, y) \in R[[x, y]] \) which satisfies identities corresponding to associativity and unit and inverses. Levine and Morel [2001a] explain
how to deduce from their axioms the existence of a formal group law \( F(x, y) \) with coefficients in the ring \( A = A(1) \), such that \( e(\gamma_1 \otimes \gamma_2) = F(e(\gamma_1), e(\gamma_2)) \) for all line bundles \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \) on \( X \) in \( \mathcal{S} \).

In their monograph [2007], Levine and Morel present the following examples (and others) which satisfy their axioms:

1. The functor which sends a quasi-projective scheme \( X \) defined over the field \( k \) to the Chow ring \( CH^*(X) \) is an oriented cohomology theory.

2. Let \( \ell \) be a prime number distinct from the characteristic of \( k \); the functor which sends \( X \) to the sum of etale groups \( \oplus_n H^2_{et}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l(n)) \) is an oriented cohomology theory.

3. Let \( K^0(X) \) be the Grothendieck group of vector bundles on the scheme \( X \); the functor which sends \( X \) to the ring of Laurent series \( K^0(X)[\beta, \beta^{-1}] \) is an oriented cohomology theory.

4. Let \( k \) be a number field and \( \sigma : k \to \mathbb{C} \) be a complex embedding. For each quasi-projective scheme \( X \), we denote by \( X_\sigma(C) \) the quasi-projective variety of complex points defined by \( \sigma \). Let \( MU \) be the complex cobordism spectrum, the functor \( X \to MU(X_\sigma(C)) \) is an oriented cohomology theory.

When the oriented cohomology theory \( A \) is very closely related to ordinary cohomology, the formal group law may be the additive formal group law defined by \( F(x, y) = x + y \), but in general \( F \) is more complicated. For instance example 3 has the formal group law \( F(x, y) = x + y - \beta xy \).

5. Some axioms for extended power operations.

Let \( p : T \to B \) be an \( n \)-sheet geometric covering in \( \mathcal{S} \). Consider an arbitrary LM cohomology theory \((A, a)\) on \( \mathcal{S} \). Each codimension \( d \) projective morphism \( f : Y \to X \) in \( \mathcal{S}' \) represents a cohomology class \( \text{cl}(f) \in A^{nd}(X) \). Then the extended power functor \( p \) gives \( p(f) : p(Y) \to p(X) \), which represents a cohomology class \( \text{cl}(p(f)) \in A^{nd}(p(X)) \) (an argument similar to those in section 4 shows that \( p(f) \) is a projective morphism of codimension \( nd \)). This suggests that the extended power functor \( p \) may give an “external” cohomology operation from \( A^{ad}(X) \) to \( A^{nad}(p(X)) \), and we can use geometric calculations in \( \mathcal{S} \) to guess at properties that such a cohomology operation would have.

Unfortunately, we do not know that every class in \( A(X) \) is represented by an \( f \in \mathcal{S}' \), and we have not shown that \( \text{cl}(f) = \text{cl}(f') \) implies \( \text{cl}(p(f)) = \text{cl}(p(f')) \).

It seems reasonable at this stage to introduce additional axioms that an LM theory should satisfy, if it is to be equipped with extended power operations underlying a notion of Steenrod operations. That is the purpose of this section. Then in the next section we define Steenrod operations in such a cohomology theory, and develop their basic properties. We limit ourselves to the case of \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \) Steenrod operations in this article.

Let \((A, a)\) be a LM cohomology theory in \( \mathcal{S} \), the category of quasi-projective schemes over a field \( k \). We assume for the rest of this paper that the following *Extended Power* axioms (EP1, EP2, EP3, EP4, and EP5) are satisfied.

**EP1** For every cover \( p : T \to B \) with \( n \) sheets, there exists a multiplicative map \( p^{ext} : A^d(X) \to A^{nd}(p(X)) \) (not assumed to be additive in general) which:

a) is natural with respect to \( X \): \( p(f)^* \circ p^{ext} = p^{ext} \circ f^* \),

b) agrees with the \( n^{th} \)-power map when \( p \) is the trivial geometric covering with \( n \) sheets,

c) is natural with respect to \( p \), and

d) commutes with euler classes: \( p^{ext}(e(\nu)) = e(p(\nu)) \).

Let us make this precise.

For a), any \( f : X \to Y \) in \( \mathcal{S} \) gives \( p(f) : p(X) \to p(Y) \) in \( \mathcal{S} \), and we require that \( p(f)^* \circ p^{ext} = p^{ext} \circ f^* \).

For b), we require that \( p^{ext}(a) = a^n \) for \( p : n \to 1 \),

For any \( F : B' \to B \) and any \( n \)-sheeted geometric covering \( p : T \to B \) in \( \mathcal{S} \), the pullback of \( p \) along \( F \) is a geometric covering \( q : T' \to B' \) with \( n \) sheets in \( \mathcal{S} \), and we have a natural transformation \( F(X) : q(X) \to p(X) \) for each \( X \).
For c) we require that

\[ T' \xrightarrow{q} T \xrightarrow{p} B' \xrightarrow{F} B \]

if \( q \) is a pullback, then \( A^d(X) \xrightarrow{q^{ext}} A^{nd}(q(X)) \xrightarrow{\Delta^{ext}} A^{nd}(p(X)) \) commutes.

For d), let \( \nu : V \to X \) be a rank \( v \) vector bundle in \( S \), with Euler class \( e(\nu) \in A^{2n}(X) \). If \( p : T \to B \) is a geometric covering with \( n \) sheets then we have the rank \( nv \) vector bundle \( p(\nu) : p(V) \to p(X) \). We require that \( p^{ext}(e(\nu)) = e(p(\nu)) \).

For any \( n \) sheeted geometric covering \( p : T \to B \) in \( S \) we have a diagonal map \( \Delta : p(1) \times X \to p(X) \) in \( S \) (from the \( \Sigma_n \) equivariant map \( E(p) \times X \to E(p) \times X^n \)). This gives a diagonal pullback \( \Delta^* : A(p(X)) \to A(p(1) \times X) \), natural in \( X \). Assuming EP1, we may define \( p^\Delta = \Delta^* \circ p^{ext} \). Since our goal is \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \) Steenrod operations, we make the following assumption.

**EP2:** We assume that the map \( p^\Delta : A^d(X) \to A^{2d}(p(1) \times X) \) is an additive homomorphism whenever \( p \) is a geometric covering with two sheets.

From assumption EP1, the trivial geometric covering \( p : 2 \to 1 \) gives the extended power operation

\[ p^\Delta : A(X) \to A(1 \times X) = A(X) \quad a \mapsto a^2. \]

So in particular, EP2 implies that squaring is additive on \( A(X) \). This implies that \( A(X) \) is always a ring of characteristic 2. In fact, we want to make a much stronger assumption.

Let \((A, a)\) be a LM cohomology theory on \( S \). Let \( F_A(x, y) \) be the formal group law determined by \( A \) (see the discussion after axiom LM4). We say that a formal group law \( F(x, y) \in A[[x, y]] \) has order two if \( F(x, x) = 0 \) in \( A[[x]] \). We say that such a formal group law \( F(x, y) \) in \( A[[x, y]] \) is compatible with \((A, a)\) if there exists \( \tilde{F}(x, y) \), a formal group law of order two in \( A[[x, y]] \), with \( F(x^n, y^n) = (\tilde{F}(x, y))^n \) (this condition is vacuous if \( a = 1 \)). We make the following assumption.

**EP3:** We assume that the formal group law \( F_A(x, y) \) determined by \( A \) has order two, and also that \( F_A \) is compatible with \((A, a)\).

The condition \( F(x^n, y^n) = (\tilde{F}(x, y))^n \) says that the power series \( h(x) = x^a \) in \( A[[x]] \) is a morphism of formal group laws \( h : \tilde{F} \to F \); see Quillen [1971] and section 7 here.

For any finite group \( G \), let \( k[G] \) denote the reduced regular representation of \( G \), the kernel of the augmentation ring homomorphism \( \epsilon : kG \to k \) (with \( \epsilon(g) = 1 \) for each \( g \in G \)). For \( n > 0 \) let \( n k[G] \) denote the direct sum of \( n \) copies of this representation, and the corresponding affine space with its \( G \) action. Consider the open subscheme \((n k[G] - S)\) where \( G \) acts freely, and let \( B_n G = (n k[G] - S)/G \), the base of the corresponding principal \( G \) bundle.

For any injective group homomorphism \( \phi : H \to G \) of finite groups, we have an \( H \)-equivariant linear map \( \phi : k[H] \to k[G] \); since \( \phi \) carries the free part \( n k[H] \) into the free part of \( n k[G] \) (as we see by decomposing \( k[G] \) along the cosets of \( H \) in \( G \)), \( \phi \) induces a morphism \( B_n \phi : B_n H \to B_n G \) of affine \( k \)-schemes.

We use the following assumption in our proof of the Adem relations.

**EP4:** We assume that if \( \phi : G \to G \) is an inner automorphism then \( B_n \phi : B_n G \to B_n G \) gives the identity map on \( A(B_n G) \to A(B_n G) \).

A double covering \( p : T \to B \) in \( S \) determines a line bundle \( \gamma(p) \) on \( B \); the total space of \( \gamma(p) \) can be described as \((E(p) \times A^1)/(\mathbb{Z}/2)\), where \( E(p) \) is the principal \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \) bundle for \( p \), and \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \) acts antipodally on \( A^1 \). A characteristic class for double coverings assigns a class \( t(p) \in A^1(B) \) to each geometric double covering \( p : T \to B \) in \( S \), so that the assignment is natural in \( p \) and satisfies \( e(\gamma(p)) = t(p)^n \). Note that
if $a = 1$, then $t(p) = e(\gamma(p))$ determines such a characteristic class for double coverings. In general, the existence of a characteristic class for double coverings is linked to the behavior of the cohomology functor $A$ on a classifying space for principle $\mathbb{Z}/2$ bundles.

For the rest of the paper we assume that our field $k$ is not of characteristic 2. Then every finite dimensional vector space $V$ provides a faithful representation of the group $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acting as the antipode map $v \mapsto -v$; this gives us the geometric covering $p_V : (V - 0) \to (V - 0)/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ in $\mathcal{S}$. Let $p_n$ be the geometric covering from the vector space $k^n$, and let $B_n \mathbb{Z}/2$ denote the base $p_n(1)$ of this covering. This agrees with our above notation $B_n G$ since, as $\mathbb{Z}/2$ representations, $k$ with its antipode action is isomorphic to $k[\mathbb{Z}/2]$.

We make the following assumption.

**EP5:** We assume the existence of a characteristic class for double coverings, such that $A(B_n \mathbb{Z}/2) = A[1/t]/t^{an}$, and $A(B_n \mathbb{Z}/2 \times X) = A(X)[t]/t^{an}$ naturally in $X$, where $t = t(p_n) \in A^1(B_n \mathbb{Z}/2)$. We also assume that $p_n^\Delta : A^1(B) \to A^2(B_n \mathbb{Z}/2 \times B)$ satisfies $p_n^\Delta(u) = u F_A(u, t)$ whenever $u = t(p)$ is the characteristic class of a geometric double covering $p : T \to B$.

If we assume that our cohomology theory satisfies the long exact sequence (excision axiom) from Panin and Smirnov [2000], then we can derive a gyisin sequence for vector bundles, and use this to compute $A(B_n \mathbb{Z}/2 \times X) = A(X)[t]/t^{an}$, at least for $a = 1$ and $a = 2$. The proof uses the fact that $B_n \mathbb{Z}/2$ is isomorphic to the complement of the zero section of a line bundle $\gamma \otimes \gamma$ over the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

Here are some natural examples where the extended power assumptions are satisfied. Suppose that the field $k = \mathbb{R}$ is the real numbers. For any $X$ in $\mathcal{S}_R$, the set $X(R)$ of real-valued points in $X$ is a smooth manifold. Let $N(X) = N^*(X(R))$, the unoriented cobordism ring of the smooth manifold $X(R)$. Let $H(X) = H^*(X(R); \mathbb{Z}/2)$, the mod 2 cohomology ring of the smooth manifold $X(R)$. Then $(N, 1)$ and $(H, 1)$ are LM cohomology theories which satisfy EP1, EP2, EP3, EP4, and EP5. Note that $F_n$ is the additive formal group law, $F_n(x, y) = x + y$; and $F_n$ is the usual formal group law for unoriented cobordism, which is the universal formal group law of order 2 (see Quillen [1971]).

Suppose instead that the field $k = \mathbb{C}$ is the complex numbers. For any $X$ in $\mathcal{S}_C$, the set $X(C)$ of complex-valued points in $X$ is a smooth even dimensional manifold. Let $NC(X) = N^*(X(C))$ and let $HC(X) = H^*(X(C); \mathbb{Z}/2)$. Then $(NC, 2)$ and $(HC, 2)$ are LM cohomology theories which satisfy EP1, EP2, EP3, EP4, and EP5. For $(NC, 2)$, we note that the formal group law $F_{NC}(x, y)$ is determined by the tensor product of complex line bundles rather than the tensor product of real line bundles. In fact, $F_{NC}(x^2, y^2) = (F_N(x, y))^2$.

### 6. Some properties of extended power operations.

Recall that $k$ is a field of characteristic different from 2, and that $\mathcal{S}$ is the category of quasi-projective schemes over $k$. We assume for the rest of the paper that $(A, a)$ is a LM cohomology theory with extended power operations satisfying the assumptions from the previous section.

Our first goal is to define a “total operation” for $A$. Consider the geometric double coverings $p_n : T_n \mathbb{Z}/2 \to B_n \mathbb{Z}/2$ determined by the free action of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ on $k^n - 0$ (as discussed in connection with assumption EP4). Note that $p_n$ is the pull-back of $p_{n+1}$ along the map $i_n : B_n \mathbb{Z}/2 \to B_{n+1} \mathbb{Z}/2$ induced by the inclusion of $k^n$ into $k^{n+1}$ by the first $n$ coordinates. This gives natural transformations such that the following diagram commutes:

\[
p_n(X) \xrightarrow{i_n} p_{n+1}(X) \quad \Delta \quad \Delta
\]

\[
p_n(1) \times X \xrightarrow{i_n \times id_X} p_{n+1}(1) \times X
\]

By assumption EP1 we have $i_n^\ast \circ p_n^{ext} = p_{n+1}^{ext}$. Composing with $\Delta^\ast$ gives $i_n^\ast \circ p_{n+1}^\Delta = p_n^\Delta$. By assumption EP5 we identify $i_n^\ast : A(p_{n+1}(1) \times X) \to A(p_n(1) \times X)$ with the truncation $A(X)[t]/t^{an(n+1)} \to A(X)[t]/t^{an}$. But we have an isomorphism

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} A(B_n \mathbb{Z}/2 \times X) \to A(X)[[t]].
\]
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It follows that the limit of the operations \( p^n_\Delta \) defines a total operation \( P_t : A(X) \to A(X)[[t]] \).

Assumption EP2 implies that this is a ring homomorphism, and we have the following.

**Proposition.**

The functor \( A \) on \( S \) is equipped with a natural ring homomorphism \( P_t : A(X) \to A(X)[[t]] \).

We will refer to \( P_t \) as the total double covering operation for \( A \).

**Proposition.**

If \( t \) is the characteristic class of a geometric double covering in \( S \), then \( P_n(t) = t\check{F}_A(t,u) \).

If \( e \) is the euler class of a line bundle in \( S \) then \( P_n(e) = eF_A(e,u^\nu) \).

**Proof.**

The first statement comes by taking the limit of the formula \( p^n_\Delta(t) = t\check{F}(t,u) \) from assumption EP5. For the second statement, let \( \nu : V \to X \) be a rank \( \nu \) vector bundle in \( S \), and let \( e(\nu) \in A^n(X) \) denote the Euler class of \( \nu \). If \( p : T \to B \) is a geometric covering with \( n \) sheets then we can define the vector bundle \( p(\nu) : p(V) \to p(X) \); it has rank \( \nu \nu \). Consider \( \Delta^*p(\nu) \), the pullback of \( p(\nu) \) along the diagonal map \( \Delta : p(1) \times X \to p(X) \). We have \( \Delta^*p(\nu) = e(\Delta^*p(\nu)) \). This follows from EP1. We get a rank \( n \) vector bundle \( \rho \) on \( p(1) \) by applying \( p \) to the one-dimensional \( k \) vector space (viewed as a trivial vector bundle on \( 1 \)). We have \( \Delta^*p(\nu) = \rho \odot \nu \). Indeed these bundles have the same rank, and there exists a canonical morphism of bundles \( \rho \odot \nu \to \Delta^*p(V) \). We obtain that \( e(\Delta^*p(\nu)) = e(\rho \odot \nu) \). Suppose now that \( \nu \) is a line bundle and that \( p \) has two sheets; then we can decompose \( \rho = k \oplus \gamma \) for a line bundle \( \gamma \) on \( p(1) \). We deduce that \( e(\rho \odot \nu) = e(\nu \odot (\gamma \odot \nu)) = e(\nu)e(\gamma \odot \nu) \). Since \( e(\gamma) = t^2 \) in \( A^2(p(1)) \), this implies the result. DONE.

Next we define the total operation for any finite group \( G \).

Totaro [1999] observes that any faithful representation of \( G \) in a finite dimensional vector space \( V \) over \( k \) determines an geometric covering in \( S \), and then \( V \) gives a sequence of faithful representations \( nV \) and a sequence of coverings. In connection with our discussion of EP4, we defined \( p_{nG} : T_nG \to B_nG \) to be the geometric covering determined by the reduced regular representation \( \overline{\rho} \) of \( G \). The number of sheets of \( p_{nG} \) is the cardinality of \( G \). We use geometric coverings \( p_{nG} \) to define a total operation

\[
P_{nG} : A(X) \to \lim_{n \to \infty} A(B_nG \times X)
\]

as limit of the extended power operations \( p^n_{\Delta_G} : A(X) \to A(B_nG \times X) \).

When the order of \( G \) is prime to the characteristic of \( k \), then Morel and Voevodsky [2001] describe a classifying object \( B_G \) for principal \( G \)-bundles in algebraic geometry. We may view the base spaces \( B_nG \) of our geometric coverings \( p_{nG} \) as affine \( k \)-scheme approximations to \( B_G \) (even when the order of \( G \) is not prime to the characteristic).

Recall that if \( \phi : H \to G \) is an injective homomorphism of finite groups, then we obtain a map \( B_n\phi : B_nH \to B_nG \) (see the discussion of EP4), and a map

\[
B\phi^* : \lim_{n \to \infty} A(B_nG \times X) \to \lim_{n \to \infty} A(B_nH \times X)
\]

such that \( P_H = B\phi^* \circ P_G \).

When \( G \) is a subgroup of \( \Sigma_r \), we say that a geometric covering \( T \to B \) with \( r \) sheets admits a reduction of structure group to \( G \) if and only if \( p \) can be expressed in the form \( T = (E \times r)/G \) for some principal \( G \)-bundle \( E \to B \).

We need to analyze the following example. Let \( K \) be the subgroup of \( \Sigma_4 \) generated by the permutations \( \tau_1 = (12)(34) \) and \( \tau_2 = (14)(23) \) (the “Klein four subgroup” of \( \Sigma_4 \)). The group \( K \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \). The definition of the classifying space shows that \( B_nK = B_n\mathbb{Z}/2 \times B_n\mathbb{Z}/2 \). This implies (by assumption EP5) that

\[
A(B_nK \times X) = A(B_n\mathbb{Z}/2 \times B_n\mathbb{Z}/2 \times X) = A(B_n\mathbb{Z}/2 \times X)[u]/(u^an) = A(X)[u,v]/(u^an,v^an).
\]
We deduce that the total operation $P_K$ is of the form

$$P_K = P_{u,v} : A(X) \to A(X)[[u,v]].$$

**Proposition.**

The map $P_{u,v}$ is symmetric, that is $P_{u,v} = P_{v,u}$.

**Proof.**

Consider the permutation $\tau = (1234)$ of $\Sigma_4$. For $\tau_1 = (12)(34)$ and $\tau_2 = (14)(23)$ as above we have $\tau \circ \tau_1 \circ \tau^{-1} = \tau_2$. The embeddings $j_i : \mathbb{Z}/2 \to \Sigma_4$, $i = 1, 2$ induced by $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ give an embedding $j : K \to \Sigma_4$. Since $\tau$ is an inner automorphism of $\Sigma_4$, assumption EP4 says that the automorphism induced by $\tau$ on $B_4\Sigma_4$ is the identity. We analyze the two maps $B j_1, B j_2 : B n K \to B n \Sigma_4$ as above. They are related by $\tau$. This shows that $\tau$ induces on $B n K$ an automorphism which exchanges $u$ and $v$ in $A(X)[u,v]/(u^{an}, v^{an})$. Since $P_K = B j^* \circ P_{\Sigma_4}$, we deduce that $P_{u,v}$ is symmetric. DONE.

We have defined $P_{u,v} : A(X) \to A(X)[[u,v]]$. But this can also be interpreted as an iteration of total double covering operations, as follows (recall the definition of $F_A$ in connection with EP5).

**Proposition.**

$P_{u,v} = P_\mu \circ P_\nu$ if we put $P_u(v) = v F_A(v,u)$.

**Proof.**

Let $K = \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$, as above. A $K$-covering is a principal $K$-bundle $p : E \to E/K = B$, determined by a pair of fixed point free involutions $\tau_1, \tau_2 : E \to E$ such that $\tau_2 \circ \tau_1 = \tau_1 \circ \tau_2$. These determine a pair of double coverings $p_1 : E_1 \to B_1$, $p_2 : E_2 \to B_2$. Let $p_1 \circ p_2$ be the $K$-covering $E_1 \times E_2 \to B \times B$. The bundle $p$ is isomorphic to the pullback of $p_1 \otimes p_2$ along the diagonal map $B \to B \times B$, and $p_1 \otimes p_2$ is isomorphic to the pullback of $p_1 \circ p_2$ along the generalized diagonal map $p_1(1) \times p_2(1) \to p_1(p_2(1))$. For any $K$-covering $p$, let $u$ and $v$ in $A(p(1))$ be the characteristic classes of the two double coverings $p_1$ and $p_2$ associated to $p$. The pullback arrow $\phi : p \to p_1 \otimes p_2$ determines a ring homomorphism $\phi^* : A(p_2(1) \times p_1(1) \times X) \to A(p(1) \times X)$. We have $p = \phi^* \circ p_2 \circ p_1$. But $p_1 : A(X) \to A(p_1(1) \times X)$ comes from $P_u : A(X) \to A(X)[[u]]$, and $p_2 : A(p_1(1) \times X) \to A(p_2(1) \times p_1(1) \times X)$ comes from $P_v : A(p_1(1) \times X) \to A(p_1(1) \times X)[[v]]$, while $p : A(X) \to A(X)[[u,v]]$ comes from $P_{u,v} : A(X) \to A(X)[[u,v]]$. DONE.

### 7. D-rings and mod 2 Steenrod operations

The following is taken from Bisson, Joyal [1995a], where the motivation was the study of unoriented cobordism and bordism operations in topology.

Let $R$ be a commutative ring and let $F(x,y) \in R[[x,y]]$ be a formal group law of order two (note that this implies that $R$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ algebra). According to Lubin [1967], there exists a unique formal group law $F_0$ defined over $R[[t]]$ such that $h_t(x) = x F(x,t)$ is a morphism $h_t : F \to F_0$. See Bisson, Joyal [1995a] for more discussion of this notion.

**Definition.**

A $D$-ring $R$ is a commutative $\mathbb{Z}/2$ ring endowed with a formal group law $F$ of order two and with a total operation $D_a : R \to R[[u]]$ which satisfies the following conditions:

D1: $D_0(a) = a^2$ for every $a \in R$;

D2: $D_a(F) = F_a$;

D3: $D_a \circ D_v$ is symmetric in $u$ and $v$, where $D_a$ is extended to $R[[v]]$ by setting $D_a(v) = v F(u,v)$.

If $R^*$ is also a graded ring with $d_i(x) \in R^{2i-1}$ for $x \in R$, then we say that $R$ is a graded $D$-ring.

**Theorem.**

If $A$ is a LM cohomology theory which satisfies the extended power assumptions EP1, EP2, EP3, EP4, and EP5 then $P_a : A(X) \to A(X)[[u]]$, together with the formal group law $F = F_A$, defines on $A(X)$ the structure of a graded $D$-ring, for every $X$ in $S$.

**Proof.**

The fact that $P_0(u) = u^2$ is a direct consequence of assumption EP1. The formal group law $F = F_A$ has order 2 by assumption EP3. Finally, we show that $P_a(F) = F_a$. Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$ be line bundles. Let
\( h_u(x) = xF(x, u) \). We have shown, in the preceding section, that \( P_u(e_i) = h_u(e_i) \), \( i = 1, 2 \). Let \( e \) be the euler class of the tensor product of bundles \( \gamma_1 \otimes \gamma_2 \), we have \( F(e_1, e_2) = e \). Write \( F(x, y) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} x^i y^j \); the naturality of \( P_u \) implies that

\[
\sum_{i,j} P_u(a_{ij})h_u(e_1)^ih_u(e_2)^j = h_u(F(e_1, e_2)).
\]

Since \( F_u \) is the formal group law defined by \( h_u(F(e_1, e_2)) = F_u(h_u(e_1), h_u(e_2)) \), we deduce that \( P_u \) takes the coefficients of \( F \) to the coefficients of \( F_u \). DONE.

When the formal group law is additive the notion of \( D \)-ring becomes the notion of \( Q \)-ring, as defined in Bisson, Joyal [2001]. We have the following:

**Proposition.**

If \( A \) is a \( LM \) cohomology theory which satisfies the extended power assumptions EP1, EP2, EP3, EP4, and EP5 and \( F_A(x, y) = x + y \) then \( P_u \) defines on \( A(X) \) the structure of a graded \( Q \)-ring for every \( X \) in \( S \).

The \( Q \)-ring structure \( Q_u : R \rightarrow R[[u]] \) on the graded ring \( R = A(X) \) is equivalent to a sequence of individual additive operations \( q_i : R^n \rightarrow R^{2n-i} \) determined by \( Q_u(a) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q_i(a)u^i \), when these operations satisfy the \( Q \)-ring versions of the Cartan formula and the Adem relations; see Bisson, Joyal [2001]. These individual operations capture exactly the structure of \( R \) as an unstable algebra over the Steenrod operations \( Sq^n(x_n) = q_{n-j}(x_n) \) for \( x_n \in R^n \).

This is the sense in which our extended power axioms ensure the existence of Steenrod operations in an \( LM \) cohomology theory in algebraic geometry.

This paper was typeset using Paul Taylor’s \TeX\ macros for diagrams.
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