In spite of many years of research, the physical phenomena leading to the evolution of compacted graphite (CG) during solidification is still not fully understood. In particular, it is unknown how highly branched CG aggregates form and evolve in the semi-solid, and how local microstructural variations at micrometer length scale affect this growth process. We present here the first time-resolved synchrotron tomography combined with a bespoke high-temperature environmental cell that allows direct observation of the evolution of CG and relates this dynamic process to the local surrounding microstructures in a cast iron sample during repeated melting and solidification. Distinct processes are identified for the formation of CG involving the nucleation, growth, development of branches and interconnection of graphite particles, ultimately evolving into highly branched graphite aggregates with large sizes and low sphericities. CG is found to nucleate with a spheroidal or a plate-like shape, developing branches induced by high carbon concentration, e.g. thin melt channels. Additionally, CG grows much faster than spheroidal graphite during subsequent cooling in solid state. The direct visualization of the dynamic solidification process provides unprecedented new insights into formation mechanisms of CG and correlating factors such as local microstructural variations, and guides the development of CG iron solidification models.

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Compacted graphite (CG) iron has been known more than 50 years ago, and is widely used in the automotive industry. As an engineering material it provides an outstanding combination of mechanical and thermal properties [1–3], i.e. good thermal conductivity and good vibration damping capacity. Previous studies with laboratory X-ray computed tomography (CT) [4], deep etching and focused ion beam nano-tomography [5] revealed that CG has a highly branched and interconnected aggregate morphology, which makes it difficult to locate the nucleation sites. While the size and morphology of graphite in CG iron play a key role in determining its properties [3,6,7], the evolution process of CG during solidification is still not fully understood. There are several aspects of growth of CG which are investigated by ex situ interrupted solidification techniques and post-solidification characterization, such as scanning electron microscopy (SEM) [8,9]. It is often reported that initial CG nucleates in a spheroidal shape, which degenerates/generates tails upon further cooling, grows in contact with the melt and...
branches further, developing into CG [10–12]. This degeneration and branching of graphite occur by twin boundary defects [10,13]. Furthermore, CG precipitating directly from an oxide and a sulfide was also detected by metallographic examination [14]. Recently, the morphology of CG obtained at different solidification stages was characterized using laboratory X-ray tomography (CT). It was found that CG evolves from spheroidal to cluster-like graphite, and then interconnects with each other, resulting in large CG clusters [15].

Graphite growth is determined by the diffusivity of carbon atoms at the interfaces between the solidified graphite and the melt phase [16], and therefore the local variations at these interfaces must be considered. Previous detailed X-ray analysis on melted cast iron demonstrated that undissolved graphite or carbon-rich clusters [17] exist and diffuse in the melt. Furthermore, the dynamic segregation of elements in the front of the solidification interface is a crucial aspect of solidification [18], especially the carbon segregation in terms of the graphite formation [17,19,20]. Understanding the nucleation and growth processes of graphite during the course of solidification thus requires in depth dynamic time-resolved data at local scale.

Experimentally, such dynamic data has been obtained only for very thin samples (<200 μm) by two-dimensional (2D) radiography [21,22]. However, such experiments have the inherent limitation that the confined 2D geometry is not representative of the conditions under which the solidification of a component takes place in 3D, and the actual sizes and shapes of graphite particles cannot be determined. Alternatively, static and statistical data have been obtained from a series of interrupted solidification experiments [10,15] and combined with simulations to estimate the cooling curves and thus to investigate the melt preparation before casting [23]. However, such approach does not capture the effects of local microstructural variations. Consequently, despite the substantial efforts devoted to the understanding towards the formation of CG, limited fundamental knowledge about the evolution of CG has been obtained, highlighting the need to observe the solidification directly in the sample bulk. Two experiments on the formation of graphite during solidification have recently been performed using time-resolved synchrotron X-ray CT [24,25]. However, in one case the temporal resolution was insufficient to allow mapping of the dynamic evolution of CG [24], and in the other the spatial resolution is not sufficient to image microstructural variations [25].

In the present work, we use an upgraded synchrotron X-ray CT setup combined with a bespoke high-temperature environmental cell [25] that allows us to surmount these challenges such that we can directly observe the nucleation, growth and branching processes of CG during solidification, and resolve local instantaneous microstructural variations around the graphite. We present results of an experiment where we first repeatedly melted and cooled a ductile cast iron sample in a controlled manner to allow the formation of CG. In the cooling stage of the last cycle, a series of back-to-back X-ray tomograms were acquired over time. Accordingly, we captured how the highly branched CG aggregate forms in the semi-solid phase, and how local microstructural variations affect the evolving process.

2. Methods

The initial composition of the material is shown in Table 1, which is the same as in our previous investigation [25]. A master alloy was prepared by melting 15 kg of cast iron in a graphite crucible, followed by addition of a standard Mg treatment alloy, immediately before the alloy was cast into 500 g ingots. From these ingots samples of 12 mm in length and 2 mm in diameter were machined.

| C  | Si | Mg | Mn | P  | S  | Ce | La | T°e |
|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|
| 3.6 | 1.9 | 0.08 | 0.1 | 0.02 | 0.001 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 1162 (°C) |

The amount of Mg in the cast iron melt was controlled to ensure spheroidal growth in the first several solidification cycles. Synchrotron X-ray absorption-contrast CT was performed at the beamline I12 [26], Diamond Light Source, UK. A high-temperature environmental cell was used for in-situ X-ray CT, designed for solidifying materials with a melt point exceeding 1300 °C, controlled cooling rates as slow as 10⁻² °C/s (K/s) and a temperature precision of ±0.5 °C. A vertical section view of the cell and a schematic of the sample module are provided in Fig. 1a and b, respectively. The sample with a size of 20 mm × 20 mm × 20 mm was encapsulated in a 2.1 mm argon filled quartz tube, and supported by a quartz rod at the bottom to prevent it from moving during the course of solidification. The encapsulated sample was mounted on an alumina rod connected to a rotation stage, enabling rotation for tomography. Temperature was measured with an R-type thermocouple located outside the casting by 3 mm away from the sample surface.

The sample was repeatedly heated and cooled as shown in Fig. 1c. In each cycle, the sample was heated to 1178 °C, briefly held to ensure the material melted within the whole gauge volume, and then cooled at a rate of 0.3 °C/s to 1000 °C. The present analysis is based on the last cooling cycle, which is marked as green in Fig. 1c. During the time-resolved high-temperature solidification experiment, a monochromatic beam with an energy of 60.9 keV and a PCO edge camera with a pixel size of 3.24 μm were used for tomographic imaging. In total, 200 tomograms with a size of 2981 × 3013 × 3434 μm³ were acquired, each of which consists of 720 projections over 180° with an exposure time of 5 ms and a frame rate of 182 Hz (or 4 s per tomogram). Image reconstruction and registration were performed using SAVU® and Avizo®, respectively. The post processing and segmentation of data were performed using MATLAB®. A sub-volume with a size of 1040 × 878 × 910 μm³ was extracted to perform statistical analysis. Particles with a size smaller than 8 voxels were excluded in our statistical analysis to eliminate the effect of noise. The smallest graphite particles included in the analysis is thus with a diameter of 7.8 μm.

Finally, the graphite morphology of the entire sample was characterized after solidification at room temperature using laboratory X-ray CT with a resolution of 1.1 × 1.1 × 1.1 μm³ and SEM with accelerating voltage of 15 kV and an emission current of 2.32 nA.

3. Results and discussion

Considering SEM sections of the whole specimen after the experiment (Fig. 2a–d), shrinkage formed during melting and solidification is clearly visible along with some porosities at the top of the sample. Based on the morphology of graphite, the sample volume can be divided into two regions: Region 1 with only lamellar graphite (LG) and a thickness of 1–3 μm (see Fig. 2b and c), and region 2 with a mixture of spheroidal graphite (SG) and CG (see Fig. 2b and d). The size of SG varies from a few micrometers to about 40 μm in diameter. It should be noted that the small SG generally has a relatively high sphericity, while the SG with a large size tends to take more irregular forms. The CG is curved, elongated, branched and randomly oriented.

The branch thickness is in the range of 2–20 μm. The resulting
mixture of graphite morphologies is in agreement with that of other re-melting experiments [28]. The statistical analysis in this paper was conducted using a central part of the volume with a size of $880 \times 910 \times 1040 \, \mu m^3$, within which predominantly SG and CG morphologies are observed (see the light green frame in Fig. 2a), to focus on the nucleation and growth of the CG.

### 3.1. Nucleation

The first graphite particle in the analyzed volume is detected at 1129 °C with a relatively large size, presumably floating up from a lower region with a lower temperature due to buoyancy forces. Afterwards, several graphite embryos are observed in the temperature range of 1129 °C–1117 °C. During the following period, the nucleation rate dramatically increases and thousands of graphite particles form at temperatures between 1117 °C and 1099 °C. A subset of the analyzed volume is shown in Fig. 2e. Most graphite embryos are in a spheroidal shape and a few in plate-like shapes (Fig. 2e). With decreasing temperature, more particles nucleate whilst those formed earlier develop into larger sizes simultaneously. One of the most remarkable observations from the in-situ examination is that the plate-like CG grows very fast compared to SG, and develops into a highly branched aggregate structure, resulting in the CG particles as the dominating morphology in the volume. Although most spheroidal embryos are observed to keep their spheroidal shapes during their growth, it is interesting to note that several spheroidal embryos develop protrusions as seen from the blue graphite in Fig. 2e and f.

Furthermore, the in-situ CT characterization allows to track the morphology of all graphite particles by detecting the initial embryo of each graphite particle. It is evident that almost all particles are initially detected with a sphericity larger than 0.75. However, 87% of these have a sphericity larger than 0.9 and about 6% are smaller than 0.85. For example, two green particles marked with arrows in Fig. 2e have a sphericity of 0.77 and 0.86 showing a plate-like structure instead of a spheroidal shape. These findings are consistent with previous research through quenching experiments [10–12,14], which stated that CG may form not only with a mainly spheroidal shape, followed by growing into tadpole graphite and developing into CG, but also with CG growing directly on the nuclei. It should be noted that the plate-like embryos might form with a spheroidal shape and a size smaller than the spatial resolution in present study, and then grow into a larger plate-like graphite.

Due to low X-ray attenuation contrast between the austenite and the liquid phase at high temperatures, precisely segmenting austenite from the liquid phase was not possible. Nevertheless, variations in the attenuation are clearly evident in 2D sections of the tomograms, as a result of the difference in density between the austenite and liquid. Some of these 2D sections showing changes in the microstructure as a function of decreasing temperatures are visualized in Fig. 3a–g, with low attenuation in blue (graphite, liquid) and high attenuation in red (solid). As temperature decreases and solidification progresses, graphite particles nucleate and grow in the melt (see Fig. 3a), rejecting the Fe atoms at the solidifying front and increasing the Fe content in vicinity. Subsequently, austenite nucleates and grows as the temperature decreases further (Fig. 3b) at sites close to the graphite particles and along the ligaments between nodules, partially or fully encapsulating the graphite particles. Austenite shells develop at the liquid-graphite interfaces, significantly reducing the flux of carbon atoms.
Fig. 2. (a) A 2D slice extracted from laboratory X-ray CT scans revealing the post-solidification graphite morphologies in the entire 12 mm sample at room temperature. The white dashed line divides the volume into two regions: region 1 with only lamellar graphite and region 2 with a mixture of spheroidal and compacted graphite. The region characterized by synchrotron X-ray is marked with a light green frame. The microstructures on the A-A cross section is shown in (b), a montage of SEM images. The local microstructures in the blue and green rectangles in (b) are shown in (c) and (d) respectively at high magnifications, where flaky, compacted and spheroidal graphite particles can be seen clearly. The region of the sample in which time-resolved observations were performed using synchrotron X-ray is highlighted by a light green rectangle in (a). Orange in (a) highlights a central sub-volume where only spheroidal and compacted graphite particles were observed, and the statistics in the present paper are from the central sub-volume. The evolution of graphite particles in a part of the sub-volume, marked as yellow in (a), is shown in 3D in (e)–(f). The color in (e)–(f) is randomly selected to show individual particles and does not have any other specific meaning. (A colour version of this figure can be viewed online.)
and growth of graphite. The carbon atoms concentrate at the solidification front of the austenite again, enabling secondary nucleation of graphite in the melt near the austenite. Fig. 3 shows that secondary nucleation accelerates once the primary graphite is engulfed by the austenite, especially the nucleation of CG. One example is shown in Fig. 3f, where two graphite embryos (marked with pink arrows) form immediately after a primary graphite (marked using a white arrow) is encapsulated. This observation is in good agreement with earlier studies by in-situ synchrotron radiography and tomography [20], which reported that the engulfment of graphite particles into austenite in a short duration promotes the secondary nucleation of graphite particles.

The in-situ examination allows to investigate the variation in size versus temperature. By extrapolating each graphite particle's growth back to zero radius, the highest temperature at which nucleation of the graphite particles occurred is estimated as the nucleation temperature. Fig. 4a and b with y axis in linear and log scale respectively are histograms of the nucleation temperature of all tracked graphite. It shows that the number of graphite particles increases until a temperature of about 1104 °C is reached, after which it decreases again. No graphite particles form below 1090 °C (Fig. 4b), indicative of the end of solidification. This reveals that nucleation is active throughout the interval of graphite precipitation but apparently with varying nucleation rate.

Interestingly, the majority of primary graphite nucleating in the early stage and more than half of the graphite forming in the last stage are found to be SG at the end of solidification (Fig. 4a). Most secondary graphite forming in the intermediate stage, however, is observed to develop into CG. This result confirms previous findings by colored etching, stating that SG can form either in the first or in the last solidified region [29]. In the beginning of solidification, more SG nucleates as a result of relatively high Mg in the melt, followed by formation of CG caused by the consumption of Mg atoms. The solidifying eutectic rejects Mg, enriching Mg in the remaining melt and promoting SG formation [29,30].

Moreover, it can be noticed in Fig. 4b that the nucleation frequency curve of SG is bimodal, which corresponding to the primary and second nucleation of graphite particles. SG forms earlier tend to have a larger size due to the longer growth time [31]. The result is thus in line with previous results on the final size distribution of
graphite particles [32]. The nucleation curve of CG is monotonic when compared to the bimodal curve of SG. It might be caused by the much lower nucleation rate of CG in the beginning of solidification.

3.2. The evolution of global size and morphology

Once the carbon clusters reach a critical radius forming the graphite embryos, they will spontaneously grow. The temporal resolution of the present time-resolved characterization allows determination of how the morphology of graphite evolves exactly during the course of solidification, i.e. quantification of size and sphericity at different solidification stages, by comparing the microstructures at each temperature interval. The number of graphite particles is plotted as a function of temperature for different size categories in Fig. 5a. It can be seen that the size distribution is increasingly dominated by the smallest particle sizes until 1100 °C where the nucleation seems to have ceased or slowed down (see Fig. 4). Below 1100 °C the graphite continues growing, hence decreasing the number of small graphite particles. As mentioned above, most graphite nucleates with a sphericity larger than 0.9, thus the shape distribution is dominated by spheroidal particles as shown in Fig. 5b. As the graphite nucleation ceases before 1090 °C, only graphite growth occurs thereafter and it is thus reasonable to assume that the reductions in sphericity after 1090 °C are primarily due to graphite growth. This is substantiated by the clear tendency for the graphite with a low sphericity to have larger volumes than those with a high sphericity after solidification, as is shown in Fig. 6a.

The in-situ tomography data also allows tracking from which nucleus each individual final graphite particle develops, and thus determining the change in sphericity. The sphericity difference between the first nucleus and the corresponding fully grown graphite particle at the end of solidification is calculated for all particles, and the distribution is shown in Fig. 6b. The majority of embryos develop into graphite particles with a lower sphericity, while 9% of the embryos retain their high sphericity during growth.

3.3. Growth of SG

The above analysis provides to a global understanding of the morphology development, which is visualized in Fig. 2e–k and statistically analyzed in Fig. 5. It is found that large graphite particles with a sphericity lower than 0.6, identified as SG in the analyzed volume, are initially observed with a mainly spheroidal shape and a few in a plate-like shape, then grow into a highly branched aggregate. To understand why the morphology evolves in this pattern and to relate this general observation to individual growth of both SG and CG, selected particles are monitored over time (Fig. 7). The data shows that the SG particles grow according to previously reported three stages [33,34]. Initially, the graphite grows with a large growth rate immediately after nucleation, caused by its uninhibited access to the carbon-rich liquid. Then austenite forms around the graphite, encapsulating the particles and detaching the graphite from a direct supply of carbon in the liquid. Next, the growth is controlled by diffusion of carbon through the austenite shell, leading to a lower and nearly constant growth rate. As the temperature approaches 1100 °C, the carbon supply from the liquid is nearly exhausted and a new growth stage is observed during the subsequent cooling. This is fully consistent with previous reports of divorced eutectic growth of graphite and austenite, and engulfment of graphite during solidification of ductile cast iron [35,36].

3.4. Growth, branching and interconnection of CG

Contrary to the growth of the SG, the growth curve of the CG can be divided into three different stages: Slow growth in a short period after nucleation, growth with a significantly high growth rate, and very slow growth in the solid cooling stage. The gradual growth in the third stage is clearly revealed by a linear fitting (see grey dashed lines in Fig. 7), though the volume of the graphite shows fluctuation.
due to segmentation variations. It has been widely reported that the CG has a worm-like structure in 2D and a highly branched aggregate structure in 3D, i.e. irregularly shaped plates with multiple nodule-like particles attached to it [4,11,33]. Previously, single nucleus has been detected in quasi-spheroidal CG aggregate by chemical composition characterization on a 2D section [15]. However, it is not clear if there are more nuclei located in other sections of the CG aggregate. Additionally it is inconceivable that one CG particle nucleates at one site and grows to form a particle with a size of 2 mm. CG particles as large as these would form by interconnection of several smaller particles, which has also been reported before [15].

It is thus of interest to use the available 4D data to unravel how such large CG structures form and to investigate the extremely high growth rate in the second stage. Fig. 8 shows the morphology evolution of the CG particles marked with an arrow in Fig. 7. For the first time we directly observe graphite nucleating at different sites and growing into larger sizes, followed by the development of branches and interconnections with each other. The present findings are well substantiated by previous 3D microstructure investigations on the morphology of CG [4,15]. By comparing the growth curve in Fig. 4 and the morphologies in Fig. 8, it is clear that the steep increases in the growth rates are mainly a result of the interconnection of graphite particles.

The number of single particles that a final aggregate particle consists of can be calculated by tracking the whole evolution process. To further investigate the role of the particle interconnection in the formation of CG, a new parameter, connectivity, is defined here as the number of single particles involved in the formation of a CG particle at the end of solidification. Statistical analysis regarding the size distributions (in terms of volume) and the evolution process of CG has been conducted, and the result is shown in Fig. 9. The correlation between the size and the connectivity is estimated using a linear fit:

\[
V = 7898\frac{\text{Conn}}{C^2} + 848.7
\]

where \(V\) and \(\text{Conn}\) are the volume size (\(\text{m}^3\)) and connectivity of the CG respectively. As plotted using a dashed line in Fig. 9, it shows a clear positive linear relation. This is substantiated by the fact that a CG in millimeter scale could form with temperature decreasing from 1230 °C to 1139 °C within 2 min [15].

This absorption contrast due to X-ray attenuation can also be related to the carbon concentration in the melt. An example of the CG in Fig. 7 is shown in Fig. 10 as contour maps of a 2D section at...
different solidification stages. The graphite is shown in white, whilst the colors of the surrounding field reflect the attenuation. The yellow and red regions contain less carbon (an indication for austenite) and the dark blue regions are considered to be thin melt channels between the solid structures. It is observed that the SG grows isotropically as a result of the similar carbon content around the SG. Another SG nucleates at 1101 °C (Fig. 10c) and grows laterally toward high carbon concentration forming an elongated shape (Fig. 10d), a result of its direct contact with the melt. Another particle nucleates in the same carbon-rich region (Fig. 10e), then degenerates and becomes a protrusion (Fig. 10f). Remarkably, these two particles grow along the thin melt channel towards each other and interconnect forming one worm-like CG (Fig. 10g and h). This observation further strengthens the hypothesis that high carbon concentration, i.e. thin melt channels, induces the development of branches and interconnection of particles. The branching mechanism is believed to be a combination of twinning and rotation [10,13,37].

By comparing the last synchrotron tomogram at the end of solidification with the laboratory CT obtained after the experiments at room temperature, further continued growth of graphite particles during subsequent cooling and eutectoid transformation is analyzed. It is confirmed that all the highly branched CG aggregates grow and interconnect to a large CG structure during subsequent cooling in solid state, providing further evidence for the role played by interconnection of graphite particles in the formation process. However, it should be noted that the large CG might already form at the end of solidification by very fine graphite ligaments, which

---

**Fig. 8.** Storyboard of the evolution of a compacted graphite particle showing nucleation, growth and interconnection. Graphite particles nucleate at different sites, grow into larger sizes, develop branches, and interconnect with each other. (A colour version of this figure can be viewed online.)

**Fig. 9.** Vol size of graphite particles as a function of connectivity. The correlation is estimated by a linear fitting indicated with dashed lines, showing a clear positive linear relation between the volume size and the connectivity. (A colour version of this figure can be viewed online.)
cannot be detected with the synchrotron CT. Furthermore, the phenomenon is observed in the tiny sample with a fixed cooling rate, which is different from large commercial casting. The graphite morphology at room temperature in the same volume as Fig. 2e–k is shown in Fig. 11, indicating that the large CG extends over the entire volume. The remaining graphite, which is not attached to the CG, is SG with degenerate features. The particle growth from the end of solidification to room temperature is between 5% and 26% with an average of 17%, relative to their size at the end of solidification, while the growth value for the CG is 44%. The diffusion distance of carbon atoms in the steel matrix around highly branched CG should be much shorter than that around SG, and it thus appears reasonable to observe more growth in CG.

4. Conclusion

The present work highlights the unique capability of synchrotron CT for direct observations of the evolution of CG during solidification. During repeated melting and solidifying of a ductile cast iron sample, it was discovered that: (i) CG graphite is detected initially in mainly spheroidal shapes but with few particles in plate-like shapes, (ii) except for initial growth and branching, interconnection of graphite particles plays a crucial role in the formation of CG, and (iii) CG grows much faster than SG during subsequent cooling in solid state. Furthermore, the growth and branching of the graphite appears to be induced by high carbon concentrations, i.e. thin melt channels between solid structures.
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