The management of femoral neck fractures remains controversial. Treatment options include a wide variety of internal fixation methods, unipolar or bipolar hemiarthroplasty or total hip replacement.

We carried out a systematic review of the available literature to detect differences between cemented and cementless fixation of bipolar prostheses in treating femoral neck fractures in patients aged 60 years or older.

Thirteen studies involving a total of 1561 bipolar hemiarthroplasties (770 cemented and 791 uncemented) were identified. Uncemented hemiarthroplasty was associated with significantly lower blood loss ($p < 0.0001$), shorter operative time ($p < 0.0001$), less infection ($p = 0.03$) and lower risk of heterotopic ossification ($p = 0.007$). On the other hand, patients with cemented hemiarthroplasty suffered significantly less postoperative thigh pain than those with cementless implantation ($p < 0.00001$).

The existing evidence indicates that uncemented bipolar hemiarthroplasty offers shorter operative time, less blood loss, lower local complications and a similar rate of systemic complications and reoperations as compared to cemented implantation.
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Introduction

Fractures of the femoral neck are common in the elderly and can significantly increase mortality, decrease mobility and increase the health cost.\cite{1,2} They account for nearly 50% of hip fractures.\cite{3} Treatment options include a wide variety of internal fixation methods, hemiarthroplasty or total hip replacement. The case for arthroplasty was strengthened by the use of bone cement that allows early mobilization of the fractured, elderly and morbid patients. The aims of treatment are pain relief, early mobilization and maintenance of the patient’s independence.\cite{1,2,4}

Hemiarthroplasty is a widespread surgical treatment of displaced femoral neck fractures in older people. Two implant categories are available, either a unipolar or a bipolar hemiarthroplasty.\cite{5} Traditionally, cemented implantation is mostly preferred in elderly patients. However, later studies showing promising results using cementless fixation have been reported. In this work, we aimed to conduct a systematic review with meta-analysis to detect differences in outcome between cementless and cemented bipolar arthroplasty in femoral neck fractures in elderly patients as regards blood loss, mortality rate, operative time, hospital stay, dislocation rate and complications using the best available evidence. Only studies with bipolar hemiarthroplasty were analysed, excluding cases treated with unipolar prostheses or total hip replacement.

Materials and methods

We searched PubMed, Embase, MEDLINE and Cochrane Library for papers in English language comparing cemented to uncemented bipolar arthroplasty in treating femoral neck fracture in patients aged 60 years or older. Two reviewers independently performed an electronic search of the literature from inception to 31 December 2015 using these search terms: uncemented hemiarthroplasty, cemented hemiarthroplasty, femoral neck fracture, elderly, unipolar or bipolar, hip fractures, hip fracture, femoral neck fracture*, femoral neck fractures*, intracapsular hip fracture*, intracapsular neck fracture*, arthroplasty, arthroplast*, hemiarthroplasty* and bipolar prostheses*. Terms were connected by “AND” and “OR”. This was supplemented with manual searches of the retrieved studies. We reviewed titles and abstracts first and then decided potentially whether the paper was eligible.
or not. We then carefully analysed the full texts of these studies according to the inclusion criteria and extracted the relevant clinical and research data.

The eligibility criteria for this meta-analysis were papers comparing cementless and cemented bipolar arthroplasty in femoral neck fractures in elderly patients. The exclusion criteria included personal communications, unpublished data, clinical trials under research, studies with unipolar prostheses, total hip replacement or non-identified prosthetic implants as well as papers without an English abstract. Two researchers independently extracted the following data: mortality rate, blood loss, operative time, length of hospital stay, postoperative myocardial infarction, postoperative pneumonia, pulmonary embolism, postoperative infection, dislocation rate, heterotopic ossification and postoperative thigh pain. Statistical significance was set at a p-value of $p \leq 0.05$ with a confidence interval of 95%.

**Results**

Thirteen studies involving a total of 1561 bipolar hemiarthroplasties were eligible for this meta-analysis (Fig. 1). There were 770 cemented and 791 uncemented implantations in patients aged 60 years or older. The decision for cemented or cementless fixation was according to randomization in six prospective randomized controlled trials. In the rest, it was according to surgeon’s preference. In all selected studies, both groups were matched in terms of age, gender, fracture pattern, associated comorbidities according to the preoperative American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) scores and preoperative ambulatory status to avoid selection bias.

Mortality (from 6 months to 19 months) was discussed in eight studies (519 cemented and 474 uncemented hemiarthroplasties). There were 166 deaths in cemented (32%) and 138 (29%) in uncemented implantations. Although this meta-analysis demonstrated more mortality with cemented implantation, the difference was, however, not statistically significant ($p = 0.36$).

Blood loss data were provided in four studies, with 234 cemented and 245 uncemented prostheses. The average blood loss was 362 ml in the cemented group and 288 ml in the uncemented group. The lower blood loss in uncemented hemiarthroplasty was statistically significant ($p < 0.0001$).

The operative time was provided in eight studies. The total number of cemented hemiarthroplasties was 509 and uncemented hemiarthroplasties was 590. Meta-analysis results demonstrated less operative time in uncemented hemiarthroplasty (mean: 71 minutes) compared to cemented hemiarthroplasty (mean: 86 minutes). The difference was statistically significant ($p < 0.0001$).

As regards the length of hospital stay (LOS), information was provided in nine studies with a total of 625 cemented and 643 cementless prostheses. The average hospital stay for cemented arthroplasty was 16.27 days for cemented and 16.17 for uncemented hemiarthroplasty without significant differences between the groups ($p = 0.27$).

Postoperative infection data were provided in 10 studies. The total number of cemented hemiarthroplasties was 619 cases and of uncemented arthroplasty was 705 cases. The postoperative infection rate for cemented was 3.8% ($n = 24$) and for uncemented was 2.2% ($n = 16$). The difference was statistically significant ($p = 0.03$) with an odds ratio of 1.96.
In the eight studies that described the incidence of postoperative pneumonia, 21 patients with cemented hemiarthroplasty and 29 patients with uncemented hemiarthroplasty were described, with a rate of 4% in the cemented group (total: 523) and 6% in the uncemented group (total: 477). Meta-analysis results demonstrated no statistical difference ($p = 0.29$). Additionally, eight studies discussed the rate of postoperative myocardial infarction after bipolar hemiarthroplasty in femoral neck fractures (Fig. 5). These also showed no statistically significant difference ($p = 0.1$) between cemented and cementless implantations (2% and 1% respectively). As regards pulmonary embolism, nine out of 718 patients with cemented implantation (1.2%) developed postoperative pulmonary embolism compared to seven patients out of 763 with uncemented implantation (0.9%). The difference was again not statistically significant ($p = 0.32$).

Dislocation occurred in 13 out of 706 cemented (1.8%) and in 10 out of 752 uncemented bipolar hemiarthroplasties (1.3%). These were the studies that provided data...
about postoperative dislocation ($n = 10$ studies). The difference was not significant ($p = 0.54$).

The incidence of heterotopic ossification after bipolar hemiarthroplasty was studied in five series with a total number of $272$ cemented and $328$ uncemented implantations (Fig. 6). Ninety-eight patients developed heterotopic ossifications in the cemented group (21%) as compared to $84$ patients in the uncemented group (14%). The difference was statistically significant ($p = 0.007$) with an odds ratio of $1.56$.

Iatrogenic femoral fractures (Fig. 7) occurred in $14$ patients out of $540$ cemented bipolar hemiarthroplasties (2.6%) and in $24$ patients out of $642$ uncemented bipolar hemiarthroplasties (3.7%) in the eight studies that dealt with this complication (odds ratio 0.62). The difference was not statistically significant ($p = 0.12$).

Forty-nine patients with cemented hemiarthroplasties complained of postoperative thigh pain from a total of $435$ patients (11%). On the other hand, $115$ patients from $425$ cases treated with cementless hemiarthroplasty (27%) developed thigh pain after implantation (Fig. 8). The difference was statistically highly significant ($p < 0.00001$).

Although there was a tendency for more reoperations after uncemented hemiarthroplasty (6.4%) in comparison to cemented implantation (4.5%) in seven studies with a total number of $549$ cemented and $547$ uncemented
replacements, the difference between both groups was still not statistically significant (p = 0.07).

Discussion

Bipolar hemiarthroplasty is considered the treatment of choice for displaced femoral neck fractures in elderly patients. Cemented fixation is an established procedure for the mobile elderly. Cement pressurization, however, raises the intramedullary pressure and may cause fat embolism and fatal bone cement implantation syndrome, especially in patients with several comorbidities. Cementless implantation yields lower intramedullary pressures with less embolization and less hemodynamic imbalance. This may decrease the mortality rate but is technically demanding and needs thorough planning and precise execution.

Previous trials comparing cemented and uncemented hemiarthroplasty have reported contradictory results. Therefore we carried out this systematic review to detect evidence-based differences in outcome and complications between cementless and cemented bipolar hemiarthroplasty in femoral neck fractures in elderly patients.

In general, postoperative mortality in elderly patients undergoing surgery for femoral neck fractures is high. Our meta-analysis showed, however, no statistically significant difference in the mortality rate between cemented and cementless hemiarthroplasty. This agrees with the results described in other studies. Our study is limited by the relatively small number of cases involved in this meta-analysis considering the high incidence of femoral neck fractures in elderly patients. Also, despite having matched cemented and cementless groups in all selected studies, only half were randomized as regards the fixation method, amounting for a potential selection bias. Furthermore, the total number of complications was based on the complications reported in the included studies. Possible differences in the definition of a complication or the length of follow-up might influence the complication rate, particularly for late complications such as loosening and osteolysis. Additionally, the difference in follow-up times and the different functional outcomes make it difficult to pool some data. Despite these limitations, our study adds to the literature, as systematic reviews with meta-analysis represent the best available evidence to compare cemented and cementless bipolar hemiarthroplasty in femoral neck fractures.

In conclusion, the existing evidence indicates that uncemented bipolar hemiarthroplasty is associated with a
shorter operative time, less blood loss and less risk of infection and heterotopic ossification compared to cemented implantation with no significant difference as regards other postoperative local or systemic complications or the reoperation rate. Thigh pain, however, remains a concern in cementless fixation. There remains a need for a methodologically sound, large multicentre randomized controlled trial comparing modern cemented and cementless hemiarthroplasty stems in the medium and long term, not only focusing on mortality and complication rates but also on patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs).

AUTHOR INFORMATION
1Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany.
2Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt.

Correspondence should be sent to: Khaled Hamed Salem, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Kasr El-Aini Street, Cairo, Egypt. Email: Khaled_hamedsalem@hotmail.com

ICMJE CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT
The authors declare no conflict of interest relevant to this work.

FUNDING STATEMENT
No benefits in any form have been received or will be received from a commercial party related directly or indirectly to the subject of this article.

OPEN ACCESS
© 2021 The author(s)
This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0) licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed.

REFERENCES
1. Bhandari M, Devereaux PJ, Tornetta P III, et al. Operative management of displaced femoral neck fractures in elderly patients: an international survey. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2005;87:2122–2130.
2. Crossman PT, Khan RJ, MacDowell A, Gardner AC, Reddy NS, Keene GS. A survey of the treatment of displaced intracapsular femoral neck fractures in the UK. Injury 2002;33:383–386.
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Fatalities and injuries from falls among older adults—United States, 1993–2003 and 2001–2005. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2006;55:1221–1224.
4. Baker RP, Squires B, Gargan MF, Bannister GC. Total hip arthroplasty and hemiarthroplasty in mobile, independent patients with a displaced intracapsular fracture of the femoral neck: a randomized, controlled trial. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2006;88:2583–2589.
5. Liu Y, Tao X, Wang P, Zhang Z, Zhang W, Qi Q. Meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials comparing unipolar with bipolar hip hemiarthroplasty for displaced femoral neck fractures. Int Orthop 2014;38:1691–1696.
6. Lo WH, Chen WM, Huang CK, Chen TH, Chiu FY, Chen CM. Bateman bipolar hemiarthroplasty for displaced intracapsular femoral neck fractures: un cemented versus cemented. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1994;302:75–82.
7. Chen TH, Huang CK, Chen WM, Chiang CC, Lo WH. Heterotopic ossification after cemented or un cemented bateman bipolar hemiarthroplasty. Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi (Taipei) 1998;61:520–523.
8. Figved W, Opland V, Fri hagen F, Jervidal o T, Madsen JE, Nordsletten L. Cemented versus un cemented hemiarthroplasty for displaced femoral neck fractures. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2009;467:2426–2435.
9. Lausten GS, Vedel P, Nielsen PM. Fractures of the femoral neck treated with a bipolar endoprosthesis. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1987;218:63–67.
10. Rajak MK, Jha R, Kumar P, Thakur R. Bipolar hemiarthroplasty for intracapsular femoral neck fractures in elderly patients. J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong) 2013;21:313–316.
11. Emery RJ, Broughton NS, Desai K, Bulstrode CJ, Thomas TL. Bipolar hemiarthroplasty for subcapital fracture of the femoral neck: a prospective randomised trial of cemented Thompson and un cemented Moore stems. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1991;73:322–324.
12. Ng ZD, Krishna L. Cemented versus cementless hemiarthroplasty for femoral neck fractures in the elderly. J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong) 2014;22:186–189.
13. Langslet E, Fri hagen F, Opland V, Madsen JE, Nordsletten L, Figved W. Cemented versus un cemented hemiarthroplasty for displaced femoral neck fractures: 5-year follow up of a randomized trial. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2014;472:1291–1299.
14. Bell KR, Clement ND, Jenkins PJ, Keating JF. A comparison of the use of un cemented hydroxyapatite-coated bipolar and cemented femoral stems in the treatment of femoral neck fractures: a case-control study. Bone Joint J 2014;96-B:299–305.
15. Dorr LD, Glousman R, Hoy AL, Vanis R, Chandler R. Treatment of femoral neck fractures with total hip replacement versus cemented and non cemented hemiarthroplasty. J Arthroplasty 1986;1:17–24.
16. Lennox IA, McLauchlan J. Comparing the mortality and morbidity of cemented and un cemented hemiarthroplasties. Injury 1993;24:185–186.
17. Singh U, Singh K, Waikhom S, et al. A comparative study between cemented and un cemented bipolar hemiarthroplasty in the treatment of fresh fracture of the femoral neck in the elderly patients. J Med Soc 2011;17:9–23.
18. Santini S, Rebeccato A, Bolgan I, Turi G. Hip fractures in elderly patients treated with bipolar hemiarthroplasty: comparison between cemented and cementless implants. J Orthop Trauma 2005;6:86–87.
19. Parker MJ, Gurusamy K. Arthroplasties (with and without bone cement) for proximal femoral fractures in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2006;3:CD00706.
20. Talsnes O, Hjelmstedt F, Pripp AH, Reikerås O, Dahl OE. No difference in mortality between cemented and un cemented hemiprosthesis for elderly patients with cervical hip fracture: a prospective randomized study on 334 patients over 75 years. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2013;133:805–809.
21. Taylor F, Wright M, Zhu M. Hemiarthroplasty of the hip with and without cement: a randomized clinical trial. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2012;94:577–583.
22. Hossain M, Andrew JG. Is there a difference in perioperative mortality between cemented and un cemented implants in hip fracture surgery? Injury 2012;43:2161–2164.
23. Costa ML, Griffin XL, Pendleton N, Pearson M, Parsons N. Does cementing the femoral component increase the risk of peri-operative mortality for patients having replacement surgery for a fracture of the neck of femur? Data from the National Hip Fracture Database. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2011;93:1405–1410.

24. Li T, Zhuang Q, Weng X, et al. Cemented versus Uncemented Hemiarthroplasty for Femoral Neck Fractures in Elderly Patients: A Meta-Analysis. *PLoS One* 2013;8(7):e68903.

25. Sonne-Holm S, Walter S, Jensen JS. Moore hemiarthroplasty with and without bone cement in femoral neck fractures: a controlled clinical trial. *Acta Orthop Scand* 1982;53:953–956.

26. Donaldson AJ, Thomson HE, Harper NJ, Kenny NW. Bone cement implantation syndrome. *Br J Anaesth* 2009;102:12–22.

27. Christie J, Burnett R, Potts HR, Pell AC. Echocardiography of transatrial embolism during cemented and uncemented hemiarthroplasty of the hip. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1994;76:409–412.

28. Park SE, Kim YY, Jeong JJ, Choi SG, Jeong DS, Kim WY. Comparison of postoperative bleeding and complications between cemented and non-cemented bipolar hemiarthroplasty in treatment of unstable peritrochanteric fracture. *Hip Pelvis* 2013;25:37–43.

29. Deangelis JP, Ademi A, Staff I, Lewis CG. Cemented versus uncemented hemiarthroplasty for displaced femoral neck fractures: a prospective randomized trial with early follow-up. *J Orthop Trauma* 2012;26:135–140.

30. Luo X, He S, Li Z, Huang D. Systematic review of cemented versus uncemented hemiarthroplasty for displaced femoral neck fractures in older patients. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg* 2012;132:455–463.