Safety and Efficacy of Exenatide Once Weekly Plus Dapagliflozin Once Daily Versus Exenatide or Dapagliflozin Alone in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Inadequately Controlled With Metformin Monotherapy: 52-Week Results of the DURATION-8 Randomized Controlled Trial

OBJECTIVE
Among patients with type 2 diabetes uncontrolled with metformin, exenatide once weekly (QW) plus dapagliflozin combination produced greater reductions in glycemia, weight, and systolic blood pressure (SBP) at 28 weeks than exenatide QW or dapagliflozin alone (DURATION-8). Here, we investigated the safety and maintenance of efficacy at 52 weeks, after a 24-week extension.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS
This phase 3, multicenter, double-blind study randomized adults with type 2 diabetes (with glycated hemoglobin [HbA1c] 8.0–12.0% [64–108 mmol/mol] and on metformin ≥1,500 mg/day) to exenatide QW (2-mg subcutaneous injection) plus once-daily dapagliflozin (10-mg oral tablet), exenatide QW plus oral placebo, or dapagliflozin (2-mg subcutaneous injection) plus injected placebo. Extension-period P values were nominal.

RESULTS
Of 1,375 patients screened, 695 were randomized (mean baseline HbA1c 9.3% [78 mmol/mol]); 81.2% completed the study, and 75.3% completed treatment. At 52 weeks, HbA1c reductions were greater with exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin (least squares mean change −2.17% [−19.1 mmol/mol]) versus exenatide QW (−1.38% [−15.1 mmol/mol]; P = 0.006) or dapagliflozin (−1.23% [−13.4 mmol/mol]; P < 0.001); mean HbA1c values were 6.9% (52 mmol/mol), 7.2% (55 mmol/mol), and 7.4% (57 mmol/mol), respectively. Weight and SBP reductions were greater with exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin (−3.31 kg and −4.5 mmHg) versus exenatide QW (−1.51 kg and −0.7 mmHg; both P < 0.001) but similar to those with dapagliflozin (−2.28 kg and −2.7 mmHg; P = 0.057 and P = 0.100, respectively). The exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin regimen was well tolerated with no unexpected safety findings; more patients treated with exenatide QW experienced gastrointestinal and injection site–related adverse events. No major hypoglycemia occurred.

CONCLUSIONS
Among patients with type 2 diabetes uncontrolled with metformin, exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin provided sustained improvements in glycemia, weight, and SBP over 52 weeks, with no unexpected safety findings.
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The choice of therapeutic combinations that maximize glycemic control and minimize adverse events (AEs), such as weight gain or hypoglycemia, is critical and important to both health care providers and patients when selecting therapy to achieve glycemic targets in patients with type 2 diabetes (1). In addition, it is essential to choose therapies shown to have durability of effect and safety over time. Professional guidelines (2–4) further support this aim by recommending the combination of glucose-lowering therapies with different modes of action to achieve glycemic targets.

Two major classes of glucose-lowering drugs, glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists (GLP-1RAs) and sodium–glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors, have been launched in the last decade for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. GLP-1RAs increase insulin secretion, decrease glucagon secretion, slow gastric emptying, and increase satiety (5), whereas SGLT2 inhibitors increase caloric loss via urinary glucose excretion (6). Despite their different effects on glucose metabolism, these two classes of glucose-lowering drugs both reduce blood glucose levels with a minimal risk of hypoglycemia, produce sustained reductions in weight, and reduce systolic blood pressure (SBP), which may contribute to reduced cardiovascular risk (7,8).

In addition, SGLT2 inhibition appears to improve incretin sensitivity of pancreatic β-cells, providing a further rationale for the glycemic efficacy of the GLP-1RA/SGLT2 inhibitor combination (9).

Despite the potential benefits of combining a GLP-1RA and an SGLT2 inhibitor, the combination was not formally investigated in patients with type 2 diabetes until the DURATION-8 study. DURATION-8 was a multicenter, double-blind, randomized, active-controlled phase 3 trial that evaluated the effects of adding a combination of once-weekly exenatide (an extended-release formulation of the GLP-1RA exenatide that is encapsulated in biodegradable microspheres and administered by subcutaneous injection) and dapagliflozin (an SGLT2 inhibitor administered orally daily) in 695 patients with type 2 diabetes and poor glycemic control who are receiving metformin monotherapy (10). The results of the initial 28-week treatment period, which have been published previously, demonstrated that concomitant use of exenatide once weekly (QW) and dapagliflozin resulted in significantly superior clinical improvements in glycemic control, weight, and SBP compared with exenatide QW or dapagliflozin alone, with no unexpected safety findings (10). Here, we present results from the first 24-week extension of DURATION-8, which investigated the durability of the response for the efficacy and safety of this combination over 52 weeks (a further 52-week extension, period 2, is ongoing).

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Study Design and Participants

The design of the DURATION-8 study (clinical trial reg. no. NCT02229396, ClinicalTrials.gov) has been previously published (10). Briefly, DURATION-8 enrolled adults (≥18 years of age) with type 2 diabetes and inadequate glycemic control (glycated hemoglobin [HbA1c] 8.0–12.0% [64–108 mmol/mol]) despite stable metformin monotherapy (≥1,500 mg/day). Patients were randomized to receive exenatide 2 mg QW by subcutaneous injection plus dapagliflozin 10-mg oral tablets daily, exenatide QW with dapagliflozin-matched oral placebo daily, or dapagliflozin daily with exenatide QW–matched placebo injections. After week 28, patients continued into a 24-week double-blind extension period where they continued to receive their randomized treatment (extension period 1 [Supplementary Fig. 1]). From weeks 8 to 36, patients with inadequate glycemic control based on progressively stricter fasting plasma glucose (FPG) criteria (>15.0 mmol/L from weeks 8 to 12, >13.2 mmol/L from weeks 12 to 20, and >11.1 mmol/L from weeks 20 to 36) remained in the study and received open-label rescue therapy with basal insulin (Supplementary Table 1). From weeks 36 to 52, patients received rescue therapy if HbA1c level was >8.0% (>64 mmol/mol). The study protocol was approved at each study site by the appropriate institutional review board, and the study was conducted in accordance with the principles described in the Declaration of Helsinki and a common clinical protocol. Patients provided written informed consent before any study procedure.

Outcomes

The primary end point of the DURATION-8 study was the change in HbA1c from baseline to week 28. All end points at 52 weeks were considered exploratory and included the change from baseline in glycemic parameters (HbA1c, FPG, 2-h postprandial glucose [PPG] during a standardized liquid meal test (10), and change in six-point self-monitored blood glucose), the proportion of patients achieving glycemic targets (HbA1c <7.0% or ≤6.5% [<53 or ≤48 mmol/mol]), the change from baseline in selected cardiovascular risk factors (including weight, SBP, diastolic blood pressure [DBP], waist circumference, and fasting lipids [total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, non-HDL cholesterol, and triglycerides]), and the proportion of patients with weight loss of ≥5%.

Safety and tolerability over the 52-week study were also assessed. As previously described (10), safety was assessed using spontaneously reported AEs, laboratory tests, and vital signs. Hypoglycemic episodes were also recorded and were classified as major, minor, or other. Major hypoglycemia was defined as loss of consciousness, seizure, or coma resolving after glucagon or glucose administration, or any event requiring third-party assistance to resolve because of severe impairment in consciousness or behavior with a glucose concentration of <3.0 mmol/L. Minor hypoglycemia was defined as a nonmajor hypoglycemia event with symptoms consistent with hypoglycemia and a glucose concentration of <3.0 mmol/L before treatment of the episode. If a hypoglycemia event did not meet the criteria for a major or minor event, as described above, it was classified as “other” hypoglycemia.

Statistical Analysis

All efficacy variables were assessed in the intention-to-treat population, which was defined as all randomly assigned patients who received at least one dose of study drug with at least one postbaseline HbA1c assessment. All safety variables were analyzed in the safety analysis set, defined as all randomly assigned patients who received at least one dose of study drug.

Because all end points at 52 weeks were exploratory, only nominal P values were calculated. Changes in continuous variables were analyzed with a mixed-effects model for repeated-measures analyses (HbA1c, FPG, weight, SBP, DBP, waist circumference, and self-monitored blood glucose level) or an ANCOVA model.
(2-h PPG and fasting lipids). Categorical response variables (proportions achieving glycemic or weight targets) were analyzed using stratified Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel tests; missing 52-week data were imputed by the last observation carried forward (LOCF) method.

Data collected after the initiation of glycemic rescue therapy, or at the post-treatment follow-up visits after a premature treatment discontinuation, were excluded from the analyses of glycemic variables and weight. However, supportive analyses were also conducted including data after the initiation of rescue therapy for changes in HbA1c, FPG, and weight over time and are shown in Supplementary Fig. 2.

All analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.2 or higher (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC).

RESULTS

Patients

Of the 695 patients enrolled and randomized, 564 (81.2%) completed the 52-week study period and 523 (75.3%) completed 52 weeks of treatment (Fig. 1). The most common reasons for treatment discontinuation or study withdrawal were withdrawals by patients, AEs, or lost to follow-up. Over the 52 weeks of treatment, adherence to treatment was high (Supplementary Table 2).

Baseline characteristics and demographics of patients included in the DURATION-8 study have been reported previously (10). Briefly, patients (mean age 54.2 years; 47.9% male) had a mean baseline HbA1c of 9.3% (78 mmol/mol) and a mean diabetes duration of 7.4 years, and were mostly obese (mean BMI 32.7 kg/m²), with a low incidence of moderate renal dysfunction (3.6% with an estimated glomerular filtration rate [eGFR] of ≥30 to <60 mL/min/1.73 m² and 96.4% with an eGFR of ≥60 mL/min/1.73 m²). Demographic and baseline characteristics were similar across treatment groups, with the exception of fewer women in the exenatide group and fewer Hispanic patients in the dapagliflozin group (Supplementary Table 3).

Effect of treatment with exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin resulted in significantly greater mean reductions in HbA1c from baseline to week 28 (Fig. 2A), which were maintained through week 52 (least squares mean [LSM] change from baseline −1.75% [−19.1 mmol/mol]) compared with exenatide QW plus placebo (−1.38% [−15.1 mmol/mol]; P = 0.006) or dapagliflozin plus placebo (−1.23% [−13.4 mmol/mol]; P < 0.001) (Table 1). At week 52, mean HbA1c was 6.87% (52 mmol/mol) with exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin, 7.21% (55 mmol/mol) with exenatide QW plus placebo, and 7.36% (57 mmol/mol) with dapagliflozin plus placebo. The proportions of patients who achieved glycemic goals with exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin were generally similar at 28 and 52 weeks (Fig. 2B). At 52 weeks, more patients achieved an HbA1c level of <7.0% or ≤6.5% (<53 or ≤48 mmol/mol), respectively, with exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin (37.7% and 26.3%) than with exenatide QW plus placebo (30.0% and 17.2%) or dapagliflozin plus placebo (16.5% and 8.7%) (Fig. 2B and Table 1).

Patients who received exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin achieved significantly greater mean reductions in FPG from baseline to week 28 compared with exenatide QW plus placebo (−2.52 mmol/L; P < 0.001) or dapagliflozin plus placebo (−2.21 mmol/L; P < 0.001) (Table 1). Furthermore, mean reductions in 2-h PPG at 28 weeks were significantly greater with exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin (−3.56 mmol/L; P = 0.004) or dapagliflozin plus placebo (−3.31 mmol/L; P < 0.001) (Table 1). Similar results were also observed for mean average six-point self-monitored blood glucose concentrations: reductions at 28 weeks were significantly greater with exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin (Fig. 2D), which were maintained through week 52 (LSM change from baseline −4.58 mmol/L) compared with exenatide QW plus placebo (−3.56 mmol/L; P = 0.004) or dapagliflozin plus placebo (−3.31 mmol/L; P < 0.001) (Fig. 2D and Table 1). Simultaneous offspring were also observed for mean average six-point self-monitored blood glucose concentrations: reductions at 28 weeks were significantly greater with exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin (Supplementary Table 4), which were maintained through week 52 (LSM change from baseline −2.85 mmol/L) compared with exenatide QW plus placebo (−2.36 mmol/L; P = 0.011) or dapagliflozin plus placebo (−2.18 mmol/L; P < 0.001) (Supplementary Table 4).

Treatment with exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin resulted in significantly greater mean reductions in weight from baseline to week 28 compared with exenatide QW plus placebo or dapagliflozin plus placebo (Fig. 2E), and these differences between treatment groups were maintained at 52 weeks (Fig. 2E and Table 1). A significantly greater proportion of patients who received exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin achieved a weight loss of ≥5% at 28 weeks (Table 1), which was maintained through week 52 (30.7%) compared with exenatide QW plus placebo (14.1%; P < 0.001) or dapagliflozin plus placebo (21.3%; P = 0.022) (Table 1).

Patients who received exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin achieved significantly greater mean reductions in SBP from baseline to week 28 compared with patients who received exenatide QW plus placebo or dapagliflozin plus placebo (Fig. 2F), which were maintained through week 52 (LSM change from baseline −4.5 mmHg), compared with patients who received exenatide QW plus placebo (−0.7 mmHg; P < 0.001) or dapagliflozin plus placebo (−2.7 mmHg; P = 0.100) (Fig. 2F and Table 1). As observed in the 28-week analysis, no intergroup differences were noted for the changes in waist circumference, DBP, or fasting cholesterol measurements at week 52 (Supplementary Table 4). Although nominal P values for treatment differences were ≥0.05, the magnitude of reduction in mean triglyceride concentrations was numerically greater with exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin (−0.22 mmol/L, an ~10% reduction from baseline) compared with that observed with exenatide QW plus placebo (−0.06 mmol/L) or dapagliflozin plus placebo (0.01 mmol/L).

The proportion of patients who initiated rescue therapy by week 52 were 26.8%, 32.2%, and 37.8% in the exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin, exenatide QW plus placebo, and dapagliflozin plus placebo groups, respectively. These proportions were greater than those observed during the 28-week treatment period (3.9%, 4.4%, and 7.4%, respectively), mainly as a result of the change in rescue criteria from confirmed FPG thresholds to a single HbA1c measurement of >8.0% (>64 mmol/mol) that commenced at 36 weeks (Supplementary Table 1). Between baseline and week 36, low numbers of patients were rescued for lack of glycemic control; after week 36, the proportion of patients rescued increased (Supplementary Fig. 3). This inflection
point follows the change in rescue criteria to HbA1c > 8.0% (≥64 mmol/mol).

The results of treatment efficacy assessed at 52 weeks in a patient population that included data after the initiation of rescue therapy (Supplementary Fig. 2) were very similar to those in which data obtained after the initiation of rescue therapy were excluded (Fig. 2 and Table 1).

### Safety and Tolerability

Exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin was well tolerated; similar proportions of patients experienced an AE over 52 weeks across all treatment groups (Table 2). The most common AEs reported with exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin were injection-site nodule, urinary tract infection, headache, and nausea. Most AEs were mild or moderate in intensity. Patients who received exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin and exenatide QW plus placebo experienced more gastrointestinal or injection site–related AEs than those who received dapagliflozin plus placebo (Table 2).

Five patients died during the study; all deaths occurred in the first 28-week...
Figure 2. — A: LSM (SE) change in HbA1c over time. B: Proportion of patients achieving an HbA1c <7.0 or ≤6.5% (<53 or ≤48 mmol/mol) at weeks 28 and 52. C: LSM (SE) changes in FPG over time. D: LSM (SE) change in 2-h PPG at weeks 28 and 52. E: LSM (SE) changes in weight over time. F: LSM (SE) change in SBP over time. Error bars show SEs or 95% CIs. To convert FPG or 2-h PPG from mmol/L to mg/dL, divide by 0.0555. DAPA, dapaglifl Ozin; ExQW, exenatide QW; PBO, placebo. *P, 0.05, **P, 0.01, ***P, 0.001 vs. ExQW + DAPA (P values at week 52 are nominal).
### Table 1—Primary and secondary efficacy end points at weeks 28 and 52 in the intention-to-treat patient population

|                  | Exenatide QW + dapagliflozin (n = 228) | Exenatide QW + placebo (n = 227) | Dapagliflozin + placebo (n = 230) | Between-group difference (95% CI) |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| **HbA1c, %**     |                                        |                                  |                                  |                                   |
| Baseline         | 9.34 (1.07)                            | 9.30 (1.06)                      | 9.30 (1.03)                      |                                   |
| Week 28          | 7.24 (1.28)                            | 7.58 (1.30)                      | 7.74 (1.13)                      |                                   |
| Change at week 28| -1.98 (0.09)                           | -1.60 (0.10)                     | -1.39 (0.09)                     | -0.38 [-0.63 to -0.13]; P = 0.003 |
| Week 52          | 6.87 (0.78)                            | 7.21 (1.04)                      | 7.36 (0.86)                      | -0.59 [-0.84 to -0.34]; P < 0.001 |
| Change at week 52| -1.75 (0.10)                           | -1.38 (0.10)                     | -1.23 (0.10)                     | -0.37 [-0.64 to -0.11]; P = 0.006 |

| **HbA1c, mmol/mol** |                                        |                                  |                                  |                                   |
|---------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Baseline            | 79 (11.7)                              | 78 (11.6)                        | 78 (11.3)                        |                                   |
| Week 28             | 56 (14.0)                              | 59 (14.2)                        | 61 (12.4)                        |                                   |
| Change at week 28    | -21.6 (1.0)                            | -17.5 (1.1)                      | -15.2 (1.0)                      | -4.2 [-6.9 to -1.4]; P = 0.003    |
| Week 52             | 52 (8.5)                               | 55 (11.4)                        | 57 (9.4)                         | -6.4 [-9.2 to -3.7]; P < 0.001    |
| Change at week 52    | -19.1 (1.1)                            | -15.1 (1.1)                      | -13.4 (1.1)                      | -4.0 [-7.0 to -1.2]; P = 0.006    |

| **HbA1c <7.0%**     |                                        |                                  |                                  |                                   |
|---------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Week 28             | 108 (47.4; 40.9–53.9)                  | 70 (30.8; 24.8–36.8)             | 46 (20.0; 14.8–25.2)             | 16.5; P < 0.001                   |
| Week 52             | 86 (37.7; 31.4–44.0)                   | 68 (30.0; 24.0–35.9)             | 38 (16.5; 11.7–21.3)             | 7.8; P = 0.079                    |

| **HbA1c ≥6.5%**    |                                        |                                  |                                  |                                   |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Week 28            | 72 (31.6; 25.6–37.6)                   | 48 (21.1; 15.8–26.5)             | 25 (10.9; 6.9–14.9)              | 10.4; P = 0.011                   |
| Week 52            | 60 (26.3; 20.6–32.0)                   | 39 (17.2; 12.3–22.1)             | 20 (8.7; 5.1–12.3)               | 9.1; P = 0.018                    |

| **FPG, mmol/L**   |                                        |                                  |                                  |                                   |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Baseline           | 11.01 (3.01)                           | 10.66 (2.80)                     | 10.58 (2.63)                     |                                   |
| Week 28            | 7.17 (1.90)                            | 8.21 (2.75)                      | 7.89 (1.93)                      |                                   |
| Change at week 28  | -3.66 (0.16)                           | -2.54 (0.17)                     | -2.73 (0.16)                     | -1.12 [-1.55 to -0.68]; P < 0.001 |
| Week 52            | 6.66 (1.55)                            | 7.48 (1.93)                      | 7.78 (1.92)                      | -0.92 [-1.36 to -0.49]; P < 0.001 |
| Change at week 52  | -3.50 (0.16)                           | -2.52 (0.17)                     | -2.21 (0.17)                     | -0.98 [-1.42 to -0.54]; P < 0.001 |

| **2-h PPG, mmol/L** |                                        |                                  |                                  |                                   |
|----------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Baseline             | 15.04 (3.69)                           | 14.96 (3.70)                     | 14.58 (3.38)                     |                                   |
| Week 28              | 9.84 (2.65)                            | 11.38 (3.38)                     | 11.23 (3.02)                     |                                   |
| Change at week 28    | -4.88 (0.23)                           | -3.34 (0.24)                     | -3.39 (0.23)                     | -1.54 [-2.10 to -0.98]; P < 0.001 |
| Week 52              | 9.98 (2.84)                            | 11.03 (3.39)                     | 11.14 (3.02)                     | -1.49 [-2.04 to -0.93]; P < 0.001 |
| Change at week 52    | -4.58 (0.27)                           | -3.56 (0.28)                     | -3.31 (0.28)                     | -1.02 [-1.70 to -0.34]; P = 0.004 |

| **Weight, kg**      |                                        |                                  |                                  |                                   |
|---------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Baseline            | 91.79 (22.24)                          | 89.77 (20.22)                    | 91.06 (19.71)                    |                                   |
| Week 28             | 88.35 (20.57)                          | 87.62 (18.05)                    | 88.64 (18.89)                    |                                   |
| Change at week 28   | -3.55 (0.29)                           | -1.56 (0.29)                     | -2.22 (0.28)                     | -2.00 [-2.79 to -1.20]; P < 0.001 |
| Week 52             | 89.44 (20.99)                          | 89.69 (18.00)                    | 89.49 (16.85)                    | -1.33 [-2.12 to -0.55]; P < 0.001 |
| Change at week 52   | -3.31 (0.38)                           | -1.51 (0.40)                     | -2.28 (0.39)                     | -1.80 [-2.87 to -0.73]; P < 0.001 |

| **Weight loss ≥5%** |                                        |                                  |                                  |                                   |
|---------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Week 28             | 77 (33.8; 27.6–39.9)                   | 36 (15.9; 11.1–20.6)             | 49 (21.3; 16.0–26.6)             | 17.9; P < 0.001                   |
| Week 52             | 70 (30.7; 24.7–36.7)                   | 32 (14.1; 9.6–18.6)              | 49 (21.3; 16.0–26.6)             | 16.6; P < 0.001                   |

Continued on p. 2142
Between-group difference (95% CI)

Exenatide QW + dapagliflozin vs. exenatide QW + placebo

| Time          | Exenatide QW + dapagliflozin | Exenatide QW + placebo |
|---------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| Baseline      | 130.1 (12.7)                 | 129.1 (13.1)           |
| Week 28       | 126.5 (12.6)                 | 126.5 (12.6)           |
| Change at week 28 | -3.6 (0.8)             | -3.6 (0.8)             |
| Week 52       | 126.5 (12.6)                 | 126.5 (12.6)           |
| Change at week 52 | -3.6 (0.8)              | -3.6 (0.8)             |

Data exclude measurements after the initiation of rescue therapy with the exception of SBP. For HbA1c (%), HbA1c (mmol/mol), FPG (mmol/L), 2-h PPG (mmol/L), weight (kg), and SBP (mmHg), baseline, 7%, HbA1c 6.5%, and weight loss $5%$, week 28, and week 52 data are $n$, patients with clinically significant cardiovascular disease occurring within 3 months of screening or significant hepatic disease were excluded from the study.

No episodes of major hypoglycemia were reported (Table 2). Episodes of minor hypoglycemia occurred among three patients (1.3%) in the exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin group and one patient (0.4%) in the dapagliflozin plus placebo group; all of these events occurred during the extension period (none during the 28-week randomized treatment period). The patient in the dapagliflozin plus placebo group was receiving rescue therapy with basal insulin at the time of the event. More patients in the exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin group had episodes of "other" hypoglycemia during the study (5.2% vs. 3.0% and 1.7% in the exenatide QW plus placebo and dapagliflozin plus placebo groups, respectively) (Table 2). During the 24-week extension period, overall events of hypoglycemia were reported by 10 patients who did not report hypoglycemia episodes during the primary 28-week treatment period (exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin n = 4 [other hypoglycemia n = 1; other hypoglycemia and minor hypoglycemia n = 3], exenatide QW plus placebo n = 4 [other hypoglycemia], and dapagliflozin plus placebo n = 2 [other hypoglycemia n = 1]).
changes from baseline in HbA1c among patients who were antibody negative were $-1.98\%$ ($-21.6$ mmol/mol) and $-2.03\%$ ($-22.2$ mmol/mol) in the exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin and exenatide QW plus placebo groups, respectively. Corresponding mean changes in HbA1c among patients with any positive anti-exenatide antibodies were $-2.35\%$ ($-25.7$ mmol/mol) and $-1.78\%$ ($-19.5$ mmol/mol) in the exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin and exenatide QW plus placebo groups, respectively. Injection site–related AEs were more common among patients with any positive anti-exenatide antibodies

### Table 2—AEs in the safety population

|                      | Exenatide QW + dapagliflozin ($n = 231$) | Exenatide QW + placebo ($n = 230$) | Dapagliflozin + placebo ($n = 233$) |
|----------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Any AE               | 153 (66.2)                             | 143 (62.2)                       | 144 (61.8)                       |
| Any SAE              | 11 (4.8)                               | 12 (5.2)                         | 12 (5.2)                         |
| Deaths               | 3 (1.3)                                | 1 (0.4)                          | 1 (0.4)                          |
| AE leading to discontinuation | 10 (4.3)                           | 12 (5.2)                         | 8 (3.4)                          |
| AEs occurring in ≥5% of patients |                                        |                                  |                                  |
| Injection site nodule | 20 (8.7)                               | 14 (6.1)                         | 13 (5.6)                         |
| Nausea               | 13 (5.6)                               | 22 (9.6)                         | 9 (3.9)                          |
| Urinary tract infection | 16 (6.9)                          | 13 (5.7)                         | 14 (6.0)                         |
| Diarrhea             | 11 (4.8)                               | 15 (6.5)                         | 9 (3.9)                          |
| Upper respiratory tract infection | 8 (3.5)                           | 14 (6.1)                         | 13 (5.6)                         |
| Headache             | 14 (6.1)                               | 8 (3.5)                          | 10 (4.3)                         |
| AEs of special interest |                                        |                                  |                                  |
| Volume depletion–related AEs | 2 (0.9)                          | 1 (0.4)                          | 4 (1.7)                          |
| Dehydration          | 2 (0.9)                                | 0                                | 1 (0.4)                          |
| Hypotension          | 0                                      | 1 (0.4)                          | 2 (0.9)                          |
| Syncope              | 0                                      | 0                                | 1 (0.4)                          |
| Hematocrit >55%      | 5 (2.2)                                | 0                                | 5 (2.1)                          |
| Pancreatitis         | 2 (0.9)                                | 1 (0.4)                          | 0                                |
| Acute renal disorders| 0                                      | 2 (0.9)                          | 2 (0.9)                          |
| Acute kidney injury  | 0                                      | 1 (0.4)                          | 1 (0.4)                          |
| Renal failure        | 0                                      | 1 (0.4)                          | 1 (0.4)                          |
| Gastrointestinal AEs | 41 (17.7)                              | 45 (19.6)                        | 33 (14.2)                        |
| Genital infection AEs| 11 (4.8)                              | 4 (1.7)                          | 12 (5.2)                         |
| Injection site–related AEs | 32 (13.9)                        | 27 (11.7)                        | 17 (7.3)                         |
| Nodule               | 20 (8.7)                               | 14 (6.1)                         | 13 (5.6)                         |
| Induration           | 5 (2.2)                                | 6 (2.6)                          | 2 (0.9)                          |
| Bruising             | 4 (1.7)                                | 4 (1.7)                          | 2 (0.9)                          |
| Pruritus             | 2 (0.9)                                | 2 (0.9)                          | 2 (0.9)                          |
| Injection site mass  | 0                                      | 2 (0.9)                          | 2 (0.9)                          |
| Injection site reaction | 3 (1.3)                        | 0                                | 0                                |
| Erythema             | 0                                      | 3 (1.3)                          | 1 (0.4)                          |
| Inflammation         | 2 (0.9)                                | 0                                | 0                                |
| Dermatitis           | 0                                      | 0                                | 1 (0.4)                          |
| Hemorrhage           | 1 (0.4)                                | 0                                | 0                                |
| Hypersensitivity     | 0                                      | 1 (0.4)                          | 0                                |
| Adjudicated cardiovascular AEs | 1 (0.4)                          | 3 (1.3)                          | 3 (1.3)                          |
| Adjudicated hepatic AEs | 0                                | 1 (0.4)                          | 1 (0.4)                          |
| Hypoglycemia         | 12 (5.2)                               | 7 (3.0)                          | 5 (2.1)                          |
| Major                | 0                                      | 0                                | 0                                |
| Minor                | 3 (1.3)                                | 0                                | 1 (0.4)                          |
| Other                | 12 (5.2)                               | 7 (3.0)                          | 4 (1.7)                          |
| Highest anti-exenatide antibody levels over study period |                                        |                                  |                                  |
| Negative             | 55 (24.6)                              | 53 (23.6)                        |                                  |
| High positive (≥625) | 94 (42.0)                              | 64 (28.4)                        |                                  |
| Low positive (<625)  | 75 (33.5)                              | 108 (48.0)                       |                                  |
| Any positive         | 169 (75.4)                             | 172 (76.4)                       |                                  |
| Injection site–related AEs by anti-exenatide antibody levels |                                        |                                  |                                  |
| Negative             | 3 (1.3)                                | 3 (1.3)                          |                                  |
| High positive (≥625) | 18 (7.8)                               | 10 (4.3)                         |                                  |
| Low positive (<625)  | 11 (4.8)                               | 14 (6.1)                         |                                  |
| Any positive         | 29 (12.6)                              | 24 (10.4)                        |                                  |

Data are n (%). SAE, serious adverse event.
groups, respectively) compared with patients who were antibody negative (1.3% in both the exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin and exenatide QW plus placebo groups) (Table 2).

**CONCLUSIONS**

Over the last decade, medications for the treatment of type 2 diabetes have been developed that improve glycemic control without significant risk of hypoglycemia, as well as provide additional benefits such as weight loss, reduced blood pressure, and an improved lipoprotein profile. The DURATION-8 study aimed to investigate the metabolic effects of using the combination of a GLP-1RA (exenatide QW) and an SGLT2 inhibitor (dapagliflozin) compared with each individual drug in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled with metformin. Previously reported results of this combination showed significant improvements in glycemic control, weight, and SBP after 28 weeks, with no unexpected safety findings (10). This 24-week extension of DURATION-8, in which patients continued to receive their randomized therapy, demonstrated that the initial efficacy and safety of the combination of exenatide QW and dapagliflozin were maintained over a 52-week treatment period.

Glycemic responses with the combination of exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin were less than additive compared with either individual drug, as expected based on changes at 28 weeks (10) and as typically observed with combinations of glucose-lowering therapies. Less than additive glycemic responses with combination therapy may result from a dependency on baseline glycemic control. The reduction in weight at 52 weeks with treatment with exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin was slightly less than additive, whereas reductions in SBP and triglycerides with combination treatment were more than additive. These additive and near-additive results suggest the presence of independent mechanisms for changes in weight, SBP, and triglycerides that did not trigger compensatory counteracting responses.

The maintenance of effect observed in this analysis was not unexpected given the profile of the individual drugs. Long-term studies of treatment with exenatide QW have demonstrated sustained glycemic improvement, weight reduction, and improved markers of cardiovascular risk (11,12), even after 6 years of treatment (13). Similar durability has been observed in long-term studies (14–18) of dapagliflozin in patients with type 2 diabetes. In DURATION-8, the proportion of patients (33.8%) who achieved an HbA1c level of <7.0% (<53 mmol/mol) with exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin at 52 weeks was lower than in some of the aforementioned long-term studies of exenatide QW, whereas the proportion rescued as a result of inadequate glycemic control (26.8%) with exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin was higher than in some of the long-term studies of exenatide QW. These differences are likely due to the high baseline HbA1c inclusion criteria and differences in rescue criteria in DURATION-8; thus, direct comparisons cannot be made.

Safety and tolerability for the combination therapy of exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin were in line with expectations for the individual drugs. Treatments with exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin and exenatide QW plus placebo were associated with more gastrointestinal or injection site–related AEs than treatment with dapagliflozin plus placebo, as expected for the GLP-1RA class. At week 52, the frequency of anti-exenatide antibodies in the exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin (46%) and exenatide QW plus placebo (49%) groups was lower than previously reported in an analysis of four 24- to 30-week clinical trials of exenatide QW (57%) (19). In addition, the rates of antibody-positive patients at any time during 52 weeks in DURATION-8 did not change from those reported during the primary 28-week study period, in which the number of patients positive for anti-exenatide antibodies peaked at 12 weeks and subsequently declined (10).

Although the literature on the combination of a GLP-1RA and an SGLT2 inhibitor is limited (20), previous studies have established the feasibility of combining GLP-1RAs and SGLT2 inhibitors. A post hoc subgroup analysis of the Canagliflozin Cardiovascular Assessment Study (CANVAS) showed reductions in HbA1c, weight, and BP when the SGLT2 inhibitor canagliflozin was added to GLP-1RA therapy (21). A randomized, placebo-controlled study combining exenatide QW and dapagliflozin in participants who were obese without diabetes reported significant weight loss, BP reduction, and glucose normalization without unexpected AEs (22). Findings from real-world observational and retrospective analyses further support the use of these two drug classes in combination (23–28). Although having a different design to DURATION-8, the recent Assessment of Weekly Administration of LY2189265 (dulaglutide) in Diabetes-10 (AWARD-10) trial among patients with inadequately controlled type 2 diabetes receiving an SGLT2 inhibitor with or without metformin demonstrated improved glycemic control with add-on dulaglutide therapy versus placebo (29).

Dual therapy with exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin may have advantages over other combinations for patients with poor HbA1c control. Although dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors and SGLT2 inhibitors are orally administered, greater reductions in HbA1c, weight, and BP have generally been observed with a GLP-1RA than with a dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitor (30,31). Combination therapies with GLP-1RAs and insulins are also available, but greater hypoglycemia risk and attenuated weight loss would be expected with this combination (32).

In 2018, the American Diabetes Association updated the Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes (4), indicating that a one-size-fits-all approach to treating uncontrolled hyperglycemia is not appropriate. Instead, they recommend balancing the benefits of glycemic control with its potential risks, particularly the risk of hypoglycemia associated with certain treatments in elderly patients or patients with comorbid conditions. This is further supported by a recent consensus statement from the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American College of Endocrinology (3), which supports the individualization of glycemic goals and a nuanced approach to treating hyperglycemia that balances age, comorbidities, and hypoglycemia risk.

The combination of GLP-1RAs and SGLT2 inhibitors, drug classes with complementary mechanisms of action that are associated with a low risk of hypoglycemia, positive effects on a number of cardiovascular risk markers (including weight and blood pressure), and some individual molecules with proven cardiovascular benefits (33–35) adds to the range of therapeutic options available in the era of individualized type 2 diabetes treatment.
There are some limitations to this analysis. First, all analyses at 52 weeks were exploratory, with only nominal P values calculated. Second, the study excluded patients with eGFR values of <60 mL/min/1.73 m², so the results cannot be generalized to this population of patients with type 2 diabetes. The rate of study discontinuation represents another potential limitation, as ~19% did not complete the 52-week study. Finally, this study was not designed to test the relative benefits of GLP-1RA/ SGLT2 inhibitor dual therapy versus sequential add-on therapy, so this clinical question remains unanswered. Although the use of rescue therapy with insulin, which could affect weight and hypoglycemia results, could be viewed as a study limitation, we think that this may also be viewed as a strength, as the study design represents the real-life clinical scenario encountered by many patients with type 2 diabetes.

In conclusion, the metabolic effects of combined administration of exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin appear to be substantial and durable. Among patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled with metformin, this combination provided sustained improvements in glycemic control, weight, and SBP over 52 weeks. Treatment with exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin was well tolerated, with no unexpected safety findings.
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