ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this study was to evaluate the approaches and procedures used by Brazilian orthopedic surgeons for treating osteoarthrosis by means of unicompartmental knee arthroplasty and high tibial osteotomy of the knee. Methods: A questionnaire with 14 closed questions was developed and applied to Brazilian knee surgeons during the three days of the 43rd Brazilian Congress of Orthopedics and Traumatology. Results: A total of 113 surgeons filled out the questionnaire completely and became part of the sample analyzed. In this study, the majority of the surgeons performed fewer than five unicompartmental knee arthroplasty procedures/year (61.1%) and between 5 and 15 high tibial osteotomy procedures/year (37.2%). Use of computerized navigation systems during surgery remains uncommon in our environment, since only 0.9% of the specialists were using it. 65.5% of the surgeons reported that they had chosen to use total knee arthroplasty rather than partial arthroplasty due to lack of familiarity with the surgical technique. When asked about the possibility that the number of unicompartmental prostheses used in Brazil would grow as surgeons in this country become increasingly familiar with the technique, 80.5% of the respondents believed in this hypothesis. In this sample, we found that the greater the surgeon’s experience was, the greater the numbers of unicompartmental prostheses and tibial osteotomies performed annually were (r = 0.550 and r = 0.465, respectively; p < 0.05). Conclusions: There is a clear evolutional trend towards treatment of unicompartmental osteoarthritis using partial knee arthroplasty in Brazil. However, further prospective controlled studies are needed in order to evaluate the clinical and scientific benefits of these trends.
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INTRODUCTION

Osteoarthritis is a condition that is characterized by progressive degeneration of various structures present in the knee joint, including the cartilage, bone surface, ligaments, meniscus, synovia and joint capsule(1,2).

This disorder is considered a public health problem. This is because it is the most prevalent joint disease in the world, and the most common single cause of disability in individuals aged over 18 years. It affects more than twice as many people as heart disease, and its prevalence and incidence increase with age(3,4).

At present, this there is no known cure for this condition, and the main goal of treatment is to improve the pain, function, and quality of life(5).

The forms of surgical treatment for osteoarthritis of the knee include proximal osteotomies of the tibia and distal osteotomies of the femur, partial and total knee arthroplasties, and arthroscopies for lavage and joint debridement(6-8).

The role of unicompartmental knee arthroplasty as a treatment option for osteoarthritis is still highly controversial. Despite the enormous advances in the design of prostheses and surgical techniques, this form of treatment is still little used today, corresponding to...
just eight to 15% of the total knee prostheses used\textsuperscript{(9,10)}.

In recent years, randomized clinical trials and systematic reviews have been carried out on this theme. However, there is still no consensus in the literature on various subjects\textsuperscript{(11-13)}.

Due to the high incidence of this lesion, and the importance of the social and economic aspects related to it, associated with the wide divergence of opinion that exists in the literature on this subject, assessment of the conduct and trends that exist in Brazil, in this area, is extremely important.

The objective of this study is to assess the conduct and procedures carried out by knee surgeons in Brazil in the treatment of osteoarthritis with unicompartmental arthroplasty and high tibial osteotomy of the knee. The results of this study give us an idea of the national trends in this area of treatment, as well as guiding good quality studies in the future.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This is a descriptive study, with the application of a questionnaire to a group of Brazilian knee surgeons. The questionnaire was designed and approved by the authors in such a way that it was very comprehensive and straightforward. It consisted of 14 closed questions on topics such as number of years of experience and the number of unicompartmental arthroplasties and tibial osteotomies of the knee performed by the surgeons each year, as well as various aspects related to the indication and treatment using these methods (Appendix 1).

The questionnaire was applied to Brazilian knee surgeons during the three days of the 43\textsuperscript{rd} Brazilian Congress of Orthopedics and Traumatology in 2011. Only orthopedists who worked with knee surgeries filled out the questionnaire. In all, 126 questionnaires were completed, of which 13 were excluded due to failure to fill out the questionnaire completely. In all, 113 questionnaires were fully completed. To resolve any questions during the completion of the questionnaires, three researchers were present throughout the period of application of the questionnaires.

Based on the data gathered from the questionnaires, a descriptive statistical analysis was performed of the variables involved to characterize the sample.

The data were analyzed in the program SPSS for Windows version 16.0 and a significance of 5% was adopted.

RESULTS

A total of 113 knee surgeons fully completed the questionnaire and formed part of the sample analyzed. The majority of the participants were from the Southeast region of the country (72.6%). In relation to the length of experience of the surgeons, we obtained a mean of 13.7 years. The results for the number of partial arthroplasties and tibial osteotomies of the knee performed each year by the surgeons, according to their experience, are shown in Figures 1 and 2. In this study, the majority of surgeons performed less than five unicompartmental arthroplasties of the knee per year (61.1%) and between five and 15 high tibial osteotomies (37.2%). The sports most often authorized by the doctors after unicompartmental knee arthroplasty were swimming (96.5%) and tennis (51.3%), while soccer was not authorized after surgery by all the participating surgeons. In terms of the methods and tools used in the preoperative assessment of the patients, the results are shown in Table 1.

![Figure 1](image1.png)  
**Figure 1** - Number of partial knee arthroplasties performed per year, according to the surgeon’s length of professional experience.

![Figure 2](image2.png)  
**Figure 2** - Number of high tibial osteotomies of the knee performed per year, according to the surgeon’s length of professional experience.
fixation method of unicompartmental arthroplasty is the preferred one, used in 61.1% of the sample. The use of computerized navigation during the surgery is still infrequent in Brazil, and was used by only 0.9% of the specialists. The choice of patients aged under 65 years for unicompartmental knee prosthesis was considered ideal by the majority of participants (89.3%). The option to use total rather than partial knee arthroplasty due to a lack of familiarity with the surgical technique of the latter was reported by 65.5% of surgeons. The majority of the sample (61.1%) believed that the surgical procedures unicompartmental arthroplasty and high tibial osteotomy do not compete with each other, since each has its own indications. As for the main factors that led to the surgical indication of high tibial osteotomy as opposed to unicompartmental knee arthroplasty, 95.6% and 74.3% of the surgeons indicated the former procedure for younger patients (< 55 years) and those who are very physically active. The main advantages of unicompartmental knee arthroplasty over total prosthesis of the joint, as reported by the surgeons, are shown in Table 2. When questioned about the validity of unicompartmental knee arthroplasty as a treatment method for unicompartmental osteoarthritis, 84.1% of the participants replied that they saw this procedure as a treatment option. When questioned about the possibility of growth in the number of unicompartmental arthroplasties per year were also those who performed the most high tibial osteotomies (r = 0.561 and p < 0.001).

**DISCUSSION**

Some studies were found in the literature that seek to evaluate the perspectives and trends in the indication and treatment of patients with unicompartmental knee arthroplasty\(^{(10,14,15)}\). However, no similar studies were found in the national literature. A recent study was carried out in Brazil, but with the aim of evaluating the treatment methods used in lateral ankle sprain\(^{(16)}\).

Evaluating the frequency of the participating orthopedists by Brazilian region, we noted a predominance of participants from the Southeast region. We believe this may be due to the existence of a higher number of specialists in knee surgery in this part of Brazil, and due to the location of the Congress where the participants were recruited (São Paulo).

This research found that the majority of knee surgeons in the country perform less than five unicompartmental knee arthroplasties per year. The majority of orthopedists in the United Kingdom, meanwhile, perform between five and 15 of these arthroplasties each year, as presented in a similar study carried out in 2010. In this study, 20% of the participants reported that they believe a surgeon must perform at least 15 partial prostheses per year to maintain their surgical skills in this procedure\(^{(14)}\). However, another study showed that the learning curve does not have a significant influence on the result of unicompartmental prostheses, with substantial rates of complications persisting even with the improvement of the surgical technique\(^{(17)}\). These results show that this treatment method is still little used worldwide, and even less so in Brazil. A study carried out in German found that

---

**Table 1** – Methods and tools used in the preoperative assessment of patients.

| Preoperative tools                        | Frequency | %   |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------|-----|
| AP radiography                            | 93        | 82.3|
| Arthroscopy                               | 21        | 18.6|
| AP radiography with 30 degree flexion     | 31        | 27.4|
| Stress radiography in varus/vargus        | 14        | 12.4|
| MRI                                       | 53        | 46.9|
| Panoramic x-ray of the lower limbs        | 86        | 76.1|
| Axial x-ray of the patella                | 57        | 50.4|
| **Total**                                 | **113**   | **100.0** |

**Table 2** – Advantages of partial vs. total knee arthroplasty.

| Advantages of UKP vs. TKA                  | Frequency | %   |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------|-----|
| Greater range of motion of the knee       | 53        | 46.9|
| Reduction of postoperative morbidity      | 69        | 61.1|
| Faster rehabilitation                     | 64        | 56.6|
| Better proprioception                     | 52        | 46.4|
| Delays TKA                                | 96        | 85.0|
| Ability to play sports                    | 10        | 8.8 |
| **Total**                                 | **113**   | **100.0** |

UKP: Unicompartmental knee prosthesis, TKA: Total knee arthroplasty
only 12.3% of the prostheses used in this country were partial arthroplasties(15). Another study showed that only eight to 15% of prostheses performed in the United Kingdom were partial arthroplasties, although 47.6% of the patients submitted to surgery had been indicated for this procedure(10). This may be due to a lack of familiarity of the majority of surgeons with the procedure, since 65.5% of the participants recognized the change of indication from partial to total arthroplasty for this reason, the majority even believing that partial prosthesis of the knee is a good treatment option in cases of unicompartamental osteoarthritis. The same data were also found in another similar study(14).

In relation to the practice of sports following unicompartamental knee arthroplasty, the sports most commonly authorized by Brazilian doctors were swimming and tennis. In the United Kingdom, golf and tennis were the sports that were least ill-advised by local orthopedists(14). Previous studies show that the majority of patients submitted to partial knee arthroplasty resume low impact sports (95 to 96.7%), and the majority of patients submitted to unicompartamental prosthesis take up sports again after the surgery for a longer period than before the prosthesis. However, the variety of sports practiced by patients decreases after receiving an implant(18,19).

The tools used in the preoperative assessment of patients are still a source of much controversy. In our sample, anteroposterior (AP) radiographies of the knees, and panoramic radiography of the lower limbs were the preferred subsidiary exams. In the United Kingdom, AP radiographies of the knee and arthroscopy were the procedures most cited by the participants. In both studies, only 30% of the participants stated that they request posteroanterior (PA) radiographies of the knees with 30 degrees of flexion (Rosenberg) in the preoperative assessment, despite the fact that this tool proved to be superior for assessing the extent of degenerative processes of this joint(14). Although half of the surgeons request axial radiographies for the assessment of the patellofemoral joint, a study defends the view that the presence of degenerative changes in the medial portion of this joint cannot be considered a contraindication for unicompartamental knee arthroplasty. The same results were obtained after this procedure in patients with and without degeneration of this part of the patellofemoral joint(20).

The fixation method for unicompartamental knee prosthesis has changed very little in recent years. Despite the recent introduction of uncemented components, fixation with cement is still the most popular technique in Brazil (61.1%). This popularity is still greater in some parts of the world, with a preference among orthopedists of up to 96%. Although a retrospective study comparing these two fixation methods has shown better results in the clinical scores in patients submitted to uncemented arthroplasties, better quality studies are still necessary, such as randomized clinical trials, to elucidate this subject(21).

The intraoperative use of computerized navigation is still infrequent in Brazil, and is carried out by only 0.9% of specialists. In the United Kingdom, 8.5% of surgeons use this method during surgery(14). However, there is no evidence, to date, of better long-term results for implants performed using this technology(22,23).

The ideal age for performing partial knee arthroplasty is still a topic of debate. In this sample, 89.3% of participants considered age below 65 to be ideal for this procedure. In the United Kingdom, however, 50% of surgeons believe there is no age limit for this type of treatment(14). Currently, the patient considered ideal for a partial knee prosthesis is aged over 55 years, not very physically active, with unicompartamental osteoarthritis, and good alignment and range of motion of the joint(24).

The main indications for high tibial osteotomy, according to most of the participants, are being aged under 55 years, and being physically active. Similar findings were obtained in another published study(14).

When compared with total knee arthroplasty, the main advantages of partial prosthesis mentioned by the Brazilian surgeons were that it delayed the need for total knee prosthesis, and the lower postoperative morbidity. Another study pointed out the presence of a wider range of motion in the postoperative period as the main advantage mentioned by knee surgeons of the United Kingdom(14). There is still no consensus among studies found in the literature comparing these two types of treatment. A study demonstrated the presence of a wider range of motion postoperatively and shorter hospitalization time in patients submitted to partial knee arthroplasties(25). Another study published recently did not show any differences between the results obtained after treatment with total and partial knee arthroplasties(26).
CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that despite the small number of partial prostheses carried out in Brazil, there are clear trends evolving in the treatment of unicompartmental osteoarthritis with partial knee arthroplasty. However, further prospective controlled studies are necessary to assess the clinical and scientific benefits of these trends.

REFERENCES

1. Cakmak M, Cakmak N, Cetemen S, Tanriverdi H, Enc Y, Teskin O, et al. The value of admission glycosylated hemoglobin level in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Can J Cardiol. 2008;24(5):375-8.
2. Nicholson S, Dickman K, Maradiegue A. Reducing premature osteoarthritis in the adolescent through appropriate screening. J Pediatr Nurs. 2009;24(1):69-74.
3. Thelin N, Holmberg S, Thelin A. Knee injuries account for the sports-related increased risk of knee osteoarthritis. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2006;16(5):329-33.
4. Molloy MG, Molloy CB. Contact sport and osteoarthritis. Br. J. Sports Med. 2011;45(4):275-7.
5. Camanho GL, Imamura M, Arendt-Nielsen L. Gênese da dor na artrose. Rev Bras Ortop. 2011;46(1):14-7.
6. Brouwer RW, Bierma-Zeinstra SM, van Raaij TM, Verhaar JA. Osteotomy for medial compartment arthritis of the knee using a closing wedge or an opening wedge controlled by a Puddu plate. A one-year randomised, controlled study. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2006;88(11):1454-9.
7. Moseley JB, O’Malley K, Petersen NJ, Menke TJ, Brody BA, Kuykendall DH, et al. A controlled trial of arthroscopic surgery for osteoarthritis of the knee. N Engl J Med. 2002;347(2):81-8.
8. Camanho GL, Viegas AC, Camanho LF, Camanho CR, Forgas A. Artroplastia unicompartmental no tratamento da artrose medial do joelho. Rev Bras Ortop. 2010;45(5):468-73.
9. Lyons MC, Macdonald SJ, Somerville LE, Naudie DD, McCalden RW. Unicompartmental versus total knee arthroplasty in the United Kingdom. J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong). 2010;18(3):312-9.
10. Kock FX, Weingartner D, Beckmann J, Anders S, Schaumburger J, Gritka J, et al. [Operative treatment of the unicompartmental knee arthritis - results of a nationwide survey in 2006]. Z Orthop Unfall. 2011;149(2):153-9.
11. Belangero PS, Tamaoki MJS, Nakama GY, Shoiti MV, Gomes RVF, Belloti JC. Como o ortopedista brasileiro trata entorse lateral aguda do tornozelo? Rev Bras Ortop. 2010;45(5):468-73.
12. Hamilton WG, Ammeen D, Engh CA Jr., Engh GA. Learning curve with minimally invasive unicompartmental knee arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty. 2009;25(5):735-40.
13. Hopper GP, Leach WJ. Participation in sporting activities following knee replacement: total versus unicompartmental. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2008;16(10):973-9.
14. Naal FD, Fischer M, Preuss A, Goldhahn J, von Knoch F, Preiss S, et al. Return to sports and recreational activity after unicompartmental knee arthroplasty. Am J Sports Med. 2007;35(10):1688-95.
15. Beard DJ, Pandit H, Ostiere S, Jenkins C, Dodd CA, Murray DW. Pre-operative clinical and radiological assessment of the patellofemoral joint in unicompartmental knee replacement and its influence on outcome. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2007;89(12):1602-7.
16. Danilidis K, Skwara A, Skugnin A, Fischer F, Tibeckyu CO. [Comparison of medium-term clinical and radiological outcome between cemented and cementless medial unicompartmental knee arthroplasty]. Z Orthop Unfall. 2009;147(2):188-93.
17. Seon JK, Song EK, Park SJ, Yoon TR, Lee KB, Jung ST. Comparison of minimally invasive unicompartmental knee arthroplasty with or without a navigation system. J Arthroplasty. 2009;24(3):351-7.
18. Cossey AJ, Spriggins AJ. The use of computer-assisted surgical navigation to prevent malalignment in unicompartmental knee arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty. 2005;20(1):29-34.
19. Dettoni F, Bonasia DE, Castoldi F, Bruzzone M, Bologna D, Rossi R. High tibial osteotomy versus unicompartmental knee arthroplasty for medial compartment arthritis of the knee: a review of the literature. Iowa Orthop J. 2010;30:131-40.
20. Lombardi AV Jr, Berend KR, Walter CA, Aziz-Jacob J, Cheney NA. Is recovery faster for mobile-bearing unicompartmental than total knee arthroplasty? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2009;467(6):1450-7.
21. Lyons MC, Macdonald SJ, Somerville LE, Naudie DD, McCalden RW. Unicompartmental versus total knee arthroplasty database analysis: is there a winner?
### Unicompartmental prosthesis/tibial osteotomy questionnaire.

City/State: ________________________________

1 – Years of experience in knee surgery: _____ years.

2 – How many prostheses (UKP) do you perform per year?  
Number per year:
- □ < 5  
- □ 5-15  
- □ 16-30  
- □ 31-45  
- □ > 45

3 – What tools do you use in the preoperative assessment? (you may select more than one response)
- □ AP radiography  
- □ Arthroscopy  
- □ PA radiography with flexion at 30°(Rosenberg)  
- □ Stress radiography in varus/valgus  
- □ Magnetic resonance imaging  
- □ Panoramic radiography of the lower limbs  
- □ Axial radiography of the patella

4 – What activities do you not allow after UKP?  (you may select more than one response)
- □ Soccer  
- □ Running  
- □ Volleyball  
- □ Basketball  
- □ Tennis  
- □ Swimming

5 – What are the advantages of UKP in relation to TKA? (you may select more than one response)
- □ Greater range of motion of the knee  
- □ Reduction of postoperative morbidity  
- □ Faster rehabilitation  
- □ Better proprioception  
- □ Delays TKA  
- □ Ability to play sports

6 – What is your preferred fixation method?  
- □ Cemented  
- □ Uncemented  
- □ Hybrid fixation

7 – Do you use navigation technology in UKP?  
- □ Yes  
- □ No

8 – Which age group(s) is/are more susceptible to UKP? (you may select more than one response)  
Age group:
- □ < 55 years  
- □ 55-65 years  
- □ 66-75 years  
- □ > 75 years  
- □ No age limit

9 – Do you believe UKP is a good option for the treatment of localized unicompartmental osteoarthritis?  
- □ Yes  
- □ No

10 – Do you believe that UKPs will become more common as surgeons become more familiar with the surgical technique?  
- □ Yes  
- □ No

11 – Do you think the lack of familiarity with the surgical technique causes surgeons to use TKP even when a UKP might be the best option?  
- □ Yes  
- □ No

12 – Do you see tibial osteotomy and UKP as competing techniques with identical clinical indications?  
- □ Yes  
- □ No

13 – How many osteotomies (HTOs) do you perform each year?  
Number per year:
- □ 0  
- □ < 5  
- □ 5-15  
- □ 16-30  
- □ > 30

14 – What do you believe are the main indications for osteotomy compared with UKP? (you may select more than one response)  
- □ Young patients (< 55 years)  
- □ Physically active patients  
- □ Male  
- □ Less severe degenerative changes (Ahlback grades 1 and 2)