Neutrophils are the most abundant leukocytes in human peripheral blood. They form the first line of defense against invading foreign pathogens and might play a crucial role in malaria. According to World Health Organization (WHO), malaria is a globally significant disease caused by protozoan parasites from the Plasmodium genus, and it’s responsible for 627,000 deaths in 2020. Neutrophils participate in the defense response against the malaria parasite via phagocytosis and reactive oxygen species (ROS) production. Neutrophils might also be involved in the pathogenesis of malaria by the release of toxic granules and the release of neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs). Intriguingly, malaria parasites inhibit the anti-microbial function of neutrophils, thus making malaria patients more susceptible to secondary opportunistic Salmonella infections. In this review, we will provide a summary of the role of neutrophils during malaria infection, some contradicting mouse model neutrophil data and neutrophil-related mechanisms involved in malaria patients’ susceptibility to bacterial infection.
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Introduction

According to the WHO, malaria is a globally significant disease caused by protozoan parasites from the Plasmodium genus. There are five species of the protozoan genus Plasmodium known to infect humans: P. falciparum, P. vivax, P. malariae, P. ovale, and P. knowlesi, of which P. falciparum is responsible for most cases of severe malaria and death (1). The parasites caused over 241 million clinical cases and 627,000 deaths in 2020; this represents about 14 million more cases in 2020 compared to 2019 and 69 000 more deaths. Sub-Saharan Africa continues to carry the heaviest malaria burden, accounting for about 95% of all malaria cases and 96% of all deaths in 2020 (2). There are three clinical presentations of malaria identified: severe or complicated, mild or uncomplicated
(3) and asymptomatic (4). The host immune response to malaria infection varies depending on factors. Factors like the genetic make-up of parasite proteins, co-infections, host genetics, host ethnic background, and geographical locations (5, 6). The response starts with physical barriers, progresses to an innate immune response, and leads to more adaptive responses.

**Brief overview of the Plasmodium life cycle**

The malaria parasites have a complex life cycle requiring a human and mosquito host (7). During the blood meal of the female Anopheles mosquito, sporozoites are transmitted into the human hosts (8). The pre-erythrocytic developmental stage is initiated when the released sporozoites migrate to the host liver. In the liver, the released sporozoites infect the hepatocytes (liver cells) in a process known as the liver stage. Within the hepatocytes the parasites grow and replicate as hepatic schizonts over a period of 10–12 days after which they are released as merozoites. The blood stage of the parasite starts when the merozoites rapidly invades the red blood cells (RBCs) in the bloodstream. During the blood stage, the merozoites replicate to produce more daughter parasites which are then released from the host cell upon parasite egress and subsequently re-invade RBCs to start a new asexual replication cycle (9). A small proportion of the malaria parasites will eventually differentiate into gametocytes to begin the sexual cycle which are subsequently taken up by mosquitoes during the next blood meal (10).

**Neutrophil functions**

Neutrophils are the most abundant white blood cell accounting for up to 70% of all blood leukocytes (11). They are also known as polymorphonuclear cells (PMNs) and are terminally differentiated leukocytes. Neutrophils are professional phagocytes, which use receptor mediated phagocytosis to internalize pathogens into phagolysosomes (12). Cytoplasmic granules include cathepsins, elastases, and myeloperoxidases that fuse with the phagolysosome to digest phagocytosed pathogens, in a process known as degranulation (12). Release of reactive oxygen species (ROS), produced via an NADPH oxidase-dependent process is a crucial bactericidal mechanism. Neutrophils can also kill extracellular pathogens by degranulation, secretion of ROS, or the release of neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs). NETs is the release of decondensed chromatin laced with granular proteins and histones to prevent the spread of pathogens (13).

Neutrophils are crucial for the body’s innate immune response (14, 15) and are involved in various disease processes, including pathogen infection (16), pulmonary diseases (17), cardiovascular diseases (18), inflammatory disorders (19) and cancer (20). They are challenging to study because they are short-lived effector cells of the innate immune system. Upon sensing infection, neutrophils are the first cells to migrate to the infection site (21). At the affected tissues, neutrophils use multiple antimicrobial functions such as engulfing foreign matter for internal digestion, reactive oxygen species (ROS) production and releasing NETs. The immune system plays a vital role in controlling the parasite’s growth (22). Clinical data has shown that the number of circulating neutrophils is high in patients with acute uncomplicated malaria (23), in contrast to circulating lymphocytes, which decrease during *P. falciparum* infections. During *Plasmodium* infection, parasites components and cytokines are produced and might activate circulating neutrophils. Activated neutrophils are equipped with several weapons to mount an immune defense against the parasite. While on the other hand, these neutrophil weapons might also be involved in the pathogenesis of severe malaria, though the underlying mechanism is still unclear.

During malaria infection, the immune system is overwhelmed resulting in immune suppression thereby making malaria patients to be at risk of developing secondary infections. One well-documented risk factor for invasive bacterial infection is *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria (24, 25). In Sub-Saharan Africa countries, bacterial infection in children is highly associated with malaria infection (26–28). In this review, we assess the literature examining the role of neutrophils during malaria infection and the neutrophil related mechanism involved in malaria patients’ susceptibility to bacterial infection.

**The role of neutrophils in response to Plasmodium parasite**

One of the ways by which neutrophil play a role in the clearance of malaria parasites is by phagocytosis. Neutrophils express immunoglobulin (Ig) binding receptors Fcγ receptors and complement receptor 1 (CR1) and complement receptor 3 (CR3) (29). Phagocytosis of the released sporozoites during malaria infection are facilitated by the FcγR-receptors and by the presence of antibodies against the circum-sporozoite protein, one of the main surface antigens on sporozoites (30). Phagocytosis of parasite infected red blood cells (iRBC) *in vivo* has been observed in children with malaria (31) and in bone marrow aspirates which show neutrophils with internalized merozoites and trophozoites (32). The interaction between neutrophils and iRBCs is mediated by PTEMP1 on the iRBC surface and ICAM-1 expressed on neutrophils (33).

Neutrophil phagocytosis of free merozoites could be in an antibody dependent manner or via a complement mediated opsonization manner (23, 34) and can be enhanced in the
Neutrophils can clear pathogens by producing ROS by converting oxygen to superoxide via nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate oxidase (NADPH) oxidase (NOX). This superoxide is converted into hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) and hydroxyl radicals (-OH), collectively known as ROS (41). Neutrophils phagocyte gametes in vitro in conditions similar to those of the mosquito gut when immune sera is present especially IgG (40). However, ex vivo evidence of the specific role of neutrophil phagocytosis of intra erythrocytic gametes in human is still lacking.

Evidence of NETs in Malaria

Neutrophil extracellular trap (NET) formation is an essential innate strategy for immobilizing and killing foreign pathogens by neutrophils. It occurs when activated neutrophils degranulate and release their antimicrobial factors into the extracellular environment. Several factors might induce NET formation during Plasmodium infections such as crystal uric acid is a potent inducer of NETosis (44) (Plasmodium cannot synthesize purines and imports hypoxanthine as a purine source (45). Upon erythrocyte rupture and release, xanthine dehydrogenase, which is normally present in the blood (46) will efficiently degrade it into uric acid and are released into circulation during malaria. Plasmodium-infected erythrocytes accumulate hypoxanthine, a precursor for uric acid), pro-inflammatory cytokines like TNF and IL8 increase during Plasmodium infections (47). H2O2 is secreted by immune cells stimulated by the malaria parasite and Plasmodium antigens induce NETosis in vitro (48). NETs may contribute to the host defense against sporozoites and merozoites (35). Studies have shown that in the peripheral blood of children with complicated and uncomplicated P. falciparum infections, NETs like structures are present (49, 50). The release of NETs might play a crucial role in controlling parasite dissemination, but on the other hand, it may also contribute to the development of severe complications. Few studies have reported the possible role of NETs in controlling parasite growth during malaria. For example, Kho and colleagues reported that NET formation was inversely associated with parasitemia levels in patients with asymptomatic malaria (51). Another study by Rodrigues et al. showed that Pulmozyme (active molecule: DNase 1) treatment to inhibit NETosis resulted in increased parasitemia levels in P. berghei infected mice and subsequently decreased survival rate (49). However, the group also reported that the same observation was not recorded when P. chabaudi-infected mice were treated with Pulmozyme. Interestingly, Plasmodium parasites express TatD-like DNases to cleave NETs. In vivo mouse data have shown that treatment of mice with recombinant TatD resulted in low parasitemia and ultimately increased survival rate (52). Knackstedt et al. demonstrated that NETs might be driving inflammatory pathogenesis in malaria (53). However, evidences that NETs released in response to malaria parasite and proof that NETs are present in tissues is still debatable. For example, Feintuch and colleagues reported that brain tissue sections from children with fatal cerebral malaria (CM) and associated retinopathy were stained with NET markers, neutrophil elastase, and citrullinated histones, with no evidence of NETs was observed (54). In contrast, a recent study by Knackstedt et al. examined and analyzed retinal tissue from fatal pediatric cases who had died of cerebral malaria. The authors showed the image of NETs by colocalizing citrullinated histone H3, elastase, and DAPI (53).
neutrophil activation is the release of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-8 and 9. For example, increased levels of plasma protein MMP-8 was reported in malaria patient, but no significant difference between uncomplicated and severe malaria. Furthermore, in Sub Saharan African children with CM, immunohistochemical staining revealed the presence of MMP-8 in the retina tissue accompanied with oedema, thus suggesting the role of MMP-8 in vascular endothelial barrier disruption (57). In addition, in vivo data further demonstrated that knocking out MMP-9 had no significant effect on CM development and survival in mice (58). Chemokines such as CXCLI and CXCL8 are known neutrophil chemoattractants, were at an increased level in the plasma of severe malaria patients (59). Furthermore, studies have associated a link between hemozoin laden neutrophils and disease severity has been reported in several malaria patients (60–62). In conclusion, these studies suggest a link between neutrophil activation and malaria severity.

Murine malaria model to understand the role of neutrophils in malaria pathogenesis

Animal models have been used to study the role of neutrophils in malaria complications including lung injury, CM and liver injury (17, 63, 64). Murine models have demonstrated the association of accumulated neutrophils in the lungs with lung injury. Murine models of CM have demonstrated that neutrophils express cytokines such as IL2, IL12, IL18, IFNγ, and TNF and chemoattractive-chemokines (65) suggesting a role for neutrophils in cytokine and chemokine secretion during CM. Nacer and colleagues reported that neutrophils in murine CM are detected in the vasculature (66) and their depletion prevented CM development (67). Using chimeric mice, Ioannidis and colleagues identified neutrophils as the main cellular sources of CXCL10, a CXCR3 binding chemokine which is essential for the attraction of pathogenic CD8+ T cells to the brain in murine CM (68). In addition, studies revealed that circulating levels of CXCL10 is the most accurate predictor of CM mortality (69) (70) and its neutralization with specific mAbs, significantly prevents brain intravascular inflammation and protects infected animals from CM by reducing peripheral parasitemia level (71–73).

Murine malaria models: Neutrophil contradicting data

Murine models have over the years played a valuable role in understanding the role of neutrophils in malaria (Table 1) however, there are contradicting data on the role of neutrophils using the mouse model, and as such, neutrophils’ role in malaria is still unclear. For example, Schumak et al. (74) suggested that the number of circulating neutrophils in the blood and brain increased in P. berghei ANKA infected mice, while in contrast, Pai et al. reported no increase in the number of circulating neutrophils in the brain (79) though the experimental approach to count neutrophils were different in the two studies. In Schumak study, brain tissue was fixed in buffered formalin and quantification of neutrophils in tissue...
sections was performed in 10 high power fields (HPF). While in PA study, neutrophils were quantified in real time using 2-photon intravital microscopy. Another contradiction is the link between neutrophil depletion and survival rate in murine CM model. For example, some in vivo studies demonstrated that depletion of neutrophils using anti-CD11a resulted in an increased survival rate and slowed down the rate of CM development (67, 80) (Table 1). In these studies, depletion of neutrophils was done using anti-CD11a or anti-GR1 before parasite infection, which depleted neutrophils and other leukocytes. In contrast, when anti-GR1 was administered late during the infection, the authors still observed CM development in their model except for a study by Senaldi and colleague (76). In contrast, another study showed that when specific anti-Ly6G antibody was used for neutrophil depletion, no CM development was observed (74) (Table 1). Interestingly, neutrophil released CXCL10 is known to recruit pathogenic CD8+ T cells to the brain in murine CM (68). The indirect effect on CD8+ T cells in the murine CM by the anti-GR1 antibody might explain the contradicting data. Therefore, there is a need for more in depth studies to elucidate whether neutrophils count plays a role in the development of CM.

| Mouse strain | Parasite strain/Load/ route of infection | Procedure for neutrophil depletion | Strategy of neutrophil detection | Outcome of neutrophil depletion | Ref. |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|------|
| C57BL/6      | Transgenic *P. berghei* ANKA expressing ovalbumin (PbTg infected RBC) | 250 µg of anti-GR1 (day 0 or infection day 3-5 p.i for 30 mins i.p) | Flow cytometry on blood samples: CD11b+/Ly6G-Ly6G+ | CM developed on day 6 post infection, survival rate was 80% and no effect on parasitemia level. | (74) |
| C57BL/6      | Transgenic *P. berghei* ANKA expressing ovalbumin (PbTg infected RBC) | 250 µg of anti-GR1 (day 3 and day 5 p.i) | Flow cytometry on blood samples: CD45+Ly6G+Ly6G+ | Decreased CM development on day 6 p.i, high survival rate and no effect on parasitemia level. | (74) |
| C57BL/6      | *P. berghei* -ANKA infected RBC 1*10^6/i.p. | 200 µg of anti-CXCL10 (between day 3 and 9 p.i) | Flow cytometry on spleen samples: Ly6G+ | High CM, low survival rate and low parasitemia level. | (68) |
| C57BL/6      | *P. berghei* -ANKA infected RBC 1*10^6/i.v. | Pulmonary (5mg/kg for every 8h i.p.) | Not Applicable | Low survival rate at 20%, 100% mortality by day 10 post infection and parasitemia increased day 6 p.i. | (49) |
| C57BL/6, BALB/c | *P. berghei* -ANKA and NK65 infected RBC 1*10^6/i.p. | Immunization with rPfTatD or rFcTatD (50 µg intra-muscularly every 14 days). | Not Applicable | 100% survival rate and reduced parasitemia level. | (52) |
| CBA/NSlc | *P. berghei* -ANKA infected RBC 1*10^6/i.p. | 250 µg of anti-GR1 (day 1 or day 5 p.i) | Tail blood | no CM development (low hemorrhage), survival rate was 90% by day 10 post infection and no effect on parasitemia level. | (67) |
| DBA/2 | *P. berghei* -ANKA infected RBC 1*10^6/NA | anti-GR1 (0.2mg on day 1 p.i) | Flow cytometry on blood samples and microscopy of blood smear | Low MA-ARDS development, survival rate was 90% by day 10 post infection and no effect on parasitemia level. | (17) |
| DBA/2 + C57BL/6 mice | *P. berghei* -ANKA infected RBC 1*10^6/NA | anti-GR1 (1mg on day 6 post infection i.p.) | Flow cytometry on blood samples: GR1+ | There was 60%-80% of CM development and survival rate was 0% after 24h of CM development. | (75) |
| CBA/Ca | *P. berghei* -ANKA infected RBC 1*10^6/i.p. | anti-GR1 (0.5mg on day 5 post infection i.p.) | Microscopy of blood smear | BBB was not disrupted, delayed death and high survival rate (80%). | (76) |
| BALB/c | PyMDR/NA | anti-GR1 (day 3 until day 7 post infection i.p.) | Not Applicable | Low liver injury (low AST, ALT and ALP levels3). | (77) |
| C57BL/6 | *P. chabaudi* AS infected RBC 1*10^7/i.v. | DNase− | Flow cytometry on blood samples: CD45+ CD3− Ly6G/Chi | Low liver injury, low AST level and no effect on parasitemia level. | (53) |
| C57BL/6 | *P. chabaudi* AS infected RBC 1*10^7/i.v. | NE/PR3−/-- | Flow Cytometry on blood samples: CD45+ CD3− Ly6G/Chi | Low liver injury, low AST level and no effect on parasitemia level. | (53) |
| C57BL/6 | *P. chabaudi* AS infected RBC 1*10^7/i.v. | Anti-G-CSF (day 7 post infection i.v.) | Flow Cytometry on blood samples: CD45+ CD3− Ly6G/Chi | Low liver injury, low AST level. | (53) |
| C57BL/6 | *P. yoelli* 17XNL infected RBC 2*10^6/i.v. | MPO−/-- | Not Applicable | Not determine, however no effect on parasitemia level on day 6-12 post infection, parasitemia level increased after day 12 post infection. | (78) |
| C57BL/6 | *P. yoelli* 17XNL infected RBC 2*10^6/i.v. | Anti-Ly6G (500 µg i.p.) | Not Applicable | Not determine, however no effect on parasitemia level. | (78) |

i.p., Intraperitoneal; i.v., Intravenously; p.i, Post infection; i.m., Intramuscularly; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase.
Malaria co-infection with bacteria: Any neutrophil link?

Salmonella co-infection is a common bacterial infection, and it remains a global health concern. One well-documented risk factor for Salmonella is Plasmodium falciparum malaria (25). It’s often a lethal complication of P. falciparum infection in Sub-Saharan Africa. In Gambia, the incidence of invasive NTS infection mirrors that of malaria, and about 43% of children with Salmonella bacteremia had concurrent P. falciparum infections (81). In Tanzania, invasive NTS in young children is highly associated with recent malaria infection (26). Interestingly, evidence from co-infection models supports this idea (Table 2). For example, a study by Cunnington and colleagues demonstrated that prior infection of mice with non-lethal P. yoelii resulted in decreased survival of S. typhimurium in the mice. Though the authors suggested that the decrease in the survival rate of the mice was a result of impaired ROS production in neutrophils (85), the mechanism underlying the neutrophil-associated immune suppression remains unclear.

Underlying mechanisms of neutrophil associated immune suppression during malaria

During malaria infection, the parasite continuously breaks down RBCs followed by eryptosis of many uninfected RBCs (87). The direct destruction of RBC leads to the release of hemoglobin, or its breakdown product heme, into the plasma. Free heme is prooxidant and highly cytotoxic, contributing to endothelial injury (88). Intracellular heme is then degraded into equimolar amounts of iron, carbon monoxide, and biliverdin through the action of heme oxygenase (HO). Significantly, plasma heme is raised during both acute (89) and subclinical (90) P. falciparum malaria infections in humans and during acute P. yoelii infection in mice (85). The authors suggested that this might explain why children with acute malaria remain susceptible to secondary bacterial infection (27). Several studies have shown that during malaria infection, the migration of neutrophils into the infected tissues, including blood (85), intestine (82), and liver (84) is impaired. Neutrophils precursors of Plasmodium-infected mice have been shown to express heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1) (85), which has been reported to reduce neutrophil migration into the inflamed lung (91), thus suggesting an association between HO-1 and neutrophil migration.

During the parasite life cycle in the RBC, the malaria parasite feeds on the hemoglobin and packages the waste product hemozoin in an organelle designated the digestive vacuole (DV) (92). Large numbers of DVs are released into blood circulation during severe malaria infection. Some reports have implicated the role of DVs in suppressing the host immune system via the inhibition of neutrophil functions. For example, a study by Dasari and colleagues demonstrated that phagocytosed

| Plasmodium spp. | Bacteria Strain | Bacteria load | Route of bacterial challenge | Time of bacteria Co infection | End point | Bacteria-related Outcome | Animal strain | Ref.
|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|------------------------|--------------|------
| P. yoelii nigeriensis | S. typhimurium strain (IR715) | 1×10⁹ CFU | Intra-gastric | Day 10 | Day 14 | Reduced intestinal inflammation to NTS | CBA/J | (82)
| P. yoelii nigeriensis | S. typhimurium strain (IR715) | Not available | Intra-gastric | Day 10 | Day 11 | Increased NTS colonization in feces. | C57BL/6j | (83)
| P. yoelii nigeriensis | S. typhimurium strain (IR715) | 1×10⁹ CFU | Intra-peritoneal | Day 10 | Day 12, 13 | Increased CFU in liver. | CBA/J | (84)
| P. fragile | S. typhimurium strain (IR715) | 1×10⁹ CFU | Ligated ileal loops | Day 14, 15 | 8h | Reduced intestinal inflammation to NTS. | Macaca mulatta | (82)
| P. yoelii nigeriensis | S. typhimurium strain (IR715) | 1×10⁹ CFU | Intra-gastric | Day 0 | Day 5 | Increased CFU in liver, spleen and peyer’s patch and spleen | CBA/J | (84)
| P. yoelii 17XNL | 12023-GFP | 1×10⁹ CFU | Intra-peritoneal | Day 15 | 18h | Increased CFU in blood, spleen, and liver. | C57BL/6 | (85)
| P. yoelii 17XNL | S. typhimurium strain (BRD509) | 1×10⁹ CFU | Intravenous | Day 14, 28 | Day 17, 31 | Increased CFU in liver | C57BL/6 | (86)

CFU, Colony Forming Unit.
Neutrophils in malaria remain understudied and they play a double-edge sword role in malaria. During malaria infection, neutrophils may be involved in defense mechanisms against parasites via phagocytosis and ROS production. On the other hand, neutrophils might also be involved in the pathogenesis of severe malaria via NETs and toxic granule proteins release. Therefore, more research studies are required to understand the specific roles of neutrophils in malaria.

During malaria, small extracellular vesicles (EVs) are secreted by *Plasmodium* infected RBC (iRBCs). EVs contribute to the immune regulation by transferring cargoes including RNAs from the iRBCs to immune cells, resulting in immune suppression or immune activation depending on the cellular context. Currently, it’s not clear if these EVs are involved in the observed immune suppression via the modulation of neutrophil functions in malaria patients. It will be interesting to know if EVs can deliver their biological cargoes to neutrophils which in turn maybe responsible for the observed immune suppression.

There seems to be a lot of contradicting neutrophil data using both *in vitro* and *in vivo* malaria models. We suggest that a novel *ex vivo* microfluidic platform, modelling the *in vivo* malaria infection microenvironment, will allow studying the interaction of neutrophils and malaria at single cell resolution and in real time. Such platform should allow the investigation of neutrophil migration, NETs release and parasite killing during neutrophil-parasite interaction.

**Future direction and conclusion**
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CXCR3 deficiency.

Monocyte- and neutrophil-derived CXCL10 impairs efficiency of blood-stage infection and promotes severe disease.

Monocyte-derived CXCL10 inhibits efficient control of blood-stage infection.

IL-10-Mediated alteration of myeloid cell function.
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