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ABSTRACT

One of the main causes of blindness is diabetic retinopathy (DR) and it may affect people of any ages. In these days, both young and old ages are affected by diabetes, and the diabetes is the main cause of DR. Hence, it is necessary to have an automated system with good accuracy and less computation time to diagnose and treat DR, and the automated system can simplify the work of ophthalmologists. The objective is to present an overview of various works recently in detecting and segmenting the various lesions of DR. Papers were categorized based on the diagnosing tools and the methods used for detecting early and advanced stage lesions. The early lesions of DR are microaneurysms, hemorrhages, exudates, and cotton wool spots and in the advanced stage, new and fragile blood vessels can be grown. Results have been evaluated in terms of sensitivity, specificity, accuracy and receiver operating characteristic curve. This paper analyzed the various steps and different algorithms used recently for the detection and classification of DR lesions. A comparison of performances has been made in terms of sensitivity, specificity, area under the curve, and accuracy. Suggestions, future work and the area to be improved were also discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

In India, over 415 million people are affected by diabetes out of them over 50% of people may be affected by diabetic retinopathy (DR). DR can be diagnosed by clinical testing and automation. In clinical testing, the ophthalmologists will do clinical test and patients have to go for regular medical check-up. Moreover, it is time-consuming whereas in automation self-testing and diagnosing can be done. Patients may go for medical follow-up if it is really needed. However, automation consumes less time and it is less expensive. Many research papers have come with automation so the authors would like to categorize these papers based on the techniques used for the extraction of various lesions and classification.

Image processing is widely used to detect lesions in DR. Pre-processing, processing and classification are the three major steps in DR. For processing and classification IP, neural network (NN) and fuzzy logic have been used. Papers were categorized based on the diagnosing tools such as image processing, NN, and Fuzzy logic. Many papers have come up with the segmentation of retinal vasculature but only less have come for the detection of fovea and macula. This review paper can motivate researchers to identify the area where the research is to be improved.

IMAGE PROCESSING

Kumar et al. in 2016 have classified [1] retinal image as normal or DR image using two image fields per eye, one fovea centric and the other disc-centric. It was implemented as a four-stage process: (1) Retinal images were normalized, (2) optic disc and blood vessels (BVs) regions located automatically, (3) red and white lesions were extracted, and (4) The classification of the retina as DR or non-DR based on an aggregate of the lesions. Pre-processing includes image resizing by bi-cubic interpolation and brightness correction in HSV space. Multi-level wavelet decomposition and recursive region growing histogram analysis, three stage intensity transformation, and multilevel histogram analysis were the processing. Liu et al. in 2016 have proposed [2] an algorithm for exudates (EXs) segmentation consisted of three stages anatomic structure removal, EX location and EX segmentation. Pre-processing includes field of view segmentation. Matched filters based BV segmentation, saliency-based optic disc segmentation and EX segmentation were the processing steps.

Kumar et al. in 2016 have attempted [3] to extract EXs regions by pre-processing (RGB to HIS conversion, noise removal by median filtering and adaptive histogram equalization) OD elimination, EXs segmentation using K-means clustering, feature extraction based on texture and colour using gray-level co-occurrence matrix (GLCM), feature selection by genetic algorithm, feature classification by probabilistic NN (PNN) classifier. Besenczi et al. in 2016 have reviewed the set of algorithms [4] used for image pre-processing, localization and segmentation of anatomical components and the detection of lesions. The authors personally suggested the following things: Parallel and spatial domain processing, stochastic optimization for setting free parameters and detected components and relations may be processed by graph algorithms to increase efficiency and to reduce the computation time.

Wu et al. in 2016 have proposed novel method [5] to detect microaneurysms (MAs). There were 27 characteristic features which contained Local and profile features. Authors used three classifiers for classifying candidates as MAs and non-MAs but found K-nearest neighbors (KNN) would be the best. Pre-processing includes illumination equalization, CLAHE enhancement and smoothing. Peak detection and region growing were used for candidate extraction.

In 2016, Bharkad has detected and segmented optic disc [6] using an equiripple finite impulse response (FIR) low-pass filter (LPF) and gray-scale morphological dilation and median filtering, respectively. Pre-processing includes adaptive histogram equalization and equiripple low pass FIR filtering. For OD detection maximum intensity pixels were extracted and thresholding. Srivastava et al. in 2016 have reduced [7] the false detection of MAs and HEs on the BVs using Frangi filters with lesionness measure. Images were pre-processed (extraction of green channel and its inversion) and passed through proposed filters for extracting features. Features from the patches were combined to get feature vector which was followed by support vector machines (SVM).
classifier to predict whether the image had a lesion or not. The areas under receiver operating characteristic were 0.97 and 0.92 for MAs and Has, respectively.

Diyana et al. in 2016 have reviewed various methods used for grading assessment of DR. This paper suggested that the BV segmentation and BV tortuosity measurement would be used to know the retinal diseases severity. Dhivindacheli and Rajamani in 2015 have proposed approach to detect the DR, types and its severity and the appropriate treatment. Pre-processing was done to remove salt and pepper noise, and the image was enhanced pixel level. Scale-invariant feature transform feature points, histogram value and the connected components using morphological operations were calculated for both the image and the template image to decide whether the input fundus image is normal or abnormal and early or advanced stage. OTSU image segmentation with different thresholds has been applied to extract tiny dots, EXs, and hemorrhages (HEs) based on the numbers and areas of these lesions near macula the severity can be determined.

As the population is growing fastly in nowadays Arenas-Cavalli et al. in 2015 have presented a web-based application technique to detect automatically the lesions of DR. Patient’s fundus image will be uploaded in the health-care center and in the remote place automated DR screening by means of image processing will be done and the results will be referred by ophthalmologists, and finally results notification will be in the health-care center. Color normalization, contrast enhancement, and noise removal were done. Processing includes feature extraction, optic disc detection using KNN regression, image segmentation using fuzzy c-means (FCM) clustering and morphological operation.

Maher et al. in 2015 have detected classified DR using proposed automated system. Morphological operations were performed to segment the BVs and EXs and to extract features, and they classified the disease stages as normal, NPD and PDR by using multiclass naïve bays classifier. Pre-processing includes Gaussian filtering and elimination of background variations using shade correction method. In 2015 Shrivas et al. have reviewed methods of pre-processing, processing and classification for the detection of lesions and classification of DR. Retinopathy grades are 0 for normal, 1 for (MA 0-5), 2 for (MA 6-14 or HE 1-4 and 0 neovascularisation). 3 for (MA ≥15 or HE ≥5 or NV =1), Messidor, drive and stare are the three major databases used for retinal images.

In 2015, Mustafa et al. have reviewed various methods of finding the retina vascular tortuosity for grading assessment of DR. Mookiah et al. in 2015 have reviewed various imaging modalities used for diagnosing diabetic macular edema (DME), automated grading systems for DME with fundus images, techniques for detecting and segmenting fovea and EXs. Finally, the authors concluded that fundus imaging is more suitable and affordable for DME grading and telsecreening.

Ganesan et al. in 2015 have extracted the EXs and the optic disc using K-means, FCM, and principal component analysis (PCA). The comparison was made by taking six image quality parameters and as a conclusion PCA based detection was more efficient than the K-means and FCM. Banerjee in 2015 have designed a decision support system using contextual information to classify retinal abnormalities such as age-related macular degeneration and DR. FCM were used to separate the candidates into three clusters as the diseased retinal image has three different color groups and the matching was done between the candidate segmented image and prototype segmented image along with the contextual information. Accuracy rate was not defined.

In 2015, Bharali et al. have proposed image processing methods to detect HEs in fundus images. CLAHE, median and average filtering was done. BV structure was detected by region growing algorithm and eliminated by morphological operations with modified NICKS’s local threshold algorithm. Deka et al. in 2015 developed a novel method for determining the macula and fovea. Accuracy has been calculated as 97.85%. CLAHE was done to improve the image. BV was detected by 5th order discrete wavelet transform (DWT) decomposition followed by morphological opening operation.

Prasad et al. in 2015 have classified the images as diabetic or non-diabetic by the use of morphological operations and segmentation techniques for the detection of BVs, EXs and MAs and Haar wavelet transform and PCA were used for the selection of optimal features and the classification was done by the use of back propagation NN (BPNN) and one rule classifiers. The sensitivity, specificity and accuracy for the BPNN classifier were 93.3, 95.23 and 93.8% and for the one rule classifier it was 97.8, 97.5 and 97.75%.

Omar et al. in 2014 have segmented EXs in RGB images by applying morphological operations (modified region props function) and a reconstruction technique. This system will be built into a mobile platform to diagnose the DR. RGB to HIS, median filtering and CLAHE was done as the pre-processing.

In 2014, Yin et al. have proposed a system able to classify retinal and non-retinal images and performing quality testing of retinal images. This can be used as a pre-processing step to eliminate images with poor quality. Images were represented as Bag of visual words. Structural similarity index and high-level image quality measures have been calculated for determining the likeness between two images and to perform quality testing. The image classification was done by SVM.

Usman Akram et al. in 2014 have detected, classified and graded the retinal lesions by the various phases. 16 features were extracted from the morphological operations and Gabor filter banks responses. The following was the work done in this paper: Background separation, extraction of BVs by Gabor wavelet and multilayered threshold, extraction of optic disc by averaging filter, feature extraction, and classification by hybrid classifier (m-Mediods based classifier with a Gaussian mixture model (GMM)). Ramya et al. in 2014 have given an idea to detect EXs. Resizing, gray-scale conversion, and filtering were the pre-processing and the BVs and optic disc were extracted by thresholding and circular Hough transform, respectively. Finally, adaptive thresholding was used to detect EXs. Sensitivity and specificity were not defined.

Welikala et al. in 2014 have used a novel method for detecting new BVs and to reduce false responses. The two vessel segmentation techniques such as standard line operator and novel modified line operator were applied, and the final decision was performed by combining the outcome of individual SVM classifiers. The disadvantage was that this work would fail when there were new vessels appeared as loops or small networks. Pre-processing includes median filtering to reduce salt and pepper noise, local contrast enhancement and shade correction.

In 2013, Tavakoli et al. developed unconventional algorithm to detect and mask optic nerve head and BVs. Fluorescein angiography fundus images were used to detect microaneurysms. BVs were detected by applying Radon transform (RT) with multi-overlapping windows and the microaneurysms were detected by RT and thresholding at the finest level. Contrast stretching and average filtering were done before processing.

Yousef and Solouma in 2012 have applied robust algorithm for determining BVs and EXs in fundus images using image processing algorithms. It can be fully automatic or semi-automatic. Pre-processing includes median filtering and contrast enhancement by top hat morphological operations. The workflow was the extraction of optic disc by Hough transform and canny edge detection, detection of contours in retinal images by simplified snakes contour edge detection algorithm, extraction of BV-tree by morphological closing with two structuring elements and morphological reconstruction algorithm was used to get the final estimate of EXs. Saleh and Eswaran in 2012 have
proposed an automated decision support [27] user-friendly system for identifying DR and its severity with respect to location and number of microaneurysms and HAs. Preprocessing includes median filtering and green channel extraction. Processing includes removal of optic disc by centroid distance method, removal of image background, dark spot segmentation by h-maxima transformation and thresholding and feature extraction.

In 2012, Selvathi et al. have segmented BVs, EXs, and MAs [28] to classify images using SVM as normal or DR images by performing discrete curvelet transform of the green channel image, morphological operations, texture analysis (GLCM), feature extraction, and classification. Köse et al. in 2012 have introduced an algorithm [29] with background image and inverse segmentation for segmenting DR lesions (hard EXs, cotton wool spots, MAs, and HEs) to measure changes across the whole image. A comparison was done between Bayesian, manual method and region growing segmentation method. In 2012, Patil and Chaudhari proposed tool for enhancing retinal image to detect DR lesions [30]. Image enhancement method (rotated versions of Kirsch masks, I and II derivative and contrast stretching transformation) was used to adjust image properties such as mean intensity, contrast, and sharpness with respect to the intensity of pixels and this processed image can be used as input for automated image processing techniques. Image was sharpened using spatial filters.

Saleh and Eswaran in 2012 have provided an automated DR diagnosis system [27] with a user-friendly interface. This paper discussed the methods (h-maxima transformation followed by thresholding and feature extraction) used for the extraction and classification of MAs and HEs. The number and location of MAs and HEs was used to identify disease severity. Pre-processing includes green channel extraction, background normalization, and optic disc removal. Winder et al. in 2009 had reviewed over a 10-year period papers for [31] algorithms used for pre-processing, detection and segmentation of optic disc, BV segmentation, macula and fovea localization and detection and retinopathy segmentation. It was concluded to identify the area where the research is to be improved.

In 2009, Sánchez et al. have applied mixture models and dynamic thresholding [32] for the detection of EXs. Optic disc was removed and edges are enhanced by Kirsch’s method. The authors have left spatial correlation at neighboring pixels. Luminosity and contrast enhancement was done using mean and standard deviation of pixels. Sophank et al. in 2008 have detected hard EXs [33] on low-contrast images using morphological and Otsu thresholding in the non-dilated and low contrast fundus images. Pre-processing includes RGB to HIS conversion, median filtering, CLAHIE and bi-linear interpolation. Distance between the macula and the EXs were also determined to help the ophthalmologists to know the severity of the disease. Structuring element of disc shaped with fixed radius was used. Distinguishing hard and soft EXs will be the future work. Akila and Kaviquth in 2014 [34] have detected the hard EXs by FCM and K means clustering and classified by random forest classifier. The accuracy achieved was 92.94%.

ALGORITHMS USED FOR PRE-PROCESSING

Local contrast enhancement (CLAHIE), correction of non-uniform illumination, color normalization, noise removal, histogram analysis, median filtering, shade correction, conversion to his color space, Gaussian filtering, adaptive contrast enhancement, mathematical model, image filtering techniques, and green channel extraction.

ALGORITHMS USED FOR DETECTION AND SEGMENTATION OF OPTIC DISC

Active contour models, principle component analysis algorithm, snakes algorithm watershed transform, point of convergence of BVs, extraction of high intensity pixels, point distribution model, extraction of maximum contrast pixels, adaptive thresholding, morphological operations, KNN regressor, Hough transform and canny edge detection, performing an and operation with mask image to remove circular border, centroid distance method, multilevel wavelet decomposition and recursive region growing, modified sobel operator, saliency-based optic disc segmentation, Haar DWT-based pyramidal decomposition, Hausdorff distance method template matching, line operator, fuzzy convergence, KNN location regression, circular transformation and super pixel classification.

ALGORITHMS/METHODS USED FOR DETECTION AND SEGMENTATION OF BVS

Vessel tracking algorithm, matched filter, morphological analysis, PCA, wavelet and edge detection, steerable/Gaussian filters, watershed transform and thresholding, classification by NN, standard line operator and modified line operator, segmentation based on pixel’s feature vector, morphological closing with two structuring elements, kirsch operator, discrete curvelet transform of green channel of the image, histogram analysis, RT and multi overlapping windows, template matching and contour reconstruction, multiscale Hessian Eigen value analysis to enhance vessels, GMM and expectation maximization clustering to classify vessels, least square SVM to classify veins based on four colour features and extraction of four features (mean, edge change, FFT vessels length upon distance, Arc to chord ratio) to measure tortuosity.

METHODS USED FOR THE LOCALIZATION OF FOVEA AND MACULA

Method of searching for low pixel areas near the optic disc, the darkest area which is 2.5 times the diameter of the optic disc will be considered as a macula. By fitting a parabola on the main vessels having its vertex at the OD centre, by determining a line that was roughly passing through the OD and the macula using a parabolic model of the vasculature and localize the fovea by its distance from the OD, location of the fovea as the region of minimum vessel density within a search region, matching correlation, Mathematical morphology, active contour model, active shape model and geometrical relation, BPF, region growing and geometric relation, singular value decomposition, point distribution method, Markov random fields, and adaptive thresholding.

ALGORITHMS USED FOR THE DETECTION OF LESIONS

Region growing, classification algorithms, adaptive intensity thresholding with a moat operator, Otsu image segmentation, morphological analysis, segmentation using FCM clustering and classification by NN classifier, simplified snakes contour edge detection algorithm, morphological reconstruction algorithm, recursive region growing, h-maxima transformation and thresholding to extract MAs and HAs, Kirsch’s edges for EXs detection, three stage intensity transformation and detection of white lesions from multilevel histogram analysis, Histogram modeling using mixture model and dynamic thresholding, adaptive region growing method followed by background correction and inverse segmentation method, RT and fine level of thresholding, local variance, size, and the local contrast were used to segment the EX regions, EXs segmentation using K-means clustering, Prewit operators and Otsu thresholding, Color and Fishers linear discriminant analysis, FCM clustering, Kirsch’s operator and Riesz transform, amplitude modulation, frequency modulation, circular Hough transformation and multi-scale correlation filtering and dynamic thresholding to extract MAs, OD thresholding by Niblack’s method and the regionprops function and OD detection by FIR LPF, Frangi filters and Gabor filters to extract features, BV detection and elimination by applying region growing algorithm, 5th order DWT decomposition, morphological operations and local threshold modified NICK’s algorithm.

NN AND FUZZY LOGIC

Another approach to detect lesions in DR was also suggested by the authors. The following are the summary of papers used NN and fuzzy logic. Rahim et al. in 2016 have designed automated system [35] for determining maculopathy and DR by employing fuzzy image
Table 1: Comparison of results in the image processing

| Paper                  | Number of images taken | Databases          | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | Accuracy/execution time |
|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Kumar et al. [1]       | 1344                   | Regional institute of ophthalmology | 80              | 50              | -                       |
| Liu et al. [2]         | 76                     | DiaretDB1, e-optha EX dataset | 75              | 97              | -                       |
| Kumar et al. [3]       | 130                    | MESSIDOR, St Thomas' Hospital | 97.3            | 98.92           | -                       |
| Wu et al. [5]          | 248                    | ROC and e-optha     | 74.60-87.07     | 99.39-99.61     | 96.92-100               |
| Bharkad [6]            | 369                    | DRIVE, DIARETDB1, B, and live images from the eye foundation hospital, Coimbatore. | 97.3            | 98.92           | 27.55 seconds            |
| Srivastava et al. [7]  | 143                    | DIARETDB1 and MESSIDOR | 97.3            | 98.92           | -                       |
| Bhaskar et al. [9]     | 100                    | RR eye research institute, Chennai | 97.3            | 98.92           | -                       |
| Arenas-Cavalli et al. [10] | 275                  | MESSIDOR, St Thomas' Hospital | 97.3            | 98.92           | -                       |
| Mahir et al. [11]      | 130                    | MESSIDOR, St Thomas' Hospital | 97.3            | 98.92           | -                       |
| Ganesan et al. [15]    | 89                     | MESSIDOR, St Thomas' Hospital | 97.3            | 98.92           | -                       |
| Bharali et al. [17]    | 1914                   | MESSIDOR, St Thomas' Hospital | 97.3            | 98.92           | -                       |
| Deka et al. [18]       | 1020                   | MESSIDOR, St Thomas' Hospital | 97.3            | 98.92           | -                       |
| Omar et al. [20]       | 89                     | MESSIDOR, St Thomas' Hospital | 97.3            | 98.92           | -                       |
| Yin et al. [21]        | 35342                  | MESSIDOR, St Thomas' Hospital | 97.3            | 98.92           | -                       |
| Usman Akram et al. [22]| 1410                   | MESSIDOR, St Thomas' Hospital | 97.3            | 98.92           | -                       |
| Welikala et al. [24]   | 60                     | MESSIDOR, St Thomas' Hospital | 97.3            | 98.92           | -                       |
| Takakoli et al. [25]   | 192                    | MESSIDOR, St Thomas' Hospital | 97.3            | 98.92           | -                       |
| Youssef and Sokouma [26]| 100                   | NILES, STARE        | 80              | 100             | -                       |
| Saleh and Eswaran [27] | 98                     | University Malaya Medical Centre (UMMC) | 80              | 100             | -                       |
| Selvathi et al. [28]   | 40                     | DRIVE, DIARETDB1 AND MESSIDOR | 80              | 100             | -                       |
| Köse et al. [29]       | 328                    | Karadeniz Technical University | 80              | 100             | -                       |
| Saleh and Eswaran [27] | 98                     | University Malaya Medical Centre (UMMC) | 80              | 100             | -                       |
| Sánchez et al. [32]    | 80                     | Instituto de de talobio Aplicada at University of Valladolid, Spain | 80              | 99.5            | 3 minutes               |
| Sophar et al. [33]     | 60                     | Thammasat University Hospital | 80              | 99.5            | 3 minutes               |
| Akila and Kavitha [34] | -                      | Thammasat University Hospital | 80              | 99.5            | 3 minutes               |

(preprocessing, morphological processing for retinal structures localization and EXs detection, and for classification six features were extracted (three for EXs and another three for macula). The classifiers were k-nearest neighbor, radial basis function (RBF) Kernel SVM, polynomial Kernel SVM, and Naive-Bayes. Results with good accuracy were achieved by KNN and RBF Kernel SVM classifiers.)

Pratt et al. in 2016 have discussed first the five class classification of DR [36] using convolutional NN. The classes were normal, mild DR, moderate DR, severe DR, and proliferative DR. The trained network can classify thousands of images every minute and hence to be used in real-time. It achieved 95% specificity, 75% accuracy, and 30% sensitivity. Color normalization and image resizing were pre-processing steps.

Mahendran et al. in 2015 have detected and localized the EXs [37] by applying segmentation technique (neighborhood based). SVM and PNN classifiers were used to get the disease severity. The compared results showed accuracy for classification that was 97.89% for state vector machine classifier and 94.76% for PNN classifier. Divya in 2015 has proposed algorithm [38] for determining features such as BVs, EXs, MAs, and OD. Based on that she identified the severity of DR, Kirsch algorithm used for detecting BVs, Fuzzy clustering algorithm for extracting EXs and morphological distance-based algorithm for the detection of MAs.

Franklin and Rajan have segmented retinal BVs [39] for identifying vessel size by employing multilayer perceptron NN. The vascular structure helps to classify the severity of DR. The weights in a feed-forward network was changed by employing back propagation algorithm. The measured accuracy was 95.03%. Thomas and Mahesh [40] have detected EXs using morphological operations and classified as normal, weak and hard EXs by fuzzy logic. Non-overlapping MFs produced inaccurate results and the work needed to be improved to detect EXs pixel having very low-intensity values.

Akram et al. [41] have developed the system with three stages for the early finding of MAs. A bank of Gabor filters was employed to extract the candidates, formation of 15 feature vectors and classification of...
candidates as MAs or non-microaneuysms was achieved using hybrid classifier which was GMM classifier, state vector machine classifier, and multimodal Mediod based modeling approach classifier. The sensitivity of 98.64%, specificity of 99.69%, and accuracy of 99.40% was achieved. Basha et al.

in 2012 have identified and detected new BVs from the normal BVs [43]. Window based classification was done based on number of BVs and the area involved. The specificity of 89.4% and sensitivity of 63.9% was achieved. Sanchez et al. have proposed [44] a new method for detecting hard EXs based on six high-level contextual features rather than local-based features in the computer-aided diagnosis (CAD) system. The green channel output was convolved with 14 digital filters, and then KNN classifier was used to classify the pixels. Obtaining probability of each pixel and threshold them to get bright lesion candidate clusters. There was local and contextual classification by linear discriminant classifier. Contextual features were extracted from the posterior probabilities, and the most discriminative feature selection was done by sequential forward floating selection. Figure of Merit of 0.945 was achieved with this CAD system.

Garcia et al. in 2010 have used four NN classifiers [45] such as multilayer perceptron, state vector machine, RBF, and their combination with voting majority technique to detect one of the red lesions. Color and shape of 29 features were extracted. Best performance and low complexity were achieved with RBF. Mean sensitivity and mean positive predictive value for lesion classification was 86.01% and 5.199%, respectively. Mean specificity, mean sensitivity, and mean accuracy for image-level classification was 56.00%, 100%, & 83.08%, respectively.

In 2009, Garcia et al. have used morphological operations to detect hard EXs [46] and 18 features were extracted and classified by three NN classifiers (multi layer perceptron, state vector machine, and RBF). Authors specified the values of mean specificity, mean sensitivity, and mean accuracy for all the three classifiers. Basha and Prasad in 2008 have combined [47] IP and fuzzy logic to detect DR and its lesions. The fundus image was segmented by morphological operations to find out the hard EXs, soft EXs, MAs, and hemorrhages. Five different color space values for those abnormal regions were calculated to form fuzzy sets, and the average of five outputs was determined. The result is positive if the average is 1. Negative if the average is 0 and the other intermediate outputs denote the percentage of disease severity.

As the Genistein protects eye from retinal inflammation, Dongare et al. in 2015 investigated [48] how the Genistein reacted for glucose toxicity and protects retinal pigment epithelium cells. Results showed that it is the vigorous treating agent for diabetic-related diseases. In 2015, Aly [49] has found the useful effects of oats on the DR. The changes in the retina were noted by the application of Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy. The final suggestion was the requirement of optimization for dosing and commercial preparation of the medicine.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A comparison of performances has been made in Table 1 to identify where the research is to be improved. Many research papers have come up with different algorithms but acceptable levels of sensitivity and specificity have not yet been reached, and only less work has been reported for the detection of fovea and macula.

CONCLUSION

This review paper analyzed the various steps and different algorithms used recently for the detection and classification of DR lesions. Algorithms used for pre-processing, processing, segmenting anatomical structures, and detection of lesions were also included. Performances were evaluated in terms of sensitivity, specificity, area under the curve and accuracy. Different databases and the number of images taken for training and testing were also mentioned. Suggestions, future work and the area to be improved were also analyzed.
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