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Abstract

Background: Genetic recombination produces different allelic combinations potentially, providing new variations to the selection pools for domestication. Allopolyploidization increases evolutionary potential of the hexaploid common wheat by taking its advantages of heterosis and gene redundancy. May there be any relationship between allopolyploidization and genetic recombination? To study the impact of allopolyploidization on genetic recombination in different ancestral genomes of wheat, we generated synthetic hexaploid wheat by crossed tetraploid *Triticum turgidum* with diploid *Aegilops tauschii* to simulate its evolutionary hexaploidization process.

Results: Using Wheat Breeder's Genotyping Array, the genotypes of F$_2$ individuals were investigated in both tetraploid (A$_1$A$_1$B$_1$B$_1$ x A$_2$A$_2$B$_2$B$_2$) and their synthetic hexaploid wheat derived populations (A$_1$A$_1$B$_1$B$_1$DD x A$_2$A$_2$B$_2$B$_2$DD). And the genotypes of the diploid population (D$_1$D$_1$ x D$_2$D$_2$) and their synthetic hexaploid wheat derived population (AABBD$_1$D$_1$ x AABBD$_2$D$_2$) were obtained with DArT-Seq™ technology. Based on genotypes of F$_2$ populations, the genetic recombination frequency of homologous chromosome were consequently calculated in ancestral tetraploid AABB (4x), diploid DD (2x) and their synthetic hexaploid AABDD (6x) plants, respectively. The recombination frequency of the ancestral diploid genome DD from *Aegilops tauschii* was found enhanced significantly more than 2 folds after their hexaploidization, while no significant changes was found in their ancestral tetraploid genome AABB via hexaploidization.

Conclusions: Allopolyploidization enhancing genetic recombination of the ancestral diploid genome is found to increase the evolutionary potential of wheat, which is beneficial for wheat to conquer their narrow origination of D genome, quickly spread and make it a major crop of the world.

Background

Polyploidization occurs throughout evolutionary history of many important crops. Based on the origins and levels of ploidy, polyploidies are classified into autopolyploids such as potato (*Solanum tuberosum*, 2n=4x=48), allopolyploids like oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*, 2n=4x=38, AACC), common wheat (*Triticum aestivum*, 2n=6x=42, AABBDD) and synthetic hexaploid or octoploid *Triticale* (2n=6x=42, AABBR or 2n=8x=56, AABBDRR) [1,2]. Polyploid takes its advantages on heterosis (in wheat: [3,4]) and gene redundancy [5], which might instead increase diversity, plasticity and adaptation of polyploids after overcoming the disadvantages of difficulties in the normal completion of meiosis (in wheat: [6,7]) and genetic stability [8-10]. Heterosis enables polyploid to be more vigorous than its diploid progenitors, whereas gene redundancy shields it from the deleterious effect of mutations, therefore enhancing its evolutionary potential [5,11].

Cultivated emmer wheat *Triticum turgidum* ssp. *dicoccum* (2n=4x=28, AABB) was originally domesticated from the wild plant *T. turgidum* ssp. *dicoccoides* in the Fertile Crescent and the larger Near East about 10,000 years ago, then spread worldwide, and become one of the most important crops just after its
hexaploidization, resulting hexaploid common wheat. The bread wheat originated from accidental hybridization between tetraploid wheat *T. turgidum* (AABB) with wild diploid grass *Aegilops tauschii* (DD). The wild diploid species *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *strangulata* as the D genome donor of the hexaploid common wheat [12-16] hybridized with the free-threshing form of tetraploid wheat [15], which happened in the south and west of the Caspian Sea about 9,000 years ago, made the hexaploid wheat a major type of wheat in the next agricultural history, which accounted for about 95% of world wheat production whereas the tetraploid durum wheat only represented the rest 5% [17].

*Ae. tauschii* is a widely distributed and genetically diverse species [13,14,18,19]. A total of four morphological varieties have been found in nature, of which three are grouped into *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *tauschii* mostly called as ‘lineage 1’ [16,20], including var. *typica*, var. *anathera* and var. *meyeri* [21] (Kihara and Tanaka 1958); while the fourth is grouped into *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *strangulata* [21], broadly related to ‘lineage 2’ [16,20]. *Ae. tauschii* serves as a gene repertoire for modern wheat adaptation [4,22,23], due to their superiority in resistances to disease [24-29] and pest [30-32], tolerance to environmental stresses [33-37], and yield enhancement [38-42], all of which could be gathered in hexaploid wheat via heterosis theoretically.

However, the D genome of the first bread wheat is found to be originated from only a small natural group of *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *strangulata* [12,14,16], thus the genetic basis and diversity are rather narrow in modern common wheat. To broaden the genetic diversity of bread wheat D genome, scientists created the synthetic hexaploid wheat (SHW) by using tetraploid wheat crossing with *Ae. tauschii* via chromosome doubling [43], and more and more elite commercial wheat varieties derived from SHWs have been released in the subsequent decades [3,44-47]. Thus it can be seen that the artificial hexaploidization, to some extent, enhanced the genetic variation and adaptive evolution of modern wheat [45], and more concern has been focused on it [46-49].

Genetic recombination produces novel allele combinations, thus becomes the most important genetic phenomenon for generating new variations to the selection pools for evolution. Moreover, genetic advance in a breeding program depends on selection of new recombinant individuals from inter-varietal or interspecific crosses. And boosting genetic recombination would effectively speed up the combining of valuable traits from different parents in new elite varieties [50]. In plants, recombination frequency is often catalyzed by genetic factors such as *zep1* (rice: [51], *zyp1* (barley: [52]), *Ph1* (wheat: [53]), related QTL (wheat: [54]) and male meiosis (wheat: [55]; rye: [56]), which is also affected by external stress possibly changing plant/cell physiological condition, including chemical, different levels of nutrients, X-rays, mutagenic agents, temperature, etc. [57,58]. In *Brassica*, the genetic recombination frequency of diploid genome A gets a boost in their allotriploid and allotetraploid hybrids with C genome [59]. And in *Arabidopsis*, polyploidization increases meiotic recombination frequency [60]. Allopolyploidization is a driving force in plant evolution [61,62], which has been focused primarily on by plant evolutionary genomicists in recent years. In wheat, hexaploid takes its advantage on heterosis and gene redundancy from both emmer wheat and wild grass, but the change of genetic recombination such as homologous recombination of polyploidy, which might be a strong force driving some aspects of plant genome
variability [63], has not received adequate attention in the evolution of wheat yet, which is regarded as a good model for studying polyploidization in plants [64].

To study the impact of allopolyploidization on genetic recombination of its ancestral diploid D and tetraploid AB genomes of wheat, we developed two sets of segregation populations to investigate the change of the genetic recombination frequency (RF) between homologous chromosomes of D and AB genomes after their polyploidization from diploid or tetraploid to hexaploid, respectively. With the help of molecular markers, the distribution of genome-wide RF in different chromosomes were examined under diploid, tetraploid and hexaploid levels, with their changing pattern along entire chromosomes of the ancestral diploid and tetraploid genomes after hexaploidization. The effects of hexaploidization on different ancestral genomes in wheat were defined explicitly in this study. The results suggested that hexaploidization enhanced the homologous recombination of the diploid donor genome in the evolution of wheat, which helped wheat conquer their narrow origination of D genome, and thus speed its adaptive evolution to make it become one of the most-important crops.

Results

Distribution of SNPs on AB and D genomes

Wheat Breeder's Genotyping Array and DArT-Seq™ technology were used in this study to genotype the ancestral genomes of two genetic population sets, respectively. For genotyping the tetraploid genome AABB, a total of 9925 SNP labels were designed to be anchored on Wheat Breeder's Genotyping Array, and their numbers ranged from 463 of 6A to 997 of 3B (Table 1). And 10-30 SNPs were distributed within the majority of intervals across the AB genome of 20 Mb, and the pericentromeric SNPs were much less than SNPs in other genomic regions, especially on chromosomes 1B, 2B, 4B and 5A (Fig. 1A). For diploid genome DD, 9043 SNPs were totally obtained by DArT-Seq™ technology, based on genotyping by sequencing (GBS) and aligning the Illumina sequencing reads to the Chinese Spring (CS) RefSeq v1.0 assembly. The SNP numbers distributed on chromosomes ranged from 795 on 4D to 1850 on 2D across whole D genome (Table 1). Their distributions were quietly disequilibrium along the physical position on chromosome. The amounts of SNPs increases consistently and linearly with the physical distance away from the centromere of each chromosome, and SNPs were much more in distal centromere-free regions (Fig. 1B).

For AB genome, 9487 SNP labels on the chip were selected artificially by China Golden Marker Biotech Co Ltd (Fig. 1A), distributed more equilibriously than in D genome (Fig. 1B). And a total of 904 SNP markers were identified to have polymorphism between two parents of both tetraploid and SHW-derived populations, and the number of polymorphic SNP markers detected on each chromosome ranged from 28 on 4B to 107 on 2B (Table 1). The centromere region of each chromosome was shown blank in the polymorphic SNP markers except for chromosome 3B (Fig. 2). And the polymorphic SNP markers were detected to be clustering in some genomic regions of AB genome, i.e., the 80-140 Mb region on chromosome 1AL, the 190-210 Mb region on chromosome 2AS (Fig. 1A and 2). The genomic regions...
clustering the majority polymorphic SNP loci covered total physical length of about 2,320 Mb on AB genome, with an average marker density of about 4 SNPs per 20 Mb (Fig. 2).

For D genome of the second genetic population set with their parental diploid and SHWs, a total of 774 polymorphic SNP markers were finally reserved to map construction and RF calculation, and the number of polymorphic SNP markers on chromosomes ranged from 71 of 4D to 143 of 2D (Table 1). Distributions of polymorphic SNP markers on chromosomes also showed a linear decrease when approaching the centromeres (Fig. 1B, 2). The polymorphic SNP markers around centromere was nearly blank in the second genetic population set, and the majority of the polymorphic SNP markers were clustered in the genomic regions away from the pericentromeric regions, covering about 1,520 Mb totally with an average marker density more than 2 SNPs per 10 Mb (Fig. 2).

The level of genetic recombination among tetraploid genome after hexaploidization

The reserved 904 polymorphic SNP markers for map construction and RF calculation covered total physical lengths of 4771.2 Mb and 4944.2 Mb on A and B genomes, respectively. And the physical lengths covered by polymorphic SNP markers on each chromosome ranged from 581.5 Mb of 1A to 813.7 Mb of 3B (Table 2). The genetic maps for both tetraploid and hexaploid populations were constructed based on the physical positions of the 904 polymorphic SNP markers on the CS RefSeq v1.0 assembly (Fig. 2). For the tetraploid population, the total genetic map length of AB genome was 3135.9 cM, and the length of each chromosome ranged from 131 cM of 1B to 537 cM of 4B (Fig. 3; Table 3). For their SHW-derived population, the total genetic map length of AB genome was 2326.7 cM, and the length of each chromosome ranged from 109.5 cM of 1B to 394.5 cM (Fig. 3; Table 3). The map lengths of chromosome 2B (393.0 cM) and 4B (537.9 cM) calculated with the tetraploid population was 112.7 cM and 143.3 cM longer than those (280.3 cM for 2B, 394.5 cM for 4B) calculated with their SHW-derived population, respectively (Fig. 3; Table 3). Obviously, the genetic length difference of chromosomes 2B and 4B between tetraploid and hexaploid populations was mostly caused by the linkage gaps on their genetic maps. Furthermore, the linkage gaps on chromosome 4B map constructed using the tetraploid population were more than that constructed using the hexaploid population (Fig. 3; Table 3).

For the tetraploid genome AABB, the average genetic distance between two adjacent and linked SNP loci on different chromosomes ranged from 1.13 cM of chromosome 6A to 4.33 cM of chromosome 3A in the tetraploid F_2 population, and from 1.14 cM of 6A to 2.92 of 3A in their hexaploidy population (Table 2). The average genetic distance between two adjacent and linked SNP loci in the tetraploid genome increased by 0.01 cM on chromosome 6A, 0.10 cM on chromosome 3B and 0.49 cM on chromosome 6A after hexaploidization, while the average genetic distance decreased by 0.20-1.41 cM on other chromosomes (Table 2). The RF differential value between SHW and tetraploid _T. turgidum_ (TT), recorded as ΔRF_{SHW-TT}, were mostly distributed from -0.05% to 0.03% on total AB genome (Fig. 4). However, when comparing tetraploid with their hexaploidy on chromosome level, no significant difference was found on the average genetic distance between two adjacent and linked SNP loci, and the average RF between two adjacent and linked SNP loci on each chromosome also had no significant difference (Table 2). It
suggested that no remarkable change of RF happened in most physical region of tetraploid genome after their hexaploidization.

However, some genomic regions of the tetraploid genome received larger RF changes after hexaploidization (Fig. 4). In the pericentromeric region of chromosome 4B, the RF between the physical positions of 137.6 Mb and 414.7 Mb increased by 13.4% (about 10 folds) from 1.6% in tetraploid to 14.9% in hexaploid (Fig. 4). And in the centromere region of 6B, although no genetic recombination occurred in the interval of 309.6-347.7 Mb, the RF was also increased by 13.4% under hexaploid genetic background (Fig. 4). It suggesting that hexaploidization might increase the RF in pericentromere of specific chromosomes. Nevertheless, the RF between the physical positions of 705.3 Mb and 729.4 Mb on chromosome 3A of tetraploid wheat decreased by 16.4% (0.5 folds) from 33.3% to 16.9% when introduced into hexaploid genetic background. And the RFs of the genomic regions closed to the telomeres of chromosomes 5A and 5B decreased by >10% after hexaploidization (Fig. 4). The RFs between the physical positions of 617.8 Mb and 696.9 Mb on chromosome 6BL and 19.3-65.1 Mb on chromosome 7BS decreased by >15% (Fig. 4).

The level of genetic recombination among diploid genome after hexaploidization

The 774 polymorphic SNP markers used for map construction and RF calculation covered about the physical length of 3992.9 Mb in *Ae. tauschii* genome and ranged from 493.8 Mb of 6D to 648.7 Mb of 2D on chromosomes (Table 4). When constructing the genetic maps for each chromosome, no linkage gap was found on both diploid and hexaploid genetic maps of each chromosome (Table 5; Fig. 5). The genetic map constructed by the diploid population was totally 3334.9 cM long, and the genetic map length of each chromosome ranged from 263.2 cM of 4D to 593.3 cM of 2D (Table 5; Fig. 5). The total length of the genetic map constructed by the hexaploid population was 7185.4 cM, 2-fold larger than that of the diploid genetic map. This situation also happened to their chromosome maps, when the genetic map length of each chromosome ranged from 519.8 cM of 4D to 1279.4 cM of 7D in hexaploid (Table 5; Fig. 5).

For the diploid *Ae. tauschii* (AT) population, the average genetic distance between two adjacent and linked SNP loci on each chromosome ranged from 3.76 cM of 4D to 5.39 cM of 1D, while it ranged from 7.83 cM of 4D to 13.67 cM of 1D in their SHW-derived population (Table 4). For each chromosome, the average genetic distance between two adjacent SNP loci on the hexaploid genetic background was significantly over 2-fold longer than that in the diploid, and their differential value $\Delta_{\text{SHW-AT}}$ between hexaploid and diploid populations ranged from 4.07 cM on 4D to 8.28 cM on 1D (Table 4). The average RF between two adjacent and linked SNP loci in hexaploid was also significantly over 2-fold higher than that in diploid (Table 4). Their average $\Delta_{\text{SHW-AT}}$ of RF on each chromosome between different ploidy populations ranged from 3.96% of 4D to 7.83% of 1D (Table 4). The $\Delta_{\text{RF SHW-AT}}$ was mostly distributed from -5.0% to 17.0% along the whole D genome (Fig 6), with an average mean of 5.5% and ratio of 2.3 (Table 4: RF$_{\text{SHWP}}$/RF$_{\text{ATP}}$). These results suggested that the hexaploidization significantly enhancing RF of the ancestral diploid genome DD.
In addition, 7 outliers were also observed on some genomic regions, using a stem-and-leaf plot displaying the distribution of $\Delta RF_{\text{SHW-AT}}$. In the genomic region from the physical position of 616.8 Mb to 618.1 Mb on chromosome 2DL, the RF of diploid increased by 18.0 % (5 folds) from 4.5% to 22.5% after hexaploidization (Fig. 6). The physical regions from 369.3 Mb to 371.1 Mb on 3D obtained increased RF by 18.2% (about 10 folds) in hexaploid (Fig. 6). And the intervals from 561.6 Mb to 562.2 Mb on chromosome 5D and from 5.3 Mb to 7.2 Mb on chromosome 7D obtained increased RFs by 18.2% (about 6.5 folds) and 18.6% (about 3 folds) after hexaploidization, respectively (Fig. 6). However, three genomic regions were also detected with their RFs decreasing by > 6.0% after hexaploidization. In the interval from the physical position of 45.7 Mb to 58.3 Mb on chromosome 5DS, the RF decreased by 10.7% after hexaploidization, and the intervals of 17.6-20.8 Mb on 4D and 352.3-364.7 Mb on 5D obtained 6.0% and 6.5%-decreasing RFs after hexaploidization, respectively (Fig. 6).

**Discussion**

**The genetic maps constructed based on physical positions of SNPs**

In this study, SNPs used for constructing the genetic maps of AB and D genomes were aligned based on their physical positions to the sequence assembly of CS [65] and *A. tauschii* AL8/78 [23], respectively. Moreover, for genetic map construction, the method of ‘nearest neighbor & two-opt’ (nnTwoOpt) was also used for tour construction and its improvement, which is similar to Travelling Salesman Problem (TSP) [66]. For AB genome, chromosomes without any linkage gaps, such as 1A, 1B, 2A, 5A, 5B, 6B, 7A, and 7B, almost had the same genetic lengths of chromosome maps self-organized by nnTwoOpt method to those aligned with CS physical map (Table 3). For the chromosomes 2B, 3A, 3B, 4B and 6A, linkage gaps were found more or less on them, which were mostly caused by the extreme disequilibrium of SNP-polymorphism distribution. The polymorphism SNPs of each chromosome in D genome were much more than that in AB genome, and only one linkage gap was found on genetic map of chromosome 3D self-organized by nnTwoOpt method among the whole genome (Table 5). Considering that only subtle difference was observed in genetic lengths between self-organizing genetic maps and that aligned with CS physical map (Table 3, 5), we used the physical maps of CS and AL8/78 to align SNPs to AB and D genomes, respectively. So, with a physical map for alignment reference, the changes of the genetic length and RF of the diploid and tetraploid genomes after their hexaploidization could be investigated directly and conveniently by comparing them between populations with different polyploidy.

However, linkage gaps were found on some chromosome genetic maps of the tetraploid genome, where the genetic distance between two adjacent SNP loci was larger than 50 cM (Table 3). More double-crossovers often occur between two adjacent SNP loci if their genetic distance is over 50cM. In this situation, the measured RF would be much smaller than the true RF and did not reflect it accurately. Considering this, we only analyzed the genetic distance and RF between two adjacent SNP loci that were also closely linked to each other.

**Enhanced genetic recombination of ancestral diploid genome after hexaploidization**
On chromosome level, we compared the average RF between adjacent SNP loci in diploid and tetraploid populations with that in SHW population. And significant increase of RF was observed only in the ancestral diploid genome DD after their hexaploidization, rather than that in the ancestral tetraploid genome AABB. These results suggested that the increase extent of RF in ancestral genome depended on polyploidization level (eventual ploidy/initial ploidy), as the increase rate of RF from diploid to hexaploid was much greater than that from tetraploid to hexaploid. Most reported studies focused on the RF change from diploid to tetraploid (polyploidization level = 2). Leflon et al. [59] reported that the total genetic length of A7 linkage group increased from 52 cM in *Brassica rapa* to 96 cM in *B. napus*, after their allopolyploidization from diploidy to tetraploidy. The meiotic RF increased from 15.4% in diploid *Arabidopsis thaliana* to 24.1% in allotetraploid *A. suecica* [60]. These reported data showed that the RF in diploid genome will raise less than 2 fold (Pecinka et al. [60]: 1.56) when polyploidization level =2. Being limited by their selected plant species, it is difficult to investigate the RF change from diploid to hexaploid (polyploidization level = 3), as a trigenomic *Brassica* (AABBCC) is not known to exist in nature. Specially using wheat as a good model studying polyploidy, we found that the RF in diploid genome increased more than two fold (Table 4: about 2.3-fold on average) when promoting its polyploidization level from diploid to hexaploid. Furthermore, no significant change of RF was observed in tetraploid genome after its hexaploidization, as its polyploidization level was 1.5 (eventual ploidy/initial ploidy=6/4).

However, this situation depending on polyploidization level might be only suitable for euploidy but not for aneuploidy. For example, in *B. rapa*, A7 linkage group of allotriploid got 4-fold increase of the total genetic length more than both diploid and tetraploid [59]. The possible reasons were as follows: (1) aneuploidy causes greater genome instability than polyploidy for organisms [2,67] and aneuploidy itself can be responsible for the procreation of chromosomal instability [68,69]; (2) chromosomes that remain as univalents in the aneuploidy could lead to a compensatory increase in crossover frequency among unaffected bivalents [59, 70-72].

The RF of the diploid D genome was significantly enhanced after their hexaploidization, and the genetic mechanisms for this has not been clear yet. However, reported QTLs for crossover (CO) have been detected in hexaploid wheat, and most of them were distributed on AB genome. With 13 recombinant inbred mapping populations, Gardiner et al. [73] detected 5 QTLs for CO frequency on AB genome of the common wheat, which were located on 2A, 2B, 4B, 5A and 6A, respectively; Jordan et al. [54] detected 40 QTLs for total CO frequency by nested association mapping, most of which were also mapped to AB genome. These results implied that the genetic factors determining the CO frequency might be present in the ancestral genome AABB, which also lead to the RF increase of diploid genome DD after their hexaploidization. However, the max phenotypic effect of QTLs reported by Gardiner et al. [73] increased CO frequency less than 15%, and all 40 QTLs detected by Jordan et al. [54] acrossing the whole genome effected 7.0% of the overall mean for total COs. The effect size of these QTLs were much lower than the increase extent of >200% for RF in diploid genome caused by hexaploidization in this study. This suggested that the RF increase of the diploid D genome in our study was caused by hexaploidization for the most part, while the contribution of the genetic factors provided by AB genome was very minor.
Polyploidization enhancing variation and adaptive evolution of bread wheat

Allopolyploidy accelerates revolution in wheat often by two ways: (1) it triggers rapid genome changes through the instantaneous generation of a variety of cardinal genetic and epigenetic alterations, which generate heterosis between subgenomes in polyploid plants [1,2], and (2) the allopolyploid condition facilitates sporadic genomic changes that are not attainable at the diploid level, and take the advantages of gene redundancy [5,8,9,61,62]. The hexaploid wheat takes the advantages of heterosis from both the tetraploid wheat and *Ae. tauschii*. Genome sequence of *Ae. tauschii* and gene annotation for whole genome reveals that the diploid progenitor of hexaploid wheat D genome serves as a gene repertoire for modern wheat adaptation, which provides possible resistance to disease and pest, tolerance to environmental stresses and grain quality [22,23]. Importantly, these gene could be expressed normally in a hexaploid genetic background, for that lots of related QTLs or genes had been mapped to D genome of synthetic hexaploid wheat [24,30-32,26-29,36-37,41,42,74]. Moreover, the mRNA and small RNA transcriptomes analysis in nascent hexaploid wheat also demonstrate the heterosis generating in the common wheat [4]. Using wheat, our study suggests that the enhanced genetic recombination of the ancestral diploid genome that was caused by allopolyploidization could be regarded as another advantage or a new way to increase evolutionary potential of polyploid.

By allopolyploidization, *Ae. tauschii* adds its genome into that of tetraploid wheat, and produces hexaploid wheat, a major type of cultivated wheat, which accounting for about 95% of world wheat production, while the tetraploid wheat only accounting for the other 5% [17], suggesting that the added D genome, made bread wheat more adaptive to alterable environments and then spread more rapidly than the tetraploid wheat. However, the D genome of the first bread wheats were originated from only a small part of *Ae. tauschii* population that cannot possess all the superiorities mentioned above in a few lucky individuals. There must be some other reasons underlying more rapid spread of hexaploid common wheat than tetraploid wheat. Interestingly, our study shows that hexaploidization enhanced genetic recombination of the ancestral diploid genome DD in allohexaploid wheat. And the RF throughout the whole D genome in SHW increased more than 2 fold than that in diploidy, which does favor to bread wheat in enhancing variation and adaptive evolution by intercrossing with each other among the first hexaploidy individuals of wheat, as more recombination events has the potential to substantially accelerate the development of new varieties by (1) allowing quick assembly of novel beneficial multi-allelic complexes and (2) breaking the linkage among unfavorable genes and fixing desirable haplotypes in fewer generations [50]. This was more efficient than that in a diploid or tetraploid genetic background, as more recombination events occurred in hexaploid genetic background, with higher possibility to create more phenotypic variations to the selection pools for evolution.

**Conclusions**

Allopolyploidization enhancing genetic recombination of the ancestral diploid genome is found to increase the evolutionary potential of wheat, which is beneficial for wheat to conquer their narrow origination of D genome, quickly spread and make it a major crop of the world. Using wheat as a a good
model studying polyploidy, our study suggests that the enhanced genetic recombination of the ancestral diploid genome that was caused by hexaploidization could be regarded as another advantage or a new way to increase evolutionary potential of polyploid. More recombination events could generate more gene combination types or haplotypes for natural or artificial selection, resulting in accelerated adaptive evolution.

**Methods**

**Plant materials**

Two *Ae. tauschii* accessions SQ665 and SQ783 (*Ae. tauschii* ssp. *tauschii* var. *typica*), as well as two *T. turgidum* cultivars Yuanwang (*T. turgidum* conv. *turgidum*) and Langdon (*T. turgidum* conv. *durum*) were used to generate three SHWs Langdon/SQ665 (LS665), Langdon/SQ783 (LS783) and Yuanwang/SQ783 (YS783). The seeds of two *Ae. tauschii* accessions SQ665 and SQ783 were provided by Dr. A. Mujeeb-Kazi at the International Wheat and Maize Improvement Center (CIMMYT), Mexico, in 1995. The tetraploid wheat Yuanwang is a landrace of *T. turgidum* conv. *turgidum* collected by Dr. Wuyun Yang in Sichuan Province, China. And the seeds of Langdon (*T. turgidum* conv. *durum*) were provided by Triticeae Research Institute of Sichuan Agricultural University. In this study, two tetraploid wheats were used as female parents when being crossed with two *A. tauschii* accessions. In their first selfing generation (S1) of LS665, LS783, and YS783, the majority of their offspring contained the euploid chromosome set (2n=42) karyotyped by Zhu [75], using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) with two repetitive DNA sequences *Oligo-pSc119.2* labeled with Alexa Fluor 488-5-dUTP and *Oligo-pTa535* with Texas Red-5-dCTP described by Tang et al. [76]. Then we selected karyotyped individuals covering the whole A, B and D genome with 42 chromosomes from the offspring of S1 and created two hexaploid F2 mapping populations LS783 x YS783 (population size: 193) and LS665 x LS783 (population size: 182) to evaluate the homologous recombination frequency (RF) of AB and D genomes in the hexaploid genetic background. Meanwhile, the RF of the other two F2 populations of *Ae. tauschii* SQ665 x SQ783 (population size: 123) and *T. turgidum* Langdon x Yuanwang (population size: 192) were calculated as a control to investigate the RF changes of the diploid and tetraploid genomes after their hexaploidization, respectively.

**SNP genotyping**

Among the four F2 genetic populations, two populations Langdon x Yuanwang (A1A1B1B1 x A2A2B2B2) and LS783 x YS783 (A1A1B1B1DD x A2A2B2B2DD) were grouped to the first genetic population set to analyze the RF change of the ancestral tetraploid AB genome after its hexaploidization. The other two F2 populations SQ665 x SQ783 (D1D1 x D2D2) and LS665 x LS783 (AABBD1D1 x AABBD2D2) was set together for the RF analysis of the ancestral diploid D genome after hexaploidization. And the 4 populations and their involved parents were planted in the field using the single-seed precision. 50 mg of plant tissue was collected from 2-week-old seedlings and their DNA was extracted using the NuClean Plant Genomic DNA Kit (CWBio, Beijing, China). Eluted DNA was quantified using Qubit 4 Fluorometer.
(Life Technologies Holdings Pte Ltd, Singapore) and then normalized their concentration required for genotyping.

Genotyping for the first genetic population set and their parents were executed on the Affymetrix platform of Axiom Wheat Breeder’s Genotyping Array with 13947 SNP markers developed by China Golden Marker Biotech Co Ltd (Beijing, China). The collected fluorescence signal from SNP array were processing and analyzed by the functions of apt-genotype-axiom for genotype calling, ps-metrics for generating various QC metrics and ps-classification for classifying SNPs in the software of Affymetrix Axiom Analysis Suite version 4.0.1. Among the 13947 SNP markers, a total of 9487 SNP labels fixed on the chip is designed to be distributed on AB genomes, and SQ783 was serviced to remove these SNP markers with their fluorescence signal also detected on the D genome of *Ae. tauschii*.

Genotyping by sequencing (GBS) analysis for the second genetic population set as well as their parents was performed by DArT-Seq™ technology for detecting SNP and DArT markers by comparing obtaining sequencing reads to the RefSeq v1.0 assembly of CS [65], This genotyping technology relies on a complexity reduction method to enrich genomic representations with single copy sequences and subsequently performed second-generation sequencing using HiSeq2500 (Illumina, USA). About 100 μl of 50 ng μl⁻¹ DNA sample was sent to Diversity Arrays Technology P/L (Bruce, Australia) for SNP and DArT analysis. Only SNP data was used for linkage map construction and RF calculation in this study, as RF calculated using co-dominant molecular data was more accurate than dominant molecular data [77]. Among the 28331 detected SNP loci comparing to CS assembly, a total of 9043 SNPs were evenly collected and theoretically distributed throughout D genome. In order to confirm their specificity on diploid D genome, the durum wheat Langdon as the same AABB donor of both LS665 and LS783 was also genotyped and used to erase SNPs from the 9043 SNPs, which were also detected in Langdon.

**Genetic maps and recombination frequency**

For the AB genome of the first genetic population set, a total of 904 SNP markers (Table S1) were remained for linkage map construction and RF calculation, and the defined rules filtering the detected SNPs generally applied to further analysis were as follows: (1) the best Blastn hits with top score was unique in the AB genome when using allele reference sequences of SNP assay querying the CS RefSeq v1.0 assembly; (2) the remained SNP markers must have no genotype (displaying as missing data) on the D genome of *Ae. tauschii* accessions SQ783; (3) For a employed SNP site, their polymorphism should also be detected between the parents of both tetraploid and hexaploid F₂ populations; (4) genotypes of all involved parents was homozygous at the workable SNP sites, and the tetraploid parents Langdon and Yuanwang had the same genotypes to their SHWs LS783 and YS783, respectively; (5) the SNP markers could detected both homozygous and heterozygous genotypes. For the D genome of the second genetic population set, a total of 744 polymorphic SNP markers (Table S2) were remained for linkage map construction and RF calculation, obeying the basic principles of the above-mentioned rules in filtering SNPs using the *Ae. tauschii* AL8/78 genome sequence assembled by Luo et al. [23] and their own parents.
The QTL IciMapping Software version 3.2 [78,79] was used for genetic linkage map construction and calculation of the RF between two adjacent SNP loci. The maximum likelihood method was used to estimate RF by the QTL IciMapping Software version 3.2 [77]. In order to comparing the RF between two genetic populations of each set, the SNP markers for genetic map construction were aligned using their physical positions on the CS RefSeq v1.0 assembly for AB genome and sequence assembly of *Ae. tauschii* AL8/78 for D genome, and then the RF between two adjacent and linked SNP loci in physical map was calculated using the functional of ‘algorithm by input’ in the software.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Adherence to national and international regulations

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and material

The datasets and used materials and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This study was partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 31401383 and 31661143007), National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2017YFD0100900), Sichuan Provincial Finance Department (Grant No. 2016NYZ015 and 2016NYZ016), and Science and Technology Department of Sichuan Province (Grant No. 2017JY0077, 2016NYZ0030 and 2016NYZ0049).

Authors’ contributions

HW, JL and WY designed the project; SM performed data curation, formal analysis and visualization; JL, FY and QW produced and investigated the resources; LC, HX and ZL performed the software and validation; WY, ZP, HW and JL acquired funding; HW wrote the original draft; LC, HX and WY reviewed and edited the original draft. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.
Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to Prof. Baorong Lu and Zhiyong Liu, respectively from of Fudan University and Chinese Academy of Sciences for the critical review of this manuscript.

Authors' information

HW (wanhongshen@126.com), JL, LC, QW, ZL, ZP and WY (yangwuyun@126.com): Crop Research Institute, Sichuan Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Chengdu, Sichuan, China; SW: College of Agricultural Sciences, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China; FY: Triticeae Research Institute, Sichuan Agricultural University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China; HX: School of Life Sciences, Henan University, Kaifeng, Henan, China

Abbreviations

AT: Aegilops tauschii; ATP: Ae. tauschii derived population; CS: Chinese Spring; CO: crossover; DArT: diversity arrays technology; FISH: fluorescence in situ hybridization; GBS: genotyping by sequencing; QTL: quantitative trait locus; RF: recombination frequency; ΔRF_{SHW-AT}: RF differential value between synthetic hexaploid wheat and Aegilops tauschii; ΔRF_{SHW-TT}: RF differential value between synthetic hexaploid wheat and Triticum turgidum; SHW: synthetic hexaploid wheat; SHWP: synthetic hexaploid wheat derived population; SNP: single nucleotide polymorphism; TT: Triticum turgidum

References

1. Chen ZJ, Ni Z. Mechanisms of genomic rearrangements and gene expression changes in plant polyploids. BioEssays. 2006;28:240-252.
2. Chen ZJ. Genetic and epigenetic mechanisms for gene expression and phenotypic variation in plant polyploids. Annu Rev Plant Biol. 2007;58:377-406.
3. Li J, Wan HS, Yang WY. Synthetic hexaploid wheat enhances variation and adaptive evolution of bread wheat in breeding processes. J Syst Evol. 2014;52:735-742.
4. Li A, Liu D, Wu J, Zhao X, Hao M, Geng S, et al. mRNA and Small RNA transcriptomes reveal insights into dynamic homoeolog regulation of allopolyploid heterosis in nascent hexaploid wheat. Plant Cell. 2014;26:1878-1900.
5. Comai L. The advantages and disadvantages of being polyploidy. Nat Rev Genet. 2005;6:836-846.
6. Dubcovsky J, Luo M, Dvorak J. Differentiation between homeologous chromosomes 1A of wheat and 1A (M) of Triticum monococcum and its recognition by the wheat Ph1 locus. Proc Nati Acad Sci USA. 1995;92:6645-6647.
7. Prieto P, Shaw P, Moore G. Homologue recognition during meiosis is associated with a change in chromatin conformation. Nat Cell Biol. 2004;6:906-908.
8. Feldman M, Liu B, Segal G, Abbo S, Levy AA, Vega JM. Rapid elimination of low-copy DNA sequences in polyploid wheat: a possible mechanism for differentiation of homoeologous chromosomes. Genetics. 1997;147:1381-1387.

9. Shaked H, Kashkush K, Ozkan H, Feldman M, Levy AA. Sequence elimination and cytosine methylation are rapid and reproducible responses of the genome to wide hybridization and allopolyploidy in wheat. Plant Cell. 2001;13:1749-1759.

10. Zhang H, Bian Y, Gou X, Zhu B, Xu C, Qi B, et al. Persistent whole-chromosome aneuploidy is generally associated with nascent allohexaploid wheat. Proc Nati Acad Sci USA. 2013;110:3447-3452.

11. Paterson AH. Polyploidy, evolutionary opportunity and crop adaptation. Genetica. 2005;123:191-196.

12. Lagudah ES, Appels R, Brown ADH. The molecular-genetic analysis of *Triticum tauschii*, the D genome donor to hexaploid wheat. Genome. 1991;34:375-386.

13. Lubbers EL, Gill KS, Cox TS, Gill BS. Variation of molecular markers among geographically diverse accessions of *Triticum tauschii*. Genome. 1991;34:354-361.

14. Dvorak J, Luo M, Yang Z, Zhang H. The structure of the *Aegilops tauschii* genepool and the evolution of hexaploid wheat. Theor Appl Genet. 1998;97:657-670.

15. Dvorak J, Deal KR, Luo M, You F, von Borstel K, Dehghani H. The origin of spelt and free-threshing hexaploid wheat. J Hered. 2012;103:426-441.

16. Wang J, Luo M, Chen Z, You F, Wei Y, Zheng Y. et al. *Aegilops tauschii* single nucleotide polymorphisms shed light on the origins of wheat D-genome genetic diversity and pinpoint the geographic origin of hexaploid wheat. New Phytol. 2013;198:925-937.

17. Dubcovsky J, Dvorak J. Genome plasticity a key factor in the success of polyploid wheat under domestication. Science. 2007;316:1862-1866.

18. van Slageren MW. Wild wheats: a monograph of *Aegilops* L. and *Amblyopyrum (Jaub. & Spach) Eig (Poaceae)*. Wageningen, the Netherlands: Wageningen Agricultural University Papers;1994.

19. Schneider A, Molnár I, Molnár-Láng M. Utilisation of *Aegilops* (goatgrass) species to widen the genetic diversity of cultivated wheat. Euphytica. 2008;163:1-19.

20. Mizuno N, Yamasaki M, Matsuoka Y, Kawahara T, Takumi S. Population structure of wild wheat D-genome progenitor *Aegilops tauschii* Coss.: implications for intraspecific lineage diversification and evolution of common wheat. Mol Ecol. 2010;19:999-1013.

21. Kihara H, Tanaka M. Morphological and physiological variation among *Aegilops squarossa* strains collected in Pakistan, Afghanistan and Iran. Preslia. 1958;30:241-251.

22. Jia J, Zhao S, Kong X, Li Y, Zhao G, He W, et al. *Aegilops tauschii* draft genome sequence reveals a gene repertoire for wheat adaptation. Nature. 2013;496:91-95.

23. Luo MC, Gu YQ, Puuiu D, Wang H, Twardziok SO, Deal KR, et al. Genome sequence of the progenitor of the wheat D genome *Aegilops tauschii*. Nature. 2017;551:498-502.
24. Innes RL, Kerber ER. Resistance to wheat leaf rust and stem rust in *Triticum tauschii* and inheritance in hexaploid wheat of resistance transferred from *T. tauschii*. Genome. 1994;37:813-822.

25. Cox TS, Raupp WJ, Gill BS. Leaf rust-resistance genes *Lr41*, *Lr42* and *Lr43* transferred from *Triticum tauschii* to common wheat. Crop Sci. 1994;34:339-343.

26. Yang WY, Yu Y, Zhang Y, Hu XR, Wang Y, Zhou YC, et al. Inheritance and expression of stripe rust resistance in common wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) transferred from *Aegilops tauschii* and its utilization. Hereditas. 2003;139:49-55.

27. Miranda LM, Murphy JP, Marshall D, Leath S. *Pm34*: a new powdery mildew resistance gene transferred from *Aegilops tauschii* Coss. to common wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Theor Appl Genet. 2006;113:1497-1504.

28. Olson EL, Rouse MN, Pumphrey MO, Bowden RL, Gill BS, Poland JA. Simultaneous transfer, introgression and genomic localization of genes for resistance to stem rust race TTKSK (Ug99) from *Aegilops tauschii* to wheat. Theor Appl Genet. 2013;126:1179-1188.

29. Olson EL, Rouse MN, Pumphrey MO, Bowden RL, Gill BS, Poland JA. Poland introgression of stem rust resistance genes *SrTA10187* and *SrTA10171* from *Aegilops tauschii* to wheat. Theor Appl Genet. 2013;126:2477-2484.

30. Cox TS, Hatchett JH. Hessian fly-resistance gene *H26* transferred from *Triticum tauschii* to common wheat. Crop Sci. 1994;34:958-960.

31. Thompson JP, Haak MI. Resistance to root-lesion nematode (*Pratylenchus thornei*) in *Aegilops tauschii* Coss. the D-genome donor to wheat. Aust J Agr Res. 1997;48:553-560.

32. Zhu L, Smith CM, Fritz A, Boyko E, Voothuluru P, Gill BS. Inheritance and molecular mapping of new greenbug resistance genes in wheat germplasms derived from *Aegilops tauschii*. Theor Appl Genet. 2005;111:831-837.

33. Schachtman DP, Munns R, Whitecross MI. Variation in sodium exclusion and salt tolerance in *Triticum tauschii*. Euphytica. 1991;31:992-997.

34. Lan XJ, Liu DC, Wang ZR. Inheritance in synthetic hexaploid wheat ‘RSP’ of sprouting tolerance derived from *Aegilops tauschii* Cosson. Euphytica. 1997;95:321-323.

35. Pritchard DJ, Hollington PA, Davies WP, Gorham J, de Leon JLD, Mujeeb-Kazi A. K⁺/Na⁺ discrimination in synthetic hexaploid wheat lines: Transfer of the trait for K⁺/Na⁺ discrimination from *Aegilops tauschii* into a *Triticum turgidum* background. Cereal Res Commun. 2002;30:261-267.

36. Ryan PR, Raman H, Gupta S, Sasaki T, Yamamoto Y, Delhaize E. The multiple origins of aluminium resistance in hexaploid wheat include *Aegilops tauschii* and more recent cis mutations to *TaALMT1*. Plant J. 2010;64:446-455.

37. Sohail Q, Inoue T, Tanaka H, Eltayeb AE, Matsuoka Y, Tsujimoto H. Applicability of *Aegilops tauschii* drought tolerance traits to breeding of hexaploid wheat. Breeding Sci. 2011;61:347-357.

38. Li WL, Nelson JC, Chu CY, Shi LH, Huang SH, Liu DJ. Chromosomal locations and genetic relationships of tiller and spike characters in wheat. Euphytica. 2002;125:357-366.
39. Huang XQ, Kempf H, Ganal MW, Röder MS. Advanced backcross QTL analysis in progenies derived from a cross between a German elite winter wheat variety and a synthetic wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Theor Appl Genet. 2004;109:933-943.

40. Kumar N, Kulwal PL, Balyan HS, Gupta PK. QTL mapping for yield and yield contributing traits in two mapping populations of bread wheat. Mol Breeding. 2007;19:163-177.

41. Wan H, Yang Y, Li J, Zhang Z, Yang W. Mapping a major QTL for hairy leaf sheath introgressed from *Aegilops tauschii* and its association with enhanced grain yield in bread wheat. Euphytica. 2015;205:275-285.

42. Yang Y, Wan H, Yang F, Xiao C, Li J, Ye M, et al. Mapping QTLs for enhancing early biomass derived from *Aegilops tauschii* in synthetic hexaploid wheat. PloS ONE. 2020;15(6):e0234882.

43. Mujeeb-Kazi A, Rosas V, Roldan S. Conservation of the genetic variation of *Triticum tauschii* (Coss.) Schmalh. (*Aegilops squarrosa* auct. Non L.) in synthetic hexaploid wheats (*T. turgidum* L. × *T. tauschii*; 2n = 6x = 42, AABBDD) and its potential utilization for wheat improvement. Genet Resour Crop Ev. 1996;43:129-134.

44. Yang W, Liu D, Li J, Zhang L, Wei H, Hu X, et al. Synthetic hexaploid wheat and its utilization for wheat genetic improvement in China. J Genet Genomics. 2009;36:539-546.

45. Mujeeb-Kazi A, Kazi AG, Dundas I, Rasheed A, Ogbonnaya F, Kishii M, et al. Genetic diversity for wheat improvement as a conduit to food security. Adv Agron. 2013;122:179-257.

46. Li A, Liu D, Yang W, Kishii M, Mao L. Synthetic hexaploid wheat: yesterday, today and tomorrow. Engineering. 2018;4:552-558.

47. Hao M, Zhang L, Zhao L, Dai S, Li A, Yang W, et al. A breeding strategy targeting the secondary gene pool of bread wheat: introgression from a synthetic hexaploid wheat. Theor Appl Genet. 2019;132:2285-2294.

48. Bhatta M, Morgounov A, Belamkar V, Poland J, Baenziger PS. Unlocking the novel genetic diversity and population structure of synthetic hexaploid wheat. BMC Genomics. 2018;19:591.

49. Rosyara U, Kishii M, Payne T, Sansaloni CP, Singh RP, Braun HJ, et al. Genetic contribution of synthetic hexaploid wheat to CIMMYT’s spring bread wheat breeding germplasm. Sci Rep. 2019;9:12355.

50. Wijnker E, de Jong H. Managing meiotic recombination in plant breeding. Trends Plant Sci. 2008;13:640-646.

51. Wang M, Wang K, Tang D, Wei C, Li M, Shen Y, et al. The central element protein ZEP1 of the synaptonemal complex regulates the number of crossovers during meiosis in rice. Plant Cell. 2010;22:417-430.

52. Barakate A, Higgins JD, Vivera S, Stephens J, Perry RM, Ramsay L, et al. The synaptonemal complex protein ZYP1 is required for imposition of meiotic crossovers in barley. Plant Cell. 2014;26:729-740.

53. Luo MC, Yang ZL, Kota RS, Dvořák J. Recombination of chromosomes 3A<sup>m</sup> and 5A<sup>m</sup> of *Triticum monococcum* with homeologous chromosomes 3A and 5A of wheat: The distribution of
recombination across chromosomes. Genetics. 2000;154:1301-1308.

54. Jordan KW, Wang S, He F, Chao S, Lun Y, Paux E, et al. The genetic architecture of genome-wide recombination rate variation in allopolyploid wheat revealed by nested association mapping. Plant J. 2018;95:1039-1054.

55. Wang G, Hyne V, Chao S, Henry Y, De Buyser J, Gale MD, Snape JW. A comparison of male and female recombination frequency in wheat using RFLP maps of homoeologous group 6 and 7 chromosomes. Theor Appl Genet. 1995;91:744-746.

56. Benito C, Romero MP, Henriches-Gil N, Llorente F, Figueiras AM. Sex influence on recombination frequency in Secale cereale L. Theor Appl Genet. 1996;93:926-931.

57. Parson PA. Evolutionary rates: effects of stress upon recombination. Biol J Linn Soc. 1988;35:49-68.

58. Verde LA. The effect of stress on meiotic recombination in maize (Zea mays L.) Dissertation, The Iowa State University. 2003.

59. Leflon M, Grandont L, Eber F, Huteau V, Coriton O, Chelysheva L, et al. Crossovers get a boost in Brassica allotriploid and allotetraploid hybrids. Plant Cell. 2010;22:2253-2264.

60. Pecinka A, Fang W, Rehmsmeier M, Levy AA, Scheid OM. Polyploidization increases meiotic recombination frequency in Arabidopsis. BMC Biol. 2011;9:24.

61. Ozkan H, Levy AA, Feldman M. Allopolyploidy-induced rapid genome evolution in the wheat (Aegilops-Triticum) group. Plant Cell. 2001;13:1735-1747.

62. Feldman M, Levy AA. Allopolyploidy-a shaping force in the evolution of wheat genomes. Cytogenet Genome Res. 2005;109:250-258.

63. Gaut BS, Wright SI, Rizzon C, Dvorak J, Anderson LK. Recombination: an underappreciated factor in the evolution of plant genomes. Nat Rev Genet. 2007;8:77-84.

64. Pont C, Salse J. Wheat paleohistory created asymmetrical genomic evolution. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2017;36:29-37.

65. The International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium (IWGSC), Appels R, Eversole K, Stein N, Feuillet C, Keller B, et al. Shifting the limits in wheat research and breeding using a fully annotated reference genome. Science. 2018;61(6403):eaar7191.

66. Lin S, Kernighan BW. An effective heuristic algorithm for the Traveling-Salesman problem. Oper Res. 1973;21:498-516.

67. Birchler JA, Bhadra U, Pal Bhadra M, Auger DL. Dosage dependent gene regulation in multicellular eukaryotes: Implications for dosage compensation, aneuploid syndromes and quantitative traits. Dev Biol. 2001;234:275-288.

68. Potapova TA, Zhu J, Li R. Aneuploidy and chromosomal instability: a vicious cycle driving cellular evolution and cancer genome chaos. Cancer Metast Rev. 2013;32:377-389.

69. Dürrbaum M, Storchová Z. Effects of aneuploidy on gene expression: implications for cancer. FEBS J. 2016;283:791-802.

70. Parker JS. Chromosome-specific control of chiasma formation. Chromosoma. 1975;49:391-406.
71. Tease C, Jones GH. Chromosome-specific control of chiasma formation in *Crepis capillaris*. Chromosoma. 1975;57:33-49.

72. Carlton PM, Farruggio AP, Dernburg AF. A link between meiotic prophase progression and crossover control. PLoS Genet. 2006;2:119-128.

73. Gardiner L, Wingen LU, Bailey P, Joynson R, Brabbs T, Wright J, et al. Analysis of the recombination landscape of hexaploid bread wheat reveals genes controlling recombination and gene conversion frequency. Genome Biol. 2019;20:69.

74. Nkongolo KK, Quick JS, Limin AE, Fowler DB. Source and inheritance of resistance to the Russian wheat aphid in *Triticum* species and *Triticum tauschii*. Can J Plant Sci. 1991;71:703-708.

75. Zhu X. (2017) Identification of chromosome structures and agronomic traits for several newly synthetic hexaploid wheats. Thesis, The Sichuan Agricultural University. 2017

76. Tang Z, Yang Z, Fu S. Oligonucleotides replacing the roles of repetitive sequences pAs1, pSc119.2, pTa-535, pTa71, CCS1 and pAWRC.1 for FISH analysis. J Appl Genet. 2014;55:313-318.

77. Sun Z, Li H, Zhang L, Wang J. Estimation of recombination frequency in bi-parental genetic populations. Genet Res. 2012;94:163-177.

78. Li H, Ye G, Wang J. A modified algorithm for the improvement of composite interval mapping. Genetics. 2007;175:361-374.

79. Meng L, Li H, Zhang L, Wang J. QTL IciMapping: Integrated software for genetic linkage map construction and quantitative trait locus mapping in biparental populations. Crop J. 2015;3:269-283.

Tables

**Table 1** Distribution of SNP markers in A, B and D genome and their polymorphism between genotyped parents.
| Genome | Subject | Chromosome group |
|--------|---------|------------------|
|        |         | 1    2    3    4    5    6    7 |
| A      | Total SNPs 607 907 594 766 690 463 735 |
|        | Polymorphic SNP marker 72 64 38 47 80 65 67 |
|        | Ratio of polymorphism 11.9% 7.1% 6.4% 6.1% 11.6% 14.0% 9.1% |
| B      | Total SNPs 670 736 997 589 763 768 640 |
|        | Polymorphic SNP marker 78 61 107 28 85 39 73 |
|        | Ratio of polymorphism 11.6% 8.3% 10.7% 4.8% 11.1% 5.1% 11.4% |
|        | Total SNPs 1241 1850 1378 795 1270 985 1524 |
| D      | Polymorphic SNP marker 100 143 118 71 126 86 130 |
|        | Ratio of polymorphism 8.1% 7.7% 8.6% 8.9% 9.9% 8.7% 8.5% |

**Table 2** Genetic interval length and RF between two adjacent and linked SNP loci on each chromosome of AB genome in tetraploid (TTP) and their SHW populations (SHWP)
| Chr | SNPs | Covering length (Mb) | Genetic interval length (cM) | Recombination frequency |
|-----|------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
|     |      |                      | TTP | SHWP | ΔSHW-TT | P value | TTP | SHWP | ΔSHW-TT | P value |
| 1A  | 72   | 581.5                | 2.23| 2.03 | -0.20   | 0.77    | 2.18%| 2.00%| -0.18% | 0.78     |
| 2A  | 64   | 751.5                | 2.61| 1.98 | -0.63   | 0.45    | 2.54%| 1.94%| -0.60% | 0.46     |
| 3A  | 38   | 725.3                | 4.33| 2.92 | -1.41   | 0.34    | 4.10%| 2.88%| -1.21% | 0.36     |
| 4A  | 47   | 691.4                | 2.88| 2.08 | -0.80   | 0.32    | 2.82%| 2.07%| -0.75% | 0.34     |
| 5A  | 80   | 687.7                | 2.49| 1.54 | -0.95   | 0.21    | 2.38%| 1.51%| -0.87% | 0.21     |
| 6A  | 65   | 605.3                | 1.13| 1.14 | 0.01    | 0.99    | 1.11%| 1.12%| 0.01%  | 0.98     |
| 7A  | 67   | 728.5                | 2.90| 2.48 | -0.41   | 0.72    | 2.70%| 2.36%| -0.34% | 0.74     |
| 1B  | 78   | 639.2                | 1.70| 1.42 | -0.28   | 0.64    | 1.66%| 1.40%| -0.26% | 0.65     |
| 2B  | 61   | 773.7                | 1.83| 1.31 | -0.52   | 0.29    | 1.81%| 1.31%| -0.50% | 0.29     |
| 3B  | 107  | 813.7                | 1.36| 1.47 | 0.10    | 0.86    | 1.28%| 1.43%| 0.15%  | 0.76     |
| 4B  | 28   | 663.5                | 2.29| 2.78 | 0.49    | 0.72    | 2.23%| 2.74%| 0.50%  | 0.70     |
| 5B  | 85   | 656.4                | 1.88| 1.51 | -0.36   | 0.47    | 1.84%| 1.50%| -0.34% | 0.49     |
| 6B  | 39   | 692.3                | 2.74| 1.45 | -1.29   | 0.22    | 2.64%| 1.44%| -1.20% | 0.23     |
| 7B  | 73   | 705.4                | 2.05| 1.17 | -0.88   | 0.22    | 1.93%| 1.16%| -0.77% | 0.22     |

**Table 3** Genetic lengths of chromosome maps aligned with physical map for AB genome and self-organized by nnTwoOpt method.
| Chr. | SNPs | TTP (cM) | SHWP (cM) |
|------|------|----------|-----------|
|      |      | Self-organization | Physical alignment | Self-organization | Physical alignment |
| 1A   | 72   | 157.5     | 158.1      | 134.0          | 144.2          |
| 1B   | 78   | 130.7     | 131.1      | 104.0          | 109.5          |
| 2A   | 64   | 151.5     | 164.7      | 109.2          | 124.9          |
| 2B   | 61   | 298.5 (2a) | 393.0 (3)  | 196.2 (2)      | 280.3 (3)      |
| 3A   | 38   | 262.6 (1) | 348.7 (2)  | 220.6 (1)      | 281.2 (2)      |
| 3B   | 107  | 202.1 (1) | 202.8 (1)  | 152.7          | 181.1          |
| 4A   | 47   | 172.0     | 179.6 (1)  | 109.7          | 115.4          |
| 4B   | 28   | 299.1 (3) | 537.9 (5)  | 244.6 (2)      | 394.5 (3)      |
| 5A   | 80   | 195.4     | 196.4      | 119.8          | 121.7          |
| 5B   | 85   | 157.1     | 157.7      | 120.5          | 127.1          |
| 6A   | 65   | 162.6 (1) | 180.6 (1)  | 118.4 (1)      | 123.9 (1)      |
| 6B   | 39   | 146.6     | 146.9      | 65.4           | 74.5           |
| 7A   | 67   | 190.8     | 191.1      | 155.0          | 163.9          |
| 7B   | 73   | 126.4     | 147.4      | 81.3           | 84.4           |
| Total| 904  | 2653.0    | 3135.9     | 1931.5         | 2326.7         |

\( ^a \)Number of map gaps with genetic distance more than 50cM.

**Table 4** Genetic interval length and RF between two adjacent and linked SNP loci of D genome in diploid (ATP) and their SHW populations (SHWP)
### Table 5

Genetic map lengths for chromosome maps of D genome aligned with physical map and self-organized by nnTwoOpt method.

| Chr. | SNPs | ATP (cM) | SHWP (cM) |
|------|------|----------|-----------|
|      |      | Self-organization | Physical alignment | Self-organization | Physical alignment |
| 1D   | 100  | 520.6    | 533.6     | 1148.8     | 1227.3     |
| 2D   | 143  | 548.8    | 593.3     | 1157.1     | 1194.6     |
| 3D   | 118  | 506.7 (1\textsuperscript{a}) | 488.4 | 1116.2 | 1135.3 |
| 4D   | 71   | 251.4    | 263.2     | 515.0      | 519.8      |
| 5D   | 126  | 504.1    | 524.5     | 1074.8     | 1105.3     |
| 6D   | 86   | 368.0    | 388.6     | 706.2      | 723.6      |
| 7D   | 130  | 527.1    | 543.3     | 1260.6     | 1279.4     |
| Total| 774  | 3226.7   | 3334.9    | 6978.7     | 7185.4     |

\textsuperscript{a}Number of linkage gaps with genetic distance more than 50cM.