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Abstract

Background

Cutaneous Leishmaniasis (CL) is a common health problem in the world and the zoonotic form reported to occur in Sudan. Leishmaniasis has been considered the second parasitic health problem after malaria. Therefore we determine the role of Leishmanin Skin Test (LST) as epidemiological indicators for CL infection in Al-tragma village; one of the endemic areas for the disease in Sudan.

Methods

The study is a cross-sectional study, 410 individuals inhabiting Al-tragma village were enrolled in the study. Two methods used in this study; structure questionnaire and LST. A structured questionnaire was designed to collect and tested socio-demographic characteristics. The LST was performed by intradermal injection of 0.1 ml LST antigen on the volar surface of the left forearm. The result of LST was read after 48–72 hours using the ballpoint pen technique. SPSS (v 20.0) was used for data analysis.

Results

LST results showed that 70.7% of respondents were positive and 29.3% were negative. The most infected age groups were 31–40 years (80%) and more than 40 years (82%). The result showed there was a significant difference between LTS and age groups, occupation, domestic and pets animals found in and around the houses, and those having the disease before (P-value < 0.05).

Conclusion

The overall positive rate for LST was (70.7%). The exposure duration had no effect on LST results. It seems that those patients acquired long-lasting immunity. LST is still a promising tool to evaluate the epidemiological status in endemic areas.

Introduction

Leishmaniasis is one of the neglected tropical diseases (NTDs) and vector-borne diseases, present in different clinical forms [1–2]. Leishmaniasis comprises a group of diseases caused by intracellular parasite kinetoplastid protozoan of the genus Leishmania or Viannia that live in macrophages of some vertebrates as amastigote form [3].

The Leishmania parasites consist of different species and strains with epidemiological diverse that are pathogenic to human, leading to different pathological and clinical diseases such as Visceral leishmaniasis (VL), Mucocutaneous leishmaniasis (MCL) Diffuse-cutaneous Leishmaniasis (DCL), and Cutaneous Leishmaniasis (CL), in which the parasite is restricted to cutaneous and subcutaneous tissue [4].

Cutaneous Leishmaniasis (CL) is a common health problem in the world, it is divided into Zoonotic Cutaneous Leishmaniasis (ZCL) and Anthroponotic Cutaneous Leishmaniasis (ACL) [5]. ZCL was reported in Sudan and has been considered to be the second parasitic health problem after malaria. According to the record of the WHO, many cases have been reported in Khartoum State [6], and there was an increased incidence of the disease from October to last March 2014, and about 506 patients have been reported, Most of them from East Nile (Shrug Al-Nile), Salha and Al-Klaklah (Personal communication). In another survey in Sudan showed that 688 were diagnosed as cases of CL out of the 1,236 individuals enrolled in this survey, giving an infection rate of 55.7% [6]. Leishmaniasis is prevalent in the four continents and considered endemic in 88 countries, 72 of which are developing countries. Worldwide, the annual prevalence of new cases is estimated as 0.2–0.4 million of VL and 0.7–1.2 million of CL, while 12 million people are currently affected by the disease [7]. The diagnosis of CL depends on clinical examination coupled with parasitological and molecular techniques. The parasitological investigations, which are considered as a gold standard technique, face many problems; low parasite count in the lesion and the parasite restricted to skin only. Traditional methods such as direct culture were time-consuming and can be performed in specialized laboratories with expert microscopists so that molecular methods have many advantages; high sensitivity and specificity [8].

The current study aimed to assess the role of Leishmanin Skin Test (LST) as an epidemiological indicator for CL in the study area.

Materials And Methods

Study Area

This study was conducted in El Tragma Elgabha Village. It is located at the eastern bank of the River Nile (16.4 ° North and 33.25 ° East) 13 Km north of Shandi city, River Nile State, and 175 Km north of Khartoum, the capital of Sudan (Figure 1). The population was around 5000 individuals. The area is endemic for CL where an outbreak of CL was reported in 1978. It was agricultural in nature that facilitated a good environment for survival and multiplication of insect vectors [9].
Experimental design

A cross-sectional study conducted to describe the epidemiological status of CL using the Leishmanin Skin Test (LST) and questionnaire.

Questionnaire design

A structured questionnaire attends to collect socio-demographic characteristics was designed and tested. Data concerning demography for exposure, historical background of inhabitants, and their past complaint and accompanied skin lesions.

Sample size

The sample size deliberately selected in systematic way according to the following simple formula of Daniel \( n = Z^2 P (1-P)/d^2 \) where \( n \) = sample size, \( Z \) = \( Z \) statistics for level of confidence, \( P \) = expected prevalence or proportion (in proportion of one; if 20%, \( P = 0.2 \)), and \( d \) = precision (in proportion of one; if 5%, \( d = 0.05 \)). \( Z \) statistic (\( Z \)): For the level of confidence of 95% which is conventional, \( Z \) value is 1.96 [10].

Leishmanin Skin Test (LST)

Leishmanin is a reagent recommended for skin tests in humans for Immuno-epidemiological studies [11].

The Leishmanin antigen obtained from Pasteur Institute of Iran \( L. major \) (reference strain MRHO/IR/75/ER) was used for the preparation of the leishmanin. The preparation contained a final concentration for \( L. major \) promastigotes of \( 6 \times 10^6 \) in 1ml of Phosphate-Buffered Saline (PBS).

The LST was performed by intradermal injection of 0.1 ml skin test antigen on the volar surface of the left forearm using a sterile disposable insulin syringe. The result of LST was read after 48 – 72 hours using the ballpoint pen technique; induration size \( \geq 5 \) mm was taken as positive reading according to Ibrahim study [9].

Test establishment and validation

At the start of the study, the investigator trained the medical personnel in filling the questionnaire and performing the LST, with the help of a dermatologist from Shandi Teaching Hospital. The medical team consisted of a medical officer, nurses, lab technicians, and the investigator (9 persons). In the field, the team was divided into two groups, one group of four persons under the supervision of the medical officer and the second team consisted of five persons under the supervision of the investigator.

Results

Most respondents were females, 69.1% and 30.9% males, the mean age was 38.5±2. The occupation of most of the respondents were housewives 39.2%, students 22.6%, farmers 13.6%, building construction workers 4.9%, unemployed 15.3%, office workers 3.2% and pensioned 1.2%. The domestic and pet animals found, in and around the houses, were domestic ruminants 47.2% and pets were 40.1%, and dogs 1.0%. Moreover, the rodent's burrows were abundant, in and around houses, which consider as potential reservoir hosts in the area. Most of the respondents used to sleep outdoors 87.8% and fewer indoors 12.2%. 86.6% did not use bed nets, 4.4% using bed nets and irregular users of bed nets were 9.0%. 75.4% used protective cloths when sleeping and the rest 24.6% didn’t. 91.0% were not affected by CL before, and only 9.0% had the disease before.

The onset of CL among the respondents was 59.5% for more than six years, 35.1% for 1-3 years, and 5.4% for 4-6 years. Concerning the sites of scars, 73% were found in the lower extremities, 16% in the upper extremities, 8.1% in all the exposed areas, and 2.9% in the face. Regarding the number of scars, 89.2% had 1-3 scars, 5.4% had 4-6 scars and 5.4% had more than six scars. In 62.2% of the respondents, the ulcer healed in 1-3 months, 10.8% in 4-6 months, and 27% in more than 6 months.

Results of Leishmanin Skin Test (Montenegro Skin Test)

LST results showed that 70.7% of respondents were positive while only 29.3% of the respondents were negative. The diameter of indurations ranged from 5 mm to 27 mm, the group had diameter 5-15 mm were 48.8%, 20-25 mm were 40.5% but only 10.7% had more than 25mm, figure (2) table (1).

| Indurations size   | Frequency | Percent |
|--------------------|-----------|---------|
| (5-15 mm)          | 142       | 48.8%   |
| (16-26 mm)         | 117       | 40.5%   |
| (27 ≥ mm)          | 31        | 10.7%   |
| Total              | 290       | 100.0%  |

\( X^2 = 6.134 \) \( \text{P-value} = 0.040 \)

Factors affecting LST results
Out of the 126 males in the study population, 72.2% (91/126) of them were positive and 27.8% (35/126) negative. Out of the 284 females, 70% (199/284) were positive and 30% (85/284) were negative. There was no significant difference between them (P-value = 0.658).

**Table 2: Relationship between LST and sex**

|                  | Positive | Negative | Total |
|------------------|----------|----------|-------|
| Males            | 91 (72.2%) | 35 (27.8%) | 126 (100%) |
| Females          | 199 (70%)  | 85 (30%)  | 284 (100%) |
| **Total**        | 290       | 120      | 310    |

$X^2 = 0.195 \quad P\text{-value} = 0.658$

Table (3) shows the relation of age groups with the leishmanin test results, in the age group 10-20 years out of 91, 45 (49%) of them were positive, age group 21-30 years out of 121, 84 (69%) of them were positive, the age group 31-40 years out of 124, 100 (80%) of them were positive and more than 40 years out of 74, 61 (82%) of them were positive. The result showed there was a significant difference between them (P-value = 0.005).

**Table 3: Comparison of LST with age among study group**

| Age groups | Total | Positive LST% (±ve) |
|------------|-------|---------------------|
| 10-20      | 91    | 45 46 49%           |
| 21-30      | 121   | 84 37 69%           |
| 31-40      | 124   | 100 24 80%          |
| More       | 74    | 61 13 82%           |
| **Total**  | 410   | 290 120 70.7%       |

$X^2 = 30.788 \quad P\text{-value} = 0.005$

The effect of occupation in the result of LST, out of the 56 farmers 46 (82%) of them were positive, out of the 20 building constructors 14 (70%) of them were positive, out of the 13 office workers 9 (69%) of them were positive, out of 93 students, 44 (47%) of them were positive, out of 205 housewives, 158 (77%) of them were positive, the 5 Pensioned showed a 100 % positivity and out of the 18 unemployed, 14 (77%) of them were positive. The result showed there was a significant difference between them (P-value = 0.000) table (4).

**Table 4: Comparison of LST with occupation among study group**

| Occupation    | Total | Positive LST% (±ve) |
|---------------|-------|---------------------|
| Farmer        | 56    | 46 10 82%           |
| B Constructor | 20    | 14 6 70%            |
| Office worker | 13    | 9 4 69%             |
| Students      | 93    | 44 49 47%           |
| Housewives    | 205   | 158 47 77%          |
| Pensioned     | 5     | 5 0 100%            |
| Unemployed    | 18    | 14 4 77%            |
| **Total**     | 410   | 290 120 70.7%       |

$X^2 = 34.663 \quad P\text{-value} < 0.000$

As shown in table (5), the domestic and pets animals found in and around the houses, dogs found in four houses, all the people found in these houses were positive, three houses having cats and dogs two were positive and one negative. The result showed there was a significant difference between them (P-value = 0.013).

**Table 5: Comparison of domestic and pets animals with LST results**

| Animals | C | Do | G, S, Ca | C, G, S, Ca | Total |
|---------|---|----|----------|-------------|-------|
| +ve     | 113| 4  | 20       | 147         | 290   |
| -ve     | 52 | 0  | 20       | 47          | 120   |

$X^2 = 14.423 \quad P\text{-value} = 0.013$

C: Cat, G: Goats, S: Sheep, Ca: Cattle, D: Dog,
N: Not found, T: Total
Comparing sleeping habits of the respondents with LST, out of 361 sleeping outdoors, 71.7% (259/361) of them were positive and 28.3% (102/361) of them were negative. Out of the 49 sleeping indoors, 63.3% (31/49) of them were positive and 36.7% (18/49) of them were negatives. The result showed no significant difference between them (P-value = 0.220) table (6).

Table 6: The comparison of sleeping habits with LST results

| Sleeping habits | Total | Indoor | Outdoor |
|-----------------|-------|--------|---------|
| LST +ve         | 31(63.3%) | 259 | 50 |
| -ve             | 18 (36.7%) | 102 | 12 |
| Total           | 49 | 361 | 410 |

\[
X^2 = 1.499 \quad \text{P-value}= 0.22
\]

The effect of using bed nets on LST results showed that out of the 37 rare using bed nets, 67.5% (25/37) were positive and 32.5% (12/37) were negative. Out of the 18 always using bed nets, 78% (14/18) were positive and 22% (4/18) were negative. Out of the 355 not using bed nets, 71% (251/355) were positive and 29% (104/355) were negative, the result showed no significant difference between them (P-value = 0.737) table (7).

Table 7: Effect of using bed nets on LST results

| Use of bed nets | Total | Rare | Always | Not use |
|-----------------|-------|------|--------|---------|
| LST +ve         | 25(67.5%) | 14 | 17 | 18 |
| -ve             | 12(32.5%) | 4 | 12 | 0 |
| Total           | 37 (100%) | 18 | 35 | 355 |

\[
X^2 = 0.611 \quad \text{P-value} = 0.737
\]

The results of LST among the respondents having the disease before, all 37 (100%) were positive, table (8).

Table 8: Relationship between LST results and those having the disease before

| Having disease | Total | Yes | No |
|----------------|-------|-----|----|
| LST +ve        | 290 | 253 | 37 |
| -ve            | 120 | 120 | 0 |
| Total          | 410 | 373 | 37 |

\[
X^2 = 16.829 \quad \text{P-value} = 0.000
\]

Discussion

The results of this study using LST revealed that infection by *Leishmania major* the positivity rate was higher in males than females although the number of females in the study population was more than males, this could be related to the randomized clustered design sampling technique used in this study, the female's participants were more than males.

The rearing of domestic animals and the presence of rodents did affect the infection rate among the population in the study area. Cats had a major role in the transmission of the disease (P-value = 0.013). Other animals like ruminants had also a contributory role in transmission. It was observed that rodents, which are considered potential reservoir hosts, were prevailing in the study area and usually burrow around houses of residents, not necessary at the level of the house but at the level of the village at large. This may help in maintaining the transmission cycle. In a study in Palestine, where the causative agent was confirmed to be *Leishmania infantum*, infected dogs were the primary reservoir host in endemic regions, and were the most significant risk factor predisposing humans to infection [12].

Most of the participants (88%) used to sleep outdoors, out of them, 71.7% were positive for LST compared to only 63.3% of those sleeping indoors, which may support the claims that outdoor sleeping habits facilitate the transmission of the disease. This agreed with the various studies done endemic areas in Sudan [13–16].

LST result revealed that the use of bed nets has no effect on acquiring the disease, as, most of the participant not using bed nets were negative and some using bed nets were positive that because the activity of the sandflies mostly from dusk to dawn and the mosquitoes bed nets been used, which were preferred by the community in endemic areas in a hot climate, were wider mesh size. Wider mesh size (50 holes/inch²), can prevent the passage of anopheline mosquitoes, would allow the passage of the smaller sandflies (3–5 mm).

The LST result showed that exposures who suffered from CL before, as indicated by the presence of scars, were all infected. The presence of scars among the infected individuals was found distributed in different parts of the patients’ body, mostly in the lower extremities and to a lesser extent in the upper extremities, this was because sandflies lobbing at the lower level. The results also revealed that only one infected child, less than 7 years, developed a scar on his face. This finding was contrary to the results reported in Iran by Pourmohammadi et al. [17], who indicated that upper extremities are frequently affected sites for the development of scars.

The overall positive rate for LST was (70.7%), which indicates active transmission of the disease in the study area. Similar results were obtained by Ibrahim [9] in Tuti Island and Al-Tragma Elgabha. The author postulated that the disease is endemic in Al-Tragma Elgabha, and the situation appears
to be different from Tuti island with more clinical cases and features of an outbreak in its peak, either in the ascending or descending phase. It may not be easy to differentiate between these phases without longitudinal follow-up data and possibly lead to the initiation of an outbreak in the area [9].

Conclusion

The overall positive rate for Leishmanin Skin Test (LST) was (70.7%) with a higher positivity rate among males more than females. Furthermore, the exposure duration had no effect on LST results. It seems that those patients acquired long-lasting immunity. LST is still promising to evaluate the epidemiological status in endemic areas. LST is still promising to evaluate the epidemiological status in endemic areas, which will help in planning, prevention, and vaccination programs. Effective control can be achieved by the use of small mesh size bed nets or the use of insecticide-treated bed nets.
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Figures

Figure 1

Al-Tragma Elgabha village (13 Km north of Shandi city).
Figure 2

LST diameter of indurations (>25mm)