Regulation of Rho-GEF Rgf3 by the arrestin Art1 in fission yeast cytokinesis
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ABSTRACT Rho GTPases, activated by guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs), are essential regulators of polarized cell growth, cytokinesis, and many other cellular processes. However, the regulation of Rho-GEFs themselves is not well understood. Rgf3 is an essential GEF for Rho1 GTPase in fission yeast. We show that Rgf3 protein levels and localization are regulated by arrestin-related protein Art1. art1\textsuperscript{\Delta} cells lyse during cell separation with a thinner and defective septum. As does Rgf3, Art1 concentrates to the contractile ring starting at early anaphase and spreads to the septum during and after ring constriction. Art1 localization depends on its C-terminus, and Art1 is important for maintaining Rgf3 protein levels. Biochemical experiments reveal that the Rgf3 C-terminus binds to Art1. Using an Rgf3 conditional mutant and mislocalization experiments, we found that Art1 and Rgf3 are interdependent for localization to the division site. As expected, active Rho1 levels at the division site are reduced in art1\textsuperscript{\Delta} and rgf3 mutant cells. Taken together, these data reveal that the arrestin family protein Art1 regulates the protein levels and localization of the Rho-GEF Rgf3, which in turn modulates active Rho1 levels during fission yeast cytokinesis.

INTRODUCTION

Cytokinesis is the last step of the cell cycle that partitions a mother cell into two daughter cells. Most proteins involved in cytokinesis are evolutionarily conserved (Barr and Gruneberg, 2007; Pollard and Wu, 2010). From yeast to humans, cytokinesis requires the coordination of six key events: division-plane specification, actomyosin contractile-ring assembly, constriction and disassembly of the contractile ring, targeted plasma membrane deposition/fusion, extracellular matrix remodeling/formation, and abscission or cell separation (Balasubramanian et al., 2004; Green et al., 2012). The last two steps involve extracellular matrix remodeling and midbody abscission in animal cells and septal formation and daughter cell separation in yeasts (Gould and Simanis, 1997; Cabib et al., 2001; Sipiczki, 2007; Xu and Vogel, 2011; Wloka and Bi, 2012; Elia et al., 2013).

The septum in yeasts is a trilaminar structure. The primary septum is synthesized in a centripetal manner as the actomyosin contractile ring constricts and is sandwiched on both sides by the secondary septa. The primary septum and the surrounding cell wall on the cell sides are digested during cell separation, and the secondary septum becomes the cell wall at the new cell end in the resulting daughter cells (Cabib et al., 2001; Humbel et al., 2001; Sipiczki, 2007). Proper synthesis and maintenance of the septum is essential for cell survival. The septum in the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe is composed mainly of the polysaccharides \(\alpha\)- and \(\beta\)-glucans and galactomannans (Humbel et al., 2001; Sipiczki, 2007). The enzymes involved in glucan synthesis are \(\alpha\)- and \(\beta\)-glucan synthases, which consist of a catalytic subunit and a regulatory subunit (Kang and Cabib, 1986; Rbas et al., 1991).

The Rho GTPases Rho1 and Rho2 act as regulatory subunits for glucan synthases in yeasts (Drgonova et al., 1996; Nakano et al., 1997; Cabib et al., 1998; Arellano et al., 1999). Rho GTPases are switched from the inactive GDP-bound form to the active...
GTP-bound form by Rho guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs). While the GEF for Rho2 is unknown, Rho1 is activated by at least three Rho-GEFs (Rgf1–Rgf3) for its roles in cell polarity and cytokinesis (Tajadura et al., 2004; Morrell-Falvey et al., 2005; Mutoh et al., 2005). The Rho-GEF Rgf3 is essential for cytokinesis (Tajadura et al., 2004; Morrell-Falvey et al., 2005; Mutoh et al., 2005). Cells with mutated Rgf3 or Rho1 lyse mainly during cell separation. Rgf3-depleted cells have abnormal contractile-ring formation, aberrant septal material deposition, and defective cell separation occurring unevenly from one side of the septum (Tajadura et al., 2004; Morrell-Falvey et al., 2005; Mutoh et al., 2005). The findings that Rgf3 interacts with GDP-Rho1 and cells overexpressing Rgf3 have increased glucan synthase activity support Rgf3 being a positive regulator of Rho1 function (Tajadura et al., 2004; Morrell-Falvey et al., 2005; Mutoh et al., 2005). However, not much is known about Rgf3 binding partners and regulation.

Septins are conserved GTPases that function as scaffolds or diffusion barriers during cytokinesis, cell polarization, and many other processes in fungal and animal cells (Longtine et al., 1996; Gladfelter et al., 2001; Caudron and Barral, 2009). Unlike in budding yeast, septins are not essential in S. pombe (Longtine et al., 1996; Berlin et al., 2003; Tasto et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2003; An et al., 2004). The synthetic lethal screen with septin-depleted mutants identified Rgf3 and the arrestin-related protein Art1 to be components of parallel pathways that are required to recognize and repair cell wall damage (Wu et al., 2010). The art1-s34 mutant can be rescued by overexpression of Rho1 and Rho-GEFs Rgf1–Rgf3 (Wu et al., 2010). However, the relationship between the arrestin Art1 and Rho-GEFs was unknown. Arrestins are known for abrogating G protein–coupled receptor (GPCR)-mediated signaling in eukaryotes (Kang et al., 2006). No double mutants were recovered, in contrast to Art1 playing a role in septal formation (Salimova et al., 2000; Hou et al., 2004; Jin et al., 2006). However, when grown in rich YESS medium at 30°C (Wu et al., 2010). However, when grown in rich YESS medium at 25°C, ∼20% of art1-s34 cells lysed (Figure 1, A and B). To further study the role of Art1, we deleted its whole open reading frame and found that cell lysis was similar (∼22%) in art1Δ cells (Figure 1, A and B), which is consistent with the prediction that art1-s34 is a null allele (Wu et al., 2010). The lysis phenotype of the art1 mutants resembled that of the Rho-GEF rfg3(lad1-1) mutant (Morrell-Falvey et al., 2005), in which the lysed daughter cells often attach to each other in the shape of “boomerangs” (Figure 1A, arrowheads). Time-lapse imaging of art1Δ cells revealed that lysis occurred during cell separation (Figure 1C and Supplemental Video S1). The lysis phenotype suggests that the plasma membrane and/or the septum at the division site are defective in the art1 mutants.

RESULTS

art1 mutants lyse during cell separation with defective septa

The art1-s34 mutant was isolated in a synthetic lethal/sick screen carried out in a septin-depletion strain along with several genes that are known or predicted components of the cell-integrity pathway (Wu et al., 2010). art1-s34 cells have a mild cell lysis defect (∼5% of asynchronous cells) when grown in minimal medium EMM5S at 25°C (Wu et al., 2010). However, when grown in rich YESS medium at 30°C, ∼20% of art1-s34 cells lysed (Figure 1, A and B). To further study the role of Art1, we deleted its whole open reading frame and found that cell lysis was similar (∼22%) in art1Δ cells (Figure 1, A and B), which is consistent with the prediction that art1-s34 is a null allele (Wu et al., 2010). The lysis phenotype of the art1 mutants resembled that of the Rho-GEF rfg3(lad1-1) mutant (Morrell-Falvey et al., 2005), in which the lysed daughter cells often attach to each other in the shape of “boomerangs” (Figure 1A, arrowheads). Time-lapse imaging of art1Δ cells revealed that lysis occurred during cell separation (Figure 1C and Supplemental Video S1). The lysis phenotype suggests that the plasma membrane and/or the septum at the division site are defective in the art1 mutants.

Plasma membrane deposition and septal formation at the division site are closely coordinated with the actomyosin contractile-ring constriction (Gould and Simanis, 1997; Liu et al., 1999; Laporte et al., 2010; Wloka and Bi, 2012). So, using myosin II heavy chain Myo2 as a marker, we first tested whether the contractile ring was defective in art1Δ cells. While ring formation and ring morphology were normal, rates of ring maturation and constriction were significantly delayed in art1Δ cells (Supplemental Figure S2). We next tested plasma membrane closure/integrity during cytokinesis, using fluorescence loss in photobleaching (FLP) assays. Fluorescence exchange of diffusible green fluorescent protein (GFP) between the two halves of a dividing cell stopped at approximately the same time following the completion of contractile-ring constriction in wild-type (wt) and art1Δ cells (Supplemental Figure S3, A and B). Together these data indicate that Art1 has no obvious role in the plasma membrane integrity during cytokinesis, although ring maturation and constriction take longer times without Art1. Therefore cell lysis in art1Δ cells could be due to a defect in the newly formed cell wall.

Using electron microscopy (EM), we found that wt daughter cells separated symmetrically, with the primary septum and surrounding cell wall being digested evenly from the cell equator (Figure 1D, left). In art1Δ, however, daughter cells separated primarily from one side (Figure 1D, right). Moreover, in art1Δ cells, the septum was severely defective, being wavy and thinner (Figure 1, D and E, and Supplemental Figure S3C). The cell wall at the new cell end, which derives from the secondary septum, had uniform thickness (∼70% of the thickness of the cell wall at other locations) in wt cells (Supplemental Figure S3C). In contrast, in the majority of art1Δ cells, it was thinner, uneven, or discontinuous, with less than half of the thickness of wt cell wall (Figure 1, D and E, and Supplemental Figure S3C). This presumably leaves the plasma membrane partially exposed at the new cell end (Figure 1D, right, inset). The septal defect is similar to that seen in the Rho-GEF rfg3(lad1-1) mutant (Morrell-Falvey et al., 2005). Genetic evidence also supports Art1 playing a role in septal formation. art1Δ is synthetic lethal with sid2-250 and mob1-M17, two mutations in the septation initiation network (SIN) pathway that regulate septal formation (Salimova et al., 2000; Hou et al., 2004; Jin et al., 2006). No double mutants were recovered, even at the permissive temperature of 25°C. Therefore Art1 may have a role, most likely involving septal integrity, in later stages of cytokinesis.

Art1 localizes to the contractile ring and the septation site

To further understand Art1 function during later stages of cytokinesis, we examined its localization using a monomeric enhanced Citrine (mECitrine; yellow fluorescent protein [YFP] variant)-tagged strain. Art1 concentrated to the contractile ring during cytokinesis (Figure 2, A–C, arrowheads). After ring constriction, the Art1 signal
To further characterize Art1 localization, we tested the domain required for its localization (Supplemental Figure S4). Art1 is annotated as an arrestin family protein with a conserved Arrestin_C terminal-like domain (www.pombase.org/spombe/result/SPBC19G7.08c; Supplemental Figure S4A). We constructed C- and N-terminal truncations of Art1 with an mECitrine tag. Full-length (FL) Art1 and the C-terminal truncations Art1($\Delta$429–483) and Art1($\Delta$287–483) were expressed at expected sizes as detected by Western blotting (Supplemental Figure S4B). The two truncations were diffused in the cytoplasm and abolished from the contractile ring and septum (Supplemental Figure S4C). However, the expression of Art1 N-terminal or internal truncations Art1($\Delta$1–286) and Art1($\Delta$287–428) was not detectable by Western blotting or observable via microscopy (Supplemental Figure S4, B and C). Consistent with the loss of localization spread unevenly onto the septal disk (Figure 2, A–C, arrows). In addition, Art1 also diffused in the cytoplasm at all stages of the cell cycle. A compact contractile ring forms from cytokinetic nodes at the end of anaphase A in wt cells, when the spindle is ∼2.5 μm long (Wu et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2012). Using time-lapse microscopy, we found that Art1 appeared directly in the contractile ring (not in the precursor nodes), increased in fluorescence intensity, constricted, and then spread to the septum (Figure 2D). Art1 appeared in the contractile ring when spindle pole bodies (SPBs) were ∼3 μm apart (Figure 2, E and F), corresponding to the maturing contractile ring in wt cells at early anaphase B (Nabeshima et al., 1998; Mallavarapu et al., 1999; Wu et al., 2003). It remained at the division site until cell separation. Thus the pattern of Art1 localization is consistent with its role in contractile-ring maturation, ring constriction, and septal integrity.

FIGURE 1: art1 mutants have defective division septa. (A–C) Cell lysis phenotype of art1 mutant cells. Arrowheads mark boomerang-shaped lysed cells. (A) Differential interference contrast images and (B) quantification of cell lysis in wt (strain JW81), art1-s34 (JW404), and art1Δ (JW3563) cells. (C) Time-lapse imaging of an art1Δ (JW3563) cell lysed during cell separation. (D and E) Micrographs (D) and quantification (E) of septa under EM in wt (JW81) and art1Δ (JW2543) cells. Insets in D show magnification of the cell wall region in the white box. Double-headed arrows mark cell wall thickness. (E) A thin septum means the cell wall at the division site is continuous but ≤ 50% the thickness of that in wt cells. Thin and uneven septum is the same as thin septum, except that the septum is discontinuous. Scale bars: A and C, 5 μm; D, 0.5 μm.
As reported for Rgf3 (Mutoh et al., 2005), the Art1 ring was at the trailing edge of the myosin II heavy-chain Myo2 ring (unpublished data), which indicates that Art1 is closer to the plasma membrane than myosin II. Third, like Rgf3 localization, Art1 localization was independent of actin filaments. When cells were treated with latrunculin A (Lat-A), a sequesterer of actin monomer, that disrupts actin filaments, both Rgf3 and Art1 still localized to the contractile ring and septum (Figure 2H). Together the data presented so far on the mutant phenotype and localization suggest that Art1 may work together with the Rho-GEF Rgf3 during septal formation.

or expression, all the truncations had a cell lysis phenotype similar to art1Δ (Supplemental Figure S4, C and D). These results indicate that the Art1 C-terminal region aa 429–483 is important for its localization, and Art1 localization is critical for its function. In addition, the Art1 N-terminus and the Arrestin_C like domain are important for its stability.

Of interest, the localization of Art1 to the division site and its timing resemble those of Rho-GEF Rgf3 (Tajadura et al., 2004; Mutoh et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2010). First, Rgf3 appeared in the contractile ring when SPBs were ~3 μm apart (Figure 2, E and F). Second, the pattern of Art1 localization was identical with that of Rgf3.
Rgf2 have localizations and/or deletion phenotypes different from GTPase (Wu et al., 2010), but the mechanism is unknown. Rgf1 and Rgf2 have localizations and/or deletion phenotypes different from those of Art1 (Figures 1 and 2; Mutoh et al., 2005; Garcia et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2010). Thus we focused on Rgf3. Rgf3 is a known GEF for Rho1 that activates the cell wall–synthesizing enzymes β-glucan synthases and other effectors (Arellano et al., 1997, 1999; Nakano et al., 1997; Tajadura et al., 2004; Mutoh et al., 2005). We hypothesized that Art1 functions in the same pathway as Rgf3 and Rho1 for cell wall synthesis and cell integrity. To test this hypothesis, we investigated whether art1Δ cells can be rescued by Rgfl or Rho1 overexpression. Cell lysis of art1Δ cells decreased to ≤ 5% when Rho1 or Rgf3 was overexpressed (Figure 3, A–C). In addition, we found that art1Δ was synthetic lethal at 25°C with rgf3-s44, a point mutation in the GEF domain of Rgf3 (Wu et al., 2010). Thus Art1 indeed functions in the same or a related pathway as Rgf3 and Rho1. Rho1 localizes to both the division site and cell tips (Arellano et al., 1997; Mutoh et al., 2005), whereas Art1 and Rgf3 only concentrate at the division site (Figure 2); thus it is more likely that Art1 interacts with Rgf3.

To test whether Art1 and Rgf3 physically interact, we examined their interaction using coimmunoprecipitation (co-IP) and yeast two-hybrid assays. Both Rgf3 and Art1 were seen to be phosphoproteins, because they migrated faster after λ-phosphatase treatment (Figure 3D). Art1-2YFP pulled down Rgf3-13Myc from extracts of cells expressing both proteins at their native levels (Figure 3E). This interaction was not disrupted by phosphatase treatment of the bound complex (Figure 3E). In addition, the interaction between FL Art1 and Rgf3 was confirmed by a yeast two-hybrid assay (Figure 3F). We then used this assay to map out the domains involved in the interaction (Supplemental Figure S5). We first tested the interaction of Art1 C-terminal truncations with FL Rgf3. Rgf3 did not interact with Art1 C-terminal truncations Art1(1–286) or Art1(1–428) (Supplemental Figure S5B), suggesting that Art1(429–483) is important for the Art1–Rgf3 interaction or the interaction only occurs when Art1 is localized to the division site. We then tested the interactions of Rgf3 truncations with FL Art1 and found that truncating the Rgf3 N-terminus greatly enhanced Art1–Rgf3 binding. FL Art1 interacted much more strongly with the truncations Rgf3(657–1275) and Rgf3(856–1275) than with FL Rgf3 (Supplemental Figure S5B), suggesting that the N-terminus of Rgf3 could inhibit Art1 binding to Rgf3. Thus we tested whether the Rgf3 C-terminus binds to Rgf3 N-terminus for the autoinhibition. However, we detected no interaction between Rgf3(1–656) and Rgf3(657–1275) (Supplemental Figure S5B). In addition, we found that the integrity of the Rgf3(856–1275) domain is required for the interaction with Art1.

**FIGURE 3:** Rgf3 physically interacts with Art1 and is important for Art1 localization to the division site. (A–C) Overexpression of Rho1 or Rgf3 rescues the lysis phenotype of art1Δ. (A) art1Δ cells with empty vector (JW3632) or pRho1 plasmid (JW3633). (B) art1Δ (JW2543) and 3nmt1-rgf3 art1Δ (JW3868) cells were grown in YESS liquid medium for 40 h. (C) Quantification of lysis in cells as shown in A and B. (D and E) Art1 and Rgf3 co-IP independent of the phosphorylation status of proteins. (D) Rgf3 (top; strain JW2421) and Art1 (bottom; strain JW3381) are phosphoproteins. (E) Rgf3 co-IPs with Art1. IPs using anti-YFP antibody and cell lysates of strains expressing Art1-2YFP (JW3711), Rgf3-13Myc (JW2421), and Art1-2YFP Rgf3-13Myc (JW2696) with or without λ-phosphatase. (F) Art1 and Rgf3 interact in the yeast two-hybrid assay. X-gal overlay assay between λ phage DNA-binding domain (BD)-Art1 along with empty vector controls. The dark blue color indicates a positive reaction. (G and H) Rgf3 is important for Art1 localization. art1Δ-mECitrine sad1-mCFP (JW2694) and art1Δ-mECitrine sad1-mCFP N-degron-rgf3 strains (JW3351) were grown at 25°C and shifted to 36°C for 4 h before imaging at 36°C. (G) Art1 localizes to the contractile ring (arrowheads) and septal region (arrows) in wt cells. Asterisks indicate cells with a complete septum that lack Art1 signal at the division site. (H) Quantification of dividing cells (cells with two separated SPBs) with Art1-mECitrine signal at the division site. Scale bars: 5 μm.

**Art1 physically interacts with the Rho-GEF Rgf3**

Our previous study showed that the art1-s34 mutant can be rescued by overexpression of Rho-GEFs Rgf1, Rgf2, and Rgf3 or Rho1 GTPase (Wu et al., 2010), but the mechanism is unknown. Rgf1 and Rgf2 have localizations and/or deletion phenotypes different from those of Art1 (Figures 1 and 2; Mutoh et al., 2005; Garcia et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2010). Thus we focused on Rgf3. Rgf3 is a known GEF for Rho1 that activates the cell wall–synthesizing enzymes β-glucan synthases and other effectors (Arellano et al., 1997, 1999; Nakano et al., 1997; Tajadura et al., 2004; Mutoh et al., 2005). We hypothesized that Art1 functions in the same pathway as Rgf3 and Rho1 for cell wall synthesis and cell integrity. To test this hypothesis, we investigated whether art1Δ cells can be rescued by Rgfl or Rho1 overexpression. Cell lysis of art1Δ cells decreased to ≤ 5% when Rho1 or Rgf3 was overexpressed (Figure 3, A–C). In addition, we found that art1Δ was synthetic lethal at 25°C with rgf3-s44, a point mutation in the GEF domain of Rgf3 (Wu et al., 2010). Thus Art1 indeed functions in the same or a related pathway as Rgf3 and Rho1. Rho1 localizes to both the division site and cell tips (Arellano et al., 1997; Mutoh et al., 2005), whereas Art1 and Rgf3 only concentrate at the division site (Figure 2); thus it is more likely that Art1 interacts with Rgf3.

To test whether Art1 and Rgf3 physically interact, we examined their interaction using coimmunoprecipitation (co-IP) and yeast two-hybrid assays. Both Rgf3 and Art1 were seen to be phosphoproteins, because they migrated faster after λ-phosphatase treatment (Figure 3D). Art1-2YFP pulled down Rgf3-13Myc from extracts of cells expressing both proteins at their native levels (Figure 3E). This interaction was not disrupted by phosphatase treatment of the bound complex (Figure 3E). In addition, the interaction between FL Art1 and Rgf3 was confirmed by a yeast two-hybrid assay (Figure 3F). We then used this assay to map out the domains involved in the interaction (Supplemental Figure S5). We first tested the interaction of Art1 C-terminal truncations with FL Rgf3. Rgf3 did not interact with Art1 C-terminal truncations Art1(1–286) or Art1(1–428) (Supplemental Figure S5B), suggesting that Art1(429–483) is important for the Art1–Rgf3 interaction or the interaction only occurs when Art1 is localized to the division site. We then tested the interactions of Rgf3 truncations with FL Art1 and found that truncating the Rgf3 N-terminus greatly enhanced Art1–Rgf3 binding. FL Art1 interacted much more strongly with the truncations Rgf3(657–1275) and Rgf3(856–1275) than with FL Rgf3 (Supplemental Figure S5B), suggesting that the N-terminus of Rgf3 could inhibit Art1 binding to Rgf3. Thus we tested whether the Rgf3 C-terminus binds to Rgf3 N-terminus for the autoinhibition. However, we detected no interaction between Rgf3(1–656) and Rgf3(657–1275) (Supplemental Figure S5B). In addition, we found that the integrity of the Rgf3(856–1275) domain is required for the interaction with Art1.
was not detected in the mutant cells (asterisks). Further, the contractile ring (arrowhead), and the localization to the septum compared with 70% in wt cells (Figure 3, G and H). Art1 localized only to two separated SPBs had an Art1 signal at the division site, compared with 680 ± 230 Art1 molecules in wt cells. When the essential protein Rgf3 was degraded, Art1 (Supplemental Figure S5B). A fragment was important for the strong interaction between Rgf3 and Art1 (Supplemental Figure S5B).

The interaction between Art1 and Rgf3 plays an important role in Art1 localization. When the essential protein Rgf3 was degraded using a temperature-inducible rfg3 (N-degron-rfg3) mutant strain, Art1 localization to the division site was severely affected (Figure 3, G and H). After 4 h at 36°C, only 20% of live N-degron-rfg3 cells with two separated SPBs had an Art1 signal at the division site, compared with 70% in wt cells (Figure 3, G and H). Art1 localized only to the contractile ring (arrowhead), and the localization to the septum (arrows) was not detected in the mutant cells (asterisks). Furthermore, no noticeable difference in Art1 global fluorescence intensity was seen in the two strains. Thus Art1 partially depends on Rgf3 for its ring localization and completely depends on Rgf3 for its septal localization.

**Art1 is important for maintaining Rho-GEF Rgf3 protein levels**

Because Art1 and Rgf3 interact with each other and Rgf3 plays a role in Art1 localization, it is interesting to know whether Art1 is involved in Rgf3 localization. In art1Δ cells, Rgf3 localization to the contractile ring was essentially abolished, and the intensity of Rgf3 in the cytoplasm was also reduced compared with wt (Figure 4A). However, a weak Rgf3 signal at the division site was detected in cells with a complete septum (Figure 4A, arrows). In contrast, Rgf3 intensities in the cytoplasm and the division site obviously increased when Art1 was overexpressed (Figure 4A, right).

We counted Rgf3 molecules in wt, art1Δ, and art1Δ overexpression cells using quantitative fluorescence microscopy (Wu and Pollard, 2005; Coffman and Wu, 2012) and compared them with the number of Art1 molecules in wt cells. On average, each wt cell had 1740 ± 370 Art1 molecules (Figure 4B, left). However, only a small fraction of them, 100 ± 30 molecules, were in a mature, unconstricting contractile ring (Figure 4B, right). Compared with Art1, each wt cell had less Rgf3 globally (620 ± 190 molecules) but more in the mature ring (190 ± 50) (Figure 4B). Consistent with the microscopy images (Figure 4A), however, the total number of Rgf3 molecules decreased to 270 ± 200 per cell, and Rgf3 was essentially absent in the mature, unconstricting contractile ring in art1Δ cells (Figure 4B). When Art1 was moderately overexpressed, each cell had 1450 ± 680 Rgf3 molecules with 230 ± 50 Rgf3 molecules in the mature ring (Figure 4B). Thus Art1 protein levels influence Rgf3 levels in cells, which was confirmed by measuring Rgf3 levels in art1Δ and art1Δ overexpression cells using Western blotting (Figure 4C).

Together these data imply that Art1 plays a role in maintaining Rgf3 protein levels.

**Art1 plays a role in Rgf3 localization**

In art1Δ cells, Rgf3 localized to the septal region, but its localization to the mature contractile ring was severely affected (Figure 4A), which suggested that Art1 was important for Rgf3 localization to the contractile ring. However, the Rgf3 levels were also significantly reduced in art1Δ cells (Figure 4, A–C). Thus it was hard to know whether the lack of Rgf3 localization was due to the reduction in overall protein levels. To test whether Art1 plays a direct role in localizing Rgf3, we mislocalized Art1 to various cellular structures using the GFP-binding protein (GBP; Figure 5 and Supplemental Figure S6; Rothbauer et al., 2008). GBP binds to the YFP variant mECitrine but not to cyan fluorescent protein (CFP,
Rothbauer et al., 2008; Grallert et al., 2013); we therefore tested whether mislocalized Art1-mECitrine recruited Rgf3-mCFP. We mislocalized Art1 to cortical nodes using anillin Mid1-GBP (Figure 5A) and to SPBs using SIN kinase Cdc7-GBP (Figure 5; B and C). Mislocalized Art1 successfully recruited Rgf3-mCFP to cortical nodes and SPBs (Figure 5, A–C). Only a fraction of Art1 was mislocalized; we observed that Art1 still localized to its native localizations, the constricting ring and the septum (Figure 5, B and C, and Supplemental Figure S6), where Mid1 and Cdc7 are normally absent (Sohrmann et al., 1998; Paolletti and Chang, 2000; Mehta and Gould, 2006). Two lines of evidence indicate the mislocalization is specific: 1) No bleed-through was seen from the YFP to the CFP (440 nm) channel (Supplemental Figure S6A, middle panel); and 2) although Rlc1 is more abundant in cells than Rgf3 (Wu and Pollard, 2005), Rlc1 was not recruited by mislocalized Art1 to SPBs (Supplemental Figure S6B). Together these data indicate that Art1 is important for both the localization and protein levels of Rgf3.

Art1 helps to maintain active Rho1 activity at the division site

Our data have shown that Art1 interacts with Rgf3 and is important for its localization and protein levels. Rgf3 is a GEF known to activate Rho1 (Tajadura et al., 2004). Thus we hypothesized that art1Δ negatively affected active Rho1 levels at the division site. We used the Rho1 biosensor Pck1(HR-C2) (Kono et al., 2012) tagged with mECitrine to measure active GTP-Rho1 in wt and art1Δ cells (Figure 6, A–D). Although the global level of active Rho1 was slightly higher in art1Δ cells than in wt cells (Figure 6C), the amount of active Rho1 at the division sites in cells with forming and complete septa was significantly lower in art1Δ cells than in wt cells (Figure 6, A, B, and D). This result was confirmed using an rgf3-s44 mutant (Figure 6E) in which Rho1 activity is expected to be affected, because rfg3-s44 has a mutation in the GEF domain (Wu et al., 2010).

Rho1 regulates β-glucan syntheses in yeasts (Arellano et al., 1996; Drgonova et al., 1996; Qadota et al., 1996). Thus we measured the levels of β-glucan synthase Bgs1, which is essential for primary septal synthesis (Liu et al., 1999), in art1Δ cells. We detected no significant difference in the Bgs1 levels globally in whole cells or locally at the division site in art1Δ cells compared with wt cells (Supplemental Figure S7, A–C). This suggests that Art1 may indirectly affect Bgs1 enzyme activity through Rho1 but not Bgs1 localization. We also tested the specificity of the Rho1 biosensor in other Rho-GEF mutants (Supplemental Figure S7D). Except for rfg1Δ cells showing slightly higher Rho1 levels at the division site, no obvious difference in active Rho1 levels was observed in other GEF mutants tested (Supplemental Figure S7D). Taking these results together, we conclude that Art1 and Rgf3 work together to activate the Rho1 GTPase during cytokinesis in fission yeast.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we found that Art1, a previously uncharacterized arrestin family protein, plays an important role in fission yeast cytokinesis. Art1 binds to Rgf3, a GEF for Rho1 GTPase, and recruits it to the contractile ring during cytokinesis. Moreover, we found that Art1 also affects Rgf3 protein levels. Thus we have discovered that the arrestin Art1 is a positive regulator of Rho-GEF Rgf3 function in cytokinesis.

Roles of the arrestin Art1 in septal formation during cytokinesis

Our results provide several lines of evidence to support that Art1 is important for septal formation during cytokinesis. First, the septum is defective, and cell lysis occurs during cell separation in art1Δ cells (Figure 1). This defective septal formation may lead to the delay in ring constriction in art1Δ cells (Supplemental Figure S2). Second, art1Δ is synthetic lethal with sid2-250 and mob1-M17 mutants in the SIN pathway, which is essential for regulating septal formation. Third, Art1 localizes to the contractile ring and septal region, which is consistent with its function (Figure 2). Fourth, Art1 physically interacts with Rgf3, which is essential for septal formation by activating Rho1 GTPase (Tajadura et al., 2004; Morrell-Falvey et al., 2005; Mutoh et al., 2005), to regulate its protein levels and localization.
Art1 in cytokinesis: a novel role for arrestins

Our finding that the arrestin Art1 regulates the localization and protein levels of Rgf3 has highlighted a novel role for the arrestin family of proteins in cytokinesis. Arrestins have diverse functions in eukaryotes. They were originally identified as proteins that bind to GPCRs, arrestins also bind to endocytic receptors involved in G protein–mediated signaling from the GPCRs (Kendall and Luttrell, 2009). GPCRs are transmembrane receptors activated by ligand binding and can act like GEFs to activate heterotrimeric G proteins. Arrestins bind to active GPCRs receptors activated by ligand binding and can act like GEFs to activate heterotrimeric G proteins. Arrestins then act as adaptors connecting the receptors to the endocytic machinery (Moore et al., 2007; Kendall and Luttrell, 2009; Kang et al., 2014). Arrestins bind to active GPCRs and prevent their association with the G proteins, which disrupts G protein–mediated signaling from the GPCRs (Kendall and Luttrell, 2009; Kang et al., 2014). Arrestins then act as adaptors connecting the receptors to the endocytic machinery (Moore et al., 2007; Kendall and Luttrell, 2009; Kang et al., 2014). Besides GPCRs, arrestins also bind to endocytic receptors involved in cytokinesis.

(Figures 3–5). Fifth, we found that cell lysis in art1Δ cells can be rescued by overexpression of Rgf3 and Rho1 GTPase (Figure 3, A–C), and Art1 modulates the levels of active Rho1 at the division site (Figure 6), although the specificity of the Rho1 biosensor in fission yeast needs to be fully tested in the future. Taken together, our data indicate that Art1 plays a role in septal formation.

Both Art1 and Rgf3 localize to the contractile ring and the septal region (during and after the contractile-ring disassembly). They are partially interdependent for localization. Interestingly, Rgf3 is important for Art1 localization to the septation site but not to the contractile ring (Figure 3G). In contrast, Art1 is crucial for Rgf3 localization to the contractile ring but less important for its localization to the septum. Thus both proteins have other means to localize to the division site. This partial interdependence is consistent with the fact that Rgf3 is essential and Art1 is not. We found that the Art1 C-terminus is important for its localization, and the Rgf3 C-terminus is important for its interaction with Art1 (Supplemental Figures S4 and S5). The DBL homology and pleckstrin homology domain (DH–PH domain; aa 469–855) seems to hinder the interaction of the Rgf3 C-terminal region with Art1. Rgf3 can be recruited to the division site via its N-terminus (Mutoh et al., 2005). The importance of the domain downstream of the DH–PH domain has been previously documented (Morrell-Falvey et al., 2005). A point mutation in this region, F867S, leads to the loss of Rgf3 localization to the contractile ring and causes a reduction in cellular Rgf3 levels (Morrell-Falvey et al., 2005). This indicates that Art1 binding of the Rgf3 C-terminus is another mechanism that recruits Rgf3 to the division site during cytokinesis.
other signaling pathways to regulate a diverse array of cellular functions. They regulate trafficking of receptors such as Frizzled, Notch, and IGF-1 in developmental pathways such as Hedgehog and Wnt (Kovacs et al., 2009). Arrestins have also been implicated in mitosis due to their constitutive association with centromeres in human cells (Shankar et al., 2010). The budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae has 10 arrestin-related proteins (ARTs) that have an arrestin fold and also contain the PPXY motif, which aids the endocytosis of associated membrane proteins (Lin et al., 2008). In S. pombe, the arrestin-like protein Any1/Arn1 regulates endocytosis of amino acid transporters, and its PPXY motif interacts with the ubiquitin ligase Pub1 (Nakase et al., 2013; Nakashima et al., 2014). However, S. pombe Art1 has no PPXY motif and does not localize to actin patches, the sites of clathrin-mediated endocytosis.

Arrestins were previously implicated in the regulation of GEFs and GTPTases. The presence of arrestins along with Gβδ proteins was found to be essential for the activation of Rho A GTPTase, which is important for stress fiber formation and cell migration in response to activation of angiotensin II type 1A receptor (Barnes et al., 2005). In another example, the arrestin acts as a scaffold and binds the GEF ARNO, which then binds and activates the GTPTase ARF6 upon receptor activation. The activated ARF6 is necessary for the internalization of the β2-adrenergic receptor via endocytosis (Claing et al., 2001). It will be interesting to explore whether Art1 acts as a scaffold for Rho3-Rho1 interaction. In the third example, β-arrestin binds to the GEF RalGDS for the Ras family GTPTase RalA that controls cytoskeletal reorganization (Bhattacharya et al., 2002). The arrestin forms an inactive complex with RalGDS in the cytosol and recruits it to the plasma membrane upon chemoattractant stimulation. After recruitment, RalGDS is released for interaction with RalA GTPTase. In this case, β-arrestin-mediated recruitment of RalGDS to the plasma membrane is crucial for RalA activity (Bhattacharya et al., 2002). However, to our knowledge, a direct role for arrestins in cytokinesis has not been reported before.

**Regulation of Rho-GEFs by adaptor proteins**

Rho-GEF activities in cells, like those of Rho GTPTases, are also regulated. Disruption of Rho-GEF activities is associated with developmental defects, cancer, and neurological disorders in mice and humans (Rossman et al., 2005; Fields and Justilien, 2010; Cook et al., 2011). Rho-GEFs are known to be regulated by intramolecular binding, which prevents their association with GTPTases, because many of them become constitutively active GEFs when truncated N-terminally to the GEF domain (Fritz et al., 2002; Yohe et al., 2007). Deletion of the N-terminus of RhoA GEF Ect2 leads to increased GEF activity, but the mechanism of release from the autoinhibition is not clearly understood (Fields and Justilien, 2010). Rho-GEFs are also regulated by localized activation, sometimes by GTPTases themselves, and by different adaptor proteins (Rossman et al., 2005; Buchsbaum, 2007).

The regulation mechanism of the Rho1 GEF Rgf3 in S. pombe was unknown. In this study, we found that Rgf3 protein levels and localization to the division site are regulated by Art1, which has only ∼38% of the mass of Rgf3. Thus Art1 may be an adaptor protein for Rgf3. Further studies are needed to clarify whether Art1 regulates Rgf3 protein levels by affecting Rgf3 stability. Previously we found that the putative Rho-GEF Gef2 interacts with the adaptor protein Nod1 to regulate division site selection and contractile-ring maintenance in fission yeast (Zhu et al., 2013). Gef2 and Nod1 are completely interdependent for localization to the cortical nodes but partially dependent on each other for the contractile-ring localization (Jourdain et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 2013). Similarly, the activity of Scd1, a GEF of S. pombe Cdc42, is enhanced by its adaptor, Scd2. Scd2 has been proposed to act as scaffold for the formation of the Scd1/Cdc42 complex (Endo et al., 2003).

In budding yeast, the Cdc42 GEF Cdc24 is regulated by the adaptor Bem1 for cell polarization. However, the molecular mechanism of intra- and intermolecular interactions among Cdc24, Bem1, and Cdc42 is not fully understood and remains controversial (Bose et al., 2001; Shimada et al., 2004; Wiget et al., 2004; Smith et al., 2013). The localizations of S. cerevisiae Rho-GEFs Tus1 and Rom2 to the bud neck/division site depend on adaptor proteins Rgl1 and Ack1, respectively ( Krause et al., 2012). In the absence of Rgl1, the intensity of Tus1 at the division site is reduced fivefold. Similarly, in the absence of Ack1, Rom2 localization to the bud neck is abolished and that to the bud cortex is greatly reduced (Krause et al., 2012). Considering that the divergence between budding yeast and fission yeast is similar to their evolutionary distances to humans, the regulations of Rho-GEFs by small adaptor proteins may be widely conserved during evolution.
In conclusion, we found that the arrestin family protein Art1 binds to the Rho-GEF Rgf3 and recruits it to the division site for septal formation during cytokinesis. To our knowledge, no arrestin has been reported to play a direct role in cytokinesis. It will be interesting to learn whether arrestins also regulate Rho-GEFs during cytokinesis in other systems.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and molecular, cellular, and genetic methods

Table 1 lists the strains used in this study. We used PCR-based gene targeting and classical yeast genetics to construct strains (Moreno et al., 1991; Bähler et al., 1998). All tagged and truncation strains are regulated under native promoters and integrated into endogenous chromosomal loci. The exceptions include strains for overexpression that are under the control of the 3nmt1 promoter, which is repressed by thiamine (Maundrell, 1990). For rescue of the art1Δ phenotype by Rho1 overexpression, art1Δ cells were transformed with pUR19-Rho1 or the control pUR19 plasmid. Cells with the plasmids were grown exponentially in EMM5–uracil medium for 36 h and subsequently transferred to YESS medium for 3 h to observe and quantify the phenotype.

For creation of the temperature-sensitive mutant of Rgf3, the N-terminus of Rgf3 was fused with N-degron-HA, an engineered temperature-sensitive variant of mouse dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR<sup>TM</sup>; Rajagopalan et al., 2004). The N-degron-HA fragment was amplified using PCR from plasmid pWP58 (a gift from Jurgen Dohmen, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany). The PCR fragment was then cloned downstream of the 1-kb promoter region of Rgf3. The resulting plasmid pF6a-Rgf3-N-degron-HA (JQW248) was used as a template to tag native Rgf3 at its N-terminus. For construction of the diffusible monomeric enhanced green fluorescent protein (mEGFP) strain (JW3313), the 3nmt1-mEGFP fragment under the 3nmt1 promoter was amplified from JQW72 and integrated at the C-terminus of the leu1-32 locus.

Art1 N-terminal truncations and N-terminal–tagged strains were under the control of the art1 promoter that was constructed by replacing the 3nmt1 promoter in plasmid pFA6a-P3nmt1-mECitrine with art1 5’UTR + 200 base pairs (–338 to +6) at BglII and PacI sites. The resulting plasmid pF6a-kanMX6-Part1-mECitrine (JQW728) was then used as the template for PCR amplification and gene targeting. Primers were designed according to desired truncation sites, and the PCR products were transformed into wt cells. The resulting truncations were sequenced.

For mislocalization of Art1, the art1-mECitrine strain was crossed to strains expressing SIN kinase Cdc7 and anillin Mid1 tagged at their C-termini with GFP (Rothbauer et al., 2008). A Rho1 biosensor Pkc1(HR-C2) was constructed using the plasmid PB2729 (PKC1 [1–1173 base pairs]-GFP [Denis and Cyert, 2005; Kono et al., 2012], a gift from the David Pellman lab [Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA]). The Pkc1(HR-C2) fragment was amplified from PB2729 and fused with mECitrine that was amplified separately from pF6a-kanMX6 (JQW228). Both fragments were amplified with overlapping overhangs that allowed them to fuse with a BamHI restriction site in between in a fusion PCR. The fused product was then cloned downstream of the 3nmt1 promoter and resulted in plasmid JQW740 (pF6a-kanMX6-P3nmt1-pkc1-mECitrine). The entire fragment was then amplified and used to transform wt S. pombe cells at the leu1 locus.

To depolymerize actin filaments, we used 100 μM Lat-A as described previously (Wu et al., 2001). We treated cells with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) or Lat-A for 15 min. Cells were then imaged on bare slides.

Microscopy and data analysis

Cells were restreaked from ∼80°C stocks and grown for 1–2 d on YESS plates at 25°C and then inoculated into YESS liquid media except cells with plasmids. Cells were grown at exponential phase for ∼48 h at 25°C before microscopy, except where noted. For microscopy, cells were collected by centrifugation, washed twice with EMM5 medium to reduce autofluorescence, and resuspended in EMM5 plus 5 μM n-propyl-gallate as previously described (Laporte et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2014). For imaging of Rho1 biosensor strains, cells were washed with YESS with n-propyl-gallate, then spotted on YESS agar pads with 5 μM n-propyl-gallate. For experiments in Figures 1C, 2D, and 3G and Supplemental Figures S2 and S7, A–C, cells were washed with fresh YESS medium once, then with YESS + 5 μM n-propyl-gallate once, and ~5 μl of concentrated cells were spotted on a 35-mm dish with a glass coverslip bottom (0420041500C; Bioptechs, Butler, PA). The cells were then covered with a piece of YESS agar before imaging. Microscopy was carried out at 23.5–25°C, except where noted. For imaging at restrictive temperatures, a preheated climate chamber (stage-top incubator INUB-PP212-F1 equipped with a UNIV2-D35 dish holder; Tokai Hit, Shizuoka-ken, Japan) was used.

For visualizing cell morphology and septum only, cells were imaged with a 100 ×/1.4 numerical aperture (NA) Plan-Apo objective lens on a Nikon Eclipse Ti inverted microscope (Nikon, Melville, NY) equipped with a Nikon cooled digital camera DS-Qi1. Other experiments were performed using 100 ×/1.4 NA Plan-Apo objective lens (Nikon) on a spinning-disk confocal system (UltraVIEW ERS; Perkin Elmer Cetus Life and Analytical Sciences Waltham, MA) with 440- and 514-nm lasers and an ORCA-AG camera (Hamamatsu, Bridge-water, NJ) with 2 × 2 binning, or on a spinning-disk confocal system (UltraVIEW Vox CSU1 system; Perkin Elmer Cetus Life and Analytical Sciences) with 440-, 488-, 515-, and 561-nm solid-state lasers and a back-thinned, electron-multiplying charge-coupled device camera (C9100-13; Hamamatsu) without binning.

Microscopy data were analyzed using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health [NIH], Bethesda, MD), UltraVIEW, or Volocity (Perkin Elmer-Cetus) software. Fluorescence images shown in the figures are maximum intensity projections of image stacks at 0.4- to 0.6-μm spacing, except where noted. Art1 and Rgf3 molecules in cells and in the ring were counted globally or locally by measuring fluorescence intensity as previously described (Coffman et al., 2011; Laporte et al., 2011). Briefly, 14 z-sections with 0.45 spacing were taken using the exact same imaging conditions for all strains. The images were subtracted by the offset. Mean intensities in whole cells were measured using sum-intensity projections. The wt strain (JW739) was used for background subtraction. Mean intensity at the division site was measured using the polygon region of interest (ROI) tool in ImageJ on the sum-intensity projection of the 14-slice stack. A region 3× the ROI, including the contractile ring, was used to calculate the background intensity. For estimating numbers of Art1 and Rgf3 molecules, the measured global and local intensities of Art1 and Rgf3 were normalized to Mid1 intensities measured in the same way and then converted to known Mid1 molecule numbers (Wu and Pollard, 2005). p Values in statistical analyses are from comparison with wt in a two-tailed t test.

FLIP analysis

FLIP analysis was performed using the photokinesis unit on the UltraVIEW ERS confocal system. The best focal plane for bleaching was chosen from a z-stack. Cells with constricting rings (marked with Rlc1–tandem dimer Tomato [Rlc1-tdTomato]) at their final stage of
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| Strain     | Genotype                                                                 | Source/reference                          | Strain     | Genotype                                                                 | Source/reference                          |
|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| JW81       | h<sup>+</sup> ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18                                  | Wu et al., 2003                           | JW3795     | art1<sup>(Δ1-286)</sup>-mECitrine-kanMX6 ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18          | This study                                |
| JW404      | h<sup>+</sup> art1-s34-F3 leu1-32 ura4-D18                                | Wu et al., 2010                           | JW3868     | art1<sup>Δ</sup>-ura4<sup>+</sup> kanMX6-3nmt1-rgf3 ade6 leu1-32 ura4-D18    | This study                                |
| JW739      | h<sup>+</sup> ade6-M216 leu1-32 ura4-D18                                  | Lab stock                                 | JW4427     | art1-mECitrine-kanMX6 kanMX6-Pmyo2-mCFP-myo2 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18      | This study                                |
| JW797      | h<sup>+</sup> sad1-CFP-kanMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18                  | Wu et al., 2003                           | JW4816     | art1<sup>Δ</sup>-ura4<sup>+</sup> r gf3-13Myc-hphMX6 ade6 leu1-32 ura4-D18    | This study                                |
| JW1105     | h<sup>+</sup> r gf3-mEGFP-kanMX6 ade6-M210                                | Wu et al., 2010                           | JW4889     | r gf3-mECitrine-kanMX6 kanMX6-P3nmt1-arg1 ade6 leu1-32 ura4-D18              | This study                                |
| JW2245     | h<sup>+</sup> r gf3-mECitrine-kanMX6 ade6-M210                            | Lab stock                                 | JW5129     | r gf3-13Myc-hphMX6 kanMX6-P3nmt1-mECitrine-art1 ade6 leu1-32 ura4-D18        | This study                                |
| JW2421     | h<sup>+</sup> r gf3-13Myc-hphMX6 ade6-M210                                | Lab stock                                 | This study  | r gf3-mECitrine-kanMX6 art1<sup>Δ</sup>-ura4<sup>+</sup> sad1-mCFP-kanMX6 ade6 leu1-32 ura4-D18 | This study                                |
| JW2543     | h<sup>+</sup> art1<sup>Δ</sup>-ura4<sup>+</sup> ade6-M216 leu1-32 ura4-D18  | This study                                | JW5232     | r gf3-mECitrine-kanMX6 art1<sup>Δ</sup>-ura4<sup>+</sup> sad1-mCFP-kanMX6 ade6 leu1-32 ura4-D18 | This study                                |
| JW2674     | h<sup>+</sup> art1-mECitrine-kanMX6 ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18              | This study                                | JW5593     | h<sup>+</sup> leu1::kanMX6-P3nmt1-pkc1(HR1-C2)-mECitrine ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18 | This study                                |
| JW2694     | art1-mECitrine-kanMX6 sad1-mCFP-kanMX6 ade6-M210 ura4-D18                 | This study                                | JW5712     | art1-mECitrine-kanMX6 mid1-GFP-RFP-hphMX6 ade6 leu1-32 ura4-D18              | This study                                |
| JW2696     | h<sup>+</sup> art1-2YFP-kanMX6 r gf3-13Myc-hphMX6 ade6-M210                 | This study                                | JW5796     | cdc7-GFP-phaMX6 art1-mECitrine-kanMX6 ade6 leu1-32 ura4-D18                  | This study                                |
| JW2748     | h<sup>+</sup> r gf3-mECitrine-kanMX6 sad1-CFP-kanMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18 | This study                                | JW5798     | art1-mECitrine-kanMX6 r gf3-mCFP-hphMX6 mid1-GFP-hphMX6 ade6 leu1-32 ura4-D18 | This study                                |
| JW3055     | h<sup>+</sup> bgs1<sup>Δ</sup>-ura4<sup>+</sup> Pbg51-GFP-bgs1-leu1<sup>+</sup> | Cortes et al., 2012                      | JW5799     | cdc7-GFP-phaMX6 art1-mECitrine-kanMX6 r gf3-mCFP-hphMX6 ade6 leu1-32 ura4-D18 | This study                                |
| JW3313     | h<sup>+</sup> leu1-32-kanMX6-3nmt1-mEGFP rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 ade6-M210     | This study                                | JW5857     | leu1::kanMX6-P3nmt1-pkc1(HR1-C2)-mECitrine ade6 leu1-32                       | This study                                |
| JW3344     | h<sup>+</sup> art1<sup>(Δ287-483)</sup>-mECitrine-kanMX6 ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18 | This study                                | JW5858     | cdc7-GFP-phaMX6 art1-mECitrine-kanMX6 rlc1-mCFP-kanMX6 ade6 leu1-32 ura4-D18 | This study                                |
| JW3345     | h<sup>+</sup> art1<sup>(Δ429-483)</sup>-mECitrine-kanMX6 ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18 | This study                                | JW5859     | art1-mECitrine-kanMX6 rlc1-mCFP-kanMX6 ade6 leu1-32 ura4-D18                  | This study                                |
| JW3351     | art1-mECitrine-kanMX6 kanMX6-Prgf3-N-degron-HA-rgf3 sad1-mCFP-kanMX6 ade6 leu1-32 ura4-D18 | This study                                | JW5877     | art1<sup>Δ</sup>-kanMX6 leu1::kanMX6-P3nmt1-pkc1(HR1-C2)-mECitrine ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18 | This study                                |
| JW3381     | h<sup>+</sup> art1-Stag-kanMX6 ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18                   | This study                                | JW6165     | r gf3-s44-F3 leu1::kanMX6-P3nmt1-pkc1(HR1-C2)-mECitrine ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18 | This study                                |
| JW3395     | art1<sup>Δ</sup>-ura4<sup>+</sup> leu1-32-kanMX6-3nmt1-mEGFP rlc1-tdTomato-natMX6 ade6 leu1-32 ura4-D18 | This study                                | JW6664     | leu1::kanMX6-P3nmt1-pkc1(HR1-C2)-mECitrine gef2a::kanMX4 ade6 leu1-32 ura4-D18 | This study                                |
| JW3563     | h<sup>+</sup> art1<sup>Δ</sup>-kanMX6 ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18            | This study                                | JW6685     | kanMX6-Pmyo2-mEGFP-myo2 art1<sup>Δ</sup>-kanMX6 sad1-mCherry-natMX6 ade6-M210 leu1-32 ura4-D18 | This study                                |
| JW3632     | h<sup>+</sup> art1<sup>Δ</sup>-kanMX6 ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18 + pTR19    | This study                                | JW6686     | bgs1<sup>Δ</sup>-ura4<sup>+</sup> Pbg51-GFP-bgs1-leu1<sup>+</sup> art1<sup>Δ</sup>-kanMX6 ade6 leu1-32 ura4-D18 | This study                                |
| JW3633     | h<sup>+</sup> art1<sup>Δ</sup>-kanMX6 ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18 + pTR19-Rho1 | This study                                | This study  | Continues                                                                  | Continues                                  |

TABLE 1: S. pombe strains used in this study.

Continues
constriction were chosen from cells with an almost complete septum. ROIs (~1 μm²) were drawn in half of the cells and were bleached to <50% of the original intensity after two prebleach images were collected. Then two postbleach images were collected, and the ROI was bleached again after a 30-s delay. The bleach-imaging cycle was repeated 40 times. For data analysis, the images were corrected for uneven illumination, background, and photobleaching during image acquisition using nonbleached neighboring cells as previously described (Coffman et al., 2013). The mean intensities of the bleached and unbleached halves of cells were compared to detect the diffusion of mEGFP molecules between two daughter cells connected by the septum. Time zero was defined as being when the cell had a fully constricted ring, at which point the length of the ring equals its height in fluorescence images. The time that fluorescence exchange stopped between the two daughter cells after time zero was compared between wt and art1Δ cells.

**EM**

WT and art1Δ cells were grown in YE5S medium at exponential phase at 25°C for ~48 h and fixed using phosphate buffer (2.5% glutaraldehyde and 0.1 M sucrose in a 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4) for 1 h. The samples were then rinsed 3× (with 0.1 M sucrose in the 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4), and the pellet was submitted to the Campus Microscopy and Imaging facility at the Ohio State University for further preparations. The samples were further fixed with 1% osmium tetroxide and embedded in agarose. The sample, in the agarose block, was dehydrated in a graded series of alcohol and embedded in Epon8 epoxy resin. Thin sections (70–90 nm) were cut using a Leica EM UC6 ultramicrotome. Sections were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and imaged on a FEI Tecnai G2 Spirit transmission electron microscope at 80 kV (Hayat, 1986; 2000; Watanabe et al., 1988).

**IP and Western blotting**

IP assays and Western blotting were carried out as previously described (Lee and Wu, 2012; Ye et al., 2012). For the phosphatase treatment and IPs, proteins were pulled down with beads. Briefly, Myc- (Figure 3D) or YFP-tagged (Figure 3E) protein expressed at its native level was pulled down from fission yeast cell extracts by protein G covalently coupled Dynabeads (100.04D; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) with anti-Myc antibody (sc-40; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, Texas) or polyclonal anti-GFP antibodies (NB600-308; Novus Biologicals, Littleton, CO), respectively. S-tagged protein (Figure 3D) was pulled down from fission yeast cell extract using S-protein agarose slurry (69704; Novagen, San Diego, CA). To test whether Art1 and Rgf3 are phosphoproteins, we incubated the beads with 400 U of lambda protein phosphatase (P0753; 400,000 U/ml; New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) for 40 min at 30°C. Sample buffer was added to the beads, and the mobility shift in the treated samples was examined using an SDS-PAGE gel. For testing whether Art1–Rgf3 interaction depends on the phosphorylation status of the two proteins, the beads coupled to polyclonal anti-GFP antibodies were used to pull down Art1 from a cell extract of strain art1Δ-mEGFP art1Δ-mCherry (11281-011; Invitrogen), and cells were plated on solid medium lacking leucine and tryptophan (SD-L-W). Yeast strains to be tested were grown in YE5S medium at exponential growth. 

**Yeast two-hybrid assays**

Yeast two-hybrid assays were performed as described previously using the X-gal overlay and β-galactosidase activity assays (Laporte et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2013). Art1 and Rgf3 DNAs were cloned into vectors with either viral VP16 transcription activation domain (AD) or GAL4 transcription factor DNA-binding domain (BD). The pairs of plasmids were then cotransformed into S. cerevisiae strain MAV203 (11281-011; Invitrogen), and cells were plated on solid medium lacking leucine and tryptophan (SD-L-W). Yeast strains to be tested were streaked onto fresh yeast–peptone–dextrose plates and grown overnight. The cells on the plates were then permeabilized using 10-12 ml of chloroform per plate. After 10 min, the chloroform was poured off, and the colonies were dried. Then 1% agarose in 25 ml of 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) was prepared and melted. After cooling, 500 μl of 20 mg/ml X-gal in DMSO was added and mixed thoroughly. The X-gal–containing agarose was overlaid onto the colonies. The plates were incubated at 30°C, and the coloration was checked every 30 min. The positive interactions in the X-gal overlay assays were then quantified by measuring β-galactosidase activity in the plate (Laporte et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2013).
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