Strong Influence of Baseline Respiration in an Oligotrophic Coastal Ecosystem
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Respiration is a key metabolic process in the marine environment and contemporary phytoplankton production (PhP) is commonly assumed the main driver. However, respiration in the absence of contemporary PhP, termed baseline respiration, can influence the energetics of an ecosystem and its sensitivity to hypoxia. Direct studies of baseline respiration are currently lacking. This study aims to obtain a first estimate of baseline respiration in a sub-arctic estuary and determine its contribution to plankton community respiration. Three approaches used to define baseline respiration determined the average rate to be 4.1 ± 0.1 (SE) mmol O₂ m⁻³ d⁻¹. A hypsographic model at the basin scale accounting for seasonal variation estimated an annual contribution of 30% baseline respiration to planktonic respiration. There was no correlation between plankton respiration and PhP, but a significant linear dependence was found with the total carbon supply from phytoplankton and riverine input. The sum of dissolved organic carbon transported by rivers, provided by both benthic and pelagic algae, could sustain 69% of the annual plankton respiration, of which as much as 25% occurred during winter. However, only 32% of the winter season respiration was explained, indicating that unknown carbon sources exist during the winter. Nitrification had a negligible (≤2.4%) effect on baseline respiration in the system. The results show that baseline respiration accounted for a significant percentage of coastal plankton respiration when allochthonous sources dominated the carbon supply, weakening the respiration-to- PhP relationship.
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INTRODUCTION

The presence of sufficient oxygen is essential for maintaining healthy aquatic ecosystems. The availability of oxygen is largely set by the balance between primary production and respiration, solubility of oxygen and its transport with water currents (Oschlies et al., 2018). Increasing our understanding of this balance is crucial for improving estimations of CO₂ exchange between aquatic systems and the atmosphere as well as for improving sustainable management of marine environments, especially in light of continuous climate change, eutrophication, and increasing hypoxia worldwide (Cubasch et al., 2001; Diaz and Rosenberg, 2008; Conley et al., 2011).
Respiration rates in marine carbon cycle models are typically assumed to depend on the supply of organic carbon from primary producers, temperature, and oxygen concentration (e.g., Robinson, 2008; Bendtsen and Hansen, 2013; Du and Shen, 2015). However, the strength of this relationship in different types of aquatic environments subject to management is still unclear (del Giorgio and Williams, 2005).

Respiration in lakes and rivers can be supported both by autochthonous carbon derived from primary production, and allochthonous carbon from terrestrial sources (del Giorgio et al., 1999; Prairie et al., 2002; Karlsson et al., 2007; McCallister and del Giorgio, 2008). This is also the case in estuaries where allochthonous carbon inputs from rivers can support respiration and bacterial production, decoupling respiration from autochthonous primary production (Preen and Kirchman, 2004; Sandberg et al., 2004). Results from a global compilation of respiration and primary production estimates show a direct relationship between respiration and primary production (based on a log-log scale, Duarte and Agustí, 1998). In addition, a study of Chesapeake Bay shows that respiration is predominately supported by phytoplankton generated organic carbon, with only minor contribution of allochthonous carbon (Smith and Kemp, 2001). Both studies are markedly influenced by environments with high primary production rates (the former also benthic production rates). However, neither of them resolve the relationship at low values in oligotrophic water bodies, which are common in many marine environments where pelagic production dominates (Table 1).

Baseline respiration (R_{bl}) is the respiration driven by carbon sources other than contemporary primary production. It is defined as “steady consumption of organic matter that is relatively independent of the contemporary primary production” (del Giorgio and Williams, 2005). However, the time scale of the cited “contemporary primary production” is not defined. A tentative scale for contemporary may be constrained within the typical period for respiration measurements of 24 h mainly due to methodological reasons. The carbon that sustains R_{bl} can thus be old autochthonous carbon (more than a day), including recycled biomass, as well as allochthonous carbon at any time scale, according to the current definition.

Growth-related respiration and maintenance respiration are both integral parts of R_{bl} and are influenced by carbon supply and temperature (Pirt, 1982; Robinson, 2000; Hopkinson and Smith, 2005; Apple et al., 2006; Vikström and Wikner, 2018). The growth-related portion of R_{bl} is different from other growth-related respiration by its dependence on non-contemporary primary production or allochthonous carbon. Bacterial and protozoan respiration are major contributors to aquatic oxygen consumption and are expected to markedly contribute to R_{bl} (Robinson and Williams, 2005). A recent estimate showed that bacterial maintenance contributed 58% to the annual bacterial respiration in an estuary, which could be a significant part of R_{bl} (Vikström and Wikner, 2018). Protozoa and mesozooplankton can contribute to R_{bl} by maintenance respiration, but also growth-related respiration when based on allochthonous carbon.

On a theoretical level, R_{bl} is important for ecosystem sensitivity to eutrophication as it maintains a continuous oxygen consumption throughout the year. Thus, a relatively high R_{bl} implies an increased risk of hypoxia even at moderate levels of eutrophication by further increasing respiration of contemporary and, to some extent, non-contemporary carbon sources. On the other hand, there is also a risk that a reduction in nutrient inputs to an ecosystem with significant R_{bl} have a limited effect on oxygen consumption due to a high amount of latent carbon. Latent is referring to allochthonous and non-contemporary autochthonous carbon. Therefore, it is important to determine the R_{bl} rate and contribution in an ecosystem and defining the response model for respiration at different carbon productivity levels.

The microbial process of nitrification yields energy from the oxidation of ammonium to nitrite and of nitrite to nitrate consuming O_2, and simultaneously CO_2 as an inorganic carbon source (Ward, 2008). The process consumes oxygen decoupled from primary production and can contribute to R_{bl}. Using Redfield stoichiometry, previous studies estimated the contribution of nitrification to community respiration to be 1–58% (Lipschultz et al., 1986; Berounsky and Nixon, 1993; Grundle and Juniper, 2011; Hsiao et al., 2014). To our knowledge, no such estimates exist for its contribution to R_{bl}.

The R_{bl} rate for the marine environment is currently unknown. To estimate R_{bl} in a water body, one may use the winter season in the temperate climate zone, as pelagic photosynthesis would be close to zero, especially if ice cover is present (Andersson et al., 1996). However, R_{bl} probably occurs during other seasons and can then be challenging to determine, as it becomes more difficult to distinguish contemporary primary production from older carbon pools. Environments without net primary production, such as deep aphotic water, would be consistent with the current definition for R_{bl}. However, this requires the application of a reasonable time frame for defining sedimentation of contemporary phytoplankton carbon production. In addition, R_{bl} may vary over seasons as the relative distribution of carbon between contemporary and latent sources varies seasonally or even annually.

In this study, we analyze a 3-year data set of plankton respiration (R_{p}) as well as potential factors influencing Rp such as phytoplankton production (PhP), dissolved

| Environment | Primary production (g O_2 m^{-2} d^{-1}) | Reference's |
|-------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| Aquatic pelagic and benthic | 0.04 - 14 | Duarte and Agustí, 1998 |
| Coastal pelagic | 0.3 - 2 | Valela, 1995 |
| Open sea pelagic | 0.03 - 0.7 | Valela, 1995 |
| Öre Estuary | 0.02 - 0.1 | Wikner and Andersson, 2012 |

Values reported in carbon units were transformed to oxygen units by multiplication with the respiration quotient 1.25 for direct comparison with Figure 2 in Duarte and Agustí (1998).
organic carbon (DOC), nitrification, riverine inflow, and total organic carbon (TOC) encompassing a full annual cycle in a sub-arctic estuary. Our main objectives were to investigate the $R_{bl}$ rate and the annual contribution of $R_{bl}$ to $R_p$. Additionally, we investigate if riverine organic carbon can account for $R_{bl}$ during winter and if oxygen consumption via nitrification represent a significant part of $R_{bl}$. We discuss the results in the context of ecosystem sensitivity to eutrophication, the development of hypoxia and the potential of nutrient reduction to counteract this environmental status.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Area and Sampling**

Water samples were collected from two stations in the Öre estuary located in the northern part of the Baltic Sea. The estuary has a mean depth of 10 m, with a maximum depth of 35 m, and an area of approximately 71 km$^2$ (SMHI, 2003). The average salinity over the years studied was 4.3, ranging from 2.5 to 5.9 depending on river inflow. The oligotrophic and heterotrophic estuary is phosphorus limited (Zweifel et al., 1995). The estuary is also influenced by allochthonous carbon inputs via the humic-rich Öre River (average DOC concentration 948 $\mu$mol dm$^{-3}$), with a mean discharge of 36 m$^3$ s$^{-1}$ forming a river plume that is 2–3 m deep during the spring flood, while the estuary is otherwise well mixed most of the year (Forsgren and Jansson, 1993). The water residence time is approximately 10 days, which is calculated using sea level changes, local wind speed, the density profile at the border of the estuary, and river discharge (Engqvist, 1993; SMHI, 2003). Sampling was conducted in conjunction with national marine monitoring survey, alternating the samplings between the two monitoring stations B3 (N63° 29.98 E19° 49.14, WGS84) and B7 (N63° 31.50 E19° 48.49, WGS84) from 2014 to 2016 (Figure 1). The sampling frequency of the estuary was 16–18 year$^{-1}$ during the study period. Four depths (1, 5, 15, and 20 m) were sampled for $R_p$ during each sampling event, and additional variables such as bacterial, phytoplankton, and zooplankton abundance, DOC in the pelagic, photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) and CTD hydrography were determined using the OPTOCS method with Aanderaa 4330 oxygen optodes™ with titanium housing (Vikström et al., 2018). Glass bottles with a volume of 1 dm$^3$ were carefully filled with sample water, sealed by specially designed stoppers with attached optodes, and incubated at in situ temperatures in the dark using thermostat-controlled water baths ($\pm0.1^{\circ}$C, Julabo ED, Julabo 13) equipped with an immersion cooler (Julabo FT 200). The decrease in oxygen concentration was measured with a sampling rate of 1 min$^{-1}$ over 24 h. Non-linear time series were derived at 1 h from the start of the incubation (3 h from sampling) to obtain rates close to the natural environment (see Vikström et al., 2018). The detection limit for this method was 0.97 mmol O$_2$ m$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$ for individual optode measurements.

**Dissolved Organic Carbon**

In the marine environment, DOC was measured after 0.2 $\mu$m filtration and the expunging of volatile compounds in HCl-acidified samples (Suzuki et al., 1992; Norman, 1993). The DOC concentration was measured with nondispersive infrared (NDIR) detection and a TOC-L instrument (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan). Riverine inflow rates and corresponding TOC rates were retrieved and used to calculate riverine TOC inflow (RTOC) from the Swedish Agricultural University database (SLU, 2017).

**Plankton Respiration**

Dark incubation respiration measurements were conducted using the OPTOCS method with Aanderaa 4330 oxygen optodes™ with titanium housing (Vikström et al., 2018). Glass bottles with a volume of 1 dm$^3$ were carefully filled with sample water, sealed by specially designed stoppers with attached optodes, and incubated at in situ temperatures in the dark using thermostat-controlled water baths ($\pm0.1^{\circ}$C, Julabo ED, Julabo 13) equipped with an immersion cooler (Julabo FT 200). The decrease in oxygen concentration was measured with a sampling rate of 1 min$^{-1}$ over 24 h. Non-linear time series were derived at 1 h from the start of the incubation (3 h from sampling) to obtain rates close to the natural environment (see Vikström et al., 2018). The detection limit for this method was 0.97 mmol O$_2$ m$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$ for individual optode measurements.

**Nitrification**

Samples for nitrification rates were taken in April 2015 and August 2015 at stations spanning from the river mouth of the Öre River into the Öre estuary (Figure 1). Nitrification rates from the aphotic bottom water were determined using the $^{15}$N-H$_4^+$ tracer incubation method (described in detail by Bartl et al., 2019) and were converted to oxygen consumption by applying a stoichiometric ratio of 2 mol oxygen consumed per 1 mol nitrogen oxidized from ammonium to nitrate (Eqs 4 and 5).

$$\text{NH}_4^+ + 1.5 \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{NO}_2^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{H}^+ \quad (1)$$

$$\text{NO}_2^- + 0.5 \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{NO}_3^- \quad (2)$$

**Phytoplankton Production and $^{14}$C Incorporation Detection Limit**

Phytoplankton production was measured by $^{14}$CO$_3^-$ uptake according to the Helcom COMBINE guidelines, following an international method comparison (Richardson, 1991) and validation of the incubator method by Riegman et al. (1990). Briefly, samples from the mixed phototrophic layer were collected with a 10 m hose (inner ø 25 mm, Lindahl, 1986) at stations B3 and B7, gently distributed by gravity force to a black polyethylene container and then distributed to 11 cell-culture flasks (50 cm$^{-2}$) with varying light shading (0–100%, Hydro-bios™). NaH$^{14}$CO$_3$ (DHI Water & Environment) with a specific activity of 2.1 mCi mmol$^{-1}$ was added (64 mm$^{-3}$), and the samples were incubated for 3 h at 625 $\mu$E m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ maximum internal flask light intensity (LiCor TL33 sensor,

---
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FIGURE 1 | Map of the Öre estuary and sampling stations. Filled circles show the environmental monitoring stations B7 and B3 used for the majority of measurements. Open circles show the stations for the nitrification measurements. The insert show an overview of the Baltic Sea.

100% transmittance flask) and in situ temperature. Incubations were stopped by putting samples in a dark box and adding HCl (300 mm$^{-3}$, 5 mol dm$^{-3}$). Excess $^{14}$CO$_2$ was expunged by evaporation 30 min before measuring disintegrations per minute (dpm) using a calibrated and quench-corrected liquid scintillation analyzer TriCarb 2910TR (Perkin-Elmer, Singapore). The uptake of CO$_2$ was calculated from fixed $^{14}$CO$_2$ in the whole water and the concentration of CO$_2$ in situ according to Andersson et al. (1996). The CO$_2$ uptake as a function of light irradiance (PAR) in the flasks was fitted to a hyperbolic tangent function to calculate the photosynthetic maximum ($P_{\text{max}}$, £mol C dm$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$) and the maximum light utilization coefficient [$a_{P/I}$, £mol C dm$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$ (µE m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)$^{-1}$] (Jassby and Platt, 1976). The in situ uptake of CO$_2$ ($P_{\text{CO}_2}$, £mol C dm$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$) was calculated at each depth and hour using Eq. 3, using the obtained coefficients and PAR-irradiance at each depth ($I_z$, Beer's law, reflectance coefficient 0.82).

$$P_{\text{CO}_2} = P_{\text{max}} \times \tanh \left( \frac{a_{P/I} \times I_z}{P_{\text{max}}} \right)$$

(3)

Equation 3 thus estimates CO$_2$ fixation on a volumetric dimension. Integrating the rates for each hour over 24 h gives the daily rate for each depth. By further integrating the daily rate at each depth over the whole water column, the daily rate per m$^2$ is given.
The incubator method is within 5% of the estimates based on incubating samples on a rope in situ (Riemann and Colijn, 1991).

We have not found a published detection limit for the $^{14}$C incorporation method. Therefore, the detection limit for the $^{14}$C method was derived as the median of the extended uncertainty (Sokal and Rohlf, 2012) according to Eq. 4, using the standard error of $\alpha_{P/I}$, $P_{\text{max}}$, $I_z$ (SE$_{I_z}$, i.e., extinction coefficient), coefficient of variation for global irradiation (CV$_{IG}$) and scintillation counter (CV$_{dpm}$). These factors were assumed to be the major contributors to the measurement uncertainty, and resulted in a detection limit of 0.1 mmol m$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$.

Estimated Baseline Respiration

We used seasons according to the meteorological definitions for northern Sweden. Winter was the period between November to March, spring between April to May, summer between June to August and autumn the period between September to October. To apply the $R_{bl}$ concept, we defined contemporary primary production as PhP measured on the same day as $R_{bl}$, a similar time scale as used by Carvalho et al. (2017). The $R_{bl}$ rate was estimated by using different approaches to determine when PhP was negligible (i.e., below the detection limit), and thus, $R_{p} \approx R_{bl}$ according to the definition by del Giorgio and Williams (2005).

In the first approach (1) $R_{bl}$ was determined as the median $R_{p}$ during the winter season, showing negligible PhP, in accordance to the current concept. In this approach $R_{bl}$ was assumed to remain constant over the whole year. The second approach (2) used the $^{14}$C method detection limit over all seasons to determine when PhP was negligible. $R_{bl}$ was then determined as the median $R_{p}$ in all the samples below the $^{14}$C detection limit, including the whole water column. The third approach (3) used the compensation depth ($I_{z}$), defined as 1% of the incoming light in the surface water ($I_{0}$), as a methodologically independent criterion to constrain $R_{bl}$ (Valiela, 1995). Photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) profiles with depth (binning by 0.1 m) at both stations were used to calculate the depth of the 1% light level for each month (except December, as the low light level did not allow for this analysis). The PAR light at 0.3 m was used as $I_{z}$ to ensure that the light sensor was fully submerged even during harsh weather conditions. The median $R_{p}$ rate below the calculated compensation depth again defined $R_{bl}$ (Table 2). A fourth approach (4) used a regression analysis between $R_{p}$ and PhP on the 3-year data set as an independent variable, defining $R_{p}$ at the detection level for PhP as $R_{bl}$. We applied a model II regression with a major axis as loss function to derive the coefficients. Due to a weak correlation with PhP, we assessed the approach as uncertain, and not used for further analysis. We would like to note that approach 2 and 3 account for seasonal variability in $R_{bl}$ when present.

| Method                      | $R_{bl}$ | SE  | $R_{bl}$/$R_{p}$ | $R_{bl}$ definition |
|-----------------------------|----------|-----|-----------------|---------------------|
| Winter respiration          | 4.2      | 0.48| 76%             | November to March values |
| $^{14}$C detection limit    | 4.1      | 0.18| 30%             | PhP < $^{14}$C detection limit |
| Compensation depth          | 4.0      | 0.30| 47%             | Sample depth > compensation depth |

**TABLE 2 | The rate of baseline respiration using different approaches for its estimation.**

Annual Contribution of Baseline Respiration to Pelagic Respiration

To estimate the contribution of $R_{bl}$ to $R_{p}$ at the water body and annual scales, we applied a model based on a hypsographic map over the estuary accounting for variability in $R_{p}$ and PhP over both seasons and depth. Here, basin values for PhP, RTOC load, and estuarine DOC, as well as $R_{bl}$ (according to each approach) and $R_{p}$, were calculated by trapezoidal integration over depth for each sampling time and the sum of all grids in the basin. Integration of each variable over time formed a seasonal or annual basin estimate. Subsequently, the estimation of the relative contribution of $R_{bl}$ to $R_{p}$ was derived from these values. RTOC, DOC, and PhP were used to estimate to what extent these measured carbon sources supplied the observed respiration rates with carbon (see section “Carbon Supply of Baseline Respiration”).

The hypsographic map was formed by using bathymetrical depth measurement data from the Swedish Geological Survey (SGU), which were converted into line shapes using ArcGIS (Environmental Systems Research Institute). These line shapes were converted to polygons that included the depth attributes measured. A three-dimensional hypsographic map was formed by applying the geoprocessing tool in ArcGIS using the triangulated irregular network (TIN) conversion with a scaling of $0.3 \times 0.3$ m. The estuary was divided into a fishnet grid ($n = 283; 500 \times 500$ m squares, $\sim 71 \text{ km}^2$ total estuary area) overlaying the hypsographic raster map, referred to as a depth grid. The median bottom depth within each square, calculated in QGIS (Open Source Geographical Information System), was the maximum depth used for the trapezoidal integration of the variables. The calculation steps described above were performed using Python (X, Y) with the Scipy, Numpy, and Pandas modules installed (Van Rossum, 1995). The different approaches defined above resulted in an annual estimate of $R_{bl}$ at the basin scale.

Carbon Supply of Baseline Respiration

We compiled the carbon sources measured and literature values to test whether the estimated $R_{p}$, and thus $R_{bl}$, rate was plausible. The RTOC load was calculated as the concentration of RTOC multiplied by the river flow rate and was assumed to
mix homogenously throughout the estuary within each season and year. The RTOC concentration discharged divided by the total volume \((7.7 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3)\) of the estuary represented the volumetric load of allochthonous carbon, and divided by area \((7.1 \times 10^7 \text{ m}^2)\) represented the area specific RTOC flux. Flocculation was not accounted for, as earlier studies have shown that flocculation is a minor loss process in the Öresund Estuary (Forsgren and Jansson, 1993; Sandberg et al., 2004). For benthic littoral primary production, spring, and summer values in Ask et al. (2016) were used and scaled up to the whole estuary, assuming that 50% of this production eventually ends up in the pelagic zone. Finally, all carbon sources were summed to estimate the carbon availability \((C_{av})\). Eighty-five percent of the RTOC load was assumed to be bioavailable based on estimates from the literature (Bertilsson et al., 1999; Sandberg et al., 2004; Aarnos et al., 2012). Eighty percent of the carbon from PhP was further assumed to supply \(R_p\) on the timescale of seasons and years, while the remaining fraction was buried in sediments or transported off-shore (cf. Sandberg et al., 2004).

**RESULTS**

**Production, Respiration and Compensation Depth in the Ecosystem**

The \(R_p\) and PhP time series were not normally distributed, and non-parametric tests were therefore applied (Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, \(p < 0.01\)). The rates of both \(R_p\) and PhP did not differ between the two stations (Mann–Whitney U test, \(p > 0.05\)). Therefore, the stations were averaged and assumed to be representative of the whole estuary in further analyses. However, the rates of both \(R_p\) and PhP differed between seasons (Mann–Whitney U test \(p < 0.01\)).

Phytoplankton production was at an average of 0.9 mmol C m\(^{-3}\) d\(^{-1}\) throughout the 3 years and a maximum of 10.9 mmol C m\(^{-3}\) d\(^{-1}\). \(R_p\) exceeded PhP throughout the 3-year period except in 3% of the total samples, assuming a respiration quotient \((RQ)\) of 1. \(R_p\) increased from the initiation of the spring bloom in late April to early May, with a range of 6–11 mmol O\(_2\) m\(^{-3}\) d\(^{-1}\). Both \(R_p\) and PhP peaked during the spring season in the surface layer (7.7 mmol O\(_2\) m\(^{-3}\) d\(^{-1}\) and 2.9 mmol C m\(^{-3}\) d\(^{-1}\), respectively). PhP was higher in the autumn than in the winter (Mann–Whitney U test, \(p < 0.01\)) when values were below the detection limit (Figures 2, 3).

In contrast, \(R_p\) did not differ between autumn and winter (Mann–Whitney U test, \(p > 0.05\)). The integrated PhP (ranging from 275 to 1889 mmol C m\(^{-2}\) season\(^{-1}\)) supported 3–29% of the seasonal \(R_p\), (ranging from 2202 to 8806 mmol C m\(^{-2}\) season\(^{-1}\)) with a higher share during the spring and summer months. No coupled dynamics between phytoplankton-produced carbon and \(R_p\) could be seen, possibly dependent on the applied sampling frequency (Figure 2). Furthermore, the weak seasonal dynamics observed at depths ≥15 m may suggest, although not conclusively, rapid consumption and distribution of contemporary photosynthetically derived carbon in the first few meters of the water column.

**Baseline Respiration and Annual Contribution to Pelagic Respiration**

The \(R_{bl}\) rates estimated by three different and accepted approaches (Winter time \(R_p\), \(^{14}\)C detection limit and compensation depth) did not differ significantly from each other in terms of their 95% confidence intervals (Table 2). They had an average of 4.1 mmol O\(_2\) m\(^{-3}\) d\(^{-1}\) with an SE of ±0.1.

Absolute values of PhP and \(R_p\) showed no significant relationship over the study period (linear regression, \(p > 0.05\) \(r^2 = 0.01\)) with or without values below the detection limit. Natural logarithm transformed values above the detection limits improved the relationship, but with a low correlation coefficient \((r = 0.33, p = 0.005, \text{Figure 3})\). Using the \(^{14}\)C method detection limit of 0.1 mmol C m\(^{-3}\) d\(^{-1}\) \([\ln (\text{PhP}) = -2.3]\) to derive a baseline value showed a statistically significant \(R_{bl}\) of 1.9 mmol O\(_2\) m\(^{-3}\) d\(^{-1}\). Upper and lower 95% confidence limits were 1.3 and 2.5 mmol O\(_2\) m\(^{-3}\) d\(^{-1}\), respectively \((n = 70)\). Due to the imposed transformation and poor correlation, we excluded this estimate from further calculations (e.g., Table 2).

In the winter season, the majority of the respiration values \((78%)\) occurred below the detection limit of PhP by the \(^{14}\)C method. However, a large share of the \(R_p\) measurements during other seasons also coincided with PhP-values below the estimated \(^{14}\)C detection limit. Using the median values from the meteorologically defined winter season (November–March) resulted in an \(R_{bl}\) of 4.2 mmol O\(_2\) m\(^{-3}\) d\(^{-1}\) (Table 2). This value corresponded to an annual contribution of 76% from \(R_{bl}\) to the annual \(R_p\), assuming the estimated \(R_{bl}\) (i.e., winter season) to be the same throughout the whole year and throughout the water column. In contrast to a constant rate of \(R_{bl}\), the second and third approaches allowed for a more dynamic estimate of \(R_{bl}\) over seasons where productivity and temperature changed. The second approach used the detection limit of the \(^{14}\)C method \((0.1 \text{ mmol C m}^{-3} \text{d}^{-1})\) as the criterion for estimating baseline respiration. This resulted in a median \(R_p\) of 4.1 mmol O\(_2\) m\(^{-3}\) d\(^{-1}\). Using only the \(R_p\) values occurring below the \(^{14}\)C detection limit in the hypsographic depth grid resulted in an annual contribution from a baseline of 30% to \(R_p\) (Table 2). The third strategy to estimate \(R_{bl}\) used the compensation depth level ranging between 8.8 and 11 m with an average of 9.3 m. Using the hypsographic model and defining the \(R_p\) values below the compensation depth as \(R_{bl}\) resulted in similar rates as those of the previous two approaches \((4.0 \text{ mmol O}_2 \text{m}^{-3} \text{d}^{-1} \text{and 47\% of annual } R_p)\).

**Relationship of Respiration vs. Major Carbon Input**

Plotting the sum of the major carbon sources RTOC and PhP vs. \(R_p\) in a linear regression analysis showed a significant linear relationship \((r^2 = 0.38 \text{ and } p < 0.001 \text{ n} = 46)\).

\[
R_p = 75 (±8.1) + 0.60 (±0.12) \times \sum \text{PhP, RDOC} 
\]

The values in parentheses are the standard error. The regression analysis excluded five points as outliers, based on the Cook’s distance \(D_i\) values greater than 3 times the average.
Carbon Supply to Baseline Respiration

To verify that the measured carbon sources could supply the comparably high $R_p$ relative to PhP in the estuary, respiration rates were converted from oxygen to carbon assuming a RQ of 1 (del Giorgio and Williams, 2005). The annual average carbon consumption was 20 370 mmol C m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$ (corresponding to 5.1 µmol dm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$ on average), using the two full years (Table 3). The annual RTOC load from the Öre River was the largest measured carbon input to the estuary (10,929 mmol C m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$, 85% utilized), while PhP was clearly a lower carbon source (3198, 80% utilized mmol C m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$). The annual carbon sources available within the estuary supplied 69% of the annual $R_p$ carbon demand. The net change in DOC in the estuary for the winter seasons was not included in the
Rate of Baseline Respiration

In this study, we estimated, for the first time, the baseline respiration and its contribution to annual $R_p$ within a water body. The median $R_{bl}$ rates of the different methods applied were similar to each other (Table 2) and averaged 4.1 mmol O$_2$ m$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$ (±SE 0.1 mmol O$_2$ m$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$). The winter season estimate is the closest to the original definition of $R_{bl}$ due to low light irradiance and low temperatures in this season, leading to a negligible rate of PhP (sensu del Giorgio and Williams, 2005). However, this approach relies on the characteristic winter conditions of temperate climate zones, which may be unsuitable to apply in other environments. As this approach assumes $R_{bl}$ stable over seasons and depths, it also neglects seasonal variability in $R_{bl}$.

Measurements of pelagic respiration during winter are rare in the literature and were unexpectedly high in the studied estuary (4.2 mmol O$_2$ m$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$), most likely due to the high influence of allochthonous carbon (Table 3). Since the winter season spans a large part of the year in the region, it contributed a considerable share to the annual $R_p$ (25%). The winter $R_p$ rates observed were similar to those in the Chesapeake Bay, which has a reported value of 4.7 mmol O$_2$ m$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$ (Du and Shen, 2015), indicative of a similar level of $R_{bl}$ despite some PhP still present in that system during winter. In contrast, Smith and Kemp (2001) did not report the influence of allochthonous carbon in the Chesapeake Bay, possibly due to a data set covering only April to November omitting the lowest rates. However, the winter respiration observed in our study was less than half the rate in a coastal Mediterranean site with similar oligotrophic conditions.
limit for significant PhP (i.e., 1% of $I_{20}$) and is methodologically independent of the rate measurements, which is beneficial but requires that light measurements are available for the photic zone. We inferred that the similar values obtained when using the detection limit and compensation depth approaches to the winter values provided confidence in our $R_{bl}$ estimate (Table 2).

Estimating baseline respiration by the y-intercept of the PhP vs. $R_p$ plot requires a strong relationship between these variables. In our study, PhP showed a significant relationship to $R_p$ only when both variables were ln-transformed, with a still low correlation coefficient (Figure 3). Ln-transformation means that we cannot derive a y-intercept, as a power function asymptotically approach infinity, and therefore not claim to properly apply the y-intercept approach. Our assumption to derive $R_{bl}$ at the detection limit for PhP by back-transformation, add subjectivity to the estimate and increase uncertainty. This combined with the low r of 0.33 led us to remove the estimate from further calculations. The low influence of PhP was also manifested by a low contribution (19%) to the yearly $R_p$ carbon demand, while the allochthonous RTOC load supplied three times as much carbon (Table 3). Therefore, the PhP vs. $R_p$ approach is more applicable in environments with relatively high supply of autochthonous production and a low influence of allochthonous organic carbon. Consequently, despite the common assumption of a marked coupling between PhP and $R_p$, it was poor in this particular system and we cannot apply a regression on the linear scale to derive $R_{bl}$. Thus, we advocate using the PhP detection limit or compensation depth as more generally applicable strategies, including also ecosystems where no clear low productive season is expected. As a result, the data presented in Table 3 were based on the $^{14}$C detection limit approach. The applied approaches encompass independent criteria and quantities to constrain the spatiotemporal space where baseline respiration is probable, allowing for a first estimate of $R_{bl}$ relevant for management strategies, as discussed below in section “Managing Hypoxia at Low Productivity.” Nevertheless, the lack of a firm division between contemporary and non-contemporary primary production still leaves some uncertainty in the estimate of $R_{bl}$ by all approaches.

### Significant Contribution of Baseline Respiration

Studying the annual contribution of $R_{bl}$ to $R_p$ required an upscaling of respiration rates to the level of the whole estuary (i.e., basin level). We used a hypsographic map and a fishnet grid to
account for the variable influence of both \( R_p \) and PhP with depth in the estuary. Although we lack observations in all individual squares of the fishnet grid, the two stations sampled, 1.7 km apart, were not significantly different from each other, providing some confidence that the respiration upscaling was representative of the whole estuary. A high contribution of \( R_{bd} \) to \( R_p \) implies a greater sensitivity of an ecosystem to hypoxia, which is important knowledge to support sustainable coastal management and ecosystem health. The winter season approach resulted in an annual contribution of \( R_{bd} \) to \( R_p \) of 76%, assuming constant \( R_{bd} \) throughout the year. However, a constant \( R_{bd} \) over depth and season was not obvious, as the contribution from contemporary productivity varies. The \( ^{14}C \) detection limit and the compensation depth approaches, including seasonal and depth variation in \( R_{bd} \), resulted in 30 and 47% contribution from \( R_{bd} \) to \( R_p \), respectively, indicating a lower annual \( R_{bd} \) contribution than the conservative winter season approach. The average of the two recommended approaches resulted in an annual contribution of \( R_{bd} \) to \( R_p \) of approximately 40%, indicating that \( R_{bd} \) is an important contributor to \( R_p \) in this sub-arctic estuary (Table 3). This is in line with the results of Carvalho et al. (2017), who estimated that 55% of \( R_p \) in oceanic surface waters was driven by carbon older than 24 h (i.e., corresponding to \( R_{bd} \)).

**Baseline Respiration Carbon Supply**

The available carbon sources supplied 69% of the annual \( R_p \) demand, assuming that 85% of riverine RTOC and 80% of PhP-derived C were utilized within the year (Table 3). An assumed annual utilization of 85% RTOC may seem high but agree with observations of increased bioavailability of riverine carbon due to photochemical reactions and increased salinity (Bertilsson et al., 1999; Wikner et al., 1999). Bertilsson et al. (1999) showed that when irradiating RTOC for 12 h, bacteria could utilize 72% of riverine carbon, and Aarnos et al. (2012) estimated that 75% of the incoming riverine carbon were metabolized by bacterioplankton. Furthermore, Sandberg et al. (2004) showed that all of the allochthonous carbon entering the Gulf of Bothnia must be utilized to support the respiration of the system on an annual timescale.

Sandberg et al. (2004) calculated a bacterial respiration rate from bacterial community growth, assuming a bacterial growth efficiency (BGE) of 30%, resulting in one fifth of our measured annual \( R_p \) (Table 3). Current estimates of BGE from this area show values three times lower, suggesting that the respiration in Sandberg et al. (2004) was underestimated (Wikner et al., 1999; del Giorgio et al., 2011; Vikström and Wikner, 2018). Assuming that bacterial respiration accounts for 50% of the total plankton respiration in their study, we calculated a similar \( R_p \) (18,600 mmol m\(^{-2}\) year\(^{-1}\)) as observed in that study (Table 3). Therefore, our direct measurements of plankton respiration and a better-constrained BGE value both suggest that most of the organic carbon entering the estuary is mineralized to CO\(_2\) on an annual scale.

The major carbon source for \( R_p \) at an annual scale was RTOC (54%) rather than PhP. This conclusion is still consistent with reports of 25% aggregation of the annual RTOC load, as the remaining part (75%) could be accounted for by respiration, and some aggregated carbon may also be mineralized in the water column or sediment (Forsgren and Jansson, 1992; Sandberg et al., 2004). Given the uncertainties related to both measurements, the up-scaling and the potential lack of some carbon sources in the available data, the overall uncertainty may approach tens of percent. With this in mind, a 69% coverage of the annual \( R_p \) is close to balancing the budget. The potential contribution from a net decrease in the marine DOC pool during winter was not included due to the low sampling frequency (Supplementary Figure 1). We therefore conclude that a 68% coverage of the annual \( R_p \) is realistic when the two most important carbon sources, PhP and RTOC are included. An exhaustive carbon budget for the estuary was not within the scope of this study.

The winter season, however, showed a larger carbon deficit of 68%, and thus, the RTOC discharge alone could not account for the measured \( R_{bd} \). A net decline in the marine DOC pool did not fully explain this deficit; as such a decline during winter should have been clearly detectable in the DOC data (Supplementary Figure 1). Instead, the existence of additional carbon sources, not measured during our study, is the most plausible explanation for the observed carbon deficit during the winter. Several potential sources of carbon need to be added or investigated in more detail for a more complete budget calculation. These sources include DOC exchange with the offshore environment (Engqvist, 1993), resuspension and DOC release of sediment organic matter (Brydenst, 1992), benthic primary production (Ask et al., 2016), and groundwater inputs of terrestrial carbon (Kwon et al., 2014).

We can currently not identify a plausible mechanism that could cause an overestimation of the respiration estimates. The \( R_p \) rates observed were well within the range (0.6–225 mmol m\(^{-3}\) d\(^{-1}\)) of published rates (e.g., Sherr and Sherr, 1996; Smith and Kemp, 2003; Preen and Kirchman, 2004; Apple et al., 2006; Caffrey et al., 2014; Carvalho et al., 2017). Wikner et al. (2013) further successfully validate the optode

---

**FIGURE 4** | Plankton respiration vs. the sum of phytoplankton production and river inflow of riverine organic carbon (RTOC). The linear relationship was significant \( (p < 0.001 \text{ and } r^2 = 0.38) \). See section "Results" for more details.
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FIGURE 5 | Conceptual figure depicting management of plankton respiration (R_p) indirectly through nutrient reductions (NR) affecting the level of primary production (PP). In ecosystems with significant baseline respiration (R_{bl}, e.g., with low productivity and high RTOC load), a limited share of oxygen consumption can be managed by nutrient reductions.

Managing Hypoxia at Low Productivity

We have demonstrated that baseline respiration driven by allochthonous carbon markedly influences oxygen consumption in a coastal water body. Consequently, oxygen consumption does not show a strong linear relationship with PhP, which has important implications for managing hypoxia (Figure 5). The manageable part of oxygen consumption by nutrient reduction is limited when R_{bl} significantly contributes to R_p and the primary production level is low in an ecosystem. In principle, the upper panel of Figure 5 corresponds to the lower panel during low productivity when approaching the origin. Therefore, it is vital for effective management of hypoxia driven by eutrophication to consider the actual scale of primary productivity and the resulting

Nitrification consumes oxygen when oxidizing ammonium to nitrate (Ward, 2008) and may thus be part of R_{bl}. Our results, however, show that nitrification rates in the bottom water of the estuary had a minor contribution (≤2.4%) to R_{bl}. Assuming that the observed rates (Bartl et al., 2018) were representative of the whole year, nitrification has a limited influence on the oxygen (including R_{bl}) and carbon budgets (i.e., CO_2 uptake) in this estuary. Taken together with the carbon sources presented above, our data show that the RTOC load is the major carbon source for R_p annually, while it is an insufficient source during the winter.

Weak Relationship at Low Productivity

Given the high contribution of allochthonous organic carbon to the carbon supply of this estuary, we hypothesized that the RTOC load combined with PhP could control the rate of R_p. The significant relationship obtained confirmed allochthonous organic carbon as an important source for R_p (Figure 4), as contemporary phytoplankton productivity alone was a poor predictor of R_p (Figure 3). In addition, this analysis again showed that a significant R_p also occurred during winter at the lowest carbon supply of the year. This is different from the linear relationship between PhP and R_p through the origin, often inferred from cross-ecosystem comparisons or corresponding large productivity ranges (Duarte and Agustí, 1998; Smith and Kemp, 2001). The productivity range for the Öre estuary (i.e., oligotrophic status) matched the lower end of these cross-ecosystem data sets well, corroborating their weaker relationship, and the positive y-intercept indicated at lower production values. The relatively low coefficient of determination showed that factors other than RTOC and PhP markedly influenced the rate of R_p (e.g., temperature, Robinson, 2008). The linear relationship between R_p and the combined RTOC and PhP was also consistent with the dependence of respiration on gross production for a freshwater lake at a corresponding productivity level as determined by del Giorgio and Williams (2005). Our results therefore show that also a coastal marine environment, represented by an oligotrophic site, can have a significant R_{bl}, mainly driven by contributions from allochthonous carbon sources.

Managing Hypoxia at Low Productivity

We have demonstrated that baseline respiration driven by allochthonous carbon markedly influences oxygen consumption in a coastal water body. Consequently, oxygen consumption does not show a strong linear relationship with PhP, which has important implications for managing hypoxia (Figure 5). The manageable part of oxygen consumption by nutrient reduction is limited when R_{bl} significantly contributes to R_p and the primary production level is low in an ecosystem. In principle, the upper panel of Figure 5 corresponds to the lower panel during low productivity when approaching the origin. Therefore, it is vital for effective management of hypoxia driven by eutrophication to consider the actual scale of primary productivity and the resulting...
response curve for respiration. Actions to limit discharge of nitrogen and phosphorus could reduce the pool of latent autochthonous carbon, while allochthonous organic carbon would be difficult to manage. Our results show that it is important to consider the input of allochthonous organic carbon and its contribution to ecosystem R_p for informed management decisions. However, at a high productivity level and negligible R_bl, nutrient reduction strategies remain effective (cf. Riemann et al., 2016), as R_p rates show a relatively stronger relationship to PhP (Duarte and Agustí, 1998; Smith and Kemp, 2001). Consequently, the functional response of R_p to PhP is important to know for effective management of a water body. Large marine environments, like the pelagic open and coastal sea areas, fall into the lower end of the marine productivity range (Table 1), potentially influenced by a non-linear relationship related to a significant R_bl. These environments also include sea areas commonly classified as eutrophic, such as the Baltic Sea.

The current definition of R_bl excludes contemporary primary carbon production as a source but allows for latent primary production (C_lat) and allochthonous carbon to support R_bl (del Giorgio and Williams, 2005). This definition is justified when the aim is to study the potential of primary production to supply respiration measured at a relatively short time scale (i.e., 24 h, c.f. Williams et al., 2004). For R_bl to be an applicable tool for environmental management, operating on longer time scales, baseline respiration should be free from the influence of autochthonous carbon manageable by nutrient reduction strategies. That C_lat will drive R_bl at a longer time scale, according to the original concept, is irrelevant in the management context. Allochthonous organic carbon is thus the only source not related to autochthonous production within the system. For managing coastal zones, we therefore propose to set R_bl equal to respiration driven by allochthonous organic carbon. Accounting for R_bl driven by allochthonous organic carbon when assessing actions to counteract hypoxia, is therefore important for optimizing management strategies and applying reduction measures where they are most effective.
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