Experimental realization of strong effective magnetic fields in optical superlattice potentials
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Abstract We present the experimental generation of large effective magnetic fields for ultracold atoms using photon-assisted tunneling in an optical superlattice. The underlying method does not rely on the internal structure of the atoms and, therefore, constitutes a general approach to realize widely tunable artificial gauge fields without the drawbacks of near-resonant optical potentials. When hopping in the lattice, the accumulated phase shift by an atom is equivalent to the Aharonov–Bohm phase of a charged particle exposed to a staggered magnetic field of large magnitude, on the order of one flux quantum per plaquette. We study the ground state of this system and observe that the frustration induced by the magnetic field can lead to a degenerate ground state for non-interacting particles. We provide a local measurement of the phase acquired by single particles due to photon-assisted tunneling. Furthermore, the quantum cyclotron orbit of single atoms in the lattice exposed to the effective magnetic field is directly revealed.

1 Introduction

The application of strong magnetic fields to two-dimensional electron gases has led to the discovery of seminal quantum many-body phenomena, such as the integer and fractional quantum Hall effect [1, 2]. Ultracold atoms constitute a unique experimental system for studying such systems in a clean and well-controlled environment and for exploring new physical regimes, not attainable in typical condensed matter systems [3–5]. However, charge neutrality of atoms prevents direct application of the Lorentz force with a magnetic field. An equivalent effect can be provided by the Coriolis force in a rotating atomic gas, which leads to the formation of quantized vortices in a Bose–Einstein condensate [6, 7]. The regime of fast rotation, in which the atomic gas occupies the lowest Landau level, was achieved in Refs. [8, 9], but the amplitude of the effective gauge field remained too small to enter the strongly correlated regime that requires a number of vortices on the order of the particle number [3, 10]. An alternative route consists in applying Raman lasers to the gas to realize a Berry’s phase for a moving particle [11, 12]. The effective gauge fields generated in such a setup resulted in the observation of a few vortices, but were still far from the strong-field regime.

Ultracold atoms in optical lattice potentials seem to constitute a promising system to enter the high-field...
regime. This has been shown in several theoretical proposals [13–15], which are based on the coupling between the motional degree of freedom and the internal structure of the atoms. The main challenge in lattice systems is to engineer complex tunneling amplitudes that are spatially dependent, \( K = |K|e^{i\phi(r)} \). This representation of the effect of a vector potential is known as the Peierls substitution, where \( \phi(r) \) is the Peierls phase [16, 17]. Recently, tunable Peierls phases have been realized experimentally in an effective 1D “Zeeman lattice” using a combination of radio-frequency and Raman coupling [18] and in periodically driven one-dimensional lattices [19].

In this Letter, we describe the creation of effective staggered magnetic fields for ultracold atoms in a two-dimensional optical lattice. The method we use is closely related to the proposal by Jaksch and Zoller [13] and subsequent work [14]. However, it does not rely on the internal structure of the atom. We make use of the well-known phenomenon of photon-assisted tunneling [20–23], as proposed by Kolovsky [24], using a pair of far-detuned running-wave laser beams. This allows us to engineer spatially dependent Peierls phases \( \phi(r) \). The resulting effective magnetic flux through one unit cell of the lattice is fully tunable by the wavelength of the running-wave beams and their geometry. In our setup, the magnetic flux per four-site plaquette is staggered with a zero mean, alternating between \( \pi/2 \) and \( -\pi/2 \) [25, 26]. We study the nature of the ground state in this optical lattice by measuring its momentum distribution and show, in particular, that the frustration associated with the effective magnetic field can lead to a degenerate ground state for single particles, similar to the prediction of Ref. [27]. We also study the quantum cyclotron dynamics of single atoms restricted to a four-site plaquette. The main results we present here are published in Ref. [28]. The purpose of this paper is to provide a more detailed discussion of the underlying method and to present several techniques that are relevant for the experimental realization. A similar scheme was proposed by Ref. [29, 30] to create Abelian and non-Abelian gauge fields with trapped ions that can be also applied to other systems such as ultracold atoms in optical lattices.

2 General idea

In this section, we briefly discuss the general idea of the method used in our experiment. Consider atoms in a 2D lattice that is tilted along one direction and subjected to a periodic on-site modulation with site-dependent phases \( \phi_{m,n} \). In the tight-binding approximation, the dynamics of this system can be described by the following Hamiltonian:

\[
\hat{H}(t) = -J_x \sum_{m,n} \left( \hat{a}_{m+1,n}^\dagger \hat{a}_{m,n} + \text{h.c.} \right) - J_y \sum_{m,n} \left( \hat{a}_{m,n+1}^\dagger \hat{a}_{m,n} + \text{h.c.} \right) + \sum_{m,n} \left[ m \Delta + V_K^0 \cos(\omega t + \phi_{m,n}) \right] \hat{n}_{m,n},
\]

where \( \hat{n}_{m,n} \) annihilates (creates) a particle on site \((m, n)\) and \( \hat{n}_{m,n} \) is the corresponding number operator. The strength of the tunnel coupling between neighboring lattice sites in the \( x(y) \)-direction is given by \( J_x(J_y) \). The magnitude of the linear potential is given by \( \Delta \), while the on-site modulation amplitude is \( V_K^0 \). For \( \Delta \gg J_x \), tunneling is inhibited along the \( x \)-direction and can be restored by modulating the lattice on resonance \( \hbar \omega = \Delta \). Using the Floquet formalism [31, 32], the system can be described by an effective time-independent Hamiltonian

\[
\hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = -K \sum_{m,n} \left( \hat{a}_{m+1,n}^\dagger \hat{a}_{m,n} e^{i\phi_{m,n}} + \text{h.c.} \right) - J \sum_{m,n} \left( \hat{a}_{m,n+1}^\dagger \hat{a}_{m,n} + \text{h.c.} \right),
\]

where \( K \) is the effective tunnel coupling along \( x \) and \( J \) the effective tunnel coupling along \( y \) determined by

\[
K = J_x \mathcal{J}_1 \left( \frac{f(\phi_{m+1,n} - \phi_{m,n}, V_K^0)}{\Delta} \right),
\]

\[
J = J_y \mathcal{J}_0 \left( \frac{f(\phi_{m,n+1} - \phi_{m,n}, V_K^0)}{\Delta} \right).
\]

Here, \( \mathcal{J}_x(x) \) are the Bessel functions of the first kind and \( f(\eta, \zeta) \) determines the differential modulation amplitude between neighboring sites [33]. For a vanishing phase difference \( \eta = 0 \), also the differential modulation amplitude and, therefore, the induced coupling vanishes. Following the Floquet analysis, coupling is induced along the \( x \)-direction proportional to the first order Bessel function \( \mathcal{J}_1(x) \), while tunneling in the perpendicular direction is modulated by the zeroth order Bessel function \( \mathcal{J}_0(x) \) [20, 21, 34]. The induced phase factor \( e^{i\phi_{m,n}} \) in Hamiltonian (2) can give rise to a non-vanishing phase \( \Phi = \phi_{m,n+1} - \phi_{m,n} \) for an atom that tunnels along the borders of one plaquette. This can be interpreted as an Aharonov–Bohm phase and, therefore, serves as a model system to study artificial magnetic fields.

Experimentally, Hamiltonian (2) can be realized using two running-wave beams with wave vectors \( \mathbf{k}_{1,2} \) (\( |\mathbf{k}_1| \simeq |\mathbf{k}_2| = k \)) and frequencies \( \omega_{1,2} \) such that \( \omega_1 - \omega_2 = \omega \). The local optical potential created by these two running-wave laser beams is given by

\[
V_K(r) = V_K^0 \cos^2(qr/2 - \omega t/2),
\]
where \( \mathbf{q} = (k_1 - k_2), |\mathbf{q}| = 2k \sin \theta \) and \( \theta \) is half of the opening angle between the two beams. This potential gives rise to time-dependent on-site modulation terms with spatial-dependent phases given by the following relation:

\[
\phi_{m,n} = \pi s_m + \pi s_n, \quad \text{with } s_i = q_i \cdot d_i, \tag{5}
\]

\( d_i \) being the lattice constant along the corresponding axis and an effective flux \( \Phi = \pi s \). Note that even though the photon-assisted tunnel coupling occurs along the \( x \)-direction, the flux \( \Phi \) through one plaquette is solely determined by the projection of the wave vector \( \mathbf{q} \) on the perpendicular \( y \) axis. Its value is fully tunable through the frequency and the angle between the pair of running-wave beams. Figure 1 illustrates the tunability of the flux with the angle \( \theta \). In Fig. 1a, two beams with an opening angle of \( \theta = 35^\circ \) and a flux of \( \Phi \approx 0.8\pi \) are shown, while in Fig. 1b the angle is slightly different, \( \theta = 25^\circ \), realizing a flux of \( \Phi \approx 0.6\pi \).

In the original proposal by Kolovsky [24], the photon-assisted tunneling along the \( x \)-direction was implemented by displacing the crossing point of the running-wave beams with respect to the atom cloud position. However, tunneling along the perpendicular direction is also affected by the lattice modulation, such that this scheme leads to non-uniform tunnel couplings \( J \) and, therefore, this scheme cannot be employed to simulate uniform magnetic fields as shown recently by Creffield and Sols [34].

3 Experimental setup

The experimental setup consists of a 2D lattice created by two standing waves of laser light at \( \lambda_s = 767 \text{ nm} \) (“short lattice”, see also Ref. [28]). Instead of a linear potential in the \( x \)-direction, we superimpose another standing wave along that axis with twice the wavelength (“long lattice”, \( \lambda_l \approx 2 \lambda_s \)) to create a superlattice potential \([35, 36]\), where every second lattice site is lifted by an energy offset \( \Delta \) as depicted in Fig. 2a.

The lattice parameters are chosen such that \( \Delta \) is much larger than the nearest-neighbor coupling \( J_x \) to inhibit tunneling. By resonantly modulating the lattice with two running-wave beams with wavelength \( \lambda_l \approx 1,534 \text{ nm} \) \((\hbar \omega = \Delta)\), tunneling is restored with an effective coupling strength \( K \). To avoid cross-interference between the long lattice and the pair of running-wave laser beams, we offset them in frequency by \( \approx 160 \text{ MHz} \). As explained in the previous section, the modulation by the running-wave potential leads to a complex phase factor that depends on the position in the lattice and is determined by the geometry and the wavelength of the running-wave beams. In this setup, the wave vectors are chosen to be \( |k_1| \approx |k_1| = k_s/2 \), with \( k_s = 2\pi/\lambda_s \), and the angle between the beams is \( 2\theta = 90^\circ \) as illustrated in Fig. 2b, so that the optical potential is given by

\[
V_K(r) = V_K^0 \cos^2 \left( \frac{k_s}{4} (\mathbf{e}_x + \mathbf{e}_y) r - (\omega_1 - \omega_2) t/2 \right) \tag{6}
\]

and \( q_y = k_y/2 \).
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Let us consider an atom tunneling from a site of low energy (even sites) to a site of high energy (odd sites). Assuming that $\omega_1 > \omega_2$, the phase factor is given by $\phi_{m,n} = \frac{\pi}{2} (m + n)$ as illustrated in Fig. 2b and $\Phi = \pi/2$. For atoms that tunnel from odd to even sites, the sign of the energy offset and, therefore, the sign of the phase changes: $\phi_{m,n} = -\frac{\pi}{2} (m + n)$. The resulting spatial phase pattern for our system is shown in Fig. 2c. Due to the staggering, we realize an effective magnetic flux that is alternating in sign along the direction of the superlattice potential and the system can be described by the effective Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = -K \sum_{m,n} \left( \hat{a}_{m+1,n} \hat{a}_{m,n} e^{\pm i \phi_{m,n}} + \text{h.c.} \right)$$

$$- J \sum_{m,n} \left( \hat{a}^\dagger_{m+1,n} \hat{a}_{m,n} + \text{h.c.} \right),$$

(7)

The sign of the phase factor is positive for even sites and negative for odd ones. In the limit $\Delta > V_0^k$, the effective couplings are given by the following equations:

$$K = J_x J_1 \left( V_0^k / \left( \sqrt{2} \Delta \right) \right) \approx J_x V_0^k \left/ \left( 2 \sqrt{2} \Delta \right) \right.$$  

$$J = J_y J_0 \left( V_0^k / \left( \sqrt{2} \right) \right) \approx J_y,$$

(8)

with $f(\pi/2, V_0^k) = V_0^k / \sqrt{2}$, see Sect. 4.2 for more details.

The magnetic unit cell of a staggered flux lattice consists of two plaquettes with opposite sign of the flux as illustrated by the shaded area in Fig. 2c, see also Ref. [27]. It contains two non-equivalent sites (even and odd); therefore, the band splits into two sub-bands [37, 38]. Considering the magnetic translation symmetries, we can make the following ansatz for the wave function using the gauge realized in our setup:

$$\psi = \sum_{m,n} e^{(ik_x d + \eta k_y d)} \times \left\{ \psi_{e}^{m} e^{i(\pi(m+n))} \quad \text{even sites} \right\} \left\{ \psi_{o}^{m} e^{i(\pi(m+n))} \quad \text{odd sites} \right\},$$

(9)

with the quasi-momentum $\mathbf{k}$ in the ranges $-\pi/(2d) < k_x < \pi/(2d)$ and $-\pi/d < k_y < \pi/d$. By inserting this ansatz into the Schrödinger equation using the Hamiltonian given in Eq. (7), one obtains a simple two-dimensional eigenvalue equation for the amplitudes $\psi_{e(o)}$ on even (odd) lattice sites:

$$\hat{H}_0 \left( \begin{array}{c} \psi_e \\ \psi_o \end{array} \right) = E \left( \begin{array}{c} \psi_e \\ \psi_o \end{array} \right),$$

(10)

with

$$\hat{H}_0 = \left( \begin{array}{cc} -2J \cos(k_x d) & -K(e^{ik_x d} - e^{-ik_x d}) \\ -K(e^{-ik_x d} + e^{ik_x d}) & 2J \sin(k_x d) \end{array} \right).$$

(11)

The solutions of this equation determine the dispersion relation of the system for any ratio $J/K$. Due to the intrinsic structure of the wave function given in Eq. (9), every momentum eigenstate $\mathbf{k}$ has two momentum components at $(k_x, k_y)$ (even sites) and $(k_x, k_y) + (k_x/2, k_y/2)$ (odd sites). This means that for a non-degenerate ground state, we expect to see two momentum peaks within the first magnetic Brillouin zone and replicas at momentum components shifted by the reciprocal lattice vectors $(k_x, 0)$ and $(0, 2k_y)$.

4 Calibration of the setup

In this section, we give details about the underlying setup relevant for the experimental realization of the staggered flux lattice and calibration measurements to study the modulation-induced coupling strength $K$.

4.1 Staggered energy offset

It is important for the implementation of the staggered flux lattice that the coupling between even and odd sites is equal and does not depend on the lattice site. An example of such a potential is shown in Fig. 4a. The particular shape of the superlattice structure depends on the relative phase $\varphi$ between the short- and long-lattice standing waves and their depths, which can be controlled independently. The combined potential is given by the following equation:

$$V(x) = V^s_i \sin^2(kx + \varphi/2) + V^l_i \sin^2(2kx + \varphi/2),$$

(12)

where $k_i = 2\pi/\lambda_i$ and $V^l_i$ is the depth of the long (short) lattice. The phase of both standing waves is fixed at the position of the retro-reflecting mirror. Due to a small frequency difference between the two standing waves $\delta\nu = 2\nu_x - \nu_y$, where $\nu(x)$ is the frequency of the long (short) lattice, they accumulate a relative phase $\varphi \propto \delta\nu \cdot L$ that depends on the distance $L$ between the retro-reflecting mirror and the atoms, see Fig. 3a. Over the extent of the atom cloud, the phase can be assumed constant to very good approximation. For our experimental setup, it changes by $\angle \mathrm{mrad}$ over the cloud size. By changing the frequency of one of the beams, we have full control over the superlattice potential.

To calibrate the relative phase $\varphi$, we measured the energy offset $\Delta$ between neighboring sites using photon-assisted tunneling. The experimental sequence started by adiabatically loading a condensate of $^{87}$Rb atoms without discernible thermal fraction into the 2D lattice for a given value of $\varphi$, such that initially all atoms populated the wells of lower energy. The lattice parameters were $V_0^l = 5$ $E_r$, $V_0^s = 9$ $E_r$ and $V_0^s = 20$ $E_{iz}$, where $E_{iz} = \hbar^2/(2m \lambda_i^2)$, $i = l, s$, is the respective recoil energy. In addition, we used a third lattice along the vertical direction, with $\lambda_x = 844$ nm and $V^v = 20$ $E_{iz}$, where $E_{iz} = \hbar^2/(2m \lambda_i^2)$, to avoid coupling to longitudinal modes. Then, we switched on the running-wave...
beams for a finite time, varying their frequency difference $\omega$ for different experimental runs. When $\omega = \Delta/h$, we observed resonant particle transfer between even and odd lattice sites. Figure 3c shows the results of the offset calibration as a function of the relative phase. The highest energy difference occurs for $\phi = \pi/2$ and corresponds to the staggered configuration. The solid line is a guide to the eye.

4.2 Modulation-induced tunnel coupling

To calibrate the modulation-induced coupling strength $K$, we performed measurements in isolated double wells, where the coupling between even and odd sites is much higher than the one between odd and even sites ($J_x \gg J_x'$), so that all dynamics were restricted to two sites only (see Fig. 4a). The corresponding parameter regime for the superlattice potential is $V_L^0 \gg V_K^0$ and $0 \leq \phi < \pi/4$. The magnitude of the modulated coupling strength is determined by the inner-well coupling $J_x$, the energy offset $\Delta$ and the differential modulation amplitude $f(\pi/2, V^0_K)$ (see also Sect. 2). Due to the form of the optical potential created by the pair of running-wave beams given in Eq. (6), there is a relative phase between the modulation of even and odd sites equal to $\pi/2$, so that

$$V_{K,\text{even}}(t) = V^0_K \cos^2(\omega \cdot t/2)$$

and

$$V_{K,\text{odd}}(t) = V^0_K \cos^2(\pi/4 + \omega \cdot t/2).$$

The differential modulation amplitude $f(\pi/2, V^0_K)$ is then given by their difference, which can be written as

$$f(\pi/2, V^0_K) = V^0_K \cos^2(\pi/4 + \omega \cdot t/2) - V^0_K \cos^2(\omega \cdot t/2).$$
leading to \( f(\pi/2, V_{K}^{0}) = V_{K}^{0}/\sqrt{2} \). This leads to the following expression for the effective coupling \[ K = J_{x} J_{1} \left( \frac{V_{K}^{0}}{\sqrt{2} \Delta} \right) \approx J_{x} V_{K}^{0}/(2\sqrt{2} \Delta). \] (15)

To verify this relation, we performed a series of measurements to study the magnitude of \( K \) as a function of \( J_{x} \) for \( \Delta \) and \( V_{K}^{0} \) constant.

The experimental sequence started by adiabatically loading all atoms in a 3D lattice of depths \( V_{l} = 35 \ E_{rl} \), \( V_{y} = 30 \ E_{rs} \) and \( V = 30 \ E_{rz} \) within 200 ms. Then, we loaded all atoms adiabatically on the lower energy sites by ramping up the short lattice along \( x \) in 10 ms. After switching on the pair of running-wave beams for a variable amount of time \( t_{\text{mod}} \), we measured the fraction of atoms in odd sites \( n_{\text{odd}} \), see inset of Fig. 4c. The evolution starts at \( n_{\text{odd}} = 0 \), because all atoms occupy the even sites of the system, then they start undergoing tunnel oscillations between even and odd sites, whose frequency is equal to \( 2 \ kHz \). The strength of the inner-well coupling \( J_{x} \) was controlled by the short lattice depth \( V_{l}^{0} \), which was varied between 8.5 and 13.5 \( E_{rs} \). The corresponding values of the tunnel coupling \( J_{x} \) were obtained from a band structure calculation. The energy offset \( \Delta \) was chosen to be equal to 4.4 kHz. As it is shown in Fig. 4c, we find a linear dependence of the coupling strength on the inner-well coupling with a slope of \( \Delta/J_{x} = 0.31(1) \). From an independent calibration of the potential depth created by the two running-wave beams \( V_{K}^{0} = 4.21 \ E_{rl} \), we obtain a slope \( V_{K}^{0}/(2\sqrt{2} \Delta) = 0.34(2) \) and taking into account non-linear corrections due to the Bessel function we obtain a slope of \( J_{x}(V_{K}^{0}/(\sqrt{2} \Delta)) = 0.32(1) \). For \( J_{x}/h = 0.20(1) \ kHz \), the damping time of the tunnel oscillations due to inhomogeneities in our system is on the order of the oscillation period. This explains the larger uncertainty for the measured modulation-induced coupling strength \( K/h \) and most likely explains the larger deviation from the linear curve, see Fig. 4c.

5 Ground state for isotropic coupling \( J = K \)

The first measurement we present in this section was performed to study the ground state of the staggered flux lattice from its momentum distribution for isotropic coupling strengths \( J = K \) [28].

The experimental sequence started by loading a condensate of about \( 5 \times 10^{4} \) atoms with no discernible thermal fraction into a 2D lattice within 160 ms, forming an array of 1D Bose gases. The depth of the lattice was adjusted to \( V_{l}^{0} = V_{y}^{0} = 14 \ E_{rs} \), which corresponds to an equal tunnel coupling along both directions of \( J_{x}/h = J/\ h = 31(2) \ Hz \). Then, we ramped up the long-lattice in 0.7 ms to \( V_{l}^{r} = 5 \ E_{rl} \) with \( \varphi = \pi/2 \) and subsequently decreased the short lattice in the \( x \)-direction to 9 \( E_{rs} \) within 1 ms. The staggered energy offset between neighboring sites was \( \Delta/h = 4.41(1) \) kHz and was calibrated using the measurements presented in Sect. 4.1 The bare tunnel coupling along \( x \) was \( J_{x}/h = 94(4) \ Hz \) and, therefore, much smaller than \( \Delta \). This results in an inhibition of spontaneous tunneling along this direction, which was then restored by switching on the running-wave beams on resonance, \( \omega = 2\pi \times 4.4 \ kHz \) and \( V_{K}^{0} = 4.21 \ E_{rl} \). This yields a value of \( \Delta/h = 30(2) \ Hz \) and was obtained using the calibration measurements presented in Sect. 4.2 After holding the atoms in this configuration for 10 ms, we observed a restored phase coherence as shown in Fig. 6b. Most likely, this can be attributed to a redistribution of entropy present in the random phases between the 1D condensates along their longitudinal modes.

In Fig. 6a, we show the momentum distribution of a simple cubic lattice with lattice constant \( d = \lambda J/2 \), without running-wave beams. In contrast to this simple structure with a single quasi-momentum component and correspondingly single momentum peaks separated by \( 2\hbar k_{y} \), we...
observe four momentum components within the first Brillouin zone of the short lattice in the presence of the staggered flux, see Fig. 6b. To understand that distribution, we calculated the dispersion relation using Eqs. (10) and (11) for $J = K$, which is plotted in Fig. 6c. It shows a simple minimum located at $\mathbf{k} = (-k_s/4, -k_s/4)$ and the eigenvector has equal weight on even and odd sites, $|\psi_o| = |\psi_e|$. Therefore, we expect to see two momentum components within the first magnetic Brillouin zone, one at $(-k_s/4, -k_s/4)$ (even sites) and a second one shifted due to the additional phase factor in the wave function for odd sites at $(k_s/4, k_s/4)$. The magnetic unit cell, illustrated by the shaded area in Fig. 2c, contains two lattice sites; therefore, the size of the magnetic Brillouin zone is reduced along the $x$-direction compared to the one of the square lattice. All other momentum peaks are translated by the reciprocal lattice vectors $(k_s, 0)$ and $(0, 2k_s)$. The measured positions are in good agreement with the quasimomenta of the Bloch states of lowest energy.

The frustration introduced by the position-dependent phase factors $\phi_{m,n}$ causes the phase of the atomic wave function to be non-uniform, see Fig. 6d. The direction of the arrows on each lattice site indicates the phase of the wave function, while their length corresponds to the magnitude of the local atomic density. To make the appearance of vortices more clear, we extrapolate the value of the phase between lattice sites and encode it in the background color of this plot. As one expects for an effective magnetic flux of $\Phi = \pi/2$, which is one quarter of a flux quantum, there is one vortex per four plaquettes along the $y$-direction and due to the staggering, the chirality of the vortices alternates along $x$. The atomic density of the ground-state wave function is uniform, illustrated by the length of the arrows in Fig. 6d, as expected from the solution of the eigenvalue equation that predicts equal weights for even and odd sites $|\psi_o| = |\psi_e|$.

6 Ground state for anisotropic coupling

To further study the nature of the ground state in our system, we varied the ratio $J/K$ and observed the resulting momentum distribution of the ground state [28]. The experimental sequence was similar to the one described in the previous section. To change the coupling ratio, we kept the modulated coupling strength $K$ constant and changed the lattice depth along $y$ to $V_s = 10 E_r$, which leads to $J/\hbar = 75(3)$ Hz and $J/K = 2.5(2)$. As can be seen in Fig. 7a, we observed two additional peaks in the momentum distribution within the first magnetic Brillouin zone for these parameters. This is due to a twofold degenerate ground state, in agreement with the solutions of the eigenvalue equation (10). The corresponding dispersion relation is plotted in Fig. 7c. It shows two minima at momenta $\mathbf{k}_L \simeq (-0.25k_s, -0.45k_s)$ and $\mathbf{k}_U \simeq (-0.25k_s, 0.052k_s)$, split around $k_y = -k_y/4$ by $\Delta k_y \simeq 0.40k_s$. The relative atomic density on even and odd sites is given by the corresponding eigenvectors. For the eigenstate $\mathbf{k}_L$, we obtain a relative weight $|\psi_o|^2/|\psi_e|^2 \simeq 6.1$, which means that the momentum component shifted to $\mathbf{k}_L + (k_s/2, k_s/2) \simeq (0.25k_s, 0.052k_s)$ (odd sites) is about six times more intense than the one at $\mathbf{k}_L \simeq (-0.25k_s, -0.45k_s)$ (even sites). For the second momentum eigenstate $\mathbf{k}_U$, the relative weight is exactly opposite $|\psi_o|^2/|\psi_e|^2 \simeq 1/6.1$ and the component at $\mathbf{k}_U + (k_s/2, k_s/2) \simeq (0.25k_s, 0.45k_s)$ (odd sites) is about six times less intense than the one at $\mathbf{k}_U \simeq (-0.25k_s, -0.052k_s)$. This is in good agreement with our experimental observations, as shown in Fig. 7a. The atomic density in the lattice is illustrated by the color brightness and the length of the arrows in Fig. 7d showing a charge density wave. The phase of the atomic wave function tends to align along the direction of the...
stronger coupling $J$, thereby frustrating the phase relation imposed by the Hamiltonian. As a consequence, the density in every second stripe along $y$ is suppressed. Figure 7d shows the result for the eigenstate $k_L$, where the odd sites are more populated. The result for $k_U$ is similar but shows a higher occupation for even sites.

Contrary to the case of a triangular lattice with frustrated hopping, studied in Ref. [39], the fraction of atoms in each single-particle ground state does not fluctuate, as shown in Fig. 7b. We observe equal population in both states as predicted for weakly interacting [27] or finite size systems. In trapped systems, the degeneracy is slightly lifted and the two lowest energy states are symmetric and antisymmetric superpositions of the two momentum states.

We also varied the ratio $J/K$ gradually between 0.6 and 2.8 by adjusting the $y$-lattice depth to values between 9.5 $E_{rs}$ and 16.5 $E_{rs}$, while the modulated coupling strength $K/h = 30(2)$ Hz was kept constant. The observed momentum distribution remained unchanged for $J/K \lesssim 1.4$, while above that value we observed the appearance of a twofold degenerate ground state (see Fig. 8). For this measurement, we focused on the momentum peaks that correspond to odd lattice sites ($k = k/4$) and plot their projection on the $y$-direction as a function of the ratio $J/K$. From the band-structure calculation, we expect a bifurcation at $J/K = \sqrt{2}$ (solid line in Fig. 8), which agrees well with our data. In the limit of $J \gg K$, the two ground states approach $k_L \approx (0.25k_y, 0)$ and $k_U \approx (0.25k_y, 0.5k_y)$ with a splitting of $\Delta k_y = 0.5k_y$, which corresponds to a state with relative atomic density $|\psi_{\text{odd}}|^2/|\psi_{\text{even}}|^2 \approx 0$ and reversed for the other component. The nature of the bifurcation is identical to the one predicted in Ref. [27], where it is induced by a variation of the magnetic flux amplitude at a fixed value of $J/K = 1$.

To conclude this section, we point out that there are two Dirac cones within the first Brillouin zone at momenta $k_{11} \approx (0.25k_y, -0.25k_x)$ and $k_{02} \approx (0.25k_y, 0.75k_x)$, see Fig. 9. It is interesting to mention that their positions remain unchanged for different ratios of $J/K$ even in the regime, where there is a twofold degenerate ground state whose momentum components are split around $k_y = -0.25k_y$, see Fig. 9b.

7 Local observation of the effective magnetic field

To probe the structure of the Hamiltonian in a more direct way, we performed a second series of experiments to study the local effect of the artificial gauge field on the level of a four-site square plaquette [28]. The experimental setup is shown in Fig. 10a. We use two superlattice potentials along the $x$- and $y$-directions to isolate plaquettes so that all dynamics is restricted to four sites without any coupling.
between plaquettes, similar to the setup described in Sect. 4.2, where all dynamics was restricted to two sites only. This allows us to isolate plaquettes with equal sign of the flux. The relative phase between the two standing waves along y was chosen to be $\phi_y = 0$, which corresponds to a symmetric double-well configuration without energy offset between neighboring sites. Along the x-direction the relative phase was in the regime $0 < \phi_x < \pi/4$ to create a tilt between even and odd sites. To avoid coupling to axial modes along the potential tubes, an additional lattice along the z-direction was used. The four sites of a single plaquette are denoted as $A$, $B$, $C$, and $D$ (see Fig. 10b).

The experimental sequence started by loading a condensate into a 3D lattice of depth $V_0^t = 35 E_r$, $V_0^v = 35 E_r$, and $V_0^s = 30 E_r$. After that, a filtering sequence was applied to have at most one atom per lattice site [38]. By ramping up the short-lattice along x to $V_s^t = 5 E_r$, within 10 ms and $\phi = 0.20(1)$ rad, we realized a double well potential with energy offset $\Delta/h = 6.0(1)$ kHz, where single atoms were localized on one side. Finally, the short-lattice along y was ramped up to $V_s^v = 14 E_r$, within 1 ms to create a plaquette potential, where each atom is in the initial state $|\psi_1\rangle = (|A\rangle + \text{e}^{i\gamma}|D\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ ($J/h = 0.17(2)$ kHz and $J_x/h = 2.0(1)$ kHz). Subsequently the running-wave beams were switched on with $\omega = \Delta/h$ to induce resonant coupling to the $B$ and $C$ sites. The induced coupling $K/h = 0.32(1)$ kHz was measured independently.

The following rather complex phase evolution can be understood in the limit of $J \ll K$, where the dynamics along y would be suppressed and the initial state $|\psi_1\rangle$ couples to the state $|\psi_2\rangle = (|B\rangle + \text{e}^{i\gamma}|C\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, due to the relative phase imprinted by the pair of running-wave beams. In our case, the ratio is $J/K \approx 0.5$ leading to a more complex evolution. We measured the value of the phase as a function of time from the shape of the momentum distribution after time-of-flight. The method can be explained considering the double-slit interference obtained for an isolated double well along the y-direction, where we denote the two sites as $|A\rangle$ and $|D\rangle$, and we consider an arbitrary single-particle state

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|A\rangle + \text{e}^{i\gamma}|D\rangle)$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)$$

with phase $\gamma$. The observed density distribution after a sufficiently large $t_{TOF}$ is proportional to $\cos(k_y + \gamma)$ times an envelope determined by the Wannier function, where $k_y = m\pi/\hbar t_{TOF}$ [35, 36]. For the initial state $|\psi_1\rangle$, $\gamma = 0$ and we expect a symmetric momentum distribution. A typical image is shown in Fig. 10b. For the following evolution in isolated plaquettes, we first integrated the momentum distribution along x and then determined the phase $\gamma(t)$ along y. The result is shown with blue data points in Fig. 10c. For $\omega = -\Delta/h$, the role of the two running-wave beams is reversed, $\omega_1 < \omega_2$ and the spatial phase pattern induced by the running-wave beams changes sign. Therefore, we observed a sign reversal for the phase evolution.

We also observed the dynamics in real space to exhibit the influence of the artificial gauge field on the particle flow. The experimental sequence was the same than the one described above, only the depths of the short lattices were changed to $V_s^t = 7 E_r$ and $V_s^v = 10 E_r$ to obtain different coupling strengths $J_x/h = 1.2(1)$ kHz and
\[ J/h = 0.50(2) \text{ kHz} \] By generalizing the site-resolved detection sequence discussed in Sect. 4.2 for a system of isolated double wells to plaquettes, we measured the atom population on each site \( N_q \) \((q = A, B, C, D)\). First, we applied the non-adiabatic mapping sequence along \( x \) and \( y \) to transfer the populations \( N_q \) to different Bloch bands. Atom population originally in \( B \) sites is, therefore, transferred to the third energy level in the \( x \)-direction, while population in \( D \) sites is transferred to the third level along \( y \). A subsequent band-mapping technique allowed us then to determine the occupation of different Bloch bands by counting the atom numbers in different Brillouin zones (see Fig. 11b). The different colors show the connection between Brillouin zones and their corresponding lattice sites (see Fig. 11a). A typical image obtained after 20 ms of time-of-flight is shown in Fig. 11c.

From these images, we extracted the average atom positions:

\[
\langle X \rangle = \frac{(-N_A + N_B + N_C - N_D) \Delta}{2N} \tag{17}
\]

\[
\langle Y \rangle = \frac{(-N_A - N_B + N_C + N_D) \Delta}{2N} \tag{18}
\]

with \( N \) being the total atom number. Initially, all atoms occupied the \( A \) and \( D \) sites with equal weight and the evolution starts at \( \langle X \rangle(t = 0) = -0.5 \) and \( \langle Y \rangle(t = 0) = 0 \).

After switching on the pair of running-wave beams, we observed a coherent particle flow inside the plaquettes towards the \( B \) and \( C \) sites (see Fig. 12b). Thereafter, the particle current showed deviations along the \( y \)-direction (see Fig. 12c), which is reminiscent of the Lorentz force acting on a charged particle in a magnetic field. As shown in Fig. 12a, the mean atom position followed an orbit that is a small-scale quantum analog of the classical cyclotron orbits for charged particles. This coherent evolution is damped due to spatial inhomogeneities in the atomic sample. Having independently calibrated the values of \( J \) and \( K \), we fit from the measured atom dynamics the value of the magnetic flux \( \phi = 0.73(5) \times \pi/2 \). The difference from the value \( \phi = \pi/2 \) expected for a homogeneous lattice stems from the smaller distance between lattice sites inside the plaquettes when separated with an additional superlattice along the \( y \)-direction. For the parameters used in Fig. 12a–c, we calculate a distance \( d_y = 0.78(1) \times \lambda_y/2 \) along \( y \) yielding \( \phi = 0.80(1) \times \pi/2 \), which qualitatively explains the measured flux value. Residual deviations might also be due to an angle mismatch between the two running-wave beams and the lattices.

8 Conclusion

In conclusion, we have demonstrated a new type of optical lattice that realizes strong effective magnetic fields using a method that does not rely on the internal structure of the atom and is, therefore, applicable to a large variety of different systems. We have shown that the atomic sample relaxes to the minima of the magnetic bandstructure, realizing an analogue of a frustrated classical spin system. In addition, we have presented a local measurement of the phase acquired by an atom subjected to the photon-assisted spin tunneling. Furthermore, the quantum cyclotron orbit of single atoms in the lattice has been observed and was used to evaluate the strength of the artificial magnetic field directly. The spatial average of the magnetic flux, however, is zero, hence the Bloch band is topologically trivial [14, 36, 40]. Using a superlattice potential with more than two
non-equivalent sites [14] or a linear tilt potential [13], it is possible to create a lattice with a uniform and non-zero magnetic flux. This system would realize the Harper Hamiltonian [41] and lead to the fractal band structure of the Hofstadter butterfly [17]. In particular, the lowest band would exhibit a Chern number of one and be analogous to the lowest Landau level [12, 13, 15, 42, 43]. In addition, the staggered flux lattice can be used to measure the $\pi$-flux associated with a Dirac cone using the concept of Zak phases following a recent proposal by D. A. Abanin et al. [44]. This method was already demonstrated in the experiment for measuring topological invariants in 1D systems [45].
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