In-Situ LA-ICP-MS Uraninite U–Pb Dating and Genesis of the Datian Migmatite-Hosted Uranium Deposit, South China
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Abstract: The Datian uranium deposit is a migmatite-hosted, high temperature, hydrothermal deposit in the Kangdian region. Detailed information on the chemical composition and formation age of the uraninite remains lacking, which impedes our understanding of uraninite genesis. Two phases of uraninite have been identified according to their relationships with other minerals and their field relationships. The phase 1 (Ur1) uraninite is characterized by local development of microfractures and pores in the crystal of uraninite, a scattered distribution, and irregular crystal shapes, and it is associated with ilmenite, biotite, and rare earth element (REE) minerals (monazite and xenotime). The phase 2 uraninite (Ur2) has anhedral crystal shapes with well-developed microfractures and pores and is associated with pyrite, albite, pyrrhotite, molybdenite, zircon, and chlorite. X-ray element mapping revealed that the distributions of U, Th, and Pb in the Ur1 uraninite are homogeneous, whereas those in the Ur2 uraninite are heterogeneous. The results of the electron microprobe analysis (EMPA) show that the UO₂ and PbO contents of the Ur1 and Ur2 uraninite do not vary significantly. The high ThO₂ contents of the Ur1 (1.08–1.68 wt %) and Ur2 uraninite (3.41–4.83 wt %) indicate that they formed at different temperatures. The laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) analysis results show that the ∑REE of the Ur1 uraninite (3747.5–7032.3 ppm) is lower than that of the Ur2 uraninite (8369.2–11,484.3 ppm), and the REE patterns of the Ur1 and Ur2 uraninite are sickle-shaped with large negative Eu anomalies. The LA-ICP-MS U–Pb dating results revealed that the ages of the Ur1 (841.4 ± 4.0 Ma) and Ur2 (834.5 ± 4.1 Ma–837.2 ± 4.5 Ma) uraninite are in consistent with that of the migmatite. Thus, the Datian uranium deposit underwent at least two hydrothermal events, and the uraninite was formed due to the migmatization.
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1. Introduction

Granular uraninite occurs in magmatic-type uranium deposits, Na-metasomatic type uranium deposits, unconformity-related uranium deposits, and granite-type uranium deposits, but the crystal grains are small [1–4]. Although basin-related uranium deposits are the main source of uranium ore around the world [5], some of the uranium deposits that have close spatial relationships with migmatites are also important sources of uranium ore. Some of the uranium deposits in the Central Ukrainian Uranium Province are hosted in migmatite or the rock surrounding the deposit is migmatite. The average grade of these uranium deposits is 0.06 to 0.21 wt %, and the total resources exceed 300,000 t U [6]. Some uranium mineralization is also found in the migmatites in the Lufluian belt in Zambia [7–10]. The ore minerals of these uranium deposits and occurrences are mainly composed of uraninite and brannerite [6,8,9,11]. In recent years, several migmatite hosted uranium deposits
(or occurrences) have been found in the Kangdian region [12]. Uraninite occurs in leucoesomes or melanosomes of migmatite, and the grain size can be up to 1 cm [12,13]. Extensive geological and geochemical studies of these deposits have been conducted [13–18]. However, the ages of the uraninite are poorly restricted. Previous studies have reported four main generations of uranium mineralization at ~950, 850–821, 776–740, and ~240 Ma in the Kangdian region [13]. These mineralization ages have not been substantiated by direct dating of the uraninite in the deposits. In addition, these studies relied on bulk analysis, which cannot distinguish between the different generations of fine-grained uraninite and the micron-scale alteration zones.

The Datian uranium deposit, which is hosted in migmatite, was the first uranium deposit explored in the Kangdian region. In recent years, many studies have been conducted on the Datian uranium deposit, such as studies of the geochemical characteristics of the host rocks [12,14], the formation ages of the migmatite and granitic dikes [17,19–22], and electron microprobe analysis (EMPA) of the composition and U-Th-Pb chemical ages of the uraninite [23]. Although a great deal of research has been conducted, several issues remain to be explored. First, the origin of the uraninite is still controversial. Other scholars have concluded that the uraninite was formed during the migmatization, which provided the ore-forming fluid and materials [14,19]. However, some scholars believe that the uraninite was formed by the intrusive magmatic (granitic) veins, which provided the ore-forming fluid and extracted the uranium from the migmatite after the formation of the migmatite [18,20–22]. Second, only one generation of uranium mineralization was identified via EMPA U-Th-Pb chemical dating, but hydrothermal uranium deposits are usually characterized by multi-stage mineralization. In addition, several factors may have influenced the reliability of the EMPA chemical dating. These include significant common Pb and the degree of uraninite weathering, which were not considered when calculating the age. The age of the uraninite was only confirmed via EMPA chemical dating, and direct dating of the uraninite is lacking. Thus, the goals of this study are (i) to confirm the composition and U–Pb isotope compositions of the uraninite and on the basis of this and the petrological features, to divide the metallogenic generations and compare the compositions of the different generations of uraninite via laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) microanalysis; and (ii) to investigate the genesis of the uraninite on the basis of its composition.

2. Geological Background

The Kangdian region is located in the southwestern margin of the Yangtze Block in South China (Figure 1). The Yangtze Block is characterized by Archean and Paleoproterozoic crystalline basement and widely distributed Neoproterozoic igneous and sedimentary rocks overlain by Paleozoic and Lower Mesozoic stratum [24]. The basement stratum is composed of argillaceous to arenaceous sedimentary rocks, which have been metamorphosed into rocks of the greenschist to granulite facies [25]. Paleoproterozoic to Neoproterozoic metamorphic complex, low-grade metamorphic sedimentary rocks, and clastic rocks are mainly exposed in the Kangdian region in the western margin of the Yangtze Block [26]. These complexes have a banded distribution, are about 700 km long from north to south, and are locally intruded by Neoproterozoic granite. Traditionally, they have been considered to be the crystalline basement of the Yangtze Block and are usually referred to as the gray gneisses [27,28]. These complexes are called the Kangding complex (or Kangding group) in Sichuan Province. The lithology of the Kangding complex is mainly granitic gneiss, which is locally associated with amphibolite and granulite. Migmatite, argillaceous gneiss, mica schist, marble, and quartzite occur locally in the Kangding complex [29]. In the Neoproterozoic, a collision orogeny occurred in the Kangdian region in South China, which resulted in local migmatization of the Kangding complex [14,26]. In the Neopaleozoic, a large number of basic magmatic rocks intruded in Kangdian region, the most famous of which is the Emeishan basalt [30].
In the Neopaleozoic, a large number of basic magmatic rocks intruded in Kangdian region, the most famous of which is the Emeishan basalt [30].

Figure 1. Simplified geologic map of the Kangdian region in the southwestern margin of the Yangtze Block showing the distribution of the Kangding complex (modified from Zhang et al. [12]). CAOB = central Asian orogenic belt, HOB = Himalayan orogenic belt, TC = Tarim Craton, QDOB = Qinling-Dabie orogenic belt, YB = Yangtze Block, NCC = North China Craton, CB = Cathaysian Block, SOB = Sanjiang orogenic belt.

Datian uranium deposit is located in Panzhihua City. The Datian uranium deposit is hosted in the Kangding complex in the Kangdian region. The Kangding complex is divided into the lower Zanli Formation and the upper Lengzhuguan Formation in the Datian area (Figure 2). The Lengzhuguan Formation consists of granulite, gneiss, schist, and phyllite. The Zanli Formation is composed of migmatized amphibolite, brecciated migmatite, and hornblende plagiogneiss, with local amphibolite veins. The Datian uranium deposit is hosted in the lower Zanli Formation, which can be divided into three lithologic members.
(i) The lithology of the third member of the Zanli Formation consists of migmatized plagioclase amphibolite and plagioclase amphibolite gneiss, and their protolith is mafic magmatic rocks [18]. (ii) The second member of the Zanli Formation is composed of biotite quartz schist, graphite-bearing biotite plagioclase gneiss, and biotite plagioclase migmatite, and their protolith is sedimentary rocks [18]. (iii) The first member of the Zanli Formation consists of augen gneiss and augen migmatite, and their protolith is felsic magmatic rocks [18]. The uranium mineralization mainly occurs in the second member, in which graphite mineralization also occurs. Magmatic rocks are widely present in the Datian area (Datian quartz diorite and Heime granite; Figure 2). The Datian quartz diorite formed at 748–770 Ma [19], and the Heime granite formed at 745–783 Ma [14]. The granite and diabase veins formed at 765–778 Ma and 770–780 Ma, respectively [21].

Figure 2. Schematic geologic map of the Datian area. The age of the Datian quartz diorite, Heime granite, granite vein, and diabase veins are from the literature [14,19,21].

The Datian uranium deposit occurs in the migmatite of the Zanli Formation (Figure 3), and the ore body is strictly controlled by brittle-ductile faults. There are four faults (F1, F2,
F3, and F4) in the Datian uranium deposit. Albitization, zoisitization, and chloritization occurred in faults F1, F2, and F4, while K-feldsparization and chloritization occurred in fault F3. There are three main mineralization zones (I, II, and III) in the Datian uranium deposit, which are located in the F1, F2, and F4 fault respectively. Seventeen deep orebodies have been identified in uranium ore belt I, two orebodies in uranium ore belt II, and four in uranium ore belt III. These deep orebodies are lenticular and vein-like. These deep orebodies are 200–500 m in length and 50–150 m in width (trend ENE and dip 55~67° ESE). The thickness of the orebodies is 0.73–1.14 m, and the grade is 0.12–0.6 wt % U. Uraninite, coffinite, pyrrhotite, molybdenite, and pyrite are the main ore minerals, and chalcopyrite, monazite, xenotime, and ilmenite are minor phases. The gangue minerals include plagioclase, graphite, albite, amphibole, quartz, biotite, chlorite, and a small amount of sphene, and apatite.

![Figure 3. Schematic geologic map of the Datian uranium deposit (modified from Sun et al. [18]).](image)

3. Analytical Methods

Three uraninite samples from the Datian uranium deposit were collected and analyzed (Figure 4a,b,e and Figure 5a,d,g). All of the samples are fresh and were collected from drill holes in the Datian uranium deposit. A mineralogical study was conducted by use of a polarizing microscope (Nikon, LV50NPOL) at the Chengdu University of Technology, Chengdu, China. The targets for the EMPA analysis were selected on the basis of the mineralogical study and backscattered electron (BSE) images of the uraninite.

3.1. Electron Microprobe Analysis (EMPA)

The EMPA analysis was conducted at the Beijing Research Institute of Uranium Geology, Beijing, China. The backscattered electron (BSE) images and composition analysis of the uraninite were conducted by use of a JEOL-8100 instrument with a four-wavelength dispersion spectrometer and an Oxford Inca energy dispersion spectrometer. The EMPA...
beam diameter was modulated between 1 µm and 10 µm according to the size of the uraninite. The accelerating voltage and beam current were 20 keV and 20 nA, respectively.

Figure 4. Photos of the drill cores from drill holes ZK803, ZK1802, and ZK4012 in the Datian uranium deposit. (a,b,e) Uraninite hosted in biotite plagioclase migmatite; (c) pyrite, pyrrhotite, and molybdenite in drill hole ZK1802; (d) pyritization and silicification in drill hole ZK1802; (f) biotite plagioclase migmatite in drill hole ZK4012. Mineral abbreviations: Ur—uraninite; Po—pyrrhotite; Py—pyrite; Mot—molybdenite.
Figure 5. (a,d,g) Polished thin section photos and (b,c,e,f,h,i) backscattered electron (BSE) images showing the uraninite and associated minerals: (a) Ur1 uraninite associated with ilmenite; (b) Ur1 uraninite with a scattered distribution in ilmenite; (c) Ur1 uraninite associated with xenotime, monazite, and ilmenite; (d) Ur2 uraninite associated with albite and granular and veined pyrite; (e) coffinite filling cracks in the Ur2 uraninite, forming a network of veins; (f) dendritic coffinite in the Ur2 uraninite; (g) Ur2 uraninite associated with granular pyrite; (h) Ur2 uraninite associated with zircon, chlorite, and pyrite; (i) fine-grained coffinite filling the fissures in the Ur2 uraninite. Mineral abbreviations: Ur—uraninite; Cof—coffinite; Py—pyrite; Ab—albite; Ilm—ilmenite; Bt—biotite; Xtm—xenotime; Mnz—monazite; Zr—zircon; Chl—chlorite. The red circles indicate the locations of the EMPA spots on the uraninite. The black circles indicate the locations of the LA-ICP-MC spots on the uraninite. The yellow circles indicate the locations of the LA-ICP-MC and EMPA spots on the coffinite.

3.2. LA-ICP-MS Uraninite U–Pb Ages

On the basis of the EMPA analyses, the U–Pb isotopes, trace elements, and rare earth elements (REEs) were analyzed at the same or adjacent positions. The U–Pb isotopes, trace elements, and REEs of the uraninite were analyzed at Beijing Research Institute of Uranium Geology (Beijing, China) by use of LA-ICP-MS (ELEMENT XR, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The laser was a Geolas 193 nm excimer laser produced by Coherent Company in the United States with a wavelength of 193 nm. He was used as the carrier gas and Ar was used as the compensation gas. Before testing, the gas flow and working parameters of the ICP-MS were optimized by use of US National Standard Reference Material SRM 610 to achieve the maximum $^{238}$U signal strength, a signal intensity ratio of $^{238}$U to $^{232}$Th of about 1, an oxide yield (ThO/Th) of less than 0.3%, and a double charge yield (Ca$^{2+}$/Ca$^+$) of less than 0.5%. The laser strip beam spot diameter was 10 μm, the frequency was 2 Hz, and the energy density was 6 J/cm². The U–Pb isotopic ages were calibrated by use of standard uraninite substance GBW04420 (ID-TIMS date of
69.8 ± 0.42 Ma) developed by the Beijing Research Institute of Uranium Geology [31,32]. GBW04420 is granite type uraninite, which is gray black granular, and the grain size is mainly tens of microns. After crushing, magnetic separation and careful hand-picking, the purity of the GBW04420 is up to 99.8% [32]. The contents of U and Pb in GBW04420 are 69.48 ± 0.34% and 6869 ppm respectively, and the atomic percentages of radiogenic 206Pb and 207Pb are 94.60 ± 0.13% and 4.643 ± 0.008% respectively [31]. The calculated 206Pb/238U, 207Pb/206Pb, and 207Pb/235U ratios of GBW04420 are 0.01091 ± 0.00006 (1σ), 0.05060 ± 0.00036 (1σ), and 0.07612 ± 0.00065 (1σ) [32]. The GSG (ID-TIMS date of 405–418 Ma; LA-ICP-MS date of 405 ± 3 Ma; EMPA U-Th-Pb date of 407.6–416.9 Ma) is also analyzed to monitor and verify the accuracy of dating results [33–35]. The GSG uraninite sample comes from Guangshigou pegmatite-type uranium deposit in North Qinling orogenic belt, China [36]. The chemical composition and U–Pb age of GSG uraninite are generally homogeneous, and the U–Pb system is closed, which can be used as secondary reference material. The GBW04420 and GSG was analyzed twice intercalated with every 6 unknown analyses. The element contents of the uranium minerals were corrected by use of US national standard reference substance SRM 610 and United States Geological Survey (USGS) standard substances BHVO-2G, BCR-2G, and BIR-1G (external standard) [37,38]. The signal acquisition time of each sample was 100 s. Finally, the sample data were processed by use of Data Cal [37], and the age calculations and union drawing were done by use of Isoplot 3.0 [39].

4. Results

4.1. Mineral Assemblages of Uraninite

Two uranium minerals, uraninite and coffinite, were identified in the three samples. According to their relationships with other minerals and the field relationships, two phases of uraninite were identified. The phase 1 (Ur1) uraninite was found in sample DT803, and the phase 2 (Ur2) uraninite was found in samples DT1802 and DT4012 (Figure 5). The Ur1 uraninite is characterized by local development of microfractures and pores, distinct variations in crystal size, a scattered distribution, and irregular crystal shapes (Figure 5a–c). The Ur1 uraninite is associated with ilmenite, biotite, and a small amount of monazite and xenotime, with almost no associated pyrite and hardly any coffinite (Figure 5a–c). However, the Ur2 uraninite is associated with pyrite, pyrrhotite, molybdenite (Figure 4c), albite, coffinite, zircon, and chlorite, and it exhibits an especially close relationship with pyrite and albite (Figure 5d,g). The biggest difference between the Ur1 and Ur2 uraninite is whether they are associated with pyrite (Figure 5a,d,g). The Ur2 uraninite is mainly controlled by tectonic alteration zones and is distributed in the albitionization, silicification, pyritization, and chloritization alteration zones (Figure 4b,d). Compared to the Ur2 uraninite, the Ur1 uraninite is less abundant and is mainly distributed in the felsic veins (leucosome) (Figure 4a).

4.2. Compositions of the Uraninite and Coffinite

The ThO2 and Y2O3 contents are significantly different in the Ur1 and Ur2 uraninite (Figure 6). The results are presented in Table 1. The UO2 contents of the Ur2 uraninite range from 79.61 to 82.69 wt %; the ThO2 contents range from 3.41 to 4.83 wt %; and the Y2O3 contents range from 0.46 to 0.98 wt %. Compared to the Ur2 uraninite, the Ur1 uraninite has higher UO2 contents (81.27–86.45 wt %), lower ThO2 contents (1.08–1.68 wt %), and significantly higher Y2O3 contents (1.11–1.66 wt %). In addition to UO2, Y2O3, and ThO2, the Ur1 and Ur2 uraninite also have significant PbO (7.40–9.89 wt %). In addition, minor amounts of SiO2 (bdl–0.49 wt %), K2O (0.11–0.22 wt %), Na2O (bdl–0.16 wt %), FeO (bdl–0.25 wt %), and CaO (bdl–0.69 wt %) were also detected in the uraninite grains (bdl, below detection limit). Compared to the uraninite, the coffinite has lower UO2 (47.24–68.02 wt %) and PbO (bdl–2.81 wt %) contents and far higher SiO2 (14.08–19.76 wt %) and CaO (0.23–6.1 wt %) contents in sample DT4012. The results of the EMPA analyses show that the total oxide
contents of the coffinite are low (minimum of 81.43 wt %) because the coffinite contains more water molecules [40].

Overall, the uraninite grains in sample DT803 (Ur1) exhibit the same basic grey shades in the BSE images and X-ray element maps, indicating the homogeneity of the U, Pb, and Th distributions (Figure 7). In sample DT1802 (Ur2), the uraninite exhibits different grey shades in the BSE images and X-ray element maps, indicating the heterogeneity of the U, Pb, and Th distributions (Figure 8). In sample DT1802 (Ur2), fractures, pores, and coffinite are developed in the uraninite (Figure 8a). The BSE images show that the fractures and pores are particularly dark, and the light gray coffinite is distributed in the uraninite as a network of veins. Although the X-ray element maps do not show distinct compositional zoning in sample DT1802, significant depletion of U and Th and enrichment of Pb was observed in the fractures and pores (Figure 8b–d). It cannot be confirmed whether the irregularly distributed fractures and pores are the result of radiation damage due to the increased contents of radioactive elements or whether they are fluid-induced dissolution cavities [41]. The widely distributed microfractures in the uraninite in sample DT1802 act as a fluid conduits, which promoted post-mineralization alteration. Based on the above facts, we believe that the coffinite in sample DT1802 is the product of alteration of the uraninite. In sample DT803 (Ur1), a small number of microfractures and pores developed, and coffinite is rare (Figure 7a).

Figure 6. (a–f) Contents of the different major and minor elements in the Ur1 and Ur2 uraninite grains.
### Table 1. Oxide concentrations (wt %) of uraninite and coffinite from the Datian uranium deposit.

| Sample/EMPA Spot ID | Phase | UO₂ | PbO | ThO₂ | Y₂O₃ | SiO₂ | K₂O | MgO | CeO₂ | CaO | La₂O₃ | Nd₂O₃ | Pr₂O₃ | Total | U/Th |
|---------------------|-------|-----|-----|------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| DT803/1Ur1 | 48.95 | 9.19 | 1.14 | b.d.l. | 0.15 | 0.02 | b.d.l. | 0.09 | 0.10 | b.d.l. | 0.37 | b.d.l. | 97.50 | 44.17 |
| DT803/2Ur1 | 83.36 | 8.70 | 1.22 | 0.24 | 0.15 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.16 | b.d.l. | 0.16 | b.d.l. | 95.57 | 55.67 |
| DT803/3Ur1 | 84.17 | 8.39 | 1.56 | 0.28 | 0.11 | b.d.l. | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.34 | b.d.l. | 0.12 | 0.06 | 96.40 | 41.80 |
| DT803/4Ur1 | 86.45 | 8.85 | 1.52 | 0.21 | b.d.l. | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.39 | b.d.l. | 0.10 | b.d.l. | 99.57 | 44.06 |
| DT803/5Ur1 | 82.99 | 8.64 | 1.48 | 0.11 | 0.19 | 0.02 | b.d.l. | 0.13 | b.d.l. | 0.16 | b.d.l. | 95.38 | 43.44 |
| DT803/6Ur1 | 84.53 | 9.58 | 1.68 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.01 | b.d.l. | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.12 | b.d.l. | 0.31 | 0.04 | 98.32 | 39.00 |
| DT803/7Ur1 | 83.88 | 9.58 | 1.52 | 0.13 | 0.14 | b.d.l. | 0.23 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.32 | b.d.l. | 0.47 | b.d.l. | 97.66 | 49.23 |
| DT803/8Ur1 | 84.12 | 9.84 | 1.52 | 0.13 | b.d.l. | 0.12 | b.d.l. | 0.06 | 0.56 | 0.20 | 0.33 | b.d.l. | 98.18 | 42.88 |
| DT803/9Ur1 | 83.18 | 8.89 | 1.29 | 0.37 | 0.13 | 0.03 | b.d.l. | 0.03 | 0.25 | 0.63 | b.d.l. | 0.15 | b.d.l. | 97.60 | 49.96 |
| DT803/10Ur1 | 82.15 | 8.46 | 1.13 | 0.39 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 94.69 | 56.32 |
| DT803/11Ur1 | 84.04 | 8.66 | 1.08 | 0.16 | 0.18 | b.d.l. | 0.17 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.03 | 0.21 | 0.05 | 95.98 | 60.29 |
| DT803/12Ur1 | 82.84 | 8.84 | 1.49 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.10 | b.d.l. | 0.45 | b.d.l. | 95.61 | 43.07 |
| DT803/13Ur1 | 83.01 | 9.27 | 1.51 | 0.42 | 0.22 | b.d.l. | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.17 | 0.11 | b.d.l. | 0.34 | b.d.l. | 96.44 | 52.28 |
| DT803/14Ur1 | 83.98 | 8.54 | 1.31 | 0.49 | 0.16 | b.d.l. | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.19 | b.d.l. | 0.33 | 0.06 | 96.56 | 49.67 |
| DT803/15Ur1 | 81.27 | 8.81 | 1.38 | 0.05 | 0.14 | b.d.l. | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.18 | b.d.l. | 0.38 | b.d.l. | 93.97 | 45.62 |
| DT803/16Ur2 | 82.42 | 8.19 | 3.54 | 0.72 | 0.11 | b.d.l. | 0.54 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.49 | b.d.l. | 0.64 | 0.08 | 97.04 | 18.04 |
| DT803/17Ur2 | 88.09 | 8.47 | 3.74 | 0.62 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.24 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.54 | b.d.l. | 0.47 | 0.08 | 95.52 | 16.77 |
| DT803/18Ur2 | 81.35 | 7.99 | 3.97 | 0.88 | b.d.l. | 0.18 | b.d.l. | 0.76 | 0.16 | 0.04 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.44 | 0.05 | 96.13 | 15.86 |
| DT803/19Ur2 | 84.44 | 8.87 | 3.41 | 0.76 | 0.08 | b.d.l. | 0.58 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.12 | b.d.l. | 0.31 | 0.06 | 96.96 | 18.73 |
| DT803/20Ur2 | 81.80 | 8.56 | 3.54 | 0.55 | b.d.l. | 0.18 | 0.04 | 0.63 | b.d.l. | 0.12 | b.d.l. | 0.40 | b.d.l. | 96.12 | 17.90 |
| DT803/21Ur2 | 82.51 | 9.22 | 3.46 | 0.46 | b.d.l. | 0.14 | b.d.l. | 0.55 | 0.04 | b.d.l. | 0.16 | b.d.l. | 0.47 | 0.03 | 97.04 | 18.47 |
| DT803/22Ur2 | 82.11 | 9.55 | 3.65 | 0.57 | b.d.l. | 0.16 | b.d.l. | 0.48 | b.d.l. | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.29 | b.d.l. | 96.94 | 17.43 |
| DT803/23Ur2 | 81.77 | 8.60 | 3.71 | 0.59 | 0.06 | 0.17 | b.d.l. | 0.38 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.14 | b.d.l. | 0.22 | 0.04 | 95.82 | 17.08 |

Note: b.d.l. = below detectable limit; Ur1 = phase 1 uraninite; Ur2 = phase 2 uraninite; Ur2C = phase 2 coffinite.

Figure 7. (a) BSE images and (b–d) X-ray element maps of the Ur1 uraninite grains showing intra-grain compositional homogeneity. Mineral abbreviations: Ur—uraninite; Ilm—illmenite.
Figure 7. (a) BSE images and (b–d) X-ray element maps of the Ur1 uraninite grains showing intra-grain compositional homogeneity. Mineral abbreviations: Ur—uraninite; Ilm—ilmenite.

Figure 8. (a) BSE images and (b–d) X-ray element maps of the Ur2 uraninite grains showing intra-grain compositional heterogeneity. (b–d) The element maps show that the fractures and pores in the uraninite grain are depleted in U and Th and enriched in Pb. Mineral abbreviations: Ur—uraninite; Cof—coffinite.

4.3. Trace Element Contents of the Uraninite and Coffinite

In general, the variations in the trace element concentrations of the Ur1 and Ur2 uraninite are not large (Figure 9 and Table S1). Most of the 31 elements analyzed have contents above the limits of detection (LOD) in the Ur1 and Ur2 uraninite, with the exception of V (bdl–6.5 ppm), Co (bdl–29.0 ppm), Cu (bdl–21.6 ppm), Zn (bdl–110.0 ppm), Ga (bdl–64.7 ppm), Rb (bdl–65.4 ppm), Sr (bdl–17.2 ppm), Nb (bdl–6.3 ppm), Ba (bdl–28.1 ppm), Hf (bdl–3.9 ppm), and Ta (bdl–1.4 ppm). This indicates that these elements have been incorporated into the Ur1 and Ur2 uraninite in different proportions [3]. In sample DT4012 (Ur2), the V (bdl–222.0 ppm), Cu (bdl–87.8 ppm), Zn (bdl–1381.0 ppm), Ga (42.0–306.0 ppm), Rb (bdl–103.0 ppm), Sr (3.7–412.0 ppm), Nb (bdl–16.1 ppm), Ba (bdl–169.0 ppm), and Hf (bdl–24.6 ppm) contents of the coffinite are significantly higher than those of the uraninite, indicating that these elements replaced Pb during the formation of the uraninite [3].
4.3. Trace Element Contents of the Uraninite and Coffinite

In general, the variations in the trace element concentrations of the Ur1 and Ur2 uraninite are not large (Figure 9 and Table S1). Most of the 31 elements analyzed have contents above the limits of detection (LOD) in the Ur1 and Ur2 uraninite, with the exception of V (bdl–6.5 ppm), Co (bdl–29.0 ppm), Cu (bdl–21.6 ppm), Zn (bdl–110.0 ppm), Ga (bdl–64.7 ppm), Rb (bdl–65.4 ppm), Sr (bdl–17.2 ppm), Nb (bdl–6.3 ppm), Ba (bdl–28.1 ppm), Hf (bdl–3.9 ppm), and Ta (bdl–1.4 ppm). This indicates that these elements have been incorporated into the Ur1 and Ur2 uraninite in different proportions [3].

In sample DT4012 (Ur2), the V (bdl–222.0 ppm), Cu (bdl–87.8 ppm), Zn (bdl–1381.0 ppm), Ga (42.0–306.0 ppm), Rb (bdl–103.0 ppm), Sr (3.7–412.0 ppm), Nb (bdl–16.1 ppm), Ba (bdl–169.0 ppm), and Hf (bdl–24.6 ppm) contents of the coffinite are significantly higher than those of the uraninite, indicating that these elements replaced Pb during the formation of the uraninite [3].

Figure 9. (a–f) Variations in the trace and rare earth element contents of the Ur1 and Ur2 uraninite in the Datian uranium deposit.

4.4. Rare Earth Element Contents of the Uraninite and Coffinite

The rare earth element (REE) patterns of the Ur1 and Ur2 uraninite are sickle-shaped, with low light rare earth element (LREE) and heavy rare earth element (HREE) contents compared to the middle rare earth element (MREE) contents (Figure 10a–c). The REE patterns of the Ur1 and Ur2 uraninite exhibit large negative Eu anomalies.

The $\Sigma$REE (increasing from 9399.6 to 11484.3 ppm and 8369.2 to 11484.3 ppm for samples DT1802 and DT4012, respectively) and $\Sigma$LREE/$\Sigma$HREE ratios (increasing from 1.90 to 2.15 and from 1.05 to 1.84 for samples DT1802 and DT4012, respectively) of samples DT1802 and DT4012 are basically similar (Table S1), with inconspicuously right-sloping REE patterns (Figure 10b,d). Compared to samples DT1802 (Ur2) and DT4012 (Ur2), the $\Sigma$REE (3747.5–7032.3 ppm) and $\Sigma$LREE/$\Sigma$HREE ratios (0.42–0.53) of sample DT803 (Ur1) are significantly lower. The REE pattern of sample DT803 is left-sloping (Figure 10a) and is significantly different from those of samples DT1802 and DT4012. The $\Sigma$LREE of the coffinite in sample DT4012 is significantly higher than the $\Sigma$HREE, with a negative Eu anomaly (Figure 10d). The $\Sigma$REE of the coffinite is basically the same as that of the uraninite in sample DT4012. Compared to the uraninite, the coffinite exhibits strong fractionation between the LREEs and HREEs ($\Sigma$LREE/$\Sigma$HREE: 2.22–4.58).
Figure 10. Chondrite-normalized REE patterns of the uraninite and coffinite from the Datian uranium deposit and various hydrothermal and magmatic deposits around the world; (a–c) REE patterns of the uraninite and (d) REE patterns of the coffinite from this study; (e–g) data from Mercadier et al. [42]; (h) data from Frimmel et al. [43]; LREEs are from La to Eu and HREEs are from Gd to Lu; normalization values from Anders and Grevesse [44].

4.5. LA-ICP-MS Uraninite U–Pb Ages

The U–Pb isotopic compositions of the uraninite from the Datian uranium deposit were analyzed via LA-ICP-MS. The results are presented in Table S1. The ages of the uraninite in samples DT803 (Ur1), DT1802 (Ur2), and DT4012 (Ur2) are 841.4 ± 4.0 Ma,
837.2 ± 4.5 Ma, and 834.5 ± 4.1 Ma, respectively. The U–Pb isotopic compositions of the uraninite are very homogeneous, showing good consistent uraninite ages on the concordia diagram (Figure 11). Therefore, these three ages can represent the formation ages of the uraninite in the Datian uranium deposit.

Figure 11. LA-ICP-MS U–Pb concordia diagram of the uraninite and weighted ages of samples DT803 (Ur1), DT1802 (Ur2), and DT4012 (Ur2) from the Datian uranium deposit.

5. Discussion
5.1. Significance of the Major Element Compositions of the Uraninite and Coffinite

Pure uraninite \((U^{4+}O_2)\) is extremely rare in nature owing to the automatic oxidation caused by the radioactive decay of the uranium. The defects and non-stoichiometry of uraninite are conducive to the substitution of cations, resulting in changes in the chemical formula to \((U^{4+}_{1-x-y-z}U^{6+}_xREE^{3+}_yM^{2+}_{z})O_{2-x-(0.5y)-(0.5z)}\) \([41,45–47]\). During uraninite crystallization, it is easy for the elements in the uraninite to exchange with those in the hydrothermal fluids \([48]\). The exchange of uranium with other elements is related to the temperature and ionic radii of the elements \([49]\). The contents of these elements (Th, Ca, Si, Fe, K, Al, Na, REEs, and Y) in the uraninite are a function of the physicochemical conditions (redox state, mineralization temperature, and fluid composition) \([42,43,50–52]\).
The ThO$_2$ content of uraninite is an important index used to judge its formation temperature [43,53]. When uraninite crystallizes, Th$^{4+}$ can replace the U$^{4+}$ in the uraninite because both have atomic radii of 1.1 Å for a coordination number of 8 [43,54,55]. Owing to the low solubility of Th$^{4+}$, the mobility of Th in the hydrothermal environment is low [43]. Therefore, Th$^{4+}$ has a high solubility (1-12 wt % ThO$_2$) in high temperature hydrothermal fluids and a low solubility in low temperature hydrothermal fluids [56,57]. The high ThO$_2$ content (3.41-4.83 wt %) of the Ur2 uraninite indicates that it was formed at high temperatures (Figure 6a). The ThO$_2$ content (1.08-1.68 wt %) of the Ur1 uraninite is significantly lower than that of the Ur2 uraninite, which indicates that the Ur1 and Ur2 uraninite formed at different temperatures. The Ur1 uraninite was also formed at high temperatures, but at temperatures lower than that of the Ur2 uraninite. The high ThO$_2$ contents of the Ur1 and Ur2 uraninite indicate that they formed at high temperatures, for instance at temperatures consistent with magmatic hydrothermal, metamorphic, and magmatic processes [41]. This conclusion is further verified by the U/Th versus ∑REE diagram, on which all of the uraninite data plot within the high temperature magmatic/metamorphic field (>450 °C; Figure 12a). The hyperbolic trend of the data on the UO$_2$/ThO$_2$ versus ThO$_2$ plot (Figure 6f) shows that ThO$_2$ was incorporated into the structures of the Ur1 and Ur2 uraninite during crystallization from a high temperature hydrothermal fluid [41,53,58]. The CaO, SiO$_2$, Na$_2$O, and FeO data of the Ur1 and Ur2 uraninite are very scattered (Figure 6d,e), indicating that Ca, Si, Na, and Fe entered the uraninite structure during crystallization [55]. Most of the Ur1 and Ur2 uraninite are characterized by low SiO$_2$ (bdl–0.49 wt %) and FeO (bdl–0.25 wt %) contents, which indicates that they are fresh and were only slightly influenced by post-crystallization alteration [59,60].

The enriched Si contents reflect alteration because of the tendency of uraninite to form coffinite [43]. The coffinite filling the fissures in the uraninite is a product of the later alteration of the uraninite. In sample DT4102, the UO$_2$ and PbO contents of the coffinite are significantly lower than those of the uraninite, but the SiO$_2$ content is much higher. This indicates that the U and Pb were mainly exited, and that the Si entered the uraninite during the alteration. During the alteration process, Si$^{4+}$ easily entered the lattice of the uraninite and a large amount of exchange occurred with U$^{4+}$, resulting in a sharp increase in the SiO$_2$ content and a decrease in the UO$_2$ content of the coffinite [40]. It is not easy for Pb$^{4+}$ to enter the uraninite lattice due to the difference in ionic radii of Pb$^{4+}$ (1.37 Å) and U$^{4+}$ (1.08 Å) [40,59]. Therefore, Pb$^{4+}$ always leaves the uraninite crystal structure during alteration events, resulting in the coffinite having a very low PbO content. In sample DT4102, the coffinite was formed by later hydrothermal alteration of the uraninite, and the microfractures may have acted as fluid conduits [41].

![Figure 12](image-url)

**Figure 12.** (a) Plot of ∑REE versus U/Th for the Ur1 and Ur2 uraninite from the Datian uranium deposit [42]. (b) Plot of ∑REE versus LREE (La-Eu)/HREE (Gd-Lu) for the Ur1 and Ur2 uraninite from the Datian uranium deposit [43]. Normalization values from Anders and Grevesse [44].
5.2. Interpretation of the REE Concentrations and Patterns

It has been proposed that the REE contents of uraninite can be used to determine the geological conditions of the genesis of uranium deposits [3, 42, 52, 61–64]. The variation in the $\sum$REE of uraninite is controlled by the ore genesis, mineralization temperature, and paragenetic minerals [42, 43]. The higher the formation temperature of the uraninite is, the greater the $\sum$REE is [42, 43, 55, 60]. The REE pattern of uraninite is mainly governed by the partition coefficients and ore-forming temperature [42, 55]. A large amount of REEs are incorporated into uraninite at high temperatures, and the partition coefficients have a weak effect on the REE pattern [55]. In contrast, at low temperatures, the incorporation of REEs into uraninite is difficult and the compatibility of REEs with the crystal structure of uraninite has a significant influence. The Ur1 and Ur2 uraninite were formed at high temperatures, and their REE patterns were mainly controlled by temperature. On the $\sum$REE versus $(\sum$LREE$)_N/ (\sum$HREE$)_N$ diagram (Figure 12b), the data points plot in different clusters and do not overlap with each other. The Ur1 uraninite exhibits obvious fractionation between LREEs and HREEs, with significantly lower LREE concentrations compared to the HREE concentrations (Figure 10a). This may be caused by the LREEs entering the monazite and forming LREE-rich monazite during the formation of the uraninite [65]. Some monazite was found in the Ur1 uraninite, which supports this conjecture (Figure 5b, c). The negative Eu anomalies of the Ur1 and Ur2 uraninite are larger than those of the pegmatite-type uranium deposit in Ekomédion (Figure 10h) and the magmatic rock-type uranium deposit in Rössing (Figure 10e). This was caused by the fractionation of plagioclase during the migmatization process and the reducing conditions during the precipitation of the uraninite [42]. The ilmenite in the Ur1 uraninite and the pyrite in the Ur2 uraninite indicate that the uraninite formed under reducing conditions [66].

In sample DT4012, the $\sum$LREE of the coffinite is significantly higher than that of the uraninite and its REE pattern is right-sloping (Figure 10d). Coffinite is mostly formed under medium to low temperature conditions [40]. This indicates that the REE pattern of coffinite is principally controlled by the partition coefficients [43].

The intense negative correlations between Th and Y (Figure 9e) and Th and $\sum$REE (Figure 9f) for the Ur1 uraninite do not indicate that they were not simultaneously incorporated into the Ur1 uraninite, but rather they indicate the different behaviors during the formation of the Ur1 uraninite. Owing to its similar geochemical behavior, Y tends to have a close relationship with the $\sum$REE content [43]. The $\sum$REE content is positively correlated with Y in the Ur1 uraninite (Figure 9a). The intense positive correlation between $\sum$REE and Y indicates that they were likely incorporated into the Ur1 uraninite at the same time.

5.3. Role of Migmatites in the Formation of the Uraninite

The EMPA U-Th-Pb chemical ages of the uraninite in the Datian uranium deposit were previously reported to be 774.9–785.5 Ma [23]. However, the reliability of these ages is difficult to evaluate because most of the uraninite samples were collected from the surface and were highly weathered. In this study, fresh samples were collected from drill holes for in-situ LA-ICP-MS U–Pb isotopic dating of the uraninite. The ages obtained are very homogeneous, and the SiO$_2$ and FeO$_2$ contents of the uraninite are very low. These facts indicate that the dating results are reliable and were less affected by later alteration. Our results demonstrate that the Ur1 ($841.4 \pm 4.0$ Ma) and Ur2 ($834.5 \pm 4.1–837.2 \pm 4.5$ Ma) uraninite from the Datian uranium deposit predated the formation of the granitic dikes (765–778 Ma) by ~65 Ma [21], and thus, they should not have genetic relationship with the granitic dikes. The zircon U–Pb ages of the biotite plagioclase migmatite in the Datian uranium deposit are 830–900 Ma [20]. During the Neoproterozoic, the Yangtze Block was principally in an extensional setting [67], but it was interrupted by the 860–818 Ma Sibao orogeny [68]. This indicates that the migmatites were mainly formed in an orogenic setting.

On the basis of the zircon U–Pb ages of the granitic veins (765–778 Ma) and the EMPA U-Th-Pb chemical ages of the uraninite (774.9–785.5 Ma) in the Datian uranium deposit, several scholars have concluded that the granitic veins intruded along the structural
alteration zone (F3; Figure 3) and formed hydrothermal fluids under the background of continental rifting and extension [18,20–22]. The hydrothermal fluids extracted the ore-forming materials from the migmatite and transported them into the secondary structural alteration zone (F1, F2, and F4; Figure 3) to form the uraninite [21,23]. Our study clarifies the temporal relationship between the migmatite and uraninite. The temporal consistency shows that the Ur1 and Ur2 uraninite are genetically related to the migmatite. On the basis of our results, we propose a formation process for the Datian uranium deposit. During the orogeny, owing to migmatization, the uranium was remobilized from a primal diagenetic uranium stock within the migmatite or the migmatized gneiss in the Zanli Formation. The uranium transfer was guaranteed by high-temperature hydrothermal fluids produced by the dehydration, degassing, and dissolution reactions during the migmatization [9]. The uranium precipitation was controlled by the secondary structural alteration zone (F1, F2, and F4; Figure 3) and occurred adjacent to reducing agents such as sulfides and graphiteis. REE fractionation patterns are a reliable discriminator between different genetic types [43]. The REE patterns of the uraninite in the Datian uranium deposit are significantly different from those of magmatic/intrusive type, hydrothermal vein type, synmetamorphic type, and late magmatic pegmatite type uraninite around the world (Figure 10), indicating that a genetic difference between the Datian uranium deposits and these uranium deposits. The results of the geochemical analyses show that the migmatite was greatly rich in U (up to 21.7 ppm) [14] and was 7 times more enriched in U than the average continental crust (2.8 × 10^{-6} U) [69]. Thus, this migmatite was a dependable source of material for the uraninite in the Datian deposit.

6. Conclusions

1. The Datian uranium deposit is a high temperature hydrothermal uranium deposit. \( \sum \text{REE} \) is positively correlated with Y, and is poorly correlated with U and is negatively correlated with Th in the Ur1 uraninite. These relationships demonstrate that the REEs, Y, and Th were originally incorporated into the Ur1 uraninite at the same time.

2. There are two phases of uraninite in the Datian migmatite hosted uranium deposit in the Kangdian region. They were formed by high temperature hydrothermal fluids, but their formation temperatures were significantly different. The phase 1 (Ur1) uraninite is characterized by the local development of microfractures and pores, distinct variations in crystal size, and irregular crystal shapes; while the phase 2 (Ur2) uraninite has anhedral crystal shapes with well-developed microfractures and pores. The Ur1 uraninite was formed during the first mineralization stage and is associated with ilmenite, biotite, and REE minerals (monazite and xenotime), whereas the Ur2 uraninite was formed from a secondary \( S^{2-} \)-rich hydrothermal fluid, along with pyrite, pyrrhotite, molybdenite, coffinite, zircon, and chlorite, and was accompanied by albitization and chloritization. The ThO2 and \( \sum \text{REE} \) contents of the Ur2 uraninite are significantly higher than those of the Ur1 uraninite, and Ur2 uraninite is associated with high temperature minerals (molybdenite and pyrrhotite) and high temperature alteration (albitization). These facts indicate that the formation temperature of the Ur2 uraninite was higher than that of the Ur1 uraninite.

3. In-situ LA-ICP-MS U–Pb dating of the uraninite from the Datian uranium deposit provided two different ages of 834.5 ± 4.1 Ma and 837.2 ± 4.5 Ma and 841.4 ± 4.0 Ma. These two ages are in excellent agreement with the ages of the migmatite in the Datian uranium deposit. Therefore, the Datian uranium deposit experienced at least two hydrothermal events, which led to the formation of the uraninite, and the uraninite are genetically related to the migmatite. The migmatite in the Zanli Formation provided the ore-forming material for the uranium mineralization.

4. The Datian uranium deposit represents a new type of migmatite hosted uranium deposit in the Kangdian region. Further studies of the U enrichment mechanisms of other migmatite hosted uranium deposits in the Kangdian region need to be conducted to evaluate their economic potentials.
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