Stress-induced cortisol hampers memory generalization
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Learning and memory can be supported by distinct systems that operate in parallel but differ in the mode of information processing (McDonald and White 1993; Voermans et al. 2004; White et al. 2013). The most prominent of these systems is based on the medial temporal lobe (MTL), including the hippocampus, and supports mainly episodic, spatial, or relational (“cognitive”) memory (Scoville and Milner 1957; O’Keefe and Nadel 1978; Eichenbaum 1999; Burgess et al. 2002). This system is also essential for memory integration during encoding, associative memory, and flexible learning (Eichenbaum 2004; Shohamy and Wagner 2008; Zeithamova and Preston 2010; Horner and Burgess 2013; Schlichting et al. 2014; Backus et al. 2016; Richter et al. 2016). However, memory performance may remain intact even after MTL damage (Knowlton et al. 1996; Corkin 2002). These remaining memory functions depend on other memory systems, such as the dorsal striatum that supports habit-based learning and memory (Knowlton et al. 1996; Packard and Knowlton 2002). Compared with the MTL-dependent memory system, however, dorsal striatum-dependent memory lacks the flexibility that is required to generalize experiences to novel situations (Myers et al. 2003).

Converging lines of evidence indicate that stress induces a shift from hippocampal to dorsal striatal control of learning (Kim et al. 2001; Schwabe et al. 2007; Wingard and Packard 2008; Packard and Goodman 2012; Schwabe 2013; Schwabe and Wolf 2013). Glucocorticoids, released during stressful encounters, play a key role in this bias toward striatal learning after stress (Schwabe et al. 2010a, 2013; Vogel et al. 2015). Interestingly, the stress-induced shift in the engagement of multiple memory systems does not necessarily affect task performance (Schwabe et al. 2010a; Schwabe and Wolf 2012), raising the question how the putative alteration in the involvement of distinct memory systems changes the outcome of learning under stress. If stress biases the engagement of multiple memory systems at the expense of the MTL-based system, and this system is, as outlined above, essential for memory generalization, it could be hypothesized that stress impairs the generalization of memories. However, whether stress may indeed disrupt memory generalization is currently unknown.

We tested the impact of stress on memory generalization in 64 healthy, nonsmoking adults (32 women; age: mean = 25.00 yr, SEM = 0.50 yr) without medication intake or a history of any mental or neurological disorders. All participants provided written informed consent, and the study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee. To control for diurnal variations of cortisol, all testing took place in the afternoon. Participants were randomly assigned to a stress or a control condition (16 men and 16 women per group). In the stress condition, participants underwent the standardized Trier Social Stress Test (TSST) (Kirschbaum et al. 1993). Briefly, participants gave a 5-min free speech promoting their candidacy for a job tailored to their interests and performed a 5-min mental arithmetic task performed in front of a rather cold and nonreinforcing panel. Participants were videotaped and could see themselves on a TV screen behind the panel. In the control condition, participants held a free speech on a topic of their choice and performed an easy mental arithmetic task performed by two control low responders) were identified as outliers (2 SD below/above the mean) and thus excluded from the analyses, leaving a sample of 60 participants.

Twenty-five minutes after the onset of the stress/control manipulation, participants performed a computerized acquired equivalence paradigm that was adapted from Myers et al. (2003). Participants had to learn which fish (consequent stimulus) belonged to a specific individual (antecedent stimulus, Fig. 1). The antecedent stimuli consisted of eight pictures of persons, differing in three features: age, gender, and hair color. The consequent stimuli consisted of eight drawings of differently colored fish. In each acquisition trial, participants saw one person together with two different fish and were asked to indicate by button press which fish belonged to the shown person. Their answer was marked and they received immediate feedback about the correct answer. The cortisol response to the stressor. These findings show that stress, presumably through the action of glucocorticoids, creates rather rigid memories that are difficult to transfer to novel situations.
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answer. The acquisition phase comprised three stages: (1) in the first stage (“shaping”), participants learned four antecedent–consequent stimulus pairings; (2) in the second stage (“equivalence training”), four new persons (antecedents) were introduced, with each new person owning the same fish as one of the previously introduced persons, thereby creating equivalences between two antecedent stimuli; (3) in the third stage (“new consequents”), the original four persons (antecedents) were presented with new fish (consequents), showing that a person can have two kinds of fish. On each trial, participants saw one person and two fish and were asked to indicate which fish belongs to this person. Participants received feedback about the correct answer. In a subsequent Testing Phase, in which no feedback was provided, participants had to demonstrate their memories for the learned associations in old trials and additionally had to generalize their memories to trials that had never been trained. In these new trials, the persons (antecedents) that were newly presented in the second acquisition stage were presented with two of the new fish (consequents) introduced in the third acquisition stage, thus requiring participants to make use of the equivalence learned in acquisition stage 2.

Overall, participants performed very well in the acquisition phase with an average performance of ~85%. A group × acquisition stage ANOVA showed a main effect of acquisition stage ($F_{(1.7, 99.6)} = 14.72, P < 0.001, \eta^2 = 0.20$), with the performance constantly improving across acquisition stages. Most important, however, there was neither a group × acquisition stage interaction nor a main effect of group (both $F$, 0.14, both $P$. 0.84). Furthermore, cortisol high responders did not differ in their acquisition performance from low responders or controls (both $F$, 1.8, both $P$. 0.15) (Supplemental Fig. S1), and the individual cortisol increase was not associated with performance in the acquisition stages (all $r$, 0.09, all $P$. 0.47). Thus, stress and stress-induced cortisol did not affect acquisition performance.

From the data of the testing phase, we calculated a Generalization Score as the critical behavioral index of memory generalization. This score was calculated as percentage of correct answers in the 24 new trials minus percentage of correct answers in the 24 old trials that were introduced in acquisition stage 3 plus a constant of 100. Thus, this parameter takes the interdependence of initial learning and subsequent memory generalization into account and allows us to disentangle participants’ memory for the learned associations from their ability to generalize these memories to novel situations.

Although stress had no impact on performance in the stage 3 trials that were included in the testing phase ($P = 0.99$) (Supplemental Table S2), thus ruling out a simple retrieval deficit,
analysis of the Generalization Score showed that the ability to generalize was significantly decreased in the stress group relative to the control group ($t = 2.21, P = 0.04$, Fig. 3A). Dividing the stress group into cortisol low- and high-responders revealed that this effect was mainly driven by stressed individuals showing a strong cortisol response (main effect of cortisol response group: $F(2,57) = 3.10, P = 0.05$): cortisol high responders showed a significantly lower Generalization Score than controls ($P < 0.05$), whereas the Generalization Score of the cortisol low responders did not significantly differ from the control group ($P > 0.95$; high responder vs. low responder $P > 0.60$) (Fig. 3B). The critical role of the stress-induced cortisol increase was also reflected in a pronounced negative correlation between the cortisol increase and the Generalization Score ($r = -0.31, P = 0.02$) (Fig. 3C). In addition to the association with cortisol, the Generalization Score was also negatively correlated with the indicators of sympathetic nervous system activity measured during the experimental manipulation: systolic blood pressure ($r = -0.30, P = 0.02$), diastolic blood pressure ($r = -0.26, P < 0.05$), and pulse ($r = -0.34, P = 0.01$; Supplemental Fig. S2). Based on a prominent model that assumes that stress effects on learning and memory require interacting cortisol and sympathetic activity (Roozendaal et al. 2006), we further calculated a product term of the $z$-transformed cortisol increase and $z$-transformed pulse and obtained a negative correlation between this interaction term and the Generalization Score ($r = -0.27, P = 0.04$; Supplemental Fig. S2).

Using an “acquired equivalence” task, we show here that stress interferes with memory generalization processes. Although participants’ acquisition performance remained unaffected by stress, stress impaired their ability to generalize the learned stimulus pairs to novel pairings. This stress-induced generalization deficit was mainly observed in participants who showed a strong cortisol increase to the stressor and was directly correlated with the individual cortisol response, indicating a crucial role of cortisol in the impaired memory generalization after stress.

Memory generalization based on the integration of overlapping events into a linked mnemonic representation is thought to depend critically on the hippocampus (Shohamy and Wagner 2008; Zeithamova and Preston 2010; Backus et al. 2016). In line with this idea, neuropsychological findings indicate that the generalization of memories is severely impaired in patients with hippocampal atrophy (Myers et al. 2002, 2003) or other hippocampal dysfunctions (Shohamy et al. 2010; Ivleva et al. 2012). Thus, it seems reasonable to assume that the stress-induced generalization deficit was due to an impairing effect of stress on hippocampal functioning. Indeed, there is ample evidence from neurophysiological and neuroimaging studies, suggesting that stress before learning may interfere with hippocampal activity (Kim and Diamond 2002; Schwabe and Wolf 2012). Furthermore, stress is known to favor dorsal striatal learning, at the expense of hippocampal learning (Wingard and Packard 2008; Schwabe et al. 2010c; Schwabe and Wolf 2013). Together, the proposed stress-induced impairment of hippocampal functioning and the bias towards striatal learning may have interfered with participants’ capacity to encode the learned associations as discrete representations. This discrete encoding, however, is required for...
remembering specific details of events, to detect overlap between experiences and consequently to generalize across them. The putative stress-induced shift from hippocampal toward dorsal striatal learning after stress could also explain why acquisition performance remained intact after stress. Specifically, a neuropsychological study that used the same acquired equivalence task showed that both patients with hippocampal atrophy and those with striatal dysfunction were able to learn this task to a certain extent, yet that patients with hippocampal atrophy were not able to generalize the learned associations to novel stimulus pairs (Myers et al. 2003). A stress-induced bias toward striatal learning may thus not necessarily impair acquisition performance but become apparent when the flexibility of learning is probed in the generalization test. This interpretation is in line with previous evidence showing that stress may alter the nature of learning without affecting task performance (Kim et al. 2001; Schwabe et al. 2007, 2010a; Seehagen et al. 2015). The changes in learning, however, become apparent when the environment changes or new information is added, revealing that memories formed under stress are rather rigid and less flexible.

Because we administered stress before learning and the testing phase followed immediately after the acquisition phase, one might argue that stress may not have necessarily altered memory encoding but rather the retrieval of this information in the testing phase, pointing to the well-known retrieval deficit after stress (de Quervain et al. 1998; Buchanan et al. 2006). However, such a retrieval deficit should be reflected in impaired performance in all trials of the testing phase, including those from the acquisition phase. Yet, we observed no impairment in these old trials, thus rendering a simple retrieval deficit unlikely. One might still argue that even if the observed effects are not due to a retrieval deficit they could be owing to impaired inference processes in the testing phase. Although this latter possibility cannot be fully ruled out, neuroimaging evidence indicates that successful generalization in an acquired equivalence task similar to the one used here is mainly mediated by hippocampal activity during encoding (Shohamy and Wagner 2008). It is thus tempting to speculate that the observed generalization deficit was mainly due to less integrative encoding of events, making it difficult to generalize across them. The explicit dissociation of stress effects on the encoding and generalization phases, however, remains a challenge for future studies.

The generalization deficit after stress was directly linked to the activity of cortisol. More specifically, the generalization deficit was only found in participants that showed a strong cortisol response to the stressor and correlated with the increase in cortisol. The critical role of cortisol in stress effects on cognition in general is well known (Joels 2001; de Quervain et al. 2003). Prefrontal and medial temporal areas are among the primary targets of cortisol in the brain (de Kloet et al. 2005; Joels and Baram 2009) and also the shift from hippocampal to dorsal striatal memory depends on cortisol, presumably acting via the MR (Schwabe et al. 2013; Vogel et al. 2015, 2016). Glucocorticoids, such as cortisol, however, do not act in isolation. In particular, it is well established that glucocorticoids may interact with noradrenergic arousal to modulate memory functions (Roozendaal et al. 2006, 2009; Schwabe et al. 2010b). In line with this view, the generalization deficit obtained here was also associated with the product term of cortisol increase and autonomic activation.

In sum, our results show that stress can interfere with our ability to generalize memories to new situations and that cortisol, in interaction with autonomic arousal, is critically involved in this effect. Importantly, this generalization deficit came without impairments in acquisition performance, underlining that even when learning performance is unaffected by stress, stress may have important effects on the nature (e.g., flexibility) of memory. As the generalization across past experiences is essential for flexible decision-making and behavior, stress-induced impairments in memory generalization may have considerable consequences in many areas of our lives. In educational contexts, for instance, the ability to transfer and generalize knowledge to novel contexts is crucial. In addition, the finding that stress may disrupt our ability to generalize appropriately and, at a more general level, the flexibility of memory may aid our understanding of stress-related mental disorders such as anxiety disorders or major depression.
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