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Abstract

Background

Accurate characterization of small nodules in a cirrhotic liver is challenging. We aimed to determine the additive value of MRI-based radiomics analysis to Liver Imaging Reporting and Data System version 2018 (LI-RADS v 2018) algorithm in differentiating small (≤ 3 cm) hepatocellular carcinomas (HCCs) from benign nodules in cirrhotic liver.

Methods

Cirrhosis patients (150) with histopathologically confirmed small liver nodules (HCC, 112; benign nodules, 44) were included. Based on the LI-RADS algorithm, a LI-RADS category was assigned for each lesion. A radiomics signature was generated based on texture features extracted from T1-weighted, T2W, and apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) images by using the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator regression model. A nomogram model was developed for the combined diagnosis. Diagnostic performance was assessed using receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) analysis.

Results

A radiomics signature consisting of eight features were significantly associated with the differentiation of HCCs from benign nodules. Both LI-RADS algorithm (area under ROC $[A_z] = 0.898$) and the MRI-Based radiomics signature ($A_z = 0.917$) demonstrated good discrimination; and the nomogram model showed a superior classification performance ($A_z = 0.975$). Compared with LI-RADS alone, the combined approach significantly improved the specificity (97.7% vs 81.8%, $p = 0.030$) and positive predictive value (99.1% vs 92.9%, $p = 0.031$) and afforded comparable sensitivity (97.3% vs 93.8%, $p = 0.215$) and negative predictive value (93.5% vs 83.7%, $p = 0.188$).

Conclusions

MRI-based radiomics analysis showed additive value to the LI-RADS v 2018 algorithm for differentiating small HCCs from benign nodules in cirrhotic liver.

Background

Early detection of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the only chance for effective treatment and long-term survival in high-risk patients. However, accurate characterization of cirrhotic nodules is challenging due to the overlap of imaging features among malignant and benign nodules [1, 2]. To standardize terminology and criteria for interpreting and reporting the imaging results of the liver, Liver Imaging
Reporting and Data System (LI-RADS) was established by the American College of Radiology. The initial version of LI-RADS was published in 2011, with major updates released in 2014, 2017, and 2018 [3–7]. LI-RADS reflects the relative probability of HCC development by assigning categories ranging from LR-1 to LR-5 (definitely HCC) or LR-TIV (definite tumor in vein) based on the presence of specific imaging features [5, 6].

Recently, the LI-RADS algorithm has been widely used to characterize liver nodules in patients with a high risk of HCC. The LI-RADS algorithm comprises categories based on major features, and ancillary features are used to improve characterization and detection, promote confidence, or modify the LI-RADS category after the involvement of ancillary features [5]. Regarding the performance of LI-RADS for diagnosing small HCCs, LR-5/LR-TIV categories showed fairly high specificity but limited sensitivity; on the contrary, combining the LR-4 and LR-5/LR-TIV categories for diagnosing HCC markedly improved sensitivity but led to a reduction in specificity [8–11]. Particularly, visualization of the LI-RADS imaging features may partly relate to observer's subjective experience. Thus, it is necessary to seek a noninvasive and quantitative method for identifying those small cirrhotic nodules.

Radiomics is a promising tool that allows for extracting numerous quantitative parameters by converting imaging data into a high-dimensional mineable feature set with a series of data-characterization algorithms. Regarding differential diagnosis in oncology, MRI-based radiomics has afforded encouraging results in the classification of primary breast tumor [12, 13], differentiation of the primary site of origin of brain metastases [14], identification of adrenal metastases from adrenal adenomas [15], and differentiation of benign and malignant prostate nodules [16, 17]. For liver assessments, MRI-based radiomics can be applied to differentiate hemangiomas, metastases, and HCCs [18], or differentiate between cysts and hemangiomas [19].

To our knowledge, the added value of MRI-based radiomics to the LI-RADS algorithm in the characterization of cirrhotic nodules is still undefined. In this study, using quantitative MRI-based radiomics analysis and qualitative imaging assessment, we aimed to determine the additive value of MRI-based radiomics to the LI-RADS v 2018 algorithm for the differentiation of small HCCs from benign nodules.

**Materials And Methods**

**Patients**

This retrospective study was approved by the institutional review board of Affiliated Cancer Hospital & Institute of Guangzhou Medical University. From January 2013 to October 2018, we reviewed liver MRI, clinical, and pathology data of 675 consecutive cirrhosis patients. The following patients were included: (1) patients with at least one nodule having a diameter smaller than or equal to 3 cm; (2) patients who had undergone dynamic enhancement and diffusion-weighted (DW) imaging; (3) patients in whom pathological confirmation by surgical resection had been performed; and (4) patients who did not undergo any treatment before MRI. Subsequently, 525 patients were excluded due to the following...
reasons: (1) presence of a nodule with a diameter larger than 3 cm (n = 220); (2) unavailability of dynamic enhancement or DW imaging data (n = 27); (3) lack of pathology data (n = 245); and (4) receipt of treatment prior to MRI (n = 33). Finally, 111 patients with 112 HCCs and 39 patients with 44 benign nodules were included. The patient inclusion flowchart is shown in Fig.1.

Image Acquisition

Sixty-eight patients underwent gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI (Gd-EOB-MRI) and 82 patients underwent gadopentetate dimeglumine-enhanced (Gd-DTPA) MRI. MR images were obtained using a 3.0-T whole-body MR system (Achieva; Philips Healthcare) with a 16-channel phased-array coil. Scanning sequences included a dual gradient-recalled echo T1-weighted sequence, an axial T2-weighted fat-suppression (FS) turbo spin-echo (TSE) sequence, dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI-Gd-EOB-MRI (unenhanced, arterial [20~35 s], portal [60 s], transitional phase [3 min], and hepatobiliary phase [20 min]) or Gd-DTPA-MRI (unenhanced, arterial [20~35 s], portal [60 s], and equilibrium [3 min]), and DW imaging with b-values of 0 and 800 s/mm². Apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) maps were created automatically on a voxel-by-voxel basis from the two b-values. The detailed MRI parameters are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. MRI Sequences and Parameters

| Sequence                              | FS | TR/TE (ms) | FA  | ST (mm) | FOV (cm) | Matrix       |
|---------------------------------------|----|------------|-----|---------|----------|--------------|
| T1-w dual gradient recalled echo      |    |            |     |         |          |              |
| in-phase                              | No | 10/2.5     | 10° | 5mm     | 30-38    | 256 × 224    |
| opposed-phase                         | No | 10/3.55    | 10° | 5mm     | 30-38    | 256 × 224    |
| Breath-hold FS T2-w                   | Yes| 2096/72    | 90° | 5mm     | 30-38    | 324 × 256    |
| DWI                                   | Yes| 1600/70,  | 90° | 5mm     | 30–35    | 100 × 100    |
| T1-w dynamic enhanced                 | Yes| 3.1/1.5    | 10° | 2mm     | 32–38    | 228 × 211    |

FS fat suppression, TR repetition time, TE echo time, FA flip angle, ST slice thickness, FOV field of view, T1-w T1 weighted, T2-w T2 weighted.

Qualitative Image Analysis

Two radiologists (observer 1, JSL, with 15 years of experience; and observer 2, BGL, with 10 years of experience) independently analyzed all MR images and reached a consensus. The radiologists were informed that this study attempted to evaluate the contribution of LI-RADS v 2018 in HCC detection but they were blinded to the patients’ clinical data and pathologic diagnosis.

First, LI-RADS categories were assigned based on major imaging features (lesion size, arterial phase hyperenhancement, enhancing “capsule,” and nonperipheral “washout”) and the observations were categorized as LR-3, LR-4, and LR-5 [5, 6]. The growth threshold was eliminated from the assessment,
because more than 6 months of follow-up were performed in only 10 patients. The detailed algorithm based on major imaging features is shown in Supplementary Table 1.

Second, the radiologists were requested to upgrade or downgrade the final LI-RADS categories based on the presence of ancillary features. Unlike major features, ancillary features are optional imaging features applied at the radiologist’s discretion. The ancillary features applied in this study are shown in Supplementary Table 2. According to the evaluation criteria of LI-RADS v 2018 [5], the rules for application of ancillary features to adjust LI-RADS categories are as follows: (a) if there are conflicting ancillary features, the category should not be adjusted; (b) ancillary features favoring HCC in particular or malignancy in general are only allowed for upgrade by a maximum of one category up to LR-4; upgrade from LR-4 to LR-5 category is not permitted; and (3) ancillary features favoring benignity may be used to downgrade an observation by a maximum of one category. Finally, LI-RADS categories based on the combination of major and ancillary features were documented for each lesion assessed.

**Radiomics Analysis**

**Image Segmentation and Feature Extraction:** Lesion outlining and texture feature extraction on MRI were performed using free open-source software package MaZda 4.6 (http://www.eletel.p.lodz.pl/programy/mazda/). Axial in-phase T1-WI, Fat suppresses (FS) T2-WI, and ADC maps in the “.dicom” format were imported to MaZda 4.6 for feature extraction. Two radiologists (XZ and BGL, with 5 and 10 years of experience in medical image segmentation) manually drew a region of interest (ROI) for each nodule on the image section that depicted the maximum area (Fig.2a~2c). If a nodule was difficult to identify in these sequences, T1W contrast-enhanced images were used for accurate ROI placement. The gray level of each ROI was normalized in the range of $\mu \pm 3\sigma$ (gray-level mean; and $\sigma$, gray-level standard deviation) to minimize the impact of contrast and brightness variation [19, 20]. Two hundred seventy-nine quantitative texture features resulting from six statistical image descriptors were extracted for each ROI (Fig.2d), including histogram, gray-level co-occurrence matrix, run-length matrix, wavelet, absolute gradient, and autoregressive model [21]. The detailed feature names and numbers are summarized in Supplementary Table 3. Ultimately, for each lesion, a total of 837 texture features based on the three sequences were determined for subsequent selection.

**Feature Selection and Radiomics Signature Construction:**

To determine the discriminative texture features for differentiating HCCs from benign nodules, feature selection was performed. First, feature selection was performed based on reproducibility and redundancy with reference to previous studies [22-24]. The inter-observer reproducibility for each feature extraction was estimated using interclass correlation coefficients (ICC). Texture features with ICC values $\geq 0.80$ were identified as highly reproducible features and were remained for further selection. Second, we performed feature selection from the remaining dataset by using the Mann–Whitney U test, and features with a P-value less than 0.05 were maintained.
Based on the aforementioned maintained features, the final feature selection was performed using the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) logistic regression analysis with 10-fold cross-validation based on minimum criteria [25]. The use of the LASSO analysis may cause overfitting and bias; thus, as mentioned in previous studies, we added backward elimination to reduce the number of remaining final features [22, 26]. A formula was created using a linear combination of the final selected features that were weighted by their respective LASSO coefficients. The formula was then applied to calculate a radiomics score for each liver nodule to reflect the probability of HCC. The performance was assessed by receiver-operator characteristic curve (ROC) analysis.

**Construction of the Radiomics Nomogram:**

With the combination of LI-RADS and radiomics signature, a radiomics nomogram model was constructed by using the multivariate logistic regression analysis, and a nomogram was plotted based on coefficients weighted by the logistic regression analysis. A calibration curve was drawn to appraise the calibration of the radiomics nomogram, accompanied by the Hosmer–Lemeshow test to assess the goodness-of-fit of the nomogram. The performance was assessed using ROC analysis.

**Statistical Analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using R software (version 3.5.3, [http://www.rproject.org/](http://www.rproject.org/)) and SPSS 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) software package, and statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$. LASSO logistic regression was performed using R statistical software with the "glmnet" package. The nomogram and calibration plots were created using the "rms" package, and the Hosmer–Lemeshow test was conducted using the "generalhoslem" package. Other statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 16.0; inter-reader variability between the two observers for LI-RADS categories was appraised using kappa statistics. The diagnostic performance for each diagnosis model was assessed using ROC analysis. The Mann–Whitney U test and Pearson chi-square test (or Fisher test) were used for continuous and categorical variables, respectively.

**Results**

**Patient Characteristics**

Of the 150 patients, 111 patients (74%) with 112 nodules were diagnosed as having HCC (diameter range, 0.9–3.0 cm; median, 2.1 cm), and 105 nodules were confirmed by resection, 7 nodules were confirmed by aspiration biopsy. 39 patients with 44 nodules were diagnosed as showing benign nodules (diameter range, 0.6–2.9 cm; mean, 1.7 cm), and 32 nodules were confirmed by resection, 12 nodules were confirmed by aspiration biopsy. There was a significant difference in nodule diameter between HCCs and benign nodules. The detailed patient and lesion characteristics are summarized in Table 2.

**Table 2. Characteristics of Patients and Lesions**
| Parameters                        | HCCs  | Benign nodules | \(P\) value |
|----------------------------------|-------|----------------|--------------|
| **Patient-wise analysis**        |       |                |              |
| Number                           | 111   | 39             |              |
| Age, median [range] (years)      | 55 [35-81] | 59 [41-82] | 0.198        |
| Male/female                      | 97/14 | 34/5           | 0.706        |
| Child-Pugh                       |       |                | NA           |
| A                                | 56    | 19             |              |
| B                                | 45    | 14             |              |
| C                                | 10    | 6              |              |
| **AFP\#**                        |       |                |              |
| Patients with high AFP serum*    | 45    | 11             | NA           |
| Patients with AFP serum > 200 ng/ml | 24    | 2              | NA           |
| Etiology of liver cirrhosis**    |       |                | NA           |
| HBV                              | 86    | 34             |              |
| HCV                              | 18    | 3              |              |
| Ethanol                          | 20    | 6              |              |
| Number of nodules/patient        |       |                | NA           |
| One nodule                       | 110   | 34             |              |
| Two nodules                      | 1     | 5              |              |
| **Lesion-wise analysis**         |       |                |              |
| Number                           | 112   | 44             | NA           |
| Histopathologic feature of lesions |       |                |              |
| Well-differentiated HCC          | 47    | 0              |              |
| Moderately/Poorly differentiated HCC | 75    | 0              | NA           |
| Dysplastic nodule                | 0     | 39             |              |
| Regenerative nodule              | 0     | 5              |              |
| Nodule size, median [range] (cm) | 2.1 [0.9-3.0] | 1.7 [0.6-2.9] | 0.027        |

Continuous variables are expressed as a median/range and qualitative variables as the total count;
#3 missing data; *high AFP serum means above the upper normal limit; ** A patient could have multiple etiologies. NA not assessment, AFP alpha-fetoprotein.

**Performance of the LI-RADS v 2018 Algorithm**

The frequencies of LI-RADS categories based on the combination of major and ancillary features in assessments by the two observers and the consensus reports are shown in Table 3. Inter-observer agreement in the assessment of LI-RADS categories was very good (k = 0.910). When LI-RADS categories were used in consensus for differentiation of sHCC from benign nodules, in the ROC analysis, with a cut off value ≥ LR-4, the LI-RADS v 2018 algorithm demonstrated an Az of 0.898 (95% CI: 0.834, 0.961), sensitivity of 93.8% (105/112), specificity of 81.8% (36/44), positive predictive value (PPV) of 92.9% (105/113), negative predictive value (NPV) of 83.7% (36/43), and accuracy of 90.4% (141/156).

**Table 3. Frequencies of LI-RADS Categories Based on Major and Ancillary Features Stratified by Observers**

| LI-RADS categories | Observer 1     | Observer 2     | Consensus |
|---------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|
|                     | HCCs | Benign nodules | HCCs | Benign nodules | HCCs | Benign nodules |
| LR-2                | 0 (0%) | 5 (11.4%) | 0 (0%) | 6 (13.6%) | 0 (0%) | 5 (11.4%) |
| LR-3                | 7 (6.3%) | 31 (70.5%) | 9 (8.0%) | 29 (65.9%) | 7 (6.3%) | 31 (70.5%) |
| LR-4                | 64 (57.1%) | 6 (13.6%) | 60 (53.6%) | 7 (15.9%) | 63 (56.3%) | 6 (13.6%) |
| LR-5                | 40 (35.7%) | 2 (4.5%) | 43 (38.4%) | 2 (4.6%) | 42 (37.5%) | 2 (4.5%) |
| LR-TIV              | 1 (0.9%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Total               | 112 | 44 | 112 | 44 | 112 | 44 |

Data are expressed as numbers of lesions. LI-RADS Liver Imaging Reporting and Data System, TIV definite tumor in vein.

**Performance of MRI-Based Radiomics Analysis**

Of these 837 features, 301 features with ICC values ≥ 0.80 were selected for further reduction, of which 57 texture parameters with p-values less than 0.05 by using Mann–Whitney U test remained for subsequent LASSO analysis, and these features measured by the two radiologists were averaged. A radiomics signature consisting of eight features with non-zero coefficients that were significantly
associated with the differentiation of HCCs from benign nodules was generated by using the LASSO logistic regression model (Fig.3a, Fig.3b), and showed good calibration (Fig.3c). Details regarding the features and their coefficients are shown in Table 4. The radiomics score for each lesion was calculated by using a formula resulting from the eight features weighted by their coefficients. Based on the radiomics scores, ROC analysis (Fig.3d) showed that the radiomics signature yielded an Az of 0.917 (95% CI: 0.860, 0.974), sensitivity of 93.8% (105/112), specificity of 86.4% (38/44), PPV of 94.6% (105/111), NPV of 84.4% (38/45), and accuracy of 91.7% (143/156).

**Table 4. Calculation Formula for Radiomics Signature**

| Parameters          | Textural groups | Coefficients |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Intercept           | Run-length matrix | -1.01       |
| T1W-Vertl_RLNonUni  | Run-length matrix | -0.0010     |
| T1W-S(5,-5)DifVarnc | GLCM            | 0.0019       |
| T2W-S(5,5)SumOfSqs  | GLCM            | 0.0024       |
| T2W-WavEnLL_s-1     | Wavelet         | 0.0044       |
| T2W-S(0,1)SumEntrp  | GLCM            | 0.0751       |
| T2W-S(3,-3)SumOfSqs | GLCM            | 0.2208       |
| T2W-Sigma           | Autoregressive model | 0.0109 |
| ADC-S(1,0)SumVarmc  | GLCM            | -0.0295      |

*Vertl_RLNonUni* vertical run-length nonuniformity, *DifVarnc* difference variance, *SumOfSqs* sum of squares, *WavEnLL_s-1* wavelet energy LL scale1, *SumEntrp* sum entropy, *SumVarmc* sum variance.

**Added Value of Radiomics Analysis to LI-RADS v 2018 Algorithm**

The detailed performance parameters for each diagnostic pattern are summarized in Table 5. For combined diagnosis, a radiomics nomogram model that included the radiomics signature and LI-RADS categories was established (Fig.4a) and showed good calibration (Fig.4b). Using ROC analysis (Fig.4c), the radiomics nomogram demonstrated a superior Az value of 0.975 (0.954-0.996) than that of LI-RADS. In comparison with LI-RADS alone, the radiomics nomogram model showed a significant improvement in specificity (97.7% vs 81.8%, \( p = 0.030 \)), PPV (99.1% vs 92.9%, \( p = 0.031 \)), and accuracy (97.4% vs 93.8%, \( p = 0.016 \)), and a comparable sensitivity (97.3% vs 93.8%, \( p = 0.215 \)) and NPV (93.5% vs 83.7%, \( p = 0.188 \)).

**Table 5. Diagnostic Performance of LI-RADS Categories in the Discrimination of HCCs from Benign Nodules**
| Diagnostic pattern | $A_z$ (95%CI) | Sensitivity | Specificity | PPV | NPV | Accuracy |
|--------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-----|-----|----------|
| LI-RADS            | 0.898 (0.834-0.961) | 93.8% (105/112) | 81.8% (36/44) | 92.9% (105/113) | 83.7% (36/43) | 90.4% (141/156) |
| Radiomics signature | 0.917 (0.860-0.974) | 93.8% (105/112) | 86.4% (38/44) | 94.6% (105/111) | 84.4% (38/45) | 91.7% (143/156) |
| Combined nomogram  | 0.975 (0.954-0.996) | 97.3% (109/112) | 97.7% (43/44) | 99.1% (109/110) | 93.5% (43/46) | 97.4% (152/156) |

LI-RADS: Liver Imaging Reporting and Data System, $A_z$ area under the receiver operating characteristic curve, PPV: positive predictive value, NPV: negative predictive value.

**Discussion**

In the present study, we compared cirrhotic nodule classification using LI-RADS v 2018 alone and a combination of LI-RADS v 2018 and MRI-based radiomics analysis. We evaluated the added value of the MRI-based radiomics analysis in sHCC diagnosis. By combining LI-RADS and radiomics analysis, we constructed a radiomics nomogram model, and we observed improved lesion classification performance ($A_z$: 0.975) than that achieved with the LI-RADS algorithm alone ($A_z$: 0.898). Particularly, in comparison with the LI-RADS algorithm alone, the nomogram model demonstrated a significant improvement in specificity and PPV, with comparable sensitivity and NPV.

LI-RADS is widely applied in the characterization of cirrhotic nodules, and the LI-RADS algorithm based on a combination of major features and ancillary features has shown superior classification performance over approaches using major features alone, with previous studies indicating that the addition of ancillary features increased sensitivity while preserved the specificity for HCC [8–11]. In this study, we assessed the performance of the LI-RADS v 2018 algorithm based on the combination of major features and ancillary features in the differentiation of sHCC from benign nodules. ROC analysis showed that the cut-off value for LI-RADS category was $\geq$ LR-4, and this result was associated with the finding that approximately half of the HCCs were categorized as LR-4 category. Using LR-4 and LR-5/LR-TIV categories as the criteria for diagnosing HCC, we found that the LI-RADS v 2018 algorithm yielded an overall accuracy of 90.4%. Our results are consistent with those of several previous studies, which indicated that the combination of LR-4 and LR-5/LR-TIV categories demonstrated better diagnostic performance than that of LR-5/LR-TIV categories [5, 6, 8, 9].

Radiomics analysis provides quantitative texture features that may be associated with the histopathological characteristics of lesions, and radiomics thus shows promising resolving power in differentiation of liver benign and malignant diseases [18], classification of hepatic fibrosis and cirrhosis of various grades [27], or prediction of the histological grading of HCC [28]. In this study, we assessed the potential value of multi-parametric MRI-based radiomics analysis for distinguishing small HCCs from benign nodules in cirrhosis. We found that the radiomics signature based on MRI texture features...
demonstrated a slightly better classification performance than that of the LI-RADS v 2018 algorithm. Actually, in comparison with the LI-RADS v 2018 algorithm, MRI-based radiomics analysis showed equal sensitivity of 93.8%, and a higher specificity of 86.4%. The results supported our previous findings in which MRI-based texture analysis produced a greater performance than qualitative diagnosis with Gd-EOB dynamic MRI or DWI [29]. In addition, the classification accuracy of 91.7% with MRI-based radiomics analysis in this study was also similar with the previously reported values of 84.5–92% in studies where MRI-based texture analysis was used to distinguish metastases and HCCs [18], HCCs and benign hepatocellular tumors [30], or cysts and hemangiomas [19].

In this study, most of the differentiation-related features selected were derived from T2WI, supporting a previous study where T2WI-based texture analysis produced better overall accuracy than T1-weighted images in discrimination of liver cysts and hemangiomas [19]. However, unlike previous studies in which texture features based on ADC maps showed more discriminative power than T2WI features in classification of benign and malignant prostatic nodules [31], we found that only one feature based on ADC maps was selected to the radiomics signature. This difference might be partially explained by the fact that the cirrhotic parenchyma shows lower ADC values compared to normal hepatic parenchyma due to the abundance of proton-poor fibrotic tissue and the decreased blood flow, resulting in a restricted value of ADC maps for distinguishing nodules in cirrhotic liver [32, 33].

The most important innovation of this study was that we assessed the additive value of MRI-based radiomics analysis to LI-RADS v 2018 algorithm in differentiation of small HCC from benign nodules. Compared with LI-RADS alone, with the addition of radiomics analysis, the radiomics nomogram model showed a significant improvement in overall accuracy (97.4% vs 90.4%), specificity (97.7% vs 81.8%) and PPV (99.1% vs 92.9%). Furthermore, the sensitivity increased from 93.8–97.3%, and NPV increased from 83.7–93.5%. Thus, radiomics analysis may act as a valid noninvasive auxiliary method to improve the classification of small HCC from benign nodules in cirrhotic liver.

There are several limitations of this study. First, Because of the retrospective single-center nature of our study design, these results are preliminary and needed validation using an external dataset to assess the reproducibility and clinical translation. Second, approximately 54.7% of the patients accepted Gd-DTPA-enhanced imaging, so ancillary features based on HBP imaging were unavailable for these cases. Third, the growth threshold, one of the major features, was not considered in the assessment, because MRI follow-up data for more than 6 months were unavailable for most patients. Nevertheless, the diagnostic value of the growth threshold may be low [10]), and follow-up of high-risk patients with nodules > 10 mm does not correspond to existing international guidelines [34].

Conclusions

The MRI-based radiomics analysis may supplement the value of the LI-RADS v 2018 algorithm in the differentiation of small HCC from benign nodules in the cirrhotic liver.
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