Prospective evaluation of quality-of-life outcomes following orthotopic neobladder and ileal conduit diversion after radical cystectomy
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Abstract

Objective: The aim of this study was to evaluate health-related quality of life outcomes in patients who underwent orthotopic neobladder reconstruction (ONB) or ileal conduit (IC).

Patients: Eight centers participated in this prospective study that included consecutive patients planned for radical cystectomy. Patients were asked to choose between IC or ONB reconstruction after thorough information. They had to complete the validated self-administered Bladder Cancer Index (BCI) preoperatively and again at 6 and 12 months after surgery as well as a set of questions exploring body image.

Results: Among 106 patients who were invited to participate, 73 completed the preoperative questionnaires. In the preoperative phase, there were no significant differences in any of the QoL domains. At 6 months, 58 (61%) patients completed their questionnaires showing better functional scores in favor of IC in the urinary domain (P= 0.01). There was no other difference between groups. At 12 months, the findings were similar to those of the 6th month except for body image that was more favorable in ONB patients. VAS assessing diversion overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups despite a poor sexual satisfaction.

Conclusions: Even if the results of this prospective non randomized study reflect partly the age difference between groups, they showed a better body image in ONB patients but a better urinary control for IC patients. In both groups, conversely to overall self-assessed satisfaction, sexual satisfaction was poor.
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4- or 5-point Likert response scales, covering three primary domains: urinary (14 items), bowel (10 items), and sexual (12 items). For each domain, a summary score and two subscale scores (function and bother) are constructed. The BCI validation was described in a paper published in 2007 [3] and the French translation was validated three years later [4]. The additional “body image” questionnaire included 10 questions evaluating patient’s satisfaction with the appearance of their body and inquiring about any changes that have resulted from the disease and the treatment. Item responses were based on Likert scales with scores from 0–3 points where lower scores corresponded to better body image [5,6].

ONB techniques were carried out using the techniques published either by Neuzillet et al. [7], Hautmann et al. [8] or Studer et al. [9].

The main outcomes were the comparisons between IC and ONB regarding the BCI three primary domains scores. Secondary outcomes included the comparisons between IC and ONB regarding 1- the BCI subscales, function and bother, for each primary domain 2- the VAS scores and 3- the 10-point body image scale scores.

Results

One hundred and six patients who met the study criteria accepted to participate. Seventy-three completed the corresponding questionnaires including 44 IC and 29 ONB.

Patients’ perioperative characteristics

Patients’ perioperative characteristics are reported in table 1. Patients in the IC group were older and had a more advanced. Baseline urinary, bowel and sexual health were not different between the IC and the ONB patients. Mean length of hospitalization was 19 days (range 7-51) with no significant differences between groups.

At 6 months, 58 patients completed their questionnaires (30 IC and 18 ONB). BCI questionnaires analyzed item by item showed significant differences in favor of the ONB group regarding constipation (p=0.03) and urinary problems/complaints, mostly because of stoma problems (p=0.03). On the other hand, the IC group reported better urinary control and less leakage compared to the ONB group (p=0.02). There was no significant difference in BCI scale scores between the IC and ONB groups: median scores for urinary health were 83 vs 72 respectively (p=0.1), for bowel health, 85 vs 83 (p=0.5) and for sexual health, 38 vs 33 (p=0.1) (Figure 1).

When considering the two subdomains (function and bother), the function subscale of the urinary domain was in favor of IC compared to ONB (83 vs 42; p=0.0005). There was no difference between UD groups for any of the other subdomains (Figure 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different at 6 month (Figure 3). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2). Results of the body image questionnaire were not significantly different from 6 to 12 months (Figure 4). VAS assessing UD overall satisfaction was excellent in both groups (Table 2).

Complications and recurrence

Within the first year following surgery, 15 patients presented complications requiring rehospitalization for medical treatment and/or surgical, endoscopic or radiological intervention. There was no significant difference between groups. Five patients were diagnosed with cancer recurrence, four in the IC group and one in the ONB group. No patient died.

Adjuvant chemotherapy

There was no significant difference between patients who had or did not have chemotherapy when comparing BCI, body image and VAS scores.

Discussion

IC has been considered for decades the “standard” urinary diversion for bladder cancer patients submitted to radical cystectomy and remains in most countries the most commonly used diversion after radical cystectomy [10]. During the last two decades, this surgical procedure has been challenged by the dissemination and the good clinical outcome of bladder substitutions. However, a debate is still ongoing due to a lack of solid evidence. Our study did not find significant differences between the two types of diversions except for functional urinary health and body image, in favor of IC for the former.

Table 1. Peri-operative characteristics by diversion procedure

|                  | Ileal conduit | Orthotopic neobladder | p   |
|------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----|
| No. of patients, n (%) | 44 (62%)      | 29 (38%)              |     |
| Mean age (SD), years | 69.9 (9.4)     | 64.4 (6.8)            | 0.002 |
| Sex, n (%)        |               |                       |     |
| Male              | 34 (77.3%)    | 24 (82.8%)            | 0.2 |
| Female            | 10 (22.7%)    | 5 (17.2%)             | 0.2 |
| Stage pTa vs pT2  | 57.6%         | 29.2%                 | 0.03 |
| Stage pN+         | 31.2%         | 32.0%                 | 0.3  |

Table 2. Visual Analogic scale (VAS) from 0 to 10 (0= not satisfied at all and 10= very satisfied) evaluating patient’s satisfaction with his urinary diversion at 6 and 12 months. Results are expressed as median value (IQ).

|                  | IC             | ONB            | p   |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|-----|
| VAS at 6 months  | 9.0 (1.6)      | 10.0 (1.5)     | 0.2 |
| VAS at 12 months | 9.0 (1.6)      | 9.7 (1.0)      | 0.2 |

Figure 1. Box plots composed of five lines displaying the 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th and 90th percentiles of Bladder Cancer Index (BCI) primary domains scores measuring urinary, bowel, and sexual health. Blue dots indicate values falling outside the 10th or 90th percentile. Item responses are based on Likert scales standardized to a 0–100 point scale where higher scores correspond to better health states. There was no significant difference between IC and ONB scores.
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Figure 2A and 2B. Box plots composed of five lines displaying the 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th and 90th percentiles of Bladder Cancer Index (BCI) subdomains function and bother scores for each primary domain. Blue dots indicate values falling outside the 10th or 90th percentile. Item responses are based on Likert scales, with subdomain scores standardized to a 0–100 point scale where higher scores correspond to better health states.

Figure 2A. At 6 months, a significant difference was seen only for the function subscale of the urinary domain (83 vs 42; p=0.005), while there was no difference between the diversion groups for any of the other subdomains.

Figure 2B. At 12 months, IC group patients performed also significantly better than the ONB patients for the function subscale of the urinary domain (83 vs 58; p=0.009), while there was no difference between groups for the other subdomains.

Figure 3. Box plots composed of five lines displaying the 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th and 90th percentiles of Bladder Cancer Index (BCI) body image 10-question questionnaire scores at 6 and 12 months. Blue dots indicate values falling outside the 10th or 90th percentile. Item responses are based on Likert scales with scores from 0–3 points where lower scores correspond to better body image. Results were significantly less favorable at 12 months in the IC group compared to the ONB group: median value (IQ) = 7 (8) and 2.5 (5) respectively (p=0.03).

item and of ONB for the latter. When analyzing the different items of the urinary domain, the ONB group more commonly reported frequent urinary leakage and occasional urinary dribbling compared with the IC group. Regarding body image results, it should be noted that a higher proportion of IC patients were lost to follow-up which may constitutes a bias influencing the results.

It could appear initially to most patients and even practitioners that ONB should be the standard diversion following radical. However, clinical experience shows that ONB has functional limitations [11] and that its rate of complications in the long term is substantial and possibly higher than that of IC [12,13]. On the other hand, a pouching system can be in some patients significantly detrimental to their body image with secondary consequences on various daily activities. There are no randomized studies - which are non-feasible - and patients cannot be their own controls as they will never experience the other UD. Secondly, appraising the impact of a specific UD on QoL is a complex issue where various baseline characteristics including age, disease stage and socio-cultural aspects may constitute a substantial source of heterogeneity. As for the impact of UD evaluation, we decided to use a validated, self-administered and specific questionnaire. Although the BCI questionnaire is more specific in the context of this study than a generic instrument, it is still subject to limitations, one being the gender neutral questions that cannot identify issues comparing men and women particularly for the sexual domain. Additionally, we added a VAS to assess patient’s overall satisfaction and a 10-item body-image questionnaire [5,6].

This study has several limitations. Questionnaire response rates reduced over time despite reminders. This is a potential source of bias as we could not gather information about the non-responders group beyond age and gender. Selection bias related to the non-randomized design of this study was clearly reflected by the finding that average age was higher in those patients choosing to undergo IC for their diversion, although this reflects real-world clinical practice.

Several studies aimed to evaluate QoL following different UD. Common limitations included non-validated health related quality of life (HRQOL) instruments, use of general HRQOL instruments only, lack of baseline data, cross-sectional analysis, and retrospective study design [14]. Most of these studies concluded that there was no evidence that one form of urinary diversion was superior to another based on HRQOL outcomes [14-17]. Few studies on the other hand reported marginal quality of life advantages with ONB [18-20] or conversely showed a better QoL for IC [6,21]. Several hypotheses could explain the outcome differences between the studies such as different populations, different study design (retrospective or prospective cohorts), different follow-ups, but also different means of evaluating QoL. We have recently published a systematic review on IC and ONB comparison and the main finding was that IC patients demonstrated a better HR-QoL in urinary outcomes than ONB patients [22].

Conclusion

Even if the results of this study reflect partly the age difference between groups, they showed an advantage for the neobladder diversion regarding body image but an advantage for the ileal conduit diversion regarding functional urinary health. Beside these items, no difference was demonstrated for bowel and sexual domains as well as overall self-assessed diversion satisfaction. The type of diversion should follow a shared decision between an informed patient and health staff and ileal conduit should not be reduced to the rank of an alternative in case of ONB contra-indication.
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