Helminth-infected patients with malaria: a low profile transmission hub?
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Abstract

Eclipsed by the debates about malaria incidence and severity in individual patients, malaria transmission in helminth-infected persons has so far received very little attention. Studies in humans have shown increased malaria incidence and prevalence, and a trend for a reduction of symptoms in patients with malaria. This suggests that such patients could possibly be less likely to seek treatment thus carrying malaria parasites and their gametocytes for longer durations, therefore, being a greater potential source of transmission. In addition, in humans, a study showed increased gametocyte carriage, and in an animal model of helminth-malaria co-infection, there was increased malaria transmission. These elements converge towards the hypothesis that patients co-infected with worms and malaria may represent a hub of malaria transmission. The test of this hypothesis requires verifying, in different epidemiological settings, that helminth-infected patients have more gametocytes, that they have less symptomatic malaria and longer-lasting infections, and that they are more attractive for the vectors. The negative outcome in one setting of one of the above aspects does not necessarily mean that the other two aspects may suffice to increase transmission. If it is verified that patients co-infected by worms and malaria could be a transmission hub, this would be an interesting piece of strategic information in the context of the spread of anti-malarial resistance and the malaria eradication attempts.
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Background

In the past decade, the topic of interactions between worms and malaria has generated a surge of interest with over 35 publications reporting findings in humans from different continents. Similarly, there have been over 25 publications on different animal models of co-infection between worms and malaria [1]. So far, the various study designs used have yielded snapshots of information about specific endpoints but no dynamic view of what happens today or what used to take place in the pre-therapeutic era. Most of the publications on co-infections in humans focussed on whether malaria was more or less frequent or whether malaria was more or less severe in patients with worms. These are important topics, and with time and more and more data points, a pattern now seems to have emerged, with hookworm regularly linked to an increase of malaria and Ascaris regularly linked to a decrease in malaria and malaria severity. Hypothetical mechanisms have been put forward: the immunomodulation of the host response on one side, and the increased attractiveness of the anaemic host for the vectors presumably leading to an increase of the number of mosquito bites on the other side. The apparent discrepancies between authors may also have resulted from the very different study designs ranging from cross sectional studies to randomized trials, with exposure to worms considered one species at a time or pooled together. It is also possible that the overlapping environmental envelopes of Plasmodium and worms may also have led to some of the observed associations. Hopefully, interest in this topic will be sustained leading to a better understanding of mechanisms which underpin it.

The hypothesis

Eclipsed by the debates about malaria incidence and severity in individual patients, malaria transmission in
helminth-infected persons is an area that has so far received very little attention. The present hypothesis is mostly constructed from the available studies in humans, but also from animal models. It attempts to connect observations of variable reliability given the study designs used to obtain the information. In this hypothesizing exercise, refraining from attempting to connect the dots in order to avoid type 1 errors (wrongly rejecting the status quo) would be a mistake. It is just a hypothesis, and to be tested. If it is false then nothing changes, but if it is true the implications would not be trivial. The hypothesis may appear piecemeal, but the general idea is that the various observations made so far seem to converge towards the ultimate amplification of transmission.

In 2001, cross-sectional data from Thailand showed a two-fold increase in gametocyte carriage linked to decreased haemoglobin in helminth-infected patients [2]. There have been so far no attempts to replicate these findings in humans elsewhere. Furthermore, an animal model using BALB/C mice infected with Echinostoma caproni and Plasmodium yoelii showed enhanced transmission with a two-fold increase of transmission to mosquitoes fed on co-infected mice relative to mice with Plasmodium only [3]. Despite the potential epidemiological importance of these findings research remains focussed on more obvious clinical aspects. Arguably though, the pieces of the puzzle of knowledge accumulated so far in humans also points towards the question of transmission. Some of the findings suggested there was an increase in incidence [4-8], and other studies pointed towards an increase in prevalence [9-11]. Prevalence (P), the number of persons with malaria at a point in time is influenced on the one hand by the incidence (I), the number of new infections and on the other hand by the duration (D) of these infections (patients may get cured, or may die). The classic formula \( P = I \times D \) implies that prevalence will increase if incidence increases and/or if infection duration increases.

The cross-sectional studies finding increased malaria prevalence among helminth-infected patients could not determine whether prevalence was higher because incidence was higher or whether prevalence was higher because malaria lasted longer in helminth-infected patients, or both. Some studies have definitely shown increased incidence of malaria in helminth-infected patients. No studies have looked if malaria lasted longer in helminth-infected patients. However, there are converging elements that point towards such a possibility. The general trend so far was that malaria was less "noisy" in helminth-infected patients: less fever [12], lower parasitaemia [13-15], less severe symptoms [16-18], and in some cases less anaemia [19,20]. In some of the above studies malaria was asymptomatic and more frequent in helminth-infected patients. Other studies showed that anthelmintics exacerbated malaria. The study by Murray et al. showed that piperazine treatment of Ascaris-infected patients led to an early increase (6–14 days) of malaria suggesting that the parasites had been there all along without causing clinical malaria [21]. In poor tropical areas, healthcare is often sought at very advanced stages of disease, it is reasonable to wonder whether these helminth-infected patients with fewer symptoms wait more to consult, or even never consult while all along they carry malaria parasites and their gametocytes for prolonged periods of time.

**Testing the hypothesis**

The transmission hypothesis is a combination of different components: the increased in gametocyte carriage, the increased duration of infection, and the increased attractivity of the host for the vector. A first step, possibly very easy to test by re-analysing available data would be to verify whether gametocyte carriage is affected by worms. Another step would be to determine whether humans with worms are really more attractive for vectors, and to look at the importance haemoglobin concentration as a proximal determinant. Finally, the bottom of the malaria iceberg represented by asymptomatic Plasmodium infections should be described in relation to worms using sensitive methods. Testing the hypothesis that the duration of infection increases in patients with worms would require observing patients with asymptomatic malaria longitudinally, without treating them, which may pose ethical problems and thus be difficult to test in humans.

The hypothesis seems rather robust since the local or general refutation of one of these three aspects would not necessarily imply that malaria has no effect on transmission because the other two could still be operating.

**The implications**

Whether the mechanism of pauci-symptomatic malaria in patients with worms is immunological or linked to nutritional consequences of worms [22], or both, remains to be seen. If this scenario is correct, then helminth-infected patients could constitute a major source of transmission. Furthermore, if it is confirmed that they are also more likely to harbour circulating gametocytes, and to attract mosquitoes as they become anaemic, the transmission potential could be even higher. Thus, the increase in incidence that seems linked to hookworm and the reduction of morbidity and severity mostly observed with Ascaris converges towards the creation of a smouldering reservoir for prolonged malaria transmission. The increased incidence and prevalence, combined with some observations found increased multiplicity of infection [23], and increased
mixed *vivax-falciparum* co-infections in patients with worms [24] suggest that the diversity of parasites could be greater in helminth-infected patients. This could theoretically speed the acquisition of premunition in individuals. In addition, the increased genetic diversity of the oocysts in the mosquitoes having fed on coinfected patients could theoretically lead to a more genetically diverse malaria parasites circulating in the population. The evolutionary implications of co-infections could also extend to the reproduction of worms, which have an interest in protecting their host to survive and reproduce [25].

In a given place, what proportion of transmission is attributable to asymptomatic or pauci-symptomatic patients is not known. At a time when malaria eradication is discussed and drug resistance is spreading, it seems important to test the possibility that helminth-infected populations, notably by a combination of hookworm and *Ascaris*, could constitute a transmission hub for malaria parasites. The hookworm vaccine aimed at reducing the loss of haemoglobin could thus have unforeseen benefits.
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