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Abstract. The automobile industry is an important pillar of the national economy, and the new energy automobile industry is a strategic emerging industry. This paper carries on the mathematical modeling analysis of the new energy electric vehicle. First of all, using the naive Bayesian processor in natural language processing, make a judgment through theme analysis and emotion analysis, define the coefficient into the model, and finally compare target customers' satisfaction with different brands of cars. Then use DBSCAN and UMAP algorithm to divide the fluctuating data index on the sequence, analyze its linkage by establishing linkage factor to study its correlation degree, and then quantify the problem, establish abnormal coefficient, analyze the persistence of data run out for a single index, and establish an algorithm for evaluating abnormal coefficient. According to the distribution of different data indicators, the impact index of different factors on the sales of different brands of electric vehicles is determined.
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1. Introduction

The automobile industry is an important pillar of the national economy, and the new energy automobile industry is a strategic emerging industry [1]. The development of new energy vehicles represented by electric vehicles is an effective way to solve energy and environmental problems, and the market prospect is broad. However, the electric vehicle is a new thing after all. Compared with traditional cars, consumers still have doubts in some areas, such as battery problems, and their market sales need scientific decision-making. Therefore, this paper collects the latest launch of three brand electric vehicles by an automobile company. In order to study consumers' willingness to buy electric vehicles and formulate corresponding sales strategies, the sales department invited 1964 target customers to experience the three brands of electric vehicles [2].

2. Data processing

2.1. Missing value processing

The specific experience data include the satisfaction score of battery technical performance (battery durability and charging convenience) (Full Score: 100, the same below), A1, the overall performance satisfaction score of comfort (environmental protection and space seats), A2, the overall satisfaction score of the economy (energy consumption and maintenance rate) A3, the overall satisfaction score of safety performance (braking and driving vision) A4, the overall satisfaction score of power performance[3-5] (climbing and acceleration) A5 Overall satisfaction score A6 for driving and handling performance (cornering and high-speed stability), a7 for appearance and interior decoration, and A8 for configuration and quality. In addition, there is information about the personal characteristics of the target customer experience.

After analyzing the most missing B7 data in the time domain, it is decided to use the decision tree algorithm to complete the data based on the regression model. In order to determine the possible impact of different indicators on the pricing of shared vehicles, we analyze multiple groups of indicators that may affect financial data. After that, the proportion of missing values[6-8] will be greater than 42 7% of the data of the indicators are deleted; The data of indicators with missing values
accounting for 21% to 46% are filled with the mean value; Fill in the data where the missing value accounts for less than 16% with random forest.
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**Figure 1** Sample value

As shown in the figure, B7 in the time domain has been given in the data, but it is significantly lower than the mean value in the numerical interval [124201]. After analyzing this part of the data, we find that the value fluctuates to a certain extent, so we screen some data for series comparison.

![Figure 2 Comparison diagram of sample values of different series](image)

**Figure 2** Comparison diagram of sample values of different series

2.2. Exception elimination

In this paper, the laida criterion is used to eliminate the abnormal value, which is $3\sigma$ criterion: for some special data, if the deviation is greater than $3\sigma$, the data is the abnormal value and should be eliminated in time. The calculation formula of $\sigma$ is:

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{n-1}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$x_i$ refers to the original data of the test value, $\bar{x}$ refers to the average value of the test value, and the sample size is $n = 7329$. When the deviation is greater than $3\sigma$, the data is an abnormal value. The judgment criteria of abnormal value are as follows:

$$|x_i - \bar{x}| > 3\sigma$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

2.3. Final processing result

After preprocessing the sample data, the known data before processing and the new data obtained after preprocessing are extracted. The data of 20 kinds of indicators have changed to some extent in the data set. It is compared and analyzes the data of each type of index before and after processing. We select the expectation, standard deviation, standard error, and range of the basic statistics as the comparison to a certain extent.

**Table 1. Descriptive statistics of sample raw data**

|            | A1   | A2   | A3   | A4   | A5   | A6   |
|------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Missing degree | 0.35 | 0.45 | 0.12 | 0.45 | 0.57 | 0.22 |
| Continuous degree | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.32 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.31 |
| Standard deviation | 0.0035 | 0.00017 | 0.00015 | 0.0037 | 0.0022 | 0.0029 |
| Standard evaluation error | 0.0018 | 0.024 | 0.00039 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.007 |
| Range | 0.016 | 0.018 | 0.027 | 0.044 | 0.031 | 0.023 |
Table 2. Descriptive statistics of sample preprocessing data

|       | A1     | A2     | A3     | A4     | A5     | A6     |
|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Missing degree | 0.14   | 0.13   | 0.07   | 0.19   | 0.21   | 0.04   |
| Continuous degree | 0.38   | 0.31   | 0.56   | 0.49   | 0.27   | 0.81   |
| Standard deviation | 0.0041 | 0.00019| 0.00016| 0.0038 | 0.0027 | 0.0033 |
| Standard evaluation error. | 0.0015 | 0.00033| 0.00027| 0.0021 | 0.0015 | 0.0017 |
| Range       | 0.015  | 0.021  | 0.031  | 0.045  | 0.039  | 0.027  |

Firstly, take some data of the target customer's personal characteristics survey table as an example. First, do Chinese word segmentation on python, and then assume that the data object attributes are independent of each other. The calculation method of \( P(x|y_k) \) is as follows:

\[
P(x|y_k) = \prod_{d=1}^{D} P(x_d|y_k) = P(x_1|y_k)P(x_2|y_k)\ldots P(x_D|y_k)
\]

(3)

If attribute \( a_d \) is a discrete attribute or a classification attribute. For data objects belonging to category \( YK \) in the training set, there are \( n \) different attribute values under attribute \( a_d \); There are \( m \) data objects in the training set that belong to category \( YK \), and the attribute value under attribute \( a_d \) is \( x_D \). Therefore, \( P(x_1y_k) \) is calculated as follows:

\[
P(x_d|y_k) = \frac{m}{n}
\]

(4)

Then we get the distribution of propensity factors of different satisfaction, and then we analyze the emotion and text of multiple car purchase propensity. Finally, we get the traditional target customers' satisfaction with different brands of cars, 69% for car 1, 71% for car 2, and 50% for car 3.

3. Division of satisfaction data

3.1. Clustering effect of satisfaction tendency

In order to study the linkage between satisfaction data, clustering and dimensionality reduction of satisfaction data are carried out. DBSCAN processes these indicators. In order to better obtain their correlation, in the DBSCAN algorithm, starting from the core object, find all samples with the density of the core objective to form a "cluster." Determine all core objects according to the given neighborhood parameters EPS and minpts, select an unprocessed core object for each core object, find the sample with its density, and generate a cluster "cluster." Only some sensors in DBSCAN centers are described.

Table 3. Cluster center

|       | DBSCAN center |
|-------|---------------|
|       | center        |
|       | 1             | 2             |
| H1    | 0.202297344   | 1.591841612   |
| H2    | 0.13063839    | 4.554818516   |
| H3    | 0.018999691   | 1.62374611    |
| H4    | 0.564216366   | 0.058818016   |
| H5    | 0.008865604   | 0.079433632   |
| H6    | 0.001839619   | 0.037847166   |
| H7    | 0.00333293    | 0.277054634   |
| H8    | 0.008082933   | 0.523583355   |
| H9    | 0.01064473    | 0.726681881   |
| H10   | 0.102297344   | 1.591841612   |

Using the UAMP algorithm to reduce the dimension is convenient for dealing with the linkage relationship of influencing factors. In practice, because the membership degree of the fuzzy set
gradually decays to almost zero, it is only necessary to calculate the membership degree of the nearest neighborhood of each point. Therefore, a fast and efficient method is needed to calculate (approximate) nearest neighbors, which is also true in high-dimensional data space.

Using the curve family of \( \frac{1}{1+\alpha^2} \), since the Laplace operator represented by topology is the approximation of the Laplace Beltrami operator of manifold,

\[
\rho_i = \min\{d(x_i, x_j) | 1 \leq j \leq k, d(x_i, x_j) > 0\} 
\]

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{k} \exp\left(-\frac{\max\{0, d(x_i, x_j) - \rho_i\}}{\sigma_i}\right) = \log_{\alpha}(k) 
\]

Calculation of distribution:

\[
p_{i,j} = \exp\left(-\frac{\max\{0, d(x_i, x_j) - \rho_i\}}{\sigma_i}\right) 
\]

\[
p_{ij} = p_{i,j} + p_{j,i} - p_{i,j}p_{j,i} 
\]

Get the weight value of each factor, and then adjust the new sensor relationship. Through the total variance explained, we can see the contribution degree of each component and the percentage of variance. The required components are collected at a cumulative rate of more than 83%, and then the projection of the digital data set is calculated and obtained.

![Figure 3. Digital dataset projection](image)

Then, according to the coefficient factor and the projection map, the linkage factors a, B, C, and D of the sensor are defined, and the proportion of the factor is 0.34, 0.20, 0.11, 0.35.

**Table 4. Influencing factors and linkage factors**

| Linkage factor | Proportion coefficient |
|----------------|-----------------------|
| A              | 0.34                  |
| B              | 0.20                  |
| C              | 0.11                  |
| D              | 0.35                  |

4. Analysis of influencing factors and sensor linkage

After analyzing the sensor association degree above, the association rules are processed. First, decompose the eigenvalues:
\[ J(a, b) = a^T S_{XX} b - \frac{\lambda}{2} \left( a^T S_{XX} a - 1 \right) - \frac{\theta}{2} (b^T S_{YY} b - 1) \]  

Then the correlation target is optimized by the Lagrange coefficient:

\[ S_{XX}^{-1} S_{XY} b = \lambda a \]
\[ S_{YY}^{-1} S_{YX} a = \lambda b \]

Finally, combined with the fluctuation characteristics of sensors in the same reactor:

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial a} J(a, b) = S_{XX}^{-1} S_{XY} b - \lambda a \]
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial b} J(a, b) = S_{YY}^{-1} S_{YX} a - \lambda b \]

By simultaneous interpreting, the optimization process of the correlation factor and the fluctuation characteristics of the actual sensor data, the comparison results of the linkage factors of different sensors are finally determined.

**Figure 4.** Sensor fluctuation of influencing factors in the same reactor

**Figure 5.** Comparison of simultaneous interpreting factors of different sensors

After the linkage analysis of the influencing factor sensor data above, the abnormality of the sensor data of a single influencing factor is analyzed here. Firstly, through the k-distance neighborhood idea:

\[ D_k(x^{(i)}), x^{(j)}) = \max \{ D_k(x^{(i)}), \|x^{(i)} - x^{(j)}\| \} \]

The following abnormal relationship can be expressed as:

\[ RD_i(x^{(i)}, x^{(j)}) = \max \{ D_k(x^{(i)}), \|x^{(i)} - x^{(j)}\| \} \]

Then, the characteristics of data regularity, independence, and contingency after removing outliers are analyzed through the expression of N, combined with the jump of actual data. By combining the establishment law of N and the jump of data, the change law of the sensor in the time field is finally obtained.
### Table 5. Influencing factor number and its influencing index

|   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| A1 | A2 | B3 | B6 | A7 |
| 32 | 34 | 39 | 54 | 63 |
| A5 | A6 | B7 | B15| B11|
| 91 | 92 | 97 | 99 |   |

5. Conclusions

The new energy vehicle industry is a strategic emerging industry. Compared with traditional cars, consumers still have doubts in some areas, such as battery problems, and their market sales need scientific decision-making. Therefore, based on the collected data, this paper first analyzes the data and makes visualization processing, classifies the continuous and discrete data, deals with the missing data and abnormal data, uses the naive Bayesian processor in natural language processing, makes the judgment through topic analysis and emotion analysis, and defines the coefficient into the later model. Finally, the data are sorted out to prepare data for further exploration. Finally, the comparison of target customers' satisfaction with different brands of cars is given. Then, it needs to analyze the influence of different factors. This paper uses DBSCAN and UMAP algorithm to divide the fluctuating data indicators on the series, analyzes the linkage by establishing linkage factors to study their correlation degree, and then quantifies the problem, establish abnormal coefficients, analyze the persistence of data run out for a single index, and establish an algorithm for evaluating abnormal coefficients.
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