Bucillamine prevents cisplatin-induced ototoxicity through induction of glutathione and antioxidant genes
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Bucillamine is used for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. This study investigated the protective effects of bucillamine against cisplatin-induced damage in auditory cells, the organ of Corti from postnatal rats (P2) and adult Balb/C mice. Cisplatin increases the catalytic activity of caspase-3 and caspase-8 proteases and the production of free radicals, which were significantly suppressed by pretreatment with bucillamine. Bucillamine induces the intranuclear translocation of Nrf2 and thereby increases the expression of γ-glutamylcysteine synthetase (γ-GCS) and glutathione synthetase (GSS), which further induces intracellular antioxidant glutathione (GSH), heme oxygenase 1 (HO-1) and superoxide dismutase 2 (SOD2). However, knockdown studies of HO-1 and SOD2 suggest that the protective effect of bucillamine against cisplatin is independent of the enzymatic activity of HO-1 and SOD. Furthermore, pretreatment with bucillamine protects sensory hair cells on organ of Corti explants from cisplatin-induced cytotoxicity concomitantly with inhibition of caspase-3 activation. The auditory-brainstem-evoked response of cisplatin-injected mice shows marked increases in hearing threshold shifts, which was markedly suppressed by pretreatment with bucillamine in vivo. Taken together, bucillamine protects sensory hair cells from cisplatin through a scavenging effect on itself, as well as the induction of intracellular GSH.

INTRODUCTION

Cisplatin is a commonly used platinum-containing anticancer drug for various solid tumors, but its clinical application has been limited because of the onset of severe side effects such as peripheral and central neuropathies, renal insufficiency and sensorineural hearing loss. 1-3 The histopathology of cisplatin ototoxicity studied in animals 4,5 and humans 6 shows that cisplatin primarily damages the outer hair cells (OHCs) of the organ of Corti in the inner ear, 7 with gradual progress from the basal area to the apex in the cochlear turn. 8

The cytotoxic effects of cisplatin are due to the formation of DNA adducts that lead to aberrant genetic transcription and DNA duplication, cell cycle arrest and apoptosis. 9,10 They are also due to the accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), reactive nitrogen species and free radicals, which are often involved in functional damage to various tissues, including the structures within the inner ear. 11-13 In cisplatin-induced ototoxicity, ROS are formed as a consequence of antioxidant depletion and interference with the antioxidant defense system of the cochlea. 3,14 Excessive ROS can react with a variety of cellular components, such as DNA, proteins and unsaturated lipids, leading to chemical modifications and metabolic and structural alterations, which may result in cell death. 15 The active form of cell death is characterized by morphological changes such as cell shrinkage, chromatin condensation and DNA fragmentation. Both caspase-8 and caspase-9 participate in a cascade that culminates in the activation of caspase-3, which then cleaves several substrates, resulting in chromosomal DNA fragmentation and the cellular morphological changes characteristic of apoptosis. 16 Several reports have recently demonstrated that cisplatin ototoxicity could be alleviated by treatment with a chemoprotectant, such as diethyldithiocarbamate, ebselen, flunarizine, sodium thiosulfate, glutathione (GSH) or other sulfur-containing compounds. 3,17-21 Accordingly, treatment with a chemoprotectant has been shown to
increase a number of important antioxidant enzymes in cochlear tissues, including catalase, GSH peroxidase, GSH reductase, GSH S-transferase, γ-glutamylcysteine synthetase (γ-GCS) and superoxide dismutase (SOD).3,14,22,23

Oxidative stress is known to induce the expression of GSH-synthesizing enzymes that act through the antioxidant response element and the transcription factor Nrf2.24 Transcription factor Nrf2 dissociates from the Keap1-Nrf2 complex and then translocates into the nucleus, where it associates with antioxidant response element to enhance the expression of phase II genes and detoxifying genes, such as catalase, GST, GPx, GR, γ-GSC (composed of the catalytic (GCLC) and regulatory (GCLM) subunits) and heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1).25–27 These enzymes play important roles in protecting cells from oxidative stress imposed by free radicals. GSH is synthesized in the cytosol of all mammalian cells in a tightly regulated manner. The major determinants of GSH synthesis are the availability of cysteine, the sulfur amino acid precursor and the involvement of two enzymatic reactions catalyzed by the rate-limiting enzymes γ-GCS and GSS.28

Bucillamine (N-(2-mercapto-2-methylpropionyl)-1-cysteine) is a low molecular weight thiol donor capable of rapidly entering cells, and it is used to treat rheumatoid arthritis.29 Bucillamine can also directly scavenge peroxides and is shown to be 4-fold more effective than N-acetylcysteine (NAC) in preventing ischemia-reperfusion injury from in vitro studies30 and 16-fold more effective from in vivo studies.31 In experimental studies, bucillamine, like the cysteine derivative NAC, inhibited liver ischemia-reperfusion injury and raised the survival rate after transplant by increasing GSH levels in the liver and decreasing oxidized GSH levels in both the liver and blood.32 In recent studies using murine Hepa 1-6 and human HepG2 hepatoma cells, the mechanisms of action of bucillamine have been mainly described as donating thiol groups to GSH and significantly increasing the GSH content by two to threefold, as well as inducing the expression of the γ-GCS catalytic subunit (GCLC), the rate-limiting enzyme of GSH biosynthesis and the multidrug-resistance-associated protein (Mrp2), which mediates the excretion of GSH.33 However, the molecular mechanism of bucillamine to mediate the beneficial effect has not been fully elucidated.

In this study, we investigated for the first time the antioxidant and cytoprotective roles of bucillamine against cisplatin-induced cytotoxicity in auditory cells in vitro and organ of Corti explants ex vivo. Furthermore, we also investigated in vivo effects of bucillamine on auditory-brainstem-evoked response (ABR) threshold changes in cisplatin-treated Balb/C mice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals

Cisplatin and 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2, 5-diphenyl-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co (St Louis, MO, USA). Bucillamine was a generous gift from Kuhnil Pharmaceutical Co. (Seoul, Korea). Genomic DNA purification kits were obtained from Promega (Madison, WI, USA). Plastic culture wares were purchased from Falcon, Inc. (Becton Dickinson Biotech, Lincoln, IL, USA). Dulbecco’s modified essential medium (DMEM), fetal bovine serum (FBS) and other tissue culture reagents were purchased from Life Technologies, Inc. (Gaithersburg, MD, USA). Various antibodies, including anti-GCLM, anti-GCLC, anti-GSS, anti-Nrf2, anti-SOD1, anti-SOD2 and anti-β-actin antibodies were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). The anti-HO-1 monoclonal antibody was purchased from Stressgen (Ann Arbor, MI, USA). The active form of anti-caspase-3 was purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, UK).

Animals

Twenty healthy Balb/C male mice (weight 20 ± 1 g) were used in this study, and their hearing ability was confirmed to be within the normal range by ABR measurements. Animals were randomly divided into four groups—control mice (0.9% saline solution), mice injected with bucillamine (100 mg kg⁻¹ per day) alone for 4 days, mice injected with cisplatin (4 mg kg⁻¹ per day) alone for 4 days, and mice injected with bucillamine and cisplatin—and were used to carry out ABR studies after 3 days following the cisplatin injection. Stock solutions of bucillamine (5 mg ml⁻¹) were prepared in normal saline (pH 7.4) with equimolar NaOH and sterilized using a 0.22-µm syringe filter. The mice were subsequently injected with bucillamine twice a day (100 mg kg⁻¹ per day, intraperitoneally (i.p.)); control mice received saline solution. This study was reviewed by the Committee for Ethics in Animal Experiments of the Wonkwang University and carried out under Korean law and the Guidelines for Animal Experiments.

Cell culture and viability assays

HEI-OC1 auditory cells were maintained in high-glucose DMEM containing 10% FBS for characterization. For the experiments described below, HEI-OC1 cells were cultured under the following permissive conditions: 33°C, 5% CO₂ in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS. Cells (5 × 10⁴ per well in 24-well plates) were incubated with various concentrations of bucillamine (0.25 ~ 4 mM) and cisplatin (20 µM) for 30 h. To determine cell viability, MTT (0.5 mg ml⁻¹ phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)) solution was added to the cell culture media (1/100, v/v) for 4 h and washed with PBS (pH 7.4). Dimethyl sulfoxide was added to each well to solubilize the formazan crystals formed in viable cells. The optical density of each culture well was measured by using a microtiter plate reader (Molecular Devices Co., Sunnyvale, CA, USA) at 590 nm. The optical density of control cells was taken as 100% viability.

DAPI staining of nuclei

The nuclei of HEI-OC1 cells were stained with the chromatin dye 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Cells were fixed with 3.7% paraformaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature, washed twice with PBS and then incubated with 10 µl DAPI in PBS at room temperature for 30 min. After three washes, cells were observed under a fluorescence microscope (IX71, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

Genomic DNA preparation and electrophoresis

Genomic DNA was isolated using the Wizard genomic DNA purification kit (Promega) and purified by serial ethanol precipitations. Five micrograms of isolated genomic DNA were subjected to 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis at 30 V for 3 h. DNA was visualized under UV light following staining with ethidium bromide.
Colorimetric caspase-3 and caspase-8 activity assay

Colorimetric assay kits (R&D Systems, Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA) were used to measure the enzyme activities of caspase-3 and caspase-8 according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After 24 h treatment with cisplatin in the presence or absence of bucillamine, the medium was gently removed and discarded, while the cell pellet was lysed by the addition of the lysis buffer. The cell lysates were incubated on ice for 10 min and centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 1 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was then transferred to a new tube to determine caspase activity. Extracts (50 μl) from cells treated with cisplatin in the presence or absence of bucillamine were added to 50 μl of reaction buffer and 5 μl of caspase-3 (DEVD-pNA) or caspase-8 (IETD-pNA) colorimetric substrate. Each mixture was placed in wells of a 96-well plate and incubated for 1 h at 37 °C. Absorbance at 405 nm was measured using a microtiter plate reader (Molecular Devices Co., Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Caspase activity was normalized to micrograms of protein using a Bio-Rad protein assay kit (Bio-Rad Co., Hercules, CA, USA).

Preparation of cytosolic and nuclear fractions

Cells were washed with ice-cold PBS, scraped and centrifuged at 1000 × g for 5 min at 4 °C. The cell pellet was resuspended in 200 μl of lysis buffer (10 mM HEPES (pH 7.9), 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol and 0.5 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride) and incubated on ice for 15 min. At the end of the incubation, 10 μl of 10% NP-40 were added and the tube was vortexed for 10 s. After centrifugation at 13,000 × g for 1 min at 4 °C, the supernatant (cytosolic extracts) was collected and stored at −80 °C, and the pellet was further processed to obtain a nuclear extract. The pellet was resuspended in extraction buffer (5 mM HEPES (pH 7.9), 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol and 25% glycerol (vol/vol)) and incubated for 30 min at 4 °C. The supernatant (nuclear extracts) was aliquoted and stored at −80 °C until used for western blot analysis. The protein concentration was determined by the Lowry method.

Western blotting

Cell extracts were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE under reducing conditions and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. Nonspecific proteins were blocked with 5% skim milk in TBS-T (25 mM Tris (pH 7.6), 138 mM NaCl and 0.05% Tween-20) for 1 h and probed with primary antibodies (diluted 1:1000–1:5000). After a series of washes, the membrane was incubated with secondary antibody (diluted 1:2000–1:10,000) conjugated with horseradish peroxidase. The immunoreactive signal was detected using an enhanced chemiluminescent detection system. The active form of caspase-3 antibody (1:1000 dilution; Abcam) was used to recognize the large fragment of cleaved caspase-3 (17 kDa). The protein concentration was estimated according to the protocol from Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., using 1 mg ml−1 bovine serum albumin as the standard.

Measurement of intracellular ROS and nitric oxide levels

HEI-OC1 cells were cultured overnight and then treated with 20 μM cisplatin in the presence or absence of bucillamine for 24 h. Intracellular ROS levels were measured using the fluorescent dye 2′,7′-dichlorofluorescein diacetate (DCF-DA; Eastman Kodak, Rochester, NY, USA). In the presence of an oxidant, DCF-DA is converted into the highly fluorescent DCF. For the ROS assay, the cells were treated with 10 μM DCF-DA and were further incubated for 1 h at 37 °C. Intracellular nitric oxide (NO) production by HEI-OC1 cells was assessed by measuring the fluorescence of 4-amino-5-methylamino-2′,7′-difluorofluorescein, a specific NO probe (Molecular Probes, Inc., Eugene, OR, USA). 4-Amino-5-methylamino-2′,7′-difluorofluorescein is a cell-permeable, NO-sensitive dye that is virtually nonfluorescent until it reacts with NO to form a fluorescent benzotriazole. For the NO assay, the cells were treated with 10 μM DAF-FA and were further incubated for 1 h at 37 °C. The cells were rinsed with PBS and detected through flow cytometric analysis. Fluorescence intensity was measured using a BD FACS Calibur Flow Cytometer System (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA). For each analysis, 20,000 events were collected and analyzed using FL1 (DCF-DA and 4-amino-5-methylamino-2′, 7′-difluorofluorescein) channel. Data were analyzed using BD Cell Quest Software (BD Biosciences).

Measurement of reduced GSH contents

Intracellular GSH content was measured by using a Glutathione Assay Kit (Sigma) according to the manufacturer’s instructions with minor modifications. To analyze the effect of bucillamine on intracellular GSH concentration, HEI-OC1 cells seeded in 100-mm dishes were treated with 20 μM cisplatin in the presence or absence of 2 mM bucillamine. After experimental treatment, cells were harvested and washed with ice-cold PBS (0.24 g l−1 KH2PO4, 1.44 g l−1 Na2HPO4, 0.2 g l−1 KCl and 8.0 g l−1 NaCl (pH 7.4)). Cells were centrifuged at 600 × g to obtain a cell pellet, and the supernatant was removed. Cells were then suspended in 0.2 ml of 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffers (pH 7.0) containing 1 mM EDTA. The cell suspension was sonicated to obtain the cell homogenate; the resulting homogenate (0.2 ml lysate) was transferred to microcentrifuge tubes, except for a small portion that was saved for protein quantification. An equal volume of 5% sulfosalicylic acid was added to the cell extract (0.2 ml), and the precipitated proteins were sedimented by centrifugation at 10,000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C. The volume of the supernatant was measured, and the original volume for total GSH was used according to the manufacturer’s instruction. For microplate reader determination, 10 μl of the cell extract supernatant or of the standard GSH solution was mixed with 150 μl of the working mixture, and then 50 μl of 0.16 mg ml−1 NADPH solution was added with a multichannel pipette. The final concentration of the components in the reaction mixture was 95 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 0.95 mM EDTA, 0.038 mg ml−1 NADPH, 0.031 mg MIDTBN (5,5′-dithio-diphenylcarbonic acid), 0.115 units ml−1 GSH reductase and 0.24% 5-sulfosalicylic acid. The total GSH content was expressed as nmol mg−1 protein. Values were represented as the mean (s.d.) of triplicate samples.

Analysis of mRNA levels by semi-quantitative reverse transcription-PCR

Cells were plated on 60-mm dishes to a density of 1 × 105, and total cellular RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Equal amounts (1 μg) of total RNA were treated with reverse transcriptase (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) in the presence of 20 units of RNasin (Promega). Amplification of cDNA was performed in 20 μl reactions containing 10 μl of 2× Taq Premix I (SoGent Co., Daejeon, Korea) and 2 μl of each primer (5 pmol). To ensure that equal amounts of cDNA were added to the PCRs, an internal standard was amplified using the β-actin gene oligonucleotides (F5′-GTGGGGCGCTTCAAGGACAA-3′ and R5′-CTCTTTGATGTCACGCACGATTTC-3′). Transcription factor Nr2f2 was amplified using synthetic primers (F5′-AGCT TCTGGAGGACGGATGAC-3′ and R5′-GACTCCACGGAGTAGT CTCGG-3′). GSH redox system-related genes and phase II antioxidant genes were amplified using synthetic primers as follows: GSS, F5′-CCCGCTCTCCGAGCCACCTT-3′ and R5′-CACCCTGTCGCCG.
CACA CACT-3'; GGLC, F5′-ACAAGCCACCCCCCGCTTGGT-3′ and R5′-CTCAGCAGCCTCTCCTCCC-3′; GCLM, F5′-AOC TGGCCTCCTGCTG-3′ and R5′-GTGCTGAACTGCTGAGTGTTG-3′. The amplified PCR products were resolved by 1.0% agarose gel electrophoresis, stained with ethidium bromide, and visualized under UV.

**Quantitative real-time PCR**

First-strand cDNAs obtained from total RNAs were used for quantitative real-time PCR. Quantitative real-time PCR was performed with Lightcycler Nano (Roche, Indianapolis, IN, USA) and recovered by the reagent according to the protocol provided by manufacturer (Roche Diagnostics, GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). The primers used were as follows: GAPDH, F5′-ACTGAGTGAAGTGGCCT-3′ and R5′-TCAAGAACATCGCCTCC-3′; Nrf2, F5′-CCTCTGCTGCAAGTAGTACTCCT-3′ and R5′-TG GCCCTCAAAGGATGTCAT-3′; GSS, F5′-GGCGAAGAACATTGG-3′ and R5′-AGCAGTCTGCTGTTGAGG-3′; GCL, F5′-CTGCACACTCCTACCCAGT-3′ and R5′-TCAAGAACTCGCCTC-3′; GCLM, F5′-CCGGAACCTGCTCACAC-3′ and R5′-CCCAAATCTCGGAAACTCCC-3′; SOD1, F5′-AC CATCCACTTCGAGCAGAA-3′ and R5′-AAATGAGGTCCTGCAC-3′; SOD2, F5′-AUCTGCAGTCCTCCTCAGC-3′ and R5′-GCT TGATAGCCTCCAGCAC-3′. GAPDH expression was used to normalize mRNA levels. The evaluation of relative mRNA levels among the treatment groups was carried out using the ΔΔCT method. Data are presented as relative fold changes compared with the control group.

**Transfection of small interference RNA in HEI-OC1 cells**

Predesigned small interference RNAs (siRNAs) against mouse HO-1 (sc-35555), SOD2 (sc-416560) and control siRNA (sc-37007) were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. The sense strands of siRNAs against HO-1 and SOD2 are a pool of three target-specific siRNAs designed for known gene expression. Cells were transfected with double-stranded siRNAs (0.5 μg/ml) in antibiotic- and serum-free medium for 6 h by using the X-tremeG ENE siRNA transfection software program. Each experiment was performed at least three times, and all values are represented as the means (s.d.) of triplicates. One-way analysis of variance was used to analyze the statistical significance of the results. All analyses were performed using the Origin Pro 7.5 statistical software program. P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Bucillamine prevents HEI-OC1 cells from cisplatin-induced apoptotic death.**

To investigate effects of bucillamine on cisplatin-induced cytotoxicity, we first analyzed whether bucillamine affects the viability of HEI-OC1 cells by using MTT reduction assay. Bucillamine alone at various concentrations (0.25–4 μM) had no significant effect on cell viability (data not shown), whereas treatment with 20 μM cisplatin significantly decreased cell viability compared with control HEI-OC1 cells maintained with medium only (Figure 1a). We further found that pretreatment with bucillamine followed by cisplatin (20 μM) prevented the cytotoxicity caused by cisplatin in a dose-dependent manner. To determine whether cisplatin-induced reduction in cell viability is due to apoptosis, we examined the internucleosomal cleavage of DNA in HEI-OC1 cells after treatment with cisplatin in the presence or absence of bucillamine (Figure 1b). Treatment with cisplatin resulted in DNA fragmentation, visible as a ladder pattern characteristic of death.
apoptotic cell death, whereas pretreatment with bucillamine dose-dependently decreased the ladder pattern of DNA cleavage in cisplatin-treated HEI-OC1 cells. Also, morphological change of HEI-OC1 cells was examined after treatment with cisplatin in the presence or absence of 2 mM bucillamine (Figure 1c). DAPI staining showed the presence of apoptotic bodies in the nuclei of HEI-OC1 cells after treatment with cisplatin, but did not observe in cells maintained with either medium or bucillamine. Moreover, microscopic analysis showed that cisplatin-treated cells were variable in size, had protuberances that resulted in a spindle-like shape (indicated as an arrow). The cisplatin-treated cells were also shrunken, with their membranes rounded up, and they lost contact with either neighboring cells or the culture plate. However, pretreatment with bucillamine preserved cells to maintain normal morphology and their tightly attached form similar to control cells. To quantify the kinetics of both apoptotic events and cell cycle analysis, FACS analysis was performed with propidium iodide staining (Figure 1d). After treatment with cisplatin, the percentage of cells in the sub-G0/G1 phase increased up to 16.09% from 1.8% of control culture, whereas pretreatment with bucillamine markedly inhibited cisplatin-induced apoptotic cell death and reduced the percentage of cells in the sub-G0/G1 phase to 2.42%. The percentage of cells in the sub-G0/G1 phase with 2 mM bucillamine-treated cells was 2.17%. These results suggest that pretreatment with bucillamine prevented apoptotic cell death induced by cisplatin.

Bucillamine suppresses the activation of caspase-3 and caspase-8 in cisplatin-treated HEI-OC1 cells.

We tested whether caspase-3 was involved in cisplatin-induced apoptosis using a caspase-3 colorimetric assay (R&D Systems).
Treatment with cisplatin (20 μM) significantly increased the catalytic activity of caspase-3 (4.14-fold compared with control, *P<0.01), which was markedly decreased by bucillamine (2 mM) (Figure 2a). Bucillamine alone did not exert any significant effect on caspase-3 activity. To analyze one of the upstream activators of caspase-3, the enzymatic activity of caspase-8 was measured (Figure 2b). We found that treatment with cisplatin alone significantly increased caspase-8 activity, whereas pretreatment with bucillamine markedly decreased the catalytic activity of caspase-8 to the level similar to the control or bucillamine alone, suggesting that activities of both caspase-3 and -8 are downregulated by bucillamine in the presence of cisplatin.

Next, caspase-3 activation was examined microscopically by immunofluorescence at a single-cell level as well as by western blot analysis using an antibody specific for the activated form of caspase-3 (Figures 3a and b). Upon caspase-3 activation, 32 kDa procaspase is cleaved into 17 kDa active form by proteolytic cleavage. Treatment of cells with cisplatin (20 μM) for 24 h resulted in an increase of the fluorescent signal in the cytosol (Figure 3a) and appearance of 17 kDa fragment detected by western blot (Figure 3b). In contrast, pretreatment with 2 mM bucillamine abolished the cisplatin-induced activation of caspase-3.

Bucillamine suppresses the generation of ROS and NO in cisplatin-treated HEI-OC1 cells.

To analyze ROS-scavenging effects of bucillamine, we examined the intracellular ROS levels by flow cytometry by using an oxidation-sensitive fluorescent probe, DCF-DA, in HEI-OC1 cells (Figure 4a). The basal level of DCF-DA oxidation was observed in control cells, which was markedly suppressed by bucillamine (2 mM). To determine whether bucillamine suppresses ROS generation induced by cisplatin, intracellular levels of hydroxyl radicals and NO were measured using the fluorescent probes DCF-DA and 4-amino-5-methylamino-2′,7′-diluorofluorescein, respectively, (Figure 4b). The basal level of intracellular oxidant produced by HEI-OC1 cells was defined by a probe intensity of 10² and bucillamine alone decreased hydroxyl radicals, shown as a fluorescent intensity of DCF, up to 34.6% and NO to 26.5% compared with the control (Figure 4c). However, treatment with cisplatin for 24 h markedly increased hydroxyl radicals up to 192.6% and NO up to 183.7% compared with control levels. In contrast, pretreatment with bucillamine for 1 h completely blocked the generation of hydroxyl radicals and NO by cisplatin.

Bucillamine increases intracellular GSH level by inducting GSH synthesis genes

Because bucillamine induces Nrf2 nuclear translocation (Figure 5), we examined whether expression of Nrf2 target...
genes related to redox regulation of the GSH system, including γ-GCS (GCLC and GCLM subunits; a rate-limiting enzyme of GSH synthesis) and GSS. Treatment with bucillamine significantly increased the expression of GCLC and modifier subunit (GCLM) compared with cisplatin-treated cells (Figures 6a and b). However, bucillamine slightly increased mRNA levels of GSS compared with cisplatin-treated cells using RT-PCR at the indicated time point and quantitative RT-PCR at 12 h. Western blot analysis showed that bucillamine significantly increased the expression of GCLC, but not GSS and GCLM, after cisplatin treatment for 24 h (Figure 6c). We next examined whether pretreatment with bucillamine modulated the intracellular GSH contents in cisplatin-treated HEI-OC1 cells. GSH concentration in cisplatin-treated cells decreased from a control value of 26.03 ± 1.34 (mean ± s.e.m., n = 6) to 16.47 ± 1.36 nmol mg⁻¹ protein (n = 6, P < 0.01). Pretreatment with bucillamine prevented the depletion of GSH (36.34 ± 1.15 nmol mg⁻¹ protein) (n = 6, P < 0.01).

Figure 3 Bucillamine suppresses cisplatin-induced caspase-3 activation in HEI-OC1 cells. (a) Cells were pretreated with 2 mM bucillamine for 1 h followed by the addition of 20 μM cisplatin for 24 h. Cells were then washed and fixed, and the active form of caspase-3 was determined by immunofluorescence. Pictures were taken using a fluorescence microscope (original magnification, ×600). (b) Equal amounts of total proteins (50 μg per lane) from cell lysate of the above experimental conditions were prepared, and subject to SDS-PAGE and western blot analyses.
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compared with cells treated with cisplatin alone. Notably, bucillamine alone significantly increased intracellular GSH contents (45.75 ± 1.76 nmol mg⁻¹, n = 6, P < 0.01) compared with the control (Figure 6d). These results indicate that pretreatment with bucillamine significantly increased intracellular GSH contents by the induction of GSH synthesis genes via Nrf2 activation.

Bucillamine induces expression of phase II detoxification enzymes in HEI-OC1 cells

The transcription factor Nrf2, a major regulator of genes encoding phase II detoxifying enzymes, is essential in protecting cells from oxidative damage. We found that mRNA expression of antioxidant genes, including HO-1, Cu/Zn SOD1 and MnSOD2 significantly increased after pretreatment with bucillamine compared with cisplatin-treated cells at 12 h using quantitative RT-PCR analysis (Figure 7a). Interestingly, bucillamine alone also induced high mRNA levels of these genes. We also examined protein levels of HO-1, SOD1 and SOD2 enzymes in response to cisplatin treatment in the presence or absence of bucillamine at 24 h. Consistent with mRNA expression, bucillamine markedly induced the expression of HO-1, SOD1 and SOD2 enzymes compared with cells treated with cisplatin alone. Bucillamine alone also significantly increased the protein levels of these enzymes (Figure 7b).

siRNA knockdown of phase II HO-1 and SOD2 enzymes does not attenuate the protective effects of bucillamine

Because phase II enzymes, such as HO-1 and SOD2, are well-known antioxidant enzymes, we determined whether knockdown of cytosolic HO-1 or mitochondrial SOD2 enzyme can regulate cisplatin-induced cell death and whether the protective effects of bucillamine against cisplatin is mediated by these enzymes. Transfection with siRNA HO-1 (47.46%), siRNA SOD2 (45.47%) and both (41.84%) slightly increased the sensitivity of cytotoxicity in response to cisplatin compared with cells treated with cisplatin alone. Notably, bucillamine alone significantly increased intracellular GSH contents (45.75 ± 1.76 nmol mg⁻¹, n = 6, P < 0.01) compared with the control (Figure 6d). These results indicate that pretreatment with bucillamine significantly increased intracellular GSH contents by the induction of GSH synthesis genes via Nrf2 activation.

Bucillamine scavenges ROS generated by cisplatin in HEI-OC1 cells

(a) To test spontaneous production of intracellular ROS, cells were treated with DCF-DA, a ROS-specific fluorescence dye, for 1 h and then, fluorescence intensity was measured using a flow cytometer. (b) Cells were pretreated with 2 mM bucillamine followed by the addition of 20 µM cisplatin for 24 h. Then, cells were incubated with the dyes, including DCF-DA for hydroxyl radical and 4-amino-5-methylamino-2′,7′-difluorofluorescein for nitric oxides. After 1 h, the fluorescence intensity was measured using a flow cytometer. (c) Relative intensities from FACS analyses are shown in the bar graph.
Figure 5 Bucillamine induces the subcellular localization of Nrf2 in HEI-OC1 cells treated with cisplatin. (a) Cells grown in chamber slides were pretreated with 2 mM bucillamine for 1 h followed by the addition of 20 µM cisplatin for 12 h. Subcellular localization of Nrf2 was detected by indirect immunofluorescence staining with anti-Nrf2 antibodies followed by Alexa488-conjugated second antibodies (green). The nucleus (left panel) was stained with DAPI (blue), and fluorescent images were obtained. (b) Cytosolic (CE) and nuclear (NE) extracts from cells were separated on 12% SDS-PAGE and subjected to western blot analysis using anti-Nrf2 antibody. (c) Quantitative real-time PCR was carried out to determine the relative expression level of Nrf2 at 3 h. (d) Total RNA from cells was isolated with TRizol reagent, cDNA was generated using reverse transcriptase, and PCR was performed to determine expression levels of Nrf2 at indicated time points.
with cells treated with cisplatin alone (54.34%) and the control scramble siRNA (51.46%) (Figure 8a). Pretreatment with bucillamine significantly recovered the protective effects against cisplatin in cells transfected with siRNA HO-1 (79.22%), siRNA SOD2 (76.93%) or both (69.31%), respectively (Figure 8a). Therefore, we performed a western blot to determine whether knockdown of HO-1, SOD2 or both induces the catalytic activation of caspase-3 (Figure 8b). We found that knockdown of antioxidants HO-1, SOD2 or both weakly increased the active form of caspase-3 in cisplatin-treated HEI-OC1 cells, confirming the cell viability assay. In contrast, pretreatment with bucillamine significantly inhibited caspase-3 activation irrespective of knockdown of HO-1, SOD2 or both, indicating that bucillamine-mediated protective effects do not necessarily require the enzymatic activity of HO-1 and SOD2 in response to cisplatin.

Figure 6 Bucillamine increases expression of γ-GCS and GSS in HEI-OC1 cells. (a) Cells were pretreated with 2 mM bucillamine for 1 h followed by the addition of 20 µM cisplatin for different time intervals as indicated. Total RNA was isolated and cDNA was synthesized by reverse transcription. cDNAs of γ-glutamylcysteine synthetase (γ-GCS: GCLC and GCLM) and glutathione synthetase (GSS) were amplified using specific primer sets. (b) mRNA expression levels of GSS, GCLC and GCLM were analyzed by quantitative real-time PCR at 12 h. (c) Cell lysates were collected, electrophoresed through an SDS-polyacrylamide gel, and subject to immunoblot analysis with antibodies against γ-GCS (GCLC and GCLM) or GSS. The protein and mRNA levels of β-actin were used as controls. (d) Intracellular GSH content was measured by using a Glutathione Assay Kit. Total GSH contents were expressed as nmol mg⁻¹ protein. Values are represented as the mean (s.d.) of triplicate samples. *P<0.01 compared with control.
Bucillamine prevents cisplatin-induced cell death and hearing loss

To confirm the protective effects of bucillamine on sensory hair cells from the organ of Corti explants against cisplatin, cochlear explants of 2-day neonatal (P2) rats were isolated, dissected into three parts (apex, middle and basal) and cultured in 4-well plates. Cochlear explants treated with cisplatin in the presence or absence of 2 mM bucillamine were stained with Alexa 568-phalloidin to label the stereocilia of sensory hair cells. We found that cochlear cultures treated with cisplatin resulted in disorganized sensory hair cell rows and loss of stereocilia in the majority of sensory hair cells (Figure 9a). In contrast, bucillamine-pretreated cochlear cultures showed three orderly rows of OHCs and one row of inner hair cells, similar to control (not shown).

To determine the protective effects of bucillamine in vivo, we measured ABR thresholds in control mice (saline solution), mice injected with cisplatin (16 mg kg\(^{-1}\) per 4 days) in the presence or absence of bucillamine, and mice injected with bucillamine alone (400 mg kg\(^{-1}\) per 4 days) (Figure 9b and c). For 3 days following cisplatin exposure, ABR thresholds increased significantly in all frequencies (2, 4, 8, 16 and 32 kHz tones and click), and the changes of each values were statistically significant compared with the control group (P<0.05). The increase in ABR thresholds was greater for the higher-frequency stimuli at 16 and 32 kHz than at 4 and 8 kHz. In contrast, pretreatment with bucillamine plus cisplatin did not show significant ABR threshold changes compared with the saline-treated control group. Importantly, ABR thresholds in the bucillamine plus cisplatin-treated group were

**Figure 7** Bucillamine induces phase II antioxidants and detoxifying enzymes in HEI-OC1 cells treated with cisplatin. (a) Cells were pretreated with 2 mM bucillamine for 1 h followed by the addition of 20 µM cisplatin at 12 h. Phase II antioxidant genes (HO-1, SOD1 and SOD2) from total RNA were amplified using specific primer sets. Expression levels of HO-1, SOD1 and SOD2 were significantly increased by the pretreatment with bucillamine as compared with cisplatin alone at 12 h. (b) Cell lysates were electrophoresed through an SDS-polyacrylamide gel and subject to immunoblot analysis for HO-1, SOD1 and SOD2.
significantly lower than those in the cisplatin alone ($P<0.01$). ABR thresholds in bucillamine-alone were similar to those in control with slightly lower values at 8 kHz and click ($P<0.01$). These results indicate that bucillamine ameliorates cisplatin-induced hair cell loss \textit{ex vivo} as well as hearing impairments \textit{in vivo}.

**DISCUSSION**

Cisplatin is an effective chemotherapeutic agent that is currently used as the standard treatment for a variety of human malignant neoplasms. However, clinical application of cisplatin is limited because it often induces serious and irreversible toxicity in bone marrow, gastrointestinal tract, kidney, cochlear and nervous system.\textsuperscript{2,3} Previous studies using thiol-containing compounds, including diethyldithiocarbamate, GSH, lipoic acid, sodium thiosulfate and 4-methylthiobenzoic acid, have evaluated the protective effects of these compounds against the adverse effects of cisplatin.\textsuperscript{2,20,35} Additionally, the T-type calcium channel blocker flunarizine and selenium-containing compound ebselen have shown protective effects against cisplatin-induced cytotoxicity and ototoxicity.\textsuperscript{19,21} However, the mechanisms of cisplatin ototoxicity are yet to be fully understood.

In this study, we examined whether bucillamine has a protective effect against cisplatin. Pretreatment with bucillamine markedly suppressed apoptotic cell death in cisplatin-treated HEI-OC1 cells. Therefore, we demonstrate for the first time that bucillamine can reduce cisplatin-induced hair cell toxicity in auditory cells and the organ of Corti. In addition, we also present \textit{in vivo} evidence that bucillamine prevents ABR threshold shifts induced by cisplatin in Balb/C mice.

A number of studies suggest that caspase activation triggers the apoptotic process in various types of cells. Caspase-3, an apoptosis executioner, plays a pivotal role especially in the terminal phase of apoptosis and is activated by other caspases,
such as caspase-8 and caspase-9. Activated caspase-3 is important for the occurrence of morphological changes, such as DNA laddering and nuclear fragmentation in apoptotic cells. Caspase-8 activity is initiated when FAS ligand or tumor necrosis factors bind to cell-surface receptors that contain a death domain, and lies upstream of caspase-3. Several reports have demonstrated that cisplatin induced caspase-3 activation in HEI-OC1 cells, which was virtually suppressed by co-treating with various compounds, such as NF-κB inhibitors (Bay 11–7085 and SN-50), polyphenolic compounds (epicatechin) and immunosuppressors (JWH-015 and HU210). A recent study showed that luteolin, acting as antioxidant and anti-inflammatory reagent, suppressed activation of caspase-3, caspase-8 and caspase-9, and protects cisplatin-induced apoptosis in auditory cells. In this study, we showed that cisplatin significantly increased the active form of caspase-3 and the activities of caspase-3 and -8 in auditory cells, which was significantly inhibited by buccillamine pre-treatment, suggesting that buccillamine effectively suppresses cisplatin-induced cell death.

Like other cysteine derivatives, buccillamine is able to rapidly enter into cells and interrupt redox signaling and oxidative injury. Mazor et al. demonstrated that the antioxidant capacity of buccillamine is higher than that of Trolox, ascorbic acid or the thiol antioxidants GSH and NAC. In addition, Horwitz and Sherman reported that buccillamine prevents damages from peroxide or superoxide radicals in cultured adult rat cardiac myocytes and substantially reduces myocardial infarct size when administered during reperfusion. In rheumatoid arthritis patients, the antioxidant defense system is found to be compromised, in which the activities of GSH peroxidase and catalases, as well as the plasma concentrations of...
β-carotene, vitamin E and reduced GSH, are significantly decreased compared with those in healthy controls.43,44 In addition, several reports showed that cisplatin exerts its renal and cochlear toxicity by generating ROS and interfering with the antioxidant defense system. Accordingly, thiol-containing compounds (for example, diethyldithiocarbamate, GSH and NAC) and the antioxidant enzymes (for example, catalase and SOD) have been found to be nephroprotective and otoprotective against cisplatin-induced GSH depletion and GSH peroxidase inactivation in cochlear tissues.3,14,45 In this study, we showed that the protective effects of bucillamine against cisplatin were achieved through the reduction of free radicals, including hydroxyl radicals, NOs and superoxide anions, indicating that bucillamine acts as a potent antioxidant against cisplatin-induced ROS and reactive nitrogen species in auditory cells.

The transcription factor Nrf2 binds antioxidant response elements and regulates phase II detoxifying and antioxidant genes.66 A recent study has demonstrated that bucillamine induces GSH biosynthesis via Nrf2 activation in murine Hepa1-6 and human HepG2 hepatoblastoma cells where the GCLC, the rate-limiting enzyme of GSH biosynthesis and the multidrug-resistance-associated protein (Mrp2) were induced by Nrf2.33 We found that knockdown of HO-1 and SOD2 weakly increased cytotoxicity in cisplatin-induced cells compared with cisplatin-alone-treated cells. However, western blot analysis indicates that active caspase-3 levels were not increased in cells transfected with siHO-1, siSOD2 or both, compared with cisplatin alone. Although Nrf2 activation by bucillamine induces HO-1 and SOD2 expression, these antioxidant enzymes may have a partial role in bucillamine-mediated protective effects.

GSH acts as a free radical scavenger, and intracellular GSH participates in the metabolism and detoxification of electrophilic drugs, antioxidant defense, maintenance of thiol redox status and modulation of cell proliferation.47 In the present study, we demonstrated that bucillamine significantly induces the GSH synthesis enzymes, including γ-GCS subunits (GCLC and GCLM) and GSS, via Nrf2 transactivation, which then results in the increase of intracellular GSH contents in auditory cells. Therefore, our study suggests that bucillamine possesses excellent antioxidant properties in vitro, which may render the compound suitable for therapeutic use in diseases resulting from oxidative damages.

Ex vivo culture of the organ of Corti has been a useful model for studying cisplatin- or aminoglycoside-induced toxicity and otoprotective molecule screening.5 In the inner ear, cisplatin application causes sensorineural hearing loss through apoptosis of the cochlear hair cells and the spiral ganglion neurons.58 The most prominent change observed in the cochlea after cisplatin administration consists of loss of OHCs which progresses from basal to apex in the cochlea turn. Cisplatin otoxicity is not limited to the auditory hair cells but also affects the auditory neuron, the stria vascularis and the supporting cells of the organ of Corti.49,50 In particular, supporting cells (Deiter’s cells) appeared more sensitive than OHCs, and structural malformation of the supporting cell preceded detectable changes in OHCs.51 Several studies with thiol-containing antioxidants, such as NAC, GSH ester, diethyldithiocarbamate, lipolic acid and sodium thiosulfate, have proposed the advantages of otoprotective agents to reduce the adverse effects of cisplatin on auditory functions.7,52–54 In the current study, we tested the otoprotective role of bucillamine in cisplatin-treated Balb/C mice, and found that bucillamine protects against cisplatin-induced otoxicity, which was evidenced by reducing ABR threshold shifts at all frequencies. Several reports demonstrated that a variety of antioxidant agents, including ε-methionine,55 NAC,56 sodium thiosulfate,57 thiourea,58 diethyldithiocarbamate8 and 4-methylthiobenzoic acid,8 significantly inhibited acute cochlear otoxicity and markedly reduced ABR thresholds in cisplatin-treated animals. Additionally, ebselen pretreatment eliminated ABR threshold shift and significantly reduced the pathologic consequences upon cisplatin treatment8,19,32,59 and noise exposure60,61 in animals.

In the current study, we first investigated the anti-apoptotic, antioxidant and otoprotective effects of bucillamine administered with cisplatin in HEI-OC1 cells in cultured organ of Corti and mice in vivo. Because otoxicity and cytotoxicity caused by cisplatin is mainly dependent on the depletion of intracellular antioxidants in auditory cells and the cochlea, our results strongly suggest that bucillamine possesses scavenging, antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects through elimination of free radicals, induction of antioxidant enzymes and increase in intracellular GSH content. Therefore, our results together with currently available information on the pharmacological application of bucillamine, suggest that bucillamine might be a potential agent in prevention of hearing loss caused by insults of ROS challenges.
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