INTRODUCTION

Hybridization and its impacts on fitness are of major importance in evolution and conservation biology (Allendorf, Leary, Spruell, & Wenburg, 2001; Dowling & Secor, 1997; Lewontin & Birch, 1966; Mavárez & Linares, 2008; Rhymer & Simberloff, 1996; Seehausen, 2006; Stebbins, 1959, 1985). One particular process that can result from hybridization is mitochondrial introgression. Mitochondria are involved in various cellular processes essential to the survival of individuals, such as energetic metabolism, apoptosis, and signaling.
pathways (Le Bras, Clément, Pervaiz, & Brenner, 2005). Protein complexes of the respiratory chain that allow the production of energy by mitochondria are encoded by both the mitochondrial (mtDNA) and the nuclear genomes (nucDNA). A strong co-evolution between these genomes is expected to maintain the highly specific interactions between protein subunits required to be fully operational (Burton, Pereira, & Barreto, 2013; Osada & Akashi, 2012). Processes like mitochondrial introgression that break up the allele combinations of co-adapted genes from mtDNA and nucDNA are therefore expected to result in outbreeding depression (Edmands & Burton, 1999; Ellison & Burton, 2008; McKenzie, Chiotis, Pinkert, & Trounce, 2003).

However, mitochondrial introgression has been reported in various organisms across taxonomic groups (Alves, Melo-Ferreira, Freitas, & Boursot, 2008; Boratyński et al., 2011; Goddard & Schultz, 1993; Irwin, Rubtsov, & Panov, 2009; Liu et al., 2010; Llopart, Herrig, Brud, & Stecklein, 2014; Marková, Dufresne, Manca, & Kotlík, 2013; McGuire et al., 2007; Ruedi, Smith, & Patton, 1997; Toews, Mandic, Richards, & Irwin, 2014; Wang & Wang, 2014; Wilson & Bernatchez, 1998; Zieliński et al., 2013). Introgression may allow rapid physiological and life history trait adjustment and adaption (i.e., adaptive introgression) to cope with specific environments or climatic changes (Boratyński et al., 2011; Llopart et al., 2014; Toews et al., 2014). Although mitochondrial introgression has been observed in many animals, it remains anecdotal for most species reported. This has limited the study of the genetic, ecological, and environmental factors underlying these events. The relative fitness between wild types and introgressed types has only been determined for a few examples (e.g., Montooth, Meiklejohn, Abt, & Rand, 2010; Muhlfeld et al., 2009; Rand, Fry, & Sheldahl, 2012). In addition, the frequency of mtDNA haplotypes transferred to a receiving species is particularly important to understanding the potential adaptive processes underlying introgressive hybridization (Rheindt & Edwards, 2011; Toews & Brelsford, 2012).

Mitochondrial introgression generally results from asymmetric hybridizations and repeated backcrossing and is therefore a slow process lasting several generations (Rieseberg & Wendel 1993). This phenomenon may also occur in a single generation in some hybrid complexes (Goddard & Schultz, 1993; Yamada et al., 2015). Hybrids of these complexes are perpetuating lineages with their own evolutionary fate. They can occasionally produce individuals in which a diploid nuclear genome and mtDNA from different species are brought together in a single generation. Such instantaneous mitochondrial introgression events allow the disentangling of the effects of hybridization from those of allospecific mtDNA.

In the northern redbelly dace (Chrosomus eos), individuals can harbor either C. eos or C. neogaeus mtDNA, referred to hereafter as wild types and cybrids, respectively (Figure 1a). Instantaneous mitochondrial introgression resulting in de novo production of cybrids (Figure 1b) is possible due to the presence of all-female hybrids C. eos–neogaeus (Goddard, Dawley, & Dowling, 1989). These hybrids reproduce clonally by gynogenesis; sperm of either C. eos or C. neogaeus is required, but only to trigger the development of the unreduced eggs (Goddard, Megwinoff, Wessner, & Giaimo, 1998). However, a high proportion of triploid hybrids may occur when the nuclear genome of C. eos sperm is incorporated in unreduced hybrid eggs (Leung & Angers, 2018). Triploid hybrids are expected to occasionally produce eggs with a haploid C. eos genome and C. neogaeus mtDNA (Goddard & Schultz, 1993). Fertilization of such an egg by a C. eos haploid sperm reconstitutes the diploid nuclear genome of C. eos but with a C. neogaeus mitochondrial genome and results in an instantaneous mitochondrial introgression (Angers & Schlosser, 2007; Binet & Angers, 2005; Goddard & Schultz, 1993).

Cybrids produced de novo inherit of a combination of nuclear and mitochondrial genomes that have not co-evolved since speciation time (ca. 5 Myears, Deremiers, Schwartz, Angers, Glémet, & Angers, 2015). Moreover, they must compete with C. eos wild type that are expected

**FIGURE 1** Instantaneous mitochondrial introgression in the fish Chrosomus eos. (a) Individuals of the complex Chrosomus eos-neogaeus including C. eos and hybrids. (b) Hybridization between a male C. eos and a female C. neogaeus results in diploid hybrids. These all-female hybrids reproduce clonally by gynogenesis; sperm is only required to trigger egg development. Occasional incorporation of the genome of C. eos sperm results in triploid hybrids. Triploid hybrids can produce eggs with a haploid C. eos genome but a C. neogaeus mtDNA. Instantaneous mitochondrial introgression occurs when such an egg is fertilized by the C. eos sperm, leading to de novo production of cybrids. E and N refer to nuclear genome of C. eos and C. neogaeus, respectively, superscript to mitochondrial genome.
to be well adapted to environmental conditions. These Chrosomus eos cybrids can therefore provide a valuable model for addressing a fundamental question about mitochondrial introgression: How well do introgressed individuals perform through time in their native environment compared to wild types?

This study aimed to assess the effects of allospecific mtDNA C. neogaeus on the fitness of C. eos in their native environment. More specifically, we compared the long-term demography of C. eos cybrids to that of the wild types from which they occurred. Once produced, cybrids can reproduce sexually as wild types do. However, de novo production of cybrids represents an additional input of individuals that can demographically favor cybrids. On the one hand, cybrids are expected to exclude wild types if they display fitness similar or higher to that of wild types, as theoretically demonstrated by Barron, Lawson, and Jensen (2016). On the other hand, a lower fitness of cybrids can be demographically compensated by de novo production of individuals so that both cybrids and wild types can coexist in sympathy. To test these predictions, we determined the relative abundance of wild types and cybrids in a survey of 25 C. eos populations from southern Quebec (Canada). The relative abundance integrates the long-term demography by taking into account both fitness and the additional input of cybrids.

Determining where de novo production of cybrids occurred is of primary importance when assessing the fitness of cybrids in their native environment. This is particularly relevant in geographic contexts strongly modeled by postglacial expansion such as northeastern North America (April, Hanner, Dion-Côté, & Bernatchez, 2013; Bernatchez & Wilson, 1998; Gagnon & Angers, 2006), because cybrids of a given site may also originate from postglacial colonization. We thus inferred where de novo production of cybrids occurred to discriminate between the native environments and those colonized by cybrids during the postglacial expansion. At a given site, we inferred de novo production of cybrids when cybrids and one sympatric hybrid lineage (the C. neogaeus mtDNA donor) shared the same mtDNA sequence. In the absence of sympatric hybrids or if the mitochondrial haplotype of cybrids did not match with that of sympatric hybrids, we assumed that an introgression event occurred prior to postglacial colonization or that hybrid lineage that gave rise to the cybrid was extinct at this site.

We performed the survey in two regions (West-Qc and East-Qc) known to display contrasting patterns of C. eos-neogaeus hybrid diversity. In West-Qc, one hybrid lineage is widespread throughout this region resulting from postglacial colonization (Angers & Schlosser, 2007). In East-Qc, multiple hybridization events occurred in situ and lineages displayed a narrow geographic distribution (Vergilino, Leung, & Angers, 2016) and may therefore represent different sources of cybrids.

2 | MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 | Prevalence of wild types and cybrids

A total of 664 individuals visually identified as Chrosomus eos (New, 1962) were collected from 18 sites in West-Qc and seven sites in East-Qc (Table 1, Figure 2) in southern Quebec (Canada). The sampling of wild type and cybrid individuals was random considering as they could not be visually discriminated. The sampling was performed under institutional animal care guidelines (permit #13-084 delivered by the Université de Montréal) and conforms to the mandatory guidelines of the Canadian Council on Animal Care. Sampling permits were provided by the Quebec Ministry of Natural Resources and Wildlife (MRNF).

We first genetically confirmed the identity of individuals using markers specific to the C. eos nucDNA according to Binet and Angers (2005). We then used a PCR-RFLP-based method to identify individuals as wild type or cybrid according to mtDNA. In a single PCR, we used two pairs of primers (CR-eos and CR-neogaeus; Table 2) to specifically amplify a C. eos (627 bp) or a C. neogaeus (620 bp) D-loop segment. Amplicons were then digested using the restriction enzyme Hinf I, producing four fragments (11, 82, 105, and 429 bp) from the amplified segment of C. eos mtDNA (wild type), while the segment from C. neogaeus (cybrid) remained uncut. This PCR-RFLP procedure allowed for the rapid survey of the large number of individuals included in this study.

We partitioned the diversity of mtDNA detected in C. eos (wild type or cybrid) by calculating the diversity overall sites ($H_{n}$), the diversity within population ($H_{s}$) using the average of Nei’s gene diversity ($H_{e}$), and diversity among populations ($F_{ST}$). Estimations and tests between regions were performed using F-stat v2.9.3 (Goudet, 2001).

2.2 | Inference of in situ production of cybrids

We inferred the locations of de novo production of cybrids to discriminate between native environments and those founded during the postglacial expansion. A correspondence between the cybrid and sympatric hybrid lineage mtDNA was considered as a de novo production of cybrids and the site as a native environment of cybrids. The procedure was achieved in three steps: (1) a wide-scale survey using the single strand conformation polymorphism method (SSCP; Orita et al., 1989) to analyze a large number of individuals; (2) the identification of mtDNA haplotypes according to previous studies using a reference gene; and (3) the confirmation of the correspondence between cybrid and hybrid mtDNA detected at step 1 by sequencing a large and variable region of the mtDNA.

We first performed the survey of mitochondrial DNA diversity using three gene segments (D-loop, ND3, and COI; Table 2) over a sample of 202 individuals previously identified as cybrids. In addition, 13 distinct hybrid lineages in sympathy with C. eos (either wild type or cybrid) characterized in previous studies (Leung, Breton, & Angers, 2016; Vergilino et al., 2016) were included in this survey as putative C. neogaeus mtDNA donors. Amplifications were carried out using the following conditions: one cycle of denaturation at 92°C for 30 s, 45 cycles of denaturation at 92°C for 30 s, annealing temperature for 20 s (Table 2), and extension at 68°C for 30 s, with a final extension at 68°C for 2 min. Denatured PCR products were discriminated on an 8% nondenaturing polyacrylamide gel (acylamide/bisacrylamide 37:5:1) for 12 hr at 15 W at 4°C in TBE 1X following the SSCP method (SSCP; Orita et al., 1989).
TABLE 1 Characteristics of the sampled sites. Geographic coordinates, relative abundance of wild-type and cybrid individuals per site, sample size (n), and Nei’s gene diversity.

| Site  | Latitude (N) | Longitude (W) | Wild type | Cybrid | n    | Diversity |
|-------|--------------|---------------|-----------|--------|------|-----------|
| West-Qc |
| BA-1  | 45° 47′ 08″  | 75° 14′ 57″  | 0.32      | 0.68   | 25   | 0.44      |
| PN-1  | 46° 12′ 43″  | 75° 13′ 60″  | 0         | 1      | 8    | 0         |
| RO-1  | 45° 46′ 38″  | 74° 34′ 25″  | 0.85      | 0.15   | 13   | 0.27      |
| RO-5  | 46° 35′ 43″  | 74°33′ 48″   | 0         | 1      | 8    | 0         |
| NO-7  | 45° 56′ 37″  | 74° 11′ 37″  | 1         | 0      | 10   | 0         |
| NO-8  | 45° 52′ 31″  | 74° 08′ 44″  | 1         | 0      | 12   | 0         |
| NO-10 | 45° 55′ 32″  | 74° 03′ 51″  | 0         | 1      | 18   | 0         |
| AS-1  | 45° 55′ 01″  | 74° 04′ 22″  | 0.19      | 0.81   | 113  | 0.32      |
| AS-3  | 45° 54′ 53″  | 74° 01′ 41″  | 0.89      | 0.11   | 28   | 0.2       |
| AS-5  | 45° 59′ 17″  | 74° 00′ 24″  | 1         | 0      | 25   | 0         |
| AS-6  | 45° 59′ 22″  | 74° 00′ 18″  | 1         | 0      | 10   | 0         |
| AS-9  | 45° 57′ 14″  | 73° 56′ 06″  | 0         | 1      | 12   | 0         |
| AS-15 | 46° 05′ 34″  | 73° 52′ 20″  | 0.92      | 0.08   | 12   | 0.17      |
| AS-17 | 46° 05′ 32″  | 73° 48′ 33″  | 1         | 0      | 47   | 0         |
| MA-1  | 46° 30′ 30″  | 73° 23′ 00″  | 0         | 1      | 12   | 0         |
| SM-1  | 46° 47′ 43″  | 73° 20′ 56″  | 0         | 1      | 12   | 0         |
| SM-2,3| 46° 47′ 15″  | 73° 16′ 43″  | 0         | 1      | 12   | 0         |
| SM-4  | 47° 19′ 55″  | 72° 35′ 24″  | 1         | 0      | 8    | 0         |
| Total |              |               | 0.51      | 0.49   | 385  | 0.08      |
| East-Qc |
| YA-1.2| 45° 23′ 23″  | 72° 27′ 01″  | 0.65      | 0.35   | 31   | 0.47      |
| RI-3,4| 45° 04′ 09″  | 72° 21′ 12″  | 0.94      | 0.06   | 17   | 0.12      |
| SF-2  | 45° 21′ 17″  | 72° 13′ 05″  | 0.67      | 0.33   | 12   | 0.48      |
| SF-4,5| 45° 14′ 01″  | 71° 54′ 28″  | 0.73      | 0.27   | 15   | 0.42      |
| SF-10 | 45° 12′ 37″  | 71° 56′ 10″  | 0.29      | 0.71   | 69   | 0.42      |
| SF-12 | 45° 07′ 48″  | 71° 40′ 22″  | 0.67      | 0.33   | 115  | 0.45      |
| SF-14 | 45° 11′ 04″  | 71° 33′ 13″  | 0.55      | 0.45   | 20   | 0.52      |
| Total |              |               | 0.64      | 0.36   | 279  | 0.41      |

FIGURE 2 Map of the southern Quebec (Canada) and geographic location of the sites analyzed for this study. The relative abundance of Chrosomus eos wild type and cybrid was indicated for sites from West-Qc (n = 18) and East-Qc (n = 7). The underlined names refer to the presence of hybrids at those sites.
We then assigned each of the different haplotypes recovered by SSCP to the haplotypes A, B, and F previously found by Angers and Schlosser (2007) and Mee and Taylor (2012). We sequenced a segment of the cytochrome oxidase I (COI) gene (Angers & Schlosser, 2007) and searched for sequence similarity in GenBank.

Finally, we confirmed the correspondence of C. neogaeus mitochondrial haplotypes between sympatric cybrids and hybrids by sequencing a mitochondrial segment (hereafter designed as ND3-4L) encompassing a portion of the ND3 and ND4L genes and the tRNA-Arg gene using the ND3-4L primers (Table 2). We retrieved 522-bp quality sequences that were aligned using the MUSCLE algorithm available with the MEGA7 software (Kumar, Stecher, & Tamura, 2016).

### 2.3 Relative abundance of wild types and cybrids

The relative abundance of wild type and cybrid individuals in a given environment was used as a measure integrating both fitness and demographic inputs of cybrids. More specifically, we assessed the co-occurrence of wild types and cybrids according to the origin of cybrids (de novo or migrants) using the C-score index (Stone & Roberts, 1990). The C-score statistic calculates the number of sites for which wild types and cybrids never appear together. High C-score values indicate an increasing degree of mutual exclusivity between biotypes. The observed degree of allopatry was tested against 999 random communities generated according to a null model as described by Jonsson (2001).

We also constructed Mantel correlograms for establishing the spatial distribution of wild types and cybrids. We used straight line distance and waterway distance between sites. We used FST values as dependent variables and a geographic distance matrix as an independent variable. The number of distance classes was determined according to Sturges’ rule, and Mantel statistics was tested with 999 permutations using the correction for multiple tests proposed by Holm (1979). C-score and Mantel statistics were performed in R (R Development Core Team 2004) using the vegan package (Oksanen et al., 2015).

### 3 RESULTS

#### 3.1 Distribution of wild types and cybrids

PCR-RFLP identification of the 664 C. eos individuals performed at the mitochondrial level allowed the detection of 375 (56.5%) wild-type and 289 (43.5%) cybrid individuals. Wild types were as abundant as cybrids in West-Qc (50.9% vs. 49.1%; \( \chi^2 = 0.065; p = .799 \)), but more abundant in East-Qc (64.2% vs. 35.8%; \( \chi^2 = 7.918; p = .005 \); Table 1).

Cybrids were detected in 12 of 18 sites in West-Qc and in all the sites in East-Qc (Figure 2). For a comparable regional diversity between West-Qc (\( H_T = 0.50 \)) and East-Qc (\( H_T = 0.46 \)), diversity within population is significantly lower (\( p = .017 \)) in West-Qc (\( H_S = 0.08 \)) than in East-Qc (\( H_S = 0.41 \)). However, the diversity among populations is significantly higher (\( p = .009 \)) in West-Qc (\( F_{ST} = 0.72 \)) than in East-Qc (\( F_{ST} = 0.16 \)). These results indicate a more heterogeneous distribution of wild types and cybrids in the West-Qc region, where several C. eos populations are exclusively composed of wild type or cybrid individuals (Figure 2).

#### 3.2 Large-scale survey of cybrid diversity

A total of six distinct haplotypes for C. neogaeus mitochondrial DNA were detected by combining variations in D-loop, ND3, and COI segments detected by SSCP (Figure 3; Table 3) over 202 cybrid individuals from both regions. Searching for sequence similarity using a segment of the COI gene allows for recovering the three different groups of haplotypes A, B, and F (Angers & Schlosser, 2007; Mee & Taylor, 2012), including four closely related haplotypes in the group A.

### Table 2

| MtDNA segment | Primers sequence (5′ - 3′) | \( T_M \) | Length (bp) |
|---------------|-----------------------------|---------|-------------|
| **RFLP identification** | | | |
| CR-eos | CCTAGATATGGCTCCCAACAG ATAATGCGGATGGCTAACCC | 50 | 627 |
| CR-neogaeus | CTCAACTTTTTCTTGACATAC ATAATGCGGATGGCTAACCC | 50 | 620 |
| **SSCP survey** | | | |
| D-loop | ACCCCTGCTCCCAAAGC AAACGGTTGGTGCTTCTTACT | 52 | 315 |
| ND3 | CCCAGGGAAGATAATGAA GAGAATTGCTACGAGGAA | 54 | 200 |
| COI | CCAGTGTTACGCAGCCGGAAT GGGTGTCTACGTCTATGCC | 60 | 307 |
| **Sequencing** | | | |
| ND3-4L | CCCAGGGAAGATAATGAA TGCTACTAGGAGTGCAAG | 54 | 674 |

TABLE 2 Primer pairs for mitochondrial segments. Primers sequence, annealing temperature (\( T_M \)), and the length of the amplified segments in base pairs (bp).
The haplotypes are designated using the letters of each cluster and a roman numeral (Figure 3; Table 3).

The distribution of mtDNA in cybrids revealed a strong geographic break as none of their haplotypes is shared between regions. Most of the cybrids from West-Qc were characterized by the haplotype A IV, while those from the East-Qc region displayed the haplotype A I (Figure 3). This led to a high Nei’s gene diversity for overall sites ($H_E = 0.58$). However, most populations displayed no haplotypic diversity. Only four populations revealed more than one haplotype, including site AS-1 from West-Qc that harbored three divergent haplotypes (A IV, B III, and F I).

The survey of the 13 hybrid lineages revealed a total of six haplotypes, with three closely related haplotypes for each of the groups A and B (Figure 3; Table 3). The distribution of hybrids diversity was similar to that observed in cybrids, as both regions displayed a different genetic composition: Haplotype B III was dominant in West-Qc and haplotypes A, and to a lesser extent haplotype B, characterized hybrids from East-Qc.

Cybrids displayed three haplotypes shared with sympatric hybrids (A I, A II, and B III; Figure 3). In West-Qc, no correspondence between cybrid and hybrid haplotypes was detected, except for haplotype B III, which was present in very low abundance in cybrids at sites AS-1 and AS-3. In East-Qc, in all sites but one (SF-4,5), both cybrids and hybrids that are sympatric shared the same haplotype (A I at four sites and A II at one site). This suggests that de novo production of cybrids likely occurred multiple times from sympatric hybrids.

### 3.3 | Inference of in situ production of cybrids

Correspondence between mtDNA haplotypes shared between sympatric cybrids and hybrids as putative donor was confirmed at the sequence level using the ND3-4L segment (GenBank accession numbers [MG793359 to MG793378]). The sequences are designated by a lowercase letter when distinct sequences of a given SSCP haplotype were recovered. Cybrids without matching mtDNA in sympatric hybrids displayed distinct sequences at the ND3-4L segment: haplotype A I- b from SF-4,5, A IV and F from AS-1 and NO-10, and A V from SF-14 (Table 4).

However, identical sequences were recovered from cybrids and one of their sympatric hybrid lineages at seven sites: two in West-Qc and five in East-Qc (Table 4). In West-Qc, a correspondence for sequence B with hybrid lineage B-01 was detected in two sites (sites AS-1 and AS-3). In East-Qc, we detected correspondence for sequence A I (hybrid lineage B-01: site AS-1,3; hybrid lineage B-11: site RH-1,2), sequence A I-a (hybrid lineage A-18: site SF-12), sequence A I-c (hybrid lineage A-06: site SF-14), and sequence A II (hybrid lineage A-27: site SF-2). Altogether, these results suggested in situ production of cybrids in seven sites.

### 3.4 | Relative abundance of wild types and cybrids

In West-Qc, 96% of the cybrids were not produced in situ and most of them (93%) belong to the A IV haplotype (Figure 3; Table 5). Wild types and cybrids are allopatric in six sites and seven sites, respectively, and were sympatric in only five of the 18 sites. Such a degree of observed allopatry is significantly different from that expected under a null model ($C$-score $= 42, p = .016$). This distribution of wild types and cybrids in...
the West-Qc region is not explained by either geographic or hydrologic proximity, as no significant spatial autocorrelations (p > .108) were observed for the straight line or waterway distances (data not shown). At the opposite, 69.9% of the cybrids from East-Qc were produced de novo (Figure 3; Table 5). Distribution of wild types and cybrids in East-Qc strongly contrasted with that of West-Qc as both forms were found in sympatry in all sites (Figure 2). Moreover, wild types are more abundant than cybrids at all sites but one (SF-10; Table 1).

4 | DISCUSSION

4.1 | Diversity of cybrids

The diversity of C. neogaeus mitochondrial DNA detected in C. eos cybrids individuals revealed multiple mitochondrial introgression events. A comparison of these different haplotypes with sympatric hybrid lineages revealed two distinct histories of mitochondrial introgression.

We first confirmed that the cybrids had been independently produced at several sites during the Holocene. The correspondence of haplotypes between cybrids and sympatric hybrids suggests seven de novo transfers of C. neogaeus mtDNA to C. eos. In West-Qc, cybrids carrying B III haplotype were detected in two geographically close sites. As we cannot rule out the migration of cybrids from one site to another, this result suggests at least one occurrence. In East-Qc, C. neogaeus mtDNA transferred to C. eos differed among sites and originated from distinct hybrid lineages, supporting five distinct introgression events. While cybrids from sites YA-1,2 and RI-3,4 displayed the same sequence, the distinct composition of hybrids did not support migration between those sites. As hybrids of this region occurred in situ (Vergilino et al., 2016), we can conclude these transfers to C. eos also occurred during the Holocene.

However, five haplotypes detected in cybrids had no counterpart in sympatric hybrids and four of them displayed correspondence with none of the hybrids analyzed in this study. This could be explained by the migration of cybrids from one site to another or the hybrid is rare and has not been previously sampled. These hypotheses are likely for four of five haplotypes localized on the same sequence at ND-3,4 than A I-c.

4 | DISCUSSION

4.1 | Diversity of cybrids

The diversity of C. neogaeus mitochondrial DNA detected in C. eos cybrids individuals revealed multiple mitochondrial introgression events. A comparison of these different haplotypes with sympatric hybrid lineages revealed two distinct histories of mitochondrial introgression.

We first confirmed that the cybrids had been independently produced at several sites during the Holocene. The correspondence of haplotypes between cybrids and sympatric hybrids suggests seven de novo transfers of C. neogaeus mtDNA to C. eos. In West-Qc, cybrids carrying B III haplotype were detected in two geographically close sites. As we cannot rule out the migration of cybrids from one site to another, this result suggests at least one occurrence. In East-Qc, C. neogaeus mtDNA transferred to C. eos differed among sites and originated from distinct hybrid lineages, supporting five distinct introgression events. While cybrids from sites YA-1,2 and RI-3,4 displayed the same sequence, the distinct composition of hybrids did not support migration between those sites. As hybrids of this region occurred in situ (Vergilino et al., 2016), we can conclude these transfers to C. eos also occurred during the Holocene.

However, five haplotypes detected in cybrids had no counterpart in sympatric hybrids and four of them displayed correspondence with none of the hybrids analyzed in this study. This could be explained by the migration of cybrids from one site to another or the hybrid is rare and has not been previously sampled. These hypotheses are likely for four of five haplotypes localized on the same sequence at ND-3,4 than A I-c.

| Table 4 | Sequence identity of C. neogaeus mtDNA based on ND3-4L locus between cybrids and hybrids in sympatry. Haplotypes indicative of in situ formation of cybrids are shaded |

| Site    | C. eos cybrids | Sympatric hybrids |
|---------|----------------|-------------------|
|         | SSCP Haplotype | ND3-4L sequence   | Lineages | SSCP Haplotype | ND3-4L sequence |
| West-Qc |                |                   |          |                |                  |
| AS-1    | B III          | B                 | B-01     | B III          | B                |
| AS-1    | A IV           | A IV              |          |                |                  |
| AS-3    | B III          | B                 | B-01     | B III          | B                |
| NO-10   | A IV           | A IV              |          |                |                  |
| East-Qc |                |                   |          |                |                  |
| YA-1,2  | A I            | A I-a             | A-34     | A I            | A I-a            |
| YA-1,2  |                |                   |          | A III          |                  |
| RI-3,4  | A I            | A I-a             | A-11     | A I            | A I-a            |
| RI-3,4  |                |                   |          | A II           |                  |
| SF-2    | A II           | A IIa             | A-27     | A II           | A IIa            |
| SF-2    |                |                   |          | A I            |                  |
| SF-4,5  | A I            | A I-b             | B-06     | B I            |                  |
| SF-4,5  |                |                   |          | B-07           | B I              |
| SF-10   | A I            | A I-c             |          |                |                  |
| SF-12   | A I            | A I-d             | A-18     | A I            | A I-d            |
| SF-12   |                |                   |          | A 19           | A I              |
| SF-14   | A I            | A I-c             | A-06     | A I            | A I-c            |
| SF-14   | A V            |                   | A-09     | A I            |                  |

aHaplotype A II differed from haplotype A I at SSCP pattern, but displayed the same sequence at ND-3,4 than A I-c.
TABLE 5  Mitochondrial diversity in the cybrid populations.
Relative abundance of the different C. neogaeus haplotypes detected in 202 introgressed C. eos individuals. Asterisk refers to cybrids produced in situ

|   | A I  | A II | A IV | A V  | B I I | F I  | N  |
|---|------|------|------|------|------|------|----|
| West-Qc |       |      |      |      |      |      |    |
| BA-1 | —    | —    | 1    | —    | —    | —    | 4  |
| PN-1 | —    | —    | 1    | —    | —    | —    | 4  |
| RO-1 | —    | —    | 1    | —    | —    | —    | 2  |
| RO-5 | —    | —    | 1    | —    | —    | —    | 7  |
| NO-10| —    | —    | 0.83 | —    | 0.17 | 18   |    |
| AS-1 | —    | —    | 0.67 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 91   |    |
| AS-3 | —    | —    | 0.67 | 0.33 | —    | 3    |    |
| AS-9 | —    | —    | 1    | —    | —    | —    | 8  |
| AS-15| —    | —    | 1    | —    | —    | —    | 1  |
| MA-1 | —    | —    | 1    | —    | —    | —    | 4  |
| SM-1 | —    | —    | 1    | —    | —    | —    | 4  |
| SM-2.3| —    | —    | 1    | —    | —    | —    | 8  |
| Total| —    | —    | 0.93 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 154  |    |
| East-Qc |      |      |      |      |      |      |    |
| YA-1,2| 1*   | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | 4  |
| R1-3.4| 1*   | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | 1  |
| SF-2 | —    | 1*   | —    | —    | —    | —    | 4  |
| SF-4,5| 1    | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | 4  |
| SF-10| 1    | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | 2  |
| SF-12| 1*   | —    | —    | —    | —    | —    | 28 |
| SF-14| 0.89 | —    | 0.11 | —    | —    | —    | 5  |
| Total| 0.55 | 0.29 | 0.14 | 0.02 | —    | —    | 48 |

A comparison of the distribution of cybrids from the two surveyed regions revealed two contrasting pictures that strikingly paralleled those observed in C. eos-neogaeus hybrids. Most of the cybrids from West-Qc are expected to have originated from postglacial expansion. Postglacial colonization also appeared as the main source of hybrids, with one lineage colonizing the entire region (Angers & Schlosser, 2007; Vergilino et al., 2016). Colonization by a unique founder group for either hybrids or cybrids resulted in the low haplotypic diversity observed throughout the West-Qc region. However, 69.86% of the cybrids in East-Qc were produced in situ, as confirmed by the presence of hybrids with an identical haplotype. Most of the 36 hybrid lineages detected in East-Qc also occurred in situ during the Holocene and displayed restricted distribution areas (Vergilino et al., 2016). This high diversity of hybrids geographically organized is also reflected in the locally produced cybrids.

4.2 | Fitness of cybrids in native environment

As introgression events are expected to continuously occur via hybrids, this additional input of individuals can demographically favor cybrids. They have then the potential to exclude wild types even if both display similar fitness (Barron et al., 2016). One prediction is that most of the sites only harbored cybrids and hybrids. However, a striking result is that hybrids and cybrids did not coexist together without wild types. This leads us to propose two nonmutually exclusive hypotheses about the demography of cybrids.

A first hypothesis is that de novo production of cybrids did not frequently occur and does not represent a substantial contribution to the population growth of cybrids. The low abundance of cybrids in their native environment suggests that effective production of cybrids from hybrids is likely limited and only occasional. These empirical results strongly contrast with the expectations of Barron et al.'s theoretical model (2016). Under their model, an abundance of hybrids allowing the formation of cybrids as low as 5% is expected to generate high amount of cybrids, leading the sympatric wild type to extinction (Barron et al., 2016). In the current study, wild-type C. eos and hybrids are found together in 13 different sites but only seven of them harbored locally produced cybrids. Moreover, we failed to detect genetic signature of de novo production of cybrids for eight hybrid lineages (Figure 3). Therefore, de novo production of cybrids is not only dependent on the presence of hybrids, as proposed by Barron et al. (2016), but also other factors, such as genetic, ecological, and environmental conditions, may hinder the efficiency of cybrid production.

The second hypothesis is that cybrids did not have higher fitness than wild types in their native environment. A mtDNA haplotype under positive selection is expected to increase in abundance and reach fixation (Smith & Haigh, 1974). In sites where in situ production of cybrids has been inferred, C. eos wild types were always present, indicating that in their native environment, cybrids could not exclude wild types. Furthermore, wild types were more abundant in East-QC, where most of the de novo introgression events were observed. We can therefore conclude that allospecific mtDNA do not provide an immediate fitness advantage to locally produced cybrid. This prevents the competitive exclusion of wild types well adapted to these local conditions, even if cybrids can be occasionally produced by hybrids.

4.3 | Fitness of colonizing cybrids

The postglacial origin of most of the cybrids from the West-Qc sites provides a valuable system to assess their fitness across different environmental conditions. Cybrids and wild types were usually detected in allopatry in West-Qc, and their distribution could not be explained by either geographic distance or hydrologic network. Such a distribution could be the result of random processes associated with postglacial dispersal or the genetic drift of mtDNA. However, we can rule out these hypotheses when taking the presence of hybrids into account. Because hybrids require the sperm of one closely related sexual species to reproduce (Goddard et al., 1998), they are expected to co-occur as frequently with wild types as do cybrids in a null model of distribution. However, when co-occurrences were computed and tested by permutation following the method described in Borcard, Gillet, and Legendre (2011), the results revealed that hybrids...
co-occurred more frequently with wild types ($p = .003$) than with cybrids ($p = .340$). For instance, considering sites where wild types and cybrids where allopatric, hybrids coexisted with wild types in three of the six sites but occurred in none of the seven sites where only the cybrids were found. The strong co-occurrence of wild type and hybrids coupled with the allopatry of cybrids make random processes unlikely to explain their respective distribution.

An alternative hypothesis is that wild types/hybrids and cybrids have colonized (or survive in) sites with different ranges of environmental conditions. In the absence of hybrids with haplotype A IV, we can rule out contemporaneous production of that cybrid in West-Qc region. This indicated that cybrids may have higher fitness than wild types in a given range of environmental conditions. This does not contradict the hypothesis that cybrids did not have higher fitness than wild types in their native environment. For instance, the higher abundance of cybrids reported in the northern part of $C$. eos distribution led Mee & Taylor (2012) to suggest that $C$. neogaeus mitochondria provide a fitness advantage over the $C$. eos mitochondria in colder habitats. *Chrosomus neogaeus* mtDNA has a significant influence on the phenotype of individuals as different epigenomes and proteomes were detected between the wild types and cybrids (Angers, Dallaire, Vervaet, Vallieres, & Angers, 2012). Cybrids also present a higher mitochondrial respiratory chain complex IV activity and higher swimming capacity than the wild-type $C$. eos (Deremiens et al., 2015). The hypothesis that cybrids may have a better fitness in specific conditions is therefore consistent with the literature reporting that mitochondrial introgression may allow one species to increase its range of distribution or its tolerance to environmental changes (Blier, Breton, Desrosiers, & Lemieux, 2006; Boratyński et al., 2011; Toews et al., 2014).

In conclusion, this study revealed the importance of analyzing multiple instantaneous introgression events as the fitness advantages associated with allospecific mtDNA may strongly differ between native environments and those colonized during the postglacial expansion. The allospecific mtDNA does not provide better fitness in the native environment of cybrids when compared to that of wild types expected to be well adapted to local conditions, making the success of an introgressed lineage uncertain. However, cybrids may have higher fitness than wild types in specific conditions and can allow for the colonization of environments different from those of the wild type, thus expanding the range of a species.
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