Corrosion Resistance Evaluation of Self-Healing Epoxy Coating Based on Dual-Component Capsules Containing Resin and Curing Agent
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1. Introduction

Corrosion of metals is regarded as an important and challenging issue in many industries. According to recent studies, the global corrosion cost is estimated to be 3.1% of the countries’ gross domestic product (GDP). Using polymeric barrier coatings is a well-known approach for metal corrosion prevention or deceleration. However, the most important limitation in polymers is the occurrence of microcracks in the matrix which leads to fracture if not controlled [1–4]. These faults cannot be repaired by conventional methods as they are not visible at the beginning. Therefore, in the 1980s, self-healing polymers were introduced as an effective solution for healing the microcracks and fracture prevention in order to achieve a better life span and safety of polymeric devices [5–9]. Self-healing materials are classified into two main classes including extrinsic [10–14] and intrinsic [15–17] systems based on the healing mechanism. Extrinsic systems based on the healing agent release have shown great efficiency among other methods [18].

Since the fracture in polymers begins at nanometer scales by crack formation and growth, reducing the size of capsules to submicron is crucial to enable the healing at the nanoscale as soon as the crack is formed and consequently prevent its growth [19–22]. Capsule based self-healing polymeric coatings utilize controlled release of active agents upon crack formation. These active healing agents are surrounded by shell capsules and added to the polymeric matrix. Crack formation in the coating matrix leads to the capsule rupture and subsequently healing agent release which will automatically repair
the crack. White et al. reported the application of MCs based self-healing systems for repairing microcracks and increasing the life span of polymer composites [23]. Subsequently, several methods including in situ polymerization, solvent evaporation, and multistage emulsion polymerization have been employed to encapsulate healing agents within the micro/nanocapsules, while these methods are costly, time-consuming, and need for purification. Recently, electrospinning and electrospray have been used for the encapsulation healing agents within nanofibers or MCs to address the abovementioned shortcomings [24, 25]. Park et al. encapsulated bicomponent dimethylsiloxane in a polyvinylpyrrolidone shell in two distinct steps using the coaxial electrospinning method. The resulted core-shell nanofibers were placed on a steel surface prior to the application of an acrylic-urethane coating on them. The self-healing ability of the system was tested [26]. Lee et al. reported the preparation of a self-healing silicone-based coating by encapsulating bicomponent dimethylsiloxane in a polyacrylonitrile shell through emulsion electrospinning and adding them to a polydimethylsiloxane based coating [27]. Hia et al. encapsulated epoxy resin within alginate shell material through the emulsion electro-spray method [28]. The average size of the prepared MCs was reported at 575 ± 25 μm, and the MCs were employed to develop a self-healing composite with a healing efficiency of 50%. Koochaki et al. developed a dual capsule healing system using polyetheramine and methylene diphenyl diisocyanate as a core within the SAN shell. The mentioned system showed high healing efficiency of 85% in an epoxy-based coating [29]. The coaxial electrosprey method was employed by Malekhhoyan et al. [24] to encapsulate Coconut-Oil-Based Alkyd Resin within SAN capsules with a mean capsule diameter of 708 ± 252 nm. The incorporation of the prepared capsules within epoxy resin provided a self-healing epoxy-based coating with a healing efficiency of 95%. Recently, Ataei et al. [30] employed electrosprey method for the preparation of core-shell capsules containing epoxy resin and its thiol-based curing agent as a dual component healing system for the development of a self-healing epoxy-based coating.

In this research, the electrospray was the method to develop a dual capsule self-healing system based on epoxy resin and amine-based curing agents. For this purpose, epoxy resin and amine-based curing agents were separately encapsulated by SAN shell and subsequently incorporated in an epoxy matrix to prepare a dual-component self-healing anti-corrosion coating. Considering the fast reaction between the epoxy resin and the amine group in curing agents at room temperature without any more required additional catalyst, the prepared system offers high healing efficiency at room temperature.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Materials. SAN with an average molecular weight of 185000 g/mol and 30% acrylonitrile content was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich to be used as capsule shell material. SAN was used as shell material due to its high capability for encapsulation of materials during electrospray and electrospinning methods [24, 31] and excellent interaction with epoxy coating [32]. Dimethylformamide (DMF) was provided by DaeJung Co. and used as a solvent for preparing the polymer solution. Bisphenol F epoxy resin (Indox E210-Inchem ltd) and cycloaliphatic polyamine (EPIKURE F205-Hexion) were used as the core materials for preparing the dual capsule healing system. Bisphenol A epoxy resin (EPON 828-Hexion) and polyamidoamine curing agent (Mergina-mide A280-HOBUM Oleochemicals) were employed to prepare the coatings’ matrix. 1,6-Hexanediol diglycidyl ether (Indox ED180-Inchem ltd) was utilized as a reactive diluent for reducing the viscosity of the coating matrix.

2.2. Sample Preparation

2.2.1. Preparation of Core-Shell Capsules. At first, the SAN copolymer powder was placed in a vacuum oven at 50°C for 12 hours to remove any humidity and then dissolved in DMF at lab conditions by magnetic stirring for 24 hours to obtain a homogeneous shell solution (4% w/v). Afterwards, the core materials (Bisphenol F epoxy resin and cycloaliphatic polyamine) were separately added to the prepared shell solutions (1:1 core/shell weight ratio) and mixed by magnetic stirring for 2 hours followed by 20 minutes of sonication in an ultrasonic bath. The resulted mixtures were fed to 1 ml syringes with a bundle 23 gauge needle. The electro-spray process (Figure 1) was carried out at the broad ranges of applied voltage, feed rate, and the needle to collector distance to find the right conditions for preparing particles. The optimum values of electrospray parameters were obtained at an applied voltage of 24 kV and a flow rate of 0.3 ml h⁻¹. The distance between the needle tip and the collector was set at 15 cm to allow complete solvent evaporation during the spray process. Neat SAN particles were prepared by applying the same procedure for further characterizations.

2.2.2. The Preparation of Coatings for Corrosion and Mechanical Tests. To prepare the self-healing coatings, bisphenol A epoxy resin and the reactive diluent (1,6-hexanediol diglycidyl ether) were mixed with a 3 : 1 weight ratio. Then, the prepared capsules were added to the epoxy resin mixture and dispersed by mechanical stirring at 200 rpm for 5 min. Three different capsule contents (1, 5, and 10 wt%) were added to the matrix to study their effects on the self-healing performance of coating characteristics. The weight ratio of epoxy-containing capsules to amine-containing ones was kept 1 : 1 for all samples. The poliamidoamine curing agent was then added to the mixtures at a 100 : 58 of epoxy : amine weight ratio to cure the epoxy resin. The resulted mixtures were immediately coated on cleaned and sanded ST-37 steel plates with a thickness of 150 μm by a thin-film applicator. The substrate composition was assessed according to ASTM E415 using an ARUN 2500 quantometer, and the results are presented in Table 1 [33]. Moreover, a neat coating including no MCs was applied with the same conditions as the control sample (CTRL) to study the effects of MC addition on the coating’s characteristics. All the coatings were kept in the laboratory for 7 days to ensure complete curing.
2.3. Characterizations

2.3.1. Characterization of the Prepared Capsules. FTIR (WQF-510A, RAYLEIGH, China) was used to characterize the chemical structure of the prepared capsules and their corresponding core and shell materials. The analysis was conducted using KBr pellets in a wavelength range of 4000-400 cm$^{-1}$.

SEM (Philips-XII30, Netherlands) was employed to study the morphology and particle size of the prepared capsules. All the samples were firstly gold-sputtered for 120 seconds at 10 mAh before testing. The ImageJ software was used to determine the particle size and size distribution according to the SEM images. Moreover, transmission electron microscopy (2100F, JEOL, Japan) operating a 200 kV Schottky field emitter was utilized to study the core-shell structure of the capsules which were sprayed on Lacey Formvar/carbon-coated copper grids prior to testing.

To study the thermal stability and composition of the prepared capsules, thermogravimetric analysis (STA 6000 TGA system, Perkin Elmer, USA) was performed under a nitrogen atmosphere with a heating rate of 10°C/min from 25 to 800°C. To investigate the plausible reactions between the released healing agents, differential scanning calorimetry (DSC302, KBAHR–Germany) was used.

The encapsulation yield was measured for both epoxy and amine contained MCs by extraction method [31]. To perform the core extraction, each kind of MCs was crushed separately in a mortar to break their shell. Then, the core was washed from the crushed mixture with ethanol for several times, and the shell was filtered prior to being dried at 40°C for 24 h in an oven. The core content was then measured, and the core content was determined according to Eq. (1) as follows:

$$\% \text{Core content}(W_{ex}) = \frac{(W_{ca} - W_{sh})}{W_{ca}} \times 100, \tag{1}$$

where $W_{ca}$ and $W_{sh}$ are associated with the weight of MCs and shell, respectively. Consequently, the encapsulation yield was determined for both core materials using Eq. (2):

$$\% \text{Encapsulation yield} = \left(\frac{W_{ex}}{W_{th}}\right) \times 100. \tag{2}$$

The $W_{th}$ was calculated theoretically according to the weight ratio of core and shell materials in the syringe feed solution.

2.3.2. Characterization of Coatings. The pull-off adhesion test (PosiTest AT-M, Defelsko, USA) was carried out to evaluate the effect of capsules incorporation on the adhesion strength of the coatings to the steel substrate according to ASTM D4541. In addition, the conical mandrel bending test (Sheen Instruments, UK) was performed on the coatings in accordance with the ASTM D522-Test Method A, to study the effect of adding capsules on the coatings elongation properties. The gloss test was also conducted on the coated metal plates according to ISO 2813 by a multiangle gloss meter (SH260C, Sheen Instruments, UK) to study the effect of capsules addition on the coatings’ surface roughness.

2.3.3. Evaluation of Corrosion Properties and Healing Efficiency. Different types of corrosion evaluation techniques...
were conducted to study the corrosion properties and assessing the self-healing performance of the prepared coatings. Potentiodynamic polarization (Tafel) and EIS tests were performed on the prepared coatings by an AMETEK Potentiostat/Galvanostat (PARSTAT 2273) to investigate their corrosion behavior and measuring the healing efficiency. An area of 0.785 cm² (circle with 1 cm diameter) from the coating’s surface was isolated by insulating the remaining surface with beeswax and then scratched in X shape deep to the substrate metal (Figure 2) using a scalpel blade to trigger the healing process. Then, the scratched coatings were kept in the lab conditions for 7 days to allow for the release of healing agents and their plausible healing reactions. Then, the scratched coatings were immersed in a 3.5% NaCl solution for 14 days to stimulate seawater conditions.

A tri-electrode system (0.785 cm² circular contact area) including an aqueous saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as the reference electrode and a platinum rod as the counter electrode was used to do the experiments. Measurements were conducted at the open circuit potential (OCP). The frequency range was varied from 10⁵ to 10⁻² Hz at 10 points per decade sweeping frequency (logarithmic distribution) by a 10 mV sinusoidal potential difference. Tafel experiments were also carried out at a 1 mV s⁻¹ scan rate from -1 V to +1 V versus OCP.

Salt spray accelerated corrosion test was also carried out to assess the protection ability of the healing system. All of the coated steel plates were scratched by a scalpel blade and left in the lab for 7 days for plausible healing reaction prior to testing. The salt spray test was conducted for 72 hours according to ASTM B117.

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Chemical Structure of the Core-Shell Capsules

FT-IR analysis was used to characterize the chemical structure of prepared capsules and making sure that there is no reaction between the shell and core during the encapsulation process. Figure 3 shows the FT-IR spectra of SAN (neat shell polymer), neat epoxy resin (core), and prepared core-shell capsules derived through the emulsion electrospray method. As shown in this image, for neat shell material, the absorbance peak at 2235 cm⁻¹ is related to C≡N in SAN, and the peaks at 3040 cm⁻¹ and 2930 cm⁻¹ are attributed to the stretching vibrations of CH sp² and CH sp³ [24]. In the neat epoxy spectrum, the absorbance peaks at 1247 cm⁻¹ and 910 cm⁻¹ correspond to C-O-C bond and epoxide groups, respectively [34]. The prepared core-shell capsules showed a broad absorption band at 3515 cm⁻¹ associated with the O-H group [35]. The presence of all aforementioned peaks attributed to neat SAN copolymer and neat epoxy resin in the spectrum of prepared core-shell capsules confirmed that these two components did not react during the encapsulation process.

Figure 4 shows the FT-IR spectra of SAN (neat shell polymer), neat amine curing agent (amine), and (c) their corresponding core-shell capsules.
Similarly, the spectrum of prepared core-shell capsules reveals that all absorbance peaks of neat SAN and neat curing agent are presented simultaneously. It can be discerned, the $\text{C}≡\text{N}$ stretching vibration, at 2235 cm$^{-1}$, of SAN shell and the amine stretching vibration of the core, 3300-3450 cm$^{-1}$, are also present in the MCs, confirming that the core (amine) was successfully encapsulated in the shell polymer (polystyrene co-acrylonitrile) without any chemical reaction through the emulsion electrospray process [36].

3.2. Morphology and Size Distribution of the Prepared Capsules. Figure 5 shows the SEM images and size distribution of the prepared core-shell capsules. The mean particle size of the prepared capsules was calculated by 50 measurements using the Image J software. The mean particle size of the prepared capsules including epoxy resin and the amine core was calculated to be $827 ± 356$ nm and $749 ± 190$ nm, respectively. According to the images, spherical MCs with rough outer surfaces were prepared for both amine and epoxy healing agents in the electrospray process at the aforementioned optimum conditions.

3.3. Thermal Stability. TGA tests were performed to determine the thermal stability of prepared MCs [37]. Figure 6(a) shows TGA curves of neat shell polymer (SAN), neat epoxy resin, and epoxy-containing MCs. As shown in Figure 6(a), thermal degradation of pure SAN occurred at 400-450°C, which is related to the degradation of styrene groups. Also, the thermal degradation of the epoxy resin occurred in the temperature range of 200-500°C. The epoxy resin containing core-shell MCs showed a two-step degradation at 200-500°C. The first step is related to the degradation...
of epoxy resin, and the second step is related to the decomposition of SAN.

Similarly, Figure 6(b) shows the TGA curves of neat shell polymer (SAN), neat amine, and amine-containing MCs. Neat amine showed a two-stage degradation of around 100 to 200°C and 350 to 500°C. Amine-containing core-shell MCs showed the degradation of both amine core and SAN shell from 200 to 700°C which could be noted as a sign of successful core-encapsulation according to previous studies [38].

DSC tests were employed to study the possible curing reaction between the released healing agents from crushed prepared MCs. To provoke the MCs rapture and subsequent release of healing agents, amine and epoxy-containing MCs were mixed at 1:1 wt. ratio and then crushed by mortar and pestle. Then, the resulted mixture was immediately tested by DSC to monitor any plausible reactions. As reported in previous studies, the reaction between amine and epoxy is exothermic [34]; therefore, the exothermic peak in the DSC curve of crushed capsules can be evidence of a healing reaction. Figure 7 shows the DSC curve of the (a) neat shell MCs, (b) mixture of neat core materials (epoxy and amine), and (c) mixture of crushed epoxy and amine contained MCs. The endothermic peak in the range of 120-125°C for neat shell can be attributed to the glass transition temperature of SAN. The reaction between the epoxy resin and amine in the nonencapsulated form is shown inline chart (b) by an exothermic peak at 100°C. Moreover, the DSC curve for the mixture of epoxy resin and amine contained MCs (Figure 7(c)) which are artificially crushed prior to testing shows an exothermic peak at 104°C, which confirms the reaction between the relapsed healing agents after MC rupture.

Moreover, according to the extraction test results, the practical encapsulation yield was measured to be 73% and 67.8% for the epoxy and amine contained MCs, respectively. The results are summarized in Table 2.

### Table 2: The determined results of core content and encapsulation yield.

| MCs                    | $W_{ex}$ (%) | $W_{th}$ (%) | Encapsulation yield (%) |
|------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| Epoxy-contained MCs    | 24.1         | 33.3         | 73                      |
| Amine-contained MCs    | 22.4         | 33.3         | 67.8                    |

3.4. Pull-off Adhesion Test. A pull-off adhesion test was used to study the effect of incorporating MCs on the coatings’ adhesion strength to the steel substrate. The obtained results are summarized in Table 3. According to the results, MC
Conical mandrel bending tests were performed to study the flexural strength and gloss test of the prepared coatings. The lower performance of the samples containing 5% and 10% MCs may be due to MC agglomeration which will cause porosity in the matrix as well as their negative effect on the adhesion of the coating [44]. In addition, Figure 8 declares passive oxidation for sample CTRL (log i ∼ -4.5) around the surface of scratches which is designated to the constitution of oxidation layer and is detected by constant current despite increasing of potential while for samples containing MCs no passive oxidation observed which could refer to the performance of healing agents via self-healing processes.

The EIS measurements were also carried out on the scratched and immersed coatings to investigate their corrosion properties and healing efficiency [45]. Figure 9 represents the EIS results for these coatings after 14 days of immersion in a 3.5% NaCl solution. Regarding the Nyquist diagrams (Figure 9(a)), the diameter of the resulted semicircles is referred to the coating’s corrosion resistance; thereby, the largest semicircle diameter is attributed to the highest resistance against corrosion and vice versa. On the other hand, the total impedance vs. frequency is presented in Bode modulus plots (Figure 9(b)) for better analyzing the results and evaluate the total system resistance (@ 10⁻² Hz) including the coating’s pore resistance and charge transfer resistance [46]. According to the results, a two-time constant electrical equivalent circuit model was also used to analyze the EIS data by the Z-view software (Figure 10) [47, 48]. Rs, CPEcoat, Rpore, Rct, CPEDL, and (n) stand for the electrolyte resistance, coating capacitance, pore resistance, charge transfer resistance, double-layer capacitance, and potential factor, respectively. Constant phase elements (CPE) were used in the electrical equivalent circuit model, owing to the capacitance behavior has some deviations from the pure capacitance in practice. The calculated parameters, according to the proposed circuit models, are presented in Table 6. The charge transfer resistance (Rct) was used in this research to compare the performance of the coatings since it is associated with the active area of the electrode and the rate at which Faradic processes occur at its interface. Both the higher intrinsic energy barrier of the charge transfer process and the smaller active area of the electrode which limit the electron transfer and corrosion reactions can be observed as higher Rct. In fact, as Rct is inversely proportional to the exposed active area of the electrode (according to the Ohm law), higher is the Rct, smaller is the area of the underneath metal exposed to the aggressive solution which might be driven by the self-healing reaction occurring in the coating’s scratch [47, 49]. According to the calculated charge transfer resistance, adding 1% of MCs to the coating formulation increased the Rct dramatically, but it started to decrease by increasing the MC content from 1 wt%. These results were

### Table 3: Adhesion strength results of the prepared coatings.

| Sample                             | Adhesion strength (MPa) |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| CTRL                              | 2.57 ± 0.03             |
| Coating containing 1 wt% MCs       | 2.13 ± 0.06             |
| Coating containing 5 wt% MCs       | 1.7 ± 0.06              |
| Coating containing 10 wt% MCs      | 1.27 ± 0.06             |

### Table 4: Elongation at break (%) of the prepared coatings.

| Sample                             | Elongation at break (%) |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| CTRL                              | 24.8                    |
| Coating containing 1 wt% MCs       | 19.4                    |
| Coating containing 5 wt% MCs       | 16.25                   |
| Coating containing 10 wt% MCs      | 15.1                    |

3.5. Flexural Strength and Gloss Test of the Prepared Coatings. Conical mandrel bending tests were performed to study the effects of MCs addition on the flexibility and elongation of the prepared coatings. The results are presented as the coatings elongation at break (%) in Table 4. According to the results, the addition of MCs reduced the elongation of the prepared coatings, which can be attributed to the reduced adhesion of the coating to the substrate, as well as the defects presented by incorporating the MCs into the matrix and their agglomeration [39].

The gloss (%) measurement results of the coatings are summarized in Table 5. According to the results, the amount of gloss decreased by increasing the amount of MCs in the epoxy coatings. This loss is possibly attributed to the increased surface roughness caused by the addition and further agglomeration of MCs at higher concentrations [29].

3.6. Evaluation of Healing Reaction via Electrochemical Tests. A Potentiodynamic polarization test was employed to study the self-healing behavior of the scratched coatings after 14 days of immersion in a 3.5 wt% NaCl solution. The results for the CTRL and MC contained coatings are presented in Figure 8. It can be seen that the incorporation of MCs to the matrix moved the corrosion potential (Ecorr) to more positive potentials and decreased the corrosion current density (icorr) which confirms the higher corrosion resistance and slower corrosion rate of healed scratched coatings [29, 41, 42]. According to the results, the sample containing 1% wt MCs had the highest corrosion potential as well as the lowest corrosion current density among the samples confirming its superior performance against corrosion. This resistance is attributed to the reaction between the healing agents (epoxy resin and amine) leaching from the raptured MCs during crack formation [43]. In other words, the performance of the utilized healing system restrained the possibility of ions attack at the interface of metal coating by consequence chemical reactions between the released epoxy resin and polyamine hardener (self-healing reaction). Moreover, the results reveal that increasing the concentration of MCs from 1%wt decreased the corrosion resistance of the prepared coatings. As mentioned before, the lower performance of the incorporated into the coating decreased the adhesion strength. This loss was the lowest for the sample containing 1%wt of MCs in comparison with the samples containing 5 and 10% wt. The higher adhesion reduction in the samples with higher loading of MCs may be attributed to the agglomeration of MCs as well as their high surface area which will lead to more resin adsorption [39, 40].
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consistent with previous corrosion resistance experiments. The results showed that the CTRL sample coating (without MCs) did not provide efficient corrosion protection and healing reaction against the electrolyte aggressive media. Although the sample with 10% MCs was qualified to support the self-healing reaction by releasing the healing agents in scratches, the probable agglomeration of MCs decreased the corrosion resistance by increasing the coating porosity.

The Bode modulus plots presented in Figure 9(b) prove the abovementioned explanations. It should be noted that the impedance modulus values at low frequencies are related to coating-metal interfaces. According to the results, the sample 1% presented the highest impedance value of \( \frac{Z}{2\pi f} \approx 3.7 \times 10^6 \) at the lowest frequency which is clearly in good agreement with other evaluation techniques. The good compatibility between potentiodynamic polarization and EIS results confirmed that a 1 wt% MC incorporating was adequate to heal the crack and protect the substrate. By comparing the present results with the previous researches, it can be concluded that submicron scale capsules provide sufficient healing at lower amounts of capsule concentration which is one of the most important advantageous achieved in the current research. Bode phase results, presented in Figure 9(c), confirm the other corrosion evaluation results.

The self-healing efficiency (HE) of the samples was calculated by Eq. (3) [50].

\[
\%\text{HE} = \left(1 - \frac{R_{ct}}{R_{ct0}}\right) \times 100. \tag{3}
\]

\(R_{ct0}\) and \(R_{ct}\) are the charge transfer resistances calculated for the control and self-healing coatings, respectively. According to the results, the maximum HE was calculated to be 99% for the 1 wt % sample indicating its superior resistance against corrosion.

SEM was also used on the scratched and immersed coating containing 1 wt% of MCs to visually investigate the healing process [45, 51]. Figure 11 shows the micrographs of the scratched coating after healing. It can be seen a thin layer of

Table 5: The results of the gloss measurement for prepared coatings.

| Sample                        | 20 degrees (GU) | 60 degrees (GU) | 85 degrees (GU) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| CTRL                          | 89.7 ± 2        | 102.5 ± 2       | 57.2 ± 2        |
| Coating containing 1 wt% MCs  | 84.8 ± 2        | 100.7 ± 2       | 55.8 ± 2        |
| Coating containing 5 wt% MCs  | 79.3 ± 3        | 96.4 ± 3        | 51.5 ± 2        |
| Coating containing 10 wt% MCs | 71.3 ± 2        | 91.2 ± 3        | 47.7 ± 3        |
The healing polymer has been formed in the crack and covered the substrate. According to the SEM image, despite the fact that the released healing agents were not enough to fill the crack completely and provide full mechanical recovery, it sealed the crack with the aforementioned thin layer. This thin formed layer was enough to protect the substrate against the corrosive environment [52].
Salt Spray Corrosion Test. Figure 12 shows the images of scratched coatings after 72 hours in a salt spray chamber. According to the corrosion rust present in the scratch areas, MC contained coatings showed better corrosion resistance in comparison with the CTRL sample. This better performance can be referred to the MC rapture and subsequent self-healing reaction provoked by the scratch formation. As can be seen, 1% sample showed the best performance, but a loss in corrosion resistance was observed for the samples with higher MC content. This lower performance might be due to the negative effect of high MC content on the adhesion strength of the coating which facilitates the corrosive liquid penetration into the metal coating interface [29]. It should be noted that the higher amount of MCs will decrease the adhesion strength due to higher resin adsorption and lower interfacial contact between the substrate metal and the

### Table 6: The EIS parameters for the scratched control and MC containing coatings after being immersed in 3.5% NaCl solution for 14 days (0.785 cm² circular contact area).

| Sample                        | Rs (Ω cm²) | Qcoat (sn.Ω⁻¹.cm⁻²) | ncoat | Rpore (Ω cm²) | Qdl (sn.Ω⁻¹.cm⁻²) | ndl | Rct (Ω cm²) | Goodness of fit |
|-------------------------------|------------|----------------------|-------|---------------|------------------|-----|-------------|----------------|
| CTRL                          | 0.65E+03   | 2.46E-05             | 5.28E-01 | 1.33E+03 | 1.60E-05          | 5.77E-01 | 2.67E+04   | 2.12E-03       |
| Coating containing 1 wt% MCs  | 1.51E+03   | 6.22E-09             | 7.34E-01 | 8.89E+03 | 8.53E-09          | 1.00E-01 | 3.79E+06   | 2.02E-03       |
| Coating containing 5 wt% MCs  | 1.38E+03   | 6.04E-09             | 7.31E-01 | 1.03E+04 | 6.59E-09          | 1.00E-02 | 2.25E+06   | 1.87E-03       |
| Coating containing 10 wt% MCs | 0.25E+03   | 1.65E-08             | 7.01E-01 | 8.83E+04 | 1.85E-05          | 3.24E-01 | 2.00E+05   | 1.41E-03       |

**Figure 11:** SEM micrographs of scratched and immersed coating containing 1 wt% of MCs after the healing process.

**Figure 12:** Samples after 72 hours of exposure in the salt spray chamber. The control coating and the coatings containing 1, 5, and 10 wt% MCs.

3.7 Salt Spray Corrosion Test. Figure 12 shows the images of scratched coatings after 72 hours in a salt spray chamber. According to the corrosion rust present in the scratch areas, MC contained coatings showed better corrosion resistance in comparison with the CTRL sample. This better performance can be referred to the MC rapture and subsequent self-healing reaction provoked by the scratch formation. As can be seen, 1% sample showed the best performance, but a loss in corrosion resistance was observed for the samples with higher MC content. This lower performance might be due to the negative effect of high MC content on the adhesion strength of the coating which facilitates the corrosive liquid penetration into the metal coating interface [29]. It should be noted that the higher amount of MCs will decrease the adhesion strength due to higher resin adsorption and lower interfacial contact between the substrate metal and the
matrix [24]. On the other hand, according to salt spray results for samples containing 5 and 10% MCs, some blisters can be observed on the unscratched area of 5% and 10% coatings as a result of NaCl solution penetration through the pores present in their matrix. The absence of these blisters on the 1% sample can be referred to the suitable dispersion of MCs in its matrix, while conversely excessive addition of MCs and their further agglomeration led to an increased porosity in the matrix of the 5% and 10% samples.

4. Conclusions

An extrinsic dual capsule self-healing system was developed for anticorrosion epoxy coatings. Core-shell MCs were prepared by using the emulsion electrospay method. Morphological investigations using SEM showed that core-shell spherical MCs can be prepared by setting the electrospray process parameters. In addition, the average particle size of the prepared capsules containing epoxy resin and the amine core were calculated to be $827 \pm 356$ nm and $749 \pm 190$ nm, respectively. The FT-IR spectroscopy results revealed no chemical reactions during the encapsulation process between the SAN shell and both of the core materials. Moreover, the corrosion evaluation test results on the scratched coatings showed the sample containing 1 wt % of core-shell MCs (1:1 by weight mixture of epoxy and amine-containing MCs) provided high corrosion resistance in comparison with the control sample and coatings containing higher capsule contents. The adhesion strength of the prepared coatings to the metal substrate decreased by increasing the amount of MCs, which could be due to the presence of MCs at the matrix-metal interface and reducing the effective contact area. The healing efficiency was calculated to be 99% for the coating containing 1% of MCs according to the EIS results.
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