A Short Review of Organic Nanofluids: Preparation, Surfactants, and Applications

Samarpan Deb Majumder1* and Ankit Das2

1 Department of Mechanical Engineering, Institute of Engineering and Management, Kolkata, India, 2 Department of Mechanical Engineering, Government of Engineering and Textile Technology, Berhampore, India

Recently, there has been significant advancement in efforts toward achieving zero-energy buildings. It is quite evident that the HVAC systems consume a considerable percentage of the total energy consumption of a building; therefore, making them sustainable is of the utmost importance. Nanofluid serves as a simple, yet innovative, approach in decreasing the power consumption of the HVAC systems by improving the thermophysical properties of the coolants and enhancing heat transfer. As a result, this has attracted the attention of many researchers across the globe. This manuscript reviews the different preparation methods of nanofluid, surfactants used in stabilizing the nanofluid, and the different areas in which it can be used.
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INTRODUCTION

Due to global warming, the increase in demand for alternate sources of energy and sustainable technologies has increased by leaps and bounds. Consequently, in the year 2011, the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) (Ashare and Ce, 2011) highlighted the need for energy-efficient buildings as the majority of the energy consumption is in the commercial sector. The publication also issued a design guide for small-to-medium-sized office buildings to cut down their electricity costs as well as the running cost of the HVAC systems. Since then, there has been tremendous progress in achieving net-zero buildings either by making the existing HVAC systems sustainable or by developing disruptive technologies, which can solve the purpose of reducing energy consumption. Out of these, the development of the nanofluid has been a very interesting milestone. In simple terms, nanofluid can be better understood by taking the example of the research work of Bialik et al. (2008), where the authors demonstrated how adding salt to distilled water can improve the thermophysical properties of the resultant fluid mixture such as the boiling point. The research study inferred that adding salt not only shortened the intermolecular distance but also strengthened the intermolecular bond. It was evident that, by adding salt, the ion–dipole attraction increase led to a much stronger bond than the hydrogen bonding of the water molecules. As a result, a greater amount of thermal energy was required to break the bonds, which led to the increase in the boiling point of the resultant solution. Fabuss and Korosi (1966) and Meranda and Furter (1977) also presented a comprehensive study on the effect of the boiling point of water at different salt chemical compositions and concentrations. Frederick et al. (1980) and Bujanovic and Cameron (2001) executed similar experimentations on black liquor and slash pine black liquor. Similarly, nanofluids are also a new branch of thermally optimized fluids, which are developed by mixing nanoparticles at different volume fractions into
the solvent, as described in the study of Trisakri and Wongwises (2007). The nanoparticles are generally in the form of nanotubes comprising ground micro-sized metallic powders and organic powders. The nanoparticles being in the solid form when mixed with the solvent, which is in a liquid form, together produce a two-phase (solid–liquid) mixture. As reported in various research studies discussed further, these mixtures generally have higher thermal conductivity, viscosity, coefficient of thermal convection, specific heat, and Nusselt numbers compared to other existing coolants. The article has, therefore, been divided into three parts which are discussed in detail: first, the preparation methods of the nanofluid; second, the various surfactants used in stabilizing the nanofluid mixture; and lastly, the different existing and possible applications of this fluid. Although there are a number of case studies in the domain of inorganic nanofluids, such as the ones by Wang and Mujumdar (2007, 2008), Li et al. (2009); Kakaç and Pramanjaroenkij (2009), Özerci et al. (2010); Yu and Xie (2012), and Devendiran and Amirtham (2016), any review work related to organic nanofluids is missing to date. As a result, the scope of this study has been limited only to organic nanofluids.

Researchers around the world are trying to synthesize environment-friendly organic nanofluids that are not only lower in cost but also have lower emissions due to rapid climate change (Mehrali et al., 2016; Zareh-Desari and Davoodi, 2016; Amani et al., 2017; Sinha et al., 2017). In inorganic nanofluids, metal ions such as Cu, Fe, Ag, Mn are used along with some solvents to synthesize the nanofluid (Wang and Mujumdar, 2007; Wang and Mujumdar, 2008; Kakaç and Pramanjaroenkij, 2009; Li et al., 2009; Özerci et al., 2010; Yu and Xie, 2012; Devendiran and Amirtham, 2016). On the other hand, organic nanofluids generally have alkyl groups attached with the base liquid, such as in the research work of Shanbedi et al. (2013), where the authors studied the effect of multi-walled carbon nanotubes on the efficiency of two-phase closed thermosyphon. It is seen that, along with an increase in the volume fraction of the nanoparticle in the nanofluid (organic/inorganic), the thermal conductivity and other properties such as viscosity, specific heat, and coefficient of thermal convection also increase. Consequently, the improvement of the thermophysical properties of the nanofluid using carbon nanotubes has been further explored in the research works of Mehta and Khandekar (2007), Afshar et al. (2009), Garg et al. (2009) and Mahian et al. (2013). In the research work of Majumder and Das (2020), the authors used the same technique to harness novel sodium methoxide-based nanofluid. The study revealed an increase of heat transfer by 10–12% and a decrease in power consumption of chillers by 5–10%.

It was found that, with an increase in the nanoparticle concentration in the nanofluid, the Nusselt number has also simultaneously increased (as shown in Figures 1C,D). It is known that, by improving the thermophysical properties, such as thermal conductivity and coefficient of thermal convection, of the nanofluid, the need for higher compression by the compressors decreased significantly due to the reduction on temperature difference to achieve a required refrigerating effect. As compressors are among the major power consumption devices, a reduction in their operating cost will be a huge boon for centralized air-conditioned buildings or offices as it will lead to an increase in the coefficient of performance (COP) of the system. Another eco-friendly way to reduce carbon impact is by adopting solar thermal collectors. The solar thermal collector is basically a heat exchanger that converts solar irradiation into thermal energy by trapping the heat inside its chamber and then passing the heat into a fluid medium through tubes having high thermal conductivity. Solar collectors come in different shapes depending on the extent of heating required for the fluid, such as in the review work of Tian and Zhao (2013), where the authors provided the temperature ranges in which different types of collectors work. The maximum temperature that can be reached by the flat plate collectors, parabolic troughs, and dish collectors is 373, 873, and 1473 K, respectively. The temperature at which the fluid can be heated is also dependent on the concentration ratio (CR). The CR of flat plate collectors, parabolic troughs, and dish collectors is around 1, 100, and 10,000, respectively. Due to their performance, solar tube collectors are now used widely in space heating applications and even in industrial processes, such as heat solar desalination systems, solar power systems, solar furnaces, and solar chemistry applications. Said et al. (2021) and Tiwar et al. (2021) provided a detailed review exploring the use of nanofluids in enhancing the performance of solar collectors and reducing the energy consumption of conventional air conditioning and space heating systems.

**ORGANIC NANOFLUID PREPARATION METHODS**

The various preparation methods adopted in different research studies for developing organic nanofluids have been discussed in detail in this section. In general, the preparation techniques have been classified based on the number of steps: (1) one-step method and (2) two-step method. First, the one-step preparation methods have been discussed in detail followed by the two-step method.

**One-Step Method**

Harnessing nanosolid particles from a fluid mixture was a bit difficult, initially due to agglomeration. To eradicate this problem, Akoh et al. (1978) invented a technique known as the direct evaporation method, which was later named the Vacuum Evaporation into a Running Oil Substrate (VEROS), as shown in Figure 2A. This method uses high-pressure magnetron sputtering to synthesize solid nanoparticles from a fluid mixture. This method was further improvised by Wagener et al. (1997) and Eastman et al. (1997) for the synthesis of fluids having dispersions of Cu, Fe, and Ag particles, respectively. Another popular single-step method is the chemical vapor condensation (CVC). This process was developed by Wang et al. (2003), where the final product was achieved using evaporation and sputtering of the nanoparticles inside a vacuum chamber filled with an inert gas such as helium. The temperature inside the chamber is also fairly low due to very low pressure. As a result, when the nanoparticles collide with each other, condensation occurs and they get collected in a collection chamber at the bottom of the device, as shown in...
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FIGURE 1 | Development of organic nanofluid by Majumder and Das (2020) - (A) Processes involved in developing and checking the stability of the nanofluid, (B) Visual of the developed nanofluid, (C) Variation of specific heat and thermal conductivity with an increase in the volume fraction of the nanoparticle, and (D) Variation of Nusselt number with an increase in the volume fraction of the nanoparticle.

Two-Step Method
Unlike the single-step approach, the nanofluid synthesis here is done in two steps. The most commonly used two-step approach for the synthesis of nanofluid is the gas condensation/dispersion method, as shown in Figure 2D. In this method, first, the nano-sized powders/particles are being synthesized using chemical methods, such as steam distillation (Fahlbusch et al., 2000; Yu and Xie, 2012), or other forms of vapor condensation and physical methods, such as grinding. Once the particles are obtained, they are then dispersed into a solvent at certain volume fractions. Following this, using stabilizers, such as centrifuge agitation, ultrasonic agitation, and magnetic sputtering, the mixture is being homogenized and is left stable for a given interval of time. This method is known for lack of complications, consumes less time and monetary expenses, and, at the same time, produces almost zero contamination (Esfe et al., 2014a). Therefore, this method found its use in the synthesis of almost all organic nanofluids for the past two decades (Baghalha and Kamal-Ahmadi, 2011; Yousefi et al., 2012; Esfe et al., 2014b; Ghozatloo et al., 2014; Sarafraz et al., 2016; Soltanimehr and Afrand, 2016; Sabiha et al., 2016; Alirezaie et al., 2017; Sadri et al., 2017; Raju et al., 2017; Choi et al., 2018; Karami et al., 2019; Zhai et al., 2019; Pourrajab et al., 2020; Almanassra et al., 2020;
FIGURE 2 | Different preparation methods of organic nanofluid. (A) Vacuum evaporation into a running oil substrate process by Akoh et al. (1978), (B) chemical vapor condensation process by Wang et al. (2003), (C) chemical precipitation process by Mondal et al. (2016), (D) condensation/dispersion method by Ali et al. (2018), and (E) bio-based process by Sadri et al. (2017).
Dovjuu et al., 2020). **Figure 1A** shows a typical layout of the processes involved during the research work of Majumder and Das (2020) and an illustration of their synthesized nanofluid has been shown in **Figure 1B**. With this process, the fluid has also achieved stability of around –36.2, revealing no instances of agglomeration and coagulation of the nanoparticles. However, as all these methods often lead to unwanted emissions of greenhouse gases, there was a need for an eco-friendly approach. The bio-based process developed by Sadri et al. (2017) and later modified by many other authors (Sadri et al., 2017) provides a solution to this problem. In this study, we proposed a novel non-hazardous approach for synthesizing carbon nanotube-based nanofluids using a free-radical grafting reaction. **Figure 2E** shows the schematic diagram of the experimental setup of this process. Similar to other synthesis processes, the solubility of the nanofluid is a crucial factor while determining the thermophysical properties as finer the mixture, the better will be the heat transfer. Often, heat transfer takes place properly as the number of solids required for the energy transfer decreases due to agglomeration, thereby resulting in an overall decrease in the thermal efficiency of the system. Peng et al. (2003) and Tasis et al. (2007) focused their works on improving the solubility of the organic nanofluids in their research works and found that free-radical grafting can indeed have a positive influence on the physical characteristics of the nanofluid. Finally, it was concluded that this method was beneficial than using other chemical alternatives, such as sulfuric acid, nitric acid, or a mixture of both the chemicals, as mentioned in the research works of Yang et al. (2010); Kolacyak et al. (2011), and Chen et al. (2012).

**SURFACANTS USED IN STABILIZING ORGANIC NANOFLOIDS**

As discussed in previous research works (Tasis et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2010), stabilizing the mixture is a crucial step toward enabling proper heat transfer through the medium. Therefore, surfactants are a commonly used material for serving this purpose (Islam et al., 2003; Murakami and Nakashima, 2006). Surfactants are amphiphile molecules, which mean that they comprise both hydrophilic and hydrophobic ends (Schubert et al., 1995). The purpose of using such a material is to break the intermolecular bonds, leading to a decrease in the surface tension and density. As the surface tension reduces, the ability of particles to float or sink in a medium can also be altered. With a lower density, the mixtures can attain better stability as the surface area over which the forces are acting increases. As a result, when surfactants are added to a given nanofluid, the chances of agglomeration and coagulation of the nanoparticles reduce drastically. This is a very critical parameter as coagulation of nanoparticles might deteriorate the thermal diffusivity of a medium, resulting in poor heat conduction and convection. Therefore, the higher the stability, the better is the thermal performance of the nanofluid. Based on the nature of the surfactant, it can be divided into three groups: (1) anionic, such as carboxylates, sulfates, sulfonates, and phosphates; (2) cationic, such as ammoniums and amines; and (3) uncharged, such as diacylglycerol and oligoethyleneglycols.

This section provides a generalized overview of the different surfactants used in different research studies in the domain of organic nanofluids. Shanbedi et al. (2013) and Sarsam et al. (2016) studied the thermal performance of multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs)/H2O nanofluid. In this study, the nanotubes were first dispersed, and then, the mixture was stabilized using an ultrasonic stabilizer along with the addition of surfactants such as sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), sodium dodecyl benzene sulfonate (SDBD), cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB), and gum arabic (GA). Then, the effect of the aforementioned surfactants was studied at different volume fractions of the graphene nanoparticle. Both the research studies show a similar trend in their results, where the effectiveness was higher in the case of GA and lower in cases of SDS, SDBD, and CTAB. This claim was further solidified in various other research works (Bandypadhyaya et al., 2002; Baghalha and Kamal-Ahmadi, 2011; Yousefi et al., 2012; Ghozatloo et al., 2014; Sabiha et al., 2016; Raju et al., 2017; Choi et al., 2018; Zhai et al., 2019; Almanassra et al., 2020; Dovjuu et al., 2020). However, the effectiveness of the surfactants cannot be judged on the basis of just one or two parameters. It also additionally depends on the polarity of the base fluid, such as, in the research work of Al-Waeli et al. (2019), CTAB was found to provide the maximum stability to the nanofluid, which was used by the authors to study the efficiency of the photovoltaic–thermal systems. SDS, on the other hand, has also been used extensively for stabilizing graphene/water-based nanofluid for welding, turning, and grinding processes (Haque et al., 2015; Seong et al., 2018; Zhai et al., 2019) and has been found to be quite effective. Selvam et al. (2017) studied the stability mechanism and performance of sodium deoxycholate on graphene-based nanofluids and concluded that not only the mixture was stable, but the magnitude of the coefficient of thermal convection surpassed 100%. Other surfactants such as diphenyl sulfone, benzethonium chloride (BZC), and benzalkonium chloride (BAC) have been used by Gimeno-Furio et al. (2017) and Timofeeva et al. (2011) in their research studies, where they have shown promising stability results within the standard range of –30 to +30 using the zeta potential analyzer. As the performance of the surfactants varies with temperature (Chen et al., 2008), the most plausible way of finding out the best surfactant would be to analyze the magnitude of the hydrophilic/lipophilic balance (HLB) as available in the review work of Ali et al. (2018). A higher HLB value indicates the need for a water-soluble surfactant while a lower HLB value indicates an oil-soluble surfactant.

**CONCLUSION**

Over the last two decades, there has been significant advancement in the development of efficient, environment-friendly, and cost-effective organic nanofluids. These thermally optimized nanofluids generally have better thermophysical properties in terms of thermal conductivity, specific heat, coefficient of thermal convection, and Nusselt number. It is quite evident from various research works cited in this review that organic nanofluids generally perform better than their inorganic counterparts. This is possible due to the long chains of the carbon
nanotube-based nanoparticles and the covalent behavior of other organic additives, which provide them with better stability for a longer period of time. Despite the advantages, nanofluid does come with few disadvantages, which can be dealt with in future research works such as: (1) at high temperatures, the bond strength of surfactants reduces; (2) with an increase in the volume fraction of nanoparticle, the viscosity is observed to increase, which often leads to increase in the pumping power requirements; (3) as the experimental results are often not in close agreement with Dittus–Boelter equations, there is, therefore, a need for the development of better mathematical models to accurately predict the thermophysical properties of the nanofluid; and (4) apart from the surfactants and surfactant-free methods for achieving stability of nanofluids, future works should focus more on the shape and geometry of the nanoparticles instead. If accomplished, the need for surfactants will be eradicated. Also, as zero-energy buildings would be a new technological marvel in a few years from now, therefore, making the HVAC systems sustainable from an economical standpoint is of prime importance. As a result, more research studies should now focus on employing nanofluids in the central air conditioning systems of buildings and minimize the use of conventional refrigerants.
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