p38 and Casein Kinase 2 Mediate Ribonuclease 1 Repression in Inflamed Human Endothelial Cells via Promoter Remodeling Through Nucleosome Remodeling and Deacetylase Complex
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Vascular pathologies, such as thrombosis or atherosclerosis, are leading causes of death worldwide and are strongly associated with the dysfunction of vascular endothelial cells. In this context, the extracellular endonuclease Ribonuclease 1 (RNase1) acts as an essential protective factor in regulation and maintenance of vascular homeostasis. However, long-term inflammation causes strong repression of RNase1 expression, thereby promoting endothelial cell dysfunction. This inflammation-mediated downregulation of RNase1 in human endothelial cells is facilitated via histone deacetylase (HDAC) 2, although the underlying molecular mechanisms are still unknown. Here, we report that inhibition of c-Jun N-terminal kinase by small chemical compounds in primary human endothelial cells decreased physiological RNase1 mRNA abundance, while p38 kinase inhibition restored repressed RNase1 expression upon proinflammatory stimulation with tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) and poly I:C. Moreover, blocking of the p38 kinase- and HDAC2-associated kinase casein kinase 2 (CK2) by inhibitor as well as small interfering RNA (siRNA)-knockdown restored RNase1 expression upon inflammation of human endothelial cells. Further downstream, siRNA-knockdown of chromodomain helicase DNA binding protein (CHD) 3 and 4 of the nucleosome remodeling and deacetylase (NuRD) complex restored RNase1 repression in TNF-α treated endothelial cells implicating its role in the HDAC2-containing repressor complex involved in RNase1 repression. Finally, chromatin immunoprecipitation in primary human endothelial cells confirmed recruitment of the CHD4-containing NuRD complex and subsequent promoter remodeling via histone deacetylation at the RNASE1 promoter in a
INTRODUCTION

Endothelial cells (ECs) significantly participate in regulation and control of vascular homeostasis and are rapidly activated upon inflammation to support the immune system. Therefore, ECs promote the inflammatory response via interaction with circulating leukocytes that infiltrate into the underlying tissue to secrete high amounts of proinflammatory compounds (Pober and Sessa, 2007). Despite the necessity of these processes to ensure a sufficient immune response, prolonged inflammation may also destroy the homeostatic functions of the endothelium. This further promotes progression of vascular diseases, like thrombosis or atherosclerosis (Poredos, 2002; Sitia et al., 2010; Zernecke and Preissner, 2016). In context of vascular inflammation, the endothelial extracellular RNA (eRNA)–Ribonuclease 1 (RNase1) system is known as a major key player to induce the immune response and likewise protect the EC layer (Zernecke and Preissner, 2016). Upon acute inflammation, ECs release eRNA as danger signal to initiate the immune response at the site of vascular injury. Simultaneously, vessel-protective RNase1 is released by vascular ECs to protect the endothelium from an overwhelming inflammatory response via degradation of eRNA (Landre et al., 2002; Cabrera-Fuentes et al., 2014; Gansler et al., 2014). However, upon long-term inflammation, accumulating eRNA enhances immune cell recruitment to the vascular wall and secretion of proinflammatory cytokines like tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α or interleukin (IL)-1β. These cytokines further act on the EC layer via recruitment of histone deacetylase (HDAC) 2 to the RNASE1 promoter, resulting in massive RNase1 repression (Gansler et al., 2014; Bedenbender et al., 2019). Here, HDAC2 specifically reduces acetylation (ac) of the transcriptional activation markers histone 4 (H4) and histone 3 lysine 27 (H3K27) to induce chromatin remodeling and subsequent gene repression (Kouzarides, 2007; Wang et al., 2008; Bedenbender et al., 2019). However, the precise molecular mechanism by which HDAC2 is recruited to the RNASE1 promoter in inflamed human ECs remains unknown. This study aims to investigate the underlying signaling cascade involved in RNase1 regulation via analysis of responsible signaling pathway(s), modulators of HDAC2 activity, and chromatin remodeling complexes upon EC inflammation.

Inflammation-mediated RNase1 repression is assumed to be a specific inflammatory reaction in human ECs, mediated via stimulation with the proinflammatory cytokines TNF-α and IL-1β, as well as the toll-like receptor 3 ligand polyinosinic polycytidylic acid (poly I-C) (Gansler et al., 2014; Bedenbender et al., 2019). Thus, RNase1 regulation by common signaling pathway(s) activated by the afore-mentioned stimuli is suggested. TNF-α, IL-1β, and poly I-C are potent regulators of gene expression, tightly associated to inflammation-mediated cellular responses. Thereby, they conduct their functions primarily via two distinct signaling cascades through nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) or mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling, such as c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) or p38 MAPK (Kawai and Akira, 2006; Weber et al., 2010; Brenner et al., 2015). Gansler et al. (2014) already demonstrated an NF-κB-independent signaling mechanism for inflammation-mediated RNase1 repression, supporting the hypothesis of a MAPK-dependent RNase1 regulation.

Apart from signaling cascade(s), it is still unclear how HDAC2 is recruited to the RNASE1 promoter. Enzymatic activity of class I HDACs, including HDAC2, is regulated through three different stages, subcellular localization, association with multiprotein complexes and post-translational modifications, e.g., serine phosphorylation (Segre and Chiocca, 2011). Especially, phosphorylation of HDAC2 is associated with its enzymatic activity and conducted by the highly conserved, constitutively active serine/threonine kinase casein kinase 2 (CK2) (Tsai and Seto, 2002; Litchfield, 2003; Brandl et al., 2009). Thereby, CK2 comprises the ability to regulate several hundred target proteins and is therewith involved in diverse cellular processes, including inflammation (Litchfield, 2003; Singh and Ramji, 2008). Additionally, CK2-mediated HDAC2 phosphorylation is also described to support its association with several multiprotein complexes (Segre and Chiocca, 2011). A prerequisite for sufficient enzymatic function and recruitment to the chromatin, as HDACs do not comprise any DNA-binding ability (Sengupta and Seto, 2004). The most abundant HDAC2-associated repressor complexes are the REST co-repressor (CoREST) complex, the SIN3 complex and the nucleosome remodeling and deacetylase (NuRD) complex, which in part also coexist together with CK2 (Zhang et al., 1997; Tong et al., 1998; You et al., 2001; Sengupta and Seto, 2004; Sun et al., 2007; Yang and Seto, 2008).

In this study, we investigated the underlying signaling cascade of inflammation-mediated RNase1 regulation in human endothelial cell inflammation. Altogether, our results suggest that endothelial RNase1 repression in chronic vascular inflammation is regulated by a p38 kinase-, CK2-, and NuRD complex-dependent pathway resulting in complex recruitment to the RNASE1 promoter and subsequent promoter remodeling.
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ECs: First, we addressed the impact of common signaling pathways involved in RNase1 regulation. Second, we investigated how HDAC2 can be regulated during EC inflammation, and third, which co-repressor complex is involved in chromatin remodeling of the RNASE1 promoter. Our findings provide evidence that RNase1 expression is mediated via JNK signaling under physiological conditions, while its reduction during prolonged EC inflammation is regulated via p38 MAPK. We further provide evidence that the HDAC2-regulating kinase CK2, as well as the NuRD co-repressor complex acted as crucial regulators of inflammation-mediated RNase1 repression, on mRNA as well as chromatin level, respectively. Thereby, the NuRD complex component chromodomain helicase DNA binding protein (CHD) 4 accumulates at the RNASE1 promoter along with reduction of H4ac and H3K27ac in a p38-dependent manner. These findings indicate a complex regulatory network involved in endothelial RNase1 regulation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics Statement
All umbilical cords were donated from healthy individuals who were fully informed and consented to donation. Donated tissue was handled in accordance with the local ethics regulations of the Philipps-University Marburg (permit number: AZ 20/16).

Cell Culture
Cells used in this study were cultivated in a humidified incubator at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) were isolated and cultured in EC growth medium from PromoCell (Heidelberg, BW, Germany) supplemented with 1% penicillin and streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States) as described previously (Bedenbender et al., 2019) and cultured up to passage 4 for all indicated experiments. Cells used in this study were cultivated in a humidified incubator at 37°C with 5% CO₂. All umbilical cords were donated from healthy individuals who were fully informed and consented to donation. Donated tissue was handled in accordance with the local ethics regulations of the Philipps-University Marburg (permit number: AZ 20/16).

RNA-Isolation and Quantitative Reverse Transcription PCR
Total RNA was isolated from HUVEC or EA.hy926 and cDNA was generated as described previously (Bedenbender et al., 2019). Transcript expression of RNase1, IL-8, cyclo-oxygenase 2 (COX-2), CSNK2A1, CSNK2A2, CSNK2B, RCOR1, SIN3A, SIN3B, CHD3, CHD4, and RP518 as internal control was analyzed by quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) with respective primer pairs (Table 1, metabion international AG Planegg/Steinkirchen, BY, Germany), using LUNA® Universal quantitative PCR (qPCR) Master Mix (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, United States) and the QuantStudioTM System and QuantStudioTM Design and Analysis Software v1.3.1 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to manufacturer's instructions. The fold-induction was calculated using the 2−ΔΔct method and qRT-PCR results were normalized to the corresponding control cells (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation
Chemicals used for ChIP were purchased from Carl Roth GmbH & Co., KG, unless otherwise stated. Confluent cells were stimulated as indicated and ChIP assay was performed as described previously (Bedenbender et al., 2019). In brief, cells were fixed for 5 min with 1% formaldehyde (methanol-free; Polysciences, Inc., Warrington, PA, United States) at room temperature. Fixation was stopped with glycine (0.125 M) for 5 min at room temperature. Cells were washed and scraped with ice cold 1× PBS (without magnesium and calcium; HyClone™, GE Healthcare, Solingen, NW, Germany) and centrifuged at up to passage 25 for all indicated experiments. Cells were seeded with 3.8 × 10⁴ cells/cm² overnight followed by indicated treatments: For casein kinase 2 (CK2) inhibitor assays, EA.hy926 were pretreated for 30 min with 10 μM CK2 inhibitor TBB (4,5,6,7-Tetramethylbenzotriazole, Merck KGaA) or DMSO (Carl Roth GmbH & Co., KG) as solvent control prior to 24 h TNF-α [10 ng/ml] (R&D Systems, Inc.) or poly I:C [10 μg/ml] (InvivoGen) stimulation.

siRNA Knockdown
EA.hy926 (3.8 × 10⁴ cells/cm²) were seeded overnight and transfected for 24 h with ON-TARGETplus small interfering (si) RNA SMARTpools (Dharmacon™, Horizon Discovery Group Company, Lafayette, CO, United States) against CK2 alpha subunit 1 (CSNK2A1), CK2 alpha subunit 2 (CSNK2A2), CK2 beta subunit (CSNK2B), REST co-repressor 1 (RCOR1), SIN3 transcription regulator family member (SIN3) A, SIN3B, CHD3, CHD4 or an ON-TARGETplus Non-targeting Control Pool (siCTRL) as transfection control (50 pmol for single- or 25 pmol for double-transfection) using Lipofectamine™ RNAiMAX (Invitrogen™, Thermo Fisher Scientific) in Opti-MEM™ I reduced serum medium and DMEM with 10% fetal calf serum (Gibco™, Thermo Fisher Scientific). After transfection, fresh medium was added, and cells were stimulated for additional 24 h with 10 ng/ml TNF-α (R&D Systems, Inc.) or left untreated as control.
300 × g for 10 min at 4°C. Scraping and washing was repeated twice. Chemical lysis was performed using lysis buffer I [20 min at 4°C; 5 mM piperazine-N,N'-bis-(2 ethane sulfonic acid) pH 8, 85 mM potassium chloride, 0.5% Nonidet P40 (AppliChem GmbH, Darmstadt, HE, Germany)] and II [10 min at 4°C; 10 mM Tris–hydrochloride pH 8.0, Tris–EDTA buffer (10 mM Tris pH8.0, 150 mM sodium chloride, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 1% Triton X100; III: 10 mM Tris–hydrochloride pH 8.0, 500 mM sodium chloride, 2 mM EDTA, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 1% Triton X100; IV: 500 mM Tris–hydrochloride pH 8.0, 0.5% Nonidet P40, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM EDTA, 0.25 M lithium chloride) and 1 × Tris–EDTA buffer (10 mM Tris pH8.0, 1 mM EDTA)].

Chromatin was pre-cleared by incubation with blocked beads, and 10–20 µg pre-cleared chromatin were used for immunoprecipitation experiments. The beads were washed four times, each 30 s on/off using Bioruptor Plus (Diagenode SA, Seraing, LG, Belgium). Sepharose A (GE Healthcare) beads were used for immunoprecipitation overnight at 4°C, using the following protein specific antibodies: anti-H4ac that recognizes acetylated histone 4 at lysine 5, 8, 12, and 16 as indicated by the manufacturer (06-598, Merck KGaA), anti-H3K27ac (ab171870; Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom) and anti-Rabbit IgG (ab72418), and anti-ChIP primers.

TABLE 1 | Primer sequences.

| Primer | Forward | Reverse | Application |
|--------|---------|---------|-------------|
| RFX18  | GCGGCGAAAAATACCCCTTTG | GATCACACGTCCACCTCATC | mRNA analysis |
| RNase1 | GCTGACAGTCCAGCCTTTCTGGG | AATTTTGGCGGCGGTTACC | mRNA analysis |
| COX-2  | TCCCTGGGTGTCGAAGGAA | TGCCCTCCTGGTTAGCTG | mRNA analysis |
| IL-8   | ACTGAGAGGTAGGAGGAGA | AACGCTGGGTTACGATTC | mRNA analysis |
| CSNK2A1| GAGATCTGGAGGCGCTGGAGT | AACTGAGGGACCCAGCTCT | mRNA analysis |
| CSNK2A2| CAACTATGACCCACCTGTCGC | TTTCCTAGGCTTTCCCTG | mRNA analysis |
| CSNK2B | GAGAGTCTCCCTACTATCGAC | ACTTTTGCAATCTGCGGG | mRNA analysis |
| RIGOR1 | TGCGCTGCAAGGAGCATCAGG | ACCATGTTCTGCTCCATC | mRNA analysis |
| SIN3A  | GCAGCTCACTGCTGAGCCTTA | ACATCAAGCTCAAGGCTCTC | mRNA analysis |
| SIN3B  | TGCTTTGAGGTAGTGTGCTTCTTG | CATACTGCTCCAGCTACGA | mRNA analysis |
| CHD3   | CAGCGACAGGGCTCCAGAAC | ACCGTGGTGTGCGCCTCC | mRNA analysis |
| CHD4   | CCCGAGAGGTCCACACAAT | TCTCGGACATGGTGCTCTCA | mRNA analysis |
| Region A | TGAGAGAAGGATGCTGGTGAAC | TTTTCCTGTCTGCTCTTG | ChiP |
| Region B | CATATGATGCGGCGTGTTG | TTTTACACGACGGGAGCCTTC | ChiP |
| Region C | CTGCCCCTAGGAAATCCCTTGGG | CTGCAGTAAGGGCTTCTGAGT | ChiP |

RESULTS

p38 MAPK Signaling Mediates RNase1 mRNA Repression in Inflamed Human ECs

To investigate the underlying molecular mechanisms of RNase1 regulation in inflamed human ECs, we first aimed to identify relevant signaling pathways for this process. Besides TNF-α or IL-1β stimulation of primary human ECs, treatment with the toll-like receptor 3 ligand poly I:C for 24 h also resulted in downregulation of RNase1 mRNA (Bedenbender et al., 2019). Here, poly I:C stimulation kinetics in HUVEC from 0.5 to 24 h resulted in a significant downregulation of RNase1 mRNA (Bedenbender et al., 2019). These findings are comparable to the previously observed RNase1 regulation in TNF-α or IL-1β stimulation kinetics (Bedenbender et al., 2019).
Based on these findings, common signaling cascades induced upon all three RNase1 repressive stimuli were investigated: NF-κB signaling or MAPK signaling via JNK and p38 kinases (Kawai and Akira, 2006; Weber et al., 2010; Brenner et al., 2015). HUVEC were pre-stimulated for 1 h with indicated concentrations of the signaling pathway inhibitors BAY11-7082 (NF-κB inhibitor) as negative control (Gansler et al., 2014), JNK inhibitor II (JNK MAPK inhibitor), SB202190 (p38 MAPK inhibitor) or DMSO as solvent control prior to 24 h TNF-α (white bars; Figure 1A) or poly I:C (gray bars; Figure 1B) stimulation, or left untreated as control (CTRL; black bars). In accordance with previous reports (Gansler et al., 2014), RNase1 mRNA expression was not affected by NF-κB inhibitor treatment in CTRL as well as TNF-α stimulated cells, compared to the DMSO control (Figure 1A, left panel). In respect to JNK inhibitor treatment, significantly diminished RNase1 mRNA expression was detected in CTRL cells compared to DMSO in a dose-dependent manner, while TNF-α-mediated RNase1 repression was even stronger after JNK inhibition (Figure 1A, middle panel). In contrast to these results, pretreatment of HUVEC with the p38 inhibitor slightly increased RNase1 mRNA abundance in CTRL cells compared to DMSO treatment. Moreover, TNF-α-mediated downregulation of RNase1 compared to the solvent control was completely restored dose-dependently by p38 inhibition (Figure 1A, right panel). In addition, comparable results to TNF-α treatment were obtained for RNase1 mRNA abundance by stimulation with the toll-like receptor 3 ligand poly I:C following NF-κB, JNK and p38 inhibitor stimulation compared to the solvent control DMSO as well as CTRL treated cells (Figure 1B). However, NF-κB inhibitor treatment slightly increased RNase1 expression in CTRL treated cells, compared to DMSO (Figure 1B, left panel). To validate the obtained results with the p38 inhibitor, we also investigated the expression of the p38-dependent gene COX-2, which is known to be upregulated upon proinflammatory stimulation of HUVEC (Viemann et al., 2004). Here, COX-2 was significantly upregulated upon TNF-α as well as poly I:C stimulation in DMSO treated samples, while p38 inhibition considerably blocked COX-2 induction upon proinflammatory treatment (Supplementary Figure 1B, left panel). Accordingly, physiological RNase1 expression seemed to be regulated via JNK signaling pathway, while inflammation-mediated RNase1 repression was mediated in a p38 MAPK-dependent manner in human ECs.

**FIGURE 1** | p38 inhibitor treatment restores RNase1 mRNA expression in inflamed human ECs. HUVEC were pretreated for 1 h with indicated signaling pathway inhibitors or DMSO as solvent control prior to (A) TNF-α [10 ng/ml] (white bars) or (B) poly I:C [10 µg/ml] (gray bars) stimulation for 24 h or left untreated as control (black bars). Expression of RNase1 mRNA was analyzed by qRT-PCR. Results were normalized to RPS18 and the respective CTRL samples; \( n = 3–4; \) mean ± standard deviation (SD); Statistics were performed on log2-transformed data. Two-way ANOVA was performed using Holm-Sidak post-test. *CTRL vs. stimulus: \( p < 0.05, \) **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001; #DMSO vs. inhibitor (inh.): \( p < 0.05, \) ##p < 0.01, ####p < 0.0001.
Inflammation-Mediated Repression of RNase1 in Human ECs Is Conducted by CK2 Kinase

Ribonuclease 1 repression upon EC inflammation is mainly attributed to the function of HDAC2, however, it is still unknown how HDAC2 activity is regulated in this context (Bedenbender et al., 2019). HDAC2 activity highly depends on its phosphorylation state and the association with multiprotein complexes, both described to be regulated by CK2 kinase (Tsai and Seto, 2002; Brandl et al., 2009). Thus, we investigated whether CK2 functions as intermediate step in the TNF-α-mediated signaling cascade to facilitate RNase1 repression. The human EC line EA.hy926 was pretreated for 30 min with 10 µM CK2 inhibitor TBB (white bars) or DMSO (black bars) as solvent control, followed by 24 h control (CTRL), TNF-α or poly I:C stimulation (Figures 2A,B). The experimental setup was validated by mRNA expression analysis of the proinflammatory marker IL-8 (for TNF-α) or CXCL10 (for poly I:C) that have been described to be partially regulated via CK2 signaling, respectively (Du et al., 2015; Li et al., 2019). IL-8 and CXCL10 mRNA were significantly upregulated in TNF-α (Figure 2A, left panel) or poly I:C (Figure 2A, right panel) treated EA.hy926 in DMSO pre-stimulated cells. Consistent with the literature, this effect was significantly reduced for IL-8 mRNA by TBB treatment upon TNF-α stimulation (Figure 2A, left panel), while CXCL10 expression upon poly I:C stimulation was further increased by TBB pretreatment (Figure 2A, right panel), both compared to the solvent control. Vice versa, RNase1 mRNA abundance was significantly elevated by TBB pretreatment in CTRL cells compared to the solvent control, and TNF-α-mediated reduction of RNase1 was completely recovered by TBB in contrast to DMSO (Figure 2B, left panel). Similar results were obtained validated by mRNA expression analysis of the proinflammatory marker IL-8 (for TNF-α) or CXCL10 (for poly I:C) that have been described to be partially regulated via CK2 signaling, respectively (Du et al., 2015; Li et al., 2019). IL-8 and CXCL10 mRNA were significantly upregulated in TNF-α (Figure 2A, left panel) or poly I:C (Figure 2A, right panel) treated EA.hy926 in DMSO pre-stimulated cells. Consistent with the literature, this effect was significantly reduced for IL-8 mRNA by TBB treatment upon TNF-α stimulation (Figure 2A, left panel), while CXCL10 expression upon poly I:C stimulation was further increased by TBB pretreatment (Figure 2A, right panel), both compared to the solvent control. Vice versa, RNase1 mRNA abundance was significantly elevated by TBB pretreatment in CTRL cells compared to the solvent control, and TNF-α-mediated reduction of RNase1 was completely recovered by TBB in contrast to DMSO (Figure 2B, left panel). Similar results were obtained validated by mRNA expression analysis of the proinflammatory marker IL-8 (for TNF-α) or CXCL10 (for poly I:C) that have been described to be partially regulated via CK2 signaling, respectively (Du et al., 2015; Li et al., 2019). IL-8 and CXCL10 mRNA were significantly upregulated in TNF-α (Figure 2A, left panel) or poly I:C (Figure 2A, right panel) treated EA.hy926 in DMSO pre-stimulated cells. Consistent with the literature, this effect was significantly reduced for IL-8 mRNA by TBB treatment upon TNF-α stimulation (Figure 2A, left panel), while CXCL10 expression upon poly I:C stimulation was further increased by TBB pretreatment (Figure 2A, right panel), both compared to the solvent control. Vice versa, RNase1 mRNA abundance was significantly elevated by TBB pretreatment in CTRL cells compared to the solvent control, and TNF-α-mediated reduction of RNase1 was completely recovered by TBB in contrast to DMSO (Figure 2B, left panel). Similar results were obtained validated by mRNA expression analysis of the proinflammatory marker IL-8 (for TNF-α) or CXCL10 (for poly I:C) that have been described to be partially regulated via CK2 signaling, respectively (Du et al., 2015; Li et al., 2019). IL-8 and CXCL10 mRNA were significantly upregulated in TNF-α (Figure 2A, left panel) or poly I:C (Figure 2A, right panel) treated EA.hy926 in DMSO pre-stimulated cells. Consistent with the literature, this effect was significantly reduced for IL-8 mRNA by TBB treatment upon TNF-α stimulation (Figure 2A, left panel), while CXCL10 expression upon poly I:C stimulation was further increased by TBB pretreatment (Figure 2A, right panel), both compared to the solvent control. Vice versa, RNase1 mRNA abundance was significantly elevated by TBB pretreatment in CTRL cells compared to the solvent control, and TNF-α-mediated reduction of RNase1 was completely recovered by TBB in contrast to DMSO (Figure 2B, left panel). Similar results were obtained.
for TBB and poly I:C stimulation compared to DMSO, also considerably recovering RNase1 mRNA upon inflammation (Figure 2B, right panel).

To confirm the obtained results, the influence of small interfering RNA (siRNA)-mediated CK2 knockdown on RNase1 mRNA expression was investigated. CK2 is described as an tetrameric complex of two identical or non-identical catalytic subunits (CSNK2A1/A2) and two identical regulatory subunits (CSNK2B) in humans (Litchfield, 2003). EA.hy926 were transfected for 24 h with siRNA pools against both CSNK2A1 and A2 (Figure 2B; white bars), CSNK2B (Supplementary Figures 2A,B) or an unspecific siRNA control pool (siCTRL; black bars), prior to 24 h control (CTRL) or TNF-α stimulation. Knockdown was validated by significant downregulation of respective mRNAs of CSNK2A1 (Figure 2B, left panel) and CSNK2A2 (middle panel) upon specific siRNA treatment in both control and TNF-α stimulated cells. Interestingly, double-knockdown of the catalytic subunits CSNK2A1 and A2 slightly increased RNase1 expression in unstimulated cells, compared to siCTRL. Additionally, RNase1 mRNA was significantly repressed upon TNF-α treatment in control transfected cells, while CSNK2A1/2 double-knockdown considerably recovered RNase1 expression (Figure 2B, right panel). In respect to the regulatory subunit CSNK2B, successful knockdown was validated by significant downregulation of CSNK2B mRNA (Supplementary Figure 2A) upon specific siRNA treatment in both control and TNF-α stimulated cells. In contrast to CSNK2A1/2A double-knockdown, significant downregulation of RNase1 was obtained upon TNF-α treatment compared to CTRL, for both, siCTRL and CSNK2B transfected cells (Supplementary Figure 2B).

In conclusion, these findings suggest an essential role for both, the CHD3- and the CHD4-containing NuRD co-repressor complex in RNase1 repression upon EC inflammation, presumably promoting HDAC2 recruitment to the RNASE1 promoter.

**CHD4 Accumulates at the RNASE1 Promoter Along With Histone Deacetylation Upon Proinflammatory Stimulation of Human ECs**

To confirm the participation of the CHD3/4 containing NuRD complex in RNase1 repression, we investigated RNASE1 promoter remodeling by histone deacetylation and recruitment of NuRD complex in inflamed human ECs by analyzing the acetylation state of H4 and H3K27 as well as accumulation of the NuRD component CHD4. ChIP was performed with HUVEC stimulated for 10 min with TNF-α (+), the time of HDAC2 promoter accumulation (Bedenbender et al., 2019), or left untreated as control (−). The histone acetylation state as well as CHD4 recruitment to the previously described RNASE1 promoter regions, Region A (the core promoter) and the more upstream regions Region B (the proximal promoter) and Region C (the distal promoter) (Bedenbender et al., 2019), were analyzed by qPCR. In unstimulated cells, H4 as well as H3K27 were acetylated at promoter Region A of RNASE1, while 10 min TNF-α stimulation resulted in significant deacetylation of H4 and H3K27 at the same site (Figure 4A, left and middle panel). Along with these findings, CHD4 accumulation was significantly elevated at Region A upon TNF-α treatment compared to untreated cells (Figure 4A, right panel). In respect to Region B (Figure 4B) and C (Figure 4C), similar results were obtained for H4ac (left panels) and H3K27ac (middle panels), while almost no or equal CHD4 accumulation was detected in control and TNF-α treated cells (Figures 4B,C, right panels). Consequently, RNASE1 promoter Region A–C is markedly deacetylated after 10 min of TNF-α stimulation. This effect went along with specific recruitment of CHD4 to Region A of RNASE1, indicating a role of the
CHD4 containing NuRD complex in RNASE1 regulation in inflamed human ECs.

Inhibition of p38 MAPK Signaling Restores Histone Acetylation at the RNASE1 Promoter and Prevents CHD4 Accumulation

To investigate whether the described RNASE1 promoter remodeling and CHD4 accumulation depend on p38 MAPK signaling, ChIP assays with p38 inhibition were performed. Therefore, HUVEC were pretreated for 2 h with 20 µM p38 inhibitor SB202190 (+) or DMSO (−) as solvent control, followed by 10 min stimulation with 10 ng/ml TNF-α (white bars) or left untreated as control (CTRL; black bars). Acetylation of H4 or H3K27, and the recruitment of NuRD co-repressor complex component CHD4 (right panels) were investigated by immunoprecipitation and analyzed by qPCR using respective promoter primers. Compared to inhibitor and DMSO treatment in CTRL cells, TNF-α stimulation resulted in significant...
deacetylation of H4 in DMSO treated samples at Region A of the RNASE1 promoter. This effect was considerably restored by p38 inhibition upon TNF-α stimulation, resulting in comparable H4 acetylation as in untreated cells (Figure 5A, left panel). Similar effects were obtained for H4ac in Region B and C of the RNASE1 promoter, although not significantly (Figures 5B,C,
left panel). With respect to H3K27, reduced acetylation at Region A–C was observed after proinflammatory stimulation of HUVEC compared to DMSO treated CTRL cells. Although no significant recovery of H3K27ac by p38 inhibition was detected after 10 min TNF-α stimulation, an increasing trend toward restoration of acetylation was observed in Region A–C (Figures 5A-C, middle panel). In context of CHD4, TNF-α treatment tended to increase recruitment to the RNASE1 promoter Region A compared to the DMSO treated CTRL, while p38 inhibition inclined to reverse this effect to only basal CHD4 levels (Figure 5A, right panel). At the upstream promoter Region B, a similar trend can be observed for CHD4 upon TNF-α stimulation, indicated by an increase in CHD4 accumulation in solvent control treated cells and its prevention upon p38 inhibition (Figure 5B, right panel). Compared to that, CHD4 was almost absent from Region C upon all indicated treatments.

**FIGURE 5** | p38 inhibitor treatment reverses RNASE1 promoter deacetylation and CHD4 recruitment in inflamed human ECs. HUVEC were pretreated with 20 µM p38 inhibitor (inh.) SB202190 (+) or DMSO as solvent control (–) for 2 h, followed by 10 min TNF-α stimulation [10 ng/ml] (white bars) or left untreated as control (CTRL; black bars). Immunoprecipitation using specific antibodies against histone 4 acetylation (H4ac; left panels), histone 3 lysine 27 acetylation (H3K27ac; middle panels), chromodomain helicase DNA binding protein 4 (CHD4; right panels) or an unspecific IgG control was performed. (A) Region A, (B) Region B, (C) Region C of the RNASE1 promoter were pulled down by the respective antibodies and analyzed by qPCR using respective primers. Results were depicted as % input and the respective control sample with the specific antibody was set to 1. n = 3–4; mean ± SD; Two-way ANOVA was performed using Holm-Sidak post-test. *IgG vs. specific (spec.) antibody (AB): *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001; CTRL vs. TNF-α: #p < 0.05; §DMSO vs. p38 inh.: §§p < 0.01.
Cardiovascular disease, such as atherosclerosis, thrombosis, or myocardial infarction, that are associated with endothelial dysfunction represent a global health issue, causing approximately 18 million deaths per year worldwide. Thereby, disease development and progression tightly associate to the loss of vascular function, homeostasis, and integrity in consequence to inflammation, infection, or injury of the endothelium. Thus, investigation of the underlying mechanisms of endothelial dysfunction upon vascular inflammation is an important need. In this study, we investigated the underlying mechanisms of repression of vasoprotective RNase1 in context of vascular inflammation (Gansler et al., 2014; Zernecke and Preissner, 2016). We identified a regulatory mechanism by which proinflammatory stimulation, such as TNF-α or poly I:C, activated p38 MAPK, CK2 kinase, and subsequent recruitment of the HDAC2 containing NuRD/CHD4 co-repressor complex to the RNASE1 promoter. This resulted in chromatin remodeling via histone deacetylation and subsequent RNase1 repression. In recent years, the RNase1-eRNA system was identified as crucial factor in diverse pathologies, ranging from cardiovascular diseases, such as thrombosis, atherosclerosis or myocardial infarction, to inflammatory and infectious disorders like sepsis or bacterial infections (Kannemeier et al., 2007; Cabrera-Fuentes et al., 2014; Simsekylmaz et al., 2014; Zakrzewicz et al., 2016; Zernecke and Preissner, 2016; Zechendorf et al., 2020). In this context, RNase1 has been demonstrated as potent regulator and protective factor of vascular homeostasis by counteracting the danger-associated molecule eRNA to compensate changes in the eRNA-RNase1 system (Zernecke and Preissner, 2016). Thereby, RNase1 protects the endothelium from overwhelming inflammation by e.g., decreasing myocardial infarction size or thrombus formation, mainly via reduction of circulating eRNA levels, inflammatory cells and cytokines to recover physiological organ functions (Fischer et al., 2007; Kannemeier et al., 2007; Cabrera-Fuentes et al., 2014, 2015; Chen et al., 2014). However, RNase1 function is significantly impaired upon long-term vascular inflammation due to increased extracellular eRNA levels that further result in loss of vascular integrity (Gansler et al., 2014). These processes were shown to be mainly regulated by an HDAC-dependent mechanism by which HDAC2 is recruited to the RNASE1 promoter region to conduct histone deacetylation, resulting in a condensed chromatin structure, loss of Polymerase II transcription machinery binding and subsequent gene repression (Bedenbender et al., 2019). Therefore, unraveling the underlying molecular mechanisms of RNase1 repression in inflamed human ECs is of great importance, to offer new therapeutic options and treatment strategies to preserve RNase1 function upon vascular inflammation.

In this study, we identified the well-known MAPK phosphorylation cascades via JNK and p38 as potent regulators of RNase1 expression in human ECs under physiological as well as proinflammatory conditions. MAPK signaling via JNK and p38 is directly associated to the RNase1 repressive proinflammatory stimuli TNF-α, IL-1β, and poly I:C (Ashwell, 2006; Kawai and Akira, 2006; Weber et al., 2010; Brenner et al., 2015; Bedenbender et al., 2019). As blocking of JNK signaling strongly repressed RNase1 expression in untreated ECs, this signaling cascade seems to be essential for physiological RNase1 expression. These findings are supported by publications, demonstrating JNK as potent regulator of EC gene expression not only upon inflammation, for instance during thrombin induced intercellular adhesion molecule 1 expression (Miho et al., 2005), but also under physiological conditions, e.g., in context of EC motility (Shin et al., 2001). However, our findings indicate that JNK inhibition upon TNF-α and poly I:C treatment potentiates the negative effect of these stimuli on RNase1 mRNA. Thus, it can be speculated that the JNK cascade might still conduct residual RNase1 mRNA expression even upon inflammation. In addition, our results confirmed an p38-dependent regulatory mechanism for RNase1 repression upon inflammation of human ECs, as demonstrated by clear recovery of RNase1 expression after TNF-α and poly I:C treatment by p38 inhibition. These findings are comparable to previous results using the HDAC1-3 inhibitor MS275 that also fully recovered RNase1 expression upon inflammation (Bedenbender et al., 2019). p38 MAPK is generally associated to inflammatory events, as it was firstly described in context of proinflammatory cytokine synthesis in endotoxin stimulated macrophages (Lee et al., 1994). Besides its crucial role in induction of proinflammatory mediators, e.g., reduced IL-6 production in p38α-deficient embryonic stem cells, or p38-dependent COX-2 expression in TNF-α-stimulated HUVEC (Allen et al., 2000; Viemann et al., 2004), also repressive functions of p38 signaling, such as the reduction of certain myogenic genes during muscle differentiation in myeloid cells, are described (Suelves et al., 2004). These findings support our results that inflammation-mediated RNase1 repression is primarily associated to p38 MAPK-dependent signaling in human ECs.

To unravel further intermediated steps in the RNase1 repression cascade upon EC inflammation, we analyzed the regulation of HDAC2 activity in this context. Previous findings by our group indicated that HDAC2 activity might be regulated independent of HDAC2 mRNA or protein levels (Bedenbender et al., 2019 and unpublished data), suggesting an alternative regulatory mechanism. Class I HDAC activity, including HDAC2, can be regulated through subcellular localization, association in protein complexes and post-translational modifications. Since HDAC2 is mainly located in the nucleus due to its nuclear localization sequence and the missing export sequence.
As mentioned before, HDAC2 function and recruitment to promoter sites are associated with the formation of multiprotein co-repressor complexes, which in turn might also co-localize with CK2 (Grozinger and Schreiber, 2002; De Ruijter et al., 2003; Sengupta and Seto, 2004; Sun et al., 2007). Therefore, we investigated the impact of the three major HDAC2-associated repressor complexes on RNase1 mRNA expression upon EC inflammation via siRNA-mediated knockdown of essential co-repressor complex components: RCOR1 for CoREST, SIN3A/B for SIN3 and CHD3/4 (also known as Mi2α/β) for NuRD (Zhang et al., 1997; Tong et al., 1998; Humphrey et al., 2001; You et al., 2001). Only single- or double-knockdown of the NuRD repressor complex components CHD3 and 4 significantly restored RNase1 mRNA abundance upon TNF-α treatment in our experimental setting. Hence, our findings imply an important involvement of NuRD-associated CHD3 and CHD4 in RNase1 repression in inflamed human ECs. These findings are supported by the essential roles of NuRD in context of inflammation, e.g., in regulation of T- and B-cell development or macrophage immune responses (Fujita et al., 2004; Shimizu-Hirota et al., 2012; Shen et al., 2018). The great impact of NuRD co-repressor complex in RNase1 regulation is further confirmed by recruitment of CHD4 to the RNASE1 promoter Region A upon 10 min TNF-α treatment. Additionally, this recruitment goes along with deacetylation of H4 and H3K27, two well-known markers of actively transcribed chromatin, that are already associated to regulation of RNASE1 (Kouzarides, 2007; Wang et al., 2008; Bedenbender et al., 2019). Among the diverse types of co-repressor complexes, the NuRD chromatin-remodeling complex is unique due to the combination of chromatin-remodeling enzymes, like the DNA helicase-like ATPases CHD3 and CHD4, and histone modifying subunits, such as HDAC2 (Tong et al., 1998; Denslow and Wade, 2007). Hence, the obtained results for CHD4 are in accordance with the previously described accumulation of HDAC2 at the RNASE1 promoter Region A after 10 min of TNF-α treatment (Bedenbender et al., 2019). Although HDAC2 also accumulated at Region B of the RNASE1 promoter in previous experiments (Bedenbender et al., 2019), CHD4 seemed to be absent in this region under the same conditions. In this context, however, we are aware that ChIP analysis using primary ECs can be highly susceptible to donor variances of self-isolated primary cells. These effects might yield in high standard deviations and therewith potentially masking small differences in CHD4 recruitment to this region. Despite these small discrepancies, our current data indicate that HDAC2 recruitment to the RNASE1 promoter is mainly mediated via the CHD3/4 containing NuRD complex upon inflammation of human ECs. To further strengthen these findings, the impact of NuRD knockdown or inhibition on HDAC2 recruitment and subsequent chromatin remodeling at the RNASE1 promoter should be considered for future investigations. Although there are only few publications regarding NuRD functions in vascular ECs, the impact of this complex in context of vascular integrity seems to be crucial. Ingram et al. (2013) demonstrated a preventive function of NuRD/CHD4 on excessive extracellular matrix proteolysis, while Colijn et al. (2020) observed protection of vascular...
In this context, TNF-α histone acetyl transferase complexes, as well as chromatin remodeling and subsequent gene regulation, for instance via the RNASE1 part of the NuRD co-repressor complex was recruited to the same site (Bedenbender et al., 2019). Thus, CHD4 as which would correspond to the recruitment of HDAC2 at Region B accumulation of CHD4 at the upstream promoter in a p38-dependent manner. MAPK signaling cascades are known to be highly involved in chromatin remodeling and subsequent gene regulation, for instance via phosphorylation of transcription factors that further influence recruitment of the polymerase II transcription machinery, histone acetyl transferase complexes, as well as chromatin remodeling and HDAC complexes (Suganuma and Workman, 2011). In this context, TNF-α-induced p38 signaling was shown to promote the interaction of transcription factor YY1 and the polycomb repressive complex 2 to induce repressive chromatin structures at target gene promoters (Palacios et al., 2010), while tumor suppressor SALL1 induces p38-dependent NuRD recruitment to promote cancer cell senescence (Ma et al., 2018). Although transcription factors involved in RNase1 repression are still unknown, these findings are in line with the presented observations that TNF-α-induced p38 signaling is critical for recruitment of the NuRD/CHD4 complex to the RNASE1 promoter to conduct H4 and H3K27 deacetylation and RNASE1 repression.

Finally, with respect to RNase1 recovery by inhibition of CK2 and p38, our study may pave the way to new strategies to maintain vascular homeostasis in context of vascular pathologies by preserving the protective factor RNase1. Previous studies already indicated an essential role of both CK and p38 in context of vascular diseases that can be also related to studies addressing RNase1 function in the same context. For instance, both CK2 and p38 kinase have been implicated in the regulation of foam cell formation during atherosclerosis, which can be blocked by either CK2 or p38 inhibition (Zhao et al., 2002; Harvey et al., 2007). Interestingly, the macrophage content in atherosclerotic lesions can be also associated to accumulating eRNA, which can be blocked by RNase1 administration (Simsekylilmaz et al., 2014). Additionally, p38 inhibition also protects cardiomyocytes from cellular injury upon myocardial ischemia and reperfusion, or reduces excessive inflammatory cytokine expression (e.g., TNF-α) and infarct size during stroke (Barone et al., 2001; Kaiser and Dominguez, 2005; Buontempo et al., 2018), indicating their use as promising targets also for treatment of vascular diseases.

In conclusion, we found evidence for a regulatory mechanism of RNase1 repression in inflamed human ECs by which proinflammatory stimulation induces p38 signaling to activate CK2 kinase which further promotes HDAC2 activation and association into the NuRD co-repressor complex. Consequently, NuRD/CHD4 as well as HDAC2 are recruited to the RNASE1 promoter to facilitate chromatin remodeling via histone deacetylation, followed by chromatin condensation and transcriptional repression. Hence, identification of associated molecules in inflammation-mediated repression of the vessel-protective factor RNase1 may provide new potential targets, such as p38 MAPK, CK2 kinase, or the NuRD/CHD4 repressor complex, for treatment of cardiovascular pathologies.
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