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Abstract

We consider a version of a classical concentration inequality for sums of independent, isotropic random vectors with a mild restriction on the distribution of the radial part of these vectors. The proof uses a little Fourier analysis, the Laplace asymptotic method and a conditioning idea that traces its roots to some of the original works on concentration inequalities.
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1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to give a multi-dimensional version of a concentration inequality that traces its origins to P. Lévy [14]. These Lévy type concentration results assert a rate of decay on the maximal amount of mass that the distribution of a sum of independent, nondegenerate, random variables can place in an arbitrary interval. We remark that this is in contrast to another version of the concentration phenomena, see [13] and the vast literature listed there, where measures concentrate most of their mass on particular subsets of a measure space. However, the proof of our result relies on an early version of the latter type of concentration phenomena due to Bernstein and Hoeffding. Motivation to study the concentration inequality in the context of isotropic random vectors arises from a variety of sources. Sums of independent isotropic vectors are the so-called isotropic random flights which arise in problems in astronomy, [2], [4]. Such sums appear in random searches in the context of biological encounters, [3]. They are also used as polymer models in chemistry, [6], [8]. Yet another example of isotropic random vectors arises in a discrete model for the theory of magnetic fields generated by a turbulent media, [15], where random vectors of the form $M\xi$ appear where $M$ is a random element of the orthogonal group, $O(3)$, and the random vector $\xi$ is independent of $M$.

Early works on the concentration inequalities in the real valued case are due to Döblin, [5], Kolmogorov [11], Lévy [14] and Rogozin [17]. The higher dimensional case has been treated in Kanter, [10]. In [10], the author considered independent, $\mathbb{R}^N$-valued, symmetric random vectors $X_1, \cdots, X_n$. Then if $C \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a convex set and
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Assume $p_a > 0$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $X_1 = \cdots + X_n + x \in C$ be independent, isotropic random vectors with values in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$ and put $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n$. Let $l > 0$ and $L > 0$ be given. Define, for $a > 0$, the cube

$$Q(l) = [0,a]^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d.$$ 

Assume $p_i = P(X_i \not\in Q(l))$, satisfies $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \to \infty$. Then given any $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$P(S_n \in x + Q(L)) \leq 2 \exp \left\{ -\frac{2 \epsilon^2 (\sum_{i=1}^n p_i)^2}{n} \right\} + (1 + o(1)) \left( \sqrt{\frac{d}{2\pi L}} \right)^d \left( 1 - \epsilon \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \right)^{-\frac{d}{2}}.$$

(2.1)
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There are various immediate corollaries that may be derived from this. We state two such.

**Corollary 2.2.** Assume in addition to the conditions above that

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i > 2^{-2/d} \left( \sqrt{\frac{d}{2\pi l}} L \right)^{2}
\]

and

\[
\frac{1}{4} > \frac{n}{2(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i)^2} \ln \frac{2 \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \right)^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\left( \sqrt{\frac{dL}{2\pi l}} \right)^{d}}.
\]

Then there is a positive constant \( c \) independent of \( n, l \) and \( L \) such that,

\[
P(S_n \in x + Q(L)) \leq c \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \right)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \left( \frac{L}{l} \right)^{d}.
\]

**Proof.** The conditions of the corollary ensure that the solution of

\[
\epsilon^2 = \frac{n}{2(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i)^2} \ln \frac{2 \left( (1 - \epsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \right)^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\left( \sqrt{\frac{dL}{2\pi l}} \right)^{d}}
\]

satisfies \( 0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2} \). This follows since the left hand side minus the right hand side is increasing in \( \epsilon \) and this forces the solution to be less than \( \frac{1}{4} \). Since \( \epsilon \) is then bounded from 1 we can take this \( \epsilon \) in (2.1) and find the \( c \) as claimed by using Theorem 2.1.

Another possibility is the following.

**Corollary 2.3.** Let \( X_1, X_2, \cdots \) be independent, isotropic random vectors with values in \( \mathbb{R}^d, \ d \geq 2 \), for which

\[
P(X_i \not\in Q(1)) \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for all} \ i \geq 1.
\]

There is a constant \( c(d) \) such that for every \( x \) we have

\[
P(S_n \in x + Q(1)) \leq \frac{c(d)}{n^{d/2}}.
\]

**Proof.** In this case, \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \geq \frac{n}{2} \) and \( L = l = 1 \). Taking \( \epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \) in Theorem 2.1 the corollary holds with a suitable choice of constant \( c \).

### 3 Proof of the Concentration Inequality

We commence with two lemmas, the first is a local central limit theorem. This is likely a known result and as the proof is short we include it for completeness.

**Lemma 3.1.** Suppose that \( \tilde{Y}_1, \cdots, \tilde{Y}_m \) are iid uniformly distributed on the unit sphere in \( \mathbb{R}^d \). If \( \tilde{p}_m^d \) denotes the density of \( \tilde{Y}_1 + \cdots + \tilde{Y}_m \) then

\[
\tilde{p}_m^d(0) \sim \left( \frac{\sqrt{d}}{2\pi m} \right)^{d}, \ m \to \infty.
\]
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Proof. If $Y$ is uniformly distributed on the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^d$, then for $k \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we first compute

$$
\psi_d(k) = E[e^{i\langle k, Y \rangle}].
$$

Given $k$, one selects coordinates on the sphere $\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_{d-1}, \phi_i \in [0, \pi)$, for $i = 1, \ldots, d-2$, and $\phi_{d-1} \in [0, 2\pi)$, so that $k = |k| \cos \phi_1$. The volume form on the sphere, normalized to have total mass one, is given in these coordinates by

$$
dV = \frac{\Gamma(d/2 + 1)}{d\pi^{d/2}} \sin^{d-2} \phi_1 \sin^{d-3} \phi_2 \cdots \sin \phi_{d-2} \, d\phi_1 d\phi_2 \cdots d\phi_{d-1}.
$$

Then since the characteristic function of a random vector $Y$ which is uniformly distributed on the sphere of radius 1 in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is real,

$$
E[e^{i\langle k, Y \rangle}] = c_d \int_0^\pi \cos(|k| \cos \phi_1) \sin^{d-2} \phi_1 \, d\phi_1,
$$

where $c_d = \left( \int_0^\pi \sin^{d-2} \phi_1 \, d\phi_1 \right)^{-1}$.

For $d = 2$, by [12] page 115, we have

$$
\psi_2(k) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(|k| \cos \phi) \, d\phi = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(|k| \sin \phi) \, d\phi = J_0(|k|),
$$

where $J_0(z)$ is the 0th order Bessel function of the first kind given by

$$
J_0(z) = 1 - \frac{(z/2)^2}{(1!)^2} + \frac{(z/2)^4}{(2!)^2} - \frac{(z/2)^6}{(3!)^2} + \cdots.
$$

For $d = 3$,

$$
\psi_3(k) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\pi \cos(|k| \cos \phi_1) \sin \phi_1 \, d\phi_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \cos(|k|w) \, dw = \frac{\sin(|k|)}{|k|}.
$$

For $d > 3$, we have by [12] page 114,

$$
\psi_d(k) = c_d \int_0^\pi \cos(|k| \cos \phi_1) \sin^{d-2} \phi_1 \, d\phi_1 = 2^{d-1} \Gamma\left( \frac{d}{2} \right) |k|^{d-2} J_0^{d-1}(|k|),
$$

where $J_0^{d-1}$ is the order $d - 1$ Bessel function of the first kind.

Since for any $d \geq 2$,

$$
\tilde{p}_d^m(0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_d(k)^m \, dk,
$$

we need to check the asymptotics of the right hand side.
On a concentration inequality for sums of independent isotropic vectors

Starting with \( d = 2 \), we observe that \( \psi_2(r) = J_0(r) \) has a unique global maximum at \( r = 0 \) with \( \psi_2(0) = 1 \). Also, \( \psi_2''(0) = -\frac{1}{4} \) and for any \( \epsilon > 0 \), \( c_2(\epsilon) \equiv \sup_{r \geq \epsilon} |\psi_2(r)| < 1 \). Similarly, for \( d = 3 \), \( \psi_3(r) = \frac{\sin r}{r} \) has a unique global maximum at \( r = 0 \) with \( \psi_3(0) = 1 \). This time \( \psi_3''(0) = -\frac{1}{8} \) and for any \( \epsilon > 0 \), \( c_3(\epsilon) \equiv \sup_{r \geq \epsilon} |\psi_3(r)| < 1 \). Finally, for \( d > 3 \), we use the representation, from \cite{12} page 114,

\[
2^{\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right) r^{-\frac{d}{2}+1} J_{\frac{d}{2}-1}(r) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}+1\right)} \int_{-1}^{1} (1 - t^2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \cos(\epsilon r) dt
\]

to conclude that \( \psi_d(r) = 2^{\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right) r^{-\frac{d}{2}+1} J_{\frac{d}{2}-1}(r) \) has a unique global maximum at \( r = 0 \) with \( \psi_d(0) = 1 \) and

\[
\psi_d''(0) = -\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}+1\right)} \int_{-1}^{1} (1 - t^2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} t^2 dt
\]

\[
= -\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}+1\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}+1\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)}
\]

\[
= -\frac{1}{d},
\]

and for any \( \epsilon > 0 \), \( c_d(\epsilon) \equiv \sup_{r \geq \epsilon} |\psi_d(r)| < 1 \).

In order to determine the asymptotics at (3.1), we need the \( r \to \infty \) decay of \( \psi_d(r) \). For \( d \geq 2 \) from \cite{12} page 134 for some positive constant \( c \),

\[
|\psi_d(r)| \leq c \sqrt{r}^{-d+1}, \ r \to \infty. \tag{3.2}
\]

Now, with \( \omega_d = \frac{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}+1\right)} \) being the volume of \( S^{d-1} \), write

\[
\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\psi_d(k))^m dk = \frac{\omega_d}{(2\pi)^d} \int_0^\infty r^{d-1} (\psi_d(r))^m dr
\]

\[
= \frac{\omega_d}{(2\pi)^d} \int_0^\epsilon r^{d-1} (\psi_d(r))^m dr + \frac{\omega_d}{(2\pi)^d} \int_\epsilon^\infty r^{d-1} (\psi_d(r))^m dr. \tag{3.3}
\]

We use the fact that in any dimension \( d \geq 2 \), \( c_d(\epsilon) \equiv \sup_{r \geq \epsilon} |\psi_d(r)| < 1 \) to show the second integral dies off exponentially fast in \( m \). In fact,

\[
\left| \int_\epsilon^\infty r^{d-1} (\psi_d(r))^m dr \right| \leq c_d(\epsilon)^{m/2} \int_\epsilon^\infty r^{d-1} |\psi_d(r)|^{m/2} dr \tag{3.4}
\]

and by (3.2), the second integral is bounded in \( m \) for \( \frac{m}{2} > \frac{2d-1}{d-1} \).

Since for any \( d \geq 2 \), \( \psi_d''(0) = -\frac{1}{d} \), in the first integral, we write

\[
(\psi_d(r))^m = e^{m \ln \psi_d(r)} \sim e^{-\frac{m^2 r^2}{2d}}
\]

proceeding with the Laplace asymptotic method gives

\[
\frac{\omega_d}{(2\pi)^d} \int_0^\epsilon r^{d-1} (\psi_d(r))^m dr \sim \frac{\omega_d}{(2\pi)^d} \int_0^\epsilon |r|^{d-1} e^{-\frac{m^2 r^2}{2d}} dr
\]

\[
= \frac{\omega_d}{(2\pi)^d} \left( \sqrt{\frac{d}{m}} \right)^d \int_0^{\sqrt{\frac{d}{m}}} e^{-\frac{m^2 t^2}{2d}} dt \tag{3.5}
\]

\[
\sim \left( \sqrt{\frac{d}{2\pi m}} \right)^d
\]
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Thus, by (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5), for \( d \geq 2 \),
\[
p_m^d(0) \sim \left( \sqrt{\frac{d}{2\pi m}} \right)^d
\]
and the lemma is proved. \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( Y_1, Y_2, \cdots, Y_m \) be independent random vectors with \( Y_i \) uniformly distributed on the sphere of radius \( R_i, i = 1, \cdots, m \). Assume there is a number \( l > 0 \) such that each \( R_i \geq l \) and the \( R_i \) are non-random. Let \( m \) be an even integer. Then for \( L > 0 \) and any \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \),
\[
P(Y_1 + Y_2 + \cdots + Y_m \in x + Q(L)) \leq (1 + o(1)) \left( \sqrt{\frac{d}{2\pi m}} \frac{L}{l} \right)^d, \quad m \to \infty.
\]

**Proof.** It suffices to provide an appropriate bound on the \( L^\infty \) norm of the density of \( Y_1 + Y_2 + \cdots + Y_m \).

Notice that
\[
E[e^{i\langle k, Y_i \rangle}] = \psi_d(R_i k).
\]

Then, by the independence of \( Y_1, Y_2, \cdots, Y_m \),
\[
E[e^{i\langle k, Y_1 + Y_2 + \cdots + Y_m \rangle}] = \prod_{i=1}^m \psi_d(R_i k).
\]

By (3.2), for \( m > \frac{2d}{d-1} \), this characteristic function is integrable, which means \( S_m = Y_1 + Y_2 + \cdots + Y_m \) has a bounded density, \( p_m^d(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^d \). In fact, for \( m \) even, by the arithmetic-geometric mean and Jensen’s inequalities,
\[
\|p_m^d\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \prod_{i=1}^m \psi_d(R_i k) \right| dk \\
\leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\psi_d(R_i k))^m dk \\
= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{R_i^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\psi_d(k))^m dk \\
\leq \frac{1}{(2\pi l)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\psi_d(k))^m dk \\
\leq (1 + o(1)) \left( \sqrt{\frac{d}{2\pi m}} \frac{1}{l} \right)^d.
\]

where the last line follows from Lemma 3.1. This completes the proof. \( \square \)

We can now prove the main result, Theorem 2.1.

**Proof.** We use the Lèvy decoupage technique [1] to decompose the random variables \( X_i \), based on whether \( |X_i| \geq l \) or \( |X_i| < l \). Set
\[
p_i = P(|X_i| \geq l).
\]

Recall that we are assuming that \( p_i \geq \frac{1}{2}, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n \). For \( i = 1, 2, \cdots, n \), let the random variables \( \{U_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \) and \( \{V_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \) be independent with
\[
\mathcal{L}(U_i) = \mathcal{L}(|X_i| \mid |X_i| \geq l), \quad \mathcal{L}(V_i) = \mathcal{L}(|X_i| \mid |X_i| < l).
\]
Also take Bernoulli random variables \( \{ \eta_i : 1 \leq i \leq n \} \) independent of the \( \{ U_i : 1 \leq i \leq n \} \) and the \( \{ V_i : 1 \leq i \leq n \} \) with

\[
P(\eta_i = 1) = 1 - P(\eta_i = 0) = p_i.
\]

Then, as above, take \( \{ \tilde{Y}_i : 1 \leq i \leq n \} \) to be uniformly distributed on the unit sphere in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) and independent of the previously defined random variables. In an obvious notation we may take the probability measure \( P \) as \( P = P_{(U,V)} \times P_{\eta} \times P_{\tilde{Y}} \) and our original random variables may be represented as

\[
X_i = (\eta_i U_i + (1 - \eta_i)V_i) \tilde{Y}_i.
\]

For \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), set

\[
Y_i^1 = \eta_i U_i \tilde{Y}_i
\]

and

\[
Y_i^0 = (1 - \eta_i)V_i \tilde{Y}_i.
\]

Notice that \( \{ Y_i^1 : 1 \leq i \leq n, \eta_i \neq 0 \} \) satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.2 with respect to the probability measure \( P_{\eta} \times P_{\tilde{Y}} \) a.s.. Denote the vector of outcomes of the sequence \( \{ \eta_i : 1 \leq i \leq n \} \) by

\[
\zeta_n = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \cdots, \eta_n).
\]

Then for a given deterministic sequence \( (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \cdots, \alpha_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n \), define

\[
p_{\eta}(\alpha) \equiv P(\zeta_n = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_n)) = \Pi_{i=1}^n p_i^{\alpha_i} (1 - p_i)^{1 - \alpha_i}.
\]

By Bernstein’s inequality or its generalization due to Hoeffding, [9], given \( 0 < \epsilon < 1 \),

\[
P_{\eta} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i < (1 - \epsilon) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \right) = P_{\eta} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (\eta_i - p_i) < -\epsilon \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \right) \leq P_{\eta} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (\eta_i - p_i) > \epsilon \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \right) \leq 2 \exp \left\{ - \frac{2 \epsilon^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \right)^2}{n} \right\}.
\]

We then have

\[
P_{\eta} \times P_{\tilde{Y}} (S_n \in x + Q(L)) = \sum_{\alpha \in \{0,1\}^n} p_{\eta}(\alpha) P_{\tilde{Y}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i U_i + (1 - \alpha_i)V_i) \tilde{Y}_i \in x + Q(L) \right) \leq \sum_{\alpha \in \{0,1\}^n, \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i < (1 - \epsilon) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i} p_{\eta}(\alpha) + \sum_{\alpha \in \{0,1\}^n, \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \geq (1 - \epsilon) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i} (p_{\eta}(\alpha)) \times P_{\tilde{Y}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i U_i + (1 - \alpha_i)V_i) \tilde{Y}_i \in x + Q(L) \right) \bigg). \]

It easily follows from Fubini’s theorem that if \( Z \) is independent of \( S_n \), then for any \( Q \)

\[
\sup_x P(S_m + Z \in x + Q) \leq \sup_x P(S_m \in x + Q).
\]
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Using Lemma 3.2 with \( m = \left| (1 - \epsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \right| \) which we may assume is even, we conclude from (3.8) that for each \( \alpha \in \{0, 1\}^{n} \) for which \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \geq (1 - \epsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \) we have \( P_{(U,V)} \) a.s.

\[
\sup_x P_{Y} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_i U_i + (1 - \alpha_i) V_i) \tilde{Y}_i \in x + Q(L) \right) \leq \sup_x P_{Y} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i U_i \tilde{Y}_i \in x + Q(L) \right)
\]

\[
\leq (1 + o(1)) \left( \sqrt{\frac{d}{2\pi \ell}} \right)^d \left( 1 - \epsilon \right)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \left| Q \right|.
\]

(3.9)

Thus, from (3.6), (3.7) and (3.9), it follows that as \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \to \infty \), one has \( P_{(U,V)} \) a.s.

\[
P_\eta \times P_{\tilde{Y}} (S_n \in x + Q(L)) \leq 2 \exp \left\{ \frac{-2\epsilon^2 (\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i)^2}{n} \right\} + (1 + o(1)) \left( \sqrt{\frac{d}{2\pi \ell}} \right)^d \left( 1 - \epsilon \right)^{-\frac{d}{2}}.
\]

Now integrate with respect to \( P_{(U,V)} \) to complete the proof.

\[\square\]
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