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| Page in manuscript | Item | Guide questions/description | Page in manuscript |
|--------------------|------|----------------------------|--------------------|
| **Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity** | | | |
| **Personal Characteristics** | | | |
| 1. Interviewer/facilitator | Which author/s conducted the interview or focus group? | 10, 16 |
| 2. Credentials | What were the researcher’s credentials? E.g. PhD, MD | 1, 10, 16 |
| 3. Occupation | What was their occupation at the time of the study? | 10, 16 |
| 4. Gender | Was the researcher male or female? | 10, 16 |
| 5. Experience and training | What experience or training did the researcher have? | 10, 16 |
| **Relationship with participants** | | | |
| 6. Relationship established | Was a relationship established prior to study commencement? | 10, 16 |
| 7. Participant knowledge of the interviewer | What did the participants know about the researcher? E.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research | 10, 16 |
| 8. Interviewer characteristics | What characteristics were reported about the interviewer/facilitator? E.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic | 10, 16 |
| **Domain 2: study design** | | | |
| **Theoretical framework** | | | |
| 9. Methodological orientation and Theory | What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? E.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis | 10, 16 |
| **Participant selection** | | | |
| 10. Sampling | How were participants selected? E.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball | 8, 11 |
| 11. Method of approach | How were participants approached? E.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email | 8, 11 |
| 12. Sample size | How many participants were in the study? | 17 |
| 13. Non-participation | How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons? | NA |
| **Setting** | | | |
| 14. Setting of data collection | Where was the data collected? E.g. home, clinic, workplace | 9, 15 |
| 15. Presence of non-participants | Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers? | 9, 15 |
| 16. Description of sample | What are the important characteristics of the sample? E.g. demographic data, date | 17 |
| Data collection | | | | |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|---|
| 17.             | Interview guide  | Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? | 9, 15, Multimedia 4 | |
| 18.             | Repeat interviews| Were repeat interviews carried out? If yes, how many? | NA | |
| 19.             | Audio/visual recording | Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? | 9, 15 | |
| 20.             | Field notes      | Were field notes made during and/or after the interview or focus group? | 9, 15 | |
| 21.             | Duration         | What was the duration of the interviews or focus group? | 9, 15 | |
| 22.             | Data saturation  | Was data saturation discussed? | N.A | |
| 23.             | Transcripts returned | Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or correction? | N.A | |
| Domain 3: analysis and findings | | | | |
| Data analysis | | | | |
| 24.             | Number of data coders | How many data coders coded the data? | 10, 16 | |
| 25.             | Description of the coding tree | Did authors provide a description of the coding tree? | 10 | |
| 26.             | Derivation of themes | Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data? | 10, 16 | |
| 27.             | Software         | What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data? | 10, 16 | |
| 28.             | Participant checking | Did participants provide feedback on the findings? | N.A. | |
| Reporting       | Quotations presented | Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes / findings? Was each quotation identified? e.g. participant number | 20-28 | |
| 29.             | Data and findings consistent | Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings? | 20-28 | |
| 30.             | Clarity of major themes | Were major themes clearly presented in the findings? | 19 (figure 4), 20-28 | |
| 31.             | Clarity of minor themes | Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes? | 20-28 (us of headings) | |