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ABSTRACT

Objective: The objective of the study was to evaluate the knowledge of ALS among students in a university in Malaysia.

Methods: A cross-sectional and observational study was performed among the students of three different healthcare provider faculties (Medical, Pharmacy and Dental) in a university with the help of pre-validated research questionnaire. The Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) Version 24.0 was used to analyze and present the data.

Results: A total of 268 university students from three faculties participated in the current study. The medical faculty students and final year students had more appropriate knowledge towards the ALS.

Conclusion: Overall appropriate knowledge was observed among the studied faculty students. The present study concluded that medical students had adequate knowledge of ALS than the other two faculty students.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is a progressive and fatal disease that attacks the neurons which are responsible for the control and voluntary movement [1,2]. These neurons die as the disease progresses over time, resulting in the gradual loss of muscle movement, difficulty in speaking, swallowing, and ultimately breathing as well [3]. People with ALS generally have a short lifespan after the diagnosis of the disease. ALS is usually widespread in males, whites, and people with age more than 60 years [4,5]. Currently, ALS does not have a proper cure available, and the exact cause of ALS still unknown [6,7].

The initial indicators of ALS contain slight muscle weakness, clumsy hand movements, and difficulty completing tasks that involve sensitive involvements of the fingers or hands [8,9]. Muscle weakness in legs may result in slipping and falling of the patients. Other symptoms of ALS include the uncontrolled jerking of muscles, stiffness of legs muscles, and coughing [10]. Numerous elements have been identified as possible triggers of the disease, which may consist of an infection of the unidentified virus, an abnormal immune response of the human body [11].

Because ALS disease data is not well-founded, thus students may not be able to identify and gather information about the disease [12], but they always should have appropriate knowledge about the ALS and its management so that they can treat patients in future. The current study was performed to evaluate the knowledge of ALS among students in a university in Malaysia.

2. METHODOLOGY

A study was performed among students from three different healthcare faculties in a university. The study design was a cross-sectional observational study. A self-prepared and pre-validated research questionnaire was used to evaluate the knowledge of respondents. Stratified convenience sampling method was used to estimate the minimum required sample size, and the total minimum targeted sample size was 300 participants from the medical, pharmacy and dental faculties.

Based on the personal knowledge and understanding, all of the participants of the study were requested to read and fill the response against each knowledge question statement. The completed questionnaires were interpreted and presented as a percentage response to ease the data presentation.

Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 24.0 was used for data analysis and presentations. Frequencies with percentages were measured and interpreted as the categorical variables. The Pearson Chi-Square/Fisher's Exact Test was used to find out the p-value in each variable. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Phi Cramer's value was found to measure the effect size of the statistically significant variables. The results of effect size were presented as per the Crohn's classification for categorical data.

3. RESULTS

A total of 268 university students from three faculties (medical, pharmacy and dental) take part in the current study. Demographic of the present study was diverse, including gender, race, faculty, age, year of education, residence and education background. The demographic variables are available in Fig. 1.

3.1 Question 1: I Am Fully Aware of ALS and Its Management

A statistically significant difference was observed between the response of question 1 and faculty (p=0.029) and residence (p=0.023) variables. More appropriate knowledge reported by medical students. A weak positive association (ϕ=0.011) was observed between faculty variable and the response of the students.

3.2 Question 2: Noninvasive Ventilation Is the Best Choice to Increase Life Expectancy of ALS patients

A statistically significant difference was observed between the response of question 2 and faculty (p=0.007), year of education (p=0.031) and residence (p=0.015) variables. More appropriate knowledge reported by medical students. A moderate positive association (ϕ=0.023) was observed between faculty variable and the response of the students.
### Table 1. Knowledge of question 1 N (%)  

| Variables             | Incorrect     | Correct      | P-value | Effect size |
|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|---------|-------------|
| **Gender**            |               |              |         |             |
| Male                  | 16 (18.8)    | 69 (81.2)    | 0.067   |             |
| Female                | 31 (16.9)    | 152 (83.1)   |         |             |
| **Race**              |               |              |         |             |
| Malay                 | 0 (0.0)      | 2 (100.0)    | 0.059   |             |
| Chinese               | 34 (17.9)    | 156 (82.1)   |         |             |
| Indian                | 13 (17.3)    | 62 (82.7)    |         |             |
| Others                | 0 (0.0)      | 1 (100.0)    |         |             |
| **Faculty**           |               |              |         | 0.029       |
| Medical               | 12 (14.5)    | 71 (85.5)    |         | 0.11        |
| Pharmacy              | 21 (21.0)    | 79 (79.0)    |         |             |
| Dental                | 14 (16.5)    | 71 (83.5)    |         |             |
| **Age**               |               |              |         | 0.874       |
| 20-25                 | 47 (17.9)    | 215 (82.1)   |         |             |
| 26-30                 | 0 (0.0)      | 5 (100.0)    |         |             |
| More than 30          | 0 (0.0)      | 1 (100.0)    |         |             |
| **Year of Education** |               |              |         | 0.054       |
| Year 3                | 15 (15.8)    | 80 (84.2)    |         |             |
| Year 4                | 25 (19.2)    | 105 (80.8)   |         |             |
| Year 5                | 7 (16.3)     | 36 (83.7)    |         |             |
| **Residence**         |               |              |         | 0.023       |
| Hostellers            | 37 (20.0)    | 148 (80.0)   |         | 0.009       |
| Non-hostellers        | 10 (12.0)    | 73 (88.0)    |         |             |
| **Education Background** |          |              |         | 0.762       |
| A Level               | 1 (14.3)     | 6 (85.7)     |         |             |
| Diploma               | 1 (20.0)     | 4 (80.0)     |         |             |
| Foundation            | 42 (18.3)    | 188 (81.7)   |         |             |
| STPM                  | 3 (11.5)     | 23 (88.5)    |         |             |

*Fig. 1. Demographic information of students*
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Table 2. Knowledge of question 2 N (%)

| Variables        | Incorrect | Correct | P-value | Effect size |
|------------------|-----------|---------|---------|-------------|
| Gender           |           |         |         |             |
| Male             | 35 (41.2) | 50 (58.8)| 0.762   |             |
| Female           | 76 (41.5) | 107 (58.5)|        |             |
| Race             |           |         |         |             |
| Malay            | 1 (50.0)  | 1 (50.0) | 0.549   |             |
| Chinese          | 78 (41.1) | 112 (58.9)|        |             |
| Indian           | 31 (41.3) | 44 (58.7) |         |             |
| Others           | 1 (100.0) | 0 (0.0)  |         |             |
| Faculty          |           |         |         | 0.007       | 0.023       |
| Medical          | 31 (37.3) | 52 (62.7) |         |             |
| Pharmacy         | 52 (52.0) | 48 (48.0) |         |             |
| Dental           | 28 (32.9) | 57 (67.1) |         |             |
| Age              |           |         |         | 0.984       |             |
| 20-25            | 111 (42.4)| 151 (57.6)|        |             |
| 26-30            | 0 (0.0)   | 5 (100.0)|         |             |
| More than 30     | 0 (0.0)   | 1 (100.0)|         |             |
| Year of Education|           |         |         | 0.031       | 0.018       |
| Year 3           | 49 (51.6) | 46 (48.4) |         |             |
| Year 4           | 51 (39.2) | 79 (60.8) |         |             |
| Year 5           | 11 (25.6) | 32 (74.4) |         |             |
| Residence        |           |         |         | 0.015       | 0.008       |
| Hostellers       | 84 (45.4) | 101 (54.6)|        |             |
| Non-hostellers   | 27 (32.5) | 56 (67.5) |         |             |
| Education        |           |         |         | 0.812       |             |
| Background       | 4 (57.1)  | 3 (42.9)  |         |             |
| A Level          | 3 (60.0)  | 2 (40.0)  |         |             |
| Diploma          | 96 (41.7) | 134 (58.3)|         |             |
| Foundation       | 8 (30.8)  | 18 (69.2) |         |             |

3.3 Question 3: Protein Mishandling is One of the Dominant Causes of ALS

A statistically significant difference was observed between the response of question 2 with the year of education (p=0.028) and residence (p=0.022) variables. More appropriate knowledge reported by year 5 students. A weak positive association (ϕ=0.013) was observed between faculty variable and the response of the students.

3.4 Question 4: Electromyography is Very Effective in Diagnosis of ALS

A statistically significant difference was observed between the response of question 4 with faculty (p=0.024) and year of education (p=0.039) variables. More appropriate knowledge reported by medical students. A weak positive association (ϕ=0.019) was observed between faculty variable and the response of the students.

3.5 Question 5: ALS is Not a Contagious Disease

A statistically significant difference was observed between the response of question 5 and faculty (p=0.004), year of education (p=0.011) and residence (p=0.018) variables. More appropriate knowledge reported by medical students. A strong positive association (ϕ=0.239) was observed between faculty variable and the response of the students.

4. DISCUSSION

The current study is the innovative study that was conducted in a Malaysian university to appraises the knowledge of students from the medical, pharmacy and dental faculties regarding ALS. The results of the current study showed that a statistically significant effect was found between the response of question regarding the awareness about ALS and its management with
faculty (p=0.029) and residence (p=0.023) variables. More appropriate knowledge reported by medical students. A weak positive association ($\phi=0.011$) was observed between faculty variable and the response of the students. The results of the current study were in line with a study conducted in Malaysia by Iqbal and colleagues. The drug-related knowledge and clinical skills were more appropriate in medical students than the pharmacy and dental students [13].

The findings of the current study showed that statistically significant differences were observed between the response of question regarding the Noninvasive ventilation is the best choice to increase life expectancy of ALS patients. A statistically significant difference was observed between the response of question and faculty (p=0.007), year of education (p=0.031) and residence (p=0.015) variables. More appropriate knowledge reported by medical students. A moderate positive association ($\phi=0.023$) was observed between faculty variable and the response of the students. The results of the current study were different from the study conducted in a university in Malaysia, according to which the final year students had better knowledge as compared to pre-final year students [15].

A statistically significant difference was observed between the response of question regarding Protein mishandling is one of the dominant causes of ALS with the year of education (p=0.028) and residence (p=0.022) variables. More appropriate knowledge reported by year 5 students. A weak positive association ($\phi=0.013$) was observed between faculty variable and the response of the students. The results of the current study was in line with a study conducted in a university in Malaysia, according to which the final year students pad better knowledge as compared to pre-final year students [15].

### Table 3. Knowledge of question 3 N (%)

| Variables          | Incorrect | Correct | P-value | Effect size |
|--------------------|-----------|---------|---------|-------------|
| **Gender**         |           |         |         |             |
| Male               | 33 (38.8) | 52 (61.2)| 0.341   |             |
| Female             | 68 (37.2) | 115 (62.8)|        |             |
| **Race**           |           |         |         |             |
| Malay              | 1 (50.0)  | 1 (50.0) | 0.653   |             |
| Chinese            | 77 (40.5) | 113 (59.5)|        |             |
| Indian             | 22 (29.3) | 53 (70.7) |         |             |
| Others             | 1 (100.0) | 0 (0.0)  |         |             |
| **Faculty**        |           |         |         |             |
| Medical            | 33 (39.8) | 50 (60.2) | 0.071   |             |
| Pharmacy           | 38 (38.0) | 62 (62.0) |         |             |
| Dental             | 30 (35.3) | 55 (64.7) |         |             |
| **Age**            |           |         |         |             |
| 20-25              | 101 (38.5)| 161 (61.5) | 0.344   |             |
| 26-30              | 0 (0.0)   | 5 (100.0) |         |             |
| More than 30       | 0 (0.0)   | 1 (100.0) |         |             |
| **Year of Education** |       |         |         |             |
| Year 3             | 38 (40.0) | 57 (60.0) | 0.028   | 0.013       |
| Year 4             | 48 (36.9) | 82 (63.1) |         |             |
| Year 5             | 15 (34.9) | 28 (65.1) |         |             |
| **Residence**      |           |         |         |             |
| Hostellers         | 65 (35.1) | 120 (64.9) | 0.022   | 0.009       |
| Non-hostellers     | 36 (43.4) | 47 (56.6) |         |             |
| **Education Background** | | | |   |
| A Level            | 2 (28.6)  | 5 (71.4)  |         |             |
| Diploma            | 2 (40.0)  | 3 (60.0)  |         |             |
| Foundation         | 87 (37.8) | 143 (62.2)|         |             |
| STPM               | 10 (38.5) | 16 (61.5) |         |             |
Table 4. Knowledge of question 4 N (%)

| Variables          | Incorrect | Correct | P-value | Effect size |
|--------------------|-----------|---------|---------|-------------|
| Gender             |           |         |         |             |
| Male               | 14 (16.5) | 71 (83.5) | 0.258   | -           |
| Female             | 31 (16.9) | 152 (83.1) |         |             |
| Race               |           |         |         |             |
| Malay              | 1 (50.0)  | 1 (50.0)  | 0.349   | -           |
| Chinese            | 36 (18.9) | 154 (81.1) |         |             |
| Indian             | 8 (10.7)  | 67 (89.3)  |         |             |
| Others             | 0 (0.0)   | 1 (100.0) |         |             |
| Faculty            |           |         | 0.024   | 0.019       |
| Medical            | 12 (14.5) | 71 (85.5)  |         |             |
| Pharmacy           | 20 (20.0) | 80 (80.0)  |         |             |
| Dental             | 13 (15.3) | 72 (84.7)  |         |             |
| Age                |           |         | 0.875   | -           |
| 20-25              | 44 (16.8) | 218 (83.2) |         |             |
| 26-30              | 0 (0.0)   | 5 (100.0)  |         |             |
| More than 30       | 1 (100.0) | 0 (0.0)    |         |             |
| Year of Education  |           |         | 0.039   | 0.008       |
| Year 3             | 21 (22.1) | 74 (77.9)  |         |             |
| Year 4             | 19 (14.6) | 111 (85.4) |         |             |
| Year 5             | 5 (11.6)  | 38 (88.4)  |         |             |
| Residence          |           |         | 0.051   | -           |
| Hostellers         | 28 (15.1) | 157 (84.9) |         |             |
| Non-hostellers     | 17 (20.5) | 66 (79.5)  |         |             |
| Education          |           |         | 0.482   | -           |
| Background         |           |         |         |             |
| A Level            | 3 (42.9)  | 4 (57.1)  |         |             |
| Diploma            | 36 (15.7) | 194 (84.3) |         |             |
| Foundation         | 4 (15.4)  | 22 (84.6) |         |             |

The current study reported that statistically significant difference was observed between the response of question about the Electromyography as very useful in the diagnosis of ALS with faculty (p=0.024) and year of education (p=0.039) variables. More appropriate knowledge reported by medical students. A weak positive association (ϕ=0.019) was observed between faculty variable and the response of the students. Similarly, the current study also reported the statistically significant difference was observed between the response of question regarding ALS as a not contagious disease with and faculty (p=0.011) and year of education (p=0.018) variables. More appropriate knowledge reported by medical students. A strong positive association (ϕ=0.239) was observed between faculty variable and the response of the students. The results of the current study are also in line with a study conducted in the past according to that the medical students had better knowledge as compared to pharmacy and dental students when the question was asked about the treatment recommendation of any disease [16]. There are a few study limitations. This study was only conducted at a single university whereby the findings of this study cannot be observed as findings of all of the institutes in Malaysia.

As the main stakeholder in the healthcare system of a country in future healthcare setups, healthcare students need to be well-trained in the provision of best healthcare facilities and proper treatment regimens to their patients and an excellent healthcare education enables them better understand drug-disease interactions and overall disease management [17-20]. Adopting evidence-based practices, optimal healthcare approaches, better disease knowledge are crucial for treating infectious diseases and maintaining patients’ overall quality of life especially in chronic diseases [21-24].
Table 5. Knowledge of question 5 N (%)

| Variables               | Incorrect | Correct | P-value | Effect size |
|-------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|-------------|
| Gender                  |           |         |         |             |
| Male                    | 28 (32.9) | 57 (67.1) | 0.174   | -           |
| Female                  | 64 (35.0) | 119 (65.0) |         |             |
| Race                    |           |         |         |             |
| Malay                   | 2 (100.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0.672   | -           |
| Chinese                 | 68 (35.8) | 122 (64.2) |         |             |
| Indian                  | 21 (28.0) | 54 (72.0) |         |             |
| Others                  | 1 (100.0) | 0 (0.0) |         |             |
| Faculty                 |           |         |         |             |
| Medical                 | 24 (28.9) | 59 (71.1) | 0.004   | 0.239       |
| Pharmacy                | 40 (40.0) | 60 (60.0) |         |             |
| Dental                  | 28 (32.9) | 57 (67.1) |         |             |
| Age                     |           |         |         |             |
| 20-25                   | 90 (34.4) | 172 (65.6) | 0.349   | -           |
| 26-30                   | 2 (40.0)  | 3 (60.0) |         |             |
| More than 30            | 0 (0.0)   | 1 (100.0) |         |             |
| Year of Education       |           |         |         |             |
| Year 3                  | 38 (40.0) | 57 (60.0) | 0.011   | 0.028       |
| Year 4                  | 40 (30.8) | 90 (69.2) |         |             |
| Year 5                  | 14 (32.6) | 29 (67.4) |         |             |
| Residence               |           |         |         |             |
| Hostellers              | 69 (37.3) | 116 (62.7) | 0.018   | 0.014       |
| Non-hostellers          | 23 (27.7) | 60 (72.3) |         |             |
| Education Background    |           |         |         |             |
| A Level                 | 2 (28.6)  | 5 (71.4) | 0.482   | -           |
| Diploma                 | 2 (40.0)  | 3 (60.0) |         |             |
| Foundation              | 78 (33.9) | 152 (66.1) |         |             |
| STPM                    | 10 (38.5) | 16 (61.5) |         |             |

5. CONCLUSION

The findings of the current study exhibited a mixed observations regarding the knowledge level of ALS among the studied cohort of the university students. The pharmacy and medical students had appropriate knowledge of ALS.
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