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ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: The current prevalence of the metabolically healthy obesity is about 3%. Genetic and nutrition are influencers of such phenotypes. The main goal of this study was to assess the interaction between Dietary Total Antioxidant Capacity (DTAC) and the genotypes of MC4R and Insulin resistance in metabolically healthy/unhealthy overweight and obese women in Iran.

MATERIAL AND METHODS: This cross-sectional study was conducted on 237 overweight-obese women with a mean age of 36. The value of Dietary total antioxidant capacity (DTAC) was calculated using the following indices: Total reactive antioxidant potential (TRAP), Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC), and ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP). The Metabolic health status was evaluated using the Karelis criteria. Melanocortin 4 receptor single nucleotide polymorphisms were determined by the restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) method. Also, insulin resistance was evaluated through homeostasis model assessment (HOMA).

RESULT: Our data noted that 72.96% of participants presented Unhealthy Metabolically and 26.94% Healthy Metabolically including 33.5% of the total had T/T genotype, 23.8% had the C/T genotype, and 42.5% had the C/C genotype (P= .05). A linear regression model test showed that the probability of metabolically healthy obesity was significantly higher in patients with the T/C genotype. The test value was statistically significant (95% CI: 0.000-0.001; P = .056, β = 0). No statistically significant relation was observed between study parameters and DTAC values. HOMA-Index was higher in all unhealthy subjects significantly.

CONCLUSIONS: The findings indicated that there are significant associations between genotypes of rs1333048 SNP and DTAC. The C/C genotype subjects with higher DTAC had a better lipid profile and were metabolically healthier.
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Introduction

The current global prevalence of obesity is 30% to 40% which lead to the onset and development of metabolic complications and chronic diseases such as insulin resistance, dyslipidemia, hypertension, inflammation, cardiovascular diseases (CVD), type 2 diabetes mellitus (D, M2) and eventually death.1-4

Interestingly 3% of the obese population do not have the burden of any metabolic disorder.5-7 This metabolically healthy obese group has a higher risk of coronary heart disease and cerebrovascular disease compared to normal-weight individuals without metabolic alterations.8-10 Although the mechanisms underlying obesity-induced metabolic alterations are still not clearly understood, evidence suggests that genetic predisposition and lifestyle factors can influence such phenotypes.11

Identification of genetic factors contributing to multifactorial obesity has been a necessity. Rare mutations in the melanocortin-4 receptor (MC4R), the most common single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) for obesity, were associated with lower energy expenditure binge eating, hyperphagia, and food-seeking behavior.12,13 The common SNP rs17782313 near the MC4R gene was significantly associated with higher intakes of total energy and dietary fat. Moreover, it was significantly related to the increased risk of obesity among subgroups of Europeans and East Asians, adults, and children.10,13,14
According to estimates, polymorphisms in this gene are responsible for 2.4% to 4% of significant obesity.26,27 There are also some variants in the MC4R gene, which may have preventive effects on obesity.18,19 Several variants in the MC4R gene have been identified, and rs17782313 polymorphism (C) is one of those frequently described.20–25

Findings suggest that the difference between the metabolically healthy obese (MHO) and metabolically unhealthy obese (MUO) phenotypes may be partly attributed to specific genetic traits modulating body fat distribution in different regional fat depots which distributed in different regional fat depots, held diverse biological properties and functions.17

On the other hand, oxidative stress plays an important role in the prevalence of metabolic complications. Dietary total antioxidant capacity (DTAC) is an indicator of diet quality and is typically used to estimate the cumulative effect of antioxidants in the diet overall. It can also provide insight into synergisms that may exist between diverse antioxidants in a mixed diet.18,19 Some previous studies have shown that higher dietary antioxidant intakes have favorable effects on metabolic disorders.26,27

The overproduction of reactive oxygen species may impair insulin signaling pathways and lead to endothelial damage. This causes insulin resistance and promotes acceleration of the atherogenic process.20,21 Meanwhile, IR is known to be a major risk factor for metabolic syndrome, obesity, type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases. Therefore, the assessment of insulin resistance (IR) is important due to its key role in the pathophysiology of listed diseases.22,23

The relationship between DTAC and MC4R polymorphism has been investigated in limited studies mostly with healthy people. To our knowledge, there have been few human-based studies in Middle East countries with different genotype structures and diet patterns, evaluating this relationship regarding MHOW-OB. With the high incidence of metabolic disorders in our country, this cross-sectional study aimed to assess the interaction between DTAC and MC4R and Insulin resistance in metabolically healthy/unhealthy overweight and obese women in Iran.

Materials and Methods

Study population

In this cross-sectional study 237 healthy overweight and obese women who were referred to community health centers of Tehran University of medical science, volunteers were randomly selected for the study based on the following inclusion criteria: (1) body mass index (BMI) 25 to 40 kg/m²—(2) aged 18 to 50 years old. The primary exclusion criteria included the following: (1) menopause (2) pregnancy (3) cardiovascular diseases (4) diabetes (5) Cancer (6) kidney disease (7) thyroid disease (8) acute or chronic diseases (9) use of dietary supplements for weight loss (10) follow up diet during the past year (11) use of lipid-lowering drugs (12) use of blood glucose-lowering drugs. This study was carried out following the recommendations of the institution's ethics committee (Ethical number IR.TUMS.VCR.REC.1398.619) with written informed consent from all participants. Based on the study protocol, we assessed demographic characteristics and anthropometric measurements. Demographic questions collect data about the characteristics such as age, medical history, taking medication supplements, and medical history.

Anthropometric assessment

For each participant, height, weight, as well as waist, and hip circumferences were measured. These measurements were made in compliance with WHO recommendations and the assessments were performed by an experienced nutritionist. The equipment used was a solar digital scale and a free-standing portable height meter. Participants were weighed without shoes and heavy outdoor clothing and weight was recorded to the last 0.2 kg. Standing height was measured with a precision of 0.5 cm without shoes. The body mass index, (BMI) is considered to be the best available population marker for monitoring trends in overweight and obesity. BMI was calculated by weight (kg)/height (m) squared.11

Dietary assessment and DTAC evaluation

Detailed dietary information was obtained by trained interviewers at the health centers in Tehran through the use of a semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) that was validated.28 The FFQ includes 147 items with a standard serving size commonly consumed by Iranians. Items are defined by a series of foods or beverages which are categorized into 9 major food groups. Completing a questionnaire aimed at capturing the total dietary intake usually requires 30 to 60 minutes. Food frequency categories “daily/weekly/monthly” were used for assessing the total dietary intake in which participants would be asked to report their consumption frequency of each food item. The reported frequency for each food item was then converted to a daily intake. Nutrient content of foods was computed by the Nutritionist 4 software based on the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) food composition table modified for Iranian foods.

The value of DTAC was calculated using the following indices: total reactive antioxidant potential (TRAP), Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC), and the ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP). Since no databases were available to calculate the quantity of antioxidants in Iranian foods, given the available resources, we chose databases that contained most of the foods that are consumed by the Iranian population. TRAP and TEAC values were obtained from published databases for Italian foods. For FRAP, we used a database developed by Halvorsen et al Total antioxidant capacity values for each food item in the FFQ were matched to an equivalent food in each of the databases. If any food was not directly matched with a corresponding food in a database, a proxy estimation was
used based on the mean value of similar food or the value of raw food as a substitute for the cooked food. DTAC for every participant was obtained by multiplying the daily intake of each selected food item by its corresponding antioxidant value per food portion and summing the final values. Antioxidants from supplements were not included in the calculation of DTAC. The DTAC values of the individuals were reported as micromole of Trolox Equivalents per day (μmol TE/day).

Biochemical analysis

Venous blood samples were drawn from the antecubital veins at 8:00 AM to avoid variations due to circadian rhythm and after a fast equal to or >12 hours. The samples were collected in 2 tubes, 1 containing sodium citrate and the other without anticoagulant. Samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 minutes at 4°C, and aliquots of plasma and serum were prepared for testing. Glucose, total cholesterol, HDL-C, and triglycerides levels were determined in serum through the semiautomatic chemical analyzer Ekem KontroLab. LDL-C serum concentration was calculated with Friedewald’s formula. Insulin resistance was evaluated through homeostasis model assessment (HOMA): HOMA-IR = insulin (μU/mL)/22.5. C-reactive protein (CRP) was measured using an immunoturbidimetric assay in a BN ProSpec nephelometer.

Definition of metabolically healthy and unhealthy phenotypes

Metabolic health status was evaluated using the Karelis criteria which were adapted for the present study. In the case of the Karelis criteria: Total cholesterol ≤200 mg/dL, Triglycerides ≤150 mg/dL (≤1.7 mmol/L), High-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) ≥50 mg/dL and no treatment, Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) ≤100 mg/dL (≤1.3 mmol/L) and no treatment, and HOMA-IR ≤2.8 and CRP ≤3.0 mg/L; with ≥4 criteria, comprised a diagnosis of metabolic health. Thus, participants were classified into 4 groups according to metabolic health: Metabolically Healthy Over-Weight (MHOW), Metabolically Unhealthy Over-Weight (MUOW), Metabolically Healthy Obese (MHO), and Metabolically Unhealthy Obese (MUO). The Genotype determination

The MC4R rs17700633 and rs17700634 SNPs. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed on the rs17782313 and rs17700633 SNPs using the following primers: 89 forward primer 5AGTTCTACCTAC CATGTTCTTGG-3 and reverse primer 5-90TTCCTCCTT CAAGCTTTTCTTGTCATTTTGAT-3. The PCR was carried out on a total volume of 20 μL, which included 10 pmol of each primer, 50 ng/mL of DNA, and 1 M of dimethyl sulfoxide. The amplification protocol consisted of a primary denaturation step at 94°C for 5 minutes, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 60°C for 1 minute, annealing at 94°C for 45 seconds, and extension at 72°C for 1 minute, and final extension at 72°C for 10 minutes. To asuslute the SNPs rs4588 (Asp, 416, Glu) and rs7041 (Thr, 420, Lys), StyI and HaeIII enzymes were used as follows: for HaeIII, PCR product 5 μL, HaeIII 1 μL, Buffer Y. Tango 10 × 1 μL, and distilled water (D.W.) 8 μL, and for StyI, PCR product 5 μL, StyI 1 μL, Buffer Y. Tango 10 × 1 μL, and D.W. 8 μL. The digestion products were stained with ethidium bromide on a 2% agarose gel and imaged. Ten percent of the samples were directly sequenced for confirmation of the PCR-RFLP results. The sequencing process was performed using the ABI PRISM 3730 automated sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The fragments containing 3 genotypes were distinguished: CC, CT, and TT.

Statistical analyses

Data and statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS 20 statistical package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The sample size was estimated using a binary logistic equation. Normal data distribution was determined using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. A general linear model and ANOVA were used to assess biochemical measurements and characteristics differences between MHO and MUO groups, DTAC and rs7041, and haplotype groups. A general linear model adjusted for MC4R, DTACT as confounder effects was used. An independent-samples t-test was used to assess differences between the 3 rs4588 groups in biochemical measurements and characteristics. Coding of the SNPs was performed using an additive model. The study population characteristics are reported as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical significance was defined as P ≤ .05 for all analyses.

Results

The mean age of the participants was 36.23 ± 8.2 years, the youngest woman was 18 years old and the oldest was 50 years. The characteristics of participants are summarized in Table 1. The mean BMI was 30.69 ± 3.69 kg/m². Our data noted that 43.4% of participants presented MUOB, 6.3% MHOB, 29.5% MUOW, and MHO 20.6%, according to Karelis criteria. As expected, subjects in the Metabolically Unhealthy groups had higher BMI, TG, LDL-c, HOMA-Index, Insulin, and lower HDL-c levels than those in the Metabolically Healthy groups (Table 2). Remarkably, the
HDL_c values were lower and HOMA-Index was higher in all unhealthy subjects in comparison with healthy subjects\( (P < .05)\).

The mean DTAC level calculated in our study was 1167.28 ± 764.66 mEq. The levels of selected metabolic parameters were assessed depending on the tested DTAC. Although TG, LDL, HOMA-INDEX values were inversely related to DTAC values, no statistically significant relation was observed between them (with \( P = .807, P = .758, P = .909 \) respectively) (Table 3).

The T/T genotype was found in 33.5% of the total, 23.8% had the C/T genotype, and 42.5% had the C/C genotype. There was a statically significant association between MC4R genotype and Insulin\( (P < .041) \) and HOMA-Index\( (P < .022) \). Likewise, the T/C genotype had higher TG, LDL_c and HOMA-index compared to 2 other genotypes although it was not statistically significant (Table 4).

To assess the relationship between particular polymorphisms and the incidence of MUO and MHO more accurately, a linear model was applied (Table 5). Three models were tested: model (1)—crude data, model (2)—data adjusted for MC4R, and model (3)—data adjusted for DTAC. The probability of MHO was higher in patients with the T/C genotype. The test value was statistically significant (95% CI: 0.000-0.001; \( P = .056, \beta = 0 \)). Significant interactions were observed between DTAC value and rs17782313 SNP in terms of metabolic health (Figure 1).

Discussion

Results of this cross-sectional study showed that 26.9% of participants are metabolic health phenotype. This figure was higher than other studies which had been reported to be 2% to 3% according to Karelis criteria\(^6,10\). Furthermore, we observed that the prevalence of MC4R SNPs in this group was similar to the results of the Mollahosseini et al study with 52.85% of the C allele in Iranian\(^3\). The prevalence of the T/T genotype was 39.0 in the European study\(^19\).

Moreover, results showed that the probability of MHO/OB was higher in patients with the T/C genotype. In another study, MHO diagnosed based on the Karelis was observed in 39.3% of women with the T/T genotype which agrees with our results\(^24\).

The most remarkable result of this study was providing evidence for a significant effect between DTAC level and MC4R polymorphism. The C/C genotype subjects with lower DTAC were metabolically unhealthy. Conversely, those carrying T/C genotype with lower DTAC values were metabolically healthy. It had observed that women with the C/X genotype had higher levels of total cholesterol, TG, LDL-C, and apolipoprotein B\(^24\). Studies showed that higher DTAC values affected obesity-related disorders prevention\(^10,25\).

Furthermore, we observed that TG, LDL, HOMA-Index values were inversely related to DTAC values, but no statistically significant relation was found. Similarly in another study, high DTAC was significantly associated with a reduced odds ratio for the prevalence of MetS components\(^30\). Whereas, the findings of the longitudinal Tehran Lipid and Glucose study showed that higher DTAC was positively associated with a lower occurrence of Metabolic complications hence being healthy\(^26\). The European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition study showed that the DTAC may play an important role in reducing the risk of diabetes in women\(^31\).

There was also a close connection between this gene’s SNP and an increased BMI \( (P = .002) \). Interestingly, some studies showed that the BMI of the C allele carriers was higher by 0.2 kg.m\(^2\) on average\(^7,13\). Comparable results were reported by German authors, who claimed that the presence of the C allele entailed a considerably higher BMI \( (P = .0013) \). They showed that SNPs had an impact on the total mass of adipose tissue \( (P = .022) \)\(^19,24\).

In line with previous studies, we observed that there was a statistically significant association between the MC4R genotypes and Insulin and HOMA-Index\(^6,10\). Tschritter et al\(^12\) study demonstrated that in subjects carrying the rare allele of rs17782313 in the homozygous (TC) or the heterozygous form (CC), the insulin response of the brain was significantly reduced as compared to carriers of the wild-type allele (TT). Thus, insulin resistance may be one mechanism through which the MC4R polymorphisms affect the metabolism of people and be associated with being metabolically healthy and obese which decrease with dietetic interventions\(^33\).

MC4R SNPs phenotypic expression is influenced by lifestyle factors, such as diet and physical activity\(^24\). The C/C genotypes with higher DTAC whilst had lower TG, LDL_c and HOMA-index were metabolically healthier. Unexpectedly, results showed that the T/C genotype subjects with lower

**Table 1. Characteristics of the study participants.**

|                   | MEAN ± SD | MIN | MAX  |
|-------------------|-----------|-----|------|
| Age (y)           | 36.23 ± 8.22 | 18  | 50   |
| BMI (kg/m²)       | 30.69 ± 3.69  | 25.00 | 40.70 |
| WHR               | 0.93 ± 0.05   | 0.81 | 1.08 |
| TG (mg/dL)        | 120.94 ± 68.69| 37.00 | 512.00|
| HDL (mg/dL)       | 46.36 ± 10.58 | 18.00 | 82.00 |
| LDL (mg/dL)       | 94.42 ± 23.81 | 34.00 | 156.00|
| Insulin (µIU/mL)  | 15.56 ± 6.02  | 6.67 | 65.89 |
| HOMA index        | 3.40 ± 1.52   | 1.29 | 16.59 |
| DTAC (mmol TE/100g)| 1167.28 ± 764.66| 9.11 | 4179.96|

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; Min, minimum; Max, maximum; BMI, body mass index; HDL, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HOMA, homeostatic model assessment; TG, triglycerides; DTAC, Dietary total antioxidant capacity.
Table 2. The metabolic characteristics of healthy and unhealthy groups.

| VARIABLE    | MH-OW       | MU-OW       | MHO          | MUO          | GROUPS      |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| BMI (kg/m²) | 27.55 ± 1.60| 27.72 ± 1.36| 32.42 ± 2.80 | 33.33 ± 2.69 | Mean ± SD   |
| HDL (mg/dL) | 80.20 ± 26.50| 117.05 ± 49.38| 80.00 ± 20.84 | 149.13 ± 84.10 | Mean ± SD   |
| TG (mg/dL)  | 51.69 ± 9.97| 43.98 ± 8.05| 53.00 ± 7.33 | 44.47 ± 11.60 | Mean ± SD   |
| LDL (mg/dL) | 86.10 ± 19.84| 95.97 ± 22.93| 81.75 ± 18.77 | 99.73 ± 25.06 | Mean ± SD   |
| Insulin (µIU/mL) | 11.73 ± 3.62 | 15.92 ± 4.68 | 12.33 ± 3.92 | 17.67 ± 7.13 | Mean ± SD   |
| HOMA index  | 2.45 ± 0.85 | 3.38 ± 1.03 | 2.52 ± 0.89 | 3.96 ± 1.84 | Mean ± SD   |

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; MH-OW/BMI, body mass index; HDL, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HOMA, homeostatic model assessment; TG, triglycerides; MH-OW, metabolically healthy overweight; MU-OW, metabolically unhealthy overweight; MHO, metabolically healthy obesity; MUO, metabolically healthy overweight, (P ≤ .05).

Table 3. The mean ± SD of metabolic values in DTAC tertile groups.

| VARIABLES    | DTAC TERTILE | MEAN ± SD | P-VALUE |
|--------------|--------------|-----------|---------|
| BMI (kg/m²)  | Low          | 30.32 ± 3.59 | .61     |
|              | Median       | 30.87 ± 3.42 |         |
|              | High         | 30.68 ± 3.92 |         |
|              | Total        | 30.62 ± 3.64 |         |
| TG (mg/dL)   | Low          | 122.86 ± 72.58 | .80    |
|              | Median       | 117.78 ± 73.38 |       |
|              | High         | 115.12 ± 67.06 |       |
|              | Total        | 118.51 ± 70.67 |       |
| HDL (mg/dL)  | Low          | 47.17 ± 10.52 | .75     |
|              | Median       | 45.92 ± 10.77 |         |
|              | High         | 47.12 ± 11.73 |         |
|              | Total        | 46.75 ± 11.00 |         |
| LDL (mg/dL)  | Low          | 93.45 ± 24.74 | .97     |
|              | Median       | 92.75 ± 22.51 |         |
|              | High         | 92.64 ± 23.04 |         |
|              | Total        | 92.94 ± 23.33 |         |

(Continued)
The limitation of the study was the small sample that it was not possible to examine the exact odds ratios. Hence, it is suggested that other scientists perform similar studies with larger sample sizes and case-control method. Another limitation was the homogender and cross-sectional method of study.

**Conclusion**

The evidence from this study indicated that the C/C genotype people which had lower HOMA-Index and higher DTAC were metabolically healthier. Our research highlighted the importance of lifestyle modification, as the consumption of an antioxidant-rich diet to prevent metabolic complications and so well-being. Additionally, it is suggested that to consider the genetics which will allow therapeutic focused interventions.
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