Tomato yield and soil chemical attributes depending on previous cover crops
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ABSTRACT
The influence of different cover crops was evaluated over the agronomic performance of tomato hybrids for industrial processing, as well as its effect over soil chemical attributes. The experimental design was completely randomized, in a split plot scheme, with four replications. Main treatments (plots) were composed of winter cover crops (oat, hairy vetch, clover and radish) and of a fallow area (spontaneous vegetation). Subplots were composed of four processing tomato hybrids (AP529, AP533, Kátia and Sicílio). We evaluated the total production (TP), marketable production (CP), average mass of marketable fruits (AMCF) and number of marketable fruits (NCF). Chemical analysis of soil was done in two stages: one week preceding implantation of cover crops and in the phase of tomato implantation. Highest TPs were obtained in treatments in which cover crops were composed by hairy vetch and radish. However, although hairy vetch has caused an increase in TP, no difference between covers was observed in relation to CP. Sicílio hybrid presented the greatest AMCF, however, its TP was lower than expected. This fact is related to lower NCF, which was half of the observed in AP529 and AP533 hybrids. Radish cover increased phosphorus, calcium and potassium in soil and this could be one of the factors responsible for the increase of TP provided by radish. On the other hand, oat has caused inverse effect, reducing availability of Ca and K. All covers have increased organic matter in soil, the major increment being presented by oat. Based on these results we conclude that hairy vetch and radish are the most indicated plants for cover preceding tomato cultivation. Influences of different cover crops on tomato yield and soil chemical attributes depend on previous cover crops. Hortic. bras., v. 31, n. 1, jan. - mar. 2013.
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RESUMO
Produtividade do tomateiro e atributos químicos do solo em função do uso de plantas de cobertura antecendo o cultivo
Foram avaliadas diferentes coberturas de solo sobre o desempenho agronômico de híbridos de tomate para processamento industrial, bem como seus efeitos sobre atributos químicos do solo. O delineamento experimental foi em blocos casualizados, com quatro repetições, no esquema de parcelas subdivididas. Os tratamentos principais (parcelas) foram constituídos pelas plantas de cobertura de inverno (aveia preta, ervilhaca peluda, nabo forrageiro e trevo vesiculoso) e área em pousio (vegetação espontânea). As subparcelas foram constituídas por quatro híbridos de tomateiro rasteiro (AP529, AP533, Kátia e Sicílio). Foram efetuadas as seguintes avaliações: produtividade total (TP), produção comercial (CP), massa média de frutos comerciais (AMCF) e número de frutos comerciais (NCF). A análise química do solo foi realizada em duas épocas: antecedendo em uma semana a implantação das coberturas de solo e na fase de implantação do tomateiro. As maiores TPs foram obtidas nos tratamentos cuja cobertura do solo foi composta por ervilhaca e nabo. Contudo, apesar da ervilhaca ter proporcionado aumento na PT, não houve diferença entre as coberturas quanto a CP. O híbrido Sicílio apresentou maior AMCF, entretanto, sua TP foi abaixo do esperado. Esse fato está relacionado ao menor NCF, que foi a metade do observado nos híbridos AP529 e AP533. A cobertura nabo incrementou os teores de fósforo, cálcio e potássio no solo, podendo ser esse um dos fatores responsáveis pelo aumento de TP proporcionado pelo nabo. Em contrapartida, a aveia proporcionou um efeito inverso, diminuindo a disponibilidade de Ca e K. Todas as coberturas aumentaram a matéria orgânica do solo, sendo o maior incremento apresentado pela aveia. Com base nesses resultados pode-se concluir que a ervilhaca e o nabo são as plantas mais indicadas como cobertura de solo antecendendo o cultivo do tomateiro.
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they decompose quickly, decreasing the time of soil protection against erosion (Aita et al., 2001). In contrast, grasses are characterized by high C/N ratios in their straw, that results in lower decomposition rates, greater protection of the soil against erosive processes and lower N release rate (Aita & Giacomini, 2003).

Oats (Avena strigosa) is the soil cover crop most cultivated in South Brazil. It has several benefits, such as easy seed acquisition and planting, robustness and quick cover formation (Aita et al., 2001). However, N release from its residues is slow because of the high C/N ratio (Silva et al., 2007), that does not favor the initial development of the subsequent crop. In contrast, legumes such as hairy vetch (Vicia villosa) and clover (Trifolium vesiculosum) are alternatives to increase N availability in the soil for succession crops because they can biologically fix atmospheric N₂ by symbiosis with specific bacteria (Hooker et al., 2008). The radish (Raphanus sativus) is another species with great potential to increase nutrient availability in the soil because it is able to extract them from deeper layers (Silva et al., 2007).

The benefits provided by cover crop plants have been reported in several studies and in several crops, such as corn (Silva et al., 2007), broccoli (Burket et al., 1997), melon (Bhardwaj, 2006) and tomato (Abdulbaki et al., 1996; Campiglia et al., 2010) but there is little information about the winter cover crop preceding tomato cropping. Thus the objective of the present study was to assess different soil cover crops on the agronomic performance of processing tomato and their effects on soil chemical properties.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The experiment was carried out in the State University of the Center West (Unicentro), in Guarapuava, Paraná state, Brazil (25°23’01’’S, 51°29’46’’W, 1100 m altitude). According to Köppen, the climate of the region is the Cfb type (temperate, without a defined dry season, with cool summers and mild winters). The rainfall during the execution of the experiment was 1944 mm, minimum and maximum mean temperatures of 14.7 and 23.3°C respectively and relative humidity of the air 79.8%.

The soil in the experimental area is classified as typical clay textured acric red-yellow distrophic latossol (Embrapa, 2006) and presents the following characteristics at the depth of 0-20 cm: pH (CaCl₂) = 5.6; 3.0 mg dm⁻³ P (Mehlich 1); 0.57 cmol dm⁻³ K; 4.2 cmol dm⁻³ Ca; 4.0 cmol dm⁻³ Mg; 2.48 cmol dm⁻³ H+Al; and 4.16 g dm⁻³ organic matter.

A randomized block design was used with four replications in a split plot design. The main treatments (plots) consisted of winter cover crop plants (oats, hairy vetch, radish and clover) and a fallow area (spontaneous vegetation). The splitplots consisted of four processing tomato hybrids (AP529, AP533, Katia, and Sicilio). The plots measured 4x8 m (32 m²) and the splitplots measured 4x2m (8 m²).

The soil was prepared a month before sowing the cover crop by plowing twice. Later lime was applied at 1.10 t ha⁻¹ (PRNT 92%), in function of the soil chemical analysis (SCA). A light grading incorporated the fertilizers. The basic fertilization of the cover crops was made one week before sowing according to the SCA and CFSRS recommendations (1995), using 200 kg ha⁻¹ of the NPK 4-20-20 formula, 712.5 kg ha⁻¹ simple superphosphate and 487.5 kg ha⁻¹ potassium chloride (KCl). The species were sown by throwing, with sowing density equivalent to 60, 20, 20 and 10 kg seeds ha⁻¹ for the cover crops oats, hairy vetch, radish and clover, respectively. All the cover crops were desiccated with 400 g ha⁻¹ of the Paraquat active ingredient, 130 days after sowing (pre-flowering stage) and lodged by passing a wooden roller pulled by a tractor.

The seeds of the hybrids were sown on 128–well expanded polystyrene trays filled with commercial substrate and kept in a greenhouse for 35 days until they presented four or five definitive leaves. Basic tomato fertilization was applied before transplant, in the transplant row, using 500 kg ha⁻¹ NPK 4-30-10 formula, 730 kg ha⁻¹ triple superphosphate and 67 kg ha⁻¹ urea. The rows were mulched twice, the first at 30 days and the second at 50 days after transplant, with 183 kg ha⁻¹ triple superphosphate, 50 kg ha⁻¹ KCl and 78 kg ha⁻¹ urea at each mulching. The seedlings were transplanted to the field at 30 cm between plant spacing and 150 between row spacing, totaling 72 plants per plot and 18 plants per splitplot.

Pests and diseases were controlled with weekly spraying, alternating the following i.a.: Acephate (570 g i.a. ha⁻¹), Triflumurom (150 g i.a. ha⁻¹) Thiamethoxam (10 g i.a. ha⁻¹); copper oxichloride (500 g i.a. ha⁻¹), Metalaxy-M (3 g i.a. ha⁻¹), Mancozebe (45 g i.a. ha⁻¹) and Chlorotalonil (520 g i.a. ha⁻¹), respectively. Weeds were pulled and removed from all the plots. Spray irrigation was carried out in the dry periods.

The fruits were collected at 90, 97, 104 and 111 days after transplant. For total yield (TP) all the fruits were counted and weighed (t ha⁻¹). The fruits were classified in marketable production (CP) (normal and with slight defects, spotted and deformed) and non-marketable (serious defects) according to the norms reported by the Ministry of Agriculture and Agrarian Reform Decree 553/1995 (BRASIL, 1995). The TP was determined by the percentage of marketable fruits in relation to the CP. The mean fruit mass (g fruit⁻¹) was determined from the marketable production.

Soil samples were collected to compare the possible variations in the chemical attributes of the soil in function of the cover crop. The sampling was made in the 0-20 cm layer, with a sampling point in each splitplot. Four sub-samples formed a compound sample referent to the main plot that represented one cover crop. The SCA was made in the week the soil cover crop planted and in the tomato implantation phase.

The variables were submitted to analysis of variance (F≤0.05) and the means compared by the Tukey test (p≤0.05).
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The highest total yields (TP) were obtained in the treatment where the soil cover crops were hairy vetch and radish, with values of 90.11 and 80.00 t ha⁻¹, respectively (Table 1). However, the radish cover crop did not differ from the cover crop with clover, oats and fallow, that presented TP of 73.47, 69.98 and 71.15 t ha⁻¹, respectively. The beneficial effects of the hairy vetch are related to the greater capacity for nitrogen biological fixing (NBF) that increases the availability of this nutrient for the subsequent crop (Sainju et al., 2005). When correctly managed, it provides quantities of N equivalent to nitrogen fertilizer in areas without cover crop plants (Carrera et al., 2004). In addition, the hairy vetch low C/N ratio resulted in greater and faster cycling of nutrients brought up by the cover crop through mineralization (Brandsaeter et al., 2008). Increments in the tomato TP were shown in some studies that used the hairy vetch cover crop. Campiglia et al. (2010) observed approximate TP of 100, 86, 78 and 66 t ha⁻¹ for the cover crops hairy vetch, clover, fallow and oats, respectively. Abdulbaki et al. (1996) observed 32% increase in TP in tomatoes cropped after hairy vetch cover crop, increasing not only the TP but also the mean fruit mass.

The increase in the TP provided by the radish may have been related to high nutrient release, especially the macronutrients, in the initial decomposition period (Crusciol et al., 2005). Thus the initial development of the tomato was probably favored after this cover crop. Similar results were obtained with onion (Wang et al., 2008) and corn (Silva et al., 2007), that increased TP when planted after the radish crop.

Although clover has similar characteristics to hairy vetch, such as high FBN capacity and low C/N ratio, beneficial effects were not observed for the tomato for TP. This fact may be related to the slow initial establishment of the cover crop and low biomass production that therefore reduce nutrient cycling. Similar results were reported by Assmann et al. (2007), who observed the slow establishment of clover in the first year because the symbiotic bacteria were beginning colonization and establishing themselves in the soil.

Oats were less effective as a cover crop preceding the tomato crop because of the characteristics intrinsic to its biomass, especially regarding the C/N ratio. Contrary to the legumes, oat biomass has a slow mineralization process because the quantity of N available in the straw is not enough for the decomposing microbiota, that implies immobilization and decrease in the availability of certain nutrients for the subsequent crops (Aita et al., 2001). According to Aita & Giacomini (2003) only 40% of the N contained in oat residues are available in the first four weeks after the management.

The hybrid TP ranged from 51.85 t ha⁻¹ to 103.82 t ha⁻¹, and the hybrids AP529 and AP533 were outstanding, with 87.44 t ha⁻¹ and 89.83 t ha⁻¹ TP, respectively (Table 1). The TP presented by the AP529 and AP533 hybrids corroborated with the values observed by Seleguini (2005), who reported productivity above 97.0 t ha⁻¹ for these hybrids. Generally the tomato TP ranges

### Table 1. Influence of five cover crops over total and marketable production, average mass and number of marketable fruits from four processing tomato hybrids (influência de cinco coberturas de solo sobre a produtividade total, comercial, massa média e número de frutos comerciais de quatro híbridos de tomate industrial). Guaraí, UNICENTRO, 2010.

| Cover crop     | AP529          | AP533          | Kátia          | Sicilio          | Means  |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|
|                | Total yield (t/ha) |               |                |                 |        |
| Hairy vetch    | 103.82 a A      | 95.76 a A      | 85.48 a AB     | 75.37 a B       | 90.11 a|
| Radish         | 81.35 b AB      | 98.86 a A      | 74.51 b AB     | 65.27 b B       | 80.00  a|
| Clover         | 82.78 b A       | 89.67 ab A     | 69.58 b B      | 51.86 c B       | 73.47 b|
| Oats           | 87.30 b A       | 85.22 b AB     | 55.53 c B      | 51.85 c B       | 69.98 b|
| Fallow area    | 81.97 b A       | 79.62 b B      | 65.33 b B      | 57.69 bc B      | 71.15 b|
| Means          | 87.44 A         | 89.83 A        | 70.10 B        | 60.41 C         |        |

### Table 1. Influence of five cover crops over total and marketable production, average mass and number of marketable fruits from four processing tomato hybrids (influência de cinco coberturas de solo sobre a produtividade total, comercial, massa média e número de frutos comerciais de quatro híbridos de tomate industrial). Guaraí, UNICENTRO, 2010.

| Cover crop     | AP529          | AP533          | Kátia          | Sicilio          | Means  |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|
|                | Marketable yield (%) |               |                |                 |        |
| Hairy vetch    | 83.46 a A       | 78.77 ab AB    | 77.98 a AB     | 70.22 a B       | 77.36 a|
| Radish         | 85.67 a A       | 88.00 a A      | 79.61 a A      | 72.16 a B       | 81.36 a|
| Clover         | 84.64 a A       | 83.28 ab A     | 82.62 a A      | 76.84 a A       | 81.85 a|
| Oats           | 88.09 a A       | 82.09 ab AB    | 80.44 a B      | 72.52 a B       | 80.79 a|
| Fallow area    | 81.67 a A       | 72.29 b A      | 79.18 a A      | 75.54 a A       | 77.17 a|
| Means          | 84.70 A         | 80.89 A        | 79.76 A        | 73.46 B         |        |

### Table 1. Influence of five cover crops over total and marketable production, average mass and number of marketable fruits from four processing tomato hybrids (influência de cinco coberturas de solo sobre a produtividade total, comercial, massa média e número de frutos comerciais de quatro híbridos de tomate industrial). Guaraí, UNICENTRO, 2010.

| Cover crop     | AP529          | AP533          | Kátia          | Sicilio          | Means  |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|
|                | Mean mass of marketable fruits (g/fruit) |               |                |                 |        |
| Hairy vetch    | 75.11 a B       | 75.17 a B      | 76.64 a B      | 107.34 a B      | 83.56 a|
| Radish         | 72.73 a B       | 77.12 a B      | 65.57 a B      | 101.80 ab A     | 79.30 ab|
| Clover         | 74.98 a AB      | 80.21 a AB     | 73.77 a B      | 90.53 ab A      | 79.87 ab|
| Oats           | 82.56 a A       | 74.70 a A      | 72.52 a A      | 85.19 b A       | 78.74 b|
| Fallow area    | 65.47 a B       | 70.77 a B      | 72.43 a B      | 88.97 b A       | 74.41 c|
| Means          | 74.17 B         | 75.59 B        | 72.19 B        | 94.76 A         |        |

### Table 1. Influence of five cover crops over total and marketable production, average mass and number of marketable fruits from four processing tomato hybrids (influência de cinco coberturas de solo sobre a produtividade total, comercial, massa média e número de frutos comerciais de quatro híbridos de tomate industrial). Guaraí, UNICENTRO, 2010.

| Cover crop     | AP529          | AP533          | Kátia          | Sicilio          | Means  |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|
|                | Marketable fruits/ha |               |                |                 |        |
| Hairy vetch    | 469 a A         | 419 b A        | 373 a A        | 182 a B         | 361 b  |
| Radish         | 495 a A         | 589 a A        | 466 a A        | 257 a B         | 452 a  |
| Clover         | 480 a A         | 476 ab A       | 401 a A        | 230 a B         | 397 a  |
| Oats           | 496 a A         | 495 ab A       | 316 a B        | 232 a B         | 386 a  |
| Fallow area    | 520 a A         | 470 ab A       | 386 a B        | 261 a B         | 410 ab |
| Means          | 492 A           | 490 A          | 388 B          | 232 C           |        |

1Means followed by the same uppercase letter in the line and lowercase letter in the column, do not differ among themselves by the Tukey test (p<0,05) [médias seguidas pela mesma letra maiúscula na linha e minúscula na coluna não diferem entre si pelo teste de Tukey (p<0,05)].
from 52.0 t ha$^{-1}$ to 124.3 t ha$^{-1}$ (Resende & Costa, 2000; Aragão et al., 2004; Seleguini, 2005), and is therefore in agreement with the results of the present study. Nevertheless, when the TP was compared of the four hybrids with the national mean of the industrial tomato, that is from 75 and 80 t ha$^{-1}$, only the Sicílio TP was less.

Although hairy vetch increased the TP, there was no difference among the cover crops for marketable productivity (CP) except for the AP533 hybrid, in which the radish provided greater CP than fallow (Table 1). The Sicílio hybrid that presented greater TP with hairy vetch, did not differ for CP, indicating that the increase in the TP provided by the hairy vetch was of low quality fruits. The same was observed for the Katia hybrid that presented a greater TP when preceded by hairy vetch than by oats, but differences were not observed regarding the CP between the two cover crops. Neither was any difference observed among the cover crops for CP. Increase in the CP was expected with the use of leguminous plants, such as observed by Campiglia et al. (2010), where the hairy vetch cover crop increased the tomato fruit CP compared to the oats and fallow cover crops.

The cover crop plants did not influence the mean marketable fruit mass (MCFM) of the AP529, AP533 and Katia hybrids. In the Sicílio hybrid, hairy vetch increased the MCFM (107.3 g) but did not differ from the radish (101.8 g) and clover (90.5 g) cover crops. Although it is a less productive hybrid, Sicílio presented the greatest MCFM (94.76 g). This fact was related to the fruit shape, that is similar to the fruit of the Santa Cruz group, that can present fruits of up to 200 g (Alvarenga, 2004). Although the AP533 (75.59 g) and AP529 (74.17 g) hybrids presented lower MCFM compared to the Sicílio hybrid, they were within the expected for industrial tomatoes (Resende & Costa, 2000).

The Sicílio hybrid presented the greatest MCFM, but its TP was below the expected. This fact was related to the lower number of marketable fruits (NCF) that was the half the number observed for the AP529 and AP533 hybrids (Table 1). Fruit size is linked mainly to position in the inflorescence and the genotype, but it also depends on the total of the assimilates produced by the photosynthesis area and the number of fruits that compete for these assimilates (Cockshull & Ho, 1995).

The phosphorous (P) content in the soil increased in all the treatments due to the base fertilizer of the cover crop. Even so, using the radish cover crop increased the P content in the soil, making 13.47 mg dm$^{-3}$ P available in the tomato implantation phase. The calcium (Ca) and potassium (K) contents also increased with the radish cover crop, similar to that observed for P (Table 2). The increase in P concentration provided by the radish was related to the high capacity of the crop uptake these nutrients at depth. Thus, because the crop has a high concentration of these nutrients in the canopy and its crop residues degrade quickly after management, the nutrients return contained in the biomass of the plant and soil (Cruscio et al., 2005). The increase in nutrient availability may be one of the factors responsible for the increase in TP of the soil cropped with radish, providing beneficial effects for the subsequent crop.

If on the one hand the radish uptaken and released the nutrients after the end of the crop cycle, the oats had an inverse effect, decreasing the Ca and K availability. Two factors conferred this characteristic to the crop: high demand for these nutrients and slow decomposition rate of the crop remains due to the greater C/N ratio (35/1) (Aita et al., 2001; Giacomini et al., 2003).

The pH did not vary between the first and second SCA but compared to the cover crops in the second SCA, the soil
cropped with radish presented greater pH than the oats cover crop. These results may be because the residual material from oat straw is more difficult to decompose and tends to acidify the soil more because carbon dioxide is produced in the biological oxidation process of organic compounds, that react with water forming carbonic acid that dissociates releasing protons (H+) and can acidify the soil (Sousa et al., 2007). On the other hand, the high pH of the soil in the radish cover crop in the second SCA was attributed to the chemical constitution of the species, that favors fast deposition of the biomass and alkalinization of the soil. Similar results were observed by Amaral et al. (2004), who reported that radish residues were more efficient in soil alkalinization than hairy vetch and oats residues. These authors attributed this fact to the pH neutralizing capacity and to increase in Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ in the soil due to the characteristics inherent to the residues of the species.

All the cover crops increased the organic matter (OM) of the soil between the first and second SCA, with an increase of approximately 2 g dm$^{-2}$. Oats presented the greatest increase in the soil OM (13%) and this fact was related to the high production of plant mass provided by the oats (Giacomini et al., 2003) and the low decomposition rate (Aita et al., 2004), who reported that radish residues were those observed by Gonçalves & Ceretta (2007). Therefore the lower OM values observed in the radish, hairy vetch and clover treatments must have been associated to the fast mineralization according to the specific characteristics of each species.

The hairy vetch and radish cover crops increased industrial tomato productivity but did not increase the marketable production. The oats cover crop increased soil OM, that was not reflected in the productive increase of the tomato in the first cropping cycle. The radish cover crop preceding tomato cropping increased the availability of P, Ca and K to the crop.
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