Extubation in the pediatric intensive care unit: predictive methods. An integrative literature review

Extubação em unidade de terapia intensiva pediátrica: métodos preditores. Uma revisão integrativa da literatura

ABSTRACT

For extubation in pediatric patients, the evaluation of readiness is strongly recommended. However, a device or practice that is superior to clinical judgment has not yet been accurately determined. Thus, it is important to conduct a review on the techniques of choice in clinical practice to predict extubation failure in pediatric patients. Based on a search in the PubMed®, Biblioteca Virtual em Saúde, Cochrane Library and Scopus databases, we conducted a survey of the predictive variables of extubation failure most commonly used in clinical practice in pediatric patients. Of the eight predictors described, the three most commonly used were the spontaneous breathing test, the rapid shallow breathing index and maximum inspiratory pressure. Although the disparity of the data presented in the studies prevented statistical treatment, it was still possible to describe and analyze the performance of these tests.
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INTRODUCTION

Approximately 55% of children admitted to a pediatric intensive care unit (ICU) require mechanical ventilation (MV), and the intubation and extubation (EXT) of these patients are high risk and may be associated with increased morbidity and mortality. Several factors are related to this increase, such as the necessary ventilatory variables and the duration of MV. Despite the benefits of MV when properly indicated, its prolonged use can cause airway injuries, pulmonary infections, cardiovascular instability and complications resulting from immobility. Similarly, premature EXT can also be harmful because failure and the need for reintubation are associated with longer length of stay and cardiorespiratory and/or neurological impairments, which can result in long-term disability.

According to the latest update of the Brazilian Guidelines for Mechanical Ventilation, EXT failure is defined as the need for reintubation within 48 hours after removal of the artificial airway. In the pediatric population, it is estimated that the failure rate ranges from 16% to 22%.

The choice of the ideal time for EXT is a challenge and is usually made based on clinical judgment, based on the cardiorespiratory, neurological and hemodynamic status of the patient. Therefore, the use of a well-defined EXT readiness protocol is essential. The Pediatric Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome: Consensus (PARDS) recommends the performance of daily
evaluations of EXT readiness in pediatric patients, and the benefits of such evaluations have already been reported in the literature.\(^{1,15,16}\)

Until 2017, the Recommendations for Mechanical Ventilation of Critically Ill Children from the Paediatric Mechanical Ventilation Consensus Conference did not have consistent data that indicated the use of a device or test to predict failure that was superior to a clinical evaluation. Thus, there was no recommendation of any specific method to establish EXT readiness.\(^{17}\)

The objective of this study was to identify the predictors of choice in clinical practice to predict the success or failure of EXT in pediatric patients.

**METHODS**

This was an integrative literature review; it includes an analysis of relevant research that supports decision-making and improvements in clinical practices. Thus, it allows summarizing the state of the art of the subject, in addition to identifying the knowledge gaps that need to be filled by new studies.\(^{18}\)

Thus, the present study was conducted in the following steps: definition of the guiding question of the study, search, data extraction, analysis and synthesis of the results and data presentation.\(^{19}\)

The guiding question for the present review was “What are the predictive methods of choice in clinical practice to predict the success or failure of EXT in pediatric patients?”.

To answer this question, a search was conducted in the PubMed\(^{\text{®}}\), Biblioteca Virtual em Saúde (BVS), Cochrane Library and Scopus databases, from October 1, 2018, to October 31, 2018. The Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) “desmame do respirador”, “respiração artificial”, “extubação” and “pediatria”, in addition to their synonyms in Portuguese, and their English counterparts (“ventilator weaning”, “respiration, artificial”, “extubation”, and “pediatrics”), in addition to their respective synonyms, were used and combined using the Boolean operators AND and OR (Supplementary material). The present study was registered in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) under identification CRD42019122207.

The studies included were clinical trials (randomized or not) with longitudinal designs comparing different techniques for the evaluation of indications for EXT in the pediatric population. Articles in languages other than Portuguese or English that did not have a well-defined EXT protocol and studies conducted exclusively in neonates were excluded. In addition, studies that did not comply with the definition of failure provided by the Brazilian Guidelines for Mechanical Ventilation,\(^{10}\) i.e., reintubation within 48 hours, were excluded.

Two reviewers independently evaluated all articles retrieved in the search and excluded duplicate references using Mendeley software (Mendeley Desktop\(^{\text{©}}\), Version 1.19.4, 2008 Glyph & Cog, LLC). Next, articles that did not meet the eligibility criteria were excluded. At this stage, when comparing the search results, any differences between the reviewers were resolved by consulting a third author. A manual search was also performed in the bibliographic references of the included articles.

To better understand the nature of the publications, a data collection instrument was developed, in which the title, publication journal, year of publication, authors, sample characteristics (sample size, age, and diagnosis), study design, weaning techniques, EXT failure rate and objectives were recorded.

After the search, 10,036 articles were retrieved, and of these, 8,833 were excluded by assessing duplicates, 1,136 by reviewing the title and 49 by reading the abstract. After reading the abstracts, seven were excluded because they were descriptive studies, one because it was a case report, two because they were reviews and three because they did not use the definition of EXT failure established for our study. After reading the full articles and reviewing the references, a new study that met our criteria was included. Thus, six studies were included in this review. The phases of the selection process are summarized and presented in a flowchart (Figure 1), as recommended by the PRISMA group.\(^{20}\) After reading each of the selected articles, the following aspects were summarized: authors, country where the study was conducted, study design, number of participants, age, cause of intubation, chosen predictor and study objective.
RESULTS

Regarding the design of the studies included in this review, two were prospective cohorts,\(^{21,22}\) two were clinical trials\(^{23,24}\) and two were randomized clinical trials.\(^{25,26}\) Table 1 presents a summary of these articles, with information about the general characteristics of the studies: study design, sample size, cause of intubation and objective of the study. Table 2 provides the predictors used and most relevant results from each study.

### Table 1 - Summary of publications included in the integrative review

| References          | Country     | Study design            | Number of participants | Age                  | Cause of intubation      | Objective                                           |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Laham et al.\(^{21}\) | United States | Prospective cohort      | 319                    | Not specified        | 42% clinical              | To evaluate the practice of determining EXT          |
|                     |             |                         |                        |                      | 58% surgical             | readiness based on pre-EXT medical judgment          |
| Khemani et al.\(^{22}\) | United States | Prospective cohort      | 409                    | < 18 years           | 48.9% clinical            | To identify risk factors for pediatric EXT failure  |
|                     |             |                         |                        |                      | 49.1% surgical            |                                                     |
|                     |             |                         |                        |                      | 2% other                  |                                                     |
| Riou et al.\(^{23}\) | France      | Clinical trial          | 42                     | 1 month to 15.9 years| 83.3% clinical            | To evaluate VD/VT as a predictor of EXT failure     |
|                     |             |                         |                        |                      | 14.2% surgical            |                                                     |
|                     |             |                         |                        |                      | 2.3% trauma               |                                                     |
| Johnston et al.\(^{24}\) | Brazil      | Clinical trial          | 40                     | ≤ 12 months          | 100% clinical             | To evaluate the performance of an EXT               |
|                     |             |                         |                        |                      |                          | readiness test based on the SBT using PS           |
| Foronda et al.\(^{25}\) | Brazil      | Randomized clinical trial| 294                   | 28 days to 15 years  | 100% clinical             | To evaluate whether the combination of daily       |
|                     |             |                         |                        |                      |                          | evaluations and the SBT can shorten the             |
|                     |             |                         |                        |                      |                          | duration of MV compared to weaning based on a       |
|                     |             |                         |                        |                      |                          | standard of care                                     |
| Jouvet et al.\(^{26}\) | Canada      | Randomized clinical trial| 30                    | 2 to 18 years        | 40% clinical              | To compare the duration of automated MV             |
|                     |             |                         |                        |                      | 40% surgical              | weaning versus usual weaning                        |
|                     |             |                         |                        |                      | 20% trauma                |                                                     |
|                     |             |                         |                        |                      |                          |                                                     |

EXT - extubation; VD/VT - dead space/tidal volume; SBT - spontaneous breathing test; MV - mechanical ventilation.

### Table 2 - Predictors used and main results of the studies included in the integrative review

| References          | Predictors used | Main results                                                                 |
|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Laham et al.\(^{21}\) | SBT             | The success rate in the first attempt at EXT was 91%. The risk factors       |
|                     |                 | associated with failure were duration of MV (OR = 2.20; p < 0.0001), pre-EXT  |
|                     |                 | corticosteroids (OR = 2.4; p = 0.04) and post-EXT stridor (OR = 3.4; p < 0.01). |
|                     |                 | Ventilation index ≤ 8 was associated with failure in a patient with 1 day of |
|                     |                 | MV. EXT failure was associated with longer length of ICU stay and increased   |
|                     |                 | hospital costs; patients who failed stayed in the ICU 14 days longer (p <    |
|                     |                 | 0.0001), with a cost 3.2 times higher (p < 0.0001) than that incurred by     |
|                     |                 | patients with successful EXT                                                 |
| Khemani et al.\(^{22}\) | PiMax, P/PiMax, | Within 48 hours after EXT, 8.3% of patients were reintubated. The risk       |
|                     | RSBI, TTI       | factors for reintubation included lower PiMax, longer MV duration, UAO post-   |
|                     |                 | EXT, high post-EXT respiratory effort (PRP and TTI) and high post-EXT phase  |
|                     |                 | angle. Approximately 35% of the children had a PiMax < 30cmH2O at the time   |
|                     |                 | of EXT and were almost three times more likely to be reintubated than those    |
|                     |                 | with a PiMax > 30cmH2O (p = 0.006). The reintubation rate was higher in       |
|                     |                 | children with a PiMax < 30cmH2O and PRP > 1,000. In children who developed  |
|                     |                 | UAO, the reintubation rate was higher in those with a PiMax < 30cmH2O than   |
|                     |                 | in those with a PiMax > 30cmH2O (47% versus 15.4%; p = 0.02).              |
| Riou et al.\(^{23}\) | VD/VT           | NIV was used in four patients who developed RF after EXT, there was no       |
|                     |                 | reintubation. Children who required NIV had significantly higher VD/VT than  |
|                     |                 | those who did not undergo NIV (p < 0.001). The cutoff value for VD/VT was    |
|                     |                 | 0.55, and the area under the ROC curve was 0.86.                             |
| Johnston et al.\(^{24}\) | PiMax, RSBI, | EXT failure occurred in 15% of extubated children. There were no significant   |
|                     | load/force balance | differences in blood gas values or MV parameters between the EXT success and  |
|                     |                 | failure groups. There was a statistically significant difference between the  |
|                     |                 | groups for two risk factors: weight < 4kg and TV < 4mL/kg. The variables with |
|                     |                 | a large area under the curve were minute volume < 0.8mL/kg/minute and PiMax  |
|                     |                 | < 50cmH2O. The variables with a small area under the curve were load/force     |
|                     |                 | balance > 5 and RSBI > 6.7.                                                  |
| Foronda et al.\(^{25}\) | SBT             | The MV duration was shorter in the test group (3.5 days) than in the control  |
|                     |                 | group (4.6 days) (p = 0.0127 (95%CI)). This significant reduction in the test  |
|                     |                 | group was not associated with an increase in the EXT failure rate or the use   |
|                     |                 | of NIV post-EXT. It represents a 30% reduction in the risk of remaining on MV  |
|                     |                 | (risk rate of 0.7)                                                          |
| Jouvet et al.\(^{26}\) | SmartCare™      | The median weaning duration was 21 hours (range, 3 - 142 hours) in the        |
|                     |                 | SmartCare™ group and 90 hours (range 4 - 552 hours) in the usual weaning       |
|                     |                 | group (p = 0.007). The reintubation rates and the use of NIV post-EXT with    |
|                     |                 | SmartCare™ and in the usual weaning group were 2/15 versus 1/15 and 2/15      |
|                     |                 | versus 2/15, respectively.                                                   |

SBT - spontaneous breathing test; EXT - extubation; MV - mechanical ventilation; OR - odds ratio; ICU - intensive care unit; PiMax - maximum inspiratory pressure; PI - esophageal pressure; SSRI - rapid shallow breathing index; TTI - tension-time index; UAO - upper airway obstruction; PRP - pressure rate product; VD/VT - dead space/tidal volume; NIV - noninvasive ventilation; RF - respiratory failure; ROC - receiver operating characteristic; VT - tidal volume; 95%CI - 95% confidence interval.
In total, 1,134 children were evaluated, 405 (35.7%) of whom were male. Only one study (22) did not provide the sex of the participants. There was great variation in the size of the samples studied (n = 30 - 409). The age of patients included in the studies ranged from 28 days to 18 years; however, it was not possible to measure age as a common variable because of the differences in data presentation among the studies.

In the six studies, eight means of predicting EXT were identified: the spontaneous breathing test (SBT), (21,25) the ratio of dead space to tidal volume (VD/VT), (23) the rapid shallow breathing index (RSBI), (22,24) maximum inspiratory pressure (PiMax), (22,24) the ratio of esophageal pressure to PiMax (PI/PiMax), (22) the tension-time index (TTI), (22) load/force balance (24) and an automated weaning protocol. (26) The most commonly used methods to predict EXT failure were the SBT (2/6), the RSBI (2/6) and PiMax (2/6).

The reason for intubation was mostly clinical. Of the 1,134 patients included in the review, 129 were reintubated, i.e., the success rate was 91.2%, and failure rate was 8.7% (Figure 2).

![Figure 2 - Extubation success and failure rates reported by the studies included in the integrative review.](image-url)

**DISCUSSION**

The present study identified predictors of EXT, among which the most frequently used tools to predict EXT failure were the SBT, the RSBI and PiMax. The least commonly used methods were PI/PiMax, VD/VT, the TTI, load/force balance and automated weaning.

**Spontaneous breathing test**

The SBT, previously traditionally used in adults, has been applied in pediatric patients, without specific adaptations for this population. (27) It evaluates the patient’s ability to maintain spontaneous breathing by means of pressure support ventilation (PS), continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP), or a T-piece with oxygen delivery for 30 minutes to 2 hours. (26) In this review, two studies associated the performance of the test with the EXT outcome, and their results differed.

Foronda et al. (25) when implementing a daily SBT protocol in their patients, with a PS of 10cmH2O for 2 hours, observed a reduction in the duration of MV in children, without increasing the EXT failure rate or need for noninvasive ventilation (NIV), as previously described in adults. However, SBT is performed with some caveats in pediatric clinical practice. It is speculated that the smaller diameter of the endotracheal tube (ETT) used in these patients increases respiratory work due to the increase in airway resistance. However, the inspiratory resistance in children is already physiologically high (approximately 80 - 90cmH2O/L/minute), while with ETT, the resistance is incorporated into the existing high flows (approximately 15 - 20cmH2O/L/minute), i.e., the ETT resistance becomes irrelevant. (6) In addition, there is a new generation of VMs that are programmed to automatically compensate for ETT resistance.

In a study by Laham et al. (21) SBT was performed with PS or CPAP that maintained a VT between 5 and 7cm3/kg. It was performed at the medical discretion of 70% of patients. In this case, the clinical judgment in decision-making showed an EXT success rate of 90% without the SBT, compared to 91% for those who performed the SBT, i.e., its use had no impact on EXT outcomes.

This discrepancy in results may be attributed to the fact that the study by Foronda et al. (25) applied a protocol daily to all patients in the test group, unlike the study by Laham et al., (21) in which there was no standardization for the SBT.

Based on these findings, the protocol developed by Foronda et al. (25) is consistent, and its results stand out in our review.

**Rapid shallow breathing index**

The RSBI is the ratio of the respiratory rate (RR) to the TV adjusted by the weight in kg for the pediatric patient (RSBI = (RR/VT)/weight). (4) It is easy to apply and interpreter and is one of the most widely used and accepted clinical indices worldwide when evaluating adult patients. (28,29) Tidal volume should be measured during spontaneous breathing for 60 seconds using a respirometer connected to the artificial airway; thus, values < 105 cycles/L predict successful weaning in adults. (10) Its use in pediatrics is not well established because there is no cutoff value that can predict EXT outcomes. The RSBI, included in this study, was evaluated by Khemani et al., (22) who
reported that an increase in RSBI values was associated only with the use of elective or unplanned NIV. However, a cutoff value that defined this increase as a predictor of failure was not presented. For Johnston et al., values ≥ 6.7 cycles/minute/mL/kg were presented as risk factors for EXT failure; however, the test showed low sensitivity and specificity.

**Maximum inspiratory pressure**

The PiMax indicates the strength of the inspiratory muscles and is a simple, noninvasive and easy-to-apply resource. It can be measured by software available in a mechanical ventilator or by manometry. Its evaluation occurs at peak inspiratory pressure, between three and five respiratory cycles, and considers the highest value obtained in the measurements. In the pediatric population, it has been frequently used as a predictor test. In fact, several studies have developed equations for normal PiMax values based on age and sex. In intensive care, values < 50cmH₂O have been associated with EXT failure in the pediatric population.

Khemani et al. measured PiMax by manometry in pediatric patients; in that study, values ≤ 30cmH₂O were associated with reintubation. These values were similar to those reported by other studies that defined a cutoff point of < 30cmH₂O and < 32cmH₂O as predictors of EXT failure in pediatric patients.

Johnston et al. were the first to evaluate the accuracy of PiMax with a cutoff point of ≤ 50cmH₂O as a predictor of EXT failure in pediatric patients. Johnston et al. were the first to perform load/force measurements to predict EXT failure in pediatric patients and found significantly lower values in the group with successful EXT than in the group with failure, demonstrating that it can be an adequate predictor of EXT failure for pediatric patients because it incorporates both the imposed load and the response of the patient to this load.

**Load/force balance**

Load/force balance, which assesses the association between the load imposed on the ventilatory system and the ability of the inspiratory muscles to overcome this load, was first described in 2006 by Vassilakopoulos et al. for adult patients. A load/force value = 1 was defined as the cutoff point for successful EXT. This index uses mean airway pressure values during controlled MV and PiMax values and is obtained using the following formula: load/force = 15 × mean airway pressure/PiMax + 0.03 × RSBI - 5.

Johnston et al. were the first to perform load/force measurements to predict EXT failure in pediatric patients and found significantly lower values in the group with successful EXT than in the group with failure, demonstrating that it can be an adequate predictor of EXT failure for pediatric patients because it incorporates both the imposed load and the response of the patient to this load.

**Automated weaning**

In recent years, automated weaning strategies have been disseminated. With the modernization of mechanical
ventilators, ventilatory support has adapted to the needs of the patient. This safely reduces the duration of MV and delays in weaning.\(^{42,43}\)

Jouvet et al.\(^{26}\) compared the duration of automated weaning from MV with SmartCare™ PS (DrägerMedical, Lübeck, Germany) versus traditional weaning, and their findings corroborated the existing literature, showing a reduction in weaning duration in patients on MV.\(^{42,43}\)

Adaptive support ventilation divides weaning into three phases: respiratory comfort in MV, reduction in PS while maintaining respiratory comfort, and extubation readiness tests at the lowest level of PS.\(^{43}\)

Thus, although it is possible to describe the most commonly used predictive methods in pediatrics, there is no consensus on their applicability in these patients. This is an extremely important subject, but there is heterogeneity in the methodologies applied.

Some limitations of this study should be mentioned; for example, no instrument was used to analyze the quality of the articles. In addition, there were also limitations related to the studies included in this review. The qualitative analysis of the articles showed great heterogeneity in the methodologies used in the studies and in the definitions of EXT failure, in addition to disparities in the data collected, such as age, sex, length of protocol, diagnosis of the children included and variability in the MV devices used, hindering a statistical comparison of the studies.

**CONCLUSION**

Based on the findings of this review, the spontaneous breathing test, maximal inspiratory pressure and the rapid shallow breathing index were the predictive methods of choice to determine extubation readiness in pediatric patients. However, there is a lack of standardization of measurements and cutoff points for pediatric patients. Further studies should be conducted in this population using well-defined protocols to elucidate issues raised by the Pediatric Mechanical Ventilation Consensus Conference and thus promote scientific discussion for the standardization of these methods in clinical practice.
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**RESUMO**

Para a extubação orotraqueal em pacientes pediátricos, é fortemente recomendada a avaliação de sua prontidão. No entanto, a utilização de um dispositivo ou prática que fosse superior ao julgamento clínico ainda não foi determinada com exatidão. Assim, é importante realizar uma revisão sobre as técnicas preditoras de escolha na prática clínica para prever a falha de extubação orotraqueal em pacientes pediátricos. A partir de uma busca nas bases de dados PubMed®, Biblioteca Virtual em Saúde, Cochrane Library e Scopus, realizamos um levantamento das variáveis preditoras de falha de extubação orotraqueal mais comumente utilizadas na prática clínica em pacientes pediátricos. Dos oito preditores descritos, observamos três mais usados: teste de respiração espontânea, índice de respiração rápida e superficial e pressão inspiratória máxima. Embora a disparidade dos dados apresentados nos estudos tenha inviabilizado um tratamento estatístico, foi possível, a partir desse meio, descrever e analisar o desempenho desses testes.

**Descritores:** Desmame do respirador; Respiração artificial; Extubação; Criança
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