Recent technological developments [1, 2] have opened the possibility for the synthesis and characterization of high quality nano-structures, a recurrent problem in previous [3] experimental studies of superconductivity in the nanoscale region. As an example, it is now possible [4] to track experimentally the evolution of superconductivity in single, clean and isolated metallic nanoparticles of typical size $L > 5$ nm by using low temperature scanning tunnelling microscopy/spectroscopy. These advances have revived the interest in nanoscale superconductors. Theoretical studies on this problem were pioneered by Anderson [5] more than fifty years ago. At zero temperature the exact Richardson’s equations [6] provides a satisfactory account of quantum fluctuations and other deviations from mean-field behaviour in nanosuperconductors. At finite temperature the role of thermal fluctuations is well described by the path integral formalism [7]. In the limit of negligible disorder, FSE in conventional superconductors have been studied for different systems: an harmonic oscillator [8], rectangular nanofilms [9, 11], a nanowire [9], a sphere [12], and a chaotic grain [13, 14]. The main conclusions of these studies are that: a) FSE can enhance or suppress $T_c$ substantially provided that the superconducting coherence length $\xi$ is not much smaller than $L$, b) FSE are stronger the smaller and more symmetric the grain is, c) thermal fluctuations are controlled by the parameter $\gamma = \beta \sqrt{\delta/T_c}$ [5] where $\delta$ is the mean level spacing around the Fermi energy and $\beta \approx 1/2$. For $\gamma \ll 1$ long-range order still holds as only a narrow region $\sim \gamma T_c$ around $T_c$ is affected by thermal fluctuations. These conditions set the minimum $L$ for which enhancement of $T_c$ is observed. A natural question to ask, especially after the recent discovery of iron pnictides [15], is whether these results are of relevance for high $T_c$ superconductors. To the best of our knowledge not much is known about FSE in high $T_c$ materials. Cuprate nanowires [16] and carbon nanotubes [17] have been recently investigated experimentally for sizes where thermal and quantum fluctuations are dominant. We are not aware of theoretical studies of FSE in high $T_c$ superconductors (for a recent study on multiband superconductors see [18]). This paper is a first step in this direction.

We investigate superconductivity in clean, rectangular nanofilms of iron pnictides. All our calculations are in two dimensional geometries as it is believed that this is the effective dimensionality relevant for superconductivity in these materials. Iron-pnictides are more suitable than cuprates because, unlike cuprates, superconductivity in iron pnictides seems to be well described by a mean field approach based on Fermi liquid theory. This is a clear advantage as the ideas and techniques introduced in conventional nanosuperconductors are still applicable. Moreover FSE are easier to detect in iron-pnictides as its $\xi$ is larger than that of cuprates.

**Models:** We study FSE in two popular microscopic models of iron based superconductors: the minimal two band model of [19] and the five band model of [20]. It is important to investigate FSE in more than one model as, in these materials, there is not yet consensus about fundamental issues such as the mechanism for superconductivity (recent experiments [21] suggest spin exchange interactions) or the leading pairing symmetry (see [19, 20, 22, 23] for different proposals). First we introduce the two band model of [19]: the dispersion relation in the hole and electron band is given by, $\epsilon_h(k) = t_1^h (\cos k_x + \cos k_y) + t_2^h \cos k_x \cos k_y + \epsilon^h$ and $\epsilon_e(k) = t_1^e (\cos k_x + \cos k_y) + t_2^e \cos \frac{k_x}{2} \cos \frac{k_y}{2} + \epsilon^e$. Following [19, 22] we choose $(t_1, t_2, \epsilon)$ to be $(0.30, 0.24, -0.6)$ for the hole band and $(1.14, 0.74, 1.70)$ for the electron band. The bulk density of states is $N_0(0) = 0.74/eV$ and $N_e(0) = 0.285/eV$. Our results are robust to small changes of these parameters. Superconductivity can be investigated by a mean field approach with two order parameters, $\Delta_h$ and $\Delta_e$, related by the following self con-
sums above are restricted to energies of particle excitations and more than 50% is observed for $L_{\mu V}$.

Where, $\Delta(h,e) = \Delta(L) \cos(k_x) \cos(k_y)$, is studied in a BCS mean field fashion involving the five bands. FSE are investigated by solving exactly the mean field gap equations for a given geometry and $\omega_{AFM} = 80$ meV.

**Results:** Our first task is to compute $T_c(L)$ (see inset Fig. 1) numerically for the two models above. Calculations are restricted to the range $L \in [10, 40]$ nm in order to keep the effect of thermal fluctuations small. For each $L$ the chemical potential $\mu$ was computed keeping constant the electron density. For the sake of simplicity we present results for a square film with PBC. It will be shown that qualitatively similar results are also obtained for rectangular films and other boundary conditions (see Fig. 2).

The value of the coupling constants are set to lead to typical values of $T_c^{bulk} \in [30, 50]$ K in iron based superconductors. FSE are rather insensitive to small changes of these values provided that $T_c^{bulk}$ is still the same (see Fig. 2). For a more quantitative assessment of the typical maximum enhancement of $T_c$, we also plot $\delta T_c(L)/T_c^{bulk}$, the typical deviation of $T_c(L)$ from $T_c^{bulk}$ is obtained by evaluating the standard deviation of $T_c(L)$ in consecutive $\sim 3$ nm intervals where $T_c(L)$ is computed in steps of 0.04 nm. In Fig. 1 we observe that: a) the typical deviations with respect to the $T_c^{bulk}$ is quite large ($> 50\%$) in the $L \sim 10$ nm region, b) even for $L \leq 10$ nm and $T_c \approx 30$ K thermal fluctuations are still small since $\gamma \sim 0.3 < 1$. We note that in our case $\delta$ is the geometrical mean (see Fig. 2) of the mean level spacing in each band, b) $T_c(L)$ is an oscillating function of $L$ with local maxima $T_c(L)$ much higher than in the $T_c^{bulk}$ limit (see inset), c) FSE increase as $L$ or $T_c^{bulk}$ decreases, d) results for both models are similar.

In order to understand the origin of these features we
study I in the limit $V_{ee} = V_{hh} = 0$ (this is a reasonable assumption as inter-band pair hopping is known to be the dominant mechanism) where an analytical estimation of $T_c(L)$ is feasible by using semiclassical techniques 13, 24. For single band superconductors it was shown in 13 that, in the region in which mean-field techniques are applicable, non-monotonic deviations from the bulk limit are well described by simply replacing sums by integrals in the gap equation, I in our case, and extracting from the integral a modified DOS $N_{q}(0)$. Technically this modified DOS is expressed as a sum over classical periodic orbits of the grain with lengths smaller than $\xi$. An explicit expression for a rectangular film can be found in 24 and in the Appendix of the second reference of 13. In practical terms a similar result is obtained by simply smoothing the DOS $N(0) \sim \sum_{i} \delta(\epsilon - \epsilon_{i})$ over an energy scale $\hbar v_F / \pi t$ where $v_F$ is the Fermi velocity. It is straightforward to show that a similar approach in our case leads to,

$$T_c(L) \approx 1.136\omega_{AFM} \exp(-1/\lambda_{\text{eff}})$$ (2)

where $\lambda_{\text{eff}} = \lambda_{\text{bulk}} \sqrt{N_k(0)N_{k'}(0) / N_{q}(0)^2}$ with $\lambda_{\text{bulk}} = \sqrt{v_F N_k(0) V_{ee} N_{k'}(0)}$, and $\xi_{h(c)}$ stands for $\xi$ in the hole (electron) band.

Several comments are in order: a) fluctuations in $T_c(L)$ are caused by finite size, not thermal, fluctuations of the spectral density around the Fermi energy. In systems with a parabolic spectrum it is well known that level degeneracy induced by geometrical symmetries of the film (cube, sphere...) increase these fluctuations, b) (small) changes in $\lambda_{\text{eff}}$, due to FSE, induce (exponentially) large changes in $T_c$. Therefore small changes in the number of levels around the Fermi energy can lead to large changes in $T_c$. FSE are strongly suppressed for $\xi/L \ll 1$ since, in this limit, the discrete nature of the spectrum is completely smoothed out and $\lambda_{\text{eff}} \approx \lambda_{\text{bulk}}$. Since $\xi$ decreases as $\lambda_{\text{bulk}}$ increases, deviations from the bulk limit for a given size $L$ decrease as $T_c^{\text{bulk}}$ increases. This is what is observed in Fig. I.

The rest of predictions are now tested numerically for the two-band model I. More specifically we study the dependence of FSE on boundary conditions and the shape of the grain. In Fig. 2 (upper) we compare the typical deviation $\delta T_c(L)/T_c^{\text{bulk}}$ for two different rectangles and a square of the same total area. Deviations from the bulk limit are slightly larger in the square. This is due to the existence of a symmetry $k_x \rightarrow k_y$ in the spectrum of the square which is not present in the rectangle. As was mentioned previously, level degeneracy in the spectrum leads to stronger fluctuation in the number of levels around the Fermi energy and, according to 2, to larger deviations of $T_c(L)$ from $T_c^{\text{bulk}}$. We note however that, the difference between the two geometries is much smaller than in single band superconductors with parabolic spectrum. The reason for that is that the level degeneracy of a parabolic spectrum is much larger than the one $k_x \rightarrow k_y$ symmetry) present in the models we study. In Fig. 2 (lower) we compare $\delta T_c(L)/T_c^{\text{bulk}}$ for a square with PBC and Dirichlet boundary conditions including the effect of the matrix elements $I(k,k')$. It is observed that, for Dirichlet boundary conditions, relative fluctuations are smaller. This is due to the additional level degeneracy for PBC where eigenstates with opposite momentum have the same eigenvalue. By relative we mean that, as in the other cases, the standard variance is computed with respect to the local average $T_c$, not the $T_c^{\text{bulk}}$. As can be observed in the inset of Fig. 2 (upper), the overall effect of $I(k,k')$ is to enhance significantly 10, 13 the average $T_c(L)$. As a result, the absolute deviation from the bulk limit for Dirichlet boundary conditions is of the order or even larger than for PBC. In summary, in the range of $T_c^{\text{bulk}} \in [20,50]K$ typical
of iron-pnictides materials, substantial deviations from the bulk limit are observed for different models, shapes and boundary conditions for sizes $L \sim 10$nm for which thermal fluctuations are not yet important.

Finally we discuss to what extent is possible to observe experimentally FSE. In metallic nanograins the normalized differential conductance,

$$G(V) = \frac{1}{4T_kB} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega N_s(\omega) \left[ \frac{1}{\cosh^2 \left( \frac{\omega+V}{2T_kB} \right)} \right]$$

(where $N_s(\omega)$ stands for the superconducting DOS) is one of the most popular experimental observables as it can be measured by STM techniques. In Fig. 3 we depict $G(V)$ as a function of the bias voltage $V$ in the two models above for different sizes, but similar sizes. It is clearly observed that, in the nanoscale region, $G(V)$ is highly sensitive to both FSE and the model used. Small changes in the system size induce strong modifications in $G(V)$. This is another indication that it is in principle possible to observe and study experimentally FSE by STM techniques. More precisely we believe that, in order to compare our results with experiments, the following conditions must be met: a) Fermi liquid theory and mean-field techniques must be applicable, b) it is technically feasible to manufacture single grains or granular materials of size $L \sim 10$ nm for which thermal fluctuations are negligible but FSE are still relevant, c) the theoretical prediction for $\xi$ cannot be very different from the experimental one. Otherwise the experimental enhancement of FSE, which is controlled by the ratio $\xi/L$, will not occur in the range of sizes we are proposing. We have checked for the model of the averaged $\xi$ over the Fermi surface is consistent with the experimental one.

In summary, we have investigated FSE in superconducting iron-pnictides nanostructures. Within a mean field approach we have identified a region $L \sim 10$ nm in which FSE can enhance $T_c$ substantially and thermal fluctuations, which break long range order, are not important. Qualitatively similar results are obtained for different boundary conditions, system geometries and band structure. Experimental observation of these effects is in principle feasible as observables such as the differential conductance are very sensitive to FSE.
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