Inter-Annual Variability of Area-Scaled Gaseous Carbon Emissions from Wetland Soils in the Liaohe Delta, China

Siyuan Ye1,2, Ken W. Krauss3*, Hans Brix4, Mengjie Wei1, Linda Olsson4, Xueyang Yu1, Xueying Ma1, Jin Wang1, Hongming Yuan1, Guangming Zhao1, Xigui Ding1, Rebecca F. Moss5

1 Key Laboratory of Coastal Wetland Biogeosciences, China Geological Survey, Qingdao Institute of Marine Geology, Qingdao, 266071, China, 2 Laboratory for Marine Geology, Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology, Qingdao, 266061, China, 3 U.S. Geological Survey, Wetland and Aquatic Research Center, Lafayette, Louisiana, 70506, United States of America, 4 Aarhus University, Department of Bioscience and Sino-Danish Centre for Education and Research, Aarhus, C 8000, Denmark, 5 Cherokee Nation Technology Solutions, USGS Wetland and Aquatic Research Center, Lafayette, Louisiana, 70506, United States of America

* kraussk@usgs.gov

Abstract

Global management of wetlands to suppress greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, facilitate carbon (C) sequestration, and reduce atmospheric CO2 concentrations while simultaneously promoting agricultural gains is paramount. However, studies that relate variability in CO2 and CH4 emissions at large spatial scales are limited. We investigated three-year emissions of soil CO2 and CH4 from the primary wetland types of the Liaohe Delta, China, by focusing on a total wetland area of 3287 km2. One percent is Suaeda salsa, 24% is Phragmites australis, and 75% is rice. While S. salsa wetlands are under somewhat natural tidal influence, P. australis and rice are managed hydrologically for paper and food, respectively. Total C emissions from CO2 and CH4 from these wetland soils were 2.9 Tg C/year, ranging from 2.5 to 3.3 Tg C/year depending on the year assessed. Primary emissions were from CO2 (~98%). Photosynthetic uptake of CO2 would mitigate most of the soil CO2 emissions, but CH4 emissions would persist. Overall, CH4 fluxes were high when soil temperatures were >18°C and pore water salinity <18 PSU. CH4 emissions from rice habitat alone in the Liaohe Delta represent 0.2% of CH4 carbon emissions globally from rice. With such a large area and interannual sensitivity in soil GHG fluxes, management practices in the Delta and similar wetlands around the world have the potential not only to influence local C budgeting, but also to influence global biogeochemical cycling.

Introduction

Wetlands are particularly good locations for sequestering atmospheric carbon (C) through the uptake, transformation, and storage of CO2 into plant biomass [1–2]. While the vegetation in many wetlands have high rates of CO2 uptake from photosynthesis, anaerobic soils reduce the
rate of organic matter decomposition associated with live and dead root fractions, litter and woody debris deposited within the soil, and particulate organic C incorporated into the soil [3–5]. This balance is favorable for storing organic C, and thus potentially providing a natural atmospheric filter for CO₂-based greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) while simultaneously immobilizing C over long time periods. Indeed, high net primary productivity coupled with reduced decomposition of soil-associated C has jettisoned wetlands to the forefront of scientific curiosity and C legislation as the global atmospheric C pool rises and mitigation is explored [6].

Increases in CO₂ concentrations in the atmosphere are driven by increased cement production, fossil fuel emissions, and land use change [7], such that concentrations are increasing by 1.9 ppm/year, which equates to perennial increases of 8.6 Pg C/year [8] (1 Pg = 10¹³ Tg = 10⁶ Gg). Given that soil CO₂ emissions are approximately 68 Pg C/year [9], reducing annual soil CO₂ emissions from wetlands offer a potential mechanism to help offset atmospheric CO₂ loading. However, specific CO₂-reduction management regimes must be identified, targeted, and prescribed on a large scale. Also, management regimes must not facilitate emissions of more deleterious gases, such as CH₄. In fact, despite a much smaller increase in CH₄ emissions in recent years [7], CH₄ still accounts for 25% of the current global warming trend [10]. Wetlands account for approximately 20–25% of the global CH₄ emissions [11], and scientists and managers are facing difficulties amalgamating the vast number of studies targeting GHG emissions in wetlands [2, 12] to identify better hydrologic, vegetation, and soil management strategies on scales that make a difference.

From a C balance perspective, CO₂ is the primary molecular source of C into and out of the atmosphere surrounding wetland environments, nearly always being more important than CH₄ [12–13]. However, because CH₄ has a greater ability to contribute to global warming than CO₂, by a factor of 32 [14], a good proportion of wetland studies focus intently on CH₄ [15–18]. Thus, while wetlands do not emit greater amounts of CH₄ to the atmosphere than CO₂ [12], an incremental change in CH₄ emissions has a disproportionately stronger influence on global warming than a similar shift in CO₂ [12, 19]. Accordingly, a primary driver of emissions among GHGs over time is land use change and management [20–21].

Shifts in agricultural production of specific crops and irrigation strategies have become a focal point for GHG research in some regions of the world [22–23]. For example, in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta of California, USA, agricultural sites managed as drained (pasture, corn field) served as net ecosystem sources of C, emitting 341 g C/m²/year of CO₂ and 11 g C/m²/year of CH₄, while agricultural sites managed as flooded (rice paddy, restored wetlands) served as net ecosystem sinks of C, taking up 397 g C/m²/year of CO₂ while simultaneously releasing a greater proportion of CH₄ under this hydrologic regime (ranging from 39 to 53 g C/m²/year) [24]. CO₂ and CH₄ emissions from rice paddy and restored wetlands can vary widely among location based on a number of environmental factors, but often related to water table management [24–27]. Here-in, and for this reason, we focus our current study on gaseous soil C fluxes from different wetland types in the Liaohe Delta of Northeast China to add to a growing body of research that scales assessments spatially [13, 28].

Both the scale of land-use in the Liaohe Delta and year-to-year variation in CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes from specific wetlands have the potential to influence regional- and global-scale C cycling [29]. The Liaohe Delta encompasses 5922 km² of natural or managed lands, surrounded or bisected by only 678 km² of towns and roads [30]. Of those natural or managed lands, 55.5% (or 3287 km²) are rice paddy (Japonica variety, Oryza sativa: 2465 km²), reed (Phragmites australis: 786 km²), seablite (Suaeda salsa: 32 km²), or mixed communities of reed and seablite (4 km²). While rice paddy encompasses the greatest agricultural area in the Delta, over 772 km² of Phragmites are managed (compared with 14 km² unmanaged) and harvested
annually for paper production [30]. *S. salsa* marshes make up the smallest percentage but soils are often associated with high organic C and nitrogen concentrations [31]. Rice paddy and *P. australis* wetlands both typically serve as sinks for CO₂, but emit CH₄ [24–25, 32], while this course is less clear for *S. salsa* marshes [33–36].

Much uncertainty arises when measuring soil CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes infrequently or in singular years [26, 34–35], if the goal of such assessment is to upscale to larger areas and over multiple years. Inter-annual and seasonal variations in soil CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes are large in coastal wetlands of Northeast China [36–38]. Indeed, we recognized this in a previous study [38], which focused on linking environmental variables (e.g., salinity, soil temperature, water table depth, plant biomass) from a single year to emissions of CO₂ and CH₄ from *P. australis* marshes, *S. salsa* marshes, and rice paddy wetlands of the Liaohe Delta. Here we expand this research to span three very different years from the perspective of hydrology (2012–2014). We had two primary objectives. First, we wanted to know how much C is being lost annually from the soils of these habitat types on an areal basis as assessed using standard discrete sampling techniques. Second, we wanted to assess and discuss drivers of inter-annual variation in emissions of CO₂ and CH₄ from three primary wetland types in the Liaohe Delta. While this study does not measure total C balance, we focused intensely on soil C emissions, which are usually the most variable and uncertain component of the C cycle. The linkages among soil C emissions, vegetation type, hydrologic management, season, and spatial coverage of habitats are described across a deltaic region potentially large enough in extent to influence the global C budget.

**Results**

**Inter-annual variability of CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes**

CO₂ fluxes varied from year-to-year, especially for Phrag2 and Rice (Fig 1A). Significant site by date interactions highlight this variability (Table 1). For example, while peak soil CO₂ fluxes were highest in Rice in August of 2012 (1831 mg CO₂/m²/h) and July of 2014 (1937 mg CO₂/m²/h), overall lower fluxes prevailed from that wetland type in 2013 (<937 mg CO₂/m²/h). Capacity for *Phragmites* wetlands to emit CO₂ from the soil was demonstrated strongly on Phrag2 in 2013 for a single period in June (3339 mg CO₂/m²/h, Fig 1A), and was otherwise fairly consistent among years. CO₂ fluxes among sites differed from each other on 17 of the 19 dates assessed. Though variable, on average CO₂ fluxes from Phrag2 (780 mg CO₂/m²/h) were consistently higher (P < 0.05) than Suaeda1 (335 mg CO₂/m²/h), Suaeda2 (402 mg CO₂/m²/h), Phrag1 (476 mg CO₂/m²/h), and Rice (500 mg CO₂/m²/h) (Table 2, Fig 2). A potential driver of inter-annual variability for CO₂ fluxes from specific sites was hydrology; i.e., Phrag2 and Rice (and the wider region) were flooded for a longer duration in the 2013 growing season from atypical river flooding (Fig 3). Along with water table depth fluctuations, seasonal differences in warming of soils and fluctuations in salinity from year-to-year also influence CO₂ fluxes on these sites (Fig 4).

Significant site by date interactions were noted for CH₄ fluxes as well (Table 1). In the year receiving more persistent flooding (2013), CH₄ emissions were 16 times higher from Phrag2 and 6 times higher from Rice than in the other two years (Fig 1B). However, CH₄ flux increases were not statistically related to water table depth that year (P > 0.5), in contrast to overall correlations among years (Fig 4), suggesting interactive influences with other site variables in 2013. CH₄ fluxes differed among sites on 15 of the 19 dates assessed. Despite the site x date interaction, CH₄ emissions from Phrag2 (10.4 mg CH₄/m²/h) were consistently higher (P < 0.05) than emissions from Phrag1, Suaeda1, and Suaeda2 (mean, 0.45 mg CH₄/m²/h, Table 2, Fig 2) on most dates. Variability between the replicate *S. salsa* sites was minimal for
CH$_4$, as salinity kept CH$_4$ emissions low at these sites. In contrast, the two replicate *Phragmites* sites behaved very differently; CH$_4$ emissions were 8 times higher from Phrag2 than Phrag1 (Table 2).

### Drivers of inter-annual variability

Table 2 depicts means for CO$_2$ fluxes and CH$_4$ fluxes, along with some environmental variables, as an average of the three years (see S1 Table for additional variables). Of the factors identified as influencing CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ fluxes in the first year of study [38], most remained unchanged as predictors over three years. Briefly, the overall correlations (across sites) between soil CO$_2$ fluxes, soil Eh, soil temperature, aboveground biomass, water table depth, and HCO$_3^-$ concentrations were positive and significant, and soil CO$_2$ fluxes were negatively correlated with salinity. CO$_2$ fluxes were driven principally by the amount of aboveground plant biomass available to route CO$_2$ belowground and through plant tissue, or facilitate microbial soil respiration from exudate production. Thus, to the degree that environmental variables influence
plant biomass, they also influence soil CO2 fluxes. Direct influences of salinity on CO2 emissions were not clear; high variability in CO2 emissions at lower salinities (<16 PSU) with reduced variability at high salinity (Fig 4) is confounded by a different vegetation type, S. salsa versus P. australis, when salinities exceeded 24 PSU. Otherwise, the capacity for CO2 fluxes is reduced in a seemingly linear fashion with salinity. On the other hand and with the exception of one data point from Phrag2, soil temperature limited soil CO2 flux to <690 mg CO2/m2/h below 18°C. While this is not imposing, much of the cumulative CO2 fluxes from all wetland types in the Liaohe Delta occurred as soil temperatures rose above 18°C to a recorded high of 29°C over three years (Fig 4).

The overall correlations (across sites) between CH4 fluxes and water table depth, soil temperature, and pore water HCO3- concentrations were positive and significant; whereas, the relationship between soil CH4 fluxes, Eh, and salinity were negative and significant. There was no significant correlation between CH4 emission rates and plant aboveground biomass, which was a little surprising since CH4 is often routed through vegetation [15, 17]; but see Materials and Methods about cutting Phragmites. Thus for CH4, two environmental variables were critical and provided even clearer thresholds than seen for CO2 flux when analyzed across sites. Similar to CO2, the first limiting variable for CH4 flux was soil temperature. The soils of the

Table 1. Nested design ANOVA for soil CO2 and CH4 fluxes over three years from among five wetland sites (two P. australis; two S. salsa, and one rice) in the Liaohe Delta, China. DF_num = numerator degrees of freedom, DF_den = denominator degrees of freedom, MS = Mean Squares, F = F-statistic, P = Probability value (significant if < 0.05).

| Gas     | Source of variation          | DF_num | DF_den | MS   | F    | P    |
|---------|-----------------------------|--------|--------|------|------|------|
| CO2     | Site                        | 4      | 25     | 2.53 | 8.48 | 0.0002|
|         | Chamber(Site), error 1      | 25     | 576    | 0.30 | –    | –    |
|         | Date                        | 19     | 455    | 10.35| 96.00| 0.0001|
|         | Site x Date                 | 73     | 455    | 1.47 | 13.67| 0.0001|
|         | Date x Chamber(Site), error 2| 455   | 576    | 0.11 | –    | –    |
| CH4     | Site                        | 4      | 25     | 21.73| 89.01| 0.0001|
|         | Chamber(Site), error 1      | 25     | 575    | 0.24 | –    | –    |
|         | Date                        | 19     | 454    | 10.03| 61.32| 0.0001|
|         | Site x Date                 | 73     | 454    | 2.72 | 16.66| 0.0001|
|         | Date x Chamber(Site), error 2| 454  | 575    | 0.16 | –    | –    |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0160612.t001

Table 2. Mean CO2 fluxes, CH4 fluxes, and a suite of physico-chemical characteristics of soils (± SE) from five wetland sites in the Liaohe Delta, China collected over three years.

| Variable                   | Suaeda1 | Suaeda2 | Phrag1 | Phrag2 | Rice  |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|--------|--------|-------|
| CO2 flux (mg/m2/h)         | 335 ± 27| 402 ± 36| 476 ± 39| 780 ± 85| 500 ± 54|
| CH4 flux (mg/m2/h)         | 0.002 ± 0.008| 0.08 ± 0.02| 1.28 ± 0.41| 10.36 ± 2.12| 3.66 ± 0.57|
| Aboveground biomass (g/m2)*| 239 ± 18| 223 ± 19| 308 ± 24| n/a    | 479 ± 56|
| Soil temperature (°C)      | 18.5 ± 0.7| 18.5 ± 0.7| 17.7 ± 0.7| 16.2 ± 0.8| 16.7 ± 0.8|
| Salinity (PSU)             | 28.5 ± 1.2| 8.4 ± 0.4| 7.6 ± 0.7| 8.9 ± 0.5| 1.8 ± 0.1|
| Water table depth (cm)**   | -15.0 ± 2.0| -11.4 ± 1.5| 6.3 ± 1.5| 3.2 ± 2.1| 8.9 ± 1.5|
| pH                         | 7.87 ± 0.03| 8.33 ± 0.01| 8.41 ± 0.06| 8.23 ± 0.07| 8.25 ± 0.03|
| Porewater HCO3- (mg/L)     | 475 ± 19| 393 ± 10| 422 ± 23| 1369 ± 59| 406 ± 32|
| Soil Eh (mV)               | 153 ± 74| 28 ± 69| 145 ± 68| -1 ± 69| 103 ± 67|

* Commercial harvesting of P. australis on Phrag2 for pulp prevented consistent estimation of standing biomass.

** Water table depth determined as a mean value from CO2 and CH4 flux sampling dates.

doip:10.1371/journal.pone.0160612.t002
Liaohe Delta freeze each year, and major fluxes of CH$_4$ are not promoted strongly from any wetland type until soil temperatures exceed 18°C (Fig 4). While this was previously suggested [38], the relationship is strengthened by concurrence across the three years of study versus one. The second variable is salinity. This gives way to a second threshold of 18, in that CH$_4$ fluxes were widely suppressed (< 1 mg CH$_4$/m$^2$/h) at soil salinity concentrations >18 PSU across all three years of study (Fig 4). High CH$_4$ fluxes were associated with soil temperature >18°C and soil salinity <18 PSU. Thus, wetland management activities in the Liaohe Delta facilitating these two conditions may simultaneously facilitate greater CH$_4$ emissions (as long as pore water SO$_4^{2-}$ availability is also low).
Emissions of CO$_2$, CH$_4$, and gaseous carbon from the Liaohe Delta

Approximately 2861 Gg of C is estimated to be emitted from the soils of the Liaohe Delta wetlands annually (Table 3). This value ranges from 2508 to 3285 Gg C/year depending on the year that the estimate was made, and to a lesser degree the specific representative sites used to
attain the estimate (Table 3). Site selection was especially important for *P. australis* in 2012 and 2013 when Phrag2 had 55% and 129% higher overall C emissions than Phrag1, respectively. When summed, C emissions from CH₄ were only 1.9% of the C emissions from CO₂ (Table 3). Emissions of C from CH₄ equated to approximately 53 Gg C/year, but ranged more broadly from year-to-year for *P. australis* wetlands (10–18 times) versus *S. salsa* or Rice. Year-to-year variability was less within habitats for CO₂ emissions, but did vary by up to a factor of 2.6 for specific among year comparisons. Emissions of C from CO₂ equated to approximately 2808 Gg C/year.

**Discussion**

**Temporal scale and variability**

Variability in gaseous C emissions from wetlands as a component of the mass C balance is important to consider when determining whether specific wetlands experience net gains,
emissions, or steady state fluxes of C over time. For this reason, many studies link environmental drivers (e.g., soil temperature, water level, salinity, etc.) to CO₂ or CH₄ emissions with the intent of using statistical relationships to predict losses or gains [15, 18, 33–41]. Yet, statistical relationships developed in a single year can fail to predict CO₂ or CH₄ emissions accurately in years having different magnitudes of response. For example, actual emissions of CH₄ were much greater from P. australis wetlands in the Liaohe Delta in 2013 than either 2012 or 2014.

While water table depth, soil temperature, and salinity were important in all years as similarly established for 2012 [38], regressions developed for 2012 would have underpredicted CH₄ emissions in 2013. Assessment variability is a dilemma in the prediction of GHG emissions at large scales. For example, North American wetlands emit approximately 9.4 Tg of CH₄/year (or about 7 Tg C/year), but uncertainty around this value is up to 100% [2]. Indeed, C emissions from CH₄ were over an order of magnitude higher from Phrag1 and Phrag2 in 2013 versus 2012 and 2014, and C emissions from CO₂ from Suaeda1 and Suaeda2 in 2014 were nearly double emissions in 2012 and 2013 (Table 3).

To compound this further, GHG techniques measure vastly different things [42]; e.g., compare large dark static flux chambers (30,250 cm²) incorporating vegetation (as used here) versus small static chambers (80 cm²) that exclude vegetation versus eddy covariance, which measures the net ecosystem exchange of C over many hectares. While we standardize our sampling area and techniques among years, year-to-year CO₂ fluxes varied by a factor of up to 2.6 and CH₄ fluxes varied by a factor of up to 18 in the Liaohe Delta within a specific wetland type. Similar trends were reported from the nearby Yellow River Delta, where complete reversals of CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes from soil uptake to efflux were documented for some wetland types (e.g., P. australis) among years [36], although the reasons were not discussed. Indeed, we can conclude that GHG assessments across multiple years are critical for determining mass C fluxes from wetlands.

### Factors influencing area-scaled CO₂ and CH₄ emissions

On average, 28.0% of the C emissions from CO₂ were derived from P. australis wetlands (800 Gg C/year) and 71.2% were derived from rice (1985 Gg C/year), leaving only 0.8% associated with S. salsa wetlands (23 Gg C/year) (Table 3). These differences are compounded mostly by the areal extent of each wetland type. Statistically, CO₂ flux from only one P. australis wetland

---

**Table 3. Accounting of elemental carbon emissions from CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes from the soils of three wetland types (five sites) in the Liaohe Delta, China as estimated by year for 2012, 2013, and 2014.**

| Variable | Year | Suaeda 1 | Suaeda 2 | Phrag 1 | Phrag 2 | Rice | Sum ** | Average |
|----------|------|----------|----------|---------|---------|------|--------|---------|
| CO₂-C    | 2012 | 14.6     | 14.9     | 462.4   | 710.9   | 1892.2 | 2493.5 | 2808    |
|          | 2013 | 19.1     | 20.8     | 649.2   | 1423.6  | 1622.8 | 2679.1 |         |
|          | 2014 | 30.2     | 38.1     | 770.2   | 782.2   | 2441.1 | 3251.4 |         |
| CH₄-C    | 2012 | -0.0017  | 0.0055   | 0.7831  | 4.5353  | 11.5582 | 14.2   | 53      |
|          | 2013 | -0.0002  | 0.0239   | 8.5291  | 82.8640 | 66.5809 | 112.3  |         |
|          | 2014 | -0.0008  | 0.0066   | 2.1701  | 4.7632  | 29.8900 | 33.4   |         |
| Total C  | 2012 | 14.6     | 14.9     | 463.1   | 715.4   | 1903.7 | 2507.7 | 2861    |
|          | 2013 | 19.1     | 20.8     | 657.8   | 1506.4  | 1689.4 | 2791.4 |         |
|          | 2014 | 30.2     | 38.1     | 772.4   | 787.0   | 2471.0 | 3284.8 |         |

* Based on a total land area of 31.6 km² for Suaeda salsa, 786 km² for Phragmites australis, and 2464.6 km² for Rice [30]; Gg = 10⁹ grams
** Weighted sum across habitats; average of both S. salsa sites, plus average of both P. australis sites, plus Rice.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0160612.t003
(Phrag2) was consistently greater than the two S. salsa sites and rice when standardized over a square meter area (Table 2; Fig 2). Noteworthy among the different sites was the much greater C and nitrogen density in the soils of Phrag2 that may be influencing high CO2 fluxes (S1 Table). Therefore, while aboveground biomass was undetermined for Phrag2 versus other sites (Table 2), the availability of C-based substrate within the soil to facilitate microbial respiration was over two times greater on Phrag2 than even Phrag1. The high proportion of soil organic C on this one P. australis site may be related to either the vegetation type itself or the particular water/harvest management influencing that site.

The relative proportion of labile soil C in S. salsa soils of the Liaohe Delta was influenced greatly by the presence of vegetation [43]; in that case, bare soils versus S. salsa-vegetated tidal flats. P. australis plants are much larger than S. salsa, and have a strong ability to sequester C by maintaining high aboveground and belowground plant biomass [32]. Persistent flooding would also keep soils anaerobic and further limit decomposition; both P. australis sites were often flooded and maintained water tables above ground during sampling (Table 2). Annual commercial harvesting of P. australis for pulp production in the Liaohe Delta compromises the role that perennial pulses of litterfall would play in facilitating nutrient recycling in this wetland type, and perhaps even upset some biogeochemical processes spatially across the Delta adding further to variation in CO2 (and CH4) emissions from P. australis.

On average, 32.4% of the C emissions from CH4 were derived from P. australis wetlands (17.3 Gg C/year) and 67.5% were from rice (36.0 Gg C/year). What was slightly different for CH4 versus CO2, was that only ~0.01% of the C emissions from CH4 was associated with S. salsa wetlands (0.006 Gg C/year) (Table 3). For S. salsa, suppression of CH4 was due to a combination of smaller areal extent and potentially greater SO4^{2-} availability in the porewater [44]; salinity concentrations were above 45 PSU at times (average of 28.5 PSU for Suaeda1, Table 2) and water tables were either maintained below ground through impoundment (Suaeda1, with the exception of 2014) or were tidal (Suaeda2) (Fig 3).

As previously suggested in a global review [16], salinities above 18 PSU also tended to limit CH4 emissions from the Liaohe Delta; a regression superimposed on the salinity versus CH4 flux relationship from the Liaohe Delta indicates the fit suggested previously [16], and is remarkably applicable here when applied to three years of data collection across all wetland types in the Liaohe Delta (Fig 4D). Also important is that a reduction of salinity from 7.9 to 3.1 PSU over the growing season (May-September) from the two P. australis sites and rice site in combination gave rise to a 13-fold increase in average CH4 fluxes in 2013 versus 2012 (17.3 vs. 1.3 mg CH4/m2/h, respectively). SO4^{2-} delivery to soils at low salinity is associated with high spatial variability in SO4^{2-} suppression [16, 38]; this is a three-dimensional variability in space, making larger chambers necessary for capturing net flux changes, such as these, over larger areas when salinity concentrations are low.

The course of CH4 suppression is less clear for the second S. salsa site (Suaeda2), which had a mean salinity of only 8.4 PSU. Oddly, this salinity concentration was well within the salinity ranges of both P. australis sites (7.6–8.9 PSU), yet both P. australis sites maintained high CH4 emissions. Higher salinity in the upper soil layers would certainly influence CH4 emissions on P. australis sites less because much methanogenesis occurs deeper where anaerobic soil layers persist and soil pore water would be fresher. CH4 might then route from deeper-laying P. australis roots, through stem tissue, and released to the environment providing a CH4 conduit from lower, oxygen-deficient freshwater layers that bypass salinity influence and soil layers with an active methanotrophic bacterial community [11, 45]. Deep roots and rhizomes may make all the difference for P. australis, relative to S. salsa wetlands. Over the first year of study [38], low CH4 emissions from Suaeda2 were linked to the same SO4^{2-} suppression mechanism observed for Suaeda1, since salinity ranged to 15 PSU at times and salinity was probably pulsed
higher at other times missed by our sampling. Suaeda2 is also strongly tidal compared to all of
the other sites (Fig 3), and exposed soils during low or neap tides would facilitate CH4 oxidation
to CO2. Fluctuating water tables may also help explain lower CH4 at this S. salsa site (Suaeda2) as
the capacity for CH4 oxidation is greater as soils are more exposed [46]. Multi-year, area-scaled
assessments that isolate P. australis or S. salsa to assess influence from additional wetlands in
China are not available. However, one smaller effort from the Yellow River Delta provides some
guidance [35]. There, the smaller area of P. australis wetlands assessed (88.1 km² in the Yellow
River Delta vs. 786.0 km²) and a larger area of S. salsa wetlands assessed (90.2 km² in the Yellow
River Delta vs. 31.6 km²) suggested that C emissions from the Yellow River Delta were much less
(59.2 Gg C/year) than we reported from the Liaohe Delta (2861 Gg C/year).

CO2 fluxes from rice paddy soils were large across the Delta (Table 3), although CO2 emis-
sions from soils may be balanced by, or less than, uptake of CO2 by photosynthesis in such a
productive environment. Indeed, the same notion (i.e., > CO2 gains vs. emissions) may hold
for all three wetland types. For example, based on P. australis photosynthesis data previously
reported [32], P. australis wetlands in the Liaohe Delta would fix approximately 1,600 Gg C
from atmospheric CO₂ annually [30]. Based on our data, C from soil CO₂ emissions would
range from 39–61% of that value across the Delta, suggesting large-scale C sequestration
among P. australis wetlands in the Liaohe Delta despite large soil emissions of CO₂. Adding
CH₄ affects the balance for C by only a small amount for P. australis (add 0.24–1.9% to the per-
centages for CO₂). More quantitatively, rice paddies in California, USA had a net ecosystem
uptake of 50–397 g C/m²/year from CO₂ [24–25]; CH₄ emissions from these same sites in Cali-
ifornia were also quite low (2.5–6.6 g C/m²/year [25]) to moderate (39–53 g C/m²/year [24]).
For comparison, Liaohe Delta rice paddies registered CH₄ emissions of 4.7–27.0 g C/m²/year
when scaled annually.

Higher CH₄ fluxes from rice may be explained, in part, by hydrologic management. Our
measured fluxes of ~4 mg/m²/h (Table 2) were much smaller than other rice fields under con-
tinuous irrigation in China (mean ± SD, 13.6 ± 9.2 mg/m²/h [47]). These literature values for
CH₄ emissions are 64% higher than rice cultivated under drier, intermittent irrigation
(mean ± SD, 8.3 ± 7.7 mg/m²/h [47]). Water levels were maintained well above the soil surface
for most of the active cultivating season (June to September) for all three years in the Liaohe
Delta, averaging 13.4 (± 3.7 SE) cm above ground. Not all Chinese rice paddies are managed in
this fashion [47]; studies have indicated that mid-season drainage of rice paddies can reduce
CH₄ emissions by 36–65% [48]. Such hydrologic management is at least feasible across many
hectares of the Liaohe Delta owing to the "square-land method" [30], such that individual land-
owners could theoretically regulate CH₄ emissions at a local scale. However, prescribing
drained or moist-soil management versus persistent flooding regimes is not simple to imple-
ment based solely on univariate relationships. Rice paddies are often loaded with NO₃-based
fertilizers such that drainage may mitigate emissions of C from CH₄ (i.e., a rather small compo-
nent of the C flux, as we show here), but greater exposure to oxygen during drainage might
simultaneously facilitate denitrification of NO₃ and promote N₂O emissions when denitrifica-
tion is incomplete. NO₃ is often combined with surplus soil acetate from crop residue by
chance of timing during drainage. N₂O has an even higher radiative forcing value than CH₄;
six times higher than CH₄ when modelled as sustained-flux global warming potentials over a
100-year time frame [19].

Global perspective of C-based soil GHG flux

Soil CO₂ emissions from all ecosystems globally is approximately 68 Pg C/year (± 4 SD) [9] (1
Pg = 10⁹ Tg = 10¹⁶ Gg), and while uncertain, soil CO₂ fluxes can also be high for wetlands [13].
As we describe here-in, soil emissions are often balanced by, or are lower than, net ecosystem uptake of CO₂ in order for atmospheric C to be sequestered by wetlands. Unless wetlands are deteriorating or are unhealthy, C sequestration is a strong characteristic of wetlands, which are estimated to serve as C sinks for 0.83 Pg C/year globally [12]. Soil C emissions of CO₂ from wetlands across the Liaohe Delta were estimated as 2.8 Tg C/year (Table 3). More important, this value tended to fluctuate among years from 2.5–3.3 Tg C/year, suggesting a strong potential year-to-year influence from wetland management or from stochastic environmental fluctuations.

Adding CH₄ to this estimate makes very little difference from a C emissions perspective, affecting emissions by ~0.05 Tg/year at that resolution (Table 3). However, this is not to say that CH₄ is unimportant. In fact, as we describe, wetland management that facilitates lower salinity (below 18 PSU) and a quicker seasonal return to soil temperatures of 18°C or greater (as practiced in the Liaohe Delta [30]), would influence CH₄ fluxes considerably. This was the case in 2013, when CH₄ fluxes were higher from *P. australis* and rice due to persistent flooding [49] and salinity reduction. A focus on radiative forcing from CH₄ [12–13, 19] versus total C emissions may provide a different perspective from the Liaohe Delta. Furthermore, other studies have discovered CH₄ emissions from rice growing in Northeast China to be even higher than we reported here [47], and our sampling would have missed any pulsed CH₄ emissions due to annual thawing [50].

Estimates of global C emissions from CH₄ for natural wetlands range from 69 to 213 Tg C/year [19, 51–52] and for rice paddies range from 25 to 30 Tg C/year [19, 52], with an average of 162 Tg C/year and 27 Tg C/year for natural wetlands and rice paddies, respectively [19]. Since CH₄ is not normally taken up by wetland soils through biological activity, flux values reported here-in would approximate the true gaseous C balance for CH₄. Caveats do apply, such as small fluxes of CH₄ into the soil due to pressure differentials [53]. For rice, we also discovered that lower pore water HCO₃⁻ concentrations corresponded to higher CH₄ fluxes (P < 0.001, r = -0.49), suggesting that whatever is facilitating higher CH₄ fluxes (e.g., anaerobiosis) may be reducing pore water HCO₃⁻ by influencing dissolution of CO₂. Overall, our total estimate of 0.053 Tg C lost per year to CH₄ emissions from all Liaohe Delta wetlands assessed is also seemingly low, except that emissions from Liaohe Delta rice paddies alone make up approximately 0.2% of rice paddy CH₄-C emissions globally. For scale, C emissions from CH₄ for *Carex lasiocarpa*-dominated peatlands spread out over a much larger area in China’s Sanjiang Plain to the north of the Liaohe Delta was estimated to be lower, at 0.007 Tg C/year [54], than we report from rice.

Conclusions

*Phragmites australis, Suaeda salsa,* and rice paddy wetlands encompass an area of approximately 3,287 km² in the Liaohe Delta, China. This is the world’s largest continuous *P. australis* wetland and China’s third largest oil field, and the Delta produces a large percentage of the rice crop for China in a given year. Total C emissions from CO₂ and CH₄ from these wetland soils average 2.9 Tg C/year, but range from 2.5 to 3.3 Tg C/year. We surmise that hydrology by way of management (e.g., longer retention times for water held within impoundments) or natural variability (e.g., rainfall and regional flood patterns) was a primary inter-annual driver of these differences, suggesting that evaluations of greenhouse gas fluxes need to be framed over multiple years. The primary emissions of gaseous soil C were from CO₂ (~98%). While photosynthetic uptake of CO₂ would most often overwhelm CO₂ emissions from the wetland soils as they build aboveground and belowground C stores, CH₄ emissions would persist. Overall, the opportunity for higher CH₄ fluxes was associated with soil temperatures >18°C and pore water...
salinity <18 PSU. CH$_4$ emissions from rice paddy habitat alone in the Liaohe Delta represent 0.2% of total C emissions from CH$_4$ globally for that habitat type. With such a large area and apparently sensitive feedbacks with soil CO$_2$/CH$_4$ fluxes on a year-to-year basis, management practices in the wetland area studied and similar wetlands around the world have the potential not only to influence local C budgeting, but also to influence global biogeochemical cycling.

**Materials and Methods**

**Ethics statement**

The Panjin Wetland Science Research Institute (Mr. Dechao Sun, director) granted permission to access sites Phrag1, Phrag2, Suaeda1, and Suaeda2, and Mr. Tiejin Li granted permission to access the Rice site within his village.

**Study sites**

The Liaohe Delta is located in Liaoning Province in Northeast China, and has a geomorphic connection to four rivers; the largest is the Liaohe River. The Liaohe River is 1396 km long with a drainage area of 219,000 km$^2$, and contemporary agricultural and deltaic wetland area of 3606 km$^2$, encompassing the world’s largest reed field, expansive rice paddies, and intertidal and unvegetated wetlands [30]. Polluted river waters [55] and active oil and gas mining activity (as China’s third largest oil field [56]) pose significant environmental hazards for the Delta; river water is incredibly important for wetland irrigation while industrial canals, pipelines, and oil and gas mining infrastructure have transformed the landscape. Management of wetlands involves the use of pumping stations to divert Liaohe River water to *P. australis* wetlands to desalinize stands, thaw soils earlier in the growing season, and buffer soils from re-freezing nightly to promote greater productivity. Indeed, this action helped to increase *P. australis* yield to the pulp industry by ~137,000 metric tons over a 31 year period up to 1980 [30]. Local-scale hydrologic management (“square-land method”) was implemented intensely both for rice and *P. australis*, while *S. salsa* marshes typically exist as natural tidal features farther down the Liaohe River but are sometime impounded.

Five sites representing the three primary wetland types in the Delta were selected (Fig 5). Two sites included managed reed (*Phragmites australis* (Cav.) Trin. Ex Steud.) wetlands (“Phrag1” at 40° 52’ 22.34”N, 121°36’ 08.89”E; “Phrag2” at 41° 09’ 33.75”N, 121°47’ 42.71”E) for paper production, two sites included seablite (*Suaeda salsa* (L.) Pallas) wetlands (a created and semi-impounded “Suaeda1”, 40° 52’ 11.09”N, 121°36’ 21.72”E; a natural “Suaeda2”, 40° 57’ 38.62”N, 121°48’ 20.03”E), and one site had active rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) agriculture (“Rice”, 41° 10’ 38.69”N, 121°41’ 17.28”E). Sites were selected carefully and over many days of searching to be representative of those wetland types in the wider region. With the exception of Phrag2, soil properties were fairly consistent among sites (S1 Table).

The air temperature in the region associated with the Liaohe Delta ranges from an average low of −10.4°C in January to an average high of 27.4°C in July, with an annual average of 8°C and approximately 175 days/year frost-free [43]. The annual precipitation for the Delta is 612 mm [43]. Remarkably, the year 2013 tied with 2007 as the sixth warmest since global records began in 1850 [49]. 2013 was also warmer than both 2011 and 2012, which, though marked by cooling La Niña conditions, were 0.43°C and 0.46°C above average, respectively [49]. In addition to high temperatures in 2013, anomalous hydro-meteorological events affected northeastern China with excessive river flooding [49] with noticeable impacts to the Liaohe Delta seasonally relative to 2014 in terms of more persistent flooding on study sites, especially for Phrag1, Phrag2, and Rice.
Experimental design and GHG flux measurements

Soil CO₂ and CH₄ gas fluxes were sampled approximately monthly from June to November for Year 1 (2012), April to November for Year 2 (2013), and April to November for Year 3 (2014). Gases were collected using six, square metal frames installed permanently on 4 of 5 sites. Frames had to be moved annually to accommodate agricultural activity on one site ("Rice"). Frames had an area of 3025 cm² (55x55 cm), were constructed with small drain holes at the base to allow free water flow between measurement periods, and had troughs for inserting white, plastic chamber tops during sampling. Holes were plugged, troughs were filled with water, and the chamber tops were lined internally with aluminum foil to ensure that light would not penetrate into the "dark" chambers during sampling. Chamber tops were 30 cm tall, requiring that P. australis plants were cut at times; however, we limited cutting to only as much as necessary to emplace chamber tops. This practice had very little influence on CH₄ emissions when reeds were cut above standing water [45], as we practiced here. All chambers were accessed from permanent boardwalks positioned just about the soil surface.

For Year 1, gases were sampled using static flux chamber protocols [57]. Tops were emplaced and gases were extracted through rubber septa using a 15 mL syringe, and injected into pre-vacuumed 10 mL glass vials for analysis on a laboratory based gas chromatograph (GC). Samples were taken as soon as the chamber tops were emplaced, and at 20 min intervals over 60 mins. Circulating fans kept gases mixed within chambers, which were approximately 121 L in size with chamber tops emplaced. Full sampling details for Year 1 including GC information, storage and laboratory protocols were previously provided [38].

For Years 2 and 3, a portable GC (Model 915, Los Gatos Research, Mountain View, CA, USA) was used instead of a laboratory based GC in order to facilitate in-situ measurements and overcome any concerns we had in Year 1 with storing and transporting gas vials over 520 km from the Liaohe Delta to Qingdao. The chamber tops were the same as for Year 1, but septa were replaced with Tygon tubing routed to and from the portable GC. For both methods, flux rates were determined using the linear portion of fit saturation curves comparing static flux over time (Year 1) or steady state flux rate increases over time (Years 2 and 3). All samples were taken during the day and assumed to be consistent diurnally for that day, but see [58–59].

Soil characteristics

Soil cores (3 per site) were taken to a depth of 10 cm, extracted by pushing/twisting a 15-cm diameter by 1-m long metal cylinder (0.8-mm-wall) with a sharpened end into the soil with minimal compaction, and sectioned into 2 cm increments. 2-cm sections were mixed thoroughly, dried to a constant weight at 60°C, and ground. Soil bulk density, water content, and pH were determined through standard procedures, and nitrogen and carbon (total and organic) were then analyzed. Individual samples were split, with total nitrogen and total C analyzed on one section with a CHNS/O elemental analyzer (2400 Series, Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA). The second section was used to determine organic C fractions on the same elemental analyzer, but after inorganic C was removed with 4 M HCl [60]. Sections (n = 5) were averaged after analysis for each core.

Soil oxidation-reduction potentials (Eh) were determined with brightened platinum electrodes inserted to a depth of 10 cm [61], and allowed to sit for 24 h prior to measurement to
ensure a well-poised couple. Eh probes were referenced against calomel electrodes, and adjusted by adding 245 mV for standardization against a hydrogen electrode scale. Water level recorders (model 3001, Solinst, Georgetown, Ontario, Canada) were inserted into on-site wells during freeze-free periods, and recorded water table depth hourly. During sampling, salinity was measured from temperature-compensated conductivity on water extracted from on-site piezometers using a meter (Model 6010, Jenco Electronics, Ltd., Shanghai, China), and soil temperature was measured using manual thermometers (bi-metallic dial, H-B Instruments, Collegeville, PA, USA) inserted to a 10-cm soil depth just outside of each static flux chamber. Plant aboveground biomass was sampled monthly to coincide with gas flux measurements seven times each in 2012 and 2013 (May to November) and 4 times in 2014 (April, June, July, September) from Phrag1, Suaeda1, Suaeda2, and Rice using 55 cm × 55 cm frames (n = 6/site). Phrag2 was sampled identically when feasible; however, commercial harvesting of *P. australis* for pulp from that site prevented consistent biomass estimates. All vegetation within the frame was clipped at the soil surface, dried to a constant weight at 60°C, and weighed.

**Statistical analysis and variability determinations**

Soil CO₂ and CH₄ emissions were analyzed with ANOVA in a split-plot framework using Type IV sums of square error estimation for accounting for missing treatment combinations. Date was assigned as a whole-plot effect (repeated measures). There were a total of 19 monthly CO₂ and CH₄ flux assessments over the 3 years, but only five sites. For repeated measures analyses, the assumption of n+1 > q (where n equals the sample size, i.e., number of sites, and q the number of repeated measures) was not met [62], so we nested terms to account for non-independence among repeated measures [41, 63]. For significant treatment by date interactions, treatment differences were determined with Bonferroni adjustment. All data were log-transformed. The errors had a homogeneous variance and were unimodal and symmetric. Correlation analysis was used to determine whether gas fluxes and soil water table, salinity, above ground biomass, porewater HCO₃⁻, Eh, or soil temperature related over a three year period. Data were analyzed using SAS (Version 9.3, SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

Average annual rates and variation of CO₂ and CH₄ emissions were determined from each site for each year, and scaled assuming: (1) that mean hourly rates of CO₂ and CH₄ emissions from chambers are consistent over a measurement day, (2) that days sampled over the course of individual years (n = 5–8 times/year) are representative of the year, and (3) that no fluxes occurred when soils were frozen (December, January, February, March). Soils in the Liaohe Delta freeze solid to depths of > 0.5 m in the winter. Based on near-zero fluxes in November of every year (Fig 1), this latter assumption appears valid (but see [50, 64] for CH₄ emissions). We recognize that measurements are not continuous over individual years, but we wanted to document how commonly used discrete sampling procedures can reveal inter-annual differences in important GHG fluxes related to a combination of site management and environmental factors. Mean fluxes from Phrag1/Phrag2, Suaeda1/Suaeda2, and Rice were reduced to three values each for CO₂ and CH₄, and multiplied over area determinations from 2009 satellite imagery for the Liaohe Delta [30].

**Supporting Information**

S1 Table. Soil characteristics from a depth of 0–10 cm at five wetland sites in the Liaohe Delta, China. Data are updated from [34] to include additional data collected in 2013 and 2014.

(DOCX)
S2 Table. Raw data used for interpretative purposes in “Inter-Annual Variability of Area-Scaled Gaseous Carbon Emissions from Wetland Soils in the Liaohe Delta, China”. (XLSX)
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