Impact of a “Pharmacist First” innovative workflow plan in patients with hypertension and/or diabetes
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Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is one of the leading causes of death in Canada. The majority of cases are caused by modifiable risk factors such as hypertension and diabetes. Hypertension is the most prevalent chronic disease, affecting 1 in 4 Canadian adults aged 20 to 79, and is the leading modifiable risk factor for premature morbidity and mortality worldwide. Diabetes affects approximately 1 in 7 Canadians. Current epidemiological trends indicate that new diagnoses of hypertension and diabetes continue to increase. Indeed, in 2018 it was projected that 1 in 3 Canadians would have either diabetes or prediabetes by 2020. As well, approximately 6 in 10 Canadians diagnosed with diabetes were also diagnosed with hypertension.

Pharmacists are front-line primary care providers who see patients with hypertension and diabetes frequently. Numerous trials have demonstrated that pharmacist intervention significantly improves health outcomes, such as effective management of blood pressure and glycemic control and better medication adherence. Furthermore, the accessibility of pharmacist puts them in a prime position to systematically identify patients with poorly controlled cardiovascular risk factors and help in their management. However, there is a clear lack of implementation beyond this evidence. Barriers in the implementation of hypertension and diabetes care by pharmacists may be related to current workflows that are more suited to dispensing than to patient care.

Carlene Oleksyn is a pharmacy owner in Spruce Grove, Alberta. She has developed an innovative workflow known as “Pharmacist First” (P1st), which focuses on immediate patient contact with the pharmacist. The pharmacist first assesses prescription appropriateness (both new prescriptions and refills), reviews the relevant laboratory tests, discusses chronic disease control and answers any questions or concerns the patient has before passing the prescription to be filled by a technician. In contrast to a model where the pharmacist interacts with the patient only at the end of the care process, immediate pharmacist-patient interaction allows for pharmacy technicians to begin processing and dispensing the medication while the patient is being assessed by the pharmacist. As such, clinical issues or concerns can be identified up front and solved during this process. This not only reduces wait time but also increases workflow efficiency while allowing pharmacists to build rapport, conduct their assessment and design a care plan.

P1st allows for increased opportunities to proactively identify therapeutic issues, counsel patients and manage chronic conditions such as hypertension and diabetes. Additionally, this innovative workflow expands the provision of care through increased time and priority spent on assessments and patient education. As well, these consultations allow pharmacists to spend time with their patients to develop a comprehensive annual care plan (CAPC) to help manage their chronic conditions, identify drug therapy problems and establish a monitoring and treatment plan.

The main aim of this study was to evaluate changes in blood pressure and glycemic control in patients with hypertension and/or diabetes receiving care at a pharmacy using the P1st workflow model.
Methods
We conducted a retrospective chart review of patients with hypertension and/or diabetes in 2 community pharmacies that use the P1st approach in the Greater Edmonton Region. We included any patient with hypertension and/or type 1 or type 2 diabetes who had received care using the P1st workflow model. We identified patients for the study by running a report through the pharmacy software (Kroll Pharmacy Management Solution) to identify patients with hypertension and/or diabetes who received a CACP between January 2014 and March 2020. We excluded patients with gestational diabetes and those who did not have any follow-up visits.

Data were collected from patient records within the Kroll system by a trained graduate student from the University of Alberta. Information collected included demographics (age, sex), patient assessment (blood pressure, diabetes type, duration, A1C) and pharmacist intervention (interventions made by the pharmacist, frequency of follow-up visits). Data were collected for baseline and all follow-up visits.

The primary outcome was the change in blood pressure (in those with hypertension) and the change in A1C (in those with diabetes) from baseline to the last recorded follow-up. The secondary outcome was the percentage of patients achieving their recommended blood pressure targets.

Descriptive statistics, including mean (standard deviation [SD]), median (interquartile range [IQR]) and frequency (proportion), were used to analyze demographic and clinical characteristics. The primary and secondary outcomes were analyzed using paired t test. Results were considered statistically significant when p < 0.05. Statistical analyses were performed using STATA software (version 16.1; StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX).

This study was approved by the University of Alberta Health Research Ethics Board. Waiver of consent was granted, as no direct contact or interaction with patients occurred and all identifiers were removed from the files before their review.

Data management was performed by the EPICORE Centre (www.epicore.ualberta.ca).

Results
We reviewed 217 patient records and included 215 in the study (2 were excluded because they did not receive any follow-up visits). The mean age was 69.4 years (SD 12.5), 51.2% were male, 57.7% had hypertension, 5.6% had diabetes and 36.7% had both (Table 1). All patients with diabetes had type 2 diabetes.

The median time for the first follow-up visit was 4.2 months (IQR 2.5–9.3). The median overall follow-up duration was 19 months (IQR 10.4–29.8). The median number of follow-up visits per patient was 6 (IQR 4–11).

In the 201 patients with hypertension, systolic blood pressure was reduced from 139.83 mmHg at baseline to 131.26 mmHg (p < 0.001) at the most recent follow-up visit (Table 2). Diastolic blood pressure was reduced from 80.26 mmHg at baseline to 76.86 mmHg (p < 0.001) at the most recent follow-up (Table 2). In the 87 patients with diabetes, A1C levels changed from 7.37% to 7.22% (p = ns) (Table 2). Of the 79 patients with both hypertension and diabetes, 2 patients had measurements only for A1C (with no blood pressures recorded) and 4 patients had measurements only for blood pressure (with no A1C levels recorded).

Of the 124 patients with hypertension only, 82.1% met the target systolic blood pressure, 93.5% met the target diastolic blood pressure and 78.9% reached both targets (Table 3). For the 77 patients with both hypertension and diabetes, 53.2% met the target systolic blood pressure, 77.9% met the target diastolic blood pressure, 77.9% met the target diastolic blood pressure and 46.7% reached both targets (Table 3).

Discussion
There is clear and strong evidence for the impact of pharmacist prescribing and care in patients with hypertension and diabetes. What is missing is an implementation strategy that applies this evidence in real-world practice. We found a significant reduction in systolic blood pressure (absolute difference 8.57 mmHg) and diastolic blood pressure (3.40 mmHg) in patients with hypertension receiving care at a pharmacy using the P1st workflow model. These are clinically important reductions in blood pressure, suggesting that the P1st model of care,

**Table 1** Baseline patient demographics

|                          | Pharmacy #1 | Pharmacy #2 | Total  |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| Male, n (%)              | 58 (61.1)   | 52 (43.3)   | 110 (51.2) |
| Female, n (%)            | 37 (38.9)   | 68 (56.7)   | 105 (48.8) |
| Mean age in years (SD)   | 63.5 (10.3) | 74.2 (12)   | 69.4 (12.5) |
| Hypertension only, n (%) | 48 (22.3)   | 76 (35.3)   | 124 (57.7) |
| Diabetes only, n (%)     | 6 (2.8)     | 6 (2.8)     | 12 (5.6)   |
| Both hypertension and diabetes, n (%) | 41 (19.1) | 38 (17.7) | 79 (36.7) |
applied in a real-world setting, could be effective in improving patient outcomes.

In patients with hypertension only, most met the systolic and diastolic targets, with about 79% meeting both targets set by Hypertension Canada. In patients with diabetes and hypertension, fewer met the systolic target (53%) and diastolic target (78%) and 48% achieved both targets. There was no significant reduction in A1C between baseline and the last follow-up visit (7.4% to 7.2%). However, depending on the functionality of patients, the A1C recommendation from Diabetes Canada, the recommended target ranges for A1C for adults older than 65 years are between 7.1% and 8.0% for functionally dependent adults and ≤7.0% for functionally independent adults. Considering the average age of the patient population and the A1C recommendation from Diabetes Canada, this could explain the observed nonsignificant trend. Furthermore, glycemic control in this group was already quite good, leaving little room for improvement. This also highlights that patients with poorer glycemic control should be targeted for this service.

Our findings are consistent with those of the R EACH study, a randomized trial which demonstrated that pharmacist intervention (assessment, education, prescribing and regular follow-up) in patients at high risk for CVD was associated with significant reduction in blood pressure, A1C and estimated cardiovascular risk. R EACH demonstrated that a proactive pharmacist approach that allowed patients to spend more time with their pharmacists was much more successful in managing CVD risk factors than usual care.

The findings from our study are also consistent with the findings from Santschi et al., who conducted a systematic review of 39 randomized controlled trials and found that pharmacist intervention significantly reduced blood pressure by 7.6/3.9 mmHg compared with usual care.

The P1st model is an implementation strategy that appears to produce results consistent with evidence in the literature that indicates proactive, pharmacist-led interventions are successful in managing CVD and its risk factors. Indeed, when pharmacists are able to practise to their full scope (assessing patients, prescribing, administering injections, ordering and interpreting laboratory tests and providing disease management), better outcomes have been reported for patients with chronic conditions.

There are a number of limitations to the current study. The lack of randomization and a control group makes it difficult to determine a causal relationship. There was no standardized

### TABLE 2 Blood pressure and A1C changes

| Patient type (n) | Baseline, mean (SD) | Last follow-up, mean (SD) |
|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Systolic blood pressure, mmHg | | |
| With diabetes (77) | 138.75 (17.78) | 130.9* (11.34) |
| Without diabetes (124) | 140.53 (15.83) | 131.48* (15.74) |
| Overall (201) | 139.83 (16.54) | 131.26* (14.20) |
| Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg | | |
| With diabetes (77) | 79.92 (10.37) | 75.34* (9.28) |
| Without diabetes (124) | 80.47 (9.90) | 77.96* (10.51) |
| Overall (201) | 80.26 (10.02) | 76.86* (10.11) |
| A1C, % | | |
| With diabetes (87) | 7.37 (1.43) | 7.22 (1.29) |

*Indicates statistical significance (p < 0.05) compared with baseline.

### TABLE 3 Patients reaching target blood pressure recommendations

| | Hypertension only (n = 124) | Both hypertension and diabetes (n = 77) |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Recommended systolic blood pressure, mmHg | 140 | 130 |
| Patients meeting systolic blood pressure targets, n (%)* | 115 (82.1) | 41 (53.2) |
| Recommended diastolic blood pressure, mmHg | 90 | 80 |
| Patients meeting diastolic blood pressure targets, n (%)* | 116 (93.5) | 60 (77.9) |
| Patients meeting systolic and diastolic blood pressure targets, n (%)* | 97 (78.9) | 36 (46.7) |

*Values for the recommended blood pressure targets are taken from guidelines by Hypertension Canada.
measurement of blood pressure or a prespecified follow-up schedule. Measurements recorded from patient records could be from the patient’s home, a physician’s office or the pharmacy. Our follow-up duration was relatively short, and as a retrospective chart review, documentation was sometimes limited. We were not able to capture components of pharmacist interventions due to limited documentation and, as a result, we could not determine that pharmacists were practising to their full scope. Although we examined 2 independent community pharmacies for this study, it is possible that the effects observed are simply due to the exceptional pharmacists themselves. Furthermore, patient selection was based on having a CACP, and therefore it is not clear whether changes are a result of the P1st workflow model or the care plan itself. Nevertheless, our study provides promising evidence for a new, proactive model of care that should be investigated further.

Further research should compare the P1st model to a traditional workflow model in a randomized controlled trial, examining multiple community pharmacies, with standardized follow-up, analysis of the patient journal and consistent documentation of patients’ health measurements throughout the study. This would help us better evaluate this innovative workflow model and establish whether it is more effective (and cost-effective) than traditional workflow models in improving patient outcomes.

Conclusion
As the health care professionals who see patients with chronic diseases the most frequently, pharmacists are well positioned to provide care and help patients achieve their health goals. In this real-world study, we observed a significant reduction in systolic and diastolic blood pressure for patients being treated under the P1st workflow model. Adapting this model of care has the potential to significantly improve patient health outcomes.
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