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Abstract: Conventional Artificial Neural Network approaches such as Feed-Forward Networks has been used in diverse applications but are not naturally predictive and also require supervised learning. Feed-forward Artificial Neural Network also trained by backpropagation poses the problem of vanishing gradient. Algorithm using Gaussian membership function with a context-decision gate for detection operations has been proposed as an alternative to the traditional Feed Forward Architecture. The AI monitoring System shows promising results in solving many recurrent problems, particularly those requiring long-term storage dependencies - the Vanishing Gradient problem (VGP) and has the ability to use contextual information when mapping between input and output sequences. The Oil monitoring system employs dynamic data flow modeling to simulate the behavior of probably militant behaviors. The contextual information (context data) includes such context as Pressure from the lab scale experimental setup of oil pipeline system. In this approach, not only the networks are trained to adapt to the given training data, the training data (the expected outputs of fault indices) is also updated to adapt to the neural network. During the training procedure, both the neural networks and training data are updated interactively. Dynamic simulations were performed using a real-time data obtained from the Radial Bias Kernel Network. The data is tested using AI system in MATLAB-SIMULINK environment to verify the performance of the proposed system. The results were promising indicating the real state of fault identification in oil pipeline system caused by extreme pressure during transportation.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The natural resources that have been the key of life and source of economy for most countries in the world are oil, gas and water. These are transported to their destinations through the pipeline extension which spreads among all over the world. These pipelines serve as the backbone between the producers and the consumers. Maintaining pipelines is very important to uphold economic growth, stability and also safety. Delays in detecting leakage on pipeline may lead to more serious effects such as fire and fatal accident. To protect and monitor pipelines there are number of technologies. Most of the technologies are designed particularly for sensing and pointing out the pipeline leakages without history of database. Pipeline monitoring systems have been using wireless devices for the communication and information transfer is very crucial because of its precious resources as well as for safety precautions. Meanwhile during oil transportations, pressure prevailing more important than other contributing parameters like flow rate, viscosity, compressor condition, pour and flash point etc. Hence neural network serves better platform for predicting and identifying faults like leakage or crack of extreme pressure values by its efficient training data set and its enhanced robust structure. The proposed approach adopts a novel approach for training neural network in ANN [3]. Different from General ANN based methods [1], the proposed approach updates/modifies the training data simultaneously as the neural network evolves. Given limited monitored data, the proposed approach is able to identify the abnormal value of pressure accurately, and allow the pressure sags at unmonitored buses being estimated [5].

II. ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK

The structure of an ANN is illustrated in Figure. 1, in which hidden layers and an output layer are presented. For each layer there are an input vector, a weight matrix \( W \), a bias vector \( b \), a sum operator, a transfer function (TF) \( f \) and an output vector [4]. The weighting matrix weighs the input elements, the bias vector.
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biases the weighed inputs via the sum operator, the sum operator gathers the weighed inputs and the biases to produce an intermediate variable for the TF, and the TF produces the final output of the layer. The output of the hidden layer is the input of the output layer. The weight matrix and biases are determined through training process which adapts the network to match the inner-pattern of the given input data [2].

A. Experimental approach of neural network to identify fault by extreme pressure

The procedure of training the network is illustrated in Figure 2. Firstly, a series of potential faults are identified and assigned with fault indices. Assuming there are NF faults in the test network, the faults can be named from 1 to NF. In step 1 in Figure 2, each potential fault is simulated to generate its corresponding pressure residuals at monitored buses. The training data consists of the monitored pressure residuals as the inputs x and the corresponding fault indices as the expected outputs [15, 16].

![Figure 2. Procedure For Obtaining Neural Network And Fault Indices Of Pressure.](Image)

Table 1. Illustration of the evolution of fault indices during training procedure.

| Gen. | Procedure | Evolution of fault indices |
|------|-----------|----------------------------|
| 1    | Initial Fault indices | Fault 1 Fault 2 Fault 3 |
| 2    | Set fault indices as the ranking of y' in step 5 | 3 1 2 |
| 3    | Set fault indices as y' | 3.28 1.97 2.87 |
|      | ... Set fault indices as y' | ... ... ... |
| NG   | Set fault indices as y' | 2.93 1.37 1.98 |

III. RADIAL BIAS FUNCTION (RBF) NETWORK

RBF networks have been proven to be universal approximates and have the properties of fast convergence, easy solution to regularization, and robustness to outliers. The construction of Radial Basis Function network (RBFN) based on three layer architecture namely, an input layer is made up of source nodes that connected to the network. The second layer hidden layer with nonlinear Radial Basis activation function, such as Gaussian function that receives nonlinear transformation from the input layer to the hidden layer. The output layer implements a linear combination of Radial Basis Function that constitutes hidden layer function in a network to the
activation pattern applied on it [10-13].
Step 1: Set the weight to small random values.
Step 2: Perform Step 2-8 when the stopping condition is false.
Step 3: Perform Step 4-8 for each input.
Step 4: Each input unit receives input signals and transmits to the next hidden layer unit.
Step 5: Calculate the radial basis function.
Step 6: Select the centers for the radial basis function. The centers are selected from the set of input vectors. It should be noted that a sufficient number of centers have to be selected to ensure adequate sampling of the input vector space.
Step 7: Calculate the output from the hidden layer unit:
\[ V_i(x_i) = \frac{\exp\left[-\sum (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_{ij})^2 / \sigma_i^2\right]}{\sigma_i^2} \]
where
\[ \bar{x}_{ij} = \text{Center of the RBF unit for input variables} \]
\[ \sigma_i = \text{width of ith RBF unit} \]
\[ x_{ji} = jth \text{ variable of input pattern} \]
Step 8: Calculate the output of the neural network:
\[ y_{net} = \sum_{i=1}^{K} W_{im} V_i(x_i) + W_o \]
Where
\[ K = \text{number of hidden layer nodes (RBF function)} \]
\[ y_{net} = \text{output value of mth node in output layer for the nth incoming pattern} \]
\[ w_{im} = \text{weight between ith RBF unit and mth output node} \]
\[ w_o = \text{biasing term at nth output node} \]
Step 9: Calculate the error and test for the stopping condition. The stopping condition may be number of epochs or to a certain extent weight change.
The procedure to design the SORBF neural network for the application problems is shown below: 1. Initialize the number of RBF nodes N; 2. Initialize the position v and width d of the RBF nodes; 3. Initialize the radius r of the receptive field for each RBF node; 4. Calculate the optimum number of the RBF nodes; 5. Apply local search algorithm to adjust the position v, the width d and radius r; 6. Calculate the optimum value for the weights w. This SORBF neural network can add or reduce the RBF nodes. It is a modification of the neural network structure design method with the aim of catching the suitable RBF neural network architecture.

IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Figure 3. Lab Scale Experimental Set Up Of Oil Pipeline Transport System

The original fault indices are set arbitrarily from 1 to 3 as given in the x-axis in Figure 4. The 3 faults like leakage, bursts and cracks in oil pipelines are simulated separately and the pressure residuals at pressure sensors 1, 2 and 3 are recorded from the experimental setup shown in Figure 3. The initial neural network is assigned with five hidden layers. The data used to train the neural network consists of the pressure residuals at monitored sensors (as inputs) and the corresponding fault indices (as the expected outputs). The procedure converges after three generations, and the adjusted fault indices are presented in Figure 4.

Figure 4. Initial And Adjusted Fault Indices Of Crack, Bursts And Leakage In Oil Pipelines.
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![Neural Network Diagram Using Radial Basis Function On Pressure In Oil Pipelines.](image1)

**Figure 5.** Neural Network Diagram Using Radial Basis Function On Pressure In Oil Pipelines.

![Consolidated Residuals Obtained By Radial Basis Kernel Function Of Pressure.](image2)

**Figure 6.** Consolidated Residuals Obtained By Radial Basis Kernel Function Of Pressure.

**Table 2.** Summary Result Regarding The Training Data Set Counts

| Case Processing Summary | N   | Percent |
|-------------------------|-----|---------|
| Sample                  |     |         |
| Training                | 143 | 71.5%   |
| Testing                 | 57  | 28.5%   |
| Valid                   | 200 | 100.0%  |
| Excluded                | 9   |         |
| Total                   | 209 |         |
Table 3. Network Information of Result of Real-Time Data of Three Pressure Sensor Data.

| Network Information                      |         |         |
|-----------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| **Input Layer**                         | Factors | Of cv opening |
| Number of Units                         | 1       | 59      |
| **Hidden Layer**                        | Number of Units | 10<sup>a</sup> |
| Activation Function                    | Softmax |         |
| **Output Layer**                        | Dependent Variables | 1         |
|                                        |         | pressure1 |
|                                        | 2       | pressure2 |
|                                        | 3       | pressure3 |
| Number of Units                         | 3       |         |
| Rescaling Method for Scale Dependents   | Standardized |         |
| Activation Function                    | Identity |         |
| Error Function                         | Sum of Squares |         |

<sup>a</sup>. Determined by the testing data criterion: The "best" number of hidden units is the one that yields the smallest error in the testing data.

Table 4. Model Summary Based On Radial Basis Function.

| Model Summary |         |         |
|---------------|---------|---------|
| **Training**  | Sum of Squares Error | 60.705 |
|               | Average Overall Relative Error | .285  |
|               | Relative Error for Scale Dependents | pressure1 | .285 |
|               |                                        | pressure2 | .285 |
|               |                                        | pressure3 | .285 |
|               | Training Time | 0:00:00.69  |
| **Testing**   | Sum of Squares Error | 40.598<sup>a</sup> |
|               | Average Overall Relative Error | .451 |
|               | pressure | .451  |

Figure 7. Pressure With ANN Results With Reduced Fault Indices.
The initializations of the number of the RBF nodes are very important, if an unsuitable initialization number of the RBF nodes is performed, the training time will be heavy, and the approximation error hard to be achieved. The reason is that during the execution of a local search algorithm to make a fine tuning of the positions and widths of the RBF nodes, the search algorithm is hard to offset the flaw of the architecture. So, a self-organizing algorithm is necessary for the RBF structure design. This algorithm can be used to add new RBF nodes and reduce redundancy RBF nodes, which solves the problem of the architecture. Meanwhile, the position and width of the RBF nodes are very important, if the position and width are not appropriate for the RBF nodes, there is a possibility of falling into a bad local minimum. When the RBF neural network selects the correct number of the RBF nodes, the parameters of the weights, the position and width of the RBF nodes will be adjusted at the same time. The key problems of the RBF neural network are the structure design and the parameters-learning approaches. The structure design mainly relies on the RBF nodes in the hidden layer. The network structure of the three pressure sensor data are applied in radial bias function neural network structure to enumerate its training data and summary of the network information and to estimate the residuals of the consolidated three pressure sensor data obtained from the lab scale experimental setup and its statistics result generated by feeding real-time data of pressure are given in Table 2, 3 and 4. The neural network shows that ten hidden layers were incorporated and three pressure sensor data as pressure 1, 2 and 3 with bias as input and the residual with fault indices as output as shown in this figure 5 along with its synaptic weights. The results have minimized error as compared with actual value. Real measurements can be characterized by their own ranges of measurement errors which are primarily determined by the corresponding measurement devices. The uncertainty of the measurement should be considered when evaluating the performance of fault location. The deviated pressure residuals are served as inputs to the trained neural network for estimating fault indices. In this case, all 3 faults can be accurately identified with the deviated monitored pressure. Given the uncertainty of the monitored data, the simulated outputs of the neural network (i.e., the estimated fault indices) for different faults are given in Figure 7. It can be seen that even with reasonable measurement errors, the fault indices can be accurately estimated, and the fault location can be identified accurately.

V. CONCLUSION

This research work presents a fault identification and control based on extreme pressure estimation using artificial neural network. Different from general neural network training procedure in which the neural network is trained to adapt to the training data. Both the neural networks and training data are updated simultaneously during training procedure in the proposed approach of Radial basis function neural network. The fault indices numbered arbitrarily originally are adjusted to a series of numerical values which can reveal the difference of their impact on pressure residuals. Using this approach, the faults can be accurately identified using limited monitored data even when measurement uncertainty is taken into account. With this approach, the faults can be identified accurately using only one neural network, rather than employing a considerable number of matrices to register various types of faults.
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