Christopher J. Richards1, Thomas J. Smart1, Philip H. Jones1 & David Cubero2

Pyotr Kapitza studied in 1951 the unusual equilibrium features of a rigid pendulum when its point of suspension is under a high-frequency vertical vibration. A sufficiently fast vibration makes the top position stable, putting the pendulum in an inverted orientation that seemingly defies gravity. Kapitza’s analytical method, based on an asymptotic separation of fast and slow variables yielding a renormalized potential, has found application in many diverse areas. Here we study Kapitza’s pendulum going beyond its typical idealizations, by explicitly considering its finite stiffness and the dissipative interaction with the surrounding medium, and using similar theoretical methods as Kapitza. The pendulum is realized at the micrometre scale using a colloidal particle suspended in water and trapped by optical tweezers. Though the strong dissipation present at this scale prevents the inverted pendulum regime, new ones appear in which the equilibrium positions are displaced to the side, and with transitions between them determined either by the driving frequency or the friction coefficient. These new regimes could be exploited in applications aimed at particle separation at small scales.

Kapitza’s analysis1,2 of a vibrating pendulum arrived more than 40 years after the counter-intuitive phenomenon of inverted stability had been reported3. His celebrated analytical insight has found application in many fields across diverse length scales: from macroscopic applications4–6, where fast vibrations play an important role in the vibrational transport or manipulation of granular media, to microscopic and nanoscopic applications7–20, in areas such as Brownian motors9,13,21, atomic physics8,10–13, optical systems14,15 or biophysics17–20.

More specifically, to mention a few examples, it has been shown that the addition of a sufficiently high frequency force may be able to suppress the firing activity of a neuron17, the stochastic-resonance response in a quantum system11, enable the experimental realization of the Harper-Hofstadter Hamiltonian with cold atoms8,12 or suppress transport in a ratchet system9,13. Particle transport at submicron scales is of paramount interest in the design and operation of nanodevices21. In most cases inertial effects can be neglected at these scales: the particle’s mass m is very small, and the viscous coefficient η very large, yielding overdamped dynamics. Diffusion and other transport properties depend on η, which is related to the particle size, but are otherwise largely independent of m, hindering applications like particle separation with respect to the particle’s mass. The addition of a high frequency force has already been proposed9 in one-dimensional spatially periodic systems, as a tool to maintain inertial effects —via a dependency on η/m— useful for particle segregation purposes, in the presence of overdamped dynamics. In this regard, we report here similar benefits for high frequency forces in the circular system studied by Kapitza, i.e. theoretical dependency on the damping constant η/m despite strong overdamped dynamics. The analytical predictions are demonstrated both in numerical simulations and experimentally at the micrometer scale.

Results
We study the dynamical regimes of a vibrating rigid pendulum with a finite stiffness in the presence of dissipation. Experimentally this is realised using a microscopic particle suspended in water and confined with optical tweezers. As an analytical model, we consider a two-dimensional system with a single particle of mass m subject to the following equation of motion

$$m\ddot{x} = -m\gamma\dot{x} - \frac{\partial U}{\partial x}(x, y - y_0) + \xi_x$$

$$m\ddot{y} = -m\gamma\dot{y} - \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}(x, y - y_0) - F + \xi_y,$$

(1)
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where $\eta = m\gamma$ is the fluid drag coefficient (i.e. $\gamma$ is the viscous drag coefficient normalised by the particle mass), $U(x, y)$ is the pendulum potential, and $F$ is the pendulum downward force—e.g. weight in the case of the classical Kapitza pendulum. The high-frequency vibration of the pendulum suspension is applied parallel to the direction of the force $F$, as shown in Fig. 1(b), and is described by

$$y(t) = -a\cos(\omega t + \phi_0),$$  

with $a$, $\omega$ and $\phi_0$ being the driving constants amplitude, frequency and phase respectively. In Eq. (1) the force terms $(\xi_x, \xi_y)$ are multivariate Gaussian white noise accounting for the fluctuating interaction of the colloidal particle with the surrounding fluid, with properties $\langle \xi_j \rangle = \langle \xi(t)\xi(t') \rangle = (2m\gamma k_B T)\delta(t - t')\delta_{ij}, i,j=x,y$, $\xi_j = x, y$, where $T$ is the fluid temperature. Albeit relevant to the full description of our experiments, this random force does not alter the analysis presented here, and for the sake of simplicity will be omitted in the following. The model system described by (1)–(2) can be equally used to study a macroscopic pendulum immersed in a viscous fluid, although at this scale the fluctuating forces $\xi_j$ will be negligible.

As a concrete example we consider the following quartic potential, illustrated in Fig. 1,

$$U(x, y) = (x^2 + y^2)(x^2 + y^2 - 2L^2)U_0/L^4 = r^2(r^2 - 2L^2)U_0/L^4,$$

because it is a simple form that captures the main physics of a mathematical pendulum of length $L$ for sufficiently large $U_0 > 0$, and in addition is amenable to analytical calculations. For small deviations around the minimum, the potential can be expanded as $U(x, y) \approx -U_0 + m\omega_0^2(x^2 + y^2 - L^2)/2$, where $\omega_0 = (8U_0/mL^2)^{1/2}$ is the pendulum's proper frequency. Formally, it becomes completely rigid in the limit $\omega_0 \to \infty$. Throughout the paper, we will assume $\omega_0^2 \gg F/mL = \Omega^2$ (with $\Omega$ the pendulum oscillation frequency) in order to maintain pendulum-like properties. In the limit of high rigidity, the specific details of the potential (3)—other than the proper frequency $\omega_0$—become irrelevant, and the results are valid for any physically acceptable pendulum potential.

**The very high-frequency limit.** Let us now study the effect of a vibration with an associated frequency $\omega$ which is much larger than any characteristic frequency of the system. This is equivalent to formally taking the asymptotic limit $\omega \to \infty$ while keeping other parameters constant. Following Kapitza's celebrated analysis, it is expected that $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ change on a much slower time-scale than that of the high-frequency drive, thus the explicit time dependence in the equation of motion (1) can be removed by integrating over a time interval that
includes many high-frequency periods but in which \( x(t) \) and \( y(t) \) do not appreciably change. Equivalently, this explicit fast dependence can also be eliminated by noting that \( x(t) \) and \( y(t) \) should be insensitive to the driving phase \( \varphi_0 \). Integrating Eq. (1) over \( \varphi_0 \) yields the following equations

\[
\begin{align*}
    m\ddot{x} &= -m\gamma\dot{x} - \frac{\partial U_{\text{eff}}}{\partial x}, \\
    m\ddot{y} &= -m\gamma\dot{y} - \frac{\partial U_{\text{eff}}}{\partial y} - F,
\end{align*}
\]

(4)

where \( U_{\text{eff}} \) is an effective potential given by:

\[
U_{\text{eff}}(x, y) = U(x, y) + \frac{1}{8}ma_0^2 \left( \frac{a}{L} \right)^3 (x^2 + 3y^2).
\]

(5)

This approximation can be also obtained as the second order of a multiple time-scale expansion —for non-dissipative systems, like the quantum two-level system of ref. 2, it is only valid up to a given time-scale (second order), with corrections needed for coarser time-scales (third order), though in the dissipative case it is usually valid at higher orders due to the presence of attractors. Furthermore, note also that the leading order correction term considered in section 30 of the book vanish in this case, but the next order correction leads precisely to the equations presented here, Eqs (4) and (5).

With the effective potential (5), the bottom of the pendulum, \((x, y) = (0, -L)\), is still stable for driving amplitudes in the range \( 0 < a < a_1 \), where the threshold \( a_1 \) can be computed to lowest order in \( \Omega_0/\omega_0 \) as

\[
a_1 \approx \frac{\sqrt{2} \Omega}{\omega_0}
\]

(6)

In the interval \( a_1 < a < a_2 \approx \sqrt{2}L \) (also to the lowest order in \( \Omega_0/\omega_0 \)), there are only the following stable equilibrium positions

\[
\begin{align*}
    x &= \pm L \sqrt{1 - (aL)^2/2 - 4(\Omega_0/\omega_0)^4/(aL)^2}, \\
    y &= -2L(\Omega_0/\omega_0)^2/(aL)^2.
\end{align*}
\]

(7)

For \( a_2 < a \) there is only one equilibrium point, located slightly below the pendulum's center, near \((x, y) = (0, 0)\). Notice that none of these equilibrium points are associated with the inverted pendulum. For that, a very rigid pendulum is needed, that is, with a pendulum frequency \( \omega_0 \) much larger than the driving frequency \( \omega \).

**A rigid pendulum with friction.** In the presence of friction, the necessary condition \( \omega < \omega_0 \) is not sufficient to observe the inverted pendulum effect, i.e. the stabilization of the pendulum's top equilibrium position. In order to understand this, let us consider the effect of increasing the friction coefficient \( \gamma \) while keeping all other parameters fixed. Since the friction force opposes the particle's movement, as \( \gamma \) is increased the particle is increasingly slowed down, taking longer to reach the equilibrium positions. Thus, the friction slows down the system response, effectively decreasing its characteristic frequencies. For large enough friction the system's response can be so slow, much slower than the drive of frequency \( \omega \), that is it is effectively carried into the very high-frequency regime discussed above, regardless of whether \( \omega < \omega_0 \) is satisfied or not.

Indeed, in the overdamped regime the friction is so large that the inertial terms \( m(x, y) \) in (1) can be neglected in comparison with the friction force \(-m\gamma(x, y)\), and the equation of motion thus becomes first order in time. In this regime the characteristic timescale for relaxation of the pendulum length, \( \tau_0 \) is given by the ratio of friction to the pendulum stiffness, thus \( \tau_0 = \omega^2/\gamma \). Since this is the largest intrinsic frequency in the system, the condition for a (very) high-frequency driving is thus \( \omega_0^2/\gamma < \omega \), in contrast with \( \omega_0 \) which is needed in the weakly damped regime. A large enough friction can thus put the system into the very high-frequency regime.

In order to go beyond the very high-frequency regime analytically, let us consider the asymptotic limit \( \omega_0 \to \infty \), while keeping \( \gamma/\omega_0 \) constant (a constant that can be zero), so as to include the weakly damped regime. In practice, this asymptotic limit implies \( \omega < \omega_0 \) and thus also \( \omega_0 \), and we can approximate the potential term in (10) by its linear form,
Then, the dynamics of \( r(t) \) will be either fast oscillations (weakly damped) around its equilibrium position \( r_0 \), or a rapid decay (overdamped) to \( r_0 \). Since \( r_0(t) \) varies in a timescale much slower than that of \( r(t) \), it can be easily calculated from (10)–(11) in the leading orders as

\[
 r_0 \sim L + \frac{\dot{y}_0 \cos \phi + \gamma \dot{y}_0 \cos \phi}{\omega_0^2}. \tag{12}
\]

Inserting \( r(t) \sim r_0 \) into (9), and neglecting the terms that vanish in the limit \( \omega_0 \to \infty \), \( \gamma/\omega_0 = \) constant, yields

\[
 m \ddot{\phi} = -m \gamma (\dot{L} \dot{\phi} + \dot{y} \sin \phi) - (F + m \ddot{y}) \sin \phi - m \frac{\gamma}{\omega_0} \dot{y} \dot{\phi} \cos \phi. \tag{13}
\]

This equation generalizes Kapitza’s original equation for the case of moderate friction coefficients, being valid in a range that goes from zero to values holding \( \gamma \ll \omega_0^2/\omega \). Although this range excludes values such that \( \omega_0^2/\omega \sim \gamma \), the regime of extremely large frictions \( \omega_0^2/\omega \ll \gamma \) is already covered by the very high-frequency regime as discussed above. Note also that since every physically relevant pendulum potential can be approximated by a linear form when its intrinsic frequency \( \omega_0 \) is large enough, (13) is valid for any pendulum potential in the stated limit.

Previous studies of Kapitza’s pendulum in the presence of dissipation considered an equation of motion which does not include the last term of Eq. (13), as expected after an infinitely-rigid pendulum assumption \( (\omega_0 = \infty) \), but also ignore the term proportional to \( \dot{y} \sin \phi \) in (13), which accounts for the vertical vibrations of the point of suspension. This latter term is a consequence of the fact that the viscous force is proportional to the velocity of the pendulum relative to the fluid—it is absent in the study of ref.27—because the relevant damping force does not come from the surrounding fluid, but from a magnetic braking system specifically designed for the experiment, and thus proportional to the angular velocity of the pendulum only.

We now take equation (13) as a starting point for the (relatively) high-frequency limit \( \omega_\to \infty \) with \( a \omega = \) constant. Following Kapitza, first we extract the rapid oscillations produced by the high-frequency drive by defining a slow variable \( \phi \) such as \( \phi = \bar{\phi} + \xi \), where \( \xi = -\gamma/\omega L \sin \bar{\phi} \). Expanding the sines and cosines in (13) for small \( \xi \) (note that the formal limit implies \( a \to 0 \), which in practice implies \( a/L \ll 1 \)), \( \sin \bar{\phi} \sim \bar{\phi} + \frac{\sin \bar{\phi} + \cos \bar{\phi}}{2} \xi \), \( \cos \bar{\phi} \sim \bar{\phi} - (\sin \bar{\phi})\xi \), and averaging over the driving phase \( \phi_0 \) yields the following equation for the slow variable

\[
 \ddot{\bar{\phi}} = -m\bar{\phi} - \frac{dV_{\text{eff}}}{d\phi}, \tag{14}
\]

where the new effective potential is given by

\[
 V_{\text{eff}}(\bar{\phi}) = -m\Omega^2 \left[ \cos \bar{\phi} + \frac{\lambda}{4} \cos 2\bar{\phi} \right]. \tag{15}
\]

and

\[
 \lambda = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{a}{L} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\omega}{\Omega} \right)^2 \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{\omega_0^2} \right). \tag{16}
\]

The effective potential \( V_{\text{eff}} \) shows three distinct regimes depending on the value of \( \gamma \). We find the inverted pendulum effect, i.e. a stable equilibrium point at the top of the pendulum \( \phi = \pi \), for frictions in the range \( 0 < \gamma < \gamma_1 \), where

\[
 \gamma_1 = \omega_0 \sqrt{1 - 2 \left( \frac{\Omega/\omega}{a/L} \right)^2}. \tag{17}
\]

Obviously, for this solution to exist, it is required that \( (a/L)^2 > 2(\Omega/\omega)^2 \). Thus, a necessary condition for the appearance of the Kapitza effect is \( \gamma < \omega_0 \). In the interval \( \gamma_1 < \gamma < \gamma_2 \), with

\[
 \gamma_2 = \omega_0 \sqrt{1 + 2 \left( \frac{\Omega/\omega}{a/L} \right)^2}, \tag{18}
\]

the only stable equilibrium point is at the bottom of the pendulum, as for a normal pendulum that is unperturbed. However, by \( \gamma_2 < \gamma \) there has been a bifurcation of this bottom solution into the equilibrium points \( \phi = \pm \phi_1 \), where

\[
 \phi_1 = \arccos(-1/\lambda). \tag{19}
\]
The necessary condition \( \gamma < \frac{2}{\omega} \) for these latter solutions can be expressed as \( \omega \gamma > \Omega - \frac{aL}{2} \left( \frac{aL}{2} \right)^2 \). They are reminiscent of the solutions (7) in the very high-frequency limit, which indeed can be written as

\[
\phi_{1}^{\text{HF}} = \arccos \left[ \frac{2(\Omega/\omega_0)^2}{(a/L)^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{2}{a^2}(a/L)^2}} \right]
\]

However, in the case (19), valid for \( \gamma \ll \omega^2/\omega \), the equilibrium angles vary continuously with the friction coefficient and driving frequency, while in the very high-frequency limit (\( \omega^2/\omega \ll \gamma \)) they are independent of them.

All these regimes can be explored by varying the friction coefficient while keeping all other parameters fixed, as illustrated in Fig. 2. Note that at each regime there is a distinct equilibrium point that could be used to discriminate between different friction values. Alternatively, the driving frequency can be used to control the dynamical regime, as shown in Fig. 3.

In order to test these predictions, we realized a microscopic pendulum using an optical tweezers experiment consisting of a \( d = 1.5 \mu m \) diameter silica sphere, suspended in water and confined in a ring-shaped optical potential well with radius \( L \) of about 8 \( \mu m \). The optical trap was created by rapidly scanning the waist of a tightly focused laser beam around the circumference of a circle using galvanometer mirrors. With sufficiently high laser...
intensity, confinement of the particle is such that the system behaves as a microscopic quasi-rigid pendulum in the overdamped regime (here $\omega < \frac{\omega_0}{\Omega}$, see Methods). The pendulum uniform force $F$ was a viscous drag created by translating the suspending fluid in the plane of the pendulum at constant speed $v_s$, i.e., $F = m\gamma v_s$, in effect making gravity a tunable parameter in the experiment. It was then vibrated by superimposing an oscillation onto the position of the trap in a direction parallel to the drag force.

Figure 4 shows the experimental results in the very high-frequency regime ($\omega < \frac{\omega_0}{\Omega}$). To demonstrate the control over the pendulum dynamics in this regime, two experimental set-ups, with different laser power and stage speed, were considered. The parameters $L$ and $\omega_0$ of the optical pendulum are measured from an experiment with no driving vibration (see Methods), and there are no fitted parameters. The quantitative agreement between the analytical prediction and the experimental data shown in Fig. 4 is very good, especially taking into account that the experimental pendulum potential does not have the quartic form of Eq. (3) among the space interval spanned by the vibration—see section Methods. With a change of experimental parameters we are also able to enter the regime $\frac{\omega_0^2}{\gamma} < \gamma$ (see Eq. (17)), the friction-filter regime illustrated in Figs 2(c) and 3. Figure 5 shows the experimental results with system parameters within the normal and friction-filter regime. The experimental equilibrium angles are well described by the analytical prediction of equation (19)—formally valid till $\omega < \frac{\omega_0^2}{\gamma}$—including the threshold (18) for stabilization away from $\phi = 0$ (the normal regime). The top panel of Fig. 5 also shows convergence of all curves towards the very high frequency value (20) (valid for $\omega_0^2/\gamma < \omega$).

Colloidal particles suspended in water exhibit a strongly overdamped dynamics, not permitting the observation of the inverted pendulum regime. The necessary condition for this regime, $\gamma < \omega_0$ (see Eq. (17)), could be satisfied at the microscale for a similar experimental setup, but with air as medium of suspension. However, the new regimes exhibit novel features with promising potential applications.

Figure 3. Diagram showing the different regimes under the same conditions as in Fig. 2, with $\omega_0/\Omega = 100$ and $a/L = 0.0732$.

Figure 4. Experimental equilibrium angles as a function of the vibration amplitude in the very high-frequency regime for an optical pendulum with length $L = 8.23 \mu m$. The vibration frequency was $\omega = 2\pi \times 500$ Hz. Filled points correspond to an optical pendulum with proper frequency $\omega_0 = 2\pi \times 947$ Hz and stage speed $v_s = 1 \mu s^{-1}$ —leading to $\Omega = 2\pi \times 105$ Hz and $\gamma/\omega_0 = 598$. Open points correspond to an experimental setup with an increased laser power, $\omega_0 = 2\pi \times 1490$ Hz ($\gamma/\omega_0 = 380$), and a reduced stage speed, such that $\Omega = 2\pi \times 91$ Hz. The lines are the analytical predictions of Eq. (7), with a friction value calculated assuming Stokes’ law $\gamma = 3\pi \zeta d/m$, where $\zeta$ is the dynamic viscosity of water.
In the friction-filter regime, particles of different size have different friction coefficients, yielding different equilibrium angles. This fact could be used to sort small colloidal particles according to their size or refractive index, adding a possibility—or a new degree of freedom—for a combined approach—to existing techniques based on extended optical landscapes or Brownian ratchets.

Finally, let us note that with the experimental setup considered here, the ratio \( \gamma^2/\Omega^2 \approx \eta L/\gamma \kappa \), where \( \kappa = m\omega_0^2 \) is the optical pendulum stiffness, is independent of the particle's mass \( m \) if the parameters \( \eta, L \) and \( \kappa \) are kept fixed. Thus, the equilibrium angles in the friction-filter regime would be also be mass independent, in the overdamped regime \( (\gamma/\omega_0 \gg 1) \) under these conditions, ignoring the mass sensitivity embedded in \( \gamma \) in the friction-filter regime. Two particles with same size (same \( \eta \)) and under the same optical potential, but with different mass, would be hard to distinguish in this experiment. In order to exploit, for particle segregation purposes, the residual inertial sensitivity induced by the high frequency driving in this strongly overdamped system, it would suffice to replace the viscous drag force \( F = m\gamma \) with the particle's own weight \( F = mg \), where \( g \) is the acceleration of gravity, like in Kapizta's original setup. Then the pendulum frequency \( \Omega \) would become independent of \( m \), and the angles (19) sensitive to \( m \). This gravitational force is of the same order of magnitude than the drag force considered here. The use of a tunable \( F \), on the other hand, has allowed us to explore experimentally the transition line between the normal and friction-filter regime, as shown in Fig. 5.

Conclusions and outlook
In short, we have revisited Kapizta's pendulum by explicitly considering a finite stiffness and viscous friction, which give rise to new regimes. The new analytical results are validated with numerical simulations and demonstrated at the micrometer scale with colloidal particles confined by optical tweezers. In the limit of a very high frequency vibration, i.e. faster than the pendulum proper frequency, the top point is not stable. The strong dissipation existing for colloidal particles in water prevents the observation of the inverted pendulum regime in the reported experiments. In contrast, the new dynamical regimes are all demonstrated experimentally. They are rich, allowing an increased control at the micrometer scale by means of the driving parameters or the friction coefficient.

The work presented here demonstrates the usefulness of the regime intermediate between static potentials, and those modulated at very high frequency, i.e. the friction-filter regime of the above. Modulation of the potential at these intermediate frequencies gives rise to dynamics that have a sensitivity to additional parameters, i.e. the friction coefficient, that may be usefully exploited in separating particles.

Methods
Experimental set-up. The optical tweezers is built around an inverted fluorescence microscope using a \( \times 100 \), NA = 1.3 oil immersion objective lens. The microscope is also equipped with a three-axis nanospositioning piezo stage which we use to apply a controlled fluid drag to the trapped particle. The trapping laser beam is derived from a single mode Nd:YAG laser with maximum output power of 3 W. The path of the trapping beam includes a pair of orthogonally mounted galvanometer scanning mirrors in a plane made conjugate to the back aperture of the objective by a pair of lenses which also serve to increase the beam diameter to slightly overfill the aperture. The galvanometer mirrors can steer the location of the beam waist in two dimensions in the focal plane of the objective. Control of the scanning mirrors, and thus of the focal spot position, is performed via a National Instruments interface controlled by Matlab. The experiment is visualised using a CMOS camera. A schematic of the experimental apparatus is illustrated in Fig. 6.
The pendulum potential is created by scanning the laser beam waist in a circle at high frequency $f = 1.2 \text{ kHz}$, such that a trapped particle experiences a time-averaged potential approximating a quasi-rigid pendulum. A particle trapped in the circular potential is subject to a uniform viscous drag force by moving the nanopositioning stage at a speed $v_s$ of a few microns per second. The center of the optical potential is oscillated, in the direction parallel to the stage movement, by adding a small amplitude sinusoidal oscillation at angular frequency $\omega$ to one of the galvanometer mirrors.

Video tracking. The position of the particle in the pendulum potential is tracked using digital video microscopy (DVM)\textsuperscript{28}. Video is recorded at frame rates of up to 100 fps using the CMOS camera for up to 40 s over an area of approximately $22 \mu\text{m} \times 22 \mu\text{m}$. A custom-written (in Matlab) particle tracking algorithm is used to track the position of the center-of-mass of the particle. Examples of the results of the video tracking output are shown in Fig. 7 for two cases: no driving (blue) and high frequency stabilization (red). The trajectories have been superimposed on an image of the fast scanning laser spot to indicate the pendulum potential. For zero modulation amplitude the particle moves around the potential, in the direction shown by the arrow, to become localized in the region of $\phi = 0$. For high—above threshold—driving amplitude, the colloidal particle stabilizes away from $\phi = 0$ as shown, even in the presence of the uniform fluid drag force.

Calibration and experimental parameters. The radial stiffness of the pendulum potential is calibrated by allowing the particle to diffuse freely in the optical potential with no fluid drag force nor driving vibration applied. An example histogram of $N = 7.2 \times 10^5$ samples of the radial position derived from DVM is shown in
The pendulum proper frequency is then found from the radial spring constant as \( \omega = \sqrt{\kappa/r} \). The pendulum potential energy in the radial direction is then \( U_r = \kappa r^2 \). However, given that air has a dynamic viscosity \( \kappa \), the experimental results depicted in Fig. 5 were carried out with an optical pendulum with a slightly larger radius \( L = 8.228 \pm 0.001 \mu m \).

The dynamic viscosity of water at 25 °C, the approximate temperature of the experiments, is \( \zeta = 0.89 \text{ mPa} \cdot \text{s} \). Note that at 23 °C water viscosity is \( \zeta = 0.94 \text{ mPa} \cdot \text{s} \), which represents a relative uncertainty of about 5%—one of the largest sources of uncertainty in our experiments. The Stokes drag coefficient for the colloidal particle in water is then \( \eta = 3\pi\zeta d = 1.26 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Ns} \cdot \text{m}^{-1} \), and the damping coefficient \( \gamma = 3\pi\zeta d/m \approx 2\pi \times 567 \times 10^3 \text{ Hz} \).

The experimental data of Fig. 4 correspond to \( L = 8.23 \mu m \). The set of filled points in Fig. 4 were obtained with an optical potential with \( \kappa_0 = 0.125 \text{ pN} \cdot \text{m}^{-1} \) (leading to \( \omega_0 = 2\pi \times 947 \text{ Hz} \)) and stage speed \( v_s = 1 \mu m \text{s}^{-1} \) (leading to the pendulum’s natural frequency \( \Omega = \sqrt{\kappa_0/L} = 2\pi \times 105 \text{ Hz} \)), while the empty points in Fig. 4 correspond to \( \kappa_0 = 0.310 \text{ pN} \cdot \text{m}^{-1} \) (\( \omega_0 = 2\pi \times 1490 \text{ Hz} \)) and \( v_s = 0.76 \mu m \text{s}^{-1} \) (\( \Omega = 2\pi \times 91 \text{ Hz} \)). In both cases the modulation frequency was fixed to \( \omega = 2\pi \times 500 \text{ Hz} \).

The experimental results depicted in Fig. 5 were carried out with an optical pendulum with a slightly larger radius \( L = 8.611 \mu m \). The specific values of the optical trap stiffness and stage speed are shown in Table 1. The values of \( \gamma/\omega_0 \) are varying for each set, but larger than 200 in all four cases, thus also lying in the overdamped regime.

The inverted pendulum at the micrometer scale. The stiffest optical pendulum we could obtain with the laser at maximum power corresponds to a proper frequency of about \( \omega_0 = 2\pi \times 2700 \text{ Hz} \), which implies a ratio \( \gamma/\omega_0 = 0.210 \). This value is too high for the necessary condition for the inverted pendulum regime obtained above, i.e., \( \gamma/\omega_0 < 1 \). However, given that air has a dynamic viscosity \( \zeta \) which is about 50 times smaller than water, using larger colloidal particles and/or a more powerful laser could put the ratio \( \gamma/\omega_0 \) below unity, thus allowing the observation of the inverted pendulum regime at the micrometer scale with a similar experimental setup than the one proposed here. This is facilitated by the fact that aerosol optical tweezers are well established nowadays. The resulting demonstration of the inverted pendulum regime would add to the existing numerous experimental demonstrations of the inverted pendulum at the macroscopic scale.

Table 1. Detailed data of the four sets shown in Fig. 5. They all share a common ratio \( \omega_0/\Omega = 26.4 \pm 0.1 \).

| \( \kappa_0 (\text{pN} \mu \text{m}^{-1}) \) | \( \gamma_0 (\mu \text{m}^{-1}) \) |
|---|---|
| 1 | 1.017 | 1.00 |
| 2 | 0.741 | 0.73 |
| 3 | 0.675 | 0.66 |
| 4 | 0.468 | 0.46 |

Figure 8. Measuring the optical potential parameters. (a) Histogram of fluctuations in the radial direction due to Brownian motion, as a result of \( N = 7.2 \times 10^5 \) samples of the radial position in a typical experiment. The corresponding average radius is \( \langle r \rangle = L = 8.228 \pm 0.001 \mu m \). (b) Potential energy in the radial direction reconstructed from the data shown in the left panel (solid black line), together with a quadratic fit to potential minimum using \( \kappa_0 = 0.125 \text{ pN} \cdot \text{m}^{-1} \) (dashed red line), and the associated quartic potential (dotted blue line).
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