The physical origins and dominant emission mechanisms of Lyman alpha haloes: results from the TNG50 simulation in comparison to MUSE observations
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ABSTRACT

Extended Lyman alpha emission is now commonly detected around high-redshift galaxies through stacking and even on individual basis. Despite recent observational advances, the physical origin of these Lyman alpha haloes (LAHs), as well as their relationships to galaxies, quasars, circumgalactic gas, and other environmental factors remains unclear. We present results from our new Lyman alpha full radiative transfer code VOROILTIS which runs directly on the unstructured Voronoi tessellation of cosmological hydrodynamical simulations. We make use of the TNG50 simulation and simulate LAHs from redshift \( z = 2 \) to \( z = 5 \), focusing on star-forming galaxies with \( 8.0 < \log_{10} (M_\star / M_\odot) < 10.5 \). While TNG50 does not directly follow ionizing radiation, it includes an on-the-fly treatment for active galactic nuclei (AGNs) and ultraviolet background radiation with self-shielding, which are important processes impacting the cooling and ionization of the gas. Based on this model, we present the predictions for the stacked radial surface brightness profiles of Ly\( \alpha \) as a function of galaxy mass and redshift. Comparison with data from the MUSE UDF at \( z > 3 \) reveals a promising level of agreement. We measure the correlations of LAH size and central brightness with galaxy properties, finding that at the masses of \( 8.5 \leq \log_{10} (M_\star / M_\odot) \leq 9.5 \), physical LAH sizes roughly double from \( z = 2 \) to \( z = 5 \). Finally, we decompose the profiles into contributions from diffuse emission and scattered photons from star-forming regions. In our simulations, we find rescattered photons from star-forming regions to be the major source in observed LAHs. Unexpectedly, we find that the flattening of LAH profiles at large radii becomes dominated by photons originating from other nearby haloes rather than diffuse emission itself.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The Lyman \( \alpha \) (Ly\( \alpha \)) line of hydrogen at 121.567 nm is one of the brightest emission lines in the Universe. It allows us to detect, and trace the distribution of, galaxies even out to very high redshifts \( z > 5 \). These Lyman \( \alpha \) emitters (LAEs; Partridge & Peebles 1967) can be used to probe the physics of galaxy formation (Finkelstein et al. 2009; Nagamine et al. 2010; Erb et al. 2014) as well as constrain cosmological parameters and large-scale structure (Hill et al. 2008; Adams et al. 2011). Starting in the 80s, spatially extended Ly\( \alpha \) emission has been detected, often called Lyman \( \alpha \) blobs (LABs) and Lyman \( \alpha \) nebulae with large extents between \( \sim 10 \) and 100 pkpc (McCarthy et al. 1987; Heckman et al. 1991; Steidel et al. 2000).

More recently, Lyman \( \alpha \) haloes (LAHs) have been discovered around star-forming galaxies that show Ly\( \alpha \) emission far beyond the galaxies’ optical bodies, tracing the circumgalactic rather than interstellar gas (e.g. Hayes et al. 2013). While LAHs are fainter and smaller than LABs in their Ly\( \alpha \) extent, they might be a generic feature around Ly\( \alpha \) emitting galaxies. Narrow-band imaging can efficiently detect LAHs at targeted redshifts through stacking (Hayashino et al. 2004; Steidel et al. 2011; Matsuda et al. 2012; Feldmeier et al. 2013), and narrow-band surveys enable ultradeep, blind samples of LAHs around distant galaxies (Momose et al. 2014, 2016; Kakuma et al. 2019). In the last years modern surveys performed with integral field unit (IFU) spectrographs on 10 m-class telescopes, such as the Multi Unit Spectroscopic Explorer (MUSE) and the Keck Cosmic Web Imager (KCWI), take place. These new
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instruments allow the study of individual, faint LAHs opposed to previous narrow-band stacks. Along with the IFUs’ spectral resolution these recent surveys largely increase the information available from LAH observations. Hundreds of individually extended Lyman α haloes at $z \gtrsim 2$ have been revealed since (Wisotzki et al. 2016). Many of these are specifically targeted samples which focus on bright quasars (Borissova et al. 2016; Cai et al. 2019; Guo et al. 2020; O’Sullivan et al. 2020), based on strong earlier evidence of enhanced Ly α emission around active galactic nuclei (AGNs Cantalupo et al. 2014; Arrigoni Battaia et al. 2016; Arrigoni Battaia et al. 2019; Farina et al. 2019). Others exploit the large field of view of MUSE, in particular, to conduct blind surveys for LAHs around more typical, generally star-forming galaxies (Leclercq et al. 2017; Wisotzki et al. 2018; Leclercq et al. 2020). At the same time, follow-up with other instruments such as ALMA reveals complementary views on other gas phases within LAHs including CO (Emonts et al. 2019).

Beyond the circumgalactic medium (CGM), first attempts have been made to detect cosmic web filaments in Ly α emission (Gallego et al. 2018; Lusso et al. 2019; Umehata et al. 2019), as well as to study the interface between the IGM and CGM as gas flows feed galaxies (Martin et al. 2019). Finally, Ly α emission is also a powerful cosmological tool. The Hobby–Eberly Telescope Dark Energy Experiment (HETDEX) is an IFU survey which will detect up to a million LAEs, as well as many extended LAHs, at more moderate spatial and spectral resolution (Hill et al. 2008).

Despite extensive observational detection and characterization, the physical properties and nature of Ly α haloes remains an open topic. It is unclear whether the extended profiles are mainly sourced by (i) diffuse emission outside of central galaxies, or whether (ii) scatterings of Ly α photons emitted from within central galaxies power observed LAHs. Diffuse emission is commonly considered to be sourced by gravitational cooling (Haiman, Spaans & Quataert 2000; Fardal et al. 2001; Faucher-Giguère et al. 2010) and fluorescence (Gould & Weinberg 1996; Cantalupo et al. 2005; Kollmeier et al. 2010; Mas-Ribas & Dijkstra 2016), while star formation and quasars can provide significant emission within galaxies (Dijkstra, Haiman & Spaans 2006; Zheng et al. 2011) that can scatter with neutral hydrogen in the CGM. Emission from orbiting satellite galaxies can also lead to extended Ly α profiles (Mas-Ribas et al. 2017).

The difficulties in determining the powering source of LAHs are closely linked to the resonant nature of Ly α photons that can scatter many times in astrophysical environments before escaping towards the observer. This causes the observed frequency and angular position to significantly change due to radiative transfer (RT). Only in the simple, symmetric geometries, RT can be solved analytically (Harrington 1973; Neufeld 1990; Loeb & Rybicki 1999; Faucher-Giguère et al. 2010; Faucher-Giguère et al. 2011) and find the simulation can produce Ly α extents as large and luminous as those observed, only using central emission from AGNs and star formation.

Recently, cosmological volumes from modern hydrodynamical simulations have been studied in the context of Ly α emission focusing on the detectability of the cosmic web (Elias et al. 2020; Wittok et al. 2021). Latest observations of the Ly α cosmic web in Bacon et al. (2021) might point at the importance of emission from (faint) galaxies that has been missing in former theoretical explorations.

In this work, we improve on several aspects of previous computational studies, revisiting the nature of Lyman α haloes. Specifically, we couple the new, high-resolution cosmological magnetohydrodynamical simulation TNG50 (Pillepich et al. 2019; Nelson et al. 2019b) of the IllustrisTNG project to our new radiative transfer code VOROILTIS. The former provides a competitive combination of volume (a statistically robust sample of $\sim$6800 galaxies with $M_* > 10^{10.5} M_\odot$ at $z = 2$) and resolution ($\sim$100 parsecs in the ISM, albeit with a simplified sub-grid treatment of the cold phase, and $<1$ kpc in the CGM). The latter includes several Ly α emission models and a Monte Carlo treatment of the scattering process directly on the full Voronoi tessellation of the gas distribution of the entire simulation volume, enabling a self-consistent treatment of IGM attenuation (Byrohl & Gronke 2020). We note that the TNG simulations are not RHD, and omit on-the-fly radiation transport. Our setup enables us to statistically contrast the simulation predictions to existing LAH observations, while also probing questions regarding the dominant origins, emission sources, and relevance of rescattering for the existence of LAHs, and making future predictions in as of yet unobserved regimes.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we describe our radiative transfer code VOROILTIS, the Ly α emission model, and the analysis details of the underlying IllustrisTNG simulations on which the radiative transfer code is run. In Section 3, we present the results for the radial profiles and related reduced quantities from our
simulations and a comparison to observational data. In Section 4, we discuss the radial profile shapes and reduced quantities in more detail. We summarize our findings in Section 5. We also point to an extensive Appendix A that highlights different modelling assumptions in the underlying TNG50 simulations and the Ly $\alpha$ radiative transfer.

2 METHODS

2.1 IllustrisTNG and TNG50

We use the outcome of the IllustrisTNG simulations – both the galaxy properties as well as gas distributions – as the basis for our radiative transfer simulations of Lyman $\alpha$ haloes. The IllustrisTNG simulations (hereafter, TNG; Pillepich et al. 2018b; Naime et al. 2018) are a series of three large-volume magnetohydrodynamical cosmological simulations of galaxy formation. All are run with the 2018) are a series of three large-volume magnetohydrodynamical simulations of galaxy formation. All are run with the are run with the AREPO code (Springel 2010), which solves the coupled equations of self-gravity and ideal, continuum magnetohydrodynamics (Pakmor, Bauer & Springel 2011) with a ‘moving mesh’ discretization based on an unstructured Voronoi tessellation of space.

The TNG galaxy formation model (Weinberger et al. 2017; Pillepich et al. 2018a) is an evolution of the original Illustris model (Vogelsberger et al. 2013; Torrey et al. 2014) and includes a number of important changes. All TNG simulations include models for the physical processes most important for galaxy formation: primordial and metal-line gas cooling, heating from the metagalactic background radiation field, stellar formation above a density threshold, stellar population evolution and chemical enrichment following supernovae Ia, II, and AGB stars, and the seeding, merging, and growth via accretion of supermassive black holes (SMBHs). With respect to the earlier Illustris model, two critical updates have been made. First, the galactic-scale winds launched by stellar feedback have been revised (Pillepich et al. 2018a), which impacts the gas (and stellar) contents of low mass galaxies in both their ISM and CGM. Further, TNG includes a two-mode blackhole feedback operating in a thermal ‘quasar’ state at high accretion rates and a kinetic ‘wind’ state at low accretion rates. The latter is a new model for low-state SMBH feedback, in the form of a time stochastic, directionally variable, high-velocity kinetic wind (Weinberger et al. 2017).

The temperature and ionization state of hydrogen – crucial to Ly $\alpha$ emission and scattering – is computed within TNG incorporating primordial cooling following Katz, Weinberg & Hernquist (1996) with additional metal-line cooling from CLOUDY cooling tables. Both metal and primordial cooling are further modified by the assumption of a uniform, time-averaging UV background using the intensities given in Faucher-Giguère et al. (2009) for photoionization and photoheating.

Given the substantial impact of AGNs, photoionization, and photoheating due to their ionizing flux is incorporated through an AGN radiative feedback channel (for details see Vogelsberger et al. 2013; Weinberger et al. 2017, 2018). Intrinsic AGN luminosities are assigned strictly proportionally to the black hole accretion rate above a certain accretion threshold. Furthermore, an obscuration factor derived from observational work in Hopkins, Richards & Hernquist (2007) sets the escape of ionizing radiation acting upon the surrounding gas under the assumption of optically thin gas. When an AGN with radiative feedback is present in TNG50, the resulting radiation field dominates over the UVB for the studied $\lesssim 50\,$pkpc around galaxies.

We discuss the AGN radiation field in TNG along with its impact on Ly $\alpha$ emission and reprocessing of Ly $\alpha$ photons for the radial profiles in Appendix A5.

The ionizing radiation from the UVB and AGN entering the primordial cooling and CLOUDY metal-line cooling is attenuated by a self-shielding factor based on radiation transfer simulations in Rahmati et al. (2013). These contributions are included on-the-fly, and not in post-processing.

The TNG model has been shown to produce galaxy and circumgalactic medium properties in broad agreement with available observational constraints. The properties of the CGM have been compared in terms of their OVI column densities (Nelson et al. 2018b), gas contents (Pillepich et al. 2018a; Terrazas et al. 2020), X-ray properties (Barnes et al. 2018; Truong et al. 2020), outflows and dynamics (Nelson et al. 2019b), and Mg line covering fractions (Nelson et al. 2020), but this is the first study of Lyman $\alpha$ haloes in TNG.

Of the three IllustrisTNG simulations, TNG50, TNG100, and TNG300 (Nelson et al. 2019a) we here exclusively use the highest resolution box TNG50 (Nelson et al. 2019b; Pillepich et al. 2019) with a gas mass resolution of $m_{\text{gas}} = 8.5 \times 10^4 M_\odot$ and a dark matter mass resolution of $m_{\text{DM}} = 4.5 \times 10^7 M_\odot$ which is $\sim 15$ (−120) times higher than TNG100 (TNG300). The corresponding spatial resolution of TNG50 is of order $\sim 100$ physical parsecs in the dense ISM, and this small-scale structure is useful given the strong resolution dependence of Ly $\alpha$ radiative transfer at lower resolutions as demonstrated in Behrens et al. (2018), although a more sophisticated model for the cold phase of the ISM would be needed to fully capture Ly $\alpha$ RT effects on small scales.

TNG adopts a set of cosmological parameters consistent with recent results by the Planck collaboration I (2016), namely $\Omega_{m,0} = 0.6911$, $\Omega_{b,0} = 0.3089$, $\Omega_{\Lambda,0} = 0.0486$, $\sigma_8 = 0.8159$, $n_s = 0.9667$, and $h = 0.6774$.

2.2 VOROILTIS

The Ly $\alpha$ radiative transfer is calculated in post-processing on the TNG simulation output with an updated version of ILTIS, a light-weight line emission transfer code as presented in Behrens et al. (2019). ILTIS implements a Monte Carlo approach, spawning single-wavelength photon ‘packages’ (representing a large number of actual photons) at emission sites, and following their scattering as they traverse the underlying gas distribution. For efficiency, at each scattering event we output the attenuated luminosity contributions along specified line of sights towards assumed observers (the ‘peeling-off’ algorithm; Whitney 2011).

We have developed a new version of the code, VOROILTIS, which runs directly on the unstructured mesh of a Voronoi tessellation. As IllustrisTNG uses this geometry to represent the gas distribution during the simulation and for the evolution of hydrodynamical quantities, no intermediate interpolation, or re-sampling steps are required, and the density field in the RT calculation is self-consistent with the simulation. The mesh is re-created in post-processing with a parallelized wrapper to the Voronoi tessellation code VORO++ (Rycroft 2009). We then spawn photons for each cell in the mesh according to the local emissivity, an update which makes simulating diffuse emission feasible.

$^1$The public version of the ILTIS code is currently available at github.com/cbehren/Iltis, where the Voronoi version will also be released in the future.
After emission, photons propagate through the simulation domain, and across the periodic boundaries of the box as appropriate. Given the amplitude of the Hubble flow (several 100 km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$) in the simulated redshift range and typical gas velocities, photons are quickly shifted into the far wings of the line profile where they have negligible cross-section. Therefore, we do not need to construct light cones and simply propagate all photons for the necessary propagation length $l$ of order $\sim 10$ Mpc. Here, we chose $l = 28$ cMpc h$^{-1}$. An upcoming methods paper will document the code improvements used in this work (Behrens & Byrohl, in preparation). A predecessor of ILTIS has also been used in Behrens et al. (2018) and Byrohl, Saito & Behrens (2019) where additional details are available.

2.3 Lyman alpha radiative processes

Ly$\alpha$ photons are dominantly created by recombination of ionized hydrogen atoms with electrons, and de-excitation of excited neutral hydrogen. Different physical processes can power their creation: For recombination, ionizing radiation originates from star-forming regions as well as from the metagalactic ultraviolet background. For collisional de-excitation, the thermal state of the gas provides the gas heating mechanism. We refer to those processes to emission sources and in figures call them ‘rec’, and ‘coll’, respectively. As we discuss in the next paragraphs, we use a special description for the recombinations in star-forming regions, which we abbreviate as ‘SF’ in figures.

We model recombinations after ionization by a spatially uniform background radiation field and AGN radiative feedback as implemented in TNG50. We assume case-B recombination, resulting in an emissivity

$$\epsilon_{rec} = f_{rec}(T) n_e n_{H II} \alpha(T) E_{Ly\alpha},$$  
(1)

where $n_e$ and $n_{H II}$ are the electron and ionized hydrogen number densities, $\alpha(T)$ (from Draine 2011) is the recombination coefficient, $f_{rec}$ is the recombination emission probability (from Cantalupo, Piorciani & Lilly 2008) assuming case B, and $E_{Ly\alpha}$ is the Ly$\alpha$ transition energy. Note that $\alpha(T)$ and $f_{rec}(T)$ are both temperature dependent.

In addition to recombinations, the de-excitation of excited hydrogen atoms can lead to the emission of Ly$\alpha$ sourced by collisional excitations depending on the gas thermal state. The rate is proportional to the colliding species, $n_e$ and $n_{H II}$. The luminosity density is then

$$\epsilon_{coll} = \gamma_{1s2p}(T) n_e n_{H II} E_{Ly\alpha}.$$  
(2)

We take the collisional excitation coefficient $\gamma_{1s2p}(T)$ from tabulated fits (Scholz et al. 1990; Scholz & Walters 1991). For star-forming gas cells, where the TNG model invokes a sub-grid effective equation of state model for the two-phase ISM (Springel & Hernquist 2003), the simulation’s temperature and hydrogen density do not reflect their physical meaning entering equation (1). Hence, we instead derive the recombination rate from the star formation rate. The ionization of hydrogen by young and massive stars followed by recombination dominates the local Ly$\alpha$ emission and the luminosity within galaxies is thus proportional to the star formation rate.

Here, we adopt a simple linear model for the Ly$\alpha$ luminosity density

$$\epsilon_{SF} = 10^{42} \left( \frac{M_*}{M_{\odot} yr^{-1}} \right) \frac{erg s^{-1}}{V_e},$$  
(3)

where $M_*$ is the star formation rate within a star-forming Voronoi cell’s volume $V_e$ (see Dijkstra 2019). This relation is consistent with the H$\alpha$–SFR relation used in Kennicutt (1998) and an assumed Ly$\alpha$ to H$\alpha$ recombination ratio in the range of 8–10 (Hummer & Storey 1987). It can be directly calculated by integrating the ionizing flux for a given stellar population but has large modeling uncertainties (Furlanetto et al. 2005). Nevertheless, this relation is commonly used in simulations and theory as an estimate for the Ly$\alpha$ emission from stellar populations (see e.g. Furlanetto et al. 2005; Zheng et al. 2010; Behrens et al. 2018). Equation (3) does not account for Ly$\alpha$ destruction by dust in the complex subgrid ISM structure, and we do not take into consideration the stellar populations’ age and metallicity. Incorporating these factors would add suppression and scatter in the relation; we discuss the modelling uncertainties and their implications for this paper in Section 4.2.2. In the discussion, comparing to observations, we find that the modelling uncertainties are more important than the lack of dust attenuation for haloes in the mass range $8.5 \leq \log_{10}(M_*/M_{\odot}) \leq 9.5$. Nevertheless, a treatment of dust (see e.g. the effective treatment in Lake et al. 2015; Inoue et al. 2018) and the introduction of sources of scatter in equation (3) are desirable for future work, and necessary at the high-mass end.

The star formation rate is taken directly from the TNG output in each cell volume. The TNG star formation model is described in Pillepich et al. (2018a). In short, a gas cell is star forming if and only if its physical density exceeds 0.1 hydrogen atoms per cubic centimetre, in which case collisionless star particles are stochastically formed (also see Springel & Hernquist 2003; Vogelsberger et al. 2013).

For recombination and de-excitations, we spawn one weighted photon package per Voronoi cell with the luminosity according to the models above. For star formation, we only spawn photons in Voronoi cells bound to a halo of mass $M_{200, crit} > 10^{10} M_{\odot}$. In TNG50 this results in a sample of $\sim 5000$ to $\sim 13000$ LAHs at redshifts $z \in [2, 5]$.

We found that a constant photon count per cell for equations (1) and (2) results in good convergence for the radial profiles. In such a scheme, photon packages can carry vastly varying luminosity weights. This however is desired as we need to also trace faint, optically relatively thin regions in the outer CGM where surface brightnesses are orders of magnitudes lower than in the central regions of the LAHs.

In contrast, a Monte Carlo sampling relying on a fixed luminosity weight per photon package appears faster for the emission from star-forming cells (see equation 3). For simplicity, we stick with the fixed Monte Carlo photon count per cell, but confirm that this photon count leads to a converged result (see Appendix A2) for star-forming cells.

We refer to these photon packets as ‘photons’ for brevity. Photons are always injected at the Ly$\alpha$ line centre in the rest frame of the emitting gas cells. We have also explored different spectral emission distribution and generally find little impact – details are given in Appendix A3.

In our model, we do not account for escaping ionizing radiation from star-forming regions that recombines outside of its emission region (‘fluorescent radiation’). Fluorescence in our simulations hence only arises from the uniform UV background and the radiation field from AGN incorporated in TNG. In Appendix A5, we discuss the impact of ionizing sources by means of the AGN radiation implemented within the model underlying TNG50. The radiative feedback from AGN is accounted for in that it affects the ionization state, temperature, and cooling rates of the gas and hence can boost recombinations and collisional excitations. We do not consider additional recombinations in gas cells due to the activity of SMBHs.
2.4 Post-processing and observational realism

The radiative transfer simulations provide us with large outputs of Monte Carlo photons. Each has a corresponding luminosity, frequency, emission source (see Section 2.3), and positions of initial emission and last scattering. We save two distinct sets of photons:

(i) ‘intrinsic’: Ly $\alpha$ photons as directly emitted from gas cells, neglecting any subsequent interactions with gas.

(ii) ‘processed’: Peeling-off contributions after each scattering of propagated intrinsic Ly $\alpha$ photons. These photons include the modifications from scatterings, IGM attenuation, and potential dust destruction.

Observed Ly $\alpha$ light corresponds to the ‘processed’ photons only. Comparing results for intrinsic and processed photons allows us to quantify the redistribution of photons since their initial emission and the overall importance of Ly $\alpha$ RT in our astrophysical setting.

Both intrinsic and processed photons can be filtered based on the originating Voronoi cell, which is recorded by the intrinsic photons, and inherited by any peeling-off contribution.

Using this, we can classify the emission origin of each photon according to four distinct categories:

(i) ‘central galaxy’: The photon originates in the central subhalo (i.e. galaxy) of the targeted halo.

(ii) ‘outer halo’: The photon originates within the targeted halo, but outside of the central galaxy.

(iii) ‘IGM’: The photon originates in a region not associated with any halo.

(iv) ‘other halo’: The photon originates from a region associated with a halo that is different from the targeted.

The definition of these categories relies on the halo and subhalo catalogues provided by IllustrisTNG, where haloes and subhaloes are defined via the Friends-of-Friends and SUBFIND algorithm, respectively (see Nelson et al. 2019a). Each photon falls into exactly one of these categories.

First, we compute 2D surface brightness maps for all galaxies centred on the host halo position, using a pixel size of 0.8 pkpc. We include all scattered (i.e. processed) photons irrespective of their origin, as would be seen observationally. As a result these maps include emission from diffuse gas around the halo and even emission from other nearby galaxies and haloes. For the projection depth, we include all scattered photons reaching the observer from within ±100 pkpc around the galaxy along the line of sight. By adopting this simple prescription we effectively ignore Ly $\alpha$ frequency information (diffusion). Observational studies using integral field spectroscopy commonly adopt an adaptive wavelength window to incorporate all Ly $\alpha$ flux of the source based on their varying spectral widths. In our approach, where we can isolate frequency diffusion, we can forgo implementing such an adaptive algorithm. In the Appendix A6, we show the impact when incorporating spectral information and adopting a fixed wavelength window around each emitter. Our quantitative results on LAH sizes and qualitative behaviour at large radii remains unchanged irrespective of the chosen method of depth integration.

Unless otherwise stated, we always apply a Gaussian point spread function (PSF) with a full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of 0.7 arcsec. This PSF roughly corresponds to that of MUSE UDF data we compare in the later part of the paper (see Section 3.2).

2.5 LAH sample and reduced statistics

In our analysis, we focus on haloes with galaxy stellar masses of $8.0 < \log (M_*/M_\odot) < 10.5$. For those haloes, 1D radial profiles are computed by averaging the pixel values for a given radial bin. We characterize these radial LAH profiles with a number of ‘reduced statistics’: two measurements of Lyman $\alpha$ halo size, the half-light radius $r_{1/2}$, and the exponential scale length $r_0$, and the ‘central’ surface brightness value $SB_0$, which we take as the value of the surface brightness map pixel(s) closest to the halo centre, after smoothing by the PSF.

The Ly $\alpha$ half-light radius $r_{1/2}$ is computed from the 1D radial profile as the radius enclosing half of the total surface brightness contained within 50 pkpc. Because $SB(r)$ does not vanish at large distances due to contributions from other haloes and diffuse gas, this measure depends on this chosen outer radius.

We also fit the 1D radial profile with a single exponential $SB(r) = SB_{0.0} \exp(-r/r_0.0)$ as in Cai et al. 2019. The two parameters are the normalization $SB_{0.0}$ and the scale length $r_0.0$. We fit the simulated profiles between 0.4 and 2 arcsec, taking a finite lower limit to exclude the impact of the PSF (as is common observationally; Wu, Jiang & Ning 2020) and the upper limit where the profile commonly transitions from an exponential to more flattened shape. Some observational studies fit a sum of two exponentials (Leclercq et al. 2017; Wu et al. 2020), but this method sets one exponential scale length to that of the UV light, adding additional modelling uncertainties which we avoid with the simpler size measure. For comparison with observations, we impose a simple signal-to-noise criterion, considering only data points with $S/N \geq 5$. We derive the noise from a Gaussian standard deviation of $2 \times 10^{-19}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ arcsec$^{-2}$ per pixel of the 2D SB maps. While definitions of LAH sizes vary, we impose the same fitting routine to all compared simulated and observed radial profiles for a fair comparison. Due to the variety of radial shapes for individual LAH, the exponential function can be a bad fit at times. We exclude such cases ($<10$ per cent) by imposing a maximum relative error of 10 per cent for either fit parameter as given from the estimated covariance matrix of the least-square fit. We commonly specify the scale length of a given sample in the form of median$_{20}$ where ‘low’ and ‘high’ are the 16th and 84th percentile.

In this work, we refer to comoving units with a preceding ‘$c$’, while physical units are explicitly preceded by ‘$p$’. We always present surface brightness in units of erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ arcsec$^{-2}$.

3 RESULTS

We begin in Fig. 1 with a visual overview of Ly $\alpha$ emission from a large cosmological region encompassing thousands of individual emitters. Here, we show the large-scale structure of the entire TNG50 simulation at $z = 3$, with scattered Ly $\alpha$ photons illuminating not only LAHs, but also the cosmic web within which they reside. The zoom-in panels show two individual LAHs and their substructure (i.e. satellite galaxies), on the scale of the halo virial radius, for moderate halo masses of $M_{200} \approx 5 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ (top) and $M_{200} \approx 1.2 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$ (bottom), where Ly $\alpha$ photons are predominantly emitted at the sites of star formation in the central galaxy. These photons then resonantly scatter to illuminate extended gaseous haloes of the circumgalactic medium (CGM), where the complex dynamics of high-redshift inflows mix with feedback-driven outflows.

In Fig. 2, we show surface brightness maps for a collection of nine LAHs ordered by star formation rate at $z = 3$, including the two LAHs from Fig. 1. The three colour contours all trace a surface brightness of $10^{-19}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ arcsec$^{-2}$, differentiating between the observ-
Figure 1. Ly$\alpha$ surface brightness map for the entire TNG50 cosmological simulation at $z = 3$, highlighting the large-scale structure of the cosmic web as seen in Ly$\alpha$ emission. We impose a Gaussian point spread function (PSF) with an FWHM of 0.7 arcsec at a binning resolution $\Delta_{\text{res}}$ of 8.5 ckpc$^{-1}$ and project through a slice depth of 5.25 cMpc$^{-1}$. The inset panels (no PSF, $\Delta_{\text{res}} = 0.4$ pkpc) show two individual Lyman $\alpha$ haloes, on the scale of the halo virial radii, for moderate mass objects: $M_{200} \simeq 5 \times 10^{10}$ $M_\odot$ and $M_{200} \simeq 1.2 \times 10^{11}$ $M_\odot$ (top and bottom, respectively). Ly$\alpha$ photons are predominantly emitted in the star-forming regions of the central galaxies, resonantly scatter and illuminate the more extended gaseous haloes, including filamentary inflows. The more massive halo (lower right) has a number of star-forming satellites which also contribute Ly$\alpha$ emissivity and boost the local surface brightness.

able flux (i.e. from processed photons; blue), intrinsic emission (red), and intrinsic emission due to star formation alone (yellow).

Broadly, the Ly$\alpha$ scattering process increases the apparent sizes of LAHs beyond that of intrinsic emission. In some instances, such as the lower right-hand panel, red contoured regions occur without corresponding yellow contours, indicating emission without the presence of star formation in filamentary structures. We note that the surface brightness distributions of the scattered Ly$\alpha$ photons are significantly smoother and isotropic than the more complex structure of the underlying density field. Qualitatively this is compatible with the low eccentricities found for LAHs in observations (Wisotzki et al. 2016).

At distances beyond $\gtrsim 20$ pkpc from the central galaxies, LAHs become increasingly anisotropic, due to the combination of satellite galaxies (for the more massive haloes) and anisotropic gas inflows.

3.1 Radial profiles

In Fig. 3, we show the radial Ly$\alpha$ surface brightness profiles extracted from the same nine intensity maps of Fig. 2. We decompose the total profile (including rescattering; black) into its contributions from the three emission sources: star formation (solid blue), collisional de-
Figure 2. 2D Lyα surface brightness maps of 9 Lyman α haloes at $z = 3.0$ in TNG50. The LAHs are ordered by their star formation rate in a mass range of roughly $10^{10} - 10^{12}$ $M_\odot$. Contours highlight the surface brightness value of $10^{-19}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ arcsec$^{-2}$, showing the final observable result (blue; i.e. accounting for scattering and IGM attenuation) contrasted against intrinsic photons (red) and intrinsic photons due only to star formation (yellow). We commonly find spatially extended intrinsic emission from star formation in the most massive haloes ($\log_{10}(M_h/M_\odot) \geq 11.5$), while intrinsic emission from other sources is even more extended. The scattering of Ly α photons expands the extent of high surface brightness features, particularly in the more massive haloes. Here, we adopt a pixel size of $\Delta_{res} = 0.4$ pkpc (no PSF).

In general, radial profiles are steep near the centre of the halo and quickly flatten beyond $r \gtrsim 15$ pkpc. The inner regions are dominated by star formation sourced recombination, and even at larger radii up to 50 pkpc, star formation often remains the dominant contribution while excitations and recombinations (orange and green lines) reach similar magnitude. Except for the most massive haloes in the upper row, intrinsic Ly α emission from star-forming regions (blue-dotted line) quickly fades within $r \lesssim 15$ pkpc. At larger radii, the profiles are shaped by scattered photons. However, as expected, there are occasional bumps in the profile from star formation in satellite galaxies of more massive haloes.

At larger radii, profiles are shaped by scattered photons, highlighting two important effects of the radiative transfer. First, the central surface brightness is severely damped by photon rescattering (dashed blue versus dotted blue curves). Secondly, large amounts of those Ly α photons are scattered further out, which provides an
important contribution of star formation to the extended radial profiles even though little in situ star formation may take place at those radii.

Collisional excitations and recombinations become important at radii $r \gtrsim 20$ pkpc for low-mass haloes, and the typical surface brightness contribution from collisional excitation exceeds that from recombination by a factor of $\sim 2$. The most massive galaxies more frequently host nearby satellites, which result in the occasional bumps in the profile from star formation in these subhaloes.

We move from the case study of individual profiles to a quantitative exploration of the average predicted LAH profiles. In Fig. 4, we stack galaxies based on stellar mass (main panel) and halo mass (lower left panel) at $z = 3$. We also show the evolution with redshift from $z = 2$ to $z = 5$ in a fixed stellar mass bin (lower right panel). Profiles always show the median stacked profile after radial binning, which we note is not the same as first median stacking the 2D surface brightness maps. For the radial binning, we calculate the mean surface brightness for a given annulus. Shaded regions show the central 68 percentiles. The dashed line in the main panel indicates the 0.7 arcsec FWHM Gaussian PSF we adopt, which dominates the smoothing of the radial profiles at small distances $r < 10$ pkpc. At larger radii, the surface brightness rapidly flattens, as we explore below.

In the top panel, stacking surface brightness in stellar mass bins from low-mass galaxies with $M_* = 10^8 M_\odot$ to Milky Way-mass systems with $M_* = 10^{10.5} M_\odot$, we see that Ly $\alpha$ surface brightness increases monotonically, at all radii, with increasing stellar mass. Despite this strong correlation between peak surface brightness and stellar mass, the overall shape of the median radial profiles is largely independent of the stellar mass. We note that a mass-dependent Ly $\alpha$ photon escape probability, e.g. due to dust physics, would impact the trend of overall luminosity and stellar mass, which we explore further in Section 3.5.

The lower left panel of Fig. 4 shows the radial profiles in three halo mass bins. The central surface brightness of the Ly $\alpha$ profiles rises as a function of halo mass. In addition, we more clearly find a change in shape of the radial profiles as a function of halo mass, whereby flattening begins at smaller radii for lower mass haloes. Considering central galaxy emission only (dashed lines), we observe that as star formation decreases towards lower mass, the luminosity budget available for rescattering in the halo does likewise. Hence, diffuse emission outside of the central galaxy becomes dominant at smaller radii. Equivalently, since the central (or total) luminosities are lower at lower mass, external emission (i.e. from other haloes) can take over more quickly.

In the lower right panel of Fig. 4, we explore the redshift evolution of radial profiles in a fixed stellar mass bin of $8.5 \leq \log_{10} (M_*/M_\odot) \leq 9.5$. Towards higher redshift, the central surface brightness drops significantly while changes to the overall shape are minor. Here, we also show the radial profiles of the intrinsic photons.
Figure 4. Overview of the predicted median Ly $\alpha$ surface brightness profiles around TNG50 galaxies, as a function of mass and redshift. Main panel: Stacked radial profiles for five different stellar mass ranges from $M_\star = 10^8$ to $10^{10.5} \, M_\odot$, at $z = 3$. The respective count of contributing haloes to the stack is given in parentheses in the legend. At fixed cosmic time, the central Ly $\alpha$ surface brightness increases monotonically with stellar mass, roughly as $SB(r=0) \propto M_\star^{0.9}$.

We overplot the median radial profile of 58 observed LAHs at redshifts between 2.9 and 3.5 presented in Leclercq et al. (2017) from the MUSE UDF (see text for details). Lower left: Stacked radial profiles as a function of dark matter halo mass, also at $z = 3$. The solid lines show the observed radial profiles, while the dashed lines only consider those photon contributions originating from the central halo. Lower right: Redshift evolution of stacked radial profiles for a fixed galaxy stellar mass bin of $8.5 \leq \log_{10} (M_\star/M_\odot) \leq 9.5$. At fixed stellar mass, Ly $\alpha$ haloes are more luminous towards lower redshift. We contrast the full radiative transfer result (RT; solid lines) with the intrinsic emission profiles (dashed lines). The scattering that occurs during the RT lowers the Ly $\alpha$ surface brightness at halo centre while increasing it at larger radii, producing an overall flatter profile.

3.2 Comparison of TNG50 and MUSE data

In the top panel of Fig. 4, we also overplot the median radial profile from the observational LAH data-set of Leclercq et al. (2017) for objects with redshifts between 2.9 and 3.5. The data set is based on the MUSE Ultra Deep Field (UDF), which finds extended Ly $\alpha$ emission around 145 of 184 star-forming galaxies at $3 \leq z \leq 6$ with a median of $z \sim 3.7$. The observed galaxies extend in stellar mass down to $\sim 10^7 \, M_\odot$ with an average stellar mass of $M_\star \sim 10^{10.5} \, M_\odot$. (dashed lines), where Ly $\alpha$ photons are allowed to escape directly to the observer without scattering, contrasting against the processed emission (solid lines). The intrinsic profiles’ lower brightnesses at higher redshifts are driven by surface brightness dimming, which is however largely countered by the higher specific star formation rates at fixed stellar mass. The intrinsic central SB luminosities decrease much more slowly towards higher redshift, implying that the photon redistribution due to resonant scattering is significantly more important at higher redshifts.
(Boogaard et al. 2018). As a result, the most appropriate comparison is against the orange line, where we find a excellent agreement of the normalization and radial shape of the surface brightness profile between MUSE and TNG50. At larger radii $r > 20$ pkpc, the observed stacked profile becomes uncertain given the large errorbars, so we cannot ascertain whether or not the strong flattening we observe in the TNG50 LHs is also seen in the data. Additionally, this flattening is affected by MUSE’s more extended Moffat PSF (Bacon et al. 2017). The agreement of stacked radial profiles between observed and simulated samples degrades towards higher redshifts, particularly $z = 5.0$. This discrepancy is largely driven by the flattening of the simulated profiles at high redshifts (see bottom right panel) that is not found in the observed sample.

We note that quantitatively comparing TNG50 to Lyman $\alpha$ halo observations has many challenges and subtleties. With respect to the MUSE data of Leclercq et al. (2017) in particular, although we address the issue of spatial smoothing and the PSF, remaining systematics could include details of (i) spectral smoothing, resolution, and the construction of the surface brightness map with a frequency-space integration; (ii) noise, including relevant surface brightness limits and sky backgrounds; (iii) the position chosen as the centre of the halo; (iv) the derivation of galaxy properties, including stellar mass, where SED-fitting based on HST broad-band photometry lacks near-IR points, and neglecting emission lines including Ly$\alpha$ which can fall into the F606W filter (Feltre et al. 2020); and (v) sample selection and selection biases, i.e. choosing appropriate analogues for comparison to the observed haloes and/or matching the observed galaxy population in general.

Beyond the stacked profile comparison, we also contrast individual LHs as observed in the MUSE UDF to those from TNG50. Fig. 5 shows the 24 radial profiles from Leclercq et al. (2017) closest in redshift to $z = 3$ (blue data points with errorbars). For each, we search for the best ‘match’ from among our catalogue of simulated LHs at that redshift, and select the single LAH with the minimum least-squared difference$^2$ which are overplotted (orange).

In general, we are able to find excellent matches to the observed data, demonstrating that TNG50 can reproduce the diversity and variety of observed LAH profile shapes. For instance, we show good matches for more compact and more extended objects (e.g. id 2178, id 6521), and although noise starts dominate at larger radii, we also find good matches for candidates with very flattened profiles (e.g. id 1226). The stellar masses of the observed galaxies, and the stellar mass of the selected TNG50 analogue, are both shown in the legend for reference. For the subsample of observed LHs for which we have a stellar mass estimate (from Feltre et al. 2020), we find a mean difference in $M_{\text{MUSE}} / M_{\text{TNG}}$ of 0.11 dex with a standard deviation of 0.43 dex. This indicates that the simulated LHs selected as good matches surround galaxies with comparable stellar masses as the observed systems.

Fig. 6 shows a similar comparison of matched LAH profiles between the MUSE data and TNG50. However, we now restrict the least-square fit to those values at distances below $r \leq 2$ arcsec, and include the five matches in each case to highlight the range of predicted large radii behaviour from simulated profiles. Most of those fits are compatible with the observed radial profiles and their error bars at large radii (see also Song, Seon & Hwang 2020, for fits to L17 SB(r) profiles using 3D Ly$\alpha$ RT coupled to idealized shell models rather than cosmological simulations). A notable exception

$^2$Note that the low surface brightness measurements at large radii $r > 2$ arcsec, have only small weights in the linear least-square fit.

3.3 The origin and source of Lyman alpha halo photons

Although LHs are observed localized around galaxies and their dark matter haloes, the photons that contribute to that emission can arise from a number of different origins. In Fig. 7, we show the relative contribution to a stacked Ly$\alpha$ surface brightness profile, depending on the origin of emission, for galaxies in the stellar mass bin $8.5 \leq \log_10 (M_*/M_\odot) \leq 9.5$. We categorize the emission origin of each photon as one of the four categories previously introduced in Section 2.4.

We find that emission from the central galaxy (blue) clearly dominates the radial profile below $r \leq 20$ pkpc after which emission originating from other haloes but scattering on to the targeted halo becomes increasingly important. Above 40 pkpc this ‘other halo’ origin (red) even dominates the radial profiles. The radial profile from rescattered photons originating in other haloes has a very shallow slope, thus leading in large part to the flattening of the overall profiles at larger radii. In particular, we find that the contribution from other haloes is significantly boosted if more massive haloes are nearby, an effect we explore more in Section 4.1.2.

Emission originating in the IGM (green) and outside of the central subhalo (particularly from satellites; orange) is generally negligible, and never contributes more than a few percent to the total stacked profile. However, there can be infrequent radial profiles of individual haloes with larger contributions from IGM and satellites than for the shown average. We note that the IGM contribution in particular will depend upon the line-of-sight integration depth.

For higher mass haloes (not shown), we find that the emission from the central subhalo grows more rapidly than any of the other origins, pushing the observed flattening to larger radii. Analogously, lower mass haloes flatten at smaller radii. Other haloes start to significantly contribute ($\geq 10$ per cent to the total stacked profile) at 7, 22, and $\geq 50$ pkpc$^3$ for the respective 1 dex stellar mass binned haloes starting at $7$, $8.5$, and $9.5$ log $(M_*/M_\odot)$. There is very little redshift evolution for these haloes from $z = 2$ to $z = 5$.

In Fig. 8, we similarly decompose the stacked profile into the relative contributions of different emission sources: star formation sourced rescattered photons (blue), collisional excitation (orange), and recombinations (green). In addition to the ‘processed’ signal (solid lines), we also show the intrinsic emission signal (i.e. ignoring scattering effects; dashed lines). In both cases, we find that star formation makes up the bulk of the SB within the central 10 pkpc. At larger radii, however, rescattered photons from star-forming regions drop to a $\sim 50$ per cent relative contribution as diffuse collisional excitations and recombinations rise to $\sim 30$ and $\sim 20$ per cent, respectively. These relative fractions, shown here at $z = 3$, are similar at other redshifts (not shown).

3.4 Lyman alpha halo sizes

To study the dependence of LAH profiles on galaxy/halo properties and redshift, we summarize each profile by a characteristic size and surface brightness, as defined in Section 2.5. Fig. 9 shows the Ly$\alpha$ half-light radius $r_{1/2}$ as a function of stellar mass across the studied redshift range. We find that the half-light radii of our LHs is typically between 5 and 15 pkpc. There is little correlation with stellar

$^3$In the highest mass bin, the radius lies outside of the 50 pkpc radius aperture.
Figure 5. Gallery of observed Lyman $\alpha$ haloes from the MUSE UDF (blue points with uncertainties; Leclercq et al. 2017), chosen as the 24 closest to redshift $z = 3$. Every observed LAH is matched to a simulated halo from TNG50 by choosing the best least-squares fit profile. All simulated radial profiles are smoothed with a Gaussian 0.7 arcsec FWHM PSF, and overplotted (orange lines). This demonstrates that the simulation has the diversity and sample statistics to recover excellent matches to all observed haloes, and that TNG50 can successfully reproduce every observed profile, in both normalization and shape, with at least some simulated halo. For each halo, we include the ID and redshift of the observed MUSE object, as well as its stellar mass estimate if available (Feltre et al. 2020). We also show the exponential scale length $r_0$ (in pkpc) fitted to the MUSE data. For the simulated profile, we also include its galaxy stellar mass: observed LAHs and their simulated matches from TNG50 surround galaxies of similar mass.

Mass compared with the scatter. Half-light radii are systematically larger in physical kpc towards higher redshift, roughly doubling in size from $z = 2$ to $z = 5$ across the redshift range. This implies a stronger redistribution through scatterings into the outskirts of haloes at higher redshifts, which is largely driven by a higher neutral hydrogen density at those redshifts.

In Fig. 10, we show the fitted single exponential scale length $r_0$. In all panels, we impose the noise modelling described in Section 2.5,
Figure 6. Gallery of observed Lyman α haloes from the MUSE UDF (blue points with uncertainties; Leclercq et al. 2017), where here we show the first 8 LAHs shown from Fig. 5. As before, we search for the best matching LAH from TNG50, but now only fit data points for small radii $r < 2$ arcsec, and show the best five fits for each profile. As before, we contrast the MUSE stellar mass (if available) with the stellar mass range of the five simulated profiles, which are shaded from light to dark orange with increasing stellar mass. We find that although the simulated profiles show object-to-object variances at large distance, in most cases they are consistent with the observed profile within its errorbars.

Figure 7. Median stacked radial Ly α profile for galaxies with stellar masses $8.5 \leq \log_{10} (M_*/M_\odot) \leq 9.5$ at $z = 3$ in TNG50. We decompose this profile into the photons with differing emission origins: from the central galaxy (blue), outer halo (orange), the IGM (green), and other haloes (red). At $r < 20$ pkpc emission from the central subhalo dominates, beyond which contributions from other haloes start contributing to the overall shape. Beyond 40 pkpc, the ‘other halo’ origin is critical and produces the flattening of the profiles towards large radii. The contributions from emission originating in the outer parts of the halo and the IGM are negligible.

which effectively imposes a sensitivity limit and induces a lower cut-off mass below which modelled LAHs show no observable extent. This limit starts to affect the median at a stellar mass below $\sim 2 \times 10^8 M_\odot$ ($\sim 10^9 M_\odot$) at $z = 2$ ($z = 5$), causing the artificial drop-offs at low $M_*$.

Except for this sensitivity limit, there are no clear trends of $r_0$ with stellar mass. Similarly, no clear redshift evolution is evident (upper panel). However, when looking at our fiducial stellar mass range of $8.5 \leq \log_{10} (M_*/M_\odot) \leq 9.5$ only, we do find that $r_0$ increases with redshift from $3.4^{+1.3}_{-0.7}$ pkpc at $z = 2$ to $3.7^{+1.7}_{-0.9}$ pkpc ($4.0^{+1.7}_{-1.0}$ pkpc, $5.5^{+2.4}_{-1.6}$ pkpc) at $z = 3$ ($z = 4, z = 5$).

The middle panel of Fig. 10 shows the scale radius as a function of stellar mass at $z = 3$. Here, we decompose the contribution to LAH size by emission source, by determining $r_0$ based on each of three respective emission sources alone (coloured lines). The black solid line shows the median $r_0$ relation combining all three emission sources, as would be observable. We find that the overall scale radius $r_0$ is largely determined by the emission from star formation due to its high surface brightness. Scale lengths from star formation show no correlation with stellar mass, while both collisions
and recombinations do show a strong positive correlation. Thus, $r_0$ follows the lack of evolution with mass seen in the star formation source. In contrast, both diffuse collisions and recombinations show a strong positive correlation with mass. For haloes between $8.5 < \log_{10}(M_\odot/M_\odot) < 9.5$ we find $r_0$ to be $3.7^{+1.7}_{-0.8}$ pkpc for the overall profile and $3.1^{+1.4}_{-0.7}$ pkpc, $8.0^{+2.5}_{-1.7}$ pkpc, or $9.2^{+3.2}_{-2.8}$ pkpc for SFR, excitations, recombinations only, respectively.

Solid lines indicate processed photons, while the dashed lines show $r_0$ based on the intrinsic photons only. Not surprisingly, intrinsic photons typically give rise to a smaller scale length than processed photons. Most importantly, intrinsic photons from star formation give rise to a scale length close to a point-like source convolved with the PSF, while this value doubles from rescattering in the CGM.

In the bottom panel of Fig. 10, we show the explicit redshift evolution of LAH sizes, from $z = 2$ to $z = 5$, and compare the TNG50 result with that of Leclercq et al. (2017). For the comparison with MUSE, we show simulated haloes in stellar mass bins $\log_{10}(M_\odot/M_\odot)$ from 8.0 to 9.5, which overlap the observed distribution. At fixed stellar mass, we find that the median $r_0$ increases towards higher redshift and the magnitude of this trend is similar to the scatter of $r_0$ at each redshift. For the MUSE UDF observations, we find $3.1^{+1.6}_{-0.8}$ pkpc, $2.9^{+1.3}_{-0.7}$ pkpc, and $2.4^{+1.2}_{-1.0}$ pkpc for the redshift bins centered at $z = 3$, $z = 4$, and $z = 5$, respectively.

Our face value comparison with the MUSE data implies that LAHs in TNG50 are slightly more spatially extended than in observations, by roughly a factor of $\sim 1.2$ at redshift three, increasing to $\sim 2.3$ by redshift five with a simulated $r_0$ $\sim 5.5$ pkpc, the two size distributions being roughly one standard deviation apart. We caution, however, that the stellar mass distribution of the observed galaxies is not fixed as a function of redshift. More massive galaxies are more easily observed at higher redshift, and ideally we would match the joint ($M_\odot$, $z$) distribution to make this comparison. However, remaining methodological differences likely still dominate the uncertainty in this comparison, as sizes are not measured in exactly the same way in both the observational data and TNG50 simulation.

We note that observed sources of Ly$\alpha$ emission have been characterized by many different sizes ranging from roughly 2 to 5 pkpc. Therefore, we compare the observed $r_{0.5}$ with the TNG50 median $r_{0.5}$ at each redshift in Fig. 10 top panels. The shaded regions enclose the radii within the central 68 percentiles. The coloured solid lines show the median radius and the coloured dashed lines, contributions originating outside of the targeted halo have been ignored. The difference between solid and dashed lines therefore indicates an increasing impact of unbound and other haloes’ contributions at low stellar masses.

Figure 9. The Ly$\alpha$ half-light radius $r_{0.5}$ as a function of stellar mass over redshift in TNG50. The coloured solid lines show the median radius and the shaded regions enclose the radii within the central 68 percentiles. The typical $r_{0.5}$ size lies between 5 and 15 pkpc, and monotonically increases with redshift, while being mostly constant over the stellar mass range. For the coloured dashed lines, contributions originating outside of the targeted halo have been ignored. The difference between solid and dashed lines therefore indicates an increasing impact of unbound and other haloes’ contributions at low stellar masses.

Figure 10. The Ly$\alpha$ single exponential radius $r_0$ as a function of stellar mass and redshift. The coloured solid lines show medians, while shaded regions indicate the central 68 percentiles. A drop of the scale radius to zero at around $\log_{10}(M_\odot/M_\odot) \sim 8.0 – 8.4$ is given due to the noise modelling (see text). Top: $r_0$ as a function of stellar mass, at four distinct redshifts. There is no clear trend of $r_0$ with either redshift or stellar mass. Middle: Decomposition of $r_0$ at $z = 3$ (black) into its three emission origins (star formation, coll, rec), for both intrinsic and processed photons. As the luminosity budget in the proximity of the haloes’ centre is dominated by star formation, the latter effectively sets the scale length. Bottom: $r_0$ versus redshift compared to observational data from the MUSE UDF (Leclercq et al. 2017). For simulations and observations, we fit the scale length $r_0$ using the same procedure. We show three different bins of fixed stellar mass for TNG50 (blue lines). At fixed stellar mass, LAH sizes are overall larger towards higher redshift. No clear redshift trend is evident in the observations which are consistent with no size evolution, although the galaxy stellar mass distribution as a function of redshift in the data is uncontrolled (see text for details).
This is certainly caused in part in the data leads to lower inferred values at higher stellar masses, the central surface brightness SB0. Fig. 11 shows the trend between the SB0 values are in reasonable agreement where the bulk of surface brightness and either stellar mass or redshift. Although MUSE data do not show such a strong relationship between central SB0 with a scaling of roughly $(1 + z)^4$. In the MUSE data, we find no significant correlation, neither with stellar mass nor redshift.

In comparison to the clear correlation in the simulations, there is significant redshift evolution of SB0 for the intrinsic photons. The dots show measured MUSE results colour coded by simulated redshift they are closest to. The central surface brightness is a strong function of galaxy mass both for intrinsic and processed photons in our TNG50 simulation. There also is significant redshift evolution of SB0 for the processed photons, roughly scaling with $(1 + z)^4$. In the MUSE data, we find no significant correlation, neither with stellar mass nor redshift.

3.5 LAH central brightness

In addition to the two LAH size measures $r_{1/2}$ and $r_0$ we also calculate the central surface brightness $SB_0$. Fig. 11 shows the trend between $SB_0$ and galaxy stellar mass, from $z = 2$ to $z = 5$ (different line colours). We derive this value for both the intrinsic (dashed lines) and processed photons (solid lines). For the latter, we shade the central 68 per cent of outcomes in a given mass bin. Additionally, we show the results from the MUSE UDF data set (circles), coloured to match the nearest simulated redshift for comparison.

First, we see a strong correlation of the peak LAH brightness with galaxy stellar mass. This trend was previously noted in Fig. 4 for the simulated haloes. Over four orders of magnitude in stellar mass, the central surface brightness value increases by roughly two orders of magnitude. The evolution of $SB_0$ as a function of redshift is minimal across the studied redshift range in TNG50. The solid lines show the median for the processed photons for a given mass bin and the shaded region shows the corresponding 68 central percentiles. In dashed, we show the median for the intrinsic photons. The dots show measured MUSE results colour coded by simulated redshift they are closest to. The central surface brightness is a strong function of galaxy mass both for intrinsic and processed photons in our TNG50 simulation. There also is significant redshift evolution of $SB_0$ for the processed photons, roughly scaling with $(1 + z)^4$. In the MUSE data, we find no significant correlation, neither with stellar mass nor redshift.

Figure 11. The central surface brightness $SB_0$ as a function of stellar mass across the studied redshift range in TNG50. The solid lines show the median for the processed photons for a given mass bin and the shaded region shows the corresponding 68 central percentiles. In dashed, we show the median for the intrinsic photons. The dots show measured MUSE results colour coded by simulated redshift they are closest to. The central surface brightness is a strong function of galaxy mass both for intrinsic and processed photons in our TNG50 simulation. There also is significant redshift evolution of $SB_0$ for the processed photons, roughly scaling with $(1 + z)^4$. In the MUSE data, we find no significant correlation, neither with stellar mass nor redshift.

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 The shape and nature of Lyman alpha haloes

Based on our results, we now discuss the implications for the shape and nature of Lyman-$\alpha$ haloes. In particular, is there a typical shape for LAH radial profiles, is there a common cause for this shape, and what is the resulting interpretation of the observations? In Section 4.1.1, we focus on ‘small’ scales, of order of $\sim 10$ pkpc, where LAHs are detected around star-forming galaxies. In Section 4.1.2 we discuss larger scales, and profile flattening, as accessible in current and future intensity mapping studies.

4.1.1 Ly$\alpha$ profiles at small scales

We have shown that the radial profiles of our simulated LAHs are primarily shaped through rescattered photons which originate from star-forming regions in the central galaxy of a halo on scales around $\sim 10$ pkpc. This rescattering gives rise to a smoothing of the surface brightness maps and radial profiles that is larger than typical PSFs (0.7 arcsec adopted herein). Beyond this distance median radial profiles tend to steepen rapidly before flattening on scales above $\sim 20$ pkpc.

We find typical exponential scale lengths $r_0$ of $\sim 4$ pkpc with little to no correlation with stellar mass. As radial profiles are largely dominated by emission from star formation on these scales, the typical shape of rescattered photons from the central galaxy sets this typical $r_0$ and leads to the lack of correlation with stellar mass. If diffuse emission through collisions and recombinations were the dominant source of LAH photons, we would infer much larger scale radii $r_0$ and a strong correlation with stellar mass with scale lengths starting at $\sim 5$ pkpc growing to $\sim 15$ pkpc between $10^8$ and $10^{10} \, M_\odot$. Thus, $r_0$ and its mass dependency can serve as a discriminator between rescattered photons from star-forming regions and diffuse emission in observations. This holds even if the relative importance of the different emission sources is not precisely correct in our simulations.

An important modification of the Ly$\alpha$ emission stems from local ionizing sources. TNG’s simplified on-the-fly treatment of radiation stemming from AGN is already reflected in our results. In particular, in our fiducial sample of LAH candidates with stellar masses of $8.5 < \log_{10} (M_*/M_\odot) < 9.5$ 1030 out of 1189 haloes host an SMBH and incorporate their ionizing flux. While we expect that additional ionizing flux from stellar populations could additionally boost diffuse emission, we only find a small impact of local ionizing radiation from AGN on the radial profiles on small scales measured by the exponential scale radius $r_0$. As the AGN UV radiation (when present) dominates over that of the stellar population at lower redshifts considered, we similarly do not expect our $r_0$ results to significantly change if stellar radiation was incorporated.

Interestingly, as the scale length $r_0$ keeps growing toward higher masses for emission sourced by cooling and the UV background, high-mass haloes in TNG50 reach the lower end of extents observed in LABs. While a future dedicated study is required, this might strengthen the case of such diffuse emission sources (without local sources ionizing flux) causing observed LABs.
In comparison to data, our results for the stacked profiles are consistent with MUSE UDF observations presented in Leclercq et al. (2017). At the level of individual LAH profiles, we similarly find good agreement, such that there are numerous analogues in TNG50 which have compatible Ly$\alpha$ radial profiles. This agreement is noteworthy as we have no tuned or calibrated parameters in our Ly$\alpha$ modelling.

In the quantitative comparison of $r_0$ for individual LAHs we find up to a 20 per cent mismatch at $z = 3$, which grows to a factor of 2 at $z = 5$. Similarly, the central surface brightness values from Leclercq et al. (2017) show significant scatter and tend to be below those obtained from TNG50 at the highest stellar masses. Despite these regimes of tension, the observations show no clear mass or redshift evolution in either $r_0$ or SB$_0$ values, which together with the relatively small sizes implies that observed LAHs in the MUSE UDF sample are sourced by star formation.

Although a rigorous comparison between simulated and observed LAHs is complicated by several systematic uncertainties, the SB$_0$ and $r_0$ tensions hint at possible shortcomings of our Ly$\alpha$ modelling. In Fig. 10, we found that considering star formation alone gives the smallest exponential scale lengths. At $z = 3$, we found $r_0 = 3.1^{+0.7}_{-1.5}$ pkpc in this case, which is very similar to the MUSE UDF estimate of $3.1^{+0.6}_{-0.7}$ pkpc. The simplest explanation is that we have underestimated the Ly$\alpha$ luminosity from star-forming regions, or overestimated the Ly$\alpha$ luminosity from other sources. Further, the strong correlation of SB$_0$ with stellar mass found in our models is not clearly present in the data. As we assume a fixed relation between star formation and Ly$\alpha$ luminosity this outcome is not surprising, and can be alleviated by developing a more realistic model for the underlying relation between Ly$\alpha$ and SFR, as discussed in Section 4.2.1.

4.1.2 Ly$\alpha$ profiles at large scales

Although much of our analysis has focused on the inner regions of LAH profiles, $\lesssim 20$ pkpc, we here consider the general shape of extended Ly$\alpha$ profiles where the impact of environment reveals itself.

The most prominent feature in the median stacked profiles of Fig. 4, regardless of stellar mass, is the significant ‘flattening’ beyond the inner few 10s of pkpc. In Fig. 12, we take advantage of our global, large-volume RT calculation and present the radial profiles of haloes with $8.5 \leq \log_{10}(M_*/M_\odot) \leq 9.5$ out to 3000 pkpc. Here we neglect the PSF, and integrate through $\pm 600$ pkpc from the emitter’s position along the line of sight, which roughly reflects the spectral resolution of the HETDEX survey.

We decompose this median surface brightness profile based on photon origin (different coloured lines). For reference, the expected surface brightness given the average luminosity density in TNG50 is shown with the black-dotted line. We find that the contribution from other haloes (solid red line) is nearly constant with distance and reaches down to roughly match the mean global. This ‘other halo’ contribution starts to dominate the surface brightness profile beyond 100 pkpc by a factor of more than 10. To emphasize this environmental effect, we also show a stack of the subsample of haloes that have a close ($\leq 0.5$ Mpc) massive neighbouring halo, by a factor of 10 or more, as the red-dashed line. For those galaxies there is an elevated plateau above the global mean that only starts to drop around 100 pkpc.

This behaviour is a proximity effect whereby nearby haloes give rise to an effectively elevated background. As a result, emission from star formation from nearby neighbours dominates the observed radial profiles over diffuse emission from the outer halo and the IGM. Zheng et al. (2011) find a similar flattening effect from rescattered photons from other haloes in their simulations, but are unable to assess the relative importance of diffuse emission, which remains untreated. In contrast, Lake et al. (2015) do incorporate both central sources and diffuse emission, reporting a flattening up to scales of 80 pkpc that in equal parts stems from the two emission sources, in contrast to our results where emission from other haloes dominates over IGM emission.

We note that modelling of this proximity effect remains tricky: off-scattered photons in a targeted halo originating in a neighbouring halo could potentially not be modelled by a classic 2-halo term as this would merely capture the overlap between different haloes’ profiles. Here – in addition to the possible overlap of profiles – we have Ly$\alpha$ photons freely travelling through the IGM to a neighbouring halo at which point scatterings will trace out part of the targeted halo.

Our findings are qualitatively compatible with stacking results by Matsuda et al. (2012) showing a strong correlation of the flattening in the overdense regions. Wisotzki et al. (2018) find significant flattening up to scales of around $\sim 50$ pkpc, for which they consider UVB fluorescence as a potential source. In contrast, we find that towards large radii diffuse emission outside of haloes contributes less than 10 per cent to the surface brightness (see Fig. 12), while we find that scattering of Ly$\alpha$ photons from galaxies dominate the flattening. More recent Ly$\alpha$ intensity mapping results at $z = 5.7$ and 6.6 by Kakuma et al. (2019) found reasonable agreement with the MUSE stacking (see also Matthee et al. 2020) and the simulated profiles of Zheng et al. (2011) on small scales ($r < 150$ c.kpc). However, they cannot confirm the proximity effect and flattening found here for larger scales. We note a further complication, that this flattening could be removed in large part or entirely in narrow-band surveys due to the required background subtraction. However, a careful examination of upcoming data, such as with HETDEX, could reveal this proximity effect, particularly by stacking based on the presence of massive neighbour number or environmental density.
4.2 Current limitations and future outlook

4.2.1 Model limitations: TNG and its gas state

Our results depend inseparably on the outcome of the underlying cosmological simulation as well as our Ly α radiative transfer post-processing, inheriting limitations from each. The basis of our Ly α calculations is the TNG galaxy formation model (Weinberger et al. 2017; Pillepich et al. 2018a) and the TNG50 simulation in particular. Although the TNG model has been shown to reproduce a large variety of galaxy and CGM properties in broad agreement with observations (see Section 2.1), it does not treat local ionizing radiation self-consistently as done in radiation-hydrodynamical (RHD) simulations, that are now becoming increasingly feasible for individual zone simulations (e.g. Rosdahl & Blaizot 2012; Mitchell et al. 2020) as well as cosmological volumes down to redshifts $z = 5$–6 (Gnedin et al. 2017; Rosdahl et al. 2018; Ocvirk et al. 2020). As a result, our knowledge of the gas ionization and temperature state is limited to the outcome of the physical modelling in our simulation, as is true in all galaxy formation simulations. As TNG50 remains unfeasible as RHD simulation, it substitutes such approach with an on-the-fly treatment of AGN as the dominant ionizing radiation source as studied in Appendix A5. Towards higher redshifts, the relative importance of stellar ionizing sources increases, thus potentially hinting at larger uncertainties of TNG’s model only incorporating AGN’s radiative feedback.

While particularly emission from collisional excitation strongly depends on the temperature (Furlanetto et al. 2005; Faucher-Giguère et al. 2010) and subsequently can boost emission, we found that the diffuse emission remains subdominant to scattering from the central sources even if radiation from AGNs is present. If central Ly α emission from SMBHs themselves is incorporated, this finding will be further strengthened. Predicted central surface brightnesses when only considering collisional excitations from the CGM in TNG, even with AGN radiative feedback, are commonly an order of magnitude too small compared to the MUSE observations. Apart from this normalization problem, collisional excitations from TNG’s predicted temperature and ionization state show scale lengths significantly too extended for compatibility with observations (see Fig. 10). Reconciliation with observations in case collisional excitations are the dominant source of LAs thus requires significantly different ionization and temperature radial profiles from those found in TNG. Independent constraints on the density, ionization state, and temperature from other observational probes would be useful to assess TNG’s model and check resulting conclusions for predicted LAs. In addition, these findings should be revisited with future RHD simulations that come closer TNG’s sample size and studied redshift range.

In addition, the TNG50 simulation has a resolution of $\sim100$ pc in the dense interstellar medium, which is furthermore modelled with an effective two-phase model. As above, this implies that a number of approximations and simplifications must be adopted in both the emission and transport of Ly α (see Section 2.3). Importantly, in this work, we have adopted a simple mapping from the star formation rate of a gas cell to its Ly α emissivity. We have also neglected dust in its entirety, as well as sub-resolution (unresolved) density structure during the radiative transfer step.

4.2.2 Model limitations: ISM emissivity

As discussed, we do not include the destruction of Ly α photons by dust in our Ly α radiative transfer (although we have investigated its impact, see Appendix A4). Such destruction would primarily take place in the ISM, where resolution is marginal for capturing the complex density and ionization structure relevant for the Ly α radiative transfer. If however we do not explicitly treat dust, we potentially overestimate the star formation luminosities in equation (3), where we do not explicitly model dust destruction. We review the emission model for stellar populations here, as such an overestimation would strongly affect our conclusions of central Ly α emission dominating observed LAs profiles through CGM scatterings.

Equation (3) is derived by integrating the ionizing flux from stellar population synthesis models, and a conversion of $\sim2/3$ of ionizing photons into Ly α (Dijkstra 2019). The proportionality factor between SFR and Ly α emission depends on a range of factors such as the stellar population synthesis model, the escape of ionizing flux, the stellar population’s age, and metallicity, and the initial mass function (IMF; Furlanetto et al. 2005). Overall, this relation should only be seen as a rough estimate with an uncertainty of a factor of a few. Furthermore, observations, such as from H α, Ly α/H α and Ly α/H β show a large scatter in the relation of hydrogen line emission to SFR (Kennicutt 1998; Blanc et al. 2011; Weiss et al. 2021).

Given the large modeling uncertainties shown, we adopted this SFR–Ly α relation as a rough estimate, which could potentially be rescaled after comparison with observations. However, as we show in the following, such a calibration is not necessary for the mass range $8.5 \leq \log_{10}(M_\star/M_\odot) \leq 9.5$, where we already obtain reasonable agreement with observations. This also implies that, while not used in the derivation of the relation, Ly α dust extinction is nevertheless effectively captured for the $8.5 \leq \log_{10}(M_\star/M_\odot) \leq 9.5$. We note however, that the high mass end luminosities can be significantly overestimated, and the role of dust becomes critical.

In Fig. 11, we show the central luminosities as predicted in our simulations compared to MUSE observations in Leclercq et al. (2017). We find that the simulations show similar or slightly lower central surface brightnesses when compared with the observational data.

We have also compared the LAH luminosity function (LF) of our samples with observational LAE luminosity functions at $z = 2.2$, $3.1$ and $5.7$ (respectively based on Ouchi et al. 2008; Konno et al. 2010, 2018) (not shown). We calculate LAH luminosities from the photon contributions of the targeted haloes falling within a 3 arcsec aperture. We find very reasonable agreement of the observations with our intrinsic luminosity functions in the luminosity range $\sim3 \times 10^{41}$ to $\sim2 \times 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$, which includes at least 68 per cent of all haloes with stellar masses $8.5 \leq \log_{10}(M_\star/M_\odot) \leq 9.5$ for each redshift $z = 2$ to $z = 5$ in TNG50. Quantitatively comparing to Konno et al. (2016), we find that at $L = 1.4 \times 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$, our intrinsic emission in fact underestimates the LF by just 0.16 (0.32, 0.17, 0.06) dex for $z = 2$ (3, 4, 5). For the processed photons, our LFs underestimate the observed LFs by 0.19 (0.10, −0.11, −0.63) dex at $z = 2$ (3, 4, 5). That is, our preliminary look already shows promising agreement, while a detailed analysis of the LFs remains a topic for future work.

We are thus confident that, on average, for the fiducial stellar mass range of $8.5 \leq \log_{10}(M_\star/M_\odot) \leq 9.5$, the luminosities reasonably match observations. We thus adopt the simple SFR–Ly α relation without readjustment for dust attenuation. However, we overestimate the high-luminosity end of the LF, and thus inaccurately capture the high-mass LAs’ shape. This might also affect the overall amplitude of the flattening from neighboring galaxies for the fiducial stellar mass range.

In observations there is a large scatter between the star formation rate inferred from Ly α luminosity compared to UV based estimates (Runnholm et al. 2020; Santos et al. 2020). Typically, the median SFR estimate from Ly α exceeds that from UV measurements below...
~10 M\(_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\), but decreases above this value. This systematic trend implies a larger suppression of Ly\(\alpha\) escape for emitters with higher SFR and stellar mass. In addition, there is non-negligible scatter between individual objects with similar properties. It is thought that Ly\(\alpha\) emission traces the most recent star formation (Santos et al. 2020), which could be highly time variable, and is modified by the complex small-scale neutral hydrogen distribution and kinematics (Blanc et al. 2011).

In our simulations, we have instead assumed a strict proportionality between Ly\(\alpha\) luminosity and SFR at the resolution scale, as given by equation (3). We find that subsequent scattering only adds minor scatter to this correlation, implying that sub-resolution stochasticity may be required. As discussed in Section 4.1.1, we tend to slightly overestimate the \(r_0\) metric of LAH sizes in comparison to the MUSE UDF sample, and generally find strong trends of both \(r_0\) and SB\(_0\) with stellar mass, which are less clear in the data. We suspect that adopting an observationally motivated scatter between Ly\(\alpha\) luminosity and UV inferred SFR would also alleviate the tensions noted in the central surface brightness SB\(_0\) trends between our simulations and the data. We ran a simple test to test the impact of including a naive dust treatment (Appendix A4), which demonstrates that the central brightness SB\(_0\) is increasingly attenuated towards higher stellar masses, as dust counters the generally higher SFRs and thus Ly\(\alpha\) luminosities of those haloes.

While subgrid modelling and dust, along with intrinsic scatter between Ly\(\alpha\) luminosity and SFR, should help reconcile the central surface brightness SB\(_0\) comparison in Fig. 11, we would additionally require the mean Ly\(\alpha\) luminosity from star formation to increase in order to obtain smaller LAH sizes. For the typical objects considered as LAH candidates with \(8 < \log_{10}(M_*/M_\odot) \leq 9.5\) here, Santos et al. (2020) find SFR\(_{Ly\alpha}/\text{SFR}_{UV} \sim 2\) for SFR < 10 \(M_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\) with significant scatter. Beside the simplistic model assumptions with significant uncertainties as previously discussed, we would need to incorporate Ly\(\alpha\) emission from AGN in future work, which would boost emission and naturally induce scatter in the SFR–Ly\(\alpha\) relation.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that the current ISM emissivities appear reasonable given the simplistic model, but future work on the effective modelling of dust and a modified SFR–Ly\(\alpha\) relation (reflecting varying ISM environments and potential AGN presence) in both proportionality factor and its scatter might help to simultaneously diminish tensions of SB\(_0\) and \(r_0\) in future work.

### 4.2.3 Future directions

An important improvement for future Ly\(\alpha\) radiative transfer modelling in large-scale cosmological simulations such as TNG50 will be a treatment of complexities below the resolution scale (~100 pc). Such a model could either implement explicit numerical subgrid models (Hansen & Oh 2006; Gronke et al. 2017) and retain our current principle of a parameter-untuned model. Alternatively, we could adopt an effective parameter-based model, incorporating observational findings to motivate Ly\(\alpha\) production and escape fractions from star-forming regions (Weiss et al. 2021).

In future work, we will also use our coupling of VOROILTS and TNG50 to examine two key areas: environmental imprints on large-scale observations, and the information content of the spectral dimension. We found a significant redistribution of photons from star-forming regions to large scales (\(\gtrsim 100 \text{ kpc}\)) which might affect the interpretation of Ly\(\alpha\) intensity mapping experiments. Furthermore, spectral modelling of Ly\(\alpha\) emission in cosmological volumes remains challenging given its multiscale nature (Byrohl & Gronke 2020; Song et al. 2020). However, detailed and spatially resolved spectral information on Ly\(\alpha\) haloes is increasingly becoming available (Claeyssens et al. 2019; Leclercq et al. 2020), and promises to offer significant insight into the kinematics and small-scale structure of hydrogen gas in the CGM of dark matter haloes across cosmic time.

Finally, we have here focused on lower mass star-forming galaxies with \(M_{\text{halo}} < 10^{12} M_\odot\). Above this threshold, the AGNs in more massive galaxies are known to have a significant impact on the ionization state of the CGM and the Ly\(\alpha\) scattering processes occurring therein. Our current radiative transfer methodology does not account for local radiation fields from AGN, but this is a natural extension of VOROILTS which will allow us to compare to the many rich observational data sets of Ly\(\alpha\) emission around quasars.

### 5 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we develop a technique to perform full radiative transfer calculations to trace resonantly scattered Ly\(\alpha\) emission, and the Ly\(\alpha\) haloes (LAHs) around galaxies, at 2 < \(z\) < 5. We do so by post-processing the TNG50 cosmological magnetohydrodynamical simulation (Nelson et al. 2019b; Pillepich et al. 2019) of the IllustrisTNG project.

This large volume offers a powerful statistical sample of thousands of LAHs across an unprecedented halo mass range and across diverse environments, together with a high resolution of order 100 physical parsecs in the dense interstellar medium. Furthermore, our new radiative transfer code VOROILTS (Byrohl et al., in preparation) operates natively on the global Voronoi tessellation of the TNG simulation volume, incorporates both diffuse and galaxy emission, and self-consistently accounts for attenuation within the intergalactic medium.

This allows us to carry out a detailed investigation into the origins, physical properties, and emission sources that shape Lyman \(\alpha\) haloes. At the same time, the realism of the underlying TNG50 simulation enables us to make quantitative connections between LAH and galaxy properties. Our main findings are:

(i) Star-forming galaxies with \(10^7 < M_*/M_\odot < 10^{10.5}\) at 2 < \(z\) < 5 emitting Ly\(\alpha\) photons are surrounded by extended Lyman alpha haloes (LAHs). We present the stacked, median predictions for TNG50 LAHs as a function of galaxy mass and redshift. The radial surface brightness profiles of LAHs have a characteristic shape comprised of a rapid, exponential decline followed by a large-distance flattening. This flattening arises from the density structure probed by rescattering photons as well as in situ diffuse emission.

(ii) Scattered photons from star-forming regions are the dominant contributor to LAH profiles on typically observed scales \(r \lesssim 20 \text{ pkpc}\). Given the importance of scattered photons, we stress the need to use radiative transfer simulations or semi-analytical expressions capturing such behaviour. At larger distances, contributions from diffuse emission via recombinations and de-excitation become equally important.

(iii) On larger scales \(r > 30 \text{ pkpc}\), we find that the flattening of LAH profiles is actually dominated by rescattered photons that originate from other nearby haloes, rather than the primary halo itself. This proximity effect is boosted in high density environments, and should be observable. A careful reproduction of a survey’s background subtraction and wavelength window are needed for a detailed comparison of this flattening to observations.

(iv) Characterizing LAH sizes, we find that their half-light radii \(r_{1/2}\) are of order 5 pkpc at \(z = 2\), increasing to \(\sim 15 \text{ pkpc}\) at \(z = 5\).
This signposts a significant redistribution of photons due to higher neutral hydrogen densities at higher redshifts. The exponential scale lengths $r_0$ also increase slightly towards higher redshift for galaxy stellar masses of $8 \leq \log_{10} (M_\star/M_\odot) \leq 9.5$. Neither $r_\Sigma$ and $r_0$ show clear trends with mass. In contrast, our fiducial model shows a strong positive correlation of the central surface brightness $SB_0$ with both stellar mass and redshift.

(v) While AGN radiative feedback adds significant heating and ionization to the surrounding CGM, subsequently boosting intrinsic emission from both collisional excitations and recombinations, we only find a marginal impact on the emission mechanisms’ relative importance and the overall scale lengths $r_0$ for LAHs with AGN activity in TNG.

(vi) We compare the stacked, median LAH radial profile between TNG50 and data for galaxies with $8 \leq \log_{10} (M_\star/M_\odot) \leq 9.5$ from the MUSE UDF and find good qualitative agreement. We also demonstrate, by finding statistically consistent simulated analogs for individual observed profiles, that the simulation successfully reproduces the diversity of observed LAHs.

(vii) For the quantitative comparison to observational results of LAH sizes as measured by half-light radii $r_\Sigma$ and exponential scale lengths $r_0$, we find agreement at the level of $\sim 20$ per cent at $z = 3$, with the $r_0 \sim 4$ pkpc of our simulated profiles slightly above those of the MUSE UDF data set ($r_0 \sim 3$ pkpc). This difference increases with redshift, to a factor of 2 at $z = 5$. Similarly, we find that the central surface brightness $SB_0$ of LAHs is in good agreement at low stellar mass, but such agreement becomes progressively worse towards higher $M_\star$. Both tensions arise because LAH properties tend to correlate strongly with both galaxy mass and redshift in the simulations, but less so in the data. Barring important differences in the property distributions of the selected galaxies between the simulated and observed samples, we attribute these correlations to a number of simplifying assumptions in our $Ly\alpha$ modeling, and discuss future improvements.

The extended $Ly\alpha$ emission around galaxies and quasars at $z > 2$ provides an insightful window into many aspects of galaxy formation and evolution. Here, we have demonstrated the power of coupling a large-volume cosmological hydrodynamical simulation with a global $Ly\alpha$ radiative transfer modelling. Future improvements in our treatment of unresolved small-scale gas structure and local radiation fields from AGN and stellar populations will enable interpretation of additional data-sets and upcoming surveys, from large-scale intensity mapping experiments to highly detailed, spectral data from targeted IFU surveys.
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APPENDIX A: MODELING ASSUMPTIONS

In this appendix, we assess various modelling assumptions along with numerical convergence of our results. Unless stated otherwise we focus on the star formation emission source only, and derive radial profiles neglecting rescattered light from other haloes, as we limit ourselves to rerunning the radiative transfer on haloes with stellar masses $8 \leq M_{\star}/M_{\odot} \leq 9$.

A1 Hydrodynamic resolution

In Fig. A1, we show the Lyα surface brightness radial profiles at different hydrodynamical resolutions of TNG50 runs stacked at fixed stellar mass $8.5 \leq \log_{10}(M_{\star}/M_{\odot}) \leq 9.5$. Overall, we find the stacked profiles to be very robust over the different resolution levels shown. For fixed stellar bins, we find the intrinsic emission to slightly...
expands with increasing resolution. For the processed photons, there is a slight flattening of the stacked profiles between 10 and 20 pkpc.

Despite this, the inferred \( r_0 \) values are effectively invariant with changing resolution: we find \( 3.1^{+1.4}_{-0.6} \) pkpc (TNG50-1), \( 3.0^{+3.7}_{-0.0} \) pkpc (TNG50-2), \( 2.7^{+2.0}_{-0.2} \) pkpc (TNG50-3), and \( 3.0^{+0.5}_{-0.1} \) pkpc (TNG50-4). However, there appears to be a decrease in the scatter, which may reflect the simpler density structure at lower resolution.

The resolution dependency appears to be significantly smaller than that in Zheng et al. (2011). We note that even the lowest resolution run presented here has a higher resolution than that study in the proximity of haloes.

### A2 Photon package count

In our fiducial runs, we spawn one photon package per Voronoi cell and emission source (star-forming region). Particularly for photons originating from central star-forming regions, there might be a convergence issue given the large volumes that are to be traced out at larger radii. We thus focus on convergence checks for the photon package count from star-forming regions.

In Fig. A2, we show the radial profiles with varying \( n_{\text{count}} \) of initial photon packages. The median stacked profiles (solid) are already fully converged at our fiducial choice of \( n_{\text{photons}} = 1 \). As the most stringent test, we also plot the radial profile of the single halo with the largest sum of squared errors between both these two test runs – the least converged individual profile. In this case, we also find only minuscule deviations confirming that our choice of the photon count leads to a well converged result.

We note that for haloes with stellar masses between \( 8.5 \leq \log_{10}(M_*/M_\odot) \leq 9.5 \), our fiducial setup spawns \( \sim 35,000 \) intrinsic photons: the intrinsically high resolution of TNG50-1 gives us already sufficient sampling.

### A3 Input spectrum

In our fiducial model, photons are injected at the \( \text{Ly}\alpha \) line-centre in the rest frame of the respective hydrodynamic cell. For diffuse emission, equations (1)/(2), this is a fair assumption given that optical depths are moderate, although subgrid clumpiness would add complexity. However, in dense star-forming cells radiative transfer is significantly more complex due to small-scale dust, clumpiness, and ionization. Our radiative transfer simulations do not self-consistently capture these details. While attenuation on these scales only changes the overall radial profile normalization of the attenuated component, the spectral shape of emitted photons might have an effect on the radial profile shape itself.

As shown in similar cosmological simulations by Byrohl et al. (2019), \( \text{Ly}\alpha \) spectra often appear to have too much flux at wavelength larger than the line centre (too ‘blue’) compared to observations. We thus consider an additional redshift for the injected photons, which naturally leads to a more realistic ‘red’ spectrum. In Fig. A3, we show the results of radiative transfer simulations where the redshift...
Δ from the line centre has been varied between 0 km s\(^{-1}\) (fiducial model) and 500 km s\(^{-1}\), considering emission from star formation only. The corresponding intrinsic (dashed) and emergent (solid) Ly\(\alpha\) spectra are shown in Fig. A4.

We find that the choice of Δ has virtually no impact, except for the unrealistically high offset of 500 km s\(^{-1}\). We recover sizes of 3.1\(^{+0.7}_{-0.2}\) pkpc (Δ = 0 km s\(^{-1}\)), 3.1\(^{+0.5}_{-0.2}\) pkpc (Δ = 100 km s\(^{-1}\)), 3.0\(^{+0.5}_{-0.2}\) pkpc (Δ = 200 km s\(^{-1}\)) and 2.5\(^{+0.5}_{-0.2}\) pkpc (Δ = 500 km s\(^{-1}\)) for haloes with 8.5 ≤ log\(_{10}\) (\(M_\ast/M_\odot\)) ≤ 9.5. For large Δ, the scale length \(r_0\) decreases as less scatterings occur given the lower cross-section in the Ly\(\alpha\) line profile wings, while interaction with the IGM also decreases as this is mostly driven by blue photons. Although the frequency distribution at injection will be important for future studies, it is not crucial for our study of LAH profiles.

**A4 dust**

In our fiducial model, we have neglected dust. Comparison of luminosities with observations in Section 4.2.2 indicates that they are reasonable for the fiducial stellar mass range 8.5 ≤ log\(_{10}\) (\(M_\ast/M_\odot\)) ≤ 9.5 without further explicit dust modelling, although this will be necessary for the high-mass end. Dust modelling can either take the form of an additional attenuation factor of Ly\(\alpha\) emission, particularly of star-forming gas cells, or an explicit dust treatment in the Ly\(\alpha\) RT. In this section, we explore the option of including an explicit dust treatment in the Ly\(\alpha\) RT, as a preliminary study. We neglect clumpiness, such that dust is smooth at the resolution scale of the simulation. We use the model for Milky Way like dust (Weingartner & Draine 2001) as implemented in Behrens et al. (2019), which relies on the metallicity field in TNG50.

We expect that dust will primarily modulate the overall normalization of the radial profiles for the emission from the ISM given in equation (3). Nevertheless, this can boost the relative importance of other emission mechanisms, and change the overall radial profiles. As dust content is related to gas-phase metallicity and galaxy mass, the impact of dust could alter the trends of LAH properties with mass, and be particularly important at higher galaxy masses.

In Fig. A5, we show the impact of dust in our simulations at \(z = 3\) for emission from star formation only. We contrast LAH radial profiles between the fiducial dust-free case (solid lines) and the dust included model (dashed lines). Our findings on the impact of dust are similar to those in Laursen et al. (2009). In particular, surface brightness is increasingly suppressed in overdense, dusty regions. In addition, regions of lower density are uniformly suppressed as dust limits the escaping (and then rescattering) contributions from star-forming regions.

Dust attenuation strongly scales with the stellar mass. For example, the median attenuation for the central surface brightnesses is less than one order of magnitude for 8.5 ≤ log\(_{10}\) (\(M_\ast/M_\odot\)) ≤ 9.5, but roughly two orders of magnitude for 10.5 ≤ log\(_{10}\) (\(M_\ast/M_\odot\)) ≤ 11.5.

The inner ≤ 10 pkpc are particularly suppressed, decreasing the central surface brightness values and thus increasing the exponential scale lengths. For the scale lengths of haloes with 8.5 ≤ log\(_{10}\) (\(M_\ast/M_\odot\)) ≤ 9.5, we find an average \(r_0\) ≃ 3.1\(^{+0.7}_{-0.2}\) pkpc without dust and 5.1\(^{+1.1}_{-0.7}\) pkpc with dust. Both the median scale length and its variance within the sample increase with dust. The increase in scale length with this dust modelling is even larger when incorporating other emission sources, as they become relatively more important (see Section 3). It is clear that future models will need to include at least a basic dust model.

**A5 Impact of local ionizing sources**

Properly accounting for the impact of local ionizing sources on the temperature and ionization state of the CGM requires, ultimately, full radiation-hydrodynamical simulations. However, this remains computationally infeasible for the present combination of galaxy sample size, resolution, and redshift.

In this section, we assess the impact of local ionizing radiation from AGNs and stellar populations. An on-the-fly treatment (i.e. self-consistently considering local sources’ impact on cooling in each time-step) is implemented for AGN radiative feedback in TNG. Hence, we can measure such ionizing sources’ impact on the LAHs by comparing halo samples with and without SMBHs, as in Section A5.1. In the subsequent Section A5.2, we then discuss what impact ionizing radiation from stellar populations would have, given that this is not included in our models.
A5.1 Active galactic nuclei

Ionizing radiation from SMBHs is modeled in TNG, which we will describe in the following. Note that we use the terms AGN and SMBH interchangeably here.

The intrinsic luminosity from black hole mass accretion at a rate $\dot{M}_{\text{BH}}$ in TNG is taken to be

$$L_{\text{bol}}^{\text{SMBH}} = (1 - \epsilon_{f}) \epsilon_{t} \dot{M}_{\text{BH}} c^2.$$

(A1)

according to the radiative efficiency $\epsilon_{t}$ and feedback energy fraction $\epsilon_{f}$. The ionizing luminosity escaping the galaxy is then given by

$$L_{\text{UV,esc}}^{\text{SMBH}} = A f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{AGN}} L_{\text{bol}}^{\text{SMBH}},$$

(A2)

where $A$ describes the bolometric correction factor of ionizing luminosity for the assumed spectral energy distribution and $f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{AGN}}$ incorporates the escape of ionizing flux from the galaxy as

$$f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{AGN}} = \omega_{1} \left( \frac{L_{\text{SMBH}}}{10^{46} \text{ erg s}^{-1}} \right)^{\omega_{2}}$$

(A3)

with $\omega_{1} = 0.3$, $\omega_{2} = 0.07$ (Hopkins et al. 2007) that results in a median obscuration of $f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{AGN}} \sim 0.19^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$ for all AGNs in TNG50 at $z = 3$. The sub- and superscript denote the integral of the central 99.73 per cent of AGNs. Higher obscuration factors typically occur for AGN in higher mass haloes.

For AGN with low accretion $\dot{m}/\dot{m}_{\text{Eddington}} < 0.002$, the radiative feedback is set to zero in the TNG model. The radiative output of AGN differs substantially with redshift. While at $z = 0$ the vast majority of haloes are inactive given this threshold, only $\lesssim 5$ per cent are radiatively inactive for the studied redshift range $z \geq 2$.

More details of the implemented radiative feedback model in TNG can be found in Vogelsberger et al. (2013) and Weinberger et al. (2017, 2018).

TNG incorporates ‘on-the-fly’ ionizing radiation from SMBH in each time-step as given in equation (A2), which impacts gas cooling, temperature, and ionization state. For the gas cooling, these local sources’ photoionization and photoheating are incorporated. The model assumes the bolometric intensity as $f_{\text{UV,esc}} \propto L_{\text{UV,esc}}/r^2$ at a distance $r$ of each nearby AGN under the assumption that the gas is optically thin to SMBH radiation. Photoionization and photoheating are calculated as a superposition of the local ionizing radiation from AGN and the uniform metagalactic background. For haloes with a radiating black hole in TNG, the AGN field dominates over the UV background within the halo.

We will now assess the impact of the SMBHs’ ionizing radiation on the haloes’ surrounding gas and the modifications to their Ly $\alpha$ haloes. To do this, we split the sample in haloes with and without SMBHs. In TNG50, this is equal to splitting the samples by AGN radiative feedback activity.

In Fig. A6, we show the median radial profiles for the neutral hydrogen fraction $X_{\text{HI}}$ and temperature $T$ for the sample of haloes in the stellar mass range $8.45 \leq \log_{10}(M_{*}/M_{\odot}) \leq 8.55$ at $z = 3.0$ in TNG50. For this mass range, we have a roughly equal sample of haloes with (148) and without (122) SMBH activity. In addition to the central 68 per centiles as shaded area, we also show the 2 median arcsec radius given the virial radii, along the x-axis (vertical dotted line).

Note that only 1 of the 148 AGN is radiatively inactive. Hence, for our sample, AGN presence nearly always implies a significant ionizing flux in the surrounding CGM according to equation (A2). As a consequence of the SMBH (radiative) feedback, we find a strongly suppressed neutral hydrogen content in affected haloes. At the same time, the temperature is significantly higher outside the star-forming regions at $r > 0.2 r_{\text{vir}}$. Given the decreased neutral hydrogen fraction, we expect a boosted Ly $\alpha$ emission from recombinations, and in particular a boosted Ly $\alpha$ emission from collisional excitations given the strong temperature dependency of the latter.

In Fig. A7, we show the intrinsic median Ly $\alpha$ surface brightness radial profiles for $z = 3.0$ and $8.45 \leq \log_{10}(M_{*}/M_{\odot}) \leq 8.55$ split into their respective emission mechanisms and divided into the sample with and without SMBH activity similar to the dashed lines in Fig. 8. Without scattering, we generally find collisional excitations to be the dominant emission mechanisms for the majority of haloes above 7 pkpc irrespective of SMBH activity. Particularly recombinations are strongly boosted in the presence of an SMBH for $r_{0} \lesssim 20$ pkpc. Interestingly, while the overall emission from collisional excitations is boosted, the relative fraction decreases not just because of the higher recombination rates but also due to a larger fraction of emission from star-forming regions.

To understand latter point, we stress that the underlying samples differ. Particularly, the sample hosting SMBHs, even though with a similar stellar mass, are typically more massive with a median total halo mass $\log_{10}(M_{h}/M_{\odot})$ higher by about 0.2 dex and a significant scatter towards higher masses. The high-mass objects show a larger amount of satellites contributing to the additional emission from star formation in the haloes’ outskirts.

Fig. A8 shows the processed median radial profiles, i.e. after running the Ly $\alpha$ radiative transfer for the intrinsic emission shown in A7. While all emission mechanisms remain boosted in absolute terms when SMBH activity is present, collisional excitations and particularly recombinations become more important in relative terms. However, irrespective of SMBH activity the radial profiles remain dominantly sourced by emission from star-forming cells. At $r_{0} \gtrsim 20$ pkpc, contributions from collisional excitations become close to equally important to photons from star-forming regions. However, this trend is the same for both the AGN and no-AGN sample.

From the median profiles it appears that there is a slight additional flattening for the AGN hosting subsample compared to the no-AGN sample. To quantify the difference of the LAHs’ shape, we calculate the individual exponential scale lengths $r_{0}$ and find an overall increase of roughly 11 per cent from $3.6^{+1.2}_{-1.4}$ (no AGN) to $4.0^{+1.0}_{-1.3}$ pkpc (AGN). This increase is however not solely driven by the ionizing AGN radiation but also by the different halo population, given the fixed stellar mass ranges of the sample. If we instead constrain the total halo mass to be within a fixed range of $10.6 \leq \log_{10}(M_{h}/M_{\odot}) \leq 10.8$, which corresponds to the central 68 per cent of the non-AGN $8.45 \leq \log_{10}(M_{*}/M_{\odot}) \leq 8.55$ sample, we obtain a reversed trend with $r_{0}$ being $3.8^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$ pkpc ($3.6^{+1.2}_{-0.8}$ pkpc) for the sample without (with) AGN.

In conclusion, the impact of ionizing radiation from SMBHs in TNG appears to be significant in terms of ionization state, temperature and thus intrinsic Ly $\alpha$ emission of the gas, but the findings on the LAH shape, particularly through the scale radius $r_{0}$, remain unchanged irrespective of modelled SMBH activity. We therefore do not split our sample within the main body with respect to the presence of AGN.

Note that here, we only discuss the AGN’s impact through its ionizing budget on to the surrounding. In particular, we do not discuss nor implement a description for the unresolved Ly $\alpha$ emission from AGN itself at this point. We would expect that this emission would scatter outwards and produce a contribution to the LAH of similar shape to that of the star formation, given the concentrated emission source scattering into the surrounding CGM. As differences in the intrinsic emission in terms of spatial and spectral distribution exist, this would need to be explored in future investigations.
Lyman α haloes in TNG50

A5.2 Stellar populations

TNG does not incorporate the ionizing flux from local stellar populations as is done for SMBHs. Hence, the possible impact of those sources on our predicted LAH profiles cannot be assessed within the existing simulations. However, we can derive an estimate of the upper limit of this effect, by comparing to the local ionization from AGN.

The ionizing luminosity escaping from the stellar populations, adopting a formulation consistent with our model, is given as

\[ L_{\text{UV,esc}}^{\text{SF}} = \frac{f_{\text{UV,esc}}}{f_b} \left( 1 - f_{\text{UV,esc}} \right) \frac{E_{\gamma,\text{UV}}}{E_{\gamma,\text{Ly}\alpha}} \epsilon_{\text{SF}} V_\star. \]  

(A4)

As in equation (3), we assume no dust and Case B recombination with \( f_b = 0.68 \) being the conversion factor from ionizing to Ly α photons. \( (E_{\gamma,\text{UV}}/E_{\gamma,\text{Ly}\alpha}) \) is the ratio of the average ionizing photon energy in the population and the Ly α line transition energy. We assume \( f_{\text{UV,esc}} = 0.1 \) in equation (17) of Dijkstra (2019).

In Fig. A9, we show the escaping UV radiation from stellar sources and AGN as a function of the stellar mass of the host halo at \( z = 3.0 \) in TNG50. We find AGN activity for the majority of haloes with a stellar mass above \( \log_{10}(M_*/M_\odot) \gtrsim 8.5 \). Above this mass, we find an approximate power law \( L_{\text{UV,esc}} = L_0 \left( \frac{M_*/M_\odot}{10^{8.5}} \right)^\alpha \) with \( L_0 = 10^{41.7} \) and \( \alpha = 1.31 \) \( (L_0 = 10^{41.1}, \alpha = 0.99) \) for AGN star formation. We thus find that \( \frac{L_{\text{AGN,UV,esc}}}{L_{\text{SF,UV,esc}}} \gtrsim 6 \) for the bulk of haloes with AGN activity and a growing disparity between the luminosities with larger mass given the larger slope for AGN. The discrepancy grows (shrinks) at lower (higher) redshifts across the stellar mass range.

Changing \( f_{\text{UV,esc}} \) or adopting different assumptions concerning e.g. metallicity, binary fraction, or IMF can boost the stellar populations’ escaping UV luminosity. Given the large margin at \( z = 3.0 \) between AGN and stellar luminosities, qualitative findings should be robust. However, such adjustments might lower the redshift at which stellar
populations’ UV luminosity becomes dominant into the upper studied redshift range. For the given UV luminosities, the AGN ionizing flux integrated over the halo population dominates over the ionizing flux from stellar populations. This ratio peaks at $z = 2$ in TNG50, where AGNs are most relevant, while stellar populations start to dominate the overall ionizing flux at higher redshifts $z \gtrsim 6$.

We found that the ionizing flux of SMBHs, if present, dominates over the ionizing flux from stellar populations in the same host halo. A consideration of the impact photoionization and photoheating thus needs to primarily address the impact of AGN, for which a simplified description is indeed implemented in TNG50.

In our fiducial sample with stellar masses of $8.5 \leq \log_{10}(M_*/M_\odot) \leq 9.5$ and $87$ per cent of haloes host at least one SMBH with a radiation field according to equation A2. Therefore, our analysis contains the primary ionization source. As demonstrated in Appendix A5.1, while the gas becomes significantly hotter and ionized, the LAH size measurements and our conclusions remain largely unaffected. As a consequence, we also find the inclusion of stellar ionizing sources, with overall weaker UV luminosities, would have a small impact on our overall findings.

### A6 Impact of a wavelength window

For simplicity, we ignored spectral information throughout this paper and instead sum all photons scattering last within $\pm 100$ pkpc depth around the haloes’ centre. Here, we show the difference when instead using a simple spectral bandwidth from the photons’ spectral information incorporating Hubble flow, peculiar velocity, and spectral diffusion. Just as in Appendix A5, we consider contributions from all three implemented emission sources and do not neglect the rescattered light from other haloes as done in the other appendices. We note that a fair comparison will need to carefully match the various methodologies used in observational studies. For example Leclercq et al. (2017) uses a adaptive spectral bandwidth per emitter.

In Fig. A10, we show the median stacked radial profiles using the fiducial depth integration based on the last scattering of photons (solid line) opposed to a fixed spectral bandwidth of $\pm 6.1$ (dashed line) and $\pm 8.1$ Å (solid line) for the overall radial profile and split into the dominant emission origins (central galaxies in blue, other haloes in orange). Ignoring spectral diffusion, we effectively model an adaptive spectral bandwidth to capture potentially large spectral diffusion. Thus, a fixed spectral bandwidth leads to a slightly suppressed median radial profile in Fig. A10.

The scale lengths $r_0 = 4.2^{+2.0}_{-1.1}$ pkpc ($\pm 6.1$ Å) and $4.0^{+1.9}_{-0.7}$ pkpc ($\pm 8.1$ Å) for the fixed bandwidth window slightly increase compared to the fiducial setup due to the exclusion of Ly $\alpha$ contributions that diffused outside of these windows.

In Figs. 7 and 12, we demonstrate a flattening of the radial profiles that is dominated by contributions from other haloes. This flattening will therefore be heavily influenced by the environment in a chosen field of view and the methodology for its detection and stacking. A fair comparison will thus require a thorough reproduction of factors such as field overdensity, source masking and chosen wavelength depth along the line of sight. Generally, a fixed bandwidth as in Fig. A10 causes a larger flattening due to the larger relative background contribution from other haloes which is expected to scale roughly linear with the integration depth. The integration depth from the differential Hubble flow corresponds to $2.4$ and $3.2$ pMpc, respectively, compared with the $0.2$ pMpc in the fiducial setup. Future observational comparisons will benefit from close attention to the chosen wavelength depth along with the background subtraction.
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