The Role of Ozone Carried by Liposomes in the Therapy of Infectious and Skin-Regenerating Ocular Surface
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ABSTRACT

Effect of the ozonated oil in liposomes: they act against Staphylococcus aureus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa is among the most frequent eye pathogens and causes acute and chronic infections of the ocular surface. Staphylococcus aureus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa were tested in this study. The bacterial suspension of Staphylococcus aureus was diluted to obtain 150 CFU/ml. The bacterial suspension of Pseudomonas aeruginosa was diluted to obtain 15 CFU/ml. Various volumes of liposome-vehiculated ozonated oil were added (400 μl, 200 μl, 100 μl and 50 μl) to 100 μl of bacterial suspension; both of Staphylococcus aureus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa are incubated at 37˚C for 10 minutes. The cytotoxicity of liposome-vehiculated ozonated oil was analysed at the University of Campania “Luigi Vanvitelli”, Department of Precision Medicine. The HaCaT epidermal keratinocyte cell line (ATCC, USA) was grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (Euroclone) with the addition of 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS) (Euroclone), 2 mM of L-glutamine (Euroclone) and antibiotics (100 U/ml penicillin, 100 g/ml streptomycin) (Euroclone). The microbiological results clearly show the antimicrobial efficacy of liposome-vehiculated ozonated oil against bacterial strains such as Staphylococcus aureus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Furthermore, the studies carried out in vitro on the keratinocyte line showed how ozonated oil in liposomes does not evidence any cell toxicity, and that after 3 days of treatment, it promotes cell growth compared to the positive control.

1. INTRODUCTION

The increase of antibiotic resistance is an actual emergency in general medicine and in ophthalmolo-
gy [1]. Identifying new molecules capable of performing an effective antibacterial action, which do not cause antibiotic resistance and are well tolerated by ocular tissues can be a turning point in the ophthalmologist’s therapeutic choices. Oxidising agents are commonly used for their ability to effectively disinfect both the skin and solid surfaces. However, their introduction in the form of eye drops for disinfection of the ocular surface is very recent. Their use essentially offers two advantages: they act against all microorganisms and do not induce antibiotic resistance. Ozone, the most powerful oxidising agent found in nature, has been used in medicine for over a century in the form of O₃ gas owing to its antiseptic and anti-inflammatory properties [2].

“Ozone is a powerful antibacterial, antiviral and antifungal agent. It may represent the future of the fight against infections”.

However, gaseous ozone, as such, is highly unstable, but can be stabilised as ozonide, an organic form obtained by having gaseous ozone which reacts with the double bonds of the carbon atoms of plant oils: the derived ozonated oil retains the properties of ozone. To make it tolerated by the ocular surface, a nanoemulsion with ozonated oil within liposomes in solution with hypromellose and deionised water has been recently placed on the market in the form of eye drops [3, 4].

*Staphylococcus aureus* is among the most frequent eye pathogens and causes acute and chronic infections of the ocular surface. In recent years, its ability to withstand common antibiotic therapies has significantly increased, mainly owing to misuse of these therapies [5, 6].

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is a redoubtable opportunistic pathogen that, especially in contact lens wearers, may infect the cornea causing severe corneal ulcers [7].

It is hard to eradicate due to its high ability to resist antibiotic therapies [8].

For about one year now we have been using this ophthalmic solution based on ozonated oil in microbial ocular surface diseases—either alone or in combination with traditional therapy—at the Cornea and Ocular Surface Pathology Centre of the San Giovanni Bosco Hospital of Naples with satisfactory clinical results.

The purpose of this study was to prove unequivocally that a solution of ozonated oil in liposomes applied to the ocular surface had antimicrobial properties and did not cause any damage. As a matter of fact, one must take into account that a solution of ozonated oil in liposomes appears opalescent; hence it was not possible to read turbidity, an “indicator of bacterial growth”, with the conventional and well-established techniques for serial dilutions [9].

An ad hoc method therefore needed to be identified that would comply with all scientific criteria. This method was indeed tested on *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

Specifically, in this study we tested the antiseptic ability of liposome-vehiculated ozone against these two bacteria, its non-toxicity and its possible epithelium restoring action.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1. Bacterial Strains

Bacterial strains used in this study were *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC25923 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC27853, both from clinical sample, supplied from the internal laboratory of the Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale del Mezzogiorno. The bacterial strains were diluted with saline to reach a concentration of 150 × 10⁶ CFU/ml. The turbidity of the suspension was measured with a 600 nm densitometer (Lambda-25 spectrophotometer, Perkin-Elmer, USA) according to the Mc Farland 0.5 standard. The bacterial suspension was diluted to the concentration of 15 × 10⁹ CFU/ml.

Various volumes of liposome-vehiculated ozonated oil were added (400 μl, 200 μl, 100 μl and 50 μl) to 100 μl of bacterial suspension, both of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and incubated at 37˚C for 10 minutes. This time was chosen to simulate as closely as possible the conditions that occur after applying one drop only in one eye.

After that, 100 μl of the four solutions thus prepared (inoculum A, inoculum B, inoculum C and inoculum D), were seeded on blood Agar plates for *Staphylococcus aureus* and Agar nutrient for *Pseudo-
Furthermore, for each bacterial strain, a positive control (only *Staphylococcus aureus* and only *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*) and a negative control only consisting of liposome-vehiculated ozonated oil were added, in order to rule out any contamination. The *Staphylococcus aureus* plates were then incubated overnight at 37°C. While the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ones were incubated at 25°C for 48 hours.

### 2.2. Cell Culture

The cytotoxicity of liposome-vehiculated ozonated oil was analysed at the University of Campania “Luigi Vanvitelli”, Department of Precision Medicine.

The HaCaT epidermal keratinocyte cell line (ATCC, USA) was grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (Euroclone) with the addition of 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS) (Euroclone), 2 mM of L-glutamine (Euroclone) and antibiotics (100 U/ml penicillin, 100 g/ml streptomycin) (Euroclone).

### 2.3. MTT Assay

The MTT assay [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol)-2,5-diphenyl-tetrazolium bromide] [10] (Sigma) was used to establish cell viability after treatment with the eye drops. The HaCaT were plated at a confluence of 6 × 10⁴ cells per point, in 24-well plates, and treated, in triplicate, with three different amounts of the ozonated oil solution in liposomes at different concentrations (50 µl, 100 µl and 200 µl) three times a day for 3 days. The treatment with the eye drops was performed for 10 min, after which the pharmaceutical product was removed from the plate and the cells were incubated again with fresh medium.

After 72 hours of treatment, the MTT solution was added at a concentration of 0.5 mg/ml, and incubated for 3 hours at 37°C. The formazan crystals were finally solubilised in DMSO (Sigma) and absorbance was read with TECAN M-200 at a wavelength of 570 nm.

### 2.4. Cell Count

The cells were counted with a 0.5% trypan blue solution in a Burker chamber.

### 2.5. Image Capture

The cell images were captured with Cytation™ 5 Cell Imaging Multi-Mode Reader (BioTeK).

### 3. RESULTS

#### 3.1. Anti-Microbial Activity

The bactericide activity for *Staphylococcus aureus* at the bacterial concentration of 150 CFU/ml was 80% with 400 µl of liposome-vehiculated ozonated oil, 76% with 200 µl of liposome-vehiculated ozonated oil, 54% with 100 µl of liposome-vehiculated ozonated oil, 46% with 50 µl of liposome-vehiculated ozonated oil (Figure 1(a), Figure 1(b) and Figure 2). The bactericide action against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was lower. Indeed no bactericide activity was highlighted at the bacterial concentration of 150 CFU/ml but at the concentration of 15 CFU/ml. The bactericide activity for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was 60% with 400 µl of liposome-vehiculated ozonated oil, 46% with 200 µl of liposome-vehiculated ozonated oil, 28% with 100 µl of liposome-vehiculated ozonated oil, with 50 µl of liposome-vehiculated ozonated oil growth exceeded 400 CFU (~1%) (Figures 3(a)-(c) and Figure 4).

#### 3.2. Toxicity Assessment

In order to assess the toxicity of ozonated oil in liposomes, the ophthalmic solution was tested on normal epithelial keratinocyte cells, HaCaT. The cell viability test by means of MTT assay [10] made it possible to observe that ozonated oil in liposomes does not inhibit cell growth at all, as shown in Figure 5(a). On the contrary, a quantitative assessment, obtained by cell count, shows how 100 µl and 200 µl of
Figure 1. *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC25923 positive control. (a) Negative control; (b) Effect of ozonated oil in liposomes on *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC25923. Panel A is Inoculum A (bactericide activity for *S. aureus* was 80%). Panel B is Inoculum B (bactericide activity for *S. aureus* was 76%). Panel C is Inoculum C (bactericide activity for *S. aureus* was 54%). Panel D is Inoculum D (bactericide activity for *S. aureus* was 46%).

Figure 2. Bactericide action of ozonated oil in liposomes on *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC25923. Inoculum A (bactericide activity for *S. aureus* was 80%). Inoculum B (bactericide activity for *S. aureus* was 76%). Inoculum C (bactericide activity for *S. aureus* was 54%). Inoculum D (bactericide activity for *S. aureus* was 46%).
Figure 3. (a) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC27853 positive control; (b) Negative control; (c) Effect of ozonated oil in liposomes on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC27853. Panel A is Inoculum A (bactericide activity for *P. aeruginosa* was 60%). Panel B is Inoculum B (bactericide activity for *P. aeruginosa* was 46%). Panel C is Inoculum C (bactericide activity for *P. aeruginosa* was 28%). Panel D is Inoculum D (bactericide activity for *P. aeruginosa* was ~1%).

Figure 4. Bactericide action of liposome-vehiculated ozonated oil on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC27853. Inoculum A (bactericide activity for *P. aeruginosa* was 60%). Inoculum B (bactericide activity for *P. aeruginosa* was 46%). Inoculum C (bactericide activity for *P. aeruginosa* was 28%). Inoculum D (bactericide activity for *P. aeruginosa* was ~1%).
ozonated oil solution in liposomes is able to promote cell growth after 72 hours of treatment compared to the control (Figure 5(b)). The data are supported by visual analysis via Cytation™ 5 Cell Imaging Multi-Mode Reader (BioTeK) (Figure 5(c)).

4. DISCUSSION

The microbiological results clearly show the antimicrobial efficacy of liposome-vehiculated ozonated oil against bacterial strains such as *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

Furthermore, the studies carried out in vitro on the keratinocyte line showed how ozonated oil in liposomes does not evidence any cell toxicity, and that after 3 days of treatment, it promotes cell growth compared to the positive control.

The results obtained support the evidence already provided by clinical experience—with administration of one eye drop four times a day—confirming both the tolerability of the solution and its effectiveness either on its own or in combination with conventional therapy in the treatment and prevention of microbial diseases of the ocular surface.
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