Coordinating changes in cell adhesion and phenotype during EMT-like processes in cancer
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Abstract
Understanding the progression of a primary cancer to the metastatic stage has been the focus of extensive research for years. Commonly accepted concepts in this process (i.e., that of genetic instability and loss of normal cellular constraints on growth and motility) are well established. Other important paradigms, such as the necessary change from an epithelial cell phenotype displaying cell-cell adhesions to a singular and motile mesenchymal-like cell phenotype (possibly derived from a stem cell-like cell) via a process similar to epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT), are less well understood. In this review we will address studies linking EMT and cancer stem cells during cancer development and observations that are challenging these concepts.

Introduction and context
In normal cells, a tight coordination between cell adhesion and signaling exists, manifested by a dependence on anchorage for growth. As cancer cells often display anchorage-independent growth, the key question is, what is the nature of the changes in this coordination between adhesion and signaling in cancerous cells? Epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) describes a series of events originally recognized in embryogenesis and involves changes in epithelial cells that give rise to mesenchymal cells [1]. Elizabeth Hay described mesenchymal cells as “bipolar cells with a filopodia-rich front end capable of invading the extracellular matrix (ECM)…and at the very least, with motile morphology” [2]. While numerous changes in molecular markers occur during EMT, these are not universal to all EMT cases [2].

Many of the phenotypic changes occurring during EMT are reminiscent of those seen in adenocarcinoma cells during cancer progression, which involve an invasion of the ECM and movement away from the primary tumor to form distant metastases. This invasiveness is fundamental to both EMT in embryogenesis and malignant tumor development, and the activation of key transcription factors and expression of other marker genes common to both processes supports the idea that EMT is pathologically reactivated during malignant transformation [3].

Embryogenesis and tumorigenesis are, however, distinct in important ways: while embryogenesis is a highly ordered process that creates a functional organism, tumor progression is often disordered and unpredictable. With this in mind, Kalluri and Weinberg [4] suggested a system for distinguishing similar processes involving cell plasticity and motility from one another by dividing EMT into several subtypes [4]. In addition, recent studies suggest that only a small subpopulation of carcinoma cells, the ‘cancer stem cells’ (CSCs) both sustain tumor growth and promote metastasis [5]. We will focus on current advances in understanding the regulation of these processes.

Major recent advances
Until recently, the accepted dogma in cancer research was that replicating epithelial cells accumulate several rounds of mutations that eventually lead to cancerous
transformation [6]. This idea has recently been challenged by the identification of CSCs, which provide a new means for both the initiation and propagation of tumorigenesis. Since stem cells can self renew, proliferate, and differentiate, these properties can explain both the unrestricted growth and the differentiated patterns seen in malignant tumors [5]. The Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway was shown to regulate both self-renewal and oncogenesis in different tissues [5]. Furthermore, cells at the invasive front of colorectal carcinomas often express a CSC phenotype, characterized by loss of E-cadherin and nuclear β-catenin localization, an indication of active Wnt signaling (Figure 1B and [7]).

Both the EMT and CSC concepts address key aspects of carcinogenesis, and Mani et al. [8] showed that they can be linked to each other. By overexpressing the major EMT regulators Snail and Twist in immortalized human mammary epithelial cells, they induced an EMT-like state (decreased E-cadherin and increased expression of mesenchymal markers) and showed that these cells had an increased ability to form mammospheres (balls of non-adherent cells capable of differentiation), a property normally associated with mammary epithelial stem cells. They isolated cell populations from mouse mammary glands, human reduction mamoplasty tissues, and mammary carcinomas that express stem cell markers (CD44high/CD24low), and demonstrated that these cells also display mesenchymal markers. Their ability to isolate mesenchymal-like breast cells from normal breast tissue, without evidence for tumors or metastases, points to the inherent plasticity of adult epithelial tissue cells, and shows that normal adult stem cells that underwent an EMT are similar to mesenchymal stem cells [9]. The epithelial phenotype is easily amenable to manipulation in both non-transformed [10] and carcinoma cells [11] by simply changing the density of cell cultures, further demonstrating the plasticity of epithelial cells. Supporting a link between EMT and CSCs in mouse mammary tumors, the homeobox transcription factor Six1 (when activated in transgenic mice) induced an EMT-like conversion and caused mammary gland cancer by increasing the population of cells displaying CSC markers, and included the activation of Wnt/β-catenin signaling [12].

Another exciting advance involves the description of new roles for E-cadherin in cancer progression. Transgenic mice with conditionally disrupted E-cadherin function in type II alveolar epithelial cells were crossed with mice that form benign lung adenomas due to a RAS mutation [13]. Disruption of E-cadherin in the offsprings induced invasive carcinoma that metastasized into regional lymph nodes and bone marrow and promoted angiogenesis. This revealed a novel function of E-cadherin in angiogenesis and in tumor progression [13].

Even more remarkably, the systemic spread of cancer cells was shown to be an early step in oncogenesis in mammary cancer. Untransformed mouse mammary cells engineered to express inducible oncogenes were intravenously injected into mice, who subsequently developed pulmonary metastases after the cells had implanted in the lungs and the oncogenes were induced [14,15]. These tumors expressed normal epithelial markers. Thus, cell transformation in the primary tumor can be bypassed and is not required for metastasis. Since breast cancer cells have been found in the circulation of patients with small, non-invasive tumors, these findings suggest that metastases may develop in vivo without a classic EMT.

Another major change in our view of the way cancer develops is the realization that cancer cells are no longer considered the only player in cancer progression. The cancer microenvironment, including stromal fibroblasts, endothelial cells, and bone-marrow-derived...
inflammatory cells, interact with cancer cells to promote cell migration, invasion, and metastasis [16,17]. For example, cysteine cathepsins secreted by tumor-associated macrophages cleave E-cadherin at the cancer cell surface and promote EMT [16]. Also, cells in the cancer microenvironment often secrete metalloproteases that breakdown the ECM and allow cancer cell invasion [16].

Inflammation-associated signaling pathways play a key role in metastasis development [17]. In some cancers, tumor-associated fibroblasts express proinflammatory genes very early in the tumorigenic process, promoting macrophage recruitment, neovascularization, and tumor growth – all of which are abolished when nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-κB) signaling is inhibited [18]. NF-κB signaling was shown to be essential in breast cancer development, where it stabilizes Snail, a major EMT regulator [19]. In colon cancer, the L1-CAM-mediated metastasis to the liver was blocked when NF-κB signaling was inhibited (Figure 1D) [20].

Finally, a recent study has linked CSCs, EMT, and the tumor microenvironment in colon cancer progression and metastasis [21]. Activation of Wnt target genes (demonstrated by nuclear β-catenin localization [Figure 1B]), was shown to be a marker for colon CSCs, defined by a set of specific ‘cluster of differentiation’ (CD) markers in these cells and their clonogenic capacity. The co-culturing of myofibroblasts with such CSCs prevented their differentiation and resulted in the loss of clonogenicity. Moreover, differentiated colon cancer cells were reprogrammed to express CSC markers and regained their tumorigenicity when stimulated with myofibroblast-derived factors, further emphasizing the plasticity of normal and cancer cell phenotypes [21].

**Future directions**

The most challenging aspect in the study of cancer progression is to develop models enabling the visualization of the dynamic three-dimensional interactions between cancer cells in vivo, similar to some models used to visualize cell motility during embryogenesis. Pathologists rarely observe EMT in cancer tissues, and the invading cells in the surrounding stroma appear epithelial and not mesenchymal, and for the most part do not express stem cell markers. The question is: have these cells undergone a “radical change in cell identity” [22]? The answer to this question is critical for a deeper understanding of the biology of cancer and remains one of the hotly debated issues in the cancer-EMT field.

Controversy also surrounds the definition and identification of CSCs, or tumor-initiating cells [23]. CSCs express a set of markers common to non-transformed stem cells, and when CSCs are isolated and injected in small numbers they can initiate tumor formation in mice. Other investigators have found that CSCs can be isolated by the expression of CD markers, which change as the phenotype of the cancer cell alters (i.e., cells that metastasize express a different set of CD markers compared to those that do not metastasize). In both cases, it remains unclear from where CSCs arise, how they are classified and identified, and whether they exist at all [23], not to mention what the functional significance of the CDs may be. Future studies on the link between CSCs and the necessity of an EMT-like process during cancer progression will undoubtedly remain an exciting research topic at the front of molecular cancer research in the near future.
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