A Toolkit for Profiling the Immune Landscape of Pediatric Central Nervous System Malignancies
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The prognosis of pediatric central nervous system (CNS) malignancies remains dismal due to limited treatment options, resulting in high mortality rates and long-term morbidities. Immunotherapies, including checkpoint inhibition, cancer vaccines, engineered T cell therapies, and oncolytic viruses, have promising results in some hematological and solid malignancies, and are being investigated in clinical trials for various high-grade CNS malignancies. However, the role of the tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) in CNS malignancies is mostly unknown for pediatric cases. In order to successfully implement immunotherapies and to eventually predict which patients would benefit from such treatments, in-depth characterization of the TIME at diagnosis and throughout treatment is essential. In this review, we provide an overview of techniques for immune profiling of CNS malignancies, and detail how they can be utilized for different tissue types and studies. These techniques include immunohistochemistry and flow cytometry for quantifying and phenotyping the infiltrating immune cells, bulk and single-cell transcriptomics for describing the implicated immunological pathways, as well as functional assays. Finally, we aim to describe the potential benefits of evaluating other compartments of the immune system implicated by cancer therapies, such as cerebrospinal fluid and blood, and how such liquid biopsies are informative when designing immune monitoring studies. Understanding and uniformly evaluating the TIME and immune landscape of pediatric CNS malignancies will be essential to eventually integrate immunotherapy into clinical practice.
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INTRODUCTION

Central nervous system (CNS) malignancies are the leading cause of cancer-related death in children. Extensive molecular profiling has resulted in a better understanding and further subclassification of many pediatric CNS tumors (1). So far, these significant advances are not reflected in clinical benefit for the patients, and the prognosis remains dismal for most of the
subtypes. Five-year survival rates for children range from 2% for diffuse midline glioma, 20% for glioblastoma, to 75% for medulloblastoma and ependymoma (2, 3).

Current treatment of children with CNS malignancies is facing a lot of challenges. The diffuse infiltration of some high-grade malignancies into critical neural circuits only permits partial resection or biopsy (4, 5). Depending on diagnosis and age of the patient, either craniospinal or local radiotherapy is added to optimize survival and reduce the risk of (local) recurrence (6). However, radiation can predispose patients to hearing, endocrine, and neuro-cognitive dysfunction (7). Despite the fact that the blood–brain barrier restricts the bioavailability of most of the currently available anti-neoplastic medication, chemotherapy can improve survival. This holds true for the embryonal tumors in particular, though tumors from glial origin tend to be more intrinsically resistant (8). Alternative therapeutic approaches such as molecular-targeted therapies, in particular MEK, BRAF, and tyrosine kinase inhibitors, have manifested improved outcomes in pediatric low-grade and infantile hemispheric gliomas (9). In summary, these highly aggressive and heterogeneous malignancies require an arsenal of agents to achieve meaningful clinical improvement albeit at the cost of significant, long-term morbidity. It is clear that conventional treatments fall short, stressing the urge to explore alternative therapeutic modalities.

The field of immunotherapy is a promising area to investigate in the field of pediatric CNS malignancies given its previous success in other solid tumors and superior safety-profile (10–14). Immuno-modulating approaches that are currently under investigation comprise vaccination therapy, oncolytic viruses, immune checkpoint inhibition, and CAR T cell therapy. However, there are many hurdles to overcome when applying immunotherapy.

Pediatric CNS malignancies generally exhibit an immunologically “cold” phenotype, lacking infiltrating T and natural killer (NK) cells (15–17). The pathophysiology behind the absence of T cell infiltration has not been fully elucidated. However, the low tumor mutational burden, deficiencies in antigen presentation on cells, and T cell homing to the tumor bed potentially play an important role (18). Additionally, tumor-associated microglia and macrophages (TAMs), cells of the innate immune system, are the most abundant immune cell type in the tumor immune microenvironment (TIME). In healthy control brain tissue biopsies, microglia are the dominant myeloid-derived cell type in the brain. During disease, microglia are activated, and bone marrow-derived macrophages are recruited to the tumor site, where they polarize towards different activation states ranging from classically activated (M1) to alternatively activated (M2) phenotypes (19–21). They can secrete inhibitory cytokines (such as TGF-B and IL-10) promoting immunosuppression and malignant proliferation (22, 23). Macrophages can also secrete endothelial growth factors that stimulate angiogenesis, thus advancing tumor growth and metastatic invasion into surrounding tissues (24).

Cells of the TIME interact with the tumor through paracrine signaling, which can stimulate tumor progression and enable immune escape. Moreover, in other solid tumor types, different components of the TIME may function as a predictive marker of response to immunotherapy (25); therefore, characterizing the TIME, as well as other immune compartments [e.g., blood, cerebral spinal fluid (CSF), and bone marrow], is necessary for evaluating current immunotherapies and clinical implementation. Comprehensive immune monitoring programs are needed for a better understanding of the TIME, but also to find surrogate markers in blood that can be helpful in designing future immunotherapies. Currently, literature about this association is lacking.

In this review, we provide an overview of different cellular and molecular profiling techniques for a multidimensional characterization of the immunophenotypes in pediatric CNS malignancies. We focus on immunohistochemistry (IHC), flow hen implemented simultaneously, these techniques characterize and quantify the immune cells in the microenvironment and provide detailed information into immune-status and function (Figure 1). We aim to discuss the advantages and pitfalls of these technologies to study the immune landscape at a time of diagnosis and throughout therapy. Understanding the subtype-specific inflammatory milieu of pediatric CNS malignancies will advance our knowledge when evaluating current immunotherapies, designing new treatments, and predicting the clinical responses of patients, ultimately laying groundwork for a more personalized and safe treatment design.

IMMUNOHISTOCHEMISTRY

Introduction to Immunohistochemistry

IHC is an essential method for detecting, quantifying, and localizing a specified protein in tissue with antibody–antigen interactions. Since its introduction in the 1970s, the clinical diagnostics field rapidly advanced wherein pathologists can better classify tumors based on their expression of lineage-specific markers (glial tumors selectively expressing GFAP), oncogenic somatic mutations (oligodontroglialomas express IDH1 R132H mutation), and epigenetic modifications (diffuse midline gliomas show H3K27me3 loss) (26, 27).

IHC is performed on formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor slides, which allows for a retrospective analysis of preserved tissue. Antibodies are used in conjunction with a coloring dye to visualize and detect the antigen of interest on the tissue section. In the clinical diagnostics setting, automated IHC machines have standardized this process, which improved reproducibility and reduced experimental biases. Both intensity and location of the stained marker can be interpreted, which is usually done by manual scoring; however, sophisticated programs and algorithms for automatic quantification are available nowadays, which reduce interpretation biases. We refer to Kim et al. who provided a detailed overview of IHC for pathologists (28).

Characterizing the TIME of Pediatric CNS Malignancies Using IHC

In addition to the valuable applications for cancer diagnostics, IHC can provide details into the composition of the TIME.
Antibodies that target antigens found on infiltrating lymphocytes, macrophages, and stromal cells are especially useful for immunophenotyping pediatric CNS malignancies (28). As IHC is an in situ technique, it can also describe the spatial distribution of infiltrating immune cells in the TIME. An overview of commonly used cell markers to dissect the TIME can be found in Figure 2.

Multiple studies used IHC to characterize the TIME of pediatric CNS malignancies, which shed unprecedented light on the composition of the TIME and revealed tumor type-specific immunophenotypes (17, 29, 30). The results demonstrated that myeloid cells are major components of the TIME of pediatric gliomas, encompassing infiltrating macrophages, myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), and microglia, whereas there are few infiltrating lymphocytes (17, 29, 31). Analysis of the immune cell infiltration in pediatric gliomas shows an enrichment of CD8⁺ T cells and CD45⁺ leukocytes in low-grade compared to high-grade gliomas (17). In a similar way, Lieberman et al. use IHC to study immune cell infiltration in pediatric low-grade gliomas, high-grade gliomas, and diffuse midline glioma tumor samples. While the percentage of tumor-associated CD68⁺ macrophages is comparable across indications, diffuse midline gliomas have the lowest number of infiltrating CD8⁺ T cells and CD163⁺ macrophages, which contributes to its immunosuppressive phenotype (29). Moreover, multiple studies have described the subgroup-specific TIME composition of medulloblastomas. The SHH subgroup are the most enriched in CD163⁺ macrophages, suggesting different roles of tumor-associated macrophages in medulloblastoma subgroups (32).

Comparing immune cell infiltration in the peritumoral area and tumor core of glioblastomas showed that CD163⁺ cells were more abundant in the tumor core. Similarly, the expression of the immunosuppressive markers PD-L1, IDO, and TIGIT was higher in the tumor core (31).

Immune profiling using IHC can be predictive of prognoses and help inform treatment strategy by detecting targets of immune checkpoint therapies, such as PD-L1. Pediatric ependymomas with higher infiltration of CD3⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells in the microenvironment at diagnosis have a longer progression-free survival (PFS), while elevated FOXP3 regulatory T cells and CD68⁺ macrophages correlate with a shorter PFS. Patients in this cohort were treated according to standard of care consisting of surgery only (59.5%), adjuvant radiotherapy (32.8%), and chemotherapy (14.6%) (33). For medulloblastoma, an increased infiltration of CD8⁺ T cells and decreased PD-L1 expression are correlated to PFS (34); however, the prognostic relevance between lymphocyte infiltration and medulloblastoma survival has been disputed (35). Other studies have quantified the presence of other checkpoint proteins, such as B7-H3 and CD155, and found that they vary largely based on cancer type (36–38). In summary, IHC is integral for characterizing the TIME in a spatial context and patient-specific manner.

**Advances in Immunohistochemistry and Spatial Proteomics**

One of the major drawbacks of using IHC to characterize the TIME is the limited number of antibodies that can be used on a single tumor slide, which hampers evaluation of numerous immune cell subsets on a single slide. This is even more relevant...
when describing functionally active subsets of immune cells, or co-localization of antigens on tumor or immune cells. Over the last few years, more advanced techniques—such as multiplex IHC, multiplex immunofluorescence, and spatial proteomics—have been developed to study the complex spatial architecture of tissues. These methods allow for simultaneous visualization of multiple proteins, allowing to study the spatial distribution and co-localization of immune cells in the TIME in much greater detail (39, 40). Conceptually, multiplexed immunofluorescence is similar to IHC, though each antibody is labeled with a fluorophore with a unique excitation wavelength, which allows for multiple targets to be visualized on one tumor slide. Interestingly, one study designed a panel of 18 antibodies to study the effect of oncolytic virus therapy on the distribution and functional states of immune cells in a pediatric patient with glioblastoma (41). They noticed a significant increase of CD8+ T cells, macrophages and microglia in post-treatment tissue compared to pre-treatment tissue. Moreover, T cells expressed higher levels of CTLA-4 and PD-1 after treatment, suggesting a potential role of checkpoint inhibition. However, multiplex immunofluorescence uses frozen tissue slides that often have poorer morphology, making spatial interpretation more difficult.

Therefore, current spatial profiling approaches are based on antibodies linked to photocleavable oligonucleotide tags (such as.
GeoMx® Protein Assays of NanoString), or use an agonistic approach by detection of isotope-labeled antibodies with mass spectrometry (42, 43). Other methods use a combination of DNA-conjugated antibodies and multicyclic addition of complementary fluorescently labeled DNA probes (44). A significant advantage of spatial proteomics over other protein-detection methods is the ability to spatially profile and quantify the high-dimensional composition of the TIME with high resolution; for example, CODEX can visualize up to 60 antigens on a single slide (44, 45). Using multiplex IHC and spatial proteomics to study the TIME of pediatric CNS malignancies could greatly enhance our understanding of the spatial composition and interactions between cells in a high-throughput manner using only a single tissue slide. To summarize, IHC is considered a highly reliable and robust method to detect protein expression and is often used to study the TIME composition.

**FLOW CYTOMETRY**

**Introduction to Flow Cytometry**

Since its invention in the 1960s, flow cytometry has been widely applied to characterize and quantify immune cells (46). Whereas IHC provides information on in situ protein expression, flow cytometry measures the expression of surface and intra-cellular proteins at the single-cell resolution. Using panels of fluorophore-conjugated antibodies directed against specific proteins, distinct (sub)populations of cells can be characterized and quantified based on their unique protein expression profiles.

Flow cytometry is used to profile various types of patient materials, such as blood, bone marrow, cerebral spinal fluid, and tissue samples once processed in a single-cell suspension. A viable single-cell suspension can be obtained from solid tissue by enzymatic digestion and/or mechanical dissociation (47–49). It is important to select a tissue-dissociation method that retains cell viability, as dead cells interfere with analysis due to their increased autofluorescence and non-specific binding of antibodies (50). Dead cells can be excluded from analysis by incorporating a specific live/dead marker in the antibody panel (50–52).

Cell handling with either freeze-thawing cycles or a Ficoll gradient to select mononuclear cells results in a loss of specific cells, and therefore, it is essential to standardize methods when initiating an immune monitoring program. Granulocytic cells are generally lost upon a freeze–thaw cycle, whereas mononuclear cells are more stable. Red blood cells are often lysed as they may interfere with the fluorescence readout (53, 54). With recent technical advances in flow cytometry, spectral flow cytometers can now analyze over 40 different extra- and intracellularly markers simultaneously.

**Characterization of Immune Cells Using Flow Cytometry**

Based on the size and granularity, immune cells can be distinguished from the generally larger non-immune cells and tumor cells that are present in tumor material. Subsequently, cells can be further profiled based on the light emitted by the different cell-bound fluorophores, showing a unique phenotype for each single cell detected. An overview of the most relevant cell-specific lineage markers to discriminate subsets of leukocytes and tumor cells is given in Figure 2. CD45 is a general marker to identify hematopoietic cells (except erythrocytes and plasma cells). Cell-type-specific surface markers can be used for further subtyping; for example, CD3 is a lineage marker for T cells that can be further divided into CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, while CD19 is a part of the B-cell receptor complex and thus identifies B cells. The extensive multi-color options of flow cytometry allow analyses of surface-expressed markers for differentiation [naive (effect), memory, etc.,] activation [i.e., CD69 and CD137 (4-1BB)] and terminal differentiation/exhaustion (e.g., CTLA-4 and PD-1), and intracellular markers for proliferation (Ki67), production of effector molecules (cytokines/granzymes), or transcription factors (e.g., FoxP3 to define regulatory T cells). Intracellular staining requires fixation to retain cell structure and prevent intracellular proteins from diffusing out of the cells, followed by permeabilization to allow antibodies to enter the cells (55). To analyze intracellular cytokine production, cells require ex vivo stimulation with, for instance, phorbol myristate acetate (PMA; a NF-kB activator) and ionomycin for several hours, coinciding with Golgistop to prevent cytokine secretion, prior to performing antibody staining procedure. Detecting pro- or anti-inflammatory cytokines in the cells then provides insight into the activity of individual cells in the TIME. In conclusion, different combinations of both extra- and intracellular stains are useful for in-depth characterization of different subpopulations of cells present in TIME and periphery.

**Applications of Flow Cytometry to Pediatric CNS Malignancy Research**

Though flow cytometry is not generally used for diagnosing CNS malignancies, studies have used this technique to characterize the abundance of immune cell infiltration across multiple pediatric CNS malignancies. Griesinger et al. showed differences in immune infiltration and the degree of immune suppression on biopsied material, in patients diagnosed with pilocytic astrocytoma, ependymoma, glioblastoma, and medulloblastoma (56). Compared with glioblastoma and medulloblastoma, pilocytic astrocytomas and ependymomas had significant myeloid (characterized as CD45+CD11b+) and lymphocyte infiltration. Infiltrating immune cells were shown to express low levels of PD-1, which was suggested to represent a more permissive TIME for immunotherapy. However, the authors did not investigate any additional activation markers such as CD69 or CD137. Remarkably, PD-1 expression was significantly decreased on CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in the TIME of all indications, except glioblastoma. These data were later confirmed by Plant et al. Additional flow cytometric analysis of low- and high-grade glioma, atypical teratoid rhabdoid tumor, and medulloblastoma demonstrated a trend towards increased (activated) B-cell infiltration in high- versus low-grade gliomas. Interestingly, flow analysis of peripheral blood showed low absolute lymphocyte counts in both low- and high-grade...
tumors, regardless of steroid treatment, which could indicate an immunosuppressive effect induced by the tumor (17).

Importantly, we are beginning to appreciate how the immunophenotype of pediatric CNS malignancies differs significantly from that of adults. Flow cytometric analysis of adult gliomas and brain metastases revealed that IDH mutation status and tumor origin are important in shaping the TIME. Compared to brain metastases, gliomas exhibited lower lymphocyte counts, and higher composition of microglia- and monocyte-derived infiltrating macrophages. Moreover, glioblastomas with IDH-wt status exhibited more lymphocyte and less macrophage infiltration compared to lower-grade IDH-mut gliomas (57). This correlation between tumor grading and lymphocyte infiltration has not been found in pediatric subtypes. Moreover, flow cytometric analysis demonstrated that tumor immune infiltrate in pediatric CNS malignancies does not correlate with tumor grade, as seen in adults. Low-grade gliomas have more lymphocyte and myeloid infiltration than higher-grade brain tumors (17, 56). These findings highlight that simply deducing results obtained from adult brain tumor research may not necessarily lead to the design of effective immunotherapies for children.

In addition to characterizing the TIME upon diagnosis, flow cytometry is readily used in clinical care for monitoring health and disease in various hematological indications. In hematology/oncology, immune monitoring with flow cytometry is performed on blood, CSF, or bone marrow to detect disease progression, recurrence, and to survey systemic effects of therapeutics (58, 59). However, in the context of CNS malignancies, repetitive tumor biopsies are uncommon. Studying more accessible immune compartments of solid tumors (such as blood, CSF or bone marrow) could provide valuable information on changes in the immune landscape and functional dynamics in a patient, which would otherwise be overlooked and may correlate to the TIME. To this note, a study using a glioblastoma mouse model showed evidence of glioblastoma-induced homing and accumulation of T cells (both CD4+ and CD8+) in the bone marrow. This T cell sequestration resulted in mice suffering from peripheral blood lymphopenia, a symptom that is also observed in patients diagnosed with glioblastoma (60). They also showed that T cell sequestration is a result of location rather than tumor origin, by introducing tumors of different histology in either brain or flank of the mice. Interestingly, all intracranial tumors induced T cell accumulation in the bone marrow regardless of tumor type, which was not found for subcutaneous xenografts (60). A flow cytometric and CyTOF study of adult glioblastoma patients found that MDSCs, but not regulatory T cells, are increased in the blood of glioblastoma patients compared to other CNS tumor indications. Additionally, they showed that the immune composition of blood changes during course of treatment, with reduced B cells and increased CD8+ T cells, dendritic cells, and MDSCs in the blood at 2 months after surgery. Finally, they showed that MDSC levels correlate with overall survival, indicating MDSCs as a possible target for immunotherapy (61). These studies provide strong evidence why investigation of other less invasive immune compartments is essential. Longitudinal flow cytometric analysis of these compartments will not only provide information on disease progression but could also be used as a tool in predicting immune treatment responsiveness.

**BULK TRANSCRIPTOMICS**

**Introduction to Bulk Transcriptomics**

Gene expression profiling has become an indispensable tool in translational cancer research. In oncology, expression of genes provides valuable insights into the biological processes and pathways that are regulated in a tumor. For CNS malignancies, the transcriptome enables tumor subgrouping and is gaining interest as a standard tool for detection of driver mutations (62–64).

Procedures for quantifying specific RNA transcripts were first introduced with quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR), which is a robust and highly reproducible method to measure RNA expression (65). The subsequent development of microarrays allowed for the quantification of thousands of gene transcripts at the same time (66). Microarrays are based on hybridization of fluorescently labeled cDNA transcripts (obtained by reverse transcription of RNA from tissue) to complementary DNA probes (67). Nowadays, multiplex, fluorescence-based hybridization methods, such as the NanoString nCounter® platform, are also frequently used to study gene expression. These techniques are based on direct detection of mRNA or miRNA from tissue and permit the use of lower-quality RNA (68, 69). A particularly interesting panel for studying the TIME is the nCounter® Pancancer Immune Profiling Panel, which contains 770 genes associated with immune functions and tumor-specific antigens, spanning 24 immune cell types (70). However, these platforms only permit the quantification of a pre-defined panel of genes, and therefore the discovery of novel biomarkers is limited.

High-throughput whole transcriptome RNA-seq (from here on, referred to as “RNA-seq”) has largely replaced microarrays for gene expression profiling in onco-immunology (71). The vast amount of data that can be generated with RNA-seq from a single tumor sample has revolutionized the oncology and clinical research field (66, 72). This technique uses next-generation sequencing technologies and allows for unbiased characterization of the complete transcriptome. Briefly, RNA is isolated from fresh, frozen, or FFPE tumor tissue using preparation kits, followed by ribosomal RNA depletion. Total RNA (including mRNA, lncRNA, and miRNA) undergoes reverse transcription to convert it to cDNA libraries, which are subsequently fragmented, amplified by PCR, and sequenced (73). The sequence reads are then aligned to a reference genome and expression counts can be determined or other analyses can be performed. In contrast to hybridization-based approaches, RNA-seq can detect isoform and splice variants, and identify clinically relevant gene fusions, which are common oncogenic drivers in pediatric CNS malignancies, such as KIAA1549::BRAF in pilocytic astrocytomas and C11orf95::RELA, primarily in supratentorial ependymomas (64, 74, 75). Additionally, as prospective selection
of genes is not required, the resulting expression profile is unbiased, and discovery of novel biomarkers and transcripts is possible.

**Applications of Bulk Transcriptomics to CNS Malignancy and Immune-Oncology Research**

The most straightforward analysis of transcriptomic data is comparing the expression of individual genes between samples or diagnoses. For example, Lieberman et al. measured RNA expression of chemokines, pro-inflammatory cytokines, and immunosuppressive factors across pediatric gliomas and normal brain tissue. Low-grade gliomas highly express CCL2-4, high-grade gliomas express CCL5, while diffuse midline gliomas have basal expression of all chemokines (29). These results are consistent with previous studies that used IHC and flow cytometry, which described the increased lymphocyte and myeloid chemoattraction in pediatric low-grade gliomas (17, 56). Similarly, a signature, or panel of genes, can be created to study the presence and activation state of specific immune cells. These gene expression panels can incorporate functional exhaustion markers or immune checkpoints (e.g., PD-L1, CTLA-4, and LAG-3), to explore the possibility of targeting those with immunotherapy in pediatric brain cancers. Several tools have been developed [e.g., MCP-counter (76)] to compare the abundance of immune cell populations, based on the expression of immune-cell specific genes, between samples.

More sophisticated computational methods can be used to robustly analyze RNA-seq datasets and derive biologically meaningful results, such as targetable genes or pathways implicated in cancers. Unsupervised clustering and dimensionality reduction are useful for observing the inter-sample variability in the transcriptome. Hierarchical and k-means clustering are popular methods for identifying groups of samples with similar global gene expression patterns (77). Dimensionality reduction, such as principal component analysis or uniform manifold approximation, reduces the number of features by transforming gene expression variables into a lower-dimensional space. This retains meaningful properties of the original high-dimensional dataset and can detect heterogeneity or confounding variables among samples (78). Groups of samples identified through these approaches can be clinically relevant, as transcriptomes have been shown to correspond to anatomical location [for pilocytic astrocytomas (79, 80)], diagnosis subgroups [for medulloblastoma (81)], oncogenic drivers [H3K27 mutation status for pediatric high-grade gliomas (82)], and survival [adult and pediatric high-grade gliomas (83)].

Methods such as differential gene expression and gene-set enrichment analysis provide a deeper perspective on the implicated genes and biological pathways, respectively (Figure 3). Differential gene expression analysis identifies quantitative differences in gene expression between two or more predefined groups; frequently used algorithms are DESeq2 (84) and edgeR (85). Differentially expressed genes can serve as diagnostic or prognostic biomarkers (83, 86). The next step is usually to translate the findings from differential gene expression analysis into pathways or gene sets to further denominate the biological processes involved. Functional enrichment analysis is useful for quantifying the expression of gene sets within groups of samples (87). There are multiple databases of gene sets, including Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (88) and Molecular Signatures Database (89). In the context of immune-oncology, enrichment analysis has the potential to quantify the expression of inflammatory, cytotoxicity, or angiogenesis-related pathways. High-grade gliomas driven by alterations in MAPK pathway showed enrichment of immune-response pathways, including elevated M2-macrophage and CD8⁺ T cell signatures, compared to non-MAPK altered gliomas (90). Furthermore, gene-set analysis resolved medulloblastoma subgroup-specific TIME differences. Bockmayr et al. found that tumors of the SHH subgroup highly expressed genes related in fibroblasts, macrophages, and T cells compared with other subgroups (91).

While RNA-seq on whole tumor samples can give a broad overview of immune cell status, it is impossible to quantify changes in the individual components and cell populations of the TIME. Studies have integrated the isolation and sorting of immune cells by FACS with RNA-seq, to study transcriptional programs in cell populations. In this way, Lin et al. compared expression profiles of tumor-associated macrophages, by selecting CD11b⁺/CD45⁺ cells, of adult glioblastomas and pediatric diffuse midline gliomas. They observed a notably different gene expression profile, in which diffuse midline glioma-associated macrophages expressed lower levels of inflammatory genes (e.g., IL6 and CCL4) compared to glioblastoma-associated macrophages (92). In another study, macrophages and microglia from adult IDH-wt and IDH-mut glioma showed a disease-specific enrichment of inflammatory pathways: IDH-wt macrophages were enriched in gene sets associated with antigen presentation, and MHC I and II presentation (57). Mononuclear immune cells can also be isolated from the blood or CSF for transcriptomic profiling to define genes and gene sets implicated in various immune cells (93).

Furthermore, miRNA and IncRNA levels can also be measured with RNA-seq. The importance of non-coding RNA types in development and progression of cancer is gaining attention, both as biomarkers and as potential therapeutic targets (94). By integrating RNA-seq and miRNA expression profiling on glioblastoma tissue, Yeh et al. found that miR-138 is downregulated in glioblastomas. Subsequent in vitro experiments demonstrated that miR-138 downregulates CD44 expression (95). Other studies have also shown the differential expression of miRNAs or IncRNAs in brain cancers (96). While measuring miRNA and IncRNA levels with RNA-seq is still in its infancy, further studies should delineate the critical roles these RNAs play in oncogenesis and how they could be optimally targeted.

Finally, RNA-seq can further be integrated with whole-exome DNA sequencing to discover tumor-specific neoantigens. These neoantigens can be targets for tumor vaccines (97, 98). Although pediatric CNS malignancies are believed to have a low mutational burden, and therefore, a lack of targetable neoantigen expression, deep exome and transcriptome sequencing can still predict the presence of neoantigens (99, 100). For example, Rivero-Hinojosa et al. employed a multi-omics approach to detect immunogenic
tumor-specific neoantigens in pediatric medulloblastomas (101). Another study detected neo-antigens in paired primary and recurrent adult glioma samples. While there was no significant difference in the amount of neoantigens between primary and recurrent samples, the expression of neoantigens was reduced at recurrence, which indicates that gliomas downregulate expression of neoantigens to evade immune recognition (102). Two phase I trials of adult glioblastoma patients have already demonstrated that personalized neo-antigen vaccines can elicit neoantigen-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses (103, 104). These findings highlight the potential of neo-antigen discovery and monitoring, which paves the way towards personalized immune cell therapy.

SINGLE-CELL TRANSCRIPTOMICS

Introduction to Single-Cell RNA Sequencing
Whereas bulk RNA-seq averages the expression of all cells in a sample, the unique advantage of scRNA-seq is the ability to profile the transcriptome of thousands of individual cells. This technique has proven advantageous to characterize the expression programs and cell states of neoplastic and microenvironmental cells in primary and recurrent CNS malignancies (105, 106). Moreover, scRNA-seq profiling has been performed on CSF and blood to monitor the dynamic changes of leukocytes and myeloid cells during treatment (107, 108).

It is important to consider the technical and methodological challenges when designing scRNA-seq studies. First, during sample preparation, single cells must be isolated and lysed separately before sequencing, similar to flow cytometry. Tissue dissociation by enzymatic digestion can result in the loss of rare and vulnerable cell types, which would introduce experimental bias. Moreover, rare populations may not be detected as 10,000 cells are profiled in one scRNA-seq experiment. Single-nucleus RNA-seq (snRNA-seq) was developed to sequence tissues that cannot be easily dissociated into viable single-cells, including frozen tissue. snRNA-seq seems especially useful for research institutions with an extensive biobank, thus enabling a retrospective snRNA-seq on samples that were cryopreserved. Slyper et al. published a toolkit for sequencing fresh and frozen tumor samples using sc- and snRNA-seq, respectively, with recommendations for pediatric high-grade gliomas: CHAPS detergent with salts and Tris for nuclei dissociation; sequencing
with the Chromium platform (109). For the purposes of simplicity in this review, we will refer to both single cell and single nucleus as “scRNA-seq”.

Additionally, researchers must decide upon sequencing technology. Full-length transcripts [produced by plate-based platforms such as Smart-Seq2 (110), RamDA-seq (111), and MATQ-seq (112)] are useful for detecting lowly expressed mRNA, splice variants, and isoforms. Droplet-based platforms [such as Chromium, DROP-seq (113), and inDrop (114)] mark the 3’ end of mRNA with a 10 base-pair unique molecular identifier (UMI); this allows for higher throughput of cells at the cost of lower resolution, which is more suitable for complex tissues with rare cell types. Batch effect from tissue type, sample preparation protocols, or research facility can be a source of confounding variation. Computational workflows may be able to correct for these uncontrolled variations (Table 1).

**Applications of scRNA-seq to CNS Malignancy and Immuno-Oncology Research**

With advances in sequencing technologies and computational biology, dimensionality reduction and clustering can characterize the diversity of cell populations (115, 116). Prior knowledge of marker genes and cell types is needed to annotate clusters within these datasets, though published packages have been developed to annotate cell types automatically. ScRNA-seq has been utilized for describing the malignant programs and developmental origins of pediatric CNS malignancies (105, 117–120).

Detailed scRNA-seq studies have also been useful for appreciating the heterogeneity and functional differences in brain residing macrophages, as some subtypes play an immunosuppressive role in high-grade glioma (121). Compared to control brains, diseased brains with epilepsy or tumors have a greater diversity in TAM phenotypes. This suggests that TAMs functionally specialize or differentiate in brain pathologies, which was confirmed in mouse models of adult CNS malignancies (122–124). Pro-tumor and anti-inflammatory macrophages are more abundant in IDH-wt gliomas than IDH-mut, which is consistent with the poorer survival associated with IDH-wt glioblastoma (121). Chen et al. identified that the MARCO gene (macrophage receptor with collagenous structure) is selectively expressed in TAMs of IDH-wt glioblastomas; interestingly, MARCO was not expressed in TAMs of low-grade gliomas or IDH-mut glioma (125).

Additionally, the infiltration of TAMs and T cells has been described across various brain regions. Reitman et al. profiled the TAMs in childhood pilocytic astrocytomas using scRNA-seq (126). They found that compared to infra-tentorial pilocytic astrocytomas, supra-tentorial tumors are enriched in microglia. This provided strong evidence for differences in the glioma TIME based on anatomical location. Altogether, future studies should comprehensively characterize the contribution of macrophages and microglia to the TIME, to understand how the tumor location and genomic aberrations affect the immunophenotype.

Further characterization of the expression profiles and surface receptors of T cells have shed light into how these infiltrating lymphocytes are activated in brain cancers. As the T cell receptors (TCRs) mediate T cells’ response to cancer, computational methods have been developed to sequence the TCRs to understand how these cells proliferate and specialize (127). ScRNA-seq reads can be used to computationally reconstruct the TCR chains. These powerful methods allow for simultaneous measurements of the transcriptional profile and TCR of T cells from scRNA-seq data (128–130). A recent study by Mathewson et al. extensively profiled the transcriptomes and TCRs of infiltrating T cells in IDH-wt glioblastomas and IDH-mut high-grade gliomas (131). CD8+ and CD4+ T cells in IDH-wt glioblastoma had higher expression of cytotoxicity, interferon, and cellular stress pathways than IDH-mut gliomas. Additionally, they used TraCeR, a computational method to reconstruct the TCRs, to describe the clonal expansion of T cells upon infiltration. On average, each tumor contains 13 distinct T cell clonotypes, which provides evidence for T cell activation and expansion in high-grade gliomas. Of note, more clonal CD8+ T cells have higher expression of reactivity and cytotoxicity signatures, which was confirmed by Rubio-Perez et al. (108).

Overall, these analyses highlight the utility of scRNA-seq to investigate transcriptional programs and expansion of T cells in adult brain cancers. However, it may be challenging to profile TCRs in pediatric CNS malignancies as they typically exhibit low levels of T cell infiltration. Therefore, it would be necessary to first sort for CD3+ T cells, thereby excluding the neoplastic, TAMs, and other infiltrating immune cells present in tumor tissue (131).

Overall, scRNA-seq has the potential to identify transcriptional changes in the neoplastic and microenvironmental cells throughout treatment, which is reliant on longitudinal sampling. Ruiz-Moreno et al. monitored the expression profiles of microglia, macrophages, and T cells in a patient with a diffuse midline glioma at diagnosis and metastasis (132). Upon biopsy, the immune component made

---

**TABLE 1 | Comparison of single-cell RNA-seq technologies.**

| Sequecing type         | Procedure                                      | Tissue compatibility           | Platforms          | Advantages                                      |
|------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Full-length transcripts| Plate based: single cells are flow sorted into individual wells before library preparation | Single-cell (fresh) and single-nuclei (fresh and frozen) | Smart-Seq2 (most popular); also MATQ-seq, RamDA-seq | Captures more genes per cell; can detect splice variants and isoforms |
| Unique molecular identifier (UMI) | Droplet based: cells are encapsulated into a gel bead and 3’ or 5’ end of mRNA is marked with UMI | Single-cell (fresh) and single-nuclei (fresh and frozen) | Chromium (most popular); also DROP-seq, inDrop | Captures more cells per sample; can detect rarer cell types |

---
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up half of the primary tumor, mostly consisting of microglia and a few macrophages. These tumor-associated macrophages expressed an anti-inflammatory/pro-tumor phenotype, which supported their finding of few infiltrative T cells. Three serial samples of the abdominal tumor metastasis were obtained; interestingly, they found that, over time, macrophages obtained a more pro-tumor phenotype with few infiltrating pro-inflammatory macrophages or T cells. Finally, the researchers also used scRNA-seq to describe changes in the TIME after the patient started treatment with hydroxychloroquine, an immunomodulator that increases the anti-tumor activity of macrophages (132). Their analysis revealed a dramatic increase in naïve and pro-inflammatory macrophages, and antigen-presenting dendritic cells. Their results highlight the utility of longitudinal sampling and scRNA-seq to understand the evolution of the TIME throughout disease course and immunotherapies.

Due to difficulties with re-sampling primary tumor tissues, researchers have used scRNA-seq to characterize other compartments of the immune system, including CSF and peripheral blood (108, 133). However, the question remains if the immune cells in the CSF or blood are informative for the brain TIME and should be further elucidated in future studies. In a study of adults with brain metastasis, Rubio-Perez et al. obtained simultaneous scRNA-seq datasets of brain metastasized tumor and CSF (108). The TIME and CSF had consistent proportions of CD8+ T, CD4+ T, and NK cells, highlighting how scRNA-seq data of lymphocytes in liquid biopsies recapitulate the TIME of primary tumors (108). As the TIME is correlated to patient’s response to immunotherapies, future studies need to explore if liquid biopsies do reflect the TIME in pediatric brain malignancies, with the ultimate goal to have a surrogate for response to (immune) therapy (25).

However, not all compartments of the immune system behave similarly. Prakadan et al. also obtained scRNA-seq datasets of peripheral blood and CSF of patients enrolled in clinical trials for immune checkpoint inhibitors for leptomeningeal disease (133). Lymphocytes and myeloid cells expressed gene signatures for antigen presentation and IFN-gamma response higher in the CSF compared to the blood. They also found that myeloid cells in the CSF showed an increase in the pro-inflammatory phenotype, while the opposite was seen in myeloid cells in the blood.

Longitudinal samples of liquid biopsies are useful when relating immune system dynamics with clinical outcomes. Soon after treatment with checkpoint inhibitors, Prakadan et al. identified an increased inflammatory gene signature in the CSF, which was not identified at later time points (133). Additionally, compared with pre-treatment samples, CD8+ T cells significantly expressed genes associated with antigen presentation and IFN-gamma signaling. These results could explain the clinical benefits of the immune checkpoint inhibitors in leptomeningeal disease. Furthermore, Rubio-Perez et al. mapped the abundance of immune cells in the CSF for two patients that underwent re-surgery; their serial samples of CSF showed an increase in naïve T cells and decrease in macrophages (108). Together, these papers provide evidence that scRNA-seq should be further investigated for children with a CNS malignancy; preferably including longitudinal sampling to understand, and hopefully improve, (immuno)therapies in pediatric high-grade brain tumors (Figure 4).

**Advances in Transcriptomic Profiling**

With advancements in transcriptomics technologies and computational methods, we have seen a surge in creative applications using scRNA-seq to investigate the tumor ecosystem. Computational methods referred to as “deconvolution” integrate bulk and scRNA-seq, and have been developed to predict the cell-type composition from homogenized bulk RNA-seq data. Algorithms for cell-type deconvolution include CIBERSORTx, MuSiC, and DWLS (134–136). Though they differ in their mathematical methods, the results are highly robust and correlated (137). Results from deconvolution should be benchmarked with representative IHC staining or flow cytometry, to ensure that differences in RNA expression correspond to cell-type quantification from the protein and microscopy level. Additionally, cell–cell interactions have been predicted from scRNA-seq datasets of heterogeneous tissues, which is especially useful for characterizing the TIME. Simply, these algorithms utilize literature-derived datasets of ligand–receptor interactions, and predict which cells communicate based on the cell-type expression of these proteins (138). Physical location information from spatial transcriptomics or proteomics would increase the power of these data-driven predictions, as interacting cells are located near one another. Both deconvolution and cell–cell interaction algorithms will advance research studies aiming to quantify the immune cell composition and interactions within the TIME.

scRNA-seq has been adapted to profile transcriptomics in parallel with additional biomolecules. CITE-seq was developed to allow for simultaneous profiling of multiplexed protein markers and transcriptomes of single cells from fresh tissue (139). In this procedure, single cells are incubated with DNA-barcoded antibodies. Upon sequencing, a quantitative read out is obtained for the expression and immunophenotyping of single cells. CITE-seq has been used to profile the immune cells in the glioblastoma microenvironment (123). TAMs and dendritic cell clusters were identified in CITE-seq and scRNA-seq analyses, proving the robustness of the protocols. One unique benefit of CITE-seq is identifying novel cell surface protein markers; for example, markers were identified that captured monocyte-to-macrophage differentiation and characterized blood-derived macrophages from microglia (139).

Finally, as bulk- and scRNA-seq sample preparation requires tissue dissociation, spatial transcriptomics methods have been developed to retain spatial information of mRNA transcripts that is lost with other sequencing platforms (140–142). Fresh-frozen or FFPE tissues are transferred onto a slide with millions of oligonucleotide probes containing a “spatial barcode”. The RNA binds with the oligonucleotides on the slide; upon sequencing, the tissue’s RNA can be spatially resolved and mapped to histology images of the tissue. Commonly used scRNA-seq analysis tools [such as Seurat (115)] are compatible with spatial transcriptomics and allow for similar clustering analysis of distinct tumor regions. It is important to note that the
resolution of spatial transcriptomics is less than that of scRNA-seq; each spatial barcode can contain between 3 and 30 cells on the Visium platform, and profiles the expression of far fewer genes. It may be more challenging to detect intra-patient heterogeneity for embryonal tumors that have malignant cells that are densely packed together.

Spatial transcriptomics seems especially useful for resolving the biology at the tumor–microenvironment interface in solid tumors. A recent study from Ravi et al. identified a subset of myeloid cells that are co-localized with mesenchymal-like glioblastoma cells that drive T cell exhaustion, thus contributing to the anti-inflammatory TIME (143). Another study characterized a unique cell state localized at the tumor–microenvironment boundary, which had upregulation of cilia gene sets (144). For heterogeneous and infiltrative pediatric CNS malignancies such as high-grade gliomas, spatial transcriptomics can radically advance our understanding of how cancer cells interact with neurons, astrocytes, and immune cells, and eventually, how the microenvironment architecture changes due to therapeutic interventions.

**FUNCTIONAL ASSAYS**

IHC, flow cytometry, and transcriptomics are important for describing the composition of immune cells in the TIME. The presence of proliferation markers or enrichment of inflammatory gene sets is suggestive of a cell’s potential to mediate humoral or cellular immunity. However, the true capacity of these immune cells to proliferate or kill target cells (referred to as “cytotoxicity”) can only be confirmed using functional cellular assays. To this end, tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) can be isolated from tumor material or liquid biopsies using cell sorting selective for CD45 markers and evaluated ex vivo. As an alternative to single-cell preparation and sorting, TILs can also be expanded directly from tumor materials by culturing the material for several weeks in the presence of stimulatory agents (IL-2, with or without anti-CD3 stimulus) (104, 145). This method for TIL expansion has been used successfully in adoptive cell therapy in multiple cancer indications (146–148); however, it has the disadvantage that cellular diversity is lost, as these culture conditions only promote clonal expansion of a select subset of TILs (mainly T cells).

The proliferative capacity of lymphocytes, indicative for an active immune response, can be investigated by fluorescently labeling the cells with a cell tracer dye [such as cell tracer violet or carboxyfluorescein diacetate succinimidyl ester (CFSE)] and culturing in the presence of an activating agent (149). Polyclonal activation of TILs can be induced with either a lectin mitogen (i.e., phytohemagglutinin) or with anti-CD3 or CD3/CD28 beads in the presence or absence of stimulatory cytokines [i.e., IL-2 (149)]. Upon proliferation, the cell tracer dye will get divided among daughter cells and, therefore, multiple peaks will be visible with a decreased fluorescence.

Clonal activation and expansion of TILs is performed by coculturing the cells for several weeks with irradiated and...
peptide-loaded feeder cells, in the presence of IL-2 (150). Feeder cells can be either autologous or allogeneic antigen-presenting cells (APCs) or tumor cells expressing the peptide(s) of interest (e.g., pp65 or H3K27M) on their MHC (151). The use of these feeder cells dates back to the 1980s and has shown to promote T cell expansion (41%) melanoma patients with brain metastases have been shown to be susceptible to TIL therapy. In a retrospective study, 7 of 17 (41%) melanoma patients with brain metastases receiving adoptive TIL therapy achieved complete response (154). These results are promising and provide hope that TIL therapies could also be of benefit for the treatment of primary CNS malignancies, although more hurdles will need to be overcome, such as an immune-suppressive environment and the blood–brain barrier. Liu et al. demonstrated that TILs from glioma can be efficiently expanded using a combination of IL-2, IL-15, and IL-21, enhancing CD8 T cell reactivity to autologous tumor cells (155). More recently, a clinical phase I trial has started, investigating the safety and efficacy of TIL therapy in malignant glioma patients (NCT04943913).

Finally, cytokine secretion and cytotoxicity assays are essential for determining the capability of immune cells to induce direct cytotoxicity. The cytokine secretome can be measured directly from patient samples (including tumor tissue, CSF, or plasma), or from conditioned mediums of tumor cell cultures. Measuring both pro-inflammatory (e.g., IFNγ, TNFα, and IL-2) and anti-inflammatory cytokines (e.g., IL-4, IL-6, and IL-10) provides an indication of immune activity in the tumor. Several multiplex techniques such as Olink and Luminex were developed to measure over 25 different cytokines in a limited amount of material. Findings from these assays highlight the anti-inflammatory nature of pediatric CNS malignancies. The secretome of diffuse midline gliomas and pediatric glioblastomas are remarkably different to that of adult glioblastomas. Pediatric high-grade gliomas do not secrete substantial levels of inflammatory cytokines that recruit lymphocytes to the TIME, which contributes to their immunosuppressive phenotype (92). Moreover, assays wherein pediatric glioma cells were co-cultured with T and NK cells suggest therapeutic strategies for immunotherapies. T cells could not effectively lyse diffuse midline glioma cell lines, while NK cells exhibited cytotoxic effects (29). Altogether, cytotoxicity assays are essential in immune monitoring programs to validate hypotheses regarding the anti-inflammatory TIME of pediatric CNS malignancies.

**DISCUSSION**

**Towards an Immunological Atlas of Pediatric CNS Malignancies**

Current neuro-oncological practice is increasingly dependent on molecular and cellular profiling of CNS tumor tissue. These histomolecular findings contribute to a more accurate diagnosis and have the potential to direct personalized and targeted treatment, especially in the context of immunotherapies. A comprehensive evaluation of the pediatric CNS tumor microenvironment and immune system at diagnosis, across treatment and at relapse will be necessary to not only understand how the immune system responds to radio- and systemic therapies, but also to evaluate how novel immunotherapies modulate the immune system. Without aiming to discuss all available methods, we selected various techniques that provide a comprehensive atlas of multiple compartments of the immune system at diagnosis and throughout treatment. We analyzed the clinical utility and feasibility of IHC, flow cytometry, bulk and single-cell transcriptomics, and functional assays for monitoring the immune system and TIME of pediatric CNS malignancies (Table 2).

Studies of IHC are useful to determine the quantity and location of a specific cell type in the TIME. In summary, IHC is

| Technique         | Tissue type | Utility                        | Advantages                                      | Disadvantages                                           |
|-------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| Immuno-histochemistry | FFPE        | Quantification and phenotyping | Routine use in diagnostics                       | Low throughput                                          |
|                   |             |                                | Validation for other techniques                  |                                                         |
|                   |             |                                | Retains spatial information                      |                                                         |
|                   |             |                                |                                                |                                                         |
| Flow Cytometry    | Fresh       | Quantification and phenotyping | Millions of cells profiled                       | Panel of antigens or cell types (biased)                |
|                   |             |                                | Fast data acquisition and analysis               | Loss of spatial information                            |
|                   |             |                                |                                                |                                                         |
| Bulk Transcriptomics | Fresh, frozen, FFPE | Biomarkers, functional pathways | Routine use in diagnostics                       | Loss of spatial and cell-type information               |
|                   |             |                                | Identify pathways for targeted treatment         | Computationally intensive                              |
|                   |             |                                |                                                |                                                         |
| Single-cell Transcriptomics | Fresh, frozen | Quantification, functional pathways | Thousands of cells profiled                       | Expensive ($2,000/sample)                              |
|                   |             |                                | Retains cell-type information                    | Time-consuming analysis                                |
|                   |             |                                | Identify rare or novel cell types                | Computationally intensive                              |
|                   |             |                                |                                                | Loss of spatial information                            |
|                   |             |                                |                                                |                                                         |
| Functional assays | Fresh       | Immune-cell function           | Cytotoxicity or proliferation potential of TILs  | Low throughput                                          |
|                   |             |                                | Ex vivo and in vitro activity                    | Reproducibility of assays                              |
performed on formalin-fixed paraffin embedded tissue, thus retains spatial information, and enables retrospective analyses. With developments in multiplex IHC and flow cytometry, multiple cell types can be quantified to provide a more detailed atlas. Flow cytometry is beneficial as it profiles up to millions of cells in a short period of time (approximately 3 h). However, flow cytometry requires a viable suspension of millions of live single cells; therefore, the sample must be substantially larger than required for IHC or sequencing (where scRNA-seq requires a few thousand cells) and should be either viably cryopreserved or processed directly after surgery (where the latter is preferred to better characterize the myeloid components of the TIME). Additionally, antibody-based technologies require the development and assessment of a panel, which pre-selects the proteins (and therefore, cells) that can be interrogated. Another limitation is that certain cell types may have similar surface proteins compared to other cells, complicating the identification of the cells of interest (i.e., distinguishing MDSCs from myeloid cells). However, as both IHC and flow cytometry are cost-effective and readily available at almost all academic research hospitals, their utility should not be overlooked. Overall, they are useful for quantifying and phenotyping the immune cells present in the TIME; however, the analysis is hypothesis driven, and this introduces a selection bias when using a panel of antibodies. As we described, there is already much prognostic value in quantification metrics alone: for example, T cell infiltration is related to tumor grade in pediatric gliomas and progression-free survival in ependymomas (29, 33).

High-throughput genomic techniques, such as bulk and single-cell transcriptomics, quantify thousands of genes by sequencing. As these methods are unbiased (i.e., not relying on a panel of pre-selected RNA transcripts), they can be useful for identifying gene expression patterns with prognostic value. With bulk RNA-seq, evaluating the expression of immune-related genes and enrichment of immune-related pathways can reveal the inflammatory state of the TIME (29, 90). A possible, and promising, solution is to perform RNA-seq on immune cells found in blood or CSF, which could be correlated to the TIME (93, 156). Although, to our knowledge, no such experiments have been performed to monitor pediatric CNS malignancies yet, studies on other cancers have shown the potential of using these more readily accessible biomaterials to monitor the anti-tumor immune response during therapy. For example, circulating miRNA levels were found to be correlated to the absolute neutrophil count in pancreatic cancer (93). Moreover, comparing immune signatures of patients who respond to those who do not respond to a particular type of therapy can eventually guide therapy decision (157). However, the low number of tumor-infiltrating immune cells compared to the high density of tumor cells can limit the sensitivity of this technique. Furthermore, scRNA-seq can uniquely distinguish rare cell types and cell states; for example, scRNA-seq datasets of T cells in adult gliomas revealed differences in the expression of cytotoxicity and stress pathways between IDH-mut and IDH-wt tumors that could not be identified via IHC or flow cytometry alone (131). Though they are incredibly useful for investigating biological pathways implicated in diseases, transcriptomic methods are costly and computationally intensive. Spatial transcriptomics has been developed to retain the spatial information lost due to tissue dissociation protocols.

These cellular and molecular techniques are essential for immunophenotyping CNS malignancies. Additional functional experiments that assess the TIME cells’ cytokine secretion profile or cytotoxicity capacity of immune cells are necessary to evaluate hypotheses generated from the prior methods. Such assays helped us realize that diffuse midline gliomas have a non-inflammatory TIME by secreting much fewer cytokines than adult high-grade gliomas (92). Additionally, they revealed that NK cells can lyse diffuse midline glioma cells, while T cells and macrophages cannot (29). Results from functional assays suggest that immunotherapies that recruit and activate NK cells could be plausible strategies for new treatment designs. In summary, integrating IHC, flow cytometry, transcriptomic methods, and functional assays allow for a detailed atlas of the quantity, location, and functionality of immune cells in both TIME and periphery, which cannot be achieved by one methodology alone.

**Clinical Perspective**

We recognize that there are significant challenges with designing studies that monitor the immune system during cancer treatment. Pediatric normal brain tissue is a necessary control for characterizing the infiltration and activation of immune cells in CNS malignancies but is scarce and difficult to obtain. Fresh CNS material can be obtained from epilepsy surgeries and tumor-free autopsy material (56); however, there are still confounding factors to consider, such as cause of mortality and time since death. Additionally, “brain banks” dedicated to storing and distributing postmortem brain tissues for the research community have been established worldwide, though these typically consist of adult specimens (158). The National Institute of Child Health and Human Development and the Harvard Brain Tissue Resource Center are two brain banks specific for collecting and distributing brain tissue from children and fetuses (159).

Furthermore, obtaining high-quality CNS tumor samples remains the biggest hurdle, especially for cases when the tumor is in a vulnerable location (i.e., the brain stem for diffuse midline gliomas). CUSA material (tissue obtained using a Cavitron Ultrasonic Surgical aspirator during brain surgery), which contains buffered solution, brain tumor and non-tumor fragments, and blood, can also be used for cellular and molecular analyses. CUSA material has been used for diagnostic purposes, and in studies of cellular heterogeneity of malignant cells and immune microenvironment (160–163). To what extent the CUSA material reflects the microenvironment of the tumor in children is subject to further research.

Longitudinal sampling to evaluate treatment effects is also particularly challenging. In a recent pre-print, Spitzer et al. obtained scRNA-seq datasets of two matched pre- and post-treatment glioma samples that responded well to a targeted treatment and identified changes in the malignant cell states that explained the observed clinical response. To increase the power and confidence of their analysis, they included pretreatment scRNA-seq data of non-responders, and noticed a
distinct differentiation pattern that could explain their lack of clinical response (164). Additionally, we suggest utilizing liquid biopsies to characterize the immunological system response to treatment. Liquid biopsies are currently a hot topic for assessing progression of pediatric CNS malignancies, with a recent paper demonstrating that serial analysis of circulating DNA in the CSF can predict tumor burden and disease progression in medulloblastomas (165). As we described, flow cytometry and scRNA-seq datasets of immune cells in blood, bone marrow, and CSF can also be informative for advancing our understanding of the immune system’s response to current treatment regimens and designing future immunotherapies (108, 133). Ideally, we can use liquid biopsies as surrogate markers for the TIME, avoiding invasive surgical procedures.

Looking forward, we predict that a detailed description of the microenvironment and immune system of pediatric CNS malignancies throughout the disease course will be paramount to implementing and evaluating the efficacy of immunotherapies (Figure 5). In our field, we are beginning to recognize substantial biological differences between pediatric and adult CNS malignancies, which could also be attributed to the role of the immune system in childhood neurodevelopment. Studies of pre- and post-natal mice have been essential in describing the unique role that microglia take as modulators in the formation of neural circuits: they clear excess neurons, assist with vascelarization, and regulate neural-stem cell commitment to astrocyte and neuron lineages (166). During adulthood, microglia become ramified and survey the brain parenchyma for tissue injury or disease (166). Interestingly, in the setting of brain cancers, microglia do not phagocytize the glioma cells, and can be reprogrammed to promote tumor growth (167). Detailed scRNA-seq studies of adult and mouse gliomas could resolve the heterogeneity of microglia states (121–125); however, similar studies in children are lacking but will be necessary to unravel the complex activity of microglia in malignancy and development.

In the field of pediatric neuro-oncology, trials of vaccine approaches, oncolytic viruses, checkpoint blockade, and adoptive cellular therapy are currently under investigation in preclinical and clinical settings. Small trials thus far show noteworthy clinical outcomes but raise a broad spectrum of unique challenges that need to be evaluated (168). Specific challenges while developing immunotherapies for children with CNS malignancies include a cold immunophenotype with minimal T cell infiltration, a relatively low mutational burden, intra-tumoral heterogeneity, and blood–brain barrier penetration. The potency of immunotherapy needs to be evaluated for optimal application of immunotherapy targeting this highly specific neoplasm and challenging environment. Clinical outcomes targeting a single tumor-associated antigen failed to show adequate, durable anti-tumor responses, suggesting that selective targeting of one antigen may be insufficient (168, 169). Combination therapy might help overcome tumor heterogeneity and penetrate the highly immunosuppressive TIME, and clinical trials are underway (i.e., NCT03130959, NCT00634231, and NCT03396575). Furthermore, clinical research studies should focus on elucidating the long-term side effects of immune modulators in children, especially when addressing effects to their developing immune system, and neurodevelopment and cognition.

To move forward, we need a multifaceted and comprehensive description of the microenvironment of pediatric CNS malignancies. Understanding the subtype-specific immunological phenotype and how the treatment protocol, anatomical location, and grade of each tumor influences the TIME is essential to efficiently redirect the immune system towards cancer eradication. Within the Princess Maxima Center, Utrecht, Netherlands, a prospective observational longitudinal study is initiated including 60 newly diagnosed and relapsed children with various CNS malignancies (Trial NL8967). At diagnosis, biopsy tumor tissue will be processed by IHC, bulk, and single-cell transcriptomics. CSF, bone marrow, and peripheral blood will be collected during surgery and subsequent follow-up visits; these liquid biopsies are profiled by flow cytometry and scRNA-seq (depending on sample size and tissue availability).

**FIGURE 5** | Integrating methodologies allows for multi-dimensional characterization of TIME and will enable personalized immunotherapeutic strategies.
Accurate immune profiling could help pave the way for future immunotherapeutic interventions in pediatric neuro-oncology.
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