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Abstract

Like most natural language understanding and generation tasks, state-of-the-art models for summarization are transformer-based sequence-to-sequence architectures that are pretrained on large corpora. While most existing models focused on English, Arabic remained understudied. In this paper we propose AraBART, the first Arabic model in which the encoder and the decoder are pretrained end-to-end, based on BART (Lewis et al., 2020). We show that AraBART achieves the best performance on multiple abstractive summarization datasets, outperforming strong baselines including a pretrained Arabic BERT-based model and multilingual mBART and mT5 models. AraBART is available at Huggingface model hub¹.

1 Introduction

Summarization is the task of transforming a text into a shorter representation of its essential meaning in natural language. Extractive approaches (Nallapati et al., 2017; Narayan et al., 2018b; Zhou et al., 2018; See et al., 2017) identify informative spans in the original text and stitch them together to generate the summary. Abstractive approaches on the other hand are not restricted to the input (Rush et al., 2015; Chopra et al., 2016; Dou et al., 2021).

While the vast majority of published models in both categories focused on English, some tackled other languages including Chinese (Hu et al., 2015) and French (Kamal Eddine et al., 2021b), while Arabic remained understudied. In fact, most Arabic summarization models are extractive (Qassem et al., 2019; Alshanqiti et al., 2021). They generate explainable and factual summaries but tend to be verbose and lack fluency. Addressing this problem, abstractive models are flexible in their word choices, resorting to paraphrasing and generalization to obtain more fluent and coherent summaries. Sequence-to-sequence (seq2seq) is the architecture of choice for abstractive models. Al-Maleh and Desouki (2020), for instance, apply the pointer-generator network (See et al., 2017) to Arabic, while Khalil et al. (2022) propose a more generic RNN-based model.

There are, however, two main issues with abstractive models as applied to Arabic. First, they are trained and evaluated either on extractive datasets such as KALIMAT (El-Haj and Koulali, 2013) and ANT Corpus (Chouigui et al., 2021), or on headline generation datasets such as AHS (Al-Maleh and Desouki, 2020), which only contains short and rather extractive headlines. Second, despite their state-of-the-art performance, abstractive models frequently generate content that is non-factual or unfaithful to the original text. Maynez et al. (2020) showed that English models that are based on the Transformer architecture such as BERT2BERT (Rothe et al., 2020) efficiently mitigate this phenomenon thanks to pretraining on large corpora. Therefore, Elmadani et al. (2020) finetuned a pretrained BERT using the encoder-decoder architecture of BERTSUM (Liu and Lapata, 2019). However, only the encoder is pretrained, the decoder and the connection weights between the encoder and the decoder are initialized randomly which is sub-optimal.

To address these two problems, we propose AraBART, the first sequence-to-sequence Arabic model in which the encoder, the decoder and their connection weights are pretrained end-to-end using BART’s denoising autoencoder objective.

¹https://huggingface.co/moussaKam/AraBART
While the encoder is bidirectional, the decoder is auto-regressive and thus more suitable for summarization than BERT-based decoders. We finetuned and evaluated our model on two abstractive datasets. The first is Arabic Gigaword (Parker et al., 2011), a newswire headline-generation dataset, not previously exploited in Arabic abstractive summarization; the second is XL-Sum, a multilingual text summarization dataset for 44 languages including Arabic (Hasan et al., 2021). AraBART achieves state-of-the-art results outperforming pretrained BERT-based models as well as a much larger model, mBART25 (Liu et al., 2020), a multilingual denoising auto-encoder pretrained on 25 different languages using the BART objective.

In section 2 we present the architecture and the pretraining settings of AraBART. In section 3 we evaluate and compare AraBART against three strong baselines on a wide range of abstractive summarization datasets. Finally, we discuss related work in section 4.

2 AraBART

AraBART follows the architecture of BART Base (Lewis et al., 2020), which has 6 encoder and 6 decoder layers and 768 hidden dimensions. In total AraBART has 139M parameters. We add one additional layer-normalization layer on top of the encoder and the decoder to stabilize training at FP16 precision, following (Liu et al., 2020). We use sentencepiece (Kudo and Richardson, 2018) to create the vocabulary of AraBART. We train the sentencepiece model on a randomly sampled subset of the pretraining corpus (without any preprocessing) with size 20GB. We fix the vocabulary size to 50K tokens and the character coverage to 99.99% to avoid a high rate of unknown tokens.

2.1 Pretraining

We adopt the same corpus used to pretrain AraBERT (Antoun et al., 2020). While Antoun et al. (2020) use a preprocessed version of the corpus, we opted to reverse the preprocessing by using a script that removes added spaces around non alphabetical characters, and also undo some words segmentation. The use of a corpus with no preprocessing, makes the text generation more natural. The size of the pretraining corpus before/after sentencepiece tokenization is 73/96 GB.

Pretraining Objective AraBART is a denoising autoencoder i.e. it learns to reconstruct a corrupted text. The noise function applied to the input text are the same as in Lewis et al. (2020). The first noise function is text infilling, where 30% of the text is masked by replacing a number of text spans with a [MASK] token. The length of the spans is sampled from a Poisson distribution with $\lambda = 3.5$. The second noise function is sentence permutation, where the sentences of the input text are shuffled based on the full stops.

Pretraining Settings AraBART pretraining took approximately 60h. The pretraining was carried out on 128 Nvidia V100 GPUs which allowed for 25 full passes over the pretraining corpus. We used the Adam optimizer with $\epsilon = 10^{-6}$, $\beta_1 = 0.9$, and $\beta_2 = 0.98$ following Liu et al. (2019). We use a warm up for 6% of the pretraining were the learning rate linearly increases from 0 to 0.0006, then decreases linearly to reach 0 at the end of the pretraining. We fixed the update frequency to 2 and we use a dropout 0.1 in the first 20 epochs and we changed it to 0 in the last 5 epochs. Finally we used FP16 to speed-up the pretraining. The pretraining is done using Fairseq (Ott et al., 2019).

3 Experiments

3.1 Datasets

To evaluate our model, we use several datasets that consist mostly of news articles annotated with summaries with different level of abstractiveness. The first 7 datasets (AAW, AFP, AHR, HYT, NHR, QDS and XIN) are subsets of the Arabic Gigaword (Parker et al., 2011) corpus. Each one is a different news source, composed of document-headline pairs. In all these datasets we use a train set of 50K examples, a validation set of size 5K examples and a test set of size 5K examples, selected randomly. The MIX dataset consists of 60K examples uniformly sampled from the union of the 7 different sources.

In addition the Arabic Gigaword corpus, we use XL-Sum (Hasan et al., 2021). The news articles in XL-sum are annotated with summaries and titles, thus creating two tasks: summary and title generation.

Table 1 shows that the different datasets used in our experiments cover a wide range of article/summary lengths and levels of abstractiveness.

3.2 Baselines

We compare our model to three types of state-of-the-art baselines. The first, called C2C, is a
Datasets

|          | AAW | AHR | AFP | HYT | NHR | QDS | XIN | MIX | XL-S | XL-T |
|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
| **Average #tokens** | document | summary |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|          | 453.3 | 394.2 | 232.8 | 474.0 | 455.9 | 450.6 | 187.2 | 364.5 | 428.7 | 428.7 |
| **% novel n-grams in summary** | unigrams | bigrams | trigrams |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|          | 44.2 | 46.5 | 30.7 | 42.4 | 46.5 | 24.9 | 26.4 | 40.0 | 53.5 | 44.3 |
|          | 78.5 | 78.4 | 63.6 | 78.6 | 80.7 | 46.9 | 48.5 | 72.2 | 85.8 | 81.2 |
|          | 91.2 | 91.3 | 81.9 | 92.0 | 92.8 | 57.5 | 60.8 | 86.3 | 95.2 | 94.1 |

Table 1: Statistics of Gigaword subsets an XL-Sum summaries (XL-S) and titles (XL-T). The first two lines show the average document and summary lengths. The percentage of n-grams in the summary that do not occur in the input article is used as a measure of abstractiveness (Narayan et al., 2018a).

monolingual seq2seq model based on BERT2BERT (Rothe et al., 2020). The encoder and decoder are initialized using CAMEL.BERT (Inoue et al., 2021) weights while the cross-attention weights are randomly initialized. C2C has 246M parameters in total.

The second baseline is mBART25 (Liu et al., 2020) which is a multilingual BART pretrained on 25 different languages including Arabic. Although mBART25 was initially pretrained for neural machine translation, it was shown that it can be used in monolingual generative tasks such as abstractive summarization (Kamal Eddine et al., 2021b). mBART25 has 610M parameters in total.

While mBART25 is pretrained on multilingual corpora, we finetuned it on Arabic data only. We therefore, include a third multilingual baseline pretrained and finetuned on multilingual data. We use the checkpoint of mT5_{base} in the comparison on XL-S (summary). This checkpoint was finetuned on the training set of the 45 different languages included in the corpus. The total training size is 1M multilingual examples shuffled together (Hasan et al., 2021). mT5_{base} has 582M parameters in total.

3.3 Training and Evaluation

We finetuned each model for three epochs, using the Adam optimizer and $5 \times 10^{-5}$ maximum learning rate with linear decay scheduling. In the generation phase we use beam-search with beam size of 3.

For evaluation, we first normalize the output summaries as is standard practice in Arabic: we removed Tatweel and diacritization, we normalized Alef/Yaa and separated punctuations. We report ROUGE-1, ROUGE-2 and ROUGE-L f1-scores (Lin, 2004). However, these metrics are solely based on surface-form matching and have limited sense of semantic similarity (Kamal Eddine et al., 2021a). Thus we opted for using BERTScore (Zhang et al., 2020), a metric based on the similarity of the contextual embeddings of the reference and candidate summaries, produced by a BERT-like model.

3.4 Results

We observe in Table 2 that AraBART outperforms C2C on all datasets with a clear margin. This is probably a direct consequence of pretraining the seq2seq architecture end-to-end.

AraBART also outperforms mBART25 on XL-Sum which is the most abstractive dataset. On Gigawords, AraBART is best everywhere except on AHR with mitigated results. On QDS, however, it falls clearly behind mBART25 on all metrics. In fact, we notice that the gap between AraBART and the baselines is greater on the XL-Sum dataset than Gigaword. For instance, our model’s ROUGE-L score is 2.9 absolute points higher that mBART25 on XL-S while the maximum margin obtained on a Gigaword subset is 1.4 points on AAW and HYT.

We observe a tendency for AraBART to outperform mBART on more abstractive datasets. In fact, the margin between their BERTScores is positively correlated with abstractiveness as measures by the percentage of novel trigrams.

On the XL-Sum dataset, AraBART also outperforms mT5 which was finetuned in multilingual setup using more data (Hasan et al., 2021).

Figure 1 presents some examples of the output of the various systems we studied.

---

3 We experimented with AraBERT (Antoun et al., 2020) which was slower to converge and didn’t achieve better performance.
4 We use the official implementation (https://github.com/Tiiiger/bert_score) with the following options: -m UBC-NLP/ARBERT -l 9 (Chiang et al., 2020)
5 With a Pearson R score of 0.6625 and p-value<0.05.
| Source | Model     | R1  | R2  | RL   | BS   |
|--------|-----------|-----|-----|------|------|
| AAW    | AraBART   | 30.7| 15.3| 27.4 | 62.5 |
|        | mBART25   | 29.5| 14.4| 26.0 | 61.5 |
|        | C2C       | 24.6| 9.87| 21.7 | 58.3 |
| AFP    | AraBART   | 55.0| 37.9| 53.4 | 77.5 |
|        | mBART25   | 54.8| 37.3| 52.8 | 77.2 |
|        | C2C       | 50.0| 32.2| 48.4 | 74.8 |
| AHR    | AraBART   | 39.1| 25.4| 37.7 | 68.2 |
|        | mBART25   | 39.1| 26.1| 37.5 | 68.1 |
|        | C2C       | 33.0| 19.7| 31.8 | 63.5 |
| HYT    | AraBART   | 33.1| 17.5| 30.7 | 63.8 |
|        | mBART25   | 32.0| 16.2| 29.3 | 63.1 |
|        | C2C       | 27.4| 11.5| 25.2 | 59.6 |
| NHR    | AraBART   | 32.0| 17.2| 30.3 | 61.2 |
|        | mBART25   | 31.0| 16.2| 29.2 | 60.3 |
|        | C2C       | 24.1| 10.0| 22.9 | 53.0 |
| QDS    | AraBART   | 62.1| 53.9| 61.4 | 80.3 |
|        | mBART25   | 62.4| 54.1| 61.7 | 80.4 |
|        | C2C       | 57.9| 48.9| 57.4 | 77.3 |
| XIN    | AraBART   | 66.0| 53.9| 65.1 | 84.4 |
|        | mBART25   | 65.1| 53.4| 64.2 | 84.0 |
|        | C2C       | 62.4| 50.1| 61.6 | 82.5 |
| MIX    | AraBART   | 39.2| 25.5| 37.6 | 67.6 |
|        | mBART25   | 39.0| 25.6| 37.1 | 67.2 |
|        | C2C       | 32.8| 19.1| 31.4 | 62.5 |
| XL-S   | AraBART   | 34.5| 14.6| 30.5 | 67.0 |
|        | mBART25   | 32.1| 12.5| 27.6 | 65.3 |
|        | C2C       | 26.9| 8.7 | 23.1 | 61.6 |
|        | mT5_base  | 32.8| 12.7| 28.7 | 65.8 |
| XL-T   | AraBART   | 32.0| 13.7| 29.4 | 65.8 |
|        | mBART25   | 29.8| 11.7| 26.9 | 64.3 |
|        | C2C       | 25.2| 7.9 | 22.9 | 61.1 |
| Macro  | AraBART   | 42.4| 28.8| 40.3 | 69.8 |
| Averages| mBART25   | 41.8| 28.1| 39.2 | 69.1 |
|        | C2C       | 36.4| 23.1| 34.6 | 65.4 |

Table 2: The performance of AraBART, mBART25 and C2C (CamelBert2CamelBert) on all datasets in terms of ROUGE-1 (R1), ROUGE-2 (R2), ROUGE-L (RL) and BERTScore (BS). Macro averages are computed over all datasets.

4 Related Work

Arabic Summarization  The overwhelming majority of past Arabic models are extractive (Douzidia and Lapalme, 2004; Azmi and Al-Thanyyan, 2009; El-Haj et al., 2011; El-Shishtawy and El-Ghannam, 2012; Haboush et al., 2012; Belkebir and Guissoum, 2015; Qaroush et al., 2021; Ayed et al., 2021). Recently, seq2seq abstractive models for Arabic have been proposed in the literature (Al-Maleh and Desouki, 2020; Suleiman and Awajan, 2020; Khalil et al., 2022), but none of them used pretraining. Fine-tuning Transformer-based language models like BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) has been shown to help Arabic abstractive (Elmadani et al., 2020) and extractive (Helmy et al., 2018) summarization, but unlike AraBART, not all components of the model are pre-trained. Readily-available multilingual pretrained seq2seq models have been applied to Arabic summarization. Kahla et al. (2021) uses mBART25 (Liu et al., 2020) in cross-lingual transfer setup on an unpublished dataset, while Hasan et al. (2021) experiment with mT5 (Xue et al., 2021) on XL-Sum. Our model, tailored specifically for Arabic, outperform mBART25 and mT5 for almost all datasets despite having a smaller architecture with less parameters.

Arabic Datasets  Most available datasets for Arabic are extractive (El-Haj et al., 2010; Chouigui et al., 2021), use short headlines that are designed to attract the reader (Webz.io; Al-Maleh and Desouki, 2020), or contain machine-generated (El-Haj and Koulali, 2013) or translated (El-Haj et al., 2011) summaries. Notable exceptions we choose for our experiments are Gigaword (Parker et al., 2011) and XL-Sum (Hasan et al., 2021) because they cover both headline and summary generation, contains multiple sources, and manifest variable levels of abstractiveness as shown in Table 1.

Pretrained seq2seq models  BART-based models have been developed for multiple language including English (Lewis et al., 2020), French (Kamal EdDine et al., 2021b) and Chinese (Shao et al., 2021) in addition to multilingual models (Liu et al., 2021) in addition to multilingual models (Liu et al., 2021). While they can be finetuned to perform any language understanding or generation tasks, we focus on summarization in this work.

5 Conclusion and Future Work

We release AraBART, the first sequence-to-sequence pretrained Arabic model. We evaluated our model on a set of abstractive summarization tasks, with different level of abstractiveness. We compared AraBART to two state-of-the-art models and we showed that it outperforms them almost everywhere despite the fact that it is smaller in terms of parameters. In future work, we are planning to
extend the model to multitask setups to take advantage of availability of both titles and summaries in some datasets including XL-Sum, and use external knowledge sources to improve faithfulness. We will also explore new directions for evaluating summarization on morphologically rich languages like Arabic.

**Ethical Considerations**

**Limitations** Our models are optimized for news text summarization; we do not expect comparable performance on other summarization tasks without additional training data.

**Risks** We acknowledge that our models sometimes produce incorrect non-factual and non-grammatical output, which can be misleading to general users.

**Data** All of the data we used comes from reputable news agencies and do not contain unanonymized private information or malicious social media content.

**Models** We will make our pretrained and fine-tuned models available on the well known Hugging Face models hub⁶, so it can be easily used and distributed for research or production purposes.

---
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A Example Appendix

Figure 1 presents some examples of the output of the various systems we studied.
Figure 1: Three selected examples contrasting the output of the various systems we studied. All examples are from the XL-Sum summaries test set. We provide English translations to provide context for the general readers.

| Reference | Arabic | English |
|-----------|--------|---------|
| (a) | | A US military court will hear, later in the day, the case of the US military psychiatrist, Nidal Hassan, who confessed to killing 13 people and wounding more than thirty others in a shooting at Fort Hood base four years ago. |
| AraBART | مثل Instance1 Instance2 Instance3 | Nidal Hassan, accused of killing 13 US soldiers at a military base in Afghanistan, appeared before a military court in Fort Hood. |
| mBART25 | Instance1 Instance2 Instance3 | An Afghan man confessed to killing 13 US soldiers and wounding others at a US military base in Virginia last November. |
| C2C | النص الأصلي Instance1 Instance2 Instance3 | The American cleric, Hassan Hassan, said that he "could not kill one of his soldiers", after he killed an American soldier in a military base. |
| mT5 base | النص الأصلي Instance1 Instance2 Instance3 | A California military court has sentenced to death a man who killed 13 US soldiers at a US military base in 2009. |
| (b) | | British star Sir Roger Moore died on Tuesday at the age of 89. |
| Reference | Arabic | English |
| (b) | | British actor Roger Moore has died at the age of 91, after a battle with cancer. |
| AraBART | Instance1 Instance2 Instance3 | The family of famous film star Roger Moore said that their father passed away at the age of 91 after a long battle with cancer. |
| mBART25 | Instance1 Instance2 Instance3 | Renowned British actor Roger Moore, best known for his role as James Bond in the movie "Grand Slam", has died at the age of 91. |
| C2C | Instance1 Instance2 Instance3 | Sir Roger Moore, star of James Bond, has died at the age of 67 in Switzerland after a short battle with cancer. |
| mT5 base | Instance1 Instance2 Instance3 | |
| (c) | | A recent study - dealing with stem cells - revealed the effect of cigarettes on fetuses. |
| Reference | Arabic | English |
| (c) | | A scientific study concluded that smoking by mothers during pregnancy has harmful effects on fetuses. |
| AraBART | Instance1 Instance2 Instance3 | A scientific study concluded that smoking by mothers during pregnancy has harmful effects on male fetuses and female fetuses. |
| mBART25 | Instance1 Instance2 Instance3 | A recent scientific study concluded that smoking by mothers has harmful effects on male fetuses and female fetuses. |
| C2C | Instance1 Instance2 Instance3 | Scientists said that smoking cigarettes in the intestines may have an effect on human health. |
| mT5 base | Instance1 Instance2 Instance3 | A recent study showed that smoking by mothers may cause significant harm to fetuses. |