1-D Walsh Coding With DCT Block Watermarking Technique of Colour Images Using Y Channel
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Abstract In this paper a new blind technique using one dimensional Walsh coding to improve the robustness of image watermarking is presented. The proposed technique inserts the binary bits of handwritten signatures in the DCT blocks of the Y channel of the digital colour image. Watermarking algorithm which uses 1-D Walsh coding to embed mobile phone digits in images captured by the phone camera is also introduced. The 1-D Walsh coding can be applied either horizontally or vertically. The results presented here were obtained for horizontal 1-D Walsh coding. These results prove that the use of Walsh coding has improved robustness against JPEG compression.
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1. Introduction

Recently, digital watermarking has been proposed as one solution to the problem of intellectual property protection[1]. In digital image watermarking, copyright protection information are embedded in the image in the form of a watermark. The image must not be visibly degraded by the presence of this watermark. Another main requirement of watermarking for copyright protection applications is robustness. Thus, the watermark must be resistant to unauthorized detection and decoding. In addition, the watermark must be tolerant to normal image processing techniques (e.g. compression), as well as to intentional attacks (attempts to destroy or remove the watermark).

In order to make the watermark detectable after some common attacks, the watermark should meet a certain requirements. The watermark should be perceptually transparent and robust. Perceptually transparent means the difference between the watermarked image and the original one should not be observable. Robustness means the watermark should be difficult to be removed and it should be extractable even if some common signal processing operations are applied, such as filtering and JPEG compression.

Digital watermarking can be classified according to a number of different criteria. One such criterion is according to the insertion domain. The watermark can be inserted in either the spatial or the transformed domain. It was found that the transform domain watermarking schemes are typically much more robust to image manipulation compared to the spatial domain schemes. Examples of transform domains are Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT), Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT), and Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT)[2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. Watermarks may also be classified as robust or fragile. Robust watermarks are those, which are difficult to remove from the object in which they are embedded, despite various attacks they might be subjected to. Fragile watermarks are those that are easily destroyed by any attempt to tamper with them. There are two types of watermarking techniques: first, blind techniques where the original image is not required and second, non-blind technique where the original image is required to extract the watermark information.

This paper proposes the use of Walsh functions to improve the robustness of digital image watermarking techniques. In the proposed algorithms: first, Walsh functions of eight sequences are used to encode the watermark before embedding it in the luminance component (Y) of the host colour image[8]. The second algorithm will allow the watermark to be embedded many times in grey images captured by a mobile phone camera to increase the robustness against many attacks[9]. Both methods are blinds and do not require the original image to recover the watermark. The paper consists of 4 sections. In section 2, Walsh coding functions are introduced and also the DCT watermarking technique using the low frequency components is presented. Results, discussion and comparison with other algorithms are presented in Section 3. Finally, section 4 contains the concluding remarks.

2. The New Watermarking Algorithms
2.1. Walsh Coding of Signature

Walsh coding is used in this method to increase the robustness of the digital watermark. Walsh functions are orthogonal and contain length elements. The Walsh function is produced proportional to the value of the length elements, where this length is in terms of $2^n$ with $n$ as an integer. In the proposed method, the 1-D Walsh function is used to encode the signature before being embedded in the host image. The 1-D Walsh coding can be applied either horizontally or vertically. The proposed technique is carried out using the horizontal 1-D Walsh coding. By utilizing this type of coding, each bit of the signature’s vectors will be replaced by the Walsh code sequence. This process is carried on all signatures’ pixels. This involves manipulations with Hadamard matrices as detailed in [10, 11]. An example of Walsh functions with length=8 is:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{w}_1 &= [1 \ 1 -1 -1 1 1 -1 -1] \\
\mathbf{w}_2 &= [1 \ 1 -1 -1 1 -1 1 1] \\
\mathbf{w}_3 &= [1 \ -1 1 -1 -1 1 1 1] \\
\mathbf{w}_4 &= [1 \ -1 1 -1 1 1 -1 -1] \\
\mathbf{w}_5 &= [-1 \ -1 -1 -1 1 1 1 1] \\
\mathbf{w}_6 &= [1 \ -1 1 -1 -1 1 1 1] \\
\mathbf{w}_7 &= [1 \ -1 1 -1 -1 1 1 1] \\
\mathbf{w}_8 &= [1 \ -1 1 -1 -1 1 1 1]
\end{align*}
\]

In the proposed technique, the 16x64 signature is repeated and shuffled four times to become 64x64 binary bits. Then Walsh length of eight is used to replace each bit of the signature’s row. The resulted Walsh coded signature would have 64x256 bits of values: +1 and -1 only. The effect of applying the Walsh coding to the signature is to spread it horizontally. The actual pixel values of the signature change from binary [0, 1] to integer values in the range [-1, +1]. Figure 1 shows the effect of applying the shuffling process to the signature.

![Figure 1. The effect of applying the four shuffling process on the original signature](image)

2.2. DCT Blocks Algorithm Technique

The proposed algorithm scheme is based on feeding watermark bits into the low frequency band of the cover image DCT using 8x8 blocks. The eight low-frequency coefficients excluding the dc component of the 8x8 DCT blocks are used to hide the watermark bits [12, 13]. In the embedding, first, the signature is 1-D Walsh encoded and then the encoded signature’s elements are inserted into the DCT blocks coefficients of the host image. The embedding process is achieved by placing the Walsh encoded signature’s bits (either +1 or -1) into the stated (odd or even) coefficient value of the block and then taking the inverse DCT and constructing the watermarked image. The scale factor is an absolute integer number which is multiplied by the embedded data bit to improve the robustness. After doing the block then it is transformed back to the spatial domain as shown in Figure 2.

The decoding process is achieved as follows, first is to convert the watermarked image into (8x8) blocks and applying the DCT to each block. Then Walsh coded coefficients are located for even or odd values. Then the signature is obtained by 1D Walsh decoding.

![Figure 2. The DCT blocks decoder](image)

2.3. Walsh Coding of Mobile Phone Number

The new watermarking scheme has also been implemented to hide mobile phone numbers in the host images. The reasons for choosing the phone numbers because each individual has a unique number (including the international code) which can be used to watermark his/her own images. For example the 14 digits of the UAE mobile phone number including the international country code (00971 50 3658212) can be used for this purpose. Also, the summation of the decimal digits is added to the 14 digits to make them 16 decimal digits (00971 50 3658212 49). The advantage of adding the summation to the 14 digits is to be able to check that the extracted number is correct or not. Each of the 16 decimal digits is converted into 4 bit binary number and Walsh coded. The size of the watermark is small compared to the host image so it can be embedded.
many times[9].

One dimensional Walsh functions are used to encode the phone digits before embedding them in the cover image. This algorithm was carried out using the horizontal 1-D Walsh coding. To implement this type of coding, each row’s vector of the binary numbers will be replaced by its relevant Walsh code and then added to the DCT components. The method is implemented by swapping the binary representation of the 16 digits with the above Walsh codes (W1, W2, W3 and W4). The resulted Walsh coded phone numbers would have 64x64 bits of values: +1 and -1. Figure 2 shows the effect of applying 1D Walsh coding on the phone number with 4 Walsh sequence length. In this technique Walsh functions with length=4 are used:

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_1 &= [+1 \ +1 \ +1 \ +1] \\
\omega_2 &= [+1 \ +1 \ -1 \ -1] \\
\omega_3 &= [+1 \ -1 \ -1 \ +1] \\
\omega_4 &= [+1 \ -1 \ +1 \ -1]
\end{align*}
\]

3. Results and Discussion

In order to verify the robustness and functionality of the first algorithm, a number of 24-bit colour images with 512x512 pixels have been used to test the proposed algorithms. Also a 16x64 binary signature image has been used as a watermark. Figure 3 shows samples of some images that have been used as host images in the watermarking algorithm. It is clear that the distortion caused by the new watermarking scheme is invisible. The test also, covered the use of different scaling factors for the watermarking and their effect on the fidelity of the watermarked image. Investigation is carried out to assess the fidelity of the images by using the Peak Signal to Noise Ratio (PSNR) and the Mean Structural Similarity (SSIM)[14].

Table 1 shows the PSNR and SSIM values of different images using DCT blocks with Walsh coding. Table 2 shows the values of the JPEG quality below which the watermark cannot be extracted. It is clear that increasing of the scaling factor enhances the robustness of the algorithm at the expense of increasing the distortion caused to the watermarked images. Table 3 shows the different attacks using the StirMark software. The algorithm survived JPEG and some other attacks.

In this section, the robustness of the second algorithm using mobile phone number as a watermark has been tested and compared with the case without using Walsh sequences. The analysis covered the use of different scaling factors for the watermarking and their effect on the fidelity of the watermarked image. Figure 4 shows samples of some images that have been used as host images in the watermarking algorithm. It is clear that the distortion caused by the new watermarking scheme is invisible.

| Image       | Original Image | Watermarked Image |
|-------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Lena        |                |                   |
| PSNR=38     |                |                   |
| SSIM=0.9492 |                |                   |
| Mosque      |                |                   |
| PSNR=38     |                |                   |
| SSIM=0.9188 |                |                   |
| Airplane    |                |                   |
| PSNR=38     |                |                   |
| SSIM=0.9396 |                |                   |
| Baboon      |                |                   |
| PSNR=38     |                |                   |
| SSIM=0.9809 |                |                   |
| Peppers     |                |                   |
| PSNR=38     |                |                   |
| SSIM=0.9492 |                |                   |
| Zena        |                |                   |
| PSNR=38     |                |                   |
| SSIM=0.9256 |                |                   |

Figure 3. Six different test images and their watermarked versions

| Scale = 10 |                  |                  |
|------------|------------------|------------------|
| Lena       |                  |                  |
| PSNR=38    |                  |                  |
| SSIM=0.9492|                  |                  |
| Mosque     |                  |                  |
| PSNR=38    |                  |                  |
| SSIM=0.9188|                  |                  |
| Airplane   |                  |                  |
| PSNR=38    |                  |                  |
| SSIM=0.9396|                  |                  |
| Baboon     |                  |                  |
| PSNR=38    |                  |                  |
| SSIM=0.9809|                  |                  |
| Peppers    |                  |                  |
| PSNR=38    |                  |                  |
| SSIM=0.9492|                  |                  |
| Zena       |                  |                  |
| PSNR=38    |                  |                  |
| SSIM=0.9256|                  |                  |

Table 1. PSNR and SSIM with Walsh coding

| WALSH CODED |                     |
|-------------|---------------------|
| Extracted Signature SSIM and PSNR under JPEG attack |                  |
| Zena Image  |                     |
| Scaling factor |     SSIM     |     PSNR     |
| 2           | 0.9955 | 48            |
| 4           | 0.9855 | 45            |
| 6           | 0.9699 | 42            |
| 8           | 0.9499 | 40            |
| 10          | 0.9256 | 38.2          |
| Lena Image  |                     |
| Scaling factor |     SSIM     |     PSNR     |
| 2           | 0.9970 | 48.6          |
| 4           | 0.9902 | 45            |
| 6           | 0.9798 | 42            |
| 8           | 0.9659 | 39.8          |
| 10          | 0.9492 | 38            |
| Peppers Image |                  |
| Scaling factor |     SSIM     |     PSNR     |
| 2           | 0.9969 | 48.7          |
| 4           | 0.9902 | 45            |
| 6           | 0.9798 | 42.1          |
| 8           | 0.9659 | 40            |
| 10          | 0.9492 | 38.1          |
Table 2. The lowest JPEG factors with Walsh coding where the watermark can be recovered

| WALSH CODED | Extracted Signature under JPEG attack |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| Zena Image  |                                       |
| Scaling factor | JPG factor(Q)  |
| 2           | 100          |
| 4           | 73           |
| 6           | 57           |
| 8           | 43           |
| 10          | 35           |
| Lena Image  |                                       |
| Scaling factor | JPG factor(Q)  |
| 2           | 100          |
| 4           | 77           |
| 6           | 61           |
| 8           | 47           |
| 10          | 35           |
| Peppers Image |                                |
| Scaling factor | JPG factor(Q)  |
| 2           | 100          |
| 4           | 77           |
| 6           | 63           |
| 8           | 47           |
| 10          | 35           |

Table 3. The Normalized correlation (NC) for Lena under Stirmark attack at scale factor = 1

| Attack           | NC  |
|------------------|-----|
| Additive noise 0.1 | 1   |
| Additive noise 0.3 | 1   |
| Additive noise 0.5 | 1   |
| Additive noise 0.7 | 1   |
| Additive noise 0.9 | 1   |
| Affine 1         | 0   |
| Affine 8         | 0   |
| Conv 1           | 0   |
| JPEG30           | 1   |
| JPEG35           | 1   |
| JPEG40           | 1   |
| JPEG45           | 1   |
| PSNR 10          | 1   |
| PSNR 20          | 1   |
| PSNR 30          | 1   |
| PSNR 50          | 1   |
| PSNR 70          | 1   |
| PSNR 90          | 1   |
| Median 3x3       | 0   |
| Median 5x5       | 0   |
| Rotation 0.5 degree | 0   |

Table 4. PSNR and SSIM of extracted Mobile Phone Number with Walsh coding

| WALSH CODED | Extracted Mobile Phone Number under JPEG attack |
|-------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Zena Image  |                                           |
| Scaling factor | SSIM  | PSNR  |
| 2           | 0.9986 | 56.4  |
| 4           | 0.9943 | 50.3  |
| 6           | 0.987  | 46    |
| 8           | 0.9788 | 44.4  |
| 14          | 0.9405 | 39.5  |
| Lena Image  |                                           |
| Scaling factor | SSIM  | PSNR  |
| 2           | 0.9739 | 56.4  |
| 4           | 0.9713 | 50.5  |
| 6           | 0.9669 | 46.9  |
| 8           | 0.9608 | 44.4  |
| 14          | 0.9342 | 39.5  |
| Peppers Image |                                |
| Scaling factor | SSIM  | PSNR  |
| 2           | 0.9991 | 56.4  |
| 4           | 0.9965 | 50.4  |
| 6           | 0.9923 | 46.9  |
| 8           | 0.9862 | 44.4  |
| 14          | 0.9593 | 39.5  |

The fidelity of the images is assessed by using the Peak Signal to Noise Ratio (PSNR) and the Mean Structural Similarity (SSIM)[14]. It is clear that the algorithm is more robust with Walsh coding.
Table 5. The lowest JPEG factors with Walsh coding where the watermark can be recovered

| WALSH CODED          |                   |
|----------------------|-------------------|
| Extracted Mobile Number under JPEG attack |                   |
| Zena Image           |                   |
| Scaling factor       | JPG factor(Q)     |
| 2                    | 99                |
| 4                    | 81                |
| 6                    | 71                |
| 8                    | 59                |
| 14                   | 35                |
| Lena Image           |                   |
| Scaling factor       | JPG factor(Q)     |
| 2                    | 100               |
| 4                    | 90                |
| 6                    | 75                |
| 8                    | 59                |
| 14                   | 35                |
| Peppers Image        |                   |
| Scaling factor       | JPG factor(Q)     |
| 2                    | 100               |
| 4                    | 85                |
| 6                    | 75                |
| 8                    | 69                |
| 14                   | 45                |

Table 6. The Normalized correlation (NC) for Lena under Stir mark attack at scale factor= 14

| 1-D Walsh Coded _ NC at scale factor= 14 |
|-----------------------------------------|
| Attack                   | NC       |
| Additive noise 0.1        | 1        |
| Additive noise 0.3        | 1        |
| Additive noise 0.5        | 1        |
| Additive noise 0.7        | 1        |
| Additive noise 0.9        | 1        |
| Affine_1                 | 0        |
| Affine_8                 | 0        |
| Conv_1                   | 0        |
| JPEG30                   | 1        |
| JPEG35                   | 1        |
| JPEG40                   | 1        |
| JPEG45                   | 1        |
| PSNR_10                  | 1        |
| PSNR_20                  | 1        |
| PSNR_30                  | 1        |
| PSNR_50                  | 1        |
| PSNR_70                  | 1        |
| PSNR_90                  | 1        |
| Median 3x3               | 0        |
| Median 5x5               | 0        |
| Rotation 0.5 degree       | 0        |

Table 4 shows the PSNR and SSIM values of different images using DCT algorithm with Walsh coding. Table 5 shows the extracted phone number under different values of JPEG attack and using different values of scaling factors, respectively. It is clear that increasing of the scaling factor enhances the robustness of the algorithm at the expense of increasing the distortion caused to the watermarked images. The algorithm is also tested using Stir mark attacks by using Lena image with scaling factor 14. Table 6 shows the Stir mark attacks and their normalised correlation (NC) values. The algorithm survived JPEG and some other attacks. It should be noted that the phone number is either recovered or not and therefore the NC is either 1 or 0.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, a new technique to embed 1-D Walsh coded handwritten signatures and mobile phone number as a watermark into grey level and colour images is presented. The proposed method used a block DCT based algorithm. It was found that the use of 1-D Walsh coding with DCT blocks provides high robustness against JPEG attacks compared to the method of embedding without coding. It was also found that the use of scaling factor with Walsh coding improves the robustness against JPEG compression but at the expense of degrading the quality of the watermarked image. The new scheme is blind and the watermark can be extracted without the original image.
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