Association between social capital and self-perception of health in Brazilian adults

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: To investigate the association between social capital and self-perception of health based on examining the influence of health-related behaviors as possible mediators of this relationship.

METHODS: A cross-sectional study was used with 1,081 subjects, which is representative of the population of individuals aged 40 years or more in a medium-sized city in Southern Brazil. The subjects who perceived their health as fine, bad or very bad were considered to have a negative self-perception of their health. The social capital indicators were: number of friends, people from whom they could borrow money from when needed; the extent of trust in community members; whether or not members of the community helped each other; community safety; and extent of participation in community activities. The behaviors were: physical activity during leisure time, fruits and vegetable consumption, tobacco use and alcohol abuse. The odds ratios (OR) and confidence intervals (CI) 95% were calculated by binary logistic regression. The significance of mediation was verified using the Sobel test.

RESULTS: Following adjustment for demographic and clinical variables, subjects with fewer friends (OR = 1.39, 95%CI 1.08;1.80), those who perceived less frequently help from people in the neighborhood (OR = 1.30, 95%CI 1.01;1.68), who saw the violent neighborhood (OR = 1.33, 95%CI 1.01;1.74) and who had not participated in any community activity (OR = 1.39, 95%CI 1.07;1.80) had more negative self-perception of their health. Physical activity during leisure time was a significant mediator in the relationship between all social capital indicators (except for the borrowed money variable) and self-perceived health. Fruit and vegetable consumption was a significant mediator of the relationship between the extent of participation in community activities and self-perceived health. Tobacco use and alcohol abuse did not seem to have a mediating role in any relationship.

CONCLUSIONS: Lifestyle seems to only partially explain the relationship between social capital and self-perceived health. Among the investigated behaviors, physical activity during leisure time is what seems to have the most important role as a mediator of this relationship.

DESCRIPTORS: Adult Health. Self-Assessment. Social Support. Interpersonal Relations. Social Participation. Life Style. Health Behavior. Cross-Sectional Studies.
INTRODUCTION

Self-perception of health has been used in epidemiological studies as an indicator of health, which is useful due to the simplicity of obtaining and validating such information, as well as the idea that individuals who have a negative perception of their health tend to present worse mortality and morbidity indicators. Social capital (SC) is linked to social organizational characteristics, including the trust between people, the norms of reciprocity and solidarity networks. These features can empower people to act collectively, thereby increasing efficiency for achieving common goals.

Evidence highlights that people with higher SC levels tend to be more likely to present a positive self-perception of their health and that SC is associated with the lowest prevalence of certain behaviors that represent a risk to health. However, there is still little information regarding the associative mechanisms between SC and a better self-perception of health. Despite the mechanisms by which SC positively influences the self-perception of health not being fully understood, it is assumed that among these are improved emotional state or, more comprehensively, by SC contribution, to better organize the health system itself. Moreover, SC can increase the speed that knowledge is disseminated regarding the importance of behaviors that are considered positive and the harmful health effects from other behaviors, as well as increase the chance of healthy behaviors being adopted as standard by the community.

Thus, part of the positive relationship that exists between SC and health is probably as a result of behavioral factors. However, only a small number of studies have investigated this relationship. Only two specific studies on this topic were found, both of them cross-sectional in nature. One study preformed in England found that including behavioral variables did little to change the extent of the association between SC and self-perception; however, this particular study did not include physical activity. A Dutch study, which combined primary and secondary data, noted that physical activity was the behavior that had the greatest mediating effect on the relationship between SC and self-perception of health. The available studies were performed in European countries and had varying results. Therefore, the association between SC and self-perception of health needs to be investigated in specific contexts and at different locations, since such a relationship can present particularities.

Thus, the aim of this study was to verify the association between SC indicators and self-perception of health, based on health-related behaviors being possible mediators of this relationship.

METHODS

2011 was the year in which data for this cross-sectional study were collected, which evaluated residents from Cambé city, PR, aged 40 years or more. Cambé is a medium-sized city (96,735 inhabitants in 2010) located in Southern Brazil with a medium human development index (HDI = 0.793) and Gini index of 0.40. The sample was selected to be stratified by gender and age based on the distribution of the census sectors in the urban area. The households were systematically and randomly selected from this area. All 86 census sectors in the city’s urban area were included. The sample size was calculated using Epi Info 3.5.1 software, considering a 50.0% expected frequency, an error margin of three percentage points and a 95% confidence interval, which resulted in a sample made up of 1,066 subjects. Based on the expectation of 25.0% drop-outs and refusals, the calculation resulted in 1,339 subjects. More information regarding the sample selection and composition is available in Souza et al.

The dependent variable was self-perception of health, which was evaluated based on the following question: “How would you describe your state of health?” (categories: very good; good; fine; bad; very bad). For data analysis, the categories “very good” and “good” were considered to represent a positive perception of health and the others as a negative perception. Dichotomizing this variable is common in studies that investigate the relationship between SC and self-perception of health.

SC indicators, which are this study’s independent variables, were removed from the Brazilian version of the Integrated Questionnaire for the Measurement of Social Capital (IQMSC). This questionnaire is an instrument created by the World Bank’s Social Capital Thematic Group that is based on studies regarding the subject in different countries, as well as from contributions by specialists. The goal of this questionnaire is to provide a set of questions that enable quantitative information on various SC dimensions to be obtained for subsequent application in survey studies. The questions included in the IQMSC were taken from previous studies that had demonstrated their reliability and validity. Because the psychometric properties of the instrument in the
Brazilians version had not been tested, and due to the great length of the questionnaire (102 questions), the choice was made to use some SC indicators that corresponded to criteria that had already been used in previous studies and some that encompassed distinct SC areas: structural (related to civic and political participation), cognitive (perceptions and expectations about social behavior and sense of community), formal (structured or official organizations or procedures) and informal (spontaneous interaction with neighbors, relatives, co-workers and/or friends).

Six SC indicators were analyzed: 1) number of close friends, considered as people with whom the respondent felt comfortable asking for help or to talk about private matters (categories: four or more; less than four); 2) number of people of whom will be willing to lend the respondent money, if necessary (equivalent to one week’s income of the respondent) (categories: at least one person; no person); 3) trustworthiness of the neighborhood’s inhabitants, according to the opinion given about the following statement “most of the people who live in the neighborhood/location can be trusted” (categories: positive = completely agree; partly agree; and negative = do not agree nor disagree; disagree in part or totally disagree with the statement); 4) perception of the frequency with which people help each other in the neighborhood (positive = always or almost always; and negative = sometimes, hardly ever or never); 5) perception of security in the neighborhood (positive = consider the neighborhood to be very or moderately safe; negative = consider the neighborhood to be neither peaceful nor violent; moderately violent; or very violent); 6) participation in community or civic activities (having participated in or performed at least one kind of the following activities in the previous 12 months: council meeting, open meeting or group discussion; intentional contact with some politician; protest or demonstration, electoral or informational campaign, reported some local issue to the newspaper, radio or television, notified the police about some local problem; not having participated in any of the aforementioned activities).

The categorization criteria were defined after preliminary analysis of the data, since there were no publications found with criteria defining the cut-off points for each indicator.

The considered possible behavioral mediators of the relationship between SC and self-perception of health were: physical inactivity during leisure time (subjects who reported not performing any type of physical activity during their leisure time), moderate or low fruit and vegetable consumption (consuming fruits or vegetables less than five days a week), tobacco use (a currently active smoker, regardless of the quantity) and alcohol abuse (for women meaning the consumption of more than four measures of any alcoholic beverage at any one time over the previous 30 days; for men, more than five doses). The stages of behavioral change model was used to evaluate physical activity. Those who reported not currently doing any kind of physical activity during their leisure time, (regardless of desire or not having started a planned activity) were considered inactive, while those who reported to be currently performing any type of physical activity, regardless of duration of such, were considered active during leisure time. Questions referring to fruit and vegetable consumption, tobacco use and alcohol consumption, were recorded using the VIGITEL questionnaire, which was applied by the Brazilian Ministry of Health, by telephone survey, in the Federal District and in the capitals of the 26 Brazilian States.

Information regarding some possible confounding variables were also collected: sex, age group (40 to 49; 50 to 59; and 60 years or more); economic status (previously categorized as A and B – higher, C, D and E – lower, in accordance with the criteria provided by the Brazilian Association of Research Companies [ABEP]); body mass index (BMI), classified into three categories: normal weight (< 25 kg/m²), overweight (25-29.9 kg/m²) and obese (≥ 30 kg/m²); difficulty making journeys on foot outside the house; the presence of chronic diseases diagnosed by a health professional (hypertension, diabetes, high cholesterol, angina, congestive heart failure, acute myocardial infarction, cerebrovascular disease, chronic renal failure or chronic lung disease); and health service utilization (medical consultation) in the previous 12 months. All the aforementioned variables were obtained in a previously tested questionnaire, with the exception of the BMI, which was calculated based on objective measurements collected in a standardized way.

Associations between self-perception of health and demographic, behavioral and clinical indicators were analyzed by calculating the odds ratios (OR) and their 95% confidence intervals (CI), which were obtained by binary logistic regression, with adjusted and crude analysis being performed for all variables.

OR was also calculated to estimate the association between SC indicators and self-perception of health. Six models were built in addition to the crude analysis. In model 1, only the block of demographic and clinical variables was included. In models 2, 3, 4 and 5, physical inactivity during leisure time, fruit and vegetable consumption, tobacco use and the alcohol abuse were included, respectively. Finally, model 6, included all the behavioral variables in conjunction with the demographic
and clinical variable blocks. The results were compared, with and without interaction terms, in order to analyze whether the associations varied according to the demographic variables (sex, age and economic status) in all models. The mediation effect was verified by calculating the regression coefficient (beta), which was generated from the relationship between the social capital indicator (beta a) and the relationship between the mediator and the perception of health (beta b). The mediation significance was verified using the Sobel test.

Statistical tests were used and associations were considered statistically significant when p < 0.05. For variables with more than two categories (age group, economic status and BMI), in addition to the calculated OR for each category of analysis (versus the reference category), the p-value of the linear trend of the association between self-perception of health and such features, in the form of discrete quantitative variables, was also calculated. Consequently, the aim was to examine whether there was a tendency to increase or decrease the chance of presenting a negative self-perception among the first and the last category.

This study was approved by the Brazilian Health Secretariat in the Brazilian city of Cambé and by the Committee for Ethics in Research Involving Humans at the Universidade Estadual de Londrina (CEP-UEL, Protocol 236/10).

RESULTS

From the total 1,339 eligible subjects, 1,180 (88.1%) participated in this study. Among the non-participants (n = 159) were 93 individuals who refused to be included, and 66 who could not be found after three or more attempts to make contact were made at different times and on different days. For this study’s analysis, subjects who did not answer one or more questions, related to SC indicators (n = 77), behavioral variables, referring to the self-perception of health or confounding variables (n = 22), were excluded. As a result, the final sample was made up of 1,081 subjects.

The prevalence of negative self-perception of health was 42.2%. Participants who had a higher chance of negative self-perception (both in the crude and adjusted analysis) were obese women, those over 49 years of age, those who had some kind of difficulty walking, those who had been given a medical consultation in the previous 12 months, those who did not do any physical activity during their leisure time and those whose consumption of fruits and vegetables was low or moderate. The economic status, which did present an association during crude analysis, lost such significance following the adjustment. Tobacco use and alcohol abuse showed no association to self-perception of health (Table 1).

Physical inactivity during leisure time was the most common behavior (71.3%), followed by low or moderate fruit and vegetable consumption (63.1%), tobacco use (19.7%) and alcohol abuse (18.2%). Physical inactivity during leisure time was associated with all SC indicators, the exception being the borrowed money variable. Low or moderate fruit and vegetable consumption was associated with community participation. Tobacco use was associated to the number of friends and the frequency with which people in the neighborhood helped each other. Alcohol abuse was associated with the frequency with which people in the neighborhood helped each other (data not presented). In all the aforementioned associations, the chance of any behavior considered being negative was higher among participants with a lower SC indicator.

During the crude analysis, all the SC indicators were associated with self-perception of health, except for the trust in the people from the neighborhood variable. Groups with the worst indicators had a higher chance of negatively perceiving their health (Table 2, crude analysis).

When the demographic and clinical variables were included in the model (model 1), the extent of the association between SC and self-perception of health was reduced, however, it was still statistically significant, with the exception of the borrowed money variable.

The number of friends and community participation variables were significantly associated with the self-perception of health across all models. In the model that included alcohol abuse (model 5), the frequency of help given and neighborhood safety remained associated with self-perception of health.

When the adjustment was simultaneously performed to all behavioral variables (model 6), the strength of the association declined, losing its statistical significance in all cases except in the number of friends variable. However, in all variables, except for trust in people of the neighborhood, the observed OR values were greater than 1.0, and in some cases, with a limit lower than the confidence interval, very close to 1.0.

During the mediation analysis, doing physical activity during leisure time was observed to be a significant mediator in the relationship between SC indicators (except borrowed money) and self-perception of health. Fruit and vegetable consumption was a significant mediator in the relationship between community participation and self-perception of health. Tobacco use and alcohol abuse did not mediate the relationship between any SC indicator or self-perception of health (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

The worst SC indicators were associated with a negative self-perception of health. Among the investigated
behaviors, doing physical activity during leisure time was an important factor in mediating the relationship between SC and self-perception of health. Fruit and vegetable consumption was a significant mediator in only one of the investigated SC indicators (community participation), while tobacco use and alcohol abuse did not seem to exert any mediating effect on the relationship between SC and self-perception of health. The results from the study generally showed that only part of the relationship between SC and self-perception of health was due to lifestyle, and yet, not all the behaviors investigated, or physical activity, appear to have the clearest role in this mediation.

Table 1. Relationship between negative self-perception of health\(^a\) and demographic, clinical and behavioral indicators. Cambé, PR, Southern Brazil, 2011. (N = 1,081)

| Variable                        | Negative self-perception of health | OR\(^c\) crude 95%CI | OR\(^c\) adjusted \(^b\) 95%CI |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Total                           | 456                                | 42.2;45.1            | –                              |
| Gender                          |                                    |                      |                               |
| Male                            | 173                                | 34.8;39.1            | 1.0; –                          |
| Female                          | 283                                | 45.5;52.4            | 1.76; 1.37; 2.25               |
| Age group (years)               |                                    |                      |                               |
| 40 to 49                        | 154                                | 34.4;38.9            | 1.0; 1.0; –                      |
| 50 to 59                        | 170                                | 49.6;54.8            | 1.87; 1.77; 2.41               |
| ≥ 60                            | 132                                | 45.5;51.2            | 1.60; 1.48; 2.09               |
| p of linear trend               |                                    | 0.001                | 0.141                          |
| Economic status                 |                                    |                      |                               |
| A or B (higher)                 | 145                                | 34.9;39.7            | 1.0; 1.0; –                      |
| C                               | 261                                | 46.0;50.1            | 1.59; 1.22; 2.06               |
| D or E (lower)                  | 50                                 | 50.5;55.2            | 1.90; 1.77; 2.96               |
| p of linear trend               |                                    | < 0.001              | 0.141                          |
| Body mass index                 |                                    |                      |                               |
| Normal (< 25 kg/m\(^2\))        | 129                                | 37.7;42.9            | 1.0; 1.0; –                      |
| Overweight (25-29.9 kg/m\(^2\)) | 157                                | 38.0;42.7            | 1.01; 0.75; 1.36               |
| Obese (≥ 30 kg/m\(^2\))        | 170                                | 52.1;57.5            | 1.80; 1.32; 2.45               |
| p of linear trend               |                                    | < 0.001              | 0.141                          |
| Walking difficulty              |                                    |                      |                               |
| None                            | 415                                | 40.6;43.7            | 1.0; 1.0; –                      |
| Difficulty                      | 41                                 | 68.3;80.8            | 3.15; 1.80; 5.51               |
| Medical consultation in the previous 12 months | | | |
| No                              | 45                                 | 24.7;31.8            | 1.0; 1.0; –                      |
| Yes                             | 411                                | 45.7;48.9            | 2.56; 1.79; 3.68               |
| Undertake physical activity during leisure time | | | |
| Yes                             | 98                                 | 31.6;37.1            | 1.0; 1.0; –                      |
| No                              | 358                                | 46.4;49.9            | 1.88; 1.42; 2.48               |
| Fruit and vegetable consumption |                                    |                      |                               |
| ≥ 5 days a week                 | 149                                | 37.3;42.2            | 1.0; 1.0; –                      |
| < 5 days a week                 | 307                                | 45.0;48.7            | 1.37; 1.06; 1.77               |
| Tobacco user                    |                                    |                      |                               |
| No                              | 361                                | 41.6;44.9            | 1.0; 1.0; –                      |
| Yes                             | 95                                 | 44.6;51.2            | 1.13; 0.84; 1.53               |
| Alcohol Abuser                  |                                    |                      |                               |
| No                              | 385                                | 43.6;46.8            | 1.0; 1.0; –                      |
| Yes                             | 71                                 | 36.0;42.9            | 0.73; 0.53; 1.01               |

\(^a\) Negative self-perception of health grouped into categories: fine, bad and very bad.  
\(^b\) Adjusted for all independent variables presented in the table.
Table 2. Association between social capital indicators and negative self-perception of health, according to the different models. Cambé, PR, Southern Brazil, 2011.

| Variable                  | n    | Crude OR (95%CI) | Model 1b OR (95%CI) | Model 2c OR (95%CI) | Model 3d OR (95%CI) | Model 4e OR (95%CI) | Model 5f OR (95%CI) | Model 6g OR (95%CI) |
|---------------------------|------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Number of friends         |      |                  |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| ≥ 4                       | 534  | 1.0              | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 |
| 0 to 3                    | 574  | 1.54 (1.21;1.96) | 1.39 (1.08;1.80)    | 1.34 (1.03;1.74)    | 1.35 (1.05;1.75)    | 1.38 (1.06;1.78)    | 1.39 (1.07;1.80)    | 1.31 (1.01;1.70)    |
| Borrowed moneya           |      |                  |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| ≥ 1                       | 784  | 1.0              | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 |
| None                      | 297  | 1.32 (1.01;1.73) | 1.17 (0.88;1.56)    | 1.13 (0.85;1.51)    | 1.14 (0.86;1.52)    | 1.16 (0.87;1.54)    | 1.17 (0.88;1.56)    | 1.10 (0.83;1.47)    |
| Trust in people           |      |                  |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| Positive                  | 455  | 1.0              | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 |
| Negative                  | 626  | 1.11 (0.87;1.42) | 1.04 (0.81;1.35)    | 1.00 (0.77;1.29)    | 1.01 (0.78;1.32)    | 1.04 (0.80;1.34)    | 1.04 (0.81;1.35)    | 0.97 (0.75;1.26)    |
| Frequency of help given   |      |                  |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| Always/Almost always      | 492  | 1.0              | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 |
| Sometimes/Rarely/ Never   | 589  | 1.29 (1.01;1.64) | 1.30 (1.01;1.68)    | 1.24 (0.96;1.60)    | 1.28 (0.99;1.65)    | 1.28 (0.99;1.65)    | 1.30 (1.01;1.68)    | 1.21 (0.94;1.57)    |
| Security in the neighborhood |    |                  |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| Safe                      | 740  | 1.0              | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 |
| Violent/Medium            | 341  | 1.53 (1.18;1.97) | 1.33 (1.01;1.74)    | 1.27 (0.97;1.68)    | 1.30 (0.99;1.71)    | 1.32 (1.00;1.73)    | 1.33 (1.01;1.74)    | 1.25 (0.94;1.65)    |
| Community participation   |      |                  |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| Participated              | 521  | 1.0              | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 |
| Did not participate       | 560  | 1.42 (1.11;1.80) | 1.39 (1.07;1.80)    | 1.31 (1.01;1.70)    | 1.34 (1.03;1.74)    | 1.38 (1.06;1.79)    | 1.39 (1.07;1.80)    | 1.26 (0.97;1.65)    |

a Variable expressed in number of people who would lend money, if needed.

b Adjusted for demographic and clinical variables (sex, age group, economic status, body mass index, walking difficulty and medical consultation in the previous 12 months).

c Adjusted for demographic and clinical variables + physical inactivity during leisure time.

d Adjusted for demographic and clinical variables + low/moderate fruits and vegetable consumption.

e Adjusted for demographic and clinical variables + tobacco use.

f Adjusted for demographic and clinical variables + alcohol abuse.

g Adjusted for demographic and clinical variables + alcohol abuse + smoking and low fruit and vegetable consumption and physical inactivity during leisure time.
The prevalence of negative self-perception of health was relatively high when compared to other studies.\(^2,7,21\) However, part of this difference can be explained by the fact that all the individuals included in this study were subjects aged 40 years or more. The other studies also included younger subjects.

As regards the association between worse self-perception of health and demographic, clinical and behavioral variables, part of the results is consistent with other investigations. This is the case of the greater prevalence of negative self-perception of health among women, less-educated individuals or those with some kind of chronic disease.\(^2,7,21\) However, during the previous investigations, it was observed that the higher the age, the greater the prevalence of negative self-perception of health. In this study, subjects aged 60 years or over perceived their health more positively when compared to middle aged individuals (50 to 59 years).

With respect to economic status, a result distinct to those found by Reichert et al\(^21\) and Fonseca et al\(^7\) was observed, because the participants with higher economic status had a more positive self-perception of health in these investigations. The behavioral variables results in this study are similar to those found by Fonseca et al\(^7\) (relationship with physical activity during leisure time, but not with tobacco use or alcohol abuse). The aforementioned studies do not include any information regarding eating behavior.

When the mediator effect was tested for each behavior in the relationship between SC indicators and self-perception of health, smoking and alcohol abuse

---

### Table 3. Analysis of the mediating role of health-related behaviors in the relationship between the social capital indicators and self-perception of health.\(^*\)

| Mediator effect                  | Beta \(a \times \) Beta \(b\) | Sobel Test (Z) | p   |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-----|
| Physical activity during leisure time |                               |                |     |
| Number of friends               | 0.212                         | 2.07           | 0.04|
| Financial help                  | 0.192                         | 1.71           | 0.085|
| Trust in people                 | 0.223                         | 2.16           | 0.030|
| Frequency of help given         | 0.298                         | 2.65           | 0.008|
| Security in the neighborhood    | 0.242                         | 2.11           | 0.034|
| Community participation         | 0.352                         | 2.86           | 0.004|
| Fruit and vegetable consumption |                               |                |     |
| Number of friends               | 0.143                         | 1.80           | 0.072|
| Financial help                  | 0.148                         | 1.69           | 0.089|
| Trust in people                 | 0.121                         | 1.58           | 0.113|
| Frequency of help given         | 0.089                         | 1.24           | 0.214|
| Security in the neighborhood    | 0.125                         | 1.52           | 0.127|
| Community participation         | 0.214                         | 2.33           | 0.019|
| Tobacco user                    |                               |                |     |
| Number of friends               | 0.090                         | 1.29           | 0.197|
| Financial help                  | 0.061                         | 1.02           | 0.306|
| Trust in people                 | 0.038                         | 0.79           | 0.431|
| Frequency of help given         | 0.138                         | 1.37           | 0.169|
| Security in the neighborhood    | 0.058                         | 1.01           | 0.310|
| Community participation         | 0.065                         | 0.09           | 0.877|
| Alcohol Abuser                  |                               |                |     |
| Number of friends               | 0.008                         | 0.28           | 0.781|
| Financial help                  | 0.001                         | 0.13           | 0.890|
| Trust in people                 | 0.003                         | 0.21           | 0.832|
| Frequency of help given         | 0.022                         | 0.25           | 0.800|
| Security in the neighborhood    | 0.006                         | 0.24           | 0.810|
| Community participation         | 0.001                         | 0.15           | 0.877|

\(^*\) All the analyses were adjusted for the sociodemographic variables. Statistically significance values are presented in bold.
changed almost nothing regarding the extent of this association. These results concur with those found during research conducted in England and the Netherlands. However, fruit and vegetable consumption was the only mediator that had a significant effect on one of the investigated SC indicators (community participation). During one study conducted in England, fruit and vegetable consumption had practically no effect on the extent of the association between SC and self-perception of health. One investigation performed in the Netherlands found no evidence that the eating indicator used (consuming one hot meal per day and having breakfast at least five days per week) was a possible mediator for the relationship between SC and self-perception of health. As regards physical activity, a result similar to the Dutch study was found, since it was this behavior that had the greatest effect.

Few studies have focused on the mediation of health-related behaviors in the relationship between SC and self-perception of health, with this study having possibly been the first study performed in Latin America to focus on this aspect. In addition, using primary data with a representative sample of a population from a medium-sized city was an important aspect of this study, as were the low percentage of drop-outs and the inclusion of all census sectors from the urban area of the investigated city.

However, this cross-section did not make it possible to establish causal relationships between SC and self-perception of health. No validated instruments were found for measuring SC in epidemiological studies. Given that SC involves many aspects and indicators, this fact is partially justified by the choice in this study to use indicators whose data are easier to obtain and that are commonly used during research on this subject. Similarly, direct measurements were not used to assess behavior in this study. In addition, no single way or criteria exists to evaluate each of these behaviors, as is the case, e.g., with physical activity. Some authors choose to evaluate physical activity in different domains and contexts. However, doing exercise during leisure time (an indicator used in this study) seems to explain the major variations in the population’s levels of physical activity, which can be more easily modified by intervention programs, while activities performed in other contexts, such as work and domestic activities, are more difficult to be modified and rely less on the subjects’ choices and preferences.

The aim of future studies should be to confirm or disprove the hypothesis that physical activity is an important mediator of the relationship between SC and self-perception of health. It is also important to explore other behavioral indicators, such as sleep. In addition, longitudinal observations, community intervention studies and work with qualitative methodologies or with objective behavioral measurements can improve understanding of the relationship between SC and self-perception of health, as well as the understanding of how social and health policies influence and are influenced by SC.

In Brazil, SC can be an important aspect to be considered regarding public health policies, namely because it is in line with the principles of the Brazilian Unified Health System (SUS), specifically referring to social control. Therefore, the SUS can exercise a developing role regarding structural and cognitive SC and, at the same time that it is helping build a sense of community and political participation, it can also improve the system’s efficiency.

The conclusion of this study is that lifestyle seems to partially explain the relationship between SC and self-perception of health in the investigated population, and that part of this association may be a result of a direct effect from SC or even of factors that were not investigated. Nevertheless, more studies are required if this information is to be confirmed. However, the available evidence reinforces the hypothesis that lower levels of SC are negatively and independently associated with self-perception of health. This aspect reinforces the idea that the SC should also be considered when defining and implementing health promotion policies, which aim to encourage community participation and confidence in people and institutions.
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