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Abstract

**Background:** Desvenlafaxine (administered as desvenlafaxine succinate) is a serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor approved for treatment of major depressive disorder. Because it is primarily eliminated unchanged by renal excretion, it is important to characterize the effect of patient factors, such as age and sex, which may influence renal clearance.

**Methods:** The pharmacokinetics, safety, and tolerability of a single oral dose of desvenlafaxine were assessed in healthy adults stratified by age (young, 18-45 years; elderly, 65-75; very old, >75) and sex in an open-label, inpatient trial.

**Results:** Desvenlafaxine was generally well tolerated and was slowly absorbed in all age groups. Mean values for peak plasma concentration (Cmax) for women exceeded those of men (P<0.001), and women had a shorter time to Cmax (P=0.011). Compared with young participants, mean total area under the plasma concentration-versus-time curve (AUC) and Cmax values were 55% and 32% higher in very old participants, respectively. These differences were largely driven by decline in renal function.

**Conclusion:** There were small to moderate pharmacokinetic differences with oral desvenlafaxine across the age and sex cohorts; however, the magnitude of the differences do not warrant specific dose adjustments based solely on sex or age. The possibility of reduced renal clearance should be considered when determining the dose for patients aged >75 years.
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Introduction

Desvenlafaxine is the major active metabolite of the structurally novel antidepressant venlafaxine and is administered clinically as a succinate salt [1,2]. Desvenlafaxine is approved for the treatment of major depressive disorder (MDD) [3,4]. Short-term safety, tolerability, and efficacy for the treatment of MDD have been demonstrated for desvenlafaxine in double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, MDD trials [5-8].

Desvenlafaxine is chemically unrelated to tricyclic, tetracyclic, or other available antidepressants. It is classified as a serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor [1]. In vitro studies show that desvenlafaxine exhibits selective reuptake inhibition of serotonin and norepinephrine, with little inhibition of dopamine reuptake [2]. Desvenlafaxine has virtually no affinity for muscarinic-cholinergic, H1-histaminergic, α1-adrenergic, dopamine-2, or opiate (μ) receptors [1]. Desvenlafaxine concentrations in rat brain and hypothalamus tissue compared with plasma following oral administration of the drug indicate that desvenlafaxine has good penetration into the central nervous system [1].

Randomized, placebo-controlled trials in healthy adults have demonstrated that desvenlafaxine is well tolerated, with linear and dose-proportional single-dose pharmacokinetics in a dose range of 100 to 600 mg [9-11]. Additional investigation supports dose-proportionality for single-desvenlafaxine doses of 50-200 mg (Data on file, Pfizer Inc, formerly Wyeth Research, Collegeville, PA). At steady-state, multiple-dose accumulation of desvenlafaxine is linear and predictable from single-dose pharmacokinetics [10]. Exposure to desvenlafaxine after desvenlafaxine administration is not affected by genetic polymorphisms of cytochrome P450 (CYP) 2D6, the primary metabolic pathway for the parent compound. No significant differences were observed between CYP2D6 poor metabolizers and extensive metabolizers in peak plasma concentration (Cmax), area under the plasma concentration-versus-time curve (AUC), or apparent oral dose clearance (Cl/F) [12].

The majority of desvenlafaxine is excreted in the urine as unchanged desvenlafaxine (45%) or as a glucuronide conjugate (19%) [13]. Desvenlafaxine is metabolized primarily by phase 2 enzymes to form the glucuronide conjugate, and to a lesser extent by the phase 1 enzyme CYP3A4 to form N,O-didesmethylvenlafaxine. Less than 5% of an administered dose is excreted as N,O-didesmethylvenlafaxine in the urine [13].

It is well recognized that creatinine clearance (ClCr) and glomerular filtration rate (GFR) decline with age, independent of diseases that become increasingly prevalent in the elderly [14-17]. Based on the relative amount of desvenlafaxine excreted unchanged in urine, it is important to characterize the potential for pharmacokinetic changes in patient groups, such as the elderly, that may be more susceptible...
to reduced renal function. No apparent age or sex differences were reported in the single-dose pharmacokinetic profile of the parent drug, venlafaxine; a small increase in terminal-phase elimination half-life (t1/2) was observed in elderly patients administered multiple-dose venlafaxine [18]. However, studies assessing the pharmacokinetics and safety profile of desvenlafaxine have not previously been conducted in older populations. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the pharmacokinetic profile of desvenlafaxine in healthy men and women stratified by age. The safety and tolerability of multiple-dose desvenlafaxine have been studied over the dose range of 50 to 400 mg/day in MDD patients [5-8,19]. The recommended therapeutic dose of desvenlafaxine is 50 mg/day; doses greater than 50 mg/day have demonstrated no additional efficacy benefit, whereas rates of discontinuations due to adverse events (AEs) increase at higher doses [19,20]. At the time this study was initiated, however, the desvenlafaxine 50-mg/day dose had not been investigated in short-term studies. Consequently, this study used doses of desvenlafaxine that are at the higher end of the dose range.

Materials and Methods

This was an open-label, inpatient study of a single oral dose of desvenlafaxine. It was conducted at two investigational sites: Wyeth Research Clinical Pharmacology Unit (Philadelphia, PA) and SFBC International (Miami, FL). Providing oversight and approval for the study protocols were the Methodist Hospital Institutional Review Board (Philadelphia, PA) and the LeeCoast Institutional Review Board (Fort Myers, FL). The study schedule consisted of screening (day −12 to 0 h) and prestudy (day −1) periods to assess the eligibility and health status of participants, followed by a 4-day study period (days 1 to 4). The primary end point was the effect of age and sex on the pharmacokinetics of a single dose of desvenlafaxine.

Study participants

Participants eligible to enroll were healthy men and women aged 18 to 45 years (young), 65 to 75 years (elderly), and >75 years (very old) meeting inclusion criteria at screening: nonsmoker/light smoker; age-appropriate normal Cl Cr; body mass index 18 to 30 kg/m²; body weight ≥ 50 kg; and a high probability of compliance. Health state was assessed through medical history/examination, vital signs, and 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG). Elderly and very old groups could enroll with a well-managed chronic illness. Participants were excluded for presence of acute disease (ECG). Patients with a history of orthostatic decreases in blood pressure (BP) >20% or supine increases in BP >30 mmHg were excluded in five of seven elderly and very old study participants.

Predose (before −2 h to hour 0) and postdose (0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24, 36, 48, 60, and 72 h) venous blood samples (10 ml each) were collected from each subject in heparinized tubes to measure desvenlafaxine concentrations. Pharmacokinetic samples were collected at these times with graduated time windows for sample collection. Urine samples were also obtained before dosing (−12 to 0 h) and after dosing (0 to 4, 4 to 8, 8 to 12, 12 to 24, 24 to 48, and 48 to 72 h). Two 25-ml aliquots were retained.

Bioanalytical methodology

Plasma and urine desvenlafaxine assays were performed by Bioassay Laboratory, Inc (Houston TX).

Plasma desvenlafaxine levels: Plasma desvenlafaxine concentraisons were determined in the study samples with a validated high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method with fluorescence detection using propranolol hydrochloride as an internal standard. Eight standard concentrations were used for the desvenlafaxine calibration curves; all accepted runs had r-values of 0.998156 or better for the calibration standard curves. The interday precision (coefficient of variation [CV]) was 5.0242% or better, and the accuracy ranged from 97.1112 to 104.8520%. Two sets of quality control samples (concentrations: 15, 60, and 300 ng/ml) were assayed with each run. Interday precision (CV) for the quality control samples was 5.6736% or better, and the accuracy ranged from 98.9492 to 99.7581%.

Plasma desvenlafaxine was quantitated using a liquid extraction procedure. A 1.00-ml aliquot of each calibration curve standard, quality control sample, and study sample was mixed with 0.60 ml of working internal standard solution (1,000 ng/ml) and 0.20 ml of saturated sodium borate solution. After vortexing, the sample was extracted with 6.00 ml of ethyl ether and shaken at high speed. Following centrifuging, the ether layer was separated and extracted with 0.30 ml of 0.01 N hydrochloric acid solution. After centrifuging, the upper organic layer was discarded and the residual ether was evaporated at 40°C under a gentle stream of air. To the acid layer, 50.0 μl of mobile phase was added and a 50.0-μl aliquot was injected onto an HPLC system. The HPLC system was equipped with Shimadzu RF-535 fluorescence detector (Columbia, MD; excitation wavelength: 230 nm, emission wavelength: 300 nm), Waters 717 autosampler (Milford, MA), and Spherisorb CN analytical column (Waters; 25 cm×4.6 mm, 5 micron with in-line precolumn filter). The lower limit of quantitation for the assay was 5.0 ng/ml, and the upper limit of quantitation was 500 ng/ml.

Urine desvenlafaxine levels: Desvenlafaxine concentrations were assessed in urine samples by the HPLC method with UV detection. The chromatographic conditions were the same as for plasma desvenlafaxine concentrations. All accepted runs had r-values of 0.996831 or better for the desvenlafaxine calibration standard curves, and the interday precision (CV) was 99.7581% or better, with accuracy ranging from 98.9492 to 104.8520%. The interday precision (CV) for the quality control samples was 23.2952% or better and the accuracy ranged from 93.2680 to 103.9640%.

The analyte was quantitated using a liquid-liquid extraction procedure. A 0.10-ml aliquot of each calibration curve standard, quality control sample, and study sample was mixed with 1.00 ml of working internal standard solution (800 ng/ml) and 0.20 ml of saturated sodium tetaborate solution. The sample was vortexed, then extracted with 5.00 ml of ethyl ether and shaken at high speed. Following centrifuging, the ether layer was separated and extracted with 0.30 ml of 0.01 N hydrochloric acid solution.
hydrochloric acid solutions. After shaking and centrifuging, the upper organic layer was discarded and the residual ether was evaporated at 40°C under a gentle stream of air. To the acid layer, 50.0 μl of mobile phase was added and a 50.0-μl aliquot was injected onto an HPLC system equipped with a Shimadzu LC-9A pump (Columbia, MD), Waters 717 auto sampler, a UV detector (Spectro Monitor 3200; 229 nm), and Supelcosil LC-8-DB analytical column (15 cm x 4.6 mm ID; 5 μm particle size) with an inline precolumn filter. The lower limit of quantitation for desvenlafaxine in urine was the analysis was 0.10 μg/ml and the upper limit of quantitation was 10 μg/ml.

Desvenlafaxine concentration data for both plasma and urine were acquired by and integrated on a Millennium 32 Chromatography Manager Software System (version 4.00; Waters). The slopes, intercepts, and correlation coefficients were determined by least squares linear regression analysis using the ratios of drug/ internal peak heights of calibration curve standards. For plasma, the weighting factor of 1/x (1/concentration) was used in the calculation of linear regression line. The weighting factor of 1/y (1/response) was used in the calculation of linear regression line for urine. All the unknowns and the quality control samples were calculated by the Millennium data capture system.

**Pharmacokinetic analysis**

Desvenlafaxine plasma concentration data were analyzed for each subject using empirical, model-independent methods. C_{max} and t_{max} were taken directly from observed data, and t_{1/2} was calculated as t_{1/2} = 0.693/λ_Z, where λ_Z is the terminal-phase disposition rate constant. The area under the single-dose plasma concentration-versus-time curve (AUC) truncated at the last measurable concentration at t (C_t) was calculated using the linear-trapezoidal rule for increasing concentrations and the log-trapezoidal rule for decreasing concentrations. The terminal-phase elimination rate (λ_Z) was estimated increasing concentrations and the log-trapezoidal rule for decreasing curve (AUCT) truncated at the last measurable concentration at t max were taken directly from observed data, and t_{1/2} was calculated as t_{1/2} = 0.693/λ_Z, where λ_Z is the terminal-phase disposition rate constant. The area under the single-dose plasma concentration-versus-time curve (AUC) truncated at the last measurable concentration at t (C_t) was calculated using the linear-trapezoidal rule for increasing concentrations and the log-trapezoidal rule for decreasing concentrations. The terminal-phase elimination rate (λ_Z) was estimated using the log-linear regression of the last three or more plasma concentrations determined to be in the log-linear elimination phase determined to be in the log-linear elimination phase by visual inspection. Total AUC was calculated as the sum of AUC_T and C_{max} was calculated as dose/AUC. Cl/F was calculated as A / AUC, where the numerator represented the amount of desvenlafaxine excreted in urine. Cl/F was estimated from serum creatinine (SCr) using the formula:

\[
\text{Cl}_{\text{T}}(\text{ml/min}) = \frac{(140 - \text{age} \times \text{years}) \times \text{weight} (\text{kg})}{72 \times \text{SCr} (\text{mg/dl})}
\]

for males and:

\[
\text{Cl}_{\text{T}}(\text{ml/min}) = \text{Cl}_{\text{T}}(\text{ml/min}) \times 0.85 \text{ for females}
\]

Safety measurements were analyzed from spontaneous reports of AEs and scheduled evaluations (physical examinations, vital signs, orthostatic evaluation, clinical laboratory tests, and ECG). Predose and serial postdose day 1 measurements of vital signs (e.g., orthostatic blood pressure, pulse), clinical laboratory parameters, and 12-lead ECG were performed. Vital signs and 12-lead ECG were measured each study day. An AE was defined as any untoward, undesired, unplanned clinical event in the form of signs, symptoms, disease, or laboratory or physiological observations occurring with study drug regardless of causal relationship. TEAEs were AEs not present at baseline or events present at baseline that worsened in the treatment period or <72 h after dose administration.

### Statistical analysis

All tests of hypotheses were two-sided with significance defined as a level of 0.05. The dose-normalized plasma concentrations of desvenlafaxine at each sampling time, the dose-normalized pharmacokinetic parameters of desvenlafaxine, and the A_t were compared among the six age/sex cohorts using a two-factor analysis of variance with factors for sex, age group, and the interaction between sex and age group. Values for desvenlafaxine plasma concentrations, C_{max}, AUC, and AUC_T were normalized to the 200 mg dose before performing statistical comparisons. All mean values for pharmacokinetic parameters cited in the text are geometric means, unless otherwise stated. Safety parameters (vital signs, ECGs, routine laboratory tests) were analyzed using summary statistics without formal comparisons.

### Results

Fifty-eight healthy participants (24 men and 24 women) were enrolled and completed the study; all 48 were included in the intent-to-treat and safety populations (Table 1). The majority of participants were white (35/48; 73%), ranged in age from 23 to 83 years, and ranged in weight from 45.4 to 108.8 kg. Within each age group (young, aged 18-45 years [n=16]; elderly, aged 65-75 years [n=15]; and very old, aged >75 years [n=17]), women generally weighed less than men. Where applicable, the demographic and baseline characteristics of age/sex cohorts were balanced across doses (200 and 300 mg) except for the men in the young group, among whom those receiving the 200-mg dose were more likely to be younger than their cohorts and the majority were nonwhite.

### Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic parameters

Desvenlafaxine was absorbed in all age/sex cohorts with geometric mean time to peak plasma concentration (t_{max}) ranging from 5.7 to 9.3 h after oral postprandial administration of 200 or 300 mg (Table 2). Geometric mean AUC ranged from 8,713 to 14,899 ng·h/ml, and geometric mean C_{max} ranged from 402 to 678 ng/ml. Women had significantly higher mean C_{max} (18%-37%, P<0.001) and slightly, but significantly, shorter mean t_{max} (P=0.011) compared with men within each age group. Higher mean AUC (6%-17%) and weight-normalized mean Cl/F (4%-15%) were also seen in women.

Mean AUC, C_{max} and weight-normalized mean Cl/F showed small to moderate, but statistically significant, changes with age (all P ≤ 0.001; Figure 1). AUC and C_{max} both increased with age. Mean AUC of desvenlafaxine was approximately 55% higher in the very old group than the young group, rising approximately 32% from the young to the elderly group and by an additional 18% from the elderly to the very old group. Mean C_{max} was approximately 32% higher in the very old group than the young group. The difference in mean C_{max} between the young and elderly groups was small. The mean Cl/F of desvenlafaxine decreased with age. This parameter was approximately 27% and 47% lower in the elderly and very old groups, respectively, than in the young group. The interaction of age and sex was not statistically significant for any of the parameters.

Approximately 32 to 40% of the administered dose of desvenlafaxine was recovered unchanged in the urine in the six age/sex cohorts, with no significant difference in recovery among cohorts. Mean renal clearance (Cl_R) of desvenlafaxine was 33% lower in the very old group than the young group. Within age/sex cohorts, Cl_R values were generally similar and, especially in the older age/sex cohorts, paralleled the decline in Cl_R.
Table 1: Demographics and baseline characteristics of study participants by age group and sex.

| Characteristic | Young (18–45 years) | Elderly (65–75 years) | Very old (>75 years) | Young (18–45 years) | Elderly (65–75 years) | Very old (>75 years) |
|----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Age, years     |                     |                       |                      |                     |                       |                      |
| Mean (SD)      | 29.6 (7.1)          | 38.0 (5.1)            | 68.8 (3.6)           | 68.8 (2.7)          | 76.9 (1.2)            | 77.9 (2.4)           |
| Minimum, maximum | 23.0, 39.0            | 29.0, 44.0            | 66.0, 74.0            | 66.0, 72.0          | 76.0, 79.0            | 75.0, 81.0           |
| Race, n (%)    |                     |                       |                      |                     |                       |                      |
| Black          | 3 (60)              | 1 (13)                |                      |                     |                       |                      |
| Other          | 1 (20)              | 1 (13)                | 1 (25)               | 5 (83)              | 1 (13)                |                      |
| White          | 1 (20)              | 6 (75)                | 3 (75)               | 1 (17)              | 7 (100)               | 7 (88)               |
| Height, cm     |                     |                       |                      |                     |                       |                      |
| Mean (SD)      | 178.5 (5.7)         | 157.3 (7.5)           | 165.6 (3.8)          | 158.8 (7.5)         | 170.3 (5.0)          | 157.0 (6.4)          |
| Minimum, maximum | 170.0, 183.5            | 140.0, 165.0          | 160.0, 168.0         | 147.0, 168.0        | 165.0, 180.1         | 145.0, 165.0         |
| Weight, kg     |                     |                       |                      |                     |                       |                      |
| Mean (SD)      | 86.8 (11.1)         | 62.9 (10.3)           | 69.9 (5.7)           | 67.3 (9.2)          | 77.6 (13.2)          | 63.0 (7.3)           |
| Minimum, maximum | 73.8, 100.2            | 45.4, 76.4            | 62.8, 75.9           | 56.4, 78.2          | 58.6, 91.8           | 49.1, 71.4           |

Table 2: Desvenlafaxine pharmacokinetic parameters for six age/sex cohorts plus statistical comparisons.

| Age/sex grouping | n | C_{max} ng/mL | t_{max} h | t_{1/2} h | AUC, ng•h/mL | Cl/F, L/h/kg | ClCr, ml/min | ClR, ml/min |
|------------------|---|---------------|-----------|----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| Young men        | 8 | 411 (93)      | 7.3 (2.1) | 11.8 (4.5) | 9,702 (4,134) | 0.28 (0.11) | 121 (35)    | 128 (39)    |
| CV, %            | 23 | 32 | 38 | 43 | 39 | 29 | 30 |
| Geo. mean        | 402 | 7.0 | 11.1 | 8,713 | 0.26 | 117 | 122 |
| Young women      | 8 | 562 (133)    | 5.8 (0.7) | 9.2 (0.9) | 10,237 (2,249) | 0.32 (0.5) | 113 (44) | 88 (32) |
| CV, %            | 24 | 24 | 10 | 22 | 14 | 39 | 36 |
| Geo. mean        | 549 | 5.7 | 9.1 | 9,913 | 0.32 | 100 | 80 |
| Elderly men      | 7 | 450 (61)     | 9.7 (2.9) | 11.1 (1.7) | 12,037 (3,284) | 0.23 (0.5) | 105 (26) | 91 (21) |
| CV, %            | 14 | 30 | 15 | 27 | 24 | 25 | 23 |
| Geo. mean        | 446 | 9.3 | 11.0 | 11,425 | 0.22 | 102 | 90 |
| Elderly women    | 8 | 566 (140)    | 8.3 (1.7) | 11.1 (3.4) | 14,126 (5,342) | 0.24 (0.6) | 102 (29) | 102 (61) |
| CV, %            | 25 | 27 | 30 | 38 | 27 | 28 | 60 |
| Geo. mean        | 551 | 6.0 | 10.7 | 13,065 | 0.23 | 98 | 93 |
| Very old men     | 9 | 582 (135)    | 8.7 (2.6) | 11.7 (1.9) | 14,941 (4,860) | 0.19 (0.4) | 91 (44) | 73 (29) |
| CV, %            | 23 | 31 | 17 | 31 | 21 | 45 | 40 |
| Geo. mean        | 569 | 8.3 | 11.6 | 13,994 | 0.18 | 82 | 64 |
| Very old women   | 8 | 688 (132)    | 8.5 (3.2) | 10.6 (1.3) | 15,851 (4,669) | 0.22 (0.7) | 83 (21) | 82 (24) |
| CV, %            | 19 | 37 | 12 | 30 | 34 | 25 | 30 |
| Geo. mean        | 678 | 7.9 | 10.6 | 14,899 | 0.21 | 81 | 79 |

Two-factor analysis of variance of log-transformed data:

- Age: 0.001
- Sex: <0.001
- Age/sex: 6.33

C_{max} and AUC were normalized to a common 200-mg dose.

<sup>a</sup>Young, 18–45 years.

<sup>b</sup>Elderly, 65–75 years.

<sup>c</sup>Very old, >75 years.

AUC, total area under plasma concentration-versus-time curve; Cl/F, apparent oral-dose clearance (dose/AUC); ClR, renal clearance; C_{max}, peak plasma concentration; CV, coefficient of variation; Geo. mean, geometric mean; t_{1/2}, terminal-phase elimination half-life; t_{max}, time to C_{max}.
with age. There was an inverse relationship between the trend in Cl/Cr and AUC values across age groups (Figure 2).

**Safety parameters**

Twenty-eight of 48 participants (58%) reported AEs during the course of the study. Fewer participants receiving the 200-mg dose (47%) reported AEs compared with those receiving the 300-mg dose (100%). No serious AEs occurred during the study. Treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs) were predominantly mild (84/92 [91%]); no TEAEs were considered severe. Nausea (23% of participants), dizziness (21%), headache (17%), and tachycardia (13%) were the most frequently reported TEAEs. Nausea and dizziness were more common in women than in men. Nausea was reported more frequently in the young group (31%) than the elderly (20%) and very old (18%) groups, while dizziness was reported more frequently in the elderly (27%) and very old (24%) groups than in the young group (13%). Postural hypotension and vomiting were each reported by 8% of participants. Postural hypotension was more common in the elderly and very old groups, with no reports in the young group.

**Discussion**

This open-label, single-dose study demonstrated small to moderate pharmacokinetic differences based on age and sex for desvenlafaxine studied here at four to six times the recommended dose. After oral, postprandial administration of 200- or 300-mg doses, desvenlafaxine was slowly absorbed in all age groups. However, desvenlafaxine exposure in women was greater than in men, and mean AUC values were approximately 1.53-fold higher in very old male and female participants compared with male and female participants in the youngest age group. This is consistent with observed decreases in Cl/F in the elderly and in very old participants. The interaction of age and sex was not statistically significant for any of the parameters, indicating that the differences were attributable to differences between men and women or among age groups rather than changes in one cohort (e.g., women in the very old group).

Small to moderate pharmacokinetic differences were observed in men and women in this study. Within each age group, women exhibited a slightly shorter mean t_{max} than men; women’s mean C_{max} and weight-normalized AUC, and weight-normalized CI/F exceeded those of men. These differences may be partially explained by lower body weight in women than men. Differences between men and women have also been observed in the pharmacokinetics of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and some of the atypical antidepressant drugs [22]. In a naturalistic study based on therapeutic drug monitoring samples in a clinical population, women had significantly lower citalopram clearance and significantly higher serum levels of citalopram and its metabolite, desmethylcitalopram, compared with men, across all age groups [23]. AUC was significantly decreased in young men (≤ 48 or <45 years) compared with young women or elderly patients (≥ 65 years) of either sex in multiple-dose sertraline [24,25] and single- and multiple-dose mirtazapine pharmacokinetic studies [25]. Sertraline C_{max} was lower, and t_{1/2} was shorter, in young males compared with the other groups [24,25]. A significantly lower C_{max} for men compared with women (mean age, 24 years) has also been reported for single-dose reboxetine [26]. No elderly groups were included in that study. Higher steady state plasma concentrations of duloxetine were observed in women compared with men in a population pharmacokinetic analysis; no interaction with age was reported [27]. Sex differences in antidepressant pharmacokinetics are commonly attributed to differences between men and women in body weight or body composition [25,26,28], or to sex or hormone differences in antidepressant metabolism by cytochrome P450 isoenzymes [22,27].

Age-related changes were observed in several pharmacokinetic parameters, including significant changes in mean AUC, C_{max}, and weight-normalized Cl/F. Desvenlafaxine exposure increased with age, with C_{max} increasing by 5% between young and elderly participants, and by approximately 32% between young participants and very old participants. Qualitatively similar findings were observed for AUC. Single-dose data from the 48 participants in this study indicated that mean AUC values normalized to the 200-mg dose of desvenlafaxine were 32% and 55% higher for elderly and very old participants, respectively, compared with young participants. These age-related changes are consistent with the observation that renal function decreases with age [14], and the dependence of desvenlafaxine elimination on renal function [29].
Age-related increases in exposure have been described for several other antidepressant drugs. Increased AUC, Cmax, and t1/2 were reported for elderly subjects compared with young subjects administered multiple-dose paroxetine [30] and single-dose nefazodone [31], and mirtazapine AUC was increased in elderly subjects compared with young adults [32]. Elderly women had a significantly reduced rate of clearance with single-dose duloxetine compared with young women [33], and reduced clearance and an increased t1/2 was observed for reboxetine [33]. Citalopram steady-state pharmacokinetics were similar in young and elderly subjects, except that the elimination t1/2 was significantly longer in the elderly [35]. Dose adjustments based on patient age are recommended for escitalopram [36]. A significant decrease in CI/F with increasing age was observed in a population pharmacokinetic analysis: patients under 30 cleared escitalopram significantly faster compared with patients aged 30-50 years and greater than 50 years [37]. A significant decrease in clearance with increasing age was also observed in a population pharmacokinetic analysis of duloxetine [27], and in an analysis of therapeutic drug monitoring samples for citalopram [23]. In general, these differences have been attributed to age-related decline in hepatic or renal function [27,31-34]. In the current study, age-related differences may in large part be due to declining renal function. For example, the mean ClR of desvenlafaxine decreased approximately 33% from the young to the very old group, and ClR generally paralleled the decrease in ClCr with age, especially in the older age/sex cohorts. The relationship between age and declining renal function was further characterized by the fact that the age-related trend in mean ClR, a standard measure of renal function, was inversely related to mean AUC values.

The role of renal function can be explored further by calculating the ClR from GFR (estimated by ClCr), tubular secretion (TS), and tubular reabsorption (TA) (ClR=GFR+TS-TA). Because ClR is similar to ClCr, the tubular secretion must approximate TA in all age/sex groups. Thus, the reduction in mean ClR of desvenlafaxine in very old participants can be largely attributed to the change in GFR.

Related observations can be made regarding the weight-normalized CI/F of desvenlafaxine. Mean CI/F was approximately 27% and 47% lower in the elderly and very old participants, respectively, than in young participants. The significant decrease in mean CI/F with increasing age translated into higher mean AUC and Cmax values for desvenlafaxine in very old participants compared with young participants. As with AUC, the change in mean CI/F (calculated as dose/AUC) can be at least partially explained by the age-related decrease in mean ClR (which is closely related to mean ClCr). However, there was a broad range of CI/F values among age groups in both men and women. Consequently, the labeling for desvenlafaxine indicates no dose modification is necessary based on age alone, although decreases in renal function should be considered when selecting a dose regimen.

Analysis of safety data indicated that desvenlafaxine was associated with dose-related increases in mild to moderate TEAEs (100% with 300-mg dose versus 47% with 200-mg dose). Blood pressure responses, including orthostatic decreases or increases in supine blood pressure, occurred in the majority of very old and elderly participants who received a single 300-mg dose of desvenlafaxine. Indeed, the study dose was reduced based on those results. Nausea, dizziness, headache, and tachycardia were the most common TEAEs in this study, but women reported more nausea and dizziness than men. Despite higher plasma concentrations of desvenlafaxine in the very old group, nausea was reported approximately twice as often in young participants, compared with participants in the very old group, and was 50% more common among young participants than among elderly participants. The higher desvenlafaxine dose (300 mg), youth, and female sex appear to be associated with greater risk of nausea, although these results should be interpreted cautiously because of the small sample size in each age/sex cohort. In addition, the doses assessed here were four to six times the recommended 50-mg/day dose, and thus the tolerability results for doses this high may not be relevant to clinical practice. An integrated analysis of data from short-term studies of desvenlafaxine for MDD demonstrated that doses of 200 mg/day or higher were associated with poorer tolerability and no additional efficacy benefit compared with the 50-mg/day dose [19]. In that analysis, discontinuations due to AEs were dose-related, and did not differ from placebo for the 50-mg/day dose group.

In summary, small to moderate differences in pharmacokinetics, not expected to be clinically relevant, were noted between men and women. As expected for a drug excreted primarily through the kidneys, exposure to desvenlafaxine increased with increasing age. No dose adjustment is considered necessary solely on the basis of sex or age. However, increases in AUC in participants aged >75 years warrant caution, and the possibility of reduced renal clearance of desvenlafaxine should be considered when determining dose for elderly patients. It is important to note that the labeling information for desvenlafaxine does not provide specific dosing information for elderly patients beyond the possibility of reduced renal clearance.
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