Investigation of the Effect of Temperature on Lithium-Sulfur Cell Cycle Life Performance Using System Identification and X-Ray Tomography
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In this study, cycle life performance of a prototype lithium-sulfur (Li–S) pouch cell is investigated using system identification and X-ray tomography methods. Li–S cells are subjected to characterization and ageing tests while kept inside a controlled-temperature chamber. After completing the experimental tests, two analytical approaches are used: i) The parameter variations of an equivalent-circuit model due to ageing are determined using a system identification technique. ii) Physical changes of the aged Li–S cells are analyzed using X-ray tomography. The results demonstrate that Li–S cell’s degradation is significantly affected by temperature. Comparing to 10°C, Li–S cell capacity fade happens 1.4 times faster at 20°C whereas this number increases to 3.3 at 30°C. In addition, X-ray results show a significant swelling when temperature rises from 10 to 20°C, correspondingly the gas volume increases from 13 to 62 mm³.

Introduction

Battery technology development has a remarkable impact on the existing vehicle electrification trends. Among various battery technologies which are under development, one promising technology is lithium-sulfur (Li–S) with advantages like improved safety, low cost, and more importantly, higher energy density.[1,2] However, Li–S technology still needs further improvement before being ready for large-scale commercialization, mainly due to its low cycle life.[3] That is particularly important for applications like electric road vehicles by considering their requirements,[4] unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) and electric passenger aircrafts as discussed by Dörfler et al.[5] On the other hand, there have been lots of achievements in improvement of Li–S cells during the recent years.[5,6] An example of such achievements is presented by Huang et al.,[7] and the development of a Li–S cell with up to 1000 cycles is reported. In addition to the studies which are focused on improvement of Li–S cell’s performance, Battery Management System (BMS) developers are trying to build necessary algorithms for real applications of that technology.[8–10] Within that scope, the present study aims at investigation of the effect of temperature on Li–S cell’s performance and its cycle life.

Li–S cell degradation mechanism is a subject of study in the electrochemical literature. In the work by Canasa et al.,[11] Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) technique is applied to analyze the degradation mechanism of Li–S cell by monitoring the parameters of an equivalent circuit network (ECN) model, and their relationship with the physical and chemical processes which are taking place in the cathode, anode and electrolyte. Various materials are investigated with respect to their impacts on Li–S cell’s life, aiming at cycle life improvement.[12] In couple of other studies,[13,14] the effect of various cathode binders on Li–S cell’s performance is investigated. Moreover, Li–S cell’s degradation mechanism is studied by focusing on surface morphologies and the cathode’s chemical structure.[15] In addition, Scanning Electron Microscopy, X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy, and Near Edge X-ray Absorption Fine Structure techniques are discussed in[16–18] for the same goal. Recently, X-ray tomography has been applied to complement other techniques,[19] providing dynamic information across multiple scales including morphological evolution[20,21] and phase distributions.[22] These studies mainly focused on the carbon-sulfur electrode to the particle level and a bespoke environment is needed for in-situ 3D characterization. However, X-ray imaging of Li–S pouch cells with realistic electrolyte loadings at the macroscale is yet to be widely realized with very limited reports in open literature.

Although Li–S cell ageing due to cycling is widely investigated in the literature from an electrochemical point of view, there are not many studies in which that phenomenon is studied from the perspective of battery management in applications.[23] Although the electrochemical models can help
a lot to better understand the ageing mechanisms of different batteries, such high-fidelity models are not necessarily helpful for real-time applications due to their additional computational cost. Example studies of the Li–S BMS are presented in previous reports,[27,28] where an experimental methodology is used to investigate Li–S cell ageing. One of the restrictions in those previous studies is related to the cycling charge/discharge current profiles, which are not based on a realistic duty cycle. In the present study however, the cells are subjected to a more realistic degradation scenario, which is an EV driving cycle test. Furthermore, the Li–S cells which had been used previously[27,28] were of a lower capacity type (i.e., 3.4 Ah) whereas in this study, a new high capacity (i.e., 19 Ah) Li–S cell is investigated. For example, the impact of ageing on cell’s capacity and internal resistance are studied.[28] Although the outcome of that study is promising, it suffers from having access to real-world test data. That restriction is eliminated in the present study by performing EV driving cycle test scenarios.

Although the literature of Li–S BMS is not very rich, there are many studies on aging modeling of Lithium-ion cells. A couple of examples are reported[33–35] where a cycle life model is established for a graphite-LiFePO₄ cell by considering the effects of depth of discharge, temperature and discharge rate. According to the literature, temperature has a significant impact on the cycle life of lithium-ion batteries. The best cycle life performance is obtained for mild temperatures however, it is shown in different works that it can vary based on the type of the cell too. For example, the optimal cycling temperature of lithium-ion cells is found out to be around 25 °C[30] where experimental tests were conducted at temperature range between −20 °C and 70 °C on 18650-type cells with a Li[Ni₀.₅Mn₀.₅O₂]/LiMn₂O₄ blended cathode and graphite/carbon anode. Other similar studies have reported an optimal cycling temperature for lithium-ion batteries as well, though the numbers slightly vary among them.[31,32] According to the literature, a temperature higher than the optimal value can cause an accelerated solid electrolyte interphase formation and thus, a more rapid capacity fade and impedance rise would happen,[33–35] On the other hand, lower temperatures favor lithium plating at the end of the charging process. Consequently, the cycle life decreases due to intensified lithium plating. Many researchers have identified lithium plating using either in-situ or ex-situ methods in cycled lithium-ion cells at low temperatures.[36–42]

As mentioned above, the literature suffers from lack of studies on the effect of temperature on Li–S battery cycle life performance, motivating this present study. This paper investigates the influence of temperature, as a stress factor, on Li–S pouch cells’ cycling performance using an experimental approach, which has not been reported in the literature at the best knowledge of the authors.

Looking at the literature, the contributions of this study are as follows.

i) The effects of cycling on Li–S cell’s performance (i.e., capacity fade and power fade) are investigated using an ECN model and a system identification technique by considering the effect of temperature.

ii) Physical changes of Li–S cell due to cycling is analyzed with a focus on the effect of temperature. X-ray tomography analysis is performed on fresh and aged Li–S pouch cells for the first time.

Experimental and Modelling Section

Li–S cell specifications

The Li–S cells that are tested in this study, were manufactured and supplied by OXIS Energy Ltd.[43] Specifications of the 19 Ah prototype Li–S cell are listed in Table 1.

Li–S cell testing

The test rig includes a bidirectional power source to apply any desired charge/discharge current profile, and a thermal chamber (shown in Figure 1a) to control the temperature. In terms of measurements, the cell’s terminal voltage and current signals are recorded at a sampling rate of 1 Hz. All tests are performed within the full range of SoC based on a cut-off voltage of 1.9 V and fully-charged voltage of 2.6 V.

A charge/discharge profile is obtained according to the required power on the Millbrook London Transport Bus (MLTB) driving cycle,[44,45] which is scaled down to a single cell level as discussed in previous literature.[46] An example MLTB test result at 20 °C is shown in Figure 1(b) where the test cycle is repeated until zero SoC. Figure 1(b) shows a zoomed window of a single MLTB cycle as well. The negative current values in that figure represent regenerative braking.

Li–S cell modelling

The Thevenin equivalent-circuit-network (ECN) model structure[47] is used in this study because of its proper trade-off between accuracy and computational speed[48–50] as shown in Figure 1(c). The model contains a voltage source $U_{oc}$, and physical components such as ohmic resistance ($R_o$), polarization resistance ($R_p$) and polarization capacitance ($C_p$). Mathematical presentation of this model is as follows:

\[
U = U_{oc} - U_p - R_0 I_p \tag{1}
\]

\[
\frac{dU_p}{dt} = -\frac{1}{C_p} U_p + \frac{1}{C_p} I_p \tag{2}
\]

Table 1. Li–S cell specifications.

| Parameter                | Value          |
|-------------------------|----------------|
| Capacity                | 19 (Ah)        |
| Nominal voltage         | 2.15 (V)       |
| Cell mass               | 141 (g)        |
| Maximum voltage         | 2.6 (V)        |
| Minimum voltage         | 1.9 (V)        |
| Maximum discharge rate  | 3 C–57 (A)     |
| Maximum charge rate     | 0.25 C–4.75 (A)|
Consequently, \( U_p(s) \) can be expressed as:

\[
U_p(s) = \frac{s \cdot l_l(s)}{s + R_{oc} C_p} \quad (4)
\]

We can then obtain the terminal voltage by substituting \( U_p \) from Equation (4) into Equation (1):

\[
U_l(s) = U_{oc} - \frac{s \cdot l_l(s)}{s + R_{oc} C_p} - R_0 l_l(s) \quad (5)
\]

Applying the bi-linear transform of \( s = \frac{T + 1}{T} \), Equation (5) can be discretized as follows.

\[
\frac{U_l(z) - U_{oc}}{l_l(z)} = \frac{- (T R_0 + T R_0 + 2 R_0 R_L C_p) - (TR_0 + T R_0 - 2 R_0 R_L C_p) z^{-1}}{T + 2 R_0 C_p + (T - 2 R_0 C_p) z^{-1}} \quad (6)
\]

Then the terminal voltage at moment \( k \) is obtained as follows:

\[
U_l(k) = \theta_1 \cdot U_l(k - 1) + \theta_2 \cdot l_l(k) + \theta_3 \cdot l_l(k - 1) + \theta_4 \quad (7)
\]

where the parameters \( \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3 \) and \( \theta_4 \) are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\theta_1 & = \frac{2 R_O C_p - T}{T + 2 R_0 C_p} \\
\theta_2 & = -\frac{T R_0 + T R_0 + 2 R_0 R_L C_p}{T + 2 R_0 C_p} \\
\theta_3 & = -\frac{T R_0 + T R_0 - 2 R_0 R_L C_p}{T + 2 R_0 C_p} \\
\theta_4 & = \frac{2 T}{T + 2 R_0 C_p} U_{oc}
\end{align*}
\quad (8-11)
\]

Writing Eq. (7) in a more standard way, we have:

\[
U_l(k) = \varphi^T \cdot \theta \quad (12)
\]

where \( \varphi = [U_l(k-1); l_l(k); l_l(k-1); 1] \) and \( \theta = [\theta_1; \theta_2; \theta_3; \theta_4] \).

In this study, the Forgetting Factor Recursive Least Square (FFRLS) identification algorithm\(^{[51,52]} \) is used to obtain the Thevenin model’s parameters \( R_0, R_L, C_p \) and \( U_{oc} \). Looking at the literature, there are several studies in which the EIS technique is used to parameterize a battery model. Specifically for Li–S battery, both EIS\(^{[53–57]} \) and System Identification\(^{[12–15]} \) methods have been already used in the literature. For example,\(^{[53,54]} \) an electrical circuit model is considered to simulate the electrochemical behavior of Li–S batteries and to measure their impedance over 50 cycles. In previous reports,\(^{[53,56]} \) EIS technique is utilized for capacity fade analysis of sulfur cathodes in Li–S cells. Furthermore, a 3.4 Ah pouch Li–S cell is investigated\(^{[56]} \) using the EIS technique, and the effects of SoC and temperature on model parameters are demonstrated.

In this study however, the FFRLS system identification method is used instead of EIS. Comparing the two techniques, EIS might be more accurate in terms of providing the electrochemical details of the cell however, our system identification technique is quicker in
real-time applications. For that reason, we decided to use FFRLS technique to be easily implemented in BMS boards.

The parameters vector $θ$ is updated at each iteration as follows:

$$\hat{θ}(k) = \hat{θ}(k-1) + K(k) \cdot [U(k) - q^T \cdot \hat{θ}(k-1)]$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where $K$ is called ‘correction gain’ and is calculated as follows:

$$K(k) = P(k-1) \cdot q^T \cdot [γ + q^T \cdot P(k-1) \cdot q]^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

$$P(k) = \frac{1}{γ}[1 - K(k) \cdot q^T] \cdot P(k-1)$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where $P$ is the covariance matrix and $γ$ is the forgetting factor, which indicates the effect of historical data on the identification results.

Figure 2 shows an example identification result for a fresh Li–S cell at 20°C. As shown in the figure, each parameter of the Thevenin model has a unique pattern vs. SoC. The patterns which are obtained in this study, agree with what has been already published in the literature.\[9–13\]

Figure 3 demonstrates an example test for validation of the identified model. In that figure, cell’s simulated terminal voltage is plotted against the measured value. According to the results, there is a good fit between the model and the experimental data.

X-ray computed tomography

A lab-based X-ray CT scanner (Nikon XT 225, Nikon Metrology, UK) was used to investigate the 19 Ah Li–S pouch cells (dimension: $148 \times 80 \times 12.5$ mm$^3$). The experimental setup is shown in Figure 4. A plastic holder was fabricated by a 3D printer (Ultimaker S3) to accommodate the pouch cell sample and to prevent subtle movement during X-ray acquisition. A polychromatic cone-beam source employing a tungsten target with the voltage and power set at 100 kV and 20 W were used with an exposure time of 1 second per projection, and a total of 3185 projections were collected per tomogram with a 1 mm Cu filter. The 2028×2028-pixel CCD camera detector was binned 1 to achieve the voxel resolution at ca. 20 μm with a field of view of ca. 40×40 mm$^2$. The raw X-ray projections were reconstructed using Nikon CT Pro 3D software (Version XT 4.4.4, Nikon Metrology, Tring, UK). Three Li–S samples were scanned using the same X-ray parameters, namely fresh, aged at 10°C, aged at 20°C. The details of the interior X-ray tomography are summarized in Table 2.
drops to 80% of the initial capacity. Therefore, battery state-of-health (SoH) is formulated as follows:

\[
\text{SoH}_Q = 1 - \left( \frac{Q_{\text{init}} - Q_{\text{batt}}}{0.2 \times Q_{\text{init}}} \right),
\]

where \(Q_{\text{init}}\) varies between 1 and 0 corresponding to a fresh battery and EoL respectively (i.e., \(Q_{\text{batt}} = 0.8 \times Q_{\text{init}}\) at EoL). So, this definition is based on the battery’s “capacity fade” as an indicator of the degradation process.

Another possible definition of SoH corresponds to “power fade”. This is somehow related to another term in the literature called battery State-of-Power (SoP). The deliverable battery power very much depends on its ohmic resistance, which is a function of its age. In general, ageing mechanism causes an increase in the ohmic resistance, which can be used as an indicator of battery SoH. If we define battery EoL at the point where its resistance doubles, battery SoH is formulated as follows:

\[
\text{SoH}_R = 1 - \frac{R_{\text{batt}} - R_{\text{init}}}{R_{\text{init}}}, \quad R_{\text{init}} \leq R_{\text{batt}} \leq 2R_{\text{init}}
\]

where \(R_{\text{init}}\) is the battery's initial resistance and \(R_{\text{batt}}\) is the resistance value at a given time. Similar to \(\text{SoH}_Q\), \(\text{SoH}_R\) also varies between 1 and 0.

Figure 5(a) depicts capacity fade of the Li–S cell subject to cycling at different temperatures. In those experiments, the Li–S cells were subjected to the MLTB discharge profile repeatedly until the cell is degraded. At each cycle, a limited number of MLTB repetitions are applied to the cell consecutively from full-charged state until depleted state, and then the cell is charged again at rate of 0.1 C (i.e., 1.9 A) to make it ready for the next cycle. The whole test procedure was repeated for identical cells at three different temperatures. We should clarify that although the cells are identical in terms of specifications, because they are prototypes, there are small differences between cells initial capacities. That issue is expected to disappear when moving to automated mass production procedures.

According to the result shown in Figure 5(a), temperature has a significant impact on the rate of capacity fade in a Li–S cell when subjected to cycling. As shown in the figure, the best performance is achieved at 10°C with the lowest rate of capacity fade. On the other side, the fastest degradation rate is observed at 30°C. Comparing 10 and 20°C temperatures, it is observed that Li–S cell capacity fade happens 1.4 times faster at 20°C whereas this number increases to 3.3 times faster at 30°C. Figure 5(b) shows the same results in a different format, that is SoH\(_Q\), calculated using Equation (16).

### Results and Discussion

#### Capacity fade and power fade of Li–S Cell due to ageing at various temperatures

In this Section, the effect of temperature on Li–S cell degradation is analyzed with a focus on cell's capacity fade and power fade. For performing such an analysis, first the battery end-of-life (EoL) is formulated based on two definitions. In the first definition, the remaining life of a battery is calculated based on capacity fade as a fraction of its original capacity (\(Q_{\text{init}}\)). In that definition, EoL is when the battery capacity (\(Q_{\text{batt}}\))

---

**Table 2.** The X-ray CT acquisition parameters for the 19 Ah Li–S pouch cells.

| Scan No | Cyclic status | Resolution [μm] | Voltage [kV] | Power [W] | Exposure time [s] | Projection No. | FOV [mm²] |
|---------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------|------------------|----------------|-----------|
| 1       | Fresh         | 20              | 100          | 20        | 1                | 3185           | 40×40     |
| 2       | Aged at 10°C  | 20              | 100          | 20        | 1                | 3185           | 40×40     |
| 3       | Aged at 20°C  | 20              | 100          | 20        | 1                | 3185           | 40×40     |
In addition, Figure 5(c) depicts another interesting outcome of the ageing tests. In that figure, the values of SoH\_R are calculated using Equation (17). According to the results, the minimum value of SoH\_R does not reach to zero. The reason is that Li-S cell power fade happens at a much slower rate than capacity fade. That is one of the most interesting results of this study, specifically for the real applications in which a consistent power delivery is important. In terms of the comparison between temperatures, still the best performance is achieved at 10\(^\circ\)C whereas the worst case happens at 30\(^\circ\)C.

**Performance change of Li-S cell due to ageing at various temperatures**

In order to investigate the effect of temperature on Li-S cell’s performance, ageing tests as well as model identification procedure are repeated at different temperatures. Figure 6 shows the identification results at 10\(^\circ\)C and different age levels in form of the Thevenin model’s parameters vs. SoC. According to the results, parameters\_R\_0 and \_C\_p are more sensitive to the cycling while the other two parameters, \_U\_oc and \_R\_p, are more stable. The ohmic resistance, \_R\_0, is clearly increasing due to ageing, and the polarization capacitance, \_C\_p, slightly decreases as the result of cycling at 10\(^\circ\)C. Further discussions about the reasons behind those changes are presented in “Physical changes of Li-S cell due to ageing at various temperatures” Section based on cell macrostructure examination.

Figures 7 and 8 demonstrate similar analysis at 20\(^\circ\)C and 30\(^\circ\)C respectively. Again, the results show that parameters\_U\_oc and \_R\_p have been affected less than the other two parameters \_R\_0 and \_C\_p because of the cycling. The changes in parameters \_R\_0 and \_C\_p become more significant at higher temperatures, which means more capacity and power fades. That is why we can conclude 10\(^\circ\)C as the best and 30\(^\circ\)C as the worst case in terms of Li-S cell’s performance degradation due to cycling. To the best of our knowledge, this outcome has not been reported earlier in the literature for a Li-S pouch cell. In addition, Figure 9 illustrates Li-S cell’s time constant (i.e., \_R\_p \times \_C\_p) at different age levels and temperatures.

According to the results, parameters\_U\_oc and \_R\_p have been affected less than parameters \_R\_0 and \_C\_p as the result of cycling. Table 3 quantifies the changes in average value of parameters \_R\_0 and \_C\_p before and after cycling. For example, at 10\(^\circ\)C, the
average ohmic resistance has increased 40% due to ageing whereas a decrease of 12% is observed in parameter $C_p$. The biggest changes are happening at 30°C where we observe 53% increase in $R_0$ and 29% decrease in $C_p$ when an aged cell is compared against a fresh cell. As a summary, Figure 10 shows how the parameters $R_0$ and $C_p$ change due to cycling at three temperatures and two SoC levels.

Although the whole results of this study are not directly comparable to what had already been published in the literature, it is useful to mention the most relevant studies here again. In terms of capacity fading and number of cycles, which were presented in the previous “Capacity fade and power fade of Li-S cell due to ageing at various temperatures” Section, the results are compared as follows. For example, 50 cycles are reported for a Li-S coin cell where the specific discharge capacity has dropped from 1200 mAh/g to 240 mAh/g (i.e., 80% capacity loss). In another study, capacity fade is reported between 30% and 60% after 100 cycles for Li–S coin
cells using different electrolytes. In the present study, we tested a high-capacity pouch cell instead, and we observed 20% capacity loss after 50 cycles at 20 °C. On the other hand, looking at the power fading results shown in Figure 5(c), only 10% change in ohmic resistance is observed after 50 cycles in this study. However, an increase of 100% in the resistance is reported after 100 cycles.\cite{56}

It should be noted that, in all the above-mentioned literature, coin cells have been studied. A more similar type of cell (i.e., pouch cell) is investigated.\cite{57} In terms of cell specifications, the previous one\cite{57} is a 3.4 Ah cell whereas the one used in this study has a higher capacity of 19 Ah. Looking at the model parameterization results, a range of 0.01–0.1 ohm is reported\cite{57} for the ohmic resistance whereas our results show a change between 0.01 and 0.03 ohm. There are more studies in the literature where higher values of resistance had been reported for coin cells however, comparison between those numbers does not make sense because of the big differences in the two types of cells as discussed.\cite{58–60}

For example,\cite{58} a comprehensive comparison between Li–S coin and pouch cells is discussed by listing all their parameters as well as their associated challenges. In addition, extensive electrochemical explorations are presented\cite{65–67} which show miniature pouch cells degrade faster than coin cells using

---

**Figure 8.** Parameters of Li–S cell model vs. SoC at 30 °C at different age levels.

---

**Figure 9.** Li–S cell time constant ($R_p \times C_p$) at different age levels: a) 10 °C, b) 20 °C, and c) 30 °C.
identical conditions, but the structural information is not comprehensive. Examining the SoH is crucial because using larger cells (for example in EV battery packs), there is less tolerance for volume changes in the stack geometry. This highlights the pressing need to use X-ray techniques to diagnose the SoH of a large LiS pouch cell. In this paper, the OXIS prototype cells demonstrated a longer cycle life at 10 and 20°C than those miniature, ‘lab scale’ pouch cells. In the following “Physical changes of Li-S cell due to ageing at various temperatures” Section, our cell metrology analysis will show how the temperature influences the gas formation inside the cell. Nevertheless, to achieve a Li-S pouch cell with a high energy density and a long cycle-life, significant efforts will be needed to address scale-up from laboratory to commercially relevant sizes. This involves material development (cathode and electrolyte), advanced characterization and improved battery management systems (BMS).

So far, the electrical performance features of the prototype Li-S pouch cell have been investigated under different age and temperature levels. In the next Section, some of the physical features of the Li-S cell are measured and analyzed.

Physical changes of Li-S cell due to ageing at various temperatures

As a complementary analysis, physical changes of the Li-S cells due to ageing are investigated in this Section. The main goal is to analyze the effect of temperature on Li-S cell’s behavior and its consequences on the physical features of the cell. First, a simple visual inspection is presented in the following Section, which shows the external state of the Li-S cell. Secondly, different X-ray analyses are performed to observe the internal changes inside the Li-S cells subjected to ageing at different temperatures.

Visual inspection of Li-S cell swelling due to ageing at different temperatures

Figure 11 shows some photos of the cycled Li-S pouch cells at different temperatures. Figure 11(a and b) illustrates the top and side views of three Li-S cells which are cycled at 10°C, 20°C and 30°C, respectively. According to that result, the physical deformation of the cell at 20°C is remarkably larger than 10°C. Indeed, the cycled cell at 10°C is still flat without any sign of swelling. It is observed that the amount of swelling at 30°C is even more than 20°C. Based on the results shown in Figure 11, temperature has a direct significant impact on the swelling phenomenon in Li-S pouch cells.

Cell swelling is mainly caused by the gas formation inside the pouch cell. This phenomenon is investigated using gas chromatography technique. According to that study, the main constituents at the beginning of the cycle life (after four cycles) were found to be hydrogen and nitrogen in a ratio of 3:1. After larger cycle numbers (around forty cycles), significant amounts of methane and ethane stemming from reductive electrolyte decomposition could be detected as well. In the next Section, X-ray tomographic imaging is performed on the 19 Ah Li-S pouch cells before and after cycling at various temperatures.
X-ray analysis of Li-S cell at different age and temperature levels

For the first time, the interior morphologies of large footprint Li-S pouch cells are revealed by X-ray tomographic imaging. In Figure 12, 2D and 3D macro-structure of the Li-S pouch cells under various ageing temperatures are presented. A large-format Li-S pouch cell is composed of multiple layers of electrodes, separators and current collectors stacked together. The 2D cross-sections on the y-z plane (front view) are selected between two current collectors as shown in Figure 12(a–c), whilst 2D slices on the x-z plane (side view) are demonstrated in Figure 12(d and f). The white, gray, and black phases are corresponding to the Cu current collector, sulfur-based cathodes, and cycled-induced gas according to X-ray attenuations. In Figure 12(e), the anode phase (Li metal) is not shown mainly because 1) the density of Li is too low (low-Z material). Therefore, when we had to use high energy to scan the large cell, X-ray will directly penetrate the Li layer, which means it hardly provides contrast, 2) due to the limited spatial resolution (ca. 20 μm), the very thinner Li layer (usually ~ 100 μm) might not be resolved too.

The fresh Li-S pouch cells are stored in the fridge at ca. 2 ~ 3°C to prevent self-degradation, the X-ray CT results prove this assumption since the gaseous product is hardly found in Figure 12(a, d, g). Both uniform spacing and multiple parallel assemblies (electrodes and current collectors) are observed in fresh cell (Figure 12d). Some gas bubbles with small size can be found in Figure 12(e) when the cell was fully aged at 10°C. The harmful gas is usually generated during cell cycling via electrolyte decomposition. A large amount of gas with large size was clearly observed within the Li-S cell after it was fully aged at 20°C, as shown in Figure 12(c and f). Indeed, the Li-S cell aged at 20°C demonstrated swelling of the cell assemblies, along with non-uniform electrode spacing (Figure 12f), indicating major degradation of the internal architecture. Moreover, the electrode defects due to its expansion after cycling, such as cracks with a size up to 300 μm, can be observed in Figure 12(c and f). This will affect the cell conductivity and lead to shortening the failure. The generated gas and electrode swelling deforms their assemblies for both 10 and 20-degree cases, but the deformation is more prominent in higher temperature case. This is also supported by accounting for the current collector (CC) numbers within the same ROI (Table 4). There are 13 CCs in 20°C, while 21 CCs in 10°C. Nevertheless, macro-CT experiments verify that the mechanism of volumetric expansion for Li-S cells is more complicated than Li-ion cells. While Li-S morphological swelling is attributed to the electrode expansion, gaseous products and loss of lithium inventory,[70] in Li-ion cells, the gas is considered to be the dominating factor.[71] 3D macro-structure of Li-S cells are rendered and presented in the 3rd row of Figure 12. The gaseous structure and thickness distributions were correspondingly superimposed on their 3D volume renderings. The darker colors (black and purple) represent the low thickness regions, whilst the brighter colors (orange and yellow) indicate the high thickness regions. According to the degradation level, the fresh, 10°C, and 20°C cases can be classified as low, medium and high degradations, respectively.

In Figure 13, the application of macro-CT to inspect and quantify the SoH by tracking the gas phase is demonstrated.

Table 4. The quantification of cycle-induced gas in Li-S pouch cells.

| Sample status | Surface area [mm²] | Volume [mm³] | Thickness [μm] | Current collector number |
|---------------|--------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------------------|
|               |                    |              | min | max | Mean | SD | |
| @ 10°C        | 822                |              | 13  | 80  | 240  | 119 | 24.9 | 20 |
| @ 20°C        | 2003               |              | 62  | 80  | 360  | 174 | 45.7 | 13 |
Here, the high degradation case (i.e., 20 °C) is selected to present in Figure 13(a–d). Figure 13(a) shows the 2D gas thickness profile is overlaid with the original 2D slice (Figure 12f). The color lines highlight the gas phase and indicate the associated thickness value within the FOV. 2D mappings could be stacked and rendered in 3D (Figure 13b). In total, there are 14 gaseous layers in high degradation case. Clearly, according to the thickness mappings in Figure 13(a and b), the heterogeneous gas distribution can be identified. This heterogeneity also can be decoupled by selectively visualizing the low thickness (80–220 μm) and high thickness (220–360 μm) regions respectively as shown in Figure 13(c and d). It should be noted that adjustment above thickness domains to perform the comparison is feasible. There are many thicker gas layers (assigned to yellow) within the ROI, demonstrating the cell has a considerable degradation when aged at 20 °C. In Figure 13(f),
the histograms suggest that a large amount of gas could be generated under 20°C case because the 1st peak is observed at the lower grayscale region (ca. 31500). Also, the X-ray signal intensities is higher (from ca. 32000 to ca. 32750) when the lower temperature is used. This indicates that a temperature set at 10°C prevents the depletion of the carbon-sulfur (C–S) cathode and thus minimize the volume expansion. Such histogram range of S–C cathode was investigated.[72]

The distributions of gas thickness are plotted in Figure 13(f) to compare the effect of temperature on Li–S cell degradation. It is obvious to observe the 20-degree case (green bars, high degradation) have wider and larger thickness range than 10-degree case (purple bars, medium degradation). The peak value shifts from the left to the right, proving the prior 3D observation and the thickness value is increased under the higher temperature. Indeed, in Table 4, the average gas thickness increases from 119 to 174 μm when the temperature increases from 10 to 20°C. The higher temperature significantly facilitates the gas generation as its volume increases from 13 to 62 mm³, indicating the huge volume expansion. Furthermore, the surface area increases from 822 to 2003 mm², suggesting the gas bubbles (Figure 12h) could be replaced by the gas layers with the uniformed thickness (Figure 12i) when cell ageing under the higher temperature (i.e., 20°C). Such temperature-dependent behavior has been studied microscale, it is believed that the low temperature may increase Coulombic

Figure 13. X-ray macro-CT quantification of the 19 Ah Li–S pouch cells. a) 2D thickness distribution of gas phase aged at 20°C, showing its heterogeneous characteristic (overlaid with the raw image). Stacked 2D mappings are rendered in 3D displayed in b). The gaseous heterogeneity can be decoupled by selectively visualizing c) the thinner region (80–220 μm) and d) the thicker region (220–360 μm). Both (c) and (d) are extracted from (b). Scale bars are 1 mm for figures (a–d). The color bar represents the same thickness for figures (b–d), ranging from 80 to 360 μm. e) The grayscale histograms of Li–S pouch cells fully aged at 10 and 20°C. f) The comparison of the gas thickness within Li–S pouches aged at two various temperatures.
efficiency and prolong cycle life through lower chemical reactivity (especially Li metal) in the absence of short-circuits, whilst higher temperatures lead to unstable SEI formation, poor Coulombic efficiency, and electrolyte degradation. We suspect that temperature has a strong link with the viscosity of electrolytes that affects the cycle performance as shown in “Capacity fade and power fade of Li–S cell due to ageing at various temperatures” and “Performance change of Li–S cell due to ageing at various temperatures” Sections. It is widely recognized that a higher temperature will have a lower viscosity value. Therefore, at lower temperatures (10°C), the higher viscosity electrolyte will impede electrolyte transport during formation and ageing. The formation of the SEI may therefore be more uniform than other higher temperature cases (20 and 30°C). Moreover, the sluggish movement of electrolytes due to the higher viscosity could minimize the shuttle effect, and thus fewer polysulfides (Li$_2$S$_{8-x}$, 4 < $x$ < 8) will be transported. A higher temperature could facilitate electrolyte movement, the heterogenous SEI could be formed, and a large amount of gas products will be also generated due to the faster electrolyte depletion. As a result, the resistance ($R_0$) is expected to be increased at high temperature which leads to a shorter cyclic life. The increase of $R_0$ could be attributed to the formation of a thicker SEI layer and associated structural degradation with progressive cycling under higher temperature. It is suggested that the gas generation and delamination between electrodes and current collector affect the cell polarization. Our macro-CT results show that at higher temperature more gas would be created. As a consequence, the electrode layer delamination is observed which leads to the $C_p$ decrease.

Conclusion

In this study, a combination of two advanced methods, ECN modelling using FFRLS and X-ray tomography, was used to investigate the cycle life performance of a Li–S pouch cell and the effect of temperature on it. State-of-the-art 19 Ah prototype Li–S cells were subjected to a real-world duty cycle until they degraded. After running the ageing tests, three types of analysis were conducted as follows:

1. Firstly, the capacity fade and the power fade of Li–S cells were investigated at three temperatures: 10°C, 20°C and 30°C. Two main outcomes were obtained from this analysis: (i) it was observed that the power fade happens with a slower rate (almost half) than the capacity fade in Li–S cells. (ii) it was observed that the temperature has a significant effect on both the capacity and power fades. The best performance (i.e., the slowest degradation rate) was achieved at 10°C whereas the worst case happened at 30°C.

2. Secondly, the effects of ageing on Li–S cell’s performance are investigated using an ECN model by considering the effect of temperature. The Thevenin ECN model was parameterized under different age and temperature conditions using FFRLS technique. Each individual parameter of the model was analyzed separately to investigate its changes in response to cycling. In addition, the effect of temperature on each of those parameters was investigated. Two outcomes of those analyses are: i) parameters $U_m$ and $R_m$ have been affected less than parameters $R_0$ and $C_p$ as the result of cycling. ii) the most and the least changes in the ECN parameters $R_0$ and $C_p$ were observed at 30°C and 10°C respectively.

3. Thirdly, physical changes of Li–S cells due to cycling were analyzed with a focus on the effect of temperature. All Li–S cells were analyzed under identical conditions, X-ray tomography result confirms the ECN models, providing strong evidence that temperature has a direct and significant impact on the swelling phenomenon in Li–S pouch cells where the most and the least swelling were measured at 30°C and 10°C respectively. In addition, for the first time, the volumetric expansion and interior morphologies of commercial Li–S prototype cells under different ageing temperatures were investigated. X-ray macro-CT was used to monitor the cell SoH and quantify the gas thickness. It was found that the lower temperature at 10°C can mitigate cell degradation and prolong its life cycle which matches the analytical models. The thickness change was mostly due to cracked electrodes and gas formation.

In this study, we demonstrated a case study using macro-CT as a diagnostic tool to examine the battery SoH. The Li–S pouch cells showed a considerable swelling during ageing particularly at 20 and 30°C. The Li–S structure expansion may be more severe than that in the Li-ion pouch cell, which present engineering challenges for the next generation Li–S cells. For instance, the development of a functional separator to enhance thermal stability may provide promising solutions. Direct 3D visualization at mid-to-large length scales complements the previous microscale studies, identifying cell-level changes (geometric deformation, electrode alignment and electrolyte depletion) and electrode-scale phenomena (i.e., electrode/gas thickness and macro-crackling). Nevertheless, more systematic in-situ CT studies as a function of SoC and SoH are needed to fully understand the temperature-dependent degradation in large footprint Li–S cells. The multiscale and correlative X-ray tomography, in particular the hierarchical phase-contrast tomography (HIP-CT), could provide an opportunity to advance the Li–S cell development.

Furthermore, in future work, development of an adaptive algorithm addressing the formation strategies during early cycle life and generating a uniform and robust solid-electrolyte interphase (SEI) layer, will be explored. This could help in mitigating the Li dendrite formation and prolong the Li–S life.
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The effect of temperature on lithium-sulfur cell cycle life performance is investigated by performing characterization and ageing tests on 19 Ah pouch cells. Firstly, the parameter variations of an equivalent-circuit model due to ageing are calculated using a system identification technique. Secondly, the physical changes of the cells due to cycling at different temperatures are analyzed using X-ray tomography. The results demonstrate that lithium-sulfur cell’s performance and cycle life are significantly affected by temperature variation.