Dual-Energy Multidetector Computed Tomography: A Highly Accurate Non-Invasive Tool for in Vivo Determination of Chemical Composition of Renal Calculi
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Abstract

Introduction. Computed tomography is more accurate than excretory urography in evaluation of renal stones due to its high sensitivity and temporal resolution; it permits sub-millimetric evaluation of the size and site of calculi but cannot evaluate their chemical composition. Dual-energy computed tomography allows evaluating the chemical composition of urinary calculi using simultaneous image acquisition at two different energy levels. The objective of the research was to determine renal stone composition using dual-energy multidetector computed tomography, and its correlation with post-extraction chemical analysis of stones.

Materials and Methods. This prospective study was conducted in the Department of Radiodiagnosis and Imaging from September 2017 to March 2019. A total of 50 patients with urolithiasis at the age of 18-70 years were included in the study. Dual-energy computed tomography ratios of various stones were noted, and preoperative composition of calculi was given based on their colour and dual-energy computed tomography ratio. These results were compared with the post-extraction chemical analysis of stones (using Fourier infrared transform spectroscopy as the standard comparative method.)

Results. The most common type of calculi in our study population was calcium oxalate stones (78%) followed by uric acid stones (12%), cystine stones (6%) and hydroxyapatite stones (4%). The dual-energy ratio of calcium oxalate, uric acid, cystine and hydroxyapatite stones ranged from 1.38-1.59, 0.94-1.08, and 1.20-1.28 and 1.52-1.57, respectively, with the mean dual-energy ratio of 1.43, 1.01, 1.25 and 1.55, respectively. Dual-energy computed tomography was found to be 100% sensitive and specific for differentiating uric acid stones from non-uric acid stones. The sensitivity and specificity in differentiating calcium oxalate calculus from non-calcium oxalate calculus was 97.5% and 90.9%, respectively, with 96% accuracy and kappa value of 0.883 suggesting strong agreement.

Conclusions. Dual-energy computed tomography is highly sensitive and accurate in distinguishing between various types of renal calculi. It has vital role in management as uric acid calculi are amenable to drug treatment, while most of non-uric acid calculi require surgical intervention.
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**Introduction**

Nephrolithiasis is a major cause of morbidity worldwide. The incidence of renal stone disease is high, with a lifetime risk of 6% in women and 12% in men [1–3]. According to the recent epidemiological data, the prevalence and incidence rates of urolithiasis have increased considerably in nearly all countries [4]. Most renal stones (75-80%) are calcium-containing stones followed by struvite stones (10-15%), uric acid stones (6%) and cystine stones (1-2%) [5]. Clinical presentations of urinary stone disease include renal colic or atypical abdominal pain, gross haematuria, urinary urgency, nausea, and vomiting [6]. Earlier, plain abdominal X-rays and intravenous urograms were the main modalities used for the diagnosis of renal stones, but recently non-enhanced conventional single-energy computed tomography (CT) has become the imaging modality due to its high sensitivity and specificity [7]; however, the major drawback of this technique is that it cannot reliably predict calculus composition [8]. Dual-energy CT (DECT) gives information regarding the chemical characterization of renal stones in addition to the assessment of stone size, location, and surface [9]. Preoperative determination of the stone subtype helps the clinician better decide treatment options for the patient as uric acid calculi are amenable to drug treatment and may not require surgery [10]. Due to high rates of recurrent stone disease, preoperative characterization of stone type plays vital role in management.

The **objective of this research** was to determine the level of agreement between DECT and post extraction chemical composition of calculi in the differentiation of uric acid calculi from non-uric acid calculi and calcium oxalate calculi from non-calcium oxalate calculi.

**Materials and Methods**

This prospective study was conducted in the Department of Radiodiagnosis and Imaging in a study period of 18 months from September 2017 to March 2019. A total of 50 patients (30 males, 20 females) were included in the study.

**Inclusion Criteria**

Patients (both males and females) with urolithiasis admitted to the Department of Urology and referred to the Department of Radiodiagnosis and Imaging for DECT were included in the study.

**Exclusion Criteria**

Pregnancy was the only exclusion criterion.

**Methodology**

The patients were subjected to non-contrast DECT of the abdomen and pelvis with interest areas including kidneys, ureter, and bladder. Various features of detected stone were noted, including size, location, colour, volume, Hounsfield units (HU) values at 100/140 kVp levels, and dual-energy ratios (DE ratios) to determine the stone composition using a fourth generation 256-slice dual-source dual-energy CT scanner (SOMATOM Definition Flash, Siemens Healthcare, Forchheim, Germany). Images were acquired at slice thickness of 5 mm. Images were reconstructed to produce 3-mm-thick slice sections. All exams were visualized on the axial, coronal, and sagittal planes. Images acquired with DECT were processed using a dedicated Siemens workstation. The various steps in detail are as under:

**Image Acquisition**

DE scan was performed using 256-slice dual-source dual-energy scanner which acquires the images at 100/140kVp in two different planes by the two X-ray tubes (Master tube and slave tube) angled at 90° to each other.

**Post-Processing and Image Interpretation**

The ability of DECT to differentiate two materials depends on the characteristic DE ratio. The difference between the DE number ratios for any two materials is determined by the separation between the low and high energy spectra and the effective atomic numbers of the materials [11].

\[
\text{DE Ratio} = \frac{\text{HU lower kVp}}{\text{HU higher kVp}}
\]

The stone marker was placed on the desired stone which revealed various DE parameters of the stone like HU values at 100/140/mixed image, stone volume, stone size and the most important DE ratio besides giving it a colour for classifying into uric acid (red colour) and non-uric acid (blue colour) stone. The DE ratio was, then, used to classify the stone into uric acid, calcium oxalate, cystine and hydroxyapatite calculi.

**Post-Extraction Analysis Comparison**

Post-extraction chemical analysis of the stones for their composition was conducted by Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) which was used as control for the confirmation of stone composition by dual-source DECT.

**Statistical Methods**

The recorded data were compiled and entered in a spreadsheet (Microsoft Excel) and then, exported to data editor of SPSS- Statistical package for the social sciences Version 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Continuous variables were expressed as Mean ± SD and categorical variables were summarized as frequencies and percentages. Sensitivity, specificity, likelihood ratio, positive and negative predictive values were used to determine the diagnostic accuracy of DECT against post-extraction analysis. Diagnostic accuracy of DECT in differentiating different types of calculi was assessed using Cohen’s kappa agreement. Kappa value of greater than 0.75 represents excellent agreement beyond chance. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results and Discussion**

This prospective study included 50 patients with nephrolithiasis to explore the reliability of DECT in determining the chemical composition of urinary calculi. The most affected age
group included patients at the age of 30-44 years (18 cases) representing 36% of cases. Males were more affected than females, with a male to female ratio of 3:2.

The most common type of calculi in our study population was calcium oxalate stones (39 calculi - 78% of cases) followed by uric acid stones (6 calculi - 12% of cases), cystine stones (3 calculi - 6% of cases) and hydroxyapatite stones (2 calculi - 4% of cases). The results of our study were in agreement with the study conducted by Mangalwati G et al. [12] and Basha M et al. [13]. Table 1 shows age- and gender-wise distribution of various renal stones encountered in the study. In our study, 28 (56%) patients had renal colic as the pre-

Table 1. Age- and gender-wise distribution of various types of stones.

| Age range | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| <30       | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30-44     | 12| 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 45-74     | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Total     | 24| 15| 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 |

Notes: M - Males, F - Females.

dominant symptom; 4 (8%) patients presented with nausea and vomiting; 2 (4%) patients suffered from fever; 16 (32%) patients were diagnosed with haematuria.

Most of the calculi were in the renal calyceal system (40%) followed by the ureters (36%) and the renal pelvis (24%). Calcium oxalate calculi (46%) were predominant in the renal calyceal system, while all the uric acid calculi were found in the ureter (Fig. 1).

A box plot chart depicting the relationship between HU values (at 100 kVp, 140 kVp and mixed kVp) and the type of calculus.

Table 2. Mean attenuation values and range of different types of stones at 100/140 kVp and mixed kVp.

| Type of stone | Mean HU values at: |
|---------------|-------------------|
|               | 100 kVp (range)   | 140 kVp (range) | mixed kVp (range) |
| Calcium       | 1296 (502-1708)   | 909 (356-1197)  | 1104 (427-1467)  |
| Oxalate       | 557 (431-625)     | 553 (439-603)   | 555 (432-601)    |
| Uric Acid     | 480 (236-941)     | 417 (204-784)   | 437 (210-865)    |
| Cystine       | 1210 (827-1593)   | 787 (526-1048)  | 1022 (682-1363)  |
| Hydroxyapatite| (827-1593)        | (526-1048)      | (682-1363)       |

Figure 1. Bar diagram showing the distribution of various calculi based on the location.

The mean volume of calcium oxalate calculi was 1,306 mm³; the mean volume of hydroxyapatite stones was 1,594 mm³ (the highest one); the mean volume of uric acid calculi was 352 mm³; the mean volume of cystine calculi was 152 mm³ (the lowest one.)

Mean attenuation values and range of different types of stones at 100/140 kVp and mixed kVp are shown in Table 2.

A box plot chart depicting the relationship between HU values (at 100 kVp, 140 kVp and mixed kVp) and the type of calculus.
Table 3. Diagnostic accuracy of DECT against post-extraction analysis.

|                         | Calcium oxalate calculi vs non-calcium oxalate calculi | Uric acid calculi vs non-uric acid calculi |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
|                         | Value 95% CI                                           | Value 95% CI                               |
| Sensitivity             | 97.5 86.8-99.9                                        | 100 54.1-100                               |
| Specificity             | 90.9 58.7-99.8                                        | 100 91.9-100                               |
| Positive predictive value | 97.5 86.8-99.9                                      | 100 54.1-100                               |
| Negative predictive value | 90.9 58.7-99.8                                       | 100 91.9-100                               |

Notes: CI - confidence interval.

Figure 3. Diagram (A) and violin plot (B) depicting the mean DE ratio and the DE ratio range of calculi.

Figure 4. Scatter plot displaying the comparative DE ratios of non-uric acid calculi vs uric acid calculi (A), calcium oxalate calculi vs non-calcium oxalate calculi (B).

In our study, the calculus composition was correctly assessed in 48 out of 50 (96% accuracy) patients. Two calculi were misclassified with DECT: one of the calculi was in the renal pelvis of an obese male (calcium oxalate by DECT with the DE ratio of 1.52, chemical composition was determined as hydroxyapatite) and second calculus was small (hydroxyapatite by DECT with the DE ratio of 1.57, chemical composition was determined as calcium oxalate). These findings agreed with the observations of Thomas C et al. [16] who found that DECT was less accurate in characterizing the chemical composition of urinary calculi in obese patients.

Our study showed perfect agreement between DECT and chemical analysis in differentiating uric acid calculi and non-uric acid calculi (p < 0.001 and kappa value = 1.0). Thus, DECT is just as sensitive and accurate as chemical analysis in distinguishing uric acid calculi from non-uric acid calculi. Significant agreement exists between DECT and chemical analyses in terms of differentiating calcium oxalate...
The DECT has been reported as having a near 100% sensitivity and specificity for characterizing the chemical composition of renal stones measuring more than 3 mm [12]. The chemical composition of urinary calculi is a significant factor in predicting the effectiveness of shockwave lithotripsy [17, 18]. Previously, stone analysis required imaging, laboratory, and pathology examinations [19]. Uric acid stone composition is of particular interest to predict as these stones can be treated through alkalinization of the urine [20].

We used a fourth generation 256-slice dual-source dual-energy scanner (SOMATOM Definition Flash; Siemens, Forchheim, Germany). Compared to earlier generation scanners, the use of fourth generation DECT at 100 and 140 kVp in our study improved the classification of urinary calculi due to its better spectral separation hardware and software [21].

The strength of the current study is its prospective design that removes the selection bias of a retrospective study.
Conclusions

DECT is highly sensitive in the differentiation of calcium oxalate calculi from non-calcium oxalate calculi (sensitivity and specificity of 97.5% and 90.9%, respectively) and uric acid calculi from non-uric acid calculi (sensitivity and specificity of 100%). DECT is just as sensitive and accurate as chemical analysis in distinguishing uric acid calculi from non-uric acid calculi; thus, DECT can replace chemical analysis as a means of differentiating between uric acid calculi from non-uric acid calculi in the future which will aid in choosing the appropriate management strategy as uric acid calculi are amenable to drug treatment, while most of non-uric acid calculi require surgical intervention.

Limitations

Current limitation of DECT includes lesser efficacy in characterizing small stones measuring < 3 mm; however, it has been found that such stones tend to pass spontaneously in majority of cases [22]. Radiation exposure is a concern that can be limited with radiation protection strategies and focused scanning. Before DECT, it is recommended to start with a low-dose abdominal CT scan to locate the stone. Respiratory movements are another limitation that requires the patient’s full co-operation during the procedure.

Apart from DECT, there isn’t any other non-invasive modality which can provide the accurate chemical composition of renal calculi preoperatively.
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