Transcriptomic Analysis of Leaf in Tree Peony Reveals Differentially Expressed Pigments Genes
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Abstract: Tree peony (Paeonia suffruticosa Andrews) is an important traditional flower in China. Besides its beautiful flower, the leaf of tree peony has also good ornamental value owing to its leaf color change in spring. So far, the molecular mechanism of leaf color change in tree peony is unclear. In this study, the pigment level and transcriptome of three different color stages of tree peony leaf were analyzed. The purplish red leaf was rich in anthocyanin, while yellowish green leaf was rich in chlorophyll and carotenoid. Transcriptome analysis revealed that 4302 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were upregulated, and 4225 were downregulated in the purplish red leaf vs. yellowish green leaf. Among these DEGs, eight genes were predicted to participate in anthocyanin biosynthesis, eight genes were predicted involved in porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism, and 10 genes were predicted to participate in carotenoid metabolism. In addition, 27 MYBs, 20 bHLHs, 36 WD40 genes were also identified from DEGs. Anthocyanidin synthase (ANS) is the key gene that controls the anthocyanin level in tree peony leaf. Protochlorophyllide oxido-reductase (POR) is the key gene which regulated the chlorophyll content in tree peony leaf.
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1. Introduction

Tree peony (Paeonia suffruticosa Andr.) is a woody shrub of the genus Paeonia and family Paeoniaceae, and is a traditional ornamental flower because of its large, showy, and colorful flowers [1]. Besides its beautiful flower, the leaf of tree peony has also good ornamental value owing to its leaf color change in spring.

Coloration of plant organs such as flowers, fruit and leaves is governed by pigments in plants [2]. There are four main classes of pigments for coloration in plants: flavonoids/anthocyanins, chlorophylls, carotenoids, and betalains [3]. Flavonoids, particularly anthocyanins, acting as major pigments that responsible for the orange to blue colors found in many plant flowers, leaves, fruits, seeds, and other tissues [2–4]. Chlorophylls including chlorophyll a and chlorophyll b, the photosynthetic pigments that play essential roles in plant photosynthesis, are main pigments in plant green leaf [5]. Carotenoid belong to the isoprenoid pigments, which are usually responsible for yellow-orange colors [6,7]. Carotenoids are also photosynthetic pigments that usually exist in plant leaf with chlorophylls. Betalains are the yellow and violet pigments belong to the order Caryophyllales, and they only exist in very few plants such as Beta vulgaris and Suaeda salsa [8,9]. In plants, anthocyanins and betalains are usually mutual exclusive, which are never found together in the same plant [10].

Prior to advancements in this field, researchers mainly focused on floral flavonoid/anthocyanin pigment components detection [11–13], or flavonoid/anthocyanin genes of petal analysis in tree peony [14].
peony [14–17]. As far as we know, there has been no report on leaf pigments components detection and related gene analysis in tree peony. In the absence of a complete genome sequence in tree peony, transcriptomic analysis is an effective method for gaining insights into differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between different color leaves. Transcriptome sequencing of the different leaf color stages of tree peony will provide useful insights into the molecular mechanisms of tree peony leaf color changes in spring. Therefore, the leaf pigment levels of different stages in tree peony was analyzed. The different leaf color stage transcriptomes were compared, and the DEGs were filtered. Our main objective was to annotate and analyze the DEGs involved in flavonoid/anthocyanin biosynthesis, chlorophyll and carotenoid biosynthesis to identify the candidate genes responsible for tree peony purplish red leaf in spring.

2. Results

2.1. Pigments Level in the Leaf of Three Developmental Stages in Tree Peony

In spring, as the tree peony leaf developed two major physiological changes, color fading and yellowish greening were visually apparent (Figure 1a). The tree peony leaf color was purplish red at S1, then changed to half purplish red and half yellowish green at S2, finally yellowish green at S3. As shown in Figure 1b, the anthocyanin contents were decreased from S1 to S3. While the total flavonoid, carotenoid, and chlorophyll contents were increased from S1 to S3. These indicated that the change in leaf color phenotypes of tree peony ‘Man Yuan Chun Guang’ was mainly caused by the decrease of anthocyanin content and increase of chlorophyll and carotenoid.

![Figure 1](image_url)
2.2. Library Construction and Transcriptome Sequencing

To understand the molecular basis of leaf color change in tree peony, the leaves of S1, S2, and S3 were used to build three libraries for high-throughput sequencing. 64,530,684; 67,008,409; and 69,283,538 raw reads were obtained from S1, S2, and S3 sequencing libraries, respectively. After removal of adaptor sequences, ambiguous reads, and low-quality reads, 62,835,627; 65,363,790; and 69,283,538 high quality clean reads comprising 9.43, 9.80, 10.15 Gb nucleotides with Q20 > 98% were generated from S1, S2, and S3 transcriptome sequencing. In total, 53,323 unigenes with an N50 of 1215 were generated by Trinity 2.1.1 (Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA). The min length, max length and average length of these unigenes were 201, 13121, and 801 nt, respectively. The size distributions of these unigenes are shown in Supplementary Materials Figure S1.

2.3. De Novo Assembly and Gene Annotation

A total of 28,439 unigenes (53.33% of all 53,323 cleaned unigenes) were annotated based on BLAST+ 2.4.0 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/) (E-value < 1 × 10\(^{-5}\)) searches of four public databases. Among them, 28,296 unigenes (53.06%); 20,710 (38.84%); 17,537 (32.89%); 11,204 (21.01%) could be annotated to the NCBI nr database released 31 August 2016 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), the Swiss-Prot protein database (http://www.expasy.ch/sprot), the COG/KOG databases (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/COG), and the KEGG database (http://www.genome.jp/kegg), respectively (Figure 2a). Based on the NCBI nr annotations, 45.04% of the annotated sequences had very strong homology (E-value ≤ 1 × 10\(^{-20}\)), an additional 17.42% showed homology (1 × 10\(^{-20}\) < E-value ≤ 1 × 10\(^{-5}\)) to available plant sequences (Figure 2b). 7251 unique sequences had top matches to sequences from *Vitis vinifera*, and followed by *Theobroma cacao* (2549), *Nelumbo nucifera* (1577), *Prunus mume* (1322), *Jatropha curcas* (1307), *Gossypium arboresum* (1023), *Populus euphratica* (898), *Brassica napus* (861), *Citrus sinensis* (825), and *Medicago truncatula* (809) (Figure 2c).

![Figure 2](image-url) 
*Figure 2.* Outcome of homology search of tree peony unigenes against the Nr database. (a): Venn diagram of unigene numbers annotated by BLASTx with an E-value threshold of 1 × 10\(^{-5}\) against protein databases. The numbers in the circles indicate unigenes numbers annotated by single or multiple databases; (b): E-value distribution of the top BLAST hits for each unigene sequence; (c): Species distribution of the top BLAST hits for all homologous sequences.
2.4. Identification and Analysis of DEGs between Three Leaf Color Stages

The thresholds false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05 and absolute value of log2 ratio > 1 were used to judge the significance of the DEGs at three leaf color stages (S1 vs. S2, S2 vs. S3, S1 vs. S3). There were 797 DEGs between S1 and S2 libraries, in which 575 unigenes were significantly upregulated and 222 unigenes were downregulated. A total of 7587 DEGs were found between S2 and S3 libraries, with 3627 downregulated and 3960 upregulated. Finally, the greatest number of differentially expressed genes (8527 DEGs) occurred between S1 and S3 libraries, with 4225 downregulated and 4302 upregulated (Figure 3). Therefore, DEGs between S1 and S3 libraries were used for further analysis.

![Figure 3. Differential gene expression profiles based on the library of the three leaf stages.](image)

Among those differentially expressed genes with a KEGG pathway annotation released 1 July 2016 (http://www.genome.jp/kegg), 1436 DEGs in S1 vs. S3 library were mapped to 121 pathways (Table S1). Since plant leaf pigment was mainly correlated with the accumulation of anthocyanin, chlorophyll, and carotenoid, we were interested in these pigments biosynthesis pathway. Seven pathways including ‘phenylpropanoid biosynthesis’ (44 DEGs, ko00940), ‘flavonoid biosynthesis’ (8 DEGs, ko00941), ‘flavone and flavonol biosynthesis’ (1 DEGs, ko00944), ‘anthocyanin biosynthesis’ (2 DEGs, ko00942), ‘carotenoid biosynthesis’ (13 DEGs, ko00942), ‘isoflavonoid biosynthesis’ (3 DEGs, ko00943), and ‘porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism’ (4 DEGs, ko00860) were identified which were related to plant organ color development. In addition, there were six gluclide metabolic pathways, including ‘starch and sucrose metabolism’ (63 DEGs, ko00500), ‘glycosaminoglycan degradation’ (1 DEG, ko00531), ‘amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism’ (28 DEGs, ko00520), ‘pentose and glucuronate interconversions’ (21 DEGs, ko00520), ‘fructose and mannose metabolism’ (23 DEGs, ko00551), and ‘glycolysis/gluconeogenesis’ (42 DEGs, ko00010). All of these metabolic pathways may relate to the synthesis of substrates for anthocyanin biosynthesis.

2.5. Annotation of DEGs Probably Involved in Flavonoid/Anthocyanin Biosynthesis

By annotation in public database, eight DEGs were predicted to participate in flavonoid/anthocyanin biosynthesis pathway (Table 1). Among them, Unigene0034354, Unigene0040930, Unigene0046696, and Unigene0028008 were annotated as flavone 3-hydroxylase (F3H), flavonoid 3’-hydroxylase (F3’H), dihydroflavonol 4-reductase (DFR), and anthocyanidin synthase (ANS), respectively. They were the same genes with that reported previously in tree peony [14]. Unigene004270 has 59% amino acid similarity with chalcone isomerase (CHI) (JN119872) in herbaceous peony, Unigene0018412 was annotated as hydroxycinnamoyl transferase (HCT), encoding HCT which catalyze p-coumaroyl-CoA into caffeoyl-CoA that is continually converted to eriodictyol (a flavanone).
Unigene0036137 and Unigene0052871 were annotated as UDP-glycosyltransferase and glucoside glucosyltransferase, these two genes were related with anthocyanin glycosylation.

### Table 1. Putative anthocyanin structural genes identified from differentially expressed genes.

| GeneID             | log2 Ratio (S3/S1) | FDR        | Annotation                      |
|--------------------|--------------------|------------|---------------------------------|
| Unigene0004270    | 6.88               | 1.17 × 10⁻⁹| Chalcone isomerase              |
| Unigene0018412    | 2.90               | 0.007807   | Shikimate hydroxycinnamoyltransferase |
| Unigene0034354    | −1.49              | 0.000353   | Flavanone 3-hydroxylase         |
| Unigene0040930    | −1.58              | 0.000112   | Flavonoid 3'-hydroxylase        |
| Unigene0046696    | −1.65              | 0.000548   | Dihydroflavonol 4-reductase     |
| Unigene0028008    | −3.40              | 6.79 × 10⁻¹²| Anthocyanidin synthase          |
| Unigene0036137    | −1.06              | 0.023175   | UDP-glycosyltransferase         |
| Unigene0052871    | 1.90               | 0.001475   | Glucoside glucosyltransferase   |

* Data were the mean value of two biological replicates.

In anthocyanin biosynthesis pathway, the spatial and temporal expression of structural genes such as F3H, DFR, and ANS is usually controlled by transcription factors from MYB, bHLH, and WD40 families [18–21]. In our study 27 MYBs, 20 bHLHs, and 36 WD40 were identified from DEGs (Table 2). Among these transcription factors, 15 MYBs, 15 bHLHs, and 29 WD40 were upregulated in purplish red leaf. It indicated that these upregulation transcription factors were positively related with anthocyanin level in tree peony leaf.

### Table 2. Differentially expressed genes in transcription factors of anthocyanin biosynthesis.

| GeneID             | log2 Ratio (S3/S1) | FDR        | Annotation                      |
|--------------------|--------------------|------------|---------------------------------|
| Unigene0006988    | −10.28             | 0.002581722| Transcription factor MYB9 [Aegilops tauschii] |
| Unigene0028536    | −4.40              | 3.34 × 10⁻¹²| MYB-like protein X-like [Citrus sinensis] |
| Unigene0033348    | −3.43              | 3.58 × 10⁻⁶ | MYB domain protein 106 [Theobroma cacao] |
| Unigene0019117    | −3.15              | 5.67 × 10⁻⁶ | MYB domain protein 17 isoform 1 [Theobroma cacao] |
| Unigene0015077    | −2.98              | 1.59 × 10⁻⁹ | MYB-related protein 3R-1-like isoform X1 [Vitis vinifera] |
| Unigene0025015    | −2.92              | 3.02 × 10⁻⁹ | Transcription factor MYB90 [Vitis vinifera] |
| Unigene0015076    | −2.77              | 3.04 × 10⁻⁷ | MYB transcription factor family [Populus trichocarpa] |
| Unigene0017724    | −2.73              | 0.008752272| MYB-related protein 3R-1-like isoform X1 [Vitis vinifera] |
| Unigene0023351    | −2.67              | 0.005438748| MYB-related protein A-like [Populus euphratica] |
| Unigene0030954    | −2.57              | 0.007298376| MYB-related protein 3R-1-like isoform X1 [Vitis vinifera] |
| Unigene0040613    | −2.57              | 0.000166857| MYB family transcription factor isoform X2 [Vitis vinifera] |
| Unigene0031865    | −2.41              | 3.11 × 10⁻⁷ | MYB family transcription factor family [Populus trichocarpa] |
| Unigene0023350    | −2.09              | 0.006013724| MYB-related protein 3R-1-like [Prunus mume] |
| Unigene0003975    | −1.60              | 0.000354814| Transcription factor MYB1R1-like [Citrus sinensis] |
| Unigene0031901    | −1.19              | 0.032182662| MYB DNA-binding domain protein [Theobroma cacao] |
| Unigene0017529    | 1.10               | 0.010340177| MYB transcription factor family [Populus trichocarpa] |
| Unigene0022785    | 1.32               | 0.041043118| MYB transcription factor family [Populus trichocarpa] |
| Unigene0030933    | 1.48               | 0.01321785 | MYB-related protein 308-like [Populus euphratica] |
| Unigene0003846    | 1.57               | 0.02708583 | MYB transcription factor family [Populus trichocarpa] |
| Unigene0030228    | 2.00               | 0.009708022| MYB-like protein [Malus domestica] |
| Unigene0021304    | 2.21               | 0.028027338| Transcription factor MYB59 [Vitis vinifera] |
| Unigene009655     | 2.25               | 0.000336502| MYB transcription factor family [Populus trichocarpa] |
| Unigene0018495    | 2.27               | 0.000606996| Transcription factor MYB82 [Solanum lycopersicum] |
| Unigene0016379    | 2.49               | 0.000248643| MYB family transcription factor APL [Prunus mume] |
| Unigene0013255    | 2.87               | 0.002952733| R2R3 MYB6a transcription factor splice variant 1 [Vitis vinifera] |
| Unigene0018284    | 3.07               | 0.002052337| MYB family transcription factor A1g14600 [Vitis vinifera] |
| Unigene0029454    | 3.13               | 6.71 × 10⁻⁶ | MYB-like transcription factor [Betula luminifera] |
| Unigene0017856    | −6.92              | 2.88 × 10⁻⁷ | Transcription factor bHLH194-like [Nelumbo nucifera] |
| Unigene0011325    | −3.98              | 0.001209742| bHLH family protein [Populus trichocarpa] |
| Unigene0011327    | −3.79              | 0.000339255| bHLH family protein [Populus trichocarpa] |
| Unigene0001264    | −3.48              | 0.000243391| bHLH family protein [Populus trichocarpa] |
| Unigene0023425    | −3.26              | 6.47 × 10⁻⁹ | Transcription factor bHLH118-like [Jatropha curcas] |
| Unigene0013501    | −2.60              | 0.030369252| Transcription factor bHLH193 [Vitis vinifera] |
| Unigene0026438    | −2.44              | 0.000225777| Transcription factor bHLH70 isoform X2 [Vitis vinifera] |
| Unigene0007075    | −2.32              | 0.000257732| Transcription factor bHLH30-like [Jatropha curcas] |
| Unigene0031959    | −2.14              | 0.000138867| Transcription factor bHLH66-like isoform X4 [Vitis vinifera] |
Four DEGs involved in porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism between S1 and S3 were identified, while in S2 vs. S3, eight DEGs involved in porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism were found. Therefore, these eight DEGs involved in porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism in S2 vs. S3 were used for further analysis (Table 3). As shown in Table 3, Unigene0031269, Unigene0022913, Unigene0032398, Unigene0036679, Unigene0026448, and Unigene0047139 showed higher transcript abundance in S3 compared with S2, while the expression level of Unigene0045551 and Unigene0039869 were lower in S3 than in S2. It implied that the expression of Unigene0031269, Unigene0022913, Unigene0032398, Unigene0036679, Unigene0026448, and Unigene0047139 have a positive role in chlorophyll accumulation. According to the public database, Unigene0031269, Unigene0022913, Unigene0032398, Unigene0036679, Unigene0026448, and Unigene0047139 were annotated as Mg-protoporphyrinogen IX monomethylester cyclase gene (CRD1), divinyl reductase...
gene (DVR), protochlorophyllide oxidoreductase gene (POR) [22], chlorophyllide a oxygenase gene (CAO), protoheme ferro-lyase gene (HEMH), and pheophorbide a oxygenase gene (PAO).

### Table 3. Putative chlorophyll genes identified from differentially expressed genes.

| GeneID        | log2 Ratio (S3/S2) | FDR       | Annotation                                      |
|---------------|--------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Unigene0031269| 1.94               | 0.03382   | Mg-protoporphyrinogen IX monomethylester        |
| Unigene0022913| 1.02               | 0.047351  | Divinyl reductase                               |
| Unigene0036679| 1.03               | 0.033455  | Chlorophyllide a oxygenase                      |
| Unigene0045551| −1.42              | 0.009836  | Glutamyl-tRNA synthetase                        |
| Unigene0032398| 1.09               | 0.02502   | Protochlorophyllide oxidoreductase              |
| Unigene0039869| −5.47              | 6.00 × 10^{-16} | Geranylgeranyl reductase                |
| Unigene0047139| 1.40               | 0.003807  | Pheophorbide a oxygenase                        |
| Unigene0026448| 1.32               | 0.019098  | Protoheme ferro-lyase                           |

* Data were the mean value of two biological replicates.

### 2.7. Annotation of DEGs Probably Involved in Carotenoid Biosynthesis

In addition, 10 DEGs were predicted to participate in carotenoid biosynthesis metabolism by annotation in public database (Table 4). Unigene0029992, Unigene0030040, Unigene0039699, Unigene0025170, Unigene0013558, Unigene0023761, Unigene0016440, Unigene0032549, Unigene0027491, and Unigene0051809 were annotated as phytoene synthase (PSY), phytoene desaturase (PDS), ζ-carotene desaturase (ZDS), β-carotene isomerase (B-ISO), violaxanthin de-epoxidase (VDE), lycopene β cyclase (LCYB), lycopene epsilon cyclase (LCYE), β-carotene hydroxylase (CHYB), zeaxanthin epoxidase (ZEP), and carotenoid cleavage dioxygenase (NECD) [7,23,24]. All of these genes were upregulated in S3 stage than in S1 according to RNA-Seq data. In real-time quantitative PCR, these 10 Unigenes were also expressed higher in S3 than in S1 (Figure 4c).

![Figure 4.](image-url) The expression patterns of DEGs involved in anthocyanin (a), chlorophyll (b), and carotenoid (c) biosynthesis revealed by real-time quantitative PCR.
Table 4. Putative carotenoids genes identified from differentially expressed genes.

| GeneID         | log2 Ratio (S3/S1) | FDR                | Annotation                                      |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Unigene0029992 | 2.07              | $2.10 \times 10^{-7}$ | Phytoene synthase 2, chloroplastic-like          |
| Unigene0030040 | 1.77              | $3.96 \times 10^{-5}$ | Phytoene desaturase, partial                     |
| Unigene0025170 | 2.28              | $4.78 \times 10^{-5}$ | Beta-carotene isomerase D27                      |
| Unigene0039699 | 1.71              | $3.42 \times 10^{-5}$ | Zeta-carotene desaturase                         |
| Unigene0013588 | 1.99              | $3.48 \times 10^{-7}$ | Violaxanthin de-epoxidase, chloroplastic         |
| Unigene0023761 | 1.08              | 0.014273           | Lycopene beta cyclase, chloroplastic            |
| Unigene0016440 | 1.38              | 0.000987           | Lycopene epsilon cyclase                         |
| Unigene0032549 | 2.55              | 0.013492           | Beta-carotene hydroxylase, partial               |
| Unigene0027491 | 1.60              | $5.36 \times 10^{-5}$ | Zeaxanthin epoxidase, partial                    |
| Unigene0051809 | 2.88              | $2.71 \times 10^{-13}$ | Carotenoid cleavage dioxygenase                  |

* Data were the mean value of two biological replicates.

2.8. Real-Time Quantitative PCR Analysis of the DEGs Involved in Pigment Biosynthesis

To test the reliability of the RNA-Seq data, 20 DEGs participated in tree peony leaf pigments biosynthesis including 6 DEGs involved in anthocyanin biosynthesis, 4 DEGs involved in chlorophyll biosynthesis, and 10 DEGs involved in carotenoid biosynthesis were selected for real-time quantitative PCR (q-PCR) analysis. Unigene0020903 (ubiquitin) was used as internal control. The expression patterns revealed by q-PCR analysis were similar to those revealed by RNA-Seq for the same genes (Figure 4).

3. Discussion

Non-green leaves occur in many plants which are very popular in modern landscape garden with its unique ornamental values. Prior to the previous reports, there are three main pigments responsible for non-green leaves. Anthocyanidin accumulation was a major reason for the formation of red color leaves [25–27]. Carotenoid was usually responsible for the formation of yellow color [6,28]. Chlorophyll is mainly responsible for the formation of green color [28,29]. High concentrations of chlorophylls can mask the red or yellow color that is provided by anthocyanin or carotenoid [29,30]. In the present study, leaf color altered from purplish red to yellowish green complied with leaf development of tree peony. The anthocyanidins level of the purplish red leaf was significantly higher than that of yellowish green leaf, while chlorophylls and carotenoid level of purplish red leaf was significantly lower than that of the yellowish green leaf. These results indicated that purplish red leaf in tree peony could be attributed to anthocyanidin accumulation. The decrease of anthocyanidin, the increase of chlorophyll and carotenoid were mainly responsible for the alteration from purplish red to yellowish green of tree peony leaf in spring.

According to RNA-Seq data, four anthocyanin structural genes including $F3H$, $F3'H$, $DFR$ and $ANS$ were upregulated in the purplish red leaf and downregulated in the yellowish green leaf. While another two anthocyanin structural genes ($CHI$ and $HCT$) were downregulated in the purplish red leaf and upregulated in the yellowish green leaf. Which were similar to those revealed by q-PCR analysis for the same genes. $CHI$ is the enzyme that catalyze $2',4',4',6'-$tetrahydroxy chalcone form to naringenin (a flavanone). $HCT$ can catalyze p-Coumaroyl-CoA produce Caffeoyl-CoA, then synthesize eriodictyol (a flavanone). $F3H$ belongs to the OGD family, which catalyzes naringenin yield dihydrokaempferol. Dihydrokaempferol is catalyzed by $F3'H$ to produce dihydroquercetin [3]. Dihydrokaempferol and dihydroquercetin are catalyzed by $DFR$ to form leucoanthocyanins, and then generate colored anthocyanidins catalyzed by $ANS$ [3]. High expression of $CHI$ and $HCT$ can produced more flavones, while anthocyanin production can be restrained by low expression of $F3H$, $F3'H$, $DFR$, and $ANS$. Owing to higher expression of $CHI$, $HCT$, and lower expression of $F3H$, $F3'H$, $DFR$, $ANS$ in yellowish green leaf caused the higher accumulation of non-anthocyanin flavonoids (flavones or flavonols), which was verified by leaf pigment level detection (Figure 2). The pigment level analysis showed that anthocyanin
content in leaf was consistent with the trend of leaf color variance. Therefore, co-expression of F3H, F3′H, DFR, and ANS was responsible for red pigmentation in the leaf of *Paeonia suffruticosa* ‘Man Yuan Chun Guang’.

*CHI* is an upstream gene in anthocyanin biosynthesis that controls conversion of chalcones to (2S)-naringenin [3]. In this study, we found that *CHI* showed very higher expression level in yellowish green leaf stage compared with purplish red leaf stage. In *P. suffruticosa* ‘Luoyang Hong’, the expression level of F3H, F3′H, DFR, and ANS was higher in red petal, while the expression level of *CHI* in red petal was the lowest [14]. The similar expression pattern of *CHI* was also found in *P. lactiflora* [31]. While in the leaf of *Ginkgo biloba*, an organ lacking anthocyanin, the highly expression level of *CHI* was detected [32]. Li et al. (2006) reported that transgenic tobacco plants over-expressing sense Asteraceae *CHI* appeared normal in flower color along with an elevated accumulation of flavonoids, but not anthocyanin [33]. In addition, over-expression of *CHI* from tree peony can increased the level of total flavones and flavonols but reduced the intensity of flower pigmentation in transgenic tobacco [16]. The expression of *CHI* not only involved in anthocyanin biosynthesis, but also other secondary metabolites biosynthesis, such as flavones and flavonols [3]. All of these indicated that *CHI* is the key gene affecting the accumulation of flavonoids in plant, but not anthocyanin.

*ANS* is a downstream gene in anthocyanin biosynthesis, which encoded anthocyanidin synthase that catalyzes the oxidation of the colorless leucoanthocyanidin to the corresponding colored anthocyanin [3]. In the present study, the expression level of *ANS* was 6.43-fold higher in purplish red leaf vs. yellowish green leaf, which was significantly higher than other three candidate structural genes of anthocyanin biosynthesis (Figure 4a), suggesting that *ANS* could be the key gene of anthocyanin accumulation in tree peony leaf. In herbaceous peony, *ANS* had the highest levels in red flower cultivar and the lowest levels in white flower cultivar, which resulted in the shift from white to pink and red in flowers [4]. In five cultivars of sweet potato, *ANS* expression was strongly associated with anthocyanin accumulation [34]. The similar result was also found in *Chrysanthemum grandiflorum* [35] and *Malus* sp. [36]. In addition, the silencing of *ANS* in red colored apple cultivar caused the shift of red leaf to green leaf, suggesting that anthocyanin biosynthesis was almost completely blocked [37]. All these implied that *ANS* was the key gene affecting the accumulation of anthocyanin in plant.

In our present study, eight DEGs (Unigene0045551, Unigene0031269, Unigene0022913, Unigene0032398, Unigene0036679, Unigene0039869, Unigene0026448, and Unigene0047139) potentially involved in porphyrin and chlorophyll biosynthesis were found and analyzed (Table 3). Among these genes, Unigene0031269, Unigene0022913, Unigene0032398, Unigene0036679, Unigene0026448, and Unigene0047139 showed higher transcript abundance in green leaf which has higher chlorophyll level compared with purplish red leaf (Table 3). It seemed that these six genes play positive role in chlorophyll accumulation in tree peony leaf. However, Unigene0026448 was annotated as HEMH, which encoded protoheme ferro-lyase that catalyzed protoporphyrin IX into protoheme, which will cause the decrease of protoporphyrin IX, an important intermediate product of chlorophyll biosynthesis [38]. Unigene0047139 was annotated as PAO, which will cause the opening of the chlorine macrocycle present in chlorophyll, and finally chlorophyll is broken down to colorless linear tetrapyrroles [29,39]. Therefore, Unigene0026448 and Unigene0047139 were not likely the key genes that affect chlorophyll level in tree peony leaf. Unigene0031269 (CRD1) encoded Mg-protoporphyrinogen IX monomethylester cyclase that catalyze Mg-protoporphyrin IX monomethylester formed into divinyl protochlorophyllide. Unigene0022913 (DVR) encoded divinyl reductase which catalyze divinyl protochlorophyllide produced protochlorophyllide. Unigene0032398 encoded protochlorophyllide oxidoreductase which can catalyze protochlorophyllide into chlorophyllide a or catalyze divinyl protochlorophyllide into divinyl chlorophyllide a depending on the availability of substrates [38]. Unigene0036679 (CAO) encoded chlorophyllide a oxygenase which catalyze chlorophyllide a formed to chlorophyllide b. q-PCR data shows that Unigene0031269, Unigene0022913, and Unigene0032398 were expressed higher level in the green leaf compared with the purplish red leaf, especially Unigene0032398 (POR) was expressed very highly level (44.82-fold higher).
in the green leaf (Figure 4b). These indicated that POR was the key gene affecting chlorophyll level in tree peony leaf.

In the present study, 10 DEGs were predicted to participate in carotenoid biosynthesis metabolism in the tree peony leaf (Table 4). According to RNA-Seq data (Table 4) and q-PCR (Figure 4c), these 10 DEGs were all expressed higher in S3 stage which has higher carotenoid content than in S1. It seemed that these genes all play positive role in carotenoid accumulation in tree peony leaf. However, Unigene0051809 was annotated as carotenoid cleavage dioxygenase gene that can coded 9-cisepoxycarotenoid dioxygenase (NCED), which catalyze 9-cis-violaxanthin and 9-cis-neoxanthin cleaved to xanthoxin, the precursor of abscisic acid, (ABA) [3], thus caused the decrease of carotenoid accumulation. Therefore, Unigene0051809 (NCED) was not likely the key gene that affect carotenoid level in tree peony leaf.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Plant Material

_Paeonia suffruticosa_ Andr. ‘Man Yuan Chun Guang’ plants were grown under field conditions in Northwest A&F University, Yangling Shaanxi, China. Leaf samples were collected at three different leaf color stages (S1, S2, S3) in the morning during the March and April in 2016 (Figure 1a). In order to avoid the effect of other organisms (arthropods, bacteria, fungi, etc.) on gene expression, only healthy, undiseased and non pest injured leaves were collected. All samples were collected from the same plant and washed three times with distilled water, and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, then stored at −80 °C for RNA extraction, and flavonoid/anthocyanin, chlorophyll, and carotenoid analysis.

4.2. Measurement of Leaf Pigments

4.2.1. Anthocyanin Level Measurement

Freeze-dried leaves were finely ground and 0.5 g was extracted with 15 mL acidic methanol (0.1% hydrochloric acid) at 4 °C in darkness for 24 h, then suspended by ultrasound for 1 h. After centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 1 min, the supernatant was filtered using a 0.22 μm membrane filter. The absorbance was read at 530 nm using a UV–Vis spectrophotometer (UV-3802, Unico, Suite E Dayton, OH, USA). A standard calibration curve was plotted using cyanidin-3-O-glucoside (Cy3G). The values were normalized to the dry weight of each sample to indicate anthocyanin level.

4.2.2. Flavonoid Level Measurement

Freeze-dried leaves were finely ground and 0.5 g was extracted with 15 mL methanol at 4 °C in darkness for 24 h, then suspended by ultrasound for 1 h. After centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 1 min, the supernatant was filtered using a 0.22 μm membrane filter. 2 mL supernatant, 2 mL 1.5% AlCl_3, 3 mL 1 M Acetic acid sodium acetate (pH 5.0) were mixed and keep the volume to 10 mL. After incubation for 10 min, the absorbance was read at 415 nm using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer. A standard calibration curve was plotted using rutin. The values were normalized to the dry weight of each sample to indicate total flavonoid level.

4.2.3. Carotenoid Level Measurement

Freeze-dried leaves were finely ground and 0.5 g was extracted with 15 mL petroleum ether at 4 °C in darkness for 24 h, then suspended by ultrasound for 1 h. After centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 1 min, the supernatant was filtered using a 0.22 μm membrane filter. The absorbance was read at 440 nm using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer. A standard calibration curve was plotted using β-carotene. The values were normalized to the dry weight of each sample to indicate carotenoid level.
4.2.4. Chlorophyll Level Measurement

Freeze-dried leaves were finely ground and 0.5 g was extracted with 15 mL 80% acetone at 4 °C in darkness for 24 h, then suspended by ultrasound for 1 h. After centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 1 min, the supernatant was filtered using a 0.22 µm membrane filter. Chlorophyll level was determined according to the protocol of Arnon.

Three biological replicates were performed for the above pigments measurement.

4.3. RNA Extraction, Library Construction, and Transcriptome Sequencing

Total RNA was extracted using the modified CTAB method. RNase-free DNase I (Tiangen; Beijing, China) was applied to remove contaminating genomic DNA. The RNA purity was determined with a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies; Wilmington, DE, USA), and 2% agarose gels were run to verify RNA integrity. The construction of the libraries and the RNA-Seq were performed by the Gene Denovo Corporation (Guangzhou, China). mRNA was enriched with oligo (dT)-rich magnetic beads. Then the enriched mRNA was fragmented into short fragments using fragmentation buffer and reverse transcribed into cDNA with random primers. Second-strand cDNA were synthesized by DNA polymerase I, RNase H, dNTP, and buffer. Then the cDNA fragments were purified with QiaQuick PCR extraction kit, end repaired, poly(A) added, and ligated to Illumina sequencing adapters. The ligation products were size selected by agarose gel electrophoresis, PCR amplified, and sequenced using Illumina HiSeq™ 4000 by Gene Denovo Biotechnology Co. Two biological replicates were used in this section.

4.4. De Novo Assembly and Gene Annotation

The raw reads (which has be uploaded in the NCBI’s Sequence Read Archive, SRP095498) were first filtered by removing adaptor sequences, reads containing more than 10% of unknown nucleotides (N) and low quality reads containing more than 50% of low quality (Q-value ≤ 10) bases using Perl script. The remaining high-quality reads were assembled de novo using Trinity 2.1.1. In assembly, the reads from all three libraries were combined, and then ran Trinity. The longest transcript (from alternative splicing transcripts) was selected as the unigene in this study.

To annotate the unigenes, we used BLAST + 2.4.0 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/) with an E-value threshold of $1 \times 10^{-5}$ to NCBI non-redundant protein (Nr) database released 31 August 2016 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), the Swiss-Prot protein database (http://www.expasy.ch/sprot), the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database released 1 July 2016 (http://www.genome.jp/kegg), and the COG/KOG database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/COG). Protein functional annotations could then be obtained according to the best alignment results.

4.5. Identification and Analysis of DEGs

The unigene expression was calculated and normalized to RPKM (Reads Per kb per Million reads) [41]. To identify differentially expressed genes across samples, the edgeR package (http://www.r-project.org/) was used. We identified genes with a fold change ≥ 2 and a false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05 in a comparison as significant DEGs. The confirmed DEGs were subjected to GO functional enrichment analysis and KEGG pathway analysis. Then, based on KEGG pathway analysis, the DEGs involved in leaf coloration were screened for up/downregulated unigenes, and used for further analysis.

4.6. Real-Time Quantitative PCR Analysis

Total RNA was extracted from leaves in S1 and S3 stages, respectively. After treatment with RNase-free DNase I (Tiangen; Beijing, China) according to the user manual, 1 μg of total RNA was reverse-transcribed to first-strand cDNA using the PrimeScript RT reagent kit (Takara; Otsu, Japan). Real-time quantitative PCR (q-PCR) experiments was performed using SYBR Premix Ex Taq (Takara) on
ABI 7500 system (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA). Primers used are listed in Table S2. Initial denaturation at 95 °C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation for 15 s at 95 °C, annealing for 30 s at 60 °C, and extension for 15 s at 72 °C, followed by a final extension for 15 s at 95 °C. The ubiquitin (Unigene0020903) was used to normalized the q-PCR data [42]. The relative expression levels of genes were calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method. The statistical $p$-value was generated by the paired t-test. The statistical significance was defined as $p < 0.05$. Three biological replicates were performed for each gene.

5. Conclusions

Our results indicate that ANS was the key genes that control the anthocyanin level in tree peony leaf. POR was the key gene which regulated the chlorophyll content in tree peony leaf.

Supplementary Materials: Supplementary materials are available online.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by supported by the Special Fund for Forest Scientific Research in the Public Welfare (201407401) and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2452015040).

Author Contributions: Yanlong Zhang and Lixin Niu designed the experiments; Jianrang Luo performed the experiments and wrote the paper; Jianrang Luo and Qianqian Shi analyzed the data. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References
1. Ji, L.; Wang, Q.; da Silva, J.A.T.; Yu, X.N. The genetic diversity of Paeonia L. Sci. Hortic. 2012, 143, 62–74. [CrossRef]
2. Grotewold, E. The genetics and biochemistry of floral pigments. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 2006, 57, 761–780. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
3. Tanaka, Y.; Sasaki, N.; Ohmiya, A. Biosynthesis of plant pigments: Anthocyanin, betalains and carotenoid. Plant J. 2008, 54, 733–749. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Zhao, D.Q.; Wei, M.R.; Liu, D.; Tao, J. Anatomical and biochemical analysis reveal the role of anthocyanin in flower coloration of herbaceous peony. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 2016, 102, 97–106. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
5. Li, S.S.; Li, Q.Z.; Rong, L.P.; Tang, L.; Wang, J.J.; Zhang, B. Analysis of the transcriptome of green and mutant golden-yellow leaves of Acer palmatum Thunb. using high-throughput RNA sequencing. J. Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol. 2015, 90, 388–394. [CrossRef]
6. Fambrini, M.; Michelotti, V.; Pugliesi, C. Orange, yellow and white-cream: inheritance of carotenoid-based colour in sunflower pollen. Plant Biol. 2010, 12, 197–205. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
7. Chen, L.; Li, L.; Dai, Y.; Wang, X.; Duan, Y.; Yang, G. De novo transcriptome analysis of Osmanthus serrulatus Rehd. flowers and leaves by Illumina sequencing. Biochem. Syst. Ecol. 2015, 61, 531–540. [CrossRef]
8. Gao, Z.J.; Han, X.H.; Xiao, X.G. Purification and characterisation of polyphenol oxidase from red Swiss chard (Beta vulgaris subspecies cicla) leaves. Food Chem. 2009, 117, 342–348. [CrossRef]
9. Wang, C.Q.; Song, H.; Gong, X.Z.; Hu, Q.G.; Liu, F.; Wang, B.-S. Correlation of tyrosinase activity and betacyanin biosynthesis induced by dark in C3 halophyte Suaeda salsa seedlings. Plant Sci. 2007, 173, 487–494. [CrossRef]
10. Gandia-Herrero, F.; Garcia-Carmona, F. Biosynthesis of betalains: yellow and violet plant pigments. Trends Plant Sci. 2013, 18, 334–343. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
11. Wang, L.S.; Shiraishi, A.; Hashimoto, F.; Aoki, N.; Shimizu, K.; Sakata, Y. Analysis of petal anthocyanin to investigate flower coloration of Zhongyuan (Chinese) and Daikon Island (Japanese) tree peony cultivars. J. Plant Res. 2001, 114, 33–43. [CrossRef]
12. Wang, L.S.; Hashimoto, F.; Shiraishi, A.; Aoki, N.; Li, J.J.; Sakata, Y. Chemical taxonomy of the Xibei tree peony from China by floral pigmentation. J. Plant Res. 2004, 117, 47–55. [PubMed]
13. Zhang, J.; Wang, L.; Shu, Q.; Liu, Z.A.; Li, C.; Zhang, J.; Wei, X.; Tian, D. Comparison of anthocyanin in non-blotches and blotches of the petals of Xibei tree peony. Sci. Hortic. 2007, 114, 104–111. [CrossRef]
14. Zhang, C.; Wang, W.; Wang, Y.; Gao, S.; Du, D.; Fu, J.; Dong, L. Anthocyanin biosynthesis and accumulation in developing flowers of tree peony (Paeonia suffruticosa) ‘Luoyang Hong’. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 2014, 97, 11–22. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

15. Zhang, Y.; Cheng, Y.; Ya, H.; Xu, S.; Han, J. Transcriptome sequencing of purple petal spot region in tree peony reveals differentially expressed anthocyanin structural genes. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2015, 6, 1–9. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

16. Zhou, L.; Wang, Y.; Ren, L.; Shi, Q.; Zheng, B.; Miao, K.; Guo, X. Overexpression of Ps-CHI1, a homologue of the chalcone isomerase gene from tree peony (Paeonia suffruticosa), reduces the intensity of flower pigmentation in transgenic tobacco. *Plant Cell Tiss. Org.* 2013, 116, 285–295. [CrossRef]

17. Shi, Q.; Zhou, L.; Wang, Y.; Li, K.; Zheng, B.; Miao, K. Transcriptomic analysis of *Paeonia delavayi* wild population flowers to identify differentially expressed genes involved in purple-red and yellow petal pigmentation. *PLoS ONE* 2015, 10, e0135038. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

18. Petroni, K.; Tonelli, C. Recent advances on the regulation of anthocyanin synthesis in reproductive organs. *Plant Sci.* 2011, 181, 219–229. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

19. Jaakola, L. New insights into the regulation of anthocyanin biosynthesis in fruits. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2013, 18, 477–483. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

20. Yuan, Y.W.; Sagawa, J.M.; Frost, L.; Vela, J.P.; Bradshaw, H.D., Jr. Transcriptional control of floral anthocyanin pigmentation in monkey flowers (*Mimusus*). *New Phytol.* 2014, 204, 1013–1027. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

21. Hsu, C.C.; Chen, Y.Y.; Tsai, W.C.; Chen, W.H.; Chen, H.H. Three R2R3-MYB transcription factors regulate distinct floral pigmentation patterning in *Phalaenopsis* spp. *Plant Physiol.* 2015, 168, 175–191. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

22. Kriangphan, N.; Vuttipongchaikij, S.; Kittiwongwattana, C.; Suttangkakul, A.; Pinmanee, P.; Sakulsathaporn, A.; Suwimon, R.; Suputtittada, S.; Chanivivattana, Y.; Apisitwanich, S. Effects of sequence and expression of eight anthocyanin biosynthesis genes on floral coloration in four *Dendrobium* hybrids. *Hortic.* J. 2015, 84, 83–92. [CrossRef]

23. Tanaka, Y.; Ohmiya, A. Seeing is believing: engineering anthocyanin and carotenoid biosynthetic pathways. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 2008, 19, 190–197. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

24. Han, Y.; Wang, X.; Chen, W.; Dong, M.; Yuan, W.; Liu, X.; Shang, F. Differential expression of carotenoid-related genes determines diversified carotenoid coloration in flower petal of *Osmanthus fragrans*. *Tree Genet. Genomes* 2013, 10, 329–338. [CrossRef]

25. Saito, T.; Homma, D.; Tagashira, M.; Kanda, T.; Nesumi, A.; Maeda-Yamamoto, M. Anthocyanin from new red leaf tea “Sunrouge”. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2011, 59, 4779–4782. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

26. Lin, L.; Mu, H.; Jiang, J.; Liu, G. Transcriptomic analysis of purple leaf determination in birch. *Gene* 2013, 526, 251–258. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

27. He, Q.; Zhang, Z.; Zhang, L. Anthocyanin accumulation, antioxidant ability and stability, and a transcriptional analysis of anthocyanin biosynthesis in purple heading Chinese cabbage (*Brassica rapa* L. ssp. *pekinesis*). *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2016, 64, 132–145. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

28. Yang, Y.; Xu, M.; Luo, Q.; Wang, J.; Li, H. De novo transcriptome analysis of Liriodendron chinense petals and leaves by Illumina sequencing. *Gene* 2014, 534, 155–162. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

29. Lai, B.; Hu, B.; Qin, Y.H.; Zhao, J.T.; Wang, H.C.; Hu, G.B. Transcriptomic analysis of *Litchi chinensis* pericarp during maturation with a focus on chlorophyll degradation and flavonoid biosynthesis. *BMC Genom.* 2015, 16, 225. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

30. Wang, H.C.; Huang, X.M.; Hu, G.B.; Yang, Z.Y.; Huang, H.-B. A comparative study of chlorophyll loss and its related mechanism during fruit maturation in the pericarp of fast- and slow-degreening litchi pericarp. *Sci. Hortic.* 2005, 106, 247–257. [CrossRef]

31. Zhao, D.; Tao, J.; Han, C.; Ge, J. Flower color diversity revealed by differential expression of flavonoid biosynthetic genes and flavonoid accumulation in herbaceous peony (*Paeonia lactiflora Pall*). *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 2012, 39, 11263–11275. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Cheng, H.; Li, L.; Cheng, S.; Cao, F.; Wang, Y.; Yuan, H. Molecular cloning and function assay of a chalcone isomerase gene (*GbCHI*) from *Ginkgo biloba*. *Plant Cell Rep.* 2011, 30, 49–62. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

33. Li, F.; Jin, Z.; Qu, W.; Zhao, D.; Ma, F. Cloning of a cDNA encoding the *Saussurea medusa* chalcone isomerase and its expression in transgenic tobacco. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 2006, 44, 455–461. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
34. Zhou, W.; Huang, C.; Gong, Y.; Feng, Q.; Gao, F. Molecular Cloning and Expression Analysis of an ANS gene encoding anthocyanidin synthase from purple-fleshed sweet potato [Ipomoea batatas (L.) Lam]. *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* 2009, 28, 112–121. [CrossRef]

35. Chen, S.M.; Li, C.H.; Zhu, X.R.; Deng, Y.M.; Sun, W.; Wang, L.S.; Chen, F.D.; Zhang, Z. The identification of flavonoids and the expression of genes of anthocyanin biosynthesis in the chrysanthemum. *Biol. Plant.* 2012, 56, 458–464. [CrossRef]

36. Zhang, J.; Han, Z.Y.; Tian, J.; Zhang, X.; Song, T.T.; Yao, Y.C. The expression level of anthocyanidin synthase determines the anthocyanin content of crabapple (*Malus* sp.) petals. *Acta Physiol. Plant.* 2015, 37, 1–10. [CrossRef]

37. Szankowski, I.; Flachowsky, H.; Li, H.; Halbwirth, H.; Treutter, D.; Regos, I.; Hanke, M.V.; Stich, K.; Fischer, T.C. Shift in polyphenol profile and sublethal phenotype caused by silencing of anthocyanidin synthase in apple (*Malus* sp.). *Planta* 2009, 229, 681–692. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

38. Beale, S.I. Green genes gleaned. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2005, 10, 309–312. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

39. Hortensteiner, S. Update on the biochemistry of chlorophyll breakdown. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 2013, 82, 505–517. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

40. Grabherr, M.G.; Haas, B.J.; Yassour, M.; Levin, J.Z.; Thompson, D.A.; Amit, I.; Adiconis, X.; Fan, L.; Raychowdhury, R.; Zeng, Q.D.; et al. Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 2011, 29, 644–652. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

41. Mortazavi, A.; Williams, B.A.; McCue, K.; Schaeffer, L.; Wold, B. Mapping and quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-Seq. *Nat. Methods* 2008, 5, 621–628. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

42. Wang, Y.J.; Dong, L.; Zhang, C.; Wang, X.Q. Reference gene selection for real-time quantitative PCR normalization in tree peony (*Paeonia suffruticosa* Andr.). *J. Agric. Biotechnol.* 2012, 20, 521–528.

**Sample Availability:** Samples of the compounds are available from the authors.