PGE₁ stimulation of HEK293 cells generates multiple contiguous domains with different [cAMP]: role of compartmentalized phosphodiesterases
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There is a growing appreciation that the cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP)–protein kinase A (PKA) signaling pathway is organized to form transduction units that function to deliver specific messages. Such organization results in the local activation of PKA subsets through the generation of confined intracellular gradients of cAMP, but the mechanisms responsible for limiting the diffusion of cAMP largely remain to be clarified. In this study, by performing real-time imaging of cAMP, we show that prostaglandin 1 stimulation generates multiple contiguous, intracellular domains with different cAMP concentration in human embryonic kidney 293 cells. By using pharmacological and genetic manipulation of phosphodiesterases (PDEs), we demonstrate that compartmentalized PDE4B and PDE4D are responsible for selectively modulating the concentration of cAMP in individual subcellular compartments. We propose a model whereby compartmentalized PDEs, rather than representing an enzymatic barrier to cAMP diffusion, act as a sink to drain the second messenger from discrete locations, resulting in multiple and simultaneous domains with different cAMP concentrations irrespective of their distance from the site of cAMP synthesis.

Introduction

cAMP is a ubiquitous second messenger and is responsible for a plethora of cellular effects and biological functions (Beavo and Brunton, 2002). The generation of cAMP occurs upon ligand binding to G, protein–coupled receptors (GPCRs) and the consequent activation of a family of transmembrane adenylyl cyclases (ACs) localized at the plasma membrane (Cooper, 2005). An alternative source of cAMP is the soluble AC, an enzyme that has been shown to localize in different subcellular compartments, the activity of which is independent of GPCR stimulation and is regulated by bicarbonate and calcium ions (Zippin et al., 2003).

cAMP exerts its cellular functions via the activation of three different effectors: the cAMP-dependent PKA, cyclic nucleotide-gated ion channels (Biel et al., 1999), and exchange proteins directly activated by cAMP (Epac; Bos, 2003). The action of cAMP is terminated via its degradation by phosphodiesterases (PDEs), a large superfamily of enzymes grouped in 11 families with >40 isoenzyme variants (Soderling and Beavo, 2000; Francis et al., 2001; Houslay and Adams, 2003; Lugnier, 2006). Individual PDE enzymes exert specific functional roles as a consequence of the unique combination of regulatory mechanisms, intracellular localization, and enzyme kinetics (Houslay and Milligan, 1997; Beavo and Brunton, 2002; Baillie et al., 2005) and play an important role in shaping intracellular gradients of cAMP (Rich et al., 2001; Zaccolo and Pozzan, 2002; Rochais et al., 2004).

The notion of the spatial regulation of cAMP as a means of generating specific downstream responses is now well accepted (Tasken and Aandahl, 2004; Wong and Scott, 2004). Such a paradigm is grounded on increasing evidence that cAMP/PKA signaling is compartmentalized in discrete subcellular domains in which PKA is anchored to A kinase–anchoring proteins.
proteins (AKAPs; Wong and Scott, 2004) in close proximity to its specific targets and is activated by restricted pools of cAMP (Buxton and Brunton, 1983; Jurevicius and Fischmeister, 1996; Zaccolo and Pozzan, 2002; Mongillo et al., 2004; Barnes et al., 2005; Dodge-Kafka et al., 2005). However, the concept of the restricted intracellular diffusion of cAMP contrasts with the evidence that the diffusion rate of this second messenger in the cytosol appears to be unrestrained (∼500–700 μm s⁻¹; Bacsukai et al., 1993; Nikolaev et al., 2004). To explain the paradox of a signaling pathway organized in spatially segregated transduction units, the selective activation of which is committed to a freely diffusible second messenger, the hypothesis of either a physical or an enzymatic barrier restricting intracellular diffusion of cAMP has been formulated (Rich et al., 2000, 2001; Zaccolo et al., 2002; Cooper, 2003; Rochais et al., 2004; Willoughby et al., 2006; for review see Brunton, 2003).

Recently, new methods for monitoring intracellular cAMP concentration in single living cells have been developed. One approach using cyclic nucleotide-gated ion channel–based sensors relies on ion influx measurements as a readout of cAMP changes near the plasma membrane (Rich et al., 2001). Another approach uses fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET)–based indicators in which cAMP binding to PKA (Zaccolo et al., 2000) or Epac (DiPilato et al., 2004; Nikolaev et al., 2004; Ponsioen et al., 2004) proteins leads to a change in fluorescence emission that correlates with the intracellular concentration of cAMP. Such optical biosensors allow the detection of cAMP changes with submicrometer resolution in different intracellular compartments.

These new technologies led to the identification in human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells of a subplasma membrane compartment showing a larger rise in cAMP concentration in response to prostaglandin 1 (PGE1) receptor stimulation (Rich et al., 2001; DiPilato et al., 2004), but the molecular and structural components responsible for such compartmentalization largely remain to be defined. Here, we set out to study local cAMP dynamics by using FRET-based biosensors that are selectively targeted to distinct subcellular compartments in HEK293 cells. We found that compartmentalized PDEs, rather than acting as barriers to cAMP diffusion from the plasma membrane to the bulk cytosol, act as a sink that drains cAMP concentration in defined domains by locally degrading the second messenger. As a result, intracellular cAMP concentration is not bound to change along a uniform gradient from the plasma membrane to the deep cytosol, but multiple contiguous domains with different concentrations of cAMP may coexist within the volume of the cell.

Results

Generation of a FRET-based sensor for cAMP targeted to the plasma membrane

We recently generated a FRET-based sensor for real-time imaging of cAMP. The sensor, called PKA-GFP, includes the regulatory (R) and catalytic (C) subunits of the PKA tagged with the CFP and YFP variants of GFP, respectively (Fig. 1 A). When overexpressed in HEK293 cells, PKA-GFP shows a uniform distribution in the cytosol (Fig. 1 A).

Figure 1. The PKA-based sensor for cAMP. (A) Schematic representation of the R and C subunits of PKA fused to CFP and YFP, respectively (top), and confocal images showing their localization in HEK293 cells coexpressing the two subunits of the sensor (bottom). (B) Schematic representation of the membrane-targeted version of the PKA-based sensor (top) and distribution of the two subunits in HEK293 cells (bottom) as detected at the confocal microscope. Bars, 10 μm. (C) Apparent activation constants determination for PKA-GFP and mpPKA-GFP.

To compare cAMP dynamics in the bulk cytosol and in the subplasma membrane compartment, we generated a variant of the PKA-GFP sensor that is targeted to the plasma membrane. To this end, we fused to the N terminus of the R subunit a short polypeptide (mp) corresponding to the N-terminal targeting signal from the Lyn kinase (Resh, 1999). This sequence is posttranslationally myristoylated and palmitoylated and targets to the plasma membrane (Fig. 1 B). HEK293 cells cotransfected with mpR-CFP and C-YFP (mpPKA-GFP) show the predicted localization of both the R and C subunits at the plasma membrane (Fig. 1 B). To verify that modification of the R-CFP sequence with the mp peptide does not affect the sensitivity for cAMP, we determined activation constant (Kₐ) values both for PKA-GFP and mpPKA-GFP. As shown in Fig. 1 C, Kₐ values were identical for the two sensors (Kₐ = 0.77 μM). Modification at the N terminus of the R subunit does not affect its dynamic interactions with the C subunit, as shown by its complete release
into the cytosol upon cAMP increase and binding to mpR-CFP. C-YFP is effectively resequestered to the plasma membrane upon cAMP removal (supplemental material and Video 1; available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200605050/DC1).

**PGE$_1$ stimulation generates a higher cAMP response under the plasma membrane as compared with the bulk cytosol**

To verify whether GPCR stimulation generates different cAMP signals in the subplasma membrane compartment as compared with the bulk cytosol, we stimulated HEK293 cells transiently with either PKA-GFP or mpPKA-GFP with 10 μM PGE$_1$ and measured cAMP-induced FRET changes in the two compartments. As shown in Fig. 2 (A–C), the cAMP response in the subplasma membrane compartment was almost twice as large as the response in the bulk cytosol ($\Delta R/R_0 = 18.6 \pm 2.1$ [mean ± SEM; $n = 30$] vs. $9.6 \pm 1.2$% [n = 34]; $P = 1.4 \times 10^{-5}$). Furthermore, the time to reach half-maximal response to PGE$_1$ was almost twice as fast in the subplasma membrane compartment as compared with the bulk cytosol ($t_{1/2} = 58.9 \pm 7.3$ [n = 30] and $110.6 \pm 12.5$ s [n = 34], respectively; $P = 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$; Fig. 2 D). We found that in ~50% of the analyzed cells, PGE$_1$ generated a transient response in both the subplasma membrane compartment and the bulk cytosol, with the level of cAMP returning to baseline levels in $5.8 \pm 0.8$ and $7.6 \pm 1.04$ min, respectively, after application of the stimulus (Fig. 2 B). In the other 50% of the cells, the response reached a plateau value and remained sustained for at least 10 min (unpublished data). Application of the nonselective PDE inhibitor isobutyl-methyl-xanthine (IBMX; 100 μM) in the continuous presence of PGE$_1$ raised the cAMP level in both compartments, indicating that termination of the cAMP response to PGE$_1$ was caused by PDE activity. We excluded any overt role for receptor desensitization in the termination of the cAMP response to PGE$_1$ based on the observation that it is possible to repeatedly stimulate the PGE$_1$ receptor and obtain comparable rises in intracellular [cAMP] (supplemental material and Fig. S1; available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200605050/DC1). The application of 1 μM PGE$_1$ also generated different cAMP levels in the two compartments, with a response in the subplasma membrane compartment of $8.2 \pm 1.5$% ($n = 34$) and of $3.3 \pm 0.6$% ($n = 33$) in the bulk cytosol ($P = 9.5 \times 10^{-4}$; Fig. 2 E).

**A unimolecular sensor for cAMP confirms the generation of discrete cAMP domains upon PGE$_1$ stimulation**

When using the mpPKA-GFP sensor, the binding of cAMP to mpR-CFP causes subunit dissociation, releasing the C-YFP subunits to diffuse away from the mpRII-CFP FRET partners anchored at the plasma membrane. Conversely, when cAMP binds to the R-CFP subunits of PKA-GFP in the bulk cytosol, the dissociating C-YFP subunits remain in close proximity to their cytosolic R-CFP FRET partners. In our experiments, FRET changes are measured as CFP intensity/YFP intensity (480/545-nm fluorescence emission; see FRET imaging section). Therefore, as a result of the diffusion of C-YFP away from the plasma membrane, the FRET changes measured upon probe dissociation in the subplasma membrane compartment may result in artifically larger values being observed than the FRET changes measured in the cytosol. To exclude this possibility and confirm that the subplasma membrane compartment and the cytosolic compartments show a distinct cAMP response.
to PGE₁, we used a unimolecular FRET sensor for cAMP based on Epac1 (H30; Fig. 3 A). As H30 is a single polypeptide chain, CFP and YFP do not diffuse apart upon cAMP binding. We modified H30 by fusing the plasma membrane–targeting mp sequence (mpH30; Fig. 3 B) to its N terminus, which, as with RII, allowed for the effective targeting of this unimolecular sensor to the plasma membrane (Fig. 3 B). Membrane targeting of H30 did not substantially modify its sensitivity to cAMP, as shown by the apparent dissociation constants measured for H30 and mpH30 (EC₅₀ = 12.5 and 20 μM, respectively; Fig. 3 C). Using these unimolecular probes, we show in Fig. 3 (D and E) that the stimulation of HEK293 cells expressing H30 or mpH30 with 1 μM PGE₁ generated a mean ∆R/R₀ of 23.5 ± 1.4 (%) (n = 40) and 31.4 ± 1.2 (%) (n = 36), respectively (P = 10⁻⁴). These results confirm that PGE₁ stimulation generates a compartmentalized cAMP response, with a higher level of cAMP being generated in the subplasma membrane compartment as compared with the bulk cytosol. Interestingly, the steepness of the cAMP gradient between the subplasma membrane and bulk cytosol compartments is smaller (35% higher cAMP response at the plasma membrane vs. the cytosol) as compared with the steepness of the gradient recorded with the PKA-based sensor (148% higher cAMP response at the plasma membrane vs. the cytosol; compare Fig. 2 E with Fig. 3 E).

**PKA enhances the cAMP gradient between the plasma membrane and the cytosol via PDE activation**

The PKA-based and Epac1-based sensors both clearly reveal a gradient of cAMP between the plasma membrane and the cytosol upon PGE₁ stimulation. However, such a gradient appears steeper when detected by the PKA-GFP probe as compared with H30. One possible explanation for this is that overexpression of the PKA-based sensor, the catalytic subunit of which is enzymatically active, may affect the steepness of the cAMP gradient. To test this hypothesis, we measured cAMP levels in HEK293 cells transfected with either H30 or mpH30 alone or in combination with untagged PKA. As shown in Fig. 4 (A and B), in the presence of overexpressed PKA, the FRET change recorded at the plasma membrane was ∆R/R₀ = 9.5 ± 1% (n = 48), whereas the FRET change recorded in the bulk cytosol was ∆R/R₀ = 5.8 ± 0.5% (n = 60; P = 0.0009), indicating that the level of cAMP is ~62% higher at the plasma membrane as compared with the cytosol. Thus, PKA overexpression increases the steepness of the cAMP gradient between the two compartments around twofold. In further support of this, we found that the higher the level of PKA overexpression, the larger the effect is on the steepness of the cAMP gradient (supplemental material and Fig. S2; available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200605050/DC1).

PKA is known to activate PDE3 and PDE4 families of PDEs, thereby stimulating the degradation of cAMP (Smith et al., 1996; MacKenzie et al., 2002). Interestingly, PDE4 and PDE3 are the major cAMP PDE activities represented in HEK293 cells, with PDE4 accounting for ~68% of the total PDE activity and PDE3 accounting for a remaining 30% (Lynch et al., 2005). Therefore, we asked whether PDEs may be the effectors of PKA in modulating the steepness of the cAMP gradient. We found that the inhibition of PDEs with 100 μM of the nonselective PDE inhibitor IBMX completely abolished the
Inhibition of overexpressed PKA activity with 10 μM IBMX or rolipram abolished the cAMP gradient between the plasma membrane and the cytosol (Fig. 4 B). Representative cAMP kinetic (Romoser et al., 1996) and summary of experiments (Fig. 5) performed in HEK293 cells cotransfected with PKA and either H30 or mpH30 and challenged with 1 μM PGE₁, followed by either total PDE inhibition with 100 μM IBMX or PKA inhibition with 10 μM H89 as indicated. C & D: Representative kinetics (C) and summary of experiments (D) showing the effect of endogenous PKA inhibition on the cAMP response induced by 10 nM PGE₁ at the plasma membrane and bulk cytosol in the absence and presence of the PKA inhibitor H89 (10 μM). In all of the experiments, when the PKA inhibitor was used, cells were preincubated for 10 min with H89, and the inhibitor was present throughout the experiment. Error bars represent SEM. *: P = 0.02; **: P = 0.009; ***: P = 0.0009.

Riprap showed a similar effect in cells transfected with H30 or mpH30 in the absence of overexpressed PKA (unpublished data). Conversely, selective PDE3 inhibition with 10 μM cilostamide did not substantially raise the cAMP level generated by PGE₁ stimulation in either compartments both in the absence (ΔR/R₀ = 5.05 ± 0.6% [n = 16] in the cytosol and 10.8 ± 1.7% [n = 12] at the plasma membrane; P = 0.00095; Fig. 5) and in the absence of overexpressed PKA (not depicted). These results strongly indicate that PDE4 is the key regulator of the intracellular cAMP gradient in HEK293 cells.

**Tethered PDEs determine the direction of the cAMP gradient in HEK293 cells upon PGE₁ stimulation**

Our results are in agreement with studies indicating that PDEs may be responsible for the generation of intracellular cAMP gradients by acting as an enzymatic barrier that by rapidly degrading cAMP limits its diffusion from the site of synthesis (the plasma membrane) to the deep cytosol (Rich et al., 2000; Rochais et al., 2004; Mongillo et al., 2004). According to this view, the inhibition of PDE activity would abolish the barrier to cAMP diffusion and should result in a fast reequilibration of cAMP concentration within the cell. Surprisingly, however, our results show that PDE inhibition with either IBMX or rolipram does not dissipate the cAMP gradient between the plasma membrane and the bulk cytosol elicited by PGE₁ stimulation (Figs. 2, B–E; 3 E, 4, and 5). We reasoned that as both IBMX and rolipram are competitive PDE inhibitors, in the high [cAMP] subplasma membrane compartment, they may be less efficient in inhibiting PDEs than in the bulk cytosol (lower [cAMP]), thus sustaining the cAMP gradient between the two compartments.

To test the effect of noncompetitive inhibition of PDE4 on the intracellular cAMP gradient, we performed genetic ablation of PDEs by an RNA silencing approach to reduce enzyme concentration. The most represented PDE4 subfamilies in HEK293 cells are PDE4B and PDE4D, with PDE4B representing ~65% in these cells (Lynch et al., 2005). Therefore, we focused on these two subfamilies. The design of siRNA oligonucleotides...
as well as the time and means of transfection had been previously optimized by us to achieve >95% selective knockdown of each PDE subfamily in HEK293 cells (Lynch et al., 2005). We found that the genetic knockdown of PDE4B did not affect the cAMP gradient ($\Delta R/R_0 = 13.9 \pm 2.2$ [% $n = 27$] and $8.5 \pm 1.2$ [% $n = 23$] in the subplasma membrane and bulk cytosol, respectively; $P = 0.04$; Fig. 6 B). Surprisingly, when we cotransfected the cAMP sensor with siRNA sequences that selectively knock down PDE4D, we found that the cAMP response was higher in the cytosol as compared with the subplasma membrane compartment ($\Delta R/R_0 = 22.8 \pm 2.4$ [% $n = 25$] and $14.6 \pm 2.5$ [% $n = 23$], respectively; $P = 0.04$; Fig. 6 C). The finding that the ablation of PDE4D results in the inversion of the cAMP gradient suggests that a PDE different from PDE4D is active in the subplasma membrane compartment and maintains the cAMP concentration low in that domain. In fact, when we ablated both PDE4B and PDE4D, we found that the cAMP response was higher in the cytosol as compared with the subplasma membrane compartment ($\Delta R/R_0 = 22.8 \pm 2.2$ [% $n = 20$] in the cytosol and $20.3 \pm 2.4$ [% $n = 22$] in the subplasma membrane compartment; Fig. 6 D). Overall, our results suggest that PDE4B mainly regulates the subplasma membrane compartment, whereas PDE4D mainly regulates the cytosolic pool.

The ability of individual PDE4 subfamilies to control cAMP concentration independently in defined compartments implies that they are selectively tethered within such compartments. To test this, we overexpressed catalytically inactive point mutants of PDE4B and PDE4D in HEK293 cells. Such mutants have been shown to exert a dominant-negative (dn) effect by displacing the cognate endogenous active PDE4 isoforms from their functionally relevant anchor sites (Baillie et al., 2003). As shown in Fig. 7 A, upon the overexpression of either dnPDE4B1 or dnPDE4B2, the cAMP response to PGE$_1$ was higher in the subplasma membrane compartment than in the cytosol. This finding indicates that the displacement of PDE4B from its anchor sites and its consequent distribution inside the cell do not affect the gradient dictated by the prevalent PDE4D activity localized in the cytoplasm. On the contrary, the overexpression of dnPDE4D3 or dnPDE4D5 completely abolished the cAMP gradient (Fig. 7 B), as expected upon displacement of the prevalent PDE4D activity from its anchor sites within the cytoplasm. Overall, these results confirm that the different cAMP concentrations in these two compartments are dependent on compartmentalized PDE4 isoforms.
PDE4B and PDE4D localize in distinct compartments in HEK293 cells

The aforementioned effect of the genetic manipulation of PDE4B and PDE4D implies a distinct localization of the two enzyme subfamilies. To confirm this, we performed immuno-cytchemistry by using monoclonal antibodies specific for either subfamilies and found that, as expected, PDE4B shows a prevalent localization at the plasma membrane, whereas PDE4D localizes mainly in the bulk cytosol (Fig. 8). Such membrane sequestration of PDE4B is consistent with a previous study showing that in HEK293 cells, PDE4B2 is unavailable to interact with cytosolic β-arrestin (Lynch et al., 2005).

PDE4s define local drains for cAMP and generate multiple contiguous, intracellular compartments with different concentrations of the second messenger

The results presented in Figs. 6 and 7 indicate that PDE4 enzymes, rather than acting as a barrier to cAMP diffusion from the site of synthesis to the bulk cytosol, function as a local drain to degrade freely diffusible cAMP and maintain the low concentration of the second messenger in discrete compartments. Thus, rather than a continuous gradient from the plasma membrane (higher [cAMP]) to the deep cytosol (lower [cAMP]), we may expect to find contiguous compartments with higher or lower [cAMP] independent of their distance from the site of synthesis but depending on the localization and activity of PDEs. According to this hypothesis, a subcellular compartment deeper inside the cell as compared with the bulk cytosol and in which PDE activity is low should show a higher [cAMP] as compared with the bulk cytosol. The nucleus is such a compartment.

To monitor [cAMP] inside the nucleus, we fused a nuclear localization sequence (nls) to the C terminus of the H30 sensor (Fig. 9 A) that selectively targets the probe to the nucleus (Fig. 9 A). When we challenged HEK293 cells transfected with nlsH30 with 1 µM PGE1, we found that the FRET change was higher in the nucleus as compared with the bulk cytosol (ΔR/R₀ = 28.5 ± 1.7 [n = 25] and 23.5 ± 1.4% [n = 28], respectively; P = 0.03) and was similar to the FRET change found in the sub-plasma membrane compartment (Fig. 9, B and C). The different response recorded in the nucleus was not caused by a higher sensitivity of the nlsH30 sensor to cAMP changes, as indicated by similar EC₅₀ (17.5 µM for nlsH30 vs. 12.5 µM for H30; Fig. 3 C). In apparent contrast with our data showing that there is a delay in the cAMP changes in the bulk cytosol as compared with the sub-plasma membrane compartment (Fig. 2 D), the kinetics of the nuclear cAMP changes appear to be as fast as those occurring at the plasma membrane (Fig. 9 B). This is caused by differences in probe kinetics in that the velocity of nlsH30 FRET change upon [cAMP] rise is significantly faster than the velocity of H30 (P = 0.004) and mpH30 (P = 0.0001) FRET changes at the same [cAMP] (supplemental material). The difference in the FRET change between the nucleus and the bulk cytosol was completely abolished when PDE4D was ablated by siRNA duplex cotransfection (ΔR/R₀ = 17.7 ± 1.5% [n = 26] in the nucleus and 22.8 ± 2.5% [n = 28] in the cytosol;
P = 0.12; Fig. 6 D). These results confirm that multiple contiguous, subcellular domains with diverse [cAMP] may coexist within HEK293 cells irrespective of their distance from the site of cAMP synthesis and depending on PDE4B and PDE4D activity and localization.

Discussion

The pleiotropic effects of cAMP pose the pressing question of how signaling specificity is achieved. In the past few years, compartmentalization of the cAMP signal transduction pathway has emerged as an important mechanism to ensure the necessary specificity of response (Tasken and Aandahl, 2004; Wong and Scott, 2004). A particular focus has been placed on the organization of macromolecular complexes, including receptors, effectors, modulators, and targets effectively organized in restricted domains, within which the molecular components of the complex only affect each other appropriately. Some of these domains are localized at the plasma membrane, one example of which is the assembly of the β2 adrenergic receptor, heteromeric G proteins, AC, and PKA and its target, the L-type Ca2+ channel Ca1.2 (Davare et al., 2001). Within the complex, activation of the receptor leads to the synthesis of cAMP and activation of PKA, which, in turn, can regulate the activity of the channel in a highly localized manner. AKAP/PKA signaling domains have also been found to be located deep in the cytosol and away from the site of cAMP synthesis, as is the case, for example, for the centrosome-associated AKAPs (Witczak et al., 1999) or the nuclear membrane-associated muscle AKAP (Dodge et al., 2001). Specific activation of the PKA pools, which are spatially segregated deep inside the cell, requires that cAMP is made available selectively in such compartments. How the highly hydrophilic, freely diffusible cAMP molecule can selectively activate deep intracellular targets without affecting PKA enzymes located closer to the site of cAMP synthesis remains to be defined.

One example of the limited diffusion of cAMP is the generation of a subplasma membrane pool of the second messenger in response to PGE1 stimulation of HEK293 cells. To explain such compartmentalization, the hypothesis was formulated of a physical barrier that is possibly formed by elements of the endoplasmic reticulum and localizes underneath the plasma membrane, thereby limiting cAMP diffusion from the site of synthesis to the deep cytosol (Rich et al., 2000). However, an important contribution to cAMP compartmentalization appears to derive from the activity of PDEs, as pointed out by a large body of indirect evidence based on altered cell functioning after selective PDE inhibition (Rich et al., 2000; Jurevicus et al., 2003; Ving seed e= 0.12; Fig. 6 D). These results confirm that multiple contiguous, subcellular domains with diverse [cAMP] may coexist within HEK293 cells irrespective of their distance from the site of cAMP synthesis and depending on PDE4B and PDE4D activity and localization.

The model of a barrier to cAMP diffusion implies the generation of a gradient of cAMP with a higher concentration of the second messenger at the plasma membrane and a progressively lower concentration toward the deep cytosol. However, the direction of such a gradient is incompatible with the selective activation of a subset of PKA anchored deep inside the cytosol without concomitant activation of those PKA enzymes that are localized at the plasma membrane and closer to the site of cAMP synthesis.

In this study, we set out to study the cAMP response to GPCR stimulation of the transmembrane AC with PGE1 in HEK293 cells with the aim of defining the role of PDEs in the generation of a subplasma membrane pool of high cAMP. We applied an imaging approach for real-time monitoring of cAMP dynamics in single living cells and used FRET-based biosensors selectively targeted to different subcellular domains. We found that the cAMP response in the subplasma membrane compartment is higher as compared with the bulk cytosol because of a compartmentalized PDE4D located in the cytosol that keeps the level of the second messenger low in this compartment. Indeed, genetic ablation of PDE4D inverted the direction of the cAMP gradient, resulting in a higher concentration of the second messenger in the cytosol as compared with the subplasma membrane compartment. On the contrary, genetic ablation of PDE4B did not affect the direction of the gradient. The cAMP gradient was completely abolished only when the expression of both PDE4B and PDE4D was blocked. These results demonstrate that the concerted activity of PDE4B and PDE4D is sufficient to generate an intracellular cAMP gradient in response to PGE1 in HEK293 cells. We also found that dislocation of PDE4D from its anchoring sites by means of the overexpression of catalytically inactive enzymes dissipated the cAMP gradient, indicating that the compartmentalization of PDE4D is responsible for shaping such a gradient.

Intriguingly, we found that the inhibition of endogenous PKA with H89 completely abolished the cAMP gradient between the plasma membrane and bulk cytosol. On the contrary, the overexpression of PKA via PDE activation increased the steepness of the subplasma membrane cAMP gradient generated by PGE1. These results indicate that PKA not only has a role in shaping the intracellular cAMP gradient upon receptor stimulation but also that PKA itself can reinforce the boundaries between the intracellular cAMP compartments and can self-regulate the specificity of its own activity.

In this study, we show for the first time that a compartment deep inside the cell may accumulate higher levels of cAMP as compared with the bulk cytosol. These findings demonstrate that multiple and contiguous domains with different concentrations of cAMP can be generated simultaneously inside the cell irrespective of their distance from the site of synthesis of the second messenger. To explain such findings, we must assume that PDEs, rather than acting as enzymatic barriers to cAMP diffusion from the plasma membrane to the bulk cytosol, are organized to generate local drains that dump cAMP in defined compartments. Such a mechanism of control of cAMP diffusion may be more general and may also apply to the production of nonuniform intracellular cAMP domains in response
to soluble AC activation. Therefore, we propose a new model whereby cAMP is free to diffuse from the site of synthesis and to accumulate in the cell to levels effective for PKA activation.

Materials and methods

Reagents

DMEM, Opti-MEM, FBS, l-glutamine, penicillin, t-trypsin/EDTA, PBS, and Lipofectamine 2000 were purchased from Invitrogen. PGE, and IBMX were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Restriction enzymes, T4 ligase, and shrimp AP were purchased from New England Biolabs, Inc. FuGENE-6 transfection reagent was obtained from Roche.

Construct generation

The membrane-targeted version of the R-CFP subunit of the PKA-based cAMP sensor (Lindsay et al., 2005) was generated by inserting the N-terminal targeting signal MGGCKSKRDNLND (mp) from lyn kinase at the N terminus of R-CFP. This becomes posttranslationally myristolated and palmitoylated, resulting in its targeting to membrane rafts. The C-terminal-based sensor, called H30, was provided by K. Jalil (The Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam, Netherlands) and corresponds to the CFP-Epc-(CD-DEP-CD)-YFP sensor (Ponsioen et al., 2004). The membrane-targeted version of H30 was generated by fusion at the N terminus of the MGGCKSKRDNLND plasma membrane–targeting sequence. The nuclear-targeted version of H30 was generated by fusion of the nuclear localization signal PKKKKKVDA (nls) at the C terminus (D’Pilato et al., 2004).

Untagged PKA was generated by cloning both the RIIβ subunit of PKA and the C subunit of PKA into pCDNA3.1 (Invitrogen). Constructs for the expression of PKA subunits in Escherichia coli were generated by cloning RIIβ, mpRIIβ, and C into pRSETB (Invitrogen).

Activation constants and apparent dissociation constants determination

To determine apparent activation constants for PKA-GFP and mpPKA-GFP, the pRSETB vectors carrying the RIIβ, mpRIIβ, or C subunits were introduced into BL21(DE3) (Stratagene) for heterologous protein expression in E. coli. Individual subunits were subsequently purified with Ni-nitrilotriacetic acid resin according to the manufacturer’s instructions (QIAGEN). Bacterial lysates were diluted into BL21(DE3) (Stratagene) containing 120 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM MgSO4, 5.5 mM glucose, 1 mM CaCl2, and 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, at room temperature (20–22°C) and imaged on an inverted microscope (IX50; Olympus) with a 60 × NA 1.4 oil immersion objective (Olympus). The microscope was equipped with a CCD camera (Sensicam QE; PCO), a software-controlled monochromator (Polychrome IV; TILL Photonics), and a beam-splitter optical device (Multiplex Microimager; Optical Insights). Images were acquired using custom-made software and processed using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health). FRET changes were measured as changes in the background-subtracted 480/545-nm fluorescence emission intensities on excitation at 430 nm and expressed as either R/R0, where R is the ratio at time t and R0 is the ratio at time 0 = s, or ΔR/R0, where ΔR = R – R0.

Confocal imaging

Cells were stained with anti-pDE4B and -pDE4D monoclonal antibodies (FabGennix). AlexaFluor488-conjugated anti–mouse antibody was used as the secondary antibody. Confocal images were acquired with an inverted microscope (Eclipse TE300; Nikon) equipped with a spinning disk confocal system (Ultraview LCI; PerkinElmer) and a 12-bit CCD camera (Hamamatsu; Orca ER). Cells were excited using the 488-nm line of a krypton-argon laser (643-Kyb-A02; Melles Griot) for imaging YFP and the AlexaFluor488 fluorophore and using the 405-nm diode laser (iFlex2000; Point Source) for imaging CFP.

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows an evaluation of the dose-response relationship between PKA overexpression and the steepness of the cAMP gradient. Video 1 shows the dynamics interactions between overexpression and the steepness of the cAMP gradient. Video 1 shows the dynamics interactions between PKA overexpression and the steepness of the cAMP gradient. Video 2 shows the dynamics interactions between mpRII-CFP and C-YFP upon cAMP binding and release. Supplemental material also provides information about determination of the velocity of FRET change for the cAMP sensors. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200605050/DC1.
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