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ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy in acute calculous cholecystitis in terms of mean operative time, hospital stay, conversion rate to open surgery and post-operative complications.

Study Design: Quasi experimental study.

Place and Duration of Study: Army Liver Transplant Unit, Department of Surgery, Pak-Emirates Military Hospital Rawalpindi, from Jul to Dec 2018.

Methodology: A total of 170 patients (85 in each group) diagnosed as a case of acute calculous cholecystitis who met the sample selection criteria were included. Group A patients underwent early laparoscopic cholecystectomy within 72 hours of admission while group B patients underwent conservative management followed by delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy after 6 weeks. All patients were followed for operative time, hospital stay, per-operative difficulties encountered and post-operative complications.

Results: Group A had a mean operation time of 43.9 ± 11.1 minutes, while group B had a mean operation time of 45.8 ± 10.1 minutes (p=0.83). The mean duration of hospitalization was 2.8 ± 1.1 days in group A and 5.3 ± 0.8 days in group B (p<0.001). Complications were measured at a frequency of 14.1% in group A and 5.9% in group B (p=0.07).

Conclusion: Early laparoscopic cholecystectomy is better than delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy in acute calculous cholecystitis in terms of hospital stay and per-operative difficulties faced. The post-operative complications between the two groups are comparable.
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INTRODUCTION

Cholelithiasis or gallstone disease constitutes a major public health problem that affects about 10-15% of the adult population of the world. The disease has a female preponderance. The prevalence of cholelithiasis in Pakistan was found to be 10.2%. Surgery is the mainstay of the treatment and since the middle of the 20th century a number of surgical procedures and techniques have been introduced for performing cholecystectomy. The introduction of the laparoscopic cholecystectomy procedure in 1989 by Semm et al further increased the number of patients undergoing cholecystectomy.

Cholecystectomy is one of the most commonly performed surgical procedure nowadays. Minimally invasive laparoscopic cholecystectomy has become the gold standard and a popular alternative to open cholecystectomy in benign gallbladder disease in modern surgical practice because of the advantages of early mobilization of the patient, decreased stay in hospital, rapid return to activity and better cosmesis. For this reason it is also being performed as a daycare procedure in some centers.

About 1-4% patients of cholelithiasis become symptomatic annually either due to development of acute cholecystitis or they present with biliary colic. Acute cholecystitis is one of the commonest indications for cholecystectomy. The decision regarding the timing of surgery varies among surgeons. The proponents of early laparoscopic cholecystectomy report it to be a safe and feasible option in patients presenting with acute cholecystitis. The advantages reported being decreased hospital stay, decreased morbidity, a low conversion rate to open surgery and a low re-operation rate.

The advocates of delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy have reported increased morbidity and complications with early laparoscopic cholecystectomy, when the two are compared. Delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomies have been shown to have a reduced risk of complication per-operatively as well post-operatively, with decreased rates of per-operative hemorrhage, decreased rates of bile duct injury, and a reduced risk of conversion to open cholecystectomy. In fact, certain authorities still advocate that delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy be employed in patients with moderate acute cholecystitis, the first-line treat-
ment being anti-microbial therapy, with early laparoscopic surgery being reserved for patients with mild cholecystitis only.\textsuperscript{10}

Both early and delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomies are performed in our population for patients presenting with acute calculous cholecystitis with the choice of optimal timing of surgery still a topic of great debate among surgeons. Therefore, we conducted this study with the objective of comparing early (within 72 hours) versus delayed (after 6 weeks) laparoscopic cholecystectomy in terms of operation time, hospital stay, peri-operative difficulties faced and post-operative complications. The findings of our study will help provide local evidence regarding optimal timing of laparoscopic cholecystectomy in acute calculous cholecystitis.

**METHODOLOGY**

A quasi experimental study was conducted from July to December 2018 in the Army Liver Transplant Unit, Department of Surgery, Pak-Emirates Military Hospital (PEMH), Rawalpindi on patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy, diagnosed as cases of acute calculous cholecystitis; who fulfilled the sample selection criteria, after approval from the ethics review committee of PEMH Rawalpindi. A written and informed consent (in a language understood by the patient) was signed by every patient included in the study. WHO sample size calculator was used to calculate the sample size taking confidence level (1-\( \alpha \))=95\%, Absolute precision required (d)=0.07, anticipated population proportion 1 (p1)=1.3\%\textsuperscript{11} (conversion rate) and anticipated population proportion 2 (p2)=10.7\%\textsuperscript{11}. The sample size was calculated as 85+85=170 patients. The sampling technique employed was consecutive non-probability sampling.

The sample selection was done by employing the inclusion criteria constituting patients between the age of 20-70 years, having BMI between 20-35 kg/m\(^2\), belonging to both genders and with ASA grades I-III. All patients included were diagnosed cases of acute calculous cholecystitis on ultrasonography of abdomen done by Armed Forces Institute of Radiology and Imaging. The exclusion criteria included patients with acute pancreatitis, obstructive jaundice, deranged liver function tests, diabetes mellitus, ischemic heart disease, ASA class IV & V, renal failure and coagulopathies.

Patients were divided randomly by lottery method into two equal groups of 85 patients each. Patients in group A underwent early laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute calculous cholecystitis within 72 hours of admission to the hospital while in group B patients were initially managed conservatively by intravenous antibiotics and were discharged from the hospital and then were recalled for laparoscopic cholecystectomy after 6 weeks.

Data were analyzed using SPSS-25. Mean and SD was calculated for quantitative variables like age, operation time and hospital stay. Qualitative variables like conversion rate to open surgery, surgical site infection, seroma and hematoma formation, bile leakage and bile duct injury were recorded in terms of frequency and percentages. Chi square test was applied to compare all qualitative and t-test was used for quantitative variables. The \( p \)-value of \( \leq 0.05 \) was taken as statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

A total of 170 patients were enrolled and divided into two equal groups consisting of 85 patients each in this study. They comprised of 143 females (84.1\%) and 27 males (15.1\%). The mean age of patients was 45.6 \( \pm \) 10.1 years (range=23-67 years). Mean age was 45.5 \( \pm \) 10.2 years (range=23-63 years) for patients in the early laparoscopic surgery group (group A) and 45.8 \( \pm \) 10.1 years (range=26-67 years) for patients in the delayed laparoscopic surgery group (group B). The BMI of all enrolled patients was found to be 28.8 \( \pm \) 3.3 kg/m\(^2\) (range=23.1-35.3 kg/m\(^2\)). Mean BMI for patients in group A was 29.2 \( \pm \) 3.2 kg/m\(^2\) (range=23.3-35.3 kg/m\(^2\)) and 28.4 \( \pm \) 3.3 kg/m\(^2\) (range=23.1-35 kg/m\(^2\)) for patients in group B. The frequency of patients according to ASA scale were 37 (43.5\%), 40 (47.1\%) and 8 (9.4\%) for ASA I, II & III respectively in group A, and 28 (32.9\%), 53 (62.4\%) and 4 (4.7\%) in group B respectively, which was statistically insignificant (\( p=0.11 \)) (fig-1).

The total mean operation time for both groups was 42.4 \( \pm \) 11.1 minutes (range=25-85 minutes). In group A, the mean operation time was 43.9 \( \pm \) 11.1 minutes (range=25-79 minutes) while in group B, the mean operation time was 40.9 \( \pm \) 11.1 minutes (range=26-85 minutes). The operative time between the two groups was statistically insignificant (\( p=0.34 \)) (table).

The total mean hospitalization time for both groups was 4 \( \pm \) 1.6 days (range=1.1-8.1 days). In group A, the mean hospitalization time was 2.8 \( \pm \) 1.1 days (range=1.1-7.3 days) while in group B, the mean hospitalization time was 5.3 \( \pm \) 0.8 days (range=2.5-8.1 days). The hospitalization time between the 2 groups was statistically significant (\( p=0.001 \)) (table).
Both groups of patients were observed for complications which included rate of conversion to open surgery, surgical site infections, formation of seroma/hematoma, biliary leakage and bile duct injury. Total rate of conversion to open cholecystectomy was 4.7% (8 out of 170 cases) with 5.8% (total 5 patients out of 85) in group A and 3.5% in group B. The rate of conversion to open cholecystectomy was statistically insignificant. A total of 17 (15.3%) cases developed complications, of which 12 occurred in group A (14.1%), and 5 occurred in group B (5.9%), with a p-value of 0.07, which was not significant statistically (fig-1 & 2).  

### DISCUSSION  

This study showed a preponderance of female patients with female patients making 84.1% of all patients. The reason for this was that families of military personnel of Pakistan Armed Forces are entitled in Pak Emirates Military Hospital, Rawalpindi. Conversely, a research protocol conducted by Kohga et al studied reported a male preponderance with the study comprising of 63.2% male patients, while Gutt et al reported a more balanced gender distribution with 58.7% females and 41.3% males.  

### Table: Demographic detail of included participants  

| Demographic Detail | Group A (n=85) | Group B (n=85) | p-value |
|--------------------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| Gender (M:F)       | 13 (15.3%) : 72 (84.7%) | 14 (16.5%) : 71 (83.5%) | 0.83 |
| Age (Years)        | 45.5 ± 10.2   | 45.5 ± 10.1   | 0.66   |
| BMI (kg/m²)        | 29.2 ± 3.2    | 28.4 ± 3.3    | 0.43   |
| Operation Time (Minutes) | 43.9 ± 11.1 | 40.9 ± 11.1 | 0.34 |
| Hospitalization Time (days) | 2.8 ± 1.1 | 5.3 ± 0.8 | <0.001 |
| Complications      | 12 (14.1%)   | 5 (5.9%)      | 0.07   |
| Conversion         | 5 (5.8%)      | 3 (3.5%)      | 0.47   |
| Site Infection     | 1 (1.2%)      | 2 (2.4%)      | 0.56   |
| Seroma/Hematoma    | 2 (2.4%)      | -             | 0.15   |
| Biliary Leakage    | 1 (1.2%)      | -             | 0.32   |
| Bile Duct Injury   | 3 (3.5%)      | -             | 0.08   |

In group B (total 3 out of 85), with a p=0.47, which was statistically insignificant. Incidence of surgical site infections was 3 out of 170 cases (1.7%) with 1 case in group A (1.2%) and 2 cases in group B (2.4%), with p=0.56, which was statistically insignificant. Seroma/hematoma formation was documented in 2 patients (1.2%) out of 170. Both cases occurred in group A (2.4%), while group B had no cases (0%), p-value=0.15, which was also statistically insignificant. Biliary leakage was noted in only 1 (0.6%) case, and it occurred in group A with a total incidence of 1.2%, while no cases were reported in group B (p=0.316, statistically not significant). Lastly, 3 (1.8%) cases were documented to have bile duct injury, all of which occurred in group A (3.5%), with the rate in group B at 0%, the calculated p-value was 0.08, which was statistically insignificant. A total number of 17 (10%) cases developed complications, of which 12 occurred in group A (14.1%), and 5 occurred in group B (5.9%), with a p=0.07, which was not significant statistically (fig-1 & 2).
similar BMI 28.2 ± 5.5 kg/m² in the early procedure group but a slightly lower number i.e. 27.6 ± 4.3 kg/m² in the delayed group, with a p-value of 0.49.\textsuperscript{18}

The mean operation time in the early group in our study was 43.9 ± 11.1 minutes while it was 40.9 ± 11.1 in the delayed group, with a p-value of 0.34 which was not significant. Agarwal et al reported a higher mean operation time of 69.4 ± 29.6 minutes in the early group, and 66.4 ± 15.9 minutes in the delayed group, with a p-value of 0.8 which was not significant as in our study\textsuperscript{16}. Lai et al reported a greatly increased mean operation time of 122.8 ± 36.0 minutes in the early group, and 106.6 ± 37.3 minutes in the delayed group, with a statistically significant p-value of 0.04, in contrast to our result.

Mean hospitalization time was 2.8 ± 1.1 days and 5.3 ± 0.8 days and a statistically significant p-value of <0.0001, in our study. Kolla et al reported a total mean hospitalization time of 4.1 ± 8.6 days in the early group and 10.1 ± 6.1 days in the delayed group, with a p-value of 0.023. Lai et al reported a markedly high total hospital stay in the early group at 7.6 ± 3.6 days in comparison to 11.6 ± 3.4 days in the delayed group, with a p-value <0.001. All studies reported a statistically significant reduction in hospital stay in early surgeries when compared to delayed ones.

Conversion rate to open cholecystectomy for both groups in our study was 5.8% and 3.5% for early and delayed procedures respectively, the difference between the groups being statistically insignificant (p=0.47). Agarwal et al reported a similarly insignificant p-value of 0.67, albeit at higher rates: 16% and 8% in early and delayed groups respectively. However, with Kohga et al reported a conversion rate of 1.3% in early group and 10.7% in delayed group with a statistically significant p-value of <0.001.

Peng et al\textsuperscript{18} reported a conversion rate in 19% of patients in the early group, and 4% in the delayed group with a significant p-value of 0.002. Saber et al\textsuperscript{19} reported a conversion rate in 5% of patients in early group, and 1.7 percent in delayed.

Complications (including surgical site infection, biliary leakage and bile duct injury) were reported in 12 patients (14.1%) in the early laparoscopic cholecystectomy group and 5 patients (5.9%) in the delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy group which was statistically insignificant (p=0.07). Agarwal et al reported a total complication rate of 24% in the early group and 8% in the delayed group, with a p-value of 0.08, which was also statistically insignificant. In contrast, Ozkar-
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