Impacts of Regional Transport and Meteorology on Ground-Level Ozone in Windsor, Canada
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Abstract: This study investigated impacts of regional transport and meteorology on ground-level ozone (O_3) in the smog season (April–September) during 1996–2015 in Windsor, Ontario, Canada. Data from five upwind stations in the US, which are within 310 km (i.e., Allen Park and Lansing in Michigan, Erie, National Trail School, and Delaware in Ohio), were included to assess the regional characteristics of O_3. The five US stations showed high degrees of similarity with O_3 concentrations in Windsor, with overall strong correlations (r = 0.567–0.876 for hourly O_3 and r = 0.587–0.92 for 8 h max O_3 concentrations) and a low degree of divergence, indicating that O_3 pollution in the study area shares regional characteristics. Meteorological conditions played important roles in O_3 levels in Windsor. High O_3 concentrations were associated with southerly and southwesterly air mass from which polluted and hot air mass was transported and that enhanced local photochemical O_3 production. In contrast, northerly flows brought in clean, cool, and dry air mass, and led to low O_3 concentrations. Strong correlations were found between numbers of days with 8 h max O_3 concentrations greater than 70 ppb and numbers of days with daily max temperature greater than 30 °C, as well as between daily max temperatures and 8 h max O_3 concentrations. Nearly half (45%) of the high O_3 days (≥90th percentile) occurred in dry tropical weather during 1996–2015, and the 90th percentile 8 h max O_3 was associated with dry tropical weather. Occurrences of both southerly flow hours and dry tropical weather type in the smog season increased during the study period. If there were more hot and dry days in the next few decades due to climate change, the effect of emission control on reducing peak O_3 values would be diminished. Therefore, continued regional and international efforts are essential to control precursors’ emissions and to mitigate O_3 pollution in Windsor.
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1. Introduction

Ground-level ozone (O_3) is a secondary air pollutant, produced by photochemical reactions between volatile organic compounds (VOC) and nitrogen oxides (NO_x) [1]. Both local emissions of O_3 precursors and regional transport of O_3 and its precursors have strong impacts on O_3 levels [1]. O_3 production is non-linearly related to levels VOCs and NO_x, and mainly depends on the ratio of the two [2,3]. In urban areas where NO_x levels are normally high, O_3 levels increase with VOC levels but decrease due to the nitric oxide (NO) scavenging effect. At low NO_x levels, O_3 production is limited by NO_x levels but with little impact by VOCs. The degree of O_3 scavenging by NO is strongly affected by the ratio of NO to NO_2. With the same value of NO_x (i.e., NO + NO_2), lower NO to NO_2 ratios lead to less O_3 being consumed [4]. The decreasing ratios of NO to NO_2 have been observed in recent
years [2,4]. This is one of the reasons that some regions have experienced decreasing NO\textsubscript{X} but slightly increasing O\textsubscript{3} [2].

O\textsubscript{3} and its precursors can be transported over several hundred kilometers [5]. Trajectory models, for example, the Hybrid Single Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) [6,7], have been widely used to investigate the impact of airmass movement on air quality [8–10] because these models are easy to apply; are often freely available with processed meteorological data; and only require a few input parameters [11]. The back-trajectory analysis is one of the most common model applications to determine the origin of air masses and establish source-receptor relationships [6]. Davis et al. [12] found that high O\textsubscript{3} levels in Shenandoah Valley, Virginia, United States (USA) were due to both transport from urban/industrial regions in the Midwest and local photochemical production. Comprehensive models, such as the Community Multi-scale Air Quality (CMAQ) model, have been used to simulate the transport, chemical reactions, and deposition of multiple pollutants simultaneously [13]. A study by Yu et al. [14] investigated photochemical O\textsubscript{3} production and total NO\textsubscript{X} emissions along the 3-day transport path for two sites in the state of New York (USA) in July 2004, and found that O\textsubscript{3} concentrations were positively correlated with NO\textsubscript{X} emissions. Kemball-Cook et al. [15] pointed out that both regional transport and local photochemical production played an important role in the peak O\textsubscript{3} levels on exceedance days in the Dallas–Fort Worth (TX, USA) areas in 2002.

Local meteorological conditions also have strong impacts on O\textsubscript{3} concentrations, including solar radiation, temperature, cloudiness, and wind speed/direction. A study in Toronto, Ontario reported that on average 16% of variance in O\textsubscript{3} concentrations could be explained by midday solar radiation levels during 2008–2012 [16]. Geddes et al. [17] reported (1) a strong association between the number of 65-ppb ozone exceedances and number of days above 30 °C, and (2) summer Ox (O\textsubscript{3} + NO\textsubscript{2}) levels positively correlated with maximum daily temperature (R\textsuperscript{2} = 0.33) during 2000–2007, in Toronto, Ontario. A study assessed associations between O\textsubscript{3} levels and wind directions in Houston (TX, USA) during 1990–2013. The annual number of exceedance days of 1 h O\textsubscript{3} was negatively correlated with annual southerly flow (160°–200°) hours at the Aldine (r = −0.63, p < 0.05) and Clinton (r = −0.56, p < 0.05) sites, suggesting clean air mass from the Gulf of Mexico in the south [18].

Besides local weather conditions, O\textsubscript{3} concentrations are influenced by large-scale synoptic weather regimes. Synoptic meteorology is associated with large-scale weather-producing disturbances with a horizontal scale of several hundred to a few thousand kilometers, and a lifetime of days [19]. High O\textsubscript{3} levels in New York State [8] and in Delaware [20] were found related to transport of O\textsubscript{3} and its precursors from upwind states. An evaluation of summertime O\textsubscript{3} and six synoptic weather types during 1990–2014 found that high O\textsubscript{3} concentrations in Chicago (IL, USA) were strongly associated with dry tropical weather [21]. Associations between elevated O\textsubscript{3} levels and synoptic conditions were also found in Washington, DC [22], in the mid-Atlantic [23], and in China [24].

Windsor in Ontario, Canada, is located downwind of several industrial states, including Michigan, Ohio, and Indiana in the US. Local emissions and trans-boundary air pollution led to occasional poor air quality in Windsor [25]. During 1996–2015, concentrations of O\textsubscript{3} precursors, i.e., NO\textsubscript{X} and NMHCs (non-methane hydrocarbons), decreased significantly by 58% and 61%, respectively, in Windsor. However, annual O\textsubscript{3} concentrations increased by 33% (20.3 ppb in 1996 vs. 27 ppb in 2015) [2]. The 3-year average (2013–2015) of the annual 4-th highest daily max 8 h O\textsubscript{3} was 69 ppb in Windsor, the highest among 21 stations in Ontario [26]. Impacts of NO\textsubscript{X} and VOCs on O\textsubscript{3} long-term trends in Windsor were reported previously [2]. The unique geological conditions in Windsor often lead to southerly and southwesterly air flows from upwind states, especially in summertime. Therefore, there is a need to evaluate to what degree that the higher O\textsubscript{3} levels in Windsor comparing to other sites in Ontario are attributable to weather conditions. The objective of this study was to investigate the impacts of regional transport and local/synoptic meteorological conditions on smog season O\textsubscript{3} concentrations in Windsor during the 20-year study period of 1996–2015.
2. Methodology

2.1. Data Collection

There are two air quality stations in Windsor (i.e., Windsor Downtown and Windsor West) monitoring O₃ among a number of air pollutants [26]. Windsor Downtown (Figure 1) was selected in this study due to a longer period of NO, NO₂, and NOₓ data (1996–2015) than that at Windsor West (2001–2015) as discussed in a companion paper [2].

Figure 1. O₃ monitoring at Windsor (red star) and five USA sites (black star) and a weather station in Toledo (blue circle) (base maps adapted from Google Maps, coordinates of monitoring sites from the Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) [27] and United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) [28]).

The prevailing wind direction in Windsor during the 20-year study period was from the southwest (Figure S1). To investigate the regional characteristics of O₃, five US O₃ monitoring stations located in a range of 17 to 310 km away from Windsor were selected (Figure 1). Two stations (i.e., Allen Park and Lansing) are in Michigan, and the other three stations (i.e., Erie, National Trail School or NTS, and Delaware) are in Ohio. The parameters of each selected station are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Parameters of selected monitoring sites. Data source: Windsor station from MECP [27], five US sites from USEPA [28].

| Site Name          | Province/States | Latitude (Degree) | Longitude (Degree) | Elevation above Sea Level (m) | Site Type | Distance to Windsor (km) | Data Availability |
|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Windsor Downtown   | Ontario         | 42.31             | −83.04             | 176                           | Urban     | -                       | 1996–2015         |
| Allen Park         | Michigan        | 42.22             | −83.20             | 181                           | Suburban  | 17                      | 1996–2015         |
| Lansing            | Michigan        | 42.73             | −84.53             | 268                           | Urban     | 130                     | 1996–2015         |
| Erie               | Ohio            | 41.64             | −83.54             | 176                           | Urban     | 85                      | 1998–2015         |
| Delaware           | Ohio            | 40.35             | −83.06             | 275                           | Rural     | 218                     | 1996–2015         |
| National Trail School | Ohio        | 39.83             | −84.72             | 357                           | Rural     | 310                     | 1997–2015         |

The smog season in Ontario is from May to September [29], while it varies in the USA (Michigan: April–September; Ohio: April–October). Because monthly mean O₃ concentration in April (30 ppb,
Hourly \(O_3\) concentrations in Windsor during 1996–2015 were provided by the Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP). Smog season hourly \(O_3\) concentrations at the five US stations during 1996–2015 were obtained from the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) website [28]. From the same website, the daily maximum 8 h concentrations for a given calendar day, i.e., the highest of the 24 possible 8 h average concentrations in that day (hereafter referred to as “8 h max \(O_3\)”) [31] were downloaded for the five US stations during 1996–2015. Hourly meteorological parameters, including temperature, relative humidity, wind direction, wind speed, visibility, atmospheric pressure, and weather conditions (e.g., cloudy, snowy, and clear) at Windsor Airport (8 km southeast from the Windsor Downtown station) during 1996–2015 were downloaded from Environment and Climate Change Canada website [32]. The daily Spatial Synoptic Classification (SSC) data were downloaded from Kent State University website [33]. The SSC method classifies the weather conditions at a given location on a daily basis using surface-based observations, including temperature, dew point, cloud cover, atmospheric pressure, as well as south-north and west-east wind components. The SSC data are available in Windsor and Toledo (Figure 1) during the 20-year study period [33]. Toledo was selected to represent large scale synoptic weather conditions in the study region.

The smog season 8 h max \(O_3\) concentrations in Windsor were calculated with hourly \(O_3\) concentrations following USEPA’s “Guideline on data handling conventions for the 8 h ozone NAAQS (National Ambient Air Quality Standards)” [31].

2.2. Impact of Airmass Movement on \(O_3\) Levels in Windsor

Backward trajectory was used to identify the geographical origins and pathways of air mass arrived in Windsor. Twenty-four-hour backward trajectories in Windsor in each day of the smog season during 1996–2015 were simulated with HYSPLIT [6,7]. The meteorological data are archived Eta Data Assimilation System (EDAS) files with a horizontal resolution of 80 km during 1997–March 2004 and EDAS 40 km during April 2004–2015 [34]. The start time of each trajectory was 15:00 EDT (19:00 UTC) when maximum hourly \(O_3\) concentrations normally occurred in a day. The start height of trajectory was 500 m above the ground level to reflect half of the estimated summertime mixing height.

Each backward trajectory was overlaid on a compass with 36-bins in 10\(^\circ\) intervals to determine the direction from which the air mass arrived in Windsor. If a 24 h trajectory passed through several 10-degree sectors, only the last segment (18 h–24 h) of the trajectory was used to determine the direction of the air mass. Eight-hour max \(O_3\) concentrations by each of the 36-direction of trajectories in smog season were calculated. Hierarchical clustering analysis [35] was used to classify trajectories into three clusters based on the 8 h max \(O_3\) concentrations. Meteorological parameters by each cluster were also calculated to investigate the association between 8 h max \(O_3\) concentrations and meteorological conditions.

2.3. Impact of Meteorological Parameters on \(O_3\) Levels in Windsor

Both local and large-scale synoptic meteorological parameters were used to investigate their impacts on \(O_3\) concentrations in Windsor. Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients between hourly \(O_3\) concentrations and each meteorological parameter (temperature, relative humidity, visibility, wind speed, and atmospheric pressure) in Windsor were calculated in smog season during the study period. Pearson correlation coefficients between daily mean \(O_3\) and meteorological parameters were estimated. Associations between the number of high \(O_3\) days (8 h max \(O_3\) > 70 ppb) and the number of hot days (daily max temperature >30 °C) in the smog season in each year were assessed. Mean meteorological parameters at high (8 h max \(O_3\) > 90th percentile) and low (<10th percentile) \(O_3\) days were compared by analysis of variance (ANOVA). Eight-hour max \(O_3\) concentrations by three weather types (sunny,
cloudy, and rainy days) in the smog season were calculated to investigate the association between 8 h max O\(_3\) concentrations and the weather conditions using ANOVA.

SSC was used to investigate the associations in Windsor between 8 h max O\(_3\) concentrations in the smog season (April–September) and seven types of weather conditions, dry polar (DP), dry moderate (DM), dry tropical (DT), moist polar (MP), moist moderate (MM), moist tropical (MT), and transitional (TR), as outlined in Jing et al. [21]. Percentage of high O\(_3\) days (8 h max O\(_3\) >90th percentile) and 8 h max O\(_3\) concentrations by each SSC weather pattern were calculated.

2.4. Similarity Analysis

Analysis of similarity among Windsor and the five USA sites was conducted to investigate the regional characteristics of O\(_3\) during the study period. The following two methods were employed:

1. Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients of smog season hourly O\(_3\) concentrations, and Pearson correlation coefficients of smog season daily and monthly O\(_3\) concentrations, 8 h max and monthly mean 8 h max O\(_3\) concentrations between Windsor and each of the five US sites were calculated.

2. Coefficient of divergence (COD, Pinto et al. [36]) of hourly and 8 h max O\(_3\) concentrations in the smog season between Windsor and each of the five US sites were calculated as shown in Equation (1):

\[
\text{COD} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \left( \frac{C_{ij} - C_{ik}}{C_{ij} + C_{ik}} \right)^2}
\]

where \(p\) is the total number of paired measurements, and \(C_{ij}\) and \(C_{ik}\) are the measured concentrations at the \(j\) (reference) and \(k\) (comparison) sites on the \(i\)-th day, respectively. The range of COD values is 0 to 1. Greater COD values indicate spatial heterogeneity of O\(_3\) concentrations [37,38].

All analysis outlined in Sections 2.2–2.4 were carried out in Minitab release 18 (Minitab Inc., State College, PA, USA).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. General Statistics

Among the six sites, Delaware had the highest 20-year smog season average O\(_3\) concentrations (34.6 ppb), followed by Lansing (33.9 ppb), NTS (33.1 ppb), and Windsor (31.7 ppb). The Allen Park and Erie sites registered lower levels (26.7 and 28 ppb, respectively) (Table 2).

3.2. Impact of Weather Conditions on O\(_3\) Levels in Windsor

3.2.1. Directional O\(_3\) Concentrations

Smog season mean O\(_3\) concentrations in Windsor by wind direction are shown in Figure S2. Higher O\(_3\) concentrations (39.5 ppb) was associated with air mass from the south and southwest (140°–220°), where several industrial states of the USA are located (e.g., Michigan and Ohio), suggesting regional transport of polluted air mass. Lower O\(_3\) concentrations (26 ppb) were associated with winds from the north (320°–50°), suggesting clean air mass from northern Ontario.
Table 2. General statistics of smog season O$_3$ concentrations (ppb) at Windsor and five US sites during 1996–2015. SD stands for standard deviation. CV stands for coefficient of variation (SD/mean * 100%).

| Sites   | Mean | SD  | CV (%) | 5th Percentile | 25th Percentile | Median | 75th Percentile | 95th Percentile | Max    | Sample Size |
|---------|------|-----|--------|----------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|----------------|--------|-------------|
| Windsor | 31.7 | 18.2| 57.5   | 4              | 19              | 30     | 43              | 64             | 128    | 85,259      |
| Allen Park | 26.7 | 18.4| 68.6   | 1              | 12              | 26     | 39              | 59             | 123    | 83,998      |
| Erie    | 28.0 | 17.9| 63.8   | 2              | 14              | 27     | 40              | 59             | 121    | 75,998      |
| Lansing | 33.9 | 16.9| 49.9   | 6              | 22              | 33     | 45              | 63             | 110    | 85,693      |
| Delaware| 34.6 | 19.3| 55.8   | 4              | 20              | 34     | 48              | 68             | 161    | 79,203      |
| NTS     | 33.1 | 18.4| 55.5   | 2              | 20              | 33     | 46              | 64             | 121    | 86,811      |
Figure 2 depicts distribution of smog season O$_3$ levels in Windsor in each wind direction. Under clean conditions (5th and 25th percentiles), the west and northwesterly flows (230°–360°) are associated with extremely low concentrations in comparison with other directions (2.7 ppb vs. 7.7 ppb for the 5th percentile; 16 ppb vs. 23 ppb for the 25th percentile). For median (50th) and high (75th and 95th) O$_3$ concentrations, southerly flows (140°–220°) bring in significantly more polluted airmass than by flows from the north (330°–20°) (39 ppb vs. 23 ppb for the 50th percentile; 53 ppb vs. 39 ppb for the 75th percentile; 74 ppb vs. 47 ppb for the 95th percentile). Our results further indicate the strong impact of US states on higher O$_3$ levels in Windsor.

![Figure 2. Ozone pollution rose in Windsor during the smog season of 1996–2015.](image)

As a secondary pollutant, O$_3$ levels depend strongly on regional transport of O$_3$ and precursor concentrations. A study in Texas (USA) investigated impacts of cold front (mainly northerly winds) on area-wide peak levels and regional background concentrations during O$_3$ seasons (April–October) of 2003–2016 [39]. They found that wind direction was the dominant factor causing changes on O$_3$ levels, especially when southerly flow with less anthropogenic emissions from the Gulf of Mexico shifted to northerly flow with more emissions from inland. Plocoste et al. [40] reported strong influences of high O$_3$ levels by meteorological conditions (e.g., wind) in Guadeloupe, a French overseas region located at the Lesser Antilles Arc. The impacts of regional transport from upwind states on high O$_3$ levels have also been reported by other researchers, for example, in the states of New York [8] and Delaware [20].

A USEPA study used the Variable Grid Urban Airshed Model (UAM-V) to determine the pattern of transport in the transboundary region of the eastern United States and Canada [41]. It was found that the magnitude and persistence of high O$_3$ concentrations in eastern North America are strongly influenced by long-range transport of O$_3$ and its precursors. As for transboundary movement of pollutants, more O$_3$ and precursors transport from the US into Canada than from Canada into the USA. Consequently, air mass from the US contributed to high O$_3$ concentrations in Ontario, Quebec, New Brunswick and Nova Scotia, Canada. This is because of higher emissions in the USA and the prevailing winds during the summer O$_3$ season. Commission for Environmental Cooperation reported significant transport of pollutants into southwest Ontario from the upper Midwest US States and the Ohio River Valley [5].

Long-term trend of southerly flow (160°–200°) hours in the smog season in Windsor is shown in Figure 3. The occurrence of southerly flow hours increased in Windsor by 30% ($p < 0.05$) during the 20-year study period. Furthermore, annual smog season hours of southerly flow were positively correlated with annual smog season O$_3$. The two exceptions are year 2000 and year 2004 with the
two lowest annual means (25.5 ppb and 26.6 ppb, respectively), but high in southerly flow hours (638 h and 774 h, respectively) during 1996–2015. The remaining 18 years showed a strong correlation ($r = 0.642, p < 0.05$). Therefore, increasing southerly flows could be one of the reasons of increasing O$_3$ concentrations in smog season in Windsor reported in Zhang. [30].

![Figure 3. Annual O$_3$ concentration (Y-axis) and number of southerly flow hours (Z-axis) in Windsor during the smog season of 1996–2015.](image)

### 3.2.2. Air Mass Trajectory

Smog season daily 24 h HYSPLIT backward trajectories ending in Windsor during the study period are organized by year in Google Earth format. An example of a backward trajectory plot in 2015 is shown in Figure S3. Backward trajectory plots shared a common feature in each year of the study period, with lower O$_3$ (first quartile, green lines) and higher O$_3$ (forth quartile, red lines) mostly from the north and south, respectively, while the middle levels (second quartile, yellow; third quartile, pink) were from all directions. This once again indicated the impact of regional transport of polluted air mass from the south on higher O$_3$ levels in Windsor.

Smog season 8 h max O$_3$ concentrations averaged by 36 air mass trajectory directions arriving in Windsor during the 20-year study period are shown in Figure S4, the trend is similar to that of the directional concentrations by wind direction (Figure S2) using hourly data. Those 36 directional air trajectories were classified into three clusters. Eight-hour max O$_3$ concentrations by cluster and meteorological conditions of each cluster are summarized in Table 3. One-way ANOVA indicated that there are at least two cluster means of 8 h max O$_3$ concentrations and of each meteorological parameter considered were significantly different from each other (Table S1).

#### Table 3. Smog season meteorological parameters and 8 h max O$_3$ concentrations in Windsor during 1996–2015 in the three clusters.

| Cluster (Air Mass Direction) | 8 h Max O$_3$ (ppb) | Number of Days | Daily Mean Temp ($^\circ$C) | Daily Mean Relative Humidity (%) | Daily Mean Wind Speed (km/h) | Daily Mean Atmospheric Pressure (kPa) | Percentage of Rainy Days (%) |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 (300°–50°)                | 40.2                | 1134           | 16.5                        | 66.3                          | 14.1                          | 99.3                             | 4.3                         |
| 2 (60°–150°)                | 45.9                | 614            | 16.4                        | 68.2                          | 12.4                          | 99.6                             | 9.3                         |
| 3 (160°–290°)               | 51.0                | 1752           | 19.8                        | 68.0                          | 14.6                          | 99.2                             | 4.7                         |
Lower 8 h max O$_3$ concentrations (40.2 ppb) in Windsor were associated with air mass originated from the north of Windsor ($300^\circ$ to $50^\circ$, Cluster 1), including northern Michigan and upper Ontario where there are fewer industrial and traffic emission sources. Cluster 1 accounted for 32% of total air mass observed which brought in clear, cool, dry and windy air into Windsor. Strong winds enhanced dispersion of air pollutants, which also lead to low O$_3$ concentrations in Windsor.

Median level 8 h max O$_3$ concentrations (46 ppb) in Windsor were associated with air mass from the east and southeast ($60^\circ$ to $150^\circ$, Cluster 2), including Pennsylvania, New York, and Eastern Ohio. Air mass in this cluster is the least frequent (18%) and associated with cool, humid, and slow-moving conditions. The highest percentage of rainy days at 9.3% was found in Cluster 2, suggesting unfavorable conditions for O$_3$ formation.

Eight-hour max O$_3$ concentrations in Cluster 3 were the highest (51 ppb) when air mass was from the south and southwest ($160^\circ$ to $290^\circ$) of Windsor, where several industrial states are located including Michigan, western Ohio, Indiana, and southern Illinois. Air mass from Cluster 3 is the most frequent (50%), consistent with prevalent wind direction in Windsor (Figure S1). Air mass in this cluster led to hot days with strong winds. The high temperatures favor photochemical production of O$_3$. Our results suggest that high O$_3$ concentrations in Windsor were caused by both local photochemical production under strong solar radiation and regional transport of O$_3$ and its precursors from the industrial states of the US. Similar findings were reported by other researchers. A study of O$_3$ concentrations in Eastern Canada founded that the elevated levels of warm season O$_3$ are associated with back-trajectories originating from Detroit–Windsor or the Ohio River Valley region [42]. Another O$_3$ modeling study [43] in Southern Ontario in the summer of 2001 reported that around 60% of the O$_3$ formed by anthropogenic emissions in Southern Ontario was due to the emission releases from the nearby US states during the smog episodes.

Among the four meteorological parameters considered in Table 3, temperature and atmospheric pressure are the only two parameters that distinguish Cluster 3 from the other two clusters, while low temperature and relative humidity are unique to Cluster 1 (Table S1). This finding suggests that during the smog season, when hot air mass come from the south and southwest of Windsor, O$_3$ concentration is likely high; while cool and dry air mass from the north tends to lead to lower O$_3$ levels in Windsor.

### 3.2.3. Effects of Meteorological Parameters

Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients and Pearson correlation coefficients between smog season O$_3$ concentrations and five continuous meteorological parameters in Windsor are provided in Table S2. Of the five meteorological parameters investigated, only temperature (hourly data, $r = 0.518$, $p < 0.01$) and relative humidity (hourly data, $r = −0.521$, $p < 0.01$) were strongly correlated with O$_3$ concentrations during 1996–2015. This suggests faster photochemical production of O$_3$ under warmer and drier conditions [44].

As depicted in Figure 4, the numbers of days of smog season 8 h max O$_3$ greater than 70 ppb was strongly correlated with the numbers of hot days (i.e., daily max temperature greater than 30 °C) in Windsor during the study period ($r = 0.833$, $p < 0.05$). Furthermore, there was a strong correlation between daily max temperature and 8 h max O$_3$ concentrations ($r = 0.714$, $p < 0.05$) in smog season. This O$_3$ concentration-temperature association is consistent with findings from an O$_3$ study in the Greater Toronto Area of Ontario [17]. Association between elevated O$_3$ levels and high temperatures has also been reported by other studies [3,23,24,40].
This O₃ concentration-temperature association is consistent with findings from an O₃ study in the Greater Toronto Area of Ontario [17]. Association between elevated O₃ levels and high temperatures has also been reported by other studies [3,23,24,40].

Figure 4. Number of days of smog season 8 h max O₃ greater than 70 ppb and number of daily max temperature greater than 30 °C in each year in Windsor during 1996–2015.

Mean meteorological parameters in high (>90th) and low (<10th) smog season 8 h max O₃ concentration days are summarized in Table 4. One-way ANOVA and Tukey’s tests indicate that all meteorological parameters were significantly different (p < 0.05) in high and low O₃ days, indicating strong influence by weather conditions.

Table 4. Meteorological parameters in smog season high O₃ (>90th percentile 8 h max O₃), low O₃ (<10th percentile 8 h max O₃), and all days in Windsor during 1996–2015.

| Day       | Sample Size | 8 h Max O₃ (ppb) | Daily Max Temp (°C) | Daily Mean Temp (°C) | Daily Mean Relative Humidity (%) | Daily Mean Wind Speed (km/h) | Daily Mean Atmospheric Pressure (kPa) |
|-----------|-------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| High O₃   | 366         | 74.6             | 29.4                | 23.7                | 63.5                            | 11.5                          | 99.4                                |
| Low O₃    | 366         | 24.1             | 17.8                | 14.4                | 74.8                            | 15                            | 99.2                                |
| All       | 3660        | 46.6             | 22.9                | 18.1                | 67.5                            | 14.1                          | 99.3                                |

High O₃ days (>90th percentile 8 h max O₃) were typically associated with high temperature and atmospheric pressure, but low in relative humidity and wind speed. Hot and dry days are generally associated with strong solar intensity, thus enhancing photochemical production of O₃ [45]. High pressure and low wind speeds weaken the dispersion and dilution of O₃, resulting in high O₃ concentrations. The association between light winds and elevated O₃ levels was also reported by Johnson et al. [42] in Southern Ontario and Southwest Quebec (Canada) during 1994–2003, and by Davis et al. [12] in Shenandoah Valley of Virginia (USA) during 2001–2006.

Low O₃ days (<10th percentile 8 h max O₃) were usually accompanied by low temperature and atmospheric pressure, but humid and windy weather. Cooler and humid days are associated with weak solar intensity, thus result in less photochemical production of O₃ [45]. Low atmospheric pressure and strong winds enhance dispersion and dilution of O₃, leading to low O₃ concentrations.
One-way ANOVA also indicates that smog season 8 h max $O_3$ concentrations in clear days (51 ppb) were statistically higher ($p < 0.05$) than those in cloudy days (45 ppb) and rainy days (33 ppb), which are unfavorable to $O_3$ formation.

3.2.4. Impact of Synoptic Weather Types

The occurrence frequency of SSC weather types during smog season was calculated for every 5-year period from 1996 to 2015 to assess how it had changed over time, as shown in Figure 5. Warmer weather types (DM, DT, MM, and MT) dominated the study region in comparison with cooler (DP and MP) or transitional (TR) weather types.

![Figure 5](image-url). Occurrence frequency of different spatial synoptic classification (SSC) weather types and 8 h max $O_3$ in Windsor in smog season during 1996–2015. DM (dry moderate), DT (dry tropical), MM (moist moderate), MT (moist tropical), DP (dry polar), MP (moist polar), and TR (transitional). Each bar or point represents a period of 5-year.

The number of high $O_3$ days ($8$ h max $O_3 > 90$th percentile, shown as solid blue bars in Figure 5) were mainly associated with warm and dry weather types (i.e., DM, DT, and MT), and rarely observed in cool weather types (i.e., DP and MP), high cloudy (MM) or transitional days (TR). The largest number of high $O_3$ days (averaging 8.5 days/yr) was observed under DM weather, primarily because Windsor was influenced by DM weather more often than by other weather types in the smog season. Although DT days were far less frequent than DM and MT days, a high number of high $O_3$ days (7.8 days/yr) was observed under DT weather type. In the past 20 years, high $O_3$ concentrations occurred in nearly half of DT days (45%), much higher than those under the other six weather types (MT 16%, DM 13%, TR 2%, MM 0.6%, DP 0%, and MP 0%). In general, the number of high $O_3$ days decreased or unchanged in all weather types, except for a steady increase in DT weather type.

Trends of DT days and 90th percentile 8 h max $O_3$ during the past 20 years are shown in Figure 6. There was a slight increase in the number of DT days and a marginal decrease in the 90th percentile 8 h max $O_3$ concentration. There was a clear association between these two parameters ($r = 0.644$, $p < 0.05$), i.e., annual peak 8 h max $O_3$ values were higher when there were more DT days in that year. If there were more DT days in the next few decades in the study region due to climate change [21],...
peak O$_3$ concentrations would be increased and the reduction in peak O$_3$ concentrations as the result of emission controls would be diminished.
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**Figure 6.** Smog season 90th percentile 8 h max O$_3$ concentrations in Windsor and the number of dry tropical (DT) days during 1996–2015.

### 3.3. Similarity in O$_3$ Concentrations between Windsor and the Five US Sites

#### 3.3.1. Correlation

Table 5 lists correlation coefficients between O$_3$ concentrations in Windsor and at each of the five USA sites during 1996–2015. Strong correlations ($r > 0.74$) between O$_3$ at Windsor and Allen Park, Erie, and Lansing sites indicate a good agreement in temporal variability of O$_3$ concentrations. Moderate correlation ($0.72 > r > 0.4$) between O$_3$ at Windsor and NTS as well as Delaware sites suggest less similarity with those two areas which are rural sites and further away from the Windsor (Figure 1). The results of correlation analysis suggest regional characteristics of O$_3$ pollution in the study area. Likewise, correlation coefficients of 8 h max O$_3$ concentrations in the greater Chicago areas (41.3° N–42.6° N, 87.0° W–88.5° W) were calculated during 2005–2013 in the summer months of May to August [38]. The correlation coefficients of 8 h max O$_3$ between any two sites ranged 0.71–0.94, suggesting a similar temporal variability of O$_3$ among the 23 monitoring stations. The lower correlation coefficients were observed at those sites that are furthest apart in the north-south direction.

By examining 8 h max O$_3$ concentrations by cluster, considerably higher correlation coefficients between Windsor and the five USA sites were observed in Cluster 3 (high O$_3$) than in Clusters 1 and 2 (Table 6). This indicates that higher O$_3$ levels associated with southerly and southwesterly flow exhibited a stronger regional signal.
Table 5. Correlation coefficients between smog season O$_3$ concentrations at Windsor and each of the five US sites. All correlations are significant at $p < 0.05$.

| Sites   | Hourly O$_3$ | 8 h Max O$_3$ | Rank |
|---------|--------------|---------------|------|
|         | Hourly $^a$  | Daily Mean $^b$ | Monthly Mean $^b$ | Daily $^b$ | Monthly Mean $^b$ |
| Allen Park | 0.876 | 0.894 | 0.890 | 0.920 | 0.911 | 1 |
| Erie    | 0.766 | 0.749 | 0.756 | 0.824 | 0.823 | 3 |
| Lansing | 0.778 | 0.817 | 0.836 | 0.827 | 0.847 | 2 |
| Delaware | 0.689 | 0.588 | 0.554 | 0.694 | 0.715 | 4 |
| NTS     | 0.567 | 0.408 | 0.653 | 0.587 | 0.676 | 5 |

$^a$: Spearman's correlation coefficients. $^b$: Pearson correlation coefficients.

Table 6. Pearson correlation coefficients between smog season 8 h max O$_3$ at Windsor and each of the five US sites by cluster (see Table 3). All correlations are significant at $p < 0.05$.

| Site    | Cluster 3 (160°–290°, N = 1752) | Clusters 1 and 2 (300°–150°, N = 1748) | Rank |
|---------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------|
| Allen Park | 0.936                           | 0.897                                  | 1    |
| Erie    | 0.849                           | 0.806                                  | 2    |
| Lansing | 0.834                           | 0.801                                  | 3    |
| Delaware | 0.707                           | 0.606                                  | 4    |
| NTS     | 0.635                           | 0.528                                  | 5    |

3.3.2. Divergence

As shown in Table 7, the COD values for 8 h max O$_3$ concentrations (0.099–0.148) were lower than those of hourly O$_3$ (0.260–0.338) due to greater spatial variability in the latter. However, COD rankings of O$_3$ concentrations between Windsor and each of the five US sites were consistent in hourly and 8 h max concentrations. O$_3$ concentrations between Windsor and Lansing exhibited the most homogeneity, followed by Allen Park and Erie. Delaware and NTS had less homogeneity with Windsor. This result is largely consistent with the rankings by correlation coefficients (Tables 5 and 6), once again indicating regional characteristics of O$_3$ in the study area. Other researchers also reported comparable COD values among sites with similar characteristics. A study in Treasure Valley (Idaho, US) estimated COD of O$_3$ concentrations between a reference site (PAR) and six other sites during 1 July to 30 September 2007 [37]. Lower COD values were observed between PAR and two sites (0.06) near downtown Boise, higher COD values between PAR and two sites (0.11–0.17) on the southeast end of the valley, and moderate COD between PAR and two sites (0.08–0.09) located upwind of Boise and influenced by significant mobile sources.

Table 7. Coefficient of divergence (COD) of smog season O$_3$ concentrations between Windsor and each of the five USA sites during 1996–2015.

| Sites   | Hourly O$_3$ | 8 h Max O$_3$ | Rank |
|---------|--------------|---------------|------|
| Allen Park | 0.273 | 0.106 | 2    |
| Erie    | 0.291 | 0.118 | 3    |
| Lansing | 0.260 | 0.099 | 1    |
| Delaware | 0.302 | 0.136 | 4    |
| NTS     | 0.338 | 0.148 | 5    |

4. Conclusions

This study assessed impacts of regional transport and meteorology on O$_3$ concentrations in Windsor, Canada, by using data collected from 1996–2015. O$_3$ concentrations in the smog season exhibited a high degree of similarity between Windsor and the five USA sites within 310 km, suggesting that the O$_3$ pollution in Windsor and at the five sites in the US showed regional characteristics, likely due to their similar emission sources of O$_3$ precursors and the shared weather conditions.
High O₃ concentrations in Windsor were found to be associated with southerly and southwesterly flows that brought in hot and polluted air mass enhancing local photochemical production. In contrast, northerly flows brought in clean, dry and cool air mass from northern Ontario, leading to lower O₃ concentrations. Therefore, regional transport of O₃ and its precursors from upwind areas had a great impact on O₃ levels in Windsor.

Strong correlations were found between numbers of days with 8 h max O₃ concentrations greater than 70 ppb and the numbers of days with daily max temperature greater than 30 °C, as well as between daily max temperatures and 8 h max O₃ concentrations. The peak O₃ (8 h max O₃ > 90th percentile) days were associated with hot and calm weather conditions, characterized by high temperature and atmospheric pressure, but low relative humidity and wind speed, belonging to dry tropical weather type, i.e., the hottest and driest synoptic weather conditions.

This study showed that both regional transport and local photochemical production played an important role in the peak O₃ levels in smog season in Windsor during the past 20 years. Occurrences of both southerly flow hours and dry tropical weather type in the smog season, which were associated with high 8 h max O₃ concentrations, increased during the study period. If there were more hot and dry days in the next few decades due to climate change [3], the effect of emission control on reducing peak O₃ values would be diminished. Given increased DT days in the Windsor area, continued regional and international efforts are essential to control precursors’ emissions and to mitigate high O₃ levels.
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