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Kidney transplantation from brain-dead donors in Nepal: Report of first six cases
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: and importance: Kidney transplantation is one of the best treatment options for patients with end-stage renal disease. More than 90% of patients awaiting renal transplantation die without getting the kidney for transplantation. Brain dead donor kidney transplantation can bridge this gap proficiently. We aim to report details of the first six patients who had undergone brain-dead donor kidney transplantation in the history of transplantation in Nepal.

Case presentation: We conducted a descriptive analysis of clinical data of six adult recipients with kidney transplantation from three brain-dead donors. We described postoperative complications, length of stay, graft function which was documented with serum creatinine, acute rejection episode, delayed graft function, and patient/graft survival of recipient. Recipients were between 15 and 56 years old. Three patients experienced delayed graft function. Urinary tract infection was observed in two patients, both of whom were treated with antibiotics. One patient had acute graft rejection. None of our patients required reoperation. Length of hospital stay ranged from 9 to 32 days. The postoperative graft function was 100% in all patients. There was no graft loss, and no death was observed during follow-up.

Clinical discussion: Following the initiation of the brain-dead donor transplantation program, a lot of work needs to be done to make it a regular practice. Thus, this program needs support from all sections of society and government. This can be the only solution to decrease the huge gap between the supply and demand of organs in Nepal.

Conclusion: This case reports indeed revealed impressive success in initiating a brain-dead donor kidney transplantation program in a developing country that in terms of quality, meets comprehensive standard with acceptable graft function and patient/graft survival in under limited resources healthcare setting.

1. Introduction

Renal transplantation (RTx) is the best treatment modality for end-stage renal disease (ESRD). Renal transplantation has superior outcomes over other therapy such as dialysis in terms of health economics as well as improved long-term survival, quality of life, and productivity [1,2]. More than 90% of patients awaiting renal transplantation die without getting the kidney for transplantation. Brain dead donor kidney transplantation can bridge this gap proficiently [3]. There are no national statistics on the incidence of renal failure in Nepal. However, based on the 3 million cases of diabetes in Nepal, it is estimated that there are 3000 cases of renal failure in line with global statistics [4]. Moreover, there is a lack of data on the percentage of people who can gain access to renal replacement therapy.

The first live donor kidney transplantation was performed successfully in Nepal in 2008 [5]. In the meantime, nine years after the effective start of kidney transplantation, the first brain dead-donor organ transplant was performed in Nepal-creating history at Shahid Dharmabhakta National Transplant center (SDNTC) on May 11, 2017. At that time, two patients received a kidney each from a brain-dead donor, and both
recipients had successful admirable results. Only four more brain-dead kidney donations have been done at SDNTC till now. In addition, no other transplant hospital in Nepal has been able to begin brain-dead donor transplantation yet.

This lack of expansion of the brain-dead donor transplant program is disheartening especially when there are organs available from brain-dead donors and there are regulations in place to enable the use of these organs. More specifically, over 2000 people die in road traffic accidents every year in Nepal [6]. Different organs can be acquired from deceased individuals for transplantation which could save many lives of organ failure patients. Although the brain death donation law in Nepal was established in 2016, the expansion of the brain dead donor kidney transplantation program has been slower than expected. This might be due to legal, social, religious, spiritual, political, socioeconomic, medical, and ethical issues [7,8]. Thus, examining the factors that prevent increasing deceased organ donation in Nepal remains a big challenge and must be done to successfully expand the program.

In the meantime, considering the depth of this issue, we aim to report details of the first six patients who had undergone brain-dead donor kidney transplantation in Nepal. This will add up some bricks to the literature regarding brain-dead donor kidney transplantation in our context where we still lack literature. We report these cases in line with the updated consensus-based surgical case report (SCARE) guidelines [9].

2. Report of cases

A total of six patients who underwent kidney transplantation from three brain-dead donors at Sahid Dharmabhakta National Transplant centre (SDNTC), Nepal were included. The team leader (P.C.S.) had higher surgical training in the United Kingdom with more than 15 years of experience in transplant surgery. Some of the members of the team had a formal fellowship in kidney transplant surgery and other members of the team were trained by P.C.S. . All transplantations were performed as emergency surgeries following the sudden availability of brain-dead donors. All organs were transplanted to ABO-identical recipients. All recipients had regular hemodialysis before transplantation. All of our recipients were male with ages ranging from 15 to 56 years. The demographic characteristics of patients and perioperative data are shown in Table 1. The consent for organ donation in all brain-dead donors was provided by their relatives. Out of three donors, one patient had died in a road traffic accident, one had a stroke, and the third one had a fall from stairs. The procurement site of our hospital was nearby. This helped in the maintenance of the minimum cold ischemia time. All donors were hemodynamically stable. Range of donor age 30–72 years.

Regarding operative techniques, the donor’s kidneys were procured by a team of transplant surgeons and anesthetists. The abdomen was opened with a midline incision. The duodenum and right colon were mobilized, showing the great vessels. The aortic bifurcation was identified. The ligation of inferior mesenteric vessels was performed. Cannulas were put in situ for cooling and flushing. The ureters were ligated and divided into their distal part. The kidneys were removed en bloc with the vena cava and aorta. Kidneys were placed in a Histidine-Tryptophan-Ketoglutarate (HTK) solution for preservation and transport. Transplant procedures were done using the usual technique in the right or left iliac fossa. Most of our donor patients had a single renal artery except one who had three renal arteries. Lick Gregoir’s method was used to create ureteroneocystostomy and a double-J ureteral catheter was put in (Table 1).

All the postoperative outcomes were documented. Delayed graft function (DGF) which is defined as the need for dialysis within the first week of transplantation), graft function with tendencies in serum creatinine, acute rejection incidence (AR), and graft and patient survival rates were considered during follow-up (Table 2). Renal graft biopsy was performed in cases of acute graft dysfunction. Instantaneously after transplantation, all patients had decent graft perfusion intraoperatively and immediate urine output was adequate in all patients. Prophylactic anticoagulation during hospitalization was given to all recipients. An early graft Doppler ultrasound (DUS) was accomplished in all patients before discharge. Decent flow in the grafts, good patency of the anastomoses, and absence of signs of intraluminal thrombi were noticed. Delayed graft function was found in three patients needing 2–3 dialysis periods until hospital discharge. Urinary Infection was found in two patients, and both were treated with antibiotics. Patient 4 developed an acute rejection of graft (confirmed by kidney biopsy) which was

| Recipient’s Age (Years) | 51 | 15 | 56 | 30 | 40 | 31 |
|------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Sex                    | Male | Male | Male | Male | Male | Male |
| Weight (kg)            | 72 | 41 | 69 | 51 | 66 | 66 |
| Blood Group           | O Positive | O Positive | O Positive | O Positive | O Positive | O Positive |
| Medical illness       | DM/HTN | HTN | HTN/ADPKD | HTN | HTN | HTN |
| Dialysis access       | AVF | AVF | AVF | AVF | AVF | AVF |
| History of Prior dialysis | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Donor Age (years)      | 30 | 30 | 72 | 72 | 32 | 32 |
| Donor Sex             | M | M | M | M | M | M |
| Donor type             | Deceased | Deceased | Deceased | Deceased | Deceased | Deceased |
| Donor blood group      | O Negative | O Negative | O Positive | O Positive | O Negative | O Negative |
| Cold ischemia time (hours) | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Second warm ischemia time surgery (mins) | 47 | 38 | 46 | 54 | 72 | 44 |
| No. of Artery          | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Arterial anastomosis   | IIA | CIA | IIA | IIA | IIA | IIA |
| Ureterocystotomy       | Lick Gregoir’s technique | Lick Gregoir’s technique | Lick Gregoir’s technique | Lick Gregoir’s technique | Lick Gregoir’s technique | Lick Gregoir’s technique |
| Induction therapy      | ATG | ATG | ATG | ATG | ATG | ATG |
| Maintenance therapy    | Tac, MM, Steroid | Tac, MM, Steroid | Tac, MM, Steroid | Tac, MM, Steroid | Tac, MM, Steroid | Tac, MM, Steroid |

DM; Diabetes mellitus, HTN; Hypertension, PKD; Polycystic kidney disease, AVF; arteriovenous fistula, IIA; Internal iliac artery, CIA; Common iliac artery; EIA; External iliac artery, ATG; Antithymocyteglobulin, Tac; Tacrolimus, MM; Mycophenolate moefetil
managed with pulse methylprednisolone therapy (500 mg for 3 days).

The length of hospital stay ranged from 9 days to 32 days. One of the patients had an uneventful postoperative course while 3 patients were noted during follow-up. All recipients are leading a normal life.

### Table 2
Postoperative data.

| Recipient | Patient 1 | Patient 2 | Patient 3 | Patient 4 | Patient 5 | Patient 6 |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Biopsy    | None      | None      | None      | None      | None      | None      |
| Delayed graft function | No | Yes-ATN | Yes-ATN | Yes-Acute rejection | Yes-ATN | None |
| Rejection | No | No | No | No (acute) | No | No |
| POD Dialysis (No.) | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Infectional complication | None | None | None | None | UTI | UTI |
| Vascular Complication | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Length of Hospital stays (days) | 9 | 23 | 14 | 21 | 32 | 32 |
| No. of transplantation | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Creatinine POD | 1.3 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 11.2 | 7.8 |
| Cr during discharge | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.8 |
| Cr POD 1 year | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| Cr POD 3 year | 1.0 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| Cr POD 4 year | 1.1 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Current Creatinine | 1.1 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Duration from Operation | 4.5 Years | 4.5 Years | 3 Years | 3 Years | 3 Years | 3 Years |
| Graft loss | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Recipient’s Death | No | No | No | No | No | No |

ATN: Acute tubular necrosis, POD: Postoperative day, Cr: Creatinine.

3. Discussion

SDNTC was established in 2012 to establish an accessible organ transplantation program in Nepal and has performed 900 kidney transplants to date, and acts as the Coordination center for cadaveric organ transplantation. However, most of these transplants have been living donor transplants, with 62 patients having received their transplantation through living kidney donor pair exchange. The most significant footstep was the legalization of the organ transplantation regulation and prohibition act 1998 in 2016 [5]. It extended the living donor pool, and legalized organ donation through pair exchange. The most significant footstep was the legalization of the brain-dead donor transplant. The aim of modification is to increase the number of essential organs, to reduce the overall cost of the transplant technique, and number of possible recipients travelling to other countries for transplantation. The new legislation makes it mandatory to the treating hospitals to formally declare brain death. However, this is
yet to be put into practice by the hospitals in Nepal. To increase the donor pool, a system of national registry should be made functional and maintained. Public awareness to organ donation should be increased by educating the school children. Government backed health insurance program could incentivize public to become organ donor by allowing reimbursements to people who sign up to organ donation. Driving license application should have a check box to become organ donor. Government aided schemes such as Bipanna can be modified to incentivize organ donors such that those who sign up to organ donation will have higher amount of state funding in case they develop serious illness covered by the scheme.

To be a recipient of cadaveric organs the patient must be registered and placed on the waiting list by the coordination unit which is currently SDNTC. The patient has to undergo a formal assessment and make a formal application in a prescribed format. The process of brain-dead organ donation is regulated legislation. Accordingly, the first step to brain dead organ donation is to declare that the patient has sustained brain death. The hospital which declares brain death has to have minimal facilities specified by the law such as the presence of anesthetist/intensivist, at least two ventilators, ICU with at least two monitored beds, operation theatre, CT scan, arterial blood gas facilities, etc. The brain death test has to be performed by the concerned specialist and anesthetist/intensivist on two occasions. The test is done in three stages namely preliminary examination, brain stem test, and apnea test. Once the brain death is confirmed it has to be declared in a specified form [16]. Now the formal consent for donation can be signed by the relatives. Once the center is informed coordinators and transplant teams will visit the hospital to assess the donor. If a donor is suitable the transplant team will assist in donor management and subsequently transfer the patient to the operating theatre for organ harvesting. The chosen recipients are admitted to the hospital and kept ready for the surgery while the organ is being transported.

The organs prioritization process is quite clear. There is a large number of potential transplant recipients compared to a very meager supply of deceased donor organs. Hence a system of prioritization has been defined by the regulation based on several internationally accepted factors. For example, for kidney allocation, the scorings are based on factors such as duration of time on the waiting list, time since dialysis, human leucocyte antigen (HLA), panel-reactive antibody (PRA), donor-specific antibody (DSA), complement-dependent cytotoxicity (CDC) matching, age difference, and sex. The latter was introduced to remove the gender bias favoring male patients seen widely in practice [17,18]. Thus, male patients are awarded a score of 1 whereas female patients get a score of 3 out of a maximum of 42. This kind of scoring has been provisioned to address gender-based biases in live donor kidney transplantation in Nepal which goes heavily in favor of males.

Looking at the final results of our series, there was no loss of graft as well as no loss of a patient in 1, 3, and 4 years of follow-up post-operatively. Patient’s graft function along with graft and patient survival outcomes in this series were compared with existing literature [7,19].

We also acknowledge that being a retrospective single-center evaluation with only six cases a limitation of this series. However, brain-dead donor kidney transplantation can expand the donor pool and decrease the waiting list for kidney transplantation, mainly in a developing country like ours. This is the first series from our country which demonstrates brain-dead donor kidney transplantation can give satisfactory outcomes, encouraging the practice of this method across the country.

4. Conclusions

Following our initiation of brain-dead donor transplantation program, a lot of work needs to be done to make it a regular practice. Thus, this program needs support from all sections of society and government. This can be the only solution to decrease the huge gap between the supply and demand of organs in Nepal. These case reports indeed showed impressive success in initiating a brain-dead donor kidney transplantation program in a developing country that in terms of quality meets comprehensive standards with acceptable graft function and patient/graft survival in under limited resources healthcare setting.
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