Introduction

Chilli (Capsicum annuum L.) is one of the important vegetable crops grown throughout the tropics and warm temperate regions of the world.

Although there is a scope to enhance the productivity of chilli, a number of limiting factors have been attributed for the low productivity, among which, the damage caused by insect pests and mites is of paramount importance. India accounts for about 25% of the world total production of chilli (Nayaka et al., 2009) and it is a major exporter of chilli to Sri Lanka, USA, Nepal, Mexico and Bangladesh (Jagtap et al., 2012).

The yellow mite, Polyphagotarsonemus latus Banks and thrips, Scirtothrips dorsalis Hood are two important sucking pests of chilli with 30-55% severity causing extensive leaf curl and yield loss (Rai et al., 2007). The tarsonemid mites are an important pest and infested leaves become bronzed with down-curling margins, buds are aborted and flowers distorted, shoots grow twisted and fruit may be misshapen and russeted and yield loss goes
up to 94 per cent under favourable conditions. Imidacloprid, a systemic insecticide of neonicotinoids group recommended in chilli is known to cause resurgence in mite (Srinivasulu et al., 2002).

Abamectin is a natural fermentation product of the soil bacterium *Streptomyces avermitilis* (Omura and Shiomi, 2007 and Pitterna et al., 2009). Furthermore, Abamectin is a blend of avermectins B1a and B1b, which contain at least about 80% avermectin B1a and 20% avermectin B1b (Pitterna, et al., 2009). These two components, B1a and B1b, have very similar biological and toxicological properties. The Abamectin 1.8 EC is antibiotic insecticide and acaricide with action of contact poisoning, stomach poisoning and feeble fumigation. It has distinct diffusion effect on the leaves. After applied, the liquor rapidly impregnate into epidermal tissue and forming the second peak time of efficacy.

**Materials and Methods**

Management of mites in chilli crop (variety – Kashi Anmol) through Abamectin 1.8 EC was carried as Five Trials under OFT. The work was carried in irrigated fields each of 2000 sq. m area in village Sinduri of Shahdol District, (M.P.) in Kharif (2012-2013). The technology was to evaluate the performance of Abamectin 1.8 EC @ 750 ml/ha two sprays at 15 days interval, and compared with farmers practices of indiscriminate use of insecticides. The soil was sandy loam in texture. Raising of chilli crop was done as per standard agronomic practices. Chilli crop was raised in nursery and 22 day old seedlings were transplanted at a spacing of 50 cm x 50 cm. Further, the mite population were studied by selecting and tagging five plants randomly from each plot. The mite population were counted from different parts like upper, middle and lower portion of the plant leaf and their mean population were calculated per leaf.

**Cost benefit ratio**

The data were tabulated and calculated on the basis of their yield performance. The fruit yield from farmer’s field was recorded and computed as quintal per hectare (q/ha). The cost-benefit ratio (CBR) of different farmers was calculated by estimating their cost of cultivation and their returns from fruit yield and computing them to per hectare piece of land. The average market price of chilli prevalent during the studied period was 18 (Rs/Kg). Cost benefit ratio was calculated using the following formula:

\[
\text{CBR} = \frac{\text{Average gross return}}{\text{Average cost of cultivation}}
\]

**Results and Discussion**

Table 1 and Figure 1a, 1b and 1c showed the efficacy of Abamectin 1.8 EC i.e. effectiveness of acaricide against chilli mite, *Polyphagotarsonemus latus* Banks over the farmers practice. The adults and nymphs of mites generally suck sap from leaves, petioles and tender twigs. The margin of the young leaves curled downwards in an inverted boat shaped manner. The leaves look shiny, and silvery lining was recorded on the ventral surface. However, the older leaves and petioles were found elongated. In severely infested plant, leaves and terminal twigs become hardened, twisted and thickened.

Infested plant produced very small sized leaves. In such a plant most of the young fruits look silvery and shiny, and in later stage the fruits become cracked and deformed (Mondal and Mondal, 2012). The post treatment effect, after sprayed, indicated a significant reduction in the population of mites in the acaricide treated plot (Research practice) than untreated control (farmer practice).
Table 1: Economics viability of Abamectin 1.8 E.C. for mites management in chili crop

| Av. Cost of cultivation (Rs/ha) | No. of mites/leaf | Reduction in mites infestation (%) | Yield (q/ha) | Yield enhancement (%) | Average Gross Return (Rs/ha) | Av Net Return (Rs/ha) | B:C Ratio |
|--------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| FP (T1)                        | RP (T1)          | RP (T2)                           | FP (T1)     | RP (T1)               | FP (T2)                      | RP (T2)               |           |
| 63900                          | 76350            | 1.8                               | 0.75        | -                     | 58.33                        | 78                    | 120       |

Fig. 1a Mites affected plants

Fig. 1b Healthy plants

Fig. 1c Farmers–Scientist interaction
The average number of mites varied from 0.75 to 1.80 (mites/leaf). The Abamectin 1.8 EC treated fields showed 0.75 (mites/leaf) while, farmers practise fields showed 1.80 (mites/leaf) and promising yield of 120 (q/ha) giving a net return of 139650 (Rs./ha) as compared to farmers practices yield of 78 (q/ha) and net return of 76100 (Rs./ ha). The assessed technology was found suitable for mites management in chilli with reduction in the infestation considerably. The benefit cost ratios were 2.83 and 2.19, respectively in Abamectin 1.8 EC treated fields and farmers practices fields respectively. Thus, the technology of two sprays of Abamectin 1.8 EC at 15 days interval was found to be economically viable for management of crop losses in chilly by mites in comparison with farmers practices. Abamectin 1.8 EC @ 750 ml/ha was found superior over the farmer practice with a percent reduction of 58.33 in mites population and yield enhancement up to 35% over farmers practice. The Abamectin 1.8 EC was very effective in reducing the mites infestation in chilli crop as well as also increasing the fruit yield as also reported by earlier scientist Mondal and Mondal (2012), Nandini et al., (2012) and Sujay et. al. (2015).

Economics viability of Abamectin 1.8 E.C. for mites management in chilli crop are presented in Table 1. Based on average fruit yield and prevalent market price of chilli Cost Benefit Cost Ratio (B: C) was calculated. The benefit cost ratio was found to be 2.83 in Abamectin 1.8 EC treated fields and 2.19 in farmers practice fields. Vishwakarma et al. (2010) also calculated B: C ratio for different treatments against yellow mite in chilli crop.
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