Treatment of Hypertension Among Non-Cardiac Hospitalized Patients
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Abstract

Purpose of Review This review provides a contemporary perspective and approach for the treatment of hypertension (HTN) among patients hospitalized for non-cardiac reasons.

Recent Findings Elevated blood pressure (BP) is a common dilemma encountered by physicians, but guidelines are lacking to assist providers in managing hospitalized patients with elevated BP. Inpatient HTN is common, and management remains challenging given the paucity of data and misperceptions among training and practicing physicians. The outcomes associated with intensifying BP treatment during hospitalization can be harmful, with little to no long-term benefits. Data also suggests that medication intensification at discharge is not associated with improved outpatient BP control.

Summary Routine inpatient HTN control in the absence of end-organ damage has not shown to be helpful and may have deleterious effects. Since routine use of intravenous antihypertensives in hospitalized non-cardiac patients has been shown to prolong inpatient stay without benefits, their routine use should be avoided for inpatient HTN control. Future large-scale trials measuring clinical outcomes during prolonged follow-up may help to identify specific circumstances where inpatient HTN control may be beneficial.
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Introduction

Although predominantly considered an outpatient condition, hypertension (HTN) is frequently observed and treated in the hospital setting where optimal practices for diagnosis and management are often uncertain. A systematic review of reports describing inpatient HTN prevalence found estimates ranging from 51 to 72% [1]. However, this percentage likely underestimates the prevalence since a considerable proportion of patients have HTN that is concealed or undetected unless 24-h blood pressure (BP) monitoring is performed during the hospitalization. Furthermore, about 28 to 38% of hospitalized patients with elevated BP have no prior diagnosis of HTN [2].

In the US guidelines, HTN in the outpatient setting is defined by an average systolic BP ≥ 130 mm Hg or diastolic BP ≥ 80 mm Hg [3]. Recognition of inpatient HTN can provide opportunities for diagnosis and treatment intensification during hospitalization and in the outpatient setting. However, determining which hospitalized patients with elevated BP have chronic HTN can be difficult in clinical practice, because there is currently no diagnostic standard, and numerous factors can impact BP measurements obtained in the hospital.

Despite strong evidence supporting the benefit of HTN management for prevention of cardiovascular disease in the primary care setting, there is a paucity of evidence supporting the value of strict control of BP in hospitalized patients. Health care providers regularly start or change medications to achieve BP control in hospitalized patients, even if the BP is only slightly elevated, with the belief that inpatient HTN control benefits patients [4]. In this review, available information regarding inpatient HTN management in non-cardiac hospitalized patients will be summarized and management recommendations offered.
Basis for Treatment of Hypertension

In individuals with chronic HTN, BP lowering strategies have been shown to reduce the incidence of myocardial infarction, stroke, heart failure, and both cardiovascular and all-cause mortality. According to a large meta-analysis that included 68 randomized clinical trials conducted between 1966 and 2013, lowering systolic BP by 10 mm Hg in patients with chronic HTN reduces the risk of major cardiovascular disease events by 20%, coronary heart disease by 17%, stroke by 27%, heart failure by 28%, and all-cause mortality by 13% [5, 6].

A significant percentage of patients admitted to the hospital have undiagnosed HTN [7], and those with an established diagnosis of HTN are often not provided antihypertensive medications during their hospitalization [8]. When increased BP is observed in hospitalized patients, it is often attributed to anxiety, pain, or white coat HTN. Even among training resident physicians, surveys show they do not initiate treatment if BP is < 140/90 mm Hg [4].

Unless the hospitalized person has severely elevated BP, a clinical diagnosis of HTN is not considered based on the United Kingdom (UK), European, or American guidelines [9–11]. Accordingly, referral for community follow-up of these patients to determine the presence or absence of persistent HTN is poor. Unfortunately, studies show that patients with elevated BP recordings in the hospital frequently remain hypertensive in the community [2, 12–15]. Among patients without a history of HTN, the presence of elevated BP during hospitalization is associated with a higher risk of presenting with outpatient HTN at 1 month and 3 years after discharge [16, 17]. Thus, inpatient hospitalization provides an opportunity for identifying uncontrolled HTN and improving post-discharge BP control.

Hypertension Treatment Guidelines

Major differences exist among the American [11], European [10], and Canadian [18] HTN guidelines in the BP thresholds recommended for pharmacological treatment of elevated BP. American guidelines recommend treatment with antihypertensives in patients > 20/10 mm Hg above BP goal, and individuals with BP > 130/80 mm Hg are considered to have HTN with recommendations to lower BP to < 130/80 mm Hg in all. In patients without macrovascular target organ damage or other cardiovascular risk factors, the Canadian guideline recommends initiation of pharmacological therapy when diastolic BP ≥ 100 mm Hg or systolic BP ≥ 160 mm Hg. In patients with macrovascular target organ damage or other cardiovascular disease risk factors, pharmacological treatment is recommended to be initiated when average diastolic BP ≥ 90 mm Hg or systolic BP ≥ 140 mm Hg; target BP levels are < 140/90 mm Hg [19]. The European HTN guideline recommends a BP treatment threshold of diastolic BP ≥ 90 mm Hg or systolic BP ≥ 140 mm Hg with ultimate treatment targets being systolic BP 120–129 mm Hg in those < 65 years old and 130–139 mm Hg in those 65 years and older [10].

None of the guidelines discusses the management of inpatient HTN in the absence of hypertensive emergencies or BP sensitive acute conditions such as acute myocardial infarction and acute ischemic stroke.

Outcomes Associated with Treatment of Inpatient Hypertension

Despite the high prevalence of elevated BP among hospitalized non-cardiac patients, BP management guidelines are lacking for this population. A recent study of almost 23,000 adults hospitalized for non-cardiovascular diagnoses assessed the outcomes associated with acute HTN treatment (i.e., defined as administration of an intravenous antihypertensive medication or a new class of oral antihypertensive treatment) in patients who had a hypertensive BP (e.g., systolic BP > 140 mm Hg) recorded during their admission. Acute HTN treatment was associated with higher rates of subsequent acute kidney injury (10.3% vs 7.9%; P < 0.001) and myocardial injury (1.2% vs 0.6%; P = 0.003) than simply continuing medications prescribed before admission. When stratified by systolic BP (i.e., 140–159 mm Hg, 160–199 mm Hg, or > 200 mm Hg), there was no BP interval in which treated patients had better outcomes than untreated patients [20••]. Patients who received “as-needed” treatment with intravenous labetalol or hydralazine ordered at admission for elevated BP above an arbitrary threshold had longer hospitalizations than those for whom treatment was ordered but not administered; hospital length of stay was 10.6 ± 13.1 days (mean ± SD) for patients receiving hydralazine, 9.6 ± 11.1 days for those receiving labetalol, 13.5 ± 18.9 days for patients who received both drugs, and 6.5 ± 9.7 days for patients for whom drugs were ordered but not administered [21, 22•].

Initiating treatment for asymptomatic HTN in the emergency department is unnecessary when non-cardiac patients have follow-up. Furthermore, rapidly lowering BP in asymptomatic non-cardiac patients in the emergency department [23] or at hospital discharge may be harmful. Among older adults hospitalized for non-cardiac conditions, intensifying antihypertensive therapy at discharge did not reduce cardiovascular events or improve BP control at 1-year follow-up, but it was associated with an increased risk of readmission.
Inpatient Hypertension Treatment Considerations

The decision to intensify antihypertensive therapy during hospital admission often results from the application of outpatient BP guidelines to the inpatient setting. However, many factors that are absent in the outpatient setting may contribute to transiently elevated inpatient BP including acute pain, stress, anxiety, and exposure to new drugs. Additionally, although few studies have examined the presence of a white coat effect for patients admitted to the hospital, recent research suggests that in-hospital BP in clinically stable patients is often higher than the BP measured at home after discharge [34].

Peri-operative HTN is common, and treatment should aim at preserving organ function, reducing complications, and improving outcomes. Because there are no management guidelines and no definitive treatment, the focus must be on tailoring therapy to the patient’s risk factors and comorbid morbidities as well as the clinical situation [35]. The treatment of acute elevations in BP (defined as an increase in systolic BP, diastolic BP, or mean arterial pressure by > 20% over baseline in the perioperative period) is without a uniform approach despite attempts to standardize the method to characterize intraoperative hemodynamics. One approach adopted by many providers is to base the treatment goal on the patient’s preoperative BP. A conservative target would be approximately 10% above baseline BP; unfortunately, no data supports the above — or any other target — goal [36].

Long-Term Results of Inpatient Intensification of Treatment

In initiating or intensifying antihypertensive therapy for patients admitted to the hospital, clinicians may not be aware of important contextual factors, such as history of previous medical treatment, medication intolerance, barriers to adherence, and the patient’s long-term success at BP control [37]. Elevated inpatient BP is more common in patients with chronically elevated outpatient BP before admission. However, almost half of patients with elevated inpatient BP are normotensive prior to admission [36, 38]. In a study of 14,915 older adults (e.g., > 65 years of age) admitted to the hospital for common non-cardiac conditions, one in seven were discharged with intensified antihypertensive treatment even though half of the intensifications occurred in patients with previously well-controlled BP [22•]. No differences in rates of intensification were observed among patients least likely to benefit from tight BP control (i.e., limited life expectancy, dementia, or metastatic malignancy), nor in those most likely to benefit (i.e., history of myocardial infarction, cerebrovascular disease, or renal disease) suggesting that current treatment practices may focus on treating the BP “number” rather than treating the patient [39•].

Another study showed that antihypertensive medication regimen modifications during hospitalization of elderly patients were common, likely to be continued after hospital discharge, and were an independent risk factor for mortality 3 months post-discharge [40]. The initiation and intensification of antihypertensive medication is associated with a
short-term increased risk of a serious fall injury in older adults. However, there does not seem to be a long-term increased risk for serious fall injuries associated with antihypertensive medication [41]. Clear communication remains paramount to minimizing the medication-related harms in the peri-hospitalization period; simply reconciling medications at discharge is not sufficient [40, 42].

Conclusion

In non-cardiac hospitalized patients, outcome data do not support a benefit of treating acutely elevated BP; in fact, acutely lowering BP may be harmful. Accordingly, it is reasonable to limit the use of parenteral antihypertensive therapy in non-cardiac patients to situations in which acute target organ damage is suspected, rather than as a standing prn order targeted to elevated BP above a threshold level. Rather than focusing on the inpatient BP “numbers,” the goal should be to simplify and improve long-term HTN care. The decision to adjust antihypertensive medications in the inpatient setting should incorporate considerations of the patient’s likelihood of benefit in the context of the acute hospital situation and existing underlying chronic conditions.

Author Contribution (I) Conception and design: all authors; (II) administrative support: JBCR, TS; (III) provision of study materials or patients: JBCR, BC; (IV) collection and assembly of data: all authors; (V) data analysis and interpretation: all authors; (VI) manuscript writing: all authors; (VII) final approval of manuscript: all authors.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of Interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Human and Animal Rights and Informed Consent This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:
● Of importance
●● Of major importance

1. Axon R, Neal LC, Egan BM. Prevalence and management of hypertension in the inpatient setting: a systematic review. J Hosp Med. 2011;6(7):417–22.
2. Conen D, Martina B, Perruchoud AP, Leimennstoll BM. High prevalence of newly detected hypertension in hospitalized patients: the value of inhospital 24-h blood pressure measurement. J Hypertens. 2006;24(2):301–6.
3. Whelton PK, Williams B. The 2018 European Society of Cardiology/European Society of Hypertension and 2017 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association blood pressure guidelines: more similar than different. JAMA. 2018;320(17):1749–50.
4. Neal AR, Garrell R, Pfahl K, Fisher JE, Zhao Y, Egan B, et al. Attitudes and practices of resident physicians regarding hypertension in the inpatient setting. J Clin Hypertens. 2010;12(9):698–705.
5. Ettehad D, Emdin CA, Kiran A, Anderson SG, Callender T, Emberson J, et al. Blood pressure lowering for prevention of cardiovascular disease and death: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet. 2016;387(10022):957–67.
6. Thomopoulos C, Parati G, Zanchetti A. Effects of blood pressure lowering on outcome incidence in hypertension. 1. Overview, meta-analyses, and meta-regression analyses of randomized trials. J Hypertens. 2014;32(12):2285–95.
7. Armitage LC, Mahdi A, Lawson BK, Roman C, Fanshawe T, Tarassenko L, et al. Screening for hypertension in the inpatient environment (SHINE): a protocol for a prospective study of diagnostic accuracy among adult hospital patients. BMJ Open. 2019;9(12): e033792.
8. Shankar BS, Russell RP, Southard JW, Schurman EW. Patterns of care for hypertension among hospitalized patients. Public Health Rep. 1982;97(6):521.
9. Hypertension in adults: diagnosis and management. London: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE); 2022 Mar 18. (NICE Guideline, No. 136.) Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK547161/. Accessed Date 02 May 2022.
10. Williams B, Mancia G, Spiering W, Agabiti Rosei E, Azizi M, Burnier M, et al. 2018 ESC/ESH Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension: the Task Force for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Society of Hypertension (ESH). Eur Heart J. 2018;39(33):3021–104.
11. Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, Casey DE, Collins KJ, Himmelfarb CD, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/ASA/ABC/ACP/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA guideline for the prevention, detection, evaluation, and management of high blood pressure in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. JACC. 2018;71(19):e127-248.
12. EUROASPIRE Study Group. EUROASPIRE 4: a European Society of Cardiology survey of secondary prevention of coronary heart disease: principal results. Eur Heart J. 1997;18(10):1569–82.
Euroaspire I. Lifestyle and risk-factor management and use of drug therapies in coronary patients from 15 countries. Eur Heart J. 2001;22(7):554–72.

Jankowski P, Kawecka-Jaszcz K, Bilo G, Pajaš A. Determinants of poor hypertension management in patients with ischaemic heart disease. Blood Press. 2005;14(5):284–92.

Kotsa K, Wood D, Backer GD, Bacquer DD, Pyörälä K, Keil U, et al. EUROASPIRE III: a survey on the lifestyle, risk factors and use of cardioprotective drug therapies in coronary patients from 22 European countries. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil. 2009;16(2):121–37.

Zanuzzi MG, Álvarez-JJ, Garay SB, Peñaranda GE, Romero CA. Inpatient hypertension predicts ambulatory hypertension in previously normotensive patients. J Hypertens. 2021;1:39:e244–5.

Weder AB. Treating acute hypertension in the hospital: a lacuna in the guidelines. Hypertens. 2011;57(1):18–20.

Leung AA, Nerenberg K, Daskalopoulou SS, McBrien K, Zanke KB, Dasgupta K, et al. Hypertension Canada’s 2016 Canadian hypertension education program guidelines for blood pressure measurement, diagnosis, assessment of risk, prevention, and treatment of hypertension. Can J Cardiol. 2016;32(5):569–88.

Nerenberg KA, Zanke KB, Leung AA, Dasgupta K, Butalia S, McBrien K, et al. Hypertension Canada’s 2018 guidelines for diagnosis, risk assessment, prevention, and treatment of hypertension in adults and children. Can J Cardiol. 2018;34(5):506–25.

Rastogi R, Sheehan MM, Hu B, Shaker V, Kojima L, Rothberg MB. Treatment and outcomes of inpatient hypertension among adults with noncardiac admissions. JAMA Int Med. 2021;181(3):345–52. Only large-scale retrospective trial comparing inpatient hypertension outcomes in non-cardiac admissions.

Weder AB, Erickson S. Treatment of hypertension in the inpatient setting: use of intravenous labetalol and hydralazine. J Clin Hypertens. 2010;12(1):29–33.

Bean-Thompson K, Exposito J, Fowler O, Mhaskar R, Chen L, Codolosa JN. Impact of intravenous antihypertensives on outcomes among hospitalized patients. Am J Hypertens. 2021;34(8):867–73. A study showing effects of IV as-needed (PRN) antihypertensives on prolonged hospital stay and mortality.

Decker WW, Godwin SA, Hess EP, Lenamond CC, Jagoda AS. Clinical policy: critical issues in the evaluation and management of adult patients with asymptomatic hypertension in the emergency department. Ann Emerg Med. 2006;47(3):237–49.

Anderson TS, Jing B, Auerbach A, Wray CM, Lee S, Boscardin WJ, et al. Clinical outcomes after intensifying antihypertensive medication regimens among older adults at hospital discharge. JAMA Int Med. 2019;179(11):1528–36. A study demonstrating outcomes after intensification of HTN regime.

Veterans Administration Co-operative Study Group on Antihypertensive agents: Effects of treatment on morbidity in hypertension. I. Results in patients with diastolic blood pressure averaging 115 through 129mm Hg. JAMA. 1967;202:1028–34.

Brooks TW, Finch CK, Lobo BL, Deaton PR, Varner CF. Blood pressure management in acute hypertensive emergency. Am J Health Syst Pharm. 2007;64(24):2579–82.

Fischberg GM, Lozano E, Rajamani K, Ameriso S, Fisher MJ. Stroke precipitated by moderate blood pressure J Emerg Med. 2000;19(4):339–46.

Grossman E, Messerli FH, Grodzicki T, Kowey P. Should a moratorium be placed on sublingual nifedipine capsules given for hypertensive emergencies and pseudoemergencies? JAMA. 1996;276(16):1328–31.

Hodsmen GP, Isles CG, Murray GD, Usherwood TP, Webb DJ, Robertson JI. Factors related to first dose hypotensive effect of captopril: prediction and treatment. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed). 1983;286(6368):832–4.

Zeller KR, Von Kuhnert L, Matthews C. Rapid reduction of severe asymptomatic hypertension: a prospective, controlled trial. Arch Int Med. 1989;149(10):2186–9.

Axon RN, Turner M, Buckley R. An update on inpatient hypertension management. Curr Cardiol Rep. 2015;17(11):1–8. An article suggesting a practical approach to inpatient HTN management.

Group IS. The International Stroke Trial (IST): a randomised trial of aspirin, subcutaneous heparin, both, or neither among 19,435 patients with acute ischaemic stroke. Lancet. 1997;349(9065):1569–81.

Bederson JB, Connolly ES Jr, Batjer HH, Dacey RG, Dion JE, Diringer MN, et al. Guidelines for the management of aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: a statement for healthcare professionals from a special writing group of the stroke council, American Heart Association. Stroke. 2009;40(3):994–1025.

Blekser S, Meisel T, Dickson VV, Shelley D, Horwitz L. “We’re almost guests in their clinical care”: Inpatient provider attitudes toward chronic disease management. J Hosp Med. 2017;12(3):162.

Soto-Ruiz KM, Peacock WF, Varon J. Perioperative hypertension: diagnosis and treatment. Neth J Crit Care. 2011;15(3):143–8.

Varon J, Marik PE. Perioperative hypertension management. Vasc Health Risk Manag. 2008;4(3):615.

Forster AJ, Clark HD, Menard A, Dupuis N, Chernish R, Chandok N, et al. Adverse events among medical patients after discharge from hospital. CMAJ. 2004;170(3):345–9.

Steinman MA, Auerbach AD. Managing chronic disease in hospitalized patients. JAMA Int Med. 2013;173(20):1857–8.

Anderson TS, Wray CM, Jing B, Fung K, Ngo S, Xu E, et al. Intensification of older adults’ outpatient blood pressure treatment at hospital discharge: national retrospective cohort study. BMJ. 2018;362. A large-scale study showing outcomes after inpatient intensification of HTN therapy.

Mansur N, Weiss A, Beloosesky Y. Relationship of in-hospital medication modifications of elderly patients to post discharge medications, adherence, and mortality. Ann Pharmacother. 2008;42(6):783–9.

Shimbo D, Barrett Bowling C, Levitan EB, Deng L, Sim JJ, Huang L, et al. Short-term risk of serious fall injuries in older adults initiating and intensifying treatment with antihypertensive medication. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes. 2016;9(3):222–9.

Kwan JL, Lo L, Sampson M, Shojania KG. Medication reconciliation during transitions of care as a patient safety strategy: a systematic review. Annals of internal medicine. 2013;158(5_Part_2):397–403.