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1 Introduction

Let $(E, \rho)$ be a metric space, and let $\mathcal{P}(E)$ be the class of all probability measures on $E$. The quadratic Wasserstein distance between $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}(E)$ is defined by

$$W_2(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \inf_{\pi \in \mathcal{C}(\mu_1, \mu_2)} \left\{ \int_{E \times E} \rho^2(x, y) \pi(dx, dy) \right\}^{1/2},$$

where $\mathcal{C}(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ is the space of all couplings of $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$. In the study of Monge-Kantorovich optimal transportation problem, this distance is explained as the minimal cost to transport distribution $\mu_1$ into $\mu_2$ at the cost rate (cost function) $\rho$. Thus, an inequality involving $W_2$ is called a transportation cost inequality (TCI). Since the optimal transportation is usually unknown, in applications it is important to estimate $W_2$ by easier to calculate quantities, for instance the relative entropy $H(\mu_1 | \mu_2) := \int_E (\log \frac{d \mu_1}{d \mu_2}) d\mu_1$ if $\mu_1$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu_2$, and $H(\mu_1 | \mu_2) := \infty$ otherwise.

In 1996, Talagrand\textsuperscript{18} established the following beautiful TCI for the standard Gaussian measure $\nu$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\rho(x, y) = |x - y|$: \textsuperscript{18}

$$W_2(\nu, \mu)^2 \leq 2H(\nu | \mu), \quad \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where the constant 2 is sharp. Since then, this type TCI has been intensively investigated and applied for various different distributions, and was linked to functional inequalities, concentration phenomena, optimal transport problem, and large deviations, see \cite{2, 3, 5, 8, 11, 13, 19, 23} and references therein. Moreover, Talagrand type TCI has also been established on the path spaces of stochastic processes, see e.g.\cite{4, 26, 27} for diffusion processes on $\mathbb{R}^d$, \cite{14} for multidimensional semi-martingales, \cite{1, 20} for stochastic differential equations (SDEs) with memory, \cite{5, 6, 22-24} for (reflecting) diffusion processes on Riemannian manifolds, \cite{25} for SDEs driven by pure jump processes, and \cite{12, 17} for SDEs with Lévy or fractional noises.
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\textsuperscript{1}Corresponding author.
Recently, by using the Girsanov transformation argument developed from [4], the Talagrand inequality was established on the path space for solutions of stochastic reaction diffusion equations with deterministic initial values, see [43], [15]. In this paper, we aim to extend this result to the case with random initial values. In this case, the distribution of a solution is a probability measure on the free path space, where the initial value is not fixed. Since the Girsanov transformation does not change initial distributions, it does not work for probability measures with different initial distributions. However, two equivalent probability measures on the free path space may have different initial distributions. To overcome this difficulty, we will adopt a split argument used in [1] to reduce the problem to the case with deterministic initial value, to which the Girsanov transformation applies.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present a general result on the TCI for Markov processes with random initial values, which is then applied in Section 3 to stochastic reaction diffusion equations.

2 A General Result

Let \((E, \rho)\) be a Polish space, and let \((P_t)_{t \geq 0}\) be the semigroup of a continuous Markov process on \(E\). For any \(T > 0\) and \(\mu \in \mathcal{P}(E)\), let \(P^\mu\) denote the distribution of the Markov process up to time \(T\) with initial distribution \(\mu\); i.e., letting \(P_t(x, \cdot)\) be the associated Markov transition kernel, \(P^\mu\) is the unique probability measure on the free path space

\[
E_T := C([0, T]; E) \quad \text{equipped with } \rho_T(\xi, \eta) := \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \rho(\xi_t, \eta_t),
\]

such that for any \(0 = t_0 < t_1 \cdots < t_n = T\) and \(\{A_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq n} \subset \mathcal{B}(E)\),

\[
P^\mu(X_{t_i} \in A_i, 0 \leq i \leq n) = \int_{A_0} \mu(dx_0) \int_{A_1} P_{t_1-t_0}(x_0, dx_1) \cdots \int_{A_n} P_{t_n-t_{n-1}}(x_{n-1}, dx_n),
\]

where \(X_t, t \geq 0\) denotes the canonical coordinate process on the path space \(E_T\). When \(\mu = \delta_x\), the Dirac measure at \(x \in E\), we simply denote \(P^\mu = P^x\). Then

\[
P^\mu = \int_E P^x \mu(dx), \quad \mu \in \mathcal{P}(E). \tag{2.1}
\]

Let \(\mathcal{W}_2\) and \(\mathcal{W}_{2,T}\) be the Wasserstein distances induced by \(\rho\) on \(\mathcal{P}(E)\) and \(\rho_T\) on \(\mathcal{P}(E_T)\) respectively. We aim to establish the TCI for \(P^\mu\) by using those for \(\{P^x : x \in E\}\) and \(\mu\).

**Theorem 2.1.** Assume that for some constants \(c_1, c_2 \in (0, \infty)\) one has

\[
\mathcal{W}_{2,T}(Q, P^x)^2 \leq c_1 H(Q|P^x), \quad x \in E, \quad Q \in \mathcal{P}(E_T), \tag{2.2}
\]

\[
\mathcal{W}_{2,T}(P^x, P^y)^2 \leq c_2 \rho(x, y)^2, \quad x, y \in E. \tag{2.3}
\]

If \(\mu \in \mathcal{P}(E)\) satisfies

\[
\mathcal{W}_2(\nu, \mu)^2 \leq c_0 H(\nu|\mu), \quad \nu \in \mathcal{P}(E) \tag{2.4}
\]

for some constant \(c_0 \in (0, \infty)\), then

\[
\mathcal{W}_{2,T}(Q, P^\mu)^2 \leq CH(Q|P^\mu), \quad Q \in \mathcal{P}(E_T) \tag{2.5}
\]

holds for \(C = (\sqrt{c_1} + \sqrt{c_0 c_2})^2\). On the other hand, (2.5) implies (2.4) for \(c_0 = C\).
Proof. (1) We first deduce (2.5) from (2.4). Let $Q = FP^μ ∈ \mathcal{P}(E_T)$ and $u_0 : E_T → E$ with $u_0(ξ) = ξ_0$. Then
\[
\{Q ◦ u_0^{-1}\}(dx) = p(x)μ(dx) =: ν(dx)
\] holds for
\[
p(x) := \int_{E_T} F(ξ)P^x(dξ), \quad x ∈ E.
\]
By the triangle inequality,
\[
\mathbb{W}_{2,T}(Q, P^μ) ≤ \mathbb{W}_{2,T}(Q, P^ν) + \mathbb{W}_{2,T}(P^ν, P^μ).
\]
Below we estimate these two terms respectively.
To estimate $\mathbb{W}_{2,T}(Q, P^ν)$, we note that (2.1) implies
\[
\int_{E_T} f(ξ)F(ξ)P^μ(dξ) = \int_{E_T} f(x)μ(dx) \int_{E_T} F(ξ)P^x(dξ)
\]
\[
= \int_{E_T} f(x)p(x)μ(dx) = \int_{E_T} (fp)(ξ_0)P^x(dξ), \quad f ∈ B_b(E).
\]
Therefore, letting $E^μ$ be the expectation with respect to $P^μ$, we have
\[
p ◦ u_0 = E^μ(F|u_0).
\]
Now, let
\[
F_x(ξ) = 1_{\{p(x) > 0\}} \frac{F(ξ)}{p(x)}, \quad x ∈ E, \quad ξ ∈ E_T.
\]
By (??), if $p(x) > 0$ then
\[
\mathbb{W}_{2,T}(F^xP^x, P^x)^2 ≤ c_1 P^x(F_x log F_x).
\]
So, for any $G, H ∈ \mathcal{C}$, where
\[
\mathcal{C} := \{(G, H) : G, H ∈ C_b(E_T), G(ξ) ≤ H(η) + ρ_T(ξ, η)^2 \text{ for } ξ, η ∈ E_T\},
\]
we have
\[
\int_{E_T} F_xGdP^x - \int_{E_T} HdP^x ≤ c_1 \int_{E_T} (F_x log F_x)dP^x, \quad p(x) > 0.
\]
Integrating with respect to $ν(dx) := p(x)μ(dx)$ and using (2.1), we obtain
\[
Q(G) - P^ν(H) = \int_{E_T} GdQ - \int_{E_T} HdP^ν = \int_E \{ \int_{E_T} F_xGdP^x - \int_{E_T} HdP^x \} p(x)μ(dx)
\]
\[
≤ c_1 \int_E \{ \int_{E_T} (F_x log F_x)dP^x \} p(x)μ(dx)
\]
\[
= c_1 H(Q|P^μ) - c_1 E^μ[F log E^μ(F|u_0)]dP^μ
\]
\[
= c_1 H(Q|P^μ) - c_1 E^μ[F log E^μ(F|u_0)] ≤ c_1 H(Q|P^μ),
\]
where the last step is due to the fact that
\[
E^μ[F log E^μ(F|u_0)] = E^μ[E^μ(F|u_0) log E^μ(F|u_0)]
\]
\[
≥ E^μ[E^μ(F|u_0)] log E^μ[E^μ(F|u_0)] = E^μ[F] log E^μ[F] = 0.
\]
Therefore, by the Kontorovich dual formula, we arrive at
\[ \mathbb{W}_{2,T}(Q, P')^2 = \sup_{(G,H) \in \mathcal{C}} \{Q(G) - P'(H)\} \leq c_1 H(Q|P'). \] (2.9)

On the other hand, by (2.3), for any \((G, H) \in \mathcal{C}\) we have
\[ \int_{E_T} GdP_x - \int_{E_T} HdP_y \leq c_2 \rho(x, y)^2, \quad x, y \in E. \] (2.10)

Let \(\pi \in \mathcal{C}(\nu, \mu)\) be the optimal coupling such that
\[ \mathbb{W}_2(\nu, \mu)^2 = \int_{E \times E} \rho(x, y)^2 \pi(dx, dy). \]

Integrating (2.10) with respect to \(\pi(dx, dy)\), and applying (2.1), we obtain
\[ \int_{E_T} GdP^\nu - \int_{E_T} HdP^\mu = \int_{E \times E} \left\{ \int_{E_T} GdP_x - \int_{E_T} HdP_y \right\} \pi(dx, dy) \leq c_2 \mathbb{W}_2(\nu, \mu)^2. \]

Combining this with the Kontorovich dual formula, and applying (2.4), we arrive at
\[ \mathbb{W}_{2,T}(P', P^\mu)^2 \leq c_2 \mathbb{W}_2(\nu, \mu)^2 \leq c_0 c_2 \mu(p \log p). \] (2.11)

Since (2.1), (2.8) and Jensen’s inequality imply
\[ \mu(p \log p) = \int_{E_T} \{(p \circ u_0) \log p \circ u_0\} dP^\mu = \mathbb{E}^\mu(\mathbb{E}^\mu(F|u_0) \log \mathbb{E}^\mu(F|u_0)) \leq \mathbb{E}^\mu(\mathbb{E}^\mu(F \log F|u_0)) = H(Q|P'), \]

it follows from (2.11) that
\[ \mathbb{W}_{2,T}(P', P^\mu)^2 \leq c_0 c_2 H(Q|P'). \]

Combining this with (2.7) and (2.9), we prove (2.5)

(2) To deduce (2.4) from (2.5), for \(\nu = p\mu\) we take \(Q = (p \circ u_0)P^\mu\). Let \(\Pi \in \mathcal{C}(Q, P^\mu)\) be the optimal coupling such that
\[ \mathbb{W}_{2,T}(Q, P^\mu)^2 = \int_{E_T \times E_T} \rho_T^2 \Pi. \]

We have \(\pi := \Pi \circ (u_0, u_0)^{-1} \in \mathcal{C}(\nu, \mu)\), so that
\[ \mathbb{W}_2(\nu, \mu)^2 \leq \int_{E \times E} \rho^2 d\pi = \int_{E_T \times E_T} \rho^2(\xi, \eta) \Pi(d\xi, d\eta) \leq \int_{E_T \times E_T} \rho_T^2(\xi, \eta) \Pi(d\xi, d\eta) = \mathbb{W}_{2,T}(Q, P^\mu)^2. \]

Combining this with (2.5) and noting that (2.1) implies
\[ H(Q|P') = \int_{E_T} \{(p \circ u_0) \log p \circ u_0\} dP^\mu = \int_{E} (p \log p) d\mu = H(\nu|\mu), \]

we derive (2.4) for \(c_0 = C\). \(\square\)
3 TCI for Stochastic Reaction Diffusion Equations with Random Initial Values

Let $C_0([0,1]) = \{ u \in C([0,1]) : u(0) = u(1) = 0 \}$. Consider the following SPDE on $C_0([0,1]):$

$$
\begin{align*}
&du_t(x) = \frac{1}{2} u''_t(x) dt + b(u_t(x)) dt + \sigma(u_t(x)) W(dt, dx), \quad x \in (0,1), \\
&u_t \in C_0([0,1]), \quad t \geq 0,
\end{align*}
$$

(3.1)

where $W(dt, dx)$ is a space-time white noise on a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with natural filtration $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{W(s, x) : (s, x) \in [0, t] \times [0, 1]\}$, $t \geq 0$, $u_0$ is a $C_0([0,1])$-valued random variable independent of $W$, and $b, \sigma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ are locally bounded measurable functions. We say that an adapted, continuous process $\{u_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ on $C_0([0,1])$ is a solution to (3.1), if $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.

$$
\begin{align*}
&\int_0^1 u_t(x) \phi(x) dx = \int_0^1 u_0(x) \phi(x) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t ds \int_0^1 u_s(x) \phi''(x) dx + \int_0^t ds \int_0^1 b(u_s(x)) \phi(x) dx \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int_0^1 \sigma(u_s(x)) \phi(x) W(ds, dx), \quad t \geq 0, \quad \phi \in C^2_0([0,1]),
\end{align*}
$$

(3.2)

where $C^2_0([0,1]) := \{ \phi \in C^2([0,1]) : \phi(0) = \phi(1) = 0 \}$. According to [21], $u_t$ is a solution to (3.1) if and only if $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.

$$
u_t(x) = P_t u_0(x) + \int_0^t P_{t-s}\{b(u_s(x))\} ds + \int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma(u_s(y)) W(ds, dy), \quad t \geq 0,$$

(3.3)

where $P_t$ and $p_t(x, y)$ are the Dirichlet heat semigroup and heat kernel generated by $\frac{1}{2} \Delta$ on $[0,1]$.

We will apply Theorem 2.1 to

$$E := C_0([0,1]), \quad E_T := C([0,T]; E) = C([0,T]; C_0([0,1])), \quad P^\mu $$

and $P^\mu$ being the distribution of the solution $(\nu_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ with initial distribution $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(E)$. To this end, we need the following assumption.

\textbf{(H)} $\sigma$ is bounded, $b$ and $\sigma$ are Lipschitz continuous.

According to [21], when $b$ and $\sigma$ are Lipschitz continuous, (3.1) admits a unique solution for any (random) initial value $u_0$ on $E$. The boundedness of $\sigma$ was used in [15] to establish the TCI for solutions of (3.1) with deterministic initial values.

\textbf{Theorem 3.1.} Assume \textbf{(H)} and let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(E)$. Then

$$W_2(Q, P^\mu) \leq C H(Q|P^\mu), \quad Q \in \mathcal{P}(E_T)$$

holds for some constant $C > 0$ if and only if

$$W_2(\nu, \mu) \leq c H(\nu|\mu), \quad \nu \in \mathcal{P}(E)$$

holds for some constant $c > 0$.

\textbf{Proof.} In the present case, we have

$$\rho(f, g) = \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |f(x) - g(x)|, \quad f, g \in E := C_0([0,1]),$$

$$W_2(Q, P^\mu)$$

\textbf{(3.4)}
\[
\rho_T(\xi, \eta) = \sup_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times [0,1]} |\xi_t(x) - \eta_t(x)|, \quad \xi, \eta \in E_T := C([0,T]; E).
\]

According to [15], (3.7) holds for some constant \(c_1 > 0\). So, by Theorem 2.1, it suffices to verify (3.7). Letting \(u_t^f\) be the unique solution of (3.1) with \(u_0 = f \in E := C_0([0,1])\), we only need to prove

\[
\mathbb{E}\left[ \sup_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times [0,1]} |u_t^f(x) - u_t^g(x)|^2 \right] \leq c_2 \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |f(x) - g(x)|^2, \quad f, g \in C_0([0,1]) \tag{3.6}
\]

for some constant \(c_2 > 0\). Indeed, since the law of \((u_t^f, u_t^g)_{t \in [0,T]}\) is a coupling of \(P^f\) and \(P^g\), we have

\[
\mathbb{W}_{2,T}(P^f, P^g)^2 \leq \mathbb{E}[\rho_T(u_t^f, u_t^g)^2] = \mathbb{E}\left[ \sup_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times [0,1]} |u_t^f(x) - u_t^g(x)|^2 \right].
\]

Below we prove the estimate (3.6).

By (3.3) we have

\[
\mathbb{E}\left[ \sup_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times [0,1]} |u_t^f(x) - u_t^g(x)|^2 \right] \leq 3\rho(f, g)^2 + 3(I_1 + I_2), \tag{3.7}
\]

where

\[
I_1 := \mathbb{E}\left[ \sup_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times [0,1]} \left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y) \left[ b(u_s^f(y)) - b(u_s^g(y)) \right] ds dy \right|^2 \right],
\]

\[
I_2 := \mathbb{E}\left[ \sup_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times [0,1]} \left| \int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y) \left[ \sigma(u_s^f(y)) - \sigma(u_s^g(y)) \right] W(ds, dy) \right|^2 \right].
\]

Noting that the Dirichlet heat kernel satisfies

\[
\sup_{x \in [0,1]} \int_0^t ds \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y)^2 dy \leq \frac{\sqrt{2t}}{\sqrt{\pi}}, \quad t > 0,
\]

and due to (H) we have

\[
|b(x) - b(y)| \vee |\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)| \leq K|x - y|, \quad x, y \in [0,1] \tag{3.8}
\]

for some constant \(K > 0\), by Hölder’s inequality we obtain

\[
I_1 \leq K^2 \mathbb{E}\left\{ \sup_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times [0,1]} \left[ \left( \int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y)^2 ds dy \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left( \int_0^t \int_0^1 |u_s^f(y) - u_s^g(y)|^2 ds dy \right)^{1/2} \right] \right\}
\]

\[
\leq \frac{2T}{\pi} K^2 \int_0^T \mathbb{E}\left[ \sup_{(r,y) \in [0,s] \times [0,1]} |u_r^f(y) - u_r^g(y)|^2 \right] ds. \tag{3.9}
\]

To estimate the term \(I_2\), we recall the following inequality due to [15]: for any \(T, \varepsilon > 0\), there exists a constant \(C_{T,\varepsilon} > 0\) such that for any adapted random field \(\gamma(t, x)\) with

\[
\mathbb{E}\left[ \sup_{(s,x) \in [0,t] \times [0,1]} |\gamma(s, x)|^2 \right] < \infty, \quad t \geq 0,
\]
we have
\[ E \left[ \sup_{(s,x) \in [0,t] \times [0,1]} \left| \int_0^s p_{s-r}(x,y) \gamma(r,y) W(dr, dy) \right|^2 \right] \]
\[ \leq \varepsilon E \left[ \sup_{(s,x) \in [0,t] \times [0,1]} |\gamma(s,x)|^2 \right] + C_T \varepsilon \int_0^t E \left[ \sup_{(r,x) \in [0,s] \times [0,1]} |\gamma(r,x)|^2 \right] dr, \quad t \in [0,T]. \hspace{1cm} (3.10) \]
Applying this to \( \gamma(s,x) = \sigma(u^f_s(x)) - \sigma(u^g_s(x)) \) and using (3.8), we obtain that for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \),
\[ I_2 \leq \varepsilon E \left[ \sup_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times [0,1]} |\sigma(u^f_t(x)) - \sigma(u^g_t(x))|^2 \right] \]
\[ + C_T \varepsilon \int_0^T \sup_{y \in [0,1]} |\sigma(u^f_s(y)) - \sigma(u^g_s(y))|^2 ds \]
\[ \leq \varepsilon K^2 E \left[ \sup_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times [0,1]} |u^f_t(x) - u^g_t(x)|^2 \right] \]
\[ + C_T \varepsilon K^2 \int_0^T E \left[ \sup_{(r,y) \in [0,s] \times [0,1]} |u^f_r(y) - u^g_r(y)|^2 \right] ds, \quad t \in [0,T]. \hspace{1cm} (3.11) \]
So, setting
\[ Y(t) := E \left[ \sup_{(s,x) \in [0,t] \times [0,1]} |u^f_s(x) - u^g_s(x)|^2 \right], \]
which is finite for all \( t \in [0, \infty) \) due to assumption (H), by combining (3.7)–(3.11) together we obtain
\[ Y(t) \leq 3 \rho(f, g)^2 + 3 \sqrt{\frac{2T}{\pi}} K^2 \int_0^t Y(s) ds + 3 \varepsilon K^2 Y(t) + 3 C_T \varepsilon K^2 \int_0^t Y(s) ds, \quad t \in [0,T]. \]
Choosing \( \varepsilon = \frac{1}{6KT} \), we find a constant \( c(T) > 0 \) such that
\[ Y(t) \leq 6 \rho(f, g)^2 + c(T) \int_0^t Y(s) ds, \quad t \in [0,T]. \]
By Gronwall’s inequality and \( Y(t) < \infty \) for \( t \geq 0 \), this implies (3.6) for \( c_2 = 6e^{c(T)T} \). \( \square \)

To illustrate Theorem 3.1, we present examples of \( \mu \) satisfying (3.5), such that (3.4) holds true. By [5, Theorem 3.1], the heat measure on the loop space \( C_0([0,1]) \) satisfies (3.5). Next, by Gross [9], the log-Sobolev inequality holds for the Brownian bridge measure \( \mu_0 \) on \( C_0([0,1]) \):
\[ \mu_0(F^2 \log F^2) \leq 2T \mu_0(\|DF\|^2_H)^2, \quad F \in \mathcal{D}(D), \quad \mu_0(F^2) = 1, \]
where \((D, \mathcal{D}(D))\) is the Malliavin gradient operator and \( \|h\|_H := (\int_0^T |h_t|^2 dt)^{1/2} \) is the Cameron-Martin norm. So, by a standard perturbation argument, the log-Sobolev inequality
\[ \mu(F^2 \log F^2) \leq 2Te^{osc(V)} \mu(\|DF\|^2_H)^2, \quad F \in \mathcal{D}(D), \quad \mu(F^2) = 1, \]
holds for any probability measure \( d\mu = e^V d\mu_0 \) with \( V \in \mathcal{B}_b(C_0([0,1])) \), where \( osc(V) := \sup V - \inf V \). According to [16, Theorem 1.10], this implies
\[ \tilde{W}_2(\nu, \mu)^2 \leq 2Te^{osc(V)} H(\nu, \mu), \quad \nu \in \mathcal{P}(C_0([0,1])), \]
where \( \tilde{W}_2 \) is the Wasserstein distance induced by the Cameron-Martin distance on \( E \). Since the Cameron-Martin distance is larger than the uniform distance \( \rho \), (3.5) holds for this class of measures \( \mu \).
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