Simulation of Silicon Heterojunction Solar Cells for High Efficiency with Lithium Fluoride Electron Carrier Selective Layer
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Abstract: In this work, to ameliorate the quantum efficiency (QE), we made a valuable development by using wide band gap material, such as lithium fluoride (LiF_x), as an emitter that also helped us to achieve outstanding efficiency with silicon heterojunction (SHJ) solar cells. Lithium fluoride holds a capacity to achieve significant power conversion efficiency because of its dramatic improvement in electron extraction and injection, which was investigated using the AFORS-HET simulation. We used AFORS-HET to assess the restriction of numerous parameters which also provided an appropriate way to determine the role of diverse parameters in silicon solar cells. We manifested and preferred lithium fluoride as an interfacial layer to diminish the series resistance as well as shunt leakage and it was also beneficial for the optical properties of a cell. Due to the wide band gap and better surface passivation, the LiF_x encouraged us to utilize it as the interfacial as well as the emitter layer. In addition, we used the built-in electric and band offset to explore the consequence of work function in the LiF_x as a carrier selective contact layer. We were able to achieve a maximum power conversion efficiency (PEC) of 23.74%, fill factor (FF) of 82.12%, J_sc of 38.73 mA cm⁻², and V_oc of 741 mV by optimizing the work function and thickness of LiF_x layer.
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1. Introduction

Comprehensive consideration is needed when working with silicon heterojunction (SHJ) solar cells due to the fact of their low-temperature fabrication process and capability of gaining higher power conversion efficiency (PEC). The SHJ solar cells at p-type/Si (n- as well as p-layer) and n-type/Si (n- plus p-layer) interfaces, with intrinsic thin amorphous silicon layers (i-layer), have invoked substantial interest due to the fact of their excellent thermal budget compared to conventional solar cells as well as having the highest PCE [1–8]. Over the last twenty years, cutting edge research on SHJ solar cells has developed several advantages: (a) a short and cost-efficient production procedure; (b) inferior degradation and ameliorate stability; (c) inferior processing temperature; and (d) high open-circuit voltage (V_oc) [5,9]. Further improvement in the performance of SHJ solar cells have been made based on various efforts in the following areas: (1) surface passivation by employing superior quality for
diminishing carrier recombination losses; (2) reduction of carrier collection losses by utilizing thinner wafers; and (3) diminution of parasitic absorption losses.

Normally, in the case of silicon solar cells, good quality silicon wafers are used as a substrate for cell fabrication, but in the case of on-chip antennas, we observed the opposite condition for a silicon substrate. The previous antennas exhibit superior transmission loss and are not designed for radiation patterns. Although, recently they usually have employed supplementary processing steps to raise the silicon substrate’s resistivity in an effort to ameliorate the antenna’s performance. Still, the primary challenge is insufficient radiation efficiency because of the loss in silicon substrate. An effort has been made to enhance the gain and radiation efficiency of the on-chip antenna by means of an un-doped silicon substrate as well as a large silicon lens towards the backside about a particular chip [10–17]. But, if we talk about solar cells, the main limitations of SHJ solar cells’ efficiency are due to the low optical absorption of a-Si:H(i); high doping defects of a-Si:H(p/n); narrow bandgap; and low conductivity of the n-layer on the front side [18,19]. Nevertheless, the comparatively low conversion efficiency, primarily resulting from the so-called “Staebler–Wronski effect”, continues to be the major technical challenge to superior competitiveness. For the purpose of diminishing the light-induced degradation of the solar cells, thin intrinsic (i-a-Si:H) absorbers are favorable. Nevertheless, thin i-a-Si:H cannot absorb sufficient light because of its indirect band gap nature, therefore restricting the short-circuit current \(I_{sc}\) of the solar cells. To beat the low absorption issue, light trapping tactics for the cells are of high interest. For that purpose, we investigated the stake of \(\mu\varepsilon\)-SiO\(_2\)(n) and LiF\(_x\) targeting to improving the optical path length within solar cells. To boost the cell’s efficiency further in order to reach the Si limitation, alternative materials with a higher work function, wide bandgap, and high conductivity instead of a-Si:H(p) layer, so-called transition metal oxide (TMOs) films were introduced. Wide bandgaps with high work function-based materials, such as molybdenum oxide (MoO\(_x\)), vanadium oxide (V\(_2\)O\(_5\)), tungsten oxide (WO\(_3\)), and nickel oxide (NiO\(_x\)), have been proposed as hole transport layers (HTLs) for high efficiency SHJ solar cells [20–25]. Similarly, to achieve a high performance for SHJ solar cells, wide bandgaps with low work function-based materials, such as lithium fluoride (LiF\(_x\)), magnesium fluoride (MgF\(_x\)), titanium oxide (TiO\(_x\)), and cesium iodide (CsI), have been proposed as electron transport layers (ETLs) [26–30].

There are several parameters in the AFORS-HIT simulation for an improvement in the performance of solar cells. The three parameters discussed in this manuscript are selective collection of photo-generated charge carriers, surface defect passivation, and available light to the absorber layer, and have been conceived significantly [2,3,31–33]. Hence, various researchers have performed multiple studies on these parameters. In this study, low work function values with transparent materials were preferred to attain carrier selectivity. Ideally, on lightly doped c-Si, when a low work function material is applied, the collection of electrons (as well as repulsion of holes) happens close to the surface. This extreme concentration of electrons on surface diminishes particular heterocontact resistivity, and the chance of Shockley–Read–Hall recombination reduces due to the corresponding low hole surface concentration at the heterocontact interface. This result is opposed for the high work function and holes materials. Our present investigation was operated using low work function, wide band gap, and a thin layer of lithium fluoride (LiF\(_x\)). The LiF\(_x\) was used as an electron carrier selective contact material with applications for an optimized interfacial layer in silicon heterojunction (SHJ) solar cells. Our intention was to enhance the carrier collection selectivity, build more light accessibility to the absorber layer, and reduce the barrier height at the front interface. A wide band gap can encourage sharp band bending that successively assists carrier selectivity. It has been commonly known that low work function material is preferred for constituting a small barrier height towards electron transport.

Our report consists of a simulated study of carrier selective contact using LiF\(_x\) layers with various thicknesses and a work function for the optimization of SHJ solar cells’ performance. First, the performance of the SHJ solar cells was studied as a function of LiF\(_x\) layer thickness. With an interfacial layer of LiF\(_x\) with 2 nm thickness, the SHJ solar cells attained a high efficiency up to 23.74%. Due to the better surface passivation, the LiF\(_x\) layer can accomplish a supreme carrier life-time to assure prominent
open circuit voltage ($V_{oc}$) output. The influence of the LiFx work function on the performance of SHJ solar cells is thus presented.

2. Simulation Model

Theoretical modeling as well as simulation studies are commonly conducted to ameliorate the efficiency of silicon solar cells. Lately, numerous modeling and simulations have been associated with SHJ and carrier selective contact (CSC) solar cells. The AFORS-HET simulator is widely utilized for the study of SHJ solar cells and to solve one-dimensional Poisson and carrier continuity equations. A recombination model, such as Shockley–Read–Hall (SRH), is employed to simulate a particular semiconductor equation in favor of steady-state conditions.

For the development of an efficient SHJ cell, it is necessary to optimize the LiFx layer. Our simulations results were based on experimental data extracted in the form of (n, k) files for LiFx films and plugged into AFORS-HET for the purpose of simulation [27,34,35]. For the generation of experimental data, we deposited lithium fluoride (LiFx) in our lab using thermal evaporation. Therefore, these simulations were able to provide a trend for obtaining high efficiency and eased the process for optimizing various layer thicknesses and other technical parameters. The schematic diagram of SHJ LiFx/µc-SiO(n)/i-a-Si:H/n-c-Si/i-a-Si:H/µc-SiO(p) solar cell is depicted in Figure 1. The basis parameters, thickness variation, and the work function variation for the SHJ LiFx/µc-SiO(n)/i-a-Si:H/n-c-Si/i-a-Si:H/µc-SiO(p) solar cell are listed in Table 1. The work function of transparent conductive oxide (TCO) was fixed as 5.2 eV. The LiFx film was selected as the electron selective layer, and the particular parameters were optimized in order to accomplish the highest efficiency of the LiFx/c-Si SHJ solar cell. The influence of the LiFx/µc-SiO(n) interface was studied using an air mass 1.5 (AM 1.5) illumination utilizing AFORS-HET simulated software. Taking advantage of the simulation study, we optimized the solar cell parameters such as the band gap ($E_g$), work function, and thickness of the LiFx layer. Particularly, LiFx films have been picked as electron selective contact layers to accomplish the maximum performance of LiFx-based SHJ solar cells. Table 1 depicts various simulated parameters employed for the SHJ solar cell structure.

![Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the silicon heterojunction SHJ(SHJ) solar cell with lithium fluoride (LiFx) as an electron selective carrier layer.](image-url)
Table 1. Simulation parameters for LiF	extsubscript{x} and various silicon layers in an electron-based carrier selective contact (CSC) solar cell.

| Parameters                          | a-Si:H (i) | c-Si (n) | LiFx | uc-SiOx (n) |
|-------------------------------------|------------|----------|------|-------------|
| Layer thickness (nm)                | 3          | 150,000  | 2    | 3           |
| Dielectric constant                 | 11.9       | 11.9     | 11.9 | 11.9        |
| Electron affinity (eV)              | 3.9        | 4.05     | 3.2–3.9 | 3.9 |
| Band gap (eV)                       | 1.5        | 1.12     | 11   | 1.9         |
| Optical band gap (eV)               | 1.5        | 1.12     | 11   | 1.9         |
| Effective conduction band density (cm\(^{-3}\)) | \(1 \times 10^{20}\) | \(2.84 \times 10^{19}\) | \(1 \times 10^{20}\) | \(1 \times 10^{19}\) |
| Effective valence band density (cm\(^{-3}\)) | \(1 \times 10^{20}\) | \(2.68 \times 10^{19}\) | \(1 \times 10^{20}\) | \(1 \times 10^{19}\) |
| Electron mobility (cm\(^2\) V\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)) | 5          | 1111     | 1    | 50         |
| Hole mobility (cm\(^2\) V\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)) | 1          | 421.6    | 1    | 5          |
| Doping concentration of acceptors (cm\(^{-3}\)) | 0          | 0        | 0    | 0          |
| Doping concentration of donors (cm\(^{-3}\)) | 0          | 5 \times 10^{16} | \(1 \times 10^{7}\) | \(1 \times 10^{20}\) |
| Thermal velocity of electrons (cms\(^{-1}\)) | \(1 \times 10^{7}\) | \(1 \times 10^{7}\) | \(1 \times 10^{7}\) | \(1 \times 10^{7}\) |
| Thermal velocity of holes (cms\(^{-1}\)) | \(1 \times 10^{7}\) | \(1 \times 10^{7}\) | \(1 \times 10^{7}\) | \(1 \times 10^{7}\) |
| Layer density (gcm\(^{-3}\))        | 2.328      | 2.328    | 2.328| 2.328       |

The extensive electrical parameters of crystalline as well as amorphous silicon layers mostly stood as per default values in the simulation. The Shockley–Read–Hall recombination model was applied to determine the semiconductor equation for the steady-state condition. Thermionic emission was designed for the carriers crossing through the a-Si:H/c-Si interface. Tunneling was not incorporated, since an improbable tunneling current can influence solar cell performance within regular working conditions [36,37]. We appropriately selected a textured pyramid c-Si substrate structure at the front surface for optical parameters and in the TCO films, keeping in consideration the light absorption and reflection. Nevertheless, as the model furthered, optical effects, such as grid shading, were not considered. The band gap thickness and work function of LiF	extsubscript{x} were varied. Finally, after the optimization of the LiF	extsubscript{x}’s layer parameters, the improved efficiency of LiF	extsubscript{x}-based SHJ solar cell was achieved.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Effect of Incorporating a LiF	extsubscript{x} Electron Selective Layer

Solar cells with LiF	extsubscript{x} as an interfacial layer on the front side and the wider band gap of the LiF	extsubscript{x} layer created a valence band offset in the SHJ solar cell on the top. The example of an inversion layer in the crystalline silicon absorber next to the μc-SiO was a learning hallmark of this structure, assisting through a prominent barrier towards an electron ensuing from the band bending. For instance, among the LiF	extsubscript{x}/silicon solar cell, trap-assisted tunneling or band-to-band tunneling was a predominant electron transport scheme in favor of the carrier selective contact. Furthermore, in the solar cells, the electrons reached the front surface, needed to pass by a particular potential barrier, induced by the valance band shift among LiF	extsubscript{x} and c-Si, in order for the proceeding movement towards the LiF	extsubscript{x} into the degenerately doped ITO front electrode and the extrication by the silver electrode.

Consequently, it is critical to understand the properties and physical aspects of silicon heterojunction solar cells. Our group studied the consequences of adding an interfacial layer of LiF	extsubscript{x} as an electron carrier selective layer on the functioning of an SHJ solar cell. Figure 2 shows the influence of LiF	extsubscript{x} thickness on the performance of SHJ cells. The thickness of the interfacial layer varied from 2 to 40 nm while keeping the 3 nm thickness of the intrinsic layer. Figure 2a depicts the current density–voltage (J–V) characteristics of SHJ solar cells as a function of LiF	extsubscript{x} thickness. The J–V curve shape describes the fill factor (FF) and gives the peak fill factor at 2 nm. As the thickness continued to increase, the FF significantly decreased, while the short-circuit current density began to decline, leading to the great decay of the cells’ performance. In a nutshell, for the purpose of gaining improved conversion efficiency of LiF	extsubscript{x}/c-Si SHJ solar cells, the thickness should be controlled properly within a
particular range. As the thickness of the emitter layer increased, the following were noticed: (i) $J_{SC}$ initially increased, achieving the maximum $J_{SC}$ of 38.43 mA/cm$^2$ for the LiF$_x$ layer thickness at 2 nm and then falling linearly. (ii) The value of $V_{OC}$ increased primarily; as the higher $V_{OC}$ value of 746.4 mV was attained at 2 nm, it started to deteriorate. (iii) For the thickness of 2 nm LiF$_x$, the efficiency was enhanced initially and then continued to decline, reaching the highest value of 23.74%. Therefore, 2 nm LiF$_x$ will be an optimum layer thickness for future research.

Figure 2. XXXXXX: The (a) current density–voltage (J–V) characteristic and (b) performance of the SHJ solar cells as a function of LiFx thickness as an electron selective layer for SHJ solar cells.

Figure 2b shows the performance of the SHJ solar cells for various thicknesses of LiFx as an electron selective layer. It was found that the cell parameters, such as the fill factor (FF) and Voc, were
improved by introducing the LiF interfacial layer, while the solar cell without the LiF layer exhibited the lowest performance. The highest fill factor (FF) was recorded for the LiFx thickness of 2 nm. With the further increase of the LiFx thickness, the FF remained in contact or increased slowly. The Jsc was decreased with the increase of LiFx thickness from 2 to 40 nm, respectively. In a nutshell, to acquire an ameliorated performance of SHJ solar cells, the optimized thickness was considered as an important parameter. While the LiFx thickness of 2 nm was employed, the conversion efficiency reached up to 23.74%, and Voc improved up to 746.4 mV. Above a 2 nm thickness, entire cell parameters were degraded. The reduced thickness was conducive toward the built-in electric field in favor of increased carrier transport and conveyed the field passivation effect to successfully distinguish the carriers to the prevent particular interface defect capture effect.

Figure 3 shows the external quantum efficiency (EQE) of SHJ solar cells for LiFx as an electron carrier selective contact layer. The figure shows that at about a 2 nm LiFx thickness, the QE of the devices indicate the highest spectral response for long wavelength regions. As renowned, such LiFs had a high dipole moment in a molecular scale and the ITO work function was diminished, since LiFx was coupled to ITO. The mitigation of the work function because of the LiF being coupled to the ITO triggered the lower barrier height in favor of the electron injection in HIT solar cells; consequently, there was a lower amount of carrier collection around the interface and, at the same time, a lowered surface recombination velocity as well as a consequently improved spectral response for long wavelengths [17]. A substantial increase is visible as well as in the blue region. The band gap of the LiFx electron selective carrier layer (3.9 eV) was much wider, ensuring a low light absorption or optical losses on the front side of the solar cell. These consequences forecast various optical and electrical advantages of LiFx as a carrier selective contact layer for various optoelectronic properties and band gap alignment.

**Figure 3.** External quantum efficiency (EQE) of SHJ solar cells for a 2 nm thick LiFx as an electron carrier selective contact layer.

3.2. Surface Effect Passivation

Figure 4 depicts the electric field of SHJ solar cells for LiFx as an electron carrier selective contact layer. Due to the large energy barrier, a slight potential drop was noticed on the c-Si substrate compared with SHJ solar cells. Although in valence band the hetero-structured energy band shift leads to holes collection on the interface of the heterojunction, a significant reduction in electron density results in a particular surface-effect passivation. Hence, the conversion efficiency of the LiFx/c-Si silicon heterojunction solar cell was more than that of particular SHJ solar cells at an imparted thickness.
In addition, the surface effect passivation ameliorated the silicon heterojunction solar cells’ performance. It is renowned that Energy bands that bend at the silicon surface by the generation of an electric field can provide an energy barrier for charge accumulation at the interface, and the recombination rate is greatly reduced, as the electron/hole concentration are imbalanced—a mechanism called the field effect passivation.

![Figure 4. Electron field of SHJ solar cell for a 2 nm thick LiF\textsubscript{x} as an electron carrier selective contact layer.](image)

3.3. Effect of Work Function in a LiF\textsubscript{x} Electron Selective Layer

For the further realization of the impact of work function upon the SHJ solar cells, we implemented a relative analysis of the band diagram regarding a different work function. The band diagram of SHJ solar cells for various work functions of the LiF\textsubscript{x} layer using a standard AM 1.5 is shown in Figure 5. The clear changes in the energy band were noticed with the variation of LiF\textsubscript{x} work function. As the work function value was low, the band bending occurs in the conduction band of LiF\textsubscript{x}. Therefore, it is easy to collect the electrons and improve the fill factor and efficiency by reducing the recombination at the LiF\textsubscript{x}/\textmu c-SiO interface. With the increase of LiF\textsubscript{x} work function, the following points were observed: (i) Schottky barrier height increased, (ii) degradation in J\textsubscript{sc}, V\textsubscript{oc}, and \eta was noticed. The depletion region almost vanished in the c-Si side at a 3.9 eV work function after the decay of the conduction band barrier height (V\textsubscript{D}) among the n-c-Si layer and LiF\textsubscript{x} layer, leading towards the collection of the electron at LiF\textsubscript{x}/c-Si interface. The V\textsubscript{D} portrays the barrier height of holes transfer from n-c-Si to LiF\textsubscript{x} in the conduction band that assists us to realize the amendments in the built-in field.

The J–V behavior of a LiF\textsubscript{x}/\textmu c-SiO(n) electron carrier selective cell is presented in Figure 6a, denoting an efficiency of 23.74% was achieved up to the proof-of-concept level of this technology. The short circuit current J\textsubscript{sc} and open circuit voltage V\textsubscript{oc} was evaluated to be 38.73 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2} and 746 mV, respectively, illustrating that the recombination as well as optical benefits of confining the front contact to a smaller area were understood. Furthermore, we accomplished a fill factor of 82.12% at 3.9 eV work function of LiF\textsubscript{x} film. The J–V curve shape describes the fill factor (FF), and it gives the peak fill factor at 3.9 eV. As the work function increased, the barrier height was affected. As the work function increased, the efficiency of our cell started to decrease.
In the conduction band, electrons were moving from n-c-Si to LiFx layer with barrier height, which assisted us to realize the particular variation in the built-in field. The diminishing of the barrier height was associated with the declination of a built-in field that directly led to an abrupt diminution of the open circuit voltage. We also scrutinized the influence of the barrier height upon the short-circuit current, because of the unfavorable barrier added with the lowered barrier height to obstruct the accumulation of electron flow through the n-type crystalline silicon towards the front contact. This collected a prominent number of electrons, which may problematic when extracted, and lead to an abrupt decrease of the $J_{SC}$.

**Figure 5.** Band diagram of SHJ solar cells for various work functions of the LiFx layer.

**Figure 6.** Cont.
energy bands that bend at the silicon surface by the generation of an electric field can provide an wavelength responses of solar cells. While using an optimized 2 nm thickness of LiF passivation at the interface of the heterojunction the electrons were moderately repelled. Since LiF passivation. Since LiF\textsubscript{x}/c-Si silicon heterojunction solar cells gradually increased the electric field of the heterojunction with the reduction of the work function from 4.3 to 3.9, the ameliorated field effect passivation at the interface of the heterojunction the electrons were moderately repelled.

4. Conclusions

As a result, a faster alteration in the energy band occurred because the concentration of the defect state was greater adjacent to a particular c-Si wafer as shown in Figure 6b. It is known that “energy bands that bend at the silicon surface by the generation of an electric field can provide an energy barrier for charge accumulation at the interface, and the recombination rate is greatly reduced as the electron/hole concentration are imbalance”, [38] and that mechanism is called the field effect passivation. Since LiF\textsubscript{x}/c-Si silicon heterojunction solar cells gradually increased the electric field of the heterojunction with the reduction of the work function from 4.3 to 3.9, the ameliorated surface effect passivation at the interface of the heterojunction the electrons were moderately repelled.

Figure 6. The (a) current density–voltage (J–V) and (b) electric field of the SHJ solar cells for various work functions of the LiF\textsubscript{x} as an electron selective layer.

In summary, we conducted a simulation of the influence of various parameters, such as thickness, work function, and the electric field, of LiF\textsubscript{x} as a carrier selective contact layer for SHJ (LiF\textsubscript{x}/n-µc-SiO\textsubscript{x}/i-a-Si/n-c-Si) solar cells. We found that by adding a very thin LiF\textsubscript{x} layer, particularly V\textsubscript{OC}, the SHJ solar cell was elevated, leading to better conversion efficiency. The LiF\textsubscript{x} layer, by means of its wider band gap and higher electron affinity, developed a prominent conduction band offset at the front side to repel particular electron diffusions. Furthermore, the higher transmittance and lower resistivity of the LiF\textsubscript{x} film degraded the series resistance and ameliorated the long and short wavelength responses of solar cells. While using an optimized 2 nm thickness of LiF\textsubscript{x}, a conversion efficiency of 23.74% was achieved with J\textsubscript{sc} 38.73 mA/cm\textsuperscript{2} and V\textsubscript{OC} 746.4 mV. Moreover, we illustrated the surface effect of passivation in the solar cells by presenting particular amendments into an electric field and band offset. Thus, the SHJ solar cell has a much better surface passivation execution, and a superior V\textsubscript{OC} can be received to assure maximum conversion efficiency. We believe the LiF\textsubscript{x}/c-Si heterojunction strategy proposed herein has novel possibilities towards highly efficient SHJ solar cells.
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