Growth, Plant Quality, and Survival of Sweet Cherry (Prunus avium L.) Seedlings are Enhanced by CO₂ Enrichment

Margarita Pérez-Jiménez¹, Almudena Bayo-Canha, Gregorio López-Ortega, and Francisco M. del Amor
Departamento de Hortofruticultura, Instituto Murciano de Investigación y Desarrollo Agrario y Alimentario (IMIDA), Murcia 30150, Spain

Abstract. Enrichment with CO₂ and a commercial mix of plant growth regulators were tested to improve the plant quality and survival of pregerminated cherry tree seedlings. Pregenerated seeds were transferred from a cold chamber to a climatic chamber where the CO₂ was set at 800 μmol·mol⁻¹ CO₂ or at the ambient CO₂ concentration. Also, half of the plants were sprayed with the mix of plant growth regulators and disposed randomly. The experiment lasted 18 days and physiological measurements, such as plant physiological status and growth, number of leaves, net CO₂ assimilation (AₐCO₂), internal CO₂, stomatal conductance, and transpiration, were taken every 4 days. Also, at the end of the experiment, other parameters—such as total leaf area, photosynthetic pigments, soluble sugars, and starch—were recorded or quantified. During the experiment, plants cultured under CO₂ enrichment exhibited a rapid increase in their photosynthetic rates, height, and leaf number; the commercial mix also increased plant height but inhibited leaf expansion and growth. At the end of the experiment, the amounts of starch and soluble sugars had increased in the plants grown under elevated CO₂, compared with those plants grown in control conditions or with the commercial mix. Thus, culture at elevated CO₂ achieved higher percentages of plant survival and of plants in active growth. We suggest that CO₂ plays an important role—by increasing AₐCO₂, water use efficiency, soluble sugars, and starch—which results in plants that are physiologically more prepared for transfer to the field.

Prunus avium L.) is an important and valuable agricultural and timber crop throughout Europe (Centritto et al., 1999). Therefore, in the last few decades, increasing attention has been paid to solving different problems related to its growth, diseases, and postharvest storage. Breeders have been trying to address these problems, which can be overcome through the performance of assisted crosses in the field (Arbeloa et al., 2009). As a result of these crosses many unique seeds are obtained, which can lead to genetically enhanced cultivars. Thus, seedlings are the key factor of any plant breeding program because each seed is a potential cultivar which may be able to face the market challenges. Breeding programs are composed of many steps, all of which are crucial to the final success. However, acclimatization is not always an easy step and in many species low survival percentages are obtained (Marin, 2003). Thereby, it is crucial to provide a healthy development to ensure high survival rates both in the greenhouse and in the field. Many strategies have been developed to assure seed germination (Sharma et al., 1996) in woody plant breeding programs; however, seedling growth is still conducted under traditional culture conditions. Currently, cherry breeding programs get the lower cultivation and uniform fruits were selected for acclimatization of seedlings among the Prunus programs (Carrasco et al., 2013) and the acclimation of seedlings are still one of the weak points in this pathway. For that reason, it is important to develop new strategies that could increase the survival of these new genotypes. Moreover, plants of woody fruit species have long juvenile periods. Hence, any advance in plantlet development can accelerate the first field evaluation and shorten the breeding periods.

It is well known that global climate change is producing a marked increase in the atmospheric CO₂ concentration, affecting several physiological processes in plants (Saralabai et al., 1997). The most reported phenomenon induced by high CO₂ is an increase in the photosynthetic carbon fixation rates. Plant growth and development are intensely affected by photosynthesis, with the carbon assimilates necessary for yield production ultimately produced via photosynthesis (Wang et al., 2007). Thus, a rise in the photosynthetic rate increases plant growth and development through an increment in carbohydrates production and in the water use efficiency (WUE) (Chaves, 1994). Currently, this responsiveness of plants to elevated CO₂ is being used in commercial farms to accelerate plant growth and production (Pérez-Jiménez et al., 2015). Although these physiological effects are remarkable, there is evidence that their magnitude is dependent on other factors (Wullschleger et al., 2002).

Plant growth regulators are widely known as a key factor in many physiological processes, playing a regulatory role in plant growth and development. For instance, gibberellic acid (GA) can be applied to reduce the time of germination in stone fruit seeds (Kuden et al., 1999) and cytokinins activate cell division in meristems, increasing plant growth (Morini and Melai, 2005). In recent years, products have appeared in the market that promote growth and yield by means of plant growth regulators. Especially relevant is the case of Promalin®, a commercial formulation GA₄+7 plus 6-benzyladenine (BA). Promalin® has been used for breaking seed dormancy (Socolowski and Cicero, 2011) and promoting plant growth (Emongor et al., 2004; Goenaga, 2010; Rossi et al., 2004). Furthermore, this product has been reported to promote shoot growth in cherry trees through an increase in cell division and expansion (Veinbrants and Miller, 1981).

This study seeks to enhance seedling growth and survival rates, and hence guarantees the maximum number of seedlings and produces strong plantlets that can tackle field conditions. To this end, in an 18-day experiment, the effects produced by two well-known plant growth promoters, CO₂ and Promalin®, were assessed through the study of many relevant physiological parameters, such as height, number of leaves, leaf area (LA), net AₐCO₂, transpiration, internal CO₂, stomatal conductance (gs), photosynthetic pigments, starch, and soluble sugars.

Materials and Methods

Plant material and experimental conditions. Openly pollinated fruits were harvested from the sweet cherry (Prunus avium L.) cv. Burlat in the field in June 2014. The fruits were collected from 15-year-old trees belonging to a germplasm collection grafted on the P. mahaleb rootstock SL 64 (Saint Lucie) from the experimental station “La Maestra” in Jumilla, Murcia (Spain). A total of 1152 well developed and uniform fruits were selected for the experiment and stored at 0 ± 1 °C for a period of 18 h before use (Fig. 1). The seeds were first removed from the endocarp and washed with running tap water and commercial soap. Then, they were transferred to small bags containing wet perlite and stored at 4 ± 1 °C for a period of 90 d. After stratification, germinated seeds with a small radicle emergence were transferred to 7 × 7 × 8 cm pots containing a mixture of peat (Prohumín, Projar, and more..
S.A., Valencia, Spain) and perlite (Projar S.A.) in a 3:1 ratio and 115 g of slow-release fertilizer (Floranid® permanent Compo, Münster, Germany). The plants were watered frequently to provide enough water to replace water loss. Immediately after planting, the plants were sprayed with an antifungal solution composed of tachigaren and mancozeb (2 mL·L–1); then, half of the plants were sprayed with a solution of 10% Promalin® (GA₄+7 1.9%, w/v + BA 1.9%, w/v) (Kenogard, Barcelona, Spain) in distilled water. The other plants were also sprayed with the same solution but without Promalin® to avoid any interaction (Fig. 1).

The experiment was performed in a controlled climate chamber designed by our department specifically for plant research purposes (del Amor et al., 2010), with fully-controlled environmental conditions: 75% relative humidity, 16/8 h day/night photoperiod, 25 ± 1 °C, and a photosynthetically active radiation of 250 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ provided by a combination of fluorescent lamps (TL-D Master reflex 830 and 840; Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V., Eindhoven, The Netherlands) and high-pressure sodium lamps (Son-T Agro; Philips). The experiment was carried out at 800 μmol·mol⁻¹ CO₂ and at control (CO₂) [ambient (CO₂), 380 ± 40 μmol·mol⁻¹ CO₂].

Samples were collected at the time of the transfer to the climate chamber and every 4 d of treatment up to 18 d. The plant height, number of leaves, and gas exchange parameters were determined. At the end of the experiment, leaf samples were collected, for LA measurements and further quantifications of photosynthetic pigments, soluble carbohydrates, and starch. The numbers of plants in active growth (new shoots or leaves), in a steady state (no new shoots and no growth), or dead were recorded every 4 d.

Plant growth. Plant height was measured as well as the number of leaves. The LA was also recorded, using a portable area meter (LI-COR Model LI-3000A; LI-COR, Lincoln, NE).

Gas exchange. The net ACO₂, internal (CO₂) (Ci), transpiration rate (E), and gs were measured in the youngest fully expanded leaf of each plant, using a CIRAS-2 (PP System, Amesbury, MA) with a PLC6 (U) Automatic Universal Leaf Cuvette, measuring both sides of the leaves. The cuvette provided light (light-emitting diode) with a photon flux of 1300·m⁻²·s⁻¹, 400, or 800 μmol·mol⁻¹ CO₂, a leaf temperature of 25 °C, and 75% relative humidity.

Chlorophyll content. Chlorophylls a (Chl a), b (Chl b), and a + b (Chl a + b) were extracted from samples of the youngest leaf with N,N-dimethylformamide, for 72 h, in darkness at 4 °C. Subsequently, the absorbance was measured in a spectrophotometer at 750, 664, and 647 nm, and the quantities were calculated according to the method of Porra et al. (1989).

Soluble sugars and starch. Soluble sugars were extracted according to Walker et al. (2008), by incubating 40 mg of lyophilized leaf tissue twice in 5 mL of 60% ethanol, for 30 min each time, at 35 °C. Each extract was centrifuged at 3500 × g for 10 min, at 20 °C, and the two supernatants were combined. Chloroform (5 mL) was added and the mixture shaken before centrifugation at 2700 × g for 10 min at 20 °C. The upper, colorless layer (20% ethanol) was diluted 4-fold with absolute ethanol to produce an extract in 80% ethanol for measurement of soluble sugars according to Buyssse and Merckx (1993). The residual material from the extraction with 60% ethanol was hydrolyzed with 3% HCl, for 3 h at 125 °C, and the soluble sugars released were measured as an estimate of the starch content.

Data collection and statistical analysis. Four treatments with 288 plants each and 10 replications per sampling were studied. Samples were taken every 4 d. The data were tested first for homogeneity of variance and normality of distribution. Significance was determined by analysis of variance, and the significance (P < 0.05) of differences between mean values was tested by Duncan’s new multiple range test using Statgraphics Centurion® XVI (StatPoint Technologies, Inc., Warrenton, VA).

Results

Germinated cherry seeds were cultured at 800 μmol·mol⁻¹ CO₂ and promalin® was applied. To test their capacity for growth and adaptation in these conditions the experiment was repeated at the ambient concentration of CO₂. During the experiment, the plants were classified in three groups: active growth, steady state, and dead plants. As shown in Table 1, the elevated CO₂ concentration increased the number of plants in active growth by almost 10% when compared with plants cultured in control conditions and by 12% when compared with plants treated with the Promalin® solution and grown in control conditions. However, the number of plants in a steady state was slightly reduced, by 2% to 4%, by applying 800 μmol·mol⁻¹ CO₂ and was unaffected by Promalin® (Table 1). By contrast, the Promalin® treatment produced a higher number of dead plants, exceeding the number observed without Promalin® (Table 1). This effect was more pronounced in the case of plants grown at 800 μmol·mol⁻¹ CO₂.

Plant growth. Plant growth was evaluated immediately after planting and every 4 d of treatment up to 18 d. Plant height (Fig. 2) increased gradually from the first day of the experiment in all treatments. Notwithstanding, it significantly increased in those plants sprayed with the Promalin® solution and at elevated CO₂. Plants sprayed with Promalin® but cultured at ambient CO₂ had grown more than those grown without Promalin® and exposed to ambient CO₂ at the end of the experiment. Per contra, no significant differences were found relative to plants grown at 800 μmol·mol⁻¹ CO₂ without Promalin® exposure.

Leaves (Fig. 2) were more abundant in plants cultured at elevated CO₂ and no Promalin®. These differences were significant after day 11 and until the end of the experiment. No significant differences were detected among the rest of the treatments. The treatment with
Promalin® resulted counter-productive in terms of LA (Fig. 2) because the plants sprayed with this treatment exhibited lower LA values than those from the control treatments. No differences were found between the CO2 treatments.

Gas exchange. The ACO2 was significantly higher under high CO2 than under control CO2 (Fig. 3). Promalin® treatment did not produce a change in ACO2 in plants cultured at the ambient concentration of CO2 and only a decrease on days 7 and 11 in plants cultured at elevated CO2. Dissimilar results were detected for gsc (Fig. 3). Plants cultured with high CO2 had higher gsc than plants cultured at control CO2 up to day 11, when no significant differences were detected among treatments; after that point, plants grown in high CO2 had lower gsc than control plants on days 14 and 18. The WUE (ACO2/E) increased in plants grown in 800 μmol-mol⁻¹ CO2 as the experiment advanced (Fig. 3). The WUE increased in plants cultured with high CO2 reaching values between 11 and 13 at the end of the experiment, whereas the WUE in control plants was around 4. The Ci/Ca ratio increased only in plants cultured at elevated CO2 and sprayed with the Promalin® solution on day 7 (Fig. 3). No differences among treatments were found on the rest of the days, except day 14.

Chlorophyll content. High CO2 induced a significant increment in the amount of Chl a, whereas it decreased the amounts of Chl b and Chl a + b compared with plants cultured in control CO2 (Table 2). Differences between control plants and plants sprayed with Promalin® were only found at ambient CO2 at which the Promalin® treatment provoked a significant increment in the amount of Chl a and a decrease in Chl b and Chl a + b.

**Soluble sugars and starch.** The soluble sugars content in the hypocotyl before planting was 76.24 g·kg⁻¹ DW (Table 2). This amount decreased after planting in all the treatments. Nonetheless, high CO2 softened this drop because the amount of soluble sugars detected in plants cultured in 800 μmol-mol⁻¹ CO2 was almost double than that in plants grown in ambient CO2. Promalin® did not vary the concentration of soluble sugars in plants grown in ambient CO2. However, it decreased the soluble sugars in plants cultured in high CO2. The initial hypocotyl starch concentration was 5.46 g·kg⁻¹ DW (Table 2). The levels increased after transplanting, being significantly higher in plants cultured with high CO2.

**Discussion**

Seedlings are a major issue in any woody plant breeding program. However, all efforts have focused on ovule culture—neglecting the subsequent developmental stage, namely seedling growth and adaptation after germination. Previous studies have proved the effect of CO2 and Promalin® on the plant growth and/or yield of a few woody species, and this study assessed both effects, alone or combined, in plantlets after seed germination. Furthermore, a thorough physiological monitoring of the plants was carried out; this showed that they were better adapted to the environment and in a better physiological state when cultured with elevated CO2.

The primary and instantaneous responses to an increase in the CO2 concentration around the plant are an increased rate of photosynthesis and a decreased rate of transpiration at the leaf level (Poorter and Navas, 2003). Thus, the elevated concentration of CO2 increased ACO2 and WUE while no significant changes were generally detected in plants treated with Promalin®. Promalin® is composed of plant growth regulators, which should intervene in plant growth through mechanisms different from those associated with photosynthesis. Cytokinins promote cell division in meristems (Morini and Melai, 2005) and GA is involved in plant elongation (Yilmaz and Ozguyen, 2009) that enhanced elongation but not general plant growth; ergo, Promalin® promoted plant height but not the number of leaves or LA. According to Morini and Melai (2005), this could be due to the elevated energy demand of this process. Notwithstanding, maybe this is not the only reason. Elevated CO2 has been reported to promote leaf expansion (Morison, 1993) and this effect was exhibited in our study by plants cultured in elevated CO2 and without Promalin®. However, the combined effect of high CO2 and Promalin® produced a marked increase in plant height but was not able to overcome the effects of Promalin® on LA. Thus, the reduced production of leaves and the low LA were similar in both groups of plants treated with Promalin®. This suggests that Promalin® inhibits leaf development in favor of plant elongation. This response could be genotype-dependent because Goe-naga (2010) did not find any change in plant height, stem diameter, number of branches, or number of leaves in mangosteen seedlings treated with Promalin®. Also, this is the opposite of the findings of Emongor et al.
(2004), who described an increase in the total LA, plant height, and leaf number in *Brassica oleracea* plants. Despite the phenomena described previously, the limited number of leaves and the decreased LA did not reduce the photosynthesis rate of plants treated with Promalin®.

On the other hand, the imbalance between leaf growth and elongation may be related with the lower number of plants in active growth and with the higher mortality of plants sprayed with Promalin®. Per contra, CO₂ augmentation provoked a decrease in mortality and a larger amount of plants in active growth; it also produced an increase in AₐCO₂, WUE, soluble sugars, and starch, resulting in plants more physiologically prepared for transfer to the field or greenhouse.

The results concerning gₛ were noteworthy. Low concentrations of CO₂ are associated with increased gₛ (Beerling and Chaloner, 1993), whereas high CO₂ induces low gₛ (Harley et al., 1992). This is supported by the results of Centritto et al. (1999), who detected a significant reduction in gₛ in cherry tree seedlings at elevated CO₂. By contrast, plants grown under elevated CO₂ exhibited higher gₛ in the first two samplings of our experiment, when compared with control plants, although the situation was reversed in the last two samplings of the experiment. The seedlings used in this experiment had no leaves when the experiment started. Thus, the stomata of the first leaves could not have been well-developed during the first stages, and the response of the stomata to the high CO₂ concentration was the opposite of what was expected. After this initial period, the stomata started to react to the high CO₂ concentration and began to close. Similar results were detected in peach tree seedlings (Centritto et al., 2002), apple leaves (Branier and

![Fig. 3. Net AₐCO₂ rate (A), stomatal conductance (gₛ), (B) water use efficiency (WUE) (AₐCO₂/E), (C) the internal CO₂ concentration/ambient CO₂ ratio, and (D) of *Prunus avium* seedlings treated with Promalin® and 800 µmol-mol⁻¹ CO₂ (H-800) or the ambient concentration of CO₂ (H–C), or without Promalin® in 800 µmol-mol⁻¹ CO₂ (C-800) or the ambient concentration of CO₂ (C–C). Values are means ± se (n = 10) (P < 0.05).](image)

Table 2. The chlorophyll a (Chl a), b (Chl b), a + b (Chl a + b), soluble sugars, and starch contents of *Prunus avium* seedlings treated with Promalin® and 800 µmol-mol⁻¹ CO₂ (P-800) or the ambient concentration of CO₂ (P-C), or without Promalin® in 800 µmol-mol⁻¹ CO₂ (C-800) or the ambient concentration of CO₂ (C-C) before and after the experiment. Values are means ± se (n = 10) (P < 0.05).

| START | C     | 400   | P     | 800   | P     |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Chl a (mg·L⁻¹·cm⁻²) | —     | 2.64 ± 0.009 c | 2.72 ± 0.010 b | 2.80 ± 0.019 a | 2.79 ± 0.014 a |
| Chl b | —     | 3.57 ± 0.062 a | 3.00 ± 0.066 b | 2.40 ± 0.141 c | 2.45 ± 0.089 c |
| Chl a + b | — | 6.21 ± 0.053 a | 5.79 ± 0.077 b | 5.21 ± 0.122 c | 5.39 ± 0.160 c |
| Soluble sugars (g·kg⁻¹) | 76.24 ± 7.896 a | 24.95 ± 0.261 c | 19.75 ± 5.252 c | 48.43 ± 2.726 b | 35.27 ± 1.836 b |
| Starch (g·kg⁻¹) | 5.46 ± 0.142 c | 8.36 ± 0.650 b | 9.20 ± 0.185 b | 11.19 ± 0.837 a | 11.58 ± 0.279 a |
Fuchigami, 1982), and cherry tree (Druta, 2001). By contrast, the gs in control plants started to increase as a response to the higher water demand arising from the plant development and growth. Photosynthetic pigments play an important role in photosynthesis, absorbing light, and transferring energy. A low chlorophyll content can reduce light harvesting affecting photosynthesis (Druta, 2001). The Promalin® and CO2 treatment affected the chlorophyll content compared with control plants. However, increased plant height, even more so than seedlings was unaffected by elevated CO2. The findings of Centritto et al. (1999) in sweet plants grown in elevated CO2 were able to maintain higher carbohydrates concentrations through the increase in the photosynthetic rate. On the contrary, the plants grown in control conditions needed to increase the amount of chlorophylls to enhance the photosynthetic apparatus. This is supported by the higher concentrations of soluble sugars and starch detected in plants cultured in high CO2 when compared with control plants.

In conclusion, an elevated CO2 concentration provoked an increase in the photosynthesis rate and WUE as well as in the levels of soluble sugars and starch. All these parameters contributed to the fact that the plants grown in high CO2 exhibited better growth and physiological status. Promalin® also increased plant height, even more so than augmentation of the CO2 supply. However, the growth of plants treated with Promalin® was unbalanced, which probably produced the observed increase in plant mortality. This study has exposed the beneficial effect that CO2 may confer on seedlings in the very sensitive postgermination stage. Thus, CO2 fertilization can improve the quality of cherry tree seedlings, growth, and survival of cherry tree seedlings.
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