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ABSTRACT
The luminosity range at and just below the $10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cut-off for defining ultraluminous X-ray sources (ULXs) is a little-explored regime. It none the less hosts a large number of X-ray sources, and has great potential for improving our understanding of sources with $\sim$Eddington accretion rates. We select a sample of four sources in this Eddington Threshold regime with good data for further study; these objects possess a variety of soft spectral shapes. We perform X-ray spectral and timing analysis on the XMM–Newton and Chandra data for these objects to gain insight into their accretion mechanisms, and also examine their optical counterparts using Hubble Space Telescope images. NGC 300 X-1 is a highly luminous and well-known example of the canonical steep power-law accretion state. MS1 ULS exhibits a cool blackbody-like spectrum and is consistent with being an ultraluminous supersoft source (ULS), possibly a super-Eddington accreting object viewed at a high inclination through an optically thick outflowing wind. NGC 4395 ULX-1 and NGC 6946 ULX-1 have unusually steep power-law tails, for which we discuss a variety of possible physical mechanisms and links to similar features in Galactic microquasars, and we conclude that these sources are likely intermediate objects between the soft ultraluminous regime of ULXs and classic ULSs.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Our understanding of super-Eddington accretion has developed profoundly with the study of ultraluminous X-ray sources (ULXs), non-nuclear X-ray point sources with $L_X > 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$, the majority of which can be explained either as stellar-mass black holes (BHs) accreting at rates above the Eddington limit (e.g. Gladstone, Roberts & Done 2009; see Feng & Soria 2011 for a review) or as highly super-Eddington neutron stars (e.g. Bachetti et al. 2014; Fuerst et al. 2016; Israel et al. 2016, 2017). A number of different X-ray spectral shapes and timing properties observed in ULXs can be unified with a model in which a clumpy, optically thick wind is driven off a geometrically thick, supercritical accretion disc, obscuring the hard central source at high accretion rates and/or high inclinations to the line of sight, and reprocessing its emission (Poutanen et al. 2007; Sutton, Roberts & Middleton 2013; Middleton et al. 2015a). This model can also extend to ultraluminous supersoft sources (ULSs; Di Stefano & Kong 2004), whose near complete lack of hard emission may be due to observing the source at relatively high inclinations or through an optically thick photosphere formed by the wind that completely envelops the source when the accretion rate is at its highest (e.g. Feng et al. 2016; Urquhart & Soria 2016a).

The highest luminosity ULXs are natural sources of interest as potential candidates for intermediate-mass BHs (IMBHs) and also simply because their luminosity allows for easier collection of sufficient data for in-depth analysis of nearer objects. However, there are a large number of sources in nearby galaxies at low ULX luminosities, or just below, that are less well-studied and a largely untapped resource for furthering our understanding of the lower luminosity manifestations of super-Eddington accretion, as well as transitions from sub-Eddington to super-Eddington accretion regimes. We refer to this luminosity regime as the Eddington Threshold, encompassing objects with X-ray luminosity in the range $10^{38} < L_X < 3 \times 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$.

The main roadblock to the study of such objects is the relatively low amount of data we can collect using current missions, due to the generally low fluxes of these objects. Therefore, for this study, we select the best data sets available of this population at the Eddington Threshold as a proof of concept study for the science that could be achieved with next-generation missions such as Athena, whose larger collection area and higher sensitivity will make this class of objects far more accessible to investigation.

In Section 2, we detail how we selected our sample and summarize previous literature on these objects. We describe the data-reduction process and analysis techniques in Section 3, and describe the results of X-ray spectral and timing analysis as well as optical counterpart photometry in Section 4. We discuss the implications of our results and draw comparisons between our sources and other known accretion regimes in Section 5, and present our conclusions in Section 6.
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Table 1. The properties of the sources and their host galaxies.

| Name            | RA and Dec. (J2000) | $d^a$  | $N_H^b$ \((\times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2})\) | $E(B-V)^c$ |
|-----------------|---------------------|--------|------------------------------------------|------------|
| NGC 300 X-1     | 00 55 10.0 − 37 42 12 | 1.83   | 4.04                                     | 0.0111     |
| NGC 4395 ULX-1  | 12 26 01.5 + 33 31 31 | 3.98   | 1.86                                     | 0.0150     |
| M51 ULS         | 13 29 43.3 + 47 11 35 | 8.55   | 1.82                                     | 0.0300     |
| NGC 6946 ULX-1  | 20 35 00.3 + 60 09 07 | 6.28   | 18.4                                     | 0.2944     |

$^a$The distance to the host galaxy, found by averaging the entries in the NED Redshift-Independent Distances database obtained from Cepheid standard candles for NGC 300 and NGC 4395, and from the tip of the red giant branch for M51 and NGC 6946.

$^b$The Galactic absorption column in the direction of this source, obtained by using HEASARC’s $N_H$ tool (https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/Tools/w3nh/w3nh.pl; Kalberla et al. 2005).

$^c$The Galactic extinction in the direction of the source, obtained by using the NASA/IPAC Infrared Science Archive’s DUST tool (http://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/applications/DUST/; Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011).

2 SAMPLE SELECTION

We selected our sample from a catalogue of ULXs and lower luminosity X-ray point sources, created by matching the 3XMM-DR4 version of the XMM–Newton Serendipitous Source Catalogue (Rosen et al. 2015) with the Third Reference Catalogue of Bright Galaxies (RC3; de Vaucouleurs et al. 1991) using a method improving upon that in Walton et al. (2011). Galaxy distances were refined by matching with Tully & Fisher (1988) or the NASA Extragalactic Database (NED) where possible, and the source luminosities were calculated using these distances and the error-weighted mean total flux from the XMM–Newton European Photon Imaging Camera (EPIC) instruments. This process resulted in a clear catalogue of 331 ULX candidates and 834 X-ray sources of lower luminosity associated with the same galaxies for the purpose of comparison (Earnshaw et al. in preparation). From this catalogue, we selected objects with peak luminosities at the Eddington Threshold ($10^{38} < L_X < 3 \times 10^{39} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$) according to the fluxes given in 3XMM-DR4, but with sufficient data for reasonably in-depth analysis. We defined this to be at least four XMM–Newton observations of the source, with multiple of these observations having counts in the thousands. We selected further to sources with variability of factor $\sim 2$, to increase the chance of finding changes in accretion behaviour that could further increase the scientific value of the investigation.

These selection criteria leave us with four objects, all with soft spectra. We acknowledge this as an obvious selection bias, since extragalactic sources in the luminosity range we specify are only likely to have large numbers of counts available if they are dominated by soft emission. We summarize what is currently known about these sources below. A list of the sources and their host galaxy properties is provided in Table 1.

2.1 NGC 300 X-1

Of our sample, 2XMM J005510.0−374212 (henceforth NGC 300 X-1, from Carpano et al. 2007) is by some margin the best studied object. It is a well-known Wolf–Rayet/BH binary within the eastern spiral arm of its host galaxy. The radial velocity obtained from optical spectroscopic observations has been used to place limits on the mass function of the system, and derive a BH mass of $20 \pm 4 \text{ M}_\odot$ (Crowther et al. 2010). Its high mass and low distance, coupled with the fact that it has most frequently been observed in the very luminous steep power-law (PL) state (e.g. Carpano et al. 2007, Binder et al. 2011), makes it unsurprising that it has the luminosity and data quality sufficient to appear in our sample.

NGC 300 X-1 has been observed five times with XMM–Newton for a total observing time of approximately 170 ks. It has also been observed five times with Chandra for a total of over 220 ks and, recently, with the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS) in the F606W and F814W bands. While it has previously been assumed that the Wolf–Rayet star is the binary companion, the HST images also show a number of other possible companion stars, including an asymptotic giant branch (AGB) star candidate and a high-mass main-sequence star (Binder et al. 2015).

2.2 NGC 4395 ULX-1

While the least variable of our sample between XMM–Newton observations, 2XMM J122601.4+333131 (henceforth NGC 4395 ULX-1, from Liu & Bregman 2005) has a large amount of XMM–Newton data available, with four XMM–Newton observations not dominated by background flaring, each with source counts in the multiples of thousands and one in excess of 30 000, with a total observing time of $\sim 214$ ks. It is also detected in three Chandra observations for a total of $\sim 44$ ks. It has previously been identified as a ULX in the catalogues of Roberts & Warwick (2000), Liu & Bregman (2005) and Swartz et al. (2011), and found to have a soft PL-like spectrum by several studies, albeit one with significant residuals, possibly from hot diffuse gas (Feng & Kaaret 2005, Stobbart, Roberts & Wilms 2006). It shows significant long-term variability according to Swift data (Kaaret & Feng 2009), and was recently found to exhibit a period of 62.8 d (Vinokurov et al. 2016). The south-east portion of the galaxy containing NGC 4395 ULX-1 has been previously imaged by HST in six bands with the WFC3 and ACS instruments, although for the optical bands F814W, F555W and F435W, the source lies within the ACS’ chip gap. NGC 4395 ULX-1 has a singular optical counterpart with a blue PL SED over the F275W, F336W and F438W bands. Its optical spectrum shows evidence of broad He II emission, consistent with other ULX counterparts (Vinokurov et al. 2016).

2.3 M51 ULS

2XMM J132943.2+471134 (henceforth M51 ULS, also called M51 ULX-2 in Terashima & Wilson 2004 but not to be confused with M51 ULX-2 in Urquhart & Soria 2016b) is located in the western spiral arm of M51, a very well studied galaxy with a large population of ULXs and an abundance of multiwavelength data. It has been
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observed six times with XMM–Newton although M51 ULS is only detected in four of them, totalling ∼154 ks of observing time. It has also been the subject of a deep Chandra observing campaign, placing the total observing time at just under 750 ks. Previous studies analysing the ULX population of M51 have discovered this source to be very soft (Di Stefano & Kong 2004), able to be fit with a cool accretion disc model (∼0.1 keV; Terashima & Wilson 2004) with a small amount of additional hard emission that can be fit with a combination of a PL tail and a MEKAL thermal plasma component (Dewangan et al. 2005).

A deep HST observation as part of the Hubble Heritage Project in 2005 allowed the identification of a probable optical counterpart to M51 ULX-2, found to be consistent with being an OB-supergiant-type star, making M51 ULS a high-mass X-ray binary (Terashima et al. 2006).

### 2.4 NGC 6946 ULX-1

Finally, 2XMM J203500.1+600908 (henceforth NGC 6946 ULX-1, from Liu & Bregman 2005, but not to be confused with NGC 6946 X-1 in Pinto et al. 2016) is a soft source in an inner eastern spiral arm of its host galaxy, and the only object of our sample with peak luminosity in the traditional ULX range ($L_X > 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$) according to the 3XMM-DR4 data. While the galaxy has been observed by XMM–Newton 11 times, the source is only detected with sufficient data for spectral analysis in four of them, although those four observations total over 185 ks of observing time. Additionally, it has been observed for ∼210 ks with Chandra over six observations. Like NGC 4395 ULX-1, it has previously been found to be a soft source by Devi et al. (2008), who claim it to be an IMBH due to being acceptably fitted with a cool accretion disc.

NGC 6946 ULX-1 has been observed in two HST bands with the ACS instrument, F814W and F658N.

### 3 DATA REDUCTION AND ANALYSIS

We conducted our analysis on the objects listed in Section 2 using archival XMM–Newton and Chandra observations. A list of the observations used in this investigation – those where the source is detected with enough data for spectral analysis, approximately 400 counts at minimum – is given in Table 2.

We reduced the XMM–Newton data using v13.5.0 of the XMM–Newton Science Analysis System (sas) software package. We first created calibrated and clean event lists by running the tasks EMPROC and EPPROC, then removed intervals dominated by background flaring, defined as a >10 keV count rate greater than 0.35 ct s$^{-1}$ for the EPIC-MOS data and a 10–12 keV count rate greater than 0.4 ct s$^{-1}$ for the EPIC-pn data. Using EVSELECT, we
selected source events for spectra and light curves with PATTERN <= 12 for the MOS cameras and PATTERN <= 4 and FLAG == 0 for the pn camera from a circular region around the source with radius 20 arcsec for M51 ULS and NGC 6946 ULX-1, and 25 arcsec for NGC 300 X-1 and NGC 4395 ULX-1. Background counts were taken from equally sized regions outside the host galaxy and on the same chip at a similar distance from the readout node. Redistribution matrices and auxiliary response files were generated using the RMFGEN and ARFGEN tasks, respectively. The spectral data were grouped into at least 20 counts per bin to allow for Gaussian statistics, and oversampling limited to a maximum of three groups per spectral resolution full width at half-maximum by setting OVER-SAMPLE = 3 in the SPECGROUP task.

The Chandra data were reduced using v4.7 of the Chandra Interactive Analysis of Observations (CIAO) software package and reprocessed using CHANDRA_REPRO to produce up-to-date event lists. Spectra and light curves were extracted using the SPECEXTRACT and DMEXTRACT routines, respectively, with the same binning as the XMM–Newton data, using the settings WEIGHT = NO and CORRECTPSF = YES given that each of our objects is a point source. We extracted source counts from 3 arcsec radius circular regions around the source, except for one instance of an extended point spread function when the source was off-axis, when we used a source region of 5 arcsec. Background counts were extracted from an annulus around the source with inner radius equal to the radius of the source region and outer radius of 20 arcsec.

As well as exhibiting significant long-term variability, each of our sources except for NGC 4395 ULX-1 have at least one XMM–Newton observation flagged as variable in 3XMM-DR4 (having a CCMAP directly. We retrieve most previously identified optical and statistical properties were used to 3.5 images for all four sources in Fig. 1, using the settings CIAO 2005 and Galaxy CORRECTPSF to produce up-to-date event lists. This is consistent with Chandra HST and data. We therefore refined our models for NGC 300 X-1, for which there is sufficient signal above the white noise level, we repeated this procedure for five In the case of NGC 300 X-1 and NGC 4395 ULX-1. Background counts were extracted from an annulus around the source with inner radius of 5.7 arcsec for M51 ULS, NGC 4395 ULX-1 and NGC 6946 ULX-1 (rounded to the nearest 0.1 arcsec). In the cases of M51 ULS, NGC 4395 ULX-1 and NGC 6946 ULX-1 to include an additional broad Gaussian line in the residuals of most of the observations.

4 RESULTS

4.1 X-ray spectral fitting

Spectral fitting was performed using v12 of XSPEC (Arnaud 1996) in the energy range of 0.3–10 keV, with errors calculated at the 90 per cent confidence interval. The best-fitting model parameters were found using \( \chi^2 \) minimization, and \( \chi^2 \) statistics were used to determine the goodness-of-fit. We used the abundance tables of Wilms, Allen & McCray (2000) throughout. We began with simple single-component models and refined the models if necessary as described in Sections 4.1.2 and 4.1.3. All models also contain an absorption component frozen to the Galactic column (see Table 1). An example of the spectral-fitting process is shown in Fig. 2.

4.1.1 Single-component models

We began by fitting the source spectra with absorbed single-component models, either a multicomponent disc (MCD; tbabs*diskbb) or a PL (tbabs*powerlaw). We present the results of a first pass of single-component fitting in Table 3. The first thing we find is that all four sources are very soft, with MCD models taking on low temperatures and PL models having high photon indices. However, only M51 ULS is better fitted by an MCD model than by a PL, with three of its XMM–Newton observations acceptably fit by an MCD without any additional components in the model, although additional spectral features are seen in the residuals of most of the observations.

The majority of observations of both NGC 300 X-1 and NGC 6946 ULX-1 show very significant hard residuals when fitted with an MCD, but are well fitted by a PL model, with photon index \( \Gamma \sim 2.5 \) for NGC 300 X-1 and a high photon index \( \Gamma \sim 3.5 \sim 5.5 \) for NGC 6946 ULX-1. Examination of the residuals of the NGC 300 X-1 spectra, especially those for which a single-component model was not an adequate fit, reveals that there is a peaked excess around 0.9 keV in the XMM–Newton data. This is consistent with the findings of Carpano et al. (2007), who find that the inclusion of a Gaussian line at that energy significantly improves the spectral fit. Observation X4 of NGC 6946 ULX-1 also shows distinctive soft residuals around 1 keV as seen in other ULX spectra (e.g. Middleton et al. 2015b). We therefore refined our models for NGC 300 X-1 and NGC 6946 ULX-1 to include an additional broad Gaussian line to fit the excess at \( \sim 0.9 \) keV if it offered a significant improvement to the fit. For other observations of NGC 6946 ULX-1, while well fitted by a single-component PL model, many of them still exhibit

Extragalactic XRBs at the Eddington Threshold 2693
residuals potentially indicative of hot gas emission or absorption edges.

The observations for NGC 4395 ULX-1 were fitted better by a PL model than by an MCD, but unlike NGC 300 X-1 and NGC 6946 ULX-1, only the observation with the lowest amount of data was acceptably fit with a single-component model. The other observations exhibited strong residuals suggesting the presence of a thermal plasma component.

### 4.1.2 Fitting the residuals

Since many of the observations showed significant residuals when fitted with single-component models, we next attempted to fit these residuals by adding a mekal or edge component to the model to test for contributions from thermal plasma or ionized absorption features. In the case of NGC 300 X-1, we used a Gaussian line at ~0.9 keV as in Carpano et al. (2007). The observations for which the fit was significantly improved (Δχ^2 > 10 per dof over the original single-component fit) by the addition of such a component are given in Table 4.

In the case of M51 ULS, the MCD fit can be improved either by the addition of an ~0.5 keV thermal plasma or an ~1 keV absorption edge, the latter offering slightly better improvement to the reduced χ^2 statistic. Including an absorption edge results in a slightly higher temperature for the underlying disc, at around 0.2 keV compared to around 0.1 keV in the case of adding a mekal component.

Three of the XMM–Newton observations of NGC 300 X-1 are significantly improved by the addition of a broad Gaussian line at ~0.9 keV. One exception was observation X2, which showed curvature in its residuals that we were able to fit with an ill-constrained high-temperature mekal component, but is likely more indicative of there being two underlying components to its emission similar to the canonical BH accretion states (see Section 4.1.3). Observation X5 was also not improved by the addition of a Gaussian line, instead exhibiting an absorption feature at E_A = 1.39 ± 0.05 keV, which is unique to that observation. The Chandra observations, on the other hand, showed no evidence of additional spectral features on top of the underlying PL.

All XMM–Newton observations of NGC 4395 ULX-1 are improved by the addition of a 0.7–0.8 keV mekal component. In particular, the fit to observation X3 is dramatically improved by the addition of the thermal plasma component, but is still statistically unacceptable, with null hypothesis probability p = 4.4 × 10^{-3}. The fit can be improved further, with diminishing returns, by adding more mekal components, but the residuals are too complex to be well described by this method.

While most observations of NGC 6946 ULX-1 do not undergo significant improvements to their fit with the inclusion of
The spectral-fitting process, showing the unfolded spectrum and \( \chi^2 \) residuals for various models fitted to XMM–Newton observation X2 of NGC 4395 ULX-1. Top left, fitted with a single absorbed PL model, with \( N_H = (29 \pm 5) \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) and \( \Gamma = 4.3 \pm 0.3 \), \( \chi^2 = 96.3/56 \). Top right, fitted with an absorbed PL model with \( N_H = 12^{+6}_{-5} \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) and \( \Gamma = 3.7 \pm 0.4 \), and a MEKAL thermal plasma component with \( kT = 0.69^{+0.05}_{-0.05} \) keV, \( \chi^2 = 61.0/54 \). Bottom left, fitted with an absorbed MCD with \( N_H = 17^{+10}_{-8} \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) and \( T_{\text{in}} = 0.1^{+0.2}_{-0.1} \) keV, a PL with \( \Gamma = 3.5^{+0.6}_{-0.6} \) and a MEKAL component with \( kT = 0.69^{+0.05}_{-0.05} \) keV, \( \chi^2 = 59.6/52 \). Bottom right, fitted with an absorbed MCD with \( N_H = 13^{+8}_{-6} \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) and \( T_{\text{in}} = 0.1 \pm 0.06 \) keV, convolved with a \textsc{piml} convolution with \( \Gamma = 3.5^{+0.4}_{-0.3} \) and scattered fraction >0.05, and a MEKAL component with \( kT = 0.70 \pm 0.08 \) keV, \( \chi^2 = 59.5/52 \).

**Figure 2.** The spectral-fitting process, showing the unfolded spectrum and \( \Delta \chi^2 \) residuals for various models fitted to XMM–Newton observation X2 of NGC 4395 ULX-1. Top left, fitted with a single absorbed PL model, with \( N_H = (29 \pm 5) \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) and \( \Gamma = 4.3 \pm 0.3 \), \( \chi^2 = 96.3/56 \). Top right, fitted with an absorbed PL model with \( N_H = 12^{+6}_{-5} \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) and \( \Gamma = 3.7 \pm 0.4 \), and a MEKAL thermal plasma component with \( kT = 0.69^{+0.05}_{-0.05} \) keV, \( \chi^2 = 61.0/54 \). Bottom left, fitted with an absorbed MCD with \( N_H = 17^{+10}_{-8} \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) and \( T_{\text{in}} = 0.1^{+0.2}_{-0.1} \) keV, a PL with \( \Gamma = 3.5^{+0.6}_{-0.6} \) and a MEKAL component with \( kT = 0.69^{+0.05}_{-0.05} \) keV, \( \chi^2 = 59.6/52 \). Bottom right, fitted with an absorbed MCD with \( N_H = 13^{+8}_{-6} \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) and \( T_{\text{in}} = 0.1 \pm 0.06 \) keV, convolved with a \textsc{piml} convolution with \( \Gamma = 3.5^{+0.4}_{-0.3} \) and scattered fraction >0.05, and a MEKAL component with \( kT = 0.70 \pm 0.08 \) keV, \( \chi^2 = 59.5/52 \).

Additional components, three of the Chandra observations appear to exhibit an absorption feature at \( \sim 1.2 \) keV. In two of these cases, the spectrum can also be fitted with a warm mekal component, although in the case of C4, it has the effect of dramatically steepening the PL to the point that it becomes very unconstrained, and the thermal plasma component dominates the emission. Observation X4 exhibits strong soft residuals that are not well described either by an absorption edge or mekal components, and instead resemble residual peaks at \( \sim 1 \) keV that are found in ULX spectra, therefore we fit these with a Gaussian component (we also note that two Gaussian absorption components can be used to produce a similar improvement in fit as in Middleton et al. 2015b, but for simplicity we only use a single Gaussian emission component in our analysis).

We show a typical example of a spectrum of each source fitted with a single underlying component plus residuals in Fig. 3.

**4.1.3 Towards physically motivated models**

While a PL model, combined with a characterization of any complex residuals, is a good empirical description of the spectrum for the sources NGC 300 X-1, NGC 4395 ULX-1 and NGC 6946 ULX-1, it is not in itself suitable for a physical explanation of the system. We therefore attempted to fit these sources with a combined MCD and PL model, for comparison with the canonical BH accretion states defined by an accretion disc and an additional hard Comptonized component.

In the case of XMM–Newton observations of NGC 300 X-1, the spectra can be fitted using an MCD with \( T_{\text{in}} \sim 0.1 \) keV, a PL with \( \Gamma \sim 2.4 \) and a Gaussian line, for those observations that exhibited the line in their residuals. The other two XMM–Newton observations are once again exceptions, with X2 exhibiting a harder PL with \( \Gamma = 2.0 \pm 0.1 \) and X5 exhibiting a hotter accretion disc with \( T_{\text{in}} = 0.24^{+0.04}_{-0.03} \) keV. Fits to the Chandra spectra tend to prefer slightly warmer discs of \( T_{\text{in}} \sim 0.2 \) keV; however, these are far less well constrained than in the XMM–Newton observations. The Chandra PL photon indices are all consistent with \( \Gamma = 2.4 \), but similarly not very constrained.

NGC 4395 ULX-1 and NGC 6946 ULX-1 can be treated in a similar way, although it is unusual to see PL slopes this steep. To begin with, we tried to fit the spectra with an MCD, using additional mekal components to account for the hard excess. We found that subsequent mekal components preferentially fitted low-energy residuals and did not account for most of the high-energy tail. Since we were unable to characterize the hard excess with hot diffuse emission, we continued with a traditional...
Table 3. The best-fitting parameters of a single-component (MCD or PL) model fit to our sample of sources.

| Name          | ID  | $N_\text{H}^0$ ($\times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$) | $T_\text{in}^0$ (keV) | $\chi^2$/dof | $N_\text{H}^0$ ($\times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$) | $I_\text{d}^d$ | $\chi^2$/dof | $L_X^X$ ($\times 10^{38}$ erg s$^{-1}$) |
|---------------|-----|-------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|------------------------------------------|
| NGC 300 X-1   | X1  | 0 (0.4)                                    | 1057.7/170             | 4 ± 1        | 2.52 ± 0.06                               | 227.1/170      | 2.01±0.05    | 121.17/1/100                             |
|               | X2  | 0 (0.3)                                    | 541.9/101              | 0            | 2.57 ± 0.06                               | 117.1/101      | 0.86 ± 0.02 |
|               | X3  | 0 (0.4)                                    | 987.0/166              | 5 ± 1        | 2.60 ± 0.06                               | 278.2/166      | 2.15 ± 0.05 |
|               | X4  | 0 (0.4)                                    | 770.6/149              | 3 ± 1        | 2.55±0.08                                 | 205.0/149      | 1.75 ± 0.04 |
|               | X5  | 0 (0.3)                                    | 241.0/67               | 3 ± 2        | 2.7 ± 0.1                                 | 83.2/67        | 1.60±0.07    |
|               | C1  | 0.46±0.06                                 | 66.1/27                | 8 ± 7        | 2.6 ± 0.3                                 | 31.9/27        | 1.7±0.03     |
|               | C2  | (0.6)                                      | 264.6/86               | < 4.6        | 2.4 ± 0.1                                 | 69.4/86        | 1.9±0.01     |
|               | C3  | 0.77 ± 0.05                               | 228.2/89               | 8 ± 5        | 2.5 ± 0.1                                 | 99.0/89        | 2.0 ± 0.1    |
|               | C4  | 0.78 ± 0.06                               | 166.9/63               | < 7.7        | 2.3 ± 0.1                                 | 67.2/63        | 2.01±0.1     |
| NGC 4395 ULX-1| X1  | 4 ± 2                                      | 151.2/83               | 28 ± 4       | 4.2 ± 0.2                                 | 123.8/63       | 6.1 ± 0.3    |
|               | X2  | 3±3/2                                      | 109.3/56               | 29 ± 5       | 4.3 ± 0.3                                 | 96.3/56        | 6.6 ± 0.3    |
|               | X3  | (0.5)                                      | 913.4/187              | (0.2)        | (4.0)                                     | 649.4/187      | (7.4)        |
|               | X4**| 6 ± 2                                      | 203.7/79               | 33 ± 4       | 4.5 ± 0.2                                 | 178.7/79       | 8.1 ± 0.2    |
|               | C1  | 0.48±0.04                                 | 29.5/27                | 50 ± 30      | 3.8 ± 0.4                                 | 19.8/27        | 12.2±1       |
| M51 ULS       | X1  | < 8                                        | 25.4/16                | 30±2         | 5.8±1.2                                   | 33.5/16        | 8.0±1.0      |
|               | X2  | 7 ± 3                                      | 107.8/57               | 30±7         | 6.1 ± 0.5                                 | 152.9/57       | 9.0±0.4      |
|               | X3  | < 13                                       | 37.8/26                | 20±10        | 4 ± 1                                     | 34.6/26        | 1.6±0.2      |
|               | X4  | 3±3/2                                      | 45.6/40                | 23±5         | 5.7 ± 0.5                                 | 58.4/40        | 6.6±0.3      |
|               | C1  | 10±6/5                                     | 58.0/29                | 60±10        | 7 ± 1                                     | 85.4/29        | 6.5±0.6      |
|               | C2  | 10±6/5                                     | 95.1/38                | 70±20        | 9 ± 1                                     | 110.4/38       | 4.4±0.5      |
|               | C3  | 12±7/5                                     | 78.5/34                | (58)         | (8.8)                                     | 105.5/34       | 3.6±0.4      |
|               | C4  | 17±6/5                                     | 163.5/56               | (74)         | (8.8)                                     | 173.5/56       | 6.2±0.3      |
|               | C5  | 20±10                                      | 46.2/20                | (85)         | (9.4)                                     | 62.6/20        | 5.2±0.6      |
| NGC 6946 ULX-1| X1  | 0.28±0.03                                  | 55.9/15                | 30±20        | 4.5±0.09                                 | 31.4/15        | 3.8±0.4      |
|               | X2  | < 4.6                                      | 34.9/8                 | 38±6         | 4.3 ± 0.3                                 | 71.3/69        | 6.2 ± 0.3    |
|               | X3  | <0.6                                       | 235.7/121              | 29±3         | 3.4 ± 0.1                                 | 132.8/121      | 13.6±0.3     |
|               | X4  | (5)                                        | 515.0/140              | 40±4         | 4.3 ± 0.2                                 | 349.8/140      | 5.1±0.1      |
|               | C1  | 11±5                                       | 131.0/64               | 51±7         | 4.7 ± 0.3                                 | 88.7/64        | 5.6±0.3      |
|               | C2  | 9±8                                        | 84.3/33                | 50±10        | 4.7±0.09                                 | 63.9/33        | 5.3±0.4      |
|               | C3  | <13                                        | 41.3/19                | 40±20        | 4.6±0.8                                   | 35.5/19        | 3.5±0.4      |
|               | C4  | (37)                                       | 50.1/16                | 80±30        | 6 ± 1                                     | 40.0/16        | 2.8±0.4      |
|               | C5  | 20±10                                      | 29.3/15                | 70±30        | 5.5±1.1                                   | 19.3/15        | 2.8±0.4      |

Notes. Statistically acceptable fits (confidence within 3$\sigma$ based on $\chi^2$ statistic) are displayed in bold. Values given in brackets are not constrained due to a poor fit. *M2 data were not used due to normalization issues. **No PN data available due to the source being very off-axis. ***PN data were not used due to normalization issues as the source was close to a chip gap.

1The short observation ID given in Table 2.
2The intrinsic column density of the source. The Galactic column density is accounted for in the first, frozen t*abs component; see Table 1. Models for which no further absorption component was required are indicated with $N_H = 0$.
3The accretion disc temperature at the inner disc radius.
4The photon index of the PL model.
5The source luminosity calculated using the best-fitting single-component model (an MCD for M51 ULS and a PL for the other sources) from the observed flux between 0.3 and 10 keV.

Combined MCD and PL approach. However, since the PL slopes are still steep ($\Gamma > 3$ in most cases) even when an MCD component is included, the PL component dominates the spectral continuum at low energies. This has the effect of potentially artificially reducing the MCD component’s temperature and normalization, and therefore distorting our picture of the physical processes (which could also be happening to a lesser extent to the NGC 300 X-1 spectra, since the PL slopes observed for that source are still fairly steep).

Therefore, we instead made use of the simple convolution model component (Steiner et al. 2009), which self-consistently generates a PL tail from the Compton upscattering of seed photons from an input model, for which we used diskbb as before. In the cases where there was sufficient data to put constraints upon the parameters, we also fitted the spectra with an MCD plus comptt model, with the input temperature $kT$ tied to the MCD temperature. In Table 5 we give the parameters for those fits using simpl or comptt where we could place some constraint upon the parameters.
Table 4. The best-fitting parameters of multicomponent (an MCD or PL component plus one or more residual components) model fits, for observations for which a refined model offered a significant improvement to the goodness-of-fit (i.e. $\Delta \chi^2 > 10$). MCD and PL parameters as in Table 3.

| Name       | ID    | $t_{babs} \cdot t_{babs}$/(diskbb) | *edge | +mekal $^a$ | +mekal $^b$ | +gauss $^c$ | $\Delta \chi^2/\Delta$doF |
|------------|-------|-----------------------------------|-------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| M51 ULS    | X2    | $6^{+4}_{-4}$                      | 0.12 ± 0.02 | -           | -           | -           | 0.34 29.72 |
|            |       | $<3$                              | 0.21 ± 0.02 | 0.97 ± 0.03 | 1.7 ± 0.6   | -           | -           | 33.72 |
|            | C1    | $<4$                              | 0.22 ± 0.03 | 1.10 ± 0.05 | 1.7 ± 0.7   | -           | -           | 24.42 |
|            | C2    | $<12$                             | 0.11 ± 0.02 | -           | -           | 0.55 ± 0.04 | -           | 33.52 |
|            |       | $<5$                              | 0.20 ± 0.02 | 0.99 ± 0.02 | 1.8 ± 0.6   | -           | -           | 41.12 |
|            | C3    | 0                                | 0.18 ± 0.01 | 0.95 ± 0.03 | 2.1 ± 0.8   | -           | -           | 38.22 |
|            | C4    | $16^{+10}_{-8}$                   | 0.10 ± 0.01 | -           | -           | 0.59 ± 0.05 | -           | 76.82 |
|            | C5    | $3^{+4}_{-3}$                     | 0.21 ± 0.02 | 1.04 ± 0.02 | 1.8 ± 0.4   | -           | -           | 83.92 |
|            |       | 0                                | 0.23 ± 0.04 | 1.06 ± 0.03 | 2.0 ± 0.6   | -           | -           | 23.22 |

| Name       | ID    | $t_{babs} \cdot t_{babs}$/(diskbb) | *edge | +mekal $^a$ | +mekal $^b$ | +gauss $^c$ | $\Delta \chi^2/\Delta$doF |
|------------|-------|-----------------------------------|-------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| NGC 300 X-1 | X1   | $2 \pm 1$                         | 2.42 ± 0.06 | -           | -           | -           | 0.94 ± 0.02 | 0.07 ± 0.03 | 0.03 56.63 |
|            | X2   | 3.1 ± 0.2                         | -      | -           | -           | -           | -           | -           | 50 41.42 |
|            | X3   | $2 \pm 1$                         | 2.46 ± 0.07 | -           | -           | -           | 0.93 ± 0.02 | 0.08 ± 0.02 | 0.05 76.93 |
|            | X4   | $<1$                             | 2.41 ± 0.08 | -           | -           | -           | 0.90 ± 0.02 | 0.07 ± 0.02 | 0.03 58.63 |
|            | X5   | $3 \pm 2$                         | 2.5 ± 0.1 | 1.39 ± 0.05 | 0.6 ± 0.2   | -           | -           | -           | -         | 26.32 |
| NGC 4395 ULX-1 | X1 | $20 \pm 5$                       | 3.9 $^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$ | -       | -           | -           | 0.8 ± 0.1   | -           | -         | 14 20.92 |
|            | X2   | $12^{+6}_{-5}$                    | 3.7 ± 0.4 | -           | -           | -           | 0.69 $^{+0.08}_{-0.07}$ | -          | -         | 42 35.32 |
|            | X3$ ^a$ | $14 \pm 1$                       | 3.63 ± 0.08 | -           | -           | -           | 0.75 ± 0.03 | -           | -         | 34 379.22 |
|            | X4$ ^b$ | $13^{+2}_{-1}$                   | 3.8 $^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$ | -       | -           | -           | 0.68 ± 0.03 | 1.4 $^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$ | - | 38 397.94 |
|            | X5$ ^a$ | $21^{+5}_{-4}$                   | 4.1 ± 0.3 | -           | -           | -           | 0.77 $^{+0.10}_{-0.06}$ | -          | -         | 29 68.52 |
| NGC 6946 ULX-1 | X4 | $20 \pm 4$                       | 3.6 ± 0.2 | -           | -           | -           | 0.93 $^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$ | 0.13 ± 0.02 | 0.34 182.83 |
|            | C1   | 54 ± 7                           | 4.6 ± 0.3 | 1.20 $^{+0.09}_{-0.05}$ | 0.5 ± 0.2 | -           | -           | -           | -         | 21.32 |
|            | C2   | $20 \pm 10$                      | 3.3 $^{+0.7}_{-0.6}$ | -       | -           | -           | 0.7 ± 0.1   | -           | -         | 0.36 22.72 |
|            |      | $50 \pm 10$                      | 4.5 $^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$ | -       | -           | -           | 1.3 $^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$ | -          | -         | 20.02 |
|            | C4   | $70^{+60}_{-50}$                  | (7.7)    | -           | -           | -           | 0.8 ± 0.2   | -           | -         | 0.72 22.22 |
|            |      | $80^{+70}_{-20}$                  | 5.3 $^{+1.0}_{-0.8}$ | 1.18 $^{+0.07}_{-0.08}$ | 1.3 $^{+0.6}_{-0.5}$ | - | - | - | 20.02 |

Notes. XMM–Newton observations with particular cameras excluded are the same as in Table 3. *Both refined fits of X3 are major improvements on a single-component fit, but are not in themselves statistically acceptable.

*Threshold energy of absorption edge.
$^b$Absorption edge depth.
$^c$The plasma temperature of a first and, optionally, second MEKAL component.
$^d$Energy of Gaussian emission line.
$^e$Linewidth of Gaussian emission line.
$^f$Flux fraction of the residual component(s).

While there is not sufficient data quality to put good constraints on the simpl or comptt parameters for the majority of observations, we can still glean some overall trends. For all three objects, a cool accretion disc temperature is favoured, with disc temperatures for the most part $<0.2$ keV. The slopes of the PL tails obtained once the contribution from an accretion disc is properly considered are slightly harder than a PL model on its own, but still unusually soft in the cases of NGC 4395 ULX-1 and NGC 6946 ULX-1. For those observations for which we were able to make a comptt fit, the parameters for a Comptonizing corona are for the most part very unconstrained, but the majority are consistent with optically thick material and a cool plasma temperature.

4.2 Timing analysis

In order to characterize the long-term variability of each source, we created a long-term light curve (Fig. 4) by using the best-fitting single component model to calculate the 0.3–10 keV flux of the object in each observation, then using the galaxy distance given in Table 1 to calculate the source luminosity (Table 2), assuming $L_X = 4\pi d^2 f_X$ in the absence of direct information on the viewing angle and geometry of the system, where $d$ is the distance to the host galaxy in Mpc, and $f_X$ is the X-ray flux between 0.3 and 10 keV. We do not correct for absorption since extending steep PLs to low energies might misrepresent (i.e. overestimate) the true luminosity hidden by the absorption – doing so gives an estimated intrinsic luminosity up to an order of magnitude higher than the observed luminosity.
luminosity, which is most pronounced in the case of NGC 6946 ULX-1.

All four sources do not exhibit large amplitude long-term variability between most of their XMM–Newton and Chandra observations. NGC 300 X-1 and M51 ULS both only show one departure from their dominant luminosity, which is significantly less bright than the other observations (X2 and X4 for each source, respectively). NGC 4395 ULX-1 and NGC 6946 ULX-1 both have a single brighter observation that exceeds $L_X = 1 \times 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (C1 and X3 for each source, respectively).

We show the luminosity–temperature relation for the diskbb component across the various physically motivated models for our sources in Fig. 5. The bolometric luminosity was calculated from the model normalization of the diskbb fit. For M51 ULS, we make a distinction between those models that used a mekal component to fit the residuals, those that used an edge component, and those that were not improved with an additional residual component. None of the groups show any evidence of a trend except for the three observations fitted only with an MCD, which shows a very tentative inverse relation between the disc luminosity and temperature. For the other sources, we distinguish between the models and those that were not improved with an additional component.
The unfolded spectrum and $\Delta \chi^2$ residuals for the best-fitting absorbed single component plus residuals model, for a typical observation of each source. Top left, NGC 300 X-1 observation X3 fitted with an absorbed PL model with $N_H = 2 \pm 1 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ and $\Gamma = 2.46 \pm 0.07$, and an additional Gaussian line with $E_L = 0.93 \pm 0.02$ keV and $\sigma_L = 0.08 \pm 0.02$ keV, $\chi^2 = 201.3/163$. Top right, NGC 4395 ULX-1 observation X1, fitted with an absorbed PL model with $N_H = 20 \pm 5 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ and $\Gamma = 3.9^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$, and an additional MEKAL component with $kT = 0.8 \pm 0.1$ keV, $\chi^2 = 102.7/81$. Bottom left, M51 ULS observation C4, fitted with an absorbed MCD model with $N_H = 3.4^{+1.8}_{-0.9}$ cm$^{-2}$ and $T_m = 0.21 \pm 0.02$ keV, with an absorption edge at $E_L = 1.04 \pm 0.02$ keV with depth $\tau = 1.8 \pm 0.4$, $\chi^2 = 80.5/54$. Bottom right, NGC 6946 ULX-1 observation C1, fitted with an absorbed PL model with $N_H = 54 \pm 7 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ and $\Gamma = 4.6 \pm 0.3$, with an absorption edge at $E_L = 1.20^{+0.09}_{-0.05}$ keV with depth $\tau = 0.5 \pm 0.2$, $\chi^2 = 67.4/62$.

Figure 3. The unfolded spectrum and $\Delta \chi^2$ residuals for the best-fitting absorbed single component plus residuals model, for a typical observation of each source. Top left, NGC 300 X-1 observation X3 fitted with an absorbed PL model with $N_H = 2 \pm 1 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ and $\Gamma = 2.46 \pm 0.07$, and an additional Gaussian line with $E_L = 0.93 \pm 0.02$ keV and $\sigma_L = 0.08 \pm 0.02$ keV, $\chi^2 = 201.3/163$. Top right, NGC 4395 ULX-1 observation X1, fitted with an absorbed PL model with $N_H = 20 \pm 5 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ and $\Gamma = 3.9^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$, and an additional MEKAL component with $kT = 0.8 \pm 0.1$ keV, $\chi^2 = 102.7/81$. Bottom left, M51 ULS observation C4, fitted with an absorbed MCD model with $N_H = 3.4^{+1.8}_{-0.9}$ cm$^{-2}$ and $T_m = 0.21 \pm 0.02$ keV, with an absorption edge at $E_L = 1.04 \pm 0.02$ keV with depth $\tau = 1.8 \pm 0.4$, $\chi^2 = 80.5/54$. Bottom right, NGC 6946 ULX-1 observation C1, fitted with an absorbed PL model with $N_H = 54 \pm 7 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ and $\Gamma = 4.6 \pm 0.3$, with an absorption edge at $E_L = 1.20^{+0.09}_{-0.05}$ keV with depth $\tau = 0.5 \pm 0.2$, $\chi^2 = 67.4/62$.
Figure 4. The long-term light curve for the sample of Eddington Threshold sources using the observed luminosity from XMM–Newton and Chandra data, as tabulated in Table 3. XMM–Newton observations are marked with squares, Chandra observations with triangles. The grey square for NGC 4395 ULX-1 without error bars is the observation for which the flux could not be well constrained.

since we also find the Wolf–Rayet star, it is likely that it is the genuine companion star. We find a single counterpart to NGC 4395 ULX-1, the same as identified in Vinokurov et al. (2016). For M51 ULS, we comfortably identify the counterpart found in Terashima et al. (2006) using both methods of astrometry matching, therefore we conclude that this is most likely to be the genuine counterpart. We do however note that the error circle obtained from USNO-matching also contains a number of other bright blue stars, as well as probable red giants or AGB stars, and the error circle obtained from direct Chandra and HST matching contains further blue sources and a probable star cluster, so there do exist a number of other legitimate ULX counterparts for this source.

For NGC 6946 ULX-1, we identify a single star as a possible counterpart within the error circle, which is only detected in the $F_{814W}$ band, with magnitude $m_{F814W} = 22.3 \pm 0.7$ after correcting for extinction, which corresponds to an absolute magnitude of $M_{F814W} = -6.4$ using the distance to NGC 6946 given in Table 1. Given that we only have access to data in the $I$ band and the narrow H$\alpha$ band, we acknowledge that it is possible that a very blue optical counterpart may also be present within the error circle but undetected. Shorter wavelength optical and UV bands would be required to further characterize potential companion stars to NGC 6946 ULX-1.

5 DISCUSSION

We have identified a heterogenous sample of four soft, bright sources observed with luminosities just below the Eddington luminosity for a $\sim 10 \ M_\odot$ BH. Since we are only looking at the sources with the highest quality data and selection effects bias us towards soft sources, these objects are not representative of this luminosity range as a whole. Despite this they are still of interest, and even within this small sample we observe different accretion behaviours, including the highest luminosity canonical states and potential ULXs.

5.1 NGC 300 X-1: the highest luminosity canonical states

The soft PL-dominated spectrum of NGC 300 X-1 with $\Gamma \sim 2.5$ and a fairly high fractional rms of $\sim 0.2$ are typical features of the canonical very high/steep PL accretion state of stellar mass BHs. The observation that appears to be an exception to this interpretation is X2, whose lower luminosity and harder PL slope when fitted with an MCD and PL model potentially indicate the source dropping into a hard state.

The broad Gaussian line at 0.9 keV offers a significant improvement in the spectral fit in the case of three of the XMM–Newton observations. Carpano et al. (2007) suggest that these could be unresolved emission lines, possibly from reprocessing by a photoionized stellar wind – they are not present in all observations, but tend to be present in the higher luminosity ones. Binder et al. (2015) find similar improvements in the fit to ours using an apec thermal plasma model. This feature is consistent with being a combination of emission and absorption lines produced by an extended hot gas corona.
Figure 5. The inner disc temperature and bolometric luminosity of the fitted accretion disc component of the best-fitting MCD models for M51 ULS, and the best-fitting physically motivated models for NGC 300 X-1, NGC 4395 ULX-1 and NGC 6946 ULX-1. Black circles indicate a simple MCD model, yellow downward-pointing triangles indicate an MCD model with an additional mekal component, purple upward-pointing triangles indicate an MCD model with an edge component, red squares indicate a diskbb*simpl model with suitable residual components, and blue diamonds indicate a diskbb+comptt model with suitable components.

As NGC 300 X-1 is already a well-studied source, we do not go into much further discussion of its properties. Binder et al. (2015) suggest that while spectral models find low inner disc temperatures, since the mass of the BH is known and this would thus imply a strong retrograde BH spin, it is more likely that there is a hot inner disc covered by an extended corona that is able to access cooler seed photons from further out in the accretion disc. Fitted accretion discs appearing cooler and less luminous than expected due to accretion energy being emitted through the corona is a normal feature of the steep PL state observed in other sources (e.g. Kubota & Done 2016), as well as an amount of disc truncation compared to the standard thermal-dominant state. This may also be why there

Figure 6. The XMM–Newton (top) and Chandra (bottom) power spectra of NGC 300 X-1, normalized so that the power is in units of the squared fractional rms per frequency interval. The grey dashed line indicates the white noise level, and error bars are the standard error on the mean. The spectrum is geometrically rebinned with a rebinning co-efficient of 1.05.

Figure 7. The XMM–Newton fractional rms variability spectrum for NGC 300 X-1, using the energy bands 0.3–0.5, 0.5–0.8, 0.8–1.0, 1.0–3.0 and 3.0–10.0 keV. The errors are calculated by propagating the standard error on the mean for each power spectrum bin summed to find the fractional variability.
does not seem to be a clear accretion disc luminosity–temperature relationship.

While in Galactic sources the steep PL state is often considered to be a transitional state between the low/hard state and the thermal dominant state, it is possible that in NGC 300 X-1 the high mass of the companion star means that the stellar wind can provide it with a persistent rapid rate of fuel supply and therefore enable it to possess a stable accretion rate, at ~10 per cent of the Eddington luminosity.

5.2 A selection of highly luminous supersoft sources

The remaining three sources show behaviour distinct from the canonical sub-Eddington accretion states. NGC 4395 ULX-1 and NGC 6946 ULX-1 both show a very steep PL spectrum, with $\Gamma > 3$ even when considering the presence of an accretion disc and accounting for residuals. M51 ULS is consistent with a single thermal component spectrum, and does not feature the steep hard tail found in the other sources.

The first obvious comparison that can be drawn is with ULXs, such as M101 ULX-1 (Soria & Kong 2016) and NGC 247 ULS (Feng et al. 2016). Characterized by super-Eddington bolometric luminosities and dominated by a low-temperature thermal component with $T \sim 0.1$ keV, ULXs may at first glance seem to be the evidence of IMBHs in a thermal-dominated accretion state. However, the luminosity, temperature and expected accretion rate for the thermal-dominated accretion state are not consistent with an IMBH interpretation. Instead, Soria & Kong (2016) suggest that these sources are examples of the supercritical ULX model (Poutanen et al. 2007) viewed through very optically thick outflowing winds, at very extreme accretion rates and/or viewed at a high inclination, such that all hard photons from the central source are downscattered in the wind, removing any hard tail in the spectrum. This means that the blackbody shape in the spectrum does not actually originate from the disc but from the wind – with very low peak temperatures, an MCD model and a standard blackbody model are statistically indistinguishable in the XMM–Newton data, so this is acceptable in the context of our spectral fitting.

We note here that in the case of an interpretation as a super-Eddington accreting compact object, the object’s underlying nature could be either a BH or a neutron star (as a small number of ULXs have been discovered to be pulsars e.g. Bachetti et al. 2014; Fuerst et al. 2016; Israel et al. 2016, 2017). The detection of pulsations is to date the only definitive method of confirming the presence of a neutron star rather than a BH, and since we do not detect them in any of our sources we continue our discussion under the assumption of super-Eddington accretion on to a BH while conceding that there is a possibility that these sources are neutron stars – a possibility we are unable to test at this time.

For M51 ULS, it is not likely that we are viewing the accretion disc of an IMBH, since the luminosity is too low to be in the sub-Eddington thermal-dominant accretion state for the disc temperature we observe. Additionally, while no strong trend is observed, there is tentative evidence of an inverse relationship between the disc component’s luminosity and temperature, as opposed to the $L_{\text{disc}} \propto T_{\text{disc}}^4$ expected from an accretion disc in the thermal dominant state, and similar to inverse relationships seen in other ULXs (e.g. Urquhart & Soria 2016a) and in the soft component of some ULXs (e.g. Feng & Kaaret 2007; Soria 2007; Kajava & Poutanen 2009). The spectrum is consistent with viewing a supercritically accreting source through an optically thick wind that has downscattered the seed photons into a thermal spectrum. While it is hard to constrain the source variability, the fractional rms upper limit for M51 ULS is generally around 0.3, consistent with the short strong-term variability seen in other ULXs. It exhibits an absorption edge at ~1 keV in some of our observations of the source, and we note that transient absorption edges are a feature seen in a number of ULXs as well (e.g. Feng et al. 2016; Urquhart & Soria 2016a) and are further evidence of absorption in an effectively optically thick outflow wind. All these features are consistent with M51 ULS being a normal member of the ULX population – and indeed it makes an appearance as one of seven ULXs examined in Urquhart & Soria (2016a).

What may be more challenging to explain are the PL spectral shapes of NGC 4395 ULX-1 and NGC 6946 ULX-1. For most ULXs that sometimes exhibit hard residuals (e.g. M101 ULX-1), these are transient – in the case of M101 ULX-1, Soria & Kong (2016) fit them with multiple mekal components and suggest that they may be the result of central source emission being observed through gaps in the clumpy wind that makes up the outflow. However, the steep slopes of our two sources are persistent and cannot simply be fitted with additional mekal components, instead requiring a PL-shaped model.

Perhaps more similar is NGC 247 ULS, which is observed to have a steep PL slope with $\Gamma = 3.9 \pm 0.4$ in its 2014 observation. Feng et al. (2016) suggest that this may be an extreme example of the soft ultraluminous regime, with the hard emission very suppressed so that it manifests as a steep PL and no spectral turnover is evident (either because it is not present, or else because the hard flux is far too low for one to be detected). They also observe moderate short-term variability, which appears to originate from the soft component itself, rather than variability observed primarily in the hard emission as the hard central emission is occulted by a clumpy wind, as in the soft ultraluminous regime (Middleton et al. 2015a).

While there is no strong relationship between the bolometric luminosity and the temperature of the disc component for NGC 4395 ULX-1, there is an inverse relationship between those properties for NGC 6946 ULX-1, which is inconsistent with being produced by an accretion disc. It could, however, be produced instead by an expanding and contracting photosphere, and bears similarity with ‘standard’ ULS behaviour and that of the soft excess in ULXs, which would lend support to these very steep PL sources being intermediate objects between a soft ultraluminous ULX and a ULS.

If this is the case, the question remains of why M51 ULS and other typical ULXs have such high levels of variability compared to this moderately variable steep PL ultraluminous state that we see in NGC 4395 ULX-1 and NGC 6946 ULX-1 if little to no hard emission emerges at all. Middleton et al. (2015a) predict that at very high inclinations, the density of the clumps in the wind would smooth out any variability caused by obscuration of the central source. It is possible then that at extreme accretion rates, while the hard central emission is completely obscured, the outflowing wind varies in temperature by radius such that the clumpy edge of the wind still imprints variability upon the spectrum by occulting deeper parts of the wind at different temperatures to the clumps. Alternatively, Feng et al. (2016) suggest that at very high inclinations, the wind or photosphere itself may be masked by an uneven occulter at much larger radii, such as the outer edges of a warped accretion disc or even a circumbinary disc.

It is appealing to explain NGC 4395 ULX-1 and NGC 6946 ULX-1 as a special case of the soft ultraluminous regime, in which the hard central photons are mostly but not completely downscattered, leaving a steep PL tail and therefore placing them within an ultraluminous unified model. However, the steepness of the PL tail of their spectra and the lack of a spectral turnover brings into
question whether the explanation is that simple. These two ULSs, as well as the similar observation of NGC 247 ULS, exhibit PL slopes with $\Gamma = 3$–4, whereas the majority of soft ultraluminous sources have a slope of $\Gamma = 2$–3 when fitted either with a single-component PL or an MCD+PL model (Gladstone et al. 2009). Even an exception found by Gladstone et al. (2009) to have $\Gamma > 3$ (NGC 5408 X-1) very clearly exhibits a high-energy turnover as well as a steep PL slope.

*NuSTAR* data of ULXs has shown that at energies above the hard spectral turnover, the spectrum is consistent with a steep PL, with $\Gamma \sim 3$ (e.g. Walton et al. 2014, 2015; Mukherjee et al. 2015). Therefore, it is possible that instead of being absent in NGC 4395 ULX-1 and NGC 6946 ULX-1, this hard turnover is present at an unusually low energy ($\sim 1$ keV) and is therefore masked by the soft component and possibly also residual features present in the spectrum. The issue is then why the turnover is at such a low energy, instead of why the PL is so steep. In order to produce such a spectrum using a *comptt* model, the plasma temperature is required to be very cool with $kT < 1$ keV (below the general applicability of the *comptt* model). Rather than as actual Comptonization, this component is often interpreted as a distorted hot accretion disc – however, in this case, this would imply an observed disc temperature not much higher than the temperature of the outflowing wind, which is not unexpected for a system in which we do not see the hot inner regions of the disc. In any case, we do not have the statistics to test either of these scenarios at the present time, so we also examine a number of other possible interpretations of this steep, unbroken PL tail for completeness.

It could be possible that these steep PL tails are direct emission from the centre of the accreting system and are the result of Compton upscattering in addition to a downscattering outflowing wind, in which case the *comptt* model fits indicate that the Comptonizing medium is optically thick and in most cases low temperature. However, it is unclear how this medium, if similar to the accretion disc corona observed in the steep PL state in sub-Eddington sources, could possibly be seen if the source is at a high inclination or otherwise dominated by the outflowing wind. Additionally, it would require a source of low-temperature photons to be upscattered in the first place – we would expect the central source to be high energy, with the low-energy photons originating in the outflowing wind, outside the Comptonizing medium.

Alternatively, as it expands, the outflowing wind could eventually disperse and become optically thin. If the material beyond the photosphere is still very hot and ionized, it may be sufficient for Compton upscattering of the soft emission from the outer regions of the accretion disc or wind photons from its optically thick phase. There is some degeneracy in the parameters of the *comptt* model, in that a similarly steep PL slope can be produced with an optically thin, high-temperature plasma, although model fits tend to prefer optically thick, low-temperature parameter values. However, this would require the wind to be at a very high temperature, inconsistent with current measurements.

Another possibility is that the hard emission is caused by shocks within the expanding envelope of the outflow between shells and/or clumps of different densities produced as the wind varies, or a collision between the outflow and a dense interstellar medium generated by the stellar wind from the companion star. Collisional shocks have been suggested as a mechanism in ULXs before, in the context of explaining the origin of soft emission line residuals within the spectra (e.g. Pinto et al. 2016); however, these shocks appear to produce a thermal, emission-line rich spectrum and not a non-thermal PL-shaped spectrum.

It may be illuminating to consider soft Galactic objects with similarly steep PL spectra for insight into the underlying physics of our sources. We can draw parallels with Galactic microquasars that enter a hypersoft state, such as Cyg X-3 and GRO J1655–40, characterized by very steep PL slopes with $\Gamma = 4$–8. Study of Cyg X-3’s hypersoft state revealed that while there was no hard X-ray emission detected, the source did emit $\gamma$-rays while in that state, indicating that highly energetic processes were still taking place albeit obscured in the hard X-rays (Koljonen et al. 2010). SED modelling of GRO J1655–40 suggests that its unusually steep spectrum is caused by a powerful Compton-thick and ionized disc wind, driven by a source accreting at a near- or super-Eddington intrinsic luminosity (Uttley & Klein-Wolt 2015; Shidatsu, Done & Ueda 2016). This interpretation ties the hypersoft state into the ULX family in a similar fashion to ULs, and demonstrates that such sources can manifest with steep PL slopes, just as NGC 4395 ULX-1 and NGC 6946 ULX-1 do. Additionally, the absorption edges observed in the spectra of NGC 6946 ULX-1 indicate high levels of ionization.

The main difference between the hypersoft accretion state and our very steep PL objects is the transience of the hypersoft state, compared with our sources that are for the most part persistent in their steepness. This could be attributed to their companion stars allowing persistent accretion through Roche lobe overflow, keeping the source in a single accretion state across years of observation. Additionally, a direct comparison of these sources with GRO J1655–40 shows the Galactic source to have a much higher blackbody temperature of $\sim 0.4$ keV, making drawing direct parallels between the objects tricky.

We must also consider that NGC 4395 ULX-1 and NGC 6946 ULX-1 may be similar to NGC 300 X-1, in a sub-Eddington steep PL state, albeit with a steeper PL than is usually expected in such a source, implying a particularly low plasma temperature in the Comptonizing corona. They are consistent with having moderately high variability and a cool disc temperature similar to NGC 300 X-1. However, their luminosities are for the most part 3–5 times
higher than that observed for NGC 300 X-1 while in the steep PL state, therefore we must conclude that for this analogy to hold, the sources either have a higher BH mass (60–100 $M_{\odot}$) or are consistently accreting at a higher Eddington fraction than NGC 300 X-1 (30–50 per cent).

In Table 6, we collate previous studies of the optical counterparts of our sources with this work. All sources have very bright counterparts, similar to ULX counterparts, whose absolute magnitudes tend to lie in the range of $-4 > M_V > -8$, with most having $M_V \approx -6$ (Vinokurov et al. 2016). Those counterparts for which we have a value of $M_V$ lie in this range and have possible supergiant spectral types, with the magnitudes of M51 ULS and NGC 300 X-1 being consistent with an OB supergiant and WR star, respectively. NGC 4395 ULX-1 has an optical spectrum with similar features to other ULX spectra (Vinokurov et al. 2016), which are very blue and show features such as broadened He II, Hα and Hβ emission lines. While these spectra are similar to late-nitrogen WR star or OB supergiant spectra, Fabrika et al. (2015) suggest that these may actually be dominated by emission from an irradiated supercritical accretion disc wind, similar to Galactic source SS 433. If this is the case, this would be further support for NGC 4395 ULX-1 being a super-Eddington accreting object. For the counterpart to M51 ULS, at a lower extreme magnitude, it is again ambiguous as to whether the counterpart is dominated by a supergiant star or a supercritical disc – optical spectroscopy would be required to make this distinction, and to determine whether the spectrum is suitable for dynamical mass measurements.

The $I$-band magnitude of the counterpart of NGC 6946 ULX-1 is much brighter than the other counterparts we observe. This could possibly be an indication of a red supergiant companion star, found in some ULX systems (e.g. Heida et al. 2014, 2016). Red supergiant companion stars are useful for radial velocity measurements as they are expected to be dominant over the accretion disc in the near-infrared, since the contribution from the accretion disc is lower in that regime than in the optical. Therefore, if this counterpart is indeed a red supergiant, it could prove a good target for spectroscopic mass measurements.

6 CONCLUSIONS

We have searched a new, clean catalogue of extragalactic, non-nuclear X-ray point sources for luminous objects with peak luminosity below $3 \times 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in order to find sources at the Eddington Threshold and to probe the nature of accretion at or just below super-Eddington rates. We identified a heterogenous sample of four sources, all very soft due to the nature of our selection methods.

(i) NGC 300 X-1 is a well-known example of a BH accreting in a persistent steep PL state, made possible by its high-mass WR companion star. Its selection does not come as a surprise given that we would expect the highest luminosity examples of the canonical sub-Eddington BH accretion states to appear at Eddington Threshold luminosities.

(ii) M51 ULS is best fitted with an MCD disc model, with a blackbody-shaped spectrum. This spectral shape and its likely fairly high levels of fractional variability make it similar to ULXs, so this may be a super-Eddington accreting source viewed at high inclinations and/or through an optically thick outflowing wind, which causes both the lack of high-energy photons, which are beamed away or downscattered, and the lower than expected luminosity.

(iii) NGC 4395 ULX-1 and NGC 6946 ULX-1 both exhibit a very steep PL tail, a complication that does not make them immediately comparable with ULXs. We consider a number of possible physical scenarios, including Compton-upscattering at the point the wind becomes optically thin, shocks within the wind or where the wind meets the interstellar medium, or manifestations of the steep PL state with relatively low corona temperatures. However, we conclude that it is most likely that they are an intermediate stage between ULXs and the soft ultraluminous regime in ULXs, in which most but not all of the hard central emission is downscattered, leaving a very steep PL tail. They also bear similarities with the hypersoft state observed in a handful of Galactic microquasars, which may also be associated with super-Eddington accretion driving a Compton-thick wind.

Even with the best quality data sets, many of these sources are not luminous enough to provide sufficient data for highly in-depth investigation unless they are targeted by long dedicated observation campaigns. Additionally, due to selection bias, our sample is not representative of the Eddington Threshold population as a whole. However, we have demonstrated even with this limited sample that the Eddington Threshold population exhibits a variety of accretion behaviours that are not well understood, and would be an excellent regime for investigation by future X-ray missions such as Athena.
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