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1. Introduction

This study is concerned with developing new iterative criteria to test the oscillation of solutions of neutral delay differential equations NDDE of third order:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( r(l) \left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2} z(l) \right) \right) + q(l)x^\alpha(\sigma(l)) = 0, \quad (1)
\]

where \( l \geq l_0, z(l) = x(\tau(l)) + p(l)x(\sigma(l)) \) is a corresponding function of \( x \), \( \alpha \) is a quotient of odd positive integers, \( r \in C^1(T_{l_0}, [0, \infty)), \int_0^\infty r^{-1/\alpha}(s)ds \rightarrow \infty \) as \( l \rightarrow \infty \), \( p \in C(T_{l_0}, [0, p_0]) \), \( p_0 < 1 \) is a positive real number, \( q \in C(T_{l_0}, [0, \infty)) \), \( \tau, \sigma \in C^1(T_{l_0}, \mathbb{R}) \), \( \tau(l) < l \), \( \sigma(l) < l \), \( \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \tau(l) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(l) = \infty \), and \( T_{l_0} = [l_0, \infty) \).

By a solution of (1), we mean a nontrivial real function \( x \in C(T_{l_0}, \mathbb{R}) \) for all \( l_0 \geq l_0 \), which has the properties \( z, rz' \), and \( rz' \in C^1(T_{l_0}, \mathbb{R}) \), and satisfies (1) on \( T_{l_0} \). We only consider those solutions of (1) which exist on some half-line \( T_{l_1} \) and satisfy the condition sup \( \{ |x(l)|; l \geq l_* \} > 0 \) for any \( l_* \in T_{l_1} \).

If the solution \( x \) is either ultimately positive or ultimately negative, then \( x \) is called nonoscillatory; otherwise, it is called an oscillatory solution. The equation itself is termed oscillatory if all its solutions oscillate. Solutions \( x \) whose corresponding function \( z \) satisfies \( z(\tau(l)) < 0 \) are called Kneser solutions. We denote the class of all Kneser solutions of (1) with the symbol \( X_K \). Otherwise, \( X_N \) denote to the class of all positive solutions of (1) whose \( z \) satisfies \( z(\tau(l)) > 0 \).

Delay differential equations as a subclass of functional differential equations take into account the dependence on the system’s past history, which results in predicting the future in a more reliable and efficient way. Neutral delay differential equations arise in various phenomena including problems concerning electric networks containing lossless transmission lines (as in high-speed computers where such lines are used to interconnect switching circuits), in the study of vibrating masses attached to an elastic bar or in the solution of variational problems with time delays, or in the theory of automatic control and in neuro-mechanical systems in which inertia plays a major role, see [1–6].

For interesting methods, techniques, and results which are concerned with the study of oscillation of third-order NDDEs, we refer the reader to the works [7–16]. Furthermore, the
studies [17–20] are concerned with the study of odd-order DDEs.

At the beginning of any study of the oscillatory properties of solutions of differential equations, it is easy to notice the importance of classifying signs of derivatives of nonoscillatory solutions. For the positive solutions, based on the canonical condition \[ \int_{l_0}^{\infty} r^{-1/\alpha}(s) \, ds \to \infty \quad \text{as} \quad l \to \infty, \]

it follows from ([21], Lemma 1) that \((r_0 z')' > 0\) and there are two possible cases for \(z' (l)\): either \(z' (l) > 0\) or \(z' (l) < 0\). By creating conditions that ensure \(X_N\) and \(X_K\) are empty sets, we can directly set the criteria for oscillation.

There are numerous results interested in finding conditions that ensure class \(X_N\) is empty, which include Hille and Nehari types and Philos type. Baculíková and Dzurina [12] attained the oscillation of all solutions of \((1)\) in the linear case \(\alpha = 1\). They proved that if \((4)\) and

\[ \limsup_{l \to \infty} \int_{l_0}^{l} \left( \frac{1}{2^{\alpha - 1} \rho(s)} Q(s) - \frac{(1 + p_0^\alpha/\tau_0)}{(\alpha + 1)^{\alpha + 1}} \frac{\left( \rho' (s) \right)^{\alpha + 1}}{\rho(s) \beta_1 (\sigma(s)) \sigma'(s)} \right) \, ds = \infty, \]

where \(\sigma' (l) > 0\), \(\tau' (l) > 0\), \(Q(l) = \min \{ q(l), q(\tau(l)) \}\), and \(\rho \in C([l_0, \infty), (0, \infty))\). All previous results focused on the class \(X_K\) only and proved that every solution that belongs to \(X_K\) tends to zero.

On the other hand, by establishing conditions for the nonexistence of Kneser solutions \((X_K = \emptyset)\), Dzurina et al. [11] attained the oscillation of all solutions of \((1)\) in the linear case \(\alpha = 1\). They proved that if \((4)\) and

\[ \liminf_{l \to \infty} \int_{r^{-1}(\rho(y))}^{l} \frac{1}{\rho(s)} Q(s) - \frac{1}{r(u)} \, du > \frac{\tau_0 + p_0}{\tau_0 e}, \]

hold, then equation \((1)\) is oscillatory, where \(\rho \in C([l_0, \infty), (0, \infty))\) satisfying \(\sigma < \rho < \tau\).

One purpose of this study is to further complement and improve the well-known results reported in the literature. In Section 2, by using an iterative technique, we get analogous iterative estimates for Kneser solutions of \((1)\). These iterative estimates enable us to establish new criteria that ensure the nonexistence of Kneser solutions. Further, criteria of an iterative nature help check the oscillation, even when the other criteria fail to apply. In Section 3, we use the Riccati transformation method and comparison principles to obtain different criteria which guarantee that \(X_N = \emptyset\). Examples illustrating the new results are also given.

For the sake of ease and assistance in presenting the main results, we provide the following notations and lemmas:

\[ \theta(v, u) = \int_{u}^{v} r^{-1/\alpha}(h) \, dh, \quad \text{for} \quad u < v, \]

\[ \delta = \{ l \mid 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \}; \quad 2^{1-\alpha} \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha > 1. \]

\[ \liminf_{l \to \infty} \int_{l_0}^{\infty} q(s) \sigma^{2n}(s) \, ds > \frac{(2\alpha)^\alpha}{(\alpha + 1)^{\alpha + 1}} (1 - p_0)^\alpha, \quad (2) \]

where \(p_0 < 1\) and \(r' (l) > 0\), then \(X_N = \emptyset\). By comparison principles, Baculíková and Dzurina [8] proved that if the first-order DDE

\[ y'(l) + q(l) \left( \frac{(\sigma(l) - l_0)^2 (1 - p(\sigma(l)))}{2^{1/n} (l)} \right)^\alpha \right) \right) y(\sigma(l)) = 0, \quad (3) \]

is oscillatory, then \(X_N = \emptyset\). We can easily notice that the delay argument \(\tau(l)\) has been neglected in \((2)\) and \((3)\). Otherwise, by using the Riccati transformation, Thandapani and Li [16] guaranteed that class \(X_N\) is empty if

Lemma 1 (see [7], Lemma 1). All eventually positive solutions \(x\) of \((1)\) have the following properties:

- \((P)\) z and \(z''\) are positive, \(z'\) is of fixed sign, and \(r(l)\) \((z''(l))^\alpha\) is nonincreasing, for \(l\) large enough.

Lemma 2 (see [16]). Let \(\phi, \varphi \in [0, \infty)\). Then, \(\delta(\phi + \varphi) = (\phi^\alpha + \varphi^\alpha)\) for all \(\alpha \geq 0\).

2. Main Results 1: Iterative Technique

Lemma 3. Assume that \(x\) belongs to \(X_K\) and there is a function \(\rho \in C(T_{l_1}, (0, \infty))\) with the property

\[ \sigma(l) < \rho(l) < \tau(l), \quad (7) \]

If \(r^{-1}(u) \leq v, \)

\[ z(u) \geq \Theta_{n+1}(v, u) r^{1/n}(v) z''(v), \quad (8) \]

for \(n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\), where \(\Theta_0(v, u) = \int_{u}^{v} \theta(v, h) \, dh, Q(l) = \min \{ q(\tau(l)), q(l) \}\), and

\[ \Theta_{n+1}(v, u) = \int_{u}^{v} \left( \frac{1}{r(u_1)} \right) \exp \left( \frac{\delta r_0}{\tau_0 + p_0 \int_{1}^{v} \frac{1}{r(u_1)} \, du_1} \right) \, du_1, \quad (9) \]

\[ \cdot Q(h) \Theta_n(\rho(h), \sigma(h)) \, dh \right)^{1/\alpha} du_1 du_2. \]

Proof. Suppose \(x\) belongs to \(X_K\). Thus, there is a \(l_1 \geq l_0\) satisfying \(x(l)\), and \(x(\sigma(l))\) and \(x(\tau(l))\) are positive for \(l \geq l_1\). As a direct result of Lemma 1, \(x\) achieves property \((P)\).
Using induction, we will prove the iterative relationship (8).

At \( n = 0 \), since \((r(l)(z''(l))^α)'' \leq 0\), we obtain

\[
\int_u^{r_l(\alpha)} \left( r(h) \left( z''(h) \right)^{\alpha} \right)^{1/\alpha} dh \\
\geq r^{1/\alpha}(v)z''(v)\theta(v,u), \text{ for } u < v,
\]

which in turn leads to

\[-z'(u) \geq z'(v) - z'(u) \geq r^{1/\alpha}(v)z''(v)\theta(v,u), \text{ for } u < v. \quad (11)\]

As a result of integrating (11) over \([u, v)\), we get

\[
z(u) \geq z(u) - z(v) \geq r^{1/\alpha}(v)z''(v)\int_u^{v} \theta(v, h) dh \\
\geq \Theta_\alpha(v, u) r^{1/\alpha}(v)z''(v).
\]

Next, we will prove (8) at \( n + 1 \) based on the assumption that it is correct at \( n \), that is,

\[
z(u) \geq \Theta_\alpha(v, u) r^{1/\alpha}(v)z''(v). \quad (13)
\]

First, we have from (1) that

\[
q(r(l))p_0^n x^\alpha(\sigma(r(l))) = q(r(l))p_0^n x^\alpha(\sigma(r(l))) \\
= \frac{-p_0^n}{\tau(l)} \left( r(r(l)) \left( z''(r(l)) \right)^{\alpha} \right)'' \\
\leq \frac{-p_0^n}{\tau_0} \left( r(r(l)) \left( z''(r(l)) \right)^{\alpha} \right)''.
\]

By exploiting Lemma 2 with \( \phi = x(l) \) and \( \varphi = p_0^n x^\alpha(r(l)) \), we obtain

\[
\delta z^\alpha(l) \leq \delta(x(l) + p_0^n x^\alpha(r(l))) \leq x^\alpha(l) + p_0^n x^\alpha(r(l)), \quad (15)
\]

which, with (1) and (14), gives

\[
\delta Q(l) z^\alpha(\sigma(l)) \leq q(l) x^\alpha(\sigma(l)) + q(r(l))p_0^n x^\alpha(\sigma(r(l))) \\
\leq -\left( r(l) \left( z''(l) \right)^{\alpha} \right)'' + \frac{p_0^n}{\tau_0} \left( r(r(l)) \left( z''(r(l)) \right)^{\alpha} \right)''.
\]

Bringing (13) with \( u = \sigma \) and \( v = \rho \), and combining it with (16), we get

\[
\left( r \left( z'' \right)^{\alpha} + \frac{p_0^n}{\tau_0} r(\tau) \left( z''(\tau) \right)^{\alpha} \right)''(l) \\
\leq -\delta Q(l) \Theta_\alpha^n(\rho(l), \sigma(l)) r(\rho(l)) \left( z''(\rho(l)) \right)^{\alpha}.
\]

Secondly, we define \( H \) as

\[
H = r \left( z'' \right)^{\alpha} + \frac{p_0^n}{\tau_0} r(\tau) \left( z''(\tau) \right)^{\alpha}. \quad (18)
\]

Since \((r(l)(z''(l))^\alpha)'' \leq 0\), we see that

\[
\left( 1 + \frac{p_0^n}{\tau_0} \right) \left( r \left( z'' \right)^{\alpha} \right)(l) \\
\leq H(l) \leq \left( 1 + \frac{p_0^n}{\tau_0} \right) \left( r(\tau) \left( z''(\tau) \right)^{\alpha} \right)(l).
\]

Therefore,

\[
\frac{\tau_0}{\tau_0 + p_0^n} H(r^{-1}(\rho(l))) \leq r(\rho(l)) \left( z''(\rho(l)) \right)^{\alpha}. \quad (20)
\]

From (17) and (20), it follows that

\[
H'(l) + \frac{\delta \tau_0}{\tau_0 + p_0^n} Q(l) \Theta_\alpha^n(\rho(l), \sigma(l)) H(r^{-1}(\rho(l))) \leq 0. \quad (21)
\]

It is easy to note from (17) that \( H'(l) \leq 0 \) and hence \( H(r^{-1}(\rho(l))) \geq H(l) \). Thus, (21) becomes

\[
H'(l) + \frac{\delta \tau_0}{\tau_0 + p_0^n} Q(l) \Theta_\alpha^n(\rho(l), \sigma(l)) H(l) \leq 0. \quad (22)
\]

Using the Grönwall inequality, (22) becomes

\[
H(r^{-1}(u)) \geq H(v) \exp \left( \frac{\delta \tau_0}{\tau_0 + p_0^n} \int_{r^{-1}(u)}^{v} Q(h) \Theta_\alpha^n(\rho(h), \sigma(h)) dh \right), \text{ for } r^{-1}(u) < v. \quad (23)
\]

From (19), we have

\[
z''(u) \geq r^{1/\alpha}(v)z''(v) \left( \frac{1}{r(u)} \exp \left( \frac{\delta \tau_0}{\tau_0 + p_0^n} \int_{r^{-1}(u)}^{v} Q(h) \Theta_\alpha^n(\rho(h), \sigma(h)) dh \right) \right)^{1/\alpha}. \quad (24)
\]
Integrating (24) twice over \([u, v]\), we get
\[
-z'(u) \geq r^{1/α}(v)z''(v) \int_u^v \left( \frac{1}{r(u)} \left( \frac{δr}{r_0 + p_b} \right) \right) \exp \left( \frac{δr}{r_0 + p_b} \right) Q(h)Ω^n_ρ(ρ(h), σ(h)) dh \right) \, \, dμ_1,
\]

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 4.** Assume that there exists a function \(ρ \in C(T_{t_0}^\infty, (0, \infty))\) with the properties \(σ(0) < ρ(l) < τ(l)\) and \(σ(l) ≤ τ(ρ(l))\). If
\[
\liminf_{l \to \infty} \int_{r^{-1}(ρ(l))}^l Q(h)Ω^n_ρ(ρ(h), σ(h)) dh > \frac{ρ_0 + p_b}{δr_0 e},
\]

then \(X_K = \emptyset\).

**Proof.** Suppose \(x\) belongs to \(X_K\). As a direct result of Lemma 2, we get that (8) holds. By following the same approach as in proof of Lemma 2, we get the relationships from (14) to (21). Now, assume \(H\) is defined as in (18). From Lemma 1, we have that \(z''(l) > 0\), and hence, \(H(l) > 0\), for \(l \in T_{t_0}^\infty\). Then, the delay inequality (21) has a positive solution. From Theorem 1 in [22], the associated equation of (21) is
\[
H'(l) + \frac{δr_0}{r_0 + p_b} Q(l)Ω^n_ρ(ρ(l), σ(l))H(r^{-1}(ρ(l))) = 0,
\]
has also a positive solution. However, it is well known from ([123], Theorem 2) that (34) implies oscillation of (27), a contradiction. This completes the proof.

**Example 1.** Assume the following NDDE of third order:
\[
(x(l) + p_0 x(al))^n + \frac{q_0}{p_0} x(bl) = 0,
\]
where \(l \geq 1, a, b \in (0, 1)\), and \(p_0\) and \(q_0\) are positive. First, we need to calculate \(θ, Q\), and \(Ω_ρ\). Some careful calculations show that \(Q(l) = q_0 t^l, θ(u_1, u_2) = u_1 - u_2,\)
\[
Ω_θ(u_1, u_2) = \frac{1}{2} (u_1 - u_2)^2,
\]
\[
Ω_κ(u_1, u_2) = \frac{1}{1 - μ_κ} a^{μ_κ} u_1^{μ_κ} \left( \frac{1 - μ_κ}{2 - μ_κ} u_1^{2μ_κ} - \left( u_1^{1-μ_κ} u_2 - \frac{1}{2 - μ_κ} u_2^{2μ_κ} \right) \right),
\]
for \(k = 1, 2, \cdots\), where \(c = (a + b)/2, λ_0 = (c - b)^2/2, μ_k = \frac{aq_0 λ_k}{a + p}, \)
\[
λ_{k+1} = \frac{aμ_k}{μ_k - 1} c^{μ_k} \left( \frac{1}{μ_k - 2} b^{2 - μ_k} - \frac{1}{μ_k - 1} c^{2 - μ_k} + bc^{1-μ_k} \right).
\]

Next, if we set \(ρ(l) = ((a + b)/2)l\), then applying Theorem 4 requires that
\[
b < \min \left\{ a, \frac{a^2}{2 - a} \right\} = \frac{a^2}{2 - a}.
\]

Then, it is easy to verify that
\[
Ω_θ(cl, bl) = \frac{1}{2} (c - b)^2 t^l = λ_0 t^l,
\]
\[
Ω_κ(cl, bl) = \frac{a^{μ_κ}}{μ_κ - 1} c^{μ_κ} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{μ_κ - 2} b^{2 - μ_κ} - \frac{1}{μ_κ - 1} c^{2 - μ_κ} + bc^{1-μ_κ} \right) \right] = λ_κ t^l.
\]
Now, we set
\[
H_n = \liminf_{l \to \infty} \int_{r^{-1}(ρ(l))}^l Q(h)Ω^n_ρ(ρ(h), σ(h)) dh = q_0 λ_n \ln \frac{a}{c}.
\]
In the following particular case,
\[
\left( x(l) + \frac{1}{10} x \left( \frac{9}{10} l \right) \right)^n + \frac{811}{10^{1/2}} x \left( \frac{1}{2} l \right) = 0,
\]
we note that \(H_0 = 0.40634, H_1 = 0.40982, \) and
\[
\frac{a + p_0}{ae} = \frac{0.9 + 0.1}{(0.9)e} = 0.40875.
\]
Therefore, condition (26) is not satisfied when \(n = 0\), but satisfies when \(n = 1\). Thus, (34) has no Kneser solutions.

**Remark 5.** Very recently, Dzurina et al. ([12], Example 1) proved that (28) is oscillatory if
\[
q_0 > \max \left\{ \frac{8(a + p_0)}{ae(a - b)^2 \ln (2a/(a + b))}, \frac{a + p_0}{ab^2} \right\}.
\]

In the particular case (34), condition (36) reduces to
\[
81.1 > max \{ 81.323, 4.4444 \} = 81.323 \text{ (not fulfilled).}
\]
However, by using our results, the oscillation condition is 81.1 > 80.889 (fulfilled). Thus, equation (34) is oscillatory.
3. Main Results 2: An Improved Approach

Lemma 6. Assume that $x$ belongs to $X_N$ on $[l_1, \infty)$, and $r'(l) > 0$. Then, $z(l) \geq (1/2)(l - l_1)z'(l)$ for all $l \geq l_1$.

Proof. Assume that $x$ belongs to $X_N$ on $[l_1, \infty)$. Then, it follows from Lemma 1 that there is a $l_1 \geq l_0$, such that $z(l), z'(l),$ and $z''(l)$ are positive and $z''(l)$ is nonpositive, for all $l \geq l_1$. It is easy to conclude that

$$\int_{l_1}^{l} z''(s)ds = z(l) - z(l_1) - z'(l_1)(l - l_1), \quad (37)$$

which, with the fact that $z'' \leq 0$, gives

$$\frac{1}{2}(l - l_1)z''(l) \leq z(l) - z(l_1) - z'(l_1)(l - l_1), \quad (38)$$

$$z(l) \geq z(l_1) + (l - l_1)z'(l_1) + \frac{1}{2}(l - l_2)z''(l). \quad (39)$$

Now, we define

$$F(l) = (l - l_1)z(l) - \frac{1}{2}(l - l_1)^2z'(l). \quad (40)$$

From (39), we get

$$F'(l) = z(l) - \frac{1}{2}(l - l_1)^2z''(l) \geq z(l_1) + (l - l_1)z'(l_1) > 0. \quad (41)$$

Thus, we have that $F$ is an increasing function with $F(l_1) = 0$, and so, $F(l) > 0$ for all $l \geq l_1$. Therefore, from the definition of $F$, we get the required directly.

Lemma 7. Assume that $x$ belongs to $X_N$ on $[l_1, \infty)$, $r'(l) > 0$, and $p(l) \equiv p$ (constant). Then,

$$x(l) \geq (1 - p)z(l) \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} p^k x\left(\frac{t^{[2k+1]}(l)}{l - l_1}\right)^2. \quad (42)$$

for any odd positive integer $n$ and for all $l \geq l_2$, where $l_2$ large enough and

$$\tau^{[k]} = \tau\left(\tau^{[k-1]}(t)\right), \text{for } k = 1, 2, \cdots. \quad (43)$$

Proof. Assume that $x$ belongs to $X_N$ on $[l_1, \infty)$. From the definition of $z$, we obtain

$$x(l) = z(l) - px(\tau(l)) = z(l) - pz(\tau(l)) + p^2 x\left(\tau^{[1]}(l)\right). \quad (44)$$

Resuming this procedure, we get, for any $n$ odd positive integers,

$$x(l) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k p^k x\left(\tau^{[k]}(l)\right) + p^{n+1} x\left(\tau^{[n+1]}(l)\right) \quad \geq \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} p^{2k} x\left(\tau^{[2k]}(l)\right) - p^{2k+1} x\left(\tau^{[2k+1]}(l)\right), \quad (45)$$

for $l \geq l_1 \geq l_0$, where $l_1$ is sufficiently large. Since $z'(l) > 0$ and $\tau^{[2k+1]}(l) \leq \tau^{[2k]}(l)$ for all $k = 0, 1, \cdots$, (45) becomes

$$x(l) \geq (1 - p) \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} p^{2k} x\left(\tau^{[2k+1]}(l)\right). \quad (46)$$

From Lemma 6, we get $z'(l)/z(l) \leq 2/(l - l_1)$ for all $l \geq l_1$. By integrating this inequality from $\tau^{[2k]}(l) \to l$, we arrive at

$$z\left(\tau^{[2k+1]}(l)\right) \geq \frac{\left(\tau^{[2k+1]}(l) - l_1\right)^2}{l - l_1} z(l), \quad (47)$$

for all $l \geq l_2 \geq l_1$, which with (46) gives

$$x(l) \geq (1 - p)z(l) \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} p^{2k} x\left(\tau^{[2k+1]}(l)\right)^2. \quad (48)$$

This completes the proof.

By replacing the commonly used relationship $x > (1 - p)z$ with the new relationship (42), we directly get the following results.

Theorem 8. Assume that $r'(l) > 0$ and $p(l) \equiv p$ (constant). If

$$\liminf_{l \to \infty} \frac{1}{r(l)} \int_{l}^{\infty} q(s) \left(\frac{\sigma^2(s)}{s}\right)^a ds > \frac{2\alpha}{(\alpha + 1)^{\alpha + 1} p^a}, \quad (49)$$

then $X_N = \emptyset$, where

$$p_* = (1 - p) \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} p^{2k} x\left(\tau^{[2k+1]}(l)\right)^2. \quad (50)$$

Proof. The proof of this theorem is similar to that of ([7], Corollary 1), and hence, we omit it.

Theorem 9. Assume that $r'(l) > 0, p(l) \equiv p$ (constant) and $p_*$ is defined as (50). If

$$\limsup_{l \to \infty} \frac{1}{r(l)} \int_{l}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sigma(s) - l_2}{s - l_2}\right)^{2\alpha} \left(\frac{\rho^a(s)}{\rho(s)b(s,l_2)}\right) ds = \infty, \quad (51)$$

then $X_N = \emptyset$. 
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Proof. Assume the contrary that \( x \) belongs to \( X_N = \{ l_1, \infty \} \). From Lemma 7, we get that (42) holds. Combining (1) and (42), we find

\[
(r(l) \left(z''(l)\right)^\alpha) - \frac{p_\sigma(l) q(l) z^\alpha(\sigma(l))}{z^\alpha(l)} 
\]

for \( l \geq l_2 \geq l_1 \). Now, we define

\[
w(l) = \rho(l) \frac{r(l) z''(l)^\alpha}{z^\alpha(l)}.
\]

Then, \( w(l) > 0 \) for all \( l \geq l_1 \), and

\[
w'(l) = \frac{\rho'(l)}{\rho(l)} w(l) + \frac{r(l) \left(z''(l)^\alpha\right)'}{z^\alpha(l)} - \alpha \frac{r(l) z''(l)^\alpha}{z^\alpha(l)} - z'(l).
\]

From Lemma 6, we get \( z'(l)/z(l) \leq 2l(l-l_2) \) for all \( l \geq l_2 \). By integrating this inequality from \( \sigma(l) \rightarrow l \), we arrive at

\[
z(\sigma(l)) \geq \left(\frac{\sigma(l) - l_2}{l_l - l_2}\right)^2 z(l),
\]

for \( l \geq l_2 \geq l_2 \). Since \( (r(z''(l))^\alpha)' \leq 0 \), we have

\[
z'(l) \geq \int_{l_2}^{l} \frac{1}{\rho^{1/\alpha}(s)} z''(s) z(s) ds \geq r^{1/\alpha}(l) z''(l) \theta(l, l_2).
\]

From (52)–(56), we obtain

\[
w'(l) \leq \frac{\rho'(l)}{\rho(l)} w(l) - \frac{p_\sigma(l) q(l) \left(\frac{\sigma(l) - l_2}{l_l - l_2}\right)^{2\alpha}} - \alpha \frac{\theta(l, l_2)}{\rho^{1/\alpha}(l)} w^{1+1/\alpha}(l).
\]

Next, we define

\[
\Phi(w) = \frac{\rho'(l)}{\rho} w - \alpha \frac{\theta(l, l_2)}{\rho^{1/\alpha}} w^{1+1/\alpha}.
\]

Then, \( \Phi'(w) = \rho'/\rho - \alpha (\rho + 1) \theta w^{1/\alpha} \), and so \( \Phi \) attains its maximum value on \( R \) at \( w = \rho'(l)/\left((\rho + 1) \theta \right) \) and

\[
\Phi(s) \leq \max_{s \in R} \Phi(s) = \frac{1}{(\alpha + 1)^{1/\alpha}} \frac{\left(p_{\alpha}\right)^{1/\alpha}}{\rho^{1/\alpha} \theta^{1/\alpha}}.
\]

Combining (57) and (59), we find

\[
w'(l) \leq -p_\sigma(l) q(l) \left(\frac{\sigma(l) - l_2}{l_l - l_2}\right)^{2\alpha} + \frac{1}{(\alpha + 1)^{1/\alpha}} \frac{\left(p_{\alpha}(l)\right)^{1/\alpha}}{\rho^{1/\alpha} \theta^{1/\alpha}}.
\]

By integrating this inequality from \( l_2 \rightarrow l \), we arrive at

\[
\int_{l_2}^{l} \left(p_\sigma(l) q(s) \left(\frac{\sigma(s) - l_2}{s - l_2}\right)^{2\alpha} - \frac{1}{(\alpha + 1)^{1/\alpha}} \frac{\left(p_{\alpha}(s)\right)^{1/\alpha}}{\rho^{1/\alpha} \theta^{1/\alpha}} \right) ds
\]

\[
\leq w(l_1),
\]

which contradicts (51). This contradiction completes the proof.

Theorem 10. Assume that \( r'(l) > 0 \), \( p(l) = p \) (constant), and \( p_\sigma \) is defined as (50). If

\[
Y'(l) + q(l) \left(p_\sigma \int_{l_2}^{l} \theta(s, l_2) ds \right)^\alpha Y(\sigma(l)) = 0,
\]

is oscillatory, then \( X_N = \emptyset \).

Proof. Assume the contrary that \( x \) belongs to \( X_N = \{ l_1, \infty \} \). Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 9, we obtain (52) and (56) hold for \( l \geq l_2 \geq l_1 \). Integrating (56) from \( l_2 \rightarrow l \) and taking into account the fact that \( (r(l) (z''(l))^{\alpha}') \leq 0 \), we get

\[
z(l) \geq r^{1/\alpha}(l) z''(l) \int_{l_2}^{l} \theta(s, l_2) ds,
\]

which with (52) gives

\[
\left(r(l) \left(z''(l)\right)^\alpha\right)' + q(l) \left(p_\sigma \int_{l_2}^{l} \theta(s, l_2) ds \right)^\alpha r(\sigma(l)) \leq 0.
\]

Thus, if we set \( Y := r(z''(l))^\alpha > 0 \), then we note that \( Y \) is a positive solution of the differential inequality

\[
Y'(l) + q(l) \left(p_\sigma \int_{l_2}^{l} \theta(s, l_2) ds \right)^\alpha Y(\sigma(l)) \leq 0.
\]

Thus, it follows from ([22], Theorem 1) that the corresponding equation (62) also has a positive solution, which is a contradiction. This contradiction completes the proof.
Table 1: Criteria that ensure that \( X_N = \emptyset \) for (66).

| \( C_i \) | Condition | \( q_0 > \) |
|---|---|---|
| \( C_1 \) | Corollary 1 in [7] | \( 6912.0 \) |
| \( C_2 \) | Condition (68) | \( 3266.8 \) |

Using comparison theorems with 1st-order DDE

| \( C_3 \) | Theorem 2.4 in [8] | \( 1491.0 \) |
| \( C_4 \) | Condition (70) | \( 704.69 \) |

\[ \left( l \left( x(l) + \frac{3}{4} x \left( \frac{9}{10} l \right) \right) \right)^3 + \frac{q_0}{l^6} x^3 \left( \frac{1}{2} l \right) = 0, \quad (66) \]

where \( l > 0 \) and \( q_0 > 0 \). Then, it is easy to verify that

\[
P_\ast = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} \left( \frac{3}{4} \right)^{2k} \left( \frac{9}{10} \right)^{4k+2}.
\]

Example 2. Consider the third-order NDDE,

\[
\left( l \left( x(l) + \frac{3}{4} x \left( \frac{9}{10} l \right) \right)^3 \right)^r + \frac{q_0}{l^6} x^3 \left( \frac{1}{2} l \right) = 0, \quad (66)
\]

which is oscillatory. Based on Theorem 2.1 in [24], the first-order DDE (69) is oscillatory if

\[
Y^\prime (l) + \frac{2q_0}{l} \left( \frac{9}{40} P_\ast \right)^3 Y \left( \frac{1}{2} l \right) = 0, \quad (69)
\]

is oscillatory. Based on Theorem 2.1 in [24], the first-order DDE (69) is oscillatory if

\[
\liminf_{l \to \infty} l \int_1^l q(s) \frac{1}{s^3} ds = \frac{12}{2\pi^2} \ln 2 > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}. \quad (70)
\]

Remark 11. In order to ensure that the class \( X_N \) of (66) is empty, Table 1 compares between our criteria and the previous related one:

It is easy to notice that Theorem 10 supports the most efficient condition. Thus, our results improve the results in [7, 8].

4. Conclusion

Most studies investigating the asymptotic behavior of solutions of DDEs provided only sufficient criteria. This was a major motivation for the multiplicity of methods and techniques used in the study, so that a larger area of models could be covered. The aim of this study is to develop new criteria that have an iterative nature, so that we can apply them when the other criteria fail. First, we established a criterion to ensure that there are no Kneser solutions of (1) based on the comparison with a first-order DDE. Further, we obtained various criteria ensuring that \( X_N \) is empty. By combining the results in Sections 2 and 3, we can obtain new conditions for the oscillation of all solutions of (1). It would be interesting to extend our results to the NDDE

\[
\left( r_2(l) \left( r_1(l) \left( x(l) \right) \right)^{a_1} \right)^r + q(l) f(x(\sigma(l))) = 0. \quad (71)
\]

It is also interesting to eliminate some restrictions that are usually imposed on the coefficients of the NDDEs studied.
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