EGFR-specific CAR-T cells trigger cell lysis in EGFR-positive TNBC
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ABSTRACT

Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) is an aggressive cancer subtype for which effective therapies are lacking. Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is overexpressed in various types of TNBC cells, and several EGFR-specific immunotherapies have been used to treat cancer patients. Chimeric antigen receptor engineered T (CAR-T) cells have also been used as cancer therapies. In this study, we generated two types of EGFR-specific CAR-modified T cells using lentiviral vectors with DNA sequences encoding the scFv regions of two anti-EGFR antibodies. The cytotoxic and antitumor effects of these CAR-modified T cells were examined in cytokine release and cytokotoxicity assays in vitro and in tumor growth assays in TNBC cell line- and patient-derived xenograft mouse models. Both types of EGFR-specific CAR-T cells were activated by high-EGFR-expressing TNBC cells and specifically triggered TNBC cell lysis in vitro. Additionally, the CAR-T cells inhibited growth of cell-line- and patient-derived xenograft TNBC tumors in mice. These results suggest that EGFR-specific CAR-T cells might be a promising therapeutic strategy in patients with high-EGFR-expressing TNBC.

INTRODUCTION

Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) cells, which lack estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR) and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2), grow faster than most other breast cancer cell types. TNBC accounts for 15–20% of all breast cancer diagnoses [1]. Because TNBC cells lack the targets upon which specific immunotherapies and hormone therapies act, TNBC patients can only receive non-specific treatments such as chemotherapy and radiotherapy after surgery [2]. In addition, the cytotoxicity of these treatments usually leads to severe adverse effects such as pancytopenia, nausea, and diarrhea [1]. Furthermore, recurrence rates are higher for TNBC patients treated with these therapies than for other breast cancer patients [3]. Effective therapeutic strategies with specific targets are therefore needed to improve treatment efficacy in TNBC.

Of the several biomarkers that are abnormally expressed in TNBC, mutations and copy number alterations have received the most attention. BRCA1/2 is the predominant mutation in TNBC and is present in approximately 73% of TNBC patients [4]; PARP inhibitors or platinum therapy are used to treat these patients. TP53 and PIK3CA also have high mutation rates of about 50–80%
and 10–20%, respectively, in TNBC [5]. In addition, aberrant expression of NOTCH family members and MEK kinase have been described in TNBC. Different inhibitors, such as PI3-K inhibitors, MEK inhibitors, and γ-secretase inhibitors, have been used to treat these molecular subtypes of TNBC [5–7]. However, most of the proteins that are abnormally expressed in TNBC are intracellular proteins rather than membrane proteins, which prevents their use as direct targets for TNBC treatments.

EGFR (HER1), a member of the EGFR family of tyrosine kinases, plays important roles in TNBC progression [8]. Activation of EGFR by ligand binding induces either homodimerization or heterodimerization of EGFR receptors, which leads to autophosphorylation of their tyrosine kinase domains [9, 10]. This autophosphorylation recruits a series of downstream signaling pathways such as PI3K/AKT and Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK. An EGFR-associated gene expression profiling study demonstrated that overexpression of EGFR was found in 45–70% of TNBC patients and was associated with poorer prognosis [11]. However, EGFR mutations are rare in Chinese, Japanese, Korean, European, Australian, and American TNBC patients [12–21]. A previous study identified EGFR gene mutations in exons 19 and 21, which encode parts of the tyrosine kinase domain, but not in exons that encode the extracellular domains [12]. The extracellular domain of EGFR may therefore be an ideal tumor-specific epitope for TNBC therapies [22]. Several anti-EGFR monoclonal antibodies (such as Cetuximab and Panitumumab) and small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKIs) (such as Gefitinib and Neratinib) have been tested in TNBC clinical trials. However, many of the patients in those trials responded poorly or developed resistance to these molecules [8, 22, 23]. Novel treatments that target EGFR in TNBC patients are therefore needed.

Chimeric antigen receptor-engineered T cell (CAR-T) therapy has emerged as a promising immunotherapeutic strategy in cancer treatment [24]. Chimeric antigen receptors are recombinant T-cell receptor proteins comprised of extracellular antigen-binding domains, transmembrane domains, and intracellular signaling domains [25, 26]. Various CARs can be created by fusing variable single-chain fragments from a specific anti-tumor monoclonal antibody with at least one intracellular domain from a T-cell receptor [27–30]. The first CARs expressed in T cells were genetically engineered by recombining extracellular domains with transmembrane domains and T-cell receptor CD3ζ chains. However, T cells expressing these CARs were unable to maintain long-term adaptive immunity because they could not mediate other co-stimulatory signals. Recently, 3rd generation CARs have been designed that contain an extracellular binding domain, a hinge region, a transmembrane domain, and an intracellular domain. The extracellular binding domain contains a single-chain variable fragment (scFv) derived from a tumor antigen-reactive antibody. The specific hinge and transmembrane domains are usually connected to extracellular binding and intracellular signaling domains, respectively. The intracellular domain includes both a signaling domain (CD3ζ) for mediating T cell activation and co-stimulatory domains (CD28 and 4-1BB) for enhancing T cell functions such as proliferation, resistance to apoptosis, cytokine secretion, and persistence. These 3rd generation antigen-specific CAR-T cells inhibited tumor cell growth much more efficiently than first generation cells [31–34]. Furthermore, CAR-T treatments have yielded encouraging results in a variety of tumors, such as breast cancer [35, 36], lung cancer [37], colorectal cancer [38], malignant pleural mesothelioma [39, 40], neuroblastoma [41, 42], and pancreatic cancer [43, 44], in preclinical or clinical trials.

In this study, we generated CAR-T cells to specifically target EGFR in TNBC cells by fusing an anti-EGFR single-chain variable fragment (scFv) from a novel anti-EGFR antibody with an artificially combined receptor molecule and examined their antitumor effects.

RESULTS

EGFR expression in three TNBC cell lines

Real-time RT-PCR and Western blotting were used to examine EGFR RNA transcript and protein levels in the HS578T, MDA-MB-468, MDA-MB-231, and MCF-7 breast cancer cell lines. EGFR mRNA levels were about 3-17 times higher in the TNBC cell lines (HS578T, MDA-MB-468, and MDA-MB-231) than in the non-TNBC MCF-7 cell line (Figure 1A). Similarly, EGFR protein levels were higher in the TNBC cell lines than in the MCF-7 cell line (Figure 1B). Additionally, phosphorylated EGFR levels with or without serum depletion were similar to those of EGFR in all four cell lines (Supplementary Figure 2). Flow cytometry analysis using an anti-EGFR antibody confirmed that the amounts of EGFR protein expressed on cell membranes were correlated with total EGFR transcript levels in all four cell lines (Figure 1C). Based on these results, these four cell lines were used to investigate the antitumor activity of EGFR-specific CAR-modified T cells in subsequent experiments.

Generation and characterization of EGFR-specific CAR-T cells

To generate EGFR-specific CAR-T cells, human primary T cells were activated with IL-2, isolated from
PBMCs cultures using anti-CD3/CD28 beads, and further characterized using flow cytometry analysis with anti-CD3, CD4, and CD8 antibodies. After 10 days of culture, the isolated cell population contained high percentages of potential T cells that were CD3-positive (~61–85%), CD4-positive (~28–58%), and CD8-positive (~19–48%) (Figure 2A and 2B). These potential T cell populations were then treated with lentiviral vectors that carried one of two EGFR-specific CARs (EGFR-CAR-1 and EGFR-CAR-2) or control CAR (Con-CAR). (Figure 3A). To determine whether EGFR-specific or control CAR-T cells were generated, Western blot analysis using anti-CD3ζ antibody was performed to confirm the expression of CARs in transduced T cells (Figure 3B). Non-transduced and transduced T cells were then treated with purified EGFR-GFP or GFP protein and analyzed by flow cytometry to determine whether EGFR-specific CAR-T cells were able to recognize EGFR in vitro (Figure 3C and 3D). Approximately 40% of the EGFR-CAR-1 or EGFR-CAR-2 T cells were labeled with EGFR-GFP but not GFP (Figure 3D), indicating that EGFR-specific CAR-T cells were successfully generated.

**EGFR-specific CAR-T cells trigger TNBC cell lysis in vitro**

Next, we examined whether EGFR-specific CAR-T cells expressing either of the two EGFR-CARs were specifically activated upon interaction with TNBC cells (HS578T, MDA-MB-468, MDA-MB-231) expressing high levels of EGFR in vitro. Cytokine release assays were performed to quantify relative amounts of Tc1 (IFN-γ and IL-2) and Tc2 (IL-4) cytokines secreted in cocultured systems containing T cells (transduced or non-transduced) and breast cancer cells (TNBC and non-TNBC). EGFR-specific CAR-T cells co-cultured with TNBC cells secreted significantly higher levels of Tc1 and Tc2 cytokines than those co-cultured with MCF-7 cells (Figure 4A–4C). Additionally, both non-transduced and con-CAR T cells were co-cultured with either TNBC or non-TNBC cells secreted levels of Tc1 and Tc2 cytokines similar to the control (Figure 4A–4C). To determine whether elevated EGFR expression in TNBC cells was correlated with the activation of EGFR-specific CAR-T cells in vitro, cytokine release assays were performed to measure cytokine secretion from T cells.

![Figure 1](https://www.aging-us.com/content/images/11056/figure1.png)

**Figure 1. EGFR expression in breast cancer cell lines.** EGFR expression in TNBC cell lines (HS578T, MDA-MB-468, and MDA-MB-231) and MCF-7 cells detected by (A) real-time RT PCR, (B) Western blot, and (C) flow cytometry. Error bars represent means ± SEM. T-tests were used for statistical analysis; ***p < 0.001.
co-cultured with siRNA-induced EGFR knockdown TNBC cells (Supplementary Figure 3A and 3B). Reduced EGFR expression in TNBC cells was associated with lower cytokine secretion from EGFR-specific CAR-T cells (Figure 4A–4C). These data suggest that activation of EGFR-specific CAR-T cells in vitro is likely a result of increased EGFR expression in TNBC cells (Supplementary Table 1).

Next, we investigated whether activated EGFR-specific CAR-T cells were able to specifically trigger cell death in TNBC cells. TNBC-specific lysis percentage was examined in a cytotoxicity assay that measured ratios of LDH activity between effector T cells and target breast cancer cells (E/T ratio) in the co-cultured systems. As expected, a higher E/T ratio between the EGFR-specific CAR-T cells and the high-EGFR-expression TNBC cells led to higher specific lysis percentages in the co-cultured systems (Figure 4D–4G). Conversely, a higher E/T ratio between the EGFR-specific CAR-T cells and the low-EGFR-expression MCF-7 cells did not result in an increased specific lysis percentage in that co-cultured system (Figure 4D–4G). In addition, unlike in normal TNBC cells, higher E/T ratios between EGFR-specific CAR-T cells and EGFR-knockdown TNBC cells did not increase specific lysis percentages (Figure 4D–4G and Supplementary Table 1). Furthermore, YOYOTM-3 Iodide staining cell lysis assays confirmed that EGFR-specific

![Figure 2. Characterization of T lymphocytes from PBMCs. (A–B) T cell phenotypes and subsets were examined by flow cytometry after labeling with anti-CD3-PE-Cy7, anti-CD4-PE, and anti-CD8-APC-Cy7.](image-url)
CAR-T cells triggered much more TNBC cell lysis than con-CAR-T or non-transduced T cell did (Figure 5). Taken together, these results suggest that activated EGFR-specific CAR-T cells likely triggered cell lysis in high-EGFR-expressing TNBC cells \textit{in vitro}.

\textbf{Anti-TNBC activity of EGFR-specific CAR-T cells in mouse models}

To assess whether EGFR-specific CAR-T cells inhibited growth of cell-line-derived TNBC tumors, tumor growth assays were performed after inoculating TNBC cell lines into the mammary fat pads of mice that were injected with either EGFR-specific CAR-T or con-CAR-T cells. The average weights and volumes of xenograft TNBC tumors treated with either of the two EGFR-specific CAR-T cells were lower than those treated with con-CAR-T cells (Figure 6). To further investigate whether EGFR-specific CAR-T cells inhibited growth of patient-derived xenograft (PDX) tumors, tumor growth assays were performed on mice inoculated with cells from TNBC patients. As was the case for cell line-derived tumors, high-EGFR-expressing PDX tumors treated with either of the two

\begin{figure}[h]
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\caption{\textbf{Figure 3. Generation, isolation, and characterization of EGFR-specific CAR T lymphocytes.} (A) Schematic illustration of Con-CAR, EGFR-CAR-1, and EGFR-CAR-2. (B) Expression of exogenous CD3ζ in non-transduced T cells, con-CAR T cells, EGFR-CAR-1 T cells, and EGFR-CAR-2 T cells was measured using Western blots; β-actin was used as an endogenous control. (C) GFP and EGFR-GFP antigens were detected by Western blot. (D) Transduced T cells were stained with GFP and EGFR-GFP antigen and then detected by flow cytometry.}
\end{figure}
Figure 4. Cytokine release and cytotoxicity assay. Cytokine release in target cells in response to effector non-transduced T cells, con-
CAR-T cells, EGFR-CAR-1 T cells, and EGFR-CAR-2 T cells. Effector cells were co-cultured with target cells (HS578T, MDA-MB-468, MDA-MB-
231, and MCF-7) at an E:T ratio of 10:1 for 24h. (A) IFN-γ, (B) IL-4, and (C) IL-2 levels were assayed in the co-culture supernatants. Cytotoxicity
was measured in each group using a standard LDH release assay. Effector cells were co-cultured with (D) HS578T, (E) MDA-MB-468, (F) MDA-
MB-231, and (G) MCF-7 target cells at E:T ratios of 5:1, 10:1, or 20:1 for 24h.
EGFR-specific CAR-T cells were smaller than those treated with the con-CAR-T cells (Figure 7A–7D). In addition, immunohistochemistry analyses showed that the EGFR, ER, PR, and HER2 protein expression patterns of the mouse PDX tumors were similar to those of the original TNBC patient tissues (Figure 7A and 7B). Mouse body weights were not affected by treatment with either EGFR-specific CAR-T cells or con-CAR-T cells in either xenograft model (Figure 6C, 6F, 6I, and 7E). Together, these results indicate that EGFR-specific CAR-T cells inhibit the growth of high-EGFR-expressing TNBC tumors in mice.

Figure 5. TNBC cell lysis assay. (A) HS578T, (B) MDA-MB-468, and (C) MDA-MB-231 cells were labeled with YOYO-3 (red). Non-transduced T cells, con-CAR-T cells, EGFR-CAR-1 T cells, and EGFR-CAR-2 T effector cells were co-cultured with target cells at an E:T ratio of 10:1 for 24h.
DISCUSSION

Antigen-specific CAR-T cells recognize their corresponding antigens via an antigen binding domain. Because activation of CAR-T cells is not required for their interaction with the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) on antigen-presenting cells (APC), tumor cells are unlikely to escape from CAR-T cell immune responses [45]. In this study, we generated two distinct types of third-generation EGFR-specific CAR-T cells. Flow cytometry analyses showed that the EGFR-specific CAR-T cells could specifically recognize EGFR (Figures 2 and 3). Compared to non-transduced T cells and con-CAR-T cells, the EGFR-specific CAR-T cells also had greater cytotoxic effects on high-EGFR-expressing TNBC cell lines (Figures 4 and 5). Furthermore, EGFR-specific CAR-T cells exerted significant anti-tumor effects in both high-EGFR-expressing TNBC xenograft models (Figures 6 and 7). Thus, our research indicates that EGFR-specific CAR-T cells may represent a promising therapeutic strategy against high-EGFR-expressing TNBC.

EGFR plays important roles in cell proliferation, apoptosis, angiogenesis, and other processes related to cancer progression [46–48]. EGFR is highly expressed on TNBC cell membranes [11]. When EGF binds to and activates EGFR, it triggers EGFR homodimerization or heterodimerization and transphosphorylation, which in turn activates downstream molecular signaling [49, 50]. For example, EGFR and JAK bind to and activate STAT via the Src homology 2 domain; STAT then homo- and heterodimerizes and is translocated into the nucleus to trigger expression of downstream genes involved in survival and proliferation. EGFR also recruits Ras GTP-binding protein to activate Ras either

Figure 6. EGFR-specific CAR-T cells inhibited EGFR-expressing TNBC tumor growth in CLDX mouse model. Compared to con-CAR-T cells, EGFR-CAR-1 and EGFR-CAR-2 T cells decreased the weights and volumes of tumors induced by (A, B) HS578, (D, E) MDA-MB-468, and (G, H) MDA-MB-231 TNBC cells, but did not affect body weight (C, F, I). Error bars represent means ± SEM. T-tests were used for statistical analysis; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.
directly by binding to Grb2 adapter proteins and guanine exchange factor or indirectly by recruiting Shc adapter to the receptor docking sites; this triggers a kinase cascade that activates Raf, MEK, and ERK and ultimately phosphorylates transcription factors involved in cell proliferation [48]. In the present study, cell proliferation assays showed that ectopic overexpression of EGFR in the MCF7 cell line, which typically has low EGFR expression, promoted proliferation. Furthermore, EGFR knockdown in the MDA-MB-468 cell line, which normally expresses high levels of EGFR, inhibited cell proliferation (Supplementary Figure 4). However, active ERK levels were not correlated with nuclear EGFR expression in MCF7 or MDA-MB-468 cells (Supplementary Figure 5). Several EGFR-specific monoclonal antibodies targeting the extracellular domain and small molecule TKIs targeting the tyrosine kinase domain of EGFR have been used as cancer therapies [22, 23, 51]. However, many TNBC patients in those trials either responded poorly to the treatments or developed drug resistance [22, 23]. With the development of immunotherapy, CAR-T technology has become one of the most promising strategies for treating solid cancers. CAR-T cells promoted MHC-independent cancer cell death by enabling T cells to specifically recognize scFv binding domains of target cell surface antigens. Upon engagement, CAR-T cells formed a non-classical immune synapse which was required for this effect. The resulting antitumor activity was mediated by the Fas/Fas ligand axis, the granzyme/perforin axis, and the release of cytokines that sensitized the cancer stroma [52]. In the present study, EGFR-specific CAR-T cells, which recognized EGFR more efficiently than Con CAR-T cells (Figure 3D), were activated and increased the secretion of IFN-γ, IL-2, and IL-4 upon co-culture with high-EGFR-expressing TNBC cells in vitro (Figure 4A–4C

Figure 7. EGFR-CAR-T cells inhibited high-EGFR-expressing TNBC tumor growth in PDX mouse model. ER, PR, HER2, and EGFR expression in (A) clinical breast cancer samples and (B) breast cancer tumors in PDX mice were assessed in immunohistochemical assays. Compared to con-CAR-T cells, EGFR-CAR-1 and EGFR-CAR-2 T cells decreased breast cancer (C) tumor weights and (D) tumor volumes but did not affect (E) body weights. Error bars represent means ± SEM. T-tests were used for statistical analysis; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.
and Supplementary Table 1). In addition, both LDH release and YOYO-3 labeling assays indicated that activated CAR-T cell-induced cytotoxicity was higher in high-EGFR-expressing TNBC cells than in MCF-7 cells in vitro (Figures 4 and 5). An LDH release assay also revealed that the cytotoxic effects of EGFR-specific CAR-T cells increased when an activator was used to promote EGFR dimerization as compared to untreated breast cancer cells (Supplementary Figure 6). These results suggest that the efficiency of cell lysis triggered by EGFR-specific CAR-T cells may be dependent on the amount of EGFR present in breast cancer cells. A similar phenomenon has been observed in CAR-T cells targeting other breast cancer proteins [35, 53].

Traditional immunotherapies developed from first-generation antigen-specific CAR-T cell technology are more effective for treating blood cancers than solid cancers [25, 54]. However, third-generation CAR-T cells that affect more intracellular signaling pathways also show increased antitumor activity compared to first-generation CAR-T cells. Our data also show that EGFR-specific CAR-T cells significantly inhibited high-EGFR-expressing TNBC in CLDX (Figure 6) and PDX mouse models (Figure 7). EGFR-specific CAR-T technology might therefore be an important treatment option for TNBC patients who respond poorly or develop resistance to EGFR-specific monoclonal antibodies and small molecule TKIs. EGFR-specific CAR-T cells could be derived either from the patients’ own T cells or from iPSC cells and then injected either into breast tumors or intravenously to treat EGFR-positive breast cancer patients. This approach carries a very low risk of graft-versus-host disease and enables lipid, protein, and carbohydrate antigens to be targeted by T cells in an MHC-independent fashion [55].

In summary, we confirmed that EGFR-specific CAR-T cells were able to efficiently recognize high-EGFR-expressing TNBC cells. Our results revealed that the two distinct types of activated EGFR-specific CAR-T cells inhibited TNBC tumor growth both in vitro and in mouse models by upregulating cytokine secretion and promoting cytotoxicity in TNBC cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell lines and cell culture

Human breast cancer cell lines MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-468, HS578T, and MCF-7 were all obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and used within generation 20 (P20). All cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified essential medium (DMEM) (Gibco) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine (Gibco) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin solution (Gibco) in a humidified incubator with 5% CO2 at 37°C.

Generation of EGFR-specific CAR-modified T cells

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated from whole blood from healthy donors using Ficoll density gradient centrifugation. The cells were incubated with 5% CO2 and saturated humidity at 37°C. T cells were obtained by stimulating PBMCs in AIM V medium (Gibco) with anti-CD3/CD28 beads (Invitrogen) and IL-2 (BioGems) for 10 days. Subsequently, T cells were transduced with lentiviral vectors that carried DNA sequences encoding 3rd-generation EGFR-CARs (Lenti-EF1a-scFv-3rd-CAR) composed of anti-EGFR-specific scFv (1 or 2) linked to a CD3ζ hinge, a CD28 transmembrane domain, and a 41BB and CD3ζ intracellular signaling domains. The sequences of the lentiviral vector DNA constructs used to generate CARs were confirmed (Supplementary Figure 1). EGFR-specific CAR-modified T cells were collected after stimulation with the appropriate antigens and antibodies.

Real-time RT PCR

Total RNA was extracted using the RNaseasy kit (OMEGA). For real-time PCR, cDNAs were synthesized with the PrimeScript RT reagent kit (TaKaRa) and PCR reactions were performed with SYBR Premix Ex Taq (TaKaRa). The following primer sequences were used for PCR: β-Actin, 5′-AACTCTAA GGCCAACCCTGA-3′ and 5′-GTCTCCGAGTCCCT CACAA-3′; EGFR, 5′-AGTATTGTACGGGAGAGGCC -3′ and 5′-CCAGGATATAATTGAGGGCAC-3′; CD3 ζ, 5′-GCCAGAACCAGCTCTATA-3′ and 5′-CCTCCG CCATCTATCTT-3′.

siRNA transfection

The following EGFR-targeting and negative control siRNAs were purchased from GenePharma (Suzhou): negative control, sense 5′-UUCUUCGGAACGUGUCGA CGUTT-3′ and antisense 5′-ACGUGACGUGUCCG AGAATT-3′; si-EGFR, sense 5′-GAAUUAAGAGA AGCAACAUUTT-3′ and 5′-UGUUGUCUCUCUUAA UUCCU-3′. Each siRNA was transfected into breast cancer cells with Lipofectamine RNAi MAX (Invitrogen; Carlsbad, CA).

Western blotting

Western blotting was performed as described previously [56, 57]. The antibody against CD3ζ was purchased from Abcam. Anti-EGFR and anti-P-EGFR (Tyr1068) antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology. Anti-β-actin antibody was purchased from
Sigma. Anti-rabbit and anti-mouse IgG antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology.

**Flow cytometry procedure and analysis**

Breast cancer and EGFR-specific CAR-T cells were quantitated or isolated by flow cytometry using several fluorescence-conjugated antibodies or EGFR-GFP fusion protein according to the manufacturers’ instructions. Anti-Human CD3 (PE-Cy7), anti-Human CD4 (PE), and anti-Human CD8 (APC-Cy7) antibodies, as well as corresponding mouse IgG controls, were purchased from BioGems. The anti-EGFR antibody was purchased from Cell Signaling Technology. Human TruStain FcX™ blocking solution was purchased from BioLegend. Goat anti-Rabbit IgG was purchased from Abcam. Fluorescence was assessed using a BD™ flow cytometer, and the data were analyzed using FlowJo 7.6.1.

**In vitro cytokine release assay**

1×10^4 human breast cancer cells (HS578T, MDA-MB-468, MDA-MB-231, and MCF-7) were co-cultured with the optimized number of EGFR-specific CAR-modified T cells in separate wells of 96-well flat-bottom plates. 24h hours later, the medium was collected from the co-cultured system and analyzed using ELISAs to detect the secretion of IFN-γ, IL-4, and IL-2 (Dakewei).

**Cytotoxicity assay**

1×10^4 human breast cancer cells (HS578T, MDA-MB-468, MDA-MB-231, and MCF-7) were co-cultured with the optimized number of EGFR-specific CAR-modified T cells in separate wells of 96-well flat-bottom plates. Medium containing dying and dead cells was then collected from the wells for further analyses. The LDH Cytotoxicity Assay Kit (Beyotime) and YOYO™-3 Iodide (ThermoFisher) were used to measure the cytotoxic activity of CAR-modified T cells according to the manufacturers’ instructions. Cytotoxic activity was analyzed using an enzyme-labeled instrument and live cell imaging system.

**Tumor growth assays in the cell-line-derived xenograft (PDX) mouse model**

This method was approved by the medical ethics committee at the Suzhou Institute of Biomedical Engineering and Technology (A-06). Human TNBC tumor tissues were obtained from the First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University; letters of authorization were signed by all the patients who provided tissues. Cells from these tissues were grafted onto the mammary fat pad of each mouse as described above. 1x10^7 T cells were injected into resulting PDX tumors with volumes of at least 4 mm³ on days 14, 21, 28, and 35. Tumor sizes were measured as described above.

**Immunohistochemical assay**

Primary breast tumors and PDX xenograft tumors were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, embedded in paraffin blocks, and then micro-dissected into several thin sections. Sections were then deparaffinized and subjected to antigen retrieval in citric acid buffer (pH 3.5) for 15 minutes. Sections were then incubated in 1% hydrogen peroxidase for 10 minutes followed by incubation with HRP-conjugated antibodies against ER, PR, HER2, or EGFR (Cell Signaling Technology) at 4°C overnight. Staining was performed using the HRP-IHC kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Ethics approval**

PBMCs were obtained from healthy donors and patients after informed consent per protocols approved by Suzhou Institute of Biomedical Engineering and Technology. Mice were housed and treated in accordance with Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee guidelines of Suzhou Institute of Biomedical Engineering and Technology (A-06).

**Abbreviations**

TNBC: Triple-negative breast cancer; EGFR: Epidermal growth factor receptor; CAR-T: chimeric antigen receptor engineered T cells; PDX: Patient-derived xenograft; CLDX: Cell-line-derived xenograft; ER: estrogen receptor; PR: progesterone receptor; HER2: human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; scFv: Single-chain variable fragment; IL2: Interleukin 2; IL4: Interleukin 4; PBMCs: Peripheral blood mononuclear cells; siRNA: Small interfering RNA.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank all members of Prof. Zhang’s laboratory for valuable discussions.
AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The study was conceived by Y.L., S.Y. and J.Z. designed the experiments. Y.L., Y.Z., Y.L., X.F., L.A., F.W., Q.C., Y.Z., and A.S. performed experiments and analyzed data. Y.L. characterized and optimized lentiviral constructs, performed in vivo experiments, and analyzed data. Y.L. and K.H. provided material support. Y.L., S.Y., and J.Z. wrote the manuscript. Y.L., Y.S. and J.Z. critically reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no potential conflicts of interest.

FUNDING

This work was funded by the Key Research and Development Program of Jiangsu Province, China (Grant No. BE2017669 to JZ. Zhang), the Foundation for Young Scholars of Jiangsu Province, China (Grant No. SBK2019040299 to Y. Liu), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 81701332 to S. Yu), the Major Innovative Research Team of Suzhou, China (Grant No. ZXT2019007 to JZ. Zhang), the Key Research and Development Program of Jiangsu Province, China (Grant No. BE2018668 to S. Yu), the Jiangsu Province Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant No. 1188004004 to Y. Liu), the Independent Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. Y852126105 to Y. Liu), and the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation to Y. Liu.

REFERENCES

1. Dawson SJ, Provenzano E, Caldas C. Triple negative breast cancers: clinical and prognostic implications. Eur J Cancer. 2009 (Suppl 1); 45:27–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-8049(09)70013-9 PMID:19775602

2. Schneider BP, Winer EP, Foulkes WD, Garber J, Perou CM, Richardson A, Sledge GW, Carey LA. Triple-negative breast cancer: risk factors to potential targets. Clin Cancer Res. 2008; 14:8010–18. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-08-1208 PMID:19088017

3. Dent R, Trudeau M, Pritchard KI, Hanna WM, Kahn HK, Sawka CA, Lickley LA, Rawlinson E, Sun P, Narod SA. Triple-negative breast cancer: clinical features and patterns of recurrence. Clin Cancer Res. 2007; 13:4429–34. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-3045 PMID:17671126

4. Domagala P, Hybiak J, Cybulski C, Lubinski J. BRCA1/2-negative hereditary triple-negative breast cancers exhibit BRCAness. Int J Cancer. 2017; 140:1545–50. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.30570 PMID:27943282

5. Denkert C, Liedtke C, Tutt A, von Minckwitz G. Molecular alterations in triple-negative breast cancer—the road to new treatment strategies. Lancet. 2017; 389:2430–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)32454-0 PMID:27939063

6. Lee YC, Wang WL, Chang WC, Huang YH, Hong GC, Wang HL, Chou YH, Tseng HC, Lee HT, Li ST, Chen HL, Wu CC, Yang HF, et al. Tribbles Homolog 3 Involved in Radiation Response of Triple Negative Breast Cancer Cells by Regulating Notch1 Activation. Cancers (Basel). 2019; 11:E127. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers11020127 PMID:30678233

7. Duncan JS, Whittle MC, Nakamura K, Abell AN, Midland AA, Zawistowski JS, Johnson NL, Granger DA, Jordan NV, Darr DB, Usary J, Kuan PF, Smalley DM, et al. Dynamic reprogramming of the kinome in response to targeted MEK inhibition in triple-negative breast cancer. Cell. 2012; 149:307–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.02.053 PMID:22500798

8. Masuda H, Zhang D, Bartholomeusz C, Doihara H, Hortobagyi GN, Ueno NT. Role of epidermal growth factor receptor in breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2012; 136:331–45. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-012-2289-9 PMID:23073759

9. Song H, Wu T, Xie D, Li D, Hua K, Hu J, Fang L. WBP2 Downregulation Inhibits Proliferation by Blocking YAP Transcription and the EGFR/PI3K/Akt Signaling Pathway in Triple Negative Breast Canc. Transcription and the EGFR/PI3K/Akt Signaling Pathway In Triple Negative Breast Canc. Cancer Pathways. 2018; 50:43–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phymed.2018.08.004 PMID:30466991

10. Kim S, You D, Jeong Y, Yu J, Kim SW, Nam SJ, Lee JE. Berberine down-regulates IL-8 expression through inhibition of the EGFR/MEK/ERK pathway in triple-negative breast cancer cells. Phytotherapy Research. 2018; 32:1545–50. https://doi.org/10.1002/ptr.6508 PMID:29488840

11. Hoadley KA, Weigman VJ, Fan C, Sawyer LR, He X, Treosteer MA, Sartor CI, Rieger-House T, Bernard PS, Carey L, Perou CM. EGFR associated expression profiles vary with breast tumor subtype. BMC Genomics. 2007; 8:258. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-8-258 PMID:17663798
12. Teng YH, Tan WJ, Thike AA, Cheok PY, Tse GM, Wong NS, Yip GW, Bay BH, Tan PH. Mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) gene in triple negative breast cancer: possible implications for targeted therapy. Breast Cancer Res. 2011; 13:R35. https://doi.org/10.1186/bcr2857 PMID: 21457545

13. Santarpia L, Qi Y, Stemke-Hale K, Wang B, Young EJ, Booser DJ, Holmes FA, O'Shaughnessy J, Hellerstedt B, Pippen J, Vidaurre T, Gomez H, Valero V, et al. Mutation profilling identifies numerous rare drug targets and distinct mutation patterns in different clinical subtypes of breast cancers. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2012; 134:333–43. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-012-2035-3 PMID: 22538770

14. Kim Y, Kim J, Lee HD, Jeong J, Lee W, Lee KA. Spectrum of EGFR gene copy number changes and KRAS gene mutation status in Korean triple negative breast cancer patients. PLoS One. 2013; 8:e79014. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0079014 PMID: 24205362

15. Nakajima H, Ishikawa Y, Furuya M, Sano T, Ohno Y, Horiguchi J, Oyama T. Protein expression, gene amplification, and mutational analysis of EGFR in triple-negative breast cancer. Breast Cancer. 2014; 21:66–74. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12282-012-0354-1 PMID: 22481575

16. Martin V, Botta F, Zanellato E, Molinari F, Crippa S, Mazzucchelli L, Frattini M. Molecular characterization of EGFR and EGFR-downstream pathways in triple negative breast carcinomas with basal like features. Histol Histopathol. 2012; 27:785–92. PMID: 22473698

17. Secq V, Villeret J, Fina F, Carmassi M, Carcopino X, Garcia S, Metellus I, Boublí L, Iovanna L, Charpin C. Triple negative breast carcinoma EGFR amplification is not associated with EGFR, Kras or ALK mutations. Br J Cancer. 2014; 110:1045–52. https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2013.794 PMID: 24423920

18. Toyama T, Yamashita H, Kondo N, Okuda K, Takahashi S, Sasaki H, Sugiuira H, Iwase H, Fuji Y. Frequently increased epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) copy numbers and decreased BRCA1 mRNA expression in Japanese triple-negative breast cancers. BMC Cancer. 2008; 8:309. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-8-309 PMID: 18950515

19. Tilch E, Seidens T, Cociardi S, Reid LE, Byrne D, Simpson PT, Vargas AC, Cummings MC, Fox SB, Lakhani SR, Chenexiv Trench G. Mutations in EGFR, BRAF and RAS are rare in triple-negative and basal-like breast cancers from Caucasian women. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2014; 143:385–92. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-013-2798-1 PMID: 24318467

20. Jacot W, Lopez-Crapez E, Thezenas S, Senal R, Fina F, Bibeau F, Romieu G, Lamy PJ. Lack of EGFR-activating mutations in European patients with triple-negative breast cancer could emphasise geographic and ethnic variations in breast cancer mutation profiles. Breast Cancer Res. 2011; 13:R133. https://doi.org/10.1186/bcr2977 PMID: 22192147

21. Reis-Filho JS, Pinheiro C, Lambros MB, Milanezi F, Carvalho S, Savage K, Simpson PT, Jones C, Swift S, Mackay A, Reis RM, Hornick JL, Pereira EM, et al. EGFR amplification and lack of activating mutations in metaplastic breast carcinomas. J Pathol. 2006; 209:445–53. https://doi.org/10.1002/path.16739104

22. Nakai K, Hung MC, Yamaguchi H. A perspective on anti-EGFR therapies targeting triple-negative breast cancer. Am J Cancer Res. 2016; 6:1609–23. PMID: 27648353

23. Tebbutt N, Pedersen MW, Johns TG. Targeting the ERBB family in cancer: couples therapy. Nat Rev Cancer. 2013; 13:663–73. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc3559 PMID: 23949426

24. Barrett DM, Singh N, Porter DL, Grupp SA, June CH. Chimeric antigen receptor therapy for cancer. Annu Rev Med. 2014; 65:333–47. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-med-060512-150254 PMID: 24274181

25. Hartmann J, Schüßler-Lenz M, Bondanza A, Buchholz CJ. Clinical development of CAR T cells-challenges and opportunities in translating innovative treatment concepts. EMBO Mol Med. 2017; 9:1183–97. https://doi.org/10.15252/emmm.201607485 PMID: 28765140

26. Sadelain M, Brentjens R, Remberger M, Porter DL, June CH. Chimeric antigen receptor design. Cancer Discov. 2013; 3:344–55. https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290-CD-12-0548 PMID: 23550147

27. Gross G, Waks T, Eshhar Z. Expression of immunoglobulin-T-cell receptor chimeric molecules as functional receptors with antibody-type specificity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1989; 86:10024–28. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.86.24.10024 PMID: 2513569

28. Gross G, Gorochov G, Waks T, Eshhar Z. Generation of effector T cells expressing chimeric T cell receptor with antibody type-specificity. Transplant Proc. 1989; 21:127–30. PMID: 2784887
29. Miliotou AN, Papadopoulou LC. CAR T-cell Therapy: A New Era in Cancer Immunotherapy. Curr Pharm Biotechnol. 2018; 19:5–18. https://doi.org/10.2174/1389201019666180418095526 PMID:29667553

30. Jena B, Maiti S, Huls H, Singh H, Lee DA, Champlin RE, Cooper LJ. Chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-specific monoclonal antibody to detect CD19-specific T cells in clinical trials. PLoS One. 2013; 8:e57838. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0057838 PMID:23469246

31. Dai H, Wang Y, Lu X, Han W. Chimeric Antigen Receptors Modified T-Cells for Cancer Therapy. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2016; 108:dvj439. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/dvj439 PMID:26819347

32. Fesnak AD, June CH, Levine BL. Engineered T cells: the promise and challenges of cancer immunotherapy. Nat Rev Cancer. 2016; 16:566–81. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc.2016.97 PMID:27550819

33. Srivastava S, Riddell SR. Engineering CAR-T cells: design concepts. Trends Immunol. 2015; 36:494–502. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2015.06.004 PMID:26169254

34. Gilham DE, Debets R, Pule M, Hawkins RE, Abken H. CAR-T cells and solid tumors: tuning T cells to challenge an inveterate foe. Trends Mol Med. 2012; 18:377–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmed.2012.04.009 PMID:22613370

35. Byrd T, Fousek K, Pignata A, Szot C, Samaha H, Seaman S. TEM8/ANTXR1-Specific CAR T Cells as a Targeted Therapy for Triple-Negative Breast Cancer (vol 78, pg 489, 2018). Cancer Res. 2018; 78:3403–3403. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-1911 PMID:29907688

36. Hudecek M, Monjezi R, Stuber T. Immunotherapy of triple negative breast cancer with ROR1 CAR T cells is augmented in combination with the TGF-beta inhibitor SD-208. Oncol Res Treat. 2018; 41:158–158. https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.2018.36.15_suppl.e15050

37. Zeltsman M, Dozier J, McGee E, Ngai D, Adusumilli PS. CAR T-cell therapy for lung cancer and malignant pleural mesothelioma. Transl Res. 2017; 187:1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trsl.2017.04.004 PMID:28502785

38. Zhang C, Wang Z, Yang Z, Wang M, Li S, Li Y, Zhang R, Xiong Z, Wei Z, Shen J, Luo Y, Zhang Q, Liu L, et al. Phase I Escalating-Dose Trial of CAR-T Therapy Targeting CEA Metastatic Colorectal Cancers. Mol Ther. 2017; 25:1248–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymthe.2017.03.010 PMID:28366766

39. Klampatsa A, Haas AR, Moon EK, Albelda SM. Chimeric Antigen Receptor (CAR) T Cell Therapy for Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma (MPM). Cancers (Basel). 2017; 9:E115. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers9090115 PMID:28862644

40. Thayaparan T, Petrovic RM, Achkova DY, Zabinski T, Davies DM, Klampatsa A, Parente-Pereira AC, Whilding LM, van der Stegen SJ, Woodman N, Sheaff M, Cochran JR, Spicer JF, Maher J. CAR T-cell immunotherapy of MET-expressing malignant mesothelioma. Oncoimmunology. 2017; 6:e1363137. https://doi.org/10.1080/2162402X.2017.1363137 PMID:29209570

41. Chen Y, Sun C, Landoni E, Metelitsa L, Dotti G, Savoldo B. Eradication of Neuroblastoma by T Cells Redirected with an Optimized GD2-Specific Chimeric Antigen Receptor and Interleukin-15. Clin Cancer Res. 2019; 25:2915–24. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-1811 PMID:30617136

42. Richards RM, Sotillo E, Majzner RG. CAR T Cell Therapy for Neuroblastoma. Front Immunol. 2018; 9:2380. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.02380 PMID:30459759

43. Akce M, Zaidi MY, Waller EK, El-Rayes BF, Lesinski GB. The Potential of CAR T Cell Therapy in Pancreatic Cancer. Front Immunol. 2018; 9:2166. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.02166 PMID:30319627

44. Raj D, Yang MH, Rodgers D, Hampton EN, Begum J, Mustafa A, Lorizio D, Garces I, Propper D, Kench JG, Kocher HM, Young TS, Aicher A, Heeschen C. Switchable CAR-T cells mediate remission in metastatic pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Gut. 2019; 68:1052–1064. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2018-316595 PMID:30121627

45. Khalil DN, Smith EL, Brentjens RJ, Wolchok JD. The future of cancer treatment: immunomodulation, CARs and combination immunotherapy. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2016; 13:394. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2016.65 PMID:27118494

46. Grandal MV, Madshus IH. Epidermal growth factor receptor and cancer: control of oncogenic signalling by endocytosis. J Cell Mol Med. 2008; 12:1527–34. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1582-4934.2008.00298.x PMID:18318691

47. Panczewicz-Wojtkiewicz J. Epidermal growth factor receptor and notch signaling in non-small-cell lung cancer. Cancer Med. 2016; 5:3572–78. https://doi.org/10.1002/cam4.944 PMID:27770511
48. Hsu JL, Hung MC. The role of HER2, EGFR, and other receptor tyrosine kinases in breast cancer. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2016; 35:575–88. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10555-016-9649-6 PMID:27913999

49. Fregoso Lomas M, De Vito S, Boisclair Lachance JF, Houde J, Nilson LA. Determination of EGFR Signaling Output by Opposing Gradients of BMP and JAK/STAT Activity. Curr Biol. 2016; 26:2572–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2016.07.073 PMID:27593379

50. Normanno N, De Luca A, Bianco C, Strizzi L, Mancino M, Maiello MR, Carotenuto A, De Feo G, Caponigro F, Salomon DS. Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling in cancer. Gene. 2006; 366:2–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2005.10.018 PMID:16377102

51. Jotte RM, Spigel DR. Advances in molecular-based personalized non-small-cell lung cancer therapy: targeting epidermal growth factor receptor and mechanisms of resistance. Cancer Med. 2015; 4:1621–32. https://doi.org/10.1002/cam4.506 PMID:26310719

52. Benmebarek MR, Karches CH, Cadilha BL, Lesch S, Endres S, Kobold S. Killing Mechanisms of Chimeric Antigen Receptor (CAR) T Cells. Int J Mol Sci. 2019; 20:E1283. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20061283 PMID:30875739

53. Priceman SJ, Tilakawardane D, Jeang B, Aguilar B, Murad JP, Park AK, Chang WC, Ostberg JR, Neman J, Jandial R, Portnow J, Forman SJ, Brown CE. Regional Delivery of Chimeric Antigen Receptor-Engineered T Cells Effectively Targets HER2+ Breast Cancer Metastasis to the Brain. Clin Cancer Res. 2018; 24:95–105. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-17-2041 PMID:29061641

54. Morello A, Sadelain M, Adusumilli PS. Mesothelin-Targeted CARs: Driving T Cells to Solid Tumors. Cancer Discov. 2016; 6:133–46. https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-15-0583 PMID:26503962

55. Jackson HJ, Rafiq S, Brentjens RJ. Driving CAR T-cells forward. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2016; 13:370–83. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2016.36 PMID:27000958

56. Liu Y, Li J, Ma Z, Zhang J, Wang Y, Yu Z, Lin X, Xu Z, Su Q, An L, Zhou Y, Ma X, Yang Y, et al. Oncogenic functions of protein kinase D2 and D3 in regulating multiple cancer-related pathways in breast cancer. Cancer Med. 2019; 8:729–41. https://doi.org/10.1002/cam4.1938 PMID:30652415

57. Liu Y, Wang Y, Yu S, Zhou Y, Ma X, Su Q, An L, Wang F, Shi A, Zhang J, Chen L. The Role and Mechanism of CRT0066101 as an Effective Drug for Treatment of Triple-Negative Breast Cancer. Cell Physiol Biochem. 2019; 52:382–96. https://doi.org/10.33594/00000027 PMID:30845378
Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure 1. Agarose gel electrophoresis of plasmids and restriction-digested DNA products. The structures of (A) con-CAR, (B) EGFR-CAR-1, and (C) EGFR-CAR-2. (D) M: 1kb DNA marker; Lane 1: con-CAR plasmid (8533bp); Lane 2: products of restriction digest of con-CAR plasmid using NcoI (7201bp and 1332bp); Lane 3: EGFR-CAR-1 plasmid (9247bp); Lane 4: products of restriction digest of EGFR-CAR-1 plasmid using KpnI (7083bp and 2191bp); Lane 5: EGFR-CAR-2 plasmid (9262bp); Lane 6: products of restriction digest of EGFR-CAR-2 using NcoI (7201bp and 2061bp).

Supplementary Figure 2. P-EGFR expression in breast cancer cell lines. TNBC cell lines (HS578T, MDA-MB-468, and MDA-MB-231) and MCF-7 cells were cultured in 10% FBS or serum free medium for 48h. Phosphorylated EGFR was then detected by Western blot.
Supplementary Figure 3. Down-regulation of EGFR expression in TNBC cells by siRNA. (A) HS578T, MDA-MB-468, and MDA-MB-231 cells were transfected with si-Control or si-EGFR for 48h. EGFR expression was then detected by Western blot. (B) EGFR expression detected by flow cytometry.

Supplementary Figure 4. EGFR function was detected in breast cancer cell lines with cell proliferation assays. (A) Ectopic overexpression of EGFR in MCF7 cells increased proliferation. (B) EGFR knockdown in MDA-MB-468 cells decreased proliferation. Error bars represent means ± SEM. T-tests were used for statistical analysis; ***p < 0.001.
Supplementary Figure 5. Cytosolic and nuclear p-ERK levels in breast cancer cells. Analysis of p-ERK (Thr202/Tyr204) cellular localization by subcellular fractionation. MCF7 and MDA-MB-468 cells were subjected to subcellular fractionation, and Western blot was performed using cytosolic and nuclear fractions. GAPDH and Histone H3 were used as loading controls.

Supplementary Figure 6. Cytotoxicity was measured using a standard LDH release assay. (A) HS578T and (B) MDA-MB-468 cells were cultured with or without an EGFR dimerization activator (10μM NSC 2281155) for 12h. Effector cells were then co-cultured with target cells at an E:T ratio of 5:1. Error bars represent means ± SEM. T-tests were used for statistical analysis; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.
Supplementary Table

Supplementary Table 1. Cytokine release and cytotoxicity assay data.

| IFN-γ | Non-transduced T Cell | Con-CAR-T Cell | EGFR-CAR-1 T Cell | EGFR-CAR-2 T Cell | E:TNF (HS578T) | EGFR-CAR-1 T Cell | EGFR-CAR-2 T Cell | EGFR-CAR-1 T Cell | EGFR-CAR-2 T Cell |
|-------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| HS578T | 12.7±1.3              | 17±3           | 1357.3±94.7       | 1361±23           | 315.3±14.7     | 308±3             |                   |                   |                   |
| MDA-MB-468 | 19.3±2.7            | 14.3±1.7       | 1557±95           | 1537.3±40.7       | 335±5          | 320.3±7.7        |                   |                   |                   |
| MDA-MB-231 | 18±3                | 15.3±1.7       | 1054.3±45.7       | 1091.7±43.3       | 294.7±9.3     | 288±6             |                   |                   |                   |
| MCF7   | 17.6±1.4             | 17.7±1.3       | 299±13            | 305±10            |               |                   |                   |                   |                   |

| IL-4   | Non-transduced T Cell | Con-CAR-T Cell | EGFR-CAR-1 T Cell | EGFR-CAR-2 T Cell | E:TNF (HS578T) | EGFR-CAR-1 T Cell | EGFR-CAR-2 T Cell | EGFR-CAR-1 T Cell | EGFR-CAR-2 T Cell |
|--------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| HS578T | 1.7±0.3              | 2±1            | 90.7±4.3          | 87.3±2.7          | 16.7±0.3       | 15.7±3.3          |                   |                   |                   |
| MDA-MB-468 | 1.7±0.3            | 1.3±0.7        | 90.7±3.3          | 88.3±3.7          | 14.3±1.7       | 15±2              |                   |                   |                   |
| MDA-MB-231 | 1.7±1.3            | 1.3±0.7        | 96.7±4.3          | 98±2              | 18.3±1.7       | 16±2              |                   |                   |                   |
| MCF7   | 1.7±0.3              | 1.7±1.3        | 12±2              | 13±1              |               |                   |                   |                   |                   |

| IL-2   | Non-transduced T Cell | Con-CAR-T Cell | EGFR-CAR-1 T Cell | EGFR-CAR-2 T Cell | E:TNF (MDA-468) | EGFR-CAR-1 T Cell | EGFR-CAR-2 T Cell | EGFR-CAR-1 T Cell | EGFR-CAR-2 T Cell |
|--------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| HS578T | 316.3±3.7            | 312.7±9.3      | 2017.7±27.3       | 2022.3±62.7       | 739.7±57.3     | 696.7±11.3        |                   |                   |                   |
| MDA-MB-468 | 363.7±6.3          | 359.7±5.3      | 2151.7±52.3       | 2134±64           | 794.3±34.7     | 830.3±5.7         |                   |                   |                   |
| MDA-MB-231 | 319.7±6.3          | 322.3±5.7      | 1940±40           | 1947±31           | 704.3±10.7     | 709.7±5.3         |                   |                   |                   |
| MCF7   | 319.3±4.7            | 318±3          | 616.7±23.3        | 679.3±30.7        |               |                   |                   |                   |                   |

| E:T(MCF7) | Non-transduced T Cell | Con-CAR-T Cell | EGFR-CAR-1 T Cell | EGFR-CAR-2 T Cell | E:TNF (MDA-231) | EGFR-CAR-1 T Cell | EGFR-CAR-2 T Cell | EGFR-CAR-1 T Cell | EGFR-CAR-2 T Cell |
|-----------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 5:1       | 4.62±0.23             | 4.64±0.04      | 33.84±1.61        | 35.03±1.42        | 15.34±0.9      | 15.52±0.93        |                   |                   |                   |
| 10:1      | 7.91±0.21             | 8.31±0.4       | 44.27±1.85        | 45.53±0.32        | 22.03±1.38     | 20.55±0.68        |                   |                   |                   |
| 20:1      | 13.32±0.12            | 13.76±0.2      | 52.12±1.22        | 51.7±1.42         | 25.8±0.94      | 25.31±2.94        |                   |                   |                   |

| 5:1       | 5.88±0.13             | 5.91±0.3       | 38.12±1.66        | 37.52±0.93        | 14.82±0.95     | 15.68±0.56        |                   |                   |                   |
| 10:1      | 9.58±0.45             | 9.82±0.32      | 51.9±1.22         | 49.33±1.42        | 19.44±0.7      | 19.56±1.89        |                   |                   |                   |
| 20:1      | 16.06±0.35            | 15.46±0.8      | 57.78±2.34        | 57.72±1.45        | 28.31±1.8      | 28.48±2.01        |                   |                   |                   |

| 5:1       | 3.74±0.27             | 4.12±0.1       | 31.15±0.41        | 31.3±0.29         | 13.24±0.45     | 13.15±0.67        |                   |                   |                   |
| 10:1      | 7.31±0.32             | 7.69±0.15      | 38.48±1.07        | 40.49±0.92        | 16.57±2.36     | 17.04±0.57        |                   |                   |                   |
| 20:1      | 11.07±0.38            | 12.1±0.26      | 46.4±0.72         | 47.26±1.56        | 21.53±1.64     | 22.95±0.92        |                   |                   |                   |

| 5:1       | 3.15±0.06             | 3.26±0.18      | 7.04±0.41         | 6.29±0.56         |               |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| 10:1      | 5.88±0.24             | 6.01±0.2       | 12.85±1.83        | 13.44±0.67        |               |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| 20:1      | 11.37±1.48            | 10.86±1.58     | 14.5±1.58         | 15.82±0.73        |               |                   |                   |                   |                   |