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The gut-associated microbiota is essential for multiple physiological processes, including immune development. Acquisition of our initial pioneer microbial communities, including the dominant early life genus *Bifidobacterium*, occurs at a critical period of immune maturation and programming. Bifidobacteria are resident microbiota members throughout our lifetime and have been shown to modulate specific immune cells and pathways. Notably, reductions in this genus have been associated with several diseases, including inflammatory bowel disease. In this review, we provide an overview of bifidobacteria profiles throughout life and how different strains of bifidobacteria have been implicated in immune modulation in disease states. The focus will be examining preclinical models and outcomes from clinical trials on immune-linked chronic conditions. Finally, we highlight some of the important unresolved questions in relation to *Bifidobacterium*-mediated immune modulation and implications for future directions, trials, and development of new therapies.

Introduction

The human gastrointestinal (GI) tract is home to a complex ecosystem of microbes, including bacteria, fungi and viruses, which play a critical role in host health [1,2]. Owing to the ability of these bacteria to interact with the host directly, through physical interactions with the intestinal mucosa, and indirectly, via production of metabolites that can enter the bloodstream, there is significant interest in understanding how these bacteria affect our physiology, particularly with respect to immune development and modulation. For many years, there has been a commercial and scientific interest in using beneficial bacteria, such as ‘probiotics’, to positively modulate host health. Probiotics are defined as ‘live microorganisms that, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host’ [3] and are, for the most part, consisting of strains from the genus *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium*. Bifidobacteria have been used for many years as supplements to promote host well-being, as their presence, including the high levels observed in infants and stable levels in adults, is associated with a ‘healthy’ state. These bacteria are particularly effective at protecting against infectious diseases [4–7] and modulating immune responses [7,8]. This review discusses *Bifidobacterium* across the life course, and focuses on species and specific strains that have been studied in the context of immune modulation and treatment of disease.

*Bifidobacterium* across the life course

Bifidobacteria are Gram-positive, heterofermentative, anaerobic bacteria with a distinctive bifid (i.e. Y) shape after which they are named. Originally isolated from the faeces of breast-fed infants by Tissier in 1899, members of the genus *Bifidobacterium* are commonly found in the GI tract of mammals. They have also been isolated from birds, social insects such as honey bees [9,10], and more recently from water kefir [11–13]. There are currently 55 recognised (sub)species of *Bifidobacterium* [14]. Recently, the genomes of representative strains of these taxa have been sequenced allowing greater
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resolution when classifying potential new strains of bifidobacteria [14–16]. An analysis of 317 core genes, across all 67 representative genomes of Bifidobacteriaceae [including representative strains of the 55 (sub) species of *Bifidobacterium*], classified *Bifidobacterium* into seven phylogenetic clusters: *Bifidobacterium longum; Bifidobacterium adolescentis; Bifidobacterium pseudolongum; Bifidobacterium boum; Bifidobacterium asteroides; Bifidobacterium pullorum; and Bifidobacterium bifidum* [14]. *Bifidobacterium* genomes range from 1.63 Mb (*Bifidobacterium communis* R-52791) to 3.25 Mb (*Bifidobacterium biavatii* DSM 23 969) and have a high G + C content ranging from 65.53% (*Bifidobacterium choerinum* LMG 10 510) to 52.29% (*Bifidobacterium aquitectri* LMG 28 769). The analysis of the pan genome of *Bifidobacterium* revealed that 38% of all truly unique genes are involved in carbohydrate metabolism, highlighting the importance of this function in the genus [14,16]. Moreover, *Bifidobacterium* possesses a large arsenal of genes encoding glycosyl hydrolases (GHs), with 3989 genes predicted to have this function in the 55 *Bifidobacterium* genomes. The highest number of GH genes was identified in isolates from humans and primates, reflecting the diverse range of dietary carbohydrates consumed by these hosts [14].

In humans, *Bifidobacterium* reside within the GI tract, from birth to old age, which has recently been reviewed by Arboleya et al. [17]. Briefly, bifidobacteria colonise the newborn gut within the first days and weeks after birth, and they represent the most abundant bacterial family ranging from 40 to 80% of the total gut microbiota [18,19]. There is also evidence to suggest that bifidobacteria could begin colonisation of the GI tract *in utero* [20,21]; however, this remains controversial as direct proof for microbial colonisation, and the mechanisms by which bacteria pass from the mother to the foetus remain to be elucidated. Current studies indicate that bifidobacteria are transmitted vertically from the mother’s vagina, GI tract, or breast milk. This is supported by findings by Duranti et al. [22], who used a novel internal transcribed spacer (ITS) approach trialled previously [23]. Duranti *et al.* found genomically identical bifidobacteria strains in faecal and milk samples from 24 mother–infant pairs. These findings provide initial insights as to why vaginal delivery provides a higher abundance of *Bifidobacterium* in infants, over a caesarean section (C-section) delivery [24,25]. Following birth, breast milk may provide a secondary delivery route for further bifidobacteria [22,26] and additionally drives proliferation of bifidobacteria due to its unique nutritional milieu of human milk oligosaccharides (HMOs), proteins, and lipids [27–29]. Notably, a reduced abundance of *Bifidobacterium* in infants is highly correlated to chronic diseases, including asthma and obesity [30].

As the infant begins to consume solid foods (~6 months onwards), overall bacterial diversity increases in response to an expanding nutritional environment, and the abundance of bifidobacteria decreases quite rapidly to 30–40% [17,31], and continues to fall gradually during childhood and adolescence. This can be an unstable time period, and *Bifidobacterium* levels can be influenced by puberty, nutrition, and antibiotic use [32–34]. As we reach adulthood, bifidobacterial populations stabilise between 0 and 18%. A further decline is then seen as we enter the elderly phase of life [35], which interestingly also correlates to a decrease in immune function, so-called immunosenescence. Exactly when or why this happens is still unclear, but higher bifidobacteria levels in the elderly are correlated with health and longevity [36,37].

Notably, bifidobacteria levels across the life course align with key stages in immune maturation (Figure 1) and are associated with improved host well-being. However, we are at a relatively early stage in understanding the specific mechanisms whereby *Bifidobacterium* influence this critical homeostatic development and programming, including impact on specific immune populations and signalling pathways. Current studies have focused more on immune-linked diseases, in both patients and preclinical *in vivo* disease models, and thus, this review discusses the role of bifidobacteria in modulating different immune populations and intervention studies in disease cohorts.

**Effects of bifidobacteria on the immune system**

Data from mouse models and clinical trials indicate that bifidobacteria may have beneficial effects for treating and preventing immune-linked diseases, including gut-associated and systemic conditions. However, we still do not fully understand the mechanisms employed by bifidobacteria to exert their immunomodulatory effects [38]. Studies to date indicate that bifidobacteria have a complex role, having both pro- and anti-inflammatory effects, promoting anti-pathogen immune responses, and modulating immunity in the context of auto-immune or immune-mediated diseases. A significant complication in evaluating these responses lies in the fact that many distinct species and strains of bifidobacteria have been tested, and additionally many of these studies include combination testing with other species or phylum. Furthermore, the cell type, species of animal, model used, and human cohort supplemented also affect immune responses generated [39]. Currently, most
mechanistic studies have focused on inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) (Figure 2), allergy, and infection models, reporting bifidobacterial-associated modulation of specific immune cells and their outputs. There are also some limited reports highlighting immune receptor–ligand interactions and downstream signalling events
and links to specific bifidobacteria molecules [40,41], such as pili and exopolysaccharide (EPS), on immune responses [5,42,43]. However, it is apparent that the bifidobacteria–immune field requires a greater number of investigations detailing key mechanistic targets and pathways in different immune compartments and immune cell types.

**T cells**

From an adaptive immune development perspective, the ratio of T-cell subsets, including T helper1 (Th1), Th2, Th17, and T regulatory cells (Tregs), is key for maintaining homeostasis, while also promoting inflammatory responses in response to appropriate external antigenic stimuli [44]. Notably, irregularities in T-cell responses at different life stages are associated with allergic and chronic inflammatory diseases [45]. Exacerbated Th1 or Th17 responses have been linked to auto-immune disease [46], whereas uncontrolled Th2 responses or reduced Treg responses are associated with allergic reactions [47]. A lack of Tregs is often also found in patients with IBD [48]. Notably, several studies have reported that different strains of bifidobacteria can modulate T-cell responses in immune-driven diseases. In a murine model for chronic allergic asthma, *Bifidobacterium breve* M16-V was shown to increase Treg cell responses (defined as CD4+FoxP3+ cells) and additionally increase the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 in lung tissue [49]. This was also found to have similar effects as budesonide (i.e. glucocorticoid) treatment. In an ovalbumin-induced food allergy mouse model, the same strain of *B. breve* M16-V (in combination with non-digestible oligosaccharides) was shown to normalise aberrant Th2 responses including a decrease in IL-5 and an increase in IFN-γ, which correlated with a reduction in allergic symptoms [50]. In an IBD-like model, *B. breve* NutRes 204 ameliorated dextran sodium sulfate (DSS)-induced colitis. This was linked to increases in Tregs and decreases in Th17 (CD4+IL-17+) cell subsets in Peyer’s patches of DSS-treated mice and concurrent differential expression of Th1 cells, Th2, and Treg-associated cytokines [51]. Zuo et al. reported an increase in mesenteric lymph node (MLN) Tregs (i.e. CD4+FoxP3+ cells) in healthy Balb/c mice, and a reduction in Th1-associated cytokines, including IFN-γ and TNF-α. An increase in Treg-associated FoxP3 and anti-inflammatory cytokines IL-10 and TGF-β expression in the MLNs during trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS) colitis was also observed [52]. The importance of IL-10 in modulating T-cell responses was further demonstrated in an interesting study where a recombinant *B. longum* NCC2705, producing human IL-10 from a plasmid, was shown to ameliorate colitis in mice by increasing Treg cell responses (defined as CD4+FoxP3+ cells) in the MLNs during trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS) colitis was also observed [52]. The importance of IL-10 in modulating T-cell responses was further demonstrated in an interesting study where a recombinant *B. longum* NCC2705, producing human IL-10 from a plasmid, was shown to ameliorate colitis in mice by increasing Treg cell responses (defined as CD4+FoxP3+ cells) in the MLNs during trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS) colitis was also observed [52]. The importance of IL-10 in modulating T-cell responses was further demonstrated in an interesting study where a recombinant *B. longum* NCC2705, producing human IL-10 from a plasmid, was shown to ameliorate colitis in mice by increasing Treg cell responses (defined as CD4+FoxP3+ cells) in the MLNs during trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS) colitis was also observed [52]. The importance of IL-10 in modulating T-cell responses was further demonstrated in an interesting study where a recombinant *B. longum* NCC2705, producing human IL-10 from a plasmid, was shown to ameliorate colitis in mice by increasing Treg cell responses (defined as CD4+FoxP3+ cells) in the MLNs during trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS) colitis was also observed [52]. The importance of IL-10 in modulating T-cell responses was further demonstrated in an interesting study where a recombinant *B. longum* NCC2705, producing human IL-10 from a plasmid, was shown to ameliorate colitis in mice by increasing Treg cell responses (defined as CD4+FoxP3+ cells) in the MLNs during trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS) colitis was also observed [52]. The importance of IL-10 in modulating T-cell responses was further demonstrated in an interesting study where a recombinant *B. longum* NCC2705, producing human IL-10 from a plasmid, was shown to ameliorate colitis in mice by increasing Treg cell responses (defined as CD4+FoxP3+ cells) in the MLNs during trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS) colitis was also observed [52].

**Dendritic cells**

A potential mechanism whereby bifidobacteria induce T cells may be through dendritic cells (DCs), via antigen presentation and stimulation of antigen-specific T cells. Jeon et al. [54] observed that CD103+ DCs isolated from the lamina propria (LP), and stimulated with *B. breve* YAKULT strain, and co-cultured with naive splenic CD4+ T cells, lead to IL-10 production and expression of cMaf, Ahr, and Il21, markers of type 1 regulatory T cells. Moreover, this effect was abolished in CD103+ DCs from Il10−/−, Tlr2−/−, and Mydd88−/− mice. Konieczna et al. [55] determined that *B. longum* subsp. *infantis* 35 624 increased numbers of CD103+ retinaldehyde dehydrogenase (RALDH)+ DCs in healthy Balb/c mice, and a reduction in Th1-associated cytokines, including IFN-γ and TNF-α. An increase in Treg-associated FoxP3 and anti-inflammatory cytokines IL-10 and TGF-β expression in the MLNs during trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS) colitis was also observed [52]. The importance of IL-10 in modulating T-cell responses was further demonstrated in an interesting study where a recombinant *B. longum* NCC2705, producing human IL-10 from a plasmid, was shown to ameliorate colitis in mice by increasing Treg cells and decreasing Th17 cells [53]. However, the use of genetically modified *Bifidobacterium* in humans is a significant regulatory issue, and thus, more in-depth preclinical trials are required to identify the efficacy of these strains and inform regulators.

**Epithelial cells**

As bifidobacteria reside within the GI tract, intestinal epithelial cells (IECs) represent a key immune cell type for bifidobacteria-associated modulation. IECs are fundamental for maintaining barrier function during homeostatic conditions, and many different species and strains of *Bifidobacterium*, or their metabolic products, have been shown to increase epithelial cell integrity in vitro and in vivo [57–59]. In the context of disease, IBD patients, who also have reduced bifidobacteria levels [60], display what is called pathological cell shedding. This is characterised by redistribution of tight junction (TJ) proteins, such as Zonula occludens-1 (ZO-1) and...
E-cadherin, and increased apoptosis of the epithelial cells at the villus tip, resulting in excessive cell shedding into the lumen \[61,62\]. In a mouse model of epithelial cell shedding, \textit{B. breve} UCC2003 was shown to reduce the number of apoptotic IECs and corresponding apoptosis signalling molecules. This was mediated via the bifidobacterial EPS capsule and host immune-associated adaptor protein MyD88 \[40\]. In an IBD-like experimental model, administration of \textit{B. longum} subsp. \textit{longum} 7952, but not \textit{B. longum} subsp. \textit{longum} 372, enhanced expression of TJ proteins in the epithelial layer, which was associated with reduced development of DSS-induced symptoms \[63\]. This was further highlighted by Hsieh et al. \[57\] who also showed that only some species and strains of \textit{Bifidobacterium} prevented TNF-\(\alpha\)-induced disruption of the epithelial barrier, and promoted tight junctions which, \textit{in vitro}, was attributed to TLR2, but is yet to be defined \textit{in vivo}. These studies

Figure 2. The immune-modulatory effects of \textit{Bifidobacterium} in IBD.

IBD is characterised by a damaged or ‘leaky’ IEC barrier and chronic inflammation. A weakened barrier, in tandem with a reduced mucus layer (depicted by light green layer over IECs), enables translocation of luminal microbes into the underlying lamina propria which triggers NF-\(\kappa\)B and release of pro-inflammatory cytokines from IECs and immune cells such as macrophages (\(M_\Phi\)) and DCs. Cytokines such as IL-6, IL-23, and TNF-\(\alpha\) activate T\(_\kappa\)1 cells; CD is marked by an increase in T\(_\kappa\)1 cells, whereas UC is characterised by an increase in T\(_\kappa\)2 cells. In both diseases, there is a reduction in T\(_{\text{reg}}\) cells, linked to increased IL-12 secretion. \textit{Bifidobacterium} has been shown to reduce levels of key IBD-related pro-inflammatory cytokines such TNF-\(\alpha\), IFN-\(\gamma\), and IL-1\(\beta\), and increase the production of IBD protective cytokines TGF\(\beta\) and IL-10 \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo}, and mucus production \textit{in vitro}. Furthermore, \textit{Bifidobacterium} has been shown to induce T\(_{\text{reg}}\) cells and reduce restore the T\(_{\kappa}1\)/T\(_{\kappa}2\) cell balance in murine models. Figure credit: Eliza Wolfson.
emphasise the importance of the species and even the strain of *Bifidobacterium* that is used. In a necrotising enterocolitis (NEC, which is also linked to epithelial barrier disruption) mouse model, *B. breve* was shown to up-regulate Tj proteins Claudin 4 and Occludin [51], and a non-specified species of *Bifidobacterium* increased ZO-1 in a rat NEC model [8]. Studies have also shown that the effects of bifidobacteria are only exerted, or are increased, when live bifidobacteria are used. Grimm et al. [64] showed that the beneficial effects of *B. bifidum* S17 in DSS colitis were seen from only live and not UV-killed bacteria, and Hsieh et al. [57] showed that only live *B. bifidum* had a restorative effect on a Tj impaired Caco-2 cell monolayer. They found that acetate and formate were produced more by *B. bifidum* than by *B. adolescentis*. Whether it is necessary for bacteria to be ‘alive’ to be effective remains a matter of debate, but differences in structure and components could hold key findings for future therapeutic development.

**Other cells types**

Currently, there are limited studies examining the role of bifidobacteria with other immune populations. Kawahara et al. [65] reported that supplementation with *B. longum* MM-2 was linked to increases in natural killer (NK) cell activity, potentially via an increase in NK cell-activating cytokines such as IL-18, and correlated with anti-influenza virus responses. In an obesity-associated inflammation model, *B. pseudocatenulatum* CECT 7765 reduced B-cell (CD19⁺) and pro-inflammatory macrophages (F4/80⁺CD11c⁺CD206⁺), as well as increasing Treg responses, which correlated with reduced body weight gain and improved glucose tolerance [66]. Recently, *B. breve* pre-treatment was shown to significantly decrease the total inflammatory cell number, including decreasing the relative number of eosinophils and neutrophils in a murine airway inflammation model [49].

Overall, these studies indicate that bifidobacteria may have beneficial effects on inflammatory and immune-driven diseases via regulation of specific immune cells and cellular networks, including cytokines (details on *Bifidobacterium*-associated cytokine modulation are shown in Table 1). The implications that bifidobacteria are important modulators of immune responses during disease, both locally and systemically, therefore make them attractive therapeutic targets. Bifidobacteria possess many proteaceous factors, such as EPS and sortase-dependent pili, that modulate immune responses. This includes the presence, on some strains, of a surface-associated EPS, which has been shown in both *B. breve* UCC2003 and *B. longum* subsp. *infantis* 35624 to modulate innate immune cells, such as neutrophils, macrophages, and peripheral monocytes [5,43]. An EPS deletion mutant in *B. breve* UCC2003 induced more pro-inflammatory cytokine secretion from splenocytes and also increased the number of Ly6G⁺ neutrophils, F4/80⁺ macrophages, DX5⁺/CD3⁺ NK cells, and CD19⁺ B cells in the spleen of treated mice compared with mice treated with the wild-type strain [5]. Similarly, an EPS deletion mutant of *B. longum* subsp. *infantis* 35624 stimulated more IL-12p70, IL-17, and IFN-γ from peripheral blood mononuclear cells than the wild-type strain. *B. bifidum* PRL2010 expresses sortase-dependent pili, which when heterologously expressed in a *Lactobacillus lactis* strain induced a higher TNF-α and IL-10 response compared with the non-piliated *L. lactis* strain in a U937 macrophage cell line [42]. A similar response was seen in a murine TNBS colitis model when mice were pretreated with *B. bifidum* PRL2010 [79]. Despite these insights, further studies to elucidate these, and other mechanisms used by bifidobacteria to regulate the immune system, are required. This could include expanding studies to cover exploration of other immune-linked conditions (e.g. inflammatory arthritis), important cell types, specific signalling pathways, and bifidobacteria components or metabolites, and is critical for designing new bacteriotherapies or ‘probiotics’ (Box 1). This may offer a more targeted or personalised approach for patients, as there does not appear to be a one-strain-fits-all scenario.

**Bifidobacteria supplementation in patients — evidence from clinical trials**

Disturbances in the microbiota are linked to an ever-growing number of immune-linked disease states including IBD, atopic allergy, arthritis, and obesity [80]. Therefore, there is a significant interest in treating these diseases through microbial or ‘probiotic’ supplementation of patients, including with *Bifidobacterium*. Many clinical trials use combinations of *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium*; however, for this review, we will discuss only studies where *Bifidobacterium* (single or multiple species) were administered as the sole bacteria and/or in combination with a prebiotic (Table 2).
| Bifidobacterium species | Cytokine | Cell type | Model | Ref. | Method |
|-------------------------|----------|-----------|-------|------|--------|
| *B. longum*             | Low levels of IL-12 | Splenic cells | Splenic cells from Balb/c cultured with heat-killed microorganisms (1 µg/ml) for 2 days | [67] | ELISA |
| *B. breve*              | Low levels of IL-12p70 | Splenic cells | Splenic cells from Balb/c cultured with heat-killed microorganisms (1 µg/ml) for 2 days | [67] | ELISA |
| *B. adolescentis*      | Low levels of IL-12p70 | Splenic cells | Splenic cells from Balb/c cultured with heat-killed microorganisms (1 µg/ml) for 2 days | [67] | ELISA |

| *B. longum* | ↓TNF-α, ↑IFN-γ | PBMC from coeliac patients | PBMC treated with faecal contents from coeliac disease patients | [68] | ELISA |
| *B. bifidum* | ↓TNF-α, ↑IFN-γ | PBMC from coeliac patients | PBMC treated with faecal contents from coeliac disease patients | [68] | ELISA |

| *B. adolescentis IM38* | ↑TNF-α, ↑IL-1β, ↑IL-10, ↑IL-17 | Caco2 and mouse peritoneal macrophages | High-fat diet-induced obesity | [69] | ELISA |

| *B. infantis 35 624* | ↓TNF-α | PBMC | LPS-stimulated PBMC from chronic fatigue syndrome, UC and psoriasis patients | [70] | ELISA |

| *B. bifidum* | ↑IL-8 | T84 and Caco2 cells | LPS-stimulated cells | [71] | ELISA |

| *B. infantis 35 624* | ↓IFN-γ, ↓IL-12, ↓TNF-α, ↓IFN-γ, ↓TNF-α | Splenocytes | Mononuclear cells from PP and disease model of colitis | [72] | ELISA |

| *B. longum* | ↓IL-1α, ↓TNF-α | Mucosal biopsies | UC patients treated with bifidobacteria | [73] | ELISA |

| *B. infantis 35 624* | ↑IL-10, ↑TGF-β, ↑IL-10, ↑TNF-α, ↑IL-10, ↑TNF-α | MLN | PBMCs isolated from UC and CD patients | [74] | ELISA |

| *B. bifidum BGN4* | ↓IFN-γ, ↓TNF-α | Splenocytes | T-cell transfer model | [75] | ELISA |

| *B. breve Yakult* | ↑IL-10 | PBMC | PBMC isolated from UC patients | [76] | ELISA |

| *B. bifidum Yakult* | ↑IL-8 | HT-29 | TNF-α-stimulated HT-29 | [76] | ELISA |

| *B. bifidum S17* | ↓IL-1β, ↓IL-6 | Colonic cells | TNBS-induced colitis | [77] | ELISA |

| *B. lactis Bb12* | ↑IL-10, ↑TGF-β | PBMC | PBMC isolated from UC patients | [78] | ELISA |

| *B. breve (BM12/11, BM13/14)* | ↑IFNγ, ↑TNFα | PBMC | PBMC isolated from healthy donors | [39] | Cytokine Bead Array |
| *B. animalis subsp. lactis (Bb-12) and B. bifidum (KCTC5082)* | ↑IFNγ, ↑TNFα | PBMC | PBMC isolated from healthy donors | [39] | Cytokine Bead Array |

Abbreviations: UC, ulcerative colitis; PBMCs, peripheral blood mononuclear cells; CD, Crohn’s disease; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; PP, Peyer’s patches; ↑, increased levels; ↓, decreased levels.
Inflammatory bowel diseases
IBD encompasses both Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC). Both diseases are characterised by chronic intestinal inflammation; UC inflammation is continuous from the rectum to the proximal colon, CD inflammation is patchy and discontinuous, and frequently occurs in the distal ileum or colon. The incidence of

Box 1.
Areas for exploration in *Bifidobacterium*-immune interactions, and potential experimental tools/approaches that could be used to uncover key mechanisms involved
16S rRNA (metataxonomic profiling), whole genome sequencing (WGS), global RNA sequencing (RNASeq), knockout (KO).

Key questions
- Does bifidobacteria modulate immune responses directly or indirectly (i.e. via wider microbiota modulation)?
- What are the specific strains and species that regulate immune modulation?
- What are the specific components and metabolites that mediate beneficial effects?
- Does bifidobacteria modulate diverse immune cell populations?
- What cell-associated receptors and downstream signalling events are involved in pro- and anti-inflammatory events?
- Does *Bifidobacterium* modulate immune development across the life course, from *in utero* to old age?
- How does bifidobacteria modulate dysregulated immune-linked conditions? Is it via similar pathways as observed in homeostasis?

Experimental approaches/tools
- Mono-colonised or defined gnotobiotic models
- Novel cell models to study cross-talk
- In-depth genomic (e.g. WGS) and phenotypic characterisation on key strains and combination studies
- Comparative WGS analysis and transcriptional profiling (e.g. RNASeq) of *Bifidobacterium* and utilisation/development of molecular tools to test key mutants
- Profiling immune populations with flow cytometry and transcriptomics and use of cell-specific mouse KO models
- Use of network analysis and systems biology to define the specific pathways involved
- Human cohort studies and use of life stage-specific (e.g. neonatal) *in vivo* models and immune readouts
- Characterise responses in homeostasis (i.e. ‘healthy’) and correlate to clinically relevant disease models and patient/volunteer cohorts
| Type of study | No. of subjects | Age | Characteristics of subjects | Probiotic strain | Medication? | Intervention time | Colonisation? | Main outcome |
|---------------|-----------------|-----|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|
| RDBPCT        | 18              | 24–67 years | Patients with active UC | B. longum (2 × 10^{11} CFU) plus 6 g Synergy 1 | Yes — steroids (10), immunosuppressants (12), 5-ASA (10) | Twice daily for 28 days | qPCR on biopsies | Short-term treatment improved the full clinical appearance of chronic inflammation in patients with active UC. Reduction in mRNA of TNF-α in the Bif treatment group |
| RCT           | 120             | 36 ± 16 years (mean) | Patients on remission or with mildly active UC without a history of operation for UC | B. longum (2 × 10^{9} CFU) plus 4 g psyllium | Yes — aminosalicylates and/or prednisolone | Twice daily for 28 days | No data | Reduction in CRP in synbiotic compared with Bif and prebiotic-only groups. Synbiotic treatment improved the quality of life better than Bif or prebiotic treatment based on patient questionnaires |
| RDBPCT        | 35              | 18–79 years | Patients with active CD | B. longum (2 × 10^{11} CFU) plus 6 g Synergy 1 | Yes — steroids (9), 5-ASA (14), azathioprine (6), mercaptopurine (1), elemental (1) PPI (1) | Twice daily for 183 days | qPCR on biopsies | Bif group had reduction in CD activity index and histological scores and reduction in TNF-α |
| RCT           | 41              | 45.5 (mean) | Patients with mild-to-moderate UC | B. breve strain Yakult (1 × 10^{9} CFU) plus 5.5 g GOS | Yes — salazosulfapyridine, 5-ASA, steroids | Once daily for 365 days | Bacterial counts | A significant reduction in endoscopy score after treatment in the synbiotic group. Not difference in the endoscopy score between control and synbiotic treatment |
| RDBPCT        | 22              | 18–75 years | Patients with mild-to-moderate UC and CAIA ≥3 | B. longum subsp. infantis 35 264 (1 × 10^{10} CFU) | Yes — 5-ASA (22) | Once daily for 6 weeks | No data | Reduction in plasma CFP and IL-6 levels in the Bif group compared with placebo (no significant reduction compared with pre-treatment) |
| RDBPCT        | 56              | 44 ± 14 years (mean) | Patients with mild-to-moderate UC and CAIA ≥3–9 | B. longum 536 (2–3 × 10^{11} CFU) | Yes — 5-ASA (63), prednisolone (17), azathioprine (14) | Three times daily for 8 weeks | No data | Reduction in UCDAI score compared with baseline in the Bif treatment group. No significant difference in UCDAI scores between placebo and control following treatment. A significant decrease in EI score in the Bif group when compared with baseline |

Continued
| Type of study | No. of subjects | Age | Characteristics of subjects | Probiotic strain | Medication? | Intervention time | Colonisation? | Main outcome | Ref. |
|---------------|----------------|-----|------------------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|---------------|-------------|------|
| RDBPCT 27    | 1.3–2.0 months | Manifested atopic eczema during exclusive breast-feeding and who had no exposure to any infant or substitute formula | Infant formula supplemented with B. lactis Bb-12 (1 × 10^9 CFU/g) | N/A | Ad libitum for 2 months | No data | Statistically significant reduction on SCORAD score in B. lactis Bb12 group | [85] |
| RDBPCT 50    | 7–24 months   | Diagnosed with atopic dermatitis | B. lactis Bi-07 (1 × 10^{10} CFU) | N/A | Once daily for 8 weeks | Yes | Probiotic administration did not alter the composition of the microbiota, but an increase in B. lactis correlated with decreased SCORAD index, but could not be attributed to probiotic consumption | [86] |
| RDBPCT 208   | 3–6 months    | Physician diagnosed eczema | B. lactis CNCM I-3446 (1 × 10^{10} CFU) | Before supplementation 1% hydrocortisone ointment 2x/day, emollients/moisturisers 2–49/day, bath emollient | Once daily for 3 months | Yes | No benefit from supplementation with either bacteria compared with placebo | [87] |
| RDBPCT 75    | Infants <7 months | Positive for atopic dermatitis | Whey formula containing B. breve M-16V (1.3 × 10^9 CFU/100 ml) + 90% scGOS + 10% lcFOS, 0.8 g/100 ml | Topical steroids | On demand for 12 weeks | No data | Reduced asthma like symptoms and no. of subjects requiring asthma medication 1 year following Bif treatment compared with placebo | [88] |
| RDBPCT 77    | 18–75 years   | Patients who satisfied Rome II criteria for IBS diagnosis | B. infantis 35 624 (1 × 10^{10} CFU) | N/A | Once daily for 8 weeks | Yes | Reduction in symptoms for Bif group. Normalised IL-10/IL-12 ratio when treated with Bif | [89] |
| RDBPCT 362   | Women with bowel habit subtype | B. infantis 35 624 (1 × 10^{10} CFU) | N/A | Once daily for 4 weeks | Reduction in symptom in 10^6 CFU/ml Bif group compared with the placebo group | [90] |
| RDBPCT 122   | 18–68 Mild-to-moderate IBS (Rome III criteria) | B. bifidum MIMBb7 (1 × 10^{5}) | N/A | Once daily for 4 weeks | No | Reduction in symptoms in the Bif treatment group | [91] |

Abbreviations: RDBPCT, randomised; double-blind; placebo-controlled trial; RCT, randomised clinical trial; UC, ulcerative colitis; CD, Crohn’s disease; Bif, Bifidobacterium supplemented; CAIA, clinical activity index assessment; GOS, galactooligosaccharide; scGOS, short-chain galactooligosaccharides; lcFOS, long-chain fructooligosaccharides; 5-ASA, 5-aminosalicylic acid; PPI, protein pump inhibitor; CRP, C-reactive protein.
both these diseases is increasing in Western Europe and North America, and represents a significant burden on health services [92,93]. The aetiology of IBD is multifactorial, but it is widely accepted that the microbiota plays a key role in disease pathology. Patients with IBD have decreased microbial diversity, and many studies have shown a decrease in *Bifidobacterium* levels in both CD and UC patients during active disease [60,94–96]. For a recent review on the topic, see Buttó & Haller [97].

Owing to the anti-inflammatory properties exhibited by many strains of *Bifidobacterium*, in conjunction with reduced levels of bifidobacteria in IBD, there have been several studies testing this bacteria as a treatment for IBD; one published trial for CD and six for UC (Table 2). However, a limited number of species (*B. longum* subsp. *longum, B. breve, and B. longum* subsp. *infantis*) have been used in these trials. Additionally, the treatment duration, number of patients, and disease makers studied in each trial vary greatly, and thus, comparison between trials is difficult. Despite these differences, the limited number of clinical trials shows some promise for using *Bifidobacterium* in the treatment of IBD. A pilot study in UC patients, which used a prebiotic (a fructo-oligosaccharide/inulin mix; Synergy 1) in conjunction with *B. longum* subsp. *longum* strain isolated from a healthy rectum, showed promising results despite low numbers of patients in the trial [73]. After 28-day treatment, patients in the treatment group had reduced TNF transcripts, a key cytokine in UC, and reduced clinical symptoms. A follow-up trial on patients with active CD, using the same probiotic/prebiotic mix, showed a reduction in CD activity index and histology score in patients receiving the symbiotic compared with the controls [82]. However, due to the short duration of these studies, it is not clear if this strain is effective in the induction or maintenance of remission, and whether a longer-term study would prove continued efficacy. In another short-term study, *B. longum* subsp. *infantis* 35 624 administered to UC patients for 6 weeks resulted in a significant reduction in C-reactive protein and a non-statistically significant reduction in IL-6 when compared with the baseline [70]. While this study indicated a decrease in inflammatory markers, no clinical outcomes were measured and therefore it is not possible to conclude that this strain is effective in the treatment of UC. More recently, a trial where patients with mild-to-moderate UC (UCDAI 3–9) were supplemented with *B. longum* subsp. *longum* 536 resulted in a significant decrease in disease activity following 8-week supplementation, whereas a significant decrease was not seen in the placebo group [84]. Taken together, these trials suggest that bifidobacteria may be a promising therapy for the treatment of IBD; however, the limitations of the studies must be considered. Many trials did not test whether the strain administered had colonised patients making it difficult to directly attribute an effect to the probiotic, or indeed, if the strain modulated the wider microbiota, as no microbiota profiling (i.e. 16S rRNA or shotgun metagenomics) was performed. In all trials, *Bifidobacterium* supplementation was additional to standard treatment therapies (e.g. immunosuppressants/aminosalicylates or steroids); therefore, the efficacy of bifidobacterial treatment alone is unclear. Furthermore, all trials reviewed had a low number of participants (<100) over a short duration, and larger clinical trials are needed to clarify the efficacy of bifidobacteria in treating IBD. The differences between strains studied, intervention time, frequency and concentration of dose, and the addition of symbiotic and clinical outcomes measured mean that studies are difficult to compare. Finally, two Cochrane reviews, focused on clinical trials testing the use of probiotics in the induction or remission of UC or CD, highlighted a lack of well-designed trials in this area. Furthermore, the authors could not make any conclusion about the efficacy of probiotics in the treatment of UC or CD [98,99]. Thus, a more robust standardised approach to clinical trials with *Bifidobacterium* species (and other probiotics) would benefit future studies.

**Irritable bowel syndrome**

Another GI disorder that has been the focus of treatment with species of *Bifidobacterium* is irritable bowel syndrome (IBS). The pathophysiology and cause of IBS is not fully understood; however, there is an immune component, as IBS patients have higher serum cytokine levels [100]. *B. longum* subsp. *infantis* 35 624 has been studied in two double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trials [89,90]. In both studies, the bifidobacteria-supplemented group had reduction in symptoms, and in one trial, a reduction in cytokine production by peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) was reported *in vitro* [89]. These data suggest that at least in some conditions, bifidobacteria could be useful in the management of IBS.

**Atopic eczema and asthma**

The intestinal microbiota is important in early life immune development, and disturbances via antibiotics usage, formula feeding, or C-section are proposed to contribute to extra-intestinal disease, such as asthma and atopic eczema [101]. Studies have shown that infants who develop atopy have a lower *Bifidobacterium* to
Clostridium difficile ratio [102]. Several trials have tested the use of probiotics as an intervention for infants with eczema and asthma. In an intervention study, Van Der Aa et al. [88] found that supplementation of B. breve M-16V, plus a prebiotic, to infants less than 7 months old, who were positive for atopic eczema, resulted in less children on asthma medication 1-year post-treatment. The three studies of eczema carried out in infants under 24 months, who had developed atopic eczema, and had a variety of study designs, used the SCORAD (Scoring atopic dermatitis), allowing for some comparison between studies [85–87]. An early study focused on 3–6-month-old infants who had developed eczema during breast-feeding and had never been exposed to infant formula [85]. Children were provided with Bifidobacterium animalis subsp. lactis Bb-12 supplemented exclusively with hydrolysed whey formula for 2 months, resulting in a reduction in SCORAD from 16 to 0 vs. 13.4 in the supplement group. Another study supplementing B. animalis subsp. lactis Bi-07 to infants diagnosed with eczema resulted in a correlation between an increase in Bifidobacterium spp. in the infant microbiota and a decreased SCORAD index, but this could not be directly attributed to probiotic consumption [86]. While these two studies suggest that supplementation with bifidobacteria could help reduce the symptoms of atopic eczema, another larger, longer-term clinical trial showed no benefit of supplementation with B. animalis subsp. lactis CNCM I-3446, highlighting that not all clinical interventions with bifidobacteria are successful [87].

Necrotising enterocolitis

NEC primarily occurs in premature, and low-birth-weight infants, and can result in death. These infants have an underdeveloped intestinal immune system and are given broad-spectrum antibiotics prophylactically to prevent infection. Colonisation with opportunistic pathogens may contribute to the pathogenesis of NEC, which is characterised by an exacerbated inflammatory cascade [103]. A recent study, where preterm infants were supplemented with B. breve M-16V, showed a significant reduction in NEC ≥ Stage II, highlighting a role for Bifidobacterium in this disease [104]. The mechanism of a bifidobacteria-protective effect in NEC is not clear, but one study in a rat NEC model showed that B. bifidum OLB6378 modulated mucosal immunity by reducing Il6 and Tnfα expression, and improving TJ protein distribution in the ileum [107]. However, bifidobacteria are also known to inhibit pathogen colonisation [4] and thus may directly modulate the microbiota and inhibit NEC; however, more studies are required to clarify this. A recent large-scale study supplementing preterm infants with B. breve BBG-001 suggested that supplementation does not prevent NEC or late-onset sepsis in the study group [106]; however, the outcomes of this study remain controversial [107,108].

Box 2.

Recommendations for future Bifidobacterium intervention trials in human patients

Clinical study design recommendations

- Profile colonisation ability of strain(s)
- Stratify responders vs. non-responders and cross-talk capabilities
- Determine the impact of supplementation on wider microbiota (e.g. 16S or shotgun)
- Define clear primary standardised clinical readouts
- Define clear immune markers associated with disease as secondary readouts, using markers from preclinical models
- Define clear microbiota and immune baselines for patients before intervention
- Longitudinal sampling throughout intervention
- Define cohort to be tested based on preclinical model data (e.g. paediatric vs. adult)
The above clinical trials have identified some positive roles for the treatment of immune-driven diseases with *Bifidobacterium* therapy; however, other studies have shown no benefit. Furthermore, there is a current lack of understanding, with respect to the underlying immune-modulatory factors involved in improving clinical outcomes. Currently, there is also a lack of bifidobacterial supplementation studies aimed at positively modulating other immune-linked conditions, such as arthritis and psoriasis. Further identifying the mechanisms by which bifidobacteria modulate the immune system in humans, building on in-depth mechanistic animal studies, will allow for better screening of new potential therapeutic strains. In IBD, with the highest number of trials, there is scope for better standardisation of secondary outcomes to allow for better comparison between independent studies (Box 2).

**Conclusion and future perspectives**

The studies, to date, have shown that *Bifidobacterium* are resident within the GI tract across our lifespan, and are associated with immune well-being. Notably, reductions in bifidobacterial populations are associated with various immune-linked conditions, and studies using *in vivo* models and clinical trials indicate strategies that use *Bifidobacterium* may beneficially modulate immune responses to improve clinical symptoms. However, we are still somewhat removed from understanding how different strains of bifidobacteria specifically modulate immune responses (Box 1), and how we link this to comprehensive and well-planned clinical trials (Box 2). These studies are critical if we are to perform more personalised interventions in patients with immune-linked diseases, with the aim of improving clinical outcomes and providing cost-effective and potentially non-toxic therapies.

**Summary**

- *Bifidobacterium* spp. are present in the human gastrointestinal tract from birth and throughout the life course, and their presence is associated with health.
- Reduction in bifidobacterial abundance occurs in multiple inflammatory diseases.
- Bifidobacteria can modulate T-cell responses to reduce inflammation.
- Bifidobacteria may modulate T cells indirectly through dendritic cells to reduce inflammation.
- The overall mechanisms of bifidobacterial-associated immune modulation are currently incompletely understood.
- Bifidobacteria supplementation to treat inflammatory diseases shows promise, but more studies are required.
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