Cytosolic microRNA-inducible nuclear translocation of Cas9 protein for disease-specific genome modification
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ABSTRACT

MicroRNA-dependent mRNA decay plays an important role in gene silencing by facilitating posttranscriptional and translational repression. Inspired by this intrinsic nature of microRNA-mediated mRNA cleavage, here, we describe a microRNA-targeting mRNA as a switch platform called mRNA bridge mimetics to regulate the translocation of proteins. We applied the mRNA bridge mimetics platform to Cas9 protein to confer it the ability to translocate into the nucleus via cleavage of the nuclear export signal. This system performed programmed gene editing in vitro and in vivo. Combinatorial treatment with cisplatin and miR-21-EZH2 axis-targeting CRISPR Self Check-In improved sensitivity to chemotherapeutic drugs in vivo. Using the endogenous microRNA-mediated mRNA decay mechanism, our platform is able to remodel a cell’s natural biology to allow the entry of precise drugs into the nucleus, devoid of non-specific translocation. The mRNA bridge mimetics strategy is promising for applications in which the reaction must be controlled via intracellular stimuli and modulates Cas9 proteins to ensure safe genome modification in diseased conditions.

INTRODUCTION

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are 19–24 nucleotide long RNAs that are evolutionarily conserved in animals and play essential roles in post-transcriptional regulation of gene expres-
**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Complex structure modelling**

The 3D structure of Cas9 was modelled with the Galaxy
Loop method (14) based on the template structure (PDB ID: 6IFO). The single-stranded structures of mRNA1X and mRNA3X were modelled using the Rosetta software suite (15). Based on the predicted secondary structures of RNA strands by the RNAFold server (16), the 3D structures were generated by using the Rna.de_novo program of the Rosetta suite. The 3D conformations were sampled for 5,000,000 cycles that minimizes the Rosetta RNA energy function. The entire structure of the CRISPR Self Check-In system was modeled by energy minimization and MD simulations in the presence of two distance restraints between (i) the C-terminal of NES and the 5′-terminal of an RNA strand and (ii) 3′-terminal of an RNA strand and the N-terminal of Cas9. The energy minimization and MD simulations were performed with FACTS implicit solvation model (17) using CHARMM package (18). The input files for the MD simulations were prepared by the CHARMM-GUI server (19). All figures of protein structures were illustrated by ChimeraX (20).

**Binding free energy calculation**

To estimate the binding affinities of the CRISPR Self Check-In system, the molecular dynamics (MD) simulations and the molecular-mechanics/generализed Born solvent accessible area (MM-GBSA) calculations (21,22) were performed. The energetics of the complex was modelled with the Amber14sb (23) and RNA.OL3 (24) force fields and solvated using the TIP3P water molecules (25) with a padding region of 12 Å. The MD simulation of the modelled complex structure of the CRISPR Self Check-In system was performed for 200 ns with explicit solvent. All MD simulations were performed with the pmemd.cuda program (26) of the Amber20 molecular simulation package (27). After MD simulation was completed, the MM-GBSA calculation was performed, which is commonly used to estimate the binding affinities of protein-ligand, protein-protein, and protein-nucleic acid complexes. The MM-GBSA calculation was performed with the MMPBSA.py program of the AmberTools20 package (28). From the trajectory of 200 ns of MD simulations, a total of 500 snapshots were sampled for every 0.4 ns to calculate the energetics of the complex formation. The solvation energies were evaluated with the modified GB model, igb = 5 (29).

**Construction of mRNA bridge mimetics**

In order to conjugate the NES (Nuclear Export Signal, LDLASLIL) peptide with the miRNA target oligo, either DBCO or Azido were synthesized on the NES peptide. NES (N)-DBCO, NES (C)-DBCO, NES (N) - Azido, and NES (C)-Azido peptides were synthesized, but NES (N)-DBCO was not able to be obtained. The synthesis was confirmed using a Shiseido capcell pak C18, 5 μm, 120 Å (4.6 × 50 mm) column with SHIMADZU Prominance HPLC and mass analysis with SHIMADZU LCMS-2020.
Figure 1. mRNA bridge mimetics for the programmed cleavage by Ago-catalyzed miRNA-mediated mRNA decay and its application to control nucleus translocation of CRISPR Self Check-In. (A) Schematic representation of CRISPR Self Check-In operation for controlled genome editing. In disease cells, CRISPR Self Check-In controls nucleus translocation of Cas9 proteins for disease-specific genome editing by sensing miRNAs and being cleaved off mRNA bridge mimetics containing NES. (B) Design of CRISPR Self Check-In. CRISPR Self Check-In is a ribonucleoprotein (RNP) composed of a Cas9 endonuclease and mRNA bridge mimetics containing NES. mRNA bridge mimetics binds to the recombinant Cas9 protein by biotin–avidin interaction. Yellow: mRNA bridge mimetics, Red: Biotin, Purple: Avidin tagged. (C) Surface representation of the modelled CRISPR Self Check-In (D) Surface electrostatic potential maps of modelled CRISPR Self Check-In with mRNA1X (left) and mRNA3X (right) are depicted colored from $-10$ kT/e (red) to $+10$ kT/e (blue).
mRNA bridge mimetics with the complete complementary sequence of either hsa-miR-21-5p or mmu-miR-294-3p miRNA were synthesized and modified by either azide or DBCO at the 5' end and biotinylated at the 3' end on a 100 nm scale through Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT). HPLC Purification was performed. Modified NES and the mRNA bridge mimetics were conjugated via DBCO and azide click reaction. The binding was confirmed by BCA analysis using a biotin pull-down assay using Dynabeads™ M-280 Streptavidin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 11205D).

Reporter constructs and luciferase assay
The complete complementary sequence of target miRNA was inserted in multiple cloning regions of psiCHECK™-2 Vector (Promega, #C8021) using restriction enzyme Xho1 and EcoR1. The recombinant miRNA target-psiCHECK™-2 vector was transfected into HeLa cells using Lipofectamine™ 2000 Transfection Reagent (Invitrogen). After 48 h, the luciferase activity was expressed by the Dual-Luciferase® Reporter Assay System (Promega, #E1910) and measured using the GloMax-Multi Detection System (Promega) (30).

Purification of the Cas9 fusion protein
pET-Cas9-NLS-6xHis plasmids were transformed into Escherichia coli BL21 (DE3) competent cells, and incubated overnight at 37°C using Luria-Bertani agar plates containing ampicillin (100 µg/ml) as described previously (31). The transfected BL21 cells were selected and cultured overnight at 120 rpm at 20°C in 3L of LB-ampicillin broth with 1 mM isopropyl-β-d-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). Cells were collected by ultracentrifugation and lysed by lysis buffer (50 mM NaH2PO4, 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM imidazole (pH 8.0)). After ultracentrifugation at 18 000 rpm for 40 min at 4°C, the soluble lysate was incubated at Ni-NTA resin (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 2 h at 4°C. After washing with washing buffer (50 mM NaH2PO4, 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole) (pH 8.0)), it was eluted with elution buffer (50 mM NaH2PO4, 300 mM NaCl, 100 mM imidazole (pH 8.0), 50 mM NaH2PO4, 300 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole (pH 8.0)). After concentration, the buffer was changed with PBS. The purity of the Cas9 protein was confirmed by coomassie blue SDS-PAGE gel staining.

Confirmation of CRISPR Self Check-In
EGFP sgRNA targeting GFP (GAAGTTCGAGGGCGACACC) was synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT) Alt-R® CRISPR-Cas9 sgRNA at 10 nm scale. To generate Cas9 RNP, Cas9 protein and EGFP sgRNA were incubated in PBS buffer for 30 min at 37°C as described previously (31). EGFP targeting Cas9 RNP transfected into the GFP stable HeLa cell line using Neon® Transfection System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) or Lipofectamine™ CRISPRMAX™ Cas9 Transfection Reagent (Invitrogen) (32). The function of Cas9 fusion protein was confirmed by In Vitro Cleavage (IVC) test, T7E1 assay, confocal microscope and western blot analysis.

RT-qPCR
The total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Cat#15596018). The cDNA was amplified and quantified using SYBR Premix Ex Taq (Takara, #RR420L) with the following primers: EH2_H_F: 5'-CCC TGA CCT CTG TCT TAC TTG A -3', EH2_R: 5'-AGC TCA GAT GGT GCC AGC AAT A -3', KRAS_F: 5'-CAGTACACAAAAACAGGCTCAG-3', KRAS_R: 5'-TGTCCGATCTCCCTCACCAATG-3', GAPDH_F: 5'-GTC AGT GGT GGA CCT GAC CT-3', GAPDH_R: 5'-AAA GGT GGA GGA GTG GGT GT-3'. Real-time PCR was performed using the Applied Biosystems 7500 real-time cyclers as described previously (17).

Western blot
Antibodies specific for Cas9 (sc-517386), His (sc-8036), GFP (SC-9996) were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology and EZH2 (3147), ERK (9102), pERK (9101) were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology. The images were obtained using an iBright Western Blot Imaging System (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

MicroRNA isolation, MicroRNA cDNA synthesis, MicroRNA RT-qPCR and MicroRNA inhibitors
MicroRNA was extracted using RNAzol RT (Sigma, Cat#R4533) as described previously (17). After isolation, microRNA was converted into cDNA templates using the MystiCq miRNA cDNA Synthesis Mix Kit (Sigma, Cat#MIRRRT). The amplification of miRNA cDNAs was quantified in real-time SYBR Green RT-qPCR reactions using a MystiCq miRNA Universal PCR Primer and individual MystiCq miRNA qPCR Assay Primer by the Applied Biosystems 7500 real-time cyclers (Applied Biosystems). AccuTarget™ Human miRNA-21 Inhibitor (Bioneer, #M10000077), a single-stranded synthetic inhibitor with a complementary sequence to target human microRNA, was used in microRNA loss-of-function studies.

Human cell lines
Human cancer cell lines (HeLa, Calu-3, H827, A549, H358, H1299, MCF-10A, MDA-MB-231, BT-20, HCC1937 and MCF7) were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and maintained in EMEM supplemented with 10% FBS (GIBCO BRL) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO2 as described previously (33). GFP stable sublines of HeLa were selected by G418. All cell lines were authenticated by the ATCC and were consistent with their presumptive identity.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC)
For the IHC, the tumors were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight, embedded in paraffin. The tumor sections were incubated with anti-EZH2 (Thermo Fisher, Catalog #36-6300) primary antibodies in the blocking solution at 4°C overnight as described previously (17). For the secondary antibody, HRP-labeled anti-rabbit IgG (Cell Signaling Technology, #14406) was incubated at room tem-
perature for 1 h. The samples were reacted with a 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB) kit (Vector Labs, sk-4100).

Immunofluorescence

For immunofluorescence staining, cells were seeded in eight-well slides (Ibidi #80826) with 104 cells/500 µl. mRNA bridge mimetics platform was formed in three steps. Firstly, 6 µl of NES-DBCO (100 µM) was conjugated with 2 µl of Azido-Oligo (100 µM) for 30 min at 37°C. Secondly, NES oligo complex was fused with 0.6 µl of Cas9 (0.5 µg/µl) overnight at 4°C. Finally, NES-oligo-Cas9 fusion protein was combined with 1.2 µl of sgRNA (0.1 µg/µl) for 30 min at 37°C. Lipofectamine CRISPRMAX was mixed with Cas9 complex (ThermoFisher #CMAX0008) for cell transfection, and 25 µl/well of complete form was treated to cells. To measure the nuclear translocation of Cas9 RNP, immunoreaction was performed with anti-His (Abcam, ab18184) for Cas9 and anti-Flag (Sigma, F7425) for Ago2 in 3% (w/v) BSA solution at 4°C overnight. After washing, the samples were incubated for 1 h at room temperature with Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-mouse IgG (Invitrogen, A11001; 1:100) and Alexa Fluor 594 donkey anti-rabbit IgG (Invitrogen, A21207; 1:100) as described previously (17). pCK-Flag-Ago2 was transfected with A549 cells 48 h before CRISPR Self Check-In system transfection, for immunostaining in vivo, the tumor sections were incubated with anti-EZH2 (Cell Signaling Technology, # 3147S) and detected by Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-mouse IgG (Invitrogen, A11001; 1:100). The sections were mounted with DAPI mounting medium (Vector, H-1200). The fluorescent signals were visualized using a confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss, LSM700).

Viability assay

The cell viability was measured using CellTiter-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay (Promega, Cat#G7570) by GloMax Discover Multimode Microplate Reader (Promega).

T7E1 assay

Genomic DNA was extracted using the G-DEX IIc Genomic DNA Extraction Kit (iNtRON) after 3 days of transfection. Cas9 target sites were PCR amplified using primer pairs listed below. The amplicons were denatured by heating and annealed to form heteroduplex DNA, which was treated with 5 units of T7 endonuclease 1 (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) for 20 min at 37°C and then analyzed by 2.5% agarose gel electrophoresis. EZH2_27e1_F: 5'-GCT TAT TGG TGA GAG GGG TCT -3', EZH2_27e1_R: 5'-GTG GCA AAC ATG ATC ATC CT -3', EZH2_27long_F: 5'-TAT ATG CCA CAG AAG CAG AGC C -3', EZH2_27long_R: 5'-TCC CAA ACT CCA CTT GAT GAT CC -3', EZH2_27short_F: 5'-GCC CAG GTT CAG TCC CTT ATA G -3', EZH2_27short_R: 5'-GCT TAT TGG TGA GAG GGG TCT -3'.

Targeted deep sequencing

Genomic DNA segments that encompass the nuclease target sites were amplified using Phusion polymerase (New England Biolabs). Equal amounts of the PCR amplicons were subjected to pair-end read sequencing using Illumina MiSeq. Rare sequence reads that constituted <0.005% of the total reads were excluded. Rates of insertions or deletions were analyzed by Cas-Analyzer (34).

Animal study

Five-week-old immunodeficient nude mice (nu/nu) were maintained in pressurized ventilated cages. For ex vivo xenograft, A549 cells were transfected with Cas9 RNPs using Lipofectamine CRISPRMAX™ and Cisplatin and/or Cas9 RNPs using Lipofectamine CRISPRMAX™ were administered by intratumoral injection after 14 days of the inoculation. Tumor volume was regularly monitored every 4 days after treatment. Treatment volume was measured at the beginning of treatment and then monitored regularly. Tumor volume (V) was calculated by using the following modified ellipsoidal formula: V = 0.5 × length × width² as described previously (33). All studies were performed with the approval of the Animal Care and Use Committee of the Korea Institute of Science and Technology and Korea Animal Protection Law.

Statistical analysis

All numerical values are presented as means ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 8.4.3. A P-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

mRNA bridge mimetics and its therapeutic application in Cas9 protein, CRISPR Self Check-In

CRISPR Self Check-In is a ribonucleoprotein (RNP) composed of a Cas9 endonuclease and mRNA bridge mimetics containing an NES (Figure 1B). To predict the structure of CRISPR Self Check-In, we modelled the structures of Cas9-mRNA bridge mimetics 1X repeat-NES (CRISPR Self Check-In 1X) and Cas9-mRNA bridge mimetics 3X repeats-NES (CRISPR Self Check-In 3X). The N-terminal region of our Cas9 system, from the Met1 to His14 residue, forms a helix and is extruded out from the main Cas9 domain via a flexible loop, which ranges from the Glu15 to Lys19 residue (Figure 1C and D). Thus, the coupled mRNA bridge mimetics were almost completely exposed to the solvent, which allowed a complementary miRNA strand to approach and recognize the mRNA bridge mimetics. The unpaired mRNA bridge mimetics were predicted to form twisted helical structures. The electrostatic maps show that the mRNA structure is highly negatively charged, whereas...
the groove of Cas9 is highly positively charged (Figure 1D). In both modelled structures, the NES peptides are completely exposed to the solvent. This suggests that the unpaired strands and the NES peptide are recognizable and fully functional in the cytoplasm.

mRNA bridge mimetics for Cas9 protein

In the design of CRISPR Self Check-In, the translocation of Cas9 into the nucleus is programmed by mRNA bridge mimetics. In CRISPR Self Check-In, the avidin tagged Cas9 interacts with the biotinylated mRNA bridge mimetics. For the experiment, an NES peptide modified by either dibenzocyclooctyne (DBCO) or azide at the N- or C-terminus was synthesized and confirmed by high-performance liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry analysis (Figure 2A and Supplementary Figure S1). The miR-21 expression has been shown in many diseases including cancers (35–40). Therefore, the 1X or 3X repeated complementary sequence of human miRNA hsa-miR-21-5p (H1X or H3X) for disease cells and mouse miRNA mmu-miR-294-3p (M1X or M3X) as negative controls were tested as mRNA bridge mimetics (Table S1). The oligonucleotide was synthesized with the completely complementary sequence of miRNAs and modified by either azide or DBCO at the 5′ end and biotinylated at the 3′ end. Next, we confirmed the reaction between the NES peptide and the oligonucleotide by a pull-down assay with streptavidin beads. Then, the yield was measured by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and bicinchoninic acid assay. As shown in Figure 2B and Supplementary Figure S2a, the highest yield of the reaction was obtained from the NES peptide modified at the C-terminus with DBCO, and 3X repeats of miRNA cleavable oligonucleotides. To confirm the cleavage of the mRNA bridge mimetics, a single-stranded RNA (ssRNA) reporter with the same sequence of H1X was synthesized, and the intensity of the fluorescence emitted by the cleaved reporter was measured (Figure 2C). The cleavage of mRNA bridge mimetics was increased with the dose of miRNA mimics in a time-dependent manner in A549 cells. To confirm the versatility of the mRNA bridge mimetics, a single-stranded RNA (ssRNA) reporter with a complementary sequence of human miRNA hsa-miR-19a-5p was also synthesized. Fluorescence following the cleavage of the mRNA bridge mimetics by miR-19a mimics increases in a dose and time-dependent manner in A549 cells (Supplementary Figure S2b). The specificity of the cleavage of mRNA bridge mimetics was also tested using a recombinant plasmid containing the complementary sequence of either miR-21 or miR-294. A luciferase assay was performed to quantify the cleavage after the construct was transfected into HeLa cells (41), which express high levels of miR-21 and not miR-294 (Figure 2D and Supplementary Figure S2c). The decreased luciferase activity mediated by miR-21 was observed for H1X and H3X but not for M1X and M3X, indicating that the designed miRNA complementary oligonucleotides exhibited target specificity. Additionally, we also observed that the stability of oligonucleotides was decreased with the increasing number of oligonucleotide repeats (Figure 2D and Supplementary Figure S2b). The cumulative luciferase activity of CON, M1X, and M3X was detected for up to 72 h (Figure 2E).

For the endonuclease function, the Cas9 was cloned and prepared for interaction with the biotinylated mRNA bridge mimetics (Supplementary Figure S3a and S3b). As shown in Figure 2F, the expression of Cas9 was confirmed after transfection into HeLa cells by a pull-down assay. We also observed that approximately 90% of the Cas9 was degraded in 24 h after transfection into the cells (Figure 2G and H). Finally, the Cas9 protein was able to knock out green fluorescent protein (GFP) in GFP-stable HeLa cells (Figure 21 and J) (32). Together, the function of mRNA bridge mimetics combined with Cas9 as components of CRISPR Self Check-In were confirmed.

mRNA bridge mimetics controls CRISPR Self Check-In translocation into the nucleus by cytosolic miRNAs

CRISPR Self Check-In is a newly designed RNP composed of Cas9 endonuclease and mRNA bridge mimetics. The mRNA bridge mimetics programmed for the cleavage only triggered by miR-21 (N-H1X or N-H3X) was first assembled as CRISPR Self Check-In for genome modification in GFP-stable HeLa cells. As expected, only N-H1X and N-H3X programmed CRISPR Self Check-In targeting GFP was able to knock out GFP after transfection using Lipofectamine™ CRISPRMAX™ Cas9 Transfection Reagent (Invitrogen) in the GFP-stable HeLa cells (Figure 3A and B). In contrast, for N-M1X and N-M3X, the CRISPR Self Check-Ins programmed for activation by mouse miR-294 were not able to knock out GFP, indicating the controlled activation of CRISPR Self Check-In. Consistent with this data, the programmability of CRISPR Self Check-In for controlled genome modification was also confirmed by a biochemical assay (Figure 3C and D).

To prove the hypothesis that CRISPR Self Check-In translocates into the nucleus for genome editing when the mRNA bridge mimetics containing the NES peptide is cleaved off by the complementary miRNA, we traced the localization of CRISPR Self Check-In programmed for miR-21 after transfection using Lipofectamine™ CRISPRMAX™ Cas9 Transfection Reagent (Invitrogen) into A549 cells. As shown in Figure 3E and F, the conventional Cas9 was translocated into the nucleus in 3 h, whereas the translocation of N-H1X was completed in 12 h. As expected, the N-M1X-Cas9 programmed for mouse microRNA-294 was not able to translocate into the nucleus. The translocated CRISPR Self Check-In was degraded within 24 h (Supplementary Figure S4).

Additionally, we confirmed the specificity of the cleavage of mRNA bridge mimetics in CRISPR Self Check-In by testing other miRNAs with different levels of expression in A549 cells. The miR-19a and miR-21 expressed at low and high levels in HeLa cells, respectively, were confirmed by both miRNA quantitative PCR and luciferase miRNA activity assay (Figure 3G and H). To test its specificity in translocation and gene editing, the CRISPR Self Check-In programmed for miR-19a and miR-21 were transfected with sgRNA-targeting GFP in GFP-stable HeLa cells. Only CRISPR Self Check-In programmed for translocation by miR-21 was able to knock out GFP, confirming both the specificity and programmability of the function of mRNA bridge mimetics (Figure 3I). The mRNA bridge mimetics
Figure 2. mRNA bridge mimetics for CRISPR Self Check-In. (A) The reaction between NES and mRNA bridge mimetics via click chemistry of dibenzocyclooctyne (DBCO) and azide. NES (N)-DBCO was not synthesized. (B) The yield of the reaction between NES and mRNA bridge mimetics was evaluated by BCA assay via streptavidin pull-down using biotin from mRNA bridge mimetics. NC: Negative control, N-H1X: NES conjugated oligonucleotides which present a Human miR-21 target site 1X, N-H3X: NES conjugated oligonucleotides which present a Human miR-21 target site 3X, N-M1X: NES conjugated oligonucleotides which present a Mouse miR-294 target site 1X, N-M3X: NES conjugated oligonucleotides which present a Mouse miR-294 target site 3X. Sequences are provided in Supplemental Table S1. (C) Fluorescence following the cleavage of the mRNA bridge mimetics by miRNA mimics increases in a dose- and time-dependent manner in A549 cells. The N- and C-terminus of mRNA bridge mimetics were modified by the FAM reporter-dye (F) and the Iowa Black quencher (Q), respectively. λex = 475 nm, λem = 500-550 nm. (D) The cleavage specificity of mRNA bridge mimetics are verified through recombinant luciferase vector including human miRNA target sequence. After 24 h of the vector transfection into HeLa cells, the luciferase activity was measured for each construct. (E) The luciferase activity was measured and summed up to each point to show the total cleavage of mRNA bridge mimetics by time. (F) The functionality of the recombinant Cas9 proteins was confirmed by biotin beads pull-down assay. (G) Most of the Cas9 recombinant proteins were degraded in HeLa cells within 24 h after the transfection. (H) Quantification of Cas9 signal intensity normalized by actin in (G). (I and J) Nuclease activity of the Cas9 was confirmed by reducing GFP protein expression in HeLa GFP stable cells. Bars indicated 100 μm. All experiments in the present study were performed in triplicate. Error bars represent SD over biological replicates. P values were obtained using One-way ANOVA. ns; no statistical significance, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 and ****P < 0.0001.
Figure 3. mRNA bridge mimetics to control translocation of CRISPR Self Check-In into the nucleus by miRNAs. (A, C) Knock-out of GFP by Cas9 (PC) or CRISPR Self Check-In (N-H1X and N-H3X) was observed in HeLa-GFP stable cells expressing miR-21, not miR-294 after 24 h of the transfection. Green = GFP protein, Bars indicated 100 μm. (B, D) The relative signal intensity of GFP in (A) and (C) normalized by actin respectively. (E) Nuclear translocation was observed in A549 cells after the Cas9 protein (Con) or the CRISPR Self Check-In (N-H1X) transfection and the fixation at 12 h. Arrow indicates the translocation of Cas9 into the nucleus. Bars indicated 20 μm. (F) The quantification of nuclear translocation from (E). (G) Relative miR-19a and miR-21 expression in HeLa-GFP stable cells. (H) The cleavage of mRNA bridge mimetics measured by luciferase expression in HeLa-GFP stable cells. (I) Knock-out of GFP by CRISPR Self Check-In triggered by human miR-21 in HeLa-GFP stable cells. (J) Schematics of the disease-specific operation of CRISPR Self Check-In. All experiments in the present study were performed in triplicate. Error bars represent SD over biological replicates. *P values were obtained using unpaired Student’s t-test. ns; no statistical significance, ***P < 0.001 and ****P < 0.0001.
integrated CRISPR Self Check-In RNP was capable of directing translocation into the nucleus by cytosolic miRNAs. The ability of CRISPR Self Check-In for controlled genome modification was also confirmed (Figure 3J).

**Ago-dependent mechanism of mRNA bridge mimetics and CRISPR Self Check-In operation**

Loading miRNAs into the Ago protein to form a RISC is essential for its pairing with target mRNAs for cleavage. To test the Ago dependency of mRNA bridge mimetics, we first confirmed the co-localization of Ago2 and CRISPR Self Check-In in the cytoplasm after the transfection of the platform in Ago2-overexpressing A549 cells. Ago2 was shown to coexist in the cytoplasm with CRISPR Self Check-In 6 h after transfection (Figure 4A). Interestingly, we observed that N-H1X-Cas9 RNP was localized both in the cytoplasm and nucleus, whereas N-M1X-Cas9 RNPs were only localized in the cytoplasm 6 h after transfection, indicating selective translocation of CRISPR Self Check-In by specific miRNAs. Furthermore, we also noticed that the translocation of N-H1X-Cas9 RNPs programmed for miR-21 into the nucleus occurred in 6 h, whereas it took 12 h in A549 cells that do not overexpress Ago2, implicating the accelerated cleavage of mRNA bridge mimetics by Ago2. The translocation of CRISPR Self Check-In was even shown 3 h after the transfection in Ago2 overexpressing-A549 cells, which strongly supported the Ago2-dependent mechanism of CRISPR Self Check-In (Figures 3E and 4B). Next, we modelled the structures of the CRISPR Self Check-In:mRNA-Ago complex (Figure 4C). The modelled structure revealed that the attachment of human Ago2 is possible in CRISPR Self Check-In despite the existence of a large Cas9 domain. The double-helical structure of the mRNA and miRNA duplex may protrude from the Cas9 domain. The modelled structure of Ago2 and the RNA double helix clearly showed that the Ago2 domain is readily accessible to the RNA duplex without significant hindrance by Cas9, suggesting that Ago2 is able to cleave the target mRNA. Additionally, the existence of NES does not hinder the binding of Ago2 to the RNA double helix.

To confirm these Ago-dependent mechanics further, the binding affinity between CRISPR Self Check-In and Ago2 was estimated with MD simulations and MM-GBSA calculations. The binding affinity between CRISPR Self Check-In and Ago2 was estimated to be -400.3 kcal/mol indicating that they would form strong attractive interactions spontaneously. The large absolute value of binding affinity is a well-known limitation of MM-GBSA that overestimates the electrostatic interactions between proteins and nucleic acids. However, a large-scale benchmark study shows that the results of MM-GBSA calculations are well-correlated with experimental pKd values. The protein-RNA complex systems whose binding affinities are greater than -300 kcal/mol have higher pKd values than 10.0 in general (42).

**mRNA bridge mimetics as a novel switch platform for controlled genome editing of CRISPR Self Check-In**

mRNA bridge mimetics utilized the aberrant expression of miRNAs, commonly observed in disease conditions, as an intracellular trigger to direct translocation of CRISPR Self Check-In into the nucleus for controlled gene editing. Modulation of miR-21 expression has been shown in many diseases including cancers (35–40). Among the underlying mechanism associated with miR-21 regulation, ELK1 has been shown to activate miR-21 transcription by direct binding to the miRNA proximal promoter region, thereby involved in lung tumorigenesis (37). In addition, MEK-ERK1/2-Elk-1 pathway leads to a well-known oncogene, EZH2, overexpression in breast cancer cells (43). Therefore, we hypothesized that miR-21 may regulate EZH2 expression in cancers. First, we checked the expression of an oncogenic miRNA, miR-21, which is detected in human cancers and several lung and breast cancer cell lines (Figure 5A). Consistent with the previous report, the cells with high miR-21 expression showed the enhanced expression of a well-known oncogene, EZH2, in cancer cells (Figure 5A) (39). Also, we observed that EZH2 mRNA expression increased in a dose-dependent manner when a miR-21 mimic was transfected in A549 cells (Figure 5B). We also confirmed a dose-dependent decrease in EZH2 expression when miR-21 specific inhibitors were transfected in A549 cells (Figure 5C, S5a and b). KRAS has been considered one of the most promising targets in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). It has been shown that miR-21 expression is significantly enhanced in NSCLC and KRAS induces lung tumorigenesis through miR-21 modulation (37). Also, overexpression of miR-21 leads to enhances KRAS-mediated lung tumorigenesis, whereas genetic deletion of miR-21 partially protects against tumorigenesis (44). We compared the KRAS mRNA and miR-21 expression in lung and breast cancer cells and found that the cells with high miR-21 expression tend to show enhanced mRNA expression of KRAS (Figure 5D). After then, we confirmed that CRISPR Self Check-In targeting KRAS using mRNA bridge mimetics cleavable by miR-21 (NH-1X) is able to knockout KRAS while CRISPR Self Check-In targeting KRAS using mRNA bridge mimetics cleavable by miR-294 (NM-1X) fails to knockout KRAS in A549 cells (Figure 5E). Through these results, we confirmed that CRISPR Self Check-In can target miR-21-KRAS pair and also be possibly applicable to different combinations of miR-target gene pairs. To analyse controlled gene editing by miRNA expression, we selected Calu-3, A549, and H1299 cells as representatives of cells expressing miR-21 at low, medium, and high levels, respectively. Next, we checked the rates of nuclear translocation of CRISPR Self Check-In by miR-21 using Lipofectamine™ CRISPRMAX™ Cas9 Transfection Reagent (Invitrogen). After we confirmed the delivery efficiency of Cas9 protein in Calu-3, A549, and H1299 cells, we found that no translocation of CRISPR Self Check-In was observed in Calu-3 cells with low miR-21 expression for up to 12 h (Supplementary Figures S6, 5F and G). However, the nuclear translocation was detected at 12 h after the transfection in A549 cells with medium expression of miR-21 and at 6 h with the high expression of miR-21 after the transfection in H1299 cells. This result demonstrated the miRNA level-based control of CRISPR Self Check-In translocation. Consistent with the data showing miRNA expression-dependent translocation, CRISPR Self Check-In also showed miRNA expression-dependent gene editing (Figure 5F–I, Supplementary Figure S3c and d).
Figure 4. Ago-dependent operation of mRNA bridge mimetics in CRISPR Self Check-In. (A) Co-localization of Ago2 and CRISPR Self Check-In in the cytoplasm and programmed nuclear translocation of Cas9 RNPs triggered by endogenous human miR-216 after the transfection in A549 cells. 2X Magnification = 2X Magnification of the squares enclosed the nucleus. (B) Accelerated nuclear translocation of CRISPR Self Check-In by the exogenous expression of Ago2 observed 3 h after the transfection in A549 cells. Arrow indicates induced translocation of Cas9 into the nucleus using N-H1X by miRNA-21 (Top) and no translocation of Cas9 into the nucleus using N-M1X (Bottom). Bars indicated 20 μm. (C) The model structure of the CRISPR Self Check-In:miRNA-Ago2 complex. The Ago2 protein is shown in yellow. The mRNA and miRNA are shown in red and blue, respectively. The Cas9 protein is shown in red and the NES peptide is shown in green. All experiments in the present study were performed in triplicate. Error bars represent SD over biological replicates. P values were obtained using unpaired Student’s t-test. ns; no statistical significance, ***P < 0.001.

The potential of mRNA bridge mimetics in therapeutic applications

Finally, the potential of mRNA bridge mimetics in therapeutic applications was tested by the controlled gene editing of CRISPR Self Check-In and its biological effects in lung cancer cells in vitro. EZH2-targeting CRISPR Self Check-In decreased cancer cell viability by inhibition of ERK signaling pathway (Figure 6A and B). The knock-out of EZH2 was also well-controlled, as evidenced by the selective gene editing with CRISPR Self Check-In programmed for human miR-21 and not with that for mouse miR-294 (Figure 6B and C). The potential of CRISPR Self Check-In to be employed for anti-cancer therapeutics was explored by determining the anti-tumour efficacy of EZH2-targeting CRISPR Self Check-In via selective gene editing in vivo (Figure 6D–F). Only the cancer cells treated with EZH2-targeting CRISPR Self Check-In by the human miR-21, and not mouse miR-294, showed the decreased tumorigenic potential compared to the cells treated with control in A549 lung cancer xenograft (Figure 6A–F). The precise gene-editing system and its therapeutic efficacy were also confirmed by the selective knockout of the EZH2 gene and its associated signaling molecules (Figure 6F).

Acquired resistance is a general phenomenon in chemotherapy and the efficacy of cisplatin-based chemotherapy in lung cancer is limited by the occurrence of innate and acquired drug resistance. Therefore, identifying the relevant resistance mechanisms operating in the presence of cisplatin in lung cancer cells can improve the clinical efficacy of targeted cancer drugs. It has been shown that EZH2 is involved in cisplatin resistance in cancers (45). We observed an enhanced expression of miR-21 and EZH2 with an increasing time of cisplatin treatment in lung cancer cells (Figure 6G, H, and Supplementary Figure S7). Combinatorial treatment of EZH2-targeting CRISPR Self Check-In with cisplatin enhanced therapeutic efficacy in the cancer cells (Figure 6I). Finally, we tested any synergistic effect of cisplatin treatment with EZH2 suppression by CRISPR Self Check-In for lung cancer treatment. The combinatorial treatment of cisplatin and EZH2-targeting CRISPR Self Check-In showed a synergistic anti-tumour effect in vivo (Figure 6L–P). Interestingly, an enhanced expression of EZH2 was observed in the cisplatin treatment group, which explained the mechanism underlying the synergistic effect of the combinatorial treatment of cisplatin and the CRISPR Self Check-In system (Figure 6L–P). Taken together, we demonstrated the potential of
Figure 5. mRNA bridge mimetics as a novel switch platform for controlled gene editing of CRISPR Self Check-In. (A) MiR-21 and EZH2 mRNA expression in human cancer cell lines. (B) Increased mRNA expression of EZH2 with the treatment of increasing conc. of miR-21 mimic in A549 cells. (C) Decreased EZH2 protein level with the treatment of increasing conc. of miR-21 inhibitor in A549 cells. (D) KRAS mRNA expression in human cancer cell lines. (E) Immunofluorescence staining showing miRNA-21 specific k-o of KRAS using CRISPR Self Check-In targeting miR-21-KRAS axis in A549 cells. (F) Different patterns of N-H1X conjugated Cas9 translocation to the nucleus in Calu-3, A549, and H1299 cells were detected under observations after 6 and 12 h. Arrows indicate the translocation of Cas9 into the nucleus. (G) Quantification of detected translocation of Cas9 into the nucleus in (F). (H) WB for Knock-out of EZH2 by CRISPR Self Check-In in miRNA conc. dependent manner. (I) Quantification of EZH2 signal intensity normalized by actinin (G). All experiments in the present study were performed in triplicate. Error bars represent SD over biological replicates. P values were obtained using One-way ANOVA and unpaired Student’s t-test. ns; no statistical significance, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 and ****P < 0.0001.
Figure 6. The potential of mRNA bridge mimetics in therapeutic applications. (A and B) The CRISPR Self Check-In targeting EZH2 decreased human A549 cell viability after 72 h of transfection in vitro. The cell viability was decreased through inhibition of the ERK signaling pathway by EZH2 knock-out. (C) T7E1 analysis shows the controlled genome editing by CRISPR Self Check-In in vitro. Indel frequency was measured by Targeted Deep Sequencing. (D and E) The decreased tumorigenic potential via selective gene editing was confirmed at 28 days after the inoculation of A549 cells transfected by CRISPR Self Check-In targeting EZH2 in vivo. (F) WB for EZH2 knockout by CRISPR Self Check-In in vivo shown in D and E (G) Increase of miR-21 expression by cisplatin treatment (10 μM) in A549 cells. (H) Increase of EZH2 expression by cisplatin treatment (10 μM) in A549 cells. (I) Combinatorial treatment of cisplatin (10 μM) and CRISPR Self Check-In targeting EZH2 in vitro enhances anti-cancer efficacy in A549 cells after 72 h of transfection in vitro. (J and K) Combinatorial treatment of cisplatin with CRISPR Self Check-In targeting EZH2 after 2 weeks of A549 inoculation into the mouse increases anti-tumour efficacy in vivo. (L) WB for EZH2 knockout by CRISPR Self Check-In in vivo shown in J and K (M and N) Decrease of enhanced EZH2 expression induced by cisplatin with CRISPR Self Check-In treatment in vivo shown by immunohistochemistry. Bars indicated 200 μm. (O and P) Immunofluorescence staining of EZH2. Green: EZH2, Blue: DAPI. Bars indicated 100 μm. All experiments in the present study were performed in triplicate. Error bars represent SD over biological replicates. P values were obtained using One-way ANOVA. ns; no statistical significance, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 and ****P < 0.0001.
CRISPR Self Check-In as a new therapeutic platform for both controlled gene editing with enhanced safety and combinatorial therapy with existing therapeutics against lung cancers.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we successfully developed mRNA bridge mimetics as a switch platform to regulate the translocation of proteins and applied it to control the nucleus translocation of Cas9 protein, thereby exhibiting disease-specific, controlled genome modification. The mRNA bridge mimetics platform was capable of sensing a specific miRNA and being cleaved off by miRNA-Ago complexes. The programmability of the mRNA bridge mimetics was achieved when there was complete complementation between the miRNA and the customized oligonucleotide sequences in it. Cell and tissue-specific activation of Cas9 protein has been extensively explored for precise genome editing. While several methods that combine CRISPR RNP with extrinsic signals have been developed, the potential of the system to respond to disease-specific intrinsic signals has not been widely explored. Even though a few miRNA-responsive CRISPR-Cas9 platforms have been found, the regulation of CRISPR RNP is absent (46). The CRISPR Self Check-In system was designed such that the translocation of Cas9 protein was programmed by mRNA bridge mimetics. As the mRNA bridge mimetics contain the NES that inhibits nuclear localization of Cas9, it allows CRISPR Self Check-In to be activated for gene editing only after the cleavage of mRNA bridge mimetics in the cytoplasm. We also elucidated the underlying mechanism of CRISPR Self Check-In via molecular dynamics simulation by showing that miRNA-Ago complexes have access to the mRNA bridge mimetics, which are exposed on the outer surface of CRISPR Self Check-In. Finally, we proposed that the CRISPR Self Check-In may be used as an alternate strategy to currently available cisplatin-based therapies for lung cancers. The EZH2-targeting CRISPR Self Check-In showed significant synergistic anti-tumor efficacy with a low dose of cisplatin, demonstrating a new strategy for effective and precise lung cancer treatment.

Our platform is based on peptide-oligonucleotide conjugates that are molecular composites containing a nucleic acid moiety linked to a polypeptide moiety by click reaction. RNA and peptide conjugates have been constructed for various therapeutic applications such as the delivery of a siRNA-based drug (47) and the screening of peptide libraries in an mRNA display method (48). Because the step-by-step solid-phase synthesis of the conjugates faces difficulties in finding the compatible protecting groups for both nucleotide bases and amino-acid side chains, post-synthetic conjugations of the respective nucleotides and peptides are the general and reliable coupling method to construct peptide-oligonucleotide conjugates. NES conjugated mRNA bridge mimetics are open to being coupled to a variety of drug moieties including small peptide drugs compared to large Cas9 proteins. However, it would also be helpful for the drug delivery of our RNA-peptide or protein conjugates such as self-assembled scaffold based nanostructure or an efficient viral delivery system of functional RNP into the cell (49,50).

Exploiting the potential of a cell’s natural biology may uncover novel approaches to treat diseases. Innate sensing-mediated regulatory tools such as mRNA bridge mimetics could provide new switch platforms for this purpose. CRISPR Self Check-In is one of the promising applications of mRNA bridge mimetics to overcome the off-target activities of CRISPR-Cas9. Although the coverage of our current platform is limited to cells expressing one specific miRNA or cells with elevated miRNA due to drug resistance, we suggest that our mRNA bridge mimic platform is not restricted to a combination with Cas9, but can be applied to upregulate other transcription factors or co-regulators in the nucleus. However, substantial screening will be required for its application to specific conditions. By harnessing the innate mechanism of mRNA decay machinery, therapeutic agents other than CRISPR-Cas9, such as small molecules and peptides can also be selectively delivered. mRNA bridge mimetics may need further stability optimization for various applications. The mRNA bridge mimetics strategy is promising for applications in which the reaction must be controlled via intrinsic stimuli, and for Cas9 proteins to ensure precise genome modification in diseased conditions. Taken together, we demonstrate that the disease-specific translocation of Cas9 by naturally changed endogenous miRNA levels in cancer cells adds another layer to therapeutic applications of orchestrated heterogeneity in cancer. Our findings highlight the fact that miRNA biology may be employed for disease-specific regulation of target genes, and this technology may safely control the delivery of therapeutics in patients without a definite prior diagnosis of the disease.
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