ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to determine the ecological conditions and distribution of gemor bark producing tree species at Tuanan village in Kapuas District, Central Kalimantan Province and Long Daliq village in Kutai Barat, East Kalimantan Province. In order to collect adequate vegetation data, several observation plots were laid out by using purposive sampling. Primary and secondary data were collected from the plot areas by observing directly the habitat and its ecological condition of vegetation. It was revealed that the gemor tree species tended to grow well on the habitats which have a thin layer of peat (< 2 m), pH 3 – 4 and in a humid climatic condition. Two gemor bark producing tree species were identified in the study areas, namely Nothaphoebe coriacea (Kosterm.) Kosterm. and N. umbelliflora Blume. The similarity level of vegetation composition at both sites (Kapuas and Kutai Barat Districts) was low. The tree species richness in the plot areas of Tuanan in Kapuas District, Central Kalimantan (82 species, 57 genera and 28 families) was higher than that found in Long Daliq, Kutai Barat District, East Kalimantan (38 species, 26 genera and 19 families).
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I. INTRODUCTION

Gemor is a vernacular or trade name of gemor-bark-producing tree species (or gemor tree), which belongs to genus Nothaphoebe of the family Lauraceae. The species has been commonly identified as belonging to the genus Alseodaphne in the same family (Effendi et al., 1997; Effendi, 2001; Zulnely and Martono, 2003). Locally they are called as gemor, menuk (Kutai, Dayak Tunjung) or tempuloh (Dayak Bahau), which cover Nothaphoebe coriacea and N. umbelliflora. According to Sosef et al. (1998), Nothaphoebe coriacea occurs in Peninsular Malaysia, Singapore and Indonesia (Sumatra and Kalimantan); while Nothaphoebe umbelliflora occurs in Indo-China, Thailand, Peninsular Malaysia, Singapore, Indonesia (Sumatra, Java, Kalimantan) and Papua New Guinea. In Indonesia,
the two species are found naturally in swampy forests of Sumatra and Kalimantan. Unfortunately, so far there is no attempt to cultivate these species.

The bark of gemor is used as material for insecticide, hio (a stick used for budha’s ritual) and glue (Arsad and Suroto, 1992; Rahmanto et al., 2001; Zulnely and Martono, 2003). In Central Kalimantan, gemor bark has been exploited since 1970’s. The areas where abundant gemor trees occured are: (1) Pangkoh village, sub-district of Maliku in Kapuas District, (2) Bantanan village, sub-district of Sebangau in Palangkaraya District, (3) Tumbang Nusa village, sub-district of Jabiren Raya in Pulang Pisau District, (4) Taruna village, also in sub-district of Jabirin Raya, Pulang Pisau District, and (5) Kahayan village, sub-district of Kurun in Gunung Mas District.

The production of gemor bark is commonly estimated based on the size of stem diameter. Based on interview with gemor bark collectors at Taruna village (Jabirin Raya sub-district, Pulang Pisau District, Central Kalimantan Province) proportion of stem diameter to bark is as follows: (1) trees with 8 cm in diameter produced 10 kg barks in wet condition, (2) trees with 9 - 12 cm in diameter produced 25 kg barks in wet condition, and (3) trees with > 12 cm in diameter produced 10 sacks (sack size of 25 kg) (Rostiwati, 2008).

There are two varieties of N. coriacea producing gemor- barks, i.e. (1) trees having rather dark color barks, which are easy to be peeled off, thick and produce more abundant of sticky-jelly like sap, and (2) trees having yellowish red barks, which are difficult to be peeled off, thinner and produce less sticky-jelly like sap. The population of trees having yellowish red bark is only 20% of the total number of gemor trees observed in Central Kalimantan Province (Rostiwati, 2008). In most cases, local communities prefer to collect bark of N. coriacea rather than N. umbelliflora.

According to the Provincial Forestry Service of Central Kalimantan, in 2002 the total production of gemor bark was 39.12 tons and decreased to 4.44 tons in 2003. The price of dry bark was Rp 4000.-kg⁻¹ (10 kg of dry bark is equal to 20 kg of wet bark). Nowadays, to get the trees with diameter above 12 cm in the forest is almost impossible. The dry barks are transported and sold to bigger companies in Banjarmasin (South Kalimantan) and Palangkaraya (Central Kalimantan) and then to Surabaya (East Java) where the final products are processed.

In general, local communities harvested the gemor’s bark by cutting the trees, then peeling them off. The barks are collected from all parts of stems and branches. This technique causes the decline of gemor trees in the wild, and therefore, gemor’s bark is no longer a source of income for the local communities. To prevent further decline, planting and cultivating the two tree species are urgently needed. In doing so, it is therefore need to evaluate the ecological conditions and distribution of these species. Information on ecological conditions and distribution of the species, which are currently unavailable, would be very necessary in deciding how planting should be implemented. The objective
of this study was to examine the ecological conditions and distribution of the *gemor* species.

**II. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**A. Site Description**

This study was conducted at two sites, i.e. Long Daliq, Kutai Barat District (East Kalimantan Province) and Tuanan, Kapuas District (Central Kalimantan Province), from March to December 2008. These two sites are considered as habitats of *gemor* in Kalimantan. Forests at Long Daliq are public forests managed by the Dayak Bahau tribe, located at 00°00’37.7” N latitude and 115°37’34.7” E longitude. In 1997/1998, *gemor* trees were often found around the Long Daliq and so many people collected *gemor* bark at that time. The *gemor* bark collection stopped by itself due to the harvesting technique by felling. The Tuanan forest is located at 02°09’09.0” S latitude and 114°26’48.0” E longitude. Tuanan research station was not far from the Kapuas river flow. This area was formerly a logging concession and was later converted into a conservation area for research activities. The forest type in this area is a secondary peat swamp forest.

![Figure 1. Location of the study sites](image)
B. Methods

Data were collected by observing the forest areas directly and establishing observation sample plots to obtain quantitative data of the two species as well as their habitats and ecology. The sample plots were designed purposively at the areas where the gemor trees occurred.

The data collection consisted of:

1. Primary Data

These include data on habitat and vegetation (forest community types), forest coverage (open, slightly open, dense forest), micro climate (temperature, humidity), light intensity.

The vegetation analysis was conducted in June and August 2008. A total of 11 square plots (5 in Long Daliq and 6 in Tuanan) were laid out randomly. The plot size varies from 20 m x 20 m for trees, 10 m x 10 m for poles, 5 m x 5 m for saplings and 2 m x 2 m for seedlings. Figure 2 shows the distribution of subplots in each plot. All plants in each square plot were counted and identified to the species level. Diameter at breast height (DBH) and height were measured and recorded. These data were used for computation of vegetation parameters including density, frequency, basal area and Important Value Index (IVI).

Herbarium specimens were collected in the field following an international standard for fertile sample. The sterilized sample was also collected as voucher for identification. Identification was done in the Wanariset Herbarium at Samboja, Balikpapan Indonesia.
The horizontal and vertical vegetation profile were made in a 20 m x 40 m plot for Long Daliq site.

Destructive sampling method was used to take soil sample. Soil samples were taken in two depth levels, i.e., top soil (0-20 cm) and sub soil (20-40 cm). Five points of soil samplings were composited in each plot, a total of 6 soil sampling plots (3 in Long Daliq and 3 in Tuanan) were established.

2. Secondary Data

These includes site biophysics (topography, geographical position, soil condition type, texture and soil fertility). Information following some literature studies were also compiled to support the findings.

C. Data Analysis

The IVI for the species was determined as the sum of the relative density, relative frequency and relative dominance (Soerianegara and Indrawan, 1998). The species diversity index (H’) was determined using Shannon-Wiener index (Shannon and Weaver, 1949). The species richness index (R) was determined using Margalef formula (Margalef, 1958). Concentration of dominance was measured by Simpson’s index (Simpson, 1949; Misra, 1980). Association of *gemor* with other species was analyzed by Ochiai index formula (Misra, 1980; Ludwig and Reynolds, 1988). Jaccard index was calculated for indicating similarity of vegetation composition within the habitat of *gemor* at Long Daliq and Tuanan.

Species richness index:

\[
R = \frac{S - 1}{\ln(NO)}
\]  

where \( R \) is species richness index, \( S \) is number of species and \( NO \) is total number of individual

Species diversity index:

\[
H' = -\sum \left[ \frac{ni}{N} \log \frac{ni}{N} \right]
\]  

where \( H' \) is species diversity index, \( ni \) is IVI species-i, and \( N \) is total IVI

Species dominance index:
where $C$ is species dominance index, $n_i$ is IVI species-1, and $N$ is total IVI



\[
O_i = \frac{a}{(\sqrt{a+b})(\sqrt{a+c})}
\]  

\[
J_i = \frac{a}{a+b+c} \times 100\%.
\]

where $a$ is sum of plot discovered species A and B, $b$ is sum of plot discovered species A but not species B, and $c$ is sum of plot discovered species B but not species A

Primary and secondary data were tabulated, classified and analyzed. Soil samples were analyzed at the Soil Laboratory, Soil Research Institute of the Ministry of Agriculture, Bogor.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Botanical Description

Based on herbarium specimens collected in the field, two species of gemor trees were identified, namely *Nothaphoebe coriacea* (Kosterm.) Kosterm. and *Nothaphoebe umbelliflora* Blume. These species belong to the family Lauraceae. The difference between these two species can be recognized from the color and thickness of the inner bark, which is pale yellow and thicker in *N. coriacea* and reddish in *N. umbelliflora*. The total height of the *N. coriacea* may reach up to 23 m and the stem diameter reaches 40 cm. They grow well in peat swamps with the depth up to 2.5 m (Whitmore *et al.*, 1990) (Figure 3).
Figure 3. Pictures of *Nothaphoebe coriacea* (Kosterm.) Kosterm: (a) trees, (b) bark, (c) flowers, (d) leaves, (e) & (f) leaves with buds

### B. Vegetation

Survey of vegetation in the natural habitat of *gemor* tree species at Long Daliq (East Kalimantan) and Tuanan (Central Kalimantan) found that there were 38 tree species in the sample quadrats (0.2 Ha), which belong to 26 genera and 19 families, and 82 species which belong to 57 genera and 28 families (0.24 Ha), respectively. The IVI of the most common trees species at every level of growth (seedlings, saplings, poles and trees) within the forest vegetation at both locations are shown in Table 1.

Species richness index value gives an illustration on the number of species (diversity) found compared to the number of individuals (density), with a higher value index of a species means that the species was found in a greater number in the area. Dominance Index Value features the pattern of species dominance in a certain area; a high value of dominance indicates that such areas are dominated by one species. Meanwhile, diversity index (Shannon index) represents the diversity of plant species available in certain areas. In a natural ecosystem, a low dominance index value is good. On the contrary, a high value of diversity index is desirable, as it means that when a high point of species diversity is achieved then the level of ecological stability would be gained. The richness, dominancy and species diversity index at various levels of vegetation growth within habitat of *gemor* trees are presented in Table 2.
Table 1. The most common tree species based on the Importance Value Index (IVI) at every stages of vegetation growth in Long Daliq and Tuanan sites

| Location | Stages of growth | The most common tree species and its IVI (in bracket) |
|----------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Long Daliq | Seedlings        | Syzygium sp.1 (55.71%), *Horsfieldia* sp. (41.43%), Stemonurus scorpioides Becc. (34.29%) |
|          | Saplings         | Syzygium sp.1. (47.67%), Stemonurus scorpioides Becc. (18.43%), Blumeodendron tokbrai Kurz ex J. J. Smith (15.90%), Palaquium sp.(15.62%), Syzygium tawabense (Korth.) Merr. & Perry (15.59%), Dacryodes cf. incurvata (Engl.) H. J. Lam (15.49%), *Nothaphoebe coriacea* (Kosterm.) Kosterm. (14.73%) |
|          | Poles            | Lithocarpus sp1. (52.24%), Blumeodendron tokbrai Kurz ex J. J. Smith (37.30%), *Macaranga pruinosa* Muell. Arg. (27.20%) |
|          | Trees            | Shorea teijsmanniana Dyer ex Brandis (70.11%), *Horsfieldia* sp. (52.67%), *Diospyros* sp1. (28.54%) |
| Tuanan   | Seedlings        | Macaranga puncitculata Gage (30.02%), *Ixora* sp. (27.70%), *Ardisia* sp. (13.74%), *Tetramerista glabra* Miq. (11.52%) |
|          | Saplings         | *Tetramerista glabra* Miq. (18.70%), Syzygium sp.1 (16.05%), Syzygium sp.2 (12.25%) |
|          | Poles            | *Nothaphoebe coriacea* (Kosterm.) Kosterm. (30.04%), *Palaquium* sp1 (25.42%), *Shorea teijsmanniana* Dyer ex Brandis (20.12%) |
|          | Trees            | *Koompassia malaccensis* Maing. ex Benth. (46.08%), *Diospyros* sp1 (37.46%), *Mussaendopsis beccariana* Baill. (25.34%) |

Table 2. Species richness (R), diversity (H’) and dominance (C) index at various levels of vegetation growth as habitat of *gemor* trees in Long Daliq and Tuanan sites

| Growth stages | Location    | Long Daliq |         |         | Tuanan |         |
|---------------|-------------|------------|---------|---------|--------|---------|
|               |             | R          | H’      | C       | R      | H’      | C       |
| Seedlings     |             | 2.27       | 0.79    | 0.18    | 4.79   | 1.22    | 0.07    |
| Saplings      |             | 6.50       | 1.37    | 0.06    | 11.72  | 1.71    | 0.02    |
| Poles         |             | 4.30       | 1.12    | 0.09    | 7.20   | 1.39    | 0.05    |
| Trees         |             | 2.94       | 0.98    | 0.13    | 5.19   | 1.20    | 0.08    |
Ochiai index (Oi) formula (Misra, 1980; Ludwig and Reynolds, 1988), revealed that gemor trees in Long Daliq, East Kalimantan were associated mainly with Horsfieldia sp. (Oi=1), Mezzettia parviflora Becc. (Oi=1), Shorea teysmanniana Dyer ex Brandis (Oi=1), Sizygium sp.1 (Oi=1), Stemonurus scorpioides Becc. (Oi=1), Dacryodes cf. incurvata (Engl.) H. J. Lam (Oi=0.80), Lithocarpus sp.1 (Oi=0.80), Litsea angulata Blume (Oi=0.80) and Palaquium sp. (Oi=0.80). Meanwhile, in Tuanan, Central Kalimantan, gemor trees were associated well with Diospyros sp.1 (Oi=1), Ilex sp. (Oi=0.83), Koompassia malaccensis Maing. ex Benth. (Oi=0.83), Neoscorodonta kingii (Hook.f.) Pax & K.Hoffm. (Oi=0.83), Tetramerista glabra Miq. (Oi=0.83), Calophyllum sp. (Oi=0.67), Canarium sp.1 (Oi=0.67), Elaeocarpus sp.2 (Oi=0.67), Gonystylus bancanu (Miq.) Kurz (Oi=0.67), Palaquium sp.1 (Oi=0.67), Payena leii (Tejsm. & Binn.) Kurz (Oi=0.67), Shorea teysmanniana Dyer ex Brandis (Oi=0.67), Syzygium sp.1 (Oi=0.67) and Timonius sp. (Oi=0.67).

The similarity of vegetation composition within the habitat of gemor at Long Daliq and Tuanan based on Jaccard formula was 15.53%. This value indicated the low similarity of vegetation composition at both locations, which might be attributed to the differences of type and depth of peat in both sites.

C. Forest Structures

Ecologists have traditionally described the vertical structure of forest stands through profile diagrams. To describe the forest structure of gemor’s habitat at the existing condition, a profile diagram for the habitat of gemor in Long Daliq is presented in Figure 4. Vertical vegetation structure consisted of five strata, i.e. stratum A is emergent layer (> 34 m tall), stratum B is dominant layer (16 – 26 m tall), stratum C is co-dominant layer (8 – 16 m tall), stratum D is seedling (< 6 m tall) and stratum E is ground cover. The canopy top (emergent layer) is formed by Shorea sp., Palaquium sp. and Diospyros sp. Canopy of Lithocarpus sp., Shorea parvifolia, Gluta rengkas, Mezetta parviflora formed the dominant layer. The co-dominant layer is formed by Blumeodendron tokbrai, Sindora wallichii, Sandoricum koetjape, Stemonurus scorpioides, Litsea angulata, Dacryodes rostrata, Macaranga puncticulata, Lithocarpus, Syzygium. Gemor trees in Long Daliq occur in the lower stratum (D) where the species mostly grow as sapling, although poles are dominant in Tuanan (Table 1). This condition also indicated that up to this level, gemor still survived and grew well under shading.
Figure 4. Vegetation profile at the *gemor* habitat in Long Daliq, East Kalimantan

Forest structure can also be described through the distribution of diameter. The result of this study suggest that the curve of diameter distribution in this site did not form a “J” inverted (Figure 5), which indicate that the occurrence of disturbances might have impacted on forest regeneration.
One important factor supporting the species sustainability in natural forests is the existence of natural regeneration in a huge number and evenly distributed. The observation taken for *gemor* regeneration revealed that seedlings were rarely found in Tuanan (only 2 seedlings were found in 6 plots (833 ind ha\(^{-1}\)) and were absent in Long Daliq observation plots. In the two sites, however, there were buds sprouted at the base (stump) of the cut trees (Figure 6). This condition was also reported by Effendi (2001) who found tree stumps with 3 – 4 buds sprouted. Therefore, it might be assumed that there was a potential to propagate plant by coppicing. Silvicultural system with coppice as planting materials for plantation has been practiced successfully in England to produce small logs, stem barks and fuel woods (Smith, 1962). In Indonesia, coppice system has been adopted by Perum Perhutani (Government Timber Estate Enterprise) in Nganjuk, East Java, to develop teak plantation. Moreover, people also do cultivate sengon (*Paraserianthes falcataria*) from copices; they keep the sprouted buds on the stumps of harvested sengon and allow them to grow to maturity for future harvesting.

**D. Natural Regeneration of Gemor**

Figure 5. Density (N/ha) by diameter class
E. Distribution of Gemor

There were no *gemor* trees with large diameter found in the observed areas, mostly only coppices from trees that have been harvested were found. They tend to grow in clusters at certain points (Figure 7).

F. Ecological Condition

1. Microclimate

Growth and reproduction of plants are strongly related to environmental factors. Climatic characteristic of the habitat of *gemor* is categorized as humid tropical climate, with the peak rainfall takes place in April and the lowest in August, as well as no dry season occurs or there should be at least 7 days rainfall within a month over the year. Rainfall data in Long Irak (Meteorological Station) in the year of 1900 to 1960 showed that *gemor* habitats have a high annual rainfall of 3579 mm yr$^{-1}$ in average. However, within the last decade, weather conditions have been irregular caused by climatic change impacts. In 2005, the rainfall was 2501 mm yr$^{-1}$, the peak rainfall occurred in December.
and August (Kecamatan Long Iram dalam angka 2006) Observation results for the environmental condition of the area is presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Environmental condition of *gemor*’s habitat at Long Daliq, East Kalimantan

| Parameter                | Condition                                           |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Topography               | Flat, occasional water logging                      |
| Temperature              | 21.3 – 32 °C                                        |
| Humidity                 | 88% - 99%                                           |
| Light Intensity          | 3% – 5% (540 lux – 980 lux; open area: 20000 lux)    |
| Forest community type    | Peat swamp forests                                  |

Figure 7. Distribution of *gemor* in the observation plots at Long Daliq, East Kalimantan
2. Soil Condition

Soil condition similar to the original habitat is one of the supporting factor for successful planting. Soil type of *gemor*’s habitat found in the observation plots was peat soil with the pH of 3 – 4 and peat depth of 1–2 m, although Whitmore *et al.* (1990) described that gemor trees grow well in peat swamps with the depth of 2.5 m. *Gemor* can grow well in a peat soil with the content of alkalie (e.g. Ca, Mg, K, Na) and saturated alkalie were low. The content of Al was mostly low to medium and became fewer following the decrease of soil pH, different to the content of H⁺ which was being increased. As peat soil, *gemor*’s habitat has a high content of C and N total; however, most of them were unavailable to plants due to a high ratio of C/N. The thicker peat, lower content of K₂O and P₂O₅ ashes, Ca and Mg contents decreased and soil reaction became more acid. The acid peat soil (low pH) was not always followed by the high Al³⁺ content (changeable Aluminum) as it happens in mineral soils. This might occur once the source of Al or soil minerals in an organic soil were present in a small amount. The cation exchange capacity (CEC) in peat soil was greater than in mineral soils. CEC value of peat soils was generally higher than in mineral soils and much greater in line with the increasing organic matter content. The value of CEC plays an important role in soil management and could be acted as indicator of soil fertility. The condition of chemical properties of the soils can be seen in Table 4.

| Location          | Horizon Layer | Extract 1:5 PH | Organic Matter | Dry Sample 105°C | Cation Changes Value (NH₄⁺-Acetat 1N, pH 7) | KCL 1N |
|-------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------------------|-------|
|                   |               |                | Bray Morgan     |                  | C/N                             |       |
|                   |               |                |                |                  | Ca                              |       |
|                   |               |                |                |                  | Mg                              |       |
|                   |               |                |                |                  | K                               |       |
|                   |               |                |                |                  | Na                              |       |
|                   |               |                |                |                  | Total CEC                       |       |
|                   |               |                |                |                  | Al³⁺                           |       |
|                   |               |                |                |                  | H⁺                              |       |
|                   |               |                |                |                  | cmol⁺/kg                        |       |
|                   |               |                |                |                  | cmol⁺/kg                        |       |
| Tuanan            | 0-20          | 3.1            | 54.48          | 1.22             | 45                             | 3.56  |
| Village           | 20-40         | 3.1            | 56.83          | 1.12             | 51                             | 3.35  |
| Long Daliq        | 0-20          | 3.3            | 49.44          | 1.24             | 40                             | 3.34  |
| Village           | 20-40         | 3.2            | 64.72          | 0.99             | 65                             | 7.98  |

### IV. CONCLUSION

The impact of over-exploitation to the population of *Nothaphoebe coriacea* (Kosterm.) Kosterm was a limited availability of sapling and poles of this species. The IVI of the sapling and poles were 14.73% (in Long Daliq, East Kalimantan) and 30.04% (in Tuanan, Central Kalimantan).
Different conditions of the *Nothapoebe coriacea*’s habitat in the two research sites (in term of vegetation, soil fertility and the important value index) should unplug different strategies in the conservation and management program. In Long Daliq, it is required to focus on sapling improvement through in-situ conservation activities. Meanwhile, at Tuanan the focus should be on species preservation by collection *Nothapoebe coriacea* seedlings to be planted through ex-situ conservation program.
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