Structural Health Monitoring Method of Pantograph–Catenary System Based on Strain Response Inversion
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Pantograph-catenary system provides electric energy for the subway lines; its health status is essential to the serviceability of the vehicle. In this study, a real-time structural health monitoring method based on strain response inversion is proposed to calculate the magnitude and position of the dynamic contact force between the catenary and pantograph. The measurement principle, calibration, and installation detail of the fiber Bragg grating (FBG) sensors are also presented in this article. Putting this monitoring system in use, an application example of a subway with a rigid overhead catenary is given to demonstrate its performance. The pantograph was monitored and analyzed, running underground at a maximum speed of 80 km/h. The results show that the strain response inversion method has high measurement accuracy, good data consistency, and flexibility on sensor installation. It can accurately calculate the magnitude and location of the contact force exerted on the pantograph.
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INTRODUCTION

With the rapid urbanization progress in China, subway lines have become longer and faster, as an indicator of city growth. By 2019, rail transit in China has reached a total length of 6,600 km, where the newly built lanes were 300 km. Considering mainland China in 2019, the subway-conducted passenger count was 23.814 billion, which has increased by 11.8% than that of 2018 [1–3]. Currently, subways are mainly powered by electricity harnessed through the pantograph–catenary coupling system while running. Thus, the structural health monitoring (SHM) of the coupling is crucial to the safety of the subway lines [4–9]. The contact resistance increases as the force between the catenary and pantograph decreases, consuming energy and generating heat, which could cause separation of the coupling and erosion of the contacting surface. On the contrary, if the force exceeds the safe level, the friction between the carbon slider and the catenary could lead to failure of the parts and thus accident [10].

At present, the main method of contact force measurement is to lay pressure sensors and acceleration sensors. The pantograph–catenary contact force can be obtained by balancing the force and using the inertia compensation method [11]. Japanese railway system applied an optimized measuring system by using the same number of sensors as the number of vibration modes and combining them to form a weighted average [12]. Studying the relation between the pantograph’s input and output, Japanese researchers have developed an inversion method using the vibration response for calculating the contact force [13]. In Germany, the pantograph monitoring system considers the horizontal and vertical forces and the vertical acceleration on each side of the carbon plating above the vehicle [14]. The methods mentioned above utilize electrical sensors such as resistance-based strain gauges to collect data; these
sensors suffer from electromagnetic interference, and therefore they could be inaccurate. Tatsuya Koyama developed a camera recognition system that could analyze the internal force, inertial force, and contact force without installing sensors directly on the structures [15]. However, such an arrangement could be challenging to implement due to the inevitable complexity of the actual application. Recently, the researcher developed a method based on the transfer function to calculate the contact force, which considers the pantograph arc vibration waveform or the transfer function related to the contact force and the strain response [16]. Yet, this method lacks accuracy and has many restraints in the calculation.

A fiber Bragg grating (FBG) sensor has become a hotspot in the field of SHM system; it has been the vital component in the maintenance and management of a wide range of structures [17–21]. FBG sensors are ideal for monitoring the strain underneath the pantograph head to monitor the contact force location remains unresolved, and the bare fiber can be challenging to install.

In this article, an inversion method based on the pantograph’s strain response to calculate the magnitude and location of the contact force is proposed. First, the mechanical model used in the calculation will be presented. Then, the relation between the contact force and the strain response measured by FBG sensors will be discussed along with the casing and temperature compensation method, which guides the design of the monitoring scheme. Finally, after a detailed calibration and adjustment process of the sensing system, the in-line test result will be presented.

**PRINCIPLE OF PANTOGRAPH–CATENARY DYNAMICS**

**Inversion Method Based on Strain Response**

The pantograph consists of two conducting plates made of carbon which are mounted on a pneumatic suspension system. Figure 1 is a picture taken on-site at the Xi’an subway.

The carbon slides are modeled as two simply supported beams, namely front and back. The mechanical model is shown in Figure 2.

As the vehicle runs, the beam would have a vertical acceleration of $a_0$. If the inertial force is considered to be uniformly distributed along the carbon slide, it can be expressed as $m_0 a_0/L$; where $m_0$ is the mass of the carbon slide, and $L$ is its effective length. The aerodynamic force is proportional to the square of the velocity [23]; since the subway in discussion moves underground at a maximal velocity of 80 km/h with no external wind load; the aerodynamic factor is ignored in the modeling.

The dynamic contact force presents itself in terms of strain distribution, as follows:

$$
\varepsilon_1 = \frac{F(L-x_f)\varepsilon_1}{L} + \frac{m_0 a_0 x^2}{2L}, 0 \leq x_1 \leq x_f, \quad (1)
$$

$$
\varepsilon_2 = \frac{F(L-x_f)\varepsilon_2}{L} + \frac{m_0 a_0 x^2}{2L} - \frac{F(x_2-x_f)}{L}, x_f \leq x_2 \leq L. \quad (2)
$$

Here, $\varepsilon_1$ and $\varepsilon_2$ are the strain measurements taken at the position $x_1$ and $x_2$, $E$ and $W$ are the elastics modulus and the resistance moment of the cross-section, respectively. Most importantly, $x_f$ is the position of the contacting point between the pantograph and the rigid overhead, and $F$ is the contacting force. It can be seen from the equations above that the locations of the sensors are arbitrary, as long as the contact force is bounded within, that is $x_1 \leq x_f \leq x_2$.

Solving for $F$ and $x_f$, assuming the two strain measurements are taken symmetrically, we get the following:

$$
F = \frac{E W (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) - (C_1 + C_2)}{x_1}, \quad (3)
$$

$$
x_f = \frac{(E W \varepsilon_2 - C_2)}{(E W \varepsilon_1 - C_1) + (E W \varepsilon_2 - C_2)} \frac{L}{x_2}. \quad (4)
$$

Here, $C_1 = \frac{m_0 a_0 x^2}{2L}$ and $C_2 = \frac{m_0 a_0 x^2}{2L}$ are the acceleration terms.

Again, the derivation above is obtained by taking $x_1 + x_2 = L$ for simplicity. In real applications, the sensor location could be asymmetrical; this method is still usable but with a more complicated solution.
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**FIGURE 1** | Picture of the pantograph.

![Figure 2](Image)

**FIGURE 2** | mechanical model of the pantograph.
Sensor Types and Sensing Principle
One of the challenges of pantograph monitoring is the electromagnetic interference caused by high voltage power lines. In this project, fiber Bragg grating (FBG) sensors are used to measure the strain response. The sensor itself has the advantages of high sensitivity, low noise, electromagnetic resistance, and long-term reliability, which suit the need for pantograph–catenary monitoring [24]. However, FBG sensors’ wavelength variation is a joint result of temperature fluctuation, contact force, and the Poisson effect [25].

To counter the thermal expansion, this project used a type of FBG sensor, shown in Figure 3, that had a layer of thermal shrinkage material embedded. Improving the performance of the strain sensors even further, a type of micro-FBG was also used that had a smaller size and only sensed the temperature variation. A calibration process was done to evaluate k so that the micro-FBGs could be used as a temperature gauge to compensate for the thermal effect on the strain sensors.

Also, to monitor the acceleration dynamics, the FBG accelerometers were implemented.

CALIBRATION
Temperature Compensation Factor Calibration
To compensate for the thermal effect, every strain sensor on the pantograph was paired with a micro-FBG. The pantograph was then placed outdoor overnight; as the temperature changes through the night, the wavelength data of each FBG was collected and used to calculate the compensation factor, k. The linear fit of the sensors on the pantograph’s right side is shown in Figure 4 as an example.

Each side yielded a fit that has a $R^2$ greater than 0.99, which is an indicator of the sensors’ consistency. For the left side, the compensation factor is 2.10470, and that of the right side is 2.10327. Based on these results, k is taken to be 2.10399 for this project.

EW Calibration
E and W are the elastics modulus and the resistance moment of the cross-section, respectively; the product of the two is

\[
\Delta \varepsilon_F = \frac{\Delta \lambda_F - k \Delta \lambda_\mu}{a_{f,F} + k \mu a_{f,R}}.
\]

Judging from the results on-site, the vertical strain caused by the Poisson effect was insignificant; thus, the micro-FBG’s wavelength variation was considered only as a result of temperature variation. A calibration process was done to evaluate k so that the micro-FBGs could be used as a temperature gauge to compensate for the thermal effect on the strain sensors.

Substituting Eqs 7–9 into Eqs 5, 6 yields the following equation:
considered as a constant to be calibrated at each strain measure location. The strategy used in this article was to apply force at different locations of the pantograph head gradually.

The length of the slide is 800 mm. Marking the left side as 0 and the right side 800, five points were selected at 200, 300, 400, 500, and 600, as shown in Figure 5. The weight loaded on each point started from 0 N and increases to 110 N with a 10 N increment.

During the calibration, sensors’ wavelengths varied linearly as the load increased. The root mean squares were greater than 0.99 for all sensors. Figure 6 below is the data plotted for one sensor’s wavelength with different load locations.

The wavelength value was used in Eq. 10 to obtain the strain value, which was then substituted into Eqs 1, 2 to calculate the EW for each side of the pantograph. The final value of EW used in the further calculation was the average of both sides.

REAL-TIME MONITORING AND ANALYSIS

Background and Sensor Layout Scheme
The subway line in this project has a total length of over 35 km with more than 25 stations, all of which are underground, and adopted the rigid overhead catenary design. Measurement was done as the vehicle departing the base station and making a stop at each station.

According to the measurement principle, strain sensors should be installed symmetrically so that the contact force is bounded in between the two sensors. Judging from the working condition and the abrasion of the other pantographs, strain sensors were installed 135 mm away from the ends. As shown in Figure 7, this pantograph had a set of two carbon slides, which contributed to 4 strain sensors. In addition, micro-FBGs, sensing only the temperature change, were installed perpendicular to the strain sensors.

The accelerometers were installed at the front and back slides of the pantograph, as shown in Figure 8.

Data Analysis of Pantograph Elevation
The elevation process is what gives the subway its power. The process itself is powered by high-pressure air, which pushes the
pantograph toward the catenary [26]. Therefore, the contacting force should increase linearly during this process.

As shown in Figure 9, the contacting force increased from 0 to 118.24 N and stayed at 110 N with a standard deviation of 1.81 N. The initial contact position was 351.16 mm from the left support of the arc. The force’s magnitude calculated from the strain response agrees with the force that drives the pantograph, and the calculated position fits well with the directly measured result. This monitoring test of the elevation process shows that the algorithm is valid in the static condition.

Data Analysis of the Subway in Motion

The subway departed from the base making stops at each station. The desired speed was 80 km/h, and the distance between stations was not long enough. Consequently, the vehicle accelerated, leaving the stations, and then decelerated as it approached the next.

It can be foreseen that the contact force would have a magnitude of 120 N with deviation caused by vibration and rail conditions. As presented in Figure 10, going from one stop to the next, the contact force has a maximum of 125.86 N, a minimum of 115.71 N, and a standard deviation of 3.23 N. The contact force is constantly greater than 0 N, which means no separation of the pantograph and the catenary.

Monitoring the magnitude of the contact force is crucial for SHM of the pantograph–catenary system; equally important is the force’s location detection, as it gives information about possible erosion and fatigue on the carbon slider.

The rigid overhead catenary system has a zigzag shape responsible for the position variation, when the subway is in motion. For example, in Figure 11, the contact position was oscillating between 200 and 600 mm, indicating that the subway was passing through the zigzagged catenary.

Figure 12 is an enlarged time interval, corresponding to the vehicle departing from one stop to the next. The shift of the contact position is roughly the same as boxed in the figure. Given the symmetrical property of the catenary’s ‘Z’ shape, it is
reasonable to conclude that the inversion method is able to detect the location of the force with minor discrepancy.

CONCLUSION

This study proposed an inversion method for calculating and locating the dynamic contact force of the pantograph–catenary system based on its strain response using fiber Bragg grating (FBG) sensors. It has overcome the electromagnetic inference that traditional sensors suffer, making it ideal for the task. The carbon slide on the pantograph has been modeled as simply supported beams for the calculation. This model then guided the design of a structural health monitoring project in Xi’an. After the calibration, the sensing system was tasked with monitoring the progress of the pantograph elevation. Finally, real-time data were collected and analyzed for the subway in motion. The magnitude and the location of the contact force were in good agreement with the prediction; that is, the location result resembles the “Z” shape of the overhead catenary, and the magnitude mainly fluctuates between 115 and 125 N.

The strain response inversion method is shown to be accurate, consistent, and relatively easy to install. Not only does it monitor the force’s magnitude but also the position of the force exerted, giving the SHM system another angle to evaluate the reliability of pantograph–catenary coupling.
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