Correlation of platelet indices with severity of pre-eclampsia: a prospective study from central India
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ABSTRACT

Background: Although it is generally accepted that the haemoglobin concentration decreases and white cell count increases during normal pregnancy, there is less accord regarding changes in platelet indices. Present study was performed to know the relation of platelet indices with normal pregnancy, preeclampsia and severity of pre-eclampsia.

Methods: Hundred pregnant women were studied at Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, PCMS and RC, Bhopal after dividing them in two Group N (n=50, normal pregnant women) and Group P (n = 50, pre-eclampsia patient). Platelet indices including platelet count mean platelet volume and platelet distribution width were estimated in all the patients. Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS ver. 20 software. Level of significance was assessed at 5%.

Results: In Group P [34 (68%)] and Group N [21 (42%)] most of the patients had platelet count of <2 lac respectively. Whereas Group P, 16 (32%) patients had thrombocytopenia (platelet count between 50-1.5 lac) where as in Group N, none of the patients had lower platelet count. Most of the patients (55%) in study cohort had PDW of 15 fl out of that half of the patients in Group N had PWD as 15 fl whereas in Group P 60% had PDW value as 15 fl. Out of 50 preeclampsia patients 24 (48%) were of severe type, out of which 54% had platelet count <1.5 lac, 83% had PDW as 15-16fl, and 54% had MPV in the range of 10-11 fl.

Conclusions: Platelet count decreases while MPV and PDW increase as pregnancy advances, and these changes are more pronounced in preeclampsia than normotensive pregnancy.

Keywords: MPV, PDW, Platelet count, Pregnancy induced hypertension, Thrombocytopenia

INTRODUCTION

Preeclampsia is a pregnancy-specific multisystem disorder characterized by abnormal vascular response to placentation which is associated with increased systemic vascular resistance, enhanced platelet aggregation, activation of the coagulation system, and endothelial cell dysfunction with resultant reduced organ perfusion. Despite extensive research, the cause of preeclampsia remains elusive.1,2

Current criteria for a diagnosis of preeclampsia require the presence of de novo hypertension (blood pressure of ≥140/90mmHg), with proteinuria or any of the other multisystem abnormalities, with onset after the 20th week of gestation.3,4

Platelet indices [platelet count, mean platelet volume (MPV) and platelet distribution width (PDW)] constitute part of the data detectable by complete blood count (CBC) test. Applicability of these indices for the clinical and pathophysiological understanding of vascular diseases, including preeclampsia, has been investigated but their value has not yet been fully substantiated.5 A decreasing platelet count is observed during the progression of preeclampsia, and is suggested to be a
characteristic of worsening preeclampsia. It has also been noted that MPV increases during pregnancy and is higher in women with preeclampsia. Increased MPV occurs before onset of preeclampsia symptoms. Therefore, it may be a valuable marker for development of preeclampsia. Furthermore, it has been suggested that PDW can be a practical tool to evaluate activation of coagulation or thrombocytosis-related disease.

The aim of this study was, therefore, to assess whether changes in platelet indices, detectable on CBC during pregnancy could be used as markers for prediction of development of preeclampsia.

**METHODS**

A prospective case-control study was done on 100 women at Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, PCMS and RC, Bhopal. Study cohort was subdivided in two Group N (n = 50, normal pregnant women) and Group P (n = 50, pre-eclampsia patient).

Institutional Ethics committee approval and a written informed consent were obtained from each patient before starting the study. All patients presenting with preeclampsia, mild pre-eclampsia (as per ACOG guidelines; BP ≥140/90mmHg at 2 intervals 4 hours apart with or without significant proteinuria) and severe pre-eclampsia (As per ACOG guidelines, BP ≥160/110mmHg and proteinuria >5gm/24 hours) were included.

Post-delivery patients, patient with coagulation disorders like Idiopathic thrombocytopenia, sickle cell disease, viral hepatitis, cholestatic jaundice, acute fatty liver, malaria, drug induced jaundice dengue and chronic hypertension were excluded.

Blood pressure and proteinuria were estimated in all the subjects. Data on presence of nausea, vomiting, headache, urine output less than 400ml/24hrs, haemogram, hypoproteinaemia, raised liver enzymes, deranged KFT, presence of haemolysis and Platelet indices (platelet count, mean platelet volume, platelet distribution width) were also recorded.

All the data was analyzed using IBM SPSS ver. 20 software. Frequency distribution and cross tabulation was used to prepare tables. Quantitative data was analyzed using student t test while categorical data was analyzed using Chi square test. Level of significance was assessed at 5%.

**RESULTS**

Mean age of study cohort was 24.45±4.23 years. Most of the subjects in Group P [44 (88%)] and Group N [42 (84%)] belong to age group of 21-25 years.

| Platelet indices | Group N | Group P |
|------------------|---------|---------|
| <50000           | 0 (0)   | 2 (4)   |
| 51000-1 Lakhs    | 0 (0)   | 5 (10)  |
| 1.1-1.5 Lakhs    | 0 (0)   | 9 (18)  |
| 1.51-2 Lakhs     | 24 (48) | 18 (36) |
| 2.1-2.5 Lakhs    | 13 (26) | 10 (20) |
| 2.51-3 Lakhs     | 7 (14)  | 3 (6)   |
| >3 Lakhs         | 6 (12)  | 3 (6)   |

| Platelet count | 10 | 3 (6) | 0 (0) |
|----------------|----|-------|-------|
| 11             | 6 (12)| 1 (2) |
| 12             | 3 (6) | 0 (0) |
| 13             | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| 14             | 8 (16)| 5 (10) |
| 15             | 25 (50)| 30 (60)|
| 16             | 5 (10)| 13 (26)|
| 17             | 0 (0) | 1 (2) |

Data is expressed as no of patients (percentage), Group N (n = 50, normal pregnant women) and Group P (n = 50, pre-eclampsia patient). In pre-eclampsia 32% had platelet count between 50 thousand to 1.5 lac. No normal case had such low value. 68% of pre-eclampsia and 42% of normal patients had count less than 2 lac. Maximum (55%) had PDW as 15fl. 50% of normal patients had PDW value as 15 fl. However, 60% of pre-eclampsia had PDW value as 15 fl.

| Platelet indices | Severe preeclampsia (n=24) |
|------------------|--------------------------|
| <50000           | 3                        |
| 51000-1 Lakhs    | 5                        |
| 1.1-1.5 Lakhs    | 5                        |
| 1.51-2 Lakhs     | 3                        |
| 2.1-2.5 Lakhs    | 5                        |
| 2.51-3 Lakhs     | 2                        |
| >3 Lakhs         | 1                        |

| Platelet distribution width (fl) | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
|----------------------------------|----|----|----|----|
| 9                                | 5  |
| 10                               | 8  |
| 11                               | 5  |
| 12                               | 2  |
| 13                               | 3  |
| >13                              | 1  |
study results are in agreement with those reported by other researchers.6,15

Study done by Siddiqui et al has stated that approximately 50% of preeclampsia cases will develop thrombocytopenia, similar to that in present study 32% of the preeclampsia cases were having thrombocytopenia.16 Thrombocytopenia in PIH is mostly caused due to increase consumption of platelet may be due to adherence of platelet at the site of damaged vascular endothelium.

In present study we have observed an increase in MPV and PDV values from normotensive pregnant women to preeclampsia patients which is in agreement with other studies. In the present study MPV was 9.26 in preeclampsia which is comparable with Giles et al who observed MPV of 9.9.17 In the present study PDV was 15.26 in preeclampsia which is comparable with Giles et al who observed PDV of 16.17 Similar results were revealed in a study done by Siddiqui et al on 125 cases.16

MPV showed gradual increase in preeclampsia patients as compared to normotensive subjects. Inter group analysis done by Nooh et al revealed that changes in MPV values in PE-developing women (with and without severe features) were statistically more significant than normotensive pregnant women and this change was noted to start from the 24th gestational week up to time of delivery (p = 0.003).8

Furthermore, Nooh et al also reported that in the PE groups, onset of diagnosis was at 30-32 weeks gestation, and significant MPV increase was noted to precede development of PE by approximately 2-8 weeks. Dundar et al, in a previous large longitudinal study suggested that increase of MPV may precede development of PE symptoms by approximately 4.6 weeks (range 2.8-5.9 weeks).5 Based on present study findings we can consider MPV as a possible modest marker for prediction of severity of hypertension. This is in agreement with what was concluded by other investigators.6,10

Similarly, PDV value also showed gradual increase in preeclampsia patients as compared to normotensive subjects. Our results are in agreement with those of previous studies making PDV the best potential marker for prediction of severity of hypertension.6,18 However, Yang et al identified a PDV value of >13.5 as the optimal cut-off level for the prediction of preeclampsia severity.6 Similarly, Freitas et al identified a PDV value of >18.3 as the optimal cut-off level for the prediction of PE.18

Conflicting results have been published regarding changes in platelet indices in normotensive pregnancies and preeclampsia. Some investigators found no difference in values of these indices between preeclampsia and controls, whereas others demonstrated lower platelet count and higher MPV and PDV in preeclampsia.19,20,5,6,7,21 It is suggested that the major

**DISCUSSION**

Preeclampsia continues to be a major cause of maternal and fetal morbidity and mortality, as it is associated with a high risk of IUGR, preterm delivery, placental abruption etc.8 Furthermore, there is a concern about the long-term consequences of preeclampsia, as women who experience this disorder represent a high-risk group for subsequent premature cardiovascular, cerebrovascular, peripheral arterial diseases and other chronic illnesses later in life.9,10

Present prospective observational case control study included 100 subjects, out of which 50 were controls and 50 were having PIH. In this study an attempt was made to assess the role of platelet indices in assessment of severity of preeclampsia. In developing countries like India PIH has been attributed to be a significant cause of maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality.11

In present study mean age of patients was 24.45±4.23 years, which is comparable with the studies done by Prakash et al reported mean age of 24.75±3.360 years respectively, however study done by Onisai et al observed higher mean age compared to present study.12,13

In present study mean platelet count in preeclampsia was 1.88 Lakh/cu mm which is comparable to studies by Mohapatra et al showing 1.8 Lakh/cu mm.14 Present

---

Data is expressed as no of patients (percentage), MPV; mean platelet volume, 24 cases of severe pre-eclampsia were analysed for platelet count. Out of which maximum (54%) had platelet count <1.5 lac and rest had normal count. 83% of severe pre-eclampsia had PDW as 15-16fl. 54% Severe Pre-eclampsia had MPV range as 10-11fl.

**Figure 1: Mean platelet volume between groups.**

In present study mean age of patients was 24.45±4.23 years, which is comparable with the studies done by Prakash et al reported mean age of 24.75±3.360 years respectively, however study done by Onisai et al observed higher mean age compared to present study.12,13

In present study mean platelet count in preeclampsia was 1.88 Lakh/cu mm which is comparable to studies by Mohapatra et al showing 1.8 Lakh/cu mm.14 Present
reason for the inconsistency between these studies is probably the method of measurement of these platelet indices. Measurements performed in EDTA change in a time-dependent manner. Also, different systems used in measurement can yield different results, and this difference may reach up to 40%.19

In present study, out of 50 preeclampsia patients 24 (48%) were of severe type, out of which 54% had platelet count <1.5 lac, 83% had PDW as 15-16fl, and 54% had MPV in the range of 10-11fl. In agreement to present study Annam et al also reported that The MPV and PDW values were elevated proportionally with the severity of pre-eclampsia when compared with the control group.22 Severity of PIH and thrombocytopenia observed are closely correlated which indicates that thrombocytopenia is directly proportional to the severity of PIH. Similar reported were presented by study done by Mohapatra et al, Walker et al and Taylor et al.14,23,24

CONCLUSION

To conclude this study provides evidence that platelet count decreases while MPV and PDW increase as pregnancy advances, and these changes are more pronounced in preeclampsia than normotensive pregnancy. To conclude present study recommends monitoring platelet count, MPV and PDW which are simple, economical and rapid investigations to monitor the progress of PIH. We also found an association between platelet indices and severity of preeclampsia. The study showed the platelet indices as the most consistent and reliable investigation for early detection of PIH cases. Hence platelet indices can also be used as a prognostic marker.
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