Retrospective Study of the Efficacy and Safety of Chemoembolization with Drug-Eluting Microspheres Combined with Intra-Arterial Infusion of Bevacizumab for Unresectable Hepatocellular Carcinoma
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Purpose: To evaluate the efficacy and safety of chemoembolization with drug-eluting microspheres (DEM-TACE) combined with intra-arterial infusion of bevacizumab in patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (uHCC) and to identify possible prognostic factors.

Patients and Methods: Between November 2014 and December 2020, 34 patients underwent DEM-TACE combined with intra-arterial infusion of bevacizumab for Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) stage B hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) beyond the Up-to-seven criteria or BCLC stage C HCC. Patients with extrahepatic metastasis or inferior vena cava invasion were excluded. The primary endpoint was overall survival (OS). The secondary endpoints were safety (assessed using Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events v5.0), the response rate at 1 month, and the identification of prognostic factors. The median OS was calculated using the Kaplan–Meier method. The response rate was evaluated according to the modified Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors. Prognostic factors were investigated by univariate and multivariable analysis using the Cox proportional hazards model.

Results: The median OS was 13 months. BCLC stage and presence of portal vein invasion were not significantly associated with OS. There were no grade ≥3 adverse events. The Child–Pugh class did not decline after treatment in 31 of 34 patients. The overall response rate was 14.2% and the disease control rate was 100%. Significant prognostic factors were alcoholic liver disease, Child–Pugh score of ≥8, and microsphere size of 50–100 μm.

Conclusion: DEM-TACE combined with intra-arterial infusion of bevacizumab is safe and effective, and it could be a treatment option for unresectable HCCs.
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Introduction

Transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) was first performed in Japan in 1978 by Yamada et al. Following the first publication of the outcomes of TACE in 1983, this procedure was rapidly adopted worldwide. Currently, TACE is mainly indicated for patients with hypervascular hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) classified as Child–Pugh class A or B, with 1–3 tumors of ≥3 cm in diameter or ≥4 tumors. According to the Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) staging system, TACE is only indicated for Stage B HCC (intermediate stage). In fact, this intermediate stage includes patients with a wide variety of tumor factors and liver function, and several subcategories have been proposed.

In recent years, the advent of molecular-targeted drugs and immune checkpoint drugs has led to dramatic changes in the treatment of HCC, especially in the intermediate stage. Furthermore, the concepts of TACE failure and TACE
refractoriness were recently introduced,\textsuperscript{10,11} and systemic therapy is now recommended as first-line treatment for some cases in the intermediate stage.\textsuperscript{2,12} This is because, in addition to the effectiveness of novel systemic therapies,\textsuperscript{5,9} it is important to avoid deterioration of liver function due to repeated TACE.\textsuperscript{13–16} However, advances in equipment and technology have enabled selective TACE, which can help preserve liver function. Therefore, TACE has a significant role in patients who are refractory to systemic therapy or patients who experience severe adverse events that make it difficult to continue systemic therapy.

Because chemoembolization with drug-eluting microspheres (DEM-TACE) is believed to have milder effects on liver function than conventional TACE (cTACE),\textsuperscript{17,18} we hypothesized that DEM-TACE could be used to continue treatment while preserving liver function in patients otherwise deemed unsuitable for TACE due to intermediate or advanced HCC. It has been reported that serum vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) levels are significantly greater in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) than in healthy subjects,\textsuperscript{19} and that transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) increases VEGF production in the residual surviving cancerous tissue.\textsuperscript{20} This increase in VEGF is thought to contribute to the recurrence of HCC after TACE.\textsuperscript{21} Therefore, we hypothesized that intra-arterial infusion of bevacizumab, an anti-VEGF monoclonal antibody, could suppress the increase in VEGF within residual HCC tissue, and enhance the effect of TACE by normalizing the tumor vasculature.\textsuperscript{22}

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the efficacy and safety of DEM-TACE combined with intra-arterial infusion of bevacizumab in patients with unresectable HCC, and to identify possible prognostic factors. This is the first report to describe intra-arterial infusion of bevacizumab for uHCC.

**Materials and Methods**

DEM-TACE combined with intra-arterial infusion of bevacizumab was approved by the institutional review board of the Institute of Image Guided Therapy (protocol code no. 001) based on the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients provided written informed consent to undergo the procedures described. This study was performed retrospectively, and all of the data were included in the patients’ medical records. Informed consent was obtained in the form of an opt-out option on the institution’s website, and the need for written informed consent was waived. This study was approved by the institutional review board of the Institute of Image Guided Therapy (protocol code no. 14).

**Patients**

Between November 2014 and December 2020, 45 consecutive patients underwent DEM-TACE combined with intra-arterial infusion of bevacizumab for BCLC stage B HCC beyond the Up-to-seven criteria\textsuperscript{23} or BCLC stage C HCC. Patients with extrahepatic metastasis ($n = 5$) or inferior vena cava invasion ($n = 4$), and patients who could not be followed up for more than 3 months ($n = 2$) were excluded. However, patients who died within 3 months were included. Thus, 34 patients were included in this retrospective study. HCC was diagnosed according to the guidelines of the Japanese Society of Hepatology.\textsuperscript{2} The final follow-up date for this study was September 30, 2021.

**Dem-Tace**

A 4-Fr long sheath (Radifocus\textsuperscript{®} Introducer IIH, Terumo, Tokyo, Japan) was inserted into the femoral artery under local anesthesia. A 4-Fr guiding catheter (RC2, Medikit, Tokyo, Japan) was placed in the celiac artery and/or superior mesenteric artery. Using a 0.014 microguidewire (LABYRINTH, Piolax Medical Device, Kanagawa, Japan), a 2.0-Fr microcatheter (Estream 2.0 IGT type, Toray Medical, Tokyo, Japan) was advanced to the target hepatic artery. Digital subtraction angiography and computed tomography angiography (Toshiba Medical Systems, Tochigi, Japan) were performed to confirm the distribution of the drug in the target lesions. After confirming the blood supply to tumors, 1–3 anticancer drugs including doxorubicin (10–20 mg), epirubicin (10–20 mg), cisplatin (10–50 mg), or fluorouracil (250–500 mg) were selectively infused into the feeding arteries. The anticancer drugs were selected after considering the patient’s treatment history and known allergies. Then bevacizumab (50–200 mg) was infused into feeding arteries. Each anticancer drug was diluted in saline to a total of volume 20 mL and infused over several minutes. Immediately after drug infusion, embolization was performed with 25–50 or 50–100 μm superabsorbent polymer microspheres (SAP-MS) loaded with an anticancer drug (usually epirubicin 20 mg or doxorubicin 20 mg). This embolic material is self-
preparing and has the same composition and properties as Hepasphere (Merit Medical Systems, Inc, South Jordan, Utah). The anticancer drug to be loaded into the SAP-MS was dissolved in 1 mL of 10% sodium chloride and 4 mL of nonionic iodine contrast medium. This method reduced the expansion rate of SAP-MS by about twice. The endpoint of embolization was defined as the disappearance of tumor staining, although a decrease in tumor staining was acceptable in patients with severe hepatic dysfunction. If a vascular lake appeared, embolization was performed using gelatin sponges. Generally, DEM-TACE with bevacizumab was repeated on demand if the tumor had progressed. Patients were followed up without additional treatment if the tumor had shrunk or remained unchanged. Occasionally, treatment was divided into several sessions, taking into account the distribution and number of tumors and liver function.

**Post-TACE Assessments**

The primary endpoints were overall survival (OS) and safety. OS was defined as the interval from the date of first treatment of DEM-TACE combined with intra-arterial infusion of bevacizumab to the date of death or, in the case of survivors, to the date of the last follow-up. Secondary endpoints were the response rate at 1 month and prognostic factors. Adverse events were assessed using the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events v5.0 (CTCAE v5.0). Post-embolization syndrome (fever, pain, general fatigue, anorexia, nausea, and vomiting) was assessed during hospitalization and after discharge. Blood tests were performed before, the day after, and 1 month after treatment to evaluate changes in liver function. Liver function was assessed in terms of the changes in aspartate transaminase (AST), alanine transaminase (ALT), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), Child–Pugh grade and mALBI (modified albumin–bilirubin) grade. The ALBI score was calculated from serum albumin (ALB) and total-bilirubin (T-Bil) values using the following formula: \[ \text{ALBI} = \log_{10} \left( \frac{T-Bil \text{[µmol/L]} \times 0.66}{\text{ALB \text{[g/L]} \times -0.085}} \right) \] and categorized into three grades as follows: \( \leq -2.60 \) = Grade 1; \( > -2.60 \) to \( \leq -1.39 \) = Grade 2; \( > -1.39 \) = Grade 3. The mALBI grade was also divided into two subgrades, ALBI grade 2a and 2b, based on the cutoff value of \(-2.270\). Tumor responses were evaluated by two radiologists according to the modified Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (mRECIST) using contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CE-CT) performed 1 month after the procedure. The objective response rate (ORR) was defined as \[ \frac{(\text{complete response [CR] + partial response [PR]})}{(\text{CR + PR + stable disease [SD] + progressive disease [PD]})} \times 100(\%) \]. The disease control rate (DCR) was defined as \[ \frac{(\text{CR + PR + SD})}{(\text{CR + PR + SD + PD})} \times 100(\%) \].

**Statistical Analysis**

OS was calculated using the Kaplan–Meier method and differences among subgroups of patients were determined using the Log rank test. Prognostic factors were investigated by univariate and multivariable analysis using the Cox proportional hazards model. Factors with a \( P \)-value of \( <0.05 \) in univariate analyses were included in multivariable models. Variables were selected using the backwards stepwise method with the Bayesian information criterion (BIC). \( P \)-values of \( <0.05 \) were considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using JMP Pro 14.1.0 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) and R software version 4.1.1(R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

**Results**

**Patient Characteristics**

The patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. There were 25 males and 9 females, with a median age of 68 years (range 49–85 years). The Child–Pugh class was A in 27 patients and B in 7 patients. There were 16 patients with BCLC stage B HCC beyond the Up-to-seven criteria and 18 with BCLC stage C HCC. Fifteen patients had portal vein invasion and 11 patients were classified as high risk based on tumor invasion of the main portal vein (Vp4) and/or bile duct, and/or tumor occupancy of \( \geq 50\% \) of the liver. The median treatment interval was 2.2 months (95% confidence interval [CI] 0.7–42.2 months).

**OS**

The median OS was 13 months (95% CI 9.1–21.8 months; Figure 1). The median OS was 10.1 months (95% CI 7.0–25.6 months) in patients with BCLC stage B HCC beyond the Up-to-seven criteria and 13 months (95% CI 7.1–21.8 months)
in patients with BCLC stage C HCC, without a significant difference (p=0.724). The median OS was not significantly different between patients without portal vein invasion (14.1 months, 95% CI 7.1–21.8 months) and patients with portal vein invasion (10.1 months, 95% CI 3.3–40.4 months)(p=0.865). The median OS in patients with high-risk HCC was 7 months (95% CI 3.0–25.4 months), which was not significantly different to that of 18 months (95% CI 9.6–21.9 months).

### Table 1 Patient Characteristics (N = 34)

| Variables                                           | Value                          |
|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Age (years)                                         | 68 (49–85)                     |
| Sex (male/female)                                   | 25/9                           |
| Weight (kg)                                         | 62 (36–85)                     |
| Etiology (HBV/HCV/HBV+HCV/alcohol/other)            | 6/15/1/3/9                     |
| ECOG PS (0/1)                                       | 32/2                           |
| Child–Pugh grade (A/B)                              | 27/7                           |
| Child–Pugh score (5/6/7/8/9)                         | 19/8/4/2/1                     |
| BCLC (stage B [beyond Up-to-seven]/stage C)         | 16/18                          |
| mALBI (1/2a/2b/3)                                   | 17/5/11/1                      |
| AFP (ng/mL)                                         | 152.8 (2.4–1,276,200)          |
| PIVKA-II (mAU/mL)                                   | 1619.5 (17–331,070)            |
| Macroscopic classification (SN/SN-EG/CMN/infiltrative) | 15/1/5/12                      |
| Portal vein invasion (Vp0/Vp1/Vp2/Vp3/Vp4)          | 19/0/4/3/8                     |
| High-risk classification                            | 11                             |
| Bile duct invasion (B4)                             | 1                              |
| Number of nodules (1–4/5–10/11–20/21–30/≥31)       | 2/5/7/3/4                      |
| Unilobar/bilobar                                    | 7/25                           |
| Previous treatment (TACE at another hospital/surgery/RFA/systemic chemotherapy/RT/TCAE at our hospital/none) | 17/10/9/5/3/23/3               |
| Subsequent treatment (TACE at another hospital/surgery/RFA/systemic chemotherapy/RT/TCAE at our hospital/unknown) | 2/0/0/5/3/31/1                 |
| Treatment interval (months)                         | 2.2 (0.7–42.2)                 |

**Note:** Values are reported as the median (range) or number of patients.

**Abbreviations:** HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; BCLC, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; mALBI, modified albumin–bilirubin grade; AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; PIVKA-II, protein induced by vitamin K absence or antagonist-II; SN, simple nodular; SN-EG, simple nodular with extranodular growth; CMN, confluent multinodular; Vp0, no tumor invasion of the portal vein; Vp1, tumor invasion of the third branch of the portal vein; Vp2, tumor invasion of the second branch of the portal vein; Vp3, tumor invasion of the first branch of the portal vein; Vp4, tumor in the main portal trunk or the opposite-side portal branch; B4, tumor invasion of the common hepatic duct; TACE, transarterial chemoembolization; RFA, radiofrequency ablation; RT, radiotherapy.
in non-high-risk patients (p=0.201). The median OS in patients using 25–50 μm SAP-MS was 18 months (95% CI 10.5–25.4 months), which was significantly different from that of 6.0 months (95% CI 2.7–14.1 months) in patients using 50–100 μm SAP-MS (p=0.011).

Safety
There were no procedure-related complications during treatment, treatment-related deaths, adverse events associated with intra-arterial infusion of bevacizumab, or grade ≥3 adverse events. Grade 2 adverse events occurred in one patient during hospitalization and in another patient after discharge. Grade 1 adverse events were infrequent (Table 2).

Table 2 Post-Embolization Syndrome

| Adverse Event                | During Hospitalization | After Discharge |
|------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| Fever (grade 1/2)            | 2/0                    | 4/0             |
| Pain (grade 1/2)             | 2/1                    | 1/0             |
| Fatigue (grade 1/2)          | 0/0                    | 7/0             |
| Anorexia (grade 1/2)         | 0/0                    | 2/1             |
| Nausea and vomiting (grade 1/2) | 0/0                | 0/0             |

Note: Values are expressed as the number of grade 1/grade 2 events.
Child–Pugh class did not change after treatment in 30 of 34 patients, worsened in three patients, and improved in one patient. None of the patients experienced grade ≥2 increases in AST, ALT, or ALP. The ALBI score increased temporarily on the day after treatment but improved at 1 month after treatment (Table 3). The mean hospital stay after treatment was 1.7 ± 0.7 days.

Tumor Responses
Tumor responses were evaluated in 21 patients with measurable lesions. There were three patients with PR and 18 with SD (Figure 2). Therefore, the ORR was 14.2% (3/21) and the DCR was 100% (21/21). CE-CT images before and 1 month after treatment are shown in Figure 3 for one patient with PR.

Prognostic Factors
Significant prognostic factors were alcoholic liver disease, Child–Pugh score of ≥8, and microsphere size of 50–100 μm (Table 4).

Discussion
In this analysis of patients who underwent DEM-TACE combined with intra-arterial infusion of bevacizumab for treating uHCC, the median OS was 13 months (95% CI 9.1–21.8 months), which is comparable to the median OS (8.5–19.2 months) for currently approved systemic therapies.8,9,32–35 Regarding the safety of the treatment, adverse events were infrequent and of low severity. This may represent a valuable advantage compared with current treatments for uHCC. Although most of the patients (85.7%) had SD, the ability to repeat treatment at the time of disease progression without a marked deterioration of liver function may have contributed to long-term disease control and prolonged prognosis.

### Table 3 Biochemistry, Hematology, and ALBI Score

|                         | Before Treatment | Day After Treatment | 1 Month After Treatment |
|-------------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| ALB (g/dL)              | 3.85 (2.8–4.6)   | 3.3 (2.7–4.4)       | 3.65 (2.4–4.6)          |
| T-Bil (mg/dL)           | 0.85 (0.4–4.1)   | 0.9 (0.4–4.1)       | 0.9 (0.3–24.1)          |
| ALP (U/L)               | 403.5 (159–3276) | 365 (132–2833)      | 372 (162–1881)          |
| AST (U/L)               | 54.5 (24–350)    | 69 (26–273)         | 66.5 (22–273)           |
| ALT (U/L)               | 36.5 (7–232)     | 42 (12–285)         | 42.5 (7–265)            |
| LDH (U/L)               | 246 (170–1187)   | 258 (152–1069)      | 259.5 (166–931)         |
| Cre (mg/dL)             | 0.755 (0.46–1.5) | 0.76 (0.45–1.52)    | 0.77 (0.42–1.52)        |
| CRP (mg/dL)             | 0.36 (0.03–0.46) | 0.53 (0.03–4.53)    | 0.31 (0.02–4.03)        |
| WBC (*100)              | 47.5 (25–77)     | 58 (18–116)         | 42.5 (21–74)            |
| Hb (g/dL)               | 13.05 (6.7–16.1) | 12.3 (5.6–15.8)     | 12.7 (6.5–16)           |
| PLT (*10,000)           | 11.25 (5.1–27.4) | 11.1 (3.7–22.2)     | 12.1 (4.2–28.3)         |
| NEUT (*100)             | 27.5 (12.1–64.7) | 41.7 (13.2–107)     | 27.6 (12.3–56.8)        |
| PT (%)                  | 87 (57–114)      | 84 (53–112)         | 84.5 (59–114)           |
| AFP (ng/mL)             | 152.8 (2.4–1,276,200) | –                 | 135.3 (2.2–975,900)     |
| PIVKA-II (mAU/mL)       | 1619.5 (17–331,070) | –                 | 1479 (16–375,480)       |
| ALBI score (grade)      | −2.59 (−3.16 to −1.37) | −2.05 (−3.07 to −1.21) | −2.39 (−3.19 to −0.98) |

Note: Values are expressed as the median.

Abbreviations: ALB, albumin; T-Bil, total bilirubin; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; Cre, Creatinine; CRP, C-reactive protein; WBC, white blood cell count; NEUT, neutrophil count; Hb, hemoglobin; PLT, platelet count; PT, prothrombin time; AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; PIVKA-II, protein induced by vitamin K absence or antagonist-II; ALBI, albumin–bilirubin score.
Using sorafenib, achieving SD for a long period of time is considered one of the most important goals for improving survival.\textsuperscript{36} This concept also applies to the treatment used in this study. The majority of patients in this study were not indicated for cTACE and about half of the patients had portal vein invasion. Portal vein invasion is associated with worst prognosis,\textsuperscript{37} with an OS of 2–4 months in untreated patients.\textsuperscript{38} In our study, we found no significant difference in OS between patients with or without portal vein invasion. In our experience, two patients with portal vein tumor thrombosis extending into the main trunk of the portal vein survived for more than 2 years with DEM-TACE combined with intra-arterial infusion of bevacizumab.\textsuperscript{39} This treatment method is expected to be effective regardless of the presence or absence of portal vein invasion. In this study, most of the patients with BCLC stage C HCC had portal vein invasion. We consider that there was no significant difference in OS between patients with BCLC stage B HCC beyond the Up-to-seven criteria and patients with BCLC stage C HCC because this treatment had similar results in patients with and without portal vein invasion. Recently, it was reported that hepatic arterial infusion chemotherapy (HAIC) is more effective than sorafenib in patients with portal vein invasion.\textsuperscript{40,41} However, considering the technical difficulty, length of hospital stay, complications and side effects, the treatment used here is technically simple and was associated with few side effects and short hospital stay, which may preserve the patient’s quality of life. In an updated analysis of the
IMbrave150 study, a global, multicenter, open-label Phase 3 trial, the median OS was 7.6 months (95% CI 6.6–12.8 months) in the high-risk group.

In a multicenter analysis of the efficacy of lenvatinib in patients with highly advanced HCC, the median OS was 201 days in patients with a tumor thrombus in the main trunk of the portal vein (VP4) and 229 days in patients whose tumor occupied more than 50% of the liver. In our study, the median OS in the high-risk group was 7.0 months (95% CI 3.0–25.4 months), similar to the values reported in those earlier studies. Therefore, if systemic therapy is not effective in patients with high-risk HCC, the treatment used here could be considered before selecting best supportive care.

The key benefits of the treatment used here, including the preservation of liver function, and the low frequency and low severity of adverse events, may be attributed to the use of microspheres and the fact that we did intend to completely halt blood flow during embolization. The use of microspheres may also contribute to the successful outcomes of TACE in patients with portal vein invasion without hepatic failure or hepatic infarction. Because microspheres do not reach the portal vein or sinusoids, their use can preserve portal vein blood flow and reduce the risk of hepatic infarction. The PRECISION V multicenter Phase 2 study, which compared chemoembolization with drug-eluting beads (DEB-TACE).

| Variable                          | Univariate Analysis | Multivariable Analysis | Backward Stepwise Method |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
|                                  | HR (95% CI)         | P-value                | HR (95% CI)              | P-value |
| Age (≥69 years)                  | 1.7 (0.8–3.6)       | 0.167                  |                          |         |
| Sex (female)                     | 2.6 (1.1–5.9)       | 0.025                  | 2.6 (1.0–6.7)            | 0.052   |
| HBV                              | 1.0 (0.4–3.0)       | 0.962                  |                          |         |
| HCV                              | 0.5 (0.3–1.4)       | 0.273                  |                          |         |
| Alcohol                          | 3.6 (1.0–12.9)      | 0.046                  | 3.0 (0.7–13.0)           | 0.149   |
| BCLC stage C                     | 0.9 (0.4–1.9)       | 0.724                  |                          |         |
| Child–Pugh score ≥8              | 11.4 (2.8–46.6)     | <0.001                 | 15.1 (2.8–80.1)          | <0.001  |
| mALBI (2b+3)                     | 1.4 (0.6–2.9)       | 0.414                  |                          |         |
| ALBI (2+3)                       | 1.9 (0.9–3.9)       | 0.107                  |                          |         |
| CMN type                         | 1.8 (0.7–4.7)       | 0.259                  |                          |         |
| CMN type + infiltrative type     | 1.5 (0.7–3.2)       | 0.320                  |                          |         |
|AFP (>100 ng/mL)                 | 2.2 (1.0–4.8)       | 0.049                  | 1.6 (0.7–3.9)            | 0.256   |
| Portal vein invasion             | 0.9 (0.4–2.0)       | 0.865                  |                          |         |
| Vp3+Vp4                          | 0.8 (0.4–2.0)       | 0.664                  |                          |         |
| Vp4                              | 1.3 (0.5–3.1)       | 0.620                  |                          |         |
| High-risk HCC                    | 1.7 (0.8–3.7)       | 0.201                  |                          |         |
| Microsphere size (50–100 μm)     | 2.8 (1.3–6.2)       | 0.011                  | 3.4 (1.4–8.1)            | 0.005   |
| Ascites                          | 1.1 (0.5–2.6)       | 0.851                  |                          |         |
| Bilobar                          | 1.1 (0.4–3.0)       | 0.842                  |                          |         |
| Number of nodules (≥11)          | 1.3 (0.5–3.6)       | 0.618                  |                          |         |
| Number of nodules (≥21)          | 1.5 (0.6–4.0)       | 0.431                  |                          |         |

**Abbreviations**: HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, Hepatitis C virus; BCLC, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; mALBI, modified albumin–bilirubin grade; ALBI, albumin–bilirubin grade; CMN, confluent multinodular; AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; Vp3, tumor invasion of the first branch of the portal vein; Vp4, tumor in the main portal trunk or the opposite-side portal branch.

IMbrave150 study, a global, multicenter, open-label Phase 3 trial, the median OS was 7.6 months (95% CI 6.6–12.8 months) in the high-risk group. In a multicenter analysis of the efficacy of lenvatinib in patients with highly advanced HCC, the median OS was 201 days in patients with a tumor thrombus in the main trunk of the portal vein (VP4) and 229 days in patients whose tumor occupied more than 50% of the liver. In our study, the median OS in the high-risk group was 7.0 months (95% CI 3.0–25.4 months), similar to the values reported in those earlier studies. Therefore, if systemic therapy is not effective in patients with high-risk HCC, the treatment used here could be considered before selecting best supportive care.
and cTACE, showed that the anticancer drug-related side effects and liver dysfunction were significantly milder with DEB-TACE, and that DEB-TACE was associated with a higher response rate in the Child–Pugh B, multiple tumors in both lobes, recurrence after TACE, and poor performance status subgroups.\textsuperscript{17} In a recent prospective randomized controlled trial that compared DEB-TACE and cTACE, the frequency of post-embolization syndrome and the increases in T-Bil and ALT were significantly lower in the DEB-TACE group.\textsuperscript{44} DEB-TACE was also reported to be a safe and effective treatment for intermediate and advanced HCC, and it can be repeated in patients with cirrhosis and decreased liver function.\textsuperscript{18,45} Because maintaining liver function is the most important aspect of treating HCC, the current data suggest that DEB-TACE is more suitable than cTACE in patients similar to those included in our study. The low frequency and low severity of adverse events may also contribute to shorter hospital stay after TACE. Such factors may also lead to an improvement in the patient’s quality of life.

Bevacizumab has recently become first-line therapy for uHCC in combination with atezolizumab,\textsuperscript{8} and the clinical effects of bevacizumab are attracting increasing attention. Bevacizumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody that targets VEGF-A, an isoform of VEGF that stimulates the proliferation and migration of endothelial cells. Bevacizumab specifically binds to VEGF-A, and thereby inhibits angiogenesis.\textsuperscript{46} TACE increases VEGF production in the residual surviving cancerous tissue.\textsuperscript{20} Jia et al reported that the serum hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF-1α) and VEGF levels in patients with HCC were significantly higher than those in healthy volunteers.\textsuperscript{19} The serum HIF-1α and VEGF levels reached peak values at 1 day after TACE. Although the levels decreased 1 week after TACE, they were still significantly greater than the levels measured before TACE. At 1 month after TACE, the serum HIF-1α and VEGF levels were significantly lower in patients with CR than in the group of patients with PR, SD, or PD.\textsuperscript{19} This increase in VEGF is thought to contribute to disease recurrence after TACE.\textsuperscript{19,47} Bevacizumab also normalizes tumor vasculature and improves the tumor uptake of coadministered anticancer drugs.\textsuperscript{22}

In recent years, researchers have also become more interested in the effects of bevacizumab on the immune system. Bevacizumab is thought to reduce myeloid derived suppressor cells and regulatory T cells, thereby releasing immunosuppression of the tumor microenvironment.\textsuperscript{48,49} Therefore, TACE combined with intra-arterial infusion of bevacizumab may suppress hypoxia-induced VEGF secretion, inhibit invasion and metastasis, and normalize the tumor vasculature to enhance the effect of TACE. Prior studies have reported the safety and antitumor efficacy of concurrent TACE and intravenous bevacizumab.\textsuperscript{47} It was reported that TACE plus intravenous bevacizumab diminished neovessel formation, detected by angiography, compared with TACE alone.\textsuperscript{50} A systematic review of Phase 2 trials of bevacizumab for advanced HCC suggested that it may be a relatively effective and tolerable treatment. In that review, the trials had median PFS and OS of 5.3–9.0 and 5.9–13.7 months, respectively, with disease control rates ranging from 51.1% to 76.9% and response rates ranging from 0% to 23.7%\textsuperscript{51}.

Regarding intra-arterial infusion of bevacizumab, animal experiments have confirmed the antitumor effects of hepatic arterial infusion of bevacizumab for treating liver metastases from colorectal cancer.\textsuperscript{52} In addition, for brain tumors, bevacizumab has been injected into the cerebral artery, and no side effects due to intra-arterial infusion were reported.\textsuperscript{53} We also observed no adverse events associated with intra-arterial infusion of bevacizumab. In ophthalmology, bevacizumab is locally administered, and it has been reported that higher concentrations are more effective.\textsuperscript{54} Intra-arterial infusion of bevacizumab can reduce the total dose required because this administration method increases the local concentration without greatly increasing systemic exposure. In our study, the dose was limited to 200 mg per procedure. Therefore, administering a low dose of bevacizumab can help to reduce side effects and cost.

We found that patients treated with 50–100 µm SAP-MS had a worse prognosis than patients treated with 25–50 µm SAP-MS. This might be due to selection bias because no clear criteria were established for selecting the size of SAP-MS. Malagary et al reported that the use of smaller microspheres was associated with greater local efficacy and better survival in patients with intermediate or advanced HCC,\textsuperscript{18} which is compatible with our results. It is important to consider that SAP-MS show significant swelling (by about four times) in serum.\textsuperscript{55} Thus, 50–100 µm SAP-MS swell to about 200–400 µm, which exceeds the standard size of 100–300 µm for other spherical embolic materials. So far, Hepasphere with a size of 30–60 µm has been marketed, and several studies have examined the efficacy and safety.\textsuperscript{56,57} The Child–Pugh score is a well-known prognostic factor for HCC,\textsuperscript{58,59} and we found that OS was significantly shorter in patients with a Child–Pugh score of ≥8 than in patients with a score of 5–7. This suggests
that patients with a Child–Pugh score of ≤7 may experience a greater benefit of this treatment strategy. We also note that, in the intermediate stage subclassification, patients with Child–Pugh scores of 7 and 8 are classified into different groups. 36 Prior reports have also shown differences in prognosis and frequency of complications between patients with scores of 7 and 8 among those with Child–Pugh class B. 60–62 We also found that alcoholic liver disease was a poor prognostic factor. Although this finding is not common, several European studies have shown that alcohol-related HCC has a worse prognosis than non-alcohol-related HCC, not because alcohol-related HCC is more aggressive or shows worse treatment outcomes, but instead because delayed diagnosis appeared to affect prognosis. 63,64 Because of the small number of patients with alcoholic liver disease in our study, it will be necessary to increase the number of patients for future studies.

This study has three limitations to discuss. First, it was a single-arm, single-center retrospective study with a small sample size. Second, we did not perform any comparisons with other treatment modalities such as cTACE or DEM-TACE without intra-arterial infusion of bevacizumab. Finally, the dose of bevacizumab, the types and doses of anticancer drugs, the size of SAP-MS, and the treatment methods varied among the patients.

**Conclusion**
This is the first report to describe the efficacy and safety of DEM-TACE combined with intra-arterial infusion of bevacizumab in patients with uHCC. The results show acceptable safety and efficacy of this treatment; therefore, we believe it will become a new treatment option for uHCC. In the future, we hope to increase the number of patients and further evaluate the efficacy and safety of this treatment, as well as determine the optimal dose of bevacizumab for intra-arterial infusion.
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