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ABSTRACT

Context. The origin of massive runaway stars is an important unsolved problem in astrophysics. Two main scenarios have been proposed, namely: dynamical ejection or release from a binary at the first core collapse. However, their relative contribution remains heavily debated. Aims. Taking advantage of two large spectroscopic campaigns towards massive stars in 30 Doradus, we aim to provide observational constraints on the properties of the O-type runaway population in the most massive active star-forming region in the Local Group. Methods. We used radial velocity measurements of the O-type star populations in 30 Doradus obtained by the VLT-FLAMES Tarantula Survey and the Tarantula Massive Binary Monitoring to identify single and binary O-type runaways. Here, we discuss the rotational properties of the detected runaways and qualitatively compare the observations with expectations of ejection scenarios. Results. We identified 23 single and one binary O-type runaway objects, most of them located outside the main star-forming regions in 30 Doradus. We find an overabundance of rapid rotators ($v_\text{sin}i > 200$ km s$^{-1}$) among the runaway population, thus providing an explanation for the observed overabundance of rapidly rotating stars in the 30 Doradus field. Considerations of the projected rotation rates and runaway line-of-sight velocities reveal a conspicuous absence of rapidly rotating ($v_\text{sin}i > 210$ km s$^{-1}$), fast-moving ($v_\text{tan} > 60$ km s$^{-1}$) runaway stars in our sample, strongly suggesting the presence of two different populations of runaway stars: a population of rapidly spinning but slowly moving runaway stars and a population of fast-moving but slowly rotating ones. These are detected with a ratio close to 2:1 in our sample. Conclusions. We argue that slowly moving but rapidly spinning runaway stars result from binary ejections, while rapidly moving but slowly spinning runaways could result from dynamical ejections. Given that detection biases will more strongly impact the slow-moving runaway population, our results suggest that the binary evolution scenario dominates the current massive runaway star population in 30 Doradus.
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1. Introduction

Massive runaway stars (Blaauw 1961) hurtle through space with speeds of a few tens to a couple of hundreds of km s$^{-1}$. During their lifetimes, they can travel large distances (up to 1000s of pc) from their birthplaces, meaning that they have the potential to inject radiative and mechanical energy as well as processed chemical elements deep into the interstellar – and sometimes intergalactic – medium (Ceverino & Klypin 2009; Andersson et al. 2020).

Two distinct mechanisms have been proposed to explain their origin: dynamical interaction in a dense star cluster (Blaauw 1961; Gies & Bolton 1986) or release from a close binary system when the companion explodes as a supernova (Zwicky 1957; Stone 1991). Both of these are believed to occur in nature (Hoogerwerf et al. 2000), but their relative efficiency as well as the resulting ejection rates and velocity distributions have been a topic of debate over the past 50 years (Blaauw 1961; Hoogerwerf et al. 2001; Jilinski et al. 2010; Dorigo Jones et al. 2020). Understanding these mechanisms and their relative contributions is pivotal for quantifying the feedback of massive stars in the broader context of reionization and the chemical enrichment of galaxies (Conroy & Kratter 2012).

The 30 Doradus region (i.e., 30 Dor) in the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC) is the nearest strong starburst and it contains one of the richest massive-star populations in the Local Group of galaxies. Therefore, 30 Dor gives us a unique laboratory for obtaining statistically meaningful observational constraints on the properties of massive stars, including those of runaways. In particular, the massive young cluster R136 (at the centre of 30 Dor) is expected to provide a suitably dense environment for dynamical interactions to occur, but is likely too young to have produce any supernova. Moreover, the metallicity of the LMC is $\sim$50% solar (e.g., Banerjee et al. 2012; Lebouteiller et al. 2019), so 30 Dor provides a valuable window into the properties of massive stars in conditions that are comparable to those characterising the peak of star formation in the Universe.

The short lifetimes of O-type stars allow us to obtain a (nearly) real-time snapshot of the production of massive runaways in 30 Dor, while also minimizing possible interlopers that would originate from outside our studied region. Here we employ measurements from multi-epoch spectroscopy of...
339 massive O-type stars obtained at the Very Large Telescope (VLT) in the framework of the VLT-FLAMES Tarantula Survey (VFTS; Evans et al. 2011), combined with follow-up spectroscopy obtained over 20 months to monitor 74 of the 118 identified O-type binaries (Almeida et al. 2017). Finally, we contrast the specific line-of-sight velocities ($v_{\text{los}}$) of the identified runaways and their projected spins in an attempt to separate out their production channels.

2. Observational data

2.1. The VLT-FLAMES Tarantula Survey

The bulk of the data used in this work were acquired as part of the VFTS (Evans et al. 2011), a 160h Large Programme at the European Southern Observatory (ESO). Executed from 2009 to 2010, the VFTS obtained multi-epoch optical spectroscopy of 800 OB-type stars in the 30 Dor region. The targets spanned a field 20' in diameter (~300 pc) centred on the R136 cluster (see Fig. 1), but avoiding most of the inner 1'-region and, hence, most of R136 itself due to crowding (see, however, Hénault-Brunet et al. 2012, for an attempt to get closer). The data were obtained with the Fibre Large Array Multi-Element Spectrograph (FLAMES, Pasquini et al. 2002), using its Medusa fibres to feed the Giraffe spectrograph with light from up to 132 targets simultaneously. The LR02 and LR03 settings of the low-resolution grating were used to provide continuous coverage of 3960–5050 Å at a spectral resolving power, $\lambda/\Delta \lambda$, of ~7500. The Hz region was also observed for each target at $\lambda/\Delta \lambda = 15000$ with the HR15N setting.

The observations and data reduction were described by Evans et al. (2011). Spectral classification, extinction properties, absolute luminosities, absolute radial velocities (RVs), projected rotational velocities ($v_\text{rot} \sin i$) and atmospheric parameters of the O-type stars in the survey have been presented in various papers in the VFTS series (Sana et al. 2013; Ramírez-Aguudelo et al. 2013; Maíz Apellániz et al. 2014; Sabín-Sanjulián et al. 2014; Walborn et al. 2014). Evolutionary parameters (age; $\tau$; current mass: $M_{\text{eq}}$) were estimated for each object using a Bayesian method that matches selected observational constraints to stellar evolutionary models (Brott et al. 2011), while accounting for the observational uncertainties (Schneider et al. 2018).

O-type spectroscopic binaries and binary candidates were identified from RV variations (Sana et al. 2013). Of the 339 O-type stars observed by the VFTS, 185 showed no statistically-significant RV variations and are presumed to be single stars (although some of them will inevitably be undetected binaries; see additional considerations below on the binary detection completeness). A further 36 stars displayed significant RV variations, but with peak-to-peak amplitudes of less than 20 km s$^{-1}$. These are either spectroscopic binaries or stars displaying photospheric activity (Almeida et al. 2017; Simón-Díaz et al. 2020). The remaining 118 objects, with peak-to-peak RV variations >20 km s$^{-1}$, are considered strong spectroscopic binary candidates (Sana et al. 2013).

2.2. The Tarantula massive binary monitoring

Long-term spectroscopic monitoring of 76 of the 118 O-type binary candidates was obtained over October 2012 to March 2014 in the Tarantula Massive Binary Monitoring programme (TMBM, Almeida et al. 2017). This enabled the detailed characterisation of a representative fraction (65%) of the known massive spectroscopic binaries in 30 Dor. The TMBM obtained 32 spectra of each system with the LR02 setting, with the observations sampling timescales of days and weeks up to 20 months.

The data were reduced and RVs were estimated using identical methods to those employed for the VFTS data (Evans et al. 2011; Sana et al. 2013). We measured the orbital periods through a Fourier analysis and adjusted the RV curves to obtain the orbital parameters (Cumming 2004), including a refined orbital period, eccentricity, semi-amplitude of the RV curve, systemic velocity, and ephemeris. Further characterisations of the double- and single-line spectroscopic binaries were reported in Mahy et al. (2020a,b) and Shenar et al. (2022a,b), respectively.

We rejected four systems with tentative orbital periods >510 days (length of the observational campaign) as strong aliasing did not allow us to firmly derive the orbital properties. The resulting estimates of systemic velocities of the remaining 72 binaries allowed us to search for runaway binary systems using the same approach as for single stars.

3. Identifying runaway stars through their peculiar line-of-sight velocity

We identify runaway stars as those with estimated RVs that deviate by more than $3\sigma$ from the systemic RV of the O-star population in the survey. Our analysis proceeded as follows. From the 339 O-type objects in the VFTS (Walborn et al. 2014), we selected those without significant RV variations, namely, the 185 presumed single stars. We first measured the systemic and $1\sigma$ dispersion of the single stars using the unweighted mean and dispersion of the RV sample and a $k-\sigma$ clipping to reject outliers. We also computed generalized RV histograms for the different O-star populations in the observed field, which we fit using Gaussian distributions (Fig. 2). The systemic velocities ($v_{\text{sys}}$) and dispersions ($\sigma_v$) obtained from these two methods and for the different O-star populations are in excellent agreement.
agreement (Table 1). In doing so, we used the same definition of the NGC 2070 and NGC 2060 regions – the two main O-star associations in the 30 Dor region – as adopted in the VFTS (Sana et al. 2013). These are displayed in Fig. 1. The population of O star outside the two regions are indicated as ‘remaining’ in Table 1. In the following, we use the estimate of $\sigma$ obtained from the generalized histograms, as these take into account the individual error bars.

We flagged objects as runaway stars if their line-of-sight velocity ($v_{\text{los}}$) differs by more than $3\sigma$ ($25.8 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) from the systemic velocity of NGC 2070 ($v_{\text{los}}^{2070}$), which dominates the O-star population of 30 Dor (Fig. 1). We note that the mean systemic velocities of stars in the NGC 2060 and NGC 2070 differ by $\sim 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, but that all the runaways identified below are either outside NGC 2060 or have RVs that differ by more than $3\sigma$ from the systemic velocity of NGC 2060. Thus, there is no confusion on their runaway nature, even in the case where NGC 2060 is their parent cluster.

The peculiar line-of-sight velocity ($\delta v_{\text{los}}$) of the runaway stars (both the single stars and binary systems from the TMBM) is thus given by:

$$\delta v_{\text{los}} = |v_{\text{los}} - v_{\text{los}}^{2070}| > v_{\text{thres}}.$$  \hfill (1)

In total, 23 (presumed) single stars and one binary meet our RV threshold ($v_{\text{thres}} = 25.8 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), yielding an observed runaway fraction of $7 \pm 1\%$ among the O-type population of 30 Dor, where binomial statistics has been used to compute the observational uncertainties. Adopting the canonical criterion (Hoogerwerf et al. 2000, 2001) of a peculiar velocity larger than $30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ would reduce the number of single runaway stars by two and remove the only qualifying runaway binary system. However, it would not change the conclusions of this Letter. No other runaway stars were identified in the sample with significant RV variations below $20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

The spectroscopic binary system that qualifies as a candidate runaway system is VFTS 661 ($\delta v_{\text{los}} = 26.4 \pm 7.1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). VFTS 661 is a short period ($P \sim 1.3 \text{ d}$) detached double-line spectroscopic and eclipsing binary. Unfortunately, the large uncertainty on its systemic velocity, combined with its proximity to the RV threshold, precludes a firm identification.

Most of the identified runaways have peculiar velocities of up to $60 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, but five have even larger velocities of up to $98 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (Table 2). The identified runaways are mostly located outside the two main OB associations in the region, NGC 2060 and NGC 2070 (Fig. 1). The fraction of runaway stars in these regions is $4 \pm 2\%$ and $6 \pm 2\%$, respectively, while it reaches $23 \pm 5\%$ in the field outside the two associations. This finding is in line with the runaway nature of the objects and strongly suggests that the massive-star field population in 30 Dor is largely composed of stars ejected from the main associations, albeit some of them with a low velocity and/or with unfavourable line-of-sight motions.

The true number of runaway stars in 30 Dor is indeed expected to be much larger as three main observational effects impact our detection: the fact that our selection method is only sensitive to runaway stars that have sufficient line-of-sight velocities ($v_{\text{los}} > 25.8 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), the finite size of our field of investigation ($20^\circ$ in diameter), and the completeness fraction of the survey ($\sim 0.7$). Contamination of our single star sample by undetected binaries would result in false detections, but this latter bias is largely outweighed by the former three effects. Detailed modeling of these biases is beyond the scope of this Letter but a factor of about two to four is to be expected between the detected and true numbers of runaways in the 30 Dor region.

4. Rotational properties of runaway stars

4.1. Projected rotational velocity distribution

We adopted the projected rotational velocities measured in Ramírez-Agudelo et al. (2013) for presumably single O stars. Typical uncertainties are of the order of $20–30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Figure 3 shows the cumulative projected spin distributions for stars identified as runaways and those that are not. A Kolmogorov–Smirnov test indicates that the two samples show differences with a significance level better than 5%. The probability of drawing by chance, from the non-runaway sample, a number of fast rotators ($v_{\text{los}} > v_{\text{cuto}}$) as large as the one observed in the runaway sample drops well below 1% as soon as $v_{\text{cuto}}$ is larger than $200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. This again suggests that the two distributions differ significantly. The confidence of the results is consistently better than $2.5\sigma$ for $v_{\text{cuto}} > 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and even reaches $3\sigma$ above $300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Thus, there appears to be a cut-off value above which the rotational properties of the runaway and non-runaway populations are incompatible with one another, with the runaway population being preferentially populated by rapidly spinning stars. The overabundance of fast rotators increases exponentially towards larger spinning rates. It is about a factor of two above $200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, a factor of three above $300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, and a factor of six above $400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

Interestingly, fast rotators are more abundant in the single O-star sample outside the NGC 2060 and 2070 OB associations than within these associations. Indeed, $32 \pm 6\%$ among the former have $v_{\text{los}} > 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, with only $20 \pm 3\%$ among the latter. It seems therefore plausible that this overabundance of fast rotators outside the main OB associations results from runaway stars spreading across the 30 Dor field of view.

4.2. Rapidly spinning vs. fast-moving runaways

Simultaneous considerations of the projected rotational ($v_{\theta} \sin i$) and peculiar line-of-sight ($\delta v_{\text{los}}$) velocities reveal distinct runaway populations (Fig. 4): a rapidly spinning, slow-moving group and a slowly spinning, fast-moving group. The absence
of rapidly spinning fast-moving runaway stars is significant to better than 99% independent of various assumptions that can be made on the parent runaway velocity distributions. This reveals a zone of avoidance in the \( v_s \sin i - \Delta \theta_{\text{los}} \) parameter space: a runaway desert. The existence of this runaway desert is supported by the \textit{Gaia} DR3 proper motion measurements of our sample stars, as discussed in the Appendix.

### 5. Discussion and conclusions

Fast rotation is one of the clearest signatures of post-binary interaction systems (Renzo et al. 2019). Under the assumption of continuous star formation, theory predicts (de Mink et al. 2013) that about 19% of the stars might be spun up to \( v_s \sin i > 200 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) either through coalescence (5%) or mass-transfer (14%). A small fraction (\( \approx 4\% \)) of objects are interacting but not significantly spun up (slow case-A interaction Algol-like systems). Aside from merger products (Schneider et al. 2019, 2020), some post-interaction products may also show modest or moderate rotation, because of inclination effects or a lack of significant accretion in non-conservative mass-transfer cases. Tidal locking in relatively tight binaries may also prevent the secondary from being significantly spun up (Sen et al. 2022). The latter systems are also among those that have the largest orbital velocity, so that a fraction of the high-space velocity runaways may show no significant rotational velocity increase, which is in

| VFTS ID | Location | \( v_{\text{los}} \) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \( \Delta \theta_{\text{los}} \) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \( v_s \sin i \) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | Spectral classification | \( M_{\text{act}} \) (\( M_\odot \)) | \( \tau \) (Myr) |
|---------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 012 Out. | 316.8 ± 3.0 | 48.6 | 306 ± 31 | O9 III(n) | 18.8±1.1 | 5.3±0.4 |
| 016 Out. | 189.9 ± 0.4 | 78.3 | 94 ± 30 | O2 III-I(f) | 91.6±1.5 | 0.7±0.1 |
| 102 2060 | 212.0 ± 9.9 | 56.2 | 610 ± 61 | O9: Vnne+ | 37.2±4.5 | <0.9 (b) |
| 138 (a) Out. | 297.7 ± 3.4 | 29.5 | 350 ± 35 | O9 Vn | 19.4±1.2 | 1.3±0.4 |
| 165 2060 | 206.6 ± 0.3 | 61.6 | 75 ± 14 | O9.7 Iab | 31.4±1.6 | 4.6±0.4 |
| 168 2060 | 304.8 ± 0.4 | 36.6 | 39 ± 20 | O8.5 Vz | 23.4±1.0 | 3.1±0.7 |
| 190 Out. | 223.2 ± 1.7 | 45.0 | 444 ± 44 | O7 Vnn(f)(p) | 24.4±1.3 | 5.8±1.3 (b) |
| 226 Out. | 193.9 ± 0.7 | 74.3 | 64 ± 10 | O9.7 III | 15.6±0.6 | 2.7±1.5 |
| 285 (c) | 207 2060 | 229.8 ± 3.8 | 38.6 | 622 ± 62 | O7.5 Vnn | 20.0±1.2 | 1.9±1.2 |
| 306 2070 | 301.6 ± 0.3 | 33.4 | 71 ± 10 | O8.5 II(f) | 30.4±1.3 | 4.3±0.4 |
| 328 Out. | 310.3 ± 3.2 | 42.1 | 238 ± 10 | O9.5 III(n) | 17.2±1.0 | 1.0±1.0 |
| 355 Out. | 301.9 ± 0.4 | 33.7 | 134 ± 26 | O4 V((n))(f)(c)z | 45.8±2.7 | 1.9±0.4 |
| 356 Out. | 338.5 ± 2.0 | 70.3 | 211 ± 42 | O6: Vn(z) | 28.4±1.1 | 2.6±0.9 |
| 370 Out. | 231.5 ± 0.6 | 36.7 | 68 ± 10 | O9.7 III | 16.4±0.7 | 4.3±1.3 |
| 406 2070 | 303.9 ± 1.3 | 35.7 | 356 ± 36 | O6 mn | 32.0±1.0 | 3.3±1.0 (b) |
| 418 (a) | 207 2070 | 298.8 ± 0.4 | 30.6 | 133 ± 13 | O5 V((n))(f)(c)z | 35.0±2.9 | 1.3±0.6 |
| 529 (a) | 207 2070 | 295.6 ± 10.8 | 27.4 | 218 ± 30 | O9.5(n) | 17.4±1.2 | 6.4±1.2 (b) |
| 663 Out. | 305.4 ± 3.6 | 37.2 | 90 ± 10 | O8.5 V | 21.0±1.9 | 2.3±1.3 |
| 722 Out. | 229.4 ± 2.4 | 38.8 | 404 ± 40 | O7 Vnnz | 23.0±1.8 | 3.4±1.4 |
| 724 (a) | 296.8 ± 10.2 | 28.6 | 369 ± 30 | O7 Vnnz | 24.8±3.0 | 3.0±1.5 |
| 755 Out. | 301.9 ± 1.6 | 33.7 | 286 ± 29 | O3 Vn((f)*)) | 50.8±5.4 | 1.7±0.5 |
| 761 Out. | 367.0 ± 0.9 | 98.8 | 111 ± 10 | O6.5 V((n))(f)(c)z | 28.0±1.6 | 1.2±0.6 |
| 797 (a) | 297.4 ± 0.6 | 29.2 | 138 ± 20 | O3.5 V((n))(f)(c)z | 48.6±2.4 | 1.8±0.3 |

Table 2. Properties of the O-type runaway stars detected in 30 Dor.

Notes. Quoted uncertainties are 1σ errors for the velocities (Sana et al. 2013) and 95% confidence interval for the present-day evolutionary masses (\( M_{\text{act}} \)) and ages (\( \tau \)) (Schneider et al. 2018), but for VFTS 661, with the mass estimates taken from Mahy et al. (2020a). (a) At 3σ from the mean RV value of the complete and NGC 2070 samples but not for NGC 2060 (also not spatially associated with NGC 2060). (b) Not passing quality checks of Schneider et al. (2018). (c) Also detected in the proper motion study of Platais et al. (2018).
qualitative agreement with the distribution of runaway stars in the $v_i \sin i$ versus $\delta v_{los}$ plane (Fig. 4).

However, the efficiency of producing fast runaways from binary evolution is model-dependent (Eldridge et al. 2011; Renzo et al. 2019; Evans et al. 2020; Sen et al. 2022). Dynamical interactions occur more often in regions of high stellar density (Fujii & Portegies Zwart 2011), pointing to R136 at the core of 30 Dor as the most likely origin. The possible ongoing merger between R136 and Sabbi 1 (Sabbi et al. 2012) suggests R136 was built from sub-clusters with short timescales for dynamical ejection of O-stars (proportional to the relaxation timescale), possibly creating a favourable environment to further contribute to the dynamically ejected runaway population. In either case, and given its young age (Bestenlehner et al. 2020; Brands et al. 2022), it is unlikely that R136 has been ejecting stars for more than 2.5 Myr.

With 55% of the runaway O-star sample displaying $v_i \sin i > 200$ km s$^{-1}$ (including the two fastest rotating stars of the entire VFTS sample), the 30 Dor runaway population presents a statistically significant overabundance of rapidly-rotating stars, compared to the non-runaway population (25% with $v_i \sin i > 200$ km s$^{-1}$). In addition, the ejection rate of the binary channel increases with age, and the one of the dynamical channel decreases. In regards to the young age of the sample and the fact that detection biases impact comparatively more slow-moving runaways than fast-moving runaways (see Sana et al., in prep.), our results support a predominance of the binary channel in producing the current and future runaway population in 30 Dor. These results contrast with the recent conclusions of Dorigo Jones et al. (2020) on the origin of runaways in the Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC).

Three of our 11 rapidly spinning – likely post-binary interaction – runaway stars have masses in the range of 30–50 solar masses (Table 2). Ignoring dynamical effects, these high masses suggest that at least some dying stars sufficiently massive to form black holes must receive natal kicks that release their companions as runaways. Combined with the recent discovery of X-ray quiet O+BH binaries with significantly different eccentricities (Mahy et al. 2022; Shenar et al. 2022a,b), this would suggest a diversity of collapse scenarios at play. Binary-single and binary-binary exchange interactions in dense clusters can also lead to the ejection of a rapidly rotating stars, reducing the need to invoke BH kicks (Chatterjee & Tan 2012).

Finally, the overall limited contribution of dynamical interaction may be the result of an absence of regions with extremely high stellar density within 30 Dor. This could suggest that R136 only underwent cluster core collapse recently (or not yet), implying a moderate central density at birth. If confirmed, this would challenge the efficiency of massive star formation theories that rely on high stellar densities to significantly contribute to the formation of the most massive stars.

While the Gaia DR3 measurements support our results, the current precision does not allow us to trace back most of the runaways to their ejection locus (Plaïtas et al. 2018), other than some rare cases (Evans et al. 2010; Lennon et al. 2018). Future Gaia data releases will hopefully provide a sufficient view of stellar motions in the three-dimensional space and provide further observational constraints on their space velocity distribution. Comparisons with the observational properties of the Milky Way runaway population that are being revealed by Gaia (Maíz Apellániz et al. 2018) will provide the tools needed to investigate the impact of the environment (metallicity, parent cluster properties, etc.) on the properties of massive-star runaways.
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Appendix A: Gaia DR3 proper motions

We retrieved the Gaia measurements of the 180 VFTS presumably single O stars from the ARI’Heidelberg Data Release 3 archive (Gaia Collaboration 2022) and applied the corrections recommended by Cantat-Gaudin & Brandt (2021) and Maíz Apellániz (2022). We rejected 32 stars with a Gaia renormalised unit weight error (RUWE) fit quality metric above 1.4. The median measurement uncertainty for the Gaia corrected proper motions is 0.07 mas yr$^{-1}$ for our sample, equivalent to $\sim 16$ km s$^{-1}$ given the distance to 30 Dor. The proper motion errors are thus significantly larger than the errors on the line-of-sight velocities. Yet, they are small enough to offer a first challenge to the zone of avoidance identified in Fig 4.

As for the line of sight velocities, we computed the star proper motion with respect to the central region by subtracting the mean proper motion estimated from the median of 70 stars in a 5'' radius around R136, with good RUWE and parallaxes estimates between 0.017 and 0.025 mas: $\vec{pm}_{R136} = (1.7003030 \pm 0.004) \, \text{mas yr}^{-1}$ and $\vec{pm}_{dec,R136} = (0.6841241 \pm 0.005) \, \text{mas yr}^{-1}$. This value is slightly different ($\sim 10$ km s$^{-1}$) than that proposed by (Lennon et al. 2018) $\vec{pm}_{R136} = (1.73863 \pm 0.004) \, \text{mas yr}^{-1}$ and $\vec{pm}_{dec,R136} = (0.701245 \pm 0.004) \, \text{mas yr}^{-1}$, but it is conveniently between the value of (Lennon et al. 2018) and that obtained from the median of DR3 proper motion of the VFTS single O star sample.

Given the likely velocity structure of different regions of the Tarantula nebula (see Table 1), a better precision would require an in-depth investigation that is beyond the present goals.

Figure A.1 (upper row) shows that the runaway desert remains under-populated in the rotational velocity versus right ascension (RA) and declination (Dec.) space velocities. We also computed the space velocities in the tangential plane and the 3D space velocities. Results are displayed in Figure A.1 (bottom row). Unfortunately, the quality of Gaia data for the O-type stars is rather inhomogeneous and likely affected by crowding issue for a significant number of objects. Objects with genuinely large plane-of-sky velocities ($> 100$ km s$^{-1}$) are challenging to disentangle from objects suffering from crowdedness and other measurement biases (Lennon et al. 2018). The completeness level of ‘good’ measurements is even harder to assess so that reliable assessment of observational biases based on GAIA data in 30 Dor will have to wait further investigations.

Still, the region of avoidance remains underpopulated but for one object (VFTS 615). If the large plane velocity of VFTS 615 ($\sim 200$ km s$^{-1}$) is confirmed, this would be the fastest RW object identified in 30 Dor. The reality of this latter finding is far from obvious. Indeed, Platais et al. (2018) combined HST and Gaia data but did not find a significant additional number of rapidly moving massive runaways, confirming once more that our present results are not strongly biased against such objects.

Fig. A.1. Diagram of the projected rotation velocities ($v_{\text{e} \sin i}$) versus peculiar 1D space velocities (along the line of sight, RA and DEC on the top row), as well as plane (2D) and 3D peculiar velocities (bottom row) for the full VFTS single O star sample. We exclude 32 objects with GAIA RUWE above 1.4, as this potentially indicate a poor fit or an unresolved system. The boundary of the RW desert obtained via line-of-sight velocities ($v_{\text{e} \sin i} > 210$ km s$^{-1}$ and $\delta v_{\text{los}} > 60$ km s$^{-1}$) have been corrected on the bottom row to account for the 2D and 3D nature of the observational constraints using scaling factors of $\sqrt{2}$ and $\sqrt{3}$, respectively. The one object in the avoidance region in the 3D velocities panel displays $\delta v_{\text{dec}} \sim 175$ km s$^{-1}$ and $\delta v_{\text{plane}} \sim 200$ km s$^{-1}$, namely, values that are so large that they do not appear on the respective panels.