An alternative valve for mitral valve replacement in young children: using an NO-REACT® INJECTABLE BIOPULMONIC™ prosthesis as a mitral valve replacement in a 14-month-old child
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Abstract

Mitral valve replacement in infants is challenging and there are limited alternative valves available. Since the Boston group published their first report on alternative valves for mitral valve replacement in infants, there has been a growth in the literature on the topic, mostly based on the use of a stented bovine jugular vein graft (Melody™ valve). The challenges of the Melody valve are firstly in its length of 28 mm unexpanded, which has the potential to cause left ventricular outflow tract obstruction, and secondly, the valve needs mechanical dilatation, which is laborious. A modified No-React® Injectable Biopulmonic™ Prosthesis (Bio Integral Surgical, Inc., Mississauga, ON, Canada) which is shorter (19 mm) and simpler in that it is self-expanding was implanted in a 14-month-old child to replace her mitral valve. The operation was successful and the short-term function of the prosthesis is good.
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INTRODUCTION

The challenge of mitral valve replacement in a child is finding a valve that is flexible and malleable allowing for a comfortable insertion without causing obstruction, yet has the potential to expand in a growing child. The aim of our report is to describe the use of a modified No-React® Injectable Biopulmonic™ Prosthesis as an option in mitral valve replacement in young children.

CASE REPORT

A 14-month-old child, with a complete atrioventricular septal defect, underwent mitral valve re-repair after 2 previous repair attempts. The preoperative transthoracic echocardiogram showed dilated left atrium (diameter 30 mm, area 10 cm²), severe mitral valve regurgitation due to a retracted anterior leaflet, an annulus diameter of 17 mm and a preserved ventricular function.

Figure 1: Original (a) and Modified Biopulmonic valve (b).
After an unsatisfactory further attempt of valve repair, the valve was replaced using a modified 19 mm No-React Injectable Biopulmonic valve (Fig. 1B). The prosthesis consists of a porcine pulmonic valve covered with a bovine pericardium sleeve, mounted on a self-expandable nitinol stent. The valve was attached to the mitral annulus using a bovine pericardial skirt with a running suture of polypropylene monofilament. The inner ring of the skirt was sutured to the proximal end of the prosthetic valve, while the outer ring was sutured 1 mm outside the mitral annulus on the atrial side. This leaves ~12 mm of the valve protruding into the left ventricle (Fig. 2A and C). Coming off bypass, the transoesophageal echocardiogram revealed a good function of the valve with a trivial residual regurgitation. The patient was discharged uneventfully. At the 1-year follow-up, the transthoracic echocardiogram showed a mean transvalvular gradient of 8 mmHg, no left ventricle outflow tract gradient and a normal left ventricular function. At the 3-year follow-up, the mitral transvalvular gradient was 6 mmHg, a mild degree of mitral valve regurgitation was noticed, the pulmonary pressure was 20 mmHg and the left ventricular outflow remained unobstructed.

**DISCUSSION**

There are few options when replacing the mitral valve in a child. Replacement with mechanical valves in small children is limited by their dependence on long-term anticoagulation and the need to re-operate in order to accommodate somatic growth. The use of biological prostheses is limited by early degeneration and by the potential for left ventricular outflow tract obstruction. The use of percutaneous pulmonary valves like the Melody® valve [2, 4] (Melody Valve, Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN, USA) or the Edwards Sapien® Valve (Edwards Lifesciences, LLC, Irvine, CA, USA) has been recently proposed as an option in young patients [1, 3]. Our hesitation in using these prostheses lies in that the melody valve is potentially obstructive due to its length (28 mm unexpanded and 23.6 mm expanded), while the Sapien valve has a strong radial force that may interfere with the coronary patency [1, 3].

The indication for BioIntegral valve implantation is the transventricular, off-pump, treatment of pulmonary regurgitation; it is also approved for use in the atrioventricular valve position in compassionate cases, in accordance with the European Health Authorities. Due to the shorter length protruding into the left ventricle, it is potentially less obstructive than percutaneous stented valves. Compared to a mechanical prosthesis, the Biointegral valve has the potential to be expanded later, accommodating for the somatic growth of the patient (Fig. 2B). In terms of durability, the latest generation of Biointegral Injectable Valves has shown a lower propensity towards calcification and degeneration due to its treatment with glutaraldehyde and detoxification by the No-React process [5]. Theoretically, the presence of a soft ring should reduce the pressure on the atrioventricular valve annulus and on the coronaries. To our knowledge, this is the first report of a Biointegral valve implantation in the mitral position in a small child. It proved to be a safe alternative to the conventional and non-conventional prostheses utilized so far. The long-term outcome of using a Biointegral valve for mitral valve replacement should be further evaluated.
replacement in young children and infants is unknown; however, our early results are promising.
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