Incidence of internal parasites of the slaughtered local breeds of ducks and geese
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Abstract

This study was carried out to determine the presence of gastrointestinal parasites of local ducks and geese in Nineveh province. Sixty-four ducks and seventy geese of different ages and sexes were purchased from local markets. Necropsy findings in ducks revealed a total infection rate of 68.8% was with protozoa, 50% was with nematodes, while 28.1% were with cestodes. On the other hand, in geese, the percentages with the mentioned parasites were 78.6% with protozoa, 54.2% with nematodes, 31.4% with cestodes. Four types of nematodes were identified in ducks; Ascaridia galli, Heterakis gallinarum, Heterakis isolonche, and Subulura brompti, the same were also found in geese except Heterakis isolonche. Cestodes identified in ducks and geese were Railletina tetragona, Railletina echinobothrda, Railletina cesticillus and Coantaenia infundibulum. The detected protozoa include Eimeria spp., Tyzeria spp., Wenyonella spp., Cryptosporidia spp., Giardia spp. Double infection with parasite was higher in ducks while the triple infection in geese was the higher.

Introduction

In Iraq, ducks and geese are often raised in cities as home-grown, also in villages and rural areas, as they constitute important proportions of per capita income and to benefit from their meat, eggs and feathers, the intensive breeding of ducks and geese is always associated with parasitic infections (1). Internal parasites, including worms and protozoa, are common in poultry because they are grown outside homes and so exposed to soil that is a source of infection. Worm infection is also associated with unthriftness and poor growth, low eggs production, low percentage of fertilization and mortalities especially in acute cases, the presence of intermediate host and victors in the vicinity of poultry breeding locations, such as beetles, ants, and houseflies are responsible for the transmission and persistence of parasitic infection (2,3). Several studies have been conducted in Iraq and Nineveh governorate on the presence and prevalence of internal parasites in ducks and geese (4-7).

For further studies this research was carried out on ducks and geese delivered from different regions of Nineveh province on the postmortem status to identify the different stages of gastrointestinal tract helminthes and protozoa.

Materials and methods

This study conducted from April 2017 to December 2018, included several regions in Nineveh province including city center, Kokgali, Hamdania, Bazwaya, Khazer, Salamia and Tizkharab bartela, Talkeef. One hundred forty-three, 64 ducks and 70 geese, with different ages (from 5 months to more than one year) and sexes from the owners, were purchased from local markets and from their owners. These birds were reared with healthy and sick chickens showing emaciation, weight loss and...
weakness. Necropsy was done according to (8) after slaughtering of the delivered ducks and geese to the laboratory of parasitology, Department of Microbiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Mosul. All gastrointestinal tracts were partitioned to their segments of crop, proventriculus, gizzard small intestine and caecum. Mucosal scraping was done to each separated segment. Parasitic examination methods of direct, flotation and sedimentation techniques were carried out according to (9-11) to elucidate any parasites or their eggs and oocytes, under light microscopes. The gastrointestinal parasites prevalence rate and mean intensity were calculated according to (12).

**Results**

Among 64 ducks screened, 48 were found positive for gastrointestinal parasites and among 70 geese screened, 41 were found positive for parasites (Table 1).

Out of 48 infected ducks, 32(50%) were found positive for nematoda, 18(7.28%) were positive for cestoda and 44(68.8%) were infected with protozoa (Table 2).

While out of 41 infected geese, 38(54.2%) were found positive for nematoda, 22(31.4%) positive for cestoda and 55 (78.6%) were infected with protozoa (Table 3).

At necropsy, four species of Nematodes are found in ducks, and they were *Ascaridia galli*, *Heterakis gallinarum*, *Heterakis isolonche*, *Subulura brompti* with intensity of 1.3, 2.6, 2.5, and 1.4 respectively (Table 4) (Figures 1-4).

**Table 1:** Total Prevalence rate of internal parasites in duck and geese in Mosul city

| Birds  | No. of examination | No. of infected | %  |
|--------|--------------------|----------------|----|
| Ducks  | 64                 | 48             | 75 |
| Geese  | 70                 | 41             | 58.6 |

**Table 2:** Prevalence rate of internal parasites in ducks

| Parasites | No. of infected | %  |
|-----------|-----------------|----|
| Nematodes | 32              | 50%|
| Cestoda   | 18              | 28.1%|
| Protozoa  | 44              | 68.8%|
| Total     | 94              |    |

**Table 3:** Prevalence rate of internal parasites in geese

| Parasites | No. of infected | %  |
|-----------|-----------------|----|
| Nematodes | 38              | 54.2%|
| Cestoda   | 22              | 31.4%|
| Protozoa  | 55              | 78.6%|
| Total     | 115             |    |

**Table 4:** Intensity of adult helminthes nematodes in ducks

| Site of recovery | Helminth         | No. of infected birds | No. of parasites | Mean of intensity |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Small intestine  | *Ascaridia galli* | 32                    | 42               | 1.3              |
| Caecum           | *Heterakis gallinarum* | 20                   | 52               | 2.6              |
| Caecum           | *Heterakis isolonche* | 4                    | 10               | 2.5              |
| Caecum           | *Subulura brompti*  | 18                    | 26               | 1.4              |

In comparison to the geese, which revealed three species of Nematodes *Ascaridia galli*, *Heterakis gallinarum*, *Subulura brompti* with intensity 1.2, 1.3, and 1.6 respectively. At scraping of the gastrointestinal tract five types of eggs were identified including *Ascaridia galli*, *Heterakis gallerinarum*, *Subulura brompti*, *Capillaria spp.*, and *Syngamus trachea* (Table 5) (Figure 5).

It is interesting to note that it was the first time in which *Heterakis isolonche* was recorded in the caecum of ducks in Mosul city. These worms were small white worms, with 3 prominent lips on the mouth and well developed esophageal bulb, they were differentiated from *Heterakis gallinarum* as the end of male worms had equal spicules (Figure 4) while the posterior end of the male of *Heterakis gallinarum* possesses prominent circular pre- cloacal sucker and has two unequal spicules (Figure 3).
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Figure 2: Anterior end of *Subulura brumpti* from the cecum of ducks, with a small swelling (A) followed by a constriction (B) and an esophageal bulb (C). x10.

In ducks the types of infection either with one or more parasites recorded in this study (Table 6) showed that the higher percentage was traced to the double type of infection 37.5%, then by the triple type of infection 33.3% followed by the single type of infection 25% while the lowest one was the quadruple type 4.1%.

Table 5: Intensity of adult helminth nematodes in geese

| Site of recovery | Helminth          | No. of infected birds | No. of parasites | Mean of intensity |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Small intestine  | *Ascaridia galli* | 18                    | 22               | 1.2              |
| Caecum           | *Heterakis gallinarum* | 24                      | 32               | 1.3              |
| Caecum           | *Subulura brompti* | 11                    | 18               | 1.6              |

Figure 3: Anterior end (A) and Posterior end (B) of *Heterakis gallinarum* of cecal scrapping of a duck showing male worm with two unequal spicules. x10.

Figure 4: Posterior end of *Heterakis isolonche* of cecal scrapping of a duck showing male worm with equal spicules. x10.

Figure 5: Different nematode eggs in gastrointestinal scraping, x10. (A) Egg of *Capillaria spp*, (B) Egg of *Syngamus trachea*, (C) Egg of *Heterakis gallinarum*, (D) Egg of *Subulura brumpti*, (E) Egg of *Ascaridia galli*.
In comparison with the previous study performed by (5) about the infection with the internal parasites of ducks and geese in Nineveh province, they recorded 11 species of nematodes in ducks, including Ascaridia galli and Heterakis spp. Sublura spp. while in geese, 7 species were recorded, including Ascaridia galli, Heterakis spp., and Sublura brompti., and four types of cestodes including Railletina spp. Nearly similar percentage were recorded by (17) and but lowered than that recorded by (18).

In southern Iraq, Al-Diwaniya province (19) found that the infection rate in ducks with nematodes was 82.71%, and 96.29% with cestodes, and within the same category (6), reported 47.5% of internal parasites. In Al-Najaf (20) found lesser nematodes infection in chickens with a rate of 47.8% including Ascaridia galli, Heterakis spp. and Capillaria spp.

In Bangladesh, 167 of the ducks examined, (81.1%) were infected by one/more species of gastro-intestinal helminthes, with a total of ten species of helmintas parasites were recovered from gastrointestinal tract, of which four species were trematodes (21), but (22) in Gilan province/ Iran, estimated a higher rate of infection reaching 50% including Railletina tetragona, Heterakis gallinarum, Capillaria spp.

In Bangladesh (23) estimated infection rate of 80% in ducks with intestinal nematodes, and an intensity of 24.4% and 15.33% in females and males respectively. In the same country (24) recorded a high percentage with helminthes reached 98.33%, of which 16 types trematodes, 8 cestodes and 5 nematodes.

In cases of massive infestation, one can observe growth retardation, diarrhea and/or anemia and immunosuppression (25). Ascaridia galli can cause enteritis, weight loss, anemia and even nervous signs. A heavy infestation may cause an intestinal obstruction (25). This finding could be attributed to the higher fecundity of female worm (26).

From above, it is clear that there is a huge difference in the percentages of intestinal parasitic infections and their types. These differences may be attributed to the rearing systems of ducks and geese in different geographical areas and the specificity of climatic changes in these locations regarding rainfall, drought, humidity and temperature. The type of management and breeding system play also a pivotal role in the transmission of infections between different types of birds when breeding them in a backyard mixing system, in addition to the adding extrinsic parasitic infections from the migratory birds. The role of age, gender, nutrition, health and immune status of the host cannot be overlooked (14,20,27,28).

Internal parasitic infections, including intestinal ones have a great negative impact on meat and egg production, health parameters and immune status of the infected birds, which increase or predispose them to other infections in spite of parasites themselves being an important etiological causes of different diseases (28-30).

In ducks (Table 7) shows that the triple infection was the highest one with a percentage of 41.4%, then the single type 24.3% while the double type of infection 22.2% and the lowest one was the quadruple 4.8%.

Table 7: Prevalence rate of internal parasite in geese according the type of infection

| Type of infection | No. of infected | %    |
|-------------------|----------------|------|
| Single            | 10             | 24.3%|
| Double            | 12             | 22.2%|
| Triple            | 17             | 41.4%|
| Quadruple         | 2              | 4.8% |
| Total             | 41             | 58.6%|

Discussion

Internal parasitic infection are of great importance in breeding birds, especially ducks and geese in terms of their nature, nutrition, presence close to ponds and stagnant water since they are rearing in a backyard system so they could be exposed to eggs, larvae, intermediate hosts and vectors of many parasites in feces contaminating soil and water in addition to their poking and dipping feeding their waste and bedding, which may end in mortalities (13,14), there for our necropsy findings done on 64 ducks and 70 geese for investigation of gastrointestinal parasitic infection, a total of 75% was recorded in ducks and 58.6%. In geese. Our current results were lower than those recorded in the same province of Nineveh for ducks and geese, which were 86.25% (5), but higher than that recorded in Al-Diwniya province of 47.5% (6). In world, the rate was 51.7% in Kenya (15) and 15.15% in China (16).

The results of this study showed that the mean of intensity of nematodes in ducks was 50% including four species namely Ascaridia galli 1.3%; Heterakis gallinarum 2.6%; Heterakis isolonche 2.5%, Sublura brompti 1.4%, and 54.2% in geese with the same species as above except Heterakis isolonche, with mean of intensity of 1.2, 1.3, and 1.6 respectively.

The percentage of cestode infections were 28.1% and 31.4% in ducks and geese, respectively, and only two types of cestodes were identified here namely with the mean of intensity Railletina spp. and Coantaenia spp.
In our study, the total infection rate of protozoa in ducks was 68.8%, including *Eimeria* spp., *Tyzeria* spp., *Wenyonella* spp., *Cryptosporidia* spp., *Giardia* spp. The same species were found also in geese but at a higher percentage of 78.6%.

Comparing with other studies in ducks performed in Nineveh province (31) recorded a percentage of 77% for *Cryptosporidia* spp. and 63.75% with *Eimeria* spp. and *Tyzeria* spp. (4), while in geese the percentage rate with *Cryptosporidia* spp. was 46.67%. (32), and 34.4% and 36.45% in ducks and geese with *Giardia* spp. respectively.

In the south of Iraq, Al- Diwaniya province (6), recorded infection rate of 11.25% with *Eimeria* spp. in ducks. Outside Iraq (in New Mexico), Giardia spp. infection in geese were recorded at a rate of 28% (14). While inside Iraq they were 29.4% (6) and 28% in New Mexico (14).

The possible causes for infection with different types of protozoa in ducks and geese could be attributed to various etiological cause of these are poor management, lack of hygienic conditions, overcrowding, presence of insects and rodents, outside contamination from migrating or wild birds, mixed breeding with other types of birds, the location of birds rearing, their environmental conditions like the effect of season an diurnal temperatures in addition to the etiological cause of these infections.

In the south of Iraq, Al- Diwaniya province (6), recorded infection rate of 11.25% with *Eimeria* spp. in ducks. Outside Iraq (in New Mexico), Giardia spp. infection in geese were recorded at a rate of 28% (14). While inside Iraq they were 29.4% (6) and 28% in New Mexico (14).

Other parasites like cestodes have also been reported to cause massive infection in ducks represented by diarrhea, anemia and growth retardation; the upper part of the digestive system was also claimed to be affected by nematodes especially by capillariosis causing dysphagia and local inflammation in the crop and esophagus; ascaridiosis and heterakidosis are also another threats to ducks and geese causing enteritis, weight loss, anemia, nervous signs and intestinal obstruction (25).

*Tyzeria perniciosa* is pathogenic because of its deeper penetration into the intestinal mucosa of common ducklings less than four weeks old. Hemorrhagic enteritis can occur with a mortality rate of 70%. *Eimeria multardi* is also pathogenic for ducks.

**Conclusion**

This study was conducted to determine internal parasites in local ducks and geese in Nineveh province. A total Prevalence rate of internal parasites (nematodes, cestodes, protozoa) was 75 % and 58.6% in ducks and geese respectively.
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**Toward the helminths of the poultry and the local livestock**

Breed young chickens, such as good and healthy, of the poultry and the poultry and the local livestock. This study is important because it provides information on the prevalence and types of intestinal parasites in poultry, which can affect the productivity and health of poultry. The study was conducted in the area of Nineveh governorate and the results showed high prevalence of intestinal parasites in poultry. These parasites can cause various diseases in poultry, such as reduce in productivity, increase in mortality and decrease in meat and egg quality. Therefore, it is important to control and prevent these parasites to ensure the health and productivity of poultry. The study also highlights the need for further research to develop effective control strategies for these parasites.