Abstract

The purpose of this study was to determine the relationship between Navicular drop (ND) and Hallux valgus (HV) angles and their effects on foot-specific health related quality of life. Sixty female patients with bilateral HV aged between 32-60 participated in this study. The patients with the HV deformity degree of “2” or “3” according to the Manchester scale were included. HV angle were obtained from standing (weight bearing) bilateral antero-posterior radiographs. HV angle (A angle), intermetatarsal angle (B angle), hallux interphalangeal angle (C angle) were measured. Subtalar pronation was measured the navicular drop (ND) test. ND test were performed for both feet and recorded in millimeters. Foot-specific health related quality of life was measured using the Manchester-Oxford Foot Questionnaire (MOFQ).

There was a strong positive correlation between the ND test and the angles A, B, and C for the right foot (rho=0.749, 0.761 and 0.749 p<0.001, respectively). There was a strong positive correlation between the MOFQ subscales and the angles A, B, and C for the right foot (p<0.001).

In conclusion, there were relationship between ND, HV angular severity and foot-specific health related quality of life. As the HV angular severity increased, there was greater drop in the navicula and reduction in quality of life.

Introduction

Hallux valgus (HV) is characterized as a symptomatic condition presenting lateral deviation of the great toe and medial deviation of the first metatarsal head [1]. HV is also a progressive and common foot deformity [2]. Estimated prevalence of HV in the general population varies between 21% and 65% [3-5]. Epidemiological studies have reported that women are substantially more likely to develop HV as compared with men [4,6,7]. Nguyen and colleagues reported that prevalence of HV in females and males were 58% and 25%, respectively [7]. Another study reported that the prevalence of HV in females was 2.3 times more than the estimate for males [8].

The certain cause of HV is still unknown. However, many research have defined the multifactorial origin of HV. Unsuitable footwear, excessive weight-bearing as extrinsic factors and age, genetics, female gender, joint hyper mobility, ligamentous laxity, specific foot deformities (pes planus, metatarsal primus varus) as intrinsic factors lead to the development of HV [9,10].

Navicular drop (ND) is a chronic condition characterized by inadequate support of the medial longitudinal arch (MLA) and extreme subtalar joint pronation of the foot [11,12]. Previous studies have reported that collapse of MLA and an excessively pronated foot is associated with HV [9,13].
Foot pain and impaired functional status in HV are associated with the quality of life [3,14]. Deformities related to HV can cause altered gait parameters, foot pain and may ultimately reduce the physical activities and quality of life of the patients [15,16]. Previous studies have also argued that people with HV demonstrate significantly lower scores on foot-specific health related quality of life [3,14,17].

Accordingly, the purpose of this study was to determine the relationship between ND and HV angles and their effects on foot-specific health related quality of life.

Method

This study was conducted at Yildirim Beyazit University Ataturk Education and Research Hospital, Department of Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation and was approved Ankara Yildirim Beyazit University ethical committee, Ankara, Turkey (no:575/12). Informed consents were obtained from all patients.

Participants

Sixty female patients with bilateral HV aged between 32-60 enrolled in this study. The patients with the HV deformity degree of “2” or “3” according to the Manchester scale were included [18]. Patients with mental or cognitive impairment, systemic disease, any history of surgery and orthopedic problem of lower extremity were excluded. Demographic data of participants (age, height, weight etc.) were recorded.

Measurements

- **Manchester Scale**

Manchester scale was developed to determine the severity of HV deformity. In this scale, the severity of HV deformity are divided into four groups by using standardized four photographs of feet: 0 ‘no deformity’, 1 ‘mild deformity’, 2 ‘moderate deformity’, and 3 ‘severe deformity’ [18]. The validity and reliability of this scale was previously performed [19].

- **HV Angle**

HV angle were obtained from standing (weight bearing) bilateral antero-posterior radiographs. HV angle (A angle), intermetatarsal angle (B angle), hallux interphalangeal angle (C angle) were measured.

A angle is the angle between the longitudinal axis of the first metatarsal bone and that of the proximal phalanx. B angle is between the longitudinal axis of the first and second metatarsal bones. C angle forms the intersection of two longitudinal axis of distal with proximal phalanx [10].

- **Navicular height**

The navicular drop (ND) test is the clinical measure of subtalar pronation. Menz et al. reported that measurement of NH with ND test to be significantly associated with the corresponding radiographic measure (Pearson r=0.79) [19]. Initially, the foot was in the subtalar neutral position and the height of the navicular tuberosity from the ground was measured using a ruler in sitting position. Then, the subjects were asked to stand with a relaxed foot. The distance of navicula was measured again. The difference between two measures was calculated. ND test were performed for both feet and recorded in millimeters [20,21].

- **Manchester-Oxford Foot Questionnaire (MOFQ)**

Foot-specific health related quality of life was measured using the MOFQ. The MOFQ contains three categories of quality of life; pain, walking/standing and social interaction. It consists of 16 items which are scored using a Likert scale and each item scored between 0 and 4. Each three categories is scored between 0 and 100 and higher scores state most severe conditions [22].
**Statistical Analysis**

Distribution of continuous variables such as age, BMI (Body Mass Index), the result of the ND test in the study were examined by Shapiro-Wilk test and normality graphs. The normally distributed variables were shown as mean±standard deviation (X±SD) and minimum-maximum, while the other continuous variables were shown as X±SD and median (min-max). Dominant side was shown as count (%).

The relationship between the ND test, radiological measurements and MOFQ scores were assessed by the Spearman rho coefficient. Statistical significance level was accepted as p<0.05. IBM SPSS Statistics 21.0 (IBM Corp. released 2012. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 21.0, Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.) Program was used for all statistical analysis and calculations.

**Results**

The demographic characteristics of patients were shown in Table 1. The mean age and body mass index (BMI) of the patients were 48.02±7.37 years and 27.20±3.08 kg/m², respectively. The dominant side of 85.0% of the patients was right (Table 1).

The mean ND test of the patients was calculated as 6.29±1.17 mm in the right foot and 6.22±1.18 mm in the left foot. The mean an angle was 20.57±4.27 degrees for the right foot and 20.92±3.62 degrees for the left foot. The results of the other radiological measurements and MOFQ scores are given in table 2.

### Table 1: Demographic characteristics of the patients

| Demographic characteristics | X±SD n=60 | Min-Max |
|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Age (years)                | 48.02±7.37| 32-60   |
| Height (m)                 | 1.56±0.05 | 1.45-1.68|
| Weight (kg)                | 66.12±5.50| 47-81   |
| BMI (kg/m²)                | 27.20±3.08| 20.61-33.32|

| Dominant side | Right | Left | % |
|---------------|-------|------|---|
| N             | 51    | 9    | 85.0 |
| N             |       | 9    | 15.0 |

| Manchester Scale | Right (2/3) | Left (2/3) |
|------------------|-------------|------------|
| N                | 30/30       | 28/32      |
| %                | 50.0/50.0   | 46.7/53.3  |

X±SD: mean ± standard deviation, Min: minimum, Max: maximum, BMI: Body mass index, m: metre, kg: kilogram, %: percent.

### Table 2: The results of radiological measurements of hallux valgus angle, Manchester-Oxford Questionnaire Subscales and Navicular Drop Test.

| Evaluation of the clinical characteristics of foot | Right n=60 | Left n=60 |
|----------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| ND test (mm) [X±SD]                                | 6.29±1.17 | 6.22±1.18 |
| Median (min-max)                                   | 6 (4-8)   | 6 (4-8)   |
| A angle [X±SD]                                     | 20.57±4.27| 20.92±3.62|
| Median (min-max)                                   | 20 (10-31)| 20 (12-30)|
| B angle [X±SD]                                     | 17.23±2.24| 17.17±1.87|
| Median (min-max)                                   | 17 (13-22)| 17 (14-22)|
| C angle [X±SD]                                     | 10.85±2.99| 10.85±2.52|
| Median (min-max)                                   | 10 (5-22) | 10 (6-20) |
| MOFQ-W [X±SD]                                      | 50.27±4.29| 51.64±4.20|
| Median (min-max)                                   | 51.8 (44.0-55.4)| 53.8 (44.0-58.9)|
| MOFQ-P [X±SD]                                      | 55.77±3.48| 56.33±3.16 |
| Median (min-max)                                   | 57.6 (50.0-60.1)| 58.0 (51.0-60.0)|
| MOFQ-S [X±SD]                                      | 45.6±3.17 | 46.06±3.55 |
| Median (min-max)                                   | 46.1 (40.0-49.9)| 47.9 (40.0-52.0)|

ND: Navicular Drop, X±SD: mean ± standard deviation, Min: minimum, Max: maximum, A angle: HV angle, B angle: intermetatarsal angle, C angle: hallux interphalangeal angle, MOFQ-W: Manchester-Oxford Foot Questionnaire-Walking Subscale Score, MOFQ-P: Manchester-Oxford Foot Questionnaire-Pain Subscale Score, MOFQ-S: Manchester-Oxford Foot Questionnaire-Social Subscale Score.
There was a strong positive correlation between the ND test and the angles A, B, and C for the right foot (rho = 0.749, 0.761 and 0.749 p <0.001, respectively). For the left foot, ND test was positively correlated with the A and B angles but weaker than the right foot (rho = 0.644 and 0.654, respectively, p <0.001). There was a moderately positive correlation between the ND test and C angle (Table 3).

There was a strong positive correlation between the MOFQ subscales and the angles A, B, and C for the right foot (p <0.001). For the left foot, MOFQ subscales was positively correlated with the A and B angles (p <0.001) but was moderately correlated C angle (Table 4).

**Discussion**

Several authors have suggested that the pressure exerted on medial side of the foot and capsule of the first metatarsophalangeal joint may cause development and progression of HV deformity [12,23]. Therefore, we aimed to determinate how much the amount of navicular drop would affect the HV angular severity and foot-specific health related quality of life in this study.
It was suggested that the ND is correlated with foot pronation. Furthermore, the ND measurement is a practical technique for understanding the biomechanical relationship between the foot deformity and MLA structures [12]. The normal value of ND test was accepted 6 mm, value of 9 mm or more was considered high and values less than 6 mm were considered low [24,25]. In our study, the mean ND test results was found 6.29±1.17 mm in right foot and 6.22±1.18 mm in left foot. Although, the mean ND test results was within normal limits, HV angular severity and ND test scores were positively correlated. Consequently, as the HV angular severity increased, there was greater drop in the navicula, and the amount of foot pronation was increased.

Komeda et al., have used two-dimensional coordinate system to perform the medial longitudinal arch measurements [26]. However, any correlation was not found between HV angular severity and navicular height, it was indicated that the main points of flattening of the foot in patients with HV was the dropping of the navicula. They measured the amount of NH in the weight bearing position whereas the difference of navicular height was taken in weight bearing and non-bearing positions in present study. According to our study, the amount navicular displacement in patients with HV is influential on HV angular severity.

To date, many patient-reported questionnaires have been used to evaluate the quality of life in patients with HV [3,14,22]. Abhishek et al. have investigated whether HV and big toe pain associated with impaired quality of life. In this study, generic health assessment instrument was performed to question the quality of life [14]. It was reported that the patients with HV and big toe pain have demonstrated lower quality of life. Menz et al., aimed to investigate the effect of HV severity on general and Foot-Specific Health-Related Quality of Life. Medical Outcomes Study Short Form 36 (SF-36) and Manchester Foot Pain and Disability Index (FPDI) were used to determine quality of life. In parallel with our study, as the HV angular severity increased, there was reduction in foot-specific general health related quality of life [3].

MOFQ was developed to evaluate outcome measure for HV surgery [22]. It was aimed to assess minimally important change and responsiveness for the MOFQ and compare with SF-36 and American Orthopaedic Foot & Ankle Society (AOFAS) measurements following HV surgery by Dowson et al. Consequently, MOFQ and AOFAS have indicated excellent responsiveness which were superior to SF-36. Furthermore, MOFQ slightly greater than the AOFAS [27].

Taspinar et al. have evaluated the quality of life with SF-36 in patients with pes planus. It has been indicated that as the plantar pressure increases, the quality of life decreases [28]. ND test was used to evaluate navicular height in patients with metatarsal head pain by González-Sánchez et al. [29]. In addition to, Foot Health Status Questionnaire (FHSQ) was performed to measure the quality of life related to foot health. It was obtained moderate to strong relationship between ND test and FHSQ. In the same line, in our study, a moderate correlation was found between ND test and MOFQ. Our study is the first study investigating the relationship between ND with HV angular severity with radiological measurement and foot-specific health related quality of life with MOFQ.

In conclusion, there were relationship between ND, HV angular severity and foot-specific health related quality of life. As the HV angular severity increased, there was greater drop in the navicula and reduction quality of life. Patients with HV should be evaluated for ND. Pes planus exercises should be added to their exercise programs.
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