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\textit{ABSTRACT}

**Background:** The COVID-19 pandemic has caused an epidemic of critical patients, some of whom have been treated with extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO). This purpose of study is to describe the clinical course of COVID-19 patients treated with ECMO.

**Methods:** A multicentered study of critical patients with COVID-19 treated at six hospitals in Daegu was conducted between January and April 2020.

**Results:** Among the 80 patients receiving mechanical ventilation support, 19 (24%) were treated with ECMO included (median age 63.0 years). Eight of the 19 patients (42%) were weaned off ECMO (9.8 days, IQR 7.0-13.7). Among them, four patients were also weaned off mechanical ventilation (33.4 days, IQR 29.3 - 35.7), three were still receiving mechanical ventilation (50.9 days), and one expired after ECMO weaning. According to the univariate analysis, the factor that was associated with successful ECMO weaning was vitamin B12 treatment (p = 0.028).

**Conclusions:** During the COVID-19 epidemic, ECMO weaning and mortality rates were 42% and 58%, respectively.

© 2020 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
Methods

Study population

We studied COVID-19 patients who were treated with ECMO between February 1 and April 30, 2020, in Daegu, South Korea. Because Daegu was the first epicenter of the COVID-19 outbreak and the third-largest metropolitan area (with the surrounding Gyeongbuk Province), Daegu-Gyeongbuk has been the most affected region and accounts for approximately 76% of the total COVID-19 infections in South Korea. A total of six hospitals (all tertiary referral centers) participated in this study. Patients confirmed to have COVID-19 infection via real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) were eligible for this study. Each hospital’s Institutional Review Board (IRB) approved this study, and informed consent for the collection of clinical data was waived due to the retrospective nature of this study.

Data collection

Data on demographics, clinical and laboratory findings, and treatment outcomes were obtained with data collection forms from the electronic medical records. Demographic data included age, sex, body weight, height, predefined comorbidities (hypertension, diabetes, coronary artery disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, smoking, malignancy, chronic kidney disease, and cerebral vascular disease), and symptoms (fever, cough, sputum, myalgia, diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, chest pain, and dyspnea). Laboratory data included complete blood cell counts, liver function tests, renal function tests, cardiac markers, inflammatory markers, arterial blood gas, and lactate.

Information on ECMO indication, mode (venoarterial vs. venovenous), mode change, duration and other treatment strategies were collected, including medication use during the hospital stay (antiviral agents, intravenous steroids, antibiotics, intravenous immunoglobulin, vitamin C, vitamin B12) and continuous renal replacement therapy. We calculated the following time intervals: symptom onset to hospitalization (admission), hospitalization to ICU admission, hospitalization to MV, hospitalization to ECMO, and MV to ECMO. Laboratory findings were evaluated between the patients who were weaned and not weaned off of ECMO at three time points: at admission, preintubation, and pre-ECMO application.

Outcomes

The primary outcome was the ECMO weaning rate after ECMO application in COVID-19 pneumonia patients. The secondary outcomes were mortality and morbidity.

Clinical variable definitions

ARDS was defined according to the Berlin definition.11 Sepsis was defined as life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to infection. Septic shock was defined as sepsis with circulatory and cellular or metabolic dysfunction associated

Table 1

| Clinical characteristics of COVID-19 patients treated with ECMO. | No. (%) | Total (N = 19) | Not weaned (n = 11) | Weaned (n = 8) | P value* |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|---------|
| Age, median (IQR), years                                     | 63 (60 - 66) | 63 (58 - 65) | 65 (61 - 71) | 0.293 |
| Sex                                                          | Male 15 (78.9) | 8 (72.7) | 7 (87.5) | 0.435 |
|                                                             | Female 4 (21.1) | 3 (27.3) | 1 (12.5) | |
| Smoking                                                      | 3 (15.8) | 3 (27.3) | 0 (0) | 0.107 |
| BMI, median (IQR)                                           | 26.7 (25.6-27.8) | 26.9 (26.1-27.2) | 26.8 (25.2-28.1) | 0.500 |
| Signs and symptoms                                          | Dyspnea 17 (89.5) | 10 (90.9) | 7 (87.5) | 0.811 |
|                                                             | Fever 14 (73.7) | 9 (81.8) | 5 (62.5) | 0.345 |
|                                                             | Cough 9 (47.4) | 5 (45.5) | 4 (50.0) | 0.845 |
|                                                             | Sputum 6 (31.6) | 5 (45.5) | 1 (12.5) | 0.127 |
|                                                             | Myalgia 6 (31.6) | 4 (36.4) | 2 (25.0) | 0.599 |
|                                                             | Diarrhea 4 (21.1) | 3 (27.3) | 1 (12.5) | 0.435 |
|                                                             | Nausea/vomiting 3 (15.8) | 2 (18.2) | 1 (12.5) | 0.737 |
|                                                             | Chest pain 2 (10.5) | 1 (9.1) | 1 (12.5) | 0.811 |
|                                                             | Fatigue 2 (10.5) | 1 (9.1) | 1 (12.5) | 0.811 |
| Comorbidities                                                | Hypertension 11 (57.9) | 7 (63.6) | 4 (50.0) | 0.552 |
|                                                             | Medication ACEI or ARB 5 (26.3) | 4 (36.4) | 1 (12.5) | 0.197 |
|                                                             | Others 3 (15.8) | 2 (18.2) | 1 (12.5) | 0.515 |
|                                                             | Diabetes 8 (42.1) | 6 (54.5) | 2 (25.0) | 0.198 |
|                                                             | CKD 3 (15.8) | 2 (18.2) | 1 (12.5) | 0.737 |
|                                                             | Malignancy 2 (10.5) | 1 (9.1) | 1 (12.5) | 0.811 |
|                                                             | CVA 1 (5.3) | 0 (0) | 1 (12.5) | 0.228 |
|                                                             | Coronary artery disease 1 (5.3) | 1 (9.1) | 0 (0) | 0.381 |
|                                                             | COPD 1 (5.3) | 1 (9.1) | 0 (0) | 0.381 |
| Intervals, median (IQR), days                                | First symptoms to hospitalization 5 (2.5 - 9.3) | 5 (4 - 8.5) | 4 (0.5 - 8.3) | 0.685 |
|                                                             | Hospitalization to ICU 0.9 (0.1 - 2.9) | 1 (0.1 - 2.7) | 0.5 (0.1 - 1.9) | 0.099 |
|                                                             | Hospitalization to MV application 2.9 (0.9 - 6.2) | 4.5 (2.3 - 7.1) | 0.4 (0.2 - 0.6) | 0.687 |
|                                                             | Hospitalization ECMO application 6.2 (4.0 - 9.0) | 6.1 (4.0 - 11.5) | 4.8 (3.4 - 5.6) | 0.194 |
|                                                             | MV to ECMO application 3.1 (0.8 - 5.5) | 3.1 (0.3 - 7.0) | 3.3 (2.3 - 3.9) | 0.540 |

Statistical significance is represented by P-values < 0.05.

ACEI, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; CKD, chronic kidney disease; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CVA, cerebrovascular accident; ICU, intensive care unit; IQR, interquartile range; MV, mechanical ventilator.
with a higher risk of mortality. These sepsis and septic shock definitions followed the international guidelines for the management of sepsis and septic shock: 2016.12 Acute cardiac injury was diagnosed if the serum level of cardiac biomarkers increased over the upper reference level or newly developed regional wall motion abnormalities or global wall motion abnormalities were identified on echocardiography. The hourly doses of vasoactive medications were recorded, and we calculated the vasoactive-inotropic score (VIS) using a formula modified by Gaies et al.13 Acute kidney injury (AKI) was defined as a decrease in eCr for more than 2 continuous days based on the updated Schwartz formula.14

Statistical analysis

All continuous variables are expressed using median (interquartile range, IQR) values, as appropriate. We made no assumptions about missing data. Categorical variables are expressed as frequencies and percentages. Comparisons between continuous variables were performed using Mann-Whitney tests, and categorical variables were compared using Fisher’s exact test. A log-rank test was used for comparisons between factors. We used the linear mixed model to compare the parameters according to the time interval. All variables of possible risk factors for ECMO weaning were entered into multivariate logistic regression models with deterioration as the dependent variable and a significance level of 0.2 by enter method. And Hosmer-Lemeshow test was used for goodness of fit for logistic regression.

A P-value of less than 0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. All analyses were performed using the SPSS statistical package (IBM SPSS version 26.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Patient characteristics

Between February 2020 and April 2020, 7934 patients were confirmed to have COVID-19 in the Daegu-Gyeongbuk region. In addition, 80 (1.0%) COVID-19 patients (median 70.0 years, IQR 63.0 - 76.0; 48 men and 32 women) were treated with MV support in the six participating hospitals. Among these, 19 (23.8%) patients were treated with ECMO for ARDS (n = 16) and septic shock (n = 3). The median age of the patients with MV and ECMO was 72.0 (IQR, 65.0 - 78.0) years and 63.0 years (IQR, 59.5 - 65.8), respectively (p = 0.002).

The most common symptoms at hospitalization were dyspnea (n = 17, 89.5%), fever (n = 14, 73.7%), and cough (n = 9, 47.4%). The most common comorbidity was hypertension, which was present in 11 (57.9%) patients, followed by diabetes which was present in 8 (42.1%) patients. Of the patients who had hypertension as a comorbidity, 5 (45.5%) patients took angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin receptor blockers as antihypertensive medications.

Table 2

| Antiviral agent   | Total [N = 19] | Not weaned [N = 11] | Weaned [N = 8] | P-value* |
|------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|----------|
| Lopinavir/ritonavir | 18 (94.7)     | 10 (90.9)           | 8 (100)       | 0.381    |
| Darunavir/cobicistat | 1 (5.3) | 1 (9.1) | 0 (0) | 0.381 |
| Steroid          | 19 (100)      | 11 (100)            | 8 (100)       | 1.0      |
| Antibiotics      | 19 (100)      | 11 (100)            | 8 (100)       | 1.0      |
| Hydroxychloroquine | 18 (94.7) | 10 (90.9) | 8 (100) | 0.381 |
| CRRT             | 9 (47.4)      | 7 (63.6)            | 2 (25.0)      | 0.096    |
| IVIG             | 7 (36.8)      | 5 (45.5)            | 2 (25.0)      | 0.280    |
| Vitamin B12      | 6 (31.6)      | 1 (9.1)             | 5 (62.5)      | 0.013    |

Statistical significance is represented by P-values < 0.05

CrRT, continuous renal replacement therapy; IVIG, intravenous immunoglobulin

Clinical course in patients treated with ECMO

An ECMO mode change from venovenous to venaarterial was performed in 1 patient, and from venaarterial to venaarteriovenous was performed in 1 patient. Eight of the 19 patients (42.1%) were weaned off ECMO after a median duration of 9.8 days (IQR, 7.2 - 7.4), 45.3 (IQR, 38.8 - 58.3), 26.9 (IQR, 20.3 - 27.5), -1.35 (IQR, -4.95 - 4.2), 1.8 (IQR, 1.4 - 2.6), and 92 (IQR, 62.4 - 138.7), respectively.

Clinical findings in patients before ECMO treatment

Among 19 patients treated with ECMO, we applied venovenous ECMO in 16 (84.2%) patients and venaarterial ECMO in 3 (15.8%) patients as the initial modes. The median vasoactive inotropic scores in the venovenous and venaarterial ECMO applied were 2.3 (IQR, 0 - 23.3) and 40 (IQR, 32 - 53), respectively. Before ECMO application, the median pH, PaCO2, HCO3, base excess, lactate, and PaO2/fraction of inspired oxygen ratio were 7.3 (IQR, 7.2 - 7.4), 45.3 (IQR, 38.8 - 58.3), 26.9 (IQR, 20.3 - 27.5); -1.35 (IQR, -4.95 - 4.2), 1.8 (IQR, 1.4 - 2.6), and 92 (IQR, 62.4 - 138.7), respectively.
from hospitalization. The other 2 patients were still undergoing ECMO when the study period ended (treated for 38.0 and 22.0 days) (Fig. 1). Thus, there were 10 (57.9%) mortalities among the ECMO-treated patients. The median ECMO duration time was 15.9 (IQR, 7.7 - 28.2) days. The causes of mortality were multiorgan failure in 4 (40.0%) patients, hypoxic brain injury in 3 (30.0%) patients, disseminated intravascular coagulation in 1 (10.0%) patient, acute myocardial infarction in 1 (10.0%) patient, and nonocclusive mesenteric ischemia in 1 (10.0%) patient. The complications related to ECMO were acute kidney injury in 9 (47.4%) patients, hypoxic brain damage in 4 (21.1%), pulmonary hemorrhage in 3 (15.8%), pneumothorax in 3 (15.8%), seizure in 1 (5.3%), and acute cardiac injury in 1 (5.3%) patient.

Laboratory differences and risk factors associated with failed ECMO weaning

Lower pH ($p = 0.005$) and base excess ($p = 0.025$) prior to ECMO treatment were found in the patients who failed ECMO weaning than in the patients who successfully weaned off of ECMO (Table 3). The WBC ($p = 0.007$) levels were significantly lower in ECMO weaned patients over time. Although differences in lactate levels did not reach statistical significance, increased lactate levels were observed in in the patients who failed ECMO weaning over time ($p = 0.078$). However, differences in renal function and liver function did not reach statistical significance (Fig. 2).

**Table 3**

| Clinical findings before ECMO application in COVID-19 patients. | NO. (%) | Total | Not weaned | Weaned | $P$ value |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Indication | | (N = 19) | (N = 11) | (N = 8) | 0.737 |
| ARDS | 16 (84.2) | 9 (81.8) | 7 (87.5) | |
| Septic shock | 3 (15.8) | 2 (18.2) | 1 (12.5) | |
| Mode | | | | | 0.737 |
| VA | 3 (15.8) | 2 (18.2) | 1 (12.5) | |
| VV | 16 (84.2) | 9 (81.8) | 7 (87.5) | |
| Mode change | 3 (15.8) | 2 (18.2) | 1 (12.5) | |
| ABGA before ECMO | | Median (IQR) | | | |
| pH | 7.3 (7.2 - 7.4) | 7.25 (7.2 - 7.3) | 7.4 (7.4 - 7.4) | 0.005 |
| PCO2 | 45.3 (38.8 - 58.3) | 52.4 (36.6 - 62.4) | 42.4 (40.3 - 44.9) | 0.124 |
| HCO3 | 26.9 (20.3 - 27.5) | 26.1 (19.0 - 27.2) | 27.6 (25.3 - 32.9) | 0.145 |
| BE | -1.35 (-4.95 - 4.2) | -3.2 (-8.2 - -1.0) | 3.4 (0.4 - 7.4) | 0.025 |
| Lactate | 1.8 (1.4 - 2.6) | 1.9 (1.7 - 2.8) | 1.4 (1.3 - 2.5) | 0.292 |
| PaO2/FiO2 | 92 (62.4 - 138.7) | 64.1 (52.5 - 129.1) | 122.1 (85.5 - 136.7) | 0.376 |

Statistical significance is represented by $P$-values < 0.05

ABGA, arterial blood gas analysis; ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome; BE, base excess; ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenator; FiO2, fraction of inspired oxygen; VA, venoarterial; VV, venovenous; VIS, vasoactive inotropic score.

**Fig. 1.** Outcomes of the 19 patients who received ECMO.

ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenator; GW, general ward; MV, mechanical ventilation.
According to the univariate analysis, the factor that was independently associated with ECMO weaning was vitamin B12 treatment ($p = 0.028$). Other risk factors, including vitamin C ($p = 0.084$), continuous renal replacement therapy ($p = 0.107$), and sputum ($p = 0.151$), showed a statistical tendency toward an association with ECMO weaning in the univariate analysis. However, none of the factors showed significant differences in the multivariate analysis (all $p > 0.05$).

**Discussion**

Historically, ECMO has been regarded as a rescue therapy in previous H1N1 influenza and Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) outbreaks.\(^9\),\(^15\) Based on the known benefits of ECMO use in patients with previous infectious diseases, WHO interim guidelines recommend administering venovenous ECMO to COVID-19-related ARDS patients; however, the role of ECMO in COVID-19 patients is still unclear.\(^8\) Although two previous studies involving three and four COVID-19 patients treated with ECMO in China reported no survival in ECMO-treated patients, they lacked descriptions about the clinical course, complications, and factors associated with weaning failures in the ECMO-treated patients.\(^9\),\(^10\) From this study in Korea where ECMO services were relevant during an outbreak of COVID-19, we found that 24% of mechanical ventilation-treated patients were treated with ECMO and 42% of ECMO-treated patients were weaned off. Moreover, our study involving 19 patients in six hospitals found that the mortality rate was as high as 58% in ECMO-treated patients at the time of reporting. Compared to the mortality rate of 21%-24% found in ECMO-treated patients with the influenza A (H1N1) pandemic in 2009,\(^5\),\(^7\) the mortality rate seems to be higher in COVID-19 patients but similar to the 65% observed in patients with MERS.\(^3\),\(^7\)

**Fig. 2.** Comparisons of laboratory changes over time
Timeline illustration shows the laboratory changes between the two groups at 3 times (at admission, pre-intubation, and pre-ECMO). The red line indicates the weaned patients ($n = 8$), and the blue line indicates the patients who were not weaned ($n = 11$).

AST, aspartate transaminase; ALT, alanine transaminase; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; Cr, creatinine; CRP, C-reactive protein; WBC, white blood cell; TB, total bilirubin
There are few data of the patients who have done mechanical ventilator weaning after ECMO wean off, the rate is from 33.3% to 62.7%. In this study, we found that even if the patients were weaned off of ECMO, recovery of lung function took longer than we expected, and a substantial number of patients may need mechanical ventilation after weaning off of ECMO because 38% of the ECMO-weaned patients were treated with mechanical ventilation. This delayed lung recovery time may be a significant contributor to morbidity and mortality because a prolonged duration of mechanical ventilator management is related to secondary infection, exacerbation of comorbid disease, and progression of multiorgan failure and septic shock. Thus, new strategies are needed for improved management in view of the high transmission rate of this novel virus. Among the strategies, refinement of indication for ECMO in patients with COVID-19 and risk stratification for patients treated with ECMO is essential because ECMO is related to a high demand for health care resources, including various medical ECMO professionals. In this rapid communication, we reported on the initial experience of ECMO in patients with COVID-19, which may be the foundation for future effective strategies.

In our study, several beneficial predictors of failed ECMO weaning were considered in patients with COVID-19, although they failed to reach statistical significance in multivariate analyses. As a conservative management approach, nutrient support with vitamin C and vitamin B12 may be helpful in ECMO-treated patients. Our results may be in line with previous reports indicating that antioxidant supplementation was associated with rapid resolution of lung injury in patients with enterovirus/rhinovirus-induced ARDS. There has been supporting evidence that oxidative stress plays a critical role in the early phases of critical illness. Tissue injury is mediated by oxidative metabolites or reactive oxygen species (ROS), which have been detected in the expired breath of patients with acute hypoxemic respiratory failure, and by NF-κB, which has been related to systemic inflammation based on experimental evidence. Thus, although further studies are warranted due to no beneficial effect, the present study suggests the potential effects of antioxidants in preventing pulmonary morbidity and other organ failure when used as therapeutic interventions in critically ill COVID-19 surgical patients. This approach has minimal expense and a lack of adverse effects.

**Study limitations**

First, we did not check all laboratory findings due to the retrospective study design. There was also no uniform laboratory checkpoint due to the multicenter nature of this study.

Second, we could not unify the treatment strategy in all patients due to the various medical staff from the six hospitals who participated in this study.

Third, the conservative management protocol including vitamin C, vitamin B12, steroid, hydroxyquinolone, antiviral agent was diverse among hospitals.

**Conclusions**

During the COVID-19 epidemic in Daegu, Korea, ECMO was applied to 24% of mechanical ventilation-supported patients. The weaning and mortality rates for ECMO were 42% and 58%, respectively. Despite the known low case-fatality rate of COVID-19, mortality rate of ECMO-treated patients was substantial. Risk stratification and management strategies need to be refined for ECMO in light of the high SAR-CoV-2 transmission rate and ongoing COVID-19 pandemic.
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