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**Abstract**

The structurally robust biopolymer sporopollenin is the major constituent of the exine layer of pollen wall and plays a vital role in plant reproductive success. The sporopollenin precursors are synthesized through an ancient polyketide biosynthetic pathway consisting of a series of anther-specific enzymes that are widely present in all land plant lineages. Tetraketide α-pyrone reductase 1 (TKPR1) and TKPR2 are two reductases catalyzing the final reduction of the carbonyl group of the polyketide synthase-synthesized tetraketide intermediates to hydroxylated α-pyrone compounds, important precursors of sporopollenin. In contrast to the functional conservation of many sporopollenin biosynthesis associated genes confirmed in diverse plant species, TKPR2’s role has been addressed only in Arabidopsis, where it plays a minor role in sporopollenin biosynthesis. We identified in gerbera two non-anther-specific orthologues of AtTKPR2, *Gerbera reductase 1* (GRED1) and GRED2. Their dramatically expanded expression pattern implies involvement in pathways outside of the sporopollenin pathway. In this study, we show that GRED1 and GRED2 are still involved in sporopollenin biosynthesis with a similar secondary role as AtTKPR2 in Arabidopsis. We further show that this secondary role does not relate to the promoter of the gene, AtTKPR2 cannot rescue pollen development in Arabidopsis even when controlled by the AtTKPR1 promoter. We also identified the gerbera orthologue of AtTKPR1, GTKPR1, and characterized its crucial role in gerbera pollen development. GTKPR1 is the predominant TKPR in gerbera pollen wall formation, in contrast to the minor roles GRED1 and GRED2. GTKPR1 is in fact an excellent target for engineering male-sterile gerbera cultivars in horticultural plant breeding.

**Introduction**

Pollen grains of land plants are surrounded by a sculpted pollen wall, which plays critical roles in protecting male gametophytes against various biotic and abiotic stresses and, therefore, in plant sexual reproduction\(^1\). Pollen wall commonly comprises of a pectocellulosic inner intine layer and a tough outer exine layer mainly composed of sporopollenin\(^1\)–\(^3\). Exhibiting remarkable chemical stability and physical strength, sporopollenin has been considered as the most resistant of natural biopolymers, and it is regarded as the major component enabling the resistance of pollen walls to various stresses\(^3\)\(^4\). Sporopollenin has been widely reported in various extant and fossil species across the plant kingdom, including Bryophytes where it is part of the spore wall. Production of sporopollenin is considered to have been a key prerequisite for plants to spread on land\(^3\)\(^4\).

Despite the importance, the chemical composition of sporopollenin has remained obscure due to its biochemically and physically extremely resistant nature. Decades’ attempts by applying multiple degradation studies and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) methods have demonstrated that sporopollenin is a complex biopolymer consisting of highly cross-linked hydroxylated aliphatic, aromatic, and phenylpropanoid-derived moieties\(^2\)\(^5\)\(^6\). Recent investigations by using thioacidolysis
degradation in combination with NMR analysis further revealed aliphatic polyketide-derived polyvinyl alcohol units as the major structural components of sporopollenin and that phenylpropanoid derivatives are essential components that exist as covalently linked structural units. Investigations of Arabidopsis mutants with defective pollen exine have facilitated the understanding of sporopollenin composition through genetic and biochemical studies. Aliphatic polyhydroxylated tetraketide \( \alpha \)-pyrones were revealed to be the important sporopollenin building blocks in these studies. A series of genes encoding key enzymes involved in sporopollenin precursor biosynthesis were identified and characterized in Arabidopsis, and a pathway of sporopollenin biosynthesis has been proposed. In the proposed pathway, medium- to long-chain fatty acids undergo hydroxylation catalyzed by cytochrome P450 enzymes CYP703A2 and CYP704B1, and are then esterified to CoA by a fatty acyl-CoA synthetase (ACOS5). The generated hydroxyl fatty acyl-CoA esters are subsequently condensed with malonyl-CoA by the chalcone synthase-like polyketide synthase A (PKSA) and PKSB to yield tetraketide \( \alpha \)-pyrones, which are further reduced by tetraketide \( \alpha \)-pyrone reductases TKPR1 and TKPR2 (Fig. 1). The resulting polyhydroxylated tetraketide products function as the building blocks for sporopollenin. These enzymes have been shown to be specifically expressed in the tapetum of Arabidopsis anthers and tightly co-regulated during pollen development, and their close orthologues have been found widespread in land plants ranging from mosses to angiosperms with conserved male-organ-specific expression patterns.

In contrast to Arabidopsis ACOS5, CYP703A2, CYP704B2, PKSA, PKSB, and TKPR1, whose orthologues have been characterized to play conserved functions in sporopollenin biosynthesis in many species including rice, tobacco, and rapeseed, AtTKPR2’s role has been addressed only in Arabidopsis. Both AtTKPR1 and AtTKPR2 were shown to catalyze the same enzymatic reaction in vitro; however, AtTKPR2 seems to play a minor role in sporopollenin biosynthesis. While the tkpr1 mutant in Arabidopsis makes completely sterile pollen grains with severely damaged exine, the tkpr2 mutant produces fertile pollen with only mildly impaired exine. Unlike the other sporopollenin biosynthesis associated enzymes that were demonstrated to constitute an endoplasmic reticulum localized metabolon, AtTKPR2 was shown to preferentially localize in the cytoplasm.

The ornamental plant *Gerbera hybrida*, from the sunflower family Asteraceae, is one of the economically most important cut-flower crops globally. Gerbera has been worked into a model plant of Asteraceae, and has contributed to flower development and secondary metabolite biosynthesis studies for decades. The gerbera type III polyketide synthases include a small family of the archetypical chalcone synthases, but we also identified the first plant pyrone polyketide synthase, gerbera 2-pyrene synthase 1 (G2PS1), that utilizes acetyl-CoA as a starter to initiate the synthesis of two antimicrobial polyketide derivatives, gerberin and parasorboside. Later, we identified two homologues of G2PS1 (G2PS2 and G2PS3) that use the same substrates but catalyze a longer
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**Sporopollenin monomers**

Fig. 1 Proposed pathway of sporopollenin precursor biosynthesis in Arabidopsis. Medium- to long-chain fatty acids undergo hydroxylation catalyzed by cytochrome P450 enzymes CYP703A2 and CYP704B1, and are then esterified to CoA thiesters by the fatty acyl-CoA synthetase ACOS5. The generated hydroxyl fatty acyl-CoA esters are subsequently condensed with malonyl-CoA by the chalcone synthase-like polyketide synthases PKSA and PKSB to yield tetraketide \( \alpha \)-pyrones, which are further reduced by tetraketide \( \alpha \)-pyrone reductases TKPR1 and TKPR2. The resulting polyhydroxylated tetraketide compounds then function as building blocks for sporopollenin.
elongation in the biosynthesis of another defense-related polyketide, 4-hydroxy-5-methylcoumarin. Orthologues of Arabidopsis PKSA and PKSB, the gerbera anther-specific chalcone synthase-like 1 (GASCL1) and GASCL2, display the conserved tapetum-specific expression pattern and catalyze the conserved sporopollenin precursor biosynthesis with medium- to long-chain fatty CoA esters as starters. During the exploration of accessory reductases in gerberin and parasorboside biosynthesis, we identified a reductase gene that displays a similar wide expression pattern as G2PS1, Gerbera reductase 1 (GRED1), and its close parologue GRED2. Both GRED1 and GRED2 are orthologues of AtTKPR2.

The dramatically expanded expression pattern of GRED1 and GRED2 implies involvement in pathways outside the anthers. In this study, we show that GRED1 and GRED2 are nevertheless involved in sporopollenin biosynthesis with a similar secondary role as AtTKPR2 in Arabidopsis. We further show that this secondary role does not relate to the promoter of the gene, AtTKPR2 cannot rescue pollen development in Arabidopsis even when controlled by the AtTKPR1 promoter. We also identified the gerbera orthologue of AtTKPR1, GTKPR1, and characterized its crucial role in gerbera pollen development. GTKPR1 is the predominant TKPR in gerbera pollen wall formation, in contrast to the minor roles of GRED1 and GRED2. GTKPR1 is in fact an excellent target for engineering male-sterile gerbera cultivars in horticultural plant breeding.

Results
Identification and analysis of orthologous genes for AtTKPR1 and AtTKPR2 in gerbera

A single orthologue of AtTKPR1 was identified in gerbera by a BLAST search of the gerbera transcriptome using AtTKPR1 as the query sequence and named GTKPR1. GTKPR1 was recovered in full length from gerbera anther cDNA. The cDNA contains a 990 bp open reading frame (ORF) showing 68% identity with AtTKPR1 at nucleotide sequence level. GRED1 and GRED2 are two orthologues of AtTKPR1 that were identified and cloned during our exploration of the accessory reductases in the biosynthesis of the defense-related polyketides gerberin and parasorboside. Both GRED1 and GRED2 contain a 960 bp ORF and they share 85% nucleotide sequence identity with each other, and 68% and 66% identity with AtTKPR2, respectively (Table S2). Further BLAST searches of the gerbera transcriptome did not uncover any additional AtTKPR2-like transcripts in gerbera. Pairwise comparisons of the gerbera and Arabidopsis TKPR1 and TKPR2 encoded amino acid sequences showed that GTKPR1 and AtTKPR1 showed 73% identity in amino acid sequence, GRED1, GRED2, and AtTKPR2 shared 67% and 65% identity, respectively, while AtTKPR1 and GTKPR1 showed no more than 50% identity with AtTKPR2 or GRED1 or GRED2 (Table S2).

AtTKPR1 and AtTKPR2 orthologues from selected species ranging from mosses to eudicots were extracted from the GenBank database. We found that there was only a single AtTKPR1 orthologue in all species we analyzed (except in soybean), while some species, like gerbera, had two AtTKPR2 orthologues. In phylogenetic analysis, the reductases clustered in two clades. GTKPR1, AtTKPR1, and other AtTKPR1 orthologues clustered the TKPR1 clade while AtTKPR2, GRED1, GRED2, and the other AtTKPR2 orthologues formed the TKPR2 clade (Fig. 2).

GTKPR1 shows tapetum-specific expression, while GRED1 and GRED2 are universally expressed in various gerbera organs but in the anther, they are tapetum-specific.

To investigate the expression patterns of GRED1, GRED2, and GTKPR1 in gerbera, we analyzed their expression profiles in 15 gerbera tissues by RT-PCR. Our data shows that GRED1 is highly expressed in various gerbera organs but only moderately expressed in anthers; GRED2 is preferentially expressed in the disc flowers, anthers, receptacle, scape, and roots (Fig. 3a). Neither of them displayed the anther-specific expression pattern like the Arabidopsis AtTKPR2. Unlike the universally expressed GRED1 and GRED2, GTKPR1 was specifically expressed in disc flowers at inﬂorescence stage 6 with developing anthers (Fig. 3a), consistent with the reported expression pattern of Arabidopsis AtTKPR1, tobacco NtTKPR1, and rice OsTKPR1.12,16.

To further investigate the precise locations of GTKPR1, GRED1, and GRED2 expression in gerbera anthers, we conducted RNA in situ hybridization experiments with gene-specific antisense probes in sections containing anthers at various developmental stages. An antisense probe for GASCL1, the gerbera tapetum-specific orthologue of AtPKSA in sporopollenin biosynthesis, was used as a positive control, and its sense probe was used as a negative control. Hybridization signals of the GTKPR1 probe were exclusively detected in the tapetum cells, starting from the meiosis stage when the tapetum was first visible until the microspore stage when the tapetum degenerated. The strongest signals were observed at the microspore stage, which was consistent with the in situ localization of AtTKPR1 in Arabidopsis anthers and NtTKPR1 in tobacco anthers12,16 (Fig. 3b). The hybridization signals of GRED1 and GRED2 were also exclusively observed in the tapetum cells of the anthers; however, they were only observed at the microspore stage when the microspores were released from the tetrads, and the GRED1 probe displayed much weaker signals compared with the GTKPR1 or GRED2 probes (Fig. 3b). This observation is consistent with the reported AtTKPR2
expression, which was specifically expressed in tapetum but was more restricted temporally compared with AtTKPR112.

Sporopollenin biosynthesis genes are under common transcriptional regulation in Arabidopsis and particularly the transcription factor MYB80 (MS188) is an upstream regulator of all known biosynthetic genes, including AtTKPR226. We investigated if the expanded patterns of GRED1 and GRED2 expression in gerbera would be the result of expanded expression of the gerbera MYB80 homologue GMYB80. Expression of GMYB80 follows closely the pattern of GTKPR1, not GRED1 or GRED2 (Fig. 3a) indicating that GMYB80 is not responsible for their expression in vegetative tissues.

**Downregulation of GTKPR1, GRED1, and GRED2 differentially affect gerbera pollen development**

To investigate the physiological functions of GTKPR1 in gerbera pollen grain development, we applied a double-stranded RNA interference (RNAi) vector to produce GTKPR1 downregulated gerbera transgenic lines. We characterized several lines that showed specific suppression of GTKPR1 (Fig. 4a) and observed that their inflorescences showed visible phenotypes (Fig. S1). Compared with the wild-type inflorescences displaying healthy anthers and large amounts of released pollen, the severely downregulated lines (GTKPR1 RNAi TR17 and TR20) and the moderately downregulated line (GTKPR1 RNAi TR8) showed shrunken anthers without any released pollen, and the mildly downregulated line (GTKPR1 RNAi TR11) showed normal anthers and a small amount of released pollen grains in the spring (Fig. S1) but not in the winter (not shown in figure). We characterized four lines (GRED1/2 RNAi TR3, TR8, TR9, and TR12) that showed cross-suppression of both GRED1 and GRED2 (Fig. 4b). All four lines grew similar inflorescences as the GTKPR1 mild suppression line that showed normal anthers and small amounts of release pollen grains in the spring (Fig. S1).

We further applied Alexander staining to examine the viability of pollen grains produced by the transgenic lines.
and applied SEM to examine the morphology of the pollen grains in detail. The results show that all pollen grains produced by the GTKPR1 severe suppression lines (GTKPR1_RNAi TR17 and TR20) were severely distorted with damaged exine and showed no viability at all (Fig. 4c, d). More than 80% of the pollen grains produced by the GTKPR1 moderate suppression line (GTKPR1_RNAi TR8) were dead and these pollen grains showed a degree of distortion in shape and damage in exine (Fig. 4c, d). The mild suppression line (GTKPR1_RNAi TR6) produced around 50% of dead pollen grains based on the staining, and SEM showed that these pollen grains were not distorted but showed a mild exine damage (Fig. 4c, d). The GRED1/2 downregulation lines produced 10% to 30% dead pollen grains that also showed mild exine damage (Fig. 4c, d), a similar phenotype as the pollen grains in the Arabidopsis tkpr2 mutant. The pollen exine damage was relatively heavier in the severe suppression lines (GRED1/2 RNAi TR3, TR8), which displayed a mildly distorted shape compared with pollen in the moderated suppression lines (GRED1/2 RNAi TR9, TR12) that showed a nearly normal shape (Fig. 4c, d). As a control, the wild-
type gerbera produces 95% alive pollen grains with a smooth surface and healthy exine (Fig. 4c, d).

Expression of \textit{GTKPR1} in Arabidopsis \textit{tkpr1} mutant rescues the male-sterile phenotype, while expression of \textit{AtTKPR2}, \textit{GRED1}, and \textit{GRED2} do not

\textit{AtTKPR1} and \textit{AtTKPR2} have been shown to catalyze the same in vitro enzymatic reactions and both were considered to catalyze the reduction of tetraketide α-pyrones in Arabidopsis sporopollenin biosynthesis. To verify that \textit{GTKPR1} plays conserved function with \textit{AtTKPR1}, and to investigate whether \textit{AtTKPR2} and its orthologues \textit{GRED1} and \textit{GRED2} can catalyze the same in vivo functions as \textit{AtTKPR1}, we performed Arabidopsis mutant complementation experiments. Coding sequences of \textit{GTKPR1}, \textit{AtTKPR2}, \textit{GRED1}, and \textit{GRED2} were independently transformed into the sterile Arabidopsis \textit{tkpr1} homozygous background under the control of the native \textit{AtTKPR1} promoter (Fig. 5a), and the \textit{AtTKPR1} coding sequence (in the same vector) was transformed as a positive control. We conducted the floral dipping transformations on the fertile flower shoots of Arabidopsis \textit{tkpr1/+} heterozygote and selected the transgenic lines by germinating seeds on hygromycin selection medium. The transgenic lines in the \textit{tkpr1} homozygous background were identified through genotyping PCR with specific primers, and RT-PCR with gene-specific primers was applied to verify the expression of the transformed genes (Fig. S2).

Three or more individual transgenic lines in \textit{tkpr1} homozygous background were screened from each construct. By observing the phenotypes of these transgenic lines, we found that the restoration of pollen fertility and seed formation were achieved in \textit{AtTKPR1} and \textit{GTKPR1} transgenic lines, while the \textit{AtTKPR2}, \textit{GRED1}, and \textit{GRED2} transgenic lines displayed a male sterile phenotype, the same as the nontransgenic \textit{tkpr1} homozygous control (Fig. 5b, c). Released pollen grains were found on the mature anther surfaces of \textit{AtTKPR1} and \textit{GTKPR1} transgenic lines in \textit{tkpr1} homozygous background, while the \textit{AtTKPR2}, \textit{GRED1}, and \textit{GRED2} transgenic lines in \textit{tkpr1} homozygous background did not show any released pollen grains on the anther surfaces, a similar phenotype as the \textit{tkpr1} mutant (Fig. 5c, d). However, the pollen viability assay showed tiny amounts of viable pollen grains in few of the mature anthers of \textit{AtTKPR2}, \textit{GRED1}, and \textit{GRED2} transgenic lines in contrast to the \textit{tkpr1} mutant that displayed practically empty anthers (Fig. 5e). This indicates...
that the viability of pollen grains was partially restored in these lines.

Discussion

The structurally robust biopolymer sporopollenin is the major constituent of the exine layer of pollen wall and plays a vital role in plant reproductive success. In Arabidopsis, enzymes responsible for sporopollenin biosynthesis are encoded by a set of anther-specific genes CYP703A2/CYP704B1, ACOS, PKSA/PKSB, and TKPR1/TKPR2. Extensive evidence suggests that sporopollenin biosynthesis is a highly conserved polyketide biosynthetic pathway present in all land plant lineages and was probably associated with the evolution of land plants. In contrast to the functional conservation of many sporopollenin biosynthesis associated genes having
been confirmed in several plant species like rice, tobacco, and rapeseed. Orthologues of \( \text{AtTKPR2} \) have not been studied in other species than Arabidopsis. Gerbera has two orthologues of \( \text{AtTKPR2}, \text{GRED1}, \text{and GRED2} \). They are expressed not only in anthers (like \( \text{AtTKPR2} \)), but also widely in vegetative tissues, possibly indicating recruitment to biochemical pathways outside of the anthers. In this study, we characterized that GRED1 and GRED2 have kept the conserved tapetum-specific expression pattern in anthers and in fact can and do participate in sporopollenin biosynthesis. Concerning the anther domain, GRED1 and GRED2 of gerbera are similar to \( \text{AtTKPR2} \) of Arabidopsis. We also characterized the orthologue of \( \text{AtTKPR1} \) in gerbera, \( \text{GTKPR1} \), and revealed its critical function in sporopollenin biosynthesis. Our results confirm the conservation of the TKPR function in sporopollenin biosynthesis, and that TKPR1s (e.g., \( \text{AtTKPR1} \) and \( \text{GTKPR1} \)) are the predominate enzymes. The roles of TKPR2s (e.g., \( \text{AtTKPR2}, \text{GRED1}, \text{and GRED2} \)) are minor, and the strong expression of GRED1 and GRED2 outside anthers in gerbera rises the interesting question of whether the main function of TKPR2 is in fact outside of sporopollenin biosynthesis even in Arabidopsis.

**GRED1, GRED2, and GTKPR1 are involved in gerbera pollen exine formation**

Gerbera \( \text{GRED1} \) and \( \text{GRED2} \), the two orthologues of \( \text{AtTKPR2} \), encode two reductases showing high sequence similarities with \( \text{AtTKPR2} \), and \( \text{GTKPR1} \) is the orthologue of \( \text{AtTKPR1} \) (Table S2). TKPRs catalyze the final reduction of the PKSA/PKSB-synthesized tetraketide intermediates to hydroxylated \( \alpha \)-pyrone compounds, important precursors of sporopollenin\(^{12} \) (Fig. 1). Despite exhibiting the same in vitro enzymatic functions, \( \text{AtTKPR2} \) was suggested to play a minor function in sporopollenin biosynthesis compared to \( \text{AtTKPR1} \)’s predominant role in the pathway. Homozygous \( \text{tkpr2} \) mutant plants produce fertile pollen with mildly impaired exine while the \( \text{tkpr1} \) mutant makes completely sterile pollen grains with a severely damaged exine\(^{12} \). In gerbera, although \( \text{GRED1} \) and \( \text{GRED2} \) were expressed in various organs, in anthers they were exclusively expressed in the tapetum at microspore stage, a precisely similar expression pattern as the one reported for \( \text{AtTKPR2} \)\(^{12} \) (Fig. 3a, b). This suggests that \( \text{GRED1} \) and \( \text{GRED2} \) may still be involved in sporopollenin biosynthesis in the anthers.

An important question relating to the minor role of \( \text{AtTKPR2} \) in sporopollenin biosynthesis is whether this is due to the promoter and expression pattern of the gene. In vitro, \( \text{AtTKPR2} \) catalyzes the same reaction as \( \text{AtTKPR1} \). In constructs with a 1500 bp promoter fragment of \( \text{AtTKPR1} \) attached to the cDNA of the reductase, we could show that \( \text{AtTKPR1} \) and \( \text{GTKPR1} \) could fully complement the \( \text{tkpr1} \) mutant in Arabidopsis (Fig. 5b, c). However, the \( \text{AtTKPR2} \) construct could not rescue fertility, but still restored viability of the pollen grains to some extent, concluded from the vital staining that marks the pollen grain cytoplasm (Fig. 5b–f). This shows that \( \text{AtTKPR1} \) and \( \text{AtTKPR2} \) are not functionally equivalent. \( \text{GRED1} \) and \( \text{GRED2} \) (under the \( \text{AtTKPR1} \) promoter) led to a similar partial restoration of pollen viability as \( \text{AtTKPR2} \) (Fig. 5f), indicating a similar function in this context.

The gerbera \( \text{GRED1/GRED2} \) cross-downregulated transgenic lines produced 10–30% of dead pollen grains with a mild exine damage (Fig. 4c), a similar phenotype as reported Arabidopsis \( \text{tkpr2} \) mutant\(^9 \). The gerbera \( \text{GTKPR1} \) severely downregulated lines were however completely sterile and produced 100% of distorted pollen grains with severe exine damage, and milder suppressed lines were partially sterile producing around 50% of dead pollen grains with relative milder exine damage (Fig. 4c, d), resembling the Arabidopsis \( \text{tkpr1} \) null mutants and partial mutants\(^{12} \). \( \text{GTKPR1} \) exhibited a typical tapetum-specific expression pattern as do various reported sporopollenin biosynthesis associated genes (Fig. 3b, d), resembling the Arabidopsis \( \text{tkpr1} \) null mutants and partial mutants\(^{12} \). \( \text{GTKPR1} \) is the orthologue \( \text{OsTKPR1} \) and \( \text{NtTKPR1} \) were characterized previously\(^{16} \). These observations suggest that all the three reductases are involved in exine formation in gerbera. Similar as \( \text{AtTKPR1} \), \( \text{GTKPR1} \) is the predominate \( \text{TKPR} \) in gerbera sporopollenin biosynthesis and its function is irreplaceable in pollen exine formation. Consistent with \( \text{AtTKPR2}, \text{GRED1}, \text{and GRED2} \) are relatively less crucial for this pathway, majority of pollen grains are alive, and the plants are fertile even without their functions.

**Functional conservation and differentiation of tetraketide \( \alpha \)-pyrone reductases in land plants**

Searching the latest transcriptome data of several model plants, we showed that TKPR1 genes nearly always appear as single copy with male-organ specific expression pattern while TKPR2s are present as two copies with non-anther-specific expression patterns in several species like gerbera, soybean, and poplar (Fig. 2, Table S3). This pattern suggests that while TKPR1s are highly functionally conserved in sporopollenin biosynthesis, TKPR2s may have differentiated into novel functions in the process of gene duplication during land plant evolution. The functional conservation of TKPR1s has been confirmed by the precisely consistent tapetum-specific expression pattern of \( \text{AtTKPR1}, \text{OsTKPR1}, \text{NtTKPR1}, \text{and GTKPR1} \) and
consistent severely distorted exine phenotype presented in corresponding mutant plants reported previously and in this work\textsuperscript{12,16}. This is also strongly supported by our observation that \textit{GTKPR1} successfully restored the fertility of \textit{Arabidopsis} \textit{tkpr1} mutant. \textit{TKPR2}s have been rarely studied previously and \textit{TKPR2}’s minor role in sporopollenin biosynthesis was only characterized in \textit{Arabidopsis}\textsuperscript{12}. Consistent male organ-specific expression pattern in most species suggests that \textit{TKPR2} would play conserved functions in sporopollenin biosynthesis. However, the dramatically expanded expression pattern of \textit{TKPR2} has been rarely studied previously and \textit{TKPR2} genes, implies that they might have acquired novel functions involved in pathways outside the anthers.

\textbf{TKPR1 is a potential molecular target for male sterility in breeding}

Male sterility of plants is an important trait with applications in agricultural and horticultural crop breeding, such as effective production of hybrid seeds, guarding of germplasm in commercial ornamental breeding and in preventing of undesired diffusion of seeds or pollen grains produced by genetically modified crops in the open field\textsuperscript{27}. In this study, we showed that \textit{TKPR1} is one of the key genes controlling the plant’s male fertility and is highly functionally conserved in land plants. \textit{TKPR1} can be applied as a molecular target for controlling male fertility in crops through modern genetic methods such as RNAi or CRISPR-Cas\textsuperscript{9,28}. Present as single copy, the gene is a straightforward target with a low off-target risk. In this work, we successfully made male sterile gerbera transgenic lines by suppression of \textit{GTKPR1} expression, demonstrating the application in an important ornamental plant species.

\textbf{Materials and methods}

\textbf{Plant materials}

\textit{Gerbera hybrida} cultivar ‘Regina’ was obtained from Terra Nigra B.V, The Netherlands. Wild-type and transgenic gerbera plants were multiplied in vitro and grown as \textit{TKPR1} and \textit{TKPR2} orthologues from selected plant species were obtained from the GenBank database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/) by conducting BLASTP searches using At\textit{TKPR1} and At\textit{TKPR2} as queries, and their accession numbers are listed in Table S3. The full-length amino acid sequences were aligned using Clustal Omega software\textsuperscript{33} and then back-translated to the corresponding nucleotide sequences. The generated alignment was used to construct a maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree in MEGA X\textsuperscript{34} with default parameters, and a total of 1000 bootstrap replicates. Expression patterns of \textit{TKPR1} and \textit{TKPR2} orthologues were searched from published articles, \textit{ePlant} database or model plant genome databases (Table S3).

\textbf{Generation of gerbera RNAi transgenic lines}

For the gene silencing construct, the full-length ORFs for \textit{GTKPR1}, \textit{GRED1}, and \textit{GRED2} were cloned into the

\begin{itemize}
  \item Developmental stages of gerbera inflorescences and \textit{Arabidopsis} flowers are described by Helariutta et al.\textsuperscript{31} and Smyth et al.\textsuperscript{32}, respectively.
  \item \textbf{Identification and cloning of \textit{GTKPR1}, \textit{GRED1}, \textit{GRED2}, and \textit{GMYB80}}
  \item Using the \textit{Arabidopsis} \textit{tetradetide α-pyrene reductase 1 (At\textit{GTKPR1})} as the query sequence to blast against the gerbera transcriptome data from 35 gerbera tissues (unpublished data), a \textit{TKPR1}-like reductase encoding transcript was identified and named as \textit{GTKPR1}. The \textit{GTKPR1} sequence was recovered in full length and its full coding sequence was amplified from the gerbera cultivar ‘Regina’ anther cDNA with gene-specific primers (Table S1). \textit{GRED1} and \textit{GRED2} are two \textit{TKPR2}-like genes that were identified and cloned based on \textit{GRED1} having a significantly similar expression pattern as the broadly expressed gerbera 2-pyrene synthase \textit{G2PS1} and \textit{GRED2} being similar in sequence with \textit{GRED1}. \textit{GRED1} and \textit{GRED2} sequences were recovered in full length and their full coding sequences were amplified from the cultivar ‘Regina’ petal cDNA of inflorescence development stage 6 with gene-specific primers (Table S1). \textit{GMYB80} was identified through significant similarity (84–87% identity, 100% coverage) of the encoded amino acid sequence with \textit{MYB80} transcription factors of \textit{Asteraceae}, e.g., with those of \textit{Lactuca sativa} and \textit{Helianthus annuus} (XP_023740601 and XP_022039565, respectively). GenBank accession numbers for the nucleotide sequences for \textit{GTKPR1}, \textit{GRED1}, \textit{GRED2}, and \textit{GMYB80} are MW842918, MW842919, MW842920, and MZ328313, respectively.

\begin{table}
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Nucleotide accession numbers} & \textbf{Gene} \\
\hline
MW842918 & \textit{GTKPR1} \\
MW842919 & \textit{GRED1} \\
MW842920 & \textit{GRED2} \\
MZ328313 & \textit{GMYB80} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\item Phylogenetic analysis
  \item To conduct phylogenetic analysis, putative \textit{TKPR1} and \textit{TKPR2} orthologues from selected plant species were obtained from the GenBank database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/) by conducting BLASTP searches using At\textit{TKPR1} and At\textit{TKPR2} as queries, and their accession numbers are listed in Table S3. The full-length amino acid sequences were aligned using Clustal Omega software\textsuperscript{33} and then back-translated to the corresponding nucleotide sequences. The generated alignment was used to construct a maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree in MEGA X\textsuperscript{34} with default parameters, and a total of 1000 bootstrap replicates. Expression patterns of \textit{TKPR1} and \textit{TKPR2} orthologues were searched from published articles, \textit{ePlant} database or model plant genome databases (Table S3).
\end{itemize}
entry vector pDONR221 through the Gateway BP reaction, and the corresponding RNAi constructs were then generated by LR recombination between the entry clones and the Gateway binary vector pK7GW1WG2D(II).

For transformation, the AtTKPR1, GRED1, and GRED2 RNAi constructs were electroporated into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain C58C1(pGV2260)37, and then used for RNAi constructs were electroporated into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain C58C1(pGV2260)37, and then used for transformation, the entry vector pPro_ entry. The pEnNosT2-R2R3 vector containing a nopaline synthase 3 promoter entry vector pDONRP4-P1r (Invitrogen) and Arabidopsis Col-0 were PCR amplified from Arabidopsis Col-0 flower bud cDNA using gene-specific primers (Table S1) and further cloned into pDONR221. The complementation constructs for AtTKPR1, AtTKPR2, GTKPR1, GRED1, and GRED2, driven by the AtTKPR1 promoter, were constructed through Multisite Gateway LR reactions between the pPro_ _entry, entry clones of the target genes, pEnNosT2-R2R3 vector, and the destination vector pHm43GW, Multisite Gateway LR reactions between the entry clones with primers containing the T7 c primers (Table S1) and further cloned into pDONR221. The complementation constructs for AtTKPR1, AtTKPR2, GTKPR1, GRED1, and GRED2, driven by the AtTKPR1 promoter, were constructed through Multisite Gateway LR reactions between the pPro_ _entry, entry clones of the target genes, pEnNosT2-R2R3 vector, and the destination vector pHm43GW, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen). The generated complementation constructs were electroporated into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101(pMP90)39 and further transformed independently into the Arabidopsis heterozygous tkpr1/+ mutant line by floral dipping. The harvested seeds were screened on MS medium supplemented with 30 mg/L hygromycin B (Sigma-Aldrich) to select the transgenic plants. The transgenic plants in tkpr1 homozygous background (tkpr1/tkpr1) were identified by PCR as described above.

Gene expression analysis

Semi-quantitative RT-PCR was performed to analyze gene expression in gerbera tissue series and in Arabidopsis nontransgenic and transgenic lines. Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed to analyze gene expression analysis in gerbera wild-type and transgenic lines. For determination of the expression patterns of GTKPR1, GRED1, and GRED2 in gerbera, a series of 15 vegetative and reproductive tissue series were sampled from gerbera cultivar ‘Regina’ for total RNA isolation, including ray and disc flowers from inflorescence development stage 6, 8, and 10, pooled bract, carpel, anther, ovary, pappus, receptacle, and scape samples from inflorescence development stages 6, 8, and 10, pooled leaf sample from 5–8 cm, 25 cm, and 37–38 cm long leaves, and root. For verification of RNAi-efficiency in GTKPR1 RNAi and GRED1/2 RNAi gerbera transgenic lines, disc flowers at inflorescence development stage 6 were collected from wild-type, five independent GTKPR1 RNAi lines (TR8, TR11, TR17, and TR20) and four independent GRED1/2 RNAi lines (TR3, TR8, TR9, and TR12). For verification of the expression of transformed genes in Arabidopsis, flower buds at stage 9 from transgenic lines and nontransgenic controls were collected for RNA isolation. The total RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis was performed as described previously. For RT-PCR, genespecific primers (Table S1) were used to amplify the corresponding cDNA sequences under the following PCR program: 95 °C for 3 min, followed by 28 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 58 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 1 min, and followed by 72 °C for 8 min. qRT-PCR was performed with gene-specific primers (Table S1) as described previously and relative expression was calculated by using the ΔΔCt method as described by Pfaffl. The gerbera housekeeping gene GAPDH and Arabidopsis TUBULIN were used as reference genes for gerbera and Arabidopsis, respectively.

RNA in situ hybridization

The 1–5 outermost rings of disc flowers of the cultivar ‘Regina’ at inflorescence development stage 6 were collected for RNA in situ hybridization, performed as described by Kontturi et al. Gene-specific fragments for GRED1 (199 bp), GRED2 (240 bp), and GTKPR1 (212 bp) were PCR amplified from the GRED1, GRED2, and GTKPR1 entry clones with primers containing the T7 polymerase promoter sequence (Table S1), and then applied to synthesis of digoxigenin-labeled antisense probes following the manufacturer’s protocols of DIG RNA labeling kit (Roche). Gene-specific sense and antisense probes for GASCL1 synthesized previously were used as the positive and negative controls.

Pollen grain viability assay

Optimized Alexander staining described by Peterson et al. was applied to analyze the viability of pollen grains in gerbera and Arabidopsis transgenic lines. Anthers of gerbera wild-type, GTKPR1 RNAi, and GRED1/2 RNAi transgenic lines at inflorescence development stage 9, and flowers of Arabidopsis wild-type, tkpr1 homologous mutant, and transgenic lines at floral stage 14–15 were collected and fixed in 60% ethanol, 30% chloroform, and 10% glacial acetic acid (V/V) for 2–3 hours, then stained with 2–4 drops of stain solution (0.01% Malachite green, 0.05% Acid fuchsin, 0.005% Orange G, 10% ethanol, and 4% glacial acetic acid) on a slide with a moderate rate of heating until the stain solution was nearly boiling. Rinsed with water and the stained pollen grains were released by
pressing slightly with a cover slip. Slides were examined and photographed using the LeitzLaborlux S Microscope (Wetzlar, Germany) equipped with a Leica DFC420 C Digital Camera. Cytoplasm of viable pollen grains is stained in purple while aborted and empty pollen grains stain blue. The alive and dead pollen grains from each gerbera sample were counted from three independent fields with 20–100 pollen grains each.

**Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)**

Surface morphology of gerbera pollen grains was examined by SEM. For sampling, flowers were collected from wild-type and selected transgenic lines, at similar developmental stages as compared to those used for pollen grain staining. Pollen grains were squeezed out from the anthers, and directly mounted to carbon double-sided tape supported by a sample stub. Platinum coating was done to all mounted samples by a Quorum Q150TS high-resolution coater (Quorum Technologies, UK). The samples were then imaged by a Quanta 250 SEM device (FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA) located at the electron microscopy unit, University of Helsinki, Finland. In close-up images of individual pollen grains, background was removed in Adobe Photoshop CC in order to better illustrate the pollen structures.
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