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Introduction

The scientific and practical investigators commonly face the tasks of the identification of the agents with less known properties. The insufficiency of knowledge seriously restricts the opportunities of the search of such agents by means of trivial chemical, physicochemical or physical ways. At the same time bioassays have the advantages, as they require less input characteristics of the sought agent, and give the possibility to satisfy with those agent’s properties, which are required no precision tools for their determination. The additional advantages of bioassays are the saving of costs, labors and some other means.

For these reasons the research methods, based on bioassays, get increasingly widespread assays not only in biology, but also in other branches of scientific explorations, in the industry, the applied monitoring of environment and food, in the medicine and sports. This trend encourages us to systemize the current knowledge in the field of bioassays.

In the present review we analyze the following aspects: 1) theoretical principles of bioassays; 2) environments or spheres of application; 3) phenomena under studies; 4) identifiable parameters; 5) indicators (in other words, test-objects (Saha, 2002)); 6) methods and instruments. Finally, we unravel the prospective research directions and those which are connected with bioassays.

Bioassays always have belonged to instrumental basis for allelopathy. The Ukrainian scientists, such as S. I. Chornobryvenko, N. I. Pruteniska, A. M. Grodzynskyy (Grodzynsky, 1973; Grodzynsky et al., 1987; Yurchak, 2006), P. A. Moroz (1990), worked much at the elaboration of the bioassays for the specific needs of allelopathy. In general, despite of modern allelopathy adopts actively the advanced chemical and biological assays, the informational value of the conventional bioassays still doesn’t decline.

1. Theoretical principals

Some authors make a point of the methodological issues, particularly on the fundamental ideas, which underpin bioassays. Thus, G. Prinsloo et al. (2017) list the promises and pitfalls, which are inherent to the in vitro-bioassays, applied in the trials of botanicals: 1) the type of assays and biological effects available; 2) false-positives, false-negatives and
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Recently, the science has faced with the problem of the abandonment of all additive predictive-type models in the field of the study of synergism, particularly between the proteins. In contrast, F. S. Walters et al. (2016) assert the idea, 1) that the additivity models can remain useful assessment tools; 2) that an appropriately designed interaction study will never systematically underestimate the existence of synergism, irrespective of which additivity model (or none at all) may be used. Authors advise two beneficial steps for the analysis of experimental data from the point of view of synergism to follow: 1) the select of testing model; 2) avoid using bioassay methods which may result in excess response heterogeneity.

An important issue in the lion share of the tests in biology is the significance of dilution. From this perspective J. Tu & P. Bennet (2017) analyze the following issues: 1) selectivity of bioassays; 2) matrix effects; 3) minimum required dilution; 4) endogenous levels of healthy and diseased populations. Such analysis assists to elucidate the advantages and disadvantages of the experiments, based on the parallelism, under their application for the evaluation of matrix effects.

1.1. Issues of classification and systematization

In turns the review of D. V. Sotnikov et al. (2018) offers the set of criteria for the classification of the wide range of those bioassays (predominantly based on the immunochemical systems), which are reported in the recent publications.

1.2. Methodological issues

The theoretical principles are closely connected with the issues of methodology. The series of the publications emphasize on the methodic aspect of bioassays, e.g. on the step-by-step description of the procedure of the analyses for the detection of toxic metabolites. D. M. Bürkholder et al. (2001) notice, that in the absence of purified standards of toxins from Pfiesteria sp. fresh bioassays can be reckoned as the gold standard. This approach works well under the detection of the toxic Pfiesteria strains in the natural water of estuaries as well as in the sediment samples. The testing procedure includes the following steps: 1) isolation of the toxic strains of Pfiesteria (or other potentially, as-yet-undetected, toxic Pfiesteria or Pfiesteria-like species) from fish-killing bioassays with natural samples; 2) growing the clones with axenic algal prey; 3) retesting the isolates in a second set of fish bioassays. Taking into account the wide diversity of conditions, where samples are taken, under the modeling of bioassays it’s worth to use the flexible meanings of temperature, salinity, light, and other factors. On the basis of the comparative analysis of more samples are taken, under the modeling of bioassays it’s worth to use the flexible meanings of temperature, salinity, light, and other factors. On the basis of the comparative analysis of more results, since the lasts often foster the following research, irrespective of which additivity model (or none at all) may be used.

2. Fields of knowledge, explored by bioassays

2.1. Areas and environments of application of bioassays

Bioassay-based models are applied in order to characterize: 1) sites of environment (Hassan et al., 2016; Iqbal et al., 2016), particularly: 1.1) atmosphere air (Kojima et al., 2018); 1.2) hydrosphere (Bürkholder et al., 2001; Weiss et al., 2017; Ghribi et al., 2019), including potable water (Leusch et al., 2017), wastewater (Jirova et al., 2016); 1.3) soils (Essimbekova et al., 2014) and bottom sediments (Bürkholder et al., 2001); 2) internal environments of living organisms (Kojima et al., 2018), including those 2.1) in medical investigations (Essimbekova et al., 2014); 2.2) in sports (Copper et al., 2013); 3) products of labor, particularly those, which are connected with agriculture and food (Copper et al., 2013; Nishi et al., 2015; Hassan et al., 2016; Kojima et al., 2018; Starodub et al., 2018a); 4) man’s wastes, particularly flue gas samples from incinerators (Kojima et al., 2018).

The environmental monitoring is driven mostly by the needs to control the agent of the different structural level (from separate chemical elements (Chapman et al., 2013) to supramolecular structures, prions (Giles et al., 2017) with the potential of mostly adverse influence on the biota and human. The interests of the examinations of living organisms are determined by the exploration of the trends of the induction of the pathogenesis of diverse kinds, and are of great importance because of the control of inexpedient doping of sportsmen (Copper et al., 2013) and drug administration. This issue is connected with plant biochemistry, because some botanicals have been prohibited by the World Anti-Doping Agency (Cohen et al., 2019). In turns the control of the quality of the target product and wastes of human activities comes from the assessment of risks for the human health and for the biota.

2.2. Phenomena under studies

Bioassays encompass several important areas of interest. Thus, one of them is the recognition of many phenomena and sometimes the deepened acquisition of knowledge in order to detail the characteristics of certain phenomenon. Bioassays became the widespread mean for the research of 1) the causes and progress of carcinogenesis (Ito et al., 1996; Alden et al., 2011; Osimitz et al., 2013) 2) the properties of botanicals for the diverse applications (Agarwal et al., 2014; Prinsloo et al., 2017) and 3) the metabolites of quarantine weed Ambrosia artemisiifolia (Brückner et al., 2003); as well as for 4) the transcriptomic analyses (Nishi et al., 2015); 5) the elucidation of the influence of known and little-familiar agents on the organ-specific accumulation of toxins, the impairment of ontogenesis, genotoxic, mutagenic, teratogenic, and neurotoxic effects (Jirova et al., 2016; Du Gas et al., 2017; Ghribi et al., 2019), endocrine disruptions (Jirova et al., 2016; Tian et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2018); 6) the improvement of the comprehension of the properties of substances and products of known nature (Osimitz et al., 2013). Besides plant indicators were applied under the investigation of the participation of the vector insects in the propagation of infection (Manachini et al., 2007), and Gammarius fossarum was involved for the research of the trends of the biodistruclion of plant litters (Zubrod et al., 2017).

A. Agarwal et al. (2014) address the significance of bioassays from the standpoint of obtaining of intermediate results, since the lasts often foster the following research, regardless of the principles, purposes, and subjects. Intermediate results are evolved from the exploration of the botanicals, analyses of the interaction between the preparations and recipient organisms, the determination of the mechanisms of interaction. The researchers consider that the bioassays of plant materials are not only cognition interests, but also attractive for purposes the fractionation of bioactive products.

3. Peculiarities of bioassay procedures

Above we concerned the general features, characterizing the range of phenomena and notions. Usually, routes for the description of the general features run through the clarification of the knowledge about more specific concepts and parameters. We will highlight the last issue below.

3.1. Sought stimuli

It sounds expediently to distinguish two divisions for the stimuli, which are sought under bioassays. The first division covers the stimuli with ascertained structures or they are known forms, which serve the parameters of search. In the second case stimuli are identified due to specific functional properties, while the structural accuracy becomes collateral.

The identification of the form of sought object on the atomic level is often undertaken in course of the analyses of soil (e.g. Pb, Zn: (Chapman et al., 2013)). In turn the task of the characterization of the diverse environments motivates the
needs in surveillance of the organic compounds by their form (Xu et al., 2014). Cobamines – the unique cofactors, essential for animal and human are an example of the groups of compounds, observed by bioassays. Cobamines comprises vitamin B12 and structurally related molecules. In some cases these substances are not beneficial, as they can exhibit unfavorable influence, e.g., under the contamination of nutritive media; and the especial interests of the cobamines' detection comes just from this reason (Crofts et al., 2014). The examples of other identifiable compounds include lactic acid within the root exudates (Wen et al., 2018), dimethyl, diethyl, diallyl and dipropyl phthalates (Sun et al., 2019), brevetoxins (Fleming et al., 2007), tenzauronic acid – mycotoxin of Alternaria alternata (Zhou et al., 2019), various herbicides, particularly glyphosate (Hong et al., 2017), and monocrotophos (Tian et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2018). Besides, viruses, (Manchini et al., 2007) and prions (Giles et al., 2017) belong to the stimuli of more complex level.

The functional explorations, based on bioassays, provide the indication of the agents of the impairment of genomes (Lakhansky & Hendrick, 1981; Iqbal et al., 2016; Khan et al., 2019; Wijeyeratne & Wadasinghe, 2019); the disruptors of human or animal hormonal processes (Cooper et al., 2013; Jirova et al., 2016; Leusch et al., 2017); allergens (Nishi et al., 2015), particularly skin disease pathogens (Turkelbaum, 1989), surfactant toxins (Mudriy & Debrivnaya, 1996). Also function-oriented bioassays facilitate the tracing of toxic forms among the inorganic and organic Fe3O4 nanoparticles (Mashjoor et al., 2019); xanthyletin, 3-(1',1'-dimethylallyl)-xanthyletin, asarinin, fargesin, 4,5-epoxi-

3.2. Identifiable parameters

Often just the proving of the presence or absence of a stimulus gives very scarce information. The characterizing of the objects under examination through the parameters increases the qualitative level of the output, and the publications deal with the following structural parameters: abundance of cells (Serduyk et al., 1995; Brückner et al., 2003; Wen et al., 2018), aberrations of chromosomes (Khan et al., 2019); nuclear abnormalities in root tip cell with the mitotic index (Wijeyeratne & Wadasinghe, 2019); coloration of root tip (Bataineh et al., 2008); seed germination (Brückner et al., 2003; Chung et al., 2005; Bataineh et al., 2008); growth, elongation of root (Anaya et al., 2005; Wijeyeratne & Wadasinghe, 2019) and hypocotyle (Pooam et al., 2019); pathological damages of some tissues and organs (Ghribi et al., 2019), e. g., and leaf brow spots (Zhou et al., 2019).

Far more informativity is achieved due to the set of functional parameters: senescence of leaves (Zahaiska et al., 2017); shoot and root growth rate (Bataineh et al., 2008); content of free amino acids (Bedernichek et al., 2017), betacarotene (Kines et al., 1995); shoot and root content of free amino acids (Bedernichek et al., 2017); shoot and root content of free amino acids (Bedernichek et al., 2017); growth, elongation of root (Anaya et al., 2005; Wijeyeratne & Wadasinghe, 2019) and hypocotyle (Pooam et al., 2019); pathological damages of some tissues and organs (Ghribi et al., 2019), e. g., and leaf brow spots (Zhou et al., 2019).

Testing in virology is one more field, which applies higher plants as the in-vitro receivers as the indicators of molecular level. Thus, the cryptochrome protein of Arabidopsis thaliana was demonstrated to be sensitive to the influence of magnetic field (Pooam et al., 2019).

The indicators of cellular level could be divided into the separate unicell organisms (Wieczerek et al., 2016), including bacteria Azotobacter agilis (Mudriy & Debrivnaya, 1996), Vibrio Fischeri (Bonnet et al., 2007), infusorium Tetrahymena pyriformis (Lakhansky & Hendrick, 1981; Sauvant et al., 1995; Bonnet et al., 2007), algae (Brückner et al., 2003; Sun et al., 2019), e. g. Microcystis aeruginosa (Wen et al., 2018), and cells as the parts of multicellular organisms.

In turns, S.C.Weiss et al. (2017) cite the indicators of subcellular level. Apparently, the bioassays with the whole organisms have the longest history. The higher plants are powerful means for the detection of heavy metals in soil (Chapman et al., 2013).

For the purposes of soil exploration the set of species, including Acer rubrum, Allium cepa, Brassica rapa, Quercus rubra, and Trifolium pratense were selected. At the same time Vicia faba indicates the mutagens (Iqbal et al., 2016). The advantages of this species include seasonal independence, simplicity of karyotyping observation, cheapness, along with its more sensitivity as compared with other indicators, which require the similar term of assays. In contrast to other plant indicators V. faba could be applied without pretreatment.

Testing in virology is one more field, which applies higher plants. Thus, Bellis perennis, Centaurea cyanus, Lepidium sativum, Matricaria chamomilla, Papaver rhoas, Pismum sativum, Saponaria ocytrodes, Trofolium repens, T. pratense, and Zinnia elegans became infected under the inoculation with plum pox virus, but Achillea millefolium, Amaranthus retroflexus, Linum grandifolium var. rubrum, Lupinus polyphyllus, and Taraxacum officinale did not (Manchini et al., 2007).

Other properties of plants were examined with Allium cepa (Khan et al., 2019; Wijeyeratne & Wadasinghe, 2019),
Amaranthus caudatus (Kinsman et al., 1975; Serdyuk et al., 1995), A. hypochondriacus (Valencia-Islas et al., 2002; Brückner et al., 2003; Anaya et al., 2005), A. retrofusus (Chung et al., 2005; Bataineh et al., 2008; Zhou et al., 2019), A. tricolor (Elliot, 1979; Mao et al., 2018), Cynopus diformis, and Leptochloa chinensis (Chung et al., 2005), Echinochloa crus-galli (Valencia-Islas et al., 2002; Anaya et al., 2005), Fagopyrum esculentum (Mudriy & Debrivnaya, 1996), Lenna pausicostata (Valencia-Islas et al., 2002; Chung et al., 2005; Monselise et al., 2011), Cucumis sativus, Lolium perenne, and Sillybum marianum (Bataineh et al., 2008), Brassica campestris, and Sorghum sudanense (Mao et al., 2018), Agerantia adenophora, and Digiteria sanguinialis (Zhou et al., 2019).

Weed leaves, amaranth and tobacco callus cultures work well for the detection of cytokinin activity (Dolezal et al., 2006; Zahaikas et al., 2017).

Several high plant species have been widely applied for the biologically-based screening of mutagens. J. Maluszynska with J. Juchimiuk (2005) list the most common methods, used in this research direction: 1) cytogenetic assays for the induction of damages in mitotic chromosomes, including the tests on Allium cepa, Crepis capillaris, Hordeum vulgare, Pisum sativum, Tradescancia paludosa, and Vicia faba; 2) assays for the induction of micronuclei, particularly in root cells of H. vulgare and V. faba, and in chromosomes under the stage of tetrad during the meiosis in T. paludosa; 3) assays for the induction of mutations in genes, namely in the loci of the waxy pollen of various species, e.g. in Zea mays, of chlorophyll deficiency in H. vulgare, somatic mosaicism in G. max; 4) assays on the tissue of Nicotiana tabacum, V. faba, and some non-domesticated plant species.

Overall, no genetic assay provides the determination of all genotoxic effects. Thus, the kits of assays are required for the examination of these effects. Kit comprises the limit number of complementary validated assays (Kutsokon, 2010). Note, that green chemistry as the direction in analytical work admits the application of multicellular organisms (yeasts, plants, invertebrates and vertebrates) alone with diverse unicell species (Wieczerek et al., 2016).

3.4. Methods and tools of bioassays

Lack of the understanding of necessary methods and tools allows for the discussion of the results of bioassays only, but makes it impossible the experimentation proper. Methods mean the peculiarities of procedures, particularly the elements of technique, experimental work. In turn talking about tools, we will specify the facilities employed in this work. Nevertheless often the difference between these two concepts disappears, and the name of method derives from the name of the applied tools.

Some publications report about the general physical methods: amperometry, voltammetry, conductometry, potentiometry, and colorimetry (Hassan et al., 2016), as well as the general chemical methods: high-performance thin-layer chromatography (Weiss et al., 2017), high-resolution gas chromatography/high-resolution mass spectrometry (Kojima et al., 2018). The other research deal with the general biological methods, particularly utilizing diverse bioobjects in vitro (Agarwal et al., 2014; Leusch et al., 2017; Prinsloo et al., 2017; Weiss et al., 2017).

The transition of bioassays to qualitatively higher level necessitates the works at the interface of several natural sciences.

Firstly, the procedures of bioassays widely employ 1) microbiologic techniques, e.g. microbial fuel cells (Weiss et al., 2017); 2) biophysical analyses, namely bioluminescent (Essimbekeva et al., 2014), fluorescent, and micrometric ones (Nishi et al., 2015; Weiss et al., 2017); 3) biochemical analyses, particularly based on ability of the inhibition of luminescent enzymes and acetylcholinesterase (Weiss et al., 2017).

The luminescent methods compel interest due to their rapidity (they take no more than 5 min), high sensitivity, simplicity and safety of procedure, and possibility of automation of ecological monitoring. Note, that luminometer, required for the trials, is easily available (Essimbekeva et al., 2014).

Fluorescence-based bioassays fall into the set of ways: 1) microarray/biochip assay; 2) fluorescence-based microarrays/biochips, such as 2a) antibody/protein microarrays; 2b) bead/suspension arrays; 2c) capillary/sensor arrays; 2d) DNA microarrays/polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based arrays; 2e) glycan/lectin arrays; 2f) immunoassay/enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)-based arrays; 2g) microfluidic chips and tissue arrays (Nishi et al., 2015).

Biophysical phenomena are the basis of numerous observations on indicators on the one hand, and on the other hand the principles of the operation of complicated biophysical means of investigation, particularly the principles of biosensors and biochips (Alépée et al., 2014; Hassan et al., 2016), are built on the properties of indicators.

A lot of mycotoxins, having low molecular weight, could not be detected by immunological methods. It is possible to overcome this challenge by the employing of special immunobiosensors (Starodub et al., 2008a). For instance, the detection of mycotoxin T2, zearalenone, ochratoxin, patulin, and aflatoxin B2 is provided by the fiber optical SOS-biosensing with the application of recombinant C600 (pPLS-1) Escherichia coli cells on cellophane membrane for the contact of the analytical unit with the transducer surface (Starodub & Tanar, 2016).

Secondly, the development of knowledge, obtained in the course of bioassays, fuels the silico-research. We mean the achievements of computer simulation for the solving of problems and tasks, which are relevant to the goals of the trivial bioassays. The launching of the open PubChem repository contributed for the establishing of in silico-direction in bioassays. PubChem contains data on the bioactivity of small molecules and the agents of RNA interference. This repository provides free access to the convenient information, providing the intuitive tools for data analysis. In 2012 this knowledgebase contained 500 thousand descriptions of assay protocols, covering 5 thousand protein targets with the 30 thousand protein targets, and reporting over 130 million bioactivity outcomes. PubChem enables the application of web-based and programmatic tools for the collection, comparison, and analysis of the biological test results. Moreover, PubChem has encouraged data submission by the explorers (Wang et al., 2012).

4. Developing ideas of bioassays

Bioassays are associated mostly with the analogs of the identification tests in chemistry (Vlasov et al., 1979). Distinct bioindicators often react differently to the equivalent exposure of the same stimulus. However, we don’t know the studies, focused on the set of indicators for the graduation of the results of bioassay for the detection of certain stimuli. Such studies would elaborate the promise, relevant to the quantitative tests in chemistry.

The other prospect is ‘bioassays in situ’. In the previous sections of this paper we discussed the most conventional idea, that bioassays are the tests for the detection of the separate physical, chemical or biotic stimuli by the sensitive biotic means of the different levels of structural organization: from biomolecules to whole organisms. On our opinion the idea of bioassay can be spread by the inclusion of the concepts of bioindication and biolocation. The options and attractive features of these research directions could be found in the fundamental works by Y. P. Didukh (2012), and A. M. Gorelov (2007).
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