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Abstract: The study presents a comparative investigation of the composition and bioactivity of oil extracted from pomegranate seeds of sun-burned fruit (SB) and healthy fruit (HF) for the value-adding potential of pomegranate fruit waste. Seeds from SB and HF were independently freeze dried, sun dried, and oven dried before ultrasound-assisted oil extraction using petroleum ether. The extracted oil was analysed for yield, refractive index, p-anisidine value, total phenolic content (TPC), DPPH radical scavenging ability, antimicrobial activity, tyrosinase enzyme inhibition ability, and fatty acid composition. The results showed that oven dried seeds, regardless of fruit quality, yielded the highest oil (20.85–24.70%, dry weight). Regardless of the seed drying method, oil from the seeds of SB exhibited the highest TPC (1.48–2.84 mgGAE/g PSO) than oil from the seeds of HF. The oil from oven dried and freeze dried seeds of SB were more effective in scavenging the DPPH radicals with IC 50 values of 34.77 and 39.97 µg/mL, respectively. All the oil samples showed good ability to inhibit tyrosinase enzyme regardless of fruit quality and seed drying method, with monophenolase and diphenolase IC 50 ranging between 0.31 and 0.49 mg/mL and 0.64 and 2.43 mg/mL, respectively. Irrespective of the drying method, oil extracted from HF seeds exhibited greater antimicrobial potency against the tested bacteria. The fatty acid composition of the oil samples was neither affected by fruit quality and seed drying method, with monophenolase and diphenolase IC 50 ranging between 0.31 and 0.49 mg/mL and 0.64 and 2.43 mg/mL, respectively. Irrespective of the drying method, oil extracted from HF seeds exhibited greater antimicrobial potency against the tested bacteria. The fatty acid composition of the oil samples was neither affected by fruit quality and seed drying method. Generally, all oil samples exhibited high levels of punicic acid (81.21–82.68%) and low omega 6 to omega 3 ratios (0.19–0.37%), suggesting that the oil samples were healthy. Principal component analysis (PCA) established that freeze dried seeds of SB is an excellent source of oil with higher TPC, punicic acid, polyunsaturated fatty acids, and unsaturated fatty acid/saturated fatty acid ratio. It can be concluded that the seed from SB is a good raw material for oil that can be utilised in cosmetic products formulation.
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1. Introduction

Pomegranates, well-known since ancient civilization, have become an important cash crop cultivated in various parts of the world [1]. Value added products, such as pomegranate jam, jelly, juice, syrup, vinegar, carbonated beverages, and wine, have emerged from the processing of fruit. Valorisation of pomegranates into these products generates substantial amounts of by-products, such as seeds and peels. Despite being underutilised, studies have shown that pomegranate seeds (PS) are considered a rich source of high quality oil (12–24%), tocopherols, sterols, conjugated linolenic acids (mainly punicic acid), triterpenes, and phospholipids [2]. Literature has pointed out that pomegranate seed oil (PSO) possesses biological properties, such as antioxidant properties, estrogenic content immune function and lipid metabolism, antidiabetic property, lipoperoxidation, and skin photoaging.
inhibition [2–4]. Therefore, the utilisation of PSO is receiving special attention in the food, cosmetic, and nutraceutical industries.

Increasing consumer interest and awareness of pomegranate as a functional food has spurred a global increase in the production and consumption of fruit and its products. Pomegranate fruit has become one of the most important specialty crops in South Africa. About 1032 ha of pomegranate fruit is produced, along with an export volume of 6000 tonnes; South Africa is regarded as one of the major producers of pomegranate in the Southern Hemisphere, competing with countries such as Peru, Chile, and Argentina [5]. However, postharvest losses (20–40%) limit the supply and consumption of pomegranate fruit, despite its high demand on both the local and export markets [5]. In pomegranates, quality deficiencies such as sunburns, bruises, cracks, and scalds render the fruit valueless as they are rejected on the local and export markets.

In South Africa, sunburn alone may inflict postharvest losses of up to 29% as the pomegranates become unmarketable at export and local markets [6,7]. Sunburn mostly occurs when the air temperature (in the shade) is above 30 °C and fruit surface temperatures are above 45 °C [8], leading to bleaching of the characteristic red colour of pomegranate aril, a term commonly known as the “cooking effect” [9]. This makes the fruit undesirable for processing into other products as colour is an important quality attribute for any pomegranate product. Such fruits are usually used as stockfeed or disposed of as waste, contributing to environmental problems. Postharvest losses resulting from sunburn not only constitute a significant revenue loss to farmers but also limit consumption of pomegranate products despite the ongoing global drive for safer, healthier, and sustainable diets [10]. From an economic perspective, the most effective way to add value to sun-burned pomegranate is to utilise the seeds for oil, which can be used as a functional ingredient in the cosmeceutical industry. In this regard, it is vital to investigate its biological properties to validate its potential application as a functional ingredient.

Despite PSO being a treasure of compounds with health benefits, the bioactive phytochemicals, contributing to the functionality of the oil, might be affected by the processes involved in oil production, such as seed drying. The effect of seed drying method on the extracted oil chemical properties has been reported in previous studies [11] Al Juhaimi et al. [12] reported that drying Kinnow mandarin, Eureka lemon, and Mandarin orange samples at 60 °C for 24 h produced oil with the best DPPH radical scavenging capacity when compared to drying them at 70 and 80 °C for 24 h. Ironti et al. [13] compared air drying (room temperature), sun drying, oven drying, and freeze drying of papaya seeds and reported the highest, total phenolic content (2.61 mg/g), total flavonoid content (0.52 mg/g), total carotenoids content (8.12 µg/g), Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC) (56.54 µM TE/g), ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) (150.01 µg GAE/g) in oil extracted from freeze dried papaya seeds. Variation of oil quality with the seed drying method has also been reported with avocado, mango, and grape seeds [14–16]. Seed drying methods, which minimise nutritional quality and bioactive phytochemical losses, are desired by both PSO processors and consumers of the oil along the value chain.

Thus, the objective of the work described herein was to determine and compare the composition and biological properties of oil extracted from pomegranate seeds of SB and HF dried using different methods.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Material

Healthy and sun-burned pomegranates (cv. Acco) were manually harvested at commercial maturity (13.37–14.53° brix) in February 2014 season from a commercial farm in Western Cape, South Africa. The pomegranate seeds (PS) were separated from the juice using a cheesecloth, and thoroughly washed with distilled water to remove membranes and residues.
2.2. Seed Drying and Sample Preparation

Clean PS from HF and SB were divided into three groups before they were freeze dried (VirTis Co., Gardiner, New York, NY, USA), oven dried (40 °C) and sun dried to constant weights (8–12% w/w). The dried samples were uniformly ground into powder (<1 mm particle size) and stored in airtight containers at −80 °C till further analysis.

2.3. Oil Extraction

PS (5 g) were mixed with petroleum ether (50 mL) in 500 mL plastic capped bottles, vortexed, before sonication at 40 °C for 60 min using an ultrasound bath (MRC Ltd., Holon, Israel). The sonicated samples were then centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 5 min (Centrifuge 5810R, Eppendorf, Horsholm, Germany), after which the solvent was recovered under vacuum using a rotor vapor (G3 Heidolph, Schwabach, Germany). PSO extraction yield was defined as gram per hundred-gram pomegranate seed (g/100 g seed). The oil extraction was carried out in triplicates (n = 3).

2.4. PSO Compositional Analysis

2.4.1. Refractive Index and \( \rho \)-Anisidine Value

Refractive index (RI) at 25 °C was measured using a calibrated Abbe 5 refractometer (Bellingham + Stanley, Kent, UK). \( \rho \)-Anisidine value (AV) was determined following the AOCS official method [17].

2.4.2. Preparation of PSO Extracts for Total Phenolic Content, Antiradical, and Antimicrobial Activity

The extracts from PSO for analysing total phenolic content (TPC), and antiradical activity were prepared according to the method described by Jakopic et al. [18] with minor modifications. Triplicated PSO samples (1 g) were independently mixed with 10 mL of distilled water, 50% aqueous ethanol, and 100% ethanol, vortexed, and sonicated, before centrifugation at 4000 rpm for 10 min. The collected supernatant was stored at −20 °C until analysis.

2.4.3. Total Phenolic Content

The reaction mixture contained 100 \( \mu \)L of PSO extracts, 500 \( \mu \)L of the Folin-Ciocalteau reagent and 1.5 mL of 2% (w/v) sodium carbonate and 6 mL of distilled water. The samples were incubated in the dark for 30 min and their absorbance measured at 760 nm with a UV spectrophotometer (Helios Omega, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Gallic acid standard curve (0.0–20 \( \mu \)g/mL; \( R^2 \) 0.9852) was used, and total phenolic content was expressed as milligram gallic acid equivalent per mL PSO (mg GAE/mL PSO).

2.4.4. Radical Scavenging Activity

The antiradical activity of PSO was measured using the unstable radical, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) as a reagent according to the method used by Fawole and Opara [19]. In brief, 80% of DPPH in methanol was prepared and 750 \( \mu \)L of the solution was mixed with 15 \( \mu \)L of the PSO extracts containing 0.00–100 \( \mu \)g/mL oil and ascorbic acid. Ascorbic acid was used as a positive control. The mixture was vortexed and incubated at room temperature for 1 h in the dark, and the decrease in absorbance of DPPH was measured at 517 nm using a UV spectrophotometer (Helios Omega, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The ability of the oil to scavenge DPPH radical was calculated as % inhibition using the equation below:

\[
\% \text{ Inhibition} = \left( \frac{(\text{Abs}_{\text{control}} - \text{Abs}_{\text{sample}})}{\text{Abs}_{\text{control}}} \right) \times 100
\]

The sample concentration providing 50% inhibition (IC\(_{50}\)) was calculated by plotting the inhibition percentages against the concentrations of the sample.
2.4.5. Tyrosinase Inhibition Determination

Tyrosinase inhibition was determined following a previous method [1]. Samples were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to a concentration of 20 mg/mL, and further diluted in potassium phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 6.5) to 600 μg/mL. Assays were carried out at room temperature and in a 96-well micro-titre plate using a Multiskan FC plate reader (Thermo scientific technologies, China). In triplicate, each prepared sample (70 μL) was mixed with 30 μL of tyrosinase (333 Units/mL in phosphate buffer, pH 6.5). After 5 min incubation, 110 μL of the substrate (2 mM L-tyrosine or 12 mM L-DOPA) was added to the reaction mixtures and incubated further for 30 min. The final concentration of the PSO was between 2.6–333.3 μg/mL. Arbutin (1.04–133.33 μg/mL) was used as a positive control. Absorbance values were determined at 492 nm. The percentage tyrosinase inhibition was calculated as follows:

\[
\% \text{ inhibition} = \left( \frac{A_{\text{control}} - A_{\text{sample}}}{A_{\text{control}}} \right) \times 100
\]

where \(A_{\text{control}}\) is the absorbance of DMSO and \(A_{\text{sample}}\) is the absorbance of the test reaction mixture containing PSO or arbutin. The IC\(_{50}\) values of PSO and arbutin were calculated.

2.4.6. Antibacterial Determination

The method described by Fawole et al. [1] was used to determine the antibacterial activity of the oil samples. The activity was tested against two Gram-positive bacterial strains (Bacillus subtilis ATCC 6051 and Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 12600) and two Gram-negative bacteria (Escherichia coli ATCC 11,775 and Klebsiella pneumonia ATCC 13883). Cultures were grown overnight in sterile Mueller-Hinton (MH) broth, and a 0.5 McFarland absorbance at 600 nm was used as a reference. The initial concentration (50 mg/mL) of oil samples was prepared by resuspending the oil samples in methanol. In triplicate, the resuspended oil samples (100 μL per well) were serially diluted with sterile distilled water in a 96-well-microplate, followed by the addition of 100 μL bacterial suspension to each well. One positive antibiotic control (0.1 mg/mL Streptomycin; Sigma–Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA), one negative control (sterile distilled water) and blank control (aqueous methanol) were set up against each bacterium. The final concentration of reconstituted PSO in the 96-well-microplate ranged from 0.097 to 12.5 mg/mL, and streptomycin concentrations ranged from 0.01 to 0.5 mg/mL in each respective well. The microplates were incubated at 37 °C for 18 h before 40 μL of p-iodonitrotetrazolium chloride (INT; Sigma–Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany) was added to each well. These plates were further incubated for 1 h and were examined thereafter. Bacterial growth/survival was clearly visible as these wells turned pink. The clear wells indicated the inhibition of bacterial growth, and thus the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values were recorded as the concentrations in the last wells in which no colour change was observed after adding INT indicator.

2.4.7. Fatty Acids

Briefly, pomegranate seed oil (0.1 g) was weighed into 15 mL glass vials followed by the addition of 2.0 mL hexane, 50 μL heptadecanoic acid 1000 ppm, internal standard) and 1.0 mL of 20% (v/v) H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) in methanol. The reaction mixture was vortexed and incubated at 80 °C for 1 h in an oven. The mixture was cooled, and then 3 mL of saturated NaCl was added, vortexed, and centrifuged [20]. The fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) were then separated on a GC-MS system (6890N, Agilent technologies network) that was coupled to an Agilent technologies inert XL EI/CI Mass Selective Detector (MSD) (5975B, Agilent Technologies Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA). Helium, the carrier gas, was applied at a flow rate of 0.017 mL/s, and 1μL of the sample was injected using a split ratio of 10:1. The oven temperature was programmed as follows: 100 °C/min, 180 °C at 25 °C/min and held for 3 min, 200 °C at 4 °C/min and held for 5 min, 280 °C at 8 °C/min, and 310 °C at 10 °C/min and held for 5 min. The mass spectrometer was operated under electron impact mode at ionization energy of 70 eV, scanning from 35 to 500 m/z. The pomegranate seed
oil fatty acids profiles were identified by comparing their retention times with those of FAME standards (cis-oleic acid, palmitic acid, stearic acid, margaric acid, arachidic acid, behenic acid, trans-oleic acid, γ-linolenic acid, and α-linolenic acid) purchased from Sigma, South Africa. The relative content (%) of each fatty acid was calculated by dividing the peak area of each fatty acid by the total peak area of all the fatty acids identified, excluding minor constituents.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

All data are presented as mean values (±S.D) (standard deviation) from triplicate samples. Two-way (factor A-fruit quality and factor B-seed drying method) and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) were performed using Statistica software (Statistical v13, TIBC, Palo Alto, CA, USA, and the mean values were separated using Duncan’s multiple range test ($p < 0.05$). Graphical presentations were made using Microsoft Excel (Version: 16.0.13029.20344, Microsoft Cooperation, Washington, DC, USA). The relationship between fruit quality, seed drying method and oil chemical properties was determined by performing principal component analysis (PCA) using Microsoft excel software (XLSTAT 2019.4.1.63305, Addinsoft, New York, NY, USA).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Oil Yield

Oil yield is a critical parameter in seed oil processing as it is directly proportional to the gross income. As shown in Figure 1, there was a combined effect of fruit quality and seed drying method on oil yield ($p = 0.005$). The oil yield varied between 17.35 and 24.70% (dry weight) (dw) with oven dried seeds, both from SB (20.85%) and HF (24.70%), exhibiting the highest oil yield. The findings suggest that oven drying of pomegranate seeds prior to oil extraction could be a desirable method for maximising the release of lipids from the oleaginous material. The lowest oil yield was shown by sun dried seeds from both HF (17.55%) and SB (17.35%). The oil yield results in the present study were higher than those reported by Ahangari et al. [21] (12.02–17.12%) and Tian et al. [22] (15.72%) from propane and supercritical carbon dioxide extraction, respectively. The observed discrepancies could be attributed to genetic factors, seed drying method, and oil extraction technique. The high oil yield results in the present study, irrespective of fruit quality, makes the seeds from SB a good raw material for the PSO industry. The results from other researchers confirm that the seed drying method significantly affects oil yield. Omosuli et al. [23] reported a 28% variation in yield of Soxhlet extracted oil from sun-dried (32.21%) and cabinet dried (33.11%) moringa seeds. In another study, a 1.1 fold difference in oil yield after Soxhlet extraction was observed between sun-dried (40.28%) and oven dried (43.32%) watermelon seeds [24].

3.2. Refractive Index and $\rho$-Anisidine Value

The results showed that neither fruit quality nor seed drying method had a significant effect on the extracted oil refractive index ($p > 0.05$) (Table 1). The findings suggest no significant changes to the fatty acid profiles among the samples. The RI values narrowly varied between 1.4900 and 1.4990 and were comparable to those reported by Khoddam et al. [25] (1.4977–1.4983) from three different pomegranate cultivars (TMOI, COI, and COT). Hydroperoxides formed from the primary oxidation of fatty acids during oil processing further degrades to produce secondary products, including aldehydes and ketones. The concentration of these carbonyl compounds in seed oil can be determined by measuring the $\rho$-anisidine value. Statistical analysis showed that there was an insignificant ($p > 0.05$) interaction between fruit quality and seed drying method on the extracted oil $\rho$-anisidine value (Table 1). Freeze drying is recognised as a good method to protect heat labile components in the drying process. However, highest AVs were exhibited by oil from freeze dried seeds (4.65–4.80), whilst the oil from oven dried seeds showed the lowest AVs (2.18–2.65), regardless of the quality of the fruit. This may be partly explained by the retention of lipoxygenase enzyme because of lower temperature employed during freeze drying. Similar findings were reported with oil from freeze dried flaxseed and
pumpkin seed [26,27]. Nonetheless, other studies reported different results. Jahurul et al. [28] reported that AV of oil from oven dried rambutan (Nephelium lappaceum L.) seeds was 1.4-fold higher than that of oil from freeze dried seeds. The low levels of carbonyl compounds in the oil from freeze dried seeds could be ascribed to the absence of heat during drying [28].

Figure 1. Oil yield from seeds of healthy and sun-burned fruits and dried under different drying methods. Factorial ANOVA was performed for Factor A (fruit quality), and Factor B (seed drying method). Columns followed by different letters are significantly different (p < 0.05) according to Duncan’s multiple range test. Vertical bars indicate the standard deviation of the mean.

Table 1. Refractive index (RI), anisidine value (AV), DPPH radical scavenging ability, and tyrosinase enzyme inhibition of oil from seeds of healthy and sun-burned fruit and dried under different drying methods.

| Seed Drying Method | Fruit Quality | RI (25 °C) | AV     | DPPH (IC₅₀) (µg/mL) | Monophenolase (IC₅₀) (µg/mL) | Dipheno- | Diphenolase (IC₅₀) (µg/mL) |
|--------------------|---------------|------------|--------|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------|--------------------------|
| Freeze-dried       | Healthy       | 1.4990 ± 0.0000 a | 4.80 ± 2.03 a | 59.29 ± 1.91 a | 0.49 ± 0.074 a | 0.68 ± 0.046 c |
|                    | Sun-burned    | 1.4920 ± 0.0000 a | 4.65 ± 0.60 a | 39.97 ± 2.37 bc | 0.33 ± 0.040 b | 2.43 ± 0.543 a |
| Sun-dried          | Healthy       | 1.4982 ± 0.0000 a | 3.25 ± 0.85 a | 56.65 ± 2.40 a | 0.33 ± 0.032 b | 1.80 ± 0.550 ab |
|                    | Sun-burned    | 1.4902 ± 0.0000 a | 2.75 ± 0.60 a | 51.98 ± 5.88 ab | 0.31 ± 0.001 b | 0.94 ± 0.328 bc |
| Oven-dried         | Healthy       | 1.4988 ± 0.0000 a | 2.18 ± 0.08 a | 47.03 ± 3.77 abc | 0.35 ± 0.014 b | 0.64 ± 0.056 bc |
|                    | Sun-burned    | 1.4900 ± 0.0000 a | 2.67 ± 0.12 a | 34.77 ± 3.56 c | 0.36 ± 0.008 b | 0.68 ± 0.047 bc |
| Ascorbic acid      | Arbutin       | 0.99 ± 0.027    |        | 0.04 ± 0.001      | 0.01 ± 0.001           |

Factorial ANOVA was performed for Factor A (seed drying method) and Factor B (fruit quality). Columns followed by different letters are significantly different (p < 0.05) according to Duncan’s multiple range test. Values are means ± SD of triplicate determinations. Means in the same column and followed by different letters are significantly different (p ≤ 0.05) according to Duncan’s multiple range test. RI: refractive index, AV: anisidine value, and DPPH: 2,2-diphenyl-1-picryl hydrazyl.

3.3. Total Phenolic Content and Antiradical Activity

The results from Figure 2 indicate that the variation of total phenolic content in oil samples resulted from the mutual effect of fruit quality and seed drying method (p = 0.0022). However, oil from SB seeds exhibited higher total phenolic content (2.84, 1.60, and 1.40 mg GAE/g PSO for freeze dried, sun-dried, and oven dried seeds, respectively), regardless of the drying method. The result that oil from freeze dried seeds showed the highest total phenolic content
(1.3–2.0 times higher) compared with oil from sun-dried and oven-dried seeds, indicates that the applied seed drying methods had a significant effect on the extraction of phenolic content. Maximum extraction of the phenolic content from the seed matrix is desired by oil consumers such as cosmetic products manufacturers as these bioactive phytochemicals have been implicated in the functionality of PSO. The TPC (0.75–2.84 mgGAE/g PSO) values in the present study were higher than those reported by Pande and Akoh [29] (0.85–0.91 mg/g PSO) and Costa et al. [30] (0.00–0.17 mg/g PSO) from solvent extracted, and cold pressed PSO. Among other factors, the differences observed could be due to variation in cultivar, seed drying method, oil extraction technique, and fruit growing region. Nonetheless, the TPC results were lower than those from other fruit seed oil such as papaya (10.66–13.29 mgGAE/g oil) and avocado (25.72–70.19 mgGAE/g oil) [31,32].

| Fruit Quality | Total Phenolic Content (TPC) (mg GAE/g) |
|---------------|----------------------------------------|
| Healthy       | 1.4988 ± 0.0000 a 2.18 ± 0.08 a 47.03 ± 3.77 abc 0.35 ± 0.014 b 0.64 ± 0.056 bc |
| Sun-burned    | 1.4900 ± 0.0000 a 2.67 ± 0.12 a 34.77 ± 3.56 c 0.36 ± 0.008 b 0.68 ± 0.047 bc |

The results from Figure 2 indicate that the variation of total phenolic content in oil samples resulted from the mutual effect of fruit quality and seed drying method (Figure 2). Factorial ANOVA was performed for Factor A (fruit quality) and Factor B (seed drying method). Columns followed by different letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$) according to Duncan’s multiple range test. Vertical bars indicate the standard deviation of the mean.

The DPPH assay has been used widely to evaluate the antiradical activity of plant extracts, including seed oil. The $IC_{50}$ value indicates the concentration of sample required to scavenge 50% DPPH free radicals [33]. As shown in Table 1, both fruit quality ($p = 0.006$) and seed drying method ($p = 0.025$) significantly affected the extracted oil DPPH radical scavenging capacity. Despite this, their combined effect was insignificant. The oil from oven dried and freeze dried seeds of SB were more effective in scavenging the DPPH radicals with $IC_{50}$ values of 34.77 and 39.97 $\mu$g/mL, respectively. This indicates that SB is a potential raw material for oil that could be applied in the formulation of cosmetic products.

On the other hand, oil from sun-dried and freeze dried seeds of HF showed the lowest DPPH radicals scavenging ability ($IC_{50}$: 56.56 and 59.29 $\mu$g/mL, respectively). The greater DPPH radical scavenging capacity of oil from oven dried and freeze dried seeds of SB could be due to higher total phenolic compounds reported in the respective oil samples. The contribution of total phenolics to oil antioxidant properties has been highlighted in literature [11,34]. Moreover, other antioxidant compounds such as tocopherols present in PSO have been implicated in the oil antiradical activity [35]. The DPPH radical scavenging capacity of the oil samples was lower than that of ascorbic acid, a standard antioxidant (Table 1).

3.4. Tyrosinase Inhibition and Antimicrobial Activity

Tyrosinase enzyme catalyses the biosynthesis of melanin, which plays an important role in protecting the skin from ultraviolet radiation. On the other hand, increased melanin levels may result in skin pigmentation disorders, such as melasma and age spots [36]. In line with this, tyrosinase inhibitors can be useful for the prevention of dermatological disorders linked to excessive concentration of melanin [36]. As shown in Table 1, the ability of the oil
samples to inhibit both monophenolase and diphenolase activity was neither influenced by fruit quality nor seed drying method \( (p > 0.05) \). Except for the oil from freeze dried seeds of HF \( (IC_{50}: 0.49 \mu g/mL) \), all other oil samples showed similar inhibition of monophenolase \( (IC_{50}: 0.31-0.36 \mu g/mL) \). Regarding diphenolase activity inhibition, the \( IC_{50} \) of the oil samples varied from 0.64-2.43 \( \mu g/mL \), with oil from oven dried seeds showing the greatest inhibitory activity (Table 1). However, the studied oil samples showed lower tyrosinase enzyme inhibition activity than arbutin, a known standard enzyme inhibitor \( (0.04 \text{ and } 0.01 \mu g/mL \text{ for monophenolase and diphenolase activity, respectively}) \). Overall, the oil samples showed better monophenolase activity inhibition than diphenolase activity. The inhibition of tyrosinase enzyme activity in the present study could be attributed to the ability of phenolics to chelate the copper ion in the active site, leading to irreversible inactivation of tyrosinase [36].

The antimicrobial activity of the oil samples on the studied microorganisms is presented in Table 2. The oil samples exhibited a broad-spectrum activity against the bacterial strains used. Regardless of the drying method, oil extracted from HF seeds, exhibited greater antimicrobial potency against both the Gram negative \( (Escherichia coli \text{ and } Klebsiella pneumonia) \) and Gram positive bacteria \( (Bacillus subtilis \text{ and } Staphylococcus aureus) \) with MIC ranging from 1.56 to 12.5 mg/mL. On the other hand, oil extracted from seeds of SB showed lower antimicrobial activity against the bacteria with minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) ranging from 12.5 mg/mL and above, despite showing greater tyrosinase inhibition. The phenolics in pomegranate seed oil have been implicated in its antimicrobial activity through enzymatic inhibition of the oxidised compound or reacting with sulfhydryl groups of proteins via non-specific modes, modifying protein functionality [37]. However, all the oil samples showed lower antimicrobial activity than Streptomycin \( (<0.0195 \text{ mg/mL}) \) (Table 2). Studies on the antimicrobial activity of pomegranate seed oil are scarce in the literature. Oil from pomegranate seeds showed better antimicrobial activity against the same bacteria than other seed oil. For instance, Alqahtani et al. [38] reported higher MIC \( (47.5-95 \text{ mg/mL}) \) of garden cress \( (Lepidium sativum) \) seed oil on \( Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumonia, Bacillus subtilis, \text{ and } Staphylococcus aureus \).

| Seed Drying Method     | Fruit Quality | Bacillus Subtilis | Staphylococcus Aureus | Escherichia Coli | Klebsiella Pneumonia |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
|                        | Healthy       | 6.25              | 6.25                  | 12.5            | 1.563               |
|                        | Sun-burned    | 12.5              | >12.50                | 12.5            | >12.50              |
| Freeze-dried           |                |                   |                       |                 |                     |
| Sun-dried              | Healthy       | 3.125             | 6.25                  | 3.125           | 3.125               |
|                        | Sun-burned    | >12.50            | >12.50                | >12.5            | >12.50              |
| Oven-dried             | Healthy       | 6.25              | 3.125                 | 6.25            | 12.5                |
|                        | Sun-burned    | >12.5             | 6.25                  | >12.5           | >12.50              |
| Streptomycin (mg/mL)   | <0.0195       | <0.0195           | <0.0195               | <0.0195         | <0.0195             |

### 3.5. Fatty Acid Composition

Fatty acid composition is one of the essential quality characteristics of oilseed crops, as it determines the nutritional and industrial application of the oil. Fatty composition of the oil extracted from seeds of HF and SB dried using different methods are presented in Table 3. The key fatty acids were punicic acid, \( cis \)-oleic acid, palmitic acid, and stearic acid, representing 81.21–82.68\%, 6.78–7.75\%, 4.68–5.04\%, 1.60–1.82\%, respectively, of the total fatty acids. Other fatty acids present in minor quantities were margaric acid, arachidic acid, behenic acid, \( trans \)-oleic acid, \( \gamma \)-linolenic acid, and \( \alpha \)-linolenic acid, and varied between 0.12 and 1.50\%. Generally, the fatty acid composition of pomegranate seed oil was not affected by both fruit quality and seed drying method, suggesting similarities in the fatty
The saturated fatty acids (SFAs) were within 8.12% and 8.46% of the total fatty acids. These were represented by palmitic acid, which was the predominant SFAs and ranged from 4.68–5.08%, followed by stearic acid (1.60–1.82%), margaric acid (1.03–1.18%), arachidic acid (0.37–0.41%), and behenic acid (0.12–0.20%). Freeze dried seeds of SB contained significantly lower palmitic acid (4.68%) than the rest of the oil samples (4.95–5.08%). A high level of palmitic acid in the oil is undesirable because it raises these low density lipoprotein (LDL) levels and enhances the risk of cardiovascular diseases. The monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA)s accounted for 7.18-8.17% of the total fatty acids. Only two MUFA were identified: cis-oleic acid (6.78–7.76%) and trans-oleic acid (0.40–0.43%). Among the samples, oil from HF and SB oven dried dried seeds, exhibited the highest cis-oleic acid (7.45 and 7.76%, respectively). Literature has reported that MUFA are associated with the prevention of coronary heart diseases, colon, breast, and skin cancer [40].

Table 3. Fatty acid composition (%) of oil from seeds of healthy and sun-burned fruits and dried under different drying methods.

| Fatty Acids                  | FDH     | FD-SB    | SDH     | SD-SB    | ODH     | OD-SB    |
|-----------------------------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|
| SFA                         |         |          |         |          |         |          |
| Palmitic acid (C16:0)        | 4.95 ± 0.022 ab | 4.68 ± 0.006 c | 5.04 ± 0.025 ab | 4.90 ± 0.040 b | 5.08 ± 0.014 a | 4.98 ± 0.087 ab |
| Margaric acid (C17:0)        | 1.18 ± 0.006 a | 1.50 ± 0.395 a | 1.03 ± 0.041 a | 1.03 ± 0.065 a | 1.03 ± 0.011 a | 1.06 ± 0.061 a |
| Stearic acid (C18:0)         | 1.73 ± 0.023 ab | 1.64 ± 0.005 ab | 1.60 ± 0.026 b | 1.67 ± 0.117 ab | 1.82 ± 0.010 ab | 1.72 ± 0.024 a |
| Arachidic acid (C20:0)       | 0.39 ± 0.001 b | 0.37 ± 0.001 c | 0.39 ± 0.005 bc | 0.38 ± 0.009 bc | 0.41 ± 0.004 a | 0.38 ± 0.001 bc |
| Behenic acid (C22:0)         | 0.20 ± 0.005 a | 0.14 ± 0.017 b | 0.15 ± 0.009 b | 0.13 ± 0.006 b | 0.12 ± 0.005 b | 0.13 ± 0.006 b |
| MUFA                        |         |          |         |          |         |          |
| cis-Oleic acid (C18:1 n-9 cis) | 6.78 ± 0.032 d | 7.25 ± 0.100 bc | 7.07 ± 0.08 cd | 7.17 ± 0.14 b | 7.45 ± 0.028 ab | 7.76 ± 0.117 a |
| trans-Oleic acid (C18:1 n-9 trans) | 0.41 ± 0.003 a | 0.40 ± 0.017 a | 0.43 ± 0.002 a | 0.42 ± 0.02 a | 0.41 ± 0.004 a | 0.41 ± 0.008 a |
| PUFA                        |         |          |         |          |         |          |
| γ-Linolenic acid (C18:3 n-6) | 0.20 ± 0.008 a | 0.18 ± 0.005 b | 0.18 ± 0.006 b | 0.20 ± 0.006 a | 0.20 ± 0.003 ab | 0.21 ± 0.005 a |
| Punic acid (cis-9, trans-11, cis-13 C18:3) | 81.21 ± 0.275 c | 82.46 ± 0.538 ab | 82.51 ± 0.158 ab | 82.68 ± 0.172 a | 81.64 ± 0.028 abc | 81.52 ± 0.294 bc |
| α-Linolenic acid (C18:3 n-3) | 0.72 ± 0.006 c | 0.78 ± 0.017 bc | 0.91 ± 0.018 b | 0.55 ± 0.011 d | 0.90 ± 0.002 b | 1.07 ± 0.083 a |
| ∑SFA                       | 8.45 ± 0.06 a | 8.32 ± 0.41 a | 8.20 ± 0.09 a | 8.12 ± 0.08 a | 8.46 ± 0.02 a | 8.27 ± 0.18 a |
| ∑MUFA                      | 7.18 ± 0.04 d | 7.66 ± 0.085 c | 7.50 ± 0.08 bd | 7.58 ± 0.14 bc | 7.86 ± 0.03 ac | 8.17 ± 0.13 a |
| ∑PUFA                      | 82.13 ± 0.26 b | 83.42 ± 0.55 a | 83.59 ± 0.17 a | 83.43 ± 0.16 a | 82.74 ± 0.03 ab | 82.81 ± 0.21 ab |
| ∑MUFA/PUFA ratio           | 0.09 ± 0.00 c | 0.09 ± 0.00 bc | 0.09 ± 0.00 c | 0.09 ± 0.00 bc | 0.09 ± 0.00 ab | 0.10 ± 0.00 a |
| ∑UFA/SFA ratio             | 10.57 ± 0.04 a | 10.98 ± 0.60 a | 11.11 ± 0.13 a | 11.21 ± 0.11 a | 10.71 ± 0.02 a | 11.01 ± 0.25 a |
| ω6/ω3 ratio                | 0.28 ± 0.01 a | 0.23 ± 0.01 c | 0.19 ± 0.00 d | 0.37 ± 0.01 b | 0.22 ± 0.00 cd | 0.20 ± 0.01 d |

Values are means ± SD of triplicate determinations. Means in the same row and followed by different superscript letters are significantly different (p < 0.05) according to Duncan’s multiple range test. SFA-saturated fatty acids, MUFA-monounsaturated fatty acids, PUFA-polyunsaturated fatty acids, UFA-unsaturated fatty acids, FDH-freeze dried seed from healthy fruit, SDH-sun dried seed from healthy fruit, ODH-oven dried fruit from healthy fruit, FD-SB-freeze dried seed from sun-burned fruit, SD-SB-sun dried seed from sun-burned fruit, OD-SB-oven dried seed from sun-burned fruit, ω6-omega 6 fatty acid, and ω3-omega 3 fatty acid.
The polyunsaturated fatty acids, which make up most of the fatty acids in pomegranate seed oil, varied from 82.13-82.81%. Generally, all oil samples exhibited high levels of punicic acid (81.21–82.68%). These findings are quite encouraging, as high levels of punicic acid in SB would make the oil a valuable ingredient in cosmetology. The level of punicic acid in the current study was higher than the one reported by Zaouay et al. [39] in oil extracted from seeds of cracked and intact pomegranates. Punicic acid presents several potential health benefits such as cholesterol lowering, antidiabetic, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and anticarcinogenic properties ([41]). Alpha-linolenic acid (0.18–0.21%) and γ-linolenic acid (0.55–1.07%), also referred to as omega 6 (ω6) and omega 3 (ω3) fatty acids, were identified among the PUFAs but in minor concentrations. The essential fatty acids are crucial in maintaining the physiological functions of the human body. The ω6/ω3 ratio is valuable in explaining the effect of dietary PUFAs on the pathogenesis of cardiovascular diseases, cancer, inflammatory and autoimmune disorders [42]. Generally, a high ω6/ω3 ratio is regarded as harmful to human health, whereas a value around 1 is considered healthier and protective against such chronic diseases. Although they significantly differed among the oil samples, ω6/ω3 ratios (0.19–0.37%) in the current study were low among all samples, suggesting that the oil samples were healthy. The MUFA/PUFA (0.09–0.10%) and SFA/UFA ratios (10.57–11.21%) were not significantly affected by fruit quality and seed drying methods.

3.6. Principal Component Analysis

Due to the numerous variables and factors analysed, principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted to reduce the dimensionality of the data and improve its interpretation (Figure 3). F1 and F2 represented the highest eigenvalues (8.0 and 6.4, respectively) and accounted for 62.39% of the total variability (F1 = 34.71% and F = 27.68%). The components, as shown in Figure 3, indicate a number of factors. F1 was positively correlated with TPC (0.728), IC<sub>50</sub>DP (0.812), punicic acid (0.873), PUFAs (0.818), and UFA/SFA ratio (0.743), whilst it was negatively associated with oil yield (−0.805) and saturated fatty acids, including palmitic acid (−0.736), stearic acid (−0.872), and arachidic acid (−0.794). At the same time, F1 was positively associated with oil from freeze dried seeds of SB, while it was negatively correlated with oil from oven dried seeds of HF. These results suggest that oven drying seeds from HF facilitated high oil yield; however, the oil contained high levels of palmitic acid, making it undesirable among seed oil consumers. From a cosmetology perspective, the oil extracted from freeze dried seeds of SB could be a potential ingredient for the formulation of skincare products due to high levels of TPC and punicic acid. F2 was positively correlated with cis-oleic acid (0.955) and MUFAs (0.961), whilst it was negatively associated with AV (−0.781), IC<sub>50</sub>DPPH (−0.781) and behenic acid (−0.875). Concomitantly, F2 positively corresponded with oil from oven dried seeds of SB but negatively corresponded with oil from freeze dried seeds of HF. This points out that oil from oven dried seeds of SB is also a good source of compounds (cis-oleic acid) with LDL cholesterol reducing properties. Interestingly, oil from freeze dried seeds of HF possessed poor antiradical activity against DPPH assay and tyrosinase inhibition activity, which correlates with high levels of ρ-anisidine value in the respective oil samples. Nonetheless, oil from sun-dried seeds, both of HF and SB, were not adequately represented in the F1 and F2 principal components.
Figure 3. Principal component analysis (PCA) showing the relationship between fruit quality, seed drying method, and the oil chemical properties. TPC: total phenolic content, IC\textsubscript{50}DP: diphenolase, IC\textsubscript{50}MP: monophenolase, IC\textsubscript{50}DPPH, RI: refractive index, AV: anisidine value, IC\textsubscript{50}-50\% inhibitory concentration, SFA: saturated fatty acids, MUFA: monounsaturated fatty acids, PUFA: polyunsaturated fatty acids, UFA: unsaturated fatty acids.

4. Conclusions

Apart from refractive index and fatty acid composition, oil from the seeds of SB fruits significantly differed from the oil from seeds of HF. Moreover, the seed drying method was implicated in the oil quality. Oven drying the seeds produced the highest oil yield; however, the oil contained more saturated fatty acids. Freeze dried seeds of SB is an interesting source of oil with higher TPC, punicic acid, PUFA\textsubscripts, and UFA/SFA ratios. Furthermore, oil from oven dried seeds of SB showed higher monounsaturated fatty acids and \textit{cis}-oleic acid making it a good source of compounds with LDL cholesterol reducing properties. It can be concluded that seeds from SB present a great potential for application in cosmetic product formulation. Overall, the findings of this study present a significant opportunity to enhance the value of pomegranates, reduce postharvest wastes, and keep pomegranates competitive among other fruits.
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Abbreviations

ANOVA Analysis of Variance
AV Anisidine value
DPPH 2,2-diphenyl-1-picryl hydrazyl
FA Fatty acid
FD Freeze dried
FRAP Ferric reducing antioxidant power
GAE Gallic acid equivalence
GC-MS Gas chromatograph mass spectroscopy
HF Healthy fruit
IC\textsubscript{50} 50\% inhibitory concentration
LDL Low density lipoprotein
MIC Minimum inhibitory concentration
MUFA Monounsaturated fatty acid
PCA Principal component analysis
PS Pomegranate seed
PSO Pomegranate seed oil
PUFA Polyunsaturated fatty acid
RI Refractive index
SB Sun burned
SD Sun dried
SFA Saturated fatty acid
TEAC Trolox equivalence antioxidant capacity
TPC Total phenolic content
UFA Unsaturated fatty acid
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