Abstract
As dust particles in laying poultry houses can have negative effects on health and on the environment, this study aimed to measure and compare dust concentrations in egg production sheds. The experiment was carried out in three sheds: Californian shed (G1), conventional closed shed with artificial ventilation (G2) and conventional closed shed without artificial ventilation (G3), and the birds housed were in the 22nd week of housing, in Cuité, Paraíba, Brazil. The dust particles were collected by a gravimetric pump, attached to the workers’ clothes, at the height of the respiratory zone, being removed at the end of the working day. Using the evaluation methodologies (total or breathable dust) according to NIOSH (1998), weighing was performed with sample concentration calculation, then the particle size was measured by microscopy according to the methodology described by Feret (Santos, 2001), with diameter of function obtained using an optical microscope, and particles classified by size (inhalable <100 μm, thoracic <25 μm and breathable <10 μm). Considering the limit of concentration x exposure time of 3.0 mg/m³, according to ACGIH (2013), the sheds had the following values: G1 - 0.98 mg/m³, G2 - 1.45 mg/m³ and G3 - 1.13 mg/m³. In the classification of particles by size, the highest frequencies were 83% thoracic, 13% inhalable, and 4% breathable. With the results presented, the implementation of the Respiratory Protection Program - PPR is suggested.

Keywords: Animal production; Chemical risk; Bioaerosols.

Resumo
As emissões de poeira de aves de postura em grande escala podem ter impactos negativos à saúde e ao meio ambiente. Desta forma, o presente estudo objetivou medir e comparar as concentrações de poeira em ambientes de trabalho de galpões de poedeira. As medições de poeira foram realizadas durante um ciclo produtivo/dia em três galpões: galpão convencional (com e sem ventilação artificial) e galpão californiano, localizados no nordeste brasileiro. Para as variáveis ambientais (temperatura, umidade, velocidade do ar, carga térmica radiante e índice de bulbo úmido) foi utilizado equipamento analisador de ambiente multi-funções conectado a microcontrolador Arduino. A poeira foi coletada por bomba gravimétrica, calibrada para uma vazão de 1,7 min. L-1 de ar com 5% de variação admitida, acopladas a ciclone de poeira respirável com cassette, contendo filtro de membrana de PVC (polímero de cloreto de polivinila) de 5μm de poro e 37 mm de diâmetro previamente esterilizado. As bombas de amostragem foram acopladas a vestimenta do trabalhador, sendo retiradas ao final do dia de trabalho, o tamanho da poeira coletada foi analisado medição do tamanho das partículas por microscopia foi o diâmetro de Feret com o uso de microscópio ótico, com aumento óptico em 5x. Dentro as variáveis do ambiente, umidade e ventilação do ar estiveram dentro do que...
prescreve a NR-17, ergonomia, já temperatura este acima do desejado de 20°C e 23°C, no entanto para as aves, os galpões G1 e G2 mostraram condições térmicas adequadas, dentro dos limites de 21 e 28 ºC. A poeira analisada, em sua quantidade mostrou acima dos limites recomendados para poeira animal de 0,23mg/m3 para poeira animal, nos tamanhos e dimensões a maior frequência (42%) à poeira torácica (<25µm) e inalável (<100µm).
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1. Introduction

In meat and laying poultry production systems, air quality can be affected by high dust concentrations (Le Bouquin, 2019), which can cause health problems in employees and animals (Cambra-Lopez, 2011). Diseases induced by inhalation of different bioaerosols are related not only to their biological properties and chemical composition, but also to the number of inhaled particles and the place where they deposit in the respiratory system.

Bird sheds are environments that can generate excessive dust, which originates from the bed, fiberglass insulation materials, food, dry fecal materials and feather particle (Cambra-Lopez et al., 2011). Dust can contain microorganisms, including endotoxins, fungi and bacteria, which can affect living beings when inhaled, (Wang & Ogawa 2015) with dust containing living organisms referred to as bioaerosol and its particle can range from 0.5 to 100 µm. Regulatory Standard 9, item 9.1.5.3 mentions that bacteria, fungi, bacilli, parasites, protozoa, viruses, among others, are considered biological agents, and the risk of contamination may be increased, since workers may not be wearing gloves and masks (Santos et al., 2014).

Exposure to organic powder is a health problem for workers in breeding environments and this exposure can occur through inhalation, contact with the skin or through the gastrointestinal system. However, for respiratory health in agriculture, where organic dust may be contaminated, inhalation is an important route of exposure (Dalólio et al., 2016).

NIOSH (1998) determines the classification of dimensions by size (inhalable <100 um, thoracic <25 um and breathable <10 um) and as assessment methods (total or breathable dust).

In this context, the study aims to measure and compare dust concentrations in egg production sheds.

2. Methodology

The study was carried out in poultry production units (eggs), and the sheds are located in Cuité - Paraíba, Brazil, At 06º 29 ’01 "S latitude and 36º 09 ’13" W longitude. The experiment was carried out in the production phase, in different types of sheds: Californian shed (G1), conventional closed shed with artificial ventilation (G2) and conventional closed shed without
artificial ventilation (G3), and the birds housed were in the 22nd week of housing, types of sheds classified according to (Brito et al., 2020).

The collection of breathable dust was carried out during 1 day of production and repeated in the three sheds, totaling one sample for each shed. The methodology used was defined by NIOSH (OSHA, 1994), using the BDX-II sampling pump (brand: Sensidyne), calibrated for air flow rate of 1.7 L min⁻¹ with acceptable variation of 5%, coupled to the breathable dust cyclone with cassette, containing a 5μm PVC (polyvinyl chloride polymer) membrane filter with a diameter of 37 mm, previously sterilized. The device was attached to the worker's clothing at the height of the respiratory zone and removed at the end of the workday.

Study of collected material:
1- Weighing membrane filters before and after collection.
2- Image recording on the glass slide, with Olympus BX51 optical microscope - 5x optical magnification.
3- Size study, with Olympus Imaging Solution software, where the equivalent diameter is given by the length of any linear intersection, evaluating the distance between two lines tangent to the particle's projection, in a pre-fixed direction (horizontal and vertical). The method used to measure the particle size by microscopy was the diameter of the functions, which determines the distance between two tangent lines and the design of verification in the horizontal and vertical bars, providing information about size, shape and color, called the FERET method (Santos, 2001).

4- To express the results, the calculation of the sample concentration was performed based on ACGIH - American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (1998), according to the instructions and expression of NHO-08 for the collection of solid particulate material suspended in the work environment (Fundacentro, 2009).

3. Results and Discussion

In the collection carried out in the 3 sheds, the largest amount of dust was found in G2, so it is possible to infer that the use of artificial ventilation and the increase in air speed help to remove dust from the environment, whereas G1 had the lowest amount. This shed has side openings that increase the air flow and take the dust to the external environment; another difference is the number of hens housed, height of the cages (1.30 m), whereas in G2 and G3, the height of the cages reaches more than 3.0 m, for the worker who walks in the shed, and the amount of dust released in the galleries over the respiratory zone.

| Collection location | Weight/day | Sample concentration |
|---------------------|------------|----------------------|
| G1                  | 0.80 mg    | 0.98 mg/m³           |
| G2                  | 1.19 mg    | 1.45 mg/m³           |
| G3                  | 0.93 mg    | 1.13 mg/m³           |

Source: Authors (2020).

Considering the LEO - Occupational Exposure Limit, the maximum concentration is related to the nature and time of exposure to the product, which will not cause damage to the health of the worker during his working life under condition of 8 hours of daily exposure. It is noted in the results of the evaluations that the tolerance limits stipulated by ACGIH (2013) in both jobs were not exceeded.

According to the classification of particles by size (inhalable <100 μm, thoracic <25 μm and breathable <10 μm) of NIOSH (1998), (figure 1) the results found in the present study were 13% inhalable, 83% thoracic and 4%
These particles can easily penetrate the respiratory system and transport microorganisms and fragments related to activities in the environment, such as food scraps, feathers and animal skins.

Figure 1. Particle size for the samples collected (inhalable, thoracic and breathable).

Even with fractions above 100μm, in 17%, the existence of smaller dust particles cannot be ruled out (Figure 1). In terms of occupational health, ultra-fine particles may be more toxic than larger particles due to their aspects of deposition and disposition in the worker's body, but particles with the largest surface area by mass can act as a catalyst for reactions and their increased surface area could act as a transporter for microorganisms.

(Takai et al., 1999), in closed and controlled environment sheds, for laying hens, found that the concentration of inhaled dust, fraction <100μm of total dust, varied from 3.83μm to 10.4 μm and with the means of dust inactivation around 3.60μm.

According to Regulatory Norm NR-09 (2015), the following practices should be adopted: periodic monitoring, information to workers and medical control of all those exposed to the total dust risk of this activity, besides the implementation of the Respiratory Protection Program – PPR, which can be selected as ventilation control measure, indispensable to maintain dust levels, use of EPI (PFF2 mask) - facial filter, with efficiency of at least 94%, and protection of air ways against dust and biological agents, both in collecting eggs and cleaning the sheds.

4. Conclusion

Considering LEO / 8 hours daily, the amount does not exceed the tolerance limits, since in the classification of particles by size, thoracic dust was the most present, with 83%. To promote healthiness in these work environments, a Respiratory Protection Program that includes monitoring and safety measures should be adopted.

This study stresses the need for information and studies on air filtration in sheds, efficacy in the use of facial protectors and constructive adaptations of sheds for better air quality, among others, questions about this line of occupational hygiene and animal welfare begin here.
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