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Abstract: Regionalization for stroke care, including stroke center designation, is being implemented in the United States, Canada, or other countries. Limited information is available, however, concerning the relationships among regionalization, processes, and outcomes for stroke care.

We examined the association of regionalization with processes and outcomes, and the mediating effect of processes of care on the association between regionalization and mortality for acute stroke in Taiwan. We analyzed all 229,568 admissions with acute ischemic stroke from January 2004 to September 2012 through Taiwan’s National Health Insurance Research Database.

Regionalized care for acute stroke has been implemented since July 2009 in Taiwan. Rates of thrombolytic therapy within 3 hours after onset of ischemic stroke, average numbers of processes of care, and 30-day mortality rates at monthly intervals for baseline (66 months) and 39 months after the implementation of regionalization. After accounting for secular trends and other confounders, changes in rates of thrombolytic therapy (level change 0.269% per month, \( P = 0.017 \) and trend change 0.010% per month, \( P = 0.048 \)), average numbers of processes of care (trend change 0.001 per month, \( P = 0.030 \)), and 30-day mortality rates (level change −0.442% per month, \( P = 0.007 \) and trend change −0.021% per month, \( P = 0.015 \)) were attributable to regionalization. The processes of care were mediators of the association between regionalization and 30-day mortality after stroke.

Regionalization for stroke care may improve timeliness and processes of stroke care, including access to timely thrombolytic therapy from emergency medical services to hospital care, which may in turn enhance stroke outcomes.

INTRODUCTION

Stroke is the second leading cause of death worldwide. Stroke mortality has in the United States been endorsed as an inpatient quality indicator by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality because of significant variations in the mortality among hospitals. Regionalization for stroke care is designed to facilitate access to optimal stroke care across an entire region and to improve processes of stroke care, which leads to improved stroke outcomes. However, as far as we know, no study has examined the relationships among regionalization for stroke care, processes of stroke care, and stroke outcomes using nationwide population-based data.

One of the strategies to improve processes and outcomes of stroke care is to regionalize stroke care to ensure that all patients with signs or symptoms of stroke are transported to the nearest stroke designated hospital (stroke center), and given the available acute therapeutic interventions (especially thrombolytic therapy within 3 hours of ischemic stroke onset). The regionalization of acute stroke care, which is a reality in the United States, Canada, and other countries, includes emergency medical services (EMS) training, pre-hospital triage to ensure that patients are taken only to designated hospitals, and the use of evidence-based treatment protocols. Designated hospital programs are primarily according to the Brain Attack Coalition’s recommendations for establishing comprehensive or primary stroke centers, which have the necessary staffing, infrastructure, and programs to treat patients with acute stroke. Moreover, hospitals also have an incentive to become designated hospitals in order to ensure continued access to patients. Prior research verified that stroke designated hospitals were associated with lower mortality or more frequent use of thrombolytic therapy. However, no study until now has specifically examined the relationships among regionalization, processes, and outcomes for stroke care.
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national health insurance scheme has been implemented for the entire population since March 1995. The National Health Insurance Administration (NHIA) is the sole insurer. Patients enjoy comprehensive benefits with a low coinsurance policy (10% for inpatient care with a yearly cap of about US$1700). Patients are free to go to hospitals or clinics because almost all providers have contracts with the NHIA. Therefore, Taiwan’s health care system provides an excellent opportunity to examine the influence of regionalization for stroke care on processes of stroke care and stroke outcomes.

The primary goal of this study was to use nationwide population-based data from Taiwan from January 2004 to September 2012 with interrupted time-series designs to examine whether the implementation of regionalization had an association with processes of care and 30-day mortality for acute stroke. Our secondary objective was to examine whether processes of stroke care explained the association between regionalization for stroke care and 30-day mortality for acute stroke.

METHODS

Database
This study used the National Health Insurance Research Database (NHIRD), published by Taiwan’s National Health Research Institutes. This dataset contains the detailed records of all hospital admissions and emergency/outpatient visits for each patient. The records include principal and secondary diagnosis codes, and prescription codes. Therefore, the NHIRD provides an opportunity to examine the associations among regionalization, processes, and outcomes for stroke care. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the National Taiwan University Hospital.

Study Patients
All emergency hospitalizations aged 18 years or older for ischemic stroke between January 2004 and September 2012 were identified by the principal International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification diagnosis codes 433 and 434. We excluded admissions that were transferred out of hospitals during the study period. The records include principal and secondary diagnosis codes, and prescription codes. Therefore, the NHIRD Database (NHIRD), published by Taiwan’s National Health Medicare and Medicaid Services, we used available process indicators in our analysis: thrombolytic therapy, antithrombotic use, statin use, and rehabilitation assessment. Deep venous thrombosis (DVT) prophylaxis was not adopted because DVT is rarer in Asians. Stroke education is not used because there is no individual reimbursement. Antithrombotic/statin use was defined as prescription of the medication during hospital stays and at discharge. The rehabilitation assessment was defined as use of the service during a stay in hospital. The maximum number of processes of care was therefore only 4.

Death from Any Cause at 30 Days
Our outcome measure was the 30-day mortality rate (calculated as the total number of deaths from any cause within 30 days after hospitalization divided by the number of admissions during the study period).

Covariates
The covariates included patient and hospital characteristics, cuts in reimbursement, month of admission, and time trends based on previous related studies. To control for changes in trends in patient characteristics that might influence trends in processes and outcomes of care for stroke, the proportion of male patients, average age, average index of comorbidity conditions, rate of surgery, and rate of using intensive care units (ICUs) were included. The Charlson–Deyo index was used to quantify the comorbidities of stroke patients. This index was the sum of weighted scores based on the presence or absence of 17 different medical conditions. Cerebrovascular disease and hemiplegia were excluded, however, because they were reflected in the condition we were evaluating. The higher the scores, the greater the comorbidity burden. The use of ICU was regarded as a surrogate for severe stroke. The hospital covariate was the proportion of admissions to high-volume hospitals because high hospital volume was associated with lower mortality. For hospital volume, each admission was linked with the number of admissions admitted to that hospital in the calendar year. These “annualized” volumes were then divided into tertiles (low, medium, and high volumes).
Statistical Analysis

We used an interrupted time-series analysis with a longitudinal quasi-experimental design to evaluate the relationships among regionalized care, processes of care, and 30-day mortality for acute ischemic stroke at the population level. Using segmented autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) models, we examined the changes in the rate of receiving thrombolyis, average number of processes of care, and 30-day mortality rate in each month. After controlling for baseline levels, trends, and other covariates, we used the models to estimate changes in the levels and trends of the values after the implementation of regionalized care. The unit of analysis was time in months. The data were aggregated across the whole country at the population level, so there were 105 monthly measures of processes of care and 30-day mortality rate.

A segmented ARIMA analysis can examine the changes in levels and trends that follow an intervention. A change in level, for example, a jump or drop in the outcome after the intervention, constitutes an abrupt intervention effect. A change in trend is defined by an increase or decrease in the slope of the segment after the intervention compared with the segment preceding the intervention. The Ljung-Box Q statistic was also used to evaluate the null hypothesis that the residuals are white noise, that is, that the model fits the data well. In an adequate fit model, the null hypothesis is not rejected. Because we used aggregated time series data at population level, our analyses were not affected by clustering bias and could directly estimate overall effects on the population. Monthly risk-adjusted mortality rates were calculated as the actual rate minus the estimated rate based on the statistical model.

We also used segmented ARIMA models to test whether the mediating role of processes of care could account for the relationship between regionalized care and 30-day mortality for stroke. The following criteria of the mediation effect had to be met: (1) regionalized care significantly influenced processes of care, (2) processes of care significantly affected 30-day mortality, and (3) after controlled for processes of care, a previously significant relationship between regionalized care and 30-day mortality was no longer significant. The difference between confounders and mediators is that confounders are not intermediate variables in a causal pathway. Processes of care should not be adjusted for in the analysis of the relationship between regionalized care and 30-day mortality, because controlling for processes of care would result in underestimating the relationship between regionalized care and 30-day mortality.

All analyses were conducted using SAS software, version 9.2 and SPSS software, version 20. A 2-tailed significance level of 0.05 was used to determine statistical significance.
was 0.010% per month compared with the monthly trend before regionalization ($P = 0.048$), so the increasing rate became higher (0.033% per month). The average increasing number of processes of care before regionalization was 0.002 per month ($P < 0.001$); after regionalization, the average number increased more rapidly (trend change, 0.001 per month, $P = 0.030$).

Table 3 presents the results of the segmented ARIMA analyses examining the relationship between regionalized care and 30-day mortality, and the mediating effect of processes of care in the relationship between regionalized care and 30-day mortality. Before regionalization, there was no significant month-to-month change in the nationwide 30-day mortality rate for stroke. After regionalization, the mortality rate dropped abruptly by 0.442% per month ($P = 0.007$) and showed a decreasing trend at a rate of 0.021% per month ($P = 0.015$), which equated to a total reduction of 828 deaths during the 39 months. In other words, the implementation of regionalization was associated with saved 828 lives (16% of potential deaths). According to mediational analysis, the number of processes of care was a mediator between regionalized care and the trend in 30-day mortality.

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION**

Our study used nationwide longitudinal population-based data and quasi-experimental study designs to evaluate the relationship of regionalization to processes and outcomes for stroke care, and the mediating role of processes of care in the
relationship between regionalization and stroke outcomes. A simple before-and-after analysis would have produced spurious effects of regionalization due to the lack of control for secular trends and other covariates. The study, using a time-series analysis, showed that the implementation of regionalized care had an effect on processes of care and 30-day mortality, and processes of care could account for the relationship between regionalized care and 30-day mortality for acute stroke care.

The findings of the increased level of and the increasing trend in the rate of thrombolytic therapy, and the increasing trend in the number of processes of care after regionalization are similar to the findings of Rost et al.\(^4\) and Kapral et al.\(^7\) One possible reason for the increase in thrombolytic therapy is that regionalization for stroke care is implemented for treating patients with acute ischemic stroke to rapidly identify and treat them with thrombolytic therapy. The regionalization includes EMS training, pre-hospital triage, post-hospital triage, and the use of evidence-based treatment protocols to transport the patients to designated hospitals capable of performing thrombolysis within 3 hours after stroke onset. Moreover, certification, audit, and recertification of designated hospitals (comprehensive and primary stroke centers) include assessment of processes of care, especially the use of thrombolytic therapy. Therefore, it is possible that the implementation of regionalized care can improve the use of timely thrombolytic therapy and other processes of care. However, there is still room to improve the rate of thrombolytic therapy. The time from symptom onset to arrival at an ED is the greatest source of delay and a frequent cause of ineligibility for acute reperfusion therapies, which also occurs in the United States.\(^{43,44}\) More specifically, a lack of patient and public awareness of stroke signs and symptoms is the main cause for delayed patient presentation to an ED. Therefore, to reduce the time delay and increase the number of patients who may be eligible for acute therapies, Taiwan Ministry of Health and Welfare also starts to improve public education about stroke symptoms and the need for rapid care.

The findings of the decreased level of and the decreasing trend in the 30-day mortality rate after regionalization are consistent with the finding of Kapral et al.\(^7\) Further, we found

| TABLE 2. Segmented Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average Analysis of Processes of Stroke Care* |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| Rate of Thrombolytic Therapy Within 3 hours of Stroke Onset (%)‡ | Mean Number of Processes of Care§ |
| **β** | **SE** | **P** | **β** | **SE** | **P** |
| Baseline trend | 0.023 | 0.003 | <0.001 | 0.002 | 0.000 | <0.001 |
| Level change after regionalization | 0.269 | 0.110 | 0.017 | 0.008 | 0.011 | 0.464 |
| Trend change after regionalization | 0.010 | 0.005 | 0.048 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.030 |
| Percentage of male patients, % | 0.042 | 0.030 | 0.169 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.292 |
| Mean patient age | 0.053 | 0.143 | 0.714 | 0.003 | 0.009 | 0.744 |
| Mean Charlson score | −0.863 | 1.352 | 0.525 | 0.254 | 0.097 | 0.011 |
| Surgery use rate, % | 0.040 | 0.100 | 0.687 | 0.002 | 0.007 | 0.751 |
| ICU use rate, % | 0.125 | 0.038 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.090 |
| Percentage of admission to high-volume hospitals, % | −0.005 | 0.016 | 0.722 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.036 |
| Mean monetary value per 100 points | −0.064 | 0.024 | 0.008 | −0.001 | 0.002 | 0.676 |
| January | 0.265 | 0.152 | 0.084 | −0.044 | 0.011 | <0.001 |
| February | 0.084 | 0.174 | 0.629 | −0.029 | 0.011 | 0.008 |
| March | 0.118 | 0.181 | 0.516 | 0.012 | 0.010 | 0.257 |
| April | 0.090 | 0.201 | 0.655 | −0.001 | 0.013 | 0.961 |
| May | 0.181 | 0.203 | 0.374 | 0.006 | 0.013 | 0.657 |
| June | 0.096 | 0.209 | 0.646 | −0.004 | 0.013 | 0.758 |
| July | 0.021 | 0.212 | 0.923 | −0.003 | 0.013 | 0.806 |
| August | −0.112 | 0.220 | 0.612 | 0.002 | 0.013 | 0.853 |
| September | 0.157 | 0.217 | 0.473 | 0.005 | 0.012 | 0.682 |
| October | 0.109 | 0.200 | 0.589 | 0.002 | 0.012 | 0.887 |
| November | −0.096 | 0.168 | 0.572 | 0.013 | 0.012 | 0.315 |
| AR1 | 1.043 | 0.168 | <0.001 | 0.070 | 0.657 | 0.916 |
| AR2 | −0.298 | 0.171 | 0.085 | 0.083 | 0.135 | 0.541 |
| AR3 | −0.016 | 0.133 | 0.904 | 0.166 | 0.129 | 0.203 |
| MA1 | 0.997 | 1.152 | 0.389 | 0.185 | 0.662 | 0.780 |
| Intercept | −1.330 | 10.106 | 0.896 | 1.073 | 0.655 | 0.105 |

AR = autoregressive, ICU = intensive care unit, MA = moving average.

*All the models passed the Ljung–Box Q test.

†Outcome is monthly rate of thrombolytic therapy within 3 hours of stroke onset, measured in percentage. Thus, the unit of the coefficient is percent.

‡Outcome is monthly mean number of processes of care. For example, a unit increase in the mean Charlson score is associated with a 0.254 increase in monthly rate of thrombolytic therapy within 3 hours of stroke onset.

§Outcome is monthly mean number of processes of care. For example, a unit increase in the mean Charlson score is associated with a 0.254 increase in monthly mean number of processes of care.
that processes of care could explain the relationship between regionalization and 30-day mortality. One possible explanation is that regionalization for stroke care makes stroke patients receive more timely and effective care, which could lead to lower mortality. Moreover, because of designated hospital certification and preferential EMS routing of suspected patients with stroke to designated hospitals, EMS routing policies might provide an incentive for designated hospital certification to continue access to patients. To pass certification, audit, and recertification of designated hospitals, designated hospitals would continue to enhance processes of care, which could in turn improve outcomes.

Some limitations of our study merit emphasis. First, in common with previous stroke studies using administrative databases, we were unable to adjust for stroke severity because this variable was not captured in administrative data sources. Nevertheless, we adjusted for key prognostic variables, including patient sex, age, comorbid conditions, surgical operation, and ICU use, which are also important for the adjustment of stroke complexity. The use of ICU is regarded as a proxy for severe stroke. Second, we lacked data on the exact timing of use of processes of care except for thrombolytic therapy, such as antithrombotic use within 2 days of arriving at the hospital, so we could not assess the mediating effects of these process measures on the association between regionalization and outcomes. Third, no information on arrival by EMS was available in the NHIRD, so we could not assess the mediating effects of these process measures on the association between regionalization and outcomes. Third, no information on arrival by EMS was available in the NHIRD, so we could not assess whether the mechanism underlying the relationship between regionalization and reduced mortality was through an increase in the use of EMS.

Our national population-based study showed the overall effect of regionalization on processes and outcomes for stroke care, and the mediating role of processes of care in the relationship between regionalization and stroke outcomes. Our findings may provide support for the ongoing development and implementation of regionalization for stroke care, including a stroke center designation program. Regionalization may be a feasible and effective strategy for timely and critical stroke care and better care outcomes.
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