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Abstract.
We present an update on recent theoretical studies of electromagnetic reactions obtained by using the Lorentz integral transform method. The $^4$He nucleus will be the main focus of this report: results for the photo-disintegration and the electro-disintegration processes will be shown, as well as a recent calculation of polarizability effects in muonic atoms. We also discuss the exciting possibility to investigate inelastic reactions for medium-mass nuclei in coupled-cluster theory, highlighted by the recent application to the $^{16}$O photo-nuclear cross section.

1 Introduction

The study of electromagnetic reactions is fundamental to our understanding of the nuclear dynamics, because a clear comparison between theory and experiment is facilitated by the perturbative nature of the electromagnetic probe. By concentrating first on few-nucleons, where ab-initio approaches are applicable, one can study the sensitivity of electromagnetic reactions to different Hamiltonians, which are major ingredients in the theoretical calculations. For the nucleon-nucleon ($NN$) force several potentials are available that fit $NN$ scattering data with high accuracy [1, 2]. However, since the nuclear potential has an effective nature, it is in principle a many-body operator; thus, three-body forces ($3NF$s) [3, 4], and in principle higher-body forces, are expected to play a role. To understand and determine the realistic $3NF$s, one ideally seeks for observables involving at least three nucleons that show sensitivity to the $3NF$. Bound-state observables, such as binding energies [5] and radii [6] are the simplest examples. Elastic hadronic reactions have also been proven sensitive to the $3NF$s [7, 8]. Electromagnetic inelastic reactions are complementary quantities that do show sensitivity to the nuclear Hamiltonians and are key for a comprehensive understanding of all the facets of the nuclear dynamics.

In this paper, we will present an update on recent studies of electromagnetic reactions analyzed with the Lorentz integral transform method [9]. In Sec. 2 we present the main calculational techniques used in our studies. Applications to electromagnetic reactions with light nuclei and with the medium-mass nuclei are presented in Sec. 3 and in Sec. 4 respectively. Finally, in Sec. 5 we draw our conclusions.
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2 Theoretical Methods

2.1 The Lorentz Integral Transform

We are interested in electromagnetic induced reactions for which a fundamental ingredient is the nuclear response function. In the case of an inclusive process it is defined as

\[ S_O(\omega, q) = \sum_f \left| \langle \Psi_f | O(q) | \Psi_0 \rangle \right|^2 \delta (E_f - E_0 - \omega) . \]  \( (1) \)

We denote the energy and momentum transferred by the electromagnetic probe to the nucleus with \( \omega \) and \( q \), while \( |\Psi_{0/f}\rangle \) and \( E_{0/f} \) are the initial and final state wave functions and energies. The operator \( O \) represents a general electromagnetic excitation operator. From Eq. (1) it is clear that also energies \( E_f \) where the nucleus is broken into many fragments are involved. Thus, in principle one needs the knowledge of all possible final states \( |\Psi_f\rangle \) in the continuum. This fact constitutes a major obstacle, because it is known that the exact knowledge of the break-up states is limited in mass number and in energy. We circumvent this problem by using the Lorentz integral transform (LIT) method \[9\]. This approach is based on introducing an integral transform the of the response function as

\[ L(\omega_0, \Gamma) = \int_{\omega_{th}}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{S_O(\omega, q)}{(\omega - \omega_0)^2 + \Gamma^2}, \]  \( (2) \)

where \( \omega_{th} \) is the threshold energy, \( \omega_0 \) is the centroid and \( \Gamma > 0 \) is the width of the Lorentzian kernel. By using the closure relation one finds

\[ L(\omega_0, \Gamma) = \langle \psi_0 | O(q)^+ \frac{1}{H - z^*} \frac{1}{H - z} O(q) | \psi_0 \rangle = \langle \tilde{\Psi}_O^{z,q} | \tilde{\Psi}_O^{z,q} \rangle, \]  \( (3) \)

where we introduced the complex energy \( z = E_0 + \omega_0 + i\Gamma \). The LIT of the response function in Eq. (3) can be computed directly by solving the Schrödinger-like equation

\[ (H - z) |\tilde{\Psi}_O^{z,q}\rangle = O(q) |\Psi_0\rangle, \]  \( (4) \)

where \( |\tilde{\Psi}_O^{z,q}\rangle \) is a state with bound-state-like asymptotics. Because of this property one is allowed to use bound-state techniques to solve Eq. (4). So far, hyper-spherical harmonics expansions \[10\], no core shell model \[11\] and coupled-cluster theory \[12\] have been used to solve the LIT equation. The response function \( S_O(\omega, q) \) is typically obtained from a numerical inversion of the integral transform \[13, 14\] and is independent on the choice of the width \( \Gamma \).

2.2 Hyper-spherical Harmonics

Most of the applications of the LIT method have been so far obtained solving Eq.(4) with hyper-spherical harmonics (HH) expansions in the mass range \( 3 \leq A \leq 7 \). The HH approach starts from the Jacobi coordinates

\[ \eta_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \sum_{i=1}^{A} r_i, \quad \eta_{k-1} = \sqrt{\frac{k-1}{k}} \left( r_k - \frac{1}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i \right), k = 2, ..., A, \]  \( (5) \)

where \( r_i \) are the particle coordinates. Using the \( \eta_i \) one can then transform to hyper-spherical coordinates composed of one hyper-radial coordinate \( \rho = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{A-1} \eta_i^2} \) and a set of \((3A - 4)\) angles that we
denote with $\Omega$ (for more details see [15]). Using this coordinates one can recursively construct the hyper-spherical harmonics $\mathcal{Y}_{[K]}$ and use them as a complete basis to expand the wave function. Such expansion reads

$$\Psi(\eta_1, ..., \eta_{A-1}, s_1, ..., s_A, t_1, ..., t_A) = \sum_{n} \sum_{K} C_{[K]n} H_n(\rho) \mathcal{Y}_{[K]}(\Omega, s_1, ..., s_A, t_1, ..., t_A),$$

(6)

where $s_i$ and $t_i$ are the spin and isospin of the nucleon $i$, respectively; $C_{[K]n}$ is the coefficient of the expansion, labeled by $[K]$, which represents a cumulative quantum number that includes the grand-angular momentum $K$; $n$ labels the hyper-radial wave function $H_n(\rho)$. To further increase the convergence rate of the calculations, we typically employ an effective interaction in the hyper-spherical harmonics (EIH), as first introduced in [10]. Eq. (4) is solved by expanding its right-hand-side in terms of the HH basis and then calculating the LIT with the Lanczos algorithm [16].

### 2.3 Coupled-Cluster Theory

Coupled-cluster (CC) theory [17, 18] is a very efficient bound-state technique, applied with success on several medium-mass nuclei [19–22]. The ground-state of the system is given by $|\phi_0\rangle = \exp(T)|\phi_0\rangle$, where $|\phi_0\rangle$ is a Slater determinant and $T$ generates particle-hole ($ph$) excitations. The theory is exact when excitations up to A-$ph$ are considered. However, a very efficient approximation scheme is obtained when $T$ is taken to be the sum of a 1$p$-$1$h operator $T_1$ and a 2$p$-$2$h operator $T_2$. This goes under the name of coupled-cluster with singles-and-doubles excitations [18]. Given the fact that the LIT method requires the solution of a bound-state equation, it is kind of natural to try solving it within coupled-cluster theory to access the medium-mass nuclei. We develop this new method based on coupling the LIT with CC in [12]. The Schrödinger-like equation (4) becomes

$$(\tilde{H} - z)|\psi_R(z)\rangle = \tilde{O}(q)|0_R\rangle,$$

(7)

where $\tilde{H} = \exp(-T)\tilde{H}\exp(T)$ is the similarity transformed Hamiltonian, and $\tilde{O}(q) = \exp(-T)O(q)\exp(T)$ is the similarity-transformed excitation operator. The state $|0_R\rangle$ is the right ground-state of the the non-hermitian Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}$, which is in general different from the left eigenstate $|0_L\rangle$. Here $|\tilde{\psi}_R(z)\rangle = R(z)|\phi_0\rangle$, and $R$ is the excitation operator. The latter is also expanded in particle-hole excitations, consistently with the single-double scheme as

$$R(z) = R_0 + \sum_{ia} R_{ia}^{\mu} \hat{c}_a^{\dagger} \hat{c}_i + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{ijkab} R_{ijkab}^{\mu\nu} \hat{c}_a^{\dagger} \hat{c}_b^{\dagger} \hat{c}_k \hat{c}_j.$$

(8)

An equivalent formulation can be derived for the left Schrödinger-like equation in terms of $|\tilde{\psi}_L(z)\rangle$ and the left excitation operator $L$. The LIT becomes then $L(\omega_0, \Gamma) = |\tilde{\psi}_L(z)|\tilde{\psi}_R(z)\rangle$ and can be computed efficiently by employing a generalization of the Lanczos algorithm for non-symmetric matrices.

### 3 Applications to Few-Nucleon Systems

The first application of the LIT method we discuss is the total photo-absorption cross section $\sigma_\gamma$. The latter is related to the dipole response function $S_{E1}(\omega)$ by

$$\sigma_\gamma(\omega) = 4\pi^2 \alpha \omega S_{E1}(\omega),$$

(9)
where \( \alpha \) is the fine structure constant and \( q = \omega \). The dipole operator is \( E_1 = \sum_i z_i \), where \( z_i \) are the coordinates of the \( Z \) protons in the center of mass frame. \( \sigma_\gamma \) has been extensively studied with the LIT method for nuclei with mass number \( 3 \leq A \leq 7 \). Particular attention has been devoted to the \(^4\text{He} \) nucleus, both from the theoretical and the experimental viewpoint. This stable nucleus is an ideal testing ground for continuum effects of the 3NFs. Exchange currents, which can also affect electromagnetic observables, are implicitly included in the dipole response function via the Siegert theorem. Calculations of \( \sigma_\gamma \) with the AV18+UIX realistic potential were performed in [23].

The dipole operator is \( E_1 = \sum_i z_i \), where \( z_i \) are the coordinates of the \( Z \) protons in the center of mass frame. \( \sigma_\gamma \) has been extensively studied with the LIT method for nuclei with mass number \( 3 \leq A \leq 7 \). Particular attention has been devoted to the \(^4\text{He} \) nucleus, both from the theoretical and the experimental viewpoint. This stable nucleus is an ideal testing ground for continuum effects of the 3NFs. Exchange currents, which can also affect electromagnetic observables, are implicitly included in the dipole response function via the Siegert theorem. Calculations of \( \sigma_\gamma \) with the AV18+UIX realistic potential were performed in [23].

Chiral effective field theory forces (with the \( NN \) force at \( N^3\text{LO} \) and the 3NF at \( N^2\text{LO} \)) were published in [24], where the LIT method was used in conjunction with the no core shell model. A very recent calculation was performed using the complex scaling method [25] for a purely central three-body force. These theoretical curves are all shown in Fig. 1 and form a kind of theoretical (error) band. The variation of the cross section with the Hamiltonians is of the order of 10% at the peak. This is much less than the difference in the available experimental data, also shown in Fig. 1. In particular, the data from Shima et al. [26] are a factor of 2 smaller than all other measurements including the most recent ones from TUNL [27]. Because the theoretical sensitivity to changes in the Hamiltonian is smaller than the difference in the experiments, it is unfortunately not possible to discriminate among 3NFs.

The higher accuracy reached by theory with respect to the experiments can be, on the other hand, of advantage to estimate nuclear polarizability corrections in muonic atoms with a better precision. Stimulated by the spectroscopic measurements of the Lamb shift \( 2S - 2P \) transitions on muonic Hydrogen [28], which leads to an extraction of the proton radius deviating 7\( \sigma \) from the measurements in ordinary atoms, a series of experiments are planned at PSI to measure the charge radius in muonic Helium. The objective is to investigate whether the discrepancy with respect to ordinary atoms persists or changes for different charge \( Z \) and mass number \( A \). The precision of the extracted radius is limited by the accuracy of the calculated nuclear polarizability corrections. The leading-order corrections to the Lamb shift energy are related to integrals of the dipole response function with particular energy weights. In the specific, the leading dipole correction \( \delta^{(0)}_{E1} \) and the leading Coulomb distortion correction \( \delta^{(0)}_C \) are defined as

\[
\delta^{(0)}_{E1} = \frac{-2\pi m_r^3}{9}(Z\alpha)^5 \frac{9}{4\pi Z^2} \int_{\omega_{th}}^\infty d\omega \sqrt{\frac{2m_r}{\omega}} S_{E1}(\omega),
\]

\[
\delta^{(0)}_C = \frac{-2\pi m_r^3}{9}(Z\alpha)^6 \frac{9}{4\pi Z^2} \int_{\omega_{th}}^\infty d\omega \left[ \frac{m_r}{\omega} \left( \frac{1}{6} + \ln \frac{2m_rZ^2\alpha^2}{\omega} \right) - \frac{17}{16} Z\alpha \left( \frac{2m_r}{\omega} \right)^{3/2} \right] S_{E1}(\omega),
\]
Figure 2. Elastic form factor (left) and transition form factor (right) to the first $0^+$ excited state of $^4$He with different three-body Hamiltonians in comparison to the available experimental data. References to the experimental data can be found in [36].

where $m_r$ is the reduced mass of the muon-nucleus system. Thus, one can estimate these corrections with the $S_{E1}(\omega)$ data extracted from the photo-absorption cross sections. This strategy was attempted e.g. on $\mu^4$He$^+$ by several people [29–31], leading to a 20% error in the nuclear polarization correction. Using theory instead, which is more precise than experiment as shown in Fig. 1, we were recently able to calculate these corrections for $\mu^4$He$^+$ with a much better precision [32]. The numbers for $\delta_{E1}^{(0)}$ and $\delta_{C}^{(0)}$ are shown in Table 1 for two different model spaces in the HH expansion (indicated by $K_{max}$ and $K_{max} - 4$), where two realistic Hamiltonians are used. As one can see, the numerical error due to the model space truncation is below 1%, while the dependence on the potential is roughly 6%. Thus, the overall precision is much better than previous estimates based on experimental data.

Table 1. Nuclear polarization corrections to the $2S-2P$ Lamb shift in $\mu^4$He$^+$. Numbers are in meV and $K_{max} = 22$ and 20 for AV18+UIX and NN(N$^3$LO)+3N(N$^2$LO), respectively.

| correction | AV18+UIX | NN(N$^3$LO)+3N(N$^2$LO) |
|------------|----------|--------------------------|
| $\delta_{E1}^{(0)}$ | -4.418 / -4.399 | -4.701 / -4.697 |
| $\delta_{C}^{(0)}$ | 0.512 / 0.509 | 0.546 / 0.545 |

An other electromagnetic reaction that one can investigate with the LIT method is the inclusive electron scattering off a nucleus. Mass numbers $A = 3$ and $A = 4$ have been quite extensively studied in conjunction with the hyper-spherical harmonics expansion. For the $^4$He case we have recently calculated the (longitudinal) response function $S_L(\omega, q)$ to the charge density operator [33, 34]. $S_L$ is well known for not being very sensitive to exchange currents at low $q$, so we focused on a sensitivity study of this observable to the 3NFs. We observed that the difference between calculations with $NN$ only and calculations which include 3NFs is increasing at low $q$. At $q = 50$ MeV/c, where no experimental data exist, 3NFs lead to up to a 50% quenching effect. A 10% difference in $S_L$ is obtained by using different three-body Hamiltonians [35]. Thus, future precise experiments can potentially discriminate among realistic potentials.
Very recently, we have calculated the $^4$He transition form factor $F_M(q)$ to the resonant state $0^+$. $F_M(q)$ can be measured from electron scattering experiments and several data sets are available. The first calculation was performed by Hiyama et al. [37] with a simple purely central 3NF and using bound-state techniques. A good description of data was achieved. We performed a calculation of $F_M(q)$ treating the continuum problem with the LIT method and using realistic 3NFs from traditional nuclear physics [4] and from chiral effective field theory [3]. We observed a dramatic dependence of the results on the starting three-body Hamiltonian for the transition form factor as shown in Fig. 2 (right panel). Three different Hamiltonians (AV18+UIX, $NN(N^3LO)+3N(N^2LO)$ and AV8'+3NF central), which describe the $^4$He ground-state energy to 1% within experiment, show large differences in predicting $F_M(q)$. This is as surprising as interesting and highlights the richness of inelastic observables with respect to elastic case. In fact, the elastic form factor of the $\alpha$-particle, shown in the left panel of Fig. 2, is in agreement with data for both realistic forces (AV18+UIX and $NN(N^3LO)+3N(N^2LO)$). The failure of the realistic forces to reproduce the available experimental data for $F_M(q)$ is motivating new experimental activity to measure the monopole form factor via the $^4$He($^4$He,$^4$He)$^4$He reaction at LNS in Catania with the spectrometer MAGNEX [38].

4 Towards Heavier Nuclei

Continuum calculations of electromagnetic reactions where one starts from interactions that reproduce the $NN$ scattering phase-shifts are only available for very light nuclei ($2 \leq A \leq 7$). Even though the LIT method has been used in conjunction with both hyper-spherical harmonics and no core shell model, both methods do not lend them-self to a straightforward application to the nuclei in the medium-mass range. Coupled-cluster theory, on the contrary, is a very powerful many-body method, which has been successfully applied in the medium-mass regime. By merging the LIT approach with coupled-cluster theory, we have been able to cross the boundaries of the very light nuclei and tackle the photo-disintegration cross section of $^{16}$O [12]. Photo-nuclear reactions in the medium-mass and heavy nuclei have historically lead to the discovery of the giant dipole resonance, which was first explained as a collective motion of all the protons against the neutrons. Self consistent mean field theories have extensively been applied to the description of the giant dipole resonance, see e.g. [39], and lead to a good agreement with the experimental data, see e.g. [40]. The effective interactions used in these calculations are typically calibrated on finite nuclei.

We take a different approach and use coupled-cluster theory starting from the $NN$ interaction in chiral effective field theory at N3LO [2]. We supplement it by a point Coulomb force but omit the 3NFs that already appear N3LO. In Fig. 3 we present our results for the dipole response function calculated with the single-double approximation scheme for $^{16}$O. The theoretical prediction is compared to the available experimental data from Ahrens et al. [41] and also to a more recent evaluation by Ishkhanov et al. [42]. We observe that the location of the peak and the total strength of the experimental dipole response function are correctly reproduced by our calculation. However, the width of the theoretical resonance is broader than the experimental one. It is interesting to note that the classical Thomas-Reiche-Kuhn [43] sum rule $59.74 \frac{NZ}{A}$ MeV mb is exhausted by integrating the photo-nuclear cross section up to 40 MeV. When integrating up to 100 MeV we get an enhancement factor of $0.57 - 0.58$. The effect of triples, which are neglected in the present calculation, is difficult to estimate. However, we noted that for the $^4$He case, calculations in the coupled-cluster single-double scheme agree quite nicely with exact hyper-spherical harmonics results from the same potential [12], indicating that the effect of triples is small. Regarding the neglected 3NFs, it is not clear how large their effect is for $^{16}$O. The investigation of their impact on the photo-nuclear cross section of medium-mass nuclei is underway.
5 Conclusions

Electromagnetic reactions on nuclei are key observables that allow to test our understanding of the nuclear dynamics via a comparison of accurate ab-initio calculations with experimental data. They also allow to create strong ties between nuclear physics and other field of physics, like atomic physics or astrophysics. The prospect of extending ab-initio calculations towards the medium-mass regime is very exciting. The new method obtained by merging the Lorenz integral transform approach with coupled-cluster theory paves the way for many future calculations of continuum response functions from first principles.
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