Swift/X-ray Telescope monitoring of the candidate supergiant fast X-ray transient IGR J16418–4532
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ABSTRACT

We report on the Swift monitoring of the candidate supergiant fast X-ray transient (SFXT) IGR J16418–4532, for which both orbital and spin periods are known (∼3.7 d and ∼1250 s, respectively). Our observations, for a total of ∼43 ks, span over three orbital periods and represent the most intense and complete sampling of the light curve of this source with a sensitive X-ray instrument. With this unique set of observations, we can address the nature of this transient. By applying the clumpy wind model for blue supergiants to the observed X-ray light curve, and assuming a circular orbit, the X-ray emission from this source can be explained in terms of the accretion from a spherically symmetric clumpy wind, composed of clumps with different masses, ranging from ∼5 × 1016 to 1021 g. Our data suggest, based on the X-ray behaviour, that this is an intermediate SFXT.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The X-ray transient IGR J16418–4532 was discovered by INTEGRAL during observations of the black hole X-ray transient 4U 1630–47 on 2003 February 1–5 (Tomsick et al. 2004), with a 20–40 keV flux of 3 × 10^{-11} erg cm^{-2} s^{-1}. Sguera et al. (2006) reported on further INTEGRAL observations, taken on 2004 February 26, during which fast (∼1 h) X-ray outbursts were observed that peaked at ∼80 mCrab (20–30 keV). This behaviour led Sguera et al. (2006) to propose that IGR J16418–4532 is a candidate supergiant fast X-ray transient (SFXT). SFXTs (e.g. Sguera et al. 2005) are high-mass X-ray binaries (HMXBs) associated with OB supergiant stars via optical spectroscopy that show an X-ray dynamic range of three to five orders of magnitude. Indeed, they are characterized by bright (peak luminosities of 10^{36}–10^{37} erg s^{-1}), short (a few hours, as observed by INTEGRAL; Sguera et al. 2005; Negueruela et al. 2006) X-ray outbursts significantly shorter than those of typical Be/X-ray binaries, and a quiescent luminosity of ∼10^{32} erg s^{-1} (e.g. in’t Zand 2005; Bozzo et al. 2010).

In their systematic analysis of the INTEGRAL observations from 2003 to 2009, Ducci, Sidoli & Paizis (2010) detected 23 outbursts, for an activity duty cycle of ∼1 per cent (one of the highest among the 14 SFXTs and SFXT candidates they examined) and fluxes ranging between 1.3 × 10^{-10} and 4.8 × 10^{-10} erg cm^{-2} s^{-1} (18–100 keV).

XMM–Newton observations (Walter et al. 2006) showed a heavily absorbed \([N_H = (1.0 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{23} \text{cm}^{-2}]\) pulsar (\(P_{\text{spin}} = 1246 \pm 100 \text{s}\)) with an unabsorbed flux of 1.3 × 10^{-10} erg cm^{-2} s^{-1} (2–100 keV) in a fit performed in conjunction with the INTEGRAL data.

Chaty et al. (2008) proposed, based on the XMM–Newton position, 2MASS J16415078–4532253 as the best near-infrared counterpart candidate; they also fitted the spectral energy distribution (SED), obtaining a temperature of 32 800 K for the massive companion, consistent with an OB spectral classification. SED fitting by Rahoui et al. (2008) yielded \(A_V = 14.5 \text{mag}\) and an O8.5 spectral type; depending on the luminosity class they derive a distance of 4.9 (V), 8.3 (III) or 13 kpc (I).

A periodicity of ∼3.75 d was discovered by Corbet et al. (2006) in the Swift/Burst Alert Telescope (BAT; Barthelmy et al. 2005) (3.753 ± 0.004 d, MJD 53360–53630) and RXTE/All Sky Monitor (ASM) (3.7389 ± 0.0004 d, MJD 50091–53810) light curves, which was interpreted as the orbital period of a binary system. The hint of a total eclipse at phase 0.5 observed in the BAT data is consistent with a supergiant companion. Levine et al. (2011) refined the orbital period value to 3.73886 ± 0.00028 d, by using the RXTE/ASM data up to MJD 55224. The observed values of the orbital and pulse
periods indeed place IGR J16418–4532 in a region of the Corbet diagram (Corbet 1986) where wind-accreting HMXBs generally lie.

In this paper, we analyse all the Swift data collected on IGR J16418–4532. The first set of observations comprises two 2 ks target of opportunity (ToO) observations performed on 2011 February 18 and March 4, following a Monitor of the All Sky X-ray Image (MAXI) alert of a possible detection of IGR J16418–4532 on 2011 February 17 [preliminary results were described in Romano et al. (2011b)]. The second set is drawn from a monitoring campaign in 2011 July that spans over three orbital periods. This Swift monitoring represents the most intense and complete sampling along the orbital period of the light curve of this source with a sensitive X-ray instrument.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

Table 1 reports the log of the Swift/X-ray Telescope (XRT; Burrows et al. 2005) observations used in this paper. The Swift observations of IGR J16418–4532 during 2011 February and March (00031929001 and 00031929002) were obtained (Romano et al. 2011b) as two ToO observations following a MAXI alert (5609524433, later retracted, as due to GX 340+0) of a possible detection of IGR J16418–4532 on 2011 February 17. The data collected in 2011 July were obtained as a ToO monitoring programme of nine scheduled daily observations, each 5 ks long, starting on 2011 July 13. This strategy was devised in order to cover the light curve in different orbital phases while maintaining the observing time per day reasonably short, thus not hindering gamma-ray burst (GRB) observations. However, most observations were cut short by the occurrence of GRBs and the discovery of a new soft gamma-ray repeater (GRB) observations.

For our binary orbital period search and analysis, we retrieved the BAT ‘orbit-by-orbit’ light curves (averaged over Swift’s orbital period of ~89 m, 15–50 keV) covering the data range from 2005 February 12 to 2011 July 12 (MJD range 53413–55754) from the BAT transient monitor (Krimm et al. 2006, 2008) page. These data were further screened to exclude bad quality points (quality flag 1 and 2) and referred to the Solar system barycentre (SSB) by using the task EARTH2SUN.

The XRT data were processed with standard procedures (XRTPIPELINE v0.12.6), filtering and screening criteria by using FTOOLS. Both windowed-timing (WT) and photon-counting (PC) events were considered. The selection of event grades was 0–2 and 0–12, for WT and PC data, respectively (Burrows et al. 2005). Source events were accumulated within an annular/circular region (depending on whether pile-up correction was required or not, respectively) with an outer radius of 20 pixel (1 pixel ~2.36 arcsec), and background events were accumulated from source-free regions far from the contamination of the single-reflection rings from GX 340+0. For our timing analysis, we converted the event arrival times to the SSB with the task BARYCORR. Light curves were created for several values of signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) and number of counts per bin; all were corrected for point spread function (PSF) losses, vignetting and background. For our spectral analysis, we extracted events in the same regions as those adopted for the light-curve creation; ancillary response files were generated with XRTMKARF, to account for different extraction regions, vignetting and PSF corrections. We used the latest spectral redistribution matrices in CALDB (20110705).

The UV/Optical Telescope (UVOT; Roming et al. 2005) observed IGR J16418–4532 simultaneously with the XRT with the ‘filter of the day’ (FoD), i.e. the filter chosen for all observations to be carried out during a specific day in order to minimize the filter wheel usage. The exception is observation 00032037007, which was requested in the n filter, as opposed to FoD, to attempt to obtain a detection in that band. The data analysis was performed using the UNOITUM and UVOTSOURCE tasks included in the FTOOLS. The latter task calculates the magnitude through aperture photometry within a circular region and applies specific corrections due to the detector characteristics.

All quoted uncertainties are given at 90 per cent confidence level for one interesting parameter unless otherwise stated. The spectral indices are parametrized as $F_\nu \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$, where $F_\nu$ (erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Hz$^{-1}$) is the flux density as a function of frequency $\nu$; we adopt $\Gamma = \alpha + 1$ as the photon index, $N(\nu) \propto \nu^{-\Gamma}$ (photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ keV$^{-1}$).

3 RESULTS

3.1 Orbital period from BAT data

For the orbital modulation, we considered the BAT data in the time range MJD 53414–55754. With a standard folding analysis of the SSB light curves, we measured an orbital period of $3.740 \pm 0.002$ d ($1\sigma$ error), which is fully consistent with the value obtained by Levine et al. (2011), $P_{\text{orb}} = 3.73886 \pm 0.00028$ d. Therefore, hereafter we shall adopt the more precise measure by Levine et al. (2011), and we shall refer to $T_{\text{epoch}} = MJD 53560.20000$ (Corbet

---

Table 1. Summary of the Swift/XRT observations.

| ObsID       | Start time (UT) | End time (UT) | Exp. (s) | $\phi^a$ |
|-------------|-----------------|---------------|----------|----------|
| 00031929001b| 2011-02-18 01:59:43 | 2011-02-18 03:43:26 | 1951 | 0.27   |
| 00031929002a| 2011-03-04 00:03:19 | 2011-03-04 05:01:57 | 2001 | 0.01   |
| 00043105001b| 2011-06-27 05:14:54 | 2011-06-27 05:23:56 | 537  | 0.80   |
| 00031929003 | 2011-07-13 09:46:55 | 2011-07-13 14:43:57 | 4756 | 0.16   |
| 00031929004 | 2011-07-14 12:39:39 | 2011-07-14 19:31:56 | 4832 | 0.47   |
| 00031929005a| 2011-07-15 06:42:44 | 2011-07-15 23:00:58 | 273  | 0.72   |
| 00031929006a| 2011-07-15 14:10:02 | 2011-07-16 14:50:52 | 1078 | 0.72   |
| 00031929007a| 2011-07-16 10:15:02 | 2011-07-16 23:05:36 | 458  | 0.01   |
| 00032037001a| 2011-07-20 05:18:42 | 2011-07-20 15:22:58 | 3483 | 0.01   |
| 00032037002a| 2011-07-21 10:06:18 | 2011-07-21 15:27:54 | 3761 | 0.31   |
| 00032037003a| 2011-07-22 15:19:43 | 2011-07-22 18:44:57 | 2812 | 0.62   |
| 00032037004a| 2011-07-23 11:48:19 | 2011-07-23 15:23:56 | 4127 | 0.85   |
| 00032037006a| 2011-07-29 12:13:30 | 2011-07-29 23:34:57 | 4650 | 0.50   |
| 00032037007a| 2011-07-30 01:01:30 | 2011-07-30 09:27:56 | 4287 | 0.63   |
et al. 2006). Fig. 1 shows the BAT light curve folded over this period.

The BAT data show an eclipse centred at \( \phi \sim 0.55 \). The depth is consistent with a total eclipse, and the eclipse full width at half-maximum duration is \( 0.17 \pm 0.05 \) of the orbital period. The folded light curve is quite flat; the peak-to-trough pulsed fraction, \( PF_{pt} = (F_{\text{max}} - F_{\text{min}})/(F_{\text{max}} + F_{\text{min}}) \), where \( F_{\text{max}} \) and \( F_{\text{min}} \) are the observed background-subtracted count rates at the peak and at the minimum, is \( 78 \pm 12 \) per cent (1σ error).

### 3.2 X-ray position

We used 20.4 ks of PC mode data and simultaneous Swift/UVOT images to obtain an astrometrically corrected position (see Goad et al. 2007; Evans et al. 2009) of RA (J2000) = 250.46104, Dec. (J2000) = −45.54092, which is equivalent to RA (J2000) = 16:34:50.65, Dec. (J2000) = −45°32′27.3″, with an uncertainty of 1.9 arcsec (90 per cent confidence level). This position is 2.5 arcsec from the source 2MASS J16415078–4532253 and 4.3 arcsec from the XMM–Newton position (Walter et al. 2006), and it allows us to confirm the Two Micron All Sky Survey source as the optical counterpart of IGR J16418–4532.

### 3.3 UVOT optical counterpart

At the XRT position of IGR J16418–4532, no detection was achieved in any ultraviolet band down to a limit of \( u1 > 21.78 \), \( m2 > 21.66 \), \( u2 > 21.89 \) mag, respectively. A marginal detection (2.9σ) was obtained in the \( u \) band, at 22.28 ± 0.40 mag. These magnitudes are on the UVOT photometric system described in Poole et al. (2008), and are not corrected for Galactic or intrinsic extinction. At this position, the column due to Galactic absorption is \( 1.59 \times 10^{22} \) cm\(^{-2} \) (Kalberla et al. 2005). By adopting the conversion into \( A_u \) (Predehl & Schmitt 1995), \( N_H = 1.79 \times 10^{21} A_u \) cm\(^{-2} \); this corresponds to (Cardelli, Clayton & Mathis 1989) \( A_u = 14.2 \) mag in the UVOT \( u \) band.

2 This new UVOT-enhanced XRT position takes advantage of the new calibration of the XRT to UVOT detector coordinates of 2011 August (Evans 2011); see also http://www.swift.ac.uk/reprocessed.php.

### 3.4 Spin period search

We looked for evidence of the 1246 ± 100 s spin periodicity reported by Walter et al. (2006) in the SSB XRT light curves. We only considered observations where the source was significantly detected (thus excluding obsIDs 00032037001 and 00032037004).

We searched each single observation longer than one spin period and the total combined data set within ±300 s [corresponding to a ±3σ error reported by Walter et al. (2006)] by applying both a Fourier transform and a \( Z^2 \) analysis (a method that does not require the binning of the data; Buccheri et al. 1983). No statistically significant signal was detected either in the individual observations or in the combined data set. With respect to the latter, the upper limit on the, which was defined as the semi-amplitude of the sinusoidal modulation divided by the mean count rate, computed according to Vaughan et al. (1994), is 42 per cent at the 99 per cent confidence level and for periods between 946 and 1546 s.

This upper limit is only marginally compatible with the (64 ± 10) per cent value measured by Walter et al. (2006). We note, however, that they were able to detect pulsations only during a fraction of their XMM–Newton data and in particular while the source was not flaring, and that our data were collected in a variety of states of brightness and across almost all orbital phases. So our upper limit and their measure may not be straightforward to compare.

### 3.5 Light curves

Fig. 2 shows the 0.3–10 keV light curve of IGR J16418–4532 during the 2001 February 18 observation, binned in order to achieve an S/N of 5. The source reached \( \sim 5 \) count s\(^{-1} \) during the initial flare and then decayed through a series of smaller flares. The lowest point is at 0.16 count s\(^{-1} \). When binned at an S/N of 3, the dynamical range, during this observation, is of the order of 50.

Fig. 3 shows the 0.3–10 keV light curve of IGR J16418–4532 of the whole 2011 July campaign after bin-by-bin background subtraction and corrections for pile-up, PSF losses and vignetting, binned at S/N = 3. The light curve starts at phase 0.16 (assuming a period of \( P_{\text{orb}} = 3.73886 \) d and an initial epoch \( T_{\text{epoch}} = MJD 53560.20000 \)) and monitors the source state through over three full periods. Superimposed on the long-term orbital modulation, which follows that seen in the BAT data, flaring is observed on short time-scales, as

![Figure 2. Swift/XRT 0.3–10keV light curve of IGR J16418–4532 during observation 00031929001, background-subtracted, corrected for pile-up, PSF losses and vignetting (S/N = 5). Time is referred to the start of the observation (2011-02-18; 01:59:46).](image)
Figure 3. Swift/XRT 0.3–10 keV light curve of IGR J16418−4532 during the 2011 July monitoring programme, background-subtracted and corrected for pile-up, PSF losses and vignetting (S/N=3). Downward-pointing arrows are 3σ upper limits. Different colours (see the electronic edition) mark different observations (see Table 1). The top axis reports the phase (with $P_{\text{orb}} = 3.73886 \text{ d}$ and $T_{\text{epoch}} = \text{MJD 53560.20000}$).

Figure 4. Swift/XRT 0.3–10 keV flux light curve of IGR J16418−4532, folded at $P_{\text{orb}} = 3.73886 \text{ d}$ and $T_{\text{epoch}} = \text{MJD 53560.20000}$. The data were collected in 2011 February and June (dark grey filled circles), and 2011 July (black filled circles). Downward-pointing arrows are 3σ upper limits. The open blue circle is a detection obtained by combining three observations (00032037001, 00032037004 and snapshots 1–3 of 00032037007). Also included are the 2004 August XMM data (light grey curve).

shown in Fig. 2. This behaviour has been observed in most SFXTs (Sidoli et al. 2008; Romano et al. 2009b, 2011a, 2010).

The lowest point (as observed by XRT) was collected during the eclipse, by combining three observations (00032037001, 00032037004 and snapshots¹ 1–3 of 00032037007; see open blue point in Fig. 4) at $2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ count s}^{-1}$. This corresponds to an unabsorbed 0.3–10 keV flux of $\sim 6.0 \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, and to a luminosity of $1.2 \times 10^{34} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (assuming the optical counterpart distance of 13 kpc). The lowest point during the campaign outside the eclipse was recorded on MJD 55763.43 at $0.022 \text{ count s}^{-1}$, corresponding to an unabsorbed 0.3–10 keV flux of $\sim 4.7 \times 10^{-12} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, and to a luminosity of $9.5 \times 10^{34} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. As the peak count rate is reached on MJD 55610.08 at $\gtrsim 8 \text{ count s}^{-1}$, the observed dynamical range of this source is at least 370 (1400 considering the points within the eclipse).

Fig. 4 shows the 0.3–10 keV light curve, folded using $P_{\text{orb}} = 3.73886 \text{ d}$ and $T_{\text{epoch}} = \text{MJD 53560.20000}$. We adopted count rate to flux conversion factors derived from spectral fits of each

¹ Part of an observation with a continuous viewing of the target, with typical time-scales of $10^3 \text{s}$.
observation (Section 3.6) and subsequent interpolation across observations where fitting was not feasible. We also include the ~10 ks 2004 August 19 XMM–Newton/EPIC-pn observation (Walter et al. 2006), binned at 256 s, and converted into flux by adopting a single conversion factor obtained from fitting the mean spectrum with an absorbed power-law model \[ \Gamma = 1.40 \pm 0.05, N_H = (10.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}, 1\sigma \text{ errors}; \chi^2 = 0.99/169 \text{ degrees of freedom (d.o.f.)}. \] The eclipse lasts \( \Delta \phi \approx 0.2 \) and is centred at \( \phi \approx 0.55 \), as observed in the BAT data (Fig. 1).

Fig. 5 shows the hardness ratio (HR; defined as \( H/S \), where \( H \) is the count rate in the 4–10 keV band and \( S \) is the count rate in the 0.3–4 keV band), as a function of count rate (top) and orbital phase (bottom), where the light curve was binned to have 30 count \( \text{bin}^{-1} \) (red open circles). A fit to a constant value yields an HR value of \( 1.01 \pm 0.03 \) and \( \chi^2 = 0.85 \) for 130 d.o.f., so that the HR is shown to be roughly constant over two orders of magnitude in count rate. Furthermore, the HR were also weighted across several phase intervals (black points); we cannot find evidence of variations of the HR as a function of phase.

3.6 Spectral analysis

We first extracted PC spectra for each segment in which a detection was obtained and a minimum of \( \sim 100 \) source counts were available.

We did not consider the WT data for our spectroscopic study as they were statistically poor and were heavily contaminated by the background from the single-reflection rings from GX 340.0+0. The data were rebinned with a minimum of 20 count per energy bin to allow \( \chi^2 \) fitting, with the exception of low-count-statistics spectra, in which Cash (Cash 1979) statistics and spectra binned to 1 count bin \( \text{were used} \). Instead, the spectra were fitted in the 0.3–10 keV energy range with a single absorbed power-law model. More complex models were not required by the data. The results are reported in Table 2. We note that the spectral parameters do not show significant variability within the large uncertainties, despite the large observed variations in flux throughout the campaign.

Observation 00031929001 (shown as the dark grey filled circles at phase 0.27 in Fig. 4, and detailed in Fig. 2), in particular, deserves special attention. Although it was performed as a follow-up of a false trigger (later attributed to the nearby GX 340+0), it none the less found the source in a very active state, the brightest of the whole campaign, with its average 2–10 keV unabsorbed flux of \( \sim 10^{-10} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \), corresponding to \( \sim 4 \times 10^{36} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) at 13 kpc. The peak flux was \( \sim 2 \times 10^{-9} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \) \( (\sim 4 \times 10^{-9} \text{ erg s}^{-1}) \). An absorbed power-law model yielded a high absorbing column \( N_H = (6.8^{+3.3}_{-1.8}) \times 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) \( (\text{in excess of the Galactic one}, 1.59 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) and a photon index \( \Gamma = 1.4^{+0.5}_{-0.3} \) (see Table 2).

4 DISCUSSION

IGR J16418–4532 is a candidate SFXT, based on the hard X-ray behaviour (Sguera et al. 2006), for which we know both the orbital \( (P_{\text{orb}} \sim 3.7 \text{ d}; \text{Corbet et al. 2006}) \) and the spin (\( \sim 1250 \text{ s}; \text{Walter et al. 2006} \)) periods. These values place IGR J16418–4532 in the region of the Cobet diagram (Corbet 1986) of the wind-accreting supergiant HMXBs. In this paper, we have taken advantage of the short orbital period which makes IGR J16418–4532 a very good source to monitor with the XRT, to study the X-ray properties of this source as a function of the orbital phase, in particular to address the issue of its SFXT nature.

The Swift/BAT and XRT folded light curves of IGR J16418–4532 (Figs 1 and 4) show the presence of a dip that is consistent with zero intensity. When interpreted as an eclipse, it can be used to infer the nature of the primary of the binary system. The BAT light curve of IGR J16418–4532 (excluding the eclipse) does not clearly show a flux modulation with the orbital phase, suggesting...
that the eccentricity is not large. This is in agreement with the observation that in many X-ray binary systems with nearly circular orbits and short orbital periods (≤4 d), the eccentric orbit produced by the explosion of the supernova is circularized on time-scale of 10^6 yr (consistent with the evolution time-scale of an O star), due to viscous tidal interactions (see e.g. Press, Smarr & Wiita 1975). Thus, for simplicity, we assume a circular orbit (P_{orb} = 3.73886 d).

Let us adopt the typical values of stellar masses and radii (Martins, Schaerer & Hillier 2005) for a primary of spectral type O8.5 and luminosity classes I, III or V, and for the neutron star a mass of M_{NS} = 1.4 M_⊙ and a radius of R_{NS} = 10 km. By combining Kepler’s third law with the relationship given by Rappaport & Joss (1983) for the radius of the primary R,

\[
(R/a)^2 = \cos^2 i + \sin^2 i \sin^2 \theta_e, \tag{1}
\]

where \(a\) is the semimajor axis of the orbit, \(i\) is the inclination and \(\theta_e\) is the eclipse semi-angle, we find that the observed \(\Delta \phi = 0.2\) is inconsistent with a luminosity class III or V primary. We therefore conclude that indeed the primary is an O8.5I supergiant star (with mass, radius, luminosity, effective temperature given by Martins et al. (2005): M_{OB} = 31.5 M_⊙, R_{OB} = 21.4 R_⊙, log L_{OB}/L_⊙ = 5.65, T_{eff} = 32274 K). Therefore, the distance to IGR J16418−4532 is \(<13\) kpc (Rahouei et al. 2008).

During the eclipse of IGR J16418−4532, we detect a non-zero flux with the XRT (L_X \sim 3 \times 10^{37} \text{erg s}^{-1}; see Fig. 4). Detectors of HMXBs during the eclipse are quite common (see e.g. Clark, Minato & Mi 1988; Sako et al. 1999; van der Meer et al. 2005) and can be ascribed to X-ray photons scattered by the stellar wind of the OB star and discrete fluorescent and recombination lines from highly ionized gas around the compact object. The decrease in X-ray flux, when the compact object is approaching the eclipse, is related to the increase of the column density along the line of sight, through the stellar wind produced by the OB star. From observations of other wind-fed X-ray pulsars, such as 4U 1700−377, Vela X-1, OAO 1657−415 (see e.g. Sako et al. 1999; van der Meer et al. 2005; Audley et al. 2006), the absorption column density during the eclipse ranges from \(\sim 10^{22}\) up to \(\sim 10^{24}\) cm^{-2}.

We can compare the X-ray luminosity and absorption column density of IGR J16418−4532 during the eclipse with those calculated by van der Meer et al. (2005) for 4U 1700−377, a wind-fed HMXB with properties similar to IGR J16418−4532 (orbital period P_{orb} = 3.4 d, companion star of spectral type O6.5Iaf). van der Meer et al. (2005) observed 4U 1700−377 with XMM–Newton during an eclipse; they found an X-ray luminosity of \(\sim 7 \times 10^{36} \text{erg s}^{-1}\) (in agreement with the X-ray luminosity of IGR J16418−4532 during the eclipse) and a column density of \(\sim 10^{24} \text{cm}^{-2}\).

The XRT light curve (Figs 2 and 3) shows a large dynamic range, up to one to two orders of magnitude within a single snapshot and about three orders of magnitude overall (even when the low-intensity state is excluded). While this dynamic range is lower than that observed in the classical (Chaty, Zurita Heras & Bodaghee 2010) SFXTs, which reach four to five orders of magnitude in dynamic range (e.g. IGR J17544−2619), it is indeed typical of intermediate SFXTs (e.g. IGR J18483−0311). The 2011 February flare (Fig. 2 and dark grey filled circles at phase 0.27 in Fig. 4), in particular, reached \(\sim 2 \times 10^{39} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}\) (2–10 keV, unabsorbed), corresponding to a luminosity of \(\sim 4 \times 10^{38} \text{erg s}^{-1}\), which is typical of SFXT outbursts as we have observed them with Swift/XRT (e.g. Romano et al. 2008, 2009a; Sidoli et al. 2009b).

On the other hand, while the typical SFXT light curve is characterized by a faint flaring activity with luminosity of \(10^{33}–10^{34} \text{erg s}^{-1}\) (Sidoli et al. 2008; Romano et al. 2009b, 2011a), sporadically interrupted by flares with duration of a few hours and peak luminosity of \(10^{36}–10^{37} \text{erg s}^{-1}\), IGR J16418−4532 exhibits a flaring activity ranging from \(\sim 10^{35}\) up to \(\sim 4 \times 10^{36} \text{erg s}^{-1}\) [also see Walter et al. (2006) and the light grey curve in Fig. 4].

To reproduce the observed X-ray variability, we applied the clumpy wind model ofucci et al. (2009), which we briefly summarize here. This model assumes that the wind of OB supergiants is inhomogeneous, composed of dense clumps surrounded by a hotter and homogeneous wind. Clumps follow a power-law mass distribution:

\[
p(M_{cl}) = k \left( \frac{M_{cl}}{M_a} \right)^{-\zeta}, \tag{2}
\]

where \(k = (1 - \xi) M_{cl}^{-\zeta} / (M_b^{1-\zeta} - M_a^{1-\zeta})\) is the normalization constant, \(M_a\) is the mass of a clump, and \(M_a\) and \(M_b\) define the extrema of the mass range. Assuming a spherical geometry for the clumps, the initial clump dimension distribution is given by \(N_{cl} \propto R_{cl}^{3} \text{clump s}^{-1}\), where \(R_{cl}\) is the radius of the clump. The mass-loss rate \(M_{cl}\) is related to the total mass-loss rate \(M_{tot}\) by means of \(f = M_{cl}/M_{tot}\), the fraction of wind mass in the form of clumps. Clumps and the homogeneous wind follow the \(\beta\)-velocity law \(v(r) = v_{\infty} (1 - 0.9983 \frac{R_{cl}}{R_{OB}})^{0.5}\) (Castor, Abbott & Klein 1975), where \(\beta\) is a constant in the range \(0.5–1.5\), \(R_{OB}\) is the radius of the supergiant and \(v_{\infty}\) is the terminal wind velocity.

IGR J16418−4532 was intensively monitored by Swift/XRT for two orbital cycles (MJD 55755–55766). Each snapshot has a duration of \(\sim 1\) ks, for a total of up to \(\sim 5\) ks per day, which is the typical time-scale for the flare durations observed in SFXTs (Sguera et al. 2006). For this reason, we cannot establish the number of observed flares and their duration; therefore, we cannot use this information to compare the observed light curve with that calculated with the clumpy wind model ofucci et al. (2009), as has been done in previous work (Ducci et al. 2009, 2010; Romano et al. 2010). However, it is possible to compare the observed cumulative luminosity distribution out of eclipse with that calculated with the clumpy wind model. For the latter, the \(\text{Swift}/\text{XRT}\) count rate, measured in the 0.3–10 keV, was converted to the 0.1–100 keV luminosity using the average spectral parameters obtained by Walter et al. (2006) anducci et al. (2010), and assuming a distance of 13 kpc. For the latter, we adopted the physical parameters for the O8.5I primary and the neutron star secondary reported above, and the force multiplier parameters (seeucci et al. 2009) obtained by Shimada et al. (1994) for an O8.5I star (\(k = 0.375, \alpha = 0.522, \delta = 0.099\)).

Fig. 6 shows the \(\text{Swift}/\text{XRT}\) cumulative luminosity distribution (black solid line) with its 90% per cent uncertainties (black dashed lines). Fig. 6 also shows the cumulative luminosity distribution (red dot–dashed line) calculated with the clumpy wind model by adopting the following parameter values: mass-loss rate \(M_{tot} = 6 \times 10^{-7} \text{M}_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}\), terminal velocity \(v_{\infty} = 1000 \text{km s}^{-1}\), \(\beta = 1\), fraction of mass lost in clumps \(f = 0.9\), mass distribution power-law index \(\xi = 1.1\), power-law index of the initial clump dimension distribution \(\gamma = -1\), minimum clump mass \(M_a = 5 \times 10^{18} \text{g}\) and maximum clump mass \(M_b = 10^{20} \text{g}\). Further acceptable solutions can be found assuming the wind parameters in the ranges reported in Table 3.

The wind parameters obtained for IGR J16418−4532 are roughly in agreement with those of HMXBs previously studied (seeucci et al. 2009, 2010; Romano et al. 2010), with the exception of \(f\) and \(v_{\infty}\). The obtained low value of the terminal velocity can be explained as follows: when the wind is highly ionized by the X-ray photons emitted by the neutron star, the high ionization modifies the dynamics of the line-driven stellar wind of OB supergiants.
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the *Swift* team duty scientists and science planners. We also thank the remainder of the *Swift* XRT and BAT teams, S. Barthelmy and J.A. Nousek, in particular, for their invaluable help and support of the SFXT project as a whole. We thank A. Cucchiara for helpful discussions. We acknowledge financial contribution from the agreement ASI-INAF I/009/10/0. This work was supported at PSU by NASA contract NAS5-00136. PE acknowledges financial support from the Autonomous Region of Sardinia through a research grant under the programme PO Sardegna FSE 2007–2013, L.R. 7/2007 ‘Promoting scientific research and innovation technology in Sardinia’. We also thank the anonymous referee for swift comments that helped improve the paper.

REFERENCES

Audley M. D., Nagase F., Mitsuda K., Angelini L., Kelley R. L., 2006, MNRAS, 367, 1147
Barthelmy S. D. et al., 2005, Space Sci. Rev., 120, 143
Bozzo E., Stella L., Ferrigno C., Giunta A., Falangia M., Campana S., Israel G., Leyder J. C., 2010, A&A, 519, A6
Buccheri R. et al., 1983, A&A, 128, 245
Burrows D. N. et al., 2005, Space Sci. Rev., 120, 165
Cardelli J. A., Clayton G. C., Mathis J. S., 1989, ApJ, 345, 245
Cash W., 1979, ApJ, 228, 939
Castor J. I., Abbott D. C., Klein R. L., 1975, ApJ, 195, 157
Chaty S., Rahoui F., Poelimi C., Tomskij J. A., Rodriguez J., Walter R., 2008, A&A, 484, 783
Chaty S., Zurita Heras J. A., Bodaghee A., 2010, 8th INTEGRAL Workshop.
The Restless Gamma-ray Universe, RoS (INTEGRAL) 2010, 047
Clark G. W., Minato J. R., Mi, G., 1988, ApJ, 324, 974
Corbet R. H. D., 1986, MNRAS, 220, 1047
Corbet R. et al., 2006, Astron. Telegram, 779, 1
Ducci L., Sidoli L., Mereghetti S., Paizis A., Romano P., 2009, MNRAS, 398, 2152
Ducci L., Sidoli L., Paizis A., 2010, MNRAS, 404, 1540
Evans P. A., 2011, GRB Coordinates Network, Circular Service, 12150
Evans P. A. et al., 2009, MNRAS, 397, 1177
Goad M. R. et al., 2007, A&A, 476, 1401
i’t Zand J. J. M., 2005, A&A, 441, L1
Kalberla P. M. W., Burton W. B., Hartmann D., Arnal E. M., Bajaia E., Morrass R., P¨oppel W. G. L., 2005, A&A, 440, 775
Krimm H. et al., 2006, Astron. Telegram, 904
Krimm H. A., Barthelmy S. D., Cummings J. R., Markwardt C. B., Skinner G., Tueller J., Swift/BAT Team, 2008, in AAS/High Energy Astrophysics Division Vol. 10, Status of the Swift/BAT Hard X-ray Transient Monitor. p. 07.01
Lamb F. K., Fabian A. C., Pringle J. E., Lamb D. Q., 1977, ApJ, 217, 197
Lépine S., Moffat A. F. J., 2008, AJ, 136, 548
Levine A. M., Bradt H. V., Chakrabarty D., Corbet R. H. D., Harris R. J., 2011, ApJS, 196, 6
Martins F., Schaefer D., Hillier D. J., 2005, A&A, 436, 1049
Negueruela I., Smith D. M., Reig P., Chaty S., Torrej´on J. M., 2006, ESA SP-604. ESA, Noordwijk, p. 165
Poole T. S. et al., 2008, MNRAS, 383, 627
Predehl P., Schmitt J. H. M. M., 1995, A&A, 293, 889
Press W. H., Smarr L. L., Wiita P. J., 1975, ApJ, 202, L135
Rahoui F., Chaty S., Lagage P.-O., Pantin E., 2008, A&A, 484, 801
Rappaport S. A., Joss P. C., 1983, in Lewin W. H. G., van den Heuvel E. P. J., eds, Accretion-Driven Stellar X-ray Sources. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, p. 1
Romano P. et al., 2008, ApJ, 680, L137
Romano P. et al., 2009a, MNRAS, 392, 45
Romano P. et al., 2009b, MNRAS, 399, 2021
Romano P. et al., 2010, MNRAS, 401, 1564
Romano P. et al., 2011a, MNRAS, 410, 1825
Romano P. et al., 2011b, Astron. Telegram, 3174
Roming P. W. A. et al., 2005, Space Sci. Rev., 120, 95
Sako M., Liedahl D. A., Kahn S. M., Paerels F., 1999, ApJ, 525, 921
Sguera V . et al., 2005, A&A, 444, 221
Sguera V . et al., 2006, ApJ, 646, 452
Shimada M. R., Ito M., Hirata B., Horaguchi T., 1994, in Balona L. A., Henrichs H. F., Le Contel J. M., eds, Proc. IAU Symp. 162, Pulsation; Rotation; and Mass Loss in Early-Type Stars. Kluwer, Dordrecht, p. 487
Sidoli L. et al., 2006, ApJ, 687, 1230
Sidoli L. et al., 2009a, MNRAS, 400, 258

![Figure 6. Observed cumulative luminosity distribution (solid black line) and relative uncertainties (dashed black lines), compared with a possible solution (dot–dashed red line; see text).](image)

| Table 3. Wind parameters values for IGR J16418–4532. |
|----------------|----------------|
| Parameter      | Min. value    | Max. value    |
| $M_{\infty}$   | $4 \times 10^{-7}$ $M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$ | $8 \times 10^{-7}$ $M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$ |
| $f$            | 0.85          | 0.95          |
| $v_\infty$     | 800 km s$^{-1}$ | 1300 km s$^{-1}$ |
| $\beta$        | 0.8           | 1.2           |
| $\xi$          | 0.8           | 1.6           |
| $\gamma$       | -1.5          | -0.5          |
| $M_a$          | $5 \times 10^{16}$ g | $5 \times 10^{19}$ g |
| $M_b$          | $5 \times 10^{18}$ g | $10^{21}$ g |
Sidoli L. et al., 2009b, ApJ, 690, 120
Stevens I. R., Kallman T. R., 1990, ApJ, 365, 321
Taam R. E., Brown D. A., Fryxell B. A., 1988, ApJ, 331, L117
Tomsick J. A., Lingenfelter R., Corbel S., Goldwurm A., Kaaret P., 2004, Astron. Telegram, 224
van der Meer A., Kaper L., di Salvo T., Méndez M., van der Klis M., Barr P., Trans N. R., 2005, A&A, 432, 999
Vaughan B. A. et al., 1994, ApJ, 435, 362
Vink J. S., de Koter A., Lamers H. J. G. L. M., 2000, A&A, 362, 295
Walter R. et al., 2006, A&A, 453, 133
This paper has been typeset from a TeX/\LaTeX file prepared by the author.