INTRODUCTION

Benefiting from advances in science and technology, humans today have a higher probability of living longer than their predecessors (Partridge et al., 2018). However, in comparison with the acceleration of an ageing population, they also have to face the challenges of increased morbidity, such as the dramatic increase in the number of dementia patients worldwide, which has essentially doubled every 20 years (Ferri et al., 2005; Prince et al., 2013). It is urgent for global researchers to understand the pathological evolution of senile dementia to identify risk factors and develop preventive measures. The active management of senile dementia is necessary to ensure a sustainable future for human societies, since ageing societies are an inevitable demographic trend (Götmark et al., 2018).

BACKGROUND

Cognitive impairment and dementia make it difficult or impossible for older people to cope with daily activities. According to a hypothesis proposed by Stern (2002), a relatively rich cognitive reserve is critical to understanding resiliency to the progressive evolution of the neuropathology of dementia. The factors contributing to the construction of an adequate cognitive reserve will help delay the onset of senile dementia.
In addition to genetic factors (Haan et al., 1999), a growing number of modifiable factors have been related to senile dementia. These include occupational complexity (Karp et al., 2009; Qiu et al., 2003; Wajman & Bertolucci, 2010), educational attainment (Allegri et al., 2010; Robitaille et al., 2018; Terrera et al., 2014), marital status (Håkansson et al., 2009), socioeconomic status (Osler et al., 2013) and social networks (Bassuk et al., 1999; Fratiglioni et al., 2004; Kuiper et al., 2015; Saczynski et al., 2006).

Just recently, a data analysis report integrating six longitudinal studies demonstrated that older individuals with high educational attainment and high socioeconomic statuses have remarkably longer non-cognitively impaired life expectancies as opposed to those with low educational attainment and low socioeconomic statuses (Robitaille et al., 2018). This observation suggests that education and socioeconomic status are synergistic resilience factors against the onset of senile dementia. Based on these implications, we sought to further explore the possibility of a synergistic effect on the risk for cognition loss through education and another resilience factor—marriage—among older people. Compared to those possessing a higher socioeconomic status, it is considered normative for ordinary people to sustain a marriage—which is regarded as one of the strongest interpersonal relationships in an individual’s social network.

Education can enable people to be more rational and make higher-quality decisions in their lives (Kim et al., 2018). However, we should not ignore the strong emotional needs of older people (van der Steen et al., 2018). In this study, we aim to prove that both rationality and emotion are indispensable for older people to maintain their ability to live independently during the twilight of their lives.

3 | METHODS

3.1 | Study design

This quantitative study was conducted using questionnaires.

3.2 | Setting and sample

From July 2018–September 2018, our research team visited five 5-level professional pension institutions that were located in Ningbo city to recruit 1,300 older people aged 60 years or older by convenience sampling. "5-level" implies that these facilities meet the highest level of care facility standards in China. The following inclusion criteria were used: (a) from local households and older than 60 years of age; (b) met the Diagnostic Criteria for Dementia (4th edition of the American Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. Mild cognitive impairment or severe cognitive impairment (SCI) was diagnosed in the Li Huili Hospital of Ningbo Medical Center.

The questionnaire was returned by 1,250 patients (94.2% response rate). 73 questionnaires were left blank and were therefore excluded. The final number of analysed questionnaires was 1,177.

3.3 | Data collection

Ten investigators underwent unified training. The data collection was conducted by face-to-face interviews. All the older adults with dementia were included in this study after a scheduled meeting arranged by nursing home and community service centre managers according the list of clinical diagnoses. The investigators explained the research objectives and methods and obtained written consent and cooperation from older adults with dementia and their families who met the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The older adults with dementia who consented to participate received an envelope containing a packet with the questionnaires. Participants completed the questionnaires immediately upon receipt and replaced them in the envelope for collection by the investigators. To ensure anonymity, code numbers were placed on the completed questionnaires after their return to the investigators.

3.4 | Study measures

For each participant, four sociodemographic variables (age, sex, educational level and marital status) were collected by the researchers and verified by checking the electronic medical records of their respective professional pension institutions. All participants were divided into two groups by age: the low-aged older people group (age range: ≥60 and <80) and the high-aged older people group (age range: ≥80). Participants who were illiterate or had completed only elementary or junior high school education were classified as having low educational levels. Those who had completed high school or some college education were labelled as having a high educational level. Five distinct marital statuses of the patients were recorded. These included single, widowed, divorced, married and cohabitating with others. Accompanied by a trained researcher, each participant was required to complete the mini-mental state examination (MMSE) (Folstein et al., 1975).

3.5 | Ethical statement

The ethics committee of the College (NBWY-010) approved this study. Prior to enrolment in the study, all participants or their guardians were informed of the research plan and signed a written statement of informed consent. The only prerequisite for a guardian to sign the informed consent statement on behalf of a participant was that the participant could not sign the consent form independently due to an impaired cognitive function.

3.6 | Data analysis

All data are expressed using the mean ± SD (Standard Deviation). The software Graphpad Prism version 6.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) was used to perform Fisher’s exact test, followed
by a calculation of the relative risk (RR) of SCI, a Student’s t test and a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test. The abbreviation RR refers to the relative risk of being SCI in the high-aged group compared with that in the low-aged group. Differences were only considered to be significant at p < .05.

4 | RESULTS

4.1 The percentage of widowhood was significantly high among high-aged older people

We collected sociodemographic information from a total of 1,177 older Chinese people that were living in professional pension institutions and evaluated their cognitive functioning levels using the MMSE test. More than 60% of the older people were 80 years or older (Table 1). The MMSE-evaluated cognitive functioning of the MMSE test. More than 60% of the older people were 80 years or older (Table 1). The MMSE-evaluated cognitive functioning of the low-aged older people was noticeably better than that of the high-aged counterparts (p < .001). There was no difference in the proportion of people with high education between the two groups. No participant reported their marital status as “single,” “divorced” or “cohabitating with others.” In the low-aged older people group, about 57% of the participants were widowed. Comparably, seven of ten high-aged older people were widowed. A significant difference between the two groups was noted in this percentage (p < .001). We did not find a gender-specific difference in the percentage of widowed people within the high-aged older people group. The percentage of widowed men was 70.7%, and the percentage of widowed women was 71.8%.

4.2 Higher educational level and being married decreased the age-related risk for severe cognitive impairment

We evaluated the influence of education and marriage on the incidence of severe cognitive impairment. Widowhood rather than being married significantly increased the age-related risk for severe cognitive impairment (RR 1.46; 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.23–1.74; p < .001, Table 2). Compared with well-educated older people, poorly educated older people had a higher age-related risk for severe cognitive impairment (RR 1.36; 95% CI 1.17–1.59; p < .001, Table 3). When education and marriage were assessed jointly, the age-related risk for severe cognitive impairment was completely offset by a higher educational level coupled with being married (RR 0.91; 95% CI 0.65–1.27; p = .67, Table 4). However, poorly educated and widowed older people had the highest age-related risk for severe cognitive impairment (RR 1.48; 95% CI 1.20–1.82; p < .001, Table 4).

4.3 Well-educated and married high-aged older people appeared resilient to senile dementia

To assess the cognitive impact of education and marriage, participants were further divided into four subgroups: low educational level and widowed (LW), low educational level and married (LM), high educational level and widowed (HW) and high educational level and married (HM). No significant difference was observed in the MMSE score among the four subgroups of low-aged older people (Figure 1a). Comparably, the mean MMSE score of HM older people was obviously higher than that of the LW counterparts (p < .01, Figure 1b). Further comparisons between the corresponding subgroups in the two age groups revealed obvious age-related cognitive decline in LW, LM and HW older people compared to HM older people.

5 | DISCUSSION

By dividing the participants recruited for this study into two groups, significant differences were observed in the proportion of widowed population rather than in the proportion of those with high levels of education. Consistent with previous studies in the United States and South Korea (Bae et al., 2015; Steenland et al., 2009), our findings suggest a higher risk for severe cognitive impairment among unmarried older people as opposed to those who are married. In our study, one of the three most common unmarried statuses (single, divorced and widowed) was investigated since our survey indicated that single and divorced older people were rare compared to those who were widowed. Using the incidence rates for mild cognitive impairment among older married individuals as a baseline, Brenowitz et al. (2014) found that only the widowed—rather than the single or divorced—held a significant risk for mild cognitive impairment. Therefore, it is reasonable to suggest that widowed older people should be investigated separately rather than in conjunction with those who are single or divorced. Despite women having longer life expectancies than men (Fei et al., 2017), we found that high-aged older people men and women had similar high widowhood rates. This finding suggests that it is more difficult to still continue marriage at an advanced age than to extend the life of high-aged older people individuals.

### Table 1 Participant characteristics

| Characteristics       | Low age (N = 432) | High age (N = 745) |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Age (years) mean (SD) | 71.5 (5.6)       | 86.9 (4.7)        |
| Sex (male/female, %)  | 51.6%/48.4%      | 37.3%/62.7%       |
| Educational level (low/ high, %) | 68.8%/31.2% | 71.4%/28.6%       |
| Marital status (widowed/married, %) | 56.9%/43.1% | 71.0%/29.0%       |
| MMSE score (mean, SD) | 7.8 (9.0)        | 5.1 (7.3)         |

Note: Low age: the low-aged older people group (age range: ≥60 and <80), High age: the high-aged older people group (age range: ≥80).

* Fisher’s exact test p < .001, versus Low-age older people group.

* Student’s t test p < .001, versus Low-age older people group.
For older people with mild cognitive impairment, living in a professional pension institution is not as cost-effective as living at home (Kraft et al., 2010). However, we learned through dialogue that avoiding becoming a burden to their children, rather than economic efficiency, was the primary reason governing older people’s decision to choose institutional care. Concern over cognitive decline is the leading reason behind older people choosing institutional care (Werner & Segel-Karpas, 2016). Our observations indicate that the cognitive functioning of the older people living in professional pension institutions was generally poor. This finding is consistent with the assertions of Werner and Segel-Karpas (2016), who noted that signs of dementia caused older people to worry about dementia and adopt this uneco-nomical behaviour. Other possible explanations for this are that a residential shift from familiar surroundings to an unfamiliar place might have a negative impact on cognitive functioning (Fong et al., 2012). Subsequently, the weak interpersonal relationships established in institutions can hardly replace the strong emotional support that comes from marriage. The substitution of a strong interpersonal relationship with multiple weak interpersonal relationships has been demonstrated as being harmful to cognitive functioning (Brenowitz et al., 2014). Nevertheless, our results suggest that maintaining one’s married status in old age is beneficial to maintaining cognition in comparison with being widowed, even if there are deficiencies in professional pension institutions. Results of a recent study show that cognitive decline is not inevitable among at-risk older people persons if continuous life-style interventions can be adopted (Kulmala et al., 2019). Compared to other high-aged people, married high-aged participants with high levels of education were identified as having a lower risk for severe cognitive impairment. This finding was consistent with previous studies (Larsson et al., 2017; Wolf et al., 2019). Segmentation of the participants implies that married low-aged older people with high levels of education have the lowest risk of suffering from severe cognitive impairment when they are over 80 years old. Our results suggest that in this subpopulation, the demographical indicators of education and marriage demonstrate a synergistic prevention pattern against severe

### TABLE 2 Influence of marriage on the incidence of severe cognitive impairment

| Marital status | Low age (SCI/non-SCI) | High age (SCI/non-SCI) | RR (95% CI) | p value |
|----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Widowed        | 95/151                | 298/231                | 1.46 (1.23–1.74) | <.001 |
| Married        | 89/97                 | 115/101                | 1.11 (0.92–1.35) | 32     |

Abbreviation: SCI, severe cognitive impairment.

### TABLE 3 Influence of education on the incidence of severe cognitive impairment

| Educational level | Low age (SCI/non-SCI) | High age (SCI/non-SCI) | RR (95% CI) | p value |
|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Low               | 122/175               | 298/234                | 1.36 (1.17–1.59) | <.001 |
| High              | 62/73                 | 115/101                | 1.18 (0.94–1.47) | 15     |

Abbreviation: SCI, severe cognitive impairment.

### TABLE 4 Joint influence of marriage and education on the incidence of severe cognitive impairment

| Marital status/Educational level | Low age (SCI/non-SCI) | High age (SCI/non-SCI) | RR (95% CI) | p value |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Widowed/Low                      | 67/111                | 218/174                | 1.48 (1.20–1.82) | <.001 |
| Married/High                     | 34/33                 | 35/41                  | 0.91 (0.65–1.27) | .62    |

Abbreviation: SCI, severe cognitive impairment.

**FIGURE 1** The MMSE score among the four subgroups of low-aged older people
cognitive impairment. However, it should be noted that this lower risk was only the result of their comparison with the high-aged group. Compared to other people in the low-aged group, these people did not show a lower rate of severe cognitive impairment.

5.1 Limitations and further research

There are some limitations to this study. First, the sample size of this study is small. It only focused on the older people living in professional pension institutions and did not investigate the older people living at home. Therefore, the main conclusion identifying an age-related education-marriage synergetic relationship should be considered with caution and requires further validation by larger-scale panel studies. Second, clinical diagnoses of severe cognitive impairment were not used in the study. Relying solely on the MMSE measures may possibly result in the misclassification of research participants. Third, because this is a cross-sectional study, it is difficult to determine the exact role of education-marriage synergy in delaying cognitive decline in older people from the perspective of individualization. Fourth, additional factors related to cognitive decline, including cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases, tobacco and alcohol use, and lifestyle, were not evaluated or used for data segmentation in this study.

6 CONCLUSION

This cross-sectional study reveals that education alone does not sufficiently address the complexity of the risk factors against age-related cognitive decline. Maintaining the integrity of marriage is also necessary for ensuring behavioural independence in the twilight of older life. This age-related synergy between education and marriage against cognitive decline suggests that a stable and strong interpersonal relationship is important for the growing subpopulation of long-lived older people with high levels of education. The benefits of marriage become more apparent as people age. Further comparative studies are needed to investigate the potential impact of this trend on senile dementia.
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