Inflammation, Oxidative Stress, and Antioxidant Micronutrients as Mediators of the Relationship Between Sleep, Insulin Sensitivity, and Glycosylated Hemoglobin
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Background: Sleep deprivation and poor sleep quality contribute to increases in oxidative stress, antioxidant imbalance, and a pro-inflammatory state which may predispose to a higher risk of diabetes. Our objective was to estimate the contributions of C-reactive protein (CRP), gamma glutamyl transferase (GGT), and micronutrient antioxidants (bilirubin, carotenoids, uric acid, vitamins A, C–E?) to the relationships between sleep-fasting insulin concentration and -glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c).

Methods: Data from the 2005/06 US National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey were used (N = 1,946; 20 y+). Sleep quality and quantity was assessed by the Sleep Disorders Questionnaire, and fasting blood was collected to quantify CRP, GGT, antioxidant micronutrients, insulin concentration, and HbA1c. The bootstrap method was used to estimate the amount of mediation or contribution of these mediators to the sleep–insulin concentration and –HbA1c relationships, which were quantified as large (≥0.25) or moderate (≥0.09).

Results: The sleep duration–fasting insulin relationship was mediated by GGT, carotenoids, uric acid, and vitamins C and D, whereas CRP and bilirubin were non-significant mediators of a moderate effect size. Similarly, the sleep quality–fasting insulin relationship was mediated by CRP, bilirubin and vitamin C, whereas GGT, carotenoids, uric acid, and vitamin D were non-significant large-to-moderate mediators. To a lesser degree, these micronutrients mediated for the relationship between sleep-HbA1c levels.

Conclusion: Several factors related to inflammation, oxidative stress, and antioxidant status were found to lie on the pathway of the sleep–insulin and –glycemic control relationships. Sleep hygiene, reduced systemic inflammation/oxidative stress, and optimal antioxidants intake are potentially beneficial targets for managing diabetes risk.
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INTRODUCTION

Sleep is vital for optimal health, but most adults do not obtain the recommended 7–9 hours of sleep per night on a regular basis (1). Sleep duration also shares a complex relationship with sleep quality, which modifies its associations with health (2). Poor sleep quantity and quality have been associated with several physiological and pathological conditions, including cardiovascular, neurological, endocrine, and immunological disorders (3). Sleep deprivation, for example, is known to affect cardiovascular disorders by impairing autonomic function, altering glucose and lipid metabolism, and increasing the risks of atherosclerosis and ischemia (3). Further, subchronic sleep restriction may increase peripheral insulin resistance without affecting hepatic insulin sensitivity (4), while sleep extension may improve fasting insulin sensitivity (5). Poor sleep is also associated with poor diets and behaviors that compromise overall health (6, 7).

A growing body of work supports an association between inflammation, oxidative stress, and antioxidant micronutrients with sleep (8–13), including two of our own cross-sectional studies (8, 9). In this most recent work, we quantified the contributions of inflammation [i.e., C-reactive Protein (CRP)], oxidative stress [i.e., γ-glutamyl transferase (GGT)] (16), and antioxidant micronutrients (i.e., bilirubin, carotenoids, uric acid, vitamins A, C, D, and E) to the sleep duration–cardiometabolic health and sleep quality–cardiometabolic health relationships (14, 15). We did not, however, assess the contributions of CRP, GGT, and antioxidant micronutrients on sleep–insulin sensitivity or –glycemic control relationships. To date, only Kim et al. (17) has explored the association between sleep and markers of insulin resistance in an apparently healthy population; using a community-based sample of 374 participants, they found that the association between actigraphy-based sleep onset latency and HOMA-IR was partially mediated by CRP and the inflammatory cytokine, interleukin-6. What remains unclear, is the extent to which these factors may act collectively to augment, or offset, the cytokine, interleukin-6. What remains unclear, is the extent to which CRP, GGT, and antioxidant micronutrients on sleep–insulin sensitivity or –glycemic control relationships.

Exposures: Sleep Duration and Quality

The Sleep Disorders Questionnaire was administered to NHANES participants aged ≥16 y, who reported their typical sleep habits on weekdays or workdays for the past month (18), and we used data from those aged ≥20 y in the present analysis. This Sleep Disorders Questionnaire contains items from two previously validated sleep questionnaires, but it has not been validated in its entirety (19, 20). A single question was used to collect sleep duration information: “How much sleep do you usually get on weekdays or workdays?” Responses to this question were collected in whole numbers between 1 and 11 h, and truncated at ≥12 h. Consistent with previous literature, sleep duration was categorized as “very short” (≤4 h), “short” (5–6 h), “adequate” (7–8 h), and “long” (≥9 h) (14).

Overall sleep quality was determined from the following 6 questions: “How often did you have trouble falling asleep?” “How often did you wake up during the night and had trouble getting back to sleep?” “How often did you wake up too early in the morning and were unable to get back to sleep?” “How often did you feel unrefreshed during the day, no matter how many hours of sleep you had?” “How often did you feel excessively or overly sleepy during the day?” and “How often did you not get enough sleep?” (18). Responses to these questions [0 = Never; 1 = Rarely (1 time a month); 2 = Sometimes (2–4 times a month); 3 = Often (5–15 times a month); and, 4 = Almost always (16–30 times a month)] were summed to obtain an overall sleep quality score (15, 18, 21). The sleep quality score was subsequently categorized as: “good” (0 to <3); “fair” (3 to <7); “poor” (7 to <12); and “very poor” (≥12 to 24) on the basis of previous work (15, 21).

Outcomes: Fasting Insulin Concentration and Glycosylated Hemoglobin Levels

Fasting samples were obtained during the morning examination session after an overnight fast, and standard laboratory methods were used to quantify fasting insulin concentration (pM) and glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c, %), a marker for the last 2–3 months of glycemic control (18).
FIGURE 1 | Multiple regression method of the indirect mediation model (22). Path a is a regression analysis between the exposure (e.g., sleep duration or quality) and the mediator (e.g., CRP, GGT, bilirubin, carotenoids, uric acid, and vitamins A, C, D, and E). Path b is a regression analysis between the mediator and the outcome (e.g., fasting insulin concentration) adjusting for the exposure. Path c is a regression analysis between the exposure and outcome. Path c’ is a regression analysis between the exposure and the outcome adjusting for the mediator. The indirect effect (ab) estimate is the amount of contribution a mediator provides to the relationship between an exposure and an outcome. CRP, C-reactive protein; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transferase. Each mediator was tested one-by-one in the mediation model.

Mediators: Inflammation, Oxidative Stress, and Antioxidant Micronutrients

Additional laboratory measures included in this study were: C-reactive protein (CRP (nM), a marker of inflammation); \(\gamma\)-glutamyl transferase (GGT (U/L), a marker of oxidative stress); and, bilirubin (\(\mu\)M), carotenoids (\(\mu\)M), uric acid (\(\mu\)M), and vitamins A (\(\mu\)M), C (\(\mu\)M), D (\(\mu\)M), and E (\(\mu\)M), markers of antioxidant micronutrients (18). These mediators were modeled continuously to assess their contributions to the sleep–insulin concentration and sleep–glycemic control relationships.

Demographic and Behavioral Characteristics

Other variables used to describe the study population were age (20 to < 40 y, 40 to < 65 y, and \(\geq\) 65 y), sex (M, F), ethnicity (White, Black, Latin, and Others groups), education, income, smoking history, and alcohol intake. Educational attainment was categorized as < high school, high school, college; and income as <$20,000, $20,000–$44,999, and $ 45,000. Smoking history was categorized as current (if smoking now), past (if smoked \(\geq\) 100 cigarettes in one's life but not a current smoker), or never (if smoked <100 cigarettes in one's life) (14).

Alcohol intake was categorized as none, < 3, and \(\geq\) 3 drinks per day.

Mediation Model

The mediation model helps explain the underlying relationship between an exposure variable and an outcome measure through a third (mediatory) variable (22). Briefly, the mediation model (Figure 1) is a series of regression analyses that contains four path analyses: (1) path a is a regression between the exposure and the mediator; (2) path b is a regression between the mediator and the outcome while adjusting for the exposure; (3) path c is a regression between the exposure and the outcome while adjusting for the mediator. In the mediation model, the products of \(ab\) and \(c-c'\) are mathematically equivalent, and \(ab\) is considered as the “amount” of mediation or contribution a mediator provides to the relationship between an exposure and an outcome. As described by Kenny (22), the contribution of each mediator can also be described as “large” (\(ab \geq 0.25\)), “moderate” (\(ab \geq 0.09\)), “modest” (\(ab \geq 0.01\)), and “weak” or “modest” (\(ab < 0.01\)). We further used multiple comparisons correction, i.e., Bonferroni (\(p = 0.05/9\)) and a \(p\)-value generated through simulation, to interpret our indirect effect given that it is a common practice in mediation analysis in the fields of genetics and metabolomics (23, 24).
### TABLE 1 | Characteristics of the US adult population ≥20 years of age by sleep duration.

| Characteristics          | Sleep duration per night | p-value |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
|                          | Very short (n = 113)     | Short (n = 662) | Adequate (n = 1,013) | Long (n = 158) |
| Age [Mean (95% CI)]      | 45.2 (40.4, 50.0)        | 46.1 (44.4, 47.9) | 47.8 (46.0, 49.7) | 48.4 (43.8, 53.0) |
| Age categories [% (95% CI)] | 20 to <40 years          | 40 to <65 years | ≥65 years       |
| 20 to <40 years          | 32.7 (15.6, 49.8)        | 36.4 (31.9, 40.9) | 35.7 (32.3, 39.2) | 38.1 (30.0, 46.3) | 0.002 |
| 40 to <65 years          | 57.9 (43.3, 72.5)        | 49.1 (45.3, 53.0) | 45.6 (42.4, 48.8) | 34.4 (23.4, 45.5) |
| ≥65 years                | 9.4 (4.4, 14.4)          | 14.4 (10.1, 18.8) | 18.7 (14.5, 22.9) | 27.4 (16.9, 38.0) |
| Gender                   |                          |                     |                  |
| Men                      | 56.9 (41.6, 72.2)        | 53.6 (50.4, 56.8) | 49.9 (46.7, 53.1) | 37.2 (30.1, 44.2) | 0.011 |
| Women                    | 43.1 (27.8, 58.4)        | 46.4 (43.2, 49.6) | 50.1 (46.9, 53.3) | 62.8 (55.8, 69.9) |
| Ethnicity                |                          |                     |                  |
| White                    | 64.3 (52.1, 76.6)        | 65.5 (57.5, 73.5) | 76.1 (70.2, 81.9) | 75.7 (67.0, 84.5) | <0.001 |
| Black                    | 22.2 (11.6, 32.8)        | 16.8 (11.3, 22.3) | 7.6 (4.4, 10.7)  | 8.4 (5.5, 11.3)  |
| Latin                    | 6.4 (3.3, 9.5)           | 7.6 (5.5, 9.8)     | 7.5 (5.0, 10.1)  | 7.0 (3.6, 10.4)  |
| Others                   | 7.1 (0.0, 15.5)          | 10.0 (6.1, 13.9)   | 8.8 (4.7, 12.9)  | 8.8 (2.0, 15.7)  |
| Education                |                          |                     |                  |
| <High school             | 23.1 (13.5, 32.6)        | 15.3 (11.2, 19.5) | 17.2 (12.7, 21.3) | 20.6 (12.7, 28.6) | 0.063 |
| High school              | 35.4 (20.2, 50.7)        | 28.0 (24.8, 31.5) | 23.6 (19.9, 27.4) | 22.4 (17.8, 27.1) |
| College                  | 41.5 (26.0, 57.0)        | 56.6 (50.9, 62.3) | 59.4 (53.0, 65.8) | 56.9 (45.6, 68.3) |
| Income                   |                          |                     |                  |
| <$20,000                 | 26.2 (17.0, 35.4)        | 16.7 (12.6, 20.7) | 13.3 (10.0, 16.6) | 19.6 (13.3, 25.8) | 0.001 |
| $20,000–44,999           | 34.0 (24.4, 43.7)        | 31.3 (26.0, 36.7) | 30.4 (25.2, 35.6) | 36.2 (27.7, 44.7) |
| ≥$45,000                 | 39.8 (30.8, 48.8)        | 52.0 (44.0, 60.0) | 56.3 (49.9, 62.7) | 44.2 (36.8, 51.6) |
| Smoking                  |                          |                     |                  |
| None                     | 36.2 (27.1, 44.8)        | 47.3 (39.7, 54.8) | 49.2 (43.7, 54.7) | 53 (43.9, 62.1)  | <0.001 |
| Current                  | 51.3 (41.6, 61.0)        | 30.5 (22.5, 38.5) | 21.3 (16.8, 25.8) | 25.5 (18.0, 33.0) |
| Past                     | 12.7 (4.7, 20.8)         | 22.2 (17.1, 27.3) | 29.5 (25.9, 33.1) | 21.5 (13.9, 29.1) |
| Alcohol intake           |                          |                     |                  |
| None                     | 6.6 (4.9, 8.3)           | 33.9 (29.4, 38.4) | 52.0 (47.7, 56.2) | 7.5 (5.7, 9.3)   | 0.663 |
| <3 drinks per day        | 4.6 (2.5, 6.7)           | 29.8 (24.8, 34.9) | 57.6 (52.1, 63.0) | 8.1 (5.1, 11.1)  |
| ≥3 drinks per day        | 5.5 (2.4, 8.6)           | 33.0 (24.0, 42.0) | 55.1 (48.4, 61.8) | 6.3 (3.6, 9.1)   |
| Sleep quality            |                          |                     |                  |
| Good                     | 1.4 (0.3, 3.2)           | 9.6 (6.2, 13.1)    | 18.6 (14.5, 22.8) | 21.0 (16.2, 26.1) | <0.001 |
| Fair                     | 11.0 (2.0, 20.0)         | 16.3 (13.2, 19.4) | 29.2 (25.7, 32.6) | 31.1 (22.1, 40.0) |
| Poor                     | 14.2 (5.1, 23.4)         | 30.6 (25.0, 36.2) | 35.2 (31.7, 38.6) | 33.5 (24.5, 42.5) |
| Very poor                | 73.4 (63.9, 82.9)        | 43.5 (36.0, 50.9) | 17.0 (13.3, 20.7) | 14.4 (7.7, 21.1)  |

Mean (95% CI) for continuous variables and % (95% CI) for categorical variables. Sleep Duration Categories: Very Short (≤4h per night), Short (5-6h per night), Adequate (7-8h per night), and Long (≥9h per night). Responses to six sleep habits questions were summed and categorized into quartiles: Good (<3), Fair (≥3 to 7), Poor (≥7 to 12), and Very Poor (≥12). p < 0.05, two-sided; ANOVA or Chi-square, as appropriate. Sum of weights = 91,008,644.

### Statistical Analyses

Mean and 95% confidence interval (CI) for continuous variables, and frequency (percentage), and 95% CI for categorical variables were stratified by sleep duration. ANOVA and χ² tests were used, as appropriate, to test for any differences in demographic and behavioral characteristics across groups. The medical exam sample weight from the demographics data file was used to weight descriptive analyses to be representative of the US adult population (18). For the mediation analysis, we used Hayes’ INDIRECT SAS Macro with the bootstrap method with 5,000 iterations to estimate the amount of mediation or contribution (ab) by each mediator, and present the bias corrected ab estimates with 95% CI, and p-values (25, 26). All analyses were conducted in SAS v9.3 (Cary, NC, USA), and statistical significance was set at an α of 0.05.

### RESULTS

#### Demographic and Behavioral Characteristics

Table 1 describes the sample characteristics by sleep duration. Very short sleep was higher amongst 40–65-years-old, men, Black ethnicity, lower income, and current smokers. On the other hand, a higher proportion of adequate and long sleepers were found among White ethnicity and those with a college education. As expected, a greater proportion of participants...
Estimates of Mediations or Contributions

Figure 2 provides the estimates of mediation or contribution by each mediatory variable (i.e., CRP, GGT, bilirubin, carotenoids, uric acid, and vitamins A, C, D, and E) to the sleep–fasting insulin concentration relationship. GGT, carotenoids, uric acid, and vitamins C and D provided large and statistically significant contributions to the sleep duration–fasting insulin concentration relationship. GGT, carotenoids, uric acid, and vitamins C and D provided large and statistically significant contributions to the sleep duration–glycosylated hemoglobin relationship, whereas GGT made a large but non-significant contribution [0.35 (0.00, 0.92), 0.09]. Carotenoids, uric acid, and vitamin D, on the other hand, made moderate, non-significant contributions [−0.13 (−0.23, 0.76), 0.36; −0.23 (−0.81, 0.29), 0.42; and −0.13 (−0.65, 0.36), 0.63, respectively] to the sleep quality–fasting insulin concentration relationship, while vitamin A contributed only modestly [0.01 (−0.09, 0.58), 0.82]. The total effect of sleep quality–fasting insulin concentration relationship was 2.46 (SE: 1.68; p-value: 0.14).

The mediating effect of these micronutrients was only weak-to-modest when the outcome was HbA1c (Figure 3). Vitamins C and D, for instance, were significant but modest contributions to the sleep duration–glycosylated hemoglobin relationship, while CRP, bilirubin, and vitamin C were modest, non-significant mediators of the sleep quality–glycosylated hemoglobin relationship. The total effect of sleep duration–glycosylated hemoglobin and sleep quality–glycosylated hemoglobin relationships were −0.07 (SE: 0.03; p-value: 0.04) and 0.02 (SE: 0.02; p-value: 0.41), respectively.

When we used the Bonferroni corrected p-value of <0.0056, the only significant mediator of sleep duration–fasting insulin concentration and –glycosylated hemoglobin relationships was Vitamin D. None of our mediators contributed significantly to the relationships between sleep and insulin sensitivity or...
glycosylated hemoglobin if we used the simulated $p$-value of $<0.0001$ for multiple biological mediators testing.

**DISCUSSION**

Our aim was to quantify the contribution of (CRP, GGT, bilirubin, carotenoids, uric acid, and vitamins A, C, D, and E) to the sleep–fasting insulin concentration and – glycemic control relationships, and thus, determine whether inflammation, oxidative stress, and antioxidant micronutrients lie on the pathway and act as mediators of these relationships. In this regard, we found that GGT, carotenoids, uric acid, and vitamins C and D contributed significantly to the relationship between sleep duration and fasting insulin concentration, while CRP, bilirubin, and vitamin C contributed significantly to the relationship between sleep quality and fasting insulin concentration. While significant, these factors were more modest mediators of the sleep–glycemic control relationship. Our findings suggest that poor sleep quality and/or duration may impact various biochemical analytes associated with oxidative stress and inflammation that may in turn promote whole body insulin resistance, particularly in middle-aged females. To our knowledge, this is the first time that the mediation effect of inflammation, oxidative stress, and antioxidant micronutrients on measures of glycemic control have been evaluated in a representative U.S. sample, and several of our findings warrant discussion.

To date, relatively few studies have examined the inter-relationship between inflammation, oxidative stress, and antioxidant micronutrients on the pathway between sleep and diabetes risk (8, 9, 14, 15, 17). Of these, our study is most comparable to Kim et al.’s (17) community-based cross-sectional study, which found partial mediation by CRP and interleukin-6 on the relationship between sleep onset latency and HOMA-IR. Main differences between this study and ours, includes Kim’s use of actigraphy-based sleep measures, adjustment for diabetes and depression, and investigation of moderation by sex. Our analysis accounts for a diverse range of age, demographic, and ethnic groups while investigating diabetes-related outcomes, building upon previous analyses by our group that included sex-stratified results (14).

While it is not clear why the contributions may be stronger in women, sleep disturbance induced psychological stress and physiological changes may provide a partial explanation (27). Indeed, age, sex, smoking history, alcohol consumption, physical activity, and comorbidities are known confounders of the multiple linear relationships evaluated in the present mediation analysis (8, 28–32). For instance, moderate intensity and lifestyle-related physical activity have been found to be large mediators of the sleep–fasting insulin concentration relationship (28).
is well known that physical activity plays an important role in glycemic control due to its acute blunting effect on insulin levels (33), and that muscular contraction stimulates insulin sensitivity by increasing AMPK activity, deactivating TCB1D1 and promoting GLUT4 translocation to the cell membrane, which increases cellular glucose uptake (34). Therefore, future attempts to quantify, and de-couple the direct and indirect paths between sleep and metabolic health would benefit from causal modeling that considers a more comprehensive set of factors (as covariates, confounders, mediators, and moderators).

Finally, in exploring mediators of the relationship between sleep, insulin sensitivity, and glycemic control, we are attempting to understand factors which underlie the pathway as possible mechanistic links. It therefore bears repeating that although we used Preacher and Hayes’s (25). SAS procedure to estimate the indirect effects (path ab), the negative ab does not indicate a negative mediation, but rather that one of the paths is negative while the other is positive (22). For example, since the association between sleep duration and CRP (path a) is negative, and the relationship between CRP and fasting insulin concentration (path b) is positive (35), the resulting ab is negative. Similarly, since the association between sleep quality and CRP is positive (i.e., path a and path b is also positive), the resulting ab product is positive. Our finding of a much weaker mediation by CRP, bilirubin, and vitamins C and D to the sleep–glycosylated hemoglobin relationship (as compared to insulin sensitivity) also warrants discussion, and may be explained by increasing AMPK activity, deactivating TCB1D1 and CRP, GGT, bilirubin, carotenoids, uric acid, and vitamins C and D made large, significant contributions to the observed relationships between sleep and fasting insulin concentration, but explained less of the relationship with glycosylated hemoglobin. Since the mediators explored in this study are linked to diet, physical activity, and sleep behaviors, interventions that collectively target modifiable lifestyle behaviors including sleep hygiene, reduce systemic inflammation/oxidative stress, and optimize antioxidant intake, remain mutually beneficial targets for diabetes risk.

**CONCLUSIONS**

CRP, GGT, bilirubin, carotenoids, uric acid, and vitamins C and D made large, significant contributions to the observed relationships between sleep and fasting insulin concentration, but explained less of the relationship with glycosylated hemoglobin. Since the mediators explored in this study are linked to diet, physical activity, and sleep behaviors, interventions that collectively target modifiable lifestyle behaviors including sleep hygiene, reduce systemic inflammation/oxidative stress, and optimize antioxidant intake, remain mutually beneficial targets for diabetes risk.
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