Directed-polymer systems explored via their quantum analogs: General polymer interactions and their consequences
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The impact of polymer-polymer interactions of various types on the thermodynamics, structure, and accommodation of topological constraints is addressed for systems comprising many directed polymers in two spatial dimensions. The approach is predicated on the well-known equivalence between the classical equilibrium statistical mechanics of directed polymers in two spatial dimensions and the imaginary-time quantum dynamics of particles in one spatial dimension, originally exploited by P.-G. de Gennes [J. Chem. Phys. 48, 2257 (1968)]. Known results concerning two exactly solvable microscopic models of quantum particles moving in one spatial dimension—the Lieb-Liniger model of contact interactions and the Calogero-Sutherland model of long-range interactions—are used to shed light on the behavior of the corresponding polymeric systems. In addition, the technique of bosonization is used to reveal how generic polymer interactions give rise to an emergent polymer fluid that has universal collective excitations. Comparison of the response to topological constraints of a fluid of simply noncrossing (i.e., noncrossing but otherwise noninteracting) directed polymers, explored in a companion Paper, to the response of a generically interacting directed polymer fluid reveals that the structure is quantitatively unchanged by the generic interactions on the line transverse to the pin, and is qualitatively unchanged by the generic interactions throughout the two dimensions of the system’s extent. That is to say, in response to a topological pin constraint there is a divergent pile-up in polymer density on the compressed side of the pin and a gap of finite area, within which the polymer density is negligibly small, on the other side of the pin. Furthermore, the free-energy cost associated with a pin that partitions a system having generic interactions is found to be proportional to the pin-partitioning cost for a system of simply noncrossing polymers.

I. INTRODUCTION

In two-dimensional settings, the statistical-mechanical properties of macroscopic systems composed of long, flexible, directed polymers are determined largely by the interactions of the polymers with one another. Due to the reduced dimensionality, polymers encounter one another with enhanced probability as they undergo thermal fluctuations in their conformations. As a result, even numerically weak interactions suffice to give rise to an emergent polymer fluid having physical characteristics that are essentially collective, rather than being primarily of the single-polymer type. In the present Paper, we explore how the form and strength of interactions modifies the statistical properties of the polymer fluid. We do this by making use of a familiar analogy between the classical equilibrium statistical physics of directed-polymer systems and the quantum physics of particle systems, according to which the thermal fluctuations of the polymer system are the analogs of the quantum fluctuations of the particle systems.

The ensemble of configurations of a set of directed, linelike objects embedded in $(D + 1)$-dimensional space can be reinterpreted as the ensemble of worldlines of a corresponding set of quantum-mechanical point particles evolving in time in $D$ spatial dimensions. A well-known mapping then relates the classical equilibrium statistical mechanics of the set of directed one-dimensional objects in the canonical ensemble to the imaginary-time evolution of the state of the corresponding set of quantum particles. De Gennes [1] first introduced and exploited this mapping in order to describe the equilibrium structure of directed noncrossing polymers confined to two dimensions, thus providing a scheme that accounts nonperturbatively for the strong local polymer-polymer interactions that serve to prevent polymer crossings.

In a companion Paper [2], hereafter referred to as I, we considered the statistical mechanics of such a system of noncrossing polymers, but whose accessible configurations were subject to one of various topological constraints. Here, we consider systems of fluctuating linelike objects that are subject to more general classes of interactions, and address the response of these systems to topological constraints on their configurations. In particular, we consider polymer systems that have finitely (rather than infinitely) strong contact repulsion, so that crossings of the polymers do occur but with energetic penalty, and we furthermore allow the systems to have certain specific forms of long-range polymer-polymer interactions, in part chosen for their tractability. Additionally, we use the many-body technique of bosonization to analyze systems of polymer that are subject to a generic interaction.

The systems under consideration are all amenable to the general framework discussed in detail in I, in which a system configuration, given by a set of polymer profiles
\( \{x_n(\tau)\}_{n=1}^N \), has configurational energy

\[
U [\{x_n(\cdot)\}] = \frac{A}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \int_0^L d\tau \left( \partial_\tau x_n(\tau) \right)^2 \\
+ \frac{1}{L} \sum_{n=1}^N \int_0^L d\tau \Phi(x_n(\tau)) \\
+ \frac{1}{L} \sum_{1 \leq n < n' \leq N} \int_0^L d\tau V(x_n(\tau) - x_{n'}(\tau)), \quad (1.1)
\]

in which \( A \) is the effective polymer tension, \( \Phi(\cdot) \) is a one-body potential, and \( V(\cdot) \) is the two-body interaction between polymers \( \mathbb{H} \). The system has length \( L \) in the longitudinal direction \( (\tau) \) preferred by the polymers and width \( w \) in the lateral direction \( (x) \).

In addition to polymer systems, a number of other statistical systems can effectively be modeled as consisting of interacting, directed, line-like degrees of freedom. For example, step edges on miscut crystalline surfaces \( \mathbb{I} \), vortex lines in planar Type-II superconductors \( \mathbb{E} \), and the growing interfaces of the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang universality class \( \mathbb{I} \) all fall within the framework discussed here, and have all previously been studied via their quantum analogs.

The present Paper is organized as follows. In Sec. \( \mathbb{I} \) we consider a system of crossing polymers having a finitely strong contact repulsion. In Sec. \( \mathbb{I} \) we consider a system of noncrossing polymers that interact via a long-range interaction. In Sec. \( \mathbb{I} \) we use bosonization to describe a polymer system subject to generic interactions. In Sec. \( \mathbb{I} \) we consider the effect of topological constraints on the polymer systems considered in the two prior sections. In Sec. \( \mathbb{I} \) we summarize our results and give some concluding remarks.

II. CROSSING POLYMERS

In a previous Paper, I, we enforced the noncrossing condition \( x_n(\tau) \neq x_{n'}(\tau) \) for \( n \neq n' \) by including an infinitely strong repulsive contact potential. Let us consider now a system in which the contact repulsion between polymers is finite, so that polymer lines may cross one another, albeit with an energetic penalty:

\[
U [\{x_n(\cdot)\}] = \frac{A}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \int_0^L d\tau \left( \partial_\tau x_n(\tau) \right)^2 \\
+ 2c \sum_{1 \leq n < n' \leq N} \int_0^L d\tau \delta(x_n(\tau) - x_{n'}(\tau)), \quad (2.1)
\]

where \( \delta(\cdot) \) is the one-dimensional Dirac delta function and \( c (>0) \), which has units of energy, describes the effective contact repulsion between polymers.

A. Origin of contact repulsion

Real polymer systems exist in three dimensions. Let us therefore parametrize the polymer configurations by paths through three-dimensional space: \( \mathbf{r}(\tau) = x(\tau)\hat{x} + y(\tau)\hat{y} \), where \( \{\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}\} \) form an orthogonal triad. The polymers are confined by hard walls so that \( x(\tau) \in (-w/2, w/2) \) and \( y(\tau) \in (-\epsilon/2, \epsilon/2) \), with \( \epsilon \ll w \). Suppose further that polymers have an effective diameter \( d \) such that the ensemble permits only configurations for which, for all \( n, n' \) and at all \( \tau \), we have

\[
|\mathbf{r}_n(\tau) - \mathbf{r}_{n'}(\tau)|^2 \geq d^2. \quad (2.2)
\]

We wish to integrate out the small third dimension and restore our effectively two-dimensional picture of polymer configurations. In particular, let us integrate out two polymer coordinates \( y_n \) and \( y_{n'} \) at some particular value of \( \tau \). If \( x_n \neq x_{n'} \), each of the two polymer coordinates may occupy any point in \((-\epsilon/2, \epsilon/2)\) [ignoring edge effects at \( y = \pm \epsilon/2 \)]. In contrast, if \( x_n \approx x_{n'} \), then any value of \( y_n \) restricts \( y_{n'} \) to a length \( \epsilon - d \) in the \( y \) direction. Thus, upon integrating out the third dimension we ought to reduce the weight of a polymer configuration \( \{x_n(\cdot)\} \) by one factor of

\[
\frac{\epsilon(\epsilon - d)}{\epsilon^2} \quad (2.3)
\]

for each time one polymer crosses another. We may take the number of polymer crossings to be proportional to
be mapped exactly onto a system of free fermions. The polymers are envisioned to interact via the excluded-volume effect. (b) The projection of the configuration shown in (a) onto the $x - \tau$ plane. Because the width of the system in the $x$ direction is much greater than its thickness in the $y$-direction, we may neglect the additional tension energy associated with deflections in the $y$ direction. (c) Cross-sections of the configuration in the plane transverse to the preferred direction of the polymers. Because of the excluded volume effect, there are fewer ways to vary the $y$ coordinates of the polymer segments in the configuration in the bottom panel than in the configuration in the top panel. This leads to a finite effective entropic repulsion between polymer configurations in the $x - \tau$ plane. (Color online)

\[
\sum_{n<n'} \int_0^L d\tau \delta(x_n(\tau) - x_{n'}(\tau)), \tag{2.4}
\]

provided that, as discussed in Appendix A, we assume some short-distance cutoff length-scale $\ell$ such that $\dot{x}(\tau)$ remains finite at a crossing. Then we obtain an effective contact repulsion from this entropic effect, with parameter

\[
2c = -T \ln(1 - d/\epsilon), \tag{2.5}
\]

where $T$ denotes the temperature in units of energy $[1]$. Note that, in the limit in which polymer width approaches the width of the system in the third dimension, the condition that no polymer crossings occur is recovered.

**B. Quantum analog**

The analog of the polymer subject to a contact repulsion is a one-dimensional gas of Bose particles interacting via a contact repulsion. Such a system is governed by the Lieb-Liniger Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$, given by

\[
\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} + 2e \sum_{n<n'} \delta(x_n - x_{n'}), \tag{2.6}
\]

where $n = 1, \ldots, N$ labels the particles. This describes an integrable system, with each eigenstate of the Hamiltonian being characterized by a set of $N$ quasi-momenta \{${k_i}$\} (see Ref. [12]). In the limit $c \to +\infty$, the system may be mapped exactly onto a system of free fermions. For finite values of $c$, the quasi-momenta lie in some band (for periodic boundary conditions $k_i \in (-K, K)$, where $K$ is less than or equal to the Fermi momentum of the corresponding system of free fermions. This means that the logarithmic divergence in the X-ray form factor, found for a system of simply noncrossing polymers by de Gennes at wave number $k = 2\pi N/w$ [1], will instead occur at some lesser value that is dependent on the interaction strength $c$.

As discussed in I, the free energy-density $F/wL$ of the long polymer system may be obtained from the ground-state energy of the quantum system; the result is

\[
\frac{F}{wL} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{N}{w} \right)^3 \frac{1}{\beta^2 A} e(\gamma) \tag{2.7}
\]

where $e(\cdot)$ is the dimensionless energy function that Lieb and Liniger derive by means of the Bethe Ansatz. In terms of polymer parameters, the dimensionless interaction parameter $\gamma$ is given by

\[
\gamma = 2c - \frac{1}{N} \beta^2 A \tag{2.8a}
\]

\[
= - \left( \frac{w}{N} \right) A \beta \ln \left( 1 - d/\epsilon \right). \tag{2.8b}
\]

In the limit $\gamma \to +\infty$, one has $e(\gamma) \to \pi^2/3$, which correctly reproduces the result for simply noncrossing polymers. For $c = 0$, the polymers behave independently and the $O(N^3)$ contribution to the free energy vanishes. Note that $\gamma \to +\infty$ is not the high-density limit. Although the free-energy density increases with increasing polymer density, increasing polymer density decreases $\gamma$, indicating that the free energy of the high-density system depends more on the polymer deflections than the
polymer crossings. Given the entropic origin of the contact repulsion [cf. Eq. (2.3)], we also have that \( \gamma \) is inversely proportional to temperature. At low temperatures, the polymers are frozen into a configuration having few crossings, whereas for high temperatures they cross more freely, although still less often than truly noninteracting polymers would. The free energy is shown in Fig. 3. For a sufficiently long system, any inter-polymer interaction, no matter how weak or short-ranged, suffices to modify strongly the behavior of the system as compared to a system of free polymers. As we saw in I for simply noncrossing polymers, the physics of polymers that are subject to generic interactions is profoundly different in reduced dimensions from that of free polymers.

For a long system we can readily obtain the areal density of inter-polymer crossings, i.e.,

\[
\left( \frac{\delta^2}{\gamma} \right) = -\frac{2}{\beta NL} \partial Z \ln Z = \frac{1}{A\beta \ell} - \frac{1}{\beta^2 A^2} \left( \frac{N}{w} \right)^2 \left[ e(\gamma) - \gamma e'(\gamma) \right].
\]

(2.10)

The first term on the right-hand side is inversely proportional to the cutoff length \( \ell \), as discussed in Appendix A.

The interactions strictly decrease the average polymer slope, as greater slopes result in more polymer crossings and thus receive energetic penalties.

One may also obtain the pressure on the walls containing the polymers at \( x = \pm w/2 \) and \( y = \pm \epsilon/2 \). In the \( x \)-direction, the pressure \( P_x \) is given by

\[
P_x = \frac{1}{cL \beta} \partial_x \ln Z = \left( \frac{N}{w} \right)^3 \frac{1}{\beta^2 A \epsilon} e(\gamma).
\]

(2.11)

In the \( y \)-direction, on the other hand, the effect of interactions is to generate a pressure \( P_y \) given by

\[
P_y = \frac{1}{wL \beta} \partial_y \ln Z = \frac{1}{\beta} N \left( \frac{L}{L} + \right. \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{N}{w} \right)^2 e(\gamma) \frac{d}{\epsilon(\epsilon - d)}.
\]

(2.12)

The first term on the right-hand side is simply the one associated with confining \( NL/W \) polymer segments to a finite thickness (see Appendix A). The second term is the expected number of polymer crossings, from Eq. (2.9), multiplied by the entropic cost of ensuring that the polymers pass around rather than through one another at each crossing.

III. LONG-RANGE INTERACTIONS

In the previous section we considered an interaction that was softer than the noncrossing condition considered in I. Now we wish to consider an interaction between polymers that is more powerful—in the sense that polymers repel (or attract) one another at a distance.

A. Finite-diameter effects

Previously, we considered polymers of finite diameter that were narrow enough to cross over one another, i.e., \( d < \epsilon \). Alternatively, one could return to the case of noncrossing polymers, i.e., \( d = \epsilon \), whilst still taking into account the finite thickness of the polymers. In this case, the noncrossing condition becomes the requirement that no polymer may come within a distance \( d \) of another, or a distance \( d/2 \) of the walls of the system:

\[
-w/2 < x_1 - d/2 < \ldots < x_j - d(j - 1/2) < \ldots < (w/2) - Nd.
\]

(3.1)
This issue is readily addressed by mapping the problem onto one of simply noncrossing zero-diameter polymers via \( x_j' = x_j - d(j - 1/2) \). Then, the finite-diameter polymer system is equivalent to a zero diameter one having a system width narrower by \( Nd \). This leads to the free energy density

\[
\frac{F}{wL} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \frac{N^3}{w(w-Nd)^2} \frac{1}{\beta^2 A}.
\] (3.2)

### B. Power-law repulsion

Next, we wish to consider a form of long-range effective interaction between polymers that, as with the simply noncrossing case, corresponds to an integrable and exactly solvable quantum system. Such an interaction is given by

\[
V_0(x_n - x_{n'}) = \frac{1}{2A\beta^2} \frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)}{(x_n - x_{n'})^2}. \tag{3.3}
\]

Here, the parameter \( \lambda (>0) \) gives the strength of the interaction, either attractive or repulsive. For the attractive case (\( \lambda < 1 \)), we nevertheless retain the noncrossing condition by assuming some additional short-range repulsion of sufficient strength. Electrical dipole moments, if present on the lines, would lead to an interaction of this form, as has been noted in the context of crystalline step edges (where the edges between the crystal steps are the thermal linelike degrees of freedom) [13]. In addition, for the crystalline step edges the elasticity of the crystal gives rise to an effective repulsive interaction between step edges of this long-range form [14]. The form of the interaction in Eq. (3.3) is suitable for a system of infinite width. For a system having periodic lateral boundary conditions, one must include image terms for \( x_n \) at \( x_n \pm 2w, x_n \pm 2w, \ldots \). In the presence of hard walls, as we consider here, images must also be included at \(-x_n \pm w, -x_n \pm 2w, \ldots\). Then, using the mathematical identity (see, e.g., Ref. [15])

\[
\sum_{j=-\infty}^{j=\infty} \frac{1}{(j+a)^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2(\pi a)}, \tag{3.4}
\]

one has the polymer interaction appropriate to the finite system with hard walls at \( x = \pm w/2 \):

\[
V(x_i - x_j) = \frac{1}{2A\beta^2} \left( \frac{\pi}{w} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)}{\sin[\pi(x_n - x_{n'})/w]^2} + \frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)}{\sin[\pi(x_{n'} + x_{n'})/w]^2} \right). \tag{3.5}
\]

The corresponding quantum system is known as the Calogero-Sutherland model [16] [17], and is exactly solvable. In particular, the ground-state wave function is, for a system with hard-wall boundary conditions [18],

\[
\psi_{\text{gs}}(\{x_n\}) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \left| \cos \frac{\pi x_n}{w} \right|^\lambda \times \prod_{1 \leq n < n' \leq N} \left| \sin \frac{\pi x_n}{w} - \sin \frac{\pi x_{n'}}{w} \right|^\lambda, \tag{3.6}
\]

and the cost in polymer system free-energy density of the interaction, as derived from the ground-state energy of the quantum system, is

\[
\frac{F}{wL} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \left( \frac{N}{w} \right)^3 \frac{1}{\beta^2 A} \lambda^2. \tag{3.7}
\]

This model admits both repulsive (\( \lambda > 1 \)) and attractive (\( 0 < \lambda < 1 \)) regimes, provided that the polymers nevertheless retain a short-range repulsion that prevents them from crossing. In addition to the free-energy density, other thermodynamic quantities, such as the reduction in the mean squared polymer slope and the pressure on the system walls, also generally scale as \( \lambda^2 \).
Density correlations $\langle \rho(x) \rho(0) \rangle$ [in units of the squared average density $(N/w)^2$], as a function of the lateral separation [in units of the average polymer spacing $(w/N)$] for various values of the interaction parameter $\lambda$. For $\lambda = 1/2$ (i.e., attractive long-range interactions) there is no oscillatory behavior. For $\lambda = 1$ and $\lambda = 2$, corresponding respectively to no long-range interaction and to long-range repulsion, there are oscillations in the correlations, in the latter case leading to negative correlations for $x \approx \pm w/n, \pm 2w/n, \ldots$. In all cases, the correlations decay as $x^{-2}$. (Color online).

The bosonization technique applies generally to quantum Hamiltonians of the form

$$H = \int dx \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left( \frac{\partial_x \Psi^\dagger(x)}{\partial_x} \right) \left( \frac{\partial_x \Psi(x)}{\partial_x} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \int dx \int dx' \Psi^\dagger(x)\Psi(x)V(x-x')\Psi^\dagger(x')\Psi(x'),$$

where $\Psi^\dagger(x)$ represents either a bosonic or fermionic particle creation operator. For a full description of bosonization, see, e.g., Giamarchi [21], whose notation we adopt. The original bosonic creation operator may be expressed as

$$\Psi_B^\dagger(x) = \left[ \frac{N}{w} - \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right]^{1/2} \times \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2ip(N\pi x/w-\phi(x))} e^{-ip(x)},$$

in terms of the emergent fields $\phi(x)$ and $\theta(x)$, which respectively correspond to changes in the polymer density and slope (or, in the quantum case, to the amplitude and phase of the one-particle creation operator). These emergent fields obey the bosonic canonical commutation relations

$$[\partial_x \phi(x), \partial_x' \theta(x')] = i\pi \delta'(x-x'),$$

where $\delta'( \cdot )$ is the first derivative of the Dirac delta function. The polymer density takes the form

$$\rho(x, \tau) = (N/w - (\partial_x \phi(x)/\pi)) \times \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2ip(N\pi x/w-\phi(x,\tau))},$$

where the $p \neq 0$ terms correspond to the short-distance polymer structure.

The benefit of this bosonization procedure is that for a wide variety of interactions in Eq. (4.1) the Hamiltonian governing the low-energy excitations may be expressed in terms of the emergent bosonic fields as

$$H = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dx \left[ \frac{uK}{\hbar} (\partial_x \theta(x))^2 + \frac{u}{K\hbar} (\partial_x \phi(x))^2 \right],$$

where the two Tomonaga-Luttinger parameters, $u$ and $K$, depend on the microscopic model. The first, $u$, plays the role of a renormalized "Fermi velocity," which would...
relate the time and space dimensions of the quantum system. In the polymer system it is unitless and relates the lateral and longitudinal coordinates. The second parameter, $K$, can be treated via a rescaling of the fields $\frac{\phi(x) \to \phi(x)/\sqrt{K}}{\theta(x) \to \sqrt{K}\theta(x)}$ which maps the system back onto the $K = 1$ case, which corresponds to simply noncrossing systems. In this way, systems having a wide range of interactions, including those considered in Secs. I and III, can be mapped onto the simply noncrossing system of polymers—the very system considered in I. The cost to this rescaling of the fields is that it destroys the relatively straightforward relationship between the fields $\theta(\cdot)$ and $\phi(\cdot)$ [which describe the emergent polymer fluid] and the microscopic degrees of freedom (i.e., the original polymer lines) given in Eq. (4.2).

Although the bosonized Hamiltonian lacks the inter-polymer length-scale $w/N$ explicitly, the definitions of the fields in Eq. (4.2) retain the short-distance behavior. From this Hamiltonian, the bosonized action $S$ follows as well:

$$S = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi K} \int dx \, d\tau \left[ \frac{1}{u} (\partial_x \phi)^2 + u (\partial_\tau \phi)^2 \right],$$  

associated with which there is a polymer partition function $Z$, given by

$$Z = \int D\phi(x, \tau) \times \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2\pi K} \int dx \, d\tau \left( \frac{1}{u} (\partial_x \phi)^2 + u (\partial_\tau \phi)^2 \right) \right].$$

This partition function characterizes the polymer system in terms of the configurations of the field $\phi(x, \tau)$, which is related to polymer density via Eq. (4.4). The normalization condition that the system contain $N$ polymers then leads to periodic boundary conditions on $\phi(x, \tau)$:

$$\phi(w/2, \tau) - \phi(-w/2, \tau) = 0.$$  

Alternatively, we could obtain an action solely in terms of the field $\theta(x, \tau)$, which is related to the polymer slope field. Because of the lack of polymer free ends in the interior of the system, either polymer density or polymer slope fully defines a given configuration of the system.

The characterization of the polymer system in terms of a harmonic fluid allows one to obtain the polymer correlations. In particular, the density correlations of a large, disorder-free polymer system are given by

$$\langle \rho(x + x_0, \tau + \tau_0) \rho(x_0, \tau_0) \rangle = \left( \frac{N}{w} \right)^2 + \frac{K}{2\pi^2 \left( u \tau \right)^2 - x^2} \frac{(u \tau)^2 - x^2}{\left( x^2 + (u \tau)^2 \right)^2} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m \frac{\cos(2\pi m N x/w)}{\left( x^2 + (u \tau)^2 \right)^{2m+K}}.$$  

Note that although the partition function appears to be isotropic, the polymer correlations are in fact sharply anisotropic, owing to the preferred direction of the polymer lines. In particular, the first nonconstant term in Eq. (4.9) indicates positive (negative) density correlations over longitudinal (lateral) displacements. The other terms, with nonuniversal coefficients $A_m$, describe oscillatory changes in the density correlations over lengths on the scale of the average inter-polymer spacing $w/N$, analogous to Friedel oscillations. When $K < 1$, as holds for polymers with long-range repulsion, this oscillatory behavior dominates over long length-scales. For $K > 1$, as holds for polymers having a finite contact interaction, the non-oscillatory component dominates instead.

These long-range correlations are characteristic of the disorder-free polymer system. Introducing disorder into the system leads to a characteristic length-scale for correlations, beyond which they are exponentially suppressed (see, e.g., Giamarchi [21]).

V. TOPOLOGICAL OBSTRUCTIONS

In I, we considered the inclusion, into a system of simply noncrossing polymers, of a topological obstruction (a "pin") located at $(x_p, \tau_p)$, which some number of polymers $N_L$ passed to the left of, with the remaining polymers passing to its right. The thermal ensemble was restricted to those configurations that met this condition. We showed that the polymer structure around the pin and the free-energy cost of the pin were entirely determined by the polymer correlations encoded in the ground-state wave function. Specifically, the free-energy cost of the pin, which is dominated by the $O(N^2)$ contribution coming from the polymer-polymer interaction, is given by

$$\exp(-\beta \mathcal{F}) = \int_{\mathcal{C}} d\{x_n\} |\psi_{gs}(\{x_n\})|^2,$$  

where $\mathcal{C}$ indicates that the integration over polymer coordinates $\{x_n\}$ obeys the topological constraint that exactly $N_L$ polymers pass to the left of the pin:
the free-energy cost of the pin simply scales as by the presence of long range interactions. Furthermore, full density profile should remain qualitatively unchanged Sutherland system undergoing a large fluctuation—the prior studies of the quantum analog [22, 23]—a Calogero-

A finite length in the longitudinal direction. Based on some density fluctuations are omitted), exists between x

Note the essential features of this polymer density profile:
(i) a gap, i.e. a region of zero polymer density (provided x,τ)

We next ask: What is the effect of the pin on the

A. Large fluctuations of the bosonized fluid

We next ask: What is the effect of the pin on the

VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In the present Paper, we have described the implications of general interactions on systems of directed polymers in two dimensions. We have found that the type and strength of the interaction modifies the polymer structure and thermodynamics. To a noteworthy extent, the phenomena described in I in the setting of polymers having an infinite contact repulsion extend, at least qualitatively, to polymer systems subject to a much wider range of interactions.

We have used bosonization to describe the universal properties of emergent polymer fluids having generic in-
interactions. We have shown that there are long-range correlations in disorder-free polymer systems, regardless of the range of inter-polymer interactions. Depending on the value of the Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid parameter $K$, the dominant correlations at long distances may or may not include oscillations in the lateral direction.

We have also studied the effect of topological obstructions on the polymer fluid. We have found that the qualitative features of the response are unchanged by the form of the interaction. There is a sharp increase in the density of polymers immediately on the compressed side of a pin, and the increase in polymer density persists over a long distance. On the decompressed side, a two-dimensional gap region opens up; in it the density of polymers is negligible. The restoring force on the pin is proportional to $N^2$, indicating that the dominant response results from interaction effects. Finally, we have described the way in which the density profile, gap region, and restoring force depend on polymer interactions.
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Appendix A: Short-distance behavior of the polymer system

The polymer partition functions that we consider in this Paper contain certain pathologies in their continuum limit that can be controlled via a short-distance regularization. Consider, e.g., the partition function for a single polymer not subject to interactions or external potential, which formally reads

$$ Z = \int \mathcal{D}x(\cdot) \exp \left( -\frac{A\beta}{2} \int dt (\partial_t x(t))^2 \right) . \quad (A1) $$

To make sense of this formal object, let us divide the longitudinal coordinate into $M + 1$ discrete segments, so that $Z$ is approximated by

$$ Z_{(M)} = \int dx_0 \, dx_1 \cdots dx_M \exp \left( -\frac{A\beta}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{M} \frac{M}{L} (x_m - x_{m-1})^2 \right) . \quad (A2) $$

Note that, here, the $\{x_m\}$ are the coordinates of longitudinally separated segments of a single polymer. Proceeding in the manner of time-slicing for a quantum path integral (see, e.g., Refs. [24, 25]), one may make use of the following Gaussian integral to eliminate the interior degrees of freedom:

$$ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \exp \left( -C \left[ (x - y)^2 + (y - z)^2 \right] \right) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2C}} \exp \left[ -C (x - z)^2 / 2 \right] . \quad (A3) $$

By using this relationship, we see that the partition function for a single polymer line that travels from $x_0$ to $x_f$ and has $M - 1$ interior segments is given by

$$ Z_{(M)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M!}} \left( \frac{2\pi L}{A\beta M} \right)^{(M-1)/2} \times \exp \left[ -\frac{A\beta}{2L} (x_f - x_0)^2 \right] . \quad (A4) $$

We see thus that the free polymer fluctuates with a Gaussian distribution that does not depend on the number of internal segments. The polymer structure over long distances does not depend on this short-distance behavior. However, this does not mean that the short-distance behavior can be ignored entirely in the determination of thermodynamic properties of the polymer fluid. Let us define the short-distance cutoff length $\ell \equiv L/M$. Then, the $N$-polymer partition function in Eq. (A2) contains the factor

FIG. 5. A configuration of the first five primitive segments of a single polymer. Although the polymer configurations over longer distances do not depend on the cutoff length $\ell$, the root mean squared polymer slope is proportional to $\ell^{-1}$.
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\[ Z_\ell \equiv \left( \frac{2\pi \ell}{A\beta} \right)^{NL/2\ell}, \quad (A5) \]

which describes the short-distance behavior of the polymers. This factor is not connected to the structure of the polymer lines \( \{x_n(\tau)\} \) over distances long compared to \( \ell \), but it does influence thermodynamic observables that depend on temperature or the tension parameter. For example, the mean squared deflection \( \langle \dot{x}(\tau)^2 \rangle \) of a free long polymer line is given by

\[ \langle \dot{x}(\tau)^2 \rangle = -\frac{2}{\beta L^2} \partial_A \ln Z = \frac{1}{A\beta \ell}. \quad (A6) \]

Thus, if we try to take the \( \ell \to 0 \) limit we find that short-distance polymer deflections diverge. That is, the more closely we examine an ideal polymer line within the model, the steeper its slope seems to be. More generally, the polymer partition function depends on polymer interactions \( V(x - x') \) and on one-body potentials \( \Phi(x) \) and so the entire partition function for interacting polymers is given by

\[ Z = Z_\ell \times \left\{ \psi_k(x_n') \right\} e^{-E_k/\hbar} \psi_k(x_n) \]

\[ = Z_\ell \times \sum_k e^{-E_k/\hbar} \psi_k(x_n') \left\{ \psi_k(x_n) \right\}. \quad (A7) \]

Here, \( \left\{ \psi_k(x_n) \right\} \) and \( \{ E_k \} \) are the normalized eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the associated quantum system, as discussed in I. Thus we see that the short-distance cutoff-dependence is isolated in the interaction-independent portion of the partition function \( Z_\ell \) and can be separated from the interaction-dependent physics.

**Appendix B: Free-energy cost of rare configurations of bosonized polymers**

We now determine the dominant configuration \( \phi_p(x, \tau) \) of the bosonized field and associated polymer free-energy cost resulting from the general boundary condition \( \phi(x, \tau_p) = \bar{\phi}(x) \). The Fourier series solution of the Laplace equation of Eq. (5.5) consistent with this and the other boundary conditions is (choosing for simplicity units so that \( w = \pi \))

\[ \phi_p(x, \tau) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j \sin \left( j \left[ x + \frac{\pi}{2} \right] \right) e^{-j|\tau - \tau_p|}; \quad (B1a) \]

\[ a_j = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} dx' \bar{\phi}(x) \sin \left( j \left[ x + \frac{\pi}{2} \right] \right). \quad (B1b) \]

The polymer free energy \( F_p \) associated with this configuration (which has the same form as the bosonized action of Eq. (4.6)) is given by

\[ F_p = \frac{2}{\beta K \pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} dx dx' \bar{\phi}(x) \hat{\phi}(x') \]

\[ \times \sum_{j=1}^\infty j \sin \left( j \left[ x + \frac{\pi}{2} \right] \right) \sin \left( j \left[ x' + \frac{\pi}{2} \right] \right). \quad (B2) \]

The sum over \( j \) may straightforwardly be performed, e.g., by expressing the summand in terms of exponentials and performing the infinite geometric sum. The result is

\[ F_p = -\frac{1}{\beta K \pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} dx dx' \bar{\phi}(x) \hat{\phi}(x') \]

\[ \times \frac{\cos x \cos x'}{(\sin x - \sin x')^2}. \quad (B3) \]

Next, we transform the result for the free-energy cost expressed in terms of \( \phi(x) \) into one in terms of the polymer density \( \bar{\rho}(x) \) on the line \( \tau = \tau_p \). In the continuum limit, in which one considers only length-scales greater than the inter-polymer length, one may invoke the bosonization correspondence of Eq. (4.4), retaining only the \( p = 0 \) term, \( (N/w) - (\partial_x \phi(x)/\pi) \sim \rho(x) \). Then, via integrating by parts in \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) separately, we obtain the free-energy cost of the large fluctuation in terms of the polymer density on the line \( \tau = \tau_p \) (restoring physical units of length) given in Eq. (5.6).
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