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CD133-directed CAR T-cells for MLL leukemia: on-target, off-tumor myeloablative toxicity
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To the Editor:

Chimeric antigen receptors (CARs) have undoubtedly revolutionized immunotherapy, especially in the B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) arena where over 80% of complete remissions are observed in refractory/relapsed (R/R) B-cell ALL patients treated with CD19-directed CAR T-cells (CARTs) [1]. However, despite holding an unprecedented promise, several issues still have to be resolved before CARTs can be expanded to novel targets and/or malignancies or even provided as first-line treatment in B-cell ALL [2]. For instance, toxicities such as cytokine release syndrome and immune escape mechanisms including loss of the antigen under CART-mediated pressure remain major concerns, urging further research on the mechanisms underlying CARTs cytotoxicity.

In this sense, loss of CD19 antigen is frequently observed after CD19-directed CARTs therapy in B-cell ALL [3, 4], but is particularly common in MLL-rearranged (MLLr) B-cell ALL, an aggressive subtype of B-cell ALL (dismal in MLL-AF4+ infants) associated with lymphoid-to-myeloid lineage switch [3, 5, 6]. We read with interest the work recently published in Leukemia by Li et al. reporting a novel CAR targeting both CD19 and CD133 [7]. This study proposes to use a bi-specific CAR targeting both CD19 and CD133 antigens in a Boolean OR-gate approach for MLLr B-cell ALL as a strategy to avoid and treat CD19- relapses. The authors reasoned that CD133, encoded by PROM1 gene, is a specific marker for MLLr leukemia because PROM1 is an MLL target, especially in MLL-AF4 B-cell ALL [8–10]. They went on and performed in vitro assays showing than CD19/CD133 bi-specific CAR triggers robust cytotoxicity against CD19⁺CD133/popper and CD19⁺CD133+ B-cell lines [7], thus suggesting it may help in reducing subsequent lineage switch in MLLr B-cell ALL.

A major drawback for CD133 as target in immunotherapy is its expression in hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs), which would likely exert “on-target off-tumor” myeloablative, life-threatening toxicity [11, 12]. Because B-cell ALL is molecularly heterogeneous and can be diagnosed during infancy, childhood and adulthood, we have characterized PROM1/CD133 expression in a large cohort of cytogenetically distinct B-cell ALL subgroups (n = 212 patients) as well as in different subpopulations of normal CD34+ HSPCs obtained across hematopoietic ontogeny from 22-weeks old human fetal liver (FL, prenatal), cord blood (CB, perinatal), and adult G-CSF-mobilized peripheral blood/bone marrow (PB/BM, postnatal). An initial analysis of publicly available RNA-seq data [13] from 170 diagnostic B-cell ALL patients confirmed that PROM1 is overexpressed in patients with MLLr B-cell ALL, although its expression is not significantly higher than in other cytogenetic subgroups (Fig. 1a). We then analyzed PROM1 during HSPC development and observed that PROM1 is highly expressed in early normal hematopoietic stem cells (HSC)
and multipotent progenitors (MPP) with its expression decreasing from the lymphoid-primed multipotent progenitors (LMPP) onwards with its expression being marginal at later stages of myeloid differentiation (megakaryocyte-erythroid progenitors, MEP) and common lymphoid progenitors (CLP) [14]. Importantly, 70% (22/32) of 11q23/MLLr B-cell patients (both MLL-AF4 and MLL-AF9) express equal (9/32) or lower (13/32) PROM1 levels that HSCs and MPPs, which raises doubts about the suitability of PROM1 as a target for B-cell ALL immunotherapy [15].

FACS clinical immunophenotyping provides a priori a more rapid and feasible clinically relevant diagnostic information than RNA-seq during the decision-making process. Thus, we next FACS-analyzed the expression of CD133 (PROM1 gene product) in the cell surface of BM-
derived primary blasts and primografts (PDXs) obtained from 11q23/MLLr (n = 7) and non-MLL (n = 5) B-cell ALL patients, and in comparison with healthy prenatal (22 weeks old FL), perinatal (CB) and adult (PB/BM) CD34 + HSPCs (Fig. 1c). Consistent with the RNA-seq data, the expression of CD133 in 11q23/MLLr blasts is intermingled with that observed in CD34+ HSPCs across hematopoietic ontogeny (Fig. 1c).

Our data demonstrates that PROM1/CD133 is similarly expressed between MLLr B-cell ALL primary blasts and normal non-lymphoid HSPCs across ontogeny, thus indicating that “on-target, off-tumor” toxic/myeloablative effects are likely to occur if used in a bi-specific CAR approach where CD133 antigen will be constantly targeted regardless of the co-expression of CD19 in the same cell. Our data therefore raises concerns about using CD133 as a target for MLLr B-cell ALL immunotherapy. An alternative to circumvent HSPC toxicity would be to engineer dual CAR T-cells with one CAR engaging an antigen (i.e., CD19) mediating T-cell activation and another CAR engaging a second antigen (i.e., CD133) mediating T-cell co-stimulation [16]. Unfortunately, although such a CD19/CD133 dual CAR might be likely safe due to its cytotoxicity being restrained only to cells co-expressing CD19 and CD133, its specific cytotoxic performance will be poor since not the entire MLLr B-cell ALL blast population is CD19+ CD133+ (Fig. 1c). Another alternative approach to prevent HSPC toxicity would be to have in place a potent molecular switch (i.e., iCas9) to eliminate CAR133-expressing T-cells as necessary [17]. Further long-term in vivo studies using both primary B-cell ALL cells and normal HSCPs remain to be conducted to elucidate the efficacy versus the myeloablative toxicity of a CAR CD133 [18, 19].
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Chronic lymphocytic leukemia

Telomere fusions associate with coding sequence and copy number alterations in CLL
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To the Editor:

Short-dysfunctional telomeres are detected prior to clinical progression in chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL) and result in chromosomal fusions that propagate genome instability, driving disease progression. To investigate the impact of telomere dysfunction on the CLL genome, we performed a large-scale molecular characterisation of telomere fusion events in CLL B-cells. A cohort of 276 CLL patient samples was selected for analysis based on short telomere length (TL) profiles, with the majority (97%, n = 269) having mean TL within the previously-defined fusogenic range in CLL [1]. Patient samples were screened for the presence of telomere fusions using a single-molecule telomere fusion assay [2] modified to include the 5p telomere (Supplementary Figure 1). Telomere fusions were detected in 72% (198/276) of the samples, which were subsequently arbitrarily stratified by fusion frequency (Supplementary Table 1). Fusions were detected for all telomeres assayed, including the 5p telomere, for which fusions were present in 23% (40/177) of patient samples (Supplementary Figure 2, Supplementary Table 2).

High-resolution characterisation of single-molecule amplified telomere fusions from nine CLL patients with
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