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ABSTRACT
Providing supplementary food or drink for pregnant women with chronic energy deficiency (CED) is one form of specific interventions to increase the nutritional intake of pregnant women which is quite effective. Supplementary drink made from local food are very appropriate to be developed by considering its nutritional and sensory aspects. This study aimed to develop and to analyze instant powder drink made from yellow sweet potato and red kidney bean as an alternative supplementary drink for pregnant women with chronic energy deficiency (CED). This study used a completely randomized factorial design with two factors and two replications. The ratio between yellow sweet potato and red kidney beans as the first factor and the addition of maltodextrin as the second factor. Results showed that from 6 formulas, formula with ratio 3:1 of yellow sweet potato and red bean also the addition of 5% maltodextrin (F5) was chosen as the best formula. Based on acceptance test results, instant drink was accepted by pregnant women with percentage of acceptance 89.5% of overall sensory characteristics. Nutrient content analysis showed that instant drink contained 423 kcal of energy, 3.75% of water, 1.52% of ash, 14.28% of protein, 9.92% of fat, 70.53% of carbohydrates, 7.27% of dietary fiber, 14.4 mg of β-carotene, and 74.22% of protein digestibility. This product can be suggested as an alternative supplementary drink for CED pregnant women because it was acceptable by sensory and the nutrient content had fulfilled nutritional content requirements of supplementary food for CED pregnant women.
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INTRODUCTION
Chronic energy deficiency (KEK) is a condition caused by malnutrition, especially energy and protein for a long time or chronic, characterized by mid upper arm circumference (MUAC) <23.5 cm. Based on the results of Indonesia Basic Health Research, the incidence of pregnant women experiencing chronic energy deficiency in 2013 was 24.2% and decreased in 2018 to 17.3% (Kemenkes RI, 2013; Kemenkes RI, 2018). Even though it has decreased, Indonesia still has moderate public health problems (10-19%), that is problem of pregnant women at risk of chronic energy deficiency (WHO, 2010).

Provision of additional food or drink for pregnant women is one form of specific intervention to increase the nutritional intake of pregnant women which is quite effective. Based on Pastuty, et al. (2018), giving additional food to pregnant women in chronic energy deficiency gives good results in increasing the arm circumference and mother’s body weight.

According to the Indonesian Ministry of Health (2010), additional food or drinks for pregnant women should be acceptable in terms of form, taste, and easy consumption. One form of additional food or drinks that can be developed is in the form of instant beverage products. The selection of instant drinks as a form of additional food or drinks is based on several advantages, namely practicality, has good product quality and stability, low production costs, suitable for large-scale consumption and is easy in the distribution process so that it can be used as an alternative to additional drinks, especially for pregnant women (Susanti and Putri, 2014).

The making of instant drinks made from sweet potatoes and red beans is considered very appropriate because able to raise the potential of local food ingredients and has various nutritional contents. Almasyhuri (2009) showed that nutritious
formula drinks based on local non-dairy foods had good acceptance. This local food-based formula drink also contain nutritional value that can meet the nutritional needs of pregnant women so that it can be used as an alternative to additional drinks that affordable to pregnant women.

Sweet potato is an alternative carbohydrate source to replace rice. In addition, there are other components contained in yellow sweet potatoes, including protein, fat, vitamins and minerals (Ginting, 2009). Red beans are a food source of protein which is widely consumed throughout the world, including Indonesia. Red beans are also a source of other nutrients such as fat, dietary fiber, carbohydrates and several important minerals, one of which is iron which is quite high. Red beans have been widely used as an ingredient to improve product quality and nutritional content in product development (Audu and Aremu, 2011). Maltodextrin is an ingredient that is often added to various food products. Based on Jittanit (2010), the reason for adding maltodextrin is because it can function in maintaining the physical and sensory properties of the product, protecting food components that are sensitive to surrounding conditions, and can increase solubility and viscosity, especially in beverage products.

This study aims to analyze the acceptability and nutritional content of instant drinks from a combination of yellow sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas L.) and red beans (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) for chronic energy deficiency pregnant women (KEK).

**METHOD**

The design of this study was experimental study using a complete randomized design factorial with two factors, that is ratio between yellow sweet potato and kidney beans as first factor and addition of maltodextrin as second factor. Manufacture of instant drinks is carried out at Food Processing and Experiment Laboratory, IPB University. The drying process for instant drinks is carried out at IPB Seafast Center. Proximate analysis, protein digestibility, and β-carotene were carried out at Laboratory of Food Chemistry and Analysis, IPB University. The test of acceptance of beverage products was carried out at several posyandu in Bogor City and has received ethical approval from research ethics commission involving human subjects of the Bogor Agricultural University with number 128/IT3.KEPMSM-IPB/SK/2018. This research was conducted from October 2018 to June 2019.

Yellow yam and kidney beans are the main ingredients used in making instant powder drinks. The yellow yams used are jago varieties that have harvest age of about 4-4.5 months and the red beans used are local varieties or cultivars with harvest age of about 73 days after planting. Other ingredients used as supporting materials in the manufacture of instant powder drinks include soy protein isolate, red palm oil (RPO), egg white flour, sugar flour, honey, maltodextrin and water.

This research consists of two stages that is initial stage and advanced stage. The initial stage includes designing formula, making and drying the liquid. In the next stage, analysis was carried out through organoleptic tests to determine acceptability and analysis of nutritional content of the selected formula.

Formulation design is the first stage of research. Design of formula is adjusted by nutritional content that refers to the Technical Guidelines (Juknis) of Supplementary Foods for Pregnant Women (Ministry of Health, 2017) and SNI for special drinks for pregnant women (BSN RI, 2005). Six formula were made for beverage products with two treatment factors. Composition of ingredients and determination of treatment factors, that is the ratio of yellow sweet potatoes and red beans (factor A) and the addition of maltodextrin (factor B) were carried out by trial and error until six formulas were obtained that met nutritional content reference from Technical Guidelines and SNI for special additional drinks pregnant mother. Instant powder drink formulations are presented in Table 1.

The stages of making instant powder drink based on yellow sweet potato and red beans go through several main stages, that is preparing raw material, mixing, and drying. Preparation of raw materials, yellow sweet potato and red bean, was based on modifications made by Ruben, et al. (2016) and Ticoalu (2016). The final result of preparation stage is red bean and yellow sweet potato puree. At the mixing stage, puree of yellow
sweet potatoes and red beans is mixed using several other supporting ingredients, that is red palm oil, soy protein isolate, egg white flour, sugar flour, honey and water using blender. Drying stage uses drum dryer to get drink powder. The powder drink obtained is then packaged using aluminum foil 50 grams / serving.

The next stage was organoleptic hedonic test involving 30 semi-trained panelists, namely students of IPB Community Nutrition Department with the criteria of already received material about sensory evaluation, participated in the organoleptic test and had a high sensitivity level to assess several organoleptic attributes. Panelists were asked to rate the product with the scale of 1 (very dislike) to 7 (very like) with the assessment attributes including color, aroma, taste, viscosity, mouthfeel, and product aftertaste. From six instant drink formulas, the best one will be selected for further product acceptance testing involving 100 combined pregnant women from normal pregnant women and chronic energy deficiency. The percentage of product acceptance is calculated based on the ratio of pregnant women, which gives a scale of 5 (slightly likes) to 7 (very likes).

The formula for instant powder drink selected based on the highest level of preference through the organoleptic hedonic test was also analyzed for its nutritional content to determine the contribution of nutrients to the RDA for pregnant women and energy contribution of protein. This is necessary to be able to meet the energy-protein balance in additional drinks for pregnant women in chronic energy deficiency. In addition, the nutritional content obtained is also compared with the requirements for the nutritional content of SNI for special drinks for pregnant women, technical instructions for additional food or drinks for pregnant women in chronic energy deficiency by the Ministry of Health, and 30 types of milk products for pregnant women.

The nutritional analysis of selected products includes analysis of the water content by oven method (AOAC, 2005), the ash content by gravimetric method (AOAC, 2005), the protein content by kjeldahl method (AOAC, 2005), the fat content by Soxhlet method (AOAC, 2005), the crude fiber content (AOAC, 2005), total carbohydrate content by difference method (AOAC, 2005), total dietary fiber content by enzymatic method (AOAC, 2005), β-carotene content (AOAC, 2000), and analysis of protein digestibility by in vitro method (Saunders, et al., 1973). Data processing was performed using Microsoft Excel 2010 and analyzed using SPSS version 17. Analysis of diversity used T-Test and Two-Way ANOVA difference test with Duncan advanced test (p <0.05).

| Ingredients                      | Formula          |
|----------------------------------|------------------|
| Yellow sweet potato (g)          | F1 20 F2 30 F3 10 F4 20 F5 30 F6 10 |
| Red bean (g)                     | F1 20 F2 10 F3 30 F4 20 F5 10 F6 30 |
| Red Palm Oil (RPO) (g)           | F1 10 F2 10 F3 10 F4 10 F5 10 F6 10 |
| Soy Protein Isolate (IPK) (g)    | F1 15 F2 15 F3 15 F4 15 F5 15 F6 15 |
| White egg flour (g)              | F1 8 F2 8 F3 8 F4 8 F5 8 F6 8 |
| Honey (g)                        | F1 15 F2 15 F3 15 F4 15 F5 15 F6 15 |
| Sugar Powder (g)                 | F1 15 F2 15 F3 15 F4 15 F5 15 F6 15 |
| Maltodextrin (g)                 | F1 10 F2 10 F3 10 F4 13 F5 13 F6 13 |
| Water (ml)                       | F1 250 F2 250 F3 250 F4 250 F5 250 F6 250 |

Note:
F1: 1:1 ratio of yellow sweet potato and kidney beans without addition of maltodextrin;
F2: 3:1 ratio of yellow sweet potato and kidney beans without addition of maltodextrin;
F3: 1:3 ratio of yellow sweet potato and kidney beans without addition of maltodextrin;
F4: 1:1 ratio of yellow sweet potato and kidney beans with addition of 5% maltodextrin;
F5: 3:1 ratio of yellow sweet potato and kidney beans with addition of 5% maltodextrin;
F6: 1:3 ratio of yellow sweet potato and kidney beans with addition of 5% maltodextrin;
RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Hedonic Organoleptic Test

The results of ANOVA analysis on hedonic organoleptic test showed that the comparison of yellow sweet potatoes and red beans and the addition of maltodextrin was significantly different (p < 0.05) on panelist’s preference in terms of color, viscosity, taste, mouthfeel, and aftertaste. The results of Duncan test showed that the level of preference in attributes of color, viscosity, taste, mouthfeel, aftertaste and overall was higher in F5 formula. This shows that the more the proportion of yellow sweet potatoes compared to red beans (3: 1) accompanied by the addition of 5% maltodextrin, the higher panelists’ preference for the organoleptic attributes of the product.

In terms of color, the F5 formula has a bright yellow color. According to Yuliawaty and Susanto (2015), the addition of maltodextrin in beverage product with a slightly dark color will affect the degree of color brightness. Maltodextrin tends to give white color, so when it is mixed with yellow sweet potato and dark yellow kidney beans, it will give the product a bright color. The addition of yellow sweet potato causes the color of drink brighter because of beta-carotene content of yellow sweet potato. Therefore, the greater the proportion of yellow yam and the addition of maltodextrin in drink, the higher the color acceptance.

The larger proportion of yellow sweet potatoes in F5 formula also gives a strong intensity in terms of taste. This is in line with Nurhayati (2017) which states that drinks with a higher number of sweet potatoes have a distinctive sweet taste than sweet potatoes. Yellow yams have a high carbohydrate content which results in a sweet taste. The carbohydrates found in sweet potatoes will break down into simple molecules (simple sugars such as sucrose, maltose and glucose) due to the heating process so that the resulting taste will sweet (Saragih, 2017).

Panelists also preferred the viscosity level in formula F5 with a higher proportion of yellow sweet potato. This is thought to come from the amyllopectin content in yellow sweet potatoes which is higher than amylose. Starch with high amyllopectin content will increase viscosity of the drink, while high amylose content will cause the drink to become thinner (Mahmudatussadah, 2014).

The addition of maltodextrin also affects the mouthfeel of F5. According to Yousefi (2011), maltodextrin can affect the particle size of the resulting powder to become finer. The smaller particle size has an impact on the ability to rehydrate the powder, which is easier to dissolve, so it can give a soft taste and increase the solubility of drink. The maltodextrin solution has the characteristics of a soft flavor and smooth mouthfeel so it is suitable to be added in food products to increase the quality and level of preference.

Determination of the Selected Formula

The best formula is selected from the results of hedonic organoleptic test. The highest average score of panelist’s preference based on overall organoleptic parameters was formula F5 compared to other formulas. The average value of panelist’s preference in F5 formula is color (5.80 = like), aroma (5.50 = like), viscosity (5.30= rather like), taste (5.60 = like), mouthfeel (5.30 = rather like), aftertaste (5.10 = a little like), and overall (5.62

| Table 2. Instant Drink Organoleptic Hedonic Test Result |
|-------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| **Formula** | **Organoleptic Parameter** | **Color** | **Aroma** | **Viscosity** | **Taste** | **Mouthfeel** | **Aftertaste** | **Overall** |
| F1 | 4.45<sup>a</sup> | 5.48<sup>a</sup> | 4.10<sup>b</sup> | 5.15<sup>ab</sup> | 4.10<sup>a</sup> | 4.73<sup>ab</sup> | 4.75<sup>a</sup> |
| F2 | 5.13<sup>bc</sup> | 5.37<sup>a</sup> | 4.83<sup>c</sup> | 5.35<sup>c</sup> | 4.88<sup>ab</sup> | 5.10<sup>bc</sup> | 5.40<sup>b</sup> |
| F3 | 4.83<sup>ab</sup> | 5.28<sup>a</sup> | 4.00<sup>a</sup> | 5.08<sup>ab</sup> | 4.33<sup>c</sup> | 4.78<sup>ab</sup> | 4.85<sup>c</sup> |
| F4 | 5.40<sup>d</sup> | 5.22<sup>a</sup> | 4.52<sup>bc</sup> | 5.18<sup>ab</sup> | 4.57<sup>ab</sup> | 4.90<sup>ab</sup> | 5.07<sup>c</sup> |
| F5 | 5.80<sup>d</sup> | 5.50<sup>a</sup> | 5.33<sup>d</sup> | 5.60<sup>a</sup> | 5.30<sup>c</sup> | 5.24<sup>c</sup> | 5.62<sup>c</sup> |
| F6 | 5.43<sup>cd</sup> | 5.22<sup>a</sup> | 4.40<sup>abc</sup> | 4.75<sup>a</sup> | 4.40<sup>a</sup> | 4.63<sup>a</sup> | 4.85<sup>c</sup> |

Note: Different letters in the same column indicate significant differences (p<0.05).
Thus, formula F5 was determined as the chosen formula because it has high average organoleptic value compared to other formula. Formula F5 is a formula with a higher proportion of yellow sweet potatoes than red beans (3:1) accompanied by addition of 5% maltodextrin.

**Acceptance of the Chosen Formula**

Product acceptance test is carried out using the selected formula. The acceptance test of product involved 100 pregnant women, a combination of normal and chronic energy deficiency pregnant women as panelists. Panelist’s preferences for the overall product were obtained based on the number of assessment scores given by panelists with the following percentages: 20% of the color score, 20% of the aroma score, 30% of the taste score, 10% of the viscosity score, 10% of the mouthfeel score, and 10% of the aftertaste score. The percentage of product acceptance is calculated based on ratio of pregnant women who give a scale of (5) rather like, (6) like, and (7) very like.

The results of analysis show that percentage of panelist’s acceptance of instant powder drink products is 92% in taste attribute, 88% for aroma attribute, 88% for texture attribute, 89% for taste attribute, 90% for mouthfeel attribute, 90% for aftertaste attribute, and overall of 89.5%. These results indicate that beverage products given to 100 pregnant women can be well received because the percentage of overall acceptance that likes the product has been more than 50% (Setyaningsih, 2010).

**Nutritional Content of the Selected Formula**

Based on the analysis of nutrient content, the selected beverage formula has energy content of 423 kcal, water content of 3.75%, ash content of 1.52%, protein content of 14.28%, fat content of 9.92%, carbohydrates of 70.53%, total fiber of 7.27%, beta-carotene levels of 14.4 mg / 100 g, and protein digestibility of 74.22%. The nutrient content in selected formula has fulfilled the RDA contribution for snack food or drink, which ranges from 15-20%. The nutritional content in selected formula has also met the nutritional content requirements of additional foods or drinks for chronic energy deficiency pregnant women based on SNI for special drinks for pregnant women.
technical instructions for additional foods or drinks specifically for chronic energy deficiency pregnant women.

The ash content value of instant powder drink products was 1.52%. This shows that in every 100 g of product there are 1.52 g of mineral elements. The value of ash content in instant drink products is still classified as normal because it does not exceed 6% ash content based on SNI for special drinks for pregnant women (BSN RI, 2005). The value of ash content in foodstuffs is able to reflect the quality of foodstuffs related to certain metal contaminants. The higher the ash content, the higher the level of metal contamination which can affect the safety and quality of food (Andarwulan, et al., 2011).

Instant drink products have protein content of 14.28%. During pregnancy, the fulfillment of protein requirements is needed to support increased protein synthesis which functions to maintain maternal tissue and fetal growth, especially in the third trimester. Provision of additional food (PMT) is needed as an effort to increase protein intake in pregnant women, especially those experiencing chronic energy deficiency (Kristiyanasari 2010). Therefore, provision of additional food generally has a fairly high protein content. The protein content in the product has met the technical guidelines for providing additional food or drink for chronic energy deficiency pregnant women, which is at least 6 g (Kemenkes RI, 2017). The protein content in instant drink products is quite high when compared to similar products for chronic energy deficiency pregnant women, which is at least 6 g (Kemenkes RI, 2017). The protein content in instant drink products is quite high when compared to similar products for chronic energy deficiency pregnant women, which is at least 6 g (Kemenkes RI, 2017).

The analysis showed that protein content contributed 13.31% to the total energy of beverage products. According to WHO (2018), providing additional food or drink with balanced protein energy has protein less than 25% of total energy. For chronic energy deficiency pregnant women, protein has been shown to increase pregnancy weight and improve pregnancy outcome. A meta-analysis research conducted by Liberato, et al (2013) also states that balanced protein energy supplementation reaches 20% of energy, can increase fetal growth, birth weight (by 95-324 g) and height (by 4.6-6.1 mm), as well as reducing percentage of low birth weight (by 6%). Balanced protein energy supplementation during pregnancy that is less than 20% (12.3% protein) results in higher fetal weight compared to supplementation containing 22.4% protein. The percentage of energy from protein of 13.31% can provide benefits or have a positive impact on fetal development and growth.

Fat is one of the essential nutrients to be consumed during pregnancy because it can provide energy and help build many fetal organs and placenta (Okubo, et al., 2011). The fat content of instant drink products is 9.92%. These results do not meet the technical guidelines for providing additional food or drink for pregnant women with a minimum of 12 g (Indonesian Ministry of Health, 2017), but have met the requirements of SNI for pregnant women, which is a minimum of 3.50 g of fat content (BSN RI, 2005). Based on Utami, et al. (2017), nuts and milk drink products for pregnant women also have a relatively low fat content, namely 1-3.8% each. The low fat content is due to the less homogeneous oil in the drink so that the oil tends to be less stable (a lot is wasted) during the drying process.

Carbohydrate content of instant drink products is 70.53%. Carbohydrate content in the product is thought to come from the contribution of yellow sweet potatoes and red beans which are used as raw material. Carbohydrates are the dominant nutrient in yellow sweet potatoes where per 100 g contains 88.32 g carbohydrates (Endrias, et al., 2016). Meanwhile, red beans contain 56.70 g of carbohydrates per 100 g (Chaudary and Sharma, 2013). The addition of other ingredients such as maltodextrin, sugar and honey also contributes to carbohydrate content of the product. The need for energy during pregnancy is necessary for the growth of fetus in womb. Therefore, energy needed also increases to support fetal growth and development as well as maternal health (Syari, et al., 2015). Energy content of the yellow sweet potato and red bean powder drink products is 429 kcal. This value has met the requirements for energy content of beverage products or additional food for pregnant women in chronic energy deficiency, which is a minimum
of 270 kcal (Kemenkes RI, 2017). In addition, the energy content of this beverage product also meets the requirements for additional energy from additional food and drink including nutrient dense drinks, which is 400 kcal (Damajanti, 2015).

The results of the analysis showed total dietary fiber content in beverages were 7.27% or in 100 g of products contain 7.27 g of dietary fiber. Based on these results, yellow sweet potato and red bean powder drink products can be claimed as high food fiber products because it has total dietary fiber more than 6 g per 100 g, in accordance with the provisions of BPOM RI regarding claims and labels for processed food in Indonesia (BPOM RI, 2016). Yellow yams and red beans contribute to fiber content of products, which contain 3.59 grams of fiber in yellow sweet potatoes and red beans contain 4 grams of fiber (Endrias, et al. 2016; Chaudary and Sharma, 2013).

The result of β-carotene analysis of selected formula is 14400 mcg/100 g or equivalent to 1200 mcg RAE/100 g vitamin A. Based on BPOM RI, a food product can be claimed to be high or rich in vitamin A (β-carotene) if it meets at least 30% Nutrition Label Reference of vitamin A for pregnant women. To meet 30%, at least product contain 245 mcg RAE or equivalent to 2938 mcg/100 g β-carotene. This shows that the beverage product can fulfill the high β-carotene claim because it has met the requirement for 30% vitamin A based on Nutrition Label Reference. β-carotene is also known as provitamin A. β-carotene is converted into retinol (vitamin A) in the intestinal mucosa with the help of an enzyme derived from intestinal cell cytosol. In pregnancy, vitamin A is needed by second trimester pregnant women to maintain immunity, maintain healthy bones, teeth, skin and hair while for the fetus it is useful for nerves in the brain, forming cell membranes and vision (Preedy, 2012).

The protein digestibility of selected formula was 74.22%. According to Sediaoetama (1991), high protein digestibility in food products ranges from ≥80%. The low protein digestibility in drinks is caused by the type of protein used. Most of the types of protein used in the study were vegetable protein, except for egg white flour, which included animal protein. Vegetable protein that enters body is not completely digested. Vegetable protein is not digested completely because it is protected by protective cellulose and polysaccharides which cannot be digested by digestive enzymes, so that the digestibility of vegetable proteins is generally lower than animal sources (Diana, 2010).

Other factors that can affect protein digestibility include interaction of protein with polyphenols, phytates, carbohydrates, fats, and anti-nutritional substances (Duodu, et al., 2013). Heating process in beverage-making process is thought to affect the digestibility of the protein. Heating triggers protein denaturation. Excessive heating will cause the protein to lose its binding structure (protein folding) so that some molecules will separate with their insoluble sub-units. Furthermore, these molecules combine and form an aggregate. The results of protein aggregation limit access to peptide bonds for hydrolytic enzymes (proteases) so that protein digestibility decreases (Gulati, et al., 2017).

The selected formula contributed 17.05% of energy, 18.79% protein, 11.81% fat, 20.44% carbohydrates, and 20.77% total dietary fiber of nutritional adequacy rate for pregnant women. The nutritional value of selected drink products is able to meet the nutritional needs of pregnant women for a portion of a snack. The nutritional content of food at least meets 10-20% of daily nutritional needs for a snack (Almatsier, 2010). Based on this, instant drinks based on yellow sweet potatoes and red beans can be used as an alternative supplementary drink for pregnant women because they meet the nutritional needs of pregnant women in a day for drinks or snacks.

CONCLUSION

The chosen instant powder drink product was F5 with a ratio of 3: 1 yellow sweet potato and kidney beans along with 5% addition of maltodextrin. Based on overall organoleptic characteristics, 89.5% of pregnant women accepted the selected instant drink products. Selected instant beverage products have an energy content of 423 kcal, 3.75% water content, 1.52% ash content, 14.28% protein content, 9.92% fat content, 70.53% carbohydrate content, 7 g total food fiber, 27%, beta-carotene 14.4 mg/100 g, and protein digestibility of 74.22%. The nutritional content
of selected instant drink products has met the nutritional content requirements of additional food for chronic energy deficiency pregnant women, SNI for pregnant women, and the requirement for pregnant women to drink or snack.

For future research, beverage products can be added flavor variants to increase product acceptance by pregnant women. The addition of encapsulated MMN (Multi-Micro Nutrient) also needs to be added in the future to increase and prevent loss of mineral content of beverage products. In addition, it is necessary to do other processing methods to reduce the water content of these powdered beverage products such as using spray dryer method which is quite effective in reducing water content.
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