Quantitative Proteomic Analysis of Porcine Intestinal Epithelial Cells Infected with Porcine Deltacoronavirus Using iTRAQ-Coupled LC-MS/MS
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ABSTRACT: Porcine deltacoronavirus (PDCoV) is an emergent enteropathogenic coronavirus associated with swine diarrhea. Porcine small intestinal epithelial cells (IPEC) are the primary target cells of PDCoV infection in vivo. Here, isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantification (iTRAQ) labeling coupled to liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) was used to quantitatively identify differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) in PDCoV-infected IPEC-J2 cells. A total of 78 DEPs, including 23 upregulated and 55 downregulated proteins, were identified at 24 h postinfection. The data are available via ProteomeXchange with identifier PXD019975. To ensure reliability of the proteomics data, two randomly selected DEPs, the downregulated anaphase-promoting complex subunit 7 (ANAPC7) and upregulated interferon-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 1 (IFIT1), were verified by real-time PCR and Western blot, and the results of which indicate that the proteomics data were reliable and valid. Bioinformatics analyses, including GO, COG, KEGG, and STRING, further demonstrated that a majority of the DEPs are involved in numerous crucial biological processes and signaling pathways, such as immune system, digestive system, signal transduction, RIG-I-like receptor, mTOR, PI3K-AKT, autophagy, and cell cycle signaling pathways. Altogether, this is the first study on proteomes of PDCoV-infected host cells, which shall provide valuable clues for further investigation of PDCoV pathogenesis.

KEYWORDS: porcine deltacoronavirus (PDCoV), IPEC-J2 cells, isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantification (iTRAQ), liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS), proteome

1. INTRODUCTION

Porcine deltacoronavirus (PDCoV) is enveloped and has a single-stranded, positive-sense RNA genome, which is classified in the genus Deltacoronavirus within the Coronaviridae family. Although PDCoV was initially identified in rectal swabs of pigs during a molecular epidemiological investigation conducted in Hong Kong, China in 2012, diarrhea diseases in pigs associated with PDCoV infection were first recorded in the U.S. in 2014. Since then, the virus has been detected in many other countries, including Canada, mainland China, South Korea, Thailand, Laos, Vietnam, Japan, Mexico, and so on. Clinically, PDCoV-infected pigs often present with diarrhea and/or vomiting, dehydration, and death of neonatal piglets. The outbreak of PDCoV infection in numerous countries has resulted in considerable economic losses to the global swine industry.

PDCoV has an obvious enteropathogenic characteristic in pigs. The small intestine of pigs, in particular the jejunum and ileum, are the primary target organs of PDCoV, and porcine small intestinal epithelial cells (IPEC) are the main sites of PDCoV replication in vivo. Histopathologic analyses showed that PDCoV infection not only causes villus atrophy and fall-off but also leads to necrosis of small intestinal enterocytes in infected pigs. Currently, an immortalized, non-tumorigenic IPEC-J2 cell line, originally established using the jejunum of a newborn unsuckled piglet, has been shown to exhibit high similarities to porcine intestinal primary epithelial cells, and thus can better simulate the porcine physiological state than any other cell lines. At present, IPEC-J2 cells have been successfully utilized as an ideal in vitro model system for investigating the interactions between epithelial cells and porcine enteric viruses, such as porcine rotavirus, porcine endemic diarrhea virus (PEDV), and transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV). Recently, Jung and colleagues demonstrated that IPEC-J2 cells are quite susceptible to PDCoV infection in vitro.
As a newly emerged swine enteropathogenic coronavirus, the pathogenic mechanisms of PDCoV are still poorly documented and warrant further exploration. It is well-known that when a virus invades a host cell, complex interactions between the host cell and the virus will occur. On the one hand, the invading virus subverts some of the cellular biological functions in favor of the replication of the virus itself; on the other, the cells adopt various defense strategies to fight against the invading virus. The whole process of virus-cell interactions is usually accompanied by changes of genomics, transcriptomics, and proteomics. Recently, a systematic transcriptome analysis of PDCoV-infected cells was conducted using high-throughput RNA sequencing, and 3762 differentially expressed genes were identified, most of which participate in the innate immunity and the corresponding signal transduction pathways. As of yet, however, no proteomic data are currently available for PDCoV-infected cells.

Proteomics is an effective tool for the comprehensive analysis of host cellular responses to viral infections, which is conducive to elucidating the underlying pathogenesis of the virus. The currently available proteomics techniques include two-dimensional gel electrophoresis, two-dimensional difference gel electrophoresis, stable isotope labeling by amino acids in cell culture, isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantitation (iTRAQ), and label-free proteomic techniques. Among all these mentioned techniques, iTRAQ coupled with liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis has shown several comparative advantages over its counterparts, for instance, high sensitivity, high throughput, high separating capacity, and high accuracy, and thus emerged as a robust quantitative proteomics technique for the comprehensive analysis of differentially expressed proteins (DEPs). To date, this technique has been successfully applied to numerous studies involved in virus-host interactions, examples of which include TGEV, PEDV, foot-and-mouth disease virus (FMDV), porcine circovirus type 2 (PCV2), and classical swine fever virus (CSFV). These studies have given a good overview of the dynamic interactions between the virus and its host, and provide important clues for a better understanding of the viral pathogenesis. For PDCoV, there has been no proteomic study on the virus so far. In the present study, we coupled iTRAQ with LC-MS/MS to quantitatively analyze the DEPs of IPEC-J2 cells in response to PDCoV infection. The identified DEPs were subsequently analyzed by comprehensive bioinformatics analyses.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Virus, Cells, and Antibodies

The PDCoV CHN-HN-1601 strain (GenBank access no. MG832584) used in this study was isolated from the intestinal contents of a piglet with diarrhea using porcine kidney LLC-PK1 cells in 2017. The eighth passage of PDCoV with a titer of $10^{6.45}$ 50% tissue culture infectious dose (TCID$_{50}$/mL) was used for virus inoculation. IPEC-J2 cells were generously provided by Prof. Yongchang Cao (School of Life Sciences, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China). The cells were cultivated in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium/Ham’s F-12 (DMEM/F12) (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA), 5 μg/mL insulin, 5 μg/mL transferrin, 5 ng/mL selenium (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), 100 U/mL penicillin and 0.1 mg/mL streptomycin (Solarbio Life Sciences, Beijing, China). Cells were cultured at 37 °C in a humidified CO$_2$ incubator (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The monoclonal antibody (mAb), IA3, raised against the PDCoV nucleocapsid protein was prepared in our laboratory. Mouse anti-$\beta$-actin mAb, mouse anti-IFIT1 and rabbit anti-ANAPC7 polyclonal antibodies were bought from Abcam (Cambridge, MA, USA). Horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat antimouse/rabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG was the product of Thermo Fisher Scientific.

2.2. Virus Inoculation

IPEC-J2 cells approaching ~80% confluence were washed twice with sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS; 0.01 M, pH 7.4), and then mock infected or infected with PDCoV CHN-HN-1601 at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.1 TCID$_{50}$/per cell. After adsorption for 1.5 h at 37 °C, the cells were rinsed once with sterile PBS and serum-free DMEM/F12 medium containing 5 μg/mL of trypsin (Sigma-Aldrich) was added. The cells were further cultured at 37 °C for the specified time points until different assays had been performed. Viral propagation in IPEC-J2 cells was evaluated by observing cytopathic effect (CPE), determination of one-step growth curve and immunofluorescence assay (IFA) using the mAb IA3 against PDCoV.

2.3. TCID$_{50}$ Assay

The kinetics of PDCoV multiplication in IPEC-J2 cells was determined by measuring the TCID$_{50}$ using a microtitration infectivity assay. Briefly, 100 μL/well of 10-fold serial dilutions of PDCoV were inoculated onto IPEC-J2 cells grown in 96-well microplates (Corning, NY, USA). After adsorption at 37 °C for 1.5 h, the inocula were removed, and serum-free DMEM/F12 medium containing 5 μg/mL of trypsin was added to the wells. After an additional 48-h cultivation, virus titers were measured by observing the presence of visible CPEs in the corresponding wells, and calculated using the Reed–Muench method.

2.4. IFA

When IPEC-J2 cells grown in 96-well microplates reached ~80% confluence, they were mock infected or infected with PDCoV at an MOI of 0.1 TCID$_{50}$/per cell. After a 48-h cultivation at 37 °C with 5% CO$_2$, the cells were fixed with prechilled 100% ethanol for 15 min at room temperature. After washing with PBS, the cells were probed with the mAb IA3 (1:5000 dilution) at 37 °C for 1 h. Following another washing step, 1000-fold-diluted Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat antimouse IgG (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was added to the cells and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. After washing thrice with PBS, cells were observed with an Eclipse Ci-S microscope (Nikon Corp., Tokyo, Japan).

2.5. Protein Extraction, Digestion, and iTRAQ Labeling

At 24 h postinfection (hpi), both PDCoV- and mock-infected IPEC-J2 cells grown in T25 flasks (~5 × 10$^6$ cells/flask) were rinsed twice with prechilled PBS, and then harvested with disposable cell scrapers. Three flasks of each group (PDCoV or mock) were harvested and used as three independent biological replicates. After centrifugation at 300g for 10 min, the cell pellets from each flask were lysed with 800 μL of RIPA lysis buffer (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) containing 1% SDS, 8 M urea and protease inhibitors (Beyotime). The cell lysates
were further sonicated on ice for 5 min with 10 s bursts and 10 s pauses between cycles. After centrifugation for 20 min at 12 000g and 4 °C, the supernatant was collected and used as the total cellular proteins. The concentration of protein samples was determined using a Pierce BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA).

The total cellular proteins from each biological replicate were equally divided into three aliquots, which were used as three independent technical replicates for the LC-MS/MS runs. For tryptic digestion and iTRAQ labeling, an aliquot of each protein sample containing ~100 μg of total cellular proteins was adjusted to a 100-μL final volume using the RIPA lysis buffer. A final concentration of 10 mM of tris (2-carboxyethyl) phosphine (TCEP) was added to each protein sample, which was then incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. Afterward, iodoacetamide was added at a final concentration of 40 mM, and the protein solution was incubated for 40 min at room temperature shielded from light. Subsequently, prechilled acetonitrile was added to the protein solution in a ratio of 6:1 and precipitated at −20 °C for 4 h. After centrifugation (10 000g, 4 °C) for 20 min, the precipitate was dissolved with 100 μL of 100 mM triethylammonium bicarbonate (TEAB). The processed protein samples were digested with 2 μg/μL of trypsin overnight at 37 °C. Following tryptic digestion, the generated peptides were dried by vacuum centrifugation, and redissolved with 20 μL of 0.5 M TEAB. The prepared peptides were then labeled with an iTRAQ reagents-8 plex kit (AB Sciex, Foster City, CA, USA) as per the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, the three independent biological replicates of mock-infected cellular samples were each labeled with iTRAQ-113, iTRAQ-114, and iTRAQ-115; the three independent biological replicates of PDCoV-infected samples were each labeled with iTRAQ-116, iTRAQ-119, and iTRAQ-121. All the labeled samples of each group were mixed with an equal amount, and then fractionated using an ACQUITY ultra performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) system (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA) combined with an ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 column (300 Å, 1.7 μm, 2.1 mm × 150 mm). Finally, a total of 10 fractions of each group were collected. After merging two fractions of each group into one, the pooled 10 fractions were dried by using a rotary vacuum concentrator.

2.6. LC-MS/MS Analysis

LC-MS/MS analyses of the labeled peptides were carried out using a Q-Exactive mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA) combined with an EASY-nLC 1200 system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). After loading 2 μg of the labeled peptides onto a C18 reversed phase HPLC column (Thermo Fisher Scientific), peptides were chromatographed for 120 min at a flow rate of 300 nL/min over gradients from 2–80% (mobile phase A comprising 0.1% (v/v) formic acid and 2% (v/v) acetonitrile; mobile phase B comprising 0.1% (v/v) formic acid and 80% (v/v) acetonitrile). The following ion source parameters, including spray voltage 1.8 kV, capillary temperature 275 °C and declustering potential 100 V, were set. The mass spectrometer was run using a data-dependent Top-20 acquisition mode, switching automatically between MS and MS/MS. A full MS scan ranging from 350 to 1300 m/z was conducted at 70 000 resolution with an automatic gain control (AGC) target value of 3 × 10^6 ions and a maximum ion transfer (IT) of 20 ms. The precursor ions were fragmented by means of high-energy collisional dissociation (HCD), and all MS/MS spectra were scanned using the following parameters: resolution 17 500; AGC 1 × 10^5 ions; maximum IT 50 ms; dynamic exclusion duration 18 s; normalized collision energy 30%; and intensity threshold 1.6 × 10^5.

2.7. MS Data Analysis

The original MS/MS raw data were analyzed using the Proteome Discoverer Software 2.1 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA). The data were searched against the database of UniProt Sus scrofa (February 26, 2017, containing 26 103 sequences, http://www.uniprot.org/proteomes/UP000008227, version: Uniprot-proteome-UP000008227-Sus scrofa (Pig)-26103s-20170226.fasta) and porcine deltacoronavirus Uniprot database (February 26, 2017, containing 442 sequences, http://www.uniprot.org/porcinedeltacoronavirus, version: Uniprot-Porcine deltacoronavirus [1586324]-442s-20170226.fasta). The parameters for database searching were set as follows: instrument, TripleTOF 5600; cysteine alkylation, iodoacetamide; digestion, trypsin; dynamic modification, oxidation (M), acetylation (protein N-terminus), and iTRAQ8plex (Y); static modification, iTRAQ8plex (K), iTRAQ8plex (N-terminus), and carbamidomethyl (C); maximum missed cleavages, 2; precursor mass tolerance, 10 ppm; fragment mass tolerance, 0.05 Da; validation based on q-value. To guarantee the accuracy of the MS data analysis, the cutoff value for the peptide and protein confidences was set to >95% and >1.20, respectively, coupled with a false discovery rate (FDR) of ≤1% for peptide and protein identifications. The t test function in the R language software was used to calculate the p-value of the expression difference of cellular proteins between mock- and PDCoV-infected IPEC-J2 cells, and only proteins with a fold change >1.20 or <0.83 and a p-value < 0.05, which have being widely used as the criteria for judging DEPs,24,31 were considered differentially expressed.

2.8. Bioinformatics Analysis

The data for the identified DEPs of PDCoV-infected IPEC-J2 cells were submitted to Gene Ontology (GO) Terms (http://geneontology.org/) for GO analysis, by which the DEPs were assigned into three branches of ontology—biological process (BP), cellular component (CC), and molecular function (MF). GO enrichment analysis for the DEPs was done using the Goatools software (https://github.com/tanghaibao/Goatools) with Fisher’s exact test, and those with a p-value < 0.05 were thought to be significantly enriched. The protein database of clusters of Orthologous Groups (COG; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/COG/) was also used to assign possible functions to the identified DEPs. The Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG; http://www.genome.jp/kegg/) pathway analyses were performed to reveal the potential functions of the DEPs, which were annotated using the BlastP program of the Diamond software against the KEGG database with a cutoff E-value ≤ 1 × 10^-5 and identity ≥0.98. The pathway enrichment statistics were performed using the KOBAS software (http://kobas.cbi.pku.edu.cn/home.do/) with the Fisher’s exact test, and those with p-values < 0.05 were regarded as statistically significant.31,32 Furthermore, the protein–protein interaction networks were created using the online STRING database (http://string-db.org/) and visualized by Cytoscape (https://cytoscape.org/), which was widely used for analyzing the relationships between DEPs.32

2.9. Quantitative Real-Time PCR (qPCR)

To verify the DEPs identified by iTRAQ at the transcriptional level, the mRNA of two representative DEPs, the down-
regulated ANAPC7 and the upregulated IFIT1, were detected by qPCR. Total cellular RNA of both PDCoV- and mock-infected IPEC-J2 cells was extracted using the TaKaRa MiniBEST universal RNA extraction kit (Dalian, China). The first strand cDNA was synthesized using 2 μg of cellular RNA and with a RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Scientific) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The qPCR assays were conducted using a TaKaRa SYBR Premix Ex Taq kit on an ABI 7500 real-time PCR machine along with β-actin as a housekeeping gene. The primers used for the qPCR assay were designed based on the NCBI reference sequences for ANAPC7, IFIT1 and β-actin genes under GenBank accession numbers NM_016238, HQ679904 and NM_001101, respectively. The information for the designed primers was as following: ANAPC7 (Forward: 5′-CTTTGCTGAGGAACGCACTG-3′, Reverse: 5′-TCCATGTGTCACACATCTCTC-3′); IFIT1 (Forward: 5′-GAAGATTACGTAACAGTAATACAGC-3′); β-actin (Forward: 5′-TCCCTGGAGAAGAGCTACGA-3′, Reverse: 5′-AGCACGTGTGTTGGCGTACAG-3′). The qPCR assays were carried out in a 20-μL reaction volume comprised 10 μL of TaKaRa SYBR Premix Ex Taq, 0.4 μL of each forward and reverse primer (10 μM), 7.2 μL of nuclease-free water, and 2 μL of template. The qPCR amplifications were carried out using a thermal profile with an initial step at 95 °C for 2 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 10 s, 60 °C for 10 s (for ANAPC7 and β-actin) or 55 °C for 10 s (for IFIT1) and 72 °C for 40 s. Fold-change values were calculated in term of the 2^−ΔΔCt method and normalized to the β-actin reference gene.33

2.10. Western Blot Analysis

To further validate the identified DEPs, Western blot was performed to detect ANAPC7 and IFIT1 protein expression levels using β-actin as an internal control. Briefly, total cellular proteins were extracted from both mock- and PDCoV-infected IPEC-J2 cells at 24 hpi. The resulting protein samples were separated on 12% SDS-PAGE gels and transferred onto 0.22 μm poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) membranes (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA) using a semidry electrophoretic transfer cell (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The membranes were blocked with 5% (w/v) nonfat dry milk overnight at 4 °C and then probed with either anti-ANAPC7 (1:1000), anti-IFIT1 (1:1000), or anti-β-actin (1:5000) primary antibodies at 37 °C for 1 h. After thorough washing with PBST, the membranes were incubated with the corresponding HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:8000) for 1 h at 37 °C. The target protein blots on the membranes were developed with an enhanced chemiluminescence detection kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and images were taken using a ProteinSimple FluorChem E image system (Santa Clara, CA, USA).

2.11. Data Availability

The proteomics data obtained by LC-MS/MS in this study were deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium (http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org) by means of the Proteomics Identifications (PRIDE) partner repository with the data set identifier PXD019975.
Table 1. Differentially Expressed Proteins Identified by iTRAQ Analysis of IPEC-J2 Cells in Response to PDCoV Infection

| Protein Name                                      | Uniprot Accession No. | log2 Ratios (Infection/Control) | Peptides | Sequence Coverage (%) | p-Values         | Functions                                                                 |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Upregulated proteins in PDCoV-infected cells**  |                       |                                 |          |                       |                 |                                                                            |
| ISG15 ubiquitin-like modifier                    | I3LU39                | 1.24                            | 18       | 30.1                  | 3.62 x 10^{-06} | RIG-1/MDA5 mediated induction of IFN-alpha/beta pathways                  |
| Interferon-stimulated protein 60                 | F1SCY2                | 1.09                            | 5        | 14.6                  | 3.51 x 10^{-05} | Defense response to virus                                                  |
| 2',5'-oligoadenylates synthetase 1, OAS1         | F1RJN6                | 0.81                            | 2        | 3.1                   | 0.0001          | Antiviral protein                                                         |
| Radical S-adenosyl methionine domain-containing protein 2 | F1S9L2               | 0.70                            | 6        | 13.8                  | 1.60 x 10^{-05} | Defense response to virus                                                  |
| Interferon induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 1, IFIT1 | K7GN56                | 0.70                            | 5        | 9.6                   | 0.0002          | Regulation of defense response to virus                                   |
| Family with sequence similarity 8 member A1      | F1RUH9                | 0.69                            | 1        | 2.2                   | 0.0103          |                                                                             |
| uncharacterized protein                          | I3LH89                | 0.658                           | 1        | 4.7                   | 0.0074          |                                                                             |
| Interferon-induced GTP-binding protein Mxi1      | K7GKN2                | 0.58                            | 2        | 2.0                   | 0.0013          |                                                                             |
| Nucleoporin 37 (NUP37)                           | F1SRJ4                | 0.57                            | 1        | 5.2                   | 0.0016          |                                                                             |
| Nuclear transcription factor Y submit beta (NFB) | F1SG36                | 0.55                            | 2        | 6.3                   | 0.0013          |                                                                             |
| DNA excision repair protein ERCC-6-like isoform a (ERCC6L) | I3LY4                | 0.45                            | 1        | 0.8                   | 0.0496          |                                                                             |
| Collagen type IV alpha 1 chain                   | F1RLM1                | 0.45                            | 1        | 0.6                   | 0.0153          |                                                                             |
| Ribonuclease T2                                  | F1SRX8                | 0.35                            | 2        | 4.4                   | 0.0004          |                                                                             |
| MRPL32                                           | I3LTC4                | 0.34                            | 1        | 11.7                  | 0.0472          |                                                                             |
| Phenostatin                                      | Q767M0                | 0.32                            | 3        | 6.8                   | 0.0047          |                                                                             |
| Bridging integrator 1                            | F1RXZ6                | 0.32                            | 7        | 22.7                  | 0.0012          |                                                                             |
| Terpene cyclase/mutase family member             | I3L7C2                | 0.29                            | 10       | 16.7                  | 0.0012          |                                                                             |
| Secretagogin (SCGN)                              | Q6EA97                | 0.28                            | 2        | 9.4                   | 0.0214          |                                                                             |
| Interleukin 13 receptor subunit alpha 2          | K7GSC6                | 0.28                            | 2        | 14.6                  | 0.0370          |                                                                             |
| Very low density lipoprotein receptor (VLDLR)    | E7CXS1                | −0.27                           | 21       | 22.8                  | 0.0001          |                                                                             |
| uncharacterized                                  | I3LFL8                | −0.27                           | 3        | 5.6                   | 0.0218          |                                                                             |
| Myeloid leukemia factor 2                        | F1SLT1                | −0.28                           | 6        | 15.8                  | 0.0488          |                                                                             |
| Helicase-like transcription factor (HLTF)        | I3LM88                | −0.28                           | 1        | 1.9                   | 0.0254          |                                                                             |
| Ras association domain family member 6           | F1RLUL8               | −0.29                           | 2        | 5.5                   | 0.0011          |                                                                             |
| Nucleoside diphosphate kinase 7 (NME7)           | F1RPV8                | −0.29                           | 3        | 8.0                   | 0.0279          |                                                                             |
| Bromodomain adjacent to zinc finger domain 2A (RAZZA) | F1SIA2               | −0.29                           | 1        | 1.2                   | 0.0189          |                                                                             |
| BMP2 inducible kinase                            | I3LT15                | −0.29                           | 1        | 2.8                   | 0.0319          |                                                                             |
| RNA helicase (DDX55)                             | F1RLF5                | −0.29                           | 1        | 1.5                   | 0.0274          |                                                                             |
| TMEM55B                                          | F1SSH7                | −0.29                           | 1        | 3.9                   | 0.0045          |                                                                             |
| Chromatin assembly factor 1 subunit A (CHAF1A)   | F1S7L7                | −0.29                           | 4        | 5.3                   | 0.0252          |                                                                             |
| RNA polymerase II subunit A C-terminal domain phosphatase (CTDP1) | F1RWS7               | −0.29                           | 2        | 5.5                   | 0.0123          |                                                                             |
| uncharacterized                                  | I3LIB8                | −0.30                           | 2        | 14.7                  | 0.0373          |                                                                             |
| Intralellar transport 172                       | I3LPC6                | −0.30                           | 1        | 0.69                  | 0.0383          |                                                                             |
| Myocyte enhancer factor 2D (MEF2D)               | F1RP31                | −0.30                           | 1        | 1.9                   | 0.0418          |                                                                             |
| Poly(ADP-ribose) glycohydrolase                 | F1SDW4                | −0.31                           | 1        | 1.3                   | 0.0355          |                                                                             |
| Mitogen-activated protein kinase 4               | F1STG5                | −0.31                           | 4        | 4.9                   | 0.0233          |                                                                             |

**Downregulated proteins in PDCoV-infected cells**

| Protein Name                                      | Uniprot Accession No. | log2 Ratios (Infection/Control) | Peptides | Sequence Coverage (%) | p-Values         | Functions                                                                 |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Very low density lipoprotein receptor (VLDLR)    | E7CXS1                | −0.27                           | 21       | 22.8                  | 0.0001          |                                                                             |
| uncharacterized                                  | I3LFL8                | −0.27                           | 3        | 5.6                   | 0.0218          |                                                                             |
| Myeloid leukemia factor 2                        | F1SLT1                | −0.28                           | 6        | 15.8                  | 0.0488          |                                                                             |
| Helicase-like transcription factor (HLTF)        | I3LM88                | −0.28                           | 1        | 1.9                   | 0.0254          |                                                                             |
| Ras association domain family member 6           | F1RLUL8               | −0.29                           | 2        | 5.5                   | 0.0011          |                                                                             |
| Nucleoside diphosphate kinase 7 (NME7)           | F1RPV8                | −0.29                           | 3        | 8.0                   | 0.0279          |                                                                             |
| Bromodomain adjacent to zinc finger domain 2A (RAZZA) | F1SIA2               | −0.29                           | 1        | 1.2                   | 0.0189          |                                                                             |
| BMP2 inducible kinase                            | I3LT15                | −0.29                           | 1        | 2.8                   | 0.0319          |                                                                             |
| RNA helicase (DDX55)                             | F1RLF5                | −0.29                           | 1        | 1.5                   | 0.0274          |                                                                             |
| TMEM55B                                          | F1SSH7                | −0.29                           | 1        | 3.9                   | 0.0045          |                                                                             |
| Chromatin assembly factor 1 subunit A (CHAF1A)   | F1S7L7                | −0.29                           | 4        | 5.3                   | 0.0252          |                                                                             |
| RNA polymerase II subunit A C-terminal domain phosphatase (CTDP1) | F1RWS7               | −0.29                           | 2        | 5.5                   | 0.0123          |                                                                             |
| uncharacterized                                  | I3LIB8                | −0.30                           | 2        | 14.7                  | 0.0373          |                                                                             |
| Intralellar transport 172                       | I3LPC6                | −0.30                           | 1        | 0.69                  | 0.0383          |                                                                             |
| Myocyte enhancer factor 2D (MEF2D)               | F1RP31                | −0.30                           | 1        | 1.9                   | 0.0418          |                                                                             |
| Poly(ADP-ribose) glycohydrolase                 | F1SDW4                | −0.31                           | 1        | 1.3                   | 0.0355          |                                                                             |
| Mitogen-activated protein kinase 4               | F1STG5                | −0.31                           | 4        | 4.9                   | 0.0233          |                                                                             |
2.12. Statistical Analysis

The methods used for the statistical analysis of proteomic data and the corresponding threshold criteria were described in detail in the corresponding sections of MS data and bioinformatics analyses. The data from three independent qPCR runs were expressed as means ± standard deviation (SD), and were statistically analyzed by Student’s t test using the GraphPad Prism software (Version 5.0; La Jolla, CA.

| protein name                                      | Uniprot accession no. | log2 ratios (infection/control) | peptides | sequence coverage (%) | p-values | functions |
|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Anaphase promoting complex subunit 7 (ANAPC7)     | I3L7Q8                | −0.31                           | 4        | 7.9                    | 0.0218   | Ubiquitin protein ligase activity |
| Transmembrane protein 45A                         | F1SKZ6                | −0.31                           | 1        | 3.6                    | 0.0191   | Modulates cell chemoosensitivity |
| Katanin p60 ATPase-containing subunit A1           | I3LVF8                | −0.32                           | 2        | 32.1                   | 0.0181   | ATPase activity                 |
| Ubiquitin associated protein 2 (UBAP2)             | F1SEA5                | −0.32                           | 1        | 1.7                    | 0.0237   | Cadherin binding                |
| Ribosomal RNA adenine dimethylase domain containing 1 | F1RHJ0              | −0.32                           | 1        | 2.3                    | 0.0391   | rRNA (adenine-N6,N6) - dimethyltransferase activity |
| Lemur tyrosine kinase 2                           | F1RFL2                | −0.32                           | 1        | 1.0                    | 0.0104   | Protein serine/threonine kinase activity |
| Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase family member 10      | F1RSK9                | −0.32                           | 1        | 1.8                    | 0.0182   | K63-linked polyubiquitin modification-dependent protein binding |
| Cdk9                                              | C9E1C9                | −0.32                           | 4        | 11.0                   | 0.0183   | Cyclin-dependent protein serine/threonine kinase activity |
| Cystatin C                                        | Q0Z8R0                | −0.33                           | 2        | 17.1                   | 0.0282   | Cysteine-type endopeptidase inhibitor activity |
| Cyclin and CBS domain divalent metal transport mediator 2 | F1S849              | −0.33                           | 1        | 1.1                    | 0.0173   | adenyl nucleotide binding       |
| Protein-serine/threonine kinase                   | F1S0E9                | −0.34                           | 4        | 8.2                    | 0.0247   | Protein serine/threonine kinase activity |
| Amyo domain-containing protein                     | F1SSK2                | −0.34                           | 1        | 2.5                    | 0.0390   | Catalytic activity; amino acid transport |
| GATOR complex protein WDR24                        | I3LF05                | −0.34                           | 1        | 1.4                    | 0.0688   | Regulation of autophagy; positive regulation of TOR signaling |
| CD109 antigen isoform 1 preproprotein             | K7GKY0                | −0.35                           | 18       | 12.9                   | 0.0105   | Endopeptidase inhibitor activity |
| Nonspecific serine/threonine protein kinase (AKT2) | G9BWQ2                | −0.35                           | 7        | 12.0                   | 0.0310   | Transferase activity            |
| DNA polymerase delta interacting protein 3 (POLDIP3) | F1SJQ2              | −0.35                           | 3        | 3.8                    | 0.0287   | RNA binding                     |
| Exostosin-like glycosyltransferase 2 4F5 domain-containing protein | F1S6E8              | −0.35                           | 1        | 3.3                    | 0.0215   | Transferase activity             |
| Integrator complex subunit 4 (INTS4)              | F1STY6                | −0.37                           | 3        | 2.4                    | 0.0429   | Involved in the small nuclear RNAs (snRNA) U1 and U2 transcription |
| Smoothelin-like 2                                  | F1RGN8                | −0.37                           | 1        | 2.7                    | 0.0119   | Actin cytoskeleton organization |
| Elongation of very long chain fatty acids protein  | I3L7S8                | −0.39                           | 1        | 21.6                   | 0.0444   | Catalytic activity              |
| uncharacterized                                    | I3LB08                | −0.40                           | 1        | 7.4                    | 0.0085   | unknown                         |
| Secretary carrier-associated membrane protein     | F1SJ46                | −0.41                           | 7        | 13.1                   | 0.0144   | Protein transport               |
| Cadherin 6                                         | F1SP42                | −0.42                           | 3        | 3.7                    | 0.0122   | Calcium ion binding             |
| Superoxide dismutase                              | I3LUD1                | −0.43                           | 2        | 4.9                    | 0.0139   | Superoxide dismutase activity   |
| Probable ribosome biogenesis protein C16orf42 homologue (SMARCA2) | F1RFZ6              | −0.44                           | 1        | 4.4                    | 0.0470   | Involved in ribosome biogenesis  |
| Protein zyg-11 homologue B                         | F1S611                | −0.45                           | 1        | 3.4                    | 0.0030   | Positive regulation of proteasomal ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolic process |
| BCL2 interacting protein 3                         | I3LDJ9                | −0.45                           | 2        | 6.6                    | 0.0009   | Protein homodimerization activity |
| Alpha-(1,6)-fucosyltransferase (FUT8)              | F1SA4                 | −0.47                           | 1        | 3.3                    | 0.0492   | Alpha-(1→6)-fucosyltransferase activity |
| Signal peptide peptidase-like 2B (INTS3 and NABP interacting protein (INTS4)) | F1SG80                | −0.52                           | 2        | 1.9                    | 0.0190   | Protein homodimerization activity |
| Trypsin domain containing 1                        | F1UE6                 | −0.55                           | 1        | 1.8                    | 0.0215   | Serine-type endopeptidase activity |
| UDP-glucose glycoprotein glucosyltransferase 2     | F1RPS0                | −0.62                           | 2        | 2.3                    | 0.0320   | Glycoprotein glucosyltransferase activity |
| Phosphatidylglucosylphosphatase synthase 1         | I3LN95                | −0.62                           | 1        | 2.7                    | 0.0440   | Calcium ion binding             |
| Metallothionein-2A                                 | P79379                | −0.63                           | 9        | 32.8                   | 0.0017   | Metal ion binding               |
| uncharacterized                                    | I3LNY1                | −0.66                           | 1        | 6.5                    | 0.0246   | unknown                         |
| Formin-like 1                                      | I3LJH0                | −0.83                           | 1        | 1.8                    | 0.0191   | Rho GTPase binding              |
| uncharacterized                                    | K7GL96                | −1.13                           | 1        | 10.9                   | 0.0412   | unknown                         |

2.12. Statistical Analysis

The methods used for the statistical analysis of proteomic data and the corresponding threshold criteria were described in detail in the corresponding sections of MS data and bioinformatics analyses. The data from three independent qPCR runs were expressed as means ± standard deviation (SD), and were statistically analyzed by Student’s t test using the GraphPad Prism software (Version 5.0; La Jolla, CA.
3. RESULTS

3.1. Determination of the Optimal Inoculation Dose for PDCoV

To obtain the optimal inoculation dose that could produce DEPs to the greatest extent, IPEC-J2 cells were inoculated with PDCoV at low, medium, and high MOIs (i.e., 0.01, 0.1, and 1 TCID₅₀/cell, respectively) for 36 h before the formal experiment. By observing the CPE, we found that the high MOI resulted in a rapid synchronous infection of all the cells, causing majority of the cells detached and disintegrated within 12 hpi. This is not conducive to the interaction between the virus and the cells. By contrast, the cells inoculated with the low MOI only displayed minimal CPEs at the same time points, which might induce limited changes in the expression levels of diverse proteins. Therefore, the medium MOI of 0.1 was selected as the optimal dose for PDCoV inoculation in all subsequent experiments.

3.2. Selection of the Best Sampling Time for the Proteomic Analysis Following PDCoV Infection

In order to determine the optimal time point for the proteomic analysis following PDCoV infection, IPEC-J2 cells were inoculated with PDCoV at an MOI of 0.1 and microscopically observed for CPE at 4, 8, 12, 24, and 36 hpi. Meanwhile, the cell supernatants at the same time points were collected and used to measure the proliferation dynamics of PDCoV in IPEC-J2 cells. Compared to mock-infected cells, PDCoV-inoculated IPEC-J2 cells began to exhibit slight CPE at 8 hpi, and the CPE gradually became increasingly evident as the infection progressed. Obvious CPEs were observed at 12 hpi and became more evident at 24 and 36 hpi, which were characterized by cell rounding, enlarging, and granular degeneration of the cytoplasm that occurred either singly or in different-sized clusters, usually forming cell masses, followed by cell shrinkage and increased detachment. These CPEs were in agreement with those reported by Jung et al., and resembled those observed in PDCoV-infected swine testicular (ST) and LLC-PK1 cells. However, from 36 hpi onward, the majority of the cells became detached and floated in the medium (Figure 1A). The proliferation of PDCoV in IPEC-J2 cells was verified by IFA using a mAb raised against the PDCoV nucleocapsid protein, and the results demonstrated that almost all cells became infected at 24 hpi (Figure 1B). The one-step growth curve further revealed that the virus titer reached a plateau of ~10⁷.⁵ TCID₅₀/mL at 24 hpi, followed by a gradual and continuous decline (Figure 1C). In general, the time point at which viral proliferation stays high but no obvious cellular membrane or cytoskeleton rearrangement occurs is the optimal sampling time for a proteomic analysis. On the basis of the above experimental results, we therefore chose 24 hpi as the optimal time point for the proteomic analysis of PDCoV-infected IPEC-J2 cells.

3.3. Identification of DEPs of IPEC-J2 Cells in Response to PDCoV Infection

To identify the DEPs following viral infection, the total cellular proteins extracted from PDCoV- and mock-infected IPEC-J2 cells were processed for quantitative proteomics research using iTRAQ-coupled LC-MS/MS technique. In all, 5502 cellular proteins were identified in both PDCoV- and mock-infected IPEC-J2 cells at 24 hpi (Supplementary File S1). On the basis of the widely used criteria for judging DEPs (fold changes >1.2 or <0.8 and with p < 0.05), 23 proteins were significantly upregulated and 55 proteins were markedly downregulated in PDCoV-infected IPEC-J2 cells in comparison with the mock-infected cells (Table 1). Meanwhile, six viral proteins, including the nucleocapsid protein, spike protein, membrane protein, 3C-like proteasine NpsS, accessory proteins NS6 and NS7 (Supplementary File S2), were also identified in PDCoV-infected IPEC-J2 cells by searching against the porcine deltacoronavirus Uniprot database. In order to ensure the reliability of the obtained proteomic data, three biological replicates of PDCoV- or mock-infected cell samples were collected and three technical replicates were performed during the proteomic analysis. The difference was plotted against the percentage of the identified proteins, which suggested that the proteomic data had high credibility (Supplementary Figure S1). Notably, due to the fact that the current genome database of pigs is inadequately annotated in comparison to the human genome database, we found six uncharacterized or unassigned proteins among the 78 DEPs (Table 1). Therefore, a functional analysis of these proteins warrants further investigation.

3.4. Validation of the DEPs by qPCR and Western Blot Analyses

To validate the obtained LC-MS/MS data, qPCR was performed to evaluate the transcription levels of two randomly selected DEPs, the downregulated ANAPC7 and the upregulated IFIT1. To this end, IPEC-J2 cells were mock-infected or infected with PDCoV at an MOI of 0.1. At 24 hpi, total cellular RNA was extracted from the cells and subjected to qPCR assays. As shown in Figure 2A, the level of mRNA encoding ANAPC7 and IFIT1 proteins was significantly downregulated and upregulated in PDCoV-infected cells, respectively, as compared to the mock-infected cells (p < 0.05). The qPCR results were in agreement with the MS data which were acquired by the iTRAQ approach (Figure 2B). For further confirmation of the proteomic data, the expression level of ANAPC7 and IFIT1 proteins in IPEC-J2 cells, which were infected exactly as the aforementioned conditions, was also tested by Western blot analysis. To track the progression of PDCoV infection, the mAb 1A3 that specifically recognizes PDCoV was utilized. As shown in Figure 2C, compared with the mock-infected IPEC-J2 cells, PDCoV significantly decreased the expression of ANAPC7 protein and its relative ratio to β-actin in the cells, whereas the expression of IFIT1 protein and its relative ratio to β-actin in the cells were significantly increased as a consequence of PDCoV infection. The original images of the entire PVDF membranes containing the target Western blots were included in Supplementary Figure S2. The Western blot results were also consistent with the MS data (Figure 2D). Taken together, these experimental results reveal that our quantitative proteomics data are quite reliable.

3.5. GO Functional Annotation of the DEPs

To characterize the DEPs, GO analysis was conducted to annotate the proteins based on three major categories: biological process (BP), cellular component (CC), and molecular function (MF). Within the BP category, the proteins were predicted to be involved in 13 biological processes, including immune system process, reproductive process, biological adhesion, multiorganism process, detoxification,
multicellular organismal process, developmental process, localization, and so on (Figure 3A; Supplementary File S3), among which those associated with multorganism process, detoxification, and localization were significantly enriched (Figure 3B); within the CC category, the proteins were predicted to be primarily distributed within 9 different cellular components, such as synapse, extracellular region, membrane, organelle, and cell part (Figure 3A), with the significantly enriched being located in the membrane, organelle and cell part (Figure 3B); and within the MF category, the proteins were predicted to be linked with 8 molecular functions, for instance, structural molecule activity, transporter activity, and antioxidant activity (Figure 3A), but no GO term was identified as significantly enriched within this category (Figure 3B).

3.6. COG Function Classification of the DEPs

To further characterize the DEPs, COG function classification was also applied to categorize the proteins. As shown in Figure 4, the DEPs could be further classified into 18 categories (Supplementary File S4). Among them, 9 proteins were related to general function prediction only; 8 proteins were involved in transcription; 6 proteins were relevant to signal transduction mechanisms; 5 proteins were associated with protein turnover, posttranslational modification, and chaperones; 4 proteins were linked to vesicular transport, intracellular trafficking, and secretion; 4 proteins were correlated with RNA processing and modification; 3 proteins were correlated with chromatin structure and dynamics; 3 proteins were associated with carbohydrate transport and metabolism. Seven proteins were respectively related to one of the following biological functions: nucleotide transport and metabolism; lipid transport and metabolism; translation, ribosomal structure, and biogenesis; cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis; inorganic ion transport and metabolism; extracellular structures; and cytoskeleton. Notably, another 7 proteins related to unknown function were also identified (Figure 4). Therefore, further investigation concentrating on the function of these cellular proteins is certainly worth trying in the future.

3.7. KEGG Pathway Analysis of the DEPs

To explore the underlying signaling pathways existing among the identified DEPs, KEGG pathway analyses were done to draw pathway maps.35 As shown in Figure 5A, the 78 identified DEPs were involved in 26 pathways, among which the top 5 involving more than three proteins were related to viral infectious diseases, signal transduction, immune system, digestive system and cancers. All the DEPs could be further classified into 6 KEGG pathway categories, including organismal systems, metabolism, human diseases, genetic information processing, environmental information processing, and cellular processes (Supplementary File S5). The signaling pathways of interest included the RIG-I-like receptor signaling pathway, PI3K-AKT signaling pathway, endocytosis, pathways in cancer, etc. For the downregulated proteins, the top 20 relevant pathways were illustrated in Figure 5B and Supplementary File S6. The signaling pathways of interest included the mTOR, MAPK, FoxO signaling pathways and so on. Interestingly, one upregulated protein (Secretagogin) and one downregulated protein (AKT2) were simultaneously included in Fc gamma R-mediated phagocytosis. To further explore the possible involvement of the identified DEPs in the underlying signaling pathways, KEGG pathway enrichment analysis was performed. Our data demonstrated that the DEPs were primarily involved in the HIF-1 signaling pathway, HTLV-I infection, human papillomavirus infection, AGE-RAGE signaling pathway in diabetic complications, central carbon metabolism in cancer, influenza A, measles, Fc gamma R-mediated phagocytosis, small cell lung cancer, glycosaminoglycan biosynthesis, progesterone-mediated oocyte maturation, and relaxin signaling pathway (Figure 5D). These signaling pathways were mainly distributed in four distinct functional categories: environmental information processing, human diseases, metabolism, and organismal systems (Figure 5D).

3.8. Protein–Protein Interaction Networks of the DEPs

To explore the potential protein network connections between the identified DEPs, the web-tool STRING was applied to
depict protein–protein interaction networks. As shown in Figure 6, the DEPs were mapped to three major functional interaction networks, among which two were tightly connected by a hub protein, ENSSSCG00000000860 (namely NUP37), while the third exists independently. For the two tightly connected networks, they were comprised of two groups of strongly interacted proteins, including SCGN-ISG15-OAS1-IFIT1-IFIT3-ANAPC7-NME7, which are associated with innate immunity, and POLDIP3-NUP37-ERCC6L-DDX55-SMARCA2-NFRB-BAZ2A-HLTF-CHAF1A-CDK9-MNAT1-CTDP1-INTS4, which are associated with cell cycle and cellular components. Of note, at least five proteins act as hub proteins in these two networks tightly connected, including IFIT1, IFIT3, NUP37, SMARCA2, and CDK9. Interestingly, MNAT1 interacted highly with CTDP1 and CDK9, and INTS4 was also well connected to CDK9 (Figure 6). For the third network, there were five proteins with strong interaction in response to PDCoV infection, including MEF2D, VLDLR, LOC780439, PDK1, and AKT2, which are related to cell death and survival. Taken together, these findings further indicate that various functional types of host proteins, various biological functions, and complicated protein networks were affected during PDCoV infection of IPEC-J2 cells, which should provide valuable clues for a better understanding of PDCoV pathogenesis.

4. DISCUSSION

The interactions between host cells and viruses are highly complex, which usually involves numerous alterations in the expression of diverse genes, mRNAs, and proteins.\textsuperscript{36,37} Deciphering the laws behind these changes over the course of viral infection plays a vital role in elucidating the pathogenic mechanisms and in developing efficacious antiviral strategies.\textsuperscript{37} Over the past decade, MS-based proteomic techniques have
contributed significantly to uncovering more factors and mechanisms related to viral infections and the corresponding host cellular pathophysiological processes. However, no research to date has focused on differential proteomic analysis of global protein profiles in host cells upon infection by PDCoV. In this study, iTRAQ combined with LC-MS/MS was used to identify the DEPs in PDCoV-infected IPEC-J2 cells. Although various cell lines including ST, LLC-PK1, PK-15, and IPI-2I cells have been shown to be highly permissive to PDCoV infection, considering porcine enterocytes are the natural targets for PDCoV infection in vivo, we chose to use IPEC-J2 cells for the proteomic analysis with the goal of obtaining experimental data that could better reflect the physiological state of pigs and the true state of PDCoV infection in vivo. Ultimately, a total of 78 DEPs were identified in PDCoV-infected IPEC-J2 cells, among which 23 proteins were significantly upregulated and 55 proteins were significantly downregulated. Moreover, we also identified six viral proteins including the spike, membrane, nucleocapsid, NS6, NS7, and Nsp5 proteins.

To ensure the reliability of the DEPs identified in the present study, we used qPCR and Western blot to validate two randomly selected DEPs, ANAPC7 and IFIT1, at the transcription and protein expression levels, respectively. In brief, ANAPC7 is an important constituent of the anaphase promoting complex/cyclosome, which is an E3 ubiquitin ligase that regulates the temporal progression of eukaryotic cells by mediating ubiquitination and subsequent 26S proteasome-mediated degradation of key cell cycle regulators. The downregulation of ANAPC7 is indicative of dysfunction of IPEC-J2 cells caused by PDCoV infection. IFIT1 is an innate immune effector molecule that can directly recognize viral single-stranded RNAs carrying a 5′-triphosphate group, thereby inhibiting the expression of viral mRNA. The upregulation of IFIT1 reveals that innate immune responses were activated in PDCoV-infected IPEC-J2 cells to combat the invading virus. Through the validation of these two DEPs, we found that the obtained proteomics data were reliable and valid, and thus suitable for the subsequent bioinformatics analysis.

First, we made an attempt to assign possible functions to the 78 identified DEPs using GO functional annotation. This tool is an internationally standardized system for gene function classification, which provides a dynamic, updated, controlled vocabularies or ontologies and can well interpret the physiological state of pigs and the true state of PDCoV infection in vivo. Ultimately, a total of 78 DEPs were identified in PDCoV-infected IPEC-J2 cells, among which 23 proteins were significantly upregulated and 55 proteins were significantly downregulated. Moreover, we also identified six viral proteins including the spike, membrane, nucleocapsid, NS6, NS7, and Nsp5 proteins.

To ensure the reliability of the DEPs identified in the present study, we used qPCR and Western blot to validate two randomly selected DEPs, ANAPC7 and IFIT1, at the transcription and protein expression levels, respectively. In brief, ANAPC7 is an important constituent of the anaphase promoting complex/cyclosome, which is an E3 ubiquitin ligase that regulates the temporal progression of eukaryotic cells by mediating ubiquitination and subsequent 26S proteasome-mediated degradation of key cell cycle regulators. The downregulation of ANAPC7 is indicative of dysfunction of IPEC-J2 cells caused by PDCoV infection. IFIT1 is an innate immune effector molecule that can directly recognize viral single-stranded RNAs carrying a 5′-triphosphate group, thereby inhibiting the expression of viral mRNA. The upregulation of IFIT1 reveals that innate immune responses were activated in PDCoV-infected IPEC-J2 cells to combat the invading virus. Through the validation of these two DEPs, we found that the obtained proteomics data were reliable and valid, and thus suitable for the subsequent bioinformatics analysis.

First, we made an attempt to assign possible functions to the 78 identified DEPs using GO functional annotation. This tool is an internationally standardized system for gene function classification, which provides a dynamic, updated, controlled vocabularies or ontologies and can well interpret the
characteristics of target genes and gene products in various organisms.41 The proteins of our interest involving in different biological functions are listed as follows. Five type I interferon (IFN)-inducible proteins, ISG15, OAS1, Mx1, IFIT1, and IFIT3, were clustered into the BP category associated with the immune system process. Another five cell cycle-regulating proteins, ERCC6L, NME7, NFYB, FOSL1, and CTDP1, were also classified into the BP category but associated with the reproductive process. The nucleolar remodeling complex-constituting protein BAZ2A and the calcium ion binding protein secretagogin were clustered into the same CC category related to synapse.42,43 The apolipoprotein binding protein VLDLR and the cell cycle control and progression-related protein CDK9 were also divided into the CC category but associated with the macromolecular complex. In addition, three proteins, FOSL1, NUP37, and DDX55, were annotated to be associated with structural molecule activity, transporter activity and catalytic activity, respectively, within the MF category. For further functional annotation of the DEPs, we took a step further by performing COG function classification, which is a widely used tool for analyzing the function and evolution of proteins at the genome scale.44,45 We discovered that most of the DEPs were assigned new biological functions. For example, the immune system process-related proteins ISG15, OAS1, IFIT1, and IFIT3 categorized by GO functional annotation, were assigned to be involved in signal transduction mechanisms within the COG function classification; the proteins DDX55, SMARCA2, and ERCC6L belonging to three different GO categories were categorized into the same COG category—chromatin structure and dynamics; and the proteins NFYB, FOSL1, and CTDP1 belonging to the same GO category were still in the same COG category—transcription. The aforementioned findings suggested that there exist slight discrepancies in the classification of some proteins between the GO and COG functional annotations.41,44 This phenomenon is not unanticipated because the two functional annotation tools are based on different classification criteria.42,43 Next, we made an effort to identify the potential signaling pathways that might exist among the DEPs using KEGG pathway analysis, an extensively used method for the integration and interpretation of high-throughput proteomic
we found that the same protein can participate in different signaling pathways. For instance, ISG15, a IFN-α-inducible protein that is paramount to the host antiviral innate immunity, was simultaneously involved in two signaling pathways—viral infectious diseases and immune system. IFIT1 (also named p56/ISG56), an innate nucleic acid immune-sensing receptor that can recognize single-stranded viral RNA lacking 2′-O-methylation at the 5′-terminus and thus confers antiviral defense function by disrupting the machinery of host translation initiation, was also simultaneously related to viral infectious diseases and immune system signaling pathways. By contrast, COL4A1, also known as type IV collagen alpha 1 chain, was simultaneously involved in four of the top five signaling pathways, including viral infectious disease, signal transduction, digestive system, and cancers. This protein is an integral component of basement membranes, which can inhibit the migration, proliferation and tube formation by endothelial cells via binding to α/β1 integrin, and thus becomes a potential therapeutic candidate for targeting tumor angiogenesis.

Other interesting signaling pathways included RIG-I-like receptor, PI3K-AKT, mTOR, and autophagy signaling pathways. As an important family of cytosolic pattern recognition receptors, RIG-I is responsible for sensing of the invading viral RNA by recognizing its pathogen-associated molecular patterns to activate downstream signaling cascades, and thereby produce type I IFN. The generated IFN molecules then bind to IFN receptors and activate numerous ISGs, which exert critical antiviral innate immune functions either directly or indirectly. In our study, five upregulated proteins encoded by ISGs, including ISG15, OAS1, Mx1, IFIT1, and IFIT3, were identified in PDCoV-infected IPEC-J2 cells. Although these proteins related to type I IFN induction have been reported to participate in diverse viral infections, the canonical IFN signaling pathways were activated in IPEC-J2 cells upon infection by PDCoV. This was further confirmed by a recent transcriptome-level study which also demonstrated that the RIG-I-like receptor signaling pathway was activated in PDCoV-infected IPEC-J2 cells. The results of these studies suggest that the PDCoV accessory protein NS6 was shown to antagonize IFN-β production by disrupting the binding of double-stranded RNA to RIG-1/MDA5 receptors.

Except for the RIG-I-like signaling pathway, two multifunctional signaling pathways, PI3K-AKT and mTOR, were also activated in PDCoV-infected IPEC-J2 cells, both of which participate in regulating autophagy. The PI3K-AKT signaling pathway could be triggered by numerous factors and regulates a variety of fundamental cellular functions, for instance, proliferation and survival. Activated AKT subsequently modulates numerous cellular processes, including cellular autophagy, cell cycle progression and cellular survival. The mTOR signaling pathway is involved in regulating diverse basic biological processes, including lipid biogenesis, protein synthesis, regulation of autophagy, cytoskeletal organization and so on, whose dysfunction has been associated with the pathophysiology of many diseases like diabetes and cancer.

Although the mTOR and PI3K-AKT signaling pathways are able to negatively and positively regulate autophagy, respectively, in an independent manner, they usually coregulate autophagy via merging into a single PI3K-AKT-mTOR signaling pathway, which serves as one of the classical pathways for negatively regulating autophagy. From the KEGG analysis, we noticed that the PI3K-AKT and mTOR signaling pathways were respectively upregulated and downregulated in PDCoV-infected IPEC-J2 cells, which are the two important indicators of autophagic activation through the PI3K-AKT-mTOR signaling pathway. Accordingly, we speculate that PDCoV infection successfully activated autophagy in IPEC-J2 cells. Our speculation was in agreement with a recent research which revealed that PDCoV infection triggered autophagy in LLC-PK1 cells, despite using a different cell line. Undoubtedly, further studies are definitely needed to confirm the autophagy induced by PDCoV infection, to analyze the impact of autophagy on viral replication and to explore the underlying molecular mechanisms of PDCoV-induced autophagy. It should be noted that the PI3K-AKT-mTOR signaling pathway also plays an important role in many physiological and pathological conditions, except for regulating autophagy.

Finally, we sought to uncover the hidden interaction networks among the DEPs using STRING analysis, and discovered three major functional networks consisting of the RIG-I-like receptor, PDK1-AKT2 and cell cycle signaling pathways. These findings are in accordance with the results of KEGG pathway analysis, thus further consolidating the credibility of these putative signaling pathways involved in PDCoV-infected IPEC-J2 cells. Given the former two signaling pathways have already been discussed earlier, we next focus on the cell cycle signaling pathway. As can be seen from the network diagram (Figure 6), three small networks with NUP37, MARCA2, and CDK9 as the respective hub proteins together constitute the cell cycle signaling pathway. NUP37 is an important constituent of the nuclear pore Nup107–160 subcomplex, which controls the bidirectional trafficking of macromolecules that traverse the nuclear envelope. MARCA2 is an important member of the SWI/SNF family with helicase and ATPase activities, and has been shown to regulate numerous biological processes such as cell proliferation and DNA repair. CDK9 paired with cyclin T1 forms the positive transcription elongation factor b (p-TEFb) complex and induces transcriptional activation by hyperphosphorylating RNA polymerase II, and thereby regulating numerous vital...
cellular functions including proliferation, differentiation, DNA repair and apoptosis. However, growing studies suggest that CDK9 is also related to many pathologic processes, such as cancer, cardiovascular diseases and viral replication. Currently, CDK9 has been demonstrated to be involved in the replication of multiple viruses, such as influenza A virus, dengue virus, human adenovirus, and human immunodeficiency virus. For example, CDK9 was found to interact with the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerases of influenza A virus and facilitate its association with cellular RNA polymerase II, thereby promoting viral transcription. CDK9 was also shown to be critical for the transcription of viral early genes and the replication of human adenovirus. Treatment of host cells with the CDK9 inhibitor, FIT-039, which functions by suppressing mRNA transcription, can efficiently inhibit the replication of human adenovirus. In the present study, CDK9 was significantly downregulated in IPEC-J2 cells upon PDCoV infection; however, the biological functions hidden behind this change warrant further investigation.

Of note, it is of great significance to compare our proteomics data with those of the newly emergent severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2), which caused the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Pandemic and has posed a serious global public health emergency. Recently, Appelberg et al. conducted an integrative proteo-transcriptomics analysis of HuH7 cells responding to SARS-CoV-2 infection, and identified ErbB, HIF-1, mTOR, and TNF signaling pathways that were significantly regulated during SARS-CoV-2 infection in vitro. They further demonstrated that the Akt inhibitor MK-2206, targeting the mTOR signaling pathway, was able to significantly reduce the replication of SARS-CoV-2. Moreover, another recent study investigated the translatome and proteome of Caco-2 cells in response to SARS-CoV-2 infection in vitro, and discovered that several cellular pathways linked to translation, proteostasis, splicing, carbon metabolism, and nucleotide metabolism were reshaped during viral infection. On this basis, the authors tested two translation inhibitors, cycloheximide and emetine, for their ability to suppress SARS-CoV-2 replication, and found that both pharmaceuticals significantly decreased the replication of SARS-CoV-2 in Caco-2 cells. Undoubtedly, by comparing the similarities and differences of cellular proteomes between PDCoV- and SARS-CoV-2-infected host cells, it is possible to find some common signaling pathways and key adaptor molecules that function to inhibit viral replication, and thus provide valuable clues for screening of therapeutic drugs for PDCoV and designing novel antiviral strategies.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The present study provides the first systematic analysis of the global protein profiles of PDCoV-infected IPEC-J2 cells using a quantitative proteomics approach in which iTRAQ was coupled to LC–MS/MS. A total of 78 DEPs, including 23 upregulated proteins and 55 downregulated proteins, were identified in PDCoV-infected IPEC-J2 cells at 24 hpi. Bioinformatics analyses further revealed that a majority of the DEPs were involved in various crucial biological processes and signaling pathways, such as digestive system, immune system, signal transduction, and RIG-I-like receptor, mTOR, PI3K-AKT, autophagy, and cell cycle signaling pathways. Although further investigations are required to elucidate the functions of the DEPs of interest, our current data provide valuable clues for the in-depth investigation of pathogenic mechanisms of PDCoV and defense mechanisms of host cells.
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