METTL4 catalyzes m⁶Am methylation in U2 snRNA to regulate pre-mRNA splicing
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ABSTRACT

N⁶-methylation of 2′-O-methyladenosine (Am) in RNA occurs in eukaryotic cells to generate N⁶,2′-O-dimethyladenosine (m⁶Am). Identification of the methyltransferase responsible for m⁶Am catalysis has accelerated studies on the function of m⁶Am in RNA processing. While m⁶Am is generally found in the first transcribed nucleotide of mRNAs, the modification is also found internally within U2 snRNA. However, the writer required for catalyzing internal m⁶Am formation had remained elusive. By sequencing transcriptome-wide RNA methylation at single-base-resolution, we identified human METTL4 as the writer that directly methylates Am at U2 snRNA position 30 into m⁶Am. We found that METTL4 localizes to the nucleus and its conserved methyltransferase catalytic site is required for U2 snRNA methylation. By sequencing human cells with overexpressed Mettl4, we determined METTL4’s in vivo target RNA motif specificity. In the absence of Mettl4 in human cells, U2 snRNA lacks m⁶Am thereby affecting a subset of splicing events that exhibit specific features such as 3′ splice-site weakness and an increase in exon inclusion. These findings suggest that METTL4 methylation of U2 snRNA regulates splicing of specific pre-mRNA transcripts.

INTRODUCTION

N⁶-methylation of adenosine generates RNA modifications that regulate the expression and metabolism of the host RNA without changing the underlying sequence (1). One resultant RNA modification is N⁶-methyladenosine (m⁶A) that is found internally within various RNA species including mRNAs and rRNAs (2–5). Each of the several identified m⁶A methyltransferases (writers) that catalyze N⁶-methylation of adenosine have different target sequence specificities. For example, METTL3 catalyzes N⁶-methylation of DRACH to give DRm⁶ACH (D = A/G/U, R = A/G, H = A/C/U) (2,3,6,7). METTL3 also functions in a complex with co-factors including METTL14, WTAP, KIAA1429 and RBM15/RBM15B (8–12). This complex mostly targets mRNAs in the region proximal to the stop codon. Another m⁶A writer is METTL16 that methylates ‘UACAGAGAA’ to give ‘UACm⁶AGAGAA’. Besides U6 snRNA, METTL16 also targets the Mat2a 3′ UTR (13). Finally, METTL5 and ZCCHC4 have been identified as the writers responsible for m⁶A catalysis in 18S rRNA and 28S rRNA respectively (14–16).

Adenosine N⁶-methylation of 2′-O-methyladenosine (Am) results in the RNA modification N⁶,2′-O-dimethyladenosine (m⁶Am) that is found in the first transcribed nucleotide adjacent to the RNA methylguanosine cap (Supplementary Figure S1A) (17,18). Given its predicted N⁶-methyladenine methyltransferase domain and its interaction with the phosphorylated C-terminal tail of RNA polymerase II during RNA transcription, PCIF1 was a strong candidate as a N⁶-methyladenine writer (19,20). Subsequently, PCIF1 was established as the writer responsible for the N⁶-methylation of Am at the transcriptional start site (TSS) to generate m⁶Am (21–25). This facilitated the characterization of TSS-associated m⁶Am as a regulator of mRNA resistance to DCP2-mediated decapping, and potentially mRNA translation and cell growth (17,21,23,24).

m⁶Am sites have also been mapped to internal RNA sites, specifically at U2 snRNA position 30 (18,26). The functional importance of m⁶Am in U2 snRNA was not well-characterized, likely because the writer responsible for methylating U2 snRNA Am30 was previously unknown. In our work here, we utilize a primarily sequencing approach to establish methyltransferase-like 4 (Mettl4) as the U2 snRNA m⁶Am writer. By overexpressing Mettl4, we uncovered both the in vivo target sequence preference of METTL4...
and its ability to catalyze m^6_Am formation internally within mRNAs. Finally, by knocking out Mettl4 from cells, we demonstrate that the resulting loss of U2 snRNA m^6_Am perturbs splicing of target mRNAs with distinctive features such as weak 3' splice sites.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**m^6_ACE-seq**

m^6_ACE-seq libraries were constructed as previously described (25): Poly(A) RNA was purified using Poly(A) Purist Mag kit (Thermofisher AM1922) according to manufacturer’s instructions, then fragmented to ~120 nt by incubating in RNA fragmentation buffer (Ambion AM8740) for 7.5 min at 70°C. Fragmented RNA was treated with 10 U T4 PNK (NEB M0201) for 30 min at 37°C before adding 1 mM ATP and incubating for an additional 30 min at 37°C, then purified using Oligo Clean & Concentrator (Zymo D4060). 3' ligation was performed as described (27,28), where 200 pmol 5'-adenylated, 3'-dideoxy C DNA adapters (Supplementary Table S1) were ligated with 400 U truncated T4 RNA ligase 2 (NEB M0242) in 1X ATP-free T4 RNA ligase buffer [50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 60 µg ml^-1 BSA, 10 mM MgCl2, 10 mM DTT, 12.5% PEG8000] for 2 h at 25°C. Ligated RNA was purified with Ampure XP beads (Beckman Coulter A6881). 200 pg of 3'-ligated methylated RNA spike-in (Supplementary Table S1) was added to 1 µg of ligated Poly(A) RNA and the mixture was de- natured for 5 min at 65°C before incubating for 2 min on ice. This denatured RNA mixture was incubated overnight at 4°C with 8 µg anti-m^6_Am antibody (Synaptic Systems 202003) in 1× IP buffer [150 mM LiCl, 10 mM Tris pH 7.4, 0.1% IGEPAL CA-630 (Sigma I8896)] supplemented with 1 U µl^-1 RNasin Plus (Promega N2611). In parallel, 1.2 mg Dynabeads-Protein-A was blocked overnight at 4°C in 1× IP buffer supplemented with 0.5 mg ml^-1 BSA (Sigma A7906). The antibody–RNA mixture was split into 50 µl aliquots on ice and crosslinked with 0.15 J cm^-2 254 nm UV radiation six times. The antibody–RNA mixture was re- combined and 1% of it was set aside as input-RNA and the remainder (designated as m^6_ACE-RNA) was mixed with decanted BSA-blocked Dynabeads-Protein-A for 1.5 h at 4°C. Beads bound with crosslinked RNA were then washed with 250 µl of the following cold buffers in this order: Wash buffer 1 [1 M NaCl, 50 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.4, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 2 mM EDTA], Wash buffer 2 [0.5 M NaCl, 50 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.4, 1% IGEPAL, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 2 mM EDTA], Wash buffer 3 [1% sodium deoxycholate, 25 mM LiCl, 10 mM Tris pH 8, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA], TE [10 mM Tris pH 8, 1 mM EDTA] and finally 10 mM Tris pH 8. m^6_ACE RNA was then denatured in 10 µl 10 mM Tris pH 8 for 5 min at 65°C and for 2 min on ice. m^6_ACE RNA was digested with 1 U T7 RNase 1 (NEB M0338) in T7 RNase 1 buffer [100 mM LiCl, 45 mM Tris pH 8, 10 mM MgCl2, 1 mM DTT] and 1 U µl^-1 RNasin Plus shaking at 1 krpm for 1 h at 37°C. The m^6_ACE RNA-bead mixture was then washed with Wash buffer 1, Wash buffer 2, Wash buffer 3, TE and 10 mM Tris pH 8. Both input and m^6_ACE RNAs were eluted in elution buffer [1% SDS, 200 mM NaCl, 25 mM Tris pH 8, 2 mM EDTA, 1 mg ml^-1 Proteinase K (Thermo Scientific EO0491)], shaking at 1 krpm for 1.5 h at 50°C. RNAs were ethanol-precipitated and ligated to 5 pmol 5’ adapters (Supplementary Table S1) with 10 U T4 RNA ligase (Ambion AM2140) supplemented with 12.5% PEG8000 and 2 U µl^-1 RNasin Plus for 16 h at 16°C before being purified with Oligo Clean & Concentrator. 5 pmol of reverse transcription primer (Supplementary Table S1) was annealed (72°C 2 min, ice 2 min) and reverse transcription was performed with 200 U SuperscriptIII (Invitrogen 18080) for 1 h at 50°C, with the reaction stopped by incubating for 15 min at 70°C. The cDNA was PCR amplified with Phusion High-fidelity PCR mastermix (NEB M0530) and Truseq PCR primers. Finally, primer–dimer and adapter–dimers were removed with Ampure XP beads before undergoing PE75 sequencing on the Illumina Nextseq platform.

**m^6_ACE-seq analysis**

m^6_ACE-seq analysis was performed as previously described (25): Fastq sequences were first filtered for a quality score of 20, then trimmed of 5’ and 3’ adapter sequences and poly(A) tails using Cutadapt (29). The 8-mer UMI located at the first 8 nucleotides of read 1 was registered and trimmed. Any complementary UMI sequence in read 2 was also trimmed. Reads were mapped to the methylated spike-in (Supplementary Table S1) using Bowtie2, or to the hg38 assembly transcriptome (Gencode v28 comprehensive gene annotations) using STAR (30,31). Aligned pairs that had the same mapping coordinates and UMIs were filtered out as PCR duplicates. Read-start coordinates in hg38-mapped reads that began with an adenosine nucleotide, and had a minimum mean read count of 1 across the triplicate samples were collected. m^6_A or m^6_Am sites were identified as read starts that were at least 2-fold enriched in m^6_ACE libraries than in the corresponding input libraries. This enrichment was calculated using DESeq2 (32) performed on A-only sites across triplicate pairsofm^6_ACEandcorrespondinginputlibraries (FDR < 0.1, p adj < 0.05). Identified sites that were 1–4 nucleotides upstream of another identified significant Rm^6_A site or sites found within clustered read-starts were filtered out.

To calculate the relative methylation level (RML) of each site in each sample: The read-start counts at positions -4 to 0 of each site in the m^6_ACE library were summed and divided by the read-start counts at positions -51 to 0 of the same spike-in site in the input library to give 'X'. Similarly, the read-start counts at positions -4 to 0 of the spike-in m^6_A site in the m^6_ACE library were summed and divided by the read-start counts at positions -21 to 0 of the same spike-in m^6_A site in the input library to give 'Y'. X was normalized to Y to give RML. RML values of each site was averaged across triplicates for each sample condition. A site was denoted as differentially methylated between METTL4WT, rescue, and METTL4KO, rescue if the average RML differs between sample conditions with a log2-fold-change cutoff of 2.0, as well as a one-tailed Student's T-test P-value cutoff of <0.041 (false discovery rate, FDR < 0.1). Consensus motif analysis was performed using Meme-chip (33). Metagene analysis was performed using MetaPlotR (34). To identify overlap between de novo methylation sites and Am sites pre-
viously identified by Nm-seq, we used the HEK NM-seq dataset from NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under accession number GSE90164.

**RNAseq**

A total of 10 μg of RNA was treated with RQ1 RNase-Free DNase (Promega M6101) as per manufacturer’s protocol. Poly(A)⁺ cDNA libraries were subsequently generated from the DNase (Promega M610A) treated RNA using the TrueSeq Stranded mRNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina RS-122-2101, RS-122-2102 and RS-122-2103). Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform.

**RNAseq splicing and gene expression analysis**

The quality of the RNAseq datasets was inspected using FastQC. Read alignment to the genome was then performed using STAR (2.7.0a) in two-pass mode using the hg38 genome assembly and GENCODE v30 gene annotations (31).

Alternative splicing differences were analysed by an in-house pipeline using replicate MATS (rMATS 4.0.2) and filtered based on cut-offs of FDR ≤ 0.05, an absolute change in percent spliced in (ΔPSI) ≥ 0.1 (35,36). In addition, events with a mean junction count ≤ 20 for either condition, as well as those with <10 inclusion or spliced junction counts for both conditions, were filtered out to enhance the reliability of our splicing dataset. The validation of several differential alternative splicing events was performed using an RT-PCR assay (36,37). The validation criterion for the RT-PCR assays was a minimum of 5% ΔPSI in the direction of change corresponding to the rMATS findings.

Differentially expressed genes between the WT and Mettl4-KO datasets were analysed using a combination of RSEM (38) and limma (39), with cut-offs of FDR ≤ 0.05 and an absolute log₂(fold-change) ≥ 1.

**Differential alternative splicing event feature analysis**

Splice-site strengths were calculated using MaxEntScan (37). Comparisons were made between the exon features of the differentially spliced cassette exons and a transcriptome-wide background based on all known human internal exons (classified into non-cassette and cassette exons based on the UCSC hg38 Alt Events table). Cut-offs of 500nt and 12 500nt were applied on the exon and intron length datasets respectively to reduce data skewing caused by extreme outliers.

The frequency of the ‘HMAGKD’ motif was calculated for the differentially spliced cassette exons using exononic sequences, as well as intronic regions of up to 250nt upstream and downstream of the exons (with the splice sites excluded). Transcriptome-wide ‘HMAGKD’ occurrence frequencies were also generated using hg38 GENCODE v30 exon annotations. The GC content of exononic and splice site proximal intronic regions was also examined in a similar manner, but using a rolling window of 10nt with 1nt intervals, restricted to 48nt upstream and 50nt downstream to accommodate for the shortest introns present in the cassette exon dataset.

The statistical significance of feature differences was calculated using Wilcoxon’s rank-sum test (or the Kruskal-Wallis test for comparisons across all groups) and P-values were adjusted using the Benjamini-Hochberg correction when necessary.

**Splice-site and RNA binding protein motif sequence analysis**

The Jensen-Shannon Divergences of splice site sequence subsets and associated gamma distribution tests for statistical significance were calculated using the R package Difflgo (40). All sequence logos were generated using ggsedlogo (41). The differentially spliced cassette exons were scanned for RNA binding protein motifs using rMAPS2 (42). The enrichment of putative 6-8nt long, strand-specific RNA sequence features within 125nt stretches up- and downstream of the exon (excluding the splice sites) were also examined using MEME, with background nucleotide frequencies generated from the corresponding regions of the human transcriptome (33). The sequences generated by MEME were then fed into TOMTOM to search for RNA binding proteins with similar binding motifs. The biasness in the distribution of the detected motifs between the short (<250nt) and long introns (≥250nt) of the input dataset was examined using the Fisher exact test with Benjamini-Hochberg corrections.

**RT-PCR assay**

1 μg of total RNA was primed with oligo-dT(20) and reverse transcribed with SuperscriptIII as per manufacturer’s protocol. 1 μl of 5 U/ul RNase H (ThermoFisher Scientific EN0201) was then added to each reaction and incubated at 37°C for 20 min. The cDNA was used for PCR amplification for 35 cycles using DreamTaq DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific K1081) and respective primers (Supplementary Table S1) before being assessed via agarose gel electrophoresis.

**RESULTS**

**U2 snRNA m⁶Am is absent in Mettl4-KO cells**

Mettl4 is one of a diverse family of proteins that are homologous to the MT-A70 subunit of the m⁶Am writer Mettl3 (43). In order to assess Mettl4 as a potential writer, we performed m⁶A-crosslinking-exonuclease-sequencing (m⁶ACE-seq), which is capable of quantitatively mapping precise N⁶-methyladenine methylomes in the form of m⁶A or m⁶Am (Supplementary Figure S1A) (25). Comparison of the RNA methylomes between wildtype (WT) and Mettl4-KO HEK293T cells revealed a single site that exhibited almost 70-fold reduced relative methylation level (RML) in the absence of METTL4 (Figure 1A, B). The single-base-resolution of m⁶ACE-seq allowed us to map this site to position 30 of U2 snRNA (Figure 1C). To verify that this METTL4-dependent adenosine methylation is located within U2 snRNA, we isolated various snRNAs for anti-m⁶A dot blotting (Supplementary Figures S1B, C). As expected, we detected an RNA methylation signal specific only to WT U2 snRNA but not in Mettl4-KO U2 snRNA or any U1 snRNA (Figure 1D).
Figure 1. METTL4 mediates m^6_Am modification in U2 snRNA. (A) Western blotting of human METTL4 in WT versus Mettl4-KO lystate with ACTIN as a loading control. (B) Scatterplot of average relative methylation level (RML) of sites identified via m^6ACE-seq of WT versus Mettl4-KO RNA. U2 snRNA is denoted with a red 'X'. (C) m^6ACE (red) and Input (black) read-start counts (in reads per million mapped or RPM) mapped to U2 snRNA. U2 snRNA m^6Am position identified in (B) is denoted by a red dot. Sequence corresponds to the same strand as the m^6Am site. Blue horizontal bar represents transcript. (D) Anti-m^6A dot blotting of various snRNAs purified from WT versus Mettl4-KO RNA. Duplicate dots are shown. (E) Nucleoside HPLC–MS/MS of m^6Am as a percentage of total m^6Am and Am in U2 snRNA purified from WT versus Mettl4-KO RNA. Displayed are average and standard deviation error of biological triplicates. One-tailed Student’s t-test P-value is shown.

Since the anti-m^6A antibody is not able to distinguish between the structurally similar m^6A and m^6Am modifications (Supplementary Figure S1A), isolated U2 snRNA was digested and subjected to nucleoside high performance liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC–MS/MS) to verify the identity of the RNA modification within U2 snRNA. While there were no appreciable m^6A levels in U2 snRNA, we instead found that the majority of Am are in the N^6-methylated m^6Am form (Figure 1E, Supplementary Figures S1D, E). More importantly, m^6Am was not detected in Mettl4-KO U2 snRNA (Figure 1E, Supplementary Figure S1D). We note that the HPLC–MS/MS m^6Am signal was derived internally from U2 snRNA as we did not use any decapping enzyme in digesting U2 snRNA, thereby preventing the quantification of any cap-adjacent RNA nucleotide. Furthermore, previous and current RNA methylomes have demonstrated that U2 snRNA lacks a TSS-associated adenosine N^6-methylation (Figure 1C) (25). Altogether, this demonstrates that METTL4 is necessary for m^6Am formation within U2 snRNA.

N^6-methylation of U2 snRNA-internal Am requires the METTL4 DPPW catalytic motif

METTL4 can either directly catalyze the N^6-methylation of Am or act as an indirect co-factor to the actual catalytic writer. To investigate METTL4’s role in RNA methylation, we expressed and purified recombinant C-terminal-3x-FLAG-tagged human METTL4 (METTL4^WT) (Figure 2A, Supplementary Figure S2A). Incubation of METTL4^WT with a RNA oligonucleotide matching the 35nt 5’ end of U2 snRNA (U2-Am30, Figure 2B, Supplementary Table S1) generated a N^6-methyladenosine signal detectable via anti-m^6A dot blotting (Figure 2C). Using HPLC–MS/MS, we verified this methylated signal as m^6Am and not m^6A (Figure 2D). Additionally, METTL4 contains a ‘DPPW’ motif, which are catalytic residues required for methyltransferase activity (43). Therefore, we mutated the METTL4 ‘DPPW’ to ‘APPA’ to generate a catalytically-dead METTL4 (METTL4^CD, Figure 2A, Supplementary Figure S2A). Using the same in vitro methylation assay, we found that loss of the ‘DPPW’ catalytic site in METTL4^CD abrogates its ability to methylate RNA Am into m^6Am (Figure 2C, D). This demonstrates that human METTL4 directly catalyzes the N^6-methylation of Am to m^6Am in vitro.

Given the structural similarity between Am and A, we next tested if METTL4 is also able to methylate A into m^6A (Supplementary Figure S1A). We subjected a similar U2 snRNA-based RNA substrate with the single Am replaced with A (U2-A30, Supplementary Table S1), to in vitro methylation by METTL4^WT (Figure 2B). This led to quantifiable m^6A signals, albeit at a lower level than that of METTL4^WT in vitro methylation of U2-Am30 (Supplementary Figure S2B). Expectedly, this methylation activity was absent for METTL4^CD. Both U2-A30 and U2-Am30 contain adenosine in 2 adenosines in ‘AAG’ motifs but only adenosine in position 30 within the ‘CAAGUG’ context is N^6-methylated by METTL4 (Figure 2B–D, Supplementary Figure S2B). This suggests A in the middle of ‘CAAGUG’ to be METTL4’s target substrate sequence, with a preference for Am over A for adenine-N^6-methylation.

In vivo target sequence preference of METTL4

Since METTL4 catalyzes Am N^6-methylation in vitro, we next investigated if METTL4 can also do so "in vivo" by res-
Figure 2. METTL4 directly catalyzes m^6^A formation in vitro. (A) Western blotting of cell lysate from Mettl4-KO HEK293T transfected with METTL4 WT or METTL4CD or untransfected (control). Expected size of purified protein is 57kDa. HSP60 (∼61 kDa) is displayed as a comparison. (B) Sequence of U2-Am30 and U2-A30 RNA substrates used for in vitro methylation. ‘AAG’ sites are highlighted in red. (C) Anti-m^6^A dot blotting of RNA substrates in vitro methylated by METTL4 WT or METTL4CD. Duplicate dots are shown. (D) Nucleoside HPLC–MS/MS of m^6^Am as a percentage of total m^6^Am and Am in RNA substrates in vitro methylated by METTL4 WT or METTL4CD. Displayed are average and standard deviation error of triplicates. One-tailed Student’s t-test P-value is shown.

Cuing METTL4 expression in Mettl4-KO cells. Similar to all other known m^6^A and m^6^Am writers, exogenous METTL4 mainly localizes to the nucleus though in rare cases, exogenous METTL4 was also found in the cytoplasm (Supplementary Figure S3A). More importantly, overexpressing METTL4 WT rescued the loss of m^6^Am in U2 snRNA of Mettl4-KO cells (Figure 3A). On the other hand, overexpressing METTL4CD did not result in the same rescue effect, indicating that METTL4 WT is directly catalyzing N^6^-methylation of U2 snRNA Am in vivo (Figure 3A).

While we had previously described the preferred RNA target for METTL4 catalysis, we envisioned that treating an extensive variety of RNA sequences with METTL4 will provide a clearer picture of its target sequence preference. Fortunately, the overexpression of METTL4 WT in human cells afforded such an opportunity: since METTL4 WT is capable of methylating U2 snRNA in vivo, exposing METTL4 WT to the entire human transcriptome allows us to simulate an in vivo methylation assay and determine METTL4’s target sequence motif by identifying additional sites of specific RNA methylation. As such, we compared the global methylomes of the METTL4 WT- and METTL4CD-rescue cells to determine if METTL4 also mediates adenosine-N^6^-methylation of other RNAs besides U2 snRNA. This revealed that overexpressing METTL4 WT, but not METTL4CD, led to the appearance of multiple N^6^-methyl-adenine sites in several mRNAs (Figure 3B, Supplementary Table S2). Since these sites are absent in WT cells, we denote them as de novo RNA methylation sites (Figure 3C, Supplementary Figure S3B). Amongst these de novo methylation sites, we identified the dominant motif sequence to be ‘HMAGKD’ (Figure 3D, H = A/C/U, M = A/C, K = G/U, D = A/G/U, A is methylation site). Since the U2 snRNA ‘CAM^6^AmGUG’ motif is a subset of the ‘HMAGKD’, this further validates ‘HMAGKD’ to be METTL4’s target sequence motif.

We note that the same mRNA can possess multiple ‘HMAGKD’ sequences but only have one being de novo methylated (Figure 3E, Supplementary Figure S3C). This argues that the presence of a ‘HMAGKD’ sequence is not the sole criterion for de novo methylation by METTL4 WT, and that there exist other cis-acting elements and/or trans-acting factors that guide this process. Given that multiple ‘HMAGKD’ sites derived from the same mRNA are all expressed at the same level yet not all de novo methylated, this eliminates mRNA expression level as a deciding criterion. We next considered that METTL4 preferred to methylate Am over A, suggesting that all de novo methylated targets initially contained ‘HMAmGKD’. As such, we analyzed Am methylomes mapped using Nm-seq but found no overlap between METTL4 WT targeted sites and previously mapped Am sites within the human transcriptome (44). However, we do not completely rule out Am presence as a criterion since Am sites mapped by Nm-seq have yet to be fully validated and there could be multiple false negatives.

Finally, we assessed if de novo N^6^-methylated adenosine exhibited specific positional patterns along the mRNA length. This revealed a slight preference of METTL4 WT-dependent methylation to be within the coding sequence (CDS) (Figure 3F). This suggests that cis-acting elements or...
trans-acting factors associated with the CDS help to guide de novo methylation by METTL4WT.

**METTL4 regulates pre-messenger RNA splicing**

We next investigated if METTL4 methylation of U2 snRNA affected other cellular processes and initially found that loss of Mettl4 had no appreciable effect on overall U2 snRNA levels or cell growth rate (Supplementary Figures S4A, B). Given the role of U2 in pre-mRNA splicing, we next performed RNA sequencing on WT and Mettl4-KO HEK293T cells to search for splicing changes. By applying a stringent criterion to identify the most reliable splicing changes (see Materials and Methods), we detected a total of 193 splicing events in 178 genes that change upon KO of Mettl4. We found a majority of cassette exons over other alternative splicing types, and more alternative 3′ splice sites than 5′ splice sites (Figure 4A). Retained introns were more spliced out upon Mettl4-KO: among the total of 112 affected cassette exons, there are 29 (∼26%) events with enhanced exclusion and 83 (74%) with increased inclusion in Mettl4-KO cells (Figure 4B).

Most of the splicing events affected in Mettl4-KO cells had a high splicing level or PSI (percentage spliced in), which was further increased in knockout cells (Figure 4C). As shown before, the magnitude of splicing change (APSI) was the largest for events with intermediate starting PSI (Supplementary Figure S4C) (46). Genes with METTL4-dependent splicing events also did not overlap with METTL4-dependent differentially expressed genes (Supplementary Figure S4D). We attempted validation by RT-PCR of twenty representative cassette exons and three intron retention events, with an overall validation rate of 83% (19/23 cases) (Figure 4D, E, Supplementary Figure S4E).
Figure 4. Summary of splicing changes upon Mettl4-KO. (A) Breakdown of the 193 differential alternative splicing events between wild-type and Mettl4-KO HEK293T, as reported by rMATS (Supplementary Table S3), and with the indicated cutoffs. SE, skipped or cassette exon; A5SS, alternative 5′ splice sites; A3SS, alternative 3′ splice sites; MXE, mutually exclusive exons; RI, retention of introns. (B) Volcano plot of differentially spliced cassette exons, with events that passed the filters denoted as red dots. Dashed horizontal and vertical lines respectively depict the cutoffs in significance (FDR) and magnitude of splicing change (ΔPSI). (C) Plot of initial PSI (WT) against final PSI (Mettl4-KO). The marginal histograms represent the relative distribution of initial and final PSI values. (D) Plot of the ΔPSI values reported by rMATS and the corresponding RT-PCR assays for 20 cassette exons and three introns. Red gene names indicate splicing events that were not validated. Asterisks indicate events that were discretarily unvalidated based on high between-sample variations or inability to resolve one of the isoforms. (E) RT-PCR validation of two differential alternative splicing events for cassette exons in the UBE3C and SS18L1 genes, as well as an intron retention event in KLHDC2, in three biological replicates per WT or KO. The identity of splicing products by exon inclusion or exclusion is schematically shown next to the gels, along with mean junction reads (standard deviation within parentheses) across WT or KO samples. The PSI values obtained via RT-PCR are expressed in PSI values multiplied by 100.

Features of splicing events affected by Mettl4-KO

Analysis of splice-site strength using the MAXENT algorithm revealed that the downregulated cassette exons in Mettl4-KO have weak 3′ splice sites compared to all constitutive exons as references, and compared to exons downstream of the cassette exon (Figure 5A) (37). Comparison of the 3′ splice-site motifs by Jensen-Shannon divergence revealed that the polypyrimidine tract motif for upregulated exons exhibits two positions (−6 and −18) with a significantly higher incidence of cytosines than purines, while polypyrimidine tracts for downregulated exons showed significantly higher purines at four positions (−6, −7, −11 and −20) (Supplementary Figure S4F). Upregulated cassette exons exhibited weak 5′ splice-site scores compared to references and upstream exons, although the results are somewhat less clear-cut (Figure 5B), and motif divergence was detected at position +5 with an excess of non-consensus C/U for exons with increased exclusion in Mettl4-KO (Supplementary Figure S4G). Upregulated cassette exons in Mettl4-KO were flanked by far shorter introns compared to exons in other categories, plus these exons were themselves slightly shorter than reference exons (Figure 5C–E). The introns flanking the exons with increased inclusion in Mettl4-KO also exhibited a high GC content, compared to corresponding regions across the human transcriptome and other METTL4-regulated exons (Figure 5F). The elevated GC content is particularly pronounced in the shorter flanking introns, as a known feature of mammalian and avian genomes (47).

Finally, we searched for enriched motifs in the intronic fragments flanking the affected splice sites and exons. We did not find a specific enrichment of the ‘HMAGKD’ METTL4 consensus motif in the regulated exons and up to an extended 250nt segment of flanking introns exclud-
Figure 5. Features of affected METTL4-dependent splicing events. (A, B) Violin plot of the 3′ and 5′ splice site maximum entropy score of all human non-cassette exons (‘non-CE’), all human cassette exons (‘CE’), exons undergoing increased inclusion or exclusion (‘Target’), as well as their downstream exons for 3′ splice-site strength analysis and upstream exons for 5′ splice-site strength analysis. Mean values are indicated as red circles and group sizes are indicated within parentheses along axis labels. Statistical significance was calculated between all groups using the Kruskal-Wallis test with the Benjamini-Hochberg correction. (C–E) Violin plot of exonic and flanking intronic lengths of all human cassette exons (‘Ref. CE’), and exons undergoing increased inclusion or exclusion. Mean values are indicated as red circles and group sizes are indicated within parentheses. Statistical significance was calculated against the background cassette exon subset using the Wilcoxon Ranked Sum test with the Benjamini-Hochberg correction. (F) Rolling window line plots (bottom) of the GC content in the 48nt region upstream of the polypyrimidine tract (PyT) and 50nt region downstream of the 5′ splice site 6nt conserved sequence. The four datasets include all cassette exons in the human transcriptome, the downregulated exons with flanking intron lengths ≥250nt and the upregulated exons with flanking intron lengths of either ≥250nt or <250nt. Violin plots represent the mean overall GC content of these regions per dataset. Mean values are indicated as red circles and group sizes are indicated within parentheses. Statistical significance was calculated against the background cassette-exon subset using the Wilcoxon Ranked Sum test with the Benjamini-Hochberg correction. (G) Violin plots of the ‘HMAGKD’ motif occurrence frequency in exonic and up to 250nt of upstream and downstream intronic sequences. Datasets include all human cassette exons (‘Ref. CE’), and the exons undergoing increased exclusion or inclusion. Mean values are indicated as red circles and group sizes are indicated within parentheses. Statistical significance was calculated against the background cassette exons subset using the Wilcoxon Ranked Sum test with the Benjamini-Hochberg correction. (H) List of enriched RNA binding proteins in the plotted regions as detected by rMAPS. Green bars indicate statistically significant hits after Bonferroni correction. Exons undergoing increased inclusion (top-half, n = 71 after filtering by rMAPS) and those undergoing increased exclusion (bottom-half, n = 25 after internal filtering by rMAPS). Asterisks indicate distinct binding motifs of RBPs that are known to recognise multiple sequences. (I) Binding motif of HNRNP-H2 and the rMAPS derived regions of enrichment for this motif within the differentially spliced cassette exons. (J) Gene expression heatmap of RBPs identified by rMAPS, plotted as log2(counts per million) values. ns, *, **, *** and **** respectively denote P > 0.05, P ≤ 0.05, P < 0.01, P < 0.001 and P < 0.0001.
ing splice sites (Figure 5G), suggesting that METTL4 does not regulate splicing by pre-mRNA modification. In fact, probing the genome for this motif revealed that it becomes more frequent towards the 3’ end of the transcript, yet there are no differences between cassette and non-cassette internal exons (Supplementary Figure S4H). We identified a few RNA Binding Protein (RBP) motifs, which are mostly GC rich and enriched either in the upstream or downstream intronic regions, but not in the cassette exon body (Figure 5H). Among these, the GGAGGGG consensus motif corresponding to the binding site of hnRNP-H2 protein (33), was found by rMAPS to peak around the U2 snRNA binding site in the upstream intron (Figure 5I). The enrichment of this motif was also corroborated by similar results from a MEME de novo motif analysis of 125 nt regions around the upregulated cassette exons (Supplementary Figures S4I, J), and is disproportionately found in the previously described subset of short upstream flankin introns within this dataset (Supplementary Figure S4K). There were a few more enriched motifs including C-rich upstream intronic sequences that could be bound by proteins like PCBP2 (Supplementary Figures S4I, L). The RBPs that could bind to the motifs identified by rMAPS did not show gene expression changes in Mettl4-KO (Figure 5J). Overall, the METTL4 modification appears to repress splicing as its loss of function increases cassette exon inclusion or splicing of retained introns, and these splicing events exhibit particular features like splice-site weakness and short introns. Mettl4-KO affected splicing events may possibly be linked to U2 snRNA modification, yet other mechanisms mediated by RBPs may also apply.

**DISCUSSION**

Previous studies have investigated the function of the human DNA modification N^6^-methyl-deoxyadenosine (6mA) (48–50). Based on a past phylogenetic prediction of N^6^-methylation writers (43), METTL4 was reported to be the writer that mediates 6mA methylation (51,52). Our work here demonstrates that METTL4 functions as a RNA N^6^-methylation writer. Specifically, nucleotide 30 of U2 snRNA is an adenosine known to be ribose-2’O-methylated to give Am (26), and was also recently shown to be N^6^-methylated to m^2^Am (18). By knocking out human Mettl4 and sequencing the resulting loss of RNA methylation, we confirmed that METTL4 is required for N^6^-methylation at adenosine 30 of U2 snRNA. We also used nucleoside HPLC-MS/MS to validate the identity of the resultant modification as m^2^Am. By overexpressing recombinant METTL4, we demonstrated the necessity of METTL4’s catalytic site in its methylation activity and that METTL4 is able to directly methylate U2 snRNA both in vitro and in vivo.

N^6^-methylation writers such as METTL3 and PCIF1 have multiple in vitro targets and thus by comparing the difference in precise RNA methylosomes before and after depleting these writers in cells, we can determine the in vivo target RNA preference for each writer (25). METTL4 however, only has 1 clear in vitro target, making such an analysis non-trivial. We circumvented this limitation by overexpressing either METTL4^{WT} or METTL4^{CD} in HEK293T cells to simulate an in vitro methylation assay. Comparing differences between the RNA methylosomes in the 2 overexpressed cells revealed ectopic METTL4^{WT}-dependent de novo methylation sites, allowing us to determine METTL4’s in vivo target preference to be ‘HMAGKD’. We note that presence of the ‘HMAGKD’ sequence alone does not guarantee in vivo de novo methylation by METTL4. This indicates that other cis-acting elements, such as RNA secondary structure or Am presence, or trans-acting factors, such as METTL4 co-factors help to guide this process. During the preparation of this manuscript, another study also reported METTL4 as a U2 snRNA m^6^Am writer (53) but due to a lack of m^6^Am-sequencing, could not conduct the extensive in vivo target RNA preference analysis of our study. Therefore, our findings will facilitate further studies on how METTL4 specifically targets U2 snRNA.

As opposed to U2 pseudouridines, which in yeast were shown to affect either U2 snRNA biogenesis, particle stability, splicing function and even growth phenotypes (54), the role of U2 m^6^Am function remains largely uncharacterized. Our transcriptomic analysis revealed a limited yet reliable set of splicing events affected in Mettl4-KO cells. Another study (53) found more splicing events affected by Mettl4-KO but the limited information of these splicing events did not allow us to directly compare our results. These differences could be accounted for by different cutoffs for RNA-seq analysis. Splicing events regulated by METTL4 had a typical distribution of subtypes (cassette exons, etc) as compared to most cellular processes or alterations of splicing factors (55). Our meta-analysis of METTL4-dependent differential alternative splicing events suggests that the splicing alterations are governed by 3’-splice site features: (i) A slight overabundance of changes in alternative 3’ splice sites over 5’ splice sites; (ii) an overall weakness of 3’-splice-site strength for cassette exons downregulated in Mettl4-KO cells; (iii) enrichment of motifs upstream the 3’ splice sites, such as that for hnRNP-H2 in upregulated cassette exons. We did not perform Branch Point Sequence (BPS) analyses because of the high degeneracy of this motif in humans, and because only 25% of our splicing targets have mapped BPS (56,57). These data hint that the METTL4-dependent splicing events mainly act via the 3’ splice site, which is directly linked to U2 snRNA and likely to its modifications.

In human major spliceosome introns (>99% of all introns), 3’ splice sites are recognized by the pre-formed and stable U2 Auxiliary Factor (U2AF) heterodimer, in which the large U2AF65 subunit binds to the polypyrimidine tract while the small U2AF35 subunit binds a sequence motif around the intron-terminal AG dinucleotide (58–63). Similar to other splicing signals, 3’ splice sites are highly heterogeneous in humans. Hence, the relative contribution of the two subsets to 3’ splice-site recognition is highly variable. This had previously led to the model of AG-dependent versus AG-independent introns, as the latter introns have long polypyrimidine tracts that make U2AF35 binding dispensable for 3’ splice-site recognition (64). U2AF binding to 3’ splice sites together with Splicing Factor 1 (SF1) to the BPS then recruits the U2 snRN in an ATP-dependent manner to displace SF1, such that U2 snRNA base pairs to the BPS and bulges out an A to perform the first transesterification step of splicing (65,66). As the U2–30 position is not in the BPS recognition sequence yet 5’-adjacent to it, we hypothe-
size that the m\textsuperscript{6}Am modification may affect the recruitment of U2 snRNP by U2AF in different manners depending on the pre-mRNA substrate, thereby affecting the overall splicing patterns to a small degree. Surprisingly, we found that Mettl4-KO tends to increase inclusion of cassette exons and splicing of retained introns. As a possible explanation, the U2 snRNA modification may mainly act in a repressive manner, perhaps by reducing binding of U2 snRNA to certain BPS. The short length of introns flanking the exons with increased inclusion upon Mettl4-KO, together with their high GC content, suggest that the U2 modification may particularly affect recognition of BPS by intron definition (67). Further studies should aim to identify the mechanistic details of splicing events affected by METTL4 depletion, likely by \textit{in vitro} splicing reactions with reconstituted U2 snRNP particles without and with U2 snRNA position 30 m\textsuperscript{6}Am modification, so as to derive the respective U2 interactomes, degree of U2 association with pre-mRNAs, and efficiency of splicesome formation. Furthermore, the enrichment of GGGAGGG motif in the upstream flanking introns of several METTL4-dependent splicing events suggests that some such events might be regulated by the cognate RBP that recognizes this motif, which is hnRNP-H2 (68), perhaps in competition with U2 snRNA. The RBPs with enriched motifs in METTL4-dependent splicing events did not undergo any major expression or splicing change at RNA level upon Mettl4-KO, so if these factors account for a fraction of splicing events, they may be regulated by translation efficiency or post-translational modifications. Further studies should address the connection between METTL4 and hnRNP-H2 or other RBPs. Finally, the splicing events affected by Mettl4-KO did not reveal any significant enrichment in functional groups of genes (not shown). Nevertheless, it is possible that METTL4 could play tissue or developmental-specific roles, such as recently reported for adipocyte differentiation (69) and perhaps in other cells to be characterized in the future. In summary, our work here on the discovery of METTL4 as a U2 m\textsuperscript{6}Am writer provides a framework to explain how METTL4 regulates pre-mRNA splicing, thereby proposing a new pathway for epi-transcriptomic modifications to regulate RNA processing.
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