Presence of magnetic excitations in SmFeAsO
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Abstract

We measured dispersive spin excitations in SmFeAsO, parent compound of SmFeAsO_{1-x}F_x one of the highest temperature superconductors of Fe pnictides (T_C \approx 55 K). We determine the magnetic excitations to disperse with a bandwidth energy of ca 170 meV at (0.47, 0) and (0.34, 0.34), which merges into the elastic line approaching the Γ point. Comparing our results with other parent Fe pnictides, we show the importance of structural parameters for the magnetic excitation spectrum, with small modifications of the tetrahedron angles and As height strongly affecting the magnetism.
Since the discovery of high temperature superconductivity (SC) [1] in LaFeAsO$_{1-x}$F$_x$ the number of Fe-based compounds has quickly boosted and new families differing in structure and stoichiometry have been discovered and synthesized, the most common being the SmFeAsO (1111), BaFe$_2$As$_2$ (122), NaFeAs (111), and FeSe (11) (see [2, 3] for extended reviews). Ubiquitous FeAs layers, composed of FeAs$_4$ tetrahedrons, are separated by spacers, which differ from family to family. Generally, the parent compounds are antiferromagnetically ordered, and SC emerges upon hole, electron or isovalent doping, with the dopants either in the FeAs or in the spacing layer [2, 3]. The phase diagram, characterized by antiferromagnetism and SC, is similar to other unconventional superconductors, such as the cuprates and heavy fermions systems where a magnetic-mediated superconducting pairing mechanism has been proposed [4, 5]. Similarly, such a scenario has been further extended from these systems to Fe pnictides [4, 5]. In this framework, residual antiferromagnetic (AF) fluctuations are expected to be strong and possibly lead to a superconducting phase. Moreover, the structural / nematic transition at $T > T_N$ has been associated to spin excitations [2, 3, 6]. Thus, the detection of these AF fluctuations within several families with different structures is of vital importance for a complete understanding of Fe pnictides.

The effect of magnetism on the electronic structure has been measured by Angle Resolved Photo-Emission Spectroscopy (ARPES) through the detection of a kink in the band structure [7–9], which has been ascribed to electron-boson coupling with the bosonic candidate being of magnetic nature. However, ARPES represents an indirect spectroscopy to characterize magnetism which has to be characterized by means of techniques sensitive to spin excitations, such as neutron scattering [10–14] and / or X-Ray Scattering [15, 16]. Neutron scattering experiments confirmed the presence of sizable magnetic moments in Fe pnictides (on the order of $\approx 1 \mu_B$) [2, 3, 10] with few exceptions, such as NaFeAs that shows lower ordered magnetic moment [2, 3]. On the dynamical side, spin wave-like excitations were also observed in the AF phases of several compounds by inelastic neutron scattering (INS) and Resonant Inelastic X-Ray Scattering (RIXS) [10, 14–19]. The importance of spin fluctuations has been further confirmed by their persistence within the superconducting phase [10, 15–19], even though a conclusive picture of their role is still under development.

1111 crystals are known for naturally cleaving polarly which makes the interpretation of surface sensitive spectroscopic data (such as ARPES) difficult because of the mixing of surface states with bulk states [9, 20–23]. Moreover, the growth of suitable crystals for INS
is challenging and this complicates the measurements of high energy spin excitations (> 90 meV), where INS would provide plenty of information [10–12, 24]. These drawbacks can be minimized by employing RIXS, which has been previously employed in the detection of high energy spin excitations in NaFeAs and BaFe$_2$As$_2$ Fe pnictides [15, 16]. Moreover, thanks to the high refocusing (beam spot of 5x20 µm$^2$ VxH at the ADRESS beamline of the Swiss Light Source) and flux obtainable at the sample for modern beamlines [25], the amount of sample required for these investigations is on the order of tens of milligrams and crystals of the size 150x200 micrometers can now be successfully studied, even measuring down to a single layer of material [26].

In this Letter, we report on the measurement of high energy spin excitations in SmFeAsO,
FIG. 2. Momentum dependence of RIXS spectra along (0, 0) → (0.47, 0) and (0, 0) → (0.34, 0.34 r.l.u.) for SmFeAsO. Spectra were recorded in π polarization at the maximum of the Fe L3 absorption edge at 10 K. Experimental data are shown as black solid line, fitting of background and elastic line are the purple dashed line, and the magnetic peaks are depicted as black dashed line for every spectrum. The total fitting is depicted as red solid line. At low q_// a fitting is unreliable, so no fitting is attempted.

a parent compound of the 1111 series. We identify dispersing magnetic excitations ranging up to an energy of 170 meV at (0.47, 0 r.l.u.) which merge into the elastic line at the Γ point. Similar behavior is detected along the diagonal direction, where spin excitations disperse to 160-170 meV at (0.34, 0.34 r.l.u.) and decrease in energy moving towards the Γ point. The spin excitations bandwidth has a value similar to BaFe$_2$As$_2$ (≈190 meV) but higher than NaFeAs (≈150 meV). We correlate the bandwidth of magnetic excitations with the structure of the FeAs$_4$ tetrahedron, in particular the height of As respect to the Fe layer.
(\text{h}_{\text{FeAs}}). The detection of high energy spin excitations in this parent compound confirms that magnetic excitations are universal within the parent Fe pnictides.

Single crystals of SmFeAsO have been grown by the flux method, using NaAs, SmAs, Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, Fe and As powder as starting materials. The precursor NaAs has been obtained by mixing Na lump and As powder, which had been sealed in an evacuated titanium tube and sintered at 650\degree C for 10 h. SmAs has been prepared by mixing Sm pieces and As powder, sealed in a evacuated Ti tube, and sintered at 700\degree C for 20 h. The stoichiometric amount of NaAs, SmAs, Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, Fe, and As powder have been weighed to achieve an element ratio of NaAs : SmFeAsO = 20 : 1. The mixture has been grounded thoroughly and put into an alumina crucible and sealed in an Nb crucible under 1 atm of Argon gas, which was then sealed in an evacuated quartz tube. Finally the mixture was heated to 1100\degree C and cooled slowly down to 700\degree C at a rate of 5\degree C / h to grow the single crystals.

The samples were mounted with the \textit{ab} plane perpendicular to the scattering plane and the \textit{c} axis lying in it (sketch in Fig. 1(a) and post-cleaved \textit{in situ} at a pressure better than 2.0\times10\textsuperscript{-10} mbar. The directions studied are (1, 0) and (1, 1) according to the orthorhombic unfolded crystallographic notation [27]. We use the convention of 1 Fe per unit cell. All the measurements were carried out at 10 K. X-ray Absorption Spectra (XAS) and RIXS experiments were performed at the ADRESS beamline of the Swiss Light Source, Paul Scherrer Institute, Villigen, Switzerland [25, 28]. XAS spectra were measured in Total Fluorescence Yield (TFY). The RIXS spectrometer was set to a scattering angle of 130\degree and the incidence angle on the samples surface was varied to change the in plane momentum transferred (q) from (0, 0) to (0.47, 0 r.l.u.) (relative lattice units expressed in \textit{q}//\textit{a}/2\pi) and from (0, 0) to (0.34, 0.34 r.l.u.) as shown in Fig. 1(a). All measurements are recorded in grazing incidence configuration. The total energy resolution was 110 meV, measured by means of elastic scattering from a carbon-filled acrylic tape.

We measured Fe L\textsubscript{2,3} XAS spectra for the two crystallographic orientations at 15\degree incidence angle and \pi polarization. Fig. 1(b) displays the XAS spectrum of the (1, 0) orientation. The XAS along (0, 0)→(1, 1) (not displayed) is analogue to the (0, 0)→(1,0) direction. The spectrum is composed of a broad peak centered at 707 eV, typical of metallic systems containing Fe [15, 16, 29, 30]. The incident energy for RIXS was tuned at the main Fe-L\textsubscript{3} peak. In Fig. 1(c) an exemplary RIXS spectrum of SmFeAsO at (0.47, 0) is shown. The main line in this spectrum resembles emission from metallic systems with a broad asymmetric
peak displaying a maximum at around -2 eV in energy loss ($\hbar\nu_{out}-\hbar\nu_{in}$) [15, 16, 29, 31], arising from resonant emission of itinerant electrons. In contrast to doped 1111 [32], but in agreement with other Fe pnictides, the emission line is not showing any sharp features attributable to dd-excitations [15, 16, 29, 30]. This confirms the moderate electronic correlations of Fe pnictides contrasting with Fe chalcogenides where dd-excitations have been observed employing hard X-ray RIXS [33].

On the low energy side of the RIXS spectra at (0.47, 0) and (0.34, 0.34), we observe a peak emerging from the background having an energy of 170 meV as illustrated in Fig. 1(d) and 2. This peak moves towards the elastic line when the in-plane momentum transferred is decreased and at low $q_{//}$ it merges into the elastic line. The energy range and the dispersive nature of this mode resemble the behavior of spin excitations detected by RIXS in BaFe$_2$As$_2$ [15] and NaFeAs (measurements shown in Supplemental Material). We fit the background, the elastic and the magnetic line in agreement to [15, 16, 31] and plot the results in Fig. 2. At low values of $q_{//}$, we do not attempt any fitting procedure because of the high overlap between the elastic and the magnetic peak. However, we believe that an estimation of a rough energy range is still possible. In Fig. 3(a) we show the dispersion curve arising from this fitting procedure as black dots with error bars together with the dispersion curves extracted from BaFe$_2$As$_2$ [15] and NaFeAs measured in the same experimental conditions (see the raw data and the fittings of NaFeAs in the Supplemental Material).

Figure 3(a) illustrates the dispersion relation of magnetic excitations for SmFeAsO (black dots with error bars), NaFeAs (green dots with error bars), and BaFe$_2$As$_2$ (red dots with error bars) along (0, 0)→(1, 0) and (0, 0)→(1, 1). Clearly, there is a renormalization of the magnetic bandwidth between BaFe$_2$As$_2$, that shows the highest energy (190 meV ±20 meV), SmFeAsO displaying an intermediate energy (170 meV ±20 meV), and NaFeAs having the lowest bandwidth (150 meV ±20 meV) as summarized in Fig. 3(c). This trend is in qualitative agreement with the decreasing values of magnetic moment from BaFe$_2$As$_2$ to SmFeAsO and NaFeAs [2, 3, 10, 14, 34]. However a quantitative comparison between ordered magnetic moment and spin excitations is not straightforward and is beyond the goal of this paper. The relevance of the structural parameters for SC and magnetism has been widely discussed in Refs. [2, 3, 14], with $h_{FeAs}$ and the angle of the tetrahedron formed by FeAs$_4$ as the main possible parameters affecting $T_C$ and $T_N$. Since there is no SC in these parent compounds, in Fig. 3(b) we show only the values of $T_N$ and how they correlate
FIG. 3. (a) Dispersion of spin excitations in BaFe$_2$As$_2$ [15], SmFeAsO, and NaFeAs detected along (0, 0)→(1, 0) and (0, 0)→(1, 1) extracted from RIXS experiments at 10 K. Close to (0, 0), we show only the error bar without marker because the peak of magnetic excitations and the elastic line are overlapped and a determination of the energy is unreliable (see text). (b) Comparison of $T_N$ and $h_{FeAs}$ in BaFe$_2$As$_2$, SmFeAsO, and NaFeAs from [2, 3]. (c) Summary of the spin excitations energy at (0.47, 0).

with $h_{FeAs}$ [2, 3, 14]. In details, $h_{FeAs}$ increases from 1.358 Å in BaFe$_2$As$_2$ to 1.37 Å in SmFeAsO and then to 1.416 Å in NaFeAs, whereas $T_N$ decreases [2, 3, 10, 14, 34] as well as the magnetic bandwidth measured in our experiments and outlined in Fig. 3(c). This highlights the importance of the structure for the spin excitations in Fe pnictides as well as the expected role of structural deformations due to doping, pressure or even defects in phase transitions like nematicity and / or SC.

In conclusion, we measured the spin excitations spectrum of SmFeAsO, parent compound of the 1111 series, bypassing the polar cleaving problem. Comparison with other parent Fe pnictides, measured in the same experimental configuration, show that the bandwidth of spin waves is slightly renormalized to lower values in SmFeAsO compared to BaFe$_2$As$_2$ but higher than NaFeAs. Here, we have illustrated how the structure, in particular $h_{FeAs}$, influences the magnetic properties of Fe pnictides possibly triggering most of the modifications. We claim that, if such structural modifications can affect the magnetism and bandwidth, then
the perturbations provided by doping and / or pressure might lead to instabilities such as Cooper pairing and / or nematicity, not triggered by electronic effects alone but by structural effects as well.
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FIG. S1. Momentum dependence of RIXS spectra along $(0, 0) \rightarrow (0.47, 0)$ and $(0, 0) \rightarrow (0.31, 0.31 \text{ r.l.u.})$ for NaFeAs. Spectra were recorded in $\pi$ polarization at the maximum of the Fe L$_3$ absorption edge at 10 K. Experimental data are shown as black solid line, fitting of background and elastic line are the purple dashed line and the magnetic peaks are depicted as black dashed line for every spectrum. The total fitting is depicted as red solid line. At low $q_{//}$ a fitting is unreliable, so no fitting is attempted.

I. SPIN EXCITATIONS IN NAFeAS

In Fig. S1, we plot RIXS spectra for NaFeAs along along $(0, 0) \rightarrow (0.47, 0)$ and $(0, 0) \rightarrow (0.31, 0.31 \text{ r.l.u.})$ together with their fitting.