Microbiome data reveal significant differences in the bacterial diversity in freshwater rohu (Labeo rohita) across the supply chain in Dhaka, Bangladesh
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Significance and Impact of the Study: Rohu (Labeo rohita) is the most popular fish in Bangladesh. In this manuscript, we have characterized bacterial community and quantified coliforms from the skin and gut samples of rohu across the supply chains (live fresh, frozen and marketed) using TaqMan real-time PCR and Illumina-based 16S rRNA sequencing to identify microbial community differences in the post-harvest stages. The qPCR and sequence data revealed transmission of opportunistic pathogens in the marketed fish samples from the environment. The results raised significant health concerns and advocated proper post-harvest handling and processing of fish in the megacities of Bangladesh.
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Abstract
The present study aimed to characterize and compare the skin and gut microbial communities of rohu at various post-harvest stages of consumption using quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction and 16S rRNA-based amplicon sequencing. Real-time PCR amplification detected higher copy numbers for coliform bacteria—Escherichia coli, Salmonella enterica and Shigella spp. in the marketed fish—compared to fresh and frozen samples. The 16S rRNA data revealed higher alpha diversity measurements in the skin of fish from different retail markets of Dhaka city. Beta ordination revealed distinct clustering of bacterial OTUs for the skin and gut samples from three different groups. At the phylum level, Proteobacteria was most abundant in all groups except the Fusobacteria in the control fish gut. Although Aeromonas was found ubiquitous in all types of samples, diverse bacterial genera were identified in the marketed fish samples. Nonetheless, low species richness was observed for the frozen fish. Most of the differentially abundant bacteria in the skin samples of marketed fish are opportunistic human pathogens enriched at different stages of postharvest handling and processing. Therefore, considering the microbial contamination in the aquatic environment in Bangladesh, post-harvest handling should be performed with proper methods and care to minimize bacterial transmission into fish.
**Introduction**

Fish provides around 60% of the animal protein intake in Bangladesh and the fisheries sector continues to grow at a rate of around 8% per annum (Belton et al. 2011). Bangladesh is now the fourth-largest producer of inland fishes after China, India and Myanmar (Bhowmick and Crumlish 2016). More than 17 million people are directly involved in the fisheries industry including farming, fishing, processing and trading (Shamsuzzaman et al. 2017). However, due to widespread environmental pollutions and poor hygienic fish handling practices, there is an increasing risk of microbial contamination of fish and fishery products, and foodborne illness to the public caused by poor handling and consumption of contaminated food products. The rearing environment and water used for the fish processing are identified as potential sources of microbial contamination in the farms (Sidique et al. 2021), natural habitats (Majumdar et al. 2014), wholesale markets (Hossain et al. 2018; Foysal et al. 2019) and processed fish (Sanjee and Karim 2016). Each year, about 30 million people are infected by cholera and diarrhoea, mostly through contaminated water and food (Van Egmond et al. 2007).

While the fish itself is a natural reservoir of several bacteria potentially pathogenic for humans such as *Mycobacterium* spp., *Streptococcus iniae*, *Vibrio alginolyticus* and *V. vulnificus*, other pathogens associated with foodborne illness include *V. cholerae*, *Escherichia coli*, *Aeromonas* spp., *Salmonella* spp., *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Clostridium perfringens* (Novotny et al. 2004). Notably, in our recent study, a diverse range of bacterial genera including *Escherichia*, *Vibrio*, *Klebsiella*, *Acinetobacter*, *Enterobacter*, *Proteus*, *Streptococcus*, *Staphylococcus*, *Serratia*, *Aeromonas*, *Pseudomonas* and *Flavobacterium* were detected from the gut and skin samples of marketed hilsa fish in Bangladesh (Foysal et al. 2019). More importantly, even in frozen fish and crustaceans’ species, pathogenic bacteria were shown to present according to several studies performed in Dhaka, the capital city of Bangladesh, highlighting the severity of microbial contamination in local fish products (Rokibul et al. 2013; Samia et al. 2014; Noor et al. 2021). However, in all studies, samples were collected from a specific point, and thus the sources of pathogen transmission in various stages of post-harvest have not been identified.

Among the fish cultured in Bangladesh, rohu (*Labeo rohita*) is most popularly consumed, accounting for 25% of total production (Foysal et al. 2020). Due to the high consumption rate of rohu in this nation (Belton et al. 2011; Foysal et al. 2020), plus previous contamination reports arising from unhygienic processing and inappropriate storage environments (Foysal et al. 2019), the high risk of foodborne diseases is a major concern. It is therefore important to characterize the microbiota of this fish in different stages of post-harvest to identify the sources of contamination. Owing to the limitations of plate-based morphological and biochemical characterization, culture-independent high-throughput sequencing (HTS) has been used to trace down the potential sources of microbial contamination in post-harvest fishes and food products (Bledsoe et al. 2016; Antunes-Rohling et al. 2019; Xing et al. 2021). In this study, we aimed to identify and compare the skin and gut microbiota of live, frozen and marketed rohu fish samples, with the aid of culture-independent quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and 16S rRNA gene sequencing technology. The results would help to reveal and understand the endogenous and exogenous bacteria present in rohu, especially those important foodborne pathogens, and thus allowing the development of prevention strategies and better food safety policies to safeguard public health safety.

**Results and discussion**

Following sample pooling, nine pairs of fish gut and skin samples were acquired, with three pairs each prepared from fresh, marketed and frozen fish, respectively.

**TaqMan real-time PCR detection of coliform bacteria**

The real-time qPCR efficiency for *E. coli*, *S. enterica* and *Shigella* spp. was 91·2% with $R^2$ value of 0·999. In the skin, *E. coli* (P-value <0·001), *S. enterica* (P-value = 0·008) and *Shigella* spp. (P-value = 0·002) had higher copy numbers in marketed samples, compared to fresh control and frozen fish where the differences were found even higher for *E. coli* (P-value <0·001), *S. enterica* (P-value = 0·002) and *Shigella* spp. (P-value = 0·001). However, no significant difference in copy number was observed between fresh and frozen fish skin samples. In the gut however, only *E. coli* was detected from all three sources with higher copy numbers in the marketed samples than fresh (P-value = 0·021) and frozen (P-value = 0·012) samples. *Shigella* spp. was found only in all samples in low copy numbers. No *S. enterica* was detected in the gut samples from any sources (Table 1).

Attributing to poor waste disposal and hygiene, widespread environmental pollution as a result of rapid urbanization and the lack of public awareness, transmission of opportunistic and pathogenic bacteria into fish from environmental sources are common in Bangladesh (Rahman et al. 2007; Foysal et al. 2019). Therefore, we aimed to quantify and compare the coliform bacteria in the gut and skin microbial compositions of live, market...
and frozen rohu, which is the most consumed fish in this nation. The qPCR results suggest contamination of marketed fish by coliforms. Similar to a recent study (Ava et al. 2020), the higher copy number of *E. coli*, *Shigella* and *S. enterica* may have a link to water use for cleaning and basket to hold fish in the market. The frozen fish in the supermarket usually undergone an extra step of hygienic cleaning and processing and that might remove some bacteria before freezing. Hence, good practice in post-harvest processing can reduce the chance of coliform contamination in marketed fish.

**Differences in rohu fish skin and gut microbiota between groups**

After quality filtering and merging of overlapping paired-end reads, 689 364 sequences were retained and clustered into 218 OTUs. Taxonomic classification of these OTUs subsequently revealed 12 phyla and 88 genera.

We first examined the fish skin microbiota of each group. Alpha diversity analysis revealed that the market fish skin samples had the highest bacterial richness and diversity, which were significantly greater than that in the control fresh and frozen groups (Fig. 1a). The marketed fish also had 16 unshared genera, not found in fresh and frozen fish (Fig. 1b). Beta diversity analysis by unweighted and weighted UniFrac distance metric further demonstrated clear separations among the fresh, market and frozen fish skin samples, indicating that there are distinct differences in microbial composition between groups (Fig. 1c,d).

We next analysed the relative abundances of bacterial phyla and genera in each group. At the phylum level, Proteobacteria was the most dominant bacterial phylum present in fresh, frozen and market fish skin samples, at 65.3, 80 and 73.8%, respectively (Fig. 1e). It was then followed by Bacteroidetes (12.9%) and Planctomycetes (12.4%) within the control group. Firmicutes was the second most common bacterial phylum present in both frozen and market groups, at 19.8 and 14.4%, respectively, and with Bacteroidetes (0.14%) being the third most abundant phylum in the former and Actinobacteria (10.4%) in the latter. At the genus level, the control samples were dominated by bacteria of environmental origin including *Shewanella* (30.5%), *Chitinilyticum* (9.9%), *Blastopirellula* (8.9%), *Dinghuiobacter* (6.9%) and *Dechloromonas* (2.6%), while in the frozen samples *Alcaligenes* (55%), *Enterobacter* (20.7%) and *Lactococcus* (19.7%) made up at least 95% of the total bacterial population.

| Bacteria (cells per gram) | Skin Fresh | Marketed | Frozen | Gut Fresh | Marketed | Frozen |
|--------------------------|-----------|---------|--------|-----------|---------|--------|
| *E. coli*                 | $1.08 \times 10^7$ | $2.3 \times 10^7$ | $1.06 \times 10^7$ | $4.8 \times 10^7$ | $1.5 \times 10^7$ |
| *S. enterica*             | $1.08 \times 10^7$ | $2.3 \times 10^7$ | $1.06 \times 10^7$ | $4.8 \times 10^7$ | $1.5 \times 10^7$ |
| *Shigella* spp.           | $1.08 \times 10^7$ | $2.3 \times 10^7$ | $1.06 \times 10^7$ | $4.8 \times 10^7$ | $1.5 \times 10^7$ |

Rows with different superscript letters indicate significantly different values between the skin and gut samples from different sources; fresh, marketed and frozen samples ($n=5$). Skin and gut samples were compared separately.
In the control group, Proteobacteria and Fusobacteria were found predominantly, with roughly comparable abundances 52.1 and 46.7%, respectively (Fig. 2e). In contrast, the frozen group was contained almost entirely Proteobacteria, with a mean relative abundance of 99.4%, while the market group contained mostly Proteobacteria (85%), followed by 9.3 and 5.6% of Fusobacteria and Firmicutes, respectively. At the genus level, both Aeromonas (51.3%) and Enterobacter (48%), and Aeromonas (47.9%) and Cetobacterium (46.7%) were the two most predominant bacterial genera identified in frozen and control fresh groups, respectively (Fig. 2f). In the market group, the most predominant bacterial genus was Aeromonas, at 83.7% (Fig. 3), followed by Cetobacterium (9.3%) and Lactococcus (5.4%). Only four significant bacterial genera were identified (Table 2). Brachybacterium, Cetobacterium, Plesiomonas and Serratia were all significantly more abundant in the control fresh than that of the frozen and market ones.

In the present study, most of the bacteria identified from control fresh, marketed and frozen fish including species of Aeromonas, Cetobacterium and Shewanella, that are the normal flora of gut and skin of fish (Austin 2006; Egerton et al. 2018; Ramírez et al. 2018; Foysal et al. 2020). However, relative and differential abundance in marketed samples suggested rapid colonization of opportunistic bacteria and coliforms from environmental sources, mostly from unhygienic handling and water.
used for processing and preservation. Differentially abundant reads for genera Pseudomonas, Staphylococcus, Enterobacter and Corynebacterium with more accurate Bonferroni correction revealed the transmission of environmental bacteria and opportunistic pathogens into marketed fish at different stages of handling, transportation, processing and marketing. These results are consistent to previous studies that investigate microbial communities in marketed and processed fish in Bangladesh. Molecular-based studies identified opportunistic pathogen including Aeromonas, Vibrio, Streptococcus, Staphylococcus and Serratia from the marketed hilsa (Tenualosa ilisha) fish for human consumption in Bangladesh (Hossain et al. 2018; Foysal et al. 2019). Another plate-based study identified high prevalence of pathogenic bacteria including Pseudomonas, Salmonella, Shigella, Vibrio, Listeria and Staphylococcus from the marketed and processed sea fish samples in Dhaka city (Rokibul et al. 2013; Noor et al. 2021). However, compared to previous studies on sea and hilsa fish (Hossain et al. 2018; Foysal et al. 2019; Noor et al. 2021), we found low Listeria, Streptococcus, Salmonella, Shigella and Serratia abundance in marketed rohu fish. The sea fish and hilsa mostly caught at southern part of Bangladesh and thus the longer transportation time, frequent exposure to environmental contaminants (air, water, soil) and mixture with other fish samples in the local market during selling probably associated with more pathogen transmission in these fish species. In contrast, rohu fish in the present study were collected from Gazipur, a city next to Dhaka. Therefore, distance between fish harvested and selling point together with fish handling during transportation and marketing play a crucial role in faecal contamination in major cities of Bangladesh.
In the present study, though we could not able to detect some previously reported pathogen in marketed and processed fish, yet the number and abundance for some opportunistic pathogens in marketed fish were found significantly higher. *Acinetobacter*, *Enterobacter*, *Aeromonas*, *Pseudomonas* and *Staphylococcus* were detected at high copy numbers in the marketed fish. *Aeromonas* species are ubiquitous in the environment, especially in the aquatic systems and many species are reported to play role in fish diseases (Janda and Abbott 2010; Igbinosa et al. 2012) wherein *Acinetobacter*, *Pseudomonas* and *Enterobacter* accelerate fish spoilage through the production of histamines (Kim et al. 2001; Kuley et al. 2017). In Bangladesh, the fishes after caught are transported in live condition to local retail markets in big drums with water from different sources. The higher *Aeromonas* and *Enterobacter* abundance in the gut can be linked to transfer of bacteria in the gut from the transporting water. On the other hand, *Staphylococcus* that is mostly food-borne pathogen and commonly found on animal skin where their percentage of abundance indicate the degree of spoilage (Gutiérrez et al. 2012; Bujjamma and Padmavathi 2015). Therefore, the presence of these bacteria can be correlated with poor fish quality in the city markets of Bangladesh.

The processed frozen fish in the present study found to contain more Proteobacteria and Firmicutes, and low
The abundance of opportunistic pathogens and coliforms. The *Enterobater* and *Alcaligenes* possesses Psychrophillic characteristics (Macaulay *et al.* 1963; Arulkumar *et al.* 2019) and lactic acid bacteria including *Lactococcus* and *Lactobacillus* can survive in ultra-low temperature, identified in processed fish and meat (Kato *et al.* 2000; Matamoros *et al.* 2009). The higher concentration of the selected bacteria in frozen fish hence can be correlated to their growth capabilities at low temperature storage. Nevertheless, the lower diversity of bacteria in frozen fish primarily linked to faster processing, cared handling, use of chemicals and preservatives that slowed down and inhibit the growth of microbes. Therefore, transmission of pathogen in fish can be controlled with good post-harvest handling and transportation practices.

In Bangladesh, fish are well cooked before consumption, so there is no direct risk associated with bacterial contamination. However, widespread transmission of opportunistic pathogens results in drug-resistant isolates in the aquatic species that posing continuous threat to public health safety (Hossain *et al.* 2018; Foysal *et al.* 2019; Siddique *et al.* 2021). To overcome the problem and considering environmental safety, appropriate steps should be taken to keep fish out of possible transmission during processing, preservation and selling at the market. Some strong initiatives by the government in recent times have proven worthwhile against environmental pollutions as reflected by the results of the present study. To prevent further contamination in marketed fish, exposure could be minimized through application of advanced automated fish processing techniques (Komlatsky *et al.* 2019) or proper handling during processing, chilling, use of legislated antimicrobials like nitrates, sulphides and organic acids (Ghaly *et al.* 2010; Nagarajarao 2016).

**Figure 3** Circular LEfSe cladogram representing the phylogenetic distribution of bacterial lineage in six different groups. The lineage with LDA scores of 2.0 or above is displayed here. The red, green, blue, purple, cyan and orange colours indicate CG, CS, FG, FS, MG and MS, respectively. The dot at the centre represents the OTUs at the phylum level while the outer circle of dots denotes OTUs at genus level. The order, family and genus that are significantly different between groups are given in the upper right corner with respective colour codes. Abbreviations: CS, control skin; FS, frozen skin; MS, marketed skin; CG, control gut; FG, frozen gut; MS, marketed gut.
Overall, the present study revealed the transmission of environmental and opportunistic bacteria into fish at post-harvest handling in the capital city of Bangladesh. However, low volume of samples in HTS and qPCR are some of the limitations for this study. Therefore, future research is recommended on more varieties of fish from pond, river and ocean as well as from different cities to know the correlation between bacteria and factors that hasten microbial contamination. To tackle the impacts of widespread pollution on fisheries sectors, government should take appropriate awareness and training programmes for the farmers, workers associated with processing, transportation and preservation, and sellers to reduce the chance of microbial contamination into fish at different stages of post-harvest.

Materials and methods

Animal ethics

The collection of samples and anesthetization of fish were carried out following the guidelines and recommendations of the Guidelines for the Use of Fishes in Research published by the American Fisheries Society (2014) since Bangladesh has no specific guidelines for the use of fish in research. The research was approved and strictly supervised by the Dean, Graduate Research Committee of the Department of Biotechnology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agriculture University, Gazipur, Bangladesh.

Sample collection and processing

For this study, three monoculture ponds for rohu farming in Salna, Gazipur district (24-0290°N, 90-3862°E), Dhaka, Bangladesh, were selected. The live fresh fish cultured for 8 months with an average weight of 831 ± 26 g were randomly selected from three different ponds, five from each pond. The freshly caught live fish was used as control to compare the bacterial diversity with marketed and frozen fish. Following the supply chain, 15 fish (814 ± 31 g) were randomly collected from Kawanbazar (23-7516°N, 90-3943°E), Mogbazar (23-7494°N, 90-4090°E) and Malibagh (23-7466°N, 90-4128°E) fish retail markets, five from each market between 24 and 48 h of harvest. At the same time, 15 frozen fish (832 ± 36 g) samples were collected from a retail supermarket located at Kawanbazar, Mogbazar and Malibagh. A total of 45, 30 dead and 15 freshly caught live fishes were collected from fish culture ponds, retail markets and supermarkets (Fig. S1). We have collected marketed and frozen samples after 48 h post-harvest and processing as this time-point is reported as an indicator for the growth of fish spoilage microbes (Golden and Arroyo-Gallyou 1997; Rezaabad et al. 2017; Tsironi and Taoukis 2017).

Collected fish samples were kept at 4°C (excluding the live fishes) and transported immediately (<1 h) to our laboratory at the Institute of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University, Gazipur, Dhaka, Bangladesh, and stored at −80°C until processing. In all, 15 live fish were euthanized by dipping into 250 mg l−1 solution of MS-222 (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany), stored at −80°C for 15 min and dissected together with other dead fishes stored previously to collect intestine samples. From each fish, a skin sample was prepared by swabbing the scale surface and the fish body (after descaling) prior to resuspension in 50 μl phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Subsequently, skin samples prepared from the respective three fishes of the same pond, retail market and supermarket were homogenized and pooled together (n = 5). The gut sample was prepared by excising the whole gut, separation of interior, mid and distal gut, followed by rinsing with PBS and dissected into small pieces. Approximately 300 g of samples, 100 g from each part of the gut containing the mucosa and the gut content were then transferred into a 2 ml Eppendorf tube and homogenized using beads in a tissue lyser (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The gut samples of the respective three fishes for the same pond (n = 5), retail market (n = 5) and supermarket (n = 5) were pooled together for the DNA extraction.

DNA extraction

The bacterial DNA from the pooled samples was extracted using DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Extracted DNA was quantified using the NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), diluted into 50 ng μl−1 final concentration with DEPC treated water and preserved at −20°C until further use.

TaqMan quantitative real-time PCR for the detection of coliform bacteria

Standard plasmid and curve for E. coli, Salmonella enterica and Shigella spp. were constructed according to the methods described earlier (Liu et al. 2019). Briefly, purified fragments were cloned into pGEM®-T Easy Vector System (Thermo Fisher Scientific), transformed into One Shot™ TOP10 Chemically Competent E. coli (Invitrogen, CA, USA). Plasmid was extracted using Plasmid Miniprep Kit (Qiagen). The purity and concentration of DNA were checked in NanoDrop 2000 cc (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and Qubit™ 3 Flurometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). After calculation of plasmid DNA copy number using
formula, \(6.02 \times 1023 \times (\text{ng} \, \mu^{-1} \times 10^{-9}) / \text{bp} \times 660\), concentration of \(10^9 - 10^9\) cell per \(\mu\)l was achieved by serial dilution, and used as qPCR standards. Real-time TaqMan PCR assay was performed using rfbE, hila, ipaH pathogen-specific virulence genes (Table S1) targeted \(E. \, coli, \, S. \, enterica\) and \(Shigella\) spp. Real-time TaqMan assay was carried out in 25 \(\mu\)l final mixture containing 12.5 \(\mu\)l TaqMan Fast Advanced Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 1 \(\mu\)l of each forward and reverse primer (10 \(\mu\)mol l\(^{-1}\)), 1 \(\mu\)l probe (5 \(\mu\)mol l\(^{-1}\)), 1 \(\mu\)l of genomic DNA and 8.5 \(\mu\)l of nuclease-free water (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany). The following qPCR conditions were used: 95°C for 30 s, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 5 s, 55°C for 10 s and 72°C for 30 s. All runs included positive and negative controls (nuclease-free water). Absolute copy number of unknown samples were determined based on corresponding standard (Rao et al. 2013).

**Illumina MiSeq sequencing**

PCR amplification of the V3–V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene was performed on each DNA sample using the primer sets as specified in Illumina’s 16S metagenomic sequencing library preparation protocol. Except the primer set, the PCR mixture was prepared as described in the previous section for the detection of \(E. \, coli\) and coliforms. PCR parameters were identical to in the previous section for the detection of \(E. \, coli\) and coliforms. PCR parameters were identical to in the previous section for the detection of \(E. \, coli\) and coliforms. PCR parameters were identical to in the previous section for the detection of \(E. \, coli\) and coliforms. PCR parameters were identical to in the previous section for the detection of \(E. \, coli\) and coliforms. PCR parameters were identical to in the previous section for the detection of \(E. \, coli\) and coliforms. PCR parameters were identical to in the previous section for the detection of \(E. \, coli\) and coliforms. PCR parameters were identical to in the previous section for the detection of \(E. \, coli\) and coliforms. PCR parameters were identical to in the previous section for the detection of \(E. \, coli\) and coliforms. PCR parameters were identical to in the previous section for the detection of \(E. \, coli\) and coliforms. PCR parameters were identical to in the previous section for the detection of \(E. \, coli\) and coliforms. PCR parameters were identical to in the previous section for the detection of \(E. \, coli\) and coliforms.

**Bioinformatics**

Sickle program (https://github.com/najoshi/sickle) was used for quality trimming of raw sequencing reads with the following parameters: -q 20 –l 200. Read quality was checked before and after trimming in FastQC pipeline (https://github.com/s-andrews/FastQC). MeFiT program used for merging of overlapping pair-end reads with default parameters (Parikh et al. 2016). Filtering of merged overlapping sequences, de novo greedy clustering into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at 97% identity threshold level were performed using Micca version 1.7.2 (Albanese et al. 2015). Taxonomic classification of OTUs was performed using the micca classify command against SILVA database (release 1.32) (Quast et al. 2012).

Rarefaction was performed at the depth value of 7132. Alpha and beta diversity estimations were performed using the microbiomeSeq R package (https://github.com/umerijaz/microbiomeSeq). For alpha diversity, the species richness, Shannon, Simpson, InvSimpson and Chao1 diversity metrics were employed. For beta diversity analysis, principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) based on unweighted and weighted UniFrac distance metric was used. Nonparametric statistical analysis of the distance metric was performed using the permutation multivariate analysis of variance method.

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analysis were performed in Rstudio (v4.1.2) (R Core Team 2013). Data were subjected to Shaprio-Wilk’s and Levene’s tests to assess the normal distribution and homogeneity of the variances. Distinguishing genera between groups was analysed using ANOVA with Bonferroni correction. Differences with adjusted P-values <0.01 were considered significant. The qPCR data were compared with one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s HSD between groups for skin and marketed samples.

**Calculations**

Standard curve for the qPCR: 

\[ y = mx + b, \]  where \(m\) = slope and \(b\) = intercept.
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