Hydrogen migration as a potential driving force in the thermal decomposition of dimethoxymethane: New insights from pyrolysis imaging photoelectron photoion coincidence spectroscopy and computations
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A B S T R A C T

Pyrolysis and low-temperature oxidation of dimethoxymethane (methylal, MeOCH₂OMe) play an important role in the ignition of blended diesel fuels, but the underlying mechanisms are still debated. In these kinetic models, bimolecular hydrogen abstraction or unimolecular C–O bond fission are considered as the primary initial steps, while MeOCH₂OMe isomerization is sometimes disregarded. In this work, we investigate the pyrolysis of MeOCH₂OMe combining imaging photoelectron photoion coincidence spectroscopy with vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) synchrotron radiation and CBS-QB3 theoretical calculations to unveil reaction paths and energetics. In the mass spectrum of MeOCH₂OMe, pyrolysis products and radical intermediates were observed at m/z 15 (CH₃), 28 (CO), 29 (HCO), 30 (H₂CO), 31 (CH₂OH), 32 (CH₃OH), 45 (CH₂OCH₃), and 75 (H-loss from methylal). Only the m/z 45 and 75 ions are found to be dissociative photoionization products of MeOCH₂OMe, the other mass spectral peaks are attributed to ionization of the neutral MeOCH₂OMe pyrolysis products. The m/z 31 peak was assigned to the methoxy radical in the previous studies. However, our photoion mass-selected threshold photoelectron spectrum (ms-TPES) confirms that it originates from dissociative photoionization of the primary pyrolysis fragment methylal. Based on the experimental and computational results, a thermal decomposition mechanism of MeOCH₂OMe is proposed. Here, H-migration precedes the production of methoxymethylene (CH₂OCH) and methanol, while dimethyl ether and formaldehyde are probably formed in multi-step processes, too. The sequential dissociation of CH₂OCH and of dimethyl ether yields enhanced m/z 15, 28 and 29 signals at high temperature. Rate constants have been calculated to confirm the dominant role of MeOCH₂OMe isomerization and to help improve predictive combustion models.

© 2020 The Combustion Institute. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Oxgenated hydrocarbon additives are blended into gasoline and diesel fuels to reduce carbon monoxide and unburned hydrocarbon emissions [1–6]. Since the emission reduction is thought to be related to the C–O bonds in these oxygenates, oxymethylen ethers (OMEs) with the structure of CH₃–O–(CH₂–O)n–CH₃ are promising biofuel additives, similar to alcohols and ethers. In view of atmospheric pollution, the positive influence on the soot–NOₓ trade-off makes blending OMEs into diesel fuels appealing worldwide [7–11]. It was found that blends of more than 15% reduced the formation of particulate matter (soot) by up to 52% and NOₓ by 4% compared to common diesel fuels [12,13].

Dimethoxymethane (MeOCH₂OMe or methylal) is the simplest OME, has a low boiling point and cetane number (CN = 29) [9]. The CN reflects on fuel ignition properties, which is why understanding the low-temperature pyrolysis and oxidation kinetics of MeOCH₂OMe is crucial for its rational application in fuels. To acquire an in-depth knowledge of MeOCH₂OMe kinetics, experimental and theoretical investigations have been performed recently.
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In 2017, Kopp et al. [14] first elucidated the oxidation kinetics of MeOCH$_2$OMe using high-level \textit{ab initio} and statistical mechanistic methods. Hydrogen abstraction from the terminal methyl or the central methane groups of MeOCH$_2$OMe by H atoms and CH$_3$ radicals was assumed to trigger oxidation kinetics and rate constants were calculated for these processes. Vermeire et al. [15] measured end products of the MeOCH$_2$OMe oxidation and pyrolysis in an isothermal quartz jet-stirred reactor (JSR) with gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS), and proposed a kinetic model with the aid of \textit{ab initio} calculated thermodynamic properties and reaction rates. Sun et al. [16] probed reactive intermediates by combining a JSR and molecular-beam mass spectrometry as well as gas chromatography and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy. A new kinetic model was constructed for the oxidation of MeOCH$_2$OMe, in which hydrogen abstraction by OH and CH$_3$ radicals or H atoms was still postulated to be the primary reaction prior to sequential decomposition and O$_2$-addition. Other thermal decomposition processes of MeOCH$_2$OMe were not included in this model, either. Further analytical tools were applied by Peukert et al. in shock-tube experiments of MeOCH$_2$OMe pyrolysis and oxidation in the 1100–1430 K temperature range [17], such as hydrogen atomic resonance absorption spectrometry (H-ARAS), high-repetition time-of-flight mass spectrometry (HR-TOF-MS) and GC–MS. Based on the results and the fact that C–O bond energies are lower than those of C–H bonds, the two C–O bond fission reactions of MeOCH$_2$OMe, (3) and (4), were suggested to be the primary initial reactions instead of C–H bond fissions, (1) and (2). As a result, large discrepancies in the experimental and theoretical product mole fractions could be explained qualitatively. However, the simulated end product ratios were still in sizeable disagreement with the measured results.

Peukert et al. [17] and Golka et al. [18] found that hydrogen atoms are formed by sequential dissociation of the radicals, which were produced during the initial reactions (3) and (4). Although it was impossible to clearly determine a branching ratio between the two possible C–O bond fissions, the concentration–time profiles of MeOCH$_2$OMe and of the stable products, such as CH$_4$, CO, and CH$_2$O recorded in shock-tube experiments were reproduced with reasonable accuracy when both bimolecular H-abstraction and unimolecular C–O bond fission were included by in the original kinetic model [19]. Still, a significant question remains: Why is the C–O bond broken in reaction (3), although (4) is energetically favored?

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{OCH}_2\text{OCH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OCH}_2\text{OCH}_3 + \text{H} \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{OCH}_2\text{OCH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OC}(\text{H})\text{OCH}_3 + \text{H} \quad (2)
\]

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{OCH}_2\text{OCH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{O} + \text{CH}_2\text{OCH}_3 \quad (3)
\]

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{OCH}_2\text{OCH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3 + \text{OCH}_2\text{OCH}_3 \quad (4)
\]

Golka et al. [20] addressed this question further by theoretical analysis on the branching ratio of C–O bond fissions. It is worth noting that no indication for additional reaction channels was found.

To our surprise, the isomerization–decomposition pathways of MeOCH$_2$OMe itself have been rarely considered in the kinetic models [15–19]. He et al. assumed the reaction of MeOCH$_2$OMe → CH$_3$OCH$_3$ + H$_2$CO as the primary pathway in the MeOCH$_2$OMe combustion [21], while in the MeOCH$_2$OMe ignition study of Jacobs et al. [22], another complex fragmentation pathway of CH$_3$OH + HCO + CH$_3$ was discussed together with the C–H, C–O bond fissions, and CH$_3$OCH$_3$ formation. However, neither discussed the mechanism and energetics of the CH$_3$OCH$_3$ + H$_2$CO and CH$_3$OH + HCO + CH$_3$ reaction channels. In Hu et al.’s modeling study [23], this unimolecular decomposition reaction channel was found to be a fuel-specific chain initiation reaction. Moreover, it is worth noting that these previous combustion and pyrolysis experiments were conducted at atmospheric pressure. At elevated pressure, unimolecular processes may play a relatively subdued role in comparison with bimolecular hydrogen abstraction by fuel radicals [24].

It has been seen in the unimolecular decomposition of numerous, mid-sized organic species, such as dimethyl methylphosphonate [25] and resorcinal [26] that key products are formed in dissociation processes following isomerization steps, while simple bond scissions are often unfavorable. Furthermore, in cyclopentanone, H-migration was found to play a central role [27]. Therefore, we set out to determine the possible role of isomerization in the thermal decomposition of MeOCH$_2$OMe. Based on the pyrolysis results, we have considered the H-migration isomerization steps (5–8) and multigroup transfers (9–10) in particular.

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{OCH}_2\text{OCH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{trans} – \text{CH}_3\text{OCH} \quad (5)
\]

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{OCH}_2\text{OCH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{cis} – \text{CH}_3\text{OCH} \quad (6)
\]

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{OCH}_2\text{OCH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{OCH}_2 \quad (7)
\]

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{OCH}_2\text{OCH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{O} + \text{CH}_2\text{OCH}_3 \quad (8)
\]

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{OCH}_2\text{OCH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_4 + \text{HCOOCH}_3 \quad (9)
\]

Photoionization with VUV synchrotron radiation combined with imaging photoelectron photoion coincidence spectroscopy (iPEPICO) provides a snapshot of the pyrolysis process [25] and direct spectral evidence of reactive intermediates [28–36]. In this work, we have applied iPEPICO to study the unimolecular thermal decomposition of MeOCH$_2$OMe. By recording the photoion mass-selected threshold photoelectron spectra (ms-TPES), the key pyrolysis intermediates of MeOCH$_2$OMe can be identified with the help of Franck–Condon simulations [37,38]. Moreover, the temperature-dependent behavior of the intermediates and products have been measured, as well. With the aid of the high-level quantum chemical calculations and kinetic modeling, a thermal decomposition mechanism is proposed for MeOCH$_2$OMe.

### 2. Experimental and computational methods

All experiments were carried out at the VUV beamline of the Swiss Light Source, Paul Scherrer Institute. Both the beamline and the imaging PEPICO spectrometer have been described in detail elsewhere [38–41]. In brief, a bending magnet generated VUV synchrotron radiation, which was collimated, dispersed by a grazing incidence monochromator with a 150 lines/mm blazed grating, and focused into a differentially pumped gas filter with the exit slit, after which it entered the ionization chamber of the iPEPICO endstation. The photon energy resolution was 6 meV at 8 eV. The gas filter was normally filled with a mixture of neon, argon and krypton at a pressure of 10 mbar over an optical length of 10 cm to suppress higher-order radiation above 14 eV. The absolute photon energy was calibrated using argon autoionization lines [29].

MeOCH$_2$OMe (99%) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Inc. and used without further purification. To identify the dissociative pho-
toionization channels of the sample, mass spectra were recorded at room temperature, using an effusive source. A temperature-controlled Chen-type pyrolysis reactor [42] was applied to study the thermal decomposition of MeOCH₃OMe at temperatures up to 1245 K. In the present microreactor experiment with supersonic expansion, the pressure in the reactor (resistively heated silicon carbide tube) was roughly a few tens of mbar, at a residence time of 10–100 μs. Once leaving the reactor, the gas mixture is expanded into the source chamber (10⁻⁴ mbar), where the mean free path was about 1 m. A gas mixture of 0.4% MeOCH₃OMe in helium was prepared at a total pressure of 10 bar in a stainless-steel tank. This mixture was further diluted by a factor of ten using mass flow controllers, which yields a MeOCH₃OMe concentration of 0.04% in the seed, effectively suppressing bimolecular chemistry in the ca. 3 cm long silicon carbide reactor with 1 mm internal diameter. The detailed experimental conditions are listed in Table S2 of the supporting information. Additionally, when the initial MeOCH₃OMe concentration was increased to 0.33%, we observed trace amounts of methane ions in photoionization time-of-flight (TOF) mass spectra (Fig. S1) as the sole bimolecular reaction product. In the absence of other measurable spectral differences at an 8-fold higher precursor concentration, we can state that bimolecular chemistry was suppressed effectively, as also discussed in a previous study with the same reactor in similar conditions [43]. Consequently, hydrogen assisted fragmentations or bimolecular hydrogen abstraction reactions by fuel radicals only play a subordinate role. A molecular beam was formed at the exit of the microreactor, which was skimmed before entering the ionization chamber. The photoionization region is roughly 200 mm downstream from the reactor. There, the beam of tunable VUV photons crossed the molecular beam and yielded photoelectrons and photoions, which were extracted in opposite directions by a constant, 120 V·cm⁻¹ electric field. Photoelectrons were velocity map imaged and detected by a position-sensitive delay-line anode detector (Roentdek, DLD40) [30]. Threshold electrons with less than 3–5 meV kinetic energy were projected onto the central spot of detector, together with kinetic energy electrons without an off-axis momentum component. The hot electron contamination was subtracted using the signal intensity in a small ring around the center as proposed by Sztáray and Baer [29]. The photoions were space focused and detected by a microchannel plate detector and the electron signal was used as the start signal for the ion TOF analysis [30]. The ms-TPES of ion channels of interest were recorded by plotting the threshold photoelectron signal arriving in coincidence with an ion signal in the TOF window of the m/z of interest as a function of photon energy.

High-level ab initio calculations are necessary to rationalize the pyrolysis products and reveal the decomposition mechanism of MeOCH₃OMe, especially in the presence of isomerization pathways. Intermediates, transition states, and products in the thermal decomposition and/or the dissociative photoionization (DPI) processes of MeOCH₃OMe were located on the ground state potential energy surface of neutrals and cations using density functional theory at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level. Intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations [44,45] were carried out to validate that the located transition states indeed connect the assumed reactant and product(s). Based on the optimized geometries, the energies of these key species were calculated using the CBS-QB3 composite method [45], which has an average deviation of 4–5 kJ·mol⁻¹ [45,46]. Quantum chemical calculations were performed using the Gaussian 16 A.03 program package [47]. Spin contamination was always small (< 10%) along the investigated open-shell reaction pathways and multireference effects, as addressed in literature MRCl calculations, also appear to be minor. Nevertheless, the energies of transition states and minima with significant strain could be somewhat less accurate.

To distinguish fragment ions, i.e., the products of MeOCH₃OMe dissociative photoionization, from the parent peaks of the thermal decomposition products, the MeOCH₃OMe breakdown diagram, i.e., the fractional ion abundances in the threshold photoionization of MeOCH₃OMe as a function of photon energy, was plotted and analyzed. A statistical model for the DPI process was constructed to reproduce fractional ion abundances in the breakdown diagram as described in detail previously [48,49]. The Rice–Ramsperger–Kassel–Marcus (RRKM) statistical model [50–52] requires the densities and numbers of states of reactants and transition states, respectively, as well as the density of states of the neutral in order to obtain the internal energy distribution of the cation after threshold ionization. These were calculated using computed vibrational frequencies and rotational constants. The appearance energies, as well as the transitional frequencies were then varied so that the model reproduces the measured data.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Dissociative photoionization of MeOCH₃OMe

MeOCH₃OMe room temperature photoionization TOF-MS were measured in the 9.5–13.9 eV photon energy range. Figure 1(a) shows mass spectra at four representative energies: 10.21, 11.00, 12.00, and 13.87 eV, accepting all detected electrons as start signal. Two major fragment ions of m/z 75 (C₂H₄O₂⁺) and 45 (C₂H₆O⁺) were observed at low photon energies, and another fragment ion, m/z 47 (C₂H₃O⁺), appeared beyond 12.6 eV with a small abundance. No parent ion (C₂H₄O₂⁺, m/z 76) could be observed indicating that the parent ion is unstable in the Franck–Condon region. These results are generally consistent with the conclusions of the recent pre-shock experiment [18]. Threshold photoionization mass spectra are shown for comparison in Fig. 1(b). As the leaving electron does not remove energy from the system, the parent ion is more energetic, which is why threshold photoionization mass spectra exhibit a larger degree of fragmentation.

Below 10.5 eV, the predominant fragment ion of m/z 75 is the product of H loss from the MeOCH₃OMe ion (76 amu). As shown in previous studies [31,33,34,40], slow ionic dissociation rate constants in the 10²–10⁷ s⁻¹ range result in an asymmetric fragment ion peak shape in our PEPICO experiment. The m/z 75 peak showed a symmetric TOF profile with no shift as a function of energy. This is different from the similar H-loss from ethanol and furfural cations [41,53] and means that H loss from MeOCH₃OMe is always faster than 10⁷ s⁻¹, and, barring a large gap between the abiotic ionization energy and the onset of the Franck–Condon envelope, i.e., the ion signal [54], it is indicative of easy C–H bond fission on the potential energy surface of parent ion in its electronic ground state. With the increase of photon energy, the m/z 75 intensity diminished, while the ion abundance of m/z 45 increased quickly and became dominant. Figure 2 shows the breakdown diagram for the major dissociation pathways based on the threshold ionization PEPICO TOF-MS in Fig. 1(b). Because MeOCH₃OMe dissociatively photoionizes at the signal onset, the abundance of the H-loss fragment ion, C₂H₃O₂⁺ (m/z 75), is 100% at the low-energy limit and the parent ion is not plotted in Fig. 2.

As shown in Fig. 2, C₂H₃O⁺ (m/z 45) ions appear above 10.6 eV photon energy and the abundance of C₂H₄O⁺ (m/z 75) drops. The C₂H₃O⁺ abundance plateaus at ca. 90%, while 10% of the C₂H₃O⁺ fragments persist in the breakdown diagram. Thus, either the C₂H₃O⁺ (m/z 45) fragment ions are formed in a parallel process from the dissociation of parent ions, or two m/z 75 isomers are formed from the parent ion, one of which is stable with respect to formaldehyde (H₂CO, 30 amu) loss. Above 12.6 eV, the remaining m/z 75 fraction proceeds to yield C₂H₃O⁺ (CH₃O(H)CH₂⁺, m/z 47) fragment ion by CO loss.
Our primary aim is to unveil the unimolecular decomposition of neutral MeOCH$_2$OMe. However, understanding the dissociative photoionization is crucial to distinguish between neutral decomposition products and the fragment ions of the parent MeOCH$_2$OMe molecule. Herein, the optimized geometries of MeOCH$_2$OMe$^+$, C$_2$H$_4$O$_2$$^+$ (m/z 75) and C$_3$H$_6$O$^+$ (m/z 45) fragment ions, as well as the corresponding harmonic vibrational frequencies were calculated and a statistical model was fitted to reproduce the breakdown diagram at lower photon energies [48]. Based on this, the appearance energy for C$_2$H$_4$O$^+$ (m/z 45) is determined to be 10.60 ± 0.05 eV, which is consistent with the computed threshold, 10.84 eV at the CBS-QB3 level, for this most feasible MeOCH$_2$OMe ion decomposition pathway (Fig. S2).

3.2. Photoionization TOF-MS in pyrolysis of MeOCH$_2$OMe

Since the ionization energies of most MeOCH$_2$OMe pyrolysis products are below 11.0 eV, they can be detected at this photon energy: e.g., IE(CH$_3$, methyl, m/z 15) = 9.84 eV [34,40,55–57], IE(HCO, m/z 29) = 8.14 eV [58], IE(H$_2$CO, formaldehyde, m/z 30) = 10.88 eV [59], IE(HCOH, m/z 30) = 8.92 eV (calculated at the CBS-QB3 level), IE(CH$_2$O, methoxy, m/z 31) = 10.72 eV [60], IE(CH$_2$OH, hydroxymethyl, m/z 31) = 7.56 eV [60,61], IE(CH$_3$OH, methanol, m/z 32) = 10.84 eV [62], and IE(CH$_2$OCH$_2$H, m/z 45) = 6.9 eV [63]. Figure 3 shows photoionization mass spectra recorded at several representative pyrolysis temperatures, indicative of a temperature-dependent product distribution. As discussed above, the MeOCH$_2$OMe fragment ions C$_2$H$_4$O$_2$$^+$ (m/z 75) and C$_3$H$_6$O$^+$ (m/z 45) may be produced in dissociative photoionization at 11.00 eV.

When comparing with the room temperature data, the C$_2$H$_4$O$^+$ (m/z 45) peak becomes dominant at the expense of the m/z 75 peak at 1013 K. However, this is not due to efficient pyrolysis at 1013 K, but rather to the higher initial thermal energy of neutral MeOCH$_2$OMe, which also contributes to dissociative photoionization. The resulting redshift of the appearance energies increases the abundance of higher-onset fragment ions [15]. There are two ways to address the role of the precursor temperature on the mass spectra. First, and as shown in Fig. 3, the fractional ion abundance of m/z 45 increases significantly already at temperatures below the apparent pyrolysis onset, from 298 to 808 K. Second, we can rely on the statistical DPI model fitted to the room temperature data and increase the model temperature to 808 K. As seen in Fig. 2, the model predicts a much higher integral m/z 45 fractional abundance at 11 eV at a temperature of 808 K, compared with that at room temperature (see highlighted range in Fig. 2). Thus, the m/z 45 and 75 peaks are fully attributed to DPI of MeOCH$_2$OMe.

When the pyrolysis temperature was raised to 1013 K, new peaks appeared at m/z 15, 29, 30, 31, and 32. They can tentatively be assigned to CH$_3$+, HCO+, H$_2$CO$^+$[or HCOH$^+$], CH$_2$O$^+$ (or CH$_2$OH$^+$), and CH$_3$OH$^+$, respectively. As these peaks are absent in the DPI measurements of MeOCH$_2$OMe, they are the result of thermal decomposition of neutral MeOCH$_2$OMe molecules. When the temperature was increased to 1143 K, the light decomposition products (m/z < 45) became more dominant, while almost all the m/z 75 fragments disappeared and only a small amount of m/z 45 survived. The phenomenon was more pronounced at 1243 K, at which temperature methyl radicals were dominant, while the m/z 45 fragment ion completely disappeared, indicating quantitative thermal decomposition of MeOCH$_2$OMe in the reactor. We also recorded mass spectra and ms-TPES at pyrolysis temperatures of 1143 and 1243 K at 14 eV photon energy to detect CO at m/z 28 and the CH$_4$ at m/z 16 (Figs. S3–S5 in Supplementary Information). This hints at sequential dissociation steps of the primary pyrolysis products of MeOCH$_2$OMe as also seen in the temperature-dependent behavior in Fig. 3.

3.3. Identification of the m/z 30 and 31 fragments, quantification of molecular beam cooling

As mentioned above, there are multiple possible m/z 30 and 31 isomers among the MeOCH$_2$OMe pyrolysis products. Previous stud-
ies show that ms-TPES is a sensitive, selective and universal detection tool to discern isomers when, as is often the case, ionization energies or vibrational progressions are different enough [32,64].

The ms-TPES for the m/z 30 fragment was recorded at 1243 K with a step size of 50 meV (Fig. 4). A single band with small shoulders was observed with no clearly discerned vibrational structure indicating vertical ionization and a strong origin peak. The measured ionization energy of 10.90 eV is consistent with the adiabatic ionization energy of formaldehyde, 10.88 eV [65]. The small peaks at 10.65 and 10.45 eV (noted with stars) are attributed to hot and sequence band transitions. Hydroxymethylene carbene, HCOH, which is 2.30 eV (CBS-QB3) less stable than formaldehyde, is not observed, as the calculated ionization energy of 8.92 eV, far below the observed signal onset. Thus, this fragment of m/z 30 is assigned to the formaldehyde (H₂CO) pyrolysis product.

We have considered CH₃O (methoxy radical) and CH₂OH (hydroxymethyl radical) as carriers of the m/z 31 peak. Figure 5 shows the recorded ms-TPES for the m/z 31 fragment, which exhibits a broad profile in the 10.5–14.0 eV range, similar to the m/z 32 signal. However, as no m/z 31 signal was observed below 10 eV, the hydroxymethyl radical (IEₐ = 7.56 eV [60,61]) does not contribute to the spectrum. Although, the reported methoxy radical IEₐ is 10.72 eV [60] and, thus, close to the band onset, our theoretical calculations in Section 3.4 question whether methoxy radicals contribute to the spectrum, because the activation energy to methoxy radical formation in MeOCH₂OMe pyrolysis is too high. More importantly, the spectrum in Fig. 5 deviates strongly from the TPES of the methoxy radical (Fig. S6) [66]. Thus, the m/z 31 signal is most likely due to dissociative photoionization of a heavier pyrolysis product. According to literature results [25,67], m/z 31 ions are readily produced in the DPI of room temperature methanol above a photon energy of 11.5 eV. “Hot” methanol, as a feasible pyrolysis product of MeOCH₂OMe, will dissociatively ionize at lower photon energies, a phenomenon also seen in the decomposition of phosphororganic compounds [25]. In fact, the sum of the m/z 31 and 32 ms-TPES signals is in good agreement with the expected methanol TPES (Fig. 5), as compared with the reported He-I photoelectron spectrum [68] with a slight thermal redshift. Furthermore, the fractional abundance of these two peaks can be plotted in a breakdown diagram, to be modeled using the methanol DPI model of Borkar et al. [67] (Fig. S7). This allows us to determine a

---

**Fig. 3.** Photoionization TOF mass spectra of MeOCH₂OMe with pyrolysis at several representative temperatures measured at 11.0 eV photon energy.

**Fig. 4.** Photoion mass-selected threshold photoelectron spectrum of the m/z 30 fragment.

**Fig. 5.** Photoion mass-selected threshold photoelectron spectra of the m/z 31 and 32 signals, where the black trace is the sum of the m/z 31 and 32 signals, and the gray solid line is the reported He-I photoelectron spectrum of methanol from Ref. [68].
3.4. MeOCH₂OMe potential energy surface

Exploring the potential energy surface of MeOCH₂OMe is necessary to understand the decomposition pathways, to unravel the dissociation mechanism and to explain the temperature-dependent changes of the branching ratios. Composite quantum chemical calculations were guided by experimental observations. The major pyrolysis products are CH₃, CO, HCO, H₂CO (formaldehyde), CH₂OH, CH₃OH, and C₂H₂O₂, which can be classified as primary products and the products of sequential decomposition channels.

Figure 6 shows the primary fragmentation pathways of neutral MeOCH₂OMe. As the MeOCH₂OMe conformational isomers are almost isoenergetic (ΔE < 11 kJ·mol⁻¹ at the CBS-QB3 level) and the barriers among them are also low (ca. 30 kJ·mol⁻¹), due to the hindered internal rotation around the C–O bond, we only show the most stable conformer in Fig. 6. The relative energies of the transition states and heats of reaction for the primary decomposition at the CBS-QB3 level are summarized in Table 1.

H-loss from the methyl and methylene groups of MeOCH₂OMe are strongly endothermic by 393 and 403 kJ·mol⁻¹ (see (1–2) in Table 1), respectively. Such high bond energies confirm that C–H bond breaking only takes place in the cation and the m/z 75 ion signal is the result of dissociative photoionization of MeOCH₂OMe. Homolytic C–O bond fission in MeOCH₂OMe may produce two pairs of free radicals, CH₃OCH₂O (m/z 61) and CH₃ (m/z 15) in methyl-loss, CH₂OCH₂ (m/z 45) and CH₂O (m/z 31) in methoxy-loss. The barrier heights are calculated at 356 and 374 kJ·mol⁻¹, respectively (see (3–4) in Table 1). These bond energies are broadly consistent with the previous results [14–18], indicative of the comparable accuracy of the computational methods used.

In addition to these simple bond-breaking reactions, more complex pathways involving isomerization steps are proposed to play a role in MeOCH₂OMe thermal decomposition. Three feasible mechanisms yield CH₃OH: (5–7). The first two pathways proceed via H migration from the methylene group to the adjacent oxygen atom, followed by C–O bond fission. Methanol (CH₃OH, m/z 32) and methoxymethylene carbene, CH₂OCH (m/z 44), are formed as products. The corresponding barriers are 294 and 305 kJ·mol⁻¹ to produce trans- and cis-CH₂OCH (Fig. 6), and the pathways are endothermic by 270 and 287 kJ·mol⁻¹, respectively. H migration from one of the methyl groups to the more distant oxygen atom can also lead to a methanol-loss when followed by C–O bond breaking, accompanied by the formation of the CH₂OCH (m/z 44) diradical. The overall process is endothermic by 288 kJ·mol⁻¹ and has to pass a higher barrier of 336 kJ·mol⁻¹ (7). As shown in Table 1 and Fig. 6, the direct CH₂O-H-loss pathways are much more favorable in energy than C–H or O–O bond fission, which suggests that they may be included in future MeOCH₂OMe kinetic models [14–18].

Besides methanol, formaldehyde can also be formed directly in the thermal decomposition of MeOCH₂OMe along two pathways as shown in Fig. 6, (8–9). The lower-lying path proceeds by methyl migration to the more distant oxygen atom, associated with C–O bond fission, to yield dimethyl ether (CH₃OCH₃, m/z 46) and formaldehyde (H₂CO, m/z 30) with a barrier of 321 kJ·mol⁻¹. The more energetic pathway yields formaldehyde and ethanol, but is unlikely to occur due to its high barrier (TSS, 443 kJ·mol⁻¹), even higher than the direct bond breaking energies, which, in contrast to isomerization reactions, proceed over loose transition states (9).

In addition, methane can be produced directly via TSS, in (10). During the reaction, H migration takes place from the methylene group to one of the methyl groups, followed immediately by C–O bond breaking and the release of methane and methyl formate. Although the overall process is exothermic, methane formation is unlikely because of the tight and high-energy transition state at 409 kJ·mol⁻¹.

Thus, the dissociation reactions (5) and (6) to produce the methoxy carbene CH₂OCH and methanol primary fragments are most likely dominant because of their favored energetics among the initial decomposition pathways. Furthermore, dimethyl ether and formaldehyde can also be produced at a slightly higher barrier. Because of the excess energy redistribution, smaller in methanol but significant in formaldehyde formation, the effective temperatures of these products are expected to be elevated from the start. Furthermore, they are expected to be re-thermalized during the 10–100 μs long contact time in the microreactor [70]. Thus, their further, sequential dissociation processes also have to be considered.
Figure 7 shows the computed sequential decomposition pathways from the primary fragments, namely the CH₂OCH and dimethyl ether. As shown in Fig. 7, both trans- and cis-CH₂OCH can dissociate further at small excess energies to the more stable fragments, HCO (m/z 29) and methyl (m/z 15) radical. The simple C–O bond fission is only slightly endothermic by 66 kJ·mol⁻¹ in trans-CH₂OCH, and 49 kJ·mol⁻¹ in cis-CH₂OCH, respectively. The small barrier and the expected fast re-thermalization in the microreactor explain the missing m/z 44 signal in the mass spectrum. Then, HCO easily dissociates to CO + H by overcoming a barrier of 73 kJ·mol⁻¹. The observed m/z 29 signal in the Fig. 3 is probably due to dissociative ionization of formaldehyde, which occurs already at 11 eV due to rovibrational excitation of CH₂O. In addition, there are two more stable isomers of CH₂OCH (m/z 44), namely acetaldehyde (CH₃CHO) and oxirane (o-CH₂OCH₂). As indicated in Fig. 7, for trans-CH₂OCH, the isomerization barriers to form acetaldehyde or the CH₂OCH₂ diradical (then isomerize to oxirane) are about 195 kJ·mol⁻¹, much higher than the barrier to decomposition to CH₃+HCO, which suggests that these more stable CH₄H₂O isomers are not formed from trans-CH₂OCH. Moreover, since the cis-trans isomerization barrier is relatively high (108 kJ·mol⁻¹ at CBS-QB3) in CH₂OCH (m/z 44), cis-CH₂OCH shows different decomposition pathways from trans-CH₂OCH except for the simple C–O fission, as shown in Fig. 7. The CH₄ + CO decomposition of cis-CH₂OCH has a lower barrier (79 kJ·mol⁻¹), while the oxirane can be formed via a moderately high barrier (133 kJ·mol⁻¹).

Dimethyl ether, another primary pyrolysis product with a minor abundance, is also expected to decompose further [71–74]. Direct C–O bond breaking needs at least 350 kJ·mol⁻¹ to yield methoxy and methyl radicals, while the H-loss is even more endothermic by 400 kJ·mol⁻¹. However, methane can be produced after H migration at a lower barrier of 308 kJ·mol⁻¹, associated with the release of formaldehyde. In summary, methanol and CH₃OCH, formaldehyde and dimethyl ether, are expected to be initially formed in the pyrolysis process of MeOCH₂OMe, of which dimethyl ether and CH₃OCH is only an intermediate as they are comparably or significantly less thermally stable than MeOCH₂OMe. With the increase of reactor temperature, further sequential fragments, such as methyl (m/z 15), HCO radical (m/z 29), methane (m/z 16) and carbon monoxide (m/z 28), are produced. The structures of all the reactants, products and transitions states are summarized in Table S3.

Fig. 7. Further decomposition pathways of the dominant primary fragments in pyrolysis of MeOCH₂OMe, where the relative energies to neutral MeOCH₂OMe molecule are calculated at the CBS-QB3 level.

Fig. 8. RRKM unimolecular dissociation rate constants for each product pathway as function of MeOCH₂OMe internal energy. Solid lines refer to the isomerization–decomposition channels and dashed lines indicate direct bond fission processes.

3.5. Branching ratios of various decomposition products of MeOCH₂OMe

Based on the calculated potential energy surface of MeOCH₂OMe decomposition, RRKM theory was employed to estimate unimolecular branching ratios over the various products [48,75]. For the direct bond breaking processes of (1–4), for which no saddle point was found, the transition state vibrational frequencies were approximated by frequency analysis at a constrained 3.5 Å bond length along the reaction coordinate. Figure 8 plots the calculated microcanonical rate constants for pathways (1–8) as the function of internal energy. At MeOCH₂OMe internal energy of 450 kJ mol⁻¹, the main branching ratios are determined as 82.7% for (5), and 16.2% for (6). As shown in Table S1, the predicted contributions of other channels are negligible.

3.6. Pyrolysis mechanism of MeOCH₂OMe

Based on the temperature-dependent mass spectra and the calculated decomposition pathways, we can reveal the unimolecular decomposition mechanism of MeOCH₂OMe. Below about 923 K, MeOCH₂OMe is stable at our reactor conditions and only the dissociative photoionization signals of CH₃H₂O⁺ (m/z 45) and CH₃H₂O⁺ (m/z 75) were observed in the mass spectra. When the reactor temperature was increased to 1013 K, the first decomposition products appeared at m/z 15, 30 and 32, indicative of the pyrolysis onset. As confirmed by our calculations, the primary decomposition of MeOCH₂OMe proceeds via H-migration isomerization processes (TS1–TS4) followed by bond fissions to yield methanol and trans- (cis-) CH₂OCH carbene, together with formaldehyde and dimethyl ether. In contrast to these complex primary fragmentation pathways, direct bond fission processes in MeOCH₂OMe by H loss, or to produce CH₃OH₂ and methoxy or methyl and CH₃OCH₂, exhibit much higher activation energies and are not likely to take place. In previous MeOCH₂OMe kinetic models, radicals produced by the primary unimolecular decomposition of fuel are critical to ignition processes [27], as H-abstraction together with the β-direct dissociations [76] were thought to play a trigger role and compete with the bimolecular reactions, such as oxidation. However, according to our results un-
molecular isomerization–decomposition pathways may be equally important, especially at low pressure conditions.

Only trace amounts of CH₂OCH (m/z 44) and dimethyl either (m/z 46) are observed in Fig. 3, although their ionization energies are below 11 eV. The 10–100 μs residence time in the microreactor allows for re-thermalization, and thus, almost quantitative destruction of these primary pyrolysis products proceeds with further dissociation. The high dilution of the sample also means that recombination of the primary fragments and bimolecular chemistry in general is suppressed even in the absence of an entrance barrier for these processes.

As shown in Fig. 7, methyl and HCO radicals (m/z 15 and 29, respectively) are readily produced from CH₂OCH. There is a further, minor CH₂OCH fragmentation pathway yielding methane and carbon monoxide. Owing to the higher ionization energies of methane (IEₐ = 12.61 eV) and carbon monoxide (IEₐ = 14.01 eV), these products are not detected in the mass spectra in Fig. 3, but they were clearly observed in Figs. S3 and S4 when the photon energy was increased above their IE. Furthermore, as the major pyrolysis product, methanol (m/z 32) can dissociatively ionize to yield CH₃OH⁺ (m/z 31) by H loss. Actually, the relative intensities of m/z 31 and 32 are well reproduced with the methanol DPI model [67].

With the increase of the reactor temperature, the relative abundance of the small fragments such as m/z 15, 30, 31 and 32 increased markedly, as shown in Fig. 3. As discussed above, the methyl radical (m/z 15) is produced by further decomposition of the primary fragments, trans- (or cis-) CH₂OCH. Naturally, the branching ratio of methyl radical is promoted with temperature due to the more efficient sequential dissociation. Furthermore, the intensity of the formaldehyde signal at m/z 30, which is a hint of mechanical atom at m/z 32 when the reactor temperature was increased. Based on the calculations presented in Figs. 6 and 7, formaldehyde can directly be yielded from pyrolysis of MeOCH₂OMe over TS₄, and moreover the sequential dissociation of methyl ether can also further produce formaldehyde by H-migration. Therefore, these two formation pathways of formaldehyde are consistent with the experiment. In summary, our calculations and experimental conclusions of the predominant products, e.g. m/z 15, 16, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, and 45 strongly agree very well to propose the overall pyrolysis pathways of MeOCH₂OMe.

4. Conclusions

Pyrolysis of MeOCH₂OMe has been investigated experimentally using iPEPICO with VUV synchrotron photoionization in the temperature range of 298 to 1243 K. The most stable products and some radical intermediates produced in pyrolysis of MeOCH₂OMe were observed, e.g. m/z 15, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 45 and 75, and their temperature dependence was analyzed.

The photoionization mass spectra of MeOCH₂OMe with and without pyrolysis confirm that the observed m/z 45 and 75 ions originate from dissociative ionization (DPI) of MeOCH₂OMe. Cursory analysis of the MeOCH₂OMe breakdown diagram revealed the 0 K appearance energy for C₂H₃O⁺ (m/z 45) as AEₐ = 10.60 ± 0.05 eV. The other peaks, which arise at temperatures greater than 923 K, are due to primary and secondary unimolecular thermal decomposition pathways of neutral MeOCH₂OMe, as well as the dissociative photoionization of the main primary products, methanol and formaldehyde. The ms-TPES are used to identify the isomers for each pyrolysis fragment. It is noted that the m/z 31 signal was attributed to the methoxy radical in the previous studies [16,17] but our spectral analysis clearly shows that this is due to the hydroxymethyl ions produced in the DPI of methanol [15–19].

Based on the theoretical calculations at the CBS-QB3 level of theory, new insights were gained in the decomposition mechanism of MeOCH₂OMe. The primary H-migration channel to yield hydroxymethylene (CH₂OCH) and methanol is the thermodynamically most favored one, while methyl ether and formaldehyde are readily produced too. On the contrary, the C-H and C-O bond fissions in MeOCH₂OMe, which were previously proposed to be the primary initial steps of MeOCH₂OMe pyrolysis reactions, are high in energy and unlikely to compete with the isomerization reactions. Calculations have also revealed that the sequential dissociation of CH₂OCH and dimethyl ether at high temperature can contribute to the enhanced m/z 15, 28 and 29 signals [71–74]. Therefore, our investigation not only proposes a thermal decomposition mechanism of MeOCH₂OMe itself, but also provides some new mechanistic clues to be considered in kinetic models.
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