The expression of urokinase-type plasminogen activator (uPA) receptor (uPAR) correlates with the malignant phenotype of various cancers. The soluble form of uPAR (s-uPAR) is present in the circulation of cancer patients, but the role of s-uPAR in endothelial cell migration is poorly understood. Therefore, we examined the role of tumor-associated s-uPAR on endothelial cell motility and angiogenesis. Here, we present evidence that tumor-associated s-uPAR augments the migration of human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs). When grown on tumor-conditioned medium, the membrane fraction of HUVECs had increased localization of s-uPAR onto its cell membrane. Co-localization studies for GM1 ganglioside receptor and uPAR further demonstrated s-uPAR recruitment onto lipid rafts of HUVECs. Immunoblot analysis for uPAR in lipid raft fractions confirmed s-uPAR recruiting onto HUVECs' membrane. Further, s-uPAR induced Rac1-mediated cell migration while either function-blocking uPAR antibodies or dominant-negative mutant Rac1 expression in HUVECs mitigated s-uPAR-enhanced cell migration. In addition, orthotopic implantation of uPAR-overexpressing cells resulted in a significant increase in circulating s-uPAR in blood serum and invasive nature of tumor and tumor vasculature in mice. Collectively, this data provide insight into tumor-associated s-uPAR-directed migration of endothelial cells and its subsequent influence on tumor angiogenesis.

**Oncogenesis** (2013) 2, e53; doi:10.1038/oncsis.2013.19; published online 24 June 2013

**Subject Categories:** Molecular oncology

**Keywords:** soluble uPAR; migration; angiogenesis; glioma; lipid raft

**INTRODUCTION**

Angiogenesis is a physiological multistep process of new blood vessel formation from pre-existing blood vessels to furnish nutrients and oxygen to rapidly growing cells and is crucial for physiological growth, tissue healing, regeneration and tumor growth. Owing to lack of oxygen and other essential nutrients, tumor masses beyond 1–2 mm in diameter require angiogenesis for their survival and progressive growth. This process is regulated by a tight balance between pro- and anti-angiogenic agents, and involves a cascade of events by which the migration of capillary endothelial cells is an essential component. The endothelial cell motile process is directionally regulated by chemotactic, haptotactic and mechanotactic stimuli, and further involves the degradation of the extracellular matrix to enable progression of the migrating cells. For migration, endothelial cells need to be set free from their inherent location by losing cell–cell contacts and to be polarized to focus the newly formed proteolytic machinery at the leading edge, which is essential for the matrix degradation. Consequently, proteinases of the plasminogen system, matrix metalloproteinase system, chymase and heparanase families are thought to be important. Accordingly, inhibition of functional activity of the urokinase-type plasminogen activator (uPA) receptor (uPAR) has been shown to significantly decrease the invasive potential of endothelial cells.

uPAR is a glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored membrane protein that resides in lipid rafts, which are dynamic microdomains of the cell membrane, rich in cholesterol, sphingolipids and glycolipids, transmembrane protein receptors, integrins and a large number of signaling molecules. The cell surface complex of uPA and its receptor uPAR is known to be involved in the activation of intracellular signaling events associated with cellular migration. The localization of uPA/uPAR within focal adhesions at the leading edge of migratory endothelial cells is believed to limit proteolysis to the immediate pericellular environment, thus promoting efficient matrix remodeling while enabling individual cell detachment and subsequent migration. Many studies have shown that inhibiting uPAR-dependent signaling suppresses endothelial cell migration and markedly suppresses angiogenesis in vitro and in vivo. For over a decade, much effort has been focused on determining uPAR signaling mechanisms, as uPAR is significantly expressed in many cancers, including glioma. Significant amounts of the soluble form of uPAR (s-uPAR) have been found in biological fluids of cancer patients, and these levels notably correlate with tumor malignancy. These observations indicate that elevated expression of uPAR in tumors, particularly in malignant cells, may be a sign of more aggressive cancers.

We have demonstrated previously that downregulation of uPAR inhibited tumor angiogenesis in vitro and in vivo in various cancers. However, it is not yet clear how tumor-associated uPAR is involved in the endothelial cell migration and induction of tumor angiogenesis. Here, we have demonstrated the role of tumor-associated s-uPAR in tumor-induced angiogenesis in vitro and in vivo. Our findings demonstrate tumor cell secreted s-uPAR recruits onto endothelial cell membrane rafts and induces...
extracellular-signal-regulated kinases (ERK)/Rac1-mediated cell migration, and thereby angiogenesis.

RESULTS
Tumor-associated s-uPAR enhances HUVEC invasion and migration
As the s-uPAR has been found in the biological fluids of cancer patients and is highly correlated with malignancy,17–19 we postulated that tumor-associated s-uPAR might be involved in endothelial cell migration, and thereby enhance angiogenesis. To test this possibility, we developed constitutively uPAR-overexpressed (UR) 4910 and 5310 cells (Supplementary Figures S1A–B and Figures 1a and b). Consistent with our hypothesis, human umbilical vein endothelial cell (HUVEC) migration was significantly augmented in cells cultured next to 4910UR/5310UR cells as compared with cells cultured next to 4910EV/5310EV cells (Figure 1c and Supplementary Figure S1C). Likewise, s-uPAR containing conditioned medium (CM) from 4910UR/5310UR cells (UR-CM) markedly enhanced in vitro invasive potential of HUVECs (Figure 1d). Next, in an in vitro angiogenic assay,24 UR-CM elicited a strong angiogenic response and induced HUVECs to differentiate into capillary-like structures within 16 h as compared with empty vector (EV)-CM. However, cells grown on serum-free medium were just beginning to differentiate into capillaries (Figure 1e). Quantification indicated a 2.5-fold increase in cumulative vessel length in HUVECs cultured with UR-CM when compared with EV-CM (Figures 1e and f).

To further confirm that s-uPAR regulates HUVEC invasion, migration and angiogenesis, we performed appropriate assays using either uPAR small interfering RNA (siRNA)-downregulated stable cells (4910UR-si/5310UR-si) or CM (uPAR siRNA (UR-si)-CM). As expected, 4910UR-si/5310UR-si cells repressed the migration and UR-si-CM blocked endothelial cell invasion and microvessel morphogenesis (Figures 1a–f). In addition, recombinant human uPAR (rh-uPAR) alone induced HUVEC migration, invasion and angiogenesis as compared with control CM (UR-CM) (Figure 1g).
angiogenesis, whereas supplementation of functional blocking antibodies diminished UR-CM-induced migration, invasion and angiogenesis (Figures 1e–g).

Tumor-associated s-uPAR recruits onto HUVEC membrane

To explore whether s-uPAR recruits onto membrane to induce endothelial cell migration, we cultured HUVECs on CM for 24 h and analyzed uPAR levels on the cell membrane of HUVECs using fluorescence-activated cell sorting analysis. As anticipated, the abundance of cell surface uPAR was substantially increased in HUVECs cultured in UR-CM to levels similar to those of HUVECs supplemented with rh-uPAR (Figure 2a). Next, we performed immunofluorescent microscopy for uPAR and DDK-tag (FLAG-tag/DYKDDDDK) in HUVECs cultured on CM. Colocalization of uPAR and DDK showed prominently on the cell surface, which indicates that DDK containing uPAR from UR-CM is recruiting on the HUVEC membrane (Figure 2b). This s-uPAR recruitment onto HUVEC membrane was further confirmed by the immunoblot analysis of the membrane fraction of HUVECs cultured on CM (Figure 2c).

Tumor-associated s-uPAR colocalizes in lipid rafts on HUVECs

As uPAR has been shown to colocalize in the lipid rafts of many cell types,6,7,21 we postulated that the tumor-associated s-uPAR also colocalizes in lipid rafts on HUVECs. Immunofluorescence co-staining of a raft marker, GM1 ganglioside receptor25 and a specific anti-uPAR antibody (uPAR-Ab) showed increased amounts of uPAR localized in the lipid rafts on cell membrane of HUVECs cultured on UR-CM similar to cells cultured with rh-uPAR (Figure 3a). To further confirm s-uPAR and raft co-clustering on cell membrane, we isolated lipid rafts from HUVECs cultured on CM21 and analyzed for the known raft and non-raft marker proteins21 (Supplementary Figures S2A and B) to confirm the relative purity of the lipid raft fractionations. Next, we analyzed lipid raft fractions for uPAR levels by immunoblot analysis. As expected, uPAR levels were markedly increased in the lipid raft fractions of HUVECs cultured on UR-CM as compared with cells cultured on EV-CM. In contrast, uPAR levels significantly decreased in the lipid raft fractions of HUVECs grown on UR-Si-CM as compared to cells grown on EV-CM (Figure 3b).

Many studies have proposed the important role of an intact lipid raft in the regulation of invasion, migration and angiogenesis.8,10,26 The integrity of the lipid raft depends on the concentration of cholesterol in the plasma membrane,27 and methyl-β-cyclodextrin (MBCD), a chelator of cholesterol, dismantles these lipid rafts.25 We therefore determined whether the disruption of lipid rafts would be able to abolish the migration, invasion and angiogenesis in HUVECs cultured on CM. MBCD treatment inhibited UR-CM-induced invasion, migration and angiogenesis (Figures 3c and d). Similarly, disruption of lipid rafts by MBCD treatment altered s-uPAR from membrane raft localization (Figures 3a and b). Collectively, these results suggest that localization of uPAR into lipid rafts’ environment facilitates invasion, migration and angiogenesis action of tumor-associated s-uPAR in HUVECs.
Tumor-associated s-uPAR induces ERK/Rac1-mediated migration and tube formation in HUVECs

A recent study on uPAR-integrin pathways demonstrated that ERK mediated activation of Rac1,28 which is an important event in promotion of uPAR-mediated cell motility, invasion and angiogenesis.29,30 To investigate whether tumor-associated s-uPAR enhances phosphorylation of ERK1/2 and activation of Rac1, we performed immunoblot analyses for phospho-ERK1/2 and pull-down assay for active Rac1 using HUVEC lysates. Tumor-associated s-uPAR induced phosphorylation of ERK1/2 and activation of Rac1 in HUVECs cultured on UR-CM compared with cells cultured on EV-CM. In contrast, phospho-ERK1/2 and active Rac1 were significantly decreased in HUVECs cultured on UR-Si-CM as compared with cells cultured on EV-CM (Figures 4a and b). Similarly, supplementation of rh-uPAR also induced ERK1/2 phosphorylation and Rac1 activation (Figures 4a and b).

Further, functional blocking uPAR-Ab, pharmacological inhibitor for ERK (U0126) or expression of dominant-negative mutant of Rac1 (Dn-Rac1) significantly decreased s-uPAR-induced HUVEC migration and invasion in vitro (Figures 4c and d).

Full-length s-uPAR recruits on HUVEC membrane in vitro

As s-uPAR exists in three forms, that is, full-length s-uPAR (D1-D3; 37 kDa), truncated s-uPAR (D2-D3; ~27 kDa) and truncated s-uPAR containing N-terminal domain (D1; ~16 kDa),31 we analyzed CM by immunoblotting after deglycosylation of CM. We observed two s-uPAR forms corresponding to full-length and truncated D2-D3, in an approximately equimolar ratio (Figure 5a and Supplementary Figure S3). Further, deglycosylation followed by immunoblot analysis of the membrane fraction of HUVECs cultured on UR-CM demonstrated that higher amounts of full-length s-uPAR recruited onto the HUVEC membrane (Figure 5b), suggesting that full-length s-uPAR from tumor cells recruits onto the HUVEC membrane and involves in induction of cell migration.

uPAR overexpression enhances in vivo angiogenesis

We examined whether overexpression of uPAR could elicit tumor angiogenesis in vivo as assessed by the dorsal air sac model.24 Implantation of a chamber containing 4910UR cells or rh-uPAR in the dorsal air sac resulted in the development of microvessels with curved thin structures and many tiny bleeding spots as compared with 4910EV cells. In contrast, implantation of 4910UR-Si cells resulted in the development of only a few additional microvessels (Figure 5c).

uPAR overexpression enhances circulating s-uPAR levels in vivo

We next sought to determine whether circulation of s-uPAR correlates with tumor angiogenesis and tumor growth in an orthotopic tumor model.25,26 Circulation of s-uPAR in blood on day 15 after cell implantation was 0.9–1.1 ng/ml and increased drastically to 1.7–3.7 ng/ml by day 40 in controls. In contrast, circulation of s-uPAR in blood drastically increased from 3.2–4.2 ng/ml on day 15 to 20–25 ng/ml on day 40 as tumor burden

Figure 3. s-uPAR colocalizes in lipid rafts on HUVECs. Conditioned medium (CM) was collected from tumor cells as described in Materials and methods. (a) HUVECs were cultured in chamber slides on CM for 24 h and incubated with anti-uPAR antibody followed by Alexa Fluor-488-conjugated secondary antibody at 4°C. Cells were again labeled with Alexa Fluor-595-CTXb subunit. Slides were mounted and analyzed by confocal microscopy. Negative controls, using an isotype antibody, showed no staining (inset). Serum-free medium (SFM) and DDK-tag containing rh-uPAR were used as controls. To disrupt lipid rafts, HUVECs were pretreated with MBCD, as described in Materials and methods. (b) HUVECs lipid rafts were isolated as described in Materials and methods. Lipid raft-enriched fractions were analyzed for uPAR and DDK-tag levels using immunoblot analysis. Flotillin-1 and caveolin-1 served as controls. Protein band intensities were quantified by densitometric analysis using ImageJ software (NIH). The levels of uPAR protein were normalized to protein levels in HUVECs cultured on parental-CM. Columns: mean; bars: s.d.; n = 3; *P < 0.01 vs parental-CM. (c) Invasion and migration assays were performed as described in Figure 1d. Columns: mean; bars: s.d.; n = 3; *P < 0.01 vs parental-CM; **P < 0.01 vs UR-CM.
increased in mice bearing tumors of UR cells (Figures 5d and e). However, blood serum s-uPAR levels were remarkably low (0.015–0.020 ng/ml) in mice bearing tumors of UR-Si cells (Figures 5d and e). Further, deglycosylation of serum samples indicated that full-length forms of s-uPAR were more predominant than truncated D2-D3 and D3 forms in mice blood (Figure 5f).

**DISCUSSION**

The uPA/uPAR system has a critical role in tumor vascular biology by facilitating cell migration and modulating angiogenesis. The presence of uPAR on endothelial cells and its functional significance in migration have been described previously. However, to our knowledge, the consequences of paracrine signaling of uPAR have not been investigated. The present study is the first demonstration that illustrates the intricacies involved in the regulation of tumor-associated s-uPAR in connection with migration and angiogenesis. Here, we demonstrate tumor-associated s-uPAR recruitment onto endothelial membrane increased in mice bearing tumors of UR cells (Figures 5d and e). However, blood serum s-uPAR levels were remarkably low (0.015–0.020 ng/ml) in mice bearing tumors of UR-Si cells (Figures 5d and e). Further, deglycosylation of serum samples indicated that full-length forms of s-uPAR were more predominant than truncated D2-D3 and D3 forms in mice blood (Figure 5f).

**DISCUSSION**

The uPA/uPAR system has a critical role in tumor vascular biology by facilitating cell migration and modulating angiogenesis. The presence of uPAR on endothelial cells and its functional significance in migration have been described previously. However, to our knowledge, the consequences of paracrine signaling of uPAR have not been investigated. The present study is the first demonstration that illustrates the intricacies involved in the regulation of tumor-associated s-uPAR in connection with migration and angiogenesis. Here, we demonstrate tumor-associated s-uPAR recruitment onto endothelial membrane
cholesterol-rich microdomains known as lipid rafts of endothelial cells and stimulation of ERK1/2- and Rac1-mediated migration, and subsequently, angiogenesis. Further, uPAR knockdown drastically reduced circulating s-uPAR levels in mice blood serum, as well as endothelial cell recruitment and vasculature in orthotopic xenograft tumors in vivo.

The overexpression of uPAR in various cancers has been demonstrated in many studies.5,14–17 Of note, s-uPAR has been found in the biological fluids of cancer patients and is highly correlated with tumor malignancy.17–19 Enhanced serum s-uPAR concentrations have been tied to poor prognosis in a variety of cancer patients, and as such, may have prognostic value in a large number of human cancers.33–36 In this study, we present evidence demonstrating a significant positive association between tumor angiogenesis and s-uPAR, suggesting a plausible connection with tumor vasculature. Here, we used 4910 and 5310 xenograft cells with stable expression of myc-DDK-tagged open reading frame clone of human uPAR to generate s-uPAR and for in vivo studies. We measured s-uPAR released into CM during the growth of the tumor cells (EV, UR and UR-Si) in vitro and in vivo. Our study shows that the levels of s-uPAR in the plasma of host mice during the growth of xenografted cells were highly correlated with tumor volume. Our in vitro studies demonstrated tumor-associated s-uPAR recruitment onto HUVEC membrane and the ability to induce cell motility. HUVECs cultured on UR-CM showed a significant increase in uPAR levels in the cell membrane fraction as compared with controls. However, the membrane fraction from HUVECs cultured on UR-Si-CM did not exert a significant effect on uPAR levels. As uPAR is anchored to the cell membrane through a glycosylphosphatidylinositol tail, which accounts for its presence in lipid rafts,6,7,21,37 and orchestrates the signaling pathways underlying the functional changes,38 we analyzed the lipid raft fractions for s-uPAR recruitment onto membrane rafts. Here, we show evidence of tumor-associated s-uPAR accumulation in HUVECs’ lipid rafts. However, cells grown on UR-Si-CM did not show any significant accumulation of s-uPAR onto membrane rafts, whereas supplementation of rh-uPAR-DDK showed a significant colocalization of uPAR and GM1 in lipid rafts. Next, we disrupted the integrity of the lipid raft, which is essential in many cellular functions including angiogenesis.8,10,26 using a

Figure 5. Diverse forms of tumor-associated s-uPAR in vitro and in vivo. (a) Conditioned medium (CM) was collected from tumor cells as described in Materials and methods. CM was subjected to deglycosylation using a deglycosylation kit and analyzed by immunoblot for uPAR using specific antibodies. (b) Equal amount of proteins containing HUVEC lysates were used for extraction of cell membrane fractions and were subjected to deglycosylation, and analyzed by immunoblot for uPAR using specific antibodies. (c) In vivo angiogenic assay was performed by using the dorsal air sac model. 4910EV (EV), 4910UR (UR), 4910UR-Si (UR-Si) cells or a recombinant human uPAR (rh-uPAR) containing chamber was implanted in the dorsal cavity of mice. The micrographs for the presence of tumor-induced neovascularization (microvessels with curved thin structures and many tiny bleeding spots) and pre-existing vasculature (straight) were captured. Representative micrographs are shown. (d, e) Blood was collected from mice orthotopically xenografted with stably expressing EV, UR and UR-Si cells. Total uPAR levels were estimated using a commercial human uPAR Quantikine Immunoassay kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The data quantification for a set I (n = 4; d) and set II (n = 6; e), on day 15 and 40, respectively, after cell implantation are shown. Columns: mean; bars: s.d.; *P < 0.01 vs parental control. (f) Blood serum (from mice 1–6; on day 40) was subjected to deglycosylation and analyzed by immunoblot for uPAR using specific antibodies. D2-D3, D2-D3 domain containing truncated s-uPAR; D3, D3 domain containing truncated s-uPAR; FL, full-length s-uPAR.
known cholesterol disrupting agent, MBCD. We observed that the treatment of cells with MBCD impaired invasion, migration and capillary morphogenesis, thus demonstrating that the tumor-associated s-uPAR recruits onto HUVECs membrane and induces cell migration and angiogenesis.

Figure 6. uPAR overexpression enhances tumor growth, vascularity and s-uPAR recruits onto endothelial cells in vivo. (a) Stably expressing EV, UR and UR-Si cells were injected intracerebrally into mice. Mice were euthanized and brains were collected and fixed as described in Materials and methods. Brain sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) solution, and representative micrographs are shown (upper panel). H&E-stained micrographs showing the tumor invasive front (× 20; lower panel). (b) Brain tumor areas were calculated using Image Pro Discovery Program software (Media Cybernetics, Inc., Rockville, MD, USA). Columns: mean; bars: s.d.; n = 6; *P < 0.01 vs parental controls. (c) Immunohistochemical analysis of brain sections using anti-uPAR and anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). Blood vessels in tumor sections were visualized with biotin-labeled tomato lectin. Inset: isotype control. (d, e) Fluorescence microscopy for colocalization of an endothelial cell marker (von Willebrand factor (vWF)/anti-CD31) and DDK-tag in tumor sections from mice that were implanted with 4910 EV (EV) and 4910UR (UR) cells. Inset, isotype control.

s-uPAR exists in the forms of full-length (D1-D3), truncated (D2-D3) and truncated containing N-terminal domain (D1), which show different properties owing to structural differences. Studies on full-length s-uPAR demonstrated that it can act as an uPA scavenger and inhibit cancer cell growth and invasion in a
variety of cancers. Full-length s-uPAR contains intact domains that are able to compete with cellular full-length uPAR for uPA and is able to bind both uPA and vitronectin. Hence, s-uPAR can affect the processes catalyzed by those molecules and their interaction with membrane-bound uPAR. In contrast, the cleaved D2-D3 forms with SRSRY (residues 88–92) sequence in the linker region has been shown to be a chemotactic agent. However, in the present study, the deglycosylation and immunoblot analyses revealed that two s-uPAR forms corresponding to full-length and truncated D2-D3 were present in CM samples in an approximately equimolar ratio. Further, deglycosylation of the membrane fraction and membrane raft fraction of HUVECs cultured on UR-CM demonstrated that higher amounts of full-length s-uPAR forms (vs truncated) were recruited onto cell membrane. Therefore, we speculate full-length s-uPAR present in the matrix milieu acts as an uPA scavenger, and once it recruits onto the endothelial cell membrane it is able to induce endothelial cell migration.

Cellular uPAR is distributed on the cell surface at focal contacts initially, and will localize into aggregates on the leading edge of migrating cells and is believed to regulate the activation state of integrins by altering their adhesive properties as well as signaling capacity. A large body of evidence demonstrates integrin-mediated uPAR signaling associated with the activation of FAK and ERK, and downstream Rho family small GTPase Rac1. A recent study shows that uPAR/integrin-mediated activation of ERK is necessary for the subsequent activation of Rac1. Activation of Rac1 has been shown to be an important event in the promotion of motility and invasion by uPAR.0,30 Ectopic uPAR expression results in Rac1-dependent lamellipodial protrusion and cell motility, and inhibiting endogenous uPAR expression inactivates Rac1 and strongly inhibits lamellipodial protrusion and cell motility. In the present study, EV-CM induced significant levels of HUVEC migration, whereas UR-CM augmented HUVEC migration levels remarkably. In contrast, UR-Si-CM or functional blocking uPAR-Ab inhibited s-uPAR-mediated migration and angiogenesis. Interestingly, supplementation of rh-uPAR alone enhanced HUVEC migration and capillary tube formation significantly. In addition, supplementation of rh-uPAR resummed UR-Si-CM-inhibited cell migration and capillary tube formation. Further, phosphorylation of ERK1/2 and activation of Rac1 were significantly elevated in HUVECs cultured on UR-CM compared with cells cultured on EV-CM. In contrast, phosphorylation of ERK1/2 and activation of Rac1 were inhibited remarkably in HUVECs cultured on UR-Si-CM as compared with cells cultured on EV-CM. Notably, supplementation of rh-uPAR induced phosphorylation of ERK and activity of Rac1 similar to s-uPAR-containing UR-CM. Further, uPAR-Ab, Dn-Rac1 and U0126 mitigated UR-CM-induced HUVEC migration and invasion in vitro. A recent study on uPAR demonstrated that endothelial progenitor cell-dependent angiogenesis requires localization of the full-length form of uPAR in lipid rafts.0 Our results showed that the deglycosylation of lipid raft fractions of HUVECs grown on UR-CM have significant amounts of full-length s-uPAR residing onto membrane. Further, in vivo dorsal sac model experiments demonstrated that implantation of uPAR-overexpressed cells induced profound neovascularization as compared with control cells. Further, implantation of rh-uPAR alone also induced significant high levels of neovascularization in vivo. In contrast, UR-Si cells failed to induce significant neovascularization in mice, thereby suggesting s-uPAR involvement in angiogenesis.

In conclusion, determination of the molecular mechanisms underlying s-uPAR paracrine signaling, such as the Rac1 activation-mediated pathway described here, is essential to provide insight into the well-established role of uPAR in tumor progression. Understanding these pathways will provide new therapeutic targets for the prevention of human tumor metastasis, angiogenesis and growth.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture

The xenograft cell lines 4910 and 5310 (kindly provided by Dr David James, University of California at San Francisco) were generated and maintained in mice, and are highly invasive in the mouse brain.0 At 3–4 passages of cells from mice heterotopic tumors were frozen and these stocks were used for further experiments up to 10 passages to obtain consistent results. Cells were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium-1640 (Mediatech Inc., Manassas, VA, USA) medium, as described previously. Cells were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium-1640 (Mediatech Inc., Manassas, VA, USA) and are highly invasive in the mouse brain.0 At 3–4 passages of cells from mice heterotopic tumors were frozen and these stocks were used for further experiments up to 10 passages to obtain consistent results. Cells were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium-1640 (Mediatech Inc., Manassas, VA, USA) medium, as described previously.0 Cells were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium-1640 (Mediatech Inc., Manassas, VA, USA) medium, as described previously.0 Cells were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium-1640 (Mediatech Inc., Manassas, VA, USA) medium, as described previously.0 Cells were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium-1640 (Mediatech Inc., Manassas, VA, USA) medium, as described previously.
Lipid raft isolation
Lipid rafts were isolated from cells using a caveolae/rafts isolation kit (Sigma, as described earlier.\(^2\)) Briefly, 24 h after culture of HUVECs on CM, cells were labeled with CtxB (\(\beta\) subunit of the cholera toxin)-horseradish peroxidase and lysed in Triton-X-100 buffer, and then fractionated by gradient centrifugation (22 h, 40 000 r.p.m. at 4 °C). Ten fractions were collected from the top of the gradient. Raft and non-raft fractions were determined by dot blot analysis, and positive fractions for GM1 (fractions 2–4) were pooled. The purity of raft and non-raft fractions was confirmed by immunoblot analysis using specific antibodies against raft- and non-raft-associated markers.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
Blood plasma or CM was prepared as mentioned in appropriate sections, and s-uPAR levels were determined using a commercial human uPAR Quantikine Immunoassay kit (R&D Systems) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Deglycosylation
Equal quantities of HUVEC membrane fractions, blood serum and CM were deglycosylated using a deglycosylation kit (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Deglycosylated proteins were subjected to immunoblot analysis using different anti-uPAR-Ab.

Immunofluorescent and immunohistochemical analyses
Immunofluorescent and immunohistochemical analyses were performed as described.\(^2\) Deparaffinized and rehydrated tissue sections or fixed cells were blocked by goat serum followed by incubation with primary antibodies. Isotype control antibody was used as a negative control. Expression was detected with either Alexa Fluor-conjugated secondary antibody or horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody, followed by 3,3-diaminobenzidine solution. The nucleus was counterstained with either 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole or hematoxylin, and photographed. For lipid raft labeling,\(^1,2\) HUVECs were incubated with anti-DDK antibody followed by Alexa Fluor-488-conjugated secondary antibody. Next, samples were labeled with Alexa Fluor-595-CtxB subunit as a raft marker. Slides were mounted and analyzed using a confocal microscope. To disrupt lipid rafts, HUVECs were pretreated with MBCD (2.5 mg/ml) for 30 min, and cells were incubated for 24 h on CM.

Measurement of Rac1 activity
The GST-Rac1 (p21-binding domain of Pak1 fused to glutathione-S-transferase) pull-down assays were used to detect cellular activated (GTP-bound) Rac1, as described previously.\(^30\) Briefly, HUVEC lysates were incubated with GST-Rac1-coupled glutathione sepharose beads. The beads were washed extensively, and proteins bound on beads were examined by immunoblot analysis with anti-Rac1 antibody.

Orthotopic animal models
Stable expressing EV, UR or UR-Si cells (2 × 10^5) were injected intracranially into athymic nude mice (10 per group), as described previously.\(^2\) At the time of killing, blood was collected from deeply anesthetized mice (four on day 15 and six on day 40 after cells implantation) by cardiac puncture and rapidly mixed with cold trisodiumcitrate (0.1 vol) to give a final concentration of 12.9 mM citrate. The plasma was separated from blood cells within 1 h by centrifugation at 2000 g for 30 min at 4 °C, and the supernatant was stored at – 80 °C before assay. The brains collected from these mice were fixed in 10% buffered formaldehyde. To visualize tumor cells, the brain sections (5 μm) were stained with hematoxylin and eosin and the tumor volume was quantified as described previously.\(^2\) Statistical analysis
All data are presented as mean ± s.d. of at least three independent experiments. ImageJ software (NIH) was used for quantification of migration, angiogenesis and band intensities of mRNA and protein gels. Results were analyzed using a two-tailed Student’s t-test to assess statistical significance. Statistical significances were accepted at \(P<0.05\) and \(P<0.01\).
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Angiogenic response in vivo was examined with the dorsal air sac assay by implanting diffusion chambers with stably expressing 4910EV, 4910UR and 4910UR-Si cells (2 × 10^5), and rh-uPAR in serum-free medium were placed underneath the dorsal air sac fascia and quantified.

Flow cytometry
HUVECs were cultured on CM for 24 h and labeled with anti-uPAR-Ab for 1 h, followed by Alexa Fluor-488-conjugated secondary antibody for 30 min before samples were analyzed on a FACS Calibur Flow Cytometer (BD Biosciences). Data acquisition and analysis were performed using CellQuest software (BD Biosciences).

Lipid raft isolation
Lipid rafts were isolated from cells using a caveolae/rafts isolation kit (Sigma), as described earlier.\(^2\) Briefly, 24 h after culture of HUVECs on CM, cells were labeled with CtxB (\(\beta\) subunit of the cholera toxin)-horseradish peroxidase and lysed in Triton-X-100 buffer, and then fractionated by gradient centrifugation (22 h, 40 000 r.p.m. at 4 °C). Ten fractions were collected from the top of the gradient. Raft and non-raft fractions were determined by dot blot analysis, and positive fractions for GM1 (fractions 2–4) were pooled. The purity of raft and non-raft fractions was confirmed by immunoblot analysis using specific antibodies against raft- and non-raft-associated markers.
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