Pathogen evasion of chemokine response through suppression of CXCL10
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Abstract

Clearance of intracellular pathogens, such as *Leishmania (L.) major*, depends on an immune response with well-regulated cytokine signaling. Here we describe a pathogen-mediated mechanism of evading CXCL10, a chemokine with diverse antimicrobial functions, including T cell recruitment. Infection with *L. major* in a human monocyte cell line induced robust *CXCL10* transcription without increasing extracellular CXCL10 protein concentrations. We found that this transcriptionally independent suppression of CXCL10 is mediated by the virulence factor and protease, glycoprotein-63 (*gp63*). Specifically, GP63 cleaves CXCL10 after amino acid A81 at the base of a C-terminal alpha-helix. Cytokine cleavage by GP63 demonstrated specificity, as GP63 cleaved CXCL10 and its homologues, which all bind the CXCR3 receptor, but not distantly related chemokines, such as CXCL8 and CCL22. Further characterization demonstrated that CXCL10 cleavage activity by GP63 was produced by both extracellular promastigotes and intracellular amastigotes. Crucially, CXCL10 cleavage impaired T cell chemotaxis *in vitro*, indicating that cleaved CXCL10 cannot signal through CXCR3.

Ultimately, we propose CXCL10 suppression is a convergent mechanism of immune evasion, as *Salmonella enterica* and *Chlamydia trachomatis* also suppress CXCL10. This commonality suggests that counteracting CXCL10 suppression may provide a generalizable therapeutic strategy against intracellular pathogens.
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Importance

Leishmaniasis, an infectious disease that annually affects over one million people, is caused by intracellular parasites that have evolved to evade the host’s attempts to eliminate the parasite. Cutaneous leishmaniasis results in disfiguring skin lesions if the host immune system does not appropriately respond to infection. A family of molecules called chemokines coordinate recruitment of the immune cells required to eliminate infection. Here, we demonstrate a novel mechanism that *Leishmania (L.) major* employs to suppress host chemokines: an *L. major* protease cleaves chemokines known to recruit T cells that fight off infection. We observe that other common human intracellular pathogens, including *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Salmonella enterica*, reduce levels of the same chemokines, suggesting a strong selective pressure to avoid this component of the immune response. Our study provides new insights into how intracellular pathogens interact with the host immune response to enhance pathogen survival.

Introduction

Proper immune clearance of intracellular pathogens requires precise cytokine and chemokine signaling. These cytokines coordinate the localization, activation, and polarization of innate and adaptive immune cell subsets. To study T cell recruitment and polarization in response to intracellular pathogens, parasites in the genus *Leishmania* have served as a paradigm (1). However, persistent gaps in the understanding of host and pathogen factors that influence T cell response and recruitment contribute to the dearth of immunotherapeutics and vaccines. With no available vaccine and limited treatment options, *Leishmania* spp. continue to cause 1.2 million cases of cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) and 0.4 million cases of visceral leishmaniasis annually (VL) (2).
better understanding of host immunity and pathogen evasion strategies is imperative to
develop alternative approaches to current therapies, which are limited by variable
efficacy, high cost, and growing drug resistance (3). Of particular relevance may be
instances where multiple diverse pathogens have evolved to evade or suppress the same
key host immune signaling pathways (4, 5).

To clear *L. major* parasites, a causative agent of CL, the adaptive immune system
must be coordinated to a type-1 response by appropriately recruiting immune cell
subsets, particularly CD4+ T helper 1 (T\(_h\)1) cells and CD8+ cytotoxic T lymphocytes
(CTLs) (6). This recruitment is mediated by chemokines, a family of signaling molecules
that regulate recruitment and localization of unique immune cell subsets. For example, T\(_h\)1 cells, which mediate a pro-inflammatory response effective at eliminating intracellular
parasites, are recruited by chemokines such as CXCL10 through the CXCR3 receptor. By contrast, T\(_h\)2 cells, which promote immunity targeting extracellular parasites, are
recruited by chemokines such as CCL22 through the CCR4 receptor (7). When infected
with *L. major*, T\(_h\)2 responding mice develop non-healing lesions, whereas T\(_h\)1 responding
mice effectively clear the parasite (8-10). As part of the broader type-1 immune response
against *L. major* infection, parasite-specific CD8+ cells are also recruited, and have been
implicated in productive immunity to primary and secondary infection (11-14). Corresponding observational studies in humans support this model where non-healing
cutaneous lesions are characterized by T\(_h\)2 associated cytokines, and individuals
resistant to lesion development have a higher predominance of T\(_h\)1 associated cytokines
(15-18). Together these studies highlight the critical role of cytokine and chemokine
signaling in specific immune cell subsets during infection.
One of the chemokines that specifically regulates localization and activity of CD4+ Th1 and effector CD8+ T-cells is CXCL10, or IFNγ Inducible Protein 10 (IP10). CXCL10 is part of a family of highly homologous chemokines, including CXCL9 and CXCL11, which bind to and activate the CXCR3 chemokine receptor (reviewed in (19)). Multiple lines of investigation suggest that CXCL10 protects against Leishmania infection. First, the host upregulates CXCL10 transcription throughout infection (20-22) and cells expressing CXCR3 are expanded after infection (23). Second, BALB/c mice, which are unable to control Leishmania spp. infection, demonstrate a defect in CXCR3 upregulation (24, 25). Finally, exogenous CXCL10 is protective against both cutaneous and visceral leishmaniasis (26-29). Therefore, the type-1 associated chemokine CXCL10 is important for host control of cutaneous leishmaniasis.

Beyond Leishmania, type-1 immunity and CXCL10-CXCR3 signaling are critical for clearing other intracellular pathogens. For the obligate intracellular bacterium Chlamydia trachomatis, Th1 cells are required for clearance of infection while a Th2 dominated response may lead to excessive pathology (30-33). In mice, CXCL10 mRNA and protein are significantly induced after infection (34-36). Similarly, Th1 responses are crucial for an effective immune response to the facultative intracellular bacteria Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium based on studies in mice (37, 38) and the predisposition of people with rare mutations in Th1-promoting cytokines (IFNγ and IL12) to invasive Salmonellosis (39). Further, M1-polarized macrophages, which restrict Salmonella intracellular replication (40, 41), robustly upregulate CXCL10 transcription (42, 43). Finally, mice deficient for CXCR3 have increased susceptibility to S. enterica (44), Toxoplasma (T.) gondii (45), and C. trachomatis (46). Thus, the CXCL10-CXCR3
signaling axis coordinates an adaptive type-1 immune response to intracellular pathogens that promotes a successful healing response.

Here, we report that *L. major* suppresses extracellular CXCL10 protein levels, providing a potential mechanism for evasion of the adaptive immune response. This suppression occurs through the proteolytic activity of the virulence factor glycoprotein-63 (GP63). GP63 cleavage of CXCL10 occurs throughout *in vitro* infection and abrogates CXCR3-dependent T cell migration. Furthermore, we observed CXCL10 suppression with other intracellular pathogens, including *S. enterica* and *C. trachomatis*, demonstrating that diverse intracellular pathogens have developed convergent mechanisms to suppress CXCL10.

**Results**

*L. major* infection suppresses CXCL10 protein, despite induction of *CXCL10* mRNA.

To broadly screen for *L. major* manipulation of host immunity, we measured secreted levels of 41 cytokines following infection of lymphoblastoid cell lines (LCLs) with *L. major*. LCLs constitutively produce CXCL10, and incubation with *L. major* reduced CXCL10 levels by greater than 90% (Fig. 1A). We confirmed this decrease in CXCL10 protein in LPS-stimulated human THP-1 monocytes infected with *L. major* (Fig. 1B). Despite the reduction in CXCL10 protein in culture supernatants, THP-1s exposed to *L. major* had 2.5-fold higher *CXCL10* mRNA relative to uninfected (Fig. 1B). Therefore, *L. major* suppresses CXCL10 protein through a transcriptionally independent mechanism.
The *L. major* matrix-metalloprotease, glycoprotein-63 (GP63), is necessary and sufficient for CXCL10 protein suppression.

To test whether an *L. major*-secreted factor is responsible for CXCL10 protein suppression, we treated recombinant human CXCL10 with cell-free conditioned media obtained from cultured *L. major* promastigotes. Again, CXCL10 was reduced by 90% with the conditioned media (Fig. 2A). These results were consistent with proteolytic degradation by a pathogen-secreted protease. We hypothesized that CXCL10 suppression was mediated by glycoprotein-63 (GP63), a zinc-metalloprotease conserved among the *Trypanasoma* family of parasites and expressed in both the extracellular promastigote and intracellular amastigote life stages (47-50). To test if GP63 is required to suppress CXCL10, we used a known GP63 inhibitor, the zinc-chelator 1,10-phenanthroline (51). 1,10-phenanthroline inhibited CXCL10-suppressive activity in *L. major* conditioned media (Fig. 2A). Consistent with GP63-mediated degradation of CXCL10, conditioned media from a promastigote culture of *L. major* deficient for *gp63* (Δgp63; (52)) did not suppress CXCL10, whereas complementation with a single copy of *gp63* (*L. major* Δgp63+1) restored CXCL10 suppression (Fig. 2B). Furthermore, heterologously expressed GP63 secreted from mammalian HEK293T cells was sufficient for complete CXCL10 suppression, while a single point mutation in the catalytic site of GP63 (E265A) abrogated suppression (Fig. 2C). Therefore, GP63 is both necessary and sufficient for CXCL10 suppression by *L. major*.

**GP63 selectively cleaves the CXCL10-related family of chemokines at the start of the C-terminal alpha-helix.**
As GP63 has a diverse set of identified \textit{in vitro} substrates (48), we examined the specificity of GP63 across a spectrum of chemokines. Based on the initial cytokine screen (Figure 1A), we hypothesized GP63 cleavage would be restricted to CXCL10 and highly related chemokines. To experimentally test for GP63 cleavage, purified recombinant chemokines were incubated with conditioned media from \textit{L. major} WT, \textit{L. major Δgp63}, or \textit{L. major Δgp63+1}. GP63 cleavage was observed for CXCL9 (38.14\% amino acid identity with CXCL10) and CXCL11 (30.85\% amino acid identity with CXCL10) (Figure 3A, B), which both signal through CXCR3. By contrast, no cleavage of CXCL8 (IL-8; a neutrophil-attracting chemokine) or CCL22 (MDC; a Th2-attracting chemokine) was detected (Fig. 3B). Thus, chemokine cleavage by GP63 appears to preferentially degrade chemokines involved in CXCR3 signaling.

Although western blot analysis supported GP63-dependent cleavage through loss of CXCL10 immunoreactivity, it did not reveal the cleavage site or potential cleavage products. The GP63 consensus cleavage site has been described as polar, hydrophobic, and basic amino acids at positions P1, P1’, and P2’ (53). Following this pattern, there are three potential cleavage sites in the mature CXCL10 protein (from amino acid position 22-96) that are conserved between human and murine CXCL10 (68.37\% amino acid identity) (Fig. 3A). In order to characterize the cleavage product(s), we incubated GP63 with human recombinant CXCL10 and visualized a shift in size by total protein stain (Fig. 3C). Intact CXCL10 and the largest cleavage products were determined to be 8.8kD and 6.6kD respectively, by capillary electrophoresis-mass spectrometry (CE-MS) (Fig. 3D). After running the sample on a PAGE gel, the 8.8kD (intact, “Hi”) product, 6.6kD (cleaved, “Lo”) product, and an uncleaved control (“Ctrl”) were sequenced by trypsin digestion.
followed by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). Comparison of peptides after trypsin digest revealed a peptide from amino acids (AA) 74-81 that was exclusively present in the cleaved CXCL10 band, but notably absent in the uncleaved band (Fig. 3E). Conversely, distal peptide fragments such as AA84-91 were only present in the uncleaved CXCL10. This analysis demonstrated cleavage occurring in between A81 and I82, resulting in the loss of detectable peptides beyond those amino acids in cleaved CXCL10. This is consistent with the fragment size based on intact molecular weight CE-MS, and notably AIK (AA 81-83) is one of the three potential cleavage sites identified in our comparative analysis (see Figure 3A). To confirm this site as preferred for GP63 cleavage, we used site-direct mutagenesis to mutate the residues in the proposed cleavage motif. Mutation of the identified P1 residue significantly slowed CXCL10 cleavage in a time course experiment (Fig. 3F). Mapping the residues onto the crystal structure of CXCL10 (54) demonstrated that cleavage occurs at the beginning of the C-terminal alpha-helix of CXCL10 (Fig. 3G).

**GP63 produced by *L. major* promastigotes or amastigotes can cleave CXCL10 protein.**

Immediately after injection by the sand-fly vector, *Leishmania* parasites exist as an extracellular, flagellated promastigote but are rapidly phagocytized where they transform into the intracellular, aflagellated amastigote parasite stage. We hypothesized that GP63 would continue to be able to suppress CXCL10 through both stages of infection, as transcriptomics indicate GP63 expression during both stages (50). To test the capacity of *L. major* to suppress CXCL10 in both the promastigote and amastigote stage of infection, we utilized PMA differentiated THP-1 monocytes as an intracellular macrophage model
of infection. Differentiated THP-1 monocytes were infected at an MOI of 20 with promastigotes from *L. major WT*, Δgp63, or Δgp63+1. Extracellular promastigote activity was assessed in the supernatant at 24 hours post infection, followed immediately by washing to remove the extracellular promastigotes and GP63 protein in the media, and subsequently assessing intracellular amastigote activity at 48 hours post infection.

This model demonstrated CXCL10 protein suppression by GP63 in both stages of the parasite life cycle. *L. major WT* promastigotes had no induction of CXCL10 protein relative to uninfected cells, while *L. major* Δgp63 infection resulted in a significant induction of CXCL10 protein (Fig. 4A). Similarly, the *L. major WT* amastigotes continued to suppress CXCL10 protein while *L. major* Δgp63 infection significantly induced CXCL10 protein (Fig. 4B). The complementation observed with the *L. major* Δgp63+1 strain is significant, though incomplete in the promastigote stage and further reduced in the amastigote stage. This is attributable to the plasmid construct being designed for high expression in the promastigote stage (55) and the lack of G418 selection during the experiment. Notably, all three *L. major* strains cause comparable induction of CXCL10 mRNA (Fig. 4C). These results indicate that CXCL10 mRNA is induced during *Leishmania* infection, but protein levels are reduced by GP63, present at both parasite life cycle stages involved in infection in mammalian hosts.

**GP63 cleaved CXCL10 is unable to recruit CXCR3 expressing T cells.**

Because CXCL10 coordinates the recruitment of CXCR3+ T cells during infection, we next tested if GP63 cleavage of CXCL10 impacts T cell recruitment. We tested the chemotactic ability of cleaved CXCL10 to recruit Jurkat T cells expressing CXCR3. The basal chamber of a transwell system was seeded with CXCL10 in the presence of
conditioned media from \textit{L. major} WT, \textit{L. major} \textDelta gp63, or \textit{L. major} \textDelta gp63+1. Conditioned media from \textit{L. major} WT and \textit{L. major} \textDelta gp63+1 abrogated CXCL10 induced migration of CXCR3+ Jurkat T cells, whereas the \textit{L. major} \textDelta gp63 conditioned media did not impair chemotaxis (Fig. 4D). Together these data support a model whereby the host attempts to produce CXCL10 to coordinate recruitment of CXCR3 expressing immune cells, but \textit{L. major} produces GP63 to inactivate CXCL10 and impair T cell chemotaxis (Fig. 4E).

\textbf{CXCL10 suppression has evolved independently in multiple intracellular pathogens}

Given that CXCL10 mediates a type-1 immune response that protects against a broad range of intracellular pathogens, we asked if suppression of CXCL10 has evolved in has evolved in other parasites and bacteria. CXCL10 production by LCLs was measured by ELISA after exposure to a variety of pathogens including \textit{Toxoplasma} (\textit{T.} \textit{gondii}), \textit{Plasmodium} (\textit{P.} \textit{bergei}), \textit{Salmonella} (\textit{S.} \textit{enterica} serovar Typhimurium), \textit{Chlamydia} (\textit{C.} \textit{trachomatis}), \textit{Mycobacterium} (\textit{M.} \textit{marinum}), \textit{Mycobacterium} (\textit{M.} \textit{smegmatis}), \textit{Staphylococcus} (\textit{S.} \textit{aureus}), and \textit{Cryptococcus} (\textit{C.} \textit{neoformans}). CXCL10 suppression of at least 80\% was observed with two additional intracellular pathogens: \textit{S. Typhimurium} and \textit{C. trachomatis}. In contrast, other pathogens, including the extracellular pathogens \textit{S. aureus} and \textit{C. neoformans}, exhibited modest to no suppression of CXCL10 (Fig. 5A).

Confirmation and characterization of CXCL10 suppression in different cell lines demonstrated that diverse intracellular pathogens impair chemokine accumulation. Using a second LCL, we confirmed that \textit{S. Typhimurium} and \textit{C. trachomatis} infection suppress CXCL10 (Fig. 5B-C). We then assessed the generalizability of CXCL10 suppression in
host cell types known to be commonly infected by each pathogen. THP-1 monocytes stimulated with LPS upregulate significant production of CXCL10 protein, but infection with live S. Typhimurium dramatically impaired this CXCL10 induction (Fig. 5D). Similarly, the cervical epithelial cell line A2EN produces CXCL10 at baseline, but this is significantly reduced after infection with C. trachomatis (Fig. 5E). Thus, multiple intracellular pathogens that pose significant health burdens around the globe have independently evolved the ability to suppress CXCL10 in the cell types relevant to their infective niche.

Discussion

We describe a mechanism used by intracellular pathogens to evade host chemokine response. Specifically, L. major can significantly reduce CXCL10 and impair its chemotactic activity through the matrix-metalloprotease, GP63. This strategy is likely to be highly beneficial to the parasite as CXCL10 protects against L. major (29), L. amazonensis (26), and L. donovani infection (27, 28). A similar phenotype of immune evasion that is shared by diverse intracellular pathogens points to a critical conserved role for CXCL10 in immunity to intracellular pathogens.

Consistent with CXCL10 playing a protective role during infection, multiple studies show that recruitment of CXCR3-expressing cells actively shapes the immune response. In response to Leishmania spp. specifically, CXCL10 is critical for the recruitment and activation of several cell types that contribute to the coordination of a protective type-1 immune response: natural killer (NK) cells, CD8+ T cells, dendritic cells, and CD4+ Th1 cells. With the early upregulation of CXCL10 transcript (22), NK cells recruited during infection produce IFNγ that contributes to Th1 differentiation (29, 56). Specific subsets of
effector CD8+ T cells are recruited by CXCL10 after infection (23, 57). Finally, dendritic
cells exposed to CXCL10 produce increased IL12, a cytokine that promotes T_h1
polarization, and T_h1 cells exposed to CXCL10 produce greater amounts of IFNγ (58), a
signal which infected macrophages require for efficient parasite killing (6). Beyond
Leishmania, CXCR3-expressing cells have also been reported to play important roles in
other infectious and inflammatory models (19, 23, 59-62). After infection with lymphocytic
choriomeningitis virus, CXCR3 deletion leads to impaired production and localization of
effector CD8+ T cells (63), and CXCL10 precisely coordinates effector CD8+ T cells to
the site of Toxoplasma gondii, another intracellular eukaryotic pathogen (64). In response
to the bacterial pathogen S. Typhimurium, which we identified as also suppressing
CXCL10, mice have a significant expansion of CXCR3+ T_h1 cells which border bacteria-
rich granulomas in the spleen (43). These diverse examples highlight the importance of
evading the CXCL10-CXCR3 signaling axis for pathogens.

Current limitations of parasite genetics as they relate to the complexity of GP63
related proteases may contribute to an incomplete picture of the impact of GP63 on
chemokine suppression. First, sequencing L. major revealed proteins distantly
homologous to GP63 (approximately 35% amino acid identity) on chromosomes 24 and
31, in addition to the tandem array of gp63 genes on chromosome 10 (47, 65). These
related proteases may suppress CXCL10 during different stages of infection or cleave an
additional set of host substrates, even though they are not required for CXCL10 cleavage
under our in vitro conditions. Second, L. major Δgp63+1 has one of the seven
chromosome-10 gp63 copies maintained on a plasmid under G418 selection and
optimized for expression in promastigotes (55), making the currently available GP63
strains sub-optimal for in vivo experiments. Despite these limitations, we demonstrate that GP63 cleavage of CXCL10 is selective, rapid, and renders the chemokine non-functional. Further investigation beyond the scope of this manuscript will be required to elucidate the implications of CXCL10 cleavage in other infection contexts and animal models.

An effective vaccine to protect against leishmaniasis has been a tantalizing strategy for disease control with unrealized potential due to an incomplete understanding of how the parasites interact with the immune system. Historically, inoculation with live parasites in unexposed areas of skin has effectively prevented future infections (66); however, this strategy poses significant risks (67-69) and subsequent vaccine development efforts failed to confer long-term protection in human studies (66). Recent studies highlight the importance of chemokine recruitment in mounting an efficient secondary immune response. Specifically, transcription of Cxcl10 is upregulated in T resident-memory (T<sub>rm</sub>) cells after secondary infection, and antibody blockade of CXCR3 prevents recruitment of circulating CD4+ T cells to the site of infection (70-72). Together with our finding that CXCR3 substrates are cleaved by L. major, this suggests that one of the goals of vaccine development should be to overcome parasite-encoded CXCR3 escape upon secondary infection. Promisingly, GP63-specific CD4+ T cells elicit strong IFN<sub>γ</sub> and Th1 responses (73) while GP63 based vaccines elicit long term immunity in mice that is correlated with Th1 responses (74-77); a phenotype that could be enhanced by anti-GP63 antibodies functionally blocking cleavage of CXCR3 ligands. A complete understanding of how the parasite alters chemokine recruitment upon secondary infection may facilitate development of a vaccine that can provide long term immunity to leishmaniasis.
The relevance of these insights into immune evasion is made more impactful by the observation that CXCL10 suppression has arisen in multiple intracellular pathogens. We found that *L. major*, *S. Typhimurium*, and *C. trachomatis* independently evolved the ability to suppress CXCL10, which indicates that suppression of CXCR3 inflammatory signaling is advantageous for multiple intracellular pathogens. In addition to *S. Typhimurium* and *C. trachomatis*, several other commensal and pathogenic bacteria have been reported to suppress CXCL10, including *Lactobacillus paracasei*, *Streptococcus pyogenes*, *Finegoldia magna*, and *Porphyromonas gingivalis* (78-80). Similarly, the fungal pathogen *Candida albicans* produces a signaling molecule to inhibit *CXCL10* transcription (81). Among viruses, Hepatitis C virus (HCV) upregulates host proteases to modify CXCL10 (82), Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) decreases transcription through chromatin remodeling at the *CXCL10* locus (83), and Zika virus (ZIKV) blocks translation of CXCL10 (84, 85). The repeated and independent evolution of CXCL10 evasion suggests that this chemokine poses a significant evolutionary pressure on common human pathogens. These diverse pathogens heavily impact global morbidity and mortality. Understanding how pathogens manipulate the CXCR3 signaling axis to their advantage may enable therapeutic countermeasures that circumvent or prevent pathogen suppression of CXCR3 signaling.

### Materials/Methods

**Cell Lines**

LCLs from the International HapMap Project (86) (GM18524 from Han Chinese in Beijing, China, GM19203 from Yoruba in Ibadan, Nigeria, GM7357 from Utah residents with...
Northern and Western European ancestry from the CEPH collection, and HG02647 of Gambian ancestry isolated in Gambia) were purchased from the Coriell Institute. LCLs were maintained at 37°C in a 5% CO2 atmosphere and were grown in RPMI 1640 media (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2 mM glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin-G, and 100 mg/ml 790 streptomycin. THP-1 monocytes, originally from ATCC, were obtained from the Duke Cell Culture Facility and maintained in RPMI 1640 as described above. HEK293T cells were obtained from ATCC and maintained in DMEM complete media (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FBS, 100U/ml penicillin-G, and 100mg/ml 790 streptomycin. Jurkat cells (an immortalized T cell line) stably expressing CXCR3 were generated by transfecting a linearized pcDNA3.1 expression vector encoding CXCR3 and resistance to Geneticin (G-418), selecting for transfected cells with 1000 μg/mL Geneticin, and collecting highly expressing CXCR3 cells by FACS. Cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 media (Sigma) supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin, 0.23% Glucose, 10mM HEPES, 1mM Sodium Pyruvate, and 250 μg/mL Geneticin. The A2EN cell line was provided by Raphael Valdivia and maintained in Keratinocyte serum free media (Gibco; 17005-042) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS, Epidermal Growth Factor 1-53, and Bovine Pituitary Extract.

Pathogen culture and infections.

Leishmania spp. were obtained from BEI (L. major WT ((MHOM/SN/74/Seidman), NR-48819), L. major Δgp63 ((MHOM/SN/74/SD) Δgp63 1-7, NR-42489), L. major Δgp63+1 (MHOM/SN/74/SD) Δgp63 1-7 + gp63-1, NR-42490)). Parasites were maintained at 27°C in M199 media (Sigma-Aldrich, M1963), supplemented with 100u/ml
penicillin/streptomycin, and 0.05% Hemin (Sigma-Aldrich, 51280). Cultures were split 1:20 every 5 days into 10mL of fresh culture media. To prepare parasites for infection, 8mL of a 5-day-old culture was spun at 1200g for 10 min and washed with 5mL of HBSS prior to counting promastigotes with a hemocytometer and resuspending at the indicated concentration. As relevant controls in using these *L. major* strains, we found the strains contained similar levels of metacyclic parasites based on flow cytometric measurement (87) and metacyclic enrichment with peanut agglutinin (Fig. S1).

For *Leishmania major* infections of LCLs and THP-1 monocytes, 1x10^5 cells were placed in 100μl of RPMI 1640 assay media as described above, with no penicillin/streptomycin added. In the case of THP-1 monocytes, cells were then stimulated with 1μg/mL of LPS derived from *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium S-form` (Enzo Bioscience, ALX-581-011-L001). Finally, 1x10^6 *L. major* promastigotes were added in 50μL of RPMI 1640 assay media for a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10. Culture supernatants and cell pellets were collected after 24 hours of infection. For phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) differentiation of THP-1 monocytes, 1.2x10^6 cells were placed in 2mL of complete RPMI 1640 media supplemented with 100ng/mL of PMA for 8 hours after which the RPMI media was replaced and cells allowed to rest for 36 hours. Parasites were then washed and counted as described above and added at an MOI of 20. At 24 hours post-infection, the culture supernatant was removed, spun at 1200g for 10 minutes to separate extracellular parasites, and stored at -20C for downstream cytokine analysis. Cells were then washed 3 times with 1mL of PBS followed by one additional wash with 2mL of RPMI media to remove the remaining extracellular promastigotes. At 48 hours post infection the culture supernatant was collected and
stored for downstream analysis. All cells were stored in 1mL of RNAprotect (Qiagen, 76526) at -20°C for downstream RNA extraction (RNeasy Mini Kit, Qiagen, 74106) and qPCR analysis.

Screening GM18524 CXCL10 after infection with *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium 14028s, *Chlamydia trachomatis* serovar L2, and *Toxoplasma gondii* (RH and Prugniaud A7) were performed as described previously (88). For *Staphylococcus aureus*, LCLs were plated at 40,000 cells per 100μl RPMI assay media in 96-well plates prior to inoculation at an MOI of 10 with *S. aureus* Sanger-476. Cells were spun at 200xg for 5 minutes prior to incubation at 37°C for 1 hour. Gentamicin was added at 50μg/ml and then supernatant was collected at 24 hours. For *Cryptococcus neoformans*, LCLs were plated at 40,000 cells per 100μl RPMI assay media in 96-well plates prior to inoculation at an MOI of 5 with *C. neoformans* H99 strain. Cells were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours prior to collection of supernatant. For *Plasmodium berghei* infections, LCLs were plated at 40,000 cells per 100μl RPMI assay media in 96-well plates prior to inoculation with 17,000 *P. berghei*-Luciferase sporozoites isolated from *Anopheles stephensi* from the New York University Insectary Core Facility. Cells were spun at 1000xg for 10 minutes prior to incubation at 37°C for 48 hours. Cell death was monitored by 7AAD staining and quantified using a Guava easyCyte HT flow cytometer. To harvest supernatants, LCLs were centrifuged at 200xg for 5 minutes prior to removing supernatant and storing at -80°C prior to quantifying chemokines production by ELISA.

For *Mycobacterium marinum* and *Mycobacterium smegmatis* infections, LCLs were plated at 40,000 cells per 100μl RPMI assay media without FBS and supplemented with 0.03% bovine serum albumin (BSA) prior to infection with 400,000 bacteria per well. Cells
were spun at 100xg for 5 minutes prior to incubation at 33°C for 3 hours, after which 50µl of streptomycin in RPMI media was added for a final concentration of 200µg/ml streptomycin with 10% FBS, and incubation was continued at 33°C for 24 hours. Cell death was monitored by 7AAD staining and quantified using a Guava easyCyte HT flow cytometer. To harvest supernatants, LCLs were centrifuged at 200xg for 5 minutes prior to removing supernatant and storing at -80°C prior to quantifying chemokines by ELISA.

Confirmation of suppression by *S. Typhimurium* and *C. trachomatis* in LCL HG02647 was performed in 24 well plate format. For *S. Typhimurium* infection, 5x10⁵ cells were washed with antibiotic free RPMI assay media and plated in 500µl of RPMI assay media prior to infection at MOI 30. At 1 hour post infection, gentamycin was added at 50µg/mL to kill the remaining extracellular bacteria. At 2 hours post infection, gentamycin was diluted to 18µg/mL to prevent killing of intracellular bacteria. For *C. trachomatis* infection, 2x10⁵ cells were washed and plated in 500µl of RPMI assay media prior to infection at MOI 5 followed by centrifugation at 1500g for 30 minutes. For *S. Typhimurium* infection of THP-1 monocytes, cells were washed once with antibiotic free RPMI assay media and resuspended at a concentration of 1x10⁵ in 100µl of RPMI assay media on a 96-well plate. Cells were then treated with 1µg/mL of LPS diluted in RPMI assay media or the equivalent volume of media and *S. typhimurium* added at an MOI of 10. At 1 hour post infection, gentamycin was added at 50µg/mL. At 2 hours post infection, gentamycin was diluted to 25 µg/mL. For *C. trachomatis* infection of A2EN cells, 1x10⁵ cells were plated in a 96 well plate the day prior to infection. *C. trachomatis* was added at an MOI of 5 and centrifuged for 30 minutes at 1500g. For all *S. Typhimurium* infections, culture supernatants were harvested at 24 hours post infection. For *C. trachomatis*
infection, culture supernatants were collected cells at 72 hours post infection to assess cytokine production.

In vitro T cell migration

RPMI 1640 media (Sigma) was supplemented with 2% FBS and CXCL10 at a starting concentration of 100nM. This was pre-incubated at a ratio of 1:1 with conditioned media from either L. major WT, Δgp63, or Δgp63+1. After 12 hours of pre-incubation, 600µl of CXCL10/conditioned media mix was added to a 24 well plate. 500,000 Jurkat T cells stably transfected with CXCR3 were seeded onto the apical membrane of the 5µm transwell insert (Corning, 3421), and allowed to incubate at 37°C for 4 hours. The transwell insert was removed and the concentration of cells in the basal chamber determined using a Guava easyCyte HT flow cytometer (Millipore).

Expression of recombinant GP63 and site directed mutagenesis

Expression of CXCL10 and GP63 were performed by transfection in HEK293T cells. HEK293Ts were maintained in complete DMEM media supplemented with 10% FBS. Two days prior to transfection, 250,000 cells were washed and plated in a 6-well tissue culture treated plate in 2mL of serum free, FreeStyle 293 Expression Media (ThermoFisher, 12338018). One hour prior to transfection, media was replaced with fresh FreeStyle media. Transfection was performed with 2.5 total µg of endotoxin free plasmid DNA using the Lipofectamine 3000 Transfection Reagent Kit per manufacturer’s instructions. Transfected HEK293Ts were incubated at 37°C for 48 hours prior to
harvesting culture supernatant and storing in polypropylene, low-binding tubes (Corning, 29442-578) at -80°C until use.

The CXCL10 plasmid was obtained from Origene (NM_001565), and contains C-terminal Myc and Flag epitope tags. For GP63, a codon optimized plasmid was obtained from OriGene on the pcDNA3.1/Hygro plasmid backbone. Following a kozak sequence and secrecon to enhance secretion (89-91), GP63-1 based on the L. major Fd sequence (Q4QHH2-1) was inserted with the Leishmania specific secretion signal and GPI anchor motif removed (V100-N577) (92), and epitope tagged with Myc and His sequences placed at the C-terminus. Point mutations in CXCL10 and GP63 were made using the Agilent QuikChange Site Directed Mutagenesis kit according to manufacturer’s instructions.

Mass spectrometry

CXCL10 exposed to GP63 for 5 hours, along with a negative (untreated) control was delivered in PAGE loading buffer at an approximate concentration of 30 ng/μL. Mass spectrometry was carried out by the Duke Proteomics and Metabolomics Shared Resource. Molecular weight analysis of intact and cleaved CXCL10 from gel loading buffer was performed using a ZipChip CE system (908 Devices, Inc) coupled to a Q Exactive HF Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific). Ammonium acetate was added to the sample to a final concentration 0.1 M, and 5μL of the loading buffer was pipetted manually into a HR ZipChip. Capillary electrophoresis (CE) separation was performed at 500 V/cm with a 30 second injection in Metabolite BGE (908 Devices, Inc). Mass spectrometry used positive electrospray with 120,000 Rs scan, 500-2000 m/z, 3e6
AGC target and 100 msec max ion injection time. Mass deconvolution was performed in Proteome Discoverer 2.2.

Tandem mass spectrometric sequencing of the cleaved and uncleaved fragments of CXCL10 after GP63 treatment, as well as an untreated control sample, were performed after gel separation on a 4-12% NuPAGE gel (Invitrogen). Gel bands were isolated after colloidal Coomassie staining, destained in acetonitrile/water, reduced with 10 mM DTT, alkylated with 20 mM iodoacetamide, and digested overnight at 37°C with 300 ng sequencing grade trypsin (Promega) in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate at pH 8. Peptides were extracted in 1% formic acid and dried on a speedvac, then resuspended in a total of 10 µL 97/2/1 v/v/v water/acetonitrile/TFA. 4 µL of each sample was injected for analysis by LC-MS/MS using a 90 minute, 5-30% MeCN LC gradient and a top 12 DDA MS/MS method with MS1 at 120k and MS2 at 15k resolution. The data files were searched on Mascot v 2.5 with the UniProt database (downloaded November 2017) and Homo sapiens taxonomy selected, semitryptic specificity, along with fixed modification carbamidomethyl (C) and variable modifications oxidated (M), and deamidated (NQ). The results of the database searches were compiled into Scaffold v4 for curation. Using the search results as a spectral library, Skyline v4.1 was used to extract peak intensities for peptides which looked to be a part of the cleavage region (residues 74-91) or non-cleaved region (residues 48-68), in order to more definitively localize the specific cleavage location (Figure 2E). Intensity was expressed as the peak area normalized to the protein region from residues 29-52, in order to control for protein abundance differences between the samples. The Skyline file has been made publicly available at Panoramaweb.org (https://goo.gl/4xsLsF).
Statistical analysis

All statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism. Unpaired Student’s t-test, one-way ANOVA, and two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post-hoc test were used as appropriate where indicated. The number of biological replicates (N) are indicated in the figure legend for each experiment and defined as follows. For in vitro cell culture and protein assessment each well of cells or chemokine prior to experimental manipulation (such as infection with parasite or addition of chemokine and/or inhibitor) was treated as a unique biological replicate. When technical replicates, repeated use of the same biological sample in a readout assay, were used they are indicated in the figure legend text and averaged values were combined into the single biological replicate prior to calculating statistics.
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Figure 1. *Leishmania major* suppresses CXCL10 post-transcriptionally in multiple human cell lines (A) Cytokine screening of LCLs exposed to *L. major* demonstrated suppression of CXCL10. Three lymphoblastoid cell lines (LCL), 7357, 18524, and 19203, were infected with *L. major*. Chemokines secreted into culture supernatants were analyzed by Luminex. Cytokines below the limit of detection were removed from the final analysis. Values are represented as log$_2$ of the fold change relative to uninfected LCLs. Type-1 associated cytokines are represented in grey. P value represents Dunnett’s post-hoc test compared to 1, after repeated measures one-way ANOVA. (B) CXCL10 produced by LPS stimulated THP-1 monocytes was suppressed by *L. major*. THP-1 monocytes were stimulated with LPS prior to *L. major* infection. CXCL10 mRNA was measured by qRT-PCR TaqMan assay using the $\Delta\Delta$C$_t$ method with 18s as housekeeping.
gene, and CXCL10 protein was measured by ELISA. For mRNA (n=3) and ELISA (n=6), Fold Change is relative to unstimulated, uninfected THP-1s. P values calculated by Student’s *t*-test.

Figure 2. *Leishmania major* matrix-metalloprotease, glycoprotein-63, is necessary and sufficient to cleave CXCL10. (A) Zinc chelation prevents CXCL10 suppression. Concentration of human recombinant CXCL10 was measured by ELISA after incubation for 12 hours with filtered conditioned media from *L. major* WT promastigote culture and addition of the zinc-chelator 1,10-phenanthroline (n=8). (B) *gp63* is required for *L. major* CXCL10 suppression. Human recombinant CXCL10 concentrations were measured by ELISA after 12 hour incubation with conditioned media from *L. major* WT, ∆*gp63*, or ∆*gp63+1* (n=6). (C) GP63 expressed and secreted by HEK293Ts is sufficient for CXCL10 suppression. Human recombinant CXCL10 concentrations were measured by ELISA after 12 hour incubation with culture supernatant from HEK293Ts transfected with pCDNA3.1-*gp63*WT or pCDNA3.1-*gp63*E285A (n=7). P values calculated by one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post-hoc test. Error bars represent standard error of the mean.
CXCL9/10/11 share significant homology at the amino-acid level. Multisequence alignment demonstrates that physical characteristics of amino acids are conserved across the CXCL10 family of chemokines. There are three putative GP63 cleavage sites (underlined) based on the consensus sequence of polar (P1), hydrophobic (P1'), basic (P2') (53). (B) GP63 selectively cleaves chemokine ligands of the CXCR3 receptor. Conditioned media from L. major WT, Δgp63, and Δgp63+1 was incubated with human recombinant chemokines for 12 hours and product detected by western blot. Cleavage is only detected for the CXCL9/10/11 family. (C) Cleavage by GP63 generates a smaller molecular weight protein. A time course of cleavage of human CXCL10 by heterologously expressed GP63 demonstrated an intermediate cleavage product, resolved by PAGE and Coomassie staining. (D) Cleavage by GP63 results in a change in CXCL10 molecular weight.
weight of 2.2kD. Capillary electrophoresis-Mass Spectrometry (CE-MS) determined the molecular weight of the uncleaved (CXCL10<sup>Hi</sup>) and cleaved (CXCL10<sup>Lo</sup>) bands as 8.8kD and 6.6kD respectively. (E) Comparative analysis by trypsin digest of cleaved and uncleaved CXCL10 reveals cleavage occurring between A81-I82. Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) following trypsin digest of CXCL10<sup>Hi</sup> and CXCL10<sup>Lo</sup> identified peptide ending at A81, exclusively in the CXCL10<sup>Lo</sup> band, and a corresponding lack of peptide coverage from AA84-91. (F) Mutation of A81F significantly impairs GP63 cleavage of CXCL10. In the presence of GP63, CXCL10<sup>A81F</sup> remains stable for up to 45 minutes whereas CXCL10<sup>WT</sup> degradation is nearly complete by 15 minutes. Percentage of GP63 remaining at 15 minutes is plotted (n=3-4 per CXCL10 genotype). P value calculated by Student’s <i>t</i>-test. (G) The GP63 cleavage site is found on the C-terminal alpha-helix loop of CXCL10. Based on the NMR crystal structure of CXCL10 (Booth et al., 2002), the A81, I82, K83 (P1, P1’, P2’) GP63 cleavage motif maps to an exposed alpha-helical region.
Figure 4. GP63 produced by *L. major* promastigotes and amastigotes cleaves CXCL10 and abolishes its chemotactic activity. (A) *L. major* promastigotes suppress CXCL10 through GP63 activity. THP-1 monocytes were differentiated using 100ng/mL of PMA prior to infection and CXCL10 concentration was assessed in the supernatant 24 hours post-infection by ELISA. (B) *L. major* amastigotes suppress CXCL10 through GP63 activity. At 24 hours post-infection, extracellular promastigotes were washed away from the differentiated THP-1 monocytes. At 48 hours post-infection the CXCL10 concentration
was assessed in the supernatant by ELISA. For A-B, data represents four separate infections and was analyzed by one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post-hoc test (C) *L. major* induces similar levels of *CXCL10* mRNA, independent of GP63 genotype. At 48 hours post-infection, mRNA was extracted from PMA differentiated THP-1 monocytes and *CXCL10* mRNA was measured by qRT-PCR TaqMan assay using the \( \Delta \Delta C_t \) method with 18s as housekeeping gene. For C, data are from three separate experiments and were analyzed by one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post-hoc test. (D) *CXCL10* incubated with GP63 is unable to chemoattract CXCR3+ cells *in vitro*. Jurkat T cells stably transfected with CXCR3 were seeded on the apical surface of a 5\( \mu \)m transwell insert, with human recombinant *CXCL10* pre-incubated with conditioned media from either *L. major WT*, \( \Delta gp63 \), or \( \Delta gp63+1 \) in the basal chamber. The number of CXCR3+ Jurkats in the basal chamber after 4 hours were counted to assess chemotactic capacity of *CXCL10* after exposure to GP63. (E) Proposed model where the host attempts to upregulate *CXCL10* in response to infection, but through the activity of GP63 *L. major* is able to impair signaling through the CXCR3 receptor.
Figure 5. Multiple intracellular pathogens have evolved a mechanism for CXCL10 suppression. (A) LCL 18524 was used to screen *L. major* (*p* = 0.0001), *P. berghei*.
(p=0.99), *T. gondii* I (RH) (p=0.44), *T. gondii* II (Prugniaud A7) (p=0.011), *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium (p=0.0001), *S. aureus* (p=0.12), *C. trachomatis* (p=0.0001), *M. marinum* (p=0.37), *M. smegmatis* (p>0.99), and *C. neoforms* (p=0.010) for CXCL10 suppressing activity (n=2-4 for each pathogen). CXCL10 concentration was measured by ELISA and is represented as the log₂ of fold change relative to uninfected controls. P values calculated by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s post-hoc test comparing non-log transformed values to 1, which would represent no change relative to uninfected. (*) represents p<0.01. (B-C) *S. Typhimurium* and *C. trachomatis* suppress CXCL10 in a second LCL. Infections were performed in the LCL HG02647 for *S. Typhimurium* (n=6; two experiments) and *C. trachomatis* (n=5; three experiments). Mean +/- standard error of the mean is plotted and P values calculated by Student’s *t*-test. (D) *S. Typhimurium* suppresses production of CXCL10 in THP-1 monocytes. THP-1 monocytes were stimulated with 1μg/mL of purified LPS from *S. Typhimurium* at the time of infection. CXCL10 concentration in culture supernatant at 24hpi was assayed by ELISA. Mean +/- standard error the mean is plotted, and P values calculated by two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post-hoc test. (E) *C. trachomatis* suppresses CXCL10 in the human endocervical epithelial cell line A2EN. CXCL10 concentration in culture supernatant at 72hpi was assayed by ELISA. Mean +/- standard error of the mean is plotted and P values calculated by Student’s *t*-test.
Figure S1. *L. major* WT, *L. major* Δgp63, and *L. major* Δgp63+1 undergo comparable rates of metacyclogenesis. (A) Flow cytometry and selection with peanut agglutinin (PNA) demonstrate that the three strains of *L. major* used in this study did not have significantly different rates of metacyclogenesis. Parasites were analyzed using a Guava EasyCyte-HT flow cytometer and gated based on forward scatter (FSC) and side scatter (SSC) as previously described (87). Log-phase parasites were obtained from day 3 of culture, stationary phase parasites from day 5 of culture, the inoculum from day 5 culture after preparing parasites for infection as described in methods, and PNA selected from the inoculum after PNA selection. PNA selection was performed by incubating 1×10^8 parasites in 100μg/mL of PNA (Vector Labs, L-1070-5) for 30 minutes at room
temperature, followed by spinning for 5 minutes at 200xg, and taking the PNA- parasites in the supernatant for analysis. The PNA- parasites were then used as a control to define the gate for metacyclic promastigotes based on FSC and SSC. Conditions (n = 3 per group) were analyzed by one-way ANOVA with Tukeys post-hoc test. Not significant (NS) indicated p > 0.05.
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