Helium-4 Luttinger liquids in nanopores
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We study the low temperature properties of a 4He fluid confined in nanopores, using large-scale Quantum Monte Carlo simulations with realistic He-He and He-pore interactions. In the narrow-pore limit, the system can be described by the quantum hydrodynamic theory known as Luttinger liquid theory with a large Luttinger parameter, corresponding to the dominance of solid tendencies and strong susceptibility to pinning by a periodic or random potential from the pore walls. On the other hand, for wider pores, the central region appears to behave like a Luttinger liquid with a smaller Luttinger parameter, and may be protected from pinning by the wall potential, offering the possibility of experimental detection of a Luttinger liquid.

While the ability to flow through narrow pores is what gives a superfluid its name, a strictly one-dimensional (1D) Galilean invariant system of bosons with short range interactions cannot exist in any true ordered (superfluid or solid) phase. Instead, it will be in a type of quasi-ordered phase known as a Luttinger liquid (LL), featuring correlations that decay as powers of spatial separation, even at zero temperature (T) [1]. Ongoing experiments on 4He in nanopores, have recently moved towards pore radii in the nanometer regime, thus offering the exciting possibility of probing the LL phase. Historically, confinement was achieved through quasi-1D cavernous networks in porous glasses [2] and more recently from folded sheets of mesoporous materials [3]. A substantially different approach has been taken by Gervais and collaborators [4], who are studying the flow of 4He inside nanopores of custom radii by carving a hole through a Si3N4 membrane using a transmission electron beam. Although the experiments of Ref. [4] have thus far focused on flow properties of helium in the gas phase, it is intriguing to ponder the equilibrium system of helium atoms inside the pore as the temperature is reduced below the bulk superfluid transition temperature Tλ ≃ 2.17 K. If the pore radius is sufficiently small, it ought to be possible to observe a crossover to strictly 1D behavior.

Significant progress has been made on the theoretical understanding of helium confined inside carbon nanotubes [5, 6] or smooth nanopores [7, 8]. A complex phase diagram has been predicted, containing states where helium atoms occupy only the central region of the cylinder (for narrow tubes) and those consisting of one or more cylindrical shells (for wider tubes). A realistic microscopic description of an assembly of 4He atoms confined inside a single nanopore can be achieved by making use of the accepted Aziz [11] pair potential to describe the interaction between two helium atoms. The pore can be modeled as a long cylindrical cavity carved inside a continuous medium. The potential energy of interaction of a single helium atom in the pore can be obtained by integrating a Lennard-Jones pair potential, in the same way as it is done for smooth planar substrates [12].

In an attempt to investigate theoretically the physical issues addressed by the planned experiments, in this work we made use of such a model to reproduce as closely as possible the proposed experimental geometry. An even more realistic simulation may require including the effects of a periodic substrate, disorder in the pore walls and flow conditions, but understanding the equilibrium state with clean smooth walls is an important first step.

We computed low-temperature thermodynamics of fluid 4He inside nanopores by means of Quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) simulations, based on the continuous-space Worm Algorithm (WA). This methodology affords an exactly correct estimation of many physical observables, for systems of thousands of quantum particles at low temperature, in the grand canonical ensemble [13, 14]. The possibility of simulating large systems is crucial, as LL behavior can only be detected on sufficiently long length scales. We chose Lennard-Jones parameters for the atom-wall potential appropriate for Si3N4 [15], as well as glass [16], and found no qualitative change in the basic physical results. All results shown here are for the Si3N4 system.

We considered nanopores of length L up to 128 Å and radii R between 2.5 and 12.0 Å, using periodic boundary conditions along the axis. The illustrative results shown here are all for L=100 Å; we observed that physical results are insensitive to the length of the nanopore, provided that L ≥ 8R. Simulations were carried out in the grand canonical ensemble, for temperatures in the range T = 0.5 - 2.0 K, at a chemical potential μ = -7.2 K, which corresponds to saturated vapor pressure in the 3D reservoir used in the experiments. In addition to restricting T < Tλ ≃ 2.17 K, all temperatures considered are small with respect to the average kinetic energy per particle, ensuring we are in a low-energy regime. A typical simulation employs an average number of 4He atoms around 1000.

Fig. 1 shows the computed density ρ(r) of 4He atoms as a function of radial distance r from the tube center, at T = 0.5 K. Results are shown for pores of differ-
FIG. 1. (Color online) QMC data for the radial density of helium atoms for cylindrical nanopores with radii $R = 2.9, 4.0, 10.0, 12.0 \, \text{Å}$ showing the characteristic shell structure due to the interaction of bulk atoms with the walls of the channel. Error bars are smaller than the line thickness.

density of particles at $T$ increases, more peaks occur, with those near the center of the pore gradually evolving to a constant density in the axis of the tube depends on the precise choice of the pore radii. The density is uniform along the axis of the channel. Error bars are smaller than the line thickness. Due to the interaction of bulk atoms with the walls of the systems simulated. As $R$ increases, more peaks occur, with those near the center of the pore gradually evolving to a constant density indicating that dimensional crossover to the 3D limit has occurred. The presence of an inner cylinder (IC) near the axis of the tube depends on the precise choice of $R$, and for the particular form of the helium-pore potential used here, occurs for $R \sim 3n$ where $n \geq 1$ is an integer. Cylindrical shells in a nanopore are the analogue of planar layers in a He-4 thin film [16, 17]. They arise from the suppression of quantum fluctuations near the container wall, where atoms are localized [16]. As mentioned above, such layering has been predicted previously in nanopores using variational and approximate Density Functional Theory [8, 9], as well as QMC at very low helium density [10]. Here, we observe the formation of up to four such concentric shells at $\mu = -7.2 \, \text{K}$, owing to the relatively large size of the systems simulated.

An attempt to develop a theoretical understanding of the low energy properties of the helium atoms in the pore can be made through Luttinger liquid theory [1], which, in strictly 1D, provides a universal description of interacting fermions or bosons via linear quantum hydrodynamics. This is accomplished in terms of two bosonic fields, $\theta(x)$ and $\phi(x)$, representing the density and phase oscillations of a second quantized particle field operator $\psi^\dagger(x) \sim \sqrt{\rho_0 + \partial_x \theta(x)}e^{i\phi(x)}$ related to the $\mu$-dependent density of particles at $T = 0$ in the thermodynamic limit via $\rho_0 = \langle \rho(x) \rangle = \langle \psi^\dagger(x)\psi(x) \rangle$. The quadratic Hamiltonian describing these fields is given by

$$H - \mu N = \frac{v}{2\pi} \int_0^L dx \left[ \frac{1}{K} (\partial_x \phi)^2 + K (\partial_x \theta)^2 \right], \quad (1)$$

where we have set $\hbar = k_B = 1$ and $v$ and $K$ are related to the microscopic details of the underlying first principles many-body Hamiltonian upon which the simulations are based. The velocity $v$ describes the linear dispersion of low energy phonon modes, while the Luttinger parameter $K$ can be tuned to initiate a $T = 0$ crossover between a state with solid order at $K = \infty$ to one with infinite range superfluid correlations at $K = 0$. The quadratic nature of Eq. (1) allows for the calculation of all correlation functions and thermodynamic properties in terms of $v$ and $K$. The continuous-space WA has recently been successfully employed [18] to test the effectiveness of Eq. (1) in describing the low energy quantum dynamics of a system of interacting bosons in the 1D continuum with contact interactions. The methodology of Ref. [18] is used here to study the density-density or pair correlation function (PCF), which can be derived for a LL to be:

$$\langle \rho(x)\rho(0) \rangle = \rho_0^2 + \frac{1}{2\pi^2K} \int_0^\infty dx \frac{x^2}{\ln \left( \frac{\pi v}{2\pi x/L,e^{-\pi v/LT}} \right)}$$

$$+ A \cos \left( 2\pi \rho_0 x \right) \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin \left( \pi v/L,e^{-\pi v/LT} \right)}{\cos \left( \pi x/L,e^{-\pi v/LT} \right)} \right]^{2/K} \quad (2)$$

with $\eta(iz)$ the Dedekind eta function and $\theta_1(y, z)$ the first Elliptical Theta function. $A$ is a non-universal constant dependent on the short-distance properties of the system. As $LT/v \rightarrow \infty$, Eq. (2) simplifies to $\langle \rho(x)\rho(0) \rangle \rightarrow \rho_0^2 - 1/(2\pi^2Kx^2) + A \cos(2\pi \rho_0 x)/x^2/K$.

For $R = 2.9 \, \text{Å}$, Fig. 1 shows that helium atoms are confined to the center of the pore (inner cylinder) with a radial density that is effectively zero by $r \approx 1.25 \, \text{Å}$; we thus expect 1D behavior in this case. The main panel of Fig. 2 shows the computed axial PCF for temperatures ranging from $0.5 - 1.25 \, \text{K}$. The results suggest that helium inside the narrow ($R = 2.9 \, \text{Å}$) nanopore is in a quasi-solid phase, with slowly decaying correlations only minimally dependent on temperature. At low temperature, the average effective 1D density $\langle \rho_0 \rangle = N/L$ is close to $r_A^{-1}$ where $r_A \approx 2.97 \, \text{Å}$ is the minimum of the helium-helium interaction potential. In this 1D limit, we expect the PCF to be well described by LL theory and can perform a fit of the QMC data to Eq. (2). The He-pore interaction is independent of the axial coordinate, and Galilean invariance restricts the ratio $v/K = \pi \rho_0/m$. The finite size and temperature scaling behavior of all thermodynamic quantities is predicted by LL theory. Thus, a single fit of any quantity, performed for particular values of $T$ and $L$, can be used to generate LL predictions throughout the region of $T - L$ parameter space where the universal hydrodynamic theory of Eq. (1) applies. The results of this fitting procedure are shown as
We thus postpone a LL analysis of the wash out possible LL oscillations at longer length scales.

Although the density between the shells is never strictly zero, in order to make direct comparisons with Eq. (2) for helium atoms with \( r < 1.75 \) Å. The inset shows the super-fluid fraction for the full nanopore as a function of temperature. Error bars are smaller than the symbol size and data in the main panel has been given a vertical \( T \)-dependent shift.

The situation is markedly different for the narrower pore, with an envelope that contracts as the temperature is reduced. This markedly liquid-like behavior is consistent with the onset of a finite superfluid response inside the cylinder. The superfluid fraction can be determined through the winding of imaginary-time particle world lines, as they wrap around the system in the axial direction (with periodic boundary conditions) \cite{19}. Results for the superfluid density computed in this way for the full \( R = 12 \) Å pore \( (L = 100 \) Å) are shown as an inset in Fig. \( 3 \) \cite{20}. For comparison, the \( R = 2.9 \) Å nanopore of the same length shows no evidence of superfluidity over the same temperature range.

We may regard the \( R = 12 \) Å nanopore as a coupled multi-component LL, with cylindrical shells replacing the legs of previously studied ladders \cite{21}. Similar to the conclusion for a 2-leg bosonic ladder, we might expect that only one “center of mass mode” survives as a gapless degree of freedom in the low energy effective field theory, due to tunneling between the shells, manifest here as multi-particle quantum exchange cycles connecting them. Under this assumption, we have performed a fit of the lowest temperature QMC data in Fig. \( 3 \) to Eq. (2). When considering the IC, we no longer have Galilean invariance, and must independently extract \( v \) and \( K \) from the data. We find \( v_{12} = 42(2) \) ÅK and \( K_{12} = 1.3(1) \), which have been used to plot LL predictions (solid lines) that agree remarkably well with the QMC data up to \( T = 2.0 \) K. We stress that after the LL parameter and velocity have been determined for a single temperature, only one fit parameter \( A \) remains to be determined at all other values of \( T \).
The surprising robustness of the LL description of the IC can be tested further by using the values of \( v_{12} \) and \( K_{12} \) determined from the PCF, to generate predictions for other quantities. We have compared the single-particle density matrix \( \langle \psi^\dagger(x)\psi(0) \rangle \) computed in the QMC with the expected result from LL theory (with no new fitting parameters) and find acceptable agreement at low \( T \).

It is natural to ask how the form of the confining potential might affect the low energy behavior of the nanopore system. We have performed simulations of helium in the exact 1D limit \( (R = 0) \) with \( \mu = \mu_{3D} - V_P(0, 2, 9) \) where \( V_P(r, R) \) is the He-pore interaction for a pore of radius \( R \). An analysis of the PCF yields values of \( v_0 = 74(2) \) \( \text{Å} \) and \( K_0 = 6.3(2) \) which are in relative agreement with those found for the \( R = 2.9 \) Å nanopore. As the radius is increased, the main consequences of the cylindrical shells of helium that surround the IC are to screen the interaction with the wall and drastically alter the shape of the He-He potential. The latter effect can be quantified by computing an effective 1D interaction potential \( V_{1D}(z, R) = \frac{1}{\rho_0} \int d\zeta f d\zeta' \rho(z') \langle \zeta' | V_A | \zeta \rangle \rho_1(z') \) where \( V_A \) is the Aziz pair potential, \( \rho_{1D} = f d\zeta \rho_1(\zeta) \) the effective 1D density, \( \rho(\zeta) \) the radial number density and \( \zeta = (\zeta, \phi, z) \) in cylindrical polar coordinates. We find that \( V_{1D}(z, 2.9) \approx V_A(z) \), consistent with our expectation that this nanopore radius approximates the 1D limit. However \( V_{1D}(z, 12.0) \) exhibits a broad shallow minimum, shifted from \( r_A \) with a depth that is 1/2 of that of the unscreened bulk potential \( V_A \). The softening of the effective interaction potential decreases the energetic benefits of forming a solid with lattice spacing near \( r_A \) and as a result the helium atoms delocalize into a smaller-\( K \) Luttinger liquid with a finite superfluid density.

The value of \( K_{2.9} \) found here in the 1D limit is thirty times larger than that found for a screw dislocation of diameter 6.0 \( \text{Å} \) in solid \( ^4 \text{He} \) [14]. While the difference in \( K \)-values may be due to the different chemical potential \( (\mu = 0.02 \text{ corresponding to the bulk melting point}) \) or effects of the screw dislocation potential (which may vary greatly from \( V_P \)), it deserves further study.

The numerical value of the Luttinger parameter \( K \) for pores of varying radius can provide important information on the sensitivity of the LL to perturbations coming from disorder or commensuration. In the 1D case, a weak periodic substrate, commensurate with the density is only irrelevant for \( K < 1/2 \) [1], while weak disorder is only irrelevant for \( K < 2/3 \) [22]. We have found a value of \( K \approx 6 \) at saturated vapor pressure for the narrowest pores, indicating a strong tendency to form a solid, resulting from the shape of \( V_A(r) \). This large value could in principle be experimentally tested along the lines of Ref. [1] as the formation of a quasi-solid would impede the flow of helium through the pore. Additionally, the results presented here may be relevant to the interpretation of neutron scattering measurements of the momentum distribution of helium in porous media [22] where we would expect the structure factor to exhibit a broad feature with intensity characterized by a power law depending on \( K \) at \( T = 0 \).

As the radius of the pore is increased, the formation of shells near the pore wall may serve to screen the central region from the disorder and periodic modulation of the wall potential. Whether this effect, plus the related reduction of the Luttinger parameter in the larger radius pores leads to a localization length longer than the tube length, is a crucial question for the experimental observability of LL behavior.
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