Prediction of Component Life Based by Damage Mechanics-Effective Stress Method on ANSYS
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Abstract—The damage mechanics-effective stress method are used to predict the life of the structure under the interaction of creep and fatigue. The effective stress method is used to calculate the stress field of the component, and the repeated modification of the stiffness matrix is avoided, and the calculation efficiency is improved. The closed effect of compression is taken into account when calculating the equivalent stress of damage. Using APDL, the two development tool of ANSYS, to compile the program, combining the life prediction method based on damage mechanics with the structural analysis of ANSYS, the damage calculation and life prediction of components are realized. The FGH95 specimens of high temperature nickel based powder metallurgy materials were calculated and compared with the experimental results, which proved the feasibility of this method. At the same time, it is verified that creep damage is the main factor under high temperature and low cycle fatigue cycle.
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I. INTRODUCTION

With the progress of science and technology, more and more components are working under high temperature condition, and the life prediction becomes an important subject. The effect of interaction between fatigue load and high temperature creep on the material damage should be considered when evaluating the damage of this kind of component.

At present, the interaction of creep fatigue life prediction of life - time fractional\(^1\), frequency correction method\(^2\), the strain range partitioning method\(^3\), strain energy partitioning method\(^4\), ductility loss method\(^5\), damage mechanics method\(^6\), etc.. Most of the above methods separate the creep and fatigue, and calculate the fatigue life and creep life respectively, without considering the interaction of fatigue and creep. Moreover, these methods are only applicable to the life prediction of simple shape components, and the universality is poor.

In this paper, the creep and fatigue life prediction is carried out by using damage mechanics-effective stress method. The coupling effect of creep and fatigue damage life is considered. The damage life of components in creep and fatigue interaction was predicted by APDL program on ANSYS platform. The numerical simulation of Ni based powder metallurgy material FGH95 plate specimen is carried out, and the results are compared with the experimental results. This method can be applied to life prediction of complex components.

II. ESTABLISHMENT OF DAMAGE MECHANICS MODEL UNDER THE INTERACTION OF CREEP AND FATIGUE

Creep damage is calculated by Lemaitre-Chaboche correction model\(^7\)

\[
\frac{d D_c}{d t} = \left( \frac{\sigma}{A} \right)^{r} (1 - D_c)^{-r}
\]

where \(D_c\) is creep damage, \(k\) is related to creep stress \(\sigma\).

\[k = a_0 + a_1(\sigma - Z) + a_2(\sigma - Z)^2\]

\(r, A, a_0, a_1, a_2\) and \(Z\) is material parameter.

For fatigue damage calculation, for a given alternating stress, the maximum stress and minimum stress are \(\sigma_{\max}\) and \(\sigma_{\min}\), the stress ratio is \(R = \sigma_{\min}/\sigma_{\max}\), the strength limit is \(\sigma_0\), and the symmetrical stress cyclic endurance limit is \(\sigma_{-1}\). Chaboche fatigue damage model\(^8\) can be selected

\[
\frac{d D_f}{d N} = \left[1 - (1 - D_f)^{\beta+1}\right]^{\beta+1}\left[\frac{S_n}{M(1 - D_f)}\right]^\beta
\]

where \(D_f\) is fatigue damage, \(\alpha\) and \(M\) are functions related to the material and the loading state,

\[M = M_0 (1 - b \bar{S})\]

\[S_n = 0.5(\sigma_{\max} - \sigma_{\min})/\sigma_0\]

\[\bar{S} = 0.5(\sigma_{\max} + \sigma_{\min})/\sigma_0\]

\[S_{n\beta} = S_n \left(1 + b \bar{S}\right), S_{1\beta} = \sigma_{\beta} / \sigma_0\]

\[\alpha = 1 - a \left(\frac{S_{n\beta} - S_{n\beta}}{1 - S_{n\beta}}\right), M_0, a, b\]

\(\beta\) are material constants and can be determined by fatigue test curves.

Copyright © 2018, the Authors. Published by Atlantis Press. 
This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).
The most commonly used method for calculating the damage under creep and fatigue interaction is the superposition method, that is, in the cumulative damage calculation, the damage increment under interaction is expressed as the linear superposition of two damage increments. Assuming that the damage is $D$ under interaction, the constitutive equation of damage is expressed in the form of damage increment

$$dD = dD_c + dD_f = f_c d t + f_f d N$$ (3)

And according to the formula (1) and the formula (2), there is

$$f_c = \left( \frac{\sigma}{A} \right)^r (1 - D)^{-k}$$ (4)

$$f_f = \left[ 1 - (1 - D)^{\beta + 1} \right] \left[ \frac{S_a}{M (1 - D)} \right]$$ (5)

III. FINITE ELEMENT METHOD FOR DAMAGE CALCULATION

For complex components, the damage is different due to the different stress of each part, so it is necessary to calculate the stress field and damage field by finite element method. The rupture life of the first element of the component is the crack initiation life of the component, and the life of the failure from the first element to the complete failure of the component is the crack propagation life. According to the damage evolution formula, the element damage increment in the $N$ cycle is related to the damage caused by the previous $N - 1$ cycle.

Instead of using $D_{N-1}$ type (4), (5) in $D$, according to equation (1) by solving the creep damage increment $\Delta D^c_N$ in the $N$ cycles by numerical integral method, according to equation (2) fatigue damage increment of solving the $\Delta D^f_N$ in the $N$ cycles, so the $N$ cycles after the element accumulative damage for

$$D_N = D_{N-1} + \Delta D^c_N + \Delta D^f_N$$ (6)

IV. PROBLEMS TO BE CONSIDERED IN THE INTERACTION BETWEEN DAMAGE FIELD AND STRESS FIELD

A. Equivalent Stress of Damage after Element Damage

Lemaitre according to the law of thermodynamics, a three-dimensional stress model of damage equivalent stress is proposed

$$\sigma_{deq} = \bar{\sigma} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3} (1 + \mu) + (1 - 2\mu) \left( \frac{\sigma_{\mu}}{\bar{\sigma}} \right)^2}$$ (7)

where $\bar{\sigma}$ is Von Mises equivalent stress, $\sigma_{\mu}$ is hydrostatic pressure, $\mu$ is Poisson's ratio.

B. The Realization of Crack Closure Effect

In tension and compression cycles, the effect of crack closure should be taken into account. The modified equivalent stress is

$$\sigma_{deq}^* = \sigma_{deq}$$, in tension (8)

$$\sigma_{deq}^* = \left( \frac{1 - D}{1 - h D} \right)^{1/2} \sigma_{deq}$$, in compression (9)

where $\sigma_{deq}$ is damage equivalent stress calculated by the formula (7), and $\sigma_{deq}^*$ is the modified damage equivalent stress, and the $h$ is constant. According to the experimental results, we take $h = 0.2$.

C. Effect of Damage Field on Stress Field

The damage is calculated according to the stress during the loading cycle, and the existed damage will also affect the current stress field. The stress and strain fields should be solved again in each cycle, so it is very time consuming under the condition of large model and long life. Therefore, it is necessary to simplify the calculation. According to the strain equivalence principle of damage mechanics, the equivalent effective stress is used in the calculation. Only in the beginning of a component calculation of stress field, each cycle is the calculation of the read element stress, but the equivalent element damage stress obtained by element current cumulative damage correction for damage equivalent stress, used to calculate element of the cycles of the damage increment. If the damage of the element is BB after the $N - 1$ cycles, then the equivalent force of the element is calculated by the lower form when the element damage increment of the $N$ cycle is calculated.

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{deq}^* = \frac{\sigma_{deq}^*}{1 - D_{N-1}}$$ (10)

In this way, the equivalent stress values of each cycle for damage calculation change with the cumulative damage of the element, reflecting the influence of the existing damage on the stress field.

After simplification, the stress field in the component is recalculated only after the failure of the element occurs, and
the calculation time is greatly shortened. This method is called the effective stress method.

D. Life and Death of Element

For most materials, it is not damaged when the damage degree is 1, but the damage degree reaches a certain value (called the critical damage of the material), and the material is destroyed.

The critical damage can be measured by experiments. The failure of the component can be judged according to the number of failure elements, that is to say, if a certain number of elements are destroyed on the same section, it is considered that the component is completely destroyed.

When the element damage exceeds the critical value of the material damage, the element damage is no longer bearing capacity, and then the unit is killed from the model.

When the element damage exceeds the critical value of material damage, the element is destroyed. The element no longer has the carrying capacity, and the element is killed from the model. ANSYS provides commands for control element life and death: EKILL and EALIVE.

V. PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION

The flowchart of life prediction is shown in figure 1.
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Using ANSYS's two development tool APDL to write programs to achieve the above calculation process.

VI. EXAMPLE

Life prediction of the specimen of FGH95 nickel based powder alloy plate under creep and fatigue interaction is taken as an example. The shape and size of the specimen are shown in Figure 2. The thickness of the specimen is 2 mm. The stress ratio \( R = -1 \), and the test temperature \( T = 580 ^\circ \). When the creep damage is calculated, the material parameter of FGH95 is: \( Z = 1210 \) MPa, \( r = 17.17 \), \( A = 1923 \) MPa, \( a_0 = 19.1589 \), \( a_1 = 1.4299 \times 10^{-3} \), \( a_2 = -1.891 \times 10^{-4} \). When the fatigue damage is calculated, the material parameter of FGH95 is: \( \beta = 16.55 \), \( a = 0.965 \), \( b = 0.00116 \), \( M_s = 0.968 \) MPa.

![Schematic diagram of plate specimen](image)

Figure 2. Schematic diagram of plate specimen.

The finite element mesh of the specimen is shown in Figure 3.

![Finite element mesh of the specimen](image)

Figure 3. Finite element mesh of the specimen.

In order to compare with the test results, step loading method is adopted. The theoretical estimation life of the specimen is compared with that of the test life, as shown in Table 1.

### Table 1. Comparison Between Theoretical Estimation Life and Test Life Result of Specimen

| Num. | Maximum stress /MPa | Test life \(^{[15]}\) | Estimation life | Error /% |
|------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------|
| 1    | 800                 | 5262                 | 4318           | -17.9    |
| 2    | 900                 | 732                  | 742            | 1.37     |
| 3    | 1000                | 132                  | 109            | -17.4    |

It can be seen from Table 1 that the damage mechanics effective stress method is used to predict the life of specimen, which is in good agreement with the experimental results,
which shows that this method is feasible in predicting the component. The creep damage life of the component is calculated, and the results are compared with those calculated by creep and fatigue interaction damage. As shown in Table II.

**TABLE II. COMPARISON OF CREEP DAMAGE LIFE AND CREEP AND FATIGUE INTERACTION DAMAGE LIFE**

| Num. | Maximum stress /MPa | Creep life | Interaction damage life | Error /% |
|------|---------------------|------------|-------------------------|----------|
| 1    | 800                 | 4372       | 4318                    | 1.2      |
| 2    | 900                 | 754        | 742                     | 1.6      |
| 3    | 1000                | 112        | 109                     | 2.7      |

It can be seen from the table 2 that the creep life is almost the same as the interaction life, and therefore the creep damage is dominant.

In the case of only considering the fatigue damage at the maximum stress (1000MPa), the life is more than 10000 times, far higher than the interaction life. This shows that the fatigue damage plays a minor role in the life prediction simulation.

**VII. CONCLUSIONS**

(1) The damage mechanics-effective stress method for predicting the fatigue life of structures under the interaction of creep and fatigue is given. The effective stress method is used to calculate the stress field of the component, and the calculation efficiency is improved. The damage prediction and life prediction of components are realized by combining the life prediction method based on damage mechanics with the structural analysis of ANSYS by using APDL.

(2) The damage mechanics-effective stress method is used to predict the life of FGH95 material plate structure, and the results are in good agreement with the test results.

(3) In this case, the creep damage dominates the total damage, and fatigue damage is secondary.
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