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Abstract

Electric production from geothermal energy is still little exploited compared to its large potential and to the World renewable energy production from other sources. Some countries have exploited this energy source in order to enhance their transition to renewables. Today the largest geothermal energy producers in the world are New Zealand, U.S.A, Mexico, Philippines, Italy, Iceland, and, more recently, Turkey (Geothermal, 2012). Differently from other renewable sources, geothermal energy produces impacts on the environment that are very site-specific because of the nature of the resource and its geological characteristics Bravi et al., 2010; Parisi et al., 2013. In the same way, the atmospheric emissions associated to the activity of geothermal power plants for electric or heat production (mainly CO₂, H₂S, NH₃, Hg, CH₄) are also site-specific. In fact, due to technological and geographical differences among the geothermal installations operating all over the World, it is quite impossible to identify and attribute typical emission patterns, to perform forecasts valid for multiple sites or to collect universal data. Furthermore, it is virtually impossible the comparison among technologies located in different regions or countries. Definitively, inventories of primary data, as accurate and complete as possible, are essential to...
Data reported here try to fill the gap in respect to the Italian situation. To this end, a complete survey of the atmospheric emissions from all the geothermal power plants in operation in the Tuscany Region is performed. In addition to data reporting, also some statistical analysis is performed to process data and to operate a further level of simplification which averages the emissions on the basis of geothermal sub-areas.

The data collected is related to the research article “Life cycle assessment of atmospheric emission profiles of the Italian geothermal power plants” Parisi et al., 2019.

© 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

### Specifications Table

| Subject area | Atmospheric emissions |
|--------------|-----------------------|
| More specific subject area | Atmospheric emissions from geothermal power plants based on flash and dry steam technology |
| Type of data | Tables and figures |
| How data was acquired | Environmental sampling at power plant and analytical determination through different standardized methods |
| Data format | Raw and processed |
| Experimental factors | Emissions data are collected and tabulated according to a common scheme to allow an easier analysis of the information |
| Experimental features | Samplings are performed by means of standardized methods, as well as chemical determination of the pollutants |
| Data source location | Tuscany Region (Italy): geothermal areas in the provinces of Grosseto, Pisa and Siena |
| Data accessibility | Data are partially reported here and partially accessible in Mendeley data in order to keep it updated and provide larger details (https://doi.org/10.17632/gvpy69796n.1) |
| Related research article | Parisi et al. “Life cycle assessment of atmospheric emission profiles of the Italian geothermal power plants”, Journal of Cleaner production, 234, 881–894 (2019) |

### Value of the data

- Data repository concerning environmental emissions information connected with geo-thermoelectric activity in Italy.
- Data are related to the operational phase of the Italian 34 Italian geothermal power plants that are all located in the Tuscany Region and spread over several years of samplings activity performed by the Regional Agency for Environmental Protection.
- Basic statistic elaboration is applied to illustrate the distribution of the emissions during the historical series and to generate average scenarios.

### 1. Data

Data reported here concern the atmospheric environmental emissions generated by the activity of all the geothermal power plants in operation nowadays in Italy, more precisely in the Tuscany Region [2–5]. The on-site saplings activity is performed by the Regional Agency for Environment Protection of Tuscany (ARPAT). A sketch of the most important sampling points identified by ARPAT is showed in Fig. 1. Since sampling activities are not performed at regular time intervals, in Table 1 there is reported the actual state of samplings. Actually, the information described in this paper are only referred to data reported in Table 1.
Fig. 1. Sketch of the geothermal power plant configuration; the red pipettes show the most important sampling points identified by ARPAT.

Table 1
The table shows the temporal distribution of sampling campaigns detailed in the ARPAT reports. F: most of the pollutants are determined; P: only few of the pollutants are determined.

| Location             | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Bagnore 3            | F    | F    | F    | F    | F    | F    | F    | F    | F    | F    | F    | F    | F    | F    | F    |
| Bagnore 4            |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Carcoli 1            | F    |      |      |      |      |      | F    | P    | F    |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Carcoli 2            |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Cornia 2             | F    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Monteverdi 1         | F    |      |      |      |      |      |      | F    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Monteverdi 2         |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Nuova Lago           | F    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Nuova Lagoni Rossi   |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Nuova Monterotondo   |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Nuova San Martino    | F    | F    | F    | F    | F    | F    | F    | F    | F    | F    | F    |      |      |      |      |
| Nuova Sasso          |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Nuova Serrazzano     | P    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Selva 1              | P    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Farinello            |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Nuova Castelnuovo    | P    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Nuova Gabbro         |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Nuova Larderello 3   | F    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Nuova Molinetto      | F    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Sesta 1              | F    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Vallesaco            |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Piancastagnaio 3     | P    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Piancastagnaio 4     | P    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Piancastagnaio 5     | P    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Chiusdino 1          |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Nuova Radicondoli    | F    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Pianacce             |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Rancia 1             | F    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Rancia 2             | F    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Travale              | F    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
Due to the large amount of data, a database containing all the sampling values has been generated and is hosted on Mendeley Data [6]. The latter will be updated as soon as new emissions information will be available. In addition to raw data, a basic statistical manipulation has also been performed in order to assess data quality (Table 3 and Fig. 2) and to elaborate average emission patterns (Table 2) [2–5].

2. Experimental design, materials, and methods

Raw data are collected from the public reports provided by the Regional Agency for Environment Protection of Tuscany (ARPAT). The public agency conducts several sampling campaigns each year to fulfill the regulation about the atmospheric emissions control of the power plants [7].

The analysis is performed in compliance with international and approved national standards. This methodological approach ensures the robustness and validation of data [8–11]. Fig. 1 describes a simplified scheme of a hydrothermal flash geothermal plant operating in Tuscany: the red pipette are the sampling points identified by ARPAT [6,12].

The sampling point n° 1 is used to record chemo-physical parameters of the entering fluids (pH, temperature, mass flow, pression, etc.) as well as the chemical composition (H₂S, CO₂, CH₄, NH₃, Hg, As, Sb). At the sampling point n°2, in the area of the evaporative tower (in this section the extracted gaseous fraction, which is conducted into the towers, is deviated to avoid doubling the emissions), the emissions of pollutants dissolved into the drift are determined (H₂S, NH₃, Hg, As, Sb), as well as chemo-physical parameters (pH, air temperature, wet bulb temperature, air mass flow, etc). Sampling points n°3 and 4° only account for the gaseous fraction of the emissions; the pollutants determined in this sampling points are H₂S, CO₂, CH₄, NH₃, SO₂ (resulting from the catalytic oxidation of H₂S) and Hg. As the abatement system (AMIS) is employed for the gaseous phase, the chemical determination is performed before and after the process to determine the abatement ratio [13].

The complete dataset of atmospheric emissions is loaded and publicly available in Mendeley Data [6]. Information stored in the repository will be continuously updated as soon as new sampling will be available, in order to expand and keep updated the environmental information disseminated by ARPAT.

3. Data processing

Basic data processing is performed in order to average the emissions and obtain more general descriptions.

For each power plant the median of the samplings for each pollutant is calculated, then the g/h values are converted to g/year and weighted over the average electricity produced [1] to obtain emissions expressed as g/MWhe. In case of emissions which depend on the abatement system (AMIS),
Table 3
Statistical descriptors of the data used.

| Statistic          | H$_2$S W/AMIS g/ MWh | H$_2$S W/O AMIS g/ MWh | CO$_2$ g/ MWh | SO$_2$ g/ MWh | NH$_3$ g/ MWh | As g/ MWh | Sb g/ MWh | Hg W/AMIS g/ MWh | Hg W/O AMIS g/ MWh | CH$_4$ g/ MWh | CO g/ MWh |
|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------|
| Number of observations | 463                   | 463                    | 463           | 463           | 463          | 463       | 463       | 463              | 463               | 463           | 463       |
| Minimum            | 8.3E+01               | 5.0E+02                | 5.7E+04       | 2.6E-01       | 4.2E-01      | 1.7E-03   | 1.8E-05   | 2.1E-02          | 2.5E-02           | 1.6E+01       | 2.0E-03   |
| Maximum            | 5.6E+03               | 2.3E+04                | 1.0E+06       | 1.2E+01       | 8.2E+03      | 2.5E-01   | 5.3E-01   | 2.1E+00          | 5.6E+00           | 2.8E+04       | 2.9E+02   |
| 1st Quartile       | 4.1E+02               | 3.4E+03                | 2.0E+05       | 7.0E-01       | 2.7E+02      | 1.9E-02   | 1.2E-02   | 1.6E+01          | 3.5E-01           | 1.2E+03       | 8.8E+00   |
| Median             | 7.6E+02               | 5.4E+03                | 4.0E+05       | 1.4E+00       | 7.5E+02      | 3.0E-02   | 2.1E-02   | 2.4E-01          | 6.0E-01           | 3.5E+03       | 2.7E+01   |
| 3rd Quartile       | 1.3E+03               | 7.9E+03                | 5.4E+05       | 2.9E+00       | 1.6E+03      | 5.7E-02   | 7.5E-02   | 4.1E-01          | 1.1E+00           | 6.6E+03       | 5.4E+01   |
| Mean               | 1.1E+03               | 6.1E+03                | 4.0E+05       | 2.6E+00       | 1.2E+03      | 4.7E-02   | 7.1E-02   | 3.5E-01          | 8.9E-01           | 4.8E+03       | 4.3E+01   |
| Variance (n-1)     | 9.7E+05               | 1.8E+07                | 4.9E+10       | 9.1E+00       | 2.3E+06      | 2.1E-03   | 1.7E-02   | 1.1E-01          | 7.9E-01           | 2.2E+07       | 2.7E+03   |
| Standard deviation  | 9.8E+02               | 4.2E+03                | 2.2E+05       | 3.0E+00       | 1.5E+03      | 4.6E-02   | 1.3E-01   | 3.2E-01          | 8.9E-01           | 4.7E+03       | 5.2E+01   |
| Skewness (Pearson) | 2.2E+00               | 1.2E+00                | 5.7E-01       | 2.0E+00       | 2.6E+00      | 2.5E+00   | 3.1E+00   | 2.5E+00          | 2.6E+00           | 2.0E+00       | 2.6E+00   |
| Kurtosis (Pearson) | 6.0E+00               | 2.1E+00                | -1.4E-01      | 3.5E+00       | 7.8E+00      | 8.0E+00   | 8.3E+00   | 9.4E+00          | 9.7E+00           | 6.1E+00       | 8.1E+00   |
| Harmonic mean      | 5.2E+02               | 3.2E+03                | 2.7E+05       | 1.0E+00       | 3.2E+01      | 1.9E-02   | 2.0E-04   | 1.5E-01          | 3.5E-01           | 7.3E+02       | 1.1E-01   |
the annual emission is composed by two fractions which reflect the emissions with and without the abatement system, respectively multiplied by the amount of yearly hour in which the AMIS is working or not. The sum of the two fractions (g/year) is weighted over the yearly electricity produced to obtain emissions expressed as g/MWh. This process was applied for Hg and H2S, which are the compounds treated by the AMIS, for all the power stations. The spreadsheet loaded in Mendeley Data contains the formula used to perform the calculation. The power plants average emissions are unified by area according to geographic information reported in the data repository.

Further simplification can be performed by averaging the emissions of all the power plants as reported in Table 2. Also, two different scenarios are calculated: one representing the actual emission (actual scenario) and another which corresponds to the emissions that could be obtained if no abatement system were employed (scenario without AMIS).

4. Statistical description

All the collected data was statistically analysed to characterize the distribution and the errors connected to the database built. Table 3 and box plots in Fig. 2 report statistical indicators which describe the 463 observations collected at the time of the paper preparation.

The emissions obtained with the abatement system is indicated as W/AMIS, while the non-abated pollutants flow’s is indicated W/O AMIS.
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