A UNIFIED APPROACH TO EXPONENTIAL STABILITY ANALYSIS FOR A GENERAL CLASS OF SWITCHED TIME-DELAY LINEAR SYSTEMS
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Abstract. This paper proposes a unified approach to study global exponential stability for a class of switched time-delay linear systems described by general linear functional differential equations. Several new delay-dependent criteria of global exponential stability are established for these systems over the sets of switchings satisfying the assumption on the minimum dwell time or having the average dwell time. As particular cases, the obtained results are shown to include and improve many previously known results. An example is given to illustrate the proposed method.
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1. INTRODUCTION

A switched system is a type of hybrid dynamic system which consists of a family of subsystems and a rule called a switching signal that chooses an active subsystem from the family at every instant of time. Switched systems have attracted a lot of attention from researchers in control and systems theory due to their abilities in modeling various physical systems in engineering practice. Among a large number of interesting topics on switched systems the study on systems dynamic behavior, in particular, stability problems have been always the focus issues. The reader is referred to the monograph [1] and the survey paper [2, 3] and the references therein for more details. It has been indicated, for instance, that the switched linear system is exponentially stable under arbitrary switching signals if all constituent subsystems have a common quadratic Lyapunov function (QLF). Recently, similar problems have been considered intensively also for time-delay switched systems, where different kinds of the so-called Lyapunov - Krasovskii functionals are playing a similar role.
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In the meantime, for the class of positive or compartmental switched linear systems, besides traditional quadratic Lyapunov functions, a more restrictive notion of linear copositive Lyapunov functions (LCLF), combining with the comparison principle for solutions, is exploited effectively in the study of stability problems (see e.g. [12, 14, 15] and also [16, 17, 18] for time-delay systems and the comparison method).

The main purpose of this paper is to develop a unified approach to study exponential stability for a general class of time-delay switched linear systems, described by linear functional differential equations (LFDEs), based on the comparison principle, with the use of LCLFs and the average dwell time (ADT) switching concept. Namely, we will first over-bound each constituent subsystem by an appropriate positive subsystem and prove that the original switched time-delay system is uniformly exponentially stable over the set of all switchings which have positive minimum dwell time, if all over-bounding subsystems have a common LCLF. As a second main result, we will remove the above restrictive assumption on common LCLF and show that exponential stability of all over-bounding subsystems is enough to guarantee uniform exponential stability of the original switched time-delay system over the set of all switchings satisfying some ADT assumption. While stability of LFDEs has been widely investigated in the literature, the stability problems for switched systems of this general type is considered for the first time in this paper, to the best of our knowledge. As particular cases, the obtained stability criteria include and, what is more, improve many known results in the literature.

The following notation will be used throughout the paper. \( \mathbb{R} \) and \( \mathbb{N} \) will stand for the sets of real numbers and non-negative integers, respectively. For \( r \in \mathbb{N} \), \( r \) will stand for the set of numbers \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}. For matrices \( A = (a_{ij}) \) and \( B = (b_{ij}) \) in \( \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \), we write \( A \geq B \) and \( A \succ B \) iff \( a_{ij} \geq b_{ij} \) and \( a_{ij} > b_{ij} \) for \( i \in \mathbb{n} \), \( j \in \mathbb{m} \), respectively. \( |A| \) stands for the matrix \(|a_{ij}|\) and \( A^\top \) is the transpose of \( A \). Similar notation is applied for vectors \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \). Without loss of generality, the norm of vectors \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is assumed to be the \( \infty \)-norm \( ||x|| = ||x||_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i| \). For \( h > 0 \), \( C([-h, 0], \mathbb{R}^n) \) denotes the Banach space of continuous functions \( \varphi : [-h, 0] \to \mathbb{R}^n \) with the norm \( ||\varphi|| = \max_{\theta \in [-h, 0]} ||\varphi(\theta)|| \) and \( NBV([-h, 0], \mathbb{R}) \) is the linear space of all normalized functions \( \psi : [-h, 0] \to \mathbb{R} \) with bounded variation \( \text{Var}([-h, 0], \psi) \) (so that \( \psi \) is left-side continuous on the interval \((-h, 0)\) and \( \psi(-h) = 0 \)). It is well-known that, for any \( \psi \in NBV([-h, 0], \mathbb{R}) \) and any continuous function \( \beta \in C([-h, 0], \mathbb{R}) \), we have

\[
\int_{-h}^0 d[\psi(\theta)]|\beta(\theta)| \leq \text{Var}([-h, 0], \psi) \max_{\theta \in [-h, 0]} |\beta(\theta)|,
\]

where the integral is understood in the sense of Riemann-Stieltjes. Similarly, \( NBV([-h, 0], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}) \) will stand for the linear space of all matrix functions \( \eta : [-h, 0] \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \) such that \( \eta_{ij}(\cdot) \in NBV([-h, 0], \mathbb{R}), \forall i, j \in \mathbb{n} \). Thus, to each \( \eta \in NBV([-h, 0], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}) \) we can associate a non-negative \((n \times n)\)-matrix of variations

\[
V(\eta) := (\text{Var}([-h, 0], \eta_{ij})) \geq 0.
\]
Recall that $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is said to be a Metzler matrix if all off-diagonal elements of $A$ are nonnegative: $a_{ij} \geq 0, \forall i \neq j$. Finally, for any matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ we associate the Metzler matrix $\mathcal{M}(A) = (\hat{a}_{ij})$, by setting $\hat{a}_{ij} = |a_{ij}|$ if $i \neq j$ and $\hat{a}_{ii} = a_{ii}$, $\forall i \in n$.

### 2. MAIN RESULTS

Consider a switched time-delay linear system of the general form

$$\dot{x}(t) = A^0_{\sigma(t)}x(t) + \int_{-h}^{0} d[\eta_{\sigma(t)}(\theta)]x(t+\theta), t \geq 0,$$

where $\sigma(\cdot)$ is a switching signal such that for each $t \geq 0, A^0_{\sigma(t)} \in \{A^0_k, k \in N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ - a given family of $N$ real matrices and $\eta_{\sigma(t)} \in \{\eta_k, k \in N\} \subset NBV([-h, 0], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ - a given family of $N$ matrix functions with normalized bounded variation elements $\eta_{k,ij}$. Denote by $\Sigma_+$ the set of all switching signals $\sigma: [0, +\infty) \to N$ which are piece-wise constant, right-side continuous functions, with points of discontinuity $\tau_k, k = 1, 2, \ldots$ (known as the switching instances) satisfying the following assumption on the minimum dwell time

$$\tau_{min}(\sigma) := \inf_{k \in N} (\tau_{k+1} - \tau_k) > 0.$$  

(4)

It is clear that $\Sigma_+$ does not contain any switching signal whose discontinuities have a finite accumulation point. Also, any signal $\sigma$ having switching instances $\tau_{2k} = k, \tau_{2k+1} = k + \frac{1}{2k+1}, k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ does not satisfy (4) because in this case $\tau_{min}(\sigma) = 0$.

Thus, each $\sigma \in \Sigma_+$ performs switchings between the following $N$ time-delay linear subsystems $(A^0_k, \eta_k)$ of the form

$$\dot{x}(t) = A^0_kx(t) + \int_{-h}^{0} d[\eta_k(\theta)]x(t+\theta), t \geq 0, \; k \in N,$$

(5)

where the $i$-th component of the second term in (5), for each $k \in N$ and $i = 1, \ldots, n$, is defined as

$$\left(\int_{-h}^{0} d[\eta_k(\theta)]x(t+\theta)\right)_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{-h}^{0} d[\eta_{k,ij}(\theta)]x_j(t+\theta).$$

(6)

For any $\varphi \in C([-h, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$ and any switching signal $\sigma \in \Sigma_+$, the system (3) admits a unique solution $x(t) = x(t, \varphi, \sigma), t \geq -h$, satisfying the initial condition $x(\theta) = \varphi(\theta), \theta \in [-h, 0]$. Note that the solution $x(t)$ is absolutely continuous function on $[0, +\infty)$ and differentiable everywhere, except for the set of switching instances $\{\tau_k\}$ of $\sigma$ where $x(t)$ has only Dini right- and left-derivatives $D^+ x(\tau_k), D^- x(\tau_k)$ which are generally different.

**Definition 1.** The switched system (3) is said to be globally exponentialy stable (shortly, GES) over the set of switching signals $\Sigma_+$ if there exist positive numbers $M, \alpha$ such that for any $\varphi \in C([-h, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$ and any $\sigma \in \Sigma_+$, the solutions $x(t, \varphi, \sigma)$ of (3) satisfies

$$\|x(t, \varphi, \sigma)\| \leq M e^{-\alpha t}\|\varphi\|, \; \forall t \geq 0.$$  

(7)
Obviously, for each \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), the switching law \( \sigma(t) \equiv k, t \geq 0 \), belongs to \( \Sigma_+ \). Therefore, if the switched system \( (3) \) is GES over \( \Sigma_+ \) then all of the constituent subsystems \( (5) \) are uniformly exponentially stable or, equivalently, all zeros of their characteristic quasi-polynomials \( P_k(s) = sI - A_k^0 - \int_{-h}^{0} e^{sd}[\eta_k(\theta)], k \in \mathbb{N} \), have negative real parts (see e.g. [20]). The last condition is, however, not sufficient for exponential stability of \( (3) \) under arbitrary switching (see, e.g. [1] for the case when \( \eta_k = 0, \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \)).

Finally, we recall that the system \( (3) \) is said to be positive if \( x(t) \geq 0, \forall t \geq 0 \) whenever \( \varphi(\theta) \geq 0, \forall \theta \in [-h, 0] \). It is trivial to show that \( (3) \) is positive if and only if all subsystems \( (5) \) are positive. The latter is equivalent to the condition that, for each \( k \in \mathbb{N}, A_k^0 \) is a Metzler matrix and \( \eta_k \) is increasing on \([ -h, 0] : \eta_k(\theta_1) \leq \eta_k(\theta_2), \) if \(-h \leq \theta_1 \leq \theta_2 \leq 0 \) (see, e.g. [21, 23]). Clearly, in this case, we have

\[
\mathcal{M}(A_k^0) = A_k^0, \quad V(\eta_k) = \eta_k(0), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

We are now in position to prove the first main result of this paper which gives a verifiable criterion for exponential stability of the class of switched time-delay linear systems of the form \( (3) \) over the set of switching signals \( \Sigma_+ \). The main idea of the proof is essentially based on the comparison principle of solutions (see and compare with [16, 18]). The case of non-delay linear systems (i.e. when \( \eta_k = 0, \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \)) has been considered in our recent work [22]. We give a detailed proof in Appendix for the convenience of the readers.

**Theorem 1.** Consider the switched time-delay linear system (3). Assume that there exist a strictly positive vector \( \xi \gg 0 \) (i.e. all elements of vector \( \xi \) are positive) and a number \( \alpha > 0 \) such that

\[
(\mathcal{M}(A_k^0) + e^{\alpha h}V(\eta_k))\xi \ll -\alpha \xi, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{8}
\]

where the nonnegative matrices \( V(\eta_k) \) are defined by (2). Then the switched time-delay linear system \( (3) \) is GES over the set of switching signals \( \sigma \in \Sigma_+ \).

**Remark 1.** If (8) holds for some \( \alpha > 0 \) then it obviously holds also for \( \alpha = 0 \). The latter in turn implies straightforwardly (see e.g. [13] ) that all positive linear subsystems \( \dot{x}(t) = D_kx(t), k \in \mathbb{N}, \) are exponentially stable, with \( D_k := \mathcal{M}(A_k^0) + V(\eta_k) \) being obviously Metzler matrices. These positive linear subsystems can be considered as over-bounding systems for the original time-delay subsystems \( (A_k^0, \eta_k), k \in \mathbb{N} \). Moreover, (8) implies that the dual systems \( \dot{x}(t) = D_k^\top x(t), k \in \mathbb{N}, \) share a common linear co-positive Lyapunov function (shortly LCLF) \( v(x) = \xi^\top x \) (see, e.g.[14] ). Note additionally that in order to check whether or not Metzler matrices \( D_k^\top, k \in \mathbb{N}, \) share a common LCLF one can use the procedure given by Theorem 4 in [15].

As the most important particular case of Theorem 1, let us consider the class of switched linear systems with multiple discrete-time delays and distributed time delays of the form

\[
\dot{x}(t) = A_{\sigma(t)}^0 x(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{m_{\sigma(t)}} A_{\sigma(t)}^i x(t - h_{\sigma(t)}^i) + \int_{-h_{\sigma(t)}}^{0} B_{\sigma(t)}(\theta)x(t + \theta)d\theta, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{9}
\]
where, for each \( k \in \mathbb{N}, 0 = h_k^0 < h_k^1 < \ldots < h_k^m \), matrices \( A_{k_i}^i \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \) and matrix functions \( B_k(\cdot) \in C([-h_k, 0], \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}) \) are given. Defining \( h := \max_{k \in \mathbb{N}, i \in [m]} \{h_k^i, h_k\} \), \( m := \max\{m_k, k \in \mathbb{N}\} \) and setting, for each \( k \in \mathbb{N}, B_k(\theta) = 0, \theta \in [-h, -h_k) \) (if \( h_k < h \)) and \( A_{k_i}^i = 0 \) for \( i = m_{k+1}, \ldots, m \) (if \( m_k < m \)), it is easy to see that (9) is just a particular case of (3) with \( \eta_k(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{k_i}^i \chi_{(-h_k^0, 0)}(\theta) + \int_{-h}^0 B_k(s)ds, k \in \mathbb{N} \), where \( \chi_M \) denotes the characteristic function of a set \( M \subset \mathbb{R} \). Obviously, \( V(\eta_k) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} |A_{k_i}^i| + \int_{-h}^0 |B_k(s)|ds \), but if the system (9) is positive (i.e. when \( \mathcal{M}(A_k^0) = A_k^0, A_k^i \geq 0, B_k(\theta) \geq 0 \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{N}, i \in m, \theta \in [-h, 0] \)) then \( V(\eta_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_k^i + \int_{-h}^0 B_k(s)ds \). Therefore, by Theorem 1, we get

**Corollary 1.** If there exist \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \xi \gg 0 \) and a non-negative number \( \alpha > 0 \) satisfying

\[
(\mathcal{M}(A_k^0) + e^{\alpha h} \sum_{i=1}^{m} |A_k^i| + e^{\alpha h} \int_{-h}^0 |B_k(s)|ds) \xi \ll -\alpha \xi, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},
\]

then the system (9) is exponentially stable for any switching \( \sigma \in \Sigma_+ \). Moreover, if the system (9) is positive then the modulus symbol in (10) can be removed.

The above corollary gives a new and improved delay-dependent criterion of exponential stability for the switched time-delay linear system (9), which gets back obviously to results proved in [16, 18] by letting \( \alpha \downarrow 0 \) in (10).

It is worth noticing that in Theorem 1 the switching signals are assumed to be taken arbitrarily from the class \( \Sigma_+ \) defined by the assumption (4) which is a rather mild property. The cost to pay is that we have to impose the conservative condition on existence of a common vector \( \xi \) in (8) to ensure GES of (3) for each switching \( \sigma \in \Sigma_+ \). In the next theorem, by using the average dwell time (or ADT, for short) concept, we will relax this condition by assuming only the existence of a set of vectors \( \xi_k, k \in \mathbb{N} \) which maybe different but satisfying (8). However, GES of (3) is then guaranteed only over a subset of \( \Sigma_+ \), namely the set of all switching signals having ADT \( \tau_a > \tau_s \) where the lower bound \( \tau_s \) is calculated via \( \xi_k, k \in \mathbb{N} \).

We recall (see, e.g. [5]) that, for a given positive number \( \tau_a \), a switching signal \( \sigma \) is said to have an ADT \( \tau_a \) if for any \( t > 0 \) the number \( N_\sigma(0, t) \) of discontinuities of \( \sigma \) on the interval \((0, t)\) satisfies

\[
N_\sigma(0, t) \leq \frac{t}{\tau_a}.
\]

The set of all switching signals having ADT \( \tau_a \) is denoted by \( \Sigma_{\tau_a} \). It follows that for any \( \sigma \in \Sigma_{\tau_a} \), the average dwell time between any two consecutive switching instances is at least \( \tau_a \). We have obviously that, for any \( \tau_1 > \tau_2 > 0 \),

\[
\Sigma_{\tau_1} \subset \Sigma_{\tau_2} \subset \Sigma_+.
\]

Therefore, if the system (3) is GES over \( \Sigma_+ \) it is also GES over \( \Sigma_{\tau_a} \) for any \( \tau_a > 0 \). On the other side, in case of non-delay linear systems (i.e. when \( \eta_k = 0, \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \)), it is well known
that if every constituent subsystem is GES then the corresponding switched system is also GES for each switching σ having ADT τ_α sufficiently large (see, e.g. Lemma 1 in [6]) and it is an important problem to find such a lower bound τ*> 0 that the associate switched system is exponentially stable for any σ ∈ Σ τ_a with ADT τ_a > τ_* (see, e.g. [1, 5, 8, 17, 18]). In the following theorem we establish a similar result for the general class of FDE of the form (3).

**Theorem 2.** Consider the switched linear time-delay system (3). Assume that there exist vectors ξ_k ∈ ℜ^n, ξ_k ≫ 0, k ∈ ℤ and a positive number α > 0 satisfying

\[(M(A^0_k) + e^{αh}V(η_k))ξ_k ≪ -αξ_k, \forall k ∈ ℤ.\]  

(12)

Then the switched system (3) is GES over the set Σ τ_a of switching signals with ADT τ_a > τ_* := \frac{ln γ}{α},

(13)

where

\[γ := \max\{ξ_{k,i} : k, l ∈ ℤ, i ∈ ℤ\}, \xi_k := (ξ_{k,1} ξ_{k,2} ⋯ ξ_{k,n})^+.\]  

(14)

**Proof.**

The proof is partly similar to that of Theorem 1. Without loss of generality we can assume that \||ξ_k|| = 1, k ∈ ℤ. Let τ_a satisfy (13) and σ ∈ Σ τ_a be an arbitrary switching signal, with switching instances 0 = τ_0 < τ_1 < ⋯ < τ_k < τ_{k+1} < ⋯ Let \(x(t) = x(t, φ, σ)\) be the corresponding solution of (3) satisfying the initial condition \(x(θ) = φ(θ), \theta ∈ [-h, 0], ||φ|| = 1\). Assume that σ(τ_k) = l_k ∈ ℤ, i.e. the subsystem \((A^0_{l_k}, η_{l_k})\) is active on \([τ_k, τ_{k+1}), k = 0, 1, ⋯\). For any δ > 1, define the functions \(y_i(t), t ≥ -h, i ∈ ℤ\), by setting

\[y_i(t) = \begin{cases} M_δ e^{-α t}ξ_{l_0,i} & \text{if } t ∈ [-h, τ_0), \\ M_δ e^{-α t}ξ_{k,i} & \text{if } t ∈ [τ_k, τ_{k+1}), k = 0, 1, 2, ⋯ \end{cases} \]  

(15)

where \(M_δ = δγ\). Then we verify readily that \(M_δ > 1\) and

\[|x_i(t)| = |φ_i(t)| ≤ 1 < M_δ e^{-α t}ξ_{l_0,i} = y_i(t), ∀t ∈ [-h, 0], ∀i ∈ ℤ.\]  

(16)

Therefore, noticing that the subsystem \((A^0_{l_0}, η_{l_0})\) is active on \([0, τ_1) = [τ_0, τ_1)\) we can proceed similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1, by using (12) (with \(k = l_0\)) and (16), to show that (16) keeps hold on the interval \([τ_0, τ_1)\)

\[|x_i(t)| ≤ y_i(t) = M_δ e^{-α t}ξ_{l_0,i}, ∀t ∈ [τ_0, τ_1), ∀i ∈ ℤ.\]  

(17)

Letting \(t\) tend to \(τ_1\) and \(δ\) tend to 1 we get from (17), (14) and (15) that

\[|x_i(τ_1)| ≤ M_1 e^{-α τ_1}ξ_{l_0,i} = \frac{ξ_{l_0,i}}{ξ_{l_1,i}} M_1 e^{-α τ_1}ξ_{l_1,i} < γ M_δ e^{-α τ_1}ξ_{l_1,i} = γ y_i(τ_1), ∀i ∈ ℤ.\]  

(18)
Further, using the strict inequality above, we now prove that
\[ |x_i(t)| \leq \gamma y_i(t) = \gamma M_\delta e^{-\alpha t} \xi_{i,1} , \ \forall t \in [\tau_1, \tau_2) , \ \forall i \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{19} \]
Assume again that (19) does not hold then, by continuity, there exist \( i_1 \in \mathbb{N}, \ t_1 \in (\tau_1, \tau_2) \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that
\[ |x_i(t)| < \gamma y_i(t) , \forall t \in [\tau_1, \tilde{t}_1) , \ \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{20} \]
and
\[ |x_i(\tilde{t}_1)| = \gamma y_i(\tilde{t}_1) , |x_i(t)| > \gamma y_i(t) , \forall t \in (\tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_1 + \varepsilon). \tag{21} \]
Noticing that the subsystem \((A_{\delta}^{0}, \eta_i)\) is active on \([\tau_1, \tau_2)\), by using (1), we can estimate the Dini right-derivative \(D^+|x(\tilde{t}_1)|\) as
\[ D^+|x_i(\tilde{t}_1)| \leq a^{(0)}_{i_1, i_1} |x_i(\tilde{t}_1)| + \sum_{j=1}^{n} a^{(0)}_{i_1, i_1} |x_j(\tilde{t}_1)| + \sum_{j=1}^{n} V(\eta_{i_1, i_1}) \max_{\theta \in [-h, 0]} |x_j(\tilde{t}_1 + \theta)|. \tag{22} \]
Here, we have to consider two cases: \( \tau_1 \leq \tilde{t}_1 - h \) and \( \tilde{t}_1 - h < \tau_1 \). In the first case, we have, by (20), that for any \( \theta \in [-h, 0] \), \( |x_j(\tilde{t}_1 + \theta)| \leq \gamma y_j(\tilde{t}_1 + \theta) \leq \gamma y_j(\tilde{t}_1 - h) = M_\delta e^{-\alpha(\tilde{t}_1 - h)} \xi_{1,j}, \ \forall j \in \mathbb{N}. \)
Thus in both cases, using the equality in (21) and (22), (12) we get the following estimate
\[ D^+|x_i(\tilde{t}_1)| \leq \gamma M_\delta e^{-\alpha t} \xi_{1,i} < \gamma M_\delta e^{-\alpha t} (-\alpha \xi_{1,i}) = \gamma \frac{d}{dt} y_i(\tilde{t}_1). \tag{23} \]
On the other hand, by the inequality in (21) it follows readily that \( D^+|x_i(\tilde{t}_1)| \geq \gamma \frac{d}{dt} y_i(\tilde{t}_1) \), a contradiction. Thus (19) is proved. By letting \( t \to \tau_2, \delta \to 1 \) in (19) we get \( |x_i(\tau_2)| < \gamma M_\delta e^{-\alpha(\tau_2 - \tau_1)} \xi_{1,i}, \ \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \), which implies, from the same reasoning as above, that \( |x_i(t)| \leq \gamma^2 y_i(t), \forall t \in [\tau_2, \tau_3), \ \forall i \in \mathbb{N}. \)
Proceeding as above we conclude that for each \( k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \) and each \( i \in \mathbb{N} \), we have \( |x_i(t)| \leq \gamma^k y_i(t) = \gamma^k M_\delta e^{-\alpha t} \xi_{1,i} \leq \gamma^k M_\delta e^{-\alpha t}, \ t \in [\tau_k, \tau_{k+1}], \) taking into account that \( \|\xi_k\| = \max_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |\xi_{k,i}| = 1, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \) Therefore, by the assumption that \( \sigma \in \Sigma_{\tau_a} \) with ADT \( \tau_a \) satisfying (13) it follows that, for each \( t > 0 \),
\[ |x_i(t)| \leq \gamma N_\sigma(t,0) M_\delta e^{-\alpha t} = M_\delta e^{N_\sigma(t,0) \ln e^{-\alpha t}} \leq M_\delta e^{(\ln \frac{N_\sigma}{\tau_a} - \alpha)t}, \tag{24} \]
where \( \ln \frac{N_\sigma}{\tau_a} - \alpha < 0. \) This completes the proof.

Corollary 2. Consider the switched time-delay linear system (9). If there exist \( \xi_k \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ \xi_k \gg 0, \ \xi_k \in \mathbb{N} \) and a positive number \( \alpha > 0 \) satisfying
\[ (\mathcal{M}(A_{\delta}^{0}) + e^{\alpha h} \sum_{i=1}^{m} |A_{k}^{0}| + e^{\alpha h} \int_{-h}^{0} |B_{k}(s)| ds) \xi_k \ll -\alpha \xi_k, \ \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{25} \]
then the system (9) is GES over the set of switching signals \( \Sigma_{\tau_a} \) with ADT \( \tau_a \) satisfying (13).
It is obvious that the main result in [17] is just a particular case of the above Corollary 2 (by letting $m = 1, B_k(s) \equiv C_k, \forall s, k$, and $\alpha \downarrow 0$ in (25)).

**Remark 2.** The above proof keeps valid also for a more general definition of switching signals $\sigma$ having ADT $\tau_\alpha$ (see, e.g. [5]) where, instead of (11), the number of discontinuities of $\sigma$ on each interval $(0, t)$ satisfies

$$N(0, t) \leq N_0 + \frac{t}{\tau_\alpha},$$

with a given number $N_0 \geq 0$ (called a ‘chatter bound’ of the signal). Actually, in this case, instead of (24), we can easily deduce

$$|x_i(t)| \leq \gamma^{N_\sigma(t, 0)} M_\delta e^{-\alpha t} = M_\delta e^{t N_0 \ln \gamma} e^{(\ln \gamma - \alpha) t},$$

implying again that the system is GES.

**Remark 3.** Theorem 2 and its corollary above resemble the main results in [24]. However, the analysis in [24] can not be applied to deal with the problem considered in Theorem 2 since conditions (12) and (25) do not necessarily imply that such a common vector $\xi$ exists. Instead, an average dwell time (ADT) approach (that is different from the method in the mentioned work) has been used to establish our above results. Notice, moreover, that Theorem 1 can be obtained from Theorem 2. Indeed, if there exist $\xi_k = \xi \ll 0, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying (8) with some $\alpha > 0$ then $\gamma = 1$, by (14), and so $\tau_\alpha = 0$, in view of (13). Then, by Theorem 2, the switched system (3) is GES over the set $\Sigma_{\tau_\alpha}$ for any ADT $\tau_\alpha > 0$ and hence over $\Sigma_+$. In the following example, a numerical simulation in $\mathbb{R}^2$ is given to illustrate Theorem 2.

**Example 1.** Consider the switched time-delay linear system (3) in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with $h = 0.5, N = 2$,

$$A_1^0 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0.15 \\ -0.15 & -0.55 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_2^0 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.5 & -0.1 \\ 0.15 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \eta_k(\theta) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \theta = -0.5 \\ B_k & \text{if } \theta \in (-0.5, 0), \end{cases}$$

for $k = 1, 2$, where $B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.15 & 0.25 \\ 0.25 & 0.15 \end{bmatrix}$, $B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.25 & 0.15 \\ 0.15 & 0.15 \end{bmatrix}$. Thus, we have

$$\mathcal{M}(A_1^0) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0.15 \\ 0.15 & -0.55 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{M}(A_2^0) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.5 & 0.1 \\ 0.15 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad V(\eta_1) = \eta_1(0) = B_1, \quad V(\eta_2) = \eta_2(0) = B_2.$$

Note that there does not exist a vector $\xi = [c_1, c_2]^T > 0$ that satisfies (8) with $\alpha = 0$, because otherwise, we would get $c_1 < c_2$ and $c_2 < c_1$, a contradiction. On the other hand, there exist two vectors $\xi_1 = [0.6, 1]^T$ and $\xi_2 = [1, 0.5]^T$ which satisfy (12) with $\alpha = 0.1$. Therefore, by Theorem 2, we conclude that the switched time-delay system of the form (3) is exponentially stable GES for any switching signal with ADT $\tau_\alpha > \frac{\ln \gamma}{\alpha} = \frac{\ln 2}{0.1} \approx 6.93$. For instance, choose $\tau_\alpha = 7 > \tau_\alpha = 6.93$, a switching signal $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\tau_\alpha}$ as shown in Figure 1 and the initial condition given by the function $\phi(\theta) \equiv (1 - 1)^T, \quad \theta \in [-0.5, 0]$, then the solution trajectory of the above switched system is shown in Figure 2, where the simulation has been performed with the MATLAB code **dde23**.
3. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied exponential stability problem for a general class of time-delay switched systems described by linear functional differential equations. Unlike the previous results, which were based on the comparison principle and common Lyapunov functions, stability criteria in this paper are derived under ADT switching. Finally, a numerical example is included to illustrate the main results. The results of this paper can be extended, with appropriate modifications, to the case of switched linear systems with time-varying delays of the form

$$\dot{x}(t) = A^0_{\sigma(t)}x(t) + \int_{-h_{\sigma(t)}(t)}^0 d[\eta_{\sigma(t)}(\theta)]x(t+\theta), \ t \geq 0,$$

where, for \( k \in N, \ h_k : [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) are continuous functions, such that \( \sup_{k \in N, t \geq 0} h_k(t) \leq h \). The case of unbounded time-varying delays can also be treated by our approach. This will be reported in our future work.
APPENDIX

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma_+$ be an arbitrary switching law satisfying (4) and $x(t) = x(t, \varphi, \sigma)$ be the solution of (3) satisfying the initial condition $x(\theta) = \varphi(\theta)$, $\theta \in [-h, 0]$ with an arbitrary $\varphi \in C([-h, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\|\varphi\| \leq 1$. Assume (8) holds for some $\alpha > 0$ and $\xi := (\xi_1 \xi_2 \ldots \xi_n)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\xi_i > 0$, $\forall i \in \mathbb{n}$. Without loss of generality we can assume $\|\xi\| = 1$. For an arbitrary $\delta > 1$, define $M_\delta = \frac{\delta}{\min_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi_j} > 1$ and

$$y(t) = M_\delta e^{-\alpha t} \xi, \ t \in [-h, \infty).$$

Then, since $\mathbb{R}^n$ is equipped with the $\infty$-norm, in order to prove (7) it suffices show that

$$|x_i(t)| \leq y_i(t), \ \forall t \in [0, \infty), \ i \in \mathbb{n}. \ (30)$$

To this end, observe first that (30) clearly holds also for $t \in [-h, 0]$, that is

$$|x_i(t)| = |\varphi_i(t)| \leq 1 < y_i(t) = M_\delta e^{-\alpha t} \xi_i, \ \forall t \in [-h, 0], \ i \in \mathbb{n}. \ (31)$$

Assume to the contrary that (30) does not hold, then, by the continuity, there exist $i_0 \in \mathbb{n}$, $\bar{t}_0 > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$|x_i(t)| < y_i(t), \ \forall t \in [-h, \bar{t}_0), \ i \in \mathbb{n} \ (32)$$

and

$$|x_{i_0}(\bar{t}_0)| = y_{i_0}(\bar{t}_0), \ |x_{i_0}(t)| > y_{i_0}(t), \ \forall t \in (\bar{t}_0, \bar{t}_0 + \varepsilon). \ (33)$$

Let $\tau_k = \lim_{k \to \infty} \tau_{\min}(\sigma)$ be the sequence of switching instances of $\sigma$ and $\bar{t}_0 \in [\tau_{m_0}, \tau_{m_0 + 1})$, then, by the assumption (4), $\tau_{m_0 + 1} - \tau_{m_0} \geq \tau_{\min}(\sigma) > 0$. Therefore, one can choose a sufficiently small $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon$ such that $[\bar{t}_0, \bar{t}_0 + \varepsilon_1) \subset [\tau_{m_0}, \tau_{m_0 + 1})$. Letting the subsystem $(A_{k_0}^0, \eta_{k_0})$ be active on the interval $[\tau_{m_0}, \tau_{m_0 + 1})$, (or equivalently the solution $x(t)$ satisfies (5) with $k = k_0$) and denoting elements of $A_{k_0}^0$ and $\eta_{k_0}(\theta)$, respectively, by $a_{k_0, ij}$ and $\eta_{k_0, ij}(\theta)$, $i, j \in \mathbb{n}$. Then, from (6), (32) and (5) with $k = k_0$, we can deduce, for any $t \in [\tau_{m_0}, \tau_{m_0 + 1})$ and every $i \in \mathbb{n}$, that

$$D^+|x_i(t)| \leq a_{k_0, ii} |x_i(t)| + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n |a_{k_0, ij}| |x_j(t)| + \frac{\max_{\theta \in [-h, 0]} |x_j(t + \theta)|}{V(\eta_{k_0, ij})} \leq a_{k_0, ii} y_i(t) + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n |a_{k_0, ij}| y_j(t) + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n V(\eta_{k_0, ij}) y_j(t - h)}{V(\eta_{k_0, ij})} \ (34)$$

Therefore, by (29), (31) and (34) (with $i = i_0$, $t = \bar{t}_0$), using the equality in (33) and (8) we get

$$D^+|x_{i_0}(\bar{t}_0)| \leq M_\delta e^{-\alpha \bar{t}_0} (M(A_{k_0}^0) + e^{\alpha h} V(\eta_{k_0})) \xi_{i_0} - M_\delta e^{-\alpha \bar{t}_0} (-\alpha \xi_{i_0}) = \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}$$

On the other hand, by definition of the Dini right-derivative and the inequality in (33), we have

$$D^+|x_{i_0}(\bar{t}_0)| = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{|x_{i_0}(\bar{t}_0 + \varepsilon)| - |x_{i_0}(\bar{t}_0)|}{\varepsilon} \geq \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{y_{i_0}(\bar{t}_0 + \varepsilon) - y_{i_0}(\bar{t}_0)}{\varepsilon} = \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}$$

a contradiction, completing the proof.
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