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\textbf{Abstract}

A precise prognosis is of imminent importance in intensive care medicine. This article provides data showing the overestimation of inhospital mortality by APACHE II score in various subgroups of cardiogenic shock patients treated with a percutaneous left ventricular assist device. The data set includes additional baseline characteristics regarding age, pre-existing diseases, characteristics of coronary artery disease, characteristics of cardiopulmonary resuscitation, and hemodynamic parameter not included in the APACHE II score. Further data were provided which characterize derivation and validation group. Both groups were used for adjustment of the APACHE II approach. The data are supplemental to our original research article titled “Predictive value of the APACHE II score in cardiogenic shock patients treated with a percutaneous left ventricular assist device” (Mierke et al.,
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Specifications Table

| Subject | Health and medical sciences |
|---------|-----------------------------|
| Specific subject area | Cardiology and Cardiovascular Medicine |
| Type of data | Table |
| How the data were acquired | Data were collected from the prospective Dresden Impella Registry |
| Data format | Raw |
| Description of data collection | Data from 180 cardiogenic shock patients (>18 years old), who were included in the unselective Dresden Impella Registry and received left ventricular unloading with Impella CP®, were analyzed. Predicted intrahospital mortality was estimated by APACHE II Score and compared with Kaplan-Meier estimator at survivors' mean hospital stay lengths ($\tilde{S}(t_{\text{Hospital}})$). |
| Data source location | Institution: Technische Universität Dresden, Heart Center Dresden, University Hospital |
| City: Dresden | |
| Country: Germany | |
| Data accessibility | Repository name: Mendeley Data |
| DOI: | 10.17632/9ktj6nhmbx.1 |
| URL: | https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/9ktj6nhmbx/1 |
| Related research article | J. Mierke, T. Nowack, T. Loehn, F. Kluge, F. Poege, U. Speiser, F. Woitek, N. Mangner, K. Ibrahim, A. Linke, C. Pfieueck, Predictive value of the APACHE II score in cardiogenic shock patients treated with a percutaneous left ventricular assist device, IJC Heart & Vascularization. 40 (2022) 101013. |
| https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcha.2022.101013. | |

Value of the Data

- The database offers baseline characteristics, different clinical parameters and outcome data of cardiogenic shock patients receiving left ventricular unloading with a micro-axial left ventricular assist device (pLVAD).
- The database is useful for exact prediction of outcome in different subgroups of cardiogenic shock patients treated with pLVAD.
- The dataset enables the validation of the adjusted Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II score in other cohorts of cardiogenic shock patients treated with pLVAD.
- Researchers with interest in pLVAD in cardiogenic shock can utilize database, combine it with others’ datasets, and analyze them for further insights.
- The dataset can be used for comparison with other cardiogenic shock cohorts treated with another pLVAD than the Impella CP®.

1. Data Description

We present data of 180 patients of Dresden Impella Registry with severe CS, who received left ventricular (LV) unloading with a microaxial percutaneous left ventricular assist device (pLVAD). We compared real-world mortality with the predicted mortality estimated by the Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II score [1].

Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of the patients displaying a typical distribution of cardiovascular risk factors found in developed countries. Acute myocardial infarction was the
Table 1
Baseline characteristics and cause of cardiogenic shock.

| Characteristic                  | Mean ± SEM; (n) | CPR Mean ± SEM; (n) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Age / a (years)                 | 66.8 ± 1.0; (180)| No / % (n) 50.6 (91) |
| Male sex / % (n)                | 70.0 (126)      | In-hospital / % (n) 29.4 (53) |
| BMI / kg/m²                     | 27.3 ± 0.4; (180)| Out-of-hospital / % (n) 20.0 (36) |
| Diabetes mellitus type II / % (n)| 34.7 (61)      | Duration CPR / min 28.3 ± 3.1; (70) |
| Hypertension / % (n)            | 62.5 (110)      | Cause of CS          |
| Dyslipidaemia / % (n)           | 42.9 (75)       | AMI / % (n) 66.7 (120) |
| Renal failure / % (n)           | 21.3 (37)       | Decompensated ICM / % (n) 7.8 (14) |
| [eGFR ≤ 60 ml/min/1.73 m²]      |                 | Decompensated Non-ICM / % (n) 11.1 (20) |
| Atrial fibrillation / % (n)     | 26.1 (46)       | Valvular disease / % (n) 5.0 (9) |
| PAD / % (n)                     | 6.8 (12)        | Interventional complication / % (n) 3.9 (7) |
| History of stroke / % (n)       | 8.0 (14)        | Heart rhythm disturbances / % (n) 2.2 (4) |
| History of AMI / % (n)          | 17.6 (31)       | Post cardiac surgery / % (n) 1.1 (2) |
| History of PCI / % (n)          | 25.0 (44)       | Takotsubo-CMP / % (n) 1.1 (2) |
| History of CABG / % (n)         | 4.0 (7)         | Other1) / % (n) 1.1 (2) |

1) Aortic dissection type ABMI, body mass index; PAD, peripheral arterial disease; AMI, acute myocardial infarction; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; ICM, ischemic cardiomyopathy; CMP, cardiomyopathy.

Table 2
Characteristics of CAD of patients with cardiogenic shock complicating acute myocardial infarction.

| (%) (n)                          | NSTEMI         | STEMI          |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Kind of ACS                      |                | 33.3 (40)      |
| Culpit lesion                    |                | 66.7 (80)      |
| LMS                              |                |                |
| LAD                              |                |                |
| RCX                              |                |                |
| RCA                              |                |                |
| CAD type                         |                |                |
| 1-vessel                         |                | 17.5 (21)      |
| 2-vessel                         |                | 30.0 (36)      |
| 3-vessel                         |                | 52.5 (63)      |
| Treatment                        |                |                |
| PCI                              |                | 95.0 (114)     |
| CABG                             |                | 1.7 (2)        |
| Failed PCI                       |                | 3.3 (4)        |
| Number of treated lesions        |                |                |
| 1                                |                | 31.6 (36)      |
| 2                                |                | 33.3 (38)      |
| 3                                |                | 24.6 (28)      |
| ≥4                               |                | 10.5 (12)      |
| Maximum of creatine kinase / μcat/l | 83.1 ± 12.4; (102) |

CAD, coronary artery diseases; ACS, acute coronary syndrome; NSTEMI, non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; STEMI, ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; LMS, left main stem; LAD, left anterior descending; RCX, ramus circumflexus; RCA, right coronary artery; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft.

prevailing cause of cardiogenic shock and around 50% of patients received cardiopulmonary resuscitation.

Table 2 presents the characteristics of coronary artery disease of the patients with cardiogenic shock complicating acute myocardial infarction (n=120). Coronary three-vessel disease was prevailing in these patients, whereby most frequently culprit lesions of the left coronary system caused an acute myocardial infarction. Percutaneous coronary intervention was the predominant treatment.
Table 3
Clinical parameters not included in the APACHE II score.

| Mean ± SEM; (n) | 9.1 ± 0.4; (180) |
|----------------|------------------|
| Highest serum lactate in first 24 h / mM | Use of NE / % (n) |
| Use of NE / % (n) | 91.8; (156) |
| Highest NE dosage in first 24 h / µg/kg/min | Use of dobutamine / % (n) |
| Use of dobutamine / % (n) | 0.83 ± 0.08; (151) |
| Highest dobutamine dosage in first 24 h / µg/kg/min | LVEF before pLVAD / % |
| LVEF before pLVAD / % | 7.8 ± 0.6; (92) |
| Mechanical ventilation / % (n) | 26.2 ± 1.1; (146) |
| Time on mechanical ventilation / h | 85.4 (140) |
| Length of hospital stay / d | 194.1 ± 22.1; (140) |
| Length of ICU stay / d | 13.8 ± 1.0; (180) |
| Survivors' length of ICU stay / d | 11.7 ± 0.9; (180) |
| Duration of LV assist / h | 19.2 ± 1.6; (71) |
| 53.5 ± 5.3; (179) |

APACHE, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation; NE, norepinephrine; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; pLVAD, percutaneous left ventricular assist devices; ICU, intensive care unit.

Table 3 shows clinical parameters, that are not included in the APACHE II score but are known to influence outcome. Patients of the Dresden Impella Registry had high concentration of serum lactate and required intensive ionotropic and vasopressor support in the first 24 hours. The left ventricular ejection fraction was severely impaired before pLVAD.

Table 4 compares APACHE II Score, length of hospital stays of survivors, observed mortality, and predicted mortality in different subgroups. The APACHE II score overestimated inhospital mortality in nearly all sub-categories. The comparisons within the dichotomous or trichotomous subgroups showed no significant difference in observed mortality. The last column of the table displays the adjusted Diagnostic Category Weight, a specific constant for calculation of predicted mortality by the APACHE II score. The approach is described in detail by Mierke et al. [2].

Table 5 presents the baseline characteristics of derivation and validation group, which were obtained by random division of the total study cohort. These groups were used for adjustment of Diagnostic Category Weight and its internal validation. The derivation and validation group showed well balanced baseline characteristics. Differences were only observed between body mass index and occurrence of peripheral arterial disease.

Individual raw data on outcome and on all measured parameters are listed in a supplementary Excel sheet.

2. Experimental Design, Materials and Methods

Clinical data were collected from the prospective Dresden Impella Registry during the period from February 2014 to May 2018. The predicted inhospital mortality estimated by APACHE II score was calculated as described by Knaus et al. [1] and compared with the registry mortality. The comparison was performed conservatively by using Kaplan-Meier estimator at survivors' length of hospital stay. Patients who died inhospital were excluded from the calculation of length of hospital stay. Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) analysis was performed to prove the accuracy of APACHE II score. In case of an overestimation of predicted mortality and an acceptable accuracy of APACHE II score, a specific constant (Diagnostic Category Weight) for calculation of predicted mortality was adjusted. For this purpose, the total study cohort was randomly divided into a derivation and a validation group. The derivation group was used for the calculation of the adjusted Diagnostic Category Weight (DCW). The observed mortality of the validation group was compared with predicted mortality calculated by adjusted Diagnostic Category Weight by using two approaches. First, goodness of fit was proved by the Hosmer-Lemeshow statistics. Second, differences between observed and predicted mortality by using either original DCW or adjusted DCW were compared. Finally, the adjusted DCW was cal-
Table 4
Comparison of observed and predicted mortality in different subgroups.

| Parameter       | Sub-category | APACHE II score mean ± SEM; (n) | Survivors’ length of hospital stay [S_{hosp}]; (n) | Mortality at survivors’ mean hospital stay % S_{hosp} ± SE(S_{hosp}); (n) | Predicted Mortality by APACHE II score / %mean ± SEM; (n) | p-Wert | Adjusted Diagnostic Category Weight |
|-----------------|--------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|
| Sex             | Male         | 34.0 ± 0.7; (126)                | 24.1 ± 1.9; (47)                                  | 56.3 ± 4.4; (126)                                                 | 0.480                                             |        |                                    |
|                 | Female       | 32.2 ± 1.0; (54)                 | 20.5 ± 2.7; (24)                                  | 50.0 ± 6.8; (54)                                                 |                                                   |        |                                    |
| CPR             | IHCA         | 37.4 ± 0.8; (53)                 | 21.5 ± 2.9; (15)                                  | 62.3 ± 6.7; (53)                                                 | 0.660                                             |        |                                    |
|                 | OHCA         | 35.8 ± 1.1; (36)                 | 21.7 ± 4.7; (12)                                  | 63.9 ± 8.0; (36)                                                 |                                                   |        |                                    |
| Age             | ≤ 50 a       | 32.0 ± 1.6; (21)                 | 21.4 ± 4.8; (11)                                  | 47.6 ± 10.9; (21)                                                | 0.938                                             |        |                                    |
|                 | > 50 a       | 33.7 ± 0.6; (159)                | 23.2 ± 1.7; (60)                                  | 54.7 ± 3.9; (159)                                                |                                                   |        |                                    |
| CAD             | No CAD       | 33.0 ± 0.9; (83)                 | 23.4 ± 2.4; (33)                                  | 54.2 ± 5.5; (83)                                                 | 0.762                                             |        |                                    |
|                 | CAD          | 34.1 ± 0.7; (93)                 | 23.3 ± 2.1; (35)                                  | 55.9 ± 5.1; (93)                                                 |                                                   |        |                                    |
| Only acute myocardial infarction patients (n=120) | | | | | |
| Sex             | Male         | 34.7 ± 0.8; (87)                 | 21.6 ± 2.3; (30)                                  | 57.5 ± 5.3; (87)                                                 | 0.247                                             |        |                                    |
|                 | Female       | 31.6 ± 1.3; (33)                 | 23.6 ± 3.3; (17)                                  | 45.5 ± 8.7; (33)                                                 |                                                   |        |                                    |
| CPR             | IHCA         | 36.9 ± 1.1; (38)                 | 21.2 ± 3.6; (11)                                  | 60.5 ± 7.9; (38)                                                 | 0.671                                             |        |                                    |
|                 | OHCA         | 36.2 ± 1.2; (29)                 | 23.2 ± 5.2; (10)                                  | 62.1 ± 9.0; (29)                                                 |                                                   |        |                                    |
| Age             | ≤ 50 a       | 32.7 ± 2.5; (10)                 | 13.8 ± 3.9; (5)                                   | 50.0 ± 15.8; (10)                                                | 0.929                                             |        |                                    |
|                 | > 50 a       | 34.0 ± 0.7; (110)                | 23.4 ± 2.0; (42)                                  | 54.5 ± 4.7; (110)                                                |                                                   |        |                                    |
| Kind of ACS     | STEMI        | 33.6 ± 0.9; (80)                 | 21.8 ± 2.3; (29)                                  | 56.2 ± 5.5; (80)                                                 | 0.738                                             |        |                                    |
|                 | NSTEMI       | 34.3 ± 1.1; (40)                 | 23.2 ± 3.3; (18)                                  | 50.0 ± 7.9; (40)                                                 |                                                   |        |                                    |
| CAD type        | 1-CAD        | 35.1 ± 1.4; (21)                 | 22.7 ± 6.0; (6)                                   | 66.7 ± 10.3; (21)                                                | 0.073                                             |        |                                    |
|                 | 2-CAD        | 33.5 ± 1.6; (36)                 | 20.6 ± 2.7; (18)                                  | 41.7 ± 8.2; (36)                                                 |                                                   |        |                                    |
|                 | 3-CAD        | 33.6 ± 0.9; (63)                 | 23.7 ± 2.9; (23)                                  | 57.1 ± 6.2; (63)                                                 |                                                   |        |                                    |
| Culprit lesion  | LMS          | 33.8 ± 1.4; (37)                 | 20.4 ± 3.4; (18)                                  | 43.2 ± 8.2; (37)                                                 | 0.181                                             |        |                                    |
|                 | LAD          | 33.5 ± 1.0; (48)                 | 21.4 ± 3.2; (15)                                  | 62.5 ± 7.0; (48)                                                 | 0.073                                             |        |                                    |
|                 | RCX          | 36.0 ± 1.8; (15)                 | 29.8 ± 5.5; (5)                                   | 66.7 ± 12.2; (15)                                                |                                                   |        |                                    |
|                 | RCA          | 33.0 ± 1.7; (20)                 | 23.6 ± 4.0; (9)                                   | 40.0 ± 11.0; (20)                                                |                                                   |        |                                    |

CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; IHCA, In-hospital cardiac arrest; OHCA, Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest; CAD, coronary artery diseases; ACS, acute coronary syndrome; STEMI, ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; NSTEMI, non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; LMS, left main stem; LAD, left anterior descending; RCX, ramus circumflexus; RCA, right coronary artery; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft.
Table 5
Baseline characteristics of validation and derivation cohort.

|                              | Mean ± SEM; (n) | p-value | CPR Mean ± SEM; (n) | p-value |
|------------------------------|-----------------|---------|---------------------|---------|
|                              | Validation group | Derivation group |          | Validation group | Derivation group |          |
| Age / a                      | 68.1 ± 1.3; (90) | 65.5 ± 1.4; (90) | 0.261 | 48.9 (44) | 52.2 (47) | 0.655 |
| Male sex / % (n)             | 66.7 (60) | 73.3 (66) | 0.329 | | |
| BMI / kg/m²                  | 26.5 ± 0.4; (90) | 28.2 ± 0.6; (90) | **0.043** | | |
| BSA / m²                     | 1.92 ± 0.02; (90) | 2.00 ± 0.02; (90) | 0.058 | | |
| Hypertension / % (n)         | 63.6 (56) | 61.4 (54) | 0.755 | | |
| Diabetes mellitus type II / % (n) | 36.4 (32) | 33.0 (29) | 0.635 | | |
| Dyslipidaemia / % (n)        | 37.5 (33) | 48.3 (42) | 0.150 | | |
| Renal failure / % (n)        | 24.4 (21) | 18.2 (16) | 0.315 | | |
| [eGFR ≤ 60 ml/min/1.73 m²]   | | | | | |
| Atrial fibrillation / % (n)  | 26.1 (23) | 26.1 (23) | 1.000 | | |
| PAD / % (n)                  | 11.4 (10) | 2.3 (2) | **0.032** | | |
| History of stroke / % (n)    | 11.4 (10) | 4.5 (4) | 0.162 | | |
| History of AMI / % (n)       | 14.8 (13) | 20.5 (18) | 0.322 | | |
| History of PCI / % (n)       | 28.4 (25) | 21.6 (19) | 0.296 | | |
| History of CABG / % (n)      | 3.4 (3) | 4.5 (4) | 1.000 | | |
| Cause of CS                  | | | | | |
| AMI / % (n)                  | 68.9 (62) | 64.4 (58) | 0.527 | | |
| Decompensated ICM / % (n)   | 7.8 (7) | 7.8 (7) | 1.000 | | |
| Decompensated Non-ICM / % (n) | 11.1 (10) | 11.1 (10) | 1.000 | | |
| Valvular disease / % (n)     | 5.6 (5) | 4.4 (4) | 1.000 | | |
| Interventional complication / % (n) | 1.1 (1) | 6.7 (6) | 0.118 | | |
| Heart rhythm disturbances / % (n) | 2.2 (2) | 2.2 (2) | 1.000 | | |
| Post cardiac surgery / % (n) | 1.1 (1) | 1.1 (1) | 1.000 | | |
| Takotsubo-CMP / % (n)        | 1.1 (1) | 1.1 (1) | 1.000 | | |
| Other1 / % (n)               | 1.1 (1) | 1.1 (1) | 1.000 | | |

1) Aortic dissection type A; BMI, body mass index; BSA, body surface area; PAD, peripheral arterial disease; AMI, acute myocardial infarction; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; ICM, ischemic cardiomyopathy; CMP, cardiomyopathy.
culated for every subgroup which showed a significant difference between observed and predicted mortality.
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