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Summary

Background Therapeutic hypothermia is standard of care in high-income countries for babies born with signs of hypoxic ischaemic encephalopathy, but optimal feeding during treatment is uncertain and practice is variable. This study aimed to assess the association between feeding during therapeutic hypothermia and clinically important outcomes.

Methods We did a population-level retrospective cohort study using the UK National Neonatal Research Database. We included all babies admitted to National Health Service neonatal units in England, Scotland, and Wales between Jan 1, 2010, and Dec 31, 2017, who received therapeutic hypothermia for 72 h or died during this period. For analysis, we created matched groups using propensity scores and compared outcomes in babies who were fed versus unfed enterally during therapeutic hypothermia. The primary outcome was severe necrotising enterocolitis, either confirmed or suspected in babies who received at least 5 consecutive days of antibiotics while also nil by mouth during their neonatal unit stay, late-onset infection (pragmatically defined as 5 consecutive days of antibiotic treatment commencing after day 3), survival to discharge, measures of breastmilk feeding, and length of stay in neonatal unit.

Findings 6030 babies received therapeutic hypothermia, of whom 1873 (31·1%) were fed during treatment. Seven (0·1%) babies were diagnosed with severe necrotising enterocolitis and the number was too small for further analyses. We selected 3236 (53·7%) babies for the matched feeding analysis (1618 pairs), achieving a good balance for all recorded background variables. Pragmatically defined necrotising enterocolitis was rare in both groups (incidence 0·5%, 95% CI 0·2–0·9 in the fed group vs 1·1% [0·7–1·4] in the unfed group). The enterally fed group had fewer pragmatically defined late-onset infections (difference –11·6% [95% CI –14·0 to –9·3]; p<0·0001), higher survival to discharge (5·2% [3·9–6·6]; p<0·0001), higher proportion of breastfeeding at discharge (8·0% [5·1–10·8]; p<0·0001), and shorter neonatal unit stays (–2·2 [–3·0 to –1·2] days; p<0·0001) compared with the unfed group.

Interpretation Necrotising enterocolitis is rare in babies receiving therapeutic hypothermia. Enteral feeding during hypothermia is safe and associated with beneficial outcomes compared with not feeding, although residual confounding could not be completely ruled out. Our findings support starting milk feeds during therapeutic hypothermia.

Funding UK National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment programme 16/79/13.
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Introduction

Therapeutic hypothermia is standard of care in high-income countries for babies born at or after 36 weeks of gestational age with signs of hypoxic ischaemic encephalopathy.1 Optimal nutritional support for babies receiving therapeutic hypothermia is uncertain; previously published trials either did not specify nutritional management2 or stipulated that milk feeds should be withheld.3 In the absence of high-quality evidence, provision of enteral nutrition to infants during hypothermia is variable;3 withholding enteral feeds during therapeutic hypothermia is almost universal in some settings,3 whereas in other settings milk feeding is routine.4 Milk feeds are withheld during therapeutic hypothermia partly to reduce the risk of necrotising enterocolitis. The incidence of necrotising enterocolitis in term and near term infants with neonatal encephalopathy is poorly reported but is thought to be low.5 Moreover, some data suggest beneficial effects of enteral feeding after perinatal ischaemia.6 Given the context of variable clinical practice and a paucity of high-quality evidence, we aimed to identify the potential for enteral feeding during hypothermia in high-income countries.
Research in context

Evidence before this study
We developed this study in 2017 and on July 7, 2017, searched PubMed using the search terms “therapeutic hypothermia” AND (“enteral” OR “nutrition”) without publication date or language restrictions, for articles describing feeding practices during neonatal therapeutic hypothermia. In the 11 trials included in the Cochrane review of hypothermia for hypoxic ischaemic encephalopathy, feeds were either withheld during therapeutic hypothermia, or feeding practice was either not stipulated or not reported. We found no published randomised controlled trials directly addressing this topic. One retrospective cohort study of 85 infants receiving therapeutic hypothermia compared practice in the UK where a majority of babies were not fed, and practice in Sweden where the majority of babies received milk feeds, and found no differences in clinical outcomes between countries and found no cases of necrotising enterocolitis. We repeated the PubMed search on Nov 12, 2020, using the same search terms. One retrospective, matched, case control study of 34 infants receiving therapeutic hypothermia in the USA compared inflammatory markers in babies that received minimal enteral nutrition versus babies who had feeds withheld, and found lower concentrations of inflammatory cytokines and fewer days of parenteral nutrition and days of hospital stay in the enteral feeding group. Surveys of practice in the UK, the USA, and Europe show that feeding practice during therapeutic hypothermia is variable.

Added value of this study
To our knowledge, our study analysed the largest cohort of babies who have received therapeutic hypothermia. Using national, population-level observational data, we produced and compared incidence data for necrotising enterocolitis, late-onset culture-positive bloodstream infection, and other outcomes between babies fed enterally versus babies from whom feeds were withheld during therapeutic hypothermia. We found that necrotising enterocolitis was rare, and enteral feeding during hypothermia treatment was safe and might be beneficial.

Implications of all the available evidence
These results support starting milk feeds in babies during therapeutic hypothermia, using maternal milk if available.

optimal enteral feeding strategies for babies receiving therapeutic hypothermia. Because key outcomes, such as necrotising enterocolitis, are rare in this population of term and near-term babies, a randomised controlled trial powered to address such outcomes is not feasible. We therefore did an observational study using routinely recorded clinical data, and applied propensity-score matching to form groups for comparison that had nearly identical distributions of background variables.9,10 We aimed to assess the association between enteral feeding during therapeutic hypothermia and the incidence of necrotising enterocolitis and other clinically important outcomes.

Methods

Study design and data source
We did a population-level, retrospective cohort study using routinely recorded neonatal clinical data held in the UK National Neonatal Research Database (NNRD). The NNRD11 holds data from all infants (approximately 90 000 annually) admitted to National Health Service (NHS) neonatal units in England, Scotland, and Wales. In the UK, therapeutic hypothermia is not provided outside of NHS neonatal units. Data held by the NNRD are extracted from neonatal electronic health records that have been completed by health professionals during routine clinical care. The neonatal dataset11 (a defined extract of approximately 450 data items) is transmitted quarterly to the Neonatal Data Analysis Unit, in which patient episodes across different hospitals are linked and the data are cleaned (ie, queries about discrepancies and implausible data configurations are fed back to health professionals and rectified). The completeness and accuracy of data held in the NNRD have been validated against case-record forms completed as part of a multicentre randomised controlled trial.9 NNRD data items include demographic and admission items (birthweight), daily items (feeding information), discharge items (weight at discharge), and ad-hoc items entered if and when they occur (eg, ultrasound scan findings).

The study was designed by a multiprofessional investigator group, which included a parent of a baby who received therapeutic hypothermia and a parent representative. Study outcomes were chosen to reflect those prioritised as important by parents, patients, and professionals,9 and were informed by parents and parent representatives. Research ethics approval for the study was obtained from East Midlands—Leicester Central Research Ethics Committee (17/EM/0307) and approval for inclusion of their data in this study was obtained from all English, Scottish, and Welsh neonatal units. The study was prospectively registered (ISRCTN registry ISRCTN47404296; ClinicalTrials.gov NCT03278847) and the protocol has been published previously.9

Participants
We extracted NNRD data for infants born between Jan 1, 2008, and Dec 31, 2017, who were admitted to NHS neonatal units in England, Scotland, and Wales that contributed data to the NNRD. Neonatal unit data from Jan 1, 2008 onwards, is held by the NNRD; from Jan 1, 2012 onwards, all NHS neonatal units in England and Wales have contributed data. Data were extracted for the duration of an infant’s neonatal unit stay.

Infants were eligible for inclusion in the study if they had a recorded gestational age of 36 weeks or older at birth.
and were recorded either as having received therapeutic hypothermia for 3 days or as having died during that period after receipt of therapeutic hypothermia. UK national guidance during the study period recommended giving therapeutic hypothermia to babies with moderate to severe hypoxic ischaemic encephalopathy, as diagnosed in trials such as TOBY.7

Procedures
We applied propensity-score methods to form matched subgroups to compare outcomes of infants with similar background characteristics but who had different enteral feeding strategies: babies that were enterally fed versus not enterally fed during therapeutic hypothermia. Being fed was defined as receiving milk feeds of any type, by any enteral route of administration, and in any quantity, for at least 1 day during the period of therapeutic hypothermia. Further details of codes used to define analysis groups are available in the appendix (p 2).

Outcomes
The primary outcome was severe necrotising enterocolitis (using the UK Neonatal Collaborative definition16) confirmed at surgery or causing death, validated with infection (defined using the UK National Neonatal Audit Programme case definition as a pure growth of a pathogen from blood17); suspected late-onset infection (eg, positive blood or cerebrospinal fluid culture with a recognised pathogen recorded in the first 3 days); treatment on day 1 (eg, infusion of inotropes, mechanical ventilation, and treatment with inhaled nitric oxide); and organisational factors (eg, postnatal transfer within 24 h, neonatal network of birth); further details can be found in the appendix (pp 2–5).

Analyses used the potential outcomes framework and propensity score method. We did 1:1 matching of babies that received no enteral feeds to babies who were fed enterally during therapeutic hypothermia. For each infant, the propensity of the nutritional exposure (enteral feeds or no enteral feeds) was estimated by logistical regression that included all background variables as covariates. Matched pairs were formed within these groups with one infant from either exposure group. Pairs were first matched by birth year (four 2 year bands) and arterial umbilical cord pH (three bands: <6·9, 6·9–7·0, and >7·0), making 12 groups in total. Matched pairs were then formed within propensity-score deciles defined separately for each background group. Because this matching procedure involves some randomness, it was replicated 25 times to produce 25 matched cohorts. Every subsequent analysis was done separately for each matched cohort and the replicated results were averaged to reduce the effect of the uncertainty involved in matching. A detailed description of the matching process is provided in the appendix (pp 9–11). The success of the matching process is shown by standardised differences of the background variables across each group before and after matching (see appendix pp 12–13).9 Outcomes in the resulting two matched subgroups were then compared by methods identical to those for a randomised trial, with absolute and relative risks of serious adverse outcomes derived. The two-sample t test was used for all comparisons of matched groups. For the odds ratio, the SE was estimated as described by Morris and Gardner.10 The SE of the estimate of the treatment effect was obtained by combining the within-replication SE and between-replication SE.10 All p values are two-sided.

We imputed data for babies who had records with missing data about receipt of therapeutic hypothermia on the second day, but who were recorded as having received therapeutic hypothermia on both the preceding...
Before comparative analysis of matched groups, it became clear that the proportion of missing data was high in 2008 and 2009. The protocol was therefore altered and analysis was restricted to babies born between Jan 1, 2010 and Dec 31, 2017, for all analyses. The study was overseen by an independent study steering committee, which approved all deviations from protocol. Analyses were done using SAS (version 9.3) and R (version 3.3.1).

Role of the funding source
This study was funded by the UK National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment programme (16/79/13). The funder had no role in designing the study, the collection, analysis, or interpretation of the data, or in the writing of this Article.

Results
Between Jan 1, 2010, and Dec 31, 2017, 703,907 babies were admitted to NHS neonatal units in England, Scotland, or Wales; 6030 were at least 36 weeks of gestational age and treated with therapeutic hypothermia for 3 days or died during treatment. 1873 (31-1%) of 6030 received enteral feeds during therapeutic hypothermia; this proportion changed only slightly between 2010 and 2017.

In the total study cohort of babies, and before matching, seven (0-1%) of 6030 babies that received therapeutic hypothermia were diagnosed with severe necrotising enterocolitis, and 68 (1-1%) of 6030 were classified as having necrotising enterocolitis using the pragmatic definition. 30 (0-5%) of 6030 babies had a pure growth of a recognised pathogen in a blood culture after day 3. Pragmatically defined late-onset infection was more common than pure growth (1559 [25-9%] of 6030). Breastfeeding at discharge was reported in 2784 (46-2%) of 6030 babies and this proportion increased during the study period. Among babies that suckled at the breast, the first breastfeed was at a median age of 7 days (IQR 6–9). Among babies that were fed maternal breastmilk (including by bottle or gastric tube), median age at first receiving maternal breastmilk was 5 days (IQR 4–6). Survival to discharge was high (5444 [90-3%] of 6030). Median length of stay in the neonatal unit was 11 days (IQR 8–16). Most babies (5640 [93-5%] of 6030) had a central line placed, for a median duration of 5 days (IQR 3–6). 1208 (20-0%) of 6030 babies had an episode of hypoglycaemia recorded during their neonatal stay.

For comparative analyses, we formed a matched cohort of 3236 babies (1618 pairs; figure 1), and a good balance was achieved for all recorded background variables (table 1; appendix p 13). The incidence of severe necrotising enterocolitis was very low and comparative analyses were not done (table 2). After matching, pragmatically defined necrotising enterocolitis incidence was lower among babies fed during therapeutic hypothermia than in babies who were not fed (difference −0-5%, and following days and did not die during cooling. No other data were imputed.

Two preplanned sensitivity analyses were done. First, analysis was restricted to babies born between Jan 1, 2012 and Dec 31, 2017, in England and Wales, during which period all NHS neonatal units in these two countries contributed data to the NNRD. This analysis was done to determine whether using the less complete NNRD data submitted before Jan 1, 2012 introduces bias. Second, analysis was restricted to infants for whom all enteral or parenteral feeding data have been actively recorded, by excluding infants with missing nutrition data during the first 4 days.

A third, post-hoc, sensitivity analysis was done (following the advice of the clinical investigator group and with the agreement of study steering committee) to examine the effect of nutritional practice on the first day as an additional background matching variable. In a final sensitivity analysis, receipt of parenteral nutrition on the first day after birth was added as a background variable to the propensity model. We accounted for multiple testing by applying the Bonferroni correction.

Figure 1: Participant flow for the primary analysis
For a definition of extreme propensity see the appendix (p 10).
The number of culture-positive late-onset infections was similar between groups; but pragmatically defined late-onset infection was less common in babies who were fed than not fed. Survival to discharge was higher in babies that were fed than were not fed; as was breastfeeding at discharge. Incidence was similar between groups for recorded hypoglycaemia and weight-for-gestational-age SD score at discharge from neonatal unit. First breastfeeding and first breastmilk feed were earlier in babies that were fed than unfed during therapeutic hypothermia. Length of neonatal unit stay, duration of

| Table 1: Background variables by feeding group for unmatched and matched cohorts of babies receiving therapeutic hypothermia |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Unmatched cohort** | **Enteral fed** | **Matched cohort** | **Enteral fed** |
| **Sex** | No enteral feeds (n=3975) | Enterally fed (n=1872) | No enteral feeds (n=1618) | Enteral fed (n=1618) |
| Male | 2173 (54.7%) | 1055 (56.4%) | 903 (55.8%) | 903 (55.8%) |
| Female | 1802 (45.3%) | 817 (43.6%) | 715 (44.2%) | 715 (44.2%) |
| Gestational age at birth, weeks | 39 3 (1.6) | 39 5 (1.5) | 39 5 (1.5) | 39 5 (1.5) |
| Birthweight, g | 3358 (621) | 3395 (636) | 3403 (635) | 3386 (633) |
| Caesarean delivery | 1921 (50.6%) | 738 (41.2%) | 649 (41.9%) | 638 (41.2%) |
| Missing | 178 | 80 | 70 | 68 |
| Median maternal age, years | 30 (26–34) | 31 (27–35) | 31 (27–35) | 31 (27–35) |
| Maternal chorioamnionitis suspected | 406 (12.6%) | 240 (15.2%) | 202 (15.1%) | 187 (13.6%) |
| Missing | 757 | 288 | 283 | 247 |
| Maternal smoking during pregnancy | 524 (15.4%) | 191 (11.7%) | 159 (11.3%) | 169 (12.0%) |
| Missing | 566 | 244 | 207 | 205 |
| Maternal race or ethnicity | | | | |
| White | 2598 (77.5%) | 1185 (76.5%) | 1041 (77.1%) | 1040 (77.3%) |
| Asian or mixed Asian | 399 (11.9%) | 200 (12.9%) | 174 (12.9%) | 169 (12.6%) |
| Black or mixed black African | 277 (8.3%) | 120 (7.7%) | 99 (7.3%) | 103 (7.7%) |
| Other | 80 (2.4%) | 44 (2.8%) | 36 (2.7%) | 34 (2.5%) |
| Missing | 621 | 323 | 268 | 272 |
| Maternal diabetes* | 171 (4.3%) | 75 (4.0%) | 66 (4.1%) | 60 (3.7%) |
| Maternal deprivation score† | | | | |
| Decile 1 or 2 | 1017 (29.4%) | 351 (21.0%) | 334 (27.0%) | 311 (21.6%) |
| Missing | 515 | 204 | 379 | 374 |
| Primiparous* | 2107 (53.0%) | 991 (52.9%) | 857 (53.0%) | 844 (52.2%) |
| Umbilical cord arterial pH | | | | |
| <6·9 | 913 (32.4%) | 420 (31.1%) | 368 (31.6%) | 368 (31.6%) |
| 6·9–7·0 | 661 (23.5%) | 318 (23.5%) | 262 (22.5%) | 262 (22.5%) |
| >7·0 | 1140 (44.1%) | 613 (45.4%) | 536 (46.0%) | 536 (46.0%) |
| Missing | 1161 | 521 | 452 | 452 |
| Apgar score | | | | |
| 0–1 | 695 (19.1%) | 223 (12.8%) | 231 (15.2%) | 198 (13.1%) |
| 2–4 | 1476 (40.6%) | 736 (42.1%) | 650 (42.8%) | 648 (42.8%) |
| 5–7 | 1140 (31.4%) | 623 (35.6%) | 490 (32.3%) | 537 (35.4%) |
| 8–10 | 324 (8.9%) | 166 (9.5%) | 147 (9.7%) | 132 (8.7%) |
| Missing | 340 | 124 | 100 | 103 |
| Received chest compressions at resuscitation* | 1555 (39.1%) | 608 (32.5%) | 560 (34.6%) | 532 (32.9%) |
| Intubated at resuscitation* | 2619 (65.9%) | 1126 (60.1%) | 1020 (63.0%) | 995 (65.8%) |
| Mechanical ventilation on day of admission | 2176 (82.1%) | 1325 (73.4%) | 1196 (77.6%) | 1189 (75.3%) |
| Missing | 155 | 53 | 76 | 40 |
| Treatment with inotropes on day of admission | 1099 (29.0%) | 520 (27.9%) | 295 (19.3%) | 288 (18.5%) |
| Missing | 180 | 80 | 90 | 63 |
| Early onset, culture-positive blood infection* | 44 (1.1%) | 11 (0.6%) | 26 (1.0%) | 11 (0.7%) |

Data are n (%), mean (SD), or median (IQR). *Data were collected via ticking a box to indicate the presence of each condition and it is not possible to distinguish between missing data and a negative answer for boxes left empty. †Maternal deprivation was calculated using the index of multiple deprivation decile based on the UK Office for National Statistics Lower-layer Super Output Area of the maternal residence.

For the English indices of deprivation see https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/english-indices-of-deprivation
For the Welsh indices of deprivation see https://gov.wales/welsh-index-multiple-deprivation-full-index-update-ranks-2019
parenteral nutrition, and days with a central line in situ were all lower in babies who were fed than unfed (tables 2–3). These findings were robust to sensitivity analyses detailed in the appendix (p 14). If enteral feeds were given during therapeutic hypothermia, these were most commonly maternal breastmilk (figure 2).

Discussion
In this large, population-based cohort of term and near-term infants treated with therapeutic hypothermia, we identified variation in nutritional practice, with sizable minorities of babies receiving milk feeds during hypothermia. We showed that severe necrotising enterocolitis (using a robust and validated definition) is rare in this population, with an incidence of approximately one per 1000 babies. Late-onset culture-positive bloodstream infection is also rare, occurring in approximately five per 1000 infants, although treatment for presumed infection is common.

Almost one in three babies who receive therapeutic hypothermia in NHS neonatal units are fed during hypothermia, predominantly with maternal breastmilk. Necrotising enterocolitis is rare in these babies, and after matching for an extensive list of background characteristics,
pragmatically defined necrotising enterocolitis was diagnosed less frequently in babies fed during therapeutic hypothermia than in babies who were unfed. Milk feeding during therapeutic hypothermia was also associated with other beneficial outcomes, including shorter length of neonatal unit stay, higher incidence of breastfeeding, and a lower incidence of suspected infection, all after matching for multiple potential confounding factors.

To our knowledge, this study assesses the largest and most comprehensive cohort of babies who have received therapeutic hypothermia. Necrotising enterocolitis is well described in case studies and single-centre series in babies with hypoxic ischaemic encephalopathy; however, there has been a paucity of robust incidence data. By applying a validated definition of severe necrotising enterocolitis with confirmation of cases to large-scale population data, we have for the first time produced robust incidence rates for necrotising enterocolitis in babies receiving therapeutic hypothermia. Our finding that enteral feeding during therapeutic hypothermia is safe and might be associated with benefit is supported by the few data from previous studies. A small, retrospective case-control study of 34 infants compared minimal enteral nutrition with withholding feeds during therapeutic hypothermia, and found that duration of parenteral nutrition and hospital stay were lower in the enteral feeding group, although the study did not adjust for illness severity, which differed between groups. Another small retrospective study compared 34 babies cared for in the UK, which commonly withheld feeds, versus 51 babies cared for in Sweden, which commonly initiated feeds during hypothermia. In keeping with our data, the study found no complications in either group and a higher proportion of babies breastfeeding at discharge among the babies in Sweden. Our study adds considerably to the existing literature through the large, population-level nature of the cohort and by application of statistically robust approaches to deal with potential confounding in comparative analyses.

We aimed to identify optimal approaches to nutrition during therapeutic hypothermia, through comparative analyses of babies fed milk and those not fed milk. Although we did not undertake a randomised trial, we applied multiple approaches to reduce bias. We used a comprehensive range of background data items to form matched groups, balanced on all measured potential confounders. We followed a detailed, preregistered protocol that specified exposures, background factors, outcomes and the data items used to define outcomes, and the matching process. Also, the use of routinely recorded data reduced the risk of ascertainment bias because data collection occurred far in advance of study conception. Furthermore, we applied sensitivity analyses to see whether data quality and definitions of the nutritional exposures influenced results. By using existing data, we were able to include a large number of infants and to examine even rare outcomes, such as pragmatically defined necrotising enterocolitis and culture-positive bloodstream infection; the sample size was several times larger than all previous randomised trials of therapeutic hypothermia combined.

The most important limitation of this study stems from the non-randomised study design used; the matched approach that was applied was only able to account for measured confounders. Although we used a wide range of background and day 1 clinical data items to form matched groups, and the statistical measures of balance indicated that an acceptable balance had been obtained, we cannot exclude the chance that factors might have differed by small but clinically relevant degrees between the groups, or that there were important differences in unmeasured factors between the comparator groups. In matched analyses, we found higher survival to neonatal unit discharge in babies that were fed than were unfed during therapeutic hypothermia; this difference in survival is unlikely to be caused by enteral feeding during therapeutic hypothermia and suggests that residual confounding is present in the enteral comparison. Furthermore, there might be other additional markers of sickness that are discernible to clinicians and which influence decision making regarding enteral feeding, but which are not captured, even in the extensive data items held in the NNKD. Such residual and unmeasured confounding by indication might overestimate the benefit associated with enteral feeding, and results should be interpreted accordingly. Another limitation of this study is the smaller
than planned number of infant pairs; however, the matched groups were still considerably larger than previous trials and had power to detect small but clinically relevant differences of 1–0% in necrotising enterocolitis. Although we had broad parent involvement in the selection of outcomes for this study, we were limited (by the data source used) to short-term outcomes, which might not completely reflect the outcomes of greatest importance to parents and patients.13 As with any study that uses routinely recorded data, data completeness and accuracy are dependent on the health-care professionals who enter the data, and might vary between sites. Specifically, data describing daily volume of feeds are highly incomplete, therefore we were unable to describe how much enteral feed was given or how quickly feeds were increased. Furthermore, culture-positive bloodstream infection is likely to be under-reported in systems such as the NNRD that use routinely recorded UK neonatal clinical data,17 hence our a-priori analysis used a pragmatic definition of late-onset infection. The NNRD is formed from data entered as part of a baby’s clinical care record; these data are used for multiple purposes including national audits, funding, and staffing, and data held in the NNRD have been validated against independent clinical trial data.13

Overall, necrotising enterocolitis is rare in term and near-term babies receiving therapeutic hypothermia and might be less common in babies who were fed during therapeutic hypothermia. The introduction of enteral feeds in term and near-term babies during therapeutic hypothermia appears to be safe and might be associated with benefits, including a higher proportion of babies breastfeeding at discharge, and shorter length of neonatal unit stay.

Contributors
CG, NTL, CB, SO, and JD designed and did the study. KO and CB extracted the study data and DJ and NTL did the data analyses. KO, CB, DJ, and NTL extracted data from the NNRD for analysis. DJ, KO, and NTL accessed and verified the data. CG wrote the first draft of the article with contributions from DJ, JD, CB, SO, and NTL. All authors edited and approved the final version of the article. All authors had full access to all of the data and the final responsibility to submit for publication. CG as guarantor accepts full responsibility for study conduct, had access to the data, and controlled the decision to publish.

Declaration of interests
We declare no competing interests.

Data sharing
The deidentified individual participant data that were used in this study are available subject to NNRD steering board approval of a data extract request, the necessary regulatory approvals, and a charge to cover costs. The NNRD data dictionary is available online.

Acknowledgments
Electronic patient data recorded at participating neonatal units that collectively form the UK Neonatal Collaborative are transmitted to the UK Neonatal Data Analysis Unit (NDAU) to form the NNRD; UK Neonatal Collaborative leads are listed in the appendix (pp 15–17). We thank the families that agreed to the inclusion of their infants’ data in the NNRD; the health professionals who recorded the data, and Richard Colquhoun and Surbhi Shah at the NDAU for data extraction and administrative support. CG is supported by grants from the UK National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment (HTA) and UK Medical Research Council (MRC), and is vice chair of NIHR Research for Patient Benefit London Panel. CB is supported by grants from the NIHR and is deputy chair of the NIHR HTA Prioritisation Committee; Hospital Based Care. SO was supported by grants from NIHR and MRC during the study. JD is supported by grants from the NIHR HTA during the study. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the UK Department of Health and Social Care. This research was funded by the NIHR HTA programme 16/79/13.

References
1 NICE. Therapeutic hypothermia with intracorporeal temperature monitoring for hypoxic perinatal brain injury. Interventional procedures guidance [IPG147]. May 26, 2010. https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg147 (accessed Nov 12, 2020).
2 Jacobs SE, Berg M, Hunt R, Tarnow-Mordi WO, Inder TE, Davis PG. Cooling for newborns with hypoxic ischaemic encephalopathy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013; 1: CD003311.
3 Gluckman PD, Wyatt J, Azopardi D, et al. Selective head cooling with mild systemic hypothermia after neonatal encephalopathy: multicentre randomised trial. Lancet 2005; 365: 661–70.
4 Hazeldine B, Thyagarajan B, Grant M, Chakkarapani E. Survey of nutritional practices during therapeutic hypothermia for hypoxic ischaemic encephalopathy. BMJ Paediatr Open 2017; 1: e000022.
5 Chang LL, Wynn JL, Pacella MJ, et al. Enteral feeding as an adjunct to hypothermia in neonates with hypoxic-ischaemic encephalopathy. Neonatology 2018; 113: 347–52.
6 Thyagarajan B, Tillepriet E, Baral V, Hallberg B, Vollmer B, B lensow M. Minimal enteral nutrition during neonatal hypothermia treatment for perinatal hypoxic/ischaemic encephalopathy is safe and feasible. Acta Paediatr 2015; 104: 146–51.
7 Azopardi DV, Strohm B, Edwards AD, et al. Moderate hypothermia to treat perinatal asphyxial encephalopathy. N Engl J Med 2009; 361: 1349–58.
8 Ogla S, Darling J, Battersby C, Longford N, Gale C. Optimising nutrition during therapeutic hypothermia. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2019; 104: F230–31.
9 Imbens GW, Rubin DB. Causal inference for statistics, social, and biomedical sciences—an introduction. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2015.
10 Rosenbaum PR. Design of observational studies. New York, NY: Springer, 2010.
11 Battersby C, Statnikov Y, Santhakumaran S, Gray D, Modi N, Costeloe K. The United Kingdom National Neonatal Research Database: a validation study. PLoS One 2018; 13: e0201815.
12 NHS Data Model and Dictionary: National Neonatal Data Set. Jan 26, 2021. https://datadictionary.nhs.uk/data_set/national_neonatal_data_set.html (accessed Nov 12, 2020).
13 Weble JWH, Duffy JMN, Alfonso E, et al. Core outcomes in neonatology: development of a core outcome set for neonatal research. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2020; 105: 425–31.
14 Battersby C, Longford N, Patel M, et al. Study protocol: optimising newborn nutrition during and after neonatal therapeutic hypothermia in the United Kingdom: observational study of routinely collected data using propensity matching. BMJ Open 2018; 8: e020739.
15 British Association of Perinatal Medicine. Position statement on therapeutic cooling for neonatal encephalopathy. July 2013. https://hubble-live-assets.s3.amazonaws.com/hpnm/attachment/file/82/Position_Statement_Therapeutic_Cooling_Neonatal_Encephalopathy_July_2013.pdf (accessed Nov 12, 2020).
16 Battersby C, Longford N, Mandalia S, Costeloe K, Modi N. Incidence and enteral feed antecedents of severe neonatal necrotising enterocolitis across neonatal networks in England, 2012–13: a whole-population surveillance study. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2017; 2: 43–51.
17 The Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health. National Neonatal Audit Programme 2018 annual report on 2017 data. https://www.rcpch.ac.uk/sites/default/files/2018-10/2018_nnap_report_on_2017_ data_final_v8.pdf (accessed Nov 12, 2020).
18 McCaffrey DF, Griffin BA, Almirall D, Slaughter ME, Ramchand R, Burgette LF. A tutorial on propensity score estimation for multiple treatments using generalized boosted models. Stat Med 2013; 32: 1388–414.
19 Morris JA, Gardner MJ. Calculating confidence intervals for relative risks (odds ratios) and standardised ratios and rates. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed) 1988; 296: 1313–16.

20 Rubin DB. Multiple imputation for nonresponse in surveys, 2nd edn. New York: Wiley, 2002.

21 Laura F, Mori A, Tataranno ML, et al. Therapeutic hypothermia in a late preterm infant. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2012; 25 (suppl 1): 125–27.

22 Al Tawil K, Sumaily H, Ahmed IA, et al. Risk factors, characteristics and outcomes of necrotizing enterocolitis in late preterm and term infants. J Neonatal Perinatal Med 2013; 6: 125–30.

23 Battersby C, Longford N, Costeloe K, Modi N, Group UKNCNES. Development of a gestational age-specific case definition for neonatal necrotizing enterocolitis JAMA Pediatr 2017; 171: 256–63.