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ABSTRACT

Objective: Nigeria is among many African countries that have engaged in agricultural liberalization since 1986 in the hope that reforms emphasizing price incentives will encourage producers to respond. Hitherto, the reforms seem to have introduced greater uncertainty into the market given increasing rates of price volatility. Methodology: This study therefore models supply responses in Nigerian Rice production that include the standard arguments as well as price risk. Statistical information on domestic and imported quantities of rice was obtained for 41 years (1970 to 2011) from the AGROSTAT system of the statistical division of the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), Federal Ministry of Agriculture statistical bulletins, Central Bank of Nigeria statistical bulletins and National Bureau of Statistic. (NBS). Results: The data are analyzed using descriptive, equilibrium output supply function and co-integration models and vector autoregressive distributed lag. Rice importation showed a negative sign and was statistically significant with changes in output also responsive to changes in price. Conclusion: The results indicate that producers are more responsive not only to price and non-price factor but to price risk and exchange rate. It is therefore imperative to reduce price risk as to increase the response of producer to supply by bridging the gap in production.

1. Introduction

Rice is the staple food in many countries of Africa and constitutes a major part of the diet in many other. During the past three decades the crop has seen a steady increase in demand and its growing importance is evident given its important place in the strategic food security planning policies of many countries (Saka et al, 2005).

The challenges faced by countries regards rice production however differs from one country to the other in term of population, the preference attached to the commodity in the list of household menu, natural endowment for expanded production and the productivity of the rice farms (Saka et al, 2005). Nigeria, though naturally endowed has not been able to produce enough rice for the domestic need of her teeming population and the gap between demand and domestic supply has further been widened by decades of growing importance of the commodity among households across the length and breadth of the country. This has culminated with enormous rice report in consequence of which Nigeria has emerged as a major importer of rice. High cost of production as a result of increase in price of input, low farm income, low efficiency of resource utilization and inadequate capital are some of the other reasons responsible for shortage in rice to augment local supply. There has been a steady decline in output of cereal between (1979 – 2007) (Akanni and Okeowo, 2011).

Agricultural policies play a key role in increasing farm production (Rahji et al, 2008). Supply response is fundamental to an understanding of this price mechanism (Nerlove and Bachman 1960). The development of this production that while effective in recent year, may be relatively difficult to be repeated.
in the future (Anonymous, 2008). This is because of economic crisis and financial difficulties which result in reduced subsidies for this activity with these conditions, some area of Agricultural policy experts interested in observing the response to supply and demand for input in rice farming. The farmer’s response to price changes for specific products aimed at many conditions, which include applying resource especially land and family labour, plant selection and techniques, opportunities outside labour, the price of the product and presence of income uncertainty as well as farmers attitude to risk. Further according to Darmawi (2005) also asserted that in any business activity, especially in agribusiness, the business is always face with situation of risk and uncertainty.

The farmer’s response to price changes is useful for policy formulation. If farmers respond positively to prices movement supply of rice will be affected by the increase in price. Effectiveness and cost of alternative pricing policies depends on the magnitude on the magnitude and significance of the estimated response. Knowledge of the impact of other variable on the response of production is important for policy makers important variables include, input prices, changes in technology, farm management, risk and financial constraint must be considered in studying the response of production for this study is more realistic and useful (Kerney and Hertel, 2008). The role of the response of agricultural production has gained much attention in empirical study today. If there is risk involved in the production process or input prices expected utility of profits. Depending on the agents risk preferences the marginal expectation of the input may not balance with the price factor (Rezitis, A. N et al., 2009). Risk is the effect of uncertainty on objective. Uncertainties include events (which may not happen) and uncertainties caused by a lack of information or ambiguity. This definition also includes both negative and positive impact on objectives, risk and uncertainty may result from one or combination of four factors which may be endogenous or exogenous (Anderson et al 1997). These factors include prices, production input, farm output and institutional factors all or some of the factor affect supply response but majorly price risk.

Risk can be either price risk or economic risk. A price risk is the risk that an investor in an equity that will eventually be worth less than what they paid for it. There are ways to manage price risk. But as long as there is some investment going on in unsecured products, there is no way to totally eliminate it. Therefore, the question is often how to mitigate market price risk and what to do when it starts to become a severe problem. Price risk management is meant to help lessen any potential impacts of devaluation. This may be done with a standing order to a stock broker, for example. Economic risks can be manifested as lower income or higher expenditure than expected. The causes can be many, for instance, the hike in the price for raw material, the lapsing of deadline for construction of a new operating facility, disruption in a production process emergence of a serious competitor on the market, the loss of key personnel, the change of political regime, or natural disaster was developed to eliminate or reduce economic risk.

Although many problems in its estimation, production response has a value of better consideration of policy makers in examining the basic program of farming in Nigeria to efficiency, the impact of distribution and production improvement. Key consideration in testing the response of production are the production decision made under ex-ante expectation and may manufacturers are repellent risk (risk aversion) of at least limited income. If there is risk involved in the production process or input prices and output the agent assumed to behave as if they maximize expected utility of profit depending on the agents risk preference, the marginal expectation of the input may not balance with the price factor. If an agent is repellent risk and production risk, the imbalance will depend on how risk into the production function and although the input will increase the risk or reduce the risk marginally. In view of the above stated problem of these researches, it is pertinent to ask the following fundamental research questions: What is the trend of rice production in Nigeria? What is the pattern of supply of rice in Nigeria? What are the determinants of the rice supply level in Nigeria? What is the responsiveness of rice supply to price risk in Nigeria? This study therefore provides answers to these and other relevant questions. The main objective of this study was to model the production and supply response in Nigeria rice production and how it is affected by price and price risk. Specifically the study attempted to analyze the trend of rice production in Nigeria; examine the pattern of supply of rice in Nigeria rice production; determine the factors responsible for the supply level of rice production in Nigeria and estimate the responsiveness of rice supply to changes in price risk in Nigeria rice production.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Methodology

The study was carried out in Nigeria, located in West Africa between latitudes 4° to 14° North and between longitude 2°21’ and 14°30’. It is bounded to the north by the Niger Republic and Chad: in the west by Benin republic, in the east by Cameroon Republic and the south by the Atlantic Ocean. Nigeria has a land area of about 923,769 km²; a North-south length of about 1450km and west – east breadth of about 800km. Its total land boundary is 4047 km while the coastline is 853km. This study was based on time series secondary data obtained from various sources spanning from 1970 -2011. Data are obtained from various AGROSTAT Bulletins which include various edition of National Bureau of Statistics review of external trade, National Bureau of Statistics summary and annual abstract of statistics, Central Bank of Nigeria’s economic and financial review and an online database maintained by Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO). The study employed analytical such as Descriptive Statistics, Supply function and Vector Auto Regression Model.

2.2 Supply Function Method

The aggregated output supply pattern function following Ghana and Ignescent (1984) will be used to analyses the pattern of supply in rice production which was specified as follows: \( Q_i = F (HA_i, Pt, Mt, RF_i, e_t) \).

\( Q_i \) = Output of rice in year \( t \); \( HA_i \) = Hectare in year \( t \); \( Pt \) = producer price per ton; \( Mt \) = quantity imported in year \( t \); \( RF_i \) = weather variable (rainfall) in millimeters; \( e_t \) = error term. Following the model output supply is determined by adopting a double logarithmic form as follows: \( \ln Q_i = b_0 + b_1 \ln HA_i + b_2 \ln M_t + b_3 \ln RF + U_i \), all variable in natural logarithm form.
2.3 Vector Auto regression Model

This model will also be used to estimate the responsiveness of rice supply to changes in price risk using this model variable will be fitted into model to co-integrate, \( A_t = \alpha_0 P_t + \alpha_1 V_t + \alpha_2 K_t + \alpha_3 R_t \). Where \( A = \) output of rice; \( P = \) price; \( V = \) change in price; \( K = \) change in output \( R = \) real exchange rate. This model will also be used to estimate the responsiveness of rice supply to changes in price risk using this model variable will be fitted into model to co-integrate. \( A_t = \alpha_0 P_t + \alpha_1 V_t + \alpha_2 K_t + \alpha_3 R_t \). Where \( A = \) output of rice; \( P = \) price; \( V = \) change in price; \( K = \) change in output \( R = \) real exchange rate.

3. Discussion and results

3.1 Descriptive Information

Rice having an all-time maximum output of 4, 910 and 415 tons and an all-time minimum output 297,862 tonnes with a mean 2670000 tons. Hectarage mean for rice, 1340000ha. Producer price for rice per tons having a mean value N20, 100. Average quantity of rice imported within the time frame being 622,000 tons. The average rainfall as it affects rice production taking the value 655.576mm showing a steady supply of rainfall to the production of rice in Nigeria.

3.2 Unit Root Tests

Against the background that test for constancy of economic series must precede their inclusion in regression model as to avoid estimating spurious regression, this study conducted the Augmented Dukey Fuller unit root tests on the levels and first difference of the economic series in the study (Holt, M. T et al., 1990). The result of the ADF unit root test is summarized in table 1. Natural logarithm was taken to linearize the variable for easy attainment of stationarity, ADF was used to test for stationary and non-stationary of the variable. On testing using ADF unit root test, some of the variables were stationary at level while virtually all was stationary at 1st difference.

| Variables | Level     | 1st Diff | AIC     | SIC     | Optimum Lag | Decision       |
|-----------|-----------|----------|---------|---------|-------------|----------------|
| Inoutput  | 0.2669    | 0.0093*  | -1.2252 | -0.7763 | 7           | 6              | Non-stationary |
|           | (0.1305)  | (0.4826) |         |         |             |                |
| Inprice   | 0.5603    | 0.0006*  | 0.2434  | 0.3687  | 0           | 0              | Non-stationary |
|           | (0.1076)  | (0.1769) |         |         |             |                |
| Inqimp    | 0.4152    | 0.0000*  | 1.9908  | 2.1597  | 1           | 0              | Non-stationary |
|           | (0.0817)  | (0.1642) |         |         |             |                |
| Inhct     | 0.6218    | 0.0000*  | -0.3831 | -0.2577 | 0           | 0              | Non-stationary |
|           | (0.1054)  | (0.1576) |         |         |             |                |
| Inrainfall| 0.7471    | 0.0000*  | 0.3059  | 0.4313  | 0           | 0              | Non-stationary |
|           | (0.0877)  | (0.1552) |         |         |             |                |

AIC = Akaike Info Criterion  SIC = Schwarz Info Criterion  (*) = std. Error * indicates significant level at 1%
Source: Data Analysis.

Output supply on rice production was forecast using trend analysis. On using Ordinary Least Square Regression, estimate a trend equation was estimated to forecast the output supply of rice, by using the appropriate estimate coefficient. Table 3 shows the result of the regression analysis estimate.

3.3 Test for Co-Integration

For any meaningful long run relationship to exist between non-stationary series. It is important that some linear combination of the series must be co-integrated; such that even though the individual non-stationary may drift apart in the short run (Shideed, K. H. et al., 1989). They follow a common trend which permits a stable long run relationship between them. Hence this study conducted a Johansen co-integration test for the linear combination of the series in the output supply response model for rice. The result is summarized in Table 2.

| Rank | Trace test | Critical value | p-value | Maximum Eigen value | Critical value | p-value |
|------|------------|----------------|---------|---------------------|---------------|---------|
| 0    | 0.6548     | 88.8038        | .0018   | 0.6548              | 38.3310       | .0155   |
| 1    | 0.5981     | 63.8761        | .0582   | 0.5981              | 32.1183       | .0138   |
| 2    | 0.2646     | 42.9153        | .7025   | 0.2646              | 25.8232       | .8540   |
| 3    | 0.2167     | 25.8721        | .6301   | 0.2167              | 19.3870       | .6436   |
| 4    | 0.1078     | 12.5180        | .6597   | 0.1078              | 12.5180       | .6597   |

Source: Data Analysis.
3.4 Co-integration test for rice

Table 2 shows results of Johansson co-integration Test of between rice output and its determinants, factors using both the trace test and the maximum Eigen value test. Both tests provide evidence of co-integration (Subervie, J., 2008). The result of the trace reveals, that the hypothesis of no co-integration (H0: r = 0) is rejected at p < 0.05 given that the calculated trace test statistic (154.10) is higher than the critical value (66.015) at p < 0.05. Similar result was obtained for r ≤ 1 and r ≤ 5. Thus, trace test and maximum Eigen value test reveal that the series in rice output supply response model are co-integrated with more than 1 co-integrating equation existing between them.

Co-integration of variables, those not mean effect, it is necessary to further estimate the effect of those determinant on the output supply response by using vector auto regression model.

| Variables | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-ratio | p-value |
|-----------|-------------|------------|---------|---------|
| const     | 0.0230375   | 0.0214431  | 1.0744  | 0.29001 |
| d_inprice | 0.187046    | 0.0769948  | 2.4293  | 0.02040**|
| d_inhectarage | 0.149148 | 0.0319554  | 4.6674  | 0.00004* |
| d_inrainfall | -0.054742 | 0.0701412  | -0.7805 | 0.44037 |
| ECM (-1)  | -1.11239    | 0.166531   | -6.6798 | <0.00001* |

*, ** indicates 1% and 5% significant levels respectively. AIC = -51.15111, SIC = -40.86968 D-W = 2.085087 Adjusted R-squared = 0.679917

3.5 Vector auto regression for Rice

Vector auto regression which is an important model estimating time series data due to its flexibility in responding to direction, we say vector auto regression is bidirectional in response.

From Table 4, the result shows that the independent variable has significant effect on the output supply response of rice given that the P< 0.05. On analyzing the data using vector auto regression, the supply output response of rice form an equation with the producer price, hectarage, quantity imported and rain and show a positive response to the supply response output in each case as shown in the table.

Table 4. Result from Vector Autoregressive Model

| VARIABLES   | COEFFICIENTS | F-RATIO  | P-VALUE |
|-------------|--------------|----------|---------|
| INPRODPRICE | 0.633357     | 8.569545 | 0.0000  |
| INHECTARAGE | -1.51350     | 30.50054 | 0.0000  |
| INQTYIMP    | -0.0214239   | 105.7948 | 0.0000  |
| INRAINFALL  | 0.551404     | 24.84371 | 0.0000  |

Source: Data analysis
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Figure 5. Graphical Representation of Price Risk
Graphically the challenges in figure 6. From the graph supply response has shown a positive response to changes in price within some year.
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In the figure shown the output supply response has shown a positive response to output changes in the supply response of rice from the graph the output change is very obvious and this has shown a positive responsiveness of output supply response to output risk.

### 3.6 Vector Auto regression on Risk

The result from vector auto regression model shown in Table 5 shows the responsiveness of output supply of rice to price risk the result shows a negative coefficient of price risk which is statistically significant at 95% confidence interval (0.05). The negative coefficient of price risk is however not contrary to theoretical expectation. The result suggested that rice output supply is responding to price risk. The price risk and supply output risk, therefore price, price risk and output risk should be meaningfully reduced for rice production to be increase in Nigeria.

| Variables    | Coefficients | F-ratio | P-value |
|--------------|--------------|---------|---------|
| Price        | -2.75361e+08 | 3.775102| 0.002633|
| Changes in price | -2.75361e+08 | 5.366907| 0.000204|
| Changes in out | -0.683896 | 2.566669| 0.024087|
| RER          | 0.988298     | 33.29785| 0.000000|

Source: Data analysis

### 4. Conclusion

The study revealed that supply response has the highest output supply during the era of policy implementation such as band of importation of rice and this has contributed immensely to the supply response of rice output in Nigeria.

Other factors that affect supply response of rice output in Nigeria include the producer price which has a negative effect on the output supply of rice. The higher the producer price the lower the output supply. Hectarage cultivated has also been significant can be deduced from the findings that the higher the hectarage cultivated, the higher the output supply of rice production in Nigeria. There is need to reduce the quantity imported into the country as to ensure adequate supply output in Nigeria. The output supply of rice in Nigeria will increase if he hectarage cultivated will be improved as to allow greater production of rice in Nigeria.

From the results of the empirical analysis, the producers are responsive to only not price but also to price risk. Price risk needs to be adequately reduced if meaningful improvement in production of rice is to be gained. It is recommended that reasonable policies should be implemented as to ensure that importation that will reduce output supply should be curtailed. Also, it is therefore imperative ad necessary to ensure that all gaps in the production and price should be reduced to reduce price risk as to increase the response of producer to supply.
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