Einstein equation at singularities
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Abstract: Einstein’s equation is rewritten in an equivalent form, which remains valid at the singularities in some major cases. These cases include the Schwarzschild singularity, the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker Big Bang singularity, isotropic singularities, and a class of warped product singularities. This equation is constructed in terms of the Ricci part of the Riemann curvature (as the Kulkarni-Nomizu product between Einstein’s equation and the metric tensor).
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Introduction

The singularities in General Relativity can be avoided only if the stress-energy tensor in the right hand side of Einstein’s equation satisfies some particular conditions. One way to avoid them was proposed by the authors of [1], who have shown that the singularities can be removed by constructing the stress-energy tensor with non-linear electrodynamics. On the other hand, Einstein’s equation leads to singularities in general conditions [2–7], and there the time evolution breaks down. Is this a problem of the theory itself or of the way it is formulated?

This paper proposes a version of Einstein’s equation which is equivalent to the standard version at the points of spacetime where the metric is non-singular. But unlike Einstein’s equation, in many cases it can be extended at and beyond the singular points.

Let \((M, g)\) be a Riemannian or a semi-Riemannian manifold of dimension \(n\). It is useful to recall the definition of the Kulkarni-Nomizu product of two symmetric bilinear forms \(h\) and \(k\),

\[
(h \circ k)_{abcd} := h_{ac}k_{bd} - h_{ad}k_{bc} + h_{bd}k_{ac} - h_{bc}k_{ad}. \tag{1}
\]

The Riemann curvature tensor can be decomposed algebraically as

\[
R_{abcd} = S_{abcd} + E_{abcd} + C_{abcd}, \tag{2}
\]

where

\[
S_{abcd} = \frac{1}{2n(n-1)} R(g \circ g)_{abcd} \tag{3}
\]

is the scalar part of the Riemann curvature and

\[
E_{abcd} = \frac{1}{n-2} (S \circ g)_{abcd} \tag{4}
\]

\[\cdot\]
is the *semi-traceless part* of the Riemann curvature. Here

\[ S_{ab} := R_{ab} - \frac{1}{n} R g_{ab} \]  

(5)
is the traceless part of the Ricci curvature.
The *Weyl curvature tensor* is defined as the *traceless part* of the Riemann curvature

\[ C_{abcd} = R_{abcd} - S_{abcd} - E_{abcd}. \]  

(6)
The Einstein equation is

\[ G_{ab} + \Lambda g_{ab} = \kappa T_{ab}, \]  

(7)
where \( T_{ab} \) is the stress-energy tensor of the matter, the constant \( \kappa \) is defined as \( \kappa := \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \), where \( G \) and \( c \) are the gravitational constant and the speed of light, and \( \Lambda \) is the *cosmological constant*. The term

\[ G_{ab} := R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{ab} \]  

(8)
is the Einstein tensor, constructed from the *Ricci curvature* \( R_{ab} := g^{cd} R_{abcd} \) and the *scalar curvature* \( R := g^{cd} R_{cd} \).

As it is understood, the Einstein equation establishes the connection between curvature and stress-energy. The curvature contributes to the equation in the form of the Ricci tensor \( R_{ab} \) and the scalar curvature. In the proposed equation, the curvature contributes in the form of the semi-traceless and scalar parts of the Riemann tensor, \( E_{abcd} \) (4) and \( S_{abcd} \) (3), which are tensors of the same order and have the same symmetries as \( R_{abcd} \).

The Ricci tensor \( R_{ab} \) is obtained by contracting the tensor \( E_{abcd} + S_{abcd} \), and has the same information (if the metric is non-degenerate). One can move from the fourth-order tensors \( E_{abcd} + S_{abcd} \) to \( R_{ab} \) by contraction, and one can move back to them by taking the Kulkarni-Nomizu product (1), but they are equivalent. Yet, if the metric \( g_{ab} \) is degenerate, then \( g^{ab} \) and the contraction \( R_{ab} = g^{cd}(E_{abcd} + S_{abcd}) \) become divergent, even if \( g_{ab}, E_{abcd}, \) and \( S_{abcd} \) are smooth. This suggests the possibility that \( E_{abcd} + S_{abcd} \) are more fundamental than the Ricci and scalar curvatures.

This suggestion is in agreement with the following observation. In the case of *electrovac* solutions, where \( F_{ab} \) is the electromagnetic tensor,

\[ T_{ab} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( \frac{1}{4} g_{ab} F_{st} F^{st} - F_{as} F_{b}^{s} \right) \]

\[ = -\frac{1}{8\pi} (F_{ac} F_{b}^{c} + F_{as}^{*} F_{b}^{s}), \]  

(9)
where * is the Hodge duality operation. It can be obtained by contracting the semi-traceless part of the Riemann tensor

\[ E_{abcd} = -\frac{\kappa}{8\pi} (F_{ab} F_{cd} + F_{ab}^{*} F_{cd}). \]  

(10)
Therefore it is natural to at least consider an equation in terms of these fourth-order tensors, rather than the Ricci and scalar curvatures.

The main advantage of this method is that there are singularities in which the new formulation of the Einstein equation is not singular (although the original Einstein equation exhibits singularities, obtained when contracting with the singular tensor \( g^{ab} \)). The expanded Einstein equation is written in terms of the smooth geometric objects \( E_{abcd} \) and \( S_{abcd} \). Because of this the solutions can be extended at singularities where the original Einstein equation diverges. This doesn’t mean that the singularities are removed; for example the Kretschmann scalar \( R_{abcd} R^{abcd} \) is still divergent at some of these singularities. But this is not a problem, since the Kretschmann scalar is not part of the evolution equation. It is normally used as an indicator that there is a singularity, for example to prove that the Schwarzschild singularity at \( r = 0 \) cannot be removed by coordinate changes, as the event horizon singularity can. While a singularity of the Kretschmann scalar indicates the presence of a singularity of the curvature, it doesn’t have implications on whether the singularity can be resolved or not. In the proposed equation we use \( R_{abcd} \) which is smooth at the studied singularities, and we don’t use \( R_{abcd}^{\ast} \) which is singular and causes the singularity of the Kretschmann scalar.

A second reason to consider the expanded version of the Einstein equation and the quasi-regular singularities at which it is smooth is that at these singularities the Weyl curvature tensor vanishes. The implications of this feature will be explored in [8].

It will be seen that there are some important examples of singularities which turn out to be quasi-regular. While singularities still exist, our approach provides a description in terms of smooth geometric objects which remain finite at singularities. By this we hope to improve our understanding of singularities and to distinguish those to which our resolution applies.

The *expanded Einstein equations* and the quasi-regular spacetimes on which they hold are introduced in section 1. They are obtained by taking the Kulkarni-Nomizu product between Einstein’s equation and the metric tensor. In a quasi-regular spacetime the metric tensor becomes degenerate at singularities in a way which cancels them and makes the equations smooth.

The situations when the new version of Einstein’s equation extends at singularities include isotropic singularities (section 2.1) and a class of warped product singularities.
(section 2.2). It also contains the Schwarzschild singularity (section 2.4) and the FLRW Big Bang singularity (section 2.3).

1. Expanded Einstein equation and quasi-regular spacetimes

1.1. The expanded Einstein equation

An equation which is equivalent to Einstein’s equation whenever the metric tensor \( g_{ab} \) is non-degenerate, but is valid also in a class of situations when \( g_{ab} \) becomes degenerate and Einstein’s tensor is not defined will be discussed in this section. Later it will be shown that the proposed version of Einstein’s equation remains smooth in various important situations such as the FLRW Big-Bang singularity, isotropic singularities, and at the singularity of the Schwarzschild black hole.

We introduce the expanded Einstein equation

\[
(G \circ g)_{abcd} + \Lambda(g \circ g)_{abcd} = \kappa(T \circ g)_{abcd}. \tag{11}
\]

If the metric is non-degenerate then the Einstein equation and its expanded version are equivalent. This can be seen by contracting the expanded Einstein equation, for instance in the indices \( b \) and \( d \). From (1) the contraction in \( b \) and \( d \) of a Kulkarni-Nomizu product \((h \circ g)_{abcd}\) is

\[
\hat{h}_{ac} := (h \circ g)_{aexc} = h_{ac}g^{es} - h_{ae}g^{sc} + h_{ec}g_{ac} - h_{ec}g_{sa} = 2h_{ac} + \hat{h}_{ac}g_{ac}. \tag{12}
\]

From \( \hat{h}_{ac} \) the original tensor \( h_{ac} \) can be obtained again by

\[
h_{ac} = \frac{1}{2} \hat{h}_{ac} - \frac{1}{12} \hat{h}_{ac}g_{ac}. \tag{13}
\]

By this procedure the terms \( G_{ab}, T_{ab}, \) and \( \Lambda g_{ab} \) can be recovered from the equation (11), thus obtaining the Einstein equation (7). Hence, the Einstein equation and its expanded version are equivalent for a non-degenerate metric.

If the metric becomes degenerate its inverse becomes singular, and in general the Riemann, Ricci, and scalar curvatures, and consequently the Einstein tensor \( G_{ab} \), diverge. For certain cases the metric term from the Kulkarni-Nomizu product \( G \circ g \) tends to 0 fast enough to cancel the divergence of the Einstein tensor. The quasi-regular singularities satisfy the condition that the divergence of \( G \) is compensated by the degeneracy of the metric, so that \( G \circ g \) is smooth.

This cancellation allows us to weaken the condition that the metric tensor is non-degenerate, to some cases when it can be degenerate. It will be seen that these cases include some important singularities.

1.2. A more explicit form of the expanded Einstein equation

To give a more explicit form of the expanded Einstein equation, the Ricci decomposition of the Riemann curvature tensor is used (see e.g. [9–11]).

By using the equations (8) and (5) in dimension \( n = 4 \), the Einstein tensor in terms of the traceless part of the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature can be written:

\[
G_{ab} = S_{ab} - \frac{1}{4} Rg_{ab}. \tag{14}
\]

This equation can be used to calculate the expanded Einstein tensor:

\[
G_{abcd} := (G \circ g)_{abcd} = (S \circ g)_{abcd} - \frac{1}{4} R(g \circ g)_{abcd} \tag{15}
\]

\[
= 2E_{abcd} - 6S_{abcd}. \tag{16}
\]

The expanded Einstein equation now takes the form

\[
2E_{abcd} - 6S_{abcd} + \Lambda(g \circ g)_{abcd} = \kappa(T \circ g)_{abcd}. \tag{16}
\]

1.3. Quasi-regular spacetimes

We are interested in singular spacetimes on which the expanded Einstein equation (11) can be written and is smooth. From (16) it can be seen that this requires the smoothness of the tensors \( E_{abcd} \) and \( S_{abcd} \).

In addition we are interested to have the nice properties of the semi-regular spacetimes. As showed in [12], the semi-regular manifolds are a class of singular semi-Riemannian manifolds which are nice for several reasons, one of them being that the Riemann tensor \( R_{abcd} \) is smooth.

First, a contraction between covariant indices is needed. This is in general prohibited by the fact that when the metric tensor \( g_{ab} \) becomes degenerate it doesn’t admit a reciprocal \( g^{ab} \). Although the metric \( g_{ab} \) can’t induce an invariant inner product on the cotangent space \( T^*_p M \), it induces one on its subspace \( b(T^*_p M) \), where \( b : T^*_p M \rightarrow T^*_p M \) is the vector space morphism defined by \( X^p(Y) := (X, Y) \), for any \( X, Y \in T^*_p M \). Equivalently, \( b(T^*_p M) \) is the space of 1-forms \( \omega \) on \( T_p M \) so that \( \omega |_{T_p M} = 0 \). The morphism \( b \) is isomorphism if and only if \( g \) is non-degenerate; in this case its inverse is denoted by \( \hat{b} \). The inner product on \( b(T^*_p M) \) is then defined by \( g_b(X^p, Y^q) := (X, Y) \) for any \( X, Y \in T^*_p M \).
and it is invariant. This allows us to define a contraction between covariant slots of a tensor \( T \), which vanishes when vectors from \( \text{ker} b \) are plugged in those slots. This will turn out to be enough for our needs. We denote the contractions between covariant indices of a tensor \( T \) by \( T(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_r, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s) \).

A degenerate metric also prohibits in general the construction of a Levi-Civita connection. For vector fields we use instead of \( \nabla_X \), the Koszul form, defined as:

\[
\mathcal{K} : \mathcal{X}(M)^3 \to \mathbb{R},
\]

\[
\mathcal{K}(X, Y, Z) := \frac{1}{2} \{\langle X, [Y, Z] \rangle + \langle Y, [Z, X] \rangle + \langle Z, [X, Y] \rangle\}
\]

which defines the Levi-Civita connection by \( \nabla_X Y = \mathcal{K}(X, Y, \cdot) \) for a non-degenerate metric, but not when the metric becomes degenerate. We define now semi-regular manifolds, on which we can define covariant derivatives for a large class of differential forms and tensors. We can also define a generalization of the Riemann curvature \( R_{abcd} \), which turns out to be smooth and non-singular.

**Definition 1.**

A singular semi-Riemannian manifold satisfying the condition that \( \mathcal{K}(X, Y, \cdot) \in \mathcal{b}(T_p M) \), and that the contraction \( \mathcal{K}(X, Y, \cdot)\mathcal{K}(Z, T, \cdot) \) is smooth for any local vector fields \( X, Y, Z, T \), is named semi-regular manifold, and its metric is called semi-regular metric. A 4-dimensional semi-regular manifold with metric having the signature at each point \((r, s, t), s \leq 3, t \leq 1 \), but which is non-degenerate on a dense subset, is called semi-regular spacetime \[12\].

In \[12\] we defined the Riemann curvature \( R_{abcd} \) for semi-regular metrics, even for non-degenerate metrics, in a way which avoids the undefined \( \nabla_X Y \), but relies on the defined and smooth \( \mathcal{K}(X, Y, Z) \), by

\[
R_{abcd} = \partial_a \Gamma_{bcd} − \partial_b \Gamma_{acd} + \Gamma_{ac} \Gamma_{bd} − \Gamma_{bc} \Gamma_{ad}.
\]

where \( \Gamma_{abc} = \mathcal{K}(\partial_a, \partial_b, \partial_c) \) are the Christoffel’s symbols of the first kind. From Definition 1, \( R_{abcd} \) is smooth. More details on the semi-regular manifolds can be found in \[12–14\].

In a semi-regular spacetime, since \( R_{abcd} \) is smooth, the densitized Einstein tensor \( G_{ab} \) \( \det g \) is smooth \[12\], and a densitized version of the Einstein equation can be written, which is equivalent to the usual version when the metric is non-degenerate:

\[
G_{ab} \sqrt{-g}^W + \Lambda g_{ab} \sqrt{-g}^W = \kappa T_{ab} \sqrt{-g}^W, \tag{19}
\]

where it is enough to take the weight \( W \leq 2 \). Although the semi-regular approach is more general, here is explored the quasi-regular one, which is more strict. Consequently, these results are stronger.

**Definition 2.**

We say that a semi-regular manifold \( (M, g_{ab}) \) is quasi-regular, and that \( g_{ab} \) is a quasi-regular metric, if:

1. \( g_{ab} \) is non-degenerate on a subset dense in \( M \)

2. the tensors \( S_{abcd} \) and \( E_{abcd} \) defined at the points where the metric is non-degenerate extend smoothly to the entire manifold \( M \).

If the quasi-regular manifold \( M \) is a semi-regular spacetime, we call it quasi-regular spacetime. Singularities of quasi-regular manifolds are called quasi-regular.

It can be seen that on an quasi-regular spacetime the expanded Einstein tensor can be extended at the points where the metric is degenerate, and the extension is smooth. This is in fact the motivation of Definition 2.

## 2. Examples of quasi-regular spacetimes

The quasi-regular spacetimes are more general than the regular ones (those with non-degenerate metric), containing them as a particular case. The question is, are they general enough to cover the singularities which plagued General Relativity? In the following it will be seen that at least for some relevant cases the answer is positive. It will be seen that the class of quasi-regular singularities contain isotropic singularities \[21\], singularities obtained as warped products \[22\] (including the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker spacetime \[23\]), and even the Schwarzschild singularity \[24\]. The existence of these examples which are extensively researched justifies the study of the more general quasi-regular singularities and of the extended Einstein equations.

### 2.1. Isotropic singularities

Isotropic singularities occur in conformal rescalings of non-degenerate metrics, when the scaling function cancels. They were extensively studied by Tod \[15–20\].
Claudel & Newman [21], Anguige & Tod [22, 23], in connection with cosmological models. The following theorem shows that the isotropic singularities are quasi-regular.

**Theorem 3 (Isotropic singularities).**
Let \((M, g_{ab})\) be a regular spacetime (we assume therefore that the metric \(g_{ab}\) is non-degenerate). Then, if \(\Omega : M \to \mathbb{R}\) is a smooth function which is non-zero on a dense subset of \(M\), the spacetime \((M, \tilde{g}_{ab} := \Omega^2 g_{ab})\) is quasi-regular.

**Proof.** From [12] is known that \((M, \tilde{g}_{ab})\) is semi-regular. The Ricci and the scalar curvatures take the following forms ([7], p. 42.):

\[
\tilde{R}^a_b = \Omega^{-2} R^a_b + 2\Omega^{-3}(\Omega^1)_{,ab} g^{ae} - \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{-3}(\Omega^2)_{,capt} g^{e} \delta_a^b \\
\tilde{R} = \Omega^{-2} R - 6\Omega^{-3}\Omega_{,capt} g^{e} 
\]

(20)

(21)

where the covariant derivatives correspond to the metric \(g\). From equation (20) follows that

\[
\tilde{R}_{ab} = \Omega^2 g_{ab}, \tilde{R}_{b} = R_{ab} + 2\Omega(\Omega^{-1})_{,ab} - \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{-2}(\Omega^2)_{,capt} g^{e} g_{ab},
\]

(22)

which tends to infinity when \(\Omega \to 0\). But we are interested to prove the smoothness of the Kulkarni-Nomizu product \(\tilde{\text{Ric}} \circ \tilde{g}\). We notice that the term \(\tilde{g}\) contributes with a factor \(\Omega^2\), and it is enough to prove the smoothness of

\[
\Omega^2 \tilde{R}_{ab} = \Omega^2 R_{ab} + 2\Omega^3(\Omega^1)_{,ab} - \frac{1}{2} (\Omega^2)_{,capt} g^{e} g_{ab},
\]

(23)

which follows from

\[
\Omega^1(\Omega^{-1})_{,ab} = \Omega^1 \{(\Omega^{-1})_{,a}\}_b = \Omega^1 \{ -\Omega^{-2} \Omega_{,b}\}_a = 2\Omega^3 \{2\Omega^{-3} \Omega_{,b} \Omega_{,a} - \Omega^{-2} \Omega_{,ab}\}
\]

\[
= 2\Omega^3 \{2\Omega_{,b} \Omega_{,a} - \Omega_{,ab}\}
\]

(24)

Hence, the tensor \(\tilde{\text{Ric}} \circ \tilde{g}\) is smooth. The fact that \(\tilde{R} \circ \tilde{g}\) is smooth follows from the observation that \(\tilde{g} \circ \tilde{g}\) contributes with \(\Omega^4\), and the least power in which \(\Omega\) appears in the expression (21) of \(\tilde{R}\) is \(-3\). From the above follows that \(\tilde{E}_{abcd}\) and \(\tilde{S}_{abcd}\) are smooth. Hence the spacetime \((M, \tilde{g}_{ab})\) is quasi-regular. \(\Box\)

### 2.2. Quasi-regular warped products

Another example useful in cosmology is the following, which is a generalization of the warped products. Warped products are extensively researched, since they allow the construction of semi-Riemannian spacetimes, having applications to GR. But when the warping function becomes 0, singularities occur (see e.g. [24] 204). Fortunately, in the cases of interest for General Relativity, these singularities are quasi-regular. We will allow the warped function \(f\) to become 0 (generalizing the standard definition [24], where it is not allowed to vanish because it leads to degenerate metrics), and prove that what the resulting singularities are quasi-regular.

**Definition 4.**
Let \((B, ds_B^2)\) and \((F, ds_F^2)\) be two semi-Riemannian manifolds, and \(f : B \to \mathbb{R}\) a smooth function on \(B\). The degenerate warped product of \(B\) and \(F\) with warping function \(f\) is the manifold \(B \times_f F := (B \times F, ds_{B \times F}^2)\), with the metric

\[
\frac{ds_B^2}{2} + f^2 ds_F^2
\]

(25)

**Theorem 5 (Quasi-regular warped product).**
A degenerate warped product \(B \times_f F\) with \(\dim B = 1\) is quasi-regular.

**Proof.** From [13], \(B \times_f F\) is semi-regular. Let’s denote by \(g_B, g_F\) and \(g\) the metrics on \(B, F\) and \(B \times_f F\). It is known ([24], p. 211) that for horizontal vector fields \(X, Y \in \mathfrak{L}(B \times F, B)\) and vertical vector fields \(V, W \in \mathfrak{L}(B \times F, F)\),

1. \(\text{Ric}(X, Y) = \text{Ric}_B(X, Y) + \frac{\dim F}{f} H'(X, Y)\)

2. \(\text{Ric}(X, V) = 0\)

3. \(\text{Ric}(V, W) = \text{Ric}_F(V, W) + (f \Delta f + (\dim F - 1)g_B(\text{grad} f, \text{grad} f)) g_F(V, W)\)

where \(\Delta f\) is the Laplacian, \(H'\) the Hessian, and \(\text{grad} f\) the gradient. It follows that \(\text{Ric}(X, V)\) and \(\text{Ric}(V, W)\) are smooth, but \(\text{Ric}(X, Y)\) in general is not, because of the term containing \(f^{-1}\). But since \(\dim B = 1\), the only terms in the Kulkarni-Nomizu product \(\text{Ric} \circ g\) containing \(\text{Ric}(X, Y)\) are of the form

\[
\text{Ric}(X, Y)g(V, W) = f^2 \text{Ric}(X, Y)g_F(V, W).
\]

Hence, \(\text{Ric} \circ g\) is smooth.
From the expression of the scalar curvature
\[
R = R_0 + R - 2\dim F \frac{\Delta f}{f^2} + \dim F (\dim F - 1) \frac{g_a(\text{grad } f, \text{grad } f)}{f^2} \tag{26}
\]
can be concluded that \(S_{abcd}\) is smooth too, because \(g \circ g\) contains at least one factor of \(f^2\). Hence, \(B \times_i F\) is quasi-regular.

The following example important in cosmology is a direct application of this result.

**Proposition 6 (Semi-regular manifold which is not quasi-regular).**

Let \(B = \mathbb{R}^k, k > 1\), be an Euclidean space, with the canonical metric \(g_B\), and \(f : B \to \mathbb{R}\) a linear function \(f \neq 0\). Let \(F = \mathbb{R}^l, l > 1\), with the canonical metric \(g_F\). Then the degenerate warped product \(B \times_i F\) is semi-regular, but it isn’t quasi-regular.

**Proof.** Because \(f\) is linear but not constant, \(\Delta f = 0\) is constant, and \(\Delta f = 0\). The scalar curvature \(26\) becomes
\[
R = R_0 + R - 2\dim F \frac{\Delta f}{f^2} + \dim F (\dim F - 1) \frac{g_a(\text{grad } f, \text{grad } f)}{f^2},
\]
which is singular at \(0\). Because \(k > 1\), \(g_B \circ g_B\) doesn’t vanish, hence it doesn’t cancel the denominator \(f^2\) of \(Rg_B \circ g_B\). Also, the term \(Rg_B \circ g_B\) is not canceled by other terms composing \(S_{abcd}\), because they are all smooth, containing at least one \(g_F\). Hence, \(S_{abcd}\) is singular, and the degenerate warped product \(B \times_i F\) isn’t quasi-regular. On the other hand, according to [13], because \(B\) and \(F\) are non-degenerate, \(B \times_i F\) is semi-regular.

### 2.3. The Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker spacetime

The Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) spacetime is defined as the warped product \(l \times_0 \Sigma\), where

1. \(l \subseteq \mathbb{R}\) is an interval representing the time, which is viewed as a semi-Riemannian space with the negative definite metric \(-c^2dt^2\).

2. \((\Sigma, d\Sigma^2)\) is a three-dimensional Riemannian space, usually one of the homogeneous spaces \(S^3, \mathbb{R}^3\), and \(H^3\) (to model the homogeneity and isotropy conditions at large scale). Then the metric on \(\Sigma\) is, in spherical coordinates \((r, \theta, \phi)\),
\[
d\Sigma^2 = \frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2} + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2), \tag{27}
\]

where \(k = 1, 0, -1\), for the 3-sphere \(S^3\), the Euclidean space \(\mathbb{R}^3\), or hyperbolic space \(H^3\) respectively.

3. \(a : l \to \mathbb{R}\) is a function of time.

The FLRW metric is
\[
ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + a^2(t) d\Sigma^2. \tag{28}
\]

At any moment of time \(t \in l\) the space is \(\Sigma, a^2(t)g_{\Sigma}\). For a FLRW universe filled with a fluid with mass density \(\rho(t)\) and pressure density \(p(t)\), the stress-energy tensor is defined as
\[
T^{ab} = \left( \rho + \frac{p}{c^2} \right) u^a u^b + \rho g^{ab}, \tag{29}
\]
where \(g(u, u) = -c^2\).

From Einstein’s equation with the stress-energy tensor \(29\) follow the Friedmann equation
\[
\rho = \kappa^{-1} \left( \frac{3 \dot{a}^2 + k c^2 \dot{a}^2 - \Lambda}{3} \right), \tag{30}
\]
which gives the mass density \(\rho(t)\) in terms of \(a(t)\), and the acceleration equation
\[
\frac{p}{c^2} = \frac{2}{3k} \left( \frac{\Lambda}{3} - 1 \frac{\dot{a}}{c^2} \right) - \frac{\rho}{3}, \tag{31}
\]
giving the pressure density \(p(t)\).

A question that may arise is what happens with the densities \(\rho\) and \(p\). Equations \(30\) and \(31\) show that \(\rho\) and \(p\) may diverge in most cases for \(a \to 0\). As explained in \(31\), \(\rho\) and \(p\) are calculated considering orthonormal frames. If the frame is not necessarily orthonormal (because there is no orthonormal frame at the point where the metric is degenerate), then the volume element is not necessarily equal to \(1\), and it has to be included in the equations. The scalars \(\rho\) and \(p\) are replaced by the differential 4-forms which have the components \(\rho \sqrt{-g}\) and \(p \sqrt{-g}\). It can be seen by calculation that these forms are smooth. If the metric on the manifold \(\Sigma\) is denoted by \(g_{\Sigma}\), then the Friedmann equation \(30\) becomes
\[
\rho \sqrt{-g} = \frac{3}{k} a \left( \dot{a}^2 + k \right) \sqrt{g_{\Sigma}}, \tag{32}
\]
and the acceleration equation \(31\) becomes
\[
\rho \sqrt{-g} + 3p \sqrt{-g} = \frac{6}{k} a^2 \dot{a} \sqrt{g_{\Sigma}}. \tag{33}
\]
hence $p\sqrt{-g}$ and $q\sqrt{-g}$ are smooth. As $a \to 0$, the metric becomes degenerate, $\rho$ and $\rho$ diverge, and therefore the stress-energy tensor (29) diverges too. Because of this, the Ricci tensor also diverges. But, from Theorem 5, $R_{abcd}$, $E_{abcd}$, and $S_{abcd}$ are smooth. What can be said about the expanded stress-energy tensor $(T \circ g)_{abcd}$? The following corollary shows that the metric is quasi-regular, hence the expanded stress-energy tensor is smooth.

**Corollary 7.**
The FLRW spacetime with smooth $a : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is quasi-regular.

**Proof.** Since the FLRW spacetime is a warped product between a 1-dimensional and a 3-dimensional manifold with warping function $a$, this is a direct consequence of Theorem 5.

**Remark 8.**
Corollary 7 applies not only to a FLRW universe filled with a fluid, but to more general ones. For this particular case a direct proof was given in [25], showing explicitly how the expected infinities of the physical fields cancel out.

While the expanded Einstein equation for the FLRW spacetime with smooth $a$ is written in terms of smooth objects like $E_{abcd}$, $S_{abcd}$, and $(T \circ g)_{abcd}$, a question arises, as to why use these objects, instead of $R_{abcd}$, $S$, and $T_{ab}$? It is true that the expanded objects remain smooth, while the standard ones don’t, but is there other, more fundamental reason? It can be said that $E_{abcd}$ and $S_{abcd}$ are more fundamental, since $R_{abcd}$ and $R$ are obtained from them by contractions. But for $T_{abcd}$, unfortunately, at this time we don’t understand it. The stress-energy tensor $T_{ab}$ can be obtained from a Lagrangian, but we don’t know yet a way to obtain directly $T_{abcd}$ from a Lagrangian. One hint that, at least for some fields, $T_{abcd}$ seems more fundamental is that, for electrovac solutions, it is given by $T_{abcd} = -\frac{1}{8\pi} F_{ab}F_{cd} + *F_{ab}^c F_{cd}$ (10), while $T_{ab}$ by contracting it (9). Similar form has the stress-energy tensor for Yang–Mills fields.

Another question that may appear is what is obtained, given that the solution can be extended beyond the moment when $a(t) = 0$? Say that $a(0) = 0$. The extended solution will describe two universes, both originating from the same Big-Bang at the same moment $t = 0$, one of them expanding toward the direction in which $t$ increases and the other one toward the direction in which $t$ decreases. The parameter $t$ is just a coordinate, and the physical laws are symmetric with respect to time reversal in General Relativity (if one wants to consider quantum fields, the combined symmetry CPT should be considered instead of $T$ alone).

### 2.4. Schwarzschild black hole

The Schwarzschild solution describing a black hole of mass $m$ is given in the Schwarzschild coordinates by the metric tensor:

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1}dr^2 + r^2d\sigma^2,$$

where

$$d\sigma^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2$$

is the metric of the unit sphere $S^2$. The units were chosen so that $c = 1$ and $G = 1$ (see e.g. [7]149).

Apparently the metric is singular at $r = 2m$, on the event horizon. As it is known from the work of Eddington [26] and Finkelstein [27] appropriate coordinate changes make the metric non-degenerate on the event horizon, showing that the singularity is apparent, being due to the coordinates. The coordinate change is singular, but it can be said that the proper coordinates around the event horizon are those of Eddington and Finkelstein, and the Schwarzschild coordinates are the singular coordinates.

Can we apply a similar method for the singularity at $r = 0$? It can be checked that the Kretschmann scalar $R_{abcd}R^{abcd}$ is singular at $r = 0$, and since scalars are invariant at any coordinate changes (including the singular ones), it is usually correctly concluded that the singularity at $r = 0$ cannot be removed. Although it cannot be removed, it can be improved by finding coordinates making the metric analytic at $r = 0$. As shown in [28] the singularity $r = 0$ in the Schwarzschild metric (34) has two origins — it is a combination of degenerate metric and singular coordinates. Firstly, the Schwarzschild coordinates are singular at $r = 0$, but they can be desingularized by applying the coordinate transformations from equation (37) which necessarily have the Jacobian equal to zero at $r = 0$. It is not possible to desingularize a coordinate system, by using transformations that have non-vanishing Jacobian at the singularity, because such transformations preserve the regularity of the metric. Secondly, after the transformation the singularity is not completely removed, because the metric remains degenerate. However, the metric remains semi-regular, as shown in [28]. Here will be shown that it is also quasi-regular.

In [28] we showed that the Schwarzschild solution can be made analytic at the singularity by a coordinate transf-
The Schwarzschild spacetime is semi-regular. Since it is also Ricci flat, i.e. $\text{Proof.}$ We know from [28] that the Schwarzschild case is equal to

$$\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
  r = \tau^S \\
  t = \xi \tau^T
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

(36)

As it turns out,

$$\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
  r = \tau^2 \\
  t = \xi \tau^4
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

(37)

is the only choice which makes analytic at the singularity not only the metric, but also the Riemann curvature $R_{abcd}$.

In the new coordinates the metric has the form

$$d\mathbf{s}^2 = -\frac{4\tau^4}{2m-\tau^2}d\mathbf{r}^2 + (2m-\tau^2)\tau^4(4\xi d\tau + \tau d\xi)^2 + \tau^8 d\mathbf{a}^2. \quad (38)$$

**Corollary 9.**
The Schwarzschild spacetime is quasi-regular (in any atlas compatible with the coordinates (37)).

**Proof.** We know from [28] that the Schwarzschild spacetime is semi-regular. Since it is also Ricci flat, i.e. $R_{ab} = 0$, it follows that $S_{ab} = 1$ and $R = 0$, hence

$$S_{abcd} = \frac{1}{24}R(g \circ g)_{abcd} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad E_{abcd} \frac{1}{2} (S \circ g)_{abcd} = 0. \quad (39)$$

Therefore, $S_{abcd}$ and $E_{abcd}$ are smooth. Consequently, the only non-vanishing part of the curvature in the Ricci decomposition (2) is the Weyl tensor $C_{abcd}$, which in this case is equal to $R_{abcd}$, so it is smooth too. $\square$

**Remark 10.** It has been seen that even if the Schwarzschild metric $g_{ab}$ is singular at $r = 0$ there is a coordinate system in which it becomes quasi-regular. Because the metric becomes quasi-regular at $r = 0$, the expanded Einstein equations are valid at $r = 0$ too. But also Einstein’s equation can be extended at $r = 0$, because in this special case it becomes $C_{ab} = 0$, the Schwarzschild solution being a vacuum solution. Hence, in this case we can just use the standard Einstein equations, of course in coordinates compatible with the coordinates (37). Corollary 9 shows that the Schwarzschild singularity is quasi-regular in any such coordinates. Since $S_{abcd} = E_{abcd} = 0$, the only non-vanishing part of $R_{abcd}$ is the Weyl curvature $C_{abcd} = R_{abcd}$, which is smooth because $R_{abcd}$ is smooth.

**Remark 11.** In the limit $m = 0$, the Schwarzschild solution (34) coincides with the Minkowski metric, which is regular at $r = 0$. The event horizon singularity $r = 2m$ merges with the $r = 0$ singularity, and cancel one another. Because the Schwarzschild radius becomes 0, the false singularity $r = 0$ is not spacelike as in the case $m > 0$, but timelike. In the case $m = 0$, because there is no singularity at $r = 0$, our coordinates (37), rather than removing a (non-existent) singularity, introduce one. The new coordinates provide a double covering for the Minkowski spacetime, because $r$ extends beyond $r = 0$ to negative values, in a way similar to the case described in [29].

**Open Problem 12.** What can be said about the other stationary black hole solutions? In [29] and [30] we showed that there are coordinate transformations which make the Reissner-Nordström metric and the Kerr-Newman metric analytic at the singularity. This is already a big step, because it allows us to foliate with Cauchy hypersurfaces these spacetimes. Is it possible to find coordinate transformations which make them quasi-regular too?

3. Conclusions

An important problem in General Relativity is that of singularities. At singularities some of the quantities involved in the Einstein equation become infinite. But there are other quantities which are also invariant and in addition remain finite at a large class of singularities. In this paper it has been seen that translating the Einstein equation in terms of such quantities allows it to be extended at such singularities.

The Riemann tensor is, from geometric and linear-algebraic viewpoints, more fundamental than the Ricci tensor $R_{ab}$, which is just its trace. This suggests that the scalar part $S_{abcd}$ (3) and the Ricci part $E_{abcd}$ (4) of the Riemann curvature may be more fundamental than the Ricci tensor. Consequently, this justifies the study of an equation equivalent to Einstein’s, but in terms of $E_{abcd}$ and $S_{abcd}$ instead of $R_{ab}$ and $R$. This is the expanded Einstein equation (11). The idea that $E_{abcd}$ is more fundamental than $R_{ab}$ seems to be suggested also by the electrovac solution, with the expanded Einstein equation (10), and from which the electrovac Einstein equation is obtained by contraction.

To go from Einstein’s equation to its expanded version we use the Kulkarni-Nomizu product (1). To go back, we use contraction (13). When the metric is non-degenerate, these operations establish an equivalence between the standard and the expanded Einstein equations.

The question of whether the Ricci part of the Riemann tensor is more fundamental than the Ricci tensor may be irrelevant, or the answer may be debatable. But an important feature is that $E_{abcd}$ and $S_{abcd}$ can be defined in more general situations than $R_{ab}$ and $R$. Hence, the expanded Einstein equation is more general than the Einstein equa-
tion – it makes sense even when the metric is degenerate, at least for a class of singularities named quasi-regular. A brief investigation revealed that the class of quasi-regular singularities is rich enough to contain some known singularities, which were already considered by researchers, but now can be understood in a unified framework. Among these there are the isotropic singularities, which are obtained by multiplying a regular metric with a scaling factor which is allowed to vanish. Another class is given by the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker singularities \[25\], and other warped product singularities. Even the Schwarzschild singularity (in proper coordinates which make the metric analytic \[28\]) turns out to be quasi-regular.

The fact that these apparently unrelated types of singularities turn out to be quasi-regular suggests the following open question:

**Open Problem 13.**

Are quasi-regular singularities general enough to cover all possible singularities of General Relativity?
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