INTRODUCTION

Malignant gliomas, one of the most common primary brain tumors, are very aggressive tumors with poor prognosis despite a multi-modality treatment approach including surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy. The median survival time of patients with glioblastoma multiforme (GBM), six with anaplastic astrocytoma, and three with anaplastic oligodendroglioma. Of the 25 patients, 3 (12%) achieved a complete response (CR), 8 (32%) achieved a partial response (PR), 6 (24%) had stable disease (SD), and 8 (32%) had progressive disease (PD). Two patients achieved a CR, 4 patients achieved a PR, 3 patients had SD and 7 patients had PD in GBM, and 1 patient achieved a CR, 4 patients achieved a PR, 3 patients had SD, 1 patient had PD in the non-GBM patients. Median progression free survival was 8 weeks in GBM and 22 weeks in the non-GBM patients. The median overall survival of each group was 17 weeks and 28 weeks. Temozolomide demonstrated moderate activity in recurrent and progressive malignant gliomas without serious toxicity.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Eligible criteria

Eligible patients were over 17 yr old, had a histologically proven malignant glioma (GBM, AA, anaplastic oligodendroglioma) according to the WHO criteria and unequivocal evidence of a tumor recurrence or progression by the any of following imaging modalities: gadolinium magnetic resonance imaging (Gd-MRI); contrast-enhanced computerized tomography (CT) scanning after surgery and standard radia-
tion therapy with or without chemotherapy (at least 4 weeks apart from the completion of previous chemotherapy or radiotherapy). Other eligibility criteria included the following: a Karnofsky performance of at least 60 or more and a life expectancy of more than 12 weeks at the beginning of the temozolomide therapy; adequate hematological, hepatic and renal function; and patient provided written informed consent. The baseline characteristics of all the patients were summarized (Table 1).

Table 1. Patients characteristics (n=25)

| No. | Age (yr) | Sex | Pathology | Treatment | Surgical procedure | Interval* (months) | Temozolomide cycles |
|-----|---------|-----|-----------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1   | 59      | M   | GBM       | S+RT      | T                  | 4                 | 1                 |
| 2   | 62      | F   | GBM       | S+RT+CTx  | T                  | 5                 | 4                 |
| 3   | 33      | F   | GBM       | S+RT      | B                  | 29                | 1                 |
| 4   | 43      | F   | GBM       | S+RT+CTx  | T                  | 36                | 9                 |
| 5   | 26      | F   | GBM       | S+RT+CTx  | P                  | 20                | 6                 |
| 6   | 19      | M   | GBM       | S+RT+CTx  | T                  | 72                | 2                 |
| 7   | 57      | M   | GBM       | S+RT      | T                  | 2                 | 2                 |
| 8   | 61      | F   | GBM       | S+RT      | B                  | 2                 | 12                |
| 9   | 43      | F   | GBM       | S+RT+CTx  | T                  | 3                 | 2                 |
| 10  | 44      | M   | GBM       | S+RT      | T                  | 13                | 2                 |
| 11  | 64      | M   | GBM       | S+RT+CTx  | P                  | 3                 | 5                 |
| 12  | 46      | M   | GBM       | S+RT+CTx  | T                  | 7                 | 1                 |
| 13  | 44      | F   | GBM       | S+RT+CTx  | T                  | 7                 | 9                 |
| 14  | 61      | M   | GBM       | S+RT      | T                  | 8                 | 6                 |
| 15  | 24      | F   | GBM       | S+RT+CTx  | T                  | 52                | 7                 |
| 16  | 62      | F   | GBM       | S+RT      | T                  | 5                 | 2                 |
| 17  | 45      | M   | AO        | S+RT+CTx  | P                  | 103               | 3                 |
| 18  | 49      | F   | AA        | S+RT+CTx  | B                  | 4                 | 15                |
| 19  | 48      | F   | AA        | S+RT+CTx  | P                  | 41                | 5                 |
| 20  | 64      | M   | AA        | S+RT+CTx  | B                  | 4                 | 3                 |
| 21  | 40      | F   | AA        | S+RT+CTx  | P                  | 31                | 6                 |
| 22  | 28      | F   | AO        | S+RT+CTx  | P                  | 17                | 3                 |
| 23  | 24      | F   | AA        | S+RT+CTx  | B                  | 11                | 3                 |
| 24  | 18      | F   | AA        | S+RT      | P                  | 9                 | 4                 |
| 25  | 47      | M   | AO        | S+RT+CTx  | P                  | 31                | 7                 |

S, Surgery; RT, Radiotherapy; CTx, Chemotherapy; T, Total removal; P, Partial removal; B, Biopsy.

*Interval between radiotherapy and temozolomide chemotherapy.

Table 2. Response to temozolomide chemotherapy

| All patients | GBM (n=16) | AA and AO (n=9) |
|--------------|------------|----------------|
| Complete response | 3 (12%) | 2 (12.5%) | 1 (11%) |
| Partial response | 8 (32%) | 4 (25%) | 4 (44.4%) |
| Stable response | 6 (24%) | 3 (18.8%) | 3 (33.3%) |
| Progressive disease | 8 (32%) | 7 (43.8%) | 1 (11%) |

GBM, glioblastoma multiforme; AA, anaplastic astrocytoma; AO, anaplastic oligodendroglioma.

Response evaluation

The responses were evaluated according to Macdonald’s criteria (5). The first follow up MRI was performed after 2-3 cycles and the next one after 4-6 cycles. A complete response (CR) was defined as the complete disappearance of all the enhancing or non-enhancing tumors or edema on the MRI (excluding calcification, or MRI T2 periventricular or white matter abnormalities not in continuity with the known tumor mass), with an improvement or stable neurological status without corticosteroid requirements. A partial response (PR) was defined as a greater than 50% decrease in the tumor size, with an improvement or stability in the neurological status. Progressive disease (PD) was defined as a 25% or greater increase in tumor size, the appearance of new lesions, or a progressive neurological decline not explained radiographically and not attributable to any other cause. Stable disease (SD) was defined as a less than 50% regression or less than 25% increase in the tumor size, with stable neurological status and a stable or declining corticosteroid requirement.

Statistical analysis

Progression-free survival time (PFS) was estimated from the start of temozolomide to tumor progression or to the moment of being withdrawn from the study, and overall survival (OS) from the start of temozolomide to the date of death, irrespective of its cause, or to the last evaluation. PFS and OS were assessed by the product-limit method of Kaplan-Meier for all 25 patients.

RESULTS

Response

From July 2000 to April 2004, 25 patients were evaluable. The median number of treatment cycles was 4 (range 1-15) and the median follow-up period was 15 months (range 2-40 months).

Table 2 summarizes the response rates to treatment. In 16 GBM patients, 2 (12.5%) patient achieved a CR, 4 (25%) patients achieved a PR, and 3 (18.8%) patients had SD. The
Overall response rate (CR + PR + SD) was 56.3% (Fig. 1). In the 9 non-GBM patients, there were 4 patients with PR (44.4%), 1 patient with a CR (11%) and 3 patients with SD (33.3%). The overall response rate was 88.7% (Fig. 2).

Survival

The median progression-free survival of the GBM patients and the non-GBM patients were 8 and 22 weeks, respectively (Fig. 3). The median overall survival of each group was 17 weeks and 28 weeks.

Toxicity

Toxicity grading and dose modification were based on the National Cancer Institute Toxicity Criteria (6). Table 3 summarizes the toxicity data. Nausea and vomiting of grade 1 occurred in 7 patients and was well controlled using standard antiemetics. One patient, who had suffered from the hepatic parenchymal disease before the temozolomide chemotherapy, developed hepatic toxicity of grade 2. Seizures developed in one patient who was on valproic acid. There was no treatment-related mortality.

### Table 3. Toxicity according to National Cancer Institute Toxicity Criteria

| Grade | 1 (%) | 2 (%) | 3 (%) | 4 (%) |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Leukopenia | 3 (12) | 1 (4) | 0 | 0 |
| Thrombocytopenia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nausea/vomiting | 7 (28) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hepatic | 2 (8) | 1 (4) | 0 | 0 |

DISCUSSION

The recurrence of malignant gliomas is associated with significant morbidity and limited survival. Most patients have already received multimodality therapies including surgery, radiotherapy and, on occasion, chemotherapy, at the initial diagnosis. Generally, radiotherapy cannot be administered upon a recurrence, and thus the therapeutic options are limited to a further resection or palliative chemotherapy. Palliative chemotherapy has previously been considered to have a modest efficacy in a recurrent setting. These chemotherapeutic agents do not often result in meaningful clinical improvements and are associated with significant toxicity. In contrast, recent studies have suggested that temozolomide is relatively active in this setting and is well tolerated. Furthermore, the oral formulation of temozolomide is likely to be more acceptable to patients than intravenous regimens.

This study demonstrated significant activity of the drug, with a 68% overall response rate (complete, partial response and stable disease). The notion that stable disease constitutes a ‘response’ is not generally accepted in the oncology field. However, achieving stable disease in the context of malignant gliomas has been shown to have significant prognostic effects.

This results support other studies using temozolomide in recurrent malignant gliomas. The efficacy of temozolomide in high-grade gliomas has been evaluated in phase II studies.
in patients at the initial relapse. In a multicenter CRC phase II study, patients with recurrent or progressive high-grade glioma were treated with temozolomide as a first-line therapy. The objective response rate (complete response and partial response) was 11% with a median survival of 5.8 months (7). A similar response rate (8%) and median survival (5.4 months) were observed in a second multicenter phase II trial of temozolomide in a glioblastoma (8). The imaging response rate was 6% and the median survival was 12.4 weeks in a temozolomide arm of a randomized phase II study comparing temozolomide with procarbazine (9). In a phase II study of patients with anaplastic astrocytomas at the initial relapse, the objective response rate to temozolomide was 35% (10). Nevertheless, other studies have suggested that temozolomide improves time to progression and the quality of life but not the overall survival (11-14).

Myelosuppression is the most serious adverse effect of temozolomide, and is dose limiting. However, it does not appear to be cumulative and relatively easily treated. In this study, temozolomide treatment was extremely well tolerated with few significant side effects. Nausea and vomiting were mild and could be well controlled in most cases using standard antiemetics. This study concurs with other studies of temozolomide in which serious side effects were uncommon. In an open label phase II study reported by Brada et al. (8) in glioblastoma multiforme, only 3 out of 132 patients evaluated discontinued therapy because of the adverse events. Grade 3/4 myelosuppression occurred in only 12% of the 414 chemotherapy cycles. Yung et al. (10) reported that only 9 out of 158 anaplastic astrocytoma patients (6%) evaluated discon-
val between radiotherapy and temozolomide was 21.5 months.

It is plausible that prior radiotherapy should affect the patient's response to temozolomide. In this study, the mean time interval between temozolomide and antiepileptic drugs.

The difficulties in evaluating the response to therapeutic interventions in primary brain tumors are highlighted by the study. The effect of temozolomide in patients who have undergone prior radiotherapy has yet to be established (15).

The neurological status. It is not clear which factor could be related to the seizure, such as radiation effect or interaction between temozolomide and antiepileptic drugs.

The difficulties in evaluating the response to therapeutic interventions in primary brain tumors are highlighted by this study. The effect of temozolomide in patients who have undergone prior radiotherapy has yet to be established (15).

It is plausible that prior radiotherapy should affect the patient's response to temozolomide. In this study, the mean time interval between radiotherapy and temozolomide was 21.5 months (range 2-103 months) and eligible patients developed recurrences even after conventional radiotherapy was completed. It remains unclear how much the later effect of radiotherapy is involved in the response including the temozolomide chemotherapy. Another unresolved issue is the treatment duration. We continued the treatment cycles until the progression or for a maximum of 15 cycles. No cumulative toxic effects or late effects of exposure to an alkylating agent were observed, but the number of patients exposed to long-term therapy is very small. Assessing the activity of new drugs in brain tumors is a difficult task, because measuring of response rates according to the conventional criteria may not reflect the real clinical benefit. New endpoints require a prospective validation and should be supported by a quality-of-life analysis (16, 17).

Overall, temozolomide is a new reasonably well tolerable and active oral chemotherapeutic agent for treating malignant gliomas. It has established its place as standard of care for the treatment of recurrent malignant glioma. Future studies may incorporate a combination regimen with other chemotherapeutic agents in both recurrent and adjuvant settings (18). Stupp et al. (19, 20) demonstrated that concomitant radiotherapy plus continuous daily temozolomide therapy followed by additional cycles of the standard regimen of adjuvant temozolomide therapy was well tolerated and may prolong the survival in patients with malignant glioma.

Primary temozolomide chemotherapy or chemoradiation therapy for treating metastatic brain tumors is currently under investigation. In addition, the responses to temozolomide have been reported in non-small cell lung cancer, primary central nervous system lymphoma and melanoma (15). Assessing the true efficacy of temozolomide will require a larger study with a comparison with other agents and treatment modalities.

REFERENCES

1. Burger PC, Vogel FS, Green SB, Strike TA. Glioblastoma multiforme and anaplastic astrocytoma. Cancer 1985; 56: 1106-11.
2. O’Reilly SM, Newlands ES, Glaser MG, Brampton M, Rice-Edwards JM, Illingworth RD. Temozolomide: a new oral cytotoxic chemotherapy agent with promising activity against primary brain tumours. Eur J Cancer 1993; 29: 940-2.
3. Stevens MF, Hickman JA, Langdon SP, Chubb D, Vickers L, Stone R. Antitumor activity and pharmacokinetics in mice of 8-carbamoyl-3-methyl-imidazo[5,1-d]-1,2,3,5-tetrazin-4(3H)-one (CCRG 81045; M & B 39831), a novel drug with potential as an alternative to dacarbazine. Cancer Res 1987; 47: 5846-52.
4. DeAngelis LM. Chemotherapy for brain tumors. N Engl J Med 2005; 352: 1036-8.
5. Macdonald DR, Cucino TL, Schold SC Jr, Cairncross JG. Response criteria for phase II studies of supratentorial malignant glioma. J Clin Oncol 1990; 8: 1277-80.
6. Miller AB, Hoogstraten B, Staquet M, Winkler A. Reporting results of cancer treatment. Cancer 1981; 47: 207-14.
7. Bower M, Newlands ES, Bleezen NM, Brada M, Begent RJ, Calvert H, Colquhoun I, Lewis P, Brampton MH. Multicentre CRC phase II trial of temozolomide in recurrent or progressive high-grade glioma. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 1997; 40: 484-8.
8. Brada M, Hoang-Xuan K, Rampling R, Dietrich PY, Dirix LY, Macdonald D, Heimans JJ, Zonnenberg BA, Bravo-Marques JM, Henriksson R, Stupp R, Yue N, Bruner J, Dugan M, Rao S, Zakreno S. Multicenter phase II trial of temozolomide in patients with glioblastoma multiforme at first relapse. Ann Oncol 2001; 12: 259-66.
9. Yung WK, Albright RE, Olson J, Fredericks R, Fink K, Prados MD, Brada M, Spence A, Hoh R, Shapiro W, Glantz M, Greenberg H, Selker RG, Vick NA, Rampling R, Friedman H, Phillips P, Bruner J, Yue N, Osoba D, Zakreno S, Levin VA. A phase II study of temozolomide vs. procarbazine in patients with glioblastoma multiforme.
at first relapse. Br J Cancer 2000; 83: 588-93.
10. Yung WK, Prados MD, Yaya-Tur R, Rosenfeld SS, Brada M, Friedman HS, Albright R, Olson J, Chang SM, O’Neill AM, Friedman AH, Bruner J, Yue N, Dugan M, Zaknoen S, Levin VA. Multicenter phase II trial of temozolomide in patients with anaplastic astrocytoma or anaplastic oligoastrocytoma at first relapse. J Clin Oncol 1999; 17: 2762-71.
11. Brandes AA, Ermani M, Basso U, Amità P, Berti F, Scienza, R, Rotilio A, Pinna G, Gardiman M, Monfardini S. Temozolomide as a second-line systemic regimen in recurrent high-grade glioma: a phase II study. Ann Oncol 2001; 12: 255-7.
12. Dinnes J, Cave C, Huang S, Milne R. A rapid and systemic review of the effectiveness of temozolomide for the treatment of recurrent malignant glioma. Br J Cancer 2002; 86: 501-5.
13. Gaya A, Rees J, Greenstein A, Stebbing J. The use of temozolomide in recurrent malignant gliomas. Cancer Treat Rev 2002; 28: 115-20.
14. Harris MT, Rosenthal MA, Ashley DL, Cher L. An Australian experience with temozolomide for the treatment of recurrent high grade gliomas. J Clin Neurosci 2001; 8: 325-7.
15. Stupp R, Gander M, Levyraiz S, Newlands E. Current and future developments in the use of temozolomide for the treatment of brain tumors. Cancer Treat Rev 2001; 2: 552-60.
16. Groenvold M, Klee MC, Sprangers MA, Aaronson NK. Validation of the EORTC QLQ-C30 quality of life questionnaire through combined qualitative and quantitative assessment of patient-observer agreement. J Clin Epidemiol 1997; 50: 441-50.
17. Osoba D, Aaronson NK, Muller M, Sneeuw K, Hsu MA, Yung WKA, Brada M, Newlands E. Effect of neurological dysfunction on health-related quality of life in patients with high-grade glioma. J Neurooncol 1997; 34: 263-78.
18. Groves MD, Puduvalli VK, Hess KR, Jaeckle KA, Peterson P, Yung WKA, Levin VA. Phase II trial of temozolomide plus the matrix metalloproteinase inhibitor, marimastat, in recurrent and progressive glioblastoma multiforme. J Clin Oncol 2002; 20: 1383-8.
19. Stupp R, Dietrich P-Y, Kruljevic SO, Pica A, Maillard I, Maeder P, Meuli R, Janzer R, Pizzolato G, Miralbell R, Porchet F, Regli L, Tribolet N, Minimanofof RO, Leyvraiz S. Promising survival for patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma multiforme treated with concomitant radiation plus temozolomide followed by adjuvant temozolomide. J Clin Oncol 2002; 20: 1375-82.
20. Stupp R, Mason WP, Bent MJ, Weller M, Fisher B, Taphoorn MJ, Belanger K, Brandes AA, Marosi C, Bogdahn U, Curschmann J, Janer RC, Lubwin SK, Gotti T, Allgeier A, Lacombe D, Cairncross JG, Eisenhauer E, Minimanofof RO. Radiotherapy plus concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide for glioblastoma. N Engl J Med 2005; 352: 967-96.