Staphylococcal enterotoxin-like X (SEIX) is a unique superantigen with functional features of two major families of staphylococcal virulence factors
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Abstract

*Staphylococcus aureus* is an opportunistic pathogen that produces many virulence factors. Two major families of which are the staphylococcal superantigens (SAgs) and the Staphylococcal Superantigen-Like (SSL) exoproteins. The former are immunomodulatory toxins that induce a Vβ-specific activation of T cells, while the latter are immune evasion molecules that interfere with a wide range of innate immune defences. The superantigenic properties of Staphylococcal enterotoxin-like X (SEIX) have recently been established. We now reveal that SEIX also possesses functional characteristics of the SSLs. A region of SEIX displays high homology to the sialyl-lactosamine (sLacNac)-specific binding site present in a subfamily of SSLs. By analysing the interaction of SEIX with sLacNac-containing glycans we show that SEIX has an equivalent specificity and host cell binding range to the SSLs. Mutation of key amino acids in this conserved region affects the ability of SEIX to bind to cells of myeloid origin and significantly reduces its ability to protect *S. aureus* from destruction in a whole blood killing (WBK) assay. Like the SSLs, SEIX is up-regulated early during infection and is under the control of the *S. aureus* exotoxin expression (Sae) two component gene regulatory system. Additionally, the structure of SEIX in complex with the sLacNac-containing tetrasaccharide sialyl Lewis X (sLeX) reveals that SEIX is a unique single-domain SAg. In summary, SEIX is an ‘SSL-like’ SAg.

Author summary

The ability of *Staphylococcus aureus* to cause disease can be attributed to the wide range of toxins and immune evasion molecules it produces. The 25-member superantigen (SAg)
family of toxins disrupts adaptive immunity by activating large proportions of T cells. In contrast, the structurally-related 14-member Staphylococcal Superantigen-Like (SSL) family inhibits a wide range of innate immune functions. We have discovered that the SAg staphylococcal enterotoxin-like X (SEIX) has the sialylated-glycan-dependent active site found in a sub-family of SSLs. Through this site it possesses the ability to affect host innate immunity defences. By solving the X-ray crystal structure of SEIX we have also discovered that SEIX is a unique single-domain SAg. While it retains a typical β-grasp domain, it lacks the OB-fold domain that is present in all other staphylococcal SAgs.

Introduction

*Staphylococcus aureus* is a serious human pathogen responsible for a large proportion of hospital acquired infections and of additional major concern, an increasing cause of community-associated antibiotic resistant infections [1, 2]. Predominantly found in the anterior nares, throat, and skin it persistently colonises ~30% of the population with anywhere from 50–80% of individuals carrying it at any particular time point [3]. *S. aureus* is an opportunistic pathogen and although considered commensal, in many situations is capable of overcoming the host barrier defences to infect potentially any part of the body [4, 5]. The ability of *S. aureus* to so effectively cause infection is a consequence of the myriad of virulence factors it produces. Toxins, enzymes, adhesion molecules, and immune evasion molecules allow the bacterium to first invade the host and then to get established and avoid destruction by the immune system [6, 7]. One such class of virulence factor, the superantigen (SAg), has been extensively studied over the past few decades (reviewed in [8–18]. These toxins are known to cause staphylococcal toxic shock syndrome and staphylococcal food poisoning, and have been implicated in a number of conditions including sepsis, endocarditis, and pneumonia. The staphylococcal SAgs form a large family of related toxins with the SAgs found in many streptococcal species, most notably *Streptococcus pyogenes* [19], and a role for SAgs in promoting survival of *S. aureus* during infection has been identified [20].

The bacterial SAgs of *S. aureus* and *S. pyogenes* are a family of secreted toxins of around 20–30 kD that are most notably known for causing the toxic shock syndromes associated with these pathogens. By simultaneously binding major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II on antigen presenting cells and the T cell receptor (TcR) in a Vβ-specific manner they are able to activate large proportions of T cells to produce pro-inflammatory cytokines. It is the ensuing 'cytokine storm' that is responsible for the symptoms of shock and organ failure that result. Currently there are 25 known staphylococcal and 11 streptococcal SAgs many of which have been crystallized alone or in complex with MHC class II, TcR, or both [21, 22]. These SAgs share a common fold consisting of two highly stable domains, the N-terminal OB-fold domain and the C-terminal β-grasp domain, that are separated by a long, partially solvent-accessible central α-helix [23]. Interestingly, this same protein fold is also found in the functionally unrelated, 14-member family of Staphylococcal Superantigen-Like toxins (SSLs) [24]. Although the staphylococcal and streptococcal SAgs and the SSLs share limited sequence homology they can be identified by the two highly conserved PROSITE “family signature motifs” Y-G-G-[LIV]-T-X(4)-N (Prosite entry PS00277) and K-X(2)-[LIVF]-X(4)-[LIVF]-D-X(3)-R-X(2)-L-X(5)-[LIV]-Y (PS00278) [25].

The Staphylococcal Superantigen-Like (SSL) family of proteins are related to the SAgs by sequence and structure [26]. The SSLs however do not function as superantigens, rather are...
involved in blocking various aspects of host immunity. For instance, SSL7 binds to IgA and complement C5 to prevent C5a-mediated chemotaxis of inflammatory myeloid cells and C5-dependent microbial killing [27, 28]. SSL10 binds to human IgG1 to inhibit the phagocytosis and complement activation mediated by this important immunoglobulin [29, 30]. SSL3 binds to toll-like receptor 2 and inhibits its capacity to signal in response to pathogen-associated molecular patterns [31, 32]. SSL5 and SSL11 bind to P-selectin glycoprotein ligand-1 (PSGL-1) via a highly conserved site with specificity for sialylated glycans that contain the minimal conserved trisaccharide, sialyl-lactosamine (sLacNac = NeuAcα2-3Galβ1-4GlcNAc). The interaction with PSGL-1 prevents P-selectin mediated immune cell recruitment. [33, 34]. They share this binding site with SSLs 2–4, and SSL6 [35]. This conserved site has been implicated in the interactions of the sialylated-glycan binding SSLs with a diverse range of additional host glycoproteins that include the Fc receptor for IgA, the glycosylated N-terminal region of G protein-coupled receptors, platelet glycoproteins (GPIbα, GPIIb-IIIa, and GPV1), CD47, and matrix metalloproteinase-9 [34–40].

The recently discovered staphylococcal SAg, SEIX, is unusual in that it is chromosomally located and thus found in all strains of S. aureus with the exception of clonal complex 30 [41]. It possesses an entirely unique N-terminus of no known homology that is much shorter in residues than the OB-fold domain of other SAgs. The C-terminal half of SEIX however displays amino acid similarity with the β-grasp domain of both the SAgs and the SSLs. Recently SEIX, like SSL5 and SSL11, was shown to bind PSGL-1 in a sialylated-glycan-dependent manner to inhibit its interaction with P-selectin [38].

Here we present functional and structural evidence that SEIX is an ‘SSL-like’ SAg. It has specificity for sLacNac and interacts with myeloid cells in a sialylated glycan-dependent manner to inhibit host defences. Additionally, X-ray crystallography of SEIX reveals a unique structural variation from the typical SAg architecture, with the complete omission of an OB-fold domain.

Results
SEIX has homology to the glycan binding subfamily of SSLs
SEIX has been reported to display highest homology to TSST-1 and SSL7 [41]. Phylogenetic analysis indicates that SEIX is more closely related to the SSL family of immune evasion proteins than to the bacterial superantigen family (Fig 1A). Additionally SEIX shows closer sequence conservation to the SSLs in a region of the central α-helix that makes up the PROSITE signature sequence PS00278. In SEIX the sequence KELD has higher identity to the SSL consensus sequence of KE(L/I)D than the consensus sequence of the SAgs QE(L/I/V)D. The Lysine (K) of this motif is absolutely conserved in the SSLs whereas the Glutamine (Q) is almost entirely conserved in the SAgs (S1 Fig). Amino acid sequence alignment with the SSLs reveals that SEIX possesses significant identity to SSLs 2–6 and SSL11 in the region of conservation that describes the glycan binding site of this SSL subfamily (Fig 1B) [34, 35, 42]. Of the seventeen residues that define the conserved glycan-binding site, SEIX displays higher homology than SSLs from outside the glycan-binding subfamily. In particular, residues known to interact with sialylated glycans are highly conserved in SEIX (Fig 1B). Furthermore, no homology with residues that form the α-chain or β-chain MHC class II binding sites can be seen upon alignment of SEIX with the other bacterial SAgs (S1 Fig). For this reason the characterization of SEIX as a novel SSL-like SAg was performed. Two variants of SEIX were analysed, one being SEIX2 cloned from the CC8 strain Newman and the other SEIX8 cloned from strain JSNZ. JSNZ is a mouse-adapted strain of S. aureus isolated from preputial gland abscesses.
Fig 1. Comparison of SEIX with SAgs and SSLs. (A) Phylogenetic analysis of the SAgs and SSLs of *S. aureus*. The Phylogenetic tree was created using FigTree (v1.4.2) from an amino acid alignment of the staphylococcal SAgs and SSLs generated using Clustal Omega (EMBL-EBI). SAgs are shown in black text and the SSLs in grey text. SEIX is shown in red. (B) Amino acid sequence alignment of the two SEIX variants used in this study, SEIX2 and SEIX8 (in bold), with the SSLs in the region of the sialylated glycan-dependent binding site. The glycan binding SSL subfamily is highlighted by the horizontal grey box and the region of the 17 amino acid glycan binding site is highlighted by the vertical grey box. Residues that have been experimentally determined to interact with the sialylated glycan are shown in bold type with those that hydrogen bond to the glycan underlined. Residues with homology to these amino acids are highlighted in dark grey. The conserved Threonine (T) and Arginine (R) residues mutated to affect sialylated glycan binding are indicated by the red asterisks.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006549.g001
during a severe outbreak among male C57BL/6 mice [43]. This strain is from the multilocus sequence type ST88 and encodes no other identifiable SAgs other than SEIX.

**SEIX binds to sialylated glycans**

To determine if SEIX is a glycan binding protein, host protein binding assays were performed to compare SEIX with the known glycan binding proteins SSL4, SSL6, and SSL11. The glycan binding SSLs display broad binding capacity for plasma and myeloid cell glycoproteins [33–40, 42]. Recombinant SEIX2, SSL6, and SSL11 coupled to sepharose were used to isolate interacting proteins from human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC), peripheral blood polymorphonuclear cells (PMN), platelets, and plasma. Binding to mouse serum, and splenocyte and bone marrow (BM) derived cell lysates was also compared. The binding profiles of proteins bound by SEIX2 in each instance were very similar to those of SSL6 and SSL11 (Fig 2A). Previously, mutagenesis of the conserved Threonine (T) or Arginine (R) (indicated by the red asterisks in Fig 1B) in the glycan binding SSLs has resulted in greatly diminished capacity for carbohydrate-dependent interactions [34, 35, 42]. Mutation of the equivalent residue Threonine 130 (T130) or Arginine 141 (R141) to Alanine in SEIX2 resulted in a significant reduction in host protein binding that is similar to mutating the equivalent Threonine in SSL11 or Arginine in SSL6 (Fig 2A and S2 Fig). Additionally, SEIX displayed a comparable energy- and glycan binding site-dependent binding to neutrophils as has previously been reported for SSL4 and SSL11 [34, 42] (S2 Fig).

Identification of host leukocyte proteins bound by SEIX and its glycan-binding site mutant SEIX-T130A/R141A was performed using liquid chromatography—tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) on lysozyme proteins captured by affinity precipitation using the immobilized SEIX variants. The top scoring proteins identified are shown in Fig 2B and full data on the identified proteins and their functions is available in S1 Table. The mass spectrometry data show that many of the leukocyte proteins that are bound by SEIX are integrins. Other adhesion molecules such as P-selectin and PECAM-1 feature in this list. Several of the SEIX-targeted proteins are cytoplasmic in origin and are predominantly granule proteins or are associated with the cytoskeletal network, with roles linking the cytoskeleton to surface receptors. Furthermore, a large number of the identified proteins are involved in coagulation. The predominance of proteins identified to interact with SEIX-T130A/R141A were intracellular and associated with the cytoskeleton with the integrin alpha IIb and beta 3 chains the only exception. Flow cytometry of recombinant SEIX2 conjugated to Alexa Fluor 448 (SEIX-448) revealed that SEIX bound to human granulocytes, monocytes, and weakly to lymphocytes whereas negligible binding was seen using the glycan-binding site mutant SEIX-T130A/R141A (Fig 3A). To further support the glycan-binding site dependency of cell binding, competition for the binding of SEIX-448 to neutrophils using increasing concentrations of SEIX or SEIX-T130A/R141A was performed. The cell surface interaction of SEIX-488 could be inhibited in a dose dependent manner with SEIX whereas the glycan-binding site mutant showed no significant inhibition at any of the concentrations used (Fig 3B). The host specificity of SEIX binding was compared using human peripheral blood leukocytes and mouse splenic and bone marrow leukocytes. The SEIX8-488 variant from the mouse-adapted strain JSNZ was used for this analysis and showed a greater capacity for binding to human cells (Fig 3C).

Recombinant SEIX2 was analysed for carbohydrate binding to a glycan array that contained 611 mammalian glycan targets by the Consortium for Functional Glycomics. The array screening confirmed that SEIX2 bound glycans containing the trisaccharide sialyl-lactosamine (sLacNac = Neu5Aca2-3Galb1-4GlcNAc). Several of the strongly bound glycans terminated in
Fig 2. Analysis of host binding by affinity precipitation. (A) SDS-PAGE (12.5%) run under reducing and denaturing conditions of proteins from the various indicated human and mouse sources isolated by affinity with SEIX2, SEIX2-T130A, SSL6, SSL6-R181A, SSL11, or SSL11-T168A coupled to sepharose. Sepharose alone (control) was used as a control for non-specific binding. * indicates SELX/SSL that has dissociated from the sepharose. Marker is BenchMark Protein Ladder (Life Technologies). (B) The 40 top scoring leukocyte proteins identified by SEIX-sepharose affinity binding are shown by descending rank in the bar graph and listed in order in the accompanying table. The ranking is based on the Unused Score (taken from S1 Table) given to each uniquely identified protein as calculated by the mass spectrometry analysis software ProteinPilot 5.0 (AB Sciex Pte. Ltd). The Unused Score indicates how much of the Total Score is unique to the particular protein hit. The
the sLacNac-containing tetrasaccharide sialyl Lewis X (sLeX = Neu5Acα2-3Galβ1-4(Fucα1–3) GlcNAc) (Table 1 and S3 Fig).

Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) was employed to study the interaction between SElX and its sialylated target ligands. The affinities of recombinant SElX2 and SElX8 for sLeX and its core trisaccharide sLacNac (a subcomponent of sLeX lacking the terminal fucose) were determined by passing a concentration series of the highly purified proteins over the immobilized carbohydrates (Fig 4A). Equilibrium dissociation constants (K_D) were calculated from the equilibrium binding curves acquired from both SElX2 and SElX8 binding to sLeX and sLacNac. The K_D of

![Graph showing binding curves](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006549.g002)

**Fig 3. Analysis of host binding by flow cytometry.** (A) Median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of a two-fold dilution series of SElX-488 (green line) or SElX-T130A/R141A (red line) binding to human leukocytes with cell populations gated as granulocytes, monocytes, and lymphocytes based on size and granularity. Each data point represents the mean ± SD of three separate experiments using three individual donors. Comparison of the two proteins binding each cell population was performed in Graphpad Prism using two way analysis of variance (ANOVA) (p<0.0001) with Sidak’s multiple comparisons test: * p = 0.0336; ** p = 0.0037; ***p = 0.0005; **** p < 0.0001. (B) Binding of 100nM SElX-488 to human granulocytes (black bar) and in the presence of increasing concentrations of SElX (Green bars) or SElX-T130A/R141A (red bars). The MFI is the mean ± SD of three experiments performed using three separate human donors. The column data were compared by two-tailed paired t-tests: * p = 0.0333; ** p = 0.0038. (C) Comparison of the binding of 100nM SElX-488 to human and mouse leukocyte populations. The MFI is the mean ± SD of three experiments performed using three separate human donors or two experiments on n = 1 mouse per experiment and is the MFI (SElX-488 stained cells) minus MFI (unstained control population). Data compared by one way ANOVA (p<0.0001) with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test: * p = 0.0189; ***p = 0.0005.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006549.g003
SEIX2 was determined to be 22.90 ± 0.13 μM for sLeX, and 23.17 ± 1.05 μM for sLacNac. SEIX8 bound sLeX and sLacNac with \( K_D \)’s of 9.58 ± 1.02 μM and 14.21 ± 2.57 μM, respectively. Negligible binding was observed for the T130A, R141A, and T130A/R141A mutants of SEIX2 and SEIX8 to either sLeX or sLacNac (Fig 4B).

SEIX possesses a unique structure

The crystal structure of SEIX8 was determined in complex with sLeX. The protein structure was solved by molecular replacement with a partial model of SSL4 (PDB: 4DXG) using residues 130–200 and was refined at 1.66 Å (Table 2). The C-terminal domain of SEIX8 (residues N61–I161) adopts the \( \beta \)-grasp fold typical of the classical SAgs and members of the SSL family. The first 21 residues and the last 3 residues of the mature protein were undefined in the crystal structure, lacking electron density. The first structured residue N22 defines the start of the \( \alpha \)-helix that sits atop the rear of the \( \beta \)-grasp C-terminal domain (Fig 5A). An extended loop, which is stabilized by extensive hydrogen bonds, links the bottom of this helix directly with the first \( \beta \) strand of the \( \beta \)-grasp domain. (Fig 5A). This linker region is predominantly unstructured, containing a \( \beta \)-hairpin and a series of \( \beta \)-turns as it packs across the side of the \( \beta \)-grasp domain. It completely replaces the typical OB-fold domain, revealing SEIX to be a unique single-domain SAg.

Interaction of SEIX with sLeX

The binding site for sLeX is a V-shaped depression in the side of the \( \beta \)-grasp domain, which is formed by residues from a \( \beta \)-strand, the opposing helix (a 3_{10}-helix), and the irregular polypeptide loop that links them (Fig 5A). This region is on the opposite side of the \( \beta \)-grasp to the N-terminus linker loop. Seven residues lining the sides of the depression hydrogen bond directly to sLeX (Fig 5B). These are the side-chains of T130, E132, K135, Q138, N140, and R141, and the main chain carbonyl of K128. K128, T130, and R141 form an extensive network of hydrogen bonds with the sialic acid (S) of sLeX while Y129 participates in a hydrophobic interaction with this moiety. E132 hydrogen bonds with the galactose (G) and fucose (F) of sLeX, and with the side chain of K135, while K135 makes one additional hydrogen bond to the fucose. Extensive hydrogen bonding occurs between Q138 and both the galactose and N-acetylgalactosamine (GlcNAc or N) sugars with further hydrogen bonding to GlcNAc provided by N140. An

**Table 1. Ten strongest SEIX binding glycans from the glycomics consortium array.**

| glycan name                                                                 | Average RFU | StDev |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Neu5Aca2-3Galb1-4(Fuca1-3)GlcNAcb1-3Galb1-4(Fuca1-3)GlcNAcb1-3Galb1-4         | 3561        | 126   |
| Neu5Aca2-3Galb1-4(Fuca1-3)GlcNAcb1-3Galb-Sp8                                | 3526        | 100   |
| Neu5Aca2-3Galb1-4(Fuca1-3)GlcNAcb1-3Galb1-4GlcNAcb-Sp8                      | 2416        | 52    |
| Neu5Aca2-3Galb1-4GlcNAcb1-6(Neu5Aca2-3Galb1-4GlcNAcb1-3)GlcNAca-Sp14        | 2237        | 277   |
| Neu5Aca2-3Galb1-4GlcNAcb1-3GlcNAc-Sp14                                     | 1623        | 59    |
| Neu5Aca2-3Galb1-4(Fuca1-3)GlcNAcb1-2Mana-Sp0                                | 1355        | 126   |
| Neu5Aca2-3Galb1-4GlcNAcb1-3GlcNAc-Sp0                                      | 1326        | 88    |
| Neu5Aca2-3Galb1-4GlcNAcb1-3Galb1-4GlcNAcb1-6(Neu5Aca2-3Galb1-4GlcNAcb1-3)GalNAca-Sp14 | 1231        | 22    |
| Neu5Aca2-3Galb1-4GlcNAcb1-3GlcNAc-Sp0                                      | 1219        | 14    |
| Neu5Aca2-3Galb1-4GlcNAcb1-3GlcNAc-Sp0                                      | 1162        | 41    |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006549.t001
additional three waters make intermediate contacts directly between sLeX and SEIX. R141 makes an extensive network of hydrogen bonds to T130, Q138, and V144 across the floor of the binding site.

**Structural comparison of SEIX with TSST-1 and the SSLs**

The most striking feature of SEIX is the absence of the ubiquitous N-terminal SAg/SSL OB-fold domain. Structural comparison with TSST-1 and SSL5 reveals that despite this deleted
domain, the N-terminal α-helix of SEIX is spatially conserved (Fig 5C) and just like the SAgs and SSLs it packs against the back of the β-grasp domain.

The sLeX binding site of SEIX shows high structural conservation with the 17-residue glycan-binding region of the SSLs. The RMSDs (all atoms) are 0.73927 Å (over 152 atoms), 0.900455 Å (over 155 atoms), and 0.78852 Å (over 154 atoms) between SEIX and SSL4, SSL5, and SSL11, respectively (Fig 5D). Indeed, the binding of sLeX is almost entirely conserved with the sLeX binding of SSL4 [42], SSL5 [35], and SSL11 [34]. The only exception is the loss of a conserved aspartic acid (V144 in SEIX), which in the SSL's interacts with the sialic acid moiety of the glycan (Fig 5D).

TSST-1 interacts with the TcRVβ chain via an interface that includes the back of the N-terminal α-helix, the central α-helix of the β-grasp domain, and the top of the OB-fold [44]. A structural overlay of SEIX with the structure of TSST-1 in complex with TcRVβ2 reveals that this unique SAg has the potential to bind TcRVβ in a similar fashion to TSST-1. The β-grasps of both proteins overlay very well as do their N-terminal α-helices (Fig 6). This structural overlay places the TcR β-chain in close proximity to SEIX. In particular, the N-terminal α-helix, the end of the central α-helix and the linker loop region that connects the N-terminus of SEIX with the β-grasp domain (Fig 6). The overlay described here shows that SEIX has the potential to bind TcRVβ predominantly via its spatially conserved N-terminal and central α-helices and potentially compensates for the lack of any OB-fold-TcRVβ interaction by the proximity of its connecting loop region to the TcRVβ chain. It is also evident from this structural overlay that the positioning of the potential TcRVβ binding site is on the opposite face of SEIX to its sialylated glycan binding site and also leaves the concave face of β-grasp exposed for additional host interactions.

SAgs have two known binding sites for MHC class II. A hydrophobic ridge in the OB-fold domain allows for binding to the invariant MHC class II α-chain, while three conserved residues in the β-grasp domain participate in the tetravalent co-ordination of a zinc molecule with
Fig 5. The structural analysis of SEIX. (A) crystal structure of SEIX8 (cyan) in complex with sLeX (green) shown from the left of the glycan binding site (left panel) and from the right of the glycan binding site (right panel). (B) The sialylated glycan-binding site of SEIX8 (blue) showing the residues that hydrogen-bond (yellow dotted lines) with sLeX (green). The side chains that interact with sLeX are labelled and shown in bold. The components of sLeX are labelled as follows: N-Acetylneuraminic Acid (S); galactose (G); fucose (Fuc); and N-Acetylglucosamine (N). (C) Structural overlay of SEIX8 (blue) with the SAg TSST-1 (silver) and SSL5 (sage). (D) Comparison of sialyl Lewis X
an invariant histidine on the polymorphic MHC class II β-chain [45–49]. Having no OB-fold domain means that SEIX cannot interact with MHC class II via the traditional α-chain-binding site. Additionally, no structural homology to the zinc-co-ordinating residues is identifiable in the β-grasp of SEIX.

MHC class II binding is sialylated glycan-dependent but PBMC stimulation is not

The ability of SEIX to bind MHC class II was tested. SEIX8 conjugated to sepharose was used to isolate MHC class II from cell lysates of the MHC class II (HLA-DR1 allele)-expressing cell line LG-2 [50]. Immunoblot analysis using a polyclonal antibody against HLA-DR1 showed SEIX isolated bands consistent with those of the MHC class II alpha and beta chains recognised by the anti-DR1 antibody (Fig 7A). MHC class II was also affinity isolated by

Fig 6. Comparison of SEIX with TSST-1 in complex with human Vβ2. The structure of SEIX (blue) with sLeX bound (in green) is overlaid with the structure of TSST-1 (silver) in complex with the Vβ2 region (purple) of a human TCR molecule (PDB: 2IJ0).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006549.g006
TSST-1 conjugated to sepharose but negligibly isolated by SElX-T130A/R141A. This confirms that there is a sialylated-glycan-dependent binding of SElX to MHC class II, rather than the traditionally identified SAg binding sites. To support this, TSST-1 bound MHC class II from both neuraminidase-treated and untreated LG-2 cells, whereas SElX only isolated MHC class II from untreated cells. Glycan binding did not appear to influence the superantigen activity of SElX however, since both SElX and SElX-T130A/R141A displayed an equivalent capacity to stimulate the proliferation of PBMCs (Fig 7B). Both SElX and its glycan-binding site mutant displayed \( \frac{1}{2} \text{maximal PBMC stimulation} \) at approximately 10ng/ml, whereas TSST-1 had a more typical superantigenic potential with its \( \frac{1}{2} \text{maximal stimulation} \) in the low pg/ml range [21].

Protection of *S. aureus* by SEIX in a whole blood killing model of bacteraemia is glycan-binding dependent

The contribution of SEIX to the survival of *S. aureus* was studied using a whole blood killing assay designed to represent an *in vitro* model of bacteraemia. Deletion of selX from strain JSNZ (JSNZΔselX) resulted in a significant reduction in its ability to survive in human blood (Fig 8A). Addition of recombinant SEIX to the assay increased JSNZΔselX survival. Complementation with the glycan-binding site mutant SEIX-R141A did not increase the survival of JSNZΔselX in human blood indicating that the protective effect of SEIX was dependent on the sialylated glycan-binding site. To further validate this, *S. aureus* JSNZ strains were generated in which the deleted selX gene was replaced with either a glycan-binding site mutant gene selXR141 (JSNZselXR141A), or repaired by the re-introduction of selX (JSNZselX-REP).
Survival of the selX repaired strain, JSNZselX-REP, was comparable to wild type with significantly higher cfu’s recovered from both of these strains compared to JSNZΔselX. The mutant of S. aureus carrying the glycan-binding site mutated selX gene, JSNZselXR141A, showed a significant reduction in survival compared to wild type and did not provide any significant protective advantage over the selX deletion mutant from which it was derived (Fig 8B). All the modified strains displayed comparable in vitro growth curves and immunoblot analysis confirmed that JSNZselX-REP and JSNZselXR141A both produced SElX (S4 Fig). A comparison between S. aureus JSNZ and JSNZΔselX was made using mouse models of subcutaneous infection and systemic infection. No significant difference in survival was observed between the wild type bacteria and the SElX-deficient strain in either model (S5 Fig).

Expression and regulation of selX

Analysis of the 5’ untranslated region of selx was conducted to look for regulators of selx expression. A recent study into the control of ssl1, ssl7, ssl9, and ssl11 expression revealed promoter elements that include a binding site for SaeR, the response regulator of the S. aureus exoprotein expression (Sae) two component system (TCS) 5’ to the translational start site of these genes [51]. Comparison of the upstream regions of selx2 and selx8 with those of these ssls confirmed that the selx gene also possesses a direct repeat sequence with high identity to the conserved SaeR binding site [52, 53]. This sequence, GTTAA(n6)GTTAA is seen directly upstream from the -35 and -10 promoter elements. An additional ½ SaeR binding site was identified 6 bases further upstream. By performing 5’ RACE the transcription start site for selx was identified 7 bases 3’ to the entirely conserved Pribnow box (or -10 promoter sequence) (Fig 9A).
Using a mouse model of subcutaneous infection, the expression levels of selx transcripts present in S. aureus strain Newman and JSNZ abscesses at 24, 48, and 96 hours after infection were compared with that of in vitro culture of the inoculum. During S. aureus Newman subcutaneous infection, an up-regulation of expression was observed from the earliest time point that was approximately 30-fold greater than the in vitro culture at the time of infection (Fig 9B). A corresponding trend towards increased saeR transcript expression was also observed at day one that declined in conjunction with that of selx expression. Expression of selx during subcutaneous infection of mice with S. aureus JSNZ was increased over 100-fold at 24 hrs in relation to the inoculum and remained elevated over the four day study. A robust and sustained increase in saeR was also measured over this time period (Fig 9B). In support of selx regulation by Sae, immunoblot analysis of the culture supernatant from an Sae TCS-deficient strain of S. aureus revealed the complete absence of any SElX production (S4 Fig).

Discussion

The functional and structural insights gained from this research reveal that SElX is a unique member of the SAg family that shares features of the related SSL family. Though it was previously described as a SAg [41], we have shown that it also possesses the conserved sialylated-
glycan binding site of the SSLs. It shows similar host factor binding profiles to the SSLs and mutation of key conserved residues in the binding site greatly reduces this toxins binding capacity for host proteins. The specificity of SEIX, as determined by glycan array screening, was comparable to that of the SSLs, with SPR analysis confirming its affinity for sLacNac and sLeX. The affinity of SEIX for sLacNac (14 μM for SEIX8 and 23 μM for SEIX2) is lower than the SSLs which range from 0.47 μM for SSL4 to 2.4 μM for SSL11 [42]. Perhaps this is a consequence of it lacking a glycan-binding aspartic acid that is conserved in the SSLs. SEIX possesses a valine at this position that does not interact with sLeX in the crystal structure. The co-crystallization of SEIX with sLeX did show however that all the remaining residues that interact with the tetrasaccharide are conserved with those of the SSLs.

Many of the leukocyte proteins bound by SEIX were adhesion molecules including P-selectin, PECAM1 and notably several integrin’s that have important roles in immune recognition and cell activation. It is evident from the annotation of protein function (S1 Table) that several of the proteins interacting with SEIX are involved in coagulation. By binding to these molecules, SEIX has the capacity to interfere with important host immune and wound healing functions during infection to help the bacteria evade destruction. When comparing the proteins identified by affinity interaction with SEIX-T130A/R141A to those bound by SEIX, it is clear that the interaction with cell surface receptors has been lost, with the exception of integrin alpha IIb and beta 3. The top scoring identified proteins bound by this glycan-binding site mutant are predominantly intracellular and cytoskeletonally-related. It is not possible to determine from our approach which of these host proteins bind directly or indirectly to SEIX so it is conceivable that some of these proteins are isolated in complex with glycoproteins that directly bind to SEIX. SEIX may interact with cytoskeletal components or they may be present as a consequence of their connection with directly bound surface receptors. If SEIX does bind cytoskeletal structures this would give it the potential to interfere with cellular rearrangements that affect cell movement, phagocytosis, receptor recycling, and even the release of granule contents. SEIX may possess both glycan-dependent and -independent binding sites. The affinity precipitation assays showed that the glycan-site mutants retained some protein binding capacity. These targets may be intracellular proteins based on the mass spectrometry data and the observation that the glycan-binding site mutant of SEIX displayed negligible cell surface binding by flow cytometry. The nature of these SEIX-host interactions is a focus of further investigation.

We used the WBK assay as an in vitro representation of staphylococcal bacteraemia and the relevance of using whole blood for identifying correlates of S. aureus virulence has been highlighted recently [54–56]. This assay revealed that SEIX was necessary and sufficient for successful survival of S. aureus in human blood. Removal of selX caused a reduction in bacterial load of almost 1-log (or 85%) that could be fully restored by the addition of SEIX or the reinstatement of selX. What’s more, the protection afforded by SEIX was determined to be reliant on its glycan-binding site and reveals a unique property that is more in keeping with immune evasion by the glycan-binding SSLs than superantigenic immunomodulation.

We found the consensus direct-repeat binding sequence for SaeR, the response regulator of the saeRS two-component regulatory system, in the immediate region upstream of selX. In support of this regulator being involved in the control of selX expression, we found there to be a complete lack of SEIX produced by a Sae TCS-deficient mutant of S. aureus. This indicates that, like the SSLs, expression of SEIX would be turned on in situations when the bacterium comes under stress such as from attack by host defence mechanisms [57–60]. SEIX would likely be produced at the same early time point as the SSLs to perform complimentary functions in immune evasion. The protection provided by SEIX to the survival of S. aureus in the whole blood killing assay supports its role in blocking host innate defences. The sustained expression
of selx over the 96 hour course of murine subcutaneous infection seen in S. aureus JSNZ may be a consequence of the more robust in vivo expression of saeR in this strain compared to that observed from Newman. It should be noted however that the Sae TCS is constitutively active in Newman [58, 61] and that could explain the lower relative increase in selx up-regulation in vivo. Indeed we observed that Newman produces more SEIX in vitro than JSNZ (S4 Fig).

Despite the ability to bind mouse cellular and serum proteins, and up-regulation during murine subcutaneous infection, we did not see a significant effect of SEIX when comparing the selX gene deletion mutant with wild type S. aureus in the murine models of infection. One reason for this may be the weaker binding capacity of SEIX for mouse cells. It is also possible that the sialylation of receptors in the mouse may be sufficiently different in pattern and/or magnitude to preclude SEIX from interacting with the same set of glycoproteins that it does with the human host [62, 63]. It has previously been reported that SEIX does not contribute to disease severity in a murine model of pneumonia [64]. However, by deleting selX in S. aureus an attenuation of virulence has been observed in both a rabbit model of necrotizing pneumonia [41] and a bovine model of mastitis [65] suggesting that alternate species to mice are more appropriate for investigating the contribution of SEIX during disease.

X-ray crystallography revealed the unique single-domain structure of SEIX. It completely lacks an OB-fold domain and its spatially conserved N-terminal α-helix is linked to the β-grasp domain by a short unstructured region. In addition to the SSLs, S. aureus produces other β-grasp domain exoproteins that are involved in immune evasion. These include the Chemotaxis Inhibitory Protein of S. aureus (CHIPs) and the Formyl Peptide Receptor-like 1 Inhibitory Protein (FLIPr) [25, 66]. Both of these immune evasion molecules display conservation of sequence and structure in the region of the glycan binding site [25].

Being a single-domain SAg means that it would not be possible for SEIX to interact with TcRs in the same manner as most of the traditional SAgs that typically bind the TcRVβ chain via an interface involving the cleft between the N-terminal α-helix and the top of the OB-fold [67, 68]. Rather it has the potential to bind the TcR in a similar fashion to TSST-1 by using predominantly its two α-helices and its novel linker region, suggesting that SEIX has maintained the minimal requirement at its N-terminus for engaging the TcR. However, because the first 21 residues are not defined in the structure, they cannot be precluded from providing additional contacts with the TcRVβ. Further investigation by co-crystallization with TcRVβ and mutagenesis will confirm this. SEIX exhibits no conservation with residues of the SAgs involved in MHC class II binding. We found that the interaction of SEIX with MHC class II was significantly influenced by its glycan-binding site. Yet this did not correlate with its ability to stimulate the proliferation of PBMCs since SEIX-T130A/R141A displayed an equivalent activity to SEIX. The superantigenic capacity of SEIX however, was less potent than that of the typical SAgs [21]. These observations suggest a different mode of action for the T cell stimulation activity observed for SELX. This is currently under investigation.

SEIX is present in most S. aureus and is considered to have been acquired by an ancestor of the S. aureus species [41]. We have shown here that SEIX possesses functions of two major related families of staphylococcal virulence factors, although it is less potent than typical SAgs and has weaker affinity for sialylated glycans compared to the SSLs. Perhaps SEIX represents the missing-link between the related SAgs and SSLs and is the descendent of an ancestral staphylococcal virulence factor that had the properties of both these present-day families. While subsequent duplications of this ancestor led to the functional specialization seen in the SAgs and SSLs, SEIX instead evolved to retain the functional properties of the precursor protein, losing its OB-fold domain in the process. Consequently, SEIX targets both the adaptive immune system as a SAg and innate immune defences as an SSL. It is therefore unsurprising that this ‘SSL-like SAg’ has been almost universally retained by all S. aureus.
With the increasing seriousness of antimicrobial resistance associated with *S. aureus*, there is a need for alternate therapeutic interventions. The discovery of virulence factors like SEIX that correlate with disease and the determination of their modes of action will allow for a more targeted approach to the development of anti-infectives that can be used to treat or prevent staphylococcal disease.

**Materials and methods**

**Ethics statement**

Blood was collected from healthy human volunteers who had given informed consent in writing in accordance with the University of Auckland Human Participants Ethics Committee (UAHPEC) guidelines. Animals were housed and cared for in accordance with The Animal Welfare Act (1999) and institutional guidelines provided by the University of Auckland Animal Ethics Committee, which reviewed and approved these experiments under application R847. The mice were shaved and inoculated with *S. aureus* under isoflurane anaesthesia. They were monitored daily for alterations in body weight and general health. Mice were euthanized by CO$_2$ inhalation.

**Protein production**

The gene for TSST-1 was cloned from *S. aureus* strain RC31187 and the various SEIX and SSL6 genes and mutants from *S. aureus* strain Newman or *S. aureus* strain JSNZ using the primers listed in Table 3. Mutants were generated by overlap PCR using internal overlapping primers containing the mutation together with the external cloning primers. The genes were cloned into pET32a-3C, recombinant proteins were expressed in *Escherichia coli* AD494(DE3)pLysS as thioredoxin fusion proteins, and isolated by nickel affinity chromatography (Ni Sepharose 6 Fast Flow, GE Healthcare). The thioredoxin was cleaved off with 3C protease and the proteins were further purified using ion-exchange chromatography (SEIX, SSL6—MonoS, SSL11, TSST-1—MonoQ, GE Healthcare). Production of SSL11 has been described previously [34, 42].

**Coupling of recombinant proteins to sepharose**

Recombinant proteins were coupled to sepharose in accordance with the manufacturer’s guidelines. Protein at 2 mg/ml in PBS pH 8.0 was added to cyanogen bromide activated sepharose (GE Healthcare) to a final concentration of approximately 5mg protein/ml sepharose. The slurry was incubated with slow inversion at room temperature until a negligible amount of protein remained in the supernatant. Any remaining active sites on the sepharose were quenched by incubation in 100mM Tris pH8.0/150mM NaCl for 2 hours at room temperature before the sepharose was repeatedly washed with PBS pH 8.0/0.1% sodium azide and stored at 4˚C as a 1:1 slurry in PBS/azide.

**Binding assays**

Fresh human blood was collected in Heparin vacutainer tubes (BD Biosciences). Granulocytes, mononuclear cells and plasma were isolated by separation through a Histopaque 1077 over Histopaque 1119 (Sigma) double density centrifugation gradient according to the manufacturer’s instructions. LG-2 cells were prepared by culturing in complete RPMI-1640/10%FCS (Gibco). For neuraminidase treatment, 1x10$^7$ LG-2 cells/mL in 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM CaCl$_2$ pH 6.0 were incubated with or without 25 unit/ml neuraminidase (New England Biolabs) for 1 h at 37˚ in a 5% CO$_2$ incubator with occasional mixing. To isolate mouse leukocytes, female
BALB/c mice aged 5–6 weeks were culled via CO₂ asphyxiation and the spleens, tibiae and fibulae were removed. Single cell suspensions of splenocytes were created by pushing the tissue through a sterile metal sieve. Any remaining cells still present on the sieve were washed through with sterile PBS. Bone marrow was flushed from cut bones with PBS using a 20-gauge needle to create single cell suspensions which were filtered through a 70 μm strainer. Red blood cells were removed by passing the cell suspensions through a Histopaque 1083 (Sigma) gradient. The cells were then washed with PBS. Cell lysates were prepared by incubating 1x10⁷ cells/ml in 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 140 mM NaCl, 1% (v/v) Triton X-100, 1 mM iodoacetic acid, 1 mM PMSF, 0.025% NaN₃ for 1 hr at 4°C, before centrifugation at 20000g for 30 min.

### Table 3. Primers used in this study.

| Primer name | Primer Sequence |
|-------------|-----------------|
| selX-for    | CGGGATCCTCAACACAAATCTCAAGTG |
| selX-rev    | GCGAATTCTCAACAAATCTCAAGTG |
| selX-T1301A-for | GGGTAATATGCAATTAAGGTCAAAGAG |
| selX-T1301A-rev | CTCTAATGCAATATTTACCAAGTCAACAC |
| selX-R141A-for | CAAAAAGATGCGAAAATGTAAAGAAATACG |
| selX-R141A-rev | CATTTCGGCAATCTTTTGTAGCTTTATAG |
| SSL6-for    | CGGGATCCTCAACACAAATCTCAAGTG |
| SSL6-rev    | GGGTAATATGCAATTAAGGTCAAAGAG |
| SSL6-R181A-for | CACCATGCAATGCGGTGATGCTTTATAG |
| TSST-1 for  | CGCCCCGCTCTACAAACGTAATATAAGG |
| TSST-1 rev  | GGGTAATCTCTTAAATTCTCTGCTCTATAG |
| selX-qFOR   | TTGGGTATTACGAGAGACCT |
| selX-qREV   | GTTACCTTTAGGCAAATGTTC |
| saeR-qFOR   | CCAAGGGAATCTGTTTACAG |
| saeR-qREV   | ACCGATAGGACCTCCTGAC |
| gyrB-qFOR   | AAATCAGCTCAGTTGTCAGAG |
| gyrB-qREV   | CGAGTAAATAGCGGATTGAC |
| ftsZ-qFOR   | GGCGAGTCTATTGCTTATA |
| ftsZ-qREV   | AATCCAGTGCTACGAGT |
| selX-upper-for | CTAGATCGATGTCCTTTCAGTTATCCTCAAT |
| selX-upper-rev | ATTTAATACCTCCTTCGATGTA |
| selX-lower-for | TTTACATACAGGAGGTATAAAATGGCGGTGAATACATATAGAG |
| selX-lower-rev | CTAGGAGCTCAAGATACCATCCTGACAAAATA |
| selX-outer-for | CTATATCATTCCAGCTAAG |
| selX-outer-rev | TAATGGGTAAATGTGCCTT |
| selX-REP-for | CATACAAGTGCTGAGGTATATACACCTAAGG |
| selX-REP-rev | TCTGCCAGCGACTGTGATAG |
| selX-GSP1 | CTCTTATGCGGACTTAATG |
| selX-GSP2 | TGACGATGTTACCTTTAGGCCAATGTTCTC |
| selX-GSP3 | CTGCGAATCTCTTTATGCTTTTG |
| Abridged anchor primer | GGCCACGCGTCGACTAGTACGGGIIIGGIIIGGIIIG |
| Abridged universal amplification primer | GGCCACGCGTCGACTAGTAC |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006649.t003
10 μl of protein:sepharose slurry was added to 100 μl of cell lysate or plasma in a total volume of 0.5 ml 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 140 mM NaCl, 1 mM PMSF, 0.025% NaN₃ and incubated with slow inversion for 30 min at room temperature. The protein:sepharose was washed three times in 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 140 mM NaCl, 1% TX-100, 1 mM PMSF, 0.025% NaN₃ before being boiled in 2X sample buffer. The sample was separated by SDS-PAGE and visualized by Coomassie Blue staining or transferred to nitrocellulose membranes for Immunoblot analysis. Membranes were blocked at 4°C O/N in 10 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 120 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween-20, 5% Non-fat milk powder, probed for 1 hour at room temperature with rabbit anti-SEIX polyclonal IgG (made in-house) or rabbit anti-huDR1 polyclonal IgG (made in-house), followed by 1 hr with goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP (Dako). Chemiluminescence was performed using Supersignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Scientific) and images were captured using a LAS-3000 imager with Image Reader software (Fujifilm).

**Identification of the host proteins bound by SEIX using mass spectroscopy**

Heparinised blood was incubated in erythrocyte lysis buffer (150 mM NH₄Cl, 10 mM KHCO₃, 0.1 mM EDTA, pH 7.4) and washed 3 times in PBS. The isolated leukocyte fraction was lysed at 1x10⁷ cells/ml in 10mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 140mM NaCl, 1% (v/v) Triton X-100, 1mM iodoacetic acid, 1mM PMSF, 0.025% NaN₃ for 1hr at 4˚C prior to clarification by centrifugation at 20000xg for 30 min. 10μl of protein:sepharose slurry was added to 100μl of cell lysate in a total volume of 0.5ml 10mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 140mM NaCl, 1mM PMSF, 0.025% NaN₃ and incubated with slow inversion for 30 min at room temperature. The protein:sepharose was washed three times in 10mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 140mM NaCl, 1% TX-100, 1mM PMSF, 0.025% NaN₃. The protein:sepharose samples were incubated in 50μl elution buffer (6M urea/2M thiourea, 20mM tris (pH 8.0), 20mM NaCl, 5mM DTT) for 30 min at room temperature with frequent mixing. The samples were centrifuged and the supernatant removed with a Hamilton syringe. A further 20 μl of elution buffer was added to the beads and incubated for 10 min. The supernatants from the two elutions were combined and centrifuged again. 50 μl was taken out, snap frozen in ethanol-dry ice and stored at -80C for mass spectroscopy analysis. 10 μl of the remainder was mixed with 10 μl 2x sample buffer and 10μl of this was analysed by SDS-PAGE. Protein identification was performed by LC-MS/MS using a Sciex TripleTOF 6600 by the Mass Spectrometry Centre, Auckland Science Analytical Services, The University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand. The data was analysed using ProteinPilot 5.0.

**Alexa Fluor 488 labelling of SEIX**

Recombinant SEIX or SEIX-T130A/R141A were coupled to Alexa Fluor 488 based on the manufacturer’s recommendations. One twentieth volume of 10 mg/ml Alexa Fluor 488 (Life Technologies) in DMSO was added to 10 mg/ml SEIX in 0.1 M NaHCO₃ pH 8.3 and incubated in the dark at room temperature for 2 hours. SEIX conjugated with Alexa Fluor dye (SEIX-488 and SEIX-T130A/R141A-488) were separated from free label using a HiTrap Desalting column (GE Healthcare) in PBS pH7.4.

**Flow cytometry**

Heparinised whole human blood was incubated in erythrocyte lysis buffer. The remaining leukocytes were washed in PBS and suspended at 1 x10⁷ cells/ml in FACs buffer (PBS/1% BSA). A two-fold dilution series of SEIX-488 from 500nM was incubated with 1 x10⁶ cells at room temperature for 15 min. For competition assays, 100 nM SEIX-488 was added to 1 x10⁶ cells with or without addition of 100, 500, or 1000 nM unlabelled SEIX or SEIX-T130A/R141A and
incubated at room temperature for 15 min. Mouse cells were processed as described above. 1x10^6 mouse cells +/- 100nM SElX-488 were incubated in FACs buffer for 15 min with appropriate antibodies to identify the following populations: CD3-PE-Cy5 to identify T cells in the spleen; B220-Cytochrome to identify B cells in the spleen and bone marrow; and Gr-1-APC-Cy7 to identify myeloid cells, predominantly neutrophils, in the bone marrow. Cells were washed in FACs buffer prior to acquisition using a BD LSR II Flow Cytometer with FACsDiva (BD Biosciences). Data analysis was performed using FlowJo (FlowJo, LLC) with cell populations gated as granulocytes, monocytes, and lymphocytes based on size and granularity, or by cell-specific marker expression. Analysis of human leukocyte binding was performed in triplicate using 3 healthy individuals. The mouse cell binding was performed twice using n = 1 mouse each repeat. Statistical analysis was performed using Graphpad Prism.

Live cell imaging
Live cell imaging was performed as previously described [42]. Briefly, 1x10^5 neutrophils were adhered to L-lysine coated glass bottom dishes (World Precision Instruments) for 30 min at RT in PBS pH 7.4. 0.2 µM SElX-488 was incubated with the cells for 15 min at either 4˚C or 37˚C in PBS pH 7.4. Excess SElX-488 was washed away with PBS pH 7.4 before being viewed by the Olympus FV1000 confocal scanning microscope at 600x magnification. The analysis software used was Olympus Fluoview v1.7b with resizing performed by ImageJ v1.46.

SEIX glycan binding specificity by glycan array screening
SEIX-488 was sent to the Consortium for Functional Glycomics (CFG): Protein-Glycan Interaction Core (http://www.functionalglycomics.org/static/consortium/resources/resourcecoreh.shtml) for screening of their mammalian glycan array. Binding was analysed at 100, 200, and 500 µg/ml to version 5.0 of the printed array consisting of 611 glycans in replicates of 6. Relative binding was measured as relative fluorescent units (RFU). The average RFU value from the replicates, the standard deviation, and %CV (%CV = 100 X Std. Dev / Mean) were calculated after removing the highest and lowest values from each set of 6. The SEIX-488 used for glycan screening is referred to as SSL0 on the consortium website and data from the screening can be found via the following link: (http://www.functionalglycomics.org/glycomics/search/jsp/result.jsp?query=ssl0&cat=all).

Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) analysis
Biosensor analysis of SEIX interactions with sLeX and sLacNac were performed on a Biacore T200 (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden). Ligands were coupled using carbodiimide chemistry to a CM5 biosensor chip surface according to manufacturer’s instructions. BSA-sLeX and BSA-sLacNac (Dextra Laboratories) were coupled at 200–250 RU in 100 mM Na-Formate pH4.3. Remaining sites were blocked with BSA. Control channels for subtraction of bulk and non-specific responses were coupled with BSA to similar levels as test channels. SEIX2 and the trailing edge from size exclusion chromatography of SEIX8 (concentration series from 50–0.25 µM), in HBS-EP+ (0.01 M HEPES pH7.4, 0.15 M NaCl, 3 mM EDTA, 0.05% Surfactant P20, GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden), were passed over the immobilised ligands at 30 µl/min. The response at equilibrium (Req) was measured as the binding response plateau at 5 min. Surfaces were regenerated between cycles with 4 M GuCl. Equilibrium binding data were fitted to a steady state single binding site model using the Biacore T200 Evaluation software (GE Healthcare). For comparison with binding site mutants, SEIX proteins (20 µM) were passed over the immobilised ligand at 30 µl/min for 100 s. Sensorgram overlays were carried out using the Biacore T200 Evaluation software (GE Healthcare). Each experiment was
performed in duplicate and repeated three times. The affinity ($K_D$) values are expressed as mean ±SD of the repeats.

**Proliferation assays**

Proliferation assays were performed in 96 well U bottomed plates. Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells (PBMCs) isolated by Histopaque 1077 (Sigma) density centrifugation were suspended at $1\times10^6$ cells/ml in complete RPMI-1640/10% FCS (Gibco) and then added in an equal volume to a 10-fold dilution series (in triplicate) of toxin starting from 20μg/ml in complete RPMI-1640/10% FCS (Gibco). The plates were incubated at 37˚C in 5% CO$_2$ for 3 days. 0.25 μCi $^3$H-thymidine was added to each well and the plates incubated for a further 18 hr. The plates were harvested to filter mats and incorporation of $^3$H-thymidine into cellular DNA was determined using a Wallac Jet 1450 Microbeta Trilux liquid scintillation counter (Wallac).

**Generation of selX deletion, selXR141A, and selX repaired strains of S. aureus JSNZ**

To generate JSNZΔselx the flanking regions of selx were amplified by PCR from JSNZ genomic DNA using the primers selX-upper-for with selX-upper-rev, and selX-lower-for with selX-lower-rev (Table 3). The upper and lower flanking region PCR products were then mixed and used as template for PCR with the selx-upper-for and selx-lower-rev primers. The resulting product was cleaved at the primer-introduced restriction sites, ligated with pIMAY cleaved with the same endonucleases, and transformed into *E. coli* DC10B. After sequence confirmation the plasmid isolated from DC10B was electroporated into JSNZ. Integration of pIMAY into JSNZ and excision of selX was performed as described by Monk et. al. 2012 [69]. Confirmation of gene deletion was confirmed by sequencing with the selX-outer-for and selX-outer-rev primers (Table 3). To generate JSNZselxR141A, JSNZ genomic DNA was amplified using selX-upper-for primer with the selXj-R141A-rev primer, and selX-lower-rev primer with the selXj-R141A-for primer (Table 3). The two PCR products were mixed and used as template for amplification using the selX-upper-for and selX-lower-rev primers. To generate JSNZΔselx-REP, JSNZ genomic DNA was amplified using selX-upper-for primer with the selX-REP-rev primer, and selX-lower-rev primer with the selX-REP-for primer (Table 3). The overlapping REP primers were designed to introduce a single synonymous substitution into the selx gene [41]. The two PCR products were mixed and used as template for amplification using the selx-upper-for and selx-lower-rev primers (Table 3). The resulting products were introduced into pIMAY using the primer-introduced restriction sites and transformed into DC10B. Following sequence confirmation each plasmid was electroporated into JSNZΔselx, allelic exchange was performed as described [69], and introduction of selXR141A or selX-REP was confirmed by sequencing.

**Whole blood killing assay**

Overnight cultures of *S. aureus* JSNZ, JSNZΔselx, JSNZselxR141A, or JSNZselx-REP in tryptic soy broth were diluted 1/100 and cultured at 37˚C until mid log-phase. After suspension in Hanks balanced salt solution to an OD600 of 0.4 (= $1\times10^6$ cells/ml), $1\times10^5$ CFU *S. aureus*, JSNZΔselx, JSNZselxR141A, or JSNZselx-REP were incubated with 70% whole blood with or without recombinant SEIX at the indicated concentrations for 20 hr at 37˚C with gentle shaking. Dilutions of the suspensions at time 0 and after 20 hr were plated in triplicate onto tryptic soy agar and incubated O/N at 37˚C for enumeration. Each assay was performed in duplicate with enumerations made in triplicate on at least three individual donors. Statistics were performed using Graphpad Prism. Kruskal—Wallis one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was
performed and comparisons between samples were made using Dunn’s Multiple Comparison Test.

Transcriptional analysis of selX during murine subcutaneous infection

Subcutaneous infection of mice with S. aureus Newman or JSNZ was performed as previously described [43]. Log-phase S. aureus were washed and diluted in PBS and then mixed 1:1 in a sterile cytodex bead (Sigma) solution (0.5 g/ml in PBS). Female CD1 mice aged 7–8 weeks were anaesthetized with isoflurane, the flank area shaved and 5x10^6 CFU bacteria was injected subcutaneously into the flank. Mice were euthanized by CO₂ inhalation and abscess tissue (from 2 independent experiments containing n = 3 mice per treatment group) was aseptically collected from groups of mice at 24, 48, and 96 hours post infection. For transcript analysis, 1 ml RNAProtect Bacteria reagent (Qiagen) was added to each abscess immediately upon excision. The samples were pelleted, suspended in a further 1 ml of RNAProtect Bacteria reagent and pelleted again. The pellets were suspended in 0.3 ml of TE buffer containing 25 μg lysostaphin (Sigma) and incubated for 1 hr. Seven 0.1 mm silica/zirconia beads (Omni) and 1 ml TRIzol LS reagent (LifeTech) were added and the samples beaten in an Omni BeadRupter-24. After addition of chloroform the samples were centrifuged and the extracted RNA was ethanol precipitated. Contaminating DNA was removed using Turbo DNase (Ambion) and the bacterial RNA was enriched for using a Microbenrich kit (Ambion) and amplified using a MessageAmp II Bacteria kit (Ambion). RNA was extracted from the inoculum as for the abscess samples (with exclusion of the Microbenrich step) to provide for in vitro comparisons. Complementary DNA was synthesized from total RNA using Superscript III first strand synthesis supermix (LifeTech) and stored at -80˚C. Real time PCR analysis was performed in triplicate using an Applied Biosystems 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR System with PerfeCTa SYBR Green FastMix ROX (Quanta Biosciences) on 1/2 dilutions of the synthesized cDNA and selX-specific primers (Table 3). Real time PCR data was normalised against the reference genesftsZ and gyrB. Arbitrary gene expression values were converted to ratios against an average of the in vitro data.

Determination of the selX transcriptional start site

The identification of the selX transcriptional start site was achieved using the method described by Miller et al. 2015 [70]. Briefly, first strand cDNA was synthesised from S. aureus RNA using selX-GSP1 (Table 3) and SuperScript RT III/RNAse First Strand Synthesis Mix (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After incubation at 70˚C to terminate the reaction, the cDNA was incubated at 37˚C with RNase H (Thermo Fisher Scientific), then column purified (Zymo Research). A poly-C tail was added to the 3’ end of the cDNA using Terminal Deoxynucleotidyl Transferase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and then amplified using selX-GSP2 and the Abridged anchor primer (Table 3). A nested amplification round of PCR was performed on the product using selX-GSP3 and the Abridged universal amplification primer (Table 3). The nested product was isolated by agarose gel electrophoresis and extracted using a NucleoSpin Gel and PCR clean-up kit (Macherey-Nagel). The product was cloned into pBluescript using the restriction enzymes EcoRI and SalI and the plasmid from six positive transformants were sequenced.

Crystallization of SEIX

SEIX (10 mg/ml) was co-crystallized with 5 mM sLeX (Dextra Laboratories) in the presence of 24% polyethylene glycol (PEG) 3350 and 250 mM Tri-Lithium citrate pH 7.5 at 17˚C. Protein crystals formed within 7 days. The protein crystal was flash-cooled in the same crystallization
condition supplemented with 20% glycerol. Diffraction data was collected at 1.5418 wavelength after 2x1 sec room temperature annealing. The protein structure of SEIX8 in complex with sLeX was solved by molecular replacement with a partial model of SSL4 (PDB: 4DXG) residues 130–200 and was refined at 1.66 Å using Phaser MR and REFMAC in CCP4 suites (Table 2). Structural comparisons of the glycan binding sites were made using LSQ in coot for all atom rmsd.

The atomic coordinates and structure factors for SEIX complexed with sLeX have been deposited in the PDB under code no. 5U75.
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S1 Fig. Sequence comparison of SEIX with the SAgs and SSLs. (A) Amino acid alignment of the Group A Streptococcal and Staphylococcal SAgs with the Staphylococcal Superantigen-Like (SSL) proteins in the region of the PROSITE signature sequence PS00278. The consensus sequences of the SSLs [KE(L/I)D] and the SAgs [QE(L/I/V)D] are highlighted in yellow. The Lysine (K) of this motif conserved in the SSLs and the Glutamine (Q) of the SAgs are shown in bold type. (B) Structural alignments of selected S. aureus SAgs and SSLs showing the MHC class II α-chain binding region (upper panel) and MHC class II β-chain binding region (lower panel) generated using PROMALS3D (PROfile Multiple Alignment with predicted Local Structures and 3D constraints) (http://prodata.swmed.edu). Secondary structural elements are shown below the alignments. Amino acids that have been experimentally determined to bind MHC class II are shown highlighted in blue (MHC class II α-chain binding) or green (MHC class II β-chain binding). Amino acids from SSLs that are involved in binding to sialylated glycans are highlighted in yellow. Those that have been determined to bind sLeX by X-ray crystallography are shown in bold type.

(TIF)

S2 Fig. Host protein binding by SEIX. (A) The binding profile comparison of SEIX2 and SEIX2-R141A. Proteins from cell lysates of human PMN, PBMC, platelets, or from human plasma pulled out of solution by binding to SEIX2-sepharose, SEIX2-R141A-sepharose, or sepharose alone, and separated by reducing and denaturing SDS-PAGE (12.5%) alongside the Benchmark Protein Marker (Life Technologies). (B) Energy- and sialylated-glycan-dependent binding of SEIX to neutrophils. SEIX2 conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 (SEIX-488) was incubated with human neutrophils and monitored by live-cell confocal microscopy. After 15 minutes of incubation at 37˚C intense and localized intracellular staining of SEIX2 similar to that previously described for SSL4 and SSL11 was observed whereas no internalization was seen at 4˚C. No cell staining could be seen using fluorescently labelled SEIX2-T130A.

(TIF)

S3 Fig. Bar chart of the glycan binding profile of SEIX to the functional glycomics consortium glycan array (version PA_v5). High affinity ligands bound by SEIX are labelled with blue circles. The structures of the top binding glycans are shown in cartoon form. These are predominantly structures contain sialyl-lactosamine (sLacNac = Neu5Acα2–3Galβ1–4GlcNAc) and sialyl Lewis X (sLeX = Neu5Acα2–3Galβ1–4(Fucα1–3)GlcNAc). N-Acetylneuraminic Acid (NeuAc) purple diamond, galactose (Gal) yellow circle, N-Acetylgalactosamine (GlcNAc) blue square, fucose (Fuc) red triangle, and mannose (Man) green circle.

(TIF)
S4 Fig. Comparison of the wildtype and selX mutants of S. aureus JSNZ. (A) Analysis of the in vitro growth of S. aureus Newman, NewmanΔsaeQRS, JSNZ, JSNZΔselX, JSNZselX-REP, and JSNZselXR141A at 37˚C in tryptic soy broth. This data is a representative of two independent experiments and was performed in duplicate. (B) Detection of SElX production by Newman, NewmanΔsaeQRS, JSNZ, JSNZΔselX, JSNZselX-REP, and JSNZselX-R141A. A 5μl sample of culture supernatant from each of the indicated bacteria, growth O/N in RPMI, was separated by SDS-PAGE (12.5%) alongside 1ng of rSElX2 and rSElX8 included as controls, under reducing and denaturing conditions, and transferred to nitrocellulose. Western analysis was conducted using affinity purified rabbit anti-SElX (made in-house) and the secondary antibody goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP (AbD serotec).

(TIF)

S5 Fig. Murine models of infection. (A) Subcutaneous infection of mice with JSNZ or JSNZΔselX. CD1 mice were injected subcutaneously on the left and right flank with 5 x 10^6 CFU S. aureus JSNZ or JSNZΔselX. Tissue at the site of infection was removed and homogenised to estimate the CFU/abscess after 96 hours. Each treatment group contained n = 4 mice, each point represents a single abscess and the horizontal bar is the median value. There were no significant differences between the treatment groups (Mann-Whitney). (B) Intraperitoneal infection of mice with JSNZ or JSNZΔselX. Mice were intraperitoneally injected with 1 x 10^8 S. aureus JSNZ or JSNZΔselX. Spleen, Liver and Kidneys from individual mice were removed on day 5 post infection. Samples were homogenized and CFU enumerated in triplicates. The data shown is combined from 2 independent experiments of n = 5 mice per group. The data was analyzed by Mann-Whitney test. Statistical significance was not observed in any of the data.

(TIF)

Acknowledgments

We kindly thank Dr. Ian Monk for generously supplying us with the plasmid pIMAY and the E. coli strain DC10B, and Dr. Victor Torres for gifting us the NewmanΔsaeQRS strain. We acknowledge the support of the Functional Glycomics Consortium, San Diego, for the glycan array screening. The authors also wish to thank Martin Middleditch and the Mass Spectrometry Centre, Auckland Science Analytical Services, The University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand for assistance with the mass spectroscopy data acquisition and analysis.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Ries J. Langley, John D. Fraser.

Formal analysis: Ries J. Langley, Yi Tian Ting, Fiona Clow, Paul G. Young, Jeong Min Choi, Heather Baker.

Funding acquisition: Ries J. Langley, John D. Fraser.

Investigation: Ries J. Langley, Yi Tian Ting, Fiona Clow, Paul G. Young, Fiona J. Radcliff, Jeong Min Choi, Richard P. Sequeira.

Methodology: Ries J. Langley, Fiona Clow, Fiona J. Radcliff, Jeong Min Choi.

Project administration: Ries J. Langley.

Supervision: Ries J. Langley, Paul G. Young, Heather Baker.

Visualization: Ries J. Langley, Yi Tian Ting, Fiona Clow, Paul G. Young.

Writing – original draft: Ries J. Langley, Yi Tian Ting, Paul G. Young.
Writing – review & editing: Ries J. Langley, Fiona Clow, Fiona J. Radcliff, Jeong Min Choi, Richard P. Sequeira, Silva Holtfreter, John D. Fraser.

References
1. Tong SC, Chen L, Fowler V Jr. Colonization, pathogenicity, host susceptibility, and therapeutics for Staphylococcus aureus: what is the clinical relevance? Seminars in Immunopathology. 2012; 34(2):185–200. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00281-011-0300-x PMID: 22160374
2. Williamson DA, Heffernan H. The changing landscape of antimicrobial resistance in New Zealand. N Z Med J. 2014; 127(1403):41–54. PMID: 25290498
3. Wertheim HF, Melles DC, Vo SC, van Leeuwen W, van Belkum A, Verbrugh HA, et al. The role of nasal carriage in Staphylococcus aureus infections. Lancet Infect Dis. 2005; 5(12):751–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(05)70295-4 PMID: 16310147
4. von Eiff C, Becker K, Machka K, Stammer H, Peters G. Nasal Carriage as a Source of Staphylococcus aureus Bacteraemia. New England Journal of Medicine. 2001; 344(1):11–6. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM200101043440102 PMID: 11136954
5. Wertheim HF, Vos MC, Ott A, van Belkum A, Voss A, Kluytmans JA, et al. Risk and outcome of nosocomial Staphylococcus aureus bacteraemia in nasal carriers versus non-carriers. Lancet. 2004; 364(9435):703–5.
6. Kuroda M, Ohta T, Uchiyama I, Baba T, Yuzawa H, Kobayashi I, et al. Whole genome sequencing of meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Lancet. 2001; 357(9264):1225–40. PMID: 11418146
7. Lowy FD. Staphylococcus aureus Infections. New England Journal of Medicine. 1998; 339(8):520–32. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199808203390806 PMID: 9709046
8. Commons RJ, Smeesters PR, Proft T, Fraser JD, Robins-Browne R, Curtis N. Streptococcal superantigens: categorization and clinical associations. Trends Mol Med. 2014; 20(1):48–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmed.2013.10.004 PMID: 24210845
9. Fleischer B. A conserved mechanism of T lymphocyte stimulation by microbial exotoxins. Microb Pathog. 1989; 7(2):79–83. PMID: 2512465
10. Fraser J, Arcus V, Kong P, Baker E, Proft T. Superantigens—powerful modifiers of the immune system. Mol Med Today. 2000; 6(3):125–32. PMID: 10669316
11. Herman A, Kappler JW, Marrack P, Pullen AM. Superantigens: mechanism of T-cell stimulation and role in immune responses. Annu Rev Immunol. 1991; 9:745–72. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.immunol.9.040191.003525 PMID: 1832875
12. Kotb M. Bacterial pyrogenic exotoxins as superantigens. Clin Microbiol Rev. 1995; 8(3):411–26. PMID: 7553574
13. Li H, Llera A, Malchiodi EL, Mariuzza RA. The structural basis of T cell activation by superantigens. Annu Rev Immunol. 1999; 17:435–66. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.immunol.17.1.435 PMID: 1058765
14. McCormick JK, Yanwood JM, Schlevert PM. Toxic shock syndrome and bacterial superantigens: an update. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2001; 55:77–104. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.55.1.77 PMID: 11544390
15. Proft T, Fraser JD. Bacterial superantigens. Clin Exp Immunol. 2003; 133(3):299–306. https://doi.org/10.1046/1365-2249.2003.02203.x PMID: 12930353
16. Schlevert PM. Role of superantigens in human disease. J Infect Dis. 1993; 167(5):997–1002. PMID: 8486972
17. Spaulding AR, Salgado-Pabon W, Kohler PL, Horswill AR, Leung DY, Schlevert PM. Staphylococcal and streptococcal superantigen exotoxins. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2013; 26(3):422–47. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00104-12 PMID: 23824366
18. Xu SX, McCormick JK. Staphylococcal superantigens in colonization and disease. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2012; 2(52).
19. Kasper KJ, Zeppa JJ, Wakabayashi AT, Xu SX, Mazzuca DM, Welch I, et al. Bacterial superantigens promote acute nasopharyngeal infection by Streptococcus pyogenes in a human MHC Class II-dependent manner. PLoS pathogens. 2014; 10(5):e1004155. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004155 PMID: 24875883
20. Xu SX, Gilmore KJ, Szabo PA, Zeppa JJ, Baroja ML, Haeryfar SM, et al. Superantigens subvert the neutrophil response to promote abscess formation and enhance Staphylococcus aureus survival in vivo. Infection and Immunity. 2014; 82(9):3588–98. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.02110-14 PMID: 24914221
21. Langley RJ, Fraser JD, Proft T. Bacterial superantigen and superantigen-like toxins. In: Alouf J, Ladant D, Popoff MR, editors. The Comprehensive Sourcebook of Bacterial Protein Toxins (Fourth Edition). Boston: Academic Press; 2015. p. 911–74.
22. Ono HK, Sato’o Y, Narita K, Naito I, Hirose S, Hisatsune J, et al. Identification and Characterization of a Novel Staphylococcal Emetic Toxin. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2015; 81(20):7034–40. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01873-15 PMID: 26231643
23. Fraser JD, Proft T. The bacterial superantigen and superantigen-like proteins. Immunol Rev. 2008; 225:226–43. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-065X.2008.00681.x PMID: 18837785
24. Langley R, Patel D, Jackson N, Clow F, Fraser JD. Staphylococcal superantigen super-domains in immune evasion. Crit Rev Immunol. 2010; 30(2):149–65. PMID: 20370627
25. Langley RJ, Fraser JD. The Staphylococcal Superantigen-like Toxins. In: Proft T, editor. Bacterial Toxins: Genetics, Cellular Biology and Practical Applications Norfolk, UK: Caister Academic Press; 2013. p. 129–56.
26. Arcus VL, Langley R, Proft T, Fraser JD, Baker EN. The Three-dimensional Structure of a Superantigen-like Protein, SET3, from a Pathogenicity Island of the Staphylococcus aureus Genome. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2002; 277(35):32274–81. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M203914200 PMID: 12082105
27. Langley R, Wines B, Willoughby N, Basu I, Proft T, Fraser JD. The Staphylococcal Superantigen-like Protein 7 Binds IgA and Complement C5 and Inhibits IgA-FcR Binding and Serum Killing of Bacteria. The Journal of Immunology. 2005; 174(5):2926–33. PMID: 15728504
28. Lorenz N, Clow F, Radcliff FJ, Fraser JD. Full functional activity of SSL7 requires binding of both complement C5 and IgA. Immunol Cell Biol. 2013; 91(7):469–76. https://doi.org/10.1038/icb.2013.28 PMID: 23797068
29. Itoh S, Hamada E, Kamoshida G, Yokoyama R, Takii T, Onozaki K, et al. Staphylococcal superantigen-like protein 10 (SSL10) binds to human immunoglobulin G (IgG) and inhibits complement activation via the classical pathway. Molecular Immunology. 2010; 47(4):932–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2009.09.027 PMID: 19913916
30. Patel D, Wines BD, Langley RJ, Fraser JD. Specificity of Staphylococcal Superantigen-like Protein 10 toward the Human IgG1 Fc Domain. The Journal of Immunology. 2010; 184(11):6283–92. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.0904995 PMID: 20427768
31. Bardool BW, Vos R, Bouman T, Aerts PC, Bestebroer J, Huizinga EG, et al. Evasion of Toll-like receptor 2 activation by staphylococcal superantigen-like protein 3. J Mol Med. 2012; 90(10):1109–20. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00109-012-0926-8 PMID: 22714643
32. Yokoyama R, Itoh S, Kamoshida G, Takii T, Fuji S, Tsuji T, et al. Staphylococcal Superantigen-like Protein 3 Binds to the Toll-like Receptor 2 Extracellular Domain and Inhibits Cytokine Production Induced by Staphylococcus aureus, Cell Wall Component, or Lipopeptides in Murine Macrophages. Infection and Immunity. 2012; 80(8):2816–25. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00399-12 PMID: 22665377
33. Bestebroer J, Poppelier MJJ, Ulfman LH, Lenting PJ, Denis CV, van Kessel KPM, et al. Staphylococcal superantigen-like 5 binds PSGL-1 and inhibits P-selectin-mediated neutrophil rolling. Blood. 2007; 109(7):2936–43. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2006-06-015461 PMID: 17132726
34. Chung MC, Wines BD, Baker H, Langley RJ, Fraser JD. The crystal structure of staphylococcal superantigen-like protein 11 in complex with sialyl Lewis X reveals the mechanism for cell binding and immune inhibition. Molecular Microbiology. 2007; 66(6):1342–55. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.05989.x PMID: 18045383
35. Bardoel BW, Vos R, Bouman T, Aerts PC, Bestebroer J, Huizinga EG, et al. Evasion of Toll-like receptor 2 activation by staphylococcal superantigen-like protein 3. J Mol Med. 2012; 90(10):1109–20. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00109-012-0926-8 PMID: 22714643
36. Voter HM, Basu I, Chung MC, Caradoc-Davies T, Fraser JD, Baker EN. Crystal Structures of the Staphylococcal Toxin SSL5 in Complex with Sialyl Lewis X Reveal a Conserved Binding Site that Shares Common Features with Viral and Bacterial Sialic Acid Binding Proteins. Journal of Molecular Biology. 2007; 374(5):1298–308. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2007.09.081 PMID: 17996251
37. Bestebroer J, van Kessel KPM, Azouagh H, Walenkamp AM, Boer IGJ, Romijn RA, et al. Staphylococcal SSL5 inhibits leukocyte activation by chemokines and anaphylatoxins. Blood. 2009; 113(2):328–37. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2008-04-153882 PMID: 18941109
38. De Haas CJ, Weertering S, Vughs MM, De Groote PG, Van Strijp JA, Lisman T. Staphylococcal superantigen-like 5 activates platelets and supports platelet adhesion under flow conditions, which involves glycoprotein Iba and αIIbβ3. Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis. 2009; 7(11):1867–74. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1538-7836.2009.03564.x PMID: 19656281
39. Fevre C, Bestebroer J, Memiuss MM, de Haas CJ, van Strijp JA, Fitzgerald JR, et al. Staphylococcus aureus proteins SSL6 and SEIX interact with neutrophil receptors as identified using secretome phase display. Cell Microbiol. 2014; 16(10):12313.
Potential Inhibitors. PLoS One. 2011; 6(4):e19190. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0019190

40. Itoh S, Hamada E, Kamoshida G, Takeshita K, Oku T, Tsuji T. Staphylococcal Superantigen-Like Protein 5 Inhibits Matrix Metalloproteinase 9 from Human Neutrophils. Infection and Immunity. 2010; 78 (7):3298–305. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00178-10 PMID: 20479083

41. Wilson GJ, Seo KS, Cartwright RA, Connelley T, Chuang-Smith ON, Merriman JA, et al. A novel core genome-encoded superantigen contributes to lethality of community-associated MRSA necrotizing pneumonia. PLoS Pathog. 2011; 7(10):e1002271. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002271 PMID: 22022262

42. Hermans SJ, Baker HM, Sequeira RP, Langley RJ, Baker EN, Fraser JD. Structural and Functional Properties of Staphylococcal Superantigen-Like Protein 4. Infection and Immunity. 2012; 80(11):4004–13. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00764-12 PMID: 22949551

43. Holtfreter S, Radcliff FJ, Grumann D, Read H, Johnson S, Monecke S, et al. Characterization of a mouse-adapted Staphylococcus aureus strain. PLoS One. 2013; 8(9).

44. Moza B, Varma AK, Buonpane RA, Zhu P, Herfst CA, Nicholson MJ, et al. Structural basis of T-cell specificity and activation by the bacterial superantigen TSST-1. The EMBO Journal. 2007; 26(4):1187–97. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7601531 PMID: 17268555

45. Fraser JD, Proft T. The bacterial superantigen and superantigen-like proteins. Immunological Reviews. 2008; 225(1):226–43.

46. Fernandez MM, Guan R, Swaminathan CP, Mariuzza RA. Crystal structure of staphylococcal enterotoxin I (SEI) in complex with a human major histocompatibility class II molecule. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2006; 281(35):25356–64. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M603969200 PMID: 16829512

47. Li Y, Li H, Dimasi N, McCormick JK, Martin R, Schuck P, et al. Crystal structure of a superantigen bound to the high-affinity, zinc-dependent site on MHC class II. Immunity. 2001; 14(1):93–104. PMID: 11163233

48. Jardetzky TS, Brown JH, Gorga JC, Chi YI, et al. Three-dimensional structure of a human class II histocompatibility molecule complexed with superantigen. Nature. 1994; 368 (6473):711–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/368711a0 PMID: 8152483

49. Kim J, Urban RG, Strominger JL. Toxic shock syndrome toxin-1 complexed with a class II major histocompatibility molecule HLA-DR1. Science (New York, NY). 1994; 266(5192):1870–4.

50. Gorga JC, Horejsi V, Johnson DR, Strominger JL. Purification and characterization of class II histocompatibility antigens from a homozygous human B cell line. The Journal of biological chemistry. 1987; 262(33):16087–94. PMID: 2824477

51. Benson MA, Lilo S, Wasserman GA, Thoendel M, Smith A, Horswill AR, et al. Staphylococcus aureus regulates the expression and production of the staphylococcal superantigen-like secreted proteins in a Rot-dependent manner. Molecular Microbiology. 2011; 81(3):659–75. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2011.07720.x PMID: 21651625

52. Nygaard TK, Pallister KB, Ruzhevich P, Griffin S, Vuong C, Voyich JM. SaeR binds a consensus sequence within virulence gene promoters to advance USA300 pathogenesis. J Infect Dis. 2010; 201 (2):241–54. https://doi.org/10.1086/649570 PMID: 20001858

53. Sun F, Li C, Jeong D, Sohn C, He C, Bae T. In the Staphylococcus aureus two-component system sae, the response regulator SaeR binds to a direct repeat sequence and DNA binding requires phosphorylation by the sensor kinase SaeS. J Bacteriol. 2010; 192(8):2111–27. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01524-09 PMID: 20172998

54. Pozzi C, Lofano G, Mancini F, Soldaini E, Speziale P, De Gregorio E, et al. Phagocyte subsets and lymphocyte clonal deletion behind ineffective immune response to Staphylococcus aureus. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2015; 39(5):750–63. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuv024 PMID: 25994610

55. Missiakas D, Schneewind O. Staphylococcus aureus vaccines: Deviating from the carol. The Journal of Experimental Medicine. 2016; 213(9):1645–53. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20160569 PMID: 27526714

56. Pozzi C, Bagnoi F, Rappuoli R. Staphylococcus aureus coagulase R domain, a new evasion mechanism and vaccine target. The Journal of Experimental Medicine. 2016; 213(3):292. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.2133insight1 PMID: 26951364

57. Benson MA, Lilo S, Nygaard T, Voyich JM, Torres VJ. Rot and SaeRS Cooperate To Activate Expression of the Staphylococcal Superantigen-Like Exoproteins. Journal of Bacteriology. 2012; 194 (16):4355–65. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00706-12 PMID: 22685286

58. Geiger T, Goerke C, Mainiero M, Kraus D, Wolz C. The virulence regulator Sae of Staphylococcus aureus: promoter activities and response to phagocytosis-related signals. Journal of Bacteriology. 2008; 190(10):3419–28. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01927-07 PMID: 18344360
59. Palazzolo-Ballance AM, Reniere ML, Braughton KR, Sturdevant DE, Otto M, Kreiswirth BN, et al. Neutrophil Microbicidal Activity Induces a Pathogen Survival Response in Community-Associated Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus. The Journal of Immunology. 2008; 180(1):500–9. PMID: 18097052

60. Voyich JM, Vuong C, DeWald M, Nygaard TK, Kocianova S, Griffith S, et al. The SaeR/S Gene Regulatory System Is Essential for Innate Immune Evasion by Staphylococcus aureus. Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2009; 199(11):1698–706. https://doi.org/10.1086/598967 PMID: 19374556

61. Adhikari RP, Novick RP. Regulatory organization of the staphylococcal sae locus. Microbiology (Reading, England). 2008; 154(Pt 3):949–59.

62. Kobzdej MM, Leppanen A, Ramachandran V, Cummings RD, McEver RP. Discordant expression of selectin ligands and sialyl Lewis x-related epitopes on murine myeloid cells. Blood. 2002; 100 (13):4485–94. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2002-06-1799 PMID: 12393554

63. Antonopoulos A, North SJ, Haslam SM, Dell A. Glycosylation of mouse and human immune cells: insights emerging from glycomics analyses. Biochem Soc Trans. 2011; 39(5):1334–40. https://doi.org/10.1042/BST0391334 PMID: 21936811

64. Parker D, Ryan CL, Alonso F 3rd, Torres VJ, Planet PJ, Prince AS. CD4+ T cells promote the pathogenesis of Staphylococcus aureus pneumonia. The Journal of infectious diseases. 2015; 211(5):835–45. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiu525 PMID: 25240171

65. Allard M, Ster C, Jacob CL, Scholl D, Diarra MS, Lacasse P, et al. The expression of a putative exotoxin and an ABC transporter during bovine intramammary infection contributes to the virulence of Staphylococcus aureus. Vet Microbiol. 2013; 162(2–4):761–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2012.09.029 PMID: 23116586

66. Haas P-J, de Haas CJC, Poppelier MJJC, van Kessel KPM, van Strijp JAG, Dijkstra K, et al. The Structure of the C5a Receptor-blocking Domain of Chemotaxis Inhibitory Protein of Staphylococcus aureus is Related to a Group of Immune Evasive Molecules. Journal of Molecular Biology. 2005; 353(4):859–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2005.09.014 PMID: 16213522

67. Petersson K, Forsberg G, Walse B. Interplay between superantigens and immunoreceptors. Scand J Immunol. 2004; 59(4):345–55. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0300-9475.2004.01404.x PMID: 15049778

68. Sundberg EJ, Deng L, Mariuzza RA. TCR recognition of peptide/MHC class II complexes and superantigens. Seminars in Immunology. 2007; 19(4):262–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smim.2007.04.006 PMID: 17560120

69. Monk IR, Shah IM, Xu M, Tan MW, Foster TJ. Transforming the untransformable: application of direct transformation to manipulate genetically Staphylococcus aureus and Staphylococcus epidermidis. MBio. 2012; 3(2).

70. Miller E. Rapid Amplification of cDNA Ends for RNA Transcript Sequencing in Staphylococcus. Methods Mol Biol. 2016; 1373:169–83. https://doi.org/10.1007/7651_2015_282 PMID: 26187203