Fibronectin induces macrophage migration through a SFK-FAK/CSF-1R pathway
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ABSTRACT
Integrins, following binding to proteins of the extracellular matrix (ECM) including collagen, laminin and fibronectin (FN), are able to transduce molecular signals inside the cells and to regulate several biological functions such as migration, proliferation and differentiation. Besides activation of adaptor molecules and kinases, integrins transactivate Receptor Tyrosine Kinases (RTK). In particular, adhesion to the ECM may promote RTK activation in the absence of growth factors. The Colony-Stimulating Factor-1 Receptor (CSF-1R) is a RTK that supports the survival, proliferation, and motility of monocytes/macrophages, which are essential components of innate immunity and cancer development. Macrophage interaction with FN is recognized as an important aspect of host defense and wound repair. The aim of the present study was to investigate on a possible cross-talk between FN-elicited signals and CSF-1R in macrophages. FN induced migration in BAC1.2F5 and J774 murine macrophage cell lines and in human primary macrophages. Adhesion to FN determined phosphorylation of the Focal Adhesion Kinase (FAK) and Src Family Kinases (SFK) and activation of the SFK/FAK complex, as witnessed by paxillin phosphorylation. SFK activity was necessary for FAK activation and macrophage migration. Moreover, FN-induced migration was dependent on FAK in either murine macrophage cell lines or human primary macrophages. FN also induced FAK-dependent/ligand-independent CSF-1R phosphorylation, as well as the interaction between CSF-1R and β1. CSF-1R activity was necessary for FN-induced macrophage migration. Indeed, genetic or pharmacological inhibition of CSF-1R prevented FN-induced macrophage migration. Our results identified a new SFK-FAK/CSF-1R signaling pathway that mediates FN-induced migration of macrophages.
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Introduction
Cell adhesion to the extracellular matrix (ECM) plays an important role in the regulation of different cellular functions such as survival, proliferation, and migration. The latter phenomenon is mediated by different cell surface receptors, including integrins.1,2 Integrins are heterodimers, composed of one α and one β subunit, endowed with a large extracellular domain that binds to ECM components.3-5 Integrins couple the ECM to the actin cytoskeleton, by recruiting proteins such as paxillin and vinculin, and are involved in focal adhesion formation.1,4 Different members of the integrin family include the receptors for fibronectin (FN), collagen and laminin.6 In particular, FN has an important role in inducing cell attachment and migration and is involved in the pathogenesis of several diseases including cancer.6-8 Moreover, interaction of the cell with the ECM regulates differentiation and motility, that are often deregulated during cancer onset and progression.4

FN elicits intracellular signaling by inducing integrin clustering, that results in the recruitment and activation of tyrosine kinases including focal adhesion kinase (FAK), Src family kinases (SFK) and their substrates.1 FAK is a non-receptor tyrosine kinase that is auto-phosphorylated at tyrosine 397 (Y397) following integrin clustering. Phosphorylated Y397 is a binding site for SFK, that phosphorylate FAK further, leading to the formation of an active SFK/FAK complex.1,9 Integrins may also induce ligand-independent tyrosine phosphorylation and activation of Receptor Tyrosine Kinases (RTK).10,11

The Colony-Stimulating Factor-1 (CSF-1, also referred to as Macrophage Colony-Stimulating Factor), by binding to its receptor CSF-1R, sustains the survival, proliferation, differentiation and motility of monocytes/macrophages.12 CSF-1R and the αvβ3 integrin collaborate during differentiation of osteoclasts, that are CSF-1R expressing cells.13 Because macrophage interaction with FN is important in inflammation and host defense as well as cancer progression,14 we undertook the present study to investigate on a possible cross-talk between FN-induced signaling and CSF-1R in macrophages.
Results

**FN induces migration and FAK activation in macrophages**

Early studies indicated that FN may reduce Hartley guinea pig macrophage migration in fibrin gel matrices *in vitro*. By contrast, it has been recently reported that FN may be a good substrate for random migration of primary murine macrophages. We further deepened the relevance of FN with respect to macrophage migration (Fig. 1) and found that BAC1.2F5 murine macrophages migrate toward FN in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 1A). This effect was marked, and comparable to that determined by CSF-1, the master regulator of macrophage functions, including migration. Polylsine (PL), a non-specific cell attachment factor that does not induce integrin clustering, did not affect macrophage migration (Fig. 1A). It is well known that FN induces FAK phosphorylation in several cell types. We were among the first to identify the important role of FAK in macrophage biology. Moreover, later evidences definitively linked FAK to macrophage migration. In particular, experiments carried out using murine FAK<sup>−/−</sup> cells showed that FAK mediates haptotaxis elicited by the activation of α<sub>5</sub>β1 integrin, that binds a number of substrates including FN. We therefore characterized the effects of FN on FAK activation in macrophages. No previous data were indeed available on a possible link between FN-elicited signaling and FAK phosphorylation in macrophages. Adhesion on FN induced FAK activation, as indicated by the robust increase of total tyrosine phosphorylation of FAK and paxillin, a well-known substrate of FAK. In contrast, PL failed to induce marked and prolonged phosphorylation of FAK and paxillin (Fig. 1B). Accordingly, cell spreading on FN was much more marked than that on PL (Fig. 1C), as indicated by the significantly higher mean cell surface area following 30-minute adhesion on FN than on
The effect of FN on macrophage migration and FAK activation, as witnessed by FAK phosphorylation on Y397, was also confirmed in the murine macrophage cell line J774 (Fig. 1D and E) and in primary human macrophages (Fig. 1F and G).

**FAK mediates FN-induced macrophage migration**

FAK is a master regulator of focal adhesion remodeling during cell migration and is involved in macrophage migration toward CSF-1 as well as in haptotaxis elicited by FN-induced α5β1 integrin activation in primary murine macrophages. To evaluate whether FAK is involved in macrophage migration toward FN, we silenced FAK using specific siRNA (Fig. 2A). FAK silencing prevented FN-induced migration of BAC1.2F5 (Fig. 2B) and J774 (Fig. 2C) cells. Furthermore, pharmacological inhibition of FAK, using the FAK inhibitor PF-573228 that prevented FN-induced FAK activation (Fig. 2D), determined comparable results in either BAC1.2F5 (Fig. 2E) or primary human macrophages (Fig. 2F). These findings demonstrated that FAK mediates FN-induced macrophage migration.

**FN-induced FAK activation requires a functional cytoskeleton and is mediated by SFK**

FN-induced FAK phosphorylation was abolished by pretreatment with cytochalasin D (CD), an inhibitor of actin polymerization (Fig. 3A; compare lanes 7 and 8 to 2 and...
3, respectively). These results confirmed that also in macrophages actin polymerization is important for FAK activation.23 Macrophages and BAC1.2F5 cells are known to express several SFK24 that bind to FAK following its auto-phosphorylation on Y397.9 Adhesion on FN induced SFK activation, as determined by evaluating SFK phosphorylation using an antibody raised against the Src-activating residue Y416 (Fig. 3B; compare lanes 2 and 3 to 1). It should be noted that adhesion on PL (lanes 4 and 5) induced SFK phosphorylation at levels comparable to those induced by adhesion on FN. Nevertheless, following adhesion on PL, the SFK/FAK complex is likely to be inactive, as witnessed by the lack of paxillin phosphorylation (Fig. 1B). However, we cannot exclude that this lack is a consequence of the action of phosphatases. Pretreatment of cells with the SFK inhibitor PP2 prevented FN-induced FAK tyrosine phosphorylation, indicating that FN-induced FAK activation involves SFK (Fig. 3B; compare lanes 7 and 8 to 2 and 3, respectively). In keeping with the fact that SFK-dependent FAK activity is necessary for macrophage migration, FN-induced macrophage migration was completely suppressed by the treatment with either SU6656 or PP2, two SFK chemical inhibitors (Fig. 3C). In the experiments of Figure 3B and C, we were unable to discriminate which SFK was involved in FAK activation. It should also be noted that SU6656 or PP2 (Fig. 3C) and PF-573228 (Fig. 2E and F) not only suppressed the increase of BAC1.2F5 cell migration in the presence of FN, but even reduced migration below that of untreated cells. This effect was not due to a decrease in cell adhesiveness. Indeed, PF-573228, PP2, SU6656 (and even GW2580), used at the same concentrations, had no effect on the ability of BAC1.2F5 cells to adhere onto cell culture plastics (as a surrogate for polycarbonate - i.e. plastic - nucleopore filters) with respect to vehicle-treated cells (not shown).

**FN induces FAK-dependent CSF-1R phosphorylation that is required for macrophage migration**

The existence of a cross-talk between integrins and RTK has been previously reported.25 In order to identify a possible cross-talk between FN-elicited signals and CSF-1R, we determined whether adhesion on FN was able to induce CSF-1R phosphorylation (Fig. 4). We found that FN induced CSF-1R phosphorylation, as determined by evaluating CSF-1R phosphorylation on Y723, in BAC1.2F5 (Fig. 4A) and J774 (Fig. 4B) macrophages, but also in NIH/3T3 fibroblasts expressing ectopic CSF-1R (Fig. 4C). In the latter cells, as expected, FN also induced FAK and paxillin phosphorylation. FN-induced CSF-1R phosphorylation was already marked after 10 minutes of stimulation (not shown). We then tested the impact of CSF-1R inhibition on FN-induced macrophage migration.
migration. Genetic inhibition of CSF-1R by specific siRNA (Fig. 4F) markedly reduced this migration in BAC1.2F5 (Fig. 4D) and J774 cells (Fig. 4E). Furthermore, pharmacological inhibition of CSF-1R by GW2580 (Fig. 4G) prevented FN-induced migration in BAC1.2F5 cells (Fig. 4H) and human primary macrophages (Fig. 4I). These results demonstrated that FN-induced macrophage migration requires CSF-1R activity.

**FN induces FAK-dependent CSF-1R activation**

In order to characterize the mechanisms of the cross-talk between FN-elicited signals and CSF-1R, we tested whether FAK is involved. Genetic or pharmacological inhibition of FAK markedly reduced CSF-1R phosphorylation (Fig. 5A, B), indicating that FAK mediates FN-induced CSF-1R phosphorylation. Previous reports indicated that β1 integrin, which is important for macrophage migration, is involved in integrin-RTK cross-talk. Co-immunoprecipitation experiments (Fig. 5C) showed that the association of CSF-1R with β1 integrin is increased after 10 minutes of stimulation with FN. This interaction is maintained after 20 minutes and starts to decrease after 30 minutes. This association was confirmed by the co-localization of CSF-1R together with β1 integrin at the level of cell edges following adhesion onto FN (Fig. 5D). CSF-1R and β1 integrin appear to co-localize in structures that look more like ruffles than extending lamellipodia. This is possibly due to the fact that in the time-frame of the experiments of Figure 5D cells are adhering rather than moving. These results indicated that the formation of molecular complexes is the basis of the cross-talk between FN-elicited signals and CSF-1R.

**Discussion**

An altered expression of FN is observed in several pathological contexts, including inflammation and cancer.
Moreover, increased macrophage numbers may, with few exceptions, exacerbate inflammatory diseases and enhance cancer progression. In this work, we identified a new pathway, SFK-FAK > CSF-1R, relevant for FN-induced macrophage migration. To strengthen our results, we used two different murine cell lines, one dependent on and one independent of CSF-1, as well as primary human macrophages.

We found that FN stimulates the migration of murine or human macrophages and the activation of SFK/FAK complex, as witnessed by the increased phosphorylation of activating residues in either kinase, as well as of paxillin. We also observed that macrophage migration depends on FAK activity. A second member of the FAK family, Pyk2 (Proline-rich tyrosine kinase), is known to be central to macrophage migration. Nevertheless, the specific involvement of FAK rather than of PYK2 was revealed using FAK-specific siRNA, as well as the chemical compound PF-573228, a selective inhibitor of FAK (IC₅₀=4 nM) over Pyk2 (IC₅₀>1µM). Our results are at variance with those showing that FAK phosphorylation in primary murine macrophages results in the increase of adhesion rather than chemotaxis. These differences may be due to the different models used. Importantly, the fact that FAK inhibition markedly impaired macrophage migration is in keeping with a previous report indicating that the motility defects exhibited by FAK⁻/⁻ macrophages are not compensated by endogenous Pyk2.

We also found that FAK activation and macrophage migration depend on SFK, as reported in other cellular contexts. Moreover, FN-induced FAK activation was found to be dependent on cytoskeleton integrity, in keeping with the fact that, in response to migration stimuli, macrophages are known to polarize and extend lamellipodia and filopodia in the direction of the increasing chemotactic gradient. Indeed, the formation of these protrusive structures is controlled by the dynamic reorganization of actin cytoskeleton.

The fact that FN induced a ligand-independent CSF-1R phosphorylation is in keeping with what already reported for other RTK following cross-talk with integrins. Moreover, FN-induced CSF-1R phosphorylation was a rapid event, in keeping with previous studies.
relative to other RTK such as EGFR.\textsuperscript{36} We also demonstrated that the trans-activation of CSF-1R by adhesion stimuli is relevant to macrophage migration. Indeed, inhibition of CSF-1R impaired FN-induced migration. This is consistent with the notion that CSF-1R activation induces morphological changes in response to CSF-1, including lamellipodia formation, dorsal ruffling, polarization, and CSF-1-directed chemotaxis.\textsuperscript{18,37} Moreover, activated CSF-1R triggers the small GTPases Rac1 and Cdc42, which contribute to membrane ruffling and cell polarization.\textsuperscript{37} An intriguing result was the fact that FN-induced CSF-1R phosphorylation occurred in fibroblasts expressing ectopic CSF-1R. This result points to a possibly wider role of this phenomenon in other CSF-1R-expressing cells including dendritic or tumor cells.\textsuperscript{29,38}

Different mechanisms are involved in the transactivation of RTK by integrin ligands.\textsuperscript{11} In particular, kinases and adaptor molecules may be involved in the cross-talk between integrins and RTK. The mechanism we envision for the cross-talk between FN and CSF-1R in macrophages is summarised in Figure 6. Our results indicated that the SFK/FAK complex is necessary for FN-induced transactivation of CSF-1R. Moreover, we found that CSF-1R and \( \beta_1 \) integrin co-immunoprecipitate following stimulation with FN. The fact that CSF-1R and \( \beta_1 \) integrin co-localize at the level of cell edges further supports their association. Nevertheless, we could not exclude an effect on CSF-1R stabilization following interaction with integrins.\textsuperscript{11} We recently reported that CSF-1R may be trans-activated by the G-protein-coupled receptor for prostaglandin E2 and that CSF-1R is involved in macrophage migration induced by prostaglandin E2.\textsuperscript{17} Therefore, CSF-1R appears to be an important junction for the regulation of macrophage migration in response to stimuli surrounding macrophages within physiologic as well as pathological microenvironments.

**Materials and methods**

**Cells and cell culture**

Murine macrophages of the BAC1.2F5 cell line, which depends on CSF-1 for survival and proliferation were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 4 mM glutamine, 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS) and 10% L929 cell-conditioned medium as a source of CSF-1 (“complete medium”) as previously described.\textsuperscript{17} NIH-3T3 murine fibroblasts expressing ectopic CSF-1R (NIH/3T3-Fms; kind gift of M.F. Roussel, St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital, Memphis, TN, USA) and J774 murine macrophage cell line, derived from a reticular cell sarcoma, were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 4 mM glutamine and 10 % FBS.\textsuperscript{39} Primary human macrophages were obtained following differentiation of monocytes from peripheral blood of healthy donor after informed consent (and after approval of the project BIO 14.015 by the ethical committee of Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria di Careggi, Firenze, Italy; prot. no 2014/00 26551). Mononucleated cells were obtained by density gradient centrifugation with Lympholyte (CEDARLANE) from buffy-coat preparations following the manufacturer’s instructions. Monocytes were then separated from lymphocytes by adherence to tissue culture plastics. Cells were incubated for 2 hours at 37 °C with 5% CO\textsubscript{2}. Non-adherent cells were then removed and adherent cells were washed 2 or 3 times with PBS (37 °C; 10 mM Na\textsubscript{2}HPO\textsubscript{4}, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) and then incubated for 7 d in RPMI culture medium supplemented with 10% FBS and 50 ng/ml human recombinant CSF-1 (ImmunoTools, Friesoythe, Germany). All the cells were incubated at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO\textsubscript{2}.

**Cell lysis and western blotting**

BAC1.2F5 cells and primary human macrophages were incubated in the absence of CSF-1 for 18 hours, whereas J774 and NIH/3T3-Fms cells in the absence of FBS for 24 hours, before being stimulated or not with the appropriate stimuli. Noteworthy, CSF-1 was never added to the cells as a stimulus in the experiments reported in the present

![Figure 6. Proposed mechanisms of FN-induced macrophage migration through the SFK-FAK/CSF-1R pathway.](image-url)
manuscript. In experiments where adhesion on FN (cat no F1141; Sigma) or poly-L-lysine (PL; cat no P6407; Sigma) was allowed, cells were kept in suspension for 45 minutes in order to lower adhesion-dependent stimuli. Cell culture Petri dishes coating was performed by incubating overnight at room temperature with different concentration of FN or PL dissolved in PBS. Dishes were then washed twice with PBS, treated with 2% heat-inactivated bovine serum albumin (cat no A3311; Sigma) for 2 h at room temperature, and washed twice with PBS prior to use.

Total cell lysates and western blotting were performed as previously described.40 To immunoprecipitate FAK, adherent cells were lysed in RIPA buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.05, 5 mM EDTA, 50 mM NaF, 30 mM Na2P2O7, 1 mM Na3VO4, 1% (v/v) Triton, 1 mM PMSF, 0.2 U/ml Aprotinin, 1 mM TPCK). Samples were then incubated with anti-FAK (C-20; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Dallas, TX, USA) primary antibodies for 2 hours at 4°C, followed by incubation with protein A-Sepharose beads overnight at 4°C. After several washes with RIPA buffer, bound proteins were eluted in Laemmli buffer (62.5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 10% glycerol, 0.005% blue bromophenol, 2% SDS) and analyzed by SDS-PAGE. Primary antibodies used for immunoblotting were: rabbit monoclonal anti-phospho-Y723-M-CSFReceptor/CSF-1R (corresponding to Y721 of murine CSF-1R, cat no 3155), rabbit polyclonal anti-phospho-Y118 Pax (cat no 2541), rabbit polyclonal anti-phospho-ERK1 (T202/Y204) and ERK2 (T185/Y187) (cat no 9101), rabbit polyclonal anti-phospho-Y416-Src (cat no 2101), from Cell Signaling Technology, Inc. (Danvers, MA, USA); rabbit polyclonal anti-phospho-Y397 FAK (cat no sc-11765), goat polyclonal anti-β1 (cat no sc-6622), rabbit polyclonal anti-FAK (sc-558), rabbit polyclonal anti-ERK1 (cat no sc-93), goat polyclonal anti-GAPDH (cat no sc-20357), rabbit polyclonal anti-CSF-1R (cat no sc-692), rabbit polyclonal anti-c-Src (cat no sc-19) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. (Dallas, TX, USA). In general, immunoblotting was performed after stripping on the same membrane or, more rarely, on parallel gels performed with the sample of the same experiment.

**Co-immunoprecipitation**

For co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP), adherent cells were lysed in Co-IP buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, 5 mM EDTA, 1% (v/v) NP-40, with 0.1 mM Na3VO4, 30 mM Na2P2O7, 50 mM NaF, 1 mM PMSF, 0.2 U/ml Aprotinin, 1 mM TPCK). Samples were then incubated with primary antibodies for 2 hours at 4°C and then with protein A-Sepharose beads overnight at 4°C. After several washes with Co-IP buffer, bound proteins were eluted in Laemmli buffer and analyzed by SDS-PAGE.

**FAK and CSF1R silencing with small interfering RNA (siRNA)**

Cells were seeded in 60mm-diameter dishes in complete medium and incubated for 24 hours (50% confluence). FAK or CSF1R gene silencing was performed with 40 nM SMARTpool siRNA, targeting FAK (cat no M-041099-00, Dharmacon Inc., Lafayette, CO, USA), targeting CSF-1R (cat no M-044650-01, Dharmacon Inc., Lafayette, CO, USA) or 40 nM siCONTROL non-targeting (siNT, cat no D-001206-13, Dharmacon Inc.) pool, as previously described.39 It should be noted that smartpool siRNA from Dharmacon are designed in order to lower off-side effects. The manufacturer’s protocol shows that, while individual duplexes delivered at 40 nM can modulate a varying numbers of off-targeted genes, transfection of the corresponding SMARTpool siRNA (40 nM total concentration) alters only a fraction of the total off-target profile. On the other hand, the SMARTpool non-targeting siRNA we used as control should result in similar off-targets effects determined by the SMARTpool siRNA targeting FAK or SMARTpool siRNA targeting CSF1R. Treatment with lipofectamine alone did not determine significant effects in migration assays or Western blotting.

**Migration assay**

Migration assay was performed as previously described.17 Briefly, macrophages (4 × 104 or 7 × 104/well for macrophage cell lines or primary human macrophages, respectively) were seeded in DMEM, in the presence or the absence of inhibitory treatment, onto the top chamber of 48-well trans-well plates equipped with 8 μm polycarbonate nucleopore filters (Neuro Probe, Gaithersburg, MD, USA). The bottom chamber was supplemented with treatment-containing or control medium. After incubation (1 or 2 hours for macrophage cell lines or primary macrophages, respectively) cells that had not migrated were removed with a cotton swab from the upper surface of filters, and cells that had migrated to the lower surface of the membrane were subjected to Diff-Quick staining (Medion Diagnostics AG, Dudingen, Switzerland) and observed with a light microscope. The number of cells per well was evaluated by counting cells in 5 randomly-chosen microscope fields (20x magnification).

**Immunofluorescence**

Cells were plated on glass coverslips that had been previously coated with 10 μg/ml PL or FN. Immunofluorescence was performed as previously described.11 Briefly, after fixation with 4% paraformaldehyde and permeabilization cells were incubated (overnight, 4°C) in PBS-1%
BSA supplemented with a 1:500 dilution of a mouse anti-Vinculin antibody (cat no V9131, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), goat anti-β1 (cat no sc-6622) or rabbit polyclonal anti-CSF-1R (cat no sc-692) and then with Cy3- or Cy2-labeled secondary antibodies (Chemicon, Temecula, CA, USA). After extensive washing, the coverslips were mounted with propyl-thiogallate and then observed with an inverted confocal Nikon Eclipse TE2000 microscope. The C1 software was used for image acquisition. Cell contours have been drawn by following focal contacts at cellular edges using ImageJ Software. The ImageJ Software for also used for cell area calculation (around 50 cells/condition were counted). Incubation with the secondary Ab alone did not produce any significant fluorescence.

**Reagents**

The inhibitors used (substrate; time of pretreatment; manufacturer) were: PP2 and SU6656 (SFK; 30 minutes; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. (Dallas, TX, USA), GW2580 (CSF-1R; 30 minutes; BioVision, Milpitas, CA, USA), PF-573228 (FAK, 30 minutes; MedChem Express). Kinase inhibitors were used at the concentrations reported in literature. Treatment with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), used as vehicle for all inhibitors, did not determine any effect in migration assays or Western blotting. Protein A Sepharose, FN and cytochalasin D (CD, cat no C8273) were from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA).

**Abbreviations**

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|-------------|
| CSF-1 | Colony-Stimulating Factor-1 |
| CSF-1R | CSF-1 Receptor |
| RTK | Receptor Tyrosine Kinase |
| FN | fibronectin |
| PL | polylysine |
| FBS | foetal bovine serum |
| DMEM | Dulbecco’s modified eagle medium |
| RPMI | Roswell Park Memorial Institute |
| ERK | Extracellular signal-Regulated Kinase |
| M-CSF | Macrophage Colony-Stimulating Factor |
| GAPDH | glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase |
| SFK | Src Family Kinases |
| MEK | Mitogen-activated ERK Kinase |
| siRNA | small interfering RNA |
| DMSO | dimethylsulfoxide |
| CD | cytochalasin D |
| FAK | Focal Adhesion Kinase |
| SEM | standard error of the mean |
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