The Current Status of the Alternative Use to Antibiotics in Poultry Production: An African Perspective
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Abstract: Over the years the growth and health of food-producing animals have been enhanced by the use of antibiotics. These have helped reduce on-farm mortalities, lower incidences of diseases and more importantly improve productivity. Generally, the utilization of antibiotics in feed has been reevaluated since bacterial pathogens have established and shared a variety of antibiotic resistance mechanisms that can easily be spread within microbial communities. Multiple countries have introduced bans or severe restrictions on the non-therapeutic use of antibiotics. This has therefore warranted the urgent need for alternatives. Africa is facing its own challenges as it has been reported to be one of the continents with the highest number of foodborne diseases with approximately 91 million related diseases and 137,000 death per annum. Stakeholder and policy direction has been put in place to curb this escalation; however, the problem persists. The use of alternatives has been recommended and some successfully used in other countries as well as Africa, including probiotics and phytochemicals. This then leads to the core aim of this review which is to (1) determine the extent to which antimicrobial-resistant pathogens have affected Africa, (2) assess the current measures put in place by Africa to reduces antimicrobial resistance and finally (3) explore the alternative use of antibiotics in poultry production. Improved sanitary conditions and farm biosecurity are important alternatives that could be adopted by farmers instead of depending on antibiotic drugs for disease control and prevention.
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1. Introduction

The use of antibiotics in the poultry sector is mainly for treatment, prophylaxis and growth promotion. In many parts of the world, food-producing animals are given antibiotics daily to make them grow faster and prevent diseases [1]. This trend is likely to continue given the growing demand for the protein of animal origin. When antibiotics are used for the purposes of growth promotion a small amount is often administered as compared to therapeutic use. Therefore, this may cause bacteria to develop resistance to antibiotics [2]. The emergence and spread of antibiotic resistance compromise the nutritional and economic potential of poultry and other food-producing animals. This is a global concern that affects both animal and human ecosystems. According to the report...
commissioned by the United Kingdom (UK), it is estimated that almost 10 million people could die of bacteria that are resistant to the antibiotic by 2050 [3]. In the United States, over 2 million people get infected by antibiotic-resistance bacteria and around 23,000 of them die due to the resistance to treatment. The World Health Organization (WHO) has published a report regarding the incidence of antibiotic-resistance which shows an increase in the Asian continent [2]. In the US and Europe alone, antimicrobial-resistant cause over 50,000 deaths annually [4]. Antimicrobial resistance threatens food security, animal welfare, longer treatment cycle and public health worldwide. There are many factors that contribute to the irrational use of antibiotic: Attitudes, perception of policymaker’s knowledge, manufacturer, prescribers, consumers and dispensers [4]. The European Union (EU) banned antibiotic use in animal production in 2006 [3]. A retrospective study analyzing the relationship between prior antibiotic use with antimicrobial-resistant was conducted in Indonesia and the results showed that patients who have a history of antibiotic use over the previous three months had shown an escalation of the probability of higher resistance matched to the patient’s history of antibiotic use over the preceding months [5].

In 2018, Africa Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (Africa CDC) developed a framework for antimicrobial resistance in Africa. Africa CDC is an agency of African Union (AU) that helps member states to detect, prevent, control and respond to diseases in Africa [6]. WHO declared the week of 18–24 November to be an annual antibiotic awareness campaign week with the aim of increased responsiveness of global antibiotic resistance hazard [7]. The cause of resistance to antibiotics is a topic that is receiving much attention, factors such as inappropriate use of antibiotics, bacterial gene mutations and horizontal gene transfer between bacterial species are amongst the key contributing factors. Gram-negative bacteria such as *Acinetobacter* spp., *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella* spp. and *Salmonella* spp. are some of the microorganisms that are extremely resistant to existing antibiotics [8]. *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella* spp., and *Campylobacter* spp. are some of the main bacteria that cause diseases in poultry. According to the WHO antibiotics such as fluoroquinolones used in agricultural animals have resulted in the development of ciprofloxacin-resistant *Salmonella*, *Campylobacter* and *E.coli*. which contributed to human infections that were difficult to treat [2]. Apart from developing antibiotic resistance, the public can also develop an allergic reaction or liver damage on the resistance of consuming antibiotic residues in animal products [9]. *Campylobacter* spp. are prevalent in South African poultry products and pose a threat to human health [10]. It can affect the gastrointestinal tract and causes diarrheal illnesses [10]. Antibiotics that are important for treating humans must be prohibited from being used in the feed as growth-promoting [2].

There are many international programs and platforms that have been developed to address the antimicrobial resistance issue. Programs such as antibiotic stewardship, therapeutic drug committee can be used as a standard measure for collecting and comparing drug utilization patterns within and between countries [11,12]. International organizations at the forefront of addressing antimicrobial resistance such as FAO, WHO, and OIE (World Organization for Animal Health) have invested enormously on advocacy on public health risk associated with the use of antibiotics. Studies examining antimicrobial use and antibiotic resistance in Africa is widely accessible. However, coordinated surveillance and monitoring of antimicrobial resistance and use in Africa is still limited. The primary objective of this paper is to review the use of antibiotics in the poultry sector in the African continent, its impact on the public and environmental health and explore the possible alternatives available in the continent.

2. Antibiotic-Resistant Pathogens in Africa

Animals that are repeatedly exposed to small quantities of antibiotics can lead to the development of selective pressure amongst the microbes within the animal. The methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* ST398 has been identified in poultry and other agricultural animals and the transmission of this strain from animals to humans has been recognized [13]. Antibiotic-resistant *campylobacter* strain
was discovered in the small-scale and commercial poultry farms in the province of Kwa-Zulu Natal, South Africa [14].

International meat and livestock trade are some of the factors that can contribute to the spread of resistant strains and genetic determinants [15]. Meat and egg imports from Europe to Africa could also increase the prevalence of antimicrobial strains. Between 1988 and 1989 the U.K government experienced a shock when they discovered Salmonella in eggs, this resulted in a reduction of 90% in egg consumption [16]. Furthermore, a study conducted by Papadopoulou et al. [17] reported that in Greece most pathogens that were isolated from eggs were coming from large commercial poultry farms. Where antibiotics are widely used as growth promoters and control of infectious diseases in chickens.

Africa produces fewer antibiotics as compared to other continents [18]. Nonetheless, many antibiotics can be bought over the counter in many African countries and this practice could play a key role in worsening antibiotic resistance. Most farmers in Africa decide to purchase antimicrobial agents without consulting any animal health professional simply because they are not accessible, or farmers do not have the means to reach those [19,20]. Counterfeit medicines are an additional issue that could jeopardize the fight against antimicrobial resistance. According to Essack et al., counterfeit medicines that have reached the shores of South Africa, are mostly imported from Pakistan and India [21].

Namibia is one of the first countries in Africa to ban the routine use of antimicrobials in cattle [22]. The South African government has developed a strategic framework to reduce the burden of antimicrobial resistance [23]. However, it is difficult to estimate the exact prevalence of antimicrobial resistance in Africa due to the low number of antimicrobial resistance surveillance programs [22]. Antimicrobial resistance is prevalent in Africa and poses a threat to food safety and security [23]. A study that was conducted by Govender and colleagues isolated Staphylococcus aureus from various poultry meat products in South Africa. Twenty-one percent of the isolates selected for sensitivity testing were methicillin-resistant strains [24].

South Africa is one of the countries that are using antibiotics in food-producing animals [25]. The poultry sector in South Africa is making low-profit margin, it is also facing challenges of cheap poultry imports and has no subsidies from the government. Therefore, the immediate ban on antibiotic growth promoters in the South African poultry sector may have a short to medium term negative impact on the food security of the entire Southern African Development Community (SADC) region [26]. The immediate ban could increase the cost of the animal product because antibiotics as growth promoters help to improve feed efficiency. Using antibiotics to treat sick animals after diagnosing the disease is imperative measures that promote the welfare of animals. However, the use of antibiotics in animals feed should be regulated [2]. The development of antibiotic resistance in Campylobacter jejuni is likely to be influenced by using antibiotic in both healthy and sick animals in poultry farms [27]. According to a study conducted by Smith et al., the veterinary students in South Africa perceived tetracycline, penicillin and sulphonamides to be the most abused antimicrobials [28]. Bester and Essack reported that tetracycline usage in South African animals’ production system is common [29]. Moreover, tylosin has been the most extensively sold antibiotic in South Africa followed by tetracyclines, sulphonamides and penicillin [14]. Withdrawal of antibiotic before slaughtering chickens is an acceptable standard practice, however, it could be difficult to monitor if small-scale rural poultry farmers are consistently following this guideline. Another study by Fielding, isolated 102 sub-species of K. pneumonia in free-range chickens, which had a high level of resistance towards antibiotics ampicillin, nalidixic acid, tetracycline, and trimethoprim [30]. Similarly, a study by Bok et al., isolated Salmonella, Aeromonas, Shigella, Campylobacter and Yersinia retail broilers in South Africa [31]. In another report by Eagar, [32] has specified that two-third of the 1500 loads of antibiotics traded for livestock use in the 3-year period, in South Africa was mostly intended for growth promotion which included substances banned by the World Health Organization. Vancomycin is an antibiotic that is normally used to treat infections on humans. A study conducted in the Western Cape province of
South Africa, showed that spent hens were 100% resistant to oxacillin, vancomycin and methicillin antibiotics, therefore, this could also pose a threat to people who eat such hens [25,33].

One health approach concept simply emphasizes that health of environment, animals and people are connected [2]. This concept could be used to manage antimicrobial-resistant and food safety concerns. Antibiotic resistance knows no boundaries and pathogens that have developed resistance to certain drugs can easily spread from countries that have good surveillance programs to those that do not, therefore, a coordinated approach is needed between developed and developing countries [34]. Resistant bacteria can be transmitted directly from human to animals and vice versa or through waste from the poultry farm to the environment. Founou et al. described antibiotics as an “endangered species” that are facing extinction because of the global development of antibiotic resistance [35]. Alternative methods that are used to reduce the use of antimicrobial-resistance include biosecurity measures, improved vaccination and good hygiene practices [36]. However, If humans have a lower good bacterium in the body as defense, they are more likely to become susceptible to resistant ones [37].

Table 1 shows the presence and prevalence of resistant Salmonella spp. to the antibiotic in the African continent. The table gives a summary of only 10 African states that have ad-hoc surveillance and monitoring programs of antimicrobial at their local institutions or respective farms. Most of the Salmonella strains were resistant to tetracycline.
Table 1. Presence of varying concentration of Salmonella and antibiotic resistance in Poultry farms in 10 African countries.

| Country    | Antibiotic Resistance                                                                 | Concentration                                                                 | Species/Sample                                                                 | Sources                  |
|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Nigeria    | Oxacillin (100%), Ampicillin (96%), Tylosin (93.9%), Ceftazidime (83.7%), Oxytetracycline (63.3%) | Six Salmonella isolates were identified: S. Gallinarum 57.2%, S. Typhimurium 8.2%, S. Typhi 20.4%, S. Pullorum 6.1%, S. Enteritidis 6.1%, S. Paratyphi A 2.0% | Poultry droppings poultry feeds, feces and hand swabs from poultry farm workers. | Agada et al. [38]        |
| Mauritius  | 100% resistance to Tetracycline, Erythromycin (80%), Streptomycin (80%), Chloramphenicol (60%) | 17% were found to be positive for Salmonella,                                  | Seven Samples of poultry intestine, litter of two different farms (7) and eggs (9) | Phagoo and Neetoo [39]   |
| Zambia     | 2 of the 5 Salmonella isolates were resistant to at least 1 antibiotic.                 | Five Salmonella isolates were identified.                                       | Samples collected from broiler chickens obtained from local markets and shops   | Muonga et al. [40]       |
| Burkino Faso | Resistance to ampicillin, chloramphenicol, streptomycin, sulfonamides and trimethoprim was detected | 55% of the poultry samples tested positive                                       | 350 samples (Poultry feces)                                                    | Kagambega et al. [41]    |
| South Africa | Salmonella isolates showed resistance to nearly all ten antimicrobial agents used.     | InvA gene was used to test for Salmonella and 51% of samples tested positive    | 200 chicken samples                                                            | Zishir et al. [42]       |
| Chad       | S. Limete resistant to 3 antibiotics and S. Minnesota isolates resistant to 5 diverse antimicrobial classes | Salmonella isolates identified were: Salmonella Colindale (19%) S. Minnesota (18%). Below 10% were S. Havana and S. Riggil, S. Kottbus and S. Amager, S. Idikan, Mississipi, and Muenchen | laying hens and broiler chicken                                                 | Tabo et al. [43]         |
| Ethiopia   | 30 isolates were resistant to one or more of antibiotics. Of 30, 19 were multidrug resistant while 11 isolates resistant to tetracycline. One isolate was resistant Kanamycin. Other isolates were tetra-, penta-, hexa-, and hepta resistant, correspondingly. 31 isolates susceptible to Gentamycin and Ciprofloxacin | Out of 205 samples collected, 31 (15.12%) isolates were detected.              | In total, 205 samples were collected, namely: 100 cloacal swabs, 75 fresh feces, 10 litter samples, 8 chicken feed samples, 8 poultry drinking water and 4 farmworker hand swab samples | Abunna et al. [44]       |
| Ghana      | Resistance: Nalidixic acid (89.5%), tetracycline (80-7%), ciprofloxacin (64.9%), sulfamethazole (42-1%), trimethoprim (29.8%) and ampicillin (26-3%). All strains were susceptible to cefotaxime, ceftazidine and cefoxitin. | Out of 200 samples collected, Salmonella was detected in 44 samples (47%)       | egg-laying hens and broilers. Sampling of feces (75), dust (75), feed (10) and drinking water (10) was performed at 75 poultry farms in Ghana and skin neck (30) at a local abattoir | Andoh et al. [45]        |


| Country  | Antibiotic Resistance                                                                 | Concentration                                                                 | Species/Sample                  | Sources               |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Senegal  | Resistance: trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, tetracycline, trimethoprim, streptomycin, and sulfonamides. All Salmonella serovars were susceptible to fluoroquinolones and cephalosporins | Salmonella was detected in chicken faeces (35.1%), on carcass skin (38.6%), and in muscle (29.8%) of farms, respectively. Salmonella detected in chicken meat servings from 14.3% of the street restaurants and in 40.4% of the chicken carcasses tested. | Chicken faeces, carcass skin and muscle | Dione et al. [46] |
| Morocco  | Resistance to tetracycline, sulfamides, trimethoprim and streptomycin was detected. | 57 were positive for Salmonella, 30 out of 57 from local market, 24 out of 57 from artisanal slaughterhouses and 3 out of 57 from poulterers' shops. | 576 samples were collected: local market (144), artisanal slaughterhouses (144), poultry shops (144) and from a supermarket (144) | Abdellah et al. [47] |
| Botswana | All samples were resistant to tetracycline, Ampicillin and Sulphatriad but susceptible to Gentamycin | Chicken livers had 50% salmonella, intestine 29%; Ostrich small intestine 16% liver 12.9% | 128 chicken samples; 124 Ostrich. 32 chickens, gall bladder, small intestine and large intestine. For Ostrich 31 livers, small intestine, large intestine and cloacae | Gaedirelwe and Sebunya [48] |
3. Consequences of Removing Antibiotics from the Poultry Feed

The use of antibiotics is known to improve chicken health and result in weight gain. Although it comes with a cost, none the less their removal will have consequences in animal production. Cowieson and Kluenter, believe that the addition of antibiotics in animal generates substantial increases in feed conversion ratio and weight gain up to 4% [49]. This improvement must be forgone on banning the use of antibiotics or even negative growth in poor-performing flocks [49]. Moreover, the removal of antibiotic growth promoters (AGPs) could increase necrotic enteritis due to reduction in Clostridium perfringens control making pathogens attack more likely. A study by Cardinal et al. found that the withdrawal of antibiotics growth promoters from the diet of broilers increased the cost of production, which will eventually increase the price tag for poultry meat [50]. On the other hand, the negative effect due to the removal of prophylactic drugs may only show on the broiler performance after the first year without the use [51]. The promotion of alternative substances to antibiotics in poultry should be at the center of antimicrobials campaign [52]. Alternatives such as prebiotic and probiotic will be discussed in detail below.

4. The Environmental Impact of Antibiotics

The emergence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in the environment is a global threat to the public. The rapid spread of multiple antibiotic resistance microbes in the environment is the main concern considering the low investment in developing new antibiotics. The wastewater treatment plants are regarded as a threat to public health simply because the three-stage treatment process is insufficient to remove all the pharmaceutical residues. The wastewater treatment plants serve as carriers and transmitters of the antibiotic-resistance border between humans and the environment. Wastewater from hospitals, households and poultry farms waste contains antibiotic-resistance bacterial of animal and human origin [53]. A study conducted in the Eastern Cape province of South Africa revealed that wastewater treatment plants could be one of the contributors of sources of antibiotic-resistant Escherichia coli [54]. The wastewater treatment plants in West Africa are also regarded as the major sources of antibiotic-resistant bacteria. Bougnon and colleagues also reported that in Burkina Faso water from sewages used for urban agriculture may likely be one of the major sources spreading pathogens and antibacterial resistance among animals and humans [53].

Most rivers are considered sources of antibiotic pollution. Residues from farms and human environment may contain antibiotic-resistant genes and antibiotic substance that can contaminate the environment [53]. The emergence of antibiotic-resistant genes in the water environment is becoming a global concern. Mhlathuze River in South Africa has enteric bacteria that are resistant to antibiotic except gentamicin, the β-Lactamase gene may be widely distributed in the environment [55]. Similar findings were also reported in the Eastern Cape province of South Africa that multiple antibiotic-resistant Pseudomonas species were prevalent in chlorinated municipal wastewater [56]. The presence of antibiotics residues in the environment is not only the African problem. Karst river in China is widely contaminated with the presence of antibiotics. The presence of antibiotics in rivers pose a high ecological risk to the most vulnerable aquatic organisms [57]. An integrated approach could be a solution to combat antimicrobial resistance. Pseudomonas aeruginosa that was isolated from the environmental and clinical origin in the Benin City of Nigeria was 100% resistant to cefuroxime and amoxicillin [58].

The presence of enteric bacterial and their resistance to the antibiotic in the environment at Kakamega town in Kenya is a challenge that can cause a health hazard to the public [59]. In Kenya the highest concentration of antibiotics was found in the suburban soil of Narok town (west of Nairobi), antibiotic such as Oxytetracycline, Sulfamethoxazole, enrofloxacin and sulfamethazine were identified as the main antibiotics contaminated in soils [60]. Therefore, it is imperative that sustainable microbial monitoring program developed by the Africa CDC and WHO be implement accordingly. The information regarding the presence of antibiotic-resistant pathogens in the environment is limited in Africa. The occurrence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in the environment is a hazard to global
public health. Therefore, detailed studies with monitoring and surveillance programs could serve as a good starting point in understanding antibiotic resistance in the African environment and developing mitigation strategies thereof.

5. Alternatives to Antibiotics Available in Africa

5.1. Probiotic + Prebiotic

Since the use of antibiotics may have a positive influence on poultry performance, excessive use as growth promoters harms human health. However, discontinuation of antibiotics affects the performance of the poultry. Some researchers argue that the use of antibiotics to improve animal performance through increased growth, and improved feed efficiency, reduces costs of meat, eggs, in other words, the consequence of banning the antibiotics will increase the cost of the animal products. It is a grim challenge which needs to be approached with a delicate balance to ensure safety and optimal performance. Researchers are looking for alternative substitutes for antibiotics in poultry production [61], such as a natural source of herbs and medicinal plants [61]. Alternatives to antibiotics, among others, include probiotics, prebiotics, competitive exclusion, enzymes and organic acids which are found to have the ability to replace antibiotics [62]. Prebiotic are potential alternatives to antibiotics used for growth promotion. They are indigestible carbohydrates source that affects the host by selectively stimulating the growth of several bacteria in the colon. The effects of prebiotics were noticed in the early 1980s as potential additives in animal feeds. The concept of prebiotic was introduced by Gibson and Robertroid in 1995 [12]. Kermanshani and Rostami reported that prebiotics will be useful replacements for antibiotics in the poultry sector due to their useful microbial population of the intestine [63]. Prebiotics have other useful functions they contribute to helping the prevention of colon cancer, minimize disease-causing bacteria like *Salmonella*, *E. coli* and ultimately change gastrointestinal microbiota [64].

Nutrients that are proposed to have prebiotic potential include fructans, oligofructose and inulin, fructooligosaccharides, galactan, galactooligosaccharides, resistant starch, pectin, fiber components, milk oligosaccharides [65,66]. Bacteria like bifidobacteria, lactobacilli are some of the beneficial gut microorganism that can be used as probiotic. These two species are considered the target organism for probiotic [67]. The positive effect of probiotic in poultry is related to increasing of weight, better feed conversion ratio and low cost of therapy. Prebiotics are not supposed to be absorbed in the upper part of the gastrointestinal tract or hydrolyzed, they must be a selective substrate for a limited number of beneficial bacteria to the colon and they should stimulate their growth and activate their metabolic function and eventually, alter the colon microflora in favor of a healthier gastrointestinal environment [68]. In simple terms prebiotics are considered colonic foods, they should provide beneficial bacteria with energy, metabolic substrate and essential micronutrients.

The prebiotics helps to prevent the colonization of the digestive system with pathogens by creating unfavorable condition like altering the pH of intestinal content. Bifidobacterium, lactobacillus which is found in the digestive system has Manase enzyme. They selectively bind manna oligosaccharides only for harmful bacteria which normally do not have this enzyme [69]. The effects of mannan oligosaccharides (MOS) in broiler increases daily weight gain by 4–8% [69,70]. Kumprech et al. found that prebiotics shows similar effects like antibiotics however prebiotics has no residues and do not develop any resistance [71]. Feeding chickens MOS significantly increase the length of the villi but not the width [72]. Prebiotics have an economical and medical justification [73].

Probiotics are “live strains of strictly selected microorganisms which when administered in adequate amount confer a health benefit on the host” [74]. Probiotics are used in poultry feed, they have a beneficial effect on the health of the animal, stimulate growth and improve the immunity of the host [75]. The safety assessment and benefit-to-risk-ratio of probiotics strains is not an easy task. Microorganisms are selected based on their health beneficial effects, they must adapt to the conditions present in the gastrointestinal tract of the given species of animals [76]. Probiotics added in
feeds need to adapt to their new environment (temperature and humidity). In the European Union, the most selected probiotics are Gram-positive bacteria belonging to *Bacillus*, *Enterococcus*, *Lactobacillus*, *Pediococcus* and *Streptococcus*. It is not only bacteria that are used as probiotics; yeast and fungi have been used, as have strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Kluyveromyces*. Care should be taken as other bacteria such as enterococcus could participate in the spread of antibiotic resistance and some like bacillus cereus strains have the capacity to produce toxins [77].

The dose recommendation for most of the probiotic strains is $10^9$ colony forming units (CFU/KG) of feed. Other risk factors should be considered when mixing probiotics. The water should not contain any disinfectant or chlorine. After mixing the water should be administered within 6–12 h. Before administering probiotics, if animals were on antibiotic treatment, it is highly recommended that the treatment be withdrawn 24–48 h before administering probiotic [78]. Probiotic are natural feed additives, therefore broilers fed probiotics helps to reduce the effect of weak limbs [79].

Broilers that were given *Lactobacillus sporogenes* (100 mg/kg feed) increased body weight and improved feed conversion ration [80]. The addition of probiotic *lactobacilli* spp. in laying hens feed increased egg production and feed efficiency [81]. Moreover, most of the probiotics available in Africa are manufactured by developed countries and imported to Africa [82]. Notwithstanding the fact that in 2018, Deon Neveling at Stellenbosch University in South Africa developed probiotic for broilers called Gatsy [83]. In 2019, a new triple strain poultry probiotic was also launched in South Africa by another international organization [84]. Tables 2 and 3 summarize the available prebiotic and probiotic in the poultry market, even though they are mainly manufactured in developing countries most of them can be procured online and delivered to African countries.

Table 2. Examples of prebiotics used in poultry [85].

| Prebiotic Substance                        | Trade Name          |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Polysaccharides, Oligosaccharides         | Bacto CS 1000       |
| MOS, β-Glucans                            | DOIORB DN (Dolfos)  |
| MOS, β-Glucans                            | Metsac MOS (Vitjira) |
| β-Glucans                                 | Mycocyd forte (Herbline) |
| MOS, β-Glucans                            | Mycostop (Extra-vit) |
| ScFOS (Short chain Fructo-oligosaccharides) | Profeed (Beghnir Meiji) |

Table 3. Examples of probiotic used in poultry [85].

| Microorganism                           | Trade Name                                      |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| *Bacillus subtilis*                    | Calsporin (ORFFA); Enviva pro (Danisco Animal nutrition); Gallipro (Evonik industries) |
| *Bifidobacterium Bifidum*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*; *Pediococcus Faecium* | B.I.O. sol (Biochem); Gallvit Probiotyk (Galvit) |
| *Enterococcus faecium*                 | Cerbiogalli                                     |
| *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *casei*, *plantarum* | Ecobiol (Novel Animal nutrition) |
| *Lactobacillus Salivarius*, *Pediococcus parvulus* | Floramax-B11 (Pacific vet group) |

5.2. Enzymes

Enzymes as feed additive are produced from fungi and bacteria fermentation are used for maximization of feed conversion. Enzymes such as endo-b-1-4-xylanases and b-1-3, 1-4-glucanases are commonly used with wheat and barley diets of broiler chickens for the improvement of digestibility [86]. Furthermore, the use of enzymes in poultry diets results in many benefits such as a reduction in digesta viscosity, enhanced digestion and absorption of nutrients increased feed intake and weight gain [87]. Recombinant artificial enzymes such as carboxydrases and phytases are commercially produced and traded as feed additives in monogastric food-animal production [88]. Perić et al. found that the
addition of enzyme to the chicken diet resulted in high feed efficiency utilization and the final weight gain justifying the extra cost of the enzyme use [89]. However, the positive effect of enzymes is only realized when coupled with quality feed, Perić et al. realized that there was no positive effect when enzymes were added to feed a mixture of low energy and protein [90]. Khan et al. studied the influence of enzymes supplementation on the performance of laying hens and found that there was a significant increase in feed conversion ratio, egg production, egg weight and egg mass of hens [91]. Further to this, Zakaria et al. concluded that there were no monetary benefits when enzymes are included in the poultry diets [92]. In South Africa, an experiment with xylanase in the chicken diet by Mabelebele et al. recorded that, the inclusion of the enzyme had led to increasing in crude protein digestibility, feed intake and weight gain [93]. Therefore, adding enzymes to poultry diets has some mixed results.

5.3. Plant Extracts

Plant extracts also known as phytobiotics has been shown to be one alternative for antibiotics because of their antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant and antiparasitic activities, and they have been used successfully in poultry production for many years [94,95]. The other main reason behind their successful usage in poultry is because of the properties that they possess. Plant extracts have minor metabolites such as terpenoids, phenolics, glycosides, and alkaloids, present as alcohols, aldehydes, ketones, esters, ethers, and lactones [96]. These metabolites are important mechanisms which result in an increase in growth performance and health of poultry [94]. However, high usage of these secondary metabolites may result in some negative effects on digestive efficiency [97]. However, plants extracts have been reported to be safe as compared to antibiotics and they are also effective in fighting against certain bacteria [94].

In African countries plant extracts from aromatic spices (cinnamon, clove etc.), pungent spices (pepper, garlic and ginger), and herbs spices (rosemary, thyme, mint etc.). Has received increased attention over antibiotics because they are cheaper and naturally available, and they have shown to improve poultry production and health status Table 4. They are extensively used in feed as growth promoters and health protectants and their usage is also started in developed countries. The use of plant extracts in poultry production has been reported by several authors [98–100]. Rahimi et al. reported increase feed intake, feed conversion ratio and body weight gain improvement of endogenous digestive enzyme secretion when poultry diets were supplementation with plant extracts [101]. Whereas Al-Kassie et al. reported no adverse effects on productivity and health of broiler chickens fed plant extracts as a supplement [102]. Plants extracts have the ability to improve the gastrointestinal microbiota ecosystem by controlling pathogens volume in birds’ small intestine [103]. Moreover, Molla et al., Saminathan et al. reported that herbs like black pepper have shown to be alternative growth promoters without adversely affecting broilers performance [104,105]. Whereas other researchers reported that cineol and eucalyptol of eucalyptus and garlic extracts have the ability to prevent infectious disease, relax bird’s air sac by providing proper air circulation and improve their growth [106–108].

Table 4. Plants extracts, active compounds and their functions and effect on poultry.

| Plant Extract | Active Compound | General Function | Effect in Poultry | Sources |
|---------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Aromatic spices | | | | |
| Cinnamon | Cinnamaldehyde | Appetite and digestion stimulant, antiseptic | Improved feed efficiency and body weight an increase in carcass energy retention and an increase in carcass protein retention. | Al-Kassie [109]; Akyildiz and Denli [94]; Cross et al. [110] |
| Cloves | Eugenol | Appetite and digestion stimulant, antiseptic | | Akyildiz and Denli [94]; Chisoro [111] |
Table 4. Cont.

| Plant Extract       | Active Compound | General Function                        | Effect in Poultry                                      | Sources                                      |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| **Pungent spices**  |                 |                                         |                                                       |                                              |
| Pepper              | Piperine        | Digestion stimulant                     | No effect on live performance or in organ morphometrics | Barreto et al. [112]; Akyildiz and Denli [94] |
| Garlic              | Allicin         | Digestion stimulant, antiseptic          | Higher body weights                                    | Akyildiz and Denli [94]; Chisoro [111]      |
| Ginger              | Zingerone       | Gastric stimulant                       | No effects on performance                              | Mohammed and Yusuf [113]; Akyildiz and Denli [94] |
| **Herbs spices**    |                 |                                         |                                                       |                                              |
| Rosemary            | Cineol          | Digestion stimulant, antiseptic, antioxidant | Improved live weight and Feed efficiency | Yesilbag et al. [114]; Mathlouthi et al. [115]; Akyildiz and Denli [94]; Cross et al. [110] |
| Thyme               | Thymol          | Digestion stimulant, antiseptic, antioxidant | No significant effect on BW/FCR; Improve BW and FCR; No effect on the intestinal microflora populations; The best result for production percentage, feed conversion ratio, shell thickness and yolk weight in layers | Pourmahmoud et al. [116]; Al-Kassie [99]; Akyildiz and Denli [94]; Cross et al. [110] |
| Mint                | Menthol         | Appetite and digestion stimulant, antiseptic | Reduction in serum total cholesterol, triglycerides and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) concentration | Akyildiz and Denli [94]; Chisoro [111] |

5.4. Organic Acids

Organic acids are also part of an effective alternative for antibiotics because of the significant role of reducing pH in the gut of poultry chickens. Reduce pH has been reported to results in improved nutrient utilization. Improved nutrient utilization is because of organic acids also being able to acidify the GIT environment which result in the activeness of protease enzyme. Moreover, they have been reported to be good at fighting against pathogenic bacteria [97]. Organic acids also decrease colonization of intestinal wall pathogens such as Salmonella and Escherichia coli which are known in damaging epithelial cells [117]. These prove that organic acids can as well used as an alternative for antibiotics to reduce pathogens load in chickens.

The most commonly known used organic acids are acetic, formic, butyric and propionic acid (simple monocarboxylic acids), malic, lactic and tartaric acids (carboxylic acids carrying a hydroxyl group on alpha carbon). In poultry, the use of these organic acids has shown to play an important role in digestion especially in diets with poor protein quality. Diet with poor protein quality results in more indigestible protein reaching GIT which end up with high protein fermentation [118]. High protein fermentation results in volatile fatty acids and ammonia and some of the unwanted gases which may cause discomfort in the animal body and adversely affect its growth rate [119]. However, the addition of organic acids has shown to improve protein and carbohydrates digestibility [120]. Several studies have been conducted on the use of organic acids as an alternative for antibiotics [121–124]. Hassan et al. reported enhanced broiler growth, feed conversion rate and nutrient utilization [121]. Whereas Chaveerach et al. reported that the addition of organic acids in drinking water for broiler chicks provided protection against Campylobacter infection [125]. Qaisrani et al. reported an increase the permeability of the bacterial cell as well as causing interference with membrane proteins and improved cell proliferation epithelial and vill height of gastrointestinal tract when ascorbic acid and citric acids were added in chickens’ drinking water [123]. Supplemented of butyric acid in broiler diets has reported improving the growth performance of chickens [122]. Table 5 summarizes the effects of
organic acids on poultry performance. The organic acids such as citric acid, ascorbic acid, propionic acid and butyrate and their effects on bird performance are highlighted.

Table 5. Effects of organic acids on poultry performance.

| Organic Acids                      | Findings                                                                 | Sources                                           |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Citric acid                        | Improvement in ileal nutrient digestibility, cell proliferation epithelial and villi height | Nourmohammadi et al. [126] Qaisrani et al. [122]; Mohammadagheri et al., [124] |
| Ascorbic acid                      | Improved cell proliferation epithelial and villi height                  | Qaisrani et al. [122]; Denli and Demirel [127]    |
| Propionic acid and sodium bentonite| Increase in digestibility and availability of nutrients (such as calcium and total phosphorus) | Ziaie et al. [128]; Denli and Demirel [127]       |
| Butyrate                           | Increased body weight, improved feed efficiency                           | Panda et al. [129]; Denli and Demirel [127]       |

6. Conclusions

Both in developed and developing countries, foodborne infections continue to be an extensive and expanding public-health challenge. A report from the WHO estimated that 70% of diarrheal cases originate from bacterially infected foods. Hence, alternatives are sought to antibiotic application in food animals in order to effectively manage bacterial infections both in human and veterinary practice. Current research on alternatives to antibiotic use in food animals is slow, especially in the African continents. Use of these drugs in humans and animals results in healthier and more prolific animal production. However, the evolution of antibiotic-resistant bacteria is probably linked with the use of these drugs such that high antibiotic utilization corresponds to greater and faster emergence of antimicrobial-resistant bacterial strains. Finally, improved sanitary farm conditions, as well as the maintenance of farm biosecurity, are important alternatives that could be adopted by farmers instead of depending on antibiotic drugs for disease control and prevention. These would essentially serve as a means of preventing the entry and dissemination of disease amongst animals. The available alternatives (probiotics, prebiotics, enzymes, plant extract and organic acids) to antibiotics have a potential role in lowering dependency on the existing antimicrobial substances. Failure to implement the WHO antimicrobial usage recommendations could deteriorate the situation and intensify the burden of diseases or increase mortality rate in Africa and other continents. Therefore, CDC Africa could assist in ensuring that its member states adopt the available alternatives to antibiotics.
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