Body Morphology, Energy Stores, and Muscle Enzyme Activity Explain Cricket Acoustic Mate Attraction Signaling Variation
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Abstract

High mating success in animals is often dependent on males signalling attractively with high effort. Since males should be selected to maximize their reproductive success, female preferences for these traits should result in minimal signal variation persisting in the population. However, extensive signal variation persists. The genic capture hypothesis proposes genetic variation persists because fitness-conferring traits depend on an individual's basic processes, including underlying physiological, morphological, and biochemical traits, which are themselves genetically variable. To explore the traits underlying signal variation, we quantified among-male differences in signalling, morphology, energy stores, and the activities of key enzymes associated with signalling muscle metabolism in two species of crickets, Gryllus assimilis (chirper: < 20 pulses/chirp) and G. texensis (triller: >20 pulses/chirp). Chirping G. assimilis primarily fuelled signalling with carbohydrate metabolism: smaller individuals and individuals with increased thoracic glycogen stores signalled for mates with greater effort; individuals with greater glycogen phosphorylase activity produced more attractive mating signals. Conversely, the more energetic trilling G. texensis fuelled signalling with both lipid and carbohydrate metabolism: individuals with increased β-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase activity and increased thoracic free carbohydrate content signalled for mates with greater effort; individuals with higher thoracic and abdominal carbohydrate content and higher abdominal lipid stores produced more attractive signals. Our findings suggest variation in male reproductive success may be driven by hidden physiological trade-offs that affect the ability to uptake, retain, and use essential nutrients, although the results remain correlational in nature. Our findings indicate that a physiological perspective may help us to understand some of the causes of variation in behaviour.
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Introduction

The lek paradox refers to the puzzle of how male sexual traits continually exhibit extensive genetic variation even though female preferences should cause its rapid decline [1–4]. Genic capture was introduced as a solution to this paradox. Genic capture postulates that preferred traits are highly genetically variable because they depend on many underlying physiological, morphological, and biochemical traits that affect condition [3]. Since most traits are influenced by multiple loci, high genetic variation should be maintained via mutation-selection balance [3,4].

Genic capture rests on the assumption that genetic variation in condition drives variation in preferred traits. But, what is condition and how should it be measured? Historically, most behavioural ecologists have measured condition by quantifying energy stores, often using a measure of residual mass (mass corrected for body size). Several researchers have expressed concern that the limitations of residual mass make it an inappropriate measure of condition e.g. [4–8]. In a recent perspective article, Hill [9] defined condition as “the relative capacity to maintain optimal functionality of essential cellular processes”. He argued that condition is influenced by genotype, epigenetic state, and somatic state. Even though somatic state incorporates energy stores, it includes all variables affecting current body state such as age, external influences such as parasite load, toxic load, physical and cellular damage, gut contents, social status, and quality of territory. Hill’s [9] definition forces us to move beyond thinking of condition as being reflected in energy stores alone and toward thinking of condition in terms of physiological, cellular, and biochemical processes. Hill [9] called upon behavioural ecologists to ascertain whether expression of preferred traits reflects a capacity to remain near an optimal state. To do this, we must determine which cellular processes link preferred trait production to vital system functionality [9]. Here we explore the cellular processes linked to preferred trait production using field crickets (Orthoptera: Gryllidae) as model organisms.

Male field crickets produce easily quantifiable but highly variable acoustic mate attraction signals [10–12]. Signalling is highly heritable in many cricket species [13,14], including G. texensis [15,16]. Males signal acoustically by raising their forewings and rubbing the scraper (plectrum) on the top of one wing against the file on the underside of the other wing [10,11]. Sound
produced by this stridulatory action is amplified by the harp area of the wing [11,17,18]. Each wing’s closing stroke produces one pulse of sound, and males concatenate pulses into groups. Chirpers concatenate pulses into short groups (<20 pulses) while trillers concatenate pulses into long groups (>20 pulses; [17,19,20]).

Male crickets often exhibit substantial intraspecific variation in their signalling effort (quantity) and in the fine scale structure (quality) of their mating signals [12,21–24]. Female crickets tend to preferentially mate with males that signal with high effort ([25]; G. integer; [12]; G. firmus; [26]; G. campestris; [27]; Teleogryllus commodus: [28]; G. pennsylvaniae: [29]), at high chirp rates (G. lineaticeps: [30]), with long chirp durations (G. lineaticeps: [31]) and more or average pulses per chirp/trill (more: G. bimaculatus: [32]; G. texensis: [33]; average: G. campestris: [32]). Female preferences should result in directional or stabilizing selection influencing male acoustic signalling traits. This selection should cause a reduction in the variation in male signals, yet substantial variation persists for both signalling effort and fine-scale components. To understand how variation in preferred signalling traits is maintained, we quantified the underlying physiological, morphological, and biochemical processes linking preferred trait production to system functionality [9].

Cricket acoustic mate attraction signalling appears to be powered by aerobic metabolism [34]. Aerobic metabolism in insect muscles has been studied most in the context of flight. Generally, carbohydrates are used as fuel in species that perform high-intensity but short duration flight activity, while prolonged fliers use a combination of carbohydrates and lipids to fuel their flights [35–37]. Similar to flight activity, cricket acoustic signalling activity occurs over both short and long time periods (e.g., signalling for 10 minutes versus 16 hours a day) and at varying levels of intensity, depending on whether the male is a chirper or a triller and the number of times he chirps each minute.

Our previous research on European house crickets (Acheta domesticus) suggested chirping males use carbohydrates to fuel signalling. We characterized muscle metabolic phenotypes by measuring activities of energy metabolism enzymes and showed that males that signalled with highest effort had highest pyruvate kinase activity, an indicator of glycolytic flux capacity [38]. However, European house crickets incorporate few (2–3) pulses into each chirp and typically signal less often than most other field cricket species [24]. Given A. domesticus’ low energetic signalling may not be representative of other cricket species, we quantified the cellular processes linking production of acoustic mating signals in two different field cricket species, on chirping male Jamaican field crickets (Gryllus assimilis) and on trilling male Texas field crickets (Gryllus texensis).

We hypothesized that 1) intraspecific variation in sexual signalling quantity and quality would be correlated with variation in signalling muscle enzymes associated with energy metabolism, and 2) intraspecific variation in sexual signalling quantity and quality would be correlated with variation in capacity to
Table 1. Variation among male *G. assimilis* in their long distance acoustic mate attraction signals, enzyme activities, thoracic and abdominal percentages of carbohydrate, glycogen, and lipid, and morphology.

| Trait                    | Mean   | Median | Min   | Max   | St Error | CV   | Difference |
|--------------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|----------|------|------------|
| TSC                      | 94.00  | 73.55  | 0.00  | 364.06| 8.51     | 86.8 |            |
| # Pulses                 | 35052  | 24645  | 0     | 200207| 3946.61  | 108.0|            |
| Pulse Dur.               | 8.83   | 9.04   | 497   | 11.51 | 0.12     | 13.1 | 2.3        |
| Inter-pulse Dur.         | 16.17  | 15.58  | 12.87 | 28.42 | 0.29     | 16.9 | 2.2        |
| Chirp Dur.               | 104.79 | 105.38 | 49.67 | 180.74| 2.04     | 18.4 | 3.6        |
| Inter-chirp Dur.         | 1574.80| 1573.04| 575.86| 2634.49| 45.84    | 27.5 | 4.6        |
| Pulses per Chirp         | 7.44   | 7.68   | 3.11  | 12.88 | 0.14     | 18.0 | 4.1        |
| Carrier Freq.            | 4221.24| 4215.95| 3599.24| 5324.89| 43.91    | 9.8  | 1.5        |
| Amplitude                | 41.12  | 43.33  | 11.32 | 63.99 | 1.27     | 29.0 | 5.7        |
| PK activity              | 108.49 | 110.07 | 52.45 | 163.28| 1.90     | 16.53| 3.1        |
| GP activity              | 1.42   | 1.18   | 0.23  | 5.02  | 0.10     | 70.41| 22         |
| CS activity              | 36.68  | 34.63  | 14.67 | 51.59 | 0.68     | 33.36| 3.5        |
| HOAD activity            | 68.25  | 71.26  | 798   | 131.13| 3.18     | 44.74| 16.4       |
| TRE activity             | 3.30   | 3.04   | 1.06  | 8.36  | 0.15     | 42.17| 7.9        |
| HK activity              | 4.27   | 4.11   | 1.82  | 8.51  | 0.17     | 38.11| 4.7        |
| Thor. Carb (mg)          | 1.07   | 1.01   | 0.58  | 1.69  | 0.05     | 27.70| 2.9        |
| Thor. Gly (mg)           | 0.53   | 0.48   | 0.19  | 1.29  | 0.05     | 49.62| 6.7        |
| Thor. Lipid (mg)         | 14.68  | 13.81  | 5.73  | 30.28 | 1.00     | 37.48| 5.3        |
| Abdo. Carb (mg)          | 1.87   | 1.65   | 0.49  | 3.65  | 0.16     | 47.68| 7.4        |
| Abdo. Gly (mg)           | 2.05   | 1.56   | 0.64  | 5.85  | 0.23     | 61.23| 9.1        |
| Abdo. Lipid (mg)         | 9.38   | 8.87   | 3.33  | 19.07 | 0.85     | 49.76| 5.7        |
| Thor. Carb %             | 0.59   | 0.57   | 0.43  | 0.94  | 0.02     | 20.21| 2.2        |
| Thor. Gly %              | 0.29   | 0.25   | 0.11  | 0.74  | 0.02     | 45.32| 6.5        |
| Thor. Lipid %            | 20.38  | 19.26  | 8.36  | 39.87 | 1.31     | 35.31| 4.8        |
| Abdo. Carb %             | 1.29   | 1.17   | 0.49  | 2.62  | 0.10     | 43.67| 5.3        |
| Abdo. Gly %              | 1.43   | 1.23   | 0.54  | 3.54  | 0.15     | 56.71| 6.6        |
| Abdo. Lipid %            | 59.05  | 58.77  | 32.81 | 82.83 | 1.98     | 18.39| 2.5        |
| Body Mass (mg)           | 593.92 | 612.45 | 170.0 | 796.60| 10.06    | 16.24| 4.7        |
| Muscle Mass (mg)         | 7.51   | 7.70   | 1.00  | 14.00 | 0.24     | 29.49| 14.0       |
| Pro. Area (mm²)          | 23.59  | 23.40  | 16.52 | 30.46 | 0.31     | 12.61| 1.8        |
| Pro. Length (mm)         | 3.98   | 3.98   | 3.31  | 4.74  | 0.03     | 8.34 | 1.4        |
| Pro. Width (mm)          | 6.22   | 6.25   | 5.33  | 7.03  | 0.04     | 6.50 | 1.3        |
| Head Width (mm)          | 5.17   | 5.16   | 4.52  | 5.98  | 0.03     | 5.59 | 1.3        |
accumulate and store carbohydrates and/or lipids. To test our hypotheses we quantified intraspecific variation in cricket signalling behaviour over a one-week period and then quantified variation in morphological features and biochemical properties (signalling muscle enzymes activity and fuels stored in the abdomen and thorax). Specifically, we measured variation in maximal activity ($V_{\text{max}}$) of six enzymes used as indicators of metabolic capacities (Figure 1). To quantify variation in the capacity for carbohydrate use we measured two glycolytic enzymes, hexokinase and pyruvate kinase (HK and PK), as well as the enzymes trehalase and glycogen phosphorylase (TRE and GP), which breakdown trehalose and glycogen into substrates for glycolysis. To assess variation in the capacity for lipid use we measured a commonly used marker for β-oxidation, β-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase (HOAD). We also quantified the variation in the mitochondrial enzyme citrate synthase (CS) to measure oxidative phosphorylation capacity. To assess variation in fuel stores we extracted and measured the proportion of free carbohydrate, glycogen, and lipid fractions in the thorax and abdomen.

**Methods**

**Ethics Statement**

We did not require specific permits for collecting invertebrates because these cricket species are neither endangered nor protected. We thank Steven Gibson and the Stengl Lost Pines Biological Station (latitude 30°17′9″N, longitude 97°46′9″W, elevation 145 m) at the University of Texas for hosting our laboratory during the cricket-collecting trip that resulted in the establishment of our *G. assimilis* and *G. texensis* laboratory populations. Crickets were collected on private land; researchers interested in collecting crickets at Stengl Lost Pines should contact Seven Gibson.

We received a permit from the Canadian Food Inspection Agency (permit # 2007-03130) to import collected crickets into Canada. The crickets were contained in a greenhouse at Carleton University, which is a Canadian Food Inspection Agency certified holding facility with certification level Plant Pest Containment Level 1 (permit # P-2012-03836). While we did not require specific permits to conduct our experiments, our study was conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Canadian Council on Animal Care.

**Cricket Rearing**

*Gryllus assimilis* and *G. texensis* were collected in Bastrop County, Texas, United States, from 15 to 24-September, 2008 and brought back to the laboratories at Carleton University, Ottawa, Canada. The crickets were kept on a light:dark cycle of 14:10 h at 26 °C ± 2 °C and fed *ad libitum* water and food (Harland’s Teklad Rodent diet 8604; 24.3% protein, 40.2% carbohydrate, 4.7% lipid, 16.4% fiber, 7.4% ash). Crickets were reared from egg to final juvenile instar in communal plastic containers (64 cm x 40 cm x 41.9 cm) and were checked daily for any individuals that had undergone final (imaginal) moult. In 2011 new imaginal moult male *G. assimilis* (N=92) and *G. texensis* (N=63) were removed from the colony and housed individually in 500 mL clear plastic containers. The individual containers had lids with a 4 cm x 4 cm section covered with metal screening to allow air and sound to pass through. Each cricket had unbleached crumpled paper towel for shelter and *ad libitum* food and water in his container. Light cycles, temperatures, and diet during the experiment were identical to development.
Table 2. Relationship between male *G. assimilis* acoustic mate attraction signalling parameters and enzyme activity assessed using generalized linear mixed models (df = 7,91).

| Behaviour | Parameter | Coefficient ± SE | X² | P  |
|-----------|-----------|------------------|----|----|
| TSC       | Whole Model | 8.771 ± 0.270 | 0.270 |
| PK        | 0.23 ± 0.51 | 0.192 | 0.661 |
| GP        | 4.08 ± 0.98 | 0.167 | 0.683 |
| CS        | 0.90 ± 1.07 | 0.705 | 0.401 |
| HOAD      | 0.44 ± 0.29 | 2.322 | 0.128 |
| TRE       | 1.81 ± 0.08 | 0.065 | 0.798 |
| HK        | 2.65 ± 0.42 | 0.170 | 0.680 |
| Pronotum Height | −42.57 ± 17.87 | 5.507 | 0.019 |
| Pulse Dur | Whole Model | 6.699 | 0.461 |
| PK        | 0.01 ± 0.01 | 1.247 | 0.264 |
| GP        | 0.35 ± 0.20 | 2.934 | 0.087 |
| CS        | 0.00 ± 0.02 | 0.034 | 0.855 |
| HOAD      | 0.01 ± 0.01 | 0.778 | 0.378 |
| TRE       | 0.03 ± 0.14 | 0.049 | 0.825 |
| HK        | 0.12 ± 0.13 | 0.800 | 0.371 |
| Pronotum Height | 0.06 ± 0.37 | 0.027 | 0.870 |
| Ipulse Dur | Whole Model | 13.104 | 0.070 |
| PK        | 0.00 ± 0.02 | 0.022 | 0.882 |
| GP        | 0.09 ± 0.37 | 6.237 | 0.013 |
| CS        | 0.04 ± 0.04 | 1.024 | 0.312 |
| HOAD      | 0.02 ± 0.01 | 3.799 | 0.051 |
| TRE       | 0.28 ± 0.26 | 1.156 | 0.282 |
| HK        | 0.21 ± 0.24 | 0.787 | 0.375 |
| Pronotum Height | 1.16 ± 0.67 | 2.932 | 0.087 |
| Chirp Dur | Whole Model | 5.597 | 0.588 |
| PK        | 0.09 ± 0.17 | 0.301 | 0.583 |
| GP        | 4.01 ± 3.42 | 1.365 | 0.243 |
| CS        | 0.44 ± 0.36 | 1.463 | 0.227 |
| HOAD      | 0.13 ± 0.10 | 1.632 | 0.202 |
| TRE       | 0.40 ± 2.41 | 0.028 | 0.868 |
| HK        | 0.37 ± 2.20 | 0.028 | 0.867 |
| Pronotum Height | 0.16 ± 0.69 | 0.001 | 0.980 |
| Ichirp Dur | Whole Model | 8.817 | 0.266 |
| PK        | 8.05 ± 3.79 | 4.394 | 0.036 |
| GP        | 22.68 ± 75.33 | 0.091 | 0.763 |
| CS        | −10.25 ± 8.02 | 1.619 | 0.203 |
| HOAD      | 2.76 ± 2.16 | 1.616 | 0.204 |
| TRE       | 50.78 ± 53.04 | 0.912 | 0.340 |
| HK        | −42.63 ± 48.55 | 0.767 | 0.381 |
| Pronotum Height | 93.67 ± 136.40 | 0.470 | 0.493 |
| PPChirp    | Whole Model | 4.525 | 0.718 |
| PK        | 0.00 ± 0.01 | 0.086 | 0.769 |
| GP        | 0.29 ± 0.24 | 1.417 | 0.234 |
| CS        | 0.02 ± 0.03 | 0.389 | 0.533 |
| HOAD      | 0.00 ± 0.01 | 0.340 | 0.560 |
| TRE       | 0.12 ± 0.17 | 0.471 | 0.493 |
| HK        | −0.07 ± 0.15 | 0.219 | 0.640 |
| Pronotum Height | −0.57 ± 0.43 | 1.722 | 0.190 |
| Carr Freq | Whole Model | 9.098 | 0.246 |
Acoustic Recording

Males were transferred into the electronic acoustic recording system (EARS-II; designed and built for our laboratory by Cambridge Electronic Design Ltd., Cambridge, UK) to have their acoustic mate attraction signalling behaviour recorded for a one week period starting at 7 days post imaginal moult (for details, refer to [39]). The EARS-II housed 96 males, each in acoustic foam lined Styrofoam containers that minimized sound contamination from neighbouring crickets. Each container was equipped with a microphone and light (14:10 h light:dark cycle). Acoustic signalling behaviour was monitored continually by CricketSong software (Cambridge Electronic Design Ltd., Cambridge, UK) that dynamically adjusted its amplitude threshold to ensure all sound pulses were recorded. The CricketSong software automatically analyzed the sound wave recorded by the microphone and calculated, in real time, the mean pulse duration (ms), mean interpulse duration (time between pulses; ms), mean number of pulses per chirp, mean chirp duration (ms), mean interchirp duration (time between chirps; ms), mean amplitude (Pa), and mean carrier frequency of the call (Hz). Signal amplitude was converted to decibels (dB) using the equation 20 x Log10 (Mean Amp (Pa)/0.00002). CricketSong also measured call output, enabling us to quantify the mean number of pulses produced throughout the day and the mean time spent calling per day from days 7-14 of adulthood. We used mean signalling values to explore the relationships with morphology and enzyme activity because signalling parameters are highly repeatable within individuals of both species [24].

Morphometric Analysis and Dissection

Both cricket species were removed from the EARS-II on day 14 post imaginal moult and weighed to the nearest mg using a Denver Instrument PI-114 balance. Morphological characteristics (pronotum width, pronotum length, pronotum trace outline, and head capsule width) were quantified using the Zeiss Discovery V12 microscope and Axiovision software version 4.8.2.0 (Carl Zeiss MicroImaging, Jena, Germany). We euthanized each cricket by placing it on ice and removing its head with sharp scissors. The head and abdomen were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Each cricket’s thorax was dissected ventrally and the mesothoracic signalling muscles (dorsoventral, basalar and subalar) were removed, weighed, and frozen in liquid nitrogen. The rest of the thorax (including metathoracic flight muscles) was frozen in liquid nitrogen. All tissue was stored at −80°C and then transported in dry ice to the University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada for biochemical analyses. Wing harp area and file length were quantified using the Zeiss Discovery V12 microscope and Axiovision software version 4.8.2.0. Harp area was measured by tracing the veins that surround the harp [18]. All visible teeth were included when measuring stridulatory file length.

Enzyme Activity Measurements

Both species’ signalling muscles were analysed to determine the activity of energy metabolism enzymes involved in signalling behaviour. Muscle tissues were homogenized according to protocols previously described by Bertram et al. [38]. Maximum activities (Vmax) of six different enzymes (GP, PK, CS, TRE, HK and HOAD) were assayed in triplicate at 25°C using a Biotek Synergy 2 plate spectrophotometer (Biotek, Winooski, VT, U.S.A.). The activity of GP, PK, HOAD and CS were measured following conditions described by Bertram et al. [38]. For HK and TRE, assay conditions and substrate concentrations required to elicit Vmax were as follows: HK: 100 mM imidazole [pH 8.1], 10 mM of MgCl2, 100 mM KCl, 1 mM NADP, 5 mM ATP, 5 mM D-glucose (omitted from control) and 1.25 U of glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase; TRE: 100 mM potassium phosphate (pH 6.6), 1.1 mM of MgCl2, 0.75 mM of NADP, 1.1 mM ATP, 10 mM trehalose (omitted from control), 1.25 U of glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase. 1.25 U of hexokinase. Reactions were initiated by adding the appropriate substrate and enzyme mixtures, and absorbance was measured at 460 nm using a Synergy 2 plate spectrophotometer.

Table 2. Cont.

| Behaviour | Parameter       | Coefficient ± SE | X² | P       |
|-----------|-----------------|------------------|----|---------|
| PK        |                 | −3.09±3.63       | 0.724 | 0.395   |
| GP        |                 | −167.76±72.04    | 5.267 | 0.022   |
| CS        |                 | −4.86±7.67       | 0.400 | 0.527   |
| HOAD      |                 | 1.26±2.07        | 0.370 | 0.543   |
| TRE       |                 | −16.47±50.72     | 0.105 | 0.746   |
| HK        |                 | 41.77±46.43      | 0.806 | 0.369   |
| Size PC1  |                 | 127.61           | 0.952 | 0.329   |
| Amp       | Whole Model     |                   | 10.496 | 0.162   |
| PK        |                 | 0.04±0.10        | 0.137 | 0.711   |
| GP        |                 | 5.75±2.06        | 7.470 | 0.006   |
| CS        |                 | 0.12±0.22        | 0.319 | 0.572   |
| HOAD      |                 | −0.04±0.06       | 0.450 | 0.502   |
| TRE       |                 | −1.37±1.45       | 0.888 | 0.346   |
| HK        |                 | −0.14±1.33       | 0.011 | 0.918   |
| Pronotum Height |     | −3.24±3.73       | 0.749 | 0.387   |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0090409.t002
Table 3. Relationship between *G. assimilis* acoustic mating signals and abdominal and thoracic content and assessed using generalized linear mixed models (df = 6,91).

| Behaviour | Parameter | Coefficient ± SE | X²   | P     |
|-----------|-----------|------------------|------|-------|
|           | Whole Model |                  |      |       |
| TSC       | Thor Carb  | −134.38 ± 142.64 | 0.888 | 0.346 |
|           | Thor Gly   | 218.62 ± 96.78   | 5.103 | 0.024 |
|           | Thor Lipid | −21.66 ± 12.67   | 2.921 | 0.087 |
|           | Ab Carb    | 208.28 ± 201.71  | 1.066 | 0.302 |
|           | Ab Gly     | −326.11 ± 141.78 | 5.290 | 0.021 |
|           | Ab Lipid   | 33.14 ± 18.45    | 3.225 | 0.073 |
| Pulse Dur | Thor Carb  | −1.45 ± 2.74     | 0.279 | 0.597 |
|           | Thor Gly   | 3.17 ± 1.89      | 2.802 | 0.094 |
|           | Thor Lipid | −0.25 ± 0.26     | 0.913 | 0.339 |
|           | Ab Carb    | 2.83 ± 3.86      | 0.539 | 0.463 |
|           | Ab Gly     | −5.20 ± 2.76     | 3.550 | 0.060 |
|           | Ab Lipid   | 0.25 ± 0.38      | 0.423 | 0.516 |
| Ipulse Dur| Thor Carb  | −31.24 ± 52.15   | 0.359 | 0.549 |
|           | Thor Gly   | 43.95 ± 35.39    | 1.542 | 0.214 |
|           | Thor Lipid | −5.33 ± 4.63     | 1.324 | 0.250 |
|           | Ab Carb    | 42.09 ± 73.76    | 0.326 | 0.568 |
|           | Ab Gly     | −6.76 ± 51.84    | 1.704 | 0.192 |
|           | Ab Lipid   | 7.12 ± 6.75      | 1.114 | 0.291 |
| Chirp Dur | Thor Carb  | 21.40 ± 41.08    | 0.271 | 0.602 |
|           | Thor Gly   | 26.14 ± 28.38    | 0.848 | 0.357 |
|           | Thor Lipid | −7.71 ± 3.98     | 3.759 | 0.053 |
|           | Ab Carb    | −23.26 ± 57.90   | 0.161 | 0.688 |
|           | Ab Gly     | −38.57 ± 41.43   | 0.867 | 0.352 |
|           | Ab Lipid   | 10.41 ± 5.69     | 3.356 | 0.067 |
| Ichirp Dur| Thor Carb  | 219.02 ± 1006.71 | 0.047 | 0.828 |
|           | Thor Gly   | 126.51 ± 695.59  | 0.033 | 0.856 |
|           | Thor Lipid | −8.30 ± 97.43    | 0.007 | 0.932 |
|           | Ab Carb    | −584.96 ± 1419.01| 0.170 | 0.680 |
|           | Ab Gly     | 88.86 ± 1015.32  | 0.008 | 0.930 |
|           | Ab Lipid   | −39.93 ± 139.32  | 0.082 | 0.774 |
| PPChirp   | Thor Carb  | 1.60 ± 3.04      | 0.276 | 0.599 |
|           | Thor Gly   | 1.31 ± 2.10      | 0.390 | 0.532 |
|           | Thor Lipid | −0.52 ± 0.29     | 3.165 | 0.075 |
|           | Ab Carb    | −1.45 ± 4.29     | 0.115 | 0.735 |
|           | Ab Gly     | −2.06 ± 3.07     | 0.450 | 0.502 |
|           | Ab Lipid   | 0.73 ± 0.42      | 3.003 | 0.083 |
| Carr Freq | Thor Carb  | −520.66 ± 878.31 | 0.351 | 0.553 |
|           | Thor Gly   | −232.41 ± 606.87 | 0.147 | 0.702 |
|           | Thor Lipid | 111.20 ± 85.00   | 1.711 | 0.191 |
|           | Ab Carb    | 531.80 ± 1238.03 | 0.185 | 0.668 |
|           | Ab Gly     | 402.48 ± 885.82  | 0.206 | 0.650 |
|           | Ab Lipid   | −145.94 ± 121.55 | 1.441 | 0.230 |
Quantifying Thoracic and Abdominal Energy Stores

Thirty crickets of each species were selected for body composition analysis. Selected crickets were comprised of equal numbers of high, intermediate, and low effort signallers. Signaller categories were determined by rank ordering all crickets based on their time spent calling. The top 25% from each species were deemed to be high effort signallers, the bottom 25% from each species were deemed to be low effort signallers, while the intermediate 50% (25%–75%) from each species were deemed to be intermediate effort signallers. For each species, ten individuals from each category were selected at random from the group of individuals with complete enzyme assay data.

The methodology for the extraction of lipids, glycogen, and free carbohydrate from cricket samples followed Lorenz [40]. Cricket thoraces and abdomens were weighed (fresh weight) and homogenized by first mincing with a pair of fine scissors, and then using an Omni-Prep homogenizer with a 7 mm Rotor Stator tip (Omni International, Marietta, GA). All centrifugation was performed for 10 min at 21000 g at 4°C (Sorvall Legend, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA).

We used colourimetric determination to measure body energy stores. We performed all colourimetric assays in plastic 96 well plates (Costar 21, Corning, Tewksbury, MA) in triplicate using a Biotek Synergy 2 plate spectrophotometer (Biotek, Winooski, VT). Total lipid was extracted using chloroform and was measured using the phosho-vanillin method [41], with the exception that soybean oil was dissolved in hexane instead of chloroform so that plastic 96-well plates could be used (this modification is unlikely to have affected the results because the extracted lipid was also ultimately dissolved in hexane as per Lorenz [40]). Individual standard curves were made for each plate that was read. Total glycogen and free carbohydrate were measured using the anthrone method using anhydrous glucose as the standard [41]. All values obtained from the spectrophotometer were compared to standard curves and total lipid, glycogen, and carbohydrate amounts were calculated for both the thorax and abdomen of each cricket. These values were then converted and presented as a percentage of total body mass.

Data Analysis

All data were analyzed using JMP 10.0.0 statistical software (SAS Institute Inc., 100 SAS Campus Drive, Cary, NC). We ensured that all residuals met parametric assumptions of a normal distribution using Shapiro-Wilk Goodness of Fit tests. The residuals from *Gryllus assimilis* signalling parameters were mostly normally distributed (time spent signalling and interpulse duration were not). Half of *G. texensis* signalling parameters were normally distributed for *G. texensis* (time spent signalling, chirp duration, interchirp duration, and pulses per chirp were not). We box-cox transformed the residuals from these six parameters to normalize them. Due to differences in *V*\(_{\text{max}}\) measurements between the date of assay, the residuals of the enzyme activities versus date of enzyme assay were taken and used for all further analyses with the aim of minimizing these differences.

To test our first hypothesis that intraspecific variation in sexual signalling is correlated with intraspecific variation in signalling muscle enzymes associated with carbohydrate and/or lipid metabolism, we ran a generalized linear model (GLM) for all 8 signalling parameters (16 models in total after accounting for both species). For each GLM we included as independent variables the activity of all six enzymes measured (PK, GP, CS, HOAD, TRE, and HK) and pronotum height. To test our second hypothesis that intraspecific variation in sexual signalling is correlated with intraspecific variation in capacity to accumulate and store carbohydrates and/or lipids, we ran a GLM for 8 signalling parameters (16 models in total after accounting for both species). For each GLM we included as independent variables the fuel store measures of carbohydrate, glycogen, and lipid contained in the thorax and abdomen. We did not include body size as an independent variable in these accumulation and storage models as all content measures were already corrected for body size. All GLMs were run as linear regressions with normal distributions and identity link functions.

**Results**

**Gryllus assimilis**

Males exhibited extensive variation in the amount of time they spent signalling. Some males never signalled acoustically during the 7 day recording period, while others averaged daily signalling times from just a few minutes to over 6 hours a day (Table 1). Males also varied in how they signalled (their fine scale signalling components), exhibiting 2- to 6-fold differences in most of their fine-scale signalling components (Table 1). Male body size was also highly variable (Table 1). Notably, males displayed a 2-fold difference in pronotum area and a 5-fold difference in body mass. Male muscle enzyme activity and energy stores also exhibited high intraspecific variation (Table 1). The most notable differences in enzyme activity were for GP and HOAD, with males displaying 22- and 16-fold differences, respectively. Males also displayed a 8-fold difference in TRE, a 5-fold difference in HK, and a 4-fold difference in CS activity. PK activity was the least variable. There were positive correlations between the activities of PK and GP, PK and HK, and HK and TRE (Table S1). Males exhibited 7-fold differences in their thoracic and abdominal glycogen stores. Male
Table 4. Variation among male *G. texensis* in their long distance acoustic mate attraction signals, enzyme activities, thoracic and abdominal percentages of carbohydrate, glycogen, and lipid, and morphology.

| Trait                      | Mean  | Median | Min  | Max   | St Error | CV   | Difference |
|----------------------------|-------|--------|------|-------|----------|------|------------|
| TSC                        | 87.64 | 15.79  | 0.00 | 756.93| 20.68    | 187.3|            |
| # Pulses                   | 264562| 58225  | 0    | 2362084| 60502.59| 181.5|            |
| Pulse Dur.                 | 7.70  | 7.85   | 5.06 | 11.14 | 0.17     | 15.1 | 2.2        |
| Inter-pulse Dur.           | 13.79 | 13.92  | 7.41 | 17.10 | 0.21     | 10.7 | 2.3        |
| Chirp Dur.                 | 613.15| 529.44 | 78.78| 1992.68| 50.90    | 58.1 | 25.3       |
| Inter-chirp Dur.           | 414.56| 303.38 | 83.23| 1453.24| 43.20    | 72.9 | 17.5       |
| Pulses per Chirp           | 50.58 | 39.65  | 8.61 | 220.20| 5.12     | 70.8 | 25.6       |
| Carrier Freq.              | 5242.11| 5265.70| 4141.26| 5658.09| 38.45    | 51.1 | 14.1       |
| Amplitude                  | 59.75 | 61.12  | 36.46| 79.46 | 1.55     | 18.2 | 2.2        |
| PK activity                | 104.73| 107.49 | 47.13| 131.35| 2.26     | 15.87| 2.8        |
| GP activity                | 2.17  | 2.13   | 0.23 | 4.56  | 0.15     | 53.75| 20.0       |
| CS activity                | 38.59 | 41.16  | 5.56 | 51.38 | 1.04     | 19.76| 9.2        |
| HOAD activity              | 81.23 | 83.24  | 20.52| 156.21| 2.67     | 26.05| 7.6        |
| TRE activity               | 5.01  | 4.56   | 2.05 | 13.38 | 0.28     | 45.01| 6.5        |
| HK activity                | 8.64  | 8.21   | 3.80 | 20.52 | 0.41     | 37.46| 5.4        |
| Thor. Carb (mg)            | 1.24  | 0.82   | 0.29 | 4.59  | 0.20     | 90.84| 15.6       |
| Thor. Gly (mg)             | 15.47 | 14.67  | 5.73 | 36.45 | 1.21     | 43.39| 6.4        |
| Thor. Lipid (mg)           | 1.76  | 1.58   | 0.57 | 4.47  | 0.16     | 51.15| 7.8        |
| Abdo. Carb (mg)            | 3.14  | 2.31   | 0.65 | 14.96 | 0.52     | 91.59| 22.9       |
| Abdo. Gly (mg)             | 14.32 | 13.71  | 6.00 | 27.71 | 0.87     | 33.92| 4.6        |
| Abdo. Lipid (mg)           | 0.60  | 0.52   | 0.29 | 1.39  | 0.05     | 42.29| 4.7        |
| Thor. Carb %               | 0.78  | 0.46   | 0.20 | 2.70  | 0.13     | 91.23| 13.5       |
| Thor. Gly %                | 24.16 | 23.59  | 9.36 | 43.49 | 1.80     | 41.50| 4.6        |
| Thor. Lipid %              | 1.21  | 1.06   | 0.43 | 2.16  | 0.08     | 38.75| 5.0        |
| Abdo. Carb %               | 2.12  | 1.54   | 0.65 | 8.22  | 0.30     | 77.64| 12.7       |
| Abdo. Gly %                | 38.20 | 36.09  | 21.49| 68.33 | 1.98     | 28.83| 3.2        |
| Abdo. Lipid %              | 540.89| 530.30 | 361.80| 823.30| 13.76    | 20.19| 2.3        |
| Muscle Mass (mg)           | 6.18  | 5.85   | 1.30 | 11.80 | 0.20     | 25.17| 9.1        |
| Pro. Area (mm²)            | 22.86 | 22.80  | 14.17| 33.20 | 0.47     | 16.28| 2.3        |
| Pro. Length (mm)           | 3.80  | 3.85   | 2.77 | 4.56  | 0.04     | 9.26 | 1.6        |
| Pro. Width (mm)            | 6.06  | 6.06   | 4.97 | 7.31  | 0.06     | 8.12 | 1.5        |
| Head Width (mm)            | 5.19  | 5.13   | 4.31 | 6.98  | 0.06     | 9.47 | 1.6        |
G. assimilis exhibited positive correlations between the abdominal energy stores of carbohydrates and glycogen (Table S1).

Males that signalled most often through the day were smaller (Table 2) but had higher thoracic glycogen stores (Table 3). This result was reversed in the abdomen, where males with lower abdominal glycogen stores signalled with higher effort. While variation in muscle enzyme activity did not correlate with variation in signalling effort, muscle enzyme variation was correlated with signal quality variation (Table 2). Specifically, males with higher GP activity signalled with shorter interpulse durations, at lower dominant frequencies, and produced significantly louder calls. Further, males with higher PK activity signalled produced signals with longer interchirp durations. Variation in the fine scale signalling components was not correlated with variation in muscle activity of CS, HOAD, and TRE, nor was it correlated with variation in fuel stores in either the thorax or abdomen (Table 3).

**Gryllus texensis**

Male *G. texensis* exhibited extensive intraspecific variation in the amount of time they spent signalling through the course of a day. Some males never signalled while others averaged signalling times from just a few minutes to over 12 hours a day (Table 4). Males also varied in how they signalled; these differences were most noticeable with chirp and interchirp duration, where males exhibited 25- and 18-fold differences, respectively. Males exhibited 2- to 3-fold differences in most other fine-scale signalling components (Table 4). Males were also highly variable in their overall size (Table 4). Notably, males displayed 2-fold differences in their pronotum area and body mass. Male muscle enzyme activity and energy stores also exhibited high intraspecific variation (Table 5). The most notable differences in enzyme activity were for GP, CS, HOAD, TRE, and HK, where males exhibited 20-, 9-, 8-, 7-, and 5-fold differences, respectively. PK activity was the least variable. Several of the enzyme activity measures were positively correlated (e.g., GP and TRE, GP and HK, and HK and TRE), while several others were negatively correlated (e.g., PK and HK, CS and TRE, and HOAD and TRE; Table S1). The most notable intraspecific differences in energy stores were in thoracic and abdominal glycogen stores, where males displayed 14- and 13-fold differences, respectively. There were positive correlations between glycogen in the thorax and carbohydrates and glycogen contents in the abdomen (Table S1).

Males that signalled with the highest effort had higher HOAD activity and higher thoracic carbohydrate stores compared to males that signalled less often (Tables 5 and 6). Further, males with higher thoracic carbohydrate stores also signalled with longer pulse durations and longer chirp durations. Males with higher abdominal carbohydrate and lipid stores signalled with shorter interpulse durations. Males with higher TRE activity signalled with shorter pulse durations but at higher carrier frequencies. Males with higher PK activity signalled with longer interchirp durations. Variation in other fine-scale signalling components was not correlated with variation in muscle activity of PK, GP, and HK or with variation in thoracic lipid stores or the glycogen stores in either the thorax or abdomen (Tables 5 and 6). Variation in fine-scale signalling components was, however, correlated with body size, as larger males signalled with more pulses per chirp, longer chirp durations, and louder.
### Table 5. Relationships between male G. texensis acoustic mate attraction signals and enzyme activity, assessed using generalized linear mixed models (df = 7,62).

| Behaviour | Parameter | Coefficient ± SE | X²   | P       |
|-----------|-----------|------------------|------|---------|
| TSC       | Whole Model | 4.743 ± 0.691     |      | 0.691   |
|           | PK        | −0.01 ± 0.18      | 0.001| 0.972   |
|           | GP        | −1.26 ± 3.23      | 0.153| 0.696   |
|           | CS        | −0.22 ± 0.40      | 0.307| 0.580   |
|           | HOAD      | 0.33 ± 0.16       | 4.001| **0.046**|
|           | TRE       | 1.02 ± 1.68       | 0.366| 0.545   |
|           | HK        | 0.58 ± 1.21       | 0.228| 0.633   |
|           | Pronotum Height | −0.42 ± 7.41   | 0.003| 0.955   |
| Pulse Dur | Whole Model | 9.168 ± 0.241     |      | 0.241   |
|           | PK        | 0.00 ± 0.01       | 0.175| 0.676   |
|           | GP        | 0.18 ± 0.20       | 0.780| 0.377   |
|           | CS        | 0.02 ± 0.02       | 0.932| 0.334   |
|           | HOAD      | −0.01 ± 0.01      | 2.047| 0.153   |
|           | TRE       | −0.24 ± 0.11      | 5.057| **0.025**|
|           | HK        | 0.06 ± 0.07       | 0.665| 0.415   |
|           | Pronotum Height | 0.81 ± 0.44   | 3.210| 0.073   |
| Ipulse Dur | Whole Model | 3.301 ± 0.856     |      | 0.856   |
|           | PK        | 0.02 ± 0.01       | 2.333| 0.127   |
|           | GP        | −0.02 ± 0.28      | 0.005| 0.942   |
|           | CS        | 0.00 ± 0.03       | 0.000| 1.000   |
|           | HOAD      | 0.01 ± 0.01       | 0.266| 0.606   |
|           | TRE       | −0.05 ± 0.14      | 0.121| 0.728   |
|           | HK        | 0.04 ± 0.10       | 0.193| 0.660   |
|           | Pronotum Height | −0.04 ± 0.60   | 0.003| 0.953   |
| Chirp Dur | Whole Model | 13.249 ± 0.066    |      | 0.066   |
|           | PK        | −2.27 ± 2.81      | 0.649| 0.421   |
|           | GP        | 4.88 ± 52.60      | 0.009| 0.926   |
|           | CS        | 0.36 ± 5.89       | 0.004| 0.951   |
|           | HOAD      | −0.53 ± 2.65      | 0.040| 0.842   |
|           | TRE       | 28.83 ± 27.18     | 1.112| 0.292   |
|           | HK        | 10.93 ± 18.66     | 0.342| 0.559   |
|           | Pronotum Height | 333.45 ± 114.19 | 7.836| **0.005**|
| Ichirp Dur | Whole Model | 12.842 ± 0.076    |      | 0.076   |
|           | PK        | 4.30 ± 1.61       | 6.670| **0.010**|
|           | GP        | −28.42 ± 30.10    | 0.883| 0.347   |
|           | CS        | −1.86 ± 3.37      | 0.302| 0.583   |
|           | HOAD      | 3.24 ± 1.52       | 4.341| 0.037   |
|           | TRE       | 9.49 ± 15.55      | 0.371| 0.543   |
|           | HK        | 8.62 ± 10.68      | 0.646| 0.421   |
|           | Pronotum Height | −26.75 ± 65.35 | 0.167| 0.683   |
| PPChirp    | Whole Model | 14.514 ± 0.043    |      | 0.043   |
|           | PK        | −0.22 ± 0.23      | 0.904| 0.342   |
|           | GP        | −0.49 ± 4.35      | 0.013| 0.910   |
|           | CS        | −0.01 ± 0.49      | 0.000| 0.987   |
|           | HOAD      | −0.12 ± 0.22      | 0.279| 0.597   |
|           | TRE       | 3.00 ± 2.25       | 1.751| 0.186   |
|           | HK        | 1.05 ± 1.54       | 0.460| 0.498   |
|           | Pronotum Height | 27.25 ± 9.45   | 7.656| **0.006**|
| Carr Freq  | Whole Model | 17.334 ± 0.015    |      | **0.015**|

Cricket Signalling Energetics
**Table 5. Cont.**

| Behaviour    | Parameter | Coefficient ± SE | X² | P   |
|--------------|-----------|------------------|----|-----|
|              | PK        | −3.57 ± 1.87     | 3.503 | 0.061 |
|              | GP        | −50.96 ± 35.10   | 2.062 | 0.151 |
|              | CS        | −1.41 ± 3.93     | 0.129 | 0.720 |
|              | HOAD      | −1.72 ± 1.77     | 0.938 | 0.333 |
|              | TRE       | 63.79 ± 18.14    | 10.983 | **0.001** |
|              | HK        | −17.92 ± 12.45   | 2.027 | 0.155 |
|              | Size PC1  | −57.81 ± 76.19   | 0.572 | 0.449 |
| Amp Whole Model |          | 11.468 | 0.120 |
|              | PK        | −0.11 ± 0.10     | 1.372 | 0.242 |
|              | GP        | 1.72 ± 1.82      | 0.890 | 0.346 |
|              | CS        | −0.07 ± 0.20     | 0.120 | 0.729 |
|              | HOAD      | −0.10 ± 0.09     | 1.277 | 0.258 |
|              | TRE       | 0.35 ± 0.94      | 0.141 | 0.707 |
|              | HK        | −0.30 ± 0.64     | 0.213 | 0.644 |
|              | Pronotum Height | 8.76 ± 3.94 | 4.699 | **0.030** |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0090409.t005

**Discussion**

Crickets exhibited substantial intraspecific variation in their mate attraction signalling behaviour, in the enzyme activity of their signalling muscles, in their fuel stores, and in their body morphology. We found support for our hypothesis that an individual’s ability to mobilize and/or metabolize fuels explains some of this signalling variation. Intraspecific signalling variation in chirping male *G. assimilis* was significantly linked to variation in body size and capacity to metabolise and store carbohydrates. Signalling effort was positively correlated with thoracic glycogen stores and negatively correlated with body size and abdominal glycogen stores. Further, *G. assimilis* males with increased glycogen phosphorylase activity in their signalling muscles produced signals with longer interpulse durations, at lower carrier frequencies, and at louder amplitudes, while males with increased pyruvate kinase activity produced signals with longer interchirp durations. Intraspecific signalling variation in trilling male *G. texensis* was also significantly linked to body size and capacity to metabolise and store lipids and carbohydrates. Signalling effort was positively correlated with HOAD activity in the signalling muscles and with thoracic free carbohydrate content. Further, *G. texensis* males with higher TRE activity and higher carbohydrate and abdominal lipid contents produced more attractive mating signals, signalling with longer pulse durations and shorter interpulse durations, but at higher dominant frequencies. Larger males produced longer chirps that contained more pulses and signalled at a higher amplitude. Overall, our findings suggest that the ability to mobilize and/or metabolize glycogen in *G. assimilis* and free carbohydrates and lipids in *G. texensis* may underlie some of the intraspecific signalling variation observed in nature.

Hill [9] called upon behavioural ecologists to determine whether expression of preferred traits reflects a capacity to remain near an optimal state. To do this, Hill [9] asked researchers to ascertain which cellular processes link preferred trait production to vital system functionality. Hill [9] hypothesized four alternative hypotheses: (1) the Resource Tradeoff Hypothesis where vital physiological pathways and preferred trait production both compete for the same resources; (2) the Mediator Hypothesis where a regulatory substance promotes preferred trait production while simultaneously depressing vital processes, or vice versa; (3) the Pathway Functionality Hypothesis where preferred trait production depends on the product of a vital physiological pathway; and (4) the Shared Pathway Hypothesis where both preferred trait production and vital processes share common pathways. Two of Hill’s [9] four proposed hypotheses, the Pathway Function Hypothesis and the Shared Pathway Hypothesis, predict the positive correlations that we found between signalling and the capacity to store and use free carbohydrates and lipids in *G. texensis* and glycogen in *G. assimilis*. These two hypotheses both posit that instead of a trade-off between devoting energy to ornaments or to vital processes, the basic metabolic performance of a male is intrinsically linked to signalling whereby both processes either belong to the same metabolic pathway or use a shared metabolic pathway. Regardless of which of mechanism is operating, we hypothesize that signalling appears to be an honest indicator of condition without needing additional metabolic pathways over and above those of existing essential cellular processes.

**Alternative Mating Strategies**

Our finding that metabolism of both carbohydrates and lipids is associated with signalling in *G. texensis* may help explain why some Texas field cricket males adopt a satellite behavioural strategy while others adopt a signalling strategy. Satellite males orient towards signalling males, sit nearby, and silently intercept and mate with attracted females [42]. While satellite males are capable of signalling, they rarely do so, instead exhibiting substantially lower average nightly signalling times than regular signallers [21,23]. We compared enzyme activity in high- and low-effort signallers, *Gryllus texensis* males in the top quartile rank-ordered for daily time spent signalling (high-effort signallers) had significantly higher HOAD activities than males in the bottom rank-ordered quartile (non-signallers) (ANOVA: $F_{1,31} = 4.598$, $R^2_{adj} = 0.089$, $P = 0.0388$). The top and bottom quartiles did not, however, differ in their GP, TRE, or HK activities. These findings are commensurate with our hypothesis that high-effort signallers use a combination of lipids and carbohydrates, while low-effort signal-
Table 6. Relationships between male *G. texensis* acoustic mate attraction signals and abdominal and thoracic content, assessed using generalized linear mixed models (df = 6,62).

| Behaviour | Parameter | Coefficient ± SE | X² | P   |
|-----------|-----------|------------------|----|-----|
| TSC       | Whole Model | 11.549 ± 0.073 |     | 0.073 |
|           | Thor Carb  | 38.56 ± 15.89 | 5.891 | 0.015 |
|           | Thor Gly   | 5.78 ± 10.28 | 0.316 | 0.574 |
|           | Thor Lipid | 1.44 ± 0.90 | 2.585 | 0.108 |
|           | Ab Carb    | −4.81 ± 9.43 | 0.261 | 0.610 |
|           | Ab Gly     | 1.47 ± 4.13 | 0.127 | 0.721 |
|           | Ab Lipid   | −0.44 ± 0.95 | 0.212 | 0.645 |
| Pulse Dur | Whole Model | 4.626 ± 0.593 |     | 0.179 |
|           | Thor Carb  | 447.30 ± 151.75 | 8.689 | 0.003 |
|           | Thor Gly   | −63.90 ± 98.20 | 0.423 | 0.515 |
|           | Thor Lipid | 15.84 ± 8.57 | 3.414 | 0.065 |
|           | Ab Carb    | −63.50 ± 90.10 | 0.497 | 0.481 |
|           | Ab Gly     | 51.12 ± 39.43 | 1.680 | 0.195 |
|           | Ab Lipid   | 0.23 ± 9.10 | 0.001 | 0.980 |
| Ipulse Dur | Whole Model | 8.911 ± 0.179 |     | 0.093 |
|           | Thor Carb  | 0.92 ± 1.12 | 0.067 | 0.410 |
|           | Thor Gly   | −1.24 ± 0.74 | 2.821 | 0.093 |
|           | Thor Lipid | 0.03 ± 0.06 | 0.260 | 0.610 |
|           | Ab Carb    | −1.94 ± 0.93 | 4.350 | 0.037 |
|           | Ab Gly     | 0.12 ± 0.28 | 0.182 | 0.670 |
|           | Ab Lipid   | −0.28 ± 0.10 | 8.528 | 0.003 |
| Chirp Dur | Whole Model | 7.252 ± 0.298 |     | 0.047 |
|           | Thor Carb  | 837.26 ± 421.00 | 3.955 | 0.019 |
|           | Thor Gly   | 127.47 ± 272.43 | 0.219 | 0.640 |
|           | Thor Lipid | 14.14 ± 23.79 | 0.354 | 0.552 |
|           | Ab Carb    | 7.80 ± 249.96 | 0.001 | 0.975 |
|           | Ab Gly     | −27.72 ± 109.40 | 0.064 | 0.800 |
|           | Ab Lipid   | −22.15 ± 25.24 | 0.770 | 0.380 |
| Ichirp Dur | Whole Model | 5.690 ± 0.459 |     | 0.149 |
|           | Thor Carb  | 1704.73 ± 1181.93 | 2.080 | 0.149 |
|           | Thor Gly   | 356.52 ± 764.83 | 0.217 | 0.641 |
|           | Thor Lipid | 28.47 ± 66.78 | 0.182 | 0.670 |
|           | Ab Carb    | −282.05 ± 701.75 | 0.162 | 0.688 |
|           | Ab Gly     | 35.58 ± 307.14 | 0.013 | 0.908 |
|           | Ab Lipid   | −61.75 ± 70.86 | 0.759 | 0.384 |
| PPChirp   | Whole Model | 6.178 ± 0.404 |     | 0.060 |
|           | Thor Carb  | 98.61 ± 52.51 | 3.526 | 0.060 |
|           | Thor Gly   | 16.97 ± 33.98 | 0.249 | 0.618 |
|           | Thor Lipid | 1.36 ± 2.97 | 0.211 | 0.646 |
|           | Ab Carb    | −4.35 ± 31.18 | 0.019 | 0.889 |
|           | Ab Gly     | −2.82 ± 13.65 | 0.043 | 0.836 |
|           | Ab Lipid   | −1.97 ± 3.15 | 0.390 | 0.532 |
| Carr Freq | Whole Model | 7.946 ± 0.242 |     | 0.116 |
|           | Thor Carb  | 55.29 ± 193.86 | 0.081 | 0.775 |
|           | Thor Gly   | −199.64 ± 126.96 | 2.473 | 0.116 |
|           | Thor Lipid | 3.39 ± 10.80 | 0.098 | 0.754 |
|           | Ab Carb    | −63.77 ± 160.35 | 0.158 | 0.691 |
|           | Ab Gly     | 43.54 ± 47.79 | 0.830 | 0.462 |
|           | Ab Lipid   | 25.40 ± 16.55 | 2.356 | 0.125 |
Signalling Muscle Metabolic Phenotypes

Based on the activity of enzymes measured and the ratios of enzymes involved in different pathways, both G. texensis and G. assimilis show high capacity to oxidise carbohydrate and lipid, a finding that is similar to the metabolic phenotypes of many insect muscles [43]. For example, the ratio of HOAD to CS mean activity (1.9 and 2.1 in G. assimilis and G. texensis) indicates that signalling muscle tissue is well poised for lipid oxidation. Ratios measured in insect flight muscle relying in part on lipid as oxidative fuel show ratios of 0.3 to 1.35 [locust [43]; crickets: [44]; soapberry bug: [45]; hawkmoth: [46]. The ratio of the glycolytic enzyme HK to CS mean activity (0.1 and 0.2 in G. assimilis and G. texensis) also suggests that signalling muscle tissue is capable of using both carbohydrate and lipid, or carbohydrate alone. In hawkmoths that power flight using either carbohydrate or lipid depending on dietary availability, the ratio is 0.07 [46]. In many species of bees that power flight using carbohydrate alone the ratio ranges from 0.03 to 0.24 [37,47]. Signalling muscle therefore appears to be capable of fuelling oxidative metabolism using either carbohydrate or lipid. We reached the same conclusion in our study on A. domesticus (based on whole thorax measurements [38]).

It is important to note that even though the signalling muscle of G. assimilis and A. domesticus appear capable of utilizing lipid, their signalling behaviour seems to be primarily associated with carbohydrate use. We found significant correlations between signalling and PK activity in A. domesticus and GP activity in G. assimilis, suggesting that carbohydrate metabolism is recruited during signalling in both species. Thus, variation in signalling appears to be associated with variation in glycolytic pathway flux capacity, not variation in lipid metabolism in these two chirping species (G. assimilis and A. domesticus). These species may preferably power signalling using carbohydrate rather than lipid, because carbohydrate use is favored during short intense activity. Crickets that have sustained activity at moderate to high intensity, such as trilling G. texensis, seem to regularly process both lipid and carbohydrate.

It is also worth mentioning that protein availability during development might have profound implications on signalling muscle metabolic phenotypes. Gryllus commodus fed diets high in protein relative to carbohydrate throughout development and adulthood signal with greater effort than those fed diets with relatively higher levels of carbohydrate [28]. Nutrient availability during development is a vital aspect of an individual’s condition and is necessary to grow to a large size (body size is fixed at adulthood). Since larger crickets signal with increase effort, diet during development could potentially be important in explaining the production of preferred traits and the maintenance of their variation. Nutrient availability during adulthood is also incredibly important. Telogryllus commodus fed diets high in carbohydrates relative to protein signal with greater effort [48], while signalling effort is maximized by increasing total carbohydrate or protein intake regardless of dietary nutrient ratio in G. veletis [49]. These results reveal that the effects of nutrient availability on signalling and signalling muscle metabolic phenotypes may vary greatly between species.

Cellular metabolic phenotypes such as enzyme activities are labile traits. Nevertheless, interindividual variation in metabolic enzyme activity has been shown to relate to whole-animal performance traits. A recent example in vertebrates shows that interindividual variation in fish metabolic rate was associated with metabolic enzyme activity [50], and that active and resting metabolic rate measurements are repeatable in the same species [51]. Such association suggests that part of the interindividual variation in metabolic enzyme activity should be stable over time. In fact, muscle metabolic enzymes activities have been shown to be repeatable over repeated sampling in humans [52] and cattle [53], supporting the fact that measuring tissue metabolic phenotypes can characterize interindividual variability in energetic properties. In insects, recent work shows that interindividual variation in flight metabolic rate is repeatable over time [54], and such variation is associated with variation in flight muscle metabolic enzyme activities [54,55]. It is noteworthy that aforementioned studies report interindividual variation in enzyme activity similar to the 2–3 fold range currently observed, supporting our conclusion that the range of variation we observed could impact whole-animal performance.

Signalling muscle metabolic phenotypes could be influenced by the flight capability of crickets. Field crickets often exhibit a hind wing dimorphism where micropterous (short hind wing) individuals have histolyzed flight muscles and are incapable of flight, while macropterous (long hind wing) individuals are capable of flight provided they have un-histolyzed flight muscles (macropterous flight muscles regularly undergo histolysis). Trade-offs between calling effort and investment in flight ability measured has been supported in crickets [56], while other studies suggests a positive relationship between these traits [57]. Regardless, these studies indicate the potential association between signalling and flight muscle properties.

Crickets with un-histolyzed flight muscles have higher overall metabolic activities [58] and higher enzyme activities in their flight muscles than crickets with histolyzed flight muscles (CS: 102, HOAD: 125 U g⁻¹ in un-histolyzed muscle; CS: 17, HOAD: 105 U g⁻¹ in histolyzed muscle).
19 U g⁻¹ in histolyzed muscle; [44]. Our findings (CS: 37 and 39 U g⁻¹ muscle; HOAD 68 and 91 U g⁻¹ muscle in G. assimilis and G. texensis respectively) reveal that signalling muscle has greater aerobic capacity than histolyzed flight muscle, but lower values than flight capable morphs. We did not quantify the level of flight muscle histolysis in our study, but mesothoracic muscles do not seem to histolyze in adult male crickets [59]. Also, flight muscles tend to histolyze at a fairly young age in crickets: within 4 days of imaginal moult in A. domesticus [60,61]; within 7 days of imaginal moult in G. bimaculatus [59]; and within 12 days of imaginal moult in G. firmus [58]. Our metabolic assays were conducted 14 days post imaginal moult, therefore all flight muscles should be in the same histolyzed state. Nevertheless, the extent to which flight muscle histolysis affects signalling muscle phenotypes and variation remains to be addressed.

Conclusions

We comprehensively explored the physiological and biochemical traits correlated with signalling using multiple levels of organization (muscular enzymes and compartmentalized fuel stores). Intraspecific variation in time spent signalling and fine scale signalling parameters appears to be partially driven by variation in muscular enzyme activities and thoracic and abdominal fuel stores. Causal relationships between signalling and fuel need to be more fully explored. Combining studies that manipulate resource acquisition through diet with studies that manipulate resource allocation through imposing artificial selection on survival, signalling effort, etc. would provide insights into how stressed individuals differ from optimal in their biochemical, life-history based allocation decisions, and preferred trait expression.

Supporting Information

Table S1 Matrix of Pearson correlations between enzyme activities for G. assimilis and G. texensis. Each X-Y pair represents a single regression test. P-values are displayed above the diagonal line, Pearson correlation coefficients are displayed below the line, Significant p-values are bold, negative r values represent negative relationships. We compared six different enzymes and corrected for multiple tests using Benjamini and Yekutieli’s false discovery rate (FDR_B-Y) method; our FDR_B-Y corrected alpha was P<0.0125.
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