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According to the force characteristics of the double-row pile supporting structure, two new types of double-row piles are developed: the prestressed strong-constrained double-row piles and the recycling assembled double-row piles. A comparative field test was conducted on the support effects of the two new double-row piles and conventional double-row piles. The test site is located in a deep foundation pit of the Beijing Daxing International Airport Project. The feasibility and reliability of the two new support structures are verified. Field monitoring included section strain and bending moment of the pile body, horizontal displacement of the pile body, and vertical and horizontal displacement of the pile top. The research shows that because of the prestressed anchorage cables in the rear row piles, the prestressed strong-constrained support structure can provide better tensile performance from the rear piles, and the deformation and displacement are minimal. The recycling assembled double-row piles have similar deformation and displacement to the conventional piles. Through the connection of the steel members, the construction time can be effectively shortened. After the backfill of the foundation pit, the steel members can be recycled and the cost can be reduced.

1. Introduction

Currently, double-row pile support structures are widely used in slope engineering, hydraulic engineering, road engineering, and other fields. This type of support structure mainly consists of front row piles, rear row piles, crown top beams, and coupling beams [1, 2]. It can also be regarded as the case where the total number of piles does not change, and a single row of piles is transferred to the rear row to form parallel front and rear rows. The spatial structure system is formed by connecting several beams to the tops of the piles [3–6], as shown in Figure 1.

Many scholars have studied conventional double-row pile support structures based on the unique structural form and stress variation characteristics. Based on the classic earth pressure theory in China, the most representative one is the volume-ratio coefficient method proposed by He et al. [7]. Nie et al. [8] used a combination of model tests, numerical simulations, and field tests to systematically study the distribution of earth pressure, displacement of front and rear row piles, and bending moments of the front and rear rows of double-row piles in a foundation pit excavation. Nie et al. summed up the laws of these observations and proposed a theoretical calculation method based on the spatial effects. Based on previous research and the Winkler foundation beam theory, Zheng et al. [9] proposed a new plane element finite element model considering pile-soil interaction. Wang and Zhao [10] started with the multilayer soil arch effect of double-row piles, analyzed the mechanical properties of two types of soil arches (end-bearing arches and friction arches) at the arch foot, and derived two types of soil arches. The ultimate load-carrying capacity was then studied by analyzing the problems of landslide thrust distribution in front row piles, soil resistance in front of the piles, and spacing between the front and rear row piles.

All of the above studies are based on the traditional double-row pile support structure, and there is less research on the optimization and improvement of the traditional
support structure [11–16]. It is also short of comparison of mechanical properties for supporting structures and some in situ studying [17–21]. Double-row pile supporting structure is used in mining engineering and geotechnical engineering [22–25]. At the same time, the traditional double-row pile support structure also has its drawbacks. First, because its structural form is more complex than a single row pile, the construction period is longer. Second, because it consists of two rows of piles, the project cost is much higher than that of single row piles. Therefore, based on the deficiencies of the above traditional double-row piles, according to the force characteristics of the double-row pile support structure, two new types of double-row pile support structures were developed in this study and field-tested: the prestressed strong-constrained double-row piles and the recycling assembled double-row piles. A comparative test was conducted with traditional double-row piles to verify the feasibility and reliability of the new support structures.

2. Project Overview

2.1. Test Site Overview. The test site is located in a deep foundation pit of the Beijing Daxing International Airport Project, located in Yufa town and Lixian town of Daxing District in Beijing and Guangyang District of Langfang city in Hebei province, as shown in Figure 2. The specific test area is south from the terminal building, north to the north border of the remote parking lot (Paradise Henan Coast after manual diversion), west about 250 m to the main entrance road viaduct A2, and east to the east side of South Central Axis Road about 450 m. The overall layout is one horizontal and four vertical.

2.2. Engineering Geological Conditions. The field test site is shown in Figure 3. Based on the comprehensive analysis of onsite conditions, in situ testing, and the results of the indoor geotechnical tests, the strata within the depth of the survey were divided into three categories: artificial accumulation, recent sedimentary, and quaternary sedimentary. The strata are divided into five main layers and their sublayers according to their lithology, physical and mechanical properties, and engineering characteristics. The groundwater level is about 2 meters.

2.2.1. Artificial Accumulation Layer (1st Major Layer). The surface layer of the test field is generally covered with an artificial accumulation layer with a thickness of 0.40–1.80 m, which consists of a clayey silt soil layer, sandy silty soil fill layer, and slag soil layer. The local distribution may be thicker, as influenced by human transformation.

2.2.2. Recent Sedimentary Layers (2nd and 3rd Major Layers). Under the artificial accumulation layer, the recent sedimentary layers consist of the newly deposited sandy silt and clayey silt layers, silty sand and fine sand layers, organic clay, organic heavy silty clay layers, silty clay and clayey silt layers, sandy silt and clayey silt layers, organic clay and organic heavy silty clay layers, fine sand and silty sand layers, and silty clay and clayey silt layers.

2.2.3. Quaternary Sedimentary Layers (4th and 5th Major Layers). Under the new sedimentary layer, the quaternary sediments are silty clay and clayey silt layers, silty clay and
sandy silt \( \mathcal{O}_1 \) layers, fine sand and silty sand \( \mathcal{O}_2 \) layers, heavy silty clay and clay \( \mathcal{O}_3 \) layers, and fine sand and medium sand \( \mathcal{O} \) layers.

3. New Support Structures

The prestressed strong-constrained type support is created by adding a prestressed anchor cable along the pile at the top of the rear row of piles, and the anchors are tied to the inside of the reinforcement cage. After the reinforcement cage is driven into the pile holes and the concrete of the pile has reached the strength requirements, the anchor cable is tensioned. Due to the increase in the tensile strength of the rear row of piles, the length of the front row piles can be appropriately shortened, as shown in Figure 4(a). A single prestressed anchor cable has a nominal value of 15.2 mm, and three of them are used, and the prestress value is 100 kN.

The recycling assembled type support uses detachable steel components instead of the traditional crown beams and coupling beams, while the rear row piles use steel pipe piles instead of traditional bored piles, as shown in Figure 4(b).

The numbers in Figure 4(a) represent the following: (1) front row pile, (2) crown beam of front row pile, (3) coupling beam, (4) soil between piles, (5) rear row pile, (6) crown beam of rear row pile, (7) anchor pad, (8) steel reinforcement cage, (9) prestressed anchorage cable, (10) free section of anchor cable, and (11) anchoring section of anchor cable.

The numbers in Figure 4(b) represent the following: (1) front row pile (bored concrete pile), (2) crown beam of front row pile, (3) rear row pile (steel pipe pile), (4) pile cap, (5) tie sleeve, (6) tie rod, (7) locking joint, (8) steel reinforcement cage, (9) paint layer, (10) pin shaft, and (11) keyhole.

In order to fully compare the mechanical properties of the two new types of double-row pile retaining structures and the conventional ones, the three types of piles were all cast with C30 concrete. The compressive strength of concrete was 14.3 N/mm\(^2\), and the elastic modulus was 3 \( \times \) 104 N/mm\(^2\). The longitudinal reinforcement of the pile is 14 B20 HRB400 steel bars, and the stirrups are HRB400, \( \phi12 \) @ 200, and \( \phi16 \) @ 2000. The geometric design parameters of the three types of piles are given in Table 1.

4. Field Monitoring

4.1. Field Monitoring Scheme Design. The purpose of this field test is to determine the mechanical properties of the new double-row pile retaining structures in the excavation process of the foundation pit, explore the displacement and deformation behavior of the new pile types, and comprehensively evaluate the working performance of the new pile types in the field test.

In order to reveal the law of displacement and deformation of the new pile type, the changes of the conventional double-row pile supporting structures after the force deformation are compared. The test focuses on the horizontal and vertical displacement of the pile top and horizontal displacement of the pile body. The pile body deformation was monitored, as given in Table 2. Based on the above-mentioned monitoring items, reliability, accuracy, and convenience were taken as criteria for the selection of monitoring instruments.

The field monitoring instruments are shown in Figure 5.

4.2. Field Monitoring Scheme Implementation. The displacement measurement point view of the pile tops is shown in Figure 6, and the reflective sheet site layout is shown in Figure 7.

The plan view of the inclinometer pipe is shown in Figure 8, and the site embedment plan is shown in Figure 9.

The site construction process consisted of the following steps and is shown in Figure 10.

1. Behind the pile, a trench with a depth of 1 m, width of 2 m, and length of about 25 m was excavated for laying the steel strands. In order to minimize the disturbance of the overlying soil on the steel strands, aluminum-plastic tubes and iron tubes are sheathed outside the steel strands.
2. At the end of the trench away from the foundation pit, a 1.5 m long steel bar was drilled into the bottom of the pit to firmly connect the steel strand to the exposed bar head as a fixed point.
3. The other end of the strand was extended to the top of the crown girder and connected to the cable-type displacement meters of the piles at the top and the rear of each pile, respectively.
4. The connections of the whole system were checked, the initial data values were collected, and the groove was carefully filled.

The strain of pile body was monitored by a vibrating wire embedded strain gauge. The strain gauges were bound to the main reinforcement of the steel reinforcement cage symmetrically, and the vertical spacing was 200 mm from top to bottom. The elevation view of the measuring point layout is shown in Figure 11, and the gauge burial is shown in Figure 12.

When the installation of each monitoring point was complete and the commissioning was correct, the initial values were collected and the excavation of the foundation pit was carried out. The pit was designed for a depth of 8 m, excavated in four steps at a rate of 2 m for each step, and the concrete was sprayed on the wall of the foundation pit after each excavation to prevent runoff between piles. After each excavation step, data acquisition was performed for each monitoring aspect three times/day (morning, afternoon, and evening) to capture the time of the initial changes in the data during excavation. The monitoring continued until the fifth day when the pit excavation was completed. The excavation process of the foundation pit is shown in Figure 13.

5. Results and Discussion

5.1. Displacement Analysis of Pile Top

5.1.1. Vertical Displacement. As shown in Figure 14, positive values on the vertical axis indicate that the top of the pile is floating and the negative values indicate that the pile top is
sinking. Before the excavation in the third step of the three types of piles, the vertical displacement of the piles at the front and rear rows was minimal. When the excavation reached the third step, the vertical displacement of the pile top appears to be floating. After the fourth excavation was completed, the vertical displacement of the pile top is basically stable, about 1 mm. The main purpose of the analysis is that with the excavation of the foundation pit, the unloading effect of the soil in front of the pile is gradually obvious, and the soil at the bottom of the pit has a certain rebound, which causes the floating phenomenon of the top and bottom of the piles. This conclusion is also consistent with the indoor physical simulation test pile top displacement changes.

Table 1: Geometric design parameters of test piles.

| Test pile type          | Crown beam size (m) | Length of front and rear row pile (m) | Pile diameter (m) | Row spacing (m) | Pile spacing (m) | Depth of foundation pit (m) |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Conventional            | 0.8 × 0.8           | 12.5/12.5                             | 0.8               |                 |                  |                             |
| Prestressed strong-constrained | 0.8 × 0.8           | 10.0/12.5                             | 0.8               | 2.4             | 2.0              | 8                           |
| Recycling assembled     | —                   | 12.5/12.5                             | 0.8/0.6           |                 |                  |                             |

Table 2: Test procedure.

| Property                          | Monitoring position                                      | Monitoring quantity                                      | Instrument                                      |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| Pile strain                       | Conventional front and rear row pile                     | Conventional pile strain gauge: five groups + one branch | Vibrating string embedded strain gauge (BDG-4200) |
|                                   | Prestressed strong-constrained front and rear row pile   | Prestressed strong-constrained pile strain gauge: four groups + two branches |
|                                   | Recycling assembled front row pile                       | Recycling assembled pile strain gauge: five groups       |                                                |
| Horizontal displacement of pile body | Conventional front row pile                             | Three types of front row pile for a total of six         | Clinometer                                     |
|                                   | Prestressed strong-constrained front row pile            |                                                          |                                                |
|                                   | Recycling assembled front row pile                       |                                                          |                                                |
| Horizontal displacement and vertical displacement of pile top | Conventional front and rear row pile | One of three types of front and rear piles, a total of 12 measuring points | Remote displacement automatic monitoring system, electronic total station |
|                                   | Prestressed strong-constrained front and rear row pile   |                                                          |                                                |
|                                   | Recycling assembled front and rear row pile              |                                                          |                                                |
Due to the summer flood season, after the excavation of the foundation pit, heavy rainfall occurred in the test area for several consecutive days, resulting in sinking of the vertical displacements of the front and rear pile tops, especially for the recycling assembled double-row piles. The sinking of the front row piles was $-2\text{ mm}$, and the sinking of the rear row piles was $-3\text{ mm}$. The main reason for the analysis is that the strata within the site are mainly...
composed of thick clayey soil, silty soil, and sand soil, and the soil structure is relatively loose, so the engineering properties are poor. Under strong rain, the bottom of the pit is weakened with water and soil. Therefore, the pile body sinks under the tow of the soil around the pile, and since the hollow steel pipe pile is used for the recycling assembled rear pile, the weight of the pile is smaller, and the towing effect is more obvious.

Figure 7: Reflective sheet in pile top.

![Figure 7: Reflective sheet in pile top.](image)

Figure 8: Inclinometer plan.

![Figure 8: Inclinometer plan.](image)

Figure 9: Inclinometer pipe site layout.

![Figure 9: Inclinometer pipe site layout.](image)
Figure 10: Connection diagram of the remote displacement automatic monitoring system. (a) Relative position of the aluminum-plastic tube, iron pipe, and steel strand. (b) Fixed point connection behind the pile. (c) Connection of guyed displacement gauge on the pile top.
5.1.2. **Horizontal Displacement.** Positive values on the vertical axis in Figure 15 indicate displacements in the direction of the foundation pit and negative values indicate displacements in the direction away from the foundation pit. As shown, the horizontal displacement variation trends of the conventional and recycling assembled pile top are basically the same. However, for the prestressed strong-constrained pile, due to the effect of the prestressed anchor cable, the horizontal displacement of pile top has a negative value in the early stage of monitoring, which means the displacement is far away from the foundation pit. With the continuous excavation of the foundation pit, the horizontal displacement of the prestressed strong-constrained piles at the front and rear piles is gradually displaced toward the direction of the foundation pit. When the excavation of the foundation pits occurred, the horizontal displacements of the piles at the front and rear piles all abruptly changed, which indicates that the excavation of foundation pits is the main influencing factor of the horizontal displacement of the pile tops. Moreover, as the excavation depth of the foundation pit increases, the displacement increases gradually and the displacement at the end of monitoring reaches a maximum value. Among them, the horizontal displacements of the prestressed strong-constrained front row pile, the recycling assembled type front row pile, and the conventional front row pile top were 2.52 mm, 5.78 mm, and 5.56 mm, respectively. The corresponding horizontal displacements of the rear row of piles are 1.72 mm, 4.52 mm, and 4.11 mm, respectively. The horizontal displacements of the piles at the front row of each pile are larger than those of the rear piles, which is consistent with the changing trend of the previous numerical simulation results.
Figure 14: Comparison of vertical displacement time history of pile top. (a) Front row pile. (b) Rear row pile.
5.2. Horizontal Displacement Analysis of Pile. It can be seen from Figure 16 that as the depth of pit excavation increases, the horizontal displacement of the pile gradually increases. In the first and second excavations, the maximum displacement is at the top of the pile. During the third or fourth excavations, the maximum displacement gradually moves downward, about 2-3 m below the top of the pile. It shows that with the increase of excavation depth of the foundation pit, the crown beam of the pile gradually exerts a restraining effect.

The front row pile of the prestressed strong-constrained pile appears as negative values in the early stage of monitoring, where the maximum displacement reaches \(-1.82\) mm. The prestressed strong-constrained front row piles showed a negative value in the initial period of monitoring, and the maximum displacement reached \(-1.82\) mm. That is to say, displacement of the pile top produces a deviation from the side of the foundation pit relative to the initial value, and then, as the excavation depth increases, the displacement gradually changes from a negative value to a positive value and increases continuously. This phenomenon indicates that the prestressed anchor cables of the prestressed and strongly constrained rear row piles exert a certain anchorage effect on the front row piles. The tension is transmitted to the front row pile top through the coupling beam. Therefore, the displacement change process of the front row pile top gradually converts from a negative value to a positive value, and the final displacement is smaller.

After the monitoring was complete, the horizontal displacement of the pile body was as shown in Figure 17. The overall variation trend of the three pile types was basically the same, and the curve was roughly a “right convex” type distribution. The horizontal displacement of the pile head of the recycling assembled pile is basically the same as that of the conventional pile, and their maximum displacements were 6.22 mm and 6.41 mm. The horizontal displacement of the prestressed strong-constrained front piles is less than the former two, and its maximum displacement is 3.18 mm. The results show that the prestressed strong-constrained supporting structure plays a significant role in controlling the maximum horizontal displacement of the front row piles. The horizontal displacement control effect of the front row piles of the recycling assembled supporting structure is almost the same as that of conventional piles.

5.3. Deformation Analysis of Pile

5.3.1. Strain of the Pile. The variation of strain at the end of the excavation and at the end of monitoring is shown in Figure 18. It is shown that the strain of the front row pile at the end of monitoring is larger than that at the end of excavation for the three types of piles, but the increase is minimal. It indicates that the strain has been basically completed during the excavation.

The strain distributions of the three types of piles in the front row are basically the same, and the effect of pulling and pressing on the front and rear sides of the pile is obvious. The maximum strain is in the middle of the pile, which is about 6 m below the pile top. It shows that the binding effect of the crown beam and soil is obvious. The front row pile above the excavation face is subjected to tensile stress before the pile and compressive stress behind the pile, resulting in tensile
deformation and compressive deformation; however, the tension and compression below the excavation face are the opposite. Due to the prestressing of the strong-constrained rear row pile anchors, the strain of the prestressed strong-constrained front row piles is less than that of the other two types of piles, especially at the 0–3m position of the upper part of the pile where the effect is more pronounced.

5.3.2. Bending Moment of the Pile. It can be seen from Figure 19 that after the monitoring is complete, the bending moments of the three types of piles are basically in the same direction, with positive bending above the excavation surface and negative bending below the excavation surface. The three types of piles all have the largest bending moment at 6m, which shows that the crown beam and the bottom of the pile have certain restrictions on the pile body. Among them, the bending moments of the conventional type and the recycling assembled type are basically the same, and the maximum values are 70kN·m and 78kN·m, respectively. The prestressed strong-constrained type generated less than the first two, with a maximum value of 42kN·m, indicating that the prestressed anchor cable with prestressed strong-constrained type rear row has a certain restraining effect on the front row of piles.

Figure 16: Horizontal displacement of front row piles. (a) Conventional front row pile. (b) Recycling assembled front row pile. (c) Prestressed strong-constrained front row pile.
Figure 17: The comparison of horizontal displacement for three front row piles.

Figure 18: Continued.
In order to compare the deformation of the prestressed strong-constrained and conventional rear piles, strain gauges were laid at the same location of the two piles, 2 m below the top of the piles, away from the foundation pit, and the results were compared. According to the results presented in Figure 20, the conventional rear row pile has no prestressed anchor cable and the strain of the rear row pile shows an increase along with the excavation strain of the foundation pit, indicating that the conventional rear row pile is gradually subjected to tensile stress, and the main tensile strain occurs. This phenomenon is also consistent with the conclusion of the physical simulation test.

Before excavation of the foundation pits, initial strain values were collected, and prestressing was then applied to the prestressed strong-constrained rear row pile anchors. Before the first excavation, due to the effect of prestressing, the strain value continues to decrease, indicating that the rear row piles have increased pressure and that the transmission of prestress has a certain time effect; the stress gradually is balanced thereafter. After the first excavation, due to the earth pressure generated by soil unloading, the strain value gradually increases, that is, the prestressed strong-constrained rear row piles change from compression to tension. After each excavation of the foundation pit, the strain value has a certain abrupt change, and the strain value increases gradually, which means that each excavation
unloading of the soil exerts a significant tensile stress on the rear pile body. The ultimate strain value of the prestressed strong-constrained rear row pile is $13.5 \mu \varepsilon$ and the ultimate strain value of the conventional rear row pile is $27.2 \mu \varepsilon$, which indicate that the prestressed strong-constrained pile has a better restraining effect than the conventional one on the rear piles.

6. Conclusions

(1) The horizontal displacement of piles in the prestressed strong-constrained double-row piles and recycling assembled double-row piles supporting structures is basically the same as that of the conventional ones. After the foundation pit excavation is completed, the maximum horizontal displacement point appears in the upper part of the pile about 2-3 m. The displacement of the prestressed strong-constrained type in each step of excavation is smaller than that of the conventional and recycling assembled types, and the overall horizontal displacement change trend between the conventional and recycling assembled types is basically the same.

(2) For the three types of supporting structure, the horizontal displacement of the front pile top is larger than the rear row pile, which explains that the influence of the active earth pressure on the front row pile is more obvious under the unloading of the foundation pit. The horizontal displacement values of the prestressed strong-constrained front and rear row piles are all smaller than the conventional and recycling assembled ones, indicating that the prestressed strong-constrained type has a better restraining effect on the front and rear rows of pile tops. Recycling assembled piles ensure that the displacement is similar to that of the conventional piles. Steel members are used to connect rear row piles and front row piles, which effectively reduces construction time and speeds up the construction process. After the foundation pits are backfilled, steel members can be recycled to save costs.

(3) Due to the existence of prestressed anchor cables in the rear row piles, the rear row piles of the prestressed strong-constrained supporting structure can provide better tensile performance. At the same time, the pulling anchor effect of prestressed anchor cable can restrain the displacement and deformation of prestressed strong-constrained front row piles, and the restraint effect on the top of the front row pile is especially obvious. It shows that the prestressed strong-constrained support structure has better deformation coordination ability.
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