The Efficacy of Colloidal Oatmeal Cream 1% as Add-on Therapy in the Management of Chronic Irritant Hand Eczema: A Double-Blind Study
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Background: Irritant contact dermatitis (ICD) is the most frequent cause of hand eczema (HE). There is promising evidence with the use of topical oatmeal compounds in the management of inflammation- and itch-responses associated with diverse dermatologic conditions. This study aimed to evaluate the clinical benefit of colloidal oatmeal cream in the management of chronic irritant HE.

Methods: From October 2018 to November 2019, 79 patients with diagnosis of chronic irritant HE were allocated into either intervention or control groups by block randomization method. Besides fluocinolone 0.025% ointment for the first 2 weeks of treatment period, patients in the intervention and control groups were asked to use colloidal oatmeal 1% cream or base cream for additional 4 weeks as monotherapy. Changes in the HE severity based on the hand eczema severity index (HESCI) score, pruritus severity based on the visual analogue scale (VAS), and impact of skin disorder on patients quality of life based on the Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) from baseline to weeks 2, 4, and 6 were assessed in the study groups.

Results: Fifty subjects, 26 in intervention and 24 in control, completed the course of the study. The results indicated, though relatively comparable decrease in mean HESI and VAS scores was observed in both groups by the end of week 2, thereafter until end of the study a non-return of symptoms to baseline conditions was observed in the intervention group, while there was a significant return of symptoms to baseline conditions in the control group (p value<0.001 in both conditions). Further, a noticeable improvement in the DLQI score was seen in the intervention group compared with the control group (p value<0.001).

Conclusion: Findings demonstrate that colloidal oatmeal, a natural product with proven barrier protection, moisturization, anti-inflammatory, and soothing properties, can have ameliorative effects on eczema severity symptoms in patients with chronic irritant HE.
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Introduction

Hand eczema (HE) is a very common and widespread skin condition, with a 1-year prevalence of 10% in the general population. It affects individuals of various occupations, but its prevalence is higher in some special occupational groups exposed to irritants or allergens, such as health-care workers, food handlers, and hairdressers. Chronic HE is a subset of HE which is characterized with a typical pattern of remission and exacerbation. The clinical signs and symptoms of HE include...
Colloidal oatmeal is a natural product that is
erythema, edema, vesication/blistering, hyperkeratosis, fissuration, pruritus, and pain. HE severity may range from mild involvement of a few fingers to a severe incapacitating and extremely itchy blistering eruption affecting all of the hand and fingers. Because hands are important organs of expression and communication, and are necessary for carrying out daily household and work-related activity, chronic HE can have a massive impact on patients’ quality of life and ability to perform activities of daily living. Despite its high burden, the choice of treatments of HE by patients or their practitioners is still challenging.

Pathophysiology of HE is not precisely understood yet and likely it has multifactorial etiopathogenesis. A complex interplay between endogenous factors such as atopy and exogenous factors such as skin allergens or irritants implicate in the pathogenesis of chronic HE. Irritant contact dermatitis (ICD) is the most common cause of HE which is a localized inflammatory skin response to a wide range of chemical or physical agents. Major irritants are organic solvents, detergents, and water. In a vulnerable population, frequent and repeated exposure of the skin to irritants by inducing damage to epidermal cells and removing epidermal lipids from the stratum corneum results in a disruption of the barrier function of the skin. Impaired skin barrier function by increasing the risk of penetration of irritants through the skin induces local immunological and inflammatory reactions in the skin. Increased release of mediators and cytokines like tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), interleukin (IL)-1, IL-6, IL-2, IL-8, c-interferon (IFN-c), and granulocyte monocye-colony stimulating factor from keratinocytes has been observed in skin areas in response to irritant exposure.

Besides patient education about avoidance of irritants and allergens and skin protection measures, topical anti-inflammatory therapies such as corticosteroids are widely used as first-line therapy for HE. However, due to the development of tachyphylaxis as well as increased risk of multiple adverse events such as atrophy, telangiectasia, striae, acne, and other local adverse events and also systemic absorption in long-term use of topical corticosteroids, the introduction of steroid-sparing agents can be valuable. Colloidal oatmeal is a natural product that is derived from the whole dehulled grain. It has excellent safety record and is approved by FDA (US Food and Drug Administration) as an over-the-counter (OTC) skin protectant. Oatmeal possesses potent antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties that its clinical benefits in the treatment of a variety of inflammatory dermatologic disorders such as atopic dermatitis, psoriasis, and dry skin have been shown in the previous studies. The active ingredients in oatmeal consist of polysaccharides, proteins, lipids, saponins, enzymes, flavonoids, vitamins, and avenanthramides. Avenanthramides are phenolic compounds present in oats that mediate its anti-inflammatory activity. Decrease activation of nuclear factor kappa B (NF-kappa B) pathway in keratinocytes and diminish the secretion of the pro-inflammatory cytokines and histamine which are well-known key mechanisms in the pathophysiology of inflammatory dermatoses, are mechanisms that could contribute to the anti-inflammatory activity of colloidal oats on inflamed, dry, and itchy skin dermatoses. Additionally, it is thought that topical application of the colloidal oatmeal by promoting and restoring the skin’s natural level of essential lipids such as ceramides, cholesterol, and free fatty acids can improve the repair of the skin barrier. Further, topical oatmeal by acting as a therapeutic moisturizer retains skin water content and prevents transepidermal water loss.

Although the clinical benefits of topical colloidal oatmeal treatment are widely accepted in various dermatologic conditions, to our best knowledge, there is no clinical study that investigated its efficacy on the treatment HE. As such, the aim of this research was to evaluate the clinical benefit of colloidal oatmeal cream as an adjunctive treatment in combination with topical corticosteroids in the management of the chronic irritant HE.

Materials and Methods
We conducted a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial study with a 6-weeks follow up, from October 2018 to November 2019 in an outpatient dermatology clinic affiliated to Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran. The study was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments. After obtaining the approvals by the Ethics Committees of Hamadan University of Medical Sciences (IR.UMSHA.REC.1397.398), the study was registered at the Iranian Registry of Clinical Trials (IRCT20120215009014N257). Information about the study was given to the participants and they gave written informed consent for study participation.

Sample Size Estimation
A sample size of 35 patients in each group was calculated based on the data of relevant clinical studies and expected changes of the hand eczema severity index (HESCI) score...
as a primary variable. A difference of 2 between two groups regarding the HECSI score, and a standard deviation of 2 was considered for sample size calculation (assuming study power equal to 90%, \( \alpha = 0.05 \), and 30% dropout rate).

**Participants and Randomization**

Patients were recruited in this study if the following inclusion criteria were met at baseline: patients age between 18 and 65 years old, clinical diagnosis of chronic irritant HE, moderate to severe severity of HE based on the HECSI score, lack of consuming any topical and systemic glucocorticoids during 4 weeks before the study, and lack of consuming any topical and systemic antipruritic treatments during 2 weeks before the study. Exclusion criteria at baseline and during the study were as follows: comorbid inflammatory diseases of skin such as psoriasis, pregnancy or lactation or expecting to get pregnant during the study, consuming any medicines other than prescribed medications during the study, presence of any adverse events that may lead to intolerance or any complications for patients, and poor adherence to the treatment. Diagnosis of chronic irritant HE has been made by an expert dermatologist based on history and clinical findings, performing skin biopsy examinations when specific disease entities were suspected, and exclusion of allergic contact dermatitis, atopic dermatitis, and other xerotic conditions.

One hundred and six patients with a diagnosis of irritant HE were assessed to enroll in this study. Of those, 17 patients did not agree to take part in the study and 26 patients did not meet the inclusion/exclusion criteria at baseline. The remaining 63 patients who met the study criteria and had interest in study participation were allocated into either intervention group (fluocinolone 0.025% ointment + colloidal oatmeal 1% cream, N=32) or control group (fluocinolone 0.025% ointment + base cream, N=31) by block randomization method (with four-patient blocks). The randomization was carried out by a statistician who was independent of the treatment. Additionally, both the study participants and the investigators were blinded to the treatment sequence throughout the study. Required demographic and clinical data of patients including age, sex, body mass index (BMI), and duration of HE were recorded for all study participants.

**Study Protocol**

Patients were required to discontinue any other topical and systemic preparations for 4 weeks before entering the study and during it. Patients were educated for minimizing their hand contact with irritants. All of the patients were asked to use fluocinolone 0.025% ointment (Najo Pharmaceutical Company, Tehran, Iran) twice daily for two weeks. Besides this treatment, patients in the intervention group were asked to use colloidal oatmeal 1% cream (Alvand Pharmed Pars Pharmaceutical Company, Hamadan, Iran) four times daily for 6 weeks (2-weeks in combination with fluocinolone 0.025% ointment and 4-weeks as monotherapy) and patients in the control group were asked to use base cream four times daily for same duration (2-weeks in combination with fluocinolone 0.025% ointment and 4-weeks as monotherapy).

Adherence to treatment was determined by the quantity of the topical product left in the container at the end of each visit (weeks 2, 4, and 6 of the treatment).

**Study Endpoints**

The primary outcome was the change in clinical severity of HE from baseline to weeks 2, 4, and 6 after starting the treatment. The severity of chronic HE was determined by a single experienced dermatologist based on the HECSI score at baseline and at all subsequent study visits. HECSI is a physician-rated instrument for objective assessment of the severity of HE based on clinical symptoms. For scoring the severity of HE by HECSI, each hand was divided into five anatomical locations (fingertips, fingers (except the tips), palms, back of hands and wrists). The severity of the six clinical signs including erythema, induration/papulation, vesicles, fissuring, scaling and edema was graded in each of above-mentioned areas on the following scale: 0, no skin changes; 1, mild; 2, moderate and 3, severe. The extent of the involvement for each area (total of both hands) was given a score from 0 to 4 (0, 0%; 1, 1–25%; 2, 26–50%; 3, 51–75% and 4, 76–100%). Finally, the HECSI score is obtained by multiplying the score of the extent of involvement for each area and the total sum of the intensity of each clinical feature which ranging from 0 to 360. The severity of HE according to the HECSI score at baseline and at different time points during the study were also categorized into clear, 0; almost clear, 1–16; moderate, 17–37; severe, 38–116; very severe, ≥117 according to the Oosterhaven and Schuttelaar study.

As a secondary outcome, the severity of pruritus at baseline and weeks 2, 4, and 6 after starting the treatment also was questioned and recorded. Visual analogue scale (VAS) was used for scoring the severity of pruritus in the
study patients. For this, a 10-cm horizontal line was used for self-assessment of the intensity of pruritus and patients were asked to rate the severity of their pruritus from 0 (no pruritus) to 10 (the most intensive pruritus they can imagine). Further, according to this scale, the severity of the pruritus was also categorized in three steps as follows: mild pruritus (VAS<4.0), moderate pruritus (VAS 4.0–7.0), and severe pruritus (VAS>7.0).21

Impact of skin disorder on patients’ quality of life as another secondary outcome was compared between two groups at baseline and the end of the study period (week 6). Persian version of Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) was used for assessing patients’ quality of life that its reliability and validity have been assessed in the Iranian population by Aghaei et al.20 DLQI is a 10-item self-reported questionnaire that assesses the impact of dermatological disease on different aspects of patient’s quality of life over the last week. It subdivided into the following sub-domains: “symptoms and feelings, daily activities, leisure, work or school, personal relationships, and treatment”. Each question is scored as “very much” (score 3), “a lot” (score 2), “a little” (score 1), and “not at all” (score 0). The total score is calculated by summing the score of each question and total scores range from a minimum of 0 to a maximum of 30, with higher scores indicating greater impairment of the quality of life of the patient.21

Any adverse event due to the therapy from the day of the first application of study treatment through the last follow-up visit was also recorded. To evaluate the adverse events of treatment, all patients were asked whether they had experienced one or more of the following possible adverse events including itching, burning, and erythema and exacerbation of them if such symptoms were present prior to the treatment. The type and severity (mild, moderate, or severe) of the adverse events were also recorded.

Data Analysis
Per protocol, analysis was exploited to analyze data of all individuals who completed the study. SPSS version 16.0 for windows (Chicago, IL, USA) was used for data analysis. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to assess the normal distribution of continuous variables. Normally- and non-normally distributed continuous data were expressed as mean (standard deviation: SD) and median (interquartile range: IQ), respectively. Categorical variables were reported as percentages. Mean (SD) and median (IQ) of continues variables were compared between the two groups using independent t-test and Mann–Whitney U-test, respectively. The distribution of categorical variables between two groups was compared using Chi-square or Fisher exact test (if more than 20% of the categories were expected to have frequencies less than 5). Moreover, to compare means of variables including mean pruritus score and mean HE severity score between two groups over time, as dependent variables, and control of their baseline differences, General Linear Model (GLM) ANOVA repeated measure was used to analyze data. Because of the deviation from the sphericity assumption, we used Greenhouse-Geisser correction to perform ANOVA results. P-value < 0.05 was considered as the significant level.

Results
Demographic and Baseline Characteristics
A flow diagram of the progress through different phases of the study is shown in Figure 1. During the study period (from October 2018 to November 2019), 106 patients with a diagnosis of irritant HE were assessed for eligibility. Out of these patients, 63 patients fulfilled the inclusion/exclusion criteria and were randomized in a double-blind fashion into either control (n=31) or intervention (n=32) group. During the follow-up period, in the intervention group 6 patients (non-adherence to treatment: 2 patients, experiencing intolerable adverse events: 1 patient, and loss to follow-up: 3 patients) and in the control group, 7 patients (non-adherence to treatment: 4 patients, experiencing intolerable adverse events: 1 patient, and loss to follow-up: 2 patients) were excluded. No significant difference in the cause of patient loss during the follow-up period was noted between the two groups (p=0.94). Finally, 24 out of 31 patients in the control group and 26 out of 32 patients in the intervention group completed the entire 6 weeks course of the study period. All of the following results were related to 50 individuals who completed the 6 weeks of the study.

The demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1. No statistically significant differences regarding demographic and clinical characteristics were noted between the two groups at baseline. Twenty-eight percent (14 patients) were male and 72% (36 patients) were female and the gender distribution was in favor of females in both groups (75% and 69.2% in the control and intervention groups, respectively). The
patients’ age ranged from 18 to 56 years with the mean ± SD age of 31.74 ± 9.83 years. The average duration of the disease was 31.75 ± 26.50 months in the control group and 37.73 ± 47.96 months in the intervention group. The two groups were similar in this regard, without a statistical difference (P=0.59).

Efficacy Results

The mean HESI score at baseline was 67.00 ± 4.40 in the control group (range 44–109), while it was 67.69 ± 4.23 in the intervention group (range 33–115). There was no significant difference between the two groups regarding the HESI score before treatment (P=0.91). The mean value of the VAS score at baseline was 5.92 ± 0.26 (range 3–9) in the intervention group and 5.63±0.27 (range 3–8) in the control group which showed no significant difference between the treatment groups. (P=0.42).

The general linear model analysis demonstrated a significant difference in the changes of HESI and VAS scores at different time points during the study between the two groups (P-value<0.001 in both conditions; Figure 2A & 2B). The results indicated, although relatively comparable decrease in mean HESI and VAS scores was observed in both groups by the end of week 2 after starting treatment (2-weeks treatment period that fluocinolone 0.025% ointment was used in combination with colloidal oatmeal 1% cream or base cream), afterward the mean changes in HESI and VAS scores were significantly difference in the 2 groups with more favorable outcomes in the intervention group (Tables 2 and 3). As showed, after week 2 until end of the study (4-weeks treatment period that colloidal oatmeal 1% cream and base cream was used as monotherapy), non-return of symptoms to baseline conditions was observed in the intervention group, whereas a return of symptoms to baseline conditions in the control group was significant (Figure 2A, B and Tables 2 and 3).

The distribution of HE severity by 5-point categories of HESI score was similar in the two groups at beginning of the study and the patients in both groups had moderate to severe HE severity at baseline. Results regarding distribution analysis of HE severity at weeks 2, 4, and 6 showed that colloidal oatmeal 1% cream treated-patients shifted towards a better outcome than did base cream treated-patients (Table 4). Likewise, distribution analysis of pruritus severity by 3-point categories of VAS scores also demonstrated the superiority of the colloidal oatmeal cream application compared to the base cream application over time (Table 5).

Concerning impact of skin disorder on patients’ quality of life, compared to the starting of the treatment at the end of the treatment period a noticeable improvement on the DLQI score was seen in the intervention group compared with the control group (the DLQI score decreased from 12.88±2.67 at baseline to 5.58±5.35 on week 6 after treatment in the patents receiving colloidal oatmeal cream and from 12.92±2.30 to 10.92±3.78 in the patients receiving base cream; P<0.001; Table 6).

Safety and Tolerability

In respect of the occurrence of adverse events, in the control group, 3 patients experienced adverse events (one case reported burning and 2 cases reported itching) and in the intervention group, 4 patients experienced adverse events (two cases reported burning, one case reported erythema, and one case reported itching). Only, one patient in the intervention group and one patient in the control group quitted the study due to experiencing intolerable adverse events. None of the reported adverse events was serious or caused any complication for the patients. Therefore, it seems colloidal oatmeal 1% cream was well tolerated, demonstrating comparable safety to base cream.

Discussion

Based on our best knowledge, this is the first randomized, double-blind, control trial evaluating the efficacy of colloidal oatmeal cream in the treatment of irritant HE. The results of the current study showed that colloidal oatmeal cream as an adjunct therapy in patients with irritant HE can have ameliorative effects on eczema severity symptoms and eczema-related pruritus. In addition, while was well tolerated, treatment with colloidal oatmeal cream can improve patients’ quality of life.

ICD is the most frequent cause of HE, arising primarily from contact with chemical or physical irritants. Exposure to exogenous irritating agents by direct cytotoxic skin damage leads to disruption of the epidermal barrier, the cytotoxic effect on keratinocytes, cytokine release from keratinocytes, activation of local immunological and inflammatory responses, and increased release of inflammatory mediators. Skin barrier disruption is the first step in the pathogenesis of ICD. Disruption of the epidermal barrier after exposure to irritants results in excessive water loss and increased penetration of irritants agents. Thus, skin barrier optimization is an effective therapeutic tool in the management of ICD. The beneficial effects of colloidal oatmeal application on skin barrier...
Ceramides, cholesterol, and fatty acids are the major lipid species of the stratum corneum that act as a barrier to irritants, allergens, and microbes and limits skin water loss and regulates temperature. Common skin irritants such as Sodium Lauryl Sulfate (SLS) and sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) can reduce the content of ceramides in the stratum corneum. Examination of ceramide content following SLS application showed an inverse relationship between baseline ceramide weight and clinical irritation including erythema, scaling, dryness, and roughness. It seems that the high lipid components in oatmeal, especially omega-3 linoleic and omega-6 linolenic acids play an important role in improving skin barrier function. Further, recently some research suggested oatmeal similar
to wheat and rice may be considered as a potential source of ceramides. Based on results of experimental research, oat lipid extracts also via the activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) pathways can induce ceramide synthesis in keratinocytes and consequently enhance skin barrier repair.

Healthy skin typically has acidic pH, ranging between 4.6 and 5.6 that help in the production of ceramide and lipid by the pH-dependent enzymes. Repeated exposure to alkaline substances such as soap, bleach, solvents, and even tap water can disturb pH balance and consequently disrupt the epidermal barrier. Thus, preventing an alkaline pH shift in the skin can enhance barrier homeostasis and prevent skin irritation. Preliminary in vitro evidence has shown that the ingredients in oatmeal, such as saponins can act as a buffer system, restoring the normal PH of the skin and through it can enhance recovery of barrier damage in skin dermatitis.

Table 1 Baseline Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of the Per Protocol Population

| Variable                               | Groups                           | P value |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|
|                                        | Control (N=24)                   | Intervention (N=26) |
| Gender (N: male/female)                | 6/18                             | 8/18   | 0.76 |
| Age (years; mean ± SD)                 | 33.17 ± 10.55                    | 30.42 ± 9.13 | 0.33 |
| BMI (Kg/m²; mean ± SD)                 | 25.05 ± 3.91                     | 24.04 ± 4.30 | 0.40 |
| HE duration (months; mean ± SD)        | 31.75 ± 26.50                    | 37.73 ± 47.96 | 0.59 |
| HECSI score at baseline (mean ± SD)    | 67.00 ± 4.40                     | 67.69 ± 4.23 | 0.91 |
| VAS score at baseline (mean ± SD)      | 5.63 ± 0.27                      | 5.92 ± 0.26 | 0.42 |

Abbreviations: eBMI, Body Mass Index; HE, handczema; HECSI, hand eczema severity index; VAS, visual analogue scale.

Table 2 Comparison of Eczema Severity Based on the HECSI Tool Between 2 Groups at Different Time Points During the Study (as Mean ± SD)

| HECSI Score | Groups                           | P-value |
|-------------|----------------------------------|---------|
|             | Control (N=24)                   | Intervention (N=26) |
| Baseline    | 67.00 ± 4.40                     | 67.69 ± 4.23 | 0.91 |
| Week 2      | 35.79 ± 3.38                     | 28.77 ± 3.50 | 0.03 |
| Week 4      | 44.83 ± 4.58                     | 25.80 ± 4.39 | 0.004 |
| Week 6      | 54.13 ± 6.42                     | 23.73 ± 6.17 | 0.001 |

Abbreviation: HECSI, hand eczema severity index.

Table 3 Comparison of Pruritus Severity Based on the VAS Scale Between 2 Groups at Different Time Points During the Study (as Mean ± SD)

| VAS Score | Groups                           | P-value |
|-----------|----------------------------------|---------|
|           | Control (N=24)                   | Intervention (N=26) |
| Baseline  | 5.63 ± 0.27                      | 5.92 ± 0.26 | 0.42 |
| Week 2    | 2.46 ± 0.32                      | 1.62 ± 0.31 | 0.06 |
| Week 4    | 3.75 ± 0.34                      | 1.65 ± 0.32 | <0.001 |
| Week 6    | 4.66 ± 0.43                      | 1.50 ± 0.42 | <0.001 |

Abbreviation: VAS, visual analogue scale.

Activation of the epidermal keratinocytes and subsequent release of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin (IL)-1α, IL-1β, IL-6, and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α is another key pathogenic factor in acute-phase reactions of ICD. Releasing these cytokines can stimulate further production of other proinflammatory biomarkers that enhance the delivery of immune cells into the irritant injury site and exacerbate skin inflammation. Considering the important role of inflammation in the pathogenesis of ICD, in cases that barrier repair alone is insufficient to restore the skin barrier, anti-inflammatory agents like topical corticosteroids are used for extending the effectiveness of moisturizers and skin barrier treatments. However, due to concerns regarding the development of both local and systemic adverse events in the long-term use of topical corticosteroids, every attempt should be made to avoid the use of topical corticosteroids wherever possible. Thus, reducing inflammation with steroid-sparing moisturizers is a valuable therapeutic modality in the management of ICD. Colloidal oatmeal is one of the topical compounds that due to its potent anti-oxidant and anti-inflammatory properties may allow for reduced use of corticosteroids. From the biological point of view, colloidal oatmeal is a rich source of different types of phenols that are responsible for its inflammatory effects. Avenanthramides are the main polyphenolic compounds in oats that exhibit antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects in a dose-dependent manner. Anti-inflammatory and antioxidant activity of oatmeal extract may be attributed to its capacity to inhibit NF-κB release from keratinocytes which has a key role in the activation of pro-inflammatory and oxidative pathways. In vitro models of inflammatory dermatological conditions, treatment of TNF-α stimulated human keratinocytes with avenanthramides significantly decreased the
levels of pro-inflammatory biomarkers like IL-8. The elevated level of IL-8 has been linked with pruritus in eczematous conditions and contributes to the pruritus sensations. Additionally, in murine models of contact hypersensitivity and neurogenic inflammation, the topical application of avennanthramides alleviated inflammatory responses and reduced

**Figure 2** (A) Mean hand eczema severity index (HESI) score at different time points during study (P-value<0.001). (B) Mean pruritus score based on the VAS scale at different time points during study (P-value<0.001).

Table 4 Comparison of Prevalence Distribution of HECSI Severity Between 2 Groups at Different Time Points During the Study

| HECSI Severity | Clear n (%) | Almost Clear n (%) | Moderate n (%) | Severe n (%) | P-value |
|----------------|-------------|---------------------|---------------|-------------|---------|
| Baseline       | Intervention group | 0(0) | 0(0) | 5(19.2) | 21(80.8) | 0.42 |
|                | Control group   | 0(0) | 0(0) | 2(8.3)  | 22(91.7) |        |
| Week 2         | Intervention group | 0(0) | 7(26.9) | 14(53.8) | 5(19.2) | 0.03 |
|                | Control group   | 0(0) | 3(12.5) | 13(54.2) | 8(33.3) |        |
| Week 4         | Intervention group | 0(0) | 14(53.8) | 6(23.1) | 6(23.1) | 0.002 |
|                | Control group   | 0(0) | 3(12.5) | 5(20.8) | 16(66.7) |        |
| Week 6         | Intervention group | 7(26.9) | 11(42.3) | 2(7.7)  | 6(25.0) | <0.001|
|                | Control group   | 0(0) | 3(12.5) | 6(25.0) | 15(62.5) |        |

**Notes:** Hand eczema severity index (HECSI) severity was categorized as follows: clear, 0; almost clear, 1–16; moderate, 17–37; severe, 38–116; very severe, ≥117.

Table 5 Comparison of Prevalence Distribution of VAS Severity Between 2 Groups at Different Time Points During the Study

| VAS Severity | None n (%) | Mild n (%) | Moderate n (%) | Severe n (%) | P-value |
|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|--------------|---------|
| Baseline     | Intervention group | 0(0) | 1(3.8) | 17(65.4) | 8(30.8) | 0.76 |
|              | Control group   | 0(0) | 1(4.2) | 18(75.0) | 5(20.8) |        |
| Week 2       | Intervention group | 13(50.0) | 10(38.5) | 3(11.5) | 0(0) | 0.03 |
|              | Control group   | 4(16.7) | 13(54.2) | 7(29.2) | 0(0) |        |
| Week 4       | Intervention group | 12(46.2) | 8(30.8) | 6(23.1) | 0(0) | 0.006 |
|              | Control group   | 2(8.3) | 8(33.3) | 11(45.8) | 3(12.5) |        |
| Week 6       | Intervention group | 7(36.9) | 14(53.8) | 5(19.2) | 0(0) | <0.001|
|              | Control group   | 1(4.2) | 8(33.3) | 8(33.3) | 7(29.2) |        |

**Notes:** Visual analogue scale (VAS) severity was categorized as follows: mild pruritus (VAS<4.0), moderate pruritus (VAS 4.0–7.0), and severe pruritus (VAS>7.0).
Further, extracts of oats by inhibitory effects on biosynthesis and liberation of arachidonic acid from phospholipids in keratinocytes can decrease levels of the metabolites such as prostaglandins and leukotrienes which have possible roles in the development of chronic inflammatory skin disorders. Interestingly enough, due to chemical structure similarity of avenanthramides to the agents with anti-histamine activity, it is postulated that avenanthramides may also directly inhibit histamine signaling. Further, some experimental evidence shows avenanthramides can reduce the histamine release from mast cells stimulated by substance P. In concordance with this evidence, the results of one experimental study showed treatment with oatmeal extract oligomer in surviving mast cells stimulated by substance P. In this regard, Nebus and colleagues in their study found subjects with mild to moderate atopic dermatitis who used an oatmeal-based skincare regimen adjunct to their normal topical medications had significant improvement on eczema severity and itch sensation at 2 weeks after treatment. Grimalt and colleagues’ study also showed compared to the control group, the application of emollient containing oat extract significantly reduced the use of topical corticosteroids in infants with moderate to severe atopic dermatitis. In line with this, in a double-blind, randomized, active-controlled study, Lisante et al recently reported over-the-counter 1% colloidal oatmeal cream is as effective as a prescription barrier cream for the symptomatic treatment mild-to-moderate atopic dermatitis in children. In contrast to these findings, one French prospective study found oat sensitization is higher than expected in children with atopic dermatitis. These discrepancies can be partially justified by the methodological flaws in French study which used oat pollen for skin prick tests and IgE testing. Oat pollen is unlikely to contain the same proteins found in colloidal oatmeal.

In vivo in SLS irritation model in human, potential efficacy of topically applied oatmeal extracts have been also investigated by Vié et al. Results of this study showed oatmeal extracts by anti-inflammatory effects on skin inflammation are able to modulate SLS induced skin irritation. Clinical benefits of colloidal oatmeal lotion application on controlling cutaneous eruption induced by epidermal growth factor receptor and multiple tyrosine-kinase inhibitors were also observed in Alexandrescu et al’s study. It is postulated that the effectiveness of colloidal oatmeal in this setting also attributed to its anti-inflammatory mechanisms. Oatmeal baths as adjuvant treatment are also considered as an effective therapeutic modality in the management of patients with erythrodermic psoriasis.

Therefore, there is promising evidence with the use of topical oatmeal compounds in the management of inflammation and itch responses associated with diverse dermatologic conditions. One clinical aspect that has made the natural products such as, colloidal oatmeal as an attractive therapeutic modality in the management of HE and other dermatological disorders is their excellent safety and tolerability compared to conventional medications during long-term treatment. In this respect, results of one open-labeled, post-marketing feedback trial showed in addition to its significant anti-itching and moisturizing properties against varieties of dry skin conditions, oatmeal moisturizer is well tolerated in the patients of all age groups. In similar findings, results of another study demonstrated that colloidal oatmeal is a safe ingredient in personal care products (creams, cleansers, lotions) and irritation and allergenic potential of the study materials were low. Therefore, this margin of safety and excellent efficacy makes colloidal oatmeal as an attractive therapeutic option in the management of chronic HE.

Despite the novelty of the findings, the results of the current study should be interpreted cautiously because it suffers from a number of limitations. The first limitation of this study is the relatively small number of subjects and short duration of follow up that can affect the generalization of our results. More studies with larger sample sizes and longer follow-up are warranted to validate the findings reported here. The second limitation of the
present study is that we used colloidal oatmeal as adjuvant therapy to topical corticosteroids in the management of HE, so its therapeutic effects as monotherapy on clinical outcomes need to be addressed in future studies. Additionally, we investigate the effectiveness of colloidal oatmeal only in patients with irritant HE. Additional trials are needed to evaluate the effectiveness of colloidal oatmeal for the treatment of other types of HE.

Conclusion
In conclusion, our findings demonstrate that colloidal oatmeal, a natural product with proven barrier protection, moisturization, anti-inflammatory, and soothing properties, can have beneficial effects as an adjunct therapy in the management of inflammatory skin diseases, such as irritant HE.

Data Sharing
The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request up to 2 years after publication.
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