The Height of Chitinous Ridges Alone Produces the Entire Structural Color Palette
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The colorful wings of butterflies result from the interaction between light and the intricate chitinous nanostructures on butterflies' scales. This study demonstrates that just by reproducing the chitinous ridges present in butterfly scales (i.e., without any other secondary structure), the entire color palette is achieved. This result is achieved using a new methodology based on the controlled reproduction of parts of the biological structure of complex chitinous systems using their native chemistry, enabling the isolation of different features' contributions. Here the contribution of the ridges and their variations as producing and modulating color hue is isolated. The results suggest that complicated butterfly scales may be non-ideal solutions for producing color when multifunctionality is not considered.

1. Introduction

Structural color in arthropod cuticles, particularly on butterfly wings, is one of the most ubiquitous and striking examples of ostentation in nature, and the nanopatterns producing these colors have been extensively studied. It is generally assumed that the primary function of these color-producing nanostructures in butterfly wing scales is to produce color; therefore, their extreme complexity is required for such a task. However, this rather obvious assumption may be erroneous. Butterfly scales are a multipurpose convolution of features resulting from constraints and needs that may or may not be related to the many factors involved in the generation of color. Therefore, identifying the particular contributions of each feature of the scales to determine their functionality is central to understanding the physiological and evolutionary aspects of butterflies.

In bioengineering, the motivation to understand the biology of butterflies is derived from the goal to artificially reproduce structural color in chitinous objects. Knowing that butterfly scales offer the solution to this, the aim of this study was to determine the basic principles behind this solution, hidden within the multipurpose 'noise' of the color-producing structures.

Over a decade ago, we demonstrated that chitinous polymers retain their ability to crystallise and form nanostructures after extraction from the cuticle. This ability can be explored to form topographies of a few hundred nanometers. To explore the characteristics of chitinous structural color, we built upon those results, achieving the control and quality necessary to make use of the optical properties of the material. This enabled the reproduction of the structural chitinous ridges without the rest of the scales' topography, effectively isolating their contribution to the production of color.

2. Results and Discussion

We used two-photon lithography to produce ridge structures with a horizontal spacing of 3.4 μm to match the interridge distance across several genera (Figure 1a). We then mapped the achievable color produced at the fixed interridge spacing by altering the vertical dimensions from 150 nm to 2.4 μm. We kept the interridge distance constant because of the consistency of the horizontal interridge distances across butterfly species and colors. We focused on the variation of the ridge height inspired by recent evidence supporting the idea that the alteration of the vertical dimension (i.e., the thickness of the lower lamina) is a previously unconsidered evolutionary strategy to explore different colorations in butterflies.

The structures produced in the synthetic photocurable resin were transferred to a silicone elastomer through soft lithography and transformed into chitinous structures through a...
process that involved casting chitosan (i.e., highly deacetylated chitin), which had been extracted from shrimp shells and dispersed in a weak acetic acid solution (Figure 1b). The controlled evaporation of the solvent resulted in freestanding chitinous films containing a reproduction of the original ridge-like nanotopography with a height scaled by a factor of 0.73 by the vertical shrinking of chitosan during crystallisation\textsuperscript{[16]} (Figure 1c).

These experiments demonstrated that the otherwise transparent chitosan films (Supplementary Figure 1a) started to show color when the ridges were under 400 nm in height, and they covered the whole spectrum in the next 1.4 \(\mu\)m. Then, as the height continued to increase, the color sequence started repeating (Figure 2a,b; Figure S1b, Supporting Information). Despite the strong and linear correlation between the ridge height and the color hue, when these results were reproduced for similar ranges of interridge distances and ridge widths, those parameters showed negligible influence on the resulting hue compared to the height (Figure 3a).

To obtain intuitive insight into the origin of the peaks and dips in the transmission spectra, we simulated the structures and their effects on a plane wave normally incident on the chitinous gratings (Figure 3b; Figure S2, Supporting Information). The system can be understood as a thin-film interference reflector, such as those commonly occurring in butterfly scales\textsuperscript{[17,18]} in which two waves propagate through chitinous polymer and air. This interpretation results in simulated transmission spectra remarkably close to those measured in the physical samples. When the phase difference between the two paths equals an even (odd) integer of \(\pi\), the constructive (destructive) interference of the two waves gives rise to large (small) transmittance.\textsuperscript{[7]} The phase difference between the two paths increases with the increment in ridge height, resulting in the redshift in the peaks and dips, which we have reported as a correlation between the height of the grating and the color hue. The slight discrepancy between the measured and simulated spectra could be ascribed to the deviation in the shape of the ridges from the ideal cuboid and the variation in the refractive index from 1.56 at some wavelengths.\textsuperscript{[19]} It is worth noting that in the theoretical reproduction of the color palette, the supporting chitinous layer is not involved, which is in agreement with the independence of the color hue with the thickness of the supporting layer in the fabricated structures. This is because the phase difference between the two waves is not altered when both waves pass through the supporting layer of constant thickness before travelling through the chitinous polymer/air-structured area. This could also be observed on the flat spectra obtained in the unstructured and low structures (i.e., < 0.3 \(\mu\)m) surfaces (both theoretical and fabricated).

---

**Figure 1.** Fabrication of chitinous nano-ridges. a) Color-producing structures in butterfly wings are characterised by high levels of complexity and diversity. These panels show representative examples from different Lepidoptera: *Morpho anaxibia* (Nymphalidae, top row), *Arcas imperialis* (Lycaenidae, middle row) and *Parides arcas* (Papilionidae, bottom row). Photos of the butterfly (left), light micrographs captured at 100x magnifications (middle) and scanning electron microscope (SEM) images of cross-sections made with a focused ion beam (FIB) or manual fracture (right) are shown; the SEM scale bars are 1 \(\mu\)m. b) Diagram of the fabrication process and characteristics of the biomimetic chitinous ridges. The structures were initially fabricated by two-photon additive manufacturing, which enabled accurate height control. A negative copy of the structures was produced in an elastomeric polymer, which was used to cast a chitosan solution in liquid crystal form. c) SEM images of 0.8-\(\mu\)m-wide chitinous ridges of different heights; the bars are 4 \(\mu\)m.
3. Conclusion

This is the first demonstration of the achievement of any color using only the heights of chitinous ridges and without any of the structures typically associated with the production of color, such as lower lamina, honeycombs or lumen multilayers and lattices\(^{(20)}\) (Figure 1a). Therefore, the independent contribution of ridge heights to the overall color of butterfly wing scales

---

**Figure 2.** Color produced by the chitinous ridges. a) The whole palette of colors produced by changing the height of the chitinous ridges from 150 nm to 2.4 \(\mu\)m. The images show “pixels” of 18 × 18 \(\mu\)m containing five ridges of similar height taken with an optical microscope. The top-right inset in each pixel shows the averaged color observable at the macro scale. b) Transmission spectra produced by ridges with heights ranging from 150 to 2.4 \(\mu\)m.

**Figure 3.** Influence of other geometrical factors and simulated results. a) Changing the width of the ridges from 0.6 \(\mu\)m (top-left) to 2.1 \(\mu\)m (bottom-right) at constant height did not significantly influence color. However, changing the height of the ridges (from 0.15 \(\mu\)m to 2.4 \(\mu\)m in each of the images, similar to Figure 2a) resulted in large, linear and continuous changes in the color hue. b) Simulated results for the structures in Figures 1 and 2 (i.e., pitch = 3.4 \(\mu\)m, width = 0.8 \(\mu\)m, height 0.15–2.4 \(\mu\)m) normalised to a flat (i.e., unstructured) chitinous film. The simulation closely matched the observations, where low structures (<0.3 \(\mu\)m) showed an almost flat transmission spectra, which started to show sharp minima (dark blue-purple in the graph) at short wavelengths, and they were displaced to longer wavelengths as the height of the ridges increased.
should be carefully integrated into future studies. Our findings support the idea that the diversity and complexity of the color-producing structures in butterfly wings—and by extension, the cuticles of other arthropods—cannot be solely explained as an efficient strategy for producing different colors, as this feat can be achieved by simpler and more efficient design variations. At the same time, other functionalities of the cuticle, such as further optical properties (iridescence, angle independence, light polarization, etc.), interactions with water, aerodynamics, structural requirements or even the winding evolutionary path to these structures, need to be considered when studying and replicating biological structures that produce color.

4. Experimental Section

**Materials**: Chitosan (medium molecular weight, high degree of deacetylation; Sigma–Aldrich), 3-(trimethoxysilyl) propyl methacrylate (TMSPMA), acetic acid, and NaOH were used as received. Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) with the trench patterns was prepared using a SYLGARD 184 silicon elastomer kit.

**Fabrication of Patterned Chitosan Surface**: The two-photon polymerization lithography (TPL) based 3D printing of the color producing trench arrays was performed as described elsewhere.[7] The trench arrays were casted with PDMS and cured to transfer the negative replica to a PDMS soft mould. The latter was then used to cast chitosan and fabricate trench array containing chitosan films. The chitosan-based resist was prepared by dissolving 3% w/v chitosan power in 1% v/v acetic acid.

To measure the spectra, the chitosan trenches were also fabricated on glass. In case of the latter, high fidelity pattern transfer to glass substrate became possible by enhancing the adhesion of the chitosan resist to the glass substrate. This was performed by surface functionalization of glass using TMSPMA that enables chitosan to adhere strongly to glass.[25] For soft lithography, a few drops of the chitosan resist were dispensed on glass substrate and pressed with a PDMS mould comprising the topographical pattern. The soft imprinting was conducted until the chitosan film was dried after which the PDMS mould was peeled off. Subsequently, the substrate with chitosan trenches was submerged in NaOH 4% (w/v) for 10 min to neutralize the protonated amino groups and avoid further dissolution.[6] Finally, the substrate was washed in deionized water to remove any remaining NaOH and dried at 37 °C.

**Optical Measurements**: The optical microscopy images and transmittance spectra were measured using a Nikon Eclipse LV100ND optical microscope equipped with a Nikon DS-Ri2 camera and a CRAIC 508 PV microspectrophotometer. Two halogen lamps (LV-HL 50 W) were used to illuminate the samples in the reflection/transmission mode. A 5x, NA = 0.15 objective was employed to measure transmission spectra. The spectra were normalized to the transmittance spectrum of the non-patterned area of the chitosan film.

**Characterization**: SEM was performed using a JEOL-JSM-7600F and JEOL JSM 6010LV SEM system (Jeol Ltd., Tokyo) with an accelerating voltage of 5 kV and 15–20 kV, respectively. Platinum sputter-coated butterfly wing scale samples were milled using a gallium ion beam on an FEI Versa 3D with the following settings: beam voltage – 8 kV, beam current – 12 pA at a 52° tilt and imaged on the same equipment using a beam voltage of 5 kV, and beam current of 13 pA. Light microscope images of individual butterfly wing scales were recorded using the 100X lens of a uSight-2000-Ni microspectrophotometer (Technosnpe Pte. Ltd., Singapore) fitted with a Touptek U3CMOS-05 camera.

**Simulation**: The transmission spectra of the chitosan gratings were calculated with a finite-difference time-domain software (Lumerical Simulation). Chitosan polymer was modeled with a refractive index of 1.56. A plane wave was normally incident the chitosan gratings with periodic boundary conditions. A field and power monitor was placed above the gratings. Considering the numerical aperture (0.15) of the experimentally used objective lens, a near-to-far-field projection was conducted to integrate the transmitted light within an 8.6° cone. The calculated spectra were then normalized to a reference transmission spectrum of a semi-infinite chitosin substrate without the ridges.
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Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.
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