Endoscopic nasal surgery for mucocele and pyogenic mucocele of turbinate: Three case reports
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Background
Cases of turbinate mucocele or pyogenic mucocele are extremely rare. During nasal endoscopy, turbinate hypertrophy can be detected in patients with turbinate or pyogenic mucocele. However, in many instances, differentiating between turbinate hypertrophy and turbinate mucocele is difficult. Radiological examinations, such as computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), are essential for the accurate diagnosis of turbinate mucocele. Herein, we report three cases of mucocele or pyogenic mucocele of turbinate, including their clinical presentation, imaging findings, and treatments, to help rhinologists understand this condition better.

Case Summary
Three cases of turbinate and pyogenic mucocele were encountered in our hospital. In all patients, nasal obstruction and headache were the most common symptoms, and physical examination revealed hypertrophic turbinates. On CT scan, mucocele appeared as non-enhancing, homogeneous, hypodense, well-defined, rounded, and expansile lesions. Meanwhile, MRI clearly illustrated the cystic nature of the lesion on T2 sequences. Two patients with inferior turbinate mucocele underwent mucocele lining removal, while the patient with pyogenic mucocele underwent endoscopic middle turbinate marsupialization. The patients were followed up on the first, third, sixth month, and 1 year after discharge, and no complaints of headache and nasal congestion were reported during this period.

Conclusion
In conclusion, both CT and MRI are helpful in the diagnosis of turbinate or pyogenic mucocele. Additionally, endoscopic nasal surgery is considered to be the most effective treatment method.
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Core Tip: Herein, we reported three cases of turbinate and pyogenic mucocele, including their clinical presentation, imaging findings, and treatment approach. Concha bullosa was the basis of turbinate and pyogenic mucocele. In our study, nasal obstruction and headaches were the most commonly reported symptoms, which was consistent with previous studies. Computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging are essential for the accurate diagnosis of turbinate mucocele. Surgical removal of turbinate mucocele and pyogenic mucocele is the recommended procedure.
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INTRODUCTION

Three stair-like bones protrude from the nasal cavity, namely the inferior, middle, and superior turbinate. The sizes of these turbinates decrease by one-third consecutively; furthermore, the anterior position is successively retracted by one-third[1-3]. With the development of radiologic examinations, including computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), concha bullosa of the middle turbinate has been identified as a relatively common condition[3-7], whereas concha bullosa of the inferior turbinate is considered rare[8-10]. Furthermore, the development of mucocele or pyogenic mucocele from concha bullosa is considered extremely rare. Herein, we report three cases of turbinate mucocele and pyogenic mucocele, including their clinical presentation, imaging, and treatments. This article complies with the “Helsinki Medical Protocol and Ethics Declaration” and has been approved by the Shandong Provincial ENT Hospital Medical Ethics Committee (3701047593066).

CASE PRESENTATION

Chief complaints
Case 1: A 55-year-old female presenting with a chronic headache for more than five years was admitted on October 19, 2016. Over the past six months, the patient had more frequent and severe headaches with bilateral nasal congestion.

Case 2: A 58-year-old male with a 2-year history of bilateral nasal obstruction that was worse on the left side was admitted on December 25, 2019.

Case 3: A 50-year-old male with half a year history of right nasal congestion and a 1-wk history of nasal mass was transferred to our department on April 24, 2020.

History of present illness
Case 1: In the past five years, the patient experienced headaches without an obvious cause. Moreover, the headaches were more pronounced in the morning, mainly in bilateral temporal region, and occurred once a week on average. The patient was treated in the neurology department and underwent a CT scan of the brain, which revealed no obvious abnormalities. During the past six months, the degree and frequency of the patient’s headache had increased significantly (2-3 times a week), requiring oral painkillers for relief; the headache was accompanied by bilateral nasal congestion, especially on the left side. The patient had no runny nose, sneezing, epistaxis, facial pain, or other discomforts.

Case 2: In the past two years, the patient experienced bilateral and intermittent nasal congestion, heavy nasal congestion on the left side at night, frequent mouth breathing at night, and snoring during sleep without an obvious cause. The patient also experienced an occasional headache and mild anosmia on the left side. However, he had no runny nose, sneezing, epistaxis, facial pain, or other discomforts.

Case 3: Since half a year, the patient had right and intermittent nasal congestion with no obvious cause; there was no runny nose, no epistaxis, no headache, and no sleep snoring. Furthermore, he had never been to the department of rhinology. The patient was hospitalized in our hospital due to vertigo 1 wk
prior and underwent an MRI of the head. The MRI revealed a mass on the inferior meatus’ right posterior end, so he was transferred to the Department of Rhinology for further treatment.

**History of past illness**

**Case 1**: There was no specific past illness.

**Case 2**: History of right ophthalmoplegia for half a year and right secretory otitis media for two weeks.

**Case 3**: Meniere’s disease for one week.

**Personal and family history**

All patients have no specific family history of illness.

**Physical examination**

**Case 1**: The left inferior turbinate was hypertrophic and proximal to the nasal septum, which was slightly deviated to the right.

**Case 2**: The left middle turbinate was enlarged, exceeding the lower 1/3 of the inferior turbinate. Furthermore, the patient’s nasal septum deviated to the left and his right middle ear had fluid effusion.

**Case 3**: Uplifting in the right posterior end of the inferior meatus and nasal septum deviation to the right.

**Laboratory examinations**

**Case 1-3**: All test indicators were in the normal range.

**Imaging examinations**

**Case 1**: CT scan revealed an oval-shaped opaque area with clear edges and thinner cortical bone, which was located in the left inferior turbinate, indicating the presence of a mucocele (Figures 1A and B). Furthermore, T2-weighted MRI images showed a high signal for mucocele fluid, and the edge of the mucocele was clear (Figures 1C and D). In addition, sphenoid sinusitis was observed on both CT and MRI. Sagittal images of CT scans and MRIs were not provided.

**Case 2**: CT scan revealed an oval-shaped space-occupying lesion in the left middle turbinate, indicating the presence of a pyogenic mucocele. The pyogenic mucocele showed a homogeneous soft tissue density shadow with clear edges and thinner cortical bone (Figures 2A-C). In addition, right secretory otitis media was also found on the CT scan.

**Case 3**: CT scan of the sinus revealed a homogeneous soft-tissue density shadow lesion with clear bone edges in the right posterior end of the inferior meatus (Figures 3A-C). MRI revealed clear edges of the mucocele and high homogeneous signals on T2 sequences (Figures 3D-F).

**Histopathology**

**Case 1**: The intact lining of the mucocele tissue was stained with hematoxylin and eosin, revealing respiratory epithelium on microscopy (Figures 1I and J).

**Case 2**: The medial lamellae of the pyogenic mucocele and middle turbinate tissue were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. On microscopy, the pyogenic mucocele lining revealed hyperplasia and hypertrophy with various inflammatory cell infiltrations (Figures 2G and H). Immunohistochemical staining results were as follows: CK-pan(+), CgA(-), SyN(-), P53: 10% of cells were weakly positive (+); and the Ki index was approximately 5%.

**Case 3**: The intact lining of mucocele tissue was stained with hematoxylin and eosin, revealing respiratory epithelium on microscopy (Figures 3J and K). Immunohistochemical staining results were as follows: CK-pan(+), CK14 (-), P63 (-), SyN(-), and a Ki index was approximately 4%.

**FINAL DIAGNOSIS**

**Case 1**: Mucocele of the inferior turbinate (left); chronic sinusitis.

**Case 2**: Pyogenic mucocele of the middle turbinate (left); deviation of the nasal septum; secretory otitis (right); ophthalmoplegia (right).

**Case 3**: Mucocele of the inferior turbinate (right); Meniere’s disease.
Figure 1 Case 1: A 55-year-old woman with an interior turbinate mucocele. A: The inferior turbinate mucocele is shown on horizontal sinus computed tomography (CT); B: The inferior turbinate mucocele is shown on coronal sinus CT; C: The inferior turbinate mucocele is shown on T1 magnetic resonance imaging (MRI); D: The inferior turbinate mucocele is shown on T2 MRI; E: The bone wall of inferior turbinate mucocele is shown; F: The inferior turbinate mucocele lining is shown; G: The mucocele lining is completely removed; H: The inner layer diameter is about 1.2 cm; I: Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) result of the mucocele lining is shown (× 40); J: H&E result of the mucocele lining is shown (× 100).

Figure 2 Case 2: A 58-year-old man with a middle turbinate pyogenic mucocele. A: The middle turbinate pyogenic mucocele is shown on coronal sinus computed tomography (CT); B: The middle turbinate pyogenic mucocele is shown on horizontal sinus CT; C: The middle turbinate pyogenic mucocele is shown on sagital sinus CT; D: The full-thickness longitudinal incision was made at the front end of the right middle turbinate; E: The white pus oozing out from middle turbinate pyogenic mucocele; F: The medial lamellae of the pyogenic mucocele are resected; G and H: Hematoxylin and eosin result of the medial lamellae of the pyogenic mucocele is shown (× 40).

TREATMENT

Case 1: Following diagnosis, the patient underwent endoscopic surgery under general anesthesia. First, we made a horizontal incision in the inferior turbinate to separate the submucosal tissue until the bone wall of the mucocele. The bone wall was gently peeled off to expose the mucocele lining; the yellow
serous fluid was drawn using a syringe, and the mucocele lining was completely removed. Next, we injected normal saline into the dissociative mucocele lining, which showed an inner layer diameter of approximately 1.2 cm (Figures 1E-H). Finally, functional endoscopic sinus surgery on the sphenoid sinus was performed, and the patient recovered with no discomfort.

Case 2: Following diagnosis, the patient underwent endoscopic surgery under general anesthesia. First, a full-thickness longitudinal incision was made at the front end of the right middle turbinate, resulting in white pus oozing out. The medial lamellae of the pyogenic mucocele and the middle turbinate were then resected endoscopically (Figures 2D-F). Finally, in order to treat right ear fullness, the tympanic membrane of the right ear was incised, and a T-shaped tube was inserted. Postoperatively, the patient’s nasal obstruction and ear fullness disappeared completely without discomfort.

Case 3: Following diagnosis, the patient underwent endoscopic surgery under general anesthesia. First, a U-shaped incision was made at the posterior end of the inferior meatus to separate the submucosal tissue from the bone wall of the mucocele. The front and inner bone walls were gently peeled off, yellow serous fluid was drawn using a syringe, and the mucocele lining was completely removed. Next, we injected normal saline into the dissociative mucocele lining, which showed an inner layer diameter of approximately 0.8 cm (Figures 3G-I). Septoplasty under nasal endoscopy was also performed simultaneously. Postoperatively, the patient’s symptoms disappeared completely without discomfort.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

Case 1: The patient was followed up on the first, third, sixth month, and 1 year after discharge, and no complaints of headache and nasal congestion were reported during this period.

Case 2: The patient was followed up on the first, third, sixth month, and 1 year after discharge, and no nasal congestion and purulent discharge were observed during this period.

Case 3: The patient was followed up on the first, third, sixth month, and 1 year after discharge, and no nasal congestion was observed during this period.
DISCUSSION

Mucocele in other body parts present without a lining; however, turbinate mucocele is known to have epithelial linings[11]. Herein, we report two cases of inferior turbinate mucocele and one case of middle turbinate pyogenic mucocele. Concha bullosa, which is an anatomic variant of a turbinate, is responsible for the formation of turbinate mucocele and pyogenic mucocele. Although it is very rare for the inferior turbinate to develop a concha bullosa[8,10], it is a relatively common anatomical variant in the middle turbinate, with an incidence varying from 14%-53.6%[8]. Moreover, concha bullosa is classified into three types, namely lamellar, bulbous, and extensive[12,13]. In this report, we did not find the etiology factors in mucocele development from turbinate bullas.

Previous studies have indicated that either mechanical factors (history of trauma, surgery, nasal polyposis, or benign tumors) or inflammatory factors (infection, allergy, cystic fibrosis) are the main cause of mucocele development[6,14,15]. Mechanical factors induce blockage of a minor salivary gland duct, resulting in the development of a mucocele[6,7,12]. Inflammatory factors also may be the underlying cause of turbinate mucocele, since local lymphocytes may contribute to the release of bone resorption factors[12], and prostaglandin (prostaglandin E2) and proinflammatory cytokines (interleukin-1 and tumor necrosis factor-α) could provoke osteoclastic bone resorption[16]. In the formation of turbinate mucocele, local bone destruction, remodeling, and expansion occur simultaneously. Mucocele that develops secondary to an infection is referred to as a pyogenic mucocele, which is extremely rare[11,17]. Severe pyogenic mucocele cases may present with intranasal mass, migraine, or orbital involvement[18,19].

Among our patients, nasal obstruction and headaches were the most commonly reported symptoms, which was consistent with previous studies[6,15]. Rhinorrhea is very rarely reported. However, some cases of nasal turbinate mucocele were asymptomatic and diagnosed incidentally on nasal endoscopy and radiologic examinations[5,20]. In our patients, nasal endoscopy could reveal turbinate hypertrophy; however, it needs to be differentiated from turbinate hypertrophy, ethmoidal mucocele, benign or malignant solid tumor (mesenchymal tumor or osseous tumor), meningoencephalocele, and dacryocyst mucocele, based on the patient’s symptoms and physical examination[6,12,14,21-23]. On CT scan, mucocele presents as non-enhancing, homogeneous, hypodense, well-defined, rounded, and expansile lesions[8]. Meanwhile, MRI clearly demonstrates the cystic nature of the lesion on T2 sequences[6,12]. First, the malignant solid tumor was precluded because there was no necrosis, crusting, epistaxis, cervical lymphadenopathy, and bone destruction found by CT and MRI imaging[12]; based on pathological and immunohistochemical results, sinus tumors including neuroendocrine tumor[24,25], squamous cell carcinoma[26], and adenoid cystic carcinoma[27] could be excluded.

In our cases, CT images showed a homogeneous thin bony framework surrounding the turbinate mucocele and thus ruled out ethmoid mucocele and turbinate hypertrophy[22]. According to the CT image, the cyst did not continue with the dacyrocyst and dura mater, and the turbinate mucous cyst was surrounded by bone, so meningoencephalocele and dacryocyst mucocele were excluded[28,29]. Therefore, radiological examinations, such as CT or MRI, are essential for the accurate diagnosis of turbinate mucocele[1,3,8,9].

It should be noted that pyogenic mucocele cannot be differentiated from mucocele on CT[6,30], which is important as the infection of a pyogenic mucocele might spread to important surrounding anatomical structures, including the orbit and brain[26]. As such, turbinate mucocele and pyogenic mucocele must always be surgically removed regardless of symptomology[31].

Treatment modalities have changed with the advancement of endoscopic nasal surgery. Although the complete removal of the mucocele lining was recommended in the past, most cases to date involve the marsupialization of lesions, wherein the lesion is reintegrated into the nasal cavity and re-ossification of the bony framework is observed several months after[12]. In our cases, the two patients with inferior turbinate mucocele underwent complete removal, whereas the patient with a pyogenic mucocele underwent marsupialization surgery. Surgical removal of turbinate mucocele and pyogenic mucocele is the recommended procedure, which usually relieves the patient from all symptoms.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, turbinate mucocele and pyogenic mucocele from concha bullosa are rare. CT and MRI are both helpful for diagnosis, and endoscopic nasal surgery is the best treatment modality, which has shown good results and no recurrences.
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