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Strong ion difference in urine: new perspectives in acid–base assessment
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Abstract

The plasmatic strong ion difference (SID) is the difference between positively and negatively charged strong ions. At pH 7.4, temperature 37°C and partial carbon dioxide tension 40 mmHg, the ideal value of SID is 42 mEq/l. The buffer base is the sum of negatively charged weak acids ([HCO₃⁻], [A⁻], [H₂PO₄⁻]) and its normal value is 42 mEq/l. According to the law of electroneutrality, the amount of positive and negative charges must be equal, and therefore the SID value is equal to the buffer base value. The easiest assessment of metabolic acidosis/alkalosis relies on the base excess calculation: buffer baseactual – buffer baseideal = SIDactual – SIDideal. The SID approach allows one to appreciate the relationship between acid–base and electrolyte equilibrium from a unique perspective, and here we describe a comprehensive model of this equilibrium. The extracellular volume is characterized by a given SID, which is a function of baseline conditions, endogenous and exogenous input (endogenous production and infusion), and urinary output. Of note, volume modifications vary the concentration of charges in the solution. An expansion of extracellular volume leads to acidosis (SID decreases), whereas a contraction of extracellular volume leads to alkalosis (SID increases). A thorough understanding of acid–base equilibrium mandates recognition of the importance of urinary SID.

Traditionally, the assessment of metabolic acidosis and alkalosis relies on measurement of the base excess, which is the difference between the ‘ideal’ buffer base [1] (i.e. the sum of the negatively charged forms of weak acids, [A⁻] + [HCO₃⁻] + [H₂PO₄⁻], at standard conditions (pH 7.4, temperature 37°C, partial carbon dioxide tension 40 mmHg)) and the ‘actual’ buffer base [2]):

Base excess = buffer baseactual − buffer baseideal

(1)

During the past few years a novel approach based on assessment of the strong ion difference (SID) has been introduced to evaluate metabolic acidosis and alkalosis. For simplicity, we limit our discussion to these two disturbances.

Please note that in the following discussion we will refer to the amount of strong ion difference as SID (mEq), while we will refer to the strong ion difference concentration as [SID] (mEq/l).

By definition, strong ions are always dissociated in a solution. In plasma, as well as in interstitial fluids, the sum of positively charged ions (primarily Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺) exceeds the sum of the negatively charged strong ions (primarily Cl⁻ and lactate⁻) of about 42 mEq/l. This difference is called the SID, and according to the Stewart model [3] its variation is one of the determinants of acid–base status. Looking at Figure 1, the connection between base excess and SID is apparent. The buffer base and SID are equivalent. In fact, because the ideal SID is equal to 42 mEq/l (as is the normal buffer base), it follows that

Base excess = SIDactual − SIDideal = buffer baseactual − buffer baseideal

(2)

Because computation of the actual SID is rather complicated, requiring the determination of all of the strong ion concentrations, we believe that the base excess approach may be easier, more rapid and adequate for clinical purposes. Indeed, the frequent debate involving the comparison of the ‘SID approach’ with the ‘base excess approach’ to assessment of metabolic acidosis [4,5] appears futile because their physiological meanings, as well as their variations, are identical. In other words, the two approaches look at the same thing from different points of view.

The picture is different when one considers the ‘understanding’ of acid–base and electrolyte equilibria, which everyone has studied in separate chapters of the textbooks. The great merit of the Stewart approach is that it considers

SID = strong ion difference.
electrolytes and acid–base status in a common framework. Here, we would like to propose a comprehensive model that may explain, at least qualitatively, many of the findings observed in clinical practice and in the literature.

The SID reflects the difference in electrical charges of the strong ions in the volume of the extracellular compartment (V). At time 0, it will be equal to V(0) × [SID(0)]. For example, if at time 0 the SID is normal (i.e. 42 mEq/l) then the net amount of electrical charge in the extracellular fluid (15 l) will be 630 mEq. During a given period of time there may be an addition of volume to the system (e.g. infusion of a solution) with its own SID (SIDinfusion). Consequently, a net amount of charge equal to V infusion × [SID infusion] will be added to the system. Similarly, the urinary system will excrete a volume of urine (Vurine) with its own SID (SID urine). The last variable that must be taken into account is endogenous production of SID (sulphates, phosphates, lactate and ketoacids, among other components). It follows that the SID at a given time ‘t’ may be derived from a series of equations, which may appear to be complicated in their expression but simple in their meaning. Eqn 3 (below) indicates that, in a system, the net amount of electrical charges due to the strong ions is equal to the net electrical charge of the system at time zero plus the net electrical charge added as a result of metabolism plus the net electrical charge added with volume infusion minus the net electrical charge extracted via urine.

\[
[SID(t)] = \frac{V(0) \times [SID(0)] + \int_0^t EPR(t)dt + \int_0^t IR(t) \times [SID_{infusion}(t)]dt - \int_0^t UPR(t) \times [SID_{urine}(t)]dt}{V(t)}
\]  

where EPR(t) is the ‘endogenous production rate’ of SID (mEq/min), IR(t) is the volume infusion rate and UPR(t) is the urine production rate. At a given time ‘t’, the net fluid volume of the extracellular compartment is equal to the initial volume of the system plus the volume added with infusion minus the volume extracted in the form of urine.

\[
V(t) = V(0) + \int_0^t IR(t)dt - \int_0^t URT(t)dt
\]

Because what matters in terms of acid–base status is the concentration, rather than the net amount of electrical charge, the SID at a given time ‘t’ may be expressed from the above equations as shown in equation 5 at the foot of the page:

\[
\text{see foot of page}
\]
Some clinical findings may be viewed from the perspective of the general framework of Eqn 5. It is well known that rapid infusion of saline induces metabolic acidosis. This has been attributed to changes in SID due to hyperchloraemia [10]. By looking at Eqn 3 we derive a different point of view. Because the SID of saline is equal to 0, it follows that, if the urinary output of electrical charge and metabolic production remain constant, the net difference of electrical charges in the system (i.e. the numerator in Eqn 5) does not change. What causes the acidosis is the expansion of the extracellular volume (volume input greater than volume output), which leads to decreased concentration of the net amount of electrical charge (i.e. the SID).

Unfortunately, it is not easy to consider the urinary SID. In fact, although 40–42 mEq/l of plasmatic negative charge may be derived from the dissociated weak acids ([A–], [HCO3–] and [H2PO4–]), the amount of weak acids is far less in urine and, overall, the range of urinary pH is an order of magnitude greater than that in plasma. Once again, the problem is simpler when one considers the entire picture. In fact, as far as the plasmatic acid–base equilibrium is concerned, we must consider only the components of urinary [SID] that may affect the plasmatic [SID] (i.e. [K+] and [Na+] and [Cl–]). In fact, in urine

\[ [Na^+] + [K^+] + [Un^+] = [Cl^-] + [Un^-] \]  
(6)

where Un+ and Un– are the positive and negative unmeasured ions. It follows that

\[ [Na^+] + [K^+] - [Cl^-] = [Un^-] - [Un^+] \]  
(7)

Quantitatively, the most important anion in urine is SO\(_4\)\(^{2-}\), which is derived from the metabolism of sulphur amino acids, whereas the most important cation is NH\(_4\)^+. In normal conditions, the sum of urinary [Na+] + [K+] – [Cl–] amounts to 42 mEq/l [14]. It follows that the concentration of unmeasured anions exceeds the concentration of unmeasured cations of 42 mEq/l. When a strong ion such as lactate is added to the plasma, the plasmatic SID will decrease. Consequently, the urinary system will react by increasing its excretion of chloride, thereby decreasing the plasma chloride concentration (while [Na+] and [K+] must be maintained within normal ranges). The increased excretion of chloride will decrease the urinary SID. Therefore, the difference between [Un–] and [Un+] should decrease (Eqn 7). This is accomplished by increasing the excretion rate of NH\(_4\)^+; which is a way to augment elimination of Cl– without Na+ [11,15].

Indeed, the effects of any volume infusion or other interventions cannot be understood if the urinary SID and volume are not taken into account. A merit of the report by Moviat and colleagues [13] is that, for the first time in critical care, attention is focused on the urinary system, which is the main regulator of SID. The authors found that the increase in urinary SID (indirectly induced by blocking carbonic anhydrase) was the key driver for correction of metabolic alkalosis. The message is important – urinary SID should be a key component of global acid–base assessment. We believe that urinary electrolyte monitoring may open a new perspective of research in critical care. Acid–base equilibrium, one of the oldest research areas in medicine, is still an open field for new discoveries and approaches.
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