The pregnancy health and birth outcomes of women who underwent assisted reproductive technology: Results of a national survey
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Abstract

Background: There is an upward trend for parents to resort to assisted reproductive technology (ART) treatment due to delayed childbirth or birth difficulties.

Objective: This study investigates the pregnancy health and birth outcomes of women who underwent ART and analyzes the factors that influence birth weight to become <10 percentile when undergoing ART.

Materials and Methods: This study analyzed results of the first wave of the Taiwan Birth Cohort study. Through stratified systematic sampling, 24,200 mother-and-child sampling pairs were obtained from a total of 206,741 live births in Taiwan in 2005; 366 of the babies were born with the use of ART.

Results: During pregnancy, mothers who used ART suffered from higher risks of complication than the natural conception counterparts, including gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), pregnancy induced hypertension (PIH), and placenta previa. Additionally, babies born through ART had poorer outcomes than the natural conception groups: the low birth weight (<2500g) was 33.1% compared to 6.4% for babies born naturally.

Conclusion: Pregnancy health and birth outcomes of women who underwent ART were worse than those who got natural conception. Types of maternal complication among ART women included GDM, PIH, and placenta previa. Having multiple births was the most important factor that causes low birth weight in babies. The results of this study can be used as a reference for the health and care of mothers and babies who use ART.
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Introduction

There is an upward trend for parents to resort to assisted reproductive technology (ART) treatment due to delayed childbirth or birth difficulties. According to statistics from the National Bureau of Health Promotion in Taiwan, there were 19,497 babies born through ART between 1998 and 2005 (1).

There are about 2% to 3% of babies born with the help of ART in European countries, and approximately 1% in the US (2, 3). With improvements in medical technology, changes are continually being made to ART and the success...
rate is improving. It has been well-known that births through ART increase the chances for maternal complications, multiple births, and the medical care required (3, 4).

Negative outcomes such as multiple births, premature delivery, low birth weight (LBW), and small for gestational age (SGA) were also evidently numerous (3, 5). However, only few studies have investigated the influence of pregnancy health and lifestyle on babies born with low birth weight, and the sample size was also limited.

The purpose of this study was to investigate the pregnancy health and lifestyle during pregnancy and postpartum of mothers who conceived by ART and how this influences birth outcomes, compared to those of natural conception through a national birth cohort study. The predictive factors of low birth weight babies<10 percentile of total sample norm who were born by ART were also examined.

Materials and methods

Population selection and sampling

This study analyzed parts of the results of the first wave of the Taiwan Birth Cohort study. Taiwan Birth Cohort Study (TBACS) is a national population-based cohort study to detect the health status and its determinants of children in Taiwan. Sampled from Taiwan’s Birth Registration Database, there were 206,741 live births in 2005.

A multi-stage stratified systematic sampling by district as well as urbanization was used in TBACS, and 24,200 pairs of mothers and their children were selected into the study (6), of which 21, 234 subjects (87.7%) completed the first wave survey (when the child was 6 months old) of TBACS. Written consents were provided by the mothers. The study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee and Data Protection Board, National Department of Health, Taiwan. In this study, we divided all subjects (mother-child pairs) into two groups according to children born after ART and children born with naturally conceived pregnancies based on data collection through field interviews.

Data collection

Trained research assistants collected data through structured questionnaires and in-depth interviews at subjects’ homes. The mothers were asked to answer questions regarding the type of conception [natural VS ART including intra cytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI), gamete intrafallopian transfer (GIFT), zygote intrafallopian transfer (ZIFT) and in vitro fertilization (IVF)], demography, economic status, and history of any previous pregnancies and birth outcomes.

The health information during pregnancy was obtained from the maternal health handbook (7), which recorded the results of 10 times prenatal checkup services provided by the National Health Insurance Program in Taiwan. The information on birth outcomes such as birth weight, body height, head circumference, congenital abnormalities, and method of delivery were collected from the birth certificate of each subject.

Statistical analysis

SAS software was used to obtain descriptive and analytical statistics. Data were expressed as mean±SD and the rates were expressed as percentages. Differences in the pregnancy related complication, pregnancy outcome, and birth outcome between the ART and natural conception groups were determined using a chi-squared test or Wilcoxon two-sample test.

The logistic regression model was used to estimate the effect of potential on whether or not inadequate birth weight (<10 percentile) of total sample norm showed any significance among babies born by ART.

Results

Among the analyzed 21,234 live births in 2005 in Taiwan, 366 babies were born with the use of ART, making up 1.72% of the total live births.

Demographics and socioeconomic status of women who underwent ART treatment

Mothers who used ART were usually older, with an average age of 33.2±4.1 years, while the average age of mothers who opted for natural conception was 28.8±4.9 years (p<0.001). The ART group received more education by finishing college (61.4%) than the natural conception group (45.8%). Fifty-seven point six percent (57.6%) of the ART group was employed (Table I).

Pregnancy health and lifestyle of women who underwent ART treatment

The ART group mothers became pregnant at an average of 1.8 times (gravid), which was less than the natural conception group at 2.1 times.
Pregnancy health and birth outcomes with ART

The numbers of spontaneous abortion and prenatal diagnosis in the ART group were higher than the natural conception group (p<0.001). The average weight of ART mothers before pregnancy was 55.1±9.0 kg, which was significantly higher than the average weight of natural conception mothers before pregnancy. The percentage of ART mothers who gained more than 12 kg during pregnancy was 67.5%, which was significantly higher than that of the natural conception group.

ART group mothers suffered from a higher risk of pregnancy-related complications compared to the natural conception group counterparts, including gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) (6.3% Vs. 2.2%), pregnancy induced hypertension (PIH) (4.1% Vs. 2%), and placenta previa (5.5% Vs. 1.7%). Fifty-one percent nine percent (51.9%) of the ART group mothers were admitted during the pregnancy, which was significantly higher than their natural conception group counterparts (p<0.001) (Table II).

Only 33.1% of births in the ART group were conducted through normal vaginal delivery (NVD) and 66.9% through caesarian section (C/S); while the rates of NVD and C/S in the natural conception group were 66.2% and 33.8%, respectively. A larger number (7.4%) of the ART group mothers experienced postpartum hemorrhage after childbirth than that (2.4%) of the natural conception group counterparts. About 16.9% of the ART group mothers claimed to suffer from postpartum depression (Table II).

The survey showed that ART group mothers smoked less than the natural conception group counterparts before pregnancy and during the first and second trimester of pregnancy. The ART group engaged in exercise more frequently than the natural conception group prior to the pregnancy, while the trend was reversed during pregnancy (Table III).

Perinatal outcome of babies born by ART

The perinatal outcome of babies showed that 57.1% of babies conceived through ART were male and 42.9% were female, while the rates of naturally conceived male and female babies were 52.4% and 47.6%, respectively. The average birth weights were 2752±603g and 3110±443g in ART and natural conception group, respectively and low birth weights (<2500g) were 33.1% to 6.4%. Birth height was 47.8±3.6cm to 49.7±2.6cm and birth head circumference was 33.0±1.7cm to 33.3±1.7cm.

The ART group mothers featured 55.7% single birth babies, 42.9% twins and 1.4% triplets, while the rates in the natural conception group were 98.1%, 1.9% and 0.03%, respectively. About 42.1% of babies conceived through ART were premature babies (<37 weeks). Disorders related to premature birth in babies born by ART were 9.6% as opposed to 4.9% in the natural conception group (Table IV).

Logistic regression analysis of inadequate birth weight (<10 percentile) among babies born by ART (Table V)

Logistic regression analysis was conducted to investigate the associated factors to inadequate birth weight of ART-conceived babies. The odds ratio for inadequate birth weight among babies born by ART, multiple pregnancy was OR=26.28, for smoking prior to pregnancy OR=6.44, for having not complete 10 prenatal visit sessions OR=2.78, and for the mother’s weight before pregnancy OR=0.96.

Table I. Characteristics of mothers.

|                      | ART group n=366 | Non-ART group n=20868 | p-value |
|----------------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------|
| Age at delivery (years) | 33.2±4.1        | 28.8±4.9               | <0.001  |
| Junior and senior high school | 141 (38.6)     | 11202 (54.2)           | <0.001  |
| College and above occupation | 224 (61.4)     | 9484 (45.8)            | 0.96    |
| Occupation            |                 |                        |         |
| No                   | 155 (42.4)      | 8801 (42.2)            |         |
| Yes                  | 211 (57.6)      | 12047 (57.8)           |         |
Table II. Comparison of obstetrics history between mothers of ART group and spontaneous group.

|                                      | ART group (n=366) | Non-ART group (n=20868) | RR     | p-value  |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--------|----------|
| Body weight before pregnancy (kg)    | 55.1± 9.0         | 53.2± 8.7               | <0.001 |         |
| Gravid                              | 1.8± 1.0          | 2.1± 1.2                | <0.001 |         |
| Spontaneous abortion                |                   |                         |        |          |
| 0                                   | 290 (79.2%)       | 18498 (88.6%)           |        |          |
| ≥1                                  | 75 (20.8%)        | 2370 (11.4%)            | <0.001 |         |
| No. of antepartum examination        |                   |                         |        |          |
| <10                                 | 72 (19.7%)        | 3614 (17.3%)            | 1.16 (0.90, 1.50) | 0.24 |
| ≥10                                 | 294 (80.3%)       | 17254 (82.7%)           |        |          |
| Prenatal diagnosis                   |                   |                         |        |          |
| Yes                                 | 264 (72.5%)       | 12673 (61.0)            | 1.66 (1.33, 2.09) | <0.001 |
| No                                  | 100 (27.5%)       | 8094 (39%)              |        |          |
| Body weight increased during pregnancy |                     |                         |        |          |
| ≤12kg                               | 119 (32.6%)       | 8204 (39.3%)            |        |          |
| >12kg                               | 247 (67.5%)       | 12664 (60.7%)           | 0.008  |         |
| Hyperemesis gravid arum             |                   |                         |        |          |
| Yes                                 | 94 (25.7%)        | 4949 (23.7%)            | 1.11 (0.88, 1.40) | 0.39 |
| No                                  | 272 (74.3%)       | 15899 (76.3%)           |        |          |
| Fever / infectious disease          |                   |                         |        |          |
| Yes                                 | 17 (4.6%)         | 1353 (6.5%)             | 0.71 (0.44, 1.15) | 0.15 |
| No                                  | 349 (95.4%)       | 19485 (93.5%)           |        |          |
| Asthma                              |                   |                         |        |          |
| Yes                                 | 4 (1.1%)          | 175 (0.8%)              | 1.30 (0.49, 3.45) | 0.6 |
| No                                  | 362 (98.9%)       | 20672 (99.2%)           |        |          |
| Gestational diabetes Mellitus       |                   |                         |        |          |
| Yes                                 | 23 (6.3%)         | 450 (2.2%)              | 2.95 (1.95, 4.45) | <0.001 |
| No                                  | 342 (93.7%)       | 20388 (97.8%)           |        |          |
| Pregnancy induced hypertension      |                   |                         |        |          |
| Yes                                 | 15 (4.1%)         | 412 (2%)                | 2.08 (1.25, 3.46) | <0.001 |
| No                                  | 351 (95.9%)       | 20436 (98%)             |        |          |
| Premature rupture of membranes      |                   |                         |        |          |
| Yes                                 | 61 (16.7%)        | 2805 (13.4%)            | 0.78 (0.59, 1.02) | 0.07 |
| No                                  | 305 (83.3%)       | 18058 (86.6%)           |        |          |
| Placenta previa                     |                   |                         |        |          |
| Yes                                 | 20 (5.5%)         | 353 (1.7%)              | 3.23 (0.20, 0.48) | <0.001 |
| No                                  | 346 (94.5%)       | 20510 (98.3%)           |        |          |
| Abruption placenta                  |                   |                         |        |          |
| Yes                                 | 3 (0.8%)          | 87 (0.4%)               | 0.51 (0.17, 1.57) | 0.24 |
| No                                  | 363 (99.2%)       | 20776 (99.6%)           |        |          |
| Admitted during the pregnancy       |                   |                         |        |          |
| Yes                                 | 190 (51.9%)       | 5092 (24.4%)            |        |          |
| No                                  | 176 (48.1%)       | 15771 (75.6%)           | <0.001 |         |
| Delivery                            |                   |                         |        |          |
| C/S                                 | 245 (66.9%)       | 7047 (33.8%)            | 3.86 (3.11, 4.79) | <0.001 |
| NVD                                 | 121 (33.1%)       | 13818 (66.2%)           |        |          |
| Postpartum hemorrhage               |                   |                         |        |          |
| Yes                                 | 27 (7.4%)         | 507 (2.4%)              | 3.26 (0.22, 0.48) | <0.001 |
| No                                  | 339 (92.6%)       | 20356 (97.6%)           |        |          |
| Postpartum depression               |                   |                         |        |          |
| Yes                                 | 62 (16.9%)        | 3605 (17.3%)            | 1.02 (0.78, 1.34) | 0.86 |
| No                                  | 304 (83.1%)       | 17246 (82.7%)           |        |          |

a: Wilcoxon two-sample test.
b: Chi-Square test.
c: Relative risk.
### Table III. Comparison of lifestyles between mothers of ART group and spontaneous group.

|                      | ART group (n=366) | Non-ART group (n=20868) | p-value |
|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| **Smoking**          |                   |                         |         |
| Prior to pregnancy   |                   |                         |         |
| Yes                  | 14 (3.8%)         | 1620 (7.8%)             | 0.005   |
| No                   | 352 (96.2%)       | 19233 (92.2%)           |         |
| First trimester      |                   |                         |         |
| Yes                  | 5 (1.4%)          | 731 (3.5%)              | 0.03    |
| No                   | 361 (98.6%)       | 20122 (96.5%)           |         |
| Second trimester     |                   |                         |         |
| Yes                  | 4 (1.1%)          | 595 (2.9%)              | 0.04    |
| No                   | 362 (98.9%)       | 20258 (97.1%)           |         |
| **Drinking**         |                   |                         |         |
| Prior to pregnancy   |                   |                         |         |
| Yes                  | 39 (10.7%)        | 2363 (11.3%)            | 0.68    |
| No                   | 327 (89.3%)       | 18485 (88.7%)           |         |
| First trimester      |                   |                         |         |
| Yes                  | 5 (1.4%)          | 340 (1.6%)              | 0.69    |
| No                   | 361 (98.6%)       | 20509 (98.4%)           |         |
| Second trimester     |                   |                         |         |
| Yes                  | 31 (8.5%)         | 1960 (9.4%)             | 0.54    |
| No                   | 335 (91.5%)       | 18886 (90.6%)           |         |
| **Exercise**         |                   |                         |         |
| Prior to pregnancy   |                   |                         |         |
| Yes                  | 128 (35.0%)       | 10936 (52.4%)           | <0.01   |
| No                   | 228 (65.0%)       | 15338 (47.6%)           |         |
| First trimester      |                   |                         |         |
| Yes                  | 104 (28.4%)       | 6863 (32.9%)            | 0.07    |
| No                   | 262 (71.6%)       | 13958 (67.1%)           |         |
| Second trimester     |                   |                         |         |
| Yes                  | 56 (15.3%)        | 4056 (19.5%)            | 0.05    |
| No                   | 310 (84.7%)       | 16781 (80.5%)           |         |

Chi-square test.

### Table IV. Comparison of birth outcome between ART group and spontaneous group.

|                | ART group (N=366) | Non-ART group (N=20868) | RR     | p-value |
|----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--------|---------|
| **Gender**     |                   |                         |        |         |
| Male           | 209 (57.1%)       | 10936 (52.4%)           | -      | 0.07    |
| Female         | 157 (42.9%)       | 9946 (47.6%)            | -      |         |
| **Body weight**|                   |                         |        |         |
| <2500g (n%)    | 2752±603          | 3110±443                | -      | <0.001* |
| ≥2500g (n%)    | 121 (33.1%)       | 1342 (6.4%)             | -      | <0.001  |
| **Body height(cm)** | 47.8±3.6       | 49.7±2.6                | -      | <0.001  |
| **Head circum(cm)** | 33.0±1.7       | 33.4±1.7                | -      | <0.001  |
| **Birth number**|                   |                         |        |         |
| Singleton      | 204 (55.7%)       | 20471 (98.1%)           | -      | <0.001  |
| Twin           | 157 (42.9%)       | 390 (1.9%)              | -      | <0.001  |
| Triplet        | 5 (1.4%)          | 7 (0.03%)               | -      |         |
| **Premature (<37Wks)** | 154 (42.1%)     | 2249 (10.8%)            | 5.69 (4.65, 6.97) | <0.001 |
| **Disorders related to premature birth** | 35 (9.6%) | 1014 (4.9%) | 5.8 (3.27, 10.26) | <0.001 |

a: Wilcoxon two-sample test.
b: Chi-Square test.
c: Relative risk.
Table V. Logistic regression analysis of ART-conceived babies with low birth weight (<10 percentile) (N= 140/364).

| Variables                          | B     | S.E.  | OR    | 95%CI            | p-value |
|------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|------------------|---------|
| Body weight before pregnancy       | -0.046| 0.005 | 0.96  | (0.95, 0.96)     | <0.01   |
| Less than 10 prenatal visit sessions | 1.022 | 0.368 | 2.78  | (1.36, 5.72)     | 0.006   |
| Multiple birth                     | 3.269 | 0.312 | 26.28 | (14.24, 48.47)   | <0.001  |
| Smoking prior to pregnancy         | 1.862 | 0.749 | 6.44  | (1.48, 27.92)    | 0.013   |

Adjusted variables: age, education, spontaneous abortion, pregnancy complications, Tocolysis, weight gain during pregnancy.
Nagel kerke R²: 58.5%.
B: Parameter estimate, S.E.: Standard error, OR: Odds ratio.

Discussion

Demographics and social exposure of women who underwent ART treatment

The nationwide registry of ART conducted by the Bureau of Health Promotion in Taiwan between 2002 and 2005 showed that women who chose to undergo treatment rose from 32 to 34 years old (1). Lee et al in 1995 and 2010 (8, 9) reported that the average age of women who underwent ART was 32 years, the women suffered from 4 to 4.4 years of infertility, and they underwent between 2.5 and 3 years of treatment. This indicated that there is a substantial period between the discovery of the infertility problem and the actual treatment. The results of the present study showed that mothers who used ART were usually older, with an average age of 33.2±4.1 years, which was significantly higher compared to the average age of mothers who opted for natural conception, specifically 28.8±4.9 years (p<0.001). The reproductive capabilities of females decreased with an increase in age. Many factors influence the success rate of ART treatment and the age of the mother was viewed as the most important variable.

The US CDC in 2002 demonstrated that live birth rate for 27 year old females who underwent treatment was 40%, while the rate went down to 6% for women at the age of 43; the number was further reduced to a level of 2% for women over the age of 43 (3). The mother’s age not only negatively affects the function of the ovary. Smoking accelerates the coming of menopause, probably because it negatively affects the function of the ovary. Researches showed that smoking decreases the success rate of IVF by a level of 50% (17). In Romundstad et al nationwide population-based study in Norway (4), such variables as maternal age, parity, previous caesarean section, and time interval between pregnancies were controlled for showed that ART treatment increased the risk of placenta previa. Overall, the chances of maternal complications were higher for the ART treatment group than natural conception group.

The current research showed that only 33.1% of mothers who underwent ART treatment gave birth through normal vaginal delivery while 66.9% chose the caesarian section. The percentage of normal vaginal delivery and caesarian section in the natural conception group was 66.2% and 33.8% respectively. Reubinoff et al (14) showed that for single birth babies, the percentage of caesarian section between IVF and natural conception groups was 41.9% and 15.5%, respectively. This indicated that a higher percentage of ART group mothers opted for caesarian section, and that this was not entirely influenced by multiple births. Approximately 7.4% suffered from postpartum hemorrhage, a number higher than that of the natural concept group mothers (2.4%). About 17% of ART- treated mothers confessed to suffering from postpartum depression, but this figure was not statistically significant when compared to the natural conception group. All these results were consistent with other series (15, 16). Smoking accelerates the coming of menopause, probably because it negatively affects the function of the ovary. Researches showed that smoking decreases the success rate of IVF by a level of 50% (17). The current survey showed that the percentage of ART group mothers who smoked before or during the first and second stages of pregnancy was lower than the natural conception group. The ART group engaged in exercise more frequently than the natural conception group prior to the pregnancy, while the trend was reversed during pregnancy.

Furthermore, the current study showed that their living habits centered around healthy and
Smoking could decrease the number of retrieved eggs and increase the time it takes to capture them during the ART cycle (23). Younglai, Holloway, and Foster’s meta-analysis showed that smoking decreases the success rate of conceiving through ART to approximately 50% (17). However, the effect of smoking on pregnancy outcomes in patients undergoing IVF is unclear.

The present study showed that 3.8% of mothers in the ART group smoked before their pregnancy, and approximately 1.1 to 1.4% smoked during pregnancy. These figures were both lower than the average, and also lower than the figures found in Wright et al’s study (24). However, the results of this study showed that smoking hiked up the risk of birth weight of ART conceived babies to be in the <10 percentile. Thus, women undergoing ART cycles should be encouraged to stop smoking preferably before a cycle, although the time frame for the reversal of the adverse effect of smoking on ART outcomes is not clear (3).

Having not completed 10 prenatal visits elevated the risk of birth weight of ART conceived babies to be in the <10 percentile. The Department of Health in Taiwan provides pregnant women 10 free prenatal visits between conception and giving birth. The results of this study showed that not completing all ten sessions caused a higher probability of low birth weight, probably due to 52% were admitted into the hospital for prenatal care or prematurity.

The mother’s prenatal weight influenced the birth weight of ART-conceived babies to be in the <10 percentile of sample norms. Veleva, et al showed that obese and underweight women have an increased risk of miscarriage (25). Yeh, et al (26) also reported that based on the population-based study, both maternal pre-pregnancy BMI and total maternal weight gain are associated with increased odds ratio of twin newborn outcomes which will be very possible to cause low birth weight. We would like to suggest a continuous monitor on weight gain during pregnancy especially for women conceived by ART.

Regarding the strengths of this study, the data in the current study was obtained from the national birth cohort (population-based study) with a relatively high response rate, and is thus fairly representative. However, as a national birth cohort study for multiple purposes, some data specific for ART, e.g. ART cycle and complications by the stage of pregnancy were not regularly collected. The pregnancy situation of women who underwent ART treatment was relatively less positive. Women considering ART treatment should be sufficiently

Logistic regression analysis of inadequate birth weight (<10 percentile) among babies born by ART

Logistic regression analysis revealed that multiple births hiked up the odds ratio of babies conceived through ART treatment being born with a birth weight <10 percentile to as high as 26.28%. Multiple births associated with infertility treatment are recognized as an adverse outcome and are responsible for morbidities and mortality related to prematurity and low birth weight population. Reducing the number of embryos transferred and the use of natural cycle IVF were suggested by the Belgian project (22).
informed of the complications involved in the treatment process. Multiple births are caused by a higher number of embryos injected during treatment to increase the success rate of each pregnancy; the practice is highly controversial. Better living habits during pregnancy and sufficient health care knowledge, combined with comprehensive prenatal care could improve the health of newborn babies.
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