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**ABSTRACT**

PCR protocol was developed to detect hyperparasitism in cotton mealybug. Cotton mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) is an invasive and major pest on cotton. *Aenasius bambawalei* (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae) is an effective parasitoid of mealybug and has been the backbone of the biological control program of cotton mealybug in Pakistan, but its multiplication for inundative releases has been impacted by a hyperparasitoid, *Promuscidea unfasciativentris* Girault (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae: Eriopirinae). Traditionally the detection of hyperparasitoid is done by host-rearing, but that is time-consuming when working with field populations at larger scale. The current study used morphology and DNA sequences from mitochondrial (cytochrome oxidase I) and nuclear (internal transcribed spacer I) genes to identify the hyperparasitoid *Promuscidea unfasciativentris*. The successful PCR-based detection of hyperparasitism is promising for designing strategies for the biological control program of cotton mealybug.

**C**otton mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* Tinsley (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) appeared as a major pest of cotton in Pakistan in 2005 (Hodgson et al., 2008). A significant drop in mealybug populations was noticed in 2009 which was linked to the population build-up of a parasitic wasp, *Aenasius bambawalei* (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae) (Hayat, 2009; Ashfaq et al., 2010; Arif et al., 2012; Ahmed et al., 2015). A national biological control program was launched in Pakistan to control the cotton mealybug by augmentative releases of *A. bambawalei* and several laboratories for mass-rearing the parasitoid were established across the country. Since late 2009 there have been reports of a significant reduction in adult emergence of the parasitoid from the mummified mealybug hosts. A hyperparasitoid has been considered responsible for the population reduction of *A. bambawalei* both in the field and in the rearing laboratories. A number of wasps from the family Aphelinidae have been reported as hyperparasitoid of mealybugs and scales (Hayat, 1998). A study in India (Ram and Saini, 2010) revealed that at least four hyperparasitoid species, namely *Promuscidea unfasciativentris* Girault, *Myiocnema comperei* Ashmead, *Prochiloneurus albifuniculus* (Hayat and Verma, 1980) and *Marietta leopardina* Motschulsky, parasitize cotton mealybug and that *M. comperei* (Aphelinidae) is the most prevalent. In a recent mealybug survey from India, researchers also recorded *P. unfasciativentris* from most of the study locations (Tanwar et al., 2011). Four species of the genus *Promuscidea* have been recorded internationally. *P. unfasciativentris*, which has a wide distribution and ecology, was recorded from India by Shafee (1974) and Pakistan by Hayat (1998). This insect is abundant in forest, agricultural and urban environment and is known to parasitize *Aenasius advena* on mealybug (Hayat, 1998).

The efficient selection of effective natural enemies through reliable identification is known to improve the success of classical biological control programs (Hoelmer and Kirk, 2005). The awareness about parasitoid vulnerability to hyperparasitism might also help in selection of an effective biocontrol agent. Hyperparasitism is a primary factor in biocontrol ecology disturbance, and success of a biological control depends upon mitigation of hyperparasitism on parasitoids used against the target pest. Hence early detection of hyperparasites is critical in...
order to ensure the establishment of invulnerable primary parasitoid population and ultimately mitigate the damage by hyperparasitism (Nofemela, 2013).

PCR is a reliable method to identify insect species and study host-parasitoid-hyperparasitoid relationships as it can differentiate the species based on differences in their DNA sequences (Heckel, 2003). Molecular markers have been used successfully to investigate host-parasitoid-hyperparasitoid relationships in laboratory and field collected insects (Erlandson et al., 2003; Gariepy et al., 2007). For example, Ashfaq et al. (2005) used DNA from the Lygus sp. host to detect the presence of a hyperparasitoid, Mesochorus spp. attacking the primary parasitoid, Peristenus sp. It has been documented that PCR gives better estimates of parasitism in field-collected insect-host as compared to the host-dissection or host-PCR gives better estimates of parasitism in field-collected parasitoid, (Ji et al., 2003). PCR products were cloned (InstAclone PCR Cloning Kit, Fermentas) and subsequently sequenced commercially (Macrogen Inc. South Korea). The obtained sequences were edited using EditSeq (DNASTAR, Inc. USA) to check for stop codons, and analyzed using Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) function “blastn” on NCBI (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) for the validity and match searches. The sequences generated in this study are available in the DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank (COI: AB667989, AB667990; ITS1: AB667991, AB667992, AB667993). The obtained ITS1 sequences of P. unfasciativentris, A. bambawalei, and P. solenopsis were aligned in MegAlign (DNASTAR, Inc. USA) to identify the nucleotide sequence variability suitable for designing P. unfasciativentris-specific primers. The ITS1 from P. solenopsis has been sequenced by our laboratory and is available under accession AB439213. One new P. unfasciativentris-specific forward primer from ITS1 sequence of P. unfasciativentris, Hyp-ITS1F1 (TCTCGAAACACTTAATCTCGAGC) was designed and used with the reverse primer ITS1-5.8sR1 to amplify a 755 bp fragment of ITS1 for the diagnostic PCR.

For detection of hyperparasitism mealybug nymphs, adults and mummies were either picked randomly from the A. bambawalei rearing facility or collected from different localities around Faisalabad to perform diagnostic PCR for hyperparasitoid detection. In total, 100 mealybug individuals were used in the diagnostic PCR for hyperparasitoid detection. PCR was performed in a 25-µL reaction with primer pair Hyp-ITS1F1 and ITS1-5.8sR1 using 1 µL of DNA and following the profile: 94°C, 3 min; 94°C 30s, 55°C 1 min, 72°C 1 min (35 cycles); and 72°C 7 min. PCR products were separated on 1% agarose gels, stained with ethidium bromide and DNA bands were visualized under UV illumination. A single PCR band of 755 bp on the gel was counted as a hyperparasitized mealybug.

Materials and methods

Aenasius bambawalei is being multiplied on mealybug host for field releases in the mass-rearing laboratory of the Directorate of Entomology, AARI, Faisalabad, at controlled temperature (28±2°C) and humidity (70±5% RH) (Hameed et al., 2012). Presence of the hyperparasitoid was noticed in early summer of 2010 in the rearing cages instead of A. bambawalei another wasp was found emerging from the mealybug mummies. The emerging wasps were collected and identified using taxonomic literature and keys developed by Hayat and Verma (1980) and Hayat (1998). Based on the key characters including number of antennal segments, head structure and setae, presence of white band across T1 of gaster, wing venations, and tibial segments, the wasp was identified to the species, Promuscieidae unfasciativentris Girault (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae: Eriaporinae).

For identification and detection of hyperparasitoid, DNA extractions were performed using Fast Tissue-to-PCR kit (K1091, Fermentas). A partial fragment of the 3′-end of COI was amplified and sequenced using primers and PCR conditions described earlier (Ashfaq et al., 2010). The COI 5′-end (DNA barcode) (Hebert et al., 2003) was amplified with primer pair LCO/HCO (Folmer et al., 1994). ITS1 from A. bambawalei and P. unfasciativentris were amplified and sequenced using universal primer pair ITS1-18sF1 TACACACCGCCGTCGCTACTA and ITS1-5.8sR1 ACACAAGTTTATGTTTTC (Ji et al., 2003). PCR products were cloned (InstAclone PCR Cloning Kit, Fermentas) and subsequently sequenced commercially (Macrogen Inc. South Korea). The obtained sequences were edited using EditSeq (DNASTAR, Inc. USA) to check for stop codons, and analyzed using Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) function “blastn” on NCBI (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) for the validity and match searches. The sequences generated in this study are available in the DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank (COI: AB667989, AB667990; ITS1: AB667991, AB667992, AB667993). The obtained ITS1 sequences of P. unfasciativentris, A. bambawalei, and P. solenopsis were aligned in MegAlign (DNASTAR, Inc. USA) to identify the nucleotide sequence variability suitable for designing P. unfasciativentris-specific primers. The ITS1 from P. solenopsis has been sequenced by our laboratory and is available under accession AB439213. One new P. unfasciativentris-specific forward primer from ITS1 sequence of P. unfasciativentris, Hyp-ITS1F1 (TCTCGAAACACTTAATCTCGAGC) was designed and used with the reverse primer ITS1-5.8sR1 to amplify a 755 bp fragment of ITS1 for the diagnostic PCR.

For detection of hyperparasitism mealybug nymphs, adults and mummies were either picked randomly from the A. bambawalei rearing facility or collected from different localities around Faisalabad to perform diagnostic PCR for hyperparasitoid detection. In total, 100 mealybug individuals were used in the diagnostic PCR for hyperparasitoid detection. PCR was performed in a 25-µL reaction with primer pair Hyp-ITS1F1 and ITS1-5.8sR1 using 1 µL of DNA and following the profile: 94°C, 3 min; 94°C 30s, 55°C 1 min, 72°C 1 min (35 cycles); and 72°C 7 min. PCR products were separated on 1% agarose gels, stained with ethidium bromide and DNA bands were visualized under UV illumination. A single PCR band of 755 bp on the gel was counted as a hyperparasitized mealybug.

Results and discussion

A 650-bp PCR fragment of the COI-gene from 5′-end and an 816-bp fragment from 3′-end of P. unfasciativentris were amplified and sequenced. NCBI blast searches for both the COI fragments showed similar level of identities (87%) with the wasp species from the families Trichogrammatidae, Aphelinidae, and Pteromalidae but the similarity was not conclusive with any particular species. A 755 bp fragment of ITS1 was obtained from P. unfasciativentris and 685 bp from A. bambawalei. BLAST
search of ITS1 from *P. unfasciativentris* or *A. bambawalei* did not find exact matches on GenBank, however, the nearest sequence matches for both the species belonged to Chalcidoidea, an indication the sequences are valid. Non-availability of DNA sequence data from the same or similar species in the databases limits the utility of DNA for sequence-match-based specimen identifications.

Non-availability of DNA sequence data from the same or similar species in the databases limits the utility of DNA for sequence-match-based specimen identifications.

Fig. 1. Diagnostic PCR for detection of hyperparasitism in cotton mealybug. PCR was performed with *P. unfasciativentris*-specific PCR primers, Hyp-ITS1F1 and ITS1-5.8sR1 to amplify a 755 bp ITS1 fragment. A, **M**, DNA marker, lane 1, DNA from identified *Promuscidea unfasciativentris*, lane 2, *Aenasius bambawalei*, lane 3, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (3), lane 4, negative control; B, lanes 1-10, DNA from *P. solenopsis* adults collected from cotton fields and used in the diagnostic PCR. PCR bands (755 bp) in lanes 1, 3, 4, and 8 indicate the mealybugs were hyperparasitized by *P. unfasciativentris* while the empty lanes (2, 5, 6, 7, 9 and 10) indicate the mealybugs were free from the hyperparasitoid.

Diagnostic PCR based on *P. unfasciativentris*-specific primers from ITS1 to detect hyperparasitism in mealybug specimens was successful, as it amplified a 755 bp PCR product exclusively from *P. unfasciativentris* (Fig. 1A). PCR using DNA from field collected mealybug (host) also amplified the anticipated 755 bp fragment of ITS1 from the hyperparasitized mealybug individuals (Fig. 1B). Among 100 field-collected mealybugs analyzed with *P. unfasciativentris*-specific primers, 69 were positive for hyperparasitism. Parasitism/ hyperparasitism detection by PCR using marker genes has been accomplished previously in various insect hosts (Ashfaq et al., 2005; Gariepy et al., 2007; Tilmon et al., 2000). In fact, PCR method has proved superior to the conventional methods, such as host-dissection or host-rearing, for making parasitism estimates (Ashfaq et al., 2004). This superiority is mainly due to the ability of PCR to amplify DNA from small tissues and detect parasitoid across all developmental stages including eggs (Liang et al., 2015).

Aphelinids are generally known to parasitize insects belonging to the Sternorrhyncha Hymenoptera, and species of a few genera almost always act as hyperparasitoids. Members of the genus *Promuscidea* are regarded as hyperparasitoids of other hymenopteran primary parasitoids and the coccids are thus their secondary hosts (Hayat, 1998). It has been documented that cotton mealybug is the primary host for *A. bambawalei* (Hayat, 2009; Ashfaq et al., 2010). Hyperparasitism can impact primary parasitoid populations and cause biological control failure (Schooler et al., 2011). This study provides basic information on the identity and status of *P. unfasciativentris* as a hyperparasitoid and develops PCR primers to detect hyperparasitism in the mealybug host. Considering the importance of *A. bambawalei* as the primary mealybug control agent in Pakistan, in-depth research on various biological parameters of *P. unfasciativentris* is required to devise a better strategy for mealybug control. An expanded use of PCR for hyperparasitoid detection in its primary host may provide additional help in managing mealybug population through biological control.
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