Novel functions for the transcription factor E2F4 in development and disease
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ABSTRACT

The E2F family of transcription factors is a key determinant of cell proliferation in response to extra- and intra-cellular signals. Within this family, E2F4 is a transcriptional repressor whose activity is critical to engage and maintain cell cycle arrest in G0/G1 in conjunction with members of the retinoblastoma (RB) family. However, recent observations challenge this paradigm and indicate that E2F4 has a multitude of functions in cells besides this cell cycle regulatory role, including in embryonic and adult stem cells, during regenerative processes, and in cancer. Some of these new functions are independent of the RB family and involve direct activation of target genes. Here we review the canonical functions of E2F4 and discuss recent evidence expanding the role of this transcription factor, with a focus on cell fate decisions in tissue homeostasis and regeneration.
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Introduction

The balance and function of diverse cell types in embryonic and adult tissues universally depend upon the ability of precursor cells to proliferate, commit to a differentiation program, and withdraw from the cell cycle. The molecular mechanisms that regulate and link these processes remain incompletely understood, but accumulating evidence in the past 3 decades has demonstrated a central role for the RB/E2F pathway (Fig. 1).1-3

E2F4 is a critical molecule in the RB/E2F pathway. Although E2F4 has been extensively studied as a repressor of cell cycle genes, here we present the novel perspective that E2F4 regulates diverse gene expression programs in cell fate decisions. We discuss possible mechanisms that support these new roles, as well as the implications of these roles for disease research, including regenerative medicine and cancer. Note that although E2F5 is structurally very similar and may function in similar contexts, we focus this review on E2F4 because it is highly conserved across evolution and featured in many recent studies.

The canonical model of E2F4

E2F4 belongs to the E2F family of transcription factors – 7 “classical” E2Fs (E2F1, E2F2, E2F3a, E2F3b, E2F4, E2F5, E2F6) that bind DNA with an essential dimerization partner protein (DP1-4); as well as 2 “atypical” E2Fs (E2F7 and E2F8) that function in a DP-independent manner.4,5 The classical E2Fs, with the exception of E2F6, physically interact with the RB family proteins (RB, p130, and p107) at the transactivation domain, and in some cases make contacts with the C-terminus of RB and p107 at the DP dimerization domain (Fig. 2).6,7 E2F4 associates with all 3 RB family proteins under physiological conditions, while E2F1-3 preferentially associate with RB, and E2F5 preferentially associates with p130.8,9 Interactions with the RB family at the transactivation domain prevent the recruitment of transcriptional machinery, inhibiting E2F activity. These tightly regulated interactions ensure that cell cycle genes are expressed at the appropriate cell cycle stages (Fig. 1).

Although E2F4 and E2F5 have a transcriptional activation domain, they are less important for gene activation in the canonical model of cell cycle progression. This is because they both lack a nuclear localization signal and are thought to rely on the RB family proteins for their nuclear translocation (Fig. 2), and to be sequestered in the cytoplasm in cycling cells (Fig. 1). Specifically, E2F4 export is mediated by 2 nuclear export signals (NES) that are recognized by the nuclear export receptor CRM1 (Fig. 2). For specific expression of CRM1 can prevent p16INK4a-induced cell cycle arrest in G1, an E2F4/5-dependent process.10 Thus, E2F1-3 are classically categorized as the “activator E2Fs,” responsible for triggering cell cycle entry, while E2F4-5 are “repressors” that prevent uncontrolled proliferation.8,9

E2F4 can also function in non-cycling cells as part of the DREAM complex, which generally consists of DP1, RBL2 (p130), E2F4, and the MuvB core proteins (RBBP4, LIN9, LIN37, LIN52, LIN54) (Fig. 1). p107, but not RB, can substitute for p130 in this complex. This complex is conserved in flies (DREAM) and presumably in C. elegans (DRM).11-13 Repression of cell cycle genes in G0 (quiescence, senescence, and differentiated states) may be achieved by recruiting chromatin-modifying factors such as HDAC1 and Sin3B, although how these factors interact with RB or the DREAM complex is still not fully understood.14 In general, the relative contributions of DREAM-bound E2F4 versus RB-bound E2F4 complexes in establishing and maintaining quiescence remain unclear.
A deeper look at the literature, however, challenges the canonical role of E2F4 as a repressor of cell cycle genes. Indeed, E2F4 is not required for G0/G1 arrest in all cell types. For instance, E2f4−/−; E2f5−/− mouse embryonic fibroblasts can still undergo cell cycle arrest following serum deprivation, and E2F4 is only peripherally involved in the G1/S checkpoint in non-mammalian systems (see below). Here we will examine the non-canonical roles of E2F4 and discuss the importance of these roles for disease research and stem cell biology.

The role of E2F4 in the development of different model organisms

E2F4 is highly conserved, and in some organisms represents the only E2F homolog: although ancestral E2F activity consists of one member each of E2F1/2/3/6, E2F4/5, and E2F7/8, many organisms do not have an E2F7/8 homolog and/or an activating E2F. While this observation highlights the importance of E2F4, loss of E2F4 function in model organisms does not negatively impact viability per se, but rather the development of diverse tissue types.

Caenorhabditis elegans. C. elegans have 2 E2F genes (efl-1 and efl-2), one DP gene (dpl-1), and one RB gene (lin-35). EFL-1 resembles E2F4 and E2F5 most strongly in its DP dimerization domain and its lack of a nuclear localization signal. EFL-1, DPL-1, and LIN-35 can form a ternary complex, and genes commonly bound by the 3 proteins across all tissues are enriched for cell cycle-related processes. However, the individual proteins also have tissue-specific targets, and EFL-1 is also required to antagonize Ras signaling during vulval development, to establish polarity for proper morphogenesis in embryos, and to regulate X chromosome genes in germ cells (Fig. 3). Importantly, loss of EFL-1 does not affect cell cycle progression in all cell types.

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the canonical RB/E2F pathway in cell cycle progression. In G1, cells can either enter S phase or exit the cell cycle into G0. Entry into S phase requires activation of transcriptional programs controlled by E2F activity. Binding of repressive complexes involving RB and E2F family members to the promoters of cell cycle genes silences their transcription in both G0 and G1. Repressive RB/E2F complexes consist of an RB family member, either E2F4 or E2F5, and additional chromatin modification and remodeling factors, including histone deacetylases (HDACs). In G0, repressive complexes generally contain p130 and the MuvB core complex (DREAM complex), whereas p107 predominates in G1. When cells enter S phase, Cyclin-CDK activity is upregulated and phosphorylates RB family proteins, promoting the dissociation of repressive RB/E2F complexes, and releasing “activator” E2Fs to upregulate the expression of cell cycle genes with histone acetyltransferases (HATs) and other chromatin-modifying factors.

Figure 2. Structure of human E2F4. E2F4 is 413 amino acids long and contains a DNA binding domain (15-86), a dimerization domain that allows it to form heterodimers with a DP family protein (86-195), a transactivation domain (337-413), and within this, a pocket protein binding domain (PPBD, 390-407) that allows interactions with the RB family proteins. E2F4 shares these domains with the “activator” E2Fs and with E2F5. Yet unlike the “activator” E2Fs, E2F4 lacks a nuclear localization signal and shares with E2F5 a bipartite nuclear export signal (61-70, 91-100). E2F4 is thought to rely on the RB family proteins for nuclear localization, although post-translational modifications (such as phosphorylation sites, shown above with candidate kinases) and additional cofactors (shown below, with their interaction sites) may regulate E2F4 activity and cellular localization as well.
addition, EFL-1 and DPL-1, but not LIN-35, function in the germline to upregulate genes involved in oogenesis and fertility.21 Thus, EFL-1 may repress cell cycle genes in *C. elegans*, but may also function as a general transcription factor outside the canonical RB/E2F pathway in the development of different tissues.

**Schmidtea mediterranea.** Planaria have the simplest version of the RB/E2F pathway: a single RB homolog (*Smed-Rb*) that resembles p130 and p107, a single DP (*Smed-Dp*), and a single E2F (*Smed-E2f4-1*). Although knockdown of *Smed-E2f4-1* temporarily increases cell division, consistent with its repressor function, knockdown animals eventually show slower stem cell proliferation, as well as phenotypes indicative of stem cell loss (Fig. 3). Whether these phenotypes are due to changes in apoptosis, differentiation, or proliferation has not been studied, although *Smed-Rb* is primarily involved in self-renewal and *Smed-E2f4-1* may share this role as well.16

**Drosophila melanogaster.** Flies have 2 E2F genes (*de2f1* and *de2f2*), one DP gene (*ddp*), and 2 RB-like genes (*rbf1* and *rbf2*). *de2f1* and *de2f2* function respectively as an activator and a repressor of transcription, and are considered the fly equivalents of E2F1 and E2F4. RBF1 interacts with both E2Fs, while RBF2 only interacts with *de2f2*.22 Like in mammalian systems, *de2f1* and *de2f2* play antagonistic roles in cell cycle regulation, and co-expression of *de2f2* and RBF2 in *vivo* slows cell cycle progression.22,23 However, neither loss nor overexpression of *de2f2* alone has much effect on cell cycle stages or the expression of cell cycle genes. Instead, loss of *de2f2* can sometimes result in female sterility due to defects in chorion development,24 while overexpression of *de2f2* results in a rough eye phenotype (Fig. 3).23 Strikingly, loss of *de2f2* also leads to the de-repression of many genes involved in oogenesis, sex specification, and male courtship behavior.12,25-27 Additional assays in future fly studies may therefore reveal novel phenotypes in these areas.

**Mus musculus.** *E2f4<sup>−/−</sup>* mice are smaller than wild-type mice and have defects in multiple tissues and organs, including blood, bone, skin, intestinal tissue, visual system, and reproductive system (Fig. 3).28-32 The majority of *E2f4<sup>−/−</sup>* mice die within the first few weeks of life from defects in craniofacial structure that increase their susceptibility to bacterial infections.28 In addition, *E2f4<sup>−/−</sup>* mice are largely sterile, even when bred to normal mice.28,29 Although the mechanisms behind most of these defects are not well studied, evidence overwhelmingly suggests a context-dependent role for E2F4 in different cell types rather than a more general role in the cell cycle. For example, E2F4 has a cell cycle-independent role in regulating sonic hedgehog (Shh) in the ventral telencephalon, which controls the self-renewal of neural precursor cells.30 In addition, very recent studies show that E2F4 can upregulate genes involved in the development of cilia in multiciliated cells, in a complex with DP, Multicilin, and GEMC1.33,34 Consistently, loss of E2F4 prevents the appearance of ciliated cells in the airway epithelium15 and the male reproductive system,32 ultimately leading to the bacterial infections and sterility in *E2f4<sup>−/−</sup>* mice. Interestingly, loss of E2F4 also precedes the downregulation of genes involved in endocytosis and water channel transport in the testes.32 Exploring whether E2F4 directly activates these genes may provide further evidence for E2F4 as a general transcription factor rather than a repressor of cell cycle genes. As discussed above, the E2F4-containing complexes (with RB or DREAM, and possibly others) that mediate these different functions *in vivo* are still poorly understood.

---

**Figure 3.** Summary of the developmental phenotypes associated with loss of E2F4 function in worms, flies and mice. Loss of E2F4 results in defects in multiple tissues of (A) *C. elegans*, (B) *S. mediterranea*, (C) *D. melanogaster*, and (D) *M. musculus* (see text). A number of these phenotypes have been attributed to cell cycle-independent or RB family-independent changes in gene expression and cell fate specification, suggesting that E2F4 may play context-dependent roles.
**E2F4 in rapidly cycling cells and cancer**

Although E2F4 is categorized as the major repressor of cell cycle progression, loss of E2F4 in the cycling stem and progenitor populations of multiple tissue types actually decreases proliferation and DNA replication. This phenomenon is best observed in intestinal tissue, as E2F4−/− mice exhibit a reduced or absent crypt region and poorly developed villi (although it is possible these defects are secondary to developmental defects). Accordingly, knockout of E2F4 in human intestinal epithelial cells (HIECs), a cell culture model of the intestinal crypt, leads to decreased proliferation and a downregulation of direct E2F targets. E2F4 even seems to supplant E2F1 as the primary activator E2F in this tissue type, as nuclear E2F4 is strongly expressed in the proliferative zone of the intestinal crypt, whereas E2F1 expression is diffuse and does not depend on the phases of the cell cycle. Similar pro-proliferative effects for E2F4 have also been observed in blood cells and in the developing epidermis. Whether E2F4 directly activates cell cycle genes in these contexts is not well characterized.

In cancers, E2F4 appears to act primarily as an oncogene, which is more consistent with its non-canonical role in pro-proliferative cells than with its canonical, repressive role in the cell cycle. Prostate tumors and breast cancers express E2F4 at higher levels than surrounding normal tissue, and its nuclear expression in breast cancer strongly correlates with poor prognosis. High levels of E2F4 are also present in a mouse model of skin cancer, and overexpression of E2F4 in epidermis, particularly in conjunction with DP1, results in skin tumors. It is tempting to speculate that E2F4 might drive aberrant cell cycle progression in these contexts by switching from a repressor to an activator at the promoters of cell cycle genes. However, E2F4 can directly repress apoptotic genes, and therefore E2F4 might also promote tumor growth by protecting cancer cells more efficiently against cell death.

Indeed, another fascinating pro-tumorigenic role of E2F4 is that it is co-opted by some tumors to escape cell death following DNA damage. Cancer cells generally lack a G1/S checkpoint and are unable to undergo G1 arrest during DNA damage. Instead, compromised cells arrest in G2 by upregulating E2F4 and p130, and turning on a mechanism that involves repression of G2/M genes by E2F4-p130. In some tumors, E2F4-p130 also binds to and represses genes involved in DNA damage repair, such as RAD51 and BRCA1 (in homologous recombination) and XPC (in nucleotide excision repair), possibly allowing genonomically unstable cells to survive. Knockdown of E2F4 in these contexts prevents G2 arrest and sensitizes cancer cells to irradiation-induced apoptosis.

**Mechanisms of E2F4 activity**

The versatility of E2F4 is intriguing, but makes sense given that E2F4 is widely expressed and binds diverse targets, including enhancer regions and regions without an E2F consensus sequence. Indeed, the role of E2F4 in the differentiation of multiple tissues has been attributed to its direct regulation of non-cell cycle genes such as PPARG (during adipogenesis) and deup1 (in centriole amplification and cilia development). Furthermore, E2F4 may guide the differentiation of pluripotent stem cells by directly repressing pluripotency factors such as Sox2 in conjunction with RB and p130. Notably, while DREAM represses targets in G0 that are involved in centrosome function, mRNA processing, and metabolism, it also represses developmental genes in proliferating cells to promote cell cycle progression in flies, and to allow expansion of precursor cells in mammalian bone development. The repression of diverse targets in different cell cycle phases suggests a more general role for E2F4 in cell fate specification rather than simply as a regulator of the G0 and G1/S phases. As our knowledge of E2F4 targets is still limited to ChIP-Seq data from a handful of studies and from well established ENCODE lines, it will be important to obtain ChIP-Seq datasets from additional cell types (e.g. differentiating adipocytes) to test this idea.

In addition, what allows E2F4 to regulate different gene programs in different cell types is not well understood. In vitro and ChIP experiments have shown that cofactors may influence the binding motif preferences of the individual E2Fs, directing E2F4 to different binding sites and may also determine whether E2F4 functions as a repressor or an activator. For instance, while the nuclear localization of E2F4 in G0 and G1 depends on p130 and p107, these interactions inhibit the E2F4 transactivation domain, allowing the formation of a purely repressive complex (Fig. 2). In contexts where the RB family proteins are inactive, E2F4 might function primarily as an activator, likely with a co-activator that allows it to translocate into the nucleus or increases its nuclear retention (for example by masking the NES). Consistently, E2F4 drives proliferation in stem and progenitor cells and in cancer cells, which are rapidly cycling and in which the RB family proteins are inactive or mutated. In one striking example, the proliferative cells of the intestinal crypt express cytoplasmic, inactive RB family proteins, while E2F4 is largely nuclear. Similarly, in fetal liver where E2F4 drives erythropoiesis, the majority of E2F4 binding activity consists of a “free” form of E2F4 that does not associate with the RB family proteins, with other E2Fs expressed at a low level or not at all.

Thus far, very few RB family-independent cofactors and their contributions to E2F4 activity have been defined. These include HCF-1, in early G1 and neodin, with which E2F4 represses target genes during adipocyte differentiation (Fig. 2). Alternatively, E2F4 can also inhibit adipocyte differentiation in an RB family-independent manner, although the cofactors involved in this process are not known. Even less is known about cofactors that allow E2F4 to localize to the nucleus and function as an activator in RB family-inactive, rapidly cycling cells. Candidates include HCF-1, which also associates with E2F4 in S phase, GCN5 and TRRAP, which recruit histone acetyltransferases to drive E2F-dependent transactivation, and Multicilin and GEMC1, which guide E2F4 to activate genes required for centriole biogenesis. (Fig. 2) In addition, DP-3 and DP-2 can both promote nuclear localization of E2F4 and E2F5 in an RB family-independent manner, as well as cell cycle progression. DP-2 associates with E2F4 in embryonic stem cells, and perhaps E2F4 prefer to heterodimerize with DP-2 or DP-3 (instead of DP-1) in cycling cells (Fig. 2).

Finally, other mechanisms for RB family-independent transport may include association with subcellular structures, such as kinetochores (as in cardiomyocyte proliferation), and...
differential phosphorylation. For instance, ERK1/2-mediated phosphorylation of E2F4 on serines S244 and S384 promotes its nuclear localization in proliferating intestinal cells.38 Phosphorylation of E2F4 on threonines T261 and T263 by p38MAPK allows it to bind and activate cell cycle genes during cell cycle re-entry of neurons (Fig. 2).69 Improvements in affinity purification and mass spectrometry might allow more cofactors and post-translational modifications to be identified in different tissue-specific contexts.

Conclusions
A better understanding of the non-canonical roles and mechanisms for E2F4 will greatly benefit many fields, including stem cell and cancer biology. First, studying the repressor function of E2F4 in terminally differentiated cell types, and identifying additional non-canonical targets, may shed more light on the mechanisms that control cell cycle arrest and differentiation. In embryonic stem cells, for instance, RB mediates differentiation at least in part by direct repression of pluripotency genes.54,55,70 As E2F4 directly represses Sox2,55 it may also silence other pluripotency genes in conjunction with RB to establish proper cell fate (Fig. 4).

Second, the activator role of E2F4 in rapidly proliferating cell types might be important for improving the function of adult stem cells during regeneration. Indeed, E2F4 drives the proliferation of cardiomyocytes, which declines drastically during early embryonic development as nuclear E2F4 expression decreases. Importantly, the cell cycle re-entry of adult cardiomyocytes in both normal mice and in a mouse model of myocardial infarction requires an increase in nuclear E2F4.48 In addition, neuronal regeneration and recovery of mobility in zebrafish following spinal cord injury requires an increase in E2F4 activity.71 Understanding these roles might shed light on how to reverse the effects of age-related and neurodegenerative diseases.

Finally, the role of E2F4 in undifferentiated embryonic stem cells (ESCs) and cancer cells remains to be explored. As ESCs and cancer cells are similar in cell cycle structure, research on E2F4 in ESCs might inform research in cancer biology, and vice versa. Although $^{\text{E2f4}^{-/-}}$ ESCs grow normally in physiological conditions,28,29 E2F4 binds to ~6000 promoters in ESCs, despite the absence of a G1/S checkpoint,67 and represents ~95% of E2F DNA binding activity in ESCs.28 One idea is that E2F4 is poised to regulate the expression of developmental genes during differentiation (Fig. 4). Additionally, ESCs resemble cancer cells in their DNA damage response, and thus E2F4 may mediate G2/M arrest or repress DNA damage repair genes in both cell types through similar mechanisms (Fig. 4). Finally, an intriguing hypothesis is that E2F4 might directly control the cell cycle of ESCs by activating the expression of cell cycle genes in an RB family-independent manner (Fig. 4). Indeed, E2F4 co-binds promoters in ESCs with Myc, a strong transcriptional activator that is critical for ESC self-renewal and cell cycle entry.67,72 Thus, understanding the non-canonical functions of E2F4 will likely reveal novel insights into pluripotency and differentiation, which might in turn guide the development of strategies to block the expansion of cancer cells.
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