Local Anaesthesia and Inferior Alveolar Nerve Block - A Never Ending Saga

Mamta Kaushik* and Kishore Moturi

1Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Army College of Dental Sciences, India
2Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Vishnu Dental College, India

Submission: January 02, 2018; Published: January 11, 2018

*Corresponding author: Mamta Kaushik, Professor and Head, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Army College of Dental Sciences, Secunderabad, Telangana, India, Tel: 9391010325; Email: mankaushik@gmail.com

Abstract

There is an increased incidence of pain and use of local anaesthesia in Dentistry. In the mandibular teeth the type of anaesthesia administered is the inferior alveolar nerve block. The success rate of this anaesthesia, especially in regards with restorative dentistry and endodontics is very poor. This paper reviews the various reasons for failure of the inferior alveolar nerve block and discusses various strategies used and experimented upon to increase its success rate and lead to an era of definitely painless dentistry.
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Introduction

Pain is defined as an “unpleasant sensory & emotional experience associated with actual or potential tissue damage” by the International Association for the Study of Pain [1]. Dental pain is one of the most dreaded experiences cited by most people. A significant number of adults report pain when visiting the dentist [2]. One population study has shown that 73.4% of patients report pain during dental treatment [3]; while another different population study with 1086 individuals has shown that 42.5% of them have reported pain during dental treatment [4]. This is a major reason for the patient to not visit the doctor for dental treatment. Although local anaesthetic injections are an important aspect of treatment, fear of injection also deters the follow up as well as primary visit [5,6]. Various local anaesthetic agents (LA), topical and injectable are available to counter the dental pain and make the dental treatment a pleasant experience.

In this review article we shall briefly discuss the various agents and anaesthetic techniques used in dentistry, concentrating on failure of inferior alveolar nerve block (IANB), the reasons for failure and methods to overcome it.

Anaesthetic Agents

The agents used for local anaesthetics are either esters or amides; the difference is the means by which the body biologically transforms the active drug into one that is pharmacologically inactive. Metabolism or biotransformation of local anaesthetic is important because the overall toxicity of a drug depends on a balance between its rate of absorption in the blood stream at the site of injection and its rate of removal from the blood through the process of tissue uptake and metabolism [7]. The ester group (metabolised in plasma) contains chloroprocaine, procaine and tetracaine. The amide group (metabolised primarily in the liver) contains more commonly used local anaesthetics Articaine, Prilocaine, Lidocaine, Mepivacaine, Bupivacaine etc. [7]. Practitioners prefer the amide local anaesthetic agents to the esters as the amides produce more profound anaesthesia, more rapidly and reliably; with fewer sensitizing reactions than ester anaesthetics [8].

All clinically effective injectable LA possesses some degree of vasodilating activity. This may vary from minimal (prilocaine, mepivacaine) to significant (procaine) and also may vary with both the injection site and individual patient response. The vasodilatation may lead to an increased absorption of the local anaesthetic agent from the local injection site into the Cardiovascular system, may increase the plasma levels, may increase the risk of local anaesthetic toxicity, decrease the depth and duration of anaesthesia and increase the bleeding at the site of injection [8]. Vasoconstrictors are added to local anaesthetic solution to oppose these vasodilatory actions and counter the problems associated with it. Most commonly used and accepted and available vasoconstrictor is epinephrine (used in dilution of 1:50000, 1:80000, 1:100000, 1:200000).
Routes of Administration

Various routes of administration in the oral cavity for Maxilla are Maxillary Infiltrations, alternately Posterior Superior Alveolar Nerve Block, Middle Superior Alveolar Nerve Block (primarily for Premolars and First Molars), Intraoral Infra Orbital Nerve Block, Extraoral Infra Orbital Nerve Block, Second division Nerve Block and Palatal Anterior Superior Alveolar Nerve Block [9]. Because of the success rate of infiltrations in molars, it is the most advocated for routine restorative procedures. Mandibular anaesthesia on the other hand has a high failure rate. Because of the innervations and thickness of the mandible the techniques used are Conventional Inferior Alveolar Nerve Block, which may or may not be supplemented with a Mental Nerve Block or Incise Nerve Block and Infiltation.

Inferior Alveolar Nerve Block

When anaesthetising the mandible for Restorative Dentistry, the failure rate varies from 23% in mandibular first molars to 58% in central incisors even thought the patient has profound lip numbness [10-12]. Clinical studies in Endodontics in patients with irreversible pulpitis have found success (mild or no pain upon Endodontic Access or Initial instrumentation) with IANB between 15%-57% of time [13-16]. Why does mandibular anaesthesia fail for both healthy pulp and even more for diseased pulp?

Various suggested reasons are:

Psychological: Anxiety and Fear It was found that anxious patients feel more pain than less anxious patients [5,6]. In addition to overestimation of anticipated pain [17], anxious patients also have reduced pain tolerance [18].

Anatomical: Accessory Innervation by the Mylohyoid Nerve: A Clinical and Anatomic study suggests that mylohyoid nerve is the accessory nerve which may be responsible for the failure of mandibular anaesthesia. But various studies [19,20] to validate this have proved that the mandibular anaesthesia failed even though the mylohyoid nerve was properly anaesthetised. Cross Innervation has also been suggested as a reason for failure but studies have proved that this happens only in the mandibular incisor region and giving contra-lateral block also doesn’t help in anaesthetising these teeth [21]. Bifid Mandibular Canal [22,23] is a finding which has been suggested for failure of anaesthesia in the mandible, however this needs further investigation.

Iatrogenic: Accuracy of the Injection: It has been theorized that an inaccurate injection may contribute to failure of the mandibular anaesthesia. This has again been countered by various studies using ultrasound [24], peripheral nerve stimulators [25] as well as radio opaque dyes [26,27]. Once lip numbness is achieved, failure of pulpal anaesthesia is not due to inaccuracy of injection for the IANB. Depth of Needle Placement for IANB [25] ranging between 17-20 mm seems not to affect the failure of IANB, neither does the Needle Deflection [28], or orientation of the Needle Bevel [29].

Pathological: For diseased teeth or teeth exhibiting irreversible pulpitis, aside from the ones cited above, a few more theories have been suggested. Lowered pH of inflamed tissue reduces the amount of base form of the anaesthetic to penetrate the nerve membrane [30]. When local anaesthetic is injected at a physiologic pH, the anaesthetic dissociates and equilibrium is established:

\[
\text{Base} + H^+ \leftrightarrow \text{Base - H}^+
\]

The uncharged basic form is an active form of local anaesthetics. The pKa of the anaesthetic describes the amount of uncharged form versus cationic is present at a given pH. Local anaesthetics have pKas ranging from 7.7 to 8.9. As the pH of the surrounding tissues decreases during inflammation, the equilibrium shifts and there is less availability of the uncharged base form of LA [31]. There is less ionised form within the nerve to attain anaesthesia [32]. This may explain the failure with infiltrations but with IANB it doesn’t explain the failure as the injection site is very far away from the place of local inflammation.

Nerves arising from inflamed tissue have altered the resting potentials and decreased excitability thresholds [33]. Substances released from inflamed tissues change the functional activity of the neurons and also alter their structural properties [32]. There is a suggestion that terminals of peripheral nerves sprout into areas of inflammation in the dental pulp and periapical tissue [33]. Another theory, tetrodotoxin-resistant (TTX-r) class of sodium channels have been shown to be resistant to the action of local anaesthetics [30,32]. There is evidence of increased expression of sodium channels in pulps diagnosed with irreversible pulpitis. TTX-resistant class of sodium channels represents a logical mechanism for local anaesthetic failures; the channels are relatively resistant to lidocaine, they are expressed on nociceptors, and their activity is increased with inflammation. [32]. These and many more create a perplexing situation for the operator when his/her IANB doesn’t work for restorative dentistry and endodontics.

Methods to Improve Efficacy of IANB

There is a lot of deliberation on various methods to improve the efficacy of the LA in a case of IANB. Various methods have been suggested and experimented upon for improving the efficacy of the anaesthetic solution for IANB: For Anxiety and Fear, oral conscious sedation with triazolam [34], and aromatherapy [35] did not improve pain control in anxious patients. Educating the patient about the advances in pain management and countering the fear and anxiety comprises a large part in the dental practice. Positive and professional attitude of the doctor, his caring attitude and avoiding defensiveness aid in patient satisfaction despite of the pain [36,37]. Conscious sedation with nitrous oxide has conflicting reports on its effectiveness with painful procedures [38].

Alternative anaesthetic agent, other than the commonly used lidocaine. Various other anaesthetic solutions are available and
Successful Methods to Increase the Success of the IANB

Supplemental Buccal infiltration of 4% articaine in addition to the conventional IANB seems to be promising for increasing the pulp anaesthesia with IANB [13]. Supplemental intraosseous injections [53], lidocaine and mepivacaine formulations with vasoconstrictors demonstrate increases success of pulp anaesthesia in IANB. As do supplemental intraligamentary injections of 2% lidocaine with 1:100,000 epinephrine [54].

Conclusion

Even after so much advancement in Local Anaesthetics and the failure of the IANB is one the most embarrassing and challenging situations faced by the operator in a Dental Practice. Further research is still indicated to improve the success of the inferior alveolar nerve block.
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