Voltage regulation of an asynchronous wind turbine using STATCOM and a control strategy based on a combination of single input fuzzy logic regulator and sliding mode controllers

Mohammed Mokhtari¹, Smail Zouggar², Nacer K. M’sirdi³, Mohamed Larbi Elhafyani⁴
¹,²,⁴Laboratory of Electrical Engineering and Maintenance, School of Technology, University Mohammed 1st, Morocco
³Laboratory of Systems and Information Sciences of Aix Marseille University, France

ABSTRACT

In the literature, it is well known that reactive power management is the greatest challenge in wind turbine based on a three-phase self-excited induction generator. Any variation of wind speed or load causes a variation on the needed reactive power and thus a voltage fluctuation. Flexible AC transmission device such as STATCOM become then a necessity to prevent voltage instability and hence voltage collapse at the point of common coupling. This paper proposes so a new control strategy for voltage regulation of an asynchronous wind turbine based on a combination of a single input fuzzy logic regulator and a sliding mode controller. Compared to the classical control strategy with PI regulators, the performance of the proposed compensator has been significantly improved when it is controlled by the new approach and the system have shown more robustness and stability regarding external disturbances. The present document traits so the modelling of the power system, the simulation results and the controller’s scheme and design.
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1. INTRODUCTION
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Wind energy is abundant and endowed with inexhaustible potential. It is today one of the best sources of sustainable electricity supply for global development. Compared with other generators, Self-Excited Induction Generator (SEIG) applied in variable speed wind turbine system gives more advantages for an isolated micro-grid. Its robustness and low cost qualities makes it perfectly suited for use in some extreme wind and load conditions. However, the terminal voltage stability of the Induction Generator (IG) cannot be guaranteed with only a shunt connection to a fixed capacitor bank. Thus, several voltage regulation schemes have been proposed by researchers using mechanically switched capacitors, synchronous condensers and Flexible AC transmission (FACT) devices such as the Static Var Compensator (SVC) or more recently the Static Synchronous Compensator (STATCOM) [1, 2].

In Reference [3] thyristor-controlled static var compensator have been reported to provide the required reactive power for voltage support and transient stability improvement of an electrical network with wind generator. In Reference [4] the authors proposed a STATCOM with an energy storage system (STATCOM/ESS) to supply reactive power compensation, voltage regulation and transient stability enhancement, for wind turbines equipped with fixed-speed induction generators.

A comparison has been made in reference [5] between SVC and STATCOM showing that, for improving reactive power of a wind farm with cage induction generators, STATCOM is more useful than SVC. This is due to its higher control bandwidth and the additional capability of providing higher currents at low voltage levels.

In this work, the topology using STATCOM have been chosen. Several control approaches, from the classical to intelligent ones, have been used in the literature. Generally, there are two control objectives implemented in STATCOM. One of them uses the AC voltage regulation at PCC and the other is the DC voltage regulation across its capacitor. In the conventional scheme, linear control techniques based on a decoupled dq axis control approach with four Proportional Integral (PI) regulators cascaded were mainly used. In [6], the authors proposed to design the PI controllers with an anti-wind-up gain, while in [7] the authors have designed the linear PI controllers based on approximation around an operating point by the Taylor series. The problem of this methods is that the control design depends on the operating point. This is not well advised in the event of large disturbances. Furthermore, the chosen set of the PI gains is held fixed during operation of STATCOM. Since wind speed and load changes with time those parameters cannot be suitable for all working conditions.

In addition, due to the non-linearity of the studied system, the inaccuracy of its parameters and measurement and the uncertainty introduced by the disturbances, this approach presents limitation when fast, robust and high precision responses are required in real-time implementation. Therefore, in [8] control strategies based on adaptive PI control, which can adapt the control gains have been proposed to track the variations. In [9] a fuzzy PI control method is proposed to tune PI controller gains. In [10] authors introduced a single neuron Neural Network as a self-tuning and robust PI controller. However, these controllers need mathematical models of the studied system and are therefore sensitive to parameter variation.

Moreover, in [11], [12] and [13], Linear Quadratic State Feedback Control is proposed. In [14], [15] and [16] a variable structure Fuzzy Logic control is used. In [17] and [18] a sliding mode control strategy is used. In [19] a Fuzzy sliding mode control is tested. In [20] the backstepping method is adopted and in [21] authors applied an H∞ controller to have better response in steady and transient states respectively. In most of the aforementioned works, researchers have tried to use a stable controllers but too complex in order to make it capable of reacting to various conditions in the best possible way.

Consequently, the main contribution of this paper can be summarized as the use and control of STATCOM for regulating the voltage output of an asynchronous wind turbine at variable wind speed and load conditions, using a relatively new adaptive method based on a combination of a Single Input Fuzzy Logic regulator and a Sliding Mode Controllers. The main features of this control approach is its insensitivity to the modeling errors and the system parameters variations. The proposed controller has a simple structure, needs a low computations time, has a good self-tuning ability and depends only on the error between the reference and the measured value to reduce voltage fluctuation at all possible conditions. Therefore, this control approach can be easily used in real time implementation.

The organization of this paper is as follows. It starts with the modelling of the studied asynchronous wind turbine and STATCOM, then focuses on the description of the proposed control approach and the design of the proper controllers, to finally discuss and analysis the simulated results.

2. POWER SYSTEM MODELING
2.1. Asynchronous Wind Turbine Model

Multiple versions of wind turbine model have recently been designed and can be obtained throughout the literary works [2, 18, 22, 23]. Due to the fact that the electric response of the system is our bottom line, the observed model in Figure 1 is therefore presumed:

![Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the wind turbine model.](image)

The self-excited induction generator design established in this paper is expressed in αβ reference frame as presented in Figure 2. It needs to be mentioned that \( L_m \) depends on the magnetizing current \( i_m \) [24]. This dependency has actually been experimentally identified in former work as referred in [2] and integrated in Matlab/Simulink model settings.

![Figure 2. Equivalent circuit of the SEIG in αβ reference frame.](image)

The electro-magnetic torque generated by the induction machine is described by:

\[
C_{em} = p \frac{i_m}{L_r} (\varphi_{ar} i_{\beta s} - \varphi_{\beta s} i_{\alpha s})
\]  

2.2. Static Synchronous Compensator Model

The basic concept of STATCOM is the creation of an adjustable voltage source using a Voltage Source Inverter (VSI) and 3 Phase SPWM power converter technology which include IGBTs. The AC side of the VSI is coupled to the asynchronous wind generator via a reactance and its DC side is connected to a capacitor. The active as well as reactive power transfer between both systems results from the voltage difference across the reactance and may be managed by the Modulation Index \( MI \) and the relative phase angle \( \alpha \) [17].

The modelling of STATCOM, Figure 3, is examined in the lines listed below and also defined in Park reference frame [25]. We designate the reference frame coordinate such as the d-axis is constantly coincident with the instantaneous system voltage vector and the q-axis remains in quadrature with it [26].

The formulas defining the AC side of STATCOM in the dq reference frame are provided by:

\[
\frac{d}{dt}\begin{bmatrix}
i_{\alpha td} \\
i_{\beta td}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
-\frac{R_c}{L_c} & \frac{\omega_s}{L_c} \\
-\omega_s & -\frac{R_c}{L_c}
\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}
i_{\alpha td} \\
i_{\beta td}
\end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{L_c}\begin{bmatrix}
V_{sd} - V_{\alpha td} \\
V_{sq} - V_{\beta td}
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

The DC voltage and current of STATCOM are interconnected by:
Given that SPWM method is applied to STATCOM, and all the voltage harmonics generated by the VSI are ignored, the formulas associating the DC side and AC side of STATCOM can be described as:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
V_{otd} \\
V_{otq}
\end{bmatrix} = MI \cdot V_{dc} \begin{bmatrix}
\cos (\alpha) \\
\sin (\alpha)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
i_{dc} = MI \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
i_{otd} \\
i_{otq}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\cos (\alpha) \\
\sin (\alpha)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Where \(MI\) and \(\alpha\) are the control parameters of STATCOM calculated from the voltage references using the following equations:

\[
MI = \sqrt{\frac{V_{otd,ref}^2 + V_{otq,ref}^2}{V_{dc}}}
\]

\[
\alpha = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{V_{otq,ref}}{V_{otd,ref}}\right)
\]

Figure 3. Schematic diagram of the asynchronous wind turbine with STATCOM

3. CONTROL STRATEGY ANALYSIS

To enhance voltage stability of the wind turbine regardless of wind speed or load variation, STATCOM need to transfer reactive power \(Q(t)\) to the SEIG to minimize the AC voltage perturbation and should consume active power \(P(t)\) to maintain the DC voltage at its referenced value.

Yet, equation (6) reveals that the control of the active power \(P(t)\) may be done using the d-axis current \(i_{otd}\) while the q-axis current \(i_{otq}\) can help in the control of the reactive power \(Q(t)\):
\[
P(t) = \frac{3}{2} v_{sd} i_{otd}
\]
\[
Q(t) = -\frac{3}{2} v_{sd} i_{otq}
\]  

(6)

Therefore, to accomplish the intended power control results, a feedback current controllers need to be adopted along with the voltage control loops to remove the steady state error entirely and assist the injected currents \(i_{otd}\) and \(i_{otq}\) to match their references.

Meanwhile, it may be observed from equation (2) that both elements of the injected current \(i_{otd}\) as well as \(i_{otq}\) are cross-coupled via the term \(\omega_s L_c\). Any kind of adjustment in the direction of one axis induces a modification in the current in the other direction. Therefore, it’s required to remove the interaction between the currents. In this paper, the classical decoupled Watt-Var approach is applied [3]. The two new variables presented in equation (7) are the output from the suggested control strategy.

\[
\begin{align*}
V_{otd}^* &= i_{otd} \omega_s L_c + V_{sd} - V_{otd\_ref} \\
V_{otq}^* &= i_{otq} \omega_s L_c + V_{sq} - V_{otq\_ref}
\end{align*}
\]  

(7)

The control technique in Figure 4 operates so with two control loops to compute \(V_{otd}^*\) and \(V_{otq}^*\) that guarantee reference tracking of \(V_s\) as well as \(V_{dc}\).

![Figure 4. Voltage and current loops of the proposed control strategy](image)

To identify the appropriate parameters of the PI controllers in Figure 4, Bode plot and the Tuning tool in Matlab/Simulink software have been employed as explained in reference [27]. The selected values are outlined in Table 1:

|                      | Transfert Function | \(K_p\)  | \(K_i\)  |
|----------------------|--------------------|---------|---------|
| Current Controllers  |                    | 5.393   | 1726.072 |
| DC Voltage Regulator | \(K_p + \frac{K_i}{s}\) | 1.199   | 2.029   |
| AC Voltage Regulator |                    | -11     | -0.05   |

### 3.1. Proposed sliding mode current controllers

The purpose of this section is to investigate the suggested sliding mode current controllers that will likely replace the standard PI controllers. This procedure basically involves two phases: the selection of the sliding mode surface area and its stability evaluation.

Since sliding mode control is known for its fast global convergence, simplicity of implementation as well as its high robustness to external perturbation. The current component \(i_{otd}\) and \(i_{otq}\) of the inner loops will better track their references values [14, 17].

#### 3.1.1. Sliding Surface design

The control goals are to track or slide along the predefined current references \(i_{otdq}\). For this objective, the sliding surfaces are established as:
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\[ S_d = i_{otd} - i^*_{otd} \]
\[ S_q = i_{otq} - i^*_{otq} \]

The sliding surfaces derivatives are provided by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS_d}{dt} &= -k_d \text{sign}(S_d) \\
\frac{dS_q}{dt} &= -k_q \text{sign}(S_q)
\end{align*}
\]  

With \( k_d \) and \( k_q \) two positive constants. However, from (8) we have:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS_d}{dt} &= \frac{di_{otd}}{dt} - \frac{di^*_{otd}}{dt} \\
\frac{dS_q}{dt} &= \frac{di_{otq}}{dt} - \frac{di^*_{otq}}{dt}
\end{align*}
\]  

From (2) and (7), we can write:

\[
\begin{align*}
n\frac{di_{otd}}{dt} &= -\frac{R_c}{L_c} i_{otd} + \frac{V_{otd}^*}{L_c} \\
n\frac{di_{otq}}{dt} &= -\frac{R_c}{L_c} i_{otq} + \frac{V_{otq}^*}{L_c}
\end{align*}
\]  

Consequently, STATCOM voltage references are deduced from:

\[
\begin{align*}
V_{otd,n}^* &= -L_c k_d \text{sign}(S_d) + R_c i_{otd} \\
V_{otq,n}^* &= -L_c k_q \text{sign}(S_q) + R_c i_{otq}
\end{align*}
\]  

When the sliding mode occurs on the sliding surface, we will have \( S_{dq} = \dot{S}_{dq} = 0 \), and thus the dynamic behaviour of the tracking problem in equation (10) is equivalently controlled by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS_d}{dt} &= \frac{di_{otd}}{dt} - \frac{di^*_{otd}}{dt} = -\frac{R_c}{L_c} i_{otd} + \frac{V_{otd,eq}^*}{L_c} = 0 \\
\frac{dS_q}{dt} &= \frac{di_{otq}}{dt} - \frac{di^*_{otq}}{dt} = -\frac{R_c}{L_c} i_{otq} + \frac{V_{otq,eq}^*}{L_c} = 0
\end{align*}
\]  

From (13), we can deduce that:

\[
\begin{align*}
V_{otd,eq}^* &= R_c i_{otd} \\
V_{otq,eq}^* &= R_c i_{otq}
\end{align*}
\]  

Finally, the sliding mode current controllers are designed as:

\[
\begin{align*}
V_{otd}^* &= V_{otd,n}^* + V_{otd,eq}^* = -L_c k_d \text{sign}(S_d) + R_c i_{otd} + R_c i_{otd} \\
V_{otq}^* &= V_{otq,n}^* + V_{otq,eq}^* = -L_c k_q \text{sign}(S_q) + R_c i_{otq} + R_c i_{otq}
\end{align*}
\]  

3.1.2. Stability analysis:

The Lyapunov function defined in equation (16) is required to ensure the convergence of the proposed controllers.

\[
V = \frac{1}{2} S_d^2 + \frac{1}{2} S_q^2
\]  

To confirm the stability of the system all over the sliding surfaces, the condition in (17) need to be respected.

\[
\dot{V} < 0
\]
By differentiating equation (16), we obtain:

\[ \dot{V} = S_d \dot{S}_d + S_q \dot{S}_q \]  (18)

And by replacing (9) into (18), we have:

\[ \dot{V} = -S_d k_d \text{sign}(S_d) - S_q k_q \text{sign}(S_q) \]  (19)

It results:

\[ \dot{V} = -k_d |S_d| - k_q |S_q| < 0 \]  (20)

Hence, we have verified that the global asymptotical stability is guaranteed.

3.2. Proposed AC voltage regulator

The single input fuzzy logic controller developed in this work replaces the conventional AC voltage PI regulator in Figure 4. The selection of this form of control is mainly due to its capacity to manage tolerance as well as uncertainty in the decision-making procedure without the requirement of a comprehensive mathematical model of the system.

The suggested controller is so built as shown in Figure 5. The error between the reference and the measured RMS value of the generated voltage \( V_s \) is selected as an input signal while \( dQ^* \) as an output signal.

![Figure 5. Single input fuzzy logic regulator](image)

The reference reactive power to be exchanged with the induction generator to keep the AC voltage stable is computed with the following formula:

\[ Q^* = \sum dQ^*(t) \]  (21)

- When \( V_s_{\text{RMS}} \) is equal to \( V_s_{\text{ref}} \) value, \textit{error} is equal to zero and \( dQ^* \) must be equal to zero to keep \( Q^* \) at its previous value to avoid injection or absorption of any reactive power.
- When \( V_s_{\text{RMS}} \) is greater than \( V_s_{\text{ref}} \) value (inductive mode), \textit{error} is negative so \( dQ^* \) must be positive as well as \( Q^* \) and its value should increase to absorb the excess of reactive power forcing the generated voltage to drop to the rated value.
- When \( V_s_{\text{RMS}} \) is less than \( V_s_{\text{ref}} \) value (capacitive mode), \textit{error} is negative so \( dQ^* \) must be negative as well as \( Q^* \) and its value should decrease to inject the needed reactive power forcing the generated voltage to rise up to the rated value.

In this paper, the single input fuzzy logic controller is a Mamdani-type defined by seven triangular rule-based-membership functions as shown in Figure 6.
The seven linguistic variables used are Negative Big (NB), Negative Medium (NM), Negative Small (NS), Zero (ZO), Positive Small (PS), Positive Medium (PM) and Positive Big (PB). The 7 rules have been built as represented in Figure 7.

**Surface:**

- if (error) is NB then \( (d\Omega^r) \) is PB
- if (error) is NM then \( (d\Omega^r) \) is PM
- if (error) is NS then \( (d\Omega^r) \) is PS
- if (error) is ZO then \( (d\Omega^r) \) is ZO
- if (error) is PS then \( (d\Omega^r) \) is NS
- if (error) is PM then \( (d\Omega^r) \) is NM
- if (error) is PB then \( (d\Omega^r) \) is NB

**Rules:**

3.3. **Proposed sliding mode DC voltage regulator**

To compensate losses in the DC circuit, STATCOM needs to absorb from the wind generator the active power deduced from the following sliding surface:

\[
S_p = V_{dc} - V_{dc,ref} \tag{22}
\]

The sliding surface derivative can be obtained by:

\[
\frac{dS_p}{dt} = -k_p \text{sgn}(S_p) \tag{23}
\]

With \( k_p \) a positive constant. From (22) we have:

\[
\frac{dS_p}{dt} = \frac{dv_{dc}}{dt} - \frac{dv_{dc,ref}}{dt} \tag{24}
\]

Yet, we know that:

\[
\frac{dv_{dc}}{dt} = \frac{i_{dc}}{c_{dc}} = \frac{P_{dc,n}}{c_{dc}V_{dc}} \tag{25}
\]

From (23), (24) and (25) we can deduce:

\[
P_{dc,n} = -k_p c_{dc} V_{dc} \text{sgn}(S_p) \tag{26}
\]

In this case, the expression of the equivalent control laws is null and can be deduced from (25) by assuming that \( S_p = 0 \):

\[
\frac{dS_p}{dt} = \frac{dv_{dc}}{dt} - \frac{dv_{dc,ref}}{dt} = \frac{i_{dc}}{c_{dc}} = \frac{P_{dc,eq}}{c_{dc}V_{dc}} = 0 \tag{27}
\]
Finally, we can write:

\[ P_{dc}^* = P_{dc,n}^* = -k_p C_{dc} V_{dc} \text{sign}(S_p) \]  

(28)

By adopting the exact same approach applied in sliding surface current controllers, the stability of the selected surface for DC voltage controller can be confirmed.

The sign function, as well as equation (23) are the standard SMC. To decrease the chattering phenomenon, the sign function is replaced by the continuous function sigmoid described by:

\[ \text{sigmoid}(S_p) = \left[ \frac{2}{1 + e^{-\lambda S_p}} \right] - 1 \]  

(29)

With \( \lambda \) a constant parameter.

4. SIMULATION RESULTS & DISCUSSION

In this section the profile of the produced RMS voltage when the asynchronous wind turbine is under varying wind speed and load conditions will be analyzed and synthesized for both no compensation mode and compensation mode. Figure 8 reveals the simulation results using the control approach based on PI controllers as well as the one employing single input fuzzy logic and sliding mode controllers. During the starting phase from the instant 0s to 2s, STATCOM is not yet connected since the produced voltage has not reached the regulation zone set to +/-20% of \( V_{s,ref} \). After the second two, if the PI controllers are used, the output voltage grows exponentially to 230V with a good settling time and without any overshoot because PI parameters have been designed under no load and 10.5m/s wind speed conditions. But if the SIFLC is used along with the sliding mode controllers \( V_{s,RMS} \) will reach 254.4V then drops in 0.5s to 230V which still considered as a good transient response. At the 4th second and 6th second we observe that \( V_{s,RMS} \) varies at no compensation mode when wind speed drops at no load from 10.5m/s to 8m/s then rises again to 9m/s. However, using the new approach STATCOM was able to regulate the generated voltage in 0.4s and 0.46s respectively, unlike the PI controllers which have made the system unstable with an oscillatory response. Finally, when the system become at full load at the 8th second the proposed strategy have once more proved its efficiency since \( V_{s,RMS} \) drops to 183V then stabilizes at the rated value in less than 0.03s. PI controllers in the other hand have failed to rise the produced voltage to 230V. We can conclude so that using the suggested structure of control, the single input fuzzy logic controller combined with the sliding mode controllers has successfully regulated the SEIG voltage terminals at its referenced value with excellent dynamic and static responses.

Figure 8. Asynchronous wind turbine voltage with and without compensation at different wind speed and load state
To be able to minimize AC voltage variation, the SIFLC needs to react to wind speed and load changes with a good dynamic forcing so STATCOM to operate in both capacitive and inductive mode. Figure 9 reveals the exchanged reactive power between the SEIG and STATCOM when PI controllers or fuzzy sliding mode controllers are used.

![Figure 9](image)

Figure 9. The exchanged reactive power between STATCOM and the asynchronous wind turbine

Furthermore, compared to PI controllers results in Figure 11, the injected current $i_{otq}$ that contribute to the control of the reactive power provides in Figure 10 a good tracking responses and robust characteristics when the proposed controllers are applied. The observed chattering in the steady-state is acceptable due to its small variation and can be minimized in practice by choosing an optimal value of $k_q$.

![Figure 10](image)

Figure 10. STATCOM q_axes current variation as function of PCC voltage using SMC

Also, in Figure 13 the simulation results show that sliding mode DC voltage controller was able, at $t=2s$, to rise $V_{dc}$ up to the referenced value just after the excitation of the SEIG. We can also notice that the settling time of $V_{dc}$ using sliding mode controller is better than PI controller which prove again the efficiency of proposed approach. Figure 12 is introduced to show that the chattering phenomenon produced using the sign function can be significantly reduced by the sigmoid function.

![Figure 11](image)

Figure 11. STATCOM q_axes current variation as function of PCC voltage using PI controllers
The active power supplied by the aerogenerator to STATCOM in order to regulated $V_{dc}$ relies on the controllers used for simulation. When PI controller is applied, the active power reaches 27.55W then falls to zero after 0.7 seconds since all losses are neglected, while it goes up till 42W for 0.4s when sliding mode controller is explored. This difference shown in Figure 14 allows SMC to have faster dynamic response.

Figure 15 shows that the injected current $i_{otd}$ reach a peak of 0.59 when PI controller is used while its value don’t cross 0.11 and lasts longer when we switch to sliding mode controller. Both controllers presents good convergence characteristics which contribute to a good control performance of the active power exchanged between SEIG and STATCOM.
5. CONCLUSION

This paper has proposed a novel voltage control approach for asynchronous wind turbine based on STATCOM. The new configuration uses a combination of single input fuzzy logic and sliding mode controllers in order to compute the required converter’s control references that ensure stability of both AC and DC voltages. The performance efficiency of the current control loops has been first verified by the theoretical investigation that confirmed its convergence. Then the validity of the suggested voltage control scheme has been established through simulation results analysis which revealed excellent characteristics of voltage profile regarding references tracking, response time, robustness and disturbance rejection. Moreover, a comparison have been made with the conventional PI controllers to prove the superior performance of the proposed approach. The future challenge will be then to develop a laboratory wind turbine emulator test bench allowing experimental validation of the proposed control strategy using real-time control board space1104.
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