Analysis of genetic alterations identifies the frequent mutation of GNAS in colorectal laterally spreading tumors

Dear Editor,

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is among the most commonly diagnosed cancer and the leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide [1]. Most CRCs develop from adenomas. Laterally spreading tumors (LSTs) are non-polypoid superficial colorectal neoplasms (CRNs) that are larger than 1 cm and typically extend laterally along the luminal wall. Based on surface morphology, it can be classified into a granular or nongranular type. The pathological morphology of LST manifests only as adenoma or with cancer. Recent studies have characterized 45-79% of LSTs as high-grade intraepithelial neoplasia (HGIN) or submucosal invasion; and the risk of submucosal invasion increases with lesion size [2]. Hence, LST is considered a precancerous CRC lesion. Patients with LSTs are usually asymptomatic and diagnosis typically occurs during physical examinations or screening colonoscopy. However, flat tumors are difficult to detect by colonoscopy and a missed LST may progress to invasive carcinoma within a few years [3]. Further, little is known about the etiopathogenetic mechanism of LST.

The “adenoma-to-carcinoma” pathogenesis involves complex molecular events associated with somatic mutations, but few studies have investigated such. A recent study examined the mutational profiles of 10 LSTs, but these were predominantly low-grade intraepithelial neoplasia (LGIN) with very few recurrently mutated genes [4]. Thus, little is known about the genetic alterations in LST with HGIN.

Here, we performed whole-exome sequencing (WES) of 14 LSTs with HGIN and their matched adjacent non-tumor mucosa to identify possible somatic mutations. Sanger sequencing of 79 LSTs was then performed to validate the frequency of G protein subunit α (GNAS) p.R186C/R186H in LST.

Details of all procedures can be found in the Supplementary Materials. Briefly, for WES (mean depth: 118×), formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissues were micro-dissected to separate the HGIN from the tumor and adjacent non-tumor tissues. Sequencing was performed using the Illumina HiSeq X (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Somatic nucleotide variants (SNVs) and insertions/deletions (indels) were identified using MuTect2 [5]. Indels, nonsense SNVs, and the variants at the splicing sites were defined as inactive mutations.

The clinicopathological characteristics of the 14 investigated patients are presented in Table S1 and their endoscopic images in Fig. S1. The total number of mutations in each patient is presented in Table S2. Analysis of protein-changing variants showed a median of 79 SNVs (mean ± standard deviation: 155 ± 259) and 17 indels (26 ± 27) (Table S3; Fig. S2A). The median mutation burden was 3.8/Mb (7.3 ± 12.5/Mb) (Table S2; Fig. S2B), which was greater than in previous reports of LST with LGIN [4]. A median of 93 genes were mutated (179 ± 266; Table S2). 202 genes were recurrently mutated and 38 genes had mutations in ≥ 20% of the samples (Figure 1A). Eight LSTs (57%) had APC mutations among 11 mutation sites, of which 8 were
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nonsense SNVs and 3 were indels (Figure 1B). Seven LSTs (50%) had GNAS mutations, of which 5 were p.R186C/R186H (Figure 1C). FAT4, SDK1, and TTN were also commonly mutated at a 35.7% frequency (5/14). KRAS mutations were detected in 21.4% (3/14) of LSTs, all of which were in the codon 12 or 13 with a C>T substitution. BRAF mutation was found in 3 LSTs (p.D594N, p.V600E, and p.P403fs). One mutation was found in TP53 but none in CTNNB1 or PIK3CA. The high frequencies of APC and KRAS mutations and low frequencies of TP53, CTNNB1, and PIK3CA mutations were reported in previous investigations [4, 6].
The present study is the first to report the frequent GNAS mutations in LST. The most frequently altered genes in our patients differed from those in a previous multi-omics study of LST with LGIN [4]. Mutated genes such as APC, NRXN1, and SOX9 were found in both LGIN and HGIN lesions. However, some of the recurrently altered genes, such as MED12L, EPB41L4A, SLCO4C1, RIOK2, and ASPM, of LGIN lesions were not detected in our cohort. This difference might be due to differences in the pathological severity of LST or in the methods used to identify altered genes. These results also suggest the involvement of varied key genes in different stages of LST development.

MutSigCV analysis [7] identified 368 significantly mutated genes (SMGs) (Table S4, Figure 1D). Previous research identified several of these genes (APC, FBXW7, BRAF, ACVR2A, ACVR1B, and SOX9; ACVR2A and ACVR1B were detected in only 1 case, therefore not shown in Figure 1D) as SMGs in CRC [8]. Among SMGs, 29 driver genes of colorectal adenocarcinoma were identified (Table S5).

Analysis of mutational signatures in 14 LSTs (Fig. S2C) indicated that signature 1A was present in all LSTs and had the greatest weight. Previous research reported that this signature had a strong relationship with age and was common in cancers [9]. One LST had signature 6 which stands out as many substitutions or small indels due to microsatellite instability from DNA mismatch repair deficiency [9]. This deficiency may be related to the increased methylation of MLH1 in LST [6]. Consistent with previous research [9], the sample with signature 6 had a higher mutation rate.

We used the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery [10] to identify altered pathways. The MAPK pathway was altered in 12 LSTs (85.7%), followed by the cAMP and Rap1 pathways (11 LSTs, 78.6%), and the Hippo pathway (10 LSTs, 71.4%) (Fig. S3).

Analysis of inactive mutations in different pathways (Fig. S3) indicated 12 inactive mutations in the Hippo/TGF-β pathway. All mutated genes in this pathway harbored at least one inactive mutation (Fig. S4A and S4B). The upstream regulator BMPRIA (a receptor of bone morphogenetic proteins) contained 2 indels (p.P57fs and p.L209fs) and 1 variant at a splicing site (rs87781407) (Fig. S4A and S4B). The mutations in TGBF2 (whose protein phosphorylates SMADs via TGF-β receptors) were present in 2 LSTs (p.T23fs and p.P240Q) (Fig. S4A and S4B). Previous research reported that mutations of ACVRIB and ACVR2A (subunits of the membrane activin receptor complex in TGF-β signaling) were common in CRC as well [8]. cAMP signaling contains the commonly mutated gene GNAS, which functions as an upstream factor that affects the generation of the cAMP, a universal second messenger (Fig. S4C and S4D). Mutations in GLII, the final effector of the hedgehog signaling, and a transcriptional regulator that affects cellular behaviors in human cancers, were present in 2 LSTs (p.R268Q and p.L897fs) (Fig. S4C and S4D). Two mutations were detected in SOX9 which affected Paneth cell differentiation and triggered the Wnt pathway [11].

Our WES data indicated that APC was the most frequently mutated gene, and its mutation was extensively investigated. We also found that the frequently mutated gene GNAS (a driver of CRC) had a mutational hotspot at codon 186, in which arginine was converted to histidine (p.R186H) or cysteine (p.R186C). The most frequent mutation in GNAS was at codon 201, and this mutation was reported in many types of adenocarcinomas [12]. The mutation at codon 186 had not been well characterized. We, therefore, performed validation of the GNAS p.R186C/R186H using Sanger sequencing. Among 5 of the 14 initial LSTs with GNAS p.R186C/R186H, 4 samples were successfully validated. Thirteen samples (16.5%) in the validation series of 79 LSTs had the GNAS p.R186C/R186H mutation, 11 with p.R186H, and 2 with p.R186C (Table S6; Figure 2A). All lesions with mutations were HGIN of the rectum. There were similar mutation frequencies in males and females (P > 0.05, Pearson’s Chi-squared test). The mutation frequency in GNAS p.R186C/R186H in the rectum was significantly higher than in the colon (P < 0.05, Pearson’s Chi-squared test). GNAS p.R186C/R186H also had a significant association with histological type (P < 0.05, Fisher’s exact test), in that it was significantly more frequent in villous adenoma (VA) than tubular adenoma (TA) (P < 0.05, Pearson’s Chi-squared test). Lesions with this mutation were also significantly larger than wild-type lesions (68.1 ± 34.5 mm vs. 36.8 ± 18.1 mm, P < 0.05, Welch t-test; Figure 2B). However, among rectal tubulovillous adenoma (TVA) and VA, the GNAS-mutated lesions were larger but without statistical difference (68.1 ± 34.5 mm vs. 49.8 ± 22.6 mm, P > 0.05, Welch t-test; Figure 2C). None of the adjacent non-tumor tissue samples carried the GNAS p.R186C/R186H mutation.

Existing data described that GNAS mutations are rare in CRC but relatively more frequent in precancerous lesions [8, 13], suggesting a role of this mutation during early tumorigenesis. Mutations at codons 201 and 186 are more frequent in villous adenomas [13]. Moreover, GNAS p.R186C/R186H was observed in LST but not colorectal adenoma, suggesting that it was unique to LST. Comparisons of different morphological types of CRN are needed to clarify the clinicopathological consequences of GNAS p.R186C/R186H. There is evidence that cAMP regulates cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) expression and affects
FIGURE 2 Validation of GNAS p.R186C/R186H. A. Sanger sequencing of GNAS. The sites with double peaks show a G > A substitution (p.R186H, left) or a C > T substitution (p.R186C, middle), whereas the wild-type shows a single peak (right). B. Diameter of wild-type and GNAS-mutated lesions among all LSTs (P < 0.05, Welch t-test). C. Diameter of wild-type and GNAS-mutated lesions in rectal TVA and VA (P > 0.05, Welch t-test). GNAS: G protein subunit α, LST: laterally spreading tumor, TVA: tubulovillous adenoma, VA: villous adenoma

colorectal tumorigenesis [14]. Another study reported an overexpression of COX-2 in LST [15]. Therefore, it is possible that mutated GNAS affects LST development via the cAMP-COX2 axis. More studies are needed to explore the functional implications of GNAS p.R186C/R186H.

To summarize, our WES on 14 LST with HGIN revealed 202 recurrently mutated genes, as well as 368 SMGs, a predominance of the mutational signature 1A, and the frequently altered Hippo/TGF-β and cAMP/Rap1/MAPK pathways. Notably, we found a high frequency of GNAS p.R186C/R186H and an association of this mutation with tumor location (rectum) and pathological type (villous). Nonetheless, more samples are required to comprehensively elucidate the genomic landscape of LST. Our study provides a basis for understanding the molecular mechanism underlying the pathogenesis of LST, and may aid in the early diagnosis of CRC.
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