CAT(0) SPACES WITH BOUNDARY THE JOIN OF TWO CANTOR SETS
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Abstract. We will show that if a proper complete CAT(0) space $X$ has a visual boundary homeomorphic to the join of two Cantor sets, and $X$ admits a geometric group action by a group containing a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^2$, then its Tits boundary is the spherical join of two uncountable discrete sets. If $X$ is geodesically complete, then $X$ is a product, and the group has a finite index subgroup isomorphic to a lattice in the product of two isometry groups of bounded valence bushy trees.

1. Introduction

CAT(0) spaces with homeomorphic visual boundaries can have very different Tits boundaries. However, if $X$ admits a proper and cocompact group action by isometries, or a geometric group action in short, then this places a restriction on the possible Tits boundaries for a given visual boundary. (We follow the definition of a proper group action in Chapter I.8 of [BH99]; some use the term “properly discontinuous” for this.) Kim Ruane has showed in [Rua06] that for a CAT(0) space $X$ with boundary $\partial X$ homeomorphic to the suspension of a Cantor set, if it admits a geometric group action, then the Tits boundary $\partial_T X$ is isometric to the suspension of an uncountable discrete set. In this paper we will show the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** If a CAT(0) space $X$ has a boundary $\partial X$ homeomorphic to the join of two Cantor sets, $C_1$ and $C_2$, and if $X$ admits a geometric group action by a group containing a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^2$, then its Tits boundary $\partial_T X$ is isometric to the spherical join of two uncountable discrete sets. So if $X$ is geodesically complete, then $X = X_1 \times X_2$ with $\partial X_i$ homeomorphic to $C_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

As for the group acting on $X$, we will prove the following.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $X$ be a geodesically complete CAT(0) space such that $\partial X$ is homeomorphic to the join of two Cantor sets. Then for a group $G < \text{Isom}(X)$ acting geometrically on $X$ and containing a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^2$, either $G$ or a subgroup of $G$ of index 2 is a uniform lattice in $\text{Isom}(X_1) \times \text{Isom}(X_2)$. Furthermore, a finite index subgroup of $G$ is a lattice in $\text{Isom}(T_1) \times \text{Isom}(T_2)$, where $T_i$ is a bounded valence bushy tree quasi-isometric to $X_i$, $i = 1, 2$. 
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Remark 1.3. The assumption that $G$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^2$ is only used to obtain a hyperbolic element in $G$ with endpoints in $\partial X \setminus (C_1 \cup C_2)$, which we use in Section 4 to prove Theorem 1.1. It is conjectured that a CAT(0) group is either Gromov hyperbolic or it contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^2$. Without using the assumption on $G$, we can show that $G$ cannot be hyperbolic, which follows from Lemma 2.3 and the Flat Plane Theorem. ([BH99, Theorem III.H.1.5]) Thus if the conjecture is shown to be true for general CAT(0) groups, the assumption on $G$ will not be necessary. The conjecture has been proved for some classes of CAT(0) groups, see [KK07] and [CH09] for examples.

If $X_i$ are proper geodesically complete, one might hope that they are trees, so $G$ will be a uniform lattice in the product of two isometry groups of trees. Surprisingly, this may not be the case. Ontaneda constructed a 2-complex $Z$ which is non-positively curved and geodesically complete with free group $F_n$ as its fundamental group. (See proof of proposition 1 in [Ont04]) Its universal cover is quasi-isometric to $F_n$, so it is a Gromov hyperbolic space with Cantor set boundary, while being also a CAT(0) space. Under an additional condition that the isotropy subgroup of Isom($X_i$) of every boundary point of $X_i$ acts cocompactly on $X_i$, then $X_i$ is a tree. (See proof of Theorem 1.3 in [CM09].)

There are irreducible lattice in a product of two trees, so $G$ may not have a finite index subgroup which splits as a product. See [BM00] for a detailed investigation.

Acknowledgement 1.4. I would like to thank my advisor Chris Connell for suggesting this problem to me and providing me with a lot of valuable discussions, assistance and encouragements while I was on this project.

2. Preliminaries

First we fix the notations. For a CAT(0) space $X$, its (visual) boundary with the cone topology is $\partial X$. For a subset $H \subset X$, we denote by $\partial H := \overline{H} \cap \partial X$, where the closure $\overline{H}$ is taken in $\overline{X} := X \cup \partial X$. The angular and the Tits metrics on the boundary are denoted as $\angle \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $d_T(\cdot, \cdot)$ respectively. We denote the boundary with the Tits metric by $\partial_T X$. If $g$ is a group element acting on $X$ by isometry, we denote by $\overline{g}$ the action of $g$ extended to $\partial X$ by homeomorphism. If $g$ acts on $X$ by a hyperbolic isometry, the two endpoints of its axes on $\partial X$ are denoted by $g^\pm\infty$. We refer to [BH99] for details on basic facts about CAT(0) spaces.

Let $X$ be a complete CAT(0) space with $\partial X$ homeomorphic to the join of two Cantor sets $C_1$ and $C_2$, and $G < \text{Isom}(X)$ be a group acting on $X$ geometrically. We will not assume that $G$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^2$ until Section 4. By the following lemma, we can assume that $G$ stabilizes $C_1$ and $C_2$.

Lemma 2.1. Either $G$ or a subgroup of $G$ of index 2 stabilizes each of $C_1$ and $C_2$. 

Proof. Consider \( \partial X \) as a complete bipartite graph with \( C_1, C_2 \) as the two sets of vertices. For any \( g \in G \), if \( \overline{g} \cdot x_1 \in C_1 \) for some \( x_1 \in C_1 \), then \( \overline{g} \cdot C_i = C_i \), \( i = 1, 2 \); otherwise \( \overline{g} \cdot C_1 = C_2 \) and \( \overline{g} \cdot C_2 = C_1 \). So the homomorphism from \( G \) to symmetric group on two elements is well-defined and its kernel is the subgroup of \( G \) which stabilizes each of \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \). \( \square \)

By an arc we specifically mean a segment from a point in \( C_1 \) to a point in \( C_2 \) which does not pass through any other point of \( C_1 \) or \( C_2 \), and by open (closed) segment a segment on the boundary excluding (including) its two endpoints. We will investigate the positions of the endpoints of hyperbolic elements in \( G \).

We quote a basic result on dynamics on CAT(0) space boundary by Ruane:

**Lemma 2.2** ([Rua01] Lemma 4.1). Let \( g \) be a hyperbolic isometry of a CAT(0) space \( X \) and let \( c \) be an axis of \( g \). Let \( z \in \partial X \), \( z \neq g^{-\infty} \) and let \( z_i = \overline{g}^i \cdot z \). If \( w \in \partial X \) is an accumulation point of the sequence \( (z_i) \) in the cone topology, then \( \angle(\overline{g}^{-\infty}, w) + \angle(w, g^\infty) = \pi \), and \( \angle(\overline{g}^{-\infty}, z) = \angle(g^{-\infty}, w) \).

If \( w \neq g^\infty \), then \( d_T(\overline{g}^{-\infty}, w) + d_T(w, g^\infty) = \pi \). In this case \( c \) and a ray from \( c(0) \) to \( w \) span a flat half plane, and \( d_T(\overline{g}^{-\infty}, z) = d_T(g^{-\infty}, w) \).

Recall that a hyperbolic isometry is of rank one if none of its axes bounds a flat half plane, and it is of higher rank otherwise.

**Lemma 2.3.** There is no rank one isometry in \( G \).

**Proof.** Take any \( g \in G \). Assume without loss of generality that \( g^\infty \in \partial X \setminus C_2 \). Then for any point \( y \in C_2 \), \( \overline{g}^n \cdot y \) cannot accumulate at \( g^\infty \) since \( C_2 \) is closed in \( \partial X \). Any accumulation point of \( \overline{g}^n \cdot y \) will form a boundary of a half plane with \( g^{\pm \infty} \) by Lemma 2.2. So \( g \) is not rank one. \( \square \)

We note also that no finite subset of points on the boundary is stabilized by \( G \), which readily follows from a result by Ruane, quoted in a paper by Papasoglu and Swenson, and the fact that our \( \partial X \) is not a suspension.

**Lemma 2.4** ([PS09] Lemma 26). If \( G \) virtually stabilizes a finite subset \( A \) of \( \partial X \), then \( G \) virtually has \( \mathbb{Z} \) as a direct factor. In this case \( \partial X \) is a suspension.

### 3. Endpoints of a Hyperbolic Element

We will show that there is no hyperbolic element of \( G \) with one of its endpoints in \( C_1 \) but not the other one. We will proceed by contradiction, using as a key result the following theorem by Papasoglu and Swenson to \( \partial X \), itself a strengthening of a previous result by Ballmann and Buyalo [BB08]. This theorem is applicable to our \( \partial X \) in light of the previous lemmas.

**Theorem 3.1** ([PS09] Theorem 22). If the Tits diameter of \( \partial X \) is bigger than \( \frac{3\pi}{2} \) then \( G \) contains a rank 1 hyperbolic element. In particular: If \( G \) does not fix a point of \( \partial X \) and does not have rank
1, and $I$ is a (minimal) closed invariant set for the action of $G$ on $\partial X$, then for any $x \in \partial X$, $d_T(x, I) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$.

We put the word minimal in parentheses as it is not a necessary condition, for if $I \subset \partial X$ is a closed invariant set, then it contains a minimal closed invariant set $I'$, and so for any $x \in \partial X$, $d_T(x, I) \leq d_T(x, I') \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Note that the above theorem implies that $\partial X$ has finite Tits diameter, and hence the CAT(1) space $\partial T X$ is connected.

Now assume that $g \in G$ is hyperbolic such that $g^\infty \in C_1$ and $g^{-\infty} \in \partial X \setminus C_1$.

**Lemma 3.2.** $\text{Fix}(g)$ contains boundary of a 2-flat.

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.3, $g^{\pm \infty}$ bound a half plane, so there is a segment joining $g^{\pm \infty}$ fixed by $g$, then it is contained in $\partial \text{Min}(g)$. Then by Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 of [Rua01], $\text{Min}(g) = Y \times \mathbb{R}$ with $\partial Y \neq \emptyset$, and $C(g)/(g)$ acts on the CAT(0) space $Y$ geometrically. Since $Y$ has nonempty boundary, so by Theorem 11 of [Swe99] there is a hyperbolic element in $C(g)/(g)$ which has an axis in $Y$ with two endpoints on $\partial Y$. Thus there is a 2-flat in $\text{Min}(g)$. □

Denote this 2-flat by $F$, and let $z$ be a point in $\partial F \cap C_1$ other than $g^\infty$.

**Figure 1.** Boundary of a 2-flat in $\text{Min}(h)$

**Lemma 3.3.** If $F_0$ is a 2-flat whose boundary is contained in $\text{Fix}(h) = \partial \text{Min}(h)$ for some hyperbolic $h \in G$, then $\partial F_0$ intersects each of $C_1$ and $C_2$ at exactly 2 points.

*Proof.* Suppose not, then denote the points at which $\partial F_0$ alternatively intersects $C_1$, $C_2$ by $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \ldots, x_n, y_n$. Consider the segment joining $x_1$ and $y_2$. We may assume that not both of $x_1, y_2$ are endpoints of $h$. (If not, choose $y_1$ and $x_3$ instead.) From the assumption on $\partial F_0$, this segment is not part of $\partial F_0$. Its two endpoints are fixed, but the arc joining them is not in $\text{Fix}(h)$ because $\text{Fix}(h)$ is a suspension with suspension points $h^{\pm \infty}$. However, this arc is stabilized by $h$ because of the cone topology of $\partial X$. Action of $G$ on $\partial T X$ is by isometries. Take a point $p$ in the open arc between $x_1$ and $y_2$. Since $\partial T X$ is connected there exists a Tits segment in this arc from $p$ to one...
of $x_1$ and $y_2$, say $x_1$. Choose a new point on this segment as $p$ if necessary, we can assume $d_T(p, x_1) < d_T(y_2, x_1)$. Now $d_T(h \cdot p, h \cdot x_1) = d_T(h \cdot p, x_1)$ and $h \cdot p$ is also on the arc. If $h \cdot p$ were on the open segment between $p$ and $x_1$, the Tits geodesic from $h \cdot p$ to $x_1$ would go through $p$ or $y_2$, both would contradict $d_T(h \cdot p, x_1) = d_T(p, x_1)$. So $h \cdot p = p$. Then $p \in \partial \text{Min}(h)$ and lies on a path in $\partial \text{Min}(h)$ joining $h^{\pm\infty}$, forcing the arc to be in $\partial \text{Min}(h)$, which contradicts the previous assertion.

Denote the segment in $\partial X$ from $g^{\infty}$ to $z$ passing through $g^{-\infty}$ by $\beta$. Let $y$ be the point where $\beta$ intersects $C_2$. The essence of the following arguments is to look for a point in $\partial_T X$ that is over $\pi/2$ away from $C_1$ or $C_2$, which are closed $G$-invariant subsets, so obtaining a contradiction to Theorem 3.1.

**Lemma 3.4.** $g^{-\infty}$ cannot be on the closed segment in $\beta$ from $g^{\infty}$ to $y$.

**Proof.** Suppose not. The Tits length of this segment from $g^{\infty}$ to $y$ is at least $\pi$. Let $0 < \delta < \pi/2$ be such that $2\delta \leq d_T(y, C_1)$. Take a point $p$ on this segment so that $d_T(p, g^{\infty}) = \pi/2 + \delta$. Then $d_T(p, y) \geq \pi/2 - \delta$. Now for any point $x \in C_1$ other than $g^{\infty}$, if the Tits geodesic segment from $p$ to $x$ passes through $y$, then

$$d_T(p, x) \geq d_T(p, y) + d_T(y, C_1) \geq (\pi/2 - \delta) + 2\delta = \pi/2 + \delta;$$

while if it passes through $g^{\infty}$, then obviously $d_T(p, x) > d_T(p, g^{\infty}) = \pi/2 + \delta$. So $d_T(p, C_1) \geq \pi/2 + \delta$, which contradicts Theorem 3.1.

Now we deal with the case that $g^{-\infty}$ is in the open segment in $\beta$ from $y$ to $z$. We state a lemma first which will also be used in later arguments.

**Lemma 3.5.** Suppose $h \in G$ is a hyperbolic element such that $F_0 \subset \text{Min}(h)$ whose boundary intersects $C_1$ and $C_2$ alternatively at $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2$. Assume that the endpoint $h^{-\infty}$ is on some open arc, say the open arc between $x_i$ and $y_j$, while another endpoint $h^{\infty}$ is not contained in the closed arc between $x_i$ and $y_j$. Then for any point $x \in C_1$ other than $x_1$ and $x_2$, the sequence $h^\pm \cdot x$ can only accumulate at $x_1$ or $x_2$. Similarly, for any point $y \in C_2$ other than $y_1$ and $y_2$, the sequence $h^\pm \cdot x$ can only accumulate at $y_1$ or $y_2$.

**Proof.** Suppose not, then the sequence has an accumulation point $x' \in C_1 \setminus \{x_1, x_2\}$. By Lemma 2.2, $x'$ forms boundary of a half flat plane with $h^{\pm\infty}$. This boundary goes from $h^{\infty}$ to $x'$, and then passes through $x_i$ or $y_j$ before ending at $h^{-\infty}$. If it passes through $x_i$, then the Tits length of segment on this boundary joining $h^{\infty}$ to $x_i$ is the total length of the half-plane boundary $\pi$ minus the length of the segment from $x_i$ to $h^{-\infty}$, thus it is equal to the length of the Tits geodesic segment on $\partial F_0$ joining these two points, so there are two geodesics for these two points. But this contradicts the uniqueness of Tits geodesic between two points less than $\pi$ apart. If the boundary of the half flat plane goes through $y_j$, apply the same argument to the points $h^{\infty}$ and $y_j$ and we have the same contradiction. For the case $y \in C_2 \setminus \{y_1, y_2\}$ use the same argument.
Lemma 3.6. $g^{-\infty}$ cannot be in the open segment from $y$ to $z$.

Proof. Suppose not. For any point $z' \in C_1$ other than $g^\infty$ and $z$, the sequence $g^{-n} \cdot z'$ converges to $z$ by Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 2.2 which says that $g^{-n} \cdot z'$ cannot accumulate at $g^\infty$.

The segment $\beta$ has Tits length larger than $\pi$, so there is a point $w \in \beta$ which is more than $\pi/2$ away from $g^\infty$ and from $z$.

By lower semi-continuity of the Tits metric,
\[
\begin{align*}
d_T(w, z') &= \lim_{n \to \infty} d_T(g^{-n} \cdot w, g^{-n} \cdot z') \\
&\geq d_T(\lim_{n \to \infty} g^{-n} \cdot w, \lim_{n \to \infty} g^{-n} \cdot z') = d_T(w, z).
\end{align*}
\]
So $d_T(w, C_1) > \pi/2$, a contradiction to Theorem 3.1. \qed

![Figure 2. $\partial F$ in Lemma 3.6](image)

We see from these lemmas that the endpoints of a hyperbolic element must be both in $C_1$, or both in $C_2$, or none is in $C_1 \cup C_2$.

If $g$ is a hyperbolic element of $G$ with endpoints not in $C_1 \cup C_2$, we have the following results.

Lemma 3.7. $\partial \text{Min}(g)$ is the boundary of a 2-flat.

Proof. Since $\partial \text{Min}(g)$ is a suspension, so it can only be a circle or a set of two points. However, as $g$ acts on $\partial_T X$ by isometry, we see that $g$ must fix the arc on which $g^\infty$ lies. So $\partial \text{Min}(g) = \text{Fix}(g)$ can only be a circle. Then by the same reason as in Lemma 3.2 $\text{Min}(g)$ contains a 2-flat, whose boundary is the circle. \qed

Suppose for convenience that $g^\infty$ is on the open arc from $x_1 \in C_1$ to $y_1 \in C_2$, and $x_2 \in C_1$, $y_2 \in C_2$ are the two other points on the boundary $\partial F$.

Lemma 3.8. For $g$ as above, $g^{-\infty}$ can only be on the open arc from $x_2$ to $y_2$.

Proof. Suppose $g^{-\infty}$ were not on this arc. Without loss of generality let $g^{-\infty}$ be on the arc joining $y_1$ and $x_2$. Now the segment from $x_1$ to $x_2$ through $y_1$
has Tits length larger than $\pi$, so we can choose a point $p$ on this segment so that $p$ is at distance more than $\pi/2$ away from $x_1$ and $x_2$. By Lemma 3.5 for any other point $x' \in C_1$, $\gamma^a \cdot x'$ cannot have an accumulation point other than $x_1$ and $x_2$. Passing to a subsequence $\gamma^{a_k} \cdot x' \to x_i$, $i = 1$ or $2$, we have
\[
d_T(p, x') = \lim_{n_k \to \infty} d_T(\gamma^{a_k} \cdot p, \gamma^{a_k} \cdot x') \\
\geq d_T(\lim_{n_k \to \infty} \gamma^{a_k} \cdot p, \lim_{n_k \to \infty} \gamma^{a_k} \cdot x') = d_T(p, x_i),
\]
then $d_T(p, C_1) > \pi/2$, contradicting Theorem 3.1. □

4. Main result

Now we add the assumption that $G$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^2$, then the Flat Torus Theorem (BH99, Theorem II.7.1) implies that there exists two commuting hyperbolic elements $g_1, g_2 \in G$, such that $\text{Min}(g_1)$, formed by the axes of $g_1$, contains axes of $g_2$ not parallel to those of $g_1$. Then an axis of $g_1$ and an axis of $g_2$ span a 2-flat in $\text{Min}(g_1)$, and elements $g_1^m g_2^m$ are also hyperbolic and have axes in this 2-flat with endpoints dense on the boundary of this 2-flat. So we can choose some hyperbolic element $g$ so that its endpoints are not in $C_1 \cup C_2$.

We start with a lemma about the orbits of the group action, then we will prove Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 4.1. For any two distinct points $w_1, w_2 \in \partial X$, there exists a sequence $\{g_i\}_{i=0}^\infty \subset G$ such that the points $\gamma_i \cdot w_j$, where $0 \leq i < \infty$ and $j \in \{1, 2\}$, are distinct.

Proof. From Lemma 2.4 we know that every $w \in \partial X$ has an infinite orbit $G \cdot w$. So let $(h_i)_{i=0}^\infty \subset G$ be a sequence such that $h_i \cdot w_1$ are distinct. We will construct the sequence $(g_i)$ inductively. First set $g_0 = e$.

Suppose that for $n \geq 0$ we have $g_0, \ldots, g_n$ such that $\gamma_i \cdot w_j$, where $0 \leq i \leq n$, $j \in \{1, 2\}$, are distinct. Let $S_n := \{\gamma_m \cdot w_1, \gamma_m \cdot w_2 : 0 \leq m \leq n\}$. Pass to a subsequence of $(h_i)$ so that $h_i \cdot w_1 \notin S_n$. (We will keep denoting any subsequence by $(h_i)$.) If there exists some $h_j$ such that $h_j \cdot w_2 \notin S_n$, then set $g_{n+1} = h_j$. Otherwise, there exists some $\gamma_m \cdot w_k \in S_n$ such that $h_i \cdot w_2 = \gamma_m \cdot w_k$ for infinitely many $h_i$. Pass to this subsequence. Since the orbit of $\gamma_m \cdot w_k$ is infinite, there exists $h' \in G$ such that $h' \cdot (\gamma_m \cdot w_k) \notin S_n$, so $h'h_i \cdot w_2 \notin S_n$. Now $h'h_i \cdot w_1 \notin S_n$ for infinitely many $h_i$. Set $g_{n+1} = h'h_i$ for one such $h_i$. Hence we get the desired sequence $(g_i)$.

Remark 4.2. The only condition required on the group action is that every orbit is infinite. This proof can be used to show a similar result for any finite set $\{w_1, \ldots, w_n\}$.

Lemma 4.3. For any $x \in C_1$, $y \in C_2$ we have $d_T(x, y) = \pi/2$. Hence $\partial T X$ is metrically a spherical join of $C_1$ and $C_2$. 
Proof. Consider some \( g \in G \) which is hyperbolic with endpoints not on \( C_1 \cup C_2 \). Let \( \partial \text{Min}(g) = \partial F \). We will first prove that for \( x_1, x_2 \in C_1 \cap \partial F \), \( y_1, y_2 \in C_2 \cap \partial F \), we have \( d_T(x_i, y_j) = \pi/2 \), where \( i, j = 1, 2 \). Take any of the four arcs making up \( \partial F \), say the arc joining \( x_1 \) and \( y_1 \).

The endpoints of hyperbolic elements in \( \mathbb{Z}_g \) are dense on \( \partial F \), so we can pick a \( g' \in \mathbb{Z}_g \) so that \( g'^{-\infty} \) is as close to the midpoint of arc \( x_2 \) and \( y_2 \) as we want. Let \( 0 < \delta < \min(d_T(x_2, C_2), d_T(y_2, C_1)) \). Pick \( g' \) so that \( |d_T(g'^{-\infty}, x_2) - d_T(g'^{-\infty}, y_2)| < \delta \). For any point \( x \in C_1 \) other than \( x_2 \), if the Tits geodesic segment from \( g'^{-\infty} \) to \( x \) passes through \( y_2 \), then

\[
d_T(g'^{-\infty}, x) \geq d_T(g'^{-\infty}, y_2) + d_T(y_2, C_1) > d_T(g'^{-\infty}, x_2) - \delta + d_T(y_2, C_1) > d_T(g'^{-\infty}, x_2);
\]

while if it passes through \( x_2 \) then obviously \( d_T(g'^{-\infty}, x) > d_T(g'^{-\infty}, x_2) \). For any \( y \in C_2 \) other than \( y_2 \), by similar reasoning on the Tits geodesic segment from \( g'^{-\infty} \) to \( y \), we have \( d_T(g'^{-\infty}, y) > d_T(g'^{-\infty}, y_2) \).

For any arc joining \( x \neq x_2 \in C_1 \) and \( y \neq y_2 \in C_2 \), since \( d_T(g'^{-\infty}, x) > d_T(g'^{-\infty}, x_2) \), the point \( x_2 \) cannot be an accumulation point of \( g'^{-\infty} \cdot x \) by Lemma 2.2 then by Lemma 3.5 \( \overline{g}^n \cdot x \to x_1 \). Likewise, \( \overline{g}^n \cdot y \to y_1 \). So

\[
d_T(x,y) = \lim_{n\to \infty} d_T(\overline{g}^n \cdot x, \overline{g}^n \cdot y) \geq d_T(\lim_{n\to \infty} \overline{g}^n \cdot x, \lim_{n\to \infty} \overline{g}^n \cdot y) = d_T(x_1, y_1).
\]

For any other arc joining \( x_1 \) to \( y_j \) in \( \partial F \), by lemma 4.1 there exists \( h \in G \) such that \( \overline{h} \cdot x_1 \neq x_2 \) and \( \overline{h} \cdot y_j \neq y_2 \), so from the inequality (4.1) we get

\[
d_T(x_i, y_j) = d_T(\overline{h} \cdot x_i, \overline{h} \cdot y_j) \geq d_T(x_1, y_1).
\]

Thus all arcs have equal length \( \pi/2 \). Now for any \( x \in C_1, y \in C_2 \), by Lemma 3.5 the sequence \( \overline{g}^n \cdot x \) can accumulate at \( x_1 \) or \( x_2 \), and \( \overline{g}^n \cdot y \) can accumulate at \( y_1 \) or \( y_2 \), so passing to some subsequence \( \overline{g}^{n_k} \), we have convergence sequences \( \overline{g}^{n_k} \cdot x \to x_1 \) and \( \overline{g}^{n_k} \cdot y \to y_j \). Then we have inequality

\[
d_T(x,y) = \lim_{n_k \to \infty} d_T(\overline{g}^{n_k} \cdot x, \overline{g}^{n_k} \cdot y) \geq d_T(x_1, y_j) = \pi/2.
\]

Take a point \( p \) on the open arc joining \( x \) and \( y \). Without loss of generality assume that \( p \) and \( x \) are connected in \( \partial F \) by a segment in the arc. For any \( \epsilon > 0 \), we may choose a new point on the segment from \( p \) to \( x \) to replace \( p \) so that \( 0 < d_T(x,p) < \epsilon \). Consider the Tits geodesic from \( p \) to some point in \( C_2 \). If it passes through \( x \), then it consists of the segment from \( p \) to \( x \) and an arc from \( x \) to some point in \( C_2 \), so by the inequality (4.2) its Tits length is at least \( \pi/2 + d_T(x,p) \). By Theorem 3.1 \( d_T(p, C_2) \leq \pi/2 \), so there must be a Tits geodesic from \( p \) to some point in \( C_2 \) that does not pass through \( x \), hence it passes through \( y \). Its length is at least \( d_T(p,y) \), so \( y \) is the closest point in \( C_2 \) to \( p \), so \( d_T(p,y) = d_T(p,C_2) \leq \pi/2 \). Then \( d_T(x,y) \leq d_T(x,p) + d_T(p,y) < \pi/2 + \epsilon \). Letting \( \epsilon \to 0 \) we have \( d_T(x,y) \leq \pi/2 \). Combining with the inequality (4.2), \( d_T(x,y) = \pi/2 \). \[ \square \]
Theorem 4.4. If $X$ is a $CAT(0)$ space which admits a geometric group action by a group containing a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^2$, and $\partial X$ is homeomorphic to the join of two Cantor sets, then $\partial X$ is the spherical join of two uncountable discrete sets. If $X$ is geodesically complete, i.e. every geodesic segment in $X$ can be extended to a geodesic line, then $X$ is a product of two $CAT(0)$ space $X_1, X_2$ with $\partial X_i$ homeomorphic to a Cantor set.

Proof. We have shown that for any $x \in C_1$, $y \in C_2$, $d_T(x, y) = \pi/2$ in Lemma 4.3 so every two distinct points in $C_i$ has Tits distance $\pi$ for $i = 1, 2$, i.e. $C_i$ with the Tits metric is an uncountable discrete set. Then $\partial_T X$ is isomorphic to the spherical join of $C_1$ and $C_2$, giving the first result. So with the additional assumption that $X$ is geodesically complete, it follows by Theorem II.9.24 of [BH99] that $X$ splits as a product $X_1 \times X_2$, with $\partial X_i = C_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. □

5. Some properties of the group

We will show Theorem 1.2 in this section. Assuming that $X$ is geodesically complete, and hence reducible by Theorem 4.4, we have the following result for the group $G$. We do not require that $G$ stabilizes each of $C_1$ and $C_2$ in this section.

Theorem 5.1. Let $X$ be a $CAT(0)$ space such that $\partial X$ is homeomorphic to the join of two Cantor sets and suppose $X$ is geodesically complete. For a group $G < \text{Isom}(X)$ containing $\mathbb{Z}^2$ and acting geometrically on $X$, either $G$ or a subgroup of it of index 2 is a uniform lattice in $\text{Isom}(X_1) \times \text{Isom}(X_2)$, where $X_1, X_2$ are given by Theorem 4.4.

Proof. We know from Theorem 4.4 that $X = X_1 \times X_2$, so we only need to show that $G$ or a subgroup of it of index 2 preserves this decomposition.

By Lemma 2.1, either $G$ or a subgroup of it of index 2 stabilizes $C_1$ and $C_2$. Replacing $G$ by its subgroup if necessary, we assume $G$ stabilizes $C_1$ and $C_2$.

Denote by $\pi_i$ the projection of $X$ to $X_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Take any $p_1, p_2 \in X$ such that $\pi_2(p_1) = \pi_2(p_2)$. Extend $[p_1, p_2]$ to a geodesic line $\gamma$, its projection to each of $X_i$ is the image of a geodesic line. Since $X_1$ is totally geodesic, the geodesic segment $[p_1, p_2]$ projects to a single point $\pi_2(p_1)$ on $X_2$, i.e. a degenerated geodesic segment, so $\pi_2(\gamma)$ is also a degenerated geodesic line. Thus the endpoints $\gamma(\pm \infty)$ are in $C_1$. Now $\overline{\gamma} : \gamma$ is a geodesics line passing through $\overline{\gamma} \cdot p_1, \overline{\gamma} \cdot p_2$, and its endpoints $\overline{\gamma} \cdot \gamma(\pm \infty) \in C_1$, so $\pi_2(\overline{\gamma} \cdot p_1) = \pi_2(\overline{\gamma} \cdot p_2)$. Similarly, for any $q_1, q_2 \in X$ such that $\pi_1(q_1) = \pi_1(q_2)$ we have $\pi_1(\overline{\gamma} \cdot q_1) = \pi_1(\overline{\gamma} \cdot q_2)$. So $G$ preserves the decomposition $X = X_1 \times X_2$, hence the result. □

We will show that $\text{Isom}(X_i)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{Homeo}(C_i)$ by the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. Suppose $X'$ is a proper complete $CAT(0)$ space, and $G' < \text{Isom}(X')$ acts properly on $X'$ by isometries.
(1) If \( S \subset \partial X' \) is a set of points on the boundary such that the intersection \( \bigcap_{w \in S} \overline{B_T(w, \pi/2)} \) is empty, then there exists a point \( q \in X \) such that any non-hyperbolic \( g \in \text{Isom}(X') \) that fixes \( S \) pointwise will fix \( q \). In particular, such \( g \) is elliptic.

(2) If \( \partial X' \) is not a suspension and the radius of \( \partial_T X' \) is larger than \( \pi/2 \), then the map \( G' \to \text{Homeo}(\partial X') \), defined by extending the action of \( G' \) to the boundary \( \partial X' \), has a finite kernel, i.e. the subgroup of \( G' \) that acts trivially on the boundary is finite. Moreover, assume the action of \( G' \) is cocompact, then the kernel fixes a subspace of \( X' \) with boundary \( \partial X' \).

**Proof.** To prove (1), observe that any such \( g \) stabilizes all horospheres and thus all horoballs centered at every \( w \in S \). Take an arbitrary point \( q' \in X \) and choose for each \( w \) a closed horoball \( H_w \) centered at \( w \) that contains \( q' \). Their intersection \( \bigcap_{w \in S} H_w \) is non-empty since it contains \( q' \). By Lemma 3.5 of [CM09], \( \partial H_w = \overline{B_T(w, \pi/2)} \), then \( \partial \left( \bigcap_{w \in S} H_w \right) \subset \bigcap_{w \in S} (\partial H_w) = \emptyset \). So \( \bigcap_{w \in S} H_w \) is bounded. Also as every \( H_w \) is stabilized by \( g \), so is \( \bigcap_{w \in S} H_w \).

As \( \bigcap_{w \in S} H_w \) is convex and compact, it contains a unique center \( q \), where the function \( \sup \{ d_X(\cdot, z) : z \in \bigcap_{w \in S} H_w \} \) is minimized. Then \( g \) fixes \( q \).

For (2), if \( g \in G' \) acts by hyperbolic isometry, then \( \partial \text{Min}(g) = \text{Fix}(g) \) is a suspension. Then any \( g \) acting trivially on the whole boundary \( \partial X' \) is not hyperbolic. As \( \partial_T X' \) has radius larger than \( \pi/2 \), for every \( x \in \partial X' \) there is some \( w \in \partial X' \) such that \( d_T(x, w) > \pi/2 \), so \( x \notin \overline{B_T(w, \pi/2)} \), hence \( S = \partial X' \) satisfies the condition in (1). Now (1) implies that the kernel of \( G' \to \text{Homeo}(\partial X') \) is a subgroup of the stabilizer of some point \( q \in X' \). As the action of \( G' \) is proper, the kernel is finite.

Let \( K \) be the kernel. The set fixed by \( K \) is closed and convex. For any point \( q \) fixed by the kernel, as \( g \cdot q \) is fixed by \( g K g^{-1} = K \), then \( G' \cdot q \) is fixed by \( K \). If the action of \( G' \) is cocompact, then the set fixed by \( K \) is quasi-dense, hence it is a subspace with boundary \( \partial X' \).

**Corollary 5.3.** Let \( X \) be a geodesically complete CAT(0) space such that \( \partial X \) is homeomorphic to the join of two Cantor sets. Then for a group \( G < \text{Isom}(X) \) containing \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) and acting geometrically on \( X \), either \( G \) or a subgroup of it of index 2 is isomorphic to a subgroup of \( \text{Homeo}(C_1) \times \text{Homeo}(C_2) \).

**Proof.** This follows from Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 5.2. □

We can still show this without the geodesic completeness assumption.

**Theorem 5.4.** Let \( X \) be a CAT(0) space such that \( \partial X \) is homeomorphic to the join of two Cantor sets. Then for a group \( G < \text{Isom}(X) \) containing \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) and acting geometrically on \( X \), a finite quotient of either \( G \) or a subgroup of \( G \) of index 2 is isomorphic to a subgroup in \( \text{Homeo}(C_1) \times \text{Homeo}(C_2) \).
Proof. Assume $G$ stabilizes each of $C_1$ and $C_2$ as in the proof of Theorem 5.1. Each $g \in G$ acts on $\partial X$ as a homeomorphism, so it acts on $C_i \subset \partial X$ also as a homeomorphism.

Suppose $g$ acts trivially on $C_1$ and $C_2$, i.e. $g$ is in the kernel of $G \to \text{Homeo}(C_1) \times \text{Homeo}(C_2)$. Then for any point $x \in \partial X$ outside $C_1 \cup C_2$, the arc on which $x$ lies is a Tits geodesic segment of length $\pi/2$ in $\partial T X$. Since $g$ acts on $\partial T X$ by isometry and both endpoints of this Tits geodesic segment are fixed by $g$, so $g$ fixes the whole arc, thus $\overline{g} \cdot x = x$. Hence $g$ acts trivially on $\partial X$. One can check that $\partial T X$ has radius larger than $\pi/2$, so by Lemma 5.2 $G \to \text{Homeo}(\partial X)$ has finite kernel. Hence the result. □

In the case when $X$ is geodesically complete, actually we can prove a stronger result, expressed in the last statement of Theorem 1.2. Observe that $X_i$ is a Gromov hyperbolic space by the Flat Plane Theorem, which states that a proper cocompact CAT(0) space $Y$ is hyperbolic if and only if it does not contain a subspace isometric to $\mathbb{E}^2$. Recall that a cocompact space is defined as a space $Y$ which has a compact subset whose images under the action by $\text{Isom}(Y)$ cover $Y$. The (projected) action of $G$ on $X_i$ is cocompact, even though the image in $\text{Isom}(X_i)$ may not be discrete. As $\partial X_i$ does not contain $S^1$, the result follows.

We will show $X_i$ is quasi-isometric to a tree. This is equivalent to having the Bottleneck Property by a theorem of Manning, which he proved with an explicit construction:

**Theorem 5.5** ([Man05], Theorem 4.6). Let $Y$ be a geodesic metric space. The following are equivalent:

1. $Y$ is quasi-isometric to some simplicial tree $\Gamma$.
2. (Bottleneck Property) There is some $\Delta > 0$ so that for all $x, y \in Y$ there is a midpoint $m = m(x, y)$ with $d(x, m) = d(y, m) = \frac{1}{2}d(x, y)$ and the property that any path from $x$ to $y$ must pass within less than $\Delta$ of the point $m$.

Pick a base point $p$ in $X_i$. There exists some $r > 0$ such that $G \cdot B(p, r)$ covers $X_i$.

**Lemma 5.6.** There exists $R > 0$ such that for any $x, y$ in the same connected component of $X_i \setminus B(p, R)$, the geodesic segment $[x, y]$ does not intersect $B(p, r)$.

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that for $R_n$ increasing to infinity, we can find $x_n, y_n$ in the same connected component of $X_i \setminus B(p, R_n)$ and $[x_n, y_n]$ intersects $B(p, r)$. Since $\partial X_i$ is compact in the cone topology, passing to a subsequence we have $x_n \to \overline{x}$, $y_n \to \overline{y}$ for some $\overline{x}, \overline{y} \in \partial X_i$. By [BH99] Lemma II.9.22, there is a geodesic line from $\overline{x}$ to $\overline{y}$ intersecting $B(p, r)$. In particular, $\overline{x} \neq \overline{y}$.

Since different connected components in the boundary of a hyperbolic space correspond to different ends of the space ([BH99] Exercise III.H.3.8),
and $\partial X_i$ is a Cantor set, so $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ are in different ends of $X_i$, which are separated by $B(p, R_n)$ for $R_n$ large enough. But then $x_n, y_n$ will be in different connected components of $X_i \setminus B(p, R_n)$, contradicting the assumption. Hence the result. \qed

Lemma 5.7. $X_i$ has the Bottleneck Property.

Proof. For any $x, y \in X_i$, we may translate by some $g \in G$ so that the midpoint $m$ of $[x, y]$ is in $B(p, r)$. We may assume that $d(x, y) > 2(R + r)$, then $x, y \in X_i \setminus B(p, R)$. By Lemma 5.6, $x, y$ are in different connected components of $X \setminus B(p, R)$, hence any path connecting $x$ to $y$ must intersect $B(p, R)$, so some point on this path is at a distance at most $R + r$ from $m$. Thus the Bottleneck Property is satisfied. \qed

Lemma 5.8. $X_i$ is quasi-isometric to a bounded valence tree with no terminal vertex.

Proof. First we describe briefly Manning’s construction in his proof of Theorem 5.5. Let $R' = 20\Delta$. Start with a single point $\ast$ in $Y$. Call the vertex set containing this point $V_0$, and let $\Gamma_0$ be a tree with only one vertex and no edge, and $\beta_0 : \Gamma_0 \to Y$ be the map sending the vertex to $\ast$. Then for each $k \geq 1$, Let $N_{k-1}$ be the open $R$-neighborhood of $V_{k-1}$. Let $C_k$ be the set consists of path components of $Y \setminus N_{k-1}$. For each $C \in C_k$, pick some point $v \in C \cap \overline{N_k}$. There is a unique path component in $C_{k-1}$ containing $C$, corresponding to a terminal vertex $w \in V_{k-1}$. Connect $v$ to $w$ by a geodesic segment. Let $V_k$ be the union of $V_{k-1}$ and the set of new points from each of the path components in $C_k$. Add new vertices and edges to the tree $\Gamma_{k-1}$ accordingly to get the tree $\Gamma_k$. Extend $\beta_{k-1}$ to $\beta_k$ by mapping new vertices of $\Gamma_k$ to corresponding new vertices in $V_k$, and new edges to corresponding geodesic segments. The tree $\Gamma = \cup_{k \geq 0} \Gamma_k$, and $\beta : \Gamma \to Y$ is defined to be $\beta_k$ on $\Gamma_k$.

Apply the construction above to $X_i$. Since $X_i$ is geodesically complete, each terminal vertex in $V_{k-1}$ will be connected by at least one vertex in $V_k \setminus V_{k-1}$, and similarly so for terminal vertices of $\Gamma_{k-1}$. So the tree $\Gamma$ has no terminal vertex.

Manning proved that the length of each geodesic segment added in the construction is bounded above by $R' + 6\Delta$. Consider $w \in V_{k-1}$ with corresponding path component $C_w \in C_{k-1}$. Every path component $C \in C_k$ such that $C \subset C_w$ gives a new segment joining $w$. Together with geodesic completeness of $X_i$, this implies that such $C$ will contain at least one path component of $X_i \setminus B(w, R' + 6\Delta)$, and every path component of $X_i \setminus B(w, R' + 6\Delta)$ is contained in at most one such $C$. (Geodesic completeness is used to ensure that no such $C$ will disappear when passing to $X_i \setminus B(w, R' + 6\Delta)$.) Thus the number of new vertices in $V_k$ joining $w$ is bounded by the number of path components of $X_i \setminus B(w, R' + 6\Delta)$. Call the vertex in $\Gamma$ corresponding to $w$ as $p_w$. Since no more new segments will join $w$ in subsequent steps, the degree of $p_w$ in $\Gamma$ equals one plus the number of new vertices in $V_k$ joining
Translate $X_i$ by some $g$ so that $g \cdot w \in B(p,r)$. The number of path components in $X_i \setminus B(w, r' + 6\Delta)$ equals that in $X_i \setminus B(g \cdot w, r' + 6\Delta)$, which is at most the number of path components in $X_i \setminus B(p, r + r' + 6\Delta)$, as $B(g \cdot w, r' + 6\Delta) \subset B(p, r + r' + 6\Delta)$. Hence we obtain a universal bound of the degree of $p_w$ in $\Gamma$, which means $\Gamma$ has bounded valence. □

A tree of bounded valence with no terminal vertex is quasi-isometric to the trivalent tree. Such tree is called a bounded valence bushy tree. Therefore we have shown the following:

**Theorem 5.9.** If $X_i$ is a proper cocompact and geodesically complete CAT(0) space whose boundary $\partial X_i$ is homeomorphic to a Cantor set, then $X_i$ is quasi-isometric to a bounded valence bushy tree.

Now each of $X_1, X_2$ is quasi-isometric to a bushy tree, thus $X$ is quasi-isometric to the product of two bounded valence bushy trees, and so is $G$. Therefore we can apply a theorem by Ahlin ([Ahl02] Theorem 1) on quasi-isometric rigidity of lattices in products of trees to show that a finite index subgroup of $G$ is a lattice in Isom($T_1 \times T_2$) where $T_i$ is a bounded valence bushy tree quasi-isometric to $X_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Notice that Isom($T_1 \times T_2$) is isomorphic to a subgroup of Isom($T_1 \times T_2$) of index 1 or 2 (which can be proved similarly as Lemma 2.1), we finally proved the last statement of Theorem 1.2.
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