Parturition time for the Blacktip shark, *Carcharhinus limbatus* (Carcharhiniformes: Carcharhinidae), in Southwestern Atlantic

The determination of the period of parturition and identification of nursery areas are fundamental for the management and conservation of a fishing resource. Through combination of monthly abundance, length measurements, and development time of embryos we inferred about parturition time of the Blacktip sharks, *Carcharhinus limbatus*, from Southwestern Atlantic. Specimens of *C. limbatus* were caught from 2002 and 2008 by artisanal fleets from three different locations along the Brazilian coast using gillnets (mesh width ranging between 30 and 120 mm between opposite knots and operating from 9 to 120 m in depth), handlines (19 to 140 m depth) and longlines (6 to 90 m depth). Through a comparative analysis of fisheries landing data collected, we have verified neonatal (< 90 cm) catch peaks at specific times of the year in different locations and, matching with birth prediction of embryos, propose that *C. limbatus* presents a well-defined parturition time in late spring-early summer in Southwestern Atlantic. Moreover, we indicate supposed nursery grounds for the species along the Brazilian coast. This information will be crucial for stock assessments of the species and may serve as a basis for determining fisheries management measures.

**Keywords:** Birth time, Chondrichthyes, Fishery, Nursery, Reproductive biology.
A determinação do período de parto e identificação de áreas de berçário são fundamentais para o manejo e conservação da espécie. Através da combinação de abundância mensal, comprimentos e tempo de desenvolvimento de embriões nós inferimos sobre a época de parto do tubarão galha preta, *Carcharhinus limbatus*, no Sudoeste Atlântico. Espécimes de *C. limbatus* foram capturados entre 2002 e 2008 por frota artesanal de três locais diferentes ao longo da costa brasileira usando redes de emalhar (largura de malha variando entre 30 e 120 mm entre nós opostos e operando de 9 a 120 m de profundidade), linhas de mão (19 a 140 m de profundidade) e espinhel (6 a 90 m de profundidade). Através de análise comparativa de dados de desembarque pesqueiro, verificamos picos de captura de neonatos (< 90 cm) em períodos específicos do ano em diferentes localidades e, combinando com a previsão de nascimento de embriões, propomos que *C. limbatus* apresenta um período de parto bem definido no final da primavera e início do verão no Sudoeste do Atlântico. Além disto, nós indicamos prováveis áreas de berçário ao longo da costa brasileira. Estas informações serão cruciais para avaliações de estoque da espécie e poderão servir de base para determinação de medidas de manejo de piscarias.

**Palavras-chave:** Berçário, Biologia reprodutiva, Chondrichthyes, Época de parto, Pesca.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The Blacktip Shark, *Carcharhinus limbatus* (Valenciennes, 1839), is a medium-sized species inhabiting the inshore waters from tropical and subtropical seas throughout the world (Burgess, Branstetter, 2009). This species makes use of inshore nursery grounds (*e.g.* Castro, 1996; Carlson, 2002; Heupel, Hueter, 2002; Hueter, Tyminski, 2002; Heupel *et al*., 2004; Harry *et al*., 2012), which makes it more vulnerable to fishing pressure and other anthropogenic impacts. This already was an important commercial and recreational fishery species, with valuable meat and fins (Branstetter, Burgess, 1996, 1997; Hanfee, 1997; Burgess, Branstetter, 2009). Currently, *C. limbatus* has been assessed globally as “Near Threatened” by the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (Burgess, Branstetter, 2009).

This shark presents, throughout its cosmopolitan distribution, differences in its population parameters related to reproductive and growth aspects (Branstetter, 1987; Killam, Parsons, 1989; Castro, 1996; Capapé *et al*., 2004; Carlson, Sulikowski, 2006; Burgess, Branstetter, 2009; Baremore, Passerotti, 2013). Genetic analyses also provide evidence for separate populations in different oceans (Keeney, Heist, 2006; Sodré *et al*., 2012). Given this well-documented difference in population parameters, it is pertinent that the different populations of *C. limbatus* be considered as different management units for the purpose of protecting their populations, in regions where these differences have been proven.

The determination of the period of parturition and identification of nursery areas are fundamental for the management and conservation of a fishing resource. The purpose
of the present study is to infer about the parturition time of *C. limbatus* in Southwestern Atlantic through a comparative analysis of fishery data collected along the Brazilian coast and indicate possible nursery grounds.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Monitoring of fishing landings was conducted as part of a faunal survey on Mucuripe beach, Ceará (CE) State, from November 2006 to June 2008, totaling 102 days of sampling; Caicara do Norte, Rio Grande do Norte (RN) State, from September 2003 to August 2004 (data from Yokota, Lessa, 2006), totaling 132 days of sampling and; Mosqueiro beach and Aracaju Fishing Terminal, Sergipe (SE) State, from May 2002 to February 2008, totaling 211 days of sampling. In addition to these landing sites in Northeastern Brazil, we compare our data to that found by Bornatowski (2008) in Paraná coast (25°39’S; 48°26’W; Fig. 1).

The sampled fleet from Mucuripe embayment, CE, is composed of motor boats and rafts. The motor boats are vessels with length ranging from 8 to 15 m. These vessels remain from one to five days at sea, with an average of three days. The fishing gear used is gillnets. These nets are approximately 100 m in length and height ranging from 3 to 5 m, with mesh width ranging between 100 and 120 mm between opposite knots. Net deployment ranges from 9 to 21 m depth (Carneiro, Salles, 2011). On the other hand, rafts are sailing vessels with approximate 6 m of length. These vessels remain three to six days at sea in depths ranging from 19 to 140 m. The fishing gear used is hook and line and the hook size range from 2 to 14 cm (Freitas, 2000).

The sampled fleet from Caicara do Norte, RN, is composed of motor boats and rafts (5–12 m). The sharks were caught by gillnets and longlines. Gillnets between 100 and 160 m in length, with stretched mesh sizes between opposed knots of 30 and 60 mm, were employed by 52 boats, operating from 3 to 120 m in depth, with the highest fishing effort between 5 and 30 m in depth. Only two boats employed longlines (225 m in length and 85 hooks), fishing between depths of 10 and 90 m.

The sampled fleet from Mosqueiro beach and Aracaju Fishing Terminal, SE, is composed of rafts (~ 7 m), with a crew composed of up to three fishermen with autonomy for one day of fishing and operating at depths ranging from 3 to 42 meters. The sharks were captured with gillnet and longline. The nets are from 1,800 to 2,500 m in length and between 2 and 3 m in height and mesh size varying between 40 and 90 mm between opposite knots. The longline was made with a 5 mm twisted polyethylene multifilament rope as the main cable, nylon n° 140 as secondary lines and with about 450 n° 5 hooks.

The care and use of experimental animals welfare laws are not applied due to data sampling be from commercial fishing landings. The specimens were commercialized by the fishermen and we did not retain it for another purpose. Specimens of *Carcharhinus limbatus* were measured in total length (TL, in cm) and identified by sex. When pregnant females were observed, we expected the fishermen to eviscerate the individuals to record information about the number and TL of embryos.

Neonates of *C. limbatus* are born with TL approximately between 55 and 65 cm (Castro, 1993; Dudley, Cliff, 1993; Castro, 1996; Yokota, Lessa, 2006). Considering
that the total length of individuals with approximately six months of life is about 30 cm greater than the birth size (Branstetter, 1987; Castro, 1996), we consider for the next analysis individuals up to 90 cm as neonates, even understanding that part of them could be considered as young-of-the-year (YOY).

Monthly mean daily abundance (number of individuals divided by days of sampling) and mean TL for neonates was set for each state to evaluate the months with higher abundance of smaller individuals indicating birth period and their mean TL through initial months of life. Significant differences in TL between months for each state were analyzed using Kruskall–Wallis test with a significant level of 0.05 and when differences

**FIGURE 1** | Map displaying the locations in Southwestern Atlantic where the individuals of the Blacktip Shark, *Carcharhinus limbatus*, were sampled. Black squares: present study; gray square: Bornatowski (2008). Abbreviations: CE, Ceará; RN, Rio Grande do Norte; SE, Sergipe; PR, Paraná.
were found Dunn’s post-hoc was used.

We extracted data of length-at-development time in days (DTD) of embryos (Castro, 1996; fig. 4, page 515) using the software im2graph (Vaingast, 2014) to create a predictive linear regression for the estimation of DTD of embryos. This linear regression was used for estimating DTD of embryos sampled from gravid females by Yokota, Lessa (2006).

RESULTS

A total of 190 *C. limbatus* were sampled, with neonates dominating the catches (170 individuals, 89.47%) (Fig. 2). Of these individuals, 97.9% were immature with a predominant distribution in classes close to the birth size for the species.

In Ceará, 98 *Carcharhinus limbatus* were sampled, with total length ranging between 58 and 195.5 cm and an average of 77.70 ± 10.19 cm. The sex ratio was 1♀: 1♂ (χ² = 0.0; p = 1.0). Of these individuals, 94.9% were immature with a predominant distribution in classes close to the birth size for the species (Fig. 2A).

In Rio Grande do Norte, 41 *C. limbatus* were sampled, with total length ranging between 58.5 and 199 cm and an average of 81.85 ± 19.83 cm. The sex ratio was 1.33♀: 1♂ (χ² = 0.457; p = 0.499). Of these individuals, 90.24% were immature with a predominant distribution in classes close to the birth size for the species (Fig. 2B).

In Sergipe, 51 *C. limbatus* were sampled, with a total length ranging between 59.5 and 108.3 cm and an average of 68.80 ± 3.50 cm. The sex ratio was 1.13♀: 1♂ (χ² = 0.078; p = 0.7794). Of these individuals, 100% were immature with a predominant distribution in classes close to the birth size for the species (Fig. 2C).

In Ceará, it is possible to observe a greater abundance of neonates of *C. limbatus* between the months of December and January, coinciding with the lowest TL values (Fig. 3A). There were significant differences in TL between the months analyzed (H = 39,362; d.f. = 3; p <0.0001). These significant differences were found between the months of December and January (df = 3; Z = 3.0741; p <0.05) and January and March (df = 3; Z = 4.3349; p <0.05), indicating the beginning of the birth period in the region and subsequent increase in TL of *C. limbatus* over the months.

In Rio Grande do Norte, it is possible to observe a greater abundance of neonates of *C. limbatus* in December, coinciding with the lowest TL values (Fig. 3B). However, there was no significant difference in the total length between the months of November and December (H = 0.2619; d.f. = 1; p = 0.6088).

In Sergipe, it is possible to observe a greater abundance of neonates of *C. limbatus* in January, with the lowest TL values between December and February (Fig. 3C). There were significant differences in TL between the months analyzed (H = 11.2952; d.f. = 3; p = 0.0102). These significant differences were found between the months of December and March (df = 3; Z = 3.1025; p <0.05) and February and March (df = 3; Z = 2.7075; p <0.05), indicating the beginning of the birth in the region in December and the increase in TL of *C. limbatus* over the months.

In Ceará, Rio Grande do Norte and Sergipe neonates and individuals close to birth size were basically restricted to late spring and summer (December to March), with the boom of abundance occurring in December and January, coinciding with the smaller TL values (Fig. 3). After this, the abundance of the neonates and small juveniles decreases
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sharply until March–April, when they disappear from coastal waters. At the same time, we can observe a gradual growth in TL from late spring to late summer. The capture of *C. limbatus* for the rest of the year was occasional.

The calculated equation for development time in days was $D_{TD} = 0.4982 TL (\text{mm}) + 17.605$ ($R^2 = 95.34\%$). In Caïara do Norte (RN), the longline (10.5m in depth) caught three gravid females bearing 6–8 embryos ranging from 22.2 to 29 cm TL in June (Tab. 1). The DTD of the embryos sampled in June was estimated at $144.22 \pm 4.99$ days.

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2** | Total Length (TL) frequency for the Blacktip Shark, *Carcharhinus limbatus*, caught in Northeastern Brazil. A) Ceará; B) Rio Grande do Norte; C) Sergipe. Black bars, males; white bars, females; gray bars, undetermined sex.
FIGURE 3 | Monthly mean daily abundance (number of individuals divided by days of sampling) and size (mean total length ± standard deviation) of neonates (< 90 cm TL) Blacktip Sharks, *Carcharhinus limbatus*, caught in Northeastern Brazil. A) Ceará; B) Rio Grande do Norte; C) Sergipe. White bars: monthly frequency; black dots with lines: mean TL ± standard deviation.
**TABLE 1** | Uterine fecundity and size of embryos of Blacktip Sharks, *Carcharhinus limbatus*, captured in June 2004 in Rio Grande do Norte, Northeastern Brazil.

| Pregnant | Mother size | Litter size | Embryos TL (cm) | Mean TL (± s.d.) |
|----------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| #1       | 195         | 7           | 24.7-27         | 25.64 ± 0.81    |
| #2       | 193         | 6           | 26.2-29         | 27.80 ± 1.02    |
| #3       | 199         | 8           | 22.2-24.5       | 23.42 ± 0.83    |

**DISCUSSION**

The peak of neonatal abundance found in December and January in the states of Ceará, Rio Grande do Norte and Sergipe, coincides with the end of spring and the beginning of summer. Additionally, it was possible to observe in the states of Ceará and Sergipe a gradual increase in the total length of the neonates over the months. In Rio Grande do Norte it was not possible to observe this pattern of gradual increase in the total length of the neonates because fishing landings were not monitored in January. In February, even with the monitoring of fisheries, no neonate or small juvenile was sampled, although according to local fishermen the capture of specimens with birth size is common in these months (Yokota, Lessa, 2006). The data presented here and what was found by Yokota, Lessa (2006) consistently support a well-defined parturition time in late spring–early summer for *C. limbatus* in Northeastern Brazil. This same pattern of a well-marked parturition time in late spring–early summer was observed for the species in coastal waters from Paraná, Southern Brazil (Bornatowski, 2008).

The DTD of the embryos caught in June in Rio Grande do Norte indicates that the females were at the fifth month of gestation. Bornatowski (2008) also sampled three pregnant females, one in October carrying six embryos (51.5–53.7 cm TL), and two in November, carrying six and eight embryos measuring 55.2–56.1 and 55.6–57.6 cm TL, respectively. The DTD of these embryos was estimated at 279.66 and 297.22 days, for the embryos sampled in October and November, respectively. This indicates that the female caught in October was approximately at ninth month of gestation, and the females caught in November were approximately at tenth month of gestation. Considering a gestation of 11 months (Castro, 1996), these females from Northeastern and Southern Brazil, approximately 2600 km apart and with tropical and subtropical/temperate climate, respectively, were both expected to give birth in December, despite the differences between them. Additionally, in December, another gravid female bearing seven embryos of unreported sizes was captured (Yokota, Lessa, 2006). Considering this pattern, it is probable that these were term embryos.

Thus, *C. limbatus* seem to present a well-defined parturition time in late spring–early summer in Southwestern Atlantic. The same pattern is found for the populations of the Southeastern United States (Castro, 1996); Gulf of Mexico (Baremore, Passerotti, 2013; here the birthing period occurred subtly earlier in spring) and the western and northern coasts of Africa (Capapé *et al.*, 2004). Therefore, it is possible that this pattern of birth is predominant throughout the overall distribution of the species.

*Carcharhinus limbatus* is recognized for making use of distinct coastal nurseries (Castro, 1996; Carlson, 2002; Heupel, Hueter, 2002; Hueter, Tyminski, 2002; Heupel
et al., 2004; Harry et al., 2012), and it seems evident the species is making use of regions of the Brazilian coast as nursery grounds in the spring-summer, although the criteria defined by Heupel et al. (2007), for an area to be considered a shark nursery, has not been tested. *C. limbatus* nursery grounds are generally “protected nurseries”, located in areas that would theoretically be geographically protected from predators (Heupel, Huetter, 2002; Keeney et al., 2003; Heupel et al., 2004; Heupel, Simpfendorfer, 2005; Keeney et al., 2005; but also see Heupel et al., 2007). However, neonates and young-of-the-year from Brazil were sampled in unprotected open beaches, although the young were restricted to shallower waters (present study; Yokota, Lessa, 2006; Bornatowski, 2008). Future studies could indicate whether this is related to different life history components employed by different populations. For example, species from the Northwestern Atlantic could present a lower fecundity due to juveniles theoretically more protected, while populations from Southwestern Atlantic would compensate for the use of unprotected nurseries with a higher fecundity or growth rate. Although data are scarce for Southwestern Atlantic, the mean fecundity was 6.8 embryos (range: 6 to 8; based on 6 pregnant females analyzed by Yokota, Lessa, 2006 and Bornatowski, 2008), notably higher than the fertility calculated by Castro 1996 (3.85 embryos, based on 38 pregnant females from a protected nursery area).

Studies indicate that juveniles of *C. limbatus* remain in the nursery grounds of Northwest Atlantic for a period of two to three months (Castro, 1993; Heupel, Huetter, 2001), or even up to six months (Heupel et al., 2004). After the boom of birth in December and January, we observed a sharp drop in the abundance of the small juveniles until they disappear from the catches in March–April. This sharp decline in abundance may be due to a high mortality in this first stage of life, both natural and due to fishing. High mortality rates have been estimated for neonates of *C. limbatus* (Heupel, Simpfendorfer, 2002). Another possibility would be that juveniles in the Southwestern Atlantic tend to remain in the nursery grounds for less time, because they are theoretically unprotected nurseries.

Another issue to be addressed in future studies would be in relation to the extension of these probable nursery grounds in Brazil, checking the abundance of neonates and young-of-the-year in areas adjacent to the sampled areas. In this way, it would be possible to determine if *C. limbatus* would be using multiple areas of nursery grounds along Brazilian coast or if these points would constitute only parts of a wider pupping area. The answer to these questions, besides the elucidation of the complete reproductive cycle of *C. limbatus* in the Southwestern Atlantic, as well as the determination of its reproductive parameters, is fundamental for the creation of management measures aiming at the sustainable fishing and conservation of the species. The data presented here are preterit. Recent fisheries monitoring, as well as information obtained from local fishermen, have indicated a drastic decrease in the catch of newborns and small juveniles of *C. limbatus* in these regions, where they are caught by small-scale fisheries (see Meneses et al., 2005; Yokota, Lessa, 2006; Santander-Neto, Faria, 2020). Also, considering that sharks could not be considered as by catch mainly in Brazil (see Barreto et al., 2017), our lack of information about how industrial fisheries impact this species lead to major concern.
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