CASE REPORT

Perforation in a patient with stercoral colitis and diverticulosis: who did it?
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Stercoral colitis with perforation of the colon is an uncommon, yet life-threatening cause of the acute abdomen. No one defining symptom exists for stercoral colitis; it may present asymptotically or with vague symptoms. Diagnostic delay may result in perforation of the colon resulting in complications, even death. Moreover, stercoral perforation of the colon can also present with localized left lower quadrant abdominal pain masquerading as diverticulitis. Diverticular diseases and stercoral colitis share similar pathophysiology; furthermore, they may coexist, further complicating the diagnostic dilemma. The ability to decide the cause of perforation in a patient with both stercoral colitis and diverticulosis has not been discussed. We, therefore, report this case of stercoral perforation in a patient with diverticulosis and include a discussion of the epidemiology, clinical presentation, and a review of helpful diagnostic clues for a rapid differentiation to allow for accurate diagnosis and treatment.
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Stercoral perforation of the colon is an uncommon life-threatening cause of the acute abdomen and must be recognized promptly to ensure proper treatment. Stercoral colitis may be asymptomatic or initial symptoms may be vague. When associated with an acute abdomen, the diagnosis becomes evident with diagnostic imaging by computed tomography (CT) scan. Diagnostic delay may result in perforation of the colon with peritonitis and septic shock (1–4). The primary differential diagnostic consideration is diverticulitis in a patient with left lower quadrant pain, and if there is right lower quadrant pain, it is appendicitis (5, 6). Diverticular diseases and stercoral colitis share many pathological features (1, 2, 7, 8), and they can coexist in a patient, thus, further complicating the diagnostic dilemma. We report a case of stercoral perforation of the colon in a patient with diverticulosis.

Case report

A 55-year-old, postmenopausal woman was presented to the emergency department with a chief complaint of left lower quadrant pain, constipation, anorexia, nausea and vomiting of 4 days duration. Medical history was significant for chronic constipation, osteoarthritis, and seasonal allergies. She had a remote history of bilateral oophorectomy for benign ovarian cysts. Two years before the current admission, routine colonoscopy revealed only internal hemorrhoids. She denied smoking, drinking alcoholic beverages, or using street drugs. Her medications included calcium, vitamin D, acetaminophen, glucosamine, and chondroitin sulfate.

On physical examination, she had a pulse of 113/min, blood pressure of 108/72 mmHg, respiratory rate of 18/min, and temperature of 37°C. She had left lower quadrant distension and tenderness without rebound tenderness. The rectal examination revealed impacted stool. The remaining physical examination was unremarkable.

Laboratory studies included WBC 18,700/µL with 89.6% granulocytes, hemoglobin 13.4 g/dL, and platelet count 234,000/µL. The glucose, electrolytes, bicarbonate, lactate, and renal and liver function tests were all within normal limits. Blood cultures were obtained, which subsequently were negative. CT scan of the abdomen and pelvis...
with oral and intravenous contrast showed abundant stool in the colon, particularly in the sigmoid colon with surrounding extensive infiltrative changes, wall thickening, and 6.5 cm dilation of the colon (Fig. 1). There was sigmoid diverticulosis and multiple foci of extraluminal air (Fig. 2).

The patient was admitted to the medical service and given intravenous fluids, ciprofloxacin, and metronidazole. Oral Colace and tap water enemas were administered. On the third day of hospitalization, she complained of severe abdominal pain and had diffuse abdominal tenderness with rebound tenderness and further abdominal distension. Surgical consultation was obtained and an emergent exploratory laparotomy was performed which revealed extensive fecal peritonitis. The peritoneal cavity was thoroughly lavaged and a Hartmann procedure was performed with resection of the perforated sigmoid colon, creation of a proximal end colostomy, and closure of the rectal stump. The resected specimen was sent to the pathology department and peritoneal fluid was sent for cultures.

The pathology department received a 14.3 × 6.5 × 5.5 cm segment of colon focally covered with gray–green exudate and multiple adhesions. Gross examination showed a 2.0-cm perforation, which was 5.5 cm from one resection margin. A mucosal ulceration with an overlying fecaloma (hard localized accumulation of stool) was seen around the perforation. The mucosal surface of the colon also showed prominent pink–tan to red, congested circular folds and there were multiple diverticula. Microscopic examination showed a perforation of the colonic wall with mucosal necrosis, acute and chronic inflammation and granulation tissue (Fig. 3).

Postoperatively, the patient was continued on intravenous antibiotics. The culture of the peritoneal fluid was positive for extended-spectrum beta-lactamase negative *Escherichia Coli* sensitive to cephalosporins and carbapenems. Her postoperative course was complicated by hospital-acquired pneumonia, which was successfully treated with intravenous cefepime. The patient was discharged on day 11 and prescribed intravenous ertapenem for 7 days.

**Discussion**

Stercoral perforation of the colon was initially described by Berry in 1894 (9). Fewer than 90 cases were reported by the year 2000 (1). However, it was the cause of 3.2% of colonic perforations in one series (1) and present in 2.2% of randomly selected autopsy examinations (10). Cases of stercoral perforation of the colon are likely both under-reported and are often not recognized. With an aging population and an increase in life expectancy, there are many people who survive with debilitating conditions. Sick elderly people, bed bound or minimally active, who are on multiple medications that affect bowel motility are prone to...
constipation. Long-standing constipation and fecaloma formation in the distal colon exert persistent pressure over the bowel wall leading to ischemic necrosis of the colonic mucosa and ultimately stercoral perforation (1, 2). Old age, chronic constipation, abnormal bowel motility, and increased intraluminal colonic pressure underlie the pathogenesis of both stercoral colitis and diverticular diseases (1, 2, 7, 8). Despite some similarities in pathogenesis, the ability to differentiate the cause of perforation in a patient with both of these entities has not been addressed. The two disease processes are compared in Table 1.

Both stercoral perforation and diverticular disease commonly occur in the sigmoid colon because this is the narrowest portion of the large intestine and stool gets progressively more dehydrated as water gets absorbed along the colon. These factors all contribute to significantly increased intraluminal pressure in the sigmoid colon (1, 2, 7, 8). Fecalomas have difficulty passing the relatively narrow rectosigmoid junction. At this location, they exert prolonged localized pressure and compromise microcirculation of the bowel wall, particularly over the antimesenteric aspect where the blood supply is poor. These events culminate in stercoral ulcer formation and perforation (1, 2).

The clinical presentation of stercoral perforation and diverticulitis with or without perforation can be similar (1, 2, 4, 11). Stercoral perforation of the sigmoid colon usually presents with features of diffuse peritonitis and pneumoperitoneum (4) but can present with localized peritonitis (17–20%) (1, 2) and can masquerade as diverticulitis or diverticular perforation (5). Signs of hollow viscus perforation mandate urgent exploratory laparotomy regardless of the cause; however, identifying the cause of localized peritonitis guides appropriate management. In contrast to diverticular perforations, stercoral perforations present with the proximal colon loaded with multiple fecalomas (63%). Inflammatory and necrotic process involves a longer segment of colon beyond the area of perforation. The perforations can be multiple (21–28%) (1, 2). In selected cases of perforated diverticulitis, medical management or CT-guided percutaneous drainage is appropriate (11).

**Fig. 2.** Magnified image depicting a single focus of free air within the peritoneal cavity indicative of perforation. Peri-colonic infiltrative changes are also seen.

**Fig. 3.** Hematoxylin and eosin staining of colonic biopsy specimen showing acute and chronic inflammation.
**Table 1.** Comparison between stercoral perforation and diverticular disease

| Items                  | Stercoral perforation                                                                 | Diverticulitis/diverticular perforation                                      |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Definition**         | Perforation of the bowel due to pressure necrosis from fecal masses (2)             | Diverticulitis is the presence of inflamed diverticula with or without perforation (11) |
| **Epidemiology**       | Common in elderly (median age 60–62 years), both men (2/5th cases) and women (3/5th cases) (1, 2). 23% of patients come from nursing homes or similar environments (2). | The prevalence of diverticular disease is similar in men and women and increases with age, involving 50–70% of patients 80 years or older. 80% of patients who present with diverticulitis are 50 years or older (11). |
| **Risk factors and pathogenesis** | Chronic constipation (61–81% of cases) with fecaloma formation is considered to be the main causative factor (1, 2). Medications (narcotics, antacids, tri-cyclic antidepressants, tranquilizers, NSAIDs) and concomitant diseases (motility disorders, neurological impairment, for example, stroke, diabetic enteropathy, hypothyroidism, scleroderma) that affect bowel motility or reduce mucus production (2–4, 12–14). | Increased intraluminal pressure, decrease in tensile strength of colonic wall with aging, lack of dietary fiber, abnormal colonic motility, and possibly genetic factors contribute to the formation of diverticula at weak points where the blood vessels penetrate the bowel wall (7). Excessive rise in intradiverticular pressure either by blockage of the diverticular neck with fecal material or alternatively by exaggerated colonic segmentation leads to perforation. Impaired mucosal barrier as a result of several factors, including fiber deficiency, use of medications (NSAIDs, corticosteroids, opioid analgesics, and some antibiotics), aging, decreased physical activity, smoking, alcohol use, and excess red meat are risk factors for perforation (8). |
| **Commonest site of perforation** | Sigmoid colon and rectum (65–77%). Multiple perforation in 21–28% (1, 2).         | Sigmoid and descending colon (up to 90%) (11)                                |
| **Clinical presentation** | Peritonitis with clinical signs of perforated hollow viscus; local peritonitis or vague abdominal pain (one-fifth of cases); abdominal mass or large fecal mass palpable on rectal examination (1, 2). | Abdominal pain, typically over the left lower quadrant, associated with a variable degree of peritoneal irritation (none to generalized peritonitis) (11). |
| **Diagnosis**          | Rarely made pre-operatively except by CT scan. Abdominal radiographs show marked fecal loading in nearly all patients (1). Calcified fecaloma are a rare (21%) but a strong indicator (2). Diagnostic criteria include: round or ovoid colonic perforation, >1 cm in diameter, and antimesenteric in location; fecalomas present within the colon, protruding through the perforation site or lying within the abdominal cavity; pressure necrosis or ulceration and chronic inflammatory reaction around the perforation site on microscopic examination (1). | CT scan is the most common diagnostic modality. The presence of diverticula, inflammation of the pericolic fat or other tissues, bowel wall thickness of >4 mm, or a peridiverticular abscess strongly suggests diverticulitis (11). Colonic perforation in diverticulitis is almost always located beneath an appendix epiploica or towards the mesocolon and not at the free antimesenteric border. The diameter of the perforation rarely exceeds >1 cm (1). |
| **Histological findings** | Nonspecific. Transmural necrosis (ischemic type), large colonic perforation surrounded by necrotic or ulcerous borders, ulcer margins sharply demarcated, mononuclear cells in lamina propria, and crypt abscesses. Fat necrosis and inflammation in the surrounding pericolonic adipose tissue (1, 2, 10). | Diverticula lack muscle layer except for residual bundles of muscularis mucosae. Muscular hypertrophy, shortening of the bowel, and thickened mucosal folds are characteristic (15). Mucosal changes in diverticulitis include a dense lymphoplasmacytic infiltrate, mucin depletion, crypt abscesses, and architectural distortion, paneth cell metaplasia, and ulceration (16). |
| **Associated predominant bacteria** | Gram-negative and anaerobic organisms (e.g., *E. coli*, *Enterococcus faecalis* and *B. fragilis*) (4). | Gram-negative and anaerobic organisms (11). |
However, stercoral perforation mandates immediate surgical intervention (1, 2).

In a patient with history of constipation, local or generalized peritonitis, palpable abdominal mass, stool impaction on rectal examination, pneumoperitoneum, fecal loading, or calcified feces on abdominal radiograph, a diagnosis of stercoral perforation should be considered (2). Therefore, early CT scanning should be performed. CT scan findings of fecal impaction or fecaloma, focal thickening of colonic wall, stranding of pericolonic fat, and presence of extraluminal gas bubbles or an abscess can assist in the preoperative diagnosis of stercoral perforation with the latter three findings absent in simple fecal impaction (3). Intraoperative findings and histologic examination confirm the diagnosis of stercoral perforation. The intraoperative findings include generalized peritonitis, fecaloma formation, colonic dilatation, edema of adjacent bowel wall, and ulcerations on the antimesenteric border usually measuring 1–10 cm, which are occasionally multiple (21–28%). If frank perforation occurs, fecal material is found within the peritoneal cavity in close proximity to the perforation site (1, 2). Histopathological findings include ischemic necrosis and nonspecific inflammatory changes (1, 2, 10).

Surgical management of stercoral perforation includes open laparotomy, massive peritoneal lavage, and Hartmann’s procedure with colostomy or segmental resection with primary anastomosis and diverting colostomy. The latter can be performed in patients with limited intraperitoneal septic condition and acceptable general condition and has the advantage of simple closure of diverting colostomy in future (1, 4, 17). In patients with stercoral perforation of colon, additional stercoral ulcers initially not visible may extend over a large colonic segment, bearing the risk of second perforation (1). Substantial colonic dilation and the presence of multiple fecalomas may indicate additional stercoral ulcers (1). In fact, stercoral perforation has been reported to recur proximal to an end colostomy (18). Therefore, the management of the proximal colon should be a definitive part of the operative management (2). Intraoperatively, colon disimpaction, colonoscopy, identification of additional stercoral ulcers, and removal of entire pathologically altered or dilated colon segments may avoid second perforation and further complications. Treatment of intraabdominal sepsis can be achieved by massive peritoneal lavage, perforation control, and institution of broad-spectrum intravenous antibiotics. Peritoneal fluid culture in stercoral perforation is positive for gram-negative and anaerobic organism (e.g., Enterococcus faecalis, and B. fragilis), so patients should at least be treated with antibiotics effective against these organisms (4).

A favorable outcome in the treatment of stercoral perforation depends on adequate resuscitation, generous removal of all diseased colonic tissue, extensive peritoneal lavage, aggressive therapy to counteract peritonitis, including broad-spectrum antibiotics, and appropriate treatment of any comorbid medical condition (4).

Most importantly, fecaloma may be present for months to years, before they cause complications (2), hence, chronic constipation and fecal impaction should be managed timely and adequately to avoid potential life-threatening complications.

**Table 1** (Continued)

| Items         | Stercoral perforation                        | Diverticulitis/diverticular perforation |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Management    | Broad-spectrum antibiotics, laparotomy, massive peritoneal lavage and Hartmann’s procedure with colostomy or, segmental resection with anastomosis and diverting colostomy. The latter can be performed in patients with limited intraperitoneal septic condition and acceptable general condition and has the advantage of simple closure of diverting colostomy in future (1, 4, 17). | Depends on the severity. Mild attack: oral antibiotics (e.g., metronidazole and ciprofloxacin). Severe attack or attacks refractory to oral antibiotics: Hospitalization and intravenous antibiotics. CT-guided percutaneous drainage of abscesses >4 cm and for those failing response to conservative measures. Generalized peritonitis, uncontrolled sepsis, uncontained visceral perforation, large inaccessible abscess, lack of improvement or deterioration within 3 days of medical management: Hartmann procedure or resection with primary anastomosis in selected subset of patients (11). |
| Mortality     | 35% (surgically managed group) to nearly 100% (if managed conservatively) (2). | 12–36% among cases of diverticular perforation requiring surgical intervention (8). |

NSAID, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug.
presenting with localized or generalized peritonitis. Early recognition of stercoral perforation in patients with concomitant diverticular diseases is important as it can help the physician to decide the appropriate treatment plan.
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