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Abstract

We study cosmologies in modified theories of gravity considering Lagrangian density $f(R)$ which is a polynomial function of scalar curvature ($R$) in the Einstein-Hilbert action in vacuum. The field equation obtained from the modified action corresponding to a Robertson-Walker metric is highly non-linear and not simple enough to obtain analytic solution. Consequently we adopt a numerical technique to study the evolution of the FRW universe. A number of evolutionary phases of the universe including the present accelerating phase are found to exist in the higher derivative theories of gravity. The cosmological solutions obtained here are new and interesting. We study modified theory of gravity as a toy model to explore the past, the present and predict the future evolution. It is found that all the models analyzed here can reproduce the current accelerating phase of expansion of the universe. The duration of the present accelerating phase is found to depend on the coupling constants of the gravitational action. The physical importance of the coupling parameters those considered in the action are also discussed.
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I. INTRODUCTION:

The last couple of years witnessed a modest progress in our understanding of the observed universe because of the advent of new cosmological precision tests, capable of providing physics at very large redshifts. The luminosity curve of type Ia supernovae [1], the large scale structure [2] and the anisotropy of the CMB [3] favour a spatially flat universe. Recent decade is witnessing a paradigm shift in cosmology from speculative to experimental science due to a large number of observational inputs. The has been predicted that the present universe is passing through a phase of the cosmic acceleration. It is also believed that the universe might have emerged from an inflationary phase in the past. A large number of cosmological models were proposed in Einstein’s gravity with early inflationary scenario in the last three decades which works well. However, the recent prediction that the present universe is passing through an accelerated phase of expansion is interesting and a proper cause is yet to be understood. It is thought that the cause of the present acceleration of the universe might be due to dark energy in the universe. However, the concept of dark energy in the Einstein’s gravity with normal matter or fields cannot be implemented. Consequently it is a challenging job in the theoretical physics to frame a theory for cosmological evolution which could address the origin of dark energy also. It is known from the cosmological observations that the dark energy content of the universe is about 70% to that of the total energy budget of the universe. As mentioned earlier the usual fields available in the standard model of the particle physics are not enough to account for the huge dark energy reservoir in the universe, a modification to the Einstein’s field equation either in the gravitational or in the matter sector, therefore, is essential to accommodate the present cosmological observations. The issue of dark energy has been taken up in a gravitational theory in presence of a cosmological constant [4, 5]. However, the vacuum energy density in such a theory remains constant in the course of cosmic evolution and it is
also true that there are known contributions into vacuum energy which are several orders of magnitude greater than the allowed cosmological values. These observations led us to look for an alternative model or a new physics [6-8]. It has been proposed recently that gravity itself, if properly modified, could account for the recent cosmic acceleration [9, 10].

The standard Einstein’s gravity may be modified at low curvature by including the terms those are important precisely at low curvature. The simplest possibility is to consider a $\frac{1}{R}$-term in the Einstein’s-Hilbert action (it may originate from M-theory) [11]. Carroll et al. [12] also suggested that such a theory may be suitable to derive cosmological models with late accelerating phase. Although a theory with $\frac{1}{R}$-term in the Einstein’s gravity accounts satisfactorily the present acceleration of the universe, it is realized that inclusion of such terms in the Einstein-Hilbert action leads to instabilities [13]. Subsequently, it has been shown that further addition of a $R^2$- term [14] or $\ln(R)$ term [15] to the Einstein’s gravitational action leads to a consistent modified theory of gravity which may pass satisfactorily solar system tests, and free from instability problem. It is known that the modified gravity with a positive power of the curvature scalar (namely, $R^2$-term) [15-18] in the Einstein-Hilbert action admits early inflation. The modified gravity with negative powers of the curvature in the Einstein-Hilbert action is recently becoming popular as it might effectively behave as a dark energy candidate. Consequently the theory might satisfactorily describe the recent cosmic acceleration [9-11]. So it is reasonable to explore a theory which could accommodate an inflationary scenario of the early universe and an accelerating phase of expansion at late time followed by a matter dominated phase. As a result modified theory of gravity which contains both positive and negative powers of the curvature scalar ($R$) namely, $f(R) = R + \alpha R^m + \beta \frac{1}{R^n}$ where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ represent coupling constants with arbitrary constants $m$ and $n$ are considered for exploring cosmological models. It is known that the term $R^m$ dominates and it permits power law inflation if $1 < m \leq 2$, in the large
curvature limit. It may be mentioned here that an inflationary scenario driven by vacuum trace anomaly which corresponds to \( m = 2 \) and \( \beta = 0 \) was first obtained by Starobinsky [17] for describing early inflation. Recently, in the low curvature limit, a number of \( f(R) \) models have been proposed in order to accommodate universe with late acceleration using a modified gravity namely, \( f(R) = R - \frac{\lambda}{R^n} \) with \( n > 0 \) [11, 12]. In the metric approach, it was shown that the model is not suitable because it does not permit a matter era [19]. Recently, it is known that modified gravity namely, \( f(R) = R + \alpha R^m \) is also not cosmologically viable because it does not permit a consistent scenario accommodating a matter dominated era at late time. It is found that instead of matter era, one ends up with a radiation era (\( a(t) \sim \sqrt{t} \)). On the other hand, \( R^m \) model permits matter dominated universe (\( a(t) \sim t^{2/3} \)) but it fails to connect to a late accelerating phase. In Ref. [19], it was shown that the models of the type where Lagrangian density, \( f(R) = R - \frac{\lambda}{R^n} \) with \( n > 0 \) and \( f(R) = \alpha R^m \) with \( m \neq 1 \) are not viable for a realistic cosmological scenario as they do not permit matter epoch although late acceleration can be realized [20]. Recently, modified gravity with power law in \( R \), i.e., \( f(R) \) -gravity is examined and found that a large class of models including \( R^m \) model does not permit matter dominated universe. Capozziello et al. [21] criticized the claim made in Ref. [19]. Tsujikawa [22] derived observational signature of \( f(R) \) dark energy models that satisfy cosmological and local gravity constraints fairly well. The modified \( f(R) \)-gravity is found to be consistent with realistic cosmology in some cases [23]. However, no definite physical criteria known so far to select a particular kind of theory capable of matching the data at all scales. However, modified gravity namely, \( f(R) \sim e^R; \) or \( \log R \) may be useful to build a viable cosmological model as they permit a matter dominated phase before an accelerating phase of expansion. The motivation of the paper is to obtain cosmological solutions considering non-linear terms in \( R \) in the Einstein-Hibert action. We explore different phases of expansion of the universe
from early era to the present and would like to understand the future evolution in the framework of higher derivative gravity. The corresponding field equation obtained from the above gravitational action is a fourth order differential equation of the scale factor of the universe \( a(t) \). As the field equation is highly non-linear and not simple enough to obtain an analytic solution we adopt here a numerical technique to solve it. Our approach here is similar to that adopted earlier in Ref. [24] which was recently employed by two of us in Ref. [25]. In this approach the field equations are first expressed in terms of two functions namely, deceleration parameter \( (q) \) and Hubble parameter \( (H) \) and its derivatives respectively, which are then solved numerically.

The plan of the paper is as follows: in sec.II, we set up the relevant field equations in the modified theory of gravity, in sec. III, cosmological evolutions are predicted in different models depending upon the coupling parameters of the action adopting numerical technique. Finally in sec.IV, we summarize the results obtained.

II. THE MODEL:

We consider a gravitational action with non-linear terms in the scalar curvature \( (R) \) which is given by

\[
I = -\int \left[ \frac{1}{2} f(R) + L_m \right] \sqrt{-g} \, d^4x \tag{1}
\]

where \( 8\pi G = c = 1 \), \( g \) is the determinant of the four dimensional metric and \( R \) is the scalar curvature. Here \( f(R) \) is a function of \( R \) and its higher power and \( L_m \) represents the matter Lagrangian. Variation of the action \( (1) \) with respect to the metric yields

\[
G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}^c + T_{\mu\nu}^M, \tag{2}
\]

where \( T_{\mu\nu}^M \) represents the contribution from matter fields scaled by a factor of \( \frac{1}{f(R)} \) and \( T_{\mu\nu}^c \) denotes the contribution that originates from the curvature to the effective stress energy.
Here, $T^c_{\mu\nu}$ is actually given by

$$T^c_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{f'(R)} \left[ \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} (f(R) - R f'(R)) + f'(R) g^{\alpha\beta} (g_{\mu\alpha} g_{\nu\beta} - g_{\mu\nu} g_{\alpha\beta}) \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where prime represents the derivative with respect to the Ricci scalar $(R)$. We are interested to study the role of the geometry alone in driving cosmological evolution in this paper, so we set $L_m = 0$ which leads to $T^M_{\mu\nu} = 0$ in the subsequent sections. The flat Robertson-Walker spacetime is given by

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t) \left[ dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta \, d\phi^2) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $a(t)$ is the scale factor of the universe. Using the metric (4) in the field eqs. (2) (see also Ref. [24]) we obtain

$$3 \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = \frac{1}{f'} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (f - R f') - 3 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \ddot{R} f'' \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$2 \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = - \frac{1}{f'} \left[ 2 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \dddot{R} f'' + \dddot{R} f'' + \dddot{R}^2 f''' - \frac{1}{2} (f - R f') \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where an over dot indicates derivative with respect to the cosmic time $t$. The scalar curvature is given by

$$R = - 6 \left( \frac{\dot{a}}{a} + \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

The Ricci scalar $R$ involves second order time derivative of the scale factor $a$. As the eq. (6) contains $\ddot{R}$ terms, one actually has a system of fourth order differential equations of scale factor. In the next sections we consider modified gravity with $f(R)$ of the forms:

(A) $f(R) = R + \alpha R^2 - \frac{\mu}{R}$,  \hspace{1cm} (B) $f(R) = R + b \ln(R)$ and  \hspace{1cm} (C) $f(R) = R + m \, e^{-nR}$

to explore cosmic evolution. In the above, $\mu$, $\alpha$, $b$, $m$ and $n$ are constants and $\mu$ has a dimension of $R^{\frac{1}{2}}$ [26] i.e. that of $(\text{time})^{-1}$, $\alpha$ has a dimension of $R^{-1}$ i.e. $(\text{time})^2$.

### III. COSMOLOGICAL SOLUTIONS


Using eqs. (5) and (6) we obtain

\[
\dot{H} = \frac{1}{2 f} \left[ (H \ddot{R} - \dot{R}) f'' - \dot{R}^2 f''' \right],
\]

(8)

where \( H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \) is the Hubble parameter. As both \( R \) and \( H \) are functions of \( a \) and its derivatives, eq. (8) is highly non-linear and a differential equation of fourth order in scale factor \( (a(t)) \). It is not simple to determine analytic solution of the scale factor of the universe with cosmic time in closed functional form. Hence adopt numerical technique to study the behaviour of the cosmological models based on the parameters of the modified gravitational action. For simplicity we consider \( f(R) \) of three different forms in the next sections.

Case A:

In this case we consider modified gravitational action where \( f(R) \) is given by

\[
f(R) = R + \alpha R^2 - \frac{\mu^4}{R}.
\]

(9)

The corresponding field eq. (8) becomes

\[
\dot{H} = \frac{1}{1 + 2\alpha R + \frac{\mu^4}{R^2}} \left[ \mu^4 \left( \frac{\ddot{R}}{R} - \frac{H \dot{R}}{R} - \frac{3 \dot{R}^2}{R^2} \right) + \alpha (H \ddot{R} - \dot{R}) \right].
\]

(10)

The above equation is highly non-linear, however, one can obtain asymptotic solutions corresponding to different epoch which are

(i) an exponential expansion \( a(t) \sim e^{H_0 t} \) is permitted when \( q = -1 \) in the early era,

(ii) an accelerating universe with \( a(t) \sim t^2 \) at a later epoch admitting \( q = -\frac{1}{2} \). The variation of \( q \) with \( a(t) \) in linear evolutionary and exponential phase are shown in figs.1 and 2 respectively.

Now using deceleration parameter \( (q) \)

\[
q = -\frac{\ddot{a}}{a^2} = -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} - 1,
\]

(11)
Figure 1: shows the plot of $q$ Vs $a(t)$ in the unit of $ln(t)$.

Figure 2: shows the plot of $H$ with $t$ for different $D$. 
one can study the evolution of the universe numerically. Since, $q$ is a function of $H$ and its derivative, we can re-write the eq. (10) in terms of a second order differential equation in $q$ and $H$ to begin with. Since $q$ contains terms with $\ddot{a}$, one can replace terms with fourth order derivative of the scale factor in eq. (10) by $\ddot{q}[H]$. The functions $q$ and $H$ are, however, not independent. The time derivatives in the above equations may now be replaced by the derivatives with respect to $H$ using eq. (11). We get the following non-linear differential equation

$$q'' + u(q, H)q^2 + v(q, H)q' + w(q, H) = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where

$$u(q, H) = -\frac{(2q + 4)\mu^4 + 216\alpha(q - 1)^4H^6}{(q^2 - 1)[\mu^4 - 216\alpha(q - 1)^3H^6]},$$

$$v(q, H) = -\frac{(4q + 7)\mu^4 + 216\alpha(8q + 5)(q - 1)^3H^6}{(q + 1)H[\mu^4 - 216\alpha(q - 1)^3H^6]},$$

$$w(q, H) = \frac{(q - 1)[3\mu^4(2q + 1) + 1296\alpha(q + 1)(q - 1)^3H^6 - 36(q - 1)^2H^4]}{(q + 1)H^2[\mu^4 - 216\alpha(q - 1)^3H^6]},$$

where the prime indicates a differentiation w.r.t. $H$ and the functions $u$, $v$ and $w$ depend on $\alpha$ and $\mu^4$. The above equation, although highly nonlinear, is a second order differential equation in $q$. Here both $q$ and $H$ are time dependent and cannot be solved exactly to obtain a known functional form. We solve it numerically in the next section following the approach adopted in Ref. [24]. As $\frac{1}{H}$ is a measure of the age of the universe and $H$ is a monotonically decreasing function of the cosmic time, eq. (12) may be used to study qualitatively the evolution of the universe in terms of $q$. Since eq. (12) is a second order differential equation, to solve it numerically we assume two initial conditions (here, $q[H]$ and $q'[H]$), for a given value of $H$. We choose units so that $H_o$, the present value of $H$, is unity and pick up sets of values of $q$ and $q'$ for $H = 1$ (i.e. the present values) from the observationally consistent region [5]. We plot $q$ with $H$ for different configuration of the
system. As the inverse of $H$ gives us an estimate for the cosmic age, future evolution is understood from the region $H < 1$ and the past from $H > 1$ in the $(q-H)$-plane. Since the present universe is accelerating, we use a negative $q$ at the present epoch, $H = H_0 = 1$ and the universe has entered into this $q$ negative phase (i.e., acceleration) of expansion, only in the recent past. The model may be used to predict also the future course of the evolution of the universe. We note the following:

(i) For a given value of $\alpha$ and $\mu$, the variation of $q$ with $H$ is shown in fig. 3. We choose $\alpha = 2, \mu^4 = 12$. In the graph time increases from right to left along the horizontal $H$-axis. The upper half of the $H$-axis represents decelerating phase and the lower half represents the accelerating phase of the universe. It is evident that the universe entered into the present accelerating phase in the recent past, the rate of acceleration will increase further which will attain a maximum thereafter it decreases. There will be slowing down of the cosmic acceleration leading to an epoch when the universe expands without acceleration (which is transient and occurs at $H = 0.375$) followed by another phase of expansion. In this case the expansion of the universe will be accelerating once again. The universe transits from decelerating to accelerating phase at $H = 1.36$. We note that, in this case, the universe remains in the accelerating phase once it transits from the decelerating phase.

(ii) For a given set of values of $\alpha$ and $\mu$ we plot $q$ vs. $H$ for different initial values of $q'[1]$ with $q[1] = -0.05$, when $\mu^4 = 12$ and $\alpha = 2$, the curves are shown in fig. 4. It is evident that the universe entered into the accelerating phase in the recent past followed by another phase of deceleration. The duration for which the universe transits from the present accelerating phase depends on the initial values of $q'[1]$, and the duration increases with increasing initial values of $q'[1]$. We note the following (i) for $q'[1] = 1$ the universe transits from deceleration to acceleration at $H = 1.43$ and acceleration to deceleration at $H = 0.587$; (ii) as initial value of $q'$ is increased, the critical point shifts toward left, i.e.
Figure 3: shows the plot of $q$ with $H$ for $\mu^4 = 12$ and $\alpha = 2$. Here we choose the initial conditions as $q[1] = -0.5$, $q'[1] = 1.655$.

Figure 4: shows the plot of $q$ with $H$ for different initial conditions $q'[1] = 1$, $q'[1] = 1.2$, $q'[1] = 1.5$ with $q[1] = -0.5$. 

occurs at a later epoch.

(iii) We plot $q$ vs. $H$ for different values of $\alpha$ using $q[1] = -0.5$, $q'[1] = 1.2$ and $\mu^4 = 12$ which is shown in fig. 5. The figure shows how the nature of the curves depend on the initial conditions and that there will be another sign change of $q$, in the near future. It is evident that the universe transits from decelerated phase to accelerated phase only in the recent past ($H = 1.4$). The universe may transit again to a decelerating phase followed by another accelerating phase if $\alpha > 0.815$ otherwise it will be always in the accelerating phase. However, the rate of acceleration depends on the coupling constant $\alpha$. It is evident that as the values of $\alpha$ is increased the corresponding duration of present accelerating
Figure 5: shows the plot of $q$ with $H$ for different value of $\alpha$ ($a$ represents $\alpha$). Here we take $\mu^4 = 12$ and choose the initial conditions as $q[1]= -0.5$, $q'[1]=1.2$.

phase will get reduced, however, the late decelerating phase in future will be enhanced. The universe in the remote future once again might enter into an accelerating phase as there will be one more sign flip in $q$. The plot for $\alpha = 0.815$ is interesting as it decides whether the universe will have another phase of acceleration or not.

(iv) The variation of $q$ with $H$ for different values of coupling constant $\mu^4$ is shown in fig. 6 for $\alpha = 2$. It is evident that if inverse Ricci scalar term in the action is absent, then it permits a universe which transits from a decelerating phase to an accelerating phase in the recent past ($H = 1.4$) allowing a further sign flip in $q$ leading to a transition from accelerating to decelerating phase only. However, for $\mu^4 \neq 0$, an interesting evolutionary behaviour of a universe with three sign flips of $q$ leading to a universe from accelerating to decelerating followed by a phase decelerating to accelerating and in future deceleration to acceleration might happen. However, the rate of acceleration changes with $\mu$. As $\mu^4$ increases, the period of deceleration to another acceleration in recent future will decrease and finally period vanishes at $\mu^4 = 26.46$.

Special Case: We consider here $\alpha = 0$ i.e., $f(R) = R - \frac{\mu^4}{R}$. Equation (12) now takes
Figure 6: shows the plot of $q$ with $H$ for different values of $\mu^4$ (shown by $m^4$) with $\alpha = 2$ and choose the initial conditions as $q[1] = -0.5$, $q'[1] = 1.2$.

the form

$$q'' - \frac{2q + 4}{q^2 - 1} q' - \frac{(4q + 7)}{(q + 1)H} q' - \frac{3(q - 1)(2q + 1)}{(q + 1)H^2} + \frac{36(q - 1)^3H^2}{\mu^4(q + 1)} = 0. \quad (13)$$

This case was considered earlier by Das et al. [24]. However, the equation (see eq. (12) in Ref. [24]) considered by them is not correct as some of the terms are missing. Consequently the $q$ vrs. $H$ curve shown in fig. 7 here is found to differ significantly allowing more phases of expansion. We note that the universe in the past may have started from a constant decelerating phase transits to an accelerating phase thereafter once again because of sign flip in $q$, the universe may transit to a decelerating phase. It has been shown for $\mu^4 = 0.01$ that in future the universe may attain a constant decelerating phase and thereafter there will be a sign flip of $q$ once again. As $\mu^4$ is increased the corresponding duration of the present accelerating phase increases. For smaller values in $\mu$ the accelerating phase becomes shorter. However, there are epoch when $q = 1$ is attained before and after the present phase of acceleration. In all the cases universe will end up with an acceleration having $q = -0.5$. As $\mu^4$ increases, the time at which the universe transits from deceleration to acceleration occur at an earlier time and the acceleration to deceleration transition occur at late time. The universe once again transits from deceleration to acceleration and this will occur
Figure 7: shows the plot of $q$ versus $H$ for $f(R) = R - \frac{\mu^4}{R}$ for different value of $\mu^4$ (shown by $m^4$). Here we choose the initial conditions as $q[1]=-0.5$, $q'[1]=1.2$. earlier as $\mu^4$ is increased.

**Case B :**

In this case we consider higher derivative theory much discussed in recent times which has the form namely, $f(R) = R + b \ln(R)$, to look for a physically relevant cosmological model. The relevant differential equation obtained from the field equation is given by

$$q'' - \frac{q + 3}{q^2 - 1} q' - \frac{3}{(q + 1)H} q' + \frac{2(q - 1)^2}{(q + 1)} \left[ \frac{6}{b} - \frac{1}{H^2} \right] = 0. \quad (14)$$

The above equation is highly nonlinear, consequently we adopt numerical technique to study the evolution of the universe. Here we look for the evolution for different values of the coupling parameter $b$ in the action. The curves in fig. 8 are plotted for different coupling constants $b$ which are interesting. We note that the universe transits from decelerating phase to an accelerating phase in the recent past which will enter into decelerating phase once again in future. For $b < 0$ the duration for accelerating phase is found to be shorter than that for $b > 0$. However, for $b$ positive the duration of the accelerating phase will be longer if $b$ is smaller. In the case of negative $b$, the universe is found to land up at a maximum possible acceleration at the present epoch, thereafter the rate of acceleration will decrease and consequently transits to decelerating phase once again. For $b > 0$, the
Figure 8: shows the plot of $q$ versus $H$ for $f(R) = R + b \ln R$ for different value of $b$ with $q[1]=-0.5$, $q'[1]=1$.

maximum rate of expansion will be achieved in near future.

**Case C:**

In this case we consider gravitational action with $f(R) = R + m e^{-nR}$. Consequently, using eq. (8), we obtain the following differential equation

\[
q'' + \frac{1 - 6n(q + 1)H^2}{q + 1} q^2 + \frac{8q + 5 - 24n(q^2 - 1)H^2}{(q + 1)H} q' + \frac{2(q - 1)(3q + 4)}{(q + 1)H^2} - 24n(q - 1)^2 - \frac{1}{3n^2m(q + 1)H^4} \left[e^{nR} - n m \right] = 0.
\] (15)

The equation is highly non-linear, we adopt numerical technique to solve it as was done in earlier sections. We note the following:

(i) The variation of $q$ with $H$ for for different values of $n$ is shown in the fig.9. It is evident that the time of transition of the universe from decelerating to accelerating phase depends on $n$. As $n$ is increased, the time at which universe transits from decelerating to accelerating phase is nearer to the present epoch. Also, the duration of the present accelerating phase decreases as $n$ decreases. As $n$ increases, the duration of deceleration to once again deceleration increases.

(ii) We plot $q$ vrs. $H$ for different values of $m$ taking $n = 0.1$ which is shown in fig. 10. The curves show that the universe at the present epoch entered from decelerating to
acceleration and it will switch over to decelerating phase once again in future. The smaller values of $m$ leads to a shorter duration of the present accelerating phase.

From $(q - H)$ curve as drawn in fig. 4, we obtain sufficient data set from the numerical plot using Mathematica [27]. Those numerical values may be used to find a closed analytic mathematical structure for $q$ and $H$ which can be determined using a polynomial function given by $q = \Sigma a_i H^i$. We now explore for the mathematical function for $q(H)$ corresponding to fig. 4 with initial value $q'[1] = 1.2$. The corresponding approximate analytic function may be expressed as

$$q[H] = 45.06381 - 515.24544H + 2478.58763H^2 - 6555.42602H^3 + 10556.26293H^4$$
IV. DISCUSSION:

In this paper we obtain cosmological solutions in higher derivative theories of gravity. We explore different phases of expansion that are permitted in the higher derivative theories of gravity without matter as a toy model. Since the field equations obtained from the action are highly non-linear it is not simple to obtain analytic solution in a closed form. We adopt numerical technique to understand the present and future evolution of the universe here. The relevant field equations corresponding to modified gravitational theories are rewritten in terms of two parameters (i) Hubble parameter (H) and (ii) deceleration parameter (q) and its derivatives. We plot q vs. H for different values of the coupling constants of the
higher derivative gravitational actions namely, (A) $\alpha$, $\mu$; (B) $b$ and (C) $m$ and $n$ in section III to investigate present and future evolution of the universe. It is found that all the modified theories of gravity lead to a scenario which accommodate the present accelerating phase where the universe transits from a decelerating phase. In some cosmological models we note that the universe might once again transit from the present accelerating phase to another decelerating phase. In these models one can determine the duration of the present accelerating phase in terms of coupling parameters in the gravitational action. In figs. (1-10), it is evident that the present accelerating phase of the universe once again will change into a decelerating phase. In sections fig. (5-7), new cases are observed where the universe from the present accelerating phase transits to a decelerating phase and then the decelerating phase again changes to an accelerating phase which finally ends up at $q = -1/2$ independent of the initial values of the parameter $\mu^4$. Another interesting case is evident in figs. (1, 3, 4) where the present rate of acceleration of the universe will decrease and attains zero value, where the universe will grow linearly with time as $a(t) \sim t$ for a sufficient time duration. Thereafter the universe may once again enter into an accelerating phase with an increasing rate of expansion. A detailed study with matter in the modified gravity will be taken up elsewhere.
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