Abstract: Understanding the response to viral infection in the context of respiratory diseases is of significant importance. Recently, there has been more focus on the role of the nasal epithelium in disease modeling. Here, we provide an overview of different submerged, organotypic 3D and spheroid cell culture models of nasal epithelial cells, which were used to study asthma and allergy with a special focus on virus infection. In detail, this review summarizes the importance, benefits, and disadvantages of patient-derived cell culture models of nasal- and bronchial epithelial cells, including a comparison of these cell culture models and a discussion on why investigators should consider using nasal epithelial cells in their research. Exposure experiments, simple virus transduction analyses as well as genetic studies can be performed in these models, which may provide first insights into the complexity of molecular signatures and may open new doors for drug discovery and biomarker research.
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1. Introduction

Asthma remains of the most important airway diseases worldwide, being associated with a significant number of people suffering from this illness [1–3]. The immunology of asthma is composed of distinct molecular pathways involving cytokines, chemokines,
and transcription factors that orchestrate the signaling between innate and adaptive immunity [2,4,5]. Distinct allergy/asthma cohorts (including cohorts from birth on) have been previously established and studies in these cohorts performed with the common aim of understanding the clinical and molecular determinants of childhood asthma and allergies [6–10].

Viral infections can contribute to asthma development and allergic sensitization [11,12]. Previous studies on respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) have shown that children with prior RSV bronchiolitis who presented with chronic persistent symptoms had an increased risk for allergic sensitization and asthma [13,14]. Patients with underlying diseases, i.e., children with chronic bronchitis or bronchial asthma, are additionally more susceptible to severe symptoms due to viral infection [15,16]. In particular, RSV, rhinovirus, influenza virus, bocavirus, but also adenoviruses and coronavirus may play a role not only in exacerbation but also in disease modeling in asthmatics [17–22]. To understand the pathogenesis of respiratory illness due to viruses, to analyze the actual diagnostic standards, or to develop novel treatment options for patients suffering from asthma, it is essential to study the molecular effects of such viruses in more detail.

Cell culture models are indispensable in precisely answering these questions [23,24]. In particular, primary human nasal epithelial and bronchial epithelial cells enable the study of important molecular characteristics of viral infection and have been extensively used to reveal defining features of numerous viruses, including the recently emergent coronavirus, SARS-CoV-2 [25–28]. For the implementation of such experiments, observations from cell cultures (e.g., submerged, organotypic, spheroids, chip-based methods, organoids, etc.) derived from normal and diseased patients may be important to study the molecular role of viruses and to define potential “viral biomarkers” for clinical routine. Considering the importance of and the lack of data regarding nasal epithelial cells, with this review, we aim to discuss the necessity of cell culture models of nasal epithelial cells in respiratory research with a special focus on viral infection in asthma, presenting our experiences and summarizing the advantages and pitfalls when working with this cell group.

2. A General Overview on Cell Culture Techniques in Respiratory Medicine

Since the beginnings of scientific research, significant effort has been dedicated towards keeping cells alive ex vivo [29]. The first immortal human cell line, originating from the cervical carcinoma of Henrietta Lacks (HeLa cells, albeit without the direct consent of Miss Lacks) was an enormous breakthrough and success for experimental medicine [30,31]. Increasingly, many immortal cell lines as well as primary mammalian cells find an important place in the laboratory. Submerged cell cultures of primary bronchial epithelial cells are often used to perform in vitro experiments related to the respiratory system, e.g., testing the effects of monoclonal antibodies, but also of air pollution, diesel, and allergens [22,32,33]. The main disadvantage of this submerged culture model is that the cells lose their ciliated phenotype and do not replicate human respiratory physiology, in fact [34–37]. Since the early 1970s, studies utilizing bronchial epithelial cell cultivation techniques have been of great significance for researchers [38–40]. Moreover, researchers have now developed new culturing methods that more closely mimics the human physiology [41]. The air–liquid interface (ALI) culture model is one of the first important cell culture technique in respiratory research, where mucociliary differentiation and mucus production in vitro can be achieved [41–44]. In brief, cells are grown on semipermeable, transwell filters (=cell culture inserts) which are solely exposed to the culture medium from the basolateral surface and the apical surface of the cells are exposed to the air, which enables cells to develop a “pseudostratified morphology” [22,45–47]. This work builds on the historically significant groundwork established by Whitcutt and colleagues, who developed the “Whitcutt chamber” for the cultivation of respiratory epithelial cells in 1987. Here, a chamber with a special membrane and a c-like ring were used to similar effect as the current ALI model [48].
Efforts are currently aimed at achieving maximal physiological conditions that accurately recapitulate molecular responses in vivo. Certainly, so-called 3D cultures represent an important milestone in experimental research [49,50]. Animal experiments can provide important molecular answers to some clinical translational questions [51,52]. However, due to the fact that human airway physiology and lung diseases such as asthma cannot be perfectly imitated in animal models, e.g., in mice [53], 3D models represent an important methodology in respiratory research to pursue potential translational hypotheses and drug response studies [54,55]. Moreover, classical cell cultures are typically established from one cell line or cell population although the infectious process involves many cell subsets in vivo [56]. Here, tissue engineering/3D models may overcome this limitation [56]. Hence, the common goal of 3D-cultures is that cell aggregates embedded in a tight space, e.g., in matrices or “scaffold” materials (e.g., the growth on agar, gelatin, collagen, etc.), develop so-called collective integrity, in which cells communicate closely with each other beyond external (constant change of cell culture media) or internal (material of cell culture flasks) confounding factors [37,49,57–59]. Furthermore, spheroid cultures represent an additional method for the rapid application of 3D-cultures and may also be prepared from nasal epithelial cells [60]. While bronchial- and nasal epithelial cells may dedifferentiate when seeded as a monolayer in flasks, 3D-spheroids seeded in suspension medium do not actually suffer from this limitation [61–65]. In addition to ALI [41,45,66,67], bronchial- and nasal epithelial spheroid cultures [62,68,69], further 3D-models [54], precision cut lung slices [70,71], bronchial rings [72,73], and scaffold-based models [49,74–76] as well as organoids [77,78] etc. have been already established from lung and nasal epithelium to this end. Interestingly, organ-like tissue structures can be also developed from pluripotent stem cells, which are currently referred to as mini-organs or organoids. These are self-organizing 3D-models for extended period culturing, enabling the study of disease modeling in dishes through their similar in vivo morphology and physiology [79–82].

In summary, in review of the literature, bronchial epithelial but also increasingly nasal epithelial cell culture models are essential for respiratory research [78,83–85]. The work with spheroids and organoids, particularly after infection, could provide a closer look into the molecular response, which has not yet to be fully explored.

3. Bronchial Epithelial versus Nasal Epithelial Cell Culture: Benefits and Drawbacks

Although culturing primary mammalian cells derived from patients is established in the platforms mentioned above, the collection of bronchial epithelial cells is more difficult to implement in practice compared to nasal epithelial cells [86,87]. Generally, healthy children and adolescents do not routinely undergo invasive bronchoscopic interventions. Particularly in young children, these examinations are reserved, for example, for foreign body aspiration, or to clarify chronic cough due to lung pathology, hemoptysis, or suspected neoplasia [88–90]. Indeed, based on our experiences, patients requiring bronchoscopic examinations are mostly elderly patients with a longstanding medical history. Thus, compared to healthy cells, these cells may have a different morphology that can significantly influence the experimental outcomes. For example, a 60-year-old patient with a chronic nicotine abuse or a chronic lung disease will certainly show a different cell morphology than that of a healthy 2-year-old child with a no complex medical history.

Although a few authors have previously described the possibility of performing bronchial brushing in patients [91,92], there must nevertheless be an important indication to perform invasive examinations including bronchoscopy. Additionally these tests are associated with great medical effort as well as significant risk of complications during the procedure [93]. Moreover, the sampling from patients with, e.g., severe asthma, is extremely difficult to implement through bronchoscopy [93]. It is generally known that it is difficult to recruit a homogenous patient group to exclude inter- and intraindividual differences between subjects. To exclude potential bias, an appropriate study design should be prepared accordingly [54]. Commercially purchased cells from deceased individuals are an alternative possibility; but death itself may be associated with different molecular
mechanisms resulting again in decreased similarity to the in vivo reality. In addition, in the immortal cell lines that can be commercially purchased [87], the question arises whether these cells present an in vivo like response similar to that of pediatric patients with viral infection and exacerbated asthma.

As a potential alternative to bronchial epithelial cells, nasal epithelial cells can be obtained from children and adolescents with exacerbated asthma or chronic bronchitis as well as from healthy children and adults through nasal brushing procedure without significant medical interventional effort or invasiveness [22,86,94]. Nasal brushing also offers the additional benefit of longitudinal collection of epithelial cells over different time points [93]. Furthermore, nasal epithelial cells do not mostly need to undergo any enzymatic digestion prior culturing [93]. Thus, cells can be directly seeded for experimental analyses, e.g., in submerged cultures/monolayers in flasks [93]. The downside to this, however, is that the cell pellets may also include other cell types (e.g., fibroblasts, erythrocytes, etc.). Thus, a flow cytometric characterization of the cells, for example, should be performed to determine the purity of the cultures. Based on previous flow cytometry protocols characterizing bronchial epithelial cells, antibodies targeting CD45, CD326 and Pan-Cytokeratin can be used to determine the purity of the nasal epithelial cells [22,95]. If the culture consists of multiple cell types, the nasal epithelial cells can be also sorted with a fluorescence-activated cell sorter, and a few days thereafter, basic exposure-to-effect analyses or co-culture experiments can be easily performed. For example, to study the permissiveness of nasal epithelial cells for virus transduction, Figure 1 exemplifies a submerged culture of primary nasal epithelial cells infected with a reporter gene-expressing adenovirus.

Air–liquid interface and spheroid cell cultures can be also prepared from nasal epithelial cells and provide the possibility of analyzing the effects of external stimuli on cell–cell integrity and/or on cell–matrix interaction [80,98]. An overview of the workflow for obtaining, culturing, and analyzing nasal epithelial cells is provided in Figure 2, while Figure 3 shows different types of cultures derived from nasal epithelial cells.

The derivation of these differentiated models with nasal epithelial cultures share many of the same downsides as bronchial epithelial cultures including similar culture conditions [66,94,99–101], for example, growth arrest [45,101,102], sensitivity to trypsin [41,100,101,103,104], risk of contamination [41,66,101,104,105] and a multi-week differentiation process [42,66,101], as well as high cost. Recently, Broadbent and colleagues tested different cell culture media using Promocell and PneumaCult media and analyzed the effects of RSV in primary nasal epithelial cells [106]. They showed that there were no significant differences in the viral response in vitro using both cell culture media. When using PneumaCult, the number of the pseudostratified cells was higher than using Promocell [106]. Furthermore, Luengen et al. (2020) [107] compared different cell culture media to study the mucociliary differentiation of respiratory epithelial cells in their entire cohort. They included cells from subjects in the age range of 10 to 40 years (excluding one subjects being between 50 and 60 years of age) and tested four different cell culture media including (1) mAir, which is a modified version of AECBM (purchased from PromoCell), DMEM and different supplements, (2) PneumaCult-ALI from STEMCELL Technologies, furthermore, (3) Epi was prepared using MucilAir culture medium (purchased from Epithelix) with tranexamic acid and (4) EMM was prepared using MucilAir Culture medium, EGM2 an tranexamic acid. For the detailed media prescription, we kindly refer to [107]. They interestingly concluded that the mAir media from PromoCell provided better ciliary differentiation than other three cell culture media. The authors also discuss a significant subject-variability in their study protocol, and a potential age- and clinical history-dependency [107]. Generally speaking, nasal and bronchial epithelial cells present very similar morphology and histology, among other similarities [68,94].
Figure 1. The submerged culture of nasal epithelial cells transduced with adenovirus. The cultivation of nasal epithelial cells derived from a healthy subject from our pediatric exacerbation study cohort [96]. Nasal epithelial cells were seeded in an amount of 20,000 cells per well and resuspended in BEGM™ medium (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) in collagen-coated 24-well culture plates prior to virus transduction. The next day, previously established recombinant adenovirus type 5 encoding green fluorescent protein [97] was added in different virus particle concentrations (vpc) (e.g., $1 \times 10^8$ vpc), incubated for one day upon viral transduction, and the transduction efficiency was analyzed through immunofluorescence microscopy. The subfigures (a, b) represent virus transduction efficiencies in nasal epithelial cells directly correlating with virus transduction rates. The picture (c) shows the nasal epithelial cells without viral transduction (untreated, wildtype cells). This experiment was separately performed for this manuscript, correlates thematically with [22], and was not previously published elsewhere.
As early as 1990, Devalia et al. [94] compared bronchial- and nasal epithelial cells. They were able to show that nasal epithelial cells have the same morphology and similar in vitro cell culture growth and ciliary activity as bronchial epithelial cells, and that both cell populations are suitable for airway tract studies [94]. In their study, Roberts and colleagues [108] analyzed the molecular effects of human rhinovirus as well in-vitro-IL-13 treatment in nasal and bronchial epithelial cells in submerged and ALI cultures. Based on their results, the two cell populations presented an almost identical response, so that the authors concluded that nasal epithelial cells may be a potential laboratory surrogate for bronchial epithelial cells. Thus, due to a few significant benefits of nasal epithelial cells over bronchial epithelial cells, nasal epithelial cells will increasingly play a large role in research laboratories.

**Figure 2.** An overview of the workflow for analyzing primary human nasal epithelial cells. (a) After careful collection of the nasal epithelial cells from the nasal cavity using a cytology or interdental brush, cells are transferred to a 15 mL tube and shaken several times. The brush is then removed, and the cells are centrifuged. The cells are checked for viability using a Neubauer counting chamber using trypan blue or Türk’s solution (purchased from Sigma Aldrich). If necessary, erythrocytolysis can be also performed separately. (b) The cells are then seeded into collagen-coated T25/T75 flasks in, for example, BEGM™ medium. After two passages, flow cytometric characterization of the cells can be performed if needed. If the cultures lack purity, separation by Magnetic-activated cell sorting (MACS) or Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) can be also performed (usually these steps are not necessary). Afterwards the nasal epithelial cells are either transferred into air–liquid interface cultures (ALI) (approximately 9–11 × 10⁴ cells per 1.12 cm² or 2–3 × 10⁴ cells per 0.33 cm² cell culture inserts = transwells), or directly seeded in submerged cultures. For certain questions, nasal epithelial cells can be also seeded for spheroid cultures (circa 1000 cells per U-bottom well). (c) Different provocation experiments or co-cultures can be performed with the cultures (here schematic presentation for ALI cultures) and subsequently, the morphology of the cells can be studied through histology and electron microscopy [22]. By means of transepithelial electrical resistance measurement, the integrity of the cell–cell interaction can be measured, or the permissiveness can be investigated through immunofluorescence microscopic analysis. This content of this figure correlates thematically with [22] and was not previously published elsewhere. This figure was created with www.biorender.com (accessed on 27 February 2021).
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Figure 3. The morphology of nasal epithelial cells. (a) Scanning electron microscopic imaging of organotypic 3D nasal epithelial cell cultures derived from young children with asthma or healthy subjects (left top: ×4.00 K; left bottom: ×9.45K, in different sizes). These images show the morphology of the nasal epithelial cell culture with cilia, the integrity of the cell–cell-interaction, and the glycolaxyl structure. The samples were prepared for imaging with Zeiss Sigma VP SEM (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) scanning electron microscope at 2 kV acceleration voltage using the in-lens and SE detectors. (b) Nasal epithelial cells were seeded for the submerged spheroid culture technique. Cells derived from a healthy subject were seeded in a 96-well plate at circa 1000 cells per well in U-Bottom plate (×10 magnification, size 200 µm) receiving PneumaCult™ ALI medium (STEMCELL™ Technologies, Vancouver, Canada). After reaching a determined cell size, the spheroid culture can be used for distinct experimental questions. This experiment was separately performed and correlates thematically with [22]. (c) ALI culture derived from a healthy subject. After passaging nasal epithelial cells from the 2D submerged into the organotypic 3D ALI culture model, samples were prepared for histological analyses. This figure presents the cilia morphology of the cultures and the cell–cell integrity in PAS reaction. The ciliary epithelium is precisely imaged (×10 magnification, size 50 µm). (d) Transmission electron microscopic (TEM) analysis of nasal epithelial ALI cultures (×20,000 magnification, size 2.500 µm). The aim of this additional method and figure is to present the cell–cell–contacts (tight junctions), cilia and organelles through TEM to study the effectiveness of the cell culture model. These methods/pictures were separately performed, correlate thematically with [22] and were not previously published elsewhere.

4. The Application of Nasal Epithelial Cell Culture Models in Asthma and Virus Research

The nasal cavity has long been classified as a physical barrier organ and was assumed to be merely responsible for filtering and cleaning processes [109,110]. When the integrity of this barrier is impaired, the risk for chronic rhinosinusitis is increased [111]. For some years now, however, researchers have been increasingly focused on this organ and analyzed its role in the pathogenesis of allergic rhinoconjunctivitis, asthma and virus infection [28,112–114]. Several, previously published studies showed that nasal epithelial cells of patients with chronic rhinitis have connections to disease development on distinct intracellular levels (e.g., posttranscriptional, and posttranslational modifications). In order to work in systems most closely resembling in vivo conditions, patient-derived samples are an invaluable material for answering diverse research questions [115,116].

Jackson et al. [117] investigated the impact of rhinoviruses on type 2 inflammation and found that in vivo provocation with rhinovirus-16 can lead to an increase of IL-33 and type 2 cytokine concentrations, particularly in asthmatics. In addition, the authors observed that an in vitro stimulation of bronchial epithelial cells by rhinovirus-16 resulted in a significant increase of IL-33 [117]. The work of Pech and colleagues [118] analyzed the role of rhinovirus infection in a submerged culture model of nasal epithelial cells (derived from a multicenter pediatric asthma cohort) and observed important methylation patterns in their study. Furthermore, Vanders et al. [114] demonstrated the role of rhinovirus-43 and
influenza virus (H3N2) in nasal epithelial cells of pregnant asthmatics. This study revealed diverse increases in cytokine and chemokine profiles and explained that nasal epithelial cells can also serve as an important method for the analyses of immune responses in pregnant asthmatics in vitro [114]. Yamaya and colleagues [119] studied the in vitro effects of clarithromycin and rhinovirus-13 infection on the nasal epithelial cells of asthmatics. Among other findings, they observed that prior in vitro antibiotic therapy is associated with a reduction of viral titers and cytokine concentrations. While this study struggled with certain limitations, these pilot results provide important first connections between infection and cell culture models in asthma research [119].

Table 1 summarizes the research article which include the search items nasal epithelial cell cultures, asthma, and virus.

| Research Article | Virus | Disease | Type of Nasal Epithelial Cell Culture |
|------------------|-------|---------|--------------------------------------|
| Pech and colleagues (2018) [118] | RV 1 16 | Asthma | submerged |
| Rhinovirus infections change DNA methylation and mRNA expression in children with asthma | | | |
| Yamaya and colleagues (2020) [119] | RV 14 | Asthma | submerged |
| Clarithromycin decreases rhinovirus replication and cytokine production in nasal epithelial cells from subjects with bronchial asthma: effects on IL-6, IL-8 and IL-33 | | | |
| Lopez-Souza and colleagues (2009) [120] | RV 16 | Asthma | ALI 2 |
| In vitro susceptibility to rhinovirus infection is greater for bronchial than for nasal airway epithelial cells in human subjects | | | |
| Spann and colleagues (2014) [121] | RSV 3 & HMPV 4 | Wheeze/Atopy | submerged |
| Viral and host factors determine innate immune responses in airway epithelial cells from children with wheeze and atopy | | | |
| McErlean and colleagues (2014) [122] | RV | Asthma | submerged |
| Human rhinovirus infection causes different DNA methylation changes in nasal epithelial cells from healthy and asthmatic subjects | | | |
| Baturcam and colleagues (2017) [112] | RSV & HMPV | Asthma | submerged |
| Human Metapneumovirus Impairs Apoptosis of Nasal Epithelial Cells in Asthma via HSP70 | | | |
| Vanders and colleagues (2019) [114] | RV 43 & H3N2 5 | Asthma | submerged and ALI |
| Nasal epithelial cells to assess in vitro immune responses to respiratory virus infection in pregnant women with asthma | | | |
| Aydin and colleagues (2020) [22] | AdV 6 | Asthma | submerged and ALI |
| House Dust Mite Exposure Causes Increased Susceptibility of Nasal Epithelial Cells to Adenoavirus Infection. | | | |
| Yamaya and colleagues (2020) [123] | HCoV-229E 7 | among others | ALI |
| Inhibitory effects of glycopyrronium, formoterol, and budesonide on coronavirus HCoV-229E replication and cytokine production by primary cultures of human nasal and tracheal epithelial cells | | | |

1 RV = (human)rhinovirus; 2 ALI = air–liquid interface; 3 RSV = Respiratory syncytial virus; 4 HMPV = (human)Metapneumovirus; 5 H3N2 = Influenza A virus subtype H3N2; 6 AdV = Adeno-virus; 7 HCoV-229E = Coronavirus 229E.
Taken together, bronchial epithelial but also increasingly nasal epithelial cell culture models are of increasing importance for respiratory research. Based on the literature, submerged and ALI cultures are already firmly established, and differentially evaluated models are in constant use. Work regarding spheroids and organoids in asthma and infection models can provide a closer look at in vitro responses to viral infection. The work with ALI and spheroid cultures, as well as precision cut lung slices, and organoid models in respiratory research [70,71,78,83,84] may enable to perform virus transduction experiments which would provide a closer look into the still largely unexplored molecular virus responses ex vivo.

5. Outlook

The cell culture methodology of bronchial epithelial and nasal epithelial cells has undergone a rapid development. Experiments that utilize these cells add an important contribution to research platform. Efforts are therefore being made to perform nearly in vivo-like experiments under similar physiological conditions. Nasal epithelial cells currently represent a potential alternative to bronchial epithelial cells in respiratory research. Despite the establishment of numerous cell culture technologies, it is of great importance to apply the results derived from these complex cell culture models and to translate them into the clinics. It will be exciting to observe whether nasal organoids will be effective research tools and in which ways they will molecularly react to allergens, noxious agents such as diesel gases or microbial endotoxins.
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