Monitoring and Prevention the Risk of Diabetic Foot Ulcer Infection during Coronavirus Disease-19 Pandemic: A Narrative Review and Perspective Algorithm
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Abstract

BACKGROUND: The coronavirus disease (COVID)-19 pandemic leads to significant changes in the healthcare system and undermining best practices for maintaining a diabetic limb. A large number of patients with diabetic foot are left without timely medical care and are at increased risk of complications, hospitalization, lower limb amputation, and death. A new paradigm must be adopted for the transition from inpatient care to community-based care. The introduction of a pandemic remote management for patients with diabetic foot ulcer includes an assessment of the risk of complications through telemedicine and further stratification of patients according to the developed algorithm.

METHODS: A literature review was performed for articles related to telemedicine. We used PubMed, Google Scholar, Cochrane Library, and Ovid MEDLINE to search published articles. We used the following keywords: “Telemedicine,” “diabetes mellitus,” “COVID-19,” “diabetic foot ulcer,” and “remote monitoring.”

RESULTS: Implementation of the proposed pandemic care includes telemedicine for remote monitoring and treatment of patients with diabetic foot ulcers, as well as an algorithm for determining the risk of diabetic ulcer infection and patient management tactics according to the identified risk.

CONCLUSION: The management of patients with diabetic foot ulcers during a pandemic includes the following goals — to reduce the burden on the health-care system, maintain the safety and functionality of diabetic foot at home, and reduce the risk of COVID-19 in patients with diabetic foot ulcers.

Introduction

Most patients with diabetic foot ulcers need a health-care professional regularly to receive adequate wound care [1]. Delays in the treatment of diabetic foot ulcers associated with limited outpatient doctor visits during a pandemic can lead to complications, which increases the risk of emergency hospitalizations, lower limb amputation and imposes an additional burden on the health-care system during the ongoing crisis and leads to severe consequences for patients [2], [3], [4]. Moreover, patients with diabetes mellitus and diabetic foot syndrome often have multiple comorbidities and meet several criteria for a high risk of coronavirus infection [5]. However, limiting physician visits and planned hospitalizations are essential to reduce the risk of infection in the coronavirus disease (COVID)-19 era.

The pandemic crisis has brought about significant changes in the management of patients with chronic diseases around the world [6]. Traditional outpatient care focused on regular monitoring of complications had to be replaced by untested telemedicine use and patient self-reporting.

The proposed algorithm for telemedicine management of patients with diabetic foot ulcers to reduce the risk of ulcer infection during a pandemic may be important for combating the triple threat — the risk of diabetic foot ulcer infection, the risk of an increase in the number of emergency hospitalizations, and the risk of COVID-19 infection.

The pandemic reorganization of health-care systems to contain the COVID-19 emergency has changed standard practice, leaving a large number of patients without forehand care, including those with diabetic foot ulcers [7]. Massive quarantines, restrictions on elderly people leaving their homes, and reduced
public transport can lead to serious consequences, such as an increased risk of complications of diabetic ulcers and an increased risk of lower limb amputation and death [8]. Insufficient observation and untimely treatment of superficial diabetic ulcers during restrictive quarantine measures can lead to infection of the ulcer, the need for emergency hospitalization, which, in turn, may contribute to a more rapid spread of the pandemic [9]. Diabetic ulcer infection can be prevented and controlled with regular check-ups and early treatment [10].

The COVID-19 pandemic has brought virtual care technology to the fore, given the important and ongoing progress made in this area [11]. These technologies provide the initial needed assistance, protecting vulnerable people and preventing the spread of disease, given the physical distance [12]. Traditional care of patients with diabetic foot ulcers requires face-to-face contact for procedures (wound debridement), although virtual nursing technologies may also offer useful telecommuting capabilities for these patients under the supervision of a physician [13].

Patients of their family, with the help of remote consultations of general practitioners, can assess the possible presence of wound infection by the appearance of the wound, body temperature, and heart and respiratory rate [14]. Use two-way video and photo sharing FaceTime, W App for wound assessment, a combination of save and forward photos, Zoom Short Message Service or text video chat, and mobile wound area measurement applications (such as the free imitoMeasure mobile app) can assist the physician in visual assessment of wounds (detection of infection and assessment of the progress of wound healing) and the appointment of appropriate treatment [15] (Figure 1).

Then, also through video communication, a mobile application, and instant messengers, patients and their doctors can track the effectiveness of treatment and the speed of healing of a diabetic ulcer.

The characteristics of medicines and medical supplies and the correct choice of a doctor are of paramount importance for providing homecare during a pandemic. For example, antibiotic therapy in oral forms, disposable wipes with disinfectant solutions for wound care, the use of readily available, inexpensive and easy-to-use dressings, and wound dressings with detailed instructions and video tutorials on how to use drugs or change dressings are innovative ways that can be used for remote observation and treatment of patients with diabetic foot ulcers during a pandemic [16].

Thus, the use of telemedicine can be very useful for sorting patients according to the degree of risk of diabetic ulcer infection, remote management, and selection of appropriate care [17]. However, there has never been widespread adoption of telemedicine in the management of patients with diabetic ulcers, and the short- and long-term results of the efficacy of remote monitoring and treatment are unknown [18]. Although a remote diabetic ulcer scoring system may not be able to fully and accurately assess the risk of complications, the system is relatively simple and reliable for determining the size of a diabetic ulcer and signs of infection, especially given the second wave of the pandemic, COVID-19 [19].

There is an urgent need to develop, implement, and approve structured algorithms for the care of this category of patients based on telemedicine for their
Results

The COVID-19 pandemic requires a rapid change in best practices for health-care delivery and the implementation of new and effective protocols to reduce the development of complications of chronic non-COVID-19 diseases. New clinical needs, dictated by the pandemic, were a prerequisite for the development of a pandemic algorithm for the care of patients with diabetic foot ulcers.

The developed algorithm for accelerated tracking of the risk of diabetic ulcer infection to reduce the number of cases of late referral to specialists, and to avoid hospitalization in stable clinical cases to reduce the risk of coronavirus infection. The proposed algorithm for managing patients with diabetic ulcers to reduce the risk of ulcer infection during the COVID-19 pandemic is shown in Figure 2.

The proposed algorithm is aimed at sorting patients according to the risk of diabetic foot ulcer infection at the telemonitoring level (low, medium, high, and urgent) and related further methods of care.

It is also possible to track the results of treatment and changes in the size and depth of a diabetic ulcer overtime using remote consultations and using mobile applications and messengers every 2 weeks in the absence of signs of infection, every week with a low risk of infection. In particular, photos of diabetic ulcers and measurements using the mobile app should be updated each time the dressing is changed to facilitate changes in the homecare strategy according to the wound status.

If a high risk of infection with a diabetic ulcer is identified, an outpatient examination may be recommended, subject to all anti-epidemic measures, for examination, surgical treatment of a diabetic ulcer and prescribing additional treatment. Further, it is possible to return to remote inspection with control every 3–5 days. If a high risk is identified, the progression of infection and the appearance of signs of alertness during a remote examination, such as the presence of infection of a diabetic foot ulcer (hyperemia around the ulcer, cellulite, pus secretion, edema, pain, and local temperature increase), and deterioration in dynamics (increase the size of the ulcer, damage to soft tissues/bones, and increasing signs of ischemia or infection), it is necessary to resolve the issue of emergency hospitalization.

Thus, based on the results of remote examination, patients with a low risk of infection with diabetic ulcers can be given recommendations for daily wound care and dressings on their own or by relatives under online supervision and medical supervision. A home call from a local general practitioner may also be available for suspected diabetic ulcer infection, if a low to moderate risk of infection is identified. With positive dynamics, short-term oral (1–2 weeks) antibiotic therapy continued sanitation of the diabetic ulcer and dressing at home can be recommended. The identification of a high risk, progression of infection of a diabetic ulcer, is clinically significant enough to make a decision on the transition from remote examination to full-time reception in a polyclinic or making a decision on the need for emergency hospitalization.

Discussion

Diabetic foot ulcer is a serious chronic condition resulting from complications of diabetes. Approximately 10–15% of diabetic patients develop foot ulcers, and more than half of diabetic ulcers become infected [14], [21]. Furthermore, complications of diabetic foot ulcers are the most common cause of hospitalization associated with diabetes [22]. The incidence of lower limb amputation in patients with high and urgent risk of diabetic ulcer is approximately 20% [14].

At present, the 2019 COVID-19 pandemic is likely to pose a threat to medical care, with some unintended consequences [23]. Cancellation of most outpatient clinical services poses a potential threat to patients with diabetic foot ulcers, since significant delays in treatment increase the risk of ulcer infection and a poor prognosis [24], [25]. Late referral to specialists is still a common problem worldwide, and remote observation and timely treatment of diabetic foot ulcers increase the chances of recovery and reduce the frequency of lower limb amputations [26], [27], [28].

The current global situation dictates the need for the introduction of remote sensing technologies, as well as the development and implementation of effective measures for the provision of pandemic assistance to identify patients at high risk of complications of diabetic foot syndrome and protect them from infection with COVID-19. Traditional care of patients with diabetic ulcers requires personal contact during procedures.
Patients with diabetic ulcers during the COVID-19 pandemic

Video consultation with a general practitioner (via app Zoom), determining the size of a diabetic ulcer using the free imitoMeasure mobile application and sending the results and a photo of the wound to the doctor using any messenger.

Analysis of the data obtained to identify the degree of risk of diabetic ulcer infection in accordance with the generally accepted classification of diabetic ulcer infection (PEDIS classification).

- **No signs of infection**
  - **LOW RISK** (localized infection affecting only the skin, peri-ulcerative hyperemia not more than 2 cm in diameter, separated from a serous wound)
    - The need to examine the patient at home, prescribe additional treatment (adjuvant treatment), daily dressings, antibiotic therapy (oral antibiotics for 10 days), follow-up examinations every 7 days
    - (Treatment of diabetic foot ulcer in accordance with clinical protocol RK No. 62)

- **HIGH RISK** (localized peri-ulcerative hyperemia more than 2 cm, spreading deeper than the skin to the subcutaneous fat, separated from the wound serous-purulent or purulent)
  - Examination of the patient on an outpatient basis, resolving the issue of hospitalization in a hospital during (48-72 hours), (complete examination, systemic antibiotic therapy in accordance with the sensitivity of bacterial flora, systematic therapy, sanitation and local treatment of diabetic ulcers, surgical treatment (surgical debridement, revascularizing operations)

- **URGENT RISK** (wound infection spreads to bone, tendons, muscles (abscess, phlegmon), signs of necrosis / gangrene. Acute pain, absence of pulsation of the arteries of the foot, lack of foot sensitivity. Signs of systemic inflammation (septicemia)
  - Other signs: febrile temperature over 38, tachycardia over 90 beats per minute, respiratory rate over 20 dd per minute, leukocytosis> 12,000 or leukopenia <4,000 / mm3
    - Solving the issue of the need for emergency hospitalization (up to 24 hours), (full examination, intensive therapy in ICU or OARIT, surgical debridement, necrectomy, revascularizing operations, small / large amputation

- **Signs of diabetic ulcer infection completely regressed during treatment**
  - Examination of the patient at home, determining the size of the diabetic ulcer every week (Treatment of diabetic foot ulcer according to the clinical protocol RK No. 62)

- **Signs of infection progress despite treatment**
  - The patient’s condition has improved, the symptoms of infection are regressing, the patient is discharged for outpatient observation

- **Signs of infection with a diabetic ulcer progress, inflammation becomes systemic**
  - The patient’s condition has improved, the symptoms of infection are regressing, the patient is discharged for outpatient observation

**Figure 2:** Algorithm for the management of patients with diabetic ulcers to reduce the risk of ulcer infection during the Covid-19 pandemic. (wound debridement), virtual care technologies can be offered and applied to these patients during a pandemic. While there was no need for widespread adoption of telemedicine before the pandemic, the COVID-19 pandemic has brought virtual care technology to the fore, given the important and ongoing progress made in this area [29]. These technologies provide initial needed care, protecting vulnerable people and preventing the spread of disease, given physical distancing, and the short- and long-term results of reducing
the risk of infection with diabetic foot ulcers are not yet known [30], [31]. At the same time, it is recommended to give priority attention to patients with a critical or high risk of diabetic ulcer infection to receive timely inpatient care, and patients with low and medium risk – through telemedicine and home visits [32]. Telemedicine management of diabetic ulcers can reduce resource use, improve patient care, and reduce the burden on healthcare during a pandemic [33].

Thus, the outbreak of COVID-19 is negatively affecting the provision of medical care for patients with diabetes. With the ongoing pandemic, massive efforts are still needed to mitigate the impact of COVID-19 on patients with diabetic foot ulcers.

In the current review, there are some limitations. This review was performed as a narrative and not a systematic review of the literature. Moreover, the proposed algorithm implementation needs in clinical practice to assess its efficiency.

Conclusion

The proposed algorithm combined the use of virtual technologies for monitoring and caring for diabetic foot ulcers, the ability to sort all patients into risk groups for diabetic ulcers infection, effective provision of personalized care based on the identified risk, which will lead to a decrease in the risk of complications, possible cases of late referral to specialists, and the risk of infection COVID-19 in a hospital, which in turn will reduce the burden on the health-care system in a pandemic crisis. The need for new and effective protocols to reduce the impact of non-COVID-19 disease on the burden of hospitalization is greater than ever, and implementing a diabetic ulcer patient management algorithm to reduce the risk of ulcer infection during the COVID-19 pandemic.
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