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Objective: This manuscript evaluates and tests the group differences in migrant workers’ urban integration from the perspectives of individual characteristics and migration characteristics, so as to provide theoretical support and practical guidance for the government to issue more effective assistance policies.

Methods: Multilevel comprehensive evaluation method and Entropy method are used to calculate the urban integration level of migrant workers, and one-way ANOVA and optimal scaling regression are used to test the group differences in migrant workers’ urban integration.

Results: Based on the questionnaire data of 854 migrant workers in China, the scale of migrant workers’ urban integration has good reliability and validity. The overall level of migrant workers’ urban integration is 49.61% and there exist group differences in migrant workers’ urban integration. The impact of education level, income level, and migration time on migrant workers’ urban integration is significantly positive, whereas the impact of migration distance on migrant workers’ urban integration is significantly negative. The urban integration level of migrant workers who have family members accompanying them is higher than that of migrant workers who have no family members accompanying them. Gender, age, and marriage have no significant impact on migrant workers’ urban integration.

Strengths and Limitations of This Study: This study aims to measure and test the group differences in migrant workers’ urban integration using ANOVA and optimal scaling regression. However, the shortcomings of this study are the selection of the “migrant workers’ urban integration” scale and the representativeness of the sample used in this study.

Conclusion: There are group differences in migrant workers’ urban integration with different education levels, income levels, migration distances, migration times, and statuses of family members accompanying. In the policy of promoting migrant workers’ urban integration, we should accurately count the characteristics of migrant workers and give more attention to migrant workers with low education levels, low-income levels, long migration distances, short migration times, and no family accompany.
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INTRODUCTION

Migrant workers are a new type of labor force that has grown rapidly along with industrialization and urbanization since China’s reform and opening up and have made great contributions to China’s economic and social development. Statistics show that in 2020, the total number of migrant workers in China is 285.6 million, including 169.59 million migrant workers from outside the country. Social integration reflects the breadth and depth of the floating population’s participation in urban life. Only when the floating population is truly integrated into the mainstream society of the destination psychologically and behaviorally, its social integration goal can be truly achieved (Yang, 2009; Liang, 2020). As the main force of the floating population, the social integration of migrant workers is the core of promoting people-oriented new urbanization.

However, the social integration of migrant workers in China is not optimistic. With the acceleration of China’s urbanization process, Chinese farmers have not moved into cities and towns from rural areas to become real urban residents like the early western urbanization. Migrant workers have been on the edge of the city for a long time, and it has become a consensus in the academic world that it is difficult to integrate into the city. In 2020, the urbanization rate of China’s permanent resident population reached 63.89%, but the urbanization rate of the registered population was only 45%, a gap of nearly 20% points. This gap means that China’s current population of more than 280 million migrant workers is only “Half of urbanization,” which has produced tens of millions of left-behind children, elderly people, and women at the expense of three generations of migrant families. This phenomenon not only restricts the welfare of migrant workers as a large group but also affects the quality of urbanization and social harmony and stability.

Therefore, how to effectively promote the integration of migrant workers into the city, promote the orderly citizenization of the migrant agricultural population, and realize the development of “people-oriented” urbanization are important topics worthy of attention in the current academic and industrial circles. To improve the social integration levels of migrant workers in urban areas, some scholars have put forward many policy suggestions on increasing the supply of welfare public services and improving the income level of migrant workers, but these studies regard migrant workers as a whole and ignore their diversity. In practice, most of the management and services for migrant workers in China do not take into account group differences, and many policies issued by the central and local governments to improve the integration of migrant workers into cities are not targeted enough to implement fine management. In fact, migrant workers are a heterogeneous group. There are differences between migrant workers in gender, age, educational background, and other basic conditions, as well as migration characteristics such as migration distance and migration time. To clarify the urban integration of different migrant workers is the premise to accurately and effectively improve the level of migrant workers’ urban social integration. Based on this, this manuscript attempts to conduct quantitative analysis through first-hand survey data to explore the impact of group differences of Chinese migrant workers on their urban social integration and then put forward countermeasures and suggestions to improve their social integration level.

Domestic and foreign scholars’ research on urban integration is mainly based on the theory of social integration. Urban psychological integration features greatly with social and cultural differences. Foreign scholars mainly construct indicators from the perspective of social “integration,” focusing on the mutual integration of different ethnic cultures (Dustmann, 1996; Sam and Berry, 2010; Ward, 2013) reflecting the “two-way” infiltration between cultures. The most representative is Milton Gordon’s seven-dimensional measurement system (Gordon and Gordon, 1966). Chinese scholars focus on social integration mainly on the integration of domestic immigrants, especially migrant workers (Ren and Qiao, 2010; Shi and Zhu, 2014). With the continuous advancement of urbanization, urban problems are increasing, and the academic research on the urban integration of migrant workers is also increasing. To better reflect the level of migrant workers’ urban integration, some scholars have studied its evaluation system. At present, there are two main measurement orientations for the urban integration level of migrant workers as follows: one is the single overall measurement method. For example, some scholars evaluate the urban integration of migrant workers from a single factor such as the willingness of migrant workers to settle in the city and their identity (Wei et al., 2016). The second is the comprehensive scoring method, which holds that the urban integration of migrant workers is multidimensional and multilevel, and these factors should be comprehensively considered in the measurement of the urban integration level. For example, some scholars build the urban integration index system of migrant workers from economic integration, social integration, cultural integration, and psychological integration (Qin and Chen, 2014), and some scholars from market factors. Individual factors and institutional factors build the urban integration system of migrant workers (Xu and Liu, 2006), and some scholars incorporate some indicators such as the objective acceptance of the city and the establishment of a family into the urban integration comprehensive system of migrant workers (Huang and Huang, 2015).

In terms of studies on the social integration of different groups, foreign studies mainly focus on a specific group, such as the social integration of the elderly and women. For example, some studies show that gender differences exist in the social integration of international immigrants, and women give more importance to learning about new cultures and maintaining the original culture (Dion and Dion, 2001; Astondona et al., 2020). Many other scholars have conducted studies on the social integration of the older adults (Huxhold and Fiori, 2019; Sun and Schäfer, 2019; Nakagawa and Hulur, 2020), and some scholars have shown that age affects social integration through language skills (Yuksel et al., 2019). Chinese scholars have studied the group differences in migrant workers’ urban integration from the perspectives of gender, age, and education level, but the research conclusions are not consistent. For example, studies have shown that education improves social integration by improving human capital (Xiao and Zhu, 2020). However, other studies have
shown that years of education and income have no significant impact on the social integration of the floating population (Wang and Zhao, 2020).

To sum up, there are still relatively few studies on the group differences in migrant workers' social integration by scholars at home and abroad, and most of the relevant studies discuss the group differences in migrant workers' social integration from the perspective of general individual characteristics such as gender, age, and education level, while less attention is paid to the group differences in migrant workers' social integration from the perspective of migration characteristics. Based on the questionnaire data of 854 migrant workers, this manuscript makes a quantitative analysis of the group differences in migrant workers' urban integration from the perspectives of individual characteristics and migration characteristics by using the methods of variance analysis and optimal scaling regression and then puts forward countermeasures and suggestions to improve their social integration level.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Ethical Approval**
The data used in this study are from a random anonymous questionnaire. During the survey, the respondents have known and agreed to use the data for scientific research. The informed consent of participants is not required for each process carried out in this study. Therefore, this study was not applicable for review approval.

**Respondents and Investigation Methods**
The subjects of this study are migrant workers who have been working in cities for more than 6 months. In terms of data acquisition, according to the principle of random sampling, Zhejiang, Guizhou, and Hunan provinces in eastern, western, and central China were selected, respectively, and several cities in each province were selected as survey sites. By taking advantage of the tradition of migrant workers going home for the Spring Festival, our research group conducted a household survey from December 2017 to March 2018. On the premise of informing migrant workers of the purpose of the survey and obtaining their consent, migrant workers were instructed to fill out the questionnaire on a one-to-one basis. Finally, 854 valid samples were collected in the following six cities: Hangzhou and Ningbo in Zhejiang Province, Guiyang and Liupanshui in Guizhou Province, and Changsha and Hengyang in Hunan Province.

**Selection of Urban Integration Evaluation Scale and Group Characteristic Variables of Migrant Workers**

According to the connotation of social integration, characteristics of urban integration of migrant workers in China, experts' advice, and the research team's discussion, the literature analysis method is adopted to select the scale of urban integration of migrant workers and group characteristic variables. Two electronic databases for the English language and three electronic databases for the Chinese language were searched for related studies. The query time period was from 2010 to 2020.

**Test Methods of Migrant Workers’ Urban Integration Scale**
The Cronbach’s α reliability coefficient was used to test the reliability of the scale. The standardized loads (STD), the component reliability (CR), and the mean variation extraction (AVE) were used to test the convergence validity of the scale. The AVE method was further used to test the discriminant validity of the scale. Exploratory factor analysis (EFA) was used to test the structural validity of the scale.

**Measurement Methods of Migrant Workers’ Urban Integration**

**Multilevel Comprehensive Evaluation Method**

By considering the multilevel characteristics of the evaluation level of migrant workers’ urban integration, the multilevel comprehensive evaluation method is used to measure the level of migrant workers' urban psychological integration. The specific methods are as follows: first, classify and calculate the urban integration of each dimension, and the level of k-class cities can be expressed as follows: $integration_k = \sum w_j \cdot u(a_{ij})$. Then, calculate the comprehensive score of each migrant worker, and the total score of urban integration of the i-th migrant worker can be expressed as follows: $integration_i = \sum p_k \cdot integration_k$, where $p_k = \sum a_{ij}$ $integration_k$ is the urban integration level, $w_j$ is the weight of the j-th index of the i-th sample, and $u(x_{ij})$ is the standardized value.

**Calculation of Standardized Value and Weight**
The entropy method is used to calculate the weight ($w_{ij}$) of each index. The specific steps are as follows:

1. Standardized treatment. To ensure that the standardized data are in the range of 0–1, the $max - min$ method is used to standardize the index. At the same time, to make the standardized data not 0, a minimum translation is performed on the standardized data. The expression of the standardized value is as follows:

   $$u(x_{ij}) = \frac{x_{ij} - \min(x_{ij})}{\max(x_{ij}) - \min(x_{ij})} + 0.0001$$

2. Calculate the index proportion. The formula for calculating the proportion of the i-th sample under the j-th index in this index is as follows: $a_{ij} = u(x_{ij}) / \sum_{i=1}^{k} u(x_{ij})$.

3. Calculate the information entropy of the index. The formula for calculating the information entropy of index $J$ is as follows: $e_j = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{ij} \cdot ln(a_{ij}) / lnK$, where $e_j$ is the information entropy of index $j$, $0 < e_j < 1$, and $K$ is the number of samples.

4. Calculate the redundancy of information. The redundancy calculation formula of index $J$ is as follows: $d_j = 1 - e_j$, where $d_j$ is the redundancy of index $J$. The larger the $d_j$, the more important the $j$ index is.
(5) Calculate the index weight. The formula for calculating the weight of the $j$-th index is as follows: $w_j = \frac{d_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{m} d_j}$, where $w_j$ is the weight of the $j$-th index, representing the contribution of the $j$-th index to the system.

### Test Methods of Group Differences in Migrant Workers’ Urban Integration

From the perspectives of individual conditions and migration characteristics, one-way ANOVA and optimal scaling regression are used to test the group differences in migrant workers' urban integration.

#### One-Way ANOVA

One-way ANOVA is used to test the differences in migrant workers' urban integration in different individual conditions and different migration characteristics.

#### Optimal Scaling Regression

Furthermore, the optimal scaling regression analysis was used to test the influence of individual conditions and migration characteristics on migrant workers' urban integration.

Optimal scaling regression is an analysis method specifically used to solve the existence of multiple classification variables in the model. Under the premise that the relationship between variables after transformation becomes linear, the method quantifies the different values of classification variables. Thus, classification variables are converted into numerical types for statistical analysis. Based on the classification characteristics of explanatory variables, this manuscript adopts optimal scaling regression to empirically analyze the group differences in migrant workers’ urban integration. The optimal scaling regression analysis model is shown in the formula below:

$$\text{Integration}_i = \sum \alpha_i P_i + \sum \beta_i M_i + \epsilon_i$$

In this type, Integration is explained as variable migrant workers into the city, $p$ is individual conditions, including five variables, namely, “Gender,” “Age,” “Marriage,” “Education level,” and “Income level”, $M$ is migration characteristic variable, including three variables, namely, “Migration distance,” “Migration time,” “family accompanying” $\alpha_i$ and $\beta_i$ are the coefficients, and $\epsilon_i$ is the error term.

### RESULTS

#### Selection of Urban Integration Evaluation Scale and Group Characteristic Variables of Migrant Workers

**Selection of Urban Integration Evaluation Scale of Migrant Workers**

The “urban integration scale of migrant workers” by scholars Li and Zhang (2020) is adopted. The scale includes two dimensions, namely, behavioral integration and psychological integration. Behavioral integration refers to the recognition and compliance of migrant workers with urban codes of conduct and customs, as well as their interaction with urban residents. It belongs to the subjective and objective behavior representation and contains four items (PI01–PI04). Psychological integration refers to migrant workers’ recognition of the inflow city, their own identity, and their psychological feelings of interaction with urban residents. It belongs to the representation of subjective consciousness and contains five items (PI05–PI09), as shown in Table 1.

#### Selection of Group Characteristic Variables of Migrant Workers

This manuscript analyzes the group differences in migrant workers’ urban integration from the perspectives of individual conditions and migration characteristics. In terms of individual conditions, this manuscript considers the differences in individual conditions of migrant workers in gender, age, marriage, education level, and income level. In terms of migration characteristics, the differences in migration characteristics mainly include migration distance, migration time, and family accompanying characteristics. From the perspective of migration distance, the regional differences of migrant workers in China are diverse, with some migration distances being shorter and some migration distances being longer. This manuscript divides the migrant workers into “inter-city non-inter-provincial migrant workers” and “inter-provincial migrant workers” according to the characteristics of cross-province regionalization. From the perspective of family migration, some work in the city for a long time, and some work for a short time. This manuscript divides migrant workers into “short-term migrant workers” (less than 1 year), “medium-term migrant workers” (1–5 years), and “long-term migrant workers” (more than 5 years) according to migration time. From the perspective of family migration, some migrate with family accompanied, and some migrate individually. This manuscript divides migrant workers into “individual migrant workers” and “family migrant workers” according to the characteristics of family migration. This manuscript considers the differences in migration characteristics of migrant workers in these three aspects. The definition and description statistics of each variable are shown in Table 2.

#### Reliability and Validity Test of Migrant Workers’ Urban Integration Scale

**Test of Reliability**

Cronbach’s $\alpha$ reliability coefficient was used to test the reliability of the scale. The results showed that the total Cronbach’s $\alpha$ value of the scale reached 0.87, the Cronbach’s $\alpha$ value of each latent variable was above 0.6, and the item-total correlation value of each variable was greater than 0.4. Cronbach’s $\alpha$ item deleted values are all smaller than Cronbach’s $\alpha$ values of their respective constructs. In summary, it indicates that the items contained in the variables of this questionnaire have good reliability.

**Test of Structure Validity**

Exploratory factor analysis results showed that the overall KMO of the questionnaire was 0.883, which passed Barlett’s spherical.
TABLE 1 | Item content and statistical description of “urban integration scale.”

| Dimension          | Label       | Item Description                                      | Min | Max | Mean  | Std  |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----|-------|------|
| Behavioral         | PI01        | I get along well with the local people in the city    | 1   | 5   | 3.01  | 0.712|
| integration        | PI02        | When I encounter difficulties, I will take the initiative to ask local people around me for help | 1   | 5   | 2.90  | 0.769|
|                    | PI03        | I agree with the local way of work and life            | 1   | 5   | 3.07  | 0.709|
|                    | PI04        | I have a lot of contacts with local people in the city | 1   | 5   | 3.02  | 0.775|
| Psychological      | PI05        | I am very satisfied with my status in the city now     | 1   | 5   | 2.88  | 0.738|
| integration        | PI06        | I feel like I have the same status as the local people | 1   | 5   | 3.04  | 0.758|
|                    | PI07        | My life in the city is very happy                      | 1   | 5   | 2.99  | 0.732|
|                    | PI08        | I got a fair deal living in the city                   | 1   | 5   | 3.03  | 0.741|
|                    | PI09        | I am confident in my life in the city                  | 1   | 5   | 3.01  | 0.775|

The results of common factors analysis (CFA) show that there existed test ($\chi^2 = 3,359.58$, $P \leq 0.001$), indicating that there existed common factors among the correlation matrices of the surveyed subjects, and it was appropriate to conduct factor analysis of the scale. Two factors were extracted from the scale, and the eigenvalues after rotation were 3.071 and 2.608, respectively, the explained variances were 34.13% and 28.98%, respectively, and the cumulative variance was 63.11%. The above results indicated satisfactory factor extraction. The two extracted factors corresponded to the two in this study, namely, behavioral integration and psychological integration.

Test of Convergence Validity
The results of confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) show that the STD of the two dimensions is between 0.60 and 0.90 and is significant. The CR of the two dimensions was above 0.80, and the AVE was above 0.50, indicating that the scale had high convergence validity.

Test of Discriminant Validity
The AVE method was further used for the discriminant validity test, and the square root AVE values of each latent variable were greater than the correlation coefficients between the latent variable and other latent variables, indicating that the two dimensions had high discriminant validity. Therefore, the two constructs have good discriminative validity. It shows that the observed variables in the scale can reflect the latent variables well, and the validity and reliability of the indicators are acceptable.

Measurement of Migrant Workers’ Urban Integration
Weight Calculation
The entropy method is used to calculate the weight of each dimension and index of migrant workers’ urban integration ($w_{ij}$), as shown in Table 2. The statistical results in Table 3 show that there is a minimal difference between the two dimensions of urban integration, both of which are approximately 50%. From the weight value of each item, the contribution of each evaluation index to the level of urbanization is relatively small. In the dimension of behavior awareness, pi04 has the largest contribution value, 32.07%, followed by pi02, with a contribution rate of 28.33%, indicating that the frequency of communication with local people and the awareness of asking local people for help are the core factors for evaluating the integration of migrant workers’ urban behavior; in the dimension of psychological identity, the contribution value of pi05 is the largest, 23.14%, indicating that urban identity is the core factor to evaluate the urban psychological integration of migrant workers. The weight values of the other six items of urban integration are more than 18%, and the difference is small, all between 18 and

TABLE 2 | Variable definitions and descriptive statistics.

| Variable          | Label   | Assignment                                      | Mean  | Std  |
|-------------------|---------|-------------------------------------------------|-------|------|
| Gender            | IC1     | M = 1; F = 2                                    | 1.35  | 0.477|
| Age               | IC2     | Cenozoic = 1; The old = 2                       | 1.54  | 0.499|
| Marriage          | IC3     | Unmarried = 1; Married = 2                      | 1.70  | 0.459|
| Education Level   | IC4     | Primary school and below = 1; Junior high school = 2; High school = 3; High school and above = 4 | 2.49  | 0.999|
| Income level      | IC5     | 1 000 Y or less = 1; 1,000–3,000 (inclusive) Y = 2; 3,000–5,000 Y (inclusive) = 3; 5,000–8,000 Y (inclusive) = 4; 8,000 Y above = 5 | 2.84  | 1.004|
| Migration distance| MC1     | Intra-provincial migration = 1; Inter-provincial migration = 2 | 1.41  | 0.492|
| Migration time    | MC2     | Less than 1 year = 1; 1–5 years = 2; More than 5 years = 3 | 2.06  | 0.749|
| Family accompanying| MC3  | Unaccompanied = 1; With family = 2              | 1.54  | 0.499|

TABLE 3 | Summary of weight calculation results of various indicators of migrant workers’ urban integration.

| Dimension          | Item Information entropy | Redundancy | Weight |
|--------------------|--------------------------|------------|--------|
| Behavioral         | PI01                     | 0.9890     | 0.0110 | 0.2069|
| integration        | PI02                     | 0.9849     | 0.0151 | 0.2833|
|                    | PI03                     | 0.9899     | 0.0101 | 0.1891|
|                    | PI04                     | 0.9829     | 0.0171 | 0.3207|
| Psychological      | PI05                     | 0.9860     | 0.0140 | 0.2314|
| integration        | PI06                     | 0.9888     | 0.0112 | 0.1858|
|                    | PI07                     | 0.9886     | 0.0114 | 0.1899|
|                    | PI08                     | 0.9887     | 0.0113 | 0.1871|
|                    | PI09                     | 0.9875     | 0.0125 | 0.1871|
21%, indicating that these items are also important indicators to evaluate the urban integration of migrant workers.

**Measurement of Migrant Workers’ Urban Integration Level**

The multilevel comprehensive evaluation method is used to calculate the level values of migrant workers’ urban integration and two dimensions (integration), as shown in Table 4. The overall level of migrant workers’ urban integration is 49.61%, and the levels of behavioral integration and psychological integration are 49.63 and 49.58%, respectively.

**Test of Group Differences in Migrant Workers’ Urban Integration**

**Test of One-Way ANOVA**

One-way ANOVA was used to test group differences in migrant workers’ urban integration and its two dimensions. Table 5 shows that in terms of conditions of individual variables, the differences in migrant workers’ urban integration and its two dimensions among migrant workers with different education levels and different income levels are significant at the level of 1% or 5%, but the differences among migrant workers with the different gender, different age, and different marital status did not pass the significance test. In terms of migration characteristic variables, the differences in migrant workers’ urban integration among migrant workers with different migration distances are significant at the level of 1%, the differences between migrant workers with different migration times are significant at the level of 5%, and the differences between migrant workers with different characteristics of family migration are significant at the level of 5%. The results of variance analysis show that there are significant group differences in the urban integration of migrant workers with different education levels, income levels, and migration characteristics, while there are no significant group differences in the urban integration of migrant workers with the different gender, age, and marital status.

**Test of Optimal Scaling Regression**

Optimal scaling regression was used to test the effects of individual conditions and migration characteristics on migrant workers’ urban integration. The optimal scaling regression results in Table 6 show that the \( R^2 \) of the model is 0.112, and the fitting effect of the model is acceptable. The F-value of ANOVA was less than 0.05, indicating that the regression model was statistically significant. The tolerance index shows that the tolerance of all variables in the model is improved after conversion, except for age, and all other variables in this manuscript are above 0.7. Therefore, it can be considered that there is no multicollinearity between variables, which further demonstrates the effectiveness of the fitting equation. However, not every variable has a role. Gender (\( P = 0.302 \)), age (\( P = 0.937 \)), and marriage (\( P = 0.680 \)) did not pass the significance test, indicating that these three variables have no significant impact on migrant workers’ urban integration. All the other variables in the model passed the statistical test, indicating that education level, income level, migration distance, migration time, and family members’ accompanying statuses all have a significant impact on migrant workers’ urban integration.

The importance index is to calculate the percentage of importance of each influence variable in the model according to the standardization coefficient and correlation coefficient. The larger the value is, the more important the variable is to the prediction of the dependent variable. According to the model, education was the most important factor (46.7%), followed by income (26.1%), and migration distance and family trailing were both more than 10%. The importance of age and marriage is negative, while the importance of gender is close to 0. This result further indicates that gender, age, and marriage have no significant impact on the migrant workers’ urban integration. The importance of migration time is 6.5%, and it is significantly positive at the level of 5%. It can be considered that migration time has an important impact on migrant workers’ urban integration.

The above regression analysis results are consistent with the previous one-way ANOVA test results, indicating that the group difference test of migrant workers’ urban integration has good reliability and robustness.

**DISCUSSION**

The urban integration of migrant workers is closely related to the development of “people-oriented” new urbanization, the citizenization of migrant workers, and the healthy development of migrant workers’ psychology and social adaptability. Based on the characteristics of migrant workers in China, the urban integration model, and common urban integration problems, this manuscript evaluates and tests the group differences in migrant workers’ urban integration from the perspectives of individual conditions and migration characteristics. The results show that (1) in terms of individual condition perspective, the impact of education level and income level on migrant workers’ urban...
The research on the group differences in migrant workers’ urban integration in this manuscript can be used by the government to evaluate the urban integration status of migrant workers. It is not only an important means for the government to monitor the urban integration status of migrant workers but also a health education tool for migrant workers to pay attention to urban integration, which can improve the urban adaptability of migrant workers. It has important application value to improve the citizenization quality of migrant workers and promote the healthy and sustainable development of people-oriented new urbanization. Nevertheless, there are still some limitations to this manuscript. First, the evaluation scale of migrant workers’ urban integration used in this study comes from the existing research results. Although this study evaluates and tests the effectiveness of the scale, whether it meets the requirements of this study needs to be further tested in the later stage of this study. Second, the data used in this study are from the questionnaire survey. Although the sample covers the west, middle, and east of China, the representativeness of the sample needs to be further verified.
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