A randomized-controlled, double-blind comparison of the postoperative analgesic efficacy of caudal bupivacaine and levobupivacaine in minor pediatric surgery
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**Background:** We compared the postoperative analgesic efficacy of caudal levobupivacaine with bupivacaine in pediatric subumbilical surgery.

**Methods:** Sixty American Society of Anesthesiologists I-II patients scheduled for elective minor surgery (1.5–7 years old) were randomly divided into three groups to receive caudal injections of study drugs at 0.5 ml/kg. All patients received 0.1 mg/kg oral midazolam 30 min before surgery. Group B received 0.125% bupivacaine, group L received 0.125% levobupivacaine, and group LF received 0.125% levobupivacaine + 0.5 μg/kg fentanyl. Blood pressure, heart rate and sedation (using a four-scale sedation score) were monitored perioperatively. During the postoperative period, an anesthesiologist blinded to the study groups used the Children's and Infants' Postoperative Pain Scale to monitor patients’ pain and degree of sedation. The time before the first rescue analgesic was recorded as well as any side effects over the next 24 h.

**Results:** The four-scale sedation and postoperative pain scale scores in all groups were identical. Blood pressure and heart rate measured at 15 min postoperatively were lower, and time to first rescue analgesic was longer, in Group LF compared to the others.

**Conclusions:** Caudal 0.5 ml/kg of 0.125% bupivacaine and levobupivacaine are equally effective for postoperative analgesia after subumbilical surgeries in pediatric patients. Addition of fentanyl may lower the required amount of local anesthetics. (Korean J Anesthesiol 2014; 66: 457-461)
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Introduction

Postoperative pain in children may cause psychological disturbances [1], so children of all ages, even newborns, should receive sufficient preemptive analgesia [2]. This improves the child’s recovery, and leads to better satisfaction of the family [3-5]. Caudal anesthesia reduces the systemic analgesic requirement and provides effective postoperative analgesia in lower abdominal surgery in children [6]. The main factors determining the quality and level of the blockage are the dose, volume and concentration of the drug. As several studies report, 1 ml/kg of 0.2% bupivacaine provides sufficient analgesia; however, the delayed mobility caused may be distressing for the child and parents [7].

Levobupivacaine is known to provide equal analgesia with less motor block than bupivacaine [7]. We aimed to compare the effectiveness of 0.5 ml/kg of 0.125% levobupivacaine with equal volume and concentration of bupivacaine in a double-blinded and prospective setting in pediatric subumbilical surgery.

The groups were compared using time to first rescue analgesic and postoperative analgesic efficacy as the primary outcomes. Possible side effects of low volume and low concentrations of local anesthetics and opioids were also investigated as secondary outcomes.

Materials and Methods

After gaining the approval of the local Ethics Committee and obtaining informed, written consent from families, American Society of Anesthesiologists I-II patients aged 1.5–7 years scheduled for elective subumbilical surgery, were included in the study. Patients with a history of respiratory or circulatory disease, liver or kidney dysfunction, hypersensitivity to study drugs, those who received preoperative analgesia and those in whom caudal anesthesia was contraindicated were excluded from the study. The study was planned and performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

A total of 60 patients were randomly allocated into three groups (n = 20) using sealed envelopes during the preoperative visit. All patients received 0.1 mg/kg oral midazolam 30 min before surgery. Their sedation level was monitored using a four-scale sedation score. Blood pressure, heart rate, and peripheral oxygen saturation levels were also monitored. An independent anesthesiologist, not involved in any other part of the study, prepared the drugs and the labels. Study drugs were administered according to groups: group B received 0.125% bupivacaine, group L received 0.125% levobupivacaine, and group LF received 0.125% levobupivacaine + 0.5 μg/kg fentanyl. All drugs were diluted with isotonic saline to achieve a total volume of 0.5 ml/kg and were administered caudally.

A second anesthesiologist, blinded to the epidural drugs, induced patients with 5 mg/kg thiopental and neuromuscular blockade was reached with 0.5 mg/kg atracurium. After endotracheal intubation, anesthesia was maintained with 40% O₂, 60% N₂O and 1.5–3% sevoflurane. No analgesic agent was used during induction or intubation. Patients were placed in the lateral decubitus position. Under sterile conditions, sacral hiatus was localized between the bilateral sacral corni and a caudal needle (Epican® Paed Caudal, 22 G, Braun, Melsungen, Germany) was placed over the sacrococcygeal membrane at ~60 degrees to the coronal plane. After loss of resistance, the needle was directed upwards at an angle of 20–30 degrees with the skin and advanced 3–4 mm. Study drugs were administered according to group allocations and patients were placed into a supine position for surgery. No additional analgesic agents were administered during surgery.

The blood pressure, heart rate, and peripheral oxygen saturation levels were recorded before and at 5, 10, 15 and 30 min after caudal blockage, at the end of the operation and 15 min later. Patients with an Aldrete score higher than eight were discharged from the postoperative anesthesia care unit. Time to first rescue analgesic, Children’s and Infants’ Postoperative Pain Scale (CHIPPS) scores [8], and side effects (nausea, vomiting, motor blockage, hypotension, bradycardia, urinary retention) were monitored and recorded at 2, 4 and 24 h postoperatively.

Statistical analysis

The sample size was based on previous investigations of the efficacy of different concentrations of levobupivacaine for caudal block in children [9]. We estimated a 25% increase in time to first rescue analgesic. Based on an alpha error of 0.05 and a power of 80%, we calculated that approximately 20 patients in each group would suffice. Results were expressed as means ± standard deviation (SD). Distribution of data was analyzed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Normally distributed data (age, body weight, blood pressure, heart rate) were analyzed with an analysis of variance test, and data not normally distributed (duration of surgeries, time to first rescue analgesic, CHIPPS scores) with a Friedman test. Within groups, normally distributed data were analyzed with a paired t-test and analysis of not normally distributed data was done with Mann Whitney U and Wilcoxon tests. A value of P < 0.05 was taken to indicate statistical significance. For post hoc analysis, the P values were adjusted as 0.05/(number of comparisons). All statistical tests were carried out using SPSS 15.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

The demographic data were not significantly different among
the groups. Age, body weight and duration of operation are shown in Table 1.

Preoperative and intraoperative blood pressure were not significantly different among the groups. Blood pressure at 15 min postoperatively was significantly lower in Group LF compared to Groups B and L (P = 0.042, P = 0.015, respectively) (Fig. 1).

The heart rate was significantly lower in Group LF at 10 min postcaudally compared to Group L (P = 0.014) and at 15 min postcaudally compared to Groups B and L (P = 0.040, P = 0.042, respectively) (Fig. 2).

Time to first rescue analgesic was 246 ± 163 min in Group B, 241 ± 186 min in Group L and 358 ± 172 min in Group LF. The time to first rescue analgesic was significantly longer in Group LF compared to Groups B and L (P = 0.040, P = 0.046, respectively) (Fig. 3).

The CHIPPS scores showed a decreasing trend between 3 ± 1 at 15 min, 2 ± 1 at 2 h, 1 ± 1 at 4 h, and 0 ± 0.5 at 24 h postoperatively and showed no significant differences among the groups. Emesis was observed in three patients in each group.

Discussion

This study showed that 0.5 ml/kg of caudal 0.125% levobupivacaine provides sufficient postoperative analgesia. Caudal blocks are routinely used in pediatric lower abdominal and genital surgery to provide postoperative analgesia, since it is easy to perform and provides reliable and efficient postoperative analgesia [6,10,11].

Local anesthetic toxicity is the most serious intraoperative
complication of neuroaxial anesthesia. Vertebral ossification is not complete until around age 6 years and in younger children, needles may penetrate the soft bones, resulting in interosseous injection of local anesthetics. Therefore selection of the local anesthetic with the least systemic toxicity risk and administration of the lowest dose required is important [12]. Myelination is not complete until about age 12 years, explaining the short duration of local anesthetics in small children. Cortínez et al. [13] report that following a caudal block with 2.5 mg/kg levobupivacaine in 1-month-old infants, levobupivacaine reached peak plasma concentration in 30 min. They also report that although this frequently used dose is apparently safe, local anesthetic administration should be monitored in infants and small children, since local anesthetics have a longer half-life in these patients. They suggest that the prolonged half-life may account for sufficient analgesia at low concentrations of local anesthetics in small children. In contrast, some studies report a shorter half-life of local anesthetics in neonates and infants.

Bupivacaine is the local anesthetic used most frequently in pediatric patients. It is long-acting, has been in use for a long period, and its safety profile and side effects are well known [14]. Therefore, we used bupivacaine as the control drug. A newer local anesthetic, levobupivacaine, is reported to cause more vasconstriction in lower concentrations [15,16], thereby slowing systemic absorption, prolonging sensorial blockage and reducing the risk of toxicity [17,18].

Caudal anesthesia with local anesthetics is prone to two main problems. High doses and concentrations to accomplish satisfactory analgesia cause prolonged motor block, delaying postoperative mobility and leading to side effects such as hemodynamic disturbances such as hypotension and bradycardia [9]. Preventing these side effects by administering a low concentration or volume of local anesthetics leads to insufficient anesthesia and analgesia. Ivani et al. [9] compared caudal blocks using 1 mg/kg 0.125%, 0.2%, and 0.25% levobupivacaine in children aged 1–7 years who were scheduled for subumbilical surgery. They reported that 0.125% levobupivacaine provides a short duration of analgesia but allows more rapid return to mobility, and 0.2% levobupivacaine provides both sufficient analgesia and quick mobility. We found that 0.5 ml/kg of 0.125% local anesthetic was sufficient for most patients. This was apparent since the CHIPPS scores followed a decreasing postoperative trend and were similar between groups. However, we noticed that most of the patients who required a rescue analgesic earlier than 6 h were midpenile or scrotal hypospadias patients. We also noticed that addition of fentanyl postponed the requirement for rescue analgesics in these patients. We observed no intraoperative complications, but three patients in each group experienced emesis at home, likely due to residual general anesthesia and motion sickness.

In conclusion, caudal analgesia with 0.5 ml/kg of 0.125% bupivacaine and levobupivacaine is sufficiently effective for postoperative analgesia of subumbilical surgeries in pediatric patients.
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