Introduction and Preliminaries

The notion of the distance has been investigated and improved from the beginning of the mathematics sciences. The first formal definition was given by Hausdorff and Frenet under the name of metric spaces. The formal definition was extended, improved, and generalized in several ways. In this paper, we shall consider the combination of notions of partial metric space and b-metric space. We mention that the functions \( \psi \) that satisfy the following conditions:

1. \( \psi \) be the set of the functions \( \mathcal{G} : [0, \infty)^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) that satisfy the following conditions:
   - \( f_1 \) \( \mathcal{G} \) is continuous,
   - \( f_2 \) \( \mathcal{G}(0, 0, 0) = 0 \),
   - \( f_3 \) \( \max \{ \tau, \upsilon \} \leq \mathcal{G}(\tau, \upsilon, \omega) \), for all \( \tau, \upsilon, \omega \in [0, \infty) \).

2. \( \Phi \) be the set of functions \( \psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) that satisfy the following conditions:
   - \( b_1 \) \( \psi \) is nondecreasing,
   - \( b_2 \) \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi(u) < \infty \) for each \( u > 0 \). (Here, by \( \psi \), we denote the \( n \)th iterate of \( \psi \).

We mention that the functions \( \psi \in \Phi \) are called \( (c) \) -comparison functions. Moreover, it is not difficult to check that \( \psi(u) < u \) for every \( u > 0 \).

3. \( \Gamma = \{ \gamma : X \rightarrow [0, \infty) \mid \gamma \) is lower semicontinuous \} \)

Theorem 1 (see [3]). Let \( (X, d) \) be a complete metric space, a lower semicontinuous function \( \gamma : X \rightarrow [0, \infty) \), and a
self-mapping \( T : X \rightarrow X \). If there exist \( \psi \in \Phi \) and \( G \in \mathcal{G} \) such that

\[
\mathcal{V}(d(Tx, Ty), y(Tx), y(Ty)) \\
\leq \psi \left( \max \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{V}(d(x, y), y(x), y(y)),}{2} \mathcal{V}(d(x, Tx), y(Tx), y(x)) + \mathcal{V}(d(y, Ty), y(y)) \right\} \right),
\]

for every \( x, y \in X \), then \( T \) has a unique fixed point.

Let \( X \) be a nonempty set.

(i) A function \( b : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) is a \( b \)-metric on \( X \) if for a given real number \( s \geq 1 \) and for all \( x, y, z \in X \) the following conditions hold:

\[
(b_1) \ b(x, y) = 0 \iff x = y, \\
(b_2) b(x, y) = b(y, x), \\
(b_3) b(x, y) \leq s(b(x, z) + b(z, y)).
\]

The triplet \((X, b, s \geq 1)\) is called a \( b \)-metric space.

(ii) A function \( \rho : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) is a partial metric on \( X \) if for all \( x, y, z \in X \) the following conditions hold:

\[
(\rho_1) \ x = y \iff \rho(x, x) = \rho(y, y) = \rho(x, y) \iff x = y, \\
(\rho_2) \ \rho(x, x) \leq \rho(x, y), \\
(\rho_3) \ \rho(x, y) = \rho(y, x) \\
(\rho_4) \ \rho(x, y) \leq \rho(x, z) + \rho(z, y) - \rho(z, z).
\]

The pair \((X, \rho)\) is said to be a partial metric space. Combining these two concepts, Shukla [4] introduced the notion of partial \( b \)-metric space as follows.

(iii) A function \( \rho_b : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) is a partial \( b \)-metric on \( X \) if for all \( x, y, z \in X \) the following conditions hold:

\[
(\rho_{b_1}) \ x = y \iff \rho_b(x, x) = \rho_b(y, y) = \rho_b(x, y), \\
(\rho_{b_2}) \ \rho_b(x, x) \leq \rho_b(x, y), \\
(\rho_{b_3}) \ \rho_b(x, y) = \rho_b(y, x), \\
(\rho_{b_4}) \ \rho_b(x, y) \leq s(\rho_b(x, z) + \rho_b(z, y)) - \rho_b(z, z).
\]

The triplet \((X, \rho_b, s \geq 1)\) is said to be a partial \( b \)-metric space.

On a partial \( b \)-metric space \((X, \rho_b, s \geq 1)\) a sequence \( \{x_n\} \) is said to be

(i) convergent to \( x \in X \) if \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \rho_b(x_n, x) = b(x, x) \) (the limit of a convergent sequence is not necessarily unique)

(ii) Cauchy if \( \lim_{n, m \to \infty} \rho_b(x_n, x_p) \) exists and its finite

Moreover, the partial \( b \)-metric space is complete if for every Cauchy sequence \( \{x_n\} \) in \( X \) which converges to a point \( x \in X \) we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \rho_b(Tx_n, Tx) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \rho_b(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) = \rho_b(Tx, Tx).
\]

In [5], the authors introduced the following new notions.

(i) On a partial \( b \)-metric space, a sequence \( \{x_n\} \) is a \( 0 \)-Cauchy sequence if \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \rho_b(x_n, x_p) = 0 \)

(ii) The space \((X, \rho_b, s \geq 1)\) is said to be \( 0 \)-complete if for each \( 0 \)-Cauchy sequence \( \{x_n\} \) in \( X \), there exists a point \( x \in X \) such that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \rho_b(x_n, x_p) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \rho_b(x_n, x) = \rho_b(x, x) = 0.
\]

Moreover, they proved that if the partial \( b \)-metric space \((X, \rho_b, s \geq 1)\) is complete, then it is \( 0 \)-complete.

For a better understanding of the connections between these spaces (partial metric space, \( b \)-metric space, and partial \( b \)-metric space), we mention some papers that can be consulted [6–12].

Let \( \Phi_b \) be the set of functions \( \phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) that satisfy the following conditions:

\[
(\phi_1) \phi \text{ is nondecreasing}, \\
(\phi_2) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^i \phi^i(u) < \infty \text{ for each } u > 0. \text{ (Here, by } \phi^i, \text{ we denote the } i\text{th iterate of } \phi.)
\]

2. Main Results

The following is the main result of the paper.

Theorem 2. Let \((X, \rho_b, s \geq 1)\) be a \( 0 \)-complete partial \( b \)-metric space, a function \( \gamma \in \Gamma \), \( \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{G} \), and a self-mapping \( T : X \rightarrow X \). If there exists \( \phi \in \Phi_b \) such that

\[
\mathcal{V}(\rho_b(Tx, Ty), y(Tx), y(Ty)) \\
\leq \phi \left( \max \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{V}(\rho_b(x, y), y(x), y(y)),}{2} \mathcal{V}(\rho_b(x, Tx), y(Tx), y(x)) + \mathcal{V}(d(y, Ty), y(y)) \right\} \right).
\]
for every $x, y \in X$. If $T$ is continuous or $\rho_b$ is continuous, then $T$ has a unique fixed point.

**Proof.** Starting with a point $x_0 \in X$, we consider the sequence $\{x\}$ defined by $x_n = T x_{n−1}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Without losing the generality, we can assume that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $b(x_n, x_{n+1}) > 0$.

Indeed, on the contrary, if there exists a positive integer $j_0$ such that $x_{j_0} = x_{j_0+1}$, we get that $x_{j_0}$ is a fixed point of $T$, because due to the way the sequence was $\{x\}$ defined, it follows that $x_{j_0} = T x_{j_0}$. Moreover, using this remark, we can easily see that

$$G(\rho_b(x_n, x_{n+1}), y(x_n), y(x_{n+1})) > 0, \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (9)$$

Again supposing that $G(\rho_b(x_{j_0}, x_{j_0+1}), y(x_{j_0}), y(x_{j_0+1})) = 0$ for some $j_0$ from $(f_j)$, we have

$$0 < \rho_b(x_{j_0}, x_{j_0+1}) \leq \max \left\{ \rho_b(x_{j_0}, x_{j_0+1}), y(x_{j_0}) \right\} \leq G(\rho_b(x_{j_0}, x_{j_0+1}), y(x_{j_0}), y(x_{j_0+1})). \quad (10)$$

which is a contradiction. Taking $x = x_n$ and $y = x_{n+1}$ in (8) we get

$$\rho_b(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \phi(\rho_b(x_{n−1}, x_n), y(x_{n−1}), y(x_n)) \leq \phi^s(\rho_b(x_{n−1}, x_n), y(x_{n−1}), y(x_n)). \quad (16)$$

Consequently, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain

$$\rho_b(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \phi^s(\rho_b(x_{n−1}, x_n), y(x_{n−1}), y(x_n)). \quad (16)$$

Let $p, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p < m$. By applying the (triangle-type inequality) $(\rho_{b4})$, we have

$$\rho_b(x_p, x_m) \leq s [\rho_b(x_p, x_{p+1}) + \rho_b(x_{p+1}, x_m)] \leq s [\rho_b(x_p, x_{p+1}) + \rho_b(x_{p+1}, x_m)]$$

$$\leq s \rho_b(x_p, x_{p+1}) + s^2 \rho_b(x_{p+1}, x_{p+2}) + s^3 \rho_b(x_{p+2}, x_{p+3}) + \cdots + s^{m-p-1} \rho_b(x_{m-1}, x_m), \quad (17)$$

There are two possibilities, namely,

$$\max \{ G(\rho_b(x_n, x_{n+1}), y(x_n), y(x_{n+1})), \ G(\rho_b(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), y(x_{n+1}), y(x_{n+2})) \}$$

$$= G(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), y(x_{n+1}), y(x_{n+2})), \quad (12)$$

which leads us (since $\phi(u) < u$ for any $u > 0$) to

$$G(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), y(x_{n+1}), y(x_{n+2}))$$

$$\leq \phi(G(\rho_b(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), y(x_{n+1}), y(x_{n+2}))) \quad (13)$$

But, this is a contradiction, and then

$$\max \{ G(d(x_n, x_{n+1}), y(x_n), y(x_{n+1})), \ G(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), y(x_{n+1}), y(x_{n+2})) \}$$

$$= G(d(x_n, x_{n+1}), y(x_n), y(x_{n+1})). \quad (14)$$

Therefore, by (11) and taking into account $(f_j)$, we have

$$\rho_b(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq \max \{ \rho_b(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), y(x_{n+1}) \}$$

$$\leq G(\rho_b(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), y(x_{n+1}), y(x_{n+2}))$$

$$\leq \phi(G(\rho_b(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), y(x_n), y(x_{n+1})))$$

$$, \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \quad (15)$$
and (17) leads us to
\[
\rho_b(x_p, x_m) \leq \frac{1}{s-1} \sum_{i=p}^{m-1} s\phi'(\mathcal{G}(\rho_b(x_0, x_1), y(x_0), y(x_1)))
\]
\[
< \frac{1}{s-1} \sum_{i=p}^{m-1} s\phi'(\mathcal{G}(\rho_b(x_0, x_1), y(x_0), y(x_1)))
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{s-1} [S_{m-1} - S_{p-1}].
\]
(18)

where \( S_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n} s\phi'(\mathcal{G}(\rho_b(x_0, x_1), y(x_0), y(x_1))) \). Keeping in mind \((\phi_2)\), we deduce that there exists \( S_n \to S \) as \( n \to \infty \), and from (18), we get
\[
\lim_{p,m \to \infty} \rho_b(x_p, x_m) = 0.
\]
(19)

Consequently, \( \{x_n\} \) is a 0-Cauchy sequence in a 0-complete partial \( b \)-metric space, and then there exists \( \varsigma \in X \) such that
\[
\lim_{p,m \to \infty} \rho_b(x_p, x_m) = \lim_{p \to \infty} \rho_b(x_p, \varsigma) = \rho_b(\varsigma, \varsigma) = 0.
\]
(20)

Moreover, by \((f_3)\) together with (16), we have
\[
\gamma(x_n) \leq \max \{ \rho_b(x_n, x_{n+1}), y(x_n) \}
\]
\[
\leq \mathcal{G}(\rho_b(x_0, x_1), y(x_0), y(x_{n+1}))
\]
\[
\leq \phi^\gamma(\mathcal{G}(\rho_b(x_0, x_1), y(x_0), y(x_1)))
\]
and using \((\phi_3)\)
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma(x_n) = 0.
\]
(22)

Plus, by \((\phi_1)\) and (20),
\[
\gamma(\varsigma) = 0.
\]
(23)

We claim that this point \( \varsigma \) is in fact a fixed point of the mapping \( T \). If the mapping \( T \) is continuous, then by (6), we have
\[
\rho_b(\varsigma, \varsigma) = \lim_{p \to \infty} \rho_b(Tx_p, T\varsigma) = \lim_{p \to \infty} \rho_b(Tx_p, Tx_{p+1}) = 0.
\]
(24)

Thus, applying the triangle inequality \((\rho_4)\),
\[
\rho_b(\varsigma, T\varsigma) \leq s[\rho_b(\varsigma, x_{n+1}) + \rho_b x_{n+1}, T\varsigma] - \rho_b(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}),
\]
(25)
and together with (20) and (24), letting \( n \to \infty \), we get \( \rho_b(\varsigma, T\varsigma) = 0 \), that is, \( \varsigma \) is a fixed point of \( T \).

Let assume now that \( \rho_b \) is continuous, that is, \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \rho_b(x_n, T\varsigma) = \rho_b(\varsigma, T\varsigma) \). Replacing \( x \) by \( x_n \) and \( y \) by \( \varsigma \) in (8), we have (for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \))
\[
\mathcal{G}(\rho_b(x_n, T\varsigma), y(x_n), y(T\varsigma)) = \mathcal{G}(\rho_b(x_n, T\varsigma), y(x_n), y(T\varsigma))
\]
\[
\leq \phi \left( \max \left\{ \mathcal{G}(\rho_b(x_n, T\varsigma), y(x_n), y(T\varsigma)) + \mathcal{G}(\rho_b(\varsigma, T\varsigma), y(\varsigma), y(T\varsigma)) \right\} \right)
\]
\[
\leq \phi \left( \max \left\{ \mathcal{G}(\rho_b(x_n, x_{n+1}), y(x_n), y(x_{n+1})) + \mathcal{G}(\rho_b(\varsigma, T\varsigma), y(\varsigma), y(T\varsigma)) \right\} \right)
\]
\[
< \phi \left( \max \left\{ \mathcal{G}(\rho_b(x_n, x_{n+1}), y(x_n), y(x_{n+1})) + \mathcal{G}(\rho_b(\varsigma, T\varsigma), y(\varsigma), y(T\varsigma)) \right\} \right)
\]
(26)

Letting \( n \to \infty \) and taking into account \((f_1)\), we have
\[
\mathcal{G}(\rho_b(\varsigma, T\varsigma), 0, y(T\varsigma))
\]
\[
= \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{G}(\rho_b(x_n, T\varsigma), y(x_n), y(T\varsigma))
\]
\[
< \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{G}(\rho_b(x_n, x_{n+1}), y(x_n), y(x_{n+1})) + \mathcal{G}(\rho_b(\varsigma, T\varsigma), y(\varsigma), y(T\varsigma))
\]
\[
= \max \left\{ \mathcal{G}(0, 0, 0), \mathcal{G}(0, 0, 0) + \mathcal{G}(\rho_b(\varsigma, T\varsigma), 0, y(T\varsigma)) \right\}
\]
\[
= \frac{\mathcal{G}(\rho_b(\varsigma, T\varsigma), 0, y(T\varsigma))}{2}.
\]
(27)

Consequently, \( \mathcal{G}(\rho_b(\varsigma, T\varsigma), 0, y(T\varsigma)) = 0 \). But, taking \((f_3)\) into account, we get
\[
0 \leq \max \{ \rho_b(\varsigma, T\varsigma), 0 \} \leq \mathcal{G}(\rho_b(\varsigma, T\varsigma), 0, y(T\varsigma)) = 0,
\]
(28)
which means \( \rho_b(\varsigma, T\varsigma) = 0 \). Thus, \( T\varsigma = \varsigma \).

As a last step, we claim that \( \varsigma \) is the unique fixed point of \( T \). Supposing on the contrary, that there exists another point \( \upsilon \in X \) such that \( T\varsigma = \varsigma \neq \upsilon = T\upsilon \). First of all, applying (8) with \( x = \upsilon = y \), we have
\[
\mathcal{G}(0, y(\upsilon), y(\upsilon)) \leq \phi(\mathcal{G}(0, y(\upsilon), y(\upsilon))) < \mathcal{G}(0, y(\upsilon), y(\upsilon)).
\]
(29)
which implies that \( y(\upsilon) = y(T\upsilon) = 0 \). Let now \( x = \varsigma \) and \( y = \upsilon \) in (8). We have
\[
\mathcal{G}(\rho_b(\varsigma, \upsilon), 0, 0) = \mathcal{G}(\rho_b(T\varsigma, T\upsilon), y(T\varsigma), y(T\upsilon))
\]
\[
\leq \phi \left( \max \left\{ \mathcal{G}(\rho_b(\varsigma, \upsilon), y(\varsigma), y(\upsilon))\right\} \right)
\]
\[
= \phi \left( \max \left\{ \mathcal{G}(\rho_b(\varsigma, \upsilon), y(\varsigma), y(\upsilon))\right\} \right)\]
\[
\leq \phi(\mathcal{G}(\rho_b(\varsigma, \upsilon), 0, 0)) < \mathcal{G}(\rho_b(\varsigma, \upsilon), 0, 0).
\]
(30)

This is a contradiction. Therefore, \( \rho_b(\varsigma, \upsilon) = 0 \), that is, \( T \) admits a unique fixed point.

In particular, letting \( G(\tau, \upsilon, \omega) = \tau + \upsilon + \omega \), for \( \tau, \upsilon, \omega \in [0, \infty) \), we can omit the continuity conditions of the mapping \( T \) or the partial \( b \)-metric \( \rho_b \).
Theorem 3. Let \((X, \rho_b, s \geq 1)\) be a \(0\)-complete partial \(b\)-metric space, a function \(\gamma \in \Gamma\), \(G \in \mathcal{F}\), and a self-mapping \(T : X \rightarrow X\). If there exists \(\phi \in \Phi_b\) such that

\[
\rho_b(Tx, Ty) + \gamma(x) + \gamma(y) 
\leq \phi \left( \max \left\{ \frac{\rho_b(x, y) + \gamma(x) + \gamma(y)}{2s}, \rho_b(x, Tx) + \gamma(x) + \rho_b(y, Ty) + \gamma(y) \right\} \right),
\]

for every \(x, y \in X\), then \(T\) has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Of course, since the function \(G(\tau, \nu, \omega) = \tau + \nu + \omega\) in \(\mathcal{F}\), by Theorem 2, we have that the sequence \(\{x_n\}\) defined as \(x_n = Tx_{n-1}\) is convergent to a point \(\xi \in X\), and moreover, (22) and (23) hold. We claim that this point \(\xi\) is a fixed point of \(T\). For this purpose, by (31), for \(x = \xi\) and \(y = \xi\), we get

\[
\rho_b(\xi, T\xi) + \gamma(x_{n+1}) \geq \frac{s}{2} \rho_b(\xi, T\xi) + \gamma(T\xi).
\]

Letting \(n \rightarrow \infty\), in the above inequality and keeping in mind (19), (22), and (23), we get

\[
\rho_b(\xi, T\xi) + \gamma(T\xi) \leq \phi \left( \frac{\rho_b(\xi, T\xi) + \gamma(T\xi)}{2s} \right),
\]

which is a contradiction. Therefore, \(\rho_b(\xi, T\xi) = 0\), that is, \(T\xi = \xi\).

Example 4. Let the set \(X = [0, 1]\) and the function \(\rho_b : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]\) be defined by \(\rho_b(x, 1/2) = \rho_b(1/2, x) = 1\) for any \(x \in X\) and \(\rho_b(x, y) = (\max \{x, y\})^2\), otherwise. It easy to see that \(\rho_b\) is a partial \(b\)-metric space, with \(s = 2\). Moreover, since

\[
\lim_{n,m \to \infty} \rho_b(x_n, x_m) = \lim_{n,m \to \infty} \left( \max \{x_n, x_m\} \right)^2 = 0\]

implies \(\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 0\), we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \rho_b(x_n, 0) = \rho_b(0, 0) = 0,
\]

As in the previous theorem, supposing that there exists \(\nu\), another fixed point of \(T\), by (31), we have

\[
2\gamma(\nu) = \rho_b(T\nu, T\nu) + \gamma(\nu) + \gamma(T\nu) \leq \phi(2\gamma(\nu)) < 2\gamma(\nu),
\]

which is a contradiction. Thus, \(\gamma(\nu) = 0\) and taking \(x = \xi\) and \(y = \nu\) in (31), we have

\[
\rho_b(\xi, \nu) = \rho_b(\xi, \nu) + \gamma(\nu) + \gamma(\nu) = \rho_b(\xi, \nu) + \gamma(\nu),
\]

\[
\leq \phi \left( \frac{\rho_b(\xi, \nu) + \gamma(\nu)}{2s} \right),
\]

\[
= \phi(\rho_b(\xi, \nu)) < \rho_b(\xi, \nu).
\]

But, this is a contraction, so \(\rho_b(\xi, \nu) = 0\) which proves the uniqueness of the fixed point.
which shows that \((X, \rho_b, s)\) is 0-complete. On the other hand, taking, for example, the sequence \(\{x_n\}\) in \(X\), where \(x_n = n/(2n + 1)\), we have \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \rho_b(x_n, x_m) = 1\), but \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \rho_b(x_n, 1) = 1 = \rho_b(1/2, 1/2) = 1\). Thus, the space \((X, \rho_b, s)\) is not complete.

Let the mapping \(T : X \longrightarrow X\) be defined as

\[
T \xi = \begin{cases} 
\xi, & \text{if } \xi \in [0, 1), \\
1/2, & \text{if } \xi = 1.
\end{cases}
\]  

Choosing \(\phi(u) = u/2\) and \(\gamma(u) = u\), we have

(i) If \(\xi = \eta = 1\), then

\[
\rho_b(T \xi, T \eta) + \gamma(T \xi) + \gamma(T \eta) = \rho_b(1/2, 1/2) + 2\gamma(1/2) = 5/4 \leq 3/2
\]

(ii) If \(\xi = 1, \eta \in [0, 1)\), then

\[
\rho_b(T \xi, T \eta) + \gamma(T \xi) + \gamma(T \eta) = \rho_b(1, 1) + \gamma(1) + \gamma(1) = \rho_b(1, 1) \leq \rho_b(1, 1) + \gamma(T \xi) + \gamma(T \eta).
\]

(iii) If \(\xi, \eta \in [0, 1)\), then

\[
\rho_b(T \xi, T \eta) + \gamma(T \xi) + \gamma(T \eta) \leq 3 + x < 2 + x \leq \frac{3 + \xi + y}{2} \leq \frac{(\rho_b(T \xi, T \eta) + \gamma(T \xi) + y(\xi) + y(\eta))/4}{2}.
\]

(We considered here \(\max \{\xi, \eta\} = \xi\). The case \(\max \{\xi, \eta\} = \eta\) is similar.)

Consequently, by Theorem 3, the mapping \(T\) admits a unique fixed point.

3. Conclusion

In this paper, we investigate the uniqueness and the existence of a fixed point for certain contraction via the \(G\)-function in one of the most general frames and complete the partial \(b\)-metric space. Regarding that the metric fixed point theory has a key role in the solution of not only differential equations and fractional differential equations but also integral equations, our results can be applied in these problems.
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