The Search for Molecular Markers in a Gene-Orphan Case Study of a Pediatric Spinal Cord Pilocytic Astrocytoma
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Abstract. Background/Aim: We herein presented a case of pediatric spinal cord pilocytic astrocytoma diagnosed on the basis of histopathological and clinical findings. Materials and Methods: Given the paucity of data on genetic features for this tumor, we performed exome, array CGH and RNA sequencing analysis from nucleic acids isolated from a unique and not repeatable very small amount of a formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) specimen. Results: DNA mutation analysis, comparing tumor and normal lymphocyte peripheral DNA, evidenced few tumor-specific single nucleotide variants in DEFB119, MUC5B, NUDT1, LTBP3 and CPSF3L genes. Differently, tumor DNA was not characterized by for the main pilocytic astrocytoma gene variations, including BRAFV600E. An inframe trinucleotides insertion involving DLX6 or Inc DLX6-AS1 genes was scored in 44.9% of sequenced reads; the temporal profile of this variation on the expression of DLX-AS1 was investigated in patient’s urine-derived exosomes, reporting no significant variation in the one-year molecular follow-up. Array CGH identified a tumor microdeletion at the 6q25.3 chromosomal region, spanning 1.01 Mb and comprising ZDHHC14, SNX9, TULP4 and SYTL3 genes. The expression of these genes did not change in urine-derived exosomes during the one-year investigation period. Finally, RNAseq did not reveal any of the common pilocytic BRAF-KIAA1549 genes fusion events.

Conclusion: To our knowledge, the present report is one of the first described gene-orphan case studies of a pediatric spinal cord pilocytic astrocytoma.

Pilocytic astrocytoma (PA) is a World Health Organization (WHO) grade I tumor, accounting for approximately 25% of pediatric brain tumor patients and 1.5% of adult brain tumor patients with the incidence rate of 4.8 per million per year; these cancers occasionally affect the spinal cord (1). PA is generally considered to be a slow-growing, well-circumscribed lesion, often containing cystic areas that can be surgically curable after a gross total resection (2, 3). Spinal PA accounts for 21% of the intramedullary glial tumors that affect children and young adults and include primary and secondary spinal PA originated from dissemination of intracranial PA through cerebrospinal fluid (4-6). Primary spinal PA requires surgical resection similar to other intramedullary spinal tumors (7), but there is no clear evidence that adjuvant radio- and/or chemo-therapy treatments after surgery might be necessary. To date, only a few spinal cord PA, either case reports or sporadic ones, have been described in their genetic and molecular characteristic signatures (8-13). The most recurrent genetic alterations in WHO Grade I spinal cord astrocytomas are BRAF-KIAA1549 translocation, BRAF copy number gain and the BRAFV600E single nucleotide variation. Additionally, these tumors displayed non-synonymous mutations in NF2, NTRK1, NTRK3, PDGFRα and TP53 genes. However, according to current literature, BRAF mutations, the evaluation of the mitotic index and p53 immunoreactivity did not have a statistically significant relationship with the prognosis (14). As reviewed by Karsky and colleagues (15), some common mutations observed in cranial glioblastoma...
multiforme (GBM) specimens are also present in spinal astrocytomas, involving CDKN2A, PTEN, BRAF, TP53 and H3F3A genes. CDKN2A encodes a cell-cycle regulatory protein and its mutations may result in unregulated cell proliferation. PTEN is involved in regulating phosphorylation of membrane-bound phosphatidylinositol, which influences downstream PKB/Akt signaling to induce cell proliferation, migration and mRNA translation. Importantly, numerous downstream targets from PTEN have been identified, such as mTOR and Akt proteins, and several chemical antagonists of these effector proteins are currently under clinical investigation for managing cranial astrocytoma, theoretically opening the possibility of expanding treatments to spinal cord astrocytoma. The BRAF gene has also been observed to be a target of different mutational events, particularly the BRAF-KIAA1549 fusion chimeric transcripts. Generally, BRAF alterations result in a constitutively active protein that promotes tumor formation. However, constitutive activation of BRAF has not been shown to consistently result in a poorer prognosis for patients with cranial and spinal astrocytomas (16, 17).

In this study, we reported a genetic characterization of a rare pediatric spinal cord pilocytic astrocytoma, with a fundamental absence of the above mentioned molecular signatures that might represent a starting point to next compare with similar clinical cases to find common genetic determinants.

Materials and Methods

Case description. An 8-year-old male was diagnosed as having spinal cord pilocytic astrocytoma (WHO I) through MRI imaging and histopathology of tissue sections at the neurosurgical Unit of Besta Institute (Milan, Italy). Before diagnosis, the patient documented a hypotrophy and hyposthenia condition localized at the right inferior leg. A single and not repeatable specimen of about 90 mg was surgically removed from the dorsal lumbar region, formalin fixed, paraffin embedded (FFPE), and cut into 2-4 μm-thick sections. For immunohistological analyses, slices were deparaaffinized in xylene and rehydrated through decreasing concentrations (100, 95, 90, 80 and 70%) of ethyl alcohol, then rinsed in distilled water. Antigen retrieval was performed in 0.01 mol/l sodium citrate buffer (pH 6.0) in 90˚C solution of 0.001 mol/l EDTA for 20 min. Endogenous peroxidase activity was quenched with 3% hydrogen peroxide in distilled water.Slides were treated with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA), and 5% normal goat serum in PBS containing 0.05% Triton (Merck, Kenilworth, NJ, USA) and incubated in a closed humid chamber overnight at 4˚C with the following antibodies: GFAP (1:200 dilution, Abcam, ab7260, Cambridge, UK); Olig-2 (1:50; Abcam, ab42453); EMA (1:100; LSBio, LSDBC77445, Huissen, Netherlands) and MIB1 (1:50, LSBio, LSDBC99610). Staining was detected using the EnVision-System-HRP Labeled Polymer Anti-Rabbit or Anti-Mouse for 1 hour at room temperature and then the Chromogen DAB/substrate reagent (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark). Slides were counterstained with hematoxylin (Merck), dehydrated and mounted. Quantitative analyses were performed on three to five independent fields by counting the number of cells using the 40x objective of a Leica DM-LB microscope. Histological samples were analyzed by independent and experienced neuropathologists.

Whole-exome sequencing (WES) and array CGH analysis. For WES, DNA of the tumor specimen was isolated from a portion of FFPE specimen using the NucleoSpin DNA FFPE XS kit (Macherey-Nagel, Duren, Germany); in parallel, peripheral blood DNA of the patient was isolated using QIamp Blood DNA Mini kit (Qagen, Hilden, Germany). Genomic DNA samples were then visualized with a 1% agarose gel electrophoresis and quantified using Qubit DNA HS kit (Invitrogen, Carlshad, CA, USA) and Nanodrop 2000 (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) instruments. DNAs were then gently sonicated to achieve an average fragment size of 250 base pairs, size selected and barcoded. Multiplexed pools were hybridized with biotinylated baits (Truseq Exome library Prep kit, Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) designed to capture exonic sequences. The captures were sequenced on the NextSeq500 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) and mutation analysis was performed by MuTect2 (GATK version 4.beta.4-SNAPSHOT built at 13-45-2017) according to Best practices di GATK. Array-CGH analyses were performed by using the 180K chip (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. In brief, both test and reference DNAs were labelled using the SureTag Labeling Kit (Agilent Technologies). After overnight hybridization, images of the arrays were acquired with the Agilent scanner at resolution of 3 μm. Data analysis and interpretation was performed by means of the Feature Extraction v.10 software (Agilent Technologies) and the Agilent Genomic Workbench Standard Edition v.7.0. Genomic positions were referred to GRCh37. Two distinct array experiments were performed in order to better characterize the genomic profile of the tumor. The first array test was done by hybridizing cancer DNA vs. blood DNA, while the second experiment by comparing the patient’s blood DNA and a sex-matched reference DNA extracted from the peripheral blood of a healthy well characterized individual. Combining the results from these two experiments, CNVs acquired by the cancer DNA and those absent in the patient’s constitutional genome were highlighted.

Real-time PCR (allelic discrimination) assay. The BRAFV600E mutation was determined by an allelic discrimination Real-time PCR based approach using specific Taqman validated primers and probes (Assay ID BRAF_476_mu, ThermoFisher Scientific). VIC-labeled probe was specific for the wt sequence, whereas FAM labeled one was complementary to the mutant allele. Sample ΔCt values were calculated as the difference between the mutation assay Ct (FAM-probe) and the wt assay Ct (VIC-probe) from the same sample. Reactions were performed using tumor 1 ng of DNA as a template on a Real-Time PCR StepOne Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) under the following cycling conditions: denaturation at 94˚C for 3 min, and 45 cycles of 95˚C for 15 sec and 60˚C for 1 min (with single fluorescence acquisition). The amplification curves were analyzed by using StepOne Software v2.3 (Applied Biosystems).

BRAFV600E detection using Digital Polymerase Chain Reaction (dPCR). The TaqMan Braf SNP Genotyping assay was used for dPCR detection. Each 15 μl dPCR reaction contained 0.375 μl
BRAF assay, 7.5 μl QuantStudio 3D Digital PCR Master Mix 2X (ThermoFisher Scientific), 6.125 μl nuclease-free water (ThermoFisher Scientific), and 1 μl DNA. The dPCR reactions were then loaded onto a QuantStudio 3D Digital PCR Chip v2 (ThermoFisher Scientific). The chips were performed using QuantStudio 3D Digital PCR System (ThermoFisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. The dPCR cycling was performed as follows: Initial denaturation at 96˚C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95˚C for 5 sec, annealing at 60˚C for 30 sec and elongation at 72˚C for 1 min. The levels of wt and mutated DNA were determined using QuantStudio 3D Analysis Suite Software (ThermoFisher Scientific) by analyzing the numbers and proportions of positive/negative droplets.

Genes-fusions detection. To detect tumor genes-fusion events from a low input RNA content of the tumor FFPE biopsy specimen, a QuantSeq 3’ mRNA-Seq Service for Illumina NextSeq 500 was performed by Lexogen (Wien, Austria) in triplicates. Sequencing was performed as 72 bp single-end reads and then raw sequences (about 47 million reads per sample) were aligned to hg38 reference genome and transcriptome within the following gene-fusion detection methods: JAFFA (1.09v) (18), TopHat-Fusion (2.1.0v) (19) and FusionMap (10.0.1.29v) (20) using standard settings. Each read was evaluated after read mapping using ‘split reads’ method (TopHat-Fusion, FusionMap) or de novo assembled into contigs and aligned to the transcriptome (JAFFA) for identifying potential fusion events. Fusion results were filtered according to the following criteria: i) span of the reads was >3 reads or span reads equal to 1 read but the candidate event was present in half of the analyzed samples; ii) gene fusions involving ribosomal proteins, small nuclear ribosomal proteins or pseudogenes were excluded; iii) presence of supporting information in the Mitelman (21), COSMIC (22) and ChimericDB (23) mutational databases. Primers for *RPS6KB1*:VMP1, *ARFGEF2*:SULF2 and *XRN2*:AHD1 predicted chimeric transcripts as well as pilocytic astrocytoma common genes fusions *BRAF*:KIAA1549, are reported in Table I. For genes-fusion analysis, total RNA was isolated from a small portion of FFPE tumor slices using NucleoSpin total RNA FFPE XS kit (Macherey-Nagel, Duren, Germany), quantified using Qubit RNA Hs assay (Invitrogen), retro-transcribed to cDNA and amplified using Power SYBR Green RNA-to-Ct 1-Step kit (Applied Biosystems). Real-time PCR amplifications highlighted the presence of the wt allele (VIC) and did not reveal the mutated (FAM) one (Figure 3B); similarly, digital PCR detection on 4563 droplets showed 47.6% of the samples was positive for GFAP and Olig-2 proteins while negative for EMA expression. Proliferation index was evaluated as 1-2% by MIB1 expression (Figure 2).

Results

Histological characterization. The resected tumor specimen was subjected to microscopic analysis. As documented in Figure 1, the lesion consisted of elongated bipolar cells with low to moderate cellularity, rare Rosenthal fibers on fibrillary and microcystic areas. The tumor cells exhibited typical pilocytic astrocytoma features with rare mitotic figures. Immunohistochemistry revealed that tumor cells were positive for GFAP and Olig-2 proteins while negative for EMA expression. Proliferation index was evaluated as 1-2% by MIB1 expression (Figure 2).

Detection of *BRAF*V600E mutation. Genomic DNA from a portion of the FFPE specimen was extracted as described, analysed in its integrity and quantified by Nanodrop (380 ng/μl; OD260/280=1.9) and Qubit (300 ng/μl). Following gel electrophoresis, isolated DNA showed the expected fragmentation pattern (Figure 3A). A specific TaqMan PCR allelic assay was employed for *BRAF*V600E genotyping. Real-time PCR amplifications highlighted the presence of the wt allele (VIC) and did not reveal the mutated (FAM) one (Figure 3B); similarly, digital PCR detection on 4563 droplets showed the following thermal profile: 94˚C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 94˚C for 10 sec, annealing at 60˚C for 30 sec and elongation at 72˚C for 1 min. Validated QuantiT Primer Assays-specific primers (Qiagen) Hs_SYNU2_1_SG, Hs_ZDHHC14_1_SG, Hs_SNX9_1_SG and Hs_TULP4_1_SG were used as recommended for *SYNU2*, *ZDHHC14*, *SNX9* and *TULP4* amplifications, respectively. The qNano Gold instrument (Izon Science, Christchurch, New Zealand) was employed to measure the size distribution and concentration of the isolated urine exosomes using the Tunable Resistive Pulse Sensing (TRPS) principle (24). Briefly, 35 μl of purified exosomes were analyzed with qNANO Gold instrument using a NP200 Nanopore (Izon Science) and applying 46 nm stretch, 0.1 V and 10 mPa parametric conditions.

| Gene fusions          | Sequence 5’-3’ | bp  |
|-----------------------|---------------|-----|
| *RPS6KB1*:VMP1        | AAATTTTGGCATGAGGGTCTT | 75  |
| *ARFGEF2*:SULF2       | AGCTATACAGAAGAGAAGCAGC | 76  |
| *XRN2*:AHD1           | CCGGTCCTTGTCACTACCTG | 100 |
| *BRAF*:KIAA1549       | CCTCGACGTAGCTTTTGGACAGA | 340 |
| exons 9:16            | AACTGCTAGGTTAGTGGTC | 354 |
| *BRAF*:KIAA1549       | TCCTGATGCCCAGACTTGGTT | 169 |
| exons 9:15            | AACTGCTAGGTTAGTGGTC |      |
| *BRAF*:KIAA1549       | CCTGAGTAAACACTTGGTAGAC | 169 |
| exons 9:11            | AACTGCTAGGTTAGTGGTC |      |

Table I. Gene-fusion primers.
in tumor DNA 0% positive amplicons for FAM mutated dye, while peripheral blood DNA displayed 64.65% positive signals for VIC wt dye.

**Whole-exome sequencing (WES) and urine exosomes isolation.** Genomic DNA isolated from FFPE specimen was subjected to WES analysis. To identify somatic and germline mutations, tumor DNA was compared to the genomic one extracted from peripheral lymphocytes of the patient. As a primary output quality, tumor DNA sequencing showed a 277X coverage, compared to lymphocyte sample (97X). Most significant single nucleotide (SNV) and insertions/deletions (indels) variants predicted by Mutect2 software are reported in Table II. As highlighted, five genes (i.e. DEFB119, MUC5B, NUDT1, LTBP3 and CPSF3L) displayed unique somatic SNV within the tumor sample. However, none of the top-listed gene variants was previously described in glioma/astrocytoma/glioblastoma mutation studies; furthermore, these hits were also absent within oncogenes and tumor suppressors databases (i.e. ongene, bioinfo-minzhao.org; bioinfo.uth.edu/TSGene). In addition, the identified variations were absent from the Cosmic Catalogue of Somatic Variations (cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic, searching for “Astrocytoma WHO Grade I” and “spinal cord” terms) (data not shown). As illustrated in Table II, the most relevant indel variation was an in-frame insertion of the trinucleotide GCC at Chr7: 96,635,420 position, according to human hg19 reference, as illustrated using IGV sequence visualizer (Figure 4A). As schematized in Figure 4B, this variation affected SNP rs559903070, resulting in the insertion of an extra Proline residue at position 45 of the DLX6 coding sequence or producing an intron variant within DLX6-AS1 lncRNA on the opposite DNA strand. DLX6 multiple protein alignment revealed that the amino-acid insertion was only detected in chimpanzee protein sequence (Figure 5A); however, Mutagene analysis (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/research/mutagene/gene) revealed that this insertion was not scored in cancer databases, where only a potential driver mutation within DLX6 was identified at Serine 60 residue (Figure 5B). To further analyze cancer OMICS data, the UALCAN tool (25) was employed, matching DLX6 expression with different WHO grades of astrocytic tumor databases (specifically, TCGA and MET500). As a result, plots depicting gene expression indicated that normal samples showed the highest DLX6 expression levels compared with primary glioma tumors. A slight but not significant increase in survival rate (p=0.66) was scored compared DLX6 high to medium/low expression in Kaplan-
Meier curves (Figure 6). On the other hand, the predicted Lnc DLX6-AS1 mutation mapped within the intron 1 sequence and might affect the acceptor splicing site ctgcgagtgcgg (according to SplicePort: An Interactive Splice Site Analysis Tool, http://spliceport.cbcb.umd.edu; Cutoff=0.643; Score=0.888). As recently documented, the expression of lnc DLX6-AS1 was related to important tumor features (26). Remarkably, DLX6-AS1 mRNA expression was detected within exosome vesicles, according to the repository exoRBase database (exorbase.org) of circular RNA (circRNA), long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) and messenger RNA (mRNA) derived from RNA-seq data analyses of human liquid biopsies derived exosomes.
Based on these premises, exosomes of the patient were collected from 150 ml of fresh urine, concentrated and subjected to isolation procedure as described in the Materials and Methods Section. Size and microvesicles concentration of purified exosomes were determined using unable Resistive Pulse Sensing (TRPS) principle in combination with qNANO Gold technology. As illustrated in Figure 7, typically from a concentrated urine sample, 2 ×10^{11} total exosomes, ranging from 70-180 nm were recovered. Next, DLX6-AS1 expression was investigated by Real-time PCR in RNA isolated from urine exosomes of the patient during a one-year follow up, showing however no significant expression variations along this period (data not shown).

Array CGH analysis. In order to highlight chromosomal differences in the tumor specimen, genomic DNAs of tumor samples and peripheral lymphocyte controls were subjected to array CGH analysis. The results, reported in Figure 8, pointed for a 6q25.3 copy number loss from 157950057 to 158967394 bp (GRCh37 nucleotide coordinates) with an average log_2 ratio of –0.67, indicated that the 1,01 Mb deletion was present in about 75% of the cells of the tumor specimen. No additional copy number changes were scored. To confirm that the deletion arose from tumor cells a second array-CGH experiment, in which the DNA extracted from the peripheral blood was hybridized to a different sex-matched reference DNA, was performed. The second experiment did not show any CNV at 6q25.3 confirming that the deletion was present only in cancer cells (data not shown). The deletion region encompassed ZDHHC14, SNX9, SERAC1, TULP4, GTF2H5, DYNLT1 and SYTL3 genes, suggesting that their expression could be influenced by the deletion.
by the genomic imbalance. The expression of four of these genes (i.e. ZDHHC14, SNX9, TULP4 and SYTL3) were periodically evaluated from freshly isolated urine-derived exosomes of the patient, showing, in the last one year survey, no significant variation in their expression levels (data not shown).

**Gene fusion detection.** To investigate potential gene fusions in the tumor tissue specimen of the patient, RNA-seq and the main gene-fusion predicting tools were employed. JAFFA, TopHat-Fusion and FusionMap algorithms produced different results and no common predicted fusions were detected. This issue could be due to the low and deteriorated input RNA that has been sequenced, and, as a consequence, only a small fraction of the raw reads aligned to the reference (i.e. about 16% of the sample raw reads). Applying the filtering criteria (see Materials and Methods section) on the obtained results from three analysis pipelines, FusionMap predictions were excluded since the only four identified gene fusions involved ‘blacklisted’ genes, that are ribosomal genes or pseudogenes (data not shown). For a validation analysis, RPS6KB1:VMP1, ARFGEF2:SULF2 and XRN2:AHDC1 candidate chimeric transcripts that matched the selection filters were selected (Table III). Genes-fusion specific primers were, therefore, designed for the above mentioned chimeric potential transcripts and assayed in Real-time PCR analysis on cDNA derived from tumor sample. However, no specific amplification signals for all the predicted fusions were obtained (data not shown). Noticeable, neither JAFFA nor Tophat algorithms did predict for RNA data the pilocytic astrocytoma frequent fusion event between BRAF and KIAA1549 genes. To verify the accuracy in absence of prediction, specific primers for the main exons involved in BRAF and KIAA1549 fusions were designed (namely, BRAF exon 9-KIAA1549 exon 16; BRAF exon 11-KIAA1549 exon 16 and BRAF exon 9-KIAA1549 exon 15). In order to define a positive control harboring the three investigated fusion events, considering that oncogenic BRAF alterations including BRAF-KIAA1549 fusions were detected in different brain tumors (17), a pool of cDNA derived from 50 biopsy specimens of different astrocytoma malignancy was created and tested for the BRAF-KIAA1549 fusion events. In astrocytoma samples, only BRAF exon 11-KIAA1549 exon 16 fusion gave amplification curves and products. This amplicon was Sanger sequenced confirming the BRAF:KIAA1549 fusion event. Next, cDNA of the tumor specimen was amplified using the three different primers combinations, giving no amplification signals for the investigated BRAF:KIAA1549 fusions (Figure 9).
Figure 5. DLX6 protein sequences containing the WES identified variation. (A) Clustal W multiple DLX6 proteins alignment (accession numbers are referred to human, mouse, chicken, chimpanzee and macaco sequences, respectively). Variation is indicated with a blue arrow. (B) Mutagene analysis in Pan-cancer databases of the chr7:96,635,420 (referred to hg19) WES identified mutation. A unique Serine 60 potential driver mutation was scored (yellow circled). Bar colors indicated missense (violet), silent (green) and Nonsense and loss of start/stop codon mutations (brown).

Figure 6. DLX6 expression in tumor and survival prediction. (Left) DLX6 expression plots in normal (n=5) and primary glioma tumors (n=156) using the UALCAN OMICS tool comparing normal to tumor glioma databases (specifically, TCGA and MET500). (Right) Kaplan-Meier survival predicting curves related to high (red, n=39) and low-to-medium DLX6 expression (blue, n=113).
Spinal cord tumors are often histologically similar to the intracranial counterparts, but displaying genetically unique signatures. Therefore, for these cancers, a precise understanding of molecular and genetic determinants may better define the tumor classification, identify cells of origin leading to a more effective therapeutic strategy. In addition, genetic alteration studies may also serve to provide novel surrogate markers for evaluating clinical outcomes and better monitor the risk assessment for cancer recurrence. Although many studies have investigated the genetics of intracranial glial tumors, fewer studies have clearly identify the genetic determinants in spinal cord astrocytomas.

In one of the most detailed contributions, Shankar and collaborators (14) performed a genetic study on 10 spinal cord astrocytoma specimens through exome sequencing reporting that the most recurrent findings were BRAF-KIAA1549 translocation and BRAF copy number gain. Additionally, non-synonymous mutations in NF2, NTRK1, NTRK3, PDGFR and TP53 genes were scored. Notably, in the described study, no specimen in the cohort displayed the BRAFV600E mutation. A very recent survey of the literature reported that the most recurrent findings were H3F3A and ATRX mutations. However, to date, there is no information on the identification of specific spinal cord astrocytomas markers such IDH1/2, H3F3A and FGFR2 related to brain glial tumors (27).

Among all the reported genes variations, in our pediatric pilocytic spinal cord examination, we failed to detect the above mentioned genetic hallmarks. Differently, unique mutations in the tumor tissue for DEFB119, MUC5B, NUDT1, LTBP3 and CPSF3L genes were reported. DEFB119 encodes a member of the beta subfamily of defensins, antimicrobial peptides that protect tissues and organs from infection by a variety of microorganisms. Its involvement in cancer progression was not reported. MUC5B is a member of the mucin family of proteins, which are highly glycosylated macromolecular components of mucus secretions. This family member is the major gel-forming mucin in mucus. It is a major contributor to the lubricating and viscoelastic properties of whole saliva, normal lung mucus and cervical mucus. This gene has been found to be up-regulated in some human diseases, including sinus mucosa of chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS), CRS with nasal polyposis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and H. pylori-associated gastric disease, and it may be involved in the pathogenesis of these diseases (28). Although Liu and collaborators (29) recently reported that recurrent mutations in MUC genes, including MUC5B, were significantly associated with better survival prognosis in several cancer patients, the MUC5B rs79773885 A (Ala)>A (Ala) synonymous variation described in our study was not associated with pathogenesis. NUDT1 protein hydrolyzes oxidized purine nucleoside triphosphates, such as 8-oxo-dGTP, 8-oxo-dATP, 2-hydroxy-dATP, and 2-hydroxy rATP, to monophosphates, thereby preventing nucleotides misincorporation. It was reported that the overexpression of NUDT1 correlated closely with an increase in invasive depth and a decrease in survival in gastric cancer patients (30). However, the identified synonymous variation (i.e. rs2625875) was reported to not have a clinical significance.

The Latent Transforming Growth Factor Beta Binding Protein 3 (LTBP3) forms a complex with transforming growth factor beta (TGF-beta) proteins and may be involved in their subcellular localization. Interestingly, the identified mutation (scored only in 14/75 tumor sample reads) lied within LTBP3 intron 3, 15 nucleotides upstream of the splicing acceptor site. However, bioinformatics prediction of the possible role of the nucleotide variation in affecting splicing process did not produce significant evidences (data not reported). Importantly, it was recently reported that LTBP3 is involved in the early dissemination of primary cancer cells, namely in the invasation step of the metastatic cascade. In fact, LTBP3 depletion diminished the angiogenesis-inducing potential of HEP-3 cells in vivo, which was restorable by exogenous delivery of LTBP3 protein. Thus, absence of functional mutation within LTBP3
Figure 8. Array CGH analysis. Array-CGH profile of the tumor vs. peripheral blood lymphocytes of the patient showing the 6q25.3 deletion in mosaic (average log2 ratio=–0.67). The top panel shows the chromosome view while the bottom panel reports the genomic profile of the 6q25.3 deleted region.
gene might confer favorable prognostic features even in the context of spinal cord pilocytic astrocytoma (31). Lastly, CPSF3L, also known as Integrator Complex Subunit 11 (INTS11) gene is a complex of at least 12 subunits and associates with the C-terminal domain of RNA polymerase II large subunit and mediates the 3-prime end processing of small nuclear RNAs U1 and U2. The identified mutation mapped within intron 4 of CPSF3L, without apparently affect splicing of other predictable transcription regulation.

RNAseq and subsequent bioinformatics analysis were employed to evaluate in the tumor biopsy specimen the presence of genes-fusions, particularly those related to the reported BRAF-KIAA1549 chimeras. This analysis failed to reveal any effective genes fusions as also verified by specific Real-time PCR amplifications. A possible indeterminacy of this result could be linked to the reduced quantity of the unique and not repeatable biological material available for RNAseq analysis and its partial degradation status.

Array CGH analysis revealed a 6q25.3 deletion within tumor specimen. This chromosomal region includes in particular the tumor suppressor zinc finger DHHC-type containing 14 (ZDHHC14). ZDHHC14 expression was significantly decreased in a panel of prostate cancer samples and cell lines and its inducible overexpression led to reduced cell viability and increased apoptosis (32, 33). In addition, Monoranu and collaborators (34) reported that pediatric

| Fusion          | Gene left fusion | Chr left gene | Chr Coord. left gene | Gene right fusion | Chr right gene | Chr Coord. right gene | Spanning reads | Prediction method | Detected samples | Known fusion |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| XRN2:AHDC1      | XRN2             | chr20         | 21334185             | AHDC1            | chr1          | 27534689             | 8              | TopHat-Fusion     | 1/3             | Yes          |
| ARFGEF2:SULF2   | ARFGEF2          | chr20         | 48922010             | SULF2            | chr20         | 47736942             | 3              | JAFFA            | 1/3             | Yes          |
| RPS6KB1:VMP1    | RPS6KB1          | chr17         | 59910611             | VMP1             | chr17         | 59838295             | 1              | JAFFA            | 2/3             | Yes          |

Genes fusion products, chromosomes (Chr) at left and right positions, their chromosomal coordinates (Chr Coord.) are reported.
anaplastic intracranial ependymomas harboring the 6q25.3 deletion showed significantly longer overall survival than did patients of the same group without the aberration, independent of the extent of resection. To date, our one-year survey of ZDHHC14 expression did not reveal any significant variation in ZDHHC14 mRNA within peripheral urine-derived exosomes. Similar results were obtained evaluating the temporal expression differences in SNX9, TULP4 and SYTL3 genes. However, this analysis will be further extended over time since differences in genes expression through these liquid biopsies might indicate significant variations perturbing the homeostasis of the tumor/normal cells pointing for a possible increase of proliferation and malignancy.

The most frequently identified variant by WES analysis was SNP rs559903070. This SNP determined a DLX6 intron variant in one strand or a DLX6-AS1 intron variant in the other DNA strand. In our study, 44.9% of tumor reads, displayed a putative inframe insertion resulting in an extra Proline residue or a tri-nucleotides insertion within an intronic sequence of DLX6-AS1 gene. The Proline insertion was localized in a Proline-rich region of the mature protein, not affecting Hox and Abdominal A superfamily functional domains. While DLX6 (Distal-Less Homeobox 6) gene was not involved in cancer, differently, Inc DLX6-AS1 was very recently deeply investigated, promoting tumorigenesis in pancreatic (35), colorectal (36), ovarian (37), lung (38), gastric (39) and liver (40) cancers. These contributions pointed for a role of this IncRNA in proliferation and metastasis induction and also suggested DLX6-AS1 as a potential therapeutic target (41). Based on these evidence, we performed a molecular-based follow-up of the pediatric patient by means of liquid biopsies, consisting in urine-derived exosomes. Exosomes are nanosized extracellular vesicles with a size range of 30-150 nm, plentiful in our body in both physiological and pathological conditions. Importantly, exosomes are important mediators of intracellular communication among tumor cells, immune cells and stromal cells. They can shuttle to different cells and body fluids bioactive molecules, such as proteins, lipids, RNA, and DNA. In recent years, tumor-associated cargo in exosomes has been a hot topic in research, especially with respect to noncoding RNAs (42). Primarily, due to large initial volumes of the biological sample, a concentration protocol was set up for exosome isolation. The quality and concentration of exosomes was assessed using the TRPS technology (18). However, according to the obtained results, no significant variations in DLX6-AS1 expression was reported along one year of follow-up.

In conclusion, despite the absence of clear genetic determinants related to the investigated pediatric spinal cord pilocytic astrocytoma case, considering the above mentioned biological limitations, further research in this direction can provide much better elucidation of the genetic and epigenetic changes that occur in tumor cells, it can help to identify new promising biomarkers, and to develop innovative strategies for the diagnosis and early treatment strategies of pediatric spinal cord astrocytomas. Furthermore, because of the rarity of these tumors, collaborative, multicenter investigations will be required to integrate genetics information toward the design of more effective treatment options and in clinical decision making.
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