Insights of Hysteresis Behaviors in Perovskite Solar Cells from a Mixed Drift-Diffusion Model Coupled with Recombination
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Abstract: Hysteresis in perovskite solar cells is a notorious issue limiting its development in stability, reproducibility and efficiency. Ions’ migration coupled with charges’ recombination are indispensable factors to generate the hysteretic curves on the basis of experimental and theoretical calculation studies, however, the underlying physical characteristics are rarely clarified. Here, a mixed electronic-ionic drift-diffusion model combined with bulk and interfacial recombination is investigated. Positive and negative ion species could drift to and accumulate at interfaces between the perovskite/transport layers, influencing internal electric potential profiles and delaying the charges’ ejection to the transport layers. The charges might recombine spontaneously or trap-assisted, reducing the total amount of electrons and holes collected in the external circuit, leading to a diminished photocurrent. Moreover, our calculations indicate that an appropriate measurement protocol is really essential to evaluate the device performance precisely and to suppress J–V hysteresis. Meanwhile, a negligible hysteretic loop could be obtained by balancing the material properties of the transport layers and restraining the ions mobility in the perovskite layer.
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1. Introduction

Research enthusiasm on organic–inorganic perovskite solar cells (PSCs) has risen tremendously within the last decade, attributed to their remarkable optoelectronic properties, such as high carrier mobility, suitable band gap and excellent economic efficiency [1]. Seok et al. [2] published a certified power conversion efficiency (PCE) of 23.73% by stabilizing α-phase formamidinium lead iodide (FAPbI3) with methylenediammonium dichloride (MDACl2) dopant material, and their lab-measured champion device presented a PCE of 24.66%; meanwhile, the NREL (National Renewable Energy Laboratory, U.S.) best research-cell efficiencies presented a record of 25.2% [3]. Moreover, the perovskite/silicon tandem solar cells have achieved a PCE of 26.7% recently [4]. Despite the fact that numerous achievements have been obtained to commercialize the PSCs, one of the major barriers is photocurrent hysteresis, over or underestimating the device performance [5]. The hybrid perovskite materials were reported to be combined conductors of electrons and ions [6]. Experimental and theoretical studies indicated that the iodine (I−) and the methylammonium (MA+) are the major available mobile ion species in the perovskite material of methylammonium lead triiodide (MAPbI3) [7]. Furthermore, it is these ions’ migration combined with trap-assisted recombination that give rise to the anomalous current density–voltage (J–V) hysteresis [8,9]. However, it has yet to be clearly clarified what characteristics inside the PSC are modified if the ions could migrate regularly and what functions of the recombination...
act as to induce the hysteretic phenomena. Besides, numerical research work on the improvement of measurement protocols and material properties to suppress J–V hysteresis is rarely performed.

A range of approaches have been studied to model the characteristics of the PSCs. Density functional theory (DFT), based on the first principle, is widely used to calculate the microscopic properties of the perovskite materials \[10\]. Eames et al. \[11\] estimated the activation energies for different ion species using DFT calculation, and the results present 0.58, 0.84 and 2.31 eV for I\(^{-}\), MA\(^{+}\) and Pb\(^{2+}\), respectively. A significant limitation for DFT study is the massive computational cost, and it is infeasible to calculate the entire PSC device structure with complicated boundary conditions. In this case, the drift-diffusion model is capable of explaining the macroscopic performance based on the microscopic properties, utilizing the conservation of charge carriers coupled with the balance of electric potential. Van Reenen et al. \[9\] firstly combined the mobile ions into the PSC drift-diffusion simulations to reproduce the experimental hysteretic phenomena, assuming an unrealistically high ion mobility and a high scan speed. Richardson et al. \[12\] implemented an asymptotic analysis of a single perovskite layer including the mobile iodide ion vacancies. Calado et al. \[13\] investigated the entire PSC layers using the drift-diffusion method by simplifying the heterojunctions to homojunctions, neglecting the property differences between the perovskite layer and the electron and hole transport layers (ETL and HTL). Courtier et al. \[14–16\] systematically studied the algorithms to solve the drift-diffusion equations credibly and fast, while only the positive ions’ migration was concluded in their numerical model. All of the abovementioned calculations require very profound mathematical and programming knowledge, hostile to the non-expert. Shen \[17\] and Xiang \[18\] attempted to use a multi-physics platform to define the drift-diffusion process of the charge carriers and ion species in the PSC and reproduced the notorious hysteresis J–V curves as occurred in experiments. More deep mechanism study on the working principles of the mobile ions in J–V hysteresis is needed.

Hysteresis elimination is the ultimate goal to which the researchers are dedicated. Numerical and theoretical studies could give a general experimental guideline to modify material properties or manufacturing processes to passivate J–V hysteresis. However, research in this area is scarce. Recently, Courtier et al. \[16\] and Xiang et al. \[18\] investigated the influences of how the bulk recombination and the interfacial recombination affect the shape of the J–V hysteresis curves, and put forward a simple diagnosis criterion to determine which kind of recombination was dominantly occurring inside the PSCs, but failed to make a further study on how to eliminate J–V hysteresis. George Alexandru et al. \[19\] studied how the measurement protocols affected the normal and inverted J–V hysteresis curves, proposing to reduce the hysteresis by changing the pre-poling conditions. However, the pre-poling treatment could just deal with the superficial issue; the defects and hysteresis still exist inside the PSC.

In this work, a well-rounded drift-diffusion model combined with the constraints of Shockley Read Hall (SRH) recombination is performed to reveal the underlying factors that support the hysteresis phenomena in the PSCs. We show that both the mobile ions and the SRH recombination are necessary to generate the hysteretic J–V loops. The corresponding variations of electric field and potential during the J–V measurement are proposed to expose the nature of hysteresis. From the point of measurement protocol, a fast J–V scan rate is essential to suppress J–V hysteresis with great photovoltaic efficiency. A positive voltage pre-treatment facilitates the PSC to generate a superior efficiency performance with reduced hysteresis, while scan direction could significantly affect the extent and shape of the J–V hysteresis curves. From the point of material properties, in order to passivate J–V hysteresis effectively, doping concentration and relative permittivity of the ETL and the HTL are supposed to increase equivalently, while no significant effect to enhance them imbalanced. Furthermore, the ion movement inside the perovskite layer should be limited to further diminish J–V hysteresis.

2. Methods

A device configuration of metal contact/ETL (100 nm)/perovskite (400 nm)/HTL (100 nm)/metal contact was adopted to perform the calculations concerning the J–V hysteretic phenomena. The charge
transport was assumed to be directly across the interfaces in one spatial dimension (x). In addition, the influences of morphology, composition and grain structure of the perovskite layer were neglected. Although these factors could not be calculated in our calculation directly, they determine the characteristics of the perovskite layer, such as permittivity, ion concentration and mobility, which are analyzed in Section 3.3. As seen in Figure 1, the calculation model defined the motion of the free electrons (n), holes (p), defect negative ions (N) and positive ions (P) using the time-dependent drift-diffusion equations \([14,18]\), including the bulk recombination \((R_{\text{bulk}})\) occurring inside the perovskite layer and the interfacial recombination \((R_{\text{ETL}}\) and \(R_{\text{HTL}})\) occurring at the ETL/perovskite and the perovskite/HTL interfacial junctions, respectively. Furthermore, all of the recombination mechanisms were ruled by the Shockley Read Hall (SRH) recombination \([20]\). The related mathematical equations and calculation methods were shown in the Supplementary Materials. At the ETL/perovskite interface, the conduction band \((E_C)\) matching facilitated the electrons to flow into the ETL, while the valence band \((E_V)\) offset prevented the holes from the perovskite layer. However, the electrons from the ETL and the holes from the perovskite might leak out via the defective ionic trap-assisted recombination, named the interfacial recombination; the same goes for the perovskite/HTL interface. Inside of the perovskite material, the electrons and holes could make a non-radiative recombination within a limited transport length and lifetime, named the bulk recombination. Additionally, photovoltaic generation \((G)\) and radiative recombination \((R)\) are added to the perovskite layer.

![Figure 1](image_url)

**Figure 1.** Schematic of the (a) working principle and the corresponding (b) calculation model of the perovskite solar cell (PSC). The ions and charge carriers were equilibrated at 1.2 V in the light, and their movement and transportation are indicated with the arrows.

In order to highlight the J–V hysteresis loops, an electron/hole pseudo-lifetime of 10 ns for \(R_{\text{bulk}}\) and 0.2 ns for \(R_{\text{ETL}}\) and \(R_{\text{HTL}}\), were chosen, referring to the published work \([18]\). Moreover, an additional radiative recombination was added in the perovskite layer to yield a \(V_{\text{OC}}\) of about 1.3 V \([21,22]\). A uniform electron/hole generation rate of \(3.3 \times 10^{27} \text{ m}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}\) was enabled in the perovskite layer to produce a \(J_{\text{SC}}\) of about 21 mA cm\(^{-2}\) \([9,23]\). The material parameters used in the model are listed in Table 1, based on the literature values; since the parameter values varied from the references, a median
or average value was chosen here. The perovskite material was widely regarded as an intrinsic semiconductor \cite{24}; compared to the ETL and the HTL, the concentration of charge carriers was very low at about $10^9$–$10^{11}$ m$^{-3}$, and was assumed to be not doped \cite{20}. The doping concentrations in the ETL and the HTL were set equally of $10^{-24}$ m$^{-3}$ to obtain a built-in electric potential ($E_{bi}$) of about 1 V \cite{25}, and their effects on the hysteresis are specified in Section 3.3. The influences of permittivities of the ETL, perovskite and HTL on J–V hysteresis were also investigated in the following discussion. The ionic movement and redistribution were only constrained inside the perovskite layer. The ion concentration and mobility in the perovskite layer were studied in Section 3.3 to suppress J–V hysteresis. Speaking of which, the variation of the parameter values in the following calculations might be unrealistic currently for material technology and are just put forward as a guideline for material development. The mobility of electrons and holes were set constant in this work, with the assumption that the scattering defects in the perovskite solar cell were fixed. The boundary conditions at the interfaces were ruled by the heterojunction model with continuous quasi-Fermi levels. The whole cell was defined to connect to an external circuit with an ideal ohmic contact to perform the voltage sweep. For the initial conditions and measurement protocol, the device was generally pre-biased with a positive voltage 1.2 V to reach an equilibrium condition. Then, the external applied voltage swept from 1.2 to $-0.2$ V (Reverse, R), and turned back to 1.2 V (Forward, F) immediately to fulfill a complete J–V loop. The voltage varied with a step of 20 mV, and the scan rate was 240 mV/s, unless otherwise stated for the specific studies.

| Parameters | ETL(E) | Perovskite(P) | HTL(H) | Reference |
|------------|--------|--------------|--------|-----------|
| Permittivity $\varepsilon_{E,P,H}$ | 31 | 18 | 3 | [13,18,20,23] |
| Bandgap $E_g$ (eV) | 3 | 1.6 | 3.2 | [13,18,20,23] |
| Doping concentration $D_{E,H}$ (m$^{-3}$) | $10^{24}$ | — | $10^{24}$ | [13,16,25] |
| Effective density of state in conduction band $N_C$ (m$^{-3}$) | $10^{26}$ | $5 \times 10^{24}$ | $10^{26}$ | [18] |
| Effective density of state in valence band $N_V$ (m$^{-3}$) | $10^{26}$ | $5 \times 10^{24}$ | $10^{26}$ | [18] |
| Electron affinity (eV) | 4.15 | 3.95 | 2.15 | [13,20] |
| Electron mobility $\mu_E$ (m$^2$/(V·s)) | $10^{-8}$ | $2 \times 10^{-8}$ | $10^{-8}$ | [13,20] |
| Hole mobility $\mu_H$ (m$^2$/(V·s)) | $10^{-8}$ | $2 \times 10^{-8}$ | $10^{-8}$ | [13,20] |
| Ion concentration $D_i$ (m$^{-3}$) | — | $5 \times 10^{23}$ | — | [13,16] |
| Ion mobility $\mu_i$ (m$^2$/(V·s)) | — | $10^{-14}$ | — | [13,16] |

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Hysteresis Origin and Generating Principles

3.1.1. Essential Conditions for Hysteresis Reproduction Numerically

Firstly, in order to investigate J–V hysteresis in perovskite solar cells, we must reproduce the J–V hysteretic loops as reported experimentally. According to a previous study \cite{26}, the mobile ions and the recombination are the two generally accepted factors for the hysteresis phenomena, which were also discussed in our study theoretically, as seen in Figure 2. Consistent with the literature \cite{27}, we found that if the recombination (Rec) was not included in the calculation boundary conditions, the J–V curves present hysteresis-free, no matter if the ions were removable or stationary. This result indicates that recombination plays a dominant role in the J–V hysteresis phenomenon. On the other hand, if the calculations cover recombination, open circuit voltage ($V_{OC}$) and short circuit current density ($J_{SC}$) decreased; only by combining the ion species movement, the distinctive hysteresis curve could be obtained. The current decrease speed and the recombination rate present a large variation between the reverse (R) and the forward (F) scan. However, if no mobile ions are included, a hysteresis-free J–V curve was received. This calculation result is consistent with the published work \cite{13,16}, indicating the correctness of our calculation model. Only coupling the mobile ions and the recombination could obtain the hysteretic J–V curves, while no such effect was found when functionalizing them independently, and the recombination reduced the Voc and $J_{SC}$. 
Then, the influences of various combos of different recombination categories were investigated; the mobile ions were always calculated, as seen in Figure 2b,c. Compared to the cases with all of the three recombinations, we found that if the $R_{\text{bulk}}$ was activated alone, the $J_{\text{SC}}$ decreased a little bit, while the forward $V_{\text{OC}}$ increased. In addition, if only $R_{\text{ETL}}$ and $R_{\text{HTL}}$ were activated, the forward $V_{\text{OC}}$ decreased severely, while the $J_{\text{SC}}$ increased. This finding indicates that the $R_{\text{bulk}}$ played a reverse role in comparison with the $R_{\text{ETL}}$ and $R_{\text{HTL}}$. We also investigated the $R_{\text{ETL}}$ and $R_{\text{HTL}}$ independently, as seen in Figure 2c. The results show that the $R_{\text{ETL}}$ almost had no effect on forward $V_{\text{OC}}$, while reducing the forward $J_{\text{SC}}$ severely; the $R_{\text{HTL}}$ was the opposite to this effect. This unprecedented calculation result favored the assumption [28] that the imbalanced material properties in the ETL and the HTL could lead to an asymmetric transportation of electrons and holes, giving rise to the J–V hysteresis curves.

3.1.2. Hysteresis Generating Principles

The transformation of the charge carriers, ions and the resulting electric field are illustrated in Figure 3. With the pre-bias poling treatment by a positive external potential ($E_{\text{ex}}$) of 1.2 V ($>E_{\text{bi}} = 1$ V), the positive ions drifted and accumulated to the ETL/perovskite interface, while the negative ions toward the HTL/perovskite interface, which could generate an ion-induced electric potential ($E_{\text{ion}}$) across the perovskite layer with the rightward direction.

At the beginning of the reverse scan from 1.2 to 1 V (R-1 V), the energy band structure, charge movement and the possible recombination are presented in Figure 3a. Our calculation displayed an upward energy band with no resistance to the electrons and the holes’ transport to the ETL and the HTL, while preventing them flowing to the opposite layers. However, it might occur that these
opposite-migrated charges recombine inside the perovskite layer—that is, the bulk recombination \( R_{\text{bulk}} \); or recombine with the intrinsic charges located inside the adjacent transport layers—that is, the interfacial recombination \( R_{\text{ETL}} \) and the \( R_{\text{HTL}} \). In addition, due to the ions equilibration at the relaxation stage, the concentration of positive ions (P) was greater than that of negative ions (N) in the ETL/perovskite interface, as seen in Figure 4b, so the direction of \( E_{\text{ion}} \) still kept rightward. In this case, \( E_{\text{ex}} < E_{\text{bi}} + E_{\text{ion}} \), the direction of \( E_{\text{total}} \) was same with the \( E_{\text{ion}} \), in agreement with the potential profiles in Figure 4a. The \( E_{\text{total}} \) facilitated the free charges’ ejection to the external transport layers, and meanwhile, the ions began to drift around, following the \( E_{\text{total}} \).

When the reverse scan arrived at 0.5 V (R-0.5 V) and 0 V (R-0 V), as seen in Figure 4b, our calculation showed that the amount of N at the ETL/perovskite interface had been larger than that of the P, thus, the direction of \( E_{\text{ion}} \) inverted compared to that at R-1 V, as shown in Figure 3b. Meanwhile, the potential remained downward, as presented in Figure 4a, indicating the rightward of the \( E_{\text{total}} \) due to that \( E_{\text{bi}} > E_{\text{ex}} + E_{\text{ion}} \). In addition, the potential drop in the perovskite layer at the interfaces also enlarged, combined with the more upward energy band structure, promoting the free charges’ ejection more efficiently [16]. Furthermore, attributing to the extended band offset at the interfaces, the interfacial recombination could be scarce, leaving a small amount of bulk recombination and a large current density compared to R-1 V. In addition, the gradient of potential profiles at R-0.5 V was larger than that at R-0 V, as seen in Figure 4a, which could favor charge carriers’ transportation more efficiently and reduce the recombination lost, resulting in increased current density at R-0.5 V compared to R-0 V in Figure 2.

When it came to the forward scan at 0, 0.5 and 1 V (F-0, F-0.5 and F-1 V), the calculation result shows that the concentration of N over-weighted that of P at the ETL/perovskite interface through the whole forward scan process, thus, the direction of \( E_{\text{ion}} \) kept leftward. Combined with the upward potential profiles shown in Figure 4a, \( E_{\text{ex}} + E_{\text{ion}} > E_{\text{bi}} \), the direction of \( E_{\text{total}} \) was leftward and fully inverted compared to the reverse scan, as presented in Figure 3c. Combined with the downward energy band structure, most of the free charges were drifted and flowed to the wrong transport layers (that is, electrons to the HTL, and holes to the ETL), deteriorating the bulk and the interfacial recombination, inducing the small photocurrent at forward scan, as seen in Figure 2.

Above all, our calculation indicated that the recombination is supposed to play a more essential role than the ionic migration in the hysteresis phenomena. Specifically, it is the ionic movement that changes the electric field inside the perovskite layer, delaying the lossless ejection of the free charges, if excluding the recombination. However, it is the recombination that really reduces the number of electrons and holes to transport from the perovskite layer, causing the decreased photocurrent.

---

**Figure 4.** (a) Variation of the electrical potential profile and (b) the corresponding concentration difference between the negative ions N and the positive ions P during reverse scan (R) and forward scan (F), including ions migration (Ions) and recombination (Rec).
3.2. Measurement Protocols Improvement to Suppress Hysteresis

3.2.1. Scan Rates

Voltage scan rate has been reported and widely accepted to modify the hysteresis from the testing point [8,29]. The scan rate was varied through a triangle function, as seen in the Supplementary Materials Figure S1. As seen in Figure 5, the influences of the scan rates of 2.4 V/s, 240 mV/s, 24 mV/s and 4 mV/s were investigated by characterizing the J–V curves and the electric potential profiles across the device. We found that the very fast (>2.4 V/s) and the very slow (≤4 mV/s) scan rate could obtain J–V loops with negligible hysteresis, while the intermediate scan rate enlarged the gap between the forward and the reverse scan curves. In addition, it is worth mentioning that compared to the relatively high scan speed of 2.4 V/s and 240 mV/s, when the J–V measurement was conducted under slow scan rates of 24 mV/s and 4 mV/s, the JSC decreased severely, while the difference of JSC and VOC between the forward scan and reverse scan reduced.

![Figure 5](image_url)

**Figure 5.** Influences of scan rates on (a) J–V hysteresis; (b,c) potential profiles at the scan rate of 2.4 V/s and 4 mV/s, respectively.

The potential distribution at the scan rate of 2.4 V/s is presented in Figure 5b; compared to Figure 4a at a scan rate of 240 mV/s, we found that the potential profiles kept downward similarly in the stage of reverse scan, but the gradient was larger here, indicating that the strength of $E_{\text{total}}$ inside the perovskite solar cell became stronger, promoting the charge extraction from the perovskite layer to the transport layers more efficiently. In this case, the $R_{\text{bulk}}$, $R_{\text{ETL}}$, and $E_{\text{HTL}}$ could be passivated, and the current density kept increasing in the stages of R-0.5 and R-0 V. When it came to the forward scan stage, compared to that of 240 mV/s, the potential profiles still kept downward from F-0 to F-0.5 V, and the gradient was similar with that of the reverse scan, indicating the direction of $E_{\text{total}}$ still drove the charge carriers to transport efficiently, and the final J–V forward curve almost overlapped the reverse curve between F-0 and F-0.5 V with negligible hysteresis. However, from F-0.5 to F-1 V, the potential profiles changed to be upward gradually, the direction of $E_{\text{total}}$ reversed to prevent the charge carriers transport to the correct adjacent layers, increasing the probability of recombination and leading to the sharp reduction in current density between F-0.5 and F-1 V with severe hysteresis.

At the very slow scan rate of 4 mV/s, the corresponding potential profiles are illustrated in Figure 5c. In this case, the rate between the ions movement and the external voltage variation were at the same level, and the ions could react to the voltage variation immediately. The potential profiles kept constant across the perovskite and transport layers during the whole measurement process and the gradients were zero, indicating the $E_{\text{total}}$ was zero through the whole solar cell. In this case, even though the ions still migrated inside of the perovskite layer, they had no effect on the direction and strength of $E_{\text{total}}$, and no influence on J–V hysteresis. Thus, only the recombination could significantly influence the hysteresis, but according to above discussion, the recombination alone could generate a hysteresis-free J–V curve with severely decreased VOC. However, herein, in the case of the scan rate of 4 mV/s, the JSC reduced, while the VOC was unchanged. Considering the lifetime limit of the charge carriers, if excluding the drift effect of $E_{\text{total}}$, most of the charge carriers might recombine inside of the perovskite material before reaching the transport interface, leading to the reduced JSC.
carriers, if excluding the drift effect of $E_{\text{total}}$, most of the charge carriers might recombine inside of the perovskite material before reaching the transport interface, leading to the reduced $J_{\text{SC}}$.

Above all, only if the measurement could be performed with a high enough scan rate ($>2.4 \text{ V/s}$), the perovskite solar cell could obtain a great photovoltaic performance with suppressed $J$–$V$ hysteresis.

### 3.2.2. Scan Direction and Pre-Bias Treatment

Scan direction, from reverse to forward (R–F) or from forward to reverse (F–R), combined with a specific voltage pre-bias treatment, has a significant impact on $J$–$V$ hysteresis according to the experimental reports [30,31]. Here, three different voltages of 1.2, 0 and $-1.2 \text{ V}$ were adopted for pre-poling the PSC to achieve equilibrated conditions before the $J$–$V$ test. As seen in Figure 6, we found that the different pre-poling and scan directions could lead to $J$–$V$ hysteretic loops with varied shapes and extent.

![Figure 6. Influences of the voltage pre-bias and the scan direction on $J$–$V$ hysteresis. (a) Scan direction from reverse to forward (R–F); and (b) scan direction from forward to reverse (F–R).](image)

For the scan direction of R–F, we found that the $V_{\text{OC}}$ was severely affected by the voltage pre-treatment, while the $J_{\text{SC}}$ remained constant. When perovskite solar cells were pre-relaxed by a positive voltage of 1.2 V, the $V_{\text{OC}}$ at the reverse scan was the maximum; a bump current density occurred at around R-0.7 V. If the pre-bias voltage decreased, the $V_{\text{OC}}$ at reverse scan reduced, and the voltage where the bump current density occurred also reduced. However, when it came to the forward scan, the $J$–$V$ loops had no serious difference among the three different voltage pre-bias treatment, except that the $V_{\text{OC}}$ at forward scan of 1.2 V pre-bias was slightly larger than the other two cases.

For the scan direction of F–R, in contrary to the case of R–F, we found that the $J_{\text{SC}}$ was severely affected by the voltage pre-treatment, while the $V_{\text{OC}}$ remained constant; the reverse scan curves for the three different voltage pre-bias were almost overlapped with each other, with no obvious difference. However, the forward scan curves were various and complicated. When positive 1.2 V was performed at the pre-bias stage, the obtained forward $J_{\text{SC}}$ was even larger than the reverse $J_{\text{SC}}$, and the forward $J_{\text{SC}}$ decreased with the decrease in pre-bias voltage. An anomalous forward curve occurred using the negative pre-poling of $-1.2 \text{ V}$ and obtained a very small $J_{\text{SC}}$ of about 4 mA/cm$^2$ with a horrible filling factor and hysteresis.

The corresponding potential profiles for 1.2 and $-1.2 \text{ V}$ pre-poling are illustrated in Figure 7, and note that the potential profiles for 1.2 V pre-bias with R–F scan is shown in Figure 4a. We could see that for 1.2 V pre-poling, the whole subsequent potential profiles were located below the initial one, while above the initial profile for the cases of $-1.2 \text{ V}$ pre-poling. This indicates that the positive pre-bias could increase the internal potential of the perovskite solar cells, while the negative pre-bias might reduce the internal potential.
while J–V hysteresis was not passivated. The measurement protocols; however, the measurement methods just coped with the superficial issues, and the intrinsic potential profiles aggravated the recombination, reducing the current in forward scan and leading to the eventual hysteretic J–V loop. In Figure 7c, for F–R scan with −1.2 V pre-bias, the beginning forward scan steps were all performed under the upward potential profiles, experiencing the extremely severe recombination across the device and inducing to the diminished forward J–V curve.

Above all, changing the scan direction and pre-bias treatment could not improve the solar cell efficiency or suppress J–V hysteresis distinctively. However, the positive pre-bias treatment favored the solar cell photovoltaic performance.

3.3. Materials Improvement to Suppress Hysteresis

3.3.1. Transport Layers Properties

As discussed above, hysteresis-free J–V curves might be obtained by optimizing the measurement protocols; however, the measurement methods just coped with the superficial issues, and the intrinsic defects inside of the perovskite solar cells were not eliminated. The transport layers have been verified to have a significant effect on J–V hysteresis experimentally and numerically [16,32]. Doping concentration and relative permittivity were chosen to investigate how the transport layers affect the J–V performance in this work. Firstly, the ETL and the HTL were studied independently, as seen in Figure 8. We could see that the J–V curves were a little bit more sensitive to the HTL properties than that of the ETL, except that the forward V_{OC} decreased with the increase in D_E and \varepsilon_E; the same as the case of HTL in Figure 8b, with the increase in D_H and \varepsilon_H, the forward V_{OC} decreased, and the J_{SC} increased a little bit, while J–V hysteresis was not passivated.

Increasing the doping concentration and the permittivity were supposed to increase the J_{SC} and V_{OC} by enhancing their conductivity and promoting the charge carrier’s transportation, while in contradiction with our calculation results. After carefully analysis, we found that the material properties between the ETL and the HTL were not equal or balanced, and the corresponding conductivities were different. If the conductivity of the ETL or the HTL increases independently, it will aggravate the
Increasing the doping concentration and the permittivity were supposed to increase the JSC and efficiency were small, although the extent of J–V hysteresis was moderate. When $D_E = D_H = 10^{24}$ m$^{-3}$ was adopted, as seen in Figure 9a, set $\varepsilon_E = \varepsilon_H$. With the increase in the permittivity, the J–V performance increased, while the extent of hysteresis increased and decreased; the JSC raised gradually, while the VOC decreased and increased. When $\varepsilon_E = \varepsilon_H = 0.3$, the JSC, VOC and the efficiency were small, although the extent of J–V hysteresis was moderate. When $\varepsilon_E = \varepsilon_H = 300$, the photovoltaic efficiency enhanced, and J–V hysteresis also could be passivated efficiently. The same results for the balance of doping concentration between the ETL and the HTL were seen in Figure 9b, here, set $\varepsilon_E = \varepsilon_H = 30$. When $D_E = D_H = 10^{26}$ m$^{-3}$, the efficiency increased, and J–V hysteresis decreased.

Figure 8. Effects of the doping concentrations $D_E$ and $D_H$ and the relative permittivity $\varepsilon_E$ and $\varepsilon_H$ of (a) ETL and (b) HTL on J–V hysteresis.

Figure 9. Effects of the materials properties balance on J–V hysteresis. (a) The balance of $\varepsilon_E$ and $\varepsilon_H$; (b) the balance of $D_E$ and $D_H$. 

Firstly, for the balance of the relative permittivity, a fixed doping concentration of $D_E = D_H = 10^{24}$ m$^{-3}$ was adopted, as seen in Figure 9a, set $\varepsilon_E = \varepsilon_H$. With the increase in the permittivity, the J–V performance increased, while the extent of hysteresis increased and decreased; the JSC raised gradually, while the VOC decreased and increased. When $\varepsilon_E = \varepsilon_H = 0.3$, the JSC, VOC and the efficiency were small, although the extent of J–V hysteresis was moderate. When $\varepsilon_E = \varepsilon_H = 300$, the photovoltaic efficiency enhanced, and J–V hysteresis also could be passivated efficiently. The same results for the balance of doping concentration between the ETL and the HTL were seen in Figure 9b, here, set $\varepsilon_E = \varepsilon_H = 30$. When $D_E = D_H = 10^{26}$ m$^{-3}$, the efficiency increased, and J–V hysteresis decreased.
Therefore, considering the efficiency and the hysteresis, the permittivity and the doping concentration in the ETL and the HTL should be high enough, which is a great challenge to the material research. Above all, in order to improve the solar cell efficiency and suppress J–V hysteresis, the permittivity and doping properties of the electron and hole transport layers should be balanced and elevated simultaneously, which raises a great challenge to the transport layer materials.

3.3.2. Perovskite Layer Properties

The properties of the perovskite layer are supposed to determine the overall performance of the PSC, working as the light absorbing layer and the mixed electronic-ionic conductor. Since the perovskite material was defined as an intrinsic semiconductor without doping additives, thus, just the permittivity \( \varepsilon_P \) was analyzed here. As seen in Figure 10a, the J–V curves present no obvious differences. Thus, our calculation indicated that the permittivity \( \varepsilon_P \) was not the primary factor influencing J–V hysteresis, and the charge carrier transportation inside of the perovskite layer was just a representation of the dominant factors—ions’ migration and recombination.

For the defective ions in the perovskite layer, as discussed above, it had been verified to be a necessary element to reproduce hysteretic J–V curves. Here, the ions concentration \( D_i \) and mobility \( \mu_i \) were investigated. The results present that the concentration of the ions had no effect on the J–V loops, as seen in Figure 10b, which indicates the potential of large-area PSC panels for commercialization, but might affect long-term stability due to ion-assisted decomposition [33,34]. For the mobility of ions in the perovskite layer, as seen in Figure 10c, the mobility of ions played a significant role in the hysteretic issue. The very slow-moving ions or the extreme condition with immobile ions, the hysteresis could be eliminated thoroughly. For the case of very high ion mobility, \( 10^{-12} \text{ m}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \), the ions movement could keep pace with or even faster than the voltage scan rate. The ions accumulated at the corresponding interfaces immediately following with the internal electric field, and no delay or hysteretic current occurred. However, the rapid-changing \( E_{\text{ion}} \) could prevent the charge carrier’s transportation and deteriorate the recombination, leading to a reduced \( J_{\text{SC}} \).

Overall, we proposed that restricting ionic movement is a significant approach to passivate the hysteresis phenomena in the PSCs, requiring a new stabilized perovskite structure and more stable materials, or attempting to block the ions mobile pathway using effective additives.

4. Conclusions

In summary, a mixed electronic-ionic drift-diffusion model combined with the bulk and interfacial recombination was implemented to uncover the nature characteristics of J–V hysteresis in the perovskite solar cells. The model was verified via generating the hysteretic J–V loops with the combined effect of the ion migration and the recombination. The movement and variation of the charges, ions and the electric potential were explored deeply to elucidate the generating principles of J–V hysteresis.
The mobile ions could migrate to the interfaces between the perovskite/transport layers, regulating the internal electric potential profiles to influence the charges’ ejection to the transport layers. As long as the charges run out of their lifetimes, the recombination takes place to reduce the charges transportation, leading to a diminished photocurrent. A proper scan rate and a favorable voltage pre-bias poling could really obtain a hysteresis-free J–V curve via alleviating the adverse effect of the ions’ migration and the recombination. A standard measurement protocol is essential to evaluate the perovskite solar cells appropriately. In addition, the balancing of the material properties between the electron transport layer and the hole transport layer significantly influenced J–V hysteresis; combined with the restriction of the ion’s mobility in the perovskite layer, a negligible hysteresis could be achieved, while possibly raising challenges to the new material development. Our work reveals the primary rules underlying J–V hysteresis in perovskite solar cells and provides a preliminary guideline for new material research to eliminate the hysteresis.
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