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ABSTRACT

Introduction: A substantial majority of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)-related morbidity, mortality and healthcare costs are due to acute exacerbations, but existing medications have only a modest effect on reducing their frequency, even when used in combination. Observational studies suggest β-blockers may reduce the risk of COPD exacerbations; thus, we will conduct a randomised, placebo-controlled trial to definitively assess the impact of metoprolol succinate on the rate of COPD exacerbations.

Methods and analyses: This is a multicentre, placebo-controlled, double-blind, prospective randomised trial that will enrol 1028 patients with at least moderately severe COPD over a 3-year period. Participants with at least moderate COPD will be randomised in a 1:1 fashion to receive metoprolol or placebo; the cohort will be enriched for patients at high risk for exacerbations. Patients will be screened and then randomised over a 2-week period and will then undergo a dose titration period for the following 6 weeks. Thereafter, patients will be followed for 42 additional weeks on their target dose of metoprolol or placebo followed by a 4-week washout period. The primary end point is time to first occurrence of an acute exacerbation during the treatment period. Secondary end points include rates and severity of COPD exacerbations; rate of major cardiovascular events; all-cause mortality; lung function (forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁)); dyspnoea; quality of life; exercise capacity; markers of cardiac stretch (pro-NT brain natriuretic peptide) and systemic inflammation (high-sensitivity C reactive protein and fibrinogen). Analyses will be performed on an intent-to-treat basis.

Ethics and dissemination: The study protocol has been approved by the Department of Defense Human Protection Research Office and will be approved by the institutional review board of all participating centres. Study findings will be disseminated through presentations at national and international conferences and publications in peer-reviewed journals.

Trial registration number: NCT02587351; Pre-results.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- Although numerous observational studies show a positive association between the use of β-blockers and the reduction in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) exacerbations, this study will be the first prospective randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial to examine the issue.
- In addition to collecting data about the occurrence of acute exacerbations, we will also collect major adverse cardiac events allowing examination of the effects of β-blockers on pulmonary and cardiovascular outcomes.
- We will specifically exclude patients with recent cardiovascular events in whom it is likely that β-blockers would be most effective. However, we will perform subgroup analyses based on predicted cardiovascular risk as defined by the Personal HEART Score in an effort to identify those patients most likely to benefit.
- The optimal dose of metoprolol for the prevention of exacerbations in COPD is unknown, and it is possible that the median dose we achieve will be too low to be beneficial.
- The study is not powered to detect an effect on overall mortality which we believe would be the best end point to objectively assess the role of the drug in patients with COPD.

INTRODUCTION

A substantial majority of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)-related healthcare costs are due to acute exacerbations.1 2 The proportional costs associated with exacerbations continue to rise and existing medications have only a modest effect on reducing their frequency, even when used in combination.1 3 There is therefore an urgent need for more effective therapies targeting exacerbations. Development of such treatment has been hampered by the heterogeneity of these events, which though often triggered by airway inflammation due to
bacterial or viral infections or exposure to pollutants can also be caused or made worse by cardiovascular disease, a factor likely not impacted by currently available bronchodilator and anti-inflammatory medications. There is a growing awareness that COPD is a multisystem disease and that it is associated with accelerated atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease. A significant number of cardiac comorbidities which could potentially result in acute decompensation of respiratory status such as coronary artery disease, diastolic dysfunction and arrhythmias are seen in greater frequency in patients with COPD compared with age-matched and sex-matched controls. There is also growing evidence for COPD to examine the effect of extended-release metoprolol on the rate of exacerbations in patients with COPD at high risk for those events (NCT02587351). We will test the hypothesis that treatment with a cardioselective β-blocker will reduce the time to first exacerbation and exacerbation frequency and that the drug will be well tolerated and not adversely affect lung function, exercise tolerance and quality of life. In this article, we describe the study design, discuss the rationale for the specific approaches employed and outline the prespecified subgroup analyses.

**METHODS**

**βLOCK COPD study design overview**

This is a multicentre, placebo-controlled, double-blind, prospective randomised trial that will enrol 1028 patients with at least moderately severe COPD over a 3-year period. Patients will be screened and then randomised over a 2-week period and will then undergo a dose titration of metoprolol for the following 6 weeks. Thereafter, patients will be followed for 42 additional weeks on their target dose of metoprolol or placebo followed by a 4-week washout period. The schedule of study encounters is shown in table 1.

**Hypothesis**

The primary hypothesis is that metoprolol succinate will reduce the risk of COPD exacerbations as compared with placebo in patients with moderate-to-severe COPD who are prone to exacerbations and who do not have absolute indications for β-blocker therapy. The secondary hypothesis is that metoprolol succinate will not adversely impact lung function, exercise tolerance, dyspnoea or quality of life as compared with placebo.

**Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

We will enrol patients aged 40–85 years with a clinical diagnosis of at least moderate COPD as defined by the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease criteria of postbronchodilator FEV1/forced vital capacity (FVC)<0.70 and postbronchodilator FEV1<80% predicted, with or without chronic symptoms such as cough and sputum production. Participants should have a cigarette smoking history of at least 10 pack-years. The study will be enriched for patients at high risk for exacerbations as suggested by at least one of the following: a history of having received a course of systemic corticosteroids and/or antibiotics for respiratory events in the past year, having visited an emergency department for a COPD exacerbation within the past year, hospitalisation for COPD exacerbation within the past year or using or have been prescribed supplemental home oxygen for at least 12 hours a day. Participants should have a resting heart rate of at least 70 and not >120 bpm, and resting systolic blood pressure of >100 mm Hg to be eligible. Major exclusion criteria are listed in box 1 and include the presence of an absolute
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Table 1  Schedule of study interventions

| Assessment                                      | Screening (day 14 to −1) | Randomisation (day 0) | Dose titration (days 14 and 28) | Dose finalisation (day 42) | Clinic visit (day 112) | Clinic visit (day 224) | Clinic visit (day 336) | Wean clinic visit (day 350) | Stop clinic visit (day 350) | Close-out clinic visit (day 364) |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Informed consent                               | X                        | X                     | X                                | X                         | X                     | X                     | X                      | X                           | X                             | X                             |
| Medical history                                 | X                        | X                     | X                                | X                         | X                     | X                     | X                      | X                           | X                             | X                             |
| Concomitant medications                         | X                        | X                     | X                                | X                         | X                     | X                     | X                      | X                           | X                             | X                             |
| Physical examination                            | X                        | X                     | X                                | X                         | X                     | X                     | X                      | X                           | X                             | X                             |
| Safety laboratory assessments*                  | X                        | X                     | X                                | X                         | X                     | X                     | X                      | X                           | X                             | X                             |
| Questionnaires†                                  | X                        | X                     | X                                | X                         | X                     | X                     | X                      | X                           | X                             | X                             |
| Troponin                                        | X                        | X                     | X                                | X                         | X                     | X                     | X                      | X                           | X                             | X                             |
| Pro-NT BNP/CRP/fibrinogen                       | X                        | X                     | X                                | X                         | X                     | X                     | X                      | X                           | X                             | X                             |
| Urine pregnancy                                 | X                        | X                     | X                                | X                         | X                     | X                     | X                      | X                           | X                             | X                             |
| Spirometry‡                                     | X                        | X                     | X                                | X                         | X                     | X                     | X                      | X                           | X                             | X                             |
| ECG                                             | X                        | X                     | X                                | X                         | X                     | X                     | X                      | X                           | X                             | X                             |
| 6 min walk                                      | X                        | X                     | X                                | X                         | X                     | X                     | X                      | X                           | X                             | X                             |
| Randomisation                                   | X                        | X                     | X                                | X                         | X                     | X                     | X                      | X                           | X                             | X                             |
| Drug dispensing                                 | X                        | X                     | X                                | X                         | X                     | X                     | X                      | X                           | X                             | X                             |
| Adverse event assessment                        | X                        | X                     | X                                | X                         | X                     | X                     | X                      | X                           | X                             | X                             |
| Drug return and accountability                  | X                        | X                     | X                                | X                         | X                     | X                     | X                      | X                           | X                             | X                             |

Phone call days 2, 3, 15, 16, 56, 168, 280, 343, 357, 378 for adverse event assessment.
Visit windows ±3 days until dose finalisation visit then ±14 days until Wean visit then ±3 days until close-out visit.
Unscheduled visits will include medical history, adverse event assessment, and ECG and spirometry if during titration period until day 42; after day 42, the ECG and spirometry are at PI discretion.
*Complete blood count, comprehensive metabolic profile including magnesium and liver function tests.
†Modified Medical Research Council Dyspnea Scale, COPD Assessment Test, Short Form-36, San Diego Shortness of Breath Questionnaire; Personal HEART Score at screening only.
‡Prebronchodilator and postbronchodilator spirometry at screening, otherwise postbronchodilator only; not done at days 112 and 336 if patient has had an acute exacerbation in the 2 weeks prior.
BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; CRP, C reactive protein.
indication for a β-blocker though patients with stable coronary disease or mild systolic dysfunction with left ventricular ejection fraction >40% can be included.

Randomisation and intervention
After obtaining written informed consent, randomisation will be performed according to a computer-generated blinded algorithm carried out by linking to the Data Coordinating Center (DCC) through a website (beta.umn.edu/betablocker.umn.edu) using a required user ID and password. The clinical trial will use metoprolol succinate extended-release tablets (50 mg) and matching placebo. Drug and matching placebo will be labelled using blinded coding and distributed to the study sites as needed to support enrolment and retention. The planned starting dose for metoprolol succinate extended release or placebo equivalent is one 50 mg tablet taken orally daily, and patients will undergo a dose titration procedure as outlined in table 2, which will result in a final dose of 25 mg (1/2 of one tablet daily), 50 mg or 100 mg (two tablets daily). Matching placebo will be administered similarly. Following completion of the 42-week dosing period, patients will be weaned off study drug over the following 4 weeks in order to avoid possible rebound myocardial ischaemia.

Clinical efficacy: primary and secondary outcomes
The primary end point is time to first occurrence of an acute exacerbation during the 48-week treatment period. Acute exacerbations will be defined as a complex of respiratory symptoms (increase or new onset) of more than one of the following: cough, sputum, wheezing, dyspnea, or chest tightness requiring treatment with antibiotics and/or systemic steroids for at least three days.23 Severe exacerbations will be defined as those exacerbations that require hospitalisation. A relapse of a previous exacerbation will be defined as the complex of respiratory symptoms of more than one of the following: cough, sputum, wheezing, dyspnea or chest tightness with a duration of at least 3 days, which recurs and requires retreatment with antibiotics and/or systemic steroids without a return to baseline and within 2 weeks of the start date of a prior treated event.24 Secondary end points include rates and severity of COPD exacerbations; rate of major cardiovascular events (major adverse cardiac event (MACE) defined by

Box 1 Exclusion criteria

- A diagnosis of asthma established by each study investigator on the basis of the recent American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society and National Institute for Health and Care Excellence guidelines.19 20 If, after applying the above criteria, investigators are still unsure about the distinction in a specific patient, bronchodilator testing with inhaled albuterol will be performed and patients with changes in forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1) >400 mL will be excluded.
- The presence of a diagnosis other than chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) that results in the patient being either medically unstable or having a predicted life expectancy <2 years.
- Women who are at risk of becoming pregnant during the study (premenopausal) and who refuse to use acceptable birth control (hormone-based oral or barrier contraceptive) for the duration of the study.
- Current tachyarrhythmias or bradycardia requiring treatment.
- Presence of a pacemaker and/or internal cardioverter/defibrillator.
- Patients with a history of second-degree or third-degree (complete) heart block, or sick sinus syndrome.
- Baseline ECG revealing left bundle branch block, bifascicular block, ventricular tachyarrhythmia, atrial fibrillation, atrial flutter, supraventricular tachycardia (other than sinus tachycardia and multifocal atrial tachycardia) or heart block (second degree or complete).
- Resting heart rate <70 bpm, or sustained resting tachycardia defined as heart rate ≥120 bpm.
- Resting systolic blood pressure of <100 mm Hg.
- Participants with absolute (class 1) indications for β-blocker treatment as defined by the combined American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, and the American College of Physicians, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons Guidelines which include myocardial infarction, acute coronary syndrome, percutaneous coronary intervention or coronary artery bypass surgery within the prior 3 years and patients with known congestive heart failure defined as left ventricular ejection fraction <40%.21 22
- Current therapy with ocular β-blocker medications.
- Critical ischaemia related to peripheral arterial disease.
- Other diseases that are known to be triggered by β-blockers or β-blocker withdrawal including myasthenia gravis, periodic hypokalemia, paralytic ileus, and diabetes mellitus or hypoglycaemia within the last 12 months.
- Patients with cirrhosis.
- A clinical diagnosis of bronchiectasis defined as production of greater than one-half cup of purulent sputum per day.
- Patients otherwise meeting the inclusion criteria will not be enrolled until they are a minimum of 4 weeks from their most recent acute exacerbation (i.e., they will not have received a course of systemic corticosteroids, an increased dose of chronically administered systemic corticosteroids and/or antibiotics for an acute exacerbation for a minimum of 4 weeks).
cardiovascular death, hospitalisation for myocardial infarction, heart failure or stroke), percutaneous coronary intervention or coronary artery bypass grafting); the combined rate of exacerbations and MACE; all-cause mortality; lung function (FEV1); dyspnoea as measured by the modified Medical Research Council and San Diego Shortness of Breath Questionnaire Score; quality of life as measured by the Short Form-36 (SF-36), St George Respiratory Questionnaire and the COPD Assessment Test scores; exercise capacity measured by the 6 min walk distance; markers of cardiac stretch (pro-NT Brain Natriuretic Peptide) and systemic inflammation (high-sensitivity C reactive protein and fibrinogen). These parameters will be assessed at screening/randomisation and at conclusion of the study to determine if β-blockade impacts volume status and cardiac performance as well as levels of systemic inflammation that portend overall cardiac risk.

### Adverse effects and safety monitoring: prior studies of β-blockers and effects on lung function and exercise

Several studies have examined the safety of β-blockers in patients with COPD, though there have been no dose-ranging studies to specifically determine the optimal dose for the prevention of exacerbations. Multiple studies have demonstrated that the effect of cardioselective β-blockers on lung function is minimal, whether administered as a single dose or with continued treatment. A Cochrane analysis revealed that cardioselective β-blockers produced no significant change in FEV1 or respiratory symptoms compared with placebo, given as a single dose (−2.05% (95% CI −6.05% to 1.96%)) or for longer duration (−2.55% (CI −5.94% to 0.84%)), and did not significantly affect the FEV1 treatment response to β2 agonists. Subgroup analyses revealed no significant change in the results for those participants with severe airflow limitation or for those with a reversible obstructive component. Typical doses of metoprolol in trials of patients with coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure and hypertension range from 12.5 to 200 mg, and doses in this range are well tolerated by patients with COPD including those with moderate-to-severe disease. The dose titration procedure is modelled after the approach used in a pivotal trial of metoprolol succinate in patients with heart failure. In that study, in which daily doses of up to 200 mg (mean dose 159 mg once daily) were used, 10.3% of 1990 patients assigned to metoprolol succinate extended-release tablets discontinued for adverse reactions versus 12.2% of placebo patients. Adverse events that occurred at an incidence of ≥1% in the metoprolol succinate extended-release tablets group and greater than placebo by >0.5% (and regardless of causality) included dizziness/vertigo (1.8% vs 1.0%), bradycardia (1.5% vs 0.4%) and accident and/or injury (1.4% vs 0.8%). The planned median daily dose of metoprolol in the proposed trial will fall between 50 and 100 mg, and these as well as a number of other possible drug-related side effects will be specifically sought and recorded. We will monitor FEV1 during the dose titration period, and patients whose FEV1 falls by ≥200 mL or 15% from baseline will be taken off study drug.

### Discontinuation of study drug

There are four instances in which the study drug might be discontinued: (1) development of symptoms that might represent medication-related side effects that are severe enough or persist even with dose reduction; (2) development of an absolute indication for β-blocker such as myocardial infarction, acute coronary syndrome, percutaneous coronary intervention, coronary artery bypass surgery or new congestive heart failure with
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**Table 2: Dose adjustment protocol**

| Visit                              | HR (bpm) | SBP (mm Hg) | Instruction |
|------------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Enrolment/randomisation            | ≥70      | ≥100        | Randomise   |
| Dose adjustment visit at 14 days   | ≥70      | ≥100        | ↑ dose to 100 mg |
|                                    | ≥70      | <90         | ↔ maintain same dose |
|                                    | 90–99    | <90         | ↓ dose to 25 mg or stop |
|                                    | 50–69    | ≥90         | ↔ maintain same dose |
|                                    | <60      | <90         | ↓ dose to 25 mg or stop |
| Dose adjustment visit at 28 days   | <50      | <90         | Stop study drug |
|                                    | 50–69    | ≥90         | ↔ maintain same dose |
|                                    | <50      | <60         | ↓ dose by 1/2 or stop |
| Dose finalisation visit at 42 days | ≥70      | ≥90         | Stop study drug |
|                                    | 50–69    | ≥90         | Stop study drug |
|                                    | <50      | <60         | Stop study drug |

bpm, beats per minute; SBP, systolic blood pressure.
exacerbation rates will be calculated as events/person-line, week 16/day 112 and week 48/day 336. COPD curves will be compared using the log-rank test statistic.

ing outcome-free in the two treatment arms as a function of time from randomisation into the study. The curves will be used to describe the probability of remaining outcome-free in the two treatment arms as a function of time from randomisation into the study. The model will employ time-weighted intention-to-treat analyses with adjustments of the CIs for between-subject variation and overdispersion. Continuous outcome measures, including absolute and per cent changes in FEV₁, 6 min walk distance, dyspnoea and quality-of-life scores, will be analysed using multivariate repeated-measures analysis of variance using the SAS Proc Mixed program.

We propose to carry out interim formal testing at the following time points: 12 months and 24 months, and 36 months after initiating the study. We will use the Lan-DeMets approach that requires only specification of the rate at which type I error (which here will be chosen to be α=0.05) will be ‘spent’. Two-sided tests of significance will be assumed.

**Statistical analyses**

Sample size and power considerations for this clinical trial are based on the primary outcome of time to first exacerbation. The risk of exacerbation and estimated time to first exacerbation in the placebo group is based on the observations in the control groups of the prior COPD Clinical Research Network trials of azithromycin and simvastatin of similar design.17 18 The percentage of patients suffering an exacerbation at 1 year in the placebo arm of the azithromycin trial was 69% compared with 57% in those receiving azithromycin. In the simvastatin trial, the probability of patients in the placebo arm suffering an exacerbation was 65%, while the probability in those taking simvastatin was not statistically different (68%). With similar inclusion and exclusion criteria as these prior trials, we anticipate a comparable exacerbation rate. Prior observational studies suggest that β-blockers may reduce the risk of exacerbation by as much as 30%, though it is probable that this overestimates the potential benefit due to residual confounding. We believe a 15% relative reduction (65% vs 55%) in the 48-week-period probability of exacerbation is clinically significant and plausible and have thus selected that as our hypothesised effect size. To find this effect, with a two-sided α of 0.05 and power of 90%, and equal probability of assignment to either arm, we will need a sample size of 912 participants, assuming 12% dropout yields a final sample size of 1028 patients.

All randomised patients will be followed until the end of the study, and the final analysis will be performed on an ‘intention-to-treat’ basis. The analyses of the time to first COPD exacerbation (and all-cause mortality) will be performed using survival analysis. Kaplan–Meier survival curves will be used to describe the probability of remaining outcome-free in the two treatment arms as a function of time from randomisation into the study. The curves will be compared using the log-rank test statistic. Secondary outcome measures will be assessed at baseline, week 16/day 112 and week 48/day 336. COPD exacerbation rates will be calculated as events/person-year and compared using a rate ratio. Exacerbation rates for each group, and the resultant rate ratio, will be analysed using negative binomial regression modelling.

**DISCUSSION**

There is an urgent need for new therapies to reduce exacerbations as existing drugs offer only modest effects even when used in combination and only target bronchoconstriction and airway inflammation when other pathways likely contribute. Stable COPD is strongly associated with cardiovascular disease independent of shared risk factors such as cigarette smoking and age, and there is growing evidence that acute exacerbations of COPD are associated with cardiac injury. It is biologically plausible that the relationship between respiratory decompensation and cardiac affectation is not unidirectional and that a subset of the exacerbations might be cardiac in aetiology. Patel et al showed that arterial stiffness, a surrogate for cardiovascular risk, increases in the periexacerbation period and takes up to 5 weeks to return to baseline. They also showed that subclinical increases in troponin I, a marker of cardiac injury, occur in the peri-exacerbation period even in patients without known cardiovascular disease.

There are a number of mechanisms by which subclinical cardiac dysfunction can result in COPD exacerbations which are clinically very difficult to distinguish from usual, primary respiratory-related events. In addition to a higher frequency of ischaemic heart disease, COPD is associated with diastolic dysfunction in a substantial proportion of patients and decompensated diastolic dysfunction can result in subclinical pulmonary congestion. Supraventricular and ventricular arrhythmias are common in COPD, and arrhythmias might also cause acute exacerbations. The heightened resting sympathetic activity in COPD has been associated with mortality and β-blockers might alleviate some of
this risk by reducing resting tachycardia and arrhythmias.\textsuperscript{34–36} \(\beta\)-Blockers may also improve outcomes by decreasing arrhythmogenesis and myocardial ischaemia associated with excessive use of \(\beta\) agonists during periods leading up to and during exacerbation.\textsuperscript{37} In addition to their known cardioprotective effects, \(\beta\)-blockers might also have beneficial effects on the lungs. Murine models suggest that long-term administration of \(\beta\)-blockers results in upregulation of pulmonary \(\beta\) adrenoreceptors,\textsuperscript{12} as well as decreased bronchoconstriction and an improved response to \(\beta\) agonists.\textsuperscript{38} Chronic administration also has been shown in animal studies to reduce airway inflammation and decrease mucus production.\textsuperscript{39} Some cardioselective \(\beta\)-blockers can also cause pulmonary vasodilatation and thus improve pulmonary haemodynamics.\textsuperscript{40}

The selection of metoprolol, a cardioselective agent, as the \(\beta\)-blocker of choice for the trial merits some discussion as does the proposed dosing. Though less cardioselective agents such as carvedilol may offer greater cardioprotective effects, concerns regarding adverse effects on FEV\(_1\) and the risk of respiratory decompensation are greater with these drugs.\textsuperscript{13 14 41 42} It is also possible that the cardiac benefit of \(\beta\)-blockers in COPD is due to heart rate control and metoprolol has very low intrinsic sympathomimetic activity.\textsuperscript{56} Cardioselectivity for all \(\beta\)-blockers is dose dependent and at higher doses, even selective drugs can result in clinically significant antagonism of \(\beta_2\) receptors.\textsuperscript{36} The initial dose of metoprolol and subsequent titration procedures are adapted from the landmark Metoprolol CR/XL Randomized Intervention Trial in Congestive Heart Failure trial, which definitively demonstrated the safety and efficacy of \(\beta\) blockade in patients with symptomatic heart failure, a disease that similar to COPD had been previously considered a contraindication to \(\beta\)-blocker treatment.\textsuperscript{26} This study suggested that individualised dosing based on patient tolerability was appropriate, but titration to a dose above 100 mg/day may not be necessary to derive clinical benefits as there was no difference in mortality between those who received higher versus lower doses.\textsuperscript{25} Our initial starting dose of metoprolol is based on these data as well as prior studies in patients with COPD, suggesting tolerance with single and continued dosing at comparable doses of the drug and other cardioselective \(\beta\)-blockers.\textsuperscript{15 25 42–44} It is anticipated that many patients will tolerate titration to the maximal dose of 100 mg/day, while some will require a dose reduction to remain on study medication.

The trial design has some important limitations. First, it is likely that \(\beta\)-blockers would be most effective in patients with recent cardiovascular events whom we will specifically exclude; however, we will perform subgroup analyses based on predicted cardiovascular risk as defined by the Personal HEART Score in an effort to identify those patients most likely to benefit.\textsuperscript{29} Second, as we have discussed, the optimal dose of metoprolol for the prevention of exacerbations in COPD is unknown, and it is possible that the median dose we achieve will be too low to be beneficial. It is also possible the drug will be poorly tolerated and frequently stopped due to side effects in which case a possible beneficial effect on exacerbations will not be found. Last, the study is not powered to detect an effect on overall mortality which we believe would be the best end point to objectively assess the role of the drug in patients with COPD.

In summary, the \(\beta\)LOCK COPD study will be the first randomised controlled study to investigate the effect of \(\beta\)-blockers on COPD exacerbations. By assessing clinical efficacy as well as side effects, the data obtained may guide \(\beta\)-blocker use in COPD.

**ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION**

The study protocol has been approved by the Department of Defense Human Protection Research Office and will be approved by the institutional review board (IRB) of all participating centres. The trial is registered at ClinicalTrials.Gov (http://www.clinicaltrials.gov identifier NCT02587351). After explaining the risks and benefits of participating in the study, written informed consent will be obtained from each study participant.

Clinical trial monitoring to ensure the trial is conducted in compliance with Good Clinical Practices and the International Conference on Harmonization of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use (ICH E6) will be multifaceted, including real-time oversight by the local principal investigators, regular and real-time monitoring of entered clinical data by staff at the DCC, as well as by an independent Data and Safety Monitoring Committee (DSMC) which will meet at 6-month intervals by teleconference or in person.\textsuperscript{45} The DSMC will be made up of a lead Research Monitor (a pulmonologist), a cardiologist and a statistician. The Research Monitor will oversee the safety of the research and report observations and findings to the IRB or a designated institutional official. The Research Monitor will review all unanticipated problems involving risks to participants or others associated with the protocol and provide an independent report of the event to the IRB. The Research Monitor may discuss the research protocol with the investigators; shall have authority to stop a research protocol in progress, remove individual human participants from a research protocol and take whatever steps are necessary to protect the safety and well-being of human participants until the IRB can assess the monitor’s report; and shall have the responsibility to promptly report their observations and findings to the IRB or other designated official and the Human Research Protection Office. The DCC will conduct monthly teleconferences throughout the study to review study enrolment and retention, procedures, adherence to protocol, timeliness of data entry and adverse events including those that may warrant protocol changes.
Study findings will be disseminated through presentations at national and international conferences and publications in peer-reviewed journals.

Author affiliations
1Division of Pulmonary, Allergy and Critical Care Medicine, UAB Lung Health Center, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, USA
2Division of Biostatistics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA
3Birmingham Veterans Affairs Hospital, Birmingham, Alabama, USA
4Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care, Allergy and Sleep Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA
5Department of Thoracic Medicine and Surgery, Temple University Hospital, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

Contributors MTD and SPB conceived and designed the study. MTD drafted the grant proposal. SPB and MTD drafted the protocol presented. JEC, HV, SML and EW provided methodological and statistical support. MTD, JEC, EW, HV and SML are responsible for study management, staff training and supervision. SCI and GJC are directors of two of the recruitment sites and provided clinical expertise and on-site management of the study. JMW reviewed the manuscript for critical intellectual input. All authors critically reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding The study is funded by a grant from the Department of Defense Congressionally Directed Medical Research Program: Peer Reviewed Medical Research Program—Clinical Trial Award PR140170—GRANT11766881.

Competing interests SPB is supported by NIH KL2 Scholarship, 1KL2TR001419. JMW has grants from the NIH/NHLBI and the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation; his institution has grants for research from GlaxoSmithKline and AstraZeneca. MTD has received grant support from the American Heart Association, the Department of Defense and the NHLBI. He has served as a consultant for Boehringer Ingelheim, Boston Scientific, Ikaria, GlaxoSmithKline and Skypharma. He has conducted contracted clinical trials for Aetis, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Boston Scientific, GlaxoSmithKline, Otsuka, Pearl, Pulmox, PneumRx/BTG and Yungjin. JEC, HV and SML have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethics approval IRBs of all participating institutions.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; peer reviewed for ethical and funding approval prior to submission.

Data sharing statement Pending approval from the Department of Defense; we will make data available for other investigators after publication of the results of the primary analyses as well as the preplanned post hoc secondary analyses.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

REFERENCES
1. Blancheit CM, Dalal AA, Mapel D. Changes in COPD demographics and costs over 20 years. J Med Econ 2012;15:1176–82.
2. Mannino DM, Braman S. The epidemiology and economics of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Proc Am Thorac Soc 2007;4:502–6.
3. Puhar MA, Bachmann LM, Kleijnen J, et al. Inhaled drugs to reduce exacerbations in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a network meta-analysis. BMC Med 2006;7:2.
4. Bhatt SP, Dransfield MT. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and cardiovascular disease. Transl Res 2013;162:237–51.
5. Sin DD, Man SF. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease as a risk factor for cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. Proc Am Thorac Soc 2005;2:8–11.
6. Patel AR, Kowlessar BS, Donaldson GC, et al. Cardiovascular risk, myocardial injury, and exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2013;188:1091–9.
7. Gottlieb SS, McCarter RJ, Vogel RA. Effect of beta-blockade on mortality among high-risk and low-risk patients after myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 1998;339:489–97.
8. Rufen FH, Zuilhoff NP, Hek E, et al. Beta-blockers may reduce mortality and risk of exacerbations in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Arch Intern Med 2010;170:880–7.
9. Bhatt SP, Wells JM, Kinney GL, et al. beta-Blockers are associated with a reduction in COPD exacerbations. Thorax 2016;71:8–14.
10. Farland MZ, Peters CJ, Williams JD, et al. Beta-Blocker use and incidence of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations. Ann Pharmacother 2013;47:651–6.
11. Short PM, Lipworth S, Elder DH, et al. Effect of beta blockers in treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a retrospective cohort study. BMJ 2011;342:d5254.
12. Lin PL, Peng H, Nguyen LP, et al. Changes in beta 2-adrenoceptor and other signaling proteins produced by chronic administration of ‘beta-blockers’ in a murine asthma model. Pulm Pharmacol Ther 2008;21:115–24.
13. Tivenius L. Effects of multiple doses of metoprolol and propranolol on ventilatory function in patients with chronic obstructive lung disease. Scand J Respir Dis 1976;57:190–6.
14. Fogni R, Zoppa A, Tettamanti F, et al. Comparative effects of celliprolol, propranolol, oxprenolol, and atenolol on respiratory function in hyperactive asthmatics. Chest 1985;88:150–4.
15. Salpeter S, Ornston T, Salpeter E. Cardioselective beta-blockers for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2005(4):CD003566.
16. Ekström MP, Hernellon AB, Ström KE. Effects of cardiovascular drugs on mortality in severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2013;187:715–20.
17. Albert RK, Connell J, Bailey WC, et al. Azithromycin for prevention of exacerbations of COPD. N Engl J Med 2011;365:689–98.
18. Criner GJ, Connell JE, Aaron SD, et al. Simvastatin for the prevention of exacerbations in moderate-to-severe COPD. N Engl J Med 2014;370:2201–10.
19. Qaseem A, Will TJ, Weinberger SE, et al. Diagnosis and management of stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a clinical practice guideline update from the American College of Physicians, American College of Chest Physicians, American Thoracic Society, and European Respiratory Society. Ann Intern Med 2011;155:179–91.
20. O’Reilly J, Jones MM, Parnham J, et al. Management of stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in primary and secondary care: summary of updated NICE guidance. BMJ 2010;340:c3134.
21. Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al., Writing Committee Members. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/ American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines. Circulation 2013;128:e240–327.
22. Fihn SD, Gardin JM, Abrams J, et al. 2012 ACCF/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS Guideline for the diagnosis and management of patients with stable ischemic heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiologists Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, and the American College of Physicians, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. J Am Coll Cardiol 2012;60:e144–164.
23. Niewoehner DE, Rice K, Cote C, et al. Prevention of exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with tiotropium, a once-daily inhaled anticholinergic bronchodilator: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med 2005;143:317–26.
24. Anthonisen NR, Manfreda J, Warren CP, et al. Antibiotic therapy in exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Ann Intern Med 1987;106:196–204.
25. Salpeter SR, Ornston TM, Salpeter EE, et al. Cardioselective beta-blockers for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a meta-analysis. Respir Med 2003;97:1094–101.
26. Hjalmarson A, Goldstein S, Fagerberg B, et al. Effects of controlled-release metoprolol on total mortality, hospitalizations, and well-being in patients with heart failure: the Metoprolol CR/XL Randomized Intervention Trial in congestive heart failure (MERIT-HF). MERIT-HF Study Group. JAMA 2000;283:1295–302.
27. Aaron SD, Fergusson D, Marks GB, et al., Writing Committee Members. Congressionally Directed Medical Research Program: Peer Reviewed Medical Research Program—Clinical Trial Award PR140170—GRANT11766881.
28. Suissa S. Statistical treatment of exacerbations in therapeutic trials of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2006;173:842–6.
29. Mainous AG III, Koopman RJ, Diaz VA, et al. A coronary heart disease risk score based on patient-reported information. Am J Cardiol 2007;99:1236–41.

30. Malerba M, Ragnoli B, Salameh M, et al. Sub-clinical left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in early stage of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. J Biol Regul Homeost Agents 2011;25:443–51.

31. Barr RG, Bluemke DA, Ahmed FS, et al. Percent emphysema, airflow obstruction, and impaired left ventricular filling. N Engl J Med 2010;362:217–27.

32. Yawn BM, Kallhan Y, Rennard S, et al. COPD9USA June 2015. Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis (Miami, Florida) 2015;2:343–66.

33. Bhatt SP, Nanda S, Kintzer JS. Arrhythmias as trigger for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Respir Med 2012;106:1134–8.

34. Warnier MJ, Rutten FH, de Boer A, et al. Resting heart rate is a risk factor for mortality in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, but not for exacerbations or pneumonia. PLoS ONE 2014;9:e105152.

35. Chhabra SK, Gupta M, Ramaswamy S, et al. Cardiac sympathetic dominance and systemic inflammation in COPD. Cope 2012;12:552–9.

36. Egan BM, Basile J, Chilton RJ, et al. Cardioprotection: the role of beta-blocker therapy. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich) 2005;7:409–16.

37. Au DH, Curtis JR, Every NR, et al. Association between inhaled beta-agonists and the risk of unstable angina and myocardial infarction. Chest 2002;121:846–51.

38. Chiarella SE, Soberanes S, Urich D, et al. beta(2)-Adrenergic agonists augment air pollution-induced IL-6 release and thrombosis. J Clin Invest 2014;124:2935–46.

39. Nguyen LP, Omoluabi O, Parra S, et al. Chronic exposure to beta-blockers attenuates inflammation and mucus content in a murine asthma model. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 2008;38:256–62.

40. Dal Negro R. Pulmonary effects of nebivolol. Ther Adv Cardiovasc Dis 2009;3:329–34.

41. Agostoni P, Contini M, Cattadori G, et al. Lung function with carvedilol and bisoprolol in chronic heart failure: is beta selectivity relevant? Eur J Heart Fail 2007;9:827–33.

42. Jabbour A, Macdonald PS, Keogh AM, et al. Differences between beta-blockers in patients with chronic heart failure and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomized crossover trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010;55:1780–7.

43. Camsari A, Atikan S, Avan C, et al. Metoprolol, a beta-1 selective blocker, can be used safely in coronary artery disease patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Heart Vessels 2003;18:188–92.

44. Schimmer JJ, Billups SJ, Delate T. Beta-blocker therapy in patients with left ventricular systolic dysfunction and chronic obstructive lung disease in an ambulatory care setting. Pharm Pract (Granada) 2009;7:205–12.

45. Baber N. International conference on harmonisation of technical requirements for registration of pharmaceuticals for human use (ICH). Br J Clin Pharmacol 1994;37:401–4.