Interaction between gravitational waves and domain walls

Luís Bento
Centro de Física Nuclear da Universidade de Lisboa,
Avenida Prof. Gama Pinto 2, 1649-003 Lisboa, Portugal
E-mail: lbento@fc.ul.pt

José P. S. Lemos
CENTRA, Departamento de Física, Instituto Superior Técnico,
Avenida Rovisco Pais 1, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal
E-mail: lemos@kelvin.ist.utl.pt

March, 2001

Abstract

We study the gravitational perturbations of thick domain walls. The refraction index and spin properties of the solutions interior to the wall are analyzed in detail. It is shown that the gravitational waves suffer a refraction process by domain walls. The reflection and transmission coefficients are derived in the thin wall limit. In relation to the spin content, it is shown that the “×” helicity 2 gravitational wave mode maintains in the domain wall the same polarization state as in vacuum. On the contrary, the “+” mode, of pure helicity 2 in vacuum, is contaminated inside the wall with a spin 0 state, as well as with spin 2, helicity 0 and 1 states.

PACS numbers: 04.30.-w, 11.27.+d
1 Introduction

Topological defects occur in condensed matter systems and in field theories of elementary particles. When the vacuum does not possess all the symmetries of the theory, a symmetry breaking can occur, leading to the formation of topological defects. This symmetry breaking is usually described in terms of scalar fields. In cosmology, this breaking occurs spontaneously, when the early universe has cooled down to some critical value of the temperature. Depending on the type of symmetry that is being broken, topological defects can be domain walls, strings, monopoles and textures (see [1] for all details).

In this work, we are interested in domain walls. Domain walls appear through the breaking of a discrete symmetry. In the simplest realization the vacuum has two states, in which the scalar field $\varphi$ can take values $\varphi^+$ and $\varphi^-$, say. When, on one side of two neighboring regions it takes the value $\varphi^+$ and on the other side $\varphi^-$, then a domain wall occurs in the separation layer, with the field $\varphi$ interpolating between these two values. These are the $Z_2$ domain walls, which arise due to a discrete symmetry breaking of two possible states. The 3+1-dimensional (3+1D) domain walls, are in fact the kink (soliton) solutions in 1+1D when extended through the other two transversal directions (thus, n+1D domain wall solutions of this type exist for $n \geq 1$).

Since their introduction [2, 3], domain walls have been rejected and readmitted several times as possible objects inhabiting our universe. Initially they were ruled out because once formed, with a typical energy scale of $\gtrsim 1$ MeV, they would dominate catastrophically the energy density of the universe. Nevertheless, they showed interesting gravitational effects, and within this perspective, were analyzed in detail using a thin wall approximation [4, 5]. Domain walls were resurrected in a new scenario where a possible late phase transition occurred [6]. The critical temperature here is low, and the wall can acquire a relative large thickness. These walls would produce very low density contrasts and lack any observational support. Domain walls appeared a third time when it was suggested [7] that Planck size topological defects could trigger inflation. Recently, the study of domain walls reappeared in higher dimensions, after the suggestion that the universe is a three dimensional domain wall in a higher-dimensional universe [8, 9, 10].

Important aspects that have been discussed in the literature are the gravitational interaction of the domain walls with other particles and walls [1, 11], the dynamics of the walls [1, 12], and the intrinsic structure of the wall itself [1, 13, 14, 15]. The gravitational field of the wall has some interesting features. For instance, both the interior and exterior gravitational fields are not static. In addition, the gravitational field to external observers is repulsive, an effect which can be seen differently according to the coordinate system used [1].

Here we want to consider thick domain walls, of the kind studied by Widrow [13], and analyze gravitational perturbations in the interior solution. We will display a master wave equation that governs the evolution of the gravitational field modes.
This equation is solved in the thin wall limit, yielding very interesting results concerning the reflection and transmission of gravitational waves by domain walls. This extends to gravitational waves the work presented in [1] (see section 13.4 of the book) for the scattering of scalar field waves. The thick wall is also considered and the properties of the waves inside the wall are analyzed in what concerns their spin content.

This type of analysis for the study of the interaction between matter and gravitation has been performed in a previous work of ours for thin systems with perfect fluid matter [17]. Here, we apply it to domain walls but including now the transition between matter and vacuum.

2 Background configuration

The action for the scalar field is

$$S_\phi = \int \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_\phi \, d^4x = \int \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - V(\phi) \right) \, d^4x ,$$

(1)

where $\mathcal{L}_\phi$ is the scalar field Lagrangean, and $g$ is the determinant of the metric. The action for the gravitational field is

$$S_g = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int \sqrt{-g} R \, d^4x ,$$

(2)

where $R$ is the Ricci scalar. The equations of motion, of the joint action $S_\phi + S_g$, for the scalar and gravitational fields are, respectively,

$$\Box \phi + \frac{dV}{d\phi} = 0 ,$$

(3)

$$R_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G (T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} T^\alpha_{\alpha} g_{\mu\nu}) ,$$

(4)

where, $\Box \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\mu [\sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu ]$, $R_{\mu\nu}$ is the Ricci tensor, and $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the energy-momentum tensor given by

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}_\phi .$$

(5)

The domain wall will be specified by the scalar and gravitational field solutions $\phi$ and $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$, respectively. We assume that the domain wall has plane symmetry along the $x - y$ plane (i.e., the group of motions is $E_2$), and reflection symmetry under $z \rightarrow -z$. The absolute minimum value for the potential is assumed to be given by $V_{\text{min}} = 0$. The scalar field depends on $z$ only, $\phi = \varphi(z)$. $\varphi(0)$ is a local maximum of the potential and $\varphi_+ = \varphi(+\infty)$, $\varphi_- = \varphi(-\infty)$ are absolute minima of the potential, $V(\varphi(\pm \infty)) = V_{\text{min}} = 0$. Reflection symmetry implies $\varphi(z) = \varphi(0) = \varphi(0) - \varphi(-z)$. The potential is an even function of $z$ due to the reflection
property, $V(\varphi) = V(2\bar{\varphi}(0) - \varphi)$, around the local maximum $\bar{\varphi}(0)$. The gravitational field depends on time $t$ and coordinate $z$, $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}(t, z)$. Reflection symmetry implies $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}(t, z) = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}(t, -z)$.

The wall creates a gravitational field with a line element of the form

$$ds^2 = D(z) \left( dt^2 - dz^2 - e^{-st}(dx^2 + dy^2) \right),$$

in the coordinates used by Widrow [13], where $s \approx 4\pi G \sigma$ and $\sigma$ is the wall tension. The equations of motion (3) and (4) are written as

$$\bar{\varphi}'' + \frac{D'}{D} \bar{\varphi}' - D \frac{dV}{d\varphi}(\bar{\varphi}) = 0,$$

$$\left( D'/D \right)' + \frac{16\pi^3}{3} G (\bar{\varphi}'^2 + D V(\bar{\varphi})) = 0,$$

where, $' \equiv d/dz$. $D$ is an even function of $z$ and $D'/D$ varies from 0 at $z = 0$ to a constant value outside the wall where $\bar{\varphi}'$, $V \to 0$. More precisely [13], $D'/D \to \pm s$ as $z \to \pm \infty$. The function $D$ can and will be normalized to $D(0) = 1$.

Turning off gravity, i.e., using $g_{\mu\nu}$ in Eq. (3), the scalar field equation of motion admits a solution $\varphi_0(z)$ satisfying

$$\varphi_0'^2 = 2V(\varphi_0), \quad \varphi_0'' = \frac{dV}{d\varphi}(\varphi_0).$$

The gravitational field produced by the wall back reacts producing the scalar field solution $\bar{\varphi}(z)$ instead of $\varphi_0(z)$. The most often considered potentials are the $\varphi^4$ potential,

$$V = \frac{1}{4} \lambda (\varphi^2 - \eta^2)^2,$$

where $\lambda$ is a coupling constant and $\eta$ a mass scale, and the axionlike potential (see, e.g., [1])

$$V = \frac{m^2 \eta^2}{N^2} (1 - \cos(N\varphi/\eta)),$$

where $m$ is the mass of the pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone boson (axion), much smaller than the scale $\eta$, and $N$ is an integer. In the first case the energy density of the wall is $\rho_0 \sim \lambda \eta^4$, the wall thickness is $\ell \sim \lambda^{-1/2} \eta^{-1}$ and the wall tension is $\sigma = \rho_0 \ell \sim \lambda^{1/2} \eta^3$. In the second case, the same quantities are of the order of magnitude, respectively, $\rho_0 \sim m^2 \eta^2$, $\ell \sim m^{-1}$ and $\sigma \sim m \eta^2$. We are interested in perturbations with very large wavelengths up to the order of $\sim 1/\sqrt{G \rho}$, i.e., with wave numbers as small as $k_0$ defined as

$$k_0^2 = 4\pi G \rho_0.$$

Notice the following hierarchy: $s/k_0 \approx k_0 \ell \sim \eta/M_P$ is an extremely small number and $s \ell \approx k_0^2 \ell^2 \sim \eta^2/M_P^2$ is even smaller.
3 Gravitational perturbation equations

The background is thus given by $\bar{\varphi}(z)$ and $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}(t,z)$. Consider now perturbations around this background configuration,

$$\varphi = \bar{\varphi}(z) + \delta \varphi(x^\mu),$$  \hspace{1cm} (12a)

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}(t,z) + h_{\mu\nu}(x^\mu).$$  \hspace{1cm} (12b)

$\varphi$ and $g_{\mu\nu}$ obey the Klein-Gordon and Einstein equations (3) and (4).

We are going to assume that $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ as given in (6) differs only slightly from the Minkowski metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ ($\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$), i.e., $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu}$ is a small quantity. This amounts to say that $s|t| \ll 1, s|z| \ll 1$ (which assures that $|D - 1| \ll 1$ because $D(0) = 1$ and $|D'/D| \leq s$). In this region of the space-time the deviation of the scalar field solution $\bar{\varphi}(z)$ from $\bar{\varphi}_0(z)$ is suppressed by the Newton constant $G$.

To be consistent we treat the equations expanding up to a well defined order in $G$. Subtracting from the equations obeyed by $\varphi(x^\mu)$ and $g_{\mu\nu}(x^\mu)$, the equations obeyed by the background fields $\bar{\varphi}$ and $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$, one obtains the equations satisfied by the perturbations $\delta \varphi$ and $h_{\mu\nu}$. In leading order in $G$, the linearized equations in $\delta \varphi$ and $h_{\mu\nu}$ are

$$\eta^{\mu\nu} \delta \varphi_{,\mu\nu} + \frac{d^2 V}{d\varphi^2}(\varphi_0) \delta \varphi = \frac{dV}{d\varphi}(\varphi_0) h_{zz},$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G \left( -\frac{1}{2} \varphi_0^2 h_{\mu\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu} \varphi_0'' \delta \varphi + \varphi_{0,\mu} \delta \varphi_{,\nu} + \varphi_{0,\nu} \delta \varphi_{,\mu} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where we have used the de Donder (or harmonic) gauge condition,

$$\eta^{\alpha\mu} h_{\mu\nu,\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\alpha\beta} h_{\alpha\beta,\nu} = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

In equations (13)-(14) we have neglected terms that go as $(\bar{\varphi} - \varphi_0) \delta \varphi$, $(\bar{\varphi} - \varphi_0) h_{\mu\nu}$, $(\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta} - \eta_{\alpha\beta}) \delta \varphi$ or $(\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta} - \eta_{\alpha\beta}) h_{\mu\nu}$ because they are of higher order in $G$. This is, of course, compatible with our assumption that the calculations are only valid within the range $|t|, |z| \ll s^{-1}, (|D - 1| \ll 1)$. However, the nonlinearity of Einstein equations is taken in account by keeping the terms in the first member of Eq. (14) that go as $(\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta} - \eta_{\alpha\beta}) h_{\mu\nu}$, which are comparable with $G \varphi_0^2 h_{\mu\nu}$ in the second member.

Equation (13) is satisfied for modes with $h_{zz} = 0$ and $\delta \varphi = 0$. In this paper we study the gravitational modes obeying that condition, except in section 5.2 where a gauge transformation is performed that modifies $h_{zz} = 0$ and $\delta \varphi$.

Given the plane symmetry of the wall and the well defined time scale, $s^{-1}$, of the background gravitational field, it is legitimate to look for periodic wave modes of the form

$$h_{\mu\nu} = A_{\mu\nu}(z) e^{i(-\omega t + k_x x + k_y y)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)
as long as $\omega \gg s$. In these conditions the explicit dependence of Eq. (14) on time and parameter $s$ can be neglected, which we do. Moreover, since the background curvature $\sim \sqrt{G\rho}$ ($\rho = \sigma/\ell$ is the volume energy density and $\ell$ the thickness of the wall) is much bigger than $s \simeq 4\pi G\sigma$, $\sqrt{G\rho} \gg s$, one can still have $\omega \sim \sqrt{G\rho}$. Thus, it is still possible to study effects of gravitational modes on matter and vice versa.

Recently, perturbations of static domain walls in generic n+1D space-times were studied in [18] and it was shown that the equations of motion admit solutions obeying the additional constraints $h_{\mu z} = 0, \eta^{\mu\nu}h_{\mu\nu} = 0$. In combination with the de Donder gauge condition (15) they define transverse traceless modes with respect to the coordinates $t, x, y$, i.e.,

$$\eta^{ab}h_{bc,a} = 0, \quad \eta^{ab}h_{ab} = 0 \quad (a, b = t, x, y).$$

Without loss of generality we consider waves propagating along the $x - z$ plane, that is, $k_y = 0$ in Eq. (16). There are two independent modes satisfying the above constraints, that we name “×” and “+” modes for reasons that will be clear in section 5. The “×” mode obeys the following relation:

$$\omega h_{ty} = -k_x h_{xy}. \quad (18)$$

In turn, the “+” mode obeys

$$\omega^2 h_{tt} = -\omega k_x h_{tx} = k_x^2 h_{xx}, \quad (19)$$

which upon using the tracelessness condition $h_{yy} = h_{tt} - h_{xx}$ also yields,

$$\omega^2 h_{yy} = (k_x^2 - \omega^2) h_{xx}. \quad (20)$$

Equation (14) gives for these “×” and “+” modes,

$$(\omega^2 - k_x^2)h_{\mu\nu} + (D(D^{-1}h_{\mu\nu})')' = 0, \quad (21)$$

up to higher order terms in $G$. In this equation it is taken into account that $D(z)$ relates with the energy density of the free wall, $\rho_0(z)$, as

$$D'' \simeq -8\pi G\rho_0 = -8\pi G\varphi_0'^2, \quad (22)$$

in accordance with eqs. (7b) and (8). Neglecting higher order terms in $G$ means here to consider $D''D^n \simeq D'^n, D'D^n \simeq D', D^2 \simeq 0$. This will be consistently carried out throughout the paper.

We are interested in two main aspects, refraction and spin content of the waves. It is convenient, specially in the case $\omega^2 \neq k_x^2$, to define new functions,

$$\psi_{\mu\nu} = D^{-1/2}h_{\mu\nu}, \quad (23)$$

in such a way that they obey a more familiar differential equation,

$$(\omega^2 - k_x^2)\psi_{\mu\nu} + \psi_{\mu\nu}'' + 4\pi G\rho_0 \psi_{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (24)$$
It has the form of a time-independent one-dimensional Schrödinger equation where \(-4\pi G \rho_0\) takes the role of a potential. This equation can be solved analytically for particular energy density profiles which will be done below. Equation (24) is the master equation for the perturbations we have been considering. Equivalently, one can use Eq. (21) as our master equation.

In order to identify the spin of the waves it is necessary to perform certain coordinate transformations. Under the infinitesimal transformation \(x^\mu = \hat{x}^\mu + \xi^\mu\), the perturbations of the scalar and gravitational fields given by Eqs. (12) transform into

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta \hat{\varphi} &= \delta \varphi + \varphi' \xi^z, \\
\hat{h}_{\mu\nu} &= h_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{\mu\nu} D' \xi^z + D(\xi_{\mu,\nu} + \xi_{\nu,\mu}),
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\xi^\mu = \eta_{\mu\nu} \xi^\nu\). Here we neglect again the time dependence of the background metric (8) (recall that we have assumed \(\omega \gg s\)). The spin composition will be studied in detail in section 5.

4 Solutions of the wave equation

It is clear that the phase velocity in the wall is different from the phase velocity in vacuum, \(c = 1\). Consequently, there is a refraction and reflection phenomenon when a wave enters the wall from outside or emerges off the wall. We analyze first the particular case \(\omega^2 = k_x^2\), and then study the cases \(\omega^2 \neq k_x^2\).

4.1 \(\omega^2 = k_x^2\)

From Eq. (21) one derives two independent kinds of solutions,

\[
\begin{align*}
h_{\mu\nu} &= \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} D(z) e^{-i \omega (t-x)}, \\
h_{\mu\nu} &= \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} D(z) \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{D(z')} e^{-i \omega (t-x)},
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}\) are constant polarization tensors constrained either by Eq. (18) or Eqs. (19), (20).

The spin composition of the waves will be studied in section 5 in the general case. Nevertheless, we study here briefly the spin content of the particular waves in Eqs. (26) and (27). We resort to eqs. (25). It turns out that the solutions specified by Eqs. (26), (18) and (19)-(20) can be gauged away with appropriate transformations \(\xi^t, \xi^x\) and \(\xi^y\). That is not so for the solutions (27).

A transformation

\[\xi^y = \frac{i}{\omega} D^{-1} h_{ty}\]
brings the wave specified by Eqs. (18) and (27) into the canonic form where only the $\hat{h}_{yz}$ component is nonzero and its amplitude is constant everywhere:

$$\hat{h}_{yz} = -\frac{i}{\omega} \varepsilon_{ty} e^{-i\omega(t-x)}. \quad (29)$$

It represents an helicity-2 gravitational wave that propagates parallel to the wall at the speed of light, inside and outside the wall, without any noticeable interaction. The other mode, identified by Eqs. (19)-(20), has the pathological feature of $h_{yy} = 0$ in the limit $\omega^2 = k_x^2$ and it is preferable to study the generic case $\omega^2 \neq k_x^2$ in section 4.

### 4.2 $\omega^2 \neq k_x^2$

To obtain solutions for eq. (24) one has to present a given potential $\frac{1}{2}D'' = -4\pi G \rho_0$. Outside the wall, where the energy density is negligible, Eq. (24) admits a plane wave solution,

$$\psi_{\mu\nu} = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} e^{i(-\omega t + k_x x + k_z z)}, \quad (30)$$

propagating at the speed of light, i.e., with a dispersion relation given by

$$\omega^2 = k_x^2 + k_z^2. \quad (31)$$

Inside the wall, if the density $\rho_0$ is constant or varies sufficiently slowly, Eq. (24) also admits a plane wave solution in the interior of the wall but with a different dispersion relation,

$$\omega^2 = k_x^2 + k_z^2 - 4\pi G \rho_0. \quad (32)$$

A wave travelling into or from the wall gets different values of momentum along the $z$ direction inside and outside the wall, while keeping the same value of $k_x$. This is a typical refraction phenomenum. There are two aspects to analyze, one is the reflection and transmission properties of the wall and the other the spin content.

The class of domain wall models we consider correspond to thin walls in the sense that the product of the potential times the square of the width $\ell$ is very small, i.e., $4\pi G \rho_0 \ell^2 \simeq s \ell \sim \eta^2 / M_p^2 \ll 1$. If, in addition, the wavelength $k_z^{-1}$ is much larger than the wall thickness, $k_z \ell \ll 1$, it is sufficient to consider the extreme thin wall limit to study the wave spectrum and transition from the wall to the vacuum. That amounts to consider the $\delta-$function wall, which gives rise to the Vilenkin wall,

$$\rho_0 = \sigma \delta(z). \quad (33)$$

Then, eq. (24) is now, omiting the tensor indices,

$$\psi'' + 4\pi G \sigma \delta(z) \psi + (\omega^2 - k_x^2) \psi = 0. \quad (34)$$

We stress that this equation applies to both polarization states “×” and “+”. Its solutions can be further separated into two cases.
(a) $\omega^2 - k_x^2 < 0$

This case corresponds to a ‘bound’ state of the Schrödinger equation. We write $\alpha^2 = -(\omega^2 - k_x^2)$, with $\alpha^2 > 0$. The solution of eq. (34) is then

$$\psi(z) = a e^{\alpha z}, \quad z < 0,$$

$$\psi(z) = a e^{-\alpha z}, \quad z > 0.$$ (35a)

Integrating through the wall, one obtains $\alpha = 2\pi G \sigma \approx s/2$, which yields the dispersion relation $\omega^2 = k_x^2 - s^2/4$. However, since we have been assuming that $\omega \gg s$ and have neglected $s$ in the linearized perturbation equations, this solution cannot be differentiated from the cases $\omega^2 = k_x^2$ analyzed before representing waves propagating along the plane of the wall.

From the dispersion relation (32) one can draw general conclusions, even when one does not restrict to a particular potential, such as the one in Eq. (33). Indeed, the dispersion relation (32) suggests that that are solutions where the amplitude does not vary in the interior to the wall ($k_z = 0$) corresponding to negative values of $\omega^2 - k_x^2 = -4\pi G \rho_0$ in particular $\omega^2 < 0$. However, those wave functions diverge exponentially in the space exterior to the wall with a scale $\sqrt{4\pi G \rho_0} \gg s$ and do not provide well behaved solutions. The analogous problem for a fluid wall was treated in [17]. In view of the similarity between the dispersion relation (32) and the dispersion relation obtained in the case of a fluid wall for wave functions independent of $z$ (see also the mode given by Eq. (18) in this paper and the equivalent mode in [17]), it is likely that the same conclusion applies in the case of the fluid. In other words, the unstable modes, $\omega^2 < 0$, diverge exponentially with the distance to the wall and therefore do not belong to the actual wave spectrum when the full spatial variation is taken into account.

(b) $\omega^2 - k_x^2 > 0$

This case is a typical scattering problem. We write $k_z^2 = \omega^2 - k_x^2$, with $k_z, k_x^2 > 0$. The solution of eq. (34) is

$$\psi(z) = a e^{ik_z z} + b e^{-ik_z z}, \quad z < 0,$$

$$\psi(z) = c e^{ik_z z}, \quad z > 0.$$ (36a)

Continuity and integration through the wall yield for the reflected and transmitted wave amplitudes, respectively,

$$b = \frac{s}{s + 2i k_z} a , \quad c = \frac{2i k_z}{s + 2i k_z} a.$$ (37)

Thus, the reflection $\text{Re}$ and transmission $\text{Tr}$ coefficients are

$$\text{Re} \equiv \frac{|b|^2}{|a|^2} = \frac{s^2}{s^2 + 4k_z^2},$$ (38a)

$$\text{Tr} \equiv \frac{|c|^2}{|a|^2} = \frac{4k_z^2}{s^2 + 4k_z^2}.$$ (38b)
The ratio of both coefficients is \( \text{Re}/\text{Tr} = s^2/4k_z^2 \). Thus, for \( k_z \) small (large wavelengths) compared to \( s \simeq 4\pi G\sigma \), almost all of the wave is reflected. For \( k_z \) large almost all of the wave passes through the wall. This conclusion is similar to the one displayed in paragraph 13.4 of [1] (see also [11]) for the scattering of scalar particles by domain walls without the inclusion of gravitational effects. Notice that the reflection and transmission coefficients are the same for both the polarization states “×” and “+”.

In Eqs. (36) we have dropped the indices of the wave function \( \psi_{\mu\nu} \) and consequently of \( a_{\mu\nu}, b_{\mu\nu} \) and \( c_{\mu\nu} \). However, the indice content is important when one wants to analyze the spin and helicity of the waves. Since, one can do this directly from the equations of motion of the waves (18) and (19)-(20) in their most general form, Eqs. (21), there is no need to discuss for this particular \( \delta \)–function potential, one can study the spin composition generically. We do this in the next section.

## 5 Spin composition

Gravitational waves only have helicity \( \pm 2 \) states in vacuum. One usually gauges away the time components of the wave tensor \( h_{\mu\nu} \), that correspond to spin 0 and 1 states, and verifies that the remaining spatial tensor \( h_{ij} \) is traceless and transverse. That eliminates the spin 0 and spin 2 states with helicity different from \( \pm 2 \). We do the same here for the modes identified in Eqs. (18) and (19)-(20) by applying successive gauge transformations as expressed in Eqs. (25).

One point to keep in mind is that \( D' \) varies from 0 at \( z = 0 \) to \( |D'| \simeq 4\pi G\rho_0 \ell \simeq s \) on the surfaces of the wall, \( |z| \approx \ell/2 \), as results from the integration of Eq. (7b). In addition, recall that \( s \ll \sqrt{G\rho_0} \) and \( s \ll \omega \) hence, we will neglect \( D' \) with respect to \( \omega \) and \( \sqrt{G\rho_0} \) but not \( D'' \simeq -8\pi G\rho_0 \) with respect to \( \omega^2 \).

Our first order expansion in the Newton constant forces us to neglect products such as \( G\rho_0 D' \) or \( (G\rho_0)^2 \) in section 5.2. For the mode “+” studied in that section it is convenient to consider a simple energy density profile that is almost flat around its maximum which is true for some scalar field potential models. Alternatively one may consider that the calculations apply only to the points where the energy density has a maximum, or that \( D''' = 0 \), which indeed occurs in the central plane of the wall, \( z = 0 \).

### 5.1 Mode “×”

This mode obeys the relation (18) and the equations of motion (21). Applying the transformation \( \xi^\mu \) given in Eq. (28), the component \( h_{ty} \) is gauged away and one obtains

\[
\hat{h}_{xy} = \frac{\omega^2 - k_z^2}{k_x^2} h_{xy},
\]

\[
\hat{h}_{yz} = \frac{i k_x}{\omega^2} D(D^{-1}h_{xy})'.
\]
From this and the $h_{xy}$ equation of motion (21) one derives the relation,

$$i k_x \hat{h}_{xy} + \hat{h}'_{yz} = 0 ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)

and also the equation of motion of $\hat{h}_{yz}$,

$$(\omega^2 - k_x^2)\hat{h}_{yz} + D(D^{-1}\hat{h}'_{yz})' = 0 .$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)

Note that this is different from the equation of motion of $h_{xy}$ and $\hat{h}_{xy}$.

This mode is obviously traceless and is also transverse because, as results from Eq. (40),

$$\nabla^\mu \hat{h}_{\mu\nu} \simeq D^{-1} \eta^\mu{}^\alpha \partial_\alpha \hat{h}_{\mu\nu} = 0 ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

where the covariant derivative refers to the unperturbed metric $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$. The terms neglected in the first equality are suppressed by $|\bar{D}'|/\omega \lesssim s/\omega \ll 1$. The conclusion is that this particular gravitational wave constitutes a well defined polarization state “×” of helicity ±2 even in the interior of the domain wall where the refraction index is modified (see Eqs. (24) and (32)).

5.2 Mode 

This mode is specified by Eqs. (19)-(20). The components $h_{tt}$ and $h_{tx}$ are gauged away with the transformations

$$\tilde{\xi}' = -\frac{i}{2\omega} D^{-1} h_{tt} ,$$

$$\tilde{\xi}^x = \frac{i k_x}{2\omega^2} \frac{k_x^2}{\omega^2 - k_x^2} D^{-1} h_{yy} .$$  \hspace{1cm} (43b)

The new tensor is

$$\tilde{h}_{tz} = \frac{i}{2\omega} \frac{k_x^2}{\omega^2 - k_x^2} D(D^{-1} h_{yy})' ,$$

$$\tilde{h}_{xx} = -\frac{\omega^2 - k_x^2}{\omega^2} h_{yy} ,$$

$$\tilde{h}_{yy} = h_{yy} ,$$

$$\tilde{h}_{xz} = -\frac{2\omega^2 - k_x^2}{\omega^2 k_x} \tilde{h}_{tz} .$$  \hspace{1cm} (44d)

Using Eq. (21) one gets

$$\tilde{h}'_{tz} = -\frac{i k_x^2}{2\omega} h_{yy} .$$  \hspace{1cm} (45)
\( \bar{h}_{tz} \) obeys the same wave equation (41) as \( \bar{h}_{yz} \). To eliminate \( \bar{h}_{tz} \) one needs an \( \xi^z \) transformation which introduces a perturbation in the scalar field as follows from Eq. (25a). There is no other way of gauging away all the time components \( h_{t\mu} \) without introducing \( \xi^z \). This already shows that this mode has a scalar, spin 0 component and is not a pure spin 2 wave. To keep \( h_{tt} \) and \( h_{tx} \) equal to zero one has to perform a simultaneous transformation as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi^t &= -\frac{i}{2\omega} D' D^{-1} \xi^z, \\
\xi^x &= -\frac{k_x}{\omega} \xi^t, \\
\xi^z &= \frac{1}{\omega^2 + k_0^2} D^{-1} \left( i \omega \bar{h}_{tz} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{k_x^2}{\omega^2 + 2k_0^2} D' h_{yy} \right),
\end{align*}
\tag{46a-46c}
\]

where \( k_0^2 \) is defined in Eq. (11). Here it is important to assume that \( D'' \) and \( \rho'_0 \) are negligible small which is true in the central plane of the wall where they vanish, or in any model where the scalar field potential is flat in a certain interval around its maximum. Making use of Eqs. (44a) and (45) the new wave tensor comes as (\( \bar{h}_{tz} = 0 \))

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{h}_{xx} &= -\frac{\omega^2 - k_x^2}{\omega^2} \left( h_{yy} + \frac{i \omega}{\omega^2 + k_0^2} D' \bar{h}_{tz} \right), \\
\bar{h}_{yy} &= h_{yy}, \\
\bar{h}_{zz} &= \frac{1}{\omega^2 + k_0^2} \left( -k_x^2 h_{yy} + i \omega \frac{2\omega^2 - k_x^2}{\omega^2 + k_0^2} D' \bar{h}_{tz} \right), \\
\bar{h}_{xz} &= \frac{-2}{\omega^2 + k_0^2} \left( \frac{\omega^2 - k_x^2}{k_x^2} \bar{h}_{tz} + \frac{i}{4} \frac{k_x^3}{\omega^2 + 2k_0^2} D' h_{yy} \right),
\end{align*}
\tag{47a-47d}
\]

with \( \bar{h}_{tz} \) given by Eq. (44a). There is a spin 0 scalar field perturbation

\[
\delta \phi = \varphi' \xi^z. 
\tag{48}
\]

There is also a gravitational field spin 0 term, identified with the trace of \( \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} \). Indeed, the trace is given by

\[
\bar{h} = \bar{h}_{xx} + \bar{h}_{yy} + \bar{h}_{zz} = \frac{2k_0^2}{\omega^2 + 2k_0^2} \frac{k_x^2}{\omega^2} \bar{h}_{yy} + \cdots. 
\tag{49}
\]

The ellipses represent terms suppressed by \( |D'|/\omega \lesssim s/\omega \ll 1 \). Remarkably enough the trace is proportional to \( k_0^2 = 4\pi G \rho_0 \) showing that it only exists in matter, in the domain wall, vanishing in the surrounding empty space.

There are no spin 1 waves, since \( \bar{h}_{ij} \) is symmetric. To determine if there are spin 2 states with helicity different from \( \pm 2 \) one evaluates the divergence of the traceless
\[
\hat{h}_{ij}^T = \hat{h}_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \hat{h} \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j \neq t, 
\]
\[
\hat{h}_{i\mu}^T = 0. 
\]

The result is again proportional to \( k_0^2 \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla^\mu \hat{h}_{\mu t}^T &= 0, \\
\nabla^\mu \hat{h}_{\mu x}^T &\simeq -\frac{4}{3} i k_x \frac{k_0^2}{\omega^2 + 2k_0^2} \frac{\hat{h}_x^2}{\omega^2} D^{-1} \hat{h}_{yy}, \\
\nabla^\mu \hat{h}_{\mu y}^T &= 0, \\
\nabla^\mu \hat{h}_{\mu z}^T &\simeq -\frac{1}{3} i k_x k_0^2 \frac{9\omega^2 - 4k_x^2}{(\omega^2 + 2k_0^2)(\omega^2 - k_x^2 + k_0^2)} D^{-1} \hat{h}_{xz}.
\end{align*}
\]

It remains to tell whether the helicity is 0 or ±1. A spin 2 helicity 0 state corresponds to a divergence vector \( \nabla^\mu \hat{h}_{\mu t}^T \) parallel to the wavevector and the helicity 1 to a divergence vector orthogonal to the wavevector. Here the wavevector has to be replaced by the more general quantity, the gradient \( \nabla^\nu \hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}^T \). Then, the signature of helicity 0 may be constructed as the internal product

\[
H_{0\alpha\beta} = \nabla^\mu \hat{h}_{\mu t}^T \nabla^\nu \hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}^T, 
\]

and the helicity 1 signature as

\[
H_{1i\alpha\beta} = \nabla^\gamma \hat{h}_{\gamma t}^T \nabla^j \hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}^T - \nabla^\gamma \hat{h}_{\gamma j}^T \nabla^i \hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}^T. 
\]

As expected none of the tensors vanish, for instance, \( H_{0y}^y \simeq -3k_0^2 k_x^2 \omega^{-2} \hat{h}_{yy}^2 \) and \( H_{xzz}^1 \simeq -5/3 k_0^2 k_x^4 \omega^{-4} \hat{h}_{yy}^2 \) are different from zero to first order in \( G \). This completes the proof that a perturbation that is a pure gravitational wave off the wall (spin 2 and helicity ±2), couples in the interior of the domain wall with spin 0 and spin 2 degrees of freedom with helicities 0, ±1, and ±2. Notice that although the results derived after Eqs. (46) are only strictly valid in the core of the wall, the mere existence of helicity and spin states other than 2 is a continuous property and therefore extends to the entire wall.

## 6 Conclusions

We have considered thick domain walls, mainly those studied by Widrow [13], and have analyzed gravitational perturbations in the interior solution. We have displayed a perturbation equation which yields the evolution of gravitational waves. We were 1

\[1\] If we had not neglected \( D'' \) one would get terms with the fourth derivative \( D^{(4)} \), but that would introduce one more model dependent parameter and could not change the conclusions.
able to solve this equation in the thin wall limit, yielding results concerning the reflection and transmission properties of the gravitational waves themselves that are similar to the ones obtained for the scattering of scalar particles off domain walls presented in [1], where gravitational effects were not taken into account. The reflection and transmission coefficients are independent from the gravitational wave polarization state.

The analysis of the spin content of the waves in the interior of the wall shows that the two perturbation modes studied have different properties. One corresponds to a pure state of helicity \( \pm 2 \), usually labeled with the symbol “\( \times \)”. The other mode reduces in vacuum to the orthogonal state with helicity \( \pm 2 \), denoted as “+”, but in the interior of the wall it also contains a scalar field perturbation and gravitational components with spin 0 and spin 2 states of helicity \( \pm 1 \) and 0 whose amplitudes are of the order of \( 4\pi G\rho_0/\omega^2 \).
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