Gamma-Secretase Inhibitor IX (GSI) Impairs Concomitant Activation of Notch and Wnt-Beta-Catenin Pathways in CD44+ Gastric Cancer Stem Cells

SAMARPITA BARAT, a XI CHEN, a KHAC CUONG BUI, a PRZEMYSLAW BOZKO, a JULIAN GÖTZ, a MATTHIAS CHRISTGEN, b TILL KRECH, c NISAR P. MALEK, a RUBEN R. PLENTZ a

Key Words. Cancer stem cells • CD44 • Gastric cancer • Gamma-secretase inhibitor IX • Notch • wnt-beta-catenin

ABSTRACT

Cancer stem cells (CSCs) are associated with tumor resistance and are characterized in gastric cancer (GC). Studies have indicated that Notch and wnt-beta-catenin pathways are crucial for CSC development. Using CD44+ CSCs, we investigated the role of these pathways in GC carcinogenesis. We performed cell proliferation, wound healing, invasion, tumorsphere, and apoptosis assays. Immunoblot analysis of downstream signaling targets of Notch and wnt-beta-catenin were tested after gamma-secretase inhibitor IX (GSI) treatment. Immunohistochemistry, immunofluorescence, and Fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) were used to determine CD44 and Hairy enhancer of split-1 (Hes1) expression in human GC tissues. CD44+ CSCs were subcutaneously injected into NMR-nu/nu mice and treated with vehicle or GSI. GC patients with expression of CD44 and Hes1 showed overall reduced survival. CD44+ CSCs showed high expression of Hes1. GSI treatment showed effective inhibition of cell proliferation, migration, invasion, tumor sphere formation of CD44+ CSCs, and induced apoptosis. Importantly, Notch1 was found to be important in mediating a crosstalk between Notch and wnt-beta-catenin in CD44+ CSCs. Our study highlights a crosstalk between Notch and wnt-beta-catenin in gastric CD44+ CSCs. Expression of CD44 and Hes1 is associated with patient overall survival. GSI could be an alternative drug to treat GC.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

Failure to eradicate cancer stem cells (CSC) have always been a major challenge in the field of cancer therapies. CSCs usually exhibit properties of chemo and radio resistance and are also associated with tumor relapse and metastases. Therefore, proving these CSCs to be critical targets for cancer cure. In our present study, we specifically target the CD44+ CSCs instead of treating unspecific bulk tumor cells would be of great interest. For GC, it was shown that CD44 is the only CSC marker associated with tumor formation in immuno-deficient mice [11]. CD44 is a class I transmembrane glycoprotein that can act as a receptor for extracellular matrix components and it has been shown that CD44+ CSCs are important for metastasis of gastrointestinal cancer [11–15]. Therefore, direct targeting of CD44+ CSCs instead of treating unspecific bulk tumor cells would be of great interest.

The Notch signaling pathway has been implicated in the pathogenesis of a number of malignancies, including GC [16,17]. The interaction of cancer stem cells (CSCs) are playing an important role in the occurrence and development of GC [4–7]. CSCs are pluripotent cells with the function of self-renewal, and are important for tumor proliferation, metastasis [8,9]. CSCs are important for drug resistance and refractory radiation [10]. For GC, it was shown that CD44 is the only CSC marker associated with tumor formation in immuno-deficient mice [11]. CD44 is a class I transmembrane glycoprotein that can act as a receptor for extracellular matrix components and it has been shown that CD44+ CSCs are important for metastasis of gastrointestinal cancer [11–15]. Therefore, direct targeting of CD44+ CSCs instead of treating unspecific bulk tumor cells would be of great interest.

INTRODUCTION

Gastric cancer (GC) is the third leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide [1,2]. Only few patients can undergo curative surgery [1–3]. The response rate to multiagent chemotherapy is 50% or greater, but median survival is still limited [3]. Therefore, new targeted approaches are urgently needed.

Cancer stem cells (CSCs) play an important role in the occurrence and development of GC [4–7]. CSCs are pluripotent cells with the function of self-renewal, and are important for tumor proliferation, metastasis [8,9]. CSCs are important for drug resistance and refractory radiation [10]. For GC, it was shown that CD44 is the only CSC marker associated with tumor formation in immuno-deficient mice [11]. CD44 is a class I transmembrane glycoprotein that can act as a receptor for extracellular matrix components and it has been shown that CD44+ CSCs are important for metastasis of gastrointestinal cancer [11–15]. Therefore, direct targeting of CD44+ CSCs instead of treating unspecific bulk tumor cells would be of great interest.
Notch ligands with their Notch receptors, promotes a gamma-secretase-dependent cleavage of the receptor and release of the Notch intracellular domain (NICD), resulting in activation of the pathway [16,17]. NICD translocates to the nucleus and induces target genes like Hairy enhancer of split-1 (Hes1). In some of T-cell lymphoblastic leukemia, the Notch1 gene was discovered [18,19]. Over the last decades it was also well demonstrated that Notch signaling is activated in GC [20–25].

The wnt-beta-catenin pathways are a group of signal transduction pathways characterized in GC [25]. The best characterized wnt signaling pathway is the canonical wnt pathway or b-catenin dependent [26]. Activation of the wnt pathway was also shown to promote GC carcinogenesis [27,28].

Interestingly, previous reports show that Notch and wnt-beta-catenin are activated in CSCs, associated with GC carcinogenesis, prognosis, and chemotherapy resistance [29–31]. The Notch signaling and wnt-beta-catenin pathway regulation of GC may therefore depend primarily on the control of CSC. In the present study, we sought to further evaluate the potential benefit of targeting Notch signaling in GC. Interestingly, we identified a coexpression of CD44 and Hes1 positive cells in human GC material and correlated their concomitance with overall limited survival. We identified Notch1 to be important in mediating Notch and wnt-beta-catenin crosstalk in CD44 CSCs. Finally, we studied in detail the biological impact of the gamma-secretase inhibitor IX (GSI) in human gastric CD44 + CSCs and in a xenograft mouse model.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cell Culture and In Vitro Treatment**

Human GC cell lines were obtained from Derek Zieker (Wiesbaden, Germany), Kuang Hung Cheng (Kaohsiung, Taiwan) and human pancreatic cancer cells from Nabeel Bardeesy (Boston, USA). MKN45 was cultured in RPMI 1640 + Glutamax (Invitrogen, Germany) with 20% FCS (Biochrom, Germany) and 100 U/ml penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen, Germany) at 37°C in 5% CO₂. KP3, were cultured under same conditions. Detailed information is provided in the Supporting Information.

**CD44 + Cell Sorting and Flow Cytometry (FACS)**

CD44 + population was sorted from human GC cell line MKN45. Cells were blocked in 100 μl of blocking buffer (5% bovine serum albumin (BSA)/PBS) for 30 minutes on ice and centrifuged at 800 × g for 5 min at 4°C. The cells were then incubated with a primary antibody against CD44 (1:100; Cell Signaling Technology, Germany) or HES1 (1:100; Abcam, Germany) for 25 minutes on ice. Cells were washed with ice-cold 1 mM EDTA/1%BSA/PBS and were stained with FITC conjugated goat antimouse IgG (1:200; Dianova, Jackson Immuno Research Laboratories, Inc., USA) for CD44 or Alexa fluor 594 goat anti rabbit secondary (1:100; Life technologies, Germany) for HES1, respectively, for 20 minutes in the dark. The stained cells were washed twice with 1 mM EDTA/1%BSA/PBS, centrifuged at 800 × g for 5 minutes and the cell pellet was suspended in 200 μl of 1 mM EDTA/1%BSA/PBS prior to analysis. The stained cells were measured with FACS Calibur (Becton Dickinson Immuno cytometry Systems, USA). KP3 cells was used as a positive control as previously published [33]. Detailed information is provided in the Supporting Information.

**Results**

**CD44 and Hes1 are Up-Regulated in Human GC and are Associated with Patients Overall Survival**

We screened a panel of five human GC cancer cell lines (MKN45, SNU, KATO III, 2313287, NCI-N87) to analyze the level of CD44 expression and to validate the activation of Notch and wnt-beta-catenin signaling. FACS analysis and immunoblotting showed a relatively higher expression of targets (CD44, Hes1, wnt 5a/b) in MKN45 cells compared to the others (Fig. 1A, 1B). Furthermore, we analyzed the expression of the same markers in fresh human tissues (Normal-N, Gastritis-G, Cancer-GC) (Fig. 1C). The highest expression of CD44, Hes1, and wnt 5a/b was observed in GC and the lowest in normal tissue (N). To further analyze the coexpression of Hes1 + CD44 +, and CD44 + MKN45 cells we performed FACS analysis. In the CD44 + cell population we found a significant (p < .001) expression of Hes1 (79.2% vs. 22%) (Fig. 1D). In addition, we investigated the CD44 + and Hes1 + expression by immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence in GC tissues from 269 patients. We found positive expression of CD44 + and Hes1 + in 86% of the patients (Fig. 1E and Supporting Information Fig. 1A). We found also by immunofluorescent labeling coexpression of CD44 + and Hes1 (Supporting Information Fig. 2). Next, we examined the correlation of CD44 + and Hes1 + expression with patients survival of
269 patients with GC for which survival data is available (Fig. 1F and Supporting Information Fig. 1C) [32]. Interestingly, patients with positive expression of CD44 and Hes1 showed significant impaired ($p < 0.004$) overall survival. To determine the influence of coexpression we also examined the correlation of single CD44 versus Hes1 expression with patient’s survival (Supporting Information Fig. 1D). We found that Hes1 expression has a higher influence on overall survival compared to CD44 expression ($p < 0.004$). Additional analysis showed that CD44 and Hes1 is highly expressed in older patients (60–70 years) and that there is no significant difference between the expression and gender (Supporting Information Fig. 1B, 1C). Our results show that both human GC tissues and MKN45 cells have high levels of coexpression of CD44 and Hes1. GC patients with double positive expression (Hes1$^+$ and CD44$^+$) have the shortest survival. These data suggest that the CSC marker CD44 and the Notch signaling target gene Hes1 can act as a prognostic factor for patients survival.

GSI Treatment Results in an Inhibition of Proliferation, Migration, Invasion and Induces Apoptosis in CD44$^+$ CSCs

CD44$^+$ cells were treated with three different concentrations of GSI (2.5, 5 & 15 μM). GSI treatment reduced the number of viable CD44$^+$ cells in a dose and time dependent manner (Fig. 2A). In order to confirm that GSI specifically targets CD44$^+$ population of MKN45 cells, we performed a cell proliferation assay on both CD44$^+$ and CD44$^-$ sorted MKN45 cells. GSI specifically inhibited the proliferation of CD44$^+$ cells as compared to the CD44$^-$ population. We compared the specificity of GSI for CD44 with another standard chemotherapy (5-Fluouracil; 5-FU) widely used for GC. 5-FU treatment failed to show specific inhibition of CD44$^+$ cells as compared to the CD44$^-$ cells (Supporting Information Fig. 3A, 3B). These results clearly demonstrate that GSI effectively targets CD44$^+$ gastric CSCs for inhibition of MKN45 cells. Immunofluorescence stainings for DAPI, CD44, and Hes1 after treatment with Dimethylsulfoxid (DMSO) or GSI (2.5, 5 & 15 μM) are confirming...
Figure 2. Gamma-secretase inhibitor IX (GSI) inhibits proliferation, migration, invasion and induces apoptosis in CD44\(^+\) cancer stem cells (CSCs). (A): Cell proliferation assay representing GSI (2.5, 5 & 15 \(\mu\)M) or DMSO treated CD44\(^+\) CSCs and corresponding light microscope pictures showing treatment result on day 4. (B): Bar graphs representing the migration index of CD44\(^+\) CSCs after DMSO or GSI (2.5, 5 & 15 \(\mu\)M) treatment. Photographs were taken under light microscope (10\(\times\) magnification) at 0 and 24 hours. (C): CD44\(^+\) cells were treated for 48 hours with control (DMSO) and GSI (2.5, 5 & 15 \(\mu\)M) to investigate the effect of GSI on invasiveness of CD44\(^+\) CSCs. Light microscope photographs were taken at (20\(\times\) magnification) and invasion index was calculated and plotted. (D): GSI (2.5, 5 & 15 \(\mu\)M) or DMSO treated CD44\(^+\) CSCs. Apoptosis was quantified by staining with Annexin V and propidium iodide (PI) using flow cytometry for 48 hours and 96 hours. *** \(p < .001\).

Abbreviation: DMSO, Dimethylsulfoxide.
these results (Supporting Information Fig. 3C). Significant (*p < .001*) inhibition of wound healing was observed with GSI in a concentration dependent manner (Fig. 2B). GSI treatment inhibited cell invasion in CD44\(^{1}\) cells in a significant (*p < .001*) concentration dependent manner (Fig. 2C). We noticed that GSI treatment showed relatively higher induction of apoptosis as compared to the DMSO group in a significant (*p < .001*) dose and time dependent manner (Fig. 2D). The highest induction of apoptosis was observed mostly after 96 hours under 15 M GSI treatment in CD44\(^{1}\) cells. Thus, treatment with GSI can effectively inhibit cell proliferation, migration, invasion and induces apoptosis on human CD44\(^{1}\) CSC cells.

GSI Treatment Prevents Tumorsphere Formation in CD44\(^{1}\) CSCs

Cancer cells with stem cell properties harbors the potential to form tumor spheres under low attachment conditions (29,31). GSI (2.5, 5 & 15 \(\mu\)M) inhibited significant (*p < .001*) the tumor sphere formation under treatment specifically under the highest dosage as compared to the untreated DMSO control cells (Supporting Information Fig. 4A, 4B, 4C). Thus, the results show that GSI inhibits the tumorsphere forming potential of CD44\(^{1}\) CSCs.

GSI Treatment Concomitantly Inhibits Notch and Wnt-Beta-Catenin Pathway in CD44\(^{1}\) CSCs

Hes1 was activated in CD44\(^{1}\) cells we like to further understand which Notch receptor is mainly important in activating the Notch pathway in gastric CD44\(^{1}\) CSCs. GSI treatment showed a relative down-regulation of Notch1 in a dose and time-dependent manner whereas Notch2 and Notch3 levels remained relatively unchanged (Fig. 3A). NICD showed a down-regulation under GSI therapy compared to DMSO treated cells. c-Myc is a direct downstream of Notch1 and also mediates the expression of other common downstreams like Rac1, Akt, Erk, Stat3 [33–35]. Immunoblots clearly showed a relative down-regulation of CD44, c-Myc, Rac1 and phospho forms of Akt, Erk, and Stat3 after GSI treatment (Fig. 3B). The full forms of Erk, Akt and Stat3 remained unchanged after GSI treatment. Next, we wanted to analyze if GSI treatment inhibits also the wnt-beta-catenin pathway in CD44\(^{1}\) CSCs. Immunoblotting clearly showed a relative inhibition of wnt 5a/b, beta-catenin and other common wnt pathway downstreams like Axin2, APC, LPR6 after GSI treatment (Fig. 3C). These data clearly support a coactivation of both the Notch and wnt-beta-catenin pathways in CD44\(^{1}\) CSCs and suggest that, GSI inhibits the activation of these primary important pathway involved in the maintenance of GC CSCs. We observed that GSI had at least a partial effect on the...
regulation of EMT in CD44+ cells. N-cadherin was partially affected specifically under 15-µM GSI treatment for 96 hours (Fig. 3D). In contrast, E-cadherin showed no significant change under GSI therapy. We also examined the expression of PARP and found an increase under highest GSI dosage (Fig. 3D).

**GSI Concomitantly Inhibits Notch and Wnt-Beta-Catenin Crosstalk via Down-Regulation of Notch1 in CD44+ CSCs**

To reconfirm our proposed hypothesis of GSI for inhibition of CD44+ CSCs, siRNA mediated silencing of CD44 and Notch1 was performed. CD44 silencing lead to the down-regulation of all the important common downstream effector proteins involved in mediating the Notch and wnt-beta-catenin crosstalk. CD44, Hes1, P-Erk, P-Akt, Rac1, P-Stat3, c-Myc, Notch1, wnt 5a/b, and beta-catenin were down-regulated under CD44 siRNA treatment as observed by immunoblotting (Fig. 4A). These observed CD44 siRNA effect was similar to the effects achieved under GSI treatment. Notch1 was also silenced via siRNA to see whether Notch1 is specifically important for mediating the crosstalk and also for GSI mediated inhibition of the Notch pathway. Notch1 siRNA treatment showed by immuno-blotting similar down-regulation of CD44, Hes1, P-Erk, P-Akt, Rac1, P-Stat3, c-Myc, Notch1, wnt 5a/b, and beta-catenin (Fig. 4B). These findings were also very similar to GSI treatment results. Therefore, we confirm our hypothesis that GSI inhibits CD44+ CSCs specifically via down-regulation of Notch1, which in turn leads to the inhibition of Notch and wnt-beta-catenin pathway crosstalk involved in the maintenance of CSCs.

A major hallmark of the activation of the wnt pathway is the accumulation of the beta-catenin protein in the cytoplasm [36,37]. Our immunoblot results from the nuclear and cytoplasmic extracts of the CD44+ CSCs treated with DMSO or GSI (2.5, 5, and 15 µM) showed that GSI effectively inhibited translocation of beta-catenin to the nucleus (Fig. 4C). Our results clearly show that GSI inhibits CD44+ CSC via Notch1 mediated inhibition of the concomitant activation of the Notch and wnt-beta-catenin pathway.

**GSI Treatment Effectively Inhibits the Growth of CD44+ CSC Xenograft Tumors**

GSI treatment reduced CD44+ xenograft growth significantly (p < .001) to control group (Fig. 5A, 5C, Supporting Information Fig. 5A). All mice were sacrificed after 4 weeks of treatment and tolerated the treatments equally well and without significant weight loss (Fig. 5D). Histological analysis of resected xenograft tumors from GSI showed more necrosis as compared to the control group xenograft mice and compared the results to healthy mice (Fig. 6D). Control mice showed significantly (p < .005) higher double positive cells and GSI treated mice showed expression levels similar to normal mice. Thus, GSI treatment is not reducing the double positive cells more than the average count in mice without cancer.

**DISCUSSION**

CSCs are known to be the most important candidate cells involved in resistance to chemotherapies and also involved in relapse. In this study, we demonstrate the advantage of GSI treatment in a subset of GC cells with CD44+ expression and in a xenograft mouse model. For the first time our data also highlight the relevance of Notch1 as a propable mediator for Notch and wnt-beta-catenin signaling in gastric cancer. From five tested human GC cell lines, MKN45 showed the highest expression of CD44+ CSCs. Notch and Wnt-beta-catenin signaling has been reported to be two of the main important pathways for CSC maintenance [29–31]. We have previously published that CD44+/EpCAM+ pancreatic cancer cells are inhibited by GSI and that the decrease of CD44+ cells correlates with response to chemotherapy in a panel of gastrointestinal cancer [32,38]. However, the involvement of CD44 and Notch signaling as a new target in GC and the treatment of these specific CD44+ CSCs by GSI was not validated in detail. We also show that the presence of CD44 and Hes1 acts as an effective prognostic factor for GC patient survival. Our present study mainly involves and focusses on the CD44+ GC stem cell population as an effective target in comparison to the CD44- population mainly due to two major reasons: First, CD44+ population might not be the resistant remaining population unlike the CD44+ population. Second, it is true that we cannot rule out the possibility of expression of other CSC markers (at a minimal amount) in CD44+ population like CD133, and so on, but, as our result shows that 5FU effectively kills these CD44+ population thus, we can determine that CD44+ population might not be the resistant remaining population leading to tumor relapse in GC. Importantly, CD44+ CSC being majorly expressed in GC might be the main CSC involved in chemoresistance and effective target for GSI mediated therapy to overcome resistance associated with GC treatment. First, in a subset of human GC cell lines, tissues, blood samples, tissue microarray (TMA) and xenograft tumors we were able to demonstrate by western blots, immunohistochemistry, immunofluorescence, and FACS considerable expression of both targets (CD44, Hes1). Second, we analyzed 269 human GC tissues and found an interesting correlation between expression of CD44
and Hes1 with poor overall survival. Third, we showed in detail that inhibition of Notch signaling by GSI blocked cell proliferation, wound healing, invasion, and spheroid formation. Fourth, treatment of GC xenografts by GSI resulted in a significant impairment of tumor growth and thus, proved to be an effective drug in vivo. Finally, our data also showed a crosstalk between Notch and wnt-beta-catenin signaling in CD44$^+$ CSCs and that inhibition of these two pathways by GSI targets CD44$^+$ CSC population in GC.

**Figure 4.** Gamma-secretase inhibitor IX (GSI) targets CD44$^+$ cancer stem cells (CSCs) via Notch1. (A): We silenced CD44 using siRNA and confirmed the effect of GSI on hairy enhancer of split-1, p-Erk, p-Akt, RAC1, Notch 1, c-Myc, Stat3, P-Stat3, wnt 5a/b, beta-catenin by immunoblot. (B): We also silenced Notch1 using siRNA and confirmed the effect of GSI on same targets. β-actin was used as a loading control. (C): Complete inhibition of nuclear translocation of beta-catenin was also observed after GSI treatment in CD44$^+$ CSCs using immunoblot. Alpha-tubulin was used as a loading control. Abbreviations: CE, cytoplasmic extracts; GSI, gamma-secretase inhibitor IX; Hes1, hairy enhancer of split-1; NE, nuclear extracts.
Notch1 as well as Notch2 are critical for the metaplastic transition of gastric epithelial cells [21]. Kang et al. described the expression of Notch3 and Jagged2 in association with GC development [39]. Recently, Li et al. also tested GSI to treat CD44

1

MKN45 cells in vitro and in vivo, but they did not use more than one drug concentration and their study lacked human data [40]. Our results clearly show less interactions with Notch2-3 under GSI treatment compared with Notch1. Thus, pAKT which plays a key role in the control of cellular processes like proliferation, and so on, and STAT3 (signal transducer and activator of transcription 3) are effectively impaired [42,43]. Furthermore, RAC1 (Ras-related C3 botulinum toxin substrate), a protein important for cell motility is down-regulated under GSI therapy [44]. As a new aspect, we additionally show a cross talk between Notch and wnt-beta-catenin pathway via Notch1 in gastric CD44

1

CSCs and show these two pathways as attractive targets for GSI mediated therapy (Supporting Information Fig. S7). CD44 and specifically Notch1 silencing showed us an overall effective, similar outcome as achieved by GSI. This strengthens that GSI targets...
CD44+ CSCs via inhibition of Notch1 which in turn helps in the concomitant inhibition of Notch/wnt-beta-catenin pathway. CSC targeted therapy for GC by GSI also reduces the chances of toxic side effects to normal cells as it is site or target specific in action and also diminishes the chances of any recurrence or. In order to confirm the target specificity of GSI to CD44+ CSCs for MKN45, we showed an effective inhibition of mostly the CD44+ population CSCs as compared to the CD44- population. Moreover, on comparison to 5-Fluoruracil treatment the same population of sorted cells (CD44+ and CD44-) GSI showed effective inhibition of CD44+ whereas 5-Fluoruracil failed to show not only specific targeting of CD44+ CSCs but also effectiveness to impair proliferation of CD44+ MKN45 cells as compared to GSI.

In summary, we showed a coexpression of CD44 and Hes1 and a crosstalk between Notch and wnt-beta-catenin signaling in human GC. GSI treatment can inhibit GC growth in vitro and in vivo by targeting CD44+ CSCs. Coexpression of CD44 and Hes1 acts as a potential prognostic factor, but additional experiments are needed to determine our findings in a prospective study of patients with GC. Future therapeutic approaches of GSI in clinical trials of GC are of definite interest.

**CONCLUSION**

Our study highlights the potential role of CD44+ CSCs in GC. We for the first time validate the prognostic role of CD44+ and Hes1+ double positive cells as a probable potential futuristic prognostic
marker for GC patients. Moreover, our data clearly demonstrates the concept of dual inhibition of major Notch and Wnt/beta-catenin pathways by targeting the CD44 + gastric CSCs as a new treatment by GSI. We focus on the concomitant inhibition of two major pathways involved in CSC maintenance (Notch and Wnt/beta-catenin) using GSI in these CD44 + gastric CSC, therefore reducing all the chances of tumor relapse or metastasis associated via activation of feed back loop due to other pathways in gastric CSC. Current chemotherapeutic strategies available for GC treatment are mostly limited to the inhibition of cancer cells present within the tumor. The failure to eradicate the CSC strongly limits or hinders the ultimate effectiveness of conventional anti cancer strategies. Therefore, our results for the first time show that GSI mediated targeted therapy towards CD44 + gastric CSC can evolve to be an effective, novel and specific therapeutic approach to treat GC.
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