Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic and initial period of lockdown on the mental health and well-being of adults in the UK
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Summary
The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health and well-being were assessed in a convenience sample of 600 UK adults, using a cross-sectional design. Recruited over 2 weeks during the initial phase of lockdown, participants completed an online survey that included COVID-19-related questions, the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, the World Health Organization (Five) Well-Being Index and the Oxford Capabilities Questionnaire for Mental Health. Self-isolating before lockdown, increased feelings of isolation since lockdown and having COVID-19-related livelihood concerns were associated with poorer mental health, well-being and quality of life. Perceiving increased kindness, community connectedness and being an essential worker were associated with better mental health and well-being outcomes.

Method

The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008. All procedures involving human participants were approved by the Central University Research Ethics Committees, University of Liverpool (reference 7633). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

A cross-sectional design was used. A convenience sample recruited via social media forums (Twitter, Facebook, Reddit) completed an online survey. Data was collected over 2 weeks in the initial lockdown period (31 March to 13 April 2020). To be eligible, people had to be adults (≥18 years), speak English and be living in the UK at the time of the COVID-19 outbreak.

The survey included demographic questions; COVID-19-related questions; the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS); higher scores on the subscales indicate higher levels of depression and anxiety symptoms); the World Health Organization (Five) Well-Being Index (WHO-5), a measure of well-being (higher scores indicate higher levels of well-being); the Oxford Capabilities Questionnaire for Mental Health (OXCAP-MH), a measure of QoL (higher scores indicate higher levels of QoL) and the Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (higher scores indicate higher levels of perceived social support).

A total of 600 participants (74% female, mean age 36.75 years, s.d. 13.44, range 18–76 years) completed at a minimum the demographic and COVID-19-related questions. Participants were mainly White (93.6%) and employed (65%). Around a quarter of participants (26.3%) self-reported currently receiving treatment for mental disorders, including mood disorders (18%) and neurotic, stress-related and somatoform disorders (14.3%). No participants had been diagnosed with COVID-19.

Results

The mean scores on the HADS Anxiety subscale (mean 10.23, s.d. 4.98) and HADS Depression subscale (mean 7.57, s.d. 4.39) exceeded the normal range (i.e. scores of 0–7). The mean scores on the WHO-5 and OXCAP-MH were 10.43 (s.d. 5.40) and 69.45 (s.d. 11.91), respectively. Female participants reported significantly higher levels of anxiety symptoms (t(195.73) = −2.21, P = 0.028) than males (female mean 10.51, s.d. 4.85; male mean 9.33, s.d. 4.98).
| Question                                                                 | Response | Mean   | s.d. | t-value | d.f. | P-value   |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|--------|------|---------|------|-----------|
| Being in a ‘vulnerable group’                                          | HADS Depression | Yes    | 7.80 | 4.65    | 0.48 | 549       | 0.629     |
|                                                                        | HADS Anxiety    | Yes    | 9.81 | 5.15    | -0.75| 546       | 0.454     |
|                                                                        | OXCAP-MH         | Yes    | 68.73| 13.20   | -0.63| 465       | 0.527     |
|                                                                        | WHO-5            | Yes    | 10.44| 5.83    | 0.04 | 532       | 0.970     |
| Experienced symptoms of COVID-19                                       | HADS Depression | Yes    | 7.68 | 3.40    | 0.22 | 546       | 0.825     |
|                                                                        | HADS Anxiety     | Yes    | 10.56| 4.74    | -0.75| 544       | 0.573     |
|                                                                        | OXCAP-MH         | Yes    | 66.78| 14.64   | -1.60| 65.72     | 0.113     |
|                                                                        | WHO-5            | Yes    | 8.98 | 5.29    | -0.99| 534       | 0.322     |
| Self-isolated before lockdown owing to symptoms of COVID-19            | HADS Depression | Yes    | 9.00 | 4.36    | 2.83 | 550       | 0.005**   |
|                                                                        | HADS Anxiety     | Yes    | 11.83| 4.74    | 2.77 | 549       | 0.006**   |
|                                                                        | OXCAP-MH         | Yes    | 64.42| 13.25   | -3.56| 466       | <0.001*** |
|                                                                        | WHO-5            | Yes    | 8.98 | 5.29    | -2.29| 534       | 0.022*    |
|                                                                        | No               |        | 10.63| 5.39    |      |           |           |
| Agree that they felt more isolated than usual during lockdown         | HADS Depression | Yes    | 8.20 | 4.31    | -7.77| 250.86    | <0.001*** |
|                                                                        | HADS Anxiety     | Yes    | 10.91| 4.69    | -5.95| 513       | <0.001*** |
|                                                                        | OXCAP-MH         | Yes    | 68.53| 11.46   | 4.16 | 441       | <0.001*** |
|                                                                        | WHO-5            | Yes    | 9.64 | 5.07    | 6.18 | 191.84    | <0.001*** |
|                                                                        | No               |        | 13.17| 5.67    |      |           |           |
| Identified as an essential worker                                      | HADS Depression | Yes    | 7.01 | 4.04    | -2.18| 400.76    | 0.030*    |
|                                                                        | HADS Anxiety     | Yes    | 7.84 | 4.54    | -1.30| 546       | 0.194     |
|                                                                        | OXCAP-MH         | Yes    | 8.93 | 4.79    |      | 508       | 0.322     |
|                                                                        | WHO-5            | Yes    | 10.82| 5.12    | 1.18 | 532       | 0.238     |
|                                                                        | No               |        | 10.24| 5.54    |      |           |           |
| Agree that the COVID-19 outbreak was threatening their livelihood     | HADS Depression | Yes    | 8.08 | 4.49    | -2.55| 544       | 0.011*    |
|                                                                        | HADS Anxiety     | Yes    | 7.13 | 4.23    | -1.90| 542       | 0.058     |
|                                                                        | OXCAP-MH         | Yes    | 10.67| 4.93    |      | 486       | 0.322     |
|                                                                        | WHO-5            | Yes    | 70.30| 11.40   | 0.97 | 465       | 0.332     |
|                                                                        | No               |        | 69.18| 12.02   |      |           |           |
| Agree that people’s kindness toward others in their local area increased | HADS Depression | Yes    | 7.29 | 4.22    | 2.25 | 551       | 0.025*    |
|                                                                        | HADS Anxiety     | Yes    | 10.13| 4.84    | 0.75 | 548       | 0.455     |
|                                                                        | OXCAP-MH         | Yes    | 71.09| 11.12   | -4.56| 467       | <0.001*** |
|                                                                        | WHO-5            | Yes    | 10.85| 5.24    | -2.85| 535       | 0.005**   |
|                                                                        | No               |        | 9.42 | 5.62    |      |           |           |
| Agree that since the COVID-19 outbreak commenced they felt more connected to the members of their local community | HADS Depression | Yes    | 7.07 | 4.08    | 2.11 | 552       | 0.035*    |
|                                                                        | HADS Anxiety     | Yes    | 7.87 | 4.56    |      | 549       | 0.395     |
|                                                                        | OXCAP-MH         | Yes    | 10.00| 4.87    | 0.85 | 549       | 0.395     |
|                                                                        | WHO-5            | Yes    | 10.37| 5.05    |      |           |           |
|                                                                        | No               |        | 10.37| 5.05    |      |           |           |

HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; OXCAP-MH, Oxford Capabilities Questionnaire for Mental Health; WHO-5, World Health Organization (Five) Well-Being Index.

*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.
5.29). There were no significant differences in the level of depression symptoms, well-being and QoL between males and females.

Being in a vulnerable group (12.5%) or experiencing symptoms of COVID-19 (11.7%) were not associated with significant differences in mental health and well-being outcomes (see Table 1).

Participants who self-isolated before lockdown owing to symptoms of COVID-19 (11.8%) had higher levels of anxiety ($t(584) = 2.77$, $P = 0.006$) and depression ($t(550) = 2.83$, $P = 0.005$) symptoms, and lower levels of well-being ($t(534) = -2.29$, $P = 0.022$) and QoL ($t(466) = -3.56$, $P < 0.001$), relative to those who did not. Participants who felt more isolated than usual during lockdown (69%) had higher levels of anxiety ($t(513) = -5.95$, $P < 0.001$) and depression ($t(250.86) = -7.77$, $P < 0.001$) symptoms, and lower levels of wellbeing ($t(191.84) = 6.18$, $P < 0.001$) and QoL ($t(441) = 4.16$, $P < 0.001$).

Participants who were essential workers (32%) had significantly lower levels of depression symptoms ($t(400.76) = -2.18$, $P = 0.030$). Participants who agreed that the COVID-19 outbreak was threatening their livelihood (46.0%) had higher levels of depression symptoms ($t(544) = -2.55$, $P = 0.011$) and lower QoL ($t(461) = 2.73$, $P = 0.007$).

Participants who agreed that people’s kindness toward others in their local area had increased since the COVID-19 outbreak (68.8%) had lower levels of depression symptoms ($t(551) = 2.25$, $P = 0.025$), and higher QoL ($t(467) = -4.56$, $P < 0.001$) and well-being ($t(535) = -2.85$, $P = 0.005$). Similarly, participants who agreed that they had felt more connected to the members of their local community since the COVID-19 outbreak (40.0%) had lower levels of depression symptoms ($t(552) = 2.11$, $P = 0.035$), and higher QoL ($t(467) = -3.87$, $P < 0.001$) and well-being ($t(536) = -2.83$, $P = 0.005$).

The level of perceived social support had significant negative correlations with levels of depression ($r = -0.33$, $P < 0.001$) and anxiety ($r = -0.17$, $P < 0.001$) symptoms, and significant positive correlations with QoL ($r = 0.52$, $P < 0.001$) and well-being ($r = 0.29$, $P < 0.001$).

**Discussion**

This study sought to investigate the impact of the COVID-19 outbreak on the mental health and well-being of a convenience sample of UK adults. The levels of anxiety and depression symptoms for the sample were markedly higher than normative data derived for the UK adult population’s levels of anxiety (females 6.78, s.d. 4.23; males 5.51, s.d. 4.04) and depression (females 4.12, s.d. 3.78; males 3.83, s.d. 3.74) symptoms.

Higher levels of depression symptoms were associated with participants having to self-isolate before lockdown owing to symptoms of COVID-19, feeling more isolated than usual during lockdown or agreeing that the COVID-19 pandemic was threatening their livelihood. On the other hand, agreeing that people’s kindness toward others in their local community during the COVID-19 pandemic. We propose that the OXCAP-MH, as a multidimensional measure of QoL that incorporates a focus on a range of factors including non-health issues and welfare inequalities, is a valuable measure for assessing how COVID-19 and related restrictions are potentially affecting people.

There were a number of important limitations associated with the current study. The convenience sample relied on people who had access to online social media forums. Consistent with other studies that have used social media for recruitment, males and Black, Asian and minority ethnic community members were comparatively under-represented in the sample. The cross-sectional nature of the analyses limits the conclusions that can be drawn. However, forthcoming academic papers from the authors will track the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown restrictions on mental health and well-being over time.

The study highlights that although there was no association between personal experience of COVID-19 symptoms and being part of a group vulnerable to the effects of COVID-19, and mental health and well-being, factors related to isolation and COVID-19-related livelihood concerns were in fact associated with poorer mental health and well-being. On the other hand, perceiving increased kindness and connectedness in local areas were associated with better mental health and well-being outcomes. Further research aimed at mitigating the mental health and well-being effects of public health emergencies is required.
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