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The cross section and transverse single-spin asymmetries of $\mu^-$ and $\mu^+$ from open heavy-flavor decays in polarized $p+p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV were measured by the PHENIX experiment during 2012 at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider. Because heavy-flavor production is dominated by gluon-gluon interactions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV, these measurements offer a unique opportunity to obtain information on the trigluon correlation functions. The measurements are performed at forward and backward rapidity ($1.4 < |y| < 2.0$) over the transverse momentum range of $1.25 < p_T < 7$ GeV/$c$ for the cross section and $1.25 < p_T < 5$ GeV/$c$ for the asymmetry measurements. The obtained cross section is compared to a fixed-order-plus-next-to-leading-log perturbative-quantum-chromodynamics calculation. The asymmetry results are consistent with zero within uncertainties, and a model calculation based on twist-3 three-gluon correlations agrees with the data.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Transverse single-spin asymmetry (TSSA) phenomena have gained substantial attention in both experimental and theoretical studies in recent years. The existence of TSSAs has been well established in the production of light mesons at forward rapidity in transversely polarized $p+p$ collisions at energies ranging from the Zero Gradient Synchrotron up to the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC). Surprisingly large but oppositely-signed TSSA results were first observed in $\pi^+$ and $\pi^-$ production at large Feynman-$x$ ($x_F$) in transversely polarized $p+p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 4.9$ GeV [1]. These results surprised the quantum-chromodynamics (QCD) community because they disagreed with the expectation from the naive perturbative QCD of very small spin asymmetries [2]. The large TSSA of pion production has been subsequently observed in hadronic collisions over a range of energies extending up to $\sqrt{s} = 500$ GeV for $\pi^0$ ($\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV for $\pi^\pm$) [3–12]. Furthermore, TSSA in $\eta$ meson production has also been studied at forward rapidity [13, 14]. The results are consistent with the observed $\pi^0$ asymmetries at various energies in the overlapping $x_F$ regions. Two theoretical formalisms within the perturbative QCD framework have been proposed to explain the origin of these large TSSAs at forward rapidity. Both formalisms connect the TSSA to the transverse motion of the partons inside the transversely-polarized nucleon and/or to spin-dependent quark fragmentation.

One framework is based on the transverse-momentum-dependent (TMD) parton distribution and fragmentation functions, called TMD factorization. The initial state contributions are originating from the Sivers function [15, 16], which describes the correlation between the transverse spin of the nucleon and the parton transverse momentum in the initial state. The final state contribution originates from the quark transversity distribution and the Collins [17] fragmentation function, which describes the fragmentation of a transversely polarized quark into a final state hadron with nonzero transverse momentum relative to the parton direction. This framework requires two observed scales where only one needs to be hard and both effects have been observed in SIDIS measurements [18, 19]. However, TMD factorization cannot be used in the interpretation of hadron production in $p+p$ collisions as only one hard scale is available [20].

A second framework, applicable to our study, follows the QCD collinear factorization approach. The collinear, higher-twist effects become more important in generating a large TSSA when there is only one observed momentum scale that is much larger than the nonperturbative hadronic scale $\Lambda_{QCD} \approx 200$ MeV [21, 22]. A large TSSA can be generated from the twist-3, transverse-spin-dependent, multi-parton correlation functions in the initial state or fragmentation functions in the final state.

At RHIC energies, gluon-gluon interaction processes dominate heavy quark production [23], so heavy quarks serve to isolate the gluon contribution to the asymmetries. PHENIX has measured the TSSA ($A_N$) of $J/\psi$ in central and forward rapidity [24]. Theoretical predictions of the $J/\psi$ single-spin asymmetry are complicated by the lack of good understanding of $J/\psi$ production mechanism [25]. In addition, there are feed-down contributions from higher resonance states in inclusive $J/\psi$ production [26]. On the other hand, the effect of pure gluonic correlation functions on $D$-meson production in transversely polarized $p+p$ collisions has been extensively studied within the twist-3 mechanism in the framework of collinear factorization [27, 28]. However, it is difficult to constrain the triguon correlation functions due to the lack of experimental results. Future measurements including $D$-meson production are proposed at the Large Hadron Collider [29].

This paper reports on measurements of the cross section and TSSA for muons from open heavy-flavor decays in polarized $p+p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV. Results are presented for muons from semi-leptonic decays of open heavy-flavor hadrons, mainly $D \to \mu + X$ and $B \to \mu + X$, in the forward and backward rapidity regions ($1.4 < |y| < 2.0$); the accessible momentum fraction of gluons in the proton is 0.0125–0.0135 and 0.08–0.14 in the backward ($x_F < 0$) and forward ($x_F > 0$) regions with respect to the polarized beam direction, respectively. Sec. II describes the RHIC polarized proton beams and the PHENIX experimental setup. The detailed analysis of muons from open heavy-flavor, including cross sections and TSSAs, will be described in Sec. III and the results will be presented in Sec. IV. Finally, a discussion of the results and their possible implications will be provided in Sec. V.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

A. The PHENIX experiment

The PHENIX detector comprises two central arms at midrapidity and two muon arms at forward and backward rapidity [30]. As shown in Fig. 1, two muon spectrometers cover the full azimuthal angle in the pseudorapidity range $1.2 < \eta < 2.4$ (north arm) and $-2.2 < \eta < -1.2$ (south arm). In front of each muon arm, there is about 7 interaction lengths ($\lambda_I$) of copper-and-iron absorber which provides a rejection factor of 1000 for charged pions, and an additional stainless-steel absorber ($2 \lambda_I$ in total) installed in 2011 contributes to further suppress hadronic background [31, 32]. Each muon arm has three stations of cathode strip chambers, muon tracker (MuTr), for momentum measurement and
FIG. 1. Side view of the PHENIX detector in the 2012 run

five layers (labeled from Gap0 to Gap4) of proportional tube planes, muon identifier (MuID), for muon identification. Each MuID gap comprises a plane of absorber (∼ 1λI) and two planes of Iarrocci tubes whose orientation is along either the horizontal or the vertical direction in each plane. The MuID also provides a trigger for events containing one or more muon candidates.

The minimum bias (MB) trigger is provided by the beam-beam counters (BBC) [33], which comprise two arrays of 64 quartz Čerenkov detectors to detect charged particles at high pseudorapidity. Each detector is located at $z = \pm 144$ cm from the interaction point, and covers the pseudorapidity range $3.1 < |\eta| < 3.9$. The BBC also determines the collision-vertex position ($z_{vtx}$) along the beam axis, with a resolution of roughly 2 cm in $p+p$ collisions.

B. RHIC polarized beams

RHIC is a unique, polarized $p+p$ collider located at Brookhaven National Laboratory. RHIC comprises two counter-circulating storage rings, in each of which as many as 120 polarized-proton bunches can be accelerated to a maximum energy of 255 GeV per proton.

In the 2012 run, the beam injected into RHIC typically consisted of 109 filled bunches in each ring. The bunches collided with a one-to-one correspondence with a 106 ns separation. Pre-defined polarization patterns for every 8 bunches were changed fill-by-fill in order to reduce systematic effects. Two polarimeters are used to determine the beam polarizations. One is a hydrogen-jet polarimeter, which takes several hours to measure the absolute polarization [34]. The other is a fast, proton-carbon polarimeter which measures relative changes in the magnitude of the polarization and any variations across the transverse profile of the beam several times per fill [35, 36]. During the $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV run in 2012, the polarization direction in the PHENIX interaction region was transverse. The average clockwise-beam (known as blue beam) polarization for the data used in this analysis was $P = 0.64 \pm 0.03$, and the average counter-clockwise-beam (yellow beam) polarization was $P = 0.59 \pm 0.03$. There is a 3.4% global scale uncertainty in the measured $A_N$ due to the polarization uncertainty.
III. DATA ANALYSIS

A. Data set

We analyzed a data set from transversely polarized $p+p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV collected with the PHENIX detector in 2012 with an integrated luminosity of 9.2 pb$^{-1}$. These data have been recorded by using the MuID trigger in coincidence with the BBC trigger. The BBC trigger requires at least one hit in both BBCs. The BBC trigger efficiency for MB $p+p$ events (events containing muons from open heavy-flavor) is 55% (79%) [37] with the van der Meer scan technique [38]. The MuID trigger serves to select events containing at least one MuID track reaching Gap3 or Gap4.

B. Yield of muons from open heavy-flavor

PHENIX has reported several measurements of muons from open heavy-flavor decays in various collision systems [39, 40]. Similar methods developed in the previous analyses for background estimation are used in this analysis. Due to the benefit of the additional absorber material, the measurement of positively-charged muons from open heavy-flavor decays is possible in PHENIX for the first time with these data.

1. Muon-candidate selection

We choose tracks penetrating through all the MuID gaps as good muon candidates from events for which the BBC $z$-vertex is within $\pm 25$ cm. Track quality cuts, shown in Table I, are also required to reject background tracks. DG0 is the distance between the projected positions of a MuTr track and a MuID track at the $z$ position of the MuID Gap0. DDG0 is the angular difference between the two projected positions used in the DG0. $r_{\text{ref}}$ is the distance between the interaction point and a projected position of a MuID track at $z = 0$. $p \cdot (\theta_{\text{MuTr}} - \theta_{\text{vtx}})$ is the polar scattering angle of a track inside the absorber scaled by the momentum, where $\theta_{\text{vtx}}$ is the angle at the vertex and $\theta_{\text{MuTr}}$ is the angle at the MuTr Station 1. Two cuts, on $p \cdot (\theta_{\text{MuTr}} - \theta_{\text{vtx}})$ and $\chi^2$ at $z_{\text{vtx}}$, are effective for rejecting tracks suffering from large multiple scattering or decaying to muons inside the absorber. Track quality cuts are determined with the help of a Monte Carlo simulation with Geant4 [41]; the cut values vary with the momentum of the track.

| Track selection cuts used in this analysis. Cut values vary with the $p_T$ of track; those shown here are for the lowest-$p_T$ bin ($1.25 < p_T < 1.5$ GeV/$c$). |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| DG0 < 20 cm (South), 10 cm (North) |
| DDG0 < 8 deg. |
| $r_{\text{ref}} < 125$ cm |
| number of hits in MuTr $> 12$, $\chi^2_{\text{MuTr}}/ndf < 10$. |
| number of hits in MuID $> 6$, $\chi^2_{\text{MuID}}/ndf < 5$. |
| $p \cdot (\theta_{\text{MuTr}} - \theta_{\text{vtx}}) < 0.2$ rad $\cdot$ GeV/$c$. |
| $\chi^2$ of track projection to $z_{\text{vtx}} < 4$. |

In this analysis, we also use tracks that stopped at MuID Gap3 for background estimation, although these tracks are not considered as muon candidates. After applying a proper $p_z$ cut ($p_z \sim 3.8$ GeV/$c$), we obtain a data sample enriched in hadrons (called stopped hadrons) [39]. These tracks are used to determine the punch-through hadron background which arises from hadrons traversing through all MuID layers without decay; this background is described in more detail in the next section.

2. Background estimation

The primary sources of background tracks are charged pions and kaons. Decay muons from $\pi^\pm$ and $K^\pm$ are the dominant background for $p_T < 5$ GeV/$c$, while the fraction of punch-through hadrons becomes larger at $p_T > 5$ GeV/$c$. Another background component is muons from $J/\psi$ decays. The contribution from $J/\psi$ decay is small in the low-$p_T$ region but increases up to 20% of muons from inclusive heavy-flavor decays at $p_T \sim 5$ GeV/$c$. Backgrounds from light
resonances (\(\phi\), \(\rho\), and \(\omega\)) or other quarkonium states (\(\chi_c\), \(\psi'\), and \(\Upsilon\)) are negligible [39, 42]. Therefore, the number of muons from open heavy-flavor decays is obtained as,

\[
N_{\text{HF}} = N_{\text{incl}}/\varepsilon_{\text{trig}} - N_{\text{DM}} - N_{\text{PH}} - N_{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu}, \tag{1}
\]

where \(N_{\text{HF}}\) is the number of muons from open heavy-flavor decays, \(N_{\text{incl}}\) is the number of muon candidates passing through all track quality cuts in Table I, \(\varepsilon_{\text{trig}}\) is the trigger efficiency of the MuID trigger, \(N_{\text{DM}}\) is the estimated number of decay muons from \(\pi^\pm\) and \(K^\pm\), \(N_{\text{PH}}\) is the estimated number of punch-through hadrons, and \(N_{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu}\) is the estimated number of muons from \(J/\psi\) decay. The trigger efficiency correction should be taken into account before subtracting the background, because the simulation of the backgrounds does not include any inefficiency of the MuID trigger. The MuID trigger efficiency is evaluated with data by measuring the fraction of MUID triggers in non-MUID triggered events containing tracks at MuID Gap3 or Gap4.

To estimate the hadronic background (\(N_{\text{DM}}\) and \(N_{\text{PH}}\)), the hadron-cocktail method, developed for the previous analysis [39, 42], is used. Initial particle distributions for the hadron-cocktail simulation are estimated from measurements of charged pions and kaons at midrapidity [43, 44]. The PYTHIA event generator [45] is used to extrapolate the \(p_T\) spectra at midrapidity to the forward rapidity region. To obtain enough statistics of reconstructed tracks in the high-\(p_T\) region, a \(p_T^2\) weight is applied to the estimated \(p_T\) spectra for the simulation and the simulation output is reweighted by \(1/p_T^2\) for a proper comparison with the data. Based on these initial hadron distributions, a full chain of detector simulation with GEANT4 [41] and track reconstruction is performed. Due to uncertainties in the estimation of input distributions and hadron-shower simulation with the thick absorber in front of the MuTr, an additional, data-driven, tuning procedure of the simulation is needed to determine the background more precisely. Two methods, described below, are used to tune the hadron-cocktail simulation:

**Normalized \(z_{\text{vtx}}\) distribution:** The \(z_{\text{vtx}}\) distribution of tracks \((dN_\mu/dz_{\text{vtx}})\)normalized by the \(z_{\text{vtx}}\) distribution of MB events \((dN_{\text{vtx}}/dz_{\text{vtx}})\) provides a good constraint on the decay muon background. Because the distance from \(z_{\text{vtx}}\) to the front absorber is relatively short compared to the decay length of \(\pi^\pm\) and \(K^\pm\), the production of decay muons shows a linear dependence on \(z_{\text{vtx}}\). Therefore, the number of decay muons can be estimated by matching the slope in the normalized \(z_{\text{vtx}}\) distribution at MuID Gap4 for each \(p_T\) bin. More details are described in [39].

**Stopped hadrons:** Hadrons stopping at MuID Gap3 can be removed with an appropriate momentum cut \((p_z \sim 3.8 \text{ GeV}/c)\) as described in the previous section. The remaining stopped muons are less than 10% in the tracks at MuID Gap3, based on the simulation study. The punch-through hadron background at the last MuID gap can be estimated by matching the \(p_T\) distribution of stopped hadrons at MuID Gap3.

After tuning the hadron-cocktail simulation, the decay muons \((N_{\text{DM}})\) from the normalized \(z_{\text{vtx}}\) distribution matching and the punch-through hadrons \((N_{\text{PH}})\) from the stopped-hadron matching are combined for the final estimate of the background from light hadrons. For the decay muons at \(p_T > 3 \text{ GeV}/c\) and the punch-through hadrons, the difference between the two methods of tuning is assigned as the systematic uncertainty. More details on the hadron-cocktail simulation and the tuning procedure are given in [39].

Muons from \(J/\psi\) decays are also subtracted in order to obtain the number of muons from open heavy-flavor decays. From the measurement of the \(J/\psi\) invariant cross section in the forward region [26] and a decay simulation, the number of muons from \(J/\psi\) decay \((N_{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu})\) can be estimated [42]. The contribution of muons from \(J/\psi\) to the muons from inclusive heavy-flavor decays is \(\sim 2\%\) at low \(p_T\) and increases up to \(\sim 20\%\) at \(p_T > 5 \text{ GeV}/c\). Because there is a \(B \rightarrow J/\psi\) contribution in the inclusive \(J/\psi\) measurement, a fraction of \(B\) is included in \(N_{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu}\) and subtracted as background. However, the fraction, \(N_{B \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \mu}/N_{\text{HF}}\), is quite small based on the measurements of the \(B \rightarrow J/\psi\) fraction [46].

Figure 2 shows the \(p_T\) spectra of inclusive muon tracks and estimated background components; the relative contribution from each source varies with \(p_T\). After subtraction of backgrounds from light hadrons and \(J/\psi\), the \(p_T\) spectra of muons from open-heavy-flavor decays can be obtained. Figure 3 shows the signal-to-background ratio \((N_{\text{HF}}/N_{\text{DM}} + N_{\text{PH}} + N_{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu})\) of negatively (top panel) and positively (bottom panel) charged tracks; blue open circle (red closed rectangle) points represent the results in the South (North) arm. Vertical bars (boxes) around the data points are statistical (systematic) uncertainties; details on systematic uncertainties will be described in the following section. Because \(K^+\) has a longer nuclear interaction length than other light hadrons, the signal-to-background ratio of positively-charged tracks is smaller than that of negatively-charged tracks.
3. Acceptance and efficiency correction

The acceptance and efficiency correction is evaluated by using a single-muon simulation. The same simulation procedure as for the hadron-cocktail simulation is used, and reconstructed muons are filtered with the same track quality cuts and fiducial cuts as was applied to the data. Because detector performance throughout the data-taking period is stable, one reference run is used to calculate the correction factors. The variation of the number of muon candidates per event throughout the data-taking period is 8.1% (4.6%) for the South (North) arm, and the quadratic sum with the systematic uncertainty on the MuTr (4%) and MuID (2%) is assigned to the systematic uncertainty on the acceptance and efficiency correction.

4. Systematic uncertainty

There are three major sources of systematic uncertainty: the background estimation ($\delta_{bkg}$), the acceptance and efficiency correction ($\delta_{A\varepsilon}$), and the BBC efficiency ($\delta_{BBC}$).

The sources of $\delta_{bkg}$ are listed here:

- $\delta_{\text{trig}}$: A 5% (15%) systematic uncertainty is assigned to the MuID trigger efficiency for tracks at MuID Gap4 (Gap3) by considering the statistical uncertainty of tracks in the non-MuID triggered events, and the uncertainty is included in the systematic uncertainty on the $N_{DM}$ (Gap4) and $N_{PH}$ (Gap3).

- $\delta_{\text{sim}}$: The hadron-cocktail simulation with the thick absorber ($\sim 13\lambda_I$) can be a source of systematic uncertainty. In case of the $N_{DM}$ in $p_T < 3$ GeV/c where background can be constrained with muons, a 10% systematic uncertainty is assigned conservatively due to extraction of the slope in the normalized $z_{vtx}$ distributions. The difference between the two methods of tuning described in Sec. IIIB2 is assigned to the systematic uncertainty on the $N_{DM}$ in $p_T > 3$ GeV/c and the $N_{PH}$. The systematic uncertainty on the $N_{DM}$ ($N_{PH}$) is 10–15% (10–40%) depending on $p_T$.

- $\delta_{\text{input}}$: Because there is no precise measurement of $\pi^\pm$ and $K^\pm$ production at forward rapidity, a 30% systematic uncertainty is assigned to the estimation of $K/\pi$ ratio based on the systematic uncertainty of measurements at midrapidity [43, 44]. The impact on $N_{HF}$ is evaluated by performing the hadron-cocktail tuning procedure with various initial $K/\pi$ ratios, and the variation of $N_{HF}$ is less than 10%. The uncertainty on the shape of the $p_T$ distribution is negligible, because the tuning of the hadron-cocktail simulation can take into account a $p_T$ dependence. A 10% systematic uncertainty is assigned to $N_{HF}$ conservatively.
FIG. 3. Signal-to-background ratio of (a) negatively-charged and (b) positively-charged tracks. Each panel includes results in the North (closed [red] rectangle) and South (open [blue] circle) arms. Vertical bars (boxes) correspond to the statistical (systematic) uncertainties.

$\delta_{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu}$: The upper and lower limit of systematic uncertainty on the $J/\psi$ cross section measurement is taken into account for the systematic uncertainty on $N_{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu}$. The contribution from $B$ decays is also considered. A 3% systematic uncertainty is assigned to the $N_{\text{HF}}$ due to the uncertainty on the $N_{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu}$.

For the systematic uncertainty on the $N_{\text{HF}}$, the $\delta_{\text{trig}}$ and $\delta_{\text{sim}}$ on the $N_{\text{DM}}$ ($N_{\text{PH}}$) are propagated into the $N_{\text{HF}}$ with the ratio of $N_{\text{DM}}/N_{\text{HF}}$ ($N_{\text{PH}}/N_{\text{HF}}$). This propagated uncertainty is combined with the $\delta_{\text{input}}$ and $\delta_{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu}$ on the $N_{\text{HF}}$ as a quadratic sum. The $\delta_{bkg}$ is 8–40%, depending on $p_T$.

There are also systematic uncertainties on the acceptance and efficiency correction ($\delta_{A\varepsilon}$) and the BBC efficiency ($\delta_{\text{BBC}}$); see the discussion in [37]. For the $\delta_{A\varepsilon}$, all sources described in Sec. III.B.3 are added in quadrature, and 9.3% and 6.4% systematic uncertainties are assigned to the South and North arm, respectively.

Table II summarizes the systematic uncertainty on the cross section of muons from open heavy-flavor decays, and the quadratic sum of the three components is the final systematic uncertainty.

| Component          | Value                      |
|--------------------|----------------------------|
| $\delta_{bkg}$     | background estimation      | 8–40%, varies with $p_T$  |
| $\delta_{A\varepsilon}$ | Acceptance and efficiency | 9.3%(S), 6.4%(N)         |
| $\delta_{\text{BBC}}$ | BBC efficiency             | 10.1%                     |
| sum                |                            | 17–43%, varies with $p_T$ |
C. Transverse Single-Spin Asymmetry

1. Determination of the TSSA

Both of the proton beams are transversely polarized at the interaction point. The TSSA ($A_N$) in the yield of muons from heavy-flavor decays is obtained for each beam separately by summing over the spin information of the other beam. The final asymmetry is calculated as the weighted average of the asymmetries for the two beams.

The maximum likelihood method is used for this measurement. The likelihood $L$ is defined as,

$$L = \prod (1 + P \cdot A_N \sin(\phi_{pol} - \phi_i)),$$

where $P$ is the polarization, $\phi_{pol}$ is the direction of beam polarization ($+\frac{\pi}{2}$ or $-\frac{\pi}{2}$), and $\phi_i$ is the azimuthal angle of each track in the PHENIX lab frame. The unbinned likelihood method is used in this study, so that the result is not biased by low statistics bins. The likelihood function is usually written in logarithmic form

$$\log L = \sum \log(1 + P \cdot A_N \sin(\phi_{pol} - \phi_i)),$$

The $A_N$ value is determined by maximizing $\log L$. The statistical uncertainty of the log-likelihood estimator is related to its second derivative,

$$\sigma^2(A_N) = \left(-\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial A_N^2}\right)^{-1}.$$

2. Inclusive- and background-asymmetry estimation

We study tracks that penetrate to the last MuID gap (Gap4); these tracks are created by muons from open heavy-flavor decays, punch-through hadrons, muons from light hadrons, and muons from $J/\psi$ decay. The contribution from other sources is negligible as discussed in Sec. IIIIB2. To obtain the asymmetry of muons from open heavy-flavor decays ($A_N^{HF}$), the asymmetry of the background from light hadrons ($A_N^{b}$) and muons from $J/\psi$ ($A_N^{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu}$) should be eliminated from the asymmetry of inclusive muon candidates ($A_N^{incl}$). Because hadron tracks can be selected with the $p_T$ cut, $A_N^{b}$ is obtained from the asymmetry of stopped hadrons at MuID Gap3. Possible differences between the $A_N$ of stopped hadrons at MuID Gap3 and the mixture of decay muons and punch-through hadrons at MuID Gap4 is studied with the hadron-cocktail simulation. The details are described in Sec. IIIC3.

For the estimation of $A_N^{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu}$, a previous PHENIX $A_N^{J/\psi}$ measurement [24] is used. The asymmetry of single muons from $J/\psi$ decay ($A_N^{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu}$) is estimated from a decay simulation with the initial $A_N^{J/\psi}$ in [24] ($A_N^{J/\psi} = -0.002 \pm 0.026$ at $x_F < 0$, and $-0.026 \pm 0.026$ at $x_F > 0$). The initial $p_T$ and rapidity distributions of $J/\psi$ are taken from [26]. The obtained $A_N^{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu}$ is $-0.002^{+0.018}_{-0.022}$ at $x_F < 0$ and $-0.019^{+0.019}_{-0.025}$ at $x_F > 0$. A possible effect from $J/\psi$ polarization is tested by assuming maximum polarization, and the variation of $A_N^{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu}$ is $< 0.001$. Because the variation due to $J/\psi$ polarization is much smaller than the variation from the uncertainty of $A_N^{J/\psi}$, the $J/\psi$ polarization effect is not included to evaluate $A_N^{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu}$ and the systematic uncertainty.

Once $A_N^{b}$ and $A_N^{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu}$ are determined, the $A_N$ of muons from open heavy-flavor decays and its uncertainty can be obtained as

$$A_N^{HF} = \frac{A_N^{incl} - f_h \cdot A_N^{b} - f_{J/\psi} \cdot A_N^{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu}}{1 - f_h - f_{J/\psi}},$$

$$\delta A_N^{HF} = \sqrt{(\delta A_N^{incl})^2 + (f_h^2 \cdot (\delta A_N^{b}))^2 + (f_{J/\psi}^2 \cdot (\delta A_N^{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu}))^2 \over 1 - f_h - f_{J/\psi}},$$

where $f_h = (N_{DM} + N_{PH})/N_{incl}$ is the fraction of the light-hadron background, and $f_{J/\psi} = N_{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu}/N_{incl}$ is the fraction of muons from $J/\psi$. Both fractions ($f_h$ and $f_{J/\psi}$) are determined from the background estimation described above. $\delta A_N^{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu}$, estimated from the previous PHENIX measurement, is included in the systematic uncertainty.
The systematic uncertainty is determined from variation of $A_{N}^{HF}$ between the upper and lower limit of each background source. An additional systematic uncertainty is derived from the comparison between the two $A_{N}^{HF}$ calculation methods; the maximum likelihood method (Eq. (3)) and the polarization formula (Eq. (7)). The final systematic uncertainty is calculated as the quadratic sum of systematic uncertainties from each source ($\delta A_{N}^{HF}$, $\delta A_{N}^{hf}$, $\delta A_{N}^{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu}$, and $\delta A_{N}^{\text{method}}$), described here:

$\delta A_{N}^{hf}$: Systematic uncertainty on the fraction of light-hadron background ($\delta f_{h}$) from Fig. 3 is an important source of systematic uncertainty on $A_{N}^{HF}$. The upper and lower limits of $A_{N}^{HF}$ are calculated using Eq. (5) with the upper and lower limits of the fraction of the light-hadron background ($f_{h} \pm \delta f_{h}$).

$\delta A_{N}^{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu}$: The asymmetry of the light-hadron background ($A_{N}^{J/\psi}$) at MuID Gap4 is estimated by using stopped hadrons at MuID Gap3. Due to decay kinematics, the $A_{N}^{h}$ at MuID Gap4 can be different from the $A_{N}^{h}$ measured at MuID Gap3. In order to quantify the difference, a simulation study using the decay kinematics of light hadrons from the hadron-cocktail in Sec. III B 2 and an input asymmetry ($A_{N}^{\text{input}}$) is performed. $A_{N}^{\text{input}}$ is taken as 0.02 $\times$ $p_{T}$ (with $p_{T}$ in GeV/c) at $p_{T} < 5$ GeV/c and 0.1 at $p_{T} > 5$ GeV/c, based on the most extreme case of $A_{N}^{h}$ measured at MuID Gap3. The detailed procedure is as follows:

1. Generate a random spin direction ($\uparrow, \downarrow$) for all tracks.
2. Apply a weight ($1 \pm A_{N}^{\text{input}} \cdot \cos \phi_{0}$) for each track based on the manually assigned initial asymmetry ($A_{N}^{\text{input}}$). The sign is determined from the random polarization direction in step 1, and $\phi_{0}$ is the azimuthal angle of the track at the generation level.
3. Extract $A_{N}^{\text{cco}}$ of the tracks at MuID Gap3 and Gap4 with the azimuthal angle and momentum of the reconstructed tracks by fitting the asymmetry of the two polarization cases with $A_{N}^{\text{cco}} \cdot \cos \phi_{0}$.

The largest difference between $A_{N}^{\text{cco}}$ at MuID Gap3 and Gap4 is $\sim$ 0.008 in the entire $p_{T}$ range, so $\pm$ 0.008 is assigned to the systematic uncertainty. In the case of $x_{F}$ binning, the difference of $A_{N}^{\text{cco}}$ at MuID Gap3 and Gap4 is quite small ($< 0.001$).

$\delta A_{N}^{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu}$: The systematic uncertainty from $A_{N}^{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu}$ is determined from the $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu$ simulation with the upper and lower limits of $A_{N}^{J/\psi}$ in [24]. Propagation to $A_{N}^{HF}$ is calculated using Eq. (5). The effect from $B \rightarrow J/\psi$ is negligible due to its small fraction in the inclusive $J/\psi$.

$\delta A_{N}^{\text{method}}$: The $A_{N}^{\text{incl}}$ results from the maximum likelihood method at Eq. (3) are compared with result using the polarization formula at Eq. (7). Because the measurement of $A_{N}^{HF}$ using tracks at MuID Gap3 suffer from large statistical fluctuations, the difference of two methods with inclusive tracks at MuID Gap4 is used for both $A_{N}^{\text{incl}}$ and $A_{N}$ variations using Eq. (5). $A_{N}(\phi)$ of inclusive tracks for each $p_{T}$ or $x_{F}$ bin is calculated as,

$$A_{N}(\phi) = \frac{\sigma^{+}(\phi) - \sigma^{-}(\phi)}{\sigma^{+}(\phi) + \sigma^{-}(\phi)} = \frac{1}{P} \cdot \frac{N^{+}(\phi) - R \cdot N^{-}(\phi)}{N^{+}(\phi) + R \cdot N^{-}(\phi)},$$

where $P$ is the average beam polarization, $\sigma^{+}$, $\sigma^{-}$ are cross sections for each polarization, $N^{+}$, $N^{-}$ are yields for two polarizations and $R = L^{+}/L^{-}$ is the relative luminosity where the luminosity ($L^{+}$, $L^{-}$) is measured by the BBC detectors. $A_{N}^{\text{incl}}$ is calculated by fitting the $A_{N}(\phi)$ distribution with a function $\pm A_{N} \cdot \cos \phi$, where $\pm$ depends on the beam direction. The systematic uncertainty on $A_{N}^{HF}$ is evaluated by propagating variations of $A_{N}^{\text{incl}}$ and $A_{N}^{HF}$ between the maximum likelihood method and the polarization formula.

IV. RESULTS

A. Cross section of muons from open heavy-flavor decays

The invariant cross section of muons from open heavy-flavor decays is calculated as

$$E \frac{d^{3}\sigma}{dp^{3}} = \frac{1}{2\pi p_{T} \Delta p_{T} \Delta y} \left( \frac{N_{HF}/\epsilon_{MB}^{HF}}{N_{evt}/\epsilon_{BBC}} \right) \cdot \sigma_{pp}^{\text{incl}} \cdot A_{x},$$

(8)
where $\Delta p_T$ and $\Delta y$ are the bin widths in $p_T$ and $y$, $N_{evt}$ is the number of sampled MB events, $\varepsilon_{MB}^{BBC}$ ($\varepsilon_{HF}^{BBC}$) is the BBC correction factor for the trigger efficiency of MB events (events containing muons from open heavy-flavor decays), $A\varepsilon$ is the detector acceptance and track reconstruction efficiency, and $\sigma_{pp}^{\text{inel}} = 42 \pm 3 \text{ mb}$ is the inelastic cross section of $p+p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200 \text{ GeV}$.

Figure 4 shows the invariant cross section of positively- (open square) and negatively-charged (open circle), muons from open heavy-flavor decays as a function of $p_T$ in $p+p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200 \text{ GeV}$ at forward rapidity. (bottom) Ratio of invariant cross sections. Vertical bars (boxes) correspond to the statistical (systematic) uncertainties. The previous PHENIX results for negatively charged muons [40] are shown and vertical bars represent total uncertainties. The bottom panel shows the ratio between positively- and negatively-charged muons from open heavy-flavor decays (red open circles); the two $p_T$ spectra are consistent within the systematic uncertainties which are dominated by the uncertainty from the hadron contamination. The comparison with the previous PHENIX results for negative muons is also presented as a ratio (black diamonds); the fit function in [40] is used to make a ratio at $p_T > 4.0 \text{ GeV/c}$. The uncertainties from the new results are included in the ratio, and two results are in good agreement.

B. Transverse single-spin asymmetry

The TSSA of muons from open heavy-flavor decays is calculated by using Eq. (5) and the statistical uncertainty is determined by using Eq. (6). Figures 5 and 6 present the TSSA of negatively- ($A_{N}^{\mu^-}$) and positively- ($A_{N}^{\mu^+}$) charged muons from open heavy-flavor as a function of $p_T$ in the forward ($x_F > 0$) and backward ($x_F < 0$) regions with respect to the polarized-proton beam direction. Figure 7 shows the TSSA versus $x_F$ of muons from open heavy-flavor decays. Vertical bars (boxes) represent statistical (systematic) uncertainties; a scale uncertainty from the polarization (3.4%) is not included. $A_{N}^{\mu^+}$ in the negative $x_F$ region, shown in the left panel of Fig. 6, shows some indication of a negative asymmetry; in the combined $p_T$ range of $2.5 < p_T < 5.0 \text{ GeV/c}$ the asymmetry is $-0.117 \pm 0.048\text{(stat)} \pm 0.037\text{(syst)}$. However, the combined asymmetries for all $p_T$ or $x_F$ bins are consistent with zero within total uncertainties. Other results for $A_{N}^{\mu^+}$ at positive $x_F$ and $A_{N}^{\mu^-}$ in all kinematic regions are consistent with zero within statistical uncertainties.
The results are tabulated in Tables IV and V, while Tables VI and VII, list the systematic uncertainties from each source.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\( T_p \) & \(-0.4\) & \(-0.3\) & \(-0.2\) & \(-0.1\) & \(0\) \\
\hline
\hline
N & 200 GeV & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{The number of negatively-charged muons from open heavy-flavor decays as a function of \( p_T \) in the backward \((x_F < 0, \text{ left})\) and forward \((x_F > 0, \text{ right})\) regions. Vertical bars (boxes) represent statistical (systematic) uncertainties. Solid and dashed lines represent twist-3 model calculations \cite{27}, described in Sec. V.}
\end{table}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\( T_p \) & \(-0.4\) & \(-0.3\) & \(-0.2\) & \(-0.1\) & \(0\) \\
\hline
\hline
N & 200 GeV & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{The number of positively-charged muons from open heavy-flavor decays as a function of \( p_T \) in the backward \((x_F < 0, \text{ left})\) and forward \((x_F > 0, \text{ right})\) regions. Vertical bars (boxes) represent statistical (systematic) uncertainties. Solid and dashed lines represent twist-3 model calculations \cite{27}, described in Sec. V.}
\end{table}

V. DISCUSSION

Figure 8 shows the charge-combined, invariant cross section of muons from open heavy-flavor decays as a function of \( p_T \). Vertical bars (boxes) correspond to the statistical (systematic) uncertainties. The solid line in Fig. 8 represents the fixed-order-plus-next-to-leading-log (FONLL) calculation of muons from open heavy-flavor decays from charm and bottom \cite{47}, and the band around the line represents the systematic uncertainty from the renormalization scale, factorization scale, and heavy \((c\) and \(b\)) quark masses. The bottom panel shows the ratio between the data and the FONLL calculation. In general, the agreement between the data and the FONLL prediction becomes better
with increasing $p_T$ where the systematic uncertainties of both are decreasing. At $p_T < 4$ GeV/$c$ where the charm contribution is larger than that from bottom, the measured yield is larger than the FONLL calculation, but systematic uncertainties are large in both the data and the theoretical calculation. Recently, a theoretical approach within the gluon saturation (Color-Glass-Condensate) framework also presents the cross section of leptons from heavy-flavor decays in $p+p$ and $p+\Lambda$ collisions [48].

A recent theoretical calculation [27] incorporating the collinear factorization framework makes predictions for $A_N$ in the production of $D$-mesons ($A_N^D$) produced by the gluon-fusion ($gg \rightarrow c\bar{c}$) process and therefore is sensitive to the trigluon correlation functions which depend on the momentum fraction of the gluon in the proton in the infinite-momentum frame ($x$-Bjorken). Two model calculations, assuming either a linear $x$-dependence (Model 1 in Fig. 5, 6, and 7) or a $\sqrt{x}$-dependence (Model 2 in Fig. 5, 6, and 7), for the nonperturbative functions participating in the twist-3 cross section for $A_N^D$ are introduced to compare their behavior in the small-$x$ region, and the overall $A_N^D$ scale is determined by assuming $|A_N^D| \leq 0.05$ at $|x_F| < 0.1$.

To compare with our results for $A_N^D$, the decay kinematics and cross section of $D \rightarrow \mu$ from PYTHIA [49] have been used to convert $A_N^D$ into $A_N^\mu$. The theory calculations of the $x_F$ and $p_T$ dependence of $A_N^\mu$ for $D^0$, $D^0$, $D^+$, and $D^-$ at $-0.6 < x_F^D < 0.6$ and $1 < p_T^D < 10$ GeV/$c$ are used as the input $A_N^D$ to the simulation. A similar procedure to that described in the systematic-uncertainty evaluation for $\delta A_N^D$ is used. A weight of $(1 \pm A_N^D(p_T^D, x_F^D) \times \sin(\phi^D - \phi_{pol}))$ is applied for each muon from a $D$ meson and the sign is determined with a random polarization direction ($\uparrow, \downarrow$). Then, $A_N^\mu$ is extracted by fitting the asymmetry of the two polarization cases with $A_N^\mu \cdot \cos \phi^\mu$.

Figure 9 shows the $p_T$ and $|x_F|$ distributions of $D$ mesons which decay into muons in the kinematic range of this
FIG. 8. (top) Charge-combined, invariant cross section of muons from open heavy-flavor decays as a function of \( p_T \) in \( p+p \) collisions at \( \sqrt{s} = 200 \text{ GeV} \) at forward rapidity. The solid line and band represent the FONLL calculation for charm and bottom and its systematic uncertainty. The dashed and dotted curves show contributions from charm and bottom separately. (bottom) Ratio between the data and the FONLL calculation. Vertical lines (boxes) represent statistical (systematic) uncertainties of the data.

VI. SUMMARY

We have reported the cross section and transverse single-spin asymmetry of muons from open heavy-flavor decays at 1.4 < \(|y|\) < 2.0 in transversely-polarized \( p+p \) collisions at \( \sqrt{s} = 200 \text{ GeV} \). Comparing with previous measurements by PHENIX, the cross section and asymmetry for positively-charged muons from open heavy-flavor decays are measured for the first time with the help of additional absorber material in the PHENIX muon arms. In the comparison with the FONLL calculation, the FONLL prediction is smaller than the measured cross section at low \( p_T \) where both experimental and theoretical systematic uncertainties are large, but it shows an agreement at \( p_T > 4 \text{ GeV/c} \) within systematic uncertainties.

Following the cross section results, we have measured the single-spin asymmetry of muons from open heavy-flavor decays for the first time. There is no clear indication of a nonzero asymmetry in the results, which have relatively large...
statistical uncertainties. Theoretical calculations of $A_N$ for $D$-meson production which take into account trigluon correlations are converted into $A_N$ for muons with the help of PYTHIA to compare directly with the data. The calculations are in agreement with the data within experimental uncertainties. Future studies with improved statistics (6.5 times current integrated luminosity of this analysis), using data taken with the PHENIX detector at RHIC in 2015, could provide further constraints on the trigluon correlation functions.
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APPENDIX: DATA TABLES
TABLE III. Data table for the invariant cross section of muons from open heavy-flavor decays in 1.4 < |y| < 2.0.

| $p_T$ (GeV/c) | $E_{d^0}^x$ (mb GeV$^{-2}$) | stat uncert. | syst uncert. | $p_T$ (GeV/c) | $E_{d^0}^x$ (mb GeV$^{-2}$) | stat uncert. | syst uncert. |
|--------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1.375        | 7.9 × 10$^{-5}$ | 9.4 × 10$^{-7}$ | 2.4 × 10$^{-6}$ | 3.25 | 3.1 × 10$^{-7}$ | 1.1 × 10$^{-8}$ | 4.5 × 10$^{-8}$ |
| 1.625        | 3.3 × 10$^{-5}$ | 3.7 × 10$^{-7}$ | 8.2 × 10$^{-6}$ | 3.75 | 9.8 × 10$^{-8}$ | 5.0 × 10$^{-9}$ | 1.4 × 10$^{-8}$ |
| 1.875        | 1.2 × 10$^{-5}$ | 1.8 × 10$^{-7}$ | 2.9 × 10$^{-6}$ | 4.25 | 3.2 × 10$^{-8}$ | 2.8 × 10$^{-9}$ | 4.7 × 10$^{-9}$ |
| 2.125        | 5.2 × 10$^{-6}$ | 1.0 × 10$^{-7}$ | 1.2 × 10$^{-6}$ | 4.75 | 1.7 × 10$^{-8}$ | 1.8 × 10$^{-9}$ | 2.4 × 10$^{-9}$ |
| 2.375        | 2.4 × 10$^{-6}$ | 5.9 × 10$^{-8}$ | 4.7 × 10$^{-7}$ | 5.5 | 4.5 × 10$^{-9}$ | 6.1 × 10$^{-10}$ | 6.5 × 10$^{-10}$ |
| 2.625        | 1.4 × 10$^{-6}$ | 3.8 × 10$^{-8}$ | 2.4 × 10$^{-7}$ | 6.5 | 1.1 × 10$^{-9}$ | 3.3 × 10$^{-10}$ | 2.0 × 10$^{-10}$ |
| 2.875        | 6.8 × 10$^{-7}$ | 2.6 × 10$^{-8}$ | 1.1 × 10$^{-7}$ | 6.5 | 1.1 × 10$^{-9}$ | 3.3 × 10$^{-10}$ | 2.0 × 10$^{-10}$ |

TABLE IV. Data table for $A_N$ of muons from open heavy-flavor decays as a function of $p_T$.

| $A_N$ | $p_T$ bin (GeV/c) | Forward ($x_F > 0$) | Backward ($x_F < 0$) |
|-------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| $\mu^-$ | (1.25, 1.50) | -0.101 ± 0.088 | +0.047 | +0.061 |
|        | (1.50, 2.00) | -0.003 ± 0.060 | +0.027 | +0.047 |
|        | (2.00, 2.50) | 0.045 ± 0.077 | +0.034 | +0.051 |
|        | (2.50, 3.00) | 0.016 ± 0.077 | +0.017 | +0.020 |
|        | (3.00, 3.50) | -0.056 ± 0.094 | +0.014 | +0.014 |
|        | (3.50, 5.00) | 0.087 ± 0.104 | +0.028 | +0.013 |
| $\mu^+$ | (1.25, 1.50) | 0.030 ± 0.069 | +0.035 | +0.033 |
|        | (1.50, 2.00) | -0.009 ± 0.040 | +0.026 | +0.025 |
|        | (2.00, 2.50) | 0.072 ± 0.055 | +0.036 | +0.027 |
|        | (2.50, 3.00) | 0.056 ± 0.065 | +0.028 | +0.049 |
|        | (3.00, 3.50) | 0.147 ± 0.087 | +0.038 | +0.033 |
|        | (3.50, 5.00) | -0.104 ± 0.108 | +0.035 | +0.016 |

TABLE V. Data table for $A_N$ of muons from open heavy-flavor decays as a function of $x_F$.

| $A_N$ | $x_F$ bin | Forward ($x_F > 0$) | Backward ($x_F < 0$) |
|-------|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|
| $\mu^-$ | (-0.20, -0.05) | -0.07 ± 0.003 | +0.007 | +0.009 |
|        | (-0.05, 0.00) | -0.04 ± 0.009 | +0.006 | +0.009 |
|        | (0.00, 0.05) | 0.04 ± 0.030 | +0.010 | +0.005 |
|        | (0.05, 0.20) | 0.07 ± 0.019 | +0.047 | +0.035 |
| $\mu^+$ | (-0.20, -0.05) | -0.07 ± 0.003 | +0.007 | +0.009 |
|        | (-0.05, 0.00) | -0.04 ± 0.009 | +0.006 | +0.009 |
|        | (0.00, 0.05) | 0.04 ± 0.030 | +0.010 | +0.005 |
|        | (0.05, 0.20) | 0.07 ± 0.019 | +0.047 | +0.035 |

TABLE VI. Sources of $\delta A_N^{\text{av}}$ for muons as a function of $p_T$.

| $A_N$ | $p_T$ bin (GeV/c) | $\delta A_N^{\text{f}_{1/3}}$ | $\delta A_N^{\text{f}_{1/2}}$ | $\delta A_N^{\text{f}_{1/2}+\text{f}_{1/3}}$ | $\delta A_N^{\text{f}_{1/2}+\text{f}_{1/3}+\text{method}}$ |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| $\mu^-$ | (1.25, 1.50) | +0.007 | +0.007 | +0.007 | +0.007 |
|        | (1.50, 2.00) | -0.008 | -0.008 | -0.008 | -0.008 |
|        | (2.00, 2.50) | -0.007 | -0.007 | -0.007 | -0.007 |
|        | (2.50, 3.00) | -0.004 | -0.004 | -0.004 | -0.004 |
|        | (3.00, 3.50) | -0.008 | -0.008 | -0.008 | -0.008 |
|        | (3.50, 5.00) | -0.018 | -0.018 | -0.018 | -0.018 |
| $\mu^+$ | (1.25, 1.50) | +0.004 | +0.004 | +0.004 | +0.004 |
|        | (1.50, 2.00) | +0.004 | +0.004 | +0.004 | +0.004 |
|        | (2.00, 2.50) | +0.004 | +0.004 | +0.004 | +0.004 |
|        | (2.50, 3.00) | +0.004 | +0.004 | +0.004 | +0.004 |
|        | (3.00, 3.50) | +0.004 | +0.004 | +0.004 | +0.004 |
|        | (3.50, 5.00) | +0.004 | +0.004 | +0.004 | +0.004 |
TABLE VII. Sources of $\delta A_N^{\text{yst}}$ for muons as a function of $x_F$.

| muon  | $x_F$ bin | $\delta A_N^{\text{fb}}$ | $\delta A_N^{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu}$ | $\delta A_N^{\text{Method}}$ | muon  | $x_F$ bin | $\delta A_N^{\text{fb}}$ | $\delta A_N^{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu}$ | $\delta A_N^{\text{Method}}$ |
|-------|-----------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|-----------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| $\mu^-$ | (-0.20, -0.05) | +0.003 | +0.005 | +0.003 | $\mu^+$ | (-0.20, -0.05) | +0.006 | +0.004 | +0.006 |
|       | (-0.05, 0.00) | -0.012 | -0.005 | -0.003 |       | (-0.05, 0.00) | -0.013 | -0.004 | -0.006 |
|       | (0.00, 0.05)  | +0.003 | +0.004 | +0.005 |       | (0.00, 0.05)  | +0.009 | +0.001 | +0.002 |
|       | (0.05, 0.20)  | -0.008 | -0.001 | -0.005 |       | (0.05, 0.20)  | -0.026 | -0.001 | -0.002 |
|       | (0.00, 0.05)  | -0.013 | -0.001 | -0.007 |       | (0.00, 0.05)  | -0.013 | -0.001 | -0.003 |
|       | (0.05, 0.20)  | +0.005 | +0.005 | +0.005 |       | (0.05, 0.20)  | +0.022 | +0.004 | +0.005 |
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