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We present and study a novel electroweak-scale leptogenesis mechanism occurring in \(U(1)_F\) flavor symmetric two Higgs doublet models with Higgs-dependent Yukawa couplings. In this scenario CP-violation originates entirely from the Higgs sector, and large CP asymmetries in TeV scale heavy neutrino decays can be obtained without resonance enhancement and any fine tuning of model parameters. Distinctive predictions for fermion Yukawa couplings together with CP-violating Higgs sector make tests of the electroweak-scale leptogenesis mechanism realistic at LHC experiments.
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INTRODUCTION

The origin of quark and lepton Yukawa couplings remains the major unsolved question in particle physics. One commonly accepted approach, the Froggatt-Nielsen mechanism, explains the observed fermion mass hierarchies with powers of flavour symmetry \(U(1)_F\) breaking vacuum expectation value (VEV), \((S_F)/A_F^n\), where \(A_F\) is the scale of new physics, and \(n\) is determined by fermion quantum numbers under \(U(1)_F\). An interesting recent proposal suggests that all Yukawa couplings which successfully explains the masses and mixings of quarks, charged leptons and neutrinos.

Leptogenesis is the most promising mechanism to explain the observed baryon asymmetry of the Universe. In the standard scenario the CP asymmetry \(\varepsilon_N\), in heavy Majorana neutrino \(N_i\) decays is induced by complex neutrino Yukawa couplings \(y_{ij}^c\). In the case of \(\mathcal{O}(1)\) TeV scale heavy neutrinos the seesaw mechanism implies \(y_{ij}^c \lesssim 10^{-7}\), and large enough CP asymmetry cannot be generated unless masses of the heavy neutrinos satisfy the resonance condition, which requires unnatural fine tuning between \(M_N\) at low scale.

In this Letter we propose a model for Higgs-dependent Yukawa couplings which successfully explains the masses and mixings of quarks, charged leptons and neutrinos. We extend the SM Higgs sector with another doublet. Because \(H_uH_d\) is nontrivial under \(U(1)_F\), Froggatt-Nielsen type model building defines Yukawa coupling hierarchies from fermion flavor quantum numbers. In two Higgs doublet models the CP-violation may come from the Higgs sector. We show that in this scenario new leptogenesis mechanism occurs below the scale of electroweak symmetry breaking (EWSB), \(T < T_c\), in which the necessary CP violation comes entirely from the Higgs potential. The CP asymmetry is not suppressed by small neutrino Yukawa couplings and successful leptogenesis occurs naturally for non-degenerate heavy neutrino masses independently of the initial conditions on \(N_i\) abundances.

Taking into account flavor effects in all heavy neutrino decays we derive and solve Boltzmann equations for the lepton asymmetry as well as for sphaleron transitions. Although sphalerons decay soon below the EWSB scale, \(T_d < T_c\), we show that the lepton asymmetry is safely converted to the baryon asymmetry. This scenario implies a phenomenological upper bound on \(M_N\) from successful leptogenesis. Because the Higgs sector CP-violation is testable at the LHC, our proposal opens completely new, realistic, perspectives for testing electroweak-scale leptogenesis mechanisms at collider experiments.

THE MODEL

We consider global \(U(1)_F\) symmetric two Higgs doublet model with flavor charges given by

\[ Q(H_u) = h_u, \quad Q(H_d) = h_d, \quad Q(L_i) = -4h_u - 3h_d \quad \text{and} \quad Q(N_{Ri}) = 0. \]

We assume \(h_u + h_d \neq 0\) so that the term \(h_uH_d\) is not \(U(1)_F\) invariant. The \(U(1)_F\) invariant Yukawa Lagrangian for heavy Majorana neutrinos \(N_{Ri}\) is given by

\[
\mathcal{L} = y_{ij}^c \bar{N}_R^{i}L_L^jH_u \left( \frac{H_uH_d}{M^2} \right)^{n_{ij}^c} - \frac{1}{2} M_N N_{Ri}^{i}N_{Ri}^j + h.c.,
\]

and similarly for quarks and charged leptons. In Eq. (1) we have neglected the term \(\bar{N}_R^{i}L_L^jH_u \left( \frac{H_uH_d}{M^2} \right)^{n_{ij}^c+1}\) because its contribution to the induced Yukawa couplings is additionally suppressed. Eq. (1) gives rise to \((2n^c+1)\) Higgs boson interactions with \(N\) and \(L\). Below the EWSB scale the Higgs bosons acquire VEVs \(\langle H_u \rangle = \nu_u = v \sin \beta\) and \(\langle H_d \rangle = \nu_d = v \cos \beta\), where \(v = 174\text{GeV}\). The small flavor symmetry violating parameter in this scenario is \(\epsilon \equiv \nu_u\nu_d/M^2 \sim 10^{-2}\), and for \(\tan \beta = 1\) the cut-off scale of this model is \(M \sim 1.23\text{ TeV}\). Expanding the neutral components of Higgs fields \((v + H_0)^{2n^c+1}/M^{2n^c}\) in Eq. (1) we get the fermion mass terms proportional to \(\epsilon^{2n^c} v\) and multi-Higgs interaction terms \(\epsilon^{2k} H_0^k (H_0/v)^k\), \(k = 0, \ldots, 2n^c\), which have the common suppression factor \(\epsilon^{n^c}\). The existence of quadratic interaction \(\epsilon^{2n^c} H_0^2 (H_0/v)^2\) below EWSB plays a crucial role in our scenario.

Under the charge assignment presented above, \(n_{ij}^c\) are universally given by \(n_{ij}^c = 3\). This gives the correct
pattern for neutrino Dirac Yukawa couplings and, together with the Majorana mass terms, imply the seesaw induced light neutrino mass scale $m_\nu \sim v^2 s^2 \beta / M_N = 1.5 \times 10^{-2}$ eV for $M_N = 1$ TeV. As is common to Abelian flavor models, the observed neutrino masses and mixing are obtained by appropriate adjustment of the $O(1)$ coefficients $y_{ij}$. In the charged lepton sector the flavor charges are given by $y_{ij} \equiv 3, n_{23} = 2$ and $n_{33} = 1$, which imply the measured masses via $m_\tau \sim v c_\beta, m_\mu \sim 6 c^2 v c_\beta$ and $m_e \sim 3 c^2 v c_\beta$. Similarly, the correct quark masses and mixing are obtained with flavor charges $n_{ij}^d = n_{ij}^u = 2, n_{33}^d = n_{33}^u = 1, n_{23}^d = (2, 2, 1), n_{33}^d = (1, 1, 0)$.

The Higgs potential of this model is given by

$$V = m^2_{H_u} |H_u|^2 + m^2_{H_d} |H_d|^2 + \lambda_1 |H_u|^4 + \lambda_2 |H_d|^4 + \lambda_3 |H_u|^2 |H_d|^2 + \frac{\lambda_4}{2} (|H_u|^4 - |H_d|^4) + \frac{\lambda_5}{2} (|H_u|^2 |H_d|^2) + \frac{\lambda_6}{2} |H_u|^2 |H_d|^2 + \frac{\lambda_7}{2} |H_u|^2 |H_d|^2 + h.c. ,$$

where Eq. (2) is $U(1)_R$ symmetric and Eq. (3) is explicitly $U(1)_R$ breaking part of $V$. While $m^2_{H_u,d}$ and $\lambda_{1,2,3,4}$ are real, $m^2$ and $\lambda_{5,6,7}$ are in general complex and give rise to CP-violation. Minimization of the potential $V$ requires

$$b \equiv m^2 - v^2 s_\beta c_\beta (\lambda_4 + 2 \lambda_5 - \lambda_6 \tan \beta - \lambda_7 \cot \beta) > 0 \text{ to be real and the vacuum stability condition implies}$$

$$\lambda_1 > 0, \lambda_2 > 0, \lambda_3 + \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} > 0,$$

and

$$\lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + 2 \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} - 2 |\lambda_5| > 0 \text{ (for } \lambda_6 = \lambda_7 = 0).$$

The mass matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1/2 H_u^T M_u^2 H_u & \text{diag}(m^2_{H_u} M_u^2 H_u) \end{pmatrix}$ for neutral Higgs bosons $H_0 = (\text{Re} H_u, \text{Re} H_d, \text{Im} H_u, \text{Im} H_d)^T$ has one zero eigenvalue which corresponds to the Goldstone boson eaten by $Z$. $M^2$ is diagonalized by the orthogonal transformation $O^T M^2 O = \text{diag}(m^2_{h_a}, m^2_{h_b}, m^2_{h_c}, 0)$, and the mass eigenstates $h_a, a = 1, \ldots, 4$, are obtained from the weak eigenstates $H_0 = h_a$ via $h = O H_0$. Since CP is violated in the Higgs potential by complex parameters, real and imaginary components of the neutral Higgs bosons are mixed with each other. If all couplings were real, off-diagonal blocks of $M^2$ vanish, and $h_{1,2}$ and $h_3$ would correspond to the CP even and odd bosons, respectively.

Hitting Higgs mass eigenstates into Eq. (1) implies $N_R - \nu_L$ interactions up to dimension-five operators as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\nu} = N^i P_L (U_{MNS} \nu)^j \left( A^a_{ij} h_a + \frac{1}{v} B^{ab}_{ij} h_a h_b \right) + h.c.,$$

where the effective Yukawa couplings $A$ and $B$ are

$$A^a_{ij} = \left( -1 \right)^{n^a_{ij}} y_{ij}^a e^{n^a_{ij}} \left[ \left( 1 - n^a_{ij} \right) (O_{ia} + i O_{3a}) - n^a_{ij} (O_{2a} + i O_{4a}) \right],$$

and

$$B^{ab}_{ij} = \left( -1 \right)^{n^a_{ij}} y_{ij}^a e^{n^a_{ij}} \left[ (O_{ia} + i O_{3a}) + \frac{(O_{2a} + i O_{4a})}{c_\beta s_\beta} \right] \times \left[ n^a_{ij} (n^a_{ij} - 3) (O_{1b} + i O_{5b}) + n^a_{ij} (n^a_{ij} - 1) (O_{2b} + i O_{4b}) c_\beta + (a \leftrightarrow b) \right].$$

The couplings $A$ and $B$ are complex because $O$ as well as $y^a$ are complex. However, the CP asymmetry in heavy neutrino decays depends on $|y^a|^2$ and, therefore, only the phases in $O$ contribute to the CP asymmetry.

The $N_R - \nu_L$ interactions are given by

$$\mathcal{L}_c = ( -1 )^{n^a_{ij}} y_{ij}^a \bar{N}_i \gamma_5 P_L e_j \phi^+ + h.c.,$$

where $\phi^+$ is the charged Higgs boson with mass $2b/\sin 2\beta$. The three-point vertex for neutral Higgs bosons is

$$V_3 = v C_{abc} h_a h_b h_c,$$

where the CP-violating couplings $C_{abc}$ are lengthy expressions of the parameters in $V$.

**LEPTOGENESIS**

In our scenario the heavy neutrino decays $N \rightarrow LH$ are induced below EWSB. The usual leptogenesis CP asymmetry induced by $N_i$ loops [3] is negligible for non-degenerate $O(1)$ TeV neutrinos. However, in neutral decay modes $N_i \rightarrow \sum_a \nu_j h_a$ new CP asymmetry

$$\varepsilon_i^j = \frac{\sum_a \left[ \Gamma(N_i \rightarrow \nu_j h_a) - \Gamma(N_i \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_j h_a) \right]}{\sum_{k,b} \left[ \Gamma(N_i \rightarrow \nu_k h_b) + \Gamma(N_i \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_k h_b) \right]} \equiv \frac{N_i^j}{\sum_{k,b} \Gamma_{Di}^k},$$

is generated by interactions [6] and [10] via the diagrams in FIG. [1]. In Eq. (11)

$$N_i^j = \frac{1}{16 \pi^2} \frac{1}{16 \pi} M_{N_i} \sum_{a,b,c=1}^3 C_{abc} \text{Im} [J_{a,b,c}] x \text{Im} \left[ (A^a U_{MNS})_{ij} (B^{bc} U_{MNS})^\dagger_{ij} \right] \left( 1 - \frac{m_{h_a}^2}{M_{N_i}^2} \right)^2,$$

and

$$\Gamma_{Di}^k = \frac{1}{16 \pi} M_{N_i} \sum_{a=1}^3 \left| (A^a U_{MNS})_{ik} \right|^2 \left( 1 - \frac{m_{h_a}^2}{M_{N_i}^2} \right)^2,$$

where $J_{a,b,c}$ is the loop function defined by

$$J_{a,b,c} = \int_0^1 dx \ln \left[ x (x - 1) + (1 - x) r_b + x r_c \right],$$

where $r_{b,c} = m_{h_{b,c}}^2 / m_{h_a}^2$. The function [13] has absorptive imaginary part when the mass of final state $h_a$ is larger than the sum of intermediate Higgs masses, $m_{b,c} < m_{h_a}$. These states induce the CP asymmetry [11]. To exemplify the magnitude of generated CP asymmetry we assume non-degenerate heavy neutrino masses $M_{N_i} = M_{N} \text{diag}(0.5, 1.25, 1.5)$, $M_N = 1$ TeV, and

$$\lambda_1 = 0.2, \lambda_2 = 0.5, \text{Im} \lambda_5 \neq 0,$$

and $m^2 = (300 \text{GeV})^2 + 2 v^2 s_\beta c_\beta \text{Im} \lambda_5, \text{others} = 0, (15)$

and $\tan \beta = 1$. The imaginary part of $m^2$ is constrained by the minimization condition Eq. (1). For this choice the CP violating coupling $\text{Im} \lambda_5$ is the only free parameter. The neutral Higgs boson masses are approximately...
For the chosen parameters the decays prohibited by the vacuum stabilization condition Eq. (5).
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temperature-dependent rate given by \[ \frac{\eta_B}{\eta_L} = \frac{16T^2 + 10v(T)^2}{46T^2 + 31v(T)^2} \frac{\eta_{B-L}}{\eta_{B-L}}, \] (21)
\[ \frac{\eta_B}{\eta_L} = \frac{30T^2 + 21v(T)^2}{46T^2 + 31v(T)^2} \frac{\eta_{B-L}}{\eta_{B-L}}. \] (22)

The sphaleron rate $\Gamma_{\Delta(B+L)}(z_d)$ decreases below $T_c$ by the Boltzmann factor $\exp[-E_{\text{sp}}/T]$ and reaches $\Gamma_{\Delta(B+L)}(z_d)/H(z = 1) = 1$ at $z_d = 6.04$. Since the sphaleron processes are effectively switched off at $z > z_d$, the baryon asymmetry is unaffected below $z_d$.

Numerical results for the evolution of baryon and lepton asymmetries are shown in FIG. 4 for $\Im \lambda_2 = 10^{-2}$ (blue and green curves) and $\Im \lambda_3 = 10^{-3}$ (red and purple curves). Indeed, the sphalerons decouple at $z_d$ while the lepton asymmetry still evolves at $z > z_d$. For appropriate $\lambda_i$, the observed baryon asymmetry can be easily generated both for thermal and vanishing initial $N_i$ abundances denoted by $\langle th \rangle$ and $\langle 0 \rangle$, respectively.

Because the leptogenesis window between $z_c$ and $z_d$ is fixed, successful leptogenesis implies an upper bound $M_N < 4.5$ TeV on the heavy neutrino masses. The distinct prediction of factor of three (five) enhancement of $\tau$, $b$ ($\mu$) Higgs-dependent Yukawa couplings compared to the SM prediction is, in our model, affected only by a small correction related to the generated baryon asymmetry (by $\lambda_5$ effects in our numerical example). While discovering TeV scale $N_i$ with small Yukawa couplings at LHC is more than a challenging task, this prediction, together with CP-violating Higgs sector testable at LHC, makes our scenario of electroweak-scale leptogenesis realistically testable at LHC.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We have presented a novel mechanism for electroweak-scale leptogenesis in the two Higgs doublet scenario of Higgs-dependent Yukawa couplings with global $U(1)_F$ flavor symmetry. In our mechanism the necessary CP-violation comes entirely from the Higgs potential, and large CP asymmetries can be naturally obtained without resonance enhancement from heavy neutrinos nor any other fine tuning of model parameters. There is a small window of temperatures below $T_c$ where sphalerons are active, yet the observed baryon asymmetry can be easily obtained before sphalerons decay. The requirement of successful leptogenesis implies an upper bound $M_N < 4.5$ TeV on the heavy neutrino masses. The distinct prediction of factor of three (five) enhancement of $\tau$, $b$ ($\mu$) Higgs-dependent Yukawa couplings compared to the SM prediction is, in our model, affected only by a small correction related to the generated baryon asymmetry (by $\lambda_5$ effects in our numerical example). While discovering TeV scale $N_i$ with small Yukawa couplings at LHC is more than a challenging task, this prediction, together with CP-violating Higgs sector testable at LHC, makes our scenario of electroweak-scale leptogenesis realistically testable at LHC.
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