DNA topoisomerase IIα and RAD21 cohesin complex component are predicted as potential therapeutic targets in bladder cancer
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Abstract. Cancer is essentially a genetic disease. Accumulated gene mutations accelerate genome instability, which eventually leads to uncontrollable growth of the tumor. Bladder cancer is the most common form of urinary tract cancer. This form of cancer has a poor prognosis due to its clinical heterogeneity and molecular diversity. Despite recent scientific advances, the knowledge and treatment of bladder cancer still lags behind that of other types of solid tumor. In the present study, available large data portals and other studies were used to obtain clinically relevant information, and the data were systematically processed to decipher the genes associated with bladder cancer. Genes associated with the survival time of patients with bladder cancer were successfully identified. The genes were enriched in common biological processes and pathways, and upregulated in tumor samples from patients. Among the top genes identified as associated with good or poor survival in bladder cancer, DNA topoisomerase IIα (TOP2α) and RAD21 cohesin complex component (RAD21) were also increased in bladder cancer tissues and cell lines. Therefore, TOP2α and RAD21 could be used as potential therapeutic targets in bladder cancer.

Introduction

Cancer is a remarkable neoplastic disease derived from normal cells. Some normal cells are able to evolve progressively over years to reach a neoplastic state. During this period, the cells acquire 10 hallmarks of cancer. These hallmarks include sustaining proliferative signaling, avoiding immune destruction, evading growth suppressors, tumor-promoting inflammation, resisting cell death, genome instability and mutation, enabling replicative immortality, inducing angiogenesis, deregulating cellular energetics, and activating invasion and metastasis (1). Despite these 10 characteristics, cancer is essentially a genetic disease (2,3). Accumulated mutations in specific genes result in accelerated genome instability, which eventually leads to uncontrollable growth of the tumor (1). Due to the significance of the cancer genome, The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) program spent over a decade collecting the clinical data and molecular profiles of 33 distinct types of cancer based on >11,000 human tumors (4).

Bladder cancer is the most common form of urinary tract cancer, leading to >165,000 succumbing to the tumor every year worldwide (5,6). Bladder cancer is a heterogeneous disease with a poor prognosis, indicating the tremendous challenge that the treatment of this disease proposes. Up until 2016, no new drugs had been approved for the treatment of bladder cancer for >2 decades (6). In 2014, TCGA reported comprehensive molecular profiles of bladder cancer, confirming the clinical heterogeneity and molecular diversity of the tumor (7). In 2017, TCGA presented an expanded study of bladder cancer, which included more tumor samples and linked molecular subtypes with patient outcomes (8).

Despite recent scientific advances, the knowledge and treatment of bladder cancer still lags behind that of other solid tumors (6). In the present study, publicly available large data portals and other studies were used to obtain clinically relevant information, and the data were systematically processed to decipher the genes associated with bladder cancer. The genes were enriched in common biological processes and pathways, and upregulated in tumor samples from patients. Among the top genes identified as associated with good or poor survival in bladder cancer, DNA topoisomerase IIα (TOP2α) and RAD21 cohesin complex component (RAD21) were also enriched in bladder cancer tissues and cell lines. Therefore, TOP2α and RAD21 could be used as potential therapeutic targets in bladder cancer.
Materials and methods

PREdiction of clinical outcomes from genomic profiles (PRECOG). A research team at Stanford University (Stanford, CA, USA) developed the publicly available PRECOG portal (prechagen.com/us/shop/genes-and-pathways/complete-biology-list/) (9), which provided numerous datasets of cancer expression regarding gene expression levels and clinical outcomes. For each gene, PRECOG performed a meta-Z analysis to assign a Z-score, which was associated with patient overall survival. Positive and negative Z-scores indicated poor and good survival, respectively. Z-scores of bladder cancer-associated genes were first extracted from the PRECOG database (last updated date of analysis, June 22, 2018), and ranked and analyzed using Microsoft Office 365 ProPlus Excel (version 1902; Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA). Absolute Z-score values >3.00 were considered highly significant.

Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis. GO analysis of biological processes were performed using the publicly available GO consortium portal (geneontology.org; last updated date of analysis, June 22, 2018). The genes closely associated with overall survival time in patients with bladder cancer were studied.

SABiosciences pathway analysis. In a previous study, the publicly available SABiosciences array gene tables (qiagen.com/us/shop/genes-and-pathways/complete-biology-list/) were extracted, which provided 84 distinct literature-based curated genes that represent each molecular pathway (10). An analysis of the overlap between the bladder cancer-associated genes and the genes that represent the 67 different molecular pathways was performed.

cBioPortal. cBioPortal (cbioportal.org) was launched by the Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center (New York, NY, USA) to help cancer researchers to analyze cancer genomic data (11,12). In regard to bladder cancer, it provided TCGA bladder cancer dataset (8). Bladder cancer-correlated genes were inputted into the bladder cancer dataset to search for alterations in mRNA expression. Genes that were co-expressed with either TOP2α or RAD21 were downloaded via the ‘Co-Expression’ tab, and ranked based on the Spearman Score provided by the database. cBioPortal provided free usage of its images and services (cbioportal.org/faq.jsp).

Gene expression across normal and tumor tissue (GENT). The Korea Research Institute of Bioscience and Biotechnology (Daejeon, South Korea) publicly provided and maintained the GENT portal (medical-genome.kribb.re.kr/GENT) (13). GENT included gene expression data from 16,400 samples (Affymetrix® Human Genome U133A array; 241 data sets, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) across diverse tissues. Gene expression data of the bladder cancer-correlated genes in normal and bladder cancer tissues were extracted from the GENT database (last updated date of analysis: 06/22/18), then processed in Microsoft Office 365 ProPlus Excel (version 1902; Microsoft Corporation) and GraphPad Prism (version 7; GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA).

Broad Institute Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE). The gene expression data of 947 human cancer cell lines were publicly provided by the CCLE (portals.broadinstitute.org/ccle), including 26 bladder cancer cell lines (14).

Statistical analysis. The data bars for the figures in the present study were produced using Microsoft Office 365 ProPlus Excel (version 1902; Microsoft Corporation), and the box and whisker plots were produced using GraphPad Software (version 7; GraphPad Software). P-values of the datasets between normal and bladder cancer tissues were calculated using a permutation test (15). For the Kaplan-Meier plots, the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) series (GSE) datasets in GEO microarray database were utilized, which included GSE5479 (ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE5479), GSE13507 (ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE13507) and GSE5287 (ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE5287). For each dataset, the PRECOG portal (prechagen.com/us/shop/genes-and-pathways/complete-biology-list/) was used to divide patients into two groups according to the median expression of the gene. The two groups were later compared in terms of overall survival, and a survival curve was displayed. The log-rank test was utilized to test the null hypothesis of no difference between the two groups in overall survival. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Genomic profiles reveal the genes strongly correlated with overall survival in bladder cancer patients. PRECOG provided 166 different datasets for different types of cancer (<18,000 cases) (9) to analyze overall survival, which indicates the length of survival time. For each gene in each type of cancer, a Meta-Z analysis with PRECOG was performed to assign a Z-score, which was associated with overall survival time of patients with cancer. Positive and negative Z-scores indicated poor and good overall survival, respectively. The present study focused on bladder cancer. To investigate the association between genes and the survival time of patients with bladder cancer, the Z-scores of all genes present in bladder cancer were extracted. Absolute Z-score values above 3.00 were considered highly significant. Two lists of genes strongly associated with either poor overall survival (Z-score, ≥3.00) or good overall survival (Z-score, ≤−3.00) were obtained (data not shown). Among those genes, TOP2α, BIRC5, CDA, AURKB, CDC20, IL8, CCNA2, TMEM45A, LIPG, KPNA2, IGF1L2, CCX1L1, MMP11, TROAP, CENPF, MCM7, CDI09, CNT3, S100A8 and LCE2D were the top 20 genes associated with poor overall survival, whereas CRTC1A, ASB12, UGT1A6, FBPI, SPINK1, SORL1, FABP4, ST3GAL5, TBC1D1, DISP1, PPP2R2B, UNC5B, MST1R, WDR88, TESC, SLC23A2, FIG4, STK32A, HIBCH and ATP8B1 were the top 20 genes associated with good overall survival (Fig. 1).

GO analysis reveals the biological processes involved. To further investigate the intrinsic connections among the genes strongly associated with the overall survival of patients with bladder cancer, GO enrichment analysis of biological processes was performed. It was revealed that the poor overall survival-associated genes (Z-score, ≥3.00) were mainly...
involved in cell division and the cell cycle (Fig. 2). The genes associated with good overall survival (Z-score, ≤−3.00) did not show any intrinsically associated biological processes (Fig. 2). Therefore, the following sections primarily focus on the poor overall survival-correlated genes.

**SABiosciences Pathway analysis reveals the pathways associated with poor overall survival in patients with bladder cancer.** Since the poor overall survival-associated genes (Z-score, ≥3.00) in bladder cancer demonstrated common biological processes, the molecular pathways that may be associated with the poor overall survival-correlated gene set were investigated. In a previous study, SABiosciences Pathway gene tables were extracted, which provided 84 distinct literature-based curated genes to represent each molecular pathway (10). In the present study, an analysis was performed assessing gene overlap between the 300 poor overall survival-associated genes (Z-score, ≥3.00) and the genes representative of 67 different molecular pathways. The pathways identified with the largest overlap were associated with angiogenesis, cancer drug targets, cellular senescence, and mesenchymal transition (EMT), extracellular matrix and adhesion molecules, and MYC proto-oncogene, basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor (MYC) Targets (Fig. 3).

**cBioPortal suggests that the mRNA levels of poor survival-correlated genes are upregulated.** cBioPortal provided the bladder cancer dataset (8), which included the data of mRNA expression alterations in patients with bladder cancer. It was revealed that the mRNA levels of the 300 poor overall survival-associated genes (Z-score, ≥3.00) were upregulated in 393/404 (97.3%) of the sequenced cases/patients. This indicated the essential roles that these genes serve in promoting bladder cancer. The percentage of tumors from the patients that had upregulated mRNA for each gene were ranked from largest to smallest, and RAD21 was ranked number 1, which was upregulated in 24% of patients with bladder cancer (Table I).

**TOP2α and RAD21 are important in bladder cancer.** PRECOG revealed that the Z-score of TOP2α (Z-score, 7.112) was ranked number 1, indicating the strongest association with poor overall survival in patients with bladder cancer (Fig. 1). cBioPortal ranked RAD21 number 1, as the gene was upregulated in 24% of patients with bladder cancer and was the most frequently upregulated gene in these patients (Table I). In addition, TOP2α and RAD21 were among the top 50 genes of PRECOG (Z-score cut-off value, 4.07) and cBioPortal (percentage cut-off value, 9%) analyses. A number of other genes, including BIRC5, CDA, AURKB, SUPT5H, NUF2 and ATAD2 were not selected, as they were not top genes in the two analyses, even though they were on one list of the two. Furthermore, TOP2α and RAD21 were highlighted in the SABiosciences Pathway analysis (Fig. 2), which demonstrated their importance.

**TOP2α may be a potential therapeutic target in bladder cancer.** PRECOG demonstrated that TOP2α had the strongest association with poor overall survival in bladder cancer (Fig. 1), highlighting its significance. In addition, the
GO analysis of biological processes indicated that cell cycle regulation was involved (Fig. 2), and TOP2α was known to be an important cell cycle regulatory gene (16,17). Meanwhile, SABiosciences Pathway analysis revealed that the 300 poor overall survival-correlated genes (Z-score, ≥3.00) had the largest overlap with the cancer drug targets-associated pathway, and TOP2α was one of the overlapped genes (Fig. 3). Furthermore, cBioPortal indicated that the mRNA expression of TOP2α was upregulated in 9% of patients with bladder cancer (Table I). The aforementioned results indicated the essential role of TOP2α in the survival time of patients with bladder cancer and the underlying molecular mechanism.

The expression levels of TOP2α in bladder cancer tissues and cell lines were further investigated. The GENT portal allowed the standardized mRNA expression of TOP2α in normal bladders and corresponding bladder cancer tissues to be obtained (13). The analysis in the present study revealed that TOP2α expression was significantly upregulated in bladder cancer tissues (Fig. 4A). The CCLE database included the expression data of 26 bladder cancer cell lines. It demonstrated that the mRNA level of TOP2α was highly upregulated in bladder cancer cell lines (Table II). The results from the present study were consistent with a previous publication in that TOP2α amplification and TOP2α immunostaining were observed in bladder cancer cells and tumors (18). Meanwhile, the majority of cell lines in the present study (23/26) were derived from a transitional cell carcinoma. On the basis of the invasiveness of the carcinoma, these cell lines were classified into different stages (19). The expression levels of TOP2α mRNA in Stages 1 and 2 were generally lower than those in Stages 3 and 4, indicating that high levels of TOP2α may also be associated with advanced cancer stages (Fig. 4B). In addition, bladder cancer cell line 253JBV was derived from 253J after five passages in a mouse bladder, demonstrating enhanced tumorigenicity (20). TOP2α mRNA expression in 253JBV (6.538849165) was higher than in 253J (5.742801597), further implying that TOP2α was associated with cancer invasiveness (Table II). Taken together, TOP2α could be a potential therapeutic target in bladder cancer due to its critical role in the disease from various aspects.

RAD21 as a potential therapeutic target in bladder cancer. RAD21 was also investigated due to its upregulated levels and frequency of upregulation in patients with bladder cancer (Table I). The PRECOG analysis revealed that the Z-score of RAD21 in bladder cancer was 4.29, indicating significant correlation with poor overall survival. In addition, the GO analysis of biological processes indicated that cell division, chromosome segregation and the cell cycle were all involved (Fig. 2), and RAD21 was known to be a structural component of the cohesin complex, which safeguards accurate chromosome segregation during mitotic division (21). Meanwhile, the SABiosciences Pathway analysis revealed that...
| SABiosciences pathway                                      | Number of overlapping genes | Genes in individual pathways that overlap with the 300 bladder cancer poor survival-correlated genes |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Angiogenesis                                              | 5                          | VEGF, TYP, SPHK1, FNI, F3, CXCL6, CXCL1, CCL2                                               |
| Cancer Drug Targets                                       | 5                          | TOP2A, PLK4, PLK1, HSP90B1, CD14, BRC5, AURKB, AURKA                                           |
| Cellular Senescence                                       | 7                          | FNI, COL3A1, COL1A1, CHK1, CDK4, CDK2, AKT1, CDK4                                              |
| Epithelial to Mesenchymal Transition (EMT)               | 7                          | VCAN, TMEM16A, FNI, FGFBP1, BSGC2, COL3A2, COL3A1                                             |
| Extracellular Matrix & Adhesion Molecules                | 7                          | VCAN, TNC, CG1E, MAP2K1, FNI, COL7A1, COL1A1                                                  |
| MYC Targets                                               | 5                          | BCAT1, UBE2C, PYC1R1, PPAT, BRD1, CHK1, CDK4                                                   |
| Aging                                                     | 6                          | SODA9, SODA6, COL3A1, COL1A1, BUB1B, ANKAS                                                  |
| Angiogenic Growth Factors                                 | 6                          | FNI, TYP, SPHK1, FNI, COL3A1, COL1A1, CCL2                                                  |
| Cardiovascular Disease                                    | 6                          | ASB1, POSTN, FNI, COL3A1, COL1A1, CCL2                                                       |
| Endothelial Cell Biology                                  | 6                          | TYP, SPHK1, FNI, F3, CCL2, ANKAS                                                              |
| Protease Activated Receptor Signaling                     | 6                          | VEGF, F3, CPYR6, CXCL1, CCL2, ACOY7                                                          |
| Wound Healing                                             | 5                          | F3, CXCL1, COL5A2, COL3A1, COL1A1, CCL2                                                      |
| Atherosclerosis                                           | 5                          | COL3A1, TNC, LDLR, FNI, CCL2                                                                 |
| Cancer Inflammation & Immunity Crosstalk                 | 5                          | MC9, IDO1, CXC4, CXCL1, CCL2                                                                 |
| Fibrosis                                                  | 5                          | LOX, CXC4, COL3A1, COL1A1, CCL2                                                                 |
| Osteogenesis                                              | 5                          | SOX9, FNI, COL3A1, COL1A1, ANKAS                                                              |
| Stress & Toxicity Pathway/Finder                         | 5                          | PVR, HSP90B1, CHK1, CCL2, CALR                                                                |
| Cystic Fibrosis                                           | 4                          | SODA8, CXCL1, CCL2, CALR                                                                     |
| Growth Factors                                            | 4                          | VEGF, TYP, TNF1, CXCL1                                                                      |
| Inflammations                                            | 4                          | PEA1, HSP90B1, CXCL1, CCL2                                                                   |
| Multiple Sclerosis                                        | 4                          | PHGDH, FNI, CCL3, CCL2                                                                       |
| TGFβ Signaling Targets                                    | 4                          | SODA8, RAD21, FNI, CDK2                                                                      |
| Wnt Signaling Targets                                     | 4                          | SOX9, FOSL1, FNI, BRC5                                                                       |
| Cancer Drug Resistance                                    | 3                          | TOP2A, CDK4, BRC1                                                                           |
| Cell Surface Markers                                      | 3                          | COL1A1, SODA8, CD89                                                                         |
| Cytoskeleton Regulators                                    | 3                          | CFT, AURKB, AURKA                                                                           |
| Hypoxia Signaling Pathway                                 | 3                          | LOX, F3, BRD1                                                                               |
| IL6/STAT3 Signaling Pathway                               | 3                          | CXCL4, CCL3, CCL2                                                                           |
| MAP Kinase Signaling Pathway                              | 3                          | COL1A1, CDK4, CDK2                                                                           |
| Mesenchymal Stem Cells                                    | 3                          | SOX9, COL1A1, ANKAS                                                                          |
| Nitric Oxide Signaling Pathway                            | 3                          | TGFAP, NUDT1, FOXM1                                                                         |
| Oncogenes & Tumor Suppressor Genes                        | 3                          | CDK3, CDK4, BRC1                                                                            |
| Stem Cell                                                | 3                          | NM2, COL1A1, CDK2                                                                           |
| Transplant Rejection                                      | 3                          | CXC4, CCL3, CCL2                                                                            |
| Tumor Metastasis                                          | 3                          | MPP11, FNI, CXC4                                                                            |
| Cell Motility                                             | 2                          | FAP, ACTN1                                                                                  |
| Cellular Stress Responses                                 | 2                          | DNAJB1,1, CALR                                                                              |
| Drug Transporters                                         | 2                          | SLC7A5, SLC16A                                                                              |
| EGF / PDGF Signaling Pathway                              | 2                          | FNI, COL1A1                                                                                 |
| Hedgehog Signaling Pathway                                | 2                          | ZIC2, STK3                                                                                  |
| Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells                            | 2                          | COL1A1, CDK2                                                                                |
| Notch Signaling Pathway                                   | 2                          | ZIC2, FOSL1                                                                                 |
| Notch Signaling Targets                                   | 2                          | TNC, SOX9                                                                                   |
| Oxidative Stress                                         | 2                          | NUDT1, FOXM1                                                                                |
| Phagocytosis                                              | 2                          | CD4, CALR                                                                                  |
| Type I Interferon Response                               | 2                          | IP6, CCL2                                                                                  |
| VEGF Signaling                                            | 2                          | VEGF, SPHK1                                                                                 |
| Apoptosis                                                 | 1                          | BRC5                                                                                       |
| Autophagy                                                 | 1                          | CXC4                                                                                       |
| Cancer Stem Cells                                         | 1                          | CHK1                                                                                       |
| Cell Lineage Identification                               | 1                          | BRG1                                                                                       |
| Embryonic Stem Cells                                      | 1                          | CDK2                                                                                       |
| Hippo Signaling Pathway                                   | 1                          | STK3                                                                                       |
| mTOR Signaling Pathway                                    | 1                          | VEGF                                                                                       |
| Necrosis                                                  | 1                          | PVR                                                                                         |
| NFKB Signaling Pathway                                    | 1                          | COL2                                                                                       |
| Osteoporosis                                              | 1                          | COL1A1                                                                                     |
| Skeletal Muscle: Myogenesis & Myopathy                    | 1                          | TNF,1                                                                                      |
| Terminal Differentiation Markers                          | 1                          | CXC4                                                                                       |
| TGFβ / BMP Signaling Pathway                              | 1                          | COL1A1                                                                                     |
| Wnt Signaling Pathway                                     | 1                          | FOSL1                                                                                      |

Figure 3. Molecular pathways associated with the poor survival-correlated gene set. The number of overlapping genes between the 300 poor survival-correlated genes and common molecular pathways was provided using the SABiosciences pathway.
Table I. Percentage of tumors from patients that have upregulated mRNA upregulation for each gene. Percentages were ordered from largest to smallest. Datasets were analyzed using the cBioPortal.

| Gene                        | mRNA upregulation, % |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| RAD21                       | 24.00                |
| SUPT5H                      | 23.00                |
| NUF2                        | 21.00                |
| ATAD2                       | 19.00                |
| RFC4, STK3, RECQL4, CEP72, TRIP13, IQGAP3 | 18.00                |
| UBE2C, ORAOV1, PEA15         | 17.00                |
| TPX2, DSN1                  | 16.00                |
| DDHD2                       | 15.00                |
| UCK2                        | 14.00                |
| TRIO, SF3B2, DNAJB11         | 13.00                |
| WDR62, CDC25B, CBX2, SPAG5  | 12.00                |
| RCE1, TBC1D23, SEC61G, POLQ, HIST2H4A, MYST3, DAXX | 11.00                |
| DHCRR, TULP3, MCM10, SLC2A4RG, AURKA | 10.00                |
| TOP2A, FOXM1, CDC3, ANP32B, Pycr1, CENPA, FADS2, BCA1, HIST2H3C, CABLES2, GARS, PPFIA3, AP4S1, STIP1, NRM | 9.00                |
| BIRC5, NEK2, ATL2, UAP1, MTHFD2, CDK4, CIT, BRCA1, RAD54L, SOX9, SOCS7, AARS, PHGDH, HCN3, RAD51AP1, OLFM2, CELSR3 | 8.00                |
| CDC20, TMEM45A, KPNA2, MCM7, HES2, PTMS, VSTM2L, TMEM132A, RCR1, SLC4A2, SLC16A1, LM07, ANLN, MLLT11, ASPM, CDC6, CKAFL2, NNU, PSRC1, F3, FANCB, FAM50A, WDHD1, TNNT1, MICB, DAD1, PSORS1C2, GP6, SMC1B, HIST1H2BK, MYO10, IL8, MMP11, CENPF, CNN3, HIST1H2AM, BUB1, GPR68, SGCE, PVR, PRSS8, TK1, KIFC1, CPA4, CNTD2, HOXC6, KIF2C, S100A9, HIST1H2BI, EZH2, CDC8, CDT1, NUDT1, NDE1, DTL, PDA4, TYMP, HPRT1, P13, PTPN12, CRLF3, USP13, RRM2, FOSL1 | 7.00                |
| AURKB, CCNA2, TROAP, PPP2R2C, LDLR, CD164L2, SPTBN1, SHCBP1, KNTC1, TMEM52, KLIHC7B, ELF2N, CTHR1C, ADM2, CHEK1, HIST1H2BG, CP, ZBED2, PPPAT, NUA1K, FGFBP1, COL7A1, ASF1B, AP2S1, STX2, HIST1H3H, MME, HIST1H2BD, SLC7A5, POLE, DNM1, CDC2A, SKA1, PLK1, CDC5, PRSS21, POSTN, MT1E, MCM5, AGTRAP, MAD2L2, GNG4, ACTB, VCAN, SLC26A6, TRPM2, CALR, TTK, ADCY7, IRF2BP2 | 6.00                |
| LIPG, CXCL1, CD109, S100A8, MYO1B, SCHEL, PLK4, HOXC9, HMMR, CLEC4, CDSN3, PHF19, PKD1L2, HIST1H2RF, VEGFC, PKMYT1, HSP90B1, JPH1, IDO1, TNC, MRPL11, FAP, LRP8, HIST1H1C, CENPE, MKI67, GLT25D1, ANXA5, B4GAINT1, CLEC1, HIST1H4H, ACTN1, ENO1, FN1, SPPH1, AFAF1, KLK7, HSD11B2, DUSP14, RCN3, AEBP1, MAP4K1, WDR16, MAP4K4, PAGE2, TGFAP8LI1, E2F2, FLO1D | 5.00                |
| CDA, SPINK5, TNFRSF19, MCTP1, HIST2H2AC, CYP26B1, NUSAP1, NCAPO, ABL2, HIST1H4E, EFCA3B, TIMELESS, TUBB2A, CYR61, BUB1B, CCL2, NIFL3, PTTRG1, KIF11, IF16, LM2F2, CCL3, CD69 | 4.00                |
| S100A7, C1QTNF6, CHN1, COL5A2, DCBLD2, CTSL2, ENO2, MFAP2, FLNA, MIA, SERPINE2, DPRX, SPRR1B, PAGE2B, CCRN4L, NNMT, LOX, BAAT, CXCL6, DSC2, CXC4R4, KRT4, COL1A1, FBX05, NEK6, HIST1H4B, PALLD, CEP55, PLUNC, LGALS1, COMTD1, COL3A1, ZIC2, HBQ1, GPRR1N | 3.00                |
| IGFL2, WFDC12, KLK6, CRMP1, GFPT2, GRIN3B, GAST, LCE2D, MI1H, GPR6, SHISA8, LOC375010, N/A | 2.90                |

N/A, not applicable.
RAD21 was one of the four poor overall survival-correlated genes (S100A8 Z-score, 4.57; RAD21 Z-score, 4.29; FN1 Z-score, 3.25; CDC6 Z-score, 3.47) that overlapped with the transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) signaling target-associated pathway (Fig. 3). Similarly to the function of TOP2α, RAD21 served an important role in the survival time of patients with bladder cancer and the underlying molecular mechanism.

The GENT analysis further demonstrated that RAD21 expression was significantly upregulated in bladder cancer tissues (Fig. 5A). The CCLE data demonstrated that the mRNA level of RAD21 was highly upregulated in bladder cancer cell lines (Table II). The expression levels of RAD21 mRNA in Stages 1 and 2 were generally lower than those in Stages 3 and 4, indicating that high expression of RAD2 might also be associated with advanced cancer stages (Fig. 5B). In addition, RAD21 mRNA expression in the tumorigenicity-enhanced 253JBV (5.731007386) was increased compared with the original 253J (4.59366421), further indicating that RAD21 could be associated with cancer invasiveness (Table II). Subsequently, RAD21 could be another potential therapeutic target in bladder cancer.

Further validation of TOP2α and RAD21 in specific types of bladder cancer. Bladder cancer is a heterogeneous disease, and many databases included all types of bladder cancer for analysis. Therefore, when data were analyzed through those databases in the present study, the type of bladder cancer was not considered. Bladder cancer has three main types: transitional cell carcinoma (TCC), adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma (22). Prognosis of TCC may be different to that of adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma. However, due to the fact that TCC is the most common (95% cases) bladder cancer in the Western world (23) and most samples in the databases are from patients with TCC, this analysis is considered robust, particularly for TCC. In addition, TCC can be further classified into non-muscle-invasive, muscle-invasive and metastatic bladder cancer on the basis of tissue invasiveness (24). In order to confirm the robustness of the results from the present study, the stratified data were further analyzed. To study the relationship between TOP2α mRNA expression and overall survival, three Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) series (GSE) datasets in GEO microarray database were utilized (9), which included GSE5479 (25), GSE13507 (26) and GSE5287 (23). The patients from the GSE5479 and GSE13507 studies had non-muscle invasive bladder cancer. The stages of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer are mainly early stages Ta and T1 (27). GSE5479 and GSE13507 consistently demonstrated that high levels of TOP2α were significantly associated with poor overall survival in patients with early stage bladder cancer (Fig. 6A). In patients with advanced bladder cancer (GSE5287), high expression of TOP2α was still significantly associated with poor overall survival (Fig. 6A), indicating that high expression of TOP2α was a poor indicator of survival time in patients with early and advanced stages of bladder cancer. RAD21 was then investigated using GSE13507 (26), GSE5287 (23) and GSE1827 (27). RAD21 was generally associated with poor overall survival in patients with early and advanced stage bladder cancer, but was not statistically significant (Fig. 6B). Interestingly, in patients with cancer that had mixed stages (GSE1827), RAD21 was significantly linked with overall survival (Fig. 6B). These results suggested that further datasets may be required in the future in order to reach a statistical confirmation of the role that RAD21 serves in specific types of bladder cancer. Furthermore, TOP2α may be an improved poor overall survival indicator compared with RAD21.

Discussion

The present study of genomic profiles revealed the genes strongly associated with either poor or good overall survival in patients with bladder cancer (Fig. 1). This provided a list of genes that may be beneficial for therapeutic purposes in bladder cancer. Further GO enrichment analysis of biological processes indicated that the majority of poor survival-associated genes were involved in the cell cycle and cell division (Fig. 2). Cell cycle and cell division are critical for normal cell proliferation, and dysregulation inevitably leads...
The results of the present study indicated that poor overall survival-correlated genes possibly contribute to 4 of the 10 cancer hallmarks: Evading growth suppressors, resisting cell death, genome instability and mutation, and enabling replicative immortality. Surprisingly, genes associated with good overall survival did not indicate any intrinsically-associated biological processes (Fig. 2), demonstrating the complex molecular mechanism underlying the development of bladder cancer. Therefore, the present study primarily focused on the common features of poor overall survival-associated genes.

The SABiosciences Pathway analysis identified the largest overlap between the 300 poor overall survival-associated genes and several molecular pathways, including pathways associated with angiogenesis, cancer drug targets, cellular senescence, EMT, extracellular matrix and adhesion molecules, and MYC Targets (Fig. 3). The pathway associated with angiogenesis evidently contributed to the cancer hallmark: Inducing angiogenesis (1). The pathway associated with cancer drug targets probably contributed to all 10 cancer hallmarks (28). The pathway associated with cellular senescence likely contributed to the cancer hallmark: Resisting cell death (29). The pathway associated with EMT may contribute to two cancer hallmarks: Sustaining proliferative signaling and activating invasion and metastasis (30,31). The oncogene MYC had broad effects on the initiation and progression of cancer, and the pathway associated with MYC Targets most likely contributed to all cancer hallmarks (32).

Further cBioPortal analysis demonstrated that the mRNA levels of the poor overall survival-associated genes were frequently upregulated in bladder tumors, and RAD21 was the most frequent upregulation. TOP2α and RAD21 are two potential therapeutic targets in bladder cancer; the two genes were frequently upregulated in patients with bladder cancer, were significantly correlated with poor overall survival, were enriched in common biological processes and molecular pathways (Figs. 2 and 3), and were highly upregulated in bladder cancer tissues and cell lines (Figs. 4 and 5). The limitation of the present study is that the CCLE database did not provide the expression data of normal bladder cells for comparison with those of the 26 bladder cancer cell lines. Normal bladder cell lines are required for future research and necessary for databases to elucidate the differential expressions between cancer and normal cell lines.

### Table II. mRNA expression levels of RAD21 and TOP2α, types of bladder cancer, stages and grades of the 26 Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia bladder cancer cell lines.

| Cell line | TOP2α expression, log2 | RAD21 expression, log2 | Bladder cancer types | Stage |
|-----------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------|
| UMUC3     | 6.958127687            | 7.104728151            | Transitional cell carcinoma | T2-T4 |
| 5637      | 6.933115661            | 5.715972879            | Transitional cell carcinoma | Unknown |
| UBLC1     | 6.27221173             | 7.058982787            | Transitional cell carcinoma | ≥T2 |
| J82       | 6.419099166            | 5.874719972            | Transitional cell carcinoma | T3 |
| T24       | 7.009351111            | 5.955957403            | Transitional cell carcinoma | Ta |
| 253J      | 5.742801597            | 4.59366421             | Transitional cell carcinoma | T4 |
| 253JBV    | 6.538849165            | 5.731007386            | Transitional cell carcinoma | T4 |
| HT1197    | 5.935498163            | 5.244994094            | Transitional cell carcinoma | T4 |
| UMUC1     | 5.149636116            | 5.933561268            | Transitional cell carcinoma | Unknown |
| TCCSUP    | 5.408029843            | 5.407907222            | Transitional cell carcinoma | Unknown |
| JMSU1     | 7.008411182            | 6.030082453            | Transitional cell carcinoma | T4 |
| SW780     | 6.672841278            | 5.952421068            | Transitional cell carcinoma | Unknown |
| HT1376    | 6.192540552            | 6.376601431            | Transitional cell carcinoma | ≥T2 |
| RT4       | 6.558977198            | 5.836951145            | Transitional cell carcinoma | T1 |
| RT112     | 6.820675745            | 6.461052848            | Transitional cell carcinoma | Ta |
| SW1710    | 6.186105774            | 5.158323384            | Transitional cell carcinoma | Unknown |
| VMUC1B    | 6.859208595            | 6.603328874            | Transitional cell carcinoma | Unknown |
| CAL29     | 6.523430466            | 5.670346132            | Transitional cell carcinoma | T2 |
| BFTC905   | 6.062034606            | 5.951141774            | Transitional cell carcinoma | T4 |
| KU1919    | 6.903279213            | 6.368675102            | Transitional cell carcinoma | T3 |
| BC3C      | 6.7273538              | 6.012404086            | Transitional cell carcinoma | T3 |
| 639V      | 7.007183002            | 6.383729997            | Transitional cell carcinoma | Unknown |
| 647V      | 5.869869325            | 5.318748418            | Transitional cell carcinoma | T2/3a |
| SCABER    | 5.696395585            | 5.803349542            | Squamous cell carcinoma | Not applicable |
| KMBC2     | 6.213242638            | 5.352501752            | Bladder carcinoma | Unknown |
| HS172T    | 5.951000331            | 5.638518222            | Bladder carcinoma | Unknown |
with the biological aggressiveness of upper tract urothelial carcinoma (34). Meanwhile, TOP2α amplification was also observed in a number of other types of cancer, including colorectal cancer (35), breast cancer (36,37), leukemia (38) and prostate cancer (39). These studies highlighted the significance of TOP2α not only in bladder cancer, but also in other types of cancer. Notably, the chromosome location of the TOP2α gene is near the human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (HER-2) oncogene, and TOP2α is frequently co-amplified with HER-2 (33). The molecular mechanism underlying how TOP2α promotes tumor progression involved the induction of oncogene rearrangement (40). The more invasive a tumor becomes as a result of the rearrangements eventually leads to poor patient survival. TOP2α encodes the vital enzyme topoisomerase IIα, which manages DNA topology. This enzyme can be targeted by numerous clinically available anticancer drugs, that are known to be topoisomerase II (or TopoII) inhibitors (41).
There are currently very few studies that focus on the relationship between RAD21 and bladder cancer. Overexpression of RAD21 was only implicated in bladder cancer in a large-scale meta-analysis (42). However, RAD21 was identified as being important for many other cancers, including cervical cancer (43), ovarian cancer (44) and oral squamous cell carcinoma (45). The molecular mechanism by which high levels of RAD21 promote tumor progression may involve increased genomic instability (46) and insensitivity to chemotherapeutic drugs (47). Direct knockdown of RAD21 in cancer cell lines has been revealed to enhance anticancer effects (47).

Bladder cancer is a heterogeneous disease, and many databases include all types of bladder cancer for analysis. Therefore, the type of bladder cancer was not initially considered in the present study when analyzing the data through those databases. However, our analysis remains robust, particularly for TCC, as TCC is the most common (95% of all cases) bladder cancer in the Western world, and the majority of samples in the databases are taken from patients with TCC. In order to confirm the robustness of the results from the present study, the stratified data were further analyzed, resulting in their validation. The present study also indicated that TOP2α may be a better indicator of poor overall survival than RAD21. In the present study, the search for co-expressed genes revealed that there were 169 and 20 genes co-expressed with TOP2α and RAD21, respectively (Spearman score, ≥0.6). GO enrichment analysis of the biological processes of the TOP2α-co-expressed genes revealed many cell cycle-associated processes. The most significant processes included the cell cycle, the mitotic cell cycle, the cell cycle process, the mitotic cell cycle process and cell division. Similarly, GO enrichment analysis of the biological processes of the RAD21-co-expressed genes revealed the cell cycle process and the cell cycle to be the most significant processes. The results from the present study indicated that cell cycle regulatory genes are critical for the development of bladder cancer. The therapeutic targeting strategies aimed towards bladder cancer should take into account the restoration of proper cell cycle regulation. The authors hope that the present study will shed new light on the targeted therapy and drug development for the treatment of bladder cancer.
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