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ABSTRACT
Patients’ knowledge about diabetes is a vital aspect of diabetes self-management. A descriptive, cross-sectional study was conducted in Colombo, Sri Lanka, to assess the knowledge of diabetes among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus using self-administered questionnaires. Patients’ educational status, their awareness on symptoms of dysglycaemia and complications of diabetes as well as their knowledge on preventive strategies of diabetic complications were assessed. The results revealed that 70.6% of the 279 participants had a good or very good knowledge about their condition and the complications associated with diabetes. However, significant gaps in knowledge were seen in the patients’ awareness of hypoglycaemic symptoms and symptoms of diabetic neuropathy. Furthermore, patients with a poor education and patients with a low socioeconomic status were found to have a poorer knowledge of diabetes.

INTRODUCTION
Type 2 diabetes mellitus is a state of hyperglycaemia, which results from a combination of the body’s inability to make efficient use of insulin (insulin resistance) and inadequate insulin production from beta cells (1). Globally, 347 million people are suffering from diabetes and 90% of them have type 2 diabetes (2, 3). Type 2 diabetes is most prevalent in people of South Asian origin, where it is six times more common compared to people of Caucasian origin (4). Rapidly increasing prevalence of type 2 diabetes as a result of rise in obesity, increase in sedentary lifestyles, an ageing population and urbanization is a global concern (5). Sri Lanka is a developing country with rapid urbanization, which is experiencing increased incidence of ‘Western diseases’ such as obesity and diabetes. The epidemiological data has shown an increase in prevalence of diabetes in Sri Lanka has increased from 2.5% in 1990 to 14.2% in males and 13.5% in females by the year 2005 (7, 8).

Diabetes mellitus is the cause for 4 million annual deaths worldwide (9) and over 80% of these deaths take place in low-income and middle-income countries (10). The high rate of mortality and morbidity of the disease is associated with its macrovascular and microvascular complications (11) and these long-term consequences can be prevented with better management of diabetes. Managing blood pressure, blood lipid levels, smoking cessation, stopping alcohol, increasing physical activity and adhering to a healthy diet are the key components in managing this condition in order prevent these complications and self-management is highlighted as a crucial component of diabetes care (12).

Poor patient knowledge about their condition as act as a major barrier to obtaining optimal self-management skills (13) and it is important that patients understand and retain key information such as the necessary lifestyle modifications in order to improve their health. A previous study, conducted in Pakistan, to assess the knowledge of diabetes among patients with diabetes revealed that only 13.6% of the participants had a good knowledge about diabetes (14). A similar study conducted at Moratuwa, Sri Lanka, showed that more than 90% of the participants were unable to recognize hyperglycaemic and hypoglycaemic symptoms, 42% of the participants didn’t know the target blood glucose level and more than 50% of the participants didn’t believe annual follow-ups were needed to manage their condition (15). 90% of the participants in this study were female and they lived in a specific geographical area and generalizability of the study findings was debatable. Our study aims to assess the knowledge of type 2 diabetes mellitus among a larger sample of patients with type 2 diabetes from different geographical areas of Colombo, attending the outpatient clinic of a well-known tertiary referral centre in the capital of Sri Lanka, Colombo.

METHODS
A descriptive, cross-sectional study was conducted in the diabetes outpatient clinic in a tertiary hospital in Colombo, Sri Lanka. It is the leading government sector referral centre in Sri Lanka for endocrinology and diabetes.

A sample size of 257 participants was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{Sample size} = \frac{Z_{1-a/2}^2 \cdot p (1-p)}{d^2}
\]

\(Z_{1-a/2}\) is the standard normal variant. \(Z_{1-a/2}\) was assumed to be 1.96 given that the significant \(p\) value is less than 0.05. \(p\) is the prevalence of unsatisfactory knowledge based on our pilot study, which is 21.2%. \(d\) is equal to...
absolute error, which is 5%. However, 279 participants were included in our study.

This study was conducted after the ethical approval from the ethics review committee of National hospital of Sri Lanka. The aims of the study were explained thoroughly to all participants and verbal consent was obtained prior to the recruitment for the study. A random cohort of patients attending the diabetes clinic between the 8th of May to 8th of June 2015 who were above 18 years of age and were diagnosed at least 3 months ago were selected for the study. Patients with poor vision and those with an inability to read or write were excluded from the study. Pre-tested, anonymous, self-administered questionnaires (in 3 languages; Sinhalese, Tamil and English) were issued to assess their knowledge on diabetes, its management, its complications and its preventative measures. The questionnaire contained 16 questions, in which, 10 questions were on demographics and 10 questions were regarding the knowledge on diabetes.

The statistical analysis was carried out using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (PASW Statistics version 18.0.0).

RESULTS

The mean age of the study sample was 56.17 (SD of 12.52), ranging from 18 to 85 years. 72.4% were males and 83.3% were married. Only a small proportion of the participants (26%) had completed school and majority of the participants were unemployed (67.8%). The study sample had an almost equal proportion of patients with low and high family incomes. Rest of the demographic and clinical details are summarized in table 1.

The total mean knowledge score of the participants was 73.06% (SD = 2.82). Most of the patients (60.6%) had a very good score (>75%) regarding the knowledge on diabetes. However, significant gaps in knowledge were seen in patients’ awareness of hypoglycaemic symptoms, general knowledge about diabetes, neuropathic symptoms and prevention of neuropathic complications. Only 49.1% of the patients correctly identified a hypoglycaemic attack. Almost half of the participants (47%) believed that diabetes could be cured and only 29% knew the different types of diabetes. Furthermore, 41.2% of participants were unaware of the correct frequency of meals to obtain. Many patients also had a poor ability to recognize neuropathic symptoms and take preventative measures to avert foot ulcers (Table 2, Table 3, Figure 1).

The overall knowledge score about diabetes increased significantly with the level of education (p=0.001). This shows that patients with a high level of education have a greater degree of knowledge about type 2 diabetes and vice versa (Figure 2). Moreover, there was a significant increase in the mean knowledge score of patients with their socioeconomic status (p=0.03). Patients with a low income (<10,000 rupees per month) had lower knowledge score compared to patients with a high income and monthly family income of 5000-10000 rupees scored the lowest knowledge score (Figure 3). Duration of diabetes also had a directly proportionate relationship with the knowledge scores. However, this relationship was not statistically significant (p = 0.104).

Patients had good knowledge in areas such as the awareness of hyperglycaemic symptoms, disease management and benefits of lifestyle modifications (exercise, smoking, weight loss) and they also had a good knowledge of renal and cardiovascular complications and preventative measures required to overcome ocular complications.
DISCUSSION

It is an obvious fact that the knowledge regarding the disease and its' self-management are very important in order to achieve the optimum control in chronic diseases such as diabetes. Evidence suggests that poor patient knowledge about diabetes is associated with poor glycaemic control leading complications such as diabetic retinopathy (16). Our study demonstrated that the knowledge regarding their disease among patients with diabetes is good. Majority had a good understanding regarding the disease, the importance of diet and exercises in order to control diabetes and the possible complications such as cardiovascular events, nephropathy and retinopathy. However, their knowledge regarding hypoglycaemia, neuropathy and foot ulcer prevention is poor and a reasonable percentage of patients believed that diabetes could be cured. Level of education in our community had a direct relationship with the good knowledge of disease and its’ self-management.

Table 2: Patients’ knowledge about type 2 diabetes mellitus

| Question                                      | Percentage of correct responses (%) |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Know that diabetes cannot be cured            | 53                                 |
| Know the common types of diabetes             | 29                                 |
| Know that diabetes can be inherited           | 76.3                               |
| Awareness of hyperglycemic symptoms           | 80.3                               |
| Awareness of hypoglycemic symptoms            | 49.1                               |
| Know the management of hyperglycemic attack   | 73.5                               |
| Know the management of hypoglycemic attack    | 72                                 |
| Know the benefits of weight loss              | 93.9                               |
| Know the harmfulness of smoking               | 90                                 |
| Know the benefits of exercise                 | 95                                 |
| Know the frequency of meals                   | 58.8                               |
| Know the renal complications                  | 94.6                               |
| Know the cardiovascular complications         | 89.6                               |
| Know the symptoms of neuropathic complications| 48.4                               |
| Know the preventative measures for ocular complications | 91.8 |
| Know the preventative measures for foot ulcers | 67.7 |

Table 3 and Figure 1: Categorized knowledge scores of the participants

| Knowledge score (%) | Grade  | % of participants |
|---------------------|--------|------------------|
| >=75                | Very good | 60.6%           |
| 65-74               | Good   | 10%              |
| 50-64               | Average| 20.8%            |
| 40-49               | Poor   | 3.9%             |
| <40                 | Very poor | 4.7%           |

Figure 1: Categorised knowledge scores of the patients
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Figure 2: The correlation between the level of education and mean knowledge score
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The overall knowledge score of the patients with diabetes is adequate. Previous studies done in Sri Lanka (15), Pakistan (14) and Singapore (17) have shown knowledge
score of 68%, 13.6% and 66.1% respectively. Our study population had an overall knowledge score of 73%, which is encouraging. The level of education showed direct relationship with the overall knowledge score of the patients. Higher literacy levels comparable to developed countries that we have achieved in our patients may be the reason for better results in our patient population.

This study shows robust evidence to exhibit that majority of the participants has a good or very good level of knowledge about type 2 diabetes mellitus. Nevertheless, the participants showed to have a poor understanding in certain facts about diabetes and its self-management, especially with diabetic neuropathy and the prevention of foot problems. Therefore, these identified areas of weak knowledge should be addressed in future educational programs. Empowering patients with adequate knowledge about all the aspects of diabetes will improve diabetes self-management and reduce its’ complications.

Reasonable percentage of patients throughout the world still believes that Type 2 diabetes can be cured. Previous studies done in Sri Lanka (15), India (18) and Singapore (17) have demonstrated that 44%, 62% and 25% of the patients in respective countries believed that diabetes is curable. This study also demonstrated a similar percentage of patients (47%) who believes that diabetes can be cured and take treatment hoping for a cure.

Illiterate participants (4.2%) required the assistance of their family members in order to fill out self-administered questionnaires. This affected the uniformity of the method of data collection, which in turn would affect the study results. The lack of a standardized method to involve illiterate participants also acted as a drawback of this study. The fact that the majority of our study sample was males and most of the participants living in Colombo province also question the generalizability of our results to the entire Sri Lankan population.

CONCLUSIONS

The Sri Lankan population of diabetes had a good overall knowledge about diabetes and it is related to the level of education. However, there are knowledge gaps especially related to awareness of hypoglycaemic symptoms, symptoms of neuropathy and avoiding foot problems. Interestingly, patients with a lower level of education and lower family income had a lower degree of knowledge about diabetes. These findings can be utilized to devise an integrated education program to empower patients with the necessary knowledge about diabetes. The study also identified particular high-risk groups of patients to be targeted. Therefore, clinicians can be advised to be more proactive with such patients and ensure that they gain the required knowledge regarding diabetes.
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