The inhibitory effect of natural bioactives on the growth of pathogenic bacteria*
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Abstract
The objective of this study was to evaluate the inhibitory activity of natural products, against growth of *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922) and *Salmonella typhimurium* (KCCM 11862). Chitosan, epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG), and garlic were used as natural bioactives for antibacterial activity. The testing method was carried out according to the disk diffusion method. All of chitosan, EGCG, and garlic showed inhibitory effect against the growth of *E. coli* and *Salmonella typhi*. To evaluate the antibacterial activity of natural products during storage, chicken skins were inoculated with 10⁶ of *E. coli* or *Salmonella typhi*. The inoculated chicken skins, treated with 0.5, 1, or 2% natural bioactives, were stored during 8 day at 4℃. The numbers of microorganisms were measured at 8 day. Both chitosan and EGCG showed significant decrease in the number of *E. coli* and *Salmonella typhi* in dose dependent manner (*P* < 0.05). These results suggest that natural bioactives such as chitosan, EGCG may be possible to be used as antimicrobial agents for the improvement of food safety.
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Introduction

When people ingested food that was spoiled or contaminated with bacterial microorganisms, food poisoning frequently occurred. Industrialized civilization has led to dramatically increased standards of hygiene today, but food poisoning remains a major cause of death worldwide (Sibel, 2003). In recent years, there has been a dramatic increase in the number of reported cases of food-borne illnesses. A variety of microorganisms, including *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella typhimurium* may lead to food spoilage, one of the most important concerns of the food industry. Many attempts, such as use of synthetic chemicals, have been made to control microbial growth and to reduce the incidence of food poisoning and spoilage with antimicrobial chemicals.

Much has been reported about the potential for natural antimicrobial compounds to replace or reduce reliance on synthetic food preservatives. In the last 20 years, hundreds of studies demonstrated antimicrobial activity of natural compounds against pathogenic or spoilage organisms. However, few of these have been translated into real food applications. There has been a tendency to infer from a zone of inhibition on an agar plate that a putative antimicrobial agent has potential for use in real foods. Rarely has the investigator bothered to demonstrate antagonism or biocidal activity in foods (Sibel, 2003). Recently, consumers have concerned about the side effects and want safer materials for preventing and controlling pathogenic microorganisms in foods. Some natural substances of plant origin have good antimicrobial properties and have been used as seasonings for centuries. Spices and aromatic vegetable materials have long been used in food not only for their flavor and fragrance qualities and appetizing effects but also for their preservative and medicinal properties. Since ancient times throughout the world, these have been used for preventing food spoilage and deterioration and also for extending shelf life of foods, while attempts to characterize these properties in the laboratory date back to the early 1900s. It has been extensively reported that the natural substances have shown antimicrobial functions against food-borne pathogens (Bin et al., 2007). The ultimate goal of our study is to develop by using natural compounds to reduce pathogens in foods and to extend the shelf life of foodstuffs.

Chitosan is a polycationic polymer with specific structure and properties. It contains more than 5000 glucosamine units and is obtained commercially from shrimp and crabshell chitin (a N-acetylglucosamine polymer) by alkaline deacetylation. It has been shown to be useful in many different areas as an antimicrobial compound in agriculture, as potential elicitor of plant defense responses, as an additive in the food industry, as a hydrating agent in cosmetics, and more recently as a pharmaceutical agent in biomedicine. The antimicrobial activity of chitosan against different groups of microorganisms, such as bacteria has received considerable attention (Entsar et al., 2003).

Green tea (*Camellia sinensis* L.) and its extract in particular have shown many health benefits to humans and animals,
including chemo-preventive, anticarcinogenic, antioxidant and antimicrobial activities (Cooper; 2005). In terms of the antimicrobial activity, major food-borne pathogens such as Escherichia coli, Salmonella typhimurium, Listeria monocytogenes, Staphylococcus aureus, and Campylobacter jejuni have been reported to be inhibited by tea components (Hamilton- Miller; 1995) which may be from different types of tea or tea extract, including oolong, jasmine and black tea. Most studies have used crude extracts of tea for the evaluation of antimicrobial activity against pathogens including food-borne pathogens, and many measured the activities of pure standards of tea polyphenols to confirm the activities found in tea extract. (Weidou, 2006).

Garlic is used world-wide as a spice, food, and folk medicine (Yoshida et al., 1999). In vitro evidence of the antimicrobial activity of fresh and freeze-dried garlic extracts against many bacterial, fungi, and viruses supports these applications. Early steps involved in identifying the active constituents of garlic were the discovery of the compound allicin formed when garlic cloves are crushed and that its formation depends upon the action of the enzyme alliinase of the bundle sheath cells upon the alliin of mesophyll cells. The classic studies attributed the antibacterial properties of garlic clove homogenates plus related garlic compounds (Ross et al., 2001). However, antimicrobial activities of chitosan, EGCG against E. coli and Salmonella typhi have not yet been fully studied. Also, E. coli and Salmonella typhi is indicator organism of food borne pathogenic bacteria. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to investigate the antibacterial effects of bioactives against two common pathogenic bacteria (E. coli and Salmonella typhi).

Materials and Methods

Test strain and culture

E. coli (ATCC 25922) and Salmonella typhi (KCCM 11862) were purchased from KCCM. The medium for growth and preservation of bacteria was nutrient agar (NA, Kisan Biotech) and Luria-Bertani medium (LB, Kisan Biotech).

Preparation

Acetic acid was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint Louis, MO, USA), chitosan (70% water-soluble) from Iljin Pharmaceuticals, and EGCG (98%, TEAVIGO™) from DSM Nutritional Products (Basel, Switzerland). Garlic was purchased in bulb from a supermarket in Seoul. The skin was removed and it was washed with water, mashed, freeze dried, sealed airtight, and stored in a freezer. Chitosan, EGCG, and garlic powder were all dissolved in 100 ml of distilled water and set at concentrations of 0.5%, 1%, and 2%. Each solution was filtered with Whatman paper No. 3 (Whatman Ltd, Maidstone, England), and sterilized with 0.22 μm PVDF membrane (Millipore corporation, Bedford, USA), and stored at 4℃.

Antibacterial activity screening

Screening of chitosan, EGCG and garlic powder for antibacterial activity against E. coli or Salmonella typhi was done using the paper disc method (Davidsom & Parish, 1989). For the treatment, 50 μl each of 0.5%, 1%, and 2% chitosan, EGCG, and garlic powder solutions were slowly absorbed into the sterilized paper disc (diameter: 8 mm, Watman, England) and adhered to the surface of the plate on which 10⁶ CFU/ml E. coli or Salmonella typhi had been inoculated. Sterilized distilled water was used as a control. After culturing for 24 hours in an incubator at 37℃, the clear zone around the disk was measured and the antibacterial activity compared and analyzed.

Preparation and treatment of chicken sample

Chicken was purchased from a chicken processing vendor near Seoul, transported on ice and used for experimentation. The chicken skin was cut uniformly to 20 cm² pieces. In order to maintain those in a sterile state, the pieces were then immersed in 100 ppm sodium hypochlorite (NaClO) for 30 min and rinsed with distilled water. They were placed on a sterilized stainless steel net to remove efflux and used as samples. 10⁶ CFU/ml of E. coli or Salmonella typhi was inoculated into each 20 cm²/piece chicken skin in a 60 mm dish. Chitosan, EGCG or garlic solutions were then added at the 0.5%, 1%, and 2% concentrations in a 60 mm dish, and stored in a refrigerator at 4℃. The amount of bacteria on each piece of chicken was measured at 8 days.

Microbiological analysis

The results for the day 0 sample were analyzed immediately after the natural compounds treatment. Each chicken piece was moved and 10 ml sterilized 0.1% (w/v) peptone water was added at a ratio of 1:1. It was mixed thoroughly for 60 seconds, after which a 0.1 ml of solution was taken for analysis. The 0.1 ml of sample solution was diluted in steps from 10⁻¹ to 10⁻⁵ and inoculated to the NA medium, which was then cultured at 37℃ for 24-48 hours. To measure number of bacteria, colonies of 30-300 formed on the medium were counted using a colony counter. The bacteria count on the medium was then multiplied by the multiple of dilution and converted to Log₁₀ CFU/ml.

Statistical analysis

We used the statistics program SPSS 12.0 and ANOVA (analysis of variance) to analyze the antibacterial effects and the mean values of the samples for E. coli count, pH and generation time for the chicken pieces to which natural additives were added.
The analysis of differences by various factors used Turkey’s test, every experiment was repeated three times, and the results were expressed as mean ± standard error.

Results

**Antimicrobial effect of bioactives on E. coli**

To study its antimicrobial efficiency, various concentrations of the natural bioactives were added to cultures of *E. coli* and compared with that of the commonly used preservative acetic acid. Table 1 shows the mean ± standard error for inhibitory diameters of bioactives against *E. coli*. The clear zone sizes of the 0.5% and 1% acetic acid treatments were 16.3 mm and 20.0 mm, respectively, showing significant increase of 2 to 2.5 times in comparison with that of control \((P < 0.05)\) (Table 1, Fig 1 A). The clear zone size of the 0.5% chitosan treatment was 10.6 mm, 1.3 times greater than that of the control. The clear zone size of 1% chitosan treatment was 11.3 mm, 1.4 times greater than that of the control (Table 1, Fig 1 B). The clear zone size of the 0.5% EGCG treatment was 17.0 mm, 2.1 times greater than that of the control, and 1% EGCG treatment also was 2.4 times greater in comparison with that of control \((P < 0.05)\) (Table 1, Fig 1 C). The clear zone size of the 1% garlic treatment was 9.7 mm, 1.2 times greater than that of the control, and 2% was 11.7 mm, 1.5 times greater in comparison with that of control (Table 1, Fig 1 D).

| Treatment          | Clear zone diameter (mm) |
|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Control            | 8.0 ± 0.9\(^h\)          |
| Acetic acid (%)    | 0.5; 16.3 ± 0.9\(^h\)    |
|                    | 1.0; 20.0 ± 1.5\(^h\)    |
|                    | 2.0; 24.7 ± 2.3\(^h\)    |
| Chitosan (%)       | 0.5; 10.6 ± 0.9\(^h\)    |
|                    | 1.0; 11.3 ± 0.7\(^h\)    |
|                    | 2.0; 12.0 ± 1.5\(^h\)    |
| EGCG (%)           | 0.5; 17.0 ± 1.0\(^h\)    |
|                    | 1.0; 19.3 ± 0.7\(^h\)    |
|                    | 2.0; 21.0 ± 0.9\(^h\)    |
| Garlic (%)         | 0.5; 8.0 ± 0.9\(^N\)     |
|                    | 1.0; 9.7 ± 0.9\(^m\)     |
|                    | 2.0; 11.7 ± 0.3\(^m\)    |

Means with superscripts within the same row are significantly different \((p < 0.05)\), N: No antimicrobial activity were found for *E. coli*

**Antimicrobial effect of bioactives on Salmonella typhi**

To study its antimicrobial efficiency, various concentrations of the natural bioactives were added to cultures of *Salmonella typhi* and compared with that of the commonly used preservative acetic acid. Table 2 shows the mean ± standard error for inhibitory diameters of bioactives against *Salmonella typhi*. The clear zone sizes of the 0.5% and 1% acetic acid treatments were 16.7 mm and 21.3 mm, respectively, showing significant increase of 2.1 to 2.7 times in comparison with that of the control \((P < 0.05)\) (Table 2, Fig 2 A). The clear zone size of the 0.5% chitosan treatment was 10.7 mm, 1.3 times greater than that of the control. The clear zone size of 1% chitosan treatment was 11.3 mm, 1.4 times greater that of the control (Table 2, Fig 2 B). The clear zone size of the 0.5% EGCG treatment was 22.7 mm, 2.8 times greater than that of the control, and 1% EGCG treatment also was 3.4 times greater in comparison with that of control \((P < 0.05)\) (Table 2, Fig 2 C). The clear zone size of

| Treatment          | Clear zone diameter (mm) |
|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Control            | 8.0 ± 0.9\(^N\)          |
| Acetic acid (%)    | 0.5; 16.7 ± 0.9\(^h\)    |
|                    | 1.0; 21.3 ± 0.7\(^h\)    |
|                    | 2.0; 25.3 ± 0.9\(^h\)    |
| Chitosan (%)       | 0.5; 10.7 ± 0.7\(^h\)    |
|                    | 1.0; 11.3 ± 0.7\(^h\)    |
|                    | 2.0; 12.3 ± 0.3\(^h\)    |
| EGCG (%)           | 0.5; 22.7 ± 0.7\(^h\)    |
|                    | 1.0; 27.0 ± 0.6\(^h\)    |
|                    | 2.0; 30.3 ± 0.3\(^h\)    |
| Garlic (%)         | 0.5; 8.0 ± 0.9\(^N\)     |
|                    | 1.0; 14.0 ± 0.9\(^m\)    |
|                    | 2.0; 17.7 ± 1.5\(^m\)    |

Means with superscripts within the same row are significantly different \((p < 0.05)\), N: No antimicrobial activity were found for *Salmonella typhi*
Antimicrobial effect of natural bioactives

The 0.5% garlic treatment was 9.7 mm, 1.2 times greater than that of the control, and 1% was 14.0 mm, 1.8 times greater (Table 2, Fig 2 D).

Fig. 2. Effects of natural bioactives on the growth of Salmonella typhi
Antimicrobial activity of various bioactives was carried out according to disk diffusion method by measuring the inhibitory zone size, a; 0%, b; 0.5%, c; 1.0%, d; 2.0% of selected bioactive compound solution

Fig. 3. Inhibitory effect of chitosan and EGCG on the growth of E. coli on the chicken skin during storage at 4°C
The chicken skin surfaces (20㎠/piece) were inoculated with 10⁶ CFU/ml of E. coli. The natural bioactives used were 0.5, 1 or 2% water soluble chitosan (A) and EGCG (B). The chicken skins treated with chitosan or EGCG were stored at 4°C and the numbers of E. coli were counted at 8 days. The number of E. coli was expressed as mean Log₁₀ CFU/ml for the triple treatments.

Antimicrobial effect of bioactives on chicken skins
During storage at 4°C, results are presented in order to determine the effects on microbiological decontamination of chicken skins treated with different concentration of bioactives. For 0.5% chitosan treatment, the E. coli count decreased by 85% vs. control, showing dose-dependent effects (Fig 3A), with similar results obtained in the number of E. coli count by EGCG treatment (P < 0.05) (Fig 3B). The numbers of Salmonella typhi on chicken skins treated with 0.5% chitosan or EGCG were also significantly lower than those of controls after 8 days storage (P < 0.05) (Fig 4A and 4B).

Discussion
Synthetic chemicals are often used as antimicrobials in food processing and storage to eliminate food-borne pathogens, many of which have built resistance to the antibiotics. However consumer’s awareness and concerns over the potential risks of synthetic food bioactivies to human health have renewed the interests in using naturally occurring alternatives. Therefore, much attention in recent years has been focused on natural bioactives. Numerous studies have shown the effect of chitosan...
on microbial inhibition. Higher antibacterial activity of chitosan was reported by several workers (No et al., 2002). For example, No et al. (2002) observed that chitosan and its enzymatic hydrolyzates inhibited growth of Gram-negative bacteria. And chitosan acted mainly on the outer surface of the bacteria. At a lower concentration (<0.2 mg/mL), the polycationic chitosan does probably bind to the negatively charged bacterial surface to cause agglutination, while at higher concentrations, the larger number of positive charges may have imparted a net positive charge to the bacterial surfaces to keep them in suspension (Entsar et al., 2003).

Our present study revealed that chitosan showed significantly highly antimicrobial activities against E. coli and Salmonella typhi. Also, E. coli and Salmonella typhi numbers of chicken skins treated with chitosan were significantly lower ($P<0.05$) than controls during storage.

Most studies have used crude extract of tea for the evaluation of antimicrobial activity against pathogens including food-borne pathogens (Weiduo et al., 2006), and many measured the activities of pure standards of tea polyphenols to confirm the activities found in tea extracts. Recently purified EGCG demonstrated an increased antimicrobial activity towards E. coli (Weiduo, et al., 2006).

In this study, EGCG showed significantly antimicrobial activities against E. coli and Salmonella typhi. Also, E. coli and Salmonella typhi numbers of chicken skins treated with EGCG were significantly lower than controls during storage.

Garlic is known to have antibacterial activity, and Bakri (2005) were the first to demonstrate that the antibacterial action of garlic is mainly due to allicin. The sensitivity of various bacterial and clinical isolates to pure preparations of allicin (Bakri et al., 2005) is significant. In the present study, garlic showed antimicrobial activities against E. coli and Salmonella typhi. The weak antimicrobial activity of 0.5% garlic may be due to the losses of sulfur compounds, and also due to the nature of garlic itself, which is volatile and hydrophobic. It appears that chitosan, EGCG, strongly inhibits the growth of E. coli and Salmonella typhi.

Even though bioactives have been considered as versatile biopolymers in agriculture applications, its potential uses as an antimicrobial compound and its mode of action need to be further studied in depth. Furthermore, it is a current matter of discussion as to whether these bioactives may have the potential to influence physiological functions or metabolism in the microorganisms. Therefore, a significant increase in the number of scientific studies to obtain evidence to support this can be expected.
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