Prevalence of Dental Fluorosis among Southern Jordanian Population

Amjad M. Al Warawreh, Zaid H. Al Tamimi, Mohammad I. Al Qatawna, Aseel A. Al Momani, Mohammed R. Al Mhaidat, Waddah S. El Naji, and Salem AlSaraireh

Royal Medical Services, Amman, Jordan

Introduction. Jordan is one of the Middle Eastern countries that is classified as a poor water resource country. People in Jordan consume any available water. In the south of Jordan, water resources are limited. The drinking water contains high levels of fluoride, which in turn leads to augmented danger of both skeletal and dental fluorosis. Aims. This study is focused on evaluating the pervasiveness of dental fluorosis among patients of Karak City and assessing the degree and distribution of fluorosis. Materials and Methods. This research focuses on 2,512 patients ranging from 12 to 52 years old seeking dental treatment in the Dental Department at “Prince Ali ben Al Houssin Hospital” in Karak City. Dental fluorosis status was assessed by using Modified Dean’s Fluorosis Index.” The data collected were subjected to statistical analysis. Results. The dental fluorosis prevalence within our sample was 39.9% in Karak City. Females were more influenced than males, and fluorosis was detected more often in those who drank tap water and was more common in a very mild and localized form. Conclusion. Fluorosis necessitates constant observation, and future study in terms of the intake in Jordan is recommended in terms of all sources. It would not be too soon to note that the supply of drinking water needs to be changed in South Jordan.

1. Introduction

Fluorine is a natural element that has been observed to exist in many mineral forms. Geographic activity such as weather and volcanoes may cause increased its quantity within drinkable water [1]. In the human body, fluorine plays a vital part when it comes to the mineralization of teeth, bones, and other hard tissues. The normal mineralization of bone and dental formation requires small quantities of fluorine which can be obtained from drinking water and consuming food such as seafood, cheese, and tea. Prolonged or excess exposure to high concentrations of fluorine [2, 3] can be toxic, and high concentration of fluoride in serum can impair the skeletal system by calcification of muscular attachments, ligaments, and ossification on histopathologically [4]. Some excess concentration of fluoride can lead to a sluggish and progressive health issue called fluorosis [5], and milk intake shows reduction in severity of symptoms [6]. In many countries in the Middle East, it is seen as a significant health issue [7].

There are few reports on the effect of fluorosis on periodontal health in the literature, but there are some epidemiological studies concerning the prevalence of periodontal disease among population with dental fluorosis, and they show high level of inflammation in fluorosis than nonfluorosis areas [8–11]. On the other hand, some other studies show no relation [12, 13] and others studies show better periodontal status in high fluoridated area [12, 14, 15]. Latest studies show that the presence of fluoride ion has an effect on bacterial and microorganism reduction which affects periodontal status indirectly by reducing the inflammatory process similarly to some other factors such as nutraceutical agents, transforming factor-β (TGF-β), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), and asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA) [16–19]. An appropriate level of fluoride ion within salivary fluid causes enamel demineralization reduction [20–22].
The World Health Organization (WHO) holds that the highest allowed level of the substance in water should not exceed 1.5 mg/l so that issues with bones and teeth can be avoided. "Dental fluorosis" is a condition where both tooth aesthetics and formation are impacted because of a chronic presence of fluoride. Both the enamel development and mineralization are disrupted at the level of intracellular and extracellular [23, 24], and the presence of lesion stemming from fluorosis itself is link to substantial consumption of the same within the critical phase (postsecretory or early maturation phase) where the development of the tooth itself is taking place. At the microscopic level, fluorosis affects the enamel formation by making it more porous. As a result, the greater the fluoride content in the enamel, the more porous the enamel becomes [23, 25–28]. At the structural level, the enamel crystals are arranged normally, but an increase in intercrystalline space causes an increase in porosity [23, 29, 30]. Many epidemiological studies consider that these symptoms are important risk factors associated with other systemic diseases [25, 29, 31–45].

Dental fluorosis had differences in the susceptibility and severity among population. This could be due to genetic variation. Matrix metalloproteinase 20 (MMP20) gene variation was present in population with high exposure to fluoride in drinking water and associated with the less severe phenotypes of dental fluorosis. Thus, single-nucleotide variations (SNVs) considered a marker for lesser dental fluorosis susceptibility [46].

The tooth surface and distribution of fluorosis in the mouth have a very characteristic appearance [23, 25, 39, 40, 47–49]. Fluorosis can develop from birth to eight years old, and the aesthetics of teeth can be affected from birth to six years old. Premolars typically present a larger occurrence of the issue and face a significant amount of damage [33, 35, 50]. In clinical terms, enamel fluorosis presents as white opaque lines or spots and can even show up such as a white parchment on the surface of the tooth itself. At times, severe-to-moderate fluorosis has been observed, and brown stains may also show up because of the absorbing of extrinsic stains caused by the food intake. Discrete pitting is also seen in severe fluorosis at higher fluoride concentrations accompanying extrinsic stains. The distribution of the fluorosis is symmetrical, but severity varies [25, 31–33, 35–38]. As treatment option, the mild form rarely needs treatment, especially if the posterior teeth were the affected one, but for moderate-to-severe cases, especially the teeth were within aesthetic zone. Treatment ranges from microabrasion technique and bleaching or could be extended to resin covering or even partial and complete coverage (veneer and full crown) [35, 51, 52]. Regarding prevalence of dental caries among patients with fluorosis, still there is no clear evidence of any significance relation but more related to oral hygiene level [32]. In 2003, Hamdan et al. investigated dental fluorosis in multiple cities and found a high prevalence in South Jordan. More investigation is required in these areas [53]. The study deals with how prevalent the issue is in South Jordan, where drinking water is unmonitored for fluoride concentration. The region is geographically close to a phosphate factory which increases fluoride concentrations in the surrounding environment due to the phosphate-manufacturing process.

The aim of this study is to observe the prevalence of fluorosis among population of Karak City and compare it with previous study in the same region and worldwide to confirm or reject the pattern that occurs within last decades with increased fluoride uptake due to food, tooth paste, or industrial evolution and uses synthetic fertilizers in agriculture.

2. Materials and Methods

This study looked at 2,512 patients aged between 12 and 52 years (1,158 males and 1,354 females) who sought dental treatment in the Dental Department at Prince Ali ben Al-Hussein Hospital in Karak City between March and December 2018. The Ethics Committee of the Royal Medical Services approved the study. Verbal consent was obtained from each patient by the operator.

Data were recorded from examinations performed by four dentists over a period of 10 months. The training and examination procedures were standardized and calibrated between the examiners by using the same index and by re-examining a sample of patients by different dentists; in addition, one month later, we re-examined the same patients by same dentists who did the previous examination to ensure reliability. Patients provided demographic information through a questionnaire that included personal data such as name, age, gender, social number, and the source of drinking water. All the recordings were obtained in natural daylight using a mouth mirror and following standard infection control guidelines [54]. The Modified Dean’s Fluorosis Index was used for dental assessment [55]:

(i) Unaffected (normal): the enamel had a translucent appearance, and the tooth surface exhibits a glossy, smooth appearance. The colour of such a tooth holds a pale or white shade.

(ii) Questionable: here, the enamel presents some changes from the discussion above. The tooth can present an occasional white fleck or spots. This applies in cases where “definitive determination of the mildest form of fluorosis is not warranted and a classification of unaffected is not justified.”

(iii) Very mild: “small opaque paper-white areas are scattered over the tooth surface but do not involve as much as 25% of the surface.”

(iv) Mild: “white opaque areas on the surface are more extensive but do not involve as much as 50% of the surface.”

(v) Moderate: 50% of the surface presents white opaque patches.

(vi) Severe: the entirety of the tooth’s enamel is impacted. This classification is marked by confluent or discrete pitting.

Statistical Package of Social Science (version 17, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used to develop the statistical
analyses. The study also deployed descriptive statistics to expand on severity, prevalence, and distribution of dental fluorosis.

3. Results

The study sample was made up of 2,512 patients (46.1% male; 53.9% female) with a mean age of 21.5 ± 9.7 years. A total of 1,002 patients (39.9%) had some extent of fluorosis, and it was more commonly observed in females when looking at the majority in the 12- to 30-year-old group (Table 1 and Figure 1). Localized fluorosis was more common (44.7% of the total sample; 67.4% of patients with fluorosis) (Table 2). The fluorosis index ranged dramatically from the lowest and least severe (very mild: 15.0% of the total sample and 37.6% of patients with fluorosis) to the highest index (severe form: 1.6% of the total sample and 3.9% of patients with fluorosis) (Table 3 and Figure 2). Fluorosis was more common in patients who drank tap water (56.8%) and least common in patients who drank treated water (28.1%) in their first 10 years of their life (Table 4 and Figure 3).

4. Discussion

This study was focused on gauging how prevalent the issue was within Karak City, a southern area of Jordan with prevalent fluorosis, and to measure the fluorosis distribution according to gender, drinkable source of water, and its extent and influence. Unsurprisingly, fluorosis caused a rise in the severity and prevalence as its content in drinkable water went up. Moreover, it had a considerably high prevalent in optimal areas. On the other hand, the likelihood of it occurring in this study presented a clear similarity to studies in the United States of America (USA) and Mexico which show increase in prevalence of fluorosis [56, 57]. Hamdan et al. had performed a study in Jordan several years ago. [53]. Furthermore, no major improvements have been undertaken to improve the water source in this area [53]. Interestingly, in 1989, Fraysse et al [58] found that the number stood at a significant 80%. The result is much higher than that reported within the research at hand. There was an apparent variation of the fluorosis prevalence discussed under this study in the context of data from other Arab nations (Table 5).

Under a study conducted by Rugg-Gunn et al. [59] on 14-year-olds in Riyadh, the result showed an 83% enamel mottling among the participants. Akpata et al. [60] studied Hail in Saudi Arabia and observed a result of 90% among children of school-going age. Vigild et al. [61] looked at low fluoride areas in Kuwait and found a 6% prevalence when looking at the 12–15 age group. The result is significantly smaller than that of this research.

Sudan has an endemic when it comes to dental fluorosis. This is true even for areas with low fluoride. Ibrahim et al. [62] conducted a study that showed results between 91 and 100%, where 91% was noted in low areas and 100% in high ones. The difference in prevalence is a partial reflection of the difference in how diagnostic criteria are applied, the method of sampling, or the quantity of fluoride consumed from multiple places.

Fluorosis seems to have a higher trend today than in the period between the 1940s and 2010s [56, 63]. The rise can be attributed to the increase in the number of sources with the substance, including topical applications, dietary supplements, dental hygiene rinses, and dentifrices. However, no substantial body of evidence proves that these elements impact or influence high prevalence of mottling within this work; this is especially true for South Jordan (Karak, Tafila, and Ma’an); furthermore, the sources mentioned are not accessible for children.

Temperature variation can have an impact on severity when it comes to the South. It has been observed that when the highest daily temperature experiences a hike, the water intake does as well [64]. Drinking water, therefore, could also see an increase for children when temperatures hit a mean of 23°C. Consuming water is the easiest solution to

| Table 1: Incidence of fluorosis grouped by patient age. |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Age group (years) | No fluorosis | Fluorosis | Total |
|------------------|-------------|-----------|-------|
| 12–20            | 622         | 896       | 1518  |
| 21–30            | 449         | 95        | 544   |
| 31–40            | 295         | 11        | 306   |
| >40              | 144         | 0         | 144   |
| Total            | 1510 (60.1%)| 1002 (39.9%)| 2512  |

| Table 2: Location of incidence of fluorosis. |
|----------------------------------------------|
| Location of fluorosis | Localized | Generalized | Normal | Total |
|-----------------------|-----------|-------------|--------|-------|
| No fluorosis          | 0         | 0           | 1510   | 1510  |
| Fluorosis             | 675       | 327         | 0      | 1002  |
| Total                 | 675       | 327         | 1510   | 2512  |

Figure 1: Incidence of fluorosis grouped by gender.
such temperatures because it is inexperienced and readily available, unlike other sources. This aspect could have a role in the heightened level of the substances being consumed by children.

Phosphate mines are another significant contributor to the issue. Around 60% of the land in the country is covered in these mines, given that it is the fifth largest producer of phosphate rock. Jordan is also the second largest exporter in the world when it comes to these rocks. These rocks can contain between two and four percent fluorine [65]. This is seen as a significant element impacting the severity and high prevalence of fluorosis. In Tafila, Ma’an, and Karak, fluorides can be emitted in solid and gaseous forms. When in solid state, they are emitted as particles, whereas the gas is produced as silicone tetrafluoride and hydrogen fluoride. Plants that are covered in these particles or have absorbed this as a gas can eventually affect the human respiratory system.

Team is also a high contributor to the issue. Many people that live in South Jordan fall within lower-income groups and are classified as poor. Children consume a considerable amount of tea, and it is the liquid they consume the most after water. It has an impact to the total amount of fluoride that children will consume. Fraysse [58] was of the view that the 80% result of their study found was linked to the high annual mean temperature, which caused the subjects to drink more water and therefore augment their intake of fluoride as a result. Estimates show that tea can add around 2.7 mg of fluoride on a daily basis to a child’s diet, alongside that of an adult [66, 67].

Females were shown to be more susceptible to fluorosis than males, which corresponds with previous studies. In addition, fluorosis is most common in the 12-20-year-old patients (89.3% of total patients with fluorosis; 59.0% of the group) [53].

Fluorosis can alter tooth surface and cause pitting and porosity which enhance the adherence of bacteria which in role increase inflammatory process and cause gingival inflammation; in addition to effect on cementocytes and the formation of hypercementosis in roots which may cause difficulty in scaling and root planning, this may affect periodontium directly or indirectly [68, 69]. Having optimal fluoride level can positively affect the periodontal health by reducing the bacterial growth and gingival inflammation [70].

According to severity and location, dental fluorosis cosmetic awareness of patients can be well noticed and needs

| Source of water in first 10 years after birth | Tab water | Treated water | Well water/hand plumb water | Mixed | Total |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------|---------------|----------------------------|-------|-------|
| No fluorosis                                | 440 (43.2%) | 717 (71.9%)   | 183 (56.1%)                | 170 (100.0%) | 1510 (60.1%) |
| Fluorosis                                   | 579 (56.8%) | 280 (28.1%)   | 143 (43.9%)                | 0 (0.0%) | 1002 (39.9%) |
| Total                                       | 1019 (100.0%) | 997 (100.0%)  | 326 (100.0%)               | 170 (100.0%) | 2512 (100.0%) |

Table 3: Distribution of fluorosis according to the Dean’s Fluorosis Index.

Figure 2: Distribution of fluorosis according to the Dean’s Fluorosis Index.

Table 4: Incidence of fluorosis grouped by source of water in first 10 years after birth.
to be dealt with. A lot of studies regarding the best of
treatment still had some controversy ranging from con-
servative procedures (such as microabrasion and bleaching)
to nonconservative one (such as veneer and full crown)
finally with in between (Resin coverage) or even no treat-
ment in mild case or when affected tooth/teeth away from
aesthetic zone with advantage and disadvantage for each
procedure [35, 52, 71].
In conclusion, the prevalence of fluorosis requires
continuous monitoring and further investigation is needed
into the sources that contribute to total fluoride intake in
Jordan. Whilst drinking water is the major factor contrib-
uting to fluoride intake, we must consider the contribution
from multiple sources such as tooth paste and any industrial
waste and pollution with fluorine element. In addition,
health education and community awareness for preventing
fluorosis are needed, for early intervention to reduce the
consequences on dental and periodontal health status.
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