Economic report on the cost of dengue fever in Vietnam: case of a provincial hospital
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Background: Dengue is a mosquito-borne viral illness with the world’s fastest rate of infection. In 2014, Vietnam had recorded 43,000 cases in 53 provinces, with 28 deaths.

Materials and methods: A 6-month cross-sectional study was conducted from September 2015 to March 2016 at Cu Chi General Hospital. Cost of illness in this study was estimated under the incidence-based approach from the societal perspective.

Results: The average cost per case was US$139.3 ± $61.7. The average cost per child was higher than per adult, but not significant ($151.0 ± $63.5 and $132.7 ± $59.9, respectively; \( P=0.068 \)). Meanwhile, 50.2% of the total cost was contributed by the cost of hospital bed. According to the sensitivity analysis, if both the costs of the hospital bed and ultrasound were reduced by 10%, the total treatment cost of dengue fever would fall by 5% and 1.6%, respectively.

Conclusion: This study is expected to be the basis for investment-plan formulation and fund allocation for the treatment and prevention of dengue. In an attempt to examine the entire socioeconomic encumbrance caused by the dengue virus, a larger scale study targeting both dengue and dengue hemorrhagic fever needs to be conducted in several hospitals.
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Introduction

Dengue is known for being the world fastest-spreading infectious mosquito-borne viral illness,\(^1\) which varies itself into four genetically distinct dengue virus serotypes, namely DEN1, DEN2, DEN3, and DEN4, of the genus \textit{Flavivirus}, and is transmitted by \textit{Aedes aegypti} and \textit{A. albopitius} mosquitoes.\(^2,3\) These virus variants cause dengue with a great range of symptomatic expressions, including dengue fever (DF) and severe dengue.\(^1,4\) The tropics and subtropics are highly susceptible to the disease, and local virus variations are likely to happen due to certain propitious environmental factors, such as temperature and rainfall, as well as uncontrolled fast-paced urbanization.\(^5\) Children are at a higher risk of severe dengue.\(^6\) Sequential heterotypic infections are also common in dengue-endemic areas.\(^7\)

Not until 1970 had nine countries undergone dengue epidemics.\(^8\) Nevertheless, over 100 countries in the World Health Organization (WHO) regions of Africa, America, Eastern Mediterranean, Southeast Asia, and Western Pacific have now experienced it as endemic.\(^9\) A recent estimate indicates 390 million dengue infections per year, of which 96 million (67–136 million) manifest clinically (with any severity of disease).\(^1\) According to the WHO’s announcement in 2015, dengue has spread in many countries, especially in the Asia-Pacific region, with a record of 85,488 cases.\(^10\) In 2014, Vietnam recorded 43,000 cases in 53 provinces, with 28
analyzed data on 168 patients. Furthermore, Tam et al showed middling expenses for dengue treatment in Can Tho province, Vietnam, at $167.77 ± $141.74 compared to $61.36 in Ho Chi Minh City in 2005. Annual cost, including surveillance and vector control, was $170 (95% confidence interval [CI]: $151–$292) million or $1.56 (95% CI: $1.38–$2.68) per capita in Mexico between 2010 and 2011. According to Edillo et al, the direct medical cost associated with dengue was $345 million or $3.26 per capita in the Philippines in 2015. To provide accurate and timely information about the economic impacts of DF, this study endeavors to present treatment costs from a societal perspective at Cu Chi General Hospital, Vietnam.

Materials and methods

Study site

Cu Chi district is located in northwest Ho Chi Minh City, southern Vietnam, and accounts for 20.74% of the acreage of the entire city at a distance of 50 km from the city center. Cu Chi is the economic, political, and cultural center of the district, with a tropical monsoon climate, equatorial nature, wet season from April to November, and average yearly rainfall of 1,535 mm. This study was conducted at Cu Chi General Hospital, a level II hospital with a capacity of 1,000 beds.

Study design

In this study, cost-of-illness estimates followed the incidence-based approach from the societal perspective of cost of illness of DF. The study-sample formula was based on Lemeshow et al study. A pilot study was carried out with 12 patients, where results showed that the standard deviation of the cost of treatment of dengue was $28.92 (d=4.5, 95% CI associated with Z_{1-\alpha/2} = 1.96, refusal rate 5%). After the calculation with the formulation, the sample size was 166 patients. In the process of collecting data, there may have been some cases to cause bias, so the sample size was expanded to 170 patients. The study was conducted for about 6 months between September 2015 and March 2016 at Cu Chi General Hospital. While analyzing the data of 170 patients, we found two cases of noncompliance with treatment guidelines; therefore, we analyzed data on 168 patients.

Data collection

The population of this study consisted of patients with a diagnosis based on the WHO’s International Classification of Diseases (ICD)-10, codes A90–A91, during the selection window (Figure 1). Research assistants interviewed patients or their caregivers using a structured questionnaire from a previous study in Vietnam to gather information about the socioeconomic circumstances and health-seeking behavior of patients, expense information associated with the illness episode and the clinical diagnosis, and direct medical costs of each enrolled patient by querying the Cu Chi General Hospital electronic database. The study process had two steps: 1) in the face-to-face survey, general characteristics of participants (age, sex, occupation, income, history of disease, etc) and treatment cost, including prehospitalization and hospitalization periods, were collected; and 2) in the follow-up telephone interview, research assistants conducted telephone interviews with patients or any members of their family with good understanding about the illness and the relevant expenses needed to treat dengue symptoms within 7–10 days posthospitalization. The duration of dengue was defined from the day first occurrence of dengue symptoms until the day of complete disappearance of said symptoms. If a patient still had symptoms on the first follow-up telephone call, a further call at 7–10 days after was conducted.

Cost components

Cost-of-illness estimates in this study were incidence-based from a societal perspective, and hence considered all costs, irrespective of who incurred them. The costs consisted of direct and indirect costs.

Direct cost components

Direct cost in this study included direct medical cost and direct nonmedical cost. Medical service-utilization data included costs of hospital bed, laboratory tests, operation, pharmaceutical, medical material, informal payments incurred, and the health care system in the prehospitalization, hospitalization, and posthospitalization periods. Unit costs of medical services were measured with reference to Trung et al. Self-reported illness-related nonmedical cost items, such as meals, transport, accommodation, and informal care costs, were collected from patients and their family members.

Indirect cost components

Indirect costs or loss of production are defined as costs that occur to society related to loss of production, due either to the disease or its treatment. Studies generally distinguish...
among short-term work, school, or other activity losses due to sickness absence.

Data analyses
Analyses were conducted at the episode level, and thus included patients with one or more episodes. All analyses were conducted using descriptive statistics using Microsoft Excel 2013, used to calculate the demographic characteristics and mean costs with standard deviation. Subgroup analysis of dengue costs was performed based on a previous study in Vietnam,23 eg, patient age \( \leq 15 \) years and \( >15 \) years. When relevant, appropriate statistical tests were used to compare subgroup panels to determine among these groups whether significant differences in cost of treatment existed by using the Kruskal–Wallis test and Wilcoxon test.25,26 Statistical significance was considered when \( P<0.05 \). A sensitivity analysis was performed using the average cost of the case series as the baseline cost of illness, and upper and lower scenarios were developed for patient care to determine the impact on the total cost. In order to avoid bias, patients who died prematurely were excluded from the data-analysis set. All costs were measured in terms of Vietnamese currency (dong \( \text{đ} \)) and converted to US dollars using the exchange rate with $1 = \text{đ} 21.833.27

Ethical consideration
Written informed consent was obtained from adult participants and parents or guardians on behalf of children participants involved in the study and before specimen collection and questionnaire survey. The research protocol was approved by the biomedical research ethics council at the University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Ho Chi Minh City.

Results
The proportion of adults suffering from DF (64.3%) is on the rise and almost double that of children (35.7%), despite the prevalence of infection in children in recent years. Epidemiological factors of sex and morbidity were essentially not correlated with each other by statistical cause. The results showed that the percentage of mobility between men and women was relatively even (49.4% and 50.6%) (Table 1).
Creatinine substances, and urea at 83.9%, 73.2%, 70.8%, and 70.2%, respectively. Meanwhile, tests for electrolytes and ultrasound showed the lowest proportions: 65.5% and 63.7%, respectively. Chlorpheniramine 4 mg was the most common drug choice (63.1%). Paracetamol 650 mg, magnesium gluconate, paracetamol 500 mg, and probiotics followed, with of 50.6%, 49.4%, 42.3%, and 31.5%, respectively.

According to the data in Table 3, in total the average cost per case was $139.3 ± $61.7. The average cost per child was higher than per adult, but not significant ($151 ± $63.5 and $132.7 ± $59.9, respectively; P = 0.068). The lowest cost per case was $37.9, while the highest was $345.9. Average direct medical cost, direct nonmedical cost, and indirect cost per case were $47.1 ± $31.9, $41.1 ± $38, and $51 ± $22.7, respectively. Specifically, the average cost of hospitalization was approximately thrice that of average prehospitalization and posthospitalization, at $102.7 ± $49.5, $32.1 ± $26.5, and $31.7 ± $20.1, respectively. Results showed the average direct nonmedical cost of child patients was twice that of adults and significant ($66.6 ± $33.1 and $27 ± $33, respectively; P < 0.001).

In prehospitalization period, we estimated the total cost was $32.1 ± $26.5 per case, including $10.7 ± $16.4 for direct medical costs, $9.5 ± $10.1 for direct nonmedical costs, and $12 ± $7.7 for indirect costs. However, average prehospitalization costs for the two groups of different ages in this study were not similar. Specifically, the average direct nonmedical cost of child patients was twice that of adults and significant ($16.1 ± $8.5 and $5.8 ± $9, respectively; P = 0.025). However, Patient with DF is one of the beneficiaries for health insurance as prescribed by the Ministry of Health of Vietnam. Depending on the type of health insurance, patients with insurance will be paid different percentages. While a quarter of survey patients were not entitled to health insurance, the remaining three-quarters are paid most of the cost of 80% (62.5%), and only a small percentage (about 5.9%) was 100% covered by insurance for costs. Days lost for patients and caregivers were 7.8 ± 2.9 and 7.6 ± 2.1 days, respectively.

Those treated at a private clinic before hospitalization amounted to 43.5% of patients (Table 2). Prehospitalization treatment in pharmacy was nearly 40%, 16% received no prehospitalization treatment, and only one case reported self-medication. For laboratory tests, total blood-cell analysis by laser counter (22TS) had the highest percentage use (over 85%), followed by rapid-test dengue NS1 Ig, AST/ALT, and

### Table 1 Demographic characteristics of enrolled patients (n=168)

| Characteristic       | Value       | %     |
|----------------------|-------------|-------|
| **Age (years)**      |             |       |
| Mean ± SD            | 23.2±13.3   |       |
| <15                  | 60          | 35.7  |
| >15                  | 108         | 64.3  |
| Range                | 4–78        |       |
| **Sex**              |             |       |
| Male                 | 83          | 49.4  |
| Female               | 85          | 50.6  |
| **Occupation**       |             |       |
| Student              | 67          | 39.9  |
| Farmer               | 8           | 4.8   |
| Worker               | 55          | 32.7  |
| State official       | 10          | 6.1   |
| Businessman          | 2           | 1.2   |
| Domestic             | 10          | 6.1   |
| Other                | 16          | 9.4   |
| **History of dengue**|             |       |
| Yes                  | 12          | 7.1   |
| No                   | 156         | 92.9  |
| **Health insurance (%)**|       |       |
| 0                    | 42          | 25    |
| 50                   | 7           | 4.2   |
| 80                   | 105         | 62.5  |
| 95                   | 4           | 2.4   |
| 100                  | 10          | 5.9   |
| **Income (US$)**     |             |       |
| Mean ± SD            | 202±74      |       |
| Ensure living quality| 122         | 72.6  |
| **Days lost (mean ± SD)**|       |       |
| Patient              |             |       |
| Prehospitalization    | 1.8±1       |       |
| Hospitalization       | 5.2±2.1     |       |
| Posthospitalization   | 3.6±2.4     |       |
| Total                | 7.8±2.9     |       |
| Caregiver            | 7.6±2.1     |       |

**Abbreviation:** SD, standard deviation.

Patient with DF is one of the beneficiaries for health insurance as prescribed by the Ministry of Health of Vietnam. Depending on the type of health insurance, patients with insurance will be paid different percentages. While a quarter of survey patients were not entitled to health insurance, the remaining three-quarters are paid most of the cost of 80% (62.5%), and only a small percentage (about 5.9%) was 100% covered by insurance for costs. Days lost for patients and caregivers were 7.8±2.9 and 7.6±2.1 days, respectively.

Those treated at a private clinic before hospitalization amounted to 43.5% of patients (Table 2). Prehospitalization treatment in pharmacy was nearly 40%, 16% received no prehospitalization treatment, and only one case reported self-medication. For laboratory tests, total blood-cell analysis by laser counter (22TS) had the highest percentage use (over 85%), followed by rapid-test dengue NS1 Ig, AST/ALT, and

### Table 2 Health care center prehospitalization period and generally used medical service in the treatment of dengue

| Characteristic                               | n  | %     |
|----------------------------------------------|----|-------|
| **Prehospitalization health care center**    |    |       |
| Private clinic                               | 73 | 43.5  |
| Pharmacy                                     | 67 | 39.9  |
| Self-medication                              | 1  | 0.6   |
| No treatment                                 | 27 | 16    |
| **Laboratory test**                          |    |       |
| Total blood-cell analysis by laser counter (22TS)| 144| 85.7  |
| AST/ALT                                      | 123| 73.2  |
| Electrolytes (Na, K, Cl)                     | 110| 65.5  |
| Quantification of urea                       | 118| 70.2  |
| Quantification of creatinine substances      | 119| 70.8  |
| Rapid-test dengue NS1 Ig                     | 141| 83.9  |
| Ultrasonic                                   | 107| 63.7  |
| **Pharmaceutical**                           |    |       |
| Magnesium gluconate                          | 83 | 49.4  |
| Probiotics                                    | 53 | 31.5  |
| Chlorphenamine 4 mg                          | 106| 63.1  |
| Paracetamol 650 mg                           | 85 | 50.6  |
| Paracetamol 500 mg                           | 71 | 42.3  |

Patient with DF is one of the beneficiaries for health insurance as prescribed by the Ministry of Health of Vietnam. Depending on the type of health insurance, patients with insurance will be paid different percentages. While a quarter of survey patients were not entitled to health insurance, the remaining three-quarters are paid most of the cost of 80% (62.5%), and only a small percentage (about 5.9%) was 100% covered by insurance for costs. Days lost for patients and caregivers were 7.8±2.9 and 7.6±2.1 days, respectively.

Those treated at a private clinic before hospitalization amounted to 43.5% of patients (Table 2). Prehospitalization treatment in pharmacy was nearly 40%, 16% received no prehospitalization treatment, and only one case reported self-medication. For laboratory tests, total blood-cell analysis by laser counter (22TS) had the highest percentage use (over 85%), followed by rapid-test dengue NS1 Ig, AST/ALT,
the average direct medical cost and the average indirect cost of the two groups of patients were not very different. This led to conformity when the prehospitalization total cost per children was higher than the adult cases. In the hospitalization period, the average cost was $102.7±$49.5, of which average direct medical costs, average direct nonmedical costs, and total average indirect costs were $38.2±$29.3, $35±$28.2, and $29.5±$17.5, respectively. In the two groups, the average total costs of hospitalization also showed disparity. Specifically, children’s total cost of hospitalization was $108.6±$57.5, which was higher than adults’ ($99.5±$44.5) (P=0.028). The indirect cost of children in hospital was $48.6±$13.9.

In the posthospitalization period, no treatment was reported, yet patients were still not able to work or go to school. Therefore, this led to a change in direct nonmedical cost and indirect cost of $12±$13.8 and $21.3±$13.8, respectively. Generally, direct medical cost and indirect cost of the child group were lower than those of the adult group, but the direct nonmedical cost was significantly higher ($66.6±$33.1 and $26.7±$33, respectively; P<0.001). This result is reasonable for children who cannot take care of themselves and have more nourishment needs.

The components of direct medical cost per patient included $10.7±$16.4 for health care services, $19.4±$19.3 for hospital-bed cost, $4±$3.2 for diagnosis, $13.5±$8.1 for the operation, $5.1±$8.9 for pharmaceuticals, and $1±$1.8 for medical supplies. In total direct medical cost, the cost of a hospital bed was the highest ($3,224.9), in contrast to the cost of medical supplies, which was the lowest ($134.9) (Table 4). For direct nonmedical cost components, there was transportation cost, meal cost, and caregiver cost: $3±$5.8, $14.6±$7.3, and $52.1±$24.9, respectively.

The costs of a hospital bed, diagnosis, and productivity loss in adults were larger than those of children and significant (respectively: $22.3±$23.1 vs $14.4±$6.7, P=0.001; $4.4±$2.8 vs $3.1±$3.7, P=0.019; $52.9±$25.1 vs $47.6±$17.3, P<0.001). Nevertheless, children had higher meal cost than adults, which was significant ($18.9±$6.4 vs $12.1±$6.7, P<0.001). The rest of the average costs did not show any considerable difference between children and adults (Table 4).

**Discussion**

The cross-sectional study, which was conducted from September 2015 to March 2016 at Cu Chi General Hospital, resulted in the successfully calculated treatment cost of DF. The average age of patients was 23.2±13.3 years compared to national average ages of 24±7.2 years in 2011 and a Pakistan study of 30.4±13.5 years. Other studies in Southeast Asia and across four Brazilian regions also shared the commonality of having the same age-group with the highest proportion
This shows the sensitivity of the virus to adapt and escalate with age in the patient’s body, despite the weaker immune system and higher susceptibility to viral antigens in children. Nevertheless, adults are more easily exposed to the surrounding environment, as well as the causes of these infections.

Students (39.9%) and workers (32.7%) were the two groups with the highest rate of infection. This was also found in another study in Vietnam, with an approximate measure of 30% of infected cases in the worker group. The percentage of uninsured adults was trivial (3%); therefore, the awareness of patients of health insurance has been enhanced since 2011 (73%). Wherever health insurance coverage is high, the treatment cost of dengue in adult patients and their family is significantly reduced, and this suggests a direct impact on the economy and income of patients and their families. Although the percentage of history of dengue was trivial (7%), this still proves that dengue may reappear in patients who have had it before. Commonly, an individual’s immune system will adapt to and repel whichever virus type has previously intruded the body, yet the chance of cross-infection caused by a different type of virus is possible. The risk of dengue in a human lifetime could quadruple, due to four variants of the dengue virus: DEN1, DEN2, DEN3, and DEN4.1,31

The average length of stay per episode was similar to that in a study in Can Tho province in 2012 (2.5, 9.7, and 6 days for prehospitalization, hospitalization, and posthospitalization, respectively) and a study in Cambodia in 2009 (4.8±1.6 days).4,13 In contrast, a study in Pakistan in 2011 showed incomparable lengths of stay in the three stages of treatment (6.25±4, 6.76±5, and 18.89±9 days, respectively), but can be explained by the severity of the disease.28

The total average cost of treating DF per case among all ages was $139.2±$61.7 (79% of monthly gross domestic product [GDP] in 2015), which was considerably more than the reported results from other studies in Vietnam: $167.77 (range $4.5–$1,208.18) in Can Tho province and $61.36 (range $5.34–$280.57) in Ho Chi Minh City.13,14 However, compared to other countries in Southeast Asia, the cost of treatment in our country was lower: the average cost of treatment in Malaysia was $863.21 in 2012 (127% of the 2012 monthly GDP), $634.87 in Thailand in 2010 (157% of monthly GDP), and $3,008.47 in Singapore in 2011 (86% of monthly GDP).29 Our study also found out the average treatment cost per case was $151±$63.5 for children (≤15 years) and $132.7±$59.9 for adults (>15 years), with no significant difference (P>0.05). This matches the discovery in another study in Vietnam in which the average cost was $41 for children and $127 for adults in public hospitals.23

Meanwhile, in Cuba the cost of treatment was $296.60 per episode (including only hospitalized cases).32 In particular, the direct medical cost lower than indirect costs (28.7% and 37.2%, respectively), a similarity that was found in a study in Cambodia, but different from other studies around the world.28,33 According to other studies, indirect costs are much higher than direct costs, due to the fact that Vietnam and Cambodia are countries with low–average income,2 so the cost of productivity loss is less than middle- and high-income countries.
The Kruskal–Wallis test was conducted to distinguish among these groups in an attempt to conclude significant differences in cost of treatment existed, and the results showed that there was only one difference between having treatment at home before going to hospital; for the rest, there was no difference at all ($P>0.05$). This means that when patients had treatment before hospitalization, the total cost was lower than for those who did not have prehospitalization treatment ($P=0.037$). Specifically, indirect costs did not affect treatment elements as understood, as patients considered this cost to be negligible ($P>0.05$), and whenever hospitalization was necessary, patients were concerned only about the direct cost ($P<0.001$).

While comparing the components of cost of treatment, we found that hospital-bed cost took up a large proportion (50.2%) compared to other components’ cost. Therefore, a sensitivity analysis was conducted to estimate the impact of component cost on total treatment cost (Figure 2). Accordingly, if the cost of hospital bed reduced by 10%, the total cost of dengue treatment will fall by 5%, and if the cost for ultrasonic operation also decreased by 10%, treatment costs will undergo a reduction of 1.6%. This result is similar to another on the level of the impact in terms of the total cost of hospital-bed days of treatment for dengue. In Philippines research in 2015, to change the costs/day of a bed from the lowest to the highest level, total costs would fluctuate between $-32\%$ and $+15\%$. The results of this study can be used as a reference to support health insurance in weighing up the costs at a reasonable rate to reduce the economic burden for patients in the treatment of DF.

### Limitations

Although Cu Chi district is a hotspot of dengue incidence, the sample size of this study only showed the data of one province and is not representative of the whole of Vietnam. Further, the unit cost of this study was calculated based on Vo et al; therefore, study data can have some biases but not critical.

### Conclusion

The average cost of treating DF was $139.27±$61.68 with inclusion of both direct and indirect costs. Meanwhile, 50.2% of the total cost was hospital-bed cost. Furthermore, children received cost more in total costs of treatment than adults ($151.03±$63.52 and $132.73±$59.94, respectively; $P=0.068$). With a GDP per capita of $2,110 ($175.8 per month), this is a considerable loss for a middling Vietnamese family. This study illustrates that DF is a considerable socioeconomic burden in southern Vietnam. Therefore, the introduction of a potential DF vaccine is impulse the quantification process in the burden of dengue-related diseases, which could only be estimated by conducting a larger scale study with both DF and dengue hemorrhagic fever in several hospitals. This result will be the basis for formulating investment plans and allocating funds for the treatment and prevention of this disease.

**Figure 2** Sensitivity analysis of cost of illness for dengue to change in intensity of care (percentage change in total cost).
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