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Abstract

Radiotherapy (RT), the major anti-cancer modality for more than half of cancer patients after diagnosis, has the advantage of local tumor control with relatively less systematic side effects comparing to chemotherapy. However, the efficacy of RT is limited by acquired tumor resistance leading to the risks of relapse and metastasis. To further enhance the efficacy of RT, with the renaissances of targeted immunotherapy (TIT), increasing interests are raised on RT combined with TIT including cancer vaccines, T-cell therapy, and antibody-based immune checkpoint blockers (ICB) such as anti-CTLA-4 and anti-PD1/PD-L1. In achieving a significant synergy between RT and TIT, the dynamics of radiation-induced response in tumor cells and stromal cells, especially the cross-talk between tumor cells and immune cells in the irradiated tumor microenvironment (ITME) as highlighted in recent literature are to be elucidated. The abscopal effect referring the RT-induced priming function outside of ITME could be compromised by the immune-suppressive factors such as CD47 and PD-L1 on tumor cells and Treg induced or enhanced in the ITME. Cell surface receptors temporally or permanently induced and bioactive elements released from dead cells could serve antigenic source (radiation-associated antigenic proteins, RAAPs) to the host and have functions in immune regulation on the tumor. This review is attempted to summarize a cluster of factors that are inducible by radiation and targetable by antibodies, or have potential to be immune regulators to synergize tumor control with RT. Further characterization of immune regulators in ITME will deepen our understanding of the interplay among immune regulators in ITME and discover new effective targets for the combined modality with RT and TIT.
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Introduction

Both pro- and anti-tumor immune responses could be induced in tumor microenvironment under therapeutic radiation. The anti-tumor response (the abscopal effect) is observed in several human cancers treated with RT and the potential synergy for enhancing the cancer control is indicated in the combined therapy with RT and TIT [1-3]. In addition to the well-defined checkpoint inhibitors PD-1 and PD-L1, exploration of other potential immune targets that are responsive to radiation in ITME will provide critical information in inventing more effective therapeutic modalities. Among the numerous IR-induced proteins, only a few of them are enhanced or induced temporally or permanently on the surface of tumor cells and could be targetable by immune approaches. Except the well-studied ‘direct targets’ of radiation such as DNA molecule in the nucleus, accumulating reports revealed ‘indirect targets’ on
stromal cells in the irradiated local microenvironment as well as the cells distant to the tumor [4, 5]. One of the observed indirect effects is the radiation-induced reconstruction of tumor microenvironment including release of cytokines from the irradiated cells, recruitment of antigen presenting cells, and expressions of new molecules or enhancement of original receptors at the cell membrane of tumor cells or immune cells [2, 6-9]. Radiation is shown to increase the diversity of the T-cell receptor (TCR) repertoire of intratumoral T cells [10]. Recent data generated by RT combined with TIT to treat metastatic cancer support the concept that the indirect effects of ionizing radiation (IR) are at least in part due to systemic antitumor immunity [11]. In addition, RT with TIT shows a synergistic potentiation in improving immune repertoire [12, 13] and enhances cell surface epitopes processed and presented in RT treated tumors [5]. These results resuscitate the long-time holding abscopal effect which is in favor of a pro-immune and anti-cancer direction [14-16]. Thus, the challenge could be further characterization and identification of such pro-immune elements in the ITME.

In the ITME, RT-associated pro-immune factors could be mixed with the radiation-mediated immune suppressors such as inhibition on M2 macrophage transformation and recruitment of immune modulator cells [17-19]. It is thus suggested that the strategy of RT/TIT will meet several challenges including the absence or downregulation of major histocompatibility antigens (MHC), weak expression or presentation of antigenic proteins, and anti-inflammatory environment in irradiated tumor microenvironment [20]. Such IR-associated pro-tumor immune response [21] could severely compromise the RT-induced priming immune response (abscopal effect, in the following section). Thus to enhance the tumor response to RT-TIT, the balance of anti-/pro-cancer immune regulation [2] needs to be further investigated. With the increasing interests and potential clinical benefits of RT combined with TIT, multiple level regulations observed in irradiated tumor microenvironment including tumor vasculature, immune elements, antigen visibility, stromal and immunological alternations are well discussed in a series of seminal reviews [4, 22, 23]. The timing and dynamics of specific immune elements induced or enhanced in a given ITME is to be investigated. Thus, current under-focused developments are the RT-induced cell surface molecules on tumor cells that can be RT-inducible and immune-targetable as well.

**Abscopal effect**

Radiation-associated potential priming function in immune regulation is a long recognized phenomenon [24-26]. The term abscopal effect created by RH Mole describes a relatively rare situation that “an action at a distance from the irradiated volume but within the same organism” [27]. It has been observed that systemic immune modulatory factors induced by radiation have distinct immune effects on cancer cells [28]. Although the exact mechanism remains to be elucidated, the abscopal effect is linked with the response of untreated lesions via a process termed “immunogenic cell death” (ICD) that helps the release of endogenous damage associated molecular patterns (DAMPs). Some of the DAMPs including calreticulin (eat me signaling), high-mobility group box 1 protein (HMGB1), and adenosine triphosphate (ATP) have been identified to play a role in activating the immune system and causing antigen presentation to T cells [4, 29, 30] (Fig. 1). For instance, the HMGB1 acts as a pro-inflammatory mediator to enhance the generation of cytokines such as TNFα, IL-1, IL-6, and IL-8 [31] and tumor antigen presentation by binding to the toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) on dendritic cells (DCs). In addition, the released DNA fragments from radiation-induced cell death are also able to stimulate the expression of interferon gene (STING) in DCs and thus to enhance DCs cross-priming [32]. As low as a single dose of 0.5 Gy ionizing irradiation is shown to recruit NOS2-expressing macrophages to the tumors and increase T cell infiltration in the irradiated tumors [33], indicating a potential synergy on tumor control by RT combined with targeted immunotherapy.

Accumulating reports indicate that irradiated tumor cells can release an array of chemokines including CXCL16 and CXCL10 to enhance the expression of adhesion molecules E-selectin and ICAM-1 in endothelial cells and MHC, Fas, ICAM-1, and NKG2D ligands [35-41]. The potential abscopal function is recently well-summarized with RT-treated 23 clinical cases in an array of human cancers [42]. Another decent review has further demonstrated the abscopal effect in clinical RT treatments due to immunogenic cell death via releasing HMGB1 [30] as well as tumor neoantigens (a term used to describe a patient-specific tumor antigen resulted from mutations during oncogenesis or by RT) [43, 44]. During this process, radiation derived DAMPs is required for APCs (antigen-presenting cells) activation and phagocytosis [30]. Additionally, IR induces NK2GD on cancer cells resulting in the promotion of natural killer cells’ cytotoxic response [45]. All of these actions are able to lead the visualization of tumor cells by immune system with systemic immune stimulations, which not only
attracts primary tumors but is also believed to have an inhibitory function on metastatic lesions.

According to the meta-analysis by Marconi et al, the abscopal effect shows a dose-dependent pattern in response to IR [46]. Although the optimum dose required for maximizing abscopal effect remains controversial [30, 47], identification of ideal RT scheme such as low or high fractionated doses may generate groundbreaking advantage in clinical practice. On the other hand, the molecular mechanism underlying abscopal effect is to be elucidated. Among the signaling pathways responsible for genotoxic stresses, the transcription factor NF-κB, a master regulator in inflammation and acute innate immune response, is well-defined to be sensitive to radiation stress and immune regulation [48, 49] and has been linked with abscopal effect [50, 51]. Since the target genes of NF-κB can be induced in both tumor cells and immune cells in ITME, in the following section, NF-κB-mediated gene regulation in radiation-associated immune response is discussed.

**NF-κB effector genes in radiation-associated immune response**

NF-κB is a crucial transcription factor that regulates a wide scale of genes involved in both cell stress and immunity responses [8, 49, 52]. NF-κB modulates effector genes involved in cellular stress responses, cytokines production, cell survival and metastasis via different homo- or hetero-dimerization of subunits RelA/p65, c-Rel, RelB, p50, and p52 [53, 54]. Generally, the activation of NF-κB functions in two major mechanisms, i.e., canonical and non-canonical pathways (Fig. 2). Radiation-induced NF-κB is mostly mediated via IKK-dependent canonical pathway. In addition to ROS-mediated activation of protein kinase in cell membrane receptors such as TNF-α [55, 56] and HER2 [57, 58], the signals of IR-induced DNA damages in the nucleus can activate ATM/SUMO/NEMO pathway to enhance NF-κB nuclear translocation and gene transcription [59]. This response is generally viewed as NF-κB-mediated DNA repair mechanism which influences the intrinsic radiation sensitivity and adaptive capacity of tumor cells in ITME such as the activation of IKKα/IKKβ/NEMO-dependent or -independent signaling pathways under the stress of genotoxicity [60]. In the non-canonical pathway, RelB/p52 is activated depending upon the inducible p100 involved in lymphoid organogenesis, B-cell survival and maturation, DCs activation and bone metabolism [61].
Figure 2. Activation of NF-κB in ITME. Radiation can induce NF-κB activation in both tumor and stromal cells including immune cells in ITME. The subunits RelA (p65), c-Rel, RelB, p105/p50, and p100/p52 can form active homo- or hetero- dimeric complex and activated by the canonical and non-canonical pathway [62, 63]. Radiation-induced NF-κB is mainly via canonical pathway that is divided into two categories: IKK-dependent or IKK-independent. The IκB is degraded upon phosphorylation by IKK which relocates NF-κB dimer (p50/p65) to nucleus for transcription of radiation-target genes including RAAPs such as CD47, HER2, and PD-1/PD-L1. UV or hypoxia activates NF-κB via IKK-independent phosphorylation of IκB in which RelB/p52 is activated via metabolism of p100. On the other hand, radiation-induced DNA damage activates nuclear ATM that also enhances NF-κB via regulation of IκK activity [8, 9, 64]. The reactive oxygen species (ROS) produced by radiation triggers the NF-κB signaling in cytoplasm by IKK complex phosphorylation [65], while inhibits NF-κB signaling via oxidation of IκKs in cytoplasm or oxidation of p50 in nucleus. The canonical pathway impacts various biological processes, including immune response, inflammation, and cell growth and survival. The non-canonical pathway regulates important biological functions, including lymphoid organogenesis, B-cell maturation and DC activation.

A unique role of NF-κB in the ITME is indicated by its gene regulating functions in both tumor cells and immune cells [66]. NF-κB is activated not only by IR-induced nuclear DNA damage via ATM in the stressed tumor cells, but also by IR-induced cytokines [8, 67]. Radiation increased inflammation in tumor by activating the NF-κB pathway induces expressions of pro-inflammatory cytokines. The translocation of NF-κB complex to the nucleus through both canonical and non-canonical pathways induces various pro-inflammatory gene expression including TNFa, IL-6, IL-1α and IL-1β. Such inflammatory response coupled with potential release of RAAPs may enhance DCs maturation and prime tumor specific T cells [68]. In doubly deficient p50/RelA or p50/c-Rel mice, the development and function of DCs were significantly impaired and IL-12 expression was abolished, suggesting that DC development is linked with the canonical NF-κB pathway [69]. Sauter et al demonstrated that necrotic tumor cells were necessary to mature DCs that then primed CD8+ T cells [70]. Hou et al demonstrated that impaired canonical NF-κB pathway could also reduce RT efficacy, indicating the canonical NF-κB-induced immune response in ITME. The same report also suggested that the non-canonical pathway in DC cells activated by STING pathway inhibited the release of IFN and blockage of p52-RelB nuclear translocation contributed to radiotherapy enhancement [71]. HMGB1 that is released from necrotic cells is shown to induce DCs maturation via an NF-κB pathway. A tumor-promoting role for NF-κB activation is also indicated in TAMs (tumor-associated macrophages) in mouse tumor model. Since IR induces cell death via apoptosis and/or necrosis, it is reasonably believed that IR helps to prime anti-tumor immunity via antigenic proteins released by cancer cells and increase antigen uptake by DCs, which enhances DC maturation. However, these results also implicate that due to huge effector gene profile affected by NF-κB regulation in tumor and normal cells in the ITME, NF-κB itself may not be an ideal immune target in cancer treatment to synchronize RT-mediated cancer treatment. NF-κB pathways may be used as a tool to identify specific tumor antigenic proteins especially the antigenic membrane proteins such as CD47 and HER2 (refer to below) as well as neoantigens that can be applied for the combined therapy of RT with TIT. Moreover, elucidation of the specific clusters of genes of NF-κB responsible for control of pro- and
anti-tumor immunity in both tumor and immune cells in the ITME will add significant insights on radiation-associated immune regulation in solid tumor.

**Radiation induced immune-targetable elements**

Radiation-induced tumor cell death has been shown to induce the release of tumor associated antigens and the “danger signals”, including HSPs, HMGB1, and calreticulin, which can induce DCs maturation and differentiation [72]. However, it is argued that although such released antigenic proteins may potentially prime and activate immune cells, they may not be highly effective if such antigenic proteins are not efficiently presented on the surface of therapy-surviving tumor cells. Therefore, radiation-induced antigenic proteins in the surviving tumor cells especially the highly tittering antigenic proteins from cancer stem cells or stem-like cancer cells in the recurrent and metastatic lesions need to be further elucidated. As discussed above, recent studies have revealed significant alterations on the surface of cells (including both tumor and tumor-related cells). The usefulness of such antigenic proteins termed as RAAPs in this review will depend on their unique feature of differentially expressed on tumor cells rather than normal cells in an irradiated solid tumor [73]. Further identification of this cluster of RAAPs in irradiated tumor microenvironment especially on the basis of individual tumors may improve the specific tumor targeting and increase ‘indirect killing’ effect of radiation. Below, we will summarize a short list of the radiation-induced antigenic proteins and cellular factors.

**HLA**

Human leukocyte antigen (HLA) is a well-studied complex of genes encoding the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) that locates on cell surface for fundamental immune regulation. MHC plays a key role in the acquired immune regulation to identify foreign molecules and defines histocompatibility. MHC is able to bind to antigens and displays them on the cell surface for recognition by the appropriate T-cells. MHC consists of two classes with a large scale of different alleles allowing them to be highly adaptive to varied epitopes. MHC class 1 (with subfamilies of classical ones-A, B, C and non-classical ones-E, F, G) present antigens from inside of cells (including self-antigens or integrated antigens of pathogens such as viruses), whereas, MHC class 2 (with subfamilies of DR, DP, and DQ) present antigens from outside of cells. All of the MHC molecules are sensitive to the genotoxic stress induced by radiation with either up- or down-regulating their expression [74]. In cancer cells, MHC expression is generally down-regulated which is related to the ability of escaping from immune surveillance. The Class 1 molecules of MHC are reduced in tumor cells [75, 76] which reduces the chance to be recognized by active immune cells. This class is known to be induced by IR via radiation induced interferon (IFN type 1) release. However, the molecules in MHC Class 2 along with its subfamilies show different radiation responses [77, 78].

HLA-G, from the non-classical category of MHC class 1, is upregulated in cancer cells [79] and suppressed via radiation due to its sensitivity to RT, indicating a potential biomarker and target for tumor imaging and radiosensitization [80]. HLA-G differs from the classical groups of HLA based on its function of suppressing immune cells via binding their Ig-like receptors (such as ILT-4 ILT-2, KIR2DL4 to monocytes, B and T cells and natural killers). Similarly, HLA-E is also found to be enhanced in cancer cells and reduced under radiation [80]. Gallegos et al speculated that this downregulation of HLA-E is a secondary event to the downregulation of HLA-G which indicates that HLA-G may be required for stabilizing HLA-E [74]. In addition, HLA-G enhances the apoptosis of immune cells and down regulates chemokine receptors on immune effector cells. Therefore radiation mediated down-regulation of these HLAs in cancer cells are potential immune regulators for enhancing the functions of immune cells in the ITME.

**Immune checkpoint proteins**

**CTLA-4**: CTLA-4 (cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4), a member of the immunoglobulin superfamily, has a critical function in tumorigenesis process and CTLA-4 blockade combined with RT is shown to enhance survival in a murine glioma model [81] and in human cancers [82, 83]. The potential synergy between RT and TIT with anti-CTLA-4 is recently well-summarized [83, 84]. CTLA-4 is predominantly expressed on Treg cells [85] and thus is termed as immune checkpoint inhibitors together with PD-1/PD-L1. Classically, T cells are activated via APC presentation with MHC as a primary signal and CD80/86 as a secondary costimulatory signal. These surface molecules are known to be interacted with TCRs and CD28 on T cells thus activating the immune response. However, increased expression of CTLA-4 is shown to compete with CD28 [86, 87]. Because CTLA-4 has greater affinity than CD28, its high expression on cancer cells inhibits immune effector cells activation via increased CTLA4-CD80/86 binding. In addition, CTLA-4 is
known to regulate integrin dependent motility of immune active cells [88] and prevent T cells to form long term interactions with APC [89]. This inhibitory environment may push the immune modulatory cells to release inhibitory molecules such as TGF-β [90] or IL-10 [91], escalating the immune suppressor microenvironment. When such effect on Treg cells is blocked, activation of T cells and diversity in TCR repertoire is enhanced [92].

**PD-1/PD-L1:** The other radiation-associated immune key checkpoint proteins are PD-1 and PD-L1 which act in later phase of immune suppression process (compared to CTLA-4) [93] and are important for peripheral tolerance. Their interaction causes inhibition of secretion of TNFα and IFN-γ, and activation of TGF-β secretion [94]. The final effect is expected in favor of immunosuppression. Additionally, PD-1 /PD-L1 is thought to have a role in ‘adaptive suppression’ of T cell activation [95]. Like CTLA-4, it pushes T cells to reduce their TCR expression, to reprogram their methylation level of their genome, to become allergic to locally expressed antigens, and to incline to apoptosis as well in T cell exhaustion [96]. More interestingly, Prima et al hypothesized that COX2-mediated PGE2 production pathway might modulate PD-L1 expression on myeloid derived suppressor cells. To prove that, they utilized pharmacologic inhibitors for COX2 and showed a reduced production of PGE2 together with reduced expression of PD-L1 [97].

Blocking of these immune checkpoint proteins aims to ‘wake up’ the cytotoxic cells and abolish their exhaustion. It also causes the clonal expansion of T cells that will be expanded to a diversity of TCR repertoire [92]. Thus, RT with anti-PD-1/PD-L1 strategy is superior to single therapy since blocking therapies increases the power of ‘in situ vaccine effect’ therefore it creates more antigenic stimulation related cytotoxicity [84]. It is thus believed that IR-mediated cell death augments tumor-specific immunity. Deng et al revealed that IR combined with anti-PD-L1 enhanced T cell-mediated tumor cytotoxicity by enhancing the interplay IR and inhibition of PD-L1/PD-1 [98]. Hu et al reviewed the overall response rate of immune therapy in breast cancers (19%) and the combination therapy significantly enhanced the response rate [99]. Pike et al investigated the overall survival outcomes for several types of cancers after combination therapy with PD-1 inhibition plus RT and their results indicate a prolonged survival compared to traditional single therapies [100]. Several questions remain to be addressed in such combined therapies, which include the dynamics of PD-1/PD-L1 expression in irradiated tumor cells and immune cells, and the timing the RT that can eliminate a bulk of tumor cells lack of PD-1 expression before anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy.

**CD47:** In addition to above checkpoint proteins, CD47 (cluster of differentiation 47), is becoming one of key immune targets in cancer immunotherapy. CD47 is an anti-phagocytic signal in cancer cells to evade from TAMs for immune escaping [101]. Originally identified in β3 integrin-mediated signaling on leukocytes [102], CD47 interacts with thrombospondin-1, signal regulatory protein-alpha (SIRPα), leading to a different cellular functions [103-109]. The interaction between CD47 on tumor cells and SIRP-α on immune effector cells generates a "don't eat me" signal to suppress the immune response [110]. CD47 ligates SIRPα expressed on macrophages and DCs and initiates a dephosphorylation cascade to inhibit phagocytosis [111-113]. Consistently, CD47-/- cells are removed fast when they are adoptively transferred to the congeneric wild-type mice [114]. Jaiswal et al and Majeti et al showed that CD47 in leukemic stem cells (LSCs) can inhibit macrophage activity that is linked with the growth advantage of LSCs [115, 116].

CD47 is widely expressed at low level in normal tissues and highly expressed on multiple tumors, including hematological and solid cancers [117-121]. Considering the important inhibitory role of CD47 in phagocytosis of tumor cells, it is thus viewed as one of potential immune target in anti-cancer immunotherapy. Initially, most studies focused on the direct killing effect of anti-human CD47 [122-124]. Subsequently, its indirect functions in regulating phagocytosis or tumor death were noticed. Recently, CD11b activation which is necessary for macrophage activation for tumor cell phagocytosis is found to be actively involved in anti-tumor innate immunity [125]. Combining CD47 blockade with irradiation significantly affected tumor growth and enhanced tumor sensitivity to irradiation in syngeneic immunocompetent mouse [126]. Transcription of CD47 can be enhanced by IR [127] and inhibition of CD47 signaling conferred a survival advantage to irritated normal tissue via promoting viability and proliferative capacity in an autophagy-dependent manner [128]. Liu et al found that the therapeutic effects of CD47 blockage depended on DCs but not macrophage cross-priming of T cell responses in syngeneic immunocompetent mouse. Chemotherapy might impact the efficacy of anti-CD47 treatment by suppressing T-cell memory response [129]. Currently, about eight phase I clinical trials and two phase II clinical trials are evaluating the effects by blocking CD47-SIRPα pathway in various cancer types. Combined treatments of humanized monoclonal anti-CD47 antibody Hu5F9-G4 and chemotherapy...
were administered to patients with solid tumors that are not fit for conventional therapies [130, 131]. However, the clinical responses could not be confirmed probably due to the small numbers of patients recruited [132].

The enhanced expression of CD47 in the tumor microenvironment could severely hamper tumor radiosensitivity [126, 133]. Betancur et al showed that CD47 were remarkably enhanced in HER2 positive breast cancer HCC1954 and luminal A MCF7. NF-κB and PPARα were identified as two transcription factors that bound with super-enhancer of CD47 and regulated protein expression [134]. Our lab discovered a co-expression pattern of CD47 and HER2 in a series of radioresistant breast cancer cell lines that survive from fractionated doses of IR [135]. Since both promoters of CD47 and HER2 contain NF-κB binding motif, a possible co-expression pattern between these two RAAPs is proposed (Fig. 3, and unpublished data). More recently CD47 was found have another co-expression model in breast cancer as well. Cook et al revealed that glucose regulated protein 78 (GRP78) may correlate with the expression of CD47. Thus, targeting GRP78 significantly altered CD47 expression, indicating UPR involvement in CD47 regulation [136, 137]. These two RT-induced membrane proteins should be considered as the candidates for dual immune targets in the RT combined therapy.

**Other immune regulators**

A large scale of molecules can alter their expressions under radiation and is assumed to be involved in immune regulation (a selected list is shown in Table 1). Most of such molecules are derived from ER with the accelerated production under IR and they directly modulate cell survival. Below, we list some of stress-associated molecules as well as non-ER derived ‘danger signal’ molecules that show potential targetable application.

**HMGB1:** HMGB1 (25 kDa molecular weight) is an intra-nuclear protein regulating gene transcription by binding chromosomal proteins or interacting with several transcription factors [153]. Although HMGB1 physiologically enhances immune activation and motility through TLR4 activation [154], several studies show that HMGB1 is linked with poor prognosis probably due to its interaction with myeloid differentiation factor 88 and TLR4 [154-156]. He et al found that HMGB1 which helped tumor cell proliferation was released into the medium in Hela,
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HT29, HT116 cells treated with 10 Gy IR [157]. However, the priming function of induced HMGB1 is suggested to translocate to cytosol after acetylation or phosphorylation and secreted to extracellular compartment in passive or active way. HMGB1 secretion is induced by interferons (IFNs) in acetylated or phosphorylated type to extracellular compartment. HMGB1 can be released from active immune cells. For instance, activated DCs secrete HMGB1 before maturation and the extracellular HMGB1 induces a feedback signaling for the maturation of DCs and activation of T cells. As to passively secretion, it is released by dead cells or dying cells, such as RT induced cell death. It has been shown that HMGB1 level is enhanced in the tumor microenvironments with increased tumor antigen-specific T-cells in patients with esophageal cancer treated by chemoradiotherapy [138] and the release of HMGB1 is proportional to the radiation doses delivered by carbon-ion beam irradiation [139].

Table 1. Selected RT-related immune regulators induced by radiation

| Molecule | Function | Immune and/or tumor cells | Behavior under IR | References |
|----------|----------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| HMGB1    | Danger signal, enhancing tumor immunogenicity | both immune and tumor cells | Inducible by IR | [138, 139] |
| GRP78    | Immune regulation and signal transduction | both immune and tumor cells | Radiosensitivity | [140] |
| HLA      | Reduced expression to escape (except HLA-G and HLA-E) | Immune cells | Induced | [40, 141, 142] |
| CTLA-4   | Immunosuppression of activated T cells | Induced under low doses, diminished under high doses | [143-145] |
| PD-1/PD-L1 | Immunosuppression | T cells/tumor cells | Induced | [98, 146, 147] |
| CD47     | “Don’t eat me” signaling | tumor cells | Induced (in survived cells) | [109, 148] |
| Ox40     | Support for immune effector cells and APC | immune cells | Induced | [149-152] |

GRP78 (glucose regulated protein 78, or termed immunoglobulin heavy chain binding protein, BIP): a member of heat-shock protein 70 (HSP70) family located in endoplasmic reticulum (ER) lumen, plays a key role in the UPR and is linked with tumor resistance and prognosis [158, 159]. Although known in regulating protein folding stress [160], GRP78 is shown to be involved in a wide array of stress conditions including glucose depletion, low oxygen levels, high levels of oxidant molecules [161] as well as in DNA-damage response [162]. GRP78 is able to regulate lipid metabolism related to drug sensitivity and anti-tumor immunity in breast cancer [137]. GRP78 and MT1-MP a transmembrane protein are identified in the macrophage plasma membrane lysates, indicating GRP78 can be relocated to cell surface to participate in immune regulation and signal transduction [163, 164]. GRP78 is shown to be involved in hepatitis C virus (HCV) replication and Toll-like receptor-3 (TLR3)-initiated antiviral state [165]. The safety of TIT using anti-GRP78 antibody PAT-SM6 has been tested in mouse model for treatment of relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma [166]. Although such tests should be further evaluated, TIT with GRP78 antibody was demonstrated to inhibit CD47 and enhance tumor infiltration of immune cells to increase an anti-tumor microenvironment. The correlations between GRP78 and radiation is demonstrated by the observation that expression of GRP78 is related to the radiosensitivity of brain endothelial cells [140] and expression of GRP78 is enhanced in breast cancer stem cells (BCSCs) and responsible for self-renewal and radioresistance of BCSCs [167]. TIT with anti-GRP78 antibody showed extraordinary inhibition on the aggressive phenotype of GBM and NSCLC cells due to inhibition of PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway, and tumor inhibition was enhanced by RT combined with TIT of GRP78 in tumor model of NSCLC and GBM [168].

CD134 (Ox40): This molecule, mainly expressed on activated CD4 and CD8 T cells, supports the activation of immune effector and antigen presenting cells and promotes them to elongate their survival time and release cytokine [169]. Additionally CD134 suppresses the differentiation and activity of T\textsubscript{reg} [170]. Moran et al arranged series of experiments by using both CD134 agonists and antagonists plus with anti-immune checkpoint protein antibodies. The findings were encouraging for the further clinical usage of CD134 agonists because of its significant anticancer, pro-immune effects [171]. Combination of CD134 with radiation in lung cancer model resulted in an overall survival rate of 80% at 100 days compared to 0% in mice treated with either modality alone [172]. Similarly, surgical removal of 10-14 day sarcoma resulted in 50% local tumor recurrence whereas anti-CD134 delivered at the time of the operation eliminated local recurrence in 100% of mice. In addition anti-CD134 with surgery and radiation led to a survival rate of 50% at 70 days [173]. These two studies indicate that CD134 is a promising immune target and anti-CD134 combined with RT has the priority for clinical trials.

TLRs: TLRs (toll-like receptors) are both cytosolic and membranous molecules which recognize pathogens by their pathogen associated molecular patterns and danger signals released from damaged cells. Due to their unique capacity of regulating the immune cascade in pro-inflammatory response, their potential application in TIT has been suggested [174]
as well as in combined therapy with RT [175, 176]. Accumulating preclinical evidence showed that agonists of TLRs, especially TLR3, 4, 7/8 and 9 agonists, efficiently promote tumor-targeting immune responses initiated by anticancer immunotherapy. By providing essential requirements for stimulating innate and adaptive immunity as well as their effects on the tumor microenvironment, local application of TLR agonist as single agent exhibited effectively to eradicate tumors. There are two FDA-approved TLR agonists used as cancer monotherapy, bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG) and imiquimod. Apetoh et al reported that breast cancer patients with a TLR4 loss-of-function allele relapse more quickly after radio- or chemo-therapy than those carrying the normal TLR4 allele. Further studies illustrated that activation of DCs during radio- or chemo-therapy required TLR4-mediated signaling [177]. Intravenous administration of the TLR7 agonist, R848 with RT, can inhibit T-and B-cell lymphoma in animal model; and led to a tumor-specific memory which is believed to prevent tumor recurrence [178].

**CRT:** CRT (Calreticulin), a danger-sensor protein derived from endoplasmic reticulum, is able to translocate to the cell membrane after radiation where it generates "eat me" signals for tumor associated macrophages and lymphocytes [30, 179]. CRT viewed as an immunogenic signature associated with low-dose radiation should be categorized in the cluster of RAAPs and can interact with APCs to support IR induced ICD [136]. Surface expression of CRT was enhanced by radiation in an array of human cancer cells including prostate, breast, and lung [29] to promote APCs and T cell-mediated tumor cell killing [180].

**Other radiation related immune regulators**

Different non-immune and immune cells are present in irradiated solid tumors; some are thought to be pro-cancer like T<sub>reg</sub>, M2 macrophages, myeloid derived suppressor cells, neutrophils (N2 type), basophils, B lymphocytes, mast cells and some others on the contrary such as M1 macrophages, antigen presenting cells (DCs), CD8<sup>+</sup> T lymphocytes or natural killer cells. Most importantly, these immune active cells are able to communicate and cooperate in the ITME to release cytokines and even non-coding transferrable genome parts [181]. Some of such cellular and non-cellular factors are described below.

**Extracellular matrix (ECM):** ECM plays a key role in intercellular communication, sequestration of various factors, cellular adhesion and migration. As content, it has various types of proteins (collagen, elastin, laminin etc.), proteoglycans (keratin sulfate, heparin sulfate etc.) and liquid. Collagen and fibronectin are known to be related to tissue strength while proteoglycans support the sequestration of growth factors and cytokine providing [182, 183]. To be specific to cancer, extracellular matrix is showed to create a scaffold which guides tumor cells in their migration process and chemotaxis [184]. Moreover, cross-linking of proteins in ECM is surprisingly showed to support tumor cells to invade their local environment easier [185]. It was also projected by recent studies that ECM affected anti-tumor therapy efficacy by its interstitial fluid pressure [186], desmoplastic stroma and tissue rigidity [187].

With local tumor RT, ECM can be remodeled in various ways, actually in favor of pro-tumor forces [188] which is believed to link with DNA damage, cell death and hypoxia [189, 190] as well as different factors released (such as VEGF, CSF-1, SDF-1 etc.) from both local host cells and tumor cells. These factors may attract various cells like TAMs or CAFs which have the capability to alter the microenvironment matrix by their products and even with their own cellular structures. Hypoxia, on the other hand causes acidification in stroma (whether it is induced by IR or not) due to accumulation of lactic acid released from cancer cells under highly-glycolytic conditions. Although it is unclear low pH status could be a unflavored factor for priming immune system, low pH is shown to alter cellular behaviors such as alteration of transcription factor expressions or increased invasiveness and migration [191]. Since HIF1 (hypoxia induced factor 1) is a well-defined activator of VEGF [192, 193], HIF1 may shape tumor vascular support for survival and RT-resistance. These tumor associated vessels showed enhanced permeability with increased turbulent flow and increased numbers of pericytes [194] that can enhance tumor invasion/metastasis via reduction of P- and E-selectin, V-CAM, I-CAM, which are related to binding and homing for immune cells. Therefore, pericytes could be a potential target to enhance immune response under RT.

Tumor cells are able to adhere to structural components of ECM leading to enhancement of cellular polarity and EMT (epithelial-mesenchymal transformation). This might be due to interaction of collagen, fibrin and/or laminin with integrin on the cell membrane via pathways such as FAK, PI3K/Akt/Stat3 and NF-κB [195, 196]. These all molecules along with their capability to cellular polarization may allow tumor cells to be apoptosis-resistant to chemotherapy and radiotherapy [183, 197]. Another way that ECM may cause anti-tumor therapy resistance is extracellular vehicles (EVs) such as the case in endothelial-derived EVs via noncoding RNA activators for Stat1 and/or...
decay for antibody treatment [198]. Such EVs could be potential immune targets to block tumor acquired radioresistance.

**DNA exonuclease Trex1:** The TREX1 gene in mammalian cells encodes a dominant DNA exonuclease TREX1 enzyme that functions to degrade DNA [199, 200]. Mutations in the TREX1 gene are linked with a group of autoimmune diseases [201]. The DNA fragments accumulated in the cytoplasm of the irradiated cells can help cells to release interferon-β following activation of the DNA sensor cGAS and its downstream effector STING which is able to prime CD8+ T cells for initiating the anti-tumor effect. Interestingly, TREX1 is identified to be inducible in irradiated cancer cells and the IR-induced TREX1 reduces the immunogenicity of irradiated cancer cells by degrading the DNA fragments accumulated in the cytoplasm [202, 203]. Such TREX1-mediated suppressive function on interferon-β has the potential of being an effective approach to regulate tumor immunogenicity in the combined therapy of RT and TIT.

**Cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs):** Fibroblasts are the most common cell type in connective tissue and prevail in tumor tissues. They are normally found in a quiescent form with the potential to be transformed reversibly into their activated form under stress conditions such as wound healing. The CAFs are an irreversible state of fibroblasts found in tumor microenvironment and linked to several actions in tumor progression. A noteworthy skill of CAFs is that they are extremely resistant to radiotherapy [204]. The CAFs are believed to be the sufficient source of VEGF-α as well as other growth factors and extracellular matrix proteins to support reorganization and vascularization of tumor microenvironment [205, 206]. The CAFs also have pro-inflammatory characteristics [207] and are found to interact with local tumor cells and immune cells.

The communication between tumor cells and CAFs also promotes the release of TGF-α that is able to remodel the microenvironment in favor of invasion and metastasis [208]. However tumor cells not only crosstalk with CAFs or APCs but also communicate with TAMs as well. This cross-talking is carried out with several different signaling pathways such as EGF, CSF-1, and Wnt [209]. And this often concludes with increased release of proteases like cathepsin [210] to promote further invasion and progression. Another combination in this crosstalk concept is realized with endothelial vesicles which again provide further organization and might play role in APC recruitment [211]. However the studies to prove the importance of CAFs and their crosstalk model revealed controversial findings. Some studies indicate increased aggressiveness in depleted stroma [212] while the others show a togetherness of low stromal index and better prognosis via improved drug delivery.

**Other active immune cells**

**Macrophages** are one of the main immune active cells involved in almost all inflammatory situations including ITME. Macrophages either promote inflammation and chaos (M1 macrophages) or push cells to act for tissue healing and fibrosis in the affected area (M2 macrophages). TAMs are found to be recruited to tumor microenvironment via CCL2 [213, 214]. The chemokine CCL2 (also termed monocyte chemoattractant molecule-1, MCP-1) can recruit CCR2-expressing monocytes to tumor microenvironment where the monocytes are able to differentiate into TAMs and dendritic cells [215, 216]. Since these 2 subtypes of macrophages are functionally different, their products and activated signaling pathways are varied. Via NF-κB, STAT11 and IRF [217, 218] activator signals, M1s uses CXCL9 and CXCL10 to recruit immune effector cells. In contrast, M2s secrete CCL5, CCL17, CCL20, CCL22 to recruit immune modulator cells like Tregs via IRF4, STAT6, c-Myc, PRAR signaling [219]. Although the functions of TAMs on tumor cells are still in debate, increasing results support the pro-tumor effects. Via NF-κB signaling, TAMs promote EMT [220] (a well-known radioresistant state of cells), local invasion, intra- and extravasation (by neovascularization) [221, 222], seeding and growth at distant sides; together indicating their relationship with increased metastasis risk. However, in addition above mentioned TIT via anti-CD47 which enhances macrophage-mediated phagocytosis on tumor cells, macrophages in the metastatic tumors are found to secrete granulin that activates local myofibroblasts and leads to fibrosis via periostin secretion [223-225]. This mechanism is thought to be a protective role of organism to prevent further invasions and metastasis.

In addition, TAMs are capable of recruiting (via CCL5, CCL20, CCL22) and activating Treg (via IL-10 [226] for TGF-β production [84] and inducing the checkpoint proteins and ARG1 [227] and NOS to suppress effector T cells, which is thought to promote immune suppression in tumor microenvironment [228, 229]. Pinto et al investigated the relationship between TAMs and cancer cells in co-cultures of different cancer cell lines. Although their results showed different responses for different cancer cell lines, the overall output from their study was that TAMs were definitely in contact with cancer cells and they even might regulate metabolism, gene expression and cytokine production under IR and
overall radiation response of cancer cells [230]. Fortunately, IR shifts the pro-tumor effects predominance to anti-tumor side. IR pushes the transformation M2 to M1 [231] and production of NO [232]. However the alone application of IR generally is not sufficient to overcome this strong predominance. That’s one of the reasons why IR becomes much more effective when combined with immune-therapeutics.

**Dendritic cells:** Tumor infiltration of DCs and several other immune effector cells is carried out via several cytokines such as CXCL16, TNFa, IL-1β, IL-6 secreted by IR induction of tumor cells [233]. Attracted APCs, however, might play a little different role on the contrary of generally known antigen presentation process. Current studies indicated that irradiated APCs play an immune suppressor role via down regulating its immune activator (T cell polarizator) IL-12 and IL-23 cytokines. Moreover to this, in tumor microenvironment, the communication between CAFs and DCs (like COX2 dependent PGE2, released from fibroblasts) provides DCs to maintain their immune suppressor activity through promoting further IL-23 synthesis despite IR application. In the presence of IL-1β and TNFa, IL-23 is found to be a T cell polarizing factor that accelerates CD4+ cells to transform into Th17 cells resulting in an immune modulator environment. In addition, the DCs are showed to maintain their presence and abilities even under the genotoxic stress of IR (with some modifications), indicating their strong adaption under IR condition [204]. Further elucidation will be in need on the mechanistic insights of cross-talk between DCs and tumor cells, especially the communication between DCs and radiation-surviving tumor cells.

**Potential clinic trials**

Accumulating clinical and experimental data support a potential synergy of RT with TIT [5, 234]. Radiation induced or enhanced concomitant immunity on different tumors has been tested in animal models [4, 235]. The “optimal RT doses” to enhance tumor immunogenicity is to be further optimized especially based on different tumors and individual patients. Dewan et al observed that RT with fractionated doses combined with anti-CTLA4 treatment not only delayed the growth of primary tumors but induced abscopal effect with enhanced CD8+ T cells in mouse breast and colon cancer models [144]. Local RT combined with immune targeting by anti-PD-1 or anti-PD-L1 antibody also demonstrates the benefits in activation of the cytotoxic T-cells with improved tumor control and animal survival [98]. Surface GRP78 is closely related to tumor radiotherapy response and cancer recurrence. Targeting surface GRP78 by peptide or antibody strengthens the reaction of tumor to radiotherapy [168, 236]. In addition, the IFNγ produced by CD8+ T cells is shown to enhance PD-L1 expression in tumor cells after RT with fractionated ionizing radiation (FIR) [234, 237]. Enhanced tumor responses and survival benefits are also detected in mice with intracranial gliomas treated by anti-PD-1 combined with stereotactic RT [146]. All of these animal models have generated supportive data for clinical trials of RT combined with TIT.

In a pioneering clinical trial, local RT was conducted with the regimen of 35 Gy in 10 fractions to one metastatic site along with concurrent GM-CSF (125 µg/ml subcutaneously injected daily for 2 weeks, starting during the second week of radiotherapy). This trail identified the abscopal effects in 11 of 41 patients. The mortality rate in patients absent of abscopal effects was more than twice than patients with it [238]. In the current era of PD1/PD-L1, increasing reports have indicated the encouraging synergy of RT with checkpoint blockade [239]. Many such trials are underway and data are going to be accumulated soon [240]. However, it was found that RT/anti-PD-1 therapy was effective only on RT-naive tumors and anti-PD-1 did not generate anti-tumor efficacy in RT-relapsed tumors [241], indicating additional factors against systematic immune surveillance could be induced in RT-surviving tumor such as RT-resistant cancer stem cells, which is to be further elucidated.

The ideal situation is that we could define a RT regimen that augments the immunogenicity of tumor cells without activation of tumor immune suppressing factors such as PD-1/PD-L1 and CD47 in ITME. Optimization of such RT doses and selection of an effective immune target for TIT could be a challenge, especially with the variations in RT responses to individual TME. However, with the twilight of more clinical studies being evaluated, increasing numbers of studies and clinical trials have demonstrated that hypo-fractionated regimen of RT before TIT could enhance the efficacy of tumor control [144, 234] with increased TAA (tumor-associated antigen) production, thus augmenting immune stimulation. However there are some obstacles and controversial speculations about the timing for RT delivery. It is suggested that the effectiveness of RT may be limited if it is applied before TIT since the predominance of immune suppressive forces in the untreated TME may eliminate appropriate induction of TAAs [214]. On the other hand, if it is applied after immune therapy, it might destroy the local immune effective cells which are recruited by applied immune therapy. Although facing such dilemma, the common consensus signposts that the hypo-fractionated regimen should
be strong enough to induce tumor immunity but not to damage local immune cells [242, 243]. Regimens such as S Gy x 4, 8 Gy x 3 are effective approaches applied with the immune checkpoint blockade [144]. Among the immune targets in clinical trials that can be potentially combined with RT, immune checkpoint protein inhibitors are currently popularly studied. Other immune effectors against tumor cells such as soluble immune activator including interleukins like IL-3, immune cells colony stimulant factors like GM-CSF, inducers and growth factors for specific cell types like Flt3-L for DCs [244] are also being investigated.

Conclusion and Perspectives

With the renaissance of targeted immunotherapy especially checkpoint inhibitors being approved by FDA, antibody-based ICBs such as atni-CTLA-4 and anti-PD1/PD-L1 have created impressive clinical impacts on treatment of an array of human cancers including lung cancer, melanoma, glioblastoma and other solid tumors. These exciting results encouraged further investigations on potential synergy of TIT with RT. In Clinicaltrials.gov, increasing trials have been registered: “CTLA-4/radiotherapy” (67 studies); “PD-1/radiotherapy” (182 studies); “PD-L1/radiotherapy” (186 studies); “CD47/radiotherapy” (1 study). These primary trials reveal that combination of RT with TIT may improve clinical outcomes compared with either treatment alone. For instance, in treatment of melanoma patients with brain metastases, the 3-year survival rate for patients treated with ipilimumab (targeting CTLA-4) and stereotactic radiosurgery was 50% while for patients without treatment of ipilimumab was 39% [245]. Prolonged survival and metastases control were also observed in patients with PD1-targeting inhibitor OPDIVO combined with RT [147]. Moreover, overall survival in the combined treatment group (RT combined ipilimumab or anti-PD-1) was reported to be superior to single treatment group [246, 247]. As such, in the eve of a coming era of combined modalities of RT with increasing immune targets, a potential significant enhancement in cancer control could be expected.

However, although RT/TIT combined therapy is promising, current clinical studies are mainly limited to CTLA-4 or PD-1 targeting inhibitors. The fact that many patients show no response to combined treatment and some responders develop acquired resistance after initial response indicating that the RAAPs discussed in this review need to be explored. Most importantly, the mechanistic insights of radiation induced anti-tumor response (priming function) requires further elucidated. Elements induced in ITME including CXCL16, CTLA-4, CD47, HER2, PD-1 and PD-L1 in line with many other potential immune suppressors may enhance the immune-escaping capacity of tumor cells, which may severely compromise the radiation-induced priming function. The induced immune suppressors on the other hand provide targetable molecules for TIT or for combined RT/TIT. The potential clinical benefits should be expected from further targeting these different immune-associated elements to increase the tumor immunogenicity and the priming function. The ideal situation would be that an RT regimen could be optimized to enhance the priming function rather than immune suppressive factor in ITME and thus will benefit with TIT. For example, the radiation-surviving tumor cells including cancer stem cells that may be effectively eliminated by TIT following RT if such fraction of tumor cells express the proper immunologic molecules on the cell surface such as PD-1/PD-L1 [248]. More experimental results and clinical data are expected to reveal additional new insights of immune regulators in the ITME. These results will be necessary to deepen our understanding of radiation-associated immune regulation and guide to invent more effective targets in RT combined with targeted immunotherapy.
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