Analysis of intracorporeal knotting with invaginating suture versus endoloops in appendiceal stump closure

Mindaugas Kludelis1, Povilas Ignatavicius1, Kristina Zviniene1, Saulius Grizas1
1Department of Surgery, Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Kaunas, Lithuania
2Department of Radiology, Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Kaunas, Lithuania

Videosurgery Miniinv 2013; 8 (1): 69-73
DOI: 10.5114/wiitm.2011.31535

A b s t r a c t

Introduction: Laparoscopic appendectomy is a well-described surgical technique and has gained wide clinical acceptance. Laparoscopic appendectomy offers fewer wound infections, faster recovery and an earlier return to work in comparison to open surgery. However, concerns still exist regarding the appendiceal stump closure.

Aim: The aim of this study was to compare the overall incidence and specific intraoperative and postoperative complications after application of intracorporeal knotting with invaginating suture versus endoloops for stump closure in laparoscopic appendectomy.

Material and methods: One hundred fifty two consecutive patients according to the following inclusion criteria were included in the study: 1. Laparoscopic appendectomy was performed during the study period; 2. Acute phlegmonous or gangrenous appendicitis without perforation was diagnosed during operation. Exclusion criteria - patients with acute perforated appendicitis and local or diffuse peritonitis. Data was grouped according to the appendiceal stump closure technique, with either endoloops – 112 patients (73.7 percent) or intracorporeal knotting with invaginating suture – 40 patients (26.3 per cent). The primary outcome measure was the rate of intraabdominal surgical-site infection, defined as post-operative intra-abdominal abscess. Secondary outcome variables were intraoperative and postoperative complications, duration of operation, hospital stay.

Results: There were no significant differences between the two groups in overall intraoperative and postoperative complications rate and in hospital stay. The median duration of operation was significantly shorter when the endoloop was used. The use of intracorporeal knotting with invaginating suture instead of endoloop to close the appendiceal stump decreased the total cost of laparoscopic appendectomy.

Conclusions: According our study results, intracorporeal knotting with invaginating suture appendiceal stump closure technique is acceptable laparoscopic procedure, which intraoperative and postoperative results do not differ from endoloops technique. The total cost of this procedure is 80 € cheaper then endoloops technique.
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complications from its inappropriate management. The development of life-threatening events such as stercoral fistulas, postoperative peritonitis, and sepsis is feared and unwanted. The tactical modification of appendiceal stump closure with a single endoligature, replacing the invaginating suture, adjusted very well to laparoscopic appendectomy, and nowadays is the procedure of choice, whenever possible. Among the alternatives that do not make use of an invaginating suture, studies advocate the use of an endostapler, endoligature (endoloop), metal clips, bipolar endococagulation, and polymeric clips [11-16]. An advantage of endoloops is that they are 6 to 12 times cheaper than stapling devices, but invaginating intracorporeal suture is even cheaper than endoloops.

**Aim**

The aim of this study was to compare the overall incidence and specific intraoperative and postoperative complications after application of intracorporeal knotting with invaginating suture versus endoloops for stump closure in laparoscopic appendectomy.

**Material and methods**

**Study design and patient population**

This was a prospective, non-randomized study, in which 152 patients with acute uncomplicated appendicitis were studied. Patients were treated at the Department of Surgery from January 2004 to December 2009. All patients provided written informed consent and the Local Ethical Committee approved the study (no. BE-1-24). One hundred fifty-two consecutive patients according to the following inclusion criteria were included in the study: (1) laparoscopic appendectomy was performed during the study period; (2) acute phlegmonous or gangrenous appendicitis without perforation was diagnosed during operation. Patients with acute perforated appendicitis and local or diffuse peritonitis were excluded from the study. Diagnosis of acute appendicitis was based on disease history and clinical symptoms, and laboratory tests (elevated leukocyte count, elevated C-reactive protein). The preoperative diagnosis of acute appendicitis was confirmed by ultrasound. Laparoscopic appendectomies were performed by experienced surgeons. Data were grouped according to the appendiceal stump closure technique, with either endoloops (PDS-Endoloop® 2-0; Ethicon, Johnson & Johnson Medical) (112 patients; 73.7%) or intracorporeal knotting with invaginating suture (polyglactin) (40 patients; 26.3%). The stump closure technique was chosen by the operating surgeon. Patients’ data are summarized in Table I. The primary outcome measure was the rate of intraabdominal surgical-site infection, defined as postoperative intra-abdominal abscess. Secondary outcome variables were intraoperative and postoperative complications, duration of operation, total operation cost and hospital stay. Postoperative complications included superficial sur-

| Table I. Demographic data |
|---------------------------|
| **Parameter**             | **Invaginating suture (n = 40)** | **Endoloop (n = 112)** | **Value of p** |
| Age [years]               | 32.1 ±13.1                       | 32.4 ±12.3             | NS             |
| Gender:                   |                                     |                         |                |
| Male                       | 15 (37.5%)                       | 62 (55%)                | NS             |
| Female                     | 25 (62.5%)                       | 50 (45%)                | NS             |
| BMI [kg/m²]               | 24.3 ±4.0                        | 25.2 ±4.8               | NS             |
| Duration of illness [h]   | 27.6 ±29.6                       | 25.5 ±18.7              | NS             |
| ASA grade:                |                                     |                         |                |
| I                          | 10 (25%)                         | 31 (28%)                | NS             |
| II                         | 22 (55%)                         | 54 (48%)                | NS             |
| III                        | 8 (20%)                          | 27 (24%)                | NS             |

BMI = body mass index, ASA – American Society of Anesthesiologists, NS – not statistically significant.
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Surgical technique

The laparoscopic approach was standardized with the use of a 10-mm infraumbilical optic trocar, a 5-mm trocar in the lower left abdomen, and a 10-mm trocar in the lower right abdomen. The mesoappendix was dissected using bipolar coagulation. We placed one endoloop or polyglactin ligature with intracorporeal knotting to the appendix base. An additional loop or the second polyglactin ligature was placed 10 mm distally. The base was cut with laparoscopic scissors between the loops or ligatures. When polyglactin ligature with intracorporeal knotting was used, additionally invaginating suture was placed on the cecum in order to sink the stump. We used a tube or an endo-bag to evacuate the appendix through the trocar in the right abdomen.

All patients received intravenous 240 mg gentamicin and 500 mg metronidazole preoperatively.

Statistical analysis

The data are presented as mean ± standard deviation or median and range. Fisher’s exact test was used to analyze intergroup differences for proportional data. The patients’ age, body mass index (BMI), duration of illness, hospital stay and duration of operation between the groups were compared using the unpaired Mann-Whitney U test. Value of \( p < 0.05 \) was considered significant.

Results

There were no significant differences between the two groups in the overall intraoperative and postoperative complication rate or in hospital stay (Table II). The median duration of the operation was significantly shorter when the endoloop was used. The total cost of laparoscopic appendectomy with endoloop was €460. The use of intracorporeal knotting with invaginating suture instead of endoloop to close the appendiceal stump decreased the total cost of laparoscopic appendectomy by up to €380.

Discussion

Because of faster postoperative recovery and reduced postoperative complications, laparoscopic appendectomy is more and more widely used for treating acute appendicitis [3-5, 17-19]. Studies show various results, from no difference in the rate of postoperative intra-abdominal abscess between laparoscopic and open appendectomy to an increase in the incidence of intra-abdominal abscess after laparoscopic appendectomy [3-6, 20, 21].

The key technical aspect in laparoscopic appendectomy is the treatment of appendiceal stump closure. Nowadays, stapling and endoloop techniques are the most popular ones in securing the appendiceal stump. Some randomized and prospective clinical trials [1, 14, 16] have compared staplers and endoloops for appendiceal stump closure but did not find any significant difference in the incidence of intra-abdominal abscesses. The authors noted that

| Parameter                          | Invaginating suture (n = 40) | Endoloop (n = 112) | Value of \( p \) |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Duration of surgery [min]         | 79.6 ±11.1                   | 58.4 ±14.3         | > 0.05           |
| Intra-abdominal surgical-site infection | 2 (5%)                      | 4 (3.6%)           | NS               |
| Superficial surgical-site infection | 0                           | 3 (2.7%)           | NS               |
| Intraoperative complications:      |                              |                    |                  |
| Bleeding                          | 1 (2.5%)                     | 2 (1.8%)           | NS               |
| Organ lesion                      | 0                            | 1 (0.9%)           | NS               |
| Access related                    | 0                            | 1 (0.9%)           | NS               |
| Hospital stay [days]              | 2.8 ±2.2                     | 2.4 ±1.2           | NS               |

NS – not statistically significant
appendiceal stump closure using an endoloop is an easy, safe, and cost-effective procedure.

On the other hand, Beldi et al. [11] in their non-concurrent cohort study found that among 4489 patients with acute appendicitis there was a significantly higher rate of intra-abdominal surgical-site infection and readmission to hospital in the endoloop group, compared with stapling. The meta-analysis of Kazemier et al. [13] of 427 patients in four randomized controlled trials on appendix stump closure favored the routine use of endoscopic staplers. Superficial wound infections and postoperative ileus were obviously less frequent when the appendix stump was secured with staplers, but there was no significant difference with respect to intra-abdominal abscess.

Securing the appendiceal stump with endoloops involves the application of one or two proximal ligatures and one distal ligature around the base of the appendix, which results in extraversion of the appendiceal stump mucosa, as opposed to the inversion of the mucosa with stapling devices [12]. The higher rate of abscess formation after endoloop closure might be explained by insufficient closure of the stump or exposure of the remaining contaminated mucosa to the abdominal cavity. Mucosal necrosis with loosening of the ligature could be postulated as another mechanism of leakage [11].

In our study, in order to avoid the aforementioned potential risk factors, after placing the ligature at the appendix base and cutting it, we sank the stump with the help of invaginating suture. Although we did not find any significant differences between endoloops and intracorporeal knotting with invaginating suture in the overall intraoperative and postoperative complication rate, the duration of the operation was significantly longer when invaginating suture was used.

The weakness of our study is that it is a prospective one but not a randomized clinical trial. Considering the nature of this study, patients were not randomized, but distributed to one of the groups (endoloops or intracorporeal knotting with invaginating suture) according to the operating surgeon’s choice of technique. Considering this, the cohort of these two groups was not equal and homogeneous: more experienced surgeons chose the intracorporeal knotting with invaginating suture technique, because it requires considerable expertise in laparoscopic suturing techniques. Younger and less experienced surgeons chose the endoloop technique. We can only speculate that with an equal and greater number of patients in both groups, there could be a significant difference in the overall intraoperative and postoperative complication rate when comparing these two surgical techniques.

The most important factor in deciding which technique to use in routine clinical practice is the cost-benefit analysis. Even today, the decision of choosing the appendiceal stump closure technique in laparoscopic surgery is based on the preference of the surgeon and the institution. The Lithuanian health insurance system does not cover the material costs of stapler use in laparoscopic appendectomy. Our institution’s selective concept includes as a standard procedure appendiceal stump closure by endoloops. Our study results demonstrated that intracorporeal knotting with invaginating suture appendiceal stump closure technique is the cheaper one, because the total cost of laparoscopic appendectomy decreased from €460 to €380.

Conclusions

According to our study results, intracorporeal knotting with invaginating suture appendiceal stump closure technique is an acceptable laparoscopic procedure, whose intraoperative and postoperative results do not differ from the endoloop technique. The total cost of this procedure is €80 cheaper than the endoloop technique.
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