Resolving a dusty, star-forming SHiZELS galaxy at $z = 2.2$ with $HST$, ALMA, and SINFONI on kiloparsec scales
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ABSTRACT
We present $\sim 0.15$ arcsec spatial resolution imaging of SHiZELS-14, a massive ($M_* \sim 10^{11} M_\odot$), dusty, star-forming galaxy at $z = 2.24$. Our rest-frame $\sim 1$ kpc-scale, matched-resolution data comprise four different widely used tracers of star formation: the H$\alpha$ emission line (from SINFONI/VLT), rest-frame UV continuum (from $HST$ F606W imaging), the rest-frame far-infrared (from ALMA), and the radio continuum (from JVLA). Although originally identified by its modest H$\alpha$ emission line flux, SHiZELS-14 appears to be a vigorously star-forming ($SFR \sim 1000 M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$) example of a submillimetre galaxy, probably undergoing a merger. SHiZELS-14 displays a compact, dusty central starburst, as well as extended emission in H$\alpha$ and the rest-frame optical and FIR. The UV emission is spatially offset from the peak of the dust continuum emission, and appears to trace holes in the dust distribution. We find that the dust attenuation varies across the spatial extent of the galaxy, reaching a peak of at least $A_{H\alpha} \sim 5$ in the most dusty regions, although the extinction in the central starburst is likely to be much higher. Global star-formation rates inferred using standard calibrations for the different tracers vary from $\sim 1000 M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$, and are particularly discrepant in the galaxy’s dusty centre. This galaxy highlights the biased view of the evolution of star-forming galaxies provided by shorter wavelength data.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Galaxy surveys have long shown that star-formation rates within individual galaxies increase towards high redshift. At a given stellar mass, typical star-formation rates increase by over an order of magnitude between the present day and the peak of cosmic star formation at $z \sim 2$ (Sobral et al. 2013a; Madau & Dickinson 2014; Speagle et al. 2014). This is thought to reflect the large reservoirs of molecular gas that cool from the high rates of gas accretion on to galaxies' host haloes in the early Universe (Tacconi et al. 2010, 2013, 2017; Papovich et al. 2016; Falgarone et al. 2017; Jiménez-Andrade et al. 2018; Dudzevičiute et al. 2020).

Although highly luminous dusty galaxies are rare at $z = 0$ and known as ‘ultra-luminous infrared galaxies’ (ULIRGs, with total infrared luminosities $L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{12} - 13 L_\odot$), galaxies with typical ULIRG luminosities are more common around the peak of cosmic star formation (Madau, Ivison & Blain 1997; Barger et al. 1998). Submillimetre galaxies (SMGs; Blain et al. 2002) are ULIRGs at high redshift with bright submillimetre fluxes that suggest star-formation rates (SFRs) of $\sim 100 - 1000 M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$. Sustained star-formation rates of this magnitude have the potential to form massive galaxies (with stellar masses of $\sim 10^{11} M_\odot$) on sub-Gyr time-scales (Simpson et al. 2014; Dudzevičiute et al. 2020). Chapman et al. (2005) found that the volume density of SMGs increases by a factor of $\sim 1000$ between $z = 0$ and $z = 2.5$, with the redshift distribution peaking at $z \sim 2.0–2.5$ (see also Koprowski et al. 2014; Simpson et al. 2014; Danielson et al. 2017; Stach et al. 2019; recent studies using larger samples derive a redshift distribution that peaks slightly higher). SMGs at $1 < z < 5$ appear to account for $\sim 20–30$ per cent of the total comoving star-formation rate density at these redshifts (Swinbank et al. 2014; Smith et al. 2017; Dudzevičiute et al. 2020). Even in less far-infrared (FIR)-luminous high redshift galaxies, a significant amount of star formation is obscured by dust. Dunlop et al. (2017) combined long- and short-wavelength data from two premier observatories: the Atacama Large Millimeter Array (ALMA, probing the dust continuum emission at 1.3 mm) and the Hubble Space Telescope (HST, Wide Field Camera 3, probing rest-frame UV), in the well-studied Hubble Ultra Deep Field (e.g. Bouwens et al. 2010; Oesch et al. 2010; Dunlop et al. 2013; Illingworth et al. 2014; Smith et al. 2017; Dunlop et al. 2020).
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argue that attenuation in the dusty regions of SMGs is so great that essentially all the co-located stellar emission is obscured at optical-to-near-infrared wavelengths; for $\sim$30 per cent of their sample, the data available at these wavelengths is insufficient to put constraints on photometric redshifts and stellar masses (see also work on ‘NIR-dark’ sources; e.g. Simpson et al. 2014; Franco et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2019; Dudzeviciute et al. 2020; Smail et al. 2021).

Overall, it has become clear that drawing conclusions from single-wavelength surveys, especially in the rest-frame UV, is subject to substantial bias and uncertainty, even where data are at high angular resolution. In this paper, we present multiwavelength, 0.15 arcsec resolution imaging of SHiZELS-14, a highly star-forming, $\alpha$-selected galaxy at $z = 2.24$. Of the ALMA-studied SHiZELS parent sample (which is presented in a companion paper; Cheng et al. 2020), SHiZELS-14 is the most FIR luminous, with the largest of all $\alpha$-derived effective radii (4.6 ± 0.4 kpc) (Swinbank et al. 2012a, b; Gillman et al. 2019). Although its $\alpha$ flux is modest, it displays SMG-like dust continuum emission. Our observations comprise matched-resolution imaging of the $\alpha$ emission line (from SINFONI/VLT), rest-frame UV, and optical continuum (from HST), and the rest-frame far-infrared (from ALMA), as well as the radio continuum (from the Karl G. Jansky Very Large Array; JVLA). We find bright, extended structures in the multiwavelength imaging, with clear clumps in $\alpha$ and extended dust continuum emission. Given this extended structure and the high signal-to-noise that results from its high SFR, we have been able to resolve star formation on kpc scales at multiple wavelengths.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we provide an overview of the data available for our study of SHiZELS-14. We review the high quality, but less well-resolved multiwavelength data available from imaging of the COSMOS field, and present the new 0.15 arcsec resolution imaging from SINFONI/VLT, HST, ALMA, and JVLA. We discuss the astrometric alignment of these data in Section 2.8. In Section 3, we present the global properties of SHiZELS-14 that may be inferred from spectral energy distribution (SED) fitting. In Section 4, we present maps of the spatially resolved SFRs inferred from different SFR indicators, and derive a spatially resolved dust attenuation map. In Section 5, we compare the properties of SHiZELS-14 to the submillimetre galaxy population. In Section 6, we summarize our results.

We assume a $\Lambda$CDM cosmology with $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_M = 0.3$, and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$. We use a Kroupa (2002) initial mass function (IMF).

## 2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

The High-Redshift(Z) Emission Line Survey, HiZELS, used a combination of narrow-band and broad-band filters to select star-forming galaxies via their emission line fluxes (Sobral et al. 2013a, 2015) in fields with high-quality multiwavelength coverage (COSMOS, UDS & SA22). This survey has yielded thousands of $\alpha$ emitters at $z = 0.4, 0.8, 1.47,$ and $2.23$, providing sufficiently large samples to constrain $\alpha$ luminosity functions, stellar mass functions, and halo environments of typical star-forming galaxies around the peak of cosmic star formation (Geach et al. 2008; Sobral et al. 2009, 2010, 2014; Cochrane et al. 2017, 2018).

As well as providing the sample sizes for population studies such as these, HiZELS has also provided parent samples for more detailed follow-up observations (Sobral et al. 2013b; Magdis et al. 2016; Stott et al. 2016; Molina et al. 2017, 2019; Gillman et al. 2019). In particular, by exploiting the wide area HiZELS coverage, a sample of bright $\alpha$ emitters ($f_{\alpha} > 0.7 \times 10^{-16}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$) which by
chance lie within 30 arcsec of bright natural guide stars ($R<15$) could be identified and targeted for IFU spectroscopy of the H$_\alpha$ line using adaptive optics with the SINFONI Integral Field Unit on the Very Large Telescope (VLT). This campaign, known as SINFONI-HiZELS (SHiZELS), yielded high-resolution spectral maps for 20 galaxies at $z = 0.8$, $z = 1.47$, and $z = 2.23$ at $\sim 0.15$ arcsec (rest-frame $\sim 1$ kpc) resolution (see Swinbank et al. 2012a, b; Molina et al. 2017; Gillman et al. 2019).

We complemented these data with imaging at similar angular resolution but different wavelengths. Nine HiZELS galaxies were targeted at $\sim 0.2$ arcsec resolution with ALMA (Band 6 or 7, depending on redshift), to map the dust continuum emission (see Cheng et al. 2020). UVIS Imaging in the rest-frame UV (F606W) and rest-frame optical (F140W) filters obtained during HST Cycle 24 completes this data set. We now have FIR-UV-H$_\alpha$ matched-resolution observations of a small sample of HiZELS galaxies. Since these galaxies are H$_\alpha$-selected, they are likely to be a less biased subsample of the high-redshift star-forming galaxy population than UV-selected samples, which target the bluest and least dusty galaxies, at an epoch where dust is important (see Oteo et al. 2015).

Here, we present data for SHiZELS-14, which is the brightest, most extended, and more extreme source in our sample. SHiZELS-14 (10:00:51.6 +02:33:34.5) is a $z = 2.24$ galaxy, with high stellar mass ($M_* \sim 10^{11} M_\odot$; Swinbank et al. 2012a; Laigle et al. 2016), and a star-formation rate of $\sim 1000 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. These properties enable a detailed investigation of the multiwavelength extended structures of this galaxy. In the following subsections, we provide details of the new high-resolution imaging we have recently obtained as part of the SHiZELS campaign. We present new radio continuum imaging from the JVLA (at comparable angular resolution to the other new imaging), which were obtained only for SHiZELS-14. We also describe the existing data available for our multiwavelength characterization of this galaxy.

### 2.1 Resolved H$_\alpha$ emission from SINFONI

SINFONI observations of SHiZELS-14 took place in 2010 March, in good seeing and photometric conditions ($\sim 0.6$ arcsec), with total exposure time $12$ ks (each individual exposure was $600$ s). This yielded the sub-kpc resolution H$_\alpha$ map shown in the lower left-hand panel of Fig. 1. SHiZELS-14 was the only $z \sim 2.2$ source resolved in this initial Swinbank et al. (2012a, b) campaign (though note that five more $z \sim 2.2$ galaxies were targeted in the campaign presented by Molina et al. 2017).

Data reduction and analysis procedures are outlined in full in Swinbank et al. (2012a, b) (see also Molina et al. 2017 and Gillman et al. 2019). In summary, the SINFONI ESOREX data reduction pipeline was used to perform extraction, flat fielding, and wavelength calibration, and to create the data cube for each exposure. These data cubes were then stacked and combined using an average with a 3$\sigma$ clip, to reject cosmic rays and sky line residuals. Flux calibration was performed using observations of standard stars taken immediately before/after science exposures, which were reduced in the same way. H$_\alpha$ and [NII]$_\lambda\lambda 6548, 6583$ emission lines were fitted on a pixel-by-pixel basis, using a $\chi^2$ minimization procedure. This yielded intensity, velocity, and velocity dispersion maps. An angular resolution of $\sim 0.15$ arcsec was achieved. The spectral resolution of the instrument is $\lambda/\Delta\lambda \sim 4500$.

The H$_\alpha$ flux derived from the SINFONI observations of SHiZELS-14 was $1.6 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-16}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$. The H$_\alpha$-derived effective radius is $4.6 \pm 0.4$ kpc (Swinbank et al. 2012b). Using the same SINFONI data, Gillman et al. (2019) derive $V_{rot}/\sigma = 0.6 \pm 0.3$ (indicating that SHiZELS-14 is dispersion dominated), though, as noted by Swinbank et al. (2012a), this galaxy shows a substantial (in their paper, $480 \pm 40$ km s$^{-1}$) peak-to-peak velocity gradient. Swinbank et al. (2012a) comment that the 1D and 2D velocity fields are consistent with an early-stage prograde encounter. This suggests that the disordered morphology and extreme star formation may be related to a merger event.

### 2.2 Resolved UV and optical light from HST

SHiZELS-14 was observed over two HST orbits during Cycle 24 (Program 14719, PI: Best). One orbit (2700 s exposure) used the WFC3/UVIS F606W filter, and the other used the WFC3/IR F140W filter. Orbits were split into a 3-point dither pattern in the UVIS channel, as a compromise between maximizing sensitivity and subsampling the point spread function (PSF). Since angular resolution was preferred over sensitivity in the IR channel, a 4-point dither pattern was used for these orbits. At $z = 2.24$ (the redshift of SHiZELS-14), the filters correspond to the rest-frame near-UV at $\sim 1900$ Å, and the rest-frame optical at $\sim 4400$ Å. Our observations were designed to span the 4000 Å break, and therefore sample both young and more evolved stellar populations, in line-free regions of the galaxy spectrum. The HST images are made using standard HST procedures and shown in the upper panels of Fig. 1. We derive the effective radius of the F140W image via a 2D Sérsic profile fit, obtaining effective radius along the semimajor axis $R_{e, opt} = 4.6 \pm 0.2$ kpc (in good agreement with the H$_\alpha$ measurement) and axial ratio $q = 0.64$ (with a Sérsic index fixed at $n = 1$; fitting this parameter gives $n = 0.9$).

### 2.3 Resolved far-infrared emission from ALMA

SHiZELS-14 was observed with ALMA during 2016 August as part of ALMA Cycle 3 (project code 2015.1.00026.S, PI: Ibar). Our observations, taken in configuration C36-5, used Band 6 (260 GHz, 7.5 GHz bandwidth). The time on-source was 26 min. We used flux calibrator J1058+0133 and phase calibrator J0948+0022. These observations resolved the rest-frame 840 GHz (367 µm) emission of SHiZELS-14 at $\sim 0.2$ arcsec resolution.

The image was manually cleaned down to $3\sigma$ (rms $\sim 25$ µJy beam$^{-1}$) at the source position. We used Briggs (robust $= 0.5$) visibility weighting, which assigns higher weights to longer baselines, producing an image with higher angular resolution (see the image in the lower right-hand panel of Fig. 1). To investigate the impact of visibility weighting on the reduced ALMA image, we re-imaged the ALMA data using a natural weighting, which weights visibilities only by the rms noise (see the left-hand panel of Fig. 2). This method minimizes the noise level but provides poorer angular resolution, given that the density of visibilities falls towards the outskirts of the $uv$-plane and there is thus higher noise in the longer baseline visibilities. Using the re-reduced, lower angular resolution natural-weighted image, we probe to slightly lower flux density per beam. This will be used to assess the quality of our astrometric calibration in Section 2.8.

SHiZELS-14 has an observed-frame 260 GHz flux density of $2.7 \pm 0.2$ mJy. It displays a compact, $\sim 3$ kpc diameter core of dust emission, with extended emission contributing substantially to the flux. Its effective radius is notably larger due to this extended faint emission. We derive this radius using multiple methods. First, we fit a Gaussian model with varying axial ratio in the $uv$-plane, using CASA’s UVMODELFIT task (see Fig. A1). The effective radius along the semimajor axis, $R_{e, maj}$, is $4.5 \pm 0.2$ kpc, with fitted axial ratio $q$
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Figure 1. Astrometrically calibrated, high-resolution observations of SHiZELS-14 in the rest-frame UV (HST F606W filter; upper left-hand panel), rest-frame optical (HST F140W filter; upper right-hand panel), Hα (SINFONI/VLT; lower left) and dust continuum (rest-frame 370 μm imaging from ALMA, reduced with Briggs weighting; lower right). The red contours on all panels outline the ALMA dust continuum emission at 50, 200, and 300 μJy beam$^{-1}$. The green contours outline the 3σ emission Hα emission from SINFONI as described in Section 2.1. The pale grey contours outline the peak of the F140W image. The emission imaged by SINFONI, ALMA, and the HST F140W filter span the same extended region, but display very different morphologies. The peaks of the short-wavelength emission are clearly offset from the peaks of the dust continuum emission. This is particularly striking for the F606W UV emission, which is concentrated in regions with little dust emission and does not extend down to the southern regions that are clearly probed by the other bands.

$R_{\text{maj}}^{\text{FIR}} = 4.6 \pm 0.2$ kpc and $q = 0.47$ (with the Sérsic index fixed at $n = 1$; allowing this to vary gives $n = 1.1$). These measurements of effective radius are broadly consistent with those derived from the SINFONI Hα and the HST rest-frame optical data.

2.4 Existing radio observations from COSMOS-VLA

We make use of the deep existing radio observations in the COSMOS field from the VLA-COSMOS surveys. The VLA-COSMOS Large Project (Schinnerer et al. 2007) surveyed 2 deg$^2$ in VLA A- and C-array configurations at 1.4 GHz (20 cm). The project yielded images with rms noise $\sim 10–15$ μJy beam$^{-1}$ at angular resolution $1.5 \times 1.4$ arcsec$^2$. The VLA-COSMOS Deep project (Schinnerer et al. 2010) added further A-array observations at 1.4 GHz in the central region of the COSMOS field. The VLA-COSMOS 3 GHz Large Project (Smolčić et al. 2017) subsequently surveyed 2.6 deg$^2$ at a wavelength of 10 cm with the upgraded JVLA in A configuration, reaching a mean rms depth of $\sim 2.3$ μJy beam$^{-1}$ at 0.75 arcsec angular resolution.

SHiZELS-14 is one of the sources detected by these VLA surveys. The measured flux densities are $S_{1.4\text{GHz}} = 122 \pm 13$ μJy and $S_{3\text{GHz}} = 68 \pm 4$ μJy. From these two flux densities, we derive a radio spectral index of $\alpha = -0.77 \pm 0.16$ (where $S_{\nu} \propto \nu^\alpha$), in good agreement with measurements of star-forming galaxies (Condon 1992). The lower angular resolution of the radio images limits our
ability to probe resolved structure (see Fig. 2, centre panel), but the source is still extended at 0.75 arcsec resolution. We will use the total flux density to estimate a star-formation rate later in the paper.

2.5 New resolved radio observations from the JVLA

We obtained C-band (4 – 8 GHz) observations from SHiZELS-14 using 27 antennas of the JVLA, arranged in A-array configuration (~0.33 arcsec spatial resolution). Observations took place during 2019 October, as part of Cycle 19A (project 19A-205). We used 3C 147 for flux calibration, and J1024-008 for phase calibration. The data presented were obtained during a 4 h observing block, with around 3 h of on-source time.

We reduced the data using standard CASA calibration pipelines, and manually cleaned the images down to 2 μJy beam⁻¹. We present our Briggs-weighted image in Fig. 2. We obtain a total continuum flux density of 20 ± 2 μJy at 6 GHz. This is only roughly half the expected flux, based on the 1.4 and 3 GHz data (assuming the spectral index calculated from these observations, α = −0.77). One explanation for this is that the image is not sufficiently deep to resolve the lower surface brightness emission in the faint outskirts of the galaxy seen by ALMA. This would suggest that the radio emission takes the form of a bright compact core, with fainter extended structure (i.e. the radio emission is not driven primarily by a point source). This is consistent with the nature of the JVLA 3 GHz image, which is clearly extended even at lower angular resolution (Fig. 2, centre panel). The peak of the radio continuum emission coincides with the peak of the dust continuum emission, which gives confidence in the astrometric accuracy of our ALMA data (see Section 2.8).

2.6 Optical/IR data from the COSMOS field

A wealth of lower resolution data exists for this galaxy due to its location within the well-imaged COSMOS field (Scoville et al. 2007). At NUV-optical wavelengths, COSMOS was imaged in the u*-band from the Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope (CFHT/MegaCam), and in six broad bands (B, V, g, r, i, z +), 12 medium bands (IA427, IA464, IA484, IA505, IA527, IA574, IA624, IA679, IA709, IA738, IA767, and IA827), and two narrow bands (NB711, NB816), all from the COSMOS-20 survey (Subaru Suprime-Cam; Taniguchi et al. 2007, 2015). Y-band imaging was obtained with Hyper-Suprime-Cam on Subaru (HSC; Miyazaki et al. 2012). In the NIR, Y, J, H, and K, data are provided by the UltraVISTA-DR2 release (McCracken et al. 2015), which uses the VIRCAM instrument on the VISTA telescope. These are supplemented by H and K WIRCAM data from CFHT (McCracken et al. 2010). Mid-IR data are drawn from IRAC channels 1, 2, 3 and 4 (3.6, 4.5, 5.8 and 8.0 μm), collected by the Spitzer Large Area Survey with HSC (SPLASH survey; Lin et al. 2017; Capak et al., in preparation). Laigle et al. (2016) collate these observations and provide an NIR-selected photometric redshift catalogue. For consistency, we use their 3 arcsec diameter aperture fluxes extracted for SHiZELS-14. We tabulate these measurements in Table B1 of the Appendix, along with the new measurements from this paper.

In Fig. 3 we show deeper imaging from more recent surveys: the u'-band (from the CLAUDS survey on CFHT; Sawicki et al. 2019), i-band (from HSC-DR2; Aihara et al. 2019), and in the H and Ks bands from UltraVISTA-DR4. These show interesting differences, with emission in the u'-band peaking to the North East compared to the Ks-band emission (this is not driven by astrometric offsets in the u'-band data).

2.7 Data at mid-IR and far-IR wavelengths

We draw data at mid-IR and far-IR wavelengths from Spitzer and Herschel imaging. We adopt the 24 μm flux density from the Spitzer Multiband Imaging Photometer (MIPS; Rieke et al. 2004). The Herschel Multi-tiered Extragalactic Survey (HerMES; Oliver et al. 2012) targeted COSMOS at 100–500 μm. The survey used Herschel-Spectral and Photometric Imaging Receiver (SPIRE) at 250, 350, and 500 μm and the Herschel-Photodetector Array Camera and Spectrometer (PACS) at 100 and 160 μm. One of the main aims of
et al. 2017; Pearson et al. 2017). We use these publicly available, catalogue flux densities for SHiZELS-14 (see Table B1). Accurate astrometric alignment is critical when comparing multi-wavelength emission on small angular scales. However, due to the small fields of view of both the SINFONI (~3 × 3 arcsec²) and ALMA (~30 arcsec diameter) data, aligning the images is non-trivial. Here, we describe the alignment of the images.

The ALMA image is expected to be tied to the International Celestial Reference System (ICRS). Although calibration errors and self-calibration processes can lead to astrometric offsets, this is unlikely to be larger than the pixel level (0.06 arcsec). The JVLA data should also be on the ICRS, and the spatially coincident emission seen by the JVLA and ALMA (Fig. 2, right-hand panel), give us confidence in the astrometry of both.

We then align all other images to the ICRS. The SINFONI Hα image was aligned to the same reference frame as the main wide-field HiZELS survey images. We used a broad-band subtracted narrow-band image from HiZELS, which had been aligned to the Two Micron All-Sky Survey (2MASS), which itself uses the ICRS. We shifted the Hα image obtained from the SINFONI cube by subpixel quantities, and convolved down to the resolution of the broad-band image. Subtracting the images enabled a $\chi^2$ fit to define the optimal alignment. Based on these comparisons, we are able to achieve an accuracy on the SINFONI image alignment of ~0.2 arcsec.

We calibrated the astrometry of the HST images by aligning to HSC-DR2 (Aihara et al. 2019), which inherits its astrometric accuracy from Gaia. Sources were extracted from the HSC Y- and R-band images, as well as the two HST images, using the SExtractor software (Bertin & Arnouts 1996). We matched sources detected in the HSC Y-band and the HST F140W (and then the R-band and the HST F606W), and constructed histograms of the small offsets between their RA and Dec positions. The peaks of these histograms were selected as the offset to be applied to both the HST images (the same procedure was used in the companion paper; Cheng et al. 2020). We also performed this process using catalogued 2MASS sources, and derived essentially identical results. Based on this, and the narrow widths of both histograms, we estimate that the alignment is correct to within ~0.1 arcsec. Inspecting our images gives us confidence in the alignment. As shown in the middle panel of Fig. 2, there is faint ALMA flux in the regions that show extended F140W flux. The F140W image also aligns with the SINFONI image in terms of both area covered and areas where the flux peaks.

2.9 Morphologies of astrometrically calibrated images

Fig. 1 shows our four spatially resolved maps after these small astrometric corrections were applied. The emission in all bands is aligned along the same axis. However, the peak of the dust emission probed by ALMA (and confirmed by the 4 – 8GHz JVLA imaging) is clearly offset from the peaks of the FUV and Hα emission. These offsets are far larger than the residual astrometric uncertainties (0.1–0.2 arcsec). The dust emission is centrally concentrated, whereas there are a number of Hα peaks along the extended region where dust emission is faint. There is a peak in the emission from both HST bands towards the north-east of the image, yet no detectable dust emission. This is in line with the excess in the CFHT α-band emission (compared to the longer wavelength bands) shown in Fig. 3. Such offsets are seen in observed dusty galaxies (e.g. Chen et al. 2015, 2017), and also in simulations (Cochrane et al. 2019).

3 GLOBAL PROPERTIES OF SHIZELS-14

Before examining the resolved structures of SHIZELS-14 further, we place these into context by deriving the global properties of the galaxy.
3.1 SED fitting with MAGPHYS

Spectral energy distribution (SED) fitting provides a powerful basis for estimating galaxy properties from photometry. The MAGPHYS energy balance SED fitting code (Da Cunha et al. 2008, 2015; Battisti et al. 2019) was used to derive physical parameters in Cheng et al. (2020). We provide details of the fitting here. MAGPHYS employs an energy balance method to match the attenuation of the stellar emission in the UV/optical by dust, and the re-radiation of this energy in the far-infrared. The code uses the stellar population models of Bruzual & Charlot (2003), with a Chabrier IMF (Chabrier 2003) and metallicities between 0.2 and 2 times solar. The star-formation history (SFH) is parametrized as a continuous delayed exponential function and to reproduce starbursts, MAGPHYS also adds bursts to the star-formation history. Dust attenuation is modelled using two components following Charlot & Fall (2000). The code was extensively tested with both observational constraints on SMGs and against model star-forming galaxies from the EAGLE simulation by Dudzeviciute et al. (2020) and shown to perform well for these highly dust-obscured galaxies.

We fit the photometry presented in Table B1. We estimate log_{10} M_*/M_☉ = 11.2 ± 0.1, log_{10} M_{dust}/M_☉ = 8.9 ± 0.1, and SFR = 690 ± 30 M_☉ yr^{-1} (see Table 1). The estimated total infrared luminosity is log_{10} L_{TIR}/L_☉ = 12.85 ± 0.01, and the estimated dust attenuation in the V band is A_V = 1.9 ± 0.1. We obtain consistent results using another SED fitting code, BAGPIPES (see appendix). We have also used BAGPIPES to experiment with different SFH parametrizations, which yield very similar fits to the photometry and consistent values for stellar mass. All parametrizations, even those allowing multiple bursts, favour a recent (at z ∼ 2.24), rapid burst of star formation in which the vast majority of the stellar mass is formed.

3.2 Fitting the dust SED

To assess the sensitivity of the derived MAGPHYS parameters in the far-infrared, we also fit the MIR-to-FIR SED of SHIZE114 separately, using only data from ALMA and Herschel. We parametrize the emission from cold and warm dust using a simple two-body model:

\[ f_\nu(A) = A_{\text{warm}} \lambda^{-\beta_{\text{warm}}} B_\nu(T_{\text{warm}}) + A_{\text{cold}} \lambda^{-\beta_{\text{cold}}} B_\nu(T_{\text{cold}}), \]

where A_{warm} and A_{cold} are normalizations and B_\nu(T) is the Planck function, from dust grains radiating at rest frequency ν, at temperature T. All wavelengths were input at their rest-frame. In line with the literature, we have fixed β = 2 for both the cold and warm dust components, to minimize the number of fitting parameters. We use the EMCEE MCMC python package (Foreman-Mackey 2016), with 300 walkers and 5000 steps. This yields posterior estimates: log_{10} A_{warm} = 5.4 ± 0.3, T_{warm} = 64 ± 6 K, log_{10} A_{cold} = 7.6 ± 0.1, and T_{cold} = 28 ± 2 K. The best-fitting model is shown in Fig. 5. Note that there is a known strong degeneracy between β_{cold} and T_{cold}, and a 5-parameter fit that allows β_{cold} to vary favours a higher β_{cold} and a lower T_{cold}.

To derive the dust temperature in a consistent way to other studies in the literature, we additionally fit a single modified blackbody model to the data, also shown in Fig. 5. We derive a characteristic dust temperature of T_{dust} = 32 ± 2 K, in good agreement with the values derived by Dudzeviciute et al. (2020) for their sample of SMGs. However, this single component model struggles to fit the 100 µm PACS data point, and it is necessary to boost the errors on that point artificially to get a good fit to the longer wavelength data. This suggests a contribution from hotter dust, perhaps indicative of an obscured AGN. We will discuss this further in Section 3.6.

3.3 Calculation of cold dust mass and TIR luminosity

Assuming the dust is optically thin at the rest-frame frequency, the dust mass is given by (e.g. James et al. 2002):

\[ M_{\text{dust}} = \frac{1}{1 + z} \frac{S_{\text{obs}} D_L^2}{\kappa_{\text{dust}} B(T_{\text{dust}})}, \]

where S_{obs} = 2.7 mJy is the observed flux density of the source at 260 GHz, D_L is the luminosity distance, ν = 836 GHz is the rest-frame frequency, k_{dust} is the mass absorption coefficient at this frequency, and T_{dust} = 32 ± 2 K is the characteristic temperature derived from the single component modified blackbody fit. We used κ_{dust} = 0.07 ± 0.02 m^2 kg^{-1} (James et al. 2002), which gives log_{10} M_{dust}/M_☉ = 9.0 ± 0.1 (in good agreement with the value derived from MAGPHYS fits, log_{10} M_{dust}/M_☉ = 8.9 ± 0.1). These estimates are consistent with a high dust-to-stellar mass ratio, log_{10} M_{dust}/M_* = -2.2 ± 0.2, which is comparable to the ratios derived by Calura et al. (2017) for SMGs of stellar mass ~10^{11} M_☉ at z ~ 1–3 (see also Section 5). We also integrate the two-body fits at wavelengths 8–1000 µm within the MCMC fit (enabling us to fold in the correlations between fitted parameters), obtaining an estimate for the total IR luminosity, log_{10} (L_{\text{TIR}}/\text{erg s}^{-1}) = 46.39 ± 0.02, and log_{10} (L_{\text{TIR}}/L_☉) = 12.81 ± 0.02. The TIR-based SFR is 950 ± 50 M_☉ yr^{-1} (using the Kennicutt & Evans 2012 SFR calibration, with a Kroupa 2002 IMF).

3.4 The inappropriateness of the IRX – β relation

The IRX – β relation (Calzetti, Kinney & Storchi-Bergmann 1994; Meurer, Heckman & Calzetti 1999) between the ratio of the FIR and UV luminosity (IRX = L_{FIR}/L_{1600}) and the spectral slope (β, where f_\nu(ν^\beta) evaluated at 1600 Å is a popular method used to infer SFRs where only rest-frame UV luminosities are available. This appears to work for samples of galaxies with relatively low dust content (especially at very high redshift). However, individual galaxies show a large amount of scatter around this relation, and it has been shown
Table 2. The global SFR of SHiZELS-14, derived from different combinations of SFR indicators, using a Kroupa (2002) IMF. Inferring an SFR from dust-uncorrected fluxes at short-wavelengths yields SFRs of < 50 M⊙ yr⁻¹. These UV or Hα-inferred SFRs lie well below the values derived from the TIR or radio (SFR = 1000–2000 M⊙ yr⁻¹). Applying either a standard dust correction corresponding to A_Hα = 1 or a stellar mass dependent dust correction provides only a modest increase in Hα-derived SFR (SFR = 100–200 M⊙ yr⁻¹). Similarly, correcting the UV-derived SFR using the IRX-β relation derived using HST data only raises the SFR to 300 M⊙ yr⁻¹. We also estimate A_Hα and A_1600 by scaling the A_V derived from MAGPHYS according to a Calzetti et al. (2000) law. This correction brings the UV-derived SFR into better agreement with the MAGPHYS-derived SFR, however the Hα-derived SFR remains low, indicating additional extinction of the A_Hα line. Including additional extinction of the A_Hα line according to Charlot & Fall (2000) brings the Hα-derived SFR into line with the FIR estimate (SFR ~ 1000 M⊙ yr⁻¹).

| Waveband (Instrument) | Formula for log_{10}(SFR/M⊙ yr⁻¹) | SFR/M⊙ yr⁻¹ |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| SFRs from individual tracers | log_{10}(L_{TIR}/erg s⁻¹) – 43.41 | 950 ± 50 |
| TIR_{4–1000 μm} (dust SED fit) | log_{10}(L_{1.4GHz,rest}/erg s⁻¹ Hz⁻¹) – 28.43 | 1180 ± 100 |
| Radio (1.4 GHz, VLA, Bell 2003 conversion) | log_{10}(L_{1.4GHz,rest}/erg s⁻¹ Hz⁻¹) – 28.2 | 2010 ± 170 |
| Radio (1.4 GHz, VLA, Kennicutt & Evans 2012) | log_{10}(L_{1.4GHz,rest}/erg s⁻¹) – 41.27 | 33 ± 2 |
| Hα (SINFONI/VLT) | L_{Hα} corrected using 1 mag dust extinction | 83 ± 5 |
| “ | L_{Hα} corrected using M_∗-dependent dust extinction | 180 ± 10 |
| of Garn et al. (2010), log_{10} M_*/M⊙ = 11.2 | L_{Hα} corrected using A_{Hα} = 1.6 ± 0.1, derived from scaled A_V | 140 ± 20 |
| “ | L_{Hα} corrected using A_{Hα} = 3.7 ± 0.1, derived from scaled A_V and | 1000 ± 100 |
| preferential extinction of birth clouds | log_{10}(νL_{ν}/erg s⁻¹) – 43.17 | 13 ± 1 |
| FUV (HST F606W) | Corrected using A_{1600} derived from β, with β = −0.5 ± 0.1 | 300 ± 30 |
| “ | Corrected using A_{UV} = 4.3 ± 0.2, derived from scaled A_V | 680 ± 130 |
| SFRs from combinations of tracers | L_{ν,corr} = L_{ν,obs} + 0.27 L_{TIR}, L_ν = SFR conversion above | 440 ± 20 |
| FUV + TIR | L_{Hα,corr} = L_{Hα,obs} + 0.0024 L_{TIR}, L_{Hα} = SFR conversion above | 330 ± 20 |
| “ | L_{FUV,corr} = L_{FUV,obs} + 4.2 × 10^{11} L_{1.4GHz} | 990 ± 80 |
| SFRs from SED fitting | – | 690 ± 30 |
| MAGPHYS | – | 660 ± 60 |
| BAGPIPES | – | – |

that this method is not appropriate for highly star-forming galaxies (e.g. Casey, Narayanan & Cooray 2014; Chen et al. 2017; Narayanan et al. 2018), although it is difficult to identify these based on their UV properties.

We can derive both IRX and β for SHiZELS-14. We use the publicly available HST I_814-band image (λ_{mean} = 8100 Å, rest-frame λ_{mean} = 2500 Å), along with our own F606W images (λ_{mean} = 6000 Å, rest-frame λ_{mean} = 1850 Å), to calculate β. Adopting our derived β = −0.5 ± 0.1, and applying the relation A_{1600} = 4.43 + 1.99β, we derive A_{1600} = 3.4 ± 0.2 (lower than the A_{1600} estimated from scaling the A_V obtained via SED fitting; see Table 2). Correcting the global SFR inferred from the FUV flux accordingly yields SFR = 300 ± 70 M⊙ yr⁻¹. This estimate is approximately two times lower than the SFR inferred from the SED fitting presented in Sections 3.1 and 3.2, and three times lower than the TIR-derived SFR in Section 3.3. We calculate IRX using the TIR luminosity derived in Section 3.3, and the rest-frame 1851 Å luminosity. Globally, the galaxy has log_{10} IRX = 2.09 ± 0.06. In combination with the derived β, this places it ~0.7 ± 0.1 dex above the Meurer et al. (1999) relation. This highlights that the galaxy has a higher TIR luminosity than expected from the derived UV slope, or, equivalently, a much shallower UV slope than would be expected given the ratio of the global TIR luminosity to UV luminosity. This is likely to be because the UV and FIR emission are not collocated, as shown in Fig. 1. Because of this, the UV slope is measured from the UV emission escaping from one region of the galaxy, which is not where most of the FIR emission arises (see also Goldader et al. 2002; Cochrane et al. 2019). SHiZELS-14 highlights that the IRX relation does not provide reliable estimates of the FIR emission for the most dusty galaxies, as also argued by Chen et al. (2017).

3.5 Global SFR estimation

In Table 2 we present global SFR estimates from global measurements in different wavebands, using the calibrations of Kennicutt & Evans (2012) and assuming a Kroupa (2002) IMF. It is clear that applying standard SFR calibrations to flux measurements that probe star formation via direct emission at shorter wavelengths predict vastly lower SFRs than the dust-obscured tracers. This suggests that the deficit in global SFR derived from the dust-sensitive SFR indicators is due to the highly dusty nature of this galaxy. In the following section, we explore the differences in the spatially resolved SFRs, derived at different wavelengths.

3.6 The lack of evidence for AGN activity

As discussed in Section 3.5, the SFRs derived from the UV, Hα, and FIR differ greatly. In this section, we investigate whether the presence of an active galactic nucleus (AGN) could be a factor in this. In this scenario, the extreme dust continuum emission towards the centre of the galaxy could be powered by heating from a central AGN, rather than a compact region of star formation. Since different types of AGN emit in different wavebands (see Heckman & Best 2014 for a review, and Garn et al. 2010 for a discussion of AGN within the HiZELS sample), identification of AGN requires a multiwavelength approach. Here, we use some of the key methods for AGN identification to hunt for signs of AGN activity.
3.6.1 No X-ray detection

X-ray emission probes the accretion disc corona very close to a supermassive black hole. The *Chandra* COSMOS-Legacy Survey (Civano et al. 2016) imaged 2.2 deg² of the COSMOS field in the wavelength range 0.5–10 keV. SHiZELS-14 lies in the central region of the COSMOS-Legacy field, where effective exposure times are ~160 ks. The limiting depths are $2.2 \times 10^{-16}$, $1.5 \times 10^{-15}$, and $8.9 \times 10^{-16}$ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ in the bands 0.5–2, 2–10, and 0.5–10 keV, respectively. At these limiting depths, SHiZELS-14 is undetected. We derive a limit on the rest-frame hard-band 1.4 GHz luminosity following Alexander et al. (2003): $L_{1.4\,\text{GHz},\,\text{rest}} = 4\pi D_L^2 f_{1.4\,\text{GHz}}(1 + z)^{-2}$, where $D_L$ is the luminosity distance, $f_{1.4\,\text{GHz}}$ is the 1.4 GHz flux density, and $z$ is the redshift. For SHiZELS-14, this gives $L_{1.4\,\text{GHz},\,\text{rest}} < 5.1 \times 10^{26}$ erg s⁻¹.

We can predict the X-ray luminosity associated with star formation using the $L_{2-10}-$SFR calibration proposed by Kennicutt & Evans (2012) and the SFR measured from the other indicators. Given the SFR derived from the dust SED fit, $950 \pm 50 \, M_\odot$ yr⁻¹, we estimate $L_{2-10} = (5.6 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{24}$ erg s⁻¹. This is an order of magnitude lower than the limit imposed by the survey depth. Therefore, the lack of an X-ray detection is consistent with SHiZELS-14 being a star-forming galaxy.

3.6.2 No [NII]/Hα excess

The ratio of [NII]-to-Hα line flux reflects the hardness of the ionizing source driving the nebular emission, and hence can be used to infer the presence of an AGN, often in combination with other line ratios (e.g. Baldwin, Phillips & Terlevich 1981). For SHiZELS-14, there is no evidence for a strong excess in [NII]/Hα. For the clump nearest the peak of the rest-frame FIR emission, [NII]/Hα = 0.12 (Swinbank et al. 2012b, clump 14a), well within the range expected for star-forming regions (e.g. Kewley et al. 2006). Swinbank et al. (2012a) show that the [NII]/Hα radial profile of SHiZELS-14 is slightly negative, in line with the rest of the SHiZELS sample. The derived gradients reflect slightly enhanced metallicity towards the central regions of the SHiZELS galaxies, consistent with simulations of star-forming galaxies of similar mass and redshift (e.g. Ma et al. 2017).

3.6.3 No mid-infrared excess

Obscured AGNs are characterized by a strong mid-infrared (rest-frame ~3–30 μm) excess, produced by a dusty obscuring torus. Our MAGPHYS fit (Fig. 4) shows no sign of such an excess, being well-fitted by an SED constructed without AGN templates. Fitting the SED with CIGALE, which does allow for the inclusion of emission from AGN, provides no evidence of an AGN ($f_{\text{AGN},\,\text{best}} = 0.001$). In addition to this, the characteristic temperature derived from fits to the dust SED (which is well-constrained due to the known redshift) is $32 \pm 2$ K, well within the normal range for star-forming galaxies. However, a single modified blackbody fails to fit the shortest wavelength PACS data point, indicating non-negligible emission from hotter dust. This could hint at some contribution to the FIR emission from an obscured AGN, though there are numerous examples of hot dust associated with star formation (e.g. Magnelli et al. 2014; Faisst et al. 2020).

3.6.4 Position on the IR-radio relation consistent with star formation

The ratio of IR to radio luminosity (e.g. Appleton et al. 2004) is frequently employed to separate radio-loud AGN from star-forming galaxies. Following Ivison et al. (2010), we use the following equation with the TIR luminosity calculated in Section 3.3:

$$q_{\text{TIR}} = \log \left( \frac{L_{\text{TIR}}}{3.75 \times 10^{12} \, \text{W}} \right) - \log \left( \frac{L_{1.4\,\text{GHz},\,\text{rest}}}{100 \, \text{W} \, \text{Hz}^{-1}} \right).$$

The rest-frame 1.4 GHz luminosity is

$$L_{1.4\,\text{GHz},\,\text{rest}} = \frac{4\pi D_L^2}{(1+z)^2} \left( \frac{v_{1.4\,\text{GHz}}}{v_{\text{obs}}} \right)^2 S_{1.4\,\text{GHz},\,\text{obs}} = 10^{24.54 \pm 0.08} \, \text{W} \, \text{Hz}^{-1}. $$

We assume a spectral index $\alpha = -0.77$, derived from the VLA 3 and 1.4 GHz data. This gives $q_{\text{TIR}} = 2.28 \pm 0.10$. This is broadly in line with the distribution of $q_{\text{TIR}}$ values for the 250 μm selected sample of Ivison et al. (2010) (median $q_{\text{TIR}} = 2.4$, $\sigma_q = 0.24$; see also Algera et al. 2020). The $q_{\text{TIR}}$ value for SHiZELS-14 is well within 1σ of the median relation derived for star-forming galaxies. This indicates that the radio continuum emission is not contaminated by a compact radio core. Overall, we find no evidence that SHiZELS-14 is host to a radio-loud AGN.

4 RESOLVED STAR-FORMATION RATES AND DUST ATTENUATION

4.1 Resolved star-formation rates

In Fig. 6, we present maps of SFR surface density, derived for each of the four SFR tracers using the luminosity–SFR calibrations of Kennicutt & Evans (2012) and Bell (2003). In order to do this, we assume that these global calibrations are also valid on smaller spatial scales, which may not be the case. In reality, gradients in dust temperature and opacity (e.g. Galametz et al. 2012) may apply to the TIR model, and gradients in the reddening will influence the Hα and UV maps. The radio emission is sensitive to cosmic ray propagation and starburst age, which likely results in smoother and more extended emission than the true SFR distribution (Thomson et al. 2019). Making this assumption and adopting standard SFR calibrations, it is clear from Fig. 6 that the SFRs derived from the four indicators differ across the galaxy. To investigate this more quantitatively, we derive star-formation rate radial profiles by applying Kennicutt & Evans (2012) calibrations to the rest-frame FUV F606W, Hα, and TIR flux maps (see Fig. 7, thick dashed lines). The three profiles are discrepant, with the TIR-based SFR profile increasing sharply towards the centre, and the FUV-derived profile decreasing at radii smaller than ~2 kpc, in line with the ‘hole’ observed at the position of the peak of the dust continuum emission (see Fig. 6). Without any corrections for dust attenuation, the FUV and Hα-derived SFRs are lower than the FIR-derived SFR across the radial extent of the galaxy. The FUV profile broadly follows the Hα profile in shape, but with a different normalization. The FUV is most strongly attenuated by dust, and yields the lowest dust-uncorrected SFRs across the galaxy. Thus, the discrepancy between the SFRs derived globally cannot be attributed solely to the compact dusty centre of the galaxy, though this is where the measurements are most discrepant. Instead, short-wavelength light is attenuated across the galaxy.

We also show the effects of applying a dust correction. $A_{\text{H}\alpha}$ and $A_{\text{UV}}$ are calculated from the MAGPHYS-derived $A_V$, according to the Calzetti et al. (2000) law and a Charlot & Fall (2000) birth cloud attenuation. These dust corrections bring the outermost regions of the FUV and Hα profiles further towards agreement at radii greater than ~2 kpc (see transparent solid lines). However, it is clear that the UV and Hα-derived SFR estimates are much lower than the TIR-
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4.3 Origin of the observed rest-frame UV flux

While the H\(\alpha\) emission traces broadly the same spatial extent as the rest-frame FIR emission, the rest-frame UV emission is concentrated towards the north-east of the galaxy. Assuming that H\(\alpha\) and UV are probing the same star formation, we can predict the observed UV flux, \(I_{\text{obs,UV}}\), from the observed H\(\alpha\) flux, \(I_{\text{obs,H\(\alpha\)}}\), using the \(A_{H\alpha}\) map shown in Fig. 8 and:

\[
I_{\text{obs,H\(\alpha\)}} = I_{\text{obs,H\(\alpha\)}} 10^{0.4A_{H\alpha}} = \frac{I_{\text{H\(\alpha\)}}}{I_{\text{obs,H\(\alpha\)}}} \times I_{\text{obs,H\(\alpha\)}} 10^{0.4A_{H\alpha}}. \tag{7}
\]

The predicted UV flux map is highly dependent on the assumed relation between \(A_{H\alpha}\) and \(A_{H\alpha}\); if we account for extra attenuation towards birth clouds according to Charlot & Fall (2000), the predicted UV flux is slightly higher than observed, and extends towards the south-west end of the galaxy. If we use a lower \(k_{B_e}\) based on the continuum \(k_{B_e}\) the flux falls below the noise level of the HST image across the galaxy’s spatial extent.

Our modelling suggests that it is not possible to predict the observed morphology of the rest-frame UV emission from the combination of the H\(\alpha\) image and the \(A_{H\alpha}\) map. This may imply that the recent star formation (probed by H\(\alpha\)) is attenuated so strongly as to be undetectable in our F606W HST image. In this case, the UV flux that we do observe is tracing star formation on slightly
Figure 6. Maps of SFR surface density, derived for each of the four SFR indicators using the luminosity–SFR calibrations of Kennicutt & Evans (2012) (upper two and lower left-hand panels) and Bell (2003) (lower right-hand panel). Note that dust corrections were not applied to the UV or Hα maps. Pixels that have fluxes below the minimum of our $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ scale ($0.07 \, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}\text{kpc}^{-2}$) are coloured white to avoid overly noisy images. We plot the maps on the same SFR scale, to compare the SFRs directly, and show the position of the peak of the ALMA emission as a black cross on each panel. We also overplot three concentric rings, of radii 2, 4, and 6 kpc. It is clear that the derived SFRs differ across the spatial extent of the galaxy, not only in its dusty centre. The UV map shows a ‘hole’ where the rest-frame FIR emission peaks. As shown in Fig. 2, the angular resolution of the radio imaging is lower than the other images, which causes the emission to appear more extended.

longer time-scales. This scenario is consistent with the peak of the stellar mass lying towards the north-east of the Hα flux (see the F140W image). Indeed, qualitatively, we are broadly able to model the observed UV emission by assuming that, before obscuration, the UV light traces the same region as the optical image. If we then apply a dust attenuation map like the one shown in Fig. 8, we recover a peak of UV emission in the region that is observed. A detailed quantitative comparison of this would require assumptions about the relation between rest-frame UV and rest-frame optical light, which is sensitive to the age and metallicity of the starburst.

5 SHiZELS-14 IN THE CONTEXT OF THE SUB-MILLIMETRE GALAXY POPULATION

SHiZELS-14 was identified by the HiZELS survey, which uses an Hα-based selection and largely probes typical star-forming galaxies, assuming typical extinction. However, it displays a number of extreme properties including high star-formation rate, dust mass, and dust attenuation, and a TIR luminosity that places it in the ULIRG regime. In this section, we examine SHiZELS-14 in the context of submillimetre galaxies at similar redshifts.

We compare SHiZELS-14 to galaxies from the ALMA follow-up of the SCUBA-2 Cosmology Legacy Survey’s UKIDSS-UDS field (AS2UDS; Stach et al. 2018, 2019; Dudzeviciute et al. 2020). This is drawn from a $\sim 1 \, \text{deg}^2$ SCUBA-2 survey. The ALMA pointings target $\sim 700$ submillimetre luminous ($S_{870} \gtrsim 1 \, \text{mJy}$) galaxies, with median redshift $z_{\text{phot}} = 2.61 \pm 0.09$ (Dudzeviciute et al. 2020). In Fig. 9 (left-hand panel), we show the distribution of dust-to-stellar mass ratio versus total infrared luminosity for the AS2UDS SMGs from the analysis of Dudzeviciute et al. (2020) (red circles). SHiZELS-14 lies above the average of the galaxies in TIR luminosity, but has a fairly unremarkable dust mass-to-stellar mass ratio. In the right-hand panel of the same figure, we show the effective radius of the dust continuum emission along the semimajor axis versus the total infrared luminosity for a subsample of the AS2UDS sources with higher spatial resolution ALMA observations from Gullberg
Like other SMGs, SHiZELS-14 displays a compact core of dust continuum emission. As seen from Fig. 1, it also has substantial extended emission, which we are able to resolve due to our deep ALMA imaging. Gullberg et al. (2019) discuss the possibility of an extended component in the AS2UDS SMGs. For sources with SCUBA-2 flux densities brighter than 4 mJy beam$^{-1}$ (SHiZELS-14 is in this class), the median flux recovery from the ALMA pointings is 97.1$\pm$1 per cent (Stach et al. 2019). For sources with fainter SCUBA-2 flux densities (2.5 $\leq$ S$_{350}$ $\leq$ 2.9 mJy beam$^{-1}$), the median flux recovery of those with ALMA detections is 88 $\pm$ 6 per cent. These high levels of flux recovery suggest that the rest-frame FIR emission of the AS2UDS SMGs is genuinely very compact, and not dominated by extended emission below the surface brightness limit of the ALMA observations. Gullberg et al. (2019) further characterize the extended emission using a stacking analysis. Their stacked profile is well-fitted by a two-component model, consisting of two Sérsic profiles of effective radii $\sim$0.1 and $\sim$0.5 arcsec. The extended component accounts for only 13 $\pm$ 1 per cent of the integrated modelled emission on average. While probing to lower surface brightness might increase the effective radii measured (particularly if the fainter flux is substantially more extended than the core), the central 1 $-$ 2 kpc will remain the dominant source of flux. Small et al. (2021) derive a statistical correction to the AS2UDS source sizes, using a flux-weighted sum of the measured size presented by Gullberg et al. (2019) and a 0.5 arcsec component with flux density 0.5 mJy. This increases their source radii by a fairly modest factor of 1.3$^{+0.25}_{-0.13}$. We apply this correction to the AS2UDS data, and note that SHiZELS-14 remains an outlier. The $\sim$4kpc component that contributes only $\sim$10 per cent of the total flux for the ASUDS SMGs is the dominant component for SHiZELS-14, which displays an extended disc-like structure that is well-fitted by a single 2D Sérsic profile with $R_{\text{maj}}^{\text{eff,ALMA}} = 4.6$ kpc, $n = 1$, and $q = 0.47$. SHiZELS-14 is genuinely more extended than the majority of the AS2UDS SMGs, perhaps due to being a mid-stage merger.

We also compare SHiZELS-14 to a sample of $K$-band identified, stellar mass-selected ($M_*>10^{11}\,M_\odot$), UVJ-classified star-forming, intermediate redshift ($z=1.9$–2.6) galaxies studied by Tadaki et al. (2020). Unlike the AS2UDS sources, these galaxies were not explicitly selected to be submillimetre bright. For the 69 of their sources that lie in UDS, we make use of the same multiwavelength parent catalogues used in Dudzeviciute et al. (2020) for the AS2UDS galaxies, and repeat the MAGPHYS SED fitting procedure. We also adopt the effective radii presented by Tadaki et al. (2020), obtained via fitting in the $uv$ plane (with fixed $n = 1$). Our new MAGPHYS fits and these radii together provide the physical properties of a complementary sample of star-forming galaxies with similar stellar masses and redshifts to SHiZELS-14.

In line with their selection, the Tadaki et al. (2020) sample typically have lower dust masses and TIR luminosities than the AS2UDS sample (median $\log_{10}(L_{\text{TIR}}/L_\odot) = 12.5$ for the AS2UDS sample, compared to 12.0 for the Tadaki et al. (2020) sample), as well as lower dust temperatures (median $T_{\text{dust}} = 40.7$ K for the AS2UDS sample, compared to 35.0 K for the Tadaki et al. (2020) sample, though see the caveats noted in Dudzeviciute et al. (2020) regarding discrepancies between MAGPHYS-derived dust temperatures and those inferred from modified black-body fitting). As shown in the right-hand panel of Fig. 9, the Tadaki et al. (2020) sample occupies a different region of the $R_e$ versus LIR plane to the AS2UDS galaxies. These less TIR-luminous galaxies tend to have larger sizes (median $R_{\text{maj}}^{\text{eff,ALMA}}(n = 1) = 1.8$ kpc, and 11 galaxies have $R_{\text{maj}}^{\text{eff,ALMA}}(n = 1) > 3$ kpc). This result is broadly consistent with the large sizes ($R_{\text{maj}}^{\text{eff,ALMA}}$ $\sim$5 kpc) of the broader SHiZELS sample, presented in Cheng et al. (2020); all but one of these (SHiZELS-14) have lower TIR luminosities than the AS2UDS sample ($\log_{10}(L_{\text{TIR}}/L_\odot) < 12$). The most luminous of the Tadaki et al. (2020) sample ($\log_{10}(L_{\text{TIR}}/L_\odot) \geq 12.5$) are just as compact as the AS2UDS sources.

Here, we relate the observed morphology of the dust continuum emission to the physical processes taking place within star-forming galaxies around the peak of cosmic star formation. As discussed by Cheng et al. (2020), the extended dust continuum emission observed in the less-FIR luminous SHiZELS galaxies suggests a dominant component of extended, disc-wide star formation; in contrast, the emission from sub-millimetre selected galaxies appears to be dominated by a compact, nuclear starburst. SHiZELS-14 is an outlier in the sense that it has both a submillimetre bright compact core and very extended emission. Tadaki et al. (2020) show that the most compact galaxies in their sample tend to have high gas fractions (derived via $S_{870\,\text{GHz}}$), and argue that this reflects efficient radial gas inflows. Numerical simulations have long shown that galaxy mergers are capable of triggering tidally driven gas inflows (Hernquist 1989; Barnes & Hernquist 1991), which can cause strong nuclear starbursts (e.g. Mihos & Hernquist 1994, 1996; Hopkins et al. 2013; Moreno et al. 2015). However, observations of local galaxies such as the Antennae system demonstrate that galaxy interactions can also trigger widespread star formation that is not limited to a compact, nuclear region (Wang et al. 2004). More recent, high resolution simulations show that these observations can be explained via merger-driven injections of turbulence into the ISM: extended compression results in fragmentation into dense, star-forming gas,
Figure 8. Left-hand panel: the ratio of TIR-derived SFR to H\(\alpha\)-derived SFR, assuming the luminosity–SFR calibrations of Kennicutt & Evans (2012), without any correction for dust attenuation. The TIR-derived SFR is larger than that derived from H\(\alpha\) across the full extent of the galaxy, but the estimates are discrepant by a factor of \(\sim 50\) in the dusty central region. Right-hand panel: the dust attenuation \(A_{H\alpha}\) derived from this ratio. Where the H\(\alpha\) flux is below the detection limit, neither ratio nor \(A_{H\alpha}\) value is plotted. \(A_{H\alpha}\) varies across the galaxy, within a broad range \(A_{H\alpha} \sim 2–6\). Surveys such as HiZELS often assume a modest global dust correction of \(A_{H\alpha} = 1\), but the dust attenuation of SHiZELS-14 derived here is well in excess of this value. ALMA contours are overlaid on both panels in red.

Figure 9. Left-hand panel: dust mass-to-stellar mass ratio versus total infrared luminosity, for the AS2UDS submillimetre bright galaxies (red circles; Dudzeviciute et al. 2020), the subset of stellar mass-selected galaxies from Tadaki et al. (2020) that are in UDS and have size measurements, and the three TIR-brightest SHiZELS galaxies from Cheng et al. (2020) (black). SHiZELS-14 (black star) is well within the range of both parameters derived for the submillimetre galaxy population. Dust mass and stellar mass are derived using MAGPHYS for SHiZELS-14 and the AS2UDS and Tadaki et al. (2020) samples. Right-hand panel: effective radius (along the semimajor axis) versus total infrared luminosity for the subsample of these galaxies targeted at higher spatial resolution with ALMA, presented by Gullberg et al. (2019), with the small statistical correction derived by Smail et al. (2021) applied to the source sizes. The grey line at \(R_{e, ALMA} = 4\) kpc marks the effective radius of the faint extended component that is measured in the stacking analysis of Gullberg et al. (2019). For both the Gullberg et al. (2019) sample and SHiZELS-14, radii were calculated using 2D Sérsic fits (with fixed \(n = 1\)) in the image plane. For the remaining SHiZELS galaxies, radii were derived using a curve-of-growth analysis (Cheng et al. 2020). For the Tadaki et al. (2020) sample, radii were derived using Gaussian model fits in the \(uv\) plane. SHiZELS-14 has a much larger effective radius (as measured in the rest-frame FIR) than the majority of the AS2UDS galaxies, though several less FIR-luminous galaxies in the Tadaki et al. (2020) sample are similarly extended. The extended dust emission suggests that SHiZELS-14 is caught in the mid-stages of a merger.

and spatially extended starburst activity (Renaud et al. 2014; Renaud, Bournaud & Duc 2015). Renaud et al. (2015) argue that this process is particularly important in the early and mid-stages of a galaxy merger: during the first two simulated pericentre passages, star clusters form kiloparsecs from the galactic nucleus, with the central starburst dominating only from the beginning of the final coalescence. This progression of star formation from extended to compact as the merger unfolds is also consistent with observations.
of local galaxies (Pan et al. 2019). The extended star formation observed in SHiZELS-14 may therefore suggest that we are viewing the short-lived mid-stages of a merger; this would be consistent with its complex, irregular morphology and dispersion-dominated Hα kinematics. The similarly TIR-luminous but more compact sources within the AS2UDS samples may comprise galaxies experiencing a wider range of evolutionary stages, including some later-stage mergers.

### 6 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have presented a study of SHiZELS-14, a z = 2.24 galaxy originally identified by HiZELS via its Hα emission. SHiZELS-14 was one of the galaxies selected for high spatial resolution follow-up, due to its proximity to a guide star (for adaptive optics observations), rather than any special properties. However, this galaxy has some intriguing features when resolved at high spatial resolution, particularly at long wavelengths.

The global properties of SHiZELS-14 show that it is highly star-forming. SED fits to photometric data indicate a strong burst of star formation within ~200 Myr of z = 2.24 and a stellar mass of 10^{11.2±0.1} M⊙. Fitting the dust SED with modified blackbody models yields a dust mass of M_{dust} = 10^{9.0±0.8} M⊙ and a TIR luminosity of log_{10}(L_{TIR}/L⊙) = 12.81 ± 0.02. This bright IR emission places it in the category of a ULIRG, while its strong submillimetre detection shows it is an SMG. SHiZELS-14 lies on the ~2 IR-radio relation expected for a star-forming galaxy and our extensive multiwavelength data presents no evidence of AGN activity.

FUV, Hα, FIR, and radio continuum emission are all used to infer SFR, individually and in combination. We investigate the agreement of widely used SFR calibrations, globally and in a spatially resolved manner. Without any dust corrections, the SFRs inferred from FUV and Hz are 13 ± 1 M⊙ yr⁻¹ and 33 ± 2 M⊙ yr⁻¹, respectively. The SFR inferred from the TIR emission is 950 ± 50 M⊙ yr⁻¹, and the radio-derived SFR is also in the region ~1000 M⊙ yr⁻¹. Thus, SFR inferred from short wavelength light is orders of magnitude lower than that measured at longer wavelengths. This suggests that SHiZELS-14 is affected by a large degree of dust attenuation, in line with its substantial dust mass and FIR flux, and it shares many properties with the known population of high redshift SMGs.

We present kpc-scale imaging in the rest-frame FUV and optical (from HST), at FIR wavelengths (from ALMA), of the Hα emission line (from SINFONI, on the VLT), and of the radio continuum (from the VLBA). The range of wavelengths probed enables us to detect both unattenuated and dust-reprocessed emission. SHiZELS-14 shows striking, extended emission in both Hα and the FIR, with Hα-derived effective radius 4.6 ± 0.4 kpc and an FIR-derived effective radius along the semimajor axis 4.6 ± 0.2 kpc (axial ratio q = 0.47). Unlike many SMGs studied at similar redshifts which display compact 1–2 kpc cores, SHiZELS-14 displays an extended disc structure in the rest-frame FIR. Our deep imaging enables us to recover directly the fainter emission across extended regions of star formation, which are also traced by Hα. The irregular, extended structures and disordered Hα kinematics, together with the intense burst of dusty star formation observed, likely reflects ongoing (at z =2.24) merger activity.

The high spatial resolution of our data enables us to study emission on kpc scales, and compare SFRs in a spatially resolved manner. We show that the SFR surface density maps derived from UV, Hα, and TIR are discrepant across the extent of the galaxy. Comparison of the Hα and TIR maps enables us to map the dust attenuation, under the assumption of minimal gradients in dust temperature and optical depth. We find high levels of dust attenuation across the galaxy, with A_{Hα} ∼ 2–3 in the outskirts, rising to A_{Hα} > 5 in the central region. This work highlights the importance of studying galaxies at multiple wavelengths and demonstrates the biases that can be introduced by assuming that calibrations derived using samples of relatively dust-poor galaxies will be appropriate for extremely dusty systems.
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Figure A1. $uv$-amplitude plot for the ALMA 260 GHz data. We fit a Gaussian model with varying axial ratio in the $uv$-plane, using CASA’s UVMODELFIT task. The effective radius along the semimajor axis, $R_{maj} = 4.5 \pm 0.2$ kpc, is larger than is typical for SMGs, as shown in Fig. 9, but broadly in agreement with the effective radius derived from the H$\alpha$ image ($4.6 \pm 0.4$ kpc; Swinbank et al. 2012a). We also fit an exponential profile ($f(r) \propto e^{-r/r_0}$) with the UVMULTFIT tool (Martí-Vidal et al. 2014) and obtain a best-fitting scale length $r_0 = 4.1 \pm 0.2$ kpc.

APPENDIX A: DERIVING A REST-FRAME FIR SIZE FROM THE ALMA DATA

In Fig. A1 we show a Gaussian model fit to the 260 GHz ALMA visibility data. The derived effective radius along the semimajor axis, $R_{maj} = 4.5 \pm 0.2$ kpc, is larger than is typical for SMGs, as shown in Fig. 9, but broadly in agreement with the effective radius derived from the H$\alpha$ image ($4.6 \pm 0.4$ kpc; Swinbank et al. 2012a). We also fit an exponential profile ($f(r) \propto e^{-r/r_0}$) with the UVMULTFIT tool (Martí-Vidal et al. 2014) and obtain a best-fitting scale length $r_0 = 4.1 \pm 0.2$ kpc.
APPENDIX B: DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF SED FITTING WITH BAGPIPES

To assess the sensitivity of our derived physical parameters to our choice of SED fitting code, we refit the photometry with another code. Our fitting makes use of the 2016 version of the BC03 SSP templates, with a Kroupa (2002) IMF (note that the difference between a Kroupa and Chabrier IMF is negligible). Nebular emission is computed using the CLOUDY photoionization code (Ferland et al. 2017), following Byler et al. (2017). CLOUDY is run using each SSP template as the input spectrum. Dust grains are included using CLOUDY’s ‘ISM’ prescription, which implements a grain-size distribution and abundance pattern that reproduces the observed extinction properties for the ISM of the Milky Way. We select a Calzetti et al. (2000) dust attenuation curve. Dust emission includes both a hot dust component from H II regions and a grey body component from the cold, diffuse dust.

We impose a wide dust attenuation prior, $A_v = [0, 6]$, which gives the code the option to fit a high degree of attenuation. Following Draine & Li (2007), we fit three parameters that affect the shape of the dust SED: $U_{\text{min}}$, the lower limit of the starlight intensity; $\gamma$, the fraction of stars at $U_{\text{min}}$; and $q_{\text{PAH}}$, the mass fraction of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Our priors on these parameters are broad, to allow the model to fit a hot dusty galaxy: $U_{\text{min}} = [0, 25]$, $\gamma = [0, 1]$, and $q_{\text{PAH}} = [0, 10]$. We also fit $\eta$, the multiplicative factor on $A_v$ for stars in birth clouds, using the range $\eta = [1, 5]$. We allow metallicity to vary in the range $Z = [0, 0.25]Z_{\odot, \text{old}}$, where $Z_{\odot, \text{old}}$ denotes solar models prior to Asplund et al. (2009). We fix the redshift at $z = 2.2418$, since this is known from the SINFONI spectrum.

We experiment with various star-formation history (SFH) parametrizations, which yield very similar fits to the spectrum and consistent values for stellar mass, $\log_{10} m_*/M_\odot = 11.1 \pm 0.1$. All parametrizations, even those allowing multiple bursts, favour a recent (at $z = 2.24$), rapid burst of star formation in which the vast majority of the stellar mass is formed. In Fig. B1, we plot a representative fit to the photometry. This particular model uses a double power-law SFH parametrization. The posterior estimate for the star-formation rate is SFR = 660 ± 60 $M_\odot/yr$, and the estimated specific star-formation rate ($sSFR$) is $\log_{10}(sSFR/yr^{-1}) = -8.25 \pm 0.11$. Note that the SFR is more sensitive than the stellar mass to the parametrization of the SFH and the data included in the fit, and averaging over multiple SFH models increases the uncertainty on the SFR to $\sim 100 M_\odot/yr^{-1}$.

Figure B1. Data presented in Table B1, fitted with the BAGPIPES code (Carnall et al. 2018), using a double power-law star formation history. Error bars are plotted on the data points, but are small. The fitting yields SFR = 660 ± 60 $M_\odot/yr$ and $\log_{10} m_*/M_\odot = 11.1 \pm 0.1$, in good agreement with the estimates from MAGPHYS.

Table B1. Compilation of existing and new measurements of SHiZELS-14 with source. Unless otherwise stated, the data are taken from the tables of Laigle et al. (2016), adopting their values calculated within a 3 arcsec diameter aperture.

| Instrument/Telescope (Survey) | Filter | Measurement (mJy) |
|-----------------------------|--------|------------------|
| MegaCam/CFHT                | $u^*$  | 0.26 ± 0.04      |
| Suprime-Cam/Subaru          | $B$    | 0.46 ± 0.03      |
|                             | $V$    | 1.01 ± 0.05      |
|                             | $r$    | 1.21 ± 0.05      |
|                             | $i_s$  | 1.66 ± 0.05      |
|                             | $z_s$  | 2.87 ± 0.16      |
|                             | $z_s$  | 2.87 ± 0.07      |
|                             | IA427  | 0.45 ± 0.08      |
|                             | IA464  | 0.60 ± 0.09      |
|                             | IA484  | 0.58 ± 0.08      |
|                             | IA505  | 0.71 ± 0.09      |
|                             | IA527  | 0.85 ± 0.06      |
|                             | IA574  | 0.94 ± 0.10      |
|                             | IA624  | 1.25 ± 0.08      |
|                             | IA679  | 1.53 ± 0.13      |
|                             | IA709  | 1.44 ± 0.09      |
|                             | IA738  | 1.36 ± 0.10      |
|                             | IA767  | 1.74 ± 0.12      |
|                             | IA827  | 2.01 ± 0.14      |
|                             | NB711  | 1.39 ± 0.16      |
|                             | NB816  | 2.07 ± 0.15      |
| HSC/Subaru                  | $I_{\text{HSC}}$ | 3.1 ± 0.2      |
| VIRCAM/VISTA (Ultra-VISTA-DR2) | $Y$    | 3.47 ± 0.07      |
|                             | $J$    | 6.80 ± 0.10      |
|                             | $H$    | 10.64 ± 0.16     |
|                             | $K_s$  | 19.40 ± 0.14     |
| WIRCam/CFHT                 | $K_{\text{sw}}$ | 19.6 ± 0.8      |
|                             | $H_0$  | 10.9 ± 0.7       |
| Spitzer/IRAC (SPLASH)       | 3.6 $\mu$m | 35.1 ± 0.3      |
|                             | 4.5 $\mu$m | 44.6 ± 0.3      |
|                             | 5.8 $\mu$m | 48.9 ± 3.6      |
|                             | 8 $\mu$m  | 33.2 ± 6.1      |
| Spitzer/MIPS                | 24 $\mu$m | 403 ± 17        |
| Herschel-HerMES/ Oliver+12  | 100 $\mu$m | 8.4 ± 0.9 (mJy) |
| HELP catalogue values       | 160 $\mu$m | 20.5 ± 3.7  (mJy) |
|                             | 250 $\mu$m | 31.3 ± 2.2  (mJy) |
|                             | 350 $\mu$m | 36.5 ± 2.5  (mJy) |
|                             | 500 $\mu$m | 27.5 ± 2.7  (mJy) |
| ALMA Band 6, this paper     | 260 GHz  | 2.7 ± 0.2 (mJy) |
| ALMA Band 7, Scoville+14    | 350 GHz  | 4.7 ± 0.8 (mJy) |
| SCUBA-2, Simpson+19         | 350 GHz  | 5.4 ± 1.3 (mJy) |
| JVLA, This paper            | 6 GHz    | 20 ± 2         |
| JVLA, Smolčić+17            | 3 GHz    | 68 ± 4         |
| VLA, Schinnerer+10          | 1.4 GHz  | 122 ± 13       |

The posterior estimate for the dust attenuation in the V-band is $A_v = 1.8 ± 0.1$. All of these derived physical parameters are consistent with the estimates from MAGPHYS, which indicates that our fitting is robust to choice of SED fitting code.
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