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A Modified Scaled Spectral-Conjugate Gradient-Based Algorithm for Solving Monotone Operator Equations
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1. Introduction

We desire in this work to propose an algorithm to solve the problem:

\[ F(x) = 0, \quad x \in C, \quad (1) \]

where \( F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) is monotone and Lipschitz continuous and \( C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \) is nonempty, closed, and convex.

Solving problems of form (1) are becoming interesting in recent years due to its appearance in many areas of science, engineering, and economy, for example, in forecasting of financial market [1], constrained neural networks [2], economic and chemical equilibrium problems [3, 4], signal and image processing [5, 6], phase retrieval [7, 8], power flow equations [9], nonnegative matrix factorisation [10, 11], and many more.

Some notable methods for finding solution to (1) are: Newton’s method, quasi-Newton method, Gauss–Newton method, Levenberg–Marquardt method, and their variants [12–15]. These methods are prominent due to their fast convergence property. However, their convergence is local, and they require computing and storing of the Jacobian matrix at each iteration. In addition, there is a need to solve a linear equation at each iteration. These and other reasons make them unattractive especially for large-scale problems. To avoid the above drawbacks, methods that are globally convergent and also do not require computing and storing of the Jacobian matrix were...
introduced. Examples of such methods are the spectral (SG) and conjugate (CG) gradient methods. However, SG and CG methods for solving (1) are usually combined with the projection method proposed in [16]. For instance, Zhang and Zhou [17] extended the work of Birgin and Martíněz [18] for unconstrained optimization problems by combining it with the projection method and proposed a spectral gradient projection-based algorithm for solving (1). Dai et al. [19] extend the modified Perry’s CG method [20] for solving unconstrained optimization problems to solve (1) by combining it with the projection method. Liu and Li [21] incorporated the Dai-Yuan (DY) [22] CG method with the projection method and proposed a spectral Dai-Yuan (SDY) projection method for solving nonlinear monotone equations. In addition, the global monotone is achieved by modifying the two search directions defined in [24] and prove their boundedness without requiring the uniformly monotone assumption. Our main interest is to modify the search directions defined in [24] and prove their boundedness without requiring the uniformly monotone assumption. The directions in [24] are defined as follows:

\[
\text{STDF1:} \quad d_k = -\mu_k F_k + \frac{1}{d_{k-1} y_{k-1}} \left( \mu_k F_k^T y_{k-1} - c_{k-1} \left\| y_{k-1} \right\|^2 \right) d_{k-1} + (2 - \mu_k) c_{k-1} y_{k-1}.
\]

\[
\text{STDF2:} \quad d_k = -\mu_k F_k + \frac{1}{d_{k-1} y_{k-1}} \left( \mu_k F_k^T y_{k-1} - \tau_{k-1} \left\| y_{k-1} \right\|^2 - \mu_2 F_k s_{k-1} \right) d_{k-1} + (2 - \mu_k) F_k y_{k-1},
\]

2. Motivation and Algorithm

In this section, we will begin by recalling a three-term spectral-conjugate gradient method for solving (1). Given an initial point \( x_0 \), the method generates a sequence \( \{x_k\} \) via the following formula:

\[
x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,
\]

where \( x_{k+1} \) and \( x_k \) are the current and previous points, respectively. \( \alpha_k \) is the stepsize obtained via a line search and \( d_k \) is the search direction defined as

\[
d_0 = -F_0, \quad d_k = -\theta_k F_k + \beta_k d_{k-1} + \gamma_k y_{k-1}, \quad k \geq 1,
\]

where \( \theta_k, \beta_k, \) and \( \gamma_k \) are parameters and \( y_{k-1} = F_k - F_{k-1} \).

Based on the three-term direction above, we will propose a modified scaled three-term derivative-free algorithms for solving (1). The algorithms are a modification of the two algorithms proposed by Li and Zheng [24]. The aim of the modification is to relax the uniformly monotone assumption on the operator. The search directions defined in [24] were shown to be bounded under the uniformly monotone assumption. Our main interest is to modify the search directions defined in [24] and prove their boundedness without requiring the uniformly monotone assumption. The directions in [24] are defined as follows:

\[
\text{STDF1:}
\]

\[
\text{STDF2:}
\]

convergence is established under the assumption that the operator is monotone and Lipschitz continuous. Numerical examples to support the theoretical results are also given.
where
\[
\begin{align*}
    c_{k-1} &= F^T_k d_{k-1}, \\
    \tilde{c}_{k-1} &= F^T_k d_{k-1},
\end{align*}
\]
(6)

Remark 1.
\[
d_k = -\mu_1 F_k + \frac{1}{d_{k-1}^T \omega_{k-1}} \left( \mu_1 F_k^T y_{k-1} - \tilde{c}_{k-1} \right) d_{k-1} + (2 - \mu_1) \tilde{c}_{k-1} y_{k-1}.
\]
(7)

Algorithm 1. PSTDF.

\textbf{Input.} Choose an initial guess \( x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \), \( \theta > 0 \), \( 0 < \rho < 1 \), \( 1 < \mu_1 \leq 2 \), \( \mu_2 \geq 0 \), \( t > 0 \), \( tol > 0 \) and \( k = 0 \).

\textbf{Step 1.} If \( \|F_k\| \leq tol \), terminate. Else move to \textbf{Step 2}.

\textbf{Step 2.} Compute \( d_k \) using (7) or (8).

\textbf{Step 3.} Compute
\[
z_k = x_k + \alpha_k d_k,
\]
(13)

\( \alpha_k = \theta \rho^i \), for \( i = 0, 1, \ldots \), where \( i \) is the least nonnegative integer satisfying
\[
-F(z_k)^T d_k \geq t \alpha_k \|d_k\|^2.
\]
(14)

\textbf{Step 4.} If \( z_k \in C \) and \( \|F(z_k)\| \leq tol \), then stop. Else, compute

To obtain a lower bound for the term \( d_{k-1}^T y_{k-1} \), Li and Zheng used the uniformly monotone assumption. So, in order to relax this condition, we replace the term \( d_{k-1}^T y_{k-1} \) in the directions defined by (4) and (5) with \( d_{k-1}^T \omega_{k-1} \). In addition, we replace \( c_{k-1} \) and \( \tau \) in (4) and (5) with \( \tilde{c}_{k-1}, \tilde{c}_{k-1} \) in (5) with \( d_{k-1} \). Hence, we define the new directions as follows:

\[
PSTDF1:
\]

\[
PSTDF2:
\]

\textbf{Step 1.} If \( \|F_k\| \leq tol \), terminate. Else move to \textbf{Step 2}.

\textbf{Step 2.} Compute \( d_k \) using (7) or (8).

\textbf{Step 3.} Compute
\[
z_k = x_k + \alpha_k d_k,
\]
(13)

\( \alpha_k = \theta \rho^i \), for \( i = 0, 1, \ldots \), where \( i \) is the least nonnegative integer satisfying
\[
-F(z_k)^T d_k \geq t \alpha_k \|d_k\|^2.
\]
(14)

\textbf{Step 4.} If \( z_k \in C \) and \( \|F(z_k)\| \leq tol \), then stop. Else, compute

Remark 1.
\[
d_{k-1}^T \omega_{k-1} \geq d_{k-1}^T y_{k-1} + \|d_{k-1}\|^2 - d_{k-1}^T y_{k-1} = \|d_{k-1}\|^2.
\]
(10)

From (10), a lower bound for the term \( d_{k-1}^T \omega_{k-1} \) is obtained without any assumption on the operator \( F \).

Let \( \text{Sol}(C, F) \) be the solution set of (1) and assume that the following holds.

\textbf{Assumption 1.} The constraint set \( C \) is nonempty, closed, and convex.

\textbf{Assumption 2.} The operator \( F \) is monotone, that is, \( \forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n \):
\[
(F(x_1) - F(x_2))^T, \quad (x_1 - x_2) \geq 0.
\]
(11)
\[ x_{k+1} = P_{\mathcal{E}}[x_k - \eta_k F(z_k)], \quad (15) \]

where

\[ \eta_k = \frac{F(z_k)^T (x_k - z_k)}{\|F(z_k)\|^2}. \quad (16) \]

**Step 5.** Let \( k = k + 1 \) and repeat from **Step 1.**

### 3. Theoretical Results

In this section, we will establish the convergence analysis of the proposed algorithm. However, we require the following important lemmas. The following lemma shows that the proposed directions are descent.

**Lemma 1.** The search directions defined by (7) and (8) satisfy the sufficient descent condition.

**Proof.** Multiplying both sides of (7) by \( F_k^T \), we have

\[ F_k^T d_k = -\mu_1 \| F_k \|^2 + \frac{1}{d_{k-1}^T w_{k-1}} \left( \mu_1 F_k^T y_{k-1} - c_{k-1} \| y_{k-1} \|^2 \right) F_k^T d_{k-1} + (2 - \mu_1) c_{k-1} F_k^T y_{k-1} \]

\[ = -\mu_1 \| F_k \|^2 + \mu_1 F_k^T d_{k-1} F_k^T y_{k-1} - \| y_{k-1} \|^2 \left( \frac{F_k^T d_{k-1}}{d_{k-1}^T w_{k-1}} \right)^2 + 2 \frac{F_k^T d_{k-1} F_k^T y_{k-1}}{d_{k-1}^T w_{k-1}} - \mu_1 \frac{F_k^T d_{k-1} F_k^T y_{k-1}}{d_{k-1}^T w_{k-1}} \]

\[ = -\mu_1 \| F_k \|^2 - \| y_{k-1} \|^2 \left( \frac{F_k^T d_{k-1}}{d_{k-1}^T w_{k-1}} \right)^2 + 2 \frac{F_k^T d_{k-1} F_k^T y_{k-1}}{d_{k-1}^T w_{k-1}} - \mu_1 \frac{F_k^T d_{k-1} F_k^T y_{k-1}}{d_{k-1}^T w_{k-1}} \]

\[ = -(\mu_1 - 1) \| F_k \|^2 \quad \text{(17)} \]

Also, multiplying both sides of (8) by \( F_k^T \), we have

\[ F_k^T d_k = -\mu_1 \| F_k \|^2 + \frac{1}{d_{k-1}^T w_{k-1}} \left( \mu_1 F_k^T y_{k-1} - c_{k-1} \| y_{k-1} \|^2 \right) F_k^T d_{k-1} + (2 - \mu_1) c_{k-1} F_k^T y_{k-1}, \]

\[ = -\mu_1 \| F_k \|^2 + \mu_1 \frac{F_k^T d_{k-1} F_k^T y_{k-1}}{d_{k-1}^T w_{k-1}} - \| y_{k-1} \|^2 \left( \frac{F_k^T d_{k-1}}{d_{k-1}^T w_{k-1}} \right)^2 + 2 \frac{F_k^T d_{k-1} F_k^T y_{k-1}}{d_{k-1}^T w_{k-1}} - \mu_1 \frac{F_k^T d_{k-1} F_k^T y_{k-1}}{d_{k-1}^T w_{k-1}} \]

\[ = -\mu_1 \| F_k \|^2 - \| y_{k-1} \|^2 \left( \frac{F_k^T d_{k-1}}{d_{k-1}^T w_{k-1}} \right)^2 + 2 \frac{F_k^T d_{k-1} F_k^T y_{k-1}}{d_{k-1}^T w_{k-1}} - \mu_1 \frac{F_k^T d_{k-1} F_k^T y_{k-1}}{d_{k-1}^T w_{k-1}} \]

\[ = -(\mu_1 - 1) \| F_k \|^2 \quad \text{(18)} \]

Hence, for all \( k \), the directions defined by (7) and (8) satisfy

\[ F_k^T d_k \leq -(\mu_1 - 1) \| F_k \|^2. \quad (19) \]

The lemma below shows that the linesearch (14) is well-defined and the stepsize is bounded away from zero.

**Lemma 2** (see [5]). Suppose Assumptions 1–3 are satisfied. If \( \{d_k\}, \{z_k\}, \{x_k\} \) are sequences defined by (7), (13), and (15), respectively, then

(i) For all \( k \), there is \( \alpha_k = \beta_k \) satisfying (14) for some \( i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \) and \( \forall k \geq 0 \).

(ii) \( \alpha_k \) obtained via (14) satisfies...
\[ \alpha_k > \max \left\{ \frac{\rho L}{(L + \rho)} \| F_k \|^2 \right\}. \]  

(20)

**Lemma 3** (see [5]). Suppose Assumptions 1–3 are fulfilled, then the sequences \( \{ z_k \} \) and \( \{ x_k \} \) defined by (13) and (15) are bounded. Furthermore,
\[ \lim_{k \to \infty} \| x_k - z_k \| = \lim_{k \to \infty} \alpha_k \| d_k \| = 0. \]  

(21)

**Lemma 4** (see [5]). From Lemma 3, we have
\[ \| x_{k+1} - x \|^2 \leq \| x_k - x \|^2. \]  

(22)

**Remark 2.** Since \( \{ x_k \} \) is bounded from Lemma 3 and \( F \) is continuous from Assumption 3, \( \{ F_k \} \) is also bounded. That is, there exists \( c_1, c_2 > 0 \) such that, for all \( k \),
\[ \| x_k \| \leq c_1, \quad \| F_k \| \leq c_2. \]  

(23)

All are now set to establish the convergence of the proposed algorithm.

\[ \| d_k \| \leq \mu_1 \| F_k \| + \frac{\| d_{k-1} \|}{d_{k-1}^T w_{k-1}} \left( \mu_1 \| F_k \| \| y_{k-1} \| + \frac{\| F_k \| \| d_{k-1} \| \| y_{k-1} \|}{d_{k-1}^T w_{k-1}} \right) + 2 \frac{\| F_k \| \| d_{k-1} \| \| y_{k-1} \|}{d_{k-1}^T w_{k-1}} \mu_1 \| F_k \| \| d_{k-1} \| \| y_{k-1} \|}{d_{k-1}^T w_{k-1}} \]  

\[ \leq \mu_1 \| F_k \| + 2(\mu_1 + 1) \frac{L \| x_k - x_{k-1} \|}{d_{k-1}^T w_{k-1}} + \left( \frac{L \| x_k - x_{k-1} \|}{d_{k-1}^T w_{k-1}} \right)^2 \| F_k \| \]  

\[ \leq m \mu_1 \| F_k \| + 2(\mu_1 + 1) \frac{L (\| x_k - x_{k-1} \| + \| x_{k-1} \|)}{d_{k-1}^T w_{k-1}} + \left( \frac{L (\| x_k - x_{k-1} \| + \| x_{k-1} \|)}{d_{k-1}^T w_{k-1}} \right)^2 \| F_k \| \]  

\[ \leq \mu_1 c_2 + 2(\mu_1 + 1) \frac{L (2c_1)}{(\mu_1 - 1) \nu} + \left( \frac{L (2c_1)}{(\mu_1 - 1) \nu} \right)^2 c_2 \]  

\[ \leq \mu_1 c_2 + \frac{4c_1 L (\mu_1 + 1)}{(\mu_1 - 1) \nu} + \frac{4c_1^2 L^2}{(\mu_1 - 1)^2 \nu^2}. \]  

(28)

\[ \| d_k \| \leq (\mu_1 + \mu_2) \| F_k \| + 2(\mu_1 + 1) \frac{L \| x_k - x_{k-1} \|}{d_{k-1}^T w_{k-1}} \]  

\[ \leq (\mu_1 + \mu_2) c_2 + \frac{4c_1 L (\mu_1 + 1)}{(\mu_1 - 1) \nu} + \frac{4c_1^2 L^2}{(\mu_1 - 1)^2 \nu^2}. \]  

(29)

**Theorem 1.** Suppose Assumptions 1 and 2 are satisfied. If \( \{ x_k \} \) is a sequence defined by (15), then
\[ \lim \inf_{k \to \infty} \| F_k \| = 0. \]  

(24)

Furthermore, the sequence \( \{ x_k \} \) converges to a solution of problem (1).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( \lim \inf_{k \to \infty} \| F_k \| \neq 0 \), then there is a positive constant \( \nu > 0 \) such that, for all \( k \geq 0 \),
\[ \| F_k \| \geq \nu. \]  

(25)

By (17), (18), and the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality, we have that, for all \( k \geq 0 \),
\[ \| d_k \| \geq (\mu_1 - 1) \| F_k \| \geq (\mu_1 - 1) \nu. \]  

(26)

To complete the proof of the theorem, we need to show that the search direction \( d_k \) defined by (7) and (8) are bounded.

For \( k = 0 \), we have
\[ \| d_0 \| = \| F_0 \| \leq c_2. \]  

(27)

Now for \( k \geq 1 \), using (7), (10), (12), and (26), we have

\[ \| d_k \| \leq (\mu_1 + \mu_2) \| F_k \| + 2(\mu_1 + 1) \frac{L \| x_k - x_{k-1} \|}{d_{k-1}^T w_{k-1}} \]  

\[ \leq (\mu_1 + \mu_2) c_2 + \frac{4c_1 L (\mu_1 + 1)}{(\mu_1 - 1) \nu} + \frac{4c_1^2 L^2}{(\mu_1 - 1)^2 \nu^2}. \]  

(29)
Letting

\[ M_1 = \mu_1 c_2 + (4c_1 L (\mu_1 + 1)/(\mu_1 - 1)^2) c_2 \quad \text{and} \quad M_2 = (\mu_1 + \mu_2) c_2 + (4c_1 L (\mu_1 + 1)/(\mu_1 - 1)^2) c_2, \]

then for all \( k \),

\[ \|d_k\| \leq M_2, \]

since \( M_2 > M_1 \).

Multiplying (20) by \( \|d_k\| \), we get

\[ \alpha_k \|d_k\| \geq \max \left\{ \|\theta d_k\|, \frac{\rho \|F_k\|}{(L + t) \|d_k\|} \right\} \geq \max \left\{ t (\mu_1 - 1) \psi, \frac{\mu_1 - 1)^2 \psi^2}{(L + t) M} \right\} > 0. \]

4. Numerical Examples on Monotone Operator Equations

This segment of the paper would demonstrate the computational efficiency of the PSTDF algorithm relative to STDF algorithm [24]. For PSTDF algorithm, we have PSTDF1 which corresponds to the direction defined by (7) and PSTDF2 corresponding to the one defined by (8). Similarly, for the STDF algorithm, we have STDF1 and STDF2 corresponding to (4) and (5), respectively. The parameters chosen for the implementation of the PSTDF algorithm are \( \theta = 1, \mu_1 = 1.9, \mu_2 = 0.8, \rho = 0.8, \) and \( t = 10^{-4} \). The parameters for STDF algorithm are chosen as reported in [24]. The metrics considered are the number of iteration (NOI), number of function evaluations (NFE), and the CPU time (TIME). We used eight test problems with dimension \( n = 1000, 5000, 10,000, 50,000, \) and \( 100,000 \) and five initial points \( x_1 = (0.1, 0.1, \ldots, 0.1)^T, x_2 = (0.2, 0.2, \ldots, 0.2)^T, x_3 = (0.5, 0.5, \ldots, 0.5)^T, x_4 = (1.5, 1.5, \ldots, 1.5)^T, \) and \( x_5 = (2, 2, \ldots, 2)^T \).

Table 1: List of test problems with references.

| S/N | Problem and reference                      |
|-----|--------------------------------------------|
| 1   | Modified exponential function 2 [42]       |
| 2   | Logarithmic function [42]                  |
| 3   | Nonsmooth function [43]                    |
| 4   | Strictly convex function I [42]            |
| 5   | Tridiagonal exponential function [44]      |
| 6   | Nonsmooth function [45]                    |
| 7   | Problem 4 in [46]                          |
| 8   | Problem 9 in [32]                          |

Figure 1: Performance profiles for the number of iterations (NOI).
The algorithms were coded in MATLAB R2019a and run on a PC with Intel (R) Core (TM) i3-7100U processor with 8 GB RAM and CPU 2.40 GHz. The iteration process is stopped whenever \( \|F(x_k)\| \leq 10^{-5} \). Failure is declared if this condition is not satisfied after 1000 iterations.

Table 1 consists of the test problems considered, where the function \( F = (f_1(x), f_2(x), \ldots, f_n(x))^T \) and \( x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)^T \).

The result of the experiments in Tabular form can be found in the link https://documentcloud.adobe.com/link/review?uri=urn:aid:scds:US:77a9a900-2156-4344-a9d9-b42e3a3dc8e5. It can be observed from the results that the algorithms successfully solved all the problems considered without a single failure. However, to better illustrate the performance of each algorithm, we employ the Dolan and Moré [47] performance profiles and plot Figures 1–3. Figures 1–3 represent the performance of the algorithms based on NOI, NFE, and TIME, respectively. In terms of NOI (Figure 1), the best performing algorithm is PSTDF2 with 70% success, followed by PSTDF1 with 51% success. STDF1 and STDF2 record less than 10% success each. Based on NFE (Figure 2), the best performing algorithm is PSTDF1 with around 42% success, followed by PSTDF2 with almost 40% success. STDF1 and STDF2 record less than 10% success each. Based on TIME (Figure 3), PSTDF2 performs better with around 50% success, followed by PSTDF1 with more than 30% success. STDF1 and STDF2 record around 20% and 5% success, respectively.
Overall, we can conclude that PSTDF1 and PSTDF2 outperform STDF1 and STDF2 based on the metrics considered.

5. Conclusions
In this paper, a modified scaled algorithm based on the spectral-conjugate gradient method for solving nonlinear monotone operator equations was proposed. The algorithm replaces the stronger assumption of uniformly monotone on the operator in the work of Li and Zheng (2020) with just monotone, which is weaker. Interestingly, the search directions were shown to be descent independent of line search and also without monotonicity assumption (unlike in the work of Li and Zheng). Furthermore, the convergence results were established under monotonicity and Lipschitz continuity assumptions on the operator. Numerical experiments on some benchmark problems were conducted to illustrate the good performance of the proposed algorithm.
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