ON THE EMBEDDINGS OF THE RIEMANN SPHERE WITH NONNEGATIVE NORMAL BUNDLES

RADU PANTILIE

(Communicated by Tobias Colding)

ABSTRACT. We describe the (complex) quaternionic geometry encoded by the embeddings of the Riemann sphere with nonnegative normal bundles.

INTRODUCTION

There are problems that our generation can not hope to see them solved. Here are two such problems:

(1) classify the germs of the (known) geometric structures,

(2) classify the germs of the embeddings of compact complex manifolds.

Twistor theory provides a bridge between significant subclasses of the classes involved in (1) and (2) above. From this perspective, in quaternionic geometry the point is replaced by the Riemann sphere; that is, (up to a complexification) the objects are parameter spaces for locally complete families [14] of embedded Riemann spheres. But, so far (see [20], [25], and the references therein), only for the families of Riemann spheres whose normal bundles split and are positive, there exists a fair understanding of the differential geometry of the corresponding parameter spaces (a positive vector bundle over the Riemann sphere is a complex analytic vector bundle whose Birkhoff–Grothendieck decomposition contains only terms of positive Chern numbers).

In this note, we complete this picture by describing the geometric structures corresponding to the germs of embeddings of the Riemann sphere with nonnegative normal bundles. For this, we are led to consider principal p-connections, a straight generalization of the classical notion of principal connection, which appear (see Section 1, below) by shifting the emphasis from the tangent bundle to another vector bundle over the manifold (then ρ is a morphism from that bundle to the tangent bundle). The fact that the geometric structures may require another bundle, besides the tangent one, is not new (see [10]). However, instead of a bracket, the quaternionic geometry requires a connection to control the integrability [28]. Our
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main result (Theorem 2.1) shows that to integrate all the families of embedded Riemann spheres with nonnegative normal bundles we have to pass from the classical connections to the $\rho$-connections, and from the (co-CR) quaternionic structures, to the $\rho$-quaternionic structures (see Section 2). Furthermore, a bracket can be associated in this setting as well and, if $\rho$ is surjective, the Jacobi identity is satisfied only if the corresponding $\rho$-quaternionic manifold is obtained as a twistorial quotient of a (classical) quaternionic manifold. For example, the quaternionic geometry of the space of Veronese curves in the complex projective space is induced, through a twistorial submersion, from (the complexification of) a quaternionic manifold.

As already mentioned, it was twistor theory who emphasized the richness of the differential geometry encoded by the embeddings of the Riemann sphere. Chronologically, there were the, by now, classical, anti-self-dual manifolds (see [2]), the three-dimensional Einstein–Weyl spaces [11], and the quaternionic manifolds [28] (see also [4]), containing the important pre-existent subclass of quaternionic–Kähler manifolds (see [17]). Furthermore, such spaces of ‘rational curves’ (that is, nonconstant holomorphic maps from the sphere) are also involved in the classification of irreducible representations whose images can occur as holonomy groups of torsion free connections ([5], [7]; see also [21] and the references therein). Also, note that the almost $\rho$-quaternionic manifolds are related to the paraconformal manifolds of [3].

On the other hand, the algebraic geometers are interested in complex projective manifolds which admit an embedding of the Riemann sphere with positive normal bundle, as these are the ‘rationally connected manifolds’—see the paper [12] of Paltin Ionescu (and the references therein) to whom I am grateful for kindly drawing my attention to this important fact.

We hope that our approach will deepen the understanding of the interconnections of these two domains.

1. Principal $\rho$-connections

We work in the complex analytic category. By the tangent bundle we mean the holomorphic tangent bundle and if $E$ is a vector bundle then $\Gamma(E)$ denotes the sheaf of its sections. All the facts that follow, in this section, can be straightforwardly extended to the smooth setting.

Let $M$ be a manifold endowed with a pair $(E, \rho)$, where $E$ is a vector bundle over $M$ and $\rho : E \to TM$ is a morphism of vector bundles.

Let $(P, M, G)$ be a principal bundle and let $\pi : P \to M$ be the projection. A principal $\rho$-connection on $P$ is a $G$-equivariant vector bundle morphism $C : \pi^*E \to TP$ which when composed to the morphism from $TP$ to $\pi^*(TM)$, induced by $d\pi$, gives $\pi^*\rho$.

If $E = TM$ and $\rho$ is the identity, we retrieve the classical notion of principal connection. Also, if $E$ is a distribution on $M$ and $\rho$ is the inclusion morphism then a principal $\rho$-connection is just a principal partial connection over $E$.

Any principal $\rho$-connection on $P$ corresponds to a morphism of vector bundles $c : E \to TP/G$ which when composed to $TP/G \to TM$ gives $\rho$.

Also, let $(a_{UV})_{U, V \in \mathcal{U}}$ be a cocycle defining $P$, where $\mathcal{U}$ is an open covering of $M$. Then any principal $\rho$-connection on $P$ corresponds to a family $(A_U)_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$ of local connection forms, where $A_U \in \Gamma(g \otimes (E|_U)^*)$ and $A_V = \rho^*(a_{UV}^\theta) + \text{Ad}(a_{UV}^\theta)A_U$ on $U \cap V$, where $g$ is the Lie algebra of $G$, $\rho^* : T^*M \to E^*$ is the transpose of $\rho$, and $\text{Ad}$ is the adjoint representation of $G$. 


and \( \theta \) is the canonical form on \( G \). Over each local trivialisation \( P|_U = U \times G \) we have \( TP/G = (TU) \oplus \mathfrak{g} \) and \( c = (\rho, -A_U) \).

Obviously, any principal connection induces a principal \( \rho \)-connection, but not all principal \( \rho \)-connections are obtained this way. Also, the set of principal \( \rho \)-connections is an affine space over the vector space of global sections of \( \text{Hom}(E, \text{Ad}P) \). Consequently, similarly to the classical case [1], the obstruction to the existence
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\[
\text{here, \( \pi \) is the projection of \( F \). If \( F \) is a vector bundle then \( \rho \) corresponds to a covariant derivation \( \nabla : \Gamma(F) \to \Gamma(\text{Hom}(E, F)) \) which is a linear map such that \( \nabla(fs) = \rho^*(df) \otimes s + f \nabla s \), for any (local) function \( f \) on \( M \) and any (local) section \( s \in \Gamma(F) \). Note that [6] considered a notion which is, essentially, the same with the covariant derivation of a \( \rho \)-connection on a vector bundle.

The usual constructions of connections—such as the pull-back and the tensor product—admit straight generalizations to \( \rho \)-connections.

Any \( \rho \)-connection \( \nabla \) on \( E \) has a torsion \( T \in \Gamma(TM \otimes \Lambda^2 E^*) \) (compare [26]), characterized by \( T(s_1, s_2) = \rho^*(\nabla_{s_1} s_2 - \nabla_{s_2} s_1) - [\rho \circ s_1, \rho \circ s_2] \), for any \( s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma(E) \).

Note that, if \( T = 0 \), then, on defining \( [s_1, s_2] = \nabla_{s_1} s_2 - \nabla_{s_2} s_1 \), for any \( s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma(E) \), we obtain that:

(i) \( [\cdot, \cdot] \) is linear and skew-symmetric on \( \Gamma(E) \),

(ii) \( \rho \) intertwine \( [\cdot, \cdot] \) and the usual bracket on vector fields on \( M \),

(iii) \( [s_1, fs_2] = (\rho \circ s_1)(f)s_2 + f[s_1, s_2] \), for any function \( f \) on \( M \) and \( s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma(E) \).

We call \( [\cdot, \cdot] \) the bracket associated to \( \nabla \).

For applications there is a characterisation of the torsion which in the classical case is a consequence of the Cartan’s first structural equation. If \((P, M, G)\) is the frame bundle of \( E \) then \( \pi^*E = P \times E_0 \), where \( E_0 \) is the typical fibre of \( E \).

Then any principal \( \rho \)-connection on \( P \) is a \( G \)-invariant morphism of vector bundles \( C : P \times E_0 \to TP \). For any \( \xi \in E_0 \) let \( B(\xi) \) be the vector field tangent to \( P \) such that \( B(\xi)_u = C(u, \xi) \), for any \( u \in P \) (in the classical case, these become the well known ‘standard horizontal vector fields;’ see also [26]).

**Proposition 1.1.** If \( T \) is the torsion of \( C \) then \( T(u_\xi, u_\eta) = -d\pi([B(\xi), B(\eta)]|_u) \), for any \( \xi, \eta \in E_0 \) and \( u \in P \).

**Proof.** We may assume \( P = M \times G \) and verify the relation at \( u = (x, e) \), where \( e \) is the identity of \( G \). As \( C \) is \( G \)-invariant, we have \( B(\xi)(x, a) = (\rho(x, a\xi), -\Gamma(x, a\xi)a) \), for any \( x \in M \) and \( a \in G \), where \( \Gamma \) is the corresponding local connection form.

If \( X \) and \( A \) are vector fields on \( P \) such that, at each point, \( X \) is tangent to \( M \) and \( A \) is tangent to \( G \) then, for any \( x \in M \) and \( a \in G \), we have that \( d\pi([X, A]_{(x, a)}) \) depends only of \( X \) and \( A \).

Consequently, the bracket of \( B(\xi) \) and the component of \( B(\eta) \) tangent to \( G \) is mapped by \( d\pi_{(x, e)} \) to \( \rho(x, \Gamma(x, \eta)\xi) \). Hence,

\[
d\pi([B(\xi), B(\eta)]|_{(x, e)}) = \rho(x, \Gamma(x, \eta)\xi) - \rho(x, \Gamma(x, \xi)\eta) + [\rho(\cdot, \xi), \rho(\cdot, \eta)]|_x,
\]

which is easily seen to be equal to \(-T((x, \xi), (x, \eta)) \). \( \square \)

Returning, now, to a principal \( \rho \)-connection \( c : E \to TP/G \) on \( P \), suppose that \( E \) is endowed with a bracket \( [\cdot, \cdot] \), satisfying (i), (ii), (iii), above. Then we can
define the curvature form \( R \in \Gamma(\text{Ad}P \otimes \Lambda^2 E^*) \) of \( c \), which, for any \( s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma(E) \), is given by \( R(s_1, s_2) = c \circ [s_1, s_2] - [c \circ s_1, c \circ s_2] \), where the second bracket is induced by the usual bracket on vector fields on \( P \), and we have identified \( \text{Ad}P \) with the kernel of the morphism \( TP/G \to TM \).

All the classical formulae involving \( R \) admit straight generalizations to this setting, up to the Bianchi identities for which one needs that the bracket on \( E \) satisfies the Jacobi identity (see [18] for the corresponding theory of such brackets). Then, by using [18, Theorem 2.2], if \( \rho \) is surjective, at least locally, we have \( E = TQ/H \) and the following commutative diagram, where \( (Q, M, H) \) is a principal bundle:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\downarrow \\
\text{Ad}Q \\
\downarrow \\
TQ/H \\
\downarrow c \\
\text{Ad}P \\
\downarrow \iota \\
TP/G \\
\downarrow \\
TM \\
\downarrow \\
0
\end{array}
\]

There are two important particular cases, the first showing that the Cartan connections are just a special kind of principal \( \rho \)-connections.

**Example 1.2.** Let \( H \subseteq G \) be a closed subgroup and \( Q \) a restriction of \( P \) to \( H \).

Then the simplest example of a principal \( \rho \)-connection is the canonical inclusion map \( c_0 : TQ/H \to TP/G \) (this is, obviously, flat; that is, its curvature form is zero).

Any other principal \( \rho \)-connection \( c \) on \( P \) differ from \( c_0 \) by a vector bundles morphism \( \gamma : TQ/H \to \text{Ad}P \); that is, \( c = c_0 - \iota \circ \gamma \).

Note that, in this setting, the Cartan connections appear as principal \( \rho \)-connections \( c \) on \( P \) satisfying the following:

1. \( c \) is induced by a (classical) principal connection on \( P \) (that is, \( c \) factors into \( \rho \) followed by a section of \( TP/G \to TM \)),
2. \( c \) restricted to \( \text{Ad}Q \) takes values in \( \text{Ad}P \) and is given by the inclusion \( h \to g \),
3. the corresponding \( \gamma : TQ/H \to \text{Ad}P \) is an isomorphism.

It is fairly well known that for flat Cartan connections we, locally, have \( Q = G, M = G/H \) and \( \gamma \) is the canonical isomorphism of vector bundles from \( TG/H \) onto \((G/H) \times g\). Then Proposition 1.1 gives that the torsion is given by \( T_0 \in (g/\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \Lambda^2 g^* \) defined by \( T_0(A, B) \) is the projection of \(-[A, B] \) onto \( g/\mathfrak{h} \).

The second class of examples of principal \( \rho \)-connections is, in a certain sense, dual to Example 1.2.

**Example 1.3.** Let \( G \subseteq H \) be a closed subgroup, \( P \) a restriction of \( Q \) to \( G \), and \( c \) a retraction of the inclusion \( TP/G \to TQ/H \). Then \( c \) is determined by its kernel which is a vector subbundle of \( \text{Ad}Q \).
We shall need the case $P = K$, where $K$ is a Lie group, with $G \subseteq H \subseteq K$ closed subgroups. Then $M = K/G$ and we may identify $Q = (K \times H)/G$, where $G$ is embedded diagonally as a closed Lie subgroup of $K \times H$. Accordingly, the action of $H$ on $Q$ is obtained from the action to the left of $H$, seen as a normal subgroup of $K \times H$.

Then $TQ/H$ is the bundle associated to $(K, K/G, G)$ through the representation of $G$ on $(\mathfrak{t} \times \mathfrak{h})/\mathfrak{g}$ induced by the adjoint representations of $K$ and $H$, where $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{t}$ are the Lie algebras of $G$, $H$ and $K$, respectively. Hence, $\rho$ is given by the projection from $(\mathfrak{t} \times \mathfrak{h})/\mathfrak{g}$ onto $\mathfrak{t}/\mathfrak{g}$; in particular, $\ker \rho$ is the subbundle corresponding to $(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{h})/\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}$.

Thus, any $K$-invariant principal $\rho$-connection $c : TQ/H \to TP/G$ which is the identity on $TP/G$ corresponds to a $G$-invariant projection $\gamma : (\mathfrak{t} \times \mathfrak{h})/\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{t}$ which is the identity when restricted to $\mathfrak{t}$ and when composed with the projection $\mathfrak{t} \to \mathfrak{t}/\mathfrak{g}$ gives the projection from $(\mathfrak{t} \times \mathfrak{h})/\mathfrak{g}$ onto $\mathfrak{t}/\mathfrak{g}$. Therefore any such principal $\rho$-connection corresponds to a $G$-invariant decomposition $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$, for some vector subspace $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$, so that $c$ is induced by the projection $\gamma$ from $\mathfrak{t} \times \mathfrak{m} (= (\mathfrak{t} \times \mathfrak{h})/\mathfrak{g})$ onto $\mathfrak{t}$ with kernel $\mathfrak{m}$.

The curvature form of the principal $\rho$-connection corresponding to $\mathfrak{m}$ is given by the $\mathfrak{g}$-valued two-form on $\mathfrak{t} \times \mathfrak{m}$ induced by the $\mathfrak{g}$-valued two-form on $\mathfrak{m}$ which is the composition of the restriction of the bracket on $\mathfrak{h}$ followed by the opposite of the projection on $\mathfrak{g}$. Furthermore, by using Proposition 1.1, we obtain that the torsion is given by the composition of the bracket on $\mathfrak{t}$ followed by the opposite of the projection on $\mathfrak{t}/\mathfrak{g}$.

On comparing with Example 1.2, we see that these $K$-invariant principal $\rho$-connections on $(K, K/G, G)$ are ‘extensions’ of $H$-invariant (classical) principal connections on $(H, H/G, G)$ by the flat Cartan connection on $(K, K/G, G)$.

2. The quaternionic geometry of the embeddings of the Riemann sphere

A (complex) quaternionic vector bundle is a (complex analytic) vector bundle $E$ with typical fibre $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$ and structural group $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \cdot \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{C})$ acting on the typical fibre through the tensor product of the canonical representations of the factors. Thus, at least locally, any quaternionic vector bundle is of the form $S \otimes F$, where $S$ and $F$ are vector bundles and the structural group of $S$ is $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$; obviously, $Z = PS$ is always globally defined.

An almost $\rho$-quaternionic structure on a manifold $M$ is a pair $(E, \rho)$, where $E$ is a quaternionic vector bundle over $M$, and $\rho : E \to TM$ is a morphism of vector bundles whose kernel, at each point, contains no (nonzero) decomposable elements. Obviously, if $\rho$ is an isomorphism then we obtain the (complexified version of the) notion of almost quaternionic structure (see [28]), whilst if $\rho$ is surjective then we obtain the notion of almost co-CR quaternionic structure [20].

Suppose that $E(= S \otimes F)$ is endowed with a $\rho$-connection $\nabla$ (compatible with its structural group) and let $c_Z : \pi^*E \to TZ$ be the induced $\rho$-connection on $Z$, where $\pi : Z \to M$ is the projection. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the distribution on $Z(= PS)$ such that $\mathcal{B}_{[e]} = c_Z(\{ e \otimes f | f \in E_{\pi(e)} \})$, for any $[e] \in Z$. As $c_Z$ is a $\rho$-connection and the kernel of $\rho$ contains no decomposable elements, $\mathcal{B}$ is well-defined; furthermore, $\mathcal{B} \cap (\ker d\pi) = 0$. 
We say that \((M,E,\rho)\) is a \(\rho\)-quaternionic manifold if the \(\rho\)-connection \(\nabla\) can be chosen such that \(\mathcal{B}\) is integrable; then \(\nabla\) is a quaternionic \(\rho\)-connection. If, further, there exists a surjective submersion \(\pi_Y: Z \to Y\) such that \(\ker d\pi_Y = \mathcal{B}\) and each fibre of \(\pi_Y\) intersects at most once each fibre of \(\pi\), then \(Y\) endowed with \(\{\pi_Y(\pi^{-1}(x))\}_{x \in M}\) is the twistor space of \((M,E,\rho,\nabla)\). Obviously, if \(\rho\) is surjective and \(\nabla\) is a (classical) connection then we retrieve the co-CR quaternionic manifolds [20].

**Theorem 2.1.** There exists a natural bijective correspondence between the following, where \(Y\) is a complex manifold:

1. germs of (complex analytic) embeddings of the Riemann sphere into \(Y\) with nonnegative normal bundles;
2. germs of \(\rho\)-quaternionic manifolds whose twistor spaces are open subsets of \(Y\).

**Proof.** Let \(Y\) be a manifold endowed with an embedded Riemann sphere \(t_0 \subseteq Y\), with nonnegative normal bundle. Then, by [14], there exist a map \(\pi_Y: Z \to Y\) and a surjective proper submersion \(\pi: Z \to M\) such that \(\pi_Y\) restricted to each fibre of \(\pi\) is an embedding, and \(t_0 = \pi_Y(\pi^{-1}(x_0))\) for some \(x_0 \in M\); moreover, \(\pi_Y\) and \(\pi\) induce linear isomorphisms \(T_xM = H^0(t_x,Nt_x)\), where \(t_x = \pi_Y(\pi^{-1}(x))\), and \(Nt_x\) is its normal bundle, \((x \in M)\). Furthermore, by the rigidity of the Riemann sphere (see [23]), \(\pi\) is locally trivial.

As \(Nt_0\) is nonnegative, it is generated by its sections. Consequently, \(d\pi_Y\) restricted to \(\pi^{-1}(x_0)\) is submersive. Thus, by passing, if necessary, to open neighborhoods of \(x_0\) and \(t_0\) we may assume \(\pi_Y\) a surjective submersion. Therefore, for any \(x \in M\), we have an exact sequence

\[
0 \to B_x \to t_x \times T_xM \to Nt_x \to 0,
\]  

where we have identified \(t_x = \pi^{-1}(x)\), we have denoted \(B = \ker d\pi_Y\), \(B_x = B|_{t_x}\), and the inclusion morphism \(B_x \to T_xM\) is induced by \(d\pi\). Together with the fact that the induced linear map \(T_xM \to H^0(t_x,Nt_x)\) is bijective, this shows that \(Nt_x\) is nonnegative, for any \(x \in M\). Furthermore, from the exact sequence of cohomology groups, induced by (2.1), we deduce that both \(H^0(t_x,B_x)\) and \(H^1(t_x,B_x)\) are zero. Therefore the Birkhoff–Grothendieck decomposition of \(B_x\) contains only terms of Chern number \(-1\).

Consequently, by [15, Theorems 7.4] and [16, Theorem 9] (see also [23] and [9]), the dual of the direct image, through \(\pi\), of \(B^*\) is a vector bundle over \(M\) which, by also using [19, §3], it is a quaternionic vector bundle. Denote this quaternionic vector bundle by \(E\), and note that \(\pi^*E\) contains (the annihilator of) \(B\) so that we have an exact sequence \(0 \to B \to \pi^*E \to E \to 0\), for some vector bundle \(E\) over \(Z\).

The exact sequence of cohomology groups of the dual of (2.1) induces a linear map \(\rho_x^*: T_x^*M \to H^0(t_x,\mathcal{B}_x^*) = E_x^*\), for any \(x \in M\). By applying, for example, [19, §3], we obtain that \((E,\rho)\) is an almost \(\rho\)-quaternionic structure on \(M\). Note that \(\rho\) is induced by a morphism of vector bundles \(R: E \to \pi^*(TM)/B\).

At least locally, we may suppose \(E = S \otimes F\) with \(S\) of rank 2 and whose structural group is \(\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})\). Also, \(Z = PS\) and the fibre, over \(x\), of the quotient of the tangent bundle of \(\text{SL}(S)\), through \(\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})\), is \(H^0(t_x,(TZ)|_{t_x})\). Therefore, to prove the
existence of a quaternionic $\rho$-connection on $E$ which determines $Y$, it is sufficient to find a morphism $\pi^*E \to TZ$ which when restricted to $B$ is the identity and when composed with the morphism $TZ \to \pi^*(TM)$, induced by $d\pi$, is equal to $\pi^*\rho; \gamma$ equivalently, we have to find a morphism $E \to TZ/B$ which when composed to $TZ/B \to \pi^*(TM)/B$ is equal to $R$.

Now, the obstruction to building such a morphism is an element of $H^1(Z, E^* \otimes \ker d\pi)$. As $Z$ is locally trivial, and the restriction of $E^* \otimes \ker d\pi$ to each fibre of $\pi$ is isomorphic to $kO(1)$, where rank $E = k$, an application of the K"unneth formula shows that any point of $M$ has an open neighborhood $U$ such that the restriction of this obstruction to $Z|_U$ is zero.

Conversely, suppose that an open subset of $Y$ is the twistor space of a $\rho$-quaternionic manifold $M$. Then, for all $x \in M$, we obtain exact sequences as (2.1), where now we know that the Birkhoff–Grothendieck decomposition of $B_x$ contains only terms of Chern number $-1$; hence, $T_xM = H^0(t_x, Nt_x)$ and, consequently, $Nt_x$ is nonnegative.

Note that Theorem 2.1 gives, in fact, an isomorphism of categories, as the families of embedded Riemann spheres involved are locally complete. In particular, any $\rho$-quaternionic vector space (that is, a $\rho$-quaternionic vector bundle over a point) corresponds to a nonnegative vector bundle over the sphere (cf. [27]; see also [24]).

If we apply Theorem 2.1 to the Veronese curve in the complex projective space, of dimension at least two, then we obtain a $\rho$-quaternionic manifold $(M, E, \rho)$ endowed with a quaternionic $\rho$-connection which is not a (classical) connection. Indeed, if that would have been the case then the normal bundle sequence of the Veronese curve would have split, which would contradict [22]. In fact, our approach gives, in particular, a new proof for that consequence of [22], as we shall now explain.

**Example 2.2.** Let $U_k = \otimes^k U_1$, where $\dim U_1 = 2$, and $\otimes$ is the symmetric product, $(k \in \mathbb{N})$. Then $U_k$ is the irreducible representation of dimension $k + 1$ of $SL(U_1)$.

For any $k \geq 1$, we have an embedding $PGL(U_1) \subseteq PGL(U_k)$ and the quotient $PGL(U_k)/PGL(U_1)$, obviously, parametrizes the space of Veronese curves on $PU_k$.

We are in the setting of Example 1.3 with $G = PGL(U_1)$, $H = PGL(U_{k-1})$, and $K = PGL(U_k)$, where $k \geq 2$ (the case $k = 1$ is trivial). To describe the quaternionic $\rho$-connection, note that the representation of $G$ on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$ of $K$, induced by the adjoint representation of $K$, is $U_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_{2k}$; indeed, as $\mathfrak{k} \oplus U_0 = U_k \oplus U_k$, we may apply [8, p. 151]. Accordingly, for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $H$ we have $\mathfrak{h} = U_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_{2k-2}$, and the quaternionic $\rho$-connection is given by $m = U_4 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_{2k-2}$. (Note that, if $k = 2$, then we are also in the setting of Example 1.2 with $G = PGL(U_2)$, $H = PGL(U_1)$, and the quaternionic $\rho$-connection given by the flat Cartan connection on $(G, G/H, H)$.)

From the proof of Theorem 2.1 it follows that the invariant principal $\rho$-connection on $(K, K/G, G, G)$ is unique. As this is not a classical principal connection, the normal bundle sequence of a Veronese curve does not split.

Finally, let $Y$ be the twistor space of a $\rho$-quaternionic manifold $(M, E, \rho)$, with $\rho$ surjective, rank $E > 4$. Suppose that $E$ is endowed with a bracket which satisfies the Jacobi identity. By also applying [18, Theorem 2.2], we obtain that, at least locally, there exists a quaternionic manifold $Q$ and a twistorial submersion $\varphi: Q \to M$ (that is, $\varphi$ corresponds to a submersion from the twistor space
of $Q$ onto $Y$). For example, if $M$ is the space of Veronese curves of degree $k$ then $Q = (\text{PGL}(U_k) \times \text{PGL}(U_{k-1}))/\text{PGL}(U_1)$. By also taking into consideration the conjugations, we obtain real quaternionic structures on SU(3) (see [13]) and $(\text{SU}(k + 1) \times \text{SU}(k))/\text{SU}(2)$.
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