Mule/Huwe1/Arf-BP1 suppresses Ras-driven tumorigenesis by preventing c-Myc/Miz1-mediated down-regulation of p21 and p15
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Tumorigenesis results from dysregulation of oncogenes and tumor suppressors that influence cellular proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis, and/or senescence. Many gene products involved in these processes are substrates of the E3 ubiquitin ligase Mule/Huwe1/Arf-BP1 (Mule), but whether Mule acts as an oncogene or tumor suppressor in vivo remains controversial. We generated K14Cre;Muleflox/flox (Mule kKO) mice and subjected them to DMBA/PMA-induced skin carcinogenesis, which depends on oncogenic Ras signaling. Mule deficiency resulted in increased penetrance, number, and severity of skin tumors, which could be reversed by concomitant genetic knockout of c-Myc but not by knockout of p53 or p19Arf. Notably, in the absence of Mule, c-Myc/Miz1 transcriptional complexes accumulated, and levels of p21CDKN1A (p21) and p15INK4B (p15) were down-regulated. In vitro, Mule-deficient primary keratinocytes exhibited increased proliferation that could be reversed by Miz1 knockdown. Transfer of Mule-deficient transformed cells to nude mice resulted in enhanced tumor growth that again could be abrogated by Miz1 knockdown. Our data demonstrate in vivo that Mule suppresses Ras-mediated tumorigenesis by preventing an accumulation of c-Myc/Miz1 complexes that mediates p21 and p15 down-regulation.
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Oncogenes drive tumor development by dysregulating various cellular processes, including proliferation, apoptosis, differentiation, senescence, and responses to DNA damage. Oncogenic mutations of Ras occur in ~30% of human cancers [Bos 1989] and activate Raf/MEK/ERK and PI3K/Akt signaling pathways that lead to uncontrolled proliferation and transformation [Karnoub and Weinberg 2008; DeNicola and Tuveson 2009]. However, oncogenic Ras also activates signaling by tumor suppressor gene (TSG) pathways mediated by p53/p21, p16Ink4A, and/or p19Arf. These TSG pathways attempt to induce the cell cycle arrest and/or premature senescence of cells with DNA damage to prevent their malignant transformation [Bringold and Serrano 2000]. Indeed, oncogenic Ras stimulation alone does not transform wild-type fibroblasts but can transform primary cells deficient in TSGs [Bringold and Serrano 2000; DeNicola and Tuveson 2009]. Thus, oncogenic Ras must cooperate with other oncogenes and/or loss of TSGs to overcome the barrier of premature senescence and drive neoplastic transformation.

Myc is another oncogene frequently amplified or over-expressed in human tumors. Myc regulates cellular proliferation, apoptosis, differentiation, and transformation via transcription-dependent and -independent mechanisms.
At a molecular level, Myc partners with various proteins in distinct heterodimeric complexes to activate or repress the transcription of two sets of target genes. The Myc/Max complex binds directly to the promoters of Myc target genes to stimulate cell cycle progression and proliferation (Levens 2002). Myc/Max also up-regulates p19Arf, which stabilizes p53 by inactivating Mdm2 (an E3 ligase for p53); the cell then undergoes cell cycle arrest or apoptosis. An alternative complex contains Myc and Miz1, a zinc finger transcription factor. The c-Myc/Miz1 complex represses transcription through direct binding of Miz1 to target gene promoters. Prominent c-Myc/Miz1 targets are the cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) inhibitors p21, p15, and p57Kip2 (p57) (Wanzel et al. 2003; Herkert and Eilers 2010).

In the absence of Myc, Miz1 is free to activate the transcription of Myc targets such as integrins and cell adhesion proteins (Gebhardt et al. 2006). Studies of total Miz1 knockout mice have shown that Miz1 is essential for early embryogenesis (Adhikary et al. 2003). Using conditional knockout mice, roles for Miz1 in B- and T-cell differentiation (Kosan et al. 2010; Saba et al. 2011), keratinocyte adhesion and differentiation (Gebhardt et al. 2006), hair follicle orientation, keratinocyte proliferation and differentiation (Gebhardt et al. 2007), and skin carcinogenesis (Honnenmann et al. 2012) have been demonstrated.

Mule/Huwe1/Arf-BP1 (Mule) is a large, multidomain member of the HECT (homologous to E5-AP C terminus) domain family of E3 ubiquitin ligases (Khoronenkova and Dianov 2011). Various Mule substrates are involved in apoptosis [Mcl-1] (Zhong et al. 2005), DNA replication, DNA damage responses, DNA repair [TopBP1, Cdc6, and DNA polymerases β and λ] (Hall et al. 2007; Herold et al. 2008; Parsons et al. 2009; Markkanen et al. 2012), and transcriptional regulation [p53, c-Myc, N-Myc, Miz1, HDAC2, and MyoD] (Adhikary et al. 2005; Chen et al. 2005; Zhao et al. 2008; Yang et al. 2010; Zhang et al. 2011; Noy et al. 2012). Mule attaches Lys 48 (K48)-linked polyubiquitin chains to most of its substrates, promoting their proteasomal degradation. However, Mule also attatches K63-linked polyubiquitin chains to c-Myc, suggesting a signaling function (Adhikary et al. 2005). Mule-mediated K63-linked ubiquitination of c-Myc is inhibited by Miz1 (Adhikary et al. 2005), implying that this c-Myc modification likely occurs when c-Myc is free or participating in a c-Myc/Max complex but not in a c-Myc/Miz1 complex. In addition to c-Myc, Mule interacts with p19Arf and ATM. Binding to p19Arf inhibits Mule E3 ligase activity (Chen et al. 2005), and Mule regulates the ATM-p53 axis either positively or negatively depending on whether DNA damage is present [Hao et al. 2012].

Total Mule knockout in mice is embryonic-lethal [Hao et al. 2012; Kon et al. 2012]. Conditional Mule knockout in neuronal or glial cells results in accumulations of N-Myc and c-Myc and is also lethal [Zhao et al. 2009; D’Arca et al. 2010]. Conditional Mule knockout in B cells leads to p53 accumulation and impaired B-cell development and homeostasis that can be rescued by concomitant p53 knockout [Hao et al. 2012]. Similarly, conditional Mule knockout in pancreatic β cells causes p53 accumulation, loss of β cells, and severe diabetes preventable by concomitant p53 knockout [Kon et al. 2012]. However, while these reports confirm that products of both oncogenes (N-Myc and c-Myc) and a TSG [p53] are Mule substrates in vivo, a role for Mule in tumorigenesis remains to be substantiated. Here we provide the first in vivo evidence that (1) Mule is a tumor suppressor, (2) the c-Myc/Miz1 complex is a Mule substrate, and (3) Mule suppresses Ras-induced tumorigenesis by preventing c-Myc/Miz1-mediated down-regulation of p21 and p15.

**Results**

**Loss of Mule in keratinocytes promotes 7,12-dimethylbenz-(a)-anthracene (DMBA)/12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (PMA)-induced tumorigenesis in a manner that does not depend on p53 or p19Arf but does involve c-Myc**

To ascertain the role of Mule in tumorigenesis in vivo, we used the two-step model of skin carcinogenesis induced by oncogenic Ras. DMBA is applied to the skin as a tumor initiator, and PMA is subsequently applied as a tumor promoter (Kemp et al. 1993). Several major Mule substrates [p53, c-Myc, and Miz1] and Mule-associated proteins [p19Arf] are important in DMBA/PMA carcinogenesis (Kemp et al. 1993; Kelly-Spratt et al. 2004; Oskarsson et al. 2006; Honeycutt et al. 2010; Honnemann et al. 2012). In this model, p53 and p19Arf act as TSGs, while c-Myc and Miz1 act as oncogenes.

To generate mutants in which Mule was selectively deleted in skin epithelium, we crossed Mule<sup>fl/fl</sup> [male or female] mice to K14Cre mice in which Cre recombinase is under the control of the human keratin 14 [K14] promoter. Cre-mediated deletion of floxed genes in these animals can be detected in basal epidermis and hair follicles in skin from embryonic day 13.5 [E13.5] (Jonkers et al. 2001; Kurek et al. 2007). K14Cre,Mule<sup>fl/fl</sup> mice were born at the expected Mendelian ratio [1:1] (Supplemental Table I), did not spontaneously develop tumors, and had normal life spans [Supplemental Fig. 1A].

To examine induced tumorigenesis in Mule kKO mice, we exposed their dorsal skin to DMBA/PMA and monitored skin tumor development. Tumors were first observed in Mule kKO and control littermates at 7–8 wk post-DMBA exposure [Fig. 1A], indicating that initial tumor formation was not altered by Mule deficiency. However, by 15 wk post-DMBA, all Mule kKO mice had developed tumors, whereas only half of control mice had done so. Moreover, tumors in Mule kKO mice were more numerous and larger in size than in controls [Fig. 1B,C]. Histologically, ~70% of tumors in Mule kKO mice were keratoacanthomas [KAs], which are benign but highly proliferative, whereas all growths in control mice were benign papillomas typical of the DMBA/PMA model.
Thus, Mule plays a tumor-suppressive role in vivo, at least in skin. Next, we investigated whether p53 and p19Arf were involved in Mule's tumor-suppressive function by subjecting K14Cre;p53fl/fl (p53 kKO) and p19Arf−/− mice to DMBA/PMA-induced carcinogenesis. In the absence of such induction, Mule deficiency did not increase spontaneous tumorigenesis (Supplemental Fig. 1B,C). However, all p53 kKO mice exposed to DMBA/PMA developed more numerous and larger tumors than control p53fl/fl littermates (Fig. 1D,E; Supplemental Fig. 2A). We then generated K14Cre;p53fl/fl;Mulefl/fl (Mule/p53 kDKO) double mutants and observed that tumor development was accelerated [latency 8 wk post-DMBA] compared with p53 kKO mice [latency 12 wk post-DMBA] (Fig. 1D). Notably, tumors in Mule/p53 kDKO mice were even larger than those in p53 kKO mice (Fig. 1E,F). Similarly, K14Cre;Mulefl/fl;Mulefl/fl (Mule/c-Myc kDKO) double mutants showed more rapid tumor growth than p19Arf−/− mice (Fig. 1G). All Mule/p19Arf kDKO mutants had developed tumors by 10 wk post-DMBA, whereas by 20 wk, only ~90% of p19Arf knockout mice had done so. Tumors in Mule/p19Arf kDKO mice were also larger than those in p19Arf−/− mice (Fig. 1H,I). Thus, neither p53 nor p19Arf is absolutely required for Mule's tumor-suppressive function in this skin tumor model.

K14Cre;c-Mycfl/fl (c-Myc kKO) mice are known to be resistant to DMBA/PMA-induced skin carcinogenesis (Oskarsson et al. 2006). We therefore evaluated whether Mule deficiency would render these mutants vulnerable to such tumorigenesis. Confirming the study by Oskarsson et al. (2006), our c-Myc kKO mice showed no spontaneous tumors (Supplemental Fig. 1D) and were relatively resistant to DMBA/PMA-induced tumor formation (Fig. 1J). We generated K14Cre;Mulefl/fl;c-Mycfl/fl (Mule/c-Myc kDKO) double mutants and observed that tumors developed with kinetics similar to those in c-Myc kKO mice (Fig. 1J). Tumors in Mule/c-Myc kDKO mice were comparable in size with those in controls and only slightly larger than those in c-Myc kKO mice (Fig. 1K,L; Supplemental Fig. 2C,D). Thus, concomitant knockout of c-Myc largely "rescued" the Mule-deficient phenotype in the DMBA/PMA model, suggesting that c-Myc signaling might be involved in Mule's tumor-suppressive function.

Mule deficiency increases tumor cell proliferation due to an accumulation of the c-Myc/Miz1 complex that down-regulates p21CDKN1A and p15INK4b

To investigate how Mule deficiency affects cancer growth, we subjected tumor samples from Mule kKO and control littermates to histological analysis. Equally low levels of cleaved caspase-3 [marker of apoptosis], senescence-associated β-galactosidase (SA-β-gal) staining [marker of cellular senescence], and γH2AX [marker of DNA damage] were found in tumors from mice of both genotypes (Fig. 2; Supplemental Fig. 3A), suggesting that Mule deficiency does not lead to significant changes in

Figure 1. Skin tumorigenesis promoted by Mule deficiency can be reversed by c-Myc deficiency. (A,D,G,J) Tumor-free survival of mice of the indicated genotypes following exposure to DMBA/PMA. P-values, log-rank test. (n.s.) Not significant. (B,C,E,F,H,I,K,L) Numbers of tumors that were small (~3 mm), medium (~7 mm) or large (>7 mm) that developed on the dorsal skin of the mice in A, D, G, and J. (Insets) Representative examples of dorsal skin tumors.
apoptosis, senescence, or responses to spontaneous DNA damage [at least in this skin tumor model]. Interestingly, Ki67 staining (marker of proliferation) was significantly elevated in tumors of Mule kKO mice, and levels of CD31 (marker of angiogenesis) were slightly enhanced (Fig. 2; Supplemental Fig. 3B). These data suggest that the larger skin tumor size in DMBA/PMA-exposed Mule-deficient mice is due to a major increase in tumor cell proliferation and a minor increase in tumor angiogenesis. When we used immunohistochemistry (IHC) to examine key factors driving cancer cell growth in the absence of Mule, we found that Mule deficiency did not affect protein levels of p16Ink4a, p19Arf, or p53 in skin tumors but that c-Myc was markedly increased and p21 was decreased (Fig. 2; Supplemental Fig. 3B). These results raised the possibility that the tumor-suppressive function of Mule might be related to the regulation of proliferation exerted by c-Myc and p21.

We next examined the effects of Mule deficiency on the protein expression of several Mule substrates and downstream targets in primary keratinocytes from both Mule kKO mice and Mule(fl/fl) mice infected in vitro with Cre-expressing adenovirus (Ad-Cre). Immunoblotting confirmed that Mule protein was efficiently decreased in both cases [Fig. 3A; Supplemental Fig. 4]. There were no detectable differences in Mcl-1, HDAC2, ATM, or p53 protein levels in the absence of Mule, and there were no changes in protein levels of the p53 targets Mdm2 and Puma or the c-Myc-binding partners Max, Mad1, and Mad2. In contrast, both c-Myc and Miz1 were consistently increased in Mule-deficient cells [Fig. 3A; Supplemental Fig. 4]. As the c-Myc/Miz1 complex represses the transcription of several CDK inhibitors in a tissue context-dependent fashion [Seoane et al. 2001; Herold et al. 2002; Adhikary et al. 2003; Wu et al. 2003; Basu et al. 2009], we examined protein levels of p21, p27, p57Kip2 (p57), and p15 in Mule-deficient cells. Levels of p21 and p15, but not p27 and p57, were consistently reduced in Mule-deficient primary keratinocytes [Fig. 3A; Supplemental Fig. 4].

We then determined mRNA levels of the above factors using real-time RT–PCR. Mule mRNA levels were significantly reduced in Mule-deficient primary keratinocytes [Fig. 3B] and skin tumor samples [Fig. 3C], as expected. The mRNA levels of p53, c-Myc, and Miz1 were not altered by Mule deficiency, also expected, since Mule acts on these substrates at the protein rather than the mRNA level. In addition, no significant differences in mRNA levels of most p53 target genes [Puma, Mdm2, and GADD45a] or in a c-Myc/Miz1 target gene [p27] were detected. For unknown reasons, levels of 14-3-3σ and p57 mRNAs were slightly up-regulated in Mule-deficient cells. Consistent with their protein profiles, p21 and p15 mRNAs were markedly down-regulated in Mule-deficient primary keratinocytes and skin tumor samples [Fig. 3B,C]. Thus, rather than affecting the stability of the p21 and p15 proteins, Mule deficiency results in transcriptional down-regulation of these genes.

To investigate whether Mule prevents the accumulation of c-Myc/Miz1 complexes by mediating polyubiquitination and subsequent degradation of these proteins, we initially attempted to perform ubiquitination assays using primary keratinocytes from Mule kKO mice. Unfortunately, we could not obtain sufficient gene transduction efficiency without incurring lethal toxicity. As an alternative, we engineered PAM212 cells [a transformed murine keratinocyte cell line] [Yuspa et al.1980] to overexpress...
c-Myc (Fig. 4A) or Miz1 (Fig. 4B). When these cells also overexpressed Mule, we observed enhanced linkage of wild-type HA-ubiquitin to both c-Myc and Miz1. The same result was observed for all members of a series of HA-ubiquitin proteins mutated at individual lysines except K48 (K48O) (Fig. 4A, B). In contrast, Mule overexpression did not enhance the linkage of HA-ubiquitin mutated except at K63 (K63O) to either c-Myc or Miz1 (Fig. 4A, B). Interestingly, Mule deficiency had a more dramatic impact on the polyubiquitination of c-Myc than on that of Miz1 (Fig. 4A, B). These data suggest that Mule regulates c-Myc and Miz1 protein stability primarily through K48-linked polyubiquitination.

As both c-Myc and Miz1 were increased in Mule-deficient cells [Fig. 3A; Supplemental Fig. 4], we investigated whether c-Myc or Miz1 was a direct target of Mule activity. PAM212 cells engineered to ectopically overexpress both wild-type Mule and c-Myc showed a marked reduction in c-Myc compared with controls, and this reduction was not observed when enzymatically inactive Mule was co-overexpressed with c-Myc [Fig. 4C]. Overexpression of wild-type Mule also decreased ectopically expressed Miz1, but more modestly (Fig. 4C). The decrease in Miz1 mediated by wild-type Mule was slightly enhanced by co-overexpression of c-Myc (Fig. 4C). These findings collectively suggest that (1) Mule targets c-Myc more effectively than Miz1 and (2) Miz1 may be targeted more effectively by Mule when it participates in the c-Myc/Miz1 complex, at least in keratinocytes.

Mule deficiency increases keratinocyte proliferation via effects on Miz1

As our results showed that Mule controls Miz1 stability and Miz1 is known to regulate keratinocyte proliferation through p21 [Honnemann et al. 2012], we assessed the
proliferation of control and Mule-deficient keratinocytes derived by infecting primary keratinocytes from Mule \(^{wt/wt}\) and Mule \(^{fl/fl(y)}\) mice with Ad-Cre. Cultures of Mule-deficient keratinocytes grew more rapidly than controls (Fig. 5A) and showed an approximately twofold elevation in BrdU incorporation (Fig. 5B), suggesting that the increased proliferation was due to cell cycle regulation rather than decreased apoptosis. In addition, although total numbers were very low, Mule-deficient keratinocytes formed more colonies than controls (Fig. 5C). Thus, keratinocytes acquire an enhanced potential for transformation in the absence of Mule.

Next, we determined the effect of Mule deficiency on epidermal keratinocyte proliferation in vivo. First, we examined the dorsal skin morphology of untreated Mule kKO and control littermates and observed no significant differences in either epidermal thickness or number of Ki67\(^{+}\) cells per millimeter (Fig. 5D [top], E,F [left]). We then exposed the dorsal skin of Mule kKO and control littermates to PMA to induce keratinocyte hyperplasia (Oskarsson et al. 2006). In PMA-treated animals of both genotypes, epidermal thickness and number of Ki67\(^{+}\) cells per millimeter were dramatically increased (Fig. 5D [bottom], E,F [right]). However, both of these parameters were enhanced to a greater degree in PMA-treated Mule kKO mice compared with controls. Thus, Mule deficiency accelerates PMA-induced hyperplasia.

To investigate whether Miz1 is involved in Mule’s regulation of keratinocyte proliferation, we examined the effect of siRNA-mediated Miz1 knockdown on Mule \(^{wt/wt}\) and Mule \(^{fl/fl(y)}\) primary keratinocytes infected with Ad-Cre. Exposure to Miz1 siRNA for 48 h efficiently reduced Miz1 protein in Mule kKO and control cells (Fig. 6A). Levels of c-Myc protein were slightly decreased in Mule kKO cells by Miz1 knockdown compared with controls (Fig. 6A). Importantly, the decreased protein levels of p21 and p15 present in Mule kKO cells transfected with scrambled siRNA were restored by Miz1 depletion (Fig. 6A), demonstrating that Miz1 is crucial for the p21 and p15 down-regulation induced by Mule deficiency. Moreover, the enhancements of proliferation (Fig. 6B) and BrdU incorporation (Fig. 6C) in Mule kKO cells were partially impaired by Miz1 knockdown. Thus, the effects of Mule deficiency on keratinocyte proliferation are mediated primarily through Miz1.

Mule deficiency promotes Ras-induced tumorigenesis in vivo through a mechanism involving Miz1

To confirm the effects of Mule deficiency on tumor growth in vivo, we initially planned to monitor the growth of transformed keratinocytes derived from Mule kKO mutants in athymic nude mice. Unfortunately, we could not obtain such transformed keratinocytes due to poor gene transduction efficiency. We therefore turned to...
the use of primary mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) in allograft experiments. Primary MEFs derived from Mule$^{WT/WT}$ (WT) and Mule$^{fl/fl}$ (KO) mice were infected with Ad-Cre to obtain control and Mule-deficient MEFs, respectively. We then infected Mule WT$^{WT/WT}$ or Mule KO$^{p53^{+/−}}$ MEFs with retrovirus expressing HRasG12V plus either control shRNA or Miz1 shRNA. As expected, Mule was efficiently deleted by Ad-Cre treatment, leading to accumulations of c-Myc and Miz1 and reductions in p21 and p15 in Mule KO$^{p53^{+/−}}$ MEFs (Fig. 7A). Expression of Miz1 shRNA efficiently decreased Miz1 in both control and Mule-deficient MEFs [Fig. 7A]. Strikingly, Miz1 knockdown in Mule KO$^{p53^{+/−}}$ MEFs abolished c-Myc accumulation and restored p21 and p15 to normal levels [Fig. 7A]. Miz1 shRNA did not affect c-Myc mRNA levels (data not shown), raising the possibility that participation in the c-Myc/Miz1 complex stabilizes the c-Myc protein.

Next, we injected our transformed Mule WT$^{WT/WT}$ or Mule KO$^{p53^{+/−}}$ MEFs subcutaneously into athymic nude mice, which were then monitored for tumor growth. Mule-deficient transformed MEFs produced larger growths in nude mice compared with controls [Fig. 7B], demonstrating that Mule deficiency enhances tumor development in
vivo in a cell-autonomous manner. To investigate whether Miz1 is absolutely required for Mule’s tumor-suppressive function, transformed Mule WT;p53+/+ or Mule KO;p53+/+ MEFs expressing Miz1 shRNA were injected into nude mice. Regardless of Mule status, MEFs depleted of Miz1 failed to proliferate efficiently, and at least 4–5 wk of growth was required to obtain tumors of a size that could be isolated. Nevertheless, we observed that Mule deficiency could not enhance tumor formation in the absence of Miz1 [Fig. 7C; Supplemental Fig. 5A], establishing that Miz1 is critical for Mule’s effects on tumor growth in vivo.

To confirm our results in a keratinocyte context, we infected PAM212 cells with retrovirus expressing HRasG12V plus either Mule shRNA or control shRNA. Mule shRNA successfully decreased Mule protein and, consistent with our previous results, induced increases in Miz1 and c-Myc proteins and a decrease in p21 protein (Fig. 7D). We then injected our transformed PAM212 cells expressing control shRNA or Mule shRNA into nude mice. Regardless of Mule status, MEFs depleted of Miz1 failed to proliferate efficiently, and at least 4–5 wk of growth was required to obtain tumors of a size that could be isolated. Nevertheless, we observed that Mule deficiency could not enhance tumor formation in the absence of Miz1 [Fig. 7C; Supplemental Fig. 5A], establishing that Miz1 is critical for Mule’s effects on tumor growth in vivo.

Discussion
It has been difficult to ascertain the precise role of Mule in cancer because both tumor suppressors (p53, ATM, and p19Arf) and oncogenes (c-Myc, N-Myc, and Miz1) either associate with it or are its substrates. Our findings definitively demonstrate that [1] Mule suppresses oncogenic Ras signaling in vivo, [2] the c-Myc/Miz1 complex is a major Mule substrate, and [3] Mule’s tumor-suppressive function depends on its ability to prevent c-Myc/Miz1-mediated down-regulation of p21 and p15.

The role of c-Myc in skin tumorigenesis was previously examined using c-Myc transgenic and c-Myc knockout mice (Oskarsson et al. 2006; Honeycutt et al. 2010). Mice lacking c-Myc showed increased p21 and resistance to DMBA/PA-induced skin carcinogenesis. Concomitant knockout of p21 fully restored skin tumor formation, indicating that c-Myc is required for HRas-mediated skin tumorigenesis through its regulation of p21 (Oskarsson et al. 2006; Honeycutt et al. 2010).
Figure 7. Mule deficiency promotes Ras-induced tumorigenesis in vivo through a mechanism involving Miz1. (A) Immunoblotting to detect the indicated proteins in lysates of primary MEFs from Mule\(^{wt/wt}\);p53\(^{+/+}\) (lanes 1–3) or Mule\(^{fl/fl}\);p53\(^{+/+}\) (lanes 4–6) mice that were exposed to Ad-Cre for 24 h, followed by retroviral infection with pMX-RasG12V-IREs-Puro\(^{-}\)-control shRNA (lanes 1, 4) or pMX-RasG12V-IREs-Puro\(^{-}\)-Miz1 shRNA (lanes 2, 3, 5, 6). (B) Transformed control or Mule-deficient MEFs from the cultures in A were subcutaneously injected \((1 \times 10^6 \text{ cells})\) into the right (Mule WT) (A, lane 1) or left (Mule KO) (A, lane 4) flanks of athymic nude mice. (Top) Representative photos of four mice per group are shown at 3 wk post-injection. (Middle) Gross views of representative tumors isolated from the mice in the top panel. (Bottom) Weights of tumors of injected mice at 3 wk post-injection. Data points are tumor weight per individual mouse. (Horizontal line) Mean weight ± SD for the group \((n = 8)\). P-value is from unpaired Student’s t-test. (C) Transformed control or Mule-deficient MEFs expressing Miz1 shRNA (from the cultures in A) were subcutaneously injected \((1 \times 10^6 \text{ cells})\) into the right (Mule WT-Miz1 shRNA) (A, lane 2) or left (Mule KO-Miz1 shRNA) (A, lane 5) flanks of athymic nude mice. (Top) Representative photos of four mice per group at 5 wk post-injection. (Middle) Gross views of representative tumors isolated from the mice in the top panel. (Bottom) Weights of tumors of injected mice at 5 wk post-injection. Data points are tumor weight per individual mouse. (Horizontal line) Mean weight ± SD for the group \((n = 6)\). P-value is from unpaired Student’s t-test. (D) Immunoblotting to detect the indicated proteins in PAM212 cells infected with pMX-RasG12V-IREs-Puro\(^{-}\}-control shRNA (Con) or pMX-RasG12V-IREs-Puro\(^{-}\}-Mule shRNA [Mule]. (E) The control or Mule-depleted PAM212 cells in D were subcutaneously injected \((1 \times 10^6 \text{ cells})\) into the left (control shRNA) (D, lanes 1) or right (Mule shRNA) (D, lane 2) flanks of athymic nude mice. (Top) Representative photos of five control and five Mule-depleted mice at 3 wk post-injection. (Middle) Gross views of representative tumors isolated from the mice in the top panel. (Bottom) Tumors of injected mice at 3 wk post-injection. Data points are tumor weight per individual mouse. (Horizontal line) Mean weight ± SD for the group \((n = 9)\). P-value is from unpaired Student’s t-test. (F) Proposed model for the role of Mule in cancer. (Left) In wild-type cells exposed to DMBA/PMA, oncogenic Ras signaling induces cell cycle arrest, senescence, and/or apoptosis through activation of the p19\(^{Arf}\)-p53–p21 and p16/p15–Retinoblastoma (Rb) tumor suppressor pathways. Unlimited cellular proliferation and transformation are blocked. Thus, wild-type mice exposed to DMBA/PMA develop only small benign tumors such as papillomas. (Right) In Mule-deficient cells exposed to DMBA/PMA, c-Myc/Miz1 complexes that have accumulated due to the lack of Mule prevent p21 and p15 induction by oncogenic Ras signaling. This down-regulation of p21 and p15 weakens the cell cycle arrest/senescence/apoptosis barrier against unlimited proliferation and transformation. Thus, Mule-deficient mice exposed to DMBA/PMA develop larger papillomas and KA.
et al. 2006). However, whether c-Myc itself down-regulates p21 during DMBA/PMA-mediated skin carcinogenesis was not clearly established. Our results suggest that this is not the case.

Miz1 has a dual role in transcriptional regulation of its target genes. On the one hand, Miz1 forms a repressive complex with c-Myc that down-regulates genes encoding CDK inhibitors, including p15, p21, and p57. On the other hand, in the absence of c-Myc, Miz1 supports the transcriptional activation of target genes such as Bel-2, clusterin, integrins, and cell adhesion-related molecules [Gebhardt et al. 2006]. A direct role for Miz1 in cancer was recently revealed using a conditional knockout mouse lacking Miz1 specifically in keratinocytes [K14Cre;Miz1fl/fl] [Honnemann et al. 2012]. Upon DMBA/PMA exposure, these Miz1-deficient mutants developed fewer and smaller skin tumors, indicating that Miz1 promotes oncogenesis. PMA-exposed primary keratinocytes lacking Miz1 exhibited up-regulated p21 and p15, reduced proliferation, and enhanced differentiation, all of which were reversed with concomitant knockout of p21 but not p15. Thus, Miz1’s oncogenic function depends on its regulation of p21. p21 is known to have a direct role in preventing skin tumorigenesis, since keratinocytes lacking p21 show increased proliferation in vivo and resistance to differentiation stimuli [Missero et al. 1996]. Furthermore, HRas-transformed p21-deficient keratinocytes are more tumorigenic in vivo than controls [Missero et al. 1996].

Our data provide the missing link between c-Myc, Miz1, and p21 and implicate Mule in this pathway. We showed that, in the absence of Mule, c-Myc/Miz1 complexes accumulate and down-regulate p21 and p15. As a result, Mule-deficient cells exhibit increased proliferation and an enhanced ability to initiate tumorigenesis. A model of how we believe Mule exerts its tumor-suppressive function during skin carcinogenesis in vivo is described in Figure 7E.

Several questions remain regarding the role of Mule in cancer. Chief among these is whether Mule’s oncogenic capacity is tissue- and/or oncogene-specific. Indeed, p53, rather than c-Myc/Miz1, is Mule’s predominant substrate in B cells [Hao et al. 2012] and pancreatic β cells [Kon et al. 2012]. It is also unclear whether Mule’s tumor-suppressive function is general or Ras-specific and which additional factors are required for full transformation. Although wild-type mice developed only papillomas in our system, Mule deficiency resulted in papillomas or KAs but not the malignant squamous cell carcinomas (SCCs) observed when p53 is absent [Supplemental Table II]. While both KAs and SCCs are more rapidly proliferating cutaneous tumors than papillomas and some groups believe that KAs are low-grade SCCs, others point out that KAs differ from SCCs in their morphologic features, tumor kinetics, and potential for regression (Schwartz 1994). It may be relevant that, in addition to driving keratinocyte proliferation, Myc supports the differentiation of epidermal stem cells [Watt et al. 2008]. K14Cre-Myc transgenic mice spontaneously develop gross histological abnormalities that were not apparent in our Mule kKO mice [Fig. 5D]. These intriguing differences suggest that the function of Mule in epidermal stem cell differentiation should be investigated.

The half-life of c-Myc in keratinocytes is regulated by the E3 ligases Fbxw7 [Ishikawa et al. 2013] and Skp2 [ Muller and Eilers 2008]. For Fbxw7-mediated c-Myc degradation to occur, c-Myc must be phosphorylated on Thr 58 by ERK and on Ser 62 by GSKβ [ Muller and Eilers 2008]. However, Mule deficiency does not affect oncogenic Ras-induced phosphorylation of ERK, Akt, or GSK3β [Supplemental Fig. 6A]. Similarly, Mule overexpression reduces not only wild-type c-Myc but also a T58A/S62A c-Myc mutant that cannot be phosphorylated [Supplemental Fig. 6B]. Moreover, siRNA knockdown of either Fbxw7 or Skp2 further increases c-Myc accumulation in Mule knockdown cells [Supplemental Fig. 7]. These data strongly suggest that the Mule/c-Myc axis is independent of Fbxw7 and Skp2.

A recent study implicates c-Myc as a universal amplifier that enhances the transcriptional output of all activated genes, rather than acting on specific “Myc target genes” [Lin et al. 2012]. This same group has also questioned the validity of normalizing gene expression data to total cellular RNA content and has proposed normalization to cell number instead [Loven et al. 2012]. However, we have preliminary data [data not shown] confirming that neither genetic deficiency nor knockdown of Mule affects cellular RNA content. Thus, our quantitative RT–PCR results [Fig. 3B,C] remain reliable regardless of normalization approach. This finding also suggests that, unlike ectopically overexpressed c-Myc, the c-Myc accumulating in Mule kKO cells does not act as a transcriptional amplifier.

In addition to Miz1’s function in transcriptional regulation in the nucleus, this protein can act in the cytoplasm to suppress inflammatory signaling initiated by tumor necrosis factor α [TNFα]. Upon TNFα stimulation, Miz1 is recruited to the TNF receptor 1 [TNFR1] complex at the cell membrane by associating with the adaptor protein TRAF2. TNFα-induced JNK activation and inflammation are suppressed unless Miz1 is removed by Mule-mediated ubiquitination/proteosomal degradation [Yang et al. 2010; Lin 2011; Liu et al. 2012]. We undertook preliminary examinations of TNFR1 signaling in PAM212 cells expressing Mule shRNA. TNFα-induced JNK1 activation is slightly reduced by Mule depletion, while cycloheximide/TNFα-induced apoptosis is normal [Supplemental Fig. 8]. We are currently investigating TNFR1 signaling in Mule kKO keratinocytes.

A key finding of our work is that Mule acts on Miz1 complexed to c-Myc, with consequent p21 and p15 mRNA down-regulation. Our overexpression experiments have demonstrated that, although Mule acts on both c-Myc and Miz1, its preferred target is c-Myc rather than Miz1 [Fig. 4A,B]. On the other hand, our Miz1 siRNA experiments suggest that Miz1 plays a role in stabilizing the c-Myc/Miz1 complex [Fig. 7A]. The precise biochemical mechanisms by which Mule targets the c-Myc/Miz1 complex remain to be elucidated.

Although expression profiling and mutational analyses of MULE in human cancers have been performed, the
available clinical data do not firmly identify MULE as either a tumor suppressor or a tumor promoter. MULE is up-regulated in cancers of the breast, colon, lung, prostate, larynx, liver, pancreas, and thyroid but down-regulated in stomach and uterine tumors as well as glioblastomas [Adhikary et al. 2005; Confalonieri et al. 2009]. The MULE locus is deleted in some glioblastoma patients (Zhao et al. 2009) but commonly amplified in individuals with non-syndromic intellectual disability. These observations suggest that, while normal MULE levels may suppress brain cancer, too much MULE promotes mental retardation [Froyen et al. 2012]. According to publicly available databases, low MULE expression correlates with poor prognosis in breast and ovarian cancer patients [Supplemental Fig. 9]. In addition, examinations of the Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer (COSMIC) [Supplemental Fig. 10A] and the eBio Cancer Genomics database [Supplemental Fig. 10B] confirm that somatic mutation, homozygous deletion, or amplification of MULE occurs in many human cancers. Although most of these mutations are located in nonfunctional domains of MULE, mutations in the HECT domain have been identified in a few patients with colon and rectal adenocarcinomas [Supplemental Fig. 10A]. Interestingly, homozygous deletion of MULE has been documented in ~4% of SCC of the head and neck [SCCHN] [Supplemental Fig. 10B]. Currently available human tumor sequencing data also provide evidence suggesting that Miz1 and Ras may cooperate in human cancers. Miz1 amplification occurs most often in soft tissue sarcomas, at a frequency of ~5% [Supplemental Fig. 11A]. Interestingly, there is a strong association between MIZ1 amplification and amplification of RAS family members in that ~86% of tumors showing RAS amplification also display MIZ1 amplification [P < 0.01] [Supplemental Fig. 11B]. Although the biological and clinical consequences of homozygous MULE deletion and concurrent MIZ1/RAS amplification remain to be explored, these observations support the relevance of our model to human tumor development.

In conclusion, our study has demonstrated a crucial in vivo function for Mule in suppressing tumorigenesis induced by oncogenic Ras signaling. Our findings have clarified the perplexing and multifaceted role of Mule and point to Miz1 as a potential new target for novel anti-cancer therapies.

Materials and methods

Mice

The generation of Mule<sup>0/0<i>y</i></sup> mice has been previously described (Hao et al. 2012). Mule<sup>0/0<i>y</i></sup> mice were bred with K14Cre [Jonkers et al. 2001], p53<sup>flox/flox</sup> [Jonkers et al. 2001], c-Myc<sup>flox/flox</sup> [de Albornor et al. 2001], p19<sup>Ari1</sup><sup>-/-</sup> [Zindy et al. 2003], or p53<sup>-/-</sup> [Jack et al. 1994] mice. All breedings were approved by the University Health Network Animal Care Committee (UHN-ACC; ID: AUP985).

Plasmids

Plasmids pRK5-HA-Ub-WT, pRK5-HA-Ub-K48O, and pRK5-HA-Ub-K63O [Addgene, catalog nos. 17608, 17605, and 17606, respectively] were from Addgene. The Mule expression vectors were the kind gift of Dr. Qing Zhang [Zhong et al. 2005]. The wild-type and T58A/K62A c-Myc expression vectors [Supplemental Fig. 6B] were the kind gifts of Dr. Linda Penn [University Health Network]. To construct the c-Myc expression vector [Fig. 4], full-length c-Myc cDNA was subcloned into pcDNA3.1/Zeo (Invitrogen). To construct the Miz1 expression vector, full-length Miz1 cDNA was subcloned into pCMV6-A-Puro [Origene, catalog no. PS100025]. To create the base pMX-HRasG12V plasmid with which to construct shRNA vectors, the HRasG12V insert from pBabe-puro-HRasG12V [Addgene, catalog no. 1768] was subcloned into pCMV6-A-Puro [Origene, catalog no. PS100025]. To create the pMX-HRasG12V-IRES-Puro-shRNA mir plasmids, IRES-Puro-shRNAmir sequences from pGIPZ-Miz1 shRNA [Open Biosystems, catalog no. RHS4531-NM_004433; clone ID: V3LHS_391462 for Fig. 7C and V3LHS_391464 for Supplemental Fig. 5A], pGIPZ-Mule shRNA [Open Biosystems, catalog no. RHS4531-NM_031407; clone ID: V2LHS_110969 for Fig. 7D,E and V2LHS_262858 for Supplemental Fig. 5B], or pGIPZ-control shRNA [Open Biosystems, catalog no. RHS3465] were amplified and subcloned into pMX-HRasG12V using the In-Fusion HD cloning system (Clontech, catalog no. 639646).

Primary MEFs

Primary MEFs were prepared from E13.5 mouse embryos and cultured in DMEM containing 10% fetal calf serum [FCS] plus 55 μM 2-mercaptoethanol. For Cre-mediated deletion of the floxed Mule allele, primary MEFs (2 × 10<sup>3</sup> to approximately 2.5 × 10<sup>4</sup> cells) were infected overnight with 5 × 10<sup>7</sup> plaque formation unit (pfu) of either control adeno virus [Ad-CMV-Null, Vector Biolaboratories, catalog no. 1900] or adeno virus expressing Cre [Ad-CMV-Cre, Vector Biolaboratories, catalog no. 1045]. Retroviral-mediated gene transfer in primary MEFs was performed as previously described [Serrano et al. 1997] with slight modifications. Briefly, early passage primary MEFs [P1 or P2] were infected with pMXs-RasG12V-IRES-Puro retroviral vector expressing either scrambled control shRNA or Miz1 shRNA. Infected cell populations were selected in puromycin (2 μg/mL) for 24 h. Transformed MEFs were cultured for 2–3 wk before use in allograft experiments.

Primary epidermal keratinocytes

Primary epidermal keratinocytes isolated from newborn pups were cultured as described [Lichti et al. 2008]. For Cre-mediated deletion of the floxed Mule allele, primary keratinocytes [1 × 10<sup>4</sup> to approximately 2 × 10<sup>5</sup> cells per well in six-well collagen I-coated plates, BD, catalog no. 354400] were infected overnight with either Ad-CMV-Null or Ad-CMV-Cre [5 × 10<sup>9</sup> pfu per well]. Cell numbers were counted every 2 d using a Vi-Cell XR cell counter (Beckman Coulter). BrdU incorporation was measured using the APE-Brdu Flow kit [BD Pharmingen]. For colony formation assays, primary keratinocytes at P1 were seeded in six-well collagen I-coated plates (2 × 10<sup>4</sup> cells per well). After 2 wk, cells were stained with 0.5% crystal violet, and primary colonies were counted. For Miz1 knockdown, primary keratinocytes were transfected with 50 nM siRNA directed against Miz1/ZZT17 [Dharmacon, catalog no. L-046195-01], Fbxw7 [Dharmacon, catalog no. L-041553-01], or Skp2 [Dharmacon, catalog no. L-040845-01] using Lipofectamine 2000 [Invitrogen].

Immunoblotting

Cells were lysed in 1% Triton X-100 lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8, 100 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol) containing protease inhibitor [Roche, catalog no. 1183617001] for 30 min on ice. Cell
lysates [10–50 μg] were subjected to standard SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting using the primary Abs listed in Supplemental Table III.

Histology and IHC

Samples of normal dorsal skin and skin tumors were fixed in formalin prior to hematoxylin–eosin (HE) staining. For IHC, normal skin and skin tumor samples were fixed with formalin, and 5-μm paraffin sections were subjected to immunostaining using the primary Abs listed in Supplemental Table IV. For SA-β-gal staining, tissues were mounted in OCT compound (Sakura Finetek) and stained with SA-β-gal as previously described (Sun et al. 2007).

Real-time RT–PCR

Total RNA was extracted using the NucleoSpin RNA II kit (Clontech, catalog no. 740955) and reverse-transcribed using iScript (Bio-Rad, catalog no. 170-8891). The resulting cDNAs were diluted to 1:5–10 and served as templates for real-time PCR using Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) and 500 nM forward primer plus 500 nM reverse primer on an ABI 7700 instrument [Applied Biosystems]. All procedures were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The primer sequences used for real-time RT–PCR are listed in Supplemental Table V. Each sample was assayed in triplicate, and data were normalized to the housekeeping gene 18S ribosomal RNA. Results were calculated using the comparative threshold cycle method (2^(-ΔΔCt)).

ChIP assay

ChIP assays were performed using anti-Miz1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, H181) and anti-c-Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, N262) Abs as previously described with slight modifications (Staller et al. 2001; Herold et al. 2002; Wu et al. 2003).

Ubiquitination assay

PAM212 cells (2 × 10^5 cells per well in six-well plates) were cotransfected with pcDNA3.1-Zeo-c-Myc (0.6 μg) and/or pCLG-Neo-3xFlag-12xHis-mouse Mule FL (1 μg) plus pRK5-HA-Ub-WT, pRK5-HA-Ub-K48O, or pRK5-HA-Ub-K63O (1 μg). At 24 h post-transfection, transfected PAM212 cells were exposed to 5 μM MG132 for 2 h and lysed in 0.5% Triton X-100 buffer. The resulting cell lysates were preclarified with protein G beads (GE Healthcare) for 1 h at 4°C, and the supernatants were immunoprecipitated with anti-c-Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, N262) or anti-Miz1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, H190) Ab overnight at 4°C. Immunocomplexes were washed five times with lysis buffer and subjected to standard immunoblotting using anti-c-Myc, anti-Miz1, or HRP-conjugated anti-HA Abs.

Skin carcinogenesis and hyperplasia

For skin carcinogenesis, the two-step DMBA/PMA model was employed using mice of the C57B6/FVB [F4] genetic background. Briefly, dorsal skin of 4-wk-old mice was exposed once to DMBA (25 μg in 100 μL of acetone) followed by biweekly exposure to PMA (6.25 μg in 100 μL of acetone) starting at 2 wk post-DMBA exposure. Tumor number and size were measured once per week. For PMA-induced epidermal hyperplasia, dorsal skin of mice was exposed to PMA (6.25 μg in 100 μL of acetone). Skin samples were analyzed on day 5. All skin carcinogenesis experiments were approved by the UHN-ACC (ID: AUP733).

Allografts

MEFs (1 × 10^6) or PAM212 cells (2 × 10^6) were suspended in 100 μL of medium and injected subcutaneously into the left or right flank of female NIH athymic nude mice. Mice were monitored for 3–5 wk, and tumors were isolated and weighed. All allograft experiments were approved by the UHN-ACC (ID: AUP732).
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