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Abstract

Background Bevacizumab, a humanized monoclonal antibody, known to block the binding of all known vascular endothelial growth factor-A isomers to their receptors, is used in solid cancers, especially in advanced settings where its role is proven to be stronger than localized stages. Furthermore, various studies have suggested that adding bevacizumab to first-line standard therapy in advanced solid cancers, such as colorectal cancer, lung cancer, ovarian cancer, renal cancer, and breast cancer, significantly prolongs progression-free survival, overall survival, and response rates. However, this ability is limited and variable in cancer subtype. The toxicity profile of bevacizumab is outspread, ranging from mild gastrointestinal side effects, proteinuria to life-threatening hemorrhagic tendency, ischemic thromboembolism, and intestinal perforation. However, it has never been studied in Indian subset of patients till date.

Materials and Methods We performed an institutional retrospective study of 41 patients with a pathologically proven ovarian, colorectal, lung, mesothelioma, melanoma, round cell tumors, and GBMs who received bevacizumab (2.5 mg/kg/week [5 mg/kg every 2 weeks or 7.5 mg/kg every 3 weeks]) with or without chemotherapy, between January 2016 and January 2019 at our center in North India.

Results Forty-one patients, including 12 (29) advanced ovarian cancer, 12 (29) colon cancer, 10 (24) rectal cancer, 1 (2) appendicular cancer, 1 (2) mesothelioma, 1 (2) melanoma, 1 (2) desmoplastic round cell tumor, and 3 (7) GBM, were treated with bevacizumab. The incidence of arterial thrombus and hemorrhage was 2 and 10%, respectively, whereas venous thrombus and fistula were not seen and not related to age. No fatal adverse event was recorded. The global incidence of severe (grade 3/4) arterial hypertension (HTN) was 49%. It was safely managed in all cases, and no grade 4 (life-threatening complication) occurred. The incidence of severe HTN was significantly higher in elderly patients than in younger ones (72 vs. 40%), proteinuria was found to be more frequent in the younger age group as compared with older age group (7 vs. 3%). Also to note, the incidence of congestive heart failure and subacute intestinal obstruction was found in 5% of patients, wherein all four patients belonged to the older subgroup. Furthermore, Grade 3 hypersensitivity reaction was found in one patient in the younger subgroup which warranted immediate termination of bevacizumab.
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Introduction

Formation and growth of tumor in the body are induced by the appearance of new blood vessel that increases the vascularity and blood supply to the tumor. This process of vascular angiogenesis harbors tumorogenesis and increases its metastatic potential. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is a potent factor responsible for this effect and increases tumorogenesis, as well as its metastatic spread. Targeting this factor has been researched in the recent past and found to be a potent proangiogenic factor that appears in various solid cancers. Researchers have proven various ways to inhibit this factor through VEGF ligands, small-molecule inhibitors of VEGF tyrosine kinase receptor activity, and molecules that bind and inhibit the VEGF receptors.¹

Bevacizumab, a humanized monoclonal antibody, is known to block the binding of all known VEGF-A isomers to their receptors. It has been studied in combination, as well as a single-agent suppressing tumor angiogenesis and growth in animal models.¹ This data brought the agent bevacizumab for the treatment of solid cancers, especially in advanced settings where its role is proven to be stronger than localized stages. Various studies have suggested that adding bevacizumab to first-line standard therapy in advanced solid cancers, such as colorectal cancer, lung cancer, ovarian cancer, renal cancer, and breast cancer, significantly prolongs progression-free survival, overall survival, and response rates; however, this ability is limited and variable in cancer subtype.² Unfortunately, there is no proven biomarker available for its definitive use and the near future awaits it.

Bevacizumab when used with the Food and Drug Administration approved dose of 7.5 to 15 mg/kg is associated with adverse events (AEs) ranging from grade 1 to 2 events, such as neutropenia (76%), thrombocytopenia (49%), anemia (28%), asthenia (12%), vomiting (9%), and hypertension (HTN; 2%), to severe events such as bleeding (4%), proteinuria (2%), gastrointestinal (GI) perforation (<1%), ischemic events (2%), thromboembolic episodes (7%), and also very rarely fatal pulmonary hemorrhage (<1%).³,⁴ Furthermore, the rate of treatment discontinuations as a result of AEs is more with chemo–bevacizumab combination arm, 26 and 30% of patients in the low- and high-dose bevacizumab arms, respectively. Rates of death as a result of AEs, regardless of causality, are in the range of 4 to 5% for both the low- and high-dose patients.⁵,⁶

Materials and Methods

We performed an institutional retrospective study of patients with a pathologically proven ovarian, colorectal, lung, mesothelioma, melanoma, round cell tumors, and GBMs who received bevacizumab with or without chemotherapy (CT), between January 2016 and January 2019, at our Center in Max Super Speciality Hospital, Shalimar Bagh, New Delhi, India. All cases were identified using electronic files of the hospital system. At this center, the use of bevacizumab was not restricted to the patient’s age; however, it was avoided in patients with uncontrolled and/or untreated HTN or experiencing arterial thrombosis or severe bleeding in the year before treatment. It was also avoided in those considered to present a high risk of perforation or fistula (recent intestinal occlusion, permanent abdominal pain, personal history of GI perforation or fistula, and evidence of bowel involvement on physical examination or computerized tomography scan). All patients who were deemed fit for bevacizumab administration received a dosage intensity of 2.5 mg/kg/week (5 mg/kg in every 2 weeks or 7.5 mg/kg in every 3 weeks) of this drug.

The primary objective here was to assess the incidence of potentially life-threatening AEs of bevacizumab according to the patient’s age. The following events were, hence, considered in the assessment: severe HTN, proteinuria, arterial and venous thrombosis, bleeding, and fistula or intestinal perforation. Severe HTN was defined as the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 4 (CTCAE v4.0) grade 3 (systolic blood pressure [BP] Q of 160 mm Hg or diastolic BP Q of 100 mm Hg) or more than one drug or more intensive therapy than previously used or grade 4 (life-threatening consequences). Routine follow-up of BP was performed at home every day between the first and second infusions and then at every week. The CTCAE v4.0 was used to assess AEs. Proteinuria was assessed before each infusion by dipstick. Positive results were confirmed by 24-hour sample collection.

Results

Forty-one patients, including 12 (29) advanced ovarian, 12 (29) colon cancer, 10 (24) rectal cancer, 1 (2) appendicular cancer, 1 (2) mesothelioma, 1 (2) melanoma, 1 (2) desmoplastic round cell tumor, and 3 (7) GBM, treated with bevacizumab between January 2016 and January 2019 were included in this analysis. Patients’ characteristics are summarized in ►Table 1.

The median age at first infusion of bevacizumab was 57.5 years, and 11 patients (27) were older than 65 years. The majority of patients (29 (71)) had received concomitant CT with bevacizumab. At baseline, controlled arterial HTN was more frequently observed in younger individuals, 8 of 30 (27). The median number of bevacizumab infusions was, however, slightly higher in younger patients but with no significant difference.

As shown in ►Table 2, the incidence of arterial thrombus and hemorrhage was 2 and 10%, respectively, whereas venous thrombus and fistula were not seen and not related to age. No fatal AE was recorded. The global incidence of severe (grade 3/4) arterial HTN was 49%. It was safely managed in all cases, and no grade 4 (life-threatening complication) occurred. The incidence of severe HTN was significantly higher in elderly patients than in younger ones (72 vs. 40%), proteinuria was found to be more frequent in the younger age group as compared with older age group (7 vs. 3%). Also to note, the incidence of congestive heart failure and subacute intestinal obstruction was found in 5% of patients, wherein all four patients belonged to the older subgroup. Furthermore, grade 3 hypersensitivity reaction was found in one patient in the younger subgroup which warranted immediate termination of bevacizumab.
As suggested by Table 3, patient who had baseline HTN before starting the therapy with bevacizumab, 12 (29%) rose to 20 (49%) during treatment with the drug of which 72% belonged to the older subgroup and 40% belonged to the younger subgroup. Furthermore, among the baseline normotensive patients off treatment, eight (27%) developed grade 3 of 4 HTN which could be bevacizumab related. Of these patients, 85% belonged to the older subgroup, whereas only 9% belonged to the younger subgroup.

**Discussion**

This study is the first to report real-life experience data with the use of bevacizumab in various solid organ cancers in the Indian subset of patients. The overall incidence of venous thromboembolism and arterial thromboembolism was rare, in line with previous clinical trials. The data suggest that the older patients who did not experience a thrombotic episode in the year before treatment are not at more risk during bevacizumab treatment than younger patients. In contrast, the incidence of hemorrhage was more in our patients, especially in the older subgroup, as compared with the historically quoted incidences. We did not observe any event of intestinal perforation or fistula, which is again in contrast to a phase-2 study of ovarian cancer in which it was found in 11.4% of cases with bevacizumab therapy as a single agent when heavily pretreated by other agents. Also to note, a history of inflammatory bowel disease, previous surgeries
on bowel mucosa, and bowel obstruction were found to be associated risk factors for bevacizumab-induced intestinal perforation or fistula.13 Hence, careful selection of patients can reduce the toxicity, as well as complications.

The incidence of bevacizumab-induced HTN was found to be significantly higher in our study patients as compared with the large randomized trials.8-10 Furthermore, this data suggest that carefully selecting patients on the basis of pretherapy findings could prevent therapy-induced side effects and complications. However, we could identify two risk factors for bevacizumab-induced HTN: older patients and baseline-controlled HTN. Home monitoring of BP had proved that with all the VEGF-inhibiting agents, including bevacizumab, there is a sudden surge of BP after the first few cycles of the drug.14 It can, therefore, be said that surges of BP to grade 3 of 4 level can happen in patients who are hypertensive before starting on therapy and receiving antihypertensive medications. Baseline HTN is in close relation with the age of the patient, but the above said two parameters are independently associated with severe HTN.

There are very less data on the safety of bevacizumab in solid cancers in the elderly population worldwide and almost no data from Indian/Asian subset of patients. In advanced colon cancer trial, BriTE, it was said that increased incidence of thromboembolic events occurred in patients treated with bevacizumab but when adjusted with the history and risk of thromboembolism, it was not a significant finding,15 supporting the same findings as in our data where the risk of venous thromboembolism is almost nil.

Conclusion

Age itself does not appear to be a contraindication for the use of bevacizumab. A holistic approach considering age, history of physical inactivity, risk of HTN, baselines HTN, and other surgical history should be taken into, and multidisciplinary cardiovascular assessment should be recommended before starting an antiangiogenic treatment. Our experience suggests that with a well-adjusted risk assessment and a careful follow-up of HTN, bevacizumab could be used safely in older patients as well.
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