Ultrasonographic evaluation of common compression neuropathies in the upper limb
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Neuromuscular ultrasonography has emerged over the last decade as a useful tool for diagnosing peripheral nerve disorders. It has been studied extensively with a particular focus on the assessment of compression neuropathies. Neuromuscular ultrasonography complements electrodiagnostic studies well by visualizing both the nerve anatomy and surrounding structures, providing useful data that cannot be obtained using the latter methodology only. This review article summarizes and synthesizes the literature focusing on the diagnostic role of neuromuscular ultrasonography in common compression neuropathies of the upper limb.
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INTRODUCTION

Compression neuropathy is a common disorder of peripheral nerves caused by mechanical compression whose clinical manifestations include pain, paresthesia, numbness, and muscle weakness resulting from a loss of function (motor and/or sensory) of the affected nerve. The term entrapment neuropathy is sometimes used interchangeably with compression neuropathy, but it describes only a subset of compression neuropathies resulting from chronic compression between the ligamentous canal and adjacent bony surfaces. Compression neuropathies of the upper limb are common in the general population, including carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS), ulnar neuropathy at the elbow (UNE), and radial neuropathy at the spiral groove (SG).

Compression neuropathy is often diagnosed clinically and confirmed by electrodiagnosis (EDX). Although this method is the first line of diagnosis, it has two key limitations: the inability to provide anatomic data and discomfort for the patient. In contrast, neuromuscular ultrasonography is painless, and it also complements EDX well by being able to detect a structural cause of the compression and focal nerve swelling.
COMMON SONOGRAPHIC FINDINGS ASSOCIATED WITH COMPRESSION NEUROPATHY

Abnormal sonographic findings associated with compression neuropathy include enlargement of the nerve proximal to the site of compression, decreased echogenicity, and increased vascularity. Increased nerve cross-sectional area (CSA) has been the most reliable parameter for diagnosing compression neuropathy, mainly due to it providing quantitative and easily measurable data. We have previously published normal reference values for CSA in the Korean population (Table 1), as well as cutoff values for diagnosing neuropathy of 12.7 mm² for the median nerve at the carpal tunnel and 8.9 mm² for the ulnar nerve at the medial epicondyle.1

A new sonographic parameter for diagnosing compressive neuropathy is the ultrasonographic Tinel sign.2 In a physical examination, a positive Tinel sign is when the palpation of injured nerves causes a tingling sensation, and is commonly observed in patients with CTS. The ultrasonographic Tinel sign produces paresthesias when the ultrasound probe compresses an enlarged focal nerve lesion, which can be helpful in diagnosing compressive neuropathy.

MEDIAN NEUROPATHY AT THE WRIST

Diagnosis

CTS is the most common type of nerve compression encountered in clinical practice, and EDX has traditionally been used as the gold standard for diagnosing this syndrome.

Table 1. Normal reference values for CSA

| Nerve  | Site                  | Mean ± SD | Percentiles (2.5th, 97.5th) | Reference rangea |
|--------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| Median | Carpal tunnel         | 9.58 ± 1.55 | 7.02, 12.58                  | 6.48-12.68       |
| Median | Forearm               | 6.87 ± 1.61 | 4.91, 10.19                 | 4.91-10.09       |
| Ulnar  | Wrist                 | 4.72 ± 0.91 | 3.21, 6.10                  | 2.90-6.54        |
| Ulnar  | Medial epicondyle     | 6.64 ± 1.33 | 4.81, 8.89                  | 4.81-8.89        |
| Radial | Spiral groove         | 6.48 ± 1.68 | 4.22, 9.97                  | 4.22-9.97        |

All values are in mm².
CSA, cross-sectional area; SD, standard deviation.

aThe reference range was determined as the mean ± 2 × SD for normally distributed data and between the 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles for nonnormally distributed data.

Fig. 1. Transverse view of the median nerve at the carpal tunnel of a 51-year-old woman who presented with left-hand paresthesia and muscle wasting of the thenar eminence. (A) Transverse view of the left median nerve (arrowhead) at the carpal tunnel showing an enlarged and hypoechoic nerve (cross-sectional area [CSA] of the nerve was 24.6 mm²). (B) The right median nerve (arrowhead) in the same location had a CSA of 10.6 mm². t, flexor tendons; c, carpal bones.
Several studies have compared the diagnostic capabilities of sonography and EDX, and their inconsistent results suggest that neither EDX nor ultrasonography is diagnostically superior.3-5 Increased CSA of the median nerve proximal to the carpal tunnel is the most common finding in CTS (Fig. 1). The American Academy of Neurology criteria indicate that ultrasound measurement of the CSA of the median nerve at the wrist has a Level A recommendation for diagnosing CTS.6 Recent studies have demonstrated that measuring the median nerve at the carpal tunnel outlet improves the reliability of CTS diagnoses, because isolated enlargement of the median nerve in the distal carpal tunnel or palm instead of the wrist has been identified in some CTS patients.7,8

While CSA is the main parameter for diagnosing CTS, assessing the mobility and echo intensity of the median nerve and performing blood flow imaging can also be beneficial. The mean grade of median nerve mobility was found to be significantly reduced in patients with CTS.9 The degree of intraneural vascularity is strongly correlated with the severity of CTS, and so adding intraneural vascularity improves the diagnostic sensitivity.10

**Additional value**

Ultrasound imaging also provides several other types of useful information in addition to simply diagnosing CTS. First, ultrasonography may be complementary in classifying the CTS severity. Previous studies have observed significant correlations between the CSA and conventional measures of CTS severity, including clinical scales and neurophysiological classification.11,12

Second, ultrasonography can detect underlying structural causes of CTS that are not evident in EDX. Although most forms of CTS are idiopathic or associated with systemic diseases, some are caused by space-occupying lesions or structural abnormalities. Idiopathic CTS is generally known to occur bilaterally and insidiously. One study found that 10 out of 11 patients with space-occupying lesions had unilateral CTS.13 Acute CTS can be associated with hemorrhage or thrombosis of a persistent median artery.14 Therefore, screening for structural abnormalities as potential causes of CTS is necessary in affected individuals with atypical symptoms such as purely unilateral or abrupt onset.15

Third, ultrasonography can help to identify anatomic variants such as bifid median nerve and persistent median artery (Fig. 2), which we have previously reported to be present in 7.5% and 2.5%, respectively, of the Korean population.1 Dilated or thrombosed persistent median arteries are known to cause CTS. Preoperative knowledge of these variants may be crucial in determining the best surgical approach and preventing potential complications. A particularly notable recent finding is the ability to identify Martin-Gruber anastomosis using ultrasonography.16

Fourth, ultrasonography can be used for follow-up examinations after treatment. Several studies have shown a significant decrease in the CSA of an enlarged nerve following local steroid injection or carpal tunnel release.17,18 A particularly important application is using postoperative ultrasonography to identify the causes of treatment failure in patients who do not improve.19

![Fig. 2. Ultrasonography (A) and color Doppler sonography (B) revealing a bifid median nerve (white arrowheads) and persistent median artery (black arrows) of the wrist. t, flexor tendons; c, carpal bones.](https://doi.org/10.14253/acn.2020.22.1.1)
**Fig. 3.** Transverse view of the ulnar nerve at the medial epicondyle. A 66-year-old man developed paresthesia and numbness of the left hand (ulnar side) at 1 week after macular hole surgery. Face-down positioning was recommended to achieve macular hole closure. The patient placed pressure directly on his bent elbow to maintain the face-down positioning. (A) Transverse view of the left ulnar nerve (white arrowhead) showing an enlarged and hypoechoic nerve (cross-sectional area [CSA] of the nerve was 20.4 mm²). (B) The right ulnar nerve in the same location had a CSA of 7.8 mm². ME, medial epicondyle. Reproduced from Bae and Seok Ann Clin Neurophysiol 2014;16:92-94, with permission of The Korean Society of Clinical Neurophysiology.²²

**Fig. 4.** Transverse view of the radial nerve at the spiral groove of a 33-year-old woman who presented with wrist drop of the right arm. She had undergone cryolipolysis on both upper arms immediately prior to the onset of symptoms. Cryolipolysis can damage nerves due to compression and/or hypothermia that occurs during the procedure. (A) The cross-sectional area of the nerve (white arrowheads) at the level of the spiral groove had increased to 8.2 mm². (B) The left radial nerve in the same location exhibited normal echogenicity and size (4 mm²). (C) The white dotted line indicates the attachment site of the cooling plate. h, humerus; Br, brachialis. Adapted from Baek et al. J Korean Neurol Assoc 2017;35:30-32, with permission of Korean Neurological Association.³⁵
ULNAR NEUROPATHY AT THE ELBOW

Diagnosis
Nerve conduction studies (NCS) are less sensitive for diagnosing UNE than CTS. This reduced sensitivity may be due to subluxation or dislocation of the ulnar nerve over the medial epicondyle upon elbow flexion, resulting in inaccurate measurements of the nerve length. Overestimating the true length of the ulnar nerve may lead to false-negative results. Increased CSA of the ulnar nerve segment around the elbow is the most common observation associated with UNE (Fig. 3). Notably, reference values for the CSA of the ulnar nerve at the elbow have been consistent across multiple studies, at 8-10 mm². The sensitivity of an increased CSA or diameter of the ulnar nerve at the elbow is more than 80% in UNE.25 Because studies have used EDX as the reference standard when determining the sensitivity and specificity of ultrasonography in diagnosing UNE, it is difficult to determine which test has better diagnostic accuracy. However, ultrasonography is particularly useful in localizing the lesion in axonal UNE, which often cannot be localized in NCS.26,27 Furthermore, ultrasonography is helpful in identifying the site of compression in patients with suspected UNE who present with normal NCS findings.28

Additional value
Similarly to CTS, ultrasonography can provide several other types of useful information about UNE. First, the CSA of a nerve is significantly correlated with the severity grade obtained using EDX. Second, the ulnar nerve at the elbow can be displaced across the medial epicondyle during elbow flexion. This type of nerve movement, which is not uncommon in healthy controls, can be easily detected in real time using ultrasonography. It has been suggested that an ulnar nerve exhibiting subluxation and dislocation is at a higher risk of UNE. Recent reports have proposed that subluxation can be a risk factor for developing compressive neuropathy, whereas dislocation appears to be protective against compression. However, the relationship between ulnar nerve movement and UNE remains to be confirmed. In addition, ultrasonography is effective for detecting underlying abnormalities that may be associated with UNE. Static and real-time ultrasonography can be used to assess snapping of the medial head of the triceps and epitrochlearis muscle that can predispose to UNE.

RADIAL NEUROPATHY AT THE SG

Compression neuropathies of the radial nerve are common and widely recognized in the region of the SG. Radial neuropathy at the SG is also known as the “Saturday night palsy” that results from direct pressure against a firm object that often occurs during alcohol intoxication. The radial nerve lies close to the humerus in the SG, making it susceptible to mechanical compression. Ultrasonography appears to be a sensitive and specific method for revealing radial nerve enlargement at the SG (Fig. 4). Although normal reference values have previously been reported for the radial nerve in the SG, these are based on only a few studies and are variable compared to those for the median and ulnar nerves. Therefore, comparisons with reference values for the contralateral side is often helpful in suspected cases of unilateral radial neuropathy at the SG.

CONCLUSIONS
Ultrasonography is useful for the diagnosis of compression neuropathies. It can provide diagnostic confirmation of compressive neuropathy and also makes it possible to exactly locate the compression site. Ultrasonography also provides information about dynamic movements of the nerves or surrounding muscles that may be helpful in understanding the underlying pathophysiology of nerve compression. Finally, this technique can be used to identify anatomic lesions that can cause nerve compression, such as ganglia, hematomas, or tumors.
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