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ABSTRACT

Human-generated droplets constitute the main route for the transmission of coronavirus. However, the details of such transmission in enclosed environments are yet to be understood. This is because geometrical and environmental parameters can immensely complicate the problem and turn the conventional analyses inefficient. As a remedy, this work develops a predictive tool based on computational fluid dynamics and machine learning to examine the distribution of sneezing droplets in realistic configurations. The time-dependent effects of environmental parameters, including temperature, humidity and ventilation rate, upon the droplets with diameters between 1 and 250 μm are investigated inside a bus. It is shown that humidity can profoundly affect the droplets distribution, such that 10% increase in relative humidity results in 30% increase in the droplets density at the farthest point from a sneezing passenger. Further, ventilation process is found to feature dual effects on the droplets distribution. Simple increases in the ventilation rate may accelerate the droplets transmission. However, carefully tailored injection of fresh air enhances deposition of droplets on the surfaces and thus reduces their concentration in the bus. Finally, the analysis identifies an optimal range of temperature, humidity and ventilation rate to maintain human comfort while minimising the transmission of droplets.

1. Introduction

Since late 2019, the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 [1] has significantly affected humankind in the entire world. It has been shown that coughing, sneezing [2], and speaking [3] constitute the main routes of virus transmission. This could be through the deposited droplets over surfaces or the suspended droplets entering the mouth, nose and eyes. Virus-carrying droplets cover a range of sizes, and the larger they are, the more likely they become to deposit on surfaces, while the smaller ones may remain suspended in the air for a long time. It has been argued that these airborne droplets are responsible for virus transmission [2]. It follows that predicting the distribution of such droplets is an essential requirement for understanding the transmission routes of the virus.

The suggested 1–2 m social distance by WHO is based on the study of Wells [4] in 1934 assessing the lifetime of droplets. However, the droplets can be carried to further distances if the airflow is considered. Sneezing and coughing are accompanied by complex turbulent respiratory flows, which can be responsible for virus spreading. The interactions between the moving droplets and the airflow break up the droplet and alter the subsequent evaporation and deposition processes. It has been reported that a single sneeze can produce droplets with velocities in access of 10–20 m/s [5]. The larger droplets settle on the surface by the gravitational forces. The smaller droplets, however, are...
transformed into nuclei droplets through evaporation. These airborne
particles can be carried everywhere in an enclosed environment by the
natural/forced flow of air. The study of droplet-flow interactions can
help understand the complex physics of virus transmission, particularly
in enclosed environments such as public transport where the risk of
infection is high.
A sneeze is an involuntary reflex of the respiratory system that expels
explosive air into the ambient. The transient high-pressure air sprays
the mucus into the environment. Experiments showed that the droplets
from a single sneeze can be carried by a turbulent gaseous cloud even
7–8 m far from the mouth. This clearly shows the importance of
anae sneezing process in enclosed environments. Numerical ana-
yses have been already successfully employed to simulate the travelling
paths of virus-containing droplets; see for example [9]. Such in-
vestigations help to examine the efficacy of ventilation systems, design
social distance layouts in indoor environments, and provide more
effective health care protocols. For instance, by determining a wide
range of initial injection velocity, size distribution (40–980 μm), it was
suggested that the maximum contamination distance can vary between
1 and 4 m [9,10]. Moreover, using the Monte-Carlo technique, it was
shown that smaller droplets could stay suspended in air for a long time,
and that the initial velocity has a minor effect on the maximum

Nomenclature

- $C_{vi}^n$: Surface vapour mass concentration \([\text{kg.m}^{-3}]\)
- $C_{vi}$: Mass concentration of gas component \([\text{kg.m}^{-3}]\)
- $C_{vi}^n$: Partial surface concentration of the vapour \([\text{kg.m}^{-3}]\)
- $c_v$: Constant parameter
- $D_1$: Constant parameter
- $D_{a-t}$: Hydraulic diameter for the droplet [m]
- $d_p$: Diameter of the droplet [m]
- $f_{t}$: Net turbulent force [N]
- $f_{t,i}$: Net turbulent force in \( t = i[N] \)
- $f_{t,i}$: Net turbulent force for each phase [N]
- $H^e$: Enthalpy for the kth fluid \([kJ.mol^{-1}]\)
- $H^e$: Enthalpy by the combination of phase-weighted \([kJ.mol^{-1}]\)
- $h_{si}^n$: Specific enthalpy for liquid (phase 1) \([kJ.mol^{-1}]\)
- $h_{si}^n$: Specific enthalpy for vapour (phase 3) \([kJ.mol^{-1}]\)
- $h_{r}^n$: Convective heat transfer coefficient for evaporation rate
- $[W.m^{-2}.K^{-1}]$
- $J_{ai}$: Evaporation of droplet \([kg.s^{-1}]\)
- $k$: Kinetic turbulent energy \([m^2.s^{-2}]\)
- $m^e$: kth fluid phase
- $n$: Normal vector at the interface each phase
- $P$: Total Pressure [Pa]
- $P_{s{i}i}$: Partial surface pressure of the vapour [Pa]
- $P_{s}{i}$: Partial saturated vapour pressure [Pa]
- $P_{w}$: Partial water vapour pressure [Pa]
- $q_{th}^n$: Heat flux for the kth fluid (droplet enthalpy) \([W.m^{-2}]\)
- $q_{th}^n$: Heat flux for kth fluid \([W.m^{-2}]\)
- $S_{in}$: Interface between phases
- $S_{in}^{s}$, $S_{in}^{v}$, $S_{in}^{w}$: Source or sink terms
- $S$: Body force [N]
- $S_h$: Rate of strain tensor
- $S_{sh}$: Sherwood number
- $T$: Temperature [K]
- $T_{ambient}$: Ambient temperature [K]
- $T_{Th}^{a}$: Temperature of vapour (partial surface) [K]
- $t$: Time [s]
- $V_{cei}$: Air velocity inside the ceiling vent
- $U_{m}^n$: Mixture velocity (x direction) \([m.s^{-1}]\)
- $u_{x}^n$: X direction of velocity for each fluid (liquid) \([m.s^{-1}]\)
- $u_{y}^n$: X direction of velocity for fluid (liquid) \([m.s^{-1}]\)
- $u_{z}^n$: Velocity in \( x \) direction for liquid phase \([m.s^{-1}]\)
- $u_{t}$: X direction of velocity based on \( j \) row \([m.s^{-1}]\)
- $w_{x}^n$, $w_{y}^n$, $w_{z}^n$: Velocity component for kth fluid \([m.s^{-1}]\)
- $w_{x}^n$, $w_{y}^n$, $w_{z}^n$: Mixture velocity (Z direction) \([m.s^{-1}]\)
- $x_i$: X direction based on \( j \) row
- $x, y, z$: Coordinates

Greek symbol

- $a^k$: Volume fraction for kth fluid phase
- $\mu^k$: Viscosity of the continuous kth phase \([kg.m^{-1}.s^{-1}]\)
- $\mu^n$: Viscosity for kth fluid \([kg.m^{-2}.s^{-1}]\)
- $\alpha$: Constant parameter
- $\epsilon$: Dissipation rate \([m^3.s^{-3}]\)
- $\zeta$: Relaxation factor (functional)
- $\Gamma_{m}$: Favre-averaged quantity
- $\lambda_{m}$: Thermal conductivity for nth fluid phase \([W.m^{-1}.K^{-1}]\)
- $\lambda_{m}$: Mixture thermal conductivity \([W.m^{-1}.K^{-1}]\)
- $\rho$: Density \([kg.m^{-3}]\)
- $\rho^k$: Mixture density \([kg.m^{-3}]\)
- $\rho^n$: Density for kth fluid \([kg.m^{-3}]\)
- $\rho_i$: Density of the liquid phase \([kg.m^{-3}]\)
- $\rho_{m,s}$: Density for nth fluid in spherical geometry \([kg.m^{-3}]\)
- $\Phi_{m}$: Indicative function of each phase
- $\rho_{m,s}$: Density of each phase (average) \([kg.m^{-3}]\)
- $u_{\epsilon}^n$: Kinematic viscosity each phase \([m^2.s^{-1}]\)
- $u_{\epsilon}^n$: Surface area of volume each phase \([m^2]\)
- $\tau_{ij}$: Stress tensor in volume of fluid \([N.m^{-2}]\)
- $\sigma_{m}$: Instantaneous area of interface per unit of volume \([m^2]\)
- $\Delta T_{m}$: Mixture gradient temperature

Abbreviation

- ANN: Artificial neural networks
- CFID: Computational fluid dynamic
- DER: Droplet evaporation rate \([kg.s^{-1}]\)
- DNN: Deep neural network
- GEKO: Generalised k-ε
- LES: Large eddy simulation
- MLP: Multi-Layer Perceptron
- MSE: Mean squared error
- ML: Machine learning
- OEEVM: One equation eddy-viscosity model
- PISO: Pressure-implicit with splitting of operators
- RH: Relative humidity
- RBF: Radial basis function
- SIMPLE: Semi-implicit pressure linked equation
- SVR: Support Vector Regression
- WHO: World health organization
- 3D-MAGGS: Three-Dimensional Multi-Block Advanced Grid Generation System
Most recently, a comprehensive simulation of a single sneezing passenger inside a bus was conducted by Mesgarpour and co-workers [10]. The droplets size distribution was calculated by applying the volume of fluid method to the mouth and lips of the infected person. It was shown that the droplets with sizes < 250 μm could travel the whole length of the bus. Further, evaporation of the droplets are of significance as it directly affects survival of the droplets and thus their virus carrying potential [11]. It has been shown that it takes between 2 and 137 s for a droplet with a volume of 1 to 10 mL to completely evaporate over a surface [12]. In recent months, significant attempts have been made to numerically simulate the spread of droplets in public indoor environments, see, for example, Lu et al. [2], Ren et al. [13] and Khosronejad et al. [14]. These studies indicated that ventilation systems could accelerate the dispersion of droplets in the room, yet they fail to remove the larger droplets before deposition. Abuhegazy et al. [15] showed that the size and location of the airborne droplets are strongly influenced by the ventilation system. The effects of relative humidity and wind velocity on the evaporation and dispersion of the droplets in an open space were evaluated by Li et al. [16]. By numerical simulation of sneezing in a room, Singh and Tripathi developed a model for droplets transmission [17]. Experiments of Chaudhuri et al. [18] showed that the droplets evaporation time depends on the ambient temperature.

Although detailed simulation of the generation and dispersion of virus-carrying droplets is possible, the large number of parameters involved in this problem complicates such simulations. Further, in practice, many large environments with highly complex and varying configurations should be simulated. Hence, the computational time and cost of a purely computational approach would be forbiddingly high. To reduce the computational burden, techniques from Machine learning (ML) can be combined with Computational fluid dynamics (CFD). Such a hybrid approach has been already applied to the problems in aero-propulsion [19], heat and mass transfer [20,21] and spread of coronavirus [10]. In all these, substantial reduction in the computational expenses (up to 99%) was achieved, while predictions remained quite accurate. Given the size, variations and complexities of the indoor environments in which virus is spread, machine learning could significantly facilitate the analysis.

Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) offers an accurate means of prediction on the basis of computational data. The capabilities of this method are already well-demonstrated. For instance, comparing CFD simulations of natural convection in a triangular cavity and ANN indicated that both soft codes predict the stream function and temperature parameters correctly [22]. Similarly, CFD simulation of natural convection of cold column cylinders was compared with a Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) network [23]. The training algorithm was resilient back-propagation, and the results indicated a good agreement between the two techniques. Further, ANN can be applied to more complex physics, such as multiphase flows [24] and porous media [26,27]. For example, it was demonstrated that the Levenberg-Marquardt Algorithm (LMA) could replicate the unsteady simulation of a chaotic movement of gas-liquid flow in a square cavity with < 10% error [2]. Further examples of combining CFD and ANN can be found in Refs. [20,21,25-28].

This study aims to provide a prediction of the distribution of the fine droplets and aerosols (1 ~ 250 μm) generated during sneezing. A recent work of the authors showed that these droplets are most responsible for the transmission of the virus as they could travel a long distance before deposition/evaporation [10]. The indoor environment of a bus as an example of a realistic high-risk environment with complex geometry is considered. The influences of ambient temperature and humidity, as well as the effects of ventilation, are investigated using a novel hybridised CFD/ML tool.

### 2. Problem configuration and flow solvers

The inner space of a bus (Mercedes Benz, Tourism (SKS E1281) with standard seats (Fig. 1a) is considered. Ambient air is injected from the fresh air duct and is collected by the ducts placed on the ceiling (∗ 36). Three different air temperatures and relative humidity (RH) are considered for simulations. The ventilation system circulates the air in the bus without affecting the air temperature, and RH. Air leakages are neglected, and the bus is assumed to move with a constant velocity. The range of flow rate for this type of bus is between 800 and 6,600 m$^3$.hr$^{-1}$, based on the DENSO LD9 HVAC unit [29-32].

Further, the entire bus is assumed to be under thermal equilibrium, and the heat generated by the sneezing person is ignored. Also, only one

---

**Table 1**

| Parameter                          | Value | Unit | Ref. |
|-----------------------------------|-------|------|------|
| Number of droplets (t = 0)        | 5,000,000 | –    | [33,34] |
| Maximum velocity (sneezing)       | 112   | m.s$^{-1}$ |     |
| Initial temperature (sneezing)    | 305   | K    |     |
| Separation angle (sneezing)       | 42    | Degree |     |

---

**Fig. 1.** Ventilation system and the bus geometry a) HVAC duct based on Refs. [32,34], b) location of the sneezing person.
sneezing passenger inside the bus is considered. Fig. 1b shows the location of the sneezing person in the bus. This passenger is located in the last row of sits and on the left side of the bus, sneezing horizontally and directly forward. This position allows direct transmission of the sneezing characteristics are summarised in Table 1.

Throughout the conducted simulations the environmental parameters varied as: air temperature (288.15 K, 305.15 K, and 330 K), ventilation velocity (0.1 m/s, 0.3 m/s, and 0.5 m/s), and relative humidity (20%, 40%, and 60%).

Table 2 summarises the computational models and zones used in the current study. By dividing the calculation domains into three distinctive zones based on dissipation rate and Re, physical phenomena are simulated more accurately.

An in-house code (in Python) was developed to solve the governing equations with second-order accuracy. One hundred and twelve cores were used with a graphic card for simulations. The PISO method of velocity and pressure coupling was employed for turbulent modelling, and the SIMPLE method was used for laminar flow. The obtained solution was time-dependent with a 0.001 s time step over 600 s. ANSYS 2021R1 was used for data post-processing, and the mesh was generated in ANSYS ICEM and implemented directly to the code. In order to enhance the accuracy, the investigated domain was divided into three sections. The zone right in front of the sneezing person was modelled using LES; the transient GEKO model was used for the transition region, and laminar flow was considered for the air movement in the rest of the bus. These three computational zones are demonstrated in Fig. 2.

According to Fig. 2, LES has been used for up to 500 mm from the sneezing person. This is because the high momentum sneezing flow can set a strong turbulent flow around the sneezing person. Between 500 ~ 1500 mm, a turbulence model with low intensity of turbulence was used to model the droplets. It should be noted that evaporation of droplets mostly occurs in this zone. There exists a relaxing zone stretched from 1500 ~ 12000 mm of the sneezing person [10,12]. According to Fig. 2, a numerical simulation is performed in the area marked in red while machine learning is trained at each time step. Validation of machine learning with a numerical solution is done in the green area, while predictions are made by machine learning throughout the entire range marked with blue. The following assumptions are made throughout the proceeding analyses.

### Table 2

| Computational models used in the numerical study. |
|----------------------------------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| Viscous models | Turbulence zone | Index | Range | Ref. |
| Transient zone | GEKO | Dissipation rate-Re | 15,000 < Re < 87,320 | [34] |
| Laminar zone | Laminar Zone | Re-turbulence intensity | 5,300 < Re < 15,000 | [35] |
| Evaporation method | Arbitrary-Lagrangian–Eulerian | Droplet diameter | Upper range T_{droplet} < 37 °C | [37,38] |
| Droplet temperature | Non-uniform profile | Temperature gradient of droplet and ambient | Upper range T_{droplet} < 37 °C | [39] |
| Breakup | Simple coupled level set and volume of fluid (S-CLSVOF) | Balance of internal and external force | – | [40] |

1) The seats are considered as solid and smooth surfaces.
2) The walls, ceiling, and windows are assumed to be adiabatic.
3) The head and body movements of the sneezing person during sneezing have been ignored.
4) Sneezing occurs once at the beginning of the analysis, and then the interactions of the ventilation system and droplet distribution are evaluated.
5) The droplets are assumed to be initially spherical particles.
6) In the calculation of droplet shapes, the effects of collisions with surfaces are negligible.

It is noted that most of the findings of the current study remain valid for other forms of public transport (e.g. train) and stationary environments such as classrooms.

### 3. Mathematical modelling

#### 3.1. Multiphase flow equations

The investigated multiphase flow contains droplets in the range of $1 \sim 250\mu m$ (liquid phase), air (gas phase), and vapour phase. The mathematical model includes the conservation equations in the Eulerian framework for any $\bar{m}$ fluid, expressed by [41,42]:

Conservation of mass:

$$\frac{\partial (\bar{m} \rho^m \bar{u}^m)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\bar{m} \rho^m \rho^m \bar{u}^m \bar{u}^m)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (\bar{m} \rho^m \rho^m \bar{u}^m \bar{v}^m)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial (\bar{m} \rho^m \rho^m \bar{u}^m \bar{w}^m)}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \rho^m \left( \bar{u}^m + \bar{u}_i^m \right) \frac{\partial \bar{u}^m}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \rho^m \left( \bar{u}^m + \bar{u}_i^m \right) \frac{\partial \bar{u}^m}{\partial y} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \rho^m \left( \bar{u}^m + \bar{u}_i^m \right) \frac{\partial \bar{u}^m}{\partial z} \right] + S_{\bar{m}}$$

(1)

Balance of momentum in $\bar{x}$, $\bar{y}$ and $\bar{z}$ directions [43,44]

$$\frac{\partial (\bar{m} \rho^m \bar{u}^m \bar{u}^m)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\bar{m} \rho^m \rho^m \bar{u}^m \bar{u}^m \bar{u}^m)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (\bar{m} \rho^m \rho^m \bar{u}^m \bar{v}^m \bar{u}^m)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial (\bar{m} \rho^m \rho^m \bar{u}^m \bar{w}^m \bar{u}^m)}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \rho^m \left( \bar{u}^m \bar{u}^m - \bar{u}_i^m \bar{u}_i^m \right) \frac{\partial \bar{u}^m}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \rho^m \left( \bar{u}^m \bar{u}^m - \bar{u}_i^m \bar{u}_i^m \right) \frac{\partial \bar{u}^m}{\partial y} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \rho^m \left( \bar{u}^m \bar{u}^m - \bar{u}_i^m \bar{u}_i^m \right) \frac{\partial \bar{u}^m}{\partial z} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \mu^m \frac{\partial \bar{u}^m}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \mu^m \frac{\partial \bar{u}^m}{\partial y} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \mu^m \frac{\partial \bar{u}^m}{\partial z} \right] + S_{\bar{m}}$$

(2)
The balance of energy for the mixture model of multiphase flow is written as [43, 45, 46]:

\[
\frac{\partial (\alpha^m \rho^m v^m)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\alpha^m \rho^m u^m v^m)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (\alpha^m \rho^m w^m v^m)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial (\alpha^m \rho^m w^m v^m)}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \alpha^m (\mu_n + \mu_v^m) \frac{\partial v^m}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \alpha^m (\mu_n + \mu_v^m) \frac{\partial v^m}{\partial y} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \alpha^m (\mu_n + \mu_v^m) \frac{\partial v^m}{\partial z} \right] + S_{\alpha v}\]  

(3)

\[
\frac{\partial (\alpha^m \rho^m w^m)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\alpha^m \rho^m u^m w^m)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (\alpha^m \rho^m v^m w^m)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial (\alpha^m \rho^m v^m w^m)}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \alpha^m (\mu_n + \mu_v^m) \frac{\partial w^m}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \alpha^m (\mu_n + \mu_v^m) \frac{\partial w^m}{\partial y} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \alpha^m (\mu_n + \mu_v^m) \frac{\partial w^m}{\partial z} \right] + S_{\alpha w}\]  

(4)

\[
\lambda^m = \sum_{m=1}^{2} (\alpha^m \lambda^m) \]

(7)

### 3.1.1. Viscous model

LES was employed to simulate the vortical flow set by sneezing. The continuity and momentum equations in this method are expressed as [47]

\[
\left( \frac{\partial \rho^m}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho^m u^m)}{\partial x} \right) = 0 \]

(8)

Fig. 2. Demonstration of the three computational zones and the areas for which CFD and ML analyses were conducted.
\[
\frac{\partial (\varepsilon \rho)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon \rho \mathbf{u}) = - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{S} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\partial \tau_{ij}}{\partial x_i} \right) \right) + S
\]  

(9)

In this equation, the term \( S \) defines the body forces applied to the fluid. The stress tensor \( \tau_{ij} \) is expressed by

\[
\tau_{ij} = \rho (\varepsilon \mathbf{v} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial x_j})
\]

(10)

\[
S = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial x_i} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial x_j} \right) \right)
\]

(11)

where \( S \) is the rate of the strain tensor. To calculate the turbulence kinetic energy (\( k \)), the following one equation eddy-viscosity model (OEEVM) was employed.

\[
\varepsilon = c_3 k^{\kappa}/\Delta
\]

(13)

The kinetic energy in the LES sub-grid model is defined by [48]:

\[
\left( \frac{\partial (U_i^3)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (U_i U_j)}{\partial x_j} \right) = \frac{1}{15} \left( \frac{1}{\tau_p} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\partial (U_i^3)}{\partial x_j} \right)
\]

(14)

\[
\frac{\partial (U_i^3)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (U_i U_j)}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{1}{\tau_p} \right) \left( \frac{\partial (U_i^3)}{\partial x_j} \right) = \frac{1}{\tau_p} \left( \frac{\partial (U_i^3)}{\partial x_j} \right)
\]

(15)

where \( U_i^3 = (U_i - C_i)^3 \). Considering Boussinesq approximation, a simple correlation for the incompressible Reynolds stress tensor is given by:

\[
\overline{u_i u_j} = 2 \beta k \delta_{ij} - v_f \left( \frac{\overline{u_i^2}}{u_f^2} \right)
\]

(16)

The transfer of data between LES and RANS is based on the procedures in Refs [49–51] and are not further discussed here.

3.2. Physical characteristics of sneezing

3.2.1. Sneezing profile

The saliva droplets leave the mouth with a time-dependent sneezing profile. The considered exit velocity profile and pressure variation of sneezing are based on that in Ref. [6], and therefore the maximum exit velocity was set to 100 (m.s\(^{-1}\)). Further, there is a no-slip boundary condition for the flow inside the mouth. In keeping with the literature [52,53], the exit sneezing flow is set to have a relative humidity of 75%, while the droplets’ temperature is between 34 ℃ and 36 ℃. Also, the droplets are assumed to have a uniform distribution with a perfectly spherical shape at the exit moment [5,6]. The physical properties of the saliva are based on those in Refs. [6,54,55].

3.2.2. Droplets

In each time step, the droplet diameter was calculated following the methodology introduced in Refs. [12,43]. Further, the merging of the droplets was modelled on the basis of the analysis detailed in Refs. [56,57]. The droplets generated by sneezing have a size in the range of 1 and 1000 μm while, only those with diameters between 1 and 250 μm could travel a long distance [10,34]. The droplets’ shape changes significantly during the advection process affecting their distribution, stability and suspension time. The droplet shape is calculated by considering the external and internal forces in each time step. These include drag force, droplets breakup, and collisions. Fig. 3 shows the variations of droplet mass and drag force with time and Reynolds number, respectively. Expectedly, the droplet mass decreases over time [58] while drag force is calculated [38,59,60]. In Fig. 3a, the outcomes of adaptive method (boundary layer adaptation with geometry) have been compared with those provided by two other methods (bulk zone method [61,62], regular method [63]) extracted from literature. Clearly, the adaptive method offers predictions closely matching the benchmark data. Similarly, Fig. 3b shows that the adaptive methods can successfully predict the drag force in comparison to spherical shape [64] and deformable spherical shape [65,66].

3.2.3. Heat and mass transfer in sneezing process

3.2.3.1. Droplet temperature profile. Temperature distribution inside the droplet is central to the modelling of droplet evaporation. Temperature distribution models are categorised based on the surface temperature distribution and ambient temperature. The current study utilises four
different models, including constant temperature model [68], uniform temperature model [69], non-uniform temperature distribution [70] and non-uniform temperature distribution with boundary layer [71,72], to calculate the droplet evaporation rate and its temperature distribution. The results have been compared with those reported in Ref. [73] (see Fig. 4a). Evidently, the non-uniform temperature distribution with boundary layer model [72] and adaptive computational domain on the non-spherical shape of the droplet could provide the most accurate estimation of the droplet temperature. The droplet mass variation with evaporation alters the droplet mean diameter, which then changes the droplet deposition rate. Here, the calculation of droplet internal temperature is on the basis of the boundary layer sensitivity to accurately calculate the droplet temperature distribution. Indeed, the computational domain next to the outer droplet shell adapts itself to the droplet shape with an assumed isothermal boundary. Fig. 4b shows a variation of the mean droplet evaporation rate with the mean surface area. The results shown in Fig. 4 confirm that the new model offers an accurate prediction of the droplets evaporation rate.

3.2.3.2. Mass transfer and evaporation process. The mass transfer for any phase and mass-weighted variables yields [43,45]:

\[
\rho_{\alpha} \Phi_{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho_{\alpha} \Phi_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}^{m}) = - \rho_{\alpha} \left( u_{\alpha}^{m} - u_{\alpha}^{n} \right) \partial_{\alpha} s_{\alpha}^{m}.
\]  

For vaporisation processes, if the droplets kinetic energy and the viscous dissipation are ignored, the mass transfer on the droplets interface can be approximated by [74]:

\[
\Gamma_{\alpha}^{m} = \sum_{m} \frac{m^{\alpha} \langle \rho^{m} v^{m} \rangle}{h_{\alpha}}
\]  

Combining the enthalpy and mixture velocity for integration of the phase and mass-weighted variables yields [45]:

\[
U^{n} = \sum_{n} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{3} \langle \rho_{\alpha} \rho^{m} \rangle \right)
\]

\[
H^{m} = \sum_{n=1}^{3} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{3} \langle \rho_{\alpha} \rho^{m} \rangle \right)
\]

In equation 48, \(U^{pl,m}\) is the drift velocity for the \(m^{th}\) phase, which can be defined as  \(U^{pl,m} = U^{m} - U^{n}\). The evaporation of droplets is modelled using [75-77]:

\[
J_{e} = 1 \times 10^{-6} \left( 20.56 + 27.21 V_{a} + 6.392V_{a}^{2} \right) \left( 1 \times 10^{-3} (P_{e} - P_{a}) \right)^{b}
\]

\[
b = 1.22 - 0.19 V_{a} + 0.0387 V_{a}^{2}
\]  

(20)

According to Eq. (20) the decreasing flux of evaporating mass can be estimated by balancing energy and mass on both sides of the interface [43]. Stefan’s correlation was used to find the concentration of vapour on the interface of the droplet; the mass concentration for each phase is given by [15,62,75,77,78]:

\[
c_{\alpha}^{\infty} = 1 - (1 - C_{\alpha}) \exp \left( \frac{D_{\alpha}^{3} \left( T_{\alpha}^{*} - T_{1} \right)}{64 \rho_{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha}^{n} \sum_{n=1}^{3} \partial_{n} \left( h_{\alpha}^{n} \rho_{\alpha}^{n} - h_{\alpha}^{n} \rho_{\alpha}^{n} \right)} \right)
\]  

(21)

The concentration equation is now substituted into the body force equations. This yields

\[
\rho_{\alpha}^{m} \frac{\partial C_{\alpha}^{\infty}}{\partial t} = \rho_{\alpha}^{m} \frac{C_{\alpha}^{\infty}}{M_{\alpha}} \left( \frac{C_{\alpha}^{\infty}}{M_{\alpha}} + \frac{1 - C_{\alpha}^{\infty}}{M_{\alpha}} \right)
\]

(22)

The droplet evaporation rate is then calculated by the following equation (see Ref. [73] for further details).

\[
DER = \frac{d^{2} - d_{0}^{2}}{t_{evaporation}}
\]  

(23)

3.3. Machine learning

In this study, four standard and robust artificial neural networks have been used for prediction. In several experiments, these networks’ accuracy has been compared in our problem, and the best network has been selected. In the following, the examined networks are briefly introduced. Of course, since the Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) network has provided the best results, this network will be presented in more detail. An MLP network consists of simple operational elements, most of which can work in parallel. These elements, called neurons, are inspired by biological nervous systems. An artificial neuron comprises inputs, outputs, weights, biases, and an activation function. The neural network configuration is determined by the characteristics of each neuron and how they are connected. MLP has been used to estimate complex
functions in a variety of contexts and articles[79]. The network generally consists of the input layer, the hidden layer, and the output layer. If needed, several hidden layers can be used. Data is injected into the network through the input layer. If \( n_{ML} \) is the number of input features of the model, this layer contains \( n_{ML} + 1 \) neurons. One of the neurons is used as a bias, and the other neurons are used to receive inputs. The hidden layer consists of \( m_{ML} + 1 \) neurons, one of which acts as a bias, and the other neurons, using eq. (23), apply an activation function \( g(ML) \) to the weighted sum of the outputs of input layer.

\[
f_j = g \left( w_{1j} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{1ij} x_i \right)
\]

(24)

\[
f_j(ML) = g(ML) \left( w_{10j}(ML) + \sum_{i=ML}^{n} w_{1ij}(ML) x_i(ML) \right)
\]

(25)

In this equation, \( x_i(ML) \) and \( f_j(ML) \) are the values of the \( i \)-th neuron of the input layer and the \( j \)-th neuron of the hidden layer, respectively. \( w_{10j}(ML) \) and \( w_{1ij}(ML) \) are the weights of the bias and \( i \)-th input neuron connection with the \( j \)-th hidden neuron, respectively. The function \( g(ML) \) has different types, some of which are: Sigmoid, Softmax, Hyperbolic, and Rectifier. The output layer is the last layer of the MLP network. The number of neurons in this layer is equal to the number of model outputs. The neurons in this layer, similar to the hidden layer neurons, use an activation function on the weighted sum of the previous layer outputs with eq. (23) to generate the model output.

\[
y_c = g \left( w_{20c} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{2jc} f_j \right)
\]

(26)

\[
y_c(ML) = g(ML) \left( w_{20c}(ML) + \sum_{j=ML}^{n} w_{2jc}(ML) f_j(ML) \right)
\]

(27)

\( y_c(ML) \) is the output of \( c \)-th neuron of the output layer. \( w_{2c0} \) and \( w_{2cj} \) are the weights of the bias and \( j \)-th hidden neuron connection with the \( c \)-th output neuron, respectively. The activation function of output layer neurons can be different from that of hidden layer neurons. One of the essential characteristics of a neural network is its ability to learn. We used the supervised learning method to train the network. First, connection weights are randomly assigned. After that, the output of the hidden layer neurons and then the output layer neurons are calculated using eqs. (26) and (27). Afterwards, the weights change according to a specific learning algorithm. Weight changes should be made so that the neuron outputs are close to the actual outputs. The process of changing the neuron weights to achieve the desired output is called learning. A loss function is used to evaluate learning performance. The goal of learning is to reduce the amount of loss function to near zero. Therefore, an optimisation algorithm is used to find the weights that minimise the loss function. In this paper, the error backpropagation method is considered as the optimisation algorithm and the Mean Square Error (MSE) criteria as the loss function. The MSE is calculated by eq. (28).

\[\text{MSE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (O_i - T_i)^2 \]

MSE(ML) = \[\frac{1}{n_{ML}} \sum_{i=ML}^{n_{ML}} (O_i(ML) - T_i(ML))^2 \]

(28)

\( n_{ML} \) is the number of samples. \( O_i(ML) \) and \( T_i(ML) \) are the model output and target value for sample \( i(ML) \). In this paper’s implementation of the MLP network, some configuration parameters are: A hidden layer with 35 neurons, the activation function of the hidden and output layer neurons are a hyperbolic tangent sigmoid transfer function, the epoch value equals 300.

![Fig. 5. Multilayer with dual inflation method a) \( y^+ \) study and b) mean droplet evaporation rate based on many grids.](image-url)
Fig. 6. Validation of numerical simulations based on a) diffuse parameter per second [82], b) droplet lifetime based on humidity [83], c) integral drag force based on average diameter [6].
4. Computational grid generation and validation

4.1. Grid generation

The computational grid production in this analysis features significant complexities. The presence of droplets with variable geometry and micron size, the vapour layer and the large dimensions of the bus necessitates taking a novel approach to producing an adaptive grid. Hence, a fully automated algorithm based on the Three-Dimensional Multi-Block Advanced Grid Generation System (3DMAGGS) \cite{80,81} was utilized. In this method, the block separation pattern was applied to separate the areas required for re-meshing. An independent algorithm, based on the vertical velocity profile, created boundary layer grids around the droplets. Fig. 5 shows the grid independency diagrams for different modes. It should be noted that this grid adaptation varied at each time step according to the droplet geometry. Therefore, the grid matching code was based on the droplet geometry to redefine the new grid based on the modified geometry.

4.2. Validation

All developed computational models of sneezing were validated against the existing data extracted from the literature. Fig. 6 provides a comparison between these datasets. Evidently, the current simulation
data very well match those extracted from the references. Given that the machine learning results were extracted from mathematical equations, it is necessary to present the validation of the machine learning results. The validation of machine learning in this case study is based on numerical simulation, which is available in Appendix A - Fig. A1.

5. Results and discussions

5.1. Sneezing process

The propagation spectra of different sneezing droplets are depicted in Fig. 7a, illustrating the significant effects of the environmental parameters. It has been already shown that the majority of the particles produced by sneezing have a short lifetime and cannot travel a long distance [10]. Here, an air-floating droplet is defined as a measure for
95% of particles with similar diameters, which have the following features.

1) Their standard momentum deviation is below 3%.
2) Their velocity is non-zero.
3) The standard deviation of their mass falls below 10%.

Two types of droplets can be found in the range of 1–250 μm: those initially produced at this range and those experiencing evaporation and breakdown along the path. The former rapidly joins other droplets or evaporate due to their high velocity when leaving the mouth, while the latter mainly constitute the transmitted droplets to the other parts of the bus. The important point in this diameter range is the direct relation between the deposited droplets and their dimensions. With the decline in diameter, deposition of the droplets smaller than 25 μm becomes progressively more unlikely. Many references have considered the aerosols with sizes below 5 μm to be largely non-depositing particles [15,84]. Environmental parameters can influence the propagation of droplets generated by sneezing through controlling the evaporation rate and droplet size [18,85]. These effects are investigated in the current section.

In Fig. 7b, the interior space of the bus is divided into a few distinctive zones based on the distribution of sneezing droplets. It is observed that decreasing the diameter of droplets increases the average suspension time and distance. According to Fig. 8a, when the passenger sneezes at the rear end of the bus, the entire interior space of the bus is affected. The provided supplementary data show the average velocity, concentration, and suspension time for all droplet diameters for the duration of 600 s after sneezing. The results indicate that as the droplet diameter decreases, the suspension time increases. Also, droplets become smaller as longer distances are taken from the sneezing passenger [10].

5.2. The effects of the HVAC system

The heating, ventilation and air conditioning system in the bus can significantly influence virus transmission by adjusting the inflow velocity, temperature, and relative humidity. In this investigation, the air is circulated with different velocities, temperatures, and relative humidity inside the bus in accordance with the data provided by the bus manufacturer [30,32].

Fig. 8 shows the steady flow fields set inside the bus by the ventilation system (see Fig. 1). Their different ventilation flow rates have been investigated in this figure. Formation of a complex flow due to the interactions between the seats and ventilation flow is evident. In particular, Fig. 8 shows that intensification of the ventilation rate results in the
development of a series of vortical structures between the seats. Importantly, these vortical flows constantly evolve along the bus, and there is, essentially, no repeated pattern. It will be shown that this complex flow significantly influences the virus transmission in the bus.

5.3. Effects of environmental conditions on the droplet suspension time

5.3.1. Temperature variations

Temperature dominates the evaporation rate, and therefore the droplet volume is proportional to the ambient temperature. The air temperature may also have an effect on the droplet viscosity and thus on the shell deformation. Here, assuming constant relative humidity and airflow inside the bus, the effects of temperature on the droplet diameter

---

**Fig. 9.** a) Evaporation rate based on droplet surface for 2 s, $V_{vent} = 0.1 \text{m/s}$, RH = 20% (numerical simulations and ML predictions), b) Variation in the concentration of droplets ($1 \mu m < d_p < 250 \mu m$) at various locations over time. Boundary condition for no ventilation is based on Ref. [10].

**Fig. 10.** ML predictions for a) percentage of reduced droplets based on ambient temperature for ($1 \mu m < d_p < 100 \mu m$) and ($100 \mu m < d_p < 250 \mu m$) diameter, $V_{vent} = 0.1 \text{m/s}$, RH = 20% b) suspension time based on droplet diameter, $V_{vent} = 0.1 \text{m/s}$ RH = 20%.
The average deposition range and floating time for different droplets in the droplets. Further, increases in temperature significantly enhance in subsequent to sneezing. Clearly, there is a substantial geometrical variation mean values derived for the droplet shell at the first two seconds subdimensions of the droplets and their breaking down. Fig. 9a shows the average deposition range and floating time is investigated. Here, 5 million droplets, produced at (1μm < d₆₅ < 250μm) in various locations throughout the bus. The results show that different locations are exposed to a range of concentrations at different times. Additionally, ventilation noticeably increases the rate of dispersion. As depicted in Fig. 9b, the highest concentration of droplets is found near the person. There is no noticeable difference between ventilation and non-ventilation conditions in this zone. Additionally, the results indicate that variations in ambient temperature have a negligible effect on the droplet concentration in zone 1. Yet, concentration of droplets in zone 2 increases over time. In zone 2, the number of droplets in the control volume increases as time and ventilation pass. This indicates that ventilation can accelerate the rate of concentration increase in this zone. Additionally, it is clear that reducing the temperature aids in increasing the concentration. After a few seconds, the number of droplets in zone 2 decreases as sneezing creates a progressive front of droplets, and the droplets' concentration decreases along the bus. Most importantly, nowhere in the bus is clear of droplets.

A decline in the droplet diameter results in the enhancement of the floating time. Fig. 10a shows the percentage of the droplets removed from the environment (through evaporation) at the ventilation rate of 0.1 m s⁻¹ and relative humidity of 20% for diameters of 1 ~ 100 μm and 100 ~ 250 μm. As expected, the results indicate that a greater percentage of droplets are eliminated at higher ambient temperatures. Fig. 10b shows the average suspension time for 95% non-spherical droplets in different ranges. The period of suspension is determined by internal and external forces acting on the droplet volume. Since the geometry of the droplet changes over time by the drag force, the suspension time is proportional to the drag force. Additionally, considering the impact of temperature on the droplet viscosity and its effect on the rate of evaporation, it is clear that the geometry of the suspended droplet is determined by the change in the outer shell (due to drag) and the rate of mass reduction. Fig. 10b depicts the considerable effects of temperature upon the suspension time of droplets.

### 5.3.2. Ventilation rate

Along with directly affecting the distribution of heavy particles in the near field region, ventilation can also have an effect on the droplets’ diameter. Further, increasing the airflow velocity speeds up evaporation and increases the frequency of the droplets of less than 100μm in diameter. The flow velocity inside the bus may also affect the forces acting on the droplets altering the buoyancy and drag forces. This, in turn, influences the particle lifetime and transmission range. Additionally, as shown in Fig. 8, increasing the airflow velocity intensifies the vortex formation between the seat rows in the bus. These vortices can alter the path of the droplets, as droplets, less than 250μm in diameter tend to follow the flow [88]. Increased airflow rates also help to disperse the heavy droplets, giving them a longer time to evaporate and shrink in size. Table 3 summarises the results for droplet velocity, suspension, and deposition. This table shows that the droplets with diameters less than 20μm were distributed evenly throughout the bus in 600 s, with over 95% of them remaining floating. As an important observation, it appears that boosting the ventilation velocity can prolong the suspension time.

The transmission of contaminated droplets in the bus is constantly interrupted by the deposition process and re-circulation of air set by the ventilation system. Changes in the ventilation velocity can alter the duration of droplet transmission and suspension. Nonetheless, the details of these modifications are complicated due to the geometrical complexity of the current problem.

It is recalled that the ventilation velocities considered in this study have been inferred from the bus manufacturer’s data [32,34]. Fig. 11 shows the average evaporation rate and concentration of droplets, indicating that for \( V_{vent} = 0.1 \text{ m/s} \) the evaporation rate of droplets remains almost constant (8.9 × 10⁻⁶ mm² s⁻¹). The calculations show that for reducing 10% of the mass of a spherical droplet (1.50μm), the surface velocity of droplet should increase by 19 ~ 21%. For the droplets with (50 120μm) and (120 250μm), this increase is 15 ~ 17% and 10 ~ 12%, respectively. The change in the droplets’ volume as a function of air velocity is depicted in Fig. 11a. According to this figure, increasing the airflow velocity causes an ascending trend in the droplets’ evaporation rate, which then stabilises at a constant value. The slope of the diagram changes due to the interactions between the rate of surface evaporation and the heat flux across the droplet surface.

According to Fig. 11b, increasing the ventilation velocity significantly increases the rate of droplet dispersion (up to 41% in zone 2 and 36% in zone 3 compared to without ventilation). The variations in ventilation velocity affect the droplet concentration in zone 1 by up to 50% when \( V_{vent} = 0.5 \text{ m/s} \). However, the concentration of droplets in zone 2 increases over time, and ventilation velocity contributes to reaching the maximum concentration in a short time (59 × 10⁻⁴ grams/mm² in 44 s). In fact, ventilation velocity has a significant effect on increasing the droplet concentration in all selected zones except for zone 1. Changes in the geometry of droplets modify the drag force and convective heat transfer on the surface. Increasing the surface area of a droplet relative to its volume can aid increasing the rate of evaporation, and therefore, the rate of evaporation for non-spherical droplets is higher than that for spherical droplets [58,89]. When the droplet diameter falls below 200μm, the geometrical variance is negligible [44]. Hence, according to Fig. 12a, the most important factor affecting evaporation rate is variation in the ventilation velocity. The contact surface of droplets with 1μm < d₆₅ < 100μm and 100μm < d₆₅ < 250μm differs by only 9%. Yet, this small difference can affect the evaporation rate by up to 40% at constant ambient temperature and velocity of 0.361 m/s. Further, the results imply that the droplets with diameters <60 μm hardly deposit or evaporate.

| Droplet size | \( V_{vent} \) (m s⁻¹) | | Suspended time (s) (95% of total) |
|--------------|-------------------|---|-------------------|
| 0 (m s⁻¹) | 0.1 (m s⁻¹) | 0.3 (m s⁻¹) | | 0 (m s⁻¹) | 0.1 (m s⁻¹) | 0.3 (m s⁻¹) |
| 1-5 | 0.214 | 0.457 | 0.663 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| 5-20 | 0.197 | 0.422 | 0.654 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| 20-50 | 0.188 | 0.403 | 0.636 | 587 | 600 | 600 |
| 50-100 | 0.176 | 0.395 | 0.611 | 552 | 598 | 600 |
| 100-150 | 0.162 | 0.364 | 0.574 | 501 | 547 | 578 |
| 150-250 | 0.145 | 0.299 | 0.522 | 474 | 501 | 544 |
5.4. Humidity

Ambient humidity can affect the transmittance of contaminated droplets for a variety of reasons. Droplet evaporation is heavily influenced by humidity. Increased humidity reduces evaporation by reducing the difference in vapour concentration between the fluid and gas phases. This has the potential to increase the lifetime of the droplet and subsequently extend its transmission range. The changes in evaporation as a function of the mean diameter of the droplet are depicted in Fig. 13 for various relative humidity values. Reduced humidity increases the evaporation rate. By decreasing the concentration gradient around the droplets, the average rate of evaporation drops and therefore increases in humidity reduce the evaporation rate. Further, there is a relationship between the ambient temperature and relative humidity that affects the
vapour concentration. These two factors are responsible for the reduction in the mass of droplets.

Fig. 13 illustrates the evaporation rate as a function of variations in the droplet surface, showing that by raising the humidity (averaged for the entire bus) by 20%, the average evaporation decreases up to 50%. Fig. 13 demonstrates the effects on the droplet concentrations as a function of humidity. This suggests that increasing humidity may aid the growth of droplets' concentration in the far-field. As illustrated in Fig. 13, if ventilation is controlled to maintain an optimal humidity level (Table 4), the concentration of droplets can be significantly reduced. The optimal thermal comfort (as defined by ASHRAE standard 55) is shown in Table 4 when the droplets concentration is minimised.

According to Table 4, the optimal combination of humidity, temperature, and velocity can reduce the concentration of droplets (1μm < dp < 250μm) by 13.62% when compared to the ASHRAE standard condition.

6. Conclusions

A hybridised CFD/ML predictive tool was used to analyse the distribution of virus-containing droplets generated by human sneezing in public transport (a bus). The tool was rigorously validated and then utilised to explore the influence of environmental parameters including temperature, relative humidity and ventilation on the Spatio-temporal distribution of the droplets. In particular, emphasis was put on the droplets suspension time and transmission speed through the interior space of the bus. An earlier study of the authors showed that the droplets with diameters below 250μm are most responsible for virus transmission. Hence, this investigation focused on the droplets with diameters between 1 and 250μm. The key findings of this work could be summarised as follows.

- When the ventilation system of the bus is switched off, the droplets (1 – 250μm) take more than 200 s to reach the front end of the bus. However, with the ventilation system running, this time drops to 22 s for V_vent = 0.1m.s⁻¹, 15 s for V_vent = 0.3m.s⁻¹, and 1.8 s for V_vent = 0.5m.s⁻¹. Therefore, in general, ventilation could accelerate transmission of the virus under certain circumstances.
- It was found that the droplets with diameters between 1 and 20 μm remain suspended in the air without deposition or evaporation for an extended period of time.
- Environmental parameters can have significant effects on the concentration of the droplets with diameters between 1 and 250μm. For instance, increasing the relative humidity by 10% can result in 30%
increase in the droplet concentration at the farthest distance from a sneezing passenger.

- Configuration of the ventilation system design can aid or hinder dispersion of small infectious droplets. According to the results, the optimal ventilation system can be designed by injecting fresh air from the ceiling and sucking air from the floor.

- The results indicated that the highest thermal comfort and the lowest risk of droplet dispersion (-13.62 %) were achievable when 291.15 K < T_ambient < 292.240 K, 0.3 m/s < V_vent < 0.41 m/s and 15% < RH < 30%.

Finally, it is essential to note that the number of viruses contained in the droplets can affect the risk of disease transmission. This biological factor was not included in the current analysis and therefore generalisation of the results should be done mindfully.
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