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Abstract

In many non-integrable open systems in physics and mathematics, resonances have been found to be surprisingly ordered along curved lines in the complex plane. In this article we provide a unifying approach to these resonance chains by generalizing dynamical zeta functions. By means of a detailed numerical study we show that these generalized zeta functions explain the mechanism that creates the chains of quantum resonance and classical Ruelle resonances for three-disk systems as well as geometric resonances on Schottky surfaces. We also present a direct system-intrinsic definition of the continuous lines on which the resonances are strung together as a projection of an analytic variety. Additionally, this approach shows that the existence of resonance chains is directly related to a clustering of the classical length spectrum on multiples of a base length. Finally, this link is used to construct new examples where several different structures of resonance chains coexist.
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1. Introduction

The study of resonances in scattering systems with chaotic classical dynamics is an active field of research. It is at the same time driven by physicists being interested in the quantum properties of open chaotic systems as well as by mathematicians being interested in geometric analysis and partial differential equations (PDEs) on non-compact manifolds. While in scattering theory, resonances are poles of the scattering matrix and correspond to quasi-stationary quantum states with purely in- or outgoing boundary conditions, from the PDE point of view, resonances are often seen as the spectral invariants defined as the poles of a meromorphic continuation of the resolvent operator.

Aside from some very special exceptions (e.g. the Walsh quantized Baker map [24]), the position of the resonances of such complex systems cannot be determined exactly. It has, however, been a very successful approach to gain coarser information on the general properties of the resonance distribution in terms of the underlying classical dynamics and geometry. For example, results on spectral gaps [16, 25, 27] predict resonance-free regions, and the fractal Weyl law [14, 20, 23, 32, 33] gives an upper bound on the growth of the number of the so-called ‘long-living’ resonances in the high-frequency limit. Despite the large progress that has been made in obtaining rigorous results on the distribution of the resonances, there are still many open questions (we refer to [22] for an overview of recent results and open questions). For example, the upper bound on the exponential growth of the resonance density, which has been proven in the fractal Weyl law [14, 23, 33], is only conjectured to be sharp, and there exists also a conjecture on the improvement of the spectral gap estimates [17]. It has thus been a very important approach to study the resonance structure numerically and by physical experiments in order to test the present conjectures and to show that the results on resonance distributions can be observed in real physical systems. There have been established two paradigmatic scattering systems for these kind of tests: the $n$-disk systems and Schottky surfaces.

An $n$-disk system describes the scattering of one particle at $n$-circular obstacles in the Euclidean plane (see figure 2). It has been introduced by Gaspard–Rice [11–13] and Cvitanović-Eckhardt [4] in physics and by Ikawa [16] in mathematics. In physics, the completely symmetric three-disk system, where three disks of the same size are arranged on a regular triangle, enjoys especially great popularity. It is already complex enough to have a classical dynamic with a non-trivial, fractal repeller and is still simple enough to be treated efficiently by numerics. There exist very efficient approaches to calculate the quantum resonances based on a direct scattering matrix ansatz [11] as well as by a semiclassical approach using zeta functions [4]. Consequently, it was among the first systems to numerically observe the fractal Weyl law [20]. Further importance arises from its experimental realizability [19], and in recent microwave experiments the spectral gap [1] and first hints of the fractal Weyl law [28] have been found. From a mathematical point of view, the disadvantage of the $n$-disk system is that many of the numerical algorithms are not based on mathematical rigorous results. For example, the conjecture that the zeroes of the semiclassical zeta function are related to the quantum resonances, which has been thoroughly tested in physics [4, 40], is mathematically open (see [8, section 1.6–1.8] for recent results in this direction).

This is the reason for the popularity of Schottky surfaces as an explicit model system in mathematics. Schottky surfaces are two-dimensional non-compact surfaces with constant negative curvature, which are convex co-compact (see figure 7 for a sketch of a three-funnelled Schottky surface). The constant negative curvature allows us to prove that the zeroes of the Selberg zeta function are related to the resonances of the Laplacian [26] and not only approximately as conjectured for the three-disk system in physics. Furthermore Jenkinson–Pollicott [18] derived, analogously to the cycle expansion of the three-disk system, efficient...
Figure 1. The resonance structure of the classical Ruelle resonances of the three-disk system (upper left), the Schottky surface $X_{12,12,12}$ (upper right) and the quantum three-disk system with $R/a = 6$ (lower). Note: the resonances for the Schottky surfaces are so dense that they appear as continuous lines in the plot above; however, they are discrete (see figure 8).

formulas to numerically compute the zeroes of the Selberg zeta functions. The big drawback of these surfaces from the physical point of view is that there is no known experimental realization (see [31,34] for attempts to realize the wave equation on negatively curved surfaces with water waves). As the curvature of these surfaces is, however, strictly negative, the geodesic flow is hyperbolic and these surfaces are an interesting model for open chaotic systems. Additionally, the study of the resonances on constant negative curvature surfaces and the relation to their geometry is a mathematically interesting question itself (see e.g. [41]), thus we will call these resonances geometric resonances. The most simple example of Schottky surfaces that already has a non-trivial fractal repeller is the three-funnelled surface as shown in the upper part of figure 7. For these surfaces, Borthwick recently presented a thorough numerical study of their resonances structure [3].

One of the most surprising features of this resonance structure is an observation that has already been made for the three-disk system [11,40]: even though the underlying classical dynamic is completely hyperbolic, i.e. strongly chaotic, the distribution of the resonances is highly ordered and the resonances form a striking chain structure in the complex plane (see figure 1). The three-disk system and the Schottky surfaces are, however, not the only systems to embody these chain structures. Very similar chains have, for example, also been
observed in higher dimensional systems, as in the four-sphere billiard [6], and even in microdisk resonators, which are important models for physical applications such as microdisk lasers [39]. Furthermore, the phenomenon of resonance chains is not only restricted to resonances of the Laplace operator and quantum resonances. The spectrum of the classical Ruelle resonances, which describe the decay of correlations in classical dynamical systems, has also been reported to reveal these clear resonance chains [10].

Though these chains are eye-catching, little is known about these structures. For the completely symmetric three-disk system and the completely symmetric three-funnelled Schottky surface, the distance between the resonances on one chain has been observed to be related to the length of the shortest periodic orbit [3, 40]. Additionally, the oscillation length of the chains has been found to be related to the length difference between two fundamental periodic orbits. Recently, a numerical study of the three-disk system [38] showed that the resonance chains are not only a visual effect, but that the resonances on one chain share common physical properties. It was, however, shown that the resonance chains are not caused by the scarring of the scattering states along individual classical orbits, but are related to the interaction of several classical orbits.

Two fundamental questions are, however, still open and will be addressed in this article. First of all, the resonance chains are a visual impression, i.e. our brain groups the resonances to different chains and we have the impression that they are strung along different continuous lines. In this article, we will give a system an intrinsic definition of these continuous lines. Secondly, resonance chains have been observed in many, quite different systems. We will give a unifying condition on the classical length spectrum that is able to explain and predict the existence of resonance chains.

The article is organized as follows: in section 2 we treat the resonance chains of the symmetric three-disk system. After a general introduction to three-disk systems (section 2.1), we will numerically illustrate that the resonance chains of the symmetric three-disk system are created by fast rotating eigenvalues of a suitable transfer operator on the canonical Poincaré section. This idea applies for the semiclassical as well as for the classical resonances (section 2.2). Then we give an introduction to Schottky surfaces (section 3.1) and show in section 3.2 that a straightforward application of this idea on the resonance spectrum of completely symmetric Schottky surfaces fails to explain the resonance chains. However, the ideas can be abstracted. This leads us to the introduction of a generalized zeta function and the generalized spectrum, which are shown to be the right objects to describe to understand the resonance chains. These objects immediately also allow to deal with non-symmetric Schottky surfaces and three-disk systems, and they also give a condition for the existence of resonance chains (section 3.3). In section 4, we will finally test this condition in different cases and use its predictive power to identify systems incorporating different coexistent chain structures.

As this article addresses a physical as well as a mathematical readership, we decided to always state clearly which results and relations are known to be true by mathematically rigorous proofs and which results are achieved by physical arguments or experiments. This will help to give an overview of which parts of the argumentation are based on rigorous arguments and where further mathematical work remains to be done.

This article itself does not claim to derive any rigorous statements either. Instead, our aim is to gain a universal understanding of the appearance of the resonance chains and to identify the mathematical quantities that are crucial for their understanding, via numerical investigations. As the question of whether a chain structure is visible or not is mathematically not well posed but depends on the observer of the resonance structure, our condition cannot be a if and only if condition either. The condition established in section 3.2 is thus an approximate condition and our hypothesis is that the better this condition is fulfilled, the more clearly the chains will
be visible. This hypothesis is tested and is supported by various numerical results presented in section 4. A rigorous proof of the existence of resonance will be given in [37], where the ideas and insights presented in this article are an essential ingredient.

2. Three-disk systems and rotating resonances

2.1. Introduction to three-disk systems

A three-disk system describes one free particle in an Euclidean two-dimensional space that scatters at three non-overlapping hard disks $D_1$, $D_2$ and $D_3$. For further use we will call the union of the disks $D = \bigcup_i D_i$. Classically, its trajectories are given by straight lines and hard wall reflections at the disk boundaries $\partial D$ (see the left-hand panel of figure 2). Its quantum dynamics is described by the Laplacian $\Delta$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions at the disk boundaries, and its quantum resonances can be rigorously defined as the poles of the resolvent

$$R(k) := (-\Delta - k^2)^{-1} : L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus D) \to L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus D).$$

This resolvent, as a map between compactly supported $L^2$ functions and locally $L^2$-integrable functions, is known to extend meromorphically to the $\mathbb{C} \setminus l$ where $l$ is any line connecting zero with infinity [35]. These poles do indeed coincide with the physical scattering resonances: i.e. if $k_n$ is a pole with multiplicity $m$, then there exist $m$ solutions of

$$(-\Delta - k^2_n)\Psi = 0$$

with Dirichlet and purely outgoing boundary conditions. The latter condition means that the solution $\Psi(r, \phi)$ in polar coordinates $(r, \phi)$ can be written asymptotically in the limit $r \to \infty$ as [11]

$$\Psi(r, \phi) \sim \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} C_l \frac{\exp(i(kr - l\pi/2 - \pi/4))}{\sqrt{2\pi kr}} \exp(il\phi).$$
Contrary purely incoming boundary conditions would correspond to

\[ \Psi(r, \phi) \sim \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} C_l \frac{\exp(-i(kr - l\pi/2 - \pi/4))}{\sqrt{2\pi k r}} \exp(il\phi). \]

Here, \( C_l \) are constant coefficients, and for fixed \( l \) the asymptotic \( r, \phi \) dependence equals the asymptotic dependence of a free particle state with angular momentum \( l \).

An important class of three-disk systems are those that are completely symmetric. In those systems, all three disks have the same size and the disks are arranged on an equilateral triangle (see left part of figure 2). Historically the side length of the triangle is denoted by \( R \) and the disk radius by \( a \) [13]. These systems are then completely characterized, up to a scaling, by the ratio \( R/a \). As the system is highly symmetric and possesses the symmetry group \( D_3 \) of the equilateral triangle, the symmetry reduced system is often studied in physics. This system consists of an open billiard representing the fundamental domain of the three-disk system (see the light shaded region in the left-hand part of figure 2).

As already mentioned before, the classical time evolution of a particle between two disk reflections is just a linear propagation interspersed with hard wall reflections. The trajectory of a particle therefore does not depend on the absolute value of its momentum, and we can always scale it to 1. It is furthermore convenient to get rid of the parts of linear propagation where no complex dynamic takes place and consider only the Poincaré section of disk reflections. A particle at the boundary of a disk \( D_i \) of radius \( a_i \) whose momentum is scaled to one is completely described by its Birkhoff coordinates \((q, p)\). They are defined as the arc length \( q \in [-\pi a_i, \pi a_i] \) on the disk boundary as well as the projection of the normalized incoming momentum to the unit tangent vector at the disk boundary \( p = \vec{p} \cdot \vec{t} = \cos(\alpha) \in [-1, 1] \) (see figure 3). The complete space \( \mathcal{P} \) of the Poincaré section consists of three copies of \([-\pi a_i, \pi a_i] \times [-1, 1]\). As the system is open, the dynamics are not defined on all \( \mathcal{P} \), because in many cases a particle with Birkhoff coordinates \((q, p)\) will just escape to infinity after the reflection and will not hit any of the other disks in the future. We therefore introduce the forward trapped set \( \mathcal{T}_+^{(n)} \) of order \( n \) as the set of all points in \( \mathcal{P} \) that will hit at least \( n \) disks on their future trajectories. Analogously, we define the backward trapped set \( \mathcal{T}_-^{(n)} \) for the time-inverted
dynamics. The discrete time dynamics on the Poincaré section is then a diffeomorphism
\[ \phi : T_+^{(1)} \to T_-^{(1)}. \]

Note that this diffeomorphism only leaves invariant the trapped set
\[ T = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} T_+^{(n)} \cap T_-^{(n)}, \]
which is known to be a fractal subset of \( \mathcal{P} \) if the three disks are sufficiently far away from each other [12]. Using this discrete time dynamics we can define for any smooth function \( V : T_+^{(1)} \to \mathbb{C} \) the transfer operator \( \mathcal{L}_V : C_0^\infty(T_+^{(1)}) \to C_0^\infty(\mathcal{P}) \) by setting
\[ (\mathcal{L}_V f)(x) = V(\phi^{-1}(x)) f(\phi^{-1}(x)) \]
which is an operator with the integration kernel
\[ K(x, y) = V(y) \delta(\phi^{-1}(x) - y). \]

In the theory of dynamical systems, this function \( V \) is commonly called the potential function even if \( V \) does not at all play the role of a potential in the sense of classical mechanics. With this transfer operator, we can now introduce the zeta function. If we suppose that \( \mathcal{L}_V \) can be extended to a Banach space \( \mathcal{B} \) such that \( \mathcal{L}_V \) is trace class, then we can study the dynamical zeta function associated to the potential \( V \), which is defined by the Fredholm determinant
\[ d_{\text{Fred}}(z) := \det(1 - z \mathcal{L}_V) = \exp\left( -\sum_{n>0} z^n \text{Tr}(\mathcal{L}_V^n) \right). \]

This function is then known to be analytic in \( z \), and the discrete spectrum \( \sigma(\mathcal{L}_V) \) of the transfer operator is related to the zeroes of the dynamical zeta function by
\[ \sigma(\mathcal{L}_V) = \{ z | \text{d}_{\text{Fred}}(1/z) = 0 \}. \]

By the explicit form (2) of the kernel \( K(x, y) \) we can, on the other hand, formally define the so-called flat trace
\[ \text{Tr}^\flat(\mathcal{L}_V^n) := \sum_{x \in \text{Fix}(\phi^n)} \frac{V_n(x)}{|\det(1 - (D\phi^n)(x))|}, \]
where \( (D\phi^n)(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} \) is the Jacobian of \( \phi^n \), \( V_n(x) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} V(\phi^k(x)) \) is the iterated product and \( \text{Fix}(\phi^n) \) is the set of all fixed points of \( \phi^n \). By the flat trace we can define in complete analogy to (3) another zeta function by
\[ d^\flat(z) := \exp\left( -\sum_{n>0} z^n \sum_{x \in \text{Fix}(\phi^n)} \frac{V_n(x)}{|\det(1 - (D\phi^n)(x))|} \right). \]

By a standard calculation for zeta functions (see appendix A) this expression can be brought to the form
\[ d^\flat(z) := \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \prod_{k=0}^{n_p} \left( 1 - z^{n_p} \frac{V_p}{|\Lambda_p| \Lambda_p^k} \right)^{k+1}. \]

Here, \( \mathcal{P} \) is the set of all primitive periodic orbits of the map \( \phi \), i.e. the set of all periodic orbits \( \{ x, \phi(x), \ldots, \phi^m(x) = x \} \) for which there is no \( 1 < k < m \) that also fulfils \( \phi^k(x) = x \). Furthermore, \( n_p \) is the discrete time length of this orbit, \( V_p \) is the iterated product of the potential
V along the primitive orbit p and $\Lambda_p$ is the eigenvalue of $D\phi^p(x_p)$ whose absolute value is larger than one.

It is important to note that the expressions (4) and (5) only converge absolutely for $|z|$ sufficiently small. Furthermore, (4) directly implies that the zeta function has no zeroes in the region of absolute convergence. Equations (4) and (5) are thus of no direct use for a calculation of the zeroes of the dynamical zeta function. If one knows, however, that $d^\xi(z)$ can be extended analytically beyond the region of absolute convergence, its Taylor expansion in $z$ around zero

$$d^\xi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n z^n$$

will automatically converge absolutely on any disk contained in the region of analytic continuation. This trick has been introduced in physics by Cvitanović and Eckhardt [4] under the name cycle expansion.

From a mathematical point of view, the biggest challenge is to prove the analytic continuation of the zeta function defined by (4) and to show that its zeroes correspond to the spectrum of $L_V$. This is, for example, possible if one finds function spaces such that $L_V$ is trace class and that its trace in this space equals the flat trace. Then one obtains by the analyticity of the Fredholm determinant automatically an analytic continuation of the zeta function. Such results are known for several classes of systems (for example for real analytic expanding or hyperbolic maps [7,29,30]) but to our knowledge not in any setting in which the three-disk system fits.

Physicists usually skip this difficulty and test for concrete examples in those regions the cycle expansion numerically converges. It is then assumed that this region coincides with the region of analytic continuation. Furthermore, it is usually assumed that the zeroes of the zeta function defined by the flat trace (4) are eigenvalues of the transfer operator. As there is not yet a satisfying mathematical theory in the case of the three-disk system, we will also make these assumptions from now on.

For the three-disk system, two particular choices for the potential are of special interest as they lead to the classical zeta function and to the semiclassical or Gutzwiller–Voros zeta function. The return time or return length of a particle at the disk boundary with Birkhoff coordinates $x \in T^1_+$ is defined as the length of the trajectory until the next disk reflection. This defines a smooth bounded function

$$\tau : T^1_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$$

and we first can consider for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ the analytic family of potentials

$$V^cl_s(x) = e^{-s\tau(x)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Plugging this potential into (5), we obtain a dynamical zeta function depending on two variables s, z

$$d^{cl}(s, z) := \prod_{p \in P} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - z^{n_p} e^{-z \tau_p} \frac{e^{-z \tau_p}}{\Lambda_p \Lambda_p^k}\right)^{k+1},$$

where $\tau_p$ is the Birkhoff sum along this orbit, defined by

$$\tau_p = \sum_{k=1}^{n_p} \tau(\phi^k(x)),$$

$x$ being an arbitrary point on the orbit $p$. It is related to the Selberg–Smale zeta function by [10]

$$Z(s) = d^{cl}(s, 1).$$
This zeta function is of special interest because its zeroes, the so-called Ruelle resonances, determine the decay of correlations of the classical dynamical system (at least for systems where the theory of Ruelle resonances is established, for the three-disk system this is again conjectured to be true, see [10]). This is why these zeta functions have been studied numerically by Gaspard and Ramirez [10] for the symmetric three-disk system, where they observed the resonance chains presented in figure 1.

Using the ideas of [9], we can also express the Gutzwiller–Voros zeta function by transfer operators. We therefore define the function $\Lambda(x)$ on $T^1$ to be the restriction of the Jacobian $D\phi(x)$ to the unstable direction, which is simply given by the multiplication with a nonzero number. If $x$ is a fixed point of an iteration of the Poincaré map $\phi^n(x) = x$, then the iterated product of $\Lambda(x)$ will be exactly the unstable eigenvalue $\Lambda_n$ of $D\phi^n$.

With this function, we define

\[ V_s^{(a)}(x) = -|\Lambda(x)|^{1/2}e^{-st(x)} \quad \text{and} \quad V_s^{(b)}(x) = |\Lambda(x)|^{-1/2}e^{-st(x)} \]

as well as the corresponding Fredholm determinants

\[ d^{(a)}(s, z) = \det(1 - zL_{V_s^{(a)}}) \quad \text{and} \quad d^{(b)}(s, z) = \det(1 - zL_{V_s^{(b)}}). \]

We can then study the quotient

\[
\frac{d^{(a)}(s, z)}{d^{(b)}(s, z)} = \exp\left(-\sum_{n>0} \frac{z^n}{n} \sum_{x \in \text{Fix}(\phi^n)} \frac{e^{-s\tau_n(x) - in\pi}}{|\det(1 - (D\phi^n)(x))|} \left(|\Lambda_n(x)|^{1/2} - (-1)^n |\Lambda_n(x)|^{-1/2}\right) \right)
\]

\[
= \exp\left(-\sum_{n>0} \frac{z^n}{n} \sum_{x \in \text{Fix}(\phi^n)} \frac{e^{-s\tau_n(x) - in\pi}}{\sqrt{|\det(1 - (D\phi^n)(x))|}} \right).
\]

For the last equality, we used that the Poincaré map is orientation inverting and consequently $\Lambda(x) < 0$, which implies that

\[ \sqrt{|\det(1 - (D\phi^n)(x))|} = |\Lambda_n(x)|^{1/2} - (-1)^n |\Lambda_n(x)|^{-1/2}. \]

The final expression is related to the so-called Gutzwiller–Voros zeta function [36] by

\[ Z_{\text{GV}}(k) = \frac{d^{(a)}(-ik, 1)}{d^{(b)}(-ik, 1)}. \]

(7)

It has been numerically thoroughly checked [4, 40] that the zeroes of $Z_{\text{GV}}(k)$ coincide with a high precision to the quantum resonances, which can be numerically calculated by a quantum scattering approach. It is conjectured that the zeroes of the Gutzwiller–Voros zeta function converge towards the quantum resonances in the semiclassical limit. This relation [13] is, however, based on the Gutzwiller-trace formula for the quantum propagator beyond the Ehrenfest time, and from the mathematical point of view the relation to the quantum spectrum is completely open 6. For practical purposes this relation between the zeroes of the zeta function and the quantum resonances has, however, a huge advantage because, especially in the regime of high energies, the calculation of the zeroes of $Z_{\text{GV}}(k)$ via the cycle expansion is much faster than the calculation based on the quantum scattering matrix, and it has been exploited in many numerical works on the quantum spectrum [6, 20, 39].

6 For closed systems there has recently been derived a relation between the quantum and the so-called prequantum operator, which is an important step into this direction [8].
2.2. Rotating resonances

We now want to understand the resonance chains for the symmetric three-disk system via the zeta functions introduced above. The zeta functions allow us to handle the resonance chains for classical Ruelle resonances and quantum resonances by the same approach, even though the physical nature of these resonances is completely different.

In both cases, one has a zeta function $Z(s, z)$ that depends on two complex variables, and the resonances are defined by\footnote{In the case of quantum resonances, the resonance set is usually the set $\text{Res} = \{ k \in \mathbb{C}, \ Z(-ik, 1) = 0 \}$ (see (7)). In order to emphasize the uniform approach to quantum and classical resonances as well as the geometric resonances on Schottky surfaces, we will, however, from now on consider the quantum resonances to be parametrized by $s = -ik$, which simply leads to a clockwise rotation by 90° of the quantum resonances in the plots.}

$$\text{Res} = \{ s \in \mathbb{C}, \ Z(s, 1) = 0 \}.$$  

Note that for the quantum case we use the hypothesis that the semiclassical and quantum resonances coincide. We will, however, only use this correspondence for the symmetric three-disk system in an energy regime, where this correspondence is known to hold with a very high precision \cite{4, 40}. Beside the set of resonances that we have defined to be the zeroes in $s$ of $Z(s, z)$ for fixed $z = 1$, it seems also natural to consider for a fixed $s \in \mathbb{C}$ the zero set in $z$. In order to have a direct physical interpretation of this set, we consider the inverses of these zeroes and define for a fixed $s \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\sigma_s := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \ Z(s, 1/z) = 0 \}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Using the Fredholm determinant representation of the classical zeta function

$$Z_{cl}(s, z) = \det(1 - z L_{V_{cl}})$$

one directly identifies $\sigma_s = \sigma(L_{V_{cl}})$, which is the spectrum of $L_{V_{cl}}$. For the semiclassical resonances this identification is slightly more subtle as $Z_{GV}$ has been defined as the quotient of two zeta functions. If we assume, however, that $d^{(b)}(s, z)$ is analytic in a neighbourhood of $z \in \sigma_s$, then the Gutzwiller-Voros zeta function can only vanish if the nominator of the quotient vanishes, i.e. if

$$0 = d^{(a)}(s, z^{-1}) = \det(1 - z^{-1} L_{V^{(a)}})$$

and $z \in \sigma(L_{V^{(a)}})$. Note that the analyticity assumption of $d^{(b)}(s, z)$ is not very strong, and in the $s$-range, which we will consider in the sequel, it is always fulfilled (see appendix C).

Summarizing, we have the following two objects in the classical as well as in the semiclassical or quantum case: on the one hand we have the resonance spectrum, which equals the zeroes of the zeta function in $s$ for $z = 1$, and on the other hand we have for any $s \in \mathbb{C}$ the discrete set $\sigma_s$, which can be interpreted as the eigenvalues of a transfer operator $L_s$, which is parametrized by a complex number $s$. Obviously $s$ is a resonance if and only if the operator $L_s$ has 1 as an eigenvalue, i.e.

$$s \in \text{Res} \iff 1 \in \sigma_s.$$  

The simple but important observation is now the following: if we start with a resonance $s_0 \in \text{Res}$ of multiplicity one and continuously vary the parameter $s$, then we will be able to trace the eigenvalue that was equal to 1 for $s = s_0$ and that continuously depends on $s$. We will call this eigenvalue $\lambda_{s_0}(s)$. A closer look in the concrete form of our transfer operators $L_s$ allows us to predict how the eigenvalues will approximately depend on $s$. In the quantum case for $L_s = L_{V^{(a)}}$ as well as in the classical case with $L_s = L_{V_{cl}}$, we have

$$L_s = L_0 e^{-\tau(s)}$$
where \( e^{-s\tau(x)} \) is simply the multiplication operator. In the case of the symmetric three-disk system the return time is a smooth function, which becomes more and more homogeneous the bigger \( R/a \) becomes. Assuming as a first-order approximation that \( \tau(x) \approx \tau_0 = R - 2a \), then
\[
\lambda_{s_0}(s) = e^{-s\tau_0}.
\]

If this approximation was exactly true and if we moved with \( s \) parallel to the imaginary axis, then the eigenvalue \( \lambda_{s_0}(s) \) would simply rotate around zero on the unit circle. Note that by the definition of the resonance set, every \( s \) value for which \( \lambda_{s_0}(s) \) crosses the value point 1 on the unit circle is itself a resonance. By this argument, a single eigenvalue of \( L_{s_0} \) would create a whole chain of resonances, parallel to the imaginary axis. The resonances would be equally distributed along this chain with a distance of \( 2\pi/\tau_0 \). As the return time is in reality not exactly constant, one would expect that slight variations deform the chains and also lead to a distance variation between the extremal values of the return time.

It can be checked numerically that it is exactly this mechanism of a rotating eigenvalue of the operator \( L_i \) that creates the resonance chains. In figure 4, this is visualized for the quantum resonances of the symmetric three-disk system with \( R/a = 6 \). On the left we can see a plot of the quantum resonances \( s_n \) in the complex plane\(^8\). On the right, the spectrum of the

\[8 \text{ Note that as discussed above, the usual parametrization of quantum resonances is } k_n = i\epsilon_n.\]
Figure 5. Left: a plot of the classical Ruelle resonances of the three-disk system with $R/a = 6$. The inset shows the chain structure of the resonances on a large domain in the complex plane. The main plot shows a strong zoom into one chain, such that only a few individual resonances are in the plot region (blue crosses). The region of the zoom is indicated in the inset by a red rectangle. The coloured dots between the two resonances $s_1 = 0.453 + 28.0i$ and $s_2 = 0.471 + 29.5i$ represent a discrete interpolation between the two resonances following the line suggested by the resonance chains. Right: the spectrum of the transfer operator on the Poincaré section. The blue crosses represent the spectrum of $L_{s_1}$ with $|z| > 0.3$. By the coloured dots, we follow the evolution of the eigenvalue starting at 1 for $s_1$.

The transfer operator $L_{V(a)}$ is presented. The blue crosses indicate the eigenvalues with $|z| > 0.3$ for an $s$-value that equals the resonance $s_1 = -0.206 - 18.51i$. As expected, we find an eigenvalue that is equal to 1. There is also another eigenvalue plotted that corresponds to the second chain, which is near to the chain to which $s_1$ belongs. There are further eigenvalues of the transfer operator near zero that we did not plot, as the convergence of the zeta function becomes more and more complicated the smaller the absolute values of $z$. The coloured points show how the eigenvalue at 1 moves, if the $s$ value varies between two resonances $s_1$ and $s_2 = -0.178 - 17.02i$. As suggested by the heuristic arguments above, the eigenvalue moves along the unit circle in a clockwise orientation and creates the next resonances on the chain. Exactly the same can be observed for the classical Ruelle resonances of the three-disk system with $R/a = 6$ (see figure 5).

These numerical results do, however, not only confirm that the hypothesis that each resonance chain is created by a rotating $L_{s}$-eigenvalue is true. They also indicate what the continuous mathematical object is that the resonances are distributed on. Note that taking two neighbouring resonances of one chain, there are multiple paths to connect these two resonances. While each path will effectively lead to one rotation of $\lambda_{s_0}(s)$ around zero, there is only one such path for which $\lambda_{s_0}(s)$ stays on the unit circle. As can be seen in figure 4, such a rotation on the unit circle is obtained if the two resonances are connected along the path that is suggested by the structure of the resonance chains. If one, however, takes a different path between the two resonances, then the corresponding eigenvalue of $L_{s}$ will turn on a deformed circle around
Figure 6. The same as in figure 4. However, the coloured dots between the two resonances $s_1 = -0.206 - 18.51i$ and $s_2 = -0.178 - 17.02i$ now do not follow the line that is suggested by the resonance chains but follow a deformed path. As a consequence, the eigenvalue of $L_{s_1}$ starting at 1 for $s_1$ now turns one time around zero on a path that is not on the unit circle (black, dotted).

We have thus seen in this section that the resonance chains of the classical and the quantum resonances are generated by the same mechanism. In both cases the resonances can be seen as the zero set in $s$ of a zeta function, which can be represented as a Fredholm determinant of a $s$-dependent family of operators. The resonance chains are generated by single eigenvalues of $L_{s_1}$, which circle around zero while $s$ moves along the chain. Furthermore, this approach allows a system-intrinsic definition of a continuous object that coincides with the visually suggested curves on which the resonances are strung together. In the next section, we will see how this idea can be extended to the resonance chains on Schottky surfaces and we will examine in detail in which systems resonance chains will occur and in which not.

3. Schottky surfaces and generalized zeta functions

3.1. Introduction to Schottky surfaces

Schottky surfaces are a special class of infinite-volume Riemann surfaces. In this section, we will give a brief introduction to its most important properties. For a detailed introduction, we refer to [2].

The easiest way to define Schottky surfaces is as a quotient of the upper half-plane by a so-called Schottky group. The upper half-plane $\mathbb{H} = \{ u = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}, \ y > 0 \}$ together with the Riemannian metric $(dx^2 + dy^2)/y^2$ has a constant negative Gauss curvature $\kappa = -1$ and
is a standard model of hyperbolic geometry. The group $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ of real invertible matrices with determinant one acts on $\mathbb{H}$ via Möbius transformations

$$
\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} u := \frac{au + b}{cu + d}.
$$

(9)

In fact, for every $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, the Möbius transformation is an orientation-preserving isometry, and all orientation-preserving isometries of the upper half-plane can be expressed as an $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$-action. A Schottky group is then a discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ that is constructed in the following way: let $D_1, \ldots, D_r$ be disks with centers on the real line and mutually disjoint closure. Then for every $1 \leq i \leq r$ there exists an element $S_i \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ that maps the boundary $\partial D_i$ to the boundary of $\partial D_{i+r}$ and the interior of $D_i$ to the exterior of $D_{i+r}$. From the disjointness of the disks it follows that the elements $S_1, \ldots, S_r$ are the generators of a free discrete subgroup

$$
\Gamma = \langle S_1, \ldots, S_r \rangle \subset SL(2, \mathbb{R})
$$

and such groups are called Schottky groups. The quotient

$$
X = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}
$$

is then again a surface with constant negative curvature and is called a Schottky surface.

The three-funnelled surfaces, which we are interested in, are from the dynamical point of view the simplest nontrivial example, and they are constructed from four disks arranged as in figure 7. The surface can be thought of as the set $\mathbb{H} \setminus \bigcup D_i$ glued together along the two dotted blue half circles as well as along the two dashed red half circles (see figure 7). It is known that such three-funnelled Schottky surfaces are uniquely determined by the three lengths $l_1, l_2, l_3$ of the geodesics $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ that wind once around one of the three funnels (see the upper part of figure 7). Furthermore, for any triple of positive numbers $l_1, l_2, l_3$, there exists a three-funnelled Schottky surface that has fundamental geodesics of this length, and we will call this surface $X_{l_1, l_2, l_3}$.

In order to define the geometric resonances on a Schottky surface $X$, we consider the positive Laplacian, which is defined by the metric and which we call $\Delta_X$, and we define the resolvent

$$
R_X(s) := (\Delta_X - s(s - 1))^{-1}.
$$

By Mazzeo–Melrose [21] and Guillopé–Zworski [15], we know that the resolvent admits a meromorphic continuation and we define the resonance set $\text{Res}_X$ again as the set of its poles. Note that the resolvent’s parameterization is different from the parameterization via $k$ in the three-disk case, which leads to the fact that the resonance chains do not oscillate along the real axis but along the imaginary axis. This parameterization is, however, very useful as it admits the following exact relation between the resonances of the Laplacian and a zeta function:

Let $P_X$ be the set of all primitive closed geodesics on $X$, i.e. those closed geodesics that cannot be obtained by repeating a shorter closed geodesic. If, for $\gamma \in P_X$, $l(\gamma)$ denotes the length of the periodic geodesic, then the Selberg zeta function is defined as

$$
Z_X(s) = \prod_{\gamma \in P_X} \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - e^{-((s+m)l(\gamma))} \right).
$$

(10)

This product is known to converge uniformly on any compact set with $\text{Re}(s) > 1$, and for $X$ being a Schottky surface the Selberg zeta function is known to extend analytically to the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ and there is the following relation between its zeroes and the resonances of $\Delta_X$: if $Z_X(s) = 0$ then $s$ is either a topological zero with $s = 0, -1, -2, \ldots$ or a resonance i.e. $s \in \text{Res}_X$ [26].
Figure 7. Upper part: a sketch of a Schottky surface with three funnels and the three fundamental closed geodesics $\gamma_1$, $\gamma_2$, $\gamma_3$. The dotted blue and dashed red lines indicate the lines along which the fundamental domain is glued together in order to obtain the surfaces. Lower part: the configuration of four disks that gives rise to the construction of the Schottky group for a three-funnelled surface. The upper half-plane without the disks represents a fundamental domain and the surface can be obtained by gluing together the red dashed lines with the blue dotted lines. The three fundamental closed geodesics $\gamma_1$, $\gamma_2$ and $\gamma_3$ from the upper part of the figure are shown in black. While $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ are only represented by one arc each, the geodesic $\gamma_3$ appears as two arcs in the fundamental domain.

For Schottky surfaces we can express the Selberg zeta function as a Fredholm determinant of a transfer operator. We therefore define the Bowen–Series map of a Schottky group $\Gamma = \langle S_1, \ldots, S_r \rangle$ by

$$B : \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\bigcup_{i=1}^{2r} D_i \\
u
\end{array} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\
\mapsto S_i u \text{ if } u \in D_i.
\right.$$ 

Here we used the convention that for $r < i \leq 2r$, $S_i := S_{i-r}^{-1}$. We can then define a family of transfer operators parametrized by $s \in \mathbb{C}$ via its action

$$(\mathcal{L}_s f)(u) := \sum_{v \in B^{-1}(u)} B'(v)^{-s} f(v),$$

where $B'$ is the complex derivative of the Bowen–Series map and $u \in \bigcup_i D_i$. These transfer operators are known to be trace class on the space of holomorphic $L^2$-functions on $\bigcup_i D_i$.  
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(see [29] for the original proof in slightly different functions spaces or [2, lemma 15.6]), and one therefore knows that its dynamical zeta function

\[ d_{BS}(s, z) := \det (1 - z L_s) \]

is analytic. We will give a short sketch of the idea of how to relate the dynamical zeta function to the Selberg zeta function. For more details, we refer to [2, section 15.3]. One first can prove that the trace of \( L_s \) equals its flat trace and obtains

\[ d_{BS}(s, z) = \exp \left( - \sum_{n>0} \frac{z^n}{n} \sum_{u \in \text{Fix}(B^n)} \frac{(B^n)'(u)^{-s}}{|1 - (B^n)'(u)|} \right) . \]  

(11)

As in the case of the three-disk system (see equation (5) and appendix A) one can transform the dynamical zeta function into a product over prime orbits of the Bowen–Series map \( B \).

In a second step, one can prove that the set of primitive periodic geodesics is in one-to-one correspondence to the primitive periodic orbits of the Bowen–Series maps, i.e. for each \( \gamma \in X \) there exists a unique \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and a periodic orbit \( \{ u, B(u), \ldots, B^n(u) = u \} \), and the length of the geodesic is related to the stability of the fixed point by

\[ e^{l(\gamma)} = (B^n)'(u) . \]

If we denote this \( n \) as the Bowen–Series order \( n_{BS}(\gamma) \) of the geodesic \( \gamma \), then we obtain, combining all arguments,

\[ d_{BS}(s, z) = \prod_{\gamma \in X} \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( 1 - z^{n_{BS}(\gamma)} e^{-(l+ml)(\gamma)} \right) . \]  

(12)

In particular, this yields \( d_{BS}(s, 1) = Z_X(s) \), and we deduce that \( s \in \text{Res}_X \) implies that \( L_s \) has an eigenvalue equal to 1.

3.2. Generalized zeta function and spectra

The previous discussion on the relation between the spectrum of \( L_s \) and the resonances of the Laplacian, together with the observations from section 2.2 that for three-disk systems rotating eigenvalues of a transfer operator create the resonance chains, would suggest that the resonance chains for the three-funnelled Schottky surfaces are generated by rotating eigenvalues of the Bowen–Series transfer operator \( L_s \). However, this idea fails completely to explain the resonance chains for the three-funnelled symmetric surfaces: In figure 8, we have plotted for \( X_{12,12,12} \) the spectrum of \( L_s \) for different \( s \)-values interpolating between two resonances \( s_1 \) and \( s_2 \). We observe that the eigenvalues start to turn while we move along the chain. However, the eigenvalue that was equal to 1 for \( s = s_1 \) is drawn towards zero, and when we approach \( s = s_2 \) it is another eigenvalue of \( L_s \), which has been coming out from the interior, that creates the next resonance. In order to understand why a direct application of the idea of rotating eigenvalues to the spectrum of the Bowen–Series transfer operator \( L_s \) fails, we recall that for the three-disk system it has been an important argument that the return time of the Poincaré section is very homogeneous. This assumption is, however, not at all fulfilled for the standard Bowen–Series map, which corresponds morally to a Poincaré section\(^9\) indicated by the blue and red lines in figure 7. The return time or return length is thus very inhomogeneous: while on \( X_{12,12,12} \) for the closed geodesics \( \gamma_1 \) and \( \gamma_2 \), the return length is equal to 12, it equals only 6 for the geodesic \( \gamma_3 \) as this geodesic crosses the Poincaré section twice.

\(^9\) To be more precise, a Poincaré section along these two lines would by a bijective hyperbolic map on a two-dimensional space. The Bowen–Series map can then be obtained as the restriction of this Poincaré map to the instable direction, which makes it expanding but not invertible anymore.
Figure 8. Left: a plot of the resonances of $X_{12,12}$. The inset shows the chain structure of the resonances on a large domain in the complex plane. The main plot shows a strong zoom into one chain, such that the individual resonances become visible (blue crosses). The region of the zoom is indicated in the inset by a red rectangle. The coloured dots between the two resonances $s_1 = 0.1150 + 62.82i$ and $s_2 = 0.1150 + 63.34i$ represent a discrete interpolation between the two resonances, following the line suggested by the resonance chains. Right: the spectrum of the Bowen–Series transfer operator with $|z| > 0.2$. The blue crosses represent the spectrum of $L_{s_1}$. By the coloured dots, we follow the evolution of two particular eigenvalues, as the $s$-values evolve as indicated by the coloured points in the left-hand panel. We only follow the evolution of the eigenvalue starting at 1 for $s_1$ and the one ending at 1 for $s_2$. The evolution of the other eigenvalues is not shown for more clarity.

In order to fix this issue we could try to construct another transfer operator that still has the property that its dynamical zeta function is related to the Selberg zeta function but that has a homogeneous return time for the completely symmetric three-funnelled Schottky surface. Such a construction is in principle possible (see [37]); this solution would then, however, be restricted to completely symmetric Schottky surfaces. Non-symmetric surfaces, for which resonance chains have also been observed, would then need a construction of more and more complicated transfer operators. We will thus choose a different approach and work directly with the zeta functions, which turns out to be much more flexible. Looking at equation (12), the choice of the Poincaré section appears only in the order function $n_{BS}$. This order function has been defined as the length of the prime $B$-orbit that is associated to the primitive geodesic $\gamma$, and it is exactly given by the number of times the geodesic passes one of the cut lines in figure 7. Instead of constructing another Poincaré section, we can also directly modify the zeta function and we can introduce for each map $n : P_X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

the generalized zeta function

$$d_{n}(s, z) := \prod_{\gamma \in P_X} \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - v^{n(\gamma)} e^{-(s+m)l(\gamma)}\right).$$

(13)
Figure 9. Left: the same as in figure 8. Right: a plot of the generalized spectrum $\sigma_{(n)}(s)$ with $|z| > 0.2$ for an order function that counts the number of windings around the funnels. The blue crosses represent the spectrum $\sigma_{1}(s)$. By the coloured dots, we follow the evolution of the spectral value, which equals 1 for $s = s_1$. The evolution of the other spectral values is again not plotted.

Of course, for a general order function $n$ it is not directly clear where this product converges and whether it has an analytic extension. For all order functions that will appear in the sequel, one can, however, show that such an analytic extension exists and we refer to [37] for a rigorous proof. The important observation is now that changing the order function only changes the values of the dynamical zeta functions for $z \neq 1$, and the important relation

$$d_n(s, 1) = Z_X(s)$$

is still true. We can therefore define in analogy to the spectrum of the transfer operator (8) the generalized spectrum

$$\sigma_{(n)}(s) := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \ d_n(s, 1/z) = 0 \} .$$

From (14), we conclude that also for this generalized spectrum we have the important relation

$$s \in \text{Res}_X \Rightarrow 1 \in \sigma_{(n)}(s).$$

Thus instead of tracing the eigenvalues of a transfer operator we can follow the generalized spectral values while interpolating between different resonances on one chain. The order function that would correspond to a Poincaré section of the symmetric surface $X_{12, 12, 12}$ with a homogeneous return time counts for each closed geodesic how often it winds around one of the funnels. In figure 9 we traced the generalized spectrum, while we interpolate between the same two resonances as in figure 8 and indeed we observe that the generalized spectral value, which equals 1 for $s = s_1$, starts to turn around zero and creates all the other resonances on this chains by passing through $z = 1$. Additionally, we see in figure 9 that if the $s$-values follow the path that is suggested by the resonance chains, then the generalized spectral value stays on the unit circle. The continuous lines on which the resonances lie can thus be understood by the real analytic variety

$$C_n = \{ (s, z) \in \mathbb{C}^2, \ d_n(s, z) = 0, \ |z| = 1 \} .$$

Precisely, they are again given by $\text{Pr}_s(C_n)$, the projection of this variety on the $s$-component.
This approach of working with a generalized zeta function immediately allows us to understand resonance chains for non-symmetric surfaces as well. These chains have first been observed by Borthwick, for example for the surface $X_{12,13,14}$ (see [3, figure 7]). A homogeneous return time would here require us to cut one turn around the first funnel into 12 pieces, one turn around the second funnel into 13 and a turn around the third funnel into 14 pieces. We therefore define for $n_1 = 12, n_2 = 13, n_3 = 14$ the order function

$$n : \{ \begin{array}{c} P_X \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \\ \gamma \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{3} n_i w_i(\gamma) \end{array} \tag{17}$$

where $w_i(\gamma)$ counts the windings of the geodesic around the $i$-th funnel. Figure 10 shows the generalized spectrum for this order function and it perfectly explains the resonance chains. Again, the spectral value turns once around the unit circle and creates the next resonance on the chains when the $s$ value moves along the chains. Note that the two other spectral values in $\sigma_{s_1}^{(n)}$ (the blue crosses in the left-hand part of figure 10) seem also to lie on the unit circle, which is not the case. Their absolute values are 1.0056 and 1.0032, and they belong to nearby chains, which are visible in the left part of figure 10. There are also further spectral values with an absolute value slightly smaller than one. We however decided to not plot them in figure 10 for more clarity and as a reliable calculation of the generalized spectrum becomes more and
Figure 11. A histogram of the primitive length spectrum for the surface $X_{12,12,12}$. The thin light lines indicate the integer multiples of the base length 12, and one observes that the lengths form clear clusters around these values. This clustering is, however, not perfect as can be seen in the inset where a histogram with a much smaller binsize resolves the peak at a length of around 60.

more complicated for small absolute values of $z$ as the order function $n$ appears with high exponents (see appendix B).

3.3. The length spectrum and existence of resonance chains

From the examples in the previous section, we have learned that the resonance chains for symmetric as well as for asymmetric Schottky surfaces are best understood in terms of the generalized zeta function for a suitable choice of an order function. Up to now we have only presented two examples in (figures 9 and 10) together with a good choice of an order function, but we have not yet clearly worked out under which circumstances it is possible to construct a ‘good’ order function. We will see that this question automatically leads us to a condition on the observability of resonance chains.

The primitive length spectrum, which contains the lengths of all primitive closed geodesics, repeated by multiplicity, can be seen for $X_{12,12,12}$ in figure 11 and for $X_{12,13,14}$ in figure 12. We see that the length spectra of both surfaces form a clear clustering on multiples of a base length $\ell$.

The big difference between these two cases is that the common base length to all clusters is $\ell = 12$ for $X_{12,12,12}$ and $\ell = 1$ for $X_{12,13,14}$. Analyzing the two order functions that we have used in these examples, we observe that they exactly respect this clustering: all geodesics of one cluster are mapped to the same integer, which is given by the multiple of the base length $\ell$ on which the cluster is located. All geodesics that are necessary to be taken into account for the calculation of the resonances fulfil very well the approximation

$$l(\gamma) \approx n(\gamma) \cdot \ell.$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

If this condition is exactly fulfilled, then this will lead, similar to the case of a constant return time in section 2.2, to the existence of straight resonance chains. In fact, under this assumption the generalized zeta function could be written as

$$d_n(s, z) := \prod_{\gamma \in P_x} \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - (ze^{-sf}e^{-m\ell})^{n(\gamma)}\right),$$
Figure 12. A histogram of the primitive length spectrum for the surface $X_{12,13,14}$. The thin light lines indicate the integer multiples of the base length 1, and one observes that the lengths form clear clusters around these values. Again, this clustering is not perfect, as can be seen in the inset where a histogram with a much smaller binsize resolves the peak at a length around 51.

and if $s_0 \in \text{Res}_X$, i.e. $d_n(s_0, 1) = 0$, then for all $b \in \mathbb{R}$ also $d_n(s_0 + ib, e^{ib\ell}) = 0$. This condition, however, directly implies that $s_0 + 2\pi ki/\ell \in \text{Res}_X$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, so all resonances would equidistribute on straight lines with a distance of $2\pi/\ell$. Note that for the hyperbolic cylinder, (18) is exactly fulfilled and the resonance spectrum is known to be ordered along straight lines [2, section 5.1]. For non-elementary surfaces, (18) can, however, only hold approximately, and one thus expects that these straight chains start to bend and that the spacing of the resonances along the chains becomes more irregular.

We therefore can formulate the following hypothesis.

If the primitive length spectrum of an infinite volume Riemannian surface (or an open Euclidean billiard) forms clusters at integer multiples of a base length, i.e. if there is a length $\ell$ and an order function $n : P_X \to \mathbb{N}$ such that (18) holds, then one will observe resonance chains. These chains will be described by the projection $P_s(C_n)$ of the analytic variety $C_n := \{d_n = 0\} \cap \{|z| = 1\}$ to the $s$-component and the resonances will be approximately spaced by a distance of $2\pi/\ell$ on these lines. The worse the approximation (18) is fulfilled, the stronger the chains will bend and the more irregular the resonance distribution on the chains will become.

Of course, (18) cannot hold on the whole length spectrum, but recall that for the calculation of the resonances in a bounded subset of a complex plane, one only needs finitely many geodesics. We thus suppose that the chains will be observable in this bounded domain, if (18) is fulfilled on the geodesics which are necessary for their calculation.

4. Further tests of the hypothesis

We already saw several examples in section 2.2 and 3.2 which support our hypothesis on the existence of resonance chains. We will now test its validity against some more examples. First of all, our condition should be able to explain the non-observability of resonance chains in some systems that are structurally similar to systems that show resonance chains.
Figure 13. Comparison of the resonance structure (left) with the primitive length spectrum (right) of the symmetry reduced three-disk system for $R/a = 3$ (upper plot) and $R/a = 6$ (lower plot). While for $R/a = 6$ one observes a clear clustering of the lengths and an obvious chain structure in the resonances, the lengths equidistribute very quickly and there are no visible chains in the resonance spectrum.

For example, one observes that symmetric three-disk billiards only show resonance chains if the disks are sufficiently far away from each other. The resonance spectrum of the symmetric three-disk billiard with $R/a = 3$ shows no chain structure contrary to the more open case with $R/a = 6$ (see figure 13). This is easily understood by looking at the primitive length spectrum. While for $R/a = 6$ one sees a clear clustering of the lengths, the length spectrum for $R/a = 3$ equidistributes very quickly, and it is impossible to choose an order function which fulfils (18). Our hypothesis also explains why symmetric four-disk systems show, in general, no chain structure (see e.g. figure 13 in [10] for the Ruelle resonances of a four-disk system), whereas the three-dimensional four-sphere billiard shows them: if four disks are distributed on a square, the lengths between two neighbouring disks and the length between diagonal disks are in general not multiples of each other but form two incommensurable base lengths, so it will not be possible to construct a good order function. For the four-sphere billiard where the spheres are placed on a regular tetrahedron, the distance between an arbitrary pair of spheres is equal and, if the spheres are sufficiently far away from each other, one expects a clustering of the length spectrum on multiples of this base length. Exactly in this case of sufficiently open four-sphere billiards, the chain structure has been observed (see figure 5.2–5.9 in [5]).
We will end this section by using the predictive power of our hypothesis in order to identify a new interesting system that shows the coexistence of two chains. As we only demand (18) to hold approximately, there should be examples for which there are different compatible base lengths and order functions, e.g. the surface $X_{12,12,13}$. As can be seen in figure 14, the length spectrum can be either interpreted as forming clusters at multiples of the base length $\ell = 12$ or at multiples of the base length $\ell = 1$. The hypothesis thus predicts two different chain structures: one where the resonances are spaced by a distance of $2\pi/12$ and another one with a larger spacing of $2\pi$. For $\ell = 12$, the approximation (18) is much worse satisfied than for $\ell = 1$. Therefore our hypothesis predicts that the resonance chains belonging to $\ell = 12$ are much more twisted than the chains belonging to $\ell = 1$. As figures 15 and 16 show, all these predictions are observed in the resonance structure. Choosing the order function (17) with $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = 1$, which corresponds to the base length $\ell = 12$, we observe strongly twisted resonance chains as indicated by the red circles in the left-hand part of figure 15. The generalized spectrum $\sigma^{\text{(tw)}}$ of this order function creates these kinds of chains by the rotating spectral values, and if one connects neighbouring resonances on this chain by the continuous line that is suggested by the chain structure, the generalized spectral value moves along the unit circle. This verifies that the chains are described by $\text{Pr}_1(C_n)$. Choosing, however, the order function (17) with $n_1 = n_2 = 12, n_3 = 13$, which is the corresponding choice for the base length $\ell = 1$, we obtain a generalized zeta function that describes a second structure of resonance chains, on which the resonance distances are much larger and that are much straighter (see figure 16).

5. Conclusion

In this article, we presented a unifying approach to the chain structure of quantum resonances, classical Ruelle resonances and geometric resonances. We showed at the example of three-disk systems and Schottky surfaces that the resonance chains can be understood in all three cases by means of a generalized zeta function $d_n(s, z)$, which depends on the choice of an order
Figure 15. Left: the resonances of $X_{12,12,13}$ in the complex plane are marked by crosses. The red circles highlight the resonances on the chain, which belong to the base length $\ell = 12$. The coloured dots between the two resonances $s_1 = 0.07539 + 53.97i$ and $s_2 = 0.08746 + 54.49i$ represent a discrete interpolation between the two resonances following the line suggested by the resonance chains. Right: the generalized spectrum for the order function as defined in (17) with $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = 1$. The blue crosses represent the spectrum $\sigma(n)$ for $|z| > 0.2$. By the coloured dots, we follow the evolution of the spectral value, which equals one, while interpolating the $s$-values between $s_1$ and $s_2$ as indicated by the coloured points on the left.

function $n : P \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ on the set of primitive closed classical orbits $P$. The central property of this generalized zeta function is that, independent of the choice of the order function, the resonances are given by the zeroes of the zeta function $Z(s) = d_n(s, 1)$. We showed that if the order function is chosen such that

$$l(\gamma) \approx n(\gamma)\ell$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

for an arbitrary base length $\ell$, then the second complex variable allows us to interpolate between the resonances of one chain. Furthermore, we demonstrated that the continuous lines on which the resonances are found are given by the projection onto the $s$-component of the real analytic variety

$$C_n = \{d_n(s, z) = 0\} \cap \{|z| = 1\}.$$

The existence of an order function that fulfils the condition (19) does, of course, depend on the structure of the length spectrum of the classical system. This led us to the hypothesis that the existence of resonance chains is directly linked to a clustering of the classical length spectrum that allows us to choose an order function according to (19). We finally validated this hypothesis by presenting several examples of systems with and without resonance chains. In all cases, the resonance chains were found to be linked to a clustering of the length spectrum. Furthermore, we showed that this new understanding allows us to construct scattering systems with a customized resonance chain structure. This control of the resonance chains could be of importance in microdisk cavities where similar resonance chains have already been
Figure 16. Left: the resonances of $X_{12,12,13}$ in the complex plane are marked by crosses. The red circle highlight the resonances on the chain, which belong to the base length $\ell = 1$. The coloured dots between the two resonances $s_1 = 0.07539 + 53.97i$ and $s_2 = 0.07542 + 60.25i$ represent a discrete interpolation between the two resonances following the line suggested by the resonance chains. Right: the generalized spectrum for the order function as defined in (17) with $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = 1$. The blue crosses represent the spectrum $\sigma(n)$ for $|z| > 0.99$. By the coloured dots, we follow the evolution of the spectral value, which equals one, while interpolating the $s$-values between $s_1$ and $s_2$ as indicated by the coloured points on the left.

observed [39], and where a precise control of the resonance position in the complex plane is of great interest for their application as microdisk lasers.

Additionally, this understanding is crucial for fundamental questions in quantum chaos. In chaotic systems the clear clusters in the length spectrum will only exist for short lengths. For larger lengths the clusters become broader and broader and will finally overlap. However, we required the condition (19) only to hold in the length range that is necessary for the calculation of the resonances in the studied frequency regime. In other words, for the existence of resonance chains in a given frequency range, condition (19) only has to hold for the lengths that can be resolved by the Planck cells at this frequency. If one still observes resonance chains, then one has to keep in mind that one is not yet at high enough frequencies to resolve the length spectrum in a regime where the clusters dissolve. This could be especially important for the test of results that are obtained by arguing with phase cancellation, such as the conjectures on the improved spectral gaps [17].

Finally, the study of resonance chains opens a variety of mathematical questions. We numerically observed in this article that the chain structure becomes clearer, the better condition (19) is fulfilled. By studying not just a single system but a whole family of scattering systems, where (19) is increasingly well fulfilled in a certain limit, one can then try to prove the existence of resonance chains asymptotically in this limit. Furthermore, one can try to give precise, simple formulas for the location of these chains. For symmetric three-disk systems this limit would correspond to large $R/\alpha$-values and for Schottky surfaces to large funnel widths. For the latter case, the first results in this direction will be presented in [37].
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Appendix A. Derivation of the product form for the dynamical zeta function

In this appendix, we will recall the derivation of the product form (5) from the definition of the dynamical zeta function, as it was defined in (4) by the flat trace

$$d^{\flat}(z) := \exp \left( - \sum_{n>0} \frac{z^n}{n} \sum_{x \in \text{Fix}(\phi^n)} \frac{V_n(x)}{\left| \det(1 - (D\phi^n)(x)) \right|} \right).$$

For each fixed point $\phi^n(x) = x$, the Jacobian is a hyperbolic, symplectic $2 \times 2$ matrix and it thus has two real eigenvalues $\Lambda_n$ and $1/\Lambda_n$ with $|\Lambda_n| > 1$, and the determinant can be written as

$$\left| \det(1 - (D\phi^n)(x)) \right| = \frac{1}{|\Lambda_n||1 - 1/\Lambda_n|} = |\Lambda_n|^{-1} \left( \sum_{r \geq 0} \Lambda_n^{-r} \right) \left( \sum_{s \geq 0} \Lambda_n^{-s} \right) = |\Lambda_n|^{-1} \sum_{k \geq 0} (k + 1) \Lambda_n^{-k}$$

where for the last equality we used that a positive integer $k$ can be written as $k + 1$ different sums of two positive integers $r$ and $s$.

As a next step we can treat the double sum $\sum_{n>0} \sum_{x \in \text{Fix}(\phi^n)}$, which is simply the sum over all fixed points of $\phi^n$ for an arbitrary length $\phi$. First we note that the iterated product of the potential function $V_n(x)$, as well as the instable eigenvalue $\Lambda_n$, do not depend on the choice of the fixed point in a fixed orbit $\{x, \phi(x), \ldots, \phi^{n-1}(x)\}$. Additionally, we see that if an orbit of length $m \cdot n_p$ is an $m$-times iterate of a primitive orbit of length $n_p$, then the orbit contains $n_p$ fixed points and the iterated product as the instable eigenvalue are the $m$-th power of the values from the primitive orbit

$$V_{m \cdot n_p}(x) = (V_{n_p}(x))^m$$

This allows us to write the double sum $\sum_{n>0} \sum_{x \in \text{Fix}(\phi^n)}$ as a double sum over all primitive periodic orbits and their repetitions $\sum_{p \in P} \sum_{m>0}$, and we obtain

$$d^{\flat}(z) = \exp \left( - \sum_{k \geq 0} (k + 1) \sum_{m>0} \frac{z^{n_p \cdot m}}{m} \sum_{x \in \text{Fix}(\phi^{n_p})} V_{n_p}(x) \left| \Lambda_n \right|^{-1} \Lambda_n^{-k} \right)$$

$$= \exp \left( - \sum_{k \geq 0} (k + 1) \sum_{p \in P} \sum_{m>0} \frac{z^{n_p \cdot m}}{m} (V_{n_p}(x))^m \left( \left| \Lambda_n \cdot \Lambda_{n_p}^{-k} \right| \right)^m \right)$$
\[ \prod_{k \geq 0} \prod_{p \in P} \left[ \exp \left( - \sum_{m > 0} \frac{\left( z^m V_n(x) |\Lambda_n|^{-1} \Lambda_n^{m+k} \right)^m}{m} \right) \right]^{k+1} \]

\[ \prod_{k \geq 0} \prod_{p \in P} \left[ 1 - z^n V_n(x) |\Lambda_n|^{k+1} \right] \]

where we used the Taylor series of \( \log(1 - x) \) in the last equality.

**Appendix B. Numerical considerations for the calculation of the generalized spectrum \( \sigma^{(n)}_s \)**

In this appendix, we will shortly recall how the resonance spectrum on Schottky surfaces is usually calculated (see [3,14,18] for more details) and what modifications have to be performed for the calculation of the generalized zeta function and the generalized spectrum.

Usually, the resonance spectrum is obtained by Taylor expanding (11) in \( z \) around zero

\[ d_{BS}(s, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^k b_k(s). \]

The Taylor coefficients are then explicitly given by [18, proposition 8]

\[ b_k(s) = \sum_{r=1}^{k} \sum_{(n_1, \ldots, n_r) \in P(k, r)} \frac{(-1)^r}{r!} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{u \in \text{Fix}(B^{n_i})} \frac{(B^{n_i})'(u)^{-s}}{1 - (B^{n_i})'(u)} \]

where \( P(k, r) \) are all \( r \)-partitions of \( k \), i.e. all \( r \)-tuples of integers whose sum equals \( k \). The numerical task in order to calculate these coefficients then consists of calculating the stabilities \( (B^{n_i})'(u) \) of sufficiently many fixed points. For a three-funnelled Schottky surface \( X_{l_1, l_2, l_3} \), this can efficiently be done using the generators of the corresponding Schottky group and a symbolic dynamic. For \( X_{l_1, l_2, l_3} \), the generators can be written as

\[ S_1 = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \cosh(l_1/2) & \sinh(l_1/2) \\ \sinh(l_1/2) & \cosh(l_1/2) \end{array} \right), \quad S_2 = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \cosh(l_2/2) & a \sinh(l_2/2) \\ a^{-1} \sinh(l_2/2) & \cosh(l_2/2) \end{array} \right), \]

where the parameter \( a \) is chosen such that \( \text{Tr}(S_1 S_2^{-1}) = -2 \cosh(l_3/2) \), and as usual one writes \( S_3 = S_1^{-1} \) and \( S_4 = S_2^{-1} \). If we then define the set of words of length \( n \) by

\[ W_n := \{ w \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}^n, \ |w_{j+1} - w_j| \neq r \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, n-1 \text{ and } |w_1 - w_n| \neq r \} \]

then we can define for each \( w \in W_n \) the hyperbolic isometry

\[ S_w := S_{w_1} S_{w_2} \ldots S_{w_n}. \]

One can then easily show from the definition of the Bowen–Series maps [2, section 15.2] that for each \( u \in \text{Fix}(B^n) \) there is exactly one \( w \in W_n \) and

\[ \Lambda(u) := 2 \cosh^{-1} \left( \frac{|\text{Tr}(S_w)|}{2} \right) = (B^n)'(u) \]

which allows an efficient calculation of (B.1) and thus of \( d_{BS}(s, z) \).

For the calculation of \( d_n(s, z) \), one analogously has to Taylor-expand the zeta function in \( z \) around zero

\[ d_n(s, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^k b_k^{(n)}(s). \]
Then one has to calculate the new Taylor coefficients \( b_k^{(n)}(s) \). As we want to use the word coding to calculate the lengths of the geodesics or the stabilities \( (B^n)(u) \), respectively, we first have to transfer the order function \( n : P_{X_1(t_1, t_2, t_3)} \rightarrow N \) to an order function on \( W = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} W_n \).

This transformation can be obtained via the correspondence between closed geodesics on \( X_{t_1, t_2, t_3} \) and fixed points of \( B \) [2, Proposition 15.5]. Using this identification, one calculates that the order function as defined in (17) is then given by

\[
n(u) = n(w_1, w_2) + n(w_3, w_4) + \ldots + n(w_n, w_1)
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
n(1, 1) &= n(3, 3) = n_1, \quad n(2, 2) = n(4, 4) = n_1, \\
n(1, 4) &= n(4, 1) = n(2, 3) = n(3, 2) = n_3/2, \\
n(1, 2) &= n(2, 1) = n(3, 4) = n(4, 3) = (n_1 + n_2)/2.
\end{align*}
\]

The Bowen–Series order function \( n_{BS} \) can be translated much more easily. From its definition in section 3.1, it immediately follows, that \( n_{BS}(w) = n \) for all \( w \in W_n \).

Using the order function on the words as well as the identification of fixed points and words, equation (11) can be written as

\[
d_{BS}(s, z) = \exp \left( - \sum_{j > 0} \frac{1}{j} \sum_{w \in W_j} z^{n_{BS}(w)} \frac{\Lambda(w)^{-s}}{1 - \Lambda(w)^{-1}} \right).
\]

Starting with (13) and reversing the argumentation of appendix A, the generalized zeta function \( d_n(s, z) \) can be written as

\[
d_n(s, z) = \exp \left( - \sum_{j > 0} \frac{1}{j} \sum_{w \in W_j} z^{n(w)} \frac{\Lambda(w)^{-s}}{1 - \Lambda(w)^{-1}} \right).
\]

An analogous calculation to those in [18, proposition 8] then gives us the formula for the Taylor coefficients

\[
b_k^{(n)}(s) = \sum_{r=1}^{k} \sum_{(n_1, \ldots, n_r) \in P(k, r)} \frac{(-1)^r}{r!} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j > 0} \frac{1}{j} \sum_{w \in W_j, \text{s.t. } n(w) = n_i} \frac{\Lambda(w)^{-s}}{1 - \Lambda(w)^{-1}}.
\]

The stabilities \( \Lambda(w) \) can be calculated by the generators \( S_j \) of the Schottky group as described above, and in order to simplify the combinatorial task of building together the Taylor coefficients \( b_k^{(n)}(s) \) from these stabilities we can use the same recurrence trick as presented in [14]. We therefore write

\[
B_{k, r}^{(n)}(s) := \sum_{(n_1, \ldots, n_r) \in P(k, r)} \frac{(-1)^r}{r!} \prod_{i=1}^{r} d_n^{(n_i)}(s)
\]

and

\[
a_n^{(n)}(s) := \sum_{j > 0} \frac{1}{j} \sum_{w \in W_j, \text{s.t. } n(w) = n} \frac{\Lambda(w)^{-s}}{1 - \Lambda(w)}.
\]

We can then use the recurrence relations

\[
B_{k, r}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{l=1}^{k-r+1} B_{k-l, r-l-1}^{(n)}(s) a_l^{(n)}(s).
\]

The only difference in calculating the generalized zeta function compared to the dynamical zeta function of the Bowen–Series maps thus consists of the modified formula for the functions \( a_n^{(n)}(s) \).
Note that as \( d_n(s, z) \) is analytic, the Taylor coefficients \( b_k^{(n)}(s) \) decay superexponentially in \( k \), and theoretically one can compute \( d_n(s, z) \) for arbitrary \((s, z)\). Practically, however, the convergence becomes worse and worse, the smaller \( \text{Re}(s) \) and the bigger \( |z| \) become. Especially for order functions (17) with larger values of \( n_1, n_2, n_3 \) as they appeared for example for the surfaces \( X_{12,13,14} \), the convergence depends very strongly on \( |z| \). This implies that a reliable calculation of \( d_n \) is only possible for \( z \) values that are slightly greater then 1. In terms of the generalized spectrum, this implies that the numerical calculation is only possible for \( z \) slightly smaller then 1. As the interesting region for the understanding of the resonance chains is, however, the unit circle, this is no severe problem for the numerical investigations presented in this article.

Appendix C. Topological pressure and analyticity of \( d^{(b)}(s,z) \)

The topological pressure of a three-disk system is a function \( P(\beta) \) of a positive parameter \( \beta > 0 \) that describes the convergence behaviour of certain zeta functions. Writing

\[
V_\beta^s(x) := |\Lambda_1(x)|^{1-\beta} e^{-s\tau(x)}
\]

the topological pressure of the three-disk system can be defined [12] to be the real number \( P(\beta) \) such that

\[
d_\beta(s) := \det(1 - L_{V_\beta^s}) = \exp \left( -\sum_{n>0} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \in \text{Fix}(\phi^n)} \frac{(V_\beta^s)_n(x)}{\det(1 - (D\phi^n)(x))} \right)
\]

is absolutely convergent for \( \text{Re}(s) > P(\beta) \). This directly implies that \( d^{(a)} \) has no zeroes for \( \text{Re}(s) > P(1/2) \) and that \( d^{(b)}(s) \) has no zeroes for \( \text{Re}(s) > P(3/2) \). For a symmetric three-disk system with \( R/a = 6 \), this value of the topological pressure is given by

\[
P(3/2) = -0.699.
\]

Consequently, all zeroes of the Gutzwiller–Voros zeta function \( ZGV(s,1) \) with \( \text{Re}(s) > -0.699 \) are automatically zeroes of the Fredholm determinant \( d^{(a)}(s,1) \), and can thus be interpreted by the spectrum of the transfer operator \( L_{V_\beta^s} \). Note that all of the numerical investigations presented in this article are within this \( s \)-range.
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