Dynamic Localization of the DNA Replication Proteins MCM5 and MCM7 in Plants
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Genome integrity in eukaryotes depends on licensing mechanisms that prevent loading of the minichromosome maintenance complex (MCM2-7) onto replicated DNA during S phase. Although the principle of licensing appears to be conserved across all eukaryotes, the mechanisms that control it vary, and it is not clear how licensing is regulated in plants. In this work, we demonstrate that subunits of the MCM2-7 complex are coordinately expressed during Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) development and are abundant in proliferating and endocycling tissues, indicative of a role in DNA replication. We show that endogenous MCM5 and MCM7 proteins are localized in the nucleus during G1, S, and G2 phases of the cell cycle and are released into the cytoplasmic compartment during mitosis. We also show that MCM5 and MCM7 are topologically constrained on DNA and that the MCM complex is stable under high-salt conditions. Our results are consistent with a conserved replicative helicase function for the MCM complex in plants but not with the idea that plants resemble budding yeast by actively exporting the MCM complex from the nucleus to prevent unauthorized origin licensing and rereplication during S phase. Instead, our data show that, like other higher eukaryotes, the MCM complex in plants remains in the nucleus throughout most of the cell cycle and is only dispersed in mitotic cells.

Eukaryotic genomes can be very large (up to $10^{11}$ nucleotides; Gregory et al., 2007) and are typically organized into multiple linear chromosomes. To replicate an entire genome, DNA replication forks initiate from hundreds to thousands of sites known as origins of replication. This parallel processing strategy enables efficient replication but also demands that strict regulatory mechanisms are in place to ensure that each piece of the genome is replicated precisely once per cell division cycle. DNA replication origins are restricted to a single firing event per cell division cycle by a “licensing” mechanism that separates initiation into two discrete steps: origin selection and origin activation. While the principle of licensing is conserved across eukaryotes, the key regulatory proteins and mechanisms that control it vary. It is not clear how this process is regulated in plants.

A generalized eukaryotic licensing model based on yeast and animal systems has been proposed (Dutta and Bell, 1997; Waga and Stillman, 1998; Bell and Dutta, 2002). In this model, origin selection occurs in late M and early G1 phases of the cell cycle when the six-subunit origin recognition complex (ORC1-6) binds to origin DNA. DNA-bound ORC recruits the CDC6 (for cell division cycle 6) and CDT1 (for cdc10-dependent transcript 1) proteins in early G1, and together, these proteins facilitate the loading of multiple copies of the putative replicative helicase complex (minichromosome maintenance complex [MCM2-7]) onto the origin (Randell et al., 2006; Ranjan and Gossen, 2006; Bochman and Schwacha, 2008).

The MCM complex consists of six different subunits (MCM2–MCM7) that interact to form a ring-shaped heterohexamer with a central channel large enough to encircle DNA (Adachi et al., 1997; Kearsey and Labib, 1998; Pape et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2008). After loading onto DNA, the MCM complex interacts with CDC45 and GINS to unwind DNA at the replication fork (Gambus et al., 2006; Moyer et al., 2006; Pacek et al., 2006; Chang et al., 2007). Each of the MCM2 to MCM7 proteins contains several highly conserved sequence features, including an ATP hydrolysis domain, an Arg finger motif, and a zinc-binding domain (Maiorano et al., 2006). Based on these features, putative homologs of the MCM2 to MCM7 proteins have been identified in diverse organisms including fungi, animals, archaea, and plants (Forsburg, 2004; Shultz et al., 2007).

Once the MCM complex has been loaded, origins are licensed to replicate and any site containing the MCM complex has the potential to form an active DNA replication fork (Bell and Dutta, 2002). As the
replication fork progresses, the MCM complex is released into the nucleoplasm and must be prevented from reloading onto nascent DNA, as unauthorized loading can result in rereplication (Fujita et al., 1996; Tsuruga et al., 1997; Kearsey and Labib, 1998; Namdar and Kearsey, 2006). Distinct mechanisms have evolved to prevent MCM reloading during S phase in budding yeast and animals.

In budding yeast, multiple mechanisms regulate licensing. Two ORC subunits, ORC2 and ORC6, are inactivated by cyclin-dependent kinase-mediated phosphorylation in S phase (Nguyen et al., 2001; Vas et al., 2001). ORC6 is subject to additional regulation by direct interaction with the S phase cyclin CLB2 (Moll et al., 1991; Drury et al., 1997, 2000; Mimura et al., 2004). In addition, CDT1 and the MCM complex are actively exported from the nucleus during S phase, which prevents their association with replicated DNA (Tanaka and Diffley, 2002; Liku et al., 2005). After mitosis is complete, MCM is imported gradually back into the nucleus and reloaded onto the DNA in preparation for the next S phase. Simultaneous deregulation of each of these mechanisms is required for detectable rereplication (Nguyen et al., 2001; Vas et al., 2001).

In animal systems, the MCM complex remains in the nucleus during S phase but its loading is prevented by inactivation of the MCM loading factor, CDT1 (Diffley, 2004; Blow and Dutta, 2005; Kerns et al., 2007). As animal cells enter mitosis, the MCM complex is briefly dispersed into the cytoplasm followed by reassociation with chromatin in late anaphase (Todorov et al., 1994; Coue et al., 1996; Schulte et al., 1996; Su and O’Farrell, 1997). CDT1 is the primary target for licensing control in animals, and deregulation of CDT1 can induce rereplication (Melixetian et al., 2004; Nishitani et al., 2004; Thomer et al., 2004; Teer and Dutta, 2008). CDT1 activity is regulated by direct interaction with the Geminin protein and by ubiquitin-targeted proteolysis (Wohlschlegel et al., 2000; Fujita, 2006; Kim and Kipreos, 2007). Geminin levels fluctuate through the cell cycle, reaching a maximum in S, G2, and M phases when licensing is prohibited (Wohlschlegel et al., 2000).

MCM subunits have been identified in diverse plant genomes, including Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana; Springer et al., 1995; Stevens et al., 2002; Masuda et al., 2004; Dresselhaus et al., 2006; Shultz et al., 2007), rice (Oryza sativa; Shultz et al., 2007), maize (Zea mays; Sabelli et al., 1996, 1999; Bastida and Puigdomenech, 2002; Dresselhaus et al., 2006), and tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum; Dambrauskas et al., 2003). Consistent with a role in DNA replication, MCM genes from Arabidopsis (Springer et al., 1995, 2000; Holding and Springer, 2002; Stevens et al., 2002) and maize (Sabelli et al., 1996; Bastida and Puigdomenech, 2002; Dresselhaus et al., 2006) are preferentially expressed in young tissues that contain a high number of replicating cells. Homozygous mutants of the Arabidopsis MCM7 homolog, PRL, are embryonic lethal (Springer et al., 2000), and heterozygous mutants display improper cytokinesis that is likely related to defects in S phase progression (Holding and Springer, 2002). Several reports have suggested that MCM dynamics in plants resemble those in budding yeast (Springer et al., 2000; Dresselhaus et al., 2006; Takahashi et al., 2008). In this report, we analyzed the expression, subcellular location, and chromatin-binding properties of MCM subunits to determine whether active MCM export during S phase plays a role in regulating licensing in plants.

RESULTS
Arabidopsis MCM Complex Subunits Are Developmentally Regulated

Because the MCM2-7 complex is predicted to function as a heterohexamer at the replication fork, we examined the expression profile for each of the six subunits across various stages of Arabidopsis vegetative and floral development. Relative transcript levels were determined by real-time quantitative reverse transcription (RT)-PCR and normalized using the seedling values (Fig. 1A). Seedling values were chosen for normalization because expression levels were in the middle of the detected range. The pattern of MCM gene expression generally followed the pattern of Arabidopsis Cyclin B1;1 (CYCB1;1; Fig. 1A), which encodes a B-type cyclin that is a marker for cell proliferation (Ferreira et al., 1994). MCM and CYCB1;1 mRNAs were most abundant in cultured cells, shoot apices, and flower buds, which contain mitotic and endocycling cells (Galbraith et al., 1991; Barow, 2006). The cell culture was sampled during the exponential stage of growth and, based on CYCB1;1 expression, contained a comparable fraction of replicating cells as the microdissected shoot apical region. The lowest signals were detected in mature and senescing leaves, consistent with the absence of DNA replication in these tissues.

Comparison of the relative transcript levels across the various plant tissues revealed correlations ranging from 0.99 (MCM3 versus MCM5) to 0.91 (MCM4 versus MCM6; Supplemental Table S4), indicating that expression of the MCM2 to MCM7 genes is tightly coordinated. The one exception was MCM2 expression in cultured cells, which was 20-fold higher than in seedlings, while MCM3 to MCM7 expression was only 3- to 6-fold higher (Fig. 1A; Supplemental Table S3). This difference was not observed in shoot apices, where expression of the MCM genes, including MCM2, were 3- to 5-fold higher than in seedlings. The high levels of MCM2 mRNA in cultured cells were reproducibly detected in separate experiments, suggesting that MCM2 expression is deregulated in the cultured Arabidopsis cells. We do not know whether
deregulation of MCM2 transcription has functional implications, but there are numerous reports of MCM overexpression in cancer cells (Lei, 2005; Honeycutt et al., 2006; Mukherjee et al., 2007; Winnepenninckx and Van den Oord, 2007; Scarpini et al., 2008).

We asked if MCM mRNA and protein levels change in parallel during Arabidopsis development. For these experiments, we generated polyclonal antibodies against recombinant Arabidopsis MCM5 and MCM7 proteins. The antibodies specifically recognized recombinant MCM5 and MCM7 protein abundance in equivalent tissues to those used in A. Total protein extract (50 μg) from cultured cells (lane 1), seedling (2 weeks; lane 2), shoot apex (lane 3), elongating leaf (<1 cm; lane 4), mature leaf (>2 cm; lane 5), senescing leaf (lane 6), cauline leaf (>2 cm; lane 7), cauline leaf (<1 cm; lane 8), flower bud (lane 9), open flower (lane 10), and silique (lane 11) was resolved by SDS-PAGE, and the blots were probed with polyclonal antibodies specific for Arabidopsis MCM5 (top) and MCM7 (middle) proteins. A nonspecific band that reacted with the secondary antibody was used as a loading control (bottom).

Figure 1. Expression of the Arabidopsis MCM2-7 complex is coregulated during development. A, Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of MCM2-7 mRNA abundance in Arabidopsis vegetative (shaded green) and floral (shaded blue) tissues and in suspension cell culture (shaded yellow). The tissue types listed at the top are described in “Materials and Methods.” Each reaction was performed in triplicate, and se calculations are reported in Supplemental Table S3. All values were normalized to Ubiquitin-Conjugating Enzyme (At5g25760), and relative levels are scaled to expression in seedlings. CYCB1;1 (At4g37490) was used as a marker for cell proliferation. The abundance of MCM2 transcripts in cultured cells was verified in multiple experiments. B, Immunoblot analysis of MCM5 and MCM7 protein abundance in equivalent tissues to those used in A. Total protein extract (50 μg) from cultured cells (lane 1), seedling (2 weeks; lane 2), shoot apex (lane 3), elongating leaf (<1 cm; lane 4), mature leaf (>2 cm; lane 5), senescing leaf (lane 6), cauline leaf (>2 cm; lane 7), cauline leaf (<1 cm; lane 8), flower bud (lane 9), open flower (lane 10), and silique (lane 11) was resolved by SDS-PAGE, and the blots were probed with polyclonal antibodies specific for Arabidopsis MCM5 (top) and MCM7 (middle) proteins. A nonspecific band that reacted with the secondary antibody was used as a loading control (bottom).

MCM5 and MCM7 Display Similar Localization Patterns

To better understand the functional organization of the MCM complex in plants, we investigated the subcellular localization of endogenous MCM5 and MCM7 proteins. Immunoperoxidase staining was used to visualize MCM5 and MCM7 proteins in cultured cells derived from Arabidopsis (Fig. 2, A–C) and tobacco (Fig. 2, D–F). The localization patterns of MCM5 and MCM7 were consistent with nuclear compartmentalization in the majority of cells from both species, and the pattern was clearly different from the diffuse background staining obtained using normal
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rabbit control serum (Fig. 2, C and F). In a small fraction of cells, a clear nuclear signal could not be discerned. Because MCM dynamics are related to cell cycle stage in yeast and animal systems, we used immunofluorescence microscopy to visualize DNA in conjunction with the MCMs in tobacco cells (Fig. 2, G–N). Consistent with the immunoperoxidase results, both MCM5 (green in Fig. 2, G and H) and MCM7 (green in Fig. 2, K and L) colocalized with 4',6-diamidino-phenylindole (DAPI)-stained DNA (blue) in the majority of cells. In a small fraction of the cells, the MCM5 and MCM7 signals were diffuse throughout the cell and did not colocalize with DNA (marked by arrows). In these cells, condensed chromosomes characteristic of mitotic cells were visible. The correlation between the presence of condensed chromosomes and the diffuse MCM5 and MCM7 signals was observed in many cells through multiple experiments, suggesting that MCM is dispersed during mitosis.

We then examined the subcellular localization patterns of MCM5 and MCM7 in Nicotiana benthamiana root tips, which contain proliferating cell populations (Fig. 3). N. benthamiana was chosen because the root tips are large enough to generate 30-μm longitudinal vibratome sections. For these experiments, histone H1 (blue fluorescence) was used as a marker for chromatin rather than DAPI staining the DNA. Because histone H1 is detected using an antibody as opposed to a small dye molecule, this strategy allowed us to conclude that an absence of MCM signal on condensed chromosomes was not the result of inaccessibility to the antibody complex. As in cultured cells, both MCM5 (Fig. 3, A–D) and MCM7 (3, E–H) colocalized with chromatin in most root tip cells, but not when mitotic figures were visible (mitotic figures are marked with arrows and asterisks). The dim H1 signal in the central core of the root tip in Figure 3B is characteristic of poor antibody penetration, most likely due to incomplete vibratome sectioning. At high magnification (Fig. 3, D and H), the two patterns of MCM5 and MCM7 localization were easily distinguishable and exclusion of MCM from condensed chromatin was apparent. These results again suggested that MCM5 and MCM7 are in the nucleus throughout most of the cell cycle and are displaced for only a brief period during mitosis. In addition, the strong similarity between our results for root tips and suspension cells shows that the results in Figure 2 do not arise from a cell culture artifact.

MCM5 and MCM7 Are in G1, S, and G2/M Nuclei

To further investigate the point in the cell cycle at which MCM5 and MCM7 shift from the nuclear to the nonnuclear compartments, we used fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) to isolate Arabidopsis nuclei.
with G1, S, or G2/M DNA content (Fig. 4A). The FACS technology does not distinguish between cells in G2 and early mitosis, both of which have 4C DNA content. Nuclei were isolated from formaldehyde-cross-linked, 4-d-old Arabidopsis suspension cells, stained with DAPI, and sorted using a UV laser (355 nm). After reversal of the cross-linking, the protein equivalent of 200,000 nuclei was resolved by SDS-PAGE for each sample and MCM levels were monitored by immunoblot analysis. MCM5 and MCM7 were detected in the G1 (Fig. 4B, lane 1), S (Fig. 4B, lane 2), and G2/M (Fig. 4B, lane 3) nuclei. We used a commercial antibody against the cytoplasmic marker, UDP-Glc pyrophosphorylase (UGPase), to assess the purity of the nuclear extracts. The UGPase antibody detected a band of the expected size in whole cell extracts (Fig. 4C, lanes 1 and 3) but not in G1 (Fig. 4C, lane 2) or G2/M (Fig. 4C, lane 4) nuclear extracts, while the MCM5 antibody cross-reacted with a band in both extracts. These results established that MCM5 and MCM7 proteins are in nuclei with 4C as well as 2C DNA content. They are also consistent with our immunohistochemical experiments showing that the MCM proteins are in the nuclei of most cells and that their release is associated with chromosomal condensation characteristic of mitosis. Interestingly, the MCM5 and MCM7 signals increased from G1 through S, and the G2 signals were nearly double those in G1 nuclei (Fig. 4B, compare lanes 1 and 3). This observation suggested a direct relationship between MCM abundance and DNA content, which also doubles from G1 to G2.

Association of MCM and ORC Proteins with Chromatin

The predominantly nuclear localization pattern of MCM5 and MCM7 prompted us to examine MCM-chromatin interactions. Whole cell extracts were prepared from asynchronous Arabidopsis cultured cells and separated into soluble (S1) and pellet (P1) fractions by low-speed centrifugation (Fig. 5A). The S1 fraction includes Triton-soluble proteins from the nucleoplasm and the cytoplasmic compartment, while the P1 fraction contains proteins bound to chromatin and the nuclear matrix. Immunoblot analysis (Fig. 5B) indicated that the chromatin marker protein UGPase partitioned to the S1 sample, while the chromatin marker protein HMGA (for high mobility group A) was most abundant in the P1 sample, thereby validating the quality of the fractionation. ORC2 displayed a similar distribution between the S1 and P1 fractions as HMGA, while MCM5, MCM7, and ORC1 were found primarily in the S1 fraction (Fig. 5B, lane 2). The whole cell extract and S1 signals were of similar intensities for MCM5, MCM7, and ORC1 (Fig. 5B, compare lanes 1 and 2), indicating that most of these proteins are not bound to chromatin in asynchronous cells.

In other eukaryotes, the MCM2-7 complex forms a heterohexameric ring that is topologically constrained on the DNA (Maiorano et al., 2006). To determine whether Arabidopsis MCM proteins are similarly constrained, we treated the P1 fraction with DNase I (Fig. 5, C and D). Soluble (S2) and nuclease-resistant (P2) proteins were then separated by centrifugation and visualized on immunoblots. DNase I treatment resulted in limited DNA digestion (Fig. 5D, lane 2) and did not release the chromatin protein HMGA (Fig. 5C). The majority of ORC2 and approximately 50% of ORC1 also remained in the nuclease-resistant P2 fraction (Fig. 5C, lane 4). In contrast, MCM5 and MCM7 were nearly completely released upon DNase I treatment (Fig. 5C). This result indicated that MCM is retained in the P1 fraction by a topological interaction with DNA rather than by binding to insoluble chromatin or nuclear matrix proteins.

![Figure 3. Localization of endogenous MCM5 and MCM7 proteins in N. benthamiana root tips. Confocal microscopy was used to visualize immunofluorescence-labeled MCM5 (green in A, C, and D), MCM7 (green in E, G, and H), and histone H1 (blue) in longitudinal sections of root tips (approximately 30 μm thick). MCM5 (C) and MCM7 (G) colocalized with DNA in most cells. When condensed chromosomes were visible, MCM5 (arrows in A–D) and MCM7 (arrows and asterisks in E–H) did not colocalize with DNA. At high magnification (D and H), nuclear and nonnuclear localization patterns are clearly distinct. Bars = 20 μm (A–C), 10 μm (D), 50 μm (E–G), and 10 μm (H).](shultzetal/figure3.png)
Next, we investigated the stability of the DNA replication initiation complex by treating the P1 fraction with increasing concentrations of NaCl (Fig. 5A). Again, soluble (S2) and salt-resistant (P2) proteins were then separated by centrifugation and visualized on immunoblots (Fig. 5E). Significant fractions of both MCM5 and MCM7 were highly salt resistant, remaining in the P2 fraction at 500 mM NaCl (Fig. 5E, lane 12). Approximately 50% of MCM5 that copurified with the P1 fraction was released at 100 mM NaCl (Fig. 5E, lane 3), while a significant fraction of MCM7 remained stably associated with the pellet in this treatment. In contrast, nearly all of the ORC1 protein was extracted by the 100 mM NaCl treatment (Fig. 5E, lanes 3 and 4) and ORC2 was removed at 200 mM NaCl.

**Figure 4.** Arabidopsis MCM5 and MCM7 proteins are nuclear in G1, S, and G2/M cells. A, Nuclei were isolated from formaldehyde-cross-linked Arabidopsis suspension cells and sorted into G1, S, and G2/M populations based on DNA content by FACS. B, After cross-link reversal, proteins from 200,000 nuclei in G1 (lane 1), S (lane 2), and G2/M (lane 3) phases of the cell cycle were resolved by SDS-PAGE, and the blots were probed with anti-MCM5 (top) and anti-MCM7 (bottom) antibodies. C, Cytoplasmic contamination did not account for the presence of MCM5 and MCM7 in G2/M phase nuclei. Proteins from FACS-sorted G1 (lane 2) and G2/M (lane 4) nuclei and from whole cell extract (WCE; lanes 1 and 3) were resolved by SDS-PAGE. Blots were probed with anti-MCM5 (top) and anti-UGPase (bottom) antibodies.

**Figure 5.** Chromatin binding of DNA replication initiation proteins in asynchronous Arabidopsis cultured cells. A, Scheme of the biochemical fractionation methods. B, An asynchronous culture of Arabidopsis cells was subjected to the fractionation described in A. Proteins (50 μg) from the whole cell extract (WCE) and volume equivalents from the non-chromatin-associated (S1) and chromatin-bound (P1) fractions were resolved by SDS-PAGE, and the blots were probed with the indicated antibodies. C, The purified chromatin fraction (P1) was incubated with or without 1 unit of DNase I for 30 min at 25°C and fractionated as in A. Volume equivalents from the soluble (S2) and pellet (P2) fractions were resolved by SDS-PAGE, and blots were probed with the indicated antibodies. D, DNA was extracted from samples processed as in C, resolved on a 1% agarose gel, and visualized with ethidium bromide staining. E, The purified chromatin fraction (P1) was treated with the indicated concentrations of NaCl for 10 min at 4°C and fractionated as in A. Volume equivalents from the soluble (S2) and pellet (P2) fractions were resolved by SDS-PAGE, and blots were probed with the indicated antibodies.
to 300 mM NaCl (Fig. 5E, lanes 5–8). Together, these results demonstrated that the stabilities of the Arabidopsis MCM and ORC chromatin complexes differ significantly. The very tight association of MCM5 and MCM7 proteins is indicative of the formation of a topologically constrained MCM/DNA complex in Arabidopsis, as has been observed in other eukaryotes.

DISCUSSION

In previous work, we demonstrated that the core eukaryotic DNA replication machinery is conserved in plants (Shultz et al., 2007). In this study, we characterized the expression profile, localization pattern, and chromatin-binding properties of the putative replicative helicase complex, MCM2-7. Our results show that the Arabidopsis MCM2-7 complex is preferentially expressed in proliferating tissues and that two subunits, MCM5 and MCM7, are topologically loaded onto DNA. This behavior supports a conserved role for the MCM complex in licensing and DNA replication in plants. Our experiments also demonstrate that the MCM5 and MCM7 proteins are located in the nucleus throughout the plant cell division cycle except during chromosome condensation in mitosis, when they are dispersed throughout the cell. These results strongly suggest that, unlike budding yeast, plants do not regulate origin licensing by actively exporting the MCM complex from the nucleus during S phase. Instead, the plant MCM localization patterns resemble those in animals, which rely on other mechanisms to control licensing.

In yeast and animals, the MCM2 to MCM7 proteins function as a heterohexameric complex at the DNA replication fork (Maiorano et al., 2006; Bochman and Schwacha, 2008; Kanter et al., 2008), and each subunit is present in approximately stoichiometric quantities (Crevel et al., 2001; Forsburg, 2004; Namdar and Kearsey, 2006). Previous studies in Arabidopsis and maize showed that MCM3 (Sabelli et al., 1996; Stevens et al., 2002), MCM6 (Dresselhaus et al., 2006), and MCM7 (Springer et al., 1995, 2000; Bastida and Puigmomenech, 2002; Holding and Springer, 2002) are expressed in young tissues that contain actively replicating cells, but it has not been clear whether the entire complex is coordinately expressed. We showed that the relative mRNA abundance for each of the six MCM subunits was highly correlated with replication potential and that the entire complex was coordinately expressed across 11 tissue types representing various stages of Arabidopsis vegetative and floral development (Fig. 1; Supplemental Table S4). Furthermore, we have demonstrated that MCM5 and MCM7 protein accumulation patterns mirror their steady-state mRNA levels. Together, these results suggest that MCM2-7 expression is coordinated during plant development, possibly at the level of transcription.

The E2F/DP transcription factor family regulates many genes involved in DNA replication and cell cycle control (De Veylder et al., 2002; Ramirez-Parra et al., 2003). Arabidopsis MCM2 to MCM5 and MCM7 genes contain E2F consensus sites in their promoters, and their transcripts are elevated in plants ectopically expressing E2FA/DPA (Stevens et al., 2002; Vandepoele et al., 2005). We found an E2F consensus motif in the Arabidopsis MCM6 promoter (R.W. Shultz, unpublished data), suggesting that it is also regulated by the E2F/DP pathway. Interestingly, our data indicated that MCM2, but not the other MCM genes, is overexpressed in cultured cells. We validated this result with multiple experiments and by mining an independently conducted microarray data set that analyzed transcript levels in the same Arabidopsis cell line (Tanurdzic et al., 2008). MCM2 overexpression suggested that other mechanisms may also affect the regulation of MCM transcript abundance and underscores that caution must be used when cultured plant cells are employed as models for studying plant DNA replication.

In budding yeast, MCM activity is modulated in part by active export from the nucleus during S phase (Sherman and Forsburg, 1998; Forsburg, 2004), while in animals it is dispersed only upon nuclear envelope breakdown in mitosis (Forsburg, 2004). We generated several lines of evidence indicating that MCM dynamics in plants are more similar to those in animals. First, immunofluorescence microscopy of endogenous MCM5 and MCM7 proteins in both Arabidopsis and Nicotiana tissues revealed that the vast majority of cells display an exclusively nuclear localization pattern (Figs. 2 and 3). The amount of time spent in each phase of the cell cycle is remarkably constant across different tissues and plant species, with G2 and M constituting approximately one-third of the entire cycle (Kidd et al., 1987; Baskin, 2000; Menges et al., 2005). If the MCM complex were exported during S phase and excluded from the nucleus in G2 and M, at least one-third of the cell population should show dispersed localization, which is inconsistent with our results. Second, we observed a high correlation between mitotic figures and nonnuclear localization of MCM, as would be predicted for passive release during mitosis. Finally, immunoblot analysis of Arabidopsis nuclei sorted into G1, S, and G2/M populations demonstrated that MCM5 and MCM7 are present in G2/M nuclei at levels comparable to those in G1 nuclei (Fig. 4). It is difficult to reconcile these findings with a model where MCM subunits are exported during S phase.

Several reports have suggested that MCM dynamics in plants resemble those in budding yeast. However, most of these studies are based on ectopic expression of MCM proteins using strong promoters (Dresselhaus et al., 2006; Takahashi et al., 2008). Nuclear import of the MCM2-7 complex in other eukaryotes is mediated by a bipartite nuclear localization signal that is split between the MCM2 and MCM3 subunits (Kimura et al., 1996; Liku et al., 2005), so overexpression of a single subunit without a nuclear localization signal
may result in its artifactual accumulation in the cytoplasm. Hence, it is essential to study MCM dynamics without perturbing the stoichiometry of the complex. Indeed, when the endogenous MCM7 promoter was used to drive the expression of a MCM7-GFP fusion in Arabidopsis, the majority of cells showed nucleus-localized GFP (Springer et al., 2000). When these cells were followed with time-lapse photography, the MCM7-GFP signal dispersed from the nucleus within a single 4-min interval (Springer et al., 2000). This rapid transition from the nucleus to the cytoplasm is consistent with passive release rather than active export. Patterns of endogenous MCM3 localization in maize root tips are also consistent with the patterns we observed for MCM5 and MCM7 in dicots, suggesting that MCM dynamics are conserved across higher plants (Sabelli et al., 1999). However, an analysis of endogenous MCM6 localization in maize Black Mexican Sweet (BMS) cells revealed that maize MCM6 was present in the nucleus during G1 and early S and absent in G2, similar to the pattern of MCM localization in budding yeast (Dresselhaus et al., 2006). This raises the intriguing possibility that export of the MCM6 subunit during S phase may serve a regulatory role in preventing licensing during S and G2. It is also possible that MCM regulation has been altered in the cultured BMS cells. Additional experiments will be required to distinguish between these possibilities and determine MCM6 dynamics in intact plants.

Current models based on data from yeast and animal systems propose that the MCM complex is loaded onto origin DNA prior to the onset of DNA replication and is progressively released as DNA replication forks proceed during S phase. Thus, in an asynchronous population of cells, there are two MCM populations: one that is topologically constrained on DNA and one that is not. Our analysis of the chromatin-binding properties of MCM5 and MCM7 in asynchronous Arabidopsis cells also showed two distinct MCM populations. The majority of total cellular MCM5 and MCM7 are not bound to chromatin (Fig. 5B). However, a significant fraction of MCM5 and MCM7 copurify with chromatin and are released by limited DNase I digestion, indicative of a complex that is topologically bound to DNA (Fig. 5C). Both MCM5 and MCM7 remained stably associated with chromatin at 500 mM NaCl, but MCM7 was more resistant to high-salt extraction than MCM5 (Fig. 5E). Similar results have been reported in yeast, mouse, and frog, where MCM4, MCM6, and MCM7 form a “core” complex that is more tightly associated with chromatin than the remaining subunits (Ishimi et al., 1996; Coue et al., 1998; Holthoff et al., 1998; Sherman and Forsburg, 1998; Sherman et al., 1998; Lee and Hurwitz, 2000; Prokhorova and Blow, 2000; Davey et al., 2003).

It is intriguing that we detected nearly all of the total cellular MCM5 and MCM7 proteins in the non-chromatin-bound fraction (Fig. 5B). In parallel assays, the majority of the chromatin marker HMGA remained associated with the pellet fraction, establishing that our extraction protocol does not result in widespread dissociation of chromatin and cannot account for the bulk of MCM5 and MCM7 in the soluble fraction. In asynchronous, immortalized human cells, the non-chromatin-bound fraction of MCM ranges from slightly more than half (Holthoff et al., 1998; Mendez and Stillman, 2000) to approximately 90% of the total cellular protein (Burkhart et al., 1995). A significant fraction of the non-chromatin-bound MCM in the Arabidopsis culture may have originated from cells that have exited the cell cycle. In human and mouse cell lines, MCM subunits remain abundant but are displaced from chromatin in early quiescent cells (Madine et al., 2000; Kingsbury et al., 2005). In spite of the parallels with animal systems, it is possible that the very large fraction of non-chromatin-bound MCM has biological relevance in plants, which needs to be addressed in future experiments.

We have clearly demonstrated that plant MCM5 and MCM7 subunits remain in the nucleus during S and G2 phases of the cell cycle, which raises the question of how MCM activity is regulated to prevent rereplication. In animals, a CDT1-Geminin switch regulates this process. Computational analyses of plant genomes have so far failed to identify a candidate Geminin homolog. A yeast two-hybrid screen for Arabidopsis CDT1-interacting proteins identified Glabra2-Expression Modulator as a candidate plant Geminin homolog (Caro et al., 2007). The potential role of Glabra2-Expression Modulator in cell proliferation is interesting, but it remains to be determined whether this protein has a direct role in licensing. The yeast-like dynamics of MCM6 reported in maize BMS cells (Dresselhaus et al., 2006) raises the possibility that one or more MCM subunits may contribute to licensing regulation in plants. In addition, our result showing that, unlike ORC2, Arabidopsis ORC1 is weakly associated with chromatin is reminiscent of mammalian ORC1, which serves a regulatory function and is not part of the core origin recognition complex (Li and DePamphilis, 2002; Ohta et al., 2003). Recent evidence suggested that plant ORC1 proteins can activate transcription by triggering localized histone acetylation (Sanchez and Gutierrez, 2009), and it is possible that ORC1 also triggers chromatin alterations that regulate DNA replication initiation. Future studies are needed to delineate the precise timing of MCM release during the cell cycle and elucidate the mechanisms that prevent MCM reloading onto replicated DNA in S and G2 phases in plants.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

An Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Columbia-0) suspension cell culture was maintained by subculturing 5 mL of 7-d-old cells into 50 mL of fresh medium weekly. Cells were grown in 250-mL baffled flasks at 160 rpm under constant fluorescent light at 21°C. Each liter of medium contained 3.2 g of Gamborg’s B5 basal medium with minimal organics, 0.5 g of MES, 1.1 mg of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid, and 30 g of Suc and was adjusted to pH 5.7.
using 1 m KOH before autoclaving. The tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) NT-1 cell line was maintained as described previously (Abranches et al., 2005).

For vegetative tissue samples, Arabidopsis plants were grown in soil for 5 weeks at 20°C with an 8-h/16-h light/dark cycle under a light intensity of 15,000 lux. For floral tissue samples, plants grown as above were shifted to a 16-h/8-h light/dark cycle to induce flowering. Seedlings were grown on petri dishes containing 1× Murashige and Skoog salts, 1× Gamborg’s BS vitamins, 1% (w/v) Suc, and 0.7% (w/v) agar for 2 weeks. All tissue samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen prior to RNA and protein extraction. Nicotiana benthamiana plants used for immunolocalization were grown in magenta boxes containing 1× Murashige and Skoog salts, 1× Gamborg’s BS vitamins, 3% (w/v) Suc, and 0.7% (w/v) agar for 6 weeks under constant light at 25°C.

The tissues analyzed in Figure 1 are as follows: cell culture, 4-d-old suspension cells; seedling, 2-week-old whole seedlings; shoot apex, the shoot apex was extracted from all visible leaves and leaf primordia using a dissecting microscope; elongating leaf, leaves between 0.5 and 1 cm in length; mature leaf, fully expanded with no signs of senescence; senescing leaf, mostly green with some yellowing; cauline leaf (>2 cm), large cauline leaves from the base of the inflorescence; cauline leaf (<1 cm), small cauline leaves from the top of the inflorescence; flower bud, unopened; open flower, fully opened; silique, fully formed but still green.

Real-Time RT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from 100 mg of frozen tissue powder using the Nucleospin RNA Plant kit (Clontech). cDNA was produced from total RNA (3 μg) using PowerScript reverse transcriptase (Clontech) and oligo(dT)15 primer followed by RNase A (Qiagen) treatment. For quantitative RT-PCR, the cDNA was diluted 100-fold with water and 2.5 mL was used in a 25-mL reaction containing 400 ns each primer and 1× SYBR Green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems). Samples were analyzed in triplicate in a MX3000P qPCR thermocycler (Stratagene). Relative expression levels were calculated according to the 2^ΔΔCt method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001) using Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (At5g25760) as the internal control. Primers used for these analyses are listed in Supplemental Table S2.

Cloning of Arabidopsis ORC and MCM Subunits

Genes were cloned using the TOPO cloning system (Invitrogen), verified by sequencing, and transferred to expression vectors by Gateway recombination (Invitrogen). Manufacturer protocols were followed unless otherwise noted. Clones and primers are described in Supplemental Table S1.

Recombinant Protein Purification and Antibody Production

His-tagged AORC1, AORC2, AtMCM5, and AtMCM7 polypeptides were expressed in Escherichia coli BL21 (DE3) cells from the pDEST17- and pET-DEST42-based vectors (Supplemental Table S1), purified by nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid agarose chromatography (Qiagen), and used as antigens for antibody production in rabbits (Cocalico Biologicals). The resulting polyclonal sera were used directly for immunoblot analysis or affinity purified for immunolocalization studies. For affinity purification, recombinant proteins were coupled to HiTrap NHS-activated HP columns (GE Healthcare). Polyclonal sera (1 mL) were passed over the column, and antibodies were eluted with low-pH buffer (100 mM Gly, pH 2.5). The specificity of the affinity-purified sera was validated by immunoblot analysis (Supplemental Fig. S1). The HMGa antisera has been described previously (Spiker and Everett, 1987). UGPase antibodies were from Agrisera, and the histone H1 antisera was from Upstate.

Protein Extraction and Immunoblotting

Plant material was ground under liquid nitrogen, and proteins were extracted by a trichloroacetic acid-actone (TCA-A) method (Shultz et al., 2005). Proteins (50 μg) were resolved on 10% (w/v) gels by SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were blocked with 5% (w/v) nonfat milk in Tris-buffered saline (TBS; 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 140 mM NaCl, and 3 mM KCl) and probed with primary antibody (1:5,000 dilution) in blocking solution with 0.1% Tween (TBST) for 1 h at room temperature. After washing in TBST, membranes were probed with secondary antibodies diluted 1:10,000 in TBST blocking solution, washed in TBST, and detected using the Li-Cor Odyssey infrared imaging system. Secondary antibodies were Rockland IRDye 800-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody and Molecular Probes Alexa 680-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody.

Immunohistochemical Staining and Immunofluorescence Microscopy

Cells from 4-d-old Arabidopsis or NT-1 suspension cultures were fixed with 1% (w/v) formaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na2HPO4, and 2 mM KH2PO4, pH 7.4) for 15 min at room temperature and dried onto poly-L-lysine-coated slides. N. benthamiana roots were fixed in 4% (w/v) formaldehyde for 1 h and embedded in 5% (w/v) agarose. Longitudinal root tip sections (approximately 30 μm) were cut with a vibratome and dried onto poly-L-lys-coated slides. Cells or sections were permeabilized with 0.5% (w/v) cellulase for 10 min and blocked with 3% (w/v) bovine serum albumin in PBS for 1 to 4 h. Tissue was probed with affinity-purified anti-AtMCM5 or anti-AtMCM7 antibody at 1:250 dilution overnight at 4°C. Antihistone H1 antibody (Upstate) at 1:250 dilution was included in the root section incubations. Slides were washed with PBS plus 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20 and probed with secondary antibodies. The Vectastain elite ABC kit (Vector Laboratories) was used for immunohistochemical detection. Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit IgG (Invitrogen) and Alexa Fluor 550 goat anti-mouse IgG (Invitrogen) were used for immunofluorescence detection. Tissue was visualized with a Nikon Eclipse E800 microscope and a confocal microscope system (Leica SP; Leica).

FACS

Four-day-old asynchronous Arabidopsis suspension cells were fixed in 1% paraformaldehyde for 15 min. After washing with 1× PBS buffer three times, the cell pellet was frozen in liquid nitrogen and chopped in lysis buffer (15 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 2 mM EDTA, 80 mM KCl, 15 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 2 mM MgCl2, 1 mg/mL DAPI, and 0.1% Triton X-100) using a single-edge blade for 5 min and incubated at 4°C for 5 min. The chopped cell suspension was filtered through a four-tiered nylon mesh (100, 50, 30, and 20 μm), stained with DAPI (1 μg mL⁻¹), and sorted by an Influx cell sorter (Cytopeia) equipped with a 355-nm UV laser. 1× PBS buffer (pH 7.5) was used as a sheath fluid. FACS analysis was conducted using FlowJo (version 8.7.1).

Chromatin Purification

Arabidopsis 4-d-old cultured cells (6 g wet weight) were ground under liquid nitrogen, added to 40 mL of buffer A (ice cold; 10 mM PIPES, pH 6.8, 10 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 340 mM Suc, 10% [v/v] glycerol, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 1 mM ATP, 0.5% [v/v] Triton X-100, and 1× Plant Protease Inhibitor [Sigma]), and incubated on ice for 10 min. After removal of debris by filtration through Miracloth, proteins from 1 mL of this whole cell extract were extracted by TCA-A. A second aliquot of whole cell extract (1 mL) was centrifuged at 3,000g for 10 min at 4°C to separate non-chromatin-bound proteins (S1) from chromatin-bound proteins (P1) and extracted by TCA-A. The remaining whole cell extract (38 mL) was centrifuged as above, and the chromatin pellet was resuspended in 5 mL of buffer A, divided into aliquots in new tubes, and centrifuged again. The purified chromatin pellets were resuspended in 1 mL of buffer A supplemented with the indicated concentrations of NaCl, incubated on ice for 10 min or resuspended in 100 μL of buffer A supplemented with 1 unit of DNase I (New England Biolabs), and incubated at 25°C for 30 min. Soluble (S2) and insoluble (P2) proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and visualized by immunoblot. For DNA extraction, 20 μL EDTA, 0.5% (w/v) SDS, and 10 μg of RNase A (Fermentas) were added and samples were incubated at 37°C for 30 min. Then, 20 μg of Proteinase K (New England Biolabs) was added, samples were incubated at 37°C for 2 h, and DNA was purified with a PCR cleanup column (Qiagen).

Supplemental Data

The following materials are available in the online version of this article.
Supplemental Figure S1. Validation of the MCM5, MCM7, ORC1, and ORC2 antibodies.

Supplemental Table S1. Description of clones generated for this study.

Supplemental Table S2. List of primers used for real-time quantitative RT-PCR.

Supplemental Table S3. Relative expression values with error calculations for Figure 1A.

Supplemental Table S4. Correlation coefficients for MCM gene expression values.
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