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ABSTRACT

Burnout is a significant public health concern affecting nearly half of the healthcare workforce. This paper presents the first end-to-end deep learning framework for predicting physician burnout based on electronic health record (EHR) activity logs, digital traces of physician work activities that are available in any EHR system. In contrast to prior approaches that exclusively relied on surveys for burnout measurement, our framework directly learns deep representations of physician behaviors from large-scale clinician activity logs to predict burnout. We propose the Hierarchical burnout Prediction based on Activity Logs (HiPAL), featuring a pre-trained time-dependent activity embedding mechanism tailored for activity logs and a hierarchical predictive model, which mirrors the natural hierarchical structure of clinician activity logs and captures physicians’ evolving burnout risk at both short-term and long-term levels. To utilize the large amount of unlabeled activity logs, we propose a semi-supervised framework that learns to transfer knowledge extracted from unlabeled clinician activities to the HiPAL-based prediction model. The experiment on over 15 million clinician activity logs collected from the EHR at a large academic medical center demonstrates the advantages of our proposed framework in predictive performance of physician burnout and training efficiency over state-of-the-art approaches.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Burnout is a state of mental exhaustion caused by one’s professional life. It contributes to poor physical and emotional health, and may lead to alcohol abuse and suicidal ideation [13]. Physician burnout is widespread in healthcare settings and affects nearly 50% of physicians and health workers. It is associated with negative consequences for physician health, their retention, and the patients under their care [25]. The recent COVID-19 pandemic has further highlighted the negative impact of physician burnout [29]. In essence, burnout is a considerable public health concern, and effective tools for monitoring and predicting physician burnout are desperately needed [25].

One of the key contributors to burnout is physician behavior (e.g., workload, workflow) [22]. The availability of electronic health record (EHR) activity logs, the digital footprint of physicians’ EHR-based activities, has enabled studies for measuring physician workload [22, 33], workflow [6], and cognitive burden [23], illustrating the considerable potential of EHR activity logs to capture physician behaviors at the microscale. More recently, such activity logs have been used to predict burnout using off-the-shelf machine learning models [12, 24]. However, as these models are unable to directly process unstructured data, they rely exclusively on feature engineering, using hand-crafted summary statistics of activity logs as features. Developing such models, hence, requires considerable domain knowledge in medicine and cognitive psychology to obtain clinically meaningful measures, and these statistical features are often less effective in capturing the complicated dynamics and temporality of activities.

An ideal burnout prediction framework should be end-to-end, i.e., able to efficiently learn deep representations of physician behavior directly from raw activity logs. This enables the potential for
real-time phenotyping of burnout that is of high clinical value in facilitating early intervention and mitigation for the affected physician. There are two major challenges in building such a framework. The first challenge is to extract useful knowledge from unstructured raw log files, which track over 1,900 types of EHR-based actions (activities associated with viewing reports, notes, laboratory tests, managing orders, and documenting patient care activities). A predictive framework must be able to encode both these activities and associated timestamps and capture the underlying dynamics and temporality, which builds up to high-level representations. In other words, an effective data encoding mechanism tailored for activity logs is key for any deep sequence model.

The second challenge for training a deep predictive model for burnout is the large scale of activity logs (i.e., long data sequences) and limited number of labels (i.e., relatively few burnout survey responses). In order to measure physician behavior and predict burnout at a per-month basis, the sequence model must be able to efficiently process sequences with large-scale and highly variant length from a few hundred to over 90,000 events. On the other hand, due to the high cost and uncertainty of survey collection, only half of the activity logs recorded are labeled with burnout outcomes. These require the sequence model to have not only a long-term memory (i.e., wide range of receptive field [1]) but also a relatively small model complexity (i.e., number of parameters) to prevent overfitting. However, many popular sequence models based on recurrent neural networks (RNN) or 1D Transformer [5, 43] are not suitable for raw activity logs of this large scale due to high time or memory cost.

Apart from addressing the above-mentioned two challenges, it would be useful for a predictive model to further capture and utilize the hierarchical structure naturally embedded in physician activity logs (see Figure 1). Physicians’ work life is intrinsically hierarchical. They interact with the EHR system in sessions – clusters of activities – with various lengths that are embedded within a single work shift, and then work multiple shifts per month. Intuitively, the temporal congregation of clinical activities may contain useful information associated with burnout, i.e., the same total workload spread evenly over a week likely has a different effect on wellness than more intense work spread over only two days. However, the single-level sequence models are unable to unobtrusively recover the hierarchical structure or the multi-scale temporality of data. And none of the recently proposed hierarchical sequence models such as [35, 40, 42] are designed for burnout prediction or similar problems, nor are they efficient enough in processing sequences at this large scale.

To address these challenges, we propose the Hierarchical burnout Prediction based on Activity Logs (HiPAL), a deep learning framework from representation learning to hierarchical sequence modeling as a comprehensive solution to the burnout prediction problem. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first end-to-end approach that directly uses raw EHR activity logs for burnout prediction. HiPAL features the following key components:

- A pre-trained time-dependent activity embedding mechanism tailored for EHR activity logs that is designed to encode both the dynamics and temporality of activities. The encoded joint representations build up and shape the bottom-up activity measures at multiple levels as the basis for burnout prediction.

- We propose a novel and generalizable hierarchical deep architecture that can build upon any existing efficient sequence model for burnout prediction. A low-level sequence encoder aggregates short-term activities into deep daily activity measures, whereas the high-level RN-funded model further cumulatively arranges all activity measures into deep monthly activity measures and captures the long-term temporality of physician behaviors. The hierarchical architecture with shared low-level encoder enables HiPAL to maintain long memory with multi-scale temporality without increasing the model complexity.

- To utilize the large amount of unlabeled activity logs, we extend HiPAL to a semi-supervised framework (Semi-HiPAL). For this, we pre-train the low-level encoder and transfer knowledge to the predictive model with an unsupervised sequence autoencoder that learns to reconstruct the action-time sequences.

- The experiment on a real-world dataset of over 15 million activity logs from 88 physicians over a 6-month period shows improved performance and high computational efficiency of HiPAL and Semi-HiPAL in predicting burnout.

2 BURNOUT PREDICTION PROBLEM

2.1 Data

The data used in this work were collected from 88 intern and resident physicians in Internal Medicine, Pediatrics and Anesthesiology at the Washington University School of Medicine, BJC HealthCare and St Louis Children’s Hospital During the data collection phase from September 2020 through April 2021, all participants consented (IRB# 202004260) to provide access to their EHR-based activity logs and to complete surveys every month.

2.1.1 EHR Activity Logs. EHR activity logs are traces of a clinician’s interactions with the EHR. These log files record all activities performed on an EHR system including the time, patient, activity, and user responsible for each data access event, and are a comprehensive record of a clinician’s work activities. In our dataset, there were 1,961 distinct types of clinical activities such as review of patient data, note writing, order placement and review of clinical inbox messages. Each activity log action (i.e., clinical activity) of the user (i.e., physician participant) was recorded by the EHR system with a timestamp specifying the start time of each action. All activity log actions are recorded passively in system background without interfering with the user’s normal clinical workflow. In total, over 15 million activity logs across 6 to 8 months were collected in the dataset (on average over 20,000 logs per month per participant).

2.1.2 Burnout Surveys. Intern and resident physicians included in this study rotated between different clinical assignments (e.g.,
We selected several basic summary statistics (e.g., time spent on activity logs) to automatically segment the activity logs based on designed activity measures and then used for burnout prediction. Hence, there existed a certain level of predictability between physician workload and burnout status. Early studies mainly focused on risk factors associated with burnout using self-reported surveys and have highlighted the link between perceived workload and burnout [31, 32]. Because these approaches relied purely on self-reported data due to its step-by-step recurrent operation and potential issues with long-term gradient backpropagation [41]. Recently, 1D Transformer variants [5, 43] have applied multi-head self-attention [37] to time series and natural language problems and seek to model long dependencies, but they still have significant time and space complexity, higher than RNNs. In contrast, convolutional neural networks (CNN) based models are more efficient in modeling long dependencies, higher than RNNs. In contrast, convolutional neural networks (CNN) based models are more efficient in modeling long dependencies, higher than RNNs.

3 RELATED WORK

Burnout Prediction. Early studies mainly focused on risk factors associated with burnout using self-reported surveys and have highlighted the link between perceived workload and burnout [31, 32]. Machine learning models such as k-means and linear regression were used to predict the level of burnout from survey responses [2, 3, 17]. Because these approaches relied purely on self-reported survey responses as input data, they are subject to inaccuracy in workload measurement and are unable to provide unobtrusive burnout prediction [14]. Recently, the quantification of clinician workload based on EHR activity logs has enabled studies to track EHR-based activity measures [4, 33], and to apply off-the-shelf machine learning to predict burnout based on deliberately design summary statistics of clinical activities as features [12, 24].

as in our prior work [24]. Nevertheless, the design and selection of clinically meaningful activity measures required considerable domain knowledge. These delicately designed measures (usually with summary statistics as in Figure 2) showed limited effectiveness in capturing the complicated predictive patterns [24]. An end-to-end deep learning framework is therefore needed to learn complex representations of physician behaviors from raw activity logs.
on over 40 benchmarks. Meanwhile, 1D CNNs with dilated causal convolutions have shown promise in efficiently modeling long sequential data such as audio [27]. This idea has been further extended and developed as a class of long-memory sequence models, Temporal Convolutional Networks (TCN), to model large-scale sequential data such as videos and discrete events [1, 9, 16]. In ResTCN [1], multiple layers of dilated causal convolutions are stacked together to form a block combined with residual connections between blocks in order to build deeper networks efficiently.

Hierarchical Sequence Models. Hierarchical models have shown promise in capturing the hierarchical information of data [18, 40, 42] or obtaining multi-scale temporal patterns [30, 41] from long sequences such as document [40], videos [42], online user record [30, 34, 41], and sensor data [10, 28]. However, even with a multi-level architecture, RNN-based hierarchical models [18, 40] would still struggle with efficiency in processing long sequences. Recently, [41] proposed to build a hierarchical model on top of TCN for efficiently modeling multi-scale user interest for a recommendation system, in which the TCN is used as the decoder for sequence generation conditioned on a high-level RNN for long-term dependencies. Despite the similar motivation, [41] is unsuitable for classification conditioned on a high-level RNN for long-term dependencies. The representation of action b should be able to encode the contextual information, i.e., similar embedding b should represent actions with similar context. We propose to pre-train the embedding matrix W_a in an unsupervised fashion inspired by Word2Vec [26] word embedding that has been widely used in many natural language processing tasks. Here we adopt skip-gram [26] for embedding pre-training. The embedding of the i-th action a_i is linearly projected to predict actions \{a_{i-3}, a_{i-2}, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, a_{i+2}\}. We set L = 5 in this paper.

4.1.2 Encoding Time Intervals. The time information can be fully extracted from the original time stamps \{t_1(k), t_2(k), ..., t_N(k)\} and then map the log-transformed time interval to a vector of d dimensions, \(b^{(k)}_j \in \mathbb{R}^d\)

\[
b^{(k)}_j = \tanh \left( W_b \cdot \log \left( t^{(k)}_j - t^{(k)}_{j-1} \right) + d_b \right)
\]

where \(W_b\) and \(d_b\) are the weight and bias variables for the time interval embedding. The time intervals with long-tail distribution are log-transformed to obtain embedding with more stationary dynamics. Note that for the first action, \(b^{(k)}_1 = 0\).

4.1 Time-dependent Activity Embedding

Different from other sequential data such as natural language and time series, activity logs consist of sequences of clinical actions and associated timestamps. The physician workflow and workload information associated with the risk of burnout are preserved in the dynamics and temporality within these ordered action-time pairs. We design a specific action-time joint embedding method to extract these patterns for prediction.

4.1.1 Encoding Actions. The one-hot action code \(e^{(k)}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{|A|}\) is linearly mapped to a lower-dimensional embedding, \(a^{(k)}_i = W_a e^{(k)}_i\), where \(a^{(k)}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d\). Here \(W_a \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times |A|}\) is the embedding matrix where each column vector of it represents the lower-dimensional embedding of each unique action.

Context-aware Pre-training. The representation of action b should be able to encode the contextual information, i.e., similar embedding b should represent actions with similar context. We propose to pre-train the embedding matrix W_a in an unsupervised fashion inspired by Word2Vec [26] word embedding that has been widely used in many natural language processing tasks. Here we adopt skip-gram [26] for embedding pre-training. The embedding of the i-th action e_i is linearly projected to predict actions \{e_{i-L}, e_{i-L+1}, ..., e_{i+L}\}. We set L = 5 in this paper.

4 THE HIPAL FRAMEWORK

Figure 3 shows the overview of our HiPAL framework, featuring a pre-trained time-dependent activity embedding mechanism tailored for activity logs, a hierarchical predictive model that models physician behaviors in multiple temporal levels, and the semi-supervised framework that transfers knowledge from unlabeled data. Our HiPAL framework is generalizable to building upon any convolution-based sequence model as the base model.
4.1.3 Encoding Time Periodicity. Additionally, inspired by [15], in order to capture the periodicity patterns in the time sequence within a work shift, we transform the scalar notion of time \( t_i^{(k)} \) into a vector representation, \( c_i^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^d \)

\[
c_i^{(k)}[j] = \begin{cases} \omega_j t_i^{(k)} + \varphi_j, & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \sin(\omega_j t_i^{(k)} + \varphi_j), & \text{if } 1 < j \leq d 
\end{cases}
\]

where \( c_i^{(k)}[j] \) is the \( j \)-th entry of the vector \( c_i^{(k)} \), and \( \omega_j \) and \( \varphi_j \) are trainable frequency and bias variables. The first entry in \( \omega \) captures the aperiodic pattern while the rest of the entries captures the periodic pattern by a sinusoidal function.

4.1.4 Action-time Joint Embedding. We obtain the time-dependent activity embedding by concatenating the vectors of the action embedding, time interval embedding, and periodicity embedding

\[
g_i^{(k)} = \text{Concat}(a_i^{(k)}, b_i^{(k)}, c_i^{(k)})
\]

Alternatively, the aggregation method \( \text{Concat}(\cdot) \) can be replaced by addition. We find concatenation works better for this dataset. The joint embedding \( g_i^{(k)} \) can then be used as the input to a sequence model for burnout prediction. With the physician’s activity logs encoded into the representation in Eq. (3), in theory we can apply any single-level sequence model on top of the activity embedding layers for burnout prediction.

4.2 Hierarchical Sequence Model

To capture the temporal clustering patterns of physician activities and the natural shift-month hierarchical structure while improving computational efficiency, we propose HiPAL, a two-level model that naturally mirrors the hierarchical structure of sequential data.

4.2.1 Low-level Encoder. Given the sequence of action-time joint embedding of the \( k \)-th shift, \( G^{(k)} = \{g_i^{(k)}\}_{i=1}^{N_i} \), we use an efficient sequence model (e.g., TCN, FCN) as the low-level encoder to obtain daily representations of physician behaviors, then we aggregate the output sequence by transforming it to a single vector

\[
h^{(k)} = \Phi(G^{(k)}; \theta_E)
\]

where \( \theta_E \) denotes the parameters of low-level encoder, and \( h^{(k)} \) denotes the daily representations.

4.2.2 High-level Encoder. Similar to Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) we first get high-level time interval embedding \( p^{(k)} \) and periodicity embedding \( q^{(k)} \) for the start time of the \( k \)-th shift, \( t_1^{(k)} \). Then we condition the daily representation \( h^{(k)} \) on the time embedding, and obtain the time-dependent representation of the \( k \)-th shift as

\[
r^{(k)} = \text{Concat}(h^{(k)}, p^{(k)}, q^{(k)})
\]

Next, we use LSTM as the high-level encoder to aggregate the representation of each work shift into the work measurement for the whole survey month

\[
v^{(k)} = \text{LSTM}(\overrightarrow{v^{(k-1)}}, r^{(k)}; \theta_H)
\]

where \( \theta_H \) denotes the parameters of high-level model LSTM, and \( v^{(k)} \) denotes the cumulative activity representation. We use the last cumulative representation \( v^{(T)} \) as the activity measurement for the whole survey month, where \( T \) denotes the number of work shifts in the month. Then we map the monthly representation \( v^{(T)} \) to estimate the burnout label \( y \) using multi-layer perceptron (MLP) as the classifier

\[
y = \text{Softmax}(\text{MLP}(v^{(T)}; \theta_C))
\]

where \( y \) is the monthly risk score. The network parameters including the embedding parameters \( W_R, W_E, d_E, \omega \) and \( \varphi \), the low-level model parameters \( \theta_E \), the high-level model parameters \( \theta_H \), and the classifier parameters \( \theta_C \), can all be jointly trained by minimizing the cross entropy loss between true and estimated labels. The cross entropy (CE) loss can be written as

\[
L_H = \text{CE}(y, y) = y \log y + (1 - y) \log(1 - y)
\]

Please refer to the Supplementary Sections for more details about the design choice of sequence encoder \( \Phi \).

4.3 Temporal Regularization

4.3.1 Temporal Consistency. A potential challenge with the proposed hierarchical architecture is that the network must learn to pass input information across many low-level sequence steps and two-level sequence models in order to affect the output. Inspired by RNN target replication [19], we propose Temporal Consistency (TC) regularization to apply an additional loss \( L_T \) for the low-level encoder of HiPAL. In TC, we use a linear scoring layer to measure the daily risk w.r.t. each shift:

\[
a^{(k)} = \text{Softmax}(W_R \cdot r^{(k)} + d_R)
\]

\[
L_T = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^{T} \text{CE}(a^{(k)}, y)
\]

where \( W_R \) and \( d_R \) are trainable parameters, \( S \) is the number of shifts, \( a^{(k)} \in [0, 1] \) denotes the daily risk of the \( k \)-th shift, and \( L_T \) denotes the TC loss that penalizes the overall mismatch between daily risks \( \{a^{(1)}, \ldots, a^{(T)}\} \) and the monthly burnout outcome \( y \). Then the network can be trained by minimizing the composite loss

\[
L = L_H + \lambda L_T
\]

where \( \lambda \) is a trade-off parameter balancing the effect of accumulative monthly risk and overall daily risks. We select the value of \( \lambda \) from \( 10^{-4}, 10^{-3}, \ldots, 10^0 \), and find that \( \lambda = 10^{-4} \) works the best for our the activity log dataset.

The TC regularization has three major effects. First, it helps pass the supervision signal directly to the low-level encoder for learning better class-specific representations. Distinct from target replication [20] that replicates labels to the LSTM output at all steps, TC bypasses the LSTM-based high-level encoder and directly regularizes the low-level representation learning with higher flexibility. Second, it regularizes the network to maintain relative temporal consistency across shifts by minimizing the overall mismatch between daily risks and the burnout outcome. The intuition is that for most cases the clinical behaviors (workload and workflow) of a physician within a monthly rotation may remain similar across different shift days. Third, it enables better model interpretability of HiPAL. During inference time, while the monthly risk \( y \) is used to estimate risk of burnout, the daily risks \( \{a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \ldots, a^{(T)}\} \) in Eq. (8) can be used to reflect the dynamics of daily measurement in that month.
4.3.2 Stochastic Tail Drop. During model training, the activity logs of the whole month until the time of survey submission are mapped to the binary burnout labels. A potential problem for model training is that, the time between end of the clinical rotation and survey submission may vary by hours to a few days for different individuals in different months. Thus the latest activity logs may describe the work of a new monthly rotation with potential significantly different workflow and workload, which can confuse the predictive model. An ideal predictive model should not be overly dependent on the length of data and when to run model inference. To seek more robust prediction, we propose Stochastic Tail Drop that stochastically drops out the sequence tail with a length randomly drawn from a fixed distribution at each iteration during training. Formally, we draw the length of dropped tails from a power distribution

\[ L \sim p(L) \propto (L_{\text{max}} - L)^\rho, \quad 0 \leq L \leq L_{\text{max}} \]

where \( L_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum number of days allowed to drop and \( \rho \) controls the distortion of distribution. We set \( L_{\text{max}} = 5 \) and \( \rho = 2 \) for all HiPAL variants.

4.4 Semi-supervised HiPAL

A challenge in burnout studies is the difficulty to collect surveys from physicians. As a result, only about half of activity logs are associated with burnout labels in our study. In contrast, activity logs are regularly collected for all physicians. To exploit the unlabeled activity logs, we design a semi-supervised framework that learns from all recorded activity logs and allows the generalizable knowledge transfer from the unlabeled data to the supervised predictive model [21]. We adopt an unsupervised sequence autoencoder (Seq-AE) that learns to reconstruct the action-time sequence of each work shift, where the encoder shares the same model parameters with the low-level encoder \( \phi \) in Eq. (4). We adopted an appropriate sequence decoder \( \psi \) that mirrors the encoder \( \phi \) accordingly (e.g., use TCN as decoder for a TCN encoder),

\[ s^{(k)} = \psi(h^{(k)}; \theta_D) \]

where \( h^{(k)} = [h^{(k)}, h^{(k)}, \ldots, h^{(k)}] \) replicates the daily representation \( h \) to every activity step in the \( k \)-th work shift, and \( s^{(k)} = [s_1^{(k)}, s_2^{(k)}, \ldots, s_{N_k}^{(k)}] \). Then we reconstruct the sequence of actions \( e \) and time stamps \( t \):

\[ \hat{e}_i = \text{Softmax}(\text{ReLU}(W_c s_i^{(k)} + d_e)) \]

\[ \hat{t}_i = \text{Softmax}(\text{ReLU}(W_t s_i^{(k)} + d_t)) \]

where \( W \) and \( d \) are weight and bias parameters. The action projection matrix \( W_c \) is initialized with the transpose of the pre-trained embedding matrix \( W_a \) for quicker convergence. Then the encoder parameters \( \theta_E \) and decoder parameters \( \theta_R \). \( W \) and \( d \) can be pre-trained by minimizing the cross entropy

\[ L_u = \text{CE}(\hat{e}, e) + \text{CE}(\hat{t}, t) \]

The Seq-AE is pre-trained on all available activity logs (labeled or unlabeled) and then transferred to and reused by HiPAL as the low-level encoder and fine-tuned with the predictive model on labeled data (see Appendix for design details of Seq-AE).

5 EXPERIMENT

5.1 Setup

The primary focus of our analysis was to develop generalizable predictive models that could be translated for predicting burnout outcomes for new unseen participants. Towards this end, the training and testing data are split based on participants, where no activity logs (from different months) of any participant simultaneously exist in both training and testing set. Considering the relatively small sample size (i.e., number of valid surveys), we evaluate each method with repeated 5-fold cross-validation (CV) in order to get as close estimation as possible to the true out-of-sample performance of each model on unseen individuals. For each fold, the whole dataset is split into 80% training set (10% training data used for validation) and 20% testing set. We repeat the cross-validation with different random split for 6 rounds and report the mean and standard deviation of CV results. We use accuracy, area under the receiver operating characteristic (AUROC), and area under the precision-recall curve (AUPRC) as the metric measures to evaluate the burnout prediction performance. All non-neural models were implemented using Scikit-learn 1.0.1 with Python, and all deep learning models were implemented using TensorFlow 2.6.0. All models were tested on Linux Ubuntu 20.04 empowered by Nvidia RTX 3090 GPUs.

5.2 Baseline Methods

We compare our proposed burnout prediction framework to the following baseline methods.

- GBM/SVM/RF: Gradient Boosting Machines implemented with XGBoost [7], Support Vector Machines, and Random Forests, used in [24] for burnout prediction. We follow [24] to extract a set of summary statistics of activity logs as features for prediction.
- FCN [38]: Full Convolutional Networks, a deep CNN architecture with Batch Normalization, shown to have outperformed multiple strong baselines on 44 benchmarks for time series classification.
- CausalNet [16]: A primitive architecture of TCN with fixed dilation and Max Pooling layers used for videos [16].
- ResTCN [1]. A popular TCN architecture with exponentially enlarged dilation. The cross-layer residual connections enables the construction of a much deeper network [1].
- H-RNN [42]: Hierarchical RNN, a multi-level LSTM model used for long video classification [42].
- HierGRU: Hierarchical baselines, implemented with GRU as the HiPAL low-level encoder.
- Semi-ResTCN: A semi-supervised single-level model baseline, implemented with ResTCN. We pre-train the single-level TCN with an TCN-AE.

All the compared deep models were implemented with our proposed time-dependent activity embedding for activity logs. As variants of our proposed hierarchical framework, HiPAL-f, HiPAL-c, and HiPAL-r corresponds to HiPAL-based predictive model with the low-level encoder \( \phi \) instantiated by FCN, CausalNet, and ResTCN. Similar for the semi-supervised model variants.

5.3 Experiment Results

5.3.1 Overall Performance. Table 1 summarizes the performance of all the models, including non-deep-learning models, single-level
The steady improvement regardless of the base model shows that the costly burnout labels are limited in number but the huge amount improved prediction efficacy in real-world clinical practice when logs and transfer knowledge to HiPAL. This sheds light to potential effectively extract generalizable patterns from unlabeled activity the Seq-AE pre-training, our semi-supervised framework is able to slightly worse AUPRC than HiPAL-r). We can see that based on achieve better average performance (except that Semi-HiPAL-r has HiPAL models, in general all the three Semi-HiPAL counterparts 5.3.3 Single-level vs. Hierarchical. Among the single-level sequence models, hierarchical models, and semi-supervised models. Our proposed HiPAL framework including its semi-supervised extension outperforms all the baseline methods, achieving 5.0%/7.5% improved average AUROC/AUPRC scores over the best deep learning baseline model (ResTCN [1]) and 11.9%/21.3% improved average AUROC/AUPRC over the state-of-the-art activity log based burnout prediction approach [24].

5.3.2 Feature Engineering vs. Representation Learning. In general, the non-deep models GBM, SVM, and RF show inferior prediction performance compared to deep learning models. This may result from the less effective capacity of simple statistical features (e.g., EHR time, number of notes reviewed) in capturing complex activity dynamics and temporality. In contrast, armed with our proposed activity embedding, the deep models can learn deep representations capable of encoding more complicated patterns.

5.3.3 Single-level vs. Hierarchical. Among the single-level sequence models, ResTCN achieves the best performance due to its effective deep architecture with residual mechanism and delicately designed convolutional blocks. The corresponding HiPAL extension improves the performance of each base model, respectively. Especially for CausalNet, performing the worst among the single-level baseline models due to its relatively primitive architecture, HiPAL-c achieves 10.9%/14.8% average improvement on AUROC/AUPRC. The steady improvement regardless of the base model shows that the hierarchical architecture tailored for the problem enables HiPAL to better capture the multi-level structure in activity logs and complex temporality and dynamics.

5.3.4 Supervised vs. Semi-supervised. Compared to the supervised HiPAL models, in general all the three Semi-HiPAL counterparts achieve better average performance (except that Semi-HiPAL-r has slightly worse AUPRC than HiPAL-r). We can see that based on the Seq-AE pre-training, our semi-supervised framework is able to effectively extract generalizable patterns from unlabeled activity logs and transfer knowledge to HiPAL. This sheds light to potential improved prediction efficacy in real-world clinical practice when the costly burnout labels are limited in number but the huge amount of unlabeled activity logs are available.

### Table 3: Model size and average training time per epoch (** indicates recurrent models with no parallel acceleration).

| Model       | # Params | Pre-train | Training |
|-------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| FCN [38]    | 1,060 K  | -         | 12.6 s   |
| CausalNet [16] | 1,428 K  | -         | 7.8 s    |
| ResTCN [1]  | 1,233 K  | -         | 59.8 s   |
| H-RNN [42]  | 694 K    | -         | 314.7 s  |
| HierGRU**   | 635 K    | -         | 304.2 s  |
| HiPAL-f     | 814 K    | -         | 12.4 s   |
| HiPAL-c     | 880 K    | -         | 6.3 s    |
| HiPAL-r     | 1,233 K  | -         | 49.6 s   |
| Semi-ResTCN | 2,574 K  | 357.0 s   | 51.2 s   |
| Semi-HiPAL-f | 1,455 K  | 81.0 s    | 11.4 s   |
| Semi-HiPAL-c | 2,126 K  | 57.5 s    | 9.2 s    |
| Semi-HiPAL-r | 2,090 K  | 344.7 s   | 33.2 s   |
| GRU**       | 466 K    | -         | >5 h     |
| LSTM**      | 550 K    | -         | >5 h     |

5.4.1 Effects of Temporal Regularization. As shown in Figure 3, both the TC and Tail Drop regularization help improve the prediction performance. We further test the effect of Stochastic Tail Drop on model robustness to uncertainty of time and data lengths. Figure 5 shows the performance variance with various prediction time offset (by days) that corresponds to different lengths of input activity logs. Compared to the HiPAL variants, the AUROC of the best single-level model ResTCN declines more drastically. The Tail Drop enables HiPAL to have slower AUROC decline over larger time offset. Without Tail Drop, the AUROC of HiPAL-c quickly drops to
we can see that our current HiPAL design that incorporates the
A continuously remain at a medium to high level across shifts in
This may contribute to the reduction of the cumulative monthly
(see Appendix for more examples). The daily risks of Physician
In contrast, Physician B seems to have various levels
each month. We can observe that the physicians with the two typical
periodicity embedding performs the best.
5.4.2 Choice of Embedding. Table 3 summarizes the ablation study
- always align with the actual wellness outcome. This may bound
ical situations. Scenario A represents the situation with a low-cost
might have different costs, financially and administratively. For
different interventions for physician burnout mainly focus on organizational and system-level
interventions, such as improving workflow design and enhancing
teamwork and communication [39]. An end-to-end burnout moni-
toring and prediction system like HiPAL can offer new potential for
real-time burnout phenotyping and personalized individual-level interventions.
Different individual-level interventions for physician burnout
might have different costs, financially and administratively. For
different situations, we may require a different sensitivity (true
positive rate) and specificity (1 – false positive rate) for the burnout
predictive model, and thus the model output must be tuned accord-
gingly. As the best variant, Semi-HiPAL-f achieves an AUROC of
0.6479, which reflects the average sensitivity over all specificity lev-
els. Table 4 shows the model performance under two different prac-
tical situations. Scenario A represents the situation with a low-cost
intervention, such as EHR training and online cognitive therapy,
where usually a predictive model with high sensitivity – detecting
most cases of true burnout – is preferred. With the sensitivity set as
0.8, our model presents a moderate specificity of 0.4054, meaning
that nearly 60% of unaffected physicians would be included in the
personal interventions. This is acceptable since these interventions
also benefit the physicians with normal wellness status and can
help prevent future burnout. Scenario B represents the situation
with a high-cost intervention, such as scheduling additional days
off or vacations. This is when a more specific predictive model is
preferred. With the specificity set as 0.8, our model still achieves a
sensitivity of 0.4782, meaning that the prediction can still benefit
nearly half of the burned-out physicians in this extreme.

This work is not without limitations. In clinical practice, not all
physician work is EHR-related or tracked by the EHR system, and
as such the physician behaviors reflected in the activity logs do not
always align with the actual wellness outcome. This may bound
the predictive performance of a model trained exclusively based
on activity logs. Constrained by the current extent of our study at
the moment, only a limited number of burnout labels have been
collected, which could have restrained the power of deep learning
from being fully exploited. For future work, the availability of much
greater amount of EHR activity log data may allow us to explore
more advanced semi-supervised or transfer learning approaches
for better burnout prediction to facilitate physician well being.

6 CONCLUSION
In this paper, we presented HiPAL, the first end-to-end deep learn-
ing framework for predicting physician burnout based on physician

Table 4: Trade-off between sensitivity and specificity.

| Scenario | Cost | Intervention | Sensitivity | Specificity |
|----------|------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| A        | Higher | Lower        | .8000       | .4054       |
| B        | Lower  | Higher       | .4782       | .8000       |

5.5 Effectiveness and Potential Impact
High levels of burnout can lead to medical errors, substance abuse,
and suicidal ideation [13], so preventing or mitigating burnout has
meaningful public health benefits. Currently, due to the difficulty
of assessing physician wellness in real time, the interventions for
physician burnout mainly focus on organizational and system-level
interventions, such as improving workflow design and enhancing
work and communication. However, real-time burnout monitoring and prediction
system like HiPAL can offer new potential for real-time burnout phenotyping and personalized individual-level
interventions.

Figure 4: Visualized daily risks \(\alpha\) of two typical physician
participants over all shifts (horizontal axis, aligned to the
right) across all 6 months (vertical axis). See Appendix for
more examples.

Figure 5: Effect of Stochastic Tail Drop on model robustness
against uncertainty of prediction time.
activity logs available in any electronic health record (EHR) system. The HiPAL framework includes a time-dependent activity embedding mechanism tailored for the EHR-based activity logs to encode raw data from scratch. We proposed a hierarchical sequence learning framework to learn deep representations of workload that contains multi-level temporality from the large-scale clinical activities with high efficiency, and provide interpretable burnout prediction. The semi-supervised extension enables HiPAL to utilize the large amount of unlabeled data and transfer generalizable knowledge to the predictive model. The experiment on over 15 million real-world physician activity logs collected from a large academic medical center shows the advantages of our proposed framework in predictive performance of physician burnout and training efficiency over state of the art approaches.
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Appendices

A STATISTICS OF DATASET

Table 5: Overall statistics of dataset.

| Statistics                          | Number   |
|-------------------------------------|----------|
| # total data points (actions)       | 15,767,634 |
| # types of actions                  | 1,961    |
| # participants                      | 88       |
| # total months of activity logs     | 754      |
| # months with eligible surveys (labels) | 391 |
| # total work shifts                 | 11,890   |
| # avg shifts per month per participant | 16     |

Table 6: Statistics of data sequence grouped by participants (p), survey months (m), and work shifts (s).

| Group                        | by p | by p · m | by p · m · s |
|------------------------------|------|----------|-------------|
| # sequences                  | 88   | 754      | 11,890      |
| avg length                   | 179,177 | 20,911   | 1,326       |
| std                          | 62,668 | 14,683   | 891         |
| max length                   | 464,711 | 90,125   | 8,459       |

B DESIGN CHOICE OF SEQUENCE MODEL

In this section, we introduce the three single-level convolution-based models we adopted, CausalNet, ResTCN, and FCN as the low-level sequence encoder (Eq. (4)) in HiPAL-c, HiPAL-r, and HiPAL-f, respectively. All these sequence model must work with our proposed activity embedding for burnout prediction based on activity logs.

B.1 Fully Convolutional Networks

Full Convolutional Networks (FCN), a deep CNN architecture with Batch Normalization, has shown compelling quality and efficiency for tasks on images such as semantic segmentation. Later work [38] applied TCN on time series classification, which has shown to have outperformed multiple strong baselines on 44 time series benchmarks. It also outperformed another widely used CNN-based model with residual connections – ResNet [38] – on most of the above datasets. Hence, in this paper, we select FCN implemented by [38] as the representative of conventional CNN-based sequence model for comparison and also as the one of the base model choices for our HiPAL framework.

An FCN model consists of several basic convolutional blocks. A basic block is a convolutional layer followed by a Batch Normalization layer and a ReLU activation layer, as follows:

\[ y = W \ast x + d \]
\[ z = \text{BatchNorm}(y) \]
\[ x' = \text{ReLU}(z) \]  

where \( \ast \) is the convolution operator. For HiPAL-f, we use 3 blocks for the FCN and use the filter sizes \( \{128, 256, 128\} \) for each block. The kernels are set with sizes \( \{8, 5, 3\} \).

B.2 Temporal Convolutional Networks

Temporal convolutional networks (TCN) is a family of efficient 1-D convolutional sequence models where convolutions are computed across time [1, 16]. Different from the RNN family of sequence models, in TCN computations are performed layer-wise where every time-step is updated concurrently instead of recurrently [16]. TCN differs from typical 1-D CNN mainly by using a different convolution mechanism, dilated causal convolution. Formally, for a 1-D sequence input \( X = [x_1, ..., x_T] \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times T} \) and a convolution filter \( f \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d} \), the dilated causal convolution operation \( F \) on element \( t \) of the sequence is defined as

\[ F(x_t) = (X \ast_d f)(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} f_{T-i} \cdot x_{t+i} \text{ s.t., } t \geq k, x_{<0} := 0 \]  

where \( d \) is the dilation factor, \( k \) is the filter size, and \( t - d \cdot i \) accounts the past. Dilated convolution, i.e., using a larger dilation factor \( d \), enables an output at the top level to represent a wider range of inputs, effectively expanding the receptive field of convolution. Causal convolution, i.e., at each step the convolution is only operated with previous steps, ensures that no future information is leaked to the past [1]. This feature enables TCN to have similar directional structure as RNN models. Then the output sequence \( X' \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times T} \) of the dilated convolution layer can be written as

\[ X' = [F(x_1), F(x_2), ..., F(x_T)] \]

Usually Layer Normalization or Batch Normalization regularization is applied after the convolutional layer for better performance [1, 16]. A TCN model is usually built with multiple causal convolutional layers with a wide receptive field that accounts for long sequence input. There are two major variants of TCN, with their architecture shown in Figure 6.

- **CausalNet** [16]: An early practice as in [16] that connects multiple causal convolutional layers together using downsampling layers (e.g., Average Pooling) between each two convolutional layers as many CNN models do. With the help of the downsampling
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Figure 7: Visualized daily risks (Softmax output of low-level encoder) for two groups of typical physician participants over 6 months, a group that has been consecutively burned-out (left column) and the other stayed unaffected (right column). Darker colors correspond to larger Softmax score. Grey colors denote empty shifts (all shifts are aligned to the right).

In CausalNet, the spatial scale (number of time steps) keeps reducing with higher layers by the Max Pooling layers, while in ResTCN, the spatial scale keeps unchanged. For our prediction task, we have different configuration for HI PAL implemented with CausalNet and ResTCN. For CausalNet, we use a Flattening layer as the final feature aggregation layer before the final Softmax layer. For ResTCN, only the TCN output at the final step is used as the representation for prediction. In our implementation, both CausalNet and ResTCN based HI PAL model have 6 causal convolutional layers. For single-level CausalNet and ResTCN, we set the number of layers as 12 to increase the convolutional receptive field over much longer sequences.

C DESIGN OF SEQUENCE AUTOENCODER

Based on the different architecture of the three base models, FCN, CausalNet, and ResTCN, we configure the Seq-AE in Eq. (11) differently for different base model. For FCN, since there are no spacial size change at all, for the decoder in Eq. (11), we directly take the same FCN for the encoder in Eq. (4) but reverse the order of the layers to get a mirrored structure. For CausalNet as the encoder where downsampling layers (e.g., MaxPool) are used to reduce the spatial scale, in the decoder, we replace the downsampling layers to upsampling layers to increase spatial scale for data reconstruction. And for ResTCN, since we usually use the last output of ResTCN as the representation for any downstream task, in the decoder, we first replicated the representation produced by the encoder in Eq. (4) to every time steps and then feed them to the decoder counterpart of ResTCN configured in the same way. Table 7 shows the hyperparameter used in the implementation.

D FEATURES FOR NON-NEURAL MODELS

We follow our prior work [24] in selecting the summary statistics of activity logs as features for GBM, SVM and RF in Table 1. The features include: a) Workload measures – total EHR time, after-hours EHR time, patient load, inbox time, time spent on notes, chart review, and number of orders, per patient per day. b) Temporal statistics – mean, minimum, maximum, skewness, kurtosis, entropy, total energy, autocorrelation, and slope of time intervals.