Improved photoresponse with enhanced photoelectric contribution in fully suspended graphene photodetectors
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Graphene’s unique optoelectronic properties are promising to realize photodetectors with ultrafast photoresponse over a wide spectral range from far-infrared to ultraviolet radiation. The underlying mechanism of the photoresponse has been a particular focus of recent work and was found to be either photoelectric or photo-thermoelectric in nature and enhanced by hot carrier effects. Graphene supported by a substrate was found to be dominated by the photo-thermoelectric effect, which is known to be an order of magnitude slower than the photoelectric effect. Here we demonstrate fully-suspended chemical vapor deposition grown graphene microribbon arrays that are dominated by the faster photoelectric effect. Substrate removal was found to enhance the photoresponse by four-fold compared to substrate-supported microribbons. Furthermore, we show that the light-current input/output curves give valuable information about the underlying photophysical process responsible for the generated photocurrent. These findings are promising towards wafer-scale fabrication of graphene photodetectors approaching THz cut-off frequencies.

Graphene’s high carrier mobility combined with its universal optical absorption from terahertz to the ultraviolet wavelength regime, as well as its large nonlinear optical coefficients, are promising towards novel applications in photodetection1–5, nonlinear optics6, plasmonics7,8, and broadband optoelectronics9–12. Efficient design of graphene-based optoelectronic devices requires an understanding of the main mechanisms contributing to the observed photocurrent. The light-matter interaction in exfoliated graphene was studied extensively and found to be either of photoelectric or photo-thermoelectric origin, depending on the sample geometry, electrode materials, or biasing1–3,13–22. In particular, the photoresponse in samples with closely spaced metal electrodes was attributed to the photoelectric effect driven by the band bending at the contacts1–3,9,12, while junctions between monolayer and bilayer graphene flakes13 as well as p-n junctions created via electrostatic gating are found to be dominated by the photo-thermoelectric effect15–19. The generated photocurrent is further enhanced by contributions from hot carriers that are generated by the optical excitation into energy states far above the Fermi level. These hot carriers are important since their relaxation via acoustic phonons displays a bottleneck effect18–21, and since their relaxation via electron-electron scattering creates carrier multiplication22–25, leading to a strong decoupling between electron and lattice temperature.

Practical applications require scalable approaches such as chemical vapor deposition (CVD) grown graphene photodetectors. The photophysics of CVD-grown graphene devices is however less understood, and it is a priori unclear to what extent inherent grain boundaries and other defects affect the mechanism of photocurrent generation. A recent time-resolved study of the photoresponse in CVD-grown graphene embedded in a coplanar stripline circuit reveals that the time constant of the photo-thermoelectric effect is, at 130 ps, more than an order of magnitude slower than the photoelectric effect, at 4 ps26. It would, thus, be beneficial to design graphene photodetectors in which the photoelectric effect dominates the photoresponse. In addition to optimizing the response time, one also needs to maximize the magnitude of the photoresponsivity, which is limited in substrate-supported graphene to a few mA/W1,2,9,12,15,16,20,21. Improvements in photoresponsivity have been demonstrated using techniques such as hybrid graphene structures27 and quantum dots28. Very recently, exfoliated graphene that was partially suspended in air was found to improve the photoresponsivity ten-fold, but the slower photo-thermoelectric effect still dominates the photoresponse in such a device configuration29.
Here we demonstrate fully-suspended CVD-grown graphene microribbon arrays that are dominated by the faster photoelectric effect. Removal of the substrate was found to enhance the photocurrent by four-fold compared to substrate-supported microribbons. We also show that the slope of the light-current input/output curves (L-I curves) gives valuable information about the underlying physical process responsible for time-integrated photocurrents. These findings are promising towards wafer-scale fabrication of graphene photodetectors approaching THz cut-off frequencies.

Results
Device fabrication, characterization and measurements. In order to compare the photoresponse of fully suspended graphene microribbons with substrate-supported ones, we fabricated devices using large area CVD-grown graphene which was transferred onto p-type silicon wafers with 300 nm thick thermally grown SiO2 and patterned using electron-beam lithography (For details, see Method Section). The graphene quality was evaluated by Raman spectroscopy as well as optical transmission measurements and found to be monolayer graphene (see Supplementary Fig. S1, S2). For suspending graphene, the fabricated devices were then undercut with buffered oxide etchant (BOE) solution resulting in 5 μm long graphene microribbons suspended between metal contacts as shown in Fig. 1a,b. The photoresponse was measured on the same device before and after it was suspended in order to avoid effects from spatial inhomogeneity in the CVD material. Figure 1c shows the schematic of the device configuration for photocurrent measurements, which were carried out using a standard lock-in technique and by spatial scanning of a 532 nm laser diode operating in continuous mode (For details see Methods Section).

The SEM image in Fig. 1b shows a shadow underneath the graphene microribbon which indicates that it is fully suspended. To quantify this better we recorded two AFM scans of another fully suspended graphene microribbon, one over graphene and the other over the area next to it as indicated by the dashed lines in Fig. 2a. The gap between the suspended graphene microribbon and the silicon surface was determined from Fig. 2b to be at least 250 nm, while the height of the metallization is 150 nm as expected. Both, SEM imaging and AFM scans confirm good suspension of the graphene microribbon without any obvious ripples or twisting that could cause current leakage to the substrate. As fabricated, the graphene microribbon on the SiO2 substrate exhibits heavy p-type doping with the Dirac point sitting beyond +100 V. It is observed that subsequent backgate sweeps are strongly affected by current-annealing effects giving rise to shifts of the Dirac point in each new scan. In order to strongly reduce such effects, we first current-annealed samples for several hours and confirmed that subsequent backgate sweeps are on top of each other. The resulting backgate sweep is shown in Fig. 2c and displays a Dirac point near +15 V, indicating that the unintentional p-type doping from device processing is strongly reduced, similar to previous findings.[35]

Backgated photoresponse of supported graphene. In order to determine the device operation parameters giving rise to a maximal photocurrent response we have recorded the photocurrent as a function of incident optical pump power and backgate voltage varied from negative gate voltage to positive gate voltage. The corresponding data in Fig. 2d show that the highest photocurrent value is achieved under slight negative bias in the p-type region. Beyond the Dirac point, the values sharply drop by two orders of magnitude and display a minimum around 35 V, and recover partly beyond 60 V in the n-type regime. This behavior reflects the effect of electrical doping and the interplay of the Fermi level pinning at the Ti/graphene contacts, the applied moderate source-drain bias of VSD = 100 mV, and the voltage tunable Fermi level of graphene. Since the work function of Ti is approximately 0.3 eV lower than that of graphene[36], the source contact is strongly n-type, while the drain contact is moderately n-type with respect to non-gated graphene. At negative back gate voltages, the Fermi level of graphene is in the valence band and thus the effective lateral electric field required for carrier transport in the resulting n-p-n structure is maximized. The general V-shape of the photocurrent backgate sweep under optical illumination shown in Fig. 2d is similar to the V-shape backgate
sweep of the source-drain current without illumination shown in Fig. 2c, and predominantly reflects the voltage tunable Fermi level of graphene, which follows the Dirac band structure. One apparent difference, however, is the magnitude of the photocurrent in the n-branch at positive back gate voltages, which is one order of magnitude smaller compared to the p-branch. This is in contrast to the values of source-drain currents in the n- and p-region in Fig. 2c, where the n-branch is only about two-fold smaller due to the lower mobility in the n-branch. The reason is that photocarriers are generated in pairs, i.e. an electron and a hole, upon absorption of a photon. Thus there is an additional loss channel due to the possibility of fast carrier recombination in this case, while the unipolar n-type carrier injection from the metal contact in biased graphene without illumination (Fig. 2c) is less affected by this loss channel. As a result, the significantly lower mobility in the n-branch and the particular n-p-n type band lineup from the Fermi level pinning at the Ti/graphene contacts in the graphene ribbons favors backgate biasing in the p-branch for highest photocurrent generation at fixed pump power.

To avoid any possible spatial actuation if the suspended graphene membrane is biased with a back gate, we have chosen to carry out the comparison between supported and suspended devices at $V_g = 0$, since the device is close to optimum performance near this voltage setting as marked with an arrow in Fig. 2d.

**Photoresponse of fully suspended graphene photodetector.** We now discuss the comparison of device performance of the fully suspended graphene microribbon with the supported one. To avoid any influence of varying quality of the CVD graphene material or residual doping, we compare the same device before and after etching the sacrificial SiO$_2$ layer. The incident laser spot was scanned along the length of the graphene microribbon while recording the room-temperature photocurrent under $V_{SD} = 100$ mV, $V_g = 0$ V, from the sample held in vacuum. The scan direction between contacts is illustrated by the dashed line marked “A” in the AFM image of Fig. 2a. The photocurrent contribution $\Delta I_{PC}$ from the graphene layer was corrected by subtracting the background value from the total recorded photocurrent values. Figure 3 shows the photoresponse plotted against the laser position along the graphene microribbon for both cases. At the metal contacts, the photoresponse is sharply diminished compared to the photoresponse at the center of the 5 µm long microribbon. We attribute this dome-shape profile of the photocurrent to the particular Fermi-level bending at the Ti/graphene interface at both contacts. The behavior is similar to previous results with Ti/graphene interfaces at the contacts$^{22}$, which is in contrast to asymmetric profiles with positive and negative current contributions observed with Pt-graphene interfaces$^{26}$. Most importantly, when comparing the peak values in the center, we observed a four-fold improved photoresponse in the fully suspended case.

**Light-current input/output characteristic.** While demonstrating four-fold enhanced photocurrents in large-scale CVD-grown graphene photodetectors is of great technological importance, one would also like to understand the underlying photophysical mechanism to be able to optimize the detector device performance. The two main competing mechanisms for photocurrent generation in graphene are the photo-thermoelectric effect and the photoelectric effect. One way to tell these two effects apart is to characterize their time constant in optical pump-probe experiments which differ by at least an order of magnitude$^{26}$. This technique requires, however, integration of our graphene microribbons into a coplanar stripline circuit. In this study, we show that the slope of the L-I curve is an alternative way to characterize the dominant mechanism for photocurrent generation. To this end the photocurrent was measured on a fully suspended graphene device and a fully supported one, respectively, under variable power, continuous-wave optical excitation. Figure 4 shows the corresponding L-I curve on a logarithmic scale. Above the noise floor of approximately 50 pA, the photocurrent $I_{PC}$ rises proportional with incident power ($P_{opt}$).

Ultimately, $I_{PC}$ saturates at the highest pump power with values for suspended graphene being approximately 6-times smaller than those for supported graphene, as indicated by the arrow labeled “A” in Fig. 4. Above the noise floor and below saturation, the data follow a power law such that $I_{PC} \propto (P_{opt})^\alpha$. For supported graphene we studied five different devices and found an average value of $\alpha = 0.62 \pm 0.05$ at zero gate voltage. In stark contrast, the power exponent of the fully suspended device is with 0.9, near unity. The pronounced change in slope of the L-I input-output curve indicates that the underlying physical mechanism has changed.

**Discussion**

The power relationship between the photo-thermoelectric current ($I_{ITE}$) and the optical pump power $P_{opt}$ can be estimated and yields the slope of the L-I characteristic. For supported graphene the power exponent is with 0.62 near 1, while for suspended graphene it is with 0.9. The latter indicates a large difference in the underlying mechanism of photocurrent generation in the two cases.
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Saturation sets in when all energy states of the hot electrons in the conduction band around the pump energy are populated by the photoexcited carriers. This effect is particularly pronounced if a bottleneck exists for carrier cooling/relaxation, as is expected for suspended graphene, leading to a reduced contribution of the photo-thermoelectric effect, and thus implying larger contributions of the photoelectric effect. Both, the six-fold earlier onset of the saturation behavior at about 80 μW pump power and the slope of the L-I curve close to unity strongly indicate that the photocurrent generation in our fully suspended graphene device is dominated by the photoelectric effect.

In contrast to our findings, a recent study of partially supported graphene shows that such a sample design yields a dominant photo-thermoelectric effect. Partially supported means that next to the suspended graphene area, a region several micrometers long of substrate-supported graphene exists, which can give rise to efficient carrier cooling via substrate interactions and thus a large spatial temperature gradient in carrier temperatures. This ΔTc, the drive for the Seebeck effect and thus a strong photo-thermoelectric contribution (long red arrow in Fig. 5a).

In contrast, for suspended graphene the SPP interaction is absent and charged impurity scattering is strongly reduced between source and drain contacts, giving rise to much higher carrier mobility. On one hand this improves the chance that carriers reach contacts in the applied bias field before recombination, resulting in a limited contribution from the photo-electric effect (short blue arrow in Fig. 5a). On the other hand the SPP scattering and charged impurity scattering effectively cools the carriers, while they diffuse and drift in the field towards contacts, giving rise to a large spatial gradient ATc, in carrier temperatures. This ATc is the drive for the Seebeck effect and thus a strong photo-thermoelectric contribution.

Quantitatively, the photoelectric contribution depends linearly on photogenerated carrier density which is directly proportional to the rate of absorbed photons and thus the incident excitation power. Therefore, the photoelectric contribution Ip should be linearly proportional to the incident power PPump, i.e. $\alpha = 1$. The observed power exponent of $\alpha = 0.9 \pm 0.05$ in the experiment of our fully suspended graphene device is in good agreement with a dominant contribution from the photo-electric effect. We attribute the attenuation of the value of $\alpha$ from unity to 0.9 to the photo-thermoelectric ($\alpha = 0.66$) effect still partly contributing to the photocurrent in this device. In addition, Fig. 4 shows that the photocurrent saturation occurs at six-fold lower laser power values in the fully suspended graphene device than those in the supported device (horizontal arrow marked “A”).

Methods

Device fabrication. Large area graphene was grown on high purity copper foil using a low pressure CVD furnace at 1000 °C in a hydrogen, argon and methane environment. Raman spectrum of the graphene transferred onto 300 nm SiO2 substrate confirms good quality monolayer graphene film (For more details see supporting online information.). The graphene was then etched into ribbons using reactive ion etching with O3. The patterned graphene microribbons were then contacted with e-beam evaporated 125 nm thick Ti/Au contact pads, defined using e-beam lithography of PMMA resist and lifted off by N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP).

The devices were then wire bonded using 25 μm thick Au wires on a chip carrier for electrical measurements. For suspending graphene, we etched the 300 nm thick...
underlying layer of SiO₂ using (6:1) buffered oxide etchant (BOE) followed by critical point drying (CPD) to avoid damage to the suspended graphene ribbon.

**Electrical and photocurrent measurements.** All the measurements were performed at room temperature in a chamber with vacuum level of 10⁻⁷ mbar. The photocurrent of the graphene ribbons was measured using a lock-in amplifier by positioning a 532 nm wavelength laser spot onto the ribbon. A spot of approximately 1 mm diameter (FWHM) was focused using a 50 × objective with working distance of 13 mm. The position of the laser spot was manipulated by using piezoelectric motor controlled scanning mirrors with nanometer precision in X and Y directions. The incident laser power, which was measured to be 20 mW at maximum, was controlled by using neutral density filters to sweep between 1 nW and 2.8 mW. The beam was modulated with a chopper wheel at 2 kHz. All current and voltage values were supplied by two Keithly 2400 source meters, while output was read from the lock-in amplifier. A moderate source-drain bias of 0.1 V was used for photocurrent measurements. Back-gate sweeps were performed with 1 mV voltage ranges selected to center the Dirac point.
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