Feasibility Study for Elimination of the Screening Current-Induced Fields in HTS Coil
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Abstract Herein, we report a feasibility study for elimination of the screening current-induced field (SCIF) in fully insulated (INS) and no-insulation (NI) GdBCO coated conductor (CC) coils exposed to an external AC magnetic field generated by background solenoid copper coils. Prior to investigating the effects of external AC magnetic fields on SCIF in the GdBCO CC coils, the magnetic flux density ($B_z$) was calculated using the equivalent circuit model and compared to the $B_z$ obtained empirically to quantify the SCIF in the INS and NI coils. The value of the SCIF in the NI coil was smaller than that in the INS coil without the use of an external AC magnetic field, due to the current paths originating from the turn-to-turn and layer-to-layer contacts, suggesting that the screening current dissipated by contact resistance during charging. When the INS and NI coils were exposed to the external AC magnetic field, the $B_z$ of the coils increased gradually, and eventually saturating to the calculated $B_z$ values, indicating full removal of the SCIF. In addition, the SCIF of the NI coil could be removed under subjection to a lower external AC magnetic field compared to the INS coil, due to the lower SCIF occurring in the NI coil after charging.
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1 Introduction

The second generation (2G) high-temperature superconductor (HTS) coated conductor (CC) shows promise for superconducting applications because of its high critical current ($I_c$) density, and the low dependency of $I_c$ on the external magnetic field compared to low temperature counterparts. Therefore, magnets fabricated with 2G HTS CC can only be used for the development of ultra-high-field magnets that will be used for nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) conducted beyond 1 GHz [1–4]. However, during charging of the HTS magnet, the magnetic flux can penetrate into the vortex at above a certain critical field strength, which causes a screening current to circulate within the HTS even after complete discharge of the magnet. The screening current generates a remnant magnetic field, termed the screening current-induced field (SCIF), and exists until the HTS magnet loses its superconductivity [5–8]. Such an undesirable remnant field leads to detrimental effects on NMR performance, such as spatial homogeneity and temporal stability of the magnetic field within a target volume at the magnet’s center, which is a major hindrance to the practical utilization of HTS in high-resolution NMR.

Recently, the no-insulation (NI) winding technique has been actively studied for the development of magnets with greatly enhanced mechanical integrity and thermal stability [9–16]. This technique can be applied to HTS to achieve reduced weight, size, and consumption of the conductor, especially for use in NMR spectroscopy as an NMR magnet generating an extremely high field. According to recent studies, the SCIF of the 2G HTS magnet, which is
conventionally insulated every turn, can be effectively removed by exposing the magnet to an external AC magnetic field [17, 18]. When the flat surface of the HTS CC lay in parallel to an external AC magnetic field, the direction of the magnetization changed from the radial direction to the axial direction, the so-called vortex shaking effect [19–22]. Consequently, the changed direction of magnetization in the HTS CC cannot affect the center field of the magnet being charged at the target current.

In this study, the effect of an external AC magnetic field on the elimination of SCIF in both fully insulated (INS) and NI coils was clearly investigated by measuring the magnetic field at the center of the coils ($B_z$) with respect to the amplitude of the AC currents in background coils wound with copper wires.

## 2 Experimental Setup

Table 1 lists the design parameters of the solenoid test coils fabricated using Cu-stabilized GdBCO coated conductor (SuNAM Co., Ltd.), to a width of 4.1-mm and a thickness of 0.1-mm. In this study, two types of GdBCO CC coils were fabricated: one wound with Kapton tape (INS), and the other wound without turn-to-turn insulation (NI). Both coils had similar configurations, indicating similar coil constants and self-inductances. The critical current ($I_c$) values of the INS and NI coils were measured at 77 K using a criterion of $1 \mu V/cm$ to be 167 and 170 A, respectively. The background copper coils (inner/outer BG coils) consisted of 180 turns and 2 layers of copper wires with a diameter of 1 mm. The specifications of both inner/outer BG coils are summarized in Table 2. Figure 1 shows a photograph of the solenoid test coils, including the GdBCO CC coils and BG coils used in this study.

A schematic drawing of the experimental setup can be seen in Fig. 2. The BG coils were connected with an AC power source to generate an external AC magnetic field. The tests for the elimination of SCIF were conducted in the following steps: 1) placing the INS or NI coil coaxially between the two BG coils connected in series while maintaining a gap of 5 mm, 2) charging the INS or NI coil up to 50 A at a ramping rate of 2 A/s in a bath of liquid nitrogen at 77 K, 3) applying various AC currents ($I_{AC}$) to the BG coils at a frequency of 100 Hz for 300 s to generate an external AC magnetic field, 4) discharging the INS or NI coil with subsequent warming to room temperature for complete elimination of the SCIF, and 5) repetition of steps 1–4 with increasing $I_{AC}$. The magnetic field at the center of the coils ($B_z$) was continuously monitored and recorded by a data acquisition (DAQ) system.

### Table 1 Design parameters of solenoid test coils

| Parameters                | INS coil | NI coil |
|---------------------------|----------|---------|
| Conductor                 |          |         |
| Width; thickness [mm]     | 4.1; 0.1 |         |
| Stabilizer thickness [μm] | 32 – 50  |         |
| Ag layer thickness [μm]   | 0.9 – 1.1|         |
| GdBCO layer thickness [μm]| 0.9 – 1.1|         |
| Buffer layer thickness [μm]| 0.085 – 0.105| |
| Substrate thickness [μm]  | 58 – 62  |         |
| Coil                      |          |         |
| Insulation method         | Turn-to-turn | No insulation |
| Insulation material       | Kapton   | N/A     |
| Total length of the CC used [m] | 7.95 | 7.89 |
| Number of turns           | 15       | 15      |
| Number of layers          | 3        | 3       |
| Inner; outer diameter [mm] | 65; 67 | 65; 65.5 |
| Coil height [mm]          | 60       | 60      |
| Coil constant ($B_z$ at 1 A) [mT/A] | 0.6340 | 0.6379 |
| Self-inductance [μH]      | 95.4     | 94.6    |
| $I_c$ @ 77 K, self-field [A] | 167 | 710 |

### Table 2 Specifications of the BG coils

| Parameters                  | Inner/outer BG coils |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| Winding direction           | Clockwise/counterclockwise |
| Diameter of copper wire [mm]| 1                    |
| Total length of the wire used [m] | 5.65 / 9.61 |
| Number of turns             | 180                  |
| Number of layers            | 2                    |
| Inner; outer diameter [mm]  | 50; 54 / 85; 89      |
| Coil height [mm]            | 180                  |
| Coil constant ($B_z$ at 1 A) [mT/A] | 2.4145 / 2.2628 |
| Self-inductance [μH]        | 166 / 435            |

**Fig. 1** Photograph of the test coils: GdBCO CC coils (left) and BG coils (right)
3 Vortex Shaking Effect

Figure 3a shows a schematic drawing of the screening current and magnetic field distribution within the GdBCO CC. When the GdBCO CC coil is charged by a direct transport current \( I_{DC} \), the radial DC field \( B_{DC} \) perpendicularly penetrating through the flat surface of the CC induces the screening current in such a direction as to oppose \( B_{DC} \) penetration. Consequently, the screening current which permanently flows in the superconductor, i.e., the supercurrent, leads to magnetization in the opposite direction of the \( B_{DC} \). As shown in Fig. 3b, when the alternating current \( I_{AC} \) is supplied to the BG coils, the axial AC magnetic field \( B_{AC} \) becomes dominant due to cancellation of the radial \( B_{AC} \) generated by reversely wound BG coils. In the superconducting layer, circulation of the screening current is compelled to compensate for the axially oscillating \( B_{AC} \). Such a screening current causes the superconductor to become magnetized axially, leading to elimination of the SCIF generated by \( B_{DC} \) because the axial magnetization hardly affects the center field [17, 18]. This change of magnetization induced by the \( B_{DC} \) reversing polarity into the axial direction perpendicular to the self-field of the coil is called the vortex shaking effect.
4 Results and Discussion

4.1 Determination of the SCIF in the NI Coil

The SCIF in a conventionally insulated coil can be readily determined after the ramping current reaches the target current. However, in the case of NI coils, in which turn-to-turn insulation was completely eliminated, the resulting charging delays prove to be an obstacle to the clarification of SCIF magnitude. The delays observed in NI coils are caused by the radial current path through the non-insulated turn-to-turn contacts. During charging of the supply current, the inductance voltage compels the supply current to become bifurcated into radial and spiral current flows. Thus, the spiral current lags behind the supply current, consequently leading to the charging delays typically observed in NI coils [9–16]. For measurement of the SCIF, even after coils come under steady-state operation, the field error caused by delays could be subsumed in the experimentally measured $B_z$, as well as the SCIF in the NI coils. Hence, to eliminate the field delay from the measured $B_z$ traces, the charging characteristics of NI coils should be calculated using the equivalent circuit model (see Fig. 4). By applying Kirchhoff’s laws, the equivalent circuit model can be expressed by the following equation:

$$L_{coil} \frac{d(I_{sc})}{dt} + V_c \left( \frac{I_{sc}}{I_c} \right)^n = R_c (I_{op} - I_{sc}).$$

(1)

In order to obtain the $R_c$ of the NI coil for the calculation of $B_z(t)$, sudden discharge tests were carried out in a bath of liquid nitrogen at 77 K. During the tests, $I_{op}$ was kept constant so that the coil would be under steady-state operation before $I_{op}$ was cut off.

Figure 5 shows the result of the sudden discharge test at 50 A for the NI coil. To facilitate comparison, each $B_z$ was normalized to its initial value. The decay time constants ($\tau$) of the NI coil, defined as the time at the normalized $B_z$ of 0.37, were obtained as 5.35. The $R_c$ of the NI coil was then calculated to be 17.68 $\mu\Omega$, using the following equation:

$$R_c = \frac{L_{coil}}{\tau}.$$ 

(2)

The $B_z$ of the NI coil under the charging conditions could be simulated by applying the $R_c$ calculated based on the equivalent circuit model.

The measured and simulated $B_z$ traces of the NI coil after the charging test at 50 A, with a ramping rate of 2 A/s, are shown in Fig. 6. The simulated $B_z$ of the NI coil increased logarithmically to reach 30.84 mT at ca. 63 s, which was 38 s later than the time when the coil actually came under steady-state operation (25 s).

However, the measured $B_z$ still remained below 30.84 mT even at 80 s, exhibiting a SCIF of ca. $-0.84$ mT. Note that the SCIF at the center of the energized coil had the opposite polarity to the magnetic field generated by the supply current [23]. The test results indicated that the SCIF in the NI coil could be defined at the time reference 38 s past the start of steady-state operation, as the charging delays were clearly diminished at that time.
4.2 The Effects of the External AC Magnetic Field on SCIF in INS and NI Coils

Figure 7 shows the axial center field of the INS and NI coils with respect to the amplitude of the $I_{AC}$. The target $B_z$ in the figures represent the $B_z$ values obtained numerically using the equivalent circuit model presented in Fig. 4, which are proportional to the magnet constant ($B_z/A$). During charging of the INS coil at 50 A, the $B_z$ without the application of an external AC field (i.e., $I_{AC} = 0$ A) was 30.84 mT, corresponding to the SCIF of ca. 0.84 mT. When the INS coil was exposed to an external AC field, the $B_z$ increased logarithmically, i.e., the SCIF decreased exponentially. The measured $B_z$ values of the INS coil at $I_{AC} = 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24$, and 28 A were 30.95, 31.08, 31.15, 31.29, 31.41, 31.56, and 31.70 mT, respectively. As expected, the SCIF of the INS coil linearly decreased with increasing $I_{AC}$. However, the $B_z$ trace at $I_{AC} = 32$ A was almost identical to that at $I_{AC} = 28$ A, implying extinction of the SCIF. This means that the orientation of the GdBCO magnetization in the INS coil was completely changed into the axial direction.

As shown in Fig. 7b, the SCIF of the NI coil at $I_{AC} = 0$ A was ca. −0.64 mT, which was 24 % lower than that of the INS coil at $I_{AC} = 0$ A. This result implied that a screening current induced by the $B_{DC}$ could flow not only along its original path in the winding direction, but also through the turn-to-turn and layer-to-layer contacts in the axial and radial directions. This suggested that the screening current may decay through contact resistance, resulting in a lower SCIF compared to the INS coil.
The SCIFs in the NI coil exhibited similar behavior to those in the INS coil under the external AC magnetic field. The $B_z$ values of the NI coil at 300 s for $I_{AC} = 4, 8, 12, 16,$ and $20$ A were $31.39, 31.47, 31.61, 31.78,$ and $31.86$ mT, respectively. However, further increase of the $I_{AC}$ led to saturated fields of $31.89$ mT at $24$ A and $31.89$ mT at $28$ A. The field saturation of the NI coil observed at a lower external AC field resulted from the lower value of the SCIF compared to the INS coil. Consequently, the SCIFs in the NI coil could be completely eliminated, even at lower $I_{AC}$ compared to the INS coil, enabling the practical utilization of NI magnets in high-resolution NMR spectrometers.

5 Conclusion

In order to eliminate the screening current-induced fields (SCIF) in GdBCO CC coils by exposing them to external AC magnetic fields, fully insulated (INS) and no-insulation (NI) GdBCO CC coils were fabricated for testing. Prior to investigating the effects of an external AC magnetic field on the SCIF, the magnetic flux density ($B_z$) of the coil was calculated using the equivalent circuit model, and compared to the $B_z$ obtained empirically to quantify the SCIF in the INS and NI coils. The value of the SCIF measured for the NI coil ($-0.64$ mT) was $24\%$ smaller than that of the INS coil ($-0.84$ mT) due to the current paths originating from the turn-to-turn and layer-to-layer contacts, suggesting that the screening current dissipated through contact resistance during charging. As both the INS and NI coils were exposed to an external AC magnetic field, the $B_z$ of the coils increased gradually to eventually saturate at the theoretical values of $31.7$ and $31.9$ mT, respectively. This indicated full elimination of the SCIF, because of a change in the orientation of GdBCO magnetization (vortex shaking effect). In addition, the SCIF in the NI coil could be removed completely under lower external AC magnetic fields compared to the INS coil, due to the lower SCIF in the NI coil after charging. The experimental results were also in good agreement with the simulations, demonstrating the validity of the equivalent circuit model. Overall, the SCIF in the NI coil could be readily removed during exposure of the GdBCO CC coils to the external AC magnetic field, resulting in improvement of the temporal stability of the NI HTS coil, thus guaranteeing the applicability of NI coils for practical high-resolution NMR spectrometers.
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