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Urban-rural differences in catastrophic health expenditure among households with chronic non-communicable disease patients: evidence from China Family Panel Studies

Abstract

Background: The prevalence of chronic non-communicable diseases (NCDs) challenges the Chinese health system reform. Little is known for the differences in catastrophic health expenditure (CHE) between urban and rural households with NCD patients. This study aims to measure the differences above and quantify the contribution of each variable in explaining the urban-rural differences.

Methods: The second and the fourth waves of the China Family Panel Studies (CFPS) data, conducted in 2012 and 2016, were employed in this cross-sectional study. The techniques of Fairlie nonlinear decomposition and Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition were employed to measure the contribution of each independent variable to the urban-rural differences.

Results: The CHE incidence and intensity of households with NCD patients were significantly higher in rural areas than in urban areas. The explained disparity of CHE incidence increased from 3.15% in 2012 to 27.04% in 2016, and the corresponding values of CHE intensity rose from 21.30% in 2012 to 53.37% in 2016. The major
contribution to the urban-rural differences in CHE was associated
with household economic status, education level, health status and
supplementary medical insurance (SMI).

Conclusions: Compared with urban households with NCD patients,
rural households with NCD patients have higher risk of incurring CHE
and heavier economic burden of diseases. Policy interventions should
give priority to decreasing the urban-rural disparity in observable
characteristics mentioned above.

Keywords: Catastrophic health expenditure, Fairlie nonlinear
decomposition, Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition, China.

1. Background

Achieving universal health coverage, defined as ensuring that all
people have access to essential health services without suffering
financial constraints by 2030, is one of the key targets of the
sustainable development goals (SDGs) [1, 2]. However, a global
monitoring report released by the WHO and World Bank reflects the
situation of “poverty caused by illness” in the global population in
2017: (1) more than 122 million people were classified as "poor"
(living on less than $3.10 a day) due to health care expenditure; (2)
about 100 million people were pushed into "extremely poor" (living
on less than $1.90 a day) because they have to pay for health care [3].
With the prevalence of chronic non-communicable diseases (NCDs) accompanied by accelerated population aging, increasing number of individuals worldwide will suffer from catastrophic health expenditure (CHE) in the future.

As the global epicenter of NCDs epidemic, China is under great pressure. A 2005 study estimated that NCDs had become the leading cause of death and disease burden in China, accounting for 80% of deaths and 70% of disability-adjusted life-years lost [4]. In 2015, NCDs contributed to 86.6% of all deaths and 70% of the total disease burden in China [5]. The heavy burden of NCDs has greatly increased the economic risks for many vulnerable groups in China.

The fundamental functions of a health system is not only to promote access to essential health care services, but also to improve the ability of households to withstand the financial catastrophe associated with illness [6]. The Chinese health system has been working to protect vulnerable households against CHE. In 2009, China’s new round of health system reform involved a series of policy measures, including the reduction of out-of-pocket (OOP) medical expenditure and expansion of basic health care coverage by 2020 [7, 8]. Three types of basic medical insurance schemes, including the Urban Employee Basic Medical Insurance, Urban Residents Basic
Medical Insurance and New Rural Cooperative Medical Scheme, have been established to decrease the financial burden of NCDs on households. In 2013, more than 95% of residents were covered by basic medical insurance in China, which was a sign of universal coverage of basic medical insurance [9, 10]. In addition, supplementary medical insurance (SMI), including commercial medical insurance, public servant medical subsidy, enterprise supplementary medical subsidy, employee medical subsidy for large medical expenses, and employee mutual medical insurance, was established to meet the needs of residents for multiple levels of health services [11]. However, there was still evidence that medical expenditure due to NCDs played an important role in the main causes of poverty among rural households in China [12]. As NCDs are characterized by long treatment duration and high treatment costs [13], substantial financial hardships create obstacles to health services utilization for rural households with NCD patients in China, leading to further escalation of health problems. Therefore, it is necessary and urgent to pay attention to the CHE among rural households with NCD patients.

Several researches have investigated the financial catastrophe among individuals or households suffering from NCDs around the
Three existing studies emphasized that households with NCD patients were in the high risk to incur CHE in China, Korea and Iran \[9, 14, 15\]. Gwatidzo (2017) found that adults aged 50 or above in India were less likely to incur CHE due to diabetes mellitus medication use compared to China \[16\]. Zhao (2019) identified that the CHE incidence among rural households with NCD patients notably exceeded the average level of urban households with NCD patients in China \[17\]. Xie (2017) verified the main reasons why households with members suffering from NCDs in rural China were prone to CHE \[18\]. To sum up, most of the studies have explored the CHE of households with NCD patients in rural areas of a country or in a whole country. However, there is still a lack of discussion on the urban-rural differences in CHE among households with NCD patients and its influencing factors. In addition, understanding the urban-rural differences in the financial risks of NCD medical expenses and the factors related to the differences can prompt more effective efforts to reduce the economic risk of rural households with NCD patients.

The objectives of this study were as follows: (1) to measure the extent of CHE for urban and rural households with NCD patients, (2) to examine the urban-rural differences in the degree of CHE between the two groups, and (3) to quantify the contribution of each variable.
to the urban-rural differences.

2. Methods

2.1. Data source

This study was based on a publicly available database, the China Family Panel Studies (CFPS), which was conducted by the Institute of Social Science Survey (ISSS) of Peking University every two years from 2010 to 2016. The CFPS used a three-stage, stratified, probability-proportional-to-scale (PPS) random sampling method to select sample from twenty-five provinces in China. It was representative that the sample of CFPS representing 94.5% of the population in mainland China [19]. The questionnaire for CFPS involved a wide range of variables, such as demography characteristics, socioeconomic status, health status, health services utilization, family relationships and medical insurance and so on.

We used the second and the fourth waves of cross-sectional data from CFPS, which involved 13,315 households in 2012 and 14,019 households in 2016, respectively. The inclusion criteria for the interviewed households were as follows: (1) having completed information; and (2) having members with NCDs. In this survey, NCDs were determined by whether a respondent had been
diagnosed by a doctor within the previous six months? Finally, 2,871 households with NCD patients in 2012 and 4,065 households with NCD patients in 2016 were specialized in this study, including 1,348 households in urban areas and 1,523 households in rural areas in 2012, and 1,982 households in urban areas and 2,083 households in rural areas in 2016.

2.2. Measurement of CHE

We referred to the studies of Wagstaff and van Doorslaer to determine the relevant indicators of measuring CHE [20, 21]. OOP medical expenditure only included direct medical expenditure made by any household members, and excluded indirect expenditure related to seeking health services (e.g., transportation, food, accommodation, lost productivity due to illness). Since the substitution of non-food household expenditure for total household expenditure partly avoided the measurement deviations that were often overlooked in poor households, we used non-food household expenditure as the denominator to calculate CHE [22, 23]. The non-food expenditure of a household is defined as the portion of total household expenditure excluding food household expenditure. According to exiting literature [17, 22, 24, 25], the threshold for CHE was defined as 40%. More specifically, if OOP medical expenditure of
a household exceeded 40% of its non-food household expenditure, the household was classified as incurring CHE. A binary variable was defined to determine whether a household experienced CHE or not, as shown in formula (1):

$$E_i = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } \frac{T_i}{(x_i - f_i)} < \text{threshold} \\
1 & \text{if } \frac{T_i}{(x_i - f_i)} \geq \text{threshold} 
\end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

where $T_i$ means the OOP medical expenditure of household $i$, $x_i$ is the total expenditure of household $i$, $f_i$ stands for the food expenditure of household $i$, and threshold is defined as 40%. The calculation of CHE incidence and intensity can be specified as below:

$$H = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} E_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

$$O = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} E_i \left( \frac{T_i}{(x_i - f_i)} - z \right) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} O_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

$$MPO = \frac{O}{H}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

where $N$ represents the total sample size, $H$ means the CHE incidence in the overall sample. CHE intensity is estimated by overshoot and mean positive overshoot (MPO). $O$ stands for overshoot, which is the average percentage of OOP medical expenditure that exceeds a given threshold in the overall sample [26].
MPO indicates the average percentage of OOP medical expenditure in excess of the threshold among households incurring CHE [20]. The higher values of overshoot and MPO both stand for heavier financial burden of diseases for the household.

2.3. Definitions of independent variables

Referring to the previous reports, we included the characteristics of each household and its household head into the regression model as independent variables [22, 23, 27-29]. Households characteristics involved eight variables: the annual household income per capita, household size, receiving inpatient services, having members below 5 years old, having elderly members, having members covered by basic medical insurance, having members covered by SMI, and geographic location. The characteristics of household head involved four variables: gender, education, marriage, and self-assessed health status. We used the natural logarithm of the annual household income per capita to measure economic status of a household. Table 1 presents the detailed descriptions of the above independent variables.

2.4. Methodology

The Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition technique, proposed by
Blinder and Oaxaca [30, 31], was applied in this study to analyze the contribution of each independent variable to the urban-rural differences in CHE. The implementation of decomposition analysis needs to be based on the relationship between CHE and a series of independent variables.

As CHE incidence \( (E_i) \) is a binary variable, probit model is applied to estimate the effect of the independent variables on the CHE incidence. The specific regression model is shown below:

\[
Y^Y = F(X^Y \beta^Y)
\]

where \( F \) represents the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal distribution, superscript \( y \) represents the rural or urban households, \( Y \) is the CHE incidence, \( X \) stands for the independent variables, and \( \beta \) denotes the regression coefficient.

Fairlie extended the technique of Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition to the application of nonlinear model [32, 33]. Given the probit regression model is a nonlinear regression model, this study employed the method of Fairlie nonlinear decomposition to decompose the urban-rural differences in CHE incidence between two groups into two components:
\[
\bar{Y}^R - \bar{Y}^U = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N^R} \frac{F(X^R_i \beta^R)}{N^R} - \sum_{i=1}^{N^U} \frac{F(X^U_i \beta^R)}{N^U} \right] + \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N^U} \frac{F(X^U_i \beta^R)}{N^U} - \sum_{i=1}^{N^U} \frac{F(X^U_i \beta^U)}{N^U} \right] \tag{6}
\]

Where superscript \(R\) represents the rural households, superscript \(U\) means the urban households. \(\bar{Y}\) does not necessarily equal \(F(\bar{X} \beta)\). The first term in formula (6) stands for the explained part of the urban-rural differences between two groups, which is caused by the disparity in distribution of independent variables, and the second term represents the unexplained part due to the disparity in regression coefficient [34].

The detailed decomposition involves a natural one-to-one matching of cases between the two groups to identify the contribution of independent variables. The subsample was drawn from the majority group (rural households), and matched the minority group (urban households) based on the ranking of CHE incidence. The contribution of variable \(X_1\) to the urban-rural differences in CHE incidence is estimated as follows:

\[
\frac{1}{N^U} \sum_{i=1}^{N^U} F(\alpha^* + X^R_{1i} \beta_1^* + X^R_{2i} \beta_2^*) - F(\alpha^* + X^U_{1i} \beta_1^* + X^R_{2i} \beta_2^*) \tag{7}
\]
Where $\beta^*$ stands for the regression coefficient from the probit model for the overall sample. It should be noted that the results are sensitive to the order of independent variables in the decomposition of nonlinear model [34]. Following Fairlie [33], independent variables were randomly ordered in the decomposition of nonlinear model. This study repeated the above steps 1000 times to obtain the average value of decomposition results, representing the contribution of each independent variable.

Similarly, the contribution of $X_2$ to the urban-rural differences in CHE incidence is calculated as follows:

$$\frac{1}{N_U} \sum_{i=1}^{N_U} F(\alpha^* + X_{1i}^U\beta_1^* + X_{2i}^R\beta_2^*) - F(\alpha^* + X_{1i}^U\beta_1^* + X_{2i}^U\beta_2^*) \quad (8)$$

In addition, since the CHE intensity ($O_i$) is a continuous variable, multiple linear regression is used to analyze the factors affecting the CHE intensity. The specific regression model can be written as:

$$Y^Y = X^Y\beta^Y + \varepsilon^Y \quad (9)$$

where $Y$ represents the CHE intensity, $X$ stands for a vector of independent variables, $\beta$ is a vector of regression coefficient including intercept, and $\varepsilon$ denotes the random error term.

The contribution of each independent variable to the urban-rural differences in CHE intensity between two groups was divided
into two components using two-fold Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition approach [35, 36]:

\[
\bar{Y}^R - \bar{Y}^U = (\bar{X}^R - \bar{X}^U)\beta^* + [\bar{X}^R(\beta^R - \beta^*) + \bar{X}^U(\beta^* - \beta^U)]
\]

(9)

Where \( \beta^* \) denotes the regression coefficient from the multiple linear regression for the overall sample, \( \bar{X} \) represents the corresponding covariate means of the independent variables. The first term indicates the explained part, representing the contribution attributable to group disparity in distribution of independent variables, and the second term indicates the unexplained part, representing the contribution attributable to group disparity in regression coefficient.

All statistical analyses were performed in STATA software version 15.1, and p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Descriptive statistics

Table 2 shows the summary statistics for general characteristics of the urban and rural households with NCD patients. The annual household income per capita of urban and rural households were 18,513.86 CNY and 9,538.07 CNY in 2012, rising to 29,905.45 CNY and 16,110.23 CNY in 2016. In both 2012
and 2016, the mean household size in rural areas was greater than that in urban areas. Meanwhile, the rural households had higher probability in receiving inpatient services in the last 12 months, having children below 5 years old, having elderly members, having basic medical insurance, and having married household head than urban households. With respect to the coverage of SMI, the proportions of households having SMI were higher in urban areas in comparison with the rural areas in 2012 and 2016. The percentages of households having female household head were higher in urban areas than in rural areas. The proportion of urban households located in the east is the highest, and the percentages of rural households located in the west is the highest. Among urban households, household heads of education achievement of high school and above had the highest proportion, while among rural households, household heads of education level of illiterate had the highest percentage.

3.2. CHE incidence and intensity.

Table 3 illustrates CHE incidence and intensity of urban and rural households with NCD patients. In 2016, 19.88% of households in urban areas experienced CHE. Meanwhile, the
overshoot of urban households was 4.39% in 2016, suggesting that the average percentage of OOP medical expenditure that exceeded the given threshold over all urban households was 4.39%. The MPO for urban households was 22.08% in 2016, meaning that if the burden of overshoot was divided equally by all urban households incurring CHE, the average extent of exceeding given threshold was 22.08%. Each of the other row could be interpreted in a similar pattern for rural/urban households with NCD patients in 2012/2016.

3.3. Associated factors of CHE incidence.

Table 4 presents the probit regression results for factors associated with the CHE incidence in urban and rural households with NCD patients. Household size and education attainment of household head significantly decreased the CHE incidence, while receiving inpatient services in the last 12 months and having elderly members significantly increased the occurrence of exposure to CHE. Economic status was negatively correlated with CHE incidence, but the correlation was not statistically significant in the sample of rural households in 2012. The geographic location of central and west significantly reduced the CHE incidence of rural households in 2016. Meanwhile, the geographic location of
west was negatively correlated with CHE incidence of rural households in 2012. Poor self-assessed health status of household head significantly increased the CHE incidence for urban households in 2012 and for rural households in 2016. Basic medical insurance and SMI did not affect CHE incidence at a significant level.

3.4. Associated factors of CHE intensity.

The associated factors of the CHE intensity \( (O_i) \) are shown in Table 5. Economic status and household size significantly reduced the CHE intensity, while receiving inpatient services in the last 12 months and having elderly members significantly increased the CHE intensity. The geographic location of central and west significantly decreased the CHE intensity of rural households in 2016. Meanwhile, the geographic location of west was negatively associated with CHE intensity of rural households in 2012. Education of household head was negatively associated with CHE intensity, but did not significantly affect the CHE intensity of rural households in 2012. Poor self-assessed health status of household head significantly increased the CHE intensity of rural households. Basic medical insurance and SMI did not affect CHE intensity at a significant level.
3.5. Aggregate decomposition.

Table 6 displays the results for aggregate decomposition of the urban-rural differences in CHE incidence and intensity ($O_i$) among households with NCD patients. The explained disparity of CHE incidence increased from 3.15% in 2012 to 27.04% in 2016, and the corresponding values of CHE intensity rose from 21.30% in 2012 to 53.37% in 2016.

3.6. Decomposition of contribution of all explanatory variables.

The urban-rural differences in CHE incidence and intensity ($O_i$) among households with NCD patients is further decomposed into the contribution of each variable, as shown in Table 7 and Table 8.

With respect to the urban-rural differences in CHE incidence in 2012, the explained part was mainly attributed to household size (-31.49%), geographic location (west, -17.00%), and education of household head (middle school, 5.79%; high school and above, 27.46%). The main contribution to the explained disparity in CHE incidence in 2016 was associated with economic status (29.80%), household size (-33.22%), geographic location (west, -18.73%), gender of household head (4.72%), education of
household head (middle school, 5.54%), and self-assessed health status of household head (poor, 19.06%).

With regard to the explained disparity of CHE intensity in 2012, the main contributors were economic status (36.90%), household size (-37.08%), geographic location (west, -18.75%), gender of household head (6.76%), education of household head (primary school, -5.97%; middle school, 4.46%; high school and above, 21.62%), and self-assessed health status of household head (poor, 6.62%). In 2016, the explained disparity in CHE intensity was mainly associated with economic status (47.19%), household size (-34.78%), SMI (2.27%), geographic location (west, -13.82%), education of household head (primary school, -7.25%; middle school, 4.72%; high school and above, 28.54%), and self-assessed health status of household head (poor, 11.91%).

4. Discussion:

By analyzing the national representative cross-sectional data of two waves from the CFPS in 2012 and 2016, this study estimates the extent of CHE for urban and rural households with
NCD patients, as well as the differences in the degree of CHE
between the two groups.

Here, we found that the CHE incidence of households with
NCD patients in urban and rural areas were 19.88% and 26.02%,
respectively, which are much higher than the results of another
study on the overall proportion of households incurring CHE in
China (urban households: 13.06%; rural households: 17.70%)
[17]. It indicates that the risk tolerance of households with NCD
patients to OOP medical expenditure is lower than the average
level of Chinese households. Our results also showed that the
households with NCD patients had higher incidence and intensity
of CHE in rural areas than in urban areas, demonstrating that rural
households with NCD patients have higher risk of incurring CHE
and heavier economic burden of diseases.

Using regression analysis to examine the relevant influencing
factors for CHE incidence and intensity in 2012 and 2016, this
research identified several key determinants reported in prior
studies (e.g., economic status, household size, having elderly
members, education of household head, receiving inpatient
services in the last 12 months) [10, 22, 23, 37]. Specifically, higher
annual household income per capita, larger household size and
higher education level of household head protected against CHE in urban and rural households with NCD patients. The geographic location of the west area reduced the risk of incurring CHE and financial burden of diseases in rural households with NCD patients. A potential explanation is that rural households with NCD patients in the western China forgo their needed health services due to the low income [38]. Conversely, households with elderly members and poor self-assessed health status of household head had higher risk of incurring CHE and heavier economic burden of diseases.

Basic medical insurance did not significantly reduce the incidence and intensity of CHE in both two groups, which is consistent with some existing literature [11, 22, 39-41]. The weak effect of basic medical insurance in reducing the incidence and intensity of CHE could be attributed to the relatively lower level of scope and actual reimbursement rate, as well as the heavy economic burden of NCDs [23]. It implies that under the premise of universal medical insurance in China, the reimbursement rate and benefit package of basic medical insurance for NCDs should be further improved to alleviate the economic burden of NCDs.

As the supplementary form of basic medical insurance, the coverage of SMI did not efficiently decrease the risk of incurring
CHE and alleviate financial burden of diseases. Given that SMI is characterized by high reimbursement rate and voluntary participation [42, 43], one plausible reason for the weak performance of SMI is low coverage rate. The coverage rate of SMI in urban households with NCD patients increased from 2.74% in 2012 to 5.80% in 2016, while the coverage rate of SMI in rural households with NCD patients increased from 1.44% in 2012 to 2.93% in 2016, indicating that a large number of households in China are not covered by SMI (Table 2).

From 2012 to 2016, the reduction of the unexplained disparity offset the increase of the explained disparity, resulting in a slight decrease of the rural-urban differences in the incidence and intensity of CHE.

More importantly, this article identified major contributors to explain the urban-rural differences in CHE incidence and intensity among households with NCD patients. Specifically, economic status made the largest positive contribution to the urban-rural differences. In addition, from 2012 to 2016, the disparity explained by economic status gradually increased, which can be attributed to the increase in the income gap between urban and rural households with NCD patients. Similarly, the education
attainment and self-assessed health status of household head also explained the disparity. Therefore, any intervention aimed at decreasing this disparity may be effective if they focus on the observable characteristics mentioned above.

Our research also found that SMI did not significantly affect the rural-urban differences in CHE incidence, but it was still a variable which was worthy of attention. The contribution of SMI to the rural-urban differences in CHE rose in 2016 compared with 2012. The main reason for this result is the increase of urban-rural gap in coverage rate of SMI. The data from the present study suggested that the urban-rural disparity in coverage rate of SMI among households with NCD patients increased from 1.3% in 2012 to 2.87% in 2016. Given the above issues, policy efforts should focus on improving overall coverage rate of SMI and reducing the urban-rural disparity in coverage rate of SMI.

In addition, the observed characteristics such as household size and geographic location of the west area had an opposite effect in explaining the urban-rural differences. If the urban-rural disparity is reduced in terms of above characteristics, the urban-rural differences in CHE incidence and intensity will be wilder.
The study is not without its limitations. First, various characteristics (e.g., the levels of medical institution, actual reimbursement rate of medical insurance, distance to the nearest medical institution) can significantly affect CHE in the reports of other scholars [22, 23, 44]. The absence of these variables in the data set leads to some unexplained urban-rural differences in incidence and intensity of CHE. Second, the present research uses a conservative method to estimate the OOP medical expenditure, resulting in indirect expenditure (e.g., transportation, food, consumption, lost productivity due to illness) not being included [10, 29]. Therefore, we underestimated the CHE incidence and intensity to a certain extent. Third, since this study involves self-reported information about health status of household head, the possibility of reporting errors cannot be ruled out.

5. Conclusion:

In conclusion, the present study suggested that rural households with NCD patients had higher CHE incidence and intensity than urban ones, and the rural-urban differences gradually decreased from 2012 to 2016. By using the methods of Fairlie nonlinear decomposition and Blinder-Oaxaca
decomposition, this research found that the annual household income per capita, education of household head, self-assessed health status of household head and SMI explained the rural-urban differences in CHE. Therefore, relevant policy interventions should give priority to decreasing the urban-rural disparity in observable characteristics mentioned above.
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