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Abstract

Recently, Bostan and his coauthors investigated lattice walks restricted to the non-negative octant $\mathbb{N}^3$. For the 35548 non-trivial models with at most six steps, they found that many models associated to a group of order at least 200 and conjectured these groups were in fact infinite groups. In this paper, we first confirm these conjectures and then consider the non-$D$-finite property of the generating function for some of these models.

1 Introduction

The objective of this paper is to use the properties of Jacobian matrix at fixed points to derive the infiniteness of groups associated with certain lattice walks restricted to the positive octant. Furthermore, we present the non-$D$-finiteness of corresponding generating functions for some lattice walks of infinite order by considering the asymptotic behavior of theirs coefficients.

Counting walks in a fixed region of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$ is a classical topic in enumerative combinatorics $[6,8,10,14]$ and in probability theory $[12,13]$. In the past few years, lattice path models restricted to the quarter plane and the positive octant have received special attention, and recent works $[1,4,7,9,11]$ have shown how they can help us better understand generating functions of lattice walks.

Many recent papers dealt with the enumeration of lattice walks with prescribed steps confined to the positive quadrant. In fact, Bousquet-mélou
and Mishna \cite{Mish} proved that among the $2^8$ possible cases of small-step in the quarter plane, there were exactly 79 inherently different cases. Then, they showed that 23 of these models were associated with finite group, of which 22 ones admitted $D$-finite generating functions (see, for example \cite{Ler} for an overview on $D$-finite). The 23rd model, known as Gessel walks, was proven $D$-finite, and even algebraic, by Bostan and Kauers \cite{BosKau}. Moreover, it was conjectured in \cite{Mish} that the 56 remaining models with infinite group had non-$D$-finite generating functions. This was proved by Kurkova and Raschel \cite{KurRas} for the 51 nonsingular walks. The remaining 5 singular models were proven by Mishna and Rechnitzer \cite{MishRech} and Melczer and Mishna \cite{MelMish}. The classification is now complete for walks with steps in $\{0, \pm 1\}^2$: the generating function is $D$-finite if and only if a certain group associated with the model is finite.

Recently, Bostan and his coauthors \cite{Bos} considered the analogous problem for lattice walks confined to the non-negative octant $\mathbb{N}^3$. They showed there were 35548 non-trivial models with at most six steps. Each model corresponds to a group which plays an important role in exploring the properties of the generating function. They found that many models associated to a group of order at least 200 and conjectured these groups were in fact infinite groups.

In this paper, we mainly utilize two methods employed by Bousquet-Mélou and Mishna in \cite{Mish} to confirm these conjectures by considering models of dimension two and three, respectively. For the notation of dimension of a model, one can refer to Definition 2.2.

More specifically, for the cases of models of dimension two, Bostan et al. \cite{Bos} showed that there were 527 models of cardinality at most 6. They found that 118 models associated to a finite group of order at most 8, and conjectured that the remaining 409 ones associated to a group of infinite order. Our first result is to confirm this conjecture as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** The 409 two-dimensional models associated to groups of order at least 200 are in fact associated to infinite groups.

Indeed, most of these models have the property of non-$D$-finite, which means that their generating functions do not satisfy any non-trivial linear differential recurrences with polynomial coefficients.

**Theorem 1.2.** For these 409 two-dimensional models associated to infinite groups, the generating functions of the excursions of the 366 non-singular
models are all non-$D$-finite, and there are 18 singular models with non-$D$-finite generating functions.

For the cases of three-dimensional models, Bostan et al. showed that there were 20634 models associated with a group of order at least 200 and conjectured the order to be infinite in [1]. Our third result is to confirm this conjecture.

**Theorem 1.3.** The 20634 three-dimensional models associated with groups of order at least 200 are in fact associated with infinite groups.

This paper is organized as follows. We first recall some notations in Section 2. Then we derive the infiniteness of groups associated with certain models in Section 3. Meanwhile the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3 will be presented, respectively. Section 4 discusses the non-$D$-finite property and the proof of Theorem 1.2 will be presented.

## 2 Preliminaries

To make this paper self-contained, we now recall some definitions and notations. In particular, we shall use the dimension, the characteristic polynomial and the associated group of models.

Given the hypercubic lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$, a finite set of steps $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ is called a model as adopted in [1]. We define an $\mathcal{S}$-walk to be any walk which starts from the origin $(0,0,0)$ and takes its steps in $\mathcal{S}$. In particular, we focus on octant walks, which are $\mathcal{S}$-walks remaining in the non-negative octant $\mathbb{N}^3$, with $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots \}$. Then we have

**Definition 2.1.** The complete generating function of an octant walk is

$$O(x, y, z; t) = \sum_{i,j,k,n \geq 0} o(i, j, k; n)x^i y^j z^k t^n,$$

where $o(i, j, k; n)$ is the number of $n$-step walks in the octant that end at position $(i, j, k)$. The specialization $O(0, 0, 0; t)$ counts $\mathcal{S}$-walks returning to the origin, called $\mathcal{S}$-excursions.

To shorten notation, we denote steps of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ by $d$-letter words. For example, $\overline{110}$ stands for the step $(-1, 1, 0)$. In fact, an $\mathcal{S}$-walk of length $n$ can be
viewed as a word \( w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n \) made up of letters of \( S \). For each step \( s \in S \), let \( a_s \) be the number of occurrences of \( s \) in \( w \). Then \( w \) ends in the positive octant if and only if the following three linear inequalities hold

\[
\sum_{s \in S} a_s s_x \geq 0, \quad \sum_{s \in S} a_s s_y \geq 0, \quad \sum_{s \in S} a_s s_z \geq 0,
\]

where \( s = \{s_x, s_y, s_z\} \) are steps in \( S \). Furthermore, \( w \) is an octant walk if the multiplicities observed in each of its prefixes satisfy these inequalities. More generally, we give the definition of dimension of a model as follows.

**Definition 2.2.** Let \( d \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \). A model \( S \) is said to have dimension at most \( d \) if there exist \( d \) inequalities in Equation (2.1) such that any \(|S|\)-tuple \((a_s)_{s \in S}\) of non-negative integers satisfying these \( d \) inequalities satisfies in fact the three ones.

Given a model \( S \) of cubic lattice, we denote by \( S(x, y, z) \) the Laurent polynomial

\[
S(x, y, z) = \sum_{ijk} x^i y^j z^k.
\]

According to the degrees of \( x, y \) and \( z \), respectively, \( S(x, y, z) \) can be written as

\[
S(x, y, z) = \overline{x} A_-(y, z) + A_0(y, z) + x A_+(y, z) \\
= \overline{y} B_-(x, z) + B_0(x, z) + y B_+(x, z) \\
= \overline{z} C_+(x, y) + C_0(x, y) + z C_+(x, y),
\]

where \( \overline{x} = 1/x, \overline{y} = 1/y, \) and \( \overline{z} = 1/z \). We call \( S(x, y, z) \) the characteristic polynomial of \( S \).

Let first assume that \( S \) is of 3-dimensional. Then it has a positive step in each direction, and \( A_+, B_+ \) and \( C_+ \) are non-zero. Now we introduce the notation of groups associated with \( S \) as follows.

**Definition 2.3.** For a given model \( S \), the group associated with \( S \) is defined as the group \( G(S) \) of birational transformations of the variables \([x, y, z] \) generated by the following three involutions

\[
\phi([x, y, z]) = \left[ \frac{A_-(y, z)}{A_+(y, z)}, y, z \right], \\
\psi([x, y, z]) = \left[ x, \frac{B_-(x, z)}{B_+(x, z)}, z \right],
\]
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\[
\tau([x, y, z]) = \left[ x, y, \overline{z} \frac{C_-(x, y)}{C_+(x, y)} \right].
\]

By construction, \(G(S)\) fixes the characteristic polynomial \(S(x, y, z)\).

For a 2-dimensional model \(S\), the \(z\)-condition can be ignored, and the corresponding group \(G(S)\) is the group generated by \(\phi\) and \(\psi\).

3 Infiniteness of Associated Groups

In this section, we consider the 35548 non-trivial models with at most six steps confined to the non-negative octant \(\mathbb{N}^3\). We derive the infiniteness of these groups by giving the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3 respectively.

3.1 The Proof of Theorem 1.1

When dealing with models of dimensional two, we consider the projection of the model to a plane throughout this paper. Then the models are a multi-set of \(\{1, 0, 1\}^2 \setminus \{0, 0\}\).

In order to show the infiniteness of groups associated to two dimensional octant models, we first introduce the method of fixed point argument given by Bousquet-Mélou and Mishna [4] and give some preliminaries.

Assume that \(\theta = \psi \circ \phi\) is well-defined in the neighborhood of \((a, b) \in \mathbb{C}^2\), which was fixed by \(\theta\). Note that \(a\) and \(b\) are algebraic over \(\mathbb{Q}\). Let us write \(\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2)\), where \(\theta_1\) and \(\theta_2\) are the two coordinates of \(\theta\). Each \(\theta_i\) sends the pair \((x, y)\) to a rational function of \(x\) and \(y\). The local expansion of \(\theta\) around \((a, b)\) reads

\[
\theta(a + u, b + v) = (a, b) + (u, v)J_\theta + O(u^2) + O(v^2) + O(uv),
\]

where \(J_\theta\) is the Jacobian matrix of \(\theta\) at \((a, b)\):

\[
J_\theta = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial x}(a, b) & \frac{\partial \theta_2}{\partial x}(a, b) \\
\frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial y}(a, b) & \frac{\partial \theta_2}{\partial y}(a, b)
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Iterating the above expansion gives, for \(m \geq 1\),

\[
\theta^m(a + u, b + v) = (a, b) + (u, v)J_\theta^m + O(u^2) + O(v^2) + O(uv). \quad (3.1)
\]
Assume that $\theta$ is of order $n$. Then $\theta^n(a + u, b + v) = (a, b) + (u, v)$ and Equation (3.1) show that $J_\theta^n$ is the identity matrix. In particular, all eigenvalues of $J_\theta$ are roots of unity. This provides a strategy for proving that a group $G(S)$ is infinite.

We now give some properties on the fixed points of $\theta = \psi \circ \phi$ and the Jacobian matrices, which will simplify our computations.

**Proposition 3.1.** $(a, b)$ is a fixed point of $\theta$ if and only if it is a fixed point of $\phi$ and $\psi$.

*Proof.* Suppose $(a, b)$ is a fixed point of $\theta$. Assume that $\phi(a, b) = (u, b)$. Then we have $\psi(u, b) = (a, b)$. By definition, $\psi$ preserves the first coordinate. We thus have $u = a$ and $(a, b)$ is a fixed point of $\phi$ and $\psi$. The inverse assertion holds straightforwardly.

This proposition indicates that the fixed point $(a, b)$ of $\theta$ can be determined by the equations

\[
\frac{A_-(b)}{A_+(b)} = a^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{B_-(a)}{B_+(a)} = b^2.
\]

Moreover, we require that $a$ and $b$ are both non-zero. Now we rewrite the left hand sides of the above two equations in reduced form by canceling the common divisor of the numerator and the denominator and we get

\[
\frac{p_1(b)}{q_1(b)} = a^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{p_2(a)}{q_2(a)} = b^2.
\]

We need to find the solutions of the polynomial systems

\[
p_1(y) - x^2q_1(y) = 0, \quad p_2(x) - y^2q_2(x) = 0, \quad xy \neq 0.
\]

The command `RegSer` in Maple package `epsilon` by D. M. Wang [16] can solve such system. By using

\[
\text{RegSer}([ [p_1(y) - x^2q_1(y), p_2(x) - y^2q_2(x)], [xy]], [x, y]),
\]

we will obtain a basis on the equations satisfied by the fixed points. When the output is $\emptyset$, there is no fixed points and the method fails.

The determinant of the Jacobian matrix $J_\theta$ at fixed points satisfies the following property.
Lemma 3.2. The determinant of the Jacobian matrix $J_\theta$ at fixed points is 1.

Proof. By the chain rule, we have $J_\theta = J_\psi \cdot J_\phi$. While

$$J_\phi = \left( \begin{array}{cc} -\frac{1}{a^2} \frac{1}{q_1(b)} & \frac{\partial(p_1(y)/xq_1(y))}{\partial y} \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \bigg|_{(a,b)} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} -1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right),$$

and

$$J_\psi = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \frac{\partial(p_2(x)/yq_2(x))}{\partial x} & 0 \\ \frac{\partial(p_1(y)/xq_1(y))}{\partial y} & -\frac{1}{b^2} \frac{1}{q_2(a)} \end{array} \right) \bigg|_{(a,b)} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ * & -1 \end{array} \right).$$

Therefore, the determinant of $J_\theta$ is $(-1) \cdot (-1) = 1$. $\blacksquare$

Let $p(X, x, y)$ be the numerator of

$$\chi(X) = \det(\text{Id} - J_\theta) = X^2 - \left( \frac{\partial(p_2(x)/yq_2(x))}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial(p_1(y)/xq_1(y))}{\partial y} - 2 \right) X + 1.$$

Once again, we use

$$\text{RegSer}\left(\left[ [p(X, x, y), p_1(y) - x^2 q_1(y), p_2(x) - y^2 q_2(x)], [xy] \right], [X, x, y]\right)$$

to obtain an equation $q(X)$ satisfied by $X$, the eigenvalues of $J_\theta$. To verify whether the eigenvalues of $J_\theta$ are roots of unit, we need only to check whether all the irreducible factors of $q(X)$ are cyclotomic polynomials.

To make the above statements easier understood, we present two examples.

Example 3.3. Suppose $S = [\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \overline{1}, \overline{1}, \overline{0}, 1]$, then the corresponding characteristic polynomial is

$$S(x, y) = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{3y}{x} + \frac{1}{y} + xy,$$

and

$$A_-(y) = 1 + 3y, \quad A_+(y) = y, \quad B_-(x) = 1, \quad B_+(x) = \frac{3}{x} + x.$$

Applying the command $\text{RegSer}$, we find that the fixed point of $\theta$ must satisfy the following two equations:

$$9x - 6x^3 + x^5 - 3 - x^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad -1 - 3y + x^2y = 0.$$
Let \( p(X, x, y) \) be the numerator of \( \chi(X) \), we get
\[
p(X, x, y) = 9X^2y^3x + 6X^2y^3x^3 + X^2y^3x^5 + 3X^2 + 18Xxy^3 + 12Xx^3y^3 + 2Xx^5y^3 + 9xy^3 + 6xy^3 + x^5y^3.
\]
Using \textbf{RegSer} once again, we find \( X \) satisfies
\[
q(X) = 27X^{10} - 216X^9 - 2267X^8 - 7881X^7 - 15249X^6 - 18785X^5 - 15249X^4 - 7881X^3 - 2267X^2 - 216X + 27.
\]
It’s easy to check that \( q(X) \) has two irreducible factors \( X^2 + X + 1 \) and \( 27X^8 - 243X^7 - 2051X^6 - 5587X^5 - 7611X^4 - 5587X^3 - 2051X^2 - 243X + 27 \).
Since the second factor is not a cyclotomic polynomial, we conclude that \( S = [T_0, T_1, T_1, T_1, 0T, 1] \) is associated with an infinite group.

**Example 3.4.** Suppose \( S = [T_1, T_1, 1T, 10] \), then the corresponding characteristic polynomial is
\[
S(x, y) = \frac{2y}{x} + \frac{x}{y} + x,
\]
and
\[
A_-(y) = 2y, \quad A_+(y) = 1/y + 1, \quad B_-(x) = x, \quad B_+(x) = 2/x.
\]
Applying the command \textbf{RegSer}, the output is \( [1] \) and the method fails.

By this method, we show that neither eigenvalues of 379 models are roots of unity and hence \( \theta \) is an element of infinite order. There are 30 models left, such as \( S = [T_1, T_1, 1T, 10] \) in Example 3.4. Canceling the repeated steps, all these models fall in the five models (or their \( x/y \) reflection) which had been proved associated with an infinite group by the valuation argument.

The valuation argument was given by Bousquet-Mélou and Mishna in \[4\]. In fact, they defined the \textit{valuation} of a Laurent series \( F(t) \) to be the smallest \( d \) such that \( t^d \) occurs in \( F(t) \) with a non-zero coefficient. Suppose \( z \) is an indeterminate, and \( x, y \) are Laurent series in \( z \) with coefficients in \( \mathbb{Q} \), of respective valuations \( a \) and \( b \). Assuming that the \textit{trailing} coefficients of these series, namely \([z^a]x\) and \([z^b]y\), are positive. Defining \( x' \) by \( \phi(x, y) = (x', y) \). Then the trailing coefficient of \( x' \) (and \( y \)) is positive, and it’s easy to check that the valuation of \( x' \) (and \( y \)) only depends on \( a \) and \( b \):
\[
\Phi(a, b) := (\text{val}(x'), \text{val}(y)) = \begin{cases} 
- a + b(v_{-1}^{(y)} - v_1^{(y)}), & \text{if } b \geq 0; \\
- a + b(d_{-1}^{(y)} - d_1^{(y)}), & \text{if } b \leq 0;
\end{cases}
\]
where \( v_i^{(y)} \) (resp. \( d_i^{(y)} \)) denotes the valuation (resp. degree) in \( y \) of \( A_i(y) \), for \( i = \pm 1 \). Similarly, \( \psi(x, y) = (x, y') \) is well defined, and the valuations of \( x \) and \( y' \) only depend on \( a \) and \( b \):

\[
\Psi(a, b) := (\text{val}(x), \text{val}(y')) = \begin{cases} 
(a, -b + a(v_{-1}^{(x)} - v_1^{(x)})), & \text{if } a \geq 0; \\
(a, -b + a(d_{-1}^{(x)} - d_1^{(x)})), & \text{if } a \leq 0;
\end{cases}
\]

where \( v_i^{(x)} \) (resp. \( d_i^{(x)} \)) denotes the valuation (resp. degree) in \( x \) of \( B_i(x) \), for \( i = \pm 1 \). For a given model \( S \), in order to prove the associated group \( G \) is infinite, it suffices to prove that the group \( G' \) generated by \( \Phi \) and \( \Psi \) is infinite. To prove the latter statement, it suffices to exhibit \((a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \) such that the orbit of \((a, b)\) under the action of \( G' \) is infinite. For the five singular models, Bousquet-Mélou and Mishna derived by induction on \( n \) that

\[
(\Psi \circ \Phi)^n(1, 2) = (2n + 1, 2n + 2) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(\Psi \circ \Phi)^n = (2n + 3, 2n + 2).
\]

Hence the orbit of \((1, 2)\) under the action of \( \Phi \) and \( \Psi \) is infinite, and so are the groups \( G' \) and \( G \).

It’s easy to check that the repeated steps do not change the value of \( \Phi(a, b) \) and \( \Psi(a, b) \) by the definition. Thus, we obtain the fact that and the left 30 models are associated with infinite groups.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

### 3.2 The Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we consider the three-dimensional models. The proof of Theorem 1.3 is similar to the proof for the two dimension case. Indeed, for three dimension cases, we could consider \( \phi \circ \psi \), \( \phi \circ \tau \) and \( \psi \circ \tau \), instead of \( \theta = \psi \circ \phi \) in the cases of two-dimensional models. Moreover, we need only to concern two variables by fixing the third variable with any given value. For simplicity, we set the third variable to be \( 1/7 \).

The following lemma indicates that we need only to consider one of \( \phi \circ \psi \) and \( \psi \circ \phi \).

**Lemma 3.5.** If the eigenvalues of \( J_{\phi \circ \psi} \) are roots of unit, then so are \( J_{\psi \circ \phi} \).

**Proof.** Notice that the determinant of \( J_{\theta} \) is 1. The eigenvalues of \( J_{\theta} \) are both roots of unit or neither of the eigenvalues is root of unit. Since \((\psi \circ \phi)^{-1} = \)
φ \circ \psi$, we have $J_{\phi \circ \psi}^{-1} = J_{\psi \circ \phi}$. Thus, the eigenvalues of $J_{\phi \circ \psi}$ are the reciprocal of those of $J_{\psi \circ \phi}$ and hence they are both roots of unit or none of them are roots of unit.

By the fixed point method just as in Section 3.1, we are left 69 models that can not be proved to be infinity. By projecting these models to two dimension models (we have three choices) and remove the repeated steps, one can find that they all fall in the five models which have been proved with an infinity group by the valuation argument. Thus the left 69 are all associated with infinite groups.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

4 The non-D-finite Property

In this section, we mainly discuss the non-D-finite property of the generating function of the 409 two-dimensional models associated with an infinite order, by giving the proof of Theorem 1.2.

As shown in Section 3.1, by projected to a plane, these two-dimensional models are reduced to multi-sets of $\{1, 0, 1\}^2 \setminus \{0, 0\}$. For a 2D octant model where the $z$-condition is redundant, we focus on the complete generating function

$$O(x, y; t) := O(x, y, 1; t),$$

which counts quadrant walks with steps in multiset $S' = \{ij : ijk \in S\}$.

The main objective of this section is to study the non-D-finite property of $O(x, y; t)$.

Firstly, we consider the nonsingular walks, that is for walks having at least one step from the set $\{(-1, 0), (-1, -1), (0, -1)\}$. Bostan et al. proved that the excursion corresponding to any of the 51 nonsingular models having no repeated step and with infinite group were not D-finite in [3]. They utilized the fact that, in many cases, we can detect non-D-finiteness of power series by looking at the asymptotic behavior of its coefficients, which is a consequence of the theory of $G$-functions and provided the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be an integer-valued sequence whose $n$-th term $a_n$ behaves asymptotically like $K \cdot \rho^n \cdot n^\alpha$, for some real constant $K > 0$. If the growth constant $\rho$ is transcendental, or if the singular exponent $\rho$ is irrational, then the generating function $A(t) = \sum_{n>0} a_n t^n$ is not D-finite.
Bostan et al. considered the non-degeneracy of the walk: for all \((i,j) \in \mathbb{N}^2\), the set \(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : o(i,j;n) \neq 0\}\) is nonempty; furthermore, the walk is said to be \textit{aperiodic} when the gcd of the elements of this set is 1 for all \((i,j)\). Otherwise, it is \textit{periodic} and this gcd is the period. Then they restated a result of Denisov and Wachtel \[5\] in the following way that can be used directly in our computations.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let \(S \subset \{0, \pm 1\}^2\) be the step set of a walk in the quarter plane \(\mathbb{N}^2\), which is not contained in a half-plane. Let \(e_n\) denote the number of excursions of length \(n\) using only steps in \(S\), and let \(\chi\) denote the characteristic polynomial \(\sum_{(i,j) \in S} x^i y^j\) of the step set \(S\). Then the system

\[
\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial y} = 0
\]

has a unique solution \((x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2_{>0}\). Next, define

\[
\rho := \chi(x_0, y_0), \quad c := \frac{\frac{\partial^2 \chi}{\partial x \partial y}}{\sqrt{\frac{\partial^2 \chi}{\partial x^2} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \chi}{\partial y^2}}}(x_0, y_0), \quad \alpha := -1 - \frac{\pi}{\arccos(-c)}.
\]

Then there exists a constant \(K > 0\), which only depends on \(S\), such that

- if the walk is aperiodic, then \(e_n \sim K \cdot \rho^n \cdot n^\alpha\).
- if the walk is periodic (then of periodic 2), then

\[
e_{2n} \sim K \cdot \rho^{2n} \cdot (2n)^\alpha, \quad e_{2n+1} = 0.
\]

Then they gave an algorithmic proof that for any of the 51 nonsingular models confined to the positive quadrant, the singular exponent \(\alpha\) in the asymptotic expansion of excursion sequence was an irrational number. Thus by the above two Theorems, the generating function \(O(0, 0; t)\) is not D-finite.

We note that Theorem 4.2 still holds for multi-sets, since the repetition of a step just change the probability of the appearance of this step. Then we can apply the algorithmic irrational proof, given in Section 2.4 in [3], to the 409 two dimensional models associated to groups of infinite order. It turns out that the singular exponent \(\alpha\) is irrational for 366 nonsingular models, which proves that the corresponding excursion generating function \(O(0, 0; t)\) is not D-finite for these models.
The algorithmic irrational proof fails for the 43 singular models, which were listed in the Appendix, Table I. We find that all these models can be reduced to one of the 5 singular step sets or their \(x/y\) symmetry in two dimensional walks, when get rid of repeated steps. The 5 singular models were proven with non-D-finite generating function by Mishna and Rechnitzer [11] and Melczer and Mishna [9] using the iterated kernel method, a variant of the kernel method.

As we know, \(S = [[-1,1],[1,-1],[1,1]]\) is one of the singular models and its generating function is not D-finite. Now we rewrite the complete generating function of \(S\) into the following form:

\[
O(x, y; t) = \sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3 \geq 0} o(n_1, n_2, n_3)x^{-n_1+n_2+n_3}y^{n_1-n_2+n_3}t^{n_1+n_2+n_3},
\]

where \(o(n_1, n_2, n_3)\) denotes the number of walks in the quarter plane with the \(i\)-th element of \(S\) appears \(n_i\) times, \((-n_1 + n_2 + n_3, n_1 - n_2 + n_3)\) denotes the ending point. Suppose \(S'\) is a multi-set which can be reduced to \(S\) through getting rid of the repeated steps, and the \(i\)-th element of \(S\) repeats \(r_i\) times in \(S'\). Then the generating function for \(S'\) can be given as

\[
O'(x, y; t) = \sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3 \geq 0} r_1^{n_1}r_2^{n_2}r_3^{n_3}o(n_1, n_2, n_3)x^{-n_1+n_2+n_3}y^{n_1-n_2+n_3}t^{n_1+n_2+n_3}.
\]

It’s easy to verify that

\[
O'(x, y; t) = O(\sqrt[3]{r_3/r_1} x, \sqrt[3]{r_3/r_2} y; \sqrt{r_1r_2} t),
\]

which implies that \(O'(x, y; t)\) is not D-finite, since algebraic substitution does not change the D-finite property. There are 7 of the 43 singular models can be reduced to \(S = [[-1,1],[1,-1],[1,1]]\) or it’s \(x/y\) symmetry, and the above discussions show the corresponding generating function for these 7 models are all not D-finite.

By similar discussions for another singular model \([[-1,1],[1,-1],[0,1]]\), one can prove the generating functions for another 11 models are all non-D-finite.

Thus, we have shown that the generating functions of the excursions of the 366 nonsingular models are all non-D-finite and 18 singular models are with non-D-finite generating functions. According to this fact and results of [3, 7, 9, 11], we conjecture that the generating functions of the left 43 singular models are all non-D-finite.
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Appendix

| Numbers | Models                      | Reduced Models        |
|---------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1       | $[[-1, 1], [1, -1], [1, 1]]$ |                       |
| 2       | $[[-1, 1], [-1, 1], [1, -1], [1, 1]]$ |               |
| 3       | $[[-1, 1], [1, -1], [1, 1], [1, 1]]$ |               |
| 4       | $[[-1, 1], [-1, 1], [-1, 1], [1, -1], [1, 1]]$ | $[[-1, 1], [1, -1], [1, 1]]$ |
| 5       | $[[-1, 1], [-1, 1], [1, -1], [1, -1], [1, 1]]$ |               |
| 6       | $[[-1, 1], [-1, 1], [1, -1], [1, 1], [1, 1]]$ |               |
| 7       | $[[-1, 1], [-1, 1], [1, -1], [1, 1], [1, -1], [1, 1]]$ |               |
| 8       | $[[-1, 1], [0, 1], [1, -1]]$ |                       |
| 9       | $[[-1, 1], [-1, 1], [0, 1], [1, -1]]$ |               |
| 10      | $[[-1, 1], [-1, 1], [1, -1], [1, 0]]$ |               |
| 11      | $[[-1, 1], [0, 1], [0, 1], [1, -1]]$ |               |
| 12      | $[[-1, 1], [-1, 1], [-1, 1], [0, 1], [1, -1]]$ |               |
| 13      | $[[-1, 1], [-1, 1], [-1, 1], [1, -1], [1, 0]]$ | $[[-1, 1], [1, -1], [0, 1]]$ |
| 14      | $[[-1, 1], [-1, 1], [0, 1], [0, 1], [1, -1]]$ |               |
|   |   |
|---|---|
|   | [[−1, 1], [−1, 1], [0, 1], [1, −1], [1, −1]] |
| 15 |   |
| 16 | [[−1, 1], [−1, 1], [1, −1], [1, 0], [1, 0]] |
| 17 | [[−1, 1], [−1, 1], [−1, 1], [0, 1], [0, 1], [1, −1]] |
| 18 | [[−1, 1], [−1, 1], [0, 1], [0, 1], [1, −1], [1, −1]] |
| 19 | [[−1, 1], [0, 1], [1, −1], [1, 0]] |
| 20 | [[−1, 1], [−1, 1], [0, 1], [1, −1], [1, 0]] |
| 21 | [[−1, 1], [0, 1], [0, 1], [1, −1], [1, 0]] |
| 22 | [[−1, 1], [−1, 1], [−1, 1], [0, 1], [1, −1], [1, 0]] |
| 23 | [[−1, 1], [−1, 1], [0, 1], [0, 1], [1, −1], [1, 0]] |
| 24 | [[−1, 1], [−1, 1], [0, 1], [1, −1], [1, 0]] |
| 25 | [[−1, 1], [−1, 1], [0, 1], [1, −1], [0, 1], [1, 0]] |
| 26 | [[−1, 1], [0, 1], [0, 1], [1, −1], [1, 0], [1, 0]] |
| 27 | [[−1, 1], [0, 1], [1, −1], [1, 1]] |
| 28 | [[−1, 1], [−1, 1], [0, 1], [1, −1], [1, 1]] |
| 29 | [[−1, 1], [−1, 1], [1, −1], [1, 0], [1, 1]] |
| 30 | [[−1, 1], [0, 1], [0, 1], [1, −1], [1, 1]] |
| 31 | [[−1, 1], [0, 1], [1, −1], [1, 1], [1, 1]] |
| 32 | [[−1, 1], [−1, 1], [−1, 1], [0, 1], [1, −1], [1, 1]] |
| 33 | [[−1, 1], [−1, 1], [−1, 1], [1, −1], [0, 1], [1, 1]] |
| 34 | [[−1, 1], [−1, 1], [0, 1], [0, 1], [1, −1], [1, 1]] |
| 35 | [[−1, 1], [−1, 1], [0, 1], [1, −1], [1, −1], [1, 1]] |
| 36 | [[−1, 1], [−1, 1], [0, 1], [1, −1], [1, 1], [1, 1]] |
| 37 | [[−1, 1], [−1, 1], [1, −1], [1, 0], [1, 0], [1, 1]] |
| 38 | [[−1, 1], [−1, 1], [1, −1], [1, 0], [1, 1], [1, 1]] |
| 39 | [[−1, 1], [0, 1], [0, 1], [1, −1], [1, 1], [1, 1]] |
| 40 | [[−1, 1], [0, 1], [1, −1], [1, 0], [1, 1]] |
| 41 | [[−1, 1], [−1, 1], [0, 1], [1, −1], [1, 0], [1, 1]] |
| 42 | [[−1, 1], [0, 1], [0, 1], [1, −1], [1, 0], [1, 1]] |
| 43 | [[−1, 1], [0, 1], [1, −1], [1, 0], [1, 1], [1, 1]] |

Table 1: 43 singular models.