**Electrochemical characterization of and theoretical insight into a series of 2D MOFs, [M(bipy)(C\textsubscript{4}O\textsubscript{4})(H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{2}] \cdot 3H\textsubscript{2}O (M = Mn (1), Fe (2), Co (3) and Zn (4)), for chemical sensing applications†**

Yi-Ting Hsieh, a,b Ssu-Chia Huang, a Shih-I. Lu, a Hsiao-Hsun Wang, a Tsai-Wen Chang, a Chih-Chieh Wang, b,c Gene-Hsiang Lee a and Yu-Chun Chuang c

The electrochemical sensing applications of a series of water-stable 2D metal–organic framework (MOF)-modified screen-printed carbon electrodes (SPCEs) are reported. The MOF materials in this study are [M(bipy)(C\textsubscript{4}O\textsubscript{4})(H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{2}] \cdot 3H\textsubscript{2}O, in which bipy = 4,4′-bipyridine and M = Mn, Fe, Co and Zn. The MOF materials are characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM), showing that the MOFs have a layer-by-layer rod structure with a smooth surface. We use the nitrofurazone molecule as a probe to investigate the influence of the metal ions of MOFs on electrochemical sensing ability. Cyclic voltammetry demonstrated that the Mn-MOF electrode of interest delivered stronger signals than that of other electrodes. Through first-principles calculations, we also revealed that the change in the spin polarization of divalent metal ions passing from the free ion state to the MOF environment appeared to be significantly correlated with the enhancement in the peak response current. The theoretical and experimental results consistently indicate that Mn-MOF has the smallest bandgap and good sensitivity among these MOF materials. Accordingly, we proposed a simple model to illustrate this observation and disclosed the importance of the electron configuration of the transition metal constructing the MOF materials used in improving electrochemical sensing applications.

**Introduction**

Metal–organic framework (MOF) materials are a class of porous materials consisting of inorganic nodes connected by organic linkers through self-assembled processes.1–3 Electrochemical sensing, such as of pesticides, H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} and heavy metal ions,4–6 is a promising application, benefitting from the diversity of structures and constituents of the MOF materials.7–8 However, low quality in both electrical conductivity and mechanical stability in aqueous solution prevented pure MOF materials from being used in the fabrication of electrochemical sensors. Hence, to our knowledge, the MOF materials usually incorporated other functional materials,9–12 such as conducting polymers, metals particles, and reduced graphene oxide (rGO). In these cases, the functional materials increase electrical conductivity, while the organic linkers in the MOFs accumulate the analyte molecules by intermolecular hydrogen bonding and/or π–π interaction.13–15 Nitrofurazone (NFZ) belongs to the family of nitrofurans, which are broad-spectrum antibiotics widely used in animal husbandry and aquaculture. Research has revealed that nitrofurans and their metabolites can cause severe diseases, such as pulmonary fibrosis, hepatic, arthralgia, and hemolysis diseases, after long-term intake. Thus, European Union, the USA and many countries have forbidden the usage of the NFZ. Due to the good curative effect and low cost of nitrofurazone, there is illegal use to treat animal diseases, and drinking water.16–19 Gan et al.20 fabricated the hierarchical hollow cages chromium(m) terephthalate MOF (MIL-101) as an electrochemical sensor to determine the NFZ. Due to the huge specific surface area and adsorptive properties of MIL-101, this material was developed for the highly selective and sensitive determination of NFZ. Wang21 and his co-worker synthesize the zirconium-based metal–organic frameworks (DUT-67) with tubular polypyrrole (T-PPY) and DUT-67/T-PPY MOF composites to sensing the NFZ. The cross-linked network of T-PPY improves the conductivity of the composites, weakens the accumulation of the DUT-67, and exposes more active sites of DUT-67, which increased electrocatalytic activity toward the reduction of nitrofurazone. As mentioned above, these materials show good sensitivity and low detection limit on the electrochemical sensing on NFZ. By contrast, the effect coming from different
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metal ions got few attentions. Here, we utilized nitrofurazone molecule (Fig. 4(a)) as a model probe to assess the electrocatalytic activity of MOFs. We investigated MOFs-modified electrodes built out of a series of two-dimensional (2D) layered MOF materials of \( \text{[M(II)(bipy)(C}_4\text{O}_4)(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2] \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \) (designated as Mn-MOF, Fe-MOF, Co-MOF and Zn-MOF, respectively), in which bipy = 4,4’-bipyridine, \( \text{C}_4\text{O}_4^2− \) (squarate) = di-anion of squaric acid and \( M = \text{Mn, Fe, Co and Zn} \) through electrochemical experiments and first principle calculations. The \( \text{M(II)} \) in the MOF are distorted octahedrally coordinated by two squarate, two bipy ligands and two water molecules. Each pair of ligands is in trans orientation. The 3D supramolecular networks in the series of the MOFs are assembled by the 2D layered constructors in combined parallel and mutually interpenetrated manners (shown in Fig. 1). The four MOFs were constructed from the same organic linkers and crystallized in very similar way. This provides an appropriate testbed to investigate the effect of 3d transition metal ions with inherently different electron configuration on the MOF-modified electrode for electrochemical sensing of aqueous analytes. The series of MOFs are immobilized onto the screen-printed carbon electrode (SPCE) by drop-casting method, and then the response current for the electrochemical reduction of NFZ for the prepared modified electrode are measured through cyclic voltammetry (CV), and differential pulse voltammetry (DPV). At last, we carried out density functional theory (DFT) calculations to investigate the variation of the current response among the four MOFs.

Results and discussion

The series of \( \text{[M(II)(bipy)(C}_4\text{O}_4)(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2] \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \) (designated as Mn-MOF, Fe-MOF, Co-MOF and Zn-MOF, respectively) are isostructural, in which their 3D supramolecular architectures are constructed via the assembled of 2D layered MOFs \( \text{M(II)} \) ions bridged by bipy and \( \text{C}_4\text{O}_4^2− \) ligands shown in Fig. 1(a) with Co-MOF as the example, in a combined parallel and mutually interpenetrated manners with guest water molecules being intercalated into the 1D channels via the hydrogen bonding interactions among the host frameworks and water molecules (as shown in Fig. 1(b)).

The surface morphologies and the structures of as-synthesized MOF materials in this study were characterized using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM), shown in Fig. 2. SEM images showed the layer-by-layer rod structures, revealing that the four MOFs have the same surface construction. For the TEM images of the MOFs, regular rods with good crystallinity were observed, which is consistent with the PXRD powder patterns obtained in previous studies.

Electrochemical properties of the MOF-modified electrodes of the study were examined by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS). Results in the solution of 5 mM \( \text{[Fe(CN)}_6]^{3−/4−} \) with 0.1 M KCl were given in Fig. 3. The semicircle diameter is a critical parameter for measuring the charge-transfer resistance (\( R_p \)) of the materials, and the \( \text{[Fe(CN)}_6]^{3−/4−} \) complex ion is often as an electron-transfer rate probe to characterize the electrode’s surface. The smaller diameter indicates the MOF has low charge-transfer resistance. The diameter is in the order of Zn-MOF SPCE > Co-MOF SPCE > Fe-MOF SPCE > Mn-MOF SPCE > bare SPCE. Because of their low electrical conductivity, the \( R_p \) of all MOFs is larger than the bare SPCE (315 \( \Omega \)). It is interesting to note that the SPCE modified by the Mn-MOF (6.27 \( \Omega \)) has smaller \( R_p \) compared to others modified electrodes. The relative equivalent circuit of these modified electrodes are added in

Fig. 1  (a) The 2D layered MOF of Co-MOF, (b) the 3D supramolecular network viewing along the c axis of \( \text{[M(II)(bipy)(C}_4\text{O}_4)(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2] \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \) (\( M = \text{Mn, Fe, Co and Zn} \), designated as Mn-MOF, Fe-MOF, Co-MOF and Zn-MOF, respectively, with atomic symbols as grey-blue for \( M \), blue for \( N \), red for \( O \), grey-white for \( C \)).

Fig. 2  SEM (a-d) and TEM (e-h) images of the as-prepared MOFs. (a and e) Mn-MOF, (b and f) Fe-MOF, (c and g) Co-MOF, (d and h) Zn-MOF.
The results indicate that the metal ion of the MOFs has a great influence on the conductivity. Then the CV measurement was employed to study the electrochemical property of these MOF-modified electrodes toward the reduction of NFZ molecule (Fig. 4(a)) in an aqueous solution. Fig. 3(b) showed the CV curves as-prepared MOF-modified electrodes in 0.5 mM NFZ/0.1 M PB solution (pH 7) with scan rate of 50 mV s\(^{-1}\).

Fig. S1.† The results indicate that the metal ion of the MOFs has a great influence on the conductivity. Then the CV measurement was employed to study the electrochemical property of these MOF-modified electrodes toward the reduction of NFZ molecule (Fig. 4(a)) in an aqueous solution. Fig. 3(b) showed the CV curves as-prepared MOF-modified electrodes in 0.5 mM NFZ/0.1 M PB solution (pH 7) with scan rate of 50 mV s\(^{-1}\).

The Mn-MOF-modified SPCE displays the best performance in electrocatalytic activity toward the aqueous NFZ molecule with the largest peak current density of \(-0.78\) mA cm\(^{-2}\). The peak current density coming from the electrodes of study is in the sequence of bare SPCE < Zn-MOF SPCE < Co-MOF SPCE < Fe-MOF SPCE < Mn-MOF SPCE. This inferred the necessary transmission pathways in promoting the rate of electron transfer between the NFZ molecule and the electrode surface is not the only crucial role for a suitable electrochemical sensor. The other possible source of contribution in increasing the CV current response could come from the microporosity of the MOF materials, acting as the so-called microreactors to improve the adsorption of NFZ molecules. However, a comparison of the sizes of NFZ molecule and pore of the MOF rules out this possibility.

It is also possible to attribute the enhancement in peak current to explicit accumulation of the NFZ molecules on the surface of the MOF-modified electrode by hydrogen bonding and/or \(\pi-\pi\) interactions. Nevertheless, distinction in peak current as to different MOFs considered cannot be explained through taking these intermolecular interactions into account only because the four MOFs were constructed from the same linkers in very similar crystallized way. Apart from the variation of the largest CV response current, it is also of interest to note that the subtle difference (0.02 mA cm\(^{-2}\)) in the magnitude of between the Co-MOF and Fe-MOF-modified SPCE though Fe\(\text{II}\) and Co\(\text{II}\) appear d\(^6\) and d\(^7\) electron configurations, respectively. Therefore we performed first principle calculations to explore possibility for the variations of peak current density across the series of MOFs. Table S2† gave the calculated band gaps of the MOFs by using PBC-HSE06/DZP//Expt calculation.
The calculated values of the band gaps were 1.834, 1.841, 2.167 and 2.266 eV for the Mn-MOF, Fe-MOF, Co-MOF and Zn-MOF, respectively. Generally, an insulator is defined by a large band gap, usually in excess of ∼4 eV, which results in very low population of the conduction band. On the other hand, a metal contains at least one band crossing the Fermi level, resulting in a continuum of allowed electronic states that leads to high conductivities. Though a direct comparison of the band gap between experiment and theory is not explicit demonstrated for the cases considered, it is plausible that our single-crystal calculations based on the HSE06 functional point to the nature of semiconductor for the MOF of study.

While trying to establish a relation between the calculated band gap and the experimental peak current, we found a correlation in qualitative way only; that is the corresponding Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient is −1. This occurs when each of the variables was a perfect monotone function of the other. However, the subtle difference between those of the Fe-MOF and the Co-MOF cannot be explained quantitatively. The spin polarization is the difference between the number of alpha and beta electrons. The number may be anything, including zero. High spin polarization may be more easily obtained for Mn(n), Fe(n) and Co(n), rather than Zn(n). Table S2† also gave spin polarization of transition metal ions resulting from the Hirshfeld charge population. The spin polarization of free Mn(n), Fe(n), Co(n) and Zn(n) is 5, 4, 3 and 0, respectively. Our calculations revealed that the spin polarization of the central Mn(n), Fe(n) and Co(n) in the MOF environment of study are reduced from those of their free ion spin-polarized state to 4.627, 3.705 and 2.720, respectively. For Zn(n), the spin polarization was not modified by the MOF environment because of its d10 configuration. It was found there is a significantly positive correlation between the peak current density from the CV and the change of spin polarization of metal ion passing from the free ion state to the MOF environment. Fig. 5 showed the correlation of $R^2 = 0.964$. Also, change in spin polarization correctly predicts the subtle distinction in the peak current density between the Fe-MOF and Co-MOF modified electrodes.

Accordingly, we proposed a simple mechanism. For Mn(n), Fe(n), Co(n) in the MOF, they have available unoccupied spin-down d orbitals that can be populated with electrons transferred from the framework. This framework-to-metal charge transfer hence depopulates the occupied orbitals of the framework and then facilitates electron conduction through the framework. The change of spin polarization of metal ion from the free metal ion state to the MOF environment could be used as an indicator of electronic conductivity of the MOF. At last, the Zn-MOF improved the peak current density less than 5% also implied it accumulated analytes only, did not present electronic effect.

Though the spin polarization is not connected to the electrochemical sensing activity by its virtue, in this work, we used the change in the spin polarization of transition metal, not the spin polarization itself, to indicate that the electron transfer happened from the framework to the metal ion. This transfer gave rise to empty orbitals on the framework to facilitate electron conduction, and then resulted in electrochemical signals generated that are the result of electrochemical reactions between the sensor probe and the analyte.

Based on the experimental results presented above, the Mn-MOF modified electrode was chosen for further detection of the NFZ solution. Fig. 6(a) gave the influence of various pH values on electrochemical reduction of NFZ. CV measurement showed that the potential shifted negatively as pH increased. The related peak current versus pH value was plotted in Fig. S3(a).†
The pH = 5 solution gave the maximum peak current density, and the reduction peak current also decreases with increasing the pH value. The result indicates that protons play a vital role during the reduction process, consistent with the mechanism shown in Fig. 4(b). Therefore, the solution of pH = 5 was selected for further investigation of kinetics in which we implemented CV with various scan rates from 10 to 200 mV s⁻¹ in the presence of 0.5 mM NFZ in 0.1 M PB solution (pH 5). The reduction peak potentials change slightly, and the currents gradually increase with increasing scan rates. There is a linear relationship between the square root of the scan rate and the corresponding peak current, as shown in Fig. S3(b).

Further quantitative analysis of NFZ was performed using differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) in a PBS buffer (pH 5) containing a series of concentrations of NFZ. Fig. 6(c) gave the DPV results. Cathodic peak current increased upon the addition of NFZ and showed a linear relationship with the concentration of NFZ ranging from 32.65 to 751.94 μM (Fig. S3(c)). The limit of detection (LOD) is 19.8 μM (S/N = 3) with the linear regression equation Ip/C = −6.385C (mM) −1.862 R² = 0.997. The LOD was calculated using the formula: LOD = 3σ/S, where σ is the standard deviation of the peak current of the sensor in the blank PBS (n = 5), and S is the slope of the calibration curve of NFZ. Though the LOD is not as low as other recent applications (Fig. S5†) and powder diffraction analysis (Fig. S6†) as deposited in the ESI†.

**Synthesis of Co-MOF.** A solution (3 mL) of H₂C₂O₄ (0.0057 g, 0.05 mmol) was added to a (6 mL) solution of CoBr₂ (0.0065 g, 0.05 mmol) and 4,4’-bipyridine (0.0156 g, 0.1 mmol) at room temperature. After standing for one week, pale-purple needle-like crystals of Co-MOF (yield, 30.5%) were obtained which are suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis. Anal. calc. for C₁₁H₁₂N₅O₆Co₃: C 30.40, N 6.71, H 4.35; found: C 30.63, N 6.62, H 4.27.

**Materials and methods.** General. All the chemicals were of analytical grade and used without further purification. Acetonitrile (MeCN, J.T.Baker, ≥99.5%), Nafion (Sigma-Aldrich, 5 wt% in lower alcohols and water), potassium hexacyanoferrate (III) (K₃[Fe(CN)₆]), Showa Chemical Co., Ltd. (Japan, 98%), nitrofurazone (NFZ, Alfa Aesar, ≥98%), and the phosphate buffer solution (PB) with pH = 7 were prepared using 0.1 M NaH₂PO₄, H₂O and Na₂HPO₄. 2H₂O. The infrared spectra was recorded on a Nicolet Fourier Transform IR, MAGNA-IR 500 spectrometer in the range of 500-4000 cm⁻¹ using the KBr disc technique.

**Crystallographic data collection and refinement for Co-MOF.** Single-crystal structural analysis was performed on a Siemens SMART diffractometer with a CCD detector with MoKα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) at room temperature. A preliminary orientation matrix and unit cell parameters were determined from 3 runs of 15 frames each, each frame corresponds to a 0.3° scan in 10 s, following by spot integration and least-squares refinement. For each structure, data were measured using ω scans of 0.3° per frame for 20 s until a complete hemisphere had been collected. Cell parameters were retrieved using SMART software and refined with SAINT on all observed reflections. Data reduction was performed with the SAINT software and corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. Absorption corrections were applied with the program SADABS. Direct phase determination and subsequent difference Fourier map synthesis yielded the positions of all non-hydrogen atoms, which were subjected to anisotropic refinements. All hydrogen atoms were generated geometrically (C–H = 0.93, C–H(sp³) = 0.97 Å and N–H = 0.86 Å) with the exception of the hydrogen atoms of the coordinated and solvated water molecules, which were located in the difference Fourier map with the corresponding positions and isotropic displacement parameters being refined. The final full-matrix, least-squares refinement on F² was applied for all observed reflections [I > 2σ(I)]. All calculations were performed using the SHELXTL-PC V 5.03 software package. Crystallographic data and structure refinements (Table S4†), selected bond lengths and angles (Table S5†), and relevant hydrogen bonding parameters (Table S6†) of Co-MOF are deposited in supplementary materials. CCDC 2098717 for Co-MOF contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper.

**In situ X-ray powder diffraction.** The in situ powder X-ray diffraction was performed at Taiwan Photon Source TPS 09A.
and 19A. The 15 keV (0.82656 Å) for samples Mn-MOF, Fe-MOF, Zn-MOF and 16 keV (0.77489 Å) for sample Co-MOF were used, respectively. All the geometry, sample position offset corrections were calibrated using NIST standard material, LaB₆ (660c). A hot air gas blower was placed 2 mm under the sample with a uniform ramp rate 0.2 degree per s. One dimensional parallel X-ray diffraction patterns were recorded by a MYTHEN 18K detector with exposure time of 60 and 3 seconds, respectively.

**Apparatus.** Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were recorded on JSM-IT100 (Japan) with an accelerating voltage of 3 kV. The transmission electron microscope (TEM) was determined on H-7100 (Hitachi) with an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), cyclic voltammetry (CV), and differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) experiments were carried out using a Metrohm Autolab PGSTAT204 with a three-electrode system. A platinum wire and an electrode of the Ag/AgCl/3 M KCl were used as the counter electrode and reference electrode. A modified screen-printed carbon electrode (SPCE, SE102, Zensor) with a geometric area of 0.018 cm² was used as the working electrode.

**Activation of SPCE.** Phosphate buffer solution (PBS, pH = 7) was prepared with 0.1 M mixtures (NaH₂PO₄·H₂O and Na₂HPO₄·2H₂O). A bare SPCE was activated by cyclic voltammetry (CV) scanning in 0.1 M PBS (pH = 7) at room temperature for 10 cycles in the potential range from −1 V to +1 V with the scan rate of 100 mV s⁻¹. After that, the electrode was cleaned with DI water and dry in air for further use.

**Preparation of MOF-modified SPCE.** For preparing MOF-SPCE, 3 mg MOF powders were dispersed into 1 mL NaFion (0.5 wt%) with ultrasonic agitation to obtain a homogeneous suspension. Finally, 2 µL of 3 mg mL⁻¹ MOF suspension solution was drop-casted on the activated SPCE surface and dried under an infrared lamp (175 watts) for 10 minutes.

**Electrochemical measurements**

EIS measurements were carried out at their open circuit potential in 5 mM Fe(CN)₆³⁻/⁴⁻/0.1 M KCl solution, with an amplitude potential of 10 mV and a frequency range of 1 MHz to 0.1 Hz.

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) of different MOFs-modified electrodes of determining 0.5 mM NFZ was investigated in 0.1 M PB buffer in the potential range of 0 to −0.7 V (vs. Ag/AgCl), with a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹. The quantitative measurement of NFZ was performed on Mn-MOF/SPCE by differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) analysis, with continuously increased concentration of NFZ in the electrochemical cell after being stirred for 1 min. The DPV curves were recorded between 0 and −0.7 V (vs. Ag/AgCl), with amplitude of 25 mV, pulse width of 50 ms, pulse period of 0.1 s, and step potential of 5 mV, respectively. All solutions were purged by N₂ and conducted at room temperature under condition.

**Computational details.** All calculations were carried out by the ADF BAND package. We performed spin-unrestricted calculations for all MOFs of study using the HSE06/DZP theory of level based on experimental geometrical structures. Periodic boundary condition (PBC) has been applied to all calculations. DZP is a basis set of a Slater-type orbital with double zeta plus polarization. HSE06 functional has been proven to give band gaps reasonable accuracy at limited computational cost. Using the SCF converged wavefunction, we carried out Hirshfeld population analysis to obtain atomic charges of α- and β-spin. Then, the spin polarization was computed by the difference between the numbers of α and β electrons.

**Conclusions**

In the present work, we examine the electrical conductivity of MOF-modified electrodes using a series of four different water-stable MOFs experimentally and theoretically. The prepared modified electrodes gave a stronger peak current than the bare SPCE for the electrochemical reduction of NFZ molecules. They showed a variation with the different metal ions of the MOF. The change in spin polarization of the metal ion was calculated and then used to illustrate the difference in the peak current density. The electrochemical sensing of NFZ suggests that the Mn-MOF-modified SPCE has better electrocatalytic activity compared to Fe, Co, Zn-MOF due to the smallest bandgap. However, the conductivity and LOD of the Mn-MOF modified electrode are not good enough. To incorporate Mn-MOF with other functional materials is necessary for the future. To the best of our current knowledge, this is the first case of combining the first principle calculations and electrochemical results to figure out the influence of center metal ion of MOFs on electrochemical sensing, which provides a new perspective for researchers interested in using the MOF as an electrochemical sensor.
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