How Does Neighborhood Engagement Affect the Association of Living Alone with Mental Disorder Risks in Older People?

CURRENT STATUS: UNDER REVIEW

BMC Public Health  ▶  BMC Series

Razak M Gyasi  RGyasi@aphrc.org  
African Population and Health Research Center  
Corresponding Author  
ORCiD: 0000-0002-6733-1539

Kabila Abass  
Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology College of Arts and Social Science

Samuel Adu-Gyamfi  
Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology College of Arts and Social Science

DOI:  
10.21203/rs.2.21049/v1

SUBJECT AREAS  
Health Policy

KEYWORDS  
Depression, Living arrangement, Mental disorders, Neighborhood engagement, Older people, Physical activity, Social participation
Abstract

**Background:** Social isolation has been increasingly recognized as a strong risk factor for health-related outcomes, yet, there is limited knowledge about the pathways linking living alone to later life psychological disorders. This study examines the influence of living alone on older people’s psychological disorders and explores the protective role of neighborhood engagement such as social participation and physical activity.

**Methods:** We used cross-sectional data from a 2016/2017 Aging, Health, Psychological Wellbeing and Health-seeking Behavior Survey involving nationally representative sample of 1200 adults aged 50+ years in Ghana. The study focused on a latent measure of Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (K10) and on the General Practice Physical Activity Scale. Ordinary Least Squares models assessed the effect of living alone interactively with neighborhood characteristics on the indicator of mental disorder.

**Results:** The results showed that living alone was an independent predictor of poor mental disorder in the overall sample, among females, urban dwellers and all age groups. However, physical activity and social participation significantly buffered against these associations. In the stratified analysis, physical activity moderated the association for males, rural-dwellers and those 65+ years whilst social participation modified the association for females, urban-dwellers and those 50-64 years.

**Conclusions:** Neighborhood characteristics strongly attenuate the positive association of living alone with mental disorders. These findings may inform intervention initiatives targeted at improving mental health of older people living alone.

**Background**

Advances in public health, together with improvements in clinical interventions, have led to an increase in life expectancy in almost all regions of the world. This has resulted in
major demographic transitions, and it is expected to continue. Between 2015 and 2050 the
global population of people aged 60 + years is projected to almost double, reaching
around 2.1 billion [1]. The number of older people residing in sub-Saharan Africa is
projected to reach 161 million by 2050 [1] and the majority of these individuals are most
likely to live alone and perhaps, socially isolated usually because they have outlived a
partner or faced with intractable life circumstances such as retirement, functional
impairment and gradual social change [2, 3].

Globally, living alone has been linked to the major risk factors of both physical and mental
disorders including cognitive function and psychological state particularly in later life [4].
The complexities and crises of old age and their implications for social and health of older
people are related to poor and declining social relationships [5, 6]. In the general
population, the presence of quality social relationships has been shown to present
numerous physical, physiological, mental health benefits and also increase longevity [6, 7]. For many older people, living alone, and the absence of social ties are strongly linked
with suboptimal self-rated health and all-cause mortality [8]. Indeed, co-residence and the
associated social networks may strengthen mental functioning through access to
resources, shared decision making, receipt of emotional support, modeling positive health
behaviors and coping mechanisms [9]. Accumulating research have found that living alone
and poor social relationships and integration, can result in adverse immune responses and
mental distress in later life [10].

Social ties may be strengthened or newly formed in old age which may modulate social
isolation contexts including living alone. Co-residence or having a strong, supportive
network differentiated by age, gender and residential status may provide important
benefits for mental health through shared or powered decision making, survivorship care
planning, psychosocial wellbeing and later life functional independence [2, 9]. Insights
from the analysis of the linkages between living alone and later life mental disorder in a sub-Saharan African setting where the intersection of growing older population and social change is occurring rapidly can create a more robust understanding of how social circumstances influence wellbeing, survival and social policy instruments for older people. Very importantly, social participation and regular moderate-to-vigorous physical activity often decline with age chiefly due to the declines in the activities of daily living (ADL) and socioeconomic disengagements [11]. Although these mechanisms have been reported to predict mental health outcomes [6, 12], their moderating effects in the relationship between living alone and later life mental disorder risks remain much less explored. There has been an incessant call for holistic action to identify potential mechanisms that explain the association of living arrangements with mental health outcome in older adults [4, 13]. Investigating how physical activity social participation modify the association between living alone and mental disorder is potentially relevant for critical health policy and public health interventions. The paper examines how living alone impacts mental disorder among community-dwelling older Ghanaians and to explore the buffering effects of physical activity and social participation in this association in the domain of the Pearlin’s Stress Process Model (SPM).

The SPM [14] includes three key domains: context and sources of stress, mediators of stress and outcomes of stress. Stress could be viewed as a life event occurring at specific points in time or life strains which progress over longer periods of time. The mediator, which connotes a psychological resource may interpose the associations between stress and the manifestations of stress such as mental disorder [14]. Coping resources explain how similar causes may emit differential effects [14]. In line with the SPM, our study conceptualizes living alone as the stressor in social context and mental disorder as the outcome. Coping resources of physical activity and social participation are, therefore,
expected to buffer the association between living alone and mental disorder. We hypothesize (1) that the odds of mental disorders will be higher for older people who live alone and (2) that neighborhood mechanisms such as physical activity and social participation will distinctively buffer the severity of mental disorder among older people living alone.

Methods

Data and Sampling

Data for this analysis were drawn from an Aging, Health, Psychological Wellbeing and Health-seeking Behavior Study (AHPWHBS) conducted in Ghana between July 2016 and February 2017. This study applied a probability-based sample consisting of community-residing adults, aged ≥50 years. Due to the wide cultural and socioeconomic diversities of the population, a multi-stage stratified cluster sampling procedure was followed [16]. Details of selection procedure have been reported elsewhere [2,6,12,13,15,16]. The key eligibility requirements were that the participants were at least 50 years of age, resident in the respective study areas and had lived in the study setting for the past two years.

The sample size was estimated using a formula, \( n = \text{design effect} \times \left[ \frac{(\frac{\pi}{2})^2 \times (1-\pi)}{\pi^2} \right] \) [17], assuming 5% margin of error, 95% confidence interval, 1.5 design effect, 5% and 15% of type 1 and type 2 errors respectively, and a conservative estimation/default prevalence of 50% (because the actual proportion of people aged 50+ years in the selected areas was unknown). The required sample size was, therefore, computed to be 1200. The statistical power calculation revealed that the sample size had 85% power to detect an odds ratio of ≥2. The survey questionnaire was initially developed in English, translated into Asante Twi (the principal dialect in the study area) and back translated into English with reconciliation of discrepancies for quality control of the translation.
procedure following WHO translation guidelines for assessing instruments. Face-to-face interviews were conducted using interviewer-administered questionnaires, taking into consideration the high illiteracy rate among the sample.

Measures

**Dependent variable**

Mental disorder was assessed with psychological distress score using the Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (K10) [18]. This scale was developed as a screening tool for psychological distress in the general population. The K10 distinguishes Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition (DSM-IV) from non-cases [18] and is strongly associated with the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI) diagnosis of anxiety and affective disorders. The K10 scale consists of 10 questions that measure a person’s level of anxiety and depressive symptoms in the previous four weeks. The items included were: “Did you feel ...1) tired out for no good reasons?”, 2) nervous?”, 3) so nervous that nothing could calm you down?”, 4) hopeless?”, 5) restless or fidgety?”, 6) so restless that you could not sit still?”, 7) depressed?”, 8) that everything was an effort?”, 9) so sad that nothing could cheer you up?“ and 10) worthless?”. Each item has five response categories “none of the time”, “a little of the time”, “some of the time”, “most of the time” and “all of the time.” A sum-score was calculated (range 10-50), with higher scores reflecting higher psychological distress outcomes.

**Independent variable**

The variable of interest was living arrangements. Following the United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs (UNDESA) [4], living arrangement was assessed using simplest descriptions through four mutually exclusive categories based on the item, “do you live with anyone else?” The response included; (1) living alone (residing alone in a one-person household), (2) with spouse only (residing with a spouse or partner in a two-
person household), (3) with children (residing with any of their children, including sons, daughters, children-in-law, step-children, adopted children and foster children) and (4) with others. Given the view that older people living alone are characteristically distinct across social, economic and health circumstances [4] whilst those who live with others are most likely to receive social support (Das et al., 2012), we dichotomized the responses with \textit{living alone} =1 and \textit{co-residing with other} =0.

\textbf{Moderating variables}

The potential moderating variables based on previous literature included physical activity which may lead to lower levels of social exclusion among older people [11,12]. This was assessed with the items from the General Practice Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPPAQ) [19]: “How many days in the last week did you walk for at least 30 minutes in total”? “do moderate activities such as dancing for about 30 min in total”? “do vigorous activities such as running, sporting, gardening, heavy housework”? The responses were recorded on a continuous scale (ranging 0–21) with higher scores indicating physically active. Social participation in neighborhood activities including attending religious services, social clubs/organization meetings, sports/cultural activities and civic/political organizations. This was assessed with a five-point scale (never, less frequently, frequently, very frequently or every day). The overall score was dichotomized (frequently or not frequently).

\textbf{Confounding variables}

Potential confounders were identified and selected based on theoretical assumptions and empirical findings of past literature [3,20]. The socioeconomic covariates included sex (male or female), age (16–34, 35–59 or 60–64 years), spatial residence (rural or urban), employment status (unemployed or employed) and level of education (primary school/no attendance, secondary education or higher) and individual monthly income. Loneliness
was assessed based on the Three-Item Loneliness Scale of University of the California, Los Angeles (UCLA) Loneliness Scale: How often do you feel you lack companionship? How often do you feel left out? How often do you feel isolated? (hardly ever/never, some of the time/sometimes or often/always) given an overall score 3-9 with higher scores indicating greater levels of loneliness ($\alpha = 0.72$) [21].

In terms of health-related factors, self-rated health was assessed with a question “In general, how would you rate your health?” using four-level responses (very good, good, fair or poor) whilst chronic illnesses included the diagnoses by a health care professional of 10 illnesses (hypertension, diabetes, respiratory diseases, cancers, stroke, chronic kidney diseases, asthma, arthritis, depression and insomnia). Functional status was assessed with five-item of basic activities of daily living (ADL) that are required to take care of oneself and also commonly used to gauge older people’s daily performance such as bathing, using the toilet, eating, bathing and dressing and getting in and out of bed (not limited at all, less limited, somewhat limited or much limited). A sum-score was estimated (range 5-20), with higher scores reflecting poorer functional status [22].

Statistical analysis

Sample characteristics and bivariate estimations were calculated to describe the study sample. Multiple linear regressions were used to analyze the association between living alone and mental disorder. In addition, studies contend that social isolation and mental health factors may vary by gender, age and spatial differences [2]. We, therefore, performed regressions stratified by gender, age and rural/urban residential status to further investigate the role of these variables in the association. In additional analyses, the moderating roles of physical activity and social participation in the association between living alone and mental health were tested in terms of the overall sample and gender, age and residential specific examinations. The statistical significance was
determined with $p < 0.05$. Data analyses were performed using IBM-SPSS Statistics for Windows application (version 21; Chicago, IL, USA). In all regressions, multicollinearity was tested for, using the variance inflation factor (VIF). The largest variance found was 2.96, suggesting that a problem with multicollinearity was not present. We reported odds ratios (ORs) and their corresponding lower and upper 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

Results

Sample characteristics

A total of 1200 people 50 years or older completed AHPWHB eligibility surveys. Of this, 458 (38.2%; 95%CI: 35.4%-41.0%) lived alone and the mean score of mental disorder was 13.54 [SD = 5.10] (Table 1). The overall mean age of participants was 66.15 years [SD = 11.85 years] with a range from 50 to 111 years. Participants were predominantly females (63.3%), lived in urban areas (55.0%), had lower educational levels (86.2%) and were not employed (55.6%) which reflected in lower and highly diverse income levels (¢308.180 [SD = 338.893]). Moreover, 55.2% felt lonely, 95.3% and 73.3% respectively maintained regular contact with family and participated in social events whilst one-half of the respondents engaged in physical activity. The mean functional impairment was 13.70 [SD = 5.09], nearly one-halve self-reported suboptimal health, and 53.0% were diagnosed with at least one chronic illness.

Bivariate results

In the bivariate analysis, living alone was significantly associated with age, gender, residence, education, employment status, income levels, loneliness, physical activity, frequent family contacts, self-rated health, functional status, chronic conditions and psychological distress levels (Table 1). For example, older men, urban dwellers, the young olds (50-64 age group), and those with lower levels of education, physically active and
self-rated poor health were significantly more like to live alone ($p < 0.001$).

**Main regression models**

The results of the multiple regressions analysis are presented in Table 2. Unadjusted results showed a significant positive association between living alone and mental disorder in the overall sample (OR = 2.435; 95% CI: 1.908-3.106) and in all stratified sub-groups for gender, age and spatial differences. After adjusting for various potential confounders, linear regressions showed that older persons living alone were 1.5 (95% CI: 1.065-2.009) times more likely to experience mental disorder in the total sample. This Model accounted for 27% of the explained variance in the mental disorder outcome. Stratified analysis showed that females (OR = 1.630; 95% CI: 1.074-2.474), urban dwellers (OR = 1.699; 95% CI: 1.129-2.557), those aged 50-64 years (OR = 2.064; 95% CI: 1.348-3.160) and 50-64 years (OR = 1.403; 95% CI: 1.051-2.478) who lived alone had higher odds of experiencing mental disorder but not among males and rural inhabitants.

**Moderated regression analysis**

In addition, it was tested whether physical activity and social participation moderate the association between living alone and mental disorder (Table 3). In the total sample, the interaction terms (living alone x physical activity) and (living alone x social participation) significantly attenuated the risk of mental disorder by 46% (OR = 0.543; 95% CI: 0.361-0.816) and 27% (OR = 0.726; 95% CI: 0.601-0.877) respectively among those living alone. Further sensitivity interaction analysis showed similar results among the stratified sub-groups. For example, social participation reduced mental disorder risk by 39% among females and 30% among urban dwellers. Also, physical activity reduced incidence of mental disorder by 64% among males and 66% among rural dwellers who lived alone.

**Discussion**
General findings

This study of older Ghanaian adults is one of the first to utilize representative data to investigate and further advance extant literature by testing whether two key potential mechanisms of physical activity and social participation independently explain the association between living alone and mental health outcome in this population. Results of the multivariable ordinary least squares regression analysis revealed that older people who lived alone had a higher odds of mental disorder risk compared to those who co-resided with others varied by age, gender and rural/urban residential status. In addition, our findings add to the social relationships and mental health literature by providing evidence of the buffering effect of neighborhood characteristics of physical activity and social participation on the relationship between living alone and psychological distress.

Relation to previous studies interpretation of findings

The findings provide some evidence to support the first study hypothesis suggesting that older people living alone report poorer mental health. Although studies linking living arrangements and psychological distress *per se* is much limited, there is an established literature showing that living alone in later life is strongly linked to poor mental health. Our results are consistent with a number of previous studies reporting significantly higher risks of wide ranging mental disorders such as depressive symptoms, anxiety and declining cognitive function (which generally characterize psychological distress) among older people living alone compared to those living with others [20,23,24]. For example, in a population-based sample of 12,647, McKinnon and colleagues [24] found that living alone predicted a 2.3% point higher prevalence of depression among older people in 15 sub-Saharan African countries (including Ghana) in relation to those living with at least one other person.
A number of hypotheses could explain the positive association between living alone and mental disorder. First, living alone is recognized as one of the most stressful later life events which may result from widowhood and social ostracism [2]. These circumstances may potentially lead to negative changes in individuals’ social environment, risk factors for social isolation and loneliness, which may derail mental health outcome. Second, accumulating research demonstrate that the prescription of antidepressant, anxiolytic and hypnotic drugs is higher in people living alone than in those with others [23] which may trigger common mental disorders. Further, whilst perceived social isolation escalates immune dysregulation risks, both immune suppression and activation are key antecedents for depression and other mental disorders [25] particularly in older age. However, our observation is inconsistent with findings emerging from previous studies in the advanced settings in particular indicating that living alone is unrelated to mental disorders [26-28]. The disparity may result from the view that unlike Western societies, African sociocultural landscape reflects strong communally integrated societies and that living alone become a critical condition for older Africans. The myriad measurement scales for aspects of mental health might have also contributed to diverse findings. Future research should investigate the specific pathways through which living alone retards mental health in general and psychological distress in particular.

In addition, our stratified analysis demonstrated that living alone was independently associated with higher risk of psychological distress in older women and urban dwellers but this association was not established for men and rural counterparts. These differences may relate well to the different gender roles, and sociocultural coloration between rural and urban African settings. Generally, whilst women are more amiable to social relationships compared to men, circumstances of living alone may likely cause more stress to women and influence their psychological state as illustrated by the SPM [14]. In the
African traditional context, rural dwellers are generally bonded and closely related to one another. Individuals living alone in such circumstances may easily get attached with other community members unlike the urban areas where people mostly “mind their businesses” and hardly connect and share thoughts with others leading to a higher chance of experiencing mental disorders.

More importantly, our hypothesis regarding the possible modifying effects of buffering resources in the association of living alone with psychological distress was supported. The moderation effects of subjectively assessed physical activity and social participation as core elements of neighborhood dimensions were strongly demonstrated. There are several possible mechanisms through which neighborhood social quality might modify the mental disorders and living alone interrelationship. In the ambit of Pearlin’s SPM, social ties can buffer stressful and adverse life events, and therefore counter the onset of mental illness, and also mitigating their negative impacts. Social isolation and a lack of social participation can underscore various mental health challenges among older cohorts [28]. Good neighborhood social quality may increase the availability of social activities. Demonstrated by the convoy model, participation in social events may allow older people who live alone the opportunity to meet new people and form social networks which may decrease feelings of loneliness and mental disorder [29]. Social networking and the concomitant practical help from relevant others make older people feel safer and more secure. This alleviates the stress associated with living alone and its consequence of mental disorders [30,31]. The ability to maintain a sense of belonging with family, close friends and participation in social or community events appears to buffer the negative affect and emotional suffering when living alone. Similarly, intensifying physical activity and engagement in group leisure-time activities can modulate mental problem among older adults. Indeed, the stress buffering hypothesis of physical activity suggests a
mechanism to reduce stress and also helps to improve mental health. Physical activity and social participation perhaps establish a stress-focused behavioral coping for wide-ranging mental problems including psychological distress, mood, depression, loneliness, and anxiety [2,12]. These findings reinforce previous research demonstrating the role of social participation and physical activity in tempering mental health [2,11].

Strengths and limitations

The present analysis draws strength from relatively large and representative sample size achieved by pooling proportionately selected participants from across six rural/urban districts in Ghana. Moreover, psychological distress outcome and neighborhood physical activity was quantified using validated scales with very good psychometric properties. However, the retrospective self-reported measures and cross-sectional design used meant that, whilst recall biases become highly inevitable, directionality and causal conclusions cannot be made. Although this limitation is recognized in other studies using similar design, evidence for the validity of self-reported data and non-longitudinal designs has been demonstrated in previous studies. Future research on the linkages between living arrangements and mental health in sub-Saharan Africa should usefully explore longitudinal data that may reveal temporal associations among variables.

Conclusions

Among older people in a sub-Saharan country context, the findings of this study underline the premise that living alone increases the risks of mental disorders with marked gender, age and spatial dimensions. Typically, this association strongly reflected among those aged 50-64 years and also among females and urban residents. Very importantly, leisure-time social participation and physical activity engagements moderated the relationship. Our study underscores the need to consider physical activity and social interactions with
neighbors among older adults who live alone as a mechanism to improve mental health, a key component of healthy aging agenda. Critical gerontological research and environmentally driven interventions including old age friendly neighborhoods, community development and social programs may promote interpersonal relations towards improved mental health among older people who live alone.
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Tables

Table 1. Descriptive and bivariate analysis of study variables

| Variable                  | Overall   | Co-residence | Living alone | p-value ɸ |
|---------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| Total                     | 1200 (100)| 742 (61.8)   | 458 (38.2)   | -          |
| Age (years)               |           |              |              |            |
| 50-64                     | 585 (48.8)| 304 (41.0)   | 281 (61.4)   | <0.001     |
| 65+                       | 615 (51.3)| 438 (59.0)   | 177 (38.6)   |            |
| Gender                    |           |              |              |            |
| Female                    | 759 (63.3)| 563 (75.9)   | 196 (42.8)   | <0.001     |
| Male                      | 441 (36.8)| 179 (24.1)   | 262 (57.2)   |            |
| Residence                 |           |              |              |            |
| Rural                     | 540 (45.0)| 366 (49.3)   | 174 (38.0)   | <0.001     |
| Urban                     | 660 (55.0)| 376 (50.7)   | 284 (62.0)   |            |
| Educational level         |           |              |              |            |
| Primary or none           | 1034 (86.2)| 672 (90.6)   | 362 (79.0)   | <0.001     |
| Secondary                 | 104 (8.7) | 48 (6.5)     | 56 (12.2)    |            |
| Tertiary                  | 62 (5.2)  | 22 (3.0)     | 40 (8.7)     |            |
| Employment status         |           |              |              |            |
| Unemployed                | 667 (55.6)| 486 (65.5)   | 181 (39.5)   | <0.001     |
| Employed                  | 533 (44.4)| 256 (34.5)   | 277 (60.5)   |            |
|                                      | Monthly income (¢) [M(SD)] | Loneliness    | Physical activity | Frequent family contacts | Frequent social activity | Self-assed health | Functional status [M(SD)] | Diagnosis of NCDs | Psychological distress [M(SD)] |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
|                                      | 308.180 [338.893]           |               |                   |                          |                          |                   | 13.70 [5.09]              | 636 [53.0]        | 13.54 [5.10]               |
| Loneliness                           |                             |               |                   |                          |                          |                   |                           |                   |                            |
| Not lonely                           | 538 (44.8)                  | 253 (34.1)    | 426 (57.4)        | 699 (94.2)               | 535 (72.1)               | 239 (19.9)        | 109 (14.7)                | 416 [56.1]        | 12.97 [5.04]               |
| Lonely                               | 662 (55.2)                  | 489 (65.9)    | 316 (42.6)        | 699 (94.2)               | 345 (75.3)               | 369 (30.8)        | 216 (29.1)                | 239 (32.2)        | 14.11 [4.96]               |
|                                      |                             |               |                   |                          |                          |                   |                           |                   |                            |
| Physical activity                    |                             |               |                   |                          |                          |                   |                           |                   |                            |
| Not-active                           | 594 (49.5)                  | 426 (57.4)    | 168 (36.7)        | 444 (96.9)               | 173 (37.8)               | 285 (62.2)        |                           |                   |                            |
| Active                               | 606 (50.5)                  | 316 (42.6)    | 290 (63.3)        | 173 (37.8)               | 173 (37.8)               |                   |                           |                   |                            |
|                                      |                             |               |                   |                          |                          |                   |                           |                   |                            |
| Frequent family contacts             |                             |               |                   |                          |                          |                   |                           |                   |                            |
|                                     | 1143 (95.3)                 | 699 (94.2)    | 444 (96.9)        | 699 (94.2)               | 444 (96.9)               |                   |                           |                   |                            |
| Frequent social activity             | 880 (73.3)                  | 535 (72.1)    | 345 (75.3)        | 535 (72.1)               | 345 (75.3)               |                   |                           |                   |                            |
|                                      |                             |               |                   |                          |                          |                   |                           |                   |                            |
| Self-assed health                    |                             |               |                   |                          |                          |                   |                           |                   |                            |
| Very good                            | 239 (19.9)                  | 109 (14.7)    | 130 (28.4)        | 130 (28.4)               | 130 (28.4)               |                   |                           |                   |                            |
| Good                                 | 369 (30.8)                  | 216 (29.1)    | 153 (33.4)        | 216 (29.1)               | 216 (29.1)               |                   |                           |                   |                            |
| Fair                                 | 348 (29.0)                  | 239 (32.2)    | 109 (23.8)        | 239 (32.2)               | 239 (32.2)               |                   |                           |                   |                            |
| Poor                                 | 244 (20.3)                  | 178 (24.0)    | 66 (14.4)         | 178 (24.0)               | 178 (24.0)               |                   |                           |                   |                            |
|                                      |                             |               |                   |                          |                          |                   |                           |                   |                            |
| Functional status                    |                             |               |                   |                          |                          |                   |                           |                   |                            |
|                                      | 13.70 [5.09]                | 15.17 [4.86]  | 12.79 [5.02]      | 15.17 [4.86]             | 12.79 [5.02]             |                   |                           |                   |                            |
| Diagnosis of NCDs                    |                             |               |                   |                          |                          |                   |                           |                   |                            |
|                                      | 636 (53.0)                  | 416 (56.1)    | 220 (48.0)        | 416 (56.1)               | 416 (56.1)               |                   |                           |                   |                            |
| Psychological distress               |                             |               |                   |                          |                          |                   |                           |                   |                            |
|                                      | 13.54 [5.10]                | 12.97 [5.04]  | 14.11 [4.96]      | 12.97 [5.04]             | 12.97 [5.04]             |                   |                           |                   |                            |

*p ‹ 0.05, **p ‹ 0.005; ***p ‹ 0.001

ϕ P-values are based on χ² test and compare the difference by living arrangements (co-residence vs living alone) and independent variables included in the regression models.
| Variables          | Crude Models | Adjusted Models |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|
|                   | OR (95%CI)  | OR (95%CI)      |
| Potential confounders |             | √               |
| Model 1           | 2.435 (1.908-3.106)*** | 1.463 (1.065-2.009)** |
| Model 2           |             |                 |
| Female            | 2.448 (1.743-3.439)*** | 1.630 (1.074-2.474)* |
| Male              | 2.021 (1.364-2.994)*** | 1.122 (0.657-1.916) |
| Model 3           |             |                 |
| 50-64             | 3.184 (2.265-4.475)*** | 2.064 (1.348-3.160)*** |
| 65+               | 1.964 (1.364-2.827)*** | 1.403 (1.051-2.478)** |
| Model 4           |             |                 |
| Rural             | 2.511 (1.719-3.667)*** | 1.415 (0.811-2.466) |
| Urban             | 2.359 (1.711-3.252)*** | 1.699 (1.129-2.557)* |

OR is the odds ratio; CI in parenthesis is confidence interval; √ represents potential confounders. Crude Models included living arrangement only. Adjusted Model included living arrangement, age, gender, rural/urban residence, education level, employment, income level, family contacts, social participation, loneliness, physical activity, self-reported health, functional status, and diagnosis of chronic diseases. Model 1 included the overall sample; Model 2 included stratified analysis by gender (male and female); Model 3 included stratified analysis by age (50-64 years and 60+ years); Model 4 included stratified analysis by residence (rural and urban localities).

*p ˂ 0.05;
**p ˂ 0.005;
***p ˂ 0.001

Figures
Overall sample, age-, gender- and spatial location-wise associations and moderating factors in the association of living alone and mental disorder. OR is the odds ratio; CI in parenthesis is confidence interval. All Models were adjusted for age, gender, rural/urban residence, education level, employment, income level, family contacts, loneliness, self-reported health, functional status, and diagnosis of chronic diseases. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.005; ***p < 0.001