Operative safety and oncologic outcomes in rectal cancer based on the level of inferior mesenteric artery ligation: a stratified analysis of a large Korean cohort
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INTRODUCTION

Lymph node (LN) metastasis is a major prognostic factor in patients undergoing surgery for rectal cancer [1]. Precise LN dissection is considered to be crucial in rectal cancer surgery, and the pathologic finding of LN involvement is a significant prognostic factor for survival after low anterior resection (LAR) [2]. There is an ongoing debate among surgeons and institutions about which level of inferior mesenteric artery (IMA) ligation in rectal cancer surgery provides the best outcomes for patients [3]. The importance of the level of arterial ligation remains controversial with respect to several aspects, including the oncologic outcome, ability to perform accurate tumor staging, and perfusion of the distal colonic artery, which is correlated with anastomotic leakage (AL) [3-5].

It has been suggested that the incidence of AL after LAR
for rectal cancer depends on the level of ligation [6,7]. When creating an anastomosis between the proximal colon and the remaining rectum, the mesocolon must be extended to minimize the tension on the anastomosis, and division of the IMA at its origin has been reported to be useful in this respect [8]. After a high tie is performed, the perfusion to the proximal colon is supplied solely by the superior mesenteric artery; thus, decreased anastomotic perfusion is a matter of concern.

However, to date, there are few well-designed randomized controlled trials (RCTs) with reliable results. Previous retrospective studies and a recent RCT have not proved the superiority of a single approach for arterial ligation in terms of oncologic outcomes [3,9]. Therefore, the authors recommended exercising caution when choosing the level of arterial ligation [7,10]. We aimed to shed light on this controversial issue by investigating the short-term and long-term outcomes of both procedures in a large sample of patients who underwent LAR with double-stapling anastomosis.

**METHODS**

**Patients and data collection**

We performed a retrospective study using the prospective electronic records of 1,213 patients with rectal cancer treated with elective LAR with double-stapling colorectal anastomosis at Severance Hospital, Yonsei University Health System, from January 2007 to March 2013. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Yonsei University (IRB No 2019-1183-001). Written informed consents were waived because of being a retrospective study. We included patients with stage I–III, histologically proven, invasive adenocarcinoma of the rectum, located 6–15 cm from the anal verge. We excluded patients with stage IV rectal cancer; those with multiple, histologically distinct primary tumors; and those who underwent procedures other than double-stapling anastomosis, including abdominoperineal resection, LAR with coloanal anastomosis, or intersphincteric resection. LAR with coloanal anastomosis, or intersphincteric resection. We divided the participants into 2 groups for analysis. The first group included 835 patients who underwent high IMA ligation at the root of the IMA (high tie). The second group included 378 patients who underwent low IMA ligation directly distal to the origin of the left colic artery (LCA) along with dissection of the LNs around the root of the IMA (low tie). The selection of the level of IMA ligation was decided by the surgeons.

**Evaluation parameters**

The primary outcome of this study was the AL rate. The secondary outcomes were long-term oncologic outcomes, including local recurrence-free survival rate, metastasis-free survival rate, overall survival, and cancer-specific survival, of the high-tie and low-tie groups. We defined AL as the breakdown of the colorectal anastomosis with an infected fluid collection in the pelvic cavity within 60 days after the index operation. AL was diagnosed using CT or on the basis of clinical symptoms and signs including change of drain color and/or fever with peritonitis. Overall survival was defined as the duration from the date of surgery to the date of death. Cancer specific survival was defined as the time between surgery and cancer-related death. Local recurrence was defined as recurrence at the primary tumor site confirmed on radiologic or histologic examination. Recurrence beyond the primary site was considered distant metastasis.

The variables that were analyzed and compared between patients with high and low IMA ligation were as follows: age, sex, American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status classification grade, preoperative CEA level, surgical approach (open surgery or minimal invasive surgery), neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy, and operative outcomes (e.g., operative time and intraoperative blood loss). Pathologic staging was based on the 7th edition of the American Joint Commission on Cancer TNM system [11]. Surgical specimens were analyzed to determine the number of harvested LNs and the circumferential resection margin (CRM). CRM involvement was defined as the presence of tumor cells within 1 mm of the CRM [12]. The tumor size, proximal resection margin, and distal resection margin were determined in the operating room, and pathologic reports were reviewed to obtain additional information.

**Perioperative management**

The preoperative staging workup included colonoscopy with biopsy; CEA testing; chest, abdominal, and pelvic CT; and rectal MRI. Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy was administered in patients with T3/4 midrectal cancer or positive LNs. We used a standard, long-course, neoadjuvant regimen of 5-fluorouracil-based chemotherapy and a total dose of 50.4 Gy of external-beam radiation. The follow-up strategies for patients were identical between the groups. The patients were followed up every 6 months for the first 3 years after surgery and yearly thereafter. Each follow-up evaluation included medical history taking, physical examination, and measurement of serum CEA level. Routine imaging studies consisted of chest radiography and CT of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis. Chest radiography and abdominopelvic CT were performed 6 months after surgery for 3 years and annually thereafter. Colonoscopy was performed annually after surgery. Ultrasonography, whole-body bone scintigraphy, and PET were performed when there was suspicion of recurrence on routine imaging studies.

**Surgical technique**

All surgeries were performed by five surgeons of the Division of Colorectal Surgery of Severance Hospital, Yonsei University Health System. All procedures started from a medial to a
lateral approach according to the oncologic concept. The level of ligation of the IMA was at the origin of the aorta among patients allocated to high ligation, and below the origin of the LCA among those allocated to low ligation (Fig. 1). Before 2010, high IMA ligation was the standard practice used by all surgeons involved in this study. Starting in 2010, three of the surgeons began performing low IMA ligation with concurrent dissection of the LNs around the root of the IMA for rectal cancer surgeries, whereas the other 2 surgeons continued to perform high ligation for all rectal cancer surgeries. However, these 3 surgeons selectively performed high ligation when preoperative APCT showed suspicious metastatic LNs at the root of the IMA or when metastasis LNs were diagnosed by frozen biopsy at the root of IMA. The peritoneum was incised at the level of the sacral promontory below the aortic bifurcation. Medial-to-lateral dissection of the sigmoid and descending colon along the avascular plane with autonomic nerve preservation and dissection of the LNs around the root of the IMA were performed. For low ligation, after identifying the LCA around the origin of the IMA, the IMA was resected below the origin of the LCA. Then, the inferior mesenteric vein was ligated with careful skeletonization on the level of its origin after assessing the collateral artery near the inferior mesenteric vein and pancreas. The left paracolic gutter was dissected, and the greater omentum of the transverse colon was detached. Complete splenic flexure mobilization was performed for a tension-free anastomosis for mid-rectal cancer, regardless if low or high IMA ligation was done, and the descending colon was used for the anastomosis. Patients with midrectal cancer underwent total mesorectal excision, whereas those with upper rectal cancer underwent tumor-specific mesorectal excision. After specimen extraction, end-to-end intracorporeal anastomosis was done with a double stapling technique. A suction drain was placed in the pelvic cavity. The anastomosis was then tested using an air-leak test. Protective ileostomy was performed in patients with two or more of the following indications: male sex, low anastomosis, neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy, use of multiple staplers, and positive air-leak test. The operative details were as described in our previous studies [13,14].

### Table 1. Patients’ baseline and clinical characteristics

| Characteristic                  | High tie (n = 835) | Low tie (n = 378) | P-value |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Age (yr)                       | 60.6 ± 10.8        | 60.2 ± 11.5       | 0.55    |
| Sex                            |                    |                   | 0.25    |
| Male                           | 503 (66.2)         | 241 (63.8)        |         |
| Female                         | 332 (33.8)         | 137 (36.2)        |         |
| Body mass index (kg/m$^2$)     | 23.4 ± 3.2         | 23.2 ± 3.4        | 0.23    |
| ASA PS classification          |                    |                   | 0.246   |
| I–II                           | 608 (72.8)         | 263 (69.6)        |         |
| ≥III                           | 227 (27.2)         | 115 (30.4)        |         |
| Preoperative CEA               | 5.4 ± 10.4         | 5.5 ± 13.0        | 0.927   |
| Neoadjuvant CRT                | 146 (17.5)         | 73 (19.3)         | 0.47    |
| Tumor location from AV (cm)    | 453 (54.3)         | 187 (49.5)        | 0.122   |
| 6–10                           | 382 (45.7)         | 191 (50.5)        |         |
| 10.1–15                        |                    |                   | <0.001  |
| Operative approach             |                    |                   |         |
| Open                           | 133 (15.9)         | 21 (5.6)          |         |
| Laparoscopic                   | 443 (53.1)         | 241 (63.8)        |         |
| Robotic                        | 259 (31.0)         | 116 (30.7)        |         |
| Diverting ileostomy            | 152 (18.2)         | 87 (23.0)         | 0.05    |

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation or number (%).

ASA PS, American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status; CRT, chemoradiotherapy; AV, anal verge.
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**Fig. 1.** Level of ligation of the inferior mesenteric artery (IMA). (Left) High tie. (Right) Low tie with lymph node dissection around the root of the IMA (low tie with lymph node dissection [LND]). A, IMA; B, lymph node around the root of the IMA; C, left colic artery; D, sigmoid artery.
**Statistical analysis**
All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics ver. 23.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA). Categorical variables were analyzed using the χ2 test, and continuous variables were analyzed using Student t-test. Differences in survival between groups were compared using the Kaplan-Meier method and tested with the log-rank test. A P-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Patients’ baseline and clinical characteristics**
There were no significant differences between patients who underwent high IMA ligation with respect to age, sex, body mass index, American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status classification grade, preoperative CEA level, neoadjuvant chemotherapy, tumor location from the anal verge, and diverting ileostomy. Patients who underwent high IMA ligation were more likely to have undergone an open operation than those who underwent low IMA ligation (15.9% vs. 5.6%, P < 0.001) (Table 1).

**Pathologic and surgical outcomes**
The pathologic and surgical outcomes of patients who underwent LAR for rectal cancer are summarized in Table 2. The total numbers of harvested LNs and metastatic IMA LNs were not significantly different between the groups. However, more metastatic LNs were harvested in the high-tie group than in the low-tie group (1.3 ± 2.9 vs. 0.8 ± 1.9, P = 0.002), and the incidence of positive pathologic N status was higher in the high-tie group (37.9% vs. 28.6%, P = 0.001). The other pathologic outcomes, such as pathologic T stage and CRM involvement, showed no difference between the 2 groups. Factors related to surgical outcomes were compared between the groups. The 2 groups had similar incidences of AL (the primary end point of this study), which occurred in 94 patients (11.3%) who underwent high IMA ligation and in 41 patients (10.8%) who underwent low IMA ligation (P = 0.85). Postoperative complications occurred in 40 patients (4.8%) who underwent high IMA ligation and in 12 patients (3.2%) who underwent low IMA ligation (P = 0.22). In both groups, the most common complication was paralytic ileus (38% and 42%), followed by wound infection (25% and 27%), bleeding (27% and 25%), and urinary infection (10% and 3%). As expected, the operative time was significantly longer in the low-tie group (248.1 ± 110 minutes vs. 281.8 ± 181.4 minutes, P < 0.001), whereas there was no significant difference in estimated blood loss.

**Oncologic outcomes**
The oncologic outcomes of the 2 groups are summarized in Table 3. With a median follow-up of 44 months (interquartile range, 27–59 months), the local recurrence-free survival rate was comparable between groups (92.1% vs. 96.1%, P = 0.197) (Fig. 2A). In addition, there was no significant difference in the metastatic recurrence-free survival rate between high-tie and low-tie groups (Fig. 2B). As shown in Fig. 2C and D, there were no significant differences between groups in the 5-year overall survival and 5-year cancer-specific survival rates.

**DISCUSSION**
There is considerable controversy about the level at which arterial ligation should be performed, and on the relationships between the ligation level and postoperative morbidity.
oncologic outcomes [3]. Few studies have compared high IMA ligation and low IMA ligation in terms of both complication (especially AL) rates and oncologic outcomes [6,7]. Inadequate blood perfusion in the proximal limb of the colon and tension in the anastomosis are major contributing factors to AL and are generally associated with each other [15]. Dworkin and Allen-Mersh [16] investigated the disadvantages of high IMA ligation by using Doppler flowmetry, daily for 5 days after surgery, to assess the effects of clamping the IMA above the anastomosis in 26 patients. They found that clamping reduced the blood flow to the sigmoid colon by 50% during the first 5 postoperative days. In another study, Seike et al. [17] reported similar results. Moreover, in a retrospective study, Tsujinaka et al. [18] warned of potential necrosis of the proximal bowel after high IMA ligation. In their study, such necrosis developed in 2% of the patients who underwent high IMA ligation and in none of the patients who underwent low IMA ligation. However, high IMA ligation is often preferred over low IMA ligation to achieve a tension-free anastomosis, because high IMA ligation provides a longer colon length than does low IMA ligation, especially in cases of ultra-low LAR with straight or J-pouch colorectal anastomosis [19]. Several researchers have investigated how the level of IMA ligation affects the risk of AL development [20,21]. Tocchi et al. [20] studied the impact of IMA ligation on AL in 163 patients with diverticular disease of the sigmoid colon and found that IMA ligation resulted in a significantly increased rate of AL. In contrast, Rutegard et al. [21], in a large population-based study, found that high IMA ligation did not result in an increased incidence of symptomatic AL. In our study, the rates of AL and other complications were not significantly different between patients who underwent high IMA ligation and those who underwent low IMA ligation, similar to the results of a previous RCT (HIGHLOW trial) [9]. However, as AL was the secondary outcome of this trial, there is a limitation in that the study was underpowered. The authors suggested that a future RCT tailored to this specific primary outcome should be conducted to provide reliable results.

Several studies have reported the oncologic outcomes of LN dissection around the origin of the IMA [22,23]. Kanemitsu et al. [22] reported that high IMA ligation prolonged survival in patients with colorectal cancer. Only 1.7% of the patients had metastases in LNs near the IMA; however, among those
patients, dissection of the LNs up to the origin of the IMA resulted in a 5-year survival rate of 40%. In contrast, Pezim and Nicholls [24] and Corder et al. [25] found no difference in survival between patients who underwent high IMA ligation and those who underwent low IMA ligation in rectal cancer surgery. In addition, there was no significant difference in terms of the development of metastatic disease between the groups in the HIGHLOW Trial, in which no positive apical LN was found in either group [9]. Our results also showed comparable oncologic outcomes between the high- and low-tie groups in terms of the rates of local and distant recurrence-free survival, 5-year overall survival, and 5-year cancer-specific survival. However, we found positive apical LNs in both groups, although there was no significant difference (2.4% vs. 1.6%, P = 0.16).

In several studies, fewer LNs were extracted when the LN dissection was limited to preserve of the origin of the IMA [26,27], suggesting that high IMA ligation may allow more LNs to be harvested and thus increase the accuracy of tumor staging. We found that the numbers of harvested LNs and metastatic apical LNs were similar between patients who underwent high IMA ligation and those who underwent low IMA ligation, although patients who underwent high IMA ligation had more metastatic LNs on average and more advanced pathologic nodal stage. This may suggest that surgeons prefer high-tie ligation when an advanced nodal stage of apical LN metastasis is suspected on preoperative evaluation. Moreover, most metastatic LNs are intermediate or paracolic LNs, which may imply that low ties that preserve the autonomic nerve are oncologically safe and preferred with respect to genitourinary function. Mari et al. [9] concluded in their RCT that low ligation of the IMA in LAR results in better genitourinary function preservation without affecting the initial oncologic outcomes. The low-tie group showed better continence, less obstructive urinary symptoms, and improved postoperative quality. Sexual function was also better in the low-tie group than in the high-tie group.

In laparoscopic resection of colorectal cancer, some surgeons preserve the IMA and LCA and dissect the LNs around the origin of the IMA, which is technically demanding and time consuming [28]. Accordingly, we observed that the operative time was significantly longer in low IMA ligation with LN dissection than in high IMA ligation. Furthermore, the branching of the IMA (LCA and sigmoid arteries) varies among individual patients [29], and an understanding of those variations is essential, especially for the low ligation technique. Importantly, whichever procedure is adopted (high or low IMA ligation), a meticulous technique is mandatory to obtain good short- and long-term outcomes.

In summary, we observed similar AL rates and oncologic outcomes between patients who underwent high IMA ligation and those who underwent low IMA ligation with dissection of the LNs around the origin of the IMA. However, this study is limited by its nonrandomized retrospective design, which may have caused a selection bias. The involvement of multiple surgeons might have also affected the outcomes; however, all surgeons were experienced colorectal surgeons and seemed to have used standardized operative techniques for low and high IMA ligation, as well as for splenic flexure mobilization in open or minimally invasive surgery. Another limitation is that we did not assess the patients’ postoperative defecatory and genitourinary function. Compared with procedures involving low IMA ligation, procedures that involve high IMA ligation may be associated with poorer bowel function. Furthermore, in procedures involving high IMA ligation, the nerves arising from the root of the IMA are sacrificed and the supply of blood to the neorectum is reduced, which may cause impairment of defecatory function. In discordance with that hypothesis, Matsuda et al. reported that the level of IMA ligation did not have an effect on defecatory function [30].

In conclusion, low IMA ligation with dissection of the LNs around the origin of the IMA showed no differences in terms of AL rate compared with high IMA ligation, without affecting the oncologic outcomes. High IMA ligation did not seem to increase the number of total harvested LNs, whereas the ratio of metastatic apical LNs was similar between the 2 groups.
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