Effect of Sources and Methods of Zinc Application on Productivity, Nutrient Uptake and Zinc Use Efficiency of Basmati rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

Rahul Kumar*, Mukesh Kumar, Shipra Yadav and Rajendra Kumar

Department of Agronomy, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture & Technology, Meerut (U.P.) India

*Corresponding author

A B S T R A C T

A field experiment entitled “Effect of sources and methods of zinc application on growth, yield and zinc use efficiency in Basmati rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)” was conducted at Crop Research Centre of Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture & Technology, Meerut, during kharif season of 2017 with ten treatments viz. control (T1), Seedling treatment with 1% ZnO solution (5 min.) (T2), 5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO₄ (21% Zn) as soil application (T3), 0.5 kg Zn/ha through Chelated zinc (Zn EDTA) as soil application (T4), 7.5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO₄ (21% Zn) as soil application (T5), 1 kg Zn/ha through Chelated zinc (Zn EDTA) as soil application (T6), Foliar spray of ZnSO₄ with lime (0.1% Zn solution (T7), Foliar spray of ZnSO₄ with urea (0.1% Zn solution (T8), Foliar spray of ZnSO₄ with lime (0.15% Zn solution (T9) and Foliar spray of ZnSO₄ with urea (0.15% Zn solution (T10). Experiment was laid out in randomized block design (RBD) with three replications. Grain, straw and biological yield of rice crop was influenced by different sources and methods of zinc application and was recorded significantly highest with the application of 7.5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO₄ (21% Zn) as soil application (T5). Highest zinc use efficiency was associated with the same treatment, similarly, this treatment also gave the maximum nutrient (N, K and Zn) content and uptake by grain and straw. The next in the order best treatments were T₃ (5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO₄ (21% Zn) as soil application) and T₆ (1 kg Zn/ha through Chelated zinc (Zn EDTA) as soil application).
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Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the most important food crop in the world. Cultivation of rice is important to food security of Asia, where more than 90% of the global rice is produced and consumed. India is the second largest producer and consumer of rice in the world after China. In India, the area, production and productivity of rice is 43.79 mha, 112.91 mt and 2.57 t/ha, respectively, (Anonymous, 2018). In India, it accounts more than 40% of food grain production, providing direct employment to 70% people in rural areas. Being the staple food for more than 65% of the people, our national food security hinges on the growth and stability of rice production. Rice provides protein, minerals, vitamins and fiber, although all constituents except carbohydrates are reduced during milling is realized as staple food by majority of world’s population.
Zinc deficiency is a serious problem for rice grown under wetland soil conditions in Uttar Pradesh. To alleviate such deficiency, Zn is applied to the soil in the form of ZnSO$_4$.7H$_2$O. The recovery of applied Zn by rice is, however, very low, which may be due to its transformation to different chemical forms. Crop plants utilize only 1-4% of the freshly applied Zinc and the rest goes into the formation of different Zn compound of varying solubility and availability of Zn to plants, generally, the regions in the world with Zn deficient soils are also characterized by widespread Zn deficiency in humans. Recent estimates indicate that nearly half of world population suffers from Zn deficiency (Cakmank, 2008). Cereal crops play an important role in satisfying daily calorie intake in developing world, but are inherently very low in Zn concentrations in grain, particularly when grown on Zn-deficient soils. The reliance on cereal-based diets may induce Zn-deficiency related problems in humans, such as impairments in physical developments, immune system and brain function.

Increasing incidences of Zn deficiency over the past several years have been due to various reasons. These include increased crop demand on soils ability to supply Zn fast enough as a result of improved cultivars and management, use of urea in place of acid fertilizer ammonium sulphate, increased use of phosphate fertilizers and the resulting P induced Zn deficiency; and the use of alkaline irrigation water without proper drainage. It is anticipated that further increase in incidences with the advent of rice with Zn dense grains for human nutrition which will have greater Zn requirement (Welch and Graham, 1999). Analysis of 25,000 plant samples collected from different states in India showed that 44% of the plant samples contained inadequate Zn (Singh, 2007). These values indicate that Zn deficiency in soils represents a particular constraint to crop yield and a major reason for the low dietary intake of Zn.

The requirement of Zn for the function of a wide range of enzymes indicates that the metabolism of proteins, carbohydrates and auxin as well as reproductive processes are hampered under Zn deficiency (Romheld and Marschner, 1991). Zn is required for the activity of metallo enzymes that are involved in protein and nucleic acid metabolism. The different approaches for correction of zinc deficiency include dietary intervention, supplementation and biofortification through agronomic and genetic approaches for improving grain zinc concentration. Although, a large number of studies are available on the role of soil and foliar applied Zn fertilizers in correction of Zn deficiency and increasing plant growth and yield (Mortvedt and Gilkes 1993; Rengel et al., 1999). Zinc can be directly applied to soil as both organic and inorganic compounds. Zinc sulfate (ZnSO$_4$) is the most widely applied inorganic source of Zn due to its high solubility and low cost. Zinc can also be applied to soils in form of ZnO, Zn-EDTA and Zn-oxysulfate.

**Materials and Methods**

A field experiment entitled “Effect of sources and methods of zinc application on growth, yield and zinc use efficiency in Basmati rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)” was conducted at Crop Research Centre of Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture & Technology, Meerut, during kharif season of 2017 with ten treatments viz control (T$_1$), Seedling treatment with 1% ZnO solution (5 min.) (T$_2$), 5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO$_4$ (21% Zn) as soil application (T$_3$), 0.5 kg Zn/ha through Chelated zinc (Zn EDTA) as soil application (T$_4$), 7.5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO$_4$ (21% Zn) as soil application (T$_5$), 1 kg Zn/ha through Chelated zinc (Zn EDTA) as soil application...
Results and Discussion

Biological Yield (q/ha)

The maximum biological yield of 122.65 q ha\(^{-1}\) was recorded with the application of 7.5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO\(_4\) (21% Zn) as soil application (T\(_5\)) which was statistically at par with T\(_3\) (5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO\(_4\) (21% Zn) as soil application) and T\(_6\) (1 kg Zn/ha through Chelated zinc (Zn EDTA) as soil application) with the straw yield of 120.80 and 119.90 q ha\(^{-1}\) respectively. The increment in biological yield under treatment T\(_5\) (7.5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO\(_4\) (21% Zn) as soil application) was to the tune of 20.48% over control (T\(_1\)). However, the lowest biological yield (97.52 q ha\(^{-1}\)) was recorded under control plots (T\(_1\)).

Grain Yield (q/ha)

Sources and methods of zinc application caused significant variation in grain yield (q ha\(^{-1}\)) of rice. The maximum grain yield of 53.30 q ha\(^{-1}\) was recorded with the application of 7.5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO\(_4\) (21% Zn) as soil application (T\(_3\)) which was significantly at par with T\(_3\) (5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO\(_4\) (21% Zn) as soil application) and T\(_6\) (1 kg Zn/ha through Chelated zinc (Zn EDTA) as soil application) with the yield of 52.50 and 51.90 q ha\(^{-1}\), respectively. The increment in grain yield under treatment T\(_5\) (7.5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO\(_4\) (21% Zn) as soil application) was to the tune of 23.32% over T\(_1\) (control). However, the lowest grain yield (40.87 q ha\(^{-1}\)) was recorded under control plot (T\(_1\)).

Crop productivity is the rate at which a crop accumulate biomass which depends primarily on the photosynthesis and conversion of light energy into chemical energy by green plants. The yield of rice (Table 4.6) is composed of yield components like as number of panicles, panicle length and 1000 grain weight. Though, 1000 grain weight an influence on grain yield but its effect is lower than panicle length and number of grains panicle\(^{-1}\). All sources and methods of zinc application differ significantly from each other except T\(_5\) and T\(_3\) and T\(_6\). Positive effects of micronutrients application by soil and foliar sprays on grain yield of rice might be due to increase chlorophyll content of leaves of rice which might have increased photosynthesis and resulted in more dry matter accumulation and leaf area and hence lead to more capture of solar radiation that resulted in enhanced values of growth parameters and yield contributing characters and ultimately resulted in higher grain yield. These results are in line with Slaton et al., (2005), Khattak et al., (2015) and Ghoneim (2016).

Straw Yield (q/ha)

The straw yield differs from 56.65 to 69.35 q ha\(^{-1}\). The maximum straw yield (69.35 q ha\(^{-1}\)) was recorded with the application of 7.5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO\(_4\) (21% Zn) as soil application (T5) which was statistically at par with all the treatments except T\(_1\) (control) and T\(_2\) (Seedling treatment with 1% ZnO solution (5 min.). The increment in straw yield under treatment T\(_5\) (7.5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO\(_4\) (21% Zn) as soil application) was to the tune
of 18.38% over T₁ (control). However, significantly lowest straw yield (56.65 q ha⁻¹) was recorded in control treatment (T₁). The straw yield is function of crop biomass developed during the crop growth period and also makes important contribution to the overall crop residues as it is used as feed for the cattle. The straw yield is depicted in all the treatments were significantly higher than control. Among the various zinc sources and methods of zinc application T₅ (7.5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO₄ (21% Zn) as soil application) gave significantly higher straw yield during the year of study. Higher straw yield with higher levels of zinc could be due to more number of tillers sq m⁻¹, dry matter accumulation and plant height as compared to other treatments.

**Harvest Index (%)**

Harvest index which is a ratio of grain yield to biological yield was highest (43.50%) with the application of 7.5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO₄ (21% Zn) as soil application (T₅) followed by T₃ (5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO₄ (21% Zn) as soil application) and T₆ (1 kg Zn/ha through Chelated zinc (Zn EDTA) as soil application) which gave 43.46 and 43.29 percent harvest index, respectively. The lowest harvest index (41.91%) was observed in control treatment (T₁).

Total dry matter accumulation (Grain + straw) by crop is an important index indicating the photosynthetic efficiency of crop and photosynthesis left behind after respiration which ultimately influences the crop yield. The data as presented in revealed that highest biological yield was obtained in the treatments of nutrient management (Table 4.6). All the treatments proved significantly higher than control. Significantly higher biological yield with T₅ as compared to all other zinc treatment during the year of study. The T₅ treatment proved significantly more biological yield over control and T₃ and T₆ treatments also recorded significantly more biological yield over control treatment. The supply of micronutrients such as Zn through foliar application resulted in better absorption of these nutrients, thereby helping in the photosynthetic activities and effective translocation to storage organs. Singh et al., (2014) study was observed the highest Basmati rice grain, straw and biological yield showed positive correlation with the increase in ZnSO₄ level from 2.5 to 10 kg ha⁻¹ similar result were observed Cheema et al., (2006) and Shivay et al., (2010).

**Nutrient uptake**

**Nitrogen uptake**

The total nitrogen uptake (grains+straw) was significantly increased by different treatments over control. The highest total N uptake 93.93 kg ha⁻¹ was recorded with the application of 7.5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO₄ (21% Zn) as soil application (T₅) which was statistically at par with T₃ (89.94) and T₆ (85.08 kg ha⁻¹). However, the minimum nitrogen uptake by rice grains (41.06), straw (19.59) and total (60.65 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded under control treatment (T₁).

**Phosphorus uptake**

The phosphorus uptake by grains was not significantly influenced by sources and methods of zinc application however, the maximum uptake was noticed with foliar spray of ZnSO₄ with urea (0.15% Zn solution). Phosphorus uptake in rice straw ranged from 6.06 to 9.28 kg ha⁻¹ and the highest phosphorus uptake (9.28 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded with the application of 7.5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO₄ (21% Zn) as soil application (T₅) which was statistically at par with T₃ (89.94) and T₆ (85.08 kg ha⁻¹).
Total P uptake ranged from 16.19 to 23.68 kg ha\(^{-1}\). Highest total P uptake (23.68 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) was recorded in T\(_{10}\) (Foliar spray of ZnSO\(_4\) with urea (0.15% Zn solution) which was statistically at par with all the treatments except control (T\(_1\)). However, the minimum phosphorus uptake by rice grains (10.13), straw (6.06) and total (16.19 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) was recorded under control treatment (T\(_1\)).

### Potassium uptake

The maximum potassium uptake of 21.43 kg ha\(^{-1}\) in rice grains was recorded in T\(_5\) (7.5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO\(_4\) (21% Zn) as soil application) which was statistically at par with T\(_3\) (20.55) and T\(_6\) (19.78 kg ha\(^{-1}\)). In rice straw maximum potassium uptake (103.83 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) was recorded in T\(_5\) (7.5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO\(_4\) (21% Zn) as soil application) which was statistically at par with T\(_3\) (100.49), T\(_6\) (100.35), T\(_4\) (93.81), T\(_{10}\) (92.97) and T\(_9\) (92.53 kg ha\(^{-1}\)). Total potassium uptake by rice (grains+straw) was recorded highest in T\(_5\) (7.5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO\(_4\) (21% Zn) as soil application) which was statistically at par with T\(_3\) (121.04) and T\(_6\) (120.12 kg ha\(^{-1}\)). However, the minimum potassium uptake by rice grains (13.54), straw (79.52) and total (93.06 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) was recorded under control (T\(_1\)).

### Zinc uptake

The zinc uptake by rice grains, straw and total ranged from 198.20 to 299.21, 79.32 to 177.52 and 277.52 to 476.72 g ha\(^{-1}\), respectively. The highest zinc uptake by rice grains (299.21 g ha\(^{-1}\)) was recorded in with the application of 7.5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO\(_4\) (21% Zn) as soil application (T\(_5\)) which was statistically at par with T\(_6\) (285.41) and T\(_3\) (283.94 g ha\(^{-1}\)). While, the maximum zinc uptake by rice straw (177.52 g ha\(^{-1}\)) was recorded in case of T5 (7.5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO\(_4\) (21% Zn) as soil application) which was statistically at par with T3 (170.30), T6 (167.78), T\(_{10}\) (147.31) and T\(_9\) (146.54 g ha\(^{-1}\)). However, the minimum zinc uptake by grains (198.20 g ha\(^{-1}\)), straw (79.31 g ha\(^{-1}\)) and total (277.52 g ha\(^{-1}\)) was recorded under control (T\(_1\)).

Nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and zinc content in grain and straw (Table 2) were highest with the application of 7.5 kg Zn through ZnSO\(_4\) (21% Zn) as soil application (T\(_3\)) over all other treatments. However, phosphorus content and uptake was in treatment T\(_{10}\) (foliar spray of ZnSO\(_4\) with urea (0.15% Zn solution). It was also mainly due to lack of application of zinc and its deficiency in Western Uttar Pradesh (Adhikari and Rattan, 2007). Sources of zinc application i.e. 7.5 kg Zn through ZnSO\(_4\) (21% Zn) as soil application and method of zinc application i.e. foliar application of ZnSO\(_4\) with urea (0.15% Zn solution) had recorded maximum nutrient concentration over rest of the treatments due to adequate zinc supply during reproductive growth phase was probably responsible in enhancing nutrient concentration. Further, treatments T3 and T9 had recorded higher nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and zinc content in grain and straw over sources of zinc application and methods. Similar results was reported by Beebout et al., (2010) and Gao et al., (2012). Application of zinc sources and methods readily increases the availability of nutrient concerned in the soil solution thereby enhancing its absorption by the plant roots and further translocation to the site of action. The beneficial effect of Zn when applied in conjunction with NPK might have helped in increasing and balancing the availability of essential plant nutrients and organic fertilizers sustained it over a long time. The concentration of nutrient also increase due to NPK and Zn fertilizer because of improved nutritional environment in rhizosphere and consequently in plant system (Ghatak et al., 2005 and Kumar et al., 2017).
Zinc use efficiency

Partial Factor Productivity (PFP)

The application of 0.5 kg Zn/ha through Chelated zinc (Zn EDTA) as soil application ($T_4$) brought significantly maximum partial factor productivity (9307.33 kg grain yield/kg of zinc applied) followed by $T_8$ (8071.34) and $T_7$ (7959.86 kg grain yield/kg of zinc applied). However, the lowest partial factor productivity of 710.66 kg grain yield/kg of zinc applied was noticed in $T_5$ (7.5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO$_4$ (21% Zn) as soil application) treatment followed by $T_3$ (1432.67 kg grain yield/kg of zinc applied). The minimum value of PFP was noticed in control treatment.

Agronomic Efficiency (AE)

The agronomic efficiency was significantly influenced by sources and methods of zinc application. The maximum agronomic efficiency was noticed with foliar spray of ZnSO$_4$ with urea (0.1% Zn solution) in $T_8$ treatment which remained statistically par with $T_7$ (Foliar spray of ZnSO$_4$ with lime (0.1% Zn solution), $T_4$ (0.5 kg Zn/ha through Chelated zinc (Zn EDTA) as soil application), $T_6$ (1 kg Zn/ha through Chelated zinc (Zn EDTA) as soil application), $T_9$ (Foliar spray of ZnSO$_4$ with lime (0.15% Zn solution) Treatment).

Table.1 Grain, straw, biomass yield (q ha$^{-1}$) and harvest index as influenced by sources and methods of zinc application

| Treatments | Biomass yield | Grain yield | Straw yield | Harvest index |
|------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| ($T_1$) Control | 97.52 | 40.87 | 56.65 | 41.91 |
| ($T_2$) Seedling treatment with 1% ZnO solution (5 min.) | 106.79 | 44.87 | 61.92 | 42.04 |
| ($T_3$) 5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO$_4$ (21% Zn) as soil application | 120.80 | 52.50 | 68.30 | 43.46 |
| ($T_4$) 0.5 kg Zn/ha through Chelated zinc (Zn EDTA) as soil application | 111.22 | 46.54 | 64.68 | 41.84 |
| ($T_5$) 7.5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO$_4$ (21% Zn) as soil application | 122.65 | 53.30 | 69.35 | 43.50 |
| ($T_6$) 1 kg Zn/ha through Chelated zinc (Zn EDTA) as soil application | 119.90 | 51.90 | 68.00 | 43.29 |
| ($T_7$) Foliar spray of ZnSO$_4$ with lime (0.1% Zn solution) | 110.61 | 47.60 | 63.01 | 43.06 |
| ($T_8$) Foliar spray of ZnSO$_4$ with urea (0.1% Zn solution) | 111.29 | 48.27 | 63.02 | 43.37 |
| ($T_9$) Foliar spray of ZnSO$_4$ with lime (0.15% Zn solution) | 112.75 | 48.67 | 64.09 | 43.18 |
| ($T_{10}$) Foliar spray of ZnSO$_4$ with urea (0.15% Zn solution) | 113.31 | 49.17 | 64.14 | 43.41 |
| SE$m\pm$ | 2.68 | 0.90 | 2.31 | - |
| CD (P= 0.05) | 8.04 | 2.69 | 6.93 | - |
Fig. 1 Mean weekly agro-meteorological data during the crop growing season (kharif-2017)

Fig. 2 Grain, straw, biomass yield (q ha⁻¹) and harvest index as influenced by sources and methods of zinc application
Fig. 3 Nitrogen content and uptake by grain and straw of rice crop as influenced by sources and methods of zinc application
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Fig. 4 Phosphorus content and uptake by grain and straw of rice crop as influenced by sources and methods of zinc application

![Phosphorus content and uptake by grain and straw of rice crop as influenced by sources and methods of zinc application](image)
Fig. 5 Potassium content and uptake by grain and straw of rice crop as influenced by sources and methods of zinc application

Fig. 6 Zinc content and uptake by grain and straw of rice crop as influenced by sources and methods of zinc application
The application of Foliar spray of ZnSO₄ with urea (0.1% Zn solution) improved the agronomic efficiency by 86.60% over 7.5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO₄ (21% Zn) as soil application (T₅). While, the lowest agronomic efficiency (160 kg grain yield increase per kg of zinc applied) was recorded with the application of seedling treatment with 1% ZnO solution (T₂).

**Recovery efficiency (RE)**

The recovery efficiency in rice crop varied from 2.39% to 22.46% among various treatments. The highest value of recovery efficiency (22.46%) were found with the application of foliar spray of ZnSO₄ with urea (0.1% Zn solution) which was statistically at par with T₇ (foliar spray of ZnSO₄ with lime (0.1% Zn solution), T₆ (1 kg Zn/ha through Chelated zinc (Zn EDTA) as soil application), T₄ (0.5 kg Zn/ha through Chelated zinc (Zn EDTA) as soil application), T₉ (Foliar spray of ZnSO₄ with lime (0.15% Zn solution) and T₁₀ (Foliar spray of ZnSO₄ with urea (0.15% Zn solution). However, the per cent increment in T₈ over T₂ and T₅ was 89.35 and 88.20%, respectively. While, lowest crop recovery efficiency (2.39%) was recorded with application of seedling treatment with 1% ZnO solution (T₂).

**Physiological efficiency (PE)**

The physiological efficiency (PE) varied from 5561.91 to 6654.32 kg grain yield per kg of zinc uptake among different treatments, being maximum in T₃ (5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO₄ (21% Zn) as soil application). The application of 5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO₄ (21% Zn) as soil application (T₃) increased the PE by 16.41% as compared to (T₈) which showed the lowest physiological efficiency.

From the foregoing discussion it has been cleared and concluded that the application of 7.5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO₄ (21% Zn) as soil application resulted into maximum growth and yield attributes viz. Plant height, number of tillers/m², dry matter accumulation, number of panicles/m², panicle length, grains/panicle and test weight and grain, straw and...
biological yield of rice crop. Similarly, this treatment also gave the maximum nutrient (N, P, K and Zn) content and uptake. However, the highest partial factor productivity (PFP) of zinc was associated with the application of 0.5 kg chelated zinc/ha to the soil whereas the highest agronomic efficiency (AE) and nutrient recovery efficiency (NRE) was observed with the foliar spray of zinc sulphate (0.1% Zn solution) with lime and the physiological efficiency (PE) was recorded highest with application of 7.5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO₄ (21% Zn) to the soil. Thus it can be concluded that application of 7.5 kg Zn/ha through ZnSO₄ (21% Zn) as soil application is the best option for achieving higher yield of basmati rice.
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