Body response to primary joint replacement after proximal femur injuries in patients with an increased body mass index (preliminary study)
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Introduction
Injuries of the proximal femur remains a significant problem of management in the elderly persons. The traditional approach comprising osteosynthesis of bone fragments is a method of choice in standard situations but showed to be insufficient in patients with an increased body mass index (BMI). Significant delay in full weight-bearing walking ability in this group of patients after fixation with dynamic systems needs to be solved with a non-standard approach to their treatment. Therefore, arthroplasty after fractures of the proximal femur allows us to hope for an improved walking already in the early stages of rehabilitation in such a difficult group of patients.

Methods
Early results of hip replacement in 52 patients with fractures of the proximal femur were analyzed. They were divided into two groups: group I with obesity (28 cases, BMI ≥ 25) and group II with a normal body weight (24 cases, BMI < 25). Intraoperative and postoperative hemoglobin and blood loss counts, duration of the operation, frequency of early complications and the Harris hip score at six and 12 months after surgery were compared.

Results
Statistical analysis of the parameters showed a significant relationship between overweight, duration of the operation and blood loss, as well as clinical and functional treatment outcomes at six months after joint replacement measured with HHS scale (p < 0.05). Significant efficiency of arthroplasty was revealed in the rehabilitation period.

Conclusion
Obesity complicates hip arthroplasty but significantly affects the body response of patients with injuries in the hip area only in the early stages of treatment.
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INTRODUCTION

The World Health Organization (WHO) has declared a pandemic of obesity as the number of obese people in both developed and developing countries has increased over the last three decades. [1]. It has been estimated that there is a total of 1.4 billion overweight people (35 % of the world’s population) and 500 million obese people (11 %) [2]. The growth in the incidence has been associated with several etiological factors, including an unhealthy, sedentary lifestyle and unbalanced or hypercaloric nutrition [2]. Obesity is a risk factor for the development of Type 2 diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular and oncological diseases, and pathologies of the digestive, reproductive and musculoskeletal systems [3].

Joint replacement, especially hemi-arthroplasty, has become an integral element in the treatment of patients with injuries of the hip joint. High efficiency, a low risk of complications and an early activity of patients after the interventions are the factors that make arthroplasty popular. At the same time, a background of comorbidities in elderly patients poses a number of problems for the doctor and requires increased attention to the inpatient phase of treatment. One of the issues which is little studied in arthroplasty is the impact of overweight, which was considered a relative contraindication to arthroplasty in the era of the method establishment.

Purpose
To study the impact of overweight on the duration of the rehabilitation period after bipolar hip replacement in patients with proximal femur injury.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was conducted at the department of the Republican Clinical Hospital of the Ministry of Health of the Republic of Tatarstan in Kazan between March 2017 and March 2018. It met the rules and conditions of the Helsinki declaration of the World Health Organization on Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects amended in 2000 and Rules of Clinical Practice in the Russian Federation adopted by the ministry of health of the Russian Federation on 19.06.2003, order 266. Medical documentation of fifty-two patients with fractures of the proximal femur who...
underwent hemiarthroplasty with a bipolar implant and cement fixation of the femur component was studied (Table 1).

Patients were assigned to groups according to their BMI [2]. There were 24 (46.15 %) individuals with normal BMI and 28 (53.85 %) overweight patients (Table 2).

Thus, the average BMI in the main group was 28.7 ± 4.15, and in the comparison group 22.4 ± 1.8.

A number of parameters were assessed during the inpatient phase of treatment and during the rehabilitation period up to 12 months after the injury. It included a comparative analysis of the duration of the intervention (minutes), intraoperative and postoperative volume of blood loss (ml), length of hospital stay (bed-days), the Harris index (points) and complications in the periods of six and 12 months after the operation.

Statistical analysis was performed with software package SPSS 19.0, STATISTICA 10. The sample was checked for normal distribution using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov criterion. Quantitative results are presented as M ± SD, where M is the sample mean, SD is the standard deviation. The significance of differences was determined using the parametric T-test and non-parametric test $\chi^2$. A critical level of significance in statistical hypotheses in this study was taken at $p < 0.05$.

**Table 1**

| Anthropometric data | |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| Sex                 | males: n = 5 (9.6 %); females: n = 47 (90.4 %) |
| Age                 | 80.5 years (67–93 years) |
| Involved side       | Left hip joint – 31 (59.6 %); right hip joint – 21 (40.4 %) |
| Weight (min – max)  | 67.4 kg(48–120) |
| Height (min – max)  | 161.7 cm (155–175) |
| BMI (min – max)     | 25.81 kg/m2 (18.7–48.8) |

**Table 2**

| Groups | Number of patients (n / %) | Males | | Females | |
|--------|---------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|
|        | Abs. | %          | Mean age, M ± m | Abs. | %          | Mean age, M ± m |
| BMI (≥ 25 to < 30) | 22 / 42.3 | 2 | 3.8 | 85.5 ± 2.5 | 20 | 38.5 | 81.3 ± 5.9 |
| BMI (≥ 30 to < 35) | 5 / 9.6 | 1 | 2 | 83 | 4 | 7.7 | 86.0 ± 5.5 |
| BMI (≥ 35 to < 40) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BMI (≥ 40) | 1 / 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 74 |
| Norm (≥ 18.5 to < 25) | 24 / 46.1 | 2 | 3.8 | 77.5 ± 9.5 | 22 | 42.2 | 78.9 ± 7.0 |
| Total | 52 / 100 | 5 | 9.6 | 81.8 ± 7.2 | 47 | 90.4 | 80.4 ± 6.6 |

**RESULTS**

There was no significant difference between the two groups in a number of parameters: duration of the intervention, preoperative and postoperative hemoglobin level, length of hospital stay, and the rate of intra- and early postoperative complications (Table 3).

**Table 3**

| Group (BMI) kg / m² | Number of cases (n) | Duration of operation (min) | Blood loss (ml) | Total blood loss (ml) | Bed-days | Hemoglobin (g/dl) | |
|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------|------------------|---|
|                    |                     |                             |                 |                       |          | Before operation | After operation |
| BMI > 25           | 28                  | 73.0 ± 15.1                | 230.2 ± 79.5    | 783.4 ± 94.1          | 9.4 ± 2.6 | 11.7 ± 1.6       | 9.8 ± 1.7 |
| BMI < 25           | 24                  | 70 ± 12.2                  | 183.3 ± 51.2    | 709.6 ± 64.8          | 10.1 ± 1.9 | 11.8 ± 1.4       | 9.7 ± 1.5 |
| p                  | p > 0.05            | p < 0.05                   | p < 0.01        | p > 0.05              | p > 0.05 | p > 0.05        | --- |
Six months after the intervention, the first HHS evaluation was calculated in both groups. It was evident it did not exceed 20 points due to immobility and pain immediately after the injury, but upon discharge from the hospital the pain subsided, the patients started to ambulate independently with additional means of support. By the end of the first half of the year post-surgery, the HHS was 76.6 ± 3.3 in group I (main group) and 74.6 ± 2.7 in group II (comparison group). At this intermediate follow-up, the difference was statistically significant (p < 0.05). Further examination showed that the differences in functional ability 12 months after the surgery disappeared, and all patients achieved relatively equal functions (Fig. 1).

Implant survival at the last follow-up was 100 %.

**DISCUSSION**

The goal of hip replacement is to alleviate pain, restore or improve joint function, and correct deformity. Obesity was considered previously a relative contraindication [4] for arthroplasty. K.M. Sivash opined that overweight had a negative impact in joint replacement. With improved surgical techniques and anesthesia, implants and materials, the list of contraindications to total hip arthroplasty has changed [5, 6].

Most patients of the main group had an excessive body weight (22 patients). Thus, the groups matched in number and height-to-weight characteristics. It enabled to reliably make the comparison of bipolar arthroplasty.

Statistical analysis showed that a large surface of adipose tissue exposed during implantation of the prosthesis leads to higher total blood loss in overweight patients. It should be noted that this was confirmed in our study by a significant relationship between an increased BMI and intraoperative, and, accordingly, total blood loss (p < 0.05), but there was no statistical evidence regarding postoperative losses along the drainage systems. Thus, we can state that overweight affects the level of intra-operative and total blood loss.

As for gender differences, it should be noted that in males the volume of subcutaneous tissue in the hip joint region did not differ in both groups, which cannot be said about women. Accordingly, we did not find a significant difference in both intra-operative and total blood loss when installing bipolar endoprostheses in males. Since the total number of males was low, this did not have any impact on the conclusion of the study.

Hemoglobin levels did not have statistical difference in both groups at the time the injured were admitted to the hospital; and almost all of them had anemia due to severe trauma. However, the publication of Y. Qin et al. [7] reported that patients with obesity have higher levels of ferrum-deficient anemia than those with normal BMI. The main factors associated with obesity cause an increased regulation of hepcidin, which inhibits the absorption of iron [8]. Anemia is a risk factor for postoperative complications; therefore, during perioperative preparation, anemia should be corrected to reduce the risk of surgical intervention and a possible development of hypovolemic shock.

Publications on the subject of this study report a higher incidence of peri-operative complications of hip replacement in obesity: dislocations, infections, aseptic loosening and venous thromboembolism [9, 10], as well as superficial or deep infection [11]. In our study, after hemiarthroplasty, the rates of complications were 8.3 and 10.7 %, respectively. There were more of them in the main group than in the group with normal BMI, but the difference was not statistically significant (p > 0.05) (Table 4).
There were no cases of implant dislocation despite the anterolateral approach to the joint was used. Undoubtedly, it was due to the use of bipolar implant type. After three to six months, the external sphere of the pelvic component was fixed with scar tissue in a definite position that decreased the risk of disposition. After 12 months, there were no cases of femoral component instability; that was to some extent due to a relatively limited functional activity of the patients because of their age.

Analyzing the details of the study, one should consider that some opinions might be erroneous as the samples in both groups were small.

There are different opinions about the impact of excessive weight on the restoration of functionality after joint replacement, both total and unipolar. E. Yeung et al. [12] believe that obesity does not affect the restoration of post-operative mobility in the hip joint, and active rehabilitation is the key to a positive outcome. We opine that this judgment is correct, despite certain differences in the functional abilities of the patients of the groups at the intermediate follow-up.

**CONCLUSION**

Despite the relatively small number of patients in this study, we revealed a certain tendency in the analysis of the response of the injured to joint replacement immediately after proximal femur fractures. Being overweight had a certain impact on the course of the inpatient treatment phase and the intermediate functional result, while recovery after completion of the rehabilitation course (after a period of more than 12 months) is characteristic of patients of both the groups, with normal weight and overweight. The overall positive outcome of the treatment in patients with fractures of the proximal femur of the main group leaves no doubt about the need for hemi-arthroplasty in these cases, taking into account possible intra- and postoperative complications.
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