SOLUTION OF TYPHOID FEVER MODEL BY ADOMIAN DECOMPOSITION METHOD
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Abstract. In this paper, we presents a deterministic mathematical model on the dynamics of typhoid fever disease. The Adomian Decomposition Method (ADM) is used to solve the model equations. In solving the model, the validity of the ADM is established by the classical fourth-order Runge-Kutta method implemented in Maple 18. In order to confirm the accuracy of the method, a comparison was carried out between the ADM solution and Runge-Kutta(RK4). The findings obtained confirm the precision and accuracy of the ADM to cope with the study of modern epidemics.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Typhoid fever is a systemic infection, triggered by the ingestion of infected food or water, caused by the bacterium Salmonella Typhi. Prolonged fever, fatigue, nausea, loss of appetite, and diarrhea or even diarrhoea describe acute illness. Symptoms are often non-specific and other febrile
disorders are clinically non-distinguishable [1]. There are also non-specific and clinically non-
distinguishable signs of typhoid fever from other febrile diseases. Medical severity, however,
varies and serious cases can lead to significant complications or even death. Recent findings
indicate that an estimated 11–20 million people get sick from typhoid fever and between 128 000
and 161 000 people die from it every year. The disease is endemic in South America [2], Indian
subcontinent [3], Southeast Asia [4] and mostly in Africa [5]. During year 2000, it was estimated
that the disease caused illness is 21.6 million and 216,500 deaths worldwide. For
many researchers, modeling the transmission dynamics of typhoid fever is an essential and
important study. The study of infectious diseases in the past has concentrated primarily on their
effects on the human population. Although, infectious diseases are present to some degree in
human societies at all times, the results of epidemics are the most evident and spectacular [6].
George Adomian [7], an American mathematician, was the first to establish Method of Adomian
decomposition. It is a form of semi-analysis that can be used in the solution of partial and ordinary
differential equations in both nonlinear and linear order. It can also be used in solving higher order
nonlinear differential equations. Also, it can be used in solving nonlinear differential equations of
higher order. [8-9] considered Adomian Decomposition approach to solve deterministic models
but not on the typhoid fever model. In fluid mechanics, [10-12] and in numerical analysis, [13,14].
Several mathematical models have been developed on this disease [15-32], but none has
considered the solution of typhoid fever model by Adomian Decomposition Method.
The aim of this paper is to present the application of Adomian Decomposition Method to the
proposed model and to verify the validity of the Adomian Decomposition Method in solving the
model using Maple 18’s classical fourth-order Runge-Kutta method as a basis for comparison.

2. Model Formulation
A deterministic, compartmental mathematical model is formulated in this chapter to explain the
transmission dynamics of typhoid fever to extend and complement those existing in the literature.
The model is composed of four compartments: The Susceptible Class is for people vulnerable to typhoid fever. The infectious group consists of people who are aware of their infection and are in a position to transfer the disease to vulnerable people who are infected by the disease. The Carrier class involves individuals who are infected and are capable of infecting others but show no signs of infection. The recovered class comprises individuals who have been infected and then recovered from the disease, who will not be re-infected or transferred to those in this group.

The number of individuals in the Susceptible, Infected, Carrier, and Recovered classes are functions of time denoted by \( S(t) \), \( I(t) \), \( I_c(t) \), and \( R(t) \) respectively.

Susceptible population are increased by immigration or birth at the rate \( \theta \). We assume that proportion \( \rho \) of susceptible class progress to carrier infected class, while the compliment \( 1 - \rho \) migrate to infected class. We assumed that the rate of transmission \( \beta \) for carriers is higher than the rate of transmission \( \gamma \) of symptomatically infected individuals due to the fact that they are more likely to be unaware of their condition, and therefore continue with their regular activities. Carriers may become symptomatic at a rate \( \alpha \). Infectious individuals can receive treatment and recover at the rate \( \delta \). Susceptible individuals receive vaccination to protect themselves against infection at the rate \( \psi \). 1 - \( \phi \) is an educational parameter that caters for limiting both carriers and symptomatic individuals from spreading typhoid. This parameter lies in the interval \( 0 < \phi < 1 \). When \( \phi = 0 \) it means that there are no education programs in place so that vulnerable people are unaware of typhoid fever. \( \phi = 1 \), then it means that all susceptible individuals are fully aware of typhoid fever, that is to say they know what causes the diseases, how it is spread and how to avoid contracting the disease.

Table 1 gives a detailed summary of the parameters, while Figure 1 shows the model's compartmental flow diagram. The above description can be represented by a system of differential equations given as
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\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= \theta - \mu_1 S - \lambda S(1 - \phi) - \psi S \\
\frac{dI}{dt} &= \rho \lambda S(1 - \phi) - \mu_2 I_c - \alpha (1 - \phi) I_c \\
\frac{dI_c}{dt} &= (1 - \rho) \lambda S(1 - \phi) + \alpha (1 - \phi) I_c - (\mu_3 + \delta) I \\
\frac{dR}{dt} &= \psi S + \delta I - \mu_4 R
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

\[ \lambda = \beta I_c + \gamma I \]

Substituting the value of force of infection in (1)

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= \theta - \mu_1 S - S(\beta I_c + \gamma I)(1 - \phi) - \psi S \\
\frac{dI}{dt} &= \rho S(\beta I_c + \gamma I)(1 - \phi) - \mu_2 I_c - \alpha (1 - \phi) I_c \\
\frac{dI_c}{dt} &= (1 - \rho)(1 - \phi)S(\beta I_c + \gamma I) + \alpha (1 - \phi) I_c - (\mu_3 + \delta) I \\
\frac{dR}{dt} &= \psi S + \delta I - \mu_4 R
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

\[
\text{FIGURE 1: Pictorial Illustration of the Model}
\]
Table 1: Parameter values of the model

| Variables  | Description                                      |
|------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| $S(t)$     | Susceptible population at time $t$               |
| $Ic(t)$    | Carrier infectious population at time $t$       |
| $I(t)$     | Infectious population at time $t$               |
| $R(t)$     | Recovered population at time $t$                |

| Parameters | Interpretation                                      |
|------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| $\theta$  | Recruitment rate into susceptible class            |
| $\mu_1$   | Natural mortality rate                             |
| $\mu_2$   | Natural rate for carrier class and disease induced death rate |
| $\mu_3$   | Natural death rate for infected class and disease induced death rate |
| $\mu_4$   | Natural mortality rate                             |
| $\alpha$  | Rate at which carriers develop symptom              |
| $\phi$    | Education parameter                                |
| $\psi$    | Vaccination rate                                   |
| $\rho$    | Probability that newly infected individuals are asymptomatic or carrier |
| $\beta$   | Transmission rate for carrier group                |
| $\gamma$  | Transmission rate for infected group               |
| $\lambda$ | Force of infection                                  |
| $\delta$  | Recovery rate for infected group                   |

3. ADOMIAN DECOMPOSITION METHOD

3.1 Definition of Adomian Decomposition Method

Consider the equation

(3) \[ L(y) + R(y) + N(y) = f(t) \]

where $L(y)$ is the differential operator, $R(y)$ is the remainder of the differential operator, $N(y)$ is the nonlinear terms, $f(t)$ is an inhomogeneous term and $L$ can be defined as the
highest order of differential equation. Making \( L^{-1}L(y) \) the subject of formula after (3) has been multiplying through by the inverse operator \( L \) (that is \( L^{-1} \)) to multiply (3), we obtain

\[
(4) \quad L^{-1}L(y) = L^{-1}f(t) - L^{-1}R(y) - L^{-1}N(y)
\]

For both \( L^{-1}f(t) \) and \( L^{-1}R(y) \) are linear which means they are integrable, \( L^{-1}N(y) \) is nonlinear. Meanwhile, \( L^{-1} \) is an n-fold integration of an \( n \)th order \( L \) and the nonlinear term (\( N(y) \)) can be defined as

\[
(5) \quad N(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \left( y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_n \right)
\]

where \( B_n \) is the Adomian polynomials and it can be derived from its iteration and its nonlinearity function. This \( B_n \) depends on the series of \( y_0 \) to \( y_n \) components. To derive \( B_n \), we use the formula

\[
(6) \quad B_n = \frac{1}{n!} \left[ \frac{d^n}{d\chi^n} \left[ N \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \chi^m y_m \right) \right] \right]_{\chi=0} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]

The composition solution series can be written as

\[
(7) \quad y(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} y_k = y_0 + y_1 + y_2 + \ldots
\]

3.2 Solution of the Model Using Adomian Decomposition Method

From the model (2), since this model is the system of first order differential equations then we define differential operation (\( L \)) and its inverse operator (\( L^{-1} \)) to be \( L = \frac{d(\circ)}{dt} \) and \( L^{-1} = \int_{0}^{t}(\circ)dt \) respectively with initial value problem. Applying (4) into (2), we have

\[
(8) \quad L^{-1}L(S(t)) = L^{-1} [\theta] - L^{-1} [\mu S] - L^{-1} [S(\beta I_c + \gamma I)(1-\varphi)] - L^{-1} [\psi S]
\]

\[
L^{-1}L(I_c(t)) = L^{-1} [\rho S(\beta I_c + \gamma I)(1-\varphi)] - L^{-1} [\mu_2 I] - L^{-1} [\alpha(1-\varphi)I_c]
\]

\[
L^{-1}L(I(t)) = L^{-1} [(1-\rho)(1-\varphi)S(\beta I_c + \gamma I)] + L^{-1} [\alpha(1-\varphi)I_c] - L^{-1} [(\mu_3 + \delta)I]
\]

\[
L^{-1}L(R(t)) = L^{-1} [\psi S] + L^{-1} [\delta I] - L^{-1} [\mu_4 R]
\]

Simplifying the left hand side of (8) with the interval from \( 0 \) to \( t \) according to \( L^{-1} \) definition. We
have

\begin{align}
S(t) - S(0) &= L^{-1}[\theta] - L^{-1}[\mu \psi S] - L^{-1}[S(\beta I_c + \gamma I)(1-\varphi)] - L^{-1}[\psi S] \\
I_c(t) - I_c(0) &= L^{-1}[\rho S(\beta I_c + \gamma I)(1-\varphi)] - L^{-1}[\mu_2 I_c] - L^{-1}[\alpha(1-\varphi)I_c] \\
I(t) - I(0) &= L^{-1}[(1-\rho)(1-\varphi)S(\beta I_c + \gamma I)] + L^{-1}[\alpha(1-\varphi)I_c] - L^{-1}[(\mu_3 + \delta)I] \\
R(t) - R(0) &= L^{-1}[\psi S] + L^{-1}[\delta I] - L^{-1}[\mu_4 R]
\end{align}

(9)

Solving (9) to obtain

\begin{align}
S(t) &= S(0) + \theta t - (\mu_1 + \psi) \int_0^t \sum_{n=0}^\infty S_n dy - (1-\varphi) \int_0^t \sum_{n=0}^\infty B_n dy \\
I_c(t) &= I_c(0) + \rho(1-\varphi) \int_0^t \sum_{n=0}^\infty B_n dy - (\mu_2 + \alpha(1-\varphi)) \int_0^t \sum_{n=0}^\infty I_{cn} dy \\
I(t) &= I(0) + (1-\rho)(1-\varphi) \int_0^t \sum_{n=0}^\infty B_n dy + \alpha(1-\varphi) \int_0^t \sum_{n=0}^\infty I_{cn} dy - (\mu_3 + \delta) \int_0^t \sum_{n=0}^\infty I_n dy \\
R(t) &= R(0) + \psi \int_0^t \sum_{n=0}^\infty S_n dy + \delta \int_0^t \sum_{n=0}^\infty I_n dy - \mu_4 \int_0^t \sum_{n=0}^\infty R_n dy
\end{align}

(10)

Where \( B_n = \frac{d^n}{d \chi^n} \left[ \sum_{m=0}^\infty S_m (\beta I_{cm} + \gamma I_m) \chi^m \right] \left. \right|_{\chi=0} \) for \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \)

From (10), the initial stage gives

\begin{align}
S_0(t) &= S(0) + \theta t; I_{c0}(t) = I_c(0); I_0(t) = I(0); R_0(t) = R(0)
\end{align}

(12)

And the iteration can be written as

\begin{align}
S_{n+1}(t) &= -(\mu_1 + \psi) \int_0^t \sum_{n=0}^\infty S_n dy - (1-\varphi) \int_0^t \sum_{n=0}^\infty B_n dy \\
I_{c(n+1)}(t) &= \rho(1-\varphi) \int_0^t \sum_{n=0}^\infty B_n dy - (\mu_2 + \alpha(1-\varphi)) \int_0^t \sum_{n=0}^\infty I_{cn} dy \\
I_{n+1}(t) &= (1-\rho)(1-\varphi) \int_0^t \sum_{n=0}^\infty B_n dy + \alpha(1-\varphi) \int_0^t \sum_{n=0}^\infty I_{cn} dy - (\mu_3 + \delta) \int_0^t \sum_{n=0}^\infty I_n dy \\
R_{n+1}(t) &= \psi \int_0^t \sum_{n=0}^\infty S_n dy + \delta \int_0^t \sum_{n=0}^\infty I_n dy - \mu_4 \int_0^t \sum_{n=0}^\infty R_n dy
\end{align}

(13)

for \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \)
4. **Numerical Simulation and Graphical Illustration of Model**

In this section, we present the numerical simulation which demonstrate the analytical results for the model. This is accomplished by using the set of parameter values based on the literature and assumptions given in Table 1, as well as assumptions. By substituting the following initial conditions for the different compartments:

\[
S(0) = 60, \quad I_c(0) = 40, \quad I(0) = 20, \quad R(0) = 10
\]

in equation (12) as well as solving equation (13), we obtain the following expansion up to 12\textsuperscript{th} term. Further, the ADM is demonstrated against Maple 18’s fourth order Runge-Kutta procedure for the solution of typhoid fever model. Fig (2) to (5) show the combined plots of the solutions of \( S(t), I_c(t), I(t) \) and \( R(t) \) by ADM and RK4.

\[
S(t) = 60 + 9.99931480 \times 10^5 t - 5.709649911 \times 10^5 t^2 + 98122.20012 x 10^5 t^3 - 9.186204736 x 10^8 t^4 + 6.704742248 x 10^8 t^5 - 1.607645503 x 10^{12} t^6 + 2.413714881 x 10^{12} t^7 - 2.111060097 x 10^{15} t^8 + 5.668721345 x 10^{15} t^9 - 2.220693766 x 10^{18} t^{10} + 1.020803353 x 10^{19} t^{11} - 1.938795776 x 10^{21} t^{12}
\]

\[
I_c(t) = 40 + 4.6000t + 1.749891240 \times 10^5 t^2 - 3.091519267 \times 10^4 t^3 + 4.593079843 \times 10^8 t^4 - 3.322973422 \times 10^8 t^5 + 8.038207626 \times 10^{11} t^6 - 1.203182706 \times 10^{12} t^7 + 1.055525033 \times 10^{15} t^8 - 2.830607448 \times 10^{15} t^9 + 1.110337658 \times 10^{18} t^{10} - 5.100793834 \times 10^{18} t^{11} + 9.693978882 \times 10^{20} t^{12}
\]

\[
I(t) = 20 + 10.4000t + 1.749856100 \times 10^5 t^2 - 5.016288354 \times 10^4 t^3 + 4.593151062 \times 10^8 t^4 - 3.626130224 \times 10^8 t^5 + 8.038438389 \times 10^{11} t^6 - 1.241080244 \times 10^{12} t^7 + 1.055579165 \times 10^{15} t^8 - 2.869315751 \times 10^{15} t^9 + 1.110434763 \times 10^{18} t^{10} - 5.134030334 \times 10^{18} t^{11} + 9.693978882 \times 10^{20} t^{12}
\]

\[
R(t) = 10 + 31.580t + 1.499913798 \times 10^5 t^2 - 2.044968858 \times 10^4 t^3 - 1.320411706 \times 10^5 t^4 + 1.378007501 \times 10^7 t^5 - 1.212904500 \times 10^7 t^6 + 1.722727867 \times 10^{10} t^7 - 2.614274876 \times 10^{10} t^8 + 1.759667562 \times 10^{13} t^9 - 4.53941743 \times 10^{13} t^{10} + 1.510749956 \times 10^{16} t^{11}
\]
4.1. Comparison Graphs Between R-K 4 and ADM Methods

FIGURE 2. The graph of Susceptible against Time for both ADM and R-K 4

FIGURE 3. The graph of Carrier Infection against Time for both ADM and R-K 4
FIGURE 4. The graph of Infectious against Time for both ADM and R-K 4

FIGURE 5. The graph of Recovered against Time for both ADM and R-K 4
Table 2: Parameters values for model

| Parameter | Initial Value | Source           |
|-----------|---------------|------------------|
| $\mu_2$   | 0.2           | Assumed          |
| $\psi$    | 0.3           | Assumed          |
| $\mu_1$   | 0.142         | Mushayabasa, (2011) |
| $\mu_3$   | 0.2           | Assumed          |
| $\mu_4$   | 0.142         | Mushayabasa, (2011) |
| $\alpha$  | 0.3           | Assumed          |
| $\rho$    | 0.5           | Assumed          |
| $\beta$   | 0.02          | Assumed          |
| $\gamma$  | 0.01          | Mushayabasa, (2011) |
| $\delta$  | 0.75          | Assumed          |
| $\phi$    | 0.3           | Estimated        |
| $\theta$  | $10^6$        | Lauria et al., (2009) |

Table 3. Comparison table between R-K 4 and ADM methods

| TIME       | $S(t)$ | $I_c(t)$ | $I(t)$ | $R(t)$ |
|------------|--------|----------|--------|--------|
|            | R-K 4  | ADM      | R-K 4  | ADM    | R-K 4  | ADM    | R-K 4  | ADM    |
| 0          | 60.0000| 60.0000  | 40.0000| 40.0000| 20.0000| 20.0000| 10.0000| 10.0000|
| 0.001      | 1059.3597| 1059.3597| 40.1800| 40.1800| 20.1690| 20.1858| 10.1815| 10.1816|
| 0.002      | 2057.5654| 2057.5651| 40.7161| 40.7163| 20.6938| 20.7277| 10.6629| 10.6630|
| 0.003      | 3054.5841| 3054.5830| 41.6250| 41.6256| 21.5909| 21.6424| 11.4441| 11.4441|
| 0.004      | 4050.3582| 4050.3556| 42.9355| 42.9368| 22.8887| 22.9587| 12.5248| 12.5249|
| 0.005      | 5044.8031| 5044.7977| 44.6901| 44.6928| 24.6295| 24.7192| 13.9050| 13.9052|
| 0.006      | 6037.8016| 6037.7921| 46.9474| 46.9522| 26.8716| 26.9825| 15.5846| 15.5849|
| 0.007      | 7029.1983| 7029.1827| 49.7853| 49.7931| 29.6923| 29.8264| 17.5635| 17.5639|
| 0.008      | 8018.7906| 8018.7662| 53.3052| 53.3174| 33.1927| 33.3527| 19.8417| 19.8421|
| 0.009      | 9006.3163| 9006.2797| 57.6384| 57.6566| 37.5034| 37.6926| 22.4190| 22.4195|
| 0.01       | 9991.4384| 9991.3852| 62.9533| 62.9799| 42.7924| 43.0150| 25.2953| 25.2960|
5. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS FOR ADOMIAN DECOMPOSITION METHOD

The solutions obtained by using Adomian Decomposition Method with given initial conditions compared favourably with the solution obtained by using classical fourth-other Runge-Kutta method. The solutions of the two methods follows the same pattern and behaviour. This shows that Adomian Decomposition Method is suitable and efficient to conduct the analysis of typhoid models.

6. CONCLUSION

We presents a deterministic mathematical model on typhoid fever transmission, Adomian Decomposition Method is used to attempt the series solution of the model. Numerical simulations were carried out to compare the results obtained with the result of classical fourth-order Runge-Kutta method. The results of the simulations were displayed graphically. The results obtained from ADM when compared with RK4 confirm the accuracy of ADM in solving the typhoid fever model.
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