Corrosion at low moisture content in both carbonated and chloride polluted concrete – Villa E-1027, a case study
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Abstract. Corrosion in reinforced concrete is generally attributed to either carbonation or chloride presence in the vicinity of the bars. But in the field of cultural heritage, especially for the most ancient monuments, it is not rare to encounter both carbonated and chloride polluted concrete, inducing heavy corrosion, as was the case in the Villa E-1027 in Roquebrune-Cap-Martin, on the French Mediterranean seashore. The villa was designed by Eileen Gray and Jean Badovici between 1926 and 1929. Due to aggressive environmental conditions and a period of dereliction, the concrete of the villa was quite heavily decayed and a deep restoration was led between 2000 and 2006. But after a little more than 10 years, and despite active maintenance, the villa is again facing corrosion induced decay. Prior to the definition of a new restoration protocol, to better evaluate the corrosion activity, in 2017 a permanent monitoring of moisture and temperature both in the air and in the concrete was installed. In the meantime, a series of instant electrochemical measurements was performed from 2017 to 2018. A first analysis of the results of the monitoring and the non-destructive tests clearly evidences that probably due to the conjunction of the carbonation of the concrete and external active chloride pollution, corrosion can happen at quite low moisture content.

1 Introduction

If carbonation induced corrosion and chloride induced corrosion were extensively studied as separate mechanisms [1] [2] [3] [4], research on their combined pollution, which is often encountered in the field of historic concrete, is rare [5]. For the latter, carbonation is usual, and can affect the concrete cover up to several centimetres [6]. When chlorides, either linked to sea proximity or to de-icing salts, reach the reinforcing bars, then heavy corrosion can occur. In France, among the 850 historic monuments made of concrete, 27 % are concerned by chloride pollution and most of them are affected by carbonation [7]. The Villa E-1027 is one of those monuments. The purpose of the present research was to study the corrosion mechanisms affecting this villa and to determine the most suitable restoration technique.

2 Context

Built between 1926 and 1929 by Eileen Gray and Jean Badovici in Roquebrune-Cap-Martin near Nice, the villa E-1027 faces the Mediterranean sea (Fig.1). Its structural elements and floors are made of concrete, while the non-structural components are more heterogeneous (bricks...). It was partially rendered and totally covered with a lime wash.

After being abandoned for a long time, in 2000, the villa was decayed in correlation with water infiltration, ground movement and rebar corrosion. A first deep restoration operation was conducted between 2000 and 2006, including the use of a mineral migrating corrosion inhibitor for the concrete reinforcement and foundation treatments. Nevertheless, eleven years after this restoration and despite periodic maintenance, the concrete was again decaying due to rebar corrosion.

Fig. 1. General view of the Villa E-1027, facing the sea.

The first step of diagnosis performed in 2000 [8], evidenced, for the concrete design, a mix of CEM I cement (300kg/m³) and calcareous aggregates with siliceous sand. The performance of this concrete was quite low with an open porosity of 17% and compressive strengths ranging between 9.6MPa (patio beam) and 13.3 MPa (pato pillar). In terms of decay, if carbonation had...
exceeded the rebar level in most of the areas examined, moderate external sulfate and chloride pollution were encountered, leading to the formation of Friedel Salts and Ettringite in the cement matrix. Potential mapping evidenced significant gradients in the beams and the underside of the floor of the patio.

A second step of diagnosis was carried out in 2016 [9], showing significant chloride (0.05 up to 2.14% by weight of cement) (Table 1) and alkali pollution (1.19 up to 22.5 eqNa2O kg/m³) on both the patio and the balconies of the villa, and a moderate sulfate pollution (1.18% up to 5.36% by weight of cement). Carbonation had also progressed (20 to 70mm), and corrosion was still active as confirmed by instant electrochemical measurements performed with a Galvapulse© (Table 2).

The chloride pollution was trifurcated by three different entities: (a) a context of a concrete loaded with different salts (sulfates, chlorides, alkali). The last durable option was cathodic protection. But its invasiveness represented an issue for an historic concrete which has to be as preserved as possible.

Considering this problematic preservation, prior to the final choice of restoration strategy and taking into account that complementary maintenance work had just been performed to eradicate some infiltration problems on the balconies that had also an impact on the concrete of the patio, a last phase of diagnosis was scheduled with the aim of evaluating the residual corrosion activity on the balconies and the patio (Fig. 2), after this maintenance. Two types of analysis were considered: instant electrochemical measurements and permanent monitoring over one year.

### Table 1. Chloride profiles (% m/m of cement) on the pillars of the patio and on the balconies.

|                  | 0-10 (mm) | 10-20 (mm) | 20-30 (mm) | 30-40 (mm) |
|------------------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|
| Pillar n°2       | 0.43%     | 1.85%      | 0.45%      | 0.31%      |
| Pillar n°4       | 1.12%     | 1.34%      | 1.83%      | /          |
| Pillar n°9       | 0.99%     | 0.44%      | 0.45%      | 0.31%      |
| South balcony    | 1.86%     | 0.26%      | 0.23%      | 0.66%      |
| (Sea side)       |           |            |            |            |
| East balcony     | 0.15%     | 0.05%      | 0.15%      | /          |
| Underside of the floor | 0.31% | 2.14% | 1.45% | / |

Table 2. Electrochemical measurements performed with a Galvapulse© equipped with a copper/copper sulfate reference electrode on 3 testing areas of the underside of the southern balcony (Z3) and of the floor of the patio (Z1 and Z2).

| Testing area | Potential min (mV) | Potential max (mV) | Max potential gradient (mV) | Corrosion current (µA/cm²) |
|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Z1           | 78                 | 224                | 146                        | 11                        |
| Z2           | -82                | 136                | 218                        | 5.5                       |
| Z3           | -14                | 365                | 379                        | 6                         |

Taking into account the results of these 2 steps of diagnosis, the restoration options were limited. The durability of a standard patching operation, combined with a migrating corrosion inhibitor had shown its limits (lower than 10 years). As the chloride pollution was inevitably active, the villa facing the sea, electrochemical chloride extraction could only be a temporary solution. Finally a waterproof paint couldn’t be envisaged due to recurrent infiltration problematic in a context of a concrete loaded with different salts.

### 3 Analytical protocol

#### 3.1 Non-destructive testing

Three types of non-destructive testing were carried out: resistivity mapping, potential mapping, and polarization resistance measurements, using a Proeq© corrosimeter and a Gecor10©, both equipped with copper-copper sulfate reference electrodes. Tests were performed 4 times between 2017 and 2018, respectively in April 2017 and March, June and October 2018 (Table 3). Three zones selected on step 2 of the diagnosis were considered (Z1, Z2, Z3, plus a beam of the patio), but only the results obtained in zone 3 (southern balcony) and on a beam of the patio (partially cracked due to corrosion), which are representative of all the measurements, will be presented in this paper. In each zone, polarization resistance was measured on two points corresponding to potential and resistivity gradients.

### Table 3. Environmental conditions at the testing dates.

| Date        | 25/04/2017 | 01/03/2018 | 26/06/2018 | 15/10/2018 |
|-------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| T (°C)      | 16         | 6.8        | 24.3       | 22.6       |
| RH (%)      | 76         | 78         | 67         | 59         |
3.2 Permanent monitoring

For the permanent monitoring, 2 active corrosion spots previously identified by non-destructive testing were selected respectively on a beam of the patio and on the underside of the southern balcony (Z3). Three types of measurements were considered:
- Temperature and relative humidity in the air (weather station, Fig. 4),
- Temperature and relative humidity in the concrete (Vaisala® sensors, Fig. 3),
- Resistance, potential and polarization resistance (dedicated sensor designed by the Spanish company Sensecorr, Fig. 3) equipped with manganese/manganese oxide reference electrodes.

All the sensors were connected to a data acquisition system remotely interrogated by cell phone (Fig. 4).

3.1 Non-destructive testing

3.1.1 Balcony

The first map of resistivity performed in April 2017 on the underside of the southern balcony (Fig. 5), was indicative of an overall moderate risk of corrosion according to the RILEM recommendation [10], with values ranging between 9 and 124 kΩ.cm and an average of 43 kΩ.cm.

On the potential map (Fig. 6) significant gradients [11] (average value: -196mV, maximum gradient: 160mV) could be observed on the lower zone of the map and especially on the point C6.

Polarization resistance measurements were performed on two points of the lower part of the zone and were indicative of a high level of corrosion according to the RILEM Recommendation [12] (C3: 1.550µA/cm²; C4: 1.213µA/cm²).

On the following three series of measurements performed in March, June and October 2018, almost similar resistivity and potential maps were observed, with nevertheless an increase of corrosion risk on the lower part of the beam (Fig. 7). A clear correlation could be observed between the evolution of the relative humidity in the air and the resistivity and potential values. Thus, when the relative humidity decreases, the resistivity increases and the potentials tend to less electronegative values (Fig. 8 and 9).

The same tendencies were observed for the corrosion currents except in June 2018, where the higher values were obtained (Fig. 10). This could be linked to connection difficulties encountered during the tests that lead to a repetition of the measurements and to an increased moistening of the concrete in points C3 and C4. But during the period of measurement, that lasted one year and a half, the corrosion current on the balcony was indicative of a corrosion level varying between moderate and high.
3.1.2 Beam

On the beam, the resistivity measured was a little higher than in zone 3 and indicative of a risk of corrosion varying from negligible [10] on the upper part of the beam, to moderate on the lower part (Fig. 11). The values ranged between 28 and 176 kΩ.cm and an average of 109 kΩ.cm.

The potential map (Fig. 12) was consistent with the resistivity mapping, indicating a probable risk of corrosion [11] on the lower part of the beam (average value: -230mV, maximum gradient: 95mV). Nevertheless, as for zone 3, it is to be noticed that the potential values are not very electronegative for a concrete both carbonated and chloride polluted.

Polarization resistance measurements were performed at two locations identified on the potential map, on the lower part of the beam. They were indicative of a low level of corrosion [12] (B23: 0.140µA/cm²; C4: 0.204µA/cm²).

As for the balcony area, similar results were observed on the three following three series of measurements, with again a clear correlation between the evolution of the relative humidity in the air and that of the resistivity and potential (Fig. 13 and 14). If the corrosion currents measured at point B12 were also well correlated (Fig. 15) and indicative of a negligible level of corrosion, at point B23 a continuous increase of the corrosion current could be noticed, in correlation with the opening of a crack (Fig. 16).
3.2 Monitoring

Data were recorded between April 2017 and September 2018.

3.2.1 Temperature

As can be observed on Fig. 17, the temperature measured in the concrete either under the balcony or in the beam, are very similar but also very close to that measured in the air. The three curves are overlapping. During the monitoring period, temperatures in the concrete ranged between 0.5 and 33°C, with a maximum daily amplitude of 5°C.

3.2.2 Relative humidity

Contrary to the temperature, relative humidity in the air and in the concrete was clearly distinct. Thus it fluctuated a lot in the air, while it was much more stable in the concrete (Fig. 18), which was already observed by several authors [13]. When comparing the results obtained in the 2 zones monitored, it appears that the relative humidity was slightly higher but also less fluctuating in the beam (average: 68%) than in the balcony (average: 63%). Globally, the relative humidity in the concrete was lower in winter and higher in spring. Thus, in the beam, the maximum was reached the 13th of June 2018 (78%) and the minimum in December 2017 (60%). On the Balcony, the maximum was observed on the 21st of June 2018 (77%) and the minimum in December 2017 (53%).

3.2.3 Electrochemical monitoring

As the two zones monitored were protected from the rain, electrochemical monitoring was an issue. Effectively, the contact mortar used between the sensors and the concrete gradually dried and the measurements became impossible, quite rapidly for the beam and soon after for the balcony (Fig. 19). Nevertheless, the first data were consistent with the non-destructive testing, with corrosion current values lower in the beam than in the balcony (Fig. 20).
Discussion

Due to contact mortar issues in the testing zones which were protected from the rain, electrochemical monitoring couldn’t be performed during the year and a half initially scheduled. Nevertheless, the temperature and relative humidity monitoring evidenced a certain stability in the concrete on the two zones examined (Fig. 21) both on a daily and a yearly basis, so that the non-destructive instant electrochemical measurements could be extrapolated to the whole year, and were indicative of active corrosion in both the balcony and the beam examined.

As the testing zones were sheltered from the rain, the relative humidity in the concrete was quite low (yearly average between 63 and 68%). Several studies evidenced a critical threshold of relative humidity between 60% and 70%, below which corrosion is supposed to be negligible [14] [15]. On this basis, the corrosion currents observed on the 2 tested zones of the villa could be surprising. But other authors evidenced that this threshold can vary depending on the exposure of the concrete (rain and temperature…) [13], and the carbonation and/or chloride pollution of the concrete [3].

Thus for a carbonated concrete, depending on the chloride content the relative humidity below which corrosion is negligible, can decrease to 40% [3]. This could be linked to both the hygroscopicity of the chloride salts and to the aggressiveness of the combined carbonation and chloride pollution for the corrosion of reinforcing bars.

6 Conclusions

Elevens years after a massive restoration of the Villa E1027 built in the late 1920’s on the French Mediterranean seashore, due to corrosion of the rebars a new repair operation was planned in 2016. Prior to the elaboration of the restoration strategy, a series of analyses were set up in order to evaluate the levels of carbonation and chloride pollution and to try to quantify the corrosion activity. The diagnosis combined chemical analysis, environmental parameters follow-up and electrochemical measurements. The latest included instant non-destructive testing and monitoring for 18 months.

The results of this diagnosis evidenced active corrosion induced by combined carbonation and chloride pollution, despite a low yearly average relative humidity in the concrete.

As a consequence, the possible durable restoration strategies were limited to one option: cathodic protection. But as this technique can be quite invasive and in addition difficult to implement in historic concrete due to an erratic and non-connected rebar network, a pilot impressed current cathodic protection was installed on a beam, a pillar and 1m² of the southern balcony underside. The purpose was to try to limit the cuts and holes to respect the conservation principle of historic monuments and to follow-up the efficiency of such a treatment during 6 months, prior to the installation of the system on the whole patio.
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