Comparing the Efficacy of Toluidine Blue, Methylene Blue and Curcumin in Photodynamic Therapy Against Enterococcus faecalis

Mohammad Ali Mozayeni1, Farzaneh Vatandoost1, Mohammad Asnaashari2, Mehdi Shokri3, Saranaz Azari-Marhabi2*, Negin Asnaashari2

1Department of Endodontics, School of Dentistry, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
2Laser Application in Medical Sciences Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
3Department of Dental Biomaterials, School of Dentistry, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

*Correspondence to Saranaz Azari-Marhabi, Laser Application in Medical Science Research Center, Shohada Tajrish Hospital, Tajrish Square, Tajrish Street, Tehran, Iran. Tel: +98-919-0129151; Fax: +98-21-22749221; Email: dr.s.azari@sbmu.ac.ir

Published online December 30, 2020

Abstract

Introduction: Antimicrobial photodynamic therapy (aPDT) as a supplement to the conventional root canal preparation has shown promising results. Previous studies have adopted various combinations of light sources and photosensitizers, which makes it difficult to compare the disinfection efficacy of different PDT protocols. The aim of the present study was to compare the efficacy of three photosensitizers (toluidine blue, methylene blue, and curcumin) in PDT using LED against Enterococcus faecalis in root canal disinfection.

Methods: Root canals of 54 single-rooted extracted teeth were prepared using the ProTaper Gold rotary system and were incubated with E. faecalis for three weeks. They were then randomly divided into five experimental groups and a control group: (1) Irrigation with 2.5% NaOCl for 30 seconds, (2) NaOCl irrigation followed by TB-PDT, (3) NaOCl irrigation followed by MB-PDT, (4) NaOCL irrigation followed by curcumin-PDT, (5) Curcumin solvent (1% ethanol+1% BSA), (6) Control (irrigation with normal saline). Sampling was done by collecting dentin shavings from the root canals, and colony-forming units were determined for each treatment group. The data were analyzed by Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests. The significance level was set at $P<0.05$.

Results: In all treatment groups, the mean values of colony forming unit (CFU) decreased by 99% compared to the control group. The lowest mean values of CFU were observed in groups 2 and 4, followed groups 3, 1, and 5 respectively. The mean CFU count in group 2 was significantly lower than that of group 1 ($P$ value=0.011), while there were no significant differences among groups 1, 3, and 4 ($P$ value >0.05).

Conclusion: The adjunction of toluidine blue-mediated PDT by means of a light-emitting diode to NaOCl irrigation increased its antibacterial efficacy against E. faecalis and could be an effective complementary method in root canal disinfection.
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Introduction

Microorganisms serve as the main etiology of pulpal and periapical disease. Therefore, the main objective of endodontic treatment is to eliminate the microorganisms from the root canal system. Mechanical debridement, application of chemical irrigants and other antimicrobial protocols are crucial to achieving this purpose.1 2 Due to its antibacterial and tissue dissolving properties, sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) is considered as the gold standard chemical irrigant.3 However, its limited penetration depth into dentinal tubules prevents direct contact with the bacteria residing in deep layers of dentin.4 5 The penetration depth of NaOCl was reported to be in the range of 40 to 309 µm,6 while Enterococcus faecalis may penetrate up to 1000 µm inside dentinal tubules.7 Novel approaches such as the use of high power lasers and photodynamic therapy (PDT) have been proposed to enhance the efficacy of conventional chemo-mechanical preparation of the root canal.8 9 Light is considered to provide greater access to the areas unreachable by conventional techniques owing to its better penetration into dentin tissue.9 10 The bactericidal effect of high-power lasers has been reported in several studies.11 However, their intra-canal use could be associated with damages to dental and periapical tissues such as carbonization, ankyloses, root resorption, and peri-radicular necrosis.12
Antimicrobial photodynamic therapy (aPDT) involves the activation of a non-toxic dye (photosensitizer) by the light of a specific wavelength in the presence of molecular oxygen which results in oxidative damage to microorganisms by producing reactive oxygen species. The lower surface tension of aqueous solutions of photosensitizers may facilitate their diffusion into dentin as compared to NaOCl. Unlike high-power lasers that act through photothermal effects, the lethal action of PDT is based on photochemical reactions, which allows eliminating the microorganisms without causing thermal damages to the adjacent tissues. The main types of light sources used in clinical PDT are lasers, light-emitting diodes (LED), and halogen lamps. LED lamps are considered safe light sources due to less heat production. Easy application and cost-effectiveness are some of their advantages over lasers.

The advantage of photodynamic therapy as a supplement to the conventional chemomechanical preparation of the root canal has been shown in the previous studies, yet there is no standard protocol for its clinical use. The outcome of PDT relies on the interactions of the light, photosensitizer (PS) and oxygen. The major groups of PSs used in PDT are hematoporphyrin derivatives, phenothiazines, cyanines, phytotherapeutic agents, phthalocyanines and chlorines. Phenothiazines such as methylene blue (MB) and toluidine blue (TB) are the most studied PSs for biofilm inactivation. As MB and TB are amphiphilic, they can be used against both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria present in endodontic infections.

Curcumin is a natural phenolic compound extracted from the rootstocks of Curcuma longa and is used as a food additive. Owing to its substantial oxidative properties and high ability of light absorption, curcumin seems to be an appropriate PS for PDT and it has been shown to cause promising results in PDT against oral pathogens. A previous study reported that light activation of curcumin using LED resulted in significantly higher antibacterial efficacy compared to ultrasonic activation of NaOCl.

Previous studies have adopted various combinations of light sources and PSs, as well as different light parameters, PS concentrations, and methods for biofilm cultivation, which makes it difficult to compare the disinfection efficacy of different PDT protocols. The aim of the present study was to compare the efficacy of three PSs (TB, MB, and curcumin) in PDT using FotoSan® 630 LED against Enterococcus faecalis as an adjunct to the conventional root canal disinfection strategies.

Materials and Methods

Sample Preparation

This in vitro study was carried out on 54 human teeth extracted due to periodontal disease or severely carious crowns. The selected teeth were central and lateral incisors and premolars with intact, completely developed roots and <30 degrees of root curvature. The presence of a single canal was confirmed by buccolingual and mesiodistal radiographs. After extraction the root surfaces were cleaned using a curette and the teeth were stored in 5.25% sodium hypochlorite solution for 5 days. The teeth were then decoronated using a disc in order to achieve a standard root length of 12 mm. The working length of the root canals was established at 0.5 mm distance from the apices by inserting a #10 K-file (Dentsply Maillefer, Tulsa, OK, USA) into the canal and observing its tip at the apical foramen. The apical foramina were then sealed with glass ionomer cement (GC Fuji II, Tokyo, Japan). Root canal instrumentation was performed using ProTaper Gold rotary files (Dentsply Maillefer, Tulsa, OK, USA) at 300 rpm driven by the Reciproc motor (VDW, Munich, Germany) according to the sequence recommended by the manufacturer up to #30 file (Master apical file=30). After instrumentation with each file patency was ensured by inserting a #10 K-file 1 mm beyond the working length and the canals were irrigated with 5 mL of 2.5% sodium hypochlorite using a syringe with a 30-gauge needle. After canal preparation irrigation was performed with 1 mL of 17% EDTA for 3 minutes in order to remove the smear layer followed by a final rinse with 5 mL of 2.5% NaOCl and 5 mL saline. The canals were then dried using paper points. Afterwards the specimens were placed in test tubes containing sterile brain heart infusion (BHI) broth (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and were sterilized in an autoclave at 121°C for 15 minutes. The tubes were then sealed and incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. To ensure the absence of bacterial contamination, 6 specimens were randomly selected and incubated in a sterile BHI medium. No bacterial growth was detected after 24 hours.

Root Canal Contamination With Enterococcus faecalis

Enterococcus faecalis bacteria (ATCC9854) taken from a frozen stock culture were transferred into the BHI medium and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Single colonies were inoculated in 10 mL of BHI broth and were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. A 1.5×10⁶ CFU/mL bacterial suspension equivalent to 0.5 McFarland was prepared. The optical density (OD600) of the suspension was spectrophotometrically set to 0.08-0.1. The roots were individually placed in sterile test tubes. 1 mL of 0.5 McFarland bacterial suspension was injected into each tube using an insulin syringe. The specimens were then incubated at 37°C under anaerobic conditions for three weeks. The BHI broth media were refreshed on alternate days. Two specimens from the control group were sectioned longitudinally and placed in fixative solution (2.5% glutaraldehyde) at 4°C for 24 hours. The specimens were then dehydrated in ascending graded ethanol (50%, 70%, 85%, 90%, 95%, once each, and twice in 100%) for 20 minutes at each concentration and were dried at room temperature. Subsequently, the dentin sections were sputter-coated with gold under vacuum and examined.
by a scanning electron microscope to ensure biofilm formation on the root canal surface and in the dentinal tubules (Figure 1).

**Treatment Groups**

After 3 weeks of incubation the media were evacuated and the roots were rinsed thoroughly with normal saline in order to remove the planktonic bacteria. The outer surfaces of the roots were coated with nail varnish. The specimens were then assigned to 5 treatment groups (n=8) and a control group (n=8) using simple randomization (Figure 2).

- **Group 1**: Root canal irrigation with sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl)
- **Group 2**: NaOCl irrigation followed by toluidine blue-mediated PDT (NaOCl+TB)
- **Group 3**: NaOCl irrigation followed by methylene blue-mediated PDT (NaOCl+MB)
- **Group 4**: NaOCl irrigation followed by curcumin-mediated PDT (NaOCl+CUR)
- **Group 5**: Application of curcumin solvent (1% ethanol+1% BSA) for 120 seconds (CUR solvent)

**Control group**: Root canal irrigation with 5 mL of sterile normal saline for 30 seconds using a syringe with a 30-gauge needle inserted 1 mm short of the apices.

**NaOCl Irrigation**

In groups 1-4, root canal irrigation was performed with 5 mL of 2.5% NaOCl for 30 seconds using a syringe with a 30-gauge needle inserted 1 mm short of the apices. To neutralize NaOCl, the root canals were rinsed with 1 mL of 5% sodium thiosulfate (Na₂S₂O₃) (Merck, Germany) and normal saline for 30 seconds.

**Photosensitizers**

TB and MB solutions at concentrations of 0.5 mg/mL were prepared using normal saline. Curcumin was dissolved in a solvent containing 1% ethanol and 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) to a concentration of 0.5 mg/mL.

**Photodynamic Therapy**

In groups 2-4, the respective photosensitizers were injected into the root canals and remained for 120 seconds prior to irradiation. PDT was carried out for 60 seconds by means of an LED lamp (FotoSan 630, CMS Dental, Copenhagen, Denmark) emitting light in the red spectrum with a power peak at 630nm and output intensity of 2000–4000 mw/cm². The irradiation was performed using an endodontic tip of 0.5 mm diameter which was introduced into the canal up to ⅔ of the working length.

**Sampling and Colony Counting**

The roots were rinsed with normal saline and sampling was done by collecting dentin shavings. Sterile F3 ProTaper Gold rotary files were used in the root canals for 30 seconds. The files were then transferred into test tubes containing 10 ml of normal saline and were vortexed for 1 minute. The bacterial suspensions were diluted using ten-fold serial dilutions and cultured on BHI agar plates using the Spread plate technique. After 24 hours of incubation colony forming units (CFUs) were counted using a colony counter (Teif Azma Teb, Iran). The actual bacterial counts were calculated based on the corresponding dilution factor and the results were reported as CFU/mL.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data were analyzed using SPSS version 18 (SPSS Inc., IL, USA). The mean and standard deviation of CFU values in the control and treatment groups were reported. The Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests were used for comparing the disinfection efficacy of the three photosensitizers. The significance level was set at \( P \leq 0.05 \).

**Results**

The mean CFU count in the control group was considered as the baseline for comparison among the treatment groups. In all treatment groups CFU counts decreased by 99% relative to the control group (Table 1).

| Group | CFU Count (Mean ± SD) |
|-------|-----------------------|
| Control | 452 ± 23 |
| NaOCl  | 46 ± 5 |
| NaOCl+TB | 5 ± 1 |
| NaOCl+MB | 7 ± 2 |
| NaOCl+CUR | 8 ± 3 |

The mean value of CFU in the control group was significantly higher than that of all the treatment groups. Among treatment groups, the lowest mean value of CFU was observed in the NaOCl+TB group followed by the NaOCl+CUR, NaOCl+MB, NaOCl, and CUR solvent groups respectively. The mean CFU count in the NaOCl+TB group was significantly lower than that of the NaOCl group. There were no significant differences among the NaOCl, NaOCl+CUR, and NaOCl+MB groups (Table 2).

**Discussion**

*Enterococcus faecalis* is the most common bacterial...
species in the root canals of teeth with persistent periapical lesions.\textsuperscript{24} It has been shown that it is resistant to conventional disinfection strategies.\textsuperscript{25} In this study, a 21-day old \textit{E. faecalis} biofilm model was used for contaminating the root canals. Most studies have used paper cones for sampling the root canal which restricts the samples to a portion of planktonic bacteria from the fluid in the root canal and underestimates the bacterial counts.\textsuperscript{26,27} Filing the root canal wall is needed to improve sampling the bacteria organized in a biofilm structure.\textsuperscript{28} In the current study, dentin shavings were collected. This method allows sampling the bacteria present in the dentinal tubules.\textsuperscript{26}

Although PDT as a stand-alone treatment may not be sufficient for eliminating the bacteria from the root canal system, it has been shown that it is a promising adjunctive method for further reduction of the microorganisms after the conventional chemo-mechanical preparation.\textsuperscript{15} In the present study, PDT was carried out using an LED lamp (FotoSan\textsuperscript{®} 630) and three photosensitizers (methylene blue, toluidine blue, and curcumin) after root canal irrigation with 2.5% sodium hypochlorite. All the PSs were used at the same concentration of 0.5 mg/mL to compare their antibacterial efficacy in PDT.

FotoSan 630 is an LED device developed for aPDT for endodontic and periodontal applications emitting light in the red spectrum with peak power at 630 nm. LED lights are safer alternative light sources as they do not cause a significant change in temperature.\textsuperscript{26} The use of LED in aPDT against \textit{E. faecalis} has shown positive results.\textsuperscript{12,26}

The binding of curcumin to BSA increases its solubility in aqueous solutions.\textsuperscript{30} In the present study, curcumin was dissolved in a solvent containing 1% ethanol and 1% BSA. The absorption peak of the PS should be in accordance with the wavelength of the light in order to produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as singlet oxygen which induce injury or death to microorganisms.\textsuperscript{31,32} The maximum absorption doses of the PSs MB, TB, and Curcumin are 660, 630, and 450 nm respectively,\textsuperscript{33} and the wavelength of the LED lamp used in the present study was 630 nm. Evaluating the photochemical and antimicrobial effects of phytotherapeutic PSs, Nardini et al\textsuperscript{32} reported that curcumin presented similar ROS production to MB when activated at 660 nm. Moreover, the activation of curcumin by a red light LED (660 nm) led to a statistically significant reduction in planktonic cultures and biofilms of \textit{E. faecalis}.

The results of the present study demonstrated that PDT using all three PSs significantly reduced the \textit{E. faecalis} CFU/mL. The adjunction of PDT to NaOCl irrigation led to a greater bactericidal effect compared to the conventional endodontic treatment. This finding is consistent with previous studies.\textsuperscript{3,12,13,15} It should be noted that in the present study, TB-PDT was the only treatment which led to a statistically significant additional bactericidal effect compared to the NaOCl group. Vaziri et al assessed the antibacterial effect of 2.5% NaOCl and the combination of 2.5% NaOCl irrigation with TB-PDT using a 625 nm diode laser against \textit{E. faecalis} and reported superior bactericidal efficacy of the combination over the sole use of NaOCl.\textsuperscript{34}

Souza et al investigated the antibacterial effect of MB and TB-PDT using 660 nm diode lasers. The results showed that although PDT enhanced the disinfection

| Group                  | Incidence of Negative Cultures (%) | CFU/mL | Bacterial Reduction Relative to the Control Group (%) |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------------------------|
|                        | Mean     | SD     |                                                     |
| Control                | 0        | 4.4×10^8 | 3.7×10^7 | -                                                   |
| NaOCl                  | 0        | 1.4×10^5 | 1.4×10^4 | 99.97                                               |
| Curcumin solvent       | 0        | 7.9×10^5 | 9.4×10^4 | 99.82                                               |
| NaOCl+CUR              | 33.3     | 5.9×10^5 | 8×10^4    | 99.99                                               |
| NaOCl+TB               | 66.7     | 4.2×10^3 | 6.5×10^3  | 99.99                                               |
| NaOCl+MB               | 33.3     | 1.3×10^4 | 1.96×10^4 | 99.99                                               |

| Group                  | Control | NaOCl+CUR | NaOCl+TB | NaOCl+MB | NaOCl | CUR Solvent |
|------------------------|---------|-----------|----------|----------|-------|-------------|
|                        |         | 0.007     | 0.001    | 0.002    | 0.013 | 0.039       |
| NaOCl+CUR              | 0.007   | 0.443     | 0.203    | 0.067    | 0.006 |             |
| NaOCl+TB               | 0.001   | 0.443     | 0.203    | 0.067    | 0.006 |             |
| NaOCl+MB               | 0.002   | 0.904     | 0.203    | 0.067    | 0.006 |             |
| NaOCl                  | 0.013   | 0.078     | 0.011    | 0.067    | 0.201 |             |
| CUR solvent            | 0.019   | 0.006     | 0.002    | 0.006    | 0.201 |             |
efficacy of instrumentation and irrigation with NaOCl, the effect did not reach statistical significance.\textsuperscript{31} This may be due to the low concentration of the PSs (0.15 μg/mL) used in their study.

Lopez-Jimenez et al evaluated the effect of TBO-mediated PDT using LED (FotoSan 630) and MB-mediated PDT using a 670 nm diode laser on \textit{E. faecalis} biofilms cultures. The concentrations of TB and MB used in the study were 0.1 mg/mL and 0.05 mg/mL respectively. Confocal laser scanning microscopy results showed a significant increase in bacterial death in PDT-treated samples with no significant difference between the two PDT protocols.\textsuperscript{35}

Pourhajibagher et al compared the antimicrobial and anti-biofilm effects of different PSs in aPDIT using a diode laser and an LED. They reported that CUR-PDT led to the highest bactericidal and anti-biofilm activity against \textit{E. faecalis}, which was significantly more than that of TB- and MB-PDT.\textsuperscript{33} This may be due to a different wavelength of LED used for activating curcumin in their study (450 nm) which led to greater absorption of light by this PS.

The results of the present study showed that PDT using 0.5 mg/mL TB enhanced the efficacy of NaOCl in reducing the CFUs of \textit{E. faecalis}. This finding needs to be confirmed by further studies and clinical trials. Although all treatments significantly reduced the CFUs, there was no significant difference among adjunctive MB- and curcumin-mediated PDT and the sole use of sodium hypochlorite in terms of reducing the CFUs. Likewise, Oda et al reported similar disinfection efficacy of MB- and Cur-PDT using a 660 nm diode laser and a blue LED respectively.\textsuperscript{36} Given the lower cost of curcumin and less discoloration caused by its use compared to other PSs, further research using different concentrations, light sources, pre-irradiation and irradiation times and energy dosages is recommended to determine appropriate parameters for its application in PDT.

Conclusion
Within the limitations of this study, the adjunction of PDT using a 0.5 mg/mL TB photosensitizer and an LED to NaOCl irrigation increased its antibacterial efficacy against \textit{E. faecalis} and could be an effective complementary method in root canal disinfection.
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