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Abstract

Background: Congenital heart defects (CHD), as the most common congenital anomaly, have been reported to be associated with chromosomal abnormalities. Currently, patients with CHD are routinely offered karyotyping and chromosomal microarray (CMA) testing, but the genotype-phenotype relationship has not yet been fully established.

Objective: To determine the type and frequency of chromosomal abnormalities in fetuses with CHD and to analyze pregnancy outcomes of fetuses with heart abnormalities caused by different genetic factors.

Methods: A total of 362 cases of CHD were enrolled from 2009 to 2016. Detailed ultrasound and laboratory examinations, including karyotyping and CMA, were performed. Outcome was obtained from discharge summaries.

Results: Of the 362 fetuses, 220 were found with an isolated CHD, and 142 had CHD with extracardiac anomaly. Among these 362 fetuses, 140 were identified with a genetic cause, including 111 cases with aneuploidy, 10 cases with abnormality of chromosomal structure by karyotyping and 19 cases with pathogenic or likely pathogenic copy-number variations (CNVs) by CMA. The detection rate is close to 38.7%. Only one (identified as trisomy 18 syndrome) in 140 positive cases resulted in perinatal death, with the others being induced. The remaining 222 cases had negative results for both genetic testing and of these cases, 56 resulted in induced labor, and 77 had natural childbirth or cesarean births. The pregnancy outcome of the remaining 89 cases was uncertain.

Conclusions: Karyotyping and CMA are effective and accurate prenatal genetic techniques for identifying fetal chromosomal abnormalities associated with cardiac defects, and this can assist clinical doctors to perform appropriate genetic counselling with regard to the etiology and outcome of CHD. (Arq Bras Cardiol. 2018; 111(4):571-577)
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Introduction

Congenital heart disease (CHD), one of the most common birth defects, affecting approximately 1 in 100 live births. With the availability of advanced surgical techniques, normal or near normal cardiac function can be restored after surgical treatment of most types of CHDs ranging from simple ventricular septal defects (VSD) to more complex cardiovascular abnormalities. However, the long-term prognosis of a small, but significant number of CHD fetuses is usually complicated by severe extracardiac abnormalities, such as developmental delay and mental retardation. There is increasing evidence that genetic factors influence the development of most types of CHD, but the precise genetic basis of most CHD cases remains not fully understood. Current ultrasound technologies are able to detect most of CHD. However, it is difficult for physicians to make a comprehensive assessment of fetuses with CHD merely based on the evidence of prenatal ultrasound, as well as to manage the course of established pregnancy. Therefore, genetic testing is now highly recommended for fetuses with CHD.

Karyotyping has been the mainstream diagnostic method for detecting chromosomal abnormalities associated with CHD. For CHD cases in prenatal diagnosis, chromosomal anomalies are estimated to be as high as 22. Now, chromosomal microarray (CMA) has become the first tier technique in fetal structural anomalies detected by ultrasonography. The advent of CMA technology has allowed genome-wide searches of submicroscopic chromosomal deletions or duplications in the genome, known as copy-number variations (CNVs). CNV is a form of structural variation in the genome: specifically, it is a type of duplication or deletion that has an influence in the base pairs, and CNVs play an important role in generating necessary variation in the population and disease phenotypes. Recent studies have shown that a substantial proportion of CHD patients were detected with pathogenic CNVs, and the syndromic or isolated CHD patients were found with multiple recurrent CNV loci, such as 22q11.2 (the DiGeorge syndrome region), 7q11.23, 8p23.1, 9q34.3, and 1q21.1.
At present, only a few studies have reported genetic testing among large groups of fetuses with CHD in China. The genotype-phenotype relationship has not yet been fully established. The Laboratory of Genetics and Metabolism from the Maternal and Child Health Hospital in Guangxi is one of the largest Perinatal Diagnostic centers in South China. This study aimed to analyze the chromosomal abnormalities and pregnancy outcomes in 362 fetuses with CHD.

Methods

Subjects

Fetal ultrasound anatomy scans were routinely performed for pregnant women at the Prenatal Diagnosis Center of Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region in China. The anatomy scans were conducted between 20 and 28 weeks of gestation by senior sonographers using GE E8 ultrasound machines (General Electric Healthcare, USA). If CHD was suspected, the echocardiography was subsequently performed for confirmation.

A total of 8,430 pregnancies between June 2012 and June 2016 were screened for fetal cardiac defects, and 362 fetuses were identified with CHD. The Medical Ethics Committee of the Guangxi Maternal and Child Health Hospital approved the study protocol (Approval no.160220), and the parents of all selected fetuses with CHD gave their written consent.

Testing of SNP microarray

All samples of amniotic fluid or fetal cord blood were collected from the pregnant women, and genomic DNA was extracted using the QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. SNP (Single Nucleotide Polymorphism) microarray testing was performed using Illumina HumanCytoSNP-12 v2.1 BeadChip (Illumina, USA). The laboratory policy at the time of testing was not to report well-established polymorphisms, CNVs that do not contain genes and CNVs smaller than 0.20 Mb. However, stretches of homozygosity larger than 10 Mb were reported.

Karyotyping

All samples of amniotic fluid or fetal cord blood were used to perform G-banding according to the standard procedure as described previously.

Results

Clinical data

Among the 8,430 pregnancies, 362 cases of CHD were diagnosed using fetal echocardiography, for a frequency of 4.2%. The mean age of the pregnant women was 31.1 ± 5.1 years, and the mean gestational week at diagnosis was 24.4 ± 3.8 weeks.

The 5 most common types of CHD were, in order, ventricular septal defect (51.9%, 188/362), persistent left superior vena cava (13.0%, 47/362), endocardial cushion defects (0.9%, 33/362), single umbilical artery (0.9%, 32/362) and right-sided aortic arch (0.8%, 29/362).

Etiology

In total, 362 fetuses were diagnosed with CHD. The genetic tests found 111 cases with aneuploidy, 10 cases with abnormality of chromosome structure, and 19 cases with pathogenic or likely pathogenic CNVs (Table 1). The remaining 222 cases showed no abnormal genetic findings. The abnormalities of chromosome numbers consisted of trisomy 18 syndrome (61 cases), trisomy 21 syndrome (31 cases) and trisomy 13 syndrome (19 cases). CMA identified 19 CNVs, including DiGeorge syndrome (8 cases), Jacobsen syndrome (2 cases), Angelman/Prader-Willi syndrome (1 case), 16p11.2-p12.2 microdeletion syndrome (1 case), 16q24-triplication syndrome (1 case), Thrombocytopenia-absent radius (TAR) syndrome (1 case), 3q29 microduplication syndrome (1 case), 22q11 duplication syndrome (1 case), Cri du chat syndrome (1 case) and 2 likely pathogenic CNVs (Table 2).

Occurrence of fetal cardiac malformations

Of the 362 CHD, 181 fetuses were found with single cardiac malformations, and 181 were found with multiple cardiac abnormalities; 220 were found with an isolated CHD; and 142 had CHD with extracardiac anomaly. Table 3 lists the etiology of the various types of fetal cardiac malformations observed.

Pregnancy Outcomes

Among all 140 cases with a positive genetic testing result, only one woman chose to continue her pregnancy, and the rest of them chose to induce labor. The fetus was diagnosed with trisomy 18 syndrome, presenting difficulties in feeding, and died 4 days after birth. Among the remaining 222 negative cases, 56 were subjected to labor induction, and most of these cases were deemed incurable or had poor prognostic cardiac malformations (including single ventricle, left or right ventricular dysplasia and tetralogy of fallot) or were complicated with extracardiac anomalies (Figure 1).

Mothers of 77 fetuses with mild or curable cardiac malformations chose to maintain their pregnancies. Of these cases, 66 were found with no abnormality after birth, 8 cases needed surgery, one presented delayed development, one was found with clubfoot, one was identified with hypomyotonia, and the pregnancy outcomes of the remaining 89 cases were uncertain (Figure 1).

Discussion

In this study, 362 cases of fetal CHD were identified in a total of 8,430 pregnancies at a single Maternal and Children’s hospital from the Southern region of China from June 2012 to June 2016, with an incidence of 4.2%. This incidence was similar to that reported in Xi’an, in Northwestern China, and higher than the rate of 2.3% reported in Guangzhou, in southern China. Among the 362 CHD fetuses, ventricular septal defect (51.9%, 188/362) and persistent left superior vena cava (13.0%, 47/362) were the most prevalent cardiac abnormalities detected by ultrasound scans.

Many factors such as genetic factors (including chromosomal abnormalities and gene mutations) and risk factors associated
Table 1 – Genetic testing of 362 fetuses with congenital heart defects

| Etiology                          | Classifications                                      | Numbers |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Aneuploidyn (111, 30.7%)          | Trisomy 18                                           | 61      |
|                                   | Trisomy 21                                           | 31      |
|                                   | Trisomy 13                                           | 19      |
|                                   | 46,X,i(X)(q10)                                       | 1       |
|                                   | 46.der(18)dup(18)(q11q22)del(18)(q22q23)             | 1       |
|                                   | 46,XY(r(13)(p13q34)                                  | 1       |
|                                   | 46,XY,der(21)q10;q10,1+21                            | 1       |
|                                   | 46,XX,del(9)(9;18)(p22q21)mat                        | 1       |
| Abnormality of chromosome structure (10, 2.8%) | 46,XY,del(10)(q11q22)dn                             | 1       |
|                                   | 46,XY,6q-dn                                          | 1       |
|                                   | 46,XY,del(18)(7;18)(q22q23)mat                       | 1       |
|                                   | 46,XX,del(5)(p13)                                    | 1       |
|                                   | 46,XY,del(5)(p13,p12)mat                             | 1       |
|                                   | 15q13.2q13.3(3040388-32515681)x1                     | 1       |
|                                   | arr16p11.2(29614976-30199805)x1~2                    | 1       |
|                                   | arr16q21q24.3(63,863,382-90,130,136)x2~3             | 1       |
|                                   | arr1q21.1q21.2(146,501,348-147,828,939)x1           | 1       |
|                                   | arr3q21.1q28(123031042-198022430)x2~3                | 1       |
|                                   | arr22q11.21(18877787-21458625)x1                    | 1       |
|                                   | arr22q11.21(18889490-21460200)x1                    | 1       |
|                                   | arr22q11.21(18895703-21982916)x1                    | 1       |
|                                   | arr22q11.21(18844632-21462533)x1                    | 1       |
| CNVs (19, 5.2%)                   | arr11q24.1q25(123615329-134944006)x1                 | 1       |
|                                   | arr1q26.1q26.2(126254648-135430043)x3,              | 1       |
|                                   | arr1q24.1q25(122805910-134944006)x6                 | 1       |
|                                   | arr10p15.1p12.3(6085312-21544231)x1                  | 1       |
|                                   | arr5q11.2q12.1(56368573-61428613)x1                  | 1       |
|                                   | arr2q11.2q21.1(14687571-18341062)x1                  | 1       |
|                                   | arr22q11.21(21050952-21811991)x1                     | 1       |
|                                   | arr22q11.21(20740778-21445064)x1                     | 1       |
|                                   | arr22q11.21(18895703-21452237)x1                    | 1       |
|                                   | arr11q23.3p25(116728277-134944006)x3, arr22q11.1p11.2(16079545-20306993)x2 | 1       |
|                                   | arr5p15.3p15.1(354051-17494038)x1, 5p34q35.3(165731079-180705539)x1 | 1       |

CNVs: copy-number variations.

with mothers (including the rubella virus, other infections, radiation, drug use and environmental pollution) are reported to be associated with CHD. However, the causes of most types of CHD are still poorly understood. In our study, 140 of 362 CHD fetuses were identified with clinically significant chromosomal abnormalities by karyotyping and CMA, with a detection rate of up to 38.7%. The positive rates of genetic testing in this study is far higher than previous reports in Chongqing, China and the Netherlands. This rate is similar to that of Brazilians.

Among the 140 chromosomal abnormalities, 111 (79.3%) were aneuploidy, of which trisomy 18 was the most common; 10 (7.1%) cases were abnormality of chromosome structure; and 19 (13.6%) cases were pathogenic or likely pathogenic CNVs. It is suggested that aneuploidy is the leading genetic cause of fetuses with CHD in our population. Given that G-banding can only reliably detect structural abnormalities > 10 Mb in size, 11 pathogenic CNVs may be missed by karyotyping but detected by CMA. On this basis, we estimate that the incremental yield of reportable CNVs with less than 10 Mb achieved by CMA was 3.0%.

Complex multiple cardiac malformations have poor prognosis and heavily affect the quality of life of surviving infants, but cases such as mild tetralogy of fallot have
Table 2 – Copy-number variations (CNVs) in 362 fetuses with congenital heart disease (CHD)

| Patient | Cardiac defect | Extra-cardiac defect | CNVs | Size (Mb) | Known syndrome/candidate genes related to CHD | Classification |
|---------|----------------|----------------------|------|-----------|---------------------------------------------|----------------|
| 1       | persistent left superior vena cava | Intrauterine growth retardation | 15q13.2;q13.3;15q26.3-15q26.1(29083356-29170120)x1 | 10.0 | Angelman/Prader-Willi syndrome | pathogenic |
| 2       | persistent left superior vena cava, single umbilical artery |  | arr16p11.2;16p11.2(29614976-30198005)x1~2 | 0.5 | 16p11.2-p12.2 microdeletion syndrome | pathogenic |
| 3       | pulmonary stenosis |  | arr16q21;p4.3:16q21.2(36363863,382-90,130,136)x2~3 | 26.3 | 16q24-triplication syndrome | pathogenic |
| 4       | complete-type endocardial cushion defect |  | arr1q11.1;q12.1(146,501,134-147,828,939)x1 | 1.3 | Thrombocytopenia-absent radius (TAR) syndrome | pathogenic |
| 5       | ventricular septal defect | Short limbs | arr3q1.1;q2.1(12303,1034-198022430)x2~3 | 75.0 | 3q29 microduplication syndrome | pathogenic |
| 6       | tetralogy of fallot |  | arr22q11.21(1887,787-2145,8625)x1 | 2.6 | DiGeorge syndrome | pathogenic |
| 7       | tetralogy of fallot |  | arr22q11.21(18889,490-2146,220)x1 | 2.6 | DiGeorge syndrome | pathogenic |
| 8       | right aortic arch, persistent left superior vena cava |  | arr22q11.21(1889,703-219289716)x1 | 3.0 | DiGeorge syndrome | pathogenic |
| 9       | tetralogy of fallot, absent pulmonary valve |  | arr22q11.21(1884,4632-2146,2353)x1 | 2.6 | DiGeorge syndrome | pathogenic |
| 10      | single umbilical artery |  | arr11q4.1;q2.1(12361,529-13494,44400)x1 | 11.3 | Jacobsen syndrome | pathogenic |
| 11      | endocardial cushion defect, single atrium |  | arr10q26.13;q26.3(12654,468-13543,043)x3; arr11q24.1;q2.1(12280,910-13494,006)x1 | 9.2; 12.1 | Jacobsen syndrome | pathogenic |
| 12      | Atria septal defect |  | arr10p15.1;p12.1(1657312-2154,4231)x1 | 15 | CACNB2 | likely pathogenic |
| 13      | ventricular septal defect |  | arr5q1.2;q12.1(16536,857-3-614,28613)x1 | 5.1 |  | likely pathogenic |
| 14      | ventricular septal defect, atrial septal defect |  | arr21q11.2q2.1(1468,751-1834,1062)x1 | 3.7 | DiGeorge syndrome | pathogenic |
| 15      | ventricular septal defect | Fetal cystic hygroma | arr22q11.21(2105,652-2116,991)x1 | 0.7 | DiGeorge syndrome | pathogenic |
| 16      | ventricular septal defect |  | arr22q11.21(20740,778-2144,5064)x1 | 0.7 | DiGeorge syndrome | pathogenic |
| 17      | tetralogy of fallot, thymic hypoplasia | Intrauterine growth retardation | arr22q11.21(1889,703-219289716)x1 | 2.6 | DiGeorge syndrome | pathogenic |
| 18      | pulmonary valve stenosis, aortic coarctation ventricular septal defect |  | arr11q2;3.3q5.1;1176,282.77-13494,006)x3; arr22q11.1q11.2(10075,405-2030,006393)x3 | 18 | 22q11 duplication syndrome | pathogenic |
| 19      | ventricular septal defect, Small left heart | Intrauterine growth retardation | arr5p15.3.3p15.1;354051-1748,4038)x1.5;q34.3q5.3(16573,1079-1807,05539)x3 | 17.1; 15.0 | Cri du chat syndrome | pathogenic |
a reasonable outcome after surgery, as well as a good prognosis. In our study, ultrasonic results of some fetuses with CHD caused by aneuploidy only displayed mild cardiac malformations, although complex CHD combined with extra cardiac defects were more common in these cases. Besides, some symptoms such as mental disability cannot be found by prenatal ultrasound. In these cases, the results of genetic testing is of great importance, because this situation is easily ignored by patients and clinicians. However, several negative cases featured complex CHD and extra cardiac defects after karyotype and CMA testing, and these cases provide an important clue for the study of other factors that lead to CHD.

Several limitations should be considered in the study when reviewing these findings. Firstly, a comprehensive analysis of all known CHD associated genes was not carried out. Secondly, the inheritance of CNVs in some cases with likely pathogenicity was not identified.

Conclusion

Karyotyping and CMA analysis was conducted in 362 CHD fetuses, and it was found that 38.7% of CHD fetuses had a positive genetic testing result. Aneuploidy is the major cause of CHD fetuses in our population. The combination of ultrasonic detection and genetic testing can effectively diagnose fetuses with cardiac malformations and extra cardiac defects, thus providing valuable information to the clinician and patients.
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