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The core of the matter – arene substitution determines the coordination and catalytic behaviour of tris(1-phosphanyl-1'-ferrocenylene)arene gold(I) complexes

Depending on the substitution of the central core, tris(1-phosphanyl-1'-ferrocenylene)arenes bind gold(I) in different coordination modes. The resulting complexes are investigated by variable-temperature NMR spectroscopy, DFT calculations, electrochemical methods, and show stepwise activity changes in redox-switchable gold(I) catalysis.
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The core of the matter – arene substitution determines the coordination and catalytic behaviour of tris(1-phosphanyl-1'-ferrocenylen)arene gold(i) complexes†

Axel Straube, a Peter Coburger, b Marvin Michak, b Mark R. Ringenberg c and Evamarie Hey-Hawkins d,e,*

Changing the aromatic core of $C_2$-symmetric tris(ferrocenylen)arene-based tris-phosphanes has profound effects on their coordination behaviour towards gold(i). Depending on the arene (s-triazine, benzene, or trifluorobenzene), four different coordination modes can be distinguished and their preference has been rationalised using computational methods. The corresponding 1 : 1 ligand-to-metal complexes, studied by variable-temperature NMR spectroscopy, revealed fluctational behaviour in solution. Given the presence of up to three or six ferrocenylen spacers per complex, their electrochemistry was investigated. The redox-responsive nature of the complexes can be advantageously exploited in the catalytic ring-closing isomerisation of $N$-(2-propyn-1-yl)benzamide, where the benzene-based 2 : 3 ligand-to-metal complex has been shown to display multiple activity states depending on the degree of (reversible) oxidation in a preliminary trial.

Introduction

Modern-day ligand design is hardly imaginable without the inclusion of ferrocene.1–3 Pairing great stability with conformational flexibility, easy synthetic modifiability, the possibility for exploiting planar chirality, and reversible redox activity, ferrocene has attained the special place of perhaps the key organometallic building block.4,5 Next to numerous applications in asymmetric catalysis,6–8 ferrocene-containing ligands feature prominently in redox-switchable catalysis (RSC).9–11 Based on the concept of reversibly modifying the donor properties of a redox-active ligand and hence, in turn, the electronic nature of the coordinated metal,12,13 initial breakthroughs were achieved by Wrighton14 and Long.15 The latter report, the first to use ferrocene as the redox switch, incidentally also incorporated two pendant ferrocenyl termini into the ligand backbone (I, Chart 1). This is worth pointing out, as ligands built on multi-ferrocene-containing motifs are still comparably scarce, even without putting a focus on RSC. Notable examples include the BIFEP (II) and TRAP (III) ligand families,16,17 mesoionic carbene IV,18 terferrocene-based bis(terpyridine) V,19 and $C_2$-symmetric tetradentate TriFer VI.20 Furthermore, a number of discrete multiferrocenyl compounds21–27 and ferrocenyl-containing dendrimers have been reported over the last years.28–34

Conceived as small-molecule analogues of redox-switchable dendrimers,23–34 we have recently reported the synthesis of a new family of tris-phosphanes 1 (Chart 1) incorporating a redox-active, $C_3$-symmetric tris(ferrocenyl)arene backbone.35 Capable of forming both mono- and trinuclear gold(i) complexes (Chart 2), the latter were shown to act as four-state redox-switchable catalysts. The tris-phosphanes are prepared in a modular fashion, and s-triazine, benzene, and 1,3,5-trifluorobenzene have been used as central arenes.36

As the groups of Lang and Santi have extensively shown, the nature of the arene core is decisive for the electrochemical response of the system both in terms of redox potentials and electronic communication, that is, the existence of mixed-valent oxidised states.21–23,37,49 In our case, 1 : 1 ligand-to-metal complexes of triazine derivative 1a (Chart 2, left) showed a distinct delocalised coinage metal–π(C_{3N_3}) interaction,50 while 1 : 3 ligand-to-gold complexes of 1a–c (Chart 2, right) demonstrated how the electron density of the respective core...
determined the electrochemical response both with respect to redox potential and reversibility. Furthermore, the arene cores were also found to impact the catalytic activity of these trinuclear gold(i) complexes in the ring-closing isomerisation of N-\(\text{2-propyn-1-yl}\)benzamide (2) to 5-methylene-2-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazole (3a).\(^{51}\) Further investigations on the role of the arenes on coordination abilities and catalytic efficacies were thus warranted, and the following contribution details some of our insights.

**Results and discussion**

**Mononuclear complexes**

Building on the observation of 1a forming trigonal-planar mononuclear complexes with the coinage metals,\(^{35}\) we first investigated the analogous reaction of benzene-based 1b with halide-free gold(I) precursor \([\text{Au}(\eta^2-\text{nbe})_3]\text{OTf}\) (nbe = nornbornene). Gold(i), in contrast to copper(i) and silver(i),\(^{52-54}\) tends to be coordinated by arenes in an \(\eta^2\) fashion with impact on asymmetric induction and catalyst stability.\(^{55-60}\) However, more delocalised gold–π(arene) interactions are also encountered and deemed important for catalysis and crystal engineering.\(^{50,61-65}\) Indeed, when a slight excess of tris-phosphan 1b was reacted with \([\text{Au}(\eta^2-\text{nbe})_3]\text{OTf}\) in \(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\), \(\text{H}\) and \[^{31}\text{P}\{\text{H}\}\) NMR spectra of the reaction mixture (Fig. S5†) suggested the formation of a \(\text{C}_3\text{v}\)-symmetric mononuclear complex \([\text{1b(Au)}]\text{OTf}\) with a similar, yet more broadened \[^{31}\text{P}\{\text{H}\}\) NMR signal than \([\text{1a(Au)}]\text{OTf}\) (\(\delta(\text{[1a(Au)]OTf}) = 26.8\) ppm, \(\omega_{1/2} = 1400\) Hz; \(\delta(\text{[1b(Au)]OTf}) = 22.3\) ppm, \(\omega_{1/2} = 2130\) Hz). Successful purification and isolation of \([\text{1b(Au)}]\text{OTf}\) was achieved by concentrating a \(\text{THF}\) extract of the evaporated reaction mixture and storing it at \(-25\) °C overnight. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD) were obtained from a saturated \(\text{THF}\) solution by slow cooling from \(70\) °C to \(25\) °C over two days. The molecular structure (Fig. 1, left) revealed only two of three diphenylphosphanyl groups to
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**Chart 1** Selected examples of mono- and multidentate ligands with two or more ferrocenyl and ferrocenylene groups in their backbone.
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**Chart 2** Previous work on the 1:1 ligand-to-metal coinage metal(i) complexes [1a(M)]OTf (left) and on the 1:3 ligand-to-metal chlorido-gold(i) complexes [1a–c[AuCl]3] (right), showcasing the impact of the arene core on various properties.
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**Fig. 1** Left: Molecular structure of [1b(Au)]OTf, thermal ellipsoids set at 50% probability level. For clarity, P-bound phenyl rings are depicted in wireframe style, and co-crystallised solvent and hydrogen atoms are omitted. Right: Variable-temperature \[^{31}\text{P}\{\text{H}\}\) NMR spectra of \([\text{1b(Au)]OTf}\) in \(\text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2\) (\(-80\) °C to \(25\) °C) and 1,2-\(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{Cl}_2\) (\(40\) °C to \(80\) °C), depicted with arbitrary scaling.
coordinate the gold(i) ion. This starkly contrasts the NMR spectroscopic features which, at 25 °C, suggested $C_{3v}$ symmetry.

Crystallographically characterised examples of poly-phosphane gold(i) complexes with pendant, uncoordinated phosphane groups are comparatively rare. The Au–P bond lengths of [1b(Au)OTf] at 2.3025(8) Å and 2.3017(8) Å (Table S2) and the close-to-linear P–Au–P bond angle of 161.31(4)° are similar to those observed for a closely related 2,6-bis(1-diphenylphosphanyl-1'-ferrocenylene)pyridine gold(i) complex by Siemeling and Štepička, a related 1,1'-bis[phosphanyl]ferrocene-derived dinuclear gold(i) complex reported by Cowie and Emslie, and other [Au(PR$_3$)$_2$] complexes. The triflate anion of [1b(Au)OTf] does not seem to strongly interact with the gold(i) centre, as the closest Au(1)···O(1) distance of 3.813(3) Å is just below the sum of the van der Waals radii.

This $P,P'$ trans-spanning dicoordinate geometry, constructing a large, formally 12-membered macrocycle, is not just a solid-state phenomenon, as we were able to demonstrate by variable-temperature (VT) $^1$H and $^{31}$P [$^1$H] NMR spectroscopy (Fig. 1, right, and Fig. S43 and S44†). Upon heating a 1,2-dichloroethane solution of [1b(Au)OTf] to 80 °C, the very broad $^{31}$P [$^1$H] resonance partly sharpens, while cooling a CD$_2$Cl$_2$ solution from 25 °C down to −80 °C splits the resonance in two signals at 41.8 and −19.5 ppm with an integral ratio of 2 : 1. These chemical shifts are in line with those of a related 2,6-bis(1-diphenylphosphanyl-1'-ferrocenylene)pyridine gold(i) complex by Cowie and Emslie, and other [Au(PR$_3$)$_2$] complexes. The dynamic behaviour mirrors that of a similarly dicoordinating tris-phosphane by Wade and Gabbaï. In contrast to triazine-based [1a(Au)OTf], the low-temperature $^1$H NMR spectra of [1b(Au)OTf] do not give rise to well-defined sharp resonances. They are, however, in accordance with loss of the apparent $C_{3v}$ symmetry observed at and above room temperature which originates from fast coordination/dissociation equilibria.

Since 1,3,5-trifluorobenzene-derived tris-phosphane 1c falls between 1a and 1b regarding the electron density of the arene core, the reaction between 1c and [Au($η^2$-nbe)$_3$]OTf was investigated next. A mononuclear 1 : 1 ligand-to-metal complex [1c(Au)OTf] with similar NMR spectral characteristics (Fig. S7–S10†) to [1a(Au)OTf] and [1b(Au)OTf] was obtained, again suggesting $C_{3v}$ symmetry in solution at 25 °C. Similar to [1b(Au)OTf], [1c(Au)OTf] reveals a quickly equilibrating $P,P'$ trans-spanning coordination geometry in a multinuclear VT NMR experiment (Fig. S45–S47†); the $C_{3}$-symmetric $P,P',P''$ coordination mode is apparently restricted to the $s$-triazine core of [1a(Au)OTf] (vide infra). Attempting purification of the slightly impure product, cooling a THF extract of [1c(Au)OTf] from 70 °C to room temperature over two days yielded a few single crystals suitable for XRD. Surprisingly, a one-dimensional coordination polymer (CP) {[1c]$_2$(Au)$_3$(OTf)$_3$}$_n$ with 2 : 3 ligand-to-metal stoichiometry had formed in the process (Fig. 2). The structure of {[1c]$_2$(Au)$_3$(OTf)$_3$}$_n$ (space group $P1$) is characterised by the $μ_3$-connecting, threefold $κ'$ coordination mode of ligand 1c, i.e., each phosphane moiety binding one of the three gold(i) ions. The three individual gold(i) centres are close-to-linearly coordinated by two diphenylphosphanyl groups each, and the P–Au–P bond angles (170.45(5)°–172.72(5)°, cf. Table S3) are closer to the ideal 180° than those found in [1b(Au)OTf], most likely because the 1,3,5-tris[ferrocenyl]arene-derived ligand structure itself does not impose any steric restrictions anymore. The individual...
The total coordination energy $E_{\text{coord}}$, the energy gain upon combining the (native) tris-phosphane and a gold(1) ion, can be split into two contributions, namely the preparation energy $E_{\text{prep}}$ (required to form the geometry of the coordinated ligand polymeric structure, in contrast to the native tris-phosphane, representing steric contributions from nitrogen- or sulfur-containing ligands$^{80}$, and often constructed around gold(I) with its tendency for simple coordination geometries and formative aulrophic interactions$^{81-83}$.

Last but not least, the 2:3 ligand-to-metal stoichiometry of $\{[1c]_{2}(Au)_{3}(OTf)_{3}\}_{n}$ is also uncommon among CPs, a cadmium(II)-based CP by Tian and co-workers being the only other example$^{84}$.

In light of 1a–c showing three different coordination modes for gold(i), this unexpected behaviour was studied by an energy decomposition analysis using density-functional theory (DFT) level calculations (for computational details, see ESI, section 1.1†). Geometry optimisations and energy calculations for both the closed tricoordinate, $C_{3}$-symmetric coordination mode (observed for $[1a(Au)\text{OTf}]$ and the open dicoordinate, $C_{2v}$-symmetric coordination mode (observed for $[1b(Au)\text{OTf}]$ in the solid state and in solution, and for $[1c(Au)\text{OTf}]$ in solution) were conducted for gold(i) complexes of the three ligands 1a–c, excluding the trflate counterion in all cases (Fig. S54–S62†).

The total coordination energy $E_{\text{coord}}$, the energy gain upon combining the (native) tris-phosphane and a gold(i) ion, can be split into two contributions, namely the preparation energy $E_{\text{prep}}$ (required to form the geometry of the coordinated ligand from the native tris-phosphane, representing steric contributions) and the interaction energy $E_{\text{inter}}$ (gained from the interaction between the isolated gold(i) ion and the pre-formed ligand, representing electronic contributions). Comparing the obtained values for all six complexes (Fig. 3, Tables 1 and S4†), the decisive role of the preparation energy becomes clear. Obviously, steric repulsion between the constituting arene fragments E (N, C–H, C–F) and the ortho hydrogen atoms of the C-bound cyclopentadienyl rings governs the coordination geometry. The close-to co-planar arrangement of the three cyclopentadienyl rings and the arenne core, a feature of the $C_{3}$-symmetric closed geometry, is therefore less feasible, and open structures become more attractive. Correspondingly, the fluorine atoms, largest in this series, lead to the highest arenne-cyclopentadienyl torsion $\varphi$ both in the calculated and in the experimentally determined structures of 1c (Table 1). In terms of interaction energies $E_{\text{inter}}$, the closed form is more favourable in all cases, likely owing to a higher coordination number (3 vs. 2) and attractive gold–π(arene) interactions increasing in strength from benzene over 1,3,5-trifluorobenzene to s-triazine. These findings might explain the formation of coordination polymer $\{[1c]_{2}(Au)_{3}(OTf)\}_{n}$ from $[1c(Au)\text{OTf}]$. As the experimentally determined $\varphi$ values are highest in the series of the three solid-state structures (Table 1), the $\mu_{1}\chi^{1}P\chi^{1}P\chi^{1}P$ coordination mode of 1c apparently accommodates the clash.
Intrigued by the possibility of modifying the coordination properties of tris(ferrocenylene)arene-based tris-phosphanes via the substitution pattern of the arene, we next introduced fluorine in the diphenylphosphanyl groups of 1a in form of the bis(pentafluorophenyl)phosphanyl moiety \( \text{P(PhF)}_2 \) (Chart 3). With regard to (redox-switchable) gold(I) catalysis, the \( \text{P(PhF)}_2 \) moiety is of considerable interest due to its very low \( \sigma \)-donor capability.\(^{85,86}\) The resulting electron-poor gold(i) centres show great potential in gold(i)-catalysed multiple bond activation\(^{87-91}\) and could also be of interest in gold(i)-catalysed oxidative addition reactions.\(^{92}\) Besides catalyst design, the \( \text{P(PhF)}_2 \) moiety has also found applications in the preparation of stimuli-responsive fluorescent metal complexes\(^{93}\) and organic materials with intriguing optoelectronic properties.\(^{94,95}\)

To date, ferrocene-based derivatives are rare, and only the 1,1′-diphenylphosphanylferrocene (dpff) analogue VII\(^{96-98}\) and a FePHOX derivative VIII (Chart 3)\(^{99}\) have been prepared and used for complexation of palladium(ii). Tris-phosphane 1a\(^{F}\) can be prepared from 2,4,6-tris(1-bromo-1'-ferrocenylen)-1,3,5-triazine 4a, albeit in a low yield of only 15%, still highlighting the modular preparation of tris-phosphanes 1. The NMR chemical shifts of 1a\(^{F}\), an air- and moisture-stable, deep red microcrystalline solid, in \({}^{19}\text{F}\{^1\text{H}\}\) and \({}^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}\) NMR spectroscopy match those of VII very well.\(^{96,100}\)

Reacting 1a\(^{F}\) with [Au(\(\eta^2\)-nbe)]OTf in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) resulted in a less clean conversion than anticipated, and only after several recrystallisation steps could a few crystals suitable for XRD be obtained. [1a\(^{F}\)(Au)]OTf is the first crystallographically characterised example of a ferrocene-derived ligand featuring any P(Ph\(^F\)) group and, among the few reported gold(i) complexes of this donor, the only example of a bidentate phosphate.\(^{101-103}\)

The solid-state structure of [1a\(^{F}\)(Au)]OTf (Fig. 4, top) differs only slightly from that of [1b(Au)]OTf. Both complexes share the \( C_3\)-symmetric, \( P,P' \)-dicoordinate geometry with a pendant phosphate group. Apparently, the steric strain imposed by the H-to-F substitution on the \( P \)-bound phenyl rings outweighs the energy gain by the attractive gold(i)-\( \pi(C_{3N_3}) \) interaction.\(^{85}\) Even though a DFT-level geometry optimisation of the corresponding \( C_3\)-symmetric tricoordinate gold(i) complex cation [1a\(^{F}\)(Au)]\(^{+}\)\(_{\text{closed}}\) initially suggested the synthetic accessibility of this coordination mode, the open form [1a\(^{F}\)(Au)]\(^{+}\)\(_{\text{open}}\) was found to be energetically far more favourable by 207 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) (section 4 of the ESI†). While [1a\(^{F}\)(Au)]OTf shows marginally shorter Au–P bond lengths and a slightly increased P(1)–Au–P(2) bond angle (Table 2), the most notable difference
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**Chart 3** Previously reported ferrocene-based bis(pentafluorophenyl)-phosphanes VII and VIII, and bis(pentafluorophenyl)phosphane derivative 1a\(^{F}\).
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**Fig. 4** Molecular structures of [1a\(^{F}\)(Au)]OTf (top) and [1a\(^{F}\)(Ag)]OTf (bottom), thermal ellipsoids set at 30% probability level. For clarity, pentfluorophenyl rings of the coordinating phosphanes are depicted in wireframe style, and co-crystallised solvent and hydrogen atoms are omitted.
to \([1b(Au)]OTf\) lies in the much shorter \(\text{Au}−\text{O}(\text{triflate})\) distance of only 3.08(1) Å, well below the sum of the van der Waals radii (3.82 Å), yet significantly above the sum of the covalent radii (2.02 Å). In a multinuclear VT NMR spectroscopy experiment of \([1a^f(Au)]OTf\) in CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (Fig. 5, left, and Fig. S51–S53†), no significant broadening of the triflate \(^{19}\text{F}\)\(^{\text{[1H]}}\) resonance (*) is observed lowering the temperature from 25 °C to −60 °C, speaking against a strong interaction in solution. In contrast to \([1b(Au)]OTf\) and \([1c(Au)]OTf\), the dicoordinate bonding mode is apparent from signals for the pendant phosphane group (#) already at 25 °C in both the \(^{19}\text{F}\)\(^{\text{[1H]}}\) and the \(^{31}\text{P}\)\(^{\text{[1H]}}\) NMR spectra (Fig. 5, left) and does not seem to be fluctional. The \(^{19}\text{F}\)\(^{\text{[1H]}}\) NMR signals attributable to the coordinated P(Ph\(_F^3\))\(_2\) groups of \([1a^f(Au)]OTf\), very broad and hardly discriminable from the baseline at 25 °C, sharpen significantly and partly decoalesce (dashed lines) upon cooling. This points to free (A) vs. partly hindered rotation (B) about the P−C(Ph\(_F^3\)) bonds of the two P-bound pentafluorophenyl groups involved in coordination (Fig. 5, top left). The assignment of the resonances to either ring has been established through a \(^{19}\text{F},^{19}\text{F}\) COSY NMR experiment, but the relative orientation of the Ph\(_F^3\) rings is not clear. Warming the same solution to 45 °C does not result in apparent \(C_{3v}\) but in a clearer \(C_s\) molecular symmetry for both nuclei.

The loss of symmetry is also apparent from the corresponding \(^{1}H\) NMR spectra. At 25 °C, the \(^{1}H\) NMR spectrum of \([1a^f(Au)]OTf\) is difficult to assign due to significant broadening of the signals. At −60 °C, 11 distinct signals with matching integrals for the 12 protons of a \(C_s\)-symmetric species can be identified (the signal at 4.45 ppm contributing with a relative integral of 2). The mononuclear composition in solution is also confirmed by HR-ESI mass spectrometry.

### Table 2

| Bond or Distance | \([1b(Au)]OTf\) | \([1a^f(Au)]OTf\) | \([1a^f(Ag)]OTf\) |
|------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
| M(1)−P(1)/M(1)−P(2)\(^a\) [Å] | 2.3025(8)/2.3017(8) | 2.300(4)/2.286(4) | 2.429(3)/2.417(3) |
| M(1)−O(1)\(^a\) [Å] | 3.813(3) | 3.08(1) | 2.57(1) |
| ([P(1)−M(1)−P(2)]\(^a\)) [°] | 161.31(4) | 165.4(2) | 156.7(1) |

\(^a\) M(1) = Au for \([1b(Au)]OTf\) and \([1a^f(Au)]OTf\), M(1) = Ag for \([1a^f(Ag)]OTf\).
Since we were unable to obtain satisfactorily pure samples and sufficient amounts of \([1a^3]\text{Au}^+\text{OTf}\) for further analyses or applications, we turned our attention to the reaction of \(1a^3\) with silver triflate. In the corresponding complex of \(1a\), the silver(i)-π(C,N) interaction had been found stronger than for the gold(i) analogue.\(^35\) While the formation and isolation of \([1a^3]\text{Ag}^+\text{OTf}\) proved much more facile, the solid-state molecular structure (Fig. 4, bottom) is analogous to that of the gold(i) congener. As previously noted for isostructural pairs of silver(i) and gold(i) complexes, the M-P bonds (Table 2) are longer for the silver(i) complex.\(^35,51,105\) The P(1)-Ag(1)-P(2) bond angle deviates more strongly from the ideal 180°, paralleled by a shorter Ag(1)⋯N(1) distance of 3.89(1) Å ([1a^3]\text{Ag}^+\text{OTf} : 4.14(1) Å). Moreover, a significantly closer contact between the triflate anion and the silver(i) cation \((d(\text{Ag}(1)⋯\text{O}(1)) = 2.57(1) Å)\) can be discerned. While this distance is still well above the sum of the covalent radii (2.11 Å),\(^104\) its deviation from the sum of the van der Waals radii (4.03 Å) is far greater than in \([1a^3]\text{Au}^+\text{OTf}\).

\([1a^3]\text{Ag}^+\text{OTf}\) is, to the best of our knowledge, the first silver complex of a parafluorophenylphosphane (one example of a corresponding phosphinido complex, formed by \(C_6F_5\) group transfer from \(\text{AgC}_6F_5\) to a \(\text{PCl}_3\) moiety, has been reported by Schulz and co-workers).\(^106\) Despite their similar structures, the silver(i) complex deviates from the gold(i) analogue in its (VT) NMR-spectroscopic features (Fig. 5, right, and Fig. S48–S50†).

Above 5 °C, \([1a^3]\text{Ag}^+\text{OTf}\) appears \(C_{2v}\)-symmetric in \(CD_2Cl_2\) solution in the corresponding \(\text{H}^1\) and \(31\text{P}^1\text{H}\) NMR spectra (Fig. 5, top right, and Fig. S48, S49†); coalescence in the \(19\text{F}^1\text{H}\) NMR spectra occurs only at higher temperatures. Upon cooling, the \(31\text{P}^1\text{H}\) resonance decoalesces into a 2 : 1 pattern indicative of the \(P\text{P}^\text{P}\)-dicoordinate bonding mode, and the signal for the silver-bound phosphate further splits into a doublet due to unresolved \(J\) coupling with both \(\text{Ag}^{107/109}\) isotopes. The close silver-triflate contact of the molecular structure is also observed in the \(19\text{F}^1\text{H}\) NMR spectra below −30 °C due to a strong splitting of the triflate-\(\text{CF}_3\) resonance (+), clearly setting \([1a^3]\text{Ag}^+\text{OTf}\) apart from \([1a^3]\text{Au}^+\text{OTf}\). Furthermore, the low-temperature \(\text{H}^1\) and \(19\text{F}^1\text{H}\) NMR spectra of \([1a^3]\text{Ag}^+\text{OTf}\) are more complex, and no unambiguous assignments could be made.

**Trinuclear complexes**

Incited by the adventitious formation of \([[(1c)\text{Au}]_3\text{OTf}]_n\) and the presence of pendant phosphane groups in the mononuclear complexes of \(1b\) and \(1c\) in contrast to those of \(1a\), \(1a-c\) were reacted with \([\text{Au}(n^2\text{nbe})]\text{OTf}\) in a 2:3 ligand-to-metal ratio similar to that found for \(\text{CP} \left[[(1c)\text{Au}]_3\text{OTf}]_n\right)\). Indeed, both \(1a\) and \(1b\) reacted to form well-defined trinuclear complexes \([[(1a)\text{Au}]_3\text{OTf}]_3\) and \([[(1b)\text{Au}]_3\text{OTf}]_3\), which could be crystallised from \(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\) and toluene and fully characterised. In contrast, despite testing variations of stoichiometry as well as different purification and crystallisation protocols, discrete trinuclear gold(i) complexes of \(1c\) could not be obtained and only ill-defined, potentially oligomeric products with varying \(31\text{P}^1\text{H}\) NMR signals around 40 ppm were formed.

The molecular structures of \([[(1a)\text{Au}]_3\text{OTf}]_3\) and \([[(1b)\text{Au}]_3\text{OTf}]_3\) (Fig. 6 top and centre) can be understood as two mononuclear, \(P\text{P}^\text{P}\)-dicoordinate complexes linked by a third gold(i) ion coordinated by the remaining phosphate. Thus, even though the ligand-to-metal stoichiometry of 2:3 is formally identical to that of \([[(1c)\text{Au}]_3\text{OTf}]_n\), the discrete trinuclear complexes contain \(1a\) and \(1b\) in a different, \(\mu_2\text{N}^1\text{P}^\text{P}^\text{P}^\text{P}\_\text{P}\) coordination mode. In general, trinuclear gold(i) complexes formed from two tris-phosphanes (or other \(P\)-donors) are relatively scarce in the literature and mostly contain linear \(\text{Au}_3\) chains.\(^107–111\) The particular coordination mode observed here has not yet been reported for solid-state structures deposited in the Cambridge Structural Database.\(^112\) As a result of the packing, which does not involve aurophilic interactions or close contacts between the individual tricatonic molecules, small and large channels are formed along the crystallographic \(a\) axis (Fig. 6, bottom). The smaller channels (approx. diameter of 5.3 Å) are occupied by the severely disordered triflate anions. Only a single one in the structure...
of \([\text{1a}]_2\text{(Au)}_3\text{][OTf]}_3\) could be modelled, showing a weak Au(1)–O(1) contact (3.46(2) Å). The presence of three triflate anions per trication is, however, confirmed by \(^{19}\text{F}\{^1\text{H}\} \text{NMR}\) spectroscopy and CHN analysis. Additionally, the larger channels (approx. 6.8 Å × 9.9 Å) are filled with heavily disordered solvent molecules. In line with the solvent stabilising the porous structure, drying the crystalline materials obtained from CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)/toluene under reduced pressure leads to a visible and sudden loss of crystallinity, leaving fine powders after drying.

The discrete trinuclear complexes can be understood as a blend of mononuclear \([\text{1b}]\text{(Au)}\text{][OTf]}\) and CP \([[\text{1c}]_2\text{(Au)}_3\text{][OTf]}_3\n\), particularly regarding the P–Au–P bond angles which, for the central gold(i) ion, are closer to the ideal 180° than for the outer gold(i) ions (Tables 3 and S3†). \([\text{1a}]_2\text{(Au)}_3\text{][OTf]}_3\) and \([[\text{1b}]_2\text{(Au)}_3\text{][OTf]}_3\) maintain their structure in CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) solution as evident from HR-ESI mass spectrometry through signals for the tricationic species, and from \(^1\text{H}\) and \(^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\} \text{NMR}\) spectroscopy (Fig. S15ff†). The two distinct \(^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}\) resonances also demonstrate the influence of the P–Au–P bond angle on the exact chemical shift – more acute angles result in a slight deshielding.

These results corroborate the decisive role of the arene core constituent E and, as demonstrated for \(1\text{a}^\text{f}\), the role of the \(\text{P}-\text{bound}\) substituents in determining the coordination mode of the tris-phosphanes towards gold(i) as schematically summarised in Fig. 7.

### Electrochemistry and redox-switchable catalysis

As trinuclear chlorido-gold(i) complexes of \(1\text{a}–\text{c}\) have shown high potential for multi-state redox-switchable catalysis due to their stepwise, well-separated, and reversible trifold oxidation,\(^{16}\) the electrochemical and, following, catalytic characterisation of the previously described gold(i) complexes were also of interest. The electrochemistry of tris-phosphanes \(1\text{a}–\text{c}\) and \([\text{1a}]\text{(Au)}\text{][OTf]}\) has already been studied,\(^{5,36}\) and \([\text{1a}]\text{(Au)}\text{][OTf]}\) in particular has shown unexpected behaviour involving chemical follow-up reactions induced by electrochemical oxidation (EC mechanism). Because the isolation of sufficient amounts of pure \([\text{1c}]\text{(Au)}\text{][OTf]}\) had not been successful and since the CP \([[\text{1c}]_2\text{(Au)}_3\text{][OTf]}_3\n\) is likely ill-defined in solution, we first focused on well-defined \([\text{1b}]\text{(Au)}\text{][OTf]}\), \([[\text{1b}]_2\text{(Au)}_3\text{][OTf]}_3\), and \([[\text{1a}]_2\text{(Au)}_3\text{][OTf]}_3\) in BF\(_4\)\(^{-}\)- and tetrakis[3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]borate-based (BARF\(_4\)\(^{-}\)) supporting electrolytes (SE). The corresponding cyclic voltammograms are shown in Fig. 8.

Quite unexpectedly, given the pendant diphenylphosphane group of \([\text{1b}]\text{(Au)}\text{][OTf]}\) and the well-documented involvement of

![Fig. 7 Schematic depiction of the different coordination modes observed for tris-phosphanes 1a–c and 1a\(^{f}\) depending on the arene core constituent E and on the phosphanyl moiety PR\(_2\). For clarity, charges and triflate anions have been omitted from the depicted structures. The dashed arrow represents the indirect access to the coordination polymer from 1c.](image)

![Fig. 8 Cyclic voltammograms of 1 mmol L\(^{-1}\) gold(i) complexes \([\text{1b}]\text{(Au)}\text{][OTf]}\) (orange), \([[\text{1b}]_2\text{(Au)}_3\text{][OTf]}_3\) (red), and \([[\text{1a}]_2\text{(Au)}_3\text{][OTf]}_3\) (purple) in 0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\) supporting electrolyte solutions (nBu\(_4\)N)BF\(_4\) (left) and (nBu\(_4\)N)BARF\(_4\) (right) in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)). Traces have been recorded at 100 mV s\(^{-1}\) (working electrode: glassy carbon, counter electrode: Pt wire) at room temperature. The first oxidations (*) in the BARF\(_4\)\(^{-}\)-based SE are irreversible when addressed separately. The second of three measured cycles are shown.](image)
free phosphorus-centred lone pairs of electrons in ferrocene oxidation, the oxidation of \([1b(Au)]OTf\) (orange trace) in the BF₄-based SE (Fig. 8, left) was found quasireversible (cf. section 5.1 of the ESI†). Assuming that the fluctuation coordination behaviour observed in the VT NMR experiments renders all three ferrocenylene moieties equivalent on the cyclic voltammetry timescale and hence not bearing lone pairs of electrons available for follow-up chemistry, all three ferrocenylene groups are most likely oxidised at the same potential. Similar behaviour has been reported for 1,3,5-tris(ferrocenyl)benzene and its 1,1'-substituted derivatives, yet not for free 1b itself. Further support comes from hexa-ferrocene derivatives \([(1b)_2(Au)_3](OTf)_3\) (red trace) and \([(1a)_2(Au)_3](OTf)_3\) (purple trace) which also yield one quasireversible redox event under the same conditions, as all phosphanes are permanently involved in gold(i) coordination. Twice-as-high currents \(i_{\text{a/c}}\) suggest the simultaneous oxidation of all six ferrocenylene groups. The decisive role of the high mobility and of the potential to form tight ion pairs of the BF₄⁻ anions becomes apparent when the SE is changed to the much more weakly coordinating BArF₄⁻ ions (Fig. 8, right). The oxidations of all three complexes follow the same pattern of a low-current, irreversible first oxidation (†) followed by a much stronger second, also not fully reversible, oxidation event (for corresponding potentials, cf. Table 4). On reversing the scan direction, two close-spaced reductions take place, less reversible for the triazine than for the benzene core. In general and in line with our expectations, the less-electron-rich triazine leads to appreciably higher oxidation/reduction potentials for its gold(i) complex (with the notable exception for the weak first oxidation (†)), while the similar coordination modes of all complexes result in overall very similar electrochemical characteristics.

The electrochemistry of 1aF and the two mononuclear complexes \([1a(Ag)]OTf\) (Fig. 9, Fig. S66 and S67†) and \([1a'(Au)]OTf\) (Fig. S68† measured at a lower concentration due to a limited amount of available material; all potentials in Table S6†) is, in contrast, very different from that of their non-fluorinated counterparts. Free 1aF (light green) can be reversibly oxidised in three well-separated steps in the BArF₄-based SE (1a-c only feature a first reversible oxidation and need to be protected by BH₃ groups to see the same pattern), in line with reports for dpff derivative VII (Chart 3) by the Gusev group. Gusev and co-workers speculated that this reversibility originated from steric protection of potential P-centred radicals from dimerisation. While the steric demand of fluorine is indeed greater than that of hydrogen, we believe the lowered energy of the lone pair of electrons at the P atoms to be a more likely explanation. Accordingly, the Mulliken spin densities of monocations \([1a]^+\) and \([1a']^+\) calculated at the DFT level are distributed differently, with \([1a']^+\) showing a four times greater spin density (0.012) summed up over all three P atoms than \([1a]^+\) (0.003). In the BF₄-based SE, the oxidations are not reversible anymore, and much like for 1a, the first oxidation induced a delayed reduction.

In the BArF₄-based SE, neither the two complexes nor free 1aF are reversibly oxidisable, and all compounds show oxidation-induced delayed reductions (and re-oxidations; Fig. 8, †). This

Table 4 Redox potentials \(E^0\), oxidation \(E^{ox}\), and reduction \(E^{red}\) peak potentials of the gold(i) complexes in two different supporting electrolytes determined by cyclic voltammetry

|                    | \((nBu)_3N\)BF₄ | \((nBu)_3N\)BArF₄ |
|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| \([1b(Au)]OTf\)    | 371 (204)       | 335             |
| \([1b(Au)]OTf\)    | 371 (204)       | 335             |
| \([1a(Au)][OTf]_2\)| 392 (162)       | 400             |
| \([1a](Au)[OTf]_2\)| 527 (141)       | 509             |

*All potentials reported vs. the FeCl₃/FeCl₅⁺ couple at a glassy carbon working electrode (scan rate 100 mV s⁻¹) in anhydrous supporting electrolytes, measured under a blanket of nitrogen. Splitting between the anodic (oxidation) and cathodic (reduction) peaks. Shoulder in cyclic voltammograms of \([1b(Au)]OTf\) and \([(1b)_2(Au)]_3[OTf]_3\).
behaviour has previously been observed for 1a and its coinage metal complexes as well. A higher degree of reversibility is observed in the BarF₄-based SE; yet, similar to [1b(Au)]OTf, the oxidations are not completely reversible and, in the case of [1a'](Ag)OTf, delayed reductions are observed again. Given their complex redox chemistry and unsatisfactory yields and purity, [1a'](Ag)OTf and [1a'](Au)OTf were not considered for the following redox-switchable catalysis (RSC) investigations.

As a suitable model reaction for testing the previously presented mono- and trinuclear complexes in RSC, the ring-closing isomerisation of propargylic amide 2 to oxazoline 3a (Scheme 1) was studied by time-resolved ¹H NMR spectroscopy vs. an internal standard (1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene) in CD₂Cl₂. While trinuclear chloridogold(i) complexes of 1a–c exclusively yielded 3a with an exocyclic methylene group, other redox-switchable gold(i/iii) catalysts are known to also catalyse the aromatisation of 3a to oxazole 3b in a subsequent step.

[1a(Au)]OTf – which had not yet been tested in catalysis – and [1b(Au)]OTf, at a concentration of 3 mol% gold(i) with respect to 2, were found to be catalytically inactive (Fig. 10, phase i). Most likely, steric hindrance arising from the κ<P,P,P'> coordination mode in [1a(Au)]OTf prevents the substrate from approaching the cationic gold(i) centre which otherwise would be expected to show some, albeit low, activity. Given the fluctional coordination behaviour of [1a(Au)]OTf and the resulting apparent C₃v-symmetric tricoordinate geometry on the NMR time scale, the substrate might be unable to approach the gold(i) ion on the catalytic time scale, too. Attempting to rationalise this assumption, the buried volume %Vₐ₈ of about 67% (cf. ESI, section 7.2f). Due to the fast coordination-dissociation equilibrium of [1b(Au)]OTf, the effective buried volume in solution is likely closer to the 90% of [1a(Au)]OTf.

In line with the room-temperature oxidation of [1a(Au)]OTf following a two-electron-process, 2 eq. of oxidant 5a (Scheme 1), containing the very weakly coordinating teflate anion (tetrais(ert-butoxy)aluminate, TEF), were added to the respective reaction mixtures. While the conversion of 2 to 3a (Fig. 10, squares) was indeed initiated upon oxidation (phase ii), the resulting catalytic activities as expressed by the turn-over-frequencies (TOF) were very low ([1a(Au)]OTf: 0.79 ± 0.02 h⁻¹; [1b(Au)]OTf: 0.18 ± 0.01 h⁻¹, cf. Table S7 and Fig. S75, S76†). Next to unusual reaction profiles – a steep initial increase followed by a flattening for [1b(Au)]OTf and an inverse-sigmoidal progression for [1a(Au)]OTf – both catalytic runs also produced detectable amounts of aromatic follow-up product 3b (Fig. 10, spheres).

The fate of [1a(Au)]OTf upon oxidation had already been studied in some detail and was revealed to involve electron transfer from P to Feinium after an initial iron(i)-centred oxidation, leading to the formation of a phosphine oxide species due to adventitious traces of water. Oxidation-induced reactivity, potentially involving substrate 2 and generating new, catalytically active species, is thus quite likely in this case, too.

The stepwise oxidation of [1b(Au)]OTf with 5a in CD₂Cl₂ was followed by a multinuclear NMR experiment, including the attempted reduction with decamethylferrocene (6) (Fig. S92–S94f). The appearance of several ³¹P{¹H} signals centred at about 43 ppm with small but noticeable changes between the addition of one and two equivalents of 5a indicates the generation of P,P'-dicoordinate species. Regeneration
of [1b(Au)]OTf by addition of 6 was not observed in neither the 1H nor the 31P(1H) NMR spectra, even though crystalline [6](TEF) was isolated from the reaction mixture after work-up. Furthermore, the 19F(1H) NMR signal of the triflate anion was presumed ferrocenium species should regenerate the initial low activity. Thus, 2.2 equivalents of 6 were added to the reaction mixtures (phase vi). In both cases, the TOF moderately decreased ([([1a]2(Au)3)(OTf)3 + 2 5a + 2.2 6: 0.67×, ([1b]2(Au)3)(OTf)3 + 2 5a + 2.2 6: 0.55×), yet without fully returning to the initial low activities.134 When 2.2 equivalents of 5a were added (phase vi), the activity of re-oxidised [([1b]2(Au)3)(OTf)3 slightly surpassed the pre-reduction value by a factor of 1.1, while re-oxidised [([1b]2(Au)3)(OTf)3 showed a 3-fold increase of TOF with respect to phase iv.

To gain more insight into the redox-switching behaviour, SEC measurements of [([1a]2(Au)3)(OTf)3 and [([1b]2(Au)3)(OTf)3, in the BAR4-based SE were conducted (Fig. 12 and S71, S72†). The BAR4 anion being a suitable substitute for the teflonate anion concerning its low ion-pairing properties and inertness.132 In some contrast to the results from the CV (vide supra), the oxidation of [([1b]2(Au)3)(OTf)3 (Fig. 12, left) was found to be fully reversible under these conditions, even though the reduction potential had to be applied for an extended time. The UV/Vis signature relates to an iron-centred oxidation,135–137 and the broad band centred at about 820 nm likely relates to a ligand-to-metal charge transfer between the cyclopentadienyl rings and iron(II).37 When [([1a]2(Au)3)(OTf)3 is oxidised at potentials greater than 1 V (vs. FeH/FeH+), the intensely purple species formed cannot

![Fig. 11](image-url)  
**Fig. 11** Top: Reaction profiles for ring-closing isomerisation of 2 to 3a by [([1a]2(Au)3)(OTf)3 (squares) and [([1b]2(Au)3)(OTf)3 (circles) in native (hollow) and oxidised/reduced (solid) state (3 mol% Au, [2] = 60 mmol L−1, CD2Cl2, 25 °C). Arrows indicate the addition of additives for the redox-switched traces. Bottom: Comparison of turn-over frequencies (TOF) determined from linear fits (for corresponding 1H NMR spectra and TOF regression plots, s. Fig. S74 and S77–80†) referring to reaction phases iii–vi.

![Fig. 12](image-url)  
**Fig. 12** Plots obtained from UV/Vis spectroelectrochemical measurements of [([1a]2(Au)3)(OTf)3 (right) and [([1b]2(Au)3)(OTf)3 (left) in 0.1 mol L−1 (nBu4N)BArF4 in CH2Cl2 at 25 °C. Arrows highlight the position and direction of evolving/disappearing peaks.
be reduced anymore, while oxidation below 1 V leads to a slightly different UV/Vis trace and allows for a reduction, however requiring a cathodic potential of −1 V. In both cases, the UV/Vis spectrum obtained after oxidation strongly resembles that obtained from oxidising [1a(Au)3]OTf in the same SE, a process which was found to generate very reactive species from intramolecular electron transfer after an initial iron-centred oxidation. Following the oxidation of [(1a)2(Au)3]OTf with two equivalents of 5a by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy (Fig. S95†), good yet delayed reducibility by 2.2 equivalents of 6 was observed. Using BF₄⁻-based oxidant 5b in the same stoichiometric ratio (Fig. S96†), a different spectral fingerprint was observed, and addition of 6 did not regenerate the initial spectral features. Treating [(1b)2(Au)3]OTf with two equivalents of 5b (Fig. S97†), full reversibility upon addition of 6 was found. Studying 5b as a substitute for 5a – anions are known to play important roles in gold(i) catalysis –, was, however, complicated by its poor solubility in CH₂Cl₂/CD₂Cl₂, as exact dosing of the required small amounts was found to be impossible.

Owing to its more attractive redox features, especially as observed in SEC, a series of catalytic runs in which [(1b)2(Au)3]OTf was oxidised by one to six equivalents of 5a was conducted (Fig. 13). When left oxidised, the doubly oxidised species (light green hollow circles) performs with a TOF of 5.4 ± 0.1 h⁻¹ (32.6–41.0 h), similar to the TOF of 4.5 ± 0.1 h⁻¹ observed after re-oxidation in the previous experiment (Fig. 11). Using only one equivalent of 5a, an approximately halved TOF for the same conversion interval (30–75%) of 2.4 ± 0.1 h⁻¹ results. When three or more equivalents of 5a are added to [(1b)2(Au)3]OTf, the increment in TOF is by far greater than expected from the addition of one and two equivalents. When the reaction profiles obtained from adding four and six equivalents of 5a to [(1b)2(Au)3]OTf are followed more closely by using smaller time intervals for the measurements (Fig. 13, top right), close-to-identical TOF for both cases result (shaded bars in Fig. 13, bottom). Relating these findings to the steric characterisation of the gold(i) centres by their respective buried volume (vide supra), it thus seems possible that the first two oxidations might be localised at the central ferrocenylene moieties (Fe(1) and Fe(4)), in turn activating the reactive species from intramolecular electron transfer after an initial oxidation. The observations strongly resemble that obtained from oxidising [(1a)2(Au)3]OTf in the same SE, a process which was found to generate very reactive species from intramolecular electron transfer after an initial iron-centred oxidation.

Conclusions

C₃-Symmetric tris-phosphanes 1a–c and a perfluorophenyl derivative of 1a, 1a', have been studied with respect to their coordination chemistry towards gold(i). Owing to steric strain arising from the clashing ortho substituents of the arene and
the cyclopentadienyl rings, the tricoordinate bonding mode is reserved for the triazine derivative [1a(Au)OTf], while 1 : 1 complexes of 1b and 1c prefer a fluctional dicordinate bonding mode. Steric strain can further be mitigated by adopting a $μ₁κ₂Pκ₁P'C'P$ coordination mode, observed for 1c forming a one-dimensional coordination polymer with gold(i) in a 2 : 3 ligand-to-metal ratio. This very ratio can also be used to form discrete 2 : 3 complexes of 1a and 1b which, in contrast to the 1 : 1 complexes, show promising properties in the redox-switchable ring-closing isomerisation of propargylic amide 2 including multi-state activity behaviour.
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