Meta-analysis of HLA-G 14bp insertion/deletion polymorphism and soluble HLA-G revealed an association with digestive cancers initiation and prognosis
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ABSTRACT

Background/Objective: Conflicting results on the association between HLA-G and digestive cancers were reported. We conducted a meta-analysis to further investigate the true relationship between HLA-G and digestive cancers (DC).

Methods: Following PRISMA guidelines, we performed a meta-analysis including 7 case-control studies on HLA-G 14-bp Insertion/deletion (I/D) polymorphism, and 15 studies on soluble HLA-G (sHLA-G). Odds ratios (OR) and their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) for genetic polymorphisms were calculated. The pooled OR was calculated under three genetic models: allelic, recessive, and dominant models. Concerning sHLA-G meta-analysis, standardized mean differences (SMDs) were calculated.

Results: The HLA-G 14-bp I/D was not associated with the risk of DC. However, in the subset of HBV/HCV positive hepatocellular cancer (HCC) patients, we reported a significant association of HLA-G 14-bp I/D with the disease initiation under allelic (D vs. I; OR = 1.698, 95% CI = 1.263–2.282, p = 0.000), dominant (DD + ID vs. II; OR = 2.321, 95% CI = 1.277–4.218, p = 0.006) and recessive (DD vs. DI + II; OR = 1.739, 95% CI = 1.173–2.577, p = 0.006) genetic models. Interestingly, HLA-G 14-bp I/D was not associated with the disease initiation in HBV/HCV negative HCC patients. However, the infection by HBV/HCV seems to be implicated in the HCC development when we compared HBV/HCV positive patients to HBV/HCV negative patients under allelic (D vs. I; OR = 1.429, 95% CI = 1.029–1.983, p = 0.033, and dominant (DD + ID vs. II; OR = 1.981, 95% CI = 1.002–3.916, p = 0.049) genetic models.

Overall analysis of DC showed significant increased sHLA-G in patients compared to healthy controls (SMD = 3.341, 95% CI = 2.415–4.267, p = 0.000). In Asian patients with gastric cancer, sHLA-G was significantly increased in grade 3 compared to low grades (SMD = 0.448, 95% CI = 0.109–0.787, p = 0.000). Further analysis showed that sHLA-G was significantly increased in positive DC vascular invasion (SMD = 0.743, 95% CI = 0.385–1.100, p = 0.000). Accordingly, sHLA-G was associated with a poor prognosis for DC.

Conclusion: The current meta-analysis supports the significant role of HLA-G in DC. The HLA-G 14-bp I/D polymorphism was associated with HCC patients with concomitant HBV/HCV viral infections. Increased sHLA-G indicated a poor prognosis for DC cancer patients.

1. Introduction

Digestive cancers (DC), composed of oesophageal, colorectal, pancreatic, stomach, and liver cancers are common malignancies and account for one-quarter of the global cancer incidence [1]. Fortunately, recent years have seen considerable improvements in DC diagnosis and treatment, including relief of symptoms and prolonged survival [2]. However, the efficacy of surgery and chemotherapy remains unsatisfactory, particularly if tumours aren’t detected and removed at an early stage. Therefore, novel biomarkers to improve cancer diagnosis and prognosis are crucial for reducing cancer burden and mortality. The expression of HLA-G, an immune tolerant and tumour promoting factor,
has been extensively investigated, and its role as a novel immune checkpoint has been established \[3, 4\]. HLA-G has been described as a potent immune suppressive mediator observed in various malignancies and is strongly associated with tumour immune escape and metastasis \[5\]. Yie et al. reported that HLA-G protein was expressed in a majority of the primary site of gastric carcinomas and significantly correlated with tumour location, histological grade, depth of invasion, histological grade, host immune response, lymph nodal metastasis, and clinical stages of the disease \[6\]. A high frequency of tumour cell HLA-G expression and/or increased sHLA-G has been found in various body fluids in a variety of cancers \[7\]. Similarly to membrane HLA-G, peripheral sHLA-G was associated with advanced disease stage, tumour metastasis and/or poor prognosis \[8, 9\]. Both membrane-bound and sHLA-G have immune suppressive function \[10\]. The abnormal expression of HLA-G might be caused by genetic polymorphisms in HLA-G gene, as previously announced. Particularly, the HLA-G 14-bp insertion/deletion has been widely investigated in the context of cancer susceptibility and progression \[11, 12, 13\].

**Figure 1.** Flow diagram representing the selection process concerning HLA-G 14bp I/D polymorphism studies in digestive cancer.

**Figure 2.** Flow diagram representing the selection process concerning sHLA-G dosage studies in digestive cancer.
We aimed through the current meta-analysis to assess the significance of HLA-G in DC by studying the association of HLA-G 14-bp and sHLA-G with DC susceptibility and progression.

2. Methods

2.1. Literature search and inclusion/exclusion criteria

MEDLINE, EMBASE, Web of Science, and Cochrane databases (up to May, 2021) were searched using the terms “HLA-G” “polymorphism”, “sHLA-G,” and “digestive cancer”. The review process followed PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines [14]. Eligible studies included: (1) published cases/controls cohort studies evaluating the association of the HLA-G polymorphism with DC; (2) Availability of mean or median and standard deviation (SD) or range data of sHLA-G levels in patients and controls. Studies were excluded if they were reviews or case reports or if they present duplicate or incomplete data. The literature searches were performed in triplicate by three independent reviewers (SD, KT and IZ). Reviewers extracted the data on the methods and results from the original studies. Discrepancies were resolved by consensus among the reviewers. Flow diagrams of research strategy, exclusion and exclusion criteria are presented in Figures 1 and 2.

Table 1. Characteristics of individual studies included in the meta-analysis of HLA-G 14bp I/D polymorphism in digestive cancer.

| Study          | Genotyping method | Country     | Ethnicity | Cases Controls |
|----------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------|----------------|
| Dhouioui 2022  | PCR               | Tunisia     | Caucasian | 233            |
| Vaquero-Yuste 2021 | PCR         | Spain       | Caucasian | 107            |
| El Bassioumy 2019 | PCR           | Egypt       | Egyptian  | 40             |
| Garziera 2016  | PCR               | Italy       | Caucasian | 308            |
| Kim 2013       | PCR               | South Korea | Asian     | 270            |
| Teixeira 2013  | PCR               | Brazil      | Mix       | 109            |
| Teixeira 2013a | PCR               | Brazil      | Mix       | 75             |
| Chen 2012      | PCR               | China-Kazakan | Asian   | 132            |
| Chen 2012a     | PCR               | China-Han   | Asian     | 107            |
| Jiang 2011     | PCR               | China       | Asian     | 318            |
| Jiang 2011a    | PCR               | China       | Asian     | 222            |
| Jiang 2011b    | PCR               | China       | Asian     | 96             |

CRC: Colorectal cancer; GC: Gastric cancer; EC: Esophageal cancer; HCC: Hepatocellular cancer; HBV: Hepatitis B virus; HCV: Hepatitis C Virus; HWE: Hardy Weinberg Equilibrium.

I/D: Insertion/Deletion; Bold: significant P-value (<0.05).

Table 2. Characteristics of individual studies included in the meta-analysis of sHLA-G dosage in digestive cancer.

| Study            | Method    | Manufacturer   | Country  | Ethnicity | Sample type | Sample | Cases | Controls |
|------------------|-----------|----------------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------|-------|----------|
| Lázaro-Sánchez 2020 | ELISA kit | BioVendor      | Spain    | Caucasian | Saliva      | CRC    | 15    | 25.23 ± 1.656 |
| Abú Hassan 2019   | ELISA kit | MyBioSource    | Saudi Arabia | Caucasians | Serum  | CRC    | 33    | 1.42 ± 0.70 |
| Farjadjan 2018    | ELISA kit | Exbio         | Iran     | Caucasian | Plasma     | GC     | 82    | 85.37 ± 60.83 |
| Kirana 2017       | ELISA kit | Exbio         | Australia | Caucasian | Plasma     | CRC    | 44    | NA      |
| Li 2017           | ELISA kit | Exbio         | China    | Asian     | Plasma     | CRC    | 178   | 151.58 ± 88.25 |
| Sun 2017          | ELISA kit | Exbio         | China    | Asian     | Plasma     | GC     | 10    | 17.59 ± 4.69 |
| Sun 2017a         | ELISA kit | Exbio         | China    | Asian     | Plasma     | GC     | 8     | 18.37 ± 4.63 |
| Sun 2017b         | ELISA kit | Exbio         | China    | Asian     | Plasma     | PC     | 6     | 21.42 ± 1.69 |
| Khorrami 2016     | ELISA kit | Glory Science | Iran     | Caucasian | Serum      | GC     | 50    | 36.29 ± 1.666 |
| Pan 2016          | ELISA kit | Exbio         | China    | Asian     | Plasma     | GC     | 81    | 55.90 ± 9.23 |
| Xu 2016           | ELISA kit | Exbio         | China    | Asian     | Plasma     | GC     | 124   | 127.93 ± 52.98 |
| Zheng 2014        | ELISA kit | BioVendor     | China    | Asian     | Plasma     | EC     | 60    | 71.10 ± 61.42 |
| Park 2012         | ELISA kits  | Exbio/BioVendor | South Korea | Asian | Serum     | HCC    | 80    | 188.58 ± 24.65 |
| Lin 2011          | ELISA kit | Exbio         | China    | Asian     | Plasma     | CRC    | 41    | 143.28 ± 52.68 |
| Zhu 2011          | ELISA kit | Exbio         | China    | Asian     | Serum      | CRC    | 144   | 124.30 ± 19.17 |
| Wang 2011         | ELISA kits | Exbio/BioVendor | China | Asian | Serum     | HCC    | 36    | 132.60 ± 31.40 |
| Lin 2010          | ELISA kits | Exbio         | China    | Asian     | Plasma     | HCC    | 19    | 175.26 ± 126.67 |

CC: Colon cancer; CRC: Colorectal cancer; EC: Esophageal cancer; GC: Gastric cancer; HCC: Hepatocellular cancer; NA: Not applicable; NI: Not indicated; PC: Pancreatic cancer; SD, Standard deviation, sHLA-G, soluble HLA-G. *No data for the total CRC cohort. Data are given only in CRC patients after stratifications.
2.2. Statistical analyses

We evaluated the implication of HLA-G 14-bp Insertion (I)/Deletion (D) polymorphism and levels of soluble HLA-G (sHLA-G) in the initiation and prognosis of DC. Concerning HLA-G gene polymorphism, we meta-analyzed studies through the calculation of odds ratios (OR) and its corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI). The pooled OR was calculated under three genetic models: allelic, recessive, and dominant models.

Concerning sHLA-G meta-analysis, standardized mean differences (SMDs) were calculated. When median and range were reported, we calculated the mean ± SD (standard deviation) according to Hozo et al. [15]. Heterogeneity between studies was assessed by $I^2$ and $\tau^2$ values. $I^2$ values were interpreted according to the Cochrane guidelines [16]. $\tau^2$ test reflected the variance of the true effect sizes [17].

The Funnel plot measured the study size [18], Egger’s test of the intercept estimated the sample size effect [19]. Publications bias was evaluated through funnel plot and Begg and Mazumdar rank correlation test [20]. Two-tailed $P_{\text{Egger}}$ and $P_{\text{Begg}}$ values without continuity correction were reported.

The random-effects model assuming significant variation in different studies and testing sampling errors and variances between studies [21, 22]. When homogeneity among studies was assumed, we used fixed effects model. Comprehensive meta-analysis software (Biostat, Englewood, NJ, USA) was used to perform statistical analysis. $P \leq 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Studies included in the meta-analysis

For HLA-G polymorphisms, we identified 67 studies. Of these articles, duplicates, non relevant papers based on titles, reviews and meta-analysis were excluded leaving 14 articles for full screening. Additional 5 articles were omitted due to lack or irrelevant data. Therefore, in total, 9 articles met our inclusion criteria [23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31] (Table 1, Figure 1).

For sHLA-G, we identified 212 studies. Of these, duplicate and irrelevant papers were excluded based on titles. After excluding reviews and meta-analyses, 69 were selected for full-text screening based on the title and abstract. Of which, 30 articles were excluded based on abstract, and 24 were omitted due to lack or irrelevant data. Therefore, in total, 15 articles met our inclusion criteria for sHLA-G [25, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45], (Table 2, Figure 2). When there were at least two comparisons, the meta-analysis of HLA-G-related polymorphisms or sHLA-G was performed.

3.2. Meta-analysis of HLA-G 14-bp I/D polymorphism and digestive cancers susceptibility

The HLA-G 14-bp I/D was not associated with the risk of DC neither under allelic, dominant or recessive genetic models (Table 3, Figure 3). After stratifications according ethnicity or type of cancer, we did not reach significant association between HLA-G 14-bp I/D and DC under allelic (DC vs. I; OR = 1.127, 95% CI = 0.918–1.383, $p = 0.254$; Figure 3A), dominant (DD + ID vs. II; OR = 1.115, 95% CI = 0.813–1.530, $p = 0.500$; Figure 3B), and recessive (DD vs DI + II; OR = 1.214, 95% CI = 0.939–1.572, $p = 0.138$; Figure 3C) genetic models (Table 3). In the subset of HBV or HCV (HBV/HCV) positive HCC patients, we demonstrated a clear association of HLA-G 14-bp I/D with the disease initiation under allelic (DC vs. I; OR = 1.698, 95% CI = 1.263–1.650, $p = 0.000$, Table 4), dominant (DD + ID vs. II; OR = 2.321, 95% CI = 1.277–4.218, $p = 0.006$, Table 4), and recessive (DD vs DI + II; OR = 1.739, 95% CI = 1.173–2.577, $p = 0.006$, Table 4) genetic models. As expected, HLA-G 14-bp I/D was not associated to the disease initiation in the subset of HBV or HCV negative HCC patients (Table 4). Interestingly, the infection by HBV/HCV seems to
Figure 3. Forest plot of the association between HLA-G 14-bp I/D polymorphism and digestive cancer risk with the random effects model. (A) Allelic model (D vs. I) alleles, (B) Dominant genotype (DD + ID vs. II) and (C) Recessive model (DD vs DI + II) in the overall population.
be implicated in the HCC development when we compared HBV/HCV positive patients to HBV/HCV negative patients under allelic (D vs. I; OR = 1.429, 95% CI = 1.029–1.983, p = 0.033, Table 4) and dominant (DD + ID vs. II; OR = 1.981, 95% CI = 1.002–3.916, p = 0.049, Table 4) genetic models.

### 3.3. Meta-analysis of soluble HLA-G levels in digestive cancer patients and controls

Overall analysis of DC cancers including CRC and GC showed significant increased sHLA-G in patients compared to healthy controls (SMD = 3.341, 95% CI = 2.415–4.267, p = 0.000; Table 5, Figure 4A). sHLA-G were significantly increased in both CRC and GC compared to healthy controls with sHLA- G mean was 2 points higher in GC patients (SMD = 4.043, 95% CI = 2.28–5.858, p = 0.000; Table 5) than in CRC group (SMD = 2.165, 95% CI = 0.506–3.824, p = 0.011; Table 5) (Figure 4B and C). sHLA-G levels were also increased in the serum/plasma in DC patients (SMD = 3.994, 95% CI = 2.793–5.195, p = 0.000; Table 5) and in the CRC patients subgroup (SMD = 2.686, 95% CI = 0.0159–5.214, p = 0.037; Table 5).

sHLA-G were increased in both Caucasian and Asian patients with sHLA-G found to be 2 fold higher in Asians (SMD = 2.292, 95% CI = 2.150–2.434, p = 0.000; Table 5) than in Caucasians (SMD = 0.831, 95% CI = 0.551–1.111, p = 0.000; Table 5). Of note, in Asians, sHLA-G was 2 fold higher in serum/plasma (SMD = 2.266, 95% CI = 2.016–2.516, p = 0.000; Table 5) than in ascites (SMD = 1.666, 95% CI = 1.163–2.169, p = 0.000; Table 5).

In Asians, more types of DC were investigated and all had significant increased sHLA-G compared to healthy controls (Table 5). HCC patients presented the highest level of sHLA-G (SMD = 4.058, 95% CI = 3.608–4.508, p = 0.000; Table 5) compared to CRC, EC and GC. Due to few meta-analysed subgroups, subgroups results should be taken with caution.

We further investigated differences between grades in relation to HLA-G levels. We found that in GC Asian patients, sHLA-G was significantly increased in high grade (Grade 3) compared to low grades (Grade 1 or Grade 2) (SMD = 0.448, 95% CI = 0.109–0.787, p = 0.000; Table 6). Further analysis showed that sHLA-G was significantly increased in grade 3 of DC when compared to grade 1 (SMD = 0.464, 95% CI = 0.150–0.778, p = 0.004; Table 6). Positive vascular invasion

### Table 5. Meta-analysis results of sHLA-G significance in digestive cancers initiation*.  

| Ethnicity   | Fluidics | Cancer type | Effects Models | N  | Standardized mean differences | Heterogeneity | P_{Begg} | P_{Peto} |
|-------------|----------|-------------|----------------|----|--------------------------------|---------------|----------|----------|
|             |          |             |                |    | SMD                           |               |          |          |
|             |          |             |                |    | SEM                           |               |          |          |
|             |          |             |                |    | 95% CI                        |               |          |          |
| Overall     | All      | DC          | R              | 16 | 3.341                         | 0.472          | 2.415    | 0.000    |
|             |          | RC          | R              | 5  | 2.165                         | 0.847          | 0.506    | 0.011    |
|             |          | GC          | R              | 5  | 4.043                         | 0.926          | 2.28     | 0.000    |
|             |          | Serum/Plasma| DC             | 11 | 3.994                         | 0.613          | 2.793    | 0.000    |
|             |          | Serum/Plasma| GC             | 3  | 2.686                         | 1.289          | 0.159    | 0.037    |
| Caucasian   | All      | DC          | F              | 4  | 0.831                         | 0.143          | 0.551    | 0.000    |
|             |          | Serum/Plasma| GC             | 2  | 0.905                         | 0.186          | 0.541    | 0.000    |
| Asian       | All      | DC          | F              | 12 | 2.292                         | 0.072          | 2.150    | 0.000    |
|             |          | Serum/Plasma| CRC            | 2  | 2.266                         | 0.127          | 2.016    | 0.000    |
|             |          | GC          | F              | 2  | 1.818                         | 0.122          | 1.579    | 0.000    |
|             |          | HCC         | F              | 3  | 4.058                         | 0.230          | 3.608    | 0.000    |

CI: Confidence interval, CRC: Colorectal cancer; DC: digestive cancer; EC: Esophageal cancer; F: Fixed effects model, GC: Gastric cancer; HCC: Hepatocellular cancer; N: number of studies, NA: Not applicable, OR: odds ratio, POR: P-value associated to OR, Bold: significant P-value (≤0.05).

* Cases vs. healthy controls.
presented significant increase in sHLA-G compared to negative vascular invasion in overall analysis (SMD = 0.743, 95% CI = 0.385–1.100, p = 0.000; Table 6) and in Asians (SMD = 0.721, 95% CI = 0.336–1.107, p = 0.000; Table 6). Accordingly, sHLA-G was associated to a poor prognosis in DC.

3.4. Heterogeneity and publication bias

We detected substantial heterogeneity in meta-analyses investigating the genetic risk of 14-bp I/D for overall analysis and different DC subtypes (p < 0.05, Table 3). In meta-analyses investigating sHLA-G, heterogeneity was also detected. Clinical features could be major source of heterogeneity. Meta-analysis of genetic risk did not present a publication bias by means of Begg’s test (pBegg = 0.457) and symmetric funnel plot (Figure 5A). However, meta-analysis of sHLA-G presented a bias of publication (pBegg = 0.015) and asymmetric funnel plot (Figure 5B).

4. Discussion

In recent years, more and more studies are investigating the implication of HLA-G in DC, but in some cases results are conflicting. Our
meta-analysis pooled published studies and examined the relationships between both HLA-G 14-bp genetic polymorphism and sHLA-G with the risk of DC. We further investigated the significance of sHLA-G according to clinicopathological features of DC.

Our results did not support a significant implication of HLA-G 14-bp I/D in DC susceptibility. A previous meta-analysis restricted to only HCC did not show a significant implication of 14-bp I/D [46], which confirm our finding. Further analysis revealed a clear association of HLA-G 14bp I/D in HCC patients infected with either HBV or HCV. Expression of HLA-G has been associated with HBV/HCV infection, via increased viral load [47, 48]. In early stages of HCV associated liver infection, both soluble and membrane bound HLA-G protein production are increased [7]. It is also possible that the presence of HLA-G expression in the context of HBV/HCV infections could favour the escape of cancerous cells from immune-surveillance. Because only few studies were meta-analysed, further investigations are still needed to clearly establish the role of HCV/HBV infection and the influence of HLA-G 14-bp I/D in HCC. In the context of sHLA-G, overall analysis showed significant increased sHLA-G in patients with DC cancers. In fact, sHLA-G has been suggested as a good diagnostic factor to distinguish benign colorectal related disease from CRC [43]. Because the invasive nature of the disease and the tumour microenvironment are different across multiple DC, the expression of HLA-G varies among different cancer types. Therefore, we conducted a stratified analysis to investigate the relationship between sHLA-G and DC cancers by cancer type. sHLA-G was significantly increased in either CRC or GC, with sHLA-G mean was 2 points higher in GC patients than in CRC patients. A previous study indicated that plasma sHLA-G level was a potential biomarker for GC diagnosis [38]. A large-scale genome-wide association (GWAS) study of East Asians (22,775 CRC patients and 47,731 controls) revealed that HLA-G is one of the leading loci associated with the risk of CRC [49]. Group analysis by ethnicity revealed that sHLA-G was increased in either Caucasians or Asians, with sHLA-G mean 2 fold higher in Asians. This result may be explained by differences in genetic backgrounds between ethnicities.

Analysis by disease grades showed that sHLA-G was significantly increased in high grade compared to low grades. In addition, positive vascular invasion presented significantly elevated sHLA-G compared to negative vascular invasion. Accordingly, our results suggest that sHLA-G is associated with a poor prognosis in DC. These findings support the conclusion drawn from previous studies that tumour HLA-G expression is closely related to tumour progression and poor clinical outcomes in patients with cancer. A recent meta-analysis by Peng et al, performed on immunohistochemical and ELISA dosage [50], showed significant association of HLA-G with poor prognosis in gastric cancer. In addition, this meta-analysis showed that there was a significant correlation between HLA-G expression and TNM stage, lymph node status, and histological grade [50]. Interestingly, subgroup metaanalysis showed that HLA-G expression was only associated with clinic-pathological features in ESCC [50]. Interestingly, enhanced HLA-G expression has been found to be greatly correlated with weak anti-tumour immune response, disease progression, and poor survival in CRC [51]. Thus, HLA-G has been suggested as an independent prognostic predictor of CRC [51]. Du et al. indicated that HLA-G expression was strongly associated with tumour progression and involved in tumour evasion by raising the frequency of infiltrating Tregs locally. Therefore, HLA-G expression is a factor that should be taken into account when considering immunotherapy as treatment option, and is also a promising predictor for worse prognosis in DC patients [52]. HLA-G expression can be affected by ILT4 that regulates the cell proliferation, invasion and migration of CRC. HLA-G fusion protein treatment also increased ILT4 expression in a dose-dependent manner, thereby activating protein kinase B (AKT) and extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) signaling, and facilitating the proliferation, migration and invasion of CRC cells. HLA-G interacts with ILT4 to promote CRC progression through AKT and ERK signal activation [2]. Accordingly, ILT4 and HLA-G could be prognostic factors to predict poor clinical response and survival time in patients with CRC providing a novel strategy of blocking ILT4/HLA-G for the treatment of CRC [2]. Therefore, HLA-G has the potential to serve as a biomarker for DC cancers prognosis, and screening for this marker could allow for the early diagnosis and treatment.

Our meta-analysis presents substantial limitations related to heterogeneity and size data. We also detected a marginal publication bias in meta-analysis on sHLA-G, which is due to unpublished studies and language restriction. We particularly acknowledge that the number of included studies was relatively small in subgroups; which might weaken the statistical power of the results. All the studies included were observational studies, so substantial heterogeneity was inevitable in this meta-analysis due to the various regimens, populations and sample sizes. Therefore, more clinical studies are needed to give definitive conclusions.
5. Conclusion

The current meta-analysis suggests that HLA-G 14-pb I/D polymorphism is associated with HCC in the case of HBV/HCV infections. Increased sHLA-G indicates a poor prognosis for DC patients. sHLA-G is likely associated with prognostic clinical features of DC. Further larger studies are warranted to consolidate current findings.

Figures

5. Funnel plot assessing presence/absence of publication bias. (A) In the allelic models of HLA-G 14bp Ins/Del polymorphism, (B)sHLA-G meta-analysis in digestive cancers.
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