Unusual band renormalization in the simplest iron based superconductor

J. Maletz,1 V. B. Zabolotnyy,1 D. V. Evtushinsky,1 S. Thirupathaiah,1 A. U. B. Wolter,1 L. Harnagea,1 A. N. Yaresko,2 A. N. Vasiliev,3 D. A. Chareev,4 E. D. L. Rienks,5 B. Büchner,1,6 and S. V. Borisenko1

1 Institute for Solid State Research, IFW Dresden, P. O. Box 270116, D-01171 Dresden, Germany
2 Max-Planck-Institute for Solid State Research, Heisenbergstrasse 1, D-70569 Stuttgart, Germany
3 Department of Low Temperature Physics, Moscow State University, Moscow 119991, Russia
4 Institute of Experimental Mineralogy, Chernogolovka, Moscow Region, 142432, Russia
5 Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin, Albert-Einstein-Strasse 15, 12489 Berlin, Germany
6 Institut für Festkörperphysik, Technische Universität Dresden, D-01171 Dresden, Germany

(Dated: May 7, 2014)

The electronic structure of the iron chalcogenide superconductor FeSe_{1-x} was investigated by high-resolution angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES). The results were compared to DFT calculations showing some significant differences between the experimental electronic structure of FeSe_{1-x}, DFT calculations and existing data on FeSe_{1-x}. The bands undergo a pronounced orbital dependent renormalization, different from what was observed for FeSe_{x}Te_{1-x} and any other pnictides.

It is well established, that the iron arsenic/selenium layers, common for all the recently found and investigated iron based superconductors, are responsible for the superconductivity in these compounds[1]. The binary “11” family of FeSe_{1-x} and FeSe_{1.2} offers the possibility, to investigate systems consisting of just these layers without the intermediate layers which are present in the “111”, “122” and “1111” families. This simplest iron based superconductor may therefore yield valuable information about the origin of superconductivity in the iron pnictides/chalcogenides. The FeSe_{1-x}Te_{1-x} “11” system exhibits long range antiferromagnetic (AFM) order for its end member FeTe which is supressed for x > 0.1, whereas a short range antiferromagnetic order appears for the intermediate range 0.1 < x < 0.45[2, 3]. Superconductivity is observed for x > 0.2 to 0.45[3, 4], thus coexisting with the AFM also for the highest Tc composition of FeSe_{0.3}Te_{0.7}[4]. The end member FeSe has a Tc of ~ 8 K[5]. Recently, in a study on single layer FeSe grown on a SrTiO3 substrate, superconductivity with a Tc of up to 55 K[6]. Further studies on this system found an onset of superconductivity at up to 65 K[7]. There are also several angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) studies on FeSe_{x}Te_{1-x} compounds, yielding interesting and in part contradicting results. Depending on x, the position of the bands at Γ point with respect to EF may vary. For x = 0.34, all three low energy bands are crossing the Fermi level[8]; for x = 0.3 to 0.45 only two of them are crossing EF[9–12]. For the monolayer FeSe, no Fermi surface in the zone center was observed at all[13]. Additionally, there is no agreement concerning the renormalization of the bands, both in size and affected bands. These results show, that the electronic structure is highly dependent on the amount of tellurium and that a comparison with the calculated band structures for bulk FeSe and FeTe may yield rather large uncertainties. This issue can be adressed by investigating FeSe_{1-x} single crystals, as this allows to make more precise quantitative statements about the deviations from the DFT calculations. Here we report on ARPES studies of FeSe_{0.96} single crystals. The samples were grown using the KCl/AICl3 flux method, characterized with x-ray diffraction and EDX and investigated by low-temperature specific heat measurements showing a Tc of 8.11 K[14, 15]. ARPES measurements were performed at BESSY2 synchrotron facility. Samples were mounted on the cryo-manipulator of the 1^{3}ARPES station and cleaved at a temperature of T ~ 40 K in ultra high vacuum with a base pressure of ~ 10^{-11} mbar. Spectra were taken using excitation energies ranging from 20 eV to 120 eV and temperatures down to 890 mK. The overall energy and angular resolutions were ΔE = 4 meV and Δθ = 0.2° respectively.

Figure 1 shows intensity maps of the k_{x}k_{y} planes at the Fermi energy (EF), at E_{bind} = 17 meV and at E_{bind} = 35 meV (integrated over an interval of ±5 meV) measured by ARPES as well as the Fermi surface contours for EF, E_{bind} = −100 meV and E_{bind} = 95 meV from DFT calculations. The maps show two features, a circular hole-like Fermi surface around Γ point (the features diameter grows with increasing binding energy) and a small electron-like Fermi surface around M point, which vanishes for higher binding energies and is replaced by a propeller shaped feature originating from two hole-like bands closing just beneath EF at the M point. Comparing spectra and contours predicted by DFT, differences in both size and number of the Fermi surface sheets can be found. While from the calculations three Fermi surface sheets are expected around Γ point, the ARPES spectra show, that there is only one. This can be seen in the energy momentum cuts in Figure 2 (a) and (b). The Fermi momentum (kF) of the Γ-hole-pocket obtained from ARPES is 0.05 Å^-1 while calculations predict a kF between 0.25 Å^-1 and 0.3 Å^-1, which is 5 to 6 times larger than measured. The situation for the electron pockets located around the M-points of the Brillouin zone is similar. Here, the measured diameter is 0.18 Å^-1.
while the calculated diameter was found to be 0.37 Å. Figure 2 allows a closer look on the electronic structure at Γ- and M-points of the Brillouin zone. Panels (a) and (b) show energy-momentum cuts in Γ-X-direction taken with a photon energy $h\nu = 25$ eV and two different polarizations. Panel (a) shows the cut corresponding to the linear horizontal (LH) polarization. Three bands can be identified, of which one, called $\alpha$ from hereon, crosses $E_F$ to create a hole-like Fermi surface. From fitting the dispersion close to the Fermi energy, the top of this band is estimated to be at $E_{\text{bind}} = -3$ meV. A second hole-like band, called $\beta$, also approaches $E_F$, though closing at $E_{\text{bind}} = 15$ meV, and thus not forming a Fermi surface. A third, flatter band, called $\gamma$, can be identified at higher binding energies, strongly losing intensity towards lower binding energies. From fitting the branches at higher binding energies, the top of this band is estimated to be at $E_{\text{bind}} = 52$ meV. The fitted dispersions can also be seen in panel (b) which shows the same energy-momentum cut measured with linear vertical (LV) polarized light. In this polarization the top of the $\beta$-band is more prominent and matches the previously derived dispersion very well. Also, the top of the $\gamma$-band can be located in this polarization. This is shown in the integrated energy distribution curve (EDC) from the dashed area in panel (c). The EDC from the center of the Brillouin zone exhibits two peaks: one from the top of the $\beta$-band at $E_{\text{bind}} = 15$ meV and the other one from the top of the $\gamma$-band at $E_{\text{bind}} = 49$ meV, both in very good agreement with the positions estimated from the previous fits.

In Figure 2 (d) an energy-momentum cut in M-X-direction is shown. Here one can clearly see shallow electron pockets at the M-points as well as two hole bands. The electron pockets close within 5 meV to 10 meV binding energy. One of the hole bands reaches up to the electron pockets, while the top of the other one is located around 50 eV binding energy. The hole band reaching up to the electron pocket is responsible for the propeller shaped features seen in Figure 1 for higher binding energies. It remains unclear, whether the hole band reaches the Fermi level, but the calculations suggest that it remains below the electron pocket. For the M points, only one electron-like feature is observed. This is not suprising, as the calculations predict a degeneracy of the two electron pockets as well as some $k_z$-dispersion which would smear out the intensity of the two bands.

Comparing the energy-momentum cuts to the DFT calculations shown in Figure 2(e), it can be seen that the bands are renormalized. The $\alpha$ and $\beta$ bands are renormalized by factors of $\sim 3$ and $\sim 3$, respectively, while the $\gamma$ band is renormalized by a factor of $\sim 9$. This significantly differs from the previous studies on FeSe$_2$Te$_{1-x}$, where either a uniform renormalization of 2[12, 16] and 3.125[8] had been observed, or a band selective renormalization of 1, 6, and 17[10] was reported, and is also unusual for iron based superconductors in general.

To match the experimental and calculated Fermi surfaces, the band renormalization is not sufficient. An additional shift has to be introduced. At Γ point, the three hole-like bands are shifted to higher binding energies, in a way, that only one of them remains crossing the Fermi level with a much smaller $k_F$ than predicted. The same happens at the M-points, only that here the bands are shifted to lower binding energies, producing smaller electron pockets. At Γ point the shifts are 0.09 eV, 0.065 eV and 0.045 eV for the $\alpha$-, $\beta$- and $\gamma$-band respectively. At M point, the electron band has to be shifted by $-0.09$ eV while the hole bands approaching the electron pockets have to be shifted by $-0.07$ eV to $-0.09$ eV. This behaviour, a k-dependent shift of the bandstructure, has already been observed in ARPES for other iron-pnictide compounds such as KFe$_2$As$_2$[17] and LiFeAs[18] and in quantum oscillation experiments for LaFePO[19] and seems to be a common feature for these compounds.

Figure 1 (e) shows the Fermi contours calculated for $E_{\text{bind}} = -100$ meV and reproduces the right size of the Γ Fermi surface sheet, while having way to large electron pockets at the M-points. Panel (f) shows the calculated contours for $E_{\text{bind}} = 95$ meV. Here, the propeller shaped features at the M-points from panel (b) ($E_{\text{bind}} = 17$ meV) are reproduced, while the calculated Γ Fermi surface is obviously too large.

From the collected data, one can also determine the orbital character of the bands. This provides the possibility to find correlations between band renormalization and orbital character. Figure 3 (e) shows a sketch of the intensity distribution around Γ point as seen in Figure 1.
(b) and (c). There is almost zero intensity in point A. Taking into account the symmetry of the experimental setup and photon polarization (compare Figure 3), only $d_{xy}$ or $d_{yz}$ character of the bands explain this behaviour. Likewise, as the inner $\beta$ band shows intensity in the $m$ plane at point B, it cannot consist of $d_{xy}$ or $d_{yz}$ orbitals and therefore must be composed of $d_{xz}$ orbitals, as calculations offer only these three as possible candidates. As the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ bands show similar renormalization, we identify them with the predicted $d_{xz}/d_{yz}$ bands. Therefore, the $\gamma$ band has to be of $d_{xy}$ character. This is supported by the fact, that this band shows no $k_z$-dispersion, as predicted for the $d_{xy}$ band by calculations.

Additional temperature and energy dependent measurements were performed at $\Gamma$ point. By using different excitation energies $h\nu$ in the range of 33 eV to 110 eV, measurements for different $k_z$ along the $\Gamma$-$Z$-direction were conducted. In Figure 4 two energy momentum cuts are shown along with the evolution of the $\Gamma$ Fermi surface sheet with excitation energy. One can see, that the $\alpha$- and $\beta$-band are showing $k_z$ dispersion as both are subject to energy shifts with varying $h\nu$. Fitting of the dispersions obtained from MDCs shows that for $h\nu = 70$ eV the top of the $\alpha$-band is at $E_{\text{bind}} \sim 7$ meV. For $h\nu = 40$ eV, the top of the $\alpha$-band is located at the Fermi level ($E_{\text{bind}} = 0$) and for $h\nu = 25$ eV it is at $E_{\text{bind}} \sim -3$ meV, as shown in Figure 2. Combining the spectra from a broader range of excitation energies, one obtains the $k_z$-dependence in $\Gamma$-$Z$-direction as seen in Figure 4(c). Comparing these observations to the calculations, the $\Gamma$-point is identified with $h\nu \sim 30$ eV and $h\nu \sim 70$ eV, where the width of the feature is minimal, while the maximum width denotes the $Z$-point. The $\alpha$-band thus creates a “cigar shaped” closed Fermi surface sheet around the $Z$-point of the brillouin zone. Similar features have been observed earlier and identified
as a possible prerequisite for superconductivity in the iron-pnictides[20]. The top of the $\beta$-band disperses from 34 meV to 15 meV. The $\gamma$ band shows no $k_z$-dispersion, as expected for the $d_{xy}$-state. To quantify the size of the superconducting gap, which is supposed to be in the range of 1.33 meV to 2.2 meV[14, 21], we compared the experimental EDCs to EDCs obtained from modeled spectra[22]. This comparison can be seen in Figure 4(d). For 1 K (blue) and 11 K (red), the markers represent the experimental data while the solid lines show the EDCs from the modeled spectra. From fitting the model to the data, a maximum gap size of $\Delta_{\text{max}} = 2$ meV with a BCS-ratio of $2\Delta/k_B = 5.7$ is estimated, similar to the findings for Fe$_{1.03}$Te$_{0.7}$Se$_{0.3}$[12], which suggest a strong coupling scenario.

We have thus shown, that single crystalline FeSe, the structurally simplest member of the iron-pnictide/iron-chalcogenide family, also exhibits the simplest Fermi surface consisting of only one closed “cigar shaped” hole pocket around the $Z$-point of the Brillouin zone and a shallow electron-like feature around the Brillouin zone corners (M-point), probably consisting of two degenerate electron pockets. Due to the shallowness of the electron pockets it is hard to estimate the size of the corresponding Fermi surface sheets and thus to make a solid statement about the possibility of nesting. The band structure is closer to the ones observed in different FeSe,$_x$Te$_{1-x}$ compounds than to the electronic structure of the recently investigated FeSe monolayers. Temperature dependent measurements yielded a maximum size of the superconducting gap of about 2 meV leading to a BCS ratio of 5.7. The $d_{xz}/d_{yz}$ bands are renormalized by factors of 3 to 3.7, while the $d_{xy}$ band is renormalized by a factor of 9. This strongly differs from the conclusions in the previously mentioned studies[8, 10, 12, 16]. We do not find a uniform renormalization, but a strongly orbital dependent one. But in contrast to Taimai et. al. we find comparable renormalization for the $d_{xz}/d_{yz}$, while the $d_{xy}$ show a three times larger renormalization. In this aspect, the $d_{xy}$ band shows the most peculiar behaviour: it is not subject to $k_z$ dispersion, shows a stronger renormalization than the other two bands, and is shifted to higher binding energies, thus not taking part in the formation of the Fermi surface. In addition to the renormalization, $k$-dependent shifts of the bandstructure of less than 0.1 eV lead to a dramatic change in the Fermi topology compared to DFT calculations, even in this structurally simplest iron-based superconductor.

While completing this manuscript, we noticed that the electronic structure of thick films as reported in recent studies[23] is similar to what we observe in single crystals.
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For the spectral function, the following model was used:

$$A(k, \omega) = 2\pi [u_k^2 + v_k^2 \delta(\omega - E_k) + v_k^2 \delta(\omega + E_k)]$$

with

$$u_k = \sqrt{\frac{\Delta^2}{\epsilon + \Delta^2}}, \quad v_k = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\Delta^2}{\epsilon + \Delta^2} \right), \quad E_k = \sqrt{\epsilon_k + \Delta^2}$$

For further explanations see [24].