Hairy cell leukemia: short review, today’s recommendations and outlook
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Hairy cell leukemia (HCL) is part of the low-grade non-Hodgkin lymphoma family and represents approximately 2% of all leukemias. Treatment with splenectomy and interferon-α historically belonged to the first steps of therapeutic options, achieving partial responses/remissions (PR) in most cases with a median survival between 4 and 6 years in the 1980s. The introduction of the purine analogs (PA) pentostatin and cladribine made HCL a well-treatable disease: overall complete response rates (CRR) range from 76 to 98%, with a median disease-free survival (DFS) of 16 years a normal lifespan can be reached and HCL-related deaths are rare. However, insufficient response to PA with poorer prognosis and relapse rates of 30–40% after 5–10 years of follow-up may require alternative strategies. Minimal residual disease can be detected by additional examinations of bone marrow specimens after treatment with PA. The use of immunotherapeutic monoclonal antibodies (mAB) like rituximab as a single agent or in combination with a PA or more recently clinical trials with recombinant immunotoxins (RIT) show promising results to restrict these problems. Recently, the identification of the possible disease-defining BRAF V600E mutation may allow the development of new therapeutic targets.
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SEARCH STRATEGY AND SELECTION CRITERIA
Data for this review were identified by searches of MEDLINE, PubMed and references from relevant articles using the search terms ‘hairy cell leukemia’ (HCL). Only papers in English and German between 1970 and 2013 were included.

INTRODUCTION
Representing approximately 2% of all leukemias, HCL is a chronic B-cell lymphoproliferative disorder.¹ In the following, historical and recent treatment options, their results as well as new insights in the pathophysiology and treatment recommendations are reviewed.

TREATMENT OPTIONS, RESULTS AND NEW INSIGHTS
Splenomegaly and splenectomy
Splenomegaly is one of the most common clinical manifestations of HCL (up to 96% of the patients),² often getting symptomatic in the form of epigastric discomfort or pain.³ Splenectomy was developed as the first effective therapeutic approach in the history of the treatment of HCL and was considered as treatment of choice until 1984,⁴ resulting in overall response rates (ORR) of 60–100% as documented in eight major reports.⁵ Achieving a median response duration of 5–20 months⁶ with an overall survival (OS) rate of 55–70% after 5 years,¹,⁷,⁸ patients with HCL benefit from splenectomy compared with non-splenectomized patients⁹ and respond better and faster to the following therapy.⁹ It is recommended to wait at least 6 months after splenectomy before initiating further treatment approaches to reach full benefits of splenectomy.¹⁰ Nevertheless, splenectomy does not improve bone marrow infiltration and fibrosis,⁵ and positive influences of splenectomy with regard to long-term results are reported to be uncertain.¹,¹⁰

Despite the fact that more effective chemotherapeutics are available in the treatment of HCL today, splenectomy still remains a valid treatment option in certain cases. HCL with a huge splenomegaly (e.g. > 10 cm below costal margin) but low bone marrow involvement can be an indication for splenectomy as first-line treatment.¹⁰ In cases of unknown splenomegaly, splenectomy is not only a therapeutic but also a helpful diagnostic instrument to prove the typical transformation of spleen histology.¹⁰,¹¹ Splenectomy can also be an alternative intervention for patients with relapsed or refractory disease.⁵ Furthermore, HCL can be the reason for rare non-traumatic splenic ruptures.² In these cases, splenectomy is a necessary treatment method.² Owing to the fact that HCL is less common in women at childbearing age and thus rare in pregnancy, there are no standardized therapy regimens in this configuration. Then, laparoscopic splenectomy should be considered as a therapeutic option to protect the fetus from toxic effects of chemotherapy, although there are only few data available with regard to the use of chemotherapy during pregnancy.⁸,¹²,¹³ In these cases a therapy with cladribine can be initiated after delivery.¹⁴

Although splenectomy is enumerated together with androgens, lithium, corticosteroids, chlorambucil or cyclophosphamide as unsatisfying treatment approaches before the introduction of interferon-α (IFN-α),¹⁴ Lavrenkov et al.¹⁵ reported the successful use of palliative splenic irradiation to reduce splenic size and to improve anemia and thrombocytopenia in two patients with symptomatic splenomegaly in HCL.

In conclusion, splenectomy has clearly lost its therapeutic importance after the introduction of IFN-α and later on of purine analogs (PA). Nevertheless, it should still be remembered as an
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alternative or additional option, especially in symptomatic or refractory/relapsed disease.\textsuperscript{2}

IFN-\(\alpha\)

Initially described as an anti-viral agent in 1957, it took almost 30 years until the approval of IFN-\(\alpha\) as the first recombinant cytokine for the treatment of malignancies.\textsuperscript{3} Quesada \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{16} showed that IFN-\(\alpha\) can improve and is even able to normalize peripheral blood counts in patients with HCL.\textsuperscript{2} The main therapeutic effects on patients with HCL are not only the reduction of cytopenia and the elimination of HC from the blood but also the reduction of bone marrow fibrosis.\textsuperscript{15,17}

Purine analogs

\textit{Pentostatin (2'-deoxycoformycin, dcF)}. Although early approaches were carried out in refractory CLL,\textsuperscript{18} pentostatin, a product of \textit{Streptomycetes antibioticus} and a pharmacologic inhibitor of adenosine deaminase (ADA),\textsuperscript{1,9} was introduced as the first PA in the therapy of HCL in the 1980s.\textsuperscript{1,18,19} It is not only well tolerated but also leads to durable complete remission rates of \(>75\%\).\textsuperscript{18} With the establishment of PA in the therapy of HCL, prognosis was improved significantly.\textsuperscript{14,20}

The irreversible inhibition of ADA\textsuperscript{9} causes an intracellular accumulation of cytotoxic deoxyadenosine triphosphate metabolites \textit{inter alia} in HC,\textsuperscript{21} leading to the inhibition of the repair system of spontaneously formed DNA breaks.\textsuperscript{22} Consequences are the activation of p53 and the release of cytochrome \(c\) from mitochondria, initiating apoptosis.\textsuperscript{22}

\textbf{Efficacy.} Table 1 summarizes selected publications of treatment with pentostatin in HCL.\textsuperscript{23-26} The main retrospective analysis of several hundred patients resulted in ORR \(>90\%\) with complete response rates up to \(82\%\).\textsuperscript{23-26} The 5- and 10-year OS rates were estimated at \(90\%\)\textsuperscript{23} and \(68-81\%\).\textsuperscript{18,23} The long-term follow-up up to 16 years confirmed that pentostatin may not only induce high response rates but also long-lasting responses even in cases of retreatment with pentostatin after relapse.\textsuperscript{25} Nevertheless, relapse occurs in up to \(44\%\) of the patients treated with pentostatin.\textsuperscript{26}

\textbf{Toxicity.} In general, treatment with PA like pentostatin is well tolerated,\textsuperscript{1,18,21} but causes prolonged myelosuppression inducing immunosuppression\textsuperscript{7,27} that seems to be less severe, especially at the beginning of the therapy after administration of pentostatin compared with cladribine.\textsuperscript{18} Pentostatin causes a decrease of T cells\textsuperscript{9} and B cells.\textsuperscript{1} While a case of hypereosinophilia with eosinophilic gastroenteritis has been reported,\textsuperscript{21} more common side effects of pentostatin are neutropenic febrile episodes, skin rash, pruritus, photosensitivity, nausea and vomiting and, in particular, cardiotoxicity causing atrioventricular block, angina pectoris, arrhythmias, extrasystoles or cardiac arrest.\textsuperscript{1,9,21}

\textit{Cladribine (2-chlorodeoxyadenosine)}. Introduced as the second effective PA after pentostatin, cladribine has emerged as the preferred first-line chemotherapeutic agent in HCL.\textsuperscript{1,4,29} Cladribine is resistant against degradation by ADA.\textsuperscript{30} It accumulates intracellularly and gets polyphosphorylated to its active metabolite cladribine-triphosphate by deoxycytidine kinase.\textsuperscript{20} Thereby, 2-chlorodeoxyadenosine develops its effectiveness, especially in deoxycytidine kinase-rich cells like lymphocytes or monocytes.\textsuperscript{20,30} Recent results suggest that cladribine causes cell type-specific effects.\textsuperscript{31} Different cytotoxic effects of cladribine-triphosphate are known: direct DNA effects are caused by incorporation of cladribine-triphosphate as a fraudulent base into DNA, leading to a termination of DNA chain elongation and to DNA breaks that cannot be repaired because of the interference of cladribine and DNA repair mechanisms.\textsuperscript{22,23} Other effects are the inhibition of DNA-polymerase-\(\beta\) determining DNA synthesis,\textsuperscript{24} or the inhibition of S-adenosylhomocysteine hydrolase, leading to a decrease of DNA methylation.\textsuperscript{20} Apoptosis gets

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Pentostatin: treatment and long-term follow-up in hairy cell leukemia}
\begin{tabular}{lllllllllll}
\hline
\textbf{Publication} & \textbf{Administration regimen} & \textbf{Dosage (mg/m\textsuperscript{2} per day)} & \textbf{Patients n} & \textbf{Remission rates} & & & \textbf{Median time of follow-up (months)} & \textbf{Relapse rate (%)} & \textbf{Risk of 2nd cancers (%)} & \textbf{Outcome} \\
\hline
Flinn\textsuperscript{23} & i.v., 1/2 weeks \ + 2 consolidating doses (6-12 months) & 4 & 241 & 72 & N/A & N/A & 111.6 & 13 & 16 & Est. 10 years OS 81\%, RFS 67\% \\
Maloisel\textsuperscript{24} & i.v., 1/2 weeks median: 9 doses (1-19) & 4 & 238 & 79 & 16 & 95 & 63.5 & 15 & 7 & Est. 10 years DFS at 5 years 68.8\%, est. 10 years, OS 88.7\% DFS at 5 years (C + P) 77\%, mDFS (C + P) at 16 years 20 \+ years for CR 4 years for PR \\
Dearden\textsuperscript{25} & n = 40; i.v., 1/week \(\times 4 \+ 1/2\) weeks till max. response \+ 1 consolidating dose \(n = 148\): i.v., 1/2 weeks till max response \+ 2 consolidating doses 2nd course & 4 & 188 & 82 & 14 & 96 & 192 & 44 & 13 (for C + P) & \\
Else\textsuperscript{26} & & & 28 & 59 & 33 & 92 & N/A & N/A & N/A & DFS at 5 years (C + P) 68\% DFS at 5 years (C + P) 58\% \\
& 3rd course & 4 & 4 & 100 & 0 & 100 & N/A & N/A & N/A & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
Efficacy. Cladribine has a proven high effectiveness in HCL. Selected publications are summarized in Table 2. A single course of therapy corresponding to a duration of 5–7 days is already able to achieve complete remissions with durable responses: ORR of 87–100% and CRR of 85-91% have been reported. The 5-year progression-free survival (PFS) rates vary between 72–84% and the OS at 12 years vary between 75–87%. The risk of relapse at 4 years amounts to approximately 30%.

Although the long-term follow-up shows long-lasting remissions, within longer follow-up the number of relapses increases. Then, retreatment with cladribine is a possible approach. Admittedly, response rates and duration of response after retreatment with a PA are lower and shorter, respectively. Goodman et al. reported on 207 assessable patients with a follow-up of at least 7 years. There was an ORR of 100% including 95% CR after only a single cycle of cladribine with a relapse rate of 37% after a median time of 42 months. A second cycle of therapy resulted in a response rate of 92% and a relapse rate of 33% during further follow-up. Even after a third or fourth treatment cycle patients responded to cladribine despite previous relapses after cladribine. The OS at 108 months was 97%, while 3% of the patients had died. As the results of Scripps Clinic demonstrate, where after a median time of 29 months 26% relapses were documented, relapses in patients with partial response (PR) are with 64% vs 24% higher than in those who achieved CR.

Despite these results, ~10% of the patients are poor responders and ~5% non-responders to PA. In respect to the results of an Italian multicenter clinical trial, it seems that the patients who are resistant to cladribine belong to a minor subset of classical HCL characterized by unmutated immunoglobulin heavy-chain variable (IGHV) in immunoglobulin gene analysis and affiliation of the immunoglobulin genes to the VH 4-34 family with higher tumor burden and poorer prognosis, presumably similar to unmutated subsets in other lymphoproliferative disorders like CLL.

Toxicity. Cladribine is generally a well tolerated and highly effective drug. Nevertheless, treatment with cladribine often leads to myelosuppression and cytopenias in all three cell lines, potentially arousing severe infections that are in addition to second malignancies common causes of death. The severity of side effects depends on duration and extent of disease and therapy. There may be a relationship between cladribine treatment and an increased incidence of second malignancies. In general, the risk of developing a second malignant disease appears to be higher in patients with HCL with an incidence of 31.9% compared to expected 23.1% in the general population.

Although fever is documented in 40–69% of the patients, suggesting that a main part of febrile episodes are caused by cytokine release in HCL, infections occur especially at the beginning of the treatment with high incidences of 30–50%. Here, the absolute lymphocyte count at diagnosis can be regarded as a risk factor for the occurrence of severe infections. Relatively high rates of febrile and infectious complications are caused by the continuous infusion schedules: grade 3–4 neutropenias were documented in 87% of the 349 patients treated at Scripps Clinic and febrile episodes in 42%. The adjunctive application of granulocyte-colony-stimulating factor does not seem to have positive influence on the occurrence of febrile episodes. There is a rare risk for opportunistic infections owing to a considerable suppression of CD4- and CD8-positive T lymphocytes by cladribine.

Occasional cases of various mycobacterial infections, recently tuberculosis meningoencephalitis, have been reported. Nephro- and dose-dependent neurotoxicity rather occur infrequently at recommended therapeutic dosage. More possible adverse effects are skin rash and mild-to-severe gastrointestinal side effects such as nausea, vomiting or diarrhea.

Fludarabine. Fludarabine is like 2-chlorodeoxyadenosine, a halogenated deoxyadenosine derivative that is primarily a therapeutic option in CLL. Several case reports have been published describing activity of fludarabine in HCL, too. Recently, Gerrie et al. published a retrospective analysis of 15 patients with relapsed or refractory HCL after different previous treatment attempts including at least one other PA, who were treated with 40 mg/m² per day fludarabine per os on five consecutive days in combination with the intravenous injection of 375 mg/m² rituximab, a monoclonal antibody (mAB) that will be discussed below in detail. Without assessing the depth of response in most of the cases, the authors report well-tolerated, safe and effective results after a median follow-up of 35 months with 14 progression-free patients, a 5-year PFS of 89%, an OS of 83% and a recurrence rate of 7%. These results may offer the possibility of fludarabine as a therapeutic option in relapsed or refractory patients with HCL.

Bendamustine. Bendamustine, actually approved for CLL, has properties of a PA similar to cladribine combined with alkylator activity. Multiple mechanisms of action lead to a non-cross-resistance of bendamustine with other cytotoxic agents like PA.

Kreitman et al. reported a case of multiple resistant and refractory classic HCL: a 47-year-old patient did neither respond to cladribine nor splenectomy followed by rituximab and became refractory to pentostatin after repeated cycles over several years so that he was treated with eight cycles of bendamustine 90 mg/m² on 2 days, every 4–7 weeks, achieving a PR for 6 months. Randomized trials comparing combination therapies of bendamustine and rituximab and pentostatin and rituximab are ongoing.

Treatment of choice: cladribine or pentostatin? A superiority of either PA could not be proved yet, thus pentostatin and cladribine, inducing CRR of 76-98%, can be still regarded as equally effective. Long-term results of the Royal Marsden Hospital demonstrate a median disease-free survival (DFS) of 16 years for both agents, emphasizing that the DFS of complete remissions, within longer follow-up the number of relapses increases. Then, retreatment with cladribine is a possible approach. Admittedly, response rates and duration of response after retreatment with a PA are lower and shorter, respectively. Goodman et al. reported on 207 assessable patients with a follow-up of at least 7 years. There was an ORR of 100% including 95% CR after only a single cycle of cladribine with a relapse rate of 37% after a median time of 42 months. A second cycle of therapy resulted in a response rate of 92% and a relapse rate of 33% during further follow-up. Even after a third or fourth treatment cycle patients responded to cladribine despite previous relapses after cladribine. The OS at 108 months was 97%, while 3% of the patients had died. As the results of Scripps Clinic demonstrate, where after a median time of 29 months 26% relapses were documented, relapses in patients with partial response (PR) are with 64% vs 24% higher than in those who achieved CR.

Despite these results, ~10% of the patients are poor responders and ~5% non-responders to PA. In respect to the results of an Italian multicenter clinical trial, it seems that the patients who are resistant to cladribine belong to a minor subset of classical HCL characterized by unmutated immunoglobulin heavy-chain variable (IGHV) in immunoglobulin gene analysis and affiliation of the immunoglobulin genes to the VH 4-34 family with higher tumor burden and poorer prognosis, presumably similar to unmutated subsets in other lymphoproliferative disorders like CLL.

Considering these aspects, IG gene analysis may have an important role in diagnosis and prognosis of HCL.

Toxicity. Cladribine is generally a well tolerated and highly effective drug. Nevertheless, treatment with cladribine often leads to myelosuppression and cytopenias in all three cell lines, potentially arousing severe infections that are in addition to second malignancies common causes of death. The severity of side effects depends on duration and extent of disease and therapy. There may be a relationship between cladribine treatment and an increased incidence of second malignancies.

In general, the risk of developing a second malignant disease appears to be higher in patients with HCL with an incidence of 31.9% compared to expected 23.1% in the general population.

Although fever is documented in 40–69% of the patients, suggesting that a main part of febrile episodes are caused by cytokine release in HCL, infections occur especially at the beginning of the treatment with high incidences of 30–50%. Here, the absolute lymphocyte count at diagnosis can be regarded as a risk factor for the occurrence of severe infections. Relatively high rates of febrile and infectious complications are caused by the continuous infusion schedules: grade 3–4 neutropenias were documented in 87% of the 349 patients treated at Scripps Clinic and febrile episodes in 42%. The adjunctive application of granulocyte-colony-stimulating factor does not seem to have positive influence on the occurrence of febrile episodes. There is a rare risk for opportunistic infections owing to a considerable suppression of CD4- and CD8-positive T lymphocytes by cladribine.

Occasional cases of various mycobacterial infections, recently tuberculosis meningoencephalitis, have been reported. Nephro- and dose-dependent neurotoxicity rather occur infrequently at recommended therapeutic dosage. More possible adverse effects are skin rash and mild-to-severe gastrointestinal side effects such as nausea, vomiting or diarrhea.

Fludarabine. Fludarabine is like 2-chlorodeoxyadenosine, a halogenated deoxyadenosine derivative that is primarily a therapeutic option in CLL. Several case reports have been published describing activity of fludarabine in HCL, too. Recently, Gerrie et al. published a retrospective analysis of 15 patients with relapsed or refractory HCL after different previous treatment attempts including at least one other PA, who were treated with 40 mg/m² per day fludarabine per os on five consecutive days in combination with the intravenous injection of 375 mg/m² rituximab, a monoclonal antibody (mAB) that will be discussed below in detail. Without assessing the depth of response in most of the cases, the authors report well-tolerated, safe and effective results after a median follow-up of 35 months with 14 progression-free patients, a 5-year PFS of 89%, an OS of 83% and a recurrence rate of 7%. These results may offer the possibility of fludarabine as a therapeutic option in relapsed or refractory patients with HCL.

Bendamustine. Bendamustine, actually approved for CLL, has properties of a PA similar to cladribine combined with alkylator activity. Multiple mechanisms of action lead to a non-cross-resistance of bendamustine with other cytotoxic agents like PA.

Kreitman et al. reported a case of multiple resistant and refractory classic HCL: a 47-year-old patient did neither respond to cladribine nor splenectomy followed by rituximab and became refractory to pentostatin after repeated cycles over several years so that he was treated with eight cycles of bendamustine 90 mg/m² on 2 days, every 4–7 weeks, achieving a PR for 6 months. Randomized trials comparing combination therapies of bendamustine and rituximab and pentostatin and rituximab are ongoing.
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Table 2. Cladribine: treatment and long-term follow-up in hairy cell leukemia

| Publication | Administration regimen | Dosage (mg/m² per day) | Patients (evaluable) n | Remission rates | Median time of follow-up (months) | Median duration of response (months) | Relapse rate (%) | Risk of 2nd cancers (%) | Outcome |
|-------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Cheson 32   | CI for 7 days           | 0.10                   | 979 (861)              | 50              | 37                              | 87                                  | 52              | 44 +                     | OS at 4 years 86%, DFS at 4 years 84%, PFS at 4 years 76% |
|              |                        |                        |                       |                 |                                 |                                     |                 |                          |         |
| Saven 33    | CI for 7 days           | 0.087–0.10             | 358 (349)              | 91              | 7                               | 98                                  | 58              | CR: 53, PR: 37           | OS at 48 months 96% |
| Goodman 34  | CI for 7 days           | 0.10                   | 209 (207)              | 95              | 5                               | 100                                 | 84              | 98                       | OS at 108 months 97% |
|              | 2nd course              |                        |                       |                 |                                 |                                     |                 |                          |         |
|              | 3rd course              |                        |                       |                 |                                 |                                     |                 |                          |         |
|              | 4th course              |                        |                       |                 |                                 |                                     |                 |                          |         |
| Jehn 35     | CI for 7 days           | 0.10                   | 44                    | 98              | 2                               | 100                                 | 102             | 48                       | OS at 79%, DFS at 12 years (median 8.4 years) 36% |
| Chadha 36   | CI for 7 days           | 0.10                   | 86 (85)               | 79              | 21                              | 100                                 | 116 +           | CR: 35                   | OS 87%, PFS for 1st relapse after 12 years 54% |
| Robak 37    | 2 h i.v. for 5 days     | 0.12                   | 68 (62)               | 76              | 19                              | 95                                  | N/A             | N/A                      | Est. OS at 6.5 years 91%, mPFS 4.3 years |
|              | 2 h i.v./week for 6 weeks |                        |                       |                 |                                 |                                     |                 |                          |         |
| Zenhäusern 38| Daily s.c. inj. for 5 days | 0.12                  | 64 (54)               | 72              | 19                              | 91                                  | N/A             | N/A                      | Est. OS at 6.5 years 88%, mPFS 5.1 years |
|              | Weekly s.c. inj. for 5 weeks |                        |                       |                 |                                 |                                     |                 |                          |         |
| Dearden 25  | CI for 7 days recently switched to: s.c. inj. for 5 days | 0.10; 0.14            | 54                    | 76              | 24                              | 100                                 | 192             | N/A                      | Est. EFS at 5 years 77% |
| Else 48     |                        |                        |                       |                 |                                 |                                     |                 |                          |         |
|              | 2nd course              |                        |                       |                 |                                 |                                     |                 |                          |         |
|              | 3rd course              |                        |                       |                 |                                 |                                     |                 |                          |         |

Abbreviations: C, cladribine; CI, confidence interval; CR, complete response; CRR, complete response rate; DFS, disease-free survival; N/A, not available; ORR, overall response rate; P, pentostatin; PR, partial response; PRR, partial response rate.
increased risk of second malignancies and a probably higher risk for late infections due to a treatment with cladribine have to undergo further investigations.  

In conclusion, both agents seem to be interchangeable according to the current state of knowledge so that the choice of first-line therapy remains to the therapist, his experiences and the individual settings of the patient, always aiming to achieve CR, as this represents the most significant predictor of prolonged DFS.  

Patients who achieved and kept CR for at least 5 years show a reduced risk of relapse of 25% by 15 years.  

Nevertheless, relapses occur in 30-40% of the cases.  

There is a median relapse-free survival (RFS) < 7 years and the PFS and DFS curves do not reach a plateau, suggesting that curing HCL with PA is not possible.  

A persistence of HCL after achieving CR with first-line treatment defined as minimal residual disease (MRD) can be detected by different methods like immunophenotypic analysis, immunohistochemical staining or DNA polymerase chain reaction varying between values from < 50% to nearly all of the patients after one cycle of cladribine, depending on time and method of bone marrow examination.  

Immunotherapeutic approaches

Clinical trials with immunotherapeutic agents show promising results, especially in the treatment of patients resistant to, or relapsed after, treatment with PA and in the eradication of MRD.  

Owing to the fact that residual HC are strongly positive for CD20, CD22 and CD25, these surface antigens can be used as targets either for unconjugated mAB or for recombinant immunotoxins (RIT), a composite drug combining the binding specificity of the variable fragment of an mAB and the cytotoxic effects of a bacterial toxin.  

Although RIT are more cytotoxic than mAB alone, even to cells with a rare expression of the target sites, a problem related to the therapy with RIT is the development of antidrug antibodies, which neutralize drug activity, shorten half-life and may cause life-threatening immune responses in rare cases for which reason therapy has to be terminated in cases of antibody formation.  

Table 3 (refs 62–70) and Table 4 (refs 58, 71–73) summarize selected studies of immunotherapeutic approaches.

Anti-CD20 (rituximab). CD20 is a non-glycosylated transmembrane protein with calcium channel function, which is probably involved in the regulation and proliferation of normal B cells and highly expressed on a great number of B-lymphoid tumor cells.  

Patients who are assessed positive for MRD after treatment with cladribine show a high expression of CD20 with more than 100,000 sites per cell, representing a target for the humanized anti-CD20 mAB rituximab.

A dosage of 375 mg/m² of rituximab applied as weekly intravenous infusions is common.  

However, the optimal dosing schedule has not been established yet. There may be six to eight infusions necessary to reach a CR and MRD eradication.

It should be mentioned that most of the studies reporting on the efficacy of rituximab are based on small study groups, often consisting of not uniformly pretreated patients.  

Although the single use of rituximab in the treatment of HCL achieves CRR of 10–60%, the combination of rituximab with a PA, showing synergistic effects, results in higher CRR up to 100% with a high rate of MRD eradication up to 92%. Kreitman suggests that the reduced efficacy of the single use of rituximab compared with PA is based on cellular resistance developments involving the apoptotic pathway, immune mediators and/or limited tumor penetration. However, the combination of rituximab and PA is not only effective in newly diagnosed and relapsed cases but also in patients resistant to single use of cladribine and in MRD eradication independently of IGH mutational status.  

An overview of selected trials with rituximab is shown in Table 3.
Else et al.\textsuperscript{70} reported in a retrospective review of 18 patients who could be successfully treated with four to eight weekly intravenous infusions of 375 mg/m\textsuperscript{2} rituximab after one to six courses of either pentostatin ($n = 12$) or cladribine ($n = 6$), achieving an ORR of 100% with 89% durable CR and significant longer DFS after combination therapy compared with first-line treatment with PA.\textsuperscript{70} Regarding these results, combination therapy with rituximab seems not only to be safe and effective but also beneficial in comparison to single use of a PA.\textsuperscript{70} A superiority of either PA has not been proved yet, thus the combination drug should be chosen with regard to previous treatment.\textsuperscript{70}

The question whether rituximab should be applied simultaneously or sequentially to the PA has been answered yet.\textsuperscript{69} Although the concurrent therapy of rituximab and a PA in comparison with the sequential administration in patients with CLL seems to result in higher response rates (90% vs 77%), and also in higher rates of toxic events (grade 3–4 neutropenia 74% vs 41%, infusion-related toxicity 20% vs 0%),\textsuperscript{41,77,78} the long-term results for both treatment regimens tend to have a similar outcome.\textsuperscript{70,79} With respect to the retrospective review of eight patients by Else et al.,\textsuperscript{70} a concurrent schedule of rituximab together with a PA in recurrent/refractory HCL may achieve higher and more durable CR but probably with a higher risk of infectious complications.\textsuperscript{78} Combining a 5-day schedule of 5.6 mg/m\textsuperscript{2} 2-h infusions of cladribine with a sequential course of rituximab of eight weekly infusions of 375 mg/m\textsuperscript{2} started 1 month later, Ravandi et al.\textsuperscript{69} achieved a CRR of 100% after completion of the therapy in their phase II study including 36 patients either with HCL or the variant form ($n = 5$), suggesting that this schedule may increase CRR. Kreitman et al.\textsuperscript{59} prefer the sequential administration of rituximab 6 months after a 5- to 7-day course of cladribine because earlier application may reduce efficacy and increase toxicity with respect to the myelosuppressive effects of cladribine. Moreover, infusional reactions requiring steroids, which may block rituximab, were not observed in the recommended schedule.\textsuperscript{55}

Known side effects of rituximab are reversible grade 3–4 infections including neutropenic fever, cellulitis, herpes zoster dermatis, grade 1–2 nausea, rash, fatigue, weight loss\textsuperscript{69} or gastrointestinal bleeding caused by thrombocytopenia.\textsuperscript{1} Despite significantly low levels of CD4-positive lymphocytes and immunoglobulin G, opportunistic infections are rarely observed in most clinical studies.\textsuperscript{78} Because of the lower toxicity profile, rituximab may be an alternative option in relapsed patients to avoid the cumulative toxicity of repeated courses of PA.\textsuperscript{76}

Owing to the fact that there is no evident benefit for the eradication of MRD and owing to the high costs for rituximab, the question whether all patients with newly diagnosed HCL should receive a treatment with a combination therapy including rituximab as first-line therapy cannot be answered yet.\textsuperscript{42,69,78} Ravandi\textsuperscript{78} regards rituximab as an ideal agent for the eradication of MRD with the potential in extending RFS and recommends a combination therapy for patients with a high risk of relapse or refractory disease, such as patients with unmutated IGHV and VH 4-34 gene rearrangement.\textsuperscript{78} However, standardized indications for a first-line use of rituximab have to be established.\textsuperscript{42}

**Anti-CD25.** LMB-2 was the first RIT with reported efficacy in HCL.\textsuperscript{1,55} It is composed of the variable regions of the anti-CD25 mAB anti-Tac and the truncated form of pseudomonas exotoxin PE38.\textsuperscript{1,4,58} In a phase I trial, four patients with HCL achieved one CR and three PR\textsuperscript{55} after a total dose of >60 $\mu$g/kg per cycle.\textsuperscript{81} Owing to the fact that RIT may be preferable treatment options for multiple relapsed or refractory patients, including patients with the CD25-negative HCL-V, research is focused on RIT targeting CD22.\textsuperscript{1,4,19,55}

**Anti-CD22.** CD22 is a B-cell-specific surface antigen of mature B lymphocytes that is neither on normal tissues nor on early progenitor B cells, nor on hematopoietic stem cells,\textsuperscript{59} but with a median of 40 000 sites per cell strongly expressed on probably all HC.\textsuperscript{55} Toxic effects of anti-CD22 therapeutics are thus directed substantially against the mature B-cell pool, reducing myelotoxicity.\textsuperscript{59} Hence, especially neutropenic, immunocompromised or old patients may benefit from therapy with anti-CD22 immunotherapeutics.\textsuperscript{1} Although initial investigations with unconjugated anti-CD22 mAB did not convince,\textsuperscript{59} the first developed RIT targeting CD22, BL-22 (CAT-3888), consisting of a single-chain variable fragment of the anti-CD22 RF34 antibody fused to a truncated form of pseudomonas exotoxin called PE38, showed more successful results\textsuperscript{60} and its application in HCL developed to the most successful use of RIT.\textsuperscript{82} The mechanism of action is simplified based on the binding of the variable fragment of the RIT to its target on the cell surface and the initiation of cell death via inhibition of protein synthesis by ADP ribosylation of elongation factor 2 and via activation of caspase system through the bacterial toxin in the cytosol after internalization of the RIT–CD (cluster of determination) complex via the endocytic compartment and the endoplasmic reticulum.\textsuperscript{59,60}

Using intravenous application schedules with drug administration of 3–40 $\mu$g/kg as the maximum-tolerated dose every other day (QOD) for three doses repeating cycle every 21–28 days, Kreitman et al.\textsuperscript{60} reached CRR of 47–61% in phase I and II trials with BL-22.\textsuperscript{60} Toxicity profile included the occurrence of a reversible hemolytic uremic syndrome in 13% of the patients in the phase I trial and in 6% of the phase II trial.\textsuperscript{19} As a median RFS of approximately 7 years of follow-up was documented in these trials, a significant efficacy of BL-22 in relapsed/refractory HCL could be determined.\textsuperscript{60}

To expand the field for the application of anti-CD22 immunotoxins to more common lymphoproliferative disorders with fewer expression of CD22 on cell surface, an RIT with up to a 50-fold higher cytotoxicity owing to a higher affinity to CD22 was developed out of BL-22 by mutagenesis studies, called Moxetumomab pasudotox (HA-22, CAT-8015).\textsuperscript{60} Kreitman et al.\textsuperscript{58} pursued a phase I trial with HA-22 including 28 patients with

### Table 4. RIT in the treatment of HCL

| Publication | RIT | Dosage | Schedule | Patients | CRR (%) | PRR (%) | ORR (%) |
|-------------|-----|--------|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|
| Kreitman\textsuperscript{1} 2000 | LMB-2 | Anti-CD25 | 2–63 $\mu$g/kg | QOD $\times$ 3 | 4 | 25 | 75 | 100 |
| Kreitman\textsuperscript{2,2} 2005 | BL22 | Anti-CD22 | 3–50 $\mu$g/kg | QOD $\times$ 3 | 31 | 62 | 19 | 81 |
| Kreitman\textsuperscript{3,3} 2009 | BL22 | Anti-CD22 | 40 $\mu$g/kg | QOD $\times$ 3 | 36 | 25 | 25 | 50 |
| **After 2nd course for 56% of the patients** | | | | | | | |
| Kreitman\textsuperscript{58} 2012 | HA22 | Anti-CD22 | 5–50 $\mu$g/kg | QOD $\times$ 3 | 36 | 47 | 25 | 72 |

Abbreviations: CRR, complete response rate; ORR, overall response rate; QOD, every other day.
relapsed/refractory HCL who were treated with 5-50 μg/kg every other day for three doses and median four cycles (range 1–16) resulting in an ORR of 86% including 46% durable CR without MRD.58 CR could not be achieved in prior splenectomized patients, suggesting that splenectomy should be avoided in cases where HA-22 is a treatment option.58 Neutralizing antibodies were detected in 5% after one cycle and totally in 38%, indicating that retreatment of most of the patients until maximum response may be feasible without monitoring neutralizing antibodies.58 Although no dose-limiting toxicity occurred, drug-related toxicity was documented in 25–64% including grade 1–2 hypoalbuminemia, aminotransferase elevations, edema, headache, hypotension, nausea and fatigue.58 Considering efficacy and safety of Moxetumomab pasudotox, Kreitman et al.58,60 and Kreitman and Pastan58,60 aim further trials to apply the drug for treatment in HCL.58,60

**Anti CD-52 (alemtuzumab).** Alemutumab is theoretically useful in HCL because of the common expression of CD52 on HC, but causes prolonged immunosuppression.27

**BRAF V600E mutation**

Searching for HCL-associated mutations, Tiacci et al.83 discovered the BRAF V600E mutation in HCL.83 Therefore, leukemic and normal cells of peripheral blood of an index patient with HCL were analyzed and validated by Sanger sequencing in 47 additional assays of patients with HCL. Although the mutation in BRAF was found in the entire tumor cells of all of the 47 patients with HCL, it did not appear in one of the 195 additional examined samples of patients with other peripheral B-cell lymphomas or leukemias like SMZL.83 In conclusion, BRAF V600E mutation seems to have an important role in the pathogenesis of HCL if it is not even the disease-defining genetic event.83–89 Although the BRAF V600E mutation is already described with lower frequency in other human cancers, such as melanomas (50%),83,88 papillary thyroid cancers (40–60%),83,88 colorectal cancers (8–10%),59,88 Langerhans cell histiocytosis (57%)83 and more rarely in non-small-cell carcinoma of the lung and ovarian cancers,88 this variant of BRAF mutations is not known in other B-cell disorders.83,84 It is a missense mutation in the proto- oncogene BRAF, replacing valine with glutamic acid at position 600 of the protein90 and leading to an enhanced constitutive activation of the BRAF kinase, a serine–threonine protein kinase87,88,90 that is involved in the RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK and mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling pathways, which regulate cell survival, proliferation and differentiation.83,91 Tiacci et al.83,91 could also demonstrate that downstream targets of BRAF kinase like phosphorylated ERK are ubiquitously expressed in HC. Thereby, this mutation can be regarded as the key trigger of MEK-ERK pathway activation in HCL, representing a critical event in the pathogenesis of HCL.84,88,91

Although Tiacci’s findings of the presence of the BRAF V600E mutation in HCL and the absence in other B-cell lymphoproliferative disorders were confirmed in further investigations,90,92–98 Yi et al.99 found wild-type BRAF not only in all his patients with HCL-V or expressing IGHV 4-34 but also in 11 of 53 patients with classical HCL, suggesting that HCL-V, HCL expressing IGHV 4-34 and subgroups of classic HCL differ in terms of pathogenesis.78,99 Whereas Lennerz et al.100 reported a case of a CD5-positive variant of HCL with BRAF wild type, several cases of BRAF V600E-negative HCL have been reported.101 The lack of BRAF V600E mutation in different HCL cell lines indicates either that these do not seem to be of authentic HCL origin as expected in previous models83,86 or that they belong to minor subsets of HCL.

Regarding the results of 2019 cases tested for the BRAF V600E mutation in HCL and other hematological malignancies with a Youden index of 0.97 and a specificity of 97.6%, analysis of the BRAF V600E status may be a useful diagnostic method in HCL.100 In this respect, Sanger sequencing,83 high-resolution melting analysis assay,102 allele-specific polymerase chain reaction,103,104 pyrosequencing-based approaches,98,100,105 and immuno- histochemical markers such as mouse mAB (clone VE1) as an inexpensive alternative to DNA-based methods,106 or the immunostaining for phospho-ERK,97,107 are under investigation. Regarding these approaches, the diagnostic use of BRAF V600E mutation may simplify the differentiation of HCL to HCL-like disorders, such as SMZL, HCL-V and IGHV 4-34 cases so that the indication for a therapy with PA can be found more easily.84,87,88,106

The novel insights of the pathogenesis of HCL may also allow new therapeutic options, especially for patients who need an alternative to PA because of non-sufficient response, persistence of BRAF-V600E at partial remission, relapse or toxic side effects of chemotherapy. The use of active BRAF inhibitors like BRAF V600E inhibitors,93,83,84,91 and/or MEK inhibitors,91 which have already been used very effective in BRAF V600E mutated melanomas,91,91 could be an alternative to PA. Dietrich et al.108 reported on one patient suffering from HCL refractory to conventional treatments who could be successfully treated with the BRAF inhibitor vemurafenib: starting at low doses of 240 mg twice daily followed by a slow dose escalation, CR could be achieved on day 43 of 56 days of treatment, supporting the hypothesis that BRAF V600E represents the disease-causing event in HCL and offers a promising therapeutic target.108 Prolonged remission at 6 months after treatment without evidence for MRD has been documented.109 More off-trial use of low-dose vemurafenib in HCL resulting in one complete110 and one partial111 remission has been reported. Recently, Simalikalactone E, an extract of an Amazonian antimalarial agent, has been identified as a potent BRAF V600E inhibitor.112 BRAF inhibitors are specifically directed against BRAF mutated cells causing apoptosis by interruption of MEK-ERK signaling clearing CD20-positive HC whilst probably sparing normal hematopoiesis.109 However, it has to be mentioned that BRAF inhibitor-induced remissions are often short lived.87

In conclusion, the role of BRAF V600E mutation in pathogenesis, diagnosis and therapy in HCL has to be defined in further investigations.

**Alternative approaches**

Kreitman and Jones et al.113 reported a case of a patient with multiple relapsed HCL refractory to PA and rituximab who could reach CR after treatment with the non-selective cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor flavopiridol as part of a phase II study for the treatment of indolent B-cell malignancies including HCL.113

Phase I and II trials targeting the B-cell receptor signaling pathways have already been tested in non-Hodgkin lymphoma such as CLL or mantle cell lymphoma. Sivina et al.114 showed that Bruton’s tyrosine kinase inhibitors such as ibrutinib have the potential to block B-cell receptor signaling, proliferation and survival in primary HCL and HCL cell lines in vitro.114 Further investigations are needed to prove the therapeutical potential of these agents in HCL.

Kiyasu et al.115 reported on a patient suffering from HCL who was refractory to several treatment approaches inter alia to a combination therapy of cladribine and rituximab but who could be successfully treated with a combination of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation followed by four weekly doses of 375 mg/m² rituximab.115 Regarding a case report of Zinzani et al.,116 allogeneic stem cell transplantation may be an alternative strategy in HCL patients resistant to chemoimmunotherapy.116 Further experiences with stem cell transplantation in HCL are limited to few case reports.116,117
Initial treatment
When there are no contraindications, PA are still recommended as treatment of choice, yielding CRR of 75–100%. Although a superiority in the efficacy of the two PA has not been proved yet, cladribine is used more often as first-line agent because of its advantageous administration and safety profile. Conclusive recommendations for the dosage of cladribine are lacking. The most favored dosing schemes are the 7-day continuous infusion schedule of 0.1 mg/kg per day, or the administration of short intravenous infusions of 0.14 mg/kg per day for five consecutive days. The alternative subcutaneous application of cladribine seems to be the most cost-effective option and is already used as treatment of choice. If possible, the application of PA should be delayed in patients with an ongoing infection owing to the possible prolonged myelosuppression aggravated, especially through cladribine. An alternative strategy in this situation is the previous administration of IFN- to increase granulocyte levels for a better anti-infective response of antibiotic or anti-fungal therapy. Induction therapy can be started with 3 \times 10^6 IU daily until maximum response followed by a maintenance therapy with thrice weekly subcutaneous injections of the same dose. A reduced regimen only consisting of thrice weekly application of IFN- is recommended for very cytopenic patients. If there are contraindications against PA and IFN-, which often can be difficult to handle in older patients because of flu-like symptoms, fatigue, depression, immune disturbances or cardiac arrhythmia, rituximab may be an alternative as first-line strategy. Torrey et al. recommend the use of pentostatin, especially in patients who relapse within 12 months after a first treatment approach with cladribine. The most common regimen for pentostatin is based on an intravenous dose of 4 mg/m² every 14 days until CR plus one or two consolidating injections if applicable. Contraindications for a therapy with the renal cleared pentostatin are a serum creatinine > 1.5 mg/dl or a clearance < 60 ml/min. Nevertheless, at a clearance of 40–60 ml/min, the dosage of pentostatin can be reduced to 2 mg/m². In every case, renal function has to be observed during therapy and 1.5 l fluid hydration with each dose should be administered. In patients with huge splenomegaly or in pregnancy, splenectomy may be considered as first-line strategy. If treatment is necessary, alternative therapeutic options in pregnant HCL patients are IFN- or mAB (rituximab), depending on individual multitude factors. Figure 1 gives an overview of the management of HCL.

After normalization of blood counts, a bone marrow examination 4–6 months after therapy with cladribine or after eight to nine courses of pentostatin is recommended to evaluate response. Grever recommends a careful follow-up of the patient within the first year after achieving CR including monthly to quarterly intervals depending on blood values. Before starting (re)treatment at suspected relapse, a bone marrow specimen should be examined.

Treatment of relapsed, resistant and residual disease
There is no international consensual agreement for a standardized procedure for relapsed, refractory or patients with residual disease in HCL, yet. The most commonly used options in these situations include repeated cycles either with the same or the alternative PA, IFN-, splenectomy, combination therapies with rituximab or clinical trials with RIT. Naik and Saven recommend repeated cycles with cladribine in late relapses with RFS intervals > 12-18 months and limit the use of pentostatin for early relapses after cladribine within 6 months. After first-line treatment with either cladribine or pentostatin, the
administration of the alternative PA is recommended in early relapses within 2 years after the first treatment cycle, and a repeated cycle of the same PA for relapses that appear after 2 years or in cases of residual disease. Subsequent cycles of PA result in lower response rates (70–90%) with shorter duration and may induce the risk for prolonged CD4 lymphopenia, bone marrow hypoplasia with cytopenia and opportunistic infections owing to the prolonged immuno- and myelosuppression.

To avoid the accumulation of such adverse effects of further treatment with PA, combination or consolidation chemotherapy with rituximab can be pursued as an alternative strategy. By default, 375 mg/m² rituximab are applied as a weekly injection. Filgrastim may be an option in patients with previous life-threatening infections or with severe cladribine-induced anemia. However, filgrastim may not be an erythropoietin-stimulating agent because of the rareness of responder patients. Filgrastim in patients with previous life-threatening infections or with severe neutropenia with high risk for infection.19

If transfections of blood products are necessary in patients once treated with pentostatin or cladribine, all of the products have to be irradiated to reduce the occurrence of transfection-related graft-versus-host-disease.1,10

Supportive care

Before the initiation of chemotherapy, all sources of infection should be rehabilitated. Pneumocystis jirovecii prophylaxis with 960 mg co-trimoxozol three times weekly is recommended for lymphopenic patients at least until counts > 10/l. Saven et al. do neither recommend a routine use of granulocyte-colony-stimulating factor based on their findings in a phase II study investigating the influence of filgrastim on cladribine-induced severe neutropenia nor prophylactic erythropoietin-stimulating agents because of the rareness of severe cladribine-induced anemia. However, filgrastim may be an option in patients with previous life-threatening infections or with severe neutropenia with high risk for infection.

If transfections of blood products are necessary in patients once treated with pentostatin or cladribine, all of the products have to be irradiated to reduce the occurrence of transfection-related graft-versus-host-disease.1,10
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