Adolescents’ engagement in multiple risk behaviours is associated with concussion
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Abstract

Background: The objective of this study was to investigate the relationship between engagement in multiple risk behaviours (MRB) and concussion amongst youth.

Methods: This was a cross-sectional study that used survey data collected from 3059 students in grades 6–10 (approximate ages 11–15 years) from Ontario, Canada. Students reported whether or not they had a medically diagnosed concussion within the previous 12 months and the frequency that they participated in several risky behaviours including fighting, bullying, smoking, drinking alcohol, using illicit drugs, drinking caffeinated beverages, not using protective equipment, and having unsafe sex. Responses to the risky behavior items were used to create a MRB score. The association between MRB and concussion was explored using logistic regression that controlled for several confounding variables.

Results: Approximately 10.7% of students reported that they had a medically diagnosed concussion within the past year. A dose-response relationship was found between MRB and concussion among students in grades 9–10, while in grades 6–8 students only those in the highest MRB quartile had an increased likelihood of concussion. The relative odds for concussion in the highest versus the lowest MRB quartile were 4.67 (95% confidence interval: 2.33, 9.35) in grades 9–10 students and 2.94 (95% confidence interval, 1.90, 4.56) in grades 6–8 students.

Conclusions: Engagement in MRB may be an important etiologic component of adolescent concussion. Future studies should address whether behavioural interventions designed to decrease engagement in MRB reduce the risk of concussion and other injuries.
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physical risks (Zuckerman, 1979). Adolescents who take physical risks may increase their injury risk. Indeed, studies of demographically diverse adolescent samples report that MRB are associated with the occurrence of general and site specific injury (Koven et al., 2005; Pickett et al., 2002a; Pickett et al., 2002b). Studies have also reported that engagement in specific risky behaviours, such as alcohol use (Alcock et al., 2018) and physical violence (Buckley and Chapman, 2017), are associated with concussion. However, to our knowledge, the association between MRB and concussion has not been examined.

Therefore, our objective was to investigate the relationship between engagement in MRB and concussion among adolescents. We hypothesized that adolescents engaging in more MRBs would be more likely to have a concussion.

**Methods**

**Study population and design**

Study data are from the 2013/2014 Canadian Health Behaviour in School-Aged Children (HBSC) study (Freeman, 2016). The HBSC is a nationally representative survey. It was comprised of a confidential classroom-based health questionnaire completed by grade 6–10 students (approximate ages 11–15 years). A cluster sample design was used with school classes as the basic cluster. Classes were selected across all provinces in territories using a probability technique to ensure proportional representation by community size and location, language, and religion.

This study only included data from Ontario participants, as the surveys administered in other provinces and territories did not assess concussions. The Ontario sample consisted of 5888 students from 81 schools. We excluded 2838 students who did not respond to the concussion item, one or more of the MRB items, or the confounding variables, leaving a final sample of 3050.

**Concussion**

The concussion item asked: “During the past 12 months, have you been told by a doctor or nurse that you had a concussion?” Students who responded to the “Yes, 1 time” or “Yes, more than 1 time” options were placed into the concussion group. Injury reporting patterns in the HBSC accurately reflect injuries treated in the emergency room (Pickett et al., 2000).

**Multiple risk behaviours**

MRB assessment was based on a composite MRB indicator developed in the HBSC (Kwong et al., 2018). Thirteen risky behaviours were considered: bullying, physical fighting, cigarette smoking, use of alternative tobacco products, alcohol consumption (frequency of drinking, number of drinks per typical event, drunkenness, binge drinking), cannabis use, illicit drug use, sexual history, helmet non-use on a bicycle, and caffeinated beverage consumption. Survey items instructed participants to report on their frequency of engagement using ordinal response categories. As explained in Table 1, responses were recoded into 3 categories describing no, moderate, or high engagement (Kwong et al., 2018). These recoded variables were included in a factor analysis, separately for grade 6–8 and 9–10 students, to derive a composite MRB score. A single factor emerged within each grade group. Students were placed into quartiles based on their factor score. Separate factor analyses were performed in grade 6–8 and 9–10 students because the more sensitive MRB items on drug use and sexual intercourse were not included in the grade 6–8 survey.

**Confounding variables**

Confounding variables included age, gender, self-rated family affluence as measure of socioeconomic status, ethnicity, and body mass index z-scores based on WHO growth references (de Onis et al., 2007). These variables were selected because they are correlates of concussion (based on an unpublished literature review we performed) and because they were assessed in the HBSC survey.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 24 (IBM, Armonk, New York, USA). Variance estimates were adjusted to account for clustering by classroom. Descriptive statistics were used to describe the sample. Chi-square analyses determined if concussion prevalence varied across MRB quartiles. Logistic regression determined whether the relative odds of concussion differed across MRB quartiles. A p trend test determined if there was a dose-response relationship. Regression models controlled for confounding variables.

**Results**

Descriptive characteristics are in Table 2. One thousand nine hundred seventy-six participants were in grades 6–8 and 1086 were in grades 9–10. There were slightly more females than males. The majority were Caucasian (71.7%) and identified their family affluence as average or quite well-off (87.3%). As shown in Fig. 1, the prevalence of concussion increased significantly (p < .001) across MRB quartiles within both grades 6–8 and 9–10 students. The results of the logistic regression analyses are in Table 3. In grade 6–8 students, the relative odds of concussion was not significantly higher (p > 0.05) for the second or third MRB quartile by comparison to the first quartile. However, by comparison to quartile 1, the...
The relative odds of concussion in was 2.94 (95% CI: 1.90, 4.56) in quartile 4 \( (p < .01) \). In grade 9–10 students, a dose-response relationship was observed between MRB and concussion \( (p_{\text{trend}} < 0.001) \). Quartile 4 exhibited relative odds of 4.67 (95% CI: 2.33, 9.35) by comparison to quartile 1 \( (p < .001) \).

**Discussion**

This study provides a novel analysis of the relationship between MRB and concussion. The dose-response relationship between MRB and concussion observed in grade 9–10 students is consistent with previous studies that found dose-response relationships for adolescent injury based on level of engagement in MRB (Koven et al., 2005; Pickett et al., 2002a; Pickett et al., 2002b). In the grade 6–8 students, only the highest MRB quartile exhibited greater odds of concussion. These different grade-based patterns may reflect the different risky behaviours included in the composite MRB scores. It is possible that the risky behaviours not included in the survey administered to grades 6–8 students (e.g., unprotected sex, drug use) are more strongly associated with concussion. Alternatively, the relationship between MRB and concussion may become strengthened as students get older and engage in more severe risky behaviours more frequently.

Our results suggest that engagement in MRB may be a correlate of adolescent concussion. We speculate that the mechanisms explaining the link between some of the MRB (e.g., physical fighting, not wearing a helmet while riding a bicycle) and concussion reflect direct mechanisms (e.g., trauma caused by being punched in the face, hitting head when falling off bicycle, etc.). Conversely, we speculate that indirect mechanisms explain the link between concussion and many of the other MRB (e.g., smoking, alcohol, and drug use). For these risky

**Table 1** List of risky behaviours and criteria used to define level of engagement

| Risky behaviour                          | No engagement | Moderate engagement | High engagement     |
|------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Frequency of bullying                    | None          | 1–3 times a month   | ≥ 1 a week          |
| Physical fights in past year             | None          | 1 fight             | ≥ 2 fights          |
| Lifetime cigarette smoking               | Never         | 1–29 day times      | ≥ 30 times          |
| Current alternative tobacco products use | No            | 1 product           | ≥ 2 products        |
| Frequency of alcohol consumption         | Never for every type of alcoholic beverage | < 1 a month for any type of alcoholic beverage | ≥ 1 a month for any type of alcoholic beverage |
| Number of alcoholic drinks per typical event* | Never drink | 1 drink             | ≥ 2 drinks          |
| Lifetime drunkenness*                    | Never         | 1 time              | ≥ 2 times           |
| Frequency of binge drinking in past year* | Never        | ≤ 1 time a month    | ≥ 2 times a month   |
| Lifetime illicit drug use*               | Never for all drugs | Used any drug 1 time | Used ≥2 drugs or any drug ≥2 times |
| Lifetime cannabis use*                   | Never         | 1–5 times           | ≥ 6 times           |
| Lifetime sexual history                  | No experience of sexual intercourse | Had sexual intercourse and always used contraception | Had sexual intercourse without contraception ≥1 time |
| Helmet use while riding bicycle          | Never ride bike or always used helmet | Wore helmet sometimes or most of time | Never wore helmet |
| Caffeinated beverage consumption         | Never         | ≤ 1 day week        | ≥ 2 days a week     |

*not included in analysis of grade 6–8 students because items were not included in their survey

**Table 2** Descriptive characteristics of study sample

|                          | Total Sample \( (n = 3059) \) | Grade 6–8 \( (n = 1976) \) | Grade 9–10 \( (n = 1083) \) |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| **Gender**               |                 |               |               |
| Male                     | 1449 (47.4)     | 959 (48.5)    | 490 (45.2)    |
| Female                   | 1610 (52.6)     | 1017 (51.5)   | 593 (54.8)    |
| **No. concussions in past year** |          |               |               |
| 0                        | 2732 (89.3)     | 1760 (89.1)   | 972 (89.8)    |
| 1                        | 268 (8.8)       | 178 (9.0)     | 90 (8.3)      |
| ≥ 2                      | 59 (1.9)        | 38 (1.9)      | 21 (1.9)      |
| **Ethnicity**            |                 |               |               |
| White                    | 2194 (71.7)     | 1429 (72.3)   | 765 (70.6)    |
| Asian                    | 355 (11.6)      | 204 (10.3)    | 151 (13.9)    |
| African American         | 31 (1.0)        | 19 (1.0)      | 12 (1.1)      |
| Other or multi-ethnic    | 479 (15.7)      | 324 (16.4)    | 155 (14.3)    |
| **Perceived family wealth** |               |               |               |
| Not at all or not very well-off | 304 (9.9)   | 185 (9.4)     | 119 (11.0)    |
| Average or quite well-off | 2096 (68.5)    | 1334 (67.5)   | 762 (70.4)    |
| Very well-off            | 659 (21.5)      | 457 (23.1)    | 202 (18.7)    |

Data presented as n (%)
behaviours, the association may be explained by the fact that adolescents who engage in these MRB are risk takers who make decisions and behave in ways that put themselves at heightened risk for concussion (e.g., behave recklessly when riding a bicycle).

Pediatric concussion prevention has focused on protective equipment and rule modification in sport (Benson et al., 2009; Cusimano et al., 2013). The MRB assessment strategy described in this study may be useful for identifying adolescents at increased concussion risk. Future studies should address whether behavioural interventions designed to decrease engagement in MRB may be useful for reducing concussion in youth.

There are several limitations to our study. Most notably, the cross-sectional design precludes us from knowing the direction of the relationship between MRB and concussion. This study only considered medically diagnosed concussions that were self-reported by students. The self-reported concussion and MRB items are vulnerable to recall biases that likely led to measurement error and conservative odds ratio estimates. Finally, a large number of participants were removed from the analysis because of missing data.

Table 3  Relative odds of concussion according to multiple risk behaviour quartile within grade 6–8 students and grade 9–10 students

| Multiple risk behaviour quartile | Unadjusted model, OR (95% CI) | Adjusted model, * OR (95% CI) |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| **Grade 6–8 students (n = 1976)** |                               |                               |
| Quartile 1 (referent)            | 1.00                          | 1.00                          |
| Quartile 2                       | 1.19 (0.80, 1.83)             | 1.10 (0.68, 1.77)             |
| Quartile 3                       | 1.31 (0.86, 1.99)             | 1.22 (0.76, 1.96)             |
| Quartile 4                       | 3.50 (2.40, 5.08)             | 2.94 (1.90, 4.56)             |
| **Grades 9–10 (n = 1083)**       |                               |                               |
| Quartile 1 (referent)            | 1.00                          | 1.00                          |
| Quartile 2                       | 2.35 (1.16, 4.74)             | 2.21 (1.05, 4.65)             |
| Quartile 3                       | 2.94 (1.49, 5.82)             | 2.71 (1.32, 5.56)             |
| Quartile 4                       | 4.74 (2.47, 9.09)             | 4.67 (2.33, 9.35)             |

*Adjusted for age, gender, ethnicity, perceived family affluence, and body mass index z-score

**Conclusions**

Risk for concussion was significantly elevated among adolescents with the highest level of MRB engagement. This study highlights the need to appreciate concussion as a broader public health concern with modifiable behavioural risk factors. Future studies should consider behavioural interventions designed to decrease adolescent engagement in multiple risk behaviours, in order to reduce concussion in youth.
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