Knowledge, attitudes and practices towards COVID-19 among nursing students of the University of Palermo: results from an online survey
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Summary

Introduction
Coronaviruses (CoVs) are a large family of viruses that circulate worldwide having an extensive range of natural hosts. This family includes common human CoVs, that usually cause mild to moderate upper respiratory tract illnesses, and other human coronaviruses (SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV), that can cause serious infections of the lower respiratory tract, especially in infants, the elderly and immunocompromised individuals [1]. SARS-CoV-2 is a new strain of coronavirus that was never identified in humans before being reported in Wuhan, China in December 2019. On 31th December 2019, a cluster of 27 patients with pneumonia of unknown etiology was reported to local Chinese healthcare authorities in Wuhan City. The Chinese authorities reported that the cause of the outbreak was a novel Coronavirus named “2019-nCoV” [2], which was subsequently named SARS-CoV-2 (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome CoronaVirus 2). The name was chosen based on genetic similarity to the virus responsible for the SARS epidemic in 2002-2003. So, SARS-CoV-2 is responsible for a disease defined COVID-19 (CoRonaViruS Disease-19) [3]. People infected with COVID-19 may have few or no symptoms, although some become seriously ill and die. Symptoms may include fever, general fatigue, myalgia, nasal congestion, dry cough and dyspnea. Those with more severe disease may have remains of lymphopenia and imaging of the chest compatible with pneumonia, as well as severe acute respiratory syndrome, a kidney failure, and even begin to death [4]. In the following weeks, there was an exponential increase in new cases and deaths from COVID-19; then the epidemic spread all over the world, therefore on 11 March 2020 the World Health Organization (WHO) declared the epidemic a pandemic [5]. This new virus has the potential to transmit from person to person, especially in healthcare settings. The exact incubation time is uncertain; estimates vary from 1 to 14 days. Initial estimates of the mortality rate from China (2.3% in the largest series of cases) indicate that it appears to be less severe compared to SARS (10%) or MERS (35%); mortality increases with age [6].

Materials and methods
This is cross-sectional study. A survey was provided to all nursing students and consisted of two parts: demographics and Knowledge, Attitude and Practices (KAP) survey. A multivariate linear regression model was used and adjusted Odds Ratios (aOR) are presented.

Results
575 students were interviewed, and their mean age was 22.29 ± 4.2. The overall score indicates good knowledge (p=0.046) and good practices among the four courses of study (p=0.038). Multivariate linear regression showed that Attitude score (β = -0.29; p = 0.024) and Knowledge score (β = 0.10; p = 0.026) adjusted for age, gender, year of study, perceived economic status, perceived health status were significantly associated with Practice score.

Conclusion
Our results suggest that proper health education is useful for encouraging optimistic attitudes and maintaining safe practices among future category of nurses.
response to the pandemic, Italian Ministry of Health had appointed several designated hospitals for patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. These emergency situations certainly require the formation of multidisciplinary teams in hospitals to enable to provide the maximum level of care to critically ill while ensuring the protection of HCWs. However, the occurrence of asymptomatic and subclinical SARS-CoV-2 in community or in healthcare settings could be a huge threat to public health. In view of this, HCWs are at great risk of acquiring this infection or become a source of transmission for patients and their colleagues [9]. In this context, the access to personal protective equipment (PPE) for HCWs is crucial so that containment measures can reduce the rate of infection [10]. The presence of this fatal virus among HCWs brings into light the urgent need of developing a thorough awareness program by initiating infection control measures to cut down the prevalence rate of this rapid disease. As a result, efforts must focus on the prevention of the disease as well as limiting the complications of existing cases. Therefore, HCWs knowledge, attitude and practice play a major role in the prevention and restrain in complications of SARS-CoV-2 infection, in accordance with KAP theory [11, 12]. The knowledge, attitude and practices (KAP) model is one of the most used models in the medical field; the KAP model suggests that any practices (behaviours) are determined by the person’s attitude and knowledge towards the behaviours.

The aim of the study was to evaluate the knowledge, attitude and practices towards SARS-CoV-2 among the nursing students of University of Palermo during the rapid rise period of the COVID-19 pandemic. Hence, understanding nursing students’ knowledge attitude and practice regards to SARS-CoV-2 will aid in the development of appropriate and tailored strategies and intervention tools to address poor practices, improve knowledge and change attitudes.

Materials and methods

This cross-sectional survey was conducted on March 2020 the week immediately after the lockdown of Italy. A survey was provided to all nursing students of University of Palermo of the three years of course, accompanied by informed consent. Nursing students who understood the aims of the study and agreed to participate in the study were instructed to complete the questionnaire via clicking a special link. Because it was not feasible to do a community-based national sampling survey during the lockdown, we decided to collect the data online during the lessons. The on-line questionnaire was created using Google forms® and all data was deposited in an electronic database protected by password, known only to the data manager. Ethical approval was given by the local Ethical Committee of the University Hospital Paolo Giaccone of Palermo, No. 03/2020 (13. Studio Sars-CoV-2 - KAP Survey Student) of March 16, 2020. The questionnaire consisted of two parts: demographics and Knowledge, Attitude and Practices (KAP) survey. In the first section of the questionnaire, personal information was requested, relating to the course of study undertaken, the perception of the economic and health status and voluptuous habits. According to guidelines for clinical and community management of COVID-19 by the Ministry of Health of Italy [13], a KAP Survey was designed and modified from previously published research articles [14, 15]. It consists of mainly three sections: Knowledge of nursing students towards COVID-19 in which the options “Yes” or “No” against each set of 13 questions were evaluated; Attitude of nursing students towards COVID-19 in which five options: “Strongly agree (SA)”, “Agree (A)”, “Undecided (U)”, “Disagree (D)”, “Strongly disagree (SD)” against each set of 7 questions were used; and Practice of nursing students towards COVID-19 in which the options “Yes”, “No” or “Sometimes” against each set of 8 questions were evaluated. Three scores can be obtained from the KAP Survey respectively. The survey instrument assessed the knowledge of nursing students by asking questions about the nature, aetiology, symptoms, risk group, consequences, source of transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2.

Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2. Knowledge score was assessed by giving 1 to correct transmission, prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2.

In relation to the year of study, 34.3% of participants were female (68.7%) and the mean age was 22.29 ± 4.23. The study showed that the mostly participants were female (68.7%) and the mean age was 22.29 ± 4.23. The study showed that the mostly participants were female (68.7%) and the mean age was 22.29 ± 4.23. The study showed that the mostly participants were female (68.7%) and the mean age was 22.29 ± 4.23. The study showed that the mostly participants were female (68.7%) and the mean age was 22.29 ± 4.23. The study showed that the mostly participants were female (68.7%) and the mean age was 22.29 ± 4.23. The study showed that the mostly participants were female (68.7%) and the mean age was 22.29 ± 4.23. The study showed that the mostly participants were female (68.7%) and the mean age was 22.29 ± 4.23. The study showed that the mostly participants were female (68.7%) and the mean age was 22.29 ± 4.23. The study showed that the mostly participants were female (68.7%) and the mean age was 22.29 ± 4.23. The study showed that the mostly participants were female (68.7%) and the mean age was 22.29 ± 4.23. The study showed that the mostly participants were female (68.7%) and the mean age was 22.29 ± 4.23. The study showed that the mostly participants were female (68.7%) and the mean age was 22.29 ± 4.23. The study showed that the mostly participants were female (68.7%) and the mean age was 22.29 ± 4.23. The study showed that the mostly participants were female (68.7%) and the mean age was 22.29 ± 4.23. The study showed that the mostly participants were female (68.7%) and the mean age was 22.29 ± 4.23. The study showed that the mostly participants were female (68.7%) and the mean age was 22.29 ± 4.23. The study showed that the mostly participants were female (68.7%) and the mean age was 22.29 ± 4.23. The study showed that the mostly participants were female (68.7%) and the mean age was 22.29 ± 4.23. The study showed that the mostly participants were female (68.7%) and the mean age was 22.29 ± 4.23. The study showed that the mostly participants were female (68.7%) and the mean age was 22.29 ± 4.23. The study showed that the mostly participants were female (68.7%) and the mean age was 22.29 ± 4.23. The study showed that the mostly participants were female (68.7%) and the mean age was 22.29 ± 4.23. The study showed that the mostly participants were female (68.7%) and the mean age was 22.29 ± 4.23.
reported attending the first year of study, 32.2% in the second year, 24.9% in the third year and 8.7% were off course. Moreover 20.5% reported a low economic status whilst 90.9% enjoy a medium-high health status. For more details see Table I. Figure 1 describes the current status about SARS-CoV-2 knowledge among nursing students. A total of 554 (94.6%) respondents showed good knowledge while 31 (5.4%) of nursing students had poor knowledge of SARS-CoV-2. The study showed that poor knowledge was more apparent in response to questions regarding the aetiology, risk group, incubation time, correct timing for washing hands with soap, diagnosis and treatment of SARS-CoV-2 in which the rate of incorrect responses were 28.9, 30.6, 56.3, 94.8, 42.1 and 19.3% respectively.

Mean knowledge score of nursing students was 10.2 ± 1.14. Figure 2 show the current status about SARS-CoV-2 attitude among nursing students. Of 575 respondents, 521 (90.6%) showed positive attitude while 54 (9.4%) participants displayed negative attitude about SARS-CoV-2. Mean attitude score of nursing students was 1.5 ± 0.39. The most negative attitude was shown when asked whether their own participation in infection control program could reduce the prevalence of SARS-CoV-2. Conversely, majority of participants responded positively when queried about the use of protective measures and the importance of share information about SARS-CoV-2. Figure 3 describes the practices of the studied samples regarding infection control measures. The overall mean practice score was 6.9 ± 1.20. The most of the studied sample (88.9%) reported good practice and 11.1% reported poor practice. Items and relative answers are summarized in Figure 3. Table II shows bivariate associations between knowledge, attitude, practice score and years of university course attended. The overall score indicates good knowledge among first year (95.4%), second year (95.6%), third year (95.1%) off-course (86.0%) and showed statistically significant (p = 0.046). In a similar way, the overall score indicates good practices among first year (93.9%), second year (87.6%), third year (85.3%) off-course (84.0%) and showed statistically significant (p = 0.038). No statistically significant results were showed for the overall score of attitudes. Multivariate linear regression showed that Attitude score (β = -0.29; p = 0.024) and Knowledge score (β = 0.10; p = 0.026) adjusted for age, gender, year of study, perceived economic status, perceived health status was significantly associated with Practice score (Tab. III).

| N (%)          | Gender      | Male    | 180 (31.30) | Female   | 395 (68.70) |
|----------------|-------------|---------|-------------|----------|-------------|
| Year of study  |             | First   | 197 (34.26) | Second   | 185 (32.17) |
|                |             | Third   | 143 (24.87) | Off-course | 50 (8.70)  |
| Perceived     | Medium-high | 457 (79.48) |           | Low      | 118 (20.52) |
| economic status|             |         |             | Medium-high | 523 (90.96) |
|                | Low         | 52 (9.04)  |             |          |             |
| Knowledge      |             | Good knowledge | 554 (94.60) | Poor knowledge | 31 (5.40) |
| score          |             |         |             | Positive attitude | 521 (90.60) |
|                |             |         |             | Negative attitude | 54 (9.40) |
| Attitude score |             | Good practice | 511 (88.87) | Poor practice | 64 (11.13) |
| Practice score |             | Mean age | 22.29 ± 4.23 |         |             |

Fig. 1. Knowledge of the studied sample about SARS-CoV-2 infection.
Fig. 2. Attitude of the studied sample towards SARS-CoV-2 infection (SA = strongly agree, A = agree, U = undecided, D = disagree, SD = strongly disagree).

Fig. 3. Practices of the studied samples regarding infection control measures.

Tab. II. Mean score of knowledge, attitude and Practices of nursing students about SARS-CoV-2.

| Knowledge N (%) | Attitude N (%) | Practices N (%) |
|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Good (%)        | P-value        | Positive (%)    | Negative (%) | P-value |
| Poor (%)        |                | P-value         |              |         |
| First year      | 188 (95.43)    | 175 (88.85)     | 22 (11.17)   | 0.046   |
| Second year     | 177 (95.68)    | 168 (90.81)     | 17 (9.19)    |         |
| Third year      | 136 (95.10)    | 135 (94.41)     | 8 (5.59)     |         |
| Off-course      | 43 (86.00)     | 43 (86.00)      | 7 (14.00)    |         |

Used Pearson chi-square test.
Discussion

To date, April 6th, 2021, according to data published daily by the WHO [17], Italy is the second Country in European Community in terms of confirmed cases of COVID-19 with the sad primacy of deaths, and only 10% of the population received at least the first dose of the vaccine. The contrast action at SARS-CoV-2 has involved healthcare professionals, and in our KAP Survey we analyse the knowledge, attitude and practices of the students of the nursing degree course in relation to SARS-CoV-2. Among the students of the nursing degree course of the University of Palermo there is a good general knowledge of SARS-CoV-2, in accordance with what emerges in literature as regards medical students [18], considered the absence of data for similar studies on nursing students. As specified in Table II, 94.6% of the students obtained a score ≥ 9, although as can be estimated from the percentages of exact answers especially to questions K5-K8-K10, the increase in the degree of detail of the question corresponds the decrease in the percentage of correct answers. More specifically, the respondents present a greater knowledge of the symptoms of SARS-CoV-2 infection, of the transmission modalities, and on the availability of a vaccine, as evidenced by the exact answers to questions K2-K3-K6-K7-K9-K11-K13 (Fig. 1) and intermediate knowledge in relation to the characteristics of the virus and the treatment of the disease, as evidenced by the answers to questions K1-K4-K5-K10-K12 (Fig. 1). Special mention for question K8 (“Washing hand with soap and water for at least 30 secs can help in prevention of transmission of disease”), which has just 5.22% of correct answers from students, probably confused from the temporal data reported in question K8 (30 secs), in line with the times suggested on the portal dedicated to SARS-CoV-2 of the Italian Ministry of Health [19, 20]. We can say that there is a degree of knowledge inversely proportional to the difficulty of the question. The “attitude” parameter, in absolute value, improves from the first to third year of the course of study as reported in Table II, more specifically, among the interviewees there was greater indecision (“U”) and disagreement (“D”) for questions A2-A3-A5 as shown in Figure 2, all questions that directly concern the communication between HCWs and hospitals and HCWs [21]. A good method to avoid information overload for health professionals who are on the front line, considering that we are experiencing a historical moment in which the influx of new information is extremely rapid and from multiple sources (hospital administration, scientific societies, departments of prevention, social media, guidelines on the use of PPE, etc.) could be the creation of short summary documents with the most up-to-date information, allowing healthcare workers to read up quickly, avoiding the stress of having to draw from multiple sources [22], summaries should be created using data from official sources. The Practices parameter worsens from the first to the third year of the degree course, but overall the interviewees have habits, in most cases, substantially correct, exception made for P2-P4-P7 (Fig. 3), for which the response “Sometimes” highlights how the habit of “Cover nose and mouth with a tissue during sneezing or coughing”, “Avoid touching my eyes, nose or mouth as far as I can “,” Keep on healthy eating and health styles “have not yet been perfectly metabolized by a portion of the students. We underline that in our study Knowledge - Attitude - Practices (KAP) on SARS-CoV-2 the increase in knowledge scores (“K” Score) was significantly associated with a lower probability of negative attitudes (“A” Score low) and finally an improvement of the practice (increase of the “P” Score). The results of our KAP Survey on the students of the Nursing degree course of the University of Palermo, although positive, highlight the importance and the need to improve some aspects of the knowledge of SARS-CoV-2, which, consequently, can also lead to improvements in their attitudes and practices [23]. To ensure ultimate success against SARS-CoV-2, the adherence of subjects to social distancing and prevention measures is fundamental but is largely influenced by their knowledge, attitudes and practices (KAP) against the virus and disease, according to the KAP theory [11, 12].

Study limitations

The results of this study should be used with caution for generalization as it describes the situation of nursing students in an area of Sicily (University of Palermo), Southern Italy, this may not allow the results to be generalized to other locations and cities. Additionally, self-reporting practices may not be effective; therefore further studies are warranted. In addition, the KAP measurement may be inaccurate due to different classification systems. The other limitation is that participants could give socially acceptable responses. For goodness of fit of the predictor model on practice, the R² = 0.04 is rather low to describe determinant factors; other factors need to be explored in future research.

Conclusions

Our results suggest that nursing students of the University of Palermo have a good knowledge of SARS-Cov-2, associated with positive attitudes and appropriate practices towards COVID-19 during the epidemic; however, some of them show gaps about aetiology, risk
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