Impact of workplace displacement during a natural disaster on computer performance metrics: A 2-year interrupted time series analysis
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Abstract.
BACKGROUND: Remote working may enhance company resiliency during natural disasters and other events causing workplace displacement.
OBJECTIVE: We conducted an interrupted time series analysis to investigate the impact of Hurricane Harvey on employee computer use during and after a seven-month displacement period from the physical workplace.
METHODS: Ergonomic software was used to collect information on employees' computer usage.
RESULTS: Although there was no change in total computer use in response to the hurricane (β 0.25), active computer use significantly declined (β –0.90). All measured computer use behaviors returned to baseline prior to the complete return to the physical workspace.
CONCLUSION: Despite a transient period of reduced activity during closure of the workplace building, productivity returned to normal prior to the employees' return to a commercial workspace. The ability to work remotely may improve resiliency of employees to perform workplace tasks during events causing workplace displacement.
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1. Introduction

Annually, natural disasters cause significant burden, including adverse effects on health and economic losses [1]. Since the 1980s, losses due to these events have tripled globally [2]. In 2019 alone, the United State sustained at least 14 major weather-related disasters costing an estimated 45 billion US dollars [3].

One of the major drivers of increasing losses from natural disasters is economic growth in affected areas [4]. The ability for employees to continue work without disruption during natural disasters could reduce some of these economic losses [5]. One way to avoid disruption and improve resiliency during natural disasters is to promote teleworking or remote work. While the technology makes it possible to continue working, we have yet to objectively measure how efficiently employees work while displaced during situations such as natural disasters. To enable companies to create viable disaster-recovery plans for their employees, it is critical that we understand the impact of disasters on workplace productivity.
Between 17 August and 2 September 2017, the greater Houston area was impacted by a devastating Category 4 hurricane, Hurricane Harvey. Over a five-day period, rainfall reached 33 trillion gallons, affecting more than 13 million people in Texas and Louisiana and costing an estimated $160 billion in damages [6]. In response to extreme flooding, many businesses were forced to close operations. Some businesses encouraged remote work in order to avoid complete loss of function. The aim of this study was to evaluate the impact of Hurricane Harvey on workplace productivity among displaced employees.

2. Materials and methods

We performed an interrupted time series analysis of routinely collected daily measurements of objective computer performance data from 3 January 2017 to 28 December 2018 [7, 8]. This study was approved by the Texas A&M University Institutional Review Board (IRB2018-1623M).

2.1. Study setting

Workplace productivity measures were collected from a Fortune 500 corporation, employing more than 15,000 employees in the greater Houston area. In response to Hurricane Harvey, this company was forced to close their corporate office for a seven-month period, displacing many workers including the 264 randomly selected for analysis here. The company had systems in place allowing employees to continue working virtually from alternative work locations (i.e., home, family residence, hotel) during disaster recovery. The commercial office space was open and in full operation until 24 August 2017 when the building was forced to close due to extreme weather. The building was closed completely until 24 September 2017, when some campus facilities began to reopen and a staged return to work began. By 1 April 2018, all commercial office space was open, and employees were fully returned to the traditional workplace. We used these defined time periods to inform the segmented regression model.

2.2. Data collection

Prior to the natural disaster, the company had installed RSIGuard® software (Cority Enviance version 6) on employees’ computers (used in the traditional workplace and remotely). RSIGuard® collects over 100 objective data points on computer performance (e.g., hours of computer use, words typed per day, number of mouse clicks, etc.) [9]. Traditionally, this information is used by companies to monitor scheduled and natural breaks to avoid musculoskeletal injuries. Here, we use these same metrics to measure patterns in computer performance before, during and after workplace displacement. The final dataset represented consecutive official workdays from 2017 through 2018. Metrics presented here were chosen to be the most common amongst this class of ergonomic software.

2.3. Measures

We analyzed five daily metrics of employee computer usage routinely collected by the RSIGuard® system: total number of hours worked per employee, total active work time, keyboard use per active minute, mouse usage per active minute, words typed per hour, and number of typographical errors per word typed. The total number of hours worked per day was estimated as the difference between the time an employee logged into their work computer and the time they logged out. The number of “active” hours worked was estimated as the total number of hours the employee spent actively engaging with the computer (i.e., actively typing, mouse scrolling or clicking). This metric has been reported and utilized in other studies related to active computer use [10, 11]. These activities were selected since they have been used as an indicator of workplace productivity and computer use in previous studies [10–13]. Many of the other metrics kept by Cority software are proprietary and tied to features such as their break timer that provides micro break suggestions. By communicating in this smaller and more common set of metrics, we hope to establish consistent metrics across software companies by using agreed upon terms.

2.4. Statistical analysis

We included four linear segments defined as baseline (1 Jan to 23 Aug 2017), complete storm displacement (24 Aug to 24 Sept 2017), staged return to office (25 Sept 2017 to 31 Mar 2018), and complete return to office (1 Apr 2018 to 31 Dec 2018). Because we detected serial autocorrelation in our data (based on Cumby-Huizinga test for autocorrelation), we used Prais Winston AR (1) segmented regression to assess changes in workplace measures during complete displacement from the workplace, staged return
to the workplace and complete return to the workplace, accounting for autocorrelation in residuals and applying robust standard errors. Analyses were performed using the itsa command in STATA/IC 15.1 (College Station, TX).

2.5. Patient and public involvement

This study relied on secondary data analysis provided to TAMU by a corporation and did not include patient or public involvement.

3. Results

During the study period, the company employed an average of 243 employees at the participating Houston site; 68% of employees worked in engineering, 9% in finance and trading, and 23% in HR and corporate operations. On average, employees spent a total of 11.1 hours (SD 0.7) at work per day and 3.4 active hours (SD 0.5) (Fig. 1). Average computer use metrics during the four time periods are presented in Table 1.

At the time of the hurricane, we observed no change in the total number of hours worked (β 0.25), but a significant decline in the number of hours employees were actively working at their computer (β –0.90) (Table 2). Throughout the remainder of the period where employees were completely displaced from the physical workplace, we observed an increase in both the total amount of time worked (β 0.10) and the number of active hours worked (β 0.06) (Fig. 2). The total number of work hours began to decline once staged return to the physical workplace began (β –1.54), and throughout the staged return period, total hours worked and total active hours declined (β –0.11 and β –0.06, respectively). During the period where employees were completely returned to the physical workplace, we saw no change in total hours worked or the number of active hours worked (β 0.00 and β 0.00, respectively).

We observed a significant increase in active keyboard use (β 1.33) and words typed per keyboard hour (β 27.7), and a decline in active mouse use (β –1.62) during the hurricane. These behaviors appeared to recover during the staged return to the physical workplace (Table 2).

4. Discussion

Displacement from the workplace due to Hurricane Harvey had a significant but temporary impact on workplace computer output of employees at a large workplace, we saw no change in total hours worked or the number of active hours worked (β 0.00 and β 0.00, respectively).

We observed a significant increase in active keyboard use (β 1.33) and words typed per keyboard hour (β 27.7), and a decline in active mouse use (β –1.62) during the hurricane. These behaviors appeared to recover during the staged return to the physical workplace (Table 2).

![Fig. 1. Daily total and active work time (per employee) at a large corporate office prior to, during and following workplace displacement due to Hurricane Harvey – Houston TX, January 2017 to December 2018. *Total number of hours worked was defined as the time between first computer use and last computer use for the day; active hours at work was defined as the number of hours with active computer engagement during the day (e.g., keyboard use, mouse use).]
changes in workplace productivity measures before, during and after Hurricane Harvey

| Workplace productivity measure | Complete displacement from workplace | Staged return to workplace | Complete return to workplace |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Total hours worked§ | 0.25 (0.18 - 0.38) | 0.10 (0.04 - 0.17) | –1.54 (–2.24, –0.84) |
| Active hours worked | –1.91 (-2.41, -0.84) | 0.06 (0.01, 0.11) | –0.24 (–0.81, 0.33) |
| Percent of active time using keyboard | 1.33 (0.27, 2.38) | –0.03 (–0.13, 0.08) | –0.88 (–2.03, 0.27) |
| Percent of active time using mouse | –1.62 (–2.46, –0.77) | –0.10 (–0.18, –0.01) | 2.17 (1.26, 3.09) |
| Words typed per keyboard hour | 27.7 (9.11, 46.38) | 1.16 (–0.69, 3.01) | –35.49 (–55.66, –15.32) |
| Typos per word typed | –0.02 (–0.03, 0.00) | 0.00 (0.00, 0.00) | 0.01 (–0.01, 0.02) |

| Workplace productivity measure | Complete displacement from workplace | Staged return to workplace | Complete return to workplace |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Total hours worked§ | 0.25 (0.18 - 0.38) | 0.10 (0.04 - 0.17) | –1.54 (–2.24, –0.84) |
| Active hours worked | –1.91 (-2.41, -0.84) | 0.06 (0.01, 0.11) | –0.24 (–0.81, 0.33) |
| Percent of active time using keyboard | 1.33 (0.27, 2.38) | –0.03 (–0.13, 0.08) | –0.88 (–2.03, 0.27) |
| Percent of active time using mouse | –1.62 (–2.46, –0.77) | –0.10 (–0.18, –0.01) | 2.17 (1.26, 3.09) |
| Words typed per keyboard hour | 27.7 (9.11, 46.38) | 1.16 (–0.69, 3.01) | –35.49 (–55.66, –15.32) |
| Typos per word typed | –0.02 (–0.03, 0.00) | 0.00 (0.00, 0.00) | 0.01 (–0.01, 0.02) |

Our findings suggest that although there may be an immediate impact of displacement during natural disasters and other events, given the availability of alternative working conditions, productivity may return to baseline levels relatively quickly. These findings suggest that remote work allows employees to return to typical computer use even without the ability to return to their traditional workspace. To our knowledge, our study is the first to quantify the disruption of the computer usage by employees during a natural disaster and their subsequent return to a renovated and restored office.
to the corporation included a wide variety of comfort levels with the living at work approach necessitated by the storm displacement. In balancing future remote work policies, employers should consider employee access to quiet work space, other family responsibilities, provision of high-speed connections, access to ergonomically correct furnishings and other potential imbalances to access, recruitment and retention that remote work could have on the health and careers of certain workers. Finally, although these data are representative of white-collar employees in Houston, Texas, results may not be generalizable for other companies or other industries.

5. Conclusion

This study provides supportive evidence for incorporating remote working policies in a company’s organizational strategy prior to a natural disaster or other disrupting event. These findings are particularly informative given current social distancing policies and dramatic increases in the demand for remote work in 2020/21.
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