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Abstract

In consideration to the various impacts of charcoal and sawdust burning especially in the indoor fires in the developing countries. A charcoal-based and sawdust composite solid fuel has been developed to immensely reduce gaseous emissions, and as well minimize pollution from these sources. The formulations were made using clay (kaolinite) solution (1:2 of clay: water), sawdust and solid pulverized charcoal. Different mounts of the charcoal and sawdust were mixed with a fixed known amount of clay solution and a total of six formulations were made. 200 μm sieve, 500 ml beaker and pdt-crowcon gasman single-trace gas (CO) analyzers were all used in the solution formulation and emission analysis. The results show that the fuel-types which were produced from a mixture of the solid fuels and clay solution yield its minimum emission for a formulation of 1:1 of fuel (charcoal or sawdust): clay solution (1:2 of clay: water) by mass fraction. The results show a consistent pattern of emission reduction exhibited by the composite charcoal based fuels. The combustion of sawdust and its formulations follow a trend which is approximately equivalent to that of charcoal but with a higher release of emission. This higher release of emission from sawdust-based composite fuels show that sawdust is a better burner than charcoal. The addition of the clay content significantly contributes in channeling the routes of release of some of the compounds in the fuels to accompany the ash residue rather than as gaseous emissions. Therefore, the advantages include among others high gaseous emission reduction.
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Introduction

More than half of the world’s population still depends on fuel sources that are inefficient, highly polluting and unhealthy. These fuels which are referred to as solid fuels include biomass (wood, animal dung and crop wastes) and coal. People who use these fuel sources burn them in open fires or simple stoves that release most of the smoke into their home, resulting in indoor air pollution that threatens the health of household members, especially women and young children [1]. These pollutants, called solid-fuel “smoke” include respirable particles, carbon monoxide, oxides of nitrogen and sulfur, benzene, formaldehyde, 1,3-butadiene and polyaromatic compounds such as benzo(a)pyrene [2]. In households with limited ventilation (as is common in many developing countries), exposures experienced by household members, particularly women and young children who spend a large proportion of their time indoors, have been measured to be many times higher than World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines and national standards [2,3].

In Nigeria, considering the fact that the country has been experiencing inefficient and insufficient power supply, the use of varieties of locally made indoor direct-fired cooking stoves, lanterns and candles for space lighting are inevitable. Furthermore, considering the location of the country around the equator on 6°N 5°E and over the rain forest region. The environment is prone massive breeding for varieties of mosquitoes. Hence, the use of numerous insecticides such as aerosol spray-type of repellent and smoke-producing mosquito coils. In most household over the Midwestern region of Nigeria, the use of smoke producing heating and lighting devices as well as mosquito coils produce gases and particular matter that contribute to indoor air borne related diseases. The presence of these pollutants in indoor air could lead to episodes of high mortality and morbidity rates over the region [2,3].

The interest in forest conservation is largely due to the important role forests play in the global carbon cycle as a mean of reducing global emissions of greenhouse gases [4-6]. However, in the last few decades, apart from the deforestation in Africa and Asia, South American forests have continued to record large losses of covered area [7]. The rich biodiversity of these tropical forests continues to be in danger of deforestation despite initiatives from Latin American countries, such as the increase of protected areas [8]. In the region of Piura in Peru, there are 389,685 homes of which 55.81% use firewood and charcoal daily as domestic fuel according to the Istituto Nacional de Estadística del Perú - INEI [9]. The Ministry of Environment (1977) states that this material coming from the cutting of dry forest areas characteristic of the region and protected areas such as the Northwest Biosphere Reserve. This situation is compounded by the emission of greenhouse gases as a result of open indiscriminate burning of wood waste (sawdust, chips and shavings among others) representing approximately 42% of the production from sawmills, which is equivalent to burning 861.84 m³ of wood waste per year in the region according to the INEI [10-12]. Following a premise from United Nations [7], greater efforts and innovative approaches are required to reduce the loss of biodiversity in ecosystems such as forests, and to lower CO emissions to curb climate change [13].

In developing countries, especially in rural areas, 2.5 billion people rely on biomass, such as fuel wood, charcoal, agricultural waste and animal dung, to meet their energy needs for cooking [14]. In many countries, these resources account for over 90% of household energy consumption [14]. In the absence of new policies, the number of people...
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relying on biomass will increase to over 2.6 billion by 2015 and to 2.7 billion by 2030 because of population growth [14]. That is, one-third of the world’s population will still be relying on these fuels. There is evidence that, in areas where local prices have adjusted to recent high international energy prices, the shift to cleaner, more efficient use of energy for cooking has actually slowed and even reversed. Use of biomass is not in itself a cause for concern. However, when resources are harvested unsustainably and energy conversion technologies are inefficient, there are serious adverse consequences for health, the environment and economic development [14]. About 1.3 million people mostly women and children die prematurely every year because of exposure to indoor air pollution from biomass [14].

In consideration to the environmental pollution challenges facing most of the Sub-Sahara African nations, especially, Nigeria, it becomes very important to arrest these pollutants from their sources. This study is geared towards arresting pollutants from solid fuels. This is to be achieved through the following: Making clay solution (clay: water), formulating composite fuels of different ratios with the clay solution and testing the formulations for maximum emission-reduction. In summary, the formulations were made using clay (kaolinite) solution (1:2 of clay: water), sawdust and solid pulverized charcoal. Different mounts of the charcoal and sawdust was mixed with a fixed known amount of clay solution and a total of six formulations were made. 200 µm sieve, 500 ml beaker and pdt-crowcon gasman single trace gas analyzers were all used in the solution formulation and emission analysis. The pure charcoal sawdust and formulated samples were all tested at 400°C.

The main aim of this research work is to produce composite solid fuels from charcoal and sawdust with reduced emission and thereby minimize pollution from such sources.

Samples Formulation and Emission Measurement

A brief description of the composite fuel samples formulation and emission analysis is given in this section.

Materials used

Clay (kaolinite), water, kaolinite solution (1:2 of clay: water), sawdust, charcoal, 200 µm sieve, 500 ml beaker and pdt-crowcon gasman single trace gas (CO) analyzers.

Sample preparation and formulation

For this study, commonly available charcoal and sawdust in the rural areas of Nigeria were used. The formulated charcoal and sawdust fuels were prepared using kaolinite clay solution saw dust and solid pulverized charcoal. Clay-sand mixture was dissolved in water, stirred continuously until the clay components of the mixture were in solution. The solution was decanted through a 200 µm sieve into a 500 ml beaker to remove suspended particles and kept to allow the fine clay particle settle. After 48 hours clear water was decanted off leaving very fine clay which was dried in an oven maintained at 250°C for 2 hours. The dried clay was pulverized, measured, mixed with distilled water and made into solutions. Different amounts of the clay solution were mixed with a fixed known amount of pure charcoal and sawdust to produce the several formulated coal samples [15].

Six formulations of the composite charcoal and sawdust were performed using the clay-water mixtures. The clay-water mixtures was of ratio 1:2.5 and the mixtures or simply clay solutions was then added to the varying amounts of charcoal and sawdust to form 6 samples of different compositions. The different charcoal to clay formulated produced were 1:1, 1:5:1 and 2:1 designated as samples C1, C2 and C3 respectively. In a similar fashion, the saw dust to clay-solution was produced and they were designated as S1, S2 and S3. The mixtures of charcoal saw dust and clay solution formed pastes which were then placed in crucibles lined with filter paper and kept in an oven at 250°C for 2 hours until the mixture was dry. The lumps were then left to cool.

Emission measurement

In this study, carbon monoxide was examined from the burning of the pure and the formulated charcoal-clay and saw dust-clay fuel samples. The instrument applied for sampling was the model pdt-crowcon gasman single trace gas analyzers. The instrument began with testing all the batteries to ensure good power supply, the red and blue alarm LEDs, sounder and internal vibrator alert. The analyzers were calibrated for ranges, zero and span checks, temperature and pressure correction factors before sampling began to rectify drifts in measurements. A detailed description of the CO analyzer operation is given by the pdt-crowcon gasman instruments user’s manual.

Sampling was conducted from the stack of the furnace where the samples were burnt. An inlet probe fitted to about the middle of the length of the smoke stack was connected to a manifold of equal internal diameter. The outlet was connected to the sample inlet of the trace gas analyzer. During sampling, a particular temperature and burning period were maintained while the sample types were varied.

Procedure

Following the assembly set-up, combustion for emission analysis began. Starting with the pure samples and followed by the formulated composite charcoal-clay and saw dust-clay samples.

For each sample run, 5 grams of the crushed solid fuel sample was placed in a crucible and kept in the furnace set to a temperature of 400°C to burn. This was performed for 20 minutes to allow for complete burning of each sample. During the combustion, emitted gases were measured continuously by the gas analyzers and the concentration was being recorded every 60 seconds.

Results and Discussion

This section presents the results of the analysis of gaseous emissions from combustion of the pure and formulated samples.

Charcoal and sawdust burning in domestic heaters usually operates at elevated temperature of about 400°C and above, however, combustion at 400°C is the most efficient as less emission is released over the same burning duration [15] and as a result the combustion, tests were conducted at 400°C. All the formulated solid fuel samples follow the same emission distribution growth behavior. The test results show that sample burning generates an initially high pollutant concentration followed by a sudden drop, then a rise and fall in a sinusoidal form but with decreasing intensity of the wave peak. The pattern occurs until the wave peaks generated flattens out. There is a sudden initial rise in the gas concentration reaching its peak within 5 minutes of start time. Thereafter, the concentration declines steadily and stabilizes on a particular value for the operating condition.

Table 1 shows the values of the concentrations (ppm) and time (m) of the samples indicating the temporal variation of CO emission levels from the pure and the formulated charcoal samples. While Table 2 depicts the values of the concentrations (ppm) and time (m) of the samples indicating the temporal variation of CO emission levels from the pure and the formulated sawdust samples.
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Figure 1 shows temporal variation of CO emission levels from the
pure and the formulated charcoal samples. The CO emitted during
the combustion of charcoal and the formulated samples show several
erratic peaks throughout the burning but towards the last minutes
of the combustion time, the concentrations of the pure charcoal
and the sample, C3, eventually become approximately equivalent. A
similar situation is observed for the other two samples but a lower
concentration. This study has shown a consistent pattern of emission
reduction exhibited by the composite charcoal based fuels. Figure 2
shows temporal variation of CO emission levels from the pure and the
formulated saw dust samples.

The combustion of saw dust and its formulations follow a trend
which is approximately equivalent to that of charcoal but with a
higher release of emission. This higher release of emission from saw
dust-based composite fuels shows that saw dust is a better burner than
charcoal.

The health of the public can be adversely affected by the smoke
created from wood burning which can easily be inferred from gaseous
emission analysis of solid fuel combustion in Figures 1 and 2. The
pollutants include carbon monoxide, fine particles and other chemical
compounds such as oxides of nitrogen and a range of organic compound.
These pollutants can cause breathing difficulties even at relatively low
levels, especially for young children, the elderly and people who are
already suffering from respiratory conditions such as asthma [16,17].
The gaseous emission results of the formulated composite charcoal and
sawdust samples designated C3 and S1 have shown that these pollutants
(carbon monoxide) from these sources can be immensely arrested by
the use of clay (kaolinite).

The negative impact of biomass energy on the daily lives of
populations (especially women and children) in the poorest parts of the
developing world cannot be underestimated. Furthermore, evidence
would strongly suggest that the persistent widespread use of biomass
energy largely depends on the factors of access in Africa, affordability
and pricing policies in Indonesia, coal in China and LPG in some
countries.

![Figure 1: Shows temporal variation of CO emission levels from the pure and the formulated charcoal samples.](image1)

![Figure 2: Shows temporal variation of CO emission levels from the pure and the formulated sawdust samples.](image2)

Table 1: Shows the values of the concentrations (ppm) and time (m) readings of the samples during combustion.

| Charcoal: Clay Sample | Pure Sample, ppm | 2:1 Solution, ppm | 1.5:1 Solution, ppm | 1:1 Solution, ppm |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Time, mins            |                  |                   |                     |                   |
| 0.5                   | 28               | 20                | 12                  | 12                |
| 1.5                   | 49               | 35                | 12                  | 18                |
| 2.5                   | 55               | 43                | 12                  | 18                |
| 3.5                   | 63               | 51                | 18                  | 28                |
| 4.5                   | 80               | 61                | 20                  | 12                |
| 5.5                   | 55               | 45                | 15                  | 9                 |
| 6.5                   | 50               | 50                | 13                  | 10                |
| 7.5                   | 45               | 36                | 12                  | 9                 |
| 8.5                   | 39               | 39                | 10                  | 7                 |
| 9.5                   | 42               | 37                | 9                   | 6                 |
| 10.5                  | 37               | 32                | 5                   | 7.7               |
| 11.5                  | 43               | 33                | 7                   | 7                 |
| 12.5                  | 37               | 30                | 6                   | 6                 |
| 13.5                  | 35               | 34                | 7                   | 7                 |
| 14.5                  | 36               | 27                | 7                   | 7                 |
| 15.5                  | 37               | 23                | 5                   | 6                 |
| 16.5                  | 33               | 22                | 7.5                 | 7.5               |
| 17.5                  | 30               | 23                | 5                   | 7.5               |
| 18.5                  | 29               | 21                | 7                   | 6                 |
| 19.5                  | 27               | 23                | 6                   | 6                 |
| 20.5                  | 28               | 21                | 7                   | 5                 |
| 21.5                  | 26               | 19                | 5                   | 5                 |
| 22.5                  | 23               | 19                | 5                   | 4                 |
| 23.5                  | 22               | 20                | 3                   | 6                 |
| 24.5                  | 23               | 18                | 4                   | 3                 |
| 25.5                  | 21               | 19                | 5                   | 5                 |
| 26.5                  | 20               | 17                | 4                   | 2.5               |
| 27.5                  | 17               | 17                | 5                   | 4                 |
| 28.5                  | 16               | 16                | 4                   | 2.5               |
| 29.5                  | 16.5             | 15.5              | 2.5                 | 2.5               |
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Table 2: Shows the values of the concentrations (ppm) and time (m) readings of the samples during combustion.

| Time, mins | Pure Sample, ppm | 2:1 Solution, ppm | 1:5:1 Solution, ppm | 1:1 Solution, ppm |
|------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 0.5        | 20               | 25                | 20                  | 20                |
| 1.5        | 30               | 20                | 20                  | 25                |
| 2.5        | 50               | 50                | 30                  | 50                |
| 3.5        | 200              | 100               | 40                  | 70                |
| 4.5        | 300              | 160               | 85                  | 85                |
| 5.5        | 410              | 180               | 140                 | 110               |
| 6.5        | 710              | 210               | 175                 | 80                |
| 7.5        | 640              | 240               | 110                 | 75                |
| 8.5        | 510              | 250               | 80                  | 70                |
| 9.5        | 420              | 210               | 100                 | 72                |
| 10.5       | 390              | 170               | 95                  | 90                |
| 11.5       | 200              | 150               | 100                 | 100               |
| 12.5       | 225              | 130               | 50                  | 50                |
| 13.5       | 140              | 140               | 80                  | 50                |
| 14.5       | 140              | 125               | 50                  | 75                |
| 15.5       | 130              | 125               | 70                  | 45                |
| 16.5       | 140              | 115               | 40                  | 55                |
| 17.5       | 130              | 105               | 55                  | 50                |
| 18.5       | 120              | 95                | 50                  | 40                |
| 19.5       | 125              | 102               | 36                  | 38                |
| 20.5       | 115              | 93                | 33                  | 35                |
| 21.5       | 100              | 75                | 32                  | 34                |
| 22.5       | 102              | 71                | 32                  | 33                |
| 23.5       | 100              | 60                | 32                  | 33                |
| 24.5       | 95               | 60                | 31                  | 32                |
| 25.5       | 75               | 59                | 30.5                | 31                |
| 26.5       | 60               | 58                | 30                  | 30                |
| 27.5       | 55               | 55                | 30                  | 30                |
| 28.5       | 53               | 53                | 30                  | 30                |
| 29.5       | 52               | 52                | 30                  | 30                |

Conclusions

In an attempt to enhance the environment through the development of less harmful and environmentally tolerable fossil fuel types, a composite coal based fuel has been produced.

The contribution of clay incorporated in charcoal and saw dust for indoor heating has been shown to demonstrate considerable advantages over pure charcoal and saw dust. The advantages include high emission reduction and a highly deadly pollutant emission was to a significant extent reduced in the rate during burning. In as much as the addition of clay to the addition of clay. The decrease in emissions of the various gases emissions, a proportional solid fuel: clay solution trend indicates a limit extent reduced in the rate during burning. In as much as the addition of clay. The decrease in emissions of the various gases which are embedded in the ash during combustion. The suspension of the minerals from being oxidized maybe attributed to the less release of the pollutant gases. The solution of 1:2 of clay: water, formulated into composite solid fuels of 1:1 of pure charcoal: clay solution and pure saw dust: clay solution produces the solid fuel-type with the best pollutants emission rates reduction. The addition of the clay content has only contributed in channeling the routes of release of some of the compounds in the fuels to accompany the ash residue rather than as gaseous emissions.

This study has shown a consistent pattern of emission reduction exhibited by the composite charcoal based fuels. The combustion of saw dust and its formulations follow a trend which is approximately equivalent to that of charcoal but with a higher release of emission. This higher release of emission from saw dust- based composite fuels shows that saw dust is a better burner than charcoal.
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