Feeding Ecology of Three Euphausiid Species in the North Pacific Ocean Inferred From 18S V9 Metabarcoding and Stable Isotope Analysis
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Euphausiids are abundant micronekton and important links between higher and lower trophic levels in marine ecosystems; however, their detailed diets cannot be fully understood by conventional microscopy, especially in subtropical areas. Here, we report the euphausiid community structure in the California Current (CC) area and the eastern/western North Pacific subtropical gyre (ESG and WSG) and detail the feeding ecology of the dominant species (Euphausia pacifica, E. brevis, and E. hemigibba) in each region using a combined approach of gut content analysis via 18S V9 metabarcoding and stable carbon and nitrogen isotope analysis. A pronounced omnivorous feeding of all studied euphausiid species was supported by both methods: phytoplanktonic taxonomic groups (Dinophyta, Stramenopiles, and Archaeplastida), Copepoda, and Hydrozoa were detected in the gut contents; all the three euphausiid species displayed an intermediate trophic position between the net plankton (0.2–1.0 mm) and the myctophid fish (15.2–85.5 mm). However, Hydrozoa found in euphausiid gut contents likely derived from a potential cod-end feeding, based on isotope analysis. E. pacifica in the CC province ingested more autotrophic prey, including pelagophyte and green algae, due to a greater abundance of Stramenopiles and Archaeplastida in shallow layers of CC water. On the other hand, non-autotrophic prey such as mixotrophic Kareniaceae dinoflagellates, Pontellidae and Clausocalanidae copepods, and Sphaerozoidae rhizarian contributed more to the diets of E. brevis and E. hemigibba because of a lower chlorophyll a concentration or potentially a scarcity of autotrophic prey availability in ESG and WSG. The feeding patterns of dominant euphausiid species conducting filter feeding were thus largely determined by phytoplankton prey availability in the environments. Dietary difference across three species was also indicated by stable isotope analysis, with a lower mean trophic level of E. pacifica (2.32) than E. brevis (2.48) and E. hemigibba (2.57). These results verify direct trophic interactions between euphausiids and primary production and suggest that the omnivorous feeding habit is a favorable character for dominant Euphausia species.
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INTRODUCTION

Euphausiids are key micronekton that are widely distributed across ocean basins of the world. Their standing stock constitutes a significant portion of the total biomass of zooplankton and micronekton in marine pelagic ecosystems (Nicol, 2003). Some euphausiid species perform diel vertical migration and present vertical distributions between 200 and 500 m during the day and above 100 m at night (Brinton, 1967, 1979; Taki, 2008; Werner and Buchholz, 2013), accelerating the transportation of organic matter from the euphotic to the mesopelagic layer. Euphausiids generally prey on phytoplankton by filter-feeding or raptorially feed on larger particles, such as zooplankton (Dilling et al., 1998; Park et al., 2011). Concomitantly, they are a major source of food for commercially important fishes, marine mammals, and birds (Kinsey and Hopkins, 1994; Hipfner, 2009; Sogawa et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2019). Thus, euphausiids are important links between lower and higher trophic levels and investigating their feeding ecology would lead to the further understanding oceanic food web structure and energy flows in marine ecosystems.

Gut content analysis is a widely used method to reveal the natural feeding ecology of an organism. By direct observation of the gut contents under the microscope, prior studies confirmed a diatom-rich feeding pattern dominated by the Fragilariopsis spp. in Euphausia vallentini (Gurney et al., 2001); using gut pigments analysis, omnivory (including diatoms, dinoflagellates, and copepod nauplii) and a decreasing feeding activity during daytime in E. pacifica were verified (Nakagawa et al., 2003). However, the microscopical gut content analysis presents several limitations. For example, euphausiids tend to grind prey with their mandibles, which usually increases the difficulty in identifying the detailed prey taxa; food items with soft bodies are easier to be digested and might be overlooked due to a trace amount of remains in the guts (Sarda and Valladares, 1990; Clarke et al., 2020). Metabarcoding based on the high-throughput DNA sequencing technologies can overcome this limitation and has become an effective tool for gut content analysis with a high taxonomic resolution (Pompanon et al., 2012; Berry et al., 2015; Albaina et al., 2016). 18S metabarcoding has been applied to the Antarctic krill to investigate the different preferences of the diatom Chaetoceros spp. in fjords and open waters (Cleary et al., 2018). Nonetheless, it should be noted that the snapshots provided by the gut content analysis, independent of the method used, only recover a temporary diet of the organisms at a certain time before capture.

Stable isotope analysis could provide time-integrated information of trophic relationships to complement the limitations of gut content analysis, even though this method lacks sufficient information on detailed prey (Tverin et al., 2019). The stable nitrogen isotope ratio is a suitable tracer for studying predator-prey relationships and trophic positions of organisms because predators in higher trophic levels exhibit $^{15}\text{N}$ enrichments relative to their diet (DeNiro and Epstein, 1981; Minagawa and Wada, 1984; Fry, 1988). Likewise, the stable carbon isotope ratio is suitable for inferring dietary sources in the food web. Using these two biomarkers, E. pacifica in the Yellow Sea cold water mass was found to feed on relatively large zooplankton, such as Calanus sinicus (Kim et al., 2019), and an ontogenetic diet shift of E. pacifica was confirmed (Park et al., 2011). Therefore, combining gut content analysis and stable isotope analysis in feeding ecology investigation is more precise than previous studies conducted only with one method (Whitaker et al., 2019; Nakamura et al., 2020).

The North Pacific Ocean covers a large area of pelagic ecosystems with complex distributions of water masses, including the subarctic water, transition region of the California Current (CC), eastern and western regions of the North Pacific central (subtropical) water, and Pacific equatorial water (Sverdrup et al., 1942). Prior biogeographical studies reported a total of 59 euphausiid species in the Pacific Ocean with their vertical and horizontal distributions, biomasses, and life history information, and revealed a comparative distribution pattern of euphausiid species in analogous water masses (Boden et al., 1955; Brinton, 1962). However, feeding habits of North Pacific euphausiids, which might be one of the factors determining their community structures, were mostly studied on the numerically predominant E. pacifica or the larger Thysanoessa spp. that inhabited in the subarctic North Pacific (e.g., T. inspinata; Kim et al., 2010). Only a few comparative feeding ecology investigations of euphausiid species have been conducted in low-latitude ecosystems, such as the oligotrophic North Pacific subtropical gyre.

In this study, we first investigate the community structures of euphausiids from the CC region and the eastern and western subtropical gyres (ESG and WSG) in the North Pacific. Furthermore, we used a combined approach of 18S V9 metabarcoding and stable isotope analysis to reveal detailed prey information and trophic positions of the dominant euphausiid species in these three provinces and clarified the ecological importance of euphausiids in the food web. Finally, we compared the feeding ecology among euphausiid species and provided more insights into feeding habit determining the community structure of euphausiids. We also explored the merits and limitations of DNA metabarcoding as a tool for feeding ecology investigations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field Collections

Samplings were carried out during the KH-17-4 cruise on the RV Hakuho-Maru (Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology) at three stations in the North Pacific Ocean between August 13 and October 2, 2017. Stations 1, 2, and 3 were located in the California Current (CC) region, the eastern subtropical gyre (ESG) region, and the western subtropical gyre (WSG) region, respectively (Figure 1A). Vertical profiles of water temperature and salinity between 0 and 500 m were recorded with a conductivity, temperature, and depth (CTD) system (SBE-911 plus; Sea-Bird Electronics). Chlorophyll a (chl a) was extracted with N, N-dimethylformamide, and the concentration was analyzed using a Turner fluorometer (Turner Designs).

Euphausiids were collected at night by oblique tows of Matsuda-Oozeki-Hu Trawl (MOHT; Oozeki et al., 2007) with a 2 m$^2$ mouth opening and a 1.95 mm mesh size from approximately 500 m depth to the surface (Table 1). A flow meter was attached.
to the net to measure the water volumes filtered. Samples were split on board promptly after net landing, and one-fourth of aliquots of the specimens were placed into a $-80^\circ$C deep freezer immediately after splitting and kept frozen before subsequent laboratory operations for feeding ecology analysis. Another one-eight or one-fourth of aliquots of samples were fixed with 5% buffered formaldehyde for community structure analysis. To characterize the environmental communities as potential prey of euphausiids, seawater was collected at the surface (0 m) using an acid-cleaned bucket and at 10 m, the subsurface chlorophyll maximum (SCM), and at 100 m using acid-cleaned Teflon-coated Niskin bottles attached to the CTD. A 5 L aliquot of the water sample at each depth was immediately filtered on a Nuclepore polycarbonate membrane filter (Whatman, NJ, United States) with a 3.0 μm pore size, and the filters were also strictly preserved at $-80^\circ$C. However, the seawater samples from station 3 were probably not processed timely, resulting in a large proportion of bacteria in the environmental communities according to the metabarcoding results. Thus, the environmental community data at station 3 was excluded from this study.

For the isotopic analysis, suspended particulate organic matter (POM) at 0 m was used as data of primary producers. In addition to the euphausiid samples, we analyzed net-plankton (size ranged from 0.2 to 1.0 mm) and myctophid (size ranged from 15.2 to 85.5 mm) in each station. For a sampling of POM, aliquots of 3–5 L surface seawater (0 m) collected using acid-cleaned buckets were filtered onto pre-combusted GF/F filters via gentle vacuum after pre-filtration with a 200 μm nylon mesh. Net-plankton samples were collected from a 200 m depth from the surface using vertical tows of a NORPAC net (45 cm mouth diameter, 100 μm mesh size), and then immediately size-fractionated into small (0.2–0.5 mm) and large (0.5–1.0 mm) fractions. Myctophids were
TABLE 1 | Sampling information of station 1, 2, and 3 in different water masses of the North Pacific Ocean.

| Station | Date       | Latitude       | Longitude       | Sampling Type | Depth (m) |
|---------|------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|
| 1 (CC)  | 17 Aug. 2017 | 35° 02.642’N  | 125° 06.660’W   | MOHT          | 394       |
|         |            |                |                 | Bucket        | 0         |
|         |            |                |                 | CTD           | 10, 100   |
|         |            |                |                 | NORPAC        | 200       |
| 2 (ESG) | 27 Aug. 2017 | 23° 05.051’N  | 139° 55.743’W   | MOHT          | 499       |
|         |            |                |                 | Bucket        | 0         |
|         |            |                |                 | CTD           | 10, 100   |
|         |            |                |                 | NORPAC        | 200       |
| 3 (WSG) | 28 Sep. 2017 | 22° 59.477’N  | 150° 00.866’E   | MOHT          | 490       |
|         |            |                |                 | Bucket        | 0         |
|         |            |                |                 | CTD           | 10, 100   |
|         |            |                |                 | NORPAC        | 200       |

selected from the micronekton samples collected by the same tow using a MOHT net for the euphausiid samplings. All samples were frozen at −20°C until laboratory processes.

**Community Structures Analysis**

All adult euphausiids at each station were identified to the lowest possible taxonomic level according to Baker et al. (1990) under a stereomicroscope (Nikon SMZ-745T), and the abundance for each species was standardized as individuals (ind.) 1,000 m⁻³. The Shannon index \( H' \) (Shannon, 1948) and the Simpson’s diversity index \( D \) (Simpson, 1949) were calculated to compare the euphausiid species diversity among the stations.

**Euphausiid Processes and High Throughput Sequencing**

The feeding ecology of the adults of the dominant euphausiid species at each station was further analyzed. Frozen samples were naturally thawed on ice, and 20–30 euphausiid individuals of the target species were randomly selected during the thawing. In the dissection process, the cephalothorax section was firstly excised to avoid the potential net feeding influence from the ingested food in the stomach of a euphausiid. To minimize DNA degradation, the whole gut was quickly removed and immediately transferred into a precooled 5% Chelex buffer (BIO-RAD) in a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube kept on ice. Eyes of one of the selected individuals of each species were used as negative controls and treated in the same method as the gut contents. The remains of the euphausiid tissues were preserved back to −80°C until the stable isotope analysis. All the dissection facilities were sterilized every time before touching a different part of one euphausiid individual and dissecting another individual to avoid cross-contamination.

Total DNA of the gut contents and eyes were extracted according to the methods of Nagai et al. (2012). For the environmental samples, total DNA extraction was carried out with the MagAttract PowerWater DNA/RNA Kit (QIAGEN). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplifications were conducted for all samples using KOD Plus version 2 (Toyobo), with the eukaryotic universal primers 1389F and 1510R (Amaral-Zettler et al., 2009) according to the methods of Hirai et al. (2017). Extents used to differentiate samples during sequencing on Illumina MiSeq and dual-index target sequences were added in the 2nd and 3rd rounds of PCR. The PCR products of every round were diluted 20 times with diethylpyrocarbonate (DEPC)-treated water and used as templates for the next round. The final PCR products were purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (QIAGEN). Concentrations of the final PCR products were measured using a Qubit 3.0 Fluorometer (Invitrogen), and 100 ng of each purified PCR product was pooled. A sequencing run on Illumina MiSeq was carried out using MiSeq Reagent Kit v2 (FASMAC Co), and raw data of 2 × 250 bp paired-end sequence reads were obtained.

**Bioinformatics and Statistics**

All raw sequence reads were quality-filtered using Trimmomatic (Bolger et al., 2014). Short- and low-quality fragments were eliminated, sequencing adaptors were clipped, and all technical sequence reads were trimmed into appropriate length using the following settings: CROP: 135; MINLEN: 50; LEADING: 20; TRAILING: 20; and SLIDINGWINDOW: 30:30. Paired-end sequences were merged, and further quality-filtring was performed using MOTHUR (Schloss et al., 2009) according to the methods of Hirai et al. (2017). Briefly, the reads with more than six homopolymers and uncertain bases were removed, and qualified sequences were aligned against SILVA 138.1 database (Quast et al., 2013). Aligned sequences were single-linkage pre-clustered and screened to remove potential chimeric sequences with a reference dataset in UCHIME (Edgar et al., 2011). In this study, the reference database V9_PR2 from the Tara Oceans project (de Vargas et al., 2015) was used to classify the remaining high-quality sequences into different taxonomic groups using a naive Bayesian classifier (Wang et al., 2007), with a threshold greater than 80%. Only the Eukaryotic sequences were preserved for further analysis, and sequences classified into “Malacostraca” and “Arthropoda_unclassified” were excluded as a possible read from the euphausiid host. Mammalian, fungi, and parasitic taxa, which were determined according to Cleary and Durbin (2016), were also removed. Finally, preserved sequences were clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at a 99% similarity threshold.
After quality controlling and taxonomic classification, to present the gut content composition of euphausiid individuals and the environmental community structure of different stations, the relative sequence read abundances of all taxonomic supergroups in Eukaryota were measured for each euphausiid and environmental sample. A Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn post hoc test was conducted on the relative abundances of main supergroups in each sample within and across different euphausiid species using IBM SPSS statistics 23 (Zar, 1999). Moreover, to evaluate the gut content composition differences among different euphausiid species, the Bray-Curtis similarity index was first calculated on euphausiid gut content composition of square root-transformed data, and the homogeneity of dispersion was evaluated using permutational analysis of multivariate dispersions (PERMDISP). Differences among species were then tested using permutational analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) and a posterior pairwise test. PERMANOVA was conducted using Type III sum of squares and the unrestricted model, and number of permutations was 9999 for all permutation-based tests. To detect those prey supergroups responsible for the possible observed differences between euphausiid diets, a similarity percentages (SIMPER) analysis was also performed. All these analyses were conducted in PRIMER version 7 with the add-on package PERMANOVA+ (Anderson et al., 2008). Furthermore, to clarify main preys of all the euphausiid species with detailed taxonomy information, the percentage of each prey OTU in each sample was computed and then averaged among all euphausiid individuals at each station. For all three euphausiid species, detected prey OTUs comprising more than 1.5% of the total gut content reads and with occurrence frequency higher than 40% were deemed as dominant prey OTUs (Harms-Tuohy et al., 2016; Deagle et al., 2019). Every dominant prey OTU was identified to the lowest possible taxonomic level by BLASTN searches against the NCBI “nt” database.

**Stable Isotope Analysis**

Particulate organic matter (POM), net-plankton, and euphausiid samples for stable isotope analysis were oven-dried at 50°C overnight. Filter samples of POM were exposed to HCl fumes for 2 h to remove the carbonate and dried again at 50°C. All euphausiid individuals and 1–10 mg of the net-plankton samples in the two fractions were homogenized, and approximately 0.5–1.5 mg of each homogenized sample was measured (two euphausiid individuals were pooled when the sample weight was insufficient). For the myctophid samples, the dorsal muscles of 11–14 specimens from each station were dried and homogenized. Half of the sample was lipid-extracted using the standard sample provided by the International Atomic Energy Agency, were used to obtain the calibration curves. Alanine (δ15N = -1.2‰, δ13C = -19.9‰) was measured once every 10 samples for correction of a shift of the baseline. As for the trophic position analysis, Minagawa and Wada (1984) reported an average stepwise increase in δ15N of 3.4 ± 1.1‰ per trophic level across a wide range of habitats, including marine habitats. Thus, the trophic levels of the analyzed samples were calculated as:

\[
TL_{\text{euphausiid}} = (\delta^{15}N_{\text{euphausiid}} - \delta^{15}N_{\text{POM}})/3.4 + 1
\]

Differences in the trophic levels at the different sampling stations were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Fisher’s least significant difference (LSD) post hoc test using IBM SPSS Statistics 23.

**RESULTS**

**Environmental Conditions**

A lower temperature and salinity were observed at station 1 in the CC compared to the other two stations in the subtropical gyre (Figure 1B). At station 1, the temperature decreased gradually with increasing depth, from 17.6°C on the surface to 5.3°C at 500 m, and salinity increased slightly from 33.2 to 34.1. Stations 2 and 3 in the subtropical gyre shared more similar environmental conditions, especially in the epipelagic layer: the water temperature of station 3 was higher at the surface and below 200 m, but was nearly the same as station 2 at 50–200 m (average of 20.2°C in station 2 and 19.7°C in station 3); the salinity of these two stations were in common (average of 34.9) in the upper 200 m. A higher chl a concentration (0.13 μgL⁻¹) at the surface (0 m depth) was observed at station 1 than at station 2 (0.07 μgL⁻¹) and 3 (0.06 μgL⁻¹). Deeper SCM depths were observed at station 2 (117 m) and station 3 (115 m), compared with station 1 (70 m). The chl a concentration at the SCM depth of each region was the highest at station 3 (0.59 μgL⁻¹), followed by station 1 (0.37 μgL⁻¹) and station 2 (0.24 μgL⁻¹).

**Euphausiid Community Structure**

The total abundance of euphausiids was the highest at station 1 (152 ind. 1,000 m⁻³) and the lowest at station 3 (48 ind. 1,000 m⁻³) at station 2, 47 ind. 1,000 m⁻³ at station 3), and 22 euphausiid species belonging to six genera were identified (Figure 2 and Table 2). E. pacifica was the most abundant species at station 1, contributing approximately 80.0% of the abundance (121 ind. 1,000 m⁻³) of the euphausiid community. Other euphausiids at station 1 were mainly attributed to E. gibboides and Nematoscelis difficilis. E. brevis and E. hemigibba were the dominant euphausiid species at stations 2 and 3, respectively. However, in comparison with E. pacifica at station 1, dominance of these two subtropical species was considerably low, 24 ind. 1,000 m⁻³ for E. brevis and 11 ind. 1,000 m⁻³ for E. hemigibba, accounting for 50.0% and 22.8% abundance at stations 2 and 3, respectively. The euphausiid community compositions at stations 2 and 3 were similar at the genus level. Euphausiid species in the genera Stylocheiron and Thysonopoda were detected frequently at both stations 2 and 3 but not at station 1. Abundances of these genera were higher at station 2 than at 3. In contrast to the species abundance, H' and D were higher in the subtropical gyre (stations.
2 and 3) than at station 1 of CC area. The highest species diversity was observed at station 3 (Table 2).

**18S V9 Metabarcoding Analysis of Gut Contents**

Totally, 79 adult euphausiid individuals (21 *E. pacifica*, 27 *E. brevis*, and 31 *E. hemigibba*) were selected for the feeding ecology study. The total length of euphausiids was 7.6–15 mm for *E. pacifica* (mean ± standard deviation (SD): 10.0 ± 2.1), 7.0–9.1 mm for *E. brevis* (mean ± SD: 8.2 ± 0.5), and 9.4–13.2 mm for *E. hemigibba* (mean ± SD: 11.4 ± 1.1). An overall number of 384,698 sequence reads from eight environmental samples in CC and ESG provinces and 262,758 reads from euphausiid gut samples were obtained. However, 20,533 reads from three negative-control (eye) samples still remained after removing the host and contaminant sequences. Furthermore, >98% of the remaining sequences of negative controls as well as 47.8% of the gut content sequences were classified into “unclassified Crustacea.” We, therefore, double-checked all the OTUs in the negative controls and found that ~80% of the unclassified OTUs in negative controls also appeared in the gut contents, taking up 95–97% of the unclassified sequences in gut contents. The unclassified OTUs were eventually verified as euphausiid species and other arthropods or remained unclassified based on the BLASTN search against the “nt” database (Supplementary Figure 1). The unclassified sequences discovered in the gut contents were highly likely attributed to the host sequences; thus, all the unclassified sequences in the gut were removed manually. In addition, for each euphausiid species, gut samples that contained insufficient reads (<0.5% of the total gut content sequence reads) were excluded. At last, a total of 12 *E. pacifica*, 27 *E. brevis*, and 28 *E. hemigibba* were used, and sequence reads for final data analysis were 112 to 46,697 for euphausiid guts, and 32,381 to 61,099 for environmental samples.

Gut contents of all three euphausiid species were mainly dominated by the phyttoplankton taxonomic groups including Dinophyta, Stramenopiles, and Archaeplastida (Figure 3). Within the phytoplanktonic supergroups, the Kruskal-Wallis test suggested that Dinophyta sequences had significantly higher (*p* < 0.05) relative abundances than other prey taxa in the guts of all three euphausiid species and were detected in every individual, accounting for 3.3–87.8% (average 40.5%) in *E. pacifica*, 1.6–97.6% (average 45.8%) in *E. brevis*, and 4.2–97.6% (average 56.3%) in *E. hemigibba*. Dinophyta was also abundant in the environmental communities of both CC and ESG regions, averagely representing 29.1% at CC (station 1) and 36.8% at ESG (station 2) in sequence proportions. Stramenopiles was the second most abundant in sequence proportions across the phyttoplankton found in the guts, averaging ~10% in all three species (8.7% in *E. pacifica*, 8.4% in *E. brevis*, and 11.7% in *E. hemigibba*), but comprised a smaller proportion in the water columns (average 4.2% in CC and 1.6% in ESG). Archaeplastida showed relatively small proportions of sequence reads in the water columns, with greater abundance in CC (average 1.8%) than ESG (average 0.2%) (Figures 3B,C), however, Archaeplastida displayed higher percentages in gut contents of euphausiids, making up on average 2.8% in *E. brevis*, 6.7% in *E. hemigibba*, and 8.4% in *E. pacifica*. Kruskal-Wallis test indicated that the relative abundance of Archaeplastida was significantly different between *E. brevis* and the other two species with *p* < 0.05, and percentage of Archaeplastida in *E. pacifica* and *E. hemigibba* were similar with each other. Moreover, Archaeplastida displayed a significant lower (*p* < 0.05) relative abundance than Dinophyta and Stramenopiles within *E. brevis*. Except of phytoplankton, Copepoda sequences represented a similar minor portion in all three species of euphausiids (average 5.7% in *E. pacifica* and *E. brevis*, 4.7% in *E. hemigibba*), but was the most abundant in the environmental community sequence reads. Sequence reads from Rhizaria were relatively...
Rhizaria was found to present a significantly lower percentage (average 6.3%) than in guts of *E. hemigibba* (*E. brevis* difference). PERMANOVA indicated a significant difference among three species, and PERMDISP showed no significant differences (among *E. brevis* all three euphausiid species (average 16.6% in WSG), resulting in a prominent proportion of the gut contents of *E. brevis*.

Abundant in ESG environmental communities (average 7.0% in ESG, 4.8% in CC), and significantly higher percentage (*p* < 0.05) of Rhizaria was discovered in guts of *E. brevis* (average 6.3%) than in guts of *E. hemigibba* (average 1.4%). Rhizaria was found to present a significantly lower percentage than other prey within *E. hemigibba*. Additionally, Hydrozoa sequences, with a trace amount in the water column (<0.5%), was strikingly discovered in several euphausiid individuals, resulting in a prominent proportion of the gut contents of all three euphausiid species (average 16.6% in *E. pacifica*, 25.6% in *E. brevis*, and 8.4% in *E. hemigibba*). PERMDISP showed no significant differences (*p* > 0.05) in dispersions among three species, and PERMANOVA indicated a significant difference (*p* < 0.05) in the gut content composition between *E. brevis* and *E. hemigibba* (Supplementary Table 1). The dissimilarity mainly attributed to Dinophyta, Stramenopiles, and Rhizaria with relative greater average dissimilarities and distributed evenly (larger dissimilarity/standard deviation ratios) among euphausiid samples. Hydrozoa also largely contributed to the dissimilarity between two species, but the contributions were inconsistent among all the samples (lower Diss/SD ratio; Supplementary Table 2).

**Dominant Prey Operational Taxonomic Units**

A total of 19 OTUs were verified as primary prey of three euphausiid species, including phytoplankton (dinoflagellates, pelagophytes, and green algae) and zooplankton (copepods, hydromedusae, and rhizarians) (Table 3). Hydrozoan OTUs, generally identified as siphonophores, were one of the most dominant OTUs in all three euphausiid species, present in >90% of the euphausiid individuals. Among phytoplankton OTUs, dinoflagellates were the uppermost food items in all three euphausiid species (average of 4.6–14.2% sequence reads), with higher occurrence in *E. hemigibba* (71%) than *E. pacifica* (50–58%) and *E. brevis* (52%). Kareniaceae dinoflagellates were predominant dinoflagellate prey for *E. brevis* and *E. hemigibba*. Pelagophytes OTUs were also identified as prime prey for *E. pacifica* (2.0–4.1% average reads) of CC region and *E. hemigibba* (3.9% average reads) of WSG region. Pelagomonadales OTUs were common prey of both *E. pacifica* and *E. hemigibba*; however, Coccoid pelagophyte OTU was detected only in 58% of the *E. pacifica* individuals. Green algae OTUs were only listed as dominant in the *E. pacifica* with a high frequency (58–75%). As for zooplankton prey, Pontellidae copepods for *E. brevis*, Clausocalanidae copepods for *E. hemigibba* and *E. pacifica* were prime food of three species of euphausiids. These copepod OTUs played more important roles in the gut contents of *E. brevis* and *E. hemigibba* in the subtropical areas (2.1–2.4% sequence reads; 74–77% occurrence frequency) than *E. pacifica* (1.7% sequence reads; 67% occurrence frequency). Sphaerozoidae rhizarian was uniquely dominant prey for *E. brevis* in ESG.

**Stable Isotope Analysis**

The highest mean nitrogen isotope ratio was in *E. pacifica* (10.9 ± 0.8‰) and the lowest in *E. brevis* (3.6 ± 0.2‰), which was consistently 5–5.5‰ higher than that of POM (Figure 4A). The mean δ15N values of the euphausiid species were higher than those of the small or large net-plankton and were lower than those of the myctophid fish. This relationship was also verified between the mean δ13C values of POM, net-plankton, euphausiids, and myctophid fish in both ESG (station 2) and WSG (station 3) regions, however, the mean δ13C value of the *E. pacifica* was higher than that of the myctophid fish in CC region. The highest value of δ15C was observed in *E. brevis* (−20.3 ± 0.2‰), and the lowest was observed in *E. pacifica* (−21.9 ± 0.5‰). The mean trophic levels of *E. pacifica*, *E. brevis*, and *E. hemigibba* were 2.32, 2.48, and 2.57 (Figure 4B), respectively, indicating that the three euphausiid species were between primary and secondary consumers. The trophic levels of *E. brevis* and *E. hemigibba* were significantly higher than those of *E. pacifica*; however, no significant difference was found between *E. brevis* and *E. hemigibba*. A relatively wider trophic level spectrum was observed in *E. hemigibba* (2.07–2.77) in WSG.

**TABLE 2 |** Adult euphausiid species identified in each station in this study.

| Species          | St.3 (WSG) | St.2 (ESG) | St.1 (CC) |
|------------------|------------|------------|-----------|
|                  | N          | %          | N         | %          | N         | %          |
| Euphausia        |            |            |           |            |           |            |
| *E. brevis*      | 0.83       | 1.75       | 24.02     | 50.00      | –         | –          |
| *E. gibbioides*  | –          | –          | –         | –          | 15.46     | 10.19      |
| *E. hemigibba*   | 10.80      | 22.81      | 1.97      | 4.10       | 2.21      | 1.46       |
| *E. mutica*      | 4.99       | 10.53      | –         | –          | –         | –          |
| *E. pacifica*    | –          | –          | –         | –          | 121.47    | 80.10      |
| *E. recuva*      | 0.83       | 1.75       | –         | –          | 0.74      | 0.49       |
| Nematocteachia    |            |            |           |            |           |            |
| *N. boops*       | 0.83       | 1.75       | –         | –          | 0.74      | 0.49       |
| *N. flexipes*    | 0.42       | 0.88       | 1.18      | 2.46       | 0.74      | 0.49       |
| *N. atlantica*   | 6.23       | 13.16      | 0.39      | 0.82       | –         | –          |
| *N. difficilis*  | –          | –          | –         | –          | 9.57      | 6.51       |
| *N. microps*     | 3.32       | 7.02       | –         | –          | –         | –          |
| *N. tenella*     | 2.49       | 5.26       | 1.18      | 2.46       | –         | –          |
| Thysanoessa gregaria | –     | –          | –         | –          | 0.74      | 0.49       |
| Thysanopoda      |            |            |           |            |           |            |
| *T. astylata/aequilis* | 3.74   | 7.89       | 3.54      | 7.38       | –         | –          |
| *T. monacantha*  | –          | –          | 0.39      | 0.82       | –         | –          |
| *T. obtusifrons* | –          | –          | 1.18      | 2.46       | –         | –          |
| *T. tricuspitida*| 1.25       | 2.63       | 1.97      | 4.10       | –         | –          |
| Stylocheiron     |            |            |           |            |           |            |
| *S. abbreviatum* | 4.57       | 9.65       | 6.69      | 13.93      | –         | –          |
| *S. affine*      | 1.66       | 3.51       | 0.79      | 1.64       | –         | –          |
| *S. carinatum*   | 2.49       | 5.26       | 3.54      | 7.38       | –         | –          |
| *S. longicorne*  | 1.66       | 3.51       | 0.39      | 0.82       | –         | –          |
| *S. suhmi*       | 1.25       | 2.63       | 0.79      | 1.64       | –         | –          |
| H*               | 2.44       | 1.79       | –         | –          | 0.74      | –          |
| D                | 0.89       | 0.72       | –         | –          | 0.35      | –          |

Abundance (N: ind. 1000 m⁻³) and proportional abundance (%) of each species to the total is also shown. Bold texts underline the abundance and the proportional abundance of the dominant euphausiid species in each station.
FIGURE 3 | Prey composition of euphausiid species and environmental community structure revealed by 18S V9 metabarcoding. Contribution of different taxonomic groups to the overall diet/community was presented by the proportion of the sequence reads of different supergroups. (A) Average prey composition of three target euphausiid species and environmental communities at station 1, 2, and 3. (B) Individual prey composition of *E. pacifica* (*n* = 12) and environmental communities at 0 m, 10 m, 100 m, and SCM of station 1. (C) Individual prey composition of *E. brevis* (*n* = 27) and environmental communities at 0 m, 10 m, 100 m, and SCM of station 2. (D) Individual prey composition of *E. hemigibba* (*n* = 28). ND: no data.

province, compared with the other subtropical species *E. brevis* (2.37–2.61) in ESG province.

**DISCUSSION**

**Euphausiid Community Structure in Relation to Hydrography**

This study aimed to reveal the diets of dominant species of euphausiids in the CC and subtropical areas, and the community structures of euphausiids in three water areas were firstly investigated. As reported by Mauchline and Fisher (1969), the hydrographic characteristics of water masses were important factors governing the zonation pattern of euphausiids species in our study, and the euphausiid community in the CC region was distinct from that in the subtropical area. Furthermore, the sea surface temperature is known to be an important driver of diversity in pelagic taxa (Letessier et al., 2009, 2011; Tittensor et al., 2010), agreeing with the diversity patterns of euphausiids in our study. Station 1 (CC area) was in a transition zone with the merging of subarctic water, subtropical water, and equatorial water of the North Pacific, and *E. pacifica* were highly dominant. A preferred water temperature of *E. pacifica* ranged between 5 and 16°C, and their abundances were centered in the CC region (Taki, 2007; Lara-Lopez et al., 2012; Tao et al., 2015). Because the warm temperature in the subtropical region around 20–25°C is unfavorable for *E. pacifica* (Brinton, 1962), this species was not found in both WSG and ESG in our study. On the other hand, most of the predominant euphausiid species of station 2 and 3 were absent in CC province. Roden (1991) noted that the southern boundary of the transition zone (32°N) plays a role as a geographical barrier preventing subtropical species, such as *E. brevis* from northward extending their distribution. The subtropical species *E. brevis* and *E. hemigibba* usually co-occur in the oligotrophic subtropical gyre together with *N. atlantica* and *Stylocheiron suhmi* (Moore, 1951; Fager and McGowan, 1963; Wiebe and D’abramo, 1972; Lindley, 1977). However, there were environmental differences in water temperature and chl *a* concentration within the North Pacific subtropical gyre, possibly leading to different dominant species between ESG and WSG. Based on the results of the present study, more sampling stations in the subtropical regions should...
be explored for further investigation of euphausid community structure in relation to the hydrographic gradients, and more information about trophic interaction between dominant and minor euphausid species would provide more insights. The community structures and dominant species, whose diets are further discussed in the following, observed in this study were thus typical in the North Pacific.

**Omnivorous Feeding of Dominant Euphausia Species**

All the three *Euphausia* species in this study presented an evident omnivorous feeding. Both phytoplankton (dinoflagellates, pelagophytes, and green algae) and zooplankton (copepods and hydrozoan) were frequently observed from the gut contents by 18S V9 metabarcoding, and omnivorous feeding was also confirmed by the stable isotope analysis. These results also indicated that the feeding pattern of euphausiids was largely influenced by the food resource availabilities of phytoplankton in the environment. An omnivore feeding has been well studied in *E. pacifica*. In addition to major prey items such as diatoms and ciliates, *E. pacifica* has been verified to feed on dinoflagellates (*Gyrodinium* sp. and *Protoperidinium* sp.) and small copepods (*Pseudocalanus* sp.) using microscopic observation, stable isotope analysis, and rearing experiments (Dilling et al., 1998; Nakagawa et al., 2001, 2004; Park et al., 2011; Du and Peterson, 2014; Okazaki et al., 2020). In addition, a similar trophic level of 2.5 for *E. pacifica* in the Oyashio Current was reported by Sogawa et al. (2017) using stable isotope analysis. However, feeding of subtropical euphausid species have been poorly reported, and only a few studies found foraminifera and unclassified copepods in *E. brevis* (Mauchline, 1967). Thus, our study was the first to report detailed feeding habits of the dominant species of *E. brevis* and *E. hemigibba* in the North Pacific subtropical gyre.

Dinoflagellates are widely recognized as important prey items of pelagic taxa (McClatchie, 1988; Turner et al., 2001; Pethybridge et al., 2014), and as an essential energy supply of all developmental stages of *E. pacifica* using dinoflagellates-specific fatty acid biomarkers 18:4n-3 and 22:6n-3 (Kohlbach et al., 2019; Fisher et al., 2020). Although some species of Kareniaecae (e.g., *Karlodinium* sp.), which were detected as dominant OTUs in the present study, could deter grazing from predators by producing karlotoxins, zooplankton such as *Acartia tonsa* would potentially graze on dinoflagellate strains with lower toxin levels (Waggett et al., 2008). Furthermore, pelagophytes and green algae have not yet been reported in euphausiid diets possibly due to their small size, however, our results showed their importance as food sources of dominant euphausiids. Copepods were also detected as a major prey, even though proportions of copepods were much lower in gut contents of euphausiids than environmental communities in metabarcoding analysis. Cleary et al. (2018) similarly discovered copepod sequence reads in the stomach contents of *E. superba*, indicating a carnivory supplementary diet of this species. The importance of copepods was verified by Nakagawa et al. (2002) based on carbon content analysis, and *E. superba* was supposed to feed on *Ctenocalanus* copepods (Clausocalanidae) according to their overlapping vertical distribution (Marrari et al., 2011).

In the present study, all dominant species belonged to the genus *Euphausia*. According to comparative morphological studies, the thoracic legs of *Euphausia* species have a nearly uniform length and form a well-developed feeding basket for omnivorous filter-feeding (Mauchline, 1967), in comparison with

### Table 3: BLAST Results for the Dominant Prey OTUs in Target Euphausiid Species

| Species | Average Reads | Occurrence Frequency | Putative Taxon | BLAST Best-hit |
|---------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------|
| *E. pacifica* | 14.2% | 58% | Unclassified dinoflagellate | Coverage: 100% Identity: 86.05% Accession: LC054929.1 |
| *E. brevis* | 12.2% | 92% | Siphonophorae hydrozoan | Coverage: 100% Identity: 100% Accession: KX421851.1 |
| *E. superba* | 7.2% | 50% | Unclassified dinoflagellate | Coverage: 100% Identity: 82.56% Accession: LC054926.1 |
| *E. hemigibba* | 4.1% | 75% | Pelagomonadales pelagophyte | Coverage: 100% Identity: 100% Accession: EF456763.2 |
| *E. pacifica* | 2.1% | 83% | Unclassified green algae | Coverage: 56% Identity: 98% Accession: KUB43574.1 |
| *E. brevis* | 2.0% | 58% | Coccoid pelagophyte | Coverage: 100% Identity: 100% Accession: U40927.1 |
| *E. superba* | 1.7% | 67% | Clausocalanidae copepod | Coverage: 100% Identity: 100% Accession: LC581937.1 |
| *E. hemigibba* | 1.5% | 75% | Unclassified green algae | Coverage: 100% Identity: 81.61% Accession: JF913937.1 |

*N* represents the total number of euphausiid samples. Coverage, sequence identity, and occurrence frequency are provided as percentages. The putative taxon of dominant OTUs were presented at the Order or Family level when query coverage and identity were higher than 95%.
other euphausiid species with one or more pairs of elongated thoracic legs (Berkes, 1975; Dalley and McClatchie, 1989; Suh and Choi, 1998). For example, *N. difficilis* and *Nematobrachion boopis* displayed higher $\delta^{15}$N values indicating more carnivorous feeding than *Euphausia* species in the same water area (Kinsey and Hopkins, 1994; Sogawa et al., 2017). Moreover, although the feeding basket structure of *Thysanopoda* spp. is similar to that of *Euphausia* spp., mesopelagic *Thysanopoda* species are more carnivorous as they keep away from the primary producers (Nemoto et al., 1977). Thus, the diet spectrum of the minor euphausiid species was bottlenecked by the inconvenient limbs for filter feeding and their mesopelagic habitat; *Euphausia* species, however, have their nutritional needs satisfied by an omnivorous dietary ranging from picophytoplankton to microzooplankton. Omnivorous feeding habit enables *Euphausia* species to adapt to various prey availabilities and become the dominant species of euphausiid communities.

### Differences of Feeding Patterns Between Three Euphausiid Species

Although all the three species are omnivorous, and similar gut content compositions were captured for *E. pacifica* and subtropical euphausiid species, *E. pacifica* in CC displayed a different feeding habit from *E. brevis* and *E. hemigibba* reflecting by lower trophic levels verified by stable isotope analysis. In addition, DNA metabarcoding detected more autotrophic preys (green algae) with greater abundance and occurrence frequency in *E. pacifica* by comparison with subtropical *E. brevis*. All the three dominant species conduct diel vertical migration:

![Graph showing trophic positions of target euphausiid species](image)
surface migrant E. pacifica in the northwestern Pacific were most abundant at 5–25 m for feedings during nighttime (Nakagawa et al., 2003; Endo and Yamano, 2006; Sogawa et al., 2016), and E. brevis were most abundant at upper 50 m in the Mediterranean Sea and Bermuda (Wiebe and D’abramo, 1972; Youngbluth, 1975). Despite a similar vertical distribution at night between E. pacifica and E. brevis, higher prey availability of pelagophyte (Stramenopiles) was observed in CC (0 m: 4.8%; 10 m: 4.7%) compared to that in ESG (0 m: 1.5%; 10 m: 1.6%), in addition to more green algae (Archaeplastida) prey (CC: ~2.5%; ESG: ~0.2%) (Figures 3B,C). Thus, E. pacifica was allowed access to more autotrophic prey. Moreover, E. pacifica would display a high growth rate when feeding on phytoplankton, such as diatoms, rather than copepods (Lasker, 1966; Ohman, 1984). Autotrophic pelagophyte and green algae are supposed to be the optimal prey for E. brevis in the CC area, and E. pacifica would depend more on these prey that probably provides them with the optimum ratio of energy gain per energy expenditure (Schoener, 1971).

A lower chl a concentration in oligotrophic environments would lead to an increasing heterotroph (e.g., dinoflagellates, zooplankton) contribution to the whole diet of euphausiids, such as E. lucens, E. spinifera, E. superba, and E. pacifica. (Stuart and Pillar, 1990; Gibbons et al., 1991; Perissinotto et al., 2000; Nakagawa et al., 2002; Henschke et al., 2015). Non-autotrophic prey (copepods and mixotrophic/heterotrophic dinoflagellates) were important foods of E. hemigibba and E. brevis due to a potential poor autotrophic prey availability in the environment. In addition, the lower chl a level in ESG than that in CC (Figure 1B) triggered the lesser uptake of green algae of E. brevis in the present study. Furthermore, the gut content composition of two subtropical euphausiid species was significantly different (Supplementary Table 1): metabarcoding verified a relatively higher contribution of Dinophyta and Stramenopiles in E. hemigibba than E. brevis, while E. brevis consumed significantly more Rhizaria (Supplementary Table 2 and Figure 3). These differences might be attributed to a higher chl a concentration at the subsurface layer in WSG than ESG. Because E. hemigibba were found more frequently in deeper layers (100–150 m) in northeastern Japan and the Mediterranean Sea (Wiebe and D’abramo, 1972; Taki, 2008), they might utilize phytoplankton at the subsurface layer effectively in WSG. On the other hand, trophic level by stable isotope analysis was higher in E. hemigibba than E. brevis, with high variations and no significant difference. This discrepancy in metabarcoding and stable isotope analysis implies that feeding patterns of E. hemigibba and E. brevis change temporally according to food availability in the environments, which also underlines the need of the combining of DNA metabarcoding and stable isotope analysis in feeding habit investigations.

DNA Metabarcoding as a Tool of Feeding Ecology Investigation

DNA metabarcoding has been successfully applied to gut contents or feces of a series of organisms ranging from copepods and fish larvae to marine mammals to unveil their dietary diversity, dietary composition, and the contribution of different prey items to their overall diet (Hardy et al., 2017; Zamora-Terol et al., 2020; Kume et al., 2021). The most accepted advantage of DNA metabarcoding over traditional visual analysis is the lesser dependency on the digestion state of prey items. A stomach content analysis of catfish by DNA metabarcoding recovered 91.6% of prey items to species level compared to morphological identification (9.4% to species level, 12.1% to family level), and little difference was shown between the major composition of lightly digested piscine prey items and moderately digested...
of euphausiids as negative controls to minimize the noises contaminations; (2) employing empty guts and other organs dissection tools on different individual specimens to avoid metabarcoding, we strongly recommend: (1) using disposable the future, feeding ecology study of euphausiids via DNA ecology of studied consumers. Given all the inadequacies, in •

Combining the approach of DNA metabarcoding-based gut content analysis and stable isotope analysis is supposed to be the most appropriate way to mirror a convinced feeding ecology of studied consumers. Given all the inadequacies, in the future, feeding ecology study of euphausiids via DNA metabarcoding, we strongly recommend: (1) using disposable dissection tools on different individual specimens to avoid contaminations; (2) employing empty guts and other organs of euphausiids as negative controls to minimize the noises of fungi, parasites, and unclassified sequences; (3) importing additional marine snow samples to provide a more complete food resource availability; (4) using multiple metabarcoding genetic markers and additional reference databases to advance the integration of dietary data; (5) considering the frequency of occurrence as a criterion and relative read abundance for true-prey assessment instead of judging based on a presence/absence data alone.
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