Morphological and Molecular Identification of Ticks Infested in Stray Dogs within Nay Pyi Taw Area, Myanmar
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Abstract | *Rhipicephalus sanguineus*, the brown dog tick, is the most widespread tick in the world and transmits broad range of pathogens to dogs. The aim of this study was to identify the tick species infested in dogs by using a combination of LM and molecular analysis. A total of 237 tick samples were collected from dogs and identified by using Light Microscope (LM) and molecular technique. Morphological identification revealed that all of tick infestation in dogs was *R. sanguineus* spp. and 16SrRNA gene of *R. sanguineus* species sequences analyses proved 100% sequences identities when compared with the reference data in Genbank records. The present studies confirm *R. sanguineus* is common tick species infesting in dogs within Nay Pyi Taw area.
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INTRODUCTION

Worldwide, there is a growing recognition of the importance of tick-borne pathogens in dogs and humans, which are transmitted by a number of different ixodid tick species (Nicholson et al., 2010). *Rhipicephalus sanguineus*, the brown dog tick, is the most widespread tick in the world and is a well-recognized vector of tick-borne pathogens affecting dogs and occasionally humans (Dantas-Torres, 2016). *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* transmits broad range of pathogens to dogs such as *Babesia vogeli*, *Babesia gibsoni*, *Hepatozoon canis*, *Rickettsia conorii*, *Rickettsia rickettsii*, *Ehrlichia canis* and *Anaplasma platys* (Jongejan and Uilenberg, 2004; Beugnet and Marie, 2009).

The accurate taxonomy of tick species is very important to control tick-borne diseases. The morphological identification using light microscope (LM) clarifies mainly the size and color of tick species besides other obvious characteristics such as mouth parts, the outline of body and scutum (Abdel-Shafy, 2008). Traditionally, taxonomical identification of ticks was based on the criteria of adult ticks through LM or SEM, nonetheless, the applicability of this method is difficult when the specimens are engorged with blood, physically damaged, in immature stages; i.e. eggs, larvae, or nymphs (Caporale et al., 1995; Guglielmone et al., 2006) and very doubtful at subspecies and/or one group level; *R. sanguineus* group (Gray et al., 2013; Luiet al., 2013; Nava et al., 2015).

The number of pet dogs is increasing in Myanmar while the numbers of infected stray dogs are roaming at streets, fresh open markets, and other public places in Myanmar’s urban areas. As in many other countries, the dog has become a bonded family member. Among canine diseases, the zoonotic diseases are of significant importance in public health (Day, 2011; Irwin, 2014). Ticks are one of the most common ecto parasites in dogs and are involved in the
transmission of a number of major diseases in both dogs and humans (Chomel, 2011; Dantas-Torres and Otranto, 2016). Along with the changes of climate and environmentalecosystem, increasing emerging and re-emerging tick-borne diseases and therefore ticks have been considered as the focus of extensive attention in recent years (Jongejan and Uilenberg, 2004; Liu et al., 2014). The increase in the pet dogpopulation and their close relationship with humans inMyanmar has created the need for research into the epidemiological status of ticks and the pathogens they transmit to pet dogs. However, there is very little information on morphological and genetically identification of ticks in Myanmar. Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine the morphological and molecular identification of tick species infested in stray dogs within Nay Pyi Taw area, Myanmar.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

COLLECTION OF TICK SAMPLES
A total of 400 dogs were investigated for tick infestation. The inspected dogs were from Pyinmana, Lewe, Tatkon and Zeyar Thiri Township within Nay Pyi Taw area. These animals were examined for the presence of ticksin neck, chest area, and around the soft parts of theirbodies such as the inner sides of the hind and forelegs,perineal area, inner surface of ears and udders (Abdullah et al., 2016). Dogs were examined whether the ticks were present or not, and if present, ticks were collected by hand from the dogs. Nomore than 10 ticks were collected from each dog and placed in a collection tube containing a wet cotton ball. Each sample was registered and numbered.

MORPHOLOGICAL IDENTIFICATION OF TICK VECTORS BY LM
In accordance with the morphology of ticks, an observation was performed microscopically to determine their developmental stage (larval, nymph, adult) and species. Ticks were identified using recognized morphological keys (Parola et al., 2013). The collected ticks were counted and sorted to different, species, and sex using dissecting microscope. Tick species were identified morphologically using taxonomic keys of Estrada-Pena et al. (2004). The identification of tick species were morphologically examined in details using LM, especially the dorsal and ventral surfaces of adult males and females. The adult ticks in these two positions were photographed by digital camera fixed on stereo microscope.

EXTRACTION OF TICK DNA
Before the extraction procedure, individual ticks were disinfected by immersion into 70% ethanol for 5 min, rinsed in sterile water and dried with sterile filter paper. Tick samples were homogenized by Micro Smash MS-
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Morphological and Molecular Identification of Tick Species

A total of 237 ticks were collected from 44 dogs during this investigation. All ticks were collected from four Townships within Nay Pyi Taw area. The ticks collected were of the three development stages i.e., larval, nymphal and adult ticks, where adults, nymphs and larvae counted for (217/237) 91.6%, (20/237) 8.4% and (0/237) 0%, respectively. All stages were identified and the species identified were *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* (237/237). The key characteristics of adult female and male of the brown dog tick, *R. sanguineus* are as described by Walker et al. (2014). Interstitial punctation size is small to medium. Interstitial punctation distribution is sparse. Coxae 1 anterior spurs are not visible dorsally. Cervical field depression is not apparent. Cervical field texture has no wrinkles. Eyes are slightly convex. Genital aperture posterior lips have a broad U shape (but may have a broad V shape). Conscutum colour is pale (but may be dark in some populations). Accessory adanal plates are large. Adanal plates shape is narrow and trapezoid (but tends towards a broad and curved appearance). Posterior grooves are distinct (deep and wide with wrinkled texture) (Figure 1).

Figure 1: The adult female and male of the brown dog ticks *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* photographed by light microscope: (A) genital opening of *R. sanguineus* (Female); (B) adanal plate of *R. sanguineus* (Male); (C) hexagonal shape of basic capiculi; (D) dorsal view of *R. sanguineus* (Female scutum); (E) dorsal view of *R. sanguineus* (Male conscutum); (F) divided 1st coxa of *R. sanguineus*.

The sequences obtained (accession no. LC422405) was compared by using BLAST (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast) with sequences available at GenBank. Sequences obtained were similar to that of *R. sanguineus* reported from Brazil, Chile, Cuba, Thailand and China (GenBank accession no. KR653223, KX632154, KP830114, KC170744 and DQ93297) with similarities of 100% (Figure 2).

Figure 2: Phylogenetic tree based on *R. sanguineus* spp. 16S rDNA sequence. Sequences from the Rhipicephalus genera were compared with the neighbor-joining method with distance matrix calculation by Kumar-two parameters, operated by MEGA software (Version 7), using *Amblyomma testudinarium* as the out group. Scale bar indicates the number of mutations per sequence position. The numbers at the nodes represent the percentage of 1000 bootstrap re-samplings.

Nowadays, the contact between wild and domestic animals, animals and human as well as animals, human and vectors are increasing (Wieten et al., 2011; Gargili et al., 2012; Keysary et al., 2011; Socolovschi et al, 2011; Movila et al., 2012). In addition, the studies on emerging and reemerging infectious diseases are also increasing due to their public health implication, zoonotic importance. Therefore, the accurate taxonomy of tick is a very important to control tick-borne diseases. Molecular techniques are needed to improve the sensitivity and specificity of the identification of tick species. Therefore, this study was designed to achieve two goals. The first goal is to determine the ixodid tick species infesting dogs by LM. The second goal is to illustrate the accurate taxonomic status of the most common ticks, the brown dog tick *R. sanguineus*, using a molecular analysis.

The brown dog tick *R. sanguineus* is the unique tick species that was found on dogs recording 100% infestation (Hoogstraal and Kaiser, 1958; Haridy et al., 2009). Many tick species have been identified on dogs in SEA, but the brown dog tick, *R. sanguineus*, is the most significant in terms of its prevalence (Tanskul et al. 1983; Kolonin, 1995; Petney and Keirans, 1996). The findings of this study reveal...
the fact that *R. sanguineus* is still the main dog tick in Myanmar which agrees with previous reports.

**CONCLUSIONS**

*Rhipicephalus sanguineus* is of great significance in both veterinary and human medicine, primarily because of its role as a vector of several pathogens, though the ticks themselves can cause clinical illness in heavy infestations of dogs. The present studies proved that *R. sanguineus* is common tick species infesting in dogs around Nay Pyi Taw area. In addition, the establishment of the phylogenetic status of *R. sanguineus* would be useful in understanding the distribution of ticks in Nay Pyi Taw area.
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