Regulation of TG accumulation and lipid droplet morphology by the novel TLDP1 in Aurantiochytrium limacinum F26-b
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Abstract  Thraustochytrids are marine single-cell protists that produce large amounts of PUFA's, such as DHA. They accumulate PUFA's in lipid droplets (LDs), mainly as constituent(s) of triacylglycerol (TG). We identified a novel protein in the LD fraction of Aurantiochytrium limacinum F26-b using 2D-difference gel electrophoresis. The protein clustered with orthologs of thraustochytrids; however, the cluster was evolutionarily different from known PAT family proteins or plant LD protein; thus, we named it thraustochytrid-specific LD protein 1 (TLDP1). TLDP1 surrounded LDs when expressed as a GFP-tagged form. Disruption of the tldp1 gene decreased the content of TG and number of LDs per cell; however, irregular and unusually large LDs were generated in tldp1-deficient mutants. Although the level of TG synthesis was unchanged by the disruption of tldp1, the level of TG degradation was higher in tldp1-deficient mutants than in the WT. These phenotypic abnormalities in tldp1-deficient mutants were restored by the expression of tldp1. These results indicate that TLDP1 is a thraustochytrid-specific LD protein and regulates the TG accumulation and LD morphology in A. limacinum F26-b.—Watanabe, T., R. Sakiyama, Y. Iimi, S. Sekine, E. Abe, K. H. Nomura, K. Nomura, Y. Ishibashi, N. Okino, M. Hayashi, and M. Ito. Regulation of TG accumulation and lipid droplet morphology by the novel TLDP1 in Aurantiochytrium limacinum F26-b. J. Lipid Res. 2017. 58: 2334–2347.
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Several lines of evidence indicate the benefits of n-3 PUFA's, such as DHA (22:6n-3) and EPA (20:5n-3), for human health (1–3). Thus, n-3 PUFA's are currently utilized as a medicine and in supplements; the former is provided as an FA ethyl ester and the latter as a triacylglycerol (TG), both of which are produced from marine fish oil. However, n-3 PUFA's in marine fish are considered to accumulate as a result of the food chain in the marine ecosystem (4). The primary producers of n-3 PUFA's in marine environments are bacteria, phytoplankton, and thraustochytrids. Thraustochytrids, a unicellular marine microorganism classified into Stramenopile, synthesize DHA and accumulate it as acyl chain(s) of TG in lipid droplets (LDs) and phospholipids in cellular membranes. Thraustochytrids are expected to become an alternative to fish oil because of their high productivity of n-3 PUFA's and suitable growth characteristics for industrial purposes (5–7). Thraustochytrid-derived DHA is currently provided to individuals who do not eat fish, such as vegetarians (8). Furthermore, thraustochytrids produce not only beneficial n-3 PUFA's but also useful lipids, including carotenoids and squalene (7). Basic techniques for gene manipulation, including draft genome sequences as well as the identification of metabolic enzymes
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The novel thraustochytrid-specific lipid droplet protein

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Phosphatidylcholine (PC) (11:0/11:0), lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC) (13:0), phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) (12:0/12:0), diacylglycerol (DG) (12:0/12:0), and TG (12:0/12:0/12:0) were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids. Artificial seawater (SEALIFE) was prepared as weight per volume. The period indicated. All percentages described above are expressed as weight per volume.

Preparation of the LD fraction

LDs were isolated from A. limacinum F26-b using a previously described method (25) with minor modifications. Briefly, cells cultivated at 25°C in 200 ml of GY medium were harvested at the middle log phase by centrifugation at 2,300 g at 4°C for 5 min and then washed with PBS twice. Cells were resuspended in 10 ml of buffer B [20 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.4) containing 100 mM KCl and 2 mM MgCl2] was then loaded on the top of the PNS fraction. Three milliliters of the PNS fraction and the supernatant fraction was collected as the post nuclear supernatant (PNS) fraction. Three milliliters of the PNS fraction were transferred into an ultra-centrifuge tube and 2 ml of buffer B [20 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.4) containing 100 mM KCl and 2 mM MgCl2] was then loaded on the top of the PNS fraction. After centrifugation at 30,000 g at 4°C for 60 min using a RPS 65T swinging bucket rotor (Hitachi), the top portion of the gradient formed was collected into a 1.5 ml tube. Proteins were precipitated with chloroform-acetone (26) and resuspended in urea buffer [20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5) containing 7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, and 4% CHAPS]. Protein content was measured with the 2-D Quant kit (GE Healthcare).

2D-difference gel electrophoresis

The fluorescent labeling of proteins was performed according to the optimized procedure described by Uchida et al. (27). In brief, 1.1 µl of IC3-Su or IC5-Su solution (400 pmol/µl in DMSO) was added to the sample (60 µg protein/50 µl) in a light-protected microcentrifuge tube. After mixing and centrifugation, the tubes were kept on ice for 1 h in the dark. The fluorescence labeling reaction was terminated by the addition of 2 µl of lysine (10 mM). 2D-electrophoresis was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The fluorescence imaging of IC3/5-labeled proteins was performed using ProXPRESS (Perkin Elmer; 540/25 nm excitation for IC3-labeled proteins and 625/30 nm excitation for IC5-labeled proteins). Proteins (60 µg each) in the LD fraction (top layer) and non-LD fraction (bottom layer) were separately labeled with IC3 (n = 3 for each analysis). Regarding internal standards, proteins in the LD-rich and non-LD fractions were mixed (60 µg in total) and labeled with IC3. In order to...
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identify proteins, nonlabeled proteins were picked from the gel and visualized by staining with SYPRO Ruby after 2D-PAGE. Spots on 2D-PAGE were identified using Progenesis SameSpots software (version 3.3). Spots were cut off using ProXcision (Perkin Elmer) and trypsinized. The peptides obtained were analyzed using ESI-linear ion trap (LIT) MS (Finnigan LTQ; Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to a previously described protocol (28). All product ions were submitted to the computer database search analysis with the Mascot search engine (Matrix Science, Boston, MA) using the JGI genome portal database of A. limacinum ATCC MYA-1381.

Cloning of tldp1 and the preparation of recombinant TLP1

Genomic DNA was prepared from A. limacinum F26-b using a previously described method (11). The open reading frame encoding 395 amino acids of TLP1 was cloned from the genomic DNA of A. limacinum F26-b by PCR using the primer set of ADRP-F and ADRP-R (supplemental Table S1). The PCR product and pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega) were ligased with 2× ligation mix (TOYOBO). The 1.185 bp PCR product obtained was 100% matched with the sequence in the A. limacinum ATCC MYA-1381 genome database. The open reading frame was expressed in Escherichia coli BL21/pG-KJE8 as a His tag and Trigger factor tag-fused protein using a pCold TF vector (Takara Bio). The transformants were incubated at 37°C in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium containing 100 µg/ml ampicillin until A600nm reached ~0.6, and the culture was kept at 15°C for 15 min. Isopropyl-D-thiogalactopyranoside was then added to the culture at a final concentration of 1 mM. After cultivation at 15°C for 24 h, cells were harvested by centrifugation (8,000 g for 15 min) and suspended in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) containing 150 mM NaCl and 20 mM imidazole. The suspension was kept in a sonic bath for 30 s and this procedure was repeated four times to crush the cells. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 5,000 g at 4°C for 15 min. After centrifugation at 17,000 g at 4°C for 15 min, the supernatant was applied to Ni Sepharose 6 Fast Flow resin (GE Healthcare) packed in Muromac mini column M (Muromachi Technos) and the column was then washed with 50 mM Tris- HCl (pH 7.5) containing 150 mM NaCl and 40 mM imidazole. Recombinant TLP1 fused with the trigger factor was eluted with 50 mM Tris- HCl (pH 7.5) containing 150 mM NaCl and 200 mM imidazole. In order to remove the trigger factor, HRV 3C Protease (Takara Bio) was added and the digested sample was applied to a Ni Sepharose column. A single protein band showing a molecular mass of 48 kDa on SDS-PAGE was obtained.

Construction of phylogenetic tree of Plins and TLP1

We conducted multiple alignments using MAFFT (L-INS-I) and analyzed the relationship of each protein with the ML method using MEGA7 (29, 30). Gap elimination adopted was more than 85% and amino acids were determined by the JTT+G model based on BIC. The robustness of the tree was evaluated with the bootstrap method (1,000 repeats). The accession numbers are shown in Fig. 2.

Preparation of an anti-TLP1 polyclonal antibody

The preparation of an anti-rabbit polyclonal antibody was performed using purified recombinant TLP1 (1.0 mg/ml, 750 µl) at MBL Life Science Co., Japan. The anti-serum obtained was subjected to ELISA using purified recombinant TLP1, which confirmed that the anti-serum had a sufficient titer against TLP1 when diluted 2,500-fold. The anti-serum reacted with the 48 kDa band (TLP1) of the lysate from the A. limacinum F26-b (WT). However, this 48 kDa band was not detected in the lysate of the tldp1-disrupted mutant by the Western blot, indicating that the anti-serum was specific to TLP1.

Western blotting of TLP1

Proteins were separated on 10% SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membrane, and subjected to Western blotting using anti-TLP1 rabbit antibody (first antibody, dilution ×5,000) and anti-rabbit IgG antibody (second antibody, dilution ×10,000). The PVDF membrane, after staining with ECL Plus solution, was subjected to the Cooled CCD Camera System Ez-capture II (ATTO) and the intensity of each band on the membrane was quantified using Image J 1.49v lane analyzer.

Generation of tldp1-disrupted mutants (KO)

The tldp1 of A. limacinum F26-b was disrupted by homologous recombination according to a previously described method (14). Briefly, a BglII site was added to the tldp1 KO construct by PCR-based site-directed mutagenesis using the primers ADRP-BglII-F and ADRP-BglII-R (supplemental Table S1). The hygromycin-resistant gene expression cassette (15) was inserted into the BglII site of the vector according to a previously described method (11). The KO construct was amplified by PCR using the primers ADRP-F and ADRP-R and introduced into A. limacinum F26-b by electroporation with Gene Pulser Xcell. The transformants that grew on the PDA-agar plate containing 2 mg/ml of hygromycin B were subjected to PCR screening for tldp1-disrupted mutants using the primers, KO-5′-F and KO-3′-R (Fig. 3A; supplemental Table S1). The disruption of tldp1 was also confirmed by a Southern blot analysis using genomic DNA digested with Xhol (Fig. 3A). The probes for Southern blotting were prepared using DIG DNA labeling mix (Roche Diagnostics) and the primers, KO-5′-F and KO-3′-R (supplemental Table S1).

Overexpression of tldp1 in KO (KO/OE)

In order to prepare the construct expressing FLAG-tag fused TLP1 in tldp1-disrupted mutants and the WT, PCR was performed using genomic DNA as a template and the primers, YI-1 and YI-2 (supplemental Table S1). The amplified product was inserted into pEF-Neor/Ub1-EGFP, in which the egfp sequence was eliminated using an In-Fusion HD cloning kit (Takara Bio). The expression construct was introduced into KO mutants by electroporation using Gene Pulser Xcell. The transformants that grew on the PDA-agar plate containing 0.5 mg/ml of G418 were subjected to PCR screening for the tldp1 overexpression using the primers, 5178F and YI-2 (supplemental Table S1).

Introduction of expression or KO cassettes into A. limacinum F26-b by electroporation

A. limacinum was precultured in a GY medium at 25°C for 2 days. The cells harvested were transferred into dGPY liquid medium [0.2% glucose, 0.1% polypeptone, and 0.05% yeast extract in 1.75% artificial sea water (SEALIFE)] and cultured at 25°C for 16 h. Cells were washed with 500 µl of 1.75% SEALIFE twice and suspended in 60 µl of OPTI-MEM I (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Expression/KO cassettes (PCR products, ~5–5 µg) were added to the cell suspension and transferred into a 1 mm gap cuvette. Electroporation was conducted with a Bio-Rad Gene Pulser Xcell electroporator (850 V, 200 µF) at 200 Ω. After being pulsed twice, 200 µl of fresh medium was added. Cells were cultured at 25°C for 1 day in GY medium and then transferred to a PDA-agar plate containing suitable antibiotics.

Staining and observation of LDs

LDs, stained with BODIPY 493/503 or HCS LipidTOX Red neutral lipid stain were observed under the fluorescence microscope.
Localization of TLDP1 in *A. limacinum* F26-b

In order to express GFP-fused TLDP1 in *A. limacinum* F26-b, *gfp*-fused *tdlp1* were inserted into the pE-FN-Neo/UBi-EFGP vector (14). Briefly, *egfp* and *tdlp1* were separately amplified by PCR using the primers, EFi-BanH R and Fusion R, and Bgl2-Ubi F and Fusion F, respectively (supplemental Table S1). *Egfp-tdlp1* was amplified by PCR using the primers EFi-BanH R and Bgl2-Ubi F. PCR products and the pEF-Neo-UBi/EFGP vector were digested by BanHI and BglII, and then ligased with 2× ligation mix (TOYOBO). The expression construct was amplified by PCR using the primers EFUbi556F and EFUbi396R and introduced into *A. limacinum* F26-b (WT) or the *tdlp1*-disrupted mutant (KO) by electroporation using Gene Pulser Xcell (Bio-Rad).

Preparation of cell lysates

One milliliter of cultured cells was harvested by centrifugation at 4,000 g at 4°C for 3 min and washed with 1.75% SEAL-IPE. Cells were suspended in 300 μl of cell lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, and 1% NP-40 containing protease inhibitors Complete mini) and crushed at 3,000 rpm for 60 s with a beads crusher (GE Healthcare). The reaction mixture was kept at 37°C for the period indicated and measured by a Wallac 1420 ARVO fluorescence microplate reader set at 355 nm excitation/460 nm emission (PerkinElmer).

RESULTS

Lipid profile of *A. limacinum* F26-b

Strain F26-b is a typical thraustochytrid that produces large amounts of PUFA s, including DHA, which are mainly found as acyl chain(s) of neutral lipids and phospholipids (17). The major FAs of strain F26-b in the neutral lipid fraction are palmitic acid (16:0, 51.5% of total FAs in the fraction), n-6 DPA (22:5n-6, 5.5%), and DHA (27.2%); while in the phospholipid fraction, those are palmitic acid (34.3%),
n-6 DPA (9.6%), and DHA (46.1%), when the strain was cultured under the conditions described in the Materials and Methods. These results indicate that major FAs of the strain F26-b are palmitic acid and DHA. However, this strain (formerly named *Schizochytrium* sp. F26-b) produced pentadecanoic acid (C15:0) as a major saturated FA instead of palmitic acid when cultivated in a GY medium without a vitamin mixture. This odd-numbered FA was mainly incorporated into the sn-1 of PC to form 1-palmitic acid when cultivated in a GY medium and was recovered in the LD fraction when cell lysate was fractionated by sucrose density gradient ultracentrifugation (Fig. 1A) using a marker (Fig. 3B). PCR and Southern blot analyses indicated that the *tldp1* gene was disrupted in KO (Fig. 3C, D) and the *tldp1* gene was detected in KO/OE (Fig. 3E). The expression level of TLDP1 was 2.6-fold higher in KO/OE than in WT, suggesting that the ubiquitin promoter used for the expression of *tldp1* in KO was stronger than the promoter of *tldp1* in WT. The molecular mass of TLDP1 in KO/OE is somewhat larger than that in WT, possibly because the Flag-tag used in OE could influence the mobility of TDAP1 on SDS-PAGE. We confirmed that the three spots (Fig. 2) were derived from the same protein because the peptide sequences of the three spots matched each other at a very high rate (Fig. 1F) and covered 87% putative amino acids deduced from the genome DNA database (red letters, Fig. 1F). Furthermore, these three spots disappeared by knocking out one gene (*tldp1*), as described later (Fig. 3H). This LD protein was tentatively designated as TLDP1. The TLDP1 gene (*tldp1*) was then cloned from *A. limacinum* genomic DNA and sequenced (GenBank accession number LC314401). The putative TLDP1 sequence, composed of 395 amino acids, showed 16.7% and 7.3% identities at the protein level to *Xenopus* and human ADRPs (PLIN2), respectively, which are PAT family protein members (23, 38). TLDP1 did not possess the typical PAT1 region generally found in PAT proteins; however, TLDP1 possessed an 11-mer repeat region and 4-helix bundle sequences that are characteristic of PAT family proteins. Schematic diagrams of TLDP1 with other known PAT family proteins and the 11-mer repeat region of TLDP1 are shown in Fig. 1G and Fig. 1H, respectively.

**Phylogenetic analysis of TLDP1**

The phylogenetic tree revealed that TLDP1 was evolutionarily very different from known mammalian as well as nonmammalian PAT proteins, including Plin1–5 (Fig. 2). TLDP1 also did not show any homology to the oleosins and caleosins that are major LD proteins of seed plants (39). On the other hand, TLDP1 orthologs were found in other thraustochytrids, such as *Schizochytrium aggregatum* and *Aplanochytrium kerguelense* (Fig. 2). These results indicate that TLDP1 is a thraustochytrid-specific LD protein.

**Generation and validation of *tldp1*-disrupted mutants (KO) and their revertants (KO/OE)**

In order to clarify the function of TLDP1, KOs were generated from *A. limacinum* F26-b (WT) by gene-targeting homologous recombination using the hygromycin resistance gene as a marker (Fig. 3A). Revertants (KO/OE) were generated from KO by the random integration of the targeting vector containing the *tldp1* gene driven with the ubiquitin promoter using the neomycin resistance gene as a marker (Fig. 3B). PCR and Southern blot analyses indicated that the *tldp1* gene was disrupted in KO (Fig. 3C, D) and the *tldp1* gene was detected in KO/OE (Fig. 3E). The TLDP1 protein was detected in WT and KO/OE, but not in KO by Western blotting using the anti-TLDP1 antibody (Fig. 3F). The expression level of TLDP1 was 2.6-fold higher in KO/OE than in WT, suggesting that the ubiquitin promoter used for the expression of *tldp1* in KO was stronger than the promoter of *tldp1* in WT. The molecular mass of TLDP1 in KO/OE is somewhat larger than that in WT, possibly because the Flag-tag used in OE could influence the mobility of TDAP1 on SDS-PAGE. We confirmed that the three spots (Fig. 2) were derived from the same protein because the peptide sequences of the three spots matched each other at a very high rate (Fig. 1F) and covered 87% putative amino acids deduced from the genome DNA database (red letters, Fig. 1F). Furthermore, these three spots disappeared by knocking out one gene (*tldp1*), as described later (Fig. 3H). This LD protein was tentatively designated as TLDP1. The TLDP1 gene (*tldp1*) was then cloned from *A. limacinum* genomic DNA and sequenced (GenBank accession number LC314401). The putative TLDP1 sequence, composed of 395 amino acids, showed 16.7% and 7.3% identities at the protein level to *Xenopus* and human ADRPs (PLIN2), respectively, which are PAT family protein members (23, 38). TLDP1 did not possess the typical PAT1 region generally found in PAT proteins; however, TLDP1 possessed an 11-mer repeat region and 4-helix bundle sequences that are characteristic of PAT family proteins. Schematic diagrams of TLDP1 with other known PAT family proteins and the 11-mer repeat region of TLDP1 are shown in Fig. 1G and Fig. 1H, respectively.

**Localization of TLDP1 in *A. limacinum* F26-b**

Although TLDP1 was isolated from the LD fraction of *A. limacinum*, this protein does not possess a typical PAT1 region and exhibits low identities to the LD proteins reported to date. In order to clarify whether TLDP1 is a protein that is specifically localized on LDs, *tldp1* was expressed in *tldp1* KO of *A. limacinum* as its GFP-fused form. As shown in Fig. 4A, TLDP1 that fused with GFP at the N terminus (green) surrounded the LDs visualized with LipidTOX Red neutral lipid stain (red). Even when GFP was fused to the C terminus, TLDP1 was exclusively expressed on LDs (Fig. 4B). TLDP1 was detected in the LD fraction, but not in the LD-free fraction, by Western blotting using the anti-TLDP1 antibody (Fig. 4C). These results show that TLDP1 is an LD protein that surrounds the LDs of *A. limacinum* and was recovered in the LD fraction when cell lysate was
subjected to the sucrose-density gradient ultracentrifugation (Fig. 1A).

Cell growth and glucose consumption of WT, KO, and KO/OE

The cell growth (biomass) of KO was compared with that of WT and KO/OE by measuring the optical density of cell cultures at 600 nm ($A_{600\text{nm}}$). Although a definite growth delay was not observed in KO until day 5 (Fig. 5A), glucose consumption was slower in KO than in WT and KO/OE (Fig. 5B). Thraustochytrids are generally considered to use glucose as an energy source and synthesize TG in the growth phase, and they use TG after the consumption of glucose. However, the delay observed in glucose consumption in KO without a significant growth defect suggests that KO uses TG efficiently as an energy source in the growth phase. This result may explain, in part, why KO does not accumulate TG in the growth phase, as described later. KO cells were slightly smaller than WT under the cultivation in a GY medium containing 3% (Fig. 5C) or 6% glucose (Fig. 5D), and this abnormality was cancelled by expression of $tldp1$ in KO (KO/OE). It is plausible that less accumulation of TG in KO (as described later) could make their cell size smaller.

Size and number of LDs in WT, KO, and KO/OE

The most prominent difference in phenotypes between KO and WT was the morphology of LDs. The shape of individual LDs in WT was uniform when cultured in GY medium containing 3% glucose at 25°C for 3 days. Cells were harvested and the cell lysate was subjected to sucrose gradient centrifugation, as shown in Fig. 1A. Top (LD) and bottom (LD-free) fractions were observed under fluorescent microscopy after staining with Nile Red. C, D: The 2D-PAGE of LD (C) and LD-free (D) fractions. The 2D-DIGE was performed by the method described in the Materials and Methods. The LD and LD-free fractions were separately subjected to 2D-PAGE. The proteins were stained with SYPRO Ruby solution. LD and LD-free fractions ($60\mu g\text{ protein/50}\mu l$) were labeled with IC3-Su and then subjected to 2D-DIGE. Spots on 2D-PAGE were cut off using ProXcision and trypsinized. The peptides obtained were analyzed using a LIT mass spectrometer. All product ions were submitted to the analysis using the JGI genome portal database ($A.\text{limacinum ATCC MYA-1381}$) with the Mascot search engine. E: The table showing seven putative proteins that display the distinct differences in their protein expression levels between the LD fraction and LD-free fraction. F: The putative primary sequence of TLPD1 cloned from the genomic DNA of $A.\text{limacinum F26-b}$. Red characters represent peptide sequences identified by the Mascot analysis of spots 250, 251, and 252. G: Diagrams of TLPD1 and Plin family proteins. Schematic diagrams for PAT family proteins were prepared according to (51, 52). H: Schematic diagram for 11-mer repeat of TLPD1. Hydrophobic residues are in yellow, charged residues are in blue or red, and polar residues are in green. This was prepared according to (53) and visualized using HELIQUEST (54).
Fig. 2. Phylogenetic tree of TLDP1 and Plin family proteins. We conducted multiple alignments using MAFFT (L-INS-I) and analyzed the relationship of each protein with the ML method using MEGA7 (29, 30). Details are presented in the Materials and Methods. Accession numbers, TLDP1 (A. limacinum, LC314401; Aplanochytrium kerguelensis, fgenesh1_kg.19_#_97_#_isotig03719; S. aggregatum, fgenesh1_pg.3_#_228), Plin1 (H. sapiens, NP_001138783.1; M. musculus, NP_001106942.1; R. norvegicus, NP_001295074.1; G. gallus, NP_0011113.2; X. tropicalis, XP_012815005.1), Plin2 (H. sapiens, NP_005808.3; M. musculus, NP_031434.3; R. norvegicus, NP_001007145.1; G. gallus, NP_015135579.1; X. tropicalis, NP_988963.1; T. guttata, XP_002192424.1), Plin3 (H. sapiens, NP_005808.3; M. musculus, NP_001106942.1; R. norvegicus, NP_001295074.1; G. gallus, NP_0011113.2; X. tropicalis, XP_012815005.1).
The novel thraustochytrid-specific lipid droplet protein per LD) and KO/OE (1.68 ± 0.42 µm³ per LD) than in WT (1.09 ± 0.18 µm³ per LD); however, the average number of LDs was markedly lower in KO (6.60 ± 0.50 per cell) than in WT (25.0 ± 2.3 per cell) and KO/OE (31.1 ± 2.4 per cell) and, thus, the total volume of LDs per cell was smaller in KO (17.0 ± 1.6 µm³ per cell) than in WT (26.8 ± 4.1 µm³ per cell) and KO/OE (52.5 ± 14.9 µm³ per cell).

TG and phospholipid contents of WT, KO, and KO/OE

Because LDs are intracellular organelles for the storage of TG, we compared the TG content of KO with that of WT and KO/OE. As shown in TLC, the TG content of KO was lower, while that of KO/OE was higher than that of WT when cells cultured for 6 days were used in the analysis (Fig. 7A). It is important to note that TG contents are related to the total volume of LDs per cell, but not to the average diameter of LDs (Fig. 6C, D). We then investigated the accumulation of TG throughout the cultivation period of A. limacinum using LC-ESI MS. The molecular species of neutral lipids and phospholipids were identified by MS and MS/MS analyses (supplemental Figs. S1–S3) and major MRM pairs of these lipids are shown in supplemental Table S2. The total TG content (sum of major TG molecules listed) of KO was consistently lower than that of WT during the course of the culture until day 9, while that of KO/OE was higher than that of WT (Fig. 7B). The accumulation of TG peaked on day 3 and gradually decreased until day 9 for WT, KO, and KO/OE (Fig. 7B). Under the conditions used, day 3 was the end of the logarithmic growth phase (Fig. 5A) and the peak of TLDP1 expression (Fig. 7C). We subsequently examined the profiles of TG accumulation due to differences in the FA species of TG. We found that the depletion of TLDP1 decreased the content of TGs composed of three palmitic acids (TG48:0) as well as those containing DHA (TG54:6, TG60:12), while the overexpression of TLDP1 in KO increased the contents of these TGs, as shown in Fig. 7D. Simultaneously, we confirmed that the deletion and overexpression of TLDP1 did not significantly affect the

NP_080112.1; R. norvegicus, XP_001061015.1; X. tropicalis, XP_004911109.1; Taeniopygia guttata, XP_012426686.1; G. gallus, XP_015152284.1), Plin4 (H. sapiens, NP_001073869.1; M. musculus, NP_065593.2; R. norvegicus, XP_008756142.1; G. gallus, NP_001304017.1), Plin5 (H. sapiens, NP_001083728.2; M. musculus, NP_001070816.1; R. norvegicus, NP_001128109.1), and others (Drosophila melanogaster Lsd-1, NP_732904.2; D. melanogaster Lsd-2, NP_572996.1; Metarhizium anisopliae Mpl1, AB118161.1).
total amounts of PC and PE, the two major phospholipids in *A. limacinum* F26-b (11, 17) (Fig. 7E, F).

**Effects of the disruption of tldp1 on the synthesis and degradation of TG**

In order to elucidate the mechanisms by which TG accumulation decreased in KO, we investigated the effects of the disruption of *tldp1* on the gene expression of FA synthase (*fas*), PUFA synthase (*pufas*), and TG synthase (*dgat2a*), which are involved in TG synthesis. We found that the disruption of *tldp1* did not affect the expression of these enzymes at the mRNA level (Fig. 8A) and enzymatic activity of DGAT2 (Fig. 8B). On the other hand, the lipase activity was increased by disruption of *tldp1* and suppressed by overexpression of *tldp1* (Fig. 8C). We also found that the DG/TG ratio, which is used as an index for TG degradation, was higher in KO than in WT during the course of cultivation. This increase also dropped to the WT level with the expression of *tldp1* in KO (KO/OE) (Fig. 8D). These results indicate that the decrease in TG accumulation in KO is due to the promotion of TG degradation, but not to the suppression of TG synthesis.

**DISCUSSION**

LDs consist of a neutral lipid core and a phospholipid monolayer. The neutral lipid core is mainly composed of TG, while the phospholipid monolayer is covered by LD proteins that have structural and metabolic functions (40). In the present study, we identified seven proteins that were more strongly expressed in the LD fraction than in the LD-free fraction in *A. limacinum* F26-b when analyzed by 2D-DIGE (Fig. 1A–D). Among these seven proteins, TLDP1 was more strongly expressed in the LD fraction than in the LD-free fraction (approximately 20-fold stronger expression in the LD fraction than in the LD-free fraction) (Fig. 1E). Further biochemical and phylogenetic analyses revealed that: 1) TLDP1 did not possess a PAT1 region; however, it maintained its characteristics with known LD proteins, such as the 11-mer repeat region and 4-helix bundle sequences (Fig. 1G). 2) TLDP1 homologs were specifically distributed in thraustochytrids (Fig. 2). 3) TLDP1 surrounded LDs when expressed as a GFP-fused form (Fig. 4A, B). 4) The *tldp1* disruption resulted in an abnormal LD morphology (Fig. 6) and a decrease in TG (Fig. 7A, B, D). These results clearly indicate that TLDP1 is an LD...
protein that is specifically conserved in thraustochytrids and regulates TG accumulation and LD morphology. However, further investigations are needed in order to establish whether the six other proteins are genuine LD proteins.

In spite of the universal presence of LDs from prokaryotes to plants and vertebrates, limited information is available on LDs in Stramenopiles, which is a major line of eukaryotes and has been classified into the Protista kingdom (41). Stramenopiles include photosynthetic and nonphotosynthetic taxa. Photosynthetic members include brown seaweed and diatoms, while nonphotosynthetic members comprise thraustochytrids, which were used in the present study. An LD protein (StLDP) was very recently isolated from the LD fraction of the marine diatom Phaeodactylum tricornutum belonging to Stramenopiles (42). However, StLDP did not show sequence homology to TLDP1 (identity <5%). StLDP was expressed during cultivation under nitrate deprivation and its mRNA expression increased as LD sizes became larger and, thus, this protein is considered to be associated with the LDs of Phaeodactylum tricornutum (42). In mammals, not only Plin family proteins but also the enzymes involved in TG and phospholipid metabolism, such as DGAT2 and CTP:phosphocholine cytidylyltransferase (CCT), are closely associated with LDs (43–45); however, we were not able to identify these enzymes using 2D-DIGE under the conditions used. Although 2D-DIGE is useful for identifying proteins that are more strongly expressed in the LD fraction than in the LD-free fraction, proteins that are equally expressed in both fractions must have been excluded by this method (27). Many proteins were detected at the same level in the LD and LD-free fractions (Fig. 1C, D). In order to obtain a clearer understanding of LD proteins in thraustochytrids, a total proteomic analysis of the LD fraction is needed. In addition, we crushed cells by sonication in order to obtain LDs from A. limacinum F26-b in this study, because thraustochytrids are surrounded by a rigid cell wall composed of sulfated glycans (46). Although ultrasonic treatment could damage LDs and release LD proteins from LDs, this study indicates that at least TLDP1 is recovered into the LD fraction even after preparation of LDs using sonication. However, to clear the complete protein profile of thraustochytrid LDs, the cells should be crushed by mild procedures without sonication.

We considered three protein spots (#250–252, Fig. 1C) in the LD fraction to be derived from the same protein (TLDP1) because the peptide sequences of these spots matched each other very well and the three spots of TLDP1 disappeared in KO (Fig. 3H). These three proteins showed almost the same molecular masses on 2D-PAGE, whereas the isoelectric point of each protein was different (Fig. 1C), suggesting modifications with phosphate(s) on TLDP1. Although protein kinase A (PKA) consensus sequences were found on the putative primary structure of TLDP1, the phosphorylation site(s) and physiological relevance of the phosphorylation of TLDP1 remain elusive.

This study shows that TLDP1 regulates TG metabolism in LDs, possibly by protecting LDs from undergoing
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hydrolysis by lipase(s). This scenario may be similar to the functions of known LD proteins, such as Plin1 and its homologs. Plin1 may play a protective-barrier role in preventing the hydrolysis of TG in LDs by lipase(s), thereby inhibiting basal lipolysis (47). Disruption of the Plin1 gene in adipocytes caused an increase in basal lipolysis due to the loss of the protective barrier for lipase(s) (48). Similarly, the disruption of Mpl1, the LD protein in *Metarhizium anisopliae*, resulted in a lower total TG content than that in WT (49).

The mechanism by which TG degradation is suppressed by TLDP1 remains to be elucidated. A plausible scenario is that TLDP1 protects LDs from lipase attack by forming a protective barrier on LDs; however, the possibility that the suppression of lipase activity is caused by a specific interaction between TLDP1 and lipase(s) cannot be ruled out at present. In order to elucidate the specific interactions between lipase(s) and TLDP1, the lipase(s) involved in TG degradation need to be identified. However, there are at
least 10 candidate lipases in the draft genome database of A. limacinum, and the lipase(s) involved in TG degradation currently remain unknown.

The KO mutant had lower LD numbers and unusually large LDs, and the expression of tldp1 in KO restored the size and number of LDs almost to the WT level (Fig. 6). Similarly, the depletion of oleosin in Arabidopsis thaliana resulted in the appearance of abnormal large LDs, which were assumed to be generated by the fusion of LDs (50). The mechanisms underlying the appearance of unusually large LDs in KO have not yet been elucidated; however, the loss of TLDPI may result in the acceleration of LD fusion.
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**Fig. 8.** Effects of the disruption of tldp1 on the synthesis and degradation of TG. A: mRNA expression of several enzymes involved in TG metabolism. Real-time PCR was performed by the method described in the Materials and Methods. *fas*, fatty acid synthase (scaffold_21:887629-900072); *pufas*, PUFA synthase (scaffold_19:157378-169030); *dgat2a*, diacylglycerol acyltransferase 2A (scaffold_5:1007039-1014254). Blue and red bars represent the WT and KO, respectively. Data represent the mean ± SD (n = 3). B: DGAT activity. DGAT activity was measured at 30°C for 10 min using 15 μg of protein (cell lysate). 14C-palmitoyl-CoA, and DG (1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycerol) by the method described in the Materials and Methods. Blue, red, and green bars represent the WT, KO, and KO/OE, respectively. Data represent the mean ± SD (n = 3). C: Lipase activity. Lipase activity was measured at 25°C for 30 min by a 4MU-palmitate using 10 μg of protein (cell lysate). WT, blue open circles; KO, red open squares; KO/OE, green open diamonds. Data represent the mean ± SD (n = 3). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.0001. D: DG/TG ratios. Blue, red, and green bars represent the WT, KO, and KO/OE, respectively. TG and DG were determined by LC-ESI MS as described in the Materials and Methods. Data represent the mean ± SE from three independent experiments (each n = 3). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.0001.

![Fig. 9. Possible functions of TLDPI on LDs.](http://www.jlr.org/content/suppl/2017/10/12/jlr.M079897.DC1)

**Fig. 9.** Possible functions of TLDPI on LDs. We observed unusually large LDs in KO, but not in WT, and the number of LDs per cell markedly decreased in KO in comparison with WT (Fig. 6C), suggesting that unusually large LDs may have been generated by the fusion of several small LDs in KO (Fig. 6D). Furthermore, the ratio of DG/TG increased in KO in comparison with WT, suggesting the increase of lipolysis in KO (Fig. 6D). These abnormal phenotypes of KO were restored by expression of tldp1 in KO. These results suggest that TLDPI protects LDs against lipase attack and inhibits the fusion of LDs (WT, left panel), while the deletion of TLDPI accelerates the lipolysis and fusion of LDs (KO, right panel).
(to generate unusual large LDs and decrease LD numbers) and LD hydrolysis (to generate small LDs and decrease LD numbers).

Collectively, we found that the loss of TLDP1, the first LD protein identified in thraustochytrids, decreased TG accumulation and caused a morphological abnormality in LDs in A. limacinum F26-b. These results suggest that TLDP1 inhibits TG degradation in LDs possibly by forming the protective barrier and that TLDP1 maintains LD morphology by preventing the unnecessary fusion of LDs. Two possible physiological roles of TLDP1 in A. limacinum are shown in Fig. 9.

The results of the present study show that thraustochytrids have a thraustochytrid-specific LD protein; however, the basic roles of LD proteins are preserved from single cell organisms to multicellular organisms, including animals and plants. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to describe the LD protein in thraustochytrids, which are expected to become a source of n-3 PUFAs to replace fish oils. In addition, they produced large amounts of TG that the overexpression of tldp1 leads to the production of TG may be useful when considering the industrial production of n-3 PUFAs and palmitic acid using thraustochytrids.
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