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Metabolic changes in malignant cells play a vital role in tumor initiation, proliferation, and metastasis. Biofluids from patients with non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) harbor metabolic biomarkers with potential clinical applications. In this study, we assessed the changes in the metabolic profile of patients with early-stage NSCLC using mass spectrometry and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy before and after surgical resection. A single cohort of 35 patients provided a total of 29 and 32 pairs of urine and serum samples, respectively, pre- and post-surgery. We identified a profile of 48 metabolites that were significantly different pre- and post-surgery: 17 in urine and 31 in serum. A higher proportion of metabolites were upregulated than downregulated post-surgery (p < 0.01); however, the median fold change (FC) was higher for downregulated than upregulated metabolites (p < 0.05). Purines/pyrimidines and proteins had a larger dysregulation than other classes of metabolites (p < 0.05 for each class). Several of the dysregulated metabolites have been previously associated with cancer, including leucyl proline, asymmetric dimethylarginine, isopentenyladenine, fumaric acid (all downregulated post-surgery), as well as N6-methyladenosine and several deoxycholic acid moieties, which were upregulated post-surgery. This study establishes metabolomic analysis of biofluids as a path to non-invasive diagnostics, screening, and monitoring in NSCLC.
Keywords: lung cancer; surgical resection; metabolomics; biofluids

1. Introduction

There has been considerable progress in our understanding of lung cancer biology in the last two decades. Checkpoint inhibitors against the programmed death ligand-1 (PD-L1) as a primary treatment or in combination with chemotherapy have changed the landscape of non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with significantly improved outcomes [1]. Several actionable driver mutations, namely epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK), and c-ros oncogene 1 kinase (ROS1), have been identified using next-generation sequencing techniques, resulting in specifically targeted drug therapy and improved outcomes in patients harboring these mutations [2–4]. While it is true that genomics, transcriptomics, and proteomics may predict the biological behavior of malignant cells, it is their metabolic alterations that play a direct and vital role in tumor initiation, proliferation, and metastasis. Some examples of metabolic alterations that are critical to the survival and proliferation of malignant cells include accelerated glycolysis, the formation of lactic acid, changes in the citric acid cycle, amino acid metabolism, and cell membrane synthesis [5–9]. Metabolomics research therefore offers a means to observe the current status of the cellular microenvironment. Recently, there has been movement to develop and incorporate metabolomics in NSCLC research to uncover the biomarkers for applications such as screening, pathogenesis, histopathological classification, and possible therapeutic interventions [8]. Biofluids from NSCLC patients (including serum, urine, exhaled breath condensate, and sputum) have been found to harbor specific metabolic biomarkers with potential applications in clinical practice [10–16].

To date, the clinical application of metabolomics in general is limited due to several factors, including a lack of adequately controlled studies (i.e., incomparable patient populations) and a lack of standardized procedures for metabolite extraction, processing, and analysis [17]. Here, we applied metabolomics to serum and urine samples from a single patient population collected before and after surgical resection. We have applied two complementary and commonly used platforms to identify metabolites of interest: nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and liquid chromatography quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (LC-QTOF-MS). NMR can detect multiple metabolites in a short time with minimal sample preparation; LC-QTOF-MS is much more sensitive than NMR and can detect metabolites of very low concentrations [18,19]. We therefore present a prospective observational study in patients with known or suspected early-stage NSCLC and compare their metabolic profiles, screened by these two methods, from serum and urine collected before and after surgery. We hypothesized that the surgical resection of a malignant tumor affects the overall metabolome and causes significant changes in the concentrations of metabolites found in commonly analyzed biofluids. The main objective of this study was therefore to examine the overall metabolomic changes in serum and urine samples collected from patients with early-stage NSCLC before and after the surgical removal of the malignancy. This study opens a path to the development of non-invasive diagnostics, screening, and/or monitoring methods in managing NSCLC.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Patient Enrollment and Biofluid Sample Collection

Protocols were approved by the Research Ethics Board of the University of Manitoba, Canada (H2017:247). Each patient consented to participate in the study prior to accrual. Consecutive patients were screened for eligibility at a thoracic surgery clinic in Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada. Eligible participants were adult patients (>18 years) with biopsy-proven or suspected stage I or II NSCLC (based on a maximum standardized uptake value on positron emission tomography or an increasing pulmonary tumor size in serial CT chest scans), and medically operable. Imaging data for each eligible patient were reviewed by
a thoracic surgeon and a radiation oncologist. Clinical, imaging, surgical, and pathology data for enrolled patients were extracted from hospital paper charts and the Varian Medical Oncology application at Cancer Care Manitoba (CCMB) by a designated research assistant and verified by the principal investigator. All pre- and post-surgery samples were collected and prepared by the same research assistant, according to standardized protocols. Serum and midstream urine samples (8–10 mL) were collected within 4 weeks pre-surgery and within 4 months post-surgery. All samples were immediately stored at −80 °C and transferred to the laboratory for batched analysis by NMR and LC-QTOF-MS.

2.2. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR)

2.2.1. Sample Preparation for NMR Analysis

The samples were thawed and prepared with the additives and parameters we have described previously [20]. The serum samples (300 µL) were mixed with a phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) containing 5 µM NaN3 at a ratio of 1:1 (v/v), followed by the addition of 20 µL of TSP (0.75%). The urine samples (400 µL) were mixed with 230 µL of a 0.2 M phosphate buffer (0.2% w/v NaN3) and 70 µL of Chenomx ISTD (IS-2, 5 mM DSS, 0.2% w/v NaN3). The samples were mixed by vortexing and centrifuged at 12,000 × g at 4 °C for 5 min. A volume of 600 µL of each prepared sample was then transferred into a 5 mm NMR tube for analysis [20].

2.2.2. NMR Analysis

The NMR experiments were conducted on a Bruker Ascend 600 Spectrometer operating at 600.27 MHz for proton nuclei and 150.938 MHz for carbon nuclei. Each sample was subject to two separate scans at a probe temperature of 298 K. A few randomly selected samples were run using the heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC) method for additional analysis with a 65.5 k time domain, a 90° pulse width of 10 µs, a spectral width of 16 ppm, and a relaxation delay of 5 s. A total of 32 scans, with two dummy scans producing an acquisition time of 4.75 min, were acquired. Water was suppressed (at 2819 Hz, time domain 32.7 k) in all 1D Nuclear Overhauser Effect Spectroscopy (NOESY) runs. A total of 64 scans and 4 dummy scans were generated during the 7 min 45 s acquisition time. The 2D NMR spectra were achieved with a 90° pulse width of 10 µs and a relaxation delay of 1.5 s. The time-domain values for 2D NMR spectra were 2048 and 400 for F1 (16 ppm) and F2 (210 ppm), respectively. An acquisition time of approximately 11 h was needed to obtain 32 scans and 16 dummy scans. All NMR spectra were processed by MestReNova version 12.0.0–20080 as previously reported [20].

2.3. Liquid Chromatography Quadrupole Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry (LC-QTOF-MS)

2.3.1. Sample Preparation for LC-QTOF-MS

The serum samples (100 µL) were spiked with 20 µL of Norvaline (0.03 mg/mL) as an internal standard and extracted with 200 µL of acetonitrile (ACN). The urine samples (250 µL) were spiked with 10 µL of Norvaline (0.03 mg/mL) and extracted with 500 µL of ACN. The samples were then centrifuged at 12,000 × g at 4 °C for 20 min. The supernatants of serum and urine were dried under a gentle stream of N2 and stored at −20 °C. The dried serum and urine samples were reconstituted with 100 µL of ddH2O:ACN (1:4) and 200 µL of ddH2O:ACN (4:1), respectively. Each sample was transferred into a glass insert in a gas chromatography (GC) vial for analysis [20].

2.3.2. LC-QTOF-MS Analysis

The urinary and serum metabolites were separated using a 1260 Infinity HPLC system and were detected by a 6538 UHD Accurate Q-TOF MS system (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The ionization of the separated metabolites was performed using an electrospray ionization source operating in positive (ESI+) and negative (ESI−) modes. The separation of the urinary metabolites was achieved using a 2.1 mm × 100 mm, 1.8 µm Zorbax SB-Aq column (Agilent Technologies) held at 45 °C and the serum metabo-
lites were separated using a 2.1 mm × 50 mm, 1.8 µm Zorbax Extended-C18 column (Agilent Technologies) which was held at 55 °C. Water (ddH₂O) and ACN with 0.1% formic acid were used as mobile phases using previously reported gradients [20]. The statistical analysis was carried out using Agilent MassHunter Acquisition software (B.07) and Mass Profiler Professional (MPP, 12.6.) as previously reported [20].

2.4. Statistical Analysis and Metabolite Identification

The fold change (FC) was calculated for each metabolite identified by dividing the larger of the pre- and post-surgery samples by the smaller value.

For the metabolites identified by NMR, the FCs between the identified classes of metabolites were compared using the Wilcoxon signed rank or the Kruskal–Wallis tests for the comparison of two or more classes, respectively. The chi-square and Fisher exact tests were used to compare the frequencies of regulatory direction. Within individuals, the pre- and post-surgery levels of metabolites were compared using the Wilcoxon signed rank test.

For LC-QTOF-MS, the MPP software (12.6.1) was used for the FC analysis by paired t tests (p < 0.05; ≥2-fold changes) with an asymptotic p value and the Benjamini–Hochberg multiple correction method was used to identify statistically significant metabolites.

The compounds identified through LC-QTOF-MS were further confirmed by mass spectra, retention time, and confidence scores against the Metlin database with >79,000 metabolites including 39,000 lipids and 168,000 peptides [20–22]. All the identified metabolites of significance were then re-classified based on the Human Metabolome Data Base (HMDB) [23].

3. Results

3.1. Patient Enrollment, Inclusion, and Exclusion

Between March 2018 and November 2019, 56 patients were enrolled in the study. Inclusion and exclusion criteria as well as details of the sample collection are presented in Figure 1. In total, 35 patients were eligible for analysis. From these individuals, NMR and QTOF analyses were completed on the urine samples from 29 patients and on the serum samples from 32 patients, collected both pre- and post-surgery. The mean time for serum and urine collection was 5 and 7 days before surgery and 56 and 57 days after surgery, respectively. Post-surgery, all but two patients had their biofluid samples taken before commencing any systemic therapy. A total of 86% of the patients were smokers or previous smokers. Each patient had a gross surgical resection of the tumor either through lobectomy/pneumonectomy (60%) or wedge resection/segmentectomy (40%). All patients had a confirmed pathological diagnosis of NSCLC, 80% had adenocarcinoma, 88% had no pathologically involved nodes (N0), and 12% had incidental intrapulmonary, hilar, or mediastinal (N1-N2) disease. ALK and PDL1 status was available in 66% of the patients. All patients had ALK negative tumors and a PDL1 tumor proportion score (TPS) of >50% was present in 17% of the tumors. EGFR status was not available. Mean (±SD) tumor size from pre-surgical chest CT scans was 2.4 ± 1.6 cm. Mean (±SD) tumor size from surgical pathology was 2.7 ± 1.9 cm. The mean maximum PET_SUV was 8.2 ± 6.5. The data are summarized in Table 1.
Figure 1. Patient enrollment and biofluid collection.

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of the patient cohort.

| Characteristics                  | Total (n = 35) | Urine (n = 29) | Serum (n = 32) |
|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Age Mean (SD) in years           | 64.7 (7.4)    | 63.8 (7.0)    | 64.6 (7.5)    |
| Females                          | 63% (22)      | 62% (18)      | 62% (20)      |
| Males                            | 37% (13)      | 38% (11)      | 38% (12)      |
| Smoker                           | 34% (10)      | 36% (10)      | 35% (9)       |
| Ex-Smoker                        | 52% (15)      | 50% (14)      | 50% (13)      |
| Never Smoked                     | 14% (4)       | 14% (4)       | 15% (4)       |
| Diabetes                         | 17% (6)       | 10% (3)       | 19% (6)       |
| COPD                             | 49% (17)      | 48% (14)      | 50% (16)      |
| Previous cancers                 | 29% (10)      | 31% (9)       | 28% (9)       |
| On steroids oral/inhalers        | 0%            | 0%            | 0%            |
| Squamous cell carcinoma          | 14% (5)       | 14% (4)       | 16% (5)       |
| Adenocarcinoma                   | 80% (28)      | 79% (23)      | 81% (26)      |
| Other                            | 6% (2)        | 7% (2)        | 3% (1)        |
| Right Upper Lobe                 | 29% (10)      | 31% (9)       | 25% (8)       |
| Right Lower Lobe                 | 20% (7)       | 17% (5)       | 22% (7)       |
| Left Upper Lobe                  | 31% (11)      | 34% (10)      | 31% (10)      |
| Left Lower Lobe                  | 19% (7)       | 17% (5)       | 22% (7)       |
| PET before surgery               | 86% (30)      | 86% (25)      | 84% (27)      |
Table 1. Cont.

| Characteristics                      | Total (n = 35) | Urine (n = 29) | Serum (n = 32) |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Type of surgery:                     |                |                |                |
| Wedge Resection/Segmentectomy        | 40% (14)       | 38% (11)       | 41% (13)       |
| Lobectomy                            | 51% (18)       | 55% (16)       | 50% (16)       |
| Pneumonectomy                        | 3% (1)         | 3% (1)         | 3% (1)         |
| Wedge and Lobectomy                  | 6% (2)         | 3% (1)         | 6% (2)         |
| Pathological Stage (n = 34)          |                | n = 28         | n = 31         |
| T1-T2, N0 M0                         | 79% (27)       | 75% (21)       | 77% (24)       |
| T3-T4, N0 M0                         | 9% (3)         | 11% (3)        | 10% (3)        |
| T1-T4, N1-2 M0                       | 12% (4)        | 14% (4)        | 13% (4)        |
| Mean Tumor size based on CT scan     | 2.4 (1.6) (n = 35) | 2.5 (1.7) (n = 29) | 2.5 (1.7) (n = 32) |
| before surgical resection Mean (SD) in cm |                |                |                |
| Mean Tumor size based on surgical pathology Mean (SD) in cm | 2.7 (1.9) (n = 34) | 2.8 (2.0) (n = 28) | 2.8 (1.9) (n = 31) |
| Mean Maximum PET_SUV Mean (SD)       | 8.2 (6.5) (n = 30) | 8.2 (6.9) (n = 25) | 8.1 (6.7) (n = 27) |
| PDL1: <1% (10/23)                    | 43%            | 47% (9/19)     | 45% (10/22)    |
| PDL1: 1–49% (9/23)                   | 39%            | 42% (8/19)     | 41% (9/22)     |
| PDL1: >50% (4/23)                    | 17%            | 11% (2/19)     | 14% (3/22)     |
| ALK: Negative (n = 23)               | 100%           | 100% (n = 20)  | 100% (n = 22)  |

Notes: TNM Staging per AJCC, TNM 6th edition. COPD, chronic obstructive airway disease; SD, standard deviation.

3.2. Inclusion, Exclusion, and Classification of Identified Metabolites

A total of 105 metabolites registering statistically significant differences pre- vs. post-surgery were identified by either LC-QTOF or NMR: LC-QTOF registered 71 metabolites of significance in the serum samples and 28 in the urine samples, and NMR registered 12 metabolites of significance in the serum samples and 37 in the urine samples. Of the metabolites identified by NMR, only one in the serum samples and five in the urine samples were included for analysis as those were statistically significant (p < 0.05). Each metabolite was reviewed based on the chemical formula, mass and atomic number, presence/absence, and the description of the compound in both HMDB [23] and the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database [24]. From this analysis, 57 metabolites identified by LC-QTOF were judged to be either of exogenous origin or related to external substances (prescription drugs, food, or food additives) and were excluded from the analysis.

We therefore identified 48 metabolites of significance in the serum and urine samples and classified these based on their chemical composition and/or metabolic pathway per HMDB. Five classes of metabolites were identified: lipids and derivatives, proteins and derivatives, carboxylic acid and derivatives, carbohydrates, and purines/pyrimidines. The metabolites that did not fit into one of these classes were grouped as unclassified. A metabolite was labeled upregulated or downregulated if the levels increased or decreased after surgery, respectively, expressed as an absolute FC. Metabolites in each class with their respective atomic number/mass, polarity, FC, source biofluid (serum or urine) and the identifying platform (NMR/LC-QTOF) are presented in Table 2.
| Compound | Formula | m/z | Polarity | FC | p Value | Reg | Class | Biofluid | Platform |
|----------|---------|-----|----------|----|---------|-----|-------|----------|----------|
| 2-Propylpent-3-enolic acid | C₇H₁₃O₂ | 160.1328 | + | 50 | <0.0001 | up | Fatty Acid | Serum | QTOF |
| 13,14-Dihydro PCE1/Prostaglandin Flα | C₂₀H₃₅O₄ | 713.493 | + | 5 | 0.047 | up | Prostaglandins | Serum | QTOF |
| 2-Hexanoylcarbonyl carnitine | C₁₅H₂₃NO₄ | 258.1654 | + | 16 | 0.013 | up | Acyl Carnitines | Serum | QTOF |
| 2-Octanoylcarbonyl carnitine | C₁₅H₂₃NO₄ | 286.2013 | + | 3 | 0.026 | up | Acyl Carnitines | Serum | QTOF |
| Deoxycholic acid glycine conjugate | C₂₀H₃₅NO₄ | 450.3208 | + | 28 | 0.0005 | up | Bile Acid | Serum | QTOF |
| Glycoursodeoxycholic acid | C₂₃H₃₅O₇ | 426.3246 | + | 4 | 0.026 | down | Bile Acid | Serum | QTOF |
| cis-5-Tetradecenoylcarnitine | C₂₃H₃₅O₄ | 370.2948 | + | 4 | 0.033 | up | Acyl Carnitines | Serum | QTOF |
| Deoxyheptanoylcarnitine | C₂₃H₃₅O₄ | 3162.481 | + | 2 | 0.0001 | up | Acyl Carnitines | Serum | QTOF |
| Isopentenyladenine | C₂₀H₃₅N₄O₇ | 344.2792 | + | 6 | 0.0009 | up | Acyl Carnitines | Serum | QTOF |
| Isopenityl adenine | C₂₀H₃₅N₄O₇ | 204.1242 | + | 31 | 0.0001 | down | Malonate Pathway | Urine | QTOF |
| L-Carnitine | C₇H₁₇NO₃ | 162.1124 | + | 3 | <0.0001 | up | Carboxylic Acid Lipid Metabolism | Serum | QTOF |
| L-Octanoyl-carnitine | C₂₃H₃₅NO₄ | 288.2166 | + | 2 | 0.0008 | up | Acyl carnitines | Serum | QTOF |
| LysoPC(P-18:1) | C₁₇H₃₅NO₄-P | 522.3552 | + | 3 | 0.026 | up | Fatty Acid | Serum | QTOF |
| PG(18:1/18:2) | C₂₁H₄₅O₄-P | 773.536 | + | 6 | 0.0087 | up | Phosphatidylycerol | Serum | QTOF |
| PI(16:0/18:1) | C₁₇H₃₅O₄-P | 854.5691 | + | 7 | 0.0002 | down | Phosphatidylinositols | Serum | QTOF |

| Proline betaine | C₃H₇NO₄ | 144.1014 | + | 2 | 0.024 | up | Purine | QTOF |
| Succinate | C₃H₇O₄ | 2.046 | down | carboxylic acid | Urine | NMR |
| Androstenediol | C₂₃H₃₅O₂ | 623.4382 | + | 54 | <0.0001 | down | Androgens | Serum | QTOF |
| Dopaamine | C₁₅H₂₁NO₂ | 154.0823 | + | 5 | <0.0001 | up | catecholamine | Serum | QTOF |
| Epinephrine | C₁₅H₂₁NO₂ | 184.0944 | + | 134 | <0.0001 | up | catecholamine | Serum | QTOF |
| Androstenedione | C₁₅H₂₁O₂ | 287.2041 | + | 8 | 0.023 | up | Androgens | Serum | QTOF |
| Tetrahydrobiopterin/Sapropterin (BH4, THB) | C₁₅H₂₁O₂ | 500.2767 | + | 8 | 0.0031 | up | Biopterin | Serum | QTOF |
| N-Desmethylyaminopropionate | C₁₅H₂₁O₂ | 218.1378 | + | 10 | 0.0003 | up | Phenylpyrazoles | Serum | QTOF |

**Notes:** * Na adduct; **NH₄⁺ adduct; ³ [M + K]⁺; ⁴ [M + NH₄]⁺.
3.3. Pattern of Frequency and Distribution of the Identified Metabolites

The distribution and the frequency of the metabolites based on the biofluid, and class are presented in Table 3. There were 31 metabolites of significance in the serum samples and 17 in the urine samples that were not significantly different ($p = 0.060$). Lipids and their derivatives were the most frequently identified, followed by (in decreasing order of frequency) proteins and derivatives, carboxylic acid and derivatives, unclassified carbohydrates, and purines/pyrimidines.

Table 3. Categorization of the metabolites based on biofluid and their chemical class.

| Source or Class of Metabolites | Frequency $n$ (%) |
|-------------------------------|------------------|
| All                           | 48 (100)         |
| Serum                         | 31 (65)          |
| Urine                         | 17 (35)          |
| Lipids and Derivatives        | 15 (31)          |
| Protein and Derivatives       | 11 (23)          |
| Carboxylic Acid and Derivatives | 7 (15)        |
| Unclassified                  | 6 (13)           |
| Carbohydrates                 | 5 (10)           |
| Purine/Pyrimidines            | 4 (8)            |

3.4. Pattern of Dysregulation of the Identified Metabolites Post-Surgery

A higher proportion of metabolites were upregulated ($n = 34$) than were downregulated ($n = 14$) post-surgery ($p = 0.0055$). This ratio varied by biofluid: in serum, these proportions were 84% (26/31) upregulated and 16% (5/31) downregulated ($p = 0.0002$), and in urine, the proportions were similar at 46% (8/17) and 53% (9/17) up- and downregulated, respectively ($p = 1$). We found no significant differences in the proportion of the up- or downregulated metabolites based on chemical class ($p = 0.48$; see Figure 2).

Figure 2. Pattern of regulation of identified metabolites based on biofluid and class of metabolites.

3.5. Magnitude of Dysregulation of the Identified Metabolites Post-Surgery

Median, minimum, and maximum changes in the levels of metabolites expressed as an absolute FC after surgery are presented in Table 4. The median FC was 4 and 16 for all up- and downregulated metabolites, respectively ($p = 0.043$). A similar pattern of dysregulation was observed when the data were grouped by biofluid but this was not significant: for the metabolites identified in the serum samples, the median FC (up- and downregulated) was 4 and 7, respectively ($p = 0.69$), for the metabolites identified
in the urine samples, the median FC change was 14 and 31, respectively ($p = 0.28$). The maximum FC for upregulated metabolites in the serum and urine samples was 134 and 442, respectively. The maximum FC for downregulated metabolites in the serum and urine samples was 54 and 625, respectively. Purines/pyrimidines and proteins and derivatives had a larger dysregulation than those in the other classes of metabolites ($p = 0.045$ and $p = 0.027$, respectively). Purines/pyrimidines had the highest median FCs of 27 and 198, respectively, for up- and downregulated metabolites, followed by proteins and derivatives with median FCs of 19 and 33, respectively. The median FCs for lipids were 4 and 7 for up- and downregulated metabolites, respectively. Both carbohydrates and carboxylic acid and derivatives had a median FC of 2 for upregulated metabolites; for downregulated metabolites in these categories, the median FC was 8 for carbohydrates and 9 for carboxylic acid and derivatives. For the unclassified metabolites, the median FC was 8 and 54 for the upregulated and downregulated metabolites, respectively. These results are summarized in Figure 3.

**Table 4.** Fold change (FC) in metabolites post-surgery based on biofluid and chemical class.

| Class of Metabolites | Upregulated | Downregulated | $p$ Value |
|----------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|
|                      | N | Med FC | Min FC | Max FC | n | Med FC | Min FC | Max FC |
| All                  | 34 | 4 | 1 | 442 | 14 | 16 | 1 | 625 | 0.043 |
| Serum                | 26 | 4 | 2 | 134 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 54 | 0.69 |
| Urine                | 8 | 14 | 1 | 442 | 9 | 31 | 2 | 625 | 0.28 |
| Lipids and derivatives | 12 | 4 | 2 | 50 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 31 |
| Proteins and derivatives | 5 | 19 | 2 | 442 | 6 | 33 | 1 | 625 |
| Carbohydrates        | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 8 |
| Purine/Pyrimidines   | 3 | 27 | 3 | 59 | 1 | 198 |
| Carboxylic acid and derivatives | 5 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 17 |
| Unclassified         | 5 | 8 | 5 | 134 | 1 | 54 |

Notes: **Upregulated** indicates that the metabolite level increased post-surgery. **Downregulated** indicates that the metabolite level decreased post-surgery. $n$, number of metabolites; Max, maximum; Med, median; Min, minimum.

**Figure 3.** Magnitude of dysregulation. The magnitude of the downregulation of the metabolites after surgery was higher in both the serum and urine samples ($p = 0.043$); although this was not statistically significant, the difference was larger in the urine samples ($p = 0.28$) than the serum samples only ($p = 0.69$). The alteration in purines/pyrimidines ($p = 0.045$) and protein and derivatives ($p = 0.027$) was larger than those in the other classes of metabolites.
3.6. Metabolites of Significance with >10 FC Dysregulation and Their Association with Pathogenesis of Cancer

There were 18 metabolites of significance dysregulated by >10-fold after surgery. Of these, seven were proteins and derivatives, three were purines, four were lipids, one was a carboxylic acid, one was an androgen, and two were unclassified (see Table 5). Six of these metabolites were directly associated with cancer pathogenesis based on the literature review. Leucyl proline, isopentenyladenine, fumaric acid, and asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA) were identified in the urine samples and were downregulated by 625, 31, 17 and 16-fold, respectively, after surgery. N6-methyladenosine identified in the urine samples, and chenodeoxycholic/deoxycholic/glycoursodeoxycholic acid identified in the serum samples were upregulated with FCs of 27 and 28, respectively. Other metabolites of significance with FC > 100 included 3-methyl uric acid, N(alpha)-t-butoxycarbonyl-L-leucine, hypoglycin, and epinephrine without any previously direct association to cancer pathogenesis.

Table 5. Metabolites of significance (FC > 10).

| Class                        | Biofluid | Metabolite                                | Regulation | Fold Change | Previously Known Association to Cancer Pathogenesis |
|------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------------|------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Protein and Derivatives      | Urine    | Pro Leu                                   | down       | 625         | Yes                                                |
| Purine/Pyrimidines           | Urine    | N(alpha)-t-Butoxycarbonyl-L-leucine        | down       | 198         | Yes                                                |
| Protein and Derivatives      | Urine    | Aspartyl glycine                          | down       | 161         | No                                                 |
| Androgens                    | Serum    | Androstanediol                            | down       | 54          | Yes                                                |
| Protein and Derivatives      | Urine    | Isopentenyladenine                        | down       | 50          | Yes                                                |
| Lipid and Derivatives        | Urine    | Fumaric acid                              | down       | 31          | Yes                                                |
| Carboxylic Acid and Derivatives | Urine | Asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA)         | down       | 16          | Yes                                                |
| Protein and Derivatives      | Urine    | Hypoglycin                                | up         | 442         | No                                                 |
| Protein and Derivatives      | Serum    | Epinephrine                               | up         | 134         | Yes                                                |
| Protein and Derivatives      | Urine    | L-Glutamic acid n-butyl ester             | up         | 65          | Yes                                                |
| Purine/Pyrimidines           | Urine    | 1-Methyladenine                           | up         | 59          | No                                                 |
| Lipid and Derivatives        | Serum    | 2-Propylpent-3-enoi acid                  | up         | 50          | No                                                 |
| Lipid and Derivative         | Serum    | Glycoursodeoxycholic acid                 | up         | 28          | Yes                                                |
| Purine/Pyrimidines           | Urine    | N6-Methyladenosine                        | up         | 27          | Yes                                                |
| Protein and Derivative       | Serum    | Isodesmosine                              | up         | 19          | No                                                 |
| Lipid and Derivative         | Serum    | 2-Hexylcarnitine                          | up         | 16          | Possible                                           |
| Unclassified                 | Serum    | N-Desmethylaminopyrine                    | up         | 10.3        | No                                                 |

Notes: Based on the magnitude of alteration of the metabolites (>10 fold) after surgery and previously known association to NSCLC and or any other cancer, six metabolites of significance have been identified marked in bold.

4. Discussion

4.1. Study Design and Metabolic Profile

We conducted an exploratory nontargeted metabolomics study for early-stage NSCLC patients eligible for a curative surgical resection. Two distinct aspects of our study design are (i) the patient population and (ii) the use of a radical treatment intervention to compare the metabolic profile of the same patient population, i.e., before and after the complete removal of a pulmonary cancerous lesion.

The metabolic profile obtained in this study represents a single patient population with small and localized tumors with no or minimum microscopic regional and no systemic metastasis. Surgical removal of the tumors provides a binary metabolic state in the same patient population, i.e., with and without a malignant tumor. Dysregulation of the metabolic profile was observed in the biofluids of these patients with intact pulmonary tumors, followed by a significant change in the profile after the surgical removal of the tumors. The proportion of the identified metabolites in the serum samples (65%) and the urine samples (35%) was not statistically different. However, the pattern of the dysregulation of
the metabolites after surgery was distinct. A higher proportion of metabolites increased in concentration after surgery in the serum and urine samples, and in the serum samples only compared to the urine samples. In contrast, the proportion of urinary metabolites that were increased or decreased after surgery was similar. The allocated chemical class of metabolite did not influence the proportion of metabolites following a particular trajectory of dysregulation after surgery (Figure 2). The magnitude of the downregulation of the metabolites after surgery was higher in both the serum and urine samples, although this was not statistically significant; the difference was larger in the urine samples. (Table 4 and Figure 3).

4.2. Lipids and Derivatives

Among the identified classes of metabolites, lipids were the most frequently identified and included fatty acids, bile acids, carnitines, phosphatidylglycerol, phosphatidylinositol, prostaglandins, and products of the mevalonate pathway. All lipid metabolites except one were identified in the serum samples. Most lipid metabolites (80%) were upregulated after surgery with a moderate change in their profile (median FC = 4). Isopentenyladenine, the only lipid identified in the urine samples linked to the mevalonate pathway, was downregulated (median FC = 31). Based on our review of the literature, the most common lipid metabolic alterations linked to carcinogenesis are in fatty acid metabolism, arachidonic acid metabolism, cholesterol metabolism, and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPAR) signaling [25]. PPAR plays a significant role in chronic inflammatory conditions leading to cancer development [26]. The uptake, activation, and synthesis of fatty acids by tumors is facilitated through enzymes such as acetyl coenzyme A, and fatty acid synthase (FASN) [27,28]. The upregulation of FAS in early-stage lung cancer tumors has been associated with aggressive clinical behavior and a poor prognosis [29,30]. The key enzyme stearoyl coenzyme A desaturase 1 (SCD1), involved in the formation of palmitoleic and oleic acids has been implicated in adenocarcinomas of the lung in tumor initiation and invasion and is a potential target for therapeutic intervention [31]. Members of the adenosine triphosphate-binding cassette (ABC) family of proteins have been correlated with a poor response to platinum-based chemotherapy in NSLC [32]. ATP citrate lyase (ACLY), which is involved in fatty acid synthesis, has been associated with tumorigenesis and is a potential prognostic biomarker [28,33]. In the current study, 2-propylpent-3-enoic acid, a fatty acid, was upregulated in the serum samples by 50-fold post-surgery. We could not find any previous association of this metabolite to the pathogenesis of cancer. Isopentenyladenine, a product of the mevalonate pathway, decreased by 31-fold in the urine samples after surgery. The mevalonate pathway is frequently overactive in cancer cells [34] and regulates cholesterol synthesis and the formation of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG-CoA). HMG-CoA is reduced to mevalonate by HMG-CoA reductase (HMGCR); HMGCR is a potential therapeutic target for cholesterol lowering drugs (such as statins) to treat cancer [34–37]. Chenodeoxycholic/deoxycholic/glycoursodeoxycholic acid, a bile acid, was upregulated by 28-fold in serum post-surgery. Bile acids have been implicated in the pathogenesis of several malignancies including colorectal, breast, hepatocellular, and renal cancers [38,39].

4.3. Proteins and Derivatives

Of the metabolites we identified, 23% were related to protein metabolism, with almost similar frequencies of up- and downregulation. However, as a class of metabolites, the overall magnitude of the alteration and the decrease in the metabolite levels after surgery was significant (Table 4, Figure 3). Cancer cells proliferate and survive by upregulating the synthesis of essential and nonessential amino acids, facilitated by specific transport systems, stromal cells, gene silencing, and redox homeostasis. Various cell signaling pathways are altered to generate nucleotides, reactive oxygen species (ROS), scavenging molecules, and oncometabolites to favor the proliferation of cancer cells [40–42]. We found that two metabolites identified in the urine samples, leucyl proline (Pro Leu) and
ADMA, which have previously been associated with cancer pathogenesis, profoundly decreased after surgery (Table 5). Pro Leu, a dipeptide composed of L-leucine and L-proline, was downregulated by 625-fold in urine. Recent reviews have highlighted a clinically significant role for proline in cancer metabolism [43]. Through a series of reactions, proline metabolism is initiated by converting proline into pyrroline-5-carboxylate, facilitated by the enzyme proline dehydrogenase/proline oxidase (PRODH/POX). High levels of proline result in the upregulation of PRODH, which in turn results in the production of ROS. PRODH is induced by p53 and can be up- or downregulated depending upon the type of the cancer and cell environment [44]. ADMA, an amino acid, decreased by 16-fold in urine post-surgery. Higher levels of this metabolite have been found in lung cancer patients [45]. The dipeptide aspartyl glycine was downregulated by 50-fold in urine. Pre-clinical studies using cancer cell lines have indicated that glycine consumption and the mitochondrial glycine biosynthetic pathway are associated with cancer cell proliferation [46]. Glutamic acid n-butyl ester was upregulated in urine by 65-fold. Glutamic acid (glutamate) is a proteinogenic nonessential amino acid and a bioenergetic substrate for proliferating malignant cells. It is involved in tumor development as a growth factor and a signal mediator facilitated through metabotropic glutamate receptors and ionotropic glutamate receptors found in cancerous tumors [47].

4.4. Purines and Pyrimidines

Purines are the most commonly found metabolites in normal cells. They are the primary building blocks in DNA and RNA synthesis and provide the energy and cofactors needed for normal and essential cellular functions [48]. Altered purine metabolism in cancer cells is a component of proliferation, tumor immune response, invasiveness, and metastasis and has led to novel therapeutic interventions in the field of oncology such as the antipurine metabolite drugs 6-mercaptopurine, 6-thioguanine, and methotrexate [49]. In this study, the magnitude of the alteration of purines after surgery was significant (Table 4 and Figure 3). Noticeably, N6-methyladenosine was identified in urine, and was upregulated by 27-fold post-surgery. N6-methyladenosine is an endogenous methylated adenine produced by the degradation of transfer ribonucleic acid (tRNA) and found in urine [23]. This is the most commonly identified post-transcriptional modification of mRNA and is primarily a reversible process facilitated by the enzymes methyltransferase and demethylase. Recent research has revealed a critical role for N6-methyladenosine in the oncogene regulation and pathogenesis of several human cancers, including NSCLC [50–53]. Methyl uric acid, a xanthine and a purine derivative, was downregulated by 198-fold. Uric acid metabolism may have a potential role in carcinogenesis through its role both as an anti and pro oxidant [54]. In a small pilot study involving breast cancer patients, urinary methyl uric acid identified by LC-QTOF was reduced by 0.6-fold in urine compared to the patients with no cancer [55].

4.5. Carboxylic Acid Derivatives and Carbohydrates

There were seven metabolites identified as carboxylic acid or derivatives. Fumaric acid was found to be decreased by 17-fold after surgery and was detected in the urine samples. This is one of compounds of the Krebs cycle and a biproduct of succinic acid oxidation. It has been found to be significantly altered in the serum of lung cancer patients compared to healthy individuals [56,57].

The altered glucose metabolism in cancer cells has been known for the past 100 years and is based on the “Warburg effect”, which is related to the increased uptake and utilization of glucose with disproportionately increased lactate production by proliferating cancer cells in the presence of sufficient oxygen or hypoxic conditions [58]. In our study, all identified metabolites related to carbohydrates were upregulated with a relatively minimal change (median FC = 2), except beta-cortol, which was downregulated by 8-fold and found in the serum samples. Beta-cortol belongs to a group of cortisol metabolites that are O-glycosyl compounds, in which a sugar is bonded through one carbon to another group
via a O-glycosidic bond [36]. An altered cortisol metabolism has been demonstrated in advanced cancers [59]. There is evidence that microcellular lung cancer produces ACTH (and hence increased cortisol levels), which could serve as potential biomarker for the early diagnosis of lung cancer [60].

4.6. Endocrine Factors

The androgens androstanediol and androstenedione were identified in the serum samples. While androstenedione was modestly elevated (FC = 8), androstanediol was downregulated by 54-fold. Androgens may have a role in the pathophysiology of lung cancer as androgen receptors have been found in lung cancer tumors. A retrospective study of NSCLC patients by Harlos et al. [61] indicated that androgen pathway manipulation was prognostic and associated with improved survival in lung cancer patients who received 5-alpha reductase inhibitors. Dopamine and epinephrine are catecholamines. Both were upregulated after surgery and identified in the serum samples. While dopamine only increased by 5-fold, epinephrine increased by 134-fold post-surgery. These compounds have opposite roles in tumor angiogenesis. Dopamine and epinephrine inhibit and promote tumor angiogenesis, respectively [62]. Tetrahydrobiopterin/sapropterin (BH4, THB) is a bioppterin that was upregulated post-surgery by 8-fold. Bioppterin may have a role in tumor progression [63]. N-desmethylaminopyrine, a phenyl pyrazole, increased by 10-fold in serum. We could not find any previously known association of this compound to carcinogenesis.

A summary of the metabolites of significance in this study and the associated metabolic pathways employed in carcinogenesis is presented in Table 6.

Table 6. Metabolites of significance and the associated metabolic pathways.

| Metabolite                          | Regulation | Metabolic Pathway                                      |
|-------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Pro Leu                             | down       | Proline metabolism facilitated by PRODH/POX           |
| 3-Methyluric acid                   | down       | Purine Metabolism                                      |
| Androstenediol                      | down       | Androgen pathway through AR in NSCLC                   |
| Aspartyl glycine                    | down       | Mitochondrial glycin biosynthetic pathway              |
| Isopentenyladenine                  | down       | Mevalonate pathway                                    |
| Fumaric acid                        | down       | Krebs cycle                                            |
| Asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA)  | down       | Overexpression of protein arginine methyl transferase  |
| Epinephrine                         | up         | Angiogenesis                                           |
| 2-Propylpent-3-enoic acid           | up         | Fatty acid metabolism                                  |
| L-Glutamic acid n-butyl ester       | up         | Growth factor, metabotropic/ionotropic glutamate receptors |
| Chenodeoxycholic/Deoxycholic        | up         | Over expressed FXR                                    |
| Glycoursodeoxycholic acid           | up         | Degradation of tRNA                                    |

Notes: AR, androgen receptors; FXR, farnesoid X receptor; NSCLC, non–small cell lung cancer; PRODH/POX, proline dehydrogenase/proline oxidase; tRNA, transfer ribonucleic acid.

4.7. Strengths and Limitations of the Study

This study is limited by the small sample size and the large number of metabolites which were assessed. These two factors render this study underpowered for any meaningful multivariable analysis to determine the effect of clinical and pathological variables on the metabolic profile of this cohort. Nonetheless, this study is designed to address the effect of treatment intervention (i.e., surgical tumor extraction) on the patient’s metabolic profile. The pre- and post-exposure design set up each patient to serve as their own control, thus eliminating any bias introduced by comparisons between individuals. Furthermore, surgical resection in early-stage cancer patients achieves a more complete eradication of cancer compared to radiation and/or systemic (chemo) therapy. Surgery thus represents a unique intervention to compare two metabolic states in the same patient population, which may be considered “with” and “without” cancer.
5. Conclusions

We have conducted a prospective observational study in a single cohort of early-stage NSCLC patients to compare biofluid metabolomics before and after the surgical removal of pulmonary tumors. We detected a dysregulation of the primary metabolic pathways in urine and serum samples by both NMR and LC-QTOF-MS, evidenced by the changes in 31 and 17 metabolites in the serum and urine samples, respectively, obtained from 35 patients. There was no significant difference in the distribution of metabolites between the serum and urine samples, but the pattern of dysregulation was different. More metabolites were upregulated in the serum samples with a consistent pattern of dysregulation in the urinary metabolites after surgery. The chemical class of the metabolites did not influence the proportion of metabolites that were up- or downregulated. The magnitude of downregulation after surgery was higher than that of upregulation in both the serum and urine samples, and although not statistically significant, this difference was larger in the urine samples. Alteration in purines/pyrimidines and proteins and derivatives was larger than that of other classes of metabolites detected in our study, suggesting the importance of these as potential biomarkers for screening, diagnostics, or monitoring. Based on the magnitude of alteration and the previously known association to NSCLC and/or any other cancer, we identified six metabolites of significance: in both the serum and urine samples, leucyl proline, ADMA, isopentenyladenine, and fumaric acid were downregulated after surgery; N6-methyladenosine and deoxycholic acid moieties were upregulated. Four other metabolites with a significant dysregulation, FC > 100 after surgery, included 3-methyl uric acid, N(alpha)-t-butoxycarbonyl-L-leucine, hypoglycin, and epinephrine; there is no known direct association of these metabolites to cancer pathogenesis, and this is a new observation. Further studies with larger cohorts that include patients with advanced-stage lung cancer are warranted to expand on our findings and progress toward the clinical application of metabolomics in cancer diagnosis and treatment.
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