INTRODUCTION

Understanding the interaction between ecology and biogeochemistry is an important frontier in environmental microbiology. Temporal separation between cellular activity and trace gas flux measurement has hampered efforts to connect, in field studies, the composition, structure, and activity of microbial communities to the biogeochemical processes they catalyze. Given the importance of prokaryotic diversity for ecosystem function (Kassen et al., 2009), a greater understanding of how microbial communities assemble, interact with the changing environment over time is clearly required.

The application of next generation sequencing technology is continually improving our understanding of the spatial and temporal distribution of microorganisms (Caporaso et al., 2012), while metabolomics and proteomics can help contextualize biological interactions with the environment and clarify relationships within and between microbial functional groups (Kujawinski, 2011; Schneider et al., 2012). In contrast, theoretical approaches in microbial ecology have lagged significantly behind these methodological developments (Prosser et al., 2007). Unlike macrofaunal ecology (Webb et al., 2010), mathematical relationships are not routinely applied to explore the implications behind experimental observations. The theoretical background to expand numerical approaches in environmental microbiology could well follow the trait-based approach implemented in models of marine autotrophic phytoplankton (Litchman and Klausmeier, 2008; Follows and Dutkiewicz, 2011). These models have been shown to be valuable tools for understanding how communities assemble (Follows et al., 2007; Litchman et al., 2007), how they change over time (Litchman and Klausmeier, 2006), and the interdependencies between community dynamics and biogeochemistry (Dutkiewicz et al., 2009).

In the current study we expand the trait-based approach to study a critical component of the nitrogen cycle, nitrification. Nitrification, the oxidation of ammonia to nitrite and then nitrate, is a rate-limiting step in the microbially mediated N cycle (Ward, 2008). Nitrification alters the distribution of inorganic N in soil and bridges the input of NH$_3$ from N-fixation or organic.

Trait-based microbial models show clear promise as tools to represent the diversity and activity of microorganisms across ecosystem gradients. These models parameterize specific traits that determine the relative fitness of an “organism” in a given environment, and represent the complexity of biological systems across temporal and spatial scales. In this study we introduce a microbial community trait-based modeling framework (Micro- Trait) focused on nitrification (MicroTrait-N) that represents the ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) and ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA) and nitrite-oxidizing bacteria (NOB) using traits related to enzyme kinetics and physiological properties. We used this model to predict nitrifier diversity, ammonia (NH$_3$) oxidation rates, and nitrous oxide (N$_2$O) production across pH, temperature, and substrate gradients. Predicted nitrifier diversity was predominantly determined by temperature and substrate availability, the latter was strongly influenced by pH. The model predicted that transient N$_2$O production rates are maximized by a decoupling of the AOB and NOB communities, resulting in an accumulation and detoxification of nitrite to N$_2$ by AOB. However, cumulative N$_2$O production (over 6 month simulations) is maximized in a system where the relationship between AOB and NOB is maintained. When the reactions uncouple, the AOB become unstable and biomass declines rapidly, resulting in decreased NH$_3$ oxidation and N$_2$O production. We evaluated this model against site level chemical datasets from the interior of Alaska and accurately simulated NH$_3$ oxidation rates and the relative ratio of AOA:AOB biomass. The predicted community structure and activity indicate that parameterization of a small number of traits may be sufficient to broadly characterize nitrifying community structure and (b) changing decadal trends in climate and edaphic conditions could impact nitrification rates in ways that are not captured by extant biogeochemical models.
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matter (OM) decomposition to its loss as N₂O or N₂ gas via denitrification. In addition, nitrification is closely linked to the carbon cycle as nitrifier activity determines the relative concentration of two major plant and microbial nitrogen sources: ammonia and nitrate. The availability of these two nutrients in turn affects N mineralization rates, soil OM decomposition, denitrification, plant-productivity, and N-loss through leaching or gas efflux.

The initial step of nitrification (NH₃ → NO₂) is catalyzed by a phylogenetically restricted group of β- and γ-proteobacteria (Kowalchuk and Stephen, 2001) and members of the thaumarchaea (Brochier-Armanet et al., 2008). The distribution and abundance of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) and ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA) in soils and sediments show broad patterns related to substrate (i.e., NH₃) concentration (Erguder et al., 2009; Wertz et al., 2011), pH (He et al., 2007; Nicol et al., 2008), OM concentrations (Könneke et al., 2005), dissolved oxygen (Bouskill et al., 2012), and temperature (Avrahami and Bohannan, 2007; Tournu et al., 2008). In addition, while studies of the ecology and biogeochemical importance of the AOA are still nascent, certain ecological trends are evident, such as the ability to nitrify at low pH and grow under oligotrophic substrate concentrations (Erguder et al., 2009; Nicol et al., 2011).

The nitrite-oxidizing bacteria (NOB) belonging to five genera (Nitrobacter, Nitrospira, Nitrococcus, Nitrospina, and Nitrotoga) catalyze the second major step of nitrification (NO₂ → NO₃). Few NOB have been isolated from soil and the extent of eco-physiological kinetic data for NOB significantly lags that of AOB. Additionally, PCR primers targeting the functional gene involved in nitrite oxidation (nitrite oxidoreductase) have only recently become available (Vanparys et al., 2007), which has hindered studies of NOB ecology and environmental distribution. Spatial coupling of the two reactions (NH₃ and NO₂ oxidation) is well known (Okabe et al., 1999; Schramm et al., 1999) and reduces the likelihood that toxic NO₂ will accumulate in soils. However, these two oxidative processes can, and often do, become spatially or temporally uncoupled by fluctuating redox or low NO₂ concentrations selecting against NOB activity, resulting in NO₂ accumulation. In the following section, we briefly introduce the concept of disaggregating microbial functional groups by specific traits and discuss previous attempts to apply these ideas to microbial ecosystems.

TRAIT-BASED MICROBIAL MODELS

Ecosystem activity is closely aligned to the structure and function of endemic microbial communities. These communities catalyze the bulk of biogeochemical reactions related to OM decomposition and nutrient transformations. Although the majority of ecosystem models acknowledge the contribution of prokaryotes in determining the rate of C and N cycling, these models have mainly focused their mechanistic representation on the role physical processes play in regulating biogeochemical cycles. Microbial transformations are often implicitly represented (e.g., Manzoni and Porporato, 2009, and references therein; Parton et al., 1987; Jenkinson and Coleman, 2008) using a specified turnover time for various pools of soil OM (e.g., slow, intermediate, and fast turnover pools). To our knowledge, no modeling frameworks applied at regional or larger scales attempt to represent how the dynamic nature of microbial diversity and activity affects biogeochemical cycling of C, N, or other compounds.

A deterrent to the explicit representation of microbial community dynamics is a lack of understanding of how microbial communities assemble and respond to changing environmental conditions. Microbial communities are extraordinarily diverse, with thousands of different taxa seemingly inhabiting the same environment (Gans et al., 2005; Delong et al., 2006). This diversity can be attributed to a small subset of microorganisms being selected for by the prevailing environmental conditions (Hutchinson, 1961). Selection can be due to a combination of genomic and physiological traits that elevate the fitness of some organisms over their competitors. Therefore, functional diversity is a transient ecosystem property, and as environmental conditions change over time so can microbially mediated reaction rates (e.g., Carney et al., 2007). These changes can have important implications for ecosystem model structure and parameterization.

Trait-based modeling approaches have been reviewed elsewhere (McGill et al., 2006; Green et al., 2008; Webb et al., 2010) and previously applied in ecology (Laughlin, 2011). In microbiology, these models have been used to depict communities of functionally important groups (Allison, 2012) and address questions that field and laboratory experiments are unable to sufficiently answer (Monteiro et al., 2011). These trait-based approaches have attempted to numerically characterize key physiological parameters that contribute toward an ecological strategy.

Nitrifiers are ideal candidates for building and refining trait-based models. They are autotrophic with a simple metabolism largely defined by central physiological processes, such as substrate acquisition (NH₃ and NO₂) and substrate use efficiency (number of moles of substrate required to fix one mole of CO₂). Several decades of ecophysiological studies using different nitrifiers have produced a wealth of data that can be used to mathematically characterize different nitrifier guilds. While heterotrophic organisms can also carry out nitrification (Schimel et al., 1984), at the present time, too little is understood about the distribution, importance and physiology of these organisms (De Boer and Kowalchuk, 2001). Therefore, in this manuscript we describe the development of a microbial community trait-based modeling framework (MicroTrait) to simulate the physiology and ecology of autotrophic nitrifiers (MicroTrait-N), including an explicit representation of the rates of NH₃ and NO₂ oxidation, N₂O production, and nitrogen pool transformations. We apply MicroTrait-N to examine predicted patterns in nitrifier community diversity and activity across several geochemoal gradients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

EMERGENT COMMUNITY ECOSYSTEM MODEL DESCRIPTION (MICROTRAIT-N)

MicroTrait-N resolves intra-functional group diversity of the nitrifier populations (AOB, AOA, NOB) by parameterizing multiple guilds spanning a range in the trait-space (Figure 1). Although this nitrifier model will be integrated in an ecosystem model that allows for a wide range of interactions (Tang et al., submitted), we focus here on resolving nitrifier diversity in a competitive environment.
across a range of conditions, including pH, O₂, substrate type (NH₃ or urea), and temperature. Our approach is general enough that it can be applied to nitrifier populations in freshwater and aquatic environments and flexible enough to be used within soil pores. The model is written in Matlab (Matlab R2011b, Natick, MA, USA).

Our guild approach simulates seven lineages of Betaproteobacterial AOB as individual guilds, three NOB guilds, and one AOA guild. The smaller number of NOB and AOA guilds reflects the lack of relevant ecophysiological studies of these groups. Intra-guild diversity is parameterized by allowing a range of values for each trait (Table 1), based on previous ecophysiology studies (Loveless and Painter, 1968; Suzuki, 1974; Suzuki et al., 1974; Drozd, 1976; Belser, 1979; Belser and Schmidt, 1979; Glover, 1985; Keen and Prosser, 1987; Prosser, 1989; Nishio and Fujimoto, 1990; Verhagen and Laanbroek, 1991; Laanbroek and Gerards, 1993; Jiang and Bakken, 1999; Schramm et al., 1999; Gieseke et al., 2001; Koops and Pommerening Röser, 2001; Cébron et al., 2003; Martens-Habbena et al., 2009; Schreiber et al., 2009). Further information concerning the derivation of trait values is given in the supplemental material. Given the paucity of within-guild information, we assumed a uniform probability density of trait values across each trait range. We can increase the number of guilds as more information becomes available to distinguish intra-guild diversity. We performed several types of simulations investigating the role of pH, temperature, decoupling nitrite, and ammonia oxidation, and pulsed NH₃ inputs by: (1) using the mean value of each trait; (2) performing Monte Carlo (MC) simulations to account for intra-guild diversity; and (3) running the model in equilibrium and dynamic steady state cycle modes to characterize the impact of temporal forcing variation on predicted emergent microbial community structure.

**REPRESENTING AUTOTROPHY**

In the model, the biomass of each nitrifier guild is represented with five variables: (1) total cell biomass (denoted \( B_T \)), which may represent the ammonia-oxidizing organism (AOO, i.e., AOB + AOA) as \( B_{TA} \) or the NOB, \( B_{TN} \); (2) carbon biomass (\( B_C \)); (3) nitrogen biomass (\( B_N \)); (4) Cellular quotas for carbon (\( Q_C \)); and (5) cellular quotas for nitrogen (\( Q_N \)). The latter two are defined relative to total biomass (i.e., \( Q_C = B_C / B_T \); \( Q_N = B_N / B_T \)). Carbon biomass increases by fixing CO₂ through the ribulose-bisphosphate enzyme using energy produced during the oxidation of either NH₃ or NO₂ (Figure 1). Cell division of the AOO and NOB is governed by Droop kinetics (Droop, 1973):

\[
d_{B_{ij}} = \max \left( 1 - \frac{Q_{\min}}{Q_{B_{ij}}}, 0 \right)
\]

where \( Q_{\min} \) represents the biomass quota (i.e., \( Q_C \) or \( Q_N \)) of the \( i \)th guild for the \( j \)th element. Here \( j \) represents either C or N. The minimum quota for carbon is 1 and for nitrogen is 1/13.2 (according to the Redfield Ratio). The carbon and nitrogen constraints are then applied to regulate the cell division rate (\( D_B \)) with Liebig’s law of the minimum (van der Ploeg, 1999):

\[
D_B = \mu_B \min \{ d_i \} B_T
\]

where \( \mu_B \) (\( d^{-1} \)) is the nitrifier maximum specific growth rate (Table 1). Ammonia oxidation in AOO is modeled with Briggs–Haldane kinetics (Koper et al., 2010):

\[
V_{\text{NH}_3}^{\text{AOB}} = \frac{V_{\text{NH}_3}^{\text{max}} [\text{NH}_3]}{K_{\text{NH}_3}^{\text{max}} + [\text{NH}_3]} \frac{[O_2]}{K_m^{O_2} + [O_2]} B_{TA}
\]
Here, \( V_{\text{NH}_3}^{\text{max}} \) (MS\(^{-1}\)) is the maximum substrate (\(\text{NH}_3\)) uptake rate, \( K_{\text{NH}_3} \) is the half saturation constant for \(\text{NH}_3\) or \(\text{O}_2\) (\(\mu\text{M}\); Table 1), and \( R_{\text{CN}} \) is the \(\text{NH}_3\) inhibition constant for AOB (\(\mu\text{M}\); Table 1). Substrate concentrations are in \(\text{M} \) (mol L\(^{-1}\)). \(\text{CO}_2\) uptake follows Michaelis–Menten kinetics:

\[
V_{\text{CO}_2}^{\text{max}} = V_{\text{CO}_2}^{\text{max}} \frac{[\text{CO}_2]}{K_{\text{CO}_2} + [\text{CO}_2]}
\]

where \( V_{\text{CO}_2}^{\text{max}} \) is guild-specific and depends on energy yielded by ammonia oxidation and the efficiency of \(\text{CO}_2\) fixed relative to \(\text{NH}_3\) oxidized:

\[
V_{\text{CO}_2}^{\text{max}} = \frac{V_{\text{NH}_3}^{\text{max}}}{Q_N} \max \left( 1 - \frac{r_{\text{CN}} - r_{\text{CN}}^{\text{min}}}{r_{\text{CN}}^{\text{max}} - r_{\text{CN}}^{\text{min}}} \right)
\]

where \( V_{\text{CO}_2}^{\text{max}} \) (unitless) is the guild-specific substrate use efficiency (number of moles of \(\text{NH}_3\) oxidized per mole of \(\text{CO}_2\) fixed), \( Q_N \) and represents the C:N ratio (i.e., the Redfield ratio; Redfield, 1958) of each nitrifier guild and \( r_{\text{CN}}^{\text{max}} = 6.6 \) and \( r_{\text{CN}}^{\text{min}} = 13.2 \), which are used to reflect the autotrophic nature of the nitrifiers.

Growth of the ith AOB biomass over time is calculated as:

\[
\frac{dB_{\text{TA}}}{dt} = \mu_{\text{max}} \min \{d_i\} B_{\text{TA}} - \Delta B_{\text{TA}} - \frac{1}{4} \left( D_{\text{A}}^{\text{NO}_2} + D_{\text{A}}^{\text{NO}} \right)
\]

Here, \( \Delta \) (s\(^{-1}\)) is the first order microbial mortality rate and \( D_a \) is biomass loss (M s\(^{-1}\)) attributable to the detoxification of \(\text{NO}_2\) following the uncoupling of AOB and NOB mediated reactions (see below). Total biomass loss is the sum of that required to convert \(\text{NO}_2\) to \(\text{NO}\) and \(\text{NO}\) to \(\text{N}_2\), and the 1/4 represents the stoichiometric relationship between biomass and \(\text{NO}_2\) detoxification (i.e., \(4\text{NO}_2 + \text{CH}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 4\text{NO} + \text{CO}_2 + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} + 8\text{NO} + 2\text{CH}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 4\text{N}_2\text{O} + 2\text{CO}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \)).

The NOB gains energy to fix \(\text{CO}_2\) to biomass via the oxidation of \(\text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{NO}_3\). \(\text{NO}_2\) uptake rate is modeled by:

\[
V_{\text{NO}_2}^{\text{NO}} = \frac{V_{\text{NO}_2}^{\text{max}} [\text{NO}_2]}{K_{\text{NO}_2} + [\text{NO}_2]} \frac{V_{\text{O}_2}^{\text{max}} [\text{O}_2]}{K_{\text{O}_2} + [\text{O}_2]} B_{\text{TN}}^{\text{max}}
\]

where the different terms in Eq. 7 are analogous to those in Eq. 3. The uptake of \(\text{CO}_2\) occurs via the same pathway as for AOO (Eqs 4 and 5) and the biomass of the i th NOB guild varies as:

\[
\frac{dB_{\text{TN}}^i}{dt} = \mu_{\text{max}} \min \{d_i\} B_{\text{TN}}^i - \Delta B_{\text{TN}}^i
\]

**NITROUS OXIDE PRODUCTION**

\(\text{N}_2\text{O}\) is produced by AOO via two distinct pathways: (1) decomposition of the hydroxylamine intermediate and (2) the likely more significant mechanism of \(\text{NO}_2\) detoxification (Figure A1 in Appendix; Frame and Casciotti, 2010; Kool et al., 2011; Stein and Klotz, 2011). Under the first pathway, \(\text{N}_2\text{O}\) production is modeled as a linearly related fraction of hydroxylamine decomposition (Frame and Casciotti, 2010). The second pathway simulates the detoxification of accumulated \(\text{NO}_2\) as the two steps of nitrification become uncoupled. This decoupling can occur because NOB have a lower affinity for \(\text{O}_2\) than the AOB; therefore as \(\text{O}_2\) is consumed during nitrification (or in low \(\text{O}_2\) environments), the two reactions may become spatially or temporally uncoupled. \(\text{NO}_2\) toxicity stimulates a detoxification pathway converting \(\text{NO}_2\) to \(\text{N}_2\text{O}\) via \(\text{NO}\). This detoxification pathway is potentially the more significant mechanism by which AOB produce \(\text{N}_2\text{O}\). AOA have recently been shown to produce \(\text{N}_2\text{O}\) (Santoro et al., 2011), although the mechanism has not yet been elucidated. Therefore, in the present version of the model we predict AOA \(\text{N}_2\text{O}\) production using the same relationships as for AOB. As \(\text{NO}_2\) concentrations become toxic to AOO, their growth and \(\text{NH}_3\) uptake decline. We represent these transitions by modifying...
We tested MicroTrait-N by examining how nitrifier diversity varies resulting from biomass breakdown during detoxification summed on a balance between losses from oxidation and N\(_2\)O production that we report as 30 days running averages.

### Trait-based nitrification model (MicroTrait-N)

The dynamic aqueous NH\(_3\) concentration ([NH\(_3\)] (M)) depends on a balance between losses from oxidation (\(V_{NH_3}^E\)), uptake into biomass of AOO (\(V_{NH_3}^B\)), and NOB (\(V_{NH_3}^{NOB}\)), and inputs resulting from biomass breakdown during detoxification summed across the total number of AOO guilds (\(n_{A}\)) and NOB guilds (\(n_{N}\)):

\[
\frac{d[NH_3]}{dt} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n_A} (V_{NH_3}^E + V_{NH_3}^B) - \sum_{i=1}^{n_N} V_{NH_3}^{NOB} + \left[\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n_A} (D_{NO2}^A + D_{NO}^A)\right] \quad (10)
\]

where the 1/4 represents the stoichiometry of the detoxification reaction using biomass for energy. The dynamic NO\(_2\) concentration depends on uptake by NOB to generate energy and losses via detoxification by AOB:

\[
\frac{d[NO_2]}{dt} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_A} V_{NO_2}^E - \sum_{i=1}^{n_N} V_{NO_2}^{NOB} - \sum_{i=1}^{n_A} D_{NO_2}^A \quad (11)
\]

### MODEL EVALUATION

#### Resolution of nitrifier diversity across geochemical gradients

We tested MicroTrait-N by examining how nitrifier diversity varies across geochemical gradients in pH, substrate concentration [i.e., (NH\(_3\))], and temperature and compared predictions of this diversity against published studies. Accuracy of modeled communities was gaged by relating the steady state modeled nitrifier diversity to the five groups of modeling scenarios include sensitivity analyses of the impacts of (i) pH; (ii) temperature; (iii) decoupling during NO\(_3\) detoxification; and (iv) dynamic substrate inputs. For the fifth modeling scenario, we computed predicted community structure with a limited set of available observations.

**pH impacts.** pH is a determinant of nitrifier diversity, in part, due to its regulation of NH\(_3\) concentrations. The NH\(_3\):NH\(_2\) ratio increases as pH decreases (Li et al., 2012), possibly selecting for nitrifiers adapted to low substrate concentrations. We performed model simulations across pH gradients spanning neutral to slightly acidic conditions (7.8–4.5). For each guild, the model was run with an integration time of 6 months, which allowed the community biomass to come to a steady state. Simulations were initialized with \(1 \times 10^{-5}\) M NH\(_3\) and non-limiting concentrations of O\(_2\) and CO\(_2\) (both \(1 \times 10^{-3}\) M). Two further substrate pulses (\(1 \times 10^{-6}\) NH\(_3\)) following 2 and 4 months were necessary to prevent the communities becoming substrate limited and maintain them at steady state.

**Temperature impacts.** Temperature has also been shown to play an important role in determining the diversity of ammonia-oxidizing communities in terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems (Erguder et al., 2009; Prosser, 2011). We applied in the model a temperature-activity relationship based on previously published data (Ratkowsky et al., 2005; Follows et al., 2007) that accounts for a different temperature optima across the guilds (Table 1). We simulated a temperature range of 5 to 30°C in 5°C increments under initial conditions of NH\(_3\) = \(5 \times 10^{-5}\) M and pH = 7.8.

**Decoupling nitrification reactions.** We simulated the forced reduction of NO\(_3\) to N\(_2\)O during AOO detoxification by initializing the model to steady state over 6 months under initial conditions of \(1 \times 10^{-5}\) M NH\(_3\), pH = 7.8 and temperature = 20°C. At steady state, the NOB activity was turned off and then simulations were run for a further 6 months. A simultaneous control experiment extended the steady state for a further 6 months maintaining NOB activity.

**Pulsed substrate inputs.** NH\(_3\) availability is considered to be a major determinant of AOO diversity (Bouskill et al., 2011; Prosser, 2011) and the rate of N\(_2\)O efflux (Elberling et al., 2010). Nitrifiers show wide physiological breadth with respect to enzyme kinetics (\(V_{max}\) and \(K_m\)) and different communities dominate based on the magnitude of substrate inputs (Mahmood et al., 2006). We tested the impact of NH\(_3\) availability by simulating community diversity and activity in response to pulsed NH\(_3\) input events. Under a constant pH (7.8) and temperature (25°C), NH\(_3\) was initially input at a concentration of \(1 \times 10^{-6}\) M and increased on 2-month cycles to \(5 \times 10^{-5}\) M.

**Comparisons with observed data.** We tested the baseline MicroTrait-N predictions by comparing against published data from five Alaskan ecosystems (Petersen et al., 2012). That dataset combines nitrification rate measurements with a quantification of the different nitrifier groups (AOB and AOA) facilitating a direct comparison with the output of our model. Petersen et al. (2012) also report a comprehensive list of chemical data, which satisfy the
input requirements of the simulation’s initial conditions. Furthermore, in contrast to our earlier simulations evaluating community composition at a fixed substrate concentration and low pH (down to 4.5), this dataset represents low pH soils (4.8–4.3) with high substrate concentrations. For these simulations initial conditions are given in Table A1 in Appendix with temperature = 15˚C and simulations were run for 6 months. The model was initialized with mean trait values and then simulations were replicated using the MC approach and five analogs per guild (with each analog representing a stochastically chosen set of trait values across the uniform probability distribution. For comparison, data from two of the sites are replicated using an MC code with a normal distribution. Using the normalized distribution of traits produces little effect on the model output. See appendix).

RESULTS

PHYSICOCHEMICAL IMPACTS ON NITRIFIER DIVERSITY AND ACTIVITY

In this subsection we describe results from our modeling scenarios and comparison of predicted data with observations.

**pH impacts**

We simulated a pH gradient from approximately neutral (pH = 7.8) to acidic (pH = 4.5) conditions and recorded diversity and activity (NH$_3$ oxidation rate and N$_2$O production). During the hydrolysis reaction of NH$_3$, the ratio NH$_4$:NH$_3$ increased hyperbolically as pH decreased. Thus, at pH < 5, the extremely low [NH$_3$] encouraged the growth of oligotrophic ammonia oxidizers. Both baseline (i.e., fixed trait values, Figures 2A,B) and MC (Figures 2C,D) approaches showed a decline in AOB community evenness with decreasing pH. The highest evenness values are predicted around neutral values where AOB guilds 7 [AOB(7)] and 4 [AOB(4)] dominate. As pH decreases, community diversity declines until the AOA guild dominates. Although both simulations had similar trends in diversity, the multiple analog experiments (Figures 2C,D) predicted more variability in community diversity, as evidenced by more variable evenness values. Predicted nitrifier activity (as indicated by NH$_3$ oxidation rates and N$_2$O production) also declined with decreasing pH from a maximum NH$_3$ oxidation rate of 1.9 M N day$^{-1}$ to less than 0.1 M.

**FIGURE 2** | Simulations of AOO diversity and activity across a pH gradient. Community evenness values are given above the stacked bars. **(A)** Community diversity (proportion of total biomass) predictions using mean trait values. **(B)** Simulated nitrifier activity (NH$_3$ oxidation, NO$_2$ production, N$_2$O production) using mean trait values. **(C)** Community diversity (proportion of total biomass) predictions using Monte Carlo simulations of multiple AOO analogs (n = 5 analogs per guild). **(D)** Simulated nitrifier activity (NH$_3$ oxidation, NO$_2$ production, N$_2$O production) using Monte Carlo simulations of multiple AOB analogs (n = 5 analogs per guild).
N day$^{-1}$. Predicted N$_2$O production was linearly related to NH$_3$ oxidation (data not shown, $r = 0.98, p = 0.001, \text{slope} = 0.94$) indicating the AOB and NOB reactions were coupled regardless of the pH and N$_2$O was primarily by hydroxylamine decomposition.

**Temperature impacts**

Maximal rates of ammonia oxidation were simulated at 25°C (Figure 3B). Maximal oxidation rates coincided with the highest community evenness. At low temperature, AOO communities were dominated by the cold-adapted AOB(6) guild (Table 1, Figure 3A), which represents *Nitrosomonas cryotolerans*. The AOA guild was also important at this temperature (Figure 3A). With increasing temperatures up to 25°C, the AOB(3) and AOB(7) guilds became more competitive and began to dominate the community. When the temperature reached 30°C, the AOB(1) guild dominated. N$_2$O production mirrored that of NH$_3$ oxidation indicating that N$_2$O production resulted from hydroxylamine decomposition under these conditions.

**Decoupling nitrification reactions**

We simulated N$_2$O production through two pathways described above (Figure A1 in Appendix). After running the simulations to steady state biomass, the NOB were removed allowing rapid accumulation of NO$_2$ and invoking a detoxification response in the AOO. NO$_2$ was rapidly converted to N$_2$O, via NO, using cellular biomass as an energy source. This conversion resulted in a transient N$_2$O production rate significantly higher than in the scenarios with a steady state community and when the NOB were present (ANOVA, $p < 0.05$; Figure 4A). Despite a higher N$_2$O production rate in the absence of NOB, cumulative production of N$_2$O over 6 months was significantly (ANOVA, $p < 0.05$) lower than when NOB were present (Figure 4B) due to the creation of an unstable half reaction (lacking NO$_2$ oxidation) resulting in a rapid crash in AOO community biomass (data not shown).

**Pulsed substrate input**

We simulated the response of our imposed simple community (seven AOB guilds; one AOA guild; and three NOB guilds) to pulsed input of substrate over a 9-month period (Figure 5). Over time, and with evenly spaced pulsed events, the evenness of the community declines slightly from 0.76 to 0.58 as one guild, AOB(7), begins to dominate. Pulses of NH$_3$ are drawn down more quickly as the biomass of AOB increases. However, the second...

---
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As NOB biomass increases, NO it is produced reflects the pulses of NH accumulation of N simulation we did not allow for diffusion, and this resulted in an cumulative biomass and greater diversity of AOO (Figures 5A–D). The guilds resolve broadly into oligotrophic and copiotrophic characteristics (e.g., DON usage, K_M values). Several of the results across gradients showed plausible representation of the dominant nitrifiers guilds emerging on the basis of environmental conditions (discussed below). Our guild characterization recognizes several guilds of the *Nitrosomonas* [AOB(1-6)], one guild of the *Nitrosospira* [AOB(7)] and the AOA, and three guilds of the NOB. The guilds resolve broadly into oligotrophic and copiotrophic groups (Kassen et al., 2000; Lauro et al., 2009). For example, the AOA became more prominent in the MC simulations, although they were still only a relatively small proportion (2–4%) of the Fen communities and Tussock grassland (Figure 6A).

**Comparison with environmental data**

The dataset presented by Petersen et al. (2012) examined AOO community diversity across five-plant community types characteristic of the interior of Alaska. These soils were characterized by high substrate concentrations (range = 7.3 × 10^{-3} to 0.1 M NH_3) and low pH (4.3–4.8). These observations therefore provide a comparison to our earlier examination of a pH gradient with a fixed substrate concentration. The model predicted that, in contrast to our previous predictions at low pH and NH_3 substrate levels (Figure 2), bacteria dominated the AOO community at these sites (Figure 6A). Using mean values for traits, the Black Spruce and Bog Birch sites were dominated by AOB(7) and AOB(3) in the case of the Bog Birch site. The Tussock Grassland, Emergent Fen, and Rich Fen also showed lower evenness and were generally dominated by one guild [AOB(1)] accounting for approximately 90% of the total AOB biomass. The AOA guild was never a significant component of the community diversity under these conditions (data not shown). Within-guild diversity was represented using MC simulations that stochastically assigned traits to multiple analogs of each guild. The community composition that emerged when using this approach was different than when traits were represented by their mean values. For example, the AOA became more prominent in the MC simulations, although they were still only a relatively small proportion (2–4%) of the Fen communities and Tussock grassland (Figure 6A).

Predicted trends in NH_3 oxidation rates (Figure 6B) correlated with the observed data (Figure 6B; r = 0.96, p = 0.007). The highest oxidation rates were associated with the highest NH_3 concentrations at the Emergent Fen site (4.9 × 10^{-4} M N day^{-1}) and with the lowest rates at the Black Spruce and Bog Birch sites (9 × 10^{-5} and 9 × 10^{-6} M N day^{-1} respectively). MicroTrait-N predictions of N_2O production also correlated with NH_3 concentrations and oxidation rates (Figure 6C), albeit not significantly (r = 0.69, p = 0.19), and were 85 times higher at the Emergent Fen site (3.6 × 10^{-6} M N day^{-1}) than the Black Spruce (4.3 × 10^{-8} M N day^{-1}).

**DISCUSSION**

Oxidation of NH_3 to NO_3 is an important process that couples N-inputs and losses via denitrification and influences the availability of N in terrestrial and marine environments (Ward, 2008; Prosser, 2011) with important implications for carbon cycling (Doney et al., 2007). A better understanding of the ecological factors that determine the activity and diversity of the chemotrophic nitrifiers will therefore improve our understanding of N-transformations and N-emissions. To that end we describe here a model simulating nitrifier community development as a function of environmental conditions, allowing both community diversity and the rate of nitrification to change across environmental gradients.

**GUILD CHARACTERIZATION**

MicroTrait-N simulates nitrifier diversity using a guild model based loosely on phylogenetic affiliations (Koops and Pommerening Röser, 2001), with differences in key ecophysiological characteristics (e.g., DON usage, K_M values). Several of the results across gradients showed plausible representation of the dominant nitrifiers guilds emerging on the basis of environmental conditions (discussed below). Our guild characterization recognizes several guilds of the *Nitrosomonas* [AOB(1-6)], one guild of the *Nitrosospira* [AOB(7)] and the AOA, and three guilds of the NOB. The guilds resolve broadly into oligotrophic and copiotrophic groups (Kassen et al., 2006; Lauro et al., 2009). For example, the AOB(5) and AOB(7) guilds have copiotrophic-like characteristics, responding rapidly to substrate pulses (Figure 5A), while the
AOA guild is only competitive as substrate is either drawn down to concentrations $\leq 1 \mu$M (Figure 5A) or when pH reduces NH$_3$ availability (Figure 2).

The MicroTrait-N model structure is currently weighted in favor of guilds with cultured members and likely under-represents the importance of the AOA. The AOA are known to be in high abundance in both oceanic (Bouskill et al., 2012) and terrestrial (Leininger et al., 2006) environments. However, while it is likely that marine AOA are chemosynthetic organisms and play an important role in marine nitrification, AOA possibly span a more complicated functional space in terrestrial systems. Attempts to draw correlations between the abundance of terrestrial AOA and NH$_3$ oxidation rates have produced mixed results (Di et al., 2009); (Jia and Conrad, 2009). In MicroTrait-N, parameterization

---

**FIGURE 6 | Simulations of the activity and diversity of AOB communities in high-latitude ecosystems.** (A) Monte Carlo simulations of multiple AOB analogs ($n=5$ analogs per guild) across the different sites. Each guild is represented by a distinct color. Subtle differences in the shade of that color demarcate the different analogs/guild. A box outlines the boundaries of each guild’s biomass. Evenness statistic given above the bar plots. (B) NH$_3$ oxidation rates from just simulated and observed data. (C) Predicted rates of N$_2$O production and measured NH$_3$ concentrations. Error bars are the result of multiple simulations ($n=3$). BS, Black Spruce; BB, Bog Birch; RF, Rich Fen; EF, Emergent Fen; TG, Tussock Grassland.
of AOA kinetics is extrapolated from a few published cultures (Martens-Habbena et al., 2009; Lehtovirta-Morley et al., 2011). The model consequently represents the AOA as oligotrophs, dominating nitrifying conditions under low NH₃ concentrations, and becoming outcompeted or possibly inhibited under higher NH₃. The AOA:AOB relationship provides some support for the idea that AOA are oligotrophic, with ratios increasing as substrate concentrations decrease (Mosier and Francis, 2008; Bouskill et al., 2012), while AOA have generally been reported in low abundance within engineered systems of high NH₃ concentrations (Wells et al., 2009). However, the AOA are also abundant in terrestrial ecosystems with high NH₃ concentrations (Verhamme et al., 2011). This diversity might suggest that the physiological breadth of the AOA has yet to be fully uncovered, and that the notion of the AOA as oligotrophic K-strategists might be challenged through isolation of organisms from high NH₃ environments. On the other hand, several studies have demonstrated metabolic diversity of the terrestrial AOA (i.e., mixotrophy; Mußmann et al., 2011), and have proposed that although the abundance of the AOA is high, their contribution to ammonia oxidation is perhaps minimal. Currently, MicroTrait-N is only capable of representing organisms growing autotrophically, and does not represent the abundance of organisms with alternative metabolisms. Therefore, if an appreciable proportion of the AOA community at neutral pH is not actively oxidizing ammonia, they will not be predicted in the current model structure. Further studies into the physiology of the AOA will likely yield data that should help to constrain the models.

GEOCHEMICAL GRADIENT SIMULATIONS
MicroTrait-N attempts to predict trends in community diversity across gradients in substrate concentration, pH, and temperature.

pH impacts
Few studies offer an experimental analog to the simulations presented here, however, Nicol et al. (2008) examined AOA and AOB dynamics along a pH gradient (7.5–4.9) in an agricultural soil. The results of that study did not necessarily support predictions from our simulations (e.g., the AOA were observed to be the numerically dominant nitrifiers across neutral to acidic conditions), however several similarities occurred. Quantification of transcript abundance found the AOA:AOB ratio decreased with increasing pH, suggesting that the relative importance of the AOB to ammonia oxidation increases with increasing pH. Furthermore, Nicol et al. (2008) also noted the taxonomic diversity of AOB to decrease with decreasing pH. This relationship was mainly attributable to the loss of most of the *Nitrosomonas* species and several of the *Nitrospira* clusters. Additionally, at pH ≤ 5.0 the *Nitrospira* were the dominant bacterial nitrifying group. Our simulations reproduced some of these observations, including a drop in bacterial diversity and an increasing prominence of the AOB(7) guild (for which kinetic parameters were derived from the *Nitrospira*) with decreasing pH.

The dominance of the AOA guild at low pH is supported by several studies (Nicol et al., 2008; Gubry-Rangin et al., 2010). However, there is also evidence of the AOA dominating nitrifier groups across a range of pH (from 8.7 to 3.5; Gubry-Rangin et al., 2011). It is not clear if this dominance is due to a physiological adaptation to low pH or to substrate availability. Nitrification rates have previously been shown to be high at low pH where rates of mineralization (and hence substrate availability) are high (Booth et al., 2005), however, (Gubry-Rangin et al., 2011) did not explicitly measure substrate concentrations in their study.

Temperature impacts
MicroTrait-N also simulates the relationship between temperature and the kinetics of the ammonia-monosxygenase enzyme, which purportedly has a stronger effect on the ammonia oxidation rate than substrate availability (Goerneweg et al., 1994). The MicroTrait-N relationship between temperature and activity (ammonia oxidation) was based on a previously published square-root relationship for the growth rate of bacteria (Ratkowsky et al., 1983, 2005). In the present model, nitrifier diversity and activity was highest at 25°C while the rate of N₂O production tracked the rate of ammonia oxidation. Several laboratory and field experiments have recorded a significant positive relationship between temperature and the activity of nitrifiers (Stark, 1996; Jiang and Bakken, 1999; Avrahami and Bohannan, 2007; Bouskill et al., 2011) with a few studies noting that the relationship continues up to and above 30°C (Stark and Firestone, 1996). Understanding the relationship between temperature and nitrification is crucial to predicting future N₂O effluxes (Avrahami and Bohannan, 2009) and future simulations should account for complex interactions between temperature, substrate, and soil moisture, all of which play a significant role in N₂O fluxes (Avrahami and Bohannan, 2009).

Decoupling nitrification reactions
N₂O is a long-lived greenhouse gas and stratospheric ozone depleting substance (Bange, 2008). The atmospheric mixing ratio of N₂O has increased 20% since 1750 (MacFarling Meure et al., 2006) with terrestrial ecosystems the principle sources of N₂O emissions (Pérez et al., 2001). The annual contribution of nitrification to the global N₂O budget is currently unknown, however, in previous models the ratio of N₂O formed to NH₃ oxidized is generally about 0.1% (Frame and Casciotti, 2010). This relationship does not account for differences in the pathways of N₂O production via nitrification (Frame and Casciotti, 2010).

In the current model, we simulated N₂O production via NO₂ detoxification and hydroxylamine decomposition. The maximal rate of N₂O production was recorded under NO₂ detoxification, and was approximately 150 times higher than it had been directly before NOB removal and seven times higher than the N₂O production rate when NO₂ did not accumulate (i.e., NOB were present and N₂O was produced by hydroxylamine decomposition). This result might suggest that NO₂ detoxification substantially increased N₂O production by ammonia oxidizers upon uncoupling of the nitrification reactions. However, the toxic effect of NO₂ reduces AOO biomass to the point where the populations crash and NH₃ oxidation declines. This biomass change is reflected in the cumulative N₂O production data over the 6 month simulation, which is approximately 5 times lower than that formed during full nitrification (i.e., hydroxylamine decomposition).
These model predictions are supported by previous experimental work. For example, Graham et al. (2007) observed evidence of chaotic instability in the AOB-NOB relationship resulting in significant accumulation of NO$_2$ in a chemostat experiment. Furthermore, Frame and Casciotti (2010) examined pathways of N$_2$O production in the marine ammonia oxidizer, Nitrosomonas marina. They found that the presence of excess NO$_2$ in the growth medium increased N$_2$O yields by an average of 70–87%, while stable isotope and $^{15}$N-site preference measurements determined that nitrifier-denitrification (analogous to our detoxification pathway) was responsible for the majority of N$_2$O production at low oxygen (Frame and Casciotti, 2010).

Comparison with environmental data
We also tested our model against site-collected data from a recent study in a high-latitude site (Petersen et al., 2012). Petersen et al. (2012) sampled five-plant communities characteristic of interior Alaska, and measured the abundance of functional genes affiliated with nitrification (i.e., bacterial and archaeal ammonia monooxygenase) and potential nitrification rates. The sites were characterized by high ammonium concentrations (0.2–2.9 g m$^{-2}$) and low pH (4.8–4.3). These sites therefore present a contrast to the earlier pH gradient analysis under a lower substrate concentration. In our pH gradient simulation the AOA dominated the low pH possibly due to low substrate availability. Conversely, at higher substrate concentrations Petersen et al. (2012) found AOB to be the dominant nitrifier in these Alaskan soil plots and the AOB amoA gene abundance best explained observed nitrification rates. The AOA were only minor components of the AOO communities. Recreating the initial conditions from data collected in Alaska (Carney et al., 2007; Petersen et al., 2012), we resolved plausible trends in both relative community composition (i.e., AOB biomass was higher than that of the AOA) and NH$_3$ oxidation rates. Predicted NH$_3$ oxidation rates correlated with NH$_3$ concentrations. That the AOB dominated these communities over the AOA supports the earlier data suggesting AOO community composition is largely determined by substrate concentrations. N$_2$O production generally tracked NH$_3$ oxidation, indicating that N$_2$O was predominantly produced via hydroxylamine decomposition. The exception was at the Bog Birch site where predicted N$_2$O production was higher than a rate consistent with hydroxylamine decomposition. This result is significant given predictions of higher N$_2$O production in high-latitude ecosystems dependent on N-availability (Elberling et al., 2010) and further work is warranted to understand these MicroTrait-N predictions.

In addition to replicating field studies, a major objective of any modeling approach is to test existing hypotheses. For example, our mechanistic model may be used to test existing ecological theory of the controls on ecosystem processes (in this case nitrification). At the present time, two competing hypotheses describe the relationship between community structure and ecosystem processes: The “diversity” hypothesis and the “mass-ratio” hypothesis (Grime, 1998; Green et al., 2008; Laughlin, 2011).

The “diversity hypothesis” postulates that the richness of functional groups determines the rate of ecosystem processes by a complementary association between different functional groups (e.g., Tilman et al., 1996; Laughlin, 2011). On the other hand, the “mass-ratio” hypothesis proposes that ecosystem processes are controlled by the relative abundance of different functional groups.

Our results show that these two hypotheses are both valid but at different stages of the evolving nitrifier ecosystem. Organisms achieving maximal fitness under the initial conditions can rapidly increase their biomass to dominate the nitrification process. Other guilds decline sometimes to extinction. These dynamics seemingly lend support to the “mass-ratio” hypothesis. However, as conditions change (i.e., as substrate concentrations fall), the diversity of the community becomes more important, as guilds more suited to the new conditions become numerically prominent and dominate nitrification. At the present time, we are unaware of any field studies in microbial ecology that exclusively test these theories in situ. The functional diversity of microbial communities, and redundancy in those communities, in addition to limitations in current methods limitations, make it difficult to attribute activity to specific groups. These limitations might be overcome in future through continued development of isotope labeling and spectroscopy methods (Hall et al., 2010) and transcriptomics (Moran et al., 2012).

CONCLUSION
Trait-based microbial ecology can potentially link the observations of experimental environmental microbiology, theoretical energy, and mass exchange considerations, and quantitative modeling with an emphasis on depicting microbial diversity across spatial and temporal scales. Previous applications of the microbial trait-based approach have been successful in predicting rates of primary productivity (Follows et al., 2007), heterotrophic activity (Hall et al., 2008), and litter decomposition (Allison, 2012). We demonstrate here that trait-based representation of nitrifiers can be used to connect community diversity with activity, improve understanding of environmental controls on NH$_3$ oxidation, and test hypotheses centered around the ecology of NH$_3$-oxidizers and N$_2$O production, issues that temporal and financial restrictions on field studies are often unable to address. An important avenue for future research is to focus on whether the integration of these microbiological diversity modules into ecosystem models can improve site, regional and global predictions of carbon and nutrient cycling.
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APPENDIX
MATERIALS AND METHODS
Derivation of trait values

Numerical values for five different traits \( [K_M(NH_3), K_M(O_2), V_{MAX}(NH_3), \mu_{max}, R_{C:N}] \) were taken from ecophysiological studies following an extensive literature review (Loveless and Painter, 1968; Suzuki, 1974; Suzuki et al., 1974; Drozd, 1976; Glover, 1985; Belser and Schmidt, 1979; Keen and Prosser, 1987; Prosser, 1989; Nishio and Fujimoto, 1990; Verhagen and Laanbroek, 1991; Laanbroek and Gerards, 1993; Jiang and Bakken, 1999; Schramm et al., 1999; Gieseke et al., 2001; Koops and Pomerening Röser, 2001; Cébron et al., 2003; Martens-Habbena et al., 2009; Schreiber et al., 2009). Where possible the traits were derived from the same study, however, efforts were made to ensure that the similar methodologies were used to calculate trait values (e.g., under similar pH and temperature). The different ecophysiological traits were measured in batch cultures of strains of *Nitrosomonas*, *Nitrosospira*, *Nitrosopumilus*, *Nitrososphaera* and *Nitrosotalea*.

- \( K_M(NH_3)/K_M(O_2)/V_{MAX} \): Enzyme kinetics (e.g., affinity constant and uptake) were calculated under substrate saturation conditions (see: Loveless and Painter, 1968; Suzuki et al., 1974; Drozd, 1976; Martens-Habbena et al., 2009). Affinity constants have previously been measured in whole cells as well as cell extracts and oxygen concentrations measured using oxygen electrodes (Suzuki et al., 1974). Enzyme uptake can be calculated using ammonia microprofiles and fitting to the Michaelis–Menton equation (e.g., Schramm et al., 1999). In the case of the AOA, *Nitrosopumilus maritimus*, affinity constants were derived using oxygen microsensors (Martens-Habbena et al., 2009), from multiple oxygen traces. Maximum uptake rate was also calculated under substrate saturation. In general, media with defined ammonia concentrations were sub-sampled over time and substrate concentrations determined fluorometrically. Uptake rates were calculated from oxygen profiles and fitted to a Michaelis Menton equation (Martens-Habbena et al., 2009).

- \( \mu_{max} \): Maximum specific growth rate was generally estimated by measuring the evolution of NO\(_2\) as a proxy for growth (e.g., Loveless and Painter, 1968; Keen and Prosser, 1987). NO\(_2\) increases exponentially during growth and the slope of a semi-logarithmic plot of product evolution against substrate concentration is equivalent to specific growth rate.

- \( R_{C:N} \): The carbon yield from nitrification was determined in continuous or chemostat cultures (e.g., Belser, 1979; Belser and Schmidt, 1979; Glover, 1985; Keen and Prosser, 1987) by measuring cell number (e.g., using a spectrometric bacterial counter) and the production (AOB), or draw down (NOB), of NO\(_2\).
### Table A1 | Initial inputs for model simulation of the Petersen dataset.

| Plant community type | pH   | NH$_3$ (g m$^{-3}$) | Potential nitrification rate | 16s bacterial: archaea |
|----------------------|------|---------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| Black spruce         | 4.8  | 0.2                 | 2                          | 15                     |
| Black bog            | 4.3  | 0.2                 | 1                          | 375                    |
| Emergent fen         | 4.5  | 2.9                 | 18                         | 10                     |
| Rich fen             | 4.7  | 1.1                 | 5                          | 3                      |
| Tussock grassland    | 4.7  | 1.5                 | 7                          | 10                     |

#### FIGURE A1 | Relative magnitude of guild parameters.

#### FIGURE A2 | Pathways of nitrous oxide production during nitrification. See text for detailed explanation.
FIGURE A3 | Explicit relationship between trait parameters $K_M$ and $\mu_{max}$.

FIGURE A4 | Simulations of the activity and diversity of AOB communities in high-latitude ecosystems. (A) Simulations of multiple AOB analogs ($n=5$ analogs per guild) across the different sites. These simulations are based on a normalized distribution of trait values. Each guild is represented by a distinct color. Subtle differences in the shade of that color demarcate the different analogs/guild. A box outlines the boundaries of each guild’s biomass. Evenness statistic given above the bars. (B) Experimental observations reproduced from Petersen et al. (2012), showing the trends in potential nitrification rates under a normal distribution, a uniform distribution, and the observed NH$_3$ oxidation rates.