Etiology of Uveitis in Upper Egypt
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Purpose: To report the causes of uveitis in a referral ocular inflammation clinic in Upper Egypt.

Methods: Retrospective medical chart review of all uveitis cases visiting a referral uveitis clinic during the period between January 2015 and January 2020.

Results: A total of 982 patients were included. Uveitis was bilateral in 51.7% of the patients. Anterior uveitis was the most common type, followed by posterior uveitis, affecting 34.4% and 25.6% of the study cohort, respectively. About one-third of our patients were beneath the age of 18, and among that group, no specific etiology of uveitis could be determined in about a quarter of the patients by the end of the study period, and juvenile idiopathic arthritis was the most common disease entity.

Conclusion: In conclusion, the present report attempted to illustrate the most common causes of uveitis in Upper Egypt. Tuberculosis followed by sarcoidosis were the two leading causes of uveitis in our group of patients.
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Introduction

A large number of inflammatory ocular conditions can be grouped under the term “uveitis”. And the etiologies of ocular inflammation vary to a great extent from one geographic place to another and show a prominent ethnic predisposition. Owing to the blinding potential of a large percentage of ocular inflammatory conditions, it is of critical importance to ophthalmologists to be well-oriented with the pattern of uveitis prevalent in the region of the world they serve in, as well as its common causes. This assists them proceed more successfully in their differential diagnosis plan, and timely instigate the most appropriate treatment. In this domain, a number of reports and studies have been published from different countries, in order to report and expose the predominant causes and forms of ocular inflammation in each country.1-6

The present study aims to report the causes of uveitis among patients referred to a tertiary level hospital in Upper Egypt.

Methods

Retrospective review of the medical records of 982 patients with uveitis who visited a uveitis referral clinic in Upper Egypt between January 2015 and January 2020 was conducted. Patients with posttraumatic or postoperative endophthalmitis were excluded from the study. The study protocol was approved by the review board of the participating institution (Faculty of Medicine Research Ethics Committee, Al-Azhar University, Assiut, Egypt). All participants in the current study had provided...
a written informed consent at their first visit for collection of data from their medical records in epidemiologic studies. The study was conducted in compliance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki, and the confidentiality of the patients’ data was strictly maintained.

All included patients had undergone detailed history taking and full ocular examination. The best-corrected visual acuity, the findings on slit lamp examination, the intraocular pressure measurement by application tonometry, and the results of dilated fundus examination (whenever accessible) were all documented. The authors categorized uveitis into 4 categories: anterior, intermediate, posterior, and panuveitis, in accordance with the SUN Working Group Classification of Uveitis. The following tests were performed for all patients: complete blood count, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, high sensitivity C-reactive protein, urine analysis, VDRL, tuberculin test, and plain chest X-ray. Selected investigations were performed for some of the study cohorts, as dictated by the specific relevant data in their history and examination, as well as by the significant systemic manifestations.

Examples were human leukocyte antigen (HLA) typing (HLA B27, HLA B51), QuantiFeron TB Gold test, serum antinuclear antibody, serum angiotensin-converting enzyme, serum lysozyme, serologic tests for herpesviridae, toxoplasmosis, and toxocariasis, MRI brain, and CT chest. The diagnosis of any causative disease was made after fulfillment of the diagnostic criteria required for every disease, and after co-consultation of other physicians according to each particular patient’s needs. These included consultations of internists, pulmonologists, rheumatologists, dermatologists, and pediatricians whenever was necessary. Viral uveitis, toxoplasmosis, and toxocariasis were diagnosed based on the typical clinical presentation in agreement with positive serology. In the present report, uveitis cases for which the specific cause could not be reached by the end of the study duration were referred to as idiopathic.

**Results**

The study enrolled a total of 982 patients. Their mean age at presentation was 33.8 ± 11.1 years, and the entire age range was 2–73 years. The study cohort was composed of 512 males (52.1%) and 470 females (47.7%). With respect to the laterality, uveitis was bilateral in 508 cases (51.7%). About one-third of the patients had anterior uveitis (338 patients, 34.4%), another quarter of the cohort suffered from posterior uveitis (251 patients, 25.6%), and similar proportions were noted for intermediate uveitis and panuveitis (197 patients (20.1%), and 196 patients (20%), respectively).

Regarding the etiology of uveitis, the most prevalent infectious cause was tuberculosis, which affected 179 cases (18.2% of the entire cohort), Figures 1 and 2. The second most common infectious cause was toxoplasmosis, which affected 59 patients (6%). In 50 patients, the cause of uveitis was ocular toxocariasis, which was thus the third common infectious condition (5.1%). On the other hand, the most prevalent noninfectious cause was sarcoidosis, which affected 99 patients (10.1% of the study cohort). Behçet’s disease was diagnosed in 81 patients (8.2%) and was the second most common noninfectious cause of uveitis in the present report. A less frequently observed entity was HLA B27-associated uveitis, which was diagnosed in 44 patients (4.5%). The contribution of different causes of uveitis is summarized in Table 1. In 303 patients (30.9%), however, no underlying cause whether infectious or noninfectious could be determined by the end of the study and in these patients, the uveitis was termed idiopathic.

**Figure 1** Positive tuberculin reaction in a patient with tuberculous uveitis.
sclerosis were the second and third common causes of intermediate uveitis in our patients, affecting 34 (17.3%) and 21 (10.7%) patients, respectively.

For patients with posterior uveitis, out of 251 cases, 85 cases (33.9%) were idiopathic, and otherwise, the most common etiology was tuberculosis, affecting 71 patients (28.3%). Behçet’s disease followed by toxoplasmosis affected 45 and 42 patients respectively and were responsible for 17.9% and 16.7% of our posterior uveitis cases.

Whereas for patients with panuveitis, out of 196 cases, 33 cases (16.8%) were idiopathic, and otherwise, the most common etiology was Vogt–Koyanagi–Harada syndrome, affecting 43 patients (21.9%), Figures 3–5. Tuberculosis and Behçet’s disease were diagnosed in 42 and 30 patients with panuveitis in our cohort, representing 21.4% and 15.3% of panuveitis cases, respectively.

About one-third of the study cohort were beneath the age of 18 (332 patients, 33.8%), and among that sector, no specific cause was detected in 81 cases (24.4%) of children. Otherwise, the leading causes detected were juvenile idiopathic arthritis (43 cases, 13%), tuberculosis (31 cases, 9.3%), and sarcoidosis (30 cases, 9%).

**Discussion**

Not only do the causes of uveitis differ from one region of the world to another, in part due to ethnic, racial, geographic, and microorganism prevalence differences, but they also show substantial evolution within the same area over time. This emphasizes the value of epidemiological studies to constantly update the working ophthalmologists with the most recent pattern of uveitis in their area.4,6

In the present report, the mean age of the patients at the time of presentation was 33.8 ± 11.1 years. Our results hereby agree with a huge body of literature that demonstrated that uveitis is the most prevalent among the working age including studies from India and Tunisia.8,9 The present study showed uveitis to be slightly more prevalent among males (52.1%). A similar statement of some male preponderance has been published by several studies from developing countries as Bangladesh and India.10,11 On the other hand, reports from some developed countries as Germany and the United States demonstrated either a slight female predominance or equal sex predilection.12,13

In accordance with other studies from Egypt, Tunisia, China, and India, that demonstrated that the most common type of uveitis is anterior uveitis,6,9,14,15 we found that more than one-third of our patients had anterior uveitis.

**Table 1** Contribution of Different Etiologies of Uveitis

| Etiology                        | No. of Patients (%) |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| Idiopathic                      | 303 (30.9)          |
| Tuberculosis                    | 179 (18.2)          |
| Sarcoaidosis                    | 99 (10.1)           |
| Behçet’s disease                | 81 (8.2)            |
| Toxoplasmosis                   | 59 (6)              |
| Toxocariasis                    | 50 (5.1)            |
| Herpetic anterior uveitis       | 46 (4.7)            |
| HLA-B27-associated uveitis      | 44 (4.5)            |
| Vogt–Koyanagi–Harada syndrome   | 43 (4.4)            |
| Juvenile idiopathic arthritis   | 43 (4.4)            |
| Multiple sclerosis              | 21 (2.1)            |
| Fuchs’ uveitis syndrome         | 8 (0.8)             |
| Sympathetic ophthalmia         | 6 (0.6)             |
(34.4%). In the present study, a specific cause of uveitis could be determined in about 70% of the patients, whereas in about 30% of the patients, no specific cause could be specified by the end of the study period and were thus termed “idiopathic”. Similar rates were published by other authors. It is worth noting that there was a variation between the different anatomic types of uveitis regarding the proportion of idiopathic cases. Cases with intermediate uveitis have had the highest percentage of idiopathic cases (42.6%), whereas lower percentages have been found among cases of anterior uveitis, posterior uveitis and panuveitis (29.9%, 33.9%, and 16.8%, respectively). A higher percentage of idiopathic cases among patients with intermediate uveitis than among patients with other types of uveitis has been reported by a number of other studies including studies from Tunisia, Bangladesh, and India.

In the present study, the most commonly identified etiologies were tuberculosis, sarcoidosis, Behçet’s disease, and toxoplamosis. Tuberculosis was the most prevalent cause in our patients and was present in about 18% of all cases. Our finding of a high rate of ocular tuberculosis agrees with a previous report from Egypt and with what other studies from Bangladesh and Myanmar have published. Another important cause of uveitis in the current study is sarcoidosis, which was diagnosed in about one-tenth of the whole study cohort. Similar proportions of uveitis cases caused by sarcoidosis were reported from other countries. The third most common identifiable cause of uveitis in our study was Behçet’s disease, which affected 8.2% of our patients. Sometimes referred to as the Silk Road disease, the significance of Behçet’s disease in countries located along the Silk Road, has repeatedly been published. The fourth leading cause of uveitis in our study was ocular toxoplamosis, which was responsible for about 6% of our cases collectively. It is a ubiquitous parasite with which about one-third of humans worldwide

![Figure 3](image1.jpg) **Figure 3** Vitiligo in a patient with Vogt–Koyanagi–Harada syndrome.

![Figure 4](image2.jpg) **Figure 4** Multiple detachments in a patient with Vogt–Koyanagi–Harada syndrome.

![Figure 5](image3.jpg) **Figure 5** Active CNV in a patient with Vogt–Koyanagi–Harada syndrome.
are estimated to be chronically infected, and is an important cause of uveitis.22,23

In conclusion, the present report attempted to illustrate the most common causes of uveitis in Upper Egypt. Tuberculosis followed by sarcoidosis were the two leading causes of uveitis in our group of patients. Idiopathic cases represented about one-third of all cases and were more prevalent among patients with intermediate uveitis.
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