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Perchlorate (ClO4−) has several industrial applications and is frequently detected in environmental matrices at relevant concentrations to human health. Currently, perchlorate-degrading bacteria are promising strategies for bioremediation in polluted sites. The aim of this study was to isolate and characterize halophilic bacteria with the potential for perchlorate reduction. Ten bacterial strains were isolated from soils of Galerazamba-Bolivar,Manaure-Guajira, and Salamanca Island-Magdalena, Colombia. Isolates grew at concentrations up to 30% sodium chloride. The isolates tolerated pH variations ranging from 6.5 to 12.0 and perchlorate concentrations up to 10000 mg/L. Perchlorate was degraded by these bacteria on percentages between 25 and 10.16S rRNA gene sequence analysis indicated that the strains were phylogenetically related to Vibrio, Bacillus, Salinovibrio, Staphylococcus, and Nesiotobacter genera. In conclusion, halophilic-isolated bacteria from hypersaline soils of the Colombian Caribbean are promising resources for the bioremediation of perchlorate contamination.

1. Introduction

Perchlorate pollution is a problem of global impact because it has a negative effect on ecosystems with a loss of environmental quality, which increases with anthropogenic activity. Perchlorate is an ubiquitous emerging contaminant produced from both natural and anthropogenic sources [1], particularly present in areas associated with the use and manufacture of rockets and ammunition. This compound is a potent endocrine disruptor that mainly affects the fixation of iodine by the thyroid gland, responsible for regulating metabolism, growth, and development [2, 3], thus being dangerous to infants and young children [4]. Acute, short-term exposure has been shown to affect the nervous, respiratory, immune, and reproductive systems [5]. It has also been related to thyroid cancer and teratogenesis during the first trimester of pregnancy [6].

Perchlorate is highly distributed in ecosystems and organisms; thus, it is frequently found in several matrices, including breast milk, fertilizers, plants, food, and human tissues. This scenario has led to the prioritization of studies that allows for the removal of this contaminant from polluted sites, as it is extremely toxic and persistent; therefore, efficient treatments for its degradation are needed in order to maintain the quality of soils from biodiversity hotspots. There are different physicochemical techniques commonly used for the environmental removal of this anion, such as ion exchange, but it is not selective and the process usually only separates the perchlorate from contaminated sources [7], also generating by-products, which requires subsequent
treatment [7]. Perchlorate is persistent but possesses biodegradability [8]. However, enzymes such as the perchlorate reductase and superoxide chlorite carry out the reduction or elimination of perchlorate. A reductase enzyme is responsible for reducing perchlorate to chloride and chlorate to chloride, while the enzyme superoxide chlorite changes chloride to chloride and molecular oxygen. Biological reduction through the use of bacteria completely degrades the perchlorate ions into $\text{Cl}^-$ and $\text{O}_2$ (equation (1)) [9–11]. The perchlorate degradation pathway is as follows:

\[
\text{ClO}_4^- (\text{perchlorate}) \rightarrow (\text{ClO}_3^-) (\text{chlorate}) \\
\rightarrow (\text{ClO}_2^-) (\text{chlorite}) \rightarrow \text{Cl}^- (\text{chloride}) + \text{O}_2
\]  

(1)

Marine soils usually contain bacterial species with biochemical versatility and ability to tolerate salt, being an interesting target for researchers due to the potential reduction of environmental perchlorate [10]. The reason for selecting this type of environment is that degradation of perchlorate may be carried out using salt-tolerant bacteria [12], although this perchlorate-reduction process could be impaired with increasing salinity [11, 13]. Moreover, these organisms are available in diverse environments, from Antarctica, saline lakes, and hot springs, even in hyperthermophilic and hypersaline soils [10, 11]. Additionally, perchlorate deposits in these environments may be formed by chemical reactions between sodium chloride from land or sea and ozone [14].

Pilot testing of biotechnologies using perchlorate-reducing bacteria has been studied and tuned in suspension, fixed-bed, fluidized, and biofilm reactors [15, 16], evaluating the effectiveness of treatments on soil and water contaminated with perchlorate [15]. Nowadays, the use of integrated systems, combining physicochemical treatments and perchlorate-reducing halophilic bacteria, is being studied to increase the efficiency of water treatment, allowing the handling of residual flows with high salinity and large perchlorate concentrations, simultaneously solving the waste disposal problem [17].

Colombia has a variety of ecosystems with different climatic and biogeochemical features, which facilitates the development of different halophilic bacteria. The aim of this study was the characterization of perchlorate-reducing bacteria recovered from hypersaline soils of the Colombian Caribbean.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area and Sample Collection. Soil samples were obtained from salt mines of Colombia, specifically from Galerazamba-Bolivar (10°47′W, 75°15′41″N), Manaure-Guajira (11°46′30″N, 72°26′40″W), and saline soils located in Salamanca Island-Magdalena (10°56′00″N, 75°15′00″W) (Figure 1). A sterile spatula was employed to collect approximately 100 g of soil from the upper 1–10 cm layer in May 2015. All samples were collected in 50 mL Falcon tubes, kept refrigerated at 4°C, and taken to the laboratory for processing. Salinity and pH were recorded for each sample according to Nozawa-Inoue et al. [18].

2.2. Isolation of Strains and Culture Conditions. Isolation, purification, and preservation techniques were used as described by Shimkets and Rafiee [19]. Samples were treated with amphotericin B (0.25 mg/mL) for 3 h until inoculation (50 μL) in the isolation media. Subsequently, samples were incubated in Petri dishes containing modified sterilized agar Luria-Bertani in seawater (LB NaCl) [20] and incubated at 37°C for 24 h under aerobic conditions [21]. Bacterial growth was monitored by observation of colonies. For preservation, a bacterial colony was transferred to modified LB broth and incubated at 37°C aerobically during 12 h, adjusting the cell density to 0.5 Mc–Farland turbidity standard. Then, 720 μL of each culture was transferred to a Cryovial with 80 μL glycerol and stored at −80°C.

2.3. Molecular Identification

2.3.1. 16S rRNA Gene Sequencing and Phylogenetic Analysis. For 16S rRNA gene amplification, genomic DNA was extracted using a QIAamp® DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, CA, USA) as described by the manufacturer. The 16S rRNA gene was amplified from the total genomic DNA of the bacterial strains by PCR using the forward primer PF (5′-AGAGTTTGTACTCAGGCTCAG-3′) and the reverse primer 1492R (5′-ACCCGTGTACCGACTT-3′) [22, 23]. PCR was performed with an AmpliTaq Gold® 360 Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Each 25 μL reaction mixture contained 0.4 μM of each primer and ~100 ng of template DNA. The amplification was performed as follows: initial denaturation for 10 min at 95°C, 25 cycles of denaturation for 1 min at 94°C, annealing for 1 min at 43°C, and extension for 1.5 min at 72°C, and a final extension for 5.5 min at 72°C. All PCR products were checked by electrophoresis on 1.2% (w/v) agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide (10 mg/mL) and analyzed using a gel documentation system (IngGenius 3 System-Syngene).

The PCR product was purified with a QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen, CA, USA), following the manufacturer’s instructions. Automated DNA sequencing was performed by the National Center for Genomic Sequencing (CNSG) (Medellin-Colombia) using PF and 1492R primers. Sequence readings were edited and assembled with the CAP3 software [24]. The resulting 16S rRNA gene sequences were compared to reference strains with validly published names using the EzTaxon-e server (http://www.ezbiocloud.net/eztaxon). After multiple alignments of the data via CLUSTAL W, four methods, including neighbor joining (NJ) [25], maximum likelihood (ML) [26], minimum evolution (ME), and maximum parsimony (MP) [27], were used to perform phylogenetic analysis. Phylogenetic trees were constructed using MEGA version 6 [28]. Distances were calculated using the Kimura correction in a pair-wise deletion manner [29]. The topologies of the phylogenetic tree were evaluated by the bootstrap resampling method described by Felsenstein [30] with 1000 replicates. The GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession numbers for the 16S rRNA gene sequences of isolated
strains BBCOL-031, BBCOL-023, BBCOL-024, BBCOL-025, BBCOL-026, BBCOL-027, BBCOL-028, BBCOL-029, BBCOL-032, and BBCOL-033 are KX821664–KX821673, respectively.

2.4. Morphological Characterization. Strains were incubated on LB NaCl agar, and both growth and morphogenesis were observed under light microscopic examination (Olympus microscope BX41). Gram staining was conducted following Bergey’s Manual Taxonomic Key [31] and Koneman’s Microbiologic Atlas [32]. Samples were further processed by means of scanning electron microscopy (SEM) visualization as previously described [33].

2.5. Biochemical Characterization. Biochemical characteristics were investigated using the BBL Crystal™ Kit (Becton Dickinson Microbiology Systems, Cockeysville, USA) as described by the manufacturer. Catalase and oxidase tests were performed according to the reported methods [21].

2.6. Chloride Susceptibility Assay. All strains were assayed for perchlorate susceptibility in LB broth in the presence of NaCl (3.5, 5.0, 7.5, and 30% w/v) [20, 34]. The experiments started adding 20 µL of cell suspension, OD = 0.6, into 5 mL LB broth. The cultures were incubated at 37°C for 24 h, and after that, strains showing turbidity [35] were identified as resistant to NaCl. The experiments were carried out by triplicates and performed three times.

2.7. Perchlorate Susceptibility Assay. All strains were assayed for perchlorate susceptibility in LB broth in the presence of perchlorate at concentrations of 100, 250, 500, 750, 1000, 1250, 1500, 2000, 3000, 5000, 7500, and 10000 mg/L [20, 36, 37]. The experiments were carried out as described for the chloride susceptibility assay. After the incubation time (24 h), a culture of each isolate was tested on LB agar at their corresponding KClO₄ concentrations to confirm cell viability and purity of each of the bacterial strains.

2.8. Evaluation of Perchlorate Reduction by Isolates. The experiments were carried out using concentration of 10000 mg/L KClO₄ in LB with 3.5% NaCl, inoculating with the isolates as described in the chloride susceptibility assay and incubating for a 24-hour time period at 37°C. After incubation time, the final KClO₄ concentration was measured with a Thermo Scientific Orion 93 perchlorate electrode (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Beverly, MA), used according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The difference in concentration after and before the incubation was employed to calculate the perchlorate reduction percentage elicited by each strain.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Molecular Identification. Almost-complete 16S rRNA gene sequences were obtained from strains BBCOL-023 to BBCOL-033 (GenBank accession numbers: KX821664–KX821673). The results of the phylogenetic analysis showed that these strains belonged to members of Bacillus, Vibrio, Salinivibrio, Nesiotobacter, and Staphylococcus. Strain BBCOL-023 presented 99% similarity with Nesiotobacter; strains BBCOL-024, BBCOL-028, BBCOL-029, and BBCOL-033 had 99% homology with Bacillus species; and strains BBCOL-025, BBCOL-026, BBCOL-027, and BBCOL-031 had 99% homology with members of the Vibrionaceae family, particularly Vibrio and Salinivibrio species. Strain BBCOL-032 presented 99% similarity to Staphylococcus spp.

The 16S rRNA gene sequence had similar values between strains BBCOL-024, BBCOL-028, BBCOL-029, and BBCOL-033, and validly named type strains of Bacillus were calculated by using the EzTaxon-e server. Strains BBCOL-024 and BBCOL-028 showed high 16S rRNA gene sequence
similarities with *Bacillus vallismortis* DV1-F-3(T) (99.6 and 99.5%, respectively), *Bacillus subtilis* subsp. *inaequorum* KCTC 13429(T) (99.6 and 99.4%, respectively), and *Bacillus subtilis* subsp. *spizizenii* NRRL B-23049(T) (99.6 and 99.4%, respectively).

Levels of 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity between strains BBCOL-024 and BBCOL-028 and other current members of the genus *Bacillus* were below 99.0%. In the neighbor-joining (Figure 2) and the minimum-evolution phylogenetic dendrograms based on 16S rRNA gene sequences, strains BBCOL-024 and BBCOL-028 were placed in a cluster in *Bacillus* and were shown to be closely related to *B. vallismortis*, *B. subtilis* subsp. *spizizenii* TU-B-10, *B. vanillae*, and *B. atrophaeus*.

Strain BBCOL-029 shares high-sequence similarity with *B. oryzae* R1 (T), *B. haikouensis* C-89(T), *B. aquimaris* TF-12(T), and *B. vietnamensis* 15-1(T) with 98.2, 97.9, 97.9, and 97.9% respectively, and nucleotide differences of 20, 29, 30, and 29 nucleotides, respectively. The comparative analysis of 16S rDNA gene sequences and phylogenetic relationships showed that the BBCOL-029 strain lies in a subclade in the tree with *B. marisflavi*, *B. aquimaris*, and *B. vietnamensis* (supported by a bootstrap value of 77% (Figure 2)), with which it shares the highest 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity. The affiliation of strain BBCOL-029 and its closest neighbors was also supported by the maximum parsimony and maximum likelihood algorithms with bootstrap values above 70%. EzTaxon-e server analysis consistently indicated that the strain BBCOL-029 is the closest relative.

For strain BBCOL-023, 1329 nt of the 16S rRNA gene sequence was determined. Comparative 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis showed that strain BBCOL-023 was most closely related to members of the genus *Nesiotobacter*. Strain BBCOL-023 shares the highest sequence similarity with *Nesiotobacter exalbescens* LA333B (T), *Roseibium aquae* DSG-S4-2 (T), and *Pseudovibrio hongkongensis* UST20140214-015B (T) with 99.8, 95.9, and 95.7% and nucleotide differences of 3, 55, and 57, respectively. The 16S rRNA gene sequence similarities to the type strains of other members of the family Rhodobacteraceae with validly published names were below 94%. In the phylogenetic tree based on the NJ algorithm (Figure 3), strain BBCOL-023 formed a single clade with *Nesiotobacter exalbescens* (supported by a bootstrap value of 100% (Figure 3)), with which it shares the highest 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity. The affiliation of strain BBCOL-023 and its closest neighbors was also supported by the MP and ML algorithms with above 90% bootstrap values.

The 16S rDNA sequences of strains BBCOL-025, BBCOL-026, BBCOL-027, and BBCOL-031 determined in this study comprised 1402, 1361, 1399, and 1389 nt, respectively, representing approximately 90% of the *Escherichia coli* 16S rRNA sequence. The results of phylogenetic analysis of the 16S rRNA gene sequences revealed that the isolated strains were related phylogenetically to members of the Vibrionaceae family and belong within the phylectic group classically defined as the genus *Salinivibrio* and *Vibrio* (Figure 4). Strain BBCOL-025 shows high 16S rRNA gene sequence similarities with *Salinivibrio costicola* subsp. *vallismortis* DSM 8285(T) (98.4%), *Salinivibrio costicola* subsp. *costicola* ATCC 33508(T) (97.8%), and *Salinivibrio proteolyticus* AF-2004(T) (97.7%). Levels of 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity between strain BBCOL-025 and the other current members of the genus *Salinivibrio* are below 97%. In the neighbor joining (Figure 4) and the minimum evolution phylogenetic dendrograms based on 16S rRNA gene sequences, strain BBCOL-025 was placed in a cluster in the *Salinivibrio* genus and was shown to be closely related to *S. budaii* and *S. costicola* subsp. *alcaliphilus* (supported by a bootstrap value of 75%). 16S rRNA gene sequence comparison between the BBCOL-026 strain and other members of the *Vibrionaceae* family by using the EzTaxon-e server indicated that the strain was closely related to members of *Vibrio* genus, showing 99.2% gene sequence similarity to *V. antiquarius* Ex25(T), 99% to *V. alginolyticus* NBRC 15630(T), 98% to *V. neocaledonicus* NC470(T), and 98.9% to *V. natriegens* DSM 759(T). Likewise, strains BBCOL-027 and BBCOL-031 show high 16S rRNA gene sequence similarities with *V. alginolyticus* NBRC 15630(T) (99.8 and 98.9%, respectively) and *V. antiquarius* Ex25(T) (99.5 and 98.9%, respectively). A phylogenetic tree, generated from the neighbor joining algorithm, showed that strains BBCOL-026 and BBCOL-031 both fell within the radiation of the cluster comprising *Vibrio* species and formed a coherent cluster that is supported by a bootstrap analysis at a confidence level of 98% (Figure 4). This cluster joins the phylogenetic clade comprising *V. alginolyticus* and *V. para-haemolyticus*, which is supported by a 71% bootstrap value. This topology was also found in trees generated with the ML and MP algorithms (not shown). The NJ and ME phylogenetic trees based on 16S rRNA gene sequence data showed that the strain BBCOL-027 forms a coherent cluster with *Vibrio alginolyticus* (a bootstrap value of 72%).

For strain BBCOL-032, 1416 nt of the 16S rRNA gene sequence was determined. Comparative 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis showed that strain BBCOL-032 was more closely related to the *Staphylococcus* species. Strain BBCOL-032 shares highest sequence similarity with *Staphylococcus haemolyticus* ATCC 29970(T) (99.9%), *Staphylococcus petrassi* subsp. *pragensis* NRL/St 12/356(T), and *Staphylococcus petrassi* subsp. *jettensis* SEQ110 (T) (99.2%). The 16S rDNA gene sequence similarities to strains from other members of the *Staphylococcaceae* family were below 99%. In the phylogenetic tree based on the NJ algorithm (Figure 5), strain BBCOL-032 fell within a coherent cluster comprising *S. haemolyticus*, *S. petrassi* subsp. *pragensis*, *S. petrassi* subsp. *jettensis*, and *S. lugdunensis*. The sequence similarities of strain BBCOL-032 with *S. haemolyticus* using different clustering algorithms (100% in NJ tree; 99% in ME tree; and
100% in ML tree) along with the EzTaxon-e server analysis consistently indicated that \textit{S. haemolyticus} is the closest relative.

3.2. Microscopic and Biochemical Characterization. The colonies of strains (BBCOL-023 to BBCOL-033) on LB agar were circular, convex, and smooth. Cells were facultative anaerobic, with an optimal growth at pH 6.5 to 7.5. Morphological features are observed by SEM (Figure 6), and biochemical characteristics of isolated bacteria strains are shown in Table 1. Morphological and biochemical characteristics detected in the isolated strain BBCOL-023 correspond to the species \textit{Nesiobacter}, as previously
| Organism                          | Accession Number |
|----------------------------------|------------------|
| Vibrio alginolyticus             | AB680916         |
| BBCOL023 (KX821665)              |                  |
| Vibrio parahaemolyticus          | AB497066         |
| BBCOL031 (KX821664)              |                  |
| BBCOL026 (KX821669)              |                  |
| Vibrio diabolicus                | X99762           |
| Vibrio navarrensis               | X74715           |
| Vibrio orientalis                | X74719           |
| Vibrio crosai                    | Q434120          |
| Vibrio proteolyticus             | X74723           |
| Vibrio tubaiashii                | X74725           |
| Vibrio flavilis                  | AB681959         |
| Vibrio brasilensis               | AJ316172         |
| Vibrio nereis                    | X74716           |
| Vibrio valnificus                | X56582           |
| Vibrio vulnificus                | AB680930         |
| Vibrio neptunius                 | AJ316171         |
| Vibrio corallialyticus           | AJ440005         |
| Vibrio halidiocili               | AB600391         |
| Vibrio cholarca                  | X76337           |
| Vibrio cholerae                  | X76337           |
| Grimonata holliae                | X56583           |
| Photobacterium phosphoreum       | AJ746358         |
| Photobacterium halotolerans      | AJ551089         |
| Salinivibrio siambensis          | AB285018         |
| Salinivibrio proteolyticus       | DQ92443         |
| Salinivibrio costicola subsp.    | AJ57016          |
| Salinivibrio costicola           | X95527          |
| Salinivibrio budai               | AB617564         |
| Salinivibrio coccoides subsp.    | AJ640132         |
| BBCOL025 (KX821668)              |                  |
| Pseudoalteromonas haloplanktos   | X67024           |
| Halomonas elongata               | AJ295147         |

**Figure 3:** Neighbor joining phylogenetic tree based on 16S rRNA gene sequences showing the relationships of strain BBCOL-023 and related taxa. Percentage bootstrap values based on 1000 replications are given at branch points. The gammaproteobacterium *Vibrio furnissii* (X76336) was used as the outgroup. Bar, 0.01 substitutions per nucleotide position.

| Organism                          | Accession Number |
|----------------------------------|------------------|
| Nesiotobacter sp. H02E            | GU213180         |
| BBCOL023                         | KX821665         |
| Nesiotobacter exalbescens         | AF513441         |
| Roseibium denhamense              | D85832           |
| Roseibium hamelinense             | D85836           |
| Stappia indica                    | GU593615         |
| Stappia stellulata               | D88525           |
| Stappia taiwanensis              | FR828537         |
| Rhodobium orientis               | D30792           |
| Phyllobacterium myrsinacearum     | AB681130         |
| Defluvibacter sp. QDZ-C           | HQ890470         |
| Aquamicrobium flavii             | Y15403           |
| Albidovolum inexpectatum          | AF465833         |
| Roseobacter litoralis             | X78312           |
| Erythrobacter longus              | AF465835         |
| Vibrio furnissii                 | X76336           |

**Figure 4:** Neighbor joining tree based on 16S rRNA gene sequences showing the phylogenetic position of strains BBCOL-025, BBCOL-026, BBCOL-027, and BBCOL-031 compared to the most closely related members of the Vibrionaceae family. Bootstrap values based on 1000 replications are listed as percentages at the branching points. Accession numbers are given in parentheses. Bar, 0.01 nucleotide substitutions per nucleotide position.
reported [38]. The strains were able to utilize lactose, mannose, galactose, sorbitol, and sucrose as carbon sources. Nitrate was reduced to nitrite. The strains were ONPG- and H2S-negative.

Microscopic morphological results showed that isolates BBCOL-024, BBCOL-028, BBCOL-029, and BBCOL-033 correspond to the genus Bacillus [39]. When grown at 37°C during 24 h in LB, the cells were Gram-positive bacilli, with a size between 0.6-0.7 μm and 1.6-1.7 μm. Endospores were ellipsoidal. Cells were motile, aerobic, facultative anaerobic, and oxidase- and catalase-positive. Optimal growth conditions of BBCOL-024 were at pH 6.0–6.5 and 37°C. These isolates showed Voges–Proskauer and nitrate reduction activity. However, they were ONPG- and H2S-negative.

The cells of strain BBCOL-032, Salinovibrio costicola, were Gram-negative, motile, nonsporulating, and curved, presenting an average bacterial size of 1.4 × 0.7 μm. In addition, these were motile, facultative anaerobic, and oxidase- and catalase-positive. Optimal growth conditions for BBCOL-025 were at pH 6.0–6.5 and 37°C. The isolates showed morphological differences regarding both curved size and growth. These differences may arise depending on environmental conditions at the sampling moment, laboratory procedures, and conservation techniques, among others [40, 41]. These strains presented positive activity for Voges–Proskauer and nitrate reduction and negative activity against ONPG and H2S production.

The isolates BBCOL-026, BBCOL-027, and BBCOL-031 shared the main properties of the genus Vibrio. They were motile, curved, facultative, Gram-negative and oxidase-positive and were able to reduce nitrate to nitrite. These bacteria also formed yellow colonies, as reported by several authors [42, 43]. Optimal growth conditions for BBCOL-025 were at pH 6.0–6.5 and 37°C. These isolates showed high phenotypical homogeneity although variable reactions were observed for aesculin hydrolysis, N-acetylglucosaminidase activity, and fermentation of galactose and lactose.

3.3. Sodium Chloride and Perchlorate Susceptibility Assay. All isolates showed growth in the culture medium with high concentrations of NaCl, reaching tolerance up to 30%. Strains BBCOL-023 to BBCOL-033 presented characteristics of moderately halophilic bacteria, according to what was reported by Acevedo-Barrios [20].

The ability of isolates to grow and tolerate concentrations of KClO4 between 100 and 10000 mg/L is presented in Table 2. All isolates showed biofilm formation at the highest concentration. This barrier allows the bacteria to generate a
concentration gradient as a means of protection against the toxicity of this chemical [2].

Other aspects evidenced in these strains are associations between NaCl and KClO₄ tolerance. These isolates have become interesting targets for this research, given the need to identify native bacteria with potential biotechnological and biochemical versatility, capable of degrading environmental contaminants such as KClO₄.

3.4. Evaluation of Perchlorate Reduction by Isolates. Perchlorate-reducing bacteria are phylogenetically diverse, and these include Alphaproteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria, Gammaproteobacteria, and Deltaproteobacteria classes, with Betaproteobacteria being the most commonly detected class [46, 47]. In this work, bacterial strains BBCOL-023 to BBCOL-033 (Figure 7) showed biological capacity to reduce concentrations of KClO₄ on percentages between 10 and 25.

The genera Nesiotobacter and Salinivibrio showed the highest percentage (25%) of perchlorate reduction, while the genera Vibrio, Bacillus, and Staphylococcus presented a lowest proportion of KClO₄ reduction, with 14, 12, and 10%, respectively. Recent studies have shown that the amount of perchlorate reduced may be inversely proportional to increased salinity [13, 17]. Future studies should be carried out to describe the role of salinity on perchlorate reduction by these strains.

The ability of bacteria to grow in perchlorate polluted areas is determined by their degrading enzymes [48, 49]. The general metabolic reduction pathway widely accepted by researchers [9, 10] involves the reductase enzyme, as this is responsible to reduce perchlorate to chlorate and chlorate to chlorite, while the superoxide chlorite enzyme changes
### Table 1: Morphological and biochemical characteristics of isolated strains.

| Characteristics                  | BBCOL- 023 | BBCOL- 024 | BBCOL- 025 | BBCOL- 026 | BBCOL- 027 | BBCOL- 028 | BBCOL- 029 | BBCOL- 031 | BBCOL- 032 | BBCOL- 033 |
|----------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| **Molecular identification**     | Nesiotobacter sp. | Bacillus vallismortis | Salinivibrio costicola | Vibrio alginolyticus | V. harveyi | B. cohnii | Bacillus spp. | Vibrio sp. | Staphylococcus spp. | B. flexus |
| **Color of colony**             | Beige      | Yellow     | Yellow     | Yellow     | Yellow     | Yellow     | Yellow     | Yellow     | Yellow     | Yellow     |
| **Morphology**                  | Rod shaped | Rod shaped | Vibrio shaped | Vibrio shaped | Vibrio shaped | Vibrio shaped | Vibrio shaped | Vibrio shaped | Vibrio shaped | Vibrio shaped |
| **Length (µm)**                 | 1.56       | 1.60       | 1.45       | 0.86       | 0.15       | 1.53       | 0.8         | 0.7        | 1.23       | 1.33       | 3.07       |
| **Thickness (µm)**              | 0.7        | 0.65       | 0.68       | 0.76       | 0.62       | 0.63       | 0.7         | 0.7        | 0.13       | 0.79       |
| **Motility**                    | −          | −          | −          | +          | −          | −          | +          | −          | +          | +          |
| **Gram staining**               | −          | +          | −          | −          | +          | −          | +          | −          | +          | +          |
| **Endospore**                   | −          | +          | −          | −          | +          | −          | +          | −          | +          | +          |
| **Spore position**              | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | −          | −          | −          |
| **Oxidase**                     | +          | +          | +          | +          | W          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          |
| **Catalase**                    | −          | +          | −          | −          | −          | +          | +          | −          | +          | +          |
| **Arabinose**                   | −          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          |
| **Mannose**                     | +          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          |
| **Sucrose**                     | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          |
| **Mannitol**                    | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          |
| **Galactose**                   | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          |
| **Inositol**                    | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          |
| **p-n-p-Phosphate**             | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          |
| **p-n-p-α-β-Glucoside**         | V          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          |
| **p-n-p-β-Galactoside**         | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          |
| **Prolinonitroanilide**          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          |
| **p-n-p-bis-Phosphate**         | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          |
| **p-n-p-Xyloside**              | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | −          | +          | +          |
| **p-n-p-a-Arabinoside**         | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          |
| **p-n-p-Phosphorylcholine**      | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          |
| **p-n-p-β-Glucuronide**         | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          |
| **p-n-p-N-Acetylglucosamid**     | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          |
| **γ-L-Glutamyl-p-nitroanilide**  | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          |
| **Aesculin**                    | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          |
| **p-Nitro-DL-phenylalanine**     | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          |
| **Urea**                        | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          |
| **Glycine**                     | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          |
| **Citrate**                     | V          | −          | W          | −          | V          | −          | W          | +          | +          | +          |
| **Malonic acid**                | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          |
| **Triphenyltetrazoliumchloride** | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          |
| **Lactose**                     | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          |
| **Bacteriolytic capacity**      | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          | +          |
| **Cellulolytic capacity**       | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          | −          |
**Table 1:**

| Characteristics                  | BBCOL-023 | BBCOL-024 | BBCOL-025 | BBCOL-026 | BBCOL-027 | BBCOL-028 | BBCOL-029 | BBCOL-031 | BBCOL-032 | BBCOL-033 |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Nitrate reduction                | +         | +         | +         | +         | +         | +         | +         | +         | +         | +         |
| Indole                           | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         |
| ONPG                             | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         |
| Ornithine utilization            | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         |
| H₂S production                   | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         |
| Voges-Proskauer's test           | –         | +         | +         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         |
| Methyl red                       | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         |
| Sorbitol                         | +         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         | –         |

+, positive reaction; –, negative reaction; W, weakly positive reaction; V, variable reaction.
chlorite to chloride and molecular oxygen [9, 46, 50]. The optimal temperature range for perchlorate reduction is 28–37°C [46, 51, 52].

Perchlorate-reducing bacteria are usually anaerobic and some facultative, despite being the molecular oxygen produced as an intermediate of the microbial perchlorate reduction [46, 53], in a process that exudes nitrate [46]. In our study, isolated perchlorate-reducing bacteria were also anaerobic but facultative. Therefore, although these may undergo degradation processes in a wide range of environmental conditions, it is also probable that some critical anaerobic strains were missed during the aerobic treatment. In consequence, additional experiments should be carried out under anaerobic conditions, just to enrich some active microorganisms that may improve perchlorate degradation.

Perchlorate is an ubiquitous and persistent pollutant in the environment, causing toxic effects in biota and humans. Therefore, different technologies have been developed to remove and eliminate this chemical. One of the most promising, effective, and economic ones is the use of bacteria in biotechnological systems that are capable of reducing and eliminating perchlorate. The rates of perchlorate reduction obtained in this study were comparable to those reported by Wang et al. [54], suggesting their potential application in bioremediation of perchlorate contaminated areas.

### 4. Conclusions

The strains isolated from Galerazamba-Bolivar, Manaure-Guajira, and Salamanca Island-Magdalena, Colombia, were halotolerant organisms belonging to the *Vibrio, Bacillus, Salinovibrio, Staphylococcus*, and *Nesiobacter* genera. These strains could reduce KClO₄ levels in aqueous solutions from 10 up to 25%. Bacteria-mediated remediation of perchlorate is a suitable process to control pollution by this toxic chemical.

### Abbreviations

- LB NaCl: Luria-Bertani in seawater
- ML: Maximum likelihood
- MP: Maximum parsimony
- ME: Minimum evolution
- NJ: Neighbor joining
- SEM: Scanning electron microscopy
- OD: Optical density.
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