Introduction: The plate manufacturing production unit is one of the work units in PT. INKA (Persero), which involves the interaction between humans and machines in its activities, heavy equipment, and materials, all of which can cause possible hazard impacts that can impact the safety and health of workers. The purpose of this study is to conduct risk assessment on occupational safety and health aspects by identifying risks, assessing risks, identifying control efforts and assessing residual risk as a form of efforts to prevent occupational accidents and occupational diseases, using existing resources effectively and efficiently. Method: This research is a type of qualitative research, through interviews and observations, with cross-sectional studies and descriptive analysis. The interviewees for this study were K3LH management managers, steel managers, and machine operators in the plate production unit (PPL). The tools in this study were an interview guide, Job Safety Analysis (JSA) and Hazard Identification Risk Assessment Determining Control (HIRADC) using the AS / NZS 4360: 2004 Risk Management Worksheet Standard Risk Matrix. Results: From the research, it was found that there are 94 hazards for 11 different machines. Regarding the risk levels, there are 9 extreme risk levels, 46 high risk levels, 33 medium risk levels and 6 low risk levels. Conclusion: There are still 61 risks with medium risk level and 6 remaining risks with high risk level that still need control. Control efforts have been implemented by PT. INKA (Persero) in accordance with the hierarchy of control, such as the use of PPE and the provision of work SOPs.
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INTRODUCTION
Every workplace has hazards that can cause harm, both material and non-material hazards in accordance with the conditions of the work environment (Suma’mur, 2009). The existence of these sources of danger is unavoidable, but prevention can be taken to reduce the impact.
that might occur. Occupational accidents and occupational diseases can occur as a result of the impact of risk factors that are not prevented. In addition, Law of the Republic of Indonesia No. 13/2003 concerning Labor in Article 86 paragraph 2 states "to protect the safety of workers or laborers in order to realize optimal work productivity, work safety and health efforts are carried out". This statement implies that each workplace is required to carry out occupational safety and health efforts to protect workers or laborers who work in the workplace (Ramli, 2010).

The ILO estimated that around 2.3 million people worldwide die from occupational accidents or diseases each year; this is associated with than 6000 cases of death every day. Around the world, there are around 340 million occupational accidents and 160 million victims of occupational diseases each year (International Labour Organization (ILO), 2018). Based on data from the the Social Security Agency (BPJS), in the past 5 years, the number of reported cases of occupational diseases is still very small, under 100 cases. Cases of occupational diseases are dominated by spinal disorders, hearing, itching on the skin due to chemicals, and skin disorders on the hands. In 2018 there were 114,148 cases which occurred, while in 2019 there were only 77,295 cases, decreasing by 33.05% (BPJS Ketenagakerjaan, 2019). Although the number of occupational accidents in 2019 decreased from the previous period, both workers and agencies must continue to improve supervision and awareness of the importance of Occupational Safety and Health (OSH).

PT. INKA (Persero) is an industry engaged in the process of making trains. The work environment at PT. INKA (Persero) is inseparable from the existence of sources of danger in the workplace. One of the sources of danger in PT. INKA (Persero) was the intensity of noise in the welding work area 1 which exceeded the predetermined Threshold Value (NAV). The intensity of noise in the welding work area 1 reached 94.8 dBA (Hanifa, 2016). Based on data from PT. INKA (Persero) in 2016-2018 there were still work accidents in the plate work unit (PPL) which was caused by work activities of 11 types of machines such as welding, milling, reforming, drilling, laser cutting, saw cutting, and bending. Some work accidents that occurred included tears caused by being scratched by the material or plate when the workers lifted and retrieved material from the machine, resulting in injuries to the body part, pain in the eyes of the workers during the drilling process, a pinched finger on machines, and other work accidents (Rofifa, 2019).

The stages of the manufacture in the work plate unit (PPL) of PT. INKA (Persero) cannot be separated from the relationship between machines and humans, so it is likely to pose hazards and risks that result in work accidents. According to the Australian Standard / New Zealand Standard (AS / NZS) 4360: 2004, risk is a change from something that happens, which will have an impact on the goal by measuring the level of likelihood and severity (Standards Association of Australia, 2004). Evaluating the risks that may arise from a potential hazard by considering the adequacy of controls in place and deciding whether the risk is acceptable or not is a process of a risk assessment. Implementing a risk assessment in a workplace, especially in a part of work that is closely related to machines, is very important because of the high risk of accidents at work (Canadian Centre for Occupational Health & Safety, 2020).

Therefore, it is necessary to do risk management in the form of hazard identification, risk assessment, risk control efforts, and residual risk assessment as one of the efforts to prevents occupational accidents and occupational diseases. The purpose of this study was to conduct a risk assessment on the production process in the plate working unit (PPL) of PT. INKA (Persero) in the aspect of work safety by identifying risks, assessing risks, identifying control efforts and assessing residual risks to prevent undesirable things such as occupational accidents and occupational diseases, using existing resources effectively and efficiently.

**METHODS**

This type of research is observational research because researchers only observed without providing treatment. The data analysis used in this study was descriptive analysis because the researchers only described the processes and data collected without analyzing the relationships between variables. Based on the time of data collection, this study used a cross sectional study approach because this research was carried out at a certain time. Data were collected from April 1, 2018 to April 30, 2018. Based on the research site, this study was included in the field observations carried out at the production unit of PT. INKA (Persero) Madium, which is engaged in the BUMN's railroad industry.
This research study used primary data obtained through interviews and observations. Interviews were conducted to obtain further information regarding hazard identification, risk assessment, and any controls that had been implemented. Interviews using interview guidelines were conducted with K3LH management managers, steel work managers, and operators of hydraulic press (HP) machines, corner shear machines (CS), press bending machines (PB), grinding machines (GR), laser machines, automatic gas engines (GA) and CNC, manual plasma machines, manual gas engines (GM), gap shear machines (GS), drill machines (DR), and NCT machines. Observations were made to obtain a risk assessment, analyze what risk controls had been carried out, and conduct a residual risk assessment that still existed after control was implemented. The tool used for observation was the Job Safety Analysis (JSA) observation sheet (Bawang, Kawatu, and Wowor, 2018). The results of data collected were analyzed using semi-qualitative techniques by calculating the degree of the likelihood and severity to determine the level of work risk according to the Hazard Identification Risk Assessment Determining Control (HIRADC) using risk assessment worksheet, which wasthe AS Risk Management Worksheet / NZS 4360: 2004 Standard Risk Matrix. The results were then presented in the form of a narrative text (Standards Association of Australia, 2004).

RESULTS

Risk Identification

The results of hazard identification in the plate working unit (PPL) of PT. INKA (Persero) showed that at each step of the work process on 11 different machines, starting from starting the engine, preparing materials, setting up work tools to gathering the resulted materials, 94 potential hazards were found. Starting the engine has the potential to cause electric shock and even death, included in the electrical hazard because the 11 existing machines electric power for the machines to work, and the machines are operated directly by existing workers. Moreover, preparing materials has the potential for injury to hands and feet, hand wound and scratched hand skin, included in the mechanical and kinetic hazards. The activities in the work process include picking up and carrying the plate material whose size is large enough, so workers are at risk of getting scratched or pinched. Furthermore, setting up work tools has the potential for injuries to the hands and feet and limb disorders, included in the physical and ergonomic hazards because the activities of preparing and installing work objects into machines put workers at risk of being hit by blunt or sharp objects from the machine, and being pinched by the running machine when the material is being installed; also, sometimes workers use the wrong work position. In addition, gathering the final materials has the potential for injury to hands and feet, included in the physical and kinetic hazards. The results of the risk analysis showed 9 hazards with extreme risk levels, 46 high risk hazards, 33
Table 2. Results of Hazard Identification, Risk Assessment and Determinant Control (HIRADC) of 11 Machines at the Plate Processing Unit (PPL) of PT. INKA (Persero)

| Type of activity | Source of Hazard | Potential Hazard | Pure Risk | Risk Level | Control | Residual Risk |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|------------|---------|---------------|
| Starting the engine | Electrical | Electric shock | Death | 2 | 5 | 10 | High | Technique: Connecting electric current directly with the machine. Administrative: Safety talk, routine checking, SOP | 2 | 2 | 4 | Low |
| Mechanical | Crushed and pinched | Injuries to the hands and feet | 3 | 2 | 6 | Medium | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP. PPE: Safety shoes, gloves | 3 | 1 | 3 | Low |
| Installing the shape / pattern prints | Ergonomics | The head is hit by the engine | Bruises on the head | 2 | 2 | 4 | Low | Administrative: Safety talk. PPE: Safety helmet | 2 | 1 | 2 | Low |
| Mechanical | Pinched by a large and heavy plate | Injuries to the hands and feet | 5 | 2 | 10 | High | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP. PPE: Gloves, safety shoes | 5 | 1 | 5 | Medium |
| Mechanical | Scratched by a sharp and rough plate | Injury to hands | 5 | 2 | 10 | High | Administrative: Safety talk. PPE: Gloves | 5 | 1 | 5 | Medium |
| Preparing materials | Kinetic | Pinched by a crane hook | Injury to hands and feet | 4 | 3 | 12 | High | Administrative: Safety talk, training crane operators, SOP. PPE: Safety shoes | 4 | 1 | 4 | Medium |
| Pressing and bending process | Mechanical | Sandwiched during the bending and pressing process | Broken bones in the hand | 5 | 3 | 15 | High | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP. PPE: Gloves | 5 | 2 | 10 | High |
| Ergonomics | | Incorrect work position | Back pain | 4 | 1 | 4 | Medium | Administrative: Safety talk | 4 | 1 | 4 | Medium |
| Mechanical | Pinched by a large and heavy plate | Injuries to the hands and feet | 5 | 2 | 10 | High | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP. PPE: Gloves, safety shoes | 5 | 1 | 4 | Medium |
| Gathering the results of the pressing and bending process | Mechanical | Scratched by a sharp and rough plate | Injury to hands | 5 | 2 | 10 | High | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP. PPE: Gloves | 5 | 1 | 4 | Medium |
| Kinetic | Pinched by a crane hook | Injury to hands and feet | 4 | 3 | 12 | High | Administrative: Safety talk, training crane operators, SOP. PPE: Safety shoes | 4 | 1 | 4 | Medium |
### Continued Table 2.

| Type of activity | Source of Hazard | Potential Hazard | Risk Identification | Risk Assessment | Residual Risk Assessment | Control |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Corner Shear Machine (CS) | Mechanical | Sharp blade | Putting on the blade | Injury to hands | Pure Risk 3 | 2 | Medium |
|                  | Mechanical | Scratched by a sharp and rough plate | Injury to hands | Mechanical | Scratched by a sharp and rough plate | Hand wound | 5 | 2 | High |
|                  | Ergonomics  | Incorrect work position | Back pain | Ergonomics  | Incorrect work position | Hand wound | 4 | 1 | Medium |
|                  | Mechanical | Scratch by a sharp plate | Injury to hands | Mechanical | Scratch by a sharp plate | Hand wound | 5 | 2 | High |

**Press Bending Machine (PB)**

| Type of activity | Source of Hazard | Potential Hazard | Risk Identification | Risk Assessment | Residual Risk Assessment | Control |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Bending process  | Mechanical | Crushed / pinched by a large and heavy plate | Injury to hands and feet | Mechanical | Crushed / pinched by a large and heavy plate | Hand wound | 5 | 2 | High |
|                  | Mechanical | Hands are pinched by the machine | Broken bones in the hand | Mechanical | Hands are pinched by the machine | Hand wound | 5 | 3 | High |
|                  | Mechanical | Scratch by a sharp and rough plate | Hand wound | Mechanical | Scratch by a sharp and rough plate | Hand wound | 5 | 2 | High |

**Grinding Machine (GR)**

| Type of activity | Source of Hazard | Potential Hazard | Risk Identification | Risk Assessment | Residual Risk Assessment | Control |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Mechanical | Mechanical | Body parts are cut off by the spinning machine | Permanent defects in the fingers | Mechanical | Body parts are cut off by the spinning machine | Permanent defects in the fingers | 5 | 4 | Extreme |
|                  | Ergonomics  | Stomach slashed | Deep tear wounds | Ergonomics  | Stomach slashed | Deep tear wounds | 5 | 3 | High |
|                  | Chemical | Spark | Blistered skin Burns to the skin | Chemical | Spark | Blistered skin Burns to the skin | 5 | 2 | High |
|                  | Chemical | Eye and respiratory irritation | Watery / wounded eyes | Chemical | Eye and respiratory irritation | Watery / wounded eyes | 4 | 3 | High |
### Continued Table 2.

| Type of activity | Source of Hazard | Potential Hazard | Pure Risk | Likelihood | Severity | Risk Level | Control | Residual Risk Assessment | Level of Residual Risk |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|------------|----------|------------|---------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Grinding Machine (GR) |                   |                  |           |            |          |            |         |                          |                        |

#### Grinding process

- **Physical**: Heat engine
  - Grinding process
  - **Physical**: Exposed to noise
  - **Chemical**: Respiratory tract irritation
  - **Mechanical**: Pinched by a large and heavy plate
  - **Mechanical**: Scratched by a sharp plate
  - **Kinetic**: Pinched by a crane hook

#### Laser Machine

- **Mechanical**: Pinched by a large and heavy plate
- **Mechanical**: Scratched by a sharp plate
- **Mechanical**: Scratched by a sharp jig table / work table
- **Physical**: Plate heat
- **Kinetic**: Falls and gets scratched by a jig table / work table
- **Physical**: Plate heat
- **Mechanical**: Plate heat

Continued on next page...
Continued Table 2.

| Type of activity | Source of Hazard | Potential Hazard | Pure Risk | Likelihood | Severity | Residual Risk Assessment | Level of Residual Risk |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|------------|----------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Electrical       | Got electric shock |                  | Death     | 2          | 5        | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP | Low                    |
| Chemical         | Radiation beam welding |              | Eye irritation | 3          | 4        | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP | Low                    |
| Chemical         | Welding sparks    |                  | Eye irritation | 3          | 4        | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP | Low                    |
| Plate locking / welding |       |                  | Burns to the skin | 3          | 2        | Administrative: Safety talk | Low                    |
| Ergonomics       |                  |                  | Back pain  | 4          | 1        | Administrative: Safety talk | Medium                 |
| Kinetic          | Falls and gets scratched by a jig table / work table |                  | Tear injuries to the skin and broken bones | 3          | 3        | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP, PPE: Mask | Medium                 |
| Chemical         | Falls and gets scratched by a jig table / work table |                  | Tear injuries to the skin and broken bones | 3          | 3        | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP, PPE: Gloves | Medium                 |
| Physical         | Flame             |                  | Burning hands and blistering skin | 3          | 2        | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP, PPE: Gloves | Low                    |
| Chemical         | Radiation of fire rays |                  | Eye irritation | 3          | 2        | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP | Low                    |
| Chemical         | Sparks of fire    |                  | Blisters on the skin | 3          | 2        | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP, PPE: Long clothes, safety shoes | Low                    |
| Kinetic          | Hit by a hammer   |                  | Bruises on hands and feet | 2          | 2        | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP, PPE: Safety shoes | Low                    |
| Physical         | Exposed to noise  |                  | Impaired hearing function | 2          | 4        | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP, PPE: Ear plug | Low                    |
| Physical         | Heat plate        |                  | Blistered skin | 4          | 2        | Administrative: Safety talk, providing steel stick aids, SOP, PPE: Gloves, long clothes | Medium                 |
Continued Table 2.

| Type of activity | Source of Hazard | Potential Hazard | Pure Risk | Likelihood | Severity | Risk Level | Control | Residual Risk Assessment | Level of Residual Risk |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|------------|----------|------------|---------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| Lighting a fire  | Physical         | Hands on fire    | Burns on the hands | 4          | 2         | 8 Medium | Administrative: Safety talk, PPE: Leather gloves | 4          | 1          | 4 Medium               |
|                  | Physical         | Hands on fire    | Burns on the hands | 4          | 2         | 8 Medium | Administrative: Safety talk, PPE: Leather gloves | 4          | 1          | 4 Medium               |
| Electrical       | Electric shock   | Death            | 2          | 5          | 10 High  | 4          | Administrative: Safety talk               | 2          | 2          | 4 Low                  |
| Chemical         | Sparks           | Blistered skin Burns to the limbs | 5          | 2          | 10 High  | 5          | Administrative: Safety talk, PPE: Gloves, safety shoes, long clothes | 5          | 1          | 5 Medium               |
| Cutting the plate| Ergonomics       | Work position is not ergonomic | 4          | 1          | 4 Medium | 4          | Administrative: Safety talk               | 4          | 1          | 4 Medium               |
| Chemical         | Gram smooth and small | Eye & respiratory irritation | 4          | 2          | 8 Medium | 4          | Administrative: Safety talk, providing blowers, PPE: Mask | 4          | 1          | 4 Medium               |
| Physical         | Material heat    | Blistered skin Burns to the skin | 4          | 2          | 8 Medium | 4          | Administrative: Safety talk, PPE: Gloves, safety shoes, long clothes | 4          | 1          | 4 Medium               |
| Physical         | Sharp and rusty plate | Hand wound       | 5          | 2          | 10 High  | 5          | Administrative: Safety talk, PPE: Gloves | 5          | 1          | 5 Medium               |
| Physical         | Material heat    | Blistered skin Burns on the hands | 4          | 2          | 8 Medium | 4          | Administrative: Safety talk, PPE: Gloves, safety shoes, long clothes | 4          | 1          | 4 Medium               |
| Physical         | Pinched by a large and heavy plate | Injuries to the hands and feet | 5          | 2          | 10 High  | 5          | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP, PPE: Gloves, safety shoes | 5          | 1          | 5 Medium               |
| Physical         | Scratched by a sharp plate | Hand wound       | 5          | 2          | 10 High  | 5          | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP, PPE: Gloves | 5          | 1          | 5 Medium               |
| Chemical         | Flame            | Burning hands and blistering skin Hand wound Eye irritation Watery eyes | 3          | 2          | 6 Medium | 3          | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP, PPE: Leather Gloves | 3          | 1          | 3 Low                  |
| Chemical         | Radiation of fire rays | Eye irritation Watery eyes | 3          | 1          | 3 Low    | 3          | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP, PPE: Leather Gloves | 3          | 1          | 3 Low                  |

Manual Plasma Machine

| Type of activity | Source of Hazard | Potential Hazard | Pure Risk | Likelihood | Severity | Risk Level | Control | Residual Risk Assessment | Level of Residual Risk |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|------------|----------|------------|---------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| Manual Gas Machine (GM)

| Type of activity | Source of Hazard | Potential Hazard | Pure Risk | Likelihood | Severity | Risk Level | Control | Residual Risk Assessment | Level of Residual Risk |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|------------|----------|------------|---------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| Physical         | Pinched by a large and heavy plate | Injuries to the hands and feet | 5          | 2          | 10 High  | 5          | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP, PPE: Gloves, safety shoes | 5          | 1          | 5 Medium               |
| Physical         | Scratched by a sharp plate | Hand wound       | 5          | 2          | 10 High  | 5          | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP, PPE: Gloves | 5          | 1          | 5 Medium               |
| Chemical         | Flame            | Burning hands and blistering skin Hand wound Eye irritation Watery eyes | 3          | 2          | 6 Medium | 3          | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP, PPE: Leather Gloves | 3          | 1          | 3 Low                  |
| Chemical         | Radiation of fire rays | Eye irritation Watery eyes | 3          | 1          | 3 Low    | 3          | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP, PPE: Leather Gloves | 3          | 1          | 3 Low                  |
Continued Table 2.

| Type of activity | Source of Hazard | Potential Hazard | Pure Risk | Likelihood | Severity | Risk Level | Control | Residual Risk Assessment | Level of Residual Risk |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|------------|----------|------------|---------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Manual Gas Machine (GM) | Chemical | Spark of fire | Blister on the skin | 3 | 2 | Medium | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP PPE: Gloves, safety shoes, long clothes | 3 | 1 | Low |
| | Ergonomics | Position is not ergonomic | Back pain | 4 | 1 | Medium | Administrative: Safety talk | 4 | 1 | Medium |
| | Physical | Scratched by an iron knife | The wound on the palm | 4 | 2 | Medium | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP PPE: Gloves | 4 | 1 | Medium |
| | Chemical | The existence of grains | Eye irritation Blindness | 3 | 4 | High | Administrative: Safety talk, blower provision, SOP | 3 | 3 | High |
| | Samper plate | Chemical | The existence of grains | Blistered skin | 3 | 3 | High | Administrative: Safety talk, blower provision, SOP PPE: Long clothes | 3 | 1 | Low |
| | Physical | Pinched by a large and heavy plate | Cut on the hand | 5 | 2 | High | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP PPE: Gloves | 5 | 1 | Medium |
| | Physical | Scratched by a sharp knife | Cut on the hand | 5 | 2 | High | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP PPE: Gloves | 5 | 1 | Medium |
| | Mechanical | Hands are cut off by the machine | Permanent deformity of the fingers | 5 | 4 | Extreme | Administrative: Safety talk, tools for inserting plates into machinery, SOP | 3 | 2 | Medium |
| | Ergonomics | Incorrect work position | Back pain | 4 | 1 | Medium | Administrative: Safety talk | 4 | 1 | Medium |
| | Physical | Scratched by a sharp plate | Cut on the hand | 5 | 2 | High | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP PPE: Gloves | 5 | 1 | Medium |
| | Kinetic | Pinched by falling work tools | Injury to hands and feet | 2 | 2 | Low | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP PPE: Safety shoes, gloves | 2 | 1 | Low |
| | Ergonomics | Incorrect work position | Back pain | 4 | 1 | Medium | Administrative: Safety talk | 4 | 1 | Medium |
| | Physical | Scratched by a sharp plate | Cut on the hand | 5 | 2 | High | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP PPE: Gloves | 5 | 1 | Medium |
Continued Table 2.

| Risk Identification | Risk Assessment | Residual Risk Assessment |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| Activity            | Source of Hazard | Potential Hazard | Pure Risk | Likelihood | Severity | Level | Control | Level of Risk |
| Setting up tools    | Physical        | Pinched by falling work tools | Injury / Injuries to hands and feet | 2 | 2 | Low | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP | Low |
| Mechanical          | Sharp drill / chisel | Fingers clipped | Permanent deformation of the fingers | 3 | 4 | High | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP | Medium |
| Physical            | Hot drill / chisel | Burns to the skin | 3 | 2 | Medium | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP | Low |
| Drilling process    | Chemical        | Gram rolled | Cut on the hand | 5 | 2 | High | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP, PPE: Gloves | Medium |
| Kinetic             | Material bounced | Injury to limbs | 3 | 3 | High | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP | Low |
| Ergonomics          | Incorrect work position | Back pain | 4 | 1 | Medium | Administrative: Safety talk | Low |
| Physical            | Hot material    | Blistered skin Burns to the skin | 4 | 2 | Medium | Administrative: Safety talk, PPE: Gloves, long clothes | Medium |
| Physical            | Pinched by a large and heavy plate | Injuries to the hands and feet | 5 | 2 | High | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP, PPE: Gloves, safety shoes | Medium |
| Physical            | Scratched by a sharp plate | Hand wound | 5 | 2 | High | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP, PPE: Gloves | Medium |
| Physical            | Gram rolled     | Cut wounds on the fingers | 4 | 2 | Medium | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP, PPE: Gloves | Medium |
| Kinetic             | Clamped on the crane hook, Crashed by the plate | Injury to hands and feet | 4 | 3 | High | Administrative: Safety talk, training crane operators, SOP, PPE: Safety shoes | Medium |

**Drill Machine (DR)**

| Type of activity | Source of Hazard | Potential Hazard | Pure Risk | Likelihood | Severity | Level | Control | Level of Risk |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|------------|----------|-------|---------|--------------|
| Setting up tools | Physical        | Pinched by falling work tools | Injury / Injuries to hands and feet | 2 | 2 | Low | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP, PPE: Safety shoes | Low |
| Mechanical      | Sharp drill / chisel | Fingers clipped | Permanent deformation of the fingers | 3 | 4 | High | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP | Medium |
| Physical        | Hot drill / chisel | Burns to the skin | 3 | 2 | Medium | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP, PPE: Gloves | Low |
| Drilling process | Chemical        | Gram rolled | Cut on the hand | 5 | 2 | High | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP, PPE: Gloves | Medium |
| Kinetic         | Material bounced | Injury to limbs | 3 | 3 | High | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP | Low |
| Ergonomics      | Incorrect work position | Back pain | 4 | 1 | Medium | Administrative: Safety talk | Low |
| Physical        | Hot material    | Blistered skin Burns to the skin | 4 | 2 | Medium | Administrative: Safety talk, PPE: Gloves, long clothes | Medium |
| Physical        | Pinched by a large and heavy plate | Injuries to the hands and feet | 5 | 2 | High | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP, PPE: Gloves, safety shoes | Medium |
| Physical        | Scratched by a sharp plate | Hand wound | 5 | 2 | High | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP, PPE: Gloves | Medium |
| Physical        | Gram rolled     | Cut wounds on the fingers | 4 | 2 | Medium | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP, PPE: Gloves | Medium |
| Kinetic         | Clamped on the crane hook, Crashed by the plate | Injury to hands and feet | 4 | 3 | High | Administrative: Safety talk, training crane operators, SOP, PPE: Safety shoes | Medium |

**NCT Machine**

| Type of activity | Source of Hazard | Potential Hazard | Pure Risk | Likelihood | Severity | Level | Control | Level of Risk |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|------------|----------|-------|---------|--------------|
| Setting up tools | Physical        | Crushed and pinched | Injuries to the hands and feet | 2 | 2 | Low | Administrative: Safety talk, SOP, PPE Control: Safety shoes, gloves | Low |
The analysis on hydraulic press (HP) machines showed 4 high risk hazards, 1 medium risk hazard. The analysis on press bending machines (PB) showed 5 high risk hazards, and 1 medium risk hazard. The analysis on grinding machines (GR) showed 2 hazards with extreme risk levels, 7 high risk hazards, and 1 medium risk hazard. The analysis on laser machines showed 3 high risk hazards and 4 medium risk hazards. The analysis on automatic gas engines (GA) and CNC machines showed 5 high risk hazards, and 8 medium risk hazards. The analysis on manual plasma machines showed 3 high risk hazards and 6 medium risk hazards. The analysis on NCT machines showed 1 hazard with an extreme risk level, 3 high risk hazards, and 2 low risk hazards.

**Determinant Control**

The results of the control assessment showed risk categories of several hazards that can be derived from a hierarchy of controls. Workers in the engineering control for 11 different machines have the same role to connect the electric current directly to the machine. The workers in the administrative control for 11 different machines conduct safety talks every time they start working, conduct routine checks for each machine, repair and update existing SOPs so that they can be more adapted to current needs. Training on using the crane is also conducted for cranes operators. PPE is also adjusted for each operator working on 11 existing machines, namely: gloves and safety shoes for workers working on hydraulic press machines (HP) machines, press bending machines (PB), laser machines, and drill machines (DR). Gloves for workers working on corner shear machines (CS) and gap shear machines (GS). Leather gloves, aprons, safety shoes, ear plugs and masks for workers working on grinding
Residual Risk Assessment

There are still 61 remaining risks at medium risk level and 6 remaining risks at high risk level which still require additional control. The analysis on hydraulic press (HP) machines showed 1 high risk residual hazard, and 4 medium residual hazards. The analysis on corner shear machines (CS) showed 1 high residual risk hazard, 3 medium residual risk hazards and 1 low residual risk hazard. The analysis on press bending machines (PB) showed 1 high residual risk hazard, and 4 medium residual risk hazards. The analysis on grinding machines (GR) showed 2 high residual risk hazards and 8 medium residual risk hazards. The analysis on laser machines showed 5 medium residual risk hazards and 2 residual low risk hazards. The analysis on automatic gas engines (GA) and CNC machines showed 3 medium residual risk hazards and 10 low residual risk hazards. The analysis on manual plasma machines showed 8 medium residual risk hazards and 1 low residual risk hazard. The analysis on manual gas engines (GM) showed 1 high residual risk hazard, 4 medium residual risk hazards and 4 low residual risk hazards. The analysis on gap shear machines (GS) showed 5 medium residual risk hazards. The analysis on NCT machines showed 4 medium residual hazards and 1 low residual risk hazard. However, some risks remained even when controls are in place. Controls cannot reduce or diminish the risk categories. Residual risk showed the effectiveness of the controls that resulted in the residual risk value as shown in Table 2.

DISCUSSION

Risk Identification

Risk identification was seen from each work stage of the 11 existing machines in the plate working unit (PPL) of PT. INKA (Persero). Starting the engine has the potential to cause electric shock and even death, which is included in the electrical hazard. This could occur because the 11 existing machines use electric power to run the machines, and the machines are also operated directly by the existing workers. This work area allows workers to be at risk of electric shock when starting the machine as the main source of operation, which can cause fatal events due to the entire working processes that use machines. According to Ramli (2010), accidents are always related to one form of energy, including electricity. However, the danger of getting an electric shock is rare due to a rare electrical short circuit (Darmawan, Ummi, and Umyati, 2017).

Preparing materials has the potential for injury to hands and feet, hand wound and hand-scratched skin, included in the mechanical and kinetic hazards (Irawan, Panjaitan, and Yenny Bendatu, 2015). The activity of picking up and carrying the plate for the work process is risky and has potential hazards because the materials are large, heavy plates which can create a danger of being pinched, and rough and sharp edges can also cause scratches (Government Regulation of the Republic of Indonesia, 2012).

Setting up work tools has the potential for injuries to the hands and feet and limb disorders, included in the physical and ergonomic hazards because the activities of preparing and installing work objects into the machines put workers at risk of being hit by blunt or sharp objects from the machine, at risk of being pinched by the machine which is running when the material is installed and at risk of having wrong work position for workers. According to (Government Regulation of the Republic of Indonesia, 2012), the potential hazards can be sourced from unsafe work methods carried out by workers. Incorrect crane operation could also cause the plate to fall, which was often done by workers, while the risk of back pain almost is non-existent. This is in line with the statement of Purbayanti and Hidayat (2018) that all activities involving human factors have potential hazards that are at risk of causing work accidents and health problems.

Drilling process has the potential for slash wounds on the hands of workers who are affected by a gram of thread resulting from the drilling process, and the slack lock will make the plate bounce so it can hurt the workers. According to (Government Regulation of the Republic of Indonesia, 2012), potential hazards can originate from the production process or work process and the machines used. Grinding machines generate noise above the NAV (85 db for 8 working hours), and the wind pressure used to perforate the plate using an NC machine can...
cause hearing loss. Noise is one of the factors of physical hazard that can cause hearing loss (Zeinda and Hidayat, 2016). Burns to workers' hands can also occur due to exposure to the heat of fire coming out of automatic gas (GA) and CNC machines, manual plasma machines, and manual gas engines. Leaking gas hoses are nitrogen gas, oxygen gas and wind, all of which can cause fires and explosions. According to Ramli (2010), one of the dangers that can occur due to chemicals is fire and explosion caused by several flammable and explosive chemicals.

Gathering the results has the potential for injury to hands and feet. This final activity is done after carrying out the machining process and taking the workpiece with risk of being pinched and scratched by a sharp plate.

Risk Assessment

There are four categories of risk assessment, namely extreme risk, high risk, medium risk and low risk. There is an extreme risk for working on corner shear machines (CS) when the workers cut the plate using the cutting machine as it can cause permanent defects; on the grinding machines (GR) when workers do the grinding process as the body part can be cut off by the spinning machine, causing permanent defects in the fingers and exposure to noise could cause hearing loss; on gaps shear machines (GS) when workers cut their hand due to the use of the cutting machine, causing permanent deformities in fingers; and on NCT machines which can occur when wind pressure is exposed to noise causing hearing loss. All of these risks always occur when workers use the machines so that the likelihood value is 5 (almost certain), and the severity value is 4 (likely) while the risk of back pain is almost non-existent so the severity value is 1 (Negligible). The risk level is 12 (high risk). This is in line with Purbayanti and Hidayat (2018) suggesting that all activities involving human factors have potential hazards that are at risk of causing work accidents and health problems.

A medium risk exists in all 11 existing machines. The risk of being pinched by the machine running when the material is installed, and sometimes workers use the wrong work position causing back pain. According to (Government Regulation of the Republic of Indonesia, 2012), potential hazards can be sourced from unsafe work methods carried out by workers. Incorrect crane operation can also cause the plate to fall. This is often done by workers so that the likelihood value is 3 (moderate) while the risk of back pain is almost non-existent so the severity value is 1 (Negligible). The risk level of incorrect work position is 4 (high risk). This is in line with Purbayanti and Hidayat (2018) suggesting that all activities involving human factors have potential hazards that are at risk of causing work accidents and health problems.

There is a medium risk for hydraulic press machines (HP), press bending machines (PB), automatic gasoline engines (GA) and CN, manual gas engines (GM), drilling machines (DR) and NCT machines when installing a mushroom head shape / pattern hitting the engine and being hit by a hammer causes bruises / injuries to the head, hands and feet. This rarely happens because it can only happen if the workers are less concentrated so that the possible value is 2 (impossible), and the severity value is 1 (negligible) because it does not really affect the risk level 2 (low risk). Moreover, getting pinched by the work tools and falls cause injury to the hands and feet, yet this rarely happens because it can only happen if the worker is daydreaming so that the likelihood value is 2 (impossible), the severity value is 2 (minor) because it can be treated with first aid with the risk level of 4 (low risk). Radiation rays cause watery eyes, and this is possible because
every machine that uses radiation is used so that the likelihood value is 3 (maybe), and the severity value is 1 (negligible) because it does not have much effect if the worker used PPE correctly, so the risk level is 3 (low risk).

**Determinant Control**

From the control hierarchy it was found that PT. INKA (Persero) has used 2 existing controls, namely SOPs and APD, but the existing SOPs have still not been updated and have not been adjusted to the latest conditions so that there is a need for updating SOPs and training for workers who need training. Moreover, the use of PPE is also inadequate in terms of quality and quantity according to the needs of workers. It is advisable to carry out engineering control on 11 different machines by connecting an electric current directly with these machines. Control can be further improved by conducting routine and scheduled inspections, conducting safety talk before work and educating workers on the importance of using PPE (Sari and Wahyudiono, 2020).

**Residual Risk**

After controlling, there is a reduction in the risk that previously contained extreme risks to zero for extreme risks. For high risks the number is very small, only up to 6 and many risks decrease to medium risk and low risk. This means that control is very effective in reducing the risk of occupational accidents and occupational diseases. The purpose of this residual risk research is to reduce previous risks by conducting risk control assessments, so that risks can be fully accepted (Zeinda and Hidayat, 2016) because after all the risk may not diminish even after controlling.

**CONCLUSION**

The conclusion of the risk assessment using Job Safety Analysis (JSA) was known from observations and interviews with K3LH management managers, steel work managers, and machine operators in the PT. INKA (Persero). There are 94 hazards identified from 11 existing machines. After controlling, there is only a small number of risks at high risk level, and most of is the hazards are at a medium risk level and a low risk level. Risk control measures carried out by PT. INKA (Persero) is in accordance with the hierarchy of control such as the use of PPE and the provision of work SOPs.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors would like to thank PT. INKA (Persero) and all employees of the production unit of the plate working unit (PPL) who gave their good cooperation during the research. The authors would also like to profusely thank the research advisor, Ms. Tri Martiana for her kindness, assistance, and guidance during the writing of this research and Miss. Atiya Thifal Rofifa who has been willing to provide research data and permission for the publication of this article.

**REFERENCES**

Bawang, J., Kawatu, P. A. T., and Wowor, R. (2018) ‘Analisis Potensi Bahaya dengan Menggunakan Metode Job Safety Analysis di Bagian Pengapalan Site Pakal PT. Aneka Tambang Tbk. UBPN Maluku Utara’, *Jurnal KESMAS*, 7(5), pp. 1–13.

BPJS Ketenagakerjaan (2019) ‘BPJS Ketenagakerjaan Akan Tangani 88 Jenis Penyakit Akibat Kerja. Jakarta: BPJS Ketenagakerjaan.

Canadian Centre for Occupational Health & Safety (2020) ‘Risk Assessment. Canada: Canadian Centre for Occupational Health & Safety.

Darmawan, R., Ummi, N. and Umyati, A. (2017) ‘Identifikasi Risiko Kecelakaan Kerja dengan Metode Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment (Hira) di Area Batching Plant PT XYZ’, *Jurnal Teknik Industri*, 5(3), pp. 308–313.

General on Labour and OSH Inspection and Development Ministry of Manpower (2018) National Occupational Safety And Health (OSH) Profile In Indonesia. Jakarta: Ministry of Manpower Republic of Indonesia.

Hanifa, R. L. (2016) *Hubungan antara Intensitas Kebisingan dengan Gangguan Pendengaran pada Pekerja di PT.INKA (Persero) Madiun*. Undergraduate Thesis. Surabaya: Faculty of Public Health Universitas Airlangga.

International Labour Organization (ILO) (2018) Improving The Safety and Health of Young Workers. Switzerland: ILO Publications.

Irawan, S., Panjaitan, T. W. S. and Bendatu, L. Y. (2015) ‘Penyusunan Hazard Identification Risk Assessment and Risk Control (HIRARC) di PT. X’, *Jurnal Titra*, 3(1), pp. 15–18.

Lambang, A., Ekawati and Kurniawan, B. (2016) ‘Hubungan Pelatihan, Status Kerja, Latar Belakang Pendidikan, dan Penggunaan Alat Pelindung Diri dengan Terjadinya Kecelakaan Kerja pada Total...
E&P Indonesie’, *Jurnal Kesehatan Masyarakat (e-Journal)*, 4(3), pp. 457–467.

Peraturan Menteri Ketenagakerjaan Republik Indonesia (2018) Nomor 5 Tahun 2018. Tentang Keselamatan dan Kesehatan Kerja Lingkungan Kerja. Jakarta: Menteri Ketenagakerjaan Republik Indonesia.

Peraturan Pemerintah Republik Indonesia (2012) Nomor 50 Tahun 2012. Penerapan Sistem Manajemen Keselamatan dan Kesehatan Kerja. Jakarta: Presiden Republik Indonesia.

Primasari, A. D., Denny, H. M. and Ekawati. (2016) ‘Penerapan Hazard Identification Risk Assessment and Risk Control (HIRARC) Sebagai Pengendalian Potensi Kecelakaan Kerja di Bagian Produksi Body Bus PT. X Magelang’, *Jurnal Kesehatan Masyarakat (e-Journal)*, 4(1), pp. 284–292.

Ramadhan, F. (2017) ‘Analisis Kesehatan dan Keselamatan Kerja (K3) Menggunakan Metode Hazard Identification Risk Assessment and Risk Control (HIRARC)’, *Seminar Nasional Riset Terapan*, pp. 164–69.

Ramli, S. (2010) *Pedoman Praktis Manajemen Risiko dan Perspektif K3 OHS Risk Management*. Jakarta: Dian Rakyat.

Rofifa, A. T. (2019) ‘Risk Assessment Aspek K3 pada Unit Produksi Bagian Pengerjaan Plat (PPL) PT INKA (Persero)’. Undergraduate Thesis. Surabaya: Faculty of Public Health Universitas Airlangga.

Sari, R. K. and Wahyudiono. D. A. (2020) ‘Risk Management On Print Checking of Plastic Sacks Production in Sidoarjo Indonesia’, *The Indonesian Journal Of Occupational Safety and Health* 9(1), pp: 55–65.

Standards Association Of Australia (2004) AS/NZS 4360:2004: Australian/New Zealand Standard Risk Management. Australia: Broadleaf Capital International PTY LTD.

Suma’mur (2009) *Higiene Perusahaan dan Kesehatan Kerja (HIPERKES)*. Jakarta: Sagung Seto.

Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia (2003) Nomor 13 Tahun 2003. Tentang Ketenagakerjaan. Jakarta: Presiden Republik Indonesia.

Zeinda, E. M. and Hidayat, S. (2016) ‘Risk Assessment Kecelakaan Kerja pada Pengoperasian Boiler di PT. Indonesia Power Unit Pembangkitan Semarang’, *The Indonesian Journal of Occupational Safety and Health*, 5(2), pp. 183–191.