A non-faradaic label-free cortisol sensing platform is presented using a nanowell array design, in which the two probe electrodes are integrated within the nanowell structure. Rapid and low volume (≤5 µl) sensing was realized through functionalizing nanoscale volume wells with antibodies and monitoring the real-time binding events. A 28-well plate biochip was built on a glass substrate by sequential deposition, patterning, and etching steps to create a stack nanowell array sensor with an electrode gap of 40 nm. Sensor response for cortisol concentrations between 1 and 15 µg/dl in buffer solution was recorded, and a limit of detection of 0.5 µg/dl was achieved. Last, 65 human serum samples were collected to compare the response from human serum samples with results from the standard enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). These results confirm that nanowell array sensors could be a promising platform for point-of-care testing, where real-time, laboratory-quality diagnostic results are essential.

INTRODUCTION
The precise detection of psychological stress through cortisol level assessment is gaining attention for personalized health monitoring and diagnostics (1–4). Dysregulation of cortisol has been proposed as a mechanism through which sleep disorders manifest some of their physiologic effects (5–7). In addition, glucocorticoids can massively fluctuate throughout the day or between treatments (8). Therefore, real-time continuous monitoring of cortisol level is more biologically relevant and could be used to quantify the magnitude of the stress in individuals (9). However, the conventional cortisol detection approaches such as enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) are restricted to laboratory settings, as they require time-consuming measurements, complex sample preparation, and expensive diagnostic tools (10, 11). A dynamic determination of cortisol in bodily fluids will be an important diagnostic tool to monitor the natural fluctuations of cortisol in daily life without the use of costly and cumbersome laboratory setups (4, 12).

Miniaturized biosensors have shown that using small sample volumes can substantially increase the efficiency, speed, and accuracy of detection (13–16). Label-free detection can be performed in a single step while also enabling real-time monitoring and determination of the binding kinetics involved in the interaction (17, 18). Among the label-free immunosensing technologies, electrochemical immunosensors have recently emerged as the most promising alternative to optical detection methods due to high sensitivity, ease of miniaturization, and multiparametric analysis (19, 20). These sensors can be integrated into a single-step assay to satisfy the needs of cortisol detection protocols for rapid and selective responses from small sample volumes (21).

Multiwell plate testing presents suitable conditions for screening biological or chemical libraries in static fluid environments where multiple samples can be analyzed in parallel (22). These platforms can readily be combined with label-free impedance-based biosensors to improve both the sensitivity and efficiency, because it promotes attachment of antibody to the electrode surface and enables simultaneous detection of different analytes by immobilizing their respective ligands on separate electrodes (23). Furthermore, non-faradaic impedance biosensors are more amenable to direct applicability for point-of-care diagnostics, as no redox probes or a reference electrode need to be introduced to the sensing scheme (24, 25). However, the sensitivity of this method is generally limited, and interpretation of electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) data can be difficult, time consuming, and performed in different ways (26).

One major drawback of label-free impedimetric immunosensors is that nonspecific bindings and aggregations among the antibody molecules on the electrode surface notably decrease their sensitivity and causes problems in their calibration due to random antibody orientation and subsequent decreased availability of antibody active sites (27). Therefore, to fabricate more efficient and reliable biosensors, it is essential to develop a method to immobilize probe antibodies with a more uniform coverage and in a geometric arrangement that minimizes nonspecific bindings. Strategies to overcome this problem involve specific chemistries that limit nonspecific binding, but these approaches do not eliminate nonspecific adsorption entirely (28).

The nanowell array biosensors have shown great promise for providing highly sensitive label-free detection by blocking nonspecific bindings without introducing chemical or biological reagents (27–30). It has also been shown that nanowell array electrodes benefit from rapid detection of biomolecules with higher reproducibility, because these sensors can reduce mass transfer limitations (31, 32). However, in many of these systems, the counter electrodes are separated by either a large spacing or a distance that is in the same range as for the common interdigitated electrodes, typically in the range of 2 to 10 µm. Furthermore, the generation of strong electrical field is a requirement for developing highly sensitive sensors and is achievable by keeping the electrodes close together (33). Moreover, it has been shown that AC signal can accelerate the
incubation process and enhance the immnosensor sensitivity by encouraging macromolecule binding (34, 35).

This study presents a nanowell array design, in which the electrodes are stacked vertically above one another to minimize the electrode spacing. The electrodes are separated by a 40-nm insulator layer within the nanowells; thereby, the counter electrodes are integrated into the nanowell structure. Numerical simulation of the electric field generation inside the nanowells is shown in Fig. S1. This sensor geometry limits the exposed surface area of the electrodes, and, as a result, the amount of probe antibody molecules inside the nanowells, while also enhancing the sensitivity by focusing the electric field into the nanowells. The nanogap distancing reduces the noise from electrode polarization effects and solution conductivity, thereby producing a more accurate signal (36, 37). Figure 1A presents a schematic for multiplex testing using multowell plate platform, i.e., measuring multiple analytes at the same time for rapid label-free biosensing in small volumes. In this study, the nanowell array electrodes were used to immobilize probe antibodies inside the nanowells while applying AC signal. The biosensor yielded a limit of detection of 0.5 μg/dl in buffer solution, and the sensor specificity was tested against negative controls. The functionalized sensor is then used to measure cortisol levels in human serum by real-time impedance monitoring. The chip contains 28 pairs of sensors that can be functionalized with various types of receptors for the ultimate goal of multiplexed point-of-care testing.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

EIS tests were carried out on bare electrodes immersed in different media before real-time impedance measurements. The EIS spectra in air, deionized (DI) water, and purified buffer with three different concentrations are shown in Fig. 2A. In air, because there is no solution present inside of the nanowells, the impedance spectrum can be simplified to be expressed by a constant \( C_{\text{oxide}} \) over the entire frequency range. The fitted value for \( C_{\text{oxide}} \approx 1.88 \times 10^{-3} \ \text{nF} \) correlates well with the estimated capacitance of the electrode pair \( C = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon A}{d} \), where \( \varepsilon_{\text{oxide}} = 16.7 \varepsilon_0 \), \( A_{\text{oxide}} = 321.46 \ \text{μm}^2 \), and \( d_{\text{oxide}} = 40 \ \text{nm} \). Moreover, comparing the EIS spectra of air with different media shows that the capacitive contribution of the oxide layer is quite negligible. Therefore, \( C_{\text{oxide}} \) can be disregarded in the sensor circuit fitting when DI water or a buffer is present inside the nanowells. The 10× buffer shows a flat impedance response at lower frequencies and undergoes a transition from about 10 kHz onward. On the contrary, the more diluted buffers of 5× and 1× phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) show more broad range of impedance variations with regard to frequency, and the spectra flatten out beyond 500 and 100 kHz, respectively.

In Fig. 2B, the impedance spectra of 1× PBS are more detailed by measuring the phase angle and the real (Real Z) and imaginary part (Imag Z) of impedance. As shown, the impedance transition at around 100 kHz happens when the Real Z value becomes larger than the absolute value of Imag Z and the impedance phase plot also identifies that the resistance dominates the impedance response above \( \sim 200 \ \text{kHz} \). Similarly, for DI water response show in Fig. 2A, the absolute value of impedance is dominated by Real Z at frequencies beyond \( \sim 5 \ \text{kHz} \). Figure 2C shows the real-time impedance measurement of bare electrodes when an empty test cell is filled with 20 μl of 1× PBS at four different frequencies. By increasing the frequency, the impedance value after immersion tends to a constant level, and the initial baseline shift in the impedance decreases. This is in agreement with the obtained EIS results in Fig. 2A. Here, to avoid the inductive effect in the system at high frequencies, we chose to operate at 1 MHz in 1× buffer solution.

In the next step, the nanowell array electrodes were functionalized with cortisol antibody, while the impedance across the electrodes was monitored in real time. As shown in Fig. 2D, by the introduction of antibody solution, the Real Z plot remains nearly constant throughout the measurement, while the Imag Z plot shows a curved increase over time after an immediate baseline shift. Therefore, the variations of Imag Z plot during the antibody immobilization directly affect the total impedance plot. The minor baseline shift in the Real Z plot can be because the fluid injection does not change the bulk solution resistance as both anti-cortisol and cortisol are diluted in the same buffer. Hence, the real-time impedance measurement at
high frequencies allows to separate the parasitic effect of the bulk resistance from the sensor response. The real-time impedance monitoring of anti-cortisol immobilization is also compared with blank PBS addition to the bare electrodes in Fig. 2E. Before antibody immobilization, a blank PBS sample was added to the cell, resulting only in a minimal shift in the baseline. The anti-cortisol plot shows a more pronounced baseline shift followed by a characteristic capacitive curve to reach a plateau.

The EIS spectra of antibody immobilization are presented in Fig. 2F to confirm the subsequent binding of cortisol antibody during the sensor functionalization step in the nanowells. As illustrated in Fig. 1E, after the immobilization step, the fluid in the cell was aspirated and PBS was reinjected to avoid sensor fouling. At bare nanowell electrodes, a straight line could be observed, indicating a low polarization resistance (PBS curve). Nyquist plot after aspiration remains mostly identical to the plot before aspiration, confirming attachment of anti-cortisol inside the nanowells after aspiration and refilling with PBS. The cortisol plot in Fig. 2F also displays the EIS response to a dose of cortisol (5 μg/dl). The increased diameter of semicircle after immunoreaction implies the formation of immune complex by changing the polarization properties of the nanowells.

In the real-time experiments, impedance is normalized on the basis of its initial value immediately after the baseline shift and converted to capacitance using the Imag Z plot to record the relative changes of both parameters. The sensor response was then quantified by measuring the difference between the impedance immediately after the shift and the final impedance magnitude. The normalized impedance and capacitance plots in separate experiments are shown in Fig. 3 to examine the sensor behavior toward different stimuli.

During the antibody incubation, shown in Fig. 3A, the initial baseline shift can be associated with the immediate changes in the medium properties. However, the characteristic time-dependent rise in impedance (or decrease in capacitance) is consistent with antibody immobilization in the nanowells due to the decrease in dielectric polarization and, therefore, indicates the successful modification of the nanowell surface.

Fig. 2. Impedance characterization of nanowell array electrodes. (A) EIS spectra of nanowell array electrodes measured in different media. (B) EIS characteristic of nanowell array electrodes in 1× PBS. (C) Real-time impedance monitoring of impedance at four different frequencies by the immersion in 1× PBS. (D) Real-time monitoring of cortisol antibody immobilization for an incubation time of around 10 min. (E) Comparison of real-time monitoring of impedance after injection of blank PBS (red, before functionalization of the sensor) and anti-cortisol immobilization curve (blue). (F) EIS measurement in the form of Nyquist plot for pure PBS after antibody immobilization and after solution aspiration.
After antibody immobilization, real-time testing was conducted to study the normalized sensor response to positive and negative controls, as illustrated in Fig. 3 (B and E). Negative controls of blank PBS and PBS spiked with interleukin-6 (IL-6) and testosterone were used to show the selective response of the sensor. We used IL-6 and testosterone as negative controls because these ligands are biologically or structurally relevant (3) and it is known that IL-6 is able to induce an increase in plasma cortisol (38). In the case of negative control PBS shown in Fig. 3B, the insertion creates only a small shift in the baseline followed by a negligible decrease in the impedance. For nontarget analytes, IL-6 and testosterone, the impedance undergoes a more pronounced baseline shift and remains nearly constant thereafter (Fig. 3, C and D). Figure 3E shows that the addition of cortisol results in a curved rise in impedance after the baseline shift, with a signature similar to that observed during antibody immobilization. Unlike the negative control responses, cortisol spiked sample results in a positive change in impedance after the baseline shift due to the gradual drop in the capacitive properties of the nanowells. This confirms the effective formation of an immune complex between cortisol and antibody. The baseline shift in capacitance stems from the movement of free ions to or from the electrode interface, which immediately modifies the nanowell dielectric polarization. However, the target biomolecule binding, in the form of a time-dependent curve, produces a quantifiable variation in the capacitive characteristics between the electrodes that can be associated with cortisol binding.

As shown in fig. S2, the normalized impedance shows correlation with the applied potential during the antibody immobilization step. The response curve rises by the increase in voltage and reaches to maximum at 200 mV. Recently, AC electric field has found use in capturing, alignment, and enhanced detection of biomolecules (34, 39). The electric field entrapment has previously been combined with the antigen-antibody reaction to facilitate selective detection, and it has been used to immobilize antibody probe (40–42). Here, in Fig. S2, although a definitive explanation of this result awaits further testing, we hypothesize that when the applied voltage is very small, electric forces cannot inhibit random antibody immobilization, which diminishes the positive response curve. Moreover, the high electric field strength inside the nanowells can potentially enhance the sensor performance by encouraging uniform attachment and specifically orienting antibodies along the electric field lines.

To further validate the sensor readings, a titration experiment was designed to determine the sensor response to samples with cortisol (1.5, 3, 5, 7, 10, and 15 μg/dl) in purified buffer. The normalized impedance monitoring plots in Fig. 4A show how the response changes after the baseline shift with increasing dosage of cortisol. As cortisol concentration increases, the cortisol-antibody binding decreases the capacitive charging (Cdl) between the electrodes, which causes an increased shift in the impedance plots in Fig. 4A. Figure 4B shows the calibration plot of the biosensor with respect to cortisol concentration. As shown in Fig. 4B, the sensor shows a linear response toward cortisol concentration with a correlation coefficient (R²) of 0.94. In the lower limit of 1.5 μg/dl, the characteristic shift of impedance becomes more flat, like negative control responses. Using the standard method reported in the literature (43), the detection limit for the immunosensor was calculated to be about 0.5 μg/dl in PBS buffer. A comparison of several representative studies on electric-based immunosensors is provided in table S1. In the following experiments, the ability of the array nanosensor to quantify cortisol levels in human serum samples is emphasized when benchmarked against the gold standard ELISA. Although the obtained detection limit is slightly higher when compared with the other studies, the results from human serum samples approve that the fabricated assay can reliably be used at a single frequency to detect cortisol in a normal range of cortisol in human serum (~5 to 25 μg/dl).

To showcase the agnostic nature of the platform technology and its utility in human serum matrix, we calibrated the nanowell sensor based on human serum samples. The obtained results were validated with ELISA technique to estimate cortisol concentration in human serum samples. For this reason, a standard cortisol ELISA test was conducted on 65 different human serum [healthy and rheumatoid arthritis (RA) patient] samples (5 μl). The sensor behavior toward healthy human serum (~5 μg/dl) is shown in Fig. 5A.
shown in Fig. 5A and fig. S3, unlike cortisol spiked buffer samples, serum injection increases the baseline because of introduction of a high concentration of biomolecules into the open fluidic well, which instantly modifies the medium conductivity and dielectric properties. In Fig. 5A, the time-dependent drop is minimal for healthy control samples, and by increasing the cortisol dosage in RA patient samples, the curve (drop in impedance) becomes larger. In serum tests, similar to the purified buffer samples, the increase in specific binding results in a larger change in impedance, however, given the nature of the matrix, the sensor experiences a decrease in impedance (increase in capacitance). The results approve that the nanowell array sensor is capable of cortisol detection regardless of the salt concentration of the medium.

The cortisol concentration obtained using ELISA was compared with the values measured by the nanowell array sensor in Fig. 5B. The linear regression equation shows a slope of 0.82 with a regression coefficient of 0.91. The obtained results approve the sensing performance of the nanowell immunosensor despite the small deviation from ideal slope of one. In a practical setting, a single step involving sample injection would be sufficient to perform sample-to-answer analysis using the nanowell sensor within 10 min. This ease of use can enable a plethora of applications ranging from medical diagnostics to environmental monitoring and food safety.

**Conclusion and Outlook**

This study presents a sensing platform, the nanowell array sensor, that is formed by vertical stacking of electrodes to minimize the interelectrode spacing without imposing costly manufacturing procedures. We present a non-faradaic real-time impedimetric biosensor to detect cortisol in human serum in small volumes in the range of 2 to 5 µl. EIS characterization was performed in different media to optimize the frequency limit for the real-time impedance measurements. The sensor’s specificity to cortisol was achieved through functionalized nanowells with cortisol antibody as the active sensing element. Binding of target cortisol modulates the impedance after the instant baseline shift, resulting in a characteristic change in impedance due to modulation of capacitive charging between the two electrodes inside the nanowells. As a result, we were able to reliably and repeatedly detect both target cortisol spiked in PBS buffer at concentrations as low as 1.5 µg/dl and also endogenous levels of cortisol in human serum samples within 10 minutes. Sensor specificity was established through testing with blank PBS, IL-6, and testosterone. ELISA test was carried out to detect cortisol concentration in 65 human serum samples to compare with the results obtained from the nanowell array sensor at a single frequency. The performance was validated with conventional ELISA method and exhibited a remarkable correlation.

This study aims to bring laboratory-quality diagnostic to point of care and patient self-testing at a lower cost, and cortisol was chosen as a model analyte. The primary challenge faced by label-free technologies stems from measurement inconsistency, thus not being suitable for reliable quantification of molecular levels. The array structure of the sensor increases the probability of diffusion of the analyte and its subsequent binding to the sensor active area. Moreover, the sensor platform concentrates the electric field into the small volume of wells and reduces the noise from electrode polarization effect and solution conductivity, thereby producing a more accurate and reliable response. These systems can allow a continuous readout of cortisol levels for real-time analysis of patients subjected to stress and have great potential to be adapted to noninvasive
cortisol measurement in desired biofluids such as human saliva and urine.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sensor fabrication

The fabrication steps of the stack electrodes are demonstrated in Fig. 1B. Fused silica substrate (UniversityWafer, MA, USA) was treated by oxygen plasma for 2 min to clean the surface. The metal electrode consists of a 5-nm layer of chromium for enhancing adhesion of the gold film to the substrate, a 150-nm gold layer, and a 5-nm aluminum layer. The chromium and gold layers were deposited by electron beam evaporation followed by sputtering the aluminum top layer. After successive deposition of different metal layers, the bottom electrode was patterned by liftoff (step 1). The aluminum surface oxidizes in atmosphere to enhance the adhesion between the metal electrode to the next oxide layer. A 40-nm layer of aluminum oxide was then deposited on top of the first electrode using atomic layer deposition (ALD). The ALD process was performed in plasma-assisted mode at 250°C. The second electrode layer (again consisting of 5-nm chromium, 150-nm gold, and 5-nm aluminum) was patterned using the same procedure as the first layer (step 2). Another 40-nm layer of aluminum oxide was deposited on top of the second electrode using ALD. The protective oxide layer limits the active surface area of the electrodes in a way that the gold electrode is only exposed to the testing fluid through the nanowells. This can reduce nonspecific binding and enhances electrochemical responses by selective docking of single molecules and controlling the amount of probe antibodies. Subsequently, a layer of resist was spin-coated onto the wafer to photo-pattern an array of micrometer-sized holes onto the overlapping surface of the electrode stack. The ion milling process was performed to drill holes from the top oxide surface all the way down to the bottom gold electrode. The photoresist was then stripped off (step 3 and side view is shown in step 4). All electrode connection pads were protected by Kapton tape during the entire fabrication procedure.

In the overlapping area (20 µm by 20 µm), the two electrodes were separated by a thin aluminum oxide insulating layer to create an electric path via the test solution inside the nanowells. Connection pads were fabricated on opposing sides of the chip with traces leading to the center of the chip. Open fluidic wells, for confining the liquid, made of polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) were then bonded by electron beam evaporation followed by sputtering the aluminum oxide surface all the way down to the bottom gold electrode. The plasma treatment also cleans off the residues from the nanowells before testing. The diameter of each open fluidic well is 5 mm, which allows fluid to be directly incubated on individual sensors. Therefore, a 28-well plate chip is formed by bonding the PDMS on the glass wafer, which can be used for detection of different biomarkers in parallel experiments. Figure 1C shows the PDMS-bonded chip of electrodes, including two zoomed-in micrographs from an individual electrode pair and the overlapping region of two gold electrodes to demonstrate the formation of an array of 2-µm wells.

In terms of design considerations, the smaller interelectrode spacing moderates the electrode polarization effect, which results in higher electric field density, and thus enhances sensitivity toward biomolecular interactions. On the other hand, lowering the thickness of the insulating layer leads to smaller gap length between the electrodes, which can cause nonuniform current distribution between the nanowells in an array electrode design. Therefore, the gap length should be high enough to endow the array structure high controllability and reproducibility. Accordingly, we tested devices with oxide thicknesses of 30 and 40 nm to determine which provides better sensitivity. Devices with 40-nm spacing showed larger change in normalized response when antibody physically adsorbed inside the nanowells compared to devices with 30-nm spacing. Because the 40-nm thickness provided a strong response, probably in effect of a more uniform current distribution, further testing was performed using 40-nm-thick oxide devices with 2-µm-diameter wells.

Impedance characterization

It is critical to understand the impedance response of the system to determine the optimum frequency region for performing real-time measurements. We used a potentiostat (Gamry Instruments, Warminster, PA, USA) to characterize the impedance spectrum of the sensor in a two-electrode configuration. First, the devices were tested in air, DI water, 10× PBS, diluted 5× PBS, and 1× PBS to analyze the sensor characteristics in different media. Non-faradaic EIS spectra were recorded in a range from 100 Hz to 1 MHz at 10-mV signal. For sensor characterization tests, 1× PBS was used as the medium buffer. Before introducing PBS onto the device, the wells were washed with ethanol and DI water and then washed three times with PBS. From the EIS spectra, we observed that the real-time impedance shift over time can be influenced by both capacitance and resistance. As a result, sensing is accomplished through monitoring changes in absolute impedance and capacitive charging of the system at 1 MHz.

Reagents were sequentially added manually to the well, and the complex impedance data were monitored in real time. Sensors were isolated from external electromagnetic interference using a Faraday cage, reducing external interference and allowing reliable measurements. A lock-in amplifier (HF2IS-MF multifrequency; Zurich Instruments, Zurich, Switzerland) was used to apply voltage and monitor the absolute impedance (|Z|) across the electrodes to continuously improve on signal-to-noise ratio during the data acquisition time. |Z| reflects the total impedance of the system and can be used as a measure of both capacitive and resistance changes. Figure 1D shows a schematic describing the test setup. The AC excitation source, providing 400 mV at a frequency of 1 MHz, was connected to one of the electrodes, and the second electrode was connected to the input of the lock-in amplifier, where the real and imaginary components of the impedance were both acquired. The output voltage of the lock-in amplifier was converted to impedance using $Z = -\frac{V_{in}}{V_{out}} G$.

Figure 1E presents the circuit model used to analyze the impedance results. The listed components include solution resistance ($R_s$), polarization resistance ($R_P$), and double-layer capacitance ($C_{dl}$). In each set of experiments, the impedance measurements were started in 20 µl of PBS. Then, by small additions of PBS (~2 µl) to the bare electrodes, the impedance stability was checked to ensure that the response reaches a plateau after around 10 min. The obtained impedance data were converted to capacitance values using $C = \frac{1}{(2\pi f R_s)}$, where $C$ is the capacitance, $\omega$ is the angular frequency, and $Z'$ is the imaginary part of the impedance. The devices were calibrated by plotting the value of $C^2$ as a function of the cortisol concentration in solution. Continuous impedance monitoring at high frequency of 1 MHz allows to discriminate between the parasitic effect of the buffer solution from the impedance changes due to modulation of the capacitance between the electrodes.
We measured the cortisol dosage in human serum to test the effects of the background matrix on the sensor. Therefore, similar to the aforementioned procedure, the nanowell sensor responses were calibrated on the basis of human serum samples collected from volunteers and the results were compared with response from commercial (healthy) human serum (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). Cortisol concentrations in 65 human serum samples (RA patient) were quantified by the Human Cortisol ELISA Kit (Biotang Inc.). Samples from RA patients were obtained from patients with a varied range of inflammatory status. Patients were selected with a diagnosis of seropositive RA (rheumatoid factor positive or cyclic citrullinated peptide antibody positive) and symptoms or signs of inadequate disease control. Both male and female patients were selected between the ages of 33 and 89 years at time of collection. Patients were excluded if they had active bacterial or viral infection, pregnancy, or disease control. Both male and female patients were selected between the ages of 33 and 89 years at time of collection. Patients were excluded if they had active bacterial or viral infection, pregnancy, or disease control.
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