Bromination of hydrocarbons with CBr$_4$, initiated by light-emitting diode irradiation
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Abstract
The bromination of hydrocarbons with CBr$_4$ as a bromine source, induced by light-emitting diode (LED) irradiation, has been developed. Monobromides were synthesized with high efficiency without the need for any additives, catalysts, heating, or inert conditions. Action and absorption spectra suggest that CBr$_4$ absorbs light to give active species for the bromination. The generation of CHBr$_3$ was confirmed by NMR spectroscopy and GC–MS spectrometry analysis, indicating that the present bromination involves the homolytic cleavage of a C–Br bond in CBr$_4$ followed by radical abstraction of a hydrogen atom from a hydrocarbon.

Introduction
Bromination reactions of organic compounds are fundamental reactions for providing a wide variety of organic precursors for industrial materials [1-8]. Generally, the bromination of saturated hydrocarbons proceeds through radical abstraction of hydrogen atoms and trapping with bromide, whereas the bromination reactions of aromatic and unsaturated hydrocarbons are induced by electrophilic addition of bromine and/or a cationic bromide. Combinations of N-bromosuccinimide (NBS) with azobisisobutyronitrile or benzoyl peroxide as radical initiators are typical conditions for Wohl–Ziegler bromination [9-12] and are widely used for the bromination of benzylic and allylic positions, despite the need for heating and the generation of equimolar amounts of waste. To avoid these drawbacks, several efforts have been focused on benzylic bromination using Br$_2$ or bromide salts as highly efficient bromine sources [13-17]. However, the direct bromination of non-activated C–H bonds is still a challenging task. Although Br$_2$ [13], CBr$_4$ [18-20], R$_4$NBr [21,22] and LiBr [23] have been reported to serve as bromine sources for the bromination of saturated hydrocarbons, these reactions exhibit low selectivity or reactivity. Efficient bromination using Br$_2$ as a bromine source combined with a stoichiometric base [24], an excess of MnO$_2$ [25], or a catalytic amount of Li$_2$MnO$_3$ [26] has been reported to give high reactivity and selectivity. The combination of CBr$_4$ with a copper catalyst at high temperature also achieves effective bromination of hydrocarbons [27].
We have focused on CBr₄, which is solid and easy to handle, as a bromine source. CBr₄ has been used in organic synthesis to give useful bromide-containing precursors. For instance, alkyl alcohols can be converted to alkyl bromides in the presence of CBr₄ and triphenylphosphine; this is known as the Appel reaction [28]. This combination can also be used to transform aldehydes into dibromoalkenes, which are useful precursors for the Corey–Fuchs reaction [29], to obtain terminal alkynes.

Although CBr₄ has been used for various bromination reactions including radical brominations, these reactions need further additives to proceed. Here, we disclose the efficient bromination of saturated hydrocarbons, using CBr₄ as a bromine source without any additives, through radical reactions induced by irradiation with light from commonly used light-emitting diodes (LEDs) [30]. In this reaction, additives, catalysts, heating, and inert reaction conditions are all unnecessary.

Results and Discussion

First, the bromination of cyclohexane under LED irradiation was investigated using 1.0 mL of cyclohexane with 0.20 mmol CBr₄ (Table 1). The desired monobrominated product was obtained in 77% yield, based on CBr₄, after 2 h, and no dibromide was observed (Table 1, entry 1). It was found that the yield of cyclohexyl bromide exceeded 100% after 3 h (Table 1, entry 2). When the mixture was irradiated for 4 h, the product yield reached 148% and had almost peaked (Table 1, entry 3). Further improvements were not observed, even after 24 h (Table 1, entry 5). These results indicate that during the reaction one or more bromine atoms originated from one CBr₄. It is considered that CHBr₃ generated through radical abstraction of a hydrogen atom by a tribromomethyl radical served as a bromine source. To test this hypothesis the reaction was repeated with CHBr₃ instead of CBr₄ and the product was obtained in a low yield (Table 1, entry 6), whereas the reaction with CH₂Br₂ produced no bromination product at all under these conditions (Table 1, entry 7). Other bromination reagents such as NBS also gave the desired product in moderate yield (Table 1, entry 8). In the case of tetrabutylammonium bromide, no brominated product was obtained (Table 1, entry 9), showing that the present reaction was a radical reaction. Based on the assumption that the initial formation of bromine radicals would be important, addition of catalytic amounts of CBr₄ along with various bromination sources was examined (Table 1, entries 10–12). The combination of catalytic CBr₄ with CHBr₃ or CH₂Br₂ resulted in slight improvements in the yields (Table 1, entries 10 and 11), showing these bromides also could serve as bromination sources in the presence of the radical species. The combination of CBr₄ with NBS gave the desired product in a moderate yield (Table 1, entry 12). On the other hand, the reaction was inhibited by the addition of water (Table 1, entry 13) and performing the reaction under inert argon atmosphere led to a decreased yield of 87% (Table 1, entry 14).

Based on the above experiments, the bromination of other substrates was examined with CBr₄ under LED irradiation. Cyclooctane underwent bromination under the optimized conditions to furnish the monobromide in 178% yield, based on CBr₄, without contamination by dibromide (Table 2, entry 1). The bromination of n-hexane produced three bromides: 1-bromohexane (14%), 2-bromohexane (84%), and 3-bromohexane (41%) (Table 2, entry 2). On the other hand, no bromination of toluene occurred under LED irradiation. In this case, light would be absorbed by the aromatic ring of toluene, suppressing the activation of CBr₄. Using sunlight in place of LED light, however, resulted in the bromination of the benzyl group to give benzyl bromide in 140% yield (Table 2, entry 3). To investigate the wavelength dependency of the present reaction, the action spectrum of the bromination of cyclohexane in the presence of CBr₄ was obtained by plotting the apparent quantum efficiency against wavelength (Figure 1, red line) [31]. It was found that the present reaction was promoted by irradiation with ultraviolet (UV) light and deactivated under visible-light (>475 nm) irradiation. CBr₄ shows strong absorption in the UV region (Figure 1, blue line), and this overlaps with the

---

**Table 1:** Bromination of cyclohexane using CBr₄ under LED irradiation.¹

| entry | bromination source (mmol) | time (h) | yield (%)² |
|-------|----------------------------|---------|------------|
| 1     | CBr₄ (0.20)                | 2       | 77         |
| 2     | CBr₄ (0.20)                | 3       | 108        |
| 3     | CBr₄ (0.20)                | 4       | 148        |
| 4     | CBr₄ (0.20)                | 5       | 148        |
| 5     | CBr₄ (0.20)                | 24      | 150        |
| 6     | CHBr₃ (0.20)               | 24      | 27         |
| 7     | CH₂Br₂ (0.20)              | 24      | 0          |
| 8     | NBS (0.20)                 | 24      | 31         |
| 9     | Bu₄NBr (0.20)              | 24      | 0          |
| 10    | CBr₄ (0.02)/CHBr₃ (0.20)   | 24      | 39         |
| 11    | CBr₄ (0.02)/CH₂Br₂ (0.20)  | 24      | 16         |
| 12    | CBr₄ (0.02)/NBS (0.20)     | 24      | 79         |
| 13    | CBr₄ (0.20)                | 24      | 0          |
| 14    | CBr₄ (0.20)                | 24      | 87         |

¹Conditions: 1.0 mL of cyclohexane, bromination sources, under LED irradiation, rt. ²Yields were determined by GC analysis based on the mole of CBr₄. ³In the presence of 0.10 mL water. ⁴Under Ar.
A plausible mechanism for the present bromination is illustrated in Scheme 1. First, photo-irradiation generates a bromine radical and a CBr₃ radical (Scheme 1, reaction 1), which abstracts a hydrogen atom from the substrate to form CHBr₃ (Scheme 1, reaction 2). Finally, the radical species derived from the substrate reacts with the bromine radical or CBr₄ to afford the brominated product (Scheme 1, reactions 3 and 4). Additionally, the in situ generated CHBr₃ releases a bromine radical upon LED irradiation, thus serving as a bromine source (Scheme 1, reaction 5). Alternatively the radical species derived from the substrate abstracts a bromine atom from CHBr₃ (Scheme 1, reaction 6).

Scheme 1: Plausible mechanism for bromination of cyclohexane with CBr₄ induced by LED irradiation.

above-mentioned action spectrum. The activation of CBr₄ is therefore considered to be induced by photo-irradiation, initiating the reaction. Although other light sources could also activate CBr₄, we adopted LED light due to safety, mildness, and availability. We have confirmed that fluorescent room light could also promote the reaction.
To examine the above hypothesis, the bromination of cyclohexane was monitored using $^{13}$C NMR spectroscopy (Figure 2). CBr$_4$ (0.50 mmol) dissolved in cyclohexane (0.10 mL) and CDCl$_3$ (0.40 mL) was observed at $-29.7$ ppm (Figure 2a). After stirring a reaction mixture of CBr$_4$ (0.50 mmol) and cyclohexane (0.10 mL) under LED irradiation for 24 h, peaks assigned to bromocyclohexane (53.4, 37.6, 25.9, and 25.1 ppm) and another strong peak at 9.6 ppm appeared (Figure 2c). The latter peak was found to be consistent with the peak of CHBr$_3$ (0.50 mmol) dissolved in cyclohexane (0.10 mL) and CDCl$_3$ (0.40 mL) (Figure 2b). Additionally, the generation of CHBr$_3$ in the present bromination was confirmed by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy and GC–MS spectrometry. These results support the reaction pathway described above, although the chemical species after the second bromination was not assigned at this point.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, we have developed a method for the hydrocarbon bromination induced by LED irradiation using CBr$_4$ as a bromine source. The present reaction system did not require any additives, catalysts, heating, or inert conditions, and is therefore an extremely simple procedure. An action spectrum and NMR measurements showed that the LED irradiation activates CBr$_4$ to generate bromine radicals, which initiate the bromination reaction. Further elucidation of the detailed mechanism and the use of LED irradiation in other reaction systems are under investigation in our laboratory.

**Experimental**

**General information**

All commercially available compounds were purchased and used as received. Cyclohexane, cyclooctane, $n$-hexane, and toluene were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries and used as received. $^1$H (400 MHz) and $^{13}$C (100 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded using a JEOL JNM-LA400 spectrometer. Proton chemical shifts are reported relative to residual solvent peak of CDCl$_3$ at $\delta$ 7.26 ppm. Carbon chemical shifts are reported relative to CDCl$_3$ at $\delta$ 77.00 ppm. Gas chromatographic analysis was conducted with Shimadzu GC-2014 equipped with FID detector. The chemical yields were determined using dodecane as an internal standard. The NMR data of all brominated products match those reported.

**General procedure for the bromination induced by LED irradiation**

A reaction tube was charged with CBr$_4$ (66.33 mg, 0.20 mmol) and a hydrocarbon (1.0 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred...
under white LED (7 W) irradiation. To this was added dodecane (45.2 μL, 0.20 mmol) and the yield was determined by GC analysis with dodecane as an internal standard.
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