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Purpose. Fusarium, Aspergillus, and Dematiaceous are the most common fungal species causing keratitis in tropical countries. Herein we report a prospective study on fungal keratitis caused by these three fungal species. Methodology. A prospective investigation was undertaken to evaluate eyes with presumed fungal keratitis. All the fungal isolates (n = 73) obtained from keratitis infections were identified using morphological and microscopic characters. Molecular identification using sequencing of the ITS region and antifungal susceptibility tests using microdilution method were done. The final clinical outcome was evaluated in terms of the time taken for resolution of keratitis and the final visual outcome. The results were analyzed after segregating the cases into three groups, namely, Fusarium, Aspergillus, and Dematiaceous keratitis. Results. Diagnosis of fungal keratitis was established in 73 (35.9%) cases out of 208 cases. The spectra of fungi isolated were Fusarium spp. (26.6%), Aspergillus spp. (21.6%), and Dematiaceous fungi (11.6%). The sequence of the ITS region could identify the Fusarium and Aspergillus species at the species complex level, and the Dematiaceous isolates were accurately identified. Using antifungal agents such as fluconazole, natamycin, amphotericin B, and itraconazole, the minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) for Fusarium spp. were >32 μg/mL, 4–8 μg/mL, 0.5–1 μg/mL, and >32 μg/mL, respectively. Antifungal susceptibility data showed that Curvularia spp. was highly resistant to all the antifungal agents. Overall, natamycin and amphotericin B were found to be the most effective antifungal agents. The comparative clinical outcomes in all cases showed that the healing response in terms of visual acuity of the Dematiaceous group was significantly good when compared with the Fusarium and Aspergillus groups (P < 0.05). The time required for healing in the Fusarium group was statistically significantly less when compared with the Aspergillus and Dematiaceous groups. Conclusion. This study demonstrates important differences in microscopic features of scraping material and antifungal susceptibility between the three groups. Early and accurate identification coupled with the MIC data, and thereby appropriate treatment is crucial for complete recovery.

1. Introduction

Mycotic keratitis is an important ophthalmic problem causing visual disability due to its protracted course and unfavorable responses. The incidence of fungal keratitis has been reported to range between 25.6% and 36.7% in various parts of India [1–4]. It is evident that Aspergillus and Fusarium are the most common species causing keratitis in tropical countries including India, whereas pigmented Dematiaceous fungi are the third most common cause of mycotic keratitis [1, 5–7]. Studies on their molecular identification, antifungal susceptibility, and comparisons with the clinical outcomes would be of great importance, as the pathogenic potential may vary between these genera. The most widely sequenced DNA region in fungi is the ITS region, and the International Sub-Commission of fungal bar coding has proposed the ITS region as the prime fungal bar code for species identification [8]. Molecular identification of keratitis causing Fusarium and Aspergillus isolates has been reported earlier [9–12]. Fungal ulcers are commonly treated empirically; drugs are typically selected without regard to susceptibility data. This is because the antifungal susceptibility testing takes time and
needs trained personnel to perform the testing. There are four studies that report the in vitro antifungal susceptibility patterns among keratitis-causing fungal isolates from India [13–16]. On the other hand nonophthalmic Fusaria have been reported to exhibit greater resistance to antifungal agents continuously over a period of time [17, 18]. Hence, periodic reports from different geographical areas would help record the variations over a period of time and at the same time help in modulating the current treatment options. We report a prospective study to compare different aspects of fungal keratitis such as its clinical features, microbial evaluation, molecular identification, antifungal susceptibility, and clinical outcomes.

2. Materials and Methods

Patients were recruited after an informed consent was obtained from all subjects. The study followed the declaration of tenets of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Ethical Review Board.

2.1. Clinical Examination. A prospective study of patients with keratitis was conducted during the period from June 2009 to May 2012. All the patients were examined with a standard written protocol that included a detailed history with regard to the duration of symptoms, predisposing factors, the exact nature of trauma, immediate treatment administered contact lens usage, previous history of ocular surgeries, history of diabetes, and usage of topical or systemic steroids. The same consultant doctor, as per the standard protocol approved by the institutional review board, performed a thorough examination of the involved and fellow eyes. The same consultant ophthalmologist filled a form. In this form, the following aspects were documented: the presence or absence and form of the following clinical features, elevation of slough (raised or flat), texture of slough (wet or dry), ulcer margins (serrated or well defined), size of the abscess, pigmentation, Descemet’s folds, satellite lesions, dendritic lesions, immune ring, hypopyon, fibrin, flare or cells in the anterior chamber, deep lesions, and endothelial plaque. Clinical photographs were taken using the Haag Straight slit lamp microscope with a photo slit attachment.

2.2. Clinical Specimens and Microbiological Investigations. Corneal scrapings were taken from patients when at least one of the following was present: size of the infiltration was >2 mm with an epithelial defect, depth of the infiltrate was >20% of the corneal thickness, the anterior chamber reaction was > grade 2, evidence of any organic trauma, or failure to regress in 24 hours. Local anesthetic eye drops (proparacaine 0.5%) were instilled to the affected eye to minimize ocular discomfort and facilitate the corneal scraping procedure. Scrapings were obtained aseptically from the base and edges of each ulcer using a disposable blade. A part of the scraping material was examined for the presence of fungi, bacteria, or acanthamoeba by using 10% KOH–0.05% calcofluor white stain wet mounts and Gram staining [19]. The scraping material was also directly inoculated in blood agar, Sabouraud’s dextrose agar (SDA), and chocolate agar media (Himedia, Himedia Pvt Ltd, Mumbai) which were incubated at 37°C and 28°C and in 5% CO₂, respectively. A diagnosis of fungal keratitis was made when at least one of the following was confirmed: a corneal scraping examination revealed fungal hyphae in wet mounts or smears; the same fungus grew in the two culture media used; or the fungus grew confluent at all the inoculated sites on a single media. Microscopic pictures of the KOH wet mounts for all samples were taken under the light microscope and fluorescence microscope. The average width of 25 randomly selected hyphae, the distance between the septa, and the diameter of the chlamydospore-like structures whenever present were measured from digitalized photographs at 400x magnification using Biovis Image Plus Software v.4.11 (Expert Vision, Mumbai, India). Pictures of media plates showing fungal growth were taken at 24-hour growth, 48-hour growth, and 72-hour growth. Colour, diameter, and presence or absence of spores were observed for all fungal colonies on SDA and blood agar plates.

2.3. Morphological and Molecular Identification. Pure cultures of all isolates were maintained on Potato Dextrose agar (PDA). Cultures were examined using the lactophenol blue mount for sporulation at the end of 10, 20, and 30 days. The morphological and microscopic identification was done by growth characteristics, and microscopic characteristics, respectively. All morphological and microscopic characteristics were confirmed by comparing them with the characters given in the “Atlas of clinical fungi” [20]. Cultures that failed to sporulate on SDA and PDA were subcultured on oatmeal agar and carnation leaf agar. For molecular identification of the fungus, sequencing of the ITS (internal transcribed spacer) region was done. The DNA was extracted from the pure culture of the fungus grown on SDA using Zymo Research DNA isolation kit. After extraction, the DNA was amplified using ITS 1 (F-5'TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGA-3') and ITS 4 primers (R-5'TCCTCGATATATGGGA-3'), which amplify the following genes of the fungal genome: partial 18S rRNA gene, complete ITS1, 5.8S rRNA gene and ITS2 regions, and partial 28S rRNA gene. Annealing temperature was 55°C for 1 minute. The size of amplicon produced after PCR reaction was around 500–600 base pairs for all fungi used in the present study. Sequencing of the ITS region was done at First Base Laboratories Sdn. Bhd, Malaysia, using primers ITS1 and ITS4. Sequences were obtained using both forward and reverse primers. Chromatogram processing, quality control, and editing of the sequences were done using BioEdit Software. Both sequences were aligned, and a final sequence was created. This final sequence was used for the BLASTN similarity search (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST) and was also submitted to NCBI. For identification, only complete ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 entries of reference isolates in the BLAST database were taken into consideration. Complete identification was considered when a percent sequence similarity of >98% with a BLAST search expected value of zero was obtained.
2.4. Antifungal Susceptibility Testing. In vitro antifungal susceptibility testing was done against natamycin (Natomet; 5% suspension; Sun Pharmaceuticals Ind. Ltd, Halol, India), itraconazole (Itral; 1% suspension; Jawa Pharmaceuticals, Gurgaon, India), fluconazole (Nufl ucon; 0.3% suspension; NuLife Pharmaceuticals, Pune, India), and amphotericin B (RM 462, Himedia Labs Ltd, Mumbai, India) using the microdilution method and following the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines [21]. All antifungal agents were dissolved in DMSO and fluconazole was dissolved in water. The inoculums were prepared by covering the 7-day-old culture plate with normal saline (0.85% NaCl). This was followed by gentle probing of the colonies with the help of a pipette and adjusting the densities of the suspension (read at 530 nm) to a final inoculum of 0.5 McFarland standard. The final drug concentration range prepared using serial dilution were 0.008 to 132 , for all the four antifungal agents. All the antifungal agents were tested in RPMI 16-40 media with 2% glucose and without sodium carbonate.

2.5. Treatment Regime and Evaluation of Clinical Outcomes. Subsequent to the microscopic examination, if a positive report of fungal filaments was received, antifungal topical therapy with 5% natamycin was started for all cases immediately. One-hourly topical eye drops were applied around the clock for the first three days followed by two-hourly drops during waking hours until resolution of the ulcers. Patients also received 1% atropine sulphate eye drops. Systemic fluconazole (150 mg once a day) was prescribed for all patients with corneal stromal infiltrate extending beyond one-third of the cornea. After treatment, an ulcer was considered to be healed when the epithelial defect was <1 mm in diameter with a visible scar under slit lamp biomicroscopy. A healing time of less than 3 weeks from presentation was considered a good result and healing time of more than three weeks was considered a poor response. The responses to treatment were categorized into three groups as follows: perception of light to the Snellen’s chart (good response), no change in visual acuity after treatment (poor response), and slight change in the visual acuity (slight response). Initial and final visual acuity was compared following treatment using statistical analysis. The time for complete healing was also compared among the isolates. The follow-up best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) of patients treated with topical and oral antimicrobial agents was the visual acuity measured when the patient was cured (inactive corneal scar with intact epithelium). Visual acuities obtained using Snellen’s chart were converted into logarithms of the minimum angle of resolution (logMAR) for data analysis.

2.6. Statistical Analysis. The test of proportion was used to evaluate the epidemiological features and risk factors. In vitro susceptibility results obtained from the three groups were statistically analyzed using the Kruskal-Wallis test. A post hoc pair wise comparison was also done. The Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test was used to compare visual acuity before and after treatment in each group.

3. Results

3.1. Epidemiological Characteristics and Clinical Features. A total of 208 patients with keratitis were recruited during the period from May 2009 to June 2012. In all 73 patients who had culture-proven myotic keratitis; the incidence of culture-proven myotic keratitis was 35.0%. Out of these 73 patients, 26 (35.6%) were infected with Fusarium spp., 15 (20.5%) were infected with Aspergillus species, and 11 (15.0%) were infected with Dematiaceous fungi. The average age of the patients was 41.84 years in the Fusarium group, 52.46 years in the Aspergillus group, and 46.53 years in the Dematiaceous fungi group. There were more males than females in all three groups. The seasonal distribution showed that infection of all the three types of keratitis was highest in winter and attained statistical significance (Table 1). The risk factors such as trauma to the eye/ocular surgery were predominantly seen in the Aspergillus keratitis group whereas the incidence of entry of vegetative foreign bodies was mainly seen in the Fusarium group (Table 1). Table 1 shows the comparative evaluation of the clinical features in all three groups. The area of infiltration was large (>4 mm) in the central visual axis in all the three groups. Further, the presence of satellite lesions, ring infiltrate, dry appearance, and stromal involvement was evident in most of the cases. The presence of hypopyon and pigmentation was mainly associated with the Dematiaceous group, and this attained statistical significance. The presence of endothelial plaque was mainly associated with Fusarium infections whereas dendritic lesions and Descemet’s folds were mainly observed in the Aspergillus group.

3.2. Microscopic Evaluation and Growth Characteristics of the Scraping Material. All the samples of scraping material taken from the three groups showed the presence of large quantities of fungal filaments when seen under light and fluorescence microscopes (Figure 1). Samples from Fusarium infections (Figures 1(a) and 1(b)) showed the presence of fungal hyphae with an average thickness of 3.87 ± 0.6 μm. Septa were not visible under the light microscope (Figure 1(a)) but were clearly seen under the fluorescence microscope (Figure 1(b)). The distance between the septa was 21.65 ± 4.2 μm. The average hyphal thickness in the Aspergillus group (Figures 1(c) and 1(d)) was 4.13 ± 0.65 μm, and this was almost similar to the hyphal thickness in the Fusarium group. However, the distance between the septa was 12.84 ± 1.9 μm. The average hyphal thickness in the Dematiaceous group was 8.79 ± 0.9 μm (Figures 1(e) and 1(f)). Terminal and internal chlamydospore-like structures with a diameter of 9.44 ± 1.11 μm were seen exclusively in all four samples from the Fusarium delphinooides group (Figures 2(a) and 2(b)). The scraping material from Curvularia infections also showed large quantities of chlamydospore-like structures with an average diameter of 11.15 ± 1.58 μm (Figures 2(c) and 2(d)). These structures were absent in all the remaining samples of the Dematiaceous group. A huge variation in microscopic features was noticed in the Dematiaceous group (Figures 3(a)–3(d)). Scraping material, other than the Curvularia infection, showed a typical arrangement of septa.
Table 1: Epidemiologic characteristics, risk factors, and clinical features.

| Variable                              | Fusarium spp. (n = 26) | Aspergillus spp. (n = 15) | Dematiaceous isolates (n = 12) |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Age (in years)                        | 41.84                  | 52.46                     | 46.5                          |
| Gender ratio (male : female)          | 2.71                   | 2                         | 1.4                           |
| Risk factors                          |                        |                           |                               |
| Trauma other than vegetative body     | 1 (3.8%)               | 4 (26.6%)                 | 0                             |
| Trauma with vegetative body           | 11 (42.3%)*            | 0                         | 4 (33.3%)                     |
| Prior ocular surgery/infection        | 1 (3.8%)               | 5 (33.3%)                 | 1 (8.3%)                      |
| Seasonal distribution                 |                        |                           |                               |
| Summer                                | 6 (23%)                | 3 (20%)                   | 1 (8.3%)                      |
| Monsoon                               | 4 (15.3%)              | 7 (46.6%)                 | 3 (25%)                       |
| Winter                                | 14 (53.8%)             | 7 (46.6%)                 | 6 (50%)                       |
| Clinical features                     |                        |                           |                               |
| Central location                      | 23 (88.4%)             | 12 (80%)                  | 11 (91.6%)                    |
| Size (>10 mm)                         | 16 (61.5%)             | 9 (60%)                   | 11 (91.6%)                    |
| Hypopyon                              | 10 (38.4%)             | 5 (33.3%)                 | 9 (75%)*                      |
| Elevated slough                       | 19 (73.0%)             | 6 (40%)                   | 10 (83.3%)                    |
| Dry texture                           | 20 (76.9%)             | 7 (46.6%)                 | 9 (75%)                       |
| Serrated ulcer margins                | 21 (80.7%)             | 9 (60%)                   | 8 (66.6%)                     |
| Pigmentation                          | 0                      | 1 (6.6%)                  | 5 (41.6%)                     |
| Endothelial plaque                    | 4 (15.3%)              | 0                         | 0                             |
| Dendritic lesions                     | 0                      | 2 (13.3%)                 | 0                             |
| Satellite lesions                     | 15 (57.6%)             | 8 (53.3%)                 | 3 (25%)                       |
| Mean number of days for complete healing | 57.4*                 | 114.8                     | 125.6                         |

* Group significantly higher compared to other.

A total of 47 (88.67%) out of 53 samples showed visible growth on all the media inoculated at 24 hours (Figure 4(a)). In the Fusarium group, 23 (88.4%) samples showed growth within 24 hours, while 3 samples showed growth within 48 hours. In the Aspergillus group, 13 (86.66%) samples showed growth within 24 hours and the remaining 2 samples showed growth within 48 and 72 hours, respectively. The growth of both Fusarium and Aspergillus samples on SDA at 48 hours was similar with respect to the growth rate (Figures 4(b) and 4(c)). In the Dematiaceous group, 11/12 (91.6%) samples showed growth on SDA within 24 hours. All the samples of the Dematiaceous group showed the presence of a peculiar color, for example, pink or light brown in case of Curvularia spp. (Figure 4(d)), yellow for Papulaspora spp. (Figure 4(e)), and dark brown for Exserohilum spp. (Figure 4(f)). A sample of Lasiodiplodia theobromae obtained from the scraping material was grown for 48 hours and a gray fluffy growth with abundant aerial mycelia was visible as seen in Figure 4(g). It was further observed that SDA did not support sporulation of Curvularia spp. and Lasiodiplodia spp. in all the samples.

3.3. Microscopic and Molecular Identification of Fusarium, Aspergillus and Dematiaceous Spp. All isolates in the Fusarium and Aspergillus groups were identified to the genus level by means of their morphological characteristics. The morphological evaluation of Fusarium solani appeared to be straightforward and this was further confirmed using the ITS sequences. However, when the sequences were evaluated using the Fusarium MLST website, the match was to the Fusarium solani species complex and not to Fusarium solani per se. Hence, all Fusarium isolates were named as members of the Fusarium solani species complex. All other isolates of Fusarium (n = 4) were identified as Fusarium dimerum using their morphological features. However, identification using the ITS sequences at NCBI BLAST was F. delphinoides isolates (n = 4), Fusarium dimerum (n = 1), and Fusarium delphinoides (n = 3) using the MLST database. In the Aspergillus group, A. niger, A. flavus, A. terreus, and A. fumigatus were identified using morphological features. A. tamarii, A. tubingensis, A. versicolor, and A. sydowii were only identified when the ITS sequences were available. In the Dematiaceous group, Curvularia lunata and Exserohilum rostratum were identified using their growth characteristics and typical spores, and this was confirmed using the ITS sequence. Using ITS sequences, all the other dematiaceous isolates were identified as Lasiodiplodia theobromae, Cladophomopsis bulbilus, and Cladosporium cladosporioides. The ITS sequence misidentified only one isolate as Chaetomium spp. It was later identified as Papulaspora spp. on the basis of its typical microscopic features.

3.4. In Vitro Antifungal Susceptibility. Table 2 shows the results of antifungal susceptibility testing of all isolates. Antifungal results showed that amphotericin B and natamycin are the most effective antifungal agents against Fusarium spp. In the Aspergillus group, amphotericin B and itraconazole showed the lowest MIC against A. flavus, A. terreus,
A. tamarii, and A. tubingensis whereas natamycin and amphotericin B showed good in vitro activity against A. niger and A. sydowii. In the Dematiaceous group, except Curvularia, all the other isolates were highly susceptible to the antifungal agents tested. Amphotericin B and natamycin showed good in vitro activity against Curvularia lunata.

3.5. Evaluation of Clinical Outcomes. Table 3 shows the comparative clinical outcomes in all cases. In the Fusarium group, 20 cases healed and 3 worsened, while 3 were lost to follow-up. The minimum time required for healing was 14 days, whereas the maximum time taken to heal in the case of one patient was 300 days. In the Aspergillus group, 12 out of 15 cases healed with topical and oral antifungal medical treatment and two cases required therapeutic keratoplasty, whereas one case caused by A. tamarii worsened. The minimum time required for healing was 30 days and the maximum time required was 330 days. In the Dematiaceous group, 11 cases healed and one was lost to follow-up. The minimum time taken to heal was 7 days while the maximum time was 300 days. The healing response in terms of visual acuity of the Dematiaceous group was significantly good when compared with the Fusarium and Aspergillus groups ($P < 0.05$). The time required for healing in the Fusarium
Figure 2: 10% KOH mount of the scraping material showing fungal hyphae, magnification ×400. Left panel: light microscopic picture, right panel: fluorescent microscopic picture taken after calcofluor white stain. (a and b) Scrapping material from Fusarium delphinoides infections. (c and d) Scrapping material from Curvularia infections.

4. Discussion

In the present study of 208 keratitis patients, fungal etiology was confirmed in 35% of the cases, where Fusarium spp. was the most common isolate followed by Aspergillus and Dematiaceous. This is comparable to most studies from India [1–5]. In India, Aspergillus is mainly reported as the most common isolate in the Northern region, [1, 2, 22, 23] while Fusarium is mainly reported in the Southern region [4, 24] and Dematiaceous fungi are reported to be the third most common fungi. We found two reports from Ahmedabad, Fusarium was reported in a study conducted in 2003–2005 [25] while Aspergillus was the most common isolate reported in a study conducted in 2007-2008 [26]. We have obtained a definite history of trauma with vegetative/agricultural bodies largely in patients with Fusarium keratitis, whereas trauma due to a factor other than vegetative material or any other ocular surgery was found to be largely associated with the Aspergillus group. Among the traumatic agents, plants and agricultural material like hay have contributed to 76%, 78.5%, and 61.2% of cases of keratitis in studies from Assam [27], Gujarat [25], and Tamil Nadu [28]. Fungal keratitis is more frequently reported in winter with a humid climate favoring fungal growth [29, 30].

The generally accepted clinical features for the diagnosis of mycotic keratitis are the presence of a dry, raised ulcer with a feathery or hyphate border, satellite lesions, and recurrent hypopyon [31]. Our results are similar to reports from Delhi [2] and Madurai [30, 32]. The pigmented plaque like the presentation seen in 42% of our cases in the Dematiaceous group is similar to the series reported by Garg and associates in 2000 and 2004, respectively [33, 34].

Direct microscopy is an important diagnostic modality in investigating microbial keratitis, and a highest sensitivity at 99% is reported [35]. The addition of calcofluor white (CFW) stain to the diagnostic armamentarium has significantly increased the sensitivity of smear examination on direct microscopy [19]. However, it is difficult to determine the genus of fungi from KOH mounts [35]. Preliminary identification of Fusarium and Aspergillus species using microscopic features in histological specimens has been reported [36]. Identification of Fusarium from scraping material is reported by the detection of adventitious sporulation [37]. The presence of a brown colored fungal hyphae in the scraping material raised the possibility of the presence of a Dematiaceous mold [33]. Morphologically, we did not find any remarkable differences between the Fusarium and Aspergillus groups except that the distance between the septa was larger in
Figure 3: Light microscopic pictures of 10% KOH mount of the scraping material showing fungal hyphae, magnification ×400. (a–d) Scrupping material from Cladorrhinum, Curvularia, Papulaspora, and Cladosporium infections, respectively.

Table 2: In vitro susceptibility of Fusarium, Aspergillus, and Dematiaceous isolates to antifungal agents.

| Isolate (number)         | Natamycin (range) | Amphotericin B (range) | Fluconazole (range) | Itraconazole (range) |
|--------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Fusarium solani (𝑛=22)  | 29.3 (8–128)      | 6.3 (1–32)             | 128 (≥128)          | 113.7 (64–128)       |
| Fusarium delphinoidei (𝑛=4) | 12 (8–16)        | 12 (8–16)              | 128 (≥128)          | 128 (≥128)          |
| Aspergillus flavus (𝑛=6) | 32 (32)           | 0.35 (0.2–0.5)         | 128 (≥128)          | 1.5 (1–2)            |
| Aspergillus terreus (𝑛=3) | 13.3 (8–16)       | 2.5 (1–3)              | 26.6 (16–32)        | 0.25 (0.25)          |
| Aspergillus niger (𝑛=1)  | 8                 | 0.06                   | 128                 | 64                   |
| Aspergillus tamarii (𝑛=1)| 64                | 0.25                   | ≥128                | 0.25                 |
| Aspergillus tubingensis (𝑛=1) | 8              | 2                      | 32                  | 0.25                 |
| Aspergillus sydowii (𝑛=1) | 4                 | 4                      | ≥128                | ≥128                 |
| Aspergillus versicolor (𝑛=1) | 4                | 2                      | 32                  | 0.25                 |
| Curvularia lunata (𝑛=4)  | 12.5 (2–32)       | 16 (0.25–32)           | 128 (≥128)          | 128 (≥128)          |
| Excherohelum rostratum (𝑛=2) | 2 (2–4)         | 1 (0.5–2)              | 32 (32)             | 0.25 (0.25–0.5)      |
| Cladorrhinum bulbilossum (𝑛=1) | 0.064          | 0.064                  | 0.32                | 0.016                |
| Lasiodiplodia theobromae (𝑛=1) | 0.064         | 0.064                  | 0.32                | 0.016                |
| Papulaspora spp. (𝑛=1)   | 0.064             | 0.064                  | 0.32                | 0.016                |

*Geometric mean of MIC.

Fusarium specimens. We established that hyphal thickness was greater in the Dematiaceous samples as compared to the specimens from other groups. Since brown coloration of the fungal cell wall may not be seen in all Dematiaceous cases [33, 38, 39], we believe that hyphal thickness can be used as an indication of Dematiaceous fungi.

Molecular identification of keratitis causing Fusarium and Aspergillus isolates has been reported earlier [9–12].
Figure 4: Growth of fungi from scraping material after (a) 24 hrs, (b and c) *Fusarium* and *Aspergillus* samples after 48 hrs, (d and f) Dematiaceous samples, and (g) *Lasiodiplodia* sample.

**Table 3: Clinical outcomes of *Fusarium*, *Aspergillus*, and Dematiaceous keratitis.**

| Groups         | Mean visual acuity before treatment (LOGMAR) | Mean visual acuity after treatment (LOGMAR) | Poor response (No PL to PL/PL to PR) | Slight response (improved Snelens/CF to Snelens) | Good response (PL to CF/Snelens) | Worsened |
|----------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|
| *Fusarium*     | 1.82 (0.8–3)                                | 1.17 (0–3)                                | 3 (20%)                             | 8 (53.3%)                                    | 3 (20%)                         | 1 (6.7%) |
| *Aspergillus*  | 2.08 (0.48–3)                               | 1.68 (0–3)                                | 4 (16.7%)                           | 12 (49.9%)                                   | 5 (20.9%)                      | 3 (12.5%) |
| Dematiaceous   | 2.45 (0.48–3)                               | 0.91 (0–2)                                | 0                                   | 3 (30%)                                       | 7 (70%)*                        | 0         |

*P value < 0.05 when compared to the other two groups.

However, in cases of *Fusarium* and *Aspergillus*, it was established that the ITS region alone cannot discriminate between close species [40]. A comparative sequence analysis of other genes such as EF-1 and RPB2 for *Fusarium* and β-tubulin for *Aspergillus* is necessary for species identification within the complex. There is only one such study from India, where complete identification of *Aspergillus* species was done [10]. In a recent study on *Fusarium* keratitis where the ITS region was used, most isolates belonged to the *Fusarium solani* species complex [12]. In case of *Fusarium*, the MLST web site was found to be more convenient than NCBI. We found that a sequence comparison of the sole ITS region at the NCBI database accurately identified the Dematiaceous isolates.

Till date, four studies have explored the *in vitro* antifungal susceptibility patterns among keratitis-causing fungal isolates from India [13–16]. Our results are similar to those of Lalitha et al. [14] and show that amphotericin B and natamycin have the lowest MICs for *Fusarium* and *Aspergillus* species. A study on *Fusarium* keratitis isolates demonstrated high levels of *in vitro* resistance to voriconazole, amphotericin B, and natamycin [12]. Our results corroborate with their findings that, across the *Fusarium solani* species complex (FSSC), amphotericin B had the lowest MIC values. Antifungal susceptibility of *Aspergillus* species was recently reported in a study from India, and our MIC data is similar in the case of Fluconazole but slightly lower for Natamycin and Amphotericin B [10]. Studies on *Aspergillus* isolates from other infections also report low MIC ranges similar to ours for *A. fumigatus*, *A. flavus*, and *A. niger* [41]. In our study, only one isolate, *A. versicolor*, showed a high MIC value (16 μg/mL) and these results are contradictory to the MIC values (1-2 μg/mL) reported previously [42]. Antifungal susceptibility
to A. terreus has been shown in many studies with a very high variability in MIC values [20]. In a report on keratitis causing A. terreus isolates, ketoconazole was shown to be the most effective agent [43]. Our results also show ketoconazole to be the most effective agent against A. terreus.

We found a good response in the pigmented keratitis group, and this was correlated with the lower MIC values. However, due to the small sample size, the results were not statistically significant. A similar observation was made earlier, where in vitro susceptibility to natamycin correlated with a favourable clinical response [16]. They attributed the earlier, where not statistically significant. A similar observation was made in vitro with a favourable clinical response [16]. They attributed the earlier, where not statistically significant. A similar observation was made between the Corneal perforation and higher MIC values [13].

Despite the fact that we used a small sample size in each group, we noted pivotal dissimilarities between the groups. This study demonstrates important differences in microscopic features between Fusarium, Aspergillus, and Dematiaceous molds from clinical samples. The antifungal susceptibility results suggest that accurate identification would aid in specific treatment strategies.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by a research Grant under the Women's Scientists Scheme (WOS-A), DST, Government of India (no. SR/WOS-A/LS-120/2008) and partly by ICMR Grant (5/3/3/3/2010-ECD-I).

References

[1] J. Chander, N. Single, N. Agnihotri, S. K. Arya, and A. Deep, "Keratomycosis in and around Chandigarh: a five-year study from a north Indian tertiary care hospital," Indian Journal of Pathology and Microbiology, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 304–306, 2008.

[2] A. Chowdhary and K. Singh, "Spectrum of fungal keratitis in North India," Cornea, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 8–15, 2005.

[3] S. D. Deshpande and G. V. Koppikar, "A study of mycotic keratitis in Mumbai," Indian Journal of Pathology and Microbiology, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 81–87, 1999.

[4] U. Gopinathan, S. Sharma, P. Garg, and G. N. Rao, "Review of epidemiological features, microbiological diagnosis and treatment outcome of microbial keratitis: experience of over a decade," Indian Journal of Ophthalmology, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 273–279, 2009.

[5] M. J. Bharathi, R. Ramakrishnan, R. Meenakshi, S. Padmavathy, C. Shivakumar, and M. Srinivasan, "Microbial keratitis in South India: Influence of risk factors, climate, and geographical variation," Ophthalmic Epidemiology, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 61–69, 2007.

[6] M. Srinivasan, M. P. Upadhyay, B. Priyadarssini, R. Mahalakshmi, and J. P. Whitcher, "Corneal ulceration in south-east Asia III: prevention of fungal keratitis at the village level in south India using topical antibiotics," British Journal of Ophthalmology, vol. 90, no. 12, pp. 1472–1475, 2006.

[7] M. A. G. Tanure, E. J. Cohen, S. Sudesh, C. J. Rapuano, and P. R. Laibson, "Spectrum of fungal keratitis at Wills eye hospital, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania," Cornea, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 307–312, 2000.

[8] C. L. Schock, K. A. Seifert, S. Huhndorf et al., "Nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region as a universal DNA barcode marker for Fungi," Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 109, no. 16, pp. 6241–6246, 2012.

[9] M. Azor, J. Gené, J. Cano, and J. Guarr, "Universal in vitro anti-fungal resistance of genetic clades of the Fusarium solani species complex," Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 1500–1503, 2007.

[10] P. Manikandan, J. Varga, S. Kocsobé et al., "Epidemiology of Aspergillus keratitis at a tertiary care eye hospital in South India and antifungal susceptibilities of the causative agents," Mycoses, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 26–32, 2013.

[11] K. O’Donnell, D. A. Sutton, A. Fothergill et al., "Molecular phylogenetic diversity, multilocus haplotype nomenclature, and in vitro antifungal resistance within the Fusarium solani species complex," Journal of Clinical Microbiology, vol. 46, no. 8, pp. 2477–2490, 2008.

[12] R. A. Oechsler, M. R. Feilmeier, D. Miller et al., "Fusarium keratitis: genotyping, in vitro susceptibility and clinical outcomes," Cornea, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 667–673, 2013.

[13] P. Lalitha, N. V. Prajna, C. E. Oldenburg et al., "Organism, minimum inhibitory concentration, and outcome in a fungal corneal ulcer clinical trial," Cornea, vol. 31, no. 6, pp. 662–667, 2012.

[14] P. Lalitha, L. B. Shapiro, M. Srinivasan et al., "Antifungal susceptibility of Fusarium, Aspergillus, and other filamentous fungi isolated from keratitis," Archives of Ophthalmology, vol. 125, no. 6, pp. 789–793, 2007.

[15] P. Lalitha, R. Vijaykumar, N. V. Prajna, and A. W. Fothergill, "In vitro natamycin susceptibility of ocular isolates of Fusarium and Aspergillus species: comparison of commercially formulated natamycin eye drops to pharmaceutical-grade powder," Journal of Clinical Microbiology, vol. 46, no. 10, pp. 3477–3478, 2008.

[16] L. Pradhan, S. Sharma, S. Nalamada, S. K. Sahu, S. Das, and P. Garg, "Natamycin in the treatment of keratomycosis: correlation of treatment outcome and in vitro susceptibility of fungal isolates," Indian Journal of Ophthalmology, vol. 59, no. 6, pp. 512–514, 2011.

[17] A. Alaustrey-Izuquierdo, M. Cuenca-Estrella, A. Monzón, E. Mellado, and J. L. Rodríguez-Tudela, "Antifungal susceptibility profile of clinical Fusarium spp. isolates identified by molecular methods," Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 805–809, 2008.

[18] N. J. Iqbal, A. Boey, B. J. Park, and M. E. Brandt, "Determination of in vitro susceptibility of ocular Fusarium spp. isolates from keratitis cases and comparison of clinical and laboratory standards institute M38-A2 and E test methods," Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 348–350, 2008.

[19] J. Chander, A. Chakrabarti, A. Sharma, J. S. Saini, and D. Panigari, "Evaluation of calcofluor staining in the diagnosis of fungal corneal ulcer," Mycoses, vol. 36, no. 7–8, pp. 243–245, 1993.

[20] G. S. de Hoog, J. Guarr, J. Gené, and M. J. Figueras, Atlas of Clinical Fungi, 3rd edition, 2009.

[21] Institute CLS, Reference Method For Broth Dilution Antifungal Susceptibility Testing of Filamentous Fungi, Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, Pa, USA, 2nd edition, 2008.
[22] S. L. Sharma, “Keratomycosis in corneal sepsis,” Indian Journal of Ophthalmology, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 443–445, 1981.

[23] O. P. Kulshrestha, S. Bhargava, and M. K. Dube, “Keratomycosis: a report of 23 cases,” Indian Journal of Ophthalmology, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 51–55, 1973.

[24] M. J. Bharathi, R. Ramakrishnan, S. Vasu, R. Meenakshi, and R. Palaniappan, “Epidemiological characteristics and laboratory diagnosis of fungal keratitis. A three-year study,” Indian Journal of Ophthalmology, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 315–321, 2003.

[25] A. Kumar, S. Pandya, G. Kavathia et al., “Microbial keratitis in Gujarat, Western India: findings from 200 cases,” The Pan African Medical Journal, vol. 10, no. 48, 2011.

[26] A. Tewari, N. Sood, M. M. Vegad, and D. C. Mehta, “Epidemiology and microbiological profile of infective keratitis in Ahmedabad,” Indian Journal of Ophthalmology, vol. 60, no. 4, pp. 267–272, 2012.

[27] R. Nath, S. Baruah, L. Saikia, B. Devi, A. Borthakur, and J. Mahanta, “Mycotic corneal ulcers in upper Assam,” Indian Journal of Ophthalmology, vol. 59, no. 5, pp. 367–371, 2011.

[28] M. J. Bharathi, R. Ramakrishnan, R. Meenakshi, C. Shivakumar, and L. L. Raj, “Analysis of the risk factors predisposing to fungal, bacterial & Acanthamoeba keratitis in south India,” Indian Journal of Medical Research, vol. 130, no. 6, pp. 749–757, 2009.

[29] F. M. Polack, H. E. Kaufman, and E. Newmark, “Keratomycosis. Medical and surgical treatment,” Archives of Ophthalmology, vol. 85, no. 4, pp. 410–416, 1971.

[30] M. Srinivasan, C. A. Gonzales, C. George et al., “Epidemiology and aetiological diagnosis of corneal ulceration in Madurai, south India,” British Journal of Ophthalmology, vol. 81, no. 11, pp. 965–971, 1997.

[31] P. A. Thomas, A. K. Leck, and M. Myatt, “Characteristic clinical features as an aid to the diagnosis of suppurative keratitis caused by filamentous fungi,” British Journal of Ophthalmology, vol. 89, no. 12, pp. 1554–1558, 2005.

[32] R. Srinivasan, R. Kanungo, and J. L. Goyal, “Spectrum of ocular mycosis in South India,” Acta Ophthalmologica, vol. 69, no. 6, pp. 744–749, 1991.

[33] P. Garg, U. Gopinathan, K. Choudhary, and G. N. Rao, “Keratomycosis: clinical and microbiologic experience with dematiaceous fungi,” Ophthalmology, vol. 107, no. 3, pp. 574–580, 2000.

[34] P. Garg, G. K. Vemuganti, S. Chatarjee, U. Gopinathan, and G. N. Rao, “Pigmented plaque presentation of dematiaceous fungal keratitis: a clinicopathologic correlation,” Cornea, vol. 23, no. 6, pp. 571–576, 2004.

[35] M. J. Bharathi, R. Ramakrishnan, R. Meenakshi, S. Mittal, C. Shivakumar, and M. Srinivasan, “Microbiological diagnosis of infective keratitis: comparative evaluation of direct microscopy and culture results,” British Journal of Ophthalmology, vol. 90, no. 10, pp. 1271–1276, 2006.

[36] K. Liu, D. N. Howell, J. R. Perfect, and W. A. Schell, “Morphologic criteria for the preliminary identification of Fusarium, Paecilomyces, and Acremonium species by histopathology,” American Journal of Clinical Pathology, vol. 109, no. 1, pp. 45–54, 1998.

[37] P. A. Thomas, C. A. N. Jesudasan, P. Geraldine, and J. Kaliamurthy, “Adventitious sporulation in Fusarium keratitis,” Graefes Archive for Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology, vol. 249, no. 9, pp. 1429–1431, 2011.

[38] R. K. Forster, G. Rebell, and L. A. Wilson, “Dematiaceous fungal keratitis. Clinical isolates and management,” British Journal of Ophthalmology, vol. 59, no. 7, pp. 372–376, 1975.

[39] K. R. Wilhelmus and D. B. Jones, “Curvularia keratitis,” Transactions of the American Ophthalmological Society, vol. 99, pp. III–130, 2001.

[40] S. A. Balajee, A. M. Borman, M. E. Brandt et al., “Sequence-based identification of Aspergillus, Fusarium, and mucorales species in the clinical mycology laboratory: where are we and where should we go from here?” Journal of Clinical Microbiology, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 877–884, 2009.

[41] M. Murphy, E. M. Bernard, T. Ishimaru, and D. Armstrong, “Activity of voriconazole (UK-109,496) against clinical isolates of Aspergillus species and its effectiveness in an experimental model of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis,” Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 696–698, 1997.

[42] D. J. Diekema, S. A. Messer, R. J. Hollis, R. N. Jones, and M. A. Pfaller, “Activities of caspofungin, itraconazole, posaconazole, ravuconazole, voriconazole, and amphotericin B against 448 recent clinical isolates of filamentous fungi,” Journal of Clinical Microbiology, vol. 41, no. 8, pp. 3623–3626, 2003.

[43] S. M. Singh, S. Sharma, and P. K. Chatterjee, “Clinical and experimental mycotic keratitis caused by Aspergillus terreus and the effect of subconjunctival oxiconazole treatment in the animal model,” Mycopathologia, vol. 112, no. 3, pp. 127–137, 1990.

[44] S. Sengupta, S. Rajan, P. R. Reddy et al., “Comparative study on the incidence and outcomes of pigmented versus non pigmented keratomycosis,” Indian Journal of Ophthalmology, vol. 59, no. 4, pp. 291–296, 2011.