In addition to marine and marine-continental transitional strata, the continental ones are also widely distributed in various oil and gas-bearing basins in China. The continental shale generally provides favorable material bases for hydrocarbon generation, such as wide distribution, large thickness, multiple series of strata, high TOC content, nice organic matter type, and moderate thermal evolution. Part of such shale contains shale oil, but the pore space characteristics for the occurrence of this oil are not thoroughly studied. In order to accurately and quantitatively characterize the pore space where the continental shale oil in different types of lithofacies occurs, we sampled the rock cores from the Middle Jurassic Lianggaoshan Formation in the southeastern Sichuan Basin of the Upper Yangtze Area. The TOC content and mineral composition were analyzed, and we also carried out experiments on CO₂ and N₂ adsorptions, high-pressure mercury injection, and wash oil. Results show significant differences in pore space characteristics for the occurrence of shale oil in different types of lithofacies. In organic-rich mixed and clayey mudstones with the highest TOC content, the free shale oil, occupying the largest reservoir space, mainly occurs in macropores and mesopores, and the adsorbed shale oil, occupying the largest reservoir space, mainly occurs in mesopores. In the organic-bearing clayey mudstone, which has a higher TOC content, the free shale oil takes a larger reservoir space and mainly occurs in macropores, followed by mesopores, and the absorbed one, occupying a larger reservoir space, mostly occurs in mesopores and then the mesopores. The organic-bearing mixed mudstone has a moderate TOC content, in which the free shale oil occupies a smaller reservoir space and primarily occurs in mesopores, followed by macropores, and the absorbed one, which takes a larger reservoir space, all occurs in mesopores. In the fine sandstone, the free shale oil occupies a smaller reservoir space and primarily occurs in mesopores, while the absorbed one occupies a smaller reservoir space and all occurs in mesopores.
1. Introduction

The change in geological concepts and the achievements made in horizontal well drilling and fracturing technologies in recent years brought a remarkable breakthrough in China’s exploration of marine shale gas, and various shale gas fields have been successively built at Jiaoshiba, Weiyuan, Changning, Fusun-Yongchuan, Zhaotong, Luzhou, etc. [1–7] Besides, continental strata are also widely distributed in China’s oil and gas-bearing basins, including Sichuan, Junggar, and Bohai Bay Basins. In particular, the continental shale in Jianghan Basin is featured with wide distribution, large thickness, multiple series of strata, high TOC content, moderate thermal evolution, and good kerogen type. Therefore, oil companies, such as Petro-China and the Sinopec Group, have attached great importance to the geological research on continental shale oil and gas and have planned to exert more efforts in exploration during China’s “14th Five-Year Plan” [8–14].

Since shale pores are major reservoir spaces and seepage channels of shale oil, it is urgent to study the pore space characteristics for shale oil occurrence. Li et al. [15] argued that since shale oil mainly occurs in shale pores, research into the pore structure characteristics is the key to understand the mechanism of shale oil accumulation. In the lower third member of the continental Shahejie Formation in the Zhanhua Sag, shale micro-nanopore structure is qualitatively and quantitatively characterized with FE-SEM, CO₂ adsorption, N₂ adsorption, and high-pressure mercury injection [15]. Taking the continental shale of Zhanhua Sag in the Jiayang Depression of the Bohai Bay Basin as the examples, Su et al. used microscope and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) to examine the hydrocarbon generation and expulsion of nitrogen adsorption, reservoir properties, and oil-gas bearing capacity of different shale lithofacies [16]. To reveal the effects of shale reservoir characteristics on the movability of shale oil and its action mechanism in the lower third member of the Shahejie Formation, Ning et al. selected samples with different features and analyzed them using N₂ adsorption, high-pressure mercury injection capillary pressure (MICP), nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), high-speed centrifugation, and displacement image techniques [17].

In this work, the continental shale was sampled from the Middle Jurassic Lianggaoshan Formation in southeastern Sichuan Basin of the Upper Yangtze Area in South China, and the key TY1 exploration well was selected to study the characteristics of pore space where the continental shale oil in different types of lithofacies occurs (Figure 1). First, the shale lithofacies were classified by TOC content and mineral composition. Then, the shale samples collected from the same depth were divided into two groups. For one of them, the experiments on CO₂ and N₂ adsorptions and high-pressure mercury injection were conducted to characterize the distribution features of micropores, mesopores, and macropores, thus quantitatively characterizing the full-scale pore structure of these samples before extraction. For the other group, the shale oil was first extracted from samples by wash oil, and the above experiments were repeated to obtain the same kind of data. Finally, the data before and after extraction were compared to obtain the pore space characteristics.

2. Geological Settings

2.1. Tectonic Framework. The study area, located at the high-steep fault-fold zone of East Sichuan, is an arcuate tectonic belt composed of a series of arcuate mountains. It is bounded by the Huayingshan Fault Zone, is adjacent to the Central Sichuan Uplift in the west, and stretches eastwards to the Qiuyeshan Fault Zone on the Sichuan-Hubei border. The structural lineament mainly develops in the direction of NNE, extends towards NEE, and finally converges in the north [18–20]. In this tectonic belt, mountains are formed by high-steep anticlines with Permian-Triassic cores and asymmetrical wings, of which the gentle wing generally shows a stratigraphic dip of 20°–30°, while the steep one shows a stratigraphic dip of 40°–70° or a vertically inverted stratum. Besides, the broad valleys between these mountains are formed by wide and gentle synclines, which are developed in the Jurassic period and show a typical partition style both in structure and landform [21–24].

2.2. Sedimentology and Stratigraphy. The studied area in the Lianggaoshan Formation is divided into three members, of which both the first and second ones can be further divided into upper and lower submembers [25–30]. Meanwhile, this formation develops a set of gray siltstone, silty shale, black gray-dark gray shale, and fine gray siltstone. Besides, the dark gray shale primarily deposits in the upper submember of the first member and the lower submember of the second member, which are the strata suitable for the development of organic-rich shale (Figure 2). The Lianggaoshan Formation undergoes a complete cycle of lake transgression and regression during the sedimentation. Thereinto, the first member of this formation has sufficient provenance supply at the early stage of sedimentation and then experiences the lake transgression. The second member, mainly developing the shallow and semideep lacustrine deposits, reaches the maximum flood surface in the early stage and later experiences the lake regression. It is a favorable facies belt for the development of organic-rich shale. The third member primarily develops the coarse clastic materials in the delta front. Moreover, the upper submember of the first member and the lower submember of the second member are major strata, in which the dark argillaceous shale is developed [31–34].

3. Samples, Experiments, and Data Sources

In this study, the shale was sampled from the Lianggaoshan Formation in the TY1 well according to 14 depths, with the sample number shown in Table 1. First, the shale lithofacies were classified by measuring the TOC content of samples at the same depth with a Sievers 860 TOC analyzer and by performing the X-ray diffraction analysis on whole rocks and minerals with a YST-1 mineral analyzer. Then, the shale samples collected from the same depth were equally divided into two groups. For one group, the BSD-PM1/2
instrument was used for the CO$_2$ adsorption experiment to obtain the pore size distribution characteristics of micropores (<2 nm), the BSD-PS1/2/4 instrument was used for the N$_2$ adsorption experiment to obtain such characteristics of mesopores (2~50 nm), and the 3H-2000PS2 instrument was used for the high-pressure mercury injection experiment to obtain such characteristics of macropores (>50 nm). Then, the data about these three experiments were combined to determine the joint characterization for the full-scale pore structure of samples before the shale oil was extracted.

As for the other group, the DY-6 instrument was used for the wash oil experiment to extract the shale oil from samples at the same depth. Similarly, the CO$_2$ adsorption experiment was conducted with the BSD-PM1/2 instrument to obtain the pore size distribution characteristics of micropores (<2 nm), the N$_2$ adsorption experiment was conducted with BSD-PS1/2/4 instrument to obtain such characteristics of mesopores (2~50 nm), and the high-pressure mercury injection experiment was conducted with a 3H-2000PS2 instrument to obtain such characteristics of macropores (>50 nm). Then, the data about these three experiments were combined to determine the joint characterization for the full-scale pore structure of samples after the shale oil was extracted. Finally, the characterization results before and after extraction were compared to obtain the quantitative characterization of pore space for the occurrence of shale oil in different types of lithofacies.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Division of Shale Lithofacies. In previous studies, the shale lithofacies were divided based on the mineral composition and TOC content. (1) It is classified into 4 types according to the mineral composition: clayey shale (clay minerals ≥ 50%), calcareous shale (carbonate minerals ≥ 50%), and mixed shale (siliceous, clay, and carbonate minerals < 50%) (Figure 3). (2) It is classified into 3 types according to the TOC content: organic-lean shale (TOC content = 0% ~ 1%), organic-bearing shale (TOC content = 1% ~ 2%), and organic-rich shale (TOC content ≥ 2%). When the two classification methods are integrated, there will be $3 \times 4 = 12$ types of lithofacies [35–42]. Table 1 shows different types of shale lithofacies divided based on the TOC content and mineral composition of 14 shale samples.

4.2. Pore Structure Characteristics for Different Types of Shale Lithofacies before and after the Extraction of Shale Oil. In the Lianggaoshan Formation, the pore volume and surface area provide the occurrence space for free and absorbed shale oils, respectively [43–45]. In this paper, the shale samples at the same depth were divided into two groups, one of which was analyzed to quantitatively characterize the full-scale pore size of samples containing the shale oil by characterizing the distribution features of micropores, mesopores, and macropores through experiments on CO$_2$ and N$_2$ adsorptions and high-pressure mercury injection, respectively [46–55].

For the other group, the wash oil was used to extract and remove the shale oil from sample pores at the same depth. Then similarly, the distribution features of micropores, mesopores, and macropores were characterized by experiments on CO$_2$ and N$_2$ adsorptions and high-pressure mercury injection, respectively, thus quantitatively characterizing the full-scale pore size of samples without shale oil [56–60], as shown in Figures 4 and 5.

4.2.1. Pore Volume Characteristics for the Occurrence of Shale Oil. The analysis on pore volume characteristics of rock reservoirs adopted the experimental data after the
| Stratum        | Depth (m) | GR (API) | TOC (%) |
|---------------|-----------|----------|---------|
| Third member  | 2400      | 0        | 0       |
| Second member | 2420      | 150      | 3       |
| First member  | 2440      | 0        | 0       |
| Lianggaoshan formation | 2460  | 0        | 0       |
| Upper         | 2480      | 150      | 3       |
| Lower         | 2500      | 0        | 0       |
| Second member | 2520      | 150      | 3       |
| Upper         | 2540      | 0        | 0       |
| Lower         | 2560      | 150      | 3       |
| First member  | 2580      | 0        | 0       |
| Upper         | 2600      | 150      | 3       |
| Lower         | 2620      | 0        | 0       |

Figure 2: Column diagram and core photos of the Middle Jurassic Ranggosan Formation stratigraphy in well TY1. (a) Stratigraphic column diagram of the TY1 well; (b) dark gray shale of the upper subsection of the first section of the Ranggosan Formation in TY1 well, 2579 m; (c) gray-black shale of the lower subsection of the second section of the Ranggosan Formation in TY1 well, 2542 m; (d) gray fine sandstone of the third section of the Ranggosan Formation in TY1 well, 2421 m. See Figure 1 for the well locations.
Table 1: Experimental sample depth, layer section, and petrographic classification.

| Sample number | Depth (m)  | Formation                                                              | Lithofacies                        |
|---------------|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1             | 2403.93    | The third member of Lianggaoshan Formation                             | Organic-bearing mixed shale        |
| 2             | 2466.7     | Upper submember of the second member of Lianggaoshan Formation         | Organic-rich clayey shale          |
| 3             | 2470.82    | Upper submember of the second member of Lianggaoshan Formation         | Fine sandstone                     |
| 4             | 2515.82    | Lower submember of the second member of Lianggaoshan Formation         | Organic-bearing clayey shale       |
| 5             | 2527.04    | Lower submember of the second member of Lianggaoshan Formation         | Organic-rich clayey shale          |
| 6             | 2540.45    | Lower submember of the second member of Lianggaoshan Formation         | Organic-rich clayey shale          |
| 7             | 2542.24    | Lower submember of the second member of Lianggaoshan Formation         | Organic-rich clayey shale          |
| 8             | 2547.35    | Lower submember of the second member of Lianggaoshan Formation         | Organic-rich mixed shale           |
| 9             | 2552.98    | Lower submember of the second member of Lianggaoshan Formation         | Organic-rich clayey shale          |
| 10            | 2555.65    | Lower submember of the second member of Lianggaoshan Formation         | Organic-rich clayey shale          |
| 11            | 2573.32    | Upper submember of the first member of Lianggaoshan Formation          | Organic-rich mixed shale           |
| 12            | 2576.98    | Upper submember of the first member of Lianggaoshan Formation          | Organic-bearing clayey shale       |
| 13            | 2579.45    | Upper submember of the first member of Lianggaoshan Formation          | Organic-bearing clayey shale       |
| 14            | 2589.7     | Upper submember of the first member of Lianggaoshan Formation          | Organic-bearing clayey shale       |

Figure 3: Shale petrographic diagram based on mineralogical composition.
(a) 2403.93 m, organic-bearing mixed shale

(b) 2466.7 m, organic-rich clayey shale

(c) 2470.82 m, fine sandstone

(d) 2515.82 m, organic-bearing clayey shale

Figure 4: Continued.
Figure 4: Continued.
(i) 2552.98 m, organic-rich clayey shale

(j) 2555.65 m, organic-rich clayey shale

(k) 2573.32 m, organic-rich mixed shale

Figure 4: Continued.
Figure 4: Pore volume features based on the joint characterization for full-scale pore size of different shale samples in TY1 well. Green: pore volume data of shale samples before the extraction of shale oil; Red: pore volume data of shale samples after the extraction of shale oil.
Figure 5: Continued.
| Pore surface area (m²/g) | Pore size (nm) |
|-------------------------|---------------|
| 0.3–0.5                 | 0.5–0.7       |
| 0.7–0.9                 | 1.1–1.3       |
| 1.3–1.5                 | 1.7–1.9       |
| 2.3–2.5                 | 3.5–3.7       |
| 5–7                     | 10–12         |
| 15–20                   | 30–40         |
| 50–100                  | 500–1000      |
| 5000–1000               | 50000–100000  |

(d) 2515.82 m, organic-bearing clayey shale

| Pore surface area (m²/g) | Pore size (nm) |
|-------------------------|---------------|
| 0.3–0.5                 | 0.5–0.7       |
| 0.7–0.9                 | 1.1–1.3       |
| 1.3–1.5                 | 1.7–1.9       |
| 2.3–2.5                 | 3.5–3.7       |
| 5–7                     | 10–12         |
| 15–20                   | 30–40         |
| 50–100                  | 500–1000      |
| 5000–1000               | 50000–100000  |

(e) 2527.04 m, organic-rich clayey shale

| Pore surface area (m²/g) | Pore size (nm) |
|-------------------------|---------------|
| 0.3–0.5                 | 0.5–0.7       |
| 0.7–0.9                 | 1.1–1.3       |
| 1.3–1.5                 | 1.7–1.9       |
| 2.3–2.5                 | 3.5–3.7       |
| 5–7                     | 10–12         |
| 15–20                   | 30–40         |
| 50–100                  | 500–1000      |
| 5000–1000               | 50000–100000  |

(f) 2540.45 m, organic-rich clayey shale

| Pore surface area (m²/g) | Pore size (nm) |
|-------------------------|---------------|
| 0.3–0.5                 | 0.5–0.7       |
| 0.7–0.9                 | 1.1–1.3       |
| 1.3–1.5                 | 1.7–1.9       |
| 2.3–2.5                 | 3.5–3.7       |
| 5–7                     | 10–12         |
| 15–20                   | 30–40         |
| 50–100                  | 500–1000      |
| 5000–1000               | 50000–100000  |

(g) 2542.24 m, organic-rich clayey shale

**Figure 5: Continued.**
Figure 5: Continued.
By calculating the difference of the pore volume before and after extraction, the volume of pores where the free shale oil occurs can be obtained. As shown in Figure 6(a), the free shale oil contained in organic-rich clayey shale occupies the largest pore volume of about 0.01 ml/g, which is followed by the value of about 0.008 ml/g in organic-rich mixed shale and organic-bearing clayey shale; this oil contained in fine sandstone approximately occupies a pore volume of 0.005 ml/g; and it occupies the smallest pore volume of about 0.004 ml/g in organic-bearing mixed shale.
The main contributing pore types to the pore volume of the oil-bearing pores in the free state shale differ between the petrographic phases. According to Figures 6(b)–6(d), the free shale oil contained in organic-rich and organic-bearing clayey shales mainly occurs in macropores, which are followed by mesopores; that contained in organic-rich and organic-bearing mixed shales occurs mainly in mesopores, followed by macropores; and that contained in the fine sandstone primarily occurs in mesopores.

4.2.2. Pore Surface Area Characteristics for the Occurrence of Shale Oil. Similar to the pore volume, the analysis on the pore surface area characteristics of rock reservoirs also adopted the experimental data after the shale oil was extracted. The organic-rich clayey mudstone shows the highest pore surface area of about 12 m$^2$/g; the organic-rich mixed shale, organic-matter-bearing clayey mudstone, and fine sandstone have a value of about 10 m$^2$/g; and the organic-matter-bearing mixed shale shows the lowest value of 6.5 m$^2$/g. In all types of lithofacies, the pore surface area is primarily provided by mesopores (60%–90%) and then by micropores (5%–40%).

The difference of pore surface area before and after extraction helps to determine the surface area of pores where the absorbed shale oil occurs. As shown in Figure 7(a), the absorbed shale oil contained in organic-rich clayey shale occupies the largest pore surface area of over 4 m$^2$/g, and the values are similar in organic-rich mixed shale, organic-bearing clayey shale, and fine sandstone, fluctuating around 3 m$^2$/g.

Similarly, the pore surface area for the occurrence of shale oil in various types of lithofacies is provided by different pore types. According to Figures 7(b)–7(d), the absorbed shale oil contained in organic-bearing mixed shale and fine sandstone all occurs in mesopores; that contained in organic-rich clayey and mixed shales primarily occurs in mesopores, which are followed by micropores; and that contained in organic-bearing clayey shale mainly occurs in micropores, which are followed by mesopores.
5. Conclusions

In this paper, the rock cores are sampled from the Middle Jurassic Lianggaoshan Formation in southeastern Sichuan Basin of the Upper Yangtze area and are studied based on their TOC content, mineral composition, and shale lithofacies. Meanwhile, the experiments on CO$_2$ and N$_2$ adsorptions and high-pressure mercury injection are carried out before and after the shale oil is extracted by wash oil to compare the quantitative characterizations for the full-scale pore size and structure of samples before and after such extraction, thus obtaining the pore space characteristics for shale oil occurrence in different types of lithofacies. The following conclusions have been drawn.

1. The characteristics of reservoirs vary significantly in different types of lithofacies. The organic-rich mixed and clayey mudstones, with the highest TOC content and the largest pore volume and surface area, provide abundant space for the occurrence of shale oil. Among them, macropores and mesopores contribute to the pore volume and surface area, respectively. In these two types of lithofacies, the free shale oil occupies the most reservoir space and predominantly occurs in macropores and mesopores; and the absorbed one occupies the largest reservoir space and primarily occurs in mesopores.

2. The organic-bearing clayey mudstone, with higher TOC content and larger pore volume and surface area, provides a certain space for the occurrence of shale oil. Notably, the pore volume and surface area originate from macropores and mesopores, respectively. In these lithofacies, the free shale oil occupies a larger space and mainly occurs in macropores, followed by mesopores, and the absorbed one also occupies a larger space and primarily occurs in micropores and then the mesopores.

Figure 7: Pore surface area characteristics for the occurrence of absorbed shale oil in different types of lithofacies in TY1 well.
(3) The organic-bearing mixed mudstone has moderate TOC content and smaller pore volume and surface area, offering certain space for shale oil occurrence. Its pore volume and surface area are provided by macropores and mesopores, respectively. In this lithofacies, the free shale oil occupies a smaller reservoir space and mostly occurs in mesopores and then the macropores, and the absorbed one occupies a larger reservoir space and all occurs in mesopores.

(4) The fine sandstone has a larger pore volume and surface area, which originate from macropores and mesopores, respectively, providing great space for the occurrence of shale oil. In these lithofacies, the free shale oil occupies a smaller reservoir space and mostly occurs in mesopores, and the absorbed one occupies a smaller reservoir space and all occurs in mesopores.
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