Epigraph hemagglutinin vaccine induces broad cross-reactive immunity against swine H3 influenza virus
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Influenza A virus infection in swine impacts the agricultural industry in addition to its zoonotic potential. Here, we utilize epigraph, a computational algorithm, to design a universal swine H3 influenza vaccine. The epigraph hemagglutinin proteins are delivered using an Adenovirus type 5 vector and are compared to a wild type hemagglutinin and the commercial inactivated vaccine, FluSure. In mice, epigraph vaccination leads to significant cross-reactive antibody and T-cell responses against a diverse panel of swH3 isolates. Epigraph vaccination also reduces weight loss and lung viral titers in mice after challenge with three divergent swH3 viruses. Vaccination studies in swine, the target species for this vaccine, show stronger levels of cross-reactive antibodies and T-cell responses after immunization with the epigraph vaccine compared to the wild type and FluSure vaccines. In both murine and swine models, epigraph vaccination shows superior cross-reactive immunity that should be further investigated as a universal swH3 vaccine.
I
nfluenza infection in swine is a highly contagious respiratory virus endemic in pig populations around the world. Influenza A virus in swine (IAV-S) can cause zoonotic infections in humans, representing a potential threat to human health. When the influenza virus of swine origin infects humans, it is termed a variant infection. Since 2010, there have been >460 reported IAV-S variant infections in humans in the United States of America. Pigs are susceptible to swine, avian, and human influenza viruses, making them the perfect "mixing vessel" for novel reassorted influenza viruses. These novel reassorted viruses have significant pandemic potential if zoonosis occurs, as seen with 2009 H1N1 "swine flu" pandemic. This highly-reassorted swine-origin influenza virus quickly circulated the globe and infected a staggering 24% of the world's human population. As the first influenza pandemic of the twenty-first century, this highlights the threat that zoonotic IAV-S poses to human health.

IAV-S not only poses a potential human health threat from zoonosis, but it also represents a significant burden on the pork industry. IAV-S infection of pigs results in high morbidity, with many of the same symptoms as human influenza infections. IAV-S infection can cause tremendous economic loss to swine producers, with cost estimates as high as $10.31 per market pig. In the USA, over 95% of swine nursery sites vaccinated weaned pigs against IAV-S infection. However, 50% of those sites also reported IAV-S infections in their herds despite vaccination. This highlights the ongoing challenge of vaccinating against the highly diverse and evolving influenza virus. Currently, most commercial IAV-S vaccines are traditional whole inactivated virus (WIV) vaccines containing both H1 and H3 subtypes, often with an oil-in-water adjuvant. However, these commercial vaccines are infrequently updated and do not protect against the large diversity of IAV-S circulating in the swine population. This has led to the use of autogenous, or custom, vaccines that contain herd-specific IAV-S strains and are limited to use within that herd. An estimated 50% of IAV-S vaccines sold are autogenous vaccines. However, autogenous vaccines have multiple drawbacks, including labor-intensive laboratory techniques for diagnosis, isolation, virus growth, and purification, which results in a lag period before the vaccine can be administered. The limited strains that were currently available in commercial swine influenza vaccines paired with the significant drawback to autogenous vaccines highlight the urgent need for a universal swine influenza vaccine. A universal swine influenza vaccine could reduce the economic impact of IAV-S on the pork industry, along with reducing the risk of emergent zoonotic influenza viruses into the human population.

Currently, the IAV-S subtypes H1N1, H1N2, and H3N2 circulating in the swine population worldwide. We chose to focus on the swine H3 (swH3) subtype for this study because the H3N2 subtype accounted for >90% of the IAV-S variant human infections reported in the US since 2010. The swH3 subtype is highly diverse, with multiple human-to-swine introduction events establishing the contemporary H3N2 strains circulating in different regions of the world. In Europe, the swine H3N2 subtype emerged in the early 1970s from the introduction of a human lineage H3N2 strain. However, in North America, the H3 subtype was not found in the swine population until 1998 when a triple-reassorted H3N2 virus emerged. The North American strains are divided into clusters I–IV, with cluster IV further divided into A–F, and are divergent from contemporary Eurasian strains. Additionally, in 2010–2011, a human seasonal H3N2 was transmitted to North American swine and established a lineage of human-like H3 viruses that are antigenically distinct from other North American clusters. The high diversity of the swH3 population represents a significant challenge in the development of a vaccine that induces strong levels of broadly cross-reactive immunity.

This study aims to evaluate a vaccine antigen designer, called the Epigraph vaccine designer tool, for the design of a universal swH3 influenza vaccine. The epigraph is a graph-based algorithm that creates a cocktail of vaccine antigens designed to maximize the potential epitope coverage of a highly diverse population. This epigraph algorithm has been used to predict therapeutic HIV vaccine candidates and has shown promising potential in vivo as a Pan-Filovirus vaccine. Here, we utilize the Epigraph vaccine designer in the development of a universal swH3 vaccine by computationally designing a cocktail of three swH3 hemagglutinins (HA), a surface glycoprotein of influenza. This is the first report evaluating the epigraph algorithm for the design of a broadly reactive influenza vaccine. The epigraph HA immunogens were expressed in a replication-defective adenovirus type 5 (HAdV-5) vector and compared to a wild-type HA (TX98) and the commercial inactivated adjuvanted vaccine, FluSure. We evaluated the cross-reactivity of the epigraph vaccine by measuring both antibody and T-cell responses in mice and swine. Additionally, we evaluated cross-protective immunity against three diverse swH3 strains after challenge in mice. These data support the use of epigraph immunogens in the development of a universal swH3 vaccine.

**Results**

**Development and characterization of the swH3 epigraph HA vaccine.** We designed the swH3 epigraph HA using the Epigraph vaccine designer tool, a graph-based algorithm that creates a cocktail of immunogens designed to maximize potential epitope coverage in a population. First, the Epigraph vaccine designer determines the frequency of each potential epitope of designated length (k-mer) in the target population. The algorithm then uses a graph-based approach to trace a path across the HA protein that contains the most common epitopes in the population, resulting in a full length computationally designed HA protein (epigraph 1). The first epigraph, by design, tends to be very central in its composition (Fig. 1a). This algorithm then is repeated, to create complementary epigraph sequences that minimize, to the extent possible, potential epitopes contained in the previous epigraph immunogens. In this way, the epigraph 2 and 3 construct generally contain the second and third most common epitopes in the population, respectively. These sequences will appear as outliers in a phylogeny, as their composition reflects different k-mer frequencies from sequences throughout the tree (Fig. 1a). The resulting trivalent set of epigraph sequences provides the optimal coverage of potential linear epitopes in the population for a 3-protein set, minimizes the inclusion of rare epitopes that might result in type-specific immune responses, and although artificial, each epigraph resembles natural HA proteins to enable both the induction of antibody and T-cell responses.

The resulting three epigraph HA sequences were aligned back to the original swH3 sequence population and a phylogenetic tree was constructed to visualize their relationship to the swH3 population. The three epigraph swH3 immunogens localize across the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1a). To evaluate the computational design of the epigraph vaccine, we selected a HA gene that localizes near the center of the tree (A/swine/Texas/4199-2/1998 [TX98]) as a wild-type comparator. In addition, we also compared our epigraph vaccine to a commercial IAV-S vaccine, FluSure. FluSure is an inactivated, oil-in-water adjuvanted vaccine that contains two North American swH3 strains (along with two H1 strains), which belong to the North American IV-A and IV-B clusters. The three swH3 epigraph genes and the TX98 wild-type HA comparator were cloned into a replication-defective...
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Adenovirus type 5 (HAdV-5) vector and HA protein expression was confirmed via western blot (Fig. 1b) and virus particle (vp) to infectious unit ratios were determined to confirm approximate infectivity between the stocks (Supplementary Table 1).

Vaccination with epigraph lead to the development of a strong cross-reactive antibody response in mice. We first evaluated the immune response after vaccination in mice. BALB/c mice (n = 10) were vaccinated with 10^{10} vp of the HAdV-5-epigraph vaccine, which consisted of equal ratios of the three HAdV-5-epigraph viruses totaling 10^{10} vp. Our epigraph vaccine was compared to mice vaccinated with either 10^{10} vp of the HAdV-5-TX98 wild-type comparator or 50 μL of FluSure (which translates to 10X the equivalent dose of a 3-week-old pig). A PBS sham vaccine was used as a negative control. Three weeks later, mice were boosted with the same vaccine. Mice were sacrificed 2 weeks after boosting to examine the humoral and cellular immune response after vaccination (Fig. 2a). The cross-reactivity of the antibody response was examined using a hemagglutination inhibition (HI) assay. We selected a panel of 20 swH3 strains which represent much of the diversity of the swH3 phylogenetic tree. This panel contains representative strains from multiple North American clusters along with Eurasian isolates. In addition, the panel contains human-like strains from both the contemporary 2010 human-like lineage and a historical human-like strain that arose from a human-to-swine transmission event (Colorado/1977). A phylogenetic tree was constructed to examine the relationship of the selected 20 strains to the vaccine strains (Fig. 2b; Supplementary Table 2). Vaccination with the epigraph immunogens resulted in a strong cross-reactive antibody response, with HI titers ≥40 to 14 of the 20 (70%) swH3 strains. Epigraph vaccination showed the greatest cross-reactivity against North American and 2010 human-like strains, with HI titers ≥40 to 11 of the 13 (85%) North American strains and both 2010 human-like strains. For the Eurasian strains, epigraph vaccination induced HI titers ≥40 to 1 of the 4 Eurasian strains tested.

Importantly, epigraph vaccination-induced significantly higher antibody titers as compared to the TX98 and FluSure groups for 11 of the 20 of the swH3 strains (Fig. 2c). In contrast, the TX98 wild-type comparator and FluSure vaccinated mice developed strong antibody titers (≥40) to 3 of the 20 (15%) and 4 of the 20 (20%) swH3 strains, respectively. The TX98 group developed a strong antibody response to the matched virus Texas/1998 and limited cross-reactivity with only two other strains (Wyoming/2013 and Minnesota/2012). The FluSure vaccine group developed a strong antibody response to two cluster IV-A viruses and to the Minnesota/2012 cluster IV-B strain (a match for the vaccine strain). However, FluSure vaccination provided only limited cross-reactivity with mismatched viruses.

Epigraph immunized mice have a higher total T-cell response and recognize more epitopes from four divergent swH3 strains. Cross-reactive T cell responses have been shown to play an important role in viral clearance during influenza virus infection. Therefore, we wanted to evaluate if there was increased cross-reactivity of T-cell responses after vaccination with the epigraph vaccine. To examine the cross-reactivity, we selected four swH3 strains that represent a large portion of swH3 diversity. Peptide arrays for the Ohio/2011 strain (cluster IV-A), Manitoba/2005 (cluster IV), Texas/1998 (cluster I), and Colorado/1977 (human-like) were constructed. The T-cell response to each of the four strains was mapped using an IFNγ ELISPOT with an overlapping peptide array containing 17-mers with 10-amino acid overlap. Peptides were considered positive if the response was greater than 50 spot-forming cells (SFC) per million. Epigraph vaccinated mice recognized a greater number of epitopes across all four swH3 strains as compared to the TX98 vaccinated mice (Fig. 3a). Interestingly, the epigraph vaccine induces a significant and robust T-cell response to the Colorado/1977 virus despite not inducing a detectable antibody response against this strain. Therefore, this strain was selected specifically to examine the potential for cross-reactive T cells in the absence of detectable

**Fig. 1 Characterization of the epigraph vaccine constructs.** The three swH3 epigraph immunogens were computationally designed using the Epigraph vaccine designer tool to create a cocktail of immunogens designed to maximize potential epitope coverage in a population. The three epigraph hemagglutinin (HA) immunogens were aligned to the 1561 unique swine H3 HA sequences using a ClustalW alignment. A neighbor-joining tree was constructed to visualize the phylogenetic relationship between the vaccine immunogens and the population of swH3 sequences. The three epigraph immunogens, the Texas/1998 (TX98) wild-type HA comparator, and the two FluSure strains are labeled for reference on the phylogenetic tree. The epigraph, wildtype, and FluSure vaccines are shown in the blue, green, and black boxes, respectively. The North American clusters, 2010 human-like lineage, and Eurasian lineage are circled in a dotted line. All three epigraph immunogens and the TX98 HA were cloned into a replication-defective Adenovirus type 5 (HAdV-5) vector and HA protein expression was confirmed by western blot. GAPDH is used as a cellular protein loading control. Confirmation of HA protein expression was obtained from three independent western blot experiments.
cross-reactive antibodies. In contrast, FluSure vaccinated mice did not develop significant T-cell responses after vaccination (Fig. 3). The magnitude of the responses to each peptide revealed an immunodominant epitope in the HA1 region (amino acid 120–128 of the HA protein) that was positive in all four strains after vaccination with an epigraph. This epitope was predicted to bind strongly to the MHC-I complex of BALB/c mice and, therefore, is likely an immunodominant CD8 epitope (Fig. 3b; Supplementary Fig. 1). Interestingly, T cells from epigraph vaccinated mice recognized this immunodominant epitope in the Texas/1998 peptide array, however, T cells from TX98 vaccinated mice did not. One possible explanation may be differences in peptide processing and presentation which are dependent on the epitope surrounding sequences. Overall, the total T-cell response was significantly stronger in epigraph vaccinated mice against all four swH3 strains (Fig. 3c).

Vaccination with epigraph reduces weight loss and lung viral titers after swH3 challenge in mice. We next wanted to determine if the increased cross-reactive antibody and T-cell responses translated to increased protection from a panel of diverse swH3 strains. BALB/c mice (n = 10) were vaccinated with a single shot of 10^10 vp of HAdV-5-epigraph or HAdV-5-TX98, FluSure, or a PBS sham vaccine. Mice were then challenged 3 weeks later with the mouse-adapted swH3 challenge viruses (Fig. 4a). To examine the antibody response after a single immunization, sera at the time of challenge was examined using an HI assay against each of the three challenge strains (Fig. 4b). A single immunization of epigraph resulted in strong HI titers ≥40 to both Ohio/2011 and Manitoba/2005. In contrast, TX98 vaccination did not result in any detectable antibody responses to these three viruses, while FluSure vaccination resulted in low titers (≤40) to Ohio/2011 and Manitoba/2005. No vaccine groups developed

**Fig. 2 Cross-reactive antibody responses with swH3 strains after vaccination in mice.** BALB/c mice (n = 10) were vaccinated according to the timeline and vaccine dose (a). To examine the cross-reactivity of the antibody response after vaccination, a panel of 20 swH3 strains were selected that span the phylogenetic tree. A maximum-likelihood tree was constructed to visualize the relationship between these assay strains and the vaccine immunogens (b). The cluster or lineage designation is in parentheses after the full strain name. Two weeks after boosting, mice were sacrificed, and sera were analyzed using an HI assay against the 20 swH3 representative strains (c). Cluster or lineage designation can be seen above the HI titer bars for each strain (one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons compared to the epigraph group; n = 10 mice for all groups, except n = 5 for Nebraska/2013, Minnesota/2011, Ohio/2016, Denmark/2011, Italy/1985, Hong Kong/1976, Italy/1995). Data are presented as the mean with standard error (SEM). A heat map of these HI titers was constructed to further visualize the total cross-reactive antibody response of each vaccine.
Epigraph vaccination completely protected mice from weight loss for the FluSure and PBS vaccinated mice, whereas epigraph and TX98 vaccinated mice were protected from initial weight loss, the mice showed faster recovery by day 8 as compared to the PBS vaccinated mice. The FluSure vaccination mice (Fig.4c). In contrast, the TX98, FluSure, and PBS vaccinated mice lost 8–12% of their body weight by day 3. The FluSure vaccine contains a similar cluster IV-A strain and, although mice were not protected from initial weight loss, the mice showed faster recovery by day 8 as compared to the PBS vaccinated mice (p < 0.0001). In addition, epigraph vaccinated mice showed significantly reduced day 3 lung viral titers as compared to the TX98, FluSure, and PBS vaccinated mice (Fig. 4c).

Challenge with the Manitoba/2005 strain (cluster IV) resulted in severe weight loss for the FluSure and PBS vaccinated mice, whereas epigraph and TX98 vaccinated mice were protected from weight loss (Fig. 4d). However, epigraph vaccinated mice showed the lowest lung viral titers on day 3 post challenge as compared to the three other vaccine groups. Interestingly, although both epigraph and TX98 vaccination protected from weight loss, there were significantly higher lung viral titers in the TX98 vaccinated group, supporting that weight loss does not always correlate with lung viral titer.

Lastly, we were challenged with the highly divergent Colorado/1977 strain. All vaccination groups lost weight early after the challenge, however, epigraph and TX98 vaccinated mice showed significantly reduced weight loss by day 6 as compared to the FluSure and PBS vaccinated mice (Fig. 4c; p < 0.001). Since epigraph and TX98 vaccination does not induce detectable anti-Colorado/1977 antibody responses, the early weight loss but the increased recovery could be a result of T cell-mediated protection. Again, epigraph vaccinated mice showed significantly lower lung viral titers on day 3 as compared to the TX98, FluSure, and PBS vaccination mice (Fig. 4e).

Epigraph vaccination leads to cross-reactive antibody and T-cell responses against multiple human H3 strains. Reverse zoonosis, the transmission of influenza virus from human-to-

**Fig. 3 T-cell epitope mapping of four diverse swH3 strains after vaccination.** Splenocytes from vaccinated BALB/c mice (n = 5) were isolated and analyzed for cellular immunity using an IFNγ ELISpot. T-cell epitopes against the Ohio/2011, Manitoba/2005, Texas/1998, and Colorado/1977 strains were mapped using an overlapping peptide array consisting of 17-mers with 10-amino acid overlap which spanned the entire hemagglutinin (HA) protein. Peptide responses >50 spot-forming cells (SFC) per million were considered positive. Positive peptides for each vaccine and their location on the HA protein are indicated (a). The peptide number designates the position of the last amino acid in the peptide on the total HA protein. The level of response against each positive peptide is reported as SFC per million splenocytes with the dotted line indicating the 50 SFC/million cutoff (b). The total T-cell response to each virus peptide array is shown for all vaccination groups (n = 5; one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons) (c). Data are presented as the mean with standard error (SEM).
**Fig. 4 Protection against challenge with divergent swH3 viruses.** BALB/c mice (n = 10) were vaccinated with 10^10 vp of HAdV-5-epigraph or HAdV-5-TX98, the commercial inactivated FluSure, or a PBS sham vaccine and challenged according to the timeline (a). An HI titer on mice sera was performed to examine the antibody response to the challenge virus strains after a single immunization (n = 10; one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons compared to the epigraph group) (b). Mice were challenged intranasally (n = 10) with 10^4 TCID50 of Ohio/2011 (c), 10^5 TCID50 of Manitoba/2005 (d), or 10^3.5 TCID50 of Colorado/1977 (e) and monitored for weight loss over 14 days. Mice that reached 25% weight loss were humanely euthanized. Three days post infection, five mice per group were sacrificed to examine lung viral titers by TCID50 and qPCR (n = 5; one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons compared to the epigraph group). Data are presented as the mean with standard error (SEM).
Mice were monitored for weight loss and sacrifice to examine lung viral titer by TCID50 and qPCR (HAdV-5-TX98, the commercial inactivated vaccine FluSure, or a sham PBS vaccine and then challenged 3 weeks later with 10^4.3 TCID50 of Texas/1977 also examined for cross-reactive cellular immune responses to three huH3 strains using an IFNγ HI titer was constructed to further visualize the total cross-reactive antibody response of each vaccine. Splenocytes isolated from vaccinated mice were considered positive. Positive peptides for each vaccine and their relative location on the HA protein are indicated (e). The peptide number designates the position of the last amino acid in the peptide on the total HA protein. The level of response seen against each positive peptide is reported as SFC per million splenocytes with the dotted line indicating the 50 SFC/million cutoff (f).

**Fig. 5 Cross-reactive immune correlates and protection to human H3 isolates.** (To determine the cross-reactive immune responses of the swH3 vaccines to huH3 isolates, a panel of 7 representative huH3 strains were selected. A maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree was constructed to visualize the relationship of these huH3 assay strains to the swH3 vaccine immunogens (a). An HI titer was performed against these huH3 strains with sera from BALB/c mice vaccinated in Fig. 2 (n = 5; one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons compared to the epigraph group) (b). A heat map of these HI titers was constructed to further visualize the total cross-reactive antibody response of each vaccine. Splenocytes isolated from vaccinated mice were also examined for cross-reactive cellular immune responses to three huH3 strains using an IFNγ ELISpot. T-cell epitopes against the Mississippi/1985, Aichi/1968, and Texas/1977 strains were mapped using an overlapping peptide array. Peptide responses >50 spot-forming cells (SFC) per million were considered positive. Peptide numbers designate the position of the last amino acid in the peptide on the total HA protein. The level of response seen against each positive peptide is reported as SFC per million splenocytes with the dotted line indicating the 50 SFC/million cutoff (d). The total T-cell response to each virus peptide array is shown for all vaccination groups (n = 5; one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons) (e). BALB/c mice (n = 10) were vaccinated with 10^10 vp of HAdV-S-epigraph or HAdV-S-TX98, the commercial inactivated vaccine FluSure, or a sham PBS vaccine and then challenged 3 weeks later with 10^4.3 TCID50 of Texas/1977 (f). Mice were monitored for weight loss and sacrificed humanely when 25% weight loss was reached. Five mice per group were sacrificed on day 3 post-infection to examine lung viral titer by TCID50 and qPCR (n = 5; one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons compared to the epigraph group). Data are presented as the mean with standard error (SEM).

swine, is a key factor in driving the diversity of IAV-S in swine. Therefore, we wanted to determine if our swH3 epigraph vaccine might induce cross-reactive immune responses to human H3 (huH3) isolates to reduce reverse zoonotic events. We selected a panel of 7 huH3 strains to evaluate cross-reactive antibody responses by HI assay. A phylogenetic tree was constructed to examine the relationship of these seven strains to the vaccine strains (Fig. 5a). Epigraph vaccination led to strong
antibody titers ≥40 to 3 of the 7 (43%) huH3 strains (Fig. 5b). TX98 vaccination resulted in antibody titers ≥40 to 2 of the 7 (29%) strains and is closely related to both strains (>95.9% identity; Fig. 5a, Supplementary Table 3). In contrast, FluSure vaccination did not result in cross-reactive antibody responses to any of the huH3 isolates.

We also evaluated cross-reactive T-cell responses to three huH3 strains (Mississippi/1985, Aichi/1968, and Texas/1977) using an IFNγ ELISPOT with overlapping peptide arrays. T-cell mapping was performed as described with the swH3 isolates. Interestingly, epigraph vaccination induced a T-cell response against a single immunodominant epitope conserved in all three huH3 isolates (Fig. 5c, d). This epitope is the same position as the immunodominant epitope induced against the swH3 isolates (aminic acid position 120–128). In the huH3 population, the amino acids in this epitope are highly conserved (∼94% conserved in the huH3 population; Supplementary Fig. 2). TX98 vaccination did not induce a T-cell response against this immunodominant epitope and FluSure vaccination did not result in a significant T-cell response against any of the huH3 strains. Epigraph vaccination also resulted in significant total T-cell responses against all three huH3 isolates (Fig. 5e).

To determine if the huH3 cross-reactive immune responses resulted in protection, we challenged vaccinated mice with a mouse-adapted huH3 Texas/1977 isolate. BALB/c mice (n = 10) were vaccinated with a single shot of 10^10 vp of HAdV-5-epigraph or HAdV-5-TX98, FluSure, or a PBS sham vaccine. Mice were then challenged 3 weeks later with the Texas/1977 challenge strain. Only epigraph vaccination completely protected mice from weight loss and death (Fig. 5f). In contrast, TX98 vaccinated mice lost >16% of their starting body weight before starting to recover. FluSure and PBS vaccinated mice quickly lost weight and were all humanely euthanized by day 7 post infection. Epigraph vaccination also reduced infectious virus in the lungs below the level of detection on day 3 as measured by TCID₅₀ (Fig. 5f).

**Epigraph vaccination in swine induced strong cross-reactive antibody and T-cell responses.** Lastly, to confirm that the results seen in mice translated to the target animal, we vaccinated 3-week-old pigs intramuscularly with 10¹¹ vp of our HAdV-5-epigraph vaccine and compared the immune responses to swine vaccinated with 10¹¹ vp of the HAdV-5-TX98 wild-type comparator or the commercial vaccine FluSure at the manufacturer’s recommended dose. Three weeks later, serum was collected to examine the antibody response after a single immunization (Fig. 6a). A single immunization of the epigraph vaccine led to strong cross-reactive antibody titers ≥40 to 13 out of 20 (65%) swH3 strains, with significantly higher antibody responses to 11 out of 20 of the swH3 strains tested, as compared to the TX98 and FluSure groups. Importantly, the epigraph vaccine resulted in cross-reactive antibodies (≥40) to 11 of the 13 (85%) North American strains and both 2010 human-like strains after only a single immunization. In contrast, TX98 only resulted in strong antibody titers (≥40) to the matched Texas/1998 strain and FluSure vaccination did not result in significant titers to any of the swH3 after a single immunization.

Pigs were boosted with the same vaccine and dose 3 weeks after priming and sacrificed 2 weeks later to examine immune correlates at peak immunity. A second immunization boosted cross-reactive antibody titers in epigraph vaccinated pigs, with titers ≥40 to 15 of the 20 (75%) strains (Fig. 6b). In addition, epigraph vaccination showed significantly higher antibody titers to 15 of the 20 strains as compared to TX98 vaccination and significantly higher antibody titers to 10 of the 20 strains as compared to FluSure vaccination. In contrast, after boosting, the TX98 vaccinated pigs showed strong antibody titers (≥40) to 5 of the 20 (25%) swH3 strains, with the strongest antibody titer against the matched Texas/1998 strain. The strongest antibody responses induced after boosting with FluSure were against similar strains to the vaccine, the cluster IV-A viruses and the matched FluSure virus (Minnesota/2012; cluster IV-B). Interestingly, boosting with FluSure also increased the cross-reactive antibody responses across the swH3 panel, with titers ≥40 to 15 of the 12 (75%) strains. However, the responses to most unmatched viruses were significantly lower than responses after epigraph immunization, with an average of 4-fold lower HI titers. Indeed, a single immunization of HAdV-5-epigraph resulted in comparable cross-reactive antibody levels as two FluSure immunizations.

To confirm that the cross-reactive antibody responses as measured by HI assay were also functionally neutralizing, we performed a microneutralization assay. Neutralization titer patterns matched those seen in the HI assay, confirming the functionality of these cross-reactive antibodies (Supplementary Fig. 3). PMBCs were also collected 2 weeks after boosting to examine the cellular immune response using an IFNγ ELISPOT. Epigraph vaccination induced the strongest total T-cell response to all four swH3 strains tested (Fig. 6c). TX98 vaccination resulted in a strong T-cell response against the matched Texas/1998 strain but only modest cross-reactive T-cell levels to the other three swH3 strains. FluSure vaccination did not result in detectable cross-reactive T-cell responses.

The post vaccination swine serum was also examined for the presence of cross-reactive antibodies to the panel of 7 huH3 isolates. After a single immunization, epigraph resulted in strong cross-reactive antibody titers ≥40 to 3 of the 7 (43%) huH3 viruses, the same viruses exhibiting cross-reactivity in the mouse model (Fig. 7a). In contrast, TX98 vaccination resulted in antibody titers ≥40 to 1 of the 7 (14%) huH3 strains and FluSure vaccination did not show any cross-reactive antibodies to the huH3 isolates after a single immunization. After a second immunization, the cross-reactive antibody levels in all three vaccine groups increased (Fig. 7b). Boosting with epigraph resulted in strong antibody titers ≥40 to 6 of the 7 (86%) of the huH3 viruses, while TX98 and FluSure boosting results in antibody titers ≥40 to 3 of the 7 (43%) and 4 of the 7 (57%) huH3 viruses, respectively. However, epigraph showed significantly higher antibody titers to 3 of the 7 isolates as compared to both TX98 and FluSure vaccination.

**Discussion**

In this study, we evaluated the Epigraph vaccine designer algorithm for the immunogen design of broadly cross-reactive swH3 HA to create a universal swH3 vaccine. The ideal IAV-S vaccine would induce protective immunity after a single immunization while also providing broad protection against ever-evolving field strains. Here, we demonstrated that our epigraph vaccine induced strong cross-reactive antibody responses to a panel of diverse swH3 viruses which represented a large portion of the swH3 diversity. After a prime-boost immunization in mice, the epigraph vaccine induced strong cross-reactive antibody titers to 14 of the 20 highly divergent swH3 viruses. In contrast, the TX98 and FluSure vaccine showed limited cross-reactivity outside of the strains contained in each vaccine. The greater cross-reactive immunity induced by epigraph vaccination in mice was further supported by immunization studies in swine. Importantly, a single immunization with epigraph resulted in strong cross-reactive antibody titers whereas vaccination with TX98 or FluSure showed limited antibody development against unmatched strains. This data suggests that the HAdV-5-epigraph vaccine...
could be implemented as a broadly cross-reactive vaccine that requires only a single immunization for induction of strong immunity.

Importantly, epigraph vaccination showed the greatest cross-reactive antibody response against North American strains, with antibody titers ≥40 to 11 of the 13 (85%) North American strains and both 2010 human-like strains after only a single immunization in swine. However, epigraph vaccination showed lower cross-reactivity against the four Eurasian strains and the historical human-like Colorado/1977 which localizes near the Eurasian strains. This is likely a consequence of fewer Eurasian sequences in the database, as only ~11% of the downloaded sequences used to construct the epigraph vaccine were of Eurasian origin. Consequently, the North American strains comprised a large majority of the original sequence population and, as the goal of the Epigraph vaccine designer algorithm is to create a cocktail of immunogens designed to maximize potential epitope coverage in a population, the resulting constructs best cover the North American strains. Therefore, the current epigraph vaccine construct would be attractive as a broadly cross-reactive vaccine in
North American swine herds, but the design of an alternative construct might be required to protect Eurasian swine herds.

Interestingly, after the second immunization in swine, the FluSure vaccine showed an increase in breadth of antibody responses. However, the magnitude of the responses against unmatched strains was on average 4-fold lower compared to boosting with the epigraph vaccine. The FluSure vaccine contains two strains of swH3 and an oil-in-water adjuvant which could contribute to the increased antibody breadth observed after boosting.\(^\text{24,30}\). Importantly, this data demonstrates that a boost is essential for the development of significant antibody responses in vaccination with FluSure. However, inactivated vaccines with oil-in-water adjuvants have been implicated in the development of vaccine-associated enhanced respiratory disease (VAERD) when the vaccine and challenge strain HA are mismatched.\(^\text{24,31}\). Therefore, exploring alternative vaccine platforms that protect against heterologous infection without resulting in VAERD is greatly needed in the goal of a universal IAV-S vaccine. Here we use an HAdV-5 vector which was previously shown to reduce viral shedding without causing VAERD after challenge with a mismatch IAV-S strain.\(^\text{32}\). In addition, HAdV-5 vectored vaccines in swine have shown efficacy in the presence of maternally derived antibodies which limit the efficacy of inactivated vaccines.\(^\text{33–36}\). In this study, we demonstrated that the HAdV-5-epigraph swH3 vaccine induces high titers of cross-reactive antibodies after only a single immunization in swine. In contrast, the HAdV-5-TX98 vaccine induced relatively strain-specific immunity with limited cross-reactivity. Therefore, while an Adenovirus vector can induce strong immunity after only a single immunization, the computational design of the epigraph vaccine contributes to the induction of cross-reactive antibodies.

Vaccination against IAV-S has two direct benefits: (1) reduction of clinical disease in pigs to prevent economic losses and (2) reduction of viral shedding to decrease transmission within the herd.\(^\text{39}\). This reduced viral shedding also reduces the potential for spill-over infections to humans. Our challenge studies in mice have shown that epigraph vaccination, in addition to reducing weight loss, also resulted in the greatest reduction in lung viral titers after challenge with three highly diverse swH3 viruses as compared to the other vaccine groups. This reduction in viral titer could lead to reduced viral shedding and, thereby, reduced intra- and inter-species transmission. However, challenge studies in swine are needed to support the cross-protective efficacy seen hereafter epigraph vaccination in mice.

The transmission of the influenza virus from human-to-swine contributes to the viral diversity of IAV-S.\(^\text{26,37}\). Here, we have shown that epigraph vaccination leads to cross-reactive antibody titers to multiple huH3 isolates after vaccination in both mice and swine. Importantly, a single immunization of epigraph in swine resulted in cross-reactive antibody titers to 3 of the 7 huH3 isolates; one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons compared to the epigraph group. Data are presented as the mean with standard error (SEM).
In addition to strong cross-reactive antibody responses, the HAdV-5-epigraph vaccine induced strong broadly cross-reactive T-cell immunity against both swine and human influenza viruses. In mice, we detected an immunodominant T-cell epitope, predicted to be a cytotoxic T lymphocyte CD8+ epitope, along with multiple subdominant T-cell responses, likely CD4 T helper (Th) cells. Additionally, epigraph vaccination of swine induced a significant total T-cell response against four divergent swH3 strains. In humans, cross-reactive T cells and the development of memory T cells have been associated with long-lasting immunity against influenza virus18-41. In contrast, the role of T cells during influenza infection in swine has not been as well defined. Previous studies in swine have demonstrated cross-protection against divergent IAV-S strains in the absence of detectable antibodies2-43. This suggests a role for cross-reactive T-cell responses in the protection against IAV-S which could also result in long-term durable immunity. However, while the role of T cells in protection against influenza virus infection in humans has been demonstrated, further studies to fully elucidate the role of T-cell responses for protection against influenza infection in swine are needed. In addition, longevity studies in pigs will be required to establish the durability of the epigraph vaccine-induced immunity. Here, we have demonstrated the efficacy of the Epigraph vaccine designer tool in the development of a broadly cross-reactive swH3 influenza vaccine. Our results not only provide a promising swine vaccine, but also a model for human influenza. Indeed, influenza infection in swine shares many similarities with influenza infection in humans, such as similarities in clinical symptoms, distribution of viral receptors in the respiratory tract, and subtypes of influenza causing endemic infections.46 Therefore, swine make an excellent model for the development and testing of universal influenza vaccines. With our promising results, the epigraph design could be applied to human influenza vaccines to create a human universal influenza vaccine candidate. Additionally, future studies could explore the contribution of each individual epitope construct towards the induction of cross-reactive immunity. In this study, we demonstrated broadly cross-reactive humoral and cellular immunity after vaccination with an epigraph in both murine and swine models. These data support the development of an epigraph vaccine as a universal swH3 vaccine capable of providing cross-protection against highly divergent strains of swH3.

Methods

Ethics statement. All biological procedures were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Biosafety Committee (IBC) at the University of Nebraska, Lincoln (Protocol: 619). Female BALB/c mice ages 6-8 weeks were purchased from Jackson Laboratory. Outbred male and female pigs aged 3 weeks were purchased from Audubon Manning Veterinary Clinic (AMVC). Mice and swine were housed in the Life Sciences Annex building on the University of Nebraska—Lincoln (UNL) campus under the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care (AAALAC) guidelines. The mice are housed in a Tecniplast IVC caging system with recycled paper bedding (Tekfresh) from Envigo and fed a standard rodent chow (diet number 2016) from Envigo. Enrichment items can include a Kimwipe, Nylabone, or plastic hut. Temperatures range from 68-72 °F. Animals are maintained on a 14:10 light and 10:4-h dark cycle. The protocols were approved by the UNL Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) (Project ID 1217, 1717, and 1879). All animal experiments were carried out according to the provisions of the Animal Welfare Act, PHS Animal Welfare Policy, the principles of the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, and the policies and procedures of UNL.

Influenza viruses. The following swine influenza viruses were obtained from the Biodefense and Emerging Infectious Diseases Repository: A/swine/Ohio/09SW73E/2009 (Ohio/2009) [NR-36705], A/swine/Ohio/11SW87/2011 (Ohio/2011) [NR-36715], and A/swine/Manitoba/00446/2005 (Manitoba/2005) [NR-43049]. The following viruses were generous gifts from our collaborators: A/swine/Texas/4199-2/1998 (Texas/1998) strain from Dr. Hiep Vu, A/swine/Colorado/1/1977 (Colora- do/1977) strain from Dr. Richard Webbly, and the A/swine/Kansas/11-110529/2011 (Kansas/2011) strain from Dr. Wenjun Ma. The following swine influenza viruses were obtained from the USDA Swine Surveillance Influenza A virus isolates repository: A/swine/Minnesota/A01270872/2012 (Minnesota/2012), A/swine/Colorado/23619/1999 (Colorado/1999), A/swine/Wyoming/A10444562/2013 (Wyoming/2013), A/swine/Minnesota/A10432544/2013 (Minnesota/2013), A/swine/Indiana/A0120866/2011 (Indiana/2011), and A/swine/Texas/A01785781/2018 (Texas/2018). The viruses were amplified in swine/Indiana/1385-1/2011 (Indiana/2011) or A/swine/ North Carolina/35922/1998 (North Carolina/1998), A/Ohio/28/2016 (Ohio/2016), A/swine/Denmark/101501-1/2011 (Denmark/2011), A/swine/Ohio/520/1985 (Ohio/1985), A/swine/Hong_Kong/4/1976 (Hong Kong/1976), A/swine/Nebraska/4B-1113-P13/2013 (Nebraska/2013), and A/swine/Minnesota/3908-2/2011 (Minnesota/2011) were generously assayed by collaboration with Dr. Richard Webbly, The Manitoba/2005, Colorado/1997, and Ohio/2011 swine influenza viruses were mouse adapted through serial lung passageing in mice seven times. The following human influenza viruses were obtained from the Biodefense and Emerging Infectious Diseases Repository: A/Texas/1/1977 (Texas/1977) [NR-3604], A/Mississippi/1/1985 (Mississippi/1985) [NR-3502], A/Aichi/2/1968 (Aichi/1968) [NR-3483], A/Beijing/89/1989 (Beijing/1989) [NR-3495], A/Nanchang/933-1995 (Nanchang/1995) [NR-3222], A/Ann_Arbor/3/1993 (Ann Arbor/1993) [NR-3524], and A/Ohio/1985 (Mississippi/1985) [NR-3502]. The Texas/1977 influenza virus was mouse adapted through serial lung passageing in mice five times. All swine and human viruses were grown in specific pathogen free (SPF) embryonated eggs and the chorioallantoic fluid was stored at −80 °C. Viruses were quantified based on HA and TCID50. Design and characterization of the epigraph immunogens. The epigraph vaccine immunogens were designed using the Epigraph Vaccine Designer at the Los Alamos National Laboratories17,18. First, all unique swH3 hemagglutinin (HA) sequences (duplicates excluded) were downloaded from the Influenza Research Database as of April 25th, 2017. This resulted in 1561 HA sequences. These were imported to the Epigraph Vaccine Designer and run with the following parameters: epitope length: 9, cockpit size: 3. The resulting cocktail of three HA epigraph genes was added back to the swH3 sequence population and aligned using ClustalW. Between the 1561 swH3 HA sequences, the greatest difference in percent identity was 76.4%. Because we included over 1500 sequences in the analysis, we used a Neighbor-joining strategy to infer phylogenetic tree using MEGA7 (Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis) software. The phylogenetic tree was used to infer the epi-mero-transect Reagent (Qiagen), After virus rescue was observed via plaque for-
Mouse vaccination and tissue collection. For immune correlate analysis in mice, female BALB/c mice were vaccinated with 1010 vp of either HAdV-5-epigraph (the cockatoo vaccine) or HAdV-5-TX98. The commercially available swine influenza vaccine FluSure was administered at 10 μL per ear, 5 μL per ear, 2.5 μL per ear, and 1 μL per ear, respectively. The animals were bled at a 1:10 dilution of sera in PBS before being washed and blocked with 2% milk in PBS. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 1 h before being washed and blocked with 1% BSA in PBS. The plates were incubated at 37 °C overnight at 4 °C before being washed and blocked with cRMPI 10% FBS.

Swine vaccination and tissue collection. For immune correlate analysis in swine, outbred male and female pigs aged 3 weeks were purchased from AMVE. Pigs were pre-screened for swine influenza exposure using an influenza virus nucleoprotein (NP) ELISA and confirmed negative. The pigs were randomly divided into three groups of five and acclimated for 4 days prior to vaccination. Pigs were vaccinated with 1011 vp of HAdV-5-epigraph or HAdV-5-TX98 intramuscularly. FluSure animals were vaccinated according to the manufacturer’s instructions with a 2 mL dose intramuscularly. Three weeks later, animals were bled to examine antibody development after a single shot of vaccine and then boosted with the same vaccine and dose intramuscularly. Pigs were sera was isolated from whole blood using BD Vacutainer Serum Separator Tube (Becton Dickinson). Titiated splenocytes were passed through a 40 μm Nylon cell strainer (BD Labware) and red blood cells were lysed using ACK lysis buffer. Splenocytes were resuspended in cRMPI with 10% FBS and used for ELISpot assays. All mice immunizations and bleedings were performed under isoflurane or ketamine and xylazine induced anesthesia.

Hemagglutination inhibition (HI) assay. Sera from mice and swine were incubated with receptor destroying enzyme (RDE; (370013; Denka Seiken)) at a 1:3 dilution for 30 min. The plates were washed with PBS and then residual red blood cells lysed with ACK lysis buffer. The plates were incubated overnight at 37 °C with 5% CO2 and then washed one time with sterile DPBS before adding DMEM with 0.0002% trypsin to each well. The plates were then incubated another 3 days at 37 °C with 5% CO2 before adding 50 μL of 0.5% chicken red blood cells to each well and reading the hemagglutination patterns after 30 min.

ELISpot assay. The T-cell response to vaccination was analyzed using an IFNγ ELISpot assay. Peptide arrays of the HA protein of swine influenza virus strain Ohio/2011, Manitoba/2005, Texas/1998, and Colorado/1977 were synthesized by IEDB analysis resource Consensus tool.22. Potential immunogenic peptides were identified using the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this paper.

Statistical analysis. GraphPad Prism software was used to analyze all data. Data are expressed as the mean with standard error (SEM). HI titers, T-cell data, and lung viral titers were analyzed using one-way ANOVA. A p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant (*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; ****p < 0.0001).

Reporting summary. Further information on experimental design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this paper.
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