Local Anesthesia at ST36 to Reveal Responding Brain Areas to deqi
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Background. Development of non-deqi control is still a challenge. This study aims to set up a potential approach to non-deqi control by using lidocaine anesthesia at ST36. Methods. Forty healthy volunteers were recruited and they received two fMRI scans. One was accompanied with manual acupuncture at ST36 (DQ group), and another was associated with both local anesthesia and manual acupuncture at the same acupoint (LA group). Results. Comparing to DQ group, more than 90 percent deqi sensations were reduced by local anesthesia in LA group. The mainly activated regions in DQ group were bilateral IFG, S1, primary motor cortex, IPL, thalamus, insula, claustrum, cingulate gyrus, putamen, superior temporal gyrus, and cerebellum. Surprisingly only cerebellum showed significant activation in LA group. Compared to the two groups, bilateral S1, insula, ipsilateral IFG, IPL, claustrum, and contralateral ACC were remarkably activated. Conclusions. Local anesthesia at ST36 is able to block most of the deqi feelings and inhibit brain responses to deqi, which would be developed into a potential approach for non-deqi control. Bilateral S1, insula, ipsilateral IFG, IPL, claustrum, and contralateral ACC might be the key brain regions responding to deqi.

1. Introduction

deqi, also called needle sensation, refers to the sensations of soreness, numbness, fullness, heaviness, and so forth around the acupoints of patients when the needle is inserted to a certain depth. At the same time, the operator may feel heaviness or tension around the needle. As one of the most classic and important concepts originated from Neijing (The Yellow Emperor’s Classic of Internal Medicine), deqi has drawn increasing attention of researchers recently [1–5]. The studies on deqi mainly focused on four directions: (1) identifying the relationship between deqi and acupuncture efficacy [6–10]; (2) quantifying the deqi sensations and making deqi visualization and objectification [11–13]; (3) investigating the influence factors on deqi such as insertion site, insertion depth, puncture manipulation and needle retaining time, and body position [14–19]; (4) exploring the mechanisms of deqi [20–31].

Whatever direction of investigations on deqi, it is essential to establish an appropriate non-deqi control. To date, several kinds of sham acupuncture strategies have been employed as non-deqi control, which include on-invasive placebo stimulation (Von Frey, Streitberger Needle, etc.) at the same acupuncture point or nonacupuncture point [7, 29, 31], superficial needling at the same acupuncture point or nonacupuncture point [9, 32], or needling at the nonacupuncture point or acupoint unrelated to the research purpose [20, 22, 23, 33].

However, clinical and neuroimaging studies demonstrated that acupuncture feelings were unable to be comprehensively inhibited by sham acupuncture [33, 34]. Therefore, development of non-deqi control is still a challenge. Giving the fact that both local anesthetic at the acupoint and general anesthesia had been applied to explore the mechanism of acupuncture in previous studies [35–38], we proposed that local anesthesia would be a potential strategy to be used as an approach of non-deqi control. Based on this idea, we set up a non-deqi control of acupuncture using local lidocaine anesthesia at acupoint and evaluating with the scoring of subjects’ feelings and performed fMRI scanning to obtain the changed brain areas.
2. Methods

2.1. Subjects. Forty healthy, right-handed, adult volunteers (20 females and 20 males, ages ranged from 22 to 25 years) were recruited in this study. Prior to participation, all subjects provided written informed consent. They were also screened to assure their safety and compatibility with MRI recording and eliminate those with history of head trauma, chronic pain, psychiatric and neurological disorders, or other serious illness within 1 month.

2.2. Experimental Design. Each subject was trained to express his feelings about deqi correctly and clearly through acupuncture at right Zusanli (ST36). If the subject rated at least one of single sensation (except sharp pain) at 4 (moderate intensity) or greater using a 10-points visual analogue scale (VAS), he would be included. Then at least 24 hours later, every included participant received two separate fMRI scans which were required in DQ or LA group, respectively. In DQ group, the subjects received fMRI scan and acupuncture stimulation simultaneously. In LA group, they underwent local anesthesia at ST36 firstly, then received scan and acupuncture intervention 5 min later. The two scans were randomly given at least 6 hours intervals. During the scanning, all subjects were instructed to keep supine position, head motionless, and eyes closed; soundproof earplugs were used to block noise. After each scan run, they were asked to finish a sensory questionnaire regarding the type and intensity of feelings they experienced during the scan. All acupuncture manipulations were performed by the same licensed acupuncturist.

2.2.1. Acupuncture Procedures. In both DQ and LA group, the acupuncture intervention was employed at right Zusanli (ST36) by perpendicularly inserting 20 mm deep with sterilized disposable stainless steel acupuncture needles (0.25 × 40 mm, Suzhou Medical Supplies Factory Co. Ltd. China). The entire stimulating process lasted for 8 minutes. During 8 minutes, ON and OFF two states were designed and each total duration was 3 and 5 minutes, respectively (Figure 1). In the ON state, the inserted needle was rotated with moderate reinforcing and reducing method (twisting 60 times/min) for 1 min to generate deqi, while in the OFF state, the inserted needle was retained into the ST36 without rotation.

2.2.2. Local Anesthesia Intervention. The local anesthesia at ST 36 was carried out to the subcutaneous depth of 20 mm by infiltrating with 2 mL (5 mL: 0.1 g) lidocaine.

2.2.3. deqi Measurement. After removing the needle, subjects were asked to quantify their stimulating sensations including soreness, numbness, heaviness, fullness, dull or sharp pain, warmth, and coolness by VAS. The VAS was scaled as follows: 0: no sensation; 1–3: mild; 4–6: moderate; 7-8: strong; 9: severe; 10: unbearable. In DQ group, only subjects that rated at least one of the single sensations (except sharp pain) at 4 or greater were enrolled. Only subjects that rated each single sensation less than 1 were included in LA group.

2.2.4. fMRI Scanning and Analysis. Imaging data were collected from a 3T Siemens scanner (Allegra, Siemens Medical System) at the Huaxi MR Research Center, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, Chengdu, China. A standard birdcage head coil was used, along with restraining foam pads to minimize head motion and diminish scanner noise. Thirty axial slices (FOV = 240 mm × 240 mm, matrix = 64 × 64, thickness = 5 mm) parallel to the AC-PC plane covering the whole brain were obtained using a T2* -weighted single-shot, gradient-recalled echo planar imaging (EPI) sequence (TR = 2,000 ms, TE = 30 ms, flip angle = 90°). The scan covered the entire brain including the cerebellum and brainstem. After the functional run, high-resolution structural information on each subject was acquired using 3D MRI sequences with a voxel size of 1 mm³ for anatomical localization (TR = 2.7 s, TE = 3.39 ms, matrix = 256 × 256, FOV = 256 mm × 256 mm, flip angle = 7°, in-plane resolution = 1 mm × 1 mm, slice thickness = 1 mm).

Preprocessing and statistical analysis were performed using the Statistical Parametric Mapping software (SPM5, http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm). Preprocessing of the functional images was composed of the following steps: dropping the first 5 time points; slice time correction; and three-dimensional motion correction. Then spatially smoothed was performed using a 6 mm full-width-at-half maximum (FWHM). After that, the time-series from each voxel was high-pass filtered (1/235-Hz cutoff). Subsequently, the preprocessed fMRI data for each subject was submitted for fixed-effects model analyses using the general linear model (GLM) performed at each voxel across the whole brain. After acquiring the contrast images, individual level analyses were accomplished and statistical parametric maps for the t statistics (spmT) were generated for each contrast image. At the group level, the random-effects model analysis was performed based on inference images (i.e., t-test for contrast images) from the individual level analysis. The group results of one sample t-test for each group were listed at P < 0.05, FWE (the DQ group |t| > 6.14, the LA group |t| > 5.86), and a minimum cluster size of 5 voxels. The different BOLD responses between the DQ group and the LA group were explored in 20 subjects (self-control) based on a paired t-test at P < 0.0001, uncorrected (|t| > 4.16), and a minimum cluster size of 5 voxels. Then, the significant regions of the paired t-test were defined as the regions of interests (ROIs). In each ROI, the BOLD response changes were extracted and correlated with the deqi score changes of the subjects who were included in paired t-test.

3. Results

Of 40 recruited volunteers, 5 subjects were eliminated because of slight deqi sensations (all the deqi scores less than 4) in training experiment. Consequently, 35 volunteers participated in the following scans. During scanning process, 4 subjects were also eliminated because of slight needling sensations in DQ group and 5 subjects were eliminated due to some degree of sensation retaining (one of the single deqi score more than 1) in LA group. When performing the
data analysis, 4 subjects in DQ group and 2 subjects in LA group were excluded because larger amount of head motion had happened during scanning. Finally, 27 subjects and 28 subjects were, respectively, included in DQ group and LA group; 20 subjects in both DQ group and LA group were involved as self-control.

3.1. The deqi Scores Were Reduced by Local Anesthesia at ST36. The average scores of deqi sensations in each group are as follows (Table 1). The score of coolness in DQ group and warmth in LA group did not conform to normal distribution. Except coolness and warmth, other sensations were significantly reduced more than 90 percent by local anesthesia.

3.2. The Brain Responses Were Deleted by Local Anesthesia at ST36. Figure 2(a) showed group activations and deactivations of the DQ group evoked by acupuncture stimulation at ST36. The remarkable activated areas included bilateral inferior frontal gyrus (IFG), precentral gyrus (primary motor area, MI, supplementary motor area, SMA), postcentral gyrus (SI), inferior parietal lobule (IPL), thalamus, insula, anterior cingulate gyrus (ACC), claustrum, putamen, superior temporal gyrus (STG), midbrain and cerebellum, ipsilateral (right) transverse temporal gyrus (TTG), and contralateral (left) middle frontal gyrus (MFG) (FWE, $P < 0.05$) (Table 2). Based on this threshold, no deactivated areas were found.

Figure 2(b) showed the brain responses which were deleted by local anesthesia. Under this condition, only contralateral cerebellum was activated (FWE, $P < 0.05$). It was interesting to note that at group level few regions responding to acupuncture stimulation were found, but at individual level lots of BOLD signal intensity changes displayed. Considering the possibility that different deqi intensity elicited different cortical activation, we divided the LA group into three subgroups based on the sum score of deqi (non-deqi group: 10 subjects, averaged sum score of deqi was 0; deqi group A: 9 subjects, averaged sum score of deqi was 0.81 ± 0.29; deqi group B: 9 subjects, averaged sum score of deqi was 2.17 ± 0.47). We found no different activation between the intersubgroup results using $t$-test ($P > 0.01$, uncorrected for all voxels, figure not shown).

Figure 2(c) presented results between these two groups. Controlling for BOLD response to LA group, we found that increased deqi sensations were associated with activation in bilateral SI, insula, ipsilateral IFG, IPL, claustrum, and contralateral ACC ($P < 0.0001$, uncorrected) (Table 2). No obvious difference was demonstrated between the deactivated regions of the two groups. All of these activated areas were defined as ROIs, and each ROI BOLD response changes had no significant correlations with each single sensation score changes or sum score changes of deqi between the two groups ($P > 0.01$, figure not shown).

4. Discussion

To our knowledge, this study was the first to establish the non-deqi control using lidocaine anesthesia at the acupuncture point ST36. Although the data (Table 1) showed us more than 90 percent other than 100% of deqi sensations were inhibited, it still should be able to provide a strong evidence
for supporting that lidocaine anesthesia at the acupuncture point would be a promising approach for non-deqi control because all responding brain regions seen in DQ group (Figure 2(a)) disappeared in LA group (Figure 2(b)). In other words, retaining intensity of deqi is not efficient to give rise to brain response. In view of brain response to deqi, lidocaine anesthesia at acupoint would be an alternative to be used as a new non-deqi control.

By means of this control, we found that the activated brain regions were bilateral S1, insula, ipsilateral IFG, IPL, claustrum, and contralateral ACC (Figure 2(c)). These results were quite different from those in previous studies. In this study, the number of activated brain regions was remarkably reduced. However, more activated brain areas including the secondary somatosensory cortex (S2), the cerebellum, the thalamus, the primary motor cortex (M1), the superior temporal gyrus (STG), the visual cortices, the premotor and supplementary motor cortex ((pre)SMA), the basal ganglia, and the medial temporal gyrus (MTG) could be found to be activated in previous studies [29, 30, 39, 40] apart from those activated brain areas in our study. To some degree, current activated brain areas (bilateral S1, insula, ipsilateral IFG, IPL, claustrum and contralateral ACC) might be regarded as the net activated brain regions response to deqi, which dominantly associated with sensory and emotion.

It also implied that the components of deqi mainly include perception and emotion.

However, it needs to perform more to confirm the results with more considerations. Firstly, except applying VAS as a tool to evaluate deqi, we should choose more different scales to evaluate deqi. Additionally, the sensations of deqi would be felt by operator and subjects at the same time based on traditional concepts of acupuncture. So, what would happen at operator’s side after local anesthesia administering at acupoint is also waiting for more researches to be carried out.

5. Conclusions

The application of local anesthesia at ST36 is able to block most of the deqi feelings and inhibit brain responses to deqi, which would be a potential and promising approach for non-deqi control. Bilateral S1, insula, ipsilateral IFG, IPL, claustrum, and contralateral ACC might be the key brain regions responding to deqi.
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Table 2: Significant BOLD response changes of DQ group and intergroup.

| Regions                  | BA       | DQ group (*P* < 0.05, FWE) | DQ versus LA (*P* < 0.0001, uncorr.) |
|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                         |          | Talairach                   | Voxels | Talairach | Voxels |
|                         |          | x  | y  | z  | t  |   | x  | y  | z  | t  |   |
| Inferior frontal gyrus  | 9/47     | L  | −56 | 13 | 30 | 7.89 | 7   | R  | 59 | 15 | 16 | 7.77 | 30 |
|                         |          | R  | −59 | 15 | 16 | 8.19 | 21  |
| Middle frontal gyrus    | 46       | L  | −42 | 45 | 23 | 7.92 | 16  |
|                         |          | R  | 53  | 12 | 8  | 6.56 | 6   |
| Precentral gyrus        | 4/6      | L  | −56 | 6  | 11 | 8.28 | 48  | R  | 53 | 25 | 21 | 5.38 | 21 |
|                         |          | R  | 53  | 12 | 8  | 6.56 | 6   |
| Postcentral gyrus       | 2/40     | L  | −59 | 25 | 18 | 9.47 | 50  | R  | 53 | 25 | 21 | 5.38 | 21 |
|                         |          | R  | −53 | 25 | 8  | 5.62 | 12  |
| Inferior parietal lobule| 40       | L  | −65 | −31| 29 | 10.05| 56  | R  | 62 | −28| 29 | 4.88 | 7   |
|                         |          | R  | −66 | −28| 29 | 4.88 | 7   |
| Thalamus                |          | L  | −6  | −17| 4  | 7.80 | 47  |
|                         |          | R  | 6   | −17| 5  | 7.34 | 37  |
| Insula                  | 13/40    | L  | −48 | −28| 18 | 8.94 | 43  | R  | 42 | 8  | −5  | 8.60 | 79  |
|                         |          | R  | 42  | 8  | −5 | 4.77 | 10  |
| Claustrum               |          | L  | −33 | 6  | 5  | 7.05 | 9   |
|                         |          | R  | 36  | 0  | 3  | 5.16 | 17  |
| Anterior cingulate gyrus| 24/32    | L  | −3  | 2  | 41 | 6.45 | 5   | R  | 3  | 2  | 44 | 6.82 | 7   |
|                         |          | R  | −27 | 0  | 8  | 6.52 | 7   |
| Putamen                 |          | L  | −27 | 0  | 8  | 6.52 | 7   |
|                         |          | R  | 30  | −17| 6  | 7.71 | 19  |
| Superior temporal gyrus | 22/38    | L  | −59 | −28| 15 | 7.92 | 35  |
|                         |          | R  | 53  | −11| 9  | 8.20 | 34  |
| Transverse temporal gyrus| 41/42   | R  | 53  | −17| 12 | 6.93 | 5   |
| Midbrain                |          | L  | −9  | −18| −2 | 6.77 | 10  |
| Cerebellum              | Posterior| L  | −18 | −66| −40| 6.50 | 6   | R  | 18 | −75| −39| 11.50| 40  |

Note: the coordination of voxel with the maximal *t* within each region is listed.
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