Introduction

Bacterial toxin-antitoxin (TA) systems are complexes of a stable toxic protein and its unstable inhibitor, which are typically encoded by a bicistronic operon. TA loci were originally found in low-copy-number plasmids and characterized as addiction modules to stabilize them by post-segregational killing [1]. Since then, such genetic modules are also discovered to be strikingly abundant and diverse on bacterial and archaeal chromosomes [2–4]. Their ubiquity and diversity suggests that TA systems may play alternative roles other than protection of mobile DNA [5,6]. Based on biochemical nature and action mode of antitoxins, five types of TA systems have been proposed to date [7]. The antitoxins of type I or III systems are small RNAs that inhibit toxin expression (type I) or activity (type III). In type II, IV or V TA systems, the antitoxins are low molecular weight proteins which inhibit toxin activity by forming nontoxic TA complexes (type II), shielding of toxin targets (type IV) or specific degradation of toxin mRNAs (type V).

Type II TA systems (hereafter referred to as TA systems) are most prevalent, and are further subdivided into several families according to the molecular identity of toxins [3,4]. TA toxins exert their effects in different ways. For example, most identified toxins (e.g. RelE, MazF, YaqQ, HibG, HisA, MsqR, VapC) are endoribonucleases and inhibit protein synthesis [8–13]. TA antitoxins are metabolically unstable due to proteolytic degradation by ATP-dependent proteases Lon and ClpP, and typically consist of a N-terminus DNA-binding domain and a C-terminus toxin-binding domain. The DNA-binding domain, belonging to Helix–Turn–Helix (HTH), Ribbon–Helix–Helix (RHH), AbdB or Phd/YefM class, mediates auto-repression of the TA operon transcription by the antitoxin both alone and in TA complexes, and the toxin-binding domain is responsible for neutralization of the cognate toxin via formation of the TA complex [14]. Interestingly, a particular group of toxins can form TA systems with antitoxins from different protein families [15]. Under favorable growth conditions, the co-expression of an antitoxin in excess of the toxin inhibits the toxin’s toxicity through TA complex formation and suppresses the TA operon transcription mediated by the DNA-binding domain present in the antitoxin [14,16]. When bacteria encounter some circumstances (e.g. amino acid starvation, loss of plasmid) which abolish antitoxin production, degradation by cellular proteases leads to a reduction in antitoxin levels. Consequently, the antitoxin-mediated repression of the TA operon transcription is relieved, and the toxin is released from the TA complex [14,16]. Thus, both transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation contribute to diminishing levels of antitoxin and activate the cognate toxin that causes reversible growth inhibition [17] or cell death [18,19]. This finely tuned
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regulation of TA systems leads to a proposal that activation of the latent toxin via direct TA complex disruption or some alternative mechanisms may be exploited as a novel bacteria-control strategy [20].

Vap (Virulence associated protein) systems are the largest TA family and are defined by the presence of a PIN-domain protein as the toxic component (VapC) [14,21]. Yet they are the least well characterized. The PIN domain (PFAM: PF01850) was originally annotated based on sequence similarity to the N-terminal domain of the type IV pil protein, pilT, from Myxococcus Xanthus (PIN, PilT N-terminal). Although sharing low sequence similarity, the PIN domain proteins have a highly conserved three-dimensional structure which causes a clustering of four conserved acidic residues to constitute the active site [22]. In VapBC TA systems, the identified toxins show functional conservation in their sequence-specific endoribonuclease activity [23–25], and the antitoxins can have any of four DNA-binding motifs found in TA antitoxins [3].

Recently, many genes encoding COG2442 (DUF433) domain proteins of function unknown are found to be associated with PIN family genes, and were proposed to encode VapBC TA systems [3]. However, the COG2442 domain proteins are unrelated to studied TA antitoxins in sequence or secondary structure [3], thus their functional features remain unknown.

The PIN-COG2442 loci are seen in various bacterial genomes but are most abundant in cyanobacteria and chloroflexi. The cyanobacteirnum Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 (hereafter, Synechocystis) encodes at least 16 putative vapBC loci on the chromosome [3,4], as summarized in Table S1 in Supplemental material. Four (vapBC10-vapBC13) of those loci encode the COG2442 domain proteins. In this work, we first show that the PIN-COG2442 locus vapBC10 (ssr2962/slr1767) encodes a TA system using Escherichia coli which has been successfully used as a host for verification of heterogenic TA modules [26–28]. We also demonstrate that the vapBC10 operon is transcriptionally activated by the antitoxin VapB10 which is degraded by the protease ClpXP2s from Escherichia coli. This atypical transcription regulation of the vapBC10 operon is discussed.

Materials and Methods

Strains, enzymes and chemicals

E. coli strains were grown in LB medium unless otherwise noted. When required, media were supplemented with spectinomycin (100 mg/l) and kanamycin (50 mg/l). All the enzymes including restriction enzymes, ligase, T4 DNA polymerase, T4 polynucleotide kinase and Taq DNA polymerase were purchased from TaKaRa Biotech. PrimeScript 1st strand cDNA synthesis kit was purchased from TaKaRa Biotech as well. [γ-32P] ATP was obtained from FuRiDa Biotech. 5′ RACE system for rapid amplification of cDNA ends and Ni-NTA Resin for purification of the expressed proteins were purchased from Invitrogen. Polyclonal goat-anti mouse IgG AP conjugate and chemiluminescence reagent were obtained from Beyotime Biotech. PCR primers are listed in Table S2.

Plasmid construction

For assays of toxicity, anti-toxicity and growth rescue, selection-expression plasmids were constructed based on the vector pJS298, which contains the arabinose-inducible promoter P_{BAD} and the isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG)-inducible promoter P_{lacT} as well as the genes araC and lac encoding the regulator proteins of the respective promoters [29]. The gene vapB10 was amplified by PCR using the primers slr1767-N and slr1767-K, digested with NdeI and KpnI, and cloned behind the promoter P_{lacT} of pJS298 generating pJS340. The vapC10 gene was amplified with the primers ssr2962-S and ssr2962-K, digested with SacI and KpnI, and then placed under the promoter P_{BAD} of pJS340 obtaining pJS350.

Proteolytic activation plasmids were constructed based on the vectors pJS371 and pJS391 [29], which contain the Synechocystis protein genes vapB10 and vapC10, under control of P_{vapB10}, respectively. The fragment containing both vapB10 and vapC10 was amplified using primers ssr2962-S and slr1767-K, digested with SacI and KpnI, and cloned under P_{BAD} in pJS371 and pJS391 obtaining pJS427 and pJS429, respectively. The vapB10 gene was amplified using primers ssr2962-S and ssr2962-K, digested with SacI and KpnI, and sub-cloned under P_{BAD} in pJS371 and pJS391, producing pJS882 and pJS883, respectively.

For co-expression of vapB10 with vapC10, the fragment containing both vapB10 and vapC10 was PCR amplified with the ssr2962-N and slr1767-X. After digested with NdeI and XbaI, the resulting fragment was cloned into pET30a obtaining pJS653, which allows co-production of VapB10 with the C-terminally hexa-histidine (His_{6})-tagged VapC10 (VapC-His_{6}) in E. coli BL21 (DE3) upon addition of IPTG.

To create vapBC10-lac_{Z} fusions, we first constructed a reporter vector containing the promoter-less lac_{Z} gene of E. coli. The 1.2-kb fragment containing a part of the Synechocystis ssr0168, was PCR generated using primers slr0168-1 and slr0168-2. The PCR product was blunted with T4 DNA polymerase and ligated to the PamII-digested pUC18 producing pJS878. A Dral fragment containing the ζ cassette, which confers resistance to spectino-

mycin and streptomycin, from pRL57 [30] was inserted into the blunted SacI site of the lac_{Z}-containing plasmid pHB1117 [31], obtaining pJS837. The ζ-lac_{Z} fragment was excised with PstI and XbaI, blunted and then inserted into the blunted EcoRI site of pJS378, obtaining the reporter vector pJS759 (Figure S1). On the basis of vector, we then constructed a series of operon-lac_{Z} fusions. The fragment containing the assumed promoter region of vapBC10 (P_{vapBC10}) was amplified using the primers vapBC10-1 and vapBC10-2, digested with BamHI and then inserted into the BglII site of pJS759. The clones with the inserted fragment in the same direction as lac_{Z} were identified by PCR analysis with the primers vapBC10-1 and lacZ-R, obtaining the reporter plasmid pJS778 (containing the P_{vapBC10-lac_{Z}} fusion). Similarly, the fragments P_{vapBC10}vapB10, P_{vapBC10}vapB10-vapC10 and promoter-less vapBC10 were generated with the primer pairs vapBC10-1/ ssr2962-B2, P_{vapBC10}vapB10-vapC10 and promoter-less vapBC10 were generated with the primer pairs vapBC10-1/ ssr2962-B2, P_{vapBC10}vapB10-vapC10 and ssr2962-B2/ssr1767-B2, respectively. After BamHI digestion, the fragments were cloned into pJS759, obtaining pJS878 (containing the P_{vapBC10-vapB10-lac_{Z}} fusion), pJS1028 (containing the P_{vapBC10-vapB10-vapC10-lac_{Z}} fusion) and pJS1472 (containing the P_{vapBC10-vapC10-lac_{Z}} fusion).

RNA extraction, RT-PCR reaction and rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE)

Synechocystis cells were collected from 200 ml culture with an OD_{720} of about 1.0 by centrifugation. The pellet was used for RNA extraction as described previously [32]. Total RNA was converted to cDNA by reverse transcription using PrimeScript 1st strand cDNA synthesis kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. Using 1 μg of cDNA per reaction, the transcript of vapBC10 was determined by PCR amplification using the primers ssr2962-R and slr1767-R1.

The 5′ end of vapBC10 transcript was identified using a 5′ RACE system for rapid amplification of cDNA ends kit following the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, first strand cDNA synthesis was carried out using the total RNA, reverse transcriptase, and the gene specific primer slr1767-R1. The cDNA was
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purified using the SNAP columns provided in the kit and poly(dC) tails were added to the 3' ends using terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase. PCR amplification of the tailed cDNA was conducted using the 5' RACE abridged anchor primer and the first nested primer slr1767-R2. A dilution of the PCR mixture was then subjected to re-amplification using the abridged universal amplification primer with the second nested primer slr1767-R3. The PCR product was sequenced.

Bacterial growth assays

To investigate toxicity, anti-toxicity and proteolytic activation of VapBC10, drop growth tests were performed, as previously described [33]. For induction of gene expression under control of the promoter $P_{vapBC10}$ or $P_{PBAD}$, 0.05 mM IPTG or 0.2% (w/v) glycerin (M9+Gly), which served as a single carbon source. Under non-inducing conditions, 0.4% (w/v) glycerin instead of 0.4% glycerin was added to M9 medium (M9+Glu), which served as a single carbon source and minimized leaky expression from the $P_{vapBC10}$/P$_{PBAD}$-based selection-expression plasmids. $E. coli$ BL21(DE3) strains transformed with the respective selection-expression plasmids were grown to exponential phase (an OD$_{600}$ of about 0.6) in LB with 0.4% glucose. Cultures were then spun down, washed twice with liquid M9 medium containing 0.4% glycerol (M9+Gly), then incubated at 37°C for 30 h.

For assays of growth rescue, the $E. coli$ BL21(DE3) strain containing the selection-expression plasmid pJ8530 was grown in LB containing 0.4% glucose. When OD$_{600}$ reached to 0.6, 0.05 mM IPTG was added for induction of vapC10 expression. At time zero or later time points, aliquots from the IPTG-induced culture were taken, spun down and rinsed twice with M9+Gly medium. The washed cells were resuspended to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.2 in M9+Gly medium, and serially diluted in 10-fold steps. 2 µl of each of diluted samples was dropped on the M9+Glu plates and the M9+Gly plates with IPTG (M9+Gly+IPTG), arabinose (M9+Gly+ARA) as well as IPTG and arabinose (M9+Gly+IPTG+Ara), then incubated at 37°C for 30 h.

Over-expression, purification and antibody preparation of VapB10 and VapC10

The mid-logarithmic-phase culture (OD$_{600}$ of 0.6) of $E. coli$ BL21(DE3) containing pJ8653 was induced with 1 mM IPTG for 3 h. The cells harvested from the induced culture were sonicated in ice-cold lysis buffer (50 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 0.3 M NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, pH 8). Co-purification of the induced proteins from the cleared lysate was conducted by affinity chromatography using Ni-NTA resin under native conditions according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Individual purification of VapB10 and VapC10-His$_6$ was performed as previously described [17]. Briefly, the cleared lysate was incubated with Ni-NTA agarose and subsequently loaded onto a column. The column was washed extensively in buffer (50 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 0.3 M NaCl, 35 mM imidazole, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, pH 8). The protein VapB10 was eluted from the protein complex with denaturing buffer (100 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 10 mM Tris-HCl, 8 M Urea, pH 8), and then the protein VapC10-His$_6$ was eluted from the column with denaturing elution buffer (100 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 10 mM Tris-HCl, 8 M Urea, pH 4.5). The purified proteins under denaturing conditions were refolded by a series of sequential dialyses: 1xPBS (pH 8), 0.1% (v/v) TritonX-100, 5 mM DTT; 1xPBS (pH 8), 5 mM DTT; 1xPBS (pH 8), 5 mM DTT and 1xPBS (pH 8), 20% (v/v) glycerol, 5 mM DTT. Concentrations of the purified proteins were determined by the Bradford method.

The recombinant proteins were detected by 18% SDS-PAGE, and the densitometries of the expected protein bands were analyzed using Image J [http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/image/]. The co-purified proteins were determined by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (MS) analysis as described before [33]. Polyclonal antibodies were produced by immunizing New Zealand rabbit with the purified VapB10 and VapC10-His$_6$ as previously described [29].

β-galactosidase activity assay

The $E. coli$ DH5α strains containing the corresponding reporter plasmids were grown to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.8, and their β-galactosidase activities were measured and calculated as described previously [34].

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA)

The DNA fragments containing different regions of the promoter $P_{vapBC10}$ were amplified with the respective primers (Table S1). These DNA fragments were labeled at the 5’ end with [γ-32P] ATP using T4 polynucleotide Kinase. For specific and nonspecific binding experiments, the unlabeled fragment (about 1 µM) containing the $P_{vapBC10}$ or $P_{PBAD}$ region was used as a competitor DNA. Mixtures containing the labeled DNA (about 10 nM) and increasing concentrations of the purified protein were incubated in EMSA buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT and 10% glycerol) with 0.1 mg/ml sonicated salmon sperm DNA. Reactions were incubated for 30 min at room temperature, then subjected to 5% native PAGE with 0.5×TBE at 150 V at room temperature for about 1.5 h. Radioactive gels were exposed to a storage phosphor screen, and the images were acquired (GE healthcare).

Western blot analysis

To detect the stability of VapB10 and VapC10, Western blot experiments were performed using the $E. coli$ cells containing the corresponding proteolytic activation plasmids. The cells were grown in the presence of 0.2% arabinose to an OD$_{600}$ of about 0.5, and then 0.1 mM IPTG was added. After further incubation for 30 min (at time zero), 100 mg/ml spectinomycin was supplemented to inhibit protein synthesis. Aliquots from the treated cultures were removed at 20-min intervals for 120 min. Same sample volume was loaded in each well of an SDS-PAGE gel. Protein levels were detected by Western blot analysis using the purified VapB10 and VapC10-His$_6$ as previously described [29]. These DNA fragments were labeled at the 5’ end with [γ-32P] ATP using T4 polynucleotide Kinase. For specific and nonspecific binding experiments, the unlabeled fragment (about 1 µM) containing the $P_{vapBC10}$ or $P_{PBAD}$ region was used as a competitor DNA. Mixtures containing the labeled DNA (about 10 nM) and increasing concentrations of the purified protein were incubated in EMSA buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT and 10% glycerol) with 0.1 mg/ml sonicated salmon sperm DNA. Reactions were incubated for 30 min at room temperature, then subjected to 5% native PAGE with 0.5×TBE at 150 V at room temperature for about 1.5 h. Radioactive gels were exposed to a storage phosphor screen, and the images were acquired (GE healthcare).

Results

Promoter characterization and transcription analysis of the vapBC10 locus

To determine the transcriptional start point (TSP) of the promoter $P_{vapBC10}$, a 5’-RACE experiment was carried out. Once the TSP was determined, the promoter region was manually checked and was adjusted according to the nucleotide distribution within the Synechocystis promoter sequences [35]. Results are
summarized in Figure 1A. The vapBC-10 TSP (+1) is located at an adenine at 45 bp upstream from the ATG codon of vapB10. The two conserved regions of the P_{vapBC10} promoter are TGTGTTA and AAAAAT for the -35 and -10 regions, respectively, and are separated by 15 bp. A direct repeat (DR, 5'-TTTTGTATA-6N-TTTTGTATA-3') and an imperfect inverted repeat (IR, 5'-TTTCCCT-2N-AGGGTAA-3') are found in the promoter region, and located at -62 bp and -28 bp upstream of TSP, respectively. Interestingly, the downstream half of the DR overlaps the deduced -35 hexamer.

To assess whether both vapB10 and vapC10 are co-transcribed in *Synechocystis*, a RT-PCR analysis was performed using the primer pair that anneal to the 3' part of vapB10 and the 5' part of vapC10. As seen in Figure 1B, a specific amplification product of about 390 bp was observed for the cDNA (lane 3), similar to that of the chromosomal DNA (lane 1). No amplification product was observed for total RNA without reverse transcription (lane 2), eliminating the possibility of DNA contamination. These indicate that both small genes vapB10 and vapC10 are co-transcribed, thus forming a bicistronic operon.

VapC10 inhibits *E. coli* growth which is counteracted by VapB10

Although sharing little sequence similarity to the characterized VapC proteins, VapC10 contains a PIN domain with highly conserved quartet of acidic residues at positions 6, 40, 61 and 104 (Figure S2). The secondary structure of VapC10 (Figure S2), predicted with the 3DJIGSAW prediction tool [36] and the DALI server [37], exhibited homology with several well studied VapC toxins, such as the first PIN domain structure for the protein Pae2774 from the archae bacterium *Picrobacter aerophilum* (30). To test toxicity and anti-toxicity effects of VapBC10 components, drop growth tests were performed, as described in Materials and methods, using the *E. coli* strains harboring the corresponding selection-expression plasmids (Figure 2A). The strain BL21(DE3)[pJS340] can produce VapC10 upon IPTG induction but does not express VapB10. However, BL21(DE3)[pJS350] can produce VapC10 and/or VapB10 in the presence of IPTG and/or arabinose, respectively. As seen in Figure 2B, all the tested strains showed no difference in drop growth under non-inducing conditions (M9+Glu). However, BL21(DE3)[pJS340] exhibited growth arrest in the presence of IPTG (M9+Gly+IPTG and M9+Gly+IPTG+Ara) although it could grow normally in the absence of IPTG (M9+Gly+Ara) compared to the BL21(DE3)[pJS298] control. Also, BL21(DE3)[pJS350] showed growth arrest in the presence of IPTG alone (M9+Gly+IPTG) but could grow under other inducing conditions (M9+Gly+IPTG and M9+Gly+IPTG+G+Ara). Similar growth profiles of these strains were obtained in liquid media with the corresponding inducers (data not shown). These indicate that the expression of vapC10 alone led to growth arrest of *E. coli*, and the simultaneous expression of vapB10 could neutralize this growth-inhibition effect, suggesting that vapC10 encodes a TA toxin and vapB10 encodes the cognate antitoxin.

VapC10-induced growth arrest can be rescued by the subsequent production of VapB10

To test whether the VapC10-induced growth arrest can be recovered or not, rescue experiments were performed with the strain BL21(DE3)[pJS350]. After the culture was induced and treated, as described in Materials and methods, CFUs were enumerated on the plates M9+Glu (vapB10 repressed), M9+Gly+IPTG (vapC10 continuously induced) and M9+Gly+Glu (vapB10 induced). As seen in Figure 2C, either continuous induction (Glu+IPTG→Glu+IPTG) or stopping induction (Glu+IPTG→Glu, after 180-min induction) of vapC10 resulted in an about 1000-fold drop in CFU relative to that in which the toxin was not induced (Glu+IPTG→Glu, at time zero). However, no reduction in CFU was observed when vapB10 was subsequently induced (Glu+IPTG→Glu+Ara). These indicate that the VapC10-induced growth arrest could be overcome by the subsequent expression of VapB10 but not by the stop of VapC10 expression, suggesting a bacteriostatic effect of VapC10.

Interaction between VapB10 and VapC10

To test the possible interaction between VapB10 and VapC10, *E. coli* BL21(DE3)[pJS63] was induced with IPTG, and the recombinant proteins were affinity-purified with a Ni-NTA column. As shown in Figure 3, a 8.2-kDa protein and a 13.5-kDa protein were produced after 3 h of induction with IPTG (lane 3), and are consistent with the expected masses of VapB10 and VapC10-His<sub>6</sub>, respectively. A stoichiometry analysis showed that the molar amount of VapB10 exceeded VapC10-His<sub>6</sub> (Figure 3, lane 3), suggesting that vapB10 is expressed more effectively than the downstream gene vapC10 under control of the P<sub>T7</sub> promoter in the IPTG-induced cells. In addition, VapB10 was successfully co-purified with VapC-His<sub>6</sub>, under native conditions (Figure 3, lane 4). The MS analyses showed that two VapB10 peaks (836.437, 1584.789 m/z) and three VapC10-His<sub>6</sub> peaks (1049.526, 1299.733 and 1455.853 m/z) were detected in MS-DIGEST program, indicating that both VapB10 and VapC10-His<sub>6</sub> had the expected peptide masses (Figure S3). These results suggest that VapB10 binds to VapC10-His<sub>6</sub>, forming the TA complex VapBC10 in *vivo*, which may cause the counteraction of the VapC10-induced growth arrest (Figure 2). Besides, the stoichiometry of the Coomassie-stained VapBC10 proteins upon affinity co-purification appears to an approximate 1:1 ratio of VapB10 to VapC10.

---

**Figure 1. The promoter region and the transcription of the vapBC10 operon.** (A) Schematic representation of the genetic structure of vapBC10. DR, direct repeat sequence; IR, inverted repeat sequence; -10, -35 and the transcriptional starting point (TSP) are underlined. (B) Transcription of vapBC10 determined by RT-PCR analysis using the primers ssr2962-R and sfr1767-R1. Lanes: M, molecular weight standard; 1, the genomic DNA; 2, total RNA; 3, cDNA. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080716.g001
His6 (Figure 3, lane 4), indicating that the molar ratio of VapB10 to VapC10-His6 is about 1:1 in the VapBC10 complex. Thus, the co-existence of two different forms of VapB10 bound and unbound to VapC10 during artificial induction in vivo is suggested by the observed difference in the molar ratio of VapB10 to VapC10 between the IPTG-induced cells (Figure 3, lane 3) and the affinity-purified VapBC10 complexes (Figure 3, lane 4).

VapB10 positively auto-regulates the vapBC10 transcription

It has been demonstrated that TA operons are all negatively auto-regulated at the transcription level by direct binding of antitoxins to the TA operon promoters [14,16]. To investigate self-regulation of the vapBC10 transcription, the β-galactosidase activities of the E. coli DH5α cells containing the corresponding reporter plasmids (Figure 4A) were measured. The strain which harbors the promoter-less lacZ vector pJS759 (Figure 4A) was used as a negative control, and showed a poor β-galactosidase activity. The strain harboring pJS836 that contains the PvapBC10-lacZ fusion had a similar β-galactosidase activity (Figure 4A) with that of the negative control strain. When vapB10 was introduced, β-galactosidase activity of the strain harboring pJS878 (containing PvapBC10-lacZ' fusion) increased dramatically (Figure 4A). However, the strain carrying pJS1028 (containing PvapBC10-vapB10-vapC10-lacZ' fusion) showed an enzymatic activity significantly lower than that of the strain containing pJS878 but remarkably higher than that of the strain containing pJS836 (Figure 4A). Furthermore, the strain containing pJS1472 (containing vapB10-vapC10-lacZ' fusion) showed a β-galactosidase activity similar to that of the negative control strain (Figure 4A), eliminating the possibility of a potential

Figure 3. SDS-PAGE analysis of the recombinant proteins from the IPTG-induced cells of E. coli BL21(DE3)(pJS653). Lanes: 1, protein molecular weight standard; 2, crude extract of un-induced cells; 3, crude extract of IPTG-induced cells; 4, co-purified proteins under native conditions; 5 and 6, purified and refolded proteins VapB10 and VapC10-His6, respectively. The densitometry values and the relative molar ratio of VapB10 to VapC10-His6 are shown at the bottom panel. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080716.g003

Figure 2. Effects of VapB and/or VapC10 on E. coli growth. (A) Schematic diagram showing the structures of the selection expression plasmids. (B) Drop growth experiments of the corresponding selection expression strains. The E. coli strain BL21(DE3)(pJS298) was used as the negative control. Diluted samples of each culture were dropped on the indicated M9 plates. (C) CFU counts of the E. coli strain BL21(DE3)(pJS350) after the stop of VapC10 production or the subsequent production of VapB10. The IPTG-induced cells of BL21(DE3)(pJS350) were transferred at the indicated time points to the plates M9+Glu (Glu+IPTG→Glu), M9+Gly+IPTG (Glu+IPTG→Gly+IPTG) and M9+Gly+Ara (Glu+IPTG→Gly+Ara). CFUs were counted after incubation at 37°C for 30 h. Error bars indicate the standard errors of the means from three independent experiments. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080716.g002
promoter present in the vapBC10 encoding sequence. Therefore, these results suggest that VapB10 is capable of activating the \( P_{\text{vapBC10}} \) transcription activity, and the presence of VapC10 partially inhibits this activation effect. Given that the molar ratio of VapB10 to VapC10 produced from the native promoter is similar to that from the IPTG-inducible promoter of pJS653 in \( E. coli \) (Figure 3), then VapB10 also exists both alone and in the VapBC10 complexes in the \( E. coli \) strain containing pJS1028 (Figure 4A). Depending on this scenario that may or is expected to occur, one possibility for the transcription inhibition by VapC10 (Figure 4A, as indicated by pJS1028) is that the VapC10-bound VapB10 in the VapBC10 complex fails to activate the \( P_{\text{vapBC10}} \) transcription, and only the free VapB10 exerts the effect of transcription activation. Another possibility, not mutually exclusive with the first, is that introduction of the vapC10 DNA sequence between \( P_{\text{vapBC10}} \) and lacZ decreases the transcriptional read-through of the reporter gene. Also, the results of transcription fusion analyses, in agreement with that seen in the RT-PCR analysis (Figure 1B), further indicate that vapB10 and vapC10 form a bicistronic operon.

VapB10 specifically binds the \( P_{\text{vapBC10}} \) DNA which is abolished by VapC10

The antitoxin VapB10 belongs to the COG2442 protein family. The structure of one of the COG2442 proteins from \( Anabana variabilis \) has been solved (PDB:2GA1) by Joint Center for Structural Genomics (JCSG) and has a winged-helix DNA binding domain with a DNA/RNA-binding 3-helical bundle in SCOP (http://scop.mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk/scop/data/scop,b,c,bgi,bc.html). Our structure analysis with the 3DJIGSAW prediction tool [36] and the DALI server [37] revealed that VapB10 also contains a DNA/RNA-binding 3-helical bundle fold at its N-terminus (Figure S3), suggesting VapB10 as a DNA-binding antitoxin involved in the auto-regulation of vapBC10 transcription as seen in Figure 4A.

In order to determine the roles of the VapBC proteins in the observed regulation of vapBC10 transcription (Figure 4A), EMSAs were performed with the purified VapB10 (Figure 3, lane 5), VapC10-His6 (Figure 3, lane 6) or VapBC10 complex (Figure 3, lane 4) as well as the labeled 296-bp DNA DNA fragment \( P \) containing the \( P_{\text{vapBC10}} \) region. The obtained results revealed that VapB10 was capable of binding to the fragment \( P \) (Figure 4B, lane 1–6). In contrast, VapC10 had no DNA-binding activity.

![Figure 4. Transcriptional regulation roles and DNA-binding activities of VapBC10 proteins.](image-url)

(A) Effects of VapBC10 components on the transcriptional activity of \( P_{\text{vapBC10}} \) measured by \( \beta \)-galactosidase activity assay. The structures of lacZ reporter plasmids are shown in the left panel, and the \( \beta \)-galactosidase activities are presented in the right panel. The values are the averages of three independent experiments. Error bars represent standard deviation. (B) EMSAs for the binding of VapBC10 components to the \( P_{\text{vapBC10}} \) DNA. A 296-bp DNA fragment \( P \) containing \( P_{\text{vapBC10}} \) was prepared by PCR using the primers \( P_{\text{vapBC10}}-E1 \) and \( P_{\text{vapBC10}}-E2 \). The labeled fragment \( P \) was incubated with final concentrations of VapB10 (lanes 1–8) or with VapC10-His6 (lanes 9–15) as indicated at the bottom panel. Specific and nonspecific binding events are shown using 1 \( \mu M \) of the unlabeled fragment \( P \) (lane 7) or \( P_{\text{BAD}} \) (lane 8) obtained from pJS298 by PCR amplification using the primers \( P_{\text{BAD}}-F \) and \( P_{\text{BAD}}-R \). P indicates unbound DNA and arrows shifted DNA-protein complexes. - symbolizes the absence of the competitor DNA. (C) EMSAs for the binding of the complex VapBC10 to the \( P_{\text{vapBC10}} \) DNA. The labeled fragment \( P \) was incubated with increasing concentrations of the VapBC10 complex.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080716.g004
EMSA of VapB10 binding to the different regions of the promoter

The DNA fragments P1, P2 and P3 were PCR amplified with the primer pairs PvapBC10-E3/PvapBC10-E4, PvapBC10-E5/PvapBC10-E6 and PvapBC10-E7/PvapBC10-E2. The labeled fragment P1 (lanes 1-5), P2 (lanes 6-10) and P3 (lanes 11-15) were incubated with increasing concentrations of VapB10 (see the Figure 5 legend for further details), respectively.

VapB10 is specifically degraded by ClpXP2s

To determine the possible proteolytic degradation, we investigated the stability of VapBC10 proteins in the presence of ClpXP2s or Lon. The strains containing the corresponding proteolytic activation plasmids were grown and treated, as described in Materials and methods, and the treated cells were subjected to Western blot analysis to monitor VapB10, VapC10, ClpP2s or Lon with the respective primary antibodies. Based on densitometrical analyses of Western blots, the percentage of the...
related protein amount at each time point compared to that at time zero was calculated, and the half-lives of proteins were determined. We first probed the proteolysis effects of *E. coli* proteases on VapB10 and VapC10 using the strain *E. coli* BL21(DE3)(pJS653) (for co-expression of VapB10 with VapC10 in Figure 3). The results revealed that the levels of VapB10 and VapC10 remained unaltered during translation stall elicited by spectinomycin addition, indicating that the *E. coli* proteases degraded neither VapB10 nor VapC10. To test the role of ClpXP2s in the proteolysis of VapBC10 proteins, the stability of VapB10 or with VapC10 was investigated in the strain BL21(DE3)(pJS883) or BL21(DE3)(pJS429). The results showed that ClpP2s remained stable in the BL21(DE3)(pJS883) cells during translation inhibition, while the VapB10 level rapidly decreased with a half-life of about 40 min (Figure 7B). In the BL21(DE3)(pJS429) cells, the levels of ClpP2s and VapC10 remained unchanged over a 2-hour period of translation arrest, but the VapB10 level showed to be decreased with a half-life (Figure 7C) similar to that observed in the strain BL21(DE3)(pJS883) (Figure 7B). These indicate that ClpXP2s could degrade VapB10 regardless of the presence or absence of VapC10. As suggested by the result of drop growth experiments (Figure 6B), ClpXP2s could degrade VapB10 in the TA complexes and consequently activate the latent toxicity of the VapBC10 system. When we determined the proteolysis role of Lon in VapBC10 proteins by Western blot analysis using the strains BL21(DE3)(pJS882) and BL21(DE3)(pJS427), the levels of VapB10 and VapC10 remained stable over the course of translation inhibition (Figure 7D and E). Thus, Lon could not degrade VapBC10 proteins, consistent with our drop growth evidence (Figure 6B).

**Discussion**

An important step toward understanding the function of VapBC TA systems is the elucidation of their features. We here characterized the *Synechocystis* chromosomal PIN-COG2442 locus vapBC10. The vapB10 gene was transcriptionally coupled with the vapC10 gene, forming a bicistronic operon (Figure 1 and 4). The production of the PIN-domain protein VapC10 inhibited *E. coli* growth, which could be overcome by the simultaneous or subsequent production of the COG2442 domain protein VapB10 in trans (Figure 2) through formation of the protein-protein complex (Figure 3). These suggest that the vapBC10 operon encodes a VapBC TA system. It has been demonstrated that the characterized VapC toxins share conservation of function in their ribonuclease activity although little sequence homology. For example, the *Shigella* and *Salmonella* VapC toxins were shown to cleave fMet tRNA at a single site between the anti-codon stem and loop [23]. The *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* VapC (Rv0595c) also exhibited comparatively weak RNA endoribonuclease activity [25]. Recently, the sequence-specific ribonuclease activities of four VapC proteins were successfully determined from two different organisms *Pyrobaculum aerophilum* (PAE0151 and PAE2754) and *M. tuberculosis* (Rx0065 and Rx0617) [24]. Therefore, it is conceivable that VapC10 may exert its growth-arrest effect via translation...
inhibition similar to the identified VapC toxins although its biochemical mechanism of action still remains to be determined.

Generally, TA operon transcription is auto-repressed by the antitoxin both alone and in TA complexes, and toxin activity is regulated by proteases Lon and ClpP [14,16]. It is proposed that normal growth conditions allow to inhibit toxin activity by nontoxic TA complex formation which also represses TA expression, while particular circumstances cause derepression of TA expression and activation of toxins due to antitoxin proteolysis [14,16]. Interestingly, our results from both lacZ transcription fusion analysis in E. coli and EMSA indicate that VapB10 positively auto-regulated vapBC10 expression via its binding to the P_{vapBC10} region that includes an IR that is a strong candidate for recognition by the protein, while the TA complex VapBC10 exhibited no regulation activity due to its inability to bind the promoter P_{vapBC10} (Figure 4 and 5). These suggest that the vapBC10 operon may possess a regulatory mechanism different from those of the characterized TA systems. However, because of possible involvement of specific host factors that might substantially change the regulatory pattern observed here, an exact mechanism of transcriptional regulation of the vapBC10 operon remains to be elucidated in the native host Synechocystis. Nevertheless, our characterization of the transcriptional auto-regulation of the vapBC10 operon here provides important information for further research directions.

A recent study suggests a new paradigm for regulation of TA operon transcription by a specific host factor [43]. In Nontypeable Haemophilus influenzae (NTHi), Fis (a global trans-activator factor for inversion stimulation) stimulates the vapBC-1 operon expression on growth resumption. The TA complex VapBC-1 can transcriptionally suppress its own operon when fis expression drops in early log phase growth. However, VapBC-1 auto-regulates its own operon through VapC-1 binding to DNA, with VapB-1 targeting the TA complex to the translation initiation region (TIR) of vapB-1. During nutrient deprivation or environmental stress, VapB-1 would be degraded by proteases Lon and Clp, freeing VapC-1 from nontoxic VapBC-1 complexes [14]. Since the VapC-1 interaction with vapB-1 TIR appears less stable in the absence of VapB-1, it may be readily displaced by Fis-induced structural changes in the promoter when conditions favor the resumption of bacterial growth. Based on this unusual mode for auto-regulation of TA operon transcription, it is tempting to speculate that other transcriptional factor(s) in Synechocystis, similar to the NTHi Fis, may be involved in the regulation of vapBC10 transcription under certain growth conditions. In addition, our sequence analysis of the Synechocystis VapBC TA systems (Table S1) revealed that the

---

**Figure 7. Stability of VapB10 and VapC10 in the presence of ClpPXP2s or Lons.** The E. coli strains BL21(DE3)(pJS653) (A), BL21(DE3)(pJS883) (B), BL21(DE3)(pJS429) (C), BL21(DE3)(pJS882) (D) and BL21(DE3)(pJS427) (E) were grown, induced and translationally stalled as described in Materials and methods. The cells treated at various points of time were subjected to Western blot analysis to monitor VapB10, VapC10, ClpP2s or Lons with the respective primary antibodies. The corresponding graph represents the percentages of the related protein amount at each time point compared to that at time zero.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080716.g007
components of the four PIN-COG2442 VapBC systems share the most homology relative to those of the others in *Synechocystis*. VapC10 has 24.1% and 17.1% sequence identity to VapC12 and VapC13, and VapB10 has 28.4%, 41.9% and 31.1% identity to VapB11, VapB12 and VapB13, respectively. The occurrence in *Synechocystis* of multiple COG2442-PIN VapBC components that are related in sequence raises the possibility that interactions occur between noncognate pairs. Such noncognate interactions may also be involved in regulation of *vapBC10* transcription and VapC10 activity. Our experiments are underway to determine the affinity between noncognate VapBC protein pairs.

Another concern is the *Synechocystis* proteases because ATP-dependant proteases generally regulated both TA operon transcription and toxin activity via antitoxin proteolysis. Compared to other bacteria, cyanobacteria have quite complex protease system which remains largely unexplained. In *Synechocystis*, the genes *sho*542, *slo*534, *sho*0165 and *sho*0164 are predicted to encode four distinct proteolytic subunits ClpP1s, ClpP2s, ClpP3s and ClpRs, and *sll*0029, *sll*0355, *sho*0156 and *sho*1641 encode four ClpP ATPase-chaperones ClpCs, ClpXs, ClpB1s and ClpB2s [44]. The gene *clpP2s* (*sll*0534) overlaps with *clpXs* (*sll*0535) by 3 nucleotides. Our sequence analysis showed that ClpP2s is homologous (ca. 61.4% sequence identity) to the single ClpP of *E. coli*, and ClpXs has 64.1% sequence identity to the *E. coli* ClpX. The gene *sho*0153 encodes the *E. coli* Lon homolog Lons (ca. 20.7% sequence identity). These suggest that the *Synechocystis* proteases Lons and ClpPs may be responsible for proteolysis of TA operons, as their homologs described in other bacteria [9,14,38–42]. Our studies also demonstrated that both proteases Lons and ClpP2s could degrade the antitoxin RelN of the RelNE TA system [29], while ClpXP2s, rather than Lon, proteolytically regulate the VapC10 activity by cleaving VapB10 (Figure 6 and 7). Nevertheless, the possible involvement of other *Synechocystis* ClpP proteases in VapC10 proteolysis and their physiological roles remain under further investigation. The cyanobacterium *Synechococcus elongate* possesses a *clp* gene set and organization similar to those of *Synechocystis*. In this strain, *clpP2* inactivation does not cause obvious phenotypic changes under the conditions tested [45], while loss of *ClpP1* significantly affects the ability of *Synechococcus* to acclimate to some adverse growth conditions [46,47]. Either *clpR* or *clpP3* is essential for cell viability, and thus cannot be deleted [48,49]. Unfortunately, our repeated attempts to obtain a *Synechocystis* mutant with complete deletion of *clpP2s* have been unsuccessful, suggesting different physiological roles of ClpP homologs in various cyanobacteria species.

Exploiting the properties of TA systems has led to a number of applications for various purposes. For example, the finely tuned regulation of inherent anti-bacterial activity of TA toxins could be employed to inhibit bacterial growth by artificial activation of TA systems [20]. As a novel anti-bacterial strategy, TA toxins could be activated by preventing or disrupting TA complex formation. One of the prerequisites for successful application of this strategy is to select functional TA systems suitable for artificial activator. If the TA system VapBC10 shares the properties, especially the potential toxicity and the positive auto-regulation, in its native host *Synechocystis* as those here seen in *E. coli*, it may be a desirable target for study of TA system-mediated anti-bacteria strategy. Thus, characterization of such TA systems in cyanobacteria represents a promising avenue for developing novel and effective strategies for cyanobacteria-bloom control.

**Supporting Information**

Figure S1 The schematic representation of the reporter vector pJS759. The start codon of the promoter-less lacZ is in bold face. The ribosome binding site (RBS) is underlined. The boxed triad bases are the three stop codons in different potential reading frames to keep from a translational fusion with the lacZ reporter gene. The stem-loop symbols at both ends of the Ω cassette indicate the short inverted repeats that terminate background transcription. (TIF)

Figure S2 Sequences and structures of VapB10 and VapC10. Shown are the amino acid sequences of VapC10 and VapB10 with their secondary structure elements assigned according to structure analysis with the 3DJIGSAW prediction tool and the DALI server. Putative catalytic residues of VapV10 are marked with a star. (TIF)

Figure S3 Identification of VapB10 and VapC10-His6 by MS analysis. Both VapB10 (A) and VapC10-His6 (B) from lane 4 in the Figure 3 were confirmed by MS analysis. Shown below are amino acid sequences and predicted m/z values of VapB10 and VapC10 by online analysis using the MS-DIGEST program (http://prospector.ucsf.edu). (TIF)

Table S1 The putative *vapBC* TA loci on the *Synechocystis* chromosome. (DOCX)

Table S2 The PCR primers used in this study. (DOCX)
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