We demonstrate an integrated approach to the study of a transcriptional regulatory cascade involved in the progression of breast cancer and we identify a protein associated with disease progression. Using chromatin immunoprecipitation and genome tiling arrays, whole genome mapping of transcription factor-binding sites was combined with gene expression profiling to identify genes involved in the proliferative response to estrogen (E2). Using RNA interference, selected ERα and c-MYC gene targets were knocked down to identify mediators of E2-stimulated cell proliferation. Tissue microarray screening revealed that high expression of an epigenetic factor, the E2-inducible histone variant H2A.Z, is significantly associated with lymph node metastasis and decreased breast cancer survival. Detection of H2A.Z levels independently increased the prognostic power of biomarkers currently in clinical use. This integrated approach has accelerated the identification of a molecule linked to breast cancer progression, has implications for diagnostic and therapeutic interventions, and can be applied to a wide range of cancers.
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Endocrine therapy, often effective for ERα-positive breast tumors, impairs the hormone–receptor complex or inhibits E2 production. Unfortunately, a significant fraction (~20–50%) of ERα-positive breast tumors fail to respond (EBCTC, 1998), or eventually develops resistance, to antiestrogen treatments (Schiff et al., 2005). The lack of integrated and comprehensive approaches to study pathways regulated by E2 has delayed our understanding of the progression to hormone resistance in cancer.

Using an E2-dependent breast cancer cell model, MCF7 cells, we employed an integrated genomic approach that combined E2-stimulated gene expression profiling and genome-wide transcription factor-binding site detection for two essential transducers of the E2 response, ERα and MYC. Recent reports have similarly identified ERα-binding sites and E2-activated gene expression in this cell model (Frasor et al., 2003; Carroll et al., 2005, 2006; Laganier et al., 2005). However, integration of genomic screening data must be followed by functional and clinical studies to develop useful tools for diagnosing and treating cancer. Therefore, validation of direct transcription factor-regulated gene targets, using gene-specific knockdown in cell proliferation assays, was followed by clinical correlation using primary cancer specimens with associated data regarding patient outcomes. We demonstrate that hormone-stimulated MYC enhances production of an epigenetic factor, histone variant H2A.Z, the expression of which correlates with increased probability of metastasis and decreased patient survival. This integrated systems approach is potentially applicable to the study of other oncogenic pathways.

Results

Genome-wide identification of ERα- and MYC-binding sites in MCF7 cells

Recent studies have performed location analysis for ERα in MCF7 cells although MYC-binding sites have been identified only in selected cell types (such as lymphoma or leukemic cell lines), and not using whole genome arrays (Fernandez et al., 2003; Mao et al., 2003; Cawley et al., 2004). To validate previously reported data, and to generate MCF7-specific MYC-binding profiles, tiling microarrays spanning the entire nonrepetitive human genome were used to perform an unbiased search for direct targets of ERα and MYC. To recapitulate the phenotype of E2-dependent tumors, MCF7 cells were stimulated to proliferate with E2 before chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP).

We identified a total of 1615 ERα-bound regions (P < 1e−5) throughout the human genome (Supplementary Table 1). The distribution of ERα-binding regions ranged from proximal (<1 kb) to the nearest transcription start site (TSS) of a gene to over 500 kb from the closest TSS (Figure 1A). Similarly, we detected 311 MYC-bound regions across the human genome in MCF7 cells (Supplementary Table 2). The distribution of MYC-bound loci in relation to annotated TSSs is depicted in Figure 1B. A total of 62.4% of ERα-bound regions detected in our study were confirmed in an independently derived data set using similar methods (Carroll et al., 2006) (common sites are indicated in Supplementary Table 1).

We examined ERα- and MYC-binding regions for the presence of predicted transcription factor-binding motifs and detected statistically significant enrichment of canonical EREs (AGGTCAannTGACCT) in ERα-bound regions and E-boxes (CACGTG) in MYC-bound regions (Supplementary Figures 1–3). Although we observed strong enrichment of EREs within ERα-bound regions of the genome, a significant proportion of ERα-bound regions may not contain a recognizable canonical ERE (Supplementary Figure 3).

It is known that ERα may exert effects at non-ERE-containing chromatin targets through protein–protein interactions with DNA-bound transcription factors, including the Fos and Jun family complexes (i.e. AP-1) (Webb et al., 1999; Cheung et al., 2005). Consistent with this observation, AP-1 sites were
found to be highly enriched in ERα-bound regions (Supplementary Figure 1). We confirmed significant enrichment of binding sites for the forkhead transcription factor FOXA1 (Supplementary Figure 1). These data are consistent with a recently proposed model in which a subset of ERα regulatory regions are first targeted for transcriptional regulation by FOXA1 (Carroll et al., 2005; Laganiere et al., 2005). Additionally, we discovered significant enrichment of binding sites for the GATA factor, CREB1, and MSX1 (Supplementary Figure 1). Similar computational analyses were performed for MYC-bound regions and significant binding site enrichment was detected for CREB1, CTCF, AP-2γ, Sp1, and ERα (Supplementary Figure 2).

We compared binding motif enrichment in ERα-bound regions with and without predicted EREs. We found that AP-1-, Forkhead-, GATA factor-, and CREB1-binding motifs are significantly enriched in ERα-bound regions with and without EREs (at a P-value cutoff of 0.01; data not shown). Interestingly, the MYC-binding motif (E-box) is only significantly enriched in ERα-bound regions containing EREs ($P=1.27\times10^{-4}$) but not at loci without EREs ($P=5.90\times10^{-1}$; data not shown). C/EBPβ and MSK1 sites are enriched in regions without EREs ($P=5.10\times10^{-3}$ and $P=2.10\times10^{-3}$, respectively) but not in regions containing EREs ($P=6.97\times10^{-1}$ and $P=7.59\times10^{-2}$, respectively; data not shown). These results provide hypotheses regarding alternative gene regulatory mechanisms at ERα-bound loci depending on the presence or absence of an ERE motif at these loci. For example, ERα localization to sites containing C/EBPβ- or MSK1-binding motifs may principally occur via tethering to C/EBPβ or MSK1, or to associated cofactors. By contrast, ERα and MYC may preferentially bind target sites that contain both EREs and E-boxes, consistent with the significant colocalization of ERα- and MYC-binding regions identified by ChIP-Chip (Supplementary Figure 4). This observation is consistent with recent claims that MYC can synergize with ERα at E2-responsive promoters and suggests that these factors may physically interact to stabilize the transcriptional apparatus (Cheng et al., 2006).

To further predict combinatorial interactions between transcription factors, pairwise transcription factor-binding motif enrichment in ERα- or MYC-bound loci was assessed. The results demonstrated significant co-occurrence of EREs and E-boxes in both ERα- and MYC-bound regions and suggested new relationships, such as ERE plus NF-κB-binding motifs in ERα-bound regions and E-box plus CREB1 motifs in MYC-bound regions (Figure 2). Together, these data suggest that some ERα- and/or MYC-bound loci represent diverse cis-regulatory modules wherein multiple transcription factors may converge with ERE-bound ERα and/or E-box-bound MYC to exert gene regulatory functions.

### Distribution and cross-species conservation of ERα- and MYC-binding sites

We examined the genome-wide patterns of ERα and MYC binding to determine whether these factors tend to be localized similarly within or near annotated genes. Both factors are symmetrically distributed 5'- and 3' of TSSs (Figure 3A), suggesting that the orientation (5' versus 3') of response elements with respect to the TSS of promoters does not determine in vivo binding and may not influence transcription factor function. However, ERα-binding sites were relatively uniformly distributed from 10 kb downstream to 10 kb upstream of TSSs, whereas MYC bound more proximally, with peak binding observed within 1 kb of target TSSs (Figure 3A). These results demonstrate that MYC displays a binding preference for core promoters or promoter-proximal regions. We observed that a high proportion (77.7%) of ERα-bound
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**Figure 2**  Pairwise transcription factor-binding motif enrichment at ERα- or MYC-bound sites. Possible combinatorial interactions between transcription factors were tested by the enrichment of co-occurrence of transcription factor-binding motifs in ERα- (A) or MYC- (B) bound regions identified by ChIP-chip. Logo representations of the position weight matrix or the binding motif for each transcription factor are indicated in Supplementary Figures S1 and S2. Red stars indicate statistically significant enrichment of binding motifs for the single transcription factors (see Supplementary Figure S1 for ERα-bound regions and Supplementary Figure S2 for MYC-bound regions). The enrichment of each pair of transcription factors is indicated by the P-value after a Bonferroni multiple testing correction (see color legend).
targets were located greater than 10 kb from the nearest TSS (Figure 3B), whereas 23.8% reside greater than 100 kb from any annotated TSS in human genome.

We examined the evolutionary rates of regulatory regions bound by ERα and MYC and found that these differ. ERα-binding regions sustained relatively high sequence conservation between human and mouse or human and rat (about 75 million year divergence times) as compared to alignments of genomic background, whereas MYC-binding regions did not show sequence conservation above background levels (Supplementary Figure 5). The evolutionary conservation of ERα-bound sites supports their putative roles as functional cis-regulatory elements.

Interestingly, in primate genomes, those regions closer to gene start sites, in which many MYC sites predominate, appear to undergo more rapid evolution (Keightley et al., 2005). Our results indicate that mutation accumulation has reached saturating levels between humans and rodents for MYC-bound loci, whereas evolutionary comparisons are still informative for ERα-bound regions.

### Integrated analysis of expression data with ERα- and MYC-binding regions

It is likely that only a subset of cis-regulatory elements are transcription factor-bound and/or functional in a given cell type under a given cellular milieu (Harbison et al., 2004). Combining gene expression data in the presence and absence of an activated transcription factor with data from transcription factor localization identifies the activated or repressed genes that are likely to be direct targets (Gao et al., 2004).

We measured gene expression changes in MCF7 cells after 4, 12, and 24 h of E2 treatment (Figure 4 and Supplementary Table 3). Gene expression profiling was combined with direct ERα-binding site localization to reveal that 5.1% of ERα-binding sites were within 10 kb of the TSS of any E2-responsive gene (Supplementary Table 4), consistent with the observation that ERα often binds far from target TSSs (Figures 1A and 3B). Nevertheless, these results revealed significant enrichment of receptor binding near regulated genes when compared to expectations generated by a random distribution model ($P<5.4e^{-6}$). When we considered ERα-binding sites within 200 kb of any TSS, we found that 39% were in the vicinity of an E2-responsive gene ($P<4.1e^{-12}$). The 61% of ERα-binding sites that were greater than 200 kb from any E2-responsive TSS may be regulating genes from extreme distances, may be regulating genes that are not currently annotated or may be inactive in MCF7 cells.

A systems-level analysis of E2 targets was performed by combining global protein–protein interaction data with our data from ERα and MYC location analyses and our measured E2-dependent changes in gene expression (Figure 5) (Peri et al., 2003; Stark et al., 2006). Several interesting modules involved in cellular proliferation were identified within the E2 signaling network. Enrichment for modules related to nucleic acid and protein metabolism is highlighted (Figure 5). The complete set of pairwise interactions of proteins involved in the E2 signaling cascade is presented in Supplementary Table 5. Presented in this way, the data suggest new functional relationships between
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**Figure 3** ERα- and MYC-binding site distributions proximal to TSSs. (A) Histograms of ERα- (upper panel) and MYC- (lower panel) binding sites residing within the downstream 10 kb or upstream 10 kb genomic regions relative to annotated TSSs. Where multiple genomic probes indicated a transcription factor-bound region, the center of each ERα- or MYC-binding region was designated as the bound position. Negative and positive values indicate transcription factor-binding regions located 5' or 3' of neighboring TSSs, respectively. (B) The proportion of ERα- or MYC-binding sites within a given distance (up to 10 kb) from a known TSS. Both orientations (5' and 3') relative to each TSS are considered.

**Figure 4** Time course of E2-responsive genes in MCF7 cells. Time course of significantly up- and downregulated genes in MCF7 cells after stimulation with 10 nM 17β-estradiol (E2) is shown. Overlapping gene counts for three time points (4, 12, and 24 h) are shown for upregulated (A) and downregulated (B) genes (all $P<0.01$).
primary and secondary responders in the E2 cascade, possibly influenced by protein–protein interactions. Here we discuss our findings generated by this systems-level analysis, focusing on E2 signaling cascade connections that we uncovered within the cell cycle, within other major cellular signaling modules, and associated with chromatin remodeling.

Multifaceted estrogen-mediated regulation of the cell cycle

To better understand E2-mediated signaling networks, we measured the associations of ERα and MYC gene targets with hundreds of a priori defined gene sets (such as signaling pathways and cancer gene signatures) available in databases including Gene Ontology (GO) (Ashburner et al, 2000), KEGG (Kanehisa et al, 2006), BioCarta (www.Biocarta.com), and GeneMAPP (Doniger et al, 2003) (Supplementary Table 6). The list of all transcription factor-bound sites corresponding to E2-regulated genes is provided in Supplementary Table 4, which indicates, for each E2-responsive gene, the distance to the nearest transcription factor-bound locus. Our working definition of a cis-regulatory element corresponding to a given E2-responsive gene (criteria P < 0.01 for at least one time point performed at least in triplicate; see Supplementary information) was limited to a transcription factor-bound locus within
and confirmed direct transcriptional activation of CDK4/CDK6 complex during G1 and on the activity of the cycle’ (Supplementary Table 6). Cell cycle progression, including ‘M phase of mitotic cell cycle, ’ significant enrichment for terms directly connected to cell survival and proliferation. Widespread E2-mediated direction of biochemical pathways over 200 kb of the TSS for that gene. Our analysis indicates widespread E2-mediated direction of biochemical pathways relevant to cell survival and proliferation. We found that ERs directly regulated genes in all phases of the cell cycle and that MYC directly regulated a different but overlapping set of factors (Supplementary Figure 6). We also observed novel targets such as the significant downregulation of CDK6 mRNA after E2 treatment (Supplementary Table 3). Lower levels of CDK6 in breast cancer cells compared with normal mammary epithelial cells have been reported, and ectopic expression of CDK6 surprisingly inhibits proliferation of mammary epithelial cells (Lucas et al, 2004). We also observed upregulation of the E2F transcription factor family and of their heterodimerization partner TFDP1 (Supplementary Figure 6), and our results suggest direct transcriptional regulation of TFDP1 by ERα and MYC and regulation of E2F6 by ERα.

E2 similarly regulates the transition of G2 to M. CDC2 was significantly upregulated by E2 and ERα binding near CDC2 was detected. E2-stimulated expression and ERα binding were also detected for BUB1, which is involved in spindle checkpoint function, and E2-regulated gene expression was observed for spindle checkpoint genes BUB3, MAD2L1, CDC20, PLK1, PLK4, KNTC2, and STK6 (Supplementary Table 3). Mitotic checkpoint defects have been suggested to explain conditions of chromosomal instability (Kops et al, 2005) although mutations in those checkpoint genes are relatively uncommon in human tumors (Olesen et al, 2001). Interestingly, elevated expression of MAD2L1 and BUB1 has been correlated with advanced tumor status and poor clinical outcomes in breast cancer (van ’t Veer et al, 2002) and neuroblastoma (Hernando et al, 2004). Levels of many mitotic checkpoint proteins are also higher in breast cancer cell lines than in normal mammary epithelial cells (Yuan et al, 2006). Similarly, we found that the gene for α-tubulin (TUBD1), a component of centrosomes and the mitotic spindle, is upregulated by E2 and the gene has nearby binding sites for both ERα and MYC. Vinca alkaloids and taxanes, which target α- and β-tubulins, are effective therapies limited by widespread toxicities to normal cells. To date, no targeted therapy has been developed for α-tubulin.

Finally, the ras-related nuclear protein (Ran) gene is stimulated by E2 and targeted by ERα (Supplementary Table 4 and Supplementary Figure 7). RAN plays a central role in spindle assembly, nuclear envelope reassembly after mitosis, and directs the flow of proteins into and out of the cell nucleus. These results demonstrate that E2 affects the cell cycle at multiple levels.

| Cell cycle phase | Gene symbols |
|------------------|--------------|
| **Upregulated**  | **G1/S**     |
|                  | ACYP1, CEP57, CHAF1B, E2F1, E2F2, FANCQ, FLAD1, FLJ13912, GMNN, HELLS, ITGA3BP, MCM4, MCM5, MCM6, MGC24666, NFKB1L2, NSUN5, PCNA, Pfs2, PMS1, POLD3, RECOL4, SFR57, SLC2A3, SSX3, TLOC1, ZMYND19 |
|                  | **S**        |
|                  | ASFB1, ATAD2, BRIP1, Clorf73, C1orf17, CDC14, COL7A1, DCC1, DHRF, DNA2L2, EZH2, FLJ17288, FLJ25416, FUSIP1, HIST1H4C, HSFB8, KIA1A794, LASS6, MLF1IP, PHIP, PRIM1, PRIM2A, RAD51, RHC4, RHOBTB3, RRM2, SH3GL2, SLC38A2, TOP2A, UBE2T |
|                  | **G2**       |
|                  | BCDIN5, BRD8, BUB3, C1orf32, Clorf155, C2orf129, CBX5, CCNA2, CDC2, CDC25C, CDCA2, CDCA8, CHEK2, DKFZp666E1312, FLJ10038, FLJ22624, H2AEX, hCAP-D3, HIPK2, KIF11, KIF22, KIF23, KIFC1, KNTC2, MLK6, MND1, NY-5AR, RCS3, SMC4L1, STIL, TMPO, TTF1, TUBD1, UBE2C, WRD68 |
|                  | **M/G1**     |
|                  | AMD1, ANP32e, AOC2, CBX3, CCND1, CDKN3, DCK1, GAP2, HIF1A, HSPA8, HSPC196, ILF2, MORF4L2, NUCKS1, NUDDC2, NUP37, PKB, PLK1, RAN, SLC39A10, STAG1, TROAP |

| **Downregulated** | **G1/S**     |
|                   | HIST2H2AA    |
|                   | **S**        |
|                   | ABC25, EGFL5, FLJ13231, KIAA1370, RRM1, SLC9A3, ZNF217 |
|                   | **G2/M**     |
|                   | CDKN2C, FLJ20699, KCTD9, LOC284219 |
|                   | **M/G1**     |
|                   | AKAP1, PLK2 |

| **ERα targets**  | **c-MYC targets** |
|------------------|--------------------|
| **Common targets** |                    |

The table identifies 164 E2-regulated genes (149 upregulated and 15 downregulated) in MCF7 cells, which were identified as periodically expressed genes during cell cycle progression in HeLa cells (Whitfield et al, 2002). These genes are further grouped based on each cell cycle phase (G1/S, G2, G2/M, and G1/M). Genes containing ERα- or MYC-binding sites are indicated in blue and green, respectively. Common targets for both ERα and MYC are labeled in red. A significant fraction of the cell cycle genes (49 out of 164, P < 3.2e-9) identified in our study contain binding sites for ERα and/or MYC within 200 kb of the TSS, supporting substantial and direct transcriptional control of the cell cycle apparatus mediated by these transcription factors.
Intersection of the estrogen cascade with cell signaling pathways

Some of the pleiotropic effects of ERα signaling are due to cross-talk with signaling pathways including those responding to growth factors and/or involving MAPK, PI3K, and PKA (Björnström and Sjöberg, 2005). We investigated the extent of cross-talk between ERα signaling and many other known pathways curated in BioCarta and GenMAPP. Epidermal growth factor (EGF) signaling and insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) signaling were among the top pathways to be significantly enriched in our data set. Representative genes responsive to E2 and harboring ERα- or MYC-binding sites involved in these pathways are shown in Supplementary Tables 4 and 6.

We identified a significant enrichment of E2 target genes in the pathway of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) (Supplementary Table 6). mTOR is a conserved Ser/Thr kinase-activated protein important in the pathogenesis of cancer, cardiovascular disease, and metabolic disorders (Choo and Blenis, 2006). We detected ERα binding and significant E2-stimulated expression of the gene for RHEB, a protein that promotes TOR signaling. We detected both ERα- and MYC-binding sites near the gene for the ribosomal protein S6 kinase (RPS6KB1), a critical target of TOR signaling whose activity leads to increased protein synthesis and cell proliferation. Amplification of the region of DNA encoding this gene and overexpression of this kinase are seen in some breast cancers and are associated with poor prognosis and metastasis (van der Hage et al., 2004). These results indicate that cross-talk between E2-stimulated ERα and growth factor signaling pathways occurs at multiple levels including the regulated expression of genes essential for growth factor signaling.

Estrogen-regulated expression of chromatin factors

Epigenetic alterations play critical roles in tumorigenesis and cancer progression (Lund and van Lohuizen, 2004; Feinberg et al., 2006). We identified targets of E2 involved in many aspects of epigenetic regulation (Figure 6). Members of the Polycomb group involved in transcriptional silencing, including EZH2 and EED, both components of the Polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2), were upregulated by E2 (Figure 6). In addition, E2 activated the expression of other genes associated with PRC2 activity, including HDAC2, DNMT1, and HMGB1. HDAC2 has been shown to interact with EED in vivo and DNMT1 can be recruited to target genes by interacting with EZH2. The Drosophila homologue of HMGB1, Dsp1, plays an essential role in recruiting Polycomb proteins to target chromatin. Although the specific targets of Polycomb complexes in breast epithelial cells are largely unknown, the overexpression of components associated with PRC2 by E2 is intriguing because high levels of EZH2 have been associated with increased cancer cell proliferation, tumor invasiveness, and poor prognosis in breast cancer (Kleer et al., 2003).

Histone modifiers including HDAC3 and HAT1 were E2 responsive (Figure 6) and HAT1 harbors an ERα-binding site. Two methyl-DNA-binding proteins involved in gene silencing, MeCP2 and MBD3, demonstrated E2-regulated gene expression and MeCP2 was ERα-bound. E2 regulated the histone chaperones CHAF1B, targeted by ERα, and ASFB1. CAF-1 and ASFB1 cooperate to deposit histones into newly synthesized DNA at replication forks. The upregulation of these histone chaperones and DNMT1, the principal maintenance DNA methyltransferase recruited to DNA replication foci, may be necessary to regulate epigenetic inheritance of histone modifications and DNA methylation patterns in proliferating MCF7 cells in response to E2. These gene products may provide a novel epigenetic signature of cellular proliferation, as recently suggested for CHAF1B (Polo et al., 2004).

Variant histones have been suggested to produce an additional layer of epigenetic gene regulation. E2 enhanced the expression of two H2A variants, H2A.X and H2A.Z, and MYC-binding sites were detected for both genes (Figure 6). E2 also enhanced expression of several proteins involved in regulating and/or maintaining higher-order chromatin structure, including heterochromatin protein HP1α, the boundary element-binding protein CTCF, and the high-mobility group proteins HMGB1 and HMGN1 (Figure 6), suggesting active epigenetic reprogramming in breast cancer cells.

RNAi of ERα and MYC targets in MCF7 cells

We selected a subset of E2-inducible targets of ERα and MYC for functional analysis and compared these to several genes with no reported or detectable role in E2 signaling. RNAi targets also included known oncogenes, transcription factors and cofactors, and regulators of the cell cycle. RNAi-mediated depletion of ERα resulted in marked reduction in MCF7 growth, demonstrating the essential role of this receptor in the maintenance of these cells (Figure 7). RNAi-mediated
depletion of MYC showed more modest effects on cell proliferation. Impaired cell proliferation was observed after RNAi of RAN, required for DNA synthesis and spindle assembly, Supervillin (SVIL), which serves as a nuclear receptor coactivator and an actin-binding protein, the transcription factor FOXA1 (discussed above), the chromatin factors GATA1 and GATA3, and the variant histone H2A.Z (Figure 7).

Reduction in cell proliferation ranged from minor but statistically significant effects to nearly complete loss of cell growth. In most cases, the inhibition of cell proliferation was observed in both E2-stimulated and vehicle-treated MCF7 cells and some E2-dependent growth enhancement was preserved. These results are consistent with our observation that E2-stimulated proliferation is mediated by genes in multiple pathways, in series and in parallel, such that elimination of any single gene product may not entirely ablate the proliferative response.

Histone variant H2A.Z as a novel epigenetic marker of breast cancer progression

GATA3 and FOXA1 expressions have been shown to correlate with breast cancer response to therapy and overall patient survival (Lacroix and Leclercq, 2004; Mehra et al., 2005). We reasoned that some of the gene targets that we identified may similarly serve as useful prognostic tools. In particular, we were interested in focusing on chromatin remodeling factors because of the proposed role in epigenetic dysregulation in cancer progression (Lund and van Lohuizen, 2004; Feinberg et al., 2006). We therefore investigated the E2-stimulated gene for histone variant H2A.Z which was bound by MYC in our ChIP-chip assay and which demonstrated a statistically significant effect on cellular proliferation in the RNAi screen (Figure 7). Furthermore, H2A.Z has never been reported to play a role in oncogenesis although previous studies have implicated it in chromatin remodeling, genomic stability, chromosome segregation, and gene transcription (Adam et al., 2001).

The H2A.Z gene promoter harbors two adjacent canonical E-boxes (CACGTG) bound by MYC (Figure 8A). ChIP-PCR confirmed that MYC is recruited to the H2A.Z promoter after E2 treatment (Figure 8B), leading to increased gene and protein expression in MCF7 cells (Figure 8C). Thus, H2A.Z is induced by E2 partly via the activation of MYC.

We next interrogated a primary breast tumor-containing tissue array representing over 500 unique samples (Figure 8D). The intensity of H2A.Z immunostaining in these samples was highly correlated with the presence of metastasis to lymph nodes (Table II) and decreased patient survival demonstrated...
Figure 8  H2A.Z is a target of the E2 cascade and is associated with breast cancer progression. (A) The H2A.Z promoter harbors two canonical E-boxes (5’-CACGTG-3’), which serve as MYC response elements. (B) ChIP-PCR demonstrates that MYC is recruited to the H2A.Z promoter in MCF7 cells after E2 (10 nM) exposure. (C) Western blot demonstrates the induction of H2A.Z protein level in MCF7 cells in response to E2 (10 nM). (D) Immunohistochemical staining of tissue arrays for H2A.Z protein. Tissue microarrays were stained with an antibody specific for H2A.Z (brown and arrows) and counterstained with hematoxylin (blue and arrowheads). Three representative tumor samples with differing H2A.Z protein levels are shown (from upper to lower panel: low, moderate, and high staining). For each tissue shown on the left (×60 magnification), the inset is highlighted in the corresponding right panel (×180 magnification). (E) Kaplan–Meier curves of overall patient survival for 517 breast cancer patients with corresponding variations in H2A.Z tumor expression (low (L): 98 patients; moderate (M): 326 patients; and high (H): 93 patients). The P-value is calculated based on the log-rank test.

Table II  High H2A.Z expression is an independent marker associated with decreased patient survival

| Variable                         | Univariate analysis |                  | Multivariate analysis |                  |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
|                                  | Hazard ratio (95% CI) | P-value | Hazard ratio (95% CI) | P-value |
| Nodal status                     |                      |            |                       |            |
| Positive                         | 2.31 (1.82–2.96)     | <0.0001     | 2.03 (1.52–2.73)     | <0.0001     |
| Nuclear grade                    |                      |            |                       |            |
| High (3/3)                       | 1.52 (1.17–1.96)     | 0.0018      | 1.14 (0.84–1.53)     | 0.38      |
| H2A.Z expression                 |                      |            |                       |            |
| High                             | 1.73 (1.29–2.31)     | 0.0004      | 1.44 (1.04–1.97)     | 0.027     |
| E2 receptor status               |                      |            |                       |            |
| Negative (0/3)                   | 1.25 (1.00–1.59)     | 0.060      | 1.07 (0.81–1.42)     | 0.63      |
| Progesterone receptor status     |                      |            |                       |            |
| Negative (0/3)                   | 1.28 (1.01–1.62)     | 0.042      | 1.20 (0.91–1.59)     | 0.20      |
| HER2/neu status                  |                      |            |                       |            |
| Positive (2–3/3)                 | 1.23 (0.90–1.65)     | 0.19       | 1.45 (1.00–2.06)     | 0.052     |
| Tumor size (per cm)              | 1.15 (1.10–1.20)     | <0.0001     | 1.10 (1.04–1.16)     | 0.0010     |

CI, confidence interval.

Univariate and multivariate analyses of overall breast cancer patient survival were performed based on Cox proportional-hazards regression models. Hazard ratios with 95% CIs are indicated. Statistically significant observations (P < 0.05) are in boldface.
by the Kaplan–Meier survival analysis (Figure 8E). Similar results were observed when H2A.Z protein levels were analyzed using a newly established tissue microarray staining and scoring technology (Camp et al, 2002), as well as when measuring H2A.Z mRNA levels using an independent cohort of 295 tumor samples from a published transcriptome study (van de Vijver et al, 2002) (Supplementary Figure 7).

The predictive value of H2A.Z protein expression on breast cancer survival was assessed by univariate and multivariate analyses based on Cox proportional-hazards regression models (Table II). Univariate analysis confirmed that high expression of H2A.Z is significantly associated with shortened overall survival. The multivariate analysis showed that H2A.Z expression is retained as an independent predictor of survival and provides significant prognostic information independent of standard clinicopathologic measures. Because H2A.Z expression remained significant in a multivariate analysis with factors including lymph node status, grade, and tumor size, it added prognostic information beyond what these factors alone provide.

**Discussion**

This integrated analysis of genome-wide transcription factor-binding sites combined with comprehensive gene expression profiling data sets enabled the identification of direct gene targets for ERα and MYC, both mediators of the E2 proliferative response. Overlay of these data with protein–protein interaction maps and GO annotation revealed enrichment for several biological modules. RNAi, when combined with cell proliferation assays, enabled confirmation of functional contributors to the E2-stimulated proliferative response. Complete genome coverage enabled powerful bioinformatic analyses to detect enriched sequence motifs within the identified regulatory regions.

A significant fraction of ERα-binding sites (nearly 80%) were located greater than 10 kb from any annotated TSS. Nye et al (2002) demonstrated that ERα displays the ability to alter large-scale chromatin structure when tethered or directly bound to DNA (Nye et al, 2002). Together, these observations imply long-range interactions between ERα regulatory regions and target promoters, closely tied to higher-order chromatin conformational changes (such as chromatin decondensation, compaction, and territory formation). Some chromatin changes are initiated by unliganded ERα (Apo-ERα) and may maintain targets in a state that is poised for rapid gene activation once stimulated by E2 (Metivier et al, 2004).

Large-scale processive/propagated changes in chromatin structure (i.e. chromatin opening) have yet to be shown to independently activate gene transcription in mammalian cells but may potentiate additional gene activation events. Alternatively, distant binding sites may physically participate in coregulator recruitment to target promoters via DNA linking or looping (Bulger and Groudine, 1999). A recent study showed that half of conserved noncoding elements with great regulatory potential were located more than 250 kb away from their associated genes (Vavouri et al, 2006). Long-range intrachromosomal, or recently characterized interchromosomal, interactions may contribute to regulatory complexity in higher eukaryotes (Spilianakis et al, 2005; Ling et al, 2006).

Importantly, our analysis shows that fewer than half of chromatin fragments associated with ERα contain near-consensus ERE sequences. This result suggests that the majority of chromatin regions targeted by ERα consist of cis-regulatory modules wherein ERα is tethered to DNA by interacting transcription factors or binds to highly variant EREs.

Our data extend the current understanding of E2-mediated regulation of the cell cycle by significantly expanding the list of direct and indirect targets of ERα and MYC whose products participate in diverse cellular pathways relevant to cancer cell proliferation and viability. We intend to pursue our characterization of newly defined gene targets of ERα and MYC with a view to better characterizing the essential participants that support oncogenesis, metastasis, and resistance to hormonal therapy and chemotherapy. Our approach will continue to include widespread functional analysis of candidate genes using RNAi in proliferation assays; promising candidates can then be tested using primary tumor samples from tissue arrays.

Blocking the ERα pathway with antiestrogens provides an important therapy in the management of receptor-positive cancers, including ~70% of breast cancers. Unfortunately, this therapy is hindered by the subsequent development of tumors resistant to antiestrogen treatment, a process that can involve an E2-autonomous MYC (Rodrik et al, 2005). However, resistance to antiestrogens also appears to be mediated via bidirectional cross-talk between liganded or apo-ERα and participants in the intracellular signaling cascades engaged by growth factor receptors such as Her-2/neu (ERBB2) (Schiff et al, 2005). Previous studies suggest that the full mitogenic effects of polypeptide growth factors such as EGF, IGF-I, and TGF-α in some cells require the presence of a functional ERα (Curtis et al, 1996). Our data indicate an additional level of cross-talk between E2 and growth factors: many genes for participants in growth factor signal transduction cascades are directly regulated by ERα and/or MYC (Supplementary Table 6).

Because of the heterogeneity of tumor gene expression signatures (Pan et al, 2005), and the poor correlation between tumor gene signatures and genes mechanistically essential for tumorigenesis, it has been difficult to identify gene targets that might enable the development of new cancer therapies (Ein-Dor et al, 2005). Our integrated analysis of ERα and MYC permitted rapid identification of the mechanism of H2A.Z induction by E2 in breast cancer cells and motivated quantitation of this chromatin protein in primary breast tumors. H2A.Z is a novel target of E2 action in breast cancer cells, correlates with aggressiveness of the tumor, and may serve as an important prognostic marker when assessing primary tumors as well as a target for directed therapy. The observed association between H2A.Z overexpression and poor clinical outcomes implies that a variant histone replacement pattern is a marker for, and possibly a cause of, increased tumor aggressiveness.

Although MYC stimulates H2A.Z expression (Figure 8A–C), H2A.Z protein has been reported to downregulate the expression of c-myc in a promyelocytic cell line in a process that provokes RNA polymerase II stalling at the gene promoter.
(Farris et al., 2005). This effect is reversible upon replacement of H2A.Z with the core histone H2A. These data suggest the potential for feedback regulatory loops between MYC and H2A.Z gene expression. H2A.Z was recently shown to mediate localization of genes to the nuclear periphery in a process that may confer memory of previous transcriptional states to promote rapid gene reactivation (Brickner et al., 2007). In addition, H2A.Z participates in the CTCF-containing boundary/insulator complex (Yusufzai et al., 2004). It is thus intriguing to note that, in MCF7 cells, E2-stimulated expression and ERα binding was detected for the CTCF gene, which, in turn, regulates MYC gene expression and can inhibit apoptosis (Docquier et al., 2005). Complex patterns of feedback regulation, or dysregulation, between these players may help dictate the proliferative phenotype in some breast cancer cells. The factors that determine H2A.Z placement throughout the mammalian genome are not well characterized.

Finally, GATA3 and ERα were recently shown to participate directly in reciprocal positive regulatory loops (Eeckhoute et al., 2007), and clinical studies indicate that GATA3 is a good prognostic marker and predicts hormone responsiveness in breast tumors (Mehra et al., 2005). The significant enrichment of binding motifs of GATA family transcription factors in ERα-bound regions supports a hypothesis of transcription factor synergy in breast cancer cells. We found that a significant number of GATA3-induced genes in human 293T cells (Usary et al., 2004; Oh et al., 2006) are also direct targets of ERα identified by ChIP (85 out of 295, P < 1e−16; data not shown). When knocking down GATA3 expression by RNAi, we observed severe proliferation defects in MCF7 cells (Figure 7), consistent with a role for GATA3 in regulating ERα production and function.

GATA3 plays an important role in establishing long-range chromatin changes during Th2 cell differentiation (Spilianakis and Flavell, 2004). These observations suggest that GATA3 may modulate ERα regulatory activity on a subset of genes essential for cellular proliferation, possibly via modifying regional chromatin. The power to detect cis-regulatory modules acting at important genomic loci should pave the way to developing new diagnostic and therapeutic strategies in oncology.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

MCF7 cells (ATCC) were grown as described (Carroll et al., 2005) and cells were changed to E2-depleted, phenol-free media for 72 h before E2 treatments.

Reverse transfection with siRNAs

Reverse transfection with Dharmafect (Dharmacon) was carried out by using 50 nM of control or gene-specific siRNA in each well of a 96-well plate and 5000 cells/well according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Cells were treated with 1 nM E2 or vehicle for 24 h. Gene-specific siRNA oligonucleotide sequences are listed in Supplementary information.

Cell proliferation and BrdU incorporation assays

Cellular proliferation was measured using 5-bromo-2’-deoxyuridine (BrdU) incorporation into DNA of replicating cells. The BrdU assay was performed using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay-based chemiluminescent kit (Roche) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

Western blotting

Cell lysates in 1% SDS lysis buffer were quantified using the Micro BCA Protein Assay Kit (Pierce), and 40 mg of total protein per well was separated by SDS–PAGE, transferred to PVDF membranes, and probed with antibodies against H2A.Z (Upstate, no. 07-594 at 1:5000) or loading control β-actin (Abcam, ab6276 at 1:10000). Secondary antibodies (conjugated with horseradish peroxidase) were incubated at a dilution of 1:3000, and blots were developed using Amersham ECL Plus™ Western Blotting Detection Reagents according to the manufacturer’s protocol (GE Healthcare).

Gene expression profiling

Gene expression profiling and analysis were performed as described previously (Rifkin et al., 2003). Briefly, total RNA was isolated using Trizol (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Poly-A mRNA was purified from total RNA and cDNAs were prepared from 2 mg poly-A RNA and labeled using the Powerscript fluorescent labeling kit (BD Biosciences) and monofunctional Cy3 and Cy5 dyes (Amersham/Pharmacia) as per the manufacturer’s protocol. The OHU21K human oligonucleotide array (Yale’s Keck Facility) was hybridized at 64°C in a hybridization buffer consisting of 2.83 × SSC, 0.5 mg/μl polyadenylic acid (Sigma), and 0.18% SDS. The arrays were washed and scanned in an Axon 4000 array scanner as described previously (Rifkin et al., 2003). Each hormone treatment was performed at least three times and hybridizations included dye swaps. Data analysis using MAANOVA and WinABAGEL v.3.6 are described in Supplementary information.

ChIP-chip experiments

MCF7 cells were E2-deprived for 3 days and then were treated with 10 nM E2 (45 min and 2 h for mapping ERα- and MYC-binding sites, respectively) at 80% confluence. An E2 exposure for 45 min has been demonstrated to produce maximal ERα binding to chromatin (Shang et al., 2000; Carroll et al., 2005). ~5 × 10⁶ cells per ChIP were crosslinked with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min at 37°C and then quenched with 125 mM glycine. The cells were washed with cold PBS and scraped into PBS with protease inhibitors (Roche). Cell pellets were resuspended in ChIP lysis buffer (1% SDS, 10 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.1)) and sonicated (Fischer Sonic Dismembrator). The sheared chromatin was submitted to a clarification spin and the supernatant then used for ChIP or reserved as ‘input’. Antibodies used were anti-ERα (Ab-1, Ab-3, and AB-10; Lab Vision), anti-ERβ (MC-20), anti-MYC (N-262), normal rabbit IgG (sc-2027), and mouse IgG (Upstate, no. 12-371). For ChIP-PCR assays, forward and reverse primer sequences in the H2A.Z promoter were 5′-TACCGAGGAGACTTCA-3′ and 5′-AGGGAAGAAACAGAGCGAGCTA-3′ and MYC-binding sites, respectively) at 80% confluence. An E2 exposure for 45 min has been demonstrated to produce maximal ERα binding to chromatin (Shang et al., 2000; Carroll et al., 2005). ~5 × 10⁶ cells per ChIP were crosslinked with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min at 37°C and then quenched with 125 mM glycine. The cells were washed with cold PBS and scraped into PBS with protease inhibitors (Roche). Cell pellets were resuspended in ChIP lysis buffer (1% SDS, 10 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.1)) and sonicated (Fischer Sonic Dismembrator). The sheared chromatin was submitted to a clarification spin and the supernatant then used for ChIP or reserved as ‘input’. Antibodies used were anti-ERα (Ab-1, Ab-3, and AB-10; Lab Vision), anti-ERβ (MC-20), anti-MYC (N-262), normal rabbit IgG (sc-2027), and mouse IgG (Upstate, no. 12-371). For ChIP-PCR assays, forward and reverse primer sequences in the H2A.Z promoter were 5′-TACCGAGGAGACTTCA-3′ and 5′-AGGGAAGAAACAGAGCGAGCTA-3′. For ChIP-chip, both ChIP DNA and input DNA were subjected to the linker-mediated amplification and ChIP and input DNA samples were further fragmented with DNase I and then end-labeled with biotin. The resulting samples were hybridized to Affymetrix GeneChip® Human Tiling 2.0R Arrays as per the Affymetrix® ChIP protocol. Independent biological triplicates were performed for each transcription factor and for the control (input). Data analysis is described in Supplementary information.

Tissue array immunohistochemistry

Detailed description of the multiple tumor tissue array design, immunohistochemistry scoring, and data analysis is provided in Supplementary information. Briefly, for immunohistochemistry, tissue array slides were deparaffinized by rinsing with xylene, followed by two washes with 100% EtOH and two washes with water. The slides were then boiled in sodium citrate buffer (pH 6.0) for antigen retrieval.
To block endogenous peroxidase activity, the slides were incubated with 2.5% hydrogen peroxide in methanol for 30 min at room temperature. The slides were then washed with Tris-buffered saline (TBS), incubated in 0.3% BSA/1× TBS for 30 min at room temperature to reduce nonspecific background, and then stained with antibody against H2A.Z (Upstate, no. 07-594) at 1:5000 dilution in BSA/TBS at 4°C overnight. The slides were rinsed three times in 1× TBS/0.05% Tween 20. Bound antibody was detected by applying anti-rabbit horseradish peroxidase-labeled polymer secondary antibody from the DAKO EnVision kit. The slides were washed with 1× TBS/0.05% Tween 20 and incubated for 10 min in 3,3′-diaminobenzidine in buffered substrate (Dako). Counterstaining was performed with hematoxylin and slides were mounted with ImmunoMount (Shandon).

Supplementary information
Supplementary information is available at the Molecular Systems Biology website (www.nature.com/msb).
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