Triple-Porosity and Dual-Permeability Productivity Prediction Model of CBM Wells Considering Complex Flow Regimes
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The productivity evaluation of CBM wells can yield significant insights into exploring the patterns of CBM production, predicting the effectiveness of the CBM well and reservoir stimulation, optimizing the gas reservoir development program, and developing a reasonable production system, for the purpose of facilitating efficient development of CBM. In particular, to accurately evaluate CBM productivity, we should establish the corresponding mathematical model of fluid flow through porous media and productivity evaluation model based on a clear understanding of CBM occurrence states and mechanisms of its flow through porous media. After considering the effects of slip flow, Knudsen diffusion, surface diffusion, stress sensitivity, and matrix shrinkage on fluid mass transfer, we have put forward a triple-porosity and dual-permeability mathematical model to predict CBM productivity that incorporates matrix gas desorption, complex flow in matrix pores, and gas–water two-phase flow in a cleat system. In combination with reservoir characteristic parameters, a case study of Ma-26 well in the Mabidong block in the south of the Qinshui Basin, we carried out a numerical simulation of the productivity of a fractured CBM well and analyzed the effects (on production performance) of occurrence states, cleat system permeability, complex flow regimes in the matrix, Langmuir pressure, and Langmuir volume on production are provided. The results show that 1) in the initial drainage and production stage of CBM wells, both free gas and adsorbed gas are produced simultaneously, while adsorbed gas dominates the production in later stages; 2) the peak output and cumulative output of CBM wells increase significantly with the rise in cleat system permeability; 3) the increase of Langmuir pressure, volume, and matrix porosity are conducive to the increase of CBM production. The research has considerable reference value for work on mechanisms of CBM flow in porous media and post fracturing productivity evaluation of CBM and also provides a theoretical basis for fieldwork in CBM development.
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INTRODUCTION

As an unconventional natural gas, CBM has entered the stage of commercial exploitation in China. At present, the prevalent view among both Chinese and foreign researchers is that CBM is mainly adsorbed on the surface of coal matrix blocks. In the process of depressurized production, the gas desorbs from the matrix surface and then diffuses to the cleat-fracture system, before flowing into the wellbore through the cleat-fracture system, in a process that satisfies Darcy’s law regarding fluid flow through porous media. There are three processes of desorption, diffusion, and porous flow in the process of CBM recovery (Dong et al., 2017), and the reservoir porosity and permeability vary continuously with changes in reservoir stress. During the production of CBM wells, an increase in effective stress reduces the permeability of the fracture system, while the gas is desorbed from the matrix surface, and the shrinkage of the matrix increases the permeability of coalbed fractures (Palmer and Mansoori, 1996; Clarkson et al., 2010). The net effect of the two will have an important impact on the production of CBM wells. Researchers around the world have put forward many mathematical models considering the abovementioned mechanisms (Seidle and Huitt, 1995; Moore and Higgs, 2015; Ye et al., 2021). Based on the S&D permeability change model, Meng et al. (2018) established a dynamic prediction model of coal seam permeability considering effective stress, coal matrix shrinkage, and gas slip effect, revealing the dynamic change law of permeability during coalbed methane development. Luo et al. (2021) proposed a fractal permeability model for dual-porosity media with curved natural fractures based on the analysis of pore-fracture structure characteristics of real coal samples. Compared with the traditional dual porous media model with embedded parallel planar fractures, both the characteristics of pore and fracture curvatures are considered in the model. The results show that the permeability prediction value of this model is 1–2 orders of magnitude lower than that of the traditional model, which indicates that the permeability of coal seam is overestimated in the conventional model. Wei et al. (2022) adopted a strain rate-based permeability model to characterize the effect of matrix expansion on permeability during gas adsorption and evaluate the competing effects of pressure depletion and desorption contraction on permeability changes. Shaw et al. (2019), used an indoor test method to study the effects of stress sensitivity and coal seam matrix shrinkage on the relative permeabilities of gas and water in coalbed fractures. Currently, CBM productivity evaluation mostly involves mathematical models for numerical simulation considering coalbed gas desorption, diffusion, and gas–water two-phase flow in fractures (Wei and Zhang, 2013). In this process, fluid–solid coupling flow mathematical models were developed in consideration of the changes of reservoir parameters, including porosity and permeability (Jiang et al., 2011; Moore et al., 2015; Kong et al., 2017). By introducing the S&D permeability change model, (Yan et al. (2012), established a three-dimensional gas–water two-phase dual-porosity single-permeability mathematical model of coal reservoir considering permeability variation, and a software is developed to study the effects of coal reservoir, adsorption and characteristic parameters on production performance. The research shows that the production of CBM is directly proportional to initial gas content, effective thickness, fracture permeability, and Langmuir pressure. On the basis of comprehensive consideration of the gas desorption, diffusion and flow mechanisms through porous media, a dynamic two-dimensional gas-water two-phase dual-porosity and single-permeability mathematical model was proposed by Zhang et al. (2015), to simulate production performance of fractured CBM wells, and the impacts of relative parameters incorporating Langmuir volume, Langmuir pressure, desorption time, coalbed pressure, cleat permeability and porosity on production of fractured CBM wells are analyzed. With consideration of effective stress, matrix shrinkage, and Klinkenberg effect, Yang (2016) established a permeability model and a three-dimensional dual-medium gas-water two-phase CBM porous flow production model to carry out production simulation research of fractured CBM wells. In addition, He also designed a dynamic simulation program to study self-stimulation effect on CBM production and predict the development performance of fractured CBM wells. Ma et al. (2017) put forward a dual-porosity, single-permeability, fluid-solid fully-coupled production simulation model of CBM wells. The effects of coal seam porosity, permeability, water phase retention and relative permeability change on productivity are investigated in the model. The results indicate that the desorption and matrix shrinkage effect will lead to an increase in permeability near the wellbore and a decrease in permeability at places far away from the wellbore. A large desorption strain and elastic modulus but a small Poisson’s ratio is conducive to increasing the permeability and production of gas wells. Chen et al. (2013) proposed a dual-porosity, dual-permeability, and fluid-solid coupled model to investigate the effects of different reservoir parameters on productivity. The gas transport process of desorbed gas moving into the macroscopic pores of matrix, and then to fractures are taken into consideration, and an analysis of the effects of desorption time, fracture permeability, fracture spacing, matrix shrinkage effect, bottom-hole pressure, Langmuir volume, matrix and fracture porosity on production performance are performed in the paper. Wei and Zhang (2010) developed a set of triple-porosity, and dual-permeability flow model of CBM coupled with solid deformation. It is believed that after gas desorption, CBM does not directly enter the fractures, but first moves into the macropores and then transport from these pores into the natural fractures and that there are gas-water two-phase flow in both the matrix pores and fractures. On the basis of the characteristics of gas–water two-phase flow in the early stage of CBM reservoir production Qiao et al. (2018) treated the critical desorption pressure of the reservoir as the supply pressure, and developed the productivity formula suitable for
CBM reservoir by combining numerical simulation and mathematical derivation. For CBM flow through porous media and its production models, previous models created by the research community take only of the adsorbed gas into account, considering that the adsorbed gas in the matrix desorbs directly into the cleat system during CBM production, without considering the free gas in the micropores of the matrix. Xiao et al. (2021) studied the micro-occurrence and mass transfer patterns of CBM by using NMR online detection. The research shows that about 90% of CBM exists in adsorbed manner. Adsorbed gas is the main source of CBM production, followed by free gas. About 70% of the gas produced from dry coal samples comes from adsorbed gas. The contribution of gas in different occurrence states to production varies greatly. In the early stage, it is chiefly the free gas and pore-confined gas that are produced, and in the later stage, it is mainly the adsorbed gas (Xiao et al., 2021). In addition, the model mainly focuses on the effects of fracture system stress sensitivity and matrix shrinkage on fracture permeability and does not account for the flow of gas desorbed from the matrix surface into micropores and the complex flow states of gas in them (Duan et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2018). In the present study, we take into consideration the gas slip flow, Knudsen diffusion, and surface diffusion in the micropores of the coal seam matrix, the stress sensitivity of the coal seam, and the matrix shrinkage effect, and then develop a triple-porosity, double permeability productivity evaluation mathematical model that incorporates the desorption of the gas from coal seam matrix, the transport of gas in micropores and the gas-water two-phase flow in the fracture system. Then, we proceed to derive the numerical solution equations of the model by the finite difference method and design the corresponding calculation program. In combination with reservoir characteristic parameters, the effects of different reservoir parameters on the production of CBM wells are simulated and analyzed.

**MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR PRODUCTIVITY PREDICTION OF FRACTURED CBM WELLS**

As shown in Figure 1A, coal bed matrix includes matrix bulk and matrix micropores. CBM exists on the surface of matrix grains as adsorbed gas and then enters matrix micropores through desorption and diffusion. The free gas in the matrix micropores flows into the natural cleat system together with the desorbed gas. Under the original reservoir conditions, the coal seam natural cleat system is saturated with water. With the progress of production, due to the pressure difference between the cleat system and matrix, the methane in the matrix will flow into the natural cleat system, resulting in gas-water two-phase flow in the natural cleat system, as shown in Figure 1B.

**Mathematical Model of Porous Flow in the Coal Seam Matrix System**

The gas flow equation in the matrix should incorporate gas adsorption, desorption, and diffusion on the surface of matrix grains, and gas flow in the macro-pores of the matrix.

1) Gas adsorption and diffusion:

The mechanism of desorption from the micropores of the coal seam matrix is shown that with the decrease of pore pressure in production, the gas desorbs from the surface of coal seam matrix. This process is described by Langmuir isothermal adsorption equation:

\[
C(P_{gm}) = V_L \frac{P_{gm}}{P_{gm} + P_L},
\]

where \(C(P_{gm})\) is the amount of gas adsorbed in unit mass coal seam (m³/kg), \(V_L\) is the Langmuir volume, (m³/kg), \(P_{gm}\) is the pressure of matrix system (MPa), and \(P_L\) is the Langmuir pressure (MPa).

Based on the pseudo steady non-equilibrium adsorption model, the diffusion of gas in micropores is described by Fick’s first law as follows:

\[
\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\tau} \left( C - C(P_{gm}) \right),
\]

where \(t\) is desorption time (day). The amount of gas desorbed in micropores is finally expressed as:

\[
q_{gms} = \rho s \left( 1 - \phi_t \right) \frac{1}{\tau} \left( C - C(P_{gm}) \right),
\]
where $\rho_s$ is coal density (kg/s), and $\phi_t$ is total porosity (dimensionless quantity).

2) Gas flow in matrix pores

The pores of the coal matrix include micropores with adsorbed gas and macropores with the free gas phase. The gas desorbed from the micropores is transported into the macropores that in turn provide the gas source to natural fractures, which is similar to the triple porosity model.

Considering the viscous flow and slippage flow in the macropores of the matrix, the adsorption and desorption of gas in the micropores of the matrix, and the interporosity flow between the matrix and natural fractures, the flow equation in the coal seam matrix is obtained on the basis of the continuous medium theory as follows:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\rho x K_{mapp}}{\mu g} \frac{\partial P_m}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\rho x K_{mapp}}{\mu g} \frac{\partial P_m}{\partial y} \right) + q_{gms} - q_{gmf} = \frac{\partial q_{\phi t}}{\partial t},
$$

(4)

where $q_{gmf}$ is the amount of interporosity flow between natural fractures and matrix kg/(m$^3$·d$^{-1}$). $K_{mapp}$ is the apparent permeability (mD) of the matrix; $\rho_g$ is gas density (kg/m$^3$), $\phi_m$ is matrix porosity (dimensionless), $\mu_g$ is gas viscosity (mPa-s), and $t$ is the time (d).

The coal seam with developed natural fractures can be treated as a dual-porosity medium containing matrix and fractures. When there is a pressure difference between matrix and fractures, the fluid will exchange mass between the two media.

The mass interporosity flow between fractures and matrix per unit grid volume is:

$$
q_{gmf} = \frac{\sigma_0 \rho g K_{mapp} (P_m - P_f)}{\mu g},
$$

(5)

where $P_f$ is the pore pressure in natural fractures (MPa) and $\sigma$ is the shape factor (1/m$^2$), defined as:

$$
\sigma = 4 \left( \frac{1}{L_x^2} + \frac{1}{L_y^2} \right),
$$

(6)

where $L_x$ is the length of the matrix block in the x direction (m); $L_y$ is the length of the matrix block in the y direction (m).

3) Matrix apparent permeability

The diffusion flux of mass transfer in the micropores of coal seam matrix is the sum of surface diffusion flux and free gas diffusion flux, and the gas transport in the micropores includes viscous slip flow and Knudsen diffusion (Wu et al., 2016a; Wu et al., 2016b). Combined with the Darcy equation, the apparent permeability model of the coal seam matrix is proposed as follows:

$$
K_{mapp} = \frac{\phi_m}{\tau} \left[ \frac{\mu g}{\mu_s} \left( 1 + \alpha K_{nr} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{4 Kn_t}{1 - b Kn_t} \right) + \frac{2 \tau \mu_s \rho g}{3} \left( \frac{8 ZRT}{\pi M_g} \right)^{1/2} \mu_s C_g \right] 
$$

$$
\frac{f_s}{f_k} = \frac{1}{1 + Kn_t},
$$

$$
\frac{f_s}{f_k} = \frac{1}{1 + 1/ Kn_t},
$$

(7)

where $Kn_t$ represents the Knudsen number of real gas, a dimensionless quantity, $r_\phi$ is the effective pore radius (nm), $D_s$ is the gas diffusion coefficient (m$^2$/s), $b$ is the gas slip constant (dimensionless), $M_g$ is the molecular weight of gas (kg/mol), $R$ is the general gas constant, Pa/mol/K. $\delta$ represents the dimensionless ratio of molecular diameter to local pore diameter, $D_s$ represents the fractal dimension of pore wall (dimensionless); $C_g$ is the gas compression factor (MPa$^{-1}$); $\nabla$ represents the molar volume of gas in standard state, m$^3$/mol, $\alpha$ is the ratio of the right real effect coefficient of the ideal gas, dimensionless, $\rho_s$ is the density of coal (kg/m$^3$), and $\tau$ indicates pore tortuosity, a dimensionless quantity.

When both the shrinkage and stress sensitivity of organic matrix is considered (Sheng et al., 2019), the nanopore radius can be expressed as:

$$
r_\phi = \left( r_o \left( \frac{P_m}{P_o} \right)^{0.5 (q-1)} \left( \frac{1 - \phi_m}{\phi_m} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{\phi_m}{\phi_m} \frac{\tau L_p}{\phi_m} \left( P_m - P_f \right) \left( P_m + \phi_m \right) \right) \right),
$$

(10)

where $P_o$ is the initial pore pressure (MPa), $r_o$ is the initial pore radius (m), $s$ is the permeability coefficient of coal obtained by fitting experimental data (dimensionless), $q$ is the porosity coefficient of coal obtained by fitting experimental data (dimensionless), $P_c$ is the effective overlying stress (MPa), $P_o$ is atmospheric pressure (MPa), $\epsilon_1$ is Langmuir strain (dimensionless).

**Mathematical Model of Flow Through the Natural Cleat System of Coal Seam**

Darcy flow is assumed in the natural cleat system, and the mathematical model of gas-water dual-phase two-dimensional plane flow in coal reservoir can be obtained from Darcy's law and continuity equation. The expression is as follows.

**Water-phase flow differential equation:**

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( K_{fw} K_{rwp} \frac{\partial P_{fw}}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( K_{fw} K_{rwp} \frac{\partial P_{fw}}{\partial y} \right) - q_{fw(j-t)} \left( \rho_g S_{fw} \phi_f \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)
$$

(11)
Gas flow differential equation:
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{K_f K_{fg} \rho_g}{\mu_g} \frac{\partial P_{fg}}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{K_f K_{fg} \rho_g}{\mu_g} \frac{\partial P_{fg}}{\partial y} \right) + q_{gwf} = \frac{\partial (\rho_g S_{fg} \phi_f)}{\partial t}.
\]
(12)

Auxiliary equations:
\[
S_{fg} + S_{fw} = 1,
\]
(13)
\[
P_{fcgw}(S_w) = P_{fg} - P_{fw}.
\]
(14)

where \( K_f \) is the absolute permeability of cleat (mD), \( K_{fg} \) is the relative permeability of gas phase in cleats (dimensionless quantity), \( K_{fw} \) is the relative permeability of water phase in cleats (dimensionless quantity), \( \mu_w \) is the viscosity of water phase (mPa-s), \( \rho_w \) is water phase density (kg/m\(^3\)), \( q_t \) is the porosity of cleat system (dimensionless quantity), \( P_{fg} \) is the pressure of gas in cleat system (MPa), \( P_{fw} \) is water phase pressure of cleat system (MPa), \( S_w \) is water saturation in natural fractures (dimensionless quantity), \( S_{fg} \) is gas saturation in natural fractures (dimensionless quantity), \( q_{gw}(i-f) \) is interporosity gas flow between natural fractures and artificial fractures (kg/m\(^3\).d\(^{-1}\)), \( q_{fw}(i-f) \) is interporosity water flow between natural fractures and artificial fractures (kg/m\(^3\).d\(^{-1}\)) and \( P_{fcgw} \) is capillary pressure (MPa).

Mathematical Model of Porous Flow Through the Artificial Fracture System in Coal Seam

After hydraulic fracturing of vertical wells in a coal reservoir, the productivity is actually controlled by discrete large-scale artificial fractures, which are relatively independent systems of flow through porous media. In line with the law of mass conservation, the differential equations of gas–water two-phase flow due to artificial fractures are obtained as follows:
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{K_F K_{fw} \rho_w}{\mu_w} \frac{\partial P_w}{\partial x} \right) + q_{gw} = \frac{\partial (\rho_w S_{fw} \phi_F)}{\partial t},
\]
(15)
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{K_F K_{fw} \rho_w}{\mu_w} \frac{\partial P_w}{\partial x} \right) + q_{fw} = \frac{\partial (\rho_w S_{fw} \phi_F)}{\partial t},
\]
(16)

where \( K_F \) is the absolute permeability of artificial fractures (mD), \( K_{fw} \) is the relative permeability of the gas phase in artificial fractures (dimensionless quantity), \( K_{fw} \) is the relative permeability of the water phase in artificial fractures (dimensionless quantity), \( \phi_F \) is the porosity of artificial fracture system (dimensionless), \( P_w \) is pore pressure in artificial fracture system (MPa), \( S_{fw} \) is water saturation in artificial fractures (dimensionless quantity), \( S_{fg} \) is gas saturation in artificial fractures (dimensionless quantity), \( q_{gw} \) is water production per unit volume of reservoir in unit time (kg/m\(^3\).d\(^{-1}\)), \( q_{gwell} \) is gas production per unit volume of reservoir in unit time (kg/m\(^3\).d\(^{-1}\)).

During coalbed methane well production, the conductivity of hydraulic fracture decreases with the increase of effective stress, showing strong stress sensitivity. Based on relevant experimental results, the relationship between permeability and effective stress of artificial fracture system is \((\text{Li et al., } 2019; \text{Li et al., } 2020)\)
\[
K_F = K_{Fi} \exp\left(-d_i \delta_{ne}\right),
\]
(17)
where \( K_F \) is the absolute permeability of artificial fractures (mD), \( K_{Fi} \) is the initial fracture permeability (mD), \( d_i \) is the stress sensitivity coefficient of the fracture system (MPa\(^{-1}\)), and \( \delta_{ne} \) represents the mean effective stress (MPa).

It is assumed that, after the hydraulic fracturing of the coal reservoir and during the production of the gas well, the gas will vertically flow from the natural fractures into the main artificial fractures in the form of linear flow.

By approximating the artificial fractures by the well sources in the natural fracture grid unit, we can obtain the interporosity flow of gas and water between the natural fractures and the artificial fractures:
\[
q_l(i-f) = \frac{4 \cdot h_f \cdot \Delta x}{\Delta y} P_{fcgw} S_{fg} \rho_g K_{fg} \frac{(P_f - P_F)}{\mu_l}.
\]
(18)

For a hydraulically fractured vertical well, the well production mainly comes from the vertical fractures on both flanks, and the fluid near the wellbore changes from radial flow to linear flow in artificial fractures.

According to Darcy’s law, the well production can be expressed as
\[
q_{gwell} = \frac{4 \cdot P_{fcgw} h_F W_F}{\Delta x} \frac{P_F - P_{wf}}{\mu_l},
\]
(19)
where subscript \( l \) is either \( w \) or \( g \), representing water or gas, \( P_{wf} \) is bottom-hole flow pressure (MPa), \( \Delta x \) and \( \Delta y \) represent the size of the grid (where the artificial fracture is located) along with the X and Y directions (m), \( h_F \) is hydraulic fracture height (m) and \( W_F \) is the width of artificial fracture (m).

Productivity Prediction of the Fractured CBM Well

Historical fitting and Projection of well Ma 26 in the Mabidong block.

For the historical fitting, we selected the actual production data of well Ma 26, a vertical well. Through relevant tests, the reservoir parameters of well Ma 26 in No. 15 coal seam of the Mabidong block are obtained and shown in Table 1. The bottom-hole flow pressure is calculated based on the initial drainage and production data (for both water and gas) of well Ma 26, and the actual bottom-hole flow pressure is fitted by adjusting the artificial fracture parameters (uncertain parameters) in the model. The fitting fracture length and fracture conductivity are 100 m and 2 Dcm, respectively. Based on the historical fitting method, the projection of gas production of well Ma 26 is obtained and the results are shown in Figure 2. In the stage of production allocation, if the production is assumed at 500 m\(^3\)/day, the gas well can produce stably for about 600 days, then the cumulative figure of well Ma 26 in five years can be predicted to be about 75 × 10\(^4\) m\(^3\).
Next, a systematic research on the productivity prediction and influencing factors of CBM wells after fracturing are carried out, focusing on the variation of coalbed free gas and adsorbed gas with production time, and the effects of permeability of coal cleat system, adsorption and desorption characterization parameters, matrix porosity and complex flow regimes on production performance. For this purpose, the reservoir parameters of Ma Bidong block in the south of Qinshui Basin are shown in Table 2, the reservoir parameters of the Mabidong block in the south of the Qinshui Basin, which is a typical CBM development block of PetroChina.

**Production Change of Free Gas and Adsorbed Gas**

*Figure 3* shows the production performance curves of adsorbed gas and free gas during the production of CBM wells. *Figure 4* shows the variation of free gas and adsorbed gas in the production process. It can be seen from the figures that the output of a CBM well mainly comes from adsorbed gas. At the initial stage of production, it mainly produces free gas and adsorbed gas in pores. With the progress of production, the proportion of free gas output decreases rapidly, while that of adsorbed gas increases rapidly, accounting for more than 80%. This research result is consistent with the occurrence and production law of CBM in the Mabidong block tested by Xiao et al. (2021) using low field NMR online detection.

**Effect of Cleat System Permeability on Production**

*Figure 5* and Table 3 show the influence of cleat system permeability on CBM production. It can be seen from the figures that when the...
permeability of the cleat system increases from 10 mD to 20 mD, and then from 20 mD to 30 mD, the cumulative output of CBM wells increases from 2.08 million m$^3$ to 3.07 million m$^3$, and then from 3.07 million m$^3$ to 3.64 million m$^3$, representing a rise of 47.3% and 74% respectively. Meanwhile, peak output increases from 768 m$^3$/d to 1493 m$^3$/d and then to 2225 m$^3$/d and the peaking time of daily CBM output is also advanced. Therefore, the permeability of the cleat system has a great influence on the gas production, peak daily output, and peaking time of CBM wells.

Effect of Complex Flow Regimes in the Matrix on Production

In the process of CBM production, a decrease in pore pressure results in an increase in effective stress and a decrease in coal seam permeability. However, the gas desorption causes matrix shrinkage with the progress of production. In addition, the decrease of pore pressure leads to a rise in slip flow, Knudsen diffusion, and surface diffusion in the micropores of the matrix, resulting in an increase in the permeability of the coal seam matrix. The combined effects cause the dynamic change in the permeability of the coal seam matrix in the production process of CBM wells. Figure 6 shows the production curve of CBM wells with and without consideration of complex flow regimes. It can be seen that when complex flow regimes in the matrix are taken into account, both peak output and cumulative output of CBM are higher than those without complex flow regimes. As shown in Table 4, the cumulative output considering only the slippage effect is 6.2% higher than that of without
considering any flow regime, and the output difference is 17% according to whether complex flow regimes are considered or not.

The above results show that during the production of CBM wells, the matrix shrinkage, diffusion, and slippage effects cause an increase in matrix permeability, offsetting the negative impact of decreased gas production due to the decrease in matrix permeability. This finding is further supported by the data presented in Table 3.

### Table 3: Effect of the permeability of the coal seam cleat system on CBM production.

| Permeability of the cleat system (mD) | Peak output (m³/d) | 10-year cumulative gas production (10⁴ m³) | Percentage increase in cumulative gas production (%) |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 10                                   | 768.373            | 208.651                                   | /                                                   |
| 20                                   | 1492.986           | 307.343                                   | 47.3                                                |
| 30                                   | 2225.42            | 364.566                                   | 74.7                                                |

**Figure 5:** Effect of permeability of coal seam cleat system on production.

**Figure 6:** Effects on gas production when whether complex flow regimes are considered or not.
of stress sensitivity on permeability, which causes a higher CBM production under dynamic permeability than constant permeability. Pore pressure is the key factor affecting the complex transport mechanisms in the matrix. With the progress of production, the apparent permeability of the matrix increases as the pore pressure declines. The apparent

| Matrix gas flow pattern | Peak output (m³/d) | 10-year cumulative gas output (10⁴ m³) | Percentage rise in cumulative gas production (%) |
|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Complex flow regimes are ignored | 1496.145          | 307.343                                | /                                             |
| Only slip flow is considered     | 1541.475          | 326.246                                | 6.2                                           |
| Considering complex flow regimes | 1810.359          | 359.397                                | 16.9                                          |

FIGURE 7  | Apparent permeability distribution of CBM reservoir matrix. (A) Considering complex flow regimes (B) considering slippage flow only.

FIGURE 8  | Effect of Langmuir pressure on CBM production.
permeability distribution of the coal seam matrix is shown in Figure 7.

**Impact of Langmuir Pressure on Production**

Figure 8 illustrates the effect of Langmuir pressure on CBM production performance. It can be seen that when the Langmuir pressure rises from 2 MPa to 6 MPa, the peak CBM output increases from 1316 m³/d to 1561 m³/d. Meanwhile, the cumulative output increases from 2.62 million m³ to 3.19 million m³, representing a gain of 17.2% and 21.8% respectively, as shown in Table 5. The peak output and cumulative output of CBM increase with rises in Langmuir pressure, but the margin of growth slows. This is because a rise in Langmuir pressure causes an increase in the desorption pressure of CBM, which means it is then easier for CBM to desorb, and more adsorbed gas will desorb into free gas.

**Effect of Langmuir Volume on Production**

The influence of Langmuir volume (gas adsorption capacity of coal) on the daily production and cumulative production of gas wells are illustrated in Figure 9. It can be seen that Langmuir volume has an important effect on the daily output and cumulative output of CBM. A rise in Langmuir volume will bring a significant increase in CBM peak output, daily output, and cumulative output. When the Langmuir volume increases from 10 m³/t to 20 m³/t, the peak output increases from 1295 m³/d to 1661 m³/d, and the cumulative output increases from 241 × 10⁴ m³ to 363 × 10⁴ m³. As provided in Table 6, the cumulative output increases by 27.3% and 50.7% respectively. The time required for CBM to reach the peak daily output also increases slightly with the rise in Langmuir volume. This is because when the reservoir pressure is constant,
the total gas content of the coal reservoir rises with the increase of the Langmuir volume, which is equivalent to increasing the geological reserves of CBM. It can also be seen from the daily gas output in Figure 9 that the Langmuir volume has no effect on the initial daily output. This is because the free gas phase in the matrix micropores is mainly produced in the initial stage of production, while the contribution of adsorbed gas to the output becomes gradually apparent with the passage of production time.

CONCLUSION

Taking into consideration the comprehensive effects of coalbed matrix shrinkage effect, gas slippage, Knudsen diffusion, surface diffusion, and fracture stress sensitivity on CBM flow, a mathematical model of production performance that incorporates coalbed matrix gas desorption, complex flow regimes in micropore gas, fracture stress sensitivity and gas-water two-phase flow is developed. We selected the reservoir parameters of the Mabidong block in the south of the Qinshui Basin to carry out the numerical simulation and projection of CBM well output and focused on the impact of some key parameters on CBM well production performance. The following conclusions are drawn:

1) The productivity simulation shows that free gas and adsorbed gas are produced simultaneously in the stage of coalbed methane drainage and production, but the free gas is the main gas, and the percentage of free gas production decreases rapidly with production time, while the percentage of adsorbed gas production increases rapidly. By the late production stage, 70–80% of daily gas comes from adsorbed gas.

2) The complex flow regimes in the coal seam matrix have some effect on the output of CBM. Considering the complex flow regimes, the output is increased by about 17% compared with output where these regimes are not considered, and the output is increased by 6.2% if only the slip effect is considered. In the later stage of production, the gas transport in the micropores of the coal seam matrix is mainly surface diffusion and Knudsen diffusion.

3) The permeability of the coalbed cleat system has a great impact on CBM production. The peak output and cumulative output of CBM increase significantly with a rise in cleat system permeability. The greater the permeability of the cleat system, the earlier the CBM production reaches the peak output.

4) The cumulative output and peak output of CBM wells are directly proportional to Langmuir volume and pressure. When Langmuir volume increases from 10 m$^3$/t to 20 m$^3$/t, the cumulative production of CBM wells will increase by about 50%. When the Langmuir pressure increases from 2 MPa to 6 MPa, the cumulative output of CBM will increase by about 21.8%.
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