A hybrid semiconducting organosilica-based O₂ nanoeconomizer for on-demand synergistic photothermally boosted radiotherapy
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The outcome of radiotherapy is significantly restricted by tumor hypoxia. To overcome this obstacle, one prevalent solution is to increase intratumoral oxygen supply. However, its effectiveness is often limited by the high metabolic demand for O₂ by cancer cells. Herein, we develop a hybrid semiconducting organosilica-based O₂ nanoeconomizer pHPFON-NO/O₂ to combat tumor hypoxia. Our solution is twofold: first, the pHPFON-NO/O₂ interacts with the acidic tumor microenvironment to release NO for endogenous O₂ conservation; second, it releases O₂ in response to mild photothermal effect to enable exogenous O₂ infusion. Additionally, the photothermal effect can be increased to eradicate tumor residues with radioresistant properties due to other factors. This “reducing expenditure of O₂ and broadening sources” strategy significantly alleviates tumor hypoxia in multiple ways, greatly enhances the efficacy of radiotherapy both in vitro and in vivo, and demonstrates the synergy between on-demand temperature-controlled photothermal and oxygen-elevated radiotherapy for complete tumor response.
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A well-established hallmark of the tumor microenvironment (TME) is the rapid proliferation of cancer cells that need a large amount of oxygen and nutrients; however, an imbalance between oxygen supply and requirement leads to hypoxia in solid tumors. Oxygen deficiency, in turn, challenges the therapeutic efficacy of most cancer treatments in the clinic, especially radiotherapy (RT). In RT, ionizing radiation damages cells by producing a radical on DNA (DNA•). According to the oxygen fixation hypothesis, the DNA radical can be further oxidized by molecular O$_2$ to generate DNA-OO•, thus inducing the damage fixation and DNA double-strand breaks. Of note, the radicals can be competitively reduced at the same time, especially under hypoxic condition, by thiol-containing compounds to restore the DNA to its original form, resulting in less DNA damage. Various methods of modifying hypoxic radiosensitivity have been explored in clinical settings, such as increasing oxygen delivery through the blood with hyperbaric oxygen (HBO), normobaric oxygen/carbogen breathing, nicotinamide, blood transfusion, erythropoietin, or a combination of them; mimicking the oxygen effect on fixation of radiations-induced DNA damage in the radiobiological process with nitroimidazoles to destroy hypoxic cells, rather than sensitizing them, with hypoxic cytotoxins; and having a more direct radiation target in the cells with high linear energy transfer irradiation. But hypoxic modification in the routine clinical practice remains inconclusive and very limited, partly because the above strategies are small and underpowered, or due to involvement of techniques that are difficult to be routinely practiced (e.g., HBO). Nowadays, with the advancement in nanotechnology, reshaping the hypoxic TME by providing extra oxygen sources with nanomaterials is emerging as an important alternative adjuvant therapeutic strategy to reverse oxygen-related tolerance in RT. Two major strategies have been explored. One is to use oxygen-generating nanomaterials, for example, manganese dioxide nanoparticles, gold nanoclusters, and catalase-encapsulated nanosystems, which can be transported to tumor sites to decompose hydrogen peroxide into oxygen. However, their outcomes are highly restricted by the local hydrogen peroxide concentration. The other is to employ oxygen-carrying nanoplatforms as oxygen shuttles for direct oxygen delivery. For instance, we have developed semiconducting polymer-stabilized perfluorocarbon (PFC) nanodroplets for synergistic photothermal therapy (PTT) and oxygen self-sufficient photodynamic therapy with a single laser irradiation. Yet, PFC nanodroplets are often associated with stringent experimental conditions and complications in co-encapsulation of other desirable therapeutic agents, due to the low boiling point and superhydrophobicity of PFCs. Therefore, solutions to stabilize the PFC entity in nanocarriers are highly desirable for efficient oxygen loading, controllable oxygen release, and facile drug co-delivery to alleviate tumor hypoxia by increasing intratumoral oxygen supply.

Although promising, the outcome of the above exogenous oxygen delivery strategies, or in other words “broadening sources” of O$_2$ strategies, is often greatly restricted by the high level of oxygen consumption in cancer cells due to cell respiration. Despite that cancer cells acquire energy primarily through aerobic glycolytic metabolism, or the “Warburg effect”, mitochondrial respiration is not diminished in cancer cells. Instead, it plays an important role in tumor development and progression, which is evidenced by significantly elevated mitochondrial respiration rates in many cancer cell lines. Unfortunately, very few studies have made efforts to design an anti-hypoxia nanodelivery system that aims to reduce expenditure of physiological oxygen by cancer cells. The concept of inhibiting oxygen consumption for hypoxia attenuation was first proposed by Secomb et al. in 1995. Since then, several chemodrugs such as metformin, phenformin, and atovaquone have been applied to disturb mitochondrial respiration for improved tumor oxygenation. However, their side effects to normal cells cannot be neglected. Currently, nitric oxide (NO), a multifunctional signaling molecule involved in many physiological and pathological processes, has attracted increasing interest in not only normalizing tumor vessels for increased enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect, but also sensitizing cancer cells to other treatment modalities for synergistic cancer therapy. For example, Howland-Flanders demonstrated NO as an effective radiosensitizer as early as 1957 on hypoxic bacteria. It was proposed that the primary mechanism of NO-based radiosensitization was to fix radiation-induced DNA damage and mimic the oxygen effects on DNA lesions. Yet, it required a high level of NO concentration which may not be obtained in vivo due to its vasoactive complications. An alternative mechanism might be the interaction of NO with the oxygen-binding sites in mitochondria, leading to inhibition of cell respiration and conservation of physiological oxygen for sensitizing RT. Of note, De Ridder et al. first reported that NO can be endogenously generated through fusion, erythropoietin, or a combination of them; mimicking the tumors to RT through NO delivery, that is, NO donors. These proinflammatory tumor infiltrates, for example, activated macrophages and T/NK-cells, can sensitize hypoxic tumors to RT through NO-dependent pathways by production of proinflammatory mediators and NO. But the percentage of the tumor-associated immune cells varies in different tumor types and it may need to combine with immunostimulators for enough NO production. For tumor-specific exogenous NO delivery, many NO-releasing molecules, including S-nitrosothiols, L-arginine, and metal nitrosoyls, have been reported to release NO in response to various endogenous/exogenous stimuli, such as acidity, enzyme, and ultrasound irradiation. For instance, S-nitroso-N-acetyl-L-penicillamine (SNAP) can be catalytically broken down to NO gas and disulfide in the presence of trace amounts of transition metal ions in biological environments (especially under acidic condition). However, their short half-lives, poor tumor targeting, and potential side effects usually impede the in vivo use. Notably, in comparison with the external stimuli-triggered burst-like NO release, prodrugs that respond to endogenous stimuli often induce a relatively slower and more sustained NO release, which may in turn more efficiently potentiate EPR enhancement and facilitate tumor accumulation of the circulating nanocarriers. Several nanocarriers have been reported to incorporate NO-releasing molecules for radiosensitization, such as poly(lactide-co-glycolic)-block-poly(ethylene glycol) (PLGA-b-PEG) nanoparticles and upconversion nanoparticle-engineered mesoporous silica core–shell structures. But these carriers are either lack of diagnostic functionality or involved with potential premature drug release issues. More improvements can be made in the design of the nanocarriers. To this end, nanotheranostic platforms with effective incorporation and retention of PFC entities as well as TME-responsive NO prodrugs remain to be explored for highly efficient co-delivery of cell respiration inhibitors (e.g., NO) and exogenous oxygen resources (e.g., O$_2$) to overcome the restrictions of tumor hypoxia in RT.

In this work, we propose an O$_2$ nanoeconomizer for simultaneous O$_2$ conservation and infusion to relieve the intratumoral oxygen tension, based on an in situ polymerized, hollow-structured, and semiconducting polymer brush (SPB) and fluorocarbon (FC) chain co-hybridized organosilica nanoplatofrm (pHPFON) (Fig. 1a). First, the framework incorporation of the SPB moiety, with electron donor and acceptor backbone, grants the organosilica nanoplatofrm with not only excellent near-infrared (NIR) II fluorescence and photoacoustic (PA) contrast, but also efficient photothermal conversion, leading to an “all-in-
one” theranostic nanocarrier with both diagnostic and therapeutic functions. Second, the framework hybridization of the FC moiety avoids the disadvantages of superhydrophobicity and volatility of free PFC liquid, offering facile oxygen loading and photothermally controlled oxygen release (“broadening sources” of O2). Third, the in situ polymerization of PEG and hydrophobic alkyl chains allows for improved biocompatibility and enhanced loading and retention of hydrophobic NO prodrugs in the cavity of pHPFON to release NO gas for efficient blockage of intrinsic oxygen consumption (“reducing expenditure” of O2). Fourth, pHPFON, with a size of around 50 nm, exhibits high tumor accumulation through EPR effect-based passive tumor targeting, in which the locally released NO can further improve the accumulation through tumor vasculature normalization. Fifth, the PTT effect of SPB can be precisely controlled to either a mild hyperthermia level for tumor oxygenation improvement and
boosted RT or to a higher temperature to eliminate the residual tumor masses with radiation resistance due to the other factors. Collectively, the design of the SNAP and O2 co-encapsulated pHPFON nanoplatform (pHPFON-NO/O2) demonstrates programmatically acidity-activatable NO release and laser-activatable O2 delivery, resulting in a smart O2 nanoeconomizer to predominantly alleviate tumor hypoxia for on-demand synergistic PTT-boosted RT (Fig. 1b).

Results
Design and synthesis of pHPFON. The framework hybridization strategy provides a simple and elegant method to functionalize hollow mesoporous organosilica nanoparticle (HMON) with desirable physiochemical merits. Collectively, the design of the SNAP and O2 co-encapsulated pHPFON nanoplatform (pHPFON-NO/O2) demonstrates programmatically acidity-activatable NO release and laser-activatable O2 delivery, resulting in a smart O2 nanoeconomizer to predominantly alleviate tumor hypoxia for on-demand synergistic PTT-boosted RT (Fig. 1b).

Fig. 2 Morphology optimization of the pHPFON nanocarrier. a Design and synthesis of the semiconducting polymer brush (SPB) silane precursor. (i) bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) dichloride, 2,6-di-tert-butylphenol, toluene, 100 °C, 6 h; (ii) NaN₃, THF/DMF, 25 °C, 12 h. (iii) N,N,N″,N‴-pentamethyldiethylenetriamine, mPEG₅₀₀₀-alkyne, CuBr, THF, 25 °C, 48 h. (iv) trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), 24 °C, 24 h. (v) (3-Aminopropyl) triethoxysilane (APTES), EDC/NHS, DMF, 25 °C, 12 h. b TEM images of different SPB and fluorocarbon (FC) chain co-hybridized formulations: SPB/FC, SPB/FC/Si-O-, SPB/FC/thioether, or SPB/FC/phenylene-hybridized MSN@MON (top) and HPFON (bottom). Scale bar, 50 nm. Experiments were performed three times with similar results.

ARTICLE NATURE COMMUNICATIONS | https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20860-3
NATURE COMMUNICATIONS (2021) 12:523 | https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20860-3 | www.nature.com/naturecommunications
We further optimized the morphology of the resultant HPFON by introducing a third type of silica precursor, for example, tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS, with inorganic Si-O bonds), bis[3-(triethoxysilyl)-propyl]tetrasulfide (BTES, with thioether moieties), and 1,4-bis(triethoxysilyl)benzene (BTEB, with a phenylene moiety). As shown in the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images in Fig. 2b, all MS@MON formulations exhibited uniform and well-dispersed spherical structures of a similar size. After the ammonia etching, however, only the phenylene-hybridized HPFON retained a uniform spherical morphology with a hydrodynamic size of around 65 nm as determined by dynamic light scattering. The other formulations contained distorted and easy-to-aggregate hollow spheres with hydrodynamic sizes ranging from 116 to 390 nm (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Fig. 3). It is apparent that the phenylene incorporation enhanced the stiffness of HPFON. In addition, introduction of the phenylene group could significantly reduce the hemolytic effect of HMON by decreasing the amount of surface-exposed silanol.41.42. The SPB/FC/phenylene multiple-hybridized HPFON showed stability in PBS without substantial size changes over a week (Supplementary Fig. 4). Therefore, we chose the SPB/FC/phenylene multiple-hybridized HPFON formulation for further use.

The framework hybridization was verified through detection of signals for Si, C, O, and F in elemental mapping analysis (Fig. 3a) and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) spectrum (Supplementary Fig. 5). In comparison, F was not detected in elemental mapping or EDS analysis of SPB/phenylene dual-hybridized HMON, demonstrating that the framework incorporation strategy was under good control (Supplementary Fig. 6). The HPFON had a large surface area of 632.5 m²/g (Fig. 3b) and a well-defined mesoporous structure with a pore size of around 3.5 nm (Fig. 3c). Notably, these pores on the HPFON not only provided channels for drug loading, but also offered opportunities for unintended leakage of the cargoes. To solve this general issue in mesoporous drug delivery systems, we further conjugated the HPFON with hydrophobic polymers via an in situ polymerization process to facilitate encapsulation and retention of hydrophobic drugs via hydrophobic–hydrophobic interactions (Fig. 1a).43 Meanwhile, PEG chains were co-conjugated during the polymerization process to ensure excellent biocompatibility of the nanoplatform. The resultant pHFPON demonstrated a SNAP loading ratio of 4.74 wt% after three high-speed centrifugation and wash cycles, whereas that in HPFON without surface polymerization was only determined to be 0.63 wt% by comparing the absorbance of unloaded content with the SNAP absorbance standard curve (Supplementary Fig. 7).

Characterization of the pHFPON nanoplatform. Next, we examined the spectroscopic properties and responsive cargo release of the pHFPON. It exhibited a broad NIR absorption from 600 to 1000 nm, with a strong peak at around 808 nm (Fig. 3d), and a high NIR-II fluorescence emission ranging from 1000 to 1400 nm, which peaked at around 1100 nm (Fig. 3e). The pHFPON exhibited a positive concentration-dependent PA contrast and photothermal effect (Fig. 3f, g). At a concentration of 1 mg/mL, 808-nm laser irradiation (1 W/cm²) induced a temperature increase of 17.5 °C in 1 min and 40.6 °C in 5 min. To investigate the pH-responsive NO release, SNAP-loaded pHFPON (pHFPON-NO) was incubated in PBS at various pH values (Fig. 3h). At pH 7.4, pHFPON-NO underwent slow SNAP decomposition, with less than 5% payload release in 12 h. In contrast, a steadily accelerated NO release was observed at lower pH. This was because of SNAP decomposition in biological environments with the involvement of H⁺37, implying efficient NO delivery when the pHFPON-NO was internalized by acidic endosomes/lysosomes of cancer cells or penetrated the acidic TME. Moreover, the pHFPON could be used for oxygen delivery owing to the strong physical affinity between the hybridized FC chains and O₂ molecules. The O₂-saturated pHFPON (pHFPON-O₂) did not release O₂ in the dark. In contrast, upon laser irradiation, the O₂ release rapidly increased and plateaued within 5 min (Fig. 3i), suggesting sustained and sufficient O₂ release in response to the photothermal effect. These observations indicated that the pHFPON-NO/O₂ might be an ideal candidate for sustained NO delivery and on-demand O₂ release in tumors.

In vitro programmable NO/O₂ release. Before investigating the intracellular NO and O₂ release, we examined the cellular uptake and biocompatibility of pHFPON. Both confocal microscopy and flow cytometry were used to demonstrate the time-dependent cellular uptake of fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-labeled pHFPON (Supplementary Fig. 8). Moreover, we confirmed that pHFPON and its cargo-encapsulated formulations (i.e., pHFPON-NO/O₂, pHFPON-NO, and pHFPON-O₂) showed negligible cytotoxicity against U87MG cells in the dark (Supplementary Fig. 9). The NO and O₂ deliveries of pHFPON-NO/O₂ were monitored with an NO probe 4-amino-5-methylamino-2′,7′-difuorofluorescein diacetate (DAF-FM, green fluorescence) and a hypoxia indicator [Ru(dpp)₃]Cl₂ (red fluorescence) in hypoxic U87MG cells under confocal microscopy (Fig. 4a and Supplementary Fig. 10). Strong green fluorescence was only observed in the pHFPON-NO/O₂ or pHFPON-NO-treated cells with or without laser irradiation, which was attributed to the acidity-responsive SNAP decomposition upon internalization into acidic cellular endosomes/lysosomes. As expected, significantly weakened red fluorescence was displayed in the pHFPON-NO/O₂ or pHFPON-O₂-treated cells after laser irradiation, due to the photothermal effect-triggered O₂ release. Interestingly, along with the increased green fluorescence, pHFPON-NO also moderately reduced the [Ru(dpp)₃]Cl₂ signals in both the irradiated and non-irradiated cells, implying that the NO release may also be able to reduce hypoxia levels. The same results were detected when analyzing the intracellular fluorescence intensity with flow cytometry (Fig. 4b), which undoubtedly confirmed the programmable NO and O₂ delivery with our proposed O₂ nanoeconomizer pHFPON-NO/O₂.

NO delivery as a “reducing expenditure” oxygenation strategy to boost RT. NO can competitively bind to the O₂ binding sites of cytochrome c oxidase (CytO)32, which is an important component in the conversion of oxygen to water in the cell respiration chain44,45. We therefore speculated that upon cellular uptake of pHFPON, the spontaneously released NO would enable efficient inhibition of mitochondrial respiration, in turn facilitating RT through downregulation of tumor hypoxia (Fig. 4c). Fig. 4d illustrates the decline of CytO activities in hypoxic U87MG cells after 24 h of incubation with various concentrations of pHFPON-NO. In comparison with pHFPON and PBS, pHFPON-NO demonstrated a much stronger potency in CytO activity inhibition (Fig. 4e). As a result of the respiration chain blockage, pHFPON-NO depolarized the mitochondrial membrane potential by showing a substantially decreased ratio in red (JC-1 aggregates, high potential, healthy status) to green (JC-1 monomer, low potential) in a JC-1 assay (Fig. 4f and Supplementary Fig. 11). This NO-mediated mitochondrial dysfunction was also demonstrated by a much lower ATP content of 53.8% in cells treated with pHFPON-NO than the control groups (Fig. 4g). Remarkably, the oxygen consumption rate assay only detected around 25% intracellular oxygen decrease after being exposed to
pHPFON-NO for 30 min, whereas those in pHPFON and PBS-treated groups were around 40% (Fig. 4h). This "reducing expenditure" oxygenation strategy further induced an apparent downregulation of hypoxia-inducible factor 1-alpha (HIF-1α, the hallmark of hypoxic cells) in the pHPFON-NO-treated hypoxic U87MG cells (Fig. 4i and Supplementary Fig. 12). Upon X-ray irradiation, we evaluated the reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation and DNA double-strand break with a fluorogenic probe, 2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (H₂DCFDA), and a phosphorylated protein biomarker, γ-H2A.X, respectively. As expected, the pHPFON-NO-treated cells with X-ray irradiation exhibited the highest level of 2',7'-dichlorofluorescein (DCF) fluorescence (Supplementary Fig. 13) and positive anti-γ-H2A.X staining (Supplementary Fig. 14) compared with other groups, which was attributed to the NO-mediated hypoxia relief.

O₂ delivery as a “broadening sources” oxygenation strategy to boost RT. The radiosensitization effect from O₂ delivery was examined with pHPFON-O₂ to exclude the impact from NO. We hypothesized that the laser-activatable O₂ release of pHPFON-O₂ could effectively increase intracellular oxygen concentration, downregulate HIF-1α expression, elevate X-ray-mediated ROS generation, augment DNA damage, and finally lead to cancer cell death (Fig. 4j). Anti-HIF-1α immunofluorescence staining demonstrated a predominantly weakened green fluorescence in the pHPFON-O₂ + Laser treatment group, while those of other groups without laser irradiation or O₂ pre-saturation were almost the same as the PBS control. This confirms the photothermal effect-induced cellular oxygenation with pHPFON-O₂ (Fig. 4k and Supplementary Fig. 15). Notably, this "broadening sources" oxygenation process further enhanced ROS production and oxidative DNA damage in RT (Fig. 4l), showing a much stronger positive DCF and anti-γ-H2A.X staining level in the O₂-sensitized RT than other non-O₂-supplying RT treatments. In addition, negligible positive fluorescent signals were detected in the cells treated with different nanoparticles but without receiving X-ray irradiation (Supplementary Figs. 16 and 17). These observations clearly demonstrated that the pHPFON could act as an efficient...
O₂ shuttle to transport oxygen to hypoxic cells and boost oxidative DNA damage in RT. Therefore, we expected significantly advanced RT efficacy with pHPFON-NO/O₂ through the binary O₂-economic tactic: on the one hand, the spontaneous NO release upon cellular uptake reduced the physiological O₂ consumption, on the other hand, the laser-activatable O₂ delivery supplied the cells with exogeneous oxygen.

In vitro NO and/or O₂ sensitized RT. The effective hypoxia alleviation by NO and O₂ delivery potentiated RT treatment. As shown in MTT assays in Fig. 5a, b, an X-ray dose-dependent RT effect was observed when treating U87MG cells with O₂ (pHPFON-O₂ + Laser), NO (pHPFON-NO), or sequential NO/O₂ (pHPFON-NO/O₂ + Laser) delivery under both hypoxic and normoxic conditions. The NO/O₂ co-delivery demonstrated the most effective cell destruction of all groups under the same X-ray irradiation dose. In addition, cells treated with pHPFON plus laser or pHPFON alone showed negligible add-on effects in RT, therefore excluding the possibility of the mild photothermal effect or pHPFON nanocarrier-mediated RT enhancement. To further investigate the therapeutic effect of pHPFON-NO/O₂, we examined the survival fractions of U87MG cells by the colony formation assay after different treatments under normoxic and hypoxic conditions (Fig. 5c). The NO and/or O₂ sensitized RT treatments (groups g1–g3) significantly damaged cancer cells by greatly reducing their survival under both conditions. In contrast, without the delivery of NO or O₂, the RT treatments led to
In vitro synergistic photothermal and RT. **Fig. 4** In vitro programmable release and radiosensitizing effect of NO and O2. a Confocal images of hypoxic U87MG cells treated with different pHFPON-NO/O2 formulations for 24 h, with or without subsequent 808-nm laser irradiation (1 W/cm², 3 min). Green, DAF-FM (4-amino-5-methylamino-2′,7′-difuoroorescein, NO indicator). Red, [Ru(dpp)₃]Cl₂ (hypoxygen indicator). Blue, DAPI. Scale bar, 20 µm. Experiments were performed three times with similar results. b Flow cytometry analysis of hypoxic U87MG cells receiving the same treatments in a. Experiments were performed twice with similar results. c Schematic illustration of the NO delivery-based “reducing expenditure” oxygenation strategy for boosted RT. The low-pH-induced NO release would inhibit mitochondrial respiration, downregulate HIF-1α expression, and boost RT efficacy. d Relative activity of cytochrome c oxidase (CcO) after incubating hypoxic U87MG cells with pHFPON-NO at different concentrations for 24 h. e–f Effect of cell respiration inhibition by the pHFPON-NO. e Relative CcO activity, f IC-1 assay (green, IC-1 monomer). Red, J-aggregates. Scale bar, 20 µm, g relative ATP contents, h oxygen consumption capacity, and i HIF-1α expression (green, HIF-1α; red, tubulin). Scale bar, 20 µm. h Evaluation of intracellular ROS generation and DNA damage. i Anti-HIF-1α staining in hypoxic U87MG cells after different treatments. Green, HIF-1α; red, tubulin. Scale bar, 20 µm. j Evaluation of intracellular ROS generation and DNA damage with H₂DCFDA assay and anti-γ-H2AX staining after different treatments. Green, 2′,7′-dichlorofluorescein (DCF) or γ-H2AX; blue, DAPI. Scale bar, 20 µm. K Evaluation of intracellular ROS generation and DNA damage with H₂DCFDA assay and anti-γ-H2AX staining after different treatments. Green, 2′,7′-dichlorofluorescein (DCF) or γ-H2AX; blue, DAPI. Scale bar, 20 µm. For k, f, i, (+) stands for 808-nm laser irradiation at 1 W/cm² for 3 min applied after 24 h of incubation with nanoparticles; (λ) stands for 4-Gy X-ray irradiation following the laser irradiation, if applicable. n = 4 independently biological samples per group (d, e, g). Experiments were performed three times with similar results (f, h, i, k, l). Data are presented as mean ± s.d. in d. For the boxplots, the middle line is the median, the lower and upper hinges correspond to the first and third quartiles, and whiskers represent ±1.5 interquartile range (d, e, g). Two-tailed Student’s t-test. ***P < 0.001. ***P < 0.0001.

In vitro multi-modal imaging of pHFPON-NO/O2. To find the best time window for the RT irradiation, we intravenously injected ⁶⁴Cu-labeled or nonradioactive pHFPON-NO/O2 into U87MG tumor-bearing mice and monitored their migration and tumor accumulation with positron emission tomography (PET) or NIR-II imaging. PET images (Fig. 6a) indicated gradual tumor accumulation with a peak of 9.80 ± 0.954% ID/g at 24 h post-injection (p.i.), which was relatively higher than those of other previously reported sub-50 nm HMONs (Fig. 6b). The accumulation enhancement might be attributed to the in situ NO release, which could promote tumor vasodilation and enhance the EPR effect. Meanwhile, the liver and spleen uptake decreased over time, indicating that the nanoparticles were successfully eliminated from the mice (Fig. 6b and Supplementary Fig. 20). The ex vivo radioactivities in major organs were consistent with the in vivo imaging results (Supplementary Fig. 21). Moreover, the NIR-II imaging displayed the same tendency with the PET results (Fig. 6c and Supplementary Fig. 22), exhibiting a relative tumor fluorescence signal of 1 ± 0.094, 2.75 ± 0.18, 3.88 ± 0.53, 3.27 ± 0.31 at 1, 4, 24, and 48 h p.i., respectively (Fig. 6d). To investigate the NO-mediated EPR enhancement, we meticulously compared the tumor accumulation of pHFPON-NO/O2 and pHFPON with tumor PA imaging (Fig. 6e, f and Supplementary Fig. 23). Both nanoparticles showed the highest tumor uptake at 24 h p.i. and shared similar accumulation tendency. pHFPON-NO/O2 demonstrated 1.31, 1.27, and 1.19 times higher tumor accumulation than pHFPON at 4, 24, and 48 h p.i., respectively, which confirmed the enhanced EPR effect with pHFPON-NO/O2. For further therapy studies, we chose the 24 h p.i. time point to apply RT for maximum efficacy.

In vivo treatment efficacy of pHFPON-NO/O2. Next, we moved to the in vivo therapeutic evaluation of pHFPON-NO/O2 with U87MG tumor model. With 808-nm laser irradiation (1.0 W/cm²), the tumor area of the pHFPON-NO/O2-treated mice rapidly heated to around 44 °C within 3 min, and further increased to 48 °C at the end of 5 min. These two temperature conditions could induce effective O2 release alone, or O2 release plus photoablation, respectively. In contrast, the PBS-treated tumors maintained a temperature of below 36.2 °C during the irradiation (Fig. 7a, b). We also investigated the tumor oxygenation with pHFPON-NO/O2 by visualizing the intratumoral hemoglobin oxygen saturation (sO₂) level with PA imaging (Fig. 7c). The relative sO₂ level steadily increased by 3.02-fold at 24 h p.i. of pHFPON-NO/O2 and further explosively raised to 6.62-fold with a subsequent laser irradiation (Fig. 7d). These observations were consistent with the intratumoral HIF-1α expression (Fig. 7e, f), validating the “saving expenditure of O2 and broadening sources” effect corresponding to the sequential NO and O2 delivery, respectively. Undoubtedly, the remarkable PTT effect and tumor oxygenation enhancement with pHFPON-NO/O2 would ensure potent tumor inhibition. Therapy studies were performed on the U87MG tumor model (Fig. 7g). Complete tumor regression was only achieved by the combinational PTT and oxyRT treatment (Group T1). In contrast, the single modal PTT (Group T2) or oxyRT (Group T3) demonstrated a tumor growth inhibition rate of 87.4 and 70.8% at the 18th day after the treatments, suggesting a
Fig. 5 In vitro radiotherapy. a–e NO and/or O₂-boosted radiotherapy. a, b Cell viabilities of a normoxic (21% O₂) and b hypoxic (1% O₂) U87MG cells subjected to different nanoparticle treatments, following an X-ray irradiation at various doses (0, 2, 4, 6 Gy). In the groups with laser irradiation, the laser (808 nm) was applied after 24 h of incubation at a dosage of 1 W/cm² for 3 min. n = 5 biologically independent samples per group. c Survival fraction determined by colony formation assays in both normoxic and hypoxic U87MG cells after different treatments. n = 3 biologically independent samples per group. d Fluorescent DNA-stained images by comet assays in hypoxic U87MG cells after different treatments. Scale bar, 50 μm. Experiments were performed three times with similar results. e Quantification of DNA damage (n = 6 independent experiments) according to the images in d. For c–e, groups g1–g8: g1, pHPFON-NO/O₂ (+) Laser + X-ray; g2, pHPFON-NO + X-ray; g3, pHPFON-O₂ + Laser + X-ray; g4, pHPFON + Laser + X-ray; g5, pHPFON + X-ray; g6, X-ray; g7, pHPFON-NO/O₂ + Laser; g8, PBS. Laser (808 nm) was applied after 24 h of incubation with nanoparticles at 1 W/cm² for 3 min. X-ray was applied after the laser irradiation at a dose of 4 Gy. f–i In vitro synergistic photothermal and radiotherapy. f MTT assays (n = 5 biologically independent samples). g Live and dead assays (n = 3 biologically independent samples, with similar results). Green, Calcein-AM, live cells. Red, ethidium homodimer-1 (Eth-1), dead cells. Scale bar, 100 µm. h Flow cytometry analysis (n = 2 independent experiments, with similar results). i Quantitative analysis according to h on cells after different treatments. For f–i, groups T1–T8: T1, pHPFON-NO/O₂ (++) (#); T2, pHPFON-NO/O₂ (++); T3, pHPFON-NO/O₂ (+); T4, pHPFON-NO/O₂ (+); T5, (#); T6, (++); T7, pHPFON-NO/O₂; and T8, PBS. (++) stands for 808-nm laser irradiation at 1 W/cm² for 5 min. (+) stands for 808-nm laser irradiation at 1 W/cm² for 3 min. (#) stands for a 4-Gy X-ray irradiation. Laser was applied after 24 h of incubation with nanoparticles and X-ray was applied after the laser irradiation, if applicable. Data are presented as mean ± s.d. Two-tailed Student’s t-test. *P < 0.05. **P < 0.01. ***P < 0.001. ****P < 0.0001.
substantial cooperative enhancement effect between PTT and oxyRT (Fig. 7h). The X-ray irradiation alone (Group T5) only showed a moderate tumor inhibition rate of 55.9% at the 18th day, in which the attenuated RT efficacy was mainly due to the existence of hypoxic regions in the tumors. Therefore, the sensitization enhancement ratio of the combination group was calculated to be 1.41 and 1.79 over the oxyRT and RT treatment, respectively.

To further confirm the anti-cancer effect, tumors were collected after different treatments for histological analysis. Anti-γ-H2Aχ staining analysis (Supplementary Fig. 24) showed much higher fluorescence signals in PTT/oxyRT-treated tumors than oxyRT or RT-treated ones. Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining and TUNEL assays (Fig. 7i and Supplementary Fig. 25) revealed elevated levels of apoptotic and necrotic cells in the RT, oxyRT, PTT, and combinational PTT/oxyRT groups, but little cell damage in the other control groups, which reflected the observed tumor growth curves. In addition, to investigate whether hypoxia alleviation was a key player in the RT sensitization, tumors treated with oxyRT, NO-sensitized RT, O₂-sensitized RT, or PBS were subjected to anti-HIF-1α (Supplementary Fig. 26) as well as anti-γ-H2Aχ analysis (Supplementary Fig. 27). The oxyRT treatment with programmable NO and O₂ release demonstrated the lowest level of positive HIF-1α signals whereas the strongest positive γ-H2Aχ fluorescence comparing with that in groups with either mere NO or O₂ release, verifying tumor hypoxia attenuation is an important promoter in RT therapy. Despite efficient killing of cancer cells, the mouse body weight did not significantly drop during the treatment (Supplementary Fig. 28). Additionally, no apparent pathological abnormalities were detected in major organs from H&E staining (Supplementary Fig. 29), indicating minimal adverse effects.

Furthermore, the in vivo biosafety of pHPFON-NO/O₂ was evaluated. Healthy balb/c mice were intravenously injected with pHPFON-NO/O₂ and sacrificed at day 7 or day 14 p.i. for blood analysis. Complete blood count parameters showed little difference from those of the PBS-treated mice (Supplementary Fig. 30), suggesting no significant inflammation or infection caused by pHPFON-NO/O₂. Liver functional indexes, kidney functional biomarkers, and other examined metabolic parameters all remained in the normal ranges after the pHPFON-NO/O₂ administration (Supplementary Fig. 31), further verifying its negligible toxicity. Mouse body weight also steadily increased during the 14-day evaluation (Supplementary Fig. 32). H&E staining on major organs revealed no discernible acute pathological changes (Supplementary Fig. 33). Altogether, these results demonstrated the high biocompatibility of pHPFON-NO/O₂, suggesting its excellent biosafety for further clinical translation.

Discussion

Combinational cancer therapy can make full use of the merits, and compensate for the intrinsic shortages, of each modality, thus...
maximizing therapeutic outcomes\textsuperscript{49}. Notably, the causes of radioresistance are polymodal and associated with not only oxygen tension, but also other important factors such as cellular energetics, changes in DNA repair, angiogenesis, inflammation, and growth signaling pathways\textsuperscript{50,51}. Herein, the photothermal effect from the pHPFON carrier was utilized not only for controllable \(O_2\) release but also for cell ablation by carefully adjusting the laser irradiation duration, therefore bridging the gap between PTT and the pHPFON-NO/O\(2\)-boosted RT (oxyRT) for significant cancer cell killing. The synergistic effects between PTT and RT were mainly from four perspectives. First, mild hyperthermia arising from PTT could speed up intratumor blood flow to improve tumor oxygenation\textsuperscript{12}. Second, the pHPFON-NO/O\(2\), on the one hand, would gradually release NO in response to the acidic TME for inhibition of cell respiration; on the other hand, would on-demand release \(O_2\) with local hyperthermia stimuli, providing a “broadening sources of \(O_2\) and reducing expenditure” strategy for effective hypoxia attenuation. Third, hyperthermia could effectively inhibit the nonlethal damage repair of ionizing irradiation\textsuperscript{52,53}, thus potentiating RT damage. Fourth, although hypoxia is the main cause of radioresistance, other factors such as cellular energetics, inflammation, and growth signaling pathways may also adversely impact RT\textsuperscript{51}. The PTT effect could be further increased to kill the tumor residuals whose radioresistant properties were not originated from hypoxia. Taken together, the combination of PTT and RT achieved significantly synergistic effect for complete tumor control.

The safety issue is always a major concern for clinical translation of nanomedicine. According to the US Food and Drug Administration, synthetic amorphous silica is used as food additive and is generally recognized as a safe material. The metabolic profile of MSNs has been extensively explored. Silica nanoparticles, synthesized with different methods and with sizes ranging from 50 to 200 nm, demonstrated predominant renal clearance at the first 24 h while hepatobiliary clearance at later time points\textsuperscript{54–56}. Coating MSNs with a polymer layer, such as hyaluronic acid and poly(ethylene glycol), did not significantly impact their metabolism and excretion tendency\textsuperscript{56,57}. Therefore, we reasonably speculated that our pHPFON-NO/O\(2\) would be directly excreted from the body through urine at the early stage and then cleared from liver and spleen at the later stage. The in vivo imaging data in Fig. 6b well demonstrated our hypothesis.
by showing gradually decreased accumulation of pHPFON-NO/O2 in liver and spleen after 24 h of injection. Moreover, the complete blood count and blood chemistry analysis found that all tested parameters were in normal physiological ranges after 7 and 14 days of the pHPFON-NO/O2 injection. No apparent acute pathological changes were identified from histological analysis after the injection of pHPFON-NO/O2. Collectively, our pHPFON-NO/O2 silica nanoformulation is safe and holds great potential in clinical translation.

In summary, we rationally designed a unique binary oxygen-economizer pHPFON-NO/O2 to overcome the hypoxia barrier in antitumor RT. By taking full advantage of the facile framework hybridization technique, we granted the pHPFON a powerful “all-in-one” theranostic nanoplatfrom with intrinsic NIR-II fluorescence imaging/PA imaging/PTT effect and O2 delivery capability. By employing the in situ polymerization method, we addressed the premature leakage issue associated with most mesoporous silica nanocarriers, thus achieving efficient NO prodrug loading. We demonstrated that the spontaneous NO release from the pHPFON-NO/O2 while penetrating the TME or entering cancer cells could not only reduce intrinsic oxygen consumption by inhibiting cell respiration but also dilate tumor vasculature for enhanced tumor accumulation of the nano-sized drug delivery system. Upon laser irradiation, the accumulated pHPFON-NO/O2 would release O2 to further improve tumor oxygenation. Meanwhile, the photothermal effect could be easily controlled to induce not only mild hyperthermia for O2 release alone, but also a high local temperature for concurrent tumor destruction. This study established a “broadening source of O2 and reducing expenditure” strategy for significant reversal of hypoxia tolerance in oxygen-dependent anti-cancer therapies. It also demonstrated the synergy between temperature-controlled PTT and oxygen- elevated RT for complete tumor response.

Methods

Synthesis of SPB-Br. DPP-Sn (0.85 g, 1 mmol), DPP-Br (0.39 g, 0.5 mmol), fluorne-based monomer 1 (0.29 g, 0.5 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine) palladium(II) dichloride (7.1 mg, 0.01 mmol), and 2,6-di-tert-butylphenol (4.2 mg, 0.02 mmol) were mixed in a 10 mL Schlenk flask. Next, 3 mL of toluene and a stirring bar were added. The mixture was subjected to three freeze–pump–thaw cycles before being filled with argon. Then, the flask was heated to 100 °C under vigorous stirring for 6 h. After the reaction, the green solution was dropwise added to excess methanol to precipitate out solid crude product. The product was collected by filtration and purified by re-dissolving into tetrahydrofuran (THF) and precipitating with excess methanol. After repeating this purification process three times, the final SPB-Br polymer was obtained (1.22 g, yield: 80%).

Synthesis of SPB-N3. SPB-Br (100 mg) was dissolved into a 10 mL mixture of equal volume of THF and dimethylformamide (DMF). Next, sodium azide (2 eq. to bromide group of SPB-Br) was added. The reaction was carried out under vigorous stirring at 25 °C for 48 h. After removing THF, the solution was added dropwise into an excess amount of methanol. The precipitate was obtained by filtration and purified by re-dissolving into 5 mL of chloroform and precipitating with excess methanol. After repeating the purification process three times, the final SPB-N3 polymer was obtained (1.22 g, yield: 80%).

Synthesis of SPB-PEGm000. A click reaction was applied to synthesize the SPB-PEGm000. Briefly, SPB-N3 (10 mg) was dissolved into 5 mL of THF solution. Next, CuCl2 (2.2 mg, 2 eq. to the azide group of SPB-N3), NaXN′,N″,N‴-penta-methyldiethylenetriamine (PMDETA, 10 mg), and mPEGm000-alkyne (Mm = 5000, 4 eq. to the azide group of SPB-N3) were added. The reaction was carried out at room temperature under argon for 48 h. After removing THF, the remaining residue was dissolved into water and dialyzed against deionized water for 3 days. The final SPB-PEGm000 was obtained by freeze-drying.

Synthesis of SPB silane (SPBS). Carboxyl group-based SPB was first synthesized by dissolving SPB-PEGm000 into excess trifluoroacetic acid under stirring for 24 h at room temperature. Then, the SPBS was synthesized by stirring 100 mg of carboxyl group-based SPB, an appropriate amount of N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-N′-ethylcarbodiimide (EDC), an appropriate amount of N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS), and 5 mg of 3-(triethoxysilyl)propylamine, in 5 mL of DMF for 12 h. The DMF solution was used without further purification for the next step.

Synthesis of hybridized pHPFON. First, core–shell structured MSN@MON was synthesized according to the chemical homology principle. Briefly, 20 mL of 2 g cysteinethyminylammonium chloride (CTAC) and 0.1 g triethanolamine aqueous solution was stirred for 30 min. After transferring the system to an 80 °C oil bath, 1 mL of TEOS was added and reacted for 1 h. Next, 1 mL of an equal volume mixture of 1-A-(triethoxysilyl)benzene (BTES), PTFES, and SPBS was added and reacted for another 4 h. The products were centrifuged and washed with ethanol several times. The CTAC residue was extracted with 30 mL of methanol with NaCl (1 wt %). Second, 5 mL of the above as-synthesized MSN@MON aqueous solution was added to 25 mL ultrapure water. After addition of ammonium hydroxide, the system was transferred to a 95 °C oil bath and reacted for 3 h to etch away the inner MSN core. The resultant hybridized pHPFON was centrifuged and washed with water several times. For other formulations, the BTES was removed or was replaced with TEOS or BTE, respectively.

In situ polymerization of pHPFON (pHPFON). The hybridized pHPFON (100 mg) and 2,3’-Azobis(2-methylpropionitrile) (AIBN, 5 mg, 0.05 mmol) were dissolved in 2 mL of DMF in a 10 mL Schlenk flask. Next, the mixture was added with freshly distilled isobutyl methacrylate (284.4 mg, 2 mmol), poly(ethylene glycol) methyl ether methacrylate (mPEGMA) (475 mg, 0.5 mmol), and a stirring bar. After deoxygenation by argon blowing for 30 min, the mixture was vigorously stirred for several hours at room temperature to ensure thorough diffusion of polymer monomer and initiator in the silica cavity. Subsequently, the mixture solution was baked at 65 °C and reacted for 12 h. The reaction mixture was filtered and washed with excess ethanol, and the precipitate was collected by centrifugation. After that, this ethanol precipitation process was repeated twice to completely remove unconjugated polymers.

Synthesis of pHPFON-NO/O2. For NO prodrug loading, the pHPFON and appropriate amount of NO-releasing molecule SNAP were dissolved in 5 mL DMF. Then, 5 mL H2O was added into the solution under ultrasonication and stirred overnight. The resultant pHPFON-NO nanoparticles were washed by centrifugation and re-dissolved into ultrapure water for further use. For O2 saturation, 1 mL of the pHPFON-NO or pHPFON aqueous solution was placed in an aseptic oxygen chamber for 30 min at an oxygen flow rate of 5 L/min, resulting in pHPFON-NO/O2 or pHPFON-O2, respectively.

Cumulative NO and O2 release. The release of NO from pHPFON-NO was evaluated at different pH values (5.5, 6.5, and 7.4). Briefly, pHPFON-NO was suspended in PBS buffer and incubated at 37 °C on a 200 r.p.m. orbital shaker. Fifty microliters of the solution was collected from the suspension at selected time points (0, 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 24 h), respectively. NO concentration was measured by the Griess reagent system according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The concentration of O2 from pHPFON-O2 was measured by a portable dissolved oxygen meter in deoxygenated water in real time. An 808-nm laser was applied at a dosage of 1 W/cm² for 10 min and the oxygen concentration was recorded every 30 s. To compare, the oxygen release from deoxygenated water upon laser irradiation was monitored. Deoxygenated water with or without addition of pHPFON-O2 were measured in the absence of laser irradiation as additional controls.

In vitro NO and O2 detection. The intracellular NO and O2 levels were detected with fluorogenic DAF-FM diacetate and [Ru(dpp)]Cl2 probes, respectively. U87MG cells were incubated overnight at 37 °C under an atmosphere of 1% O2/5% CO2/94% N2. Then, 10 μM DAF-FM diacetate and 10 μg/mL [Ru(dpp)]Cl2 were added and incubated for 30 min. After washing away free fluorescent probes, the cells were incubated with 200 μg/mL of various pHPFON formulations (i.e., pHPFON-NO/O2, pHPFON-NO, pHPFON-O2, and pHPFON) or PBS for 24 h in separate experiments. Subsequently, the cells were subjected to 808-nm laser irradiation at 1 W/cm² for 3 min. To compare, another group of cells were treated with the above nanoparticles or PBS but without receiving the laser irradiation. Finally, the intracellular fluorescent signals were examined with confocal microscopy and flow cytometry analysis. For confocal imaging, the cells were fixed with 4 % paraformaldehyde and mounted with mounting medium with DAPI before the examination. For flow cytometry analysis, the cells were trypsinized and collected before the analysis.

In vitro evaluation of RT. For MIT studies, U87MG cells were seeded in a 96-well plate at a density of 10⁴ cells per well and incubated overnight at 37 °C under hypoxic atmosphere (1% O2/5% CO2/94% N2). The hypoxic cells were then co-incubated with pHPPFON-NO/O2 (200 μg/mL) for 24 h and irradiated with an 888-nm laser (1 W/cm², 3 min). In other groups, the cells were treated with pHPPFON-NO alone, pHPPFON-O2 + laser, pHPPFON + laser, pHPPFON alone, or PBS alone. After that, the cells were exposed to X-ray irradiation at a dosage varying from 0, 2, 4, to 6 Gy. After another 48 h of incubation, the cell killing effect was evaluated with the MITT assay. These experiments were repeated with cells that were cultured...
under a normoxic environment (21% O2/5% CO2/74% N2) for further comparison. For the colony formation assay, U87MG cells were seeded in a six-well plate. Then, hypoxy U87MG cells were treated with pHPFN-NO/O2 (200 μg/mL) for 24 h under 1% O2 and sequentially irradiated with an 808-nm laser at 1 W/cm2 for 3 min and X-rays (pHPFN-NO/O2 + laser + X-ray) at various dosages (0, 2, 4, 6 Gy). In other groups, the cells received the following treatments: pHPFN-NO + X-ray, pHPFN-NO + laser + X-ray, pHPFN + laser + X-ray, pHPFN + X-ray, and X-ray alone. After these treatments, the cells were incubated for another 24 h under 1% O2 and then transferred to 21% O2 for 14 days of incubation. The as-formed colonies were fixed and stained with Glemsa. The survival fraction was calculated as the ratio of colony numbers that contained more than 50 cells. These treatments were applied to cells that were irradiated under normoxic conditions. For comet assays, cells were seeded in a six-well plate at a density of 105 cells per well. Then, hypoxy cells received the same treatments as those in the colony formation assay. After that, the cells were washed, collected, and fixed in slides for a cell gel electrophoresis assay. In the above experiments, all the nanoparticles were at the same concentration of 200 μg/mL, the laser irradiation was at 808 nm and 1 W/cm2; and the X-ray irradiation was at a dosage of 4 Gy unless otherwise stated.

In vitro biological effect with the O2 release. Hypoxic U87MG cells were treated with pHPFN-O2 (200 μg/mL) for 24 h under 1% O2. Subsequently, the cells were irradiated with an 808-nm laser at 1 W/cm2 for 3 min. For controls, cells were treated with PBS alone, laser irradiation alone, pHPFN-O2 alone, or pHPFN + laser. After PBS three times, the cells were subjected to anti-HIF-1α staining. To further evaluate the radiosensitization of O2 release, the cells were subjected to either a 4-Gy X-ray irradiation or remained in the dark in separate experiments after the above treatments. Finally, intracellular ROS level and DNA damage were evaluated with an H2DCFDA assay (pre-incubation of 10 μM H2DCFDA with cells for 20 min before nanoparticle incubation) and anti-y-H2Aχ staining through confocal microscopy, respectively.

In vitro biological effect with the NO release. Hypoxic U87MG cells were treated with pHPFN-NO (200 μg/mL), pHPFN (200 μg/mL), and PBS for 24 h under 1% O2. After that, the spent medium was removed, and the cells were washed with PBS for three times. The cellular Co activity (Sigma-Aldrich, CYTOCOX1), cellular ATP concentration (Cayman Chemical, 700410), and cellular oxygen consumption capacity (Cayman Chemical, 600800) were measured according to the vendor’s protocols. The cellular mitochondria membrane potential change and cellular HIF-1α level were visualized with JC-1 assays and anti-HIF-1α immunofluorescence staining for confocal microscopy analysis, respectively. In addition, the pHPFN-NO concentration-dependent cellular Co activity was investigated. In brief, hypoxic U87MG cells were treated with pHPFN-NO at different concentrations (0, 25, 50, 100, 200, and 400 μg/mL) for 24 h under 1% O2. After washing with PBS three times, the cells were acquired, and the mitochondria were collected with a mitochondria isolation kit. Then, the Co activity was measured with an assay under a microplate reader. Moreover, the intracellular NO release on RT was evaluated. Hypoxic U87MG cells were treated with pHPFN-NO (200 μg/mL), pHPFN (200 μg/mL), and PBS for 24 h under 1% O2, respectively. Then, these cells were either treated with 4-Gy X-ray irradiation or kept in the dark. Finally, the intracellular ROS level was detected with an H2DCFDA assay (pre-incubation of 10 μM H2DCFDA with cells for 20 min before the nanoparticle incubation), and DNA damage was evaluated with anti-y-H2Aχ staining through confocal microscopy.

In vitro synergistic photothermal and RT. Hypoxic U87MG cells were incubated with pHPFN-NO/O2 (200 μg/mL) for 24 h under 1% O2. Subsequently, the cells were sequentially irradiated with 5 min of laser irradiation (808 nm, 1 W/cm2) and a 4-Gy X-ray (T1). In the control groups, the cells were treated with (T2) pHPFN-NO/O2 + 5 min laser, (T3) pHPFN-NO/O2 + 3 min laser + 4-Gy X-ray, (T4) pHPFN-NO/O2 + 3 min laser, (T5) 4-Gy X-ray, (T6) 5 min laser, (T7) pHPFN-NO/O2, and (T8) PBS. After the treatments, the cells were washed three times with PBS and replenished with fresh medium. After another 48 h of incubation, MT assays, live/dead cell viability assays, and Annexin V-FITC/PI dual-staining assays were performed to evaluate the cell killing effect.

In vivo imaging. For PET imaging, the 64Cu-labeled pHPFN-NO/O2 was intravenously administrated into U87MG tumor-bearing mice (n = 3) at a dosage of 100 μCi. Then, PET images were acquired at 1, 2, 4, 24, and 48 h p.i. Regions of interest (ROIs) were circled and the corresponding radioactivities were quantified on the tumors, muscles, livers, and spleens in the decay-corrected whole-body coronal view. At the end of the imaging, the mice were sacrificed. Major organs were collected and weighted and their radioactivities were measured by gamma counter. For NIR-II and PA imaging, 200 μL of pHPFN-NO/O2 (20 mg/mL) was intravenously administrated into U87MG tumor-bearing mice (n = 3). Then, the nanoparticle migration was monitored at selected time points. The tumor accumulation was measured according to the acquired images. For comparison, the tumor accumulation of pHPFN was also monitored with PA imaging after intravenous injection.

In vivo photothermal effect. pHPFN-NO/O2 (200 μL of 20 mg/mL in PBS) and PBS were intravenously administrated into U87MG tumor-bearing mice (n = 3) in separate groups. After 24 h, an 808-nm laser was irradiated at the tumor areas at 1 W/cm2 for 5 min. An infrared camera was utilized to record thermal images during the irradiation. The temperature of the tumor was measured according to the thermal images.

In vivo hypoxia evaluation. The intratumoral oxygen level change was evaluated with O2 imaging before and after 4 and 24 h of the intravenous injection of pHPFN-NO/O2. Subsequently, an 808-nm laser was irradiated on the tumors at 1 W/cm2 for 3 min. After 30 min, the tumors were subjected to PA imaging to investigate the intratumoral oxygen level and collected for anti-HIF-1α staining. In control groups, tumors that treated with pHPFN-NO/O2 alone, laser irradiation alone, and PBS alone were also acquired for anti-HIF-1α staining. In addition, to investigate tumor hypoxia alleviation with mere O2 or NO, tumors treated with pHPFN-O2 + Laser or pHPFN-NO/O2 were acquired and subjected to anti-HIF-1α staining.

In vivo tumor inhibition. U87MG tumor models were established by subcutaneous inoculation of the cells into the right hindlimb of nude mice. When the tumor size reached around 100 mm3, the mice (n = 5) were intravenously injected with pHPFN-NO/O2 (200 μL of 20 mg/mL in PBS). After 24 h, tumors were sequentially exposed to 5 min of laser irradiation (808 nm, 1 W/cm2) and X-ray irradiation (8 Gy) (recognized as T1). The seven control groups received: (T2) pHPFN-NO/O2 + 5 min laser, (T3) pHPFN-NO/O2 + 3 min laser + X-ray, (T4) pHPFN-NO/O2 + 3 min laser, (T5) X-ray, (T6) 5 min laser, (T7) pHPFN-NO/O2, and (T8) PBS. Tumor sizes ( = length × width2/2) and mouse body weights were monitored every 3 days. In a separate study, tumors were collected 48 h after the above treatments, and major organs were collected at the end of the therapy for H&E staining and TUNEL assays. In another study, tumors were collected 30 min after the above treatments for anti-y-H2Aχ staining analysis. Besides, two other control groups, pHPFN-O2 + 3 min laser and pHPFN-NO, were performed and the tumors were acquired for anti-y-H2Aχ staining analysis for further comparison.

Reporting summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability
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