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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

\textbf{Introduction:} Glomus tumors are rare and often benign vascular lesions that present classically in the subungual region of the hand. Nonetheless, presentations in atypical sites have been reported over the years. However, the classic symptoms of typical glomus tumors are often absent in tumors of atypical sites.

\textbf{Case presentation:} We present a case of an extradigital glomus tumor with a 3-year history of pain in the lateral aspect of the elbow.

\textbf{Discussion:} The case we present took three years and multiple visits to different clinics and specialties to reach the final diagnosis and management. It often takes longer to diagnose Glomus tumors of atypical sites and presentations. The causes could be related to the rare incidence, the atypical presentation in site and symptoms, or the diagnostic methods.

\textbf{Conclusion:} This case report discusses the possible causes behind the diagnostic delay in extradigital glomus tumors, aiming to raise clinical awareness among primary health care physicians.

\textbf{1. Introduction}

Glomus tumors are rare, benign, vascular neoplasms of the glomus body commonly found in the subungual region of the hand \cite{1}. Glomus bodies are considered specialized variants of arteriovenous anastomosis generally found in the reticular dermis; they contain glomus cells that carry some properties of smooth muscles wherein they contract in response to temperature, and in normal conditions, result in the regulation of blood to the skin \cite{2}. The focal concentration of glomus bodies is in the hands (digits and palms) and soles of the feet \cite{3}, which explains the typical incidence of the tumor in the subungual region, although reports of extradigital and atypical presentations do arise.

A classic triad of symptoms has been identified in glomus tumors, but reports of said clinical symptoms in extradigital tumors, apart from pain, are seldom described \cite{2}. C. Macharia and P. Nthumba referred to glomus tumors as “a diagnostic dilemma” because although surgical excision is considered curative for these tumors, it takes years of consultations and investigations to perform a biopsy or reach a proper diagnosis \cite{4}.

Following the SCARE guidelines \cite{5}, we present a case of an extradigital glomus tumor of the elbow, aiming to raise the index of suspicion of glomus tumors as a differential in the diagnosis of elbow pain and other atypical sites for symptoms, and discuss the possible explanations behind the recurring delay in diagnosis.

\textbf{2. Case presentation}

A 43-year-old male smoker, known to have diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and asthma, presented to the orthopedic clinic with a 3-year history of intermittent pain on the posterior lateral aspect of his left elbow. The pain did not affect his range of motion or daily activities and was not related to time or elicited by movement. There was also no tenderness to touch on the lateral aspect of the elbow. A history of cold intolerance was found where the patient had exacerbated pain when the elbow was exposed to cold water or temperatures. No sensory or neural deficit was identified. No palpable masses, skin changes, or inflammatory signs were appreciated.

Ultrasound showed a small oval-shaped hypoechoic lesion in the
subcutaneous tissue of the dorsal aspect of the left elbow with posterior enhancement measuring about 0.6 × 0.2 cm with no vascularity on color doppler. An MRI was done, which showed a small subcutaneous oval-shaped lesion at the posterior lateral aspect of the left elbow. Measuring 0.44 × 1 × 0.8 cm in AP, trans and CC dimensions. This lesion was hyperechoic in T1 and T2, not suppressed with fat, and there was no definite enhancement (Fig. 1).

Surgical excision of the nodule was done where the incision was directed above the nodule, which was superficial. Complete excision was done, and tissue was sent for histopathology. Pathology report identified an SMA (1A4) positive, Desmin (D33) negative, PAN CK (AE1/AE3) negative, glomus tumor that is negative for malignancy (Fig. 2).

On follow-up two and twelve months post-surgical excision, there was no pain or wound site problems, and the patient had an unremarkable recovery (Fig. 3).

3. Discussion

From early 1812, William Woods described cases of what is now known as a glomus tumor in several parts of the body [6]. More and more understanding of this tumor was established over the years, and associations to several gene mutations have been suggested [7–9].

A classic triad of glomus tumor symptoms is comprised of severe paroxysmal pain, point tenderness, and hypersensitivity to cold. Most extradigital cases present with pain and point tenderness specifically, although our case was positive for cold intolerance where his pain was increased in severity and frequency by exposure to lower temperatures [2,10].

It takes 7–11 years and about 2.5 consultations to diagnose glomus tumors overall [11]. In the presented case, it took three years and three previous consultations to diagnose the patient. We believe that the commonly atypical presentation of extradigital cases could be one of the factors contributing to the diagnostic delay of these tumors, in addition to the fact that glomus tumors can mimic other lesions, including angiomas [12], neuromas [13] cysts, lipomas, melanomas, gout, and arthritis [14,15]. Another factor that could be instrumental to the delay in diagnosis in our case is that glomus tumors of the elbow are rare, representing only 4.4%-7% of extradigital glomus tumors [16].

MRI is believed to be the best non-invasive diagnostic test of glomus tumors, given its high positive predictive value of 97%; however, several tumors are missed on MRI due to its negative predictive value of only 20% [17]. Ultimately, a biopsy of the lesion remains the only way to confirm the diagnosis of a glomus tumor, and if a complete excisional biopsy is done, it is typically also entirely curative.

A question we would like to raise is, what indicates an MRI or a biopsy? Furthermore, why are they not done earlier in extradigital glomus tumor cases? In his article, Tang describes a simple algorithm to be used as a guideline in diagnosing glomus tumors [18]. This algorithm relies on special tests; Love’s pin test, Hildreth’s test, and cold intolerance test, which in turn rely on the classic symptoms we described above [19]. Therefore, although this algorithm can be helpful in the diagnosis of some extradigital tumors that present with classic symptoms, most
extradigital cases do not present classically, deeming this algorithm a lot less valuable and the index of suspicion in primary medicine low.

4. Conclusion

Patients often visit multiple departments and physicians before reaching a diagnosis of extradigital glomus tumors; this can create an emotional, physical, and financial burden on both the patient and the healthcare system when ultimately, complete resection of a benign glomus tumor is often entirely curative of symptoms. Our report discusses possible explanations for the delay in diagnosis, hoping to raise the index of suspicion in primary healthcare when presented with a case of localized pain and begin an early investigation with a glomus tumor as a differential diagnosis.
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