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The chemical composition of hyssop oil from Bulgaria was determined by gas chromatography with flame ionization detection and gas chromatography–mass spectrometry on two different chromatographic columns. The quantity of identified compounds was shown to correspond to 97.2% and 98% of the total oil content. Among the detected compounds, cis-pinocamphene (48.98%–50.77%), β-pinene (13.38%–13.54%), trans-pinocamphene (5.78%–5.94%) and β-phellandrene (4.44%–5.17%) were the major compounds. Hyssop oil demonstrated antifungal activity against 52 clinical isolates and reference strains of *Candida albicans*, *Candida glabrata*, *Candida tropicalis*, *Candida parapsilosis* and *Candida krusei*. The essential oil characterized with stronger antifungal activity in comparison with pure cis- and trans-pinocamphene, α- and β-pinene and β-phellandrene. Essential oil of *Hyssopus officinalis* L. from Bulgaria inhibited both fluconazole-sensitive and fluconazole-resistant strains.
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**Introduction**

Genus *Candida* comprises about 280 species and is listed in order *Cryptococcales*. *Candida* species are causative microorganisms of vulvovaginal, oropharyngeal and skin infections.[1–3] They are responsible for some of the most common nosocomial bloodstream infections with a high mortality rate. The possibility to grow in two forms, unicellular and filamentous, to adhere to the mucosal tissues and to produce extracellular enzymes such as lipases and proteases are the major virulence factors of the most prominent species, *Candida albicans*.4–8 During the last decades, a constantly increasing number of fungal diseases caused by resistant strains of different *Candida* species, especially non-albicans *Candida* species (NAC), is observed.[9–14] Insufficient effectiveness of some azole preparations and higher toxicity of polyene antibiotics stimulates the search for new natural antifungal compounds.

One of the most promising natural alternatives to traditional antifungal preparations is essential oils from medicinal plants because they fulfill to a larger extent of the requirements for specific mechanism of action at relatively lower concentrations without induction of resistance. A traditional medicinal plant widely distributed in the East Mediterranean to Central Asia is *Hyssopus officinalis* L.[15,16] The extracts and essential oil from hyssop demonstrate antimicrobial, antiviral, antitumor, antioxidant and other biological activities.[17–19]

Analysis of hyssop oil from Italy, Spain, Himalaya, Egypt, Turkey, Serbia, Romania, France and Iran by gas chromatography (GC) and gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC/MS) led to the identification of pinocamphene, *iso*-pinocamphene, β-pinene, 1,8-cineole, camphor, β-caryophyllene, linalool and myrtenyl acetate as major constituents of the oil.[17,19–27] There are, however, notable differences in the qualitative and quantitative composition of hyssop oil from different geographic regions with seasonal and technological fluctuations.[27–30]

Among the biological activities of hyssop essential oil, its antimicrobial activity against pathogenic and spoilage bacteria has been most intensively studied.[17–19,31,32] Most reports about the antifungal activity of hyssop oil are focused on its inhibitory effect against phytopathogenic and mycotoxin-producing fungi.[20,33–35] In contrast, there are only scarce studies about its antifungal activity. To the best of our knowledge, the antifungal activity of hyssop oil has mostly been determined against reference strains of *C. albicans*, but less is known about its activity against clinical isolates, especially against NAC species. For example, Mazzanti et al. [31] and Kizil et al. [19] reported strong antimicrobial activity of...
essential oil from *H. officinalis* L. against *C. albicans*, *C. tropicalis* and *C. krusei*. On the contrary, according to other authors, hyssop oil demonstrates only moderate to weak antifungal activity.[26,36,37]

In general, the available data about the antimicrobial activity of essential oil from *H. officinalis* L., and particularly its antifungal activity, are to some extent controversial. Furthermore, little is known about the probable mechanism of antimicrobial action of hyssop oil against clinical isolates of *Candida* species.

The aim of present study was to determine the chemical composition and antifungal activity of essential oil of *H. officinalis* L. from Bulgaria against clinical isolates of different *Candida* species and to attempt to elucidate the probable mechanism of its antifungal action.

**Materials and methods**

**Essential oil sample**

The essential oil of *H. officinalis* L. used in this study was a commercial sample produced by steam distillation in industrial conditions and was purchased from Vigalex Ltd. (Gurkovo, Bulgaria).

**Gas chromatography analysis**

The essential oil sample was subjected to GC analysis, with a 0.5 µL plunger-in-needle syringe at a very high split rate. GC with flame ionization detection (GC/FID) and GC/MS analysis were carried out simultaneously using a Finnigan Thermo Quest Trace GC with a dual split/splitless injector, a FID detector and a Finnigan Automass quadrupole mass spectrometer (Thermo Quest, Manchester, UK). One inlet was connected to a 50 m × 0.25 mm × 1.0 µm SE-54 (5% diphenyl, 1% vinyl-, 94% dimethylpolysiloxane) fused silica column (CS Chromatography Service, Germany), the other injector was coupled to a 60 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 µm Carbowax 20M (polyethylene glycol) column (J&W Scientific, USA). The two columns were connected at the outlet with a quartz Y connector and the combined effluents of the columns were split simultaneously to the FID and MS detector with a short (ca. 50 cm) 0.1 mm internal diameter (ID) fused silica restrictor column as a GS/MS interface. The carrier gas was helium 5.0 with a constant flow rate of 1.5 mL·min⁻¹; the injector temperature was 230 ºC; the FID detector temperature, 250 ºC; GC/MS interface heating, 250 ºC; ion source at 150 ºC; EI mode at 70 eV; scan range 40–300 amu. The applied temperature program was as follows: 46 ºC for 1 min at 100 ºC at a rate of 5 ºC·min⁻¹; 100–230 ºC at 2 ºC·min⁻¹; 230 ºC for 13.2 min. Identification was performed using Finnigan XCalibur 1.2 software (Thermo Scientific Inc.) with MS correlations through the US National Institute of Standards and Technology, [38] Adams essential oil, [39] MassFinder, literature data [40–42] and our own library. Retention indices of reference compounds and those from literature data were used to confirm peak data. Quantification was achieved through peak area calculations of the FID chromatogram.

**Test micro-organisms**

To evaluate the antifungal activity of hyssop essential oil, the following strains were used as test cultures: 28 strains of *C. albicans* (reference strain ATCC 10231 and 27 clinical isolates); eight strains of *C. glabrata* (reference strain ATCC 90030 and seven clinical isolates); six strains of *C. tropicalis* (reference strain NBIMCC 23 and five clinical isolates); six strains of *C. parapsilosis* (reference strain ATCC 22019 and five clinical isolates) and four *C. krusei* clinical isolates. The strains were purchased from National Reference Laboratory of Mycology at the National Center of Infectious and Parasitic Diseases, Sofia; Department of Microbiology and Immunology at Medical University of Plovdiv and Clinical laboratory Chronolab Ltd., Plovdiv. All the clinical isolates were identified to the level of species in these laboratories and were kindly provided to the Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, Faculty of Biology at the University of Plovdiv (Bulgaria). The strains were maintained on Sabouraud Dextrose Agar with chloramphenicol (SDA; HiMedia, India) at 4 ºC and were deposited in the Department’s microbial collection.

**Antimicrobial testing**

Antimicrobial testing of essential oil was performed according to Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI) M27-A3 Reference Serial Broth Microdilution Method.[43] A stock solution was prepared by diluting the essential oil sample in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; Sigma-Aldrich, Co). Serial twofold dilutions of the stock solution were prepared in RPMI1640 broth medium buffered to pH 7.0 with 0.165 mol·L⁻¹ 3-N-morpholinopropanesulfonic acid (MOPS buffer, Sigma-Aldrich, Co) to reach final concentrations of the oil ranging from 2048 to 1 µg·mL⁻¹ and were distributed in 96 wells microtitration plates. The final concentration of DMSO did not exceed 1% (v/v) and did not influence the growth of yeasts. Control samples of inoculated broth medium with and without solvent were also incubated under the same conditions. Each well was inoculated with 0.1 mL inoculum suspension (0.5 × 10⁵–2.5 × 10⁶ cfu·mL⁻¹) prepared according to CLSI M27-A3.[43] After 48 h incubation at 35 ºC, microbial growth was evaluated visually and the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined. MIC was defined as the lowest concentration at which total inhibition of microbial growth was detected. MIC was presented.
as an average value of the MICs detected for separate strains within the species. MICs of fluconazole (FLC strip, 0.016–256 μg·mL⁻¹) and amphotericin B (AP strip, 0.002–32 μg·mL⁻¹) were also determined by HiComb™ MIC Test (HiMedia, India), according to manufacturer’s instructions. To determine the minimal fungicidal concentration (MFC) of the essential oil, 0.1 mL of each dilution showing no growth was spread on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA, HiMedia, India). The inoculated Petri dishes were incubated at 35 °C for 48 h. The colony-forming units were counted and compared with control samples. MFC was defined as the lowest concentration that killed more than 99.9% of the initial inoculum. MFC was presented as an average value of the MFCs detected for different strains within the species.

**Time—kill test**

Hyssop oil treatments were prepared in 1 mL volumes at twice the desired final concentration in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) by using stock oil solution. Controls contained PBS with relevant concentration of DMSO without essential oil. Test solutions and controls were inoculated with 1 mL yeast working suspension (2 × 10⁶–4 × 10⁶ cfu·mL⁻¹) and 0.1 mL sample was taken immediately from the controls for viability counts. Test solutions and controls were incubated at 35 °C with shaking at 120 r·min⁻¹ on a rotary laboratory shaker. Samples were taken at 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 h for viability scoring.

**Methylene blue dye inclusion test**

Hyssop oil treatments were prepared in 1 mL volumes at twice the desired final concentration in PBS by using stock oil solution. Controls contained PBS with relevant concentration of DMSO without essential oil. Test solutions and controls were inoculated with 1 mL yeast working suspension (2 × 10⁶–4 × 10⁶ cfu·mL⁻¹) and were incubated at 35 °C with shaking at 120 r·min⁻¹ on a rotary laboratory shaker. Samples were taken at 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 h and mixed by vortexing with 0.02 mL 0.05% methylene blue solution. The samples were left for 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 h for viability scoring.

**Acidification of the external medium**

The external medium acidification after addition of glucose in the presence of hyssop oil was performed as described by Lunde and Kubo [44] with minor modifications. Amounts of essential oil stock solution were added to aliquots of yeast working suspension (2 × 10⁶–4 × 10⁶ cfu·mL⁻¹) to reach the desired final oil concentrations. Controls containing PBS with relevant concentration of DMSO without essential oil were also prepared. The samples were incubated for 10 min at room temperature and then 1 mL of 20% glucose solution was added to the samples to a final glucose concentration of 2%. After glucose addition, the samples were mixed by vortexing for 20 s. The treatments and control samples were incubated at room temperature and the pH values of the samples were determined potentiometrically (pH-meter, VWR) at 10, 30, 60, 90 and 120 min.

**Data analysis**

Each test was performed in triplicate and the results are expressed as means ± standard deviation.

Analysis of variance was performed with Statistica V10 (StatSoft).

**Results and discussion**

**Chemical composition**

The chemical composition of essential oil from *H. officinalis* L. growing in Bulgaria was analysed using GC/FID and GC/MS. For better separation and identification of the chemical constituents of the oil two different columns were used. Sixty-six constituents were identified by the first column (SE-54), representing 97.2% of the total oil content. Fifty-five constituents were identified by the second column (CW20M), representing 98% of the total oil content. Between 21 and 46 different compounds have been identified in hyssop essential oils from various geographic regions and reported by other authors. [17–20,24–27] Thus, in comparison with other hyssop oils, the studied oil sample from Bulgaria was characterized with a highly varied and complex chemical composition (Table 1).

The major components detected by both columns were as follows: *cis*-pinocamphone (48.98%–50.77%), β-pinene (13.38%–13.54%), *trans*-pinocamphone (5.78%–5.94%) and β-phellandrene (4.44%–5.17%). In the literature, *cis*-pinocamphone, *trans*-pinocamphone, β-pinene, β-phellandrene and pinocarvone are reported as the most abundant constituents of hyssop oil. [17,19,21–27] The obtained results show that the oil sample analyzed in this study belongs to the group of hyssop oils rich in *cis*-pinocamphone. The distribution of identified chemical compounds into groups as mean percentages of both columns is shown in Figure 1.

Oxygenated monoterpenes were the major group, representing 61.69% of the total oil content, followed by monoterpenic hydrocarbons (20.77%), sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (15.19%), oxygenated sesquiterpenes...
Table 1. Chemical composition of hyssop essential oil from Bulgaria.

| No. | Substance                                | RIa   | % Area | RIb   | % Area |
|-----|------------------------------------------|-------|--------|-------|--------|
| 1   | 2-methyl methylbutanoate                 | 770   | 0.01   | nd    | nd     |
| 2   | *cis*-3-hexenol                          | 850   | 0.04   | 1343  | 0.05   |
| 3   | 5,5-dimethyl-1-vinylbicyclo[2.1.1]hexane | 925   | 0.05   | nd    | nd     |
| 4   | *α*-thujene                              | 930   | 0.23   | nd    | nd     |
| 5   | *α*-pinene                               | 939   | 0.73   | 1009  | 1.01   |
| 6   | Camphene                                 | 955   | 0.11   | 1047  | 0.12   |
| 7   | 1-octen-3-ol                             | 975   | 0.11   | 1413  | 0.12   |
| 8   | 3-octanone                               | nd    | nd     | 1229  | 0.06   |
| 9   | sabinene                                 | 978   | 1.54   | 1103  | 1.70   |
| 10  | *β*-pinene                               | 985   | 13.54  | 1095  | 13.38  |
| 11  | myrcene                                  | 990   | 1.94   | 1134  | 1.90   |
| 12  | *cis*-3-hexenyl acetate                  | 1001  | 0.03   | 1280  | 0.02   |
| 13  | *α*-phellandrene                         | 1009  | 0.07   | 1143  | 0.07   |
| 14  | *α*-terpinene                            | 1021  | 0.04   | 1153  | 0.05   |
| 15  | *p*-cymene                               | 1028  | 0.11   | 1238  | 0.11   |
| 16  | Limonene                                 | 1034  | 1.48   | 1174  | 1.50   |
| 17  | *β*-phellandrene                         | 1035  | 5.17   | 1186  | 4.44   |
| 18  | 1,8-cineole                              | nd    | nd     | 1191  | 0.47   |
| 19  | *cis*-β-ocimene                          | nd    | nd     | 1206  | 0.22   |
| 20  | *trans*-β-ocimene                        | 1047  | 0.50   | 1221  | 0.55   |
| 21  | *γ*-terpinene                            | 1062  | 0.07   | nd    | nd     |
| 22  | *cis*-sabinene hydrate                   | 1072  | 0.02   | nd    | nd     |
| 23  | Terpinolene                              | 1093  | 0.06   | 1252  | 0.07   |
| 24  | Linalool                                 | 1099  | 1.07   | nd    | nd     |
| 25  | *cis*-thujone                            | 1111  | 0.17   | 1391  | 0.16   |
| 26  | *trans*-thujone                          | 1123  | 0.10   | 1409  | 0.10   |
| 27  | *trans*-pinene hydrate                   | 1128  | 0.04   | nd    | nd     |
| 28  | *iso*-3-thujanol                          | 1145  | 0.05   | nd    | nd     |
| 29  | *trans*-pinocarveol                      | 1149  | 0.15   | nd    | nd     |
| 30  | Myrtenyl methyl ether                    | 1165  | 1.65   | 1349  | 1.64   |
| 31  | *trans*-pinocamphone                     | 1170  | 5.94   | 1481  | 5.78   |
| 32  | Pinocarvone                              | nd    | nd     | 1526  | 0.44   |
| 33  | Borneol                                  | 1179  | 0.07   | nd    | nd     |
| 34  | Terpinen-4-ol                            | nd    | nd     | 1567  | 0.22   |
| 35  | *cis*-pinocamphone                       | 1188  | 48.98  | 1515  | 50.77  |
| 36  | Cryptone                                 | 1193  | 0.18   | 1636  | 0.23   |
| 37  | *α*-terpineol                            | 1197  | 0.26   | 1661  | 0.26   |
| 38  | Estragole                                | 1202  | 0.14   | 1620  | 0.16   |
| 39  | Myrtenol                                 | 1204  | 1.62   | 1745  | 1.39   |
| 40  | Myrtenal                                 | nd    | nd     | 1587  | 0.27   |
| 41  | *cis*-piperitol                          | 1214  | 0.02   | nd    | nd     |
| 42  | *cis*-3-hexenyl 2-methylbutanoate        | 1230  | 0.02   | nd    | nd     |
| 43  | Carvone                                  | 1250  | 0.32   | nd    | nd     |
| 44  | Linalyl acetate                          | 1254  | 0.16   | nd    | nd     |
| 45  | Carvotanacetone                          | nd    | nd     | 1647  | 0.09   |
| 46  | *trans*-hydroxypinocamphone              | 1260  | 0.13   | 1905  | 0.13   |
| 47  | Geranial                                 | 1270  | 0.04   | nd    | nd     |
| 48  | Methyl myrtenate                         | 1302  | 0.15   | nd    | nd     |
| 49  | Methyl acetate                           | 1332  | 0.15   | 1643  | 0.25   |
| 50  | Neryl acetate                            | 1361  | 0.04   | nd    | nd     |

(continued)
(1.39%), phenylpropanoids and other compounds (0.97%). These results are in accordance with the chemical composition of hyssop oil from the Balkan Peninsula reported by Glamočlija et al. [22].

Table 1. (Continued)

| No. | Substance            | RIa  | % Area | RIb  | % Area |
|-----|----------------------|------|--------|------|--------|
| 51  | α-copaene            | 1402 | 0.12   | 1469 | 0.05   |
| 52  | β-bourbonene         | 1405 | 1.32   | 1496 | 1.26   |
| 53  | Methyleugenol        | nd   | nd     | 1565 | 0.17   |
| 54  | Elemene              | nd   | nd     | 1557 | 0.03   |
| 55  | α-gurjunene          | 1430 | 0.37   | 1503 | 0.37   |
| 56  | 6-epi-α-cubebene     | 1439 | 0.14   | 1542 | 0.17   |
| 57  | trans-β-caryophyllene| 1442 | 0.94   | 1562 | 1.05   |
| 58  | β-copaene            | 1450 | 0.19   | nd   | nd     |
| 59  | trans-β-farnesene    | 1459 | 0.08   | nd   | nd     |
| 60  | iso-germacrene D     | 1465 | 0.11   | 1605 | 0.08   |
| 61  | α-humulene           | 1476 | 0.18   | 1631 | 0.22   |
| 62  | allo-aromadendrene   | 1484 | 1.30   | 1610 | 1.40   |
| 63  | Germacrene D         | 1503 | 1.92   | 1673 | 1.87   |
| 64  | Bicyclogermacrene    | 1518 | 1.53   | 1695 | 2.08   |
| 65  | γ-cadinene           | 1533 | 0.15   | 1719 | 0.13   |
| 66  | β-cadinene           | 1539 | 0.07   | 1716 | 0.03   |
| 67  | trans-calamenene     | 1541 | 0.04   | 1787 | 0.05   |
| 68  | Elemol               | 1565 | 0.51   | 2039 | 0.50   |
| 69  | Spathulenol          | 1601 | 0.42   | 2084 | 0.42   |
| 70  | Caryophyllene oxide  | 1609 | 0.14   | 1942 | 0.07   |
| 71  | Ledol                | 1630 | 0.06   | 1993 | 0.06   |
| 72  | 10-epi-γ-eudesmol    | 1654 | 0.07   | 2125 | 0.13   |
| 73  | r-cadinol+r-murol    | 1659 | 0.11   | nd   | nd     |
| 74  | β-eudesmol           | 1676 | 0.07   | 2184 | 0.08   |
| 75  | α-eudesmol           | 1679 | 0.06   | 2179 | 0.05   |
|     | **Total:**           |      | **97.20** |      | **98.00** |

RIa: 50 m × 0.25 mm × 0.1 μm SE-54 column.
RIb: 60 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 μm CW20M column.

Antifungal activity

MIC and MFC values

The antifungal activity of Bulgarian hyssop oil was tested against 52 strains belonging to five species of genus Candida by the serial broth dilution method. The results from the MIC and MFC tests are shown in Table 2.

Five C. albicans strains, three C. glabrata strains, one C. tropicalis strain, two C. parapsilosis strains and four C. krusei strains were resistant to fluconazole, which corresponds to 28.8% of the tested strains and 32.7% of the strains were sensitive in a dose-dependent manner. All of the resistant strains were from the group of clinical isolates. None of the 52 tested strains was resistant to amphotericin B, but 40.4% of the strains were sensitive (dose dependent). The relatively high percentage of fluconazole resistance and some disadvantages of polyene antibiotics [45,46] illustrate the need for new alternative preparations with different mechanisms of anticandidal activity without causing resistance.
The studied hyssop essential oil demonstrated antifungal activity against all of the clinical isolates and reference strains from genus Candida. The strains of C. albicans were most sensitive to the essential oil, followed by these belonging to C. krusei, C. parapsilosis and C. tropicalis. The C. glabrata strains were least sensitive to hyssop oil of all the studied strains.

The MIC values of hyssop oil from Bulgaria (128–1024 µg·mL⁻¹) were much higher in comparison with the MIC values of fluconazole (0.5–128 µg·mL⁻¹) and amphotericin B (0.06–2 µg·mL⁻¹). The major advantage of hyssop oil is that it is active against fluconazole-resistant strains, which suggests that its mechanism of anticandidal action probably differs from that of azoles.

In comparison with the MIC values of hyssop oils from various geographic regions,[12,26,31] the studied Bulgarian hyssop oil demonstrated stronger inhibitory activity against medically important representatives of C. albicans, C. tropicalis and C. krusei. This fact could be explained by the more complex chemical composition of the hyssop oil from Bulgaria.

To clarify the influence of the chemical composition of hyssop oil on its anticandidal activity, additional experiments are a must. For this purpose, the inhibitory action of the major oil constituents and their isomers, such as cis- and trans-pinocamphone, α- and β-pinene and β-phellandrene, were tested against 52 strains of five species of genus Candida. The obtained results for the anticandidal action of pure compounds are shown in Figure 2.

The hyssop oil demonstrated stronger anticandidal activity in comparison with pure compounds. The MIC values of essential oil varied between 210.3 ± 62.3 µg·mL⁻¹ against the most sensitive species, C. albicans, and 768 ± 280.4 µg·mL⁻¹ against the most resistant species, C. glabrata. The MIC values of α- and β-pinene were approximately two times higher than the MIC values of the essential oil against the same Candida species. The MIC values of β-phellandrene against C. albicans and C. glabrata were about 2.3 times higher in comparison with those of the hyssop oil. The most active constituent of the essential oil among the tested pure compounds was pinocamphone. Its MIC values were 28% and 21% higher than those of hyssop oil against C. albicans and C. glabrata, respectively. Taken together, the obtained results suggest that the overall anticandidal activity of the studied hyssop oil from Bulgaria is probably due to the synergic action of more than one compound. The MIC values of cis- and trans-pinocamphone, α- and β-pinene, and β-phellandrene.

### Table 2. Antifungal activity of hyssop essential oil from Bulgaria and of fluconazole and amphotericin B against clinical isolates of Candida species.

| Sample       | Candida species | MIC ± SD, µg·mL⁻¹ | MIC range, µg·mL⁻¹ | MFC ± SD, µg·mL⁻¹ | MFC range, µg·mL⁻¹ |
|--------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Hyssop oil   | C. albicans     | 210.3 ± 62.3      | 128–256           | 402.3 ± 129.0    | 256–512            |
|              | C. glabrata     | 768.0 ± 280.4     | 512–1024          | 1536.0 ± 560.9   | 1024–2048          |
|              | C. tropicalis   | 682.7 ± 264.4     | 512–1024          | 938.7 ± 209.0    | 512–1024           |
|              | C. parapsilosis | 298.7 ± 104.5     | 256–512           | 597.3 ± 209.0    | 512–1024           |
|              | C. krusei      | 224.0 ± 64.0      | 128–256           | 448.0 ± 128.0    | 256–512            |
| Fluconazole  | C. albicans     | 22.9 ± 22.8       | 0.5–64            | 29.7 ± 34.3      | 0.5–128            |
|              | C. glabrata     | 35.8 ± 25.5       | 4–64              | 88.5 ± 81.0      | 4–256              |
|              | C. tropicalis   | 26.0 ± 22.0       | 4–64              | 54.0 ± 42.8      | 4–128              |
|              | C. parapsilosis | 30.3 ± 28.2       | 2–64              | 48.7 ± 43.3      | 4–128              |
|              | C. krusei      | 80.0 ± 32.0       | 64–128            | 192 ± 73.9       | 128–256            |
| Amphotericin B | C. albicans | 0.5 ± 1.0         | 0.032–0.5         | 0.42 ± 0.32      | 0.06–1             |
|              | C. glabrata     | 0.33 ± 0.19       | 0.125–0.5         | 1.56 ± 0.62      | 0.5–2              |
|              | C. tropicalis   | 0.4 ± 0.2         | 0.125–0.5         | 1.0 ± 0.55       | 0.5–2              |
|              | C. parapsilosis | 0.4 ± 0.2         | 0.125–0.5         | 1.42 ± 0.67      | 0.5–2              |
|              | C. krusei      | 0.5               | 0.5               | 0.88 ± 0.25      | 0.5–1              |

Figure 2. Antifungal activity of hyssop oil and some major oil constituents against clinical isolates of Candida species.

Note: 1 — hyssop oil, 2 — α-pinene, 3 — β-pinene, 4 — trans-pinocamphone, 5 — cis-pinocamphone, 6 — β-phellandrene.
trans-pinocamphone against the used microbial strains were the same, as well as those of α- and β-pinene. These equal MIC values indicate that isomerization does not influence the anticandidal activity of monoterpenes.

Time-kill curves

Another criterion for estimation of the antimicrobial activity of essential oils is the time-kill curve. The time-kill curves of the most sensitive clinical isolate of C. albicans and the most resistant strain of C. glabrata treated with hyssop essential oil at concentrations equal to MIC (256 and 1024 µg·mL⁻¹, respectively) are presented in Figure 3.

The results showed that on the sixth hour of oil treatment the total count of viable cells of C. albicans was between 1 × 10² and 3.2 × 10² cfu·mL⁻¹ and after the sixth hour no viable cells were detected. The C. glabrata strain was more resistant and it took 8 h of treatment for the total count of viable cells to decrease to the level of C. albicans (2.5 × 10² and 3.2 × 10² cfu·mL⁻¹).

Methylene blue dye inclusion

Methods based on the absorption of methylene blue and fluorescent dyes are among the most widely used techniques for studying the influence of different compounds on the cell membrane permeability. In our efforts to clarify the probable mechanism of antifungal action of hyssop oil, methylene blue absorption by fluconazole-sensitive and fluconazole-resistant strains of C. albicans and C. glabrata treated with essential oil at concentrations equal to MIC, 50% of MIC and 25% of MIC were carried out. The obtained results are shown in Figure 4.

When treated with essential oil at a concentration equal to MIC (256 µg·mL⁻¹), about 98% of the yeast cells from both C. albicans strains absorbed methylene blue on the eighth hour of treatment (Figure 4(a)). This indicates that the hyssop oil affected the yeast membrane permeability of both the fluconasole-sensitive and the fluconazole-resistant strain. When C. albicans cells were treated with essential oil at a concentration equal to 50% of MIC (128 µg·mL⁻¹), 97% of the cells absorbed methylene blue solution, but on the 10th hour. These results indicate that the hyssop oil affected the permeability of the yeast membrane in a dose-dependent mechanism. The same trends were observed when both strains of C. glabrata were treated with essential oil at a concentration equal to MIC (1024 µg·mL⁻¹) (Figure 4(b)). In both species, the difference between the total percentages of stained cells in the fluconazol-sensitive and the fluconazol-resistant strains was non-significant, suggesting that the mechanism of anticandidal action of hyssop oil differs from that of azoles.

Effect on membrane ATPase activity

The transport of low molecule substances like glucose from the nutritive medium through the cell membrane is carried out by membrane ATPase (adenosine triphosphatase), which effluxes protons from the microbial cell and in this way decreases the pH of the cultural medium. According to Chambel et al., lipophilic compounds inhibit membrane ATPase and disrupt the normal transport through the cell membrane. To verify whether the hyssop oil can attack the membrane ATPase of Candida yeasts, the dynamics of pH variation of microbial
The studied Bulgarian hyssop oil demonstrated antifungal action of hyssop oil on C. albicans and C. glabrata at concentrations equal to 50% of MIC (128 and 512 \( \mu \text{g mL}^{-1} \)) for C. albicans and 6.82 for C. glabrata, respectively. Treatment of both strains with hyssop oil at concentrations equal to 25% of MIC (64 \( \mu \text{g mL}^{-1} \)) also caused an increase in the final pH value in comparison with the untreated samples, but to a lesser extent. Thus, the effect of essential oil on pH variation was dose-dependent. The obtained results indirectly support the assumption that hyssop oil not only increases membrane permeability, but also inhibits membrane ATPase. To prove the exact mechanism of antifungal action of hyssop oil, additional experiments need to be carried out. Our results could be explained by the fact that essential oils could cause a decrease in cell ATP and in this way could disrupt the normal function of membrane ATPase.[46] This suggestion is also in agreement with other reports that essential oils, such as oregano and tea tree oil, pure geraniol and thymol, increase the membrane permeability, but also inhibit membrane enzymes.[51–54]

Conclusions
The studied Bulgarian hyssop oil demonstrated antifungal activity against clinical isolates of five different species of genus Candida. The obtained results indicated that the inhibitory action of the oil is due to its complex chemical composition and synergic action of compounds such as cis- and trans-pinocamphone and \( \alpha- \) and \( \beta- \) pinene. The major advantage of hyssop oil from Bulgaria in comparison with azoles is that it is active against both fluconazole-sensitive and fluconazole-resistant clinical Candida spp. isolates. The mechanism of antifungal action of hyssop oil could possibly be due to causing an increase in yeast membrane permeability and disrupting the normal membrane transport by affecting membrane ATPase.
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