Fluorinated organic molecules are of importance in crop protection and materials science and as pharmaceuticals due to their unique properties. Aryl fluorides are of particular interest in medicinal chemistry due to their exceptional metabolic stability. For instance, fluorine is often used as a tool in medicinal chemistry for fine tuning the physicochemical properties of drug candidates in late stage development. The presence of fluorine in drug molecules also allows for further investigation by positron emission tomography (PET) via incorporation of radioactive fluorne-18 ($^{18}$F, $t_{1/2} = 110$ min). The radionuclide $^{18}$F is ideal for PET imaging, due to its very advantageous half-life and decay characteristics.

Current late stage fluorination methodologies of arenes and heteroarenes are often based on expensive, potentially toxic transition-metals (Ag/Cu, Cu, Ni, Pd) or involve electrophilic fluorination reagents and may necessitate the use of additional, advanced equipment such as gloveboxes to achieve more anhydrous conditions. Therefore a mild, versatile and selective fluorination methodology that utilises cheap nucleophilic fluoride for late stage formation of fluoroarenes is highly sought after. In addition, we aim to progress into greener, more environmentally friendly alternatives with easy to handle chemicals by moving away from toxic transition metals. In order to be independent from classical substrates of the S$_N$Ar reaction, we chose hypervalent iodine species as fluorination precursors. The hypervalent iodine chemistry utilises the plethora of well-investigated and described iodination protocols and iodonium ylide forming reactions as a basis for constructing aryl fluorides. Such a method would also bridge the gap between preparative organic chemistry and radiochemistry thus applying the same precursor for synthesising both radiotracer and reference compound in the last step (Scheme 1). Radiofluorination of iodonium ylides is well described, however in radiochemistry reagent stoichiometry is vastly different with the precursor used in >1000 fold excess over no carrier added fluorne-18, the limiting reagent. For the methodology to be useful on preparative scale the precursor should ideally be the limiting reagent and a cheap fluorination reagent such as KF, CsF or TBAF used in excess. We therefore employed a reverse transatlational approach developing a preparative organic protocol for the fluorination reaction under stoichiometric conditions. Through this new protocol, PET chemistry is merged with preparative organic chemistry enabling use of iodonium ylides as versatile fluorination precursors.

Electron deficient substrates can undergo a classical S$_N$Ar reaction therefore for this investigation we decided to use 2-fluoroanisole as model compound since it is both sterically hindered and electron rich and therefore challenging to produce. All reactions were performed without access to glove box using standard equipment and precautions to allow for good reproducibility in between labs. We began by screening the most common nucleophilic fluorination reagents in organic, dipolar aprotic solvents (Table 1).

Caesium fluoride produced mere trace amounts of product (2), possibly owing to its high hygroscopicity and difficulty of excluding moisture (Table 1, entry 1). Tetrabutylammonium fluoride trihydrate yielded a higher conversion (2, 9%) but also 3-fluoroanisole (3) as side product (0.6%) (Table 1, entry 2). We have previously observed that the reaction is moderately sensitive to moisture and radiochemical scale so we

We herein report the development of a convenient, regioselective, aromatic fluorination method of hypervalent iodonium ylides for synthesising fluoro-arenes on a preparative scale. This transition metal free, nucleophilic methodology provides good yields for sterically hindered substrates, irrespective of activation. The methodology simplifies reference synthesis for PET imaging.
synthesised the anhydrous TBAF(t-BuOH)₄ complex,⁵ which although it increased the yield fourfold (Table 1, entry 2) also produced more of the undesired constitutional isomer that would render purification considerably more difficult (Table 1 entry 3). Heating the reaction mixture for a longer time made no difference (Table 1, entry 4) meaning that the constitutional isomer formation is unrelated to product degradation, varying the temperature did not resolve the selectivity problem either (ESI†). Earlier literature reports suggest that fluorination of aryl bromides goes through an aryne intermediate when using the very basic tetraalkylammonium bromides (Table 1, entry 10) were obtained, further supporting our hypothesis. We hypothesised that the limited solubility of potassium fluoride in DMF made formation of KF/crypt-222 complex too slow to allow for an efficient fluorination reaction since the iodonium ylide substrate slowly degrade under the fluorination conditions.¹⁶ ¹³C-NMR shows only partial formation of crypt-222/K⁺ complex a deviation is given for reactions performed in duplicate. Product identities were confirmed by spiking with reference compound. By increasing the equivalents of TBAF(t-BuOH)₄ from 2 to 1 the yield was marginally decreased to 30% but the formation of 3-fluoroanisole (3) reduced by three quarters to 0.3 ± 0.1% (Table 1, entry 9). This finding suggests that use of tetraalkylammonium fluorides in radiofluorination reactions does not suffer from formation of constitutional isomers since only trace quantities of fluoride is used, which is in agreement with Rotstein et. al.²¹ By increasing the equivalents of TBAF(t-BuOH)₄ complex to 4 equivalents, 5% desired 2-fluoroanisole (2) and 6% undesired 3-fluoroanisole (3) (Table 1 entry 10) were obtained, further supporting our hypothesis.

With this finding, we decided to move away from tetraalkylammonium fluorides and investigate metal fluorides in combination with phase transfer catalysts (Table 2) to enhance both solubility and nucleophilicity.

Addition of conventional phase transfer catalyst potassium complexes crown ether 18-crown-6 to KF produced only traces of product (Table 2, entry 1). Surprisingly neither CsF nor KF in combination with cryptand crypt-222 produced satisfactory results, 1 ± 1% and 8 ± 2% of 2-fluoroanisole (2) respectively (Table 2, entries 2 and 3) but with a ¹⁹F NMR spectrum devoid of the 3-fluoroanisole signal (3). We hypothesised that the limited solubility of potassium fluoride in DMF made formation of KF/crypt-222 complex too slow to allow for an efficient fluorination reaction since the iodonium ylide substrate slowly degrade under the fluorination conditions.¹⁶ ¹³C-NMR shows only partial formation of crypt-222/K⁺ complex after 2 hours of heating KF and crypt-222 in DMF at 130 °C (ESI†) strengthening our hypothesis. Adding water to the reaction mixture to facilitate KF/crypt-222 complex formation would destroy the substrate and strongly diminish the nucleophilicity of fluoride. Therefore, we anticipated that the fluorination complex need to be formed in advance. We made the KF/crypt-222 complex from a solution of MeCN/H₂O adding 33 mol% K₂CO₃ to hinder evaporation of HF. The complex was thoroughly dried for several days under high vacuum. In line with our hypothesis, the pre-formed KF/crypt-222 complex produced a significantly

Table 1 Shows the constitutional isomer distribution as result of varying fluoride source and solvent

| Entry | Reagent | Solvent | Yield⁴% (2) | Yield⁴% (3) |
|-------|---------|---------|------------|------------|
| 1     | CsF     | DMF     | Traces     | 0          |
| 2     | TBAF-3H₂O | DMF     | 9%         | 0.6%       |
| 3     | TBAF(t-BuOH)₄ | DMF     | 31 ± 4%    | 1.4 ± 0.0% |
| 4     | TBAF(t-BuOH)₄ | DMF     | 32%⁶       | 1.4%       |
| 5     | TBAF(t-BuOH)₄ | PC      | 11%        | 1%         |
| 6     | TBAF(t-BuOH)₄ | DMSO    | 5%         | 1%         |
| 7     | TBAF(t-BuOH)₄ | PhCl    | 12%        | 25%        |
| 8     | TBAF(t-BuOH)₄ | MeCN    | 5%         | 9%         |
| 9     | 1.5 eq. TBAF(t-BuOH)₄ | DMF     | 30 ± 0%    | 0.3 ± 0.1% |
| 10    | 4 eq. TBAF(t-BuOH)₄ | DMF     | 5 ± 0.2%   | 6 ± 0.3%   |

⁴¹⁹F NMR yield using 4-fluorobiphenyl as internal standard. Standard deviation is given for reactions performed in duplicate. Product identities were confirmed by spiking with reference compound. ⁶1 h reaction time. PC = propylene carbonate.
higher yield (46 ± 2%) gratefully without formation of any constitutional isomers (Table 2, entry 4). The pre-made KF/crypt-222 complex is not visibly hygroscopic and is an easy to weigh free flowing powder (ESI†) that can be stored for at least several weeks in a closed vial at room temperature without any impairment of the fluorination yield. Increasing or reducing the equivalents of KF/crypt-222 complex did not improve the yield further (Table 2, entries 5 and 6). The complex readily dissolves in DMF and 13C-NMR gives three new signals up to 20 min. Radiofluorination performed using ylide (3.7 μmol), crypt-222 (5 mg, 13 μmol) K2CO3 (0.92 mg, 5.5 μmol) and 18F (400 MBq) in DMF (0.5 ml) at 130 °C for 20 min. Radiofluorination performed using ylide (3.7 μmol), crypt-222 (5 mg, 13 μmol) K2CO3 (0.92 mg, 5.5 μmol) and 18F (400 MBq) in DMF (0.5 ml) at 130 °C for 20 min.\(^{{\dagger}}\) Isolated yield using tracer principle after cartridge purification (C18 and Si).\(^{{\dagger}}\) Non-decay corrected isolated yield after cartridge purification (C18 and Si) in >95% RCP. RCP = radiochemical yield. Crypt-222 = 4,7,13,16,21,24-hexaoxa-1,10-diazabicyclo[8.8.8]hexacosane.

**Table 2** Shows the constitutional isomer distribution as result of varying fluoride source and solvent. Reaction performed on 1 (1.9 mg, 5 μmol) and fluorination reagent (10 μmol) in DMF (0.5 ml) at 130 °C for 20 min.

| Entry | Reagent | Yield% (2) | Yield% (3) |
|-------|---------|------------|------------|
| 1     | KF/18C6 | 1 ± 0%     | 0          |
| 2     | CsF/crypt-222 | 1 ± 1% | 0          |
| 3     | KF/crypt-222 | 8 ± 2% | 0          |
| 4     | KF/crypt-222 | 46 ± 2%\(^{{\dagger}}\) | 0          |
| 5     | 1.5 eq. KF/crypt-222 | 36%\(^{{\dagger}}\) | 0          |
| 6     | 4 eq. KF/crypt-222 | 43%\(^{{\dagger}}\) | 0          |

\(^{{\dagger}}\) 19F NMR yield using 4-fluorobiphenyl as internal standard. Where standard deviation is given, the reaction was performed in duplicate. Product identities were confirmed from spiking with reference compound. \(^{{\dagger}}\) Preformed KF/crypt-222 complex containing 33 mol% K2CO3 to avoid formation of HF during drying of KF/crypt-222 complex. Crypt-222 = 4,7,13,16,21,24-hexaoxa-1,10-diazabicyclo[8.8.8]hexacosane.
translation into clinical radiochemistry. We successfully demonstrate the use of the methodology by synthesising complex derivatives via a late stage fluorination reaction and the radioactive counterparts from the same precursor. The method is a good compliment to existing methodologies and with high yield reproducibility expands the accessible chemical space of fluorinated drug molecules.
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