The Polycomb Group Protein EED Is Dispensable for the Initiation of Random X-Chromosome Inactivation
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Introduction

Eukaryotic gene expression is regulated by a coordinated interplay of chromatin-remodeling factors, histone-modifying enzymes, general and gene-specific transcription factors, and RNA polymerases. The chromatin remodeling machinery and histone modifiers work further to impart information for the maintenance of gene expression states through cell division. This form of epigenetic control of gene regulation is correlated with covalent modifications of histones, such as acetylation, methylation, and phosphorylation. These modifications are hypothesized to recruit specific protein complexes or to affect nucleosome structure, which in turn directly or indirectly influence the activity of the basal transcription machinery [1,2].

The Polycomb group (PcG) is a large and evolutionarily conserved set of genes whose products act in multimeric complexes to modify histones, which are then thought to cause stable and heritable states of transcriptional repression [3,4]. The Polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) methylates lysine 27 of histone H3 (H3-K27) both in vivo and in vitro [5–9]. H3-K27 methylation in turn provides a substrate for the assembly of Polycomb repressive complex 1 (PRC1) via binding of the Polycomb (Pc) protein [5,8,10]. PRC1 is thought to compact chromatin in a transcriptionally repressed state [5,8,11]. The core components of Drosophila PRC1 have been shown to physically compact nucleosomal arrays and inhibit chromatin remodeling and transcription in vitro [12,13].

X-chromosome inactivation (XCI) is the process by which male and female mammals achieve dosage equality of X-linked genes by transcriptional silencing of one of the two X-chromosomes in females [14]. In mice two distinct forms of XCI exist, termed imprinted and random X-inactivation [14–17]. In the four-cell embryo, all cells initiate imprinted inactivation of the paternal X-chromosome (Xp) [18–20]. Then, at the late blastocyst stage, this imprint is erased only in the cells destined to give rise to the embryo proper (inner cell mass [ICM]) [18,19]. These cells then randomly inactivate either the maternal or the paternal X-chromosome. Cells of the two other lineages at this stage (the trophectoderm and primitive endoderm, both extraembryonic), on the other hand, maintain imprinted XCI of the Xp. The same X-chromosome remains inactive in all descendants of progenitor cells in which it is first silenced [21]; XCI therefore
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Synopsis

During the development of an embryo, an equal population of cells gives rise to different tissues and organs and this occurs via the activation and silencing of different sets of genes. The Polycomb group (PcG) proteins are required for silencing genes for proper development. PcGs play an important role in silencing of one of the two X-chromosomes in female mammals. XX females inactivate one X-chromosome to equalize X-linked gene dosage to that of XY males. X-chromosome inactivation (XCI) is established in cells of the early embryo and when these cells divide they maintain silencing of the same X-chromosome. XCI, therefore, is a model system to understand long-term gene regulation. Here the authors test whether PcGs help initiate XCI by examining mouse embryos lacking the essential PcG protein EED. Their results show that XCI in embryonic cells occurs normally despite lacking EED. PcGs therefore are not required to initiate or maintain XCI in embryonic cells. The findings shed light on the specific requirements for PcGs in XCI and argue against a general silencing function for PcGs in XCI.

Polycomb Group and X-Chromosome Inactivation

provides a model system to investigate heritable forms of transcriptional regulation.

The PRC2 complex has been implicated in the initiation phase of XCI. In mouse embryos, XCI is preceded by the transcription of the X-linked nonprotein coding X (inactive-specific transcript) from the Xp [18–20,22]. The Xist RNA begins to coat the Xp at the four-cell stage and spreads progressively over several cleavage divisions, culminating in complete coating of the Xp at the blastocyst stage [22]. The spread of Xist coating correlates with the gradual transcriptional silencing of genes along the Xp [22]. In the eight-cell embryo, the Xist coated region of the Xp is found devoid of the histone modifications dimethyl lysine 4 of histone H3 and acetylated histone H3, both of which are associated with actively transcribed chromatin [18]. Subsequently, at the morula stage, PRC2 proteins and trimethyl lysine 27 of histone H3 (H3-3mK27) are found enriched on the Xi early in XCI [18–19,22]. The Polycomb Group (PcG) proteins are required for silencing genes for proper activation and silencing of different sets of genes. The Polycomb Group and X-Chromosome Inactivation (Eed17Rn5-3354SB) has led to the suggestion that the PcG proteins are required for the maintenance of imprinted XCI, using an X-inactivated paternal X-linked GFP transgene to monitor defects in XCI [30]. We further demonstrated that the Xp reactivation does not occur in undifferentiated mutant cells of the trophectoderm lineage but instead is restricted to differentiating mutant trophoblast cells [31]. These results indicate that EED and PRC2 are required to maintain imprinted XCI by preventing the differentiation-induced reactivation of the Xi.

Although EED is found enriched on the Xi early in both imprinted and random XCI and is clearly necessary to stably maintain imprinted XCI, it is not known whether EED is required for initiation of XCI. Defining a role for EED in the initiation of XCI has been precluded thus far by the presence of maternal EED protein in the early embryo [18,19,24,25,32,33]. Whereas zygotic Eed transcription is first evident at embryonic day 5.5 (E5.5), EED protein is detected on the Xi at E3.5. Eed−/− embryos, therefore, harbor considerable amounts of maternally derived EED protein during the stages when imprinted XCI is initiated in the preimplantation embryo. Here we address whether PcGs initiate XCI by assessing if EED protein is present in Eed−/− embryos prior to the initiation of random XCI in peri-implantation stage embryos. We also address conclusively whether random XCI is affected in the absence of EED.

Our results show that there is no functional EED protein during the developmental window when random XCI initiates, and that despite the lack of EED protein both initiation and maintenance of random XCI occur normally in Eed−/− embryos.

Results

Although zygotic expression of Eed is first detected at E5.5, EED protein is found abundantly in preimplantation embryos at least up to the late blastocyst stage (E3.5) [18,19,24,25,32,33]. This maternally derived pool of EED may help initiate imprinted XCI which occurs during preimplantation development, and persist long enough to initiate random XCI. Random XCI initiates following the imprint erasure event that occurs at the late blastocyst stage. To define when maternally derived EED becomes depleted, we analyzed EED protein content by immunofluorescence (IF) in preimplantation and peri-implantation stage embryos generated from a cross of Eed−/− animals. One-fourth of the embryos generated from this cross are expected to be genotypically Eed−/− and lack all EED protein after depletion of maternal EED. The sire in this cross also carried on its X-chromosome a mutation in the Tsix gene (see below) and a GFP transgene. The X-linked GFP transgene is exclusively transmitted to female embryos and is expressed prior to XCI-mediated silencing [30,34]. This transgene thus facilitates the identification of female embryos and the analysis of paternal X-chromosome activity.

All female embryos examined at the early blastocyst stage (10 of 10) showed Xi enrichment of the EED protein in all cells (Figure 1A). Similarly, all embryos at the late blastocyst stage (i.e., those that had hatched from the zona pellucida; 12 of 12) also displayed clear Xi enrichment of EED (Figure 1B). At this stage, however, this Xi accumulation is evident only in trophectoderm cells, which stably maintain imprinted XCI. The ICM is conspicuously devoid of EED enrichment on the Xi, indicating that it has undergone erasure of the imprint that ensures preferential Xp-inactivation. Notably,
none of the 22 embryos examined at the blastocyst stages lacked EED protein.

We next analyzed E4.5 female embryos generated from the \textit{Eed}\textsuperscript{+/−} cross for the presence of EED protein. Of 14 embryos stained for EED, ten were positive for EED and showed accumulation on the Xi (marked by \textit{Xist} RNA coating) (Figure 1C). Four E4.5 embryos, however, showed an absence of EED protein (Figure 1D).

We also assayed E4.5 embryos for the histone modification H3-3mK27. EED is required to catalyze the H3-3mK27 modification, as \textit{Eed}\textsuperscript{+/−} embryos as well as \textit{Eed}\textsuperscript{−/−} ES and TS (trophoblast stem) cells lack H3-3mK27 [9,24,31]. Whereas E4.5 embryos that accumulated EED on the Xi also always demonstrated H3-3mK27 Xi enrichment, absence of EED protein invariably resulted in lack of H3-3mK27 (Figure 2). Thus, maternally loaded EED protein and its activity in the form of H3-3mK27 appear to be depleted by E4.5.

We then sought to determine if the absence of EED activity preceded the initiation of random XCI in the ICM lineage. The erasure of the Xp imprint in the ICM at the late blastocyst stage results in loss of the Xi enrichment of both EED and H3-3mK27. Their subsequent appearance on the Xi in the epiblast, which is ICM derived, at E5.5 coincides with the initiation of random XCI [19]. A majority of E4.5 embryos examined (17/22) showed clear Xi accumulation of EED or H3-3mK27 in the trophoblastic cells (Figure 3A and unpublished data). Cells of the epiblast, marked by the expression of the paternal X-linked \textit{GFP} transgene (Xp-GFP), lack Xi enrichment of EED or H3-3mK27, indicating that these cells have yet to undergo random XCI (Figure 3A). \textit{Xp-GFP} expression in the epiblast cells reflects the reactivation of the Xp due to imprint erasure. A proportion of E4.5 embryos (5 of 22), however, did not show noticeable EED or H3-3mK27 staining in any cell of the embryo, indicating that they are \textit{Eed}\textsuperscript{−/−} (Figure 3B and unpublished data).

The epiblast or epiblast derivatives of wild-type (WT) postimplantation embryos at E5.5, E6.5, and E7.5, however, all showed Xi accumulation of H3-3mK27 or \textit{Xist} RNA, signifying that random XCI has initiated in these cells (Figures 4 and 5 and unpublished data). A subset of female E5.5 embryos (4/18) derived from the \textit{Eed}\textsuperscript{+/−} cross lacked all H3-3mK27 staining and are classified as \textit{Eed}\textsuperscript{−/−} (Figure 4). Similarly, epiblast cells isolated from E6.5 \textit{Eed}\textsuperscript{−/−} embryos showed a complete absence of EED protein (Figure 5). The absence of EED and/or H3-3mK27 in E4.5-E6.5 embryos, therefore, indicates that maternal EED protein and its activity are depleted in \textit{Eed}\textsuperscript{−/−} embryos prior to the initiation of
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\caption{Absence of EED Protein in \textit{Eed}\textsuperscript{−/−} Embryos at E4.5}
\end{figure}
random XCI and are no longer detected during the developmental window when random XCI normally initiates.

We next wished to investigate whether random XCI occurred normally in Eed−/− embryos. We generated and analyzed postimplantation female embryos from a cross of Eed−/− animals where the sire also harbored on its X-chromosome both the GFP transgene and a mutation in the Tsix gene (TsixΔA12M7) [35]. Tsix is a noncoding RNA expressed from the Xist locus but in the opposite orientation to Xist [35–37]. Whereas Xist is required for XCI, intact Tsix is necessary to escape XCI. A mutant Tsix affects the choice of which X-chromosome is inactivated; the chromosome harboring a mutation in Tsix is preferentially rendered inactive [35,38,39]. When inherited on the Xp, the Tsix mutation does not alter imprinted XCI (of the Xp). Thus, XCI in preimplantation embryos and extraembryonic lineages, examined above, is unaffected. The epiblast, which normally undergoes random XCI, however, should now inactivate the Xp exclusively. WT female embryos inheriting the TsixΔA12M7 allele and the GFP transgene on the Xp would therefore be expected to lack GFP expression in their epiblast or epiblast-derived tissues.

We found a gradual decrease in the numbers of green fluorescent protein (GFP)-expressing cells in the epiblast or epiblast derivatives of both WT and Eed−/− postimplantation stage embryos that harbored the Tsix mutation and the GFP transgene on their paternal X-chromosome (XXGFP, ΔTsix, XXGFP, Tsix, Eed−/−, respectively) (Figures 4 and 6). By E8.5, the epiblast-derived tissues of both WT and Eed−/− embryos are completely devoid of GFP expression, indicating that XCI is complete and that any residual GFP protein and RNA have either been diluted or degraded by this stage (Figure 6). The lack of GFP-expressing epiblast-derived cells in E8.5 XXGFP, ΔTsix, Eed−/− embryos, thus, indicates that XCI in the epiblast initiates and is stably maintained in the absence of EED.

We confirmed absence of random XCI defects in Eed−/− embryos that did not carry the XΔTsix allele. We assayed expression of three endogenous X-linked genes, Hprt, Mecp2, and Pgk1, by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). If random XCI is defective, then both alleles of the X-linked genes should be transcriptionally active. Whereas monoallelic transcription is detected as a single pinpoint in the nucleus, biallelic transcription would be detected as two distinct pinpoints by RNA FISH. We tested female WT and Eed−/− E7.5 epiblast derivatives and found that an equal percentage of cells of both genotypes expressed the X-linked genes from only one allele (>96%; Figure 7). These data are further evidence that Eed−/− embryos do not suffer defects in random XCI.

Although lacking defects in random XCI, Eed−/− embryos are characterized by reactivation of the Xp in differentiating trophoblast cells, as indicated by the increasing numbers of GFP-expressing cells in the ectoplacental cone of XXGFP, ΔTsix, Eed−/− embryos (Figure 6, bottom panels; [31]. The presence of GFP-positive trophoblast cells in XXGFP, ΔTsix, Eed−/− embryos indicates that Tsix is dispensable for reactivation of the Xp seen in Eed-mutant embryos.

It has been suggested that Tsix is required in cis for the erasure of the imprint that results in reactivation of the Xp at the late blastocyst stage [40]. The prediction, therefore, would be that a Tsix mutation would render the Xp resistant to imprint erasure. However, the presence of GFP-expressing cells in the epiblast of peri-implantation and postimplantation embryos is evidence that the Xp becomes reactivated despite lacking Tsix (Figures 3, 4, and 6). Tsix may, therefore, also be dispensable for Xp imprint erasure.

**Figure 2.** Absence of H3-3mK27 in Eed−/− Embryos at E4.5
(A) IF-FISH detection shows Xi enrichment of EED protein and H3-3mK27 in a WT E4.5 embryo. The Xi is marked by Xist RNA coating, detected by FISH. DAPI staining detects nuclei.

(B) An E4.5 female embryo that is devoid of EED and H3-3mK27. The EED antibody staining seen is background and does not overlap with the Xi, as denoted by Xist RNA coating.

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pgen.0020066.g002
Figure 3. Absence of EED Activity prior to the Initiation of Random XCI in Eed<sup>−/−</sup> Embryos

(A) IF detection of H3-3mK27 in a WT E4.5 embryo shows H3-3mK27 enrichment on the Xi in all trophectodermal cells, but which is largely absent in the ICM/epiblast cells indicating that these cells have not undergone random XCI. The selective expression of the paternal X-linked GFP transgene (Xp-GFP) in the ICM lineage indicates that these cells have erased the imprint that ensures imprinted XCI of the Xp. Whereas the ICM has erased the imprint, the trophectoderm has stably maintained imprinted XCI of the Xp, and hence is negative for Xp-GFP. DAPI staining detects the nuclei.

(B) IF staining showing a lack of histone H3-3mK27 accumulation on the Xi in all cells at E4.5, indicating that EED activity is absent in mutant embryos prior to the initiation of random XCI.

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pgen.0020066.g003

Figure 4. Enrichment of H3-3mK27 on the Xi during Initiation of Random XCI

(A) IF detection in a WT E5.5 embryo showing Xi accumulation of H3-3mK27 in all cells of both the extraembryonic and embryonic lineages, i.e., the trophectoderm-derived extraembryonic ectoderm and the epiblast, which undergo imprinted and random XCI, respectively.

(B) Eed<sup>−/−</sup> embryos lack H3-3mK27.

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pgen.0020066.g004
Discussion

Histone methylation by PcGs is among the earliest epigenetic modifications that appear on the Xi during both imprinted and random XCI [18,19,24,25]. It has therefore been proposed that PcGs help initiate XCI [24,25]. Loss-of-function studies in Eed/C0/C0 embryos have thus far revealed a role for PcGs only in the maintenance of imprinted XCI [30,31]. A defect in initiation of either imprinted or random XCI has not been described in Eed/C0/C0 embryos [30]. This may be due to the pool of maternally derived Eed protein in the early embryo that lasts long enough to initiate both imprinted and random XCI [18,24,25,32,33].

By analyzing the distribution of EED and/or the histone modification it mediates, H3-3mK27, in preimplantation, peri-implantation, and postimplantation mouse embryos generated from an Eed+/−/+ intercross, we have found that maternal EED protein as well as its activity are depleted in Eed/C0/C0 embryos prior to the initiation of random XCI. We have also demonstrated that postimplantation Eed−/− embryos participate in random XCI and do not harbor any random XCI defects, despite the absence of EED protein. Taken together, these results indicate that EED and the histone modification catalyzed by the EED-containing PRC2 complex, H3-3mK27, are dispensable for both the initiation and maintenance of random XCI.

Previously, we reported a strategy of counting and comparing the percentage of cells expressing the paternal...
X-linked GFP transgene versus those that did not in the epiblast of WT and Eed\textsuperscript{−/−} embryos, to determine whether Eed\textsuperscript{−/−} embryos had a defect in random XCI [30]. An XCI defect would be expected to result in an increased number of GFP-expressing cells in the epiblast lineage of mutant embryos. A dramatic difference in the ratio of GFP\textsuperscript{+}/GFP\textsuperscript{−} epiblast cells between WT and Eed\textsuperscript{−/−} embryos was not observed [30]. However, if a relatively small percentage of cells showed expression from both Xs, as is in fact the case in the extraembryonic region of mutant embryos, a defect in random XCI may have been missed using this approach [24,31].

In the current study, we have applied two independent and more sensitive assays to investigate whether random XCI is perturbed in Eed\textsuperscript{−/−} embryos. One assay relies on the epiblast expression of the GFP transgene from the paternal X-chromosome that also harbors a mutation in the Tsix gene. The Tsix mutation results in preferential inactivation in the epiblast lineage of the X-chromosome harboring the mutant allele, in this case the Xp and therefore the GFP transgene. By biasing random XCI such that the Xp is inactivated, GFP expression in the epiblast lineage would be evidence of a defect in random XCI. The sensitivity of this assay would allow detection of even a few cells that escaped inactivation. As indicated by the lack of GFP expression, by E8.5 both WT and Eed\textsuperscript{−/−} embryos achieved complete inactivation of the Xp in every cell of epiblast-derived tissues.

A second assay examines relief from random XCI in Eed\textsuperscript{−/−} embryos by testing whether three endogenous X-linked genes are expressed from one X-chromosome in epiblast-derived cells. Epiblast derivatives from both WT and Eed\textsuperscript{−/−} E7.5 embryos show similar rates of monoallelic X-linked gene expression (>96%). Hprt, MeCP2, and Pgk1 are invariably expressed from the chromosome other than the one marked by Xist RNA coating, which denotes the Xi. Sixty-eight to 87 nuclei were counted for each sample.

Since an intact Tsix is required for that chromosome to escape XCI and be chosen as the active X, it can be extrapolated that without Tsix Xi reactivation would not occur in XX\textsuperscript{Eed\textsuperscript{−/−}}, Eed\textsuperscript{−/−} embryos. However, the occurrence of imprint erasure in the ICM cells and the presence of GFP-expressing trophoblast cells in the ectoplacental cone of XX\textsuperscript{Eed\textsuperscript{−/−}}, Eed\textsuperscript{−/−} embryos indicate that the absence of Tsix does not prevent Xi reactivation. This is consistent with Tsix being upstream of the epigenetic modifications that characterize the Xi. Tsix transcription inhibits Xist transcription, which in turn is thought to recruit the silencing machinery, i.e., PcGs, to the prospective Xi [24,35,39,41,42]. In this cascade, therefore, factors that mediate transcriptional silencing act downstream of Tsix. If one of these downstream components is missing, XCI may be defective (i.e., in Eed\textsuperscript{−/−} embryos) or reversed (i.e., during Xp imprint erasure) even if the antisilencing factor Tsix is lacking.

In in vitro assays, the PcG PRC1 complex can compact nucleosomes and repress transcription [12,13]. We have shown here that the Xi-enriched EED, whose activity is required for H3-3mK27, a prerequisite for PRC1 function, is not necessary for the formation of the Xi-heterochromatin and the accompanying transcriptional silencing. The EED-containing PRC2 complex appears to be required in XCI only to prevent reactivation of the imprinted Xi during differentiation of the trophectoderm lineage [31]. In the epiblast,
PeGs may in fact play a similar role. However, an XCI defect in this lineage may not be manifested in Eed−/− embryos until after their death at E9.5. Alternatively, epigenetic modifications that play a prominent role in random XCI, such as cytosine-guanine dinucleotide DNA methylation, may compensate for the absence of EED in the epiblast. In support of this idea, Sado et al. [43] have shown that a mutation in the Dmnt1 gene does not affect imprinted XCI, but does perturb random XCI; epiblast-derived cells in Dmnt1 mutant embryos appear to harbor two active X-chromosomes. 

Materials and Methods

Mice. The Eed+/− line of mice originated in a mutagenesis screen [44] and have been maintained in heterozygosity and genotyped as previously described [30,32]. The X-GFP is the D4X4EGFP transgenic line [34]. Mice harboring the Tbx3Δ25.1 mutation, which results in an absence of mature Tbx3 RNA and thus is a null allele, were generated from targeted ES cells that were a kind gift of Takashi Sado and have been described elsewhere [35].

Embryo dissections. Isolation of E3.5-E7.5 embryos was performed using established procedures [45]. E4.5 embryos were collected as intact embryos were collected overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde at 4°C overnight in blocking solution with 5% normal goat serum. The Eed gene does not affect imprinted XCI, but does perturb random XCI; epiblast-derived cells in Dmnt1 mutant embryos appear to harbor two active X-chromosomes. 

IF. Antibody stainings of intact embryos were performed essentially as described [24,46]. The embryos were fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde at 4°C. After three washes in PBS, the embryos were permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 for 20 to 30 min. The embryos were then blocked with 0.25% fish skin gelatin (stock is 45%; catalog No. 7765; Sigma, St. Louis, Missouri, United States). The primary antibodies incubations were carried out at 4°C overnight in blocking solution with 5% normal goat serum. The Eed and H3-3mK27 antibodies have been described previously and were overnight in blocking solution with 5% normal goat serum. The Eed and H3-3mK27 antibodies have been described previously and were used at 1:500 and 1:200 dilutions, respectively [24,47]. Washes were then done with 0.25% fish skin gelatin and PBS (three times, 5 min each). Secondary antibodies were used in Alexa 594 (Molecular Probes, Eugene, Oregon, United States) or Cy3 (Amer sham, Piscataway, New Jersey, United States). The embryos were washed in PBS and mounted in thin depression glass slides (catalog No. 12–560; Fisher Scientific) with Vectashield with DAPI (Vector sham, Piscataway, New Jersey, United States). The embryos were then blocked with 0.25% fish skin gelatin and PBS (three times, 5 min each). The samples were then incubated in primary antibodies diluted in blocking solution with 5% normal goat serum. The Eed and H3-3mK27 antibodies have been described previously and were used as above. The IF stainings and confocal imaging.
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