Integrating the Genetic and Physical Maps of Arabidopsis thaliana: Identification of Mapped Alleles of Cloned Essential (EMB) Genes
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Abstract
The classical genetic map of Arabidopsis includes more than 130 genes with an embryo-defective (emb) mutant phenotype. Many of these essential genes remain to be cloned. Hundreds of additional EMB genes have been cloned and catalogued (www.seedgenes.org) but not mapped. To facilitate EMB gene identification and assess the current level of saturation, we updated the classical map, compared the physical and genetic locations of mapped loci, and performed allelism tests between mapped (but not cloned) and cloned (but not mapped) emb mutants with similar chromosome locations. Two hundred pairwise combinations of genes located on chromosomes 1 and 5 were tested and more than 1100 total crosses were screened. Sixteen of 51 mapped emb mutants examined were found to be disrupted in a known EMB gene. Alleles of a wide range of published EMB genes (YDA, GLA1, TIL1, AtASP38, AtDEK1, EMB506, DG1, OEP80) were discovered. Two EMS mutants isolated 30 years ago, T-DNA mutants with complex insertion sites, and a mutant with an atypical, embryo-specific phenotype were resolved. The frequency of allelism encountered was consistent with past estimates of 500 to 1000 EMB loci. New EMB genes identified among mapped T-DNA insertion mutants included CHC1, which is required for chromatin remodeling, and SH51/AtBT1, which encodes a plastidal nucleotide transporter similar to the maize Brittle1 protein required for normal endosperm development. Two classical genetic markers (PY, ALB1) were identified based on similar map locations of known genes required for thiamine (THIC) and chlorophyll (PDE166) biosynthesis. The alignment of genetic and physical maps presented here should facilitate the continued analysis of essential genes in Arabidopsis and further characterization of a broad spectrum of mutant phenotypes in a model plant.

Introduction
Embryo-defective (emb) mutants are the most common class of mutants observed following chemical and insertional mutagenesis in Arabidopsis. Hundreds of mutants with a wide range of defects in seed development have been described [1–6]. Information on mutants disrupted in known genes is available at www.seedgenes.org. This database includes more than 350 genes and 600 mutant alleles [7]. A complete list of emb mutants disrupted in genes that remain to be identified is not available. An initial dataset of 250 emb mutants analyzed in our laboratory, including many that have not been cloned, was published 15 years ago [5]. Most of these mutants can be obtained through the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (ABRC) and can be found at TAIR, the central Arabidopsis database (www.arabidopsis.org). Another 1400 mutants derived from T-DNA insertion lines [6] but not yet cloned because they are either not tagged or unresolved with respect to tagging status are listed in a linked file at the SeedGenes website. Seeds from these Syngenta (Research Triangle Park) mutants can also be obtained from ABRC.

Because of their large numbers, embryo-defective mutants present a special challenge for saturation mutagenesis. With thousands of mutants available, determining which mutants are disrupted in the same gene can be overwhelming. Estimating the total number of EMB genes found throughout the genome is also difficult. We first began to approach these challenges 20 years ago by placing large numbers of EMB genes defined by mutation on the classical genetic map and then crossing heterozygotes segregating for mutations with similar map locations to test for allelism [8,9]. The results were encouraging in several respects. First, we found 19 examples of duplicate alleles, including some with different phenotypes, among a collection of 110 mapped EMB genes. Second, we added a significant number of reliable genetic markers to the classical genetic map. Third, the frequency of duplicate alleles encountered within this limited sample suggested that Arabidopsis contained about 500 total EMB genes. This contrasted with an earlier estimate of 4000 EMB genes based on a comprehensive screen for mutants with defects in pattern formation [10]. A revised estimate of 500 to 1000 EMB genes was later obtained by examining the frequency of duplicate alleles within a large collection of cloned T-DNA mutants [6]. These results seemed to indicate that identifying all of the EMB genes might eventually become an attainable goal.

Several complementary strategies are being used to identify additional EMB genes in Arabidopsis [7]. Most large-scale efforts involve reverse genetic analysis of candidate genes that are either
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orthologs of essential genes in other organisms, fail to generate a knockout homozygote, or share a common cellular process, metabolic pathway, or protein interactor with a known EMB gene product. Other EMB genes are being uncovered gradually as investigators observe a seed phenotype in knockout heterozygotes of their favorite gene of interest. Saturation for essential genes in Arabidopsis is needed to complete the genome-wide collection of knockout mutants, determine which genes give a loss-of-function phenotype, compare the null phenotypes of different types of genes, provide insights into genes with unknown cellular functions, and reach the long-term goal of determining the biological role of every gene in a model plant.

One valuable resource of EMB genes that has received little attention in recent years is the large collection of mapped (but not cloned) mutants analyzed in our laboratory [9]. We reasoned that if the existing collection of known EMB genes defined by mutation is around 30% to 50% saturated as potentially indicated by recent estimates, then a similar percentage of mapped (but not cloned) mutants should be allelic to cloned (but not mapped) mutants. We describe here the results of a study focused on the two largest chromosomes (1 and 5) that pursued this approach in considerable detail. This work provided us with an opportunity to update the classical genetic map, integrate this map with the known physical map, uncover the identities of 16 mapped EMB genes not previously cloned, identify four new EMB genes from mapped mutants tagged with T-DNA, and provide further information on the total number of genes with a loss-of-function phenotype affecting seed development in Arabidopsis.

Results

Updating the classical genetic map

The first comprehensive genetic map of Arabidopsis was published 25 years ago by Koornneef et al. [11]. This map included 76 genes with mutant phenotypes that were assigned chromosomal locations based primarily on the analysis of F2 plants produced from self-pollination of heterozygotes. Other genes with mutant phenotypes were added to the map over the years. Precise gene orders were often left unresolved because map positions were typically determined by comparing two-point recombination frequencies rather than by analyzing definitive three-point backcrosses. The most recent version of the map published 10 years ago [12] contained 462 loci and 469 total cM. However, that map included 110 genes placed initially on the recombinant inbred map [13] and then transferred to the classical map after adjusting for differences in chromosome lengths. Because this manipulation introduced additional uncertainties, we decided to limit the updated map presented here to genes with mutant phenotypes mapped relative to each other. We then queried public databases to determine which mapped genes had been cloned and whether a second allele with a different name was unknowingly assigned a slightly different chromosomal location based on work in another laboratory. We also contacted investigators for updated information on specific mutants, added a few loci from recent work in our laboratory, removed several genes where the estimated genetic location conflicted with the known physical location, and excluded mutants for which seed stocks were no longer available.

Three hundred and thirty-five genes are included on the updated genetic map summarized in Table 1. Forty-one percent (136) of these exhibit a seed phenotype when disrupted by a loss-of-function mutation. The remaining 199 genes exhibit some other mutant phenotype. Almost 90% of these genes have been cloned. By contrast, only 32% of the mapped EMB genes have been cloned, even when results of the present study are included. Over 90 mapped EMB genes remain to be cloned, including 34 on the two chromosomes examined here in detail. These represent promising candidates for EMB genes not represented in the SeedGenes database. Table S1 lists 22 genes with other phenotypes that remain to be cloned. Twelve of these were included on the initial genetic map of Koornneef et al. [11].

A complete list of 335 genes included on the updated map, along with known locus numbers, is presented in Table S2. Two different versions are provided; one arranged by estimated genetic location and the other by known physical location. Many examples of incorrect gene orders on the genetic map can be found. Most of these are likely the result of estimating map locations based on two-point recombination data from different laboratories. Overall, the estimated gene orders presented on the original Koornneef map, where common populations were subjected to standard mapping experiments, are remarkably consistent with known physical locations. Figure 1 illustrates the chromosomal positions of 220 cloned loci included on the updated genetic map. The chromosome mapping tool used to visualize gene locations was obtained through TAIR. Most of the chromosomal regions devoid of mapped genes with a mutant phenotype are nevertheless populated by loci that were included six years ago in a comprehensive dataset of all known Arabidopsis genes with a mutant phenotype of any kind [14].

Integrating the genetic and physical maps

We decided to update the genetic map and identify additional examples of mapped genes already cloned in order to estimate more precisely the physical locations of mapped EMB genes that

| Table 1. Updated classical genetic map of Arabidopsis. |
|-------------------------------------------------------|
| **Chromosome** | **Total** | **EMB** | **Other** | **Total** | **EMB** | **Other** | **Total** | **EMB** | **Other** |
|----------------|----------|---------|-----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|
| 1              | 89       | 37      | 52        | 65       | 16 (6)  | 49       | 21       | 3        |
| 2              | 46       | 19      | 27        | 31       | 5 (1)   | 26       | 14       | 1        |
| 3              | 59       | 24      | 35        | 36       | 3       | 33       | 21       | 2        |
| 4              | 65       | 27      | 38        | 34       | 3 (2)   | 31       | 24       | 7        |
| 5              | 76       | 29      | 47        | 54       | 16 (11) | 38       | 13       | 9        |
| Total          | 335      | 136     | 199       | 220      | 43 (20) | 177      | 93       | 22       |

*Numbers in parentheses indicate EMB genes identified in this report.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007386.t001
remained to be cloned. This information was required before we could compile lists of cloned emb mutants that were logical candidates for allelism tests with mapped emb mutants localized to similar regions of the genome. First we needed to plot the estimated genetic position versus known physical location for all mapped and cloned genes regardless of mutant phenotype. We decided against using sequence coordinates to track physical distances because this value was cumbersome and not readily derived from the locus number. We also chose not to use locus numbers to measure physical distance, in part because this made it difficult to visualize the number of genes within a defined physical region. We devised instead a simple measure of physical distance, the estimated gene number (EGN), which was derived by subtracting 1000 from the locus number, because for chromosomes 1, 2, 3, and 5, locus numbers begin with 01010 and not 00010, and then dividing by ten because locus numbers for adjacent genes are typically separated by ten. The EGN for At1g02580 is therefore 158 (02580 – 01000 divided by 10). For chromosome 4, we simply dropped the final digit because locus numbers on that chromosome begin with 00020. Although the EGN is an imperfect measure of physical distance, it can be easily derived, readily visualized, and has minor inconsistencies when compared with genetic map distances. The utility of this measure of physical distance was also confirmed by the results described here.

Scatter plots showing the relationship between genetic and physical distances are shown in Figure 2. For chromosomes 1, 3, and 5, separate regression lines are presented for each chromosome arm in order to minimize differences in recombination rates across the centromere. A single regression line was used for chromosomes 2 and 4 because few genetic markers are located above the centromere. Similar regression values were obtained (e.g., R² = 0.930 vs 0.928 and 0.939 vs 0.952, chromosome 1a, 1b; and 0.945 vs 0.934 and 0.921 vs 0.924, chromosome 5a, 5b) when physical distance was determined using the sequence coordinate midpoint (TAIR 7.0) of each mapped gene instead of EGN. These results provide further confirmation of the validity of the approach used here to estimate physical distance. Information about mapped genes flanking each centromere is presented in Table S3. The estimated location of each centromere was determined by averaging locus numbers flanking sequenced BACs rich in centromeric repeats. Five cloned loci with a genetic map location more than 15 cM removed from the expected location based on chromosome-wide regression lines were removed from the final version of the map. These loci are PDS1 (At1g06570), FAR2 (At2g30950), FPA (At2g43410), TT6 (At3g51240), and FAS2 (At3g64630). Placement of these loci on the genetic map appears to be inaccurate but the gene identities are correct. Another mapped locus (CHL2) with contradictory mapping information and a questionable gene assignment was also removed.

Identifying candidates for allelism tests

The approach we took in the past when looking for duplicate alleles among mapped emb mutants was to limit complementation tests to mutants disrupted in genes that mapped within 5 cM of each other [9]. This strategy balanced inherent uncertainties about map locations with a desire to limit the total number of crosses performed. The project described here introduced another variable, correlating genetic position with physical location, and involved a greater number of crosses. Because we used estimated gene numbers to measure physical distances, we decided to count the total number of locus numbers assigned to each chromosome (TAIR version 7.0) and divide this number by the total number of cM per chromosome. The resulting genome-wide average [66 locus numbers (EGN) per cM] was fairly consistent across the five chromosomes (Table 2). We then established several priority levels for allelism tests based on map alignments. The first level (A) involved cloned EMB genes that were 200 EGN above or below the projected physical location of a mapped (but not cloned) EMB gene based on data presented in Figure 2. The second level (B) expanded the window to 300 EGN, slightly less than the estimated 5 cM window. A third level (C) combined the larger window and a single regression line based on data for the entire chromosome rather than separate lines for each chromosome arm. A fourth level (D) was limited to a few genes that seemed to be further apart but were still chosen for analysis.

After identifying the cloned EMB genes that appeared to be located in the vicinity of each mapped EMB, we next asked whether the two mutant phenotypes appeared to be consistent with potential allelism. This was often difficult to resolve with confidence because the intragenic locations of mutations in mapped (but not cloned) emb mutants remained unknown. However, we usually excluded combinations that seemed unlikely, such as a cloned, putative null allele that exhibited a much later

Figure 1. Placement of 220 cloned markers from the classical genetic map of Arabidopsis on the sequence-based physical map. Centromere locations are marked with constrictions. The map visualization tool was obtained from TAIR (www.arabidopsis.org/jsp/ChromosomeMap/tool.jsp).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007386.g001
We also included some questionable combinations, especially when there were few other candidates available. Nevertheless, some of the mapped mutants that were tested but remain unresolved may be disrupted in a known EMB gene that was simply not chosen for crosses.

Approximately 200 sets of complementation tests and more than 1100 individual crosses involving pairwise combinations of candidate alleles were performed. Fifty-one mapped loci (27 chromosome 1; 24 chromosome 5) and 115 cloned loci (63 chromosome 1; 52 chromosome 5) were included. Crosses that failed to demonstrate allelism are summarized in Table 3. Additional details are provided in Table S4. Most of the 166 pairwise combinations that complemented involved genes estimated to be within the 300 EGN window described above (60% A; 27% B; 5% C; 8% D). Sixteen combinations that revealed allelism (failed to complement) and produced F1 siliques with the expected frequency of defective seeds are listed in Table S5. The

![Figure 2](https://example.com/figure2.png)
distribution of these successful combinations among the four distance (window) classifications was almost identical to that found with crosses that complemented. In other words, crosses involving genes that seemed to be very close to each other based on predicted map alignments were not more likely to reveal allelism than those that appeared to be somewhat further apart, reflecting once again the inherent uncertainties associated with short distances. The frequency of allelism found (16 of 51 mapped loci with existing collection of 350 cloned EMB genes) suggested a level of saturation (30%) consistent with past estimates of 1000 total EMB genes [6].

**EMB gene identities revealed through allelism tests**

The identities of 16 mapped EMB genes as revealed through genetic complementation tests are presented in Table 4. Previous work on these mutants is documented in publications extending over the past 30 years. Two of these mutants (emb9 and emb16) were part of the initial collection of embryo lethals described in the 1970s by Meinke and Sussex [2]. These mutants were also included in a project that examined the distribution of aborted seeds in heterozygous siliques as a measure of gametophytic expression of EMB genes [15]. Another mutant (emb64) was identified from a collection of X-irradiated seeds generated in the 1980s by Joseph Ecker [5]. Three other EMS mutants (emb24, emb36, emb71) identified about the same time were part of a widespread effort to characterize the response of mutant embryos in culture [3,16–18]. The remaining mutants were identified through forward genetic screens of T-DNA insertion lines [3,16–18]. The remaining mutants were identified through forward genetic screens of T-DNA insertion lines [3,16–18].

**Table 2. Relationship between locus numbers and cM.**

| Chromosome | Arm | Locus numbers | cM | Loci/cm |
|------------|-----|---------------|----|---------|
| 1          | Both| 8,000         | 122| 66      |
|            | a   | 3,945         | 57 | 69      |
|            | b   | 4,055         | 65 | 62      |
| 2          | Both| 4,894         | 77 | 64      |
|            | a   | 920           | 13 | 71      |
|            | b   | 3,974         | 64 | 62      |
| 3          | Both| 6,297         | 96 | 66      |
|            | a   | 3,755         | 54 | 70      |
|            | b   | 2,542         | 42 | 61      |
| 4          | Both| 4,691         | 76 | 62      |
|            | a   | 771           | 8  | 96      |
|            | b   | 3,920         | 68 | 58      |
| 5          | Both| 6,896         | 98 | 70      |
|            | a   | 3,005         | 42 | 72      |
|            | b   | 3,891         | 56 | 70      |
| Combined   | Total| 30,778       | 469| 66      |

*Based on TAIR 7.0 genome release (www.arabidopsis.org). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007386.t002

The mapped mutant with the most unusual phenotype (emb71), described before as external [5] because some mutant embryos at maturity protrude from the base of the seed, was found to be disrupted in **TOD1**, a gene that has received considerable attention in recent years. This locus encodes a component of a MAP kinase cascade that promotes proliferation of the basal cell lineage (suspensor) during embryo development [25] in addition to regulating stomatal spacing in the leaf epidermis [26]. Expression of **TOD1** in the zygote is activated by the product of another gene (**SPP**) with an unusual parental effect in which a transcript produced transiently in mature pollen is not translated until after fertilization [27]. The emb71 allele identified here increases the diversity of **yoda** loss-of-function mutants available. The dramatic seed phenotype is shown in Figure 3. Terminal phenotypes were consistent with past estimates of 1000 total EMB genes [6].

**Emb Genes of Arabidopsis**

The identities of 16 mapped EMB genes as revealed through genetic complementation tests are presented in Table 4. Previous work on these mutants is documented in publications extending over the past 30 years. Two of these mutants (emb9 and emb16) were part of the initial collection of embryo lethals described in the 1970s by Meinke and Sussex [2]. These mutants were also included in a project that examined the distribution of aborted seeds in heterozygous siliques as a measure of gametophytic expression of EMB genes [15]. Another mutant (emb64) was identified from a collection of X-irradiated seeds generated in the 1980s by Joseph Ecker [5]. Three other EMS mutants (emb24, emb36, emb71) identified about the same time were part of a widespread effort to characterize the response of mutant embryos in culture [3,16–18]. The remaining mutants were identified through forward genetic screens of T-DNA insertion lines generated by Ken Feldmann [4,5,19]. Some of these were shown through genetic analysis to be not tagged with T-DNA. One mutant with a late phenotype was also chosen for additional characterization at the seedling stage [20]. Three mapped loci (EMB156, EMB86, EMB161) were shown before [9] to be represented by duplicate mutant alleles. The remaining 13 loci were thought to be represented by a single mutant allele each.

Most of the cloned gene identities presented in Table 4 were confirmed before we started this project, either through molecular complementation or the analysis of multiple insertion alleles. The protein products appear to function in a variety of cellular processes, from DNA replication (EMB2264, EMB2775) and translation (EMB1030) to signal transduction (TOD4), folate biosynthesis (GLA1), and chloroplastic protein import (OEP80, EMB1047). Ankyrin repeat proteins (EMB306, AKR, PR proteins (EMB2279, DG1), cysteine and aspartic proteases (IDEK1, At4SP54), and proteins with uncertain cellular functions (EMB2421, EMB2737) are also included. Duplicate mutant alleles for 11 of these cloned genes have been isolated and characterized in considerable detail [21–25]. A single allelism test was therefore sufficient in many cases to establish gene identities and resolve longstanding questions about mapped EMB loci.

One mutant studied 20 years ago (emb24) was particularly intriguing because mutant embryos cultured on a basal medium developed into viable plants that produced 100% defective seeds following self pollination [17]. All normal seeds were obtained when rescued homozygotes were crossed with pollen from wild-type plants. We reasoned at the time that EMB24 likely performed an embryo-specific function. This hypothesis has now been confirmed by demonstrating that emb24 is disrupted in AtASP38, which encodes an embryo-specific aspartic protease that has been postulated to limit programmed cell death during reproductive development [29]. The emb24 allele exhibits a consistent seed phenotype (linear cotyledon stage embryo) and a simple inheritance pattern (no gametophytic lethality; 24.6% mutant phenotype for 11 of these cloned genes have been isolated and characterized (AtASP38, EMB1030, EMB2284, EMB2421, EMB2737). The mapped mutant with the most unusual phenotype (emb71), described before as external [5] because some mutant embryos at maturity protrude from the base of the seed, was found to be disrupted in **TOD4**, a gene that has received considerable attention in recent years. This locus encodes a component of a MAP kinase cascade that promotes proliferation of the basal cell lineage (suspensor) during embryo development [25] in addition to regulating stomatal spacing in the leaf epidermis [26]. Expression of **TOD1** in the zygote is activated by the product of another gene (**SPP**) with an unusual parental effect in which a transcript produced transiently in mature pollen is not translated until after fertilization [27]. The emb71 allele identified here increases the diversity of **yoda** loss-of-function mutants available. The dramatic seed phenotype is shown in Figure 3. Terminal phenotypes were consistent with past estimates of 1000 total EMB genes [6].

The mapped mutant with the most unusual phenotype (emb71), described before as external [5] because some mutant embryos at maturity protrude from the base of the seed, was found to be disrupted in **TOD4**, a gene that has received considerable attention in recent years. This locus encodes a component of a MAP kinase cascade that promotes proliferation of the basal cell lineage (suspensor) during embryo development [25] in addition to regulating stomatal spacing in the leaf epidermis [26]. Expression of **TOD1** in the zygote is activated by the product of another gene (**SPP**) with an unusual parental effect in which a transcript produced transiently in mature pollen is not translated until after fertilization [27]. The emb71 allele identified here increases the diversity of **yoda** loss-of-function mutants available. The dramatic seed phenotype is shown in Figure 3. Terminal phenotypes were consistent with past estimates of 1000 total EMB genes [6].
| Chromosome | cM | Mapped EMB | Gene identified | Cloned genes found to be different from mapped locus indicated |
|------------|----|------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1a         |    | EMB233*    | No             | EMB2386, RRP4, EMB1687, EMB2781, EMB2394                   |
| 11         | EMB176 | No         | EMB2781, GRP23  |                                             |
| 11         | EMB237 | No         | EMB2781, EMB3101, EMB3102, EMB2411, GRP23                  |
| 12         | EMB142* | Yes        | EMB2784, EMB2411, EMB2004                               |
| 26         | EMB43  | No         | AtCCMH, HYD     |                                             |
| 27         | EMB232 | No         | AtCCMH, EMB3104, EMB2719                                |
| 27         | EMB10  | No         | AtCCMH, EMB1507                                         |
| 29         | EMB131 | No         | EMB2719, EMB1507, RSH, AtCAF2                           |
| 29         | TTN2   | No         | None                                                      |
| 34         | EMB260 | Yes        | TTN10, EMB1968, EMB2204                                 |
| 42         | EMB88* | Yes        | EMB2733                                                  |
| 49         | EMB126 | No         | EMB2729, HISN2, EMB2733, EMB3003, EMB2756                |
| 66         | EMB27  | No         | EMB3105, EMB3106, TRAUCO                                 |
| 69         | EMB118 | No         | EMB3105, EMB1273, EMB3106, TRAUCO, EMB3107               |
| 71         | EMB244* | No         | TRAUCO, EMB3107                                         |
| 76         | EMB80  | Yes        | EMB1011, EMB1860                                        |
| 76         | EMB128 | No         | EMB1275, EMB3107, EMB1011, EMB1860                      |
| 84         | EMB71  | Yes        | EMB1674, EMB1220                                        |
| 86         | SUS53  | No         | EMB3108, EMB1220, SCO1, ILA                              |
| 92         | EMB41  | No         | YDA, EMB1688, KNF                                       |
| 97         | EMBRG2 | No         | EMB2813, EMB2814, AtZ1, PFI                             |
| 99         | EMB179* | No         | EMB1868, TWN3                                           |
| 102        | EMBRG3 | No         | PFI, EMB2184                                            |
| 110        | EMB54  | No         | EMB1793, EMB2810, EMB1473, EMB2217                      |
| 113        | EMB17  | No         | EMB1473, EMB2217, EMB1047, EMB3110, WIN1                |
| 113        | EMB120 | No         | TWN3, IPS1, EMB1135, EMB1427                            |
| 115        | EMB156 | Yes        | EMB1473, EMB1135, EMB2217, EMB2753                      |
| 5a         | EMB24  | Yes        | EMB2730, EMB2771, EMB2735                               |
| 6          | EMB256* | No         | EMB2771, EMB2789, PEX1, HISN6A, EMB3010                 |
| 9          | EMB163 | No         | EMB1873, EMB2107, PAS2                                   |
| 9          | EMB68  | No         | PEX1, HISN6A, EMB3010, EMB3135, EMB3011, CYL1            |
| 16         | EMB262* | Yes        | None                                                      |
| 18         | EMB269 | Yes        | EMB2247, EMB3007, OEP80                                  |
| 20         | EMB213 | Yes        | EMB3138, EMB2247, EMB3007, EMB1379                      |
| 23         | EMB86* | Yes        | None                                                      |
| 27         | EMB2   | No         | EMB1379, CYL2, EMB1705, EMB1265, EMB3009, TAD3           |
| 30         | EMB108 | No         | EMB1705, EMB1265, EMB3009, TAD3, EMB2473, EMB2775        |
| 31         | EMB222 | No         | EMB1030, EMB1138, EMB1644                               |
| 39         | EMB161* | Yes        | EMB2473                                                  |
| 40         | EMB215* | No         | EMB1644, EMB3139                                        |
| Sb         | EMB139 | Yes        | EMB2744, EMB3008, GLA1                                   |
| 56         | EMB141 | No         | EMB3008, EMB3012, GLA1, EMB2815                         |
| 59         | EMB89  | Yes        | EMB3012, EMB1276                                        |
| 60         | EMB170 | No         | EMB1276                                                  |
| 71         | EMB64  | Yes        | None                                                      |
| 79         | EMB67  | No         | EMB2735, EMB3143, ZEU1, EMB2407                          |
| 82         | EMB209 | No         | EMB2731, EMB2755, EMB3143, EMB2407                      |
| 85         | EMB88* | No         | EMB1629, ZEU1, DOM1, EMB2759, EMB2746, EMB2780           |
| 85         | EMB15  | No         | EMB1629, ZEU1, DOM1, EMB2759, EMB2746, EMB2780           |
from ABRC (CS6392) also segregated for a second (unlinked) emb mutation with a white seed phenotype. Two classes of mutant seeds were identified in siliques of \textit{emb71} heterozygotes. One was dark green, often escaped detection unless seeds were dissected, and contained a distorted linear embryo with rounded cotyledons and a reduced hypocotyl. The second class was composed of pale yellow seeds of varying sizes and a disorganized embryo positioned at the base of the seed. This class gave rise to the external embryo phenotype later in development. Both classes were observed in F1 siliques produced from genetic complementation tests. We could not

| Locus number | Identity revealed | Mapped locus* | Mutagen | Mapped alleles | References | Cloned locus | Known alleles* | References | Identity confirmed* | Predicted protein function |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|---------|----------------|------------|-------------|---------------|------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| At1g08260 Cross; PCR | EMB142* | T-DNA | 1 | [4,5,9] | EMB2284; EMB529; TIL1 | 6 | [21,22] | Yes | DNA polymerase subunit |
| At1g24340 Cross | EMB260 | T-DNA | 1 | [5] | EMB2421 | 1 | No | Monoxygenase |
| At1g55350 Cross | EMB80 (T-98) | T-DNA | 1 | [5,9,19] | EMB1275; AtDEK1 | 5 | [23,24] | Yes | Cysteine protease |
| At1g63700 Cross | EMB71 | EMS | 1 | [5,9] | YDA | 2 | [25-27] | Yes | MAP3K protein kinase |
| At1g79560 Cross | EMB156; EMB36 | T-DNA; EMS | 2 | [5,9,18] | EMB1047 | 2 | Yes | Chloroplast FtsH-like protease |
| At2g03050 PCR | EMB93* (T-562)* | T-DNA | 1 | [4,5,9,19] | No Symbol | 0 | No | Mitochondrial transcription termination factor |
| At4g24270 PCR | EMB140* | T-DNA | 1 | [4,5,9] | No Symbol | 0 | No | RNA recognition motif |
| At4g32400 PCR | EMB104* EMB42 | T-DNA; EMS | 2 | [4,5,9,18] | SH57 | 0 | [28] | Yes | Plastid nucleotide export |
| At5g02190 Cross | EMB24 (109F-1C) | EMS | 1 | [3,5,9,16,17] | AtAip38 | 1 | [29] | Yes | Aspartic protease |
| At5g14170 PCR | EMB262* | T-DNA | 1 | [4,5,9] | CHC1 | 0 | [30] | No | Chromatin remodeling |
| At5g18570 Cross | EMB269 | T-DNA | 1 | [5] | EMB3138 | 1 | Yes | GTP binding protein |
| At5g19620 Cross | EMB213 | T-DNA | 1 | [5] | OEP80 | 2 | [31] | Yes | Chloroplast envelope protein |
| At5g22800 Cross; PCR | EMB66* EMB263 | T-DNA | 2 | [4,5,9,19,20] | EMB1030 | 2 | [32] | Yes | Alanyl tRNA synthetase |
| At5g27740 Cross; PCR | EMB161* EMB251* | T-DNA | 2 | [5,9] | EMB2775 | 2 | Yes | Replication factor |
| At5g40160 Cross | EMB139 | T-DNA | 1 | [5,9] | EMB506 | 1 | [33] | Yes | Ankyrin repeat protein |
| At5g41480 Cross | EMB9 (79A) | EMS | 1 | [2,5,9,15,17] | GLA1 | 2 | [34] | Yes | Folate biosynthesis |
| At5g53860 Cross | EMB64 | X-Ray | 1 | [5,9] | EMB2737 | 2 | Yes | Unknown |
| At5g60055 Cross | EMB16 (1238) | EMS | 1 | [2,5,9,15,17] | EMB2036; AKR 2 | 2 | [33] | Yes | Ankyrin repeat protein |
| At5g7570 Cross | EMB246 | T-DNA | 1 | [5] | EMB1408; DG1 | 1 | [35] | Yes | PPR protein |

*Alias symbols noted in parentheses; T-DNA tagged mutants indicated with an asterisk.

1Already included at www.seedgenes.org when this project began.

2Phenotype already shown to be caused by disruption of locus indicated, usually through analysis of multiple insertion alleles.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007386.t004
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determine whether the external embryo phenotype resulted from unusual expansion of a developing embryo stuck in the micropylar region of the seed without a normal suspensor or from inappropriate activation of a degradation pathway within the seed coat.

Molecular analysis of mapped T-DNA insertion mutants

Thermal asymmetric interlaced [TAIL] PCR was used to recover plant sequences flanking T-DNA inserts in several mapped EMB genes not identified through complementation tests. This work initially focused on chromosomes 1 and 5 but later expanded genome-wide. In several cases, TAIL-PCR results provided clues to the identity of a mapped locus scheduled for future crosses. Primers based on T-DNA border sequences were used in combination with different sets of degenerate primers that yielded informative products with insertion lines in the past [6,40–42]. A full list of primer pairs tested is presented in Table S6. TAIL-PCR was ultimately pursued with a total of 21 tagged mutants from the Feldmann collection [4,5]. These mutants are noted with an asterisk in Table S2. Informative PCR products were recovered from seven mapped mutants, including four that identified new EMB genes. These results are included in Table 4. Gene-specific primers were used in combination with T-DNA border sequences to confirm insertion sites. Information on flanking sequences obtained is summarized in Table S7. The overall success rate (7 of 21 tagged mutants resolved) was consistent with past experiences involving these insertion lines, which exhibit frequent chromosomal aberrations and a variety of truncated, duplicated, and rearranged insertion sites [4,36]. We focused on those EMB genes that could be most readily identified with this approach.

Results obtained with emb86 were particularly interesting because two different TAIL products were confirmed from chromosome 5. One identified the EMB1030 locus (At5g22800) responsible for the mutant phenotype; the other revealed a linked locus (At5g20935) at the expected distance inferred from genetic analysis [19]. We demonstrated through reverse genetics that knockout of that second locus (SALK_030034; SAIL_874_A12) did not exhibit a seed phenotype. This confirmed that the wild-type (EMB/EMB) transplants observed following selection on kanamycin [19] were the result of recombination between a tagged EMB locus (At5g22800) and a linked insert in another gene (At5g20935) not required for seed development.

Two EMB genes identified among mapped insertion lines were of particular interest because weak alleles with post-embryonic phenotypes had been described before [28,30]. The first (EMB104; SHS1; ABBT1) involved two emb mutants with similar map locations that were known to be allelic [9]. One mutant was tagged with T-DNA (emb104-1); the other (emb104-2; emb42) was generated with EMS. We used TAIL-PCR to recover flanking sequence from emb104-1 and direct PCR to confirm that the insert was located in the third (last) exon of At4g32420, which encodes a nucleotide transport protein. Another T-DNA mutant (shs1) with an upstream insertion site exhibits a salt-hypersensitive, ABA-insensitive phenotype that was at first attributed to a complete loss of function of a protein thought to be found in the endoplasmic reticulum [28]. However, these defects were later shown to result from reduced levels of a protein (AtBBT1) localized instead to the inner plastid membrane, where it functions to export adenylates (AMP, ADP, ATP) into the cytosol [43]. We found a seed phenotype in two additional T-DNA insertion lines disrupted in At4g32420 (SALK_078665; GABI_785E11) and confirmed through allelism tests that both were allelic to emb104. The essential nature of this gene has therefore been confirmed. Terminal phenotypes of the SALK and GABI mutants were similar; arrested embryos were pale and ranged from the globular to elongate (triangular) stages of development. Giant suspensors and twin embryos were occasionally found. The phenotypes of both mapped alleles included distorted embryos at more advanced (linear cotyledon) stages of development, consistent with a somewhat higher level of normal gene function. Some of these embryos featured single or multiple cotyledon initials.

Another tagged mutant (emb262) contained a T-DNA insert localized within the 5' UTR of a gene (CHC1; At5g14710) known to play an important role in chromatin remodeling [30]. RNAi lines with reduced levels of CHC1 have been shown before to exhibit a severe dwarf phenotype and resistance to Agrobacterium-mediated root transformation [30]. We could not confirm through allelism tests that disruption of At5g14710 was responsible for the emb262 seed phenotype because additional insertion lines were not available and plants homozygous for the RNAi construct did not exhibit a consistent defect in seed development. We suspect that the 35S promoter controlling RNAi expression was not active at a level sufficient to inhibit embryo development. Perfect linkage was nevertheless found between a kanamycin resistance marker and the mutant phenotype (0 EMB/EMB plants among 204 resistant transplants screened), consistent with T-DNA tagging [4]. We designate such mutants as “not confirmed” in the SeedGenes database, which indicates that gene identities revealed through TAIL-PCR are likely to be correct but remain to be proven. The emb262 phenotype is somewhat unusual in that mutant embryos, which reach the cotyledon stage of development, are paler than expected based on the appearance of mutant seeds. Whether these embryos can be rescued in culture and produce plants that are resistant to Agrobacterium-mediated root transformation remains to be determined.

Discussion

One long-term goal of research with the model plant, Arabidopsis thaliana, is to determine the biological consequences of disrupting
each protein function and coding region of the genome. In principle, this can be accomplished through a combination of forward and reverse genetics and by constructing multiple mutants for redundant genes predicted to encode proteins with overlapping functions. A second goal is to determine what gene is disrupted in each mutant already characterized in detail. We describe here a comprehensive effort to address that second objective in relation to embryo-defective mutants, which constitute the most abundant class of mutants identified in Arabidopsis. Our results demonstrate that the altered gene in mapped mutants can often be revealed through genetic complementation tests with mutants disrupted in cloned genes localized to similar chromosomal regions. The method we present for integrating the classical genetic and physical maps of Arabidopsis is simple and robust, enabling rapid identification of candidate alleles.

One future application of this work may be found in associating classical genetic loci that remain to be cloned with genes examined through reverse genetics that exhibit similar loss-of-function phenotypes. We encountered one such example while updating the genetic map. The THIC gene of Arabidopsis, recently found to play an important role in thiamine biosynthesis [44,45], appears based on map location and distinctive mutant phenotype to represent the classical PI locus, first described by Feenstra [46] and Rédei [47] more than 40 years ago. This association was not mentioned in either of the recent reports. We pursued another locus of interest (ALB1) after completing the map alignments shown in Figure 2. We reasoned that this albino seedling mutant might be allelic to an embryo-defective (emb) or pigment-defective embryo (pde) mutant in the SeedGenes collection. By crossing alb1 heterozygotes to four potential alleles (pde166, pde247, emb2784, emb2004) based on similar map locations, we showed that ALB1 is identical to PDE166, which encodes the CHLD subunit of the Mg-chelatase enzyme involved in chlorophyll biosynthesis [48]. The ALB1 gene has therefore been identified.

The original alb1 mutant allele (V157) was obtained from a collection of chlorina (yellow-green rosette) mutants generated by Robbenol starting in the 1950s [49]. This locus was later shown to be linked to the angustifolia genetic marker [50] on chromosome 1 [11]. The chlorina phenotype likely results from a partial loss of normal gene function. The alb1 allele we examined (ABRC stock CS26), which appears to define the null phenotype, was first used by Van der Veen and colleagues [51] to study double reduction (chromatid segregation) in tetraploid plants. This locus was later chosen as a cell-autonomous marker to construct a fate map of the shoot apical meristem of mature seeds [52,53] and to measure genomic instability in radiation-sensitive (wchf) plants [54]. Two pde166 (alb1) insertion alleles from the Syngenta collection [6] are included in the SeedGenes database. These CHLD null alleles, in combination with several CHLI mutants (ch-42; cs; chh2) with milder defects [55], should provide insights into the functions of specific Mg-chelatase subunits in chlorophyll biosynthesis.

We did not attempt here to document localized differences in recombination rates across the entire genome. This has been addressed before in a number of comprehensive studies involving humans [56] and several model organisms [57]. Variations in recombination rates throughout the five chromosomes of Arabidopsis were recently tracked by constructing a high-resolution genetic map of single feature polymorphisms based on whole-genome array hybridization of recombinant inbred lines [58]. The average ratio of genetic to physical distance was 260 kb/cM genome-wide, with local differences ranging from 4 kb/cM to 3 Mb/cM. We ignored regional differences in recombination rates when establishing regression lines for map integration, in part because of uncertainties over the precise genetic locations of mapped visible markers. The use of locus numbers to track physical map distances was also subject to variation on a small scale. However, our success in identifying confirmed alleles based on estimated map locations demonstrates the utility of this approach for aligning genetic and physical maps on a large scale.

In some respects, the classical genetic map of Arabidopsis, which is limited to genes with mutant phenotypes mapped relative to each other, has outlived its usefulness as a reference for genetic analysis. The specific application described here represents a notable exception. Unlike other model organisms where the genetic map was constructed decades before genome sequencing was initiated and efficient methods of gene isolation were developed, the Arabidopsis classic map enjoyed a rather brief period of influence. Few genes have been added to the map in recent years and most new mutants are localized relative to molecular markers on the physical and recombinant inbred maps or recovered from T-DNA insertion lines amenable to rapid gene identification without mapping. Furthermore, the orders of many closely-linked genes on the classical map are inconsistent with their known physical locations, and there is no simple solution to this problem.

Several years ago, we published the first sequence-based map of genes with mutant phenotypes in Arabidopsis, which we concluded would eventually replace the classical genetic map [14]. We are currently updating that map based on information obtained from TAIR, NCBI, and the published literature. Ultimately, we hope to incorporate a comprehensive list of cloned genes with a loss-of-function phenotype into TAIR so that it can be widely used, thoroughly checked, and regularly updated by members of the community. The methods used here to compare genetic and physical map locations of genes with mutant phenotypes of interest should nevertheless continue to be relevant in future efforts to uncover the identities of mapped loci that remain to be cloned and in fully integrating the classical genetic literature of Arabidopsis [59] with ongoing research programs in functional genomics, natural variation, and systems biology.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials

Mutant alleles used in genetic complementation tests are listed in Table S4 (crosses that complemented) and Table S5 (crosses that failed to complement). Seeds for mapped and cloned EMB loci examined in our laboratory were obtained from internal stocks. Duplicates of these stocks are available through the Arabidopsis seed stock centers at Columbus, OH (ABRC) and Nottingham, UK (NASC). Several additional SALK [60], SAIL [61], and GABI [62] insertion lines were obtained from ABRC and NASC. The following stocks were obtained directly from other laboratories: tps1 (Ian Graham, University of York, United Kingdom); dom1, zea1, cyh1, cyh2 (Martine Devic, CNRS-IRD-UP, Perpignan, France); oep60 (Paul Jarvis, Leicester University, UK); zein1 (Jean Greenberg, University of Chicago, USA); and pas2 (Jean-Denis Faure, INRA, Versailles, France). Seeds for EMB3000 series mutants were obtained from Fumiyoshi Myouga and Kazuo Shinozaki (RIKEN Plant Science Center, Japan).

Plant growth conditions

In order to track germination rates, observe segregating seedling phenotypes, and produce uniform populations of plants, we first plated mature seeds on a germination medium composed of Murashige and Skoog salts (MS009, Caisson Laboratories, North Logan, UT USA), 3% glucose, and 0.8% agar. Seeds were surface-sterilized by a 30 sec exposure to 95% ethanol followed by a 6 min
treatment with 50% Clorox (containing 1 drop of Tween 20 detergent per 10 ml), and then several rounds of washing with sterile water. Plates were stored in the refrigerator (4°C) for 2 days before being placed under fluorescent lights (16 hr light/8 hr dark cycles) at room temperature (23°C). After 14 to 17 days, seedlings were transplanted to pots containing a mixture of soil, sand, and vermiculite, watered daily with a nutrient solution, and maintained under long days in a plant growth room as described by Berg et al. [32].

Genetic complementation tests
Desired heterozygotes were first identified by screening siliques from selfed plants for the presence of mutant seeds with the expected phenotype as explained in the tutorial section of the SeedGenes website (www.seedgenes.org/tutorial.html). Late floral buds with non-dehiscent anthers (female parent) were carefully emasculated with fine-tipped (Inox No. 4) forceps under a Wild (M7) dissecting microscope. A bent paper clip was often used to position the inflorescence on the microscope stage. Mature anthers from other heterozygous plants (male parent) were then applied to the stigma surface of the emasculated bud, covering it with pollen. Two or three open flowers immediately below the cross were removed to minimize pollen contamination. The number of flowers removed was recorded and each stem used for a cross was marked with colored thread for tracking purposes. Excess lateral branches were removed from the female parent to help direct nutrients to the inflorescence with the cross. The plant was then placed under fluorescent lights in a Percival (Perry, IA USA) plant growth chamber (AR-36L) and watered with the same nutrient solution used in the main growth room. We found that using a growth chamber improved the overall success rate of crosses performed, most likely due to increased humidity and light. The optimal time for screening each cross was dependent to some extent on the seed phenotype involved. However, most crosses were screened 10 days after the cross was performed. Complementation resulted in siliques with all normal seeds. Failure to complement resulted in siliques that continued to segregate for normal and mutant seeds. Three siliques were examined per inflorescence: the expected cross and one silique above and below.

Typically, three sets of reciprocal crosses were performed for each cross combination to increase the reliability of results obtained.

TAIL-PCR of plant sequences flanking T-DNA inserts
Five cauline leaves from heterozygous plants germinated on a selection medium containing 50 μg/ml kanamycin were collected and genomic DNA was extracted using a modified cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) protocol [63]. Left border (LB) and right border (RB) T-DNA primers were used in various combinations with degenerate arbitrary primers or 10-mer random primers to amplify flanking genomic sequences. A complete list of primers used is presented in Table S6. TAIL-PCR reactions were performed with a Biometra (Goettingen, Germany) Uno II thermocycler using conditions described [6]. PCR products were separated in agarose gels, stained with ethidium bromide, and visualized with a Kodak (New Haven, CT USA) EDAS 290 camera. Amplified products were gel purified (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) and sequenced at the Oklahoma State University Recombinant DNA/Protein Resource Facility. Pairs of gene-specific primers (GSP) were designed to flank each predicted insertion point based on TAIL-PCR amplicons. A confirmatory PCR with a GSP in combination with a T-DNA border primer (CMLB1 or CMLB2) was used to validate insert locations. PCR parameters employed were: 94°C for 2 min followed by 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 56°C for 40 sec, 72°C for 80 sec, and a final elongation step of 72°C for 5 min.
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Table S5 Details on cross combinations that failed to complement (mutants allelic). Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007386.s005 (0.03 MB XLS)
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