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The acronym ESKAPE includes six nosocomial pathogens that exhibit multidrug resistance and virulence: Enterococcus faecium, Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Acinetobacter baumannii, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Enterobacter spp. Persistent use of antibiotics has provoked the emergence of multidrug resistant (MDR) and extensively drug resistant (XDR) bacteria, which render even the most effective drugs ineffective. Extended spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL) and carbapenemase producing Gram negative bacteria have emerged as an important therapeutic challenge. Development of novel therapeutics to treat drug resistant infections, especially those caused by ESKAPE pathogens is the need of the hour. Alternative therapies such as use of antibiotics in combination or with adjuvants, bacteriophages, antimicrobial peptides, nanoparticles, and photodynamic light therapy are widely reported. Many reviews published till date describe these therapies with respect to the various agents used, their dosage details and mechanism of action against MDR pathogens but very few have focused specifically on ESKAPE. The objective of this review is to describe the alternative therapies reported to treat ESKAPE infections, their advantages and limitations, potential application in vivo, and status in clinical trials. The review further highlights the importance of a combinatorial approach, wherein two or more therapies are used in combination in order to overcome their individual limitations, additional studies on which are warranted, before translating them into clinical practice. These advances could possibly give an alternate solution or extend the lifetime of current antimicrobials.
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INTRODUCTION

Wonder drug penicillin started the era of antibiotics in 1928 and since then it has tremendously developed modern medicine. Persistent use of antibiotics, self-medication, and exposure to infections in hospitals has provoked the emergence of multidrug resistant (MDR) bacteria responsible for 15.5% Hospital Acquired Infection (HAIs) in the world (Rice, 2008; Allegranzi et al., 2011; Ibrahim et al., 2012; Pendleton et al., 2013). The term “ESKAPE” encompasses six such pathogens with growing multidrug resistance and virulence: Enterococcus faecium, Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Acinetobacter baumannii, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Enterobacter spp. (Rice, 2008). ESKAPE pathogens are responsible for majority of nosocomial infections and are capable of “escaping” the biocidal action of antimicrobial agents (Rice, 2008; Navdinia, 2016).
A systematic review of clinical and economic impact of antibiotic resistance reveals that, ESKAPE pathogens are associated with the highest risk of mortality thereby resulting in increased health care costs (Founou et al., 2017). World Health Organization (WHO) has also recently listed ESKAPE pathogens in the list of 12 bacteria against which new antibiotics are urgently needed (Taconelli et al., 2018). They describe three categories of pathogens namely critical, high and medium priority, according to the urgency of need for new antibiotics. Carbapenem resistant A. baumannii and P. aeruginosa along with extended spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL) or carbapenem resistant K. pneumoniae and Enterobacter spp. are listed in the critical priority list of pathogens; whereas, vancomycin resistant E. faecium (VRE) and methicillin and vancomycin resistant S. aureus (MRSA and VRSA) are in the list of high priority group. The mechanisms of multidrug resistance exhibited by ESKAPE are broadly grouped into three categories namely, drug inactivation commonly by an irreversible cleavage catalyzed by an enzyme, modification of the target site where the antibiotic may bind, reduced accumulation of drug either due to reduced permeability or by increased efflux of the drug (Santajit and Indrawattana, 2016). They are also able to form biofilms that physically prevent the immune response cells of host as well as antibiotics to inhibit the pathogen. Moreover, biofilms protect specialized dormant cells called persister cells that are tolerant to antibiotics which cause difficult-to-treat recalcitrant infections (Lewis, 2007).

The general antimicrobial therapy to effectively treat infections involves the use of antibiotics either singly or in combination. With every passing year, the overall number of antibiotics effective against ESKAPE is declining, which is predisposing us toward a future with antibiotics that are ineffective. Analysis of the antibiotic lists recommended in the Clinical & Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines revealed that many antibiotics suggested against ESKAPE since 2010 have been deleted with addition of relatively few antibiotics/antibiotic combinations. Furthermore, there are incidences of resistance reported against some of these newly added antibiotics (Table 1). It is, therefore, imperative to find alternative ways to treat infections especially those caused by ESKAPE pathogens.

Alternative therapies that are currently in practice or under trial include the use of antibiotics in combination or with adjuvants, bacteriophage therapy, use of antimicrobial peptides, photodynamic therapy, antibacterial antibodies, phytochemicals and nanoparticles as antibacterial agents (Mandal et al., 2014; Kaur, 2016). There are several reviews published which describe alternative/novel therapies against MDR pathogens, however, not many have specifically focused on the ESKAPE group as a whole. These reviews describe the various therapeutic agents used with respect to their dosage, mode of action, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics, stability, and toxicity. Although many alternative therapies reported have shown promising results in vitro, their efficacy when applied in vivo may not be the same due to one or several limitations. It therefore, becomes necessary to understand the action of these therapeutic agents in vivo. This review highlights therapies used to treat ESKAPE infections namely, antibiotics in combination or with adjuvants, bacteriophage therapy, antimicrobial peptides, photodynamic light therapy, and nanoparticles which have received significant attention in the last 5 years. Hereby, we have also tried to include studies especially focusing on in vivo efficacy of above mentioned therapy/therapeutic agents, their advantages and limitations. Figure 1 summarizes the major limitations of each alternative therapy which has been elaborately discussed in the following sections. To improve the efficiency and/or minimize the limitations of any therapy/therapeutic agents, combinatorial approaches are suggested. It involves use of two or more monotherapies together (co-administered, functionalized, or conjugated) which is also further described. Altogether, combinatorial approach could be a prospect for exploring novel alternate solutions against ESKAPE.

**ANTIBIOTICS IN COMBINATION**

Antibiotics in combination have been tested as a treatment method by a number of researchers because the possibility of a pathogen to develop resistance against a combination of two drugs is much less than that against a single drug. Similarly, the synergistic effect of combined antibiotics is more than that of the individual antibiotic. A combination of drugs also increases the spectrum of coverage (Vazquez-grande and Kumar, 2015) and has been found to be beneficial in severe infections caused by multiple pathogens (Ahmed et al., 2014). Some of these combinations tested against the ESKAPE are listed in Table 2. The problem of antibiotic resistance is so severe that it has become necessary to try combinations of the most recently synthesized antibiotics and/or last resort antibiotics to study their potential in antimicrobial therapy. The Gram positive members of the ESKAPE, E. faecium and S. aureus, have been tested against a combination of fosfomycin and daptomycin which has shown to successfully clear infection (Hall Snyder et al., 2016; Coronado-Álvarez et al., 2018). The former is a broad spectrum antibiotic that has shown promising results against Gram negative bacteria while the latter is a last resort antibiotic used to treat infections caused by E. faecium and S. aureus. Despite being a resistant pathogen there is a lack of substantial research in antibiotic combination therapy against E. faecium over the last 5 years. Most combinations tested against S. aureus in vitro include daptomycin or vancomycin with other antibiotics including cefotaroline, a newly added antibiotic to the CLSI guidelines. The effect of these and other such combinations have also been tested in various mouse models which cleared away the S. aureus infection with minimal to no toxicity. Colistin (polymyxin E) is the last resort antibiotic prescribed against Gram negative bacilli. In recent years, research has been conducted to treat infections caused by K. pneumoniae and A. baumannii using a combination of colistin or tigecycline with other antibiotics and has shown promising results in vitro and in cohort studies.

Some molecules when combined with antibiotics make an ineffective drug effective. These molecules, named “adjuvants” or “resistance breakers,” have little to no antimicrobial activity of their own (González-Bello, 2017) but inhibit the mechanism...
of resistance by increasing the uptake of the antibiotic through the bacterial membrane, blocking of efflux pumps, and changing the physiology of resistant cells (i.e., dispersal of biofilms to planktonic cells) (Kalan and Wright, 2011; Bernal et al., 2013). Essential oils and phenothiazines enhance the antimicrobial activity of drugs and also inhibit the transmission of resistance to other populations (Bueno, 2016).

The most popularly known adjuvants are β-lactamase inhibitors while the most recent adjuvant tested to restore meropenem activity is vaborbactam which inhibits K. pneumoniae carbapenemase activity (Jorgensen and Rybak, 2018). It has also undergone a clinical trial; registered on ClinicalTrials.gov under identifier NCT02020434; which proved the combination to be safe after testing 41 subjects (Rubino et al., 2018). However, it has a limited inhibition activity as it has been unable to do so with class B and class D β-lactamases. Other β-lactamase inhibitors include avibactam, nacubactam, and tazobactam (Monogue et al., 2018a,b). Metal chelators like EDTA, deferasirox, and deferoxamine also inhibit β-lactamases as these enzymes require metal ions for their activity (Aoki et al., 2010; Santos et al., 2012; Yoshizumi et al., 2013). These chelators have been tested in combination with antibiotics like imipemen, tobramycin, and vancomycin against S. aureus, P. aeruginosa, and E. coli in murine models with successful decrease in bacterial load. Quorum quenchers, molecules that inhibit quorum sensing thereby inhibiting biofilm formation, have also shown potential to cure infection in combination with certain antibiotics (Balamurugan et al., 2015; Chatterjee et al., 2016). 1-[(2,4-Dichlorophenethyl)amino]-3-Phenoxypropan-2-ol is so far the most promising antimicrobial agent as it has been reported to kill not only persister cells of P. aeruginosa but also non-persister cells of all the other ESKAPE members. It has also been shown to enhance killing of antibiotic resistant strains in both planktinoic and biofilm forms. Its combination with a variety of antibiotics is shown to kill all of the ESKAPE making it an ideal candidate as an adjuvant (Defraine et al., 2017).

Even though there is increased activity of antibiotics when used in combination against pathogens in vitro, there are limited studies demonstrating the same in vivo and some among those have proven disadvantageous. If monotherapy selects for a narrow spectrum of resistance, a combination of two or more antibiotics selects for a broad spectrum of resistance defeating the purpose of combination therapy entirely (Vestergaard et al., 2016). Certain combinations that are meant to treat infections tend to have the opposite effect resulting in far worse damage. One antibiotic can lead to the induction of a resistance mechanism against a second antibiotic administered in combination leading to antagonistic effect (Fallah, 2018). A clinical trial conducted in Italy in which infections caused by XDR A. baumannii were treated with a combination of colistin and rifampin showed no improvement in survival rates. In fact, this combination led
FIGURE 1 | Major challenges of antibacterial monotherapies.

was an influential factor in side-lining this ambition (Mann, 2005). The focus on phage therapy has sharpened ever since antimicrobial resistance has been on a dramatic rise. Lytic phages against ESKAPE pathogens have been isolated from hospital wastewater, making them easily available therapeutic agents (Latz et al., 2016). Bacteriophages used for therapy present many advantages such as high host specificity (prevent damage to normal flora, do not infect the eukaryotic cells), low dosages for treatment, rapid proliferation inside the host bacteria, etc. that make them ideal candidates to treat bacterial infections (Domingo-Calap and Delgado-Martínez, 2018). Unlike antibiotics, the advantage of using phages is that, they develop new infectivity and regain an upper hand over bacteria as they mutate alongside their host (Pirnay et al., 2018). Several studies carried out in vitro have proven phages to be effective as antibacterial agents against biofilm and planktonic cells of ESKAPE (Pallavali et al., 2017; Dvoráčková et al., 2018; Jamal et al., 2019). Table 2 gives information of phage therapy studied in various animal models as well as recent case studies and case reports of patients infected with ESKAPE pathogens. Phage therapy carried out in animal wound infection models have shown reduced mortality and enhanced wound healing. Additional studies carried out in vivo have also demonstrated efficacy and safety (non-toxic with reduced inflammatory responses) of phages used in treatment of bacterial infections.

Phage therapy, though promising, comes with some limitations. It can, however, be overcome by appropriate modifications (Wittebole et al., 2014; El-Shibiny and El-Salhar,
### TABLE 2 | Alternative strategies against ESKAPE pathogens.

| Target | Model used | Agent used and dosage details | Route of administration | In vivo efficacy: log reduction of pathogen; % survival of host | Other advantages | References |
|--------|------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| **ANTIBIOTICS IN COMBINATION** | | | | | | |
| Ef | Galleria mellonella larvae infection model | Rifampin (0.5–2) µg/ml + Tigecycline (0.03 µg/ml)/Vancomycin (64, 128 µg/ml)/Linezolid (2 µg/ml) | Injection into hemocoel | 20–73% survival | – | Skinner et al., 2017 |
| S | Retrospective study 2011–2017 | Fosfomycin+Daptomycin/Oxacillin/Vancomycin | – | Successful treatment in 81% patients | – | Coronado-Álvarez et al., 2018 |
| S | Wistar mouse | Rifampin (20 mg/kg) + Fluocoxidilin (200 mg/kg)/Moxifloxacin (10 mg/kg) | Intraperitoneal (IP) | 4 log decrease with both combinations | Antibiofilm | Greimel et al., 2017 |
| S | Wistar rats | Gentamicin (8, 50 mg/kg) + 4-(Benzylamino)cyclohexyl 2-hydroxycinnamate (16, 64 mg/kg) | – | 4 log reduction; 100% survival | Antibiofilm | Balamurugan et al., 2015 |
| K | Murine complicated urinary tract infection model | Meropenem (400 mg/kg) + Nacubactam (150 mg/kg) | – | >3 log reduction in isolates resistant to meropenem-nacubactam | – | Monogue et al., 2018a |
| A | Murine Thigh and Lung Infection Models | Colistin + Tazobactam/Avibactam | IP | No effect | – | Monogue et al., 2018b |
| P | Murine thigh infection model | Imipenem (4 or 5 g/day with a 1-g loading dose)+Tobramycin (7 mg/kg) | – | ≥2.5 or ≥1.50 log reduction | – | Yadav et al., 2017 |
| P | Murine infection model | Colistin (2.5–5 mg/kg) + Rifampin (10 mg/kg) | Subcutaneous | ~5 log reduction | – | Cai et al., 2018 |
| E | G. mellonella infection model | Colistin (2.5 mg/kg) + Imipenem (15 mg/kg) | Injection into hemocoel | 80–90% survival | – | Yang et al., 2018a |
| **PHAGE THERAPY** | | | | | | |
| Ef | Murine bacteremia model | Phage ENB6 and C3 (A2 morphotype group), of 3 × 10⁹ PFU | IP | Single dose: 50% survival; Multiple doses: 100% survival | Immunocompatible | Biswas et al., 2002 |
| S | 65-year-old woman with Corneal abscess | Phage Sb-1; (0.05–0.4 ml of 10⁷–10⁸ PFU/ml) | Topical (eye drops and nasal spray) and intravenous (IV) | Eradication of pathogen and stabilization of ocular signs | – | Fadlallah et al., 2015 |
| S | Case series (six human subjects suffering from diabetic foot ulcer) | Commercial staphylococcal phage Sb-1; (0.05–0.4 ml of 10⁷–10⁸ PFU/ml) | Topical | – | Wound healing within 7 weeks | Fish et al., 2016 |
| S | Rabbit osteomyelitis model | Cocktail of phages (SA-BHU1, 2, 8, 15, 21, 37 and 47); 15 µl of 10¹² PFU/ml of each | Intramuscular (IM) | 100% survival | Viruses with stable genomic structure | Kishor et al., 2016 |
| S | Murine bacteremia model | Phage SLPW Podoviridae; 0.2 mL of 1 × 10⁸ PFU | IP | ~100% decrease in bacterial count; up to 80% survival | Stable up to 45°C, pH 6-10; Immunocompatible | Wang et al., 2016 |
| S | Murine wound infection model | MR 5 and MR 10, Myoviridae; free phage 10⁸ PFU/50 µl and its liposomal formulation | Topical | Up to 3 log reduction on day 10 | Wound healing within 7 days | Chhibber et al., 2018 |

(Continued)
| Target ESKAPE | Model used | Agent used and dosage details | Route of administration | In vivo efficacy: log reduction of pathogen; % survival of host | Other advantages | References |
|--------------|------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| K Murine burn wound infection model | Five phages KØ1 to 5 (10^7 PFU/ml) in equal proportion as a cocktail or loaded in liposomes | IP | >4 log reduction; 100% survival | Enhanced wound healing | Onocha et al., 2017 |
| A Case study 77-year-old man with post-operative infection | Five phages active against 104 A. baumannii from the NMRC’s phage-Biolog system 2.14 × 10^7 PFU/ml | Intravenous (IV) | No effect | – | Lavelgne et al., 2018 |
| A Murine wound infection model | Cocktail of AB-Army 1 and AB-Navy 1 to 4 (4 × 10^9 PFU) | Topical, IP or loaded on TegadermTM bandage | 3 log tested in vitro; ND | Antibiofilm; Reduction in wound bio-burden and size (loss of capsule production in phage infected Acinetobacter) | Regeimbal et al., 2016 |
| A Murine wound infection model | Adinetobacter phage- Siphoviridae; 400 µl of 13 × 10^9 PFU/ml | Topical | 100% clearance of infection in 8 days | Reduction in wound bio-burden but no difference in time required for wound healing | Shivaswamy et al., 2015 |
| A G. mellonella infection model | Phage WCHABP1 and WCHABP12 Myoviridae; 10^2 PFU/larva | Injection into hemocoel | 75% survival | No integrase and repressor genes were identified in both bacteriophages | Zhou et al., 2018 |
| A Case study (68 year old patient with infected diabetics patient) | Cocktails of AB Phage φPC (AB-Navy1, 4, 71, 97, and AbBP3#1); ~10^9 PFU/dose | Percutaneous catheter, IV | Clearance of infection | – | Schooley et al., 2017 |
| P Murine infected model | Phage PEV20, Podoviridae; Inhalable powder 2 × 10^7 PFU/mg | Intranasal and intratracheal | 5 log reduction | Non-toxic | Chang et al., 2018 |
| P Case study (2-year-old boy with a history of DiGeorge syndrome) | Cocktails from US Navy library of bacteriophages 3.5 × 10^9 every 6h. six doses total and resumed after 11 days | IV | Blood cultures negative After phage treatment | – | Duplessis et al., 2018 |
| P Case study (61 year old male patient with acute septicaemia and large necrotic pressure sores) | Phage BFC1 50 µl IV infusion every 6 h for 10 days; Wounds irrigation-50 ml BFC1 every 8 h for 10 days | IV infusion and Topical | Clearance of septicaemia immediately after therapy; pressure sores remained infected with several bacterial species, including P. aeruginosa | No adverse effects | Jennes et al., 2017 |
| P G. mellonella infection model | Phage KTN4; MOI100 | Injection into hemocoel | 4-7 log reduction; 100% survival | Antibiofilm; Stable up to 40–70°C | Danis-Wodorczyk et al., 2016 |
| E & P Case series (9 UTI patients) | Pyophage | Pyophage instillation by suprapubic catheter | bacterial titers decreased after bacteriophage treatment in six out of nine patients (67%). | No bacteriophage-associated adverse events detected | Umajuridze et al., 2018 |
| S, A, & P Murine sepsis model | Phage against A. baumannii, P. aeruginosa, and S. aureus | IP | 100% reduction in A and P; 100% survival | – | Soothill, 1992; Lin et al., 2017 |

(Continued)
| Target ESKAPE | Model used | Agent used and dosage details | Route of administration | In vivo efficacy: log reduction of pathogen; % survival of host | Other advantages | References |
|--------------|------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| K & E        | G. mellonella infection model | Cocktail of Escherichia phage ECP311, Klebsiella phage KPP235, and Enterobacter phage ELP140 | – | 100% reduction after 5 doses; 90% survival | – | Manohar et al., 2018 |

**SILVER NANOPARTICLES**

| S | Infected Danio rerio-Zebrafish model | Sunlight mediated AgNP synthesized using plant extract (HWP AgNP) (10–40 nm) | – | >1 log reduction | Antibiofilm; Non-toxic | Lotha et al., 2018 |
| S | Murine wound infection model | Chitosan-coated AgNPs (10–30 nm) (50 µg/g bw) | Topical | – | Wound healing within 14 days; Non-toxic | Peng et al., 2017 |
| S | Rabbit flexor tendon rupture model | Core-shell nanofibrous membranes with embedded AgNPs in PEG/poly (caprolactone) shell and hyaluronic acid/bupivacaine in the core | AgNP Coated implant | – | Antibacterial activity; Prevention of peritendinous adhesion after tendon surgery; Non-toxic | Shalumon et al., 2018 |
| S | Murine model | Wound dressing containing nanosilver nanohydrogels (nSnH) along with Aloe vera | Topical | – | Antibacterial; Wound healing within 16 days; Non-toxic | Anjum et al., 2016 |
| S | Murine wound infection model | Sodium carboxymethylcellulose hydrogel loaded with PEG-coated AgNPs (19.2 ± 3.6 nm) (500 µg AgNPs/g of hydrogel) | Topical | 97.30% reduction; 100% survival | Wound healing within 10 days | Mekkawy et al., 2017 |
| S | Murine model | Mesoporous silica nanoparticles coated with AgNPs (Ag-MSNs) | Topical | – | Antibacterial; Wound healing within 5 weeks; Anti-inflammatory | Dong et al., 2016 |
| S | Rabbit flexor tendon model | AgNPs embedded in electrospun hyaluronic acid (HA)/polyacaprolactone (PCL) nanofibrous membranes (NFMs) | AgNP Coated implant | – | Antibacterial; Prevention of peritendinous adhesion after tendon surgery | Chen et al., 2015 |
| S & P | Murine wound infection model | AgNPs (20 nm)/chitosan composite dressing (0.5 mM) | Topical | – | Wound healing within 8 days; Non-toxic | Liang et al., 2016 |
| S & P | G. mellonella model | Electrochemically synthesized AgNPs (8–10 nm) (6.8 and 3.4 mg/mL) | Injected into hemocoel | – | Antibiofilm; Non-toxic | Pompilio et al., 2018 |
| S & P | Murine model | Bilayer composite of Chitosan-AgNPs (5–60 nm) (CS-AgG) on CSGB (Bletilla striata polysaccharide) both linked with genipin | Topical | – | Antibacterial; Wound healing; Non toxic | Ding et al., 2017 |
| S & P | Murine model | NanoAg (≤50 nm) wound dressings; 100 µL of 1 mg/mL | Topical | – | Antibacterial; Antibiofilm; Biocompatible | Radulescu et al., 2016 |
| S & P | Murine model | Collagen nanofiber mats containing AgNPs (25–55 nm) | Topical | – | Antibacterial; Wound healing within 14 weeks; No hypersensitive; Anti-inflammatory | Rath et al., 2016 |

(Continued)
| Target ESKAPE | Model used | Agent used and dosage details | Route of administration | In vivo efficacy: log reduction of pathogen; % survival of host | Other advantages | References |
|---------------|------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| S & P         | Gottingen minipigs femur model | Nanocomposite coating formed by polysaccharide 1-deoxyxyl-1-y I chitosan (Chitlac) and AgNPs on methacrylate thermosets | AgNP Coated implant | – | Antibiofilm; Anti-inflammatory; Non-toxic | Marsich et al., 2013 |
| **ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDE (AMP)** | | | | | | |
| A             | Murine infection model | Chex1-Arg20 amide; 2 and 5 mg/kg | IM | >2 log reduction; >50% survival | Anti-inflammatory | Ostorhazi et al., 2018 |
| A             | Murine wound infection model | K11, a hybrid peptide of melittin, cecropin A1, and magainin 2 | Topical | ≈100% pathogen clearance; 100% survival | Wound healing (21 days) | Rishi et al., 2018 |
| P             | Murine infection model | Feleucin-K3 analogs; 5 mg/kg | IP | ~1 log reduction | Stable; Low toxicity; Antibiofilm | Xie et al., 2018 |
| S             | G. mellonella infection model | PT-13, Plant derived crude extract; 64 µM | Injection into hemocoel | 60% survival | – | Al Akeel et al., 2018 |
| P             | G. mellonella infection model | SP-E, a proline-rich pig saliva derived peptide; 6.1 µmol/kg | Injection into hemocoel | ~50% survival | Non-toxic | Gociola et al., 2018 |
| S & A         | S. aureus murine sepsis model, A. baumannii infected murine lung model | D-150-177C, peptide modified by attaching C-terminal Cysteine; 5 and 10 mg/kg | IP | 70% survival | Non-toxic | Chen et al., 2018 |
| S & A         | Murine skin infection model | SAAP-1,48, a LL-37 human cathelicidin inspired peptide used to prepare ointment; 0.125 to 0.5% (w/w) | Topical | 87% and complete clearance of S. aureus and A. baumannii; After a single treatment with 2% (w/w) SAAP-148 ointment | Antibiofilm; Kills persister cells; Reducing biofilm and wound healing; Non-toxic | de Breij et al., 2018 |
| P             | Murine lung infection model | Esc (1–21)-1c, frog skin derived AMP; 0.1 mg/kg | Intra-tracheal injection | 2 log reduction | Anti-inflammatorly | Chen et al., 2017 |
| **ANTIMICROBIAL LIGHT THERAPY** | | | | | | |
| S             | Murine burn infection model | Laser light-50 J/cm²; PS-PS-pсорophyrin sodium (DVDMS)- 2, 5, and 10 µM, 75 min | Topical | 4 log reduction at 5 µM of DVDMS with 50 J/cm² light | DVDMS promoted wound healing after burn infections | Mai et al., 2017 |
| S             | Murine ulcer infection model | Laser light-LED 410 nm, 50 J/cm²; PS-5-ALA; 200 mg/kg | Topical | 2 log reduction | – | Morimoto et al., 2014 |
| S             | Murine infection model | Light: 660 nm - 45 J/cm² - 10 min exposure; household light - 7.5 J/cm² - 10 min exposure; PS pentalysine-β-carbonylphthalocyanine Zinc (ZnPc(Lys)5)- 5 µl at 1 mM | Topical | 44.3% clearance of infection at 7.5 J/cm² | Improved wound healing | Ullah et al., 2018 |
| A             | Murine burn infection model | Light-LED 415nm 72-360 J/cm² | Topical | 3 log reduction | Antibiofilm | Wang et al., 2017 |
| P             | Murine skin abrasion model | Light- 415 nm, 48 J/cm² | Topical | 5 log reduction | – | Amin et al., 2016 |

Ef, Enterococcus faecium; S, Staphylococcus aureus; K, Klebsiella pneumonia; A, Acinetobacter baumannii; P, Pseudomonas aeruginosa; and E, Enterobacter spp.
2017; Domingo-Calap and Delgado-Martínez, 2018). High specificity of the phages can be considered as both advantageous and a limiting factor. Monophage therapy involves the need to check the efficacy of the phage by testing it in vitro against the disease-causing bacteria before applying it to a patient which can be a difficult process. The use of phage cocktails, comprising of a combination of phages acting against different bacterial species or strains, can avoid these problems (Chan et al., 2013). International experts believe that an ideal phage cocktail should be prepared using phages belonging to different families or groups such as having broad host range, high adsorption ability to the highly conserved cell wall structures in bacteria. Using such phage cocktails may reduce the emergence of phage resistant bacterial population. However, others advocate strategies wherein individual active phages are applied sequentially to the patient. In clinical practice, however, it appears to be difficult (Rohde et al., 2018).

Genomic characterization of phages is very important so as to predict their “safety” in therapeutic applications as demonstrated by several experts in this field. Phages can be vectors for horizontal gene transfer in bacteria, sometimes being involved in exchange of virulence or antibiotic resistance genes making a microbe more pathogenic or resistant to an antibiotic (Chen and Novick, 2009). Phages reported for therapeutic applications should not harbor virulence or antibiotic resistance genes as well as integrases, site-specific recombinases, and repressors of the lytic cycle that may accelerate the transfer/integration of these genes in the host bacterial genome. Algorithms that can be used for predicting lifestyle of a phage, and its virulent traits are available but their database needs to be updated with more genome sequences of phages (Mcnair et al., 2012). Two recent reviews excellently describe the work flow to select ideal phage candidates for therapeutic purposes (Casey et al., 2018; Philipson et al., 2018). Recent studies demonstrating in vivo efficacy of phages against ESKAPE infections have used fully characterized phages that show no virulence or antibiotic resistance genes, are considered safe as they do not exhibit any allergic or immune response, and are also reported to remain stable at varied pH and temperature which make them ideal candidates for therapy (Fish et al., 2016; Kishor et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2018).

Similarly, it has also been reported that the bacterial strains used for phage production should ideally be free of functional prophages. These prophages may get induced and contaminate the phage preparation. However, a recent report discusses the risk benefit evaluation that needs to be done in highly experimental treatments of patients infected with MDR pathogens such as ESKAPE (Rohde et al., 2018).

Another limitation reported is the stability of phages and their proper administration in order to reach the site of action. Phage formulations are ingested orally, administered nasally or applied topically (Malik et al., 2017; Cooper et al., 2018). Studies have shown improved efficacy of phage when entrapped with liposomes (Singla et al., 2016; Chadha et al., 2017; Malik et al., 2017; Chhibber et al., 2018). Phages can be targeted at the infection site in the form of powdered formulations (Chang et al., 2018). Phage derived product like phage encoded lytic enzymes showing function similar to lysozyme can also be used as an antibacterial agent or can be combined with other antimicrobials like antibiotics to improve efficacy of treatment (Lin et al., 2017). A phage derived protein, “endolysin” is reported for its antibacterial and antibiofilm activity against ESKAPE (Viertel et al., 2014; Gong et al., 2016; Rios et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2018). V12CBD a recombinant protein derived from bacteriophage lysine, PlyV12, was able to attenuate virulence of S. aureus, and enhance its phagocytosis in mice (Yang et al., 2018b).

Several commercial phage preparations which can be used against ESKAPE are available some of which include, “Stafal,” “Sextophage,” “PhagoBioDerm,” and “Pyophage”. Stafal (Bohemia Pharmaceuticals, Slovakia) is an antistaphylococcal phage preparation, Sextaphage (Microgen, ImBio Nizhny Novgorod, Russia) is a cocktail against P. aeruginosa and E. coli while, Pyophage (Georgian Eliava Institute of Bacteriophage, Microbiology, and Virology) contains bacteriophages specifically eliminating causative agents of pyoinflammatory and enteric diseases. PhagoBioDerm, a polymeric bandage impregnated with cocktail of phages, ciprofloxacin, and other active ingredients ensured for sustained release of phages to treat ulcers or wound infections caused by S. aureus and P. aeruginosa (Markoishvili et al., 2002). Clinical potential of these preparations has been investigated further to determine their broad spectrum activity against other strains in vitro, in vivo efficacy in animal models as well as through several case studies or clinical trials described below.

Recent human case studies involving treatment of ESKAPE associated infections with different phages are described in Tables 2, 3. Readers may refer to previous reviews published on phage therapy which have described in numerous studies (Abedon et al., 2011; Chan et al., 2013; Görski et al., 2017; Lin et al., 2017; Sybesma et al., 2018). Most of the case studies report phage therapy given to patients on a compassionate care basis where antibiotic treatment fails.

Several case studies have demonstrated the efficacy of phage therapy in treating patients suffering from eye infections (Fadlallah et al., 2015), pancreatitis (Schooley et al., 2017), diabetic foot ulcer (Fish et al., 2016), and urinary tract infection (Ujmaajuridze et al., 2018). Fadlallah et al. (2015), reported a case study of a 65 year old woman suffering from a secondary eye infection by VRSA, treated with a well-characterized commercially available lytic phage, SATA-8505 (ATCC PTA-9476) against MRSA. After 6 months of treatment, the patient was diagnosed as negative for cultures of VRSA. This case study suggests that bacteriophage eye drops can be used as an alternative treatment of infectious keratitis by MDR pathogens. Fish et al. (2016), reported a case involving nine patients suffering from diabetic foot ulcer, who were treated with a preparation of anti-staphylococcal phages (Sb-1) on a compassionate care basis. The average healing time reported was ∼7 weeks. However, one ulcer, with poor vascularity required 18 weeks of treatment. Similarly, Ujmaajuridze et al. (2018), reported a preclinical pilot study for a double blind RCT registered at ClinicalTrials: NCT03140085 (Leitner et al., 2017). Pyophage were used in this study to treat nine patients suffering from UTI infections. The first phase
| Target ESKAPE member | Study model | Agent used and dosage details | Efficacy: log reduction of pathogen using combination; % survival of host, additional advantage | References |
|----------------------|-------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| **PHAGE + ANTIBIOTIC** | | | | |
| **P** | Case study (76 year old male with postoperative infection after arch replacement surgery) | Phage OMKO1 titre of $10^7$ PFU/ml + Ceftazidime (0.2 gm/ml)−10 ml of this combination was injected into the mediastinal fistula which was in continuity with the perigraft collection | Eradication of the *P. aeruginosa* infection | Chan et al., 2018 |
| **K** | *in vitro*—antibiofilm assay, *in vivo*—murine model (for raising antibodies) | KPO1K2, Podoviridae; free and liposome encapsulated (1.2 × $10^{13}$ in 200 µl, MOI = 1) + amikacin (40 µg/ml) | *in vitro*: 94.6% reduction in intraacellular pathogen load; Antibiofilm activity (liposome entrapped phage −7 ± 0.1 logs reduction in bacterial count upto day 4 of biofilm formation) | Singla et al., 2016 |
| **P** | *in vitro*—antibiofilm assay | NP1 and NP3 phages + ceftazidime, ciprofloxacin, colistin, gentamicin or tobramycin | Synergy between phage and ciprofloxacin/tobramycin reduced bioburden within the biofilm | Chaudhry et al., 2017 |
| **P** | *in vitro* | Phage PEV20 ($10^7$ PFU/ml; MOI 0.1 and 100) + ciprofloxacin, amikacin, aztreonam, colistin or tobramycin | No obvious growth of pathogen throughout 24h of incubation for ciprofloxacin or amikacin in combination with phage PEV20 | Lin Q. et al., 2018 |
| **SILVER NANOPARTICLES + ANTIBIOTICS** | | | | |
| **A** | *in vivo*—murine peritonitis model | Citrate capped AgNPs (5–12 nm, 2 mg/kg) + Polymyxin B (10 µg/kg) | 60% survival; Non-toxic; Anti-inflammatory | Wan et al., 2016 |
| **S** | *in vitro* | AgNPs (synthesized using two actinobacteria, 5–50 and 5–20 nm) + ampicillin, kanamycin /tetracycline | Antibiofilm; Non toxic | Wypij et al., 2018 |
| **A** | *in vitro* | AgNPs (synthesized using *A. calcoaceticus*, 8–12 nm) + tetracycline, erythromycin or doxycycline | MBEC of combination with AgNPs was 0.5 mg/ml for doxycycline and 4 mg/ml for erythromycin | Singh et al., 2018 |
| **S & P** | *in vitro* | AgNPs (synthesized using *Garcinia indica* fruit extract, 5 and 30 nm) + tetracycline | Increase in zone of inhibition in S and P with combination | Sangamonkar and Pawar, 2018 |
| **P** | *in vitro* | Citrate-capped silver nanoparticles (10 nm and 20 nm) + tobramycin | Antibiofilm activity observed using combination | Habash et al., 2017 |
| **S, K, & P** | *in vitro* | AgNPs (synthesized using Actinomycetes, 59 and 28 nm) + kanamycin,ampicillin or tetracycline | Increase in zone of inhibition using combination | Golińska et al., 2016 |
| **ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDES (AMP) + NPS;** | | | | |
| **Ef** | *in vitro* | Synthetic peptide-immobilized gold nanoparticles; 250 µg/ml | Reduced bacterial load (by 0.4 OD units) | Kuo et al., 2016 |
| **S** | *in vitro* | AMP TP359 covalently linked to silver coated single walled carbon nanotubes | 100% reduction | Chaudhari et al., 2016 |

(Continued)
TABLE 3 | Continued

| Target ESKAPE member | Study model | Agent used and dosage details | Efficacy: log reduction of pathogen using combination; % survival of host, additional advantage | References |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| S & P in vitro       | Paenipeptin analog (synthetic linear lipopeptide analogs) + rifampcin, clarithromycin, or erythromycin | Reduction in cell count of biofilms - S. aureus – 5 logs and P. aeruginosa – 3 logs | Moon et al., 2017 |
| K in vitro           | SPR741, a cationic peptide derived from Polymyxin B + antibiotics | 128-fold reduction in MIC with rifampin and clarithromycin | Corbett et al., 2017 |

**ANTIMICROBIAL PHOTODYNAMIC LIGHT THERAPY (aPDT) + ANTIBIOTIC**

| Target ESKAPE member | Study model | Agent used and dosage details | Efficacy: log reduction of pathogen using combination; % survival of host, additional advantage | References |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Ef in vivo (G. mellonella infection model) | Light- 660 ± 15 nm, 0.9 J/cm² PS: Methylene blue (MB); Time 30 s + vancomycin-50 mg/kg | 100% reduction; ~60% survival | Chibebe Junior et al., 2013 |
| S in vitro | UVC light–254 nm, 6.4 mW + vancomycin (16 µg/mL) or quinupristin/ diflupristin (32 µg/mL) or linezolid (64 µg/mL) | 40% reduction in bacterial load with vancomycin or quinupristin/ diflupristin and 20% in with linezolid; Synergy reduced viability of cells within the biofilm considerably | El-Azzi and Khardori, 2016 |
| S in vitro | LED light 650 nm, 2.8–22.4 J/cm², PS-MB-6.25–400 µg/mL, ciprofloxacin (0.5 µg/mL) | 5 log reduction in bacterial load; 4 log reduction in bacterial cell count in biofilm | Ronqui et al., 2016 |

**aPDT + EFFLUX PUMP INHIBITOR**

| Target ESKAPE member | Study model | Agent used and dosage details | Efficacy: log reduction of pathogen using combination; % survival of host, additional advantage | References |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| S in vitro | Light- 22 J/cm²; PS- MB-200 µg/mL + EPI- verapamil 312 µg/mL | 3.38 log reduction in biofilm | de Aguiar Coletti et al., 2017 |
| S in vitro and in vivo (Murine wound infection model) | aPDT using NorA EPI-MB hybrid, INF55-(Ac)en-MB | in vitro: 6 log reduction; in vivo: 100% reduction within 4 days; enhanced wound healing | Rineh et al., 2017 |
| A in vitro and in vivo (Murine wound infection model) | in vitro: aPDT using NorA EPI-MB hybrid, INF55-(Ac)en-MB | in vitro: 5 log reduction; in vivo: 100% reduction within 6 days; enhanced wound healing | Rineh et al., 2018 |

**ANTIBACTERIAL BLUE LIGHT (aBL) + AgNP**

| Target ESKAPE member | Study model | Agent used and dosage details | Efficacy: log reduction of pathogen using combination; % survival of host, additional advantage | References |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| S & P in vitro, in vivo (murine burn wound infection model) and Case study (chronic wound infection of horse) | AgNP: chemically synthesized (15–20 nm) + Light-aBL- 460 nm, 2 h exposure after AgNP application | in vitro: 10 log reduction in bacterial count in biofilms; in vivo: 100% reduction in 2h in mice; Reduction in wound bioburden; Case study: Wound healed completely within 4 weeks in the horse | Nour El Din et al., 2016 |
| P in vitro | Light- 650nm, PS; Sodium salt of tetradsulfonated hydroxyaluminum phthalocyanine [Al(OH)Pc(SO3Na)4] + A. pululans synthesized Au-AgNPs- 14 nm (20 ppm) | 3 log reduction | Maliszewska et al., 2018 |

(Continued)
TABLE 3 | Continued

| Target ESKAPE member | Study model      | Agent used and dosage details                                                                                     | Efficacy: log reduction of pathogen using combination; % survival of host, additional advantage | References          |
|----------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| **BL + AgNP + ANTIBIOTICS** |                  |                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                |                     |
| S                    | in vitro         | Light-aBL-460 nm, 250 mW, 1 h, various antibiotics + AgNP- chemically synthesized (15–20 nm). Double combination- AgNP at sub MIC + antibiotics/ aBL; Triple combination- AgNP+ antibiotic+ aBL | 100% reduction in 8 h, synergistic activities of AgNP when used in double or triple combinations. | Akram et al., 2016  |
| **aPDT + AMP**       |                  |                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                |                     |
| S, A, Ef             | in vitro         | MB, MB-PDT at 45 J/cm² or Chlorin 6, Co6-PDT at 30 J/cm² + AMP- aurein 1.2, AU at 16 µM                            | S. aureus- 6 log reduction, A. baumannii- 5 log reduction, E. faecium- 2.5 log reduction       | de Freitas et al., 2018 |
| P                    | in vitro         | Rose Bengal (RB)-antimicrobial peptide conjugate to enhance the sonodynamic therapy                                | 7 log reduction, increase in diffusion of RB 2.6 fold through the biofilm                        | Costley et al., 2017 |
| P                    | in vitro         | AMP (CAMEL or pexiganan) aPDT RB at 15–60 J/cm², aPDT with RB and PEX at 5pM each OR RB and CAM at 10µM each     | 5 log reduction                                                                              | Nakonieczna et al., 2018 |
| **aPDT + ADJUVANT**  |                  |                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                |                     |
| P                    | in vitro and in vivo (murine wound infection model) | in vitro: green light 0, 10, and 20 J/cm²; PS - RB- 100 nM; KI- 0–100 mM; in vivo: green light-20 J/cm²; PS - 500 µM RB alone or mixed with 1M KI | in vitro: 7 log reduction; in vivo: 100% reduction; Inhibition of wound bioburden               | Wen et al., 2017     |
| P                    | in vitro and in vivo (murine cutaneous ulcer infection model) | in vitro: LED-410nm, 6 and 9 J/cm²; PS- 5-Aminolevulinic acid (ALA)-0.05–0.5%, EDTA- 2Na-0.001–0.005%; in vivo: LED 410 nm, 6 and 9 J/cm²; KY jelly with 5-ALA-0.1 and 0.5%, EDTA-2Na-0.001 and 0.005%; | in vitro: Combination was less efficient than EDTA-2Na in biofilm inhibition; in vivo: 6 log reduction in wound bioburden with 60% healing within 13 days | Katayama et al., 2018 |
| S & A                | in vitro and in vivo (murine skin abrasion model) | in vitro: UVA Light 360 ± 20 nm- 0–20 J/cm² exposure; white light- 400–700 nm, 0–120 J/cm²; PS- G60-fullerene (LC16)- 20 µM with or without KI (1 M–30 µl); in vivo: UVA Light 360 ± 20 nm- 20 J/cm² exposure; white light- 400–700 nm 120 J/cm²; PS- G60-fullerene (LC16)- 200 µM with or without KI (10 mM) | in vivo: 1–2 log reduction; in vivo: 1–2 log reduction in A baumannii only | Zhang et al., 2015   |

El, Enterococcus faecium; S, Staphylococcus aureus; K, Klebsiella pneumonia; A, Acinetobacter baumannii; P, Pseudomonas aeruginosa; and E, Enterobacter spp.
of this study involved adaptation cycles of the commercial Pyophage preparation to increase its sensitivity toward the uropathogens. In the second phase, six of the nine patients responded to the adapted bacteriophage showing up to five log reduction in CFU of the infecting bacteria. Another study by Schooley et al. (2017) described the case of a 68 year old male diabetic patient having necrotizing pancreatitis which was complicated by an MDR *A. baumannii*. The patient responded to a phage therapy which consisted of a cocktail of nine phages against *A. baumannii*.

Few cases showing partial success of phage therapy in treating the infection are also reported (Jennes et al., 2017; Duplessis et al., 2018). Jennes et al. (2017) reported a case study of 61-year-old man diagnosed with septicaemia caused by a colistin-only-sensitive *P. aeruginosa*. Blood cultures turned negative immediately after BFC1 phage therapy but sores remained infected. No adverse side effects were observed during this therapy. In another study, a 2-year-old boy with a history of DiGeorge syndrome and complex congenital heart disease developed post-operative calciritant *P. aeruginosa* bacteraemia. A cocktail of two phages were used to target the infecting pathogen turning the blood cultures negative. However, the bacteraemia re-occurred after the discontinuation of phage therapy (Duplessis et al., 2018). It is however felt that commonly approved guidelines for application of phages is warranted in order to compare the efficacies of various phage treatments.

Several clinical trials have demonstrated the safety of phages and phage lytic enzymes which are in agreement with the studies carried out in animal models or as reported in numerous case studies. Although, many phase I and/or phase II clinical trials to demonstrate the efficacy of phages against ESKAPE infections have been registered in the past few years, the number of well-documented and completed trials are too low to draw meaningful conclusions (Sybesma et al., 2018). Moreover, the number of patients enrolled for the trials have severely limited the conclusions. A notable example of this is "PhagoBurn," a multicentric randomized single blind and controlled clinical study on phage therapy to treat burn wound infections caused by *P. aeruginosa* and *E. coli* (ClinicalTrials.gov registry, NCT02116010). Twenty seven patients were enrolled for the trial which resulted in being too low from the pre-calculated 220 patients expected to give statistically significant results. Restrictive patient inclusion criteria, shorter duration of patient enrolment, and low incidence of burn wound infection during the period of study were described as possible reasons for the very few eligible patients (Servick, 2016). The 27 patients were randomly assigned to the phage treatment group and safety control group for further investigation. A cocktail of 12 phages (PP1131) with lytic activity against *P. aeruginosa* were added to an alginate template that was applied directly to the wound of the treated group. A diluted phage cocktail was used due to its high endotoxin content. The control group received a topical application of the standard care treatment (1% sulfadiazine silver). Few adverse events were reported both in phage treated and safety control group. The trial, however, was terminated prematurely due to insufficient efficacy of PP1131. A supporting study showed that the bacteria isolated from patients with the failed treatment were resistant to low phage doses used for this study. This, however, was the first Randomised Clinical Trial (RCT) performed following both good manufacturing practices as well as good clinical practices and was approved by three national health regulators (Belgium, France, and Switzerland). Further studies with increased phage concentration and higher number of participants are needed (Jault et al., 2019). In case of phage lytic enzymes, the first-in-human phase I clinical trial study for phage endolysin-based candidate drug SAL200, after a single intravenous administration among healthy volunteers showed no clinically significant detrimental effect (Youn Jun et al., 2017).

The other recent ongoing trial includes a randomized placebo controlled double blind clinical trial (ClinicalTrials.gov registry, NCT03140085) to study the efficacy and safety of commercially available Pyophages for treating UTI infections in patients planned for transurethral resection of prostate. Eighty-one patients were expected to enroll for this interventional study design planned between Nov 2015–Dec 2018 that may provide necessary insights into this potentially transforming alternative treatment option (Leitner et al., 2017). Similarly, another multicentric, randomized, two-parallel group, double blind controlled trial expected to enroll 60 patients was registered (ClinicalTrials.gov registry, NCT02664740) to compare efficacy of anti-staphylococcal phages verses standard treatment and placebo for diabetic foot ulcers infected by *S. aureus*. This study has not yet begun recruiting patients.

To further overcome limitations of phage therapy, phages can be combined with antibiotics which may show synergistic action by making either a phage or antibiotic or both to act more effectively (Table 3). Reduction in the formation of bacterial biofilms has also been reported when antibiotic treatment is used in combination with phages (Jo et al., 2016; Chaudhry et al., 2017). Endolysin produced by bacteriophages, proves to be more beneficial than lysing cell wall and facilitating entry of the antibiotic inside the bacteria (Rios et al., 2016). Phage PEV20 and ciprofloxacin exhibited a synergistic effect *in vitro* against *P. aeruginosa* (Lin Y. et al., 2018). Another interesting study reported that *P. aeruginosa*, while developing phage resistance when under attack by OMKO1 phage, changed the efflux pump mechanism which ultimately increased the sensitivity of *P. aeruginosa* to antibiotics. Such an approach creates a win-win situation causing the killing of the bacteria either through phage or by antibiotic action (Chan et al., 2016). Similarly, it has been reviewed that such changes in the surface receptors of any bacterium may also reduce its virulence (Rios et al., 2016). A case study reported OMKO1 phage in combination with ceftazidime could successfully treat a complicated postoperative *P. aeruginosa* infection in a patient who underwent an aortic arch replacement surgery (Chan et al., 2018). The success or failure of phage antibiotic combination therapy is still in a state of immaturity as the mechanisms involved in synergy have not been fully understood and the data of *in vivo* models and case reports is scarce. Further investigations are warranted in order to make any conclusive remarks.
ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDES (AMPs) IN THERAPY

Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) are short, positively charged, diverse host defense oligopeptides produced by all living forms including protozoa, bacteria, archaea, fungi, plants, and animals (Wang et al., 2010). They show a broad spectrum of activity against a wide range of pathogens. The capacity of AMPs to interact with bacterial cell membrane and thereby cause cell lysis makes them a potential alternative to combat MDR pathogens (Berglund et al., 2015). Furthermore, in contrast to conventional antibiotics, AMPs physically damage the bacterial cell through electrostatic interactions thereby making it difficult for bacteria to develop resistance against AMPs (Pfalzgraff et al., 2018).

Considering the critical status of ESKAPE pathogens, several attempts have been made to find out AMP based effective therapeutics. To date, there are numerous natural as well as bioengineered AMPs reported to show in vitro (Björn et al., 2016; Cappiello et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2017; Gandt et al., 2018; Irani et al., 2018; Téllez et al., 2018) as well as in vivo (Björn et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2017) antimicrobial, antibiofilm, anti-inflammatory, and wound healing abilities with minimum cytotoxicity. Histatin 5, a natural histidine rich cationic human salivary peptide, is an example. This peptide shows a strong in vitro anti-biofilm as well as potent bactericidal activity (≥70%) against ESKAPE (Du et al., 2017). Similarly, a de novo-engineered cationic peptide, WLBU-2, and a natural AMP LL-37 at 1/3X MIC has demonstrated 90% biofilm inhibition as compared to that shown by antibiotics such as tobramycin, ciprofloxacin, ceftazidime, and vancomycin at 1X MIC (Lin Q. et al., 2018). In 2017, Gaglione et al. examined human ApoB derived recombinant peptides namely r(P)ApoB₁ and r(P)ApoB₃. Both peptides showed effective in vitro wound healing, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, and antibiofilm properties against MDR strains of S. aureus and P. aeruginosa.

Similar to their remarkable in vitro properties, AMPs also exhibit promising in vivo activity against ESKAPE. For example, peptide HLR1r, a structural derivative of human milk protein, lactoferrin, at very low concentration (5 mg/kg) was found to show anti-infectivity against MRSA infected wound excision model in rat along with in vitro anti-inflammatory and non-cytotoxic effects suggesting use of HLR1r in topical formulation to treat skin infections (Björn et al., 2016). PT-13 a peptide derived from seeds and leaves of Populus trichocarpa crude extract also demonstrated effective in vivo antibacterial activity in S. aureus infected G. mellonella model (Al Akeel et al., 2018). In another instance, a synthetic analog of Feleucin-K3 has shown to clear P. aeruginosa induced bacteremia in mice model with good stability and very low cytotoxicity (Xie et al., 2018). Also, a hydrogel formulation containing K-11, a hybrid peptide of melittin, cercopin A1 and magainin-2 has shown to possess wound healing ability against A. baumannii infected murine excision model proposing its possible use as a topical anti-infective therapeutic agent (Rishi et al., 2018).

Over the past decades, intense efforts taken by the scientific community and pharmaceutical industries together has made it possible to introduce certain peptides such as vancomycin, telavancin, telaprevir, teicoplanin, enfuvirtide, daptomycin, dalbavancin, bacitracin etc. for clinical use (Gomes et al., 2018). A clinical trial conducted on rabbits and humans using the peptide melamine proved it to be a stable and safe antibacterial coating for eye lenses (Dutta et al., 2014). Similarly, Pexiganan (analog of magainin), LL-37 (analog of human cathelicidin peptide), hLF1-11, and PXL-01 (derivatives of human milk protein), Novexatin (derivative of human defense peptide), Iseganan (derivative of porcine leukocytes), PAC-113 (derivative of human salivary histatin-3 peptide) etc. are few examples of AMPs which also are under clinical trials (Mahlapuu et al., 2016). Unfortunately, such a low number of AMPs seeking clinical approval is quite discouraging. Despite their successful in vitro and/or in vivo broad-spectrum activities, numerous AMPs have not yet crossed the hurdle of clinical trial. Amongst the few challenges that hamper the in vivo efficacy of AMPs are their cytotoxicity to mammalian cells, liability to degradation by tissue proteases, loss of activity at low salt concentrations or in presence of plasma proteins and higher production cost (Mahlapuu et al., 2016; Rios et al., 2016). The issue of peptide degradation can be solved by structural modification of AMPs such as addition of non-natural amino acids or their D-isomers, peptide cyclisation, acetylation, and amidation of N-terminus. Introduction of peptide mimetics or the use of suitable delivery system like liposome encapsulation can be done to improve their stability and reduce toxicity (Seo et al., 2012; Reinhardt and Neundorf, 2016). Additionally, efficiency of AMPs can be enhanced by combining AMPs with antibiotics (Gaglione et al., 2017; Zheng et al., 2017; Pletzer et al., 2018) or nanoparticles (Chaudhari et al., 2016; Kuo et al., 2016). Otvos et al. (2018), reported a synergistic effect of A3-APO, a proline-rich AMP, and colistin when studied in a K. pneumoniae infected bacteremia mice model. The basis for in vitro decrease in MIC of A3-APO when combined with colistin can be explained by considering the fact that colistin kills bacteria by interfering with bacterial membrane assembly and, therefore, slight reductions in bacterial membrane integrity potentiate A3-APO antibacterial action. Surprisingly, the same combination also showed a 100% survival in mice. This observation can be a direct consequence of A3-APO ability to induce immune augmentation or the deactivation of bacterial toxins. Enhanced in vitro bactericidal activity against S. aureus was also found in the case where LL-37, a human cathelicidin peptide, combined with gold nanoparticles as compared to vancomycin alone (Wang et al., 2018). In this case, gold nanoparticles increased the local density of positive charges and peptide mass and thereby enhanced the bactericidal properties of LL-37.

To summarize, owing to their in vitro and in vivo broad-spectrum antibacterial activities AMPs offer a hopeful alternative to conventional therapeutics. However, to overcome challenges in developing a safe, stable and efficient commercial product, a thorough understanding of their structure and interaction with bacterial as well as host cells is still needed. It will also be helpful to find better AMP formulation strategies to obtain maximum therapeutic actions. Overall, considering the extensive research being carried out on different AMPs against various infectious
agents, the future of peptide based commercial drug formulations looks hopeful.

PHOTODYNAMIC LIGHT THERAPY

Antimicrobial light therapy, either alone or combined with a photosensitizer (PS), results in a photooxidative stress response that leads to microbial death. Excitation of PS with light of an appropriate wavelength leads to formation of an excited triplet state. An excited PS can transfer electrons or energy to biomolecules or molecular oxygen, resulting in the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) or singlet oxygen radicals, which are toxic to cellular targets such as nucleic acids, proteins and lipids (Mai et al., 2017; Yang M.-Y. et al., 2018). Some of the most frequently used PSs include phenothiazinium derivatives (methylene blue, toluidine blue), xanthine derivatives (rose bengal), porphyrin, chlorin, or fullerene derivatives amongst many others (Abrahamse and Hamblin, 2016; Cieplik et al., 2018). Antimicrobial photodynamic therapy is widely used for treating dental, skin, and soft tissue infections. For a more detailed description of the current state and future prospects of light therapy with respect to the various photosensitisers, light sources, and methods used, mechanism of antimicrobial action or antibiofilm potential, the reader may be referred to the excellent reviews published recently (Cieplik et al., 2018; Hu et al., 2018; Tomb et al., 2018; Wozniak and Grinholc, 2018). However, none of these reviews have especially focused on in vivo studies of aPDT against ESXKAPE pathogens.

There has been extensive research on designing the PSs so as to improve their pharmaceutical potential. An ideal PS used for antimicrobial therapy should have greater permeability to cross the microbial cell wall/cell membrane, selective toxicity toward the microbial cell with minimal or no damage to the host tissue and an absorption coefficient appropriate for effective penetration at the site of action. The PS chosen should not have a long half-life which causes prolonged photosensitization in the host cells even after the infection is cured. It should also not be effluxed out by the microbial efflux systems (Cieplik et al., 2018; Hu et al., 2018; Tomb et al., 2018; Wozniak and Grinholc, 2018). Efficacy of aPDT also depends on the light fluence, PS concentration and treatment time (Tomb et al., 2017; Sueoka et al., 2018; Ullah et al., 2018).

PSs chosen preferably have a large absorption coefficient in the visible spectrum, especially in the long wavelength (red near infrared) region, to allow effective penetration of light in the infected tissue (Table 2). Many researchers have attempted to improve the availability of PS by potentiating or functionalizing it with other molecules including galactose, amino acids, efflux pump inhibitors, potassium iodide, EDTA etc. A variety of PSs functionalized with addends are used to target ESXKAPE pathogens. A boron-dipyrromethene (BODIPY)-based polygalactose, named pGEMA-I (7.3 kDa) with increased water solubility was used to demonstrate antibacterial and antibiofilm activity against P. aeruginosa, without much affecting the viability of normal cells. It was demonstrated that the selective recognition of the pathogen was due to its carbohydrate binding lectin protein (LecA) which interacted with the galactose moiety of the PS (Zhao et al., 2018). C60-fullerene (LC16) bearing deca-tertiary amino groups facilitates electron-transfer reactions via the photoexcited fullerene for antimicrobial effect studied in A. baumannii and S. aureus (Huang et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015). Another drawback of aPDT is that the ROS generation may cease after the light irradiation is turned off thus allowing un-killed bacteria to re-grow. Potentiating aPDT with potassium iodide allows the formation of iodine/tri-iodide that may remain active in the wound for a longer duration sufficient enough to prevent bacterial re-growth (Zhang et al., 2015; Wen et al., 2017).

In vitro studies have shown that blue light (aBL) has a broad spectrum antibacterial and antibiofilm activity against all six ESXKAPE members (Halstead et al., 2016). In vivo data also corroborated this finding and further confirmed that using a low penetrating blue light of 415 ± 10 nm should be a preferred choice of treatment in case of topical wound infections as it causes minimal damage to the uninfected tissue cells below (Amin et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017; Katayama et al., 2018). Some studies additionally report that an exogenous PS may not be required (Amin et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017). Their finding was supported by experimental data showing that the endogenous porphyrins present in the bacterial cell membrane play a role in triggering the photooxidative response (Amin et al., 2016). aBL using 5-aminolevulinic acid with disodium EDTA (ALA-EDTA/2Na) had antibacterial and antibiofilm potential thus showing significant wound healing of P. aeruginosa infected cutaneous ulcers in mice model (Katayama et al., 2018). However, the role of EDTA in increasing the antibacterial action of aBL needs further investigation. The physiological mechanism behind wound healing was studied in a S. aureus infected burn model in mice revealing that the enhanced levels of factors promoting angiogenesis and epithelial regeneration (bFGF, TGFβ1, and VEGF) led to the inhibition of inflammatory factors (TNFα and IL6) in the aPDT treated group as compared to the control (Mai et al., 2017).

Sueoka et al. (2018) studied the time dependant effect of aPDT-TON 504 on P. aeruginosa and showed that a repeated exposure to light emitting diode (LED) enhanced the inhibitory effect on bacterial growth. This enhanced effect was possibly because the bacteria that survived the initial aPDT were injured by singlet oxygen generated due to excitation of remaining photosensitizer. On the contrary there are also a few reports investigating the development of resistance/tolerance to aBL. It was observed that initial exposure to low doses of aBL increased the tolerance of meticillin susceptible S. aureus (MSSA) to subsequent doses of high intensity aBL-405 nm. It is likely that increased tolerance to high intensity light may be due to up-regulation of bacterial stress responses which needs detailed investigations. However, a second set of experiment showed that repeated sub-lethal exposures of 405 nm light indicate no evidence of tolerance in S. aureus (Tomb et al., 2017).

A considerable amount of literature has been published on combined efficacy of aPDT and antibiotics demonstrated in vitro (El-Azizi and Khardori, 2016; Ronqui et al., 2016). Synergistic effects of aPDT-antibiotic combination resulted in inactivation
of several virulence factors in *P. aeruginosa* isolates (Fila et al., 2017). aPDT when used in combination with vancomycin prolonged the survival of *Galleria mellonella* infected with a vancomycin resistant strain of *E. faecium* as compared to either of the two therapies used alone (Chibebe Junior et al., 2013). Wozniak and Grinholc (2018) in their comprehensive review analysis have, however, pointed out that most of the aPDT carried out in combination with antibiotics lack a standard methodology followed to evaluate the synergistic effect.

One of the strategies for improved PS delivery involves the use of nanoparticles which are co-administered to allow the PS entry across the membrane or for a synergistic ROS response resulting in an antimicrobial action. A combination of tetrasulfonated hydroxyl aluminum phthalocyanine (AlPcS₄) and bimetallic gold/silver nanoparticles (Au/Ag-NPs) synthesized using a cell-free filtrate of *Aureobasidium pullulans* showed significantly higher killing as compared to the agents used individually (Maliszewska et al., 2018). Au/Ag-NPs possibly disrupted the cell membrane allowing enhanced uptake of the PS, AlPcS₄. *In vitro* and *in vivo* studies demonstrated that AgNPs used in combination with blue light showed synergistic antimicrobial and antibiofilm activities against *P. aeruginosa* infection. Interestingly, this combination was also effective for the treatment of a chronic wound caused by mixed infection in a horse (Nour El Din et al., 2016). More recently, an *in vitro* study carried out using *A. baumannii* isolates, demonstrated that the antibacterial action of ZnO-NPs with blue light irradiation was due to their ability to damage the cytomembrane but not DNA (Yang M.-Y. et al., 2018).

Over expressing multidrug efflux pumps, commonly found in resistant pathogens, are reported to affect the intracellular concentration of PS used in aPDT, thus limiting its action (Tegos and Hamblin, 2006). Tegos et al. (2008) carried out *in vitro* experiments by co-incubating various combinations of PS with efflux pump inhibitors to select the best combination showing antibacterial activity. Photodynamic killing mediated by toluidine blue (TBO), when used in combination with PaßN, or INF271 (as EPIs) was most effective against *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* isolates, respectively. Similarly, an *in vitro* study demonstrated that verapamil, an efflux pump inhibitor, when combined with aPDT, required a lower light dose for effective antibacterial, and antibiofilm action against *S. aureus* (de Aguiar Coletti et al., 2017). In further development of such an approach, it was recently demonstrated that INF55-(Ac)en–MB, synthetic antimicrobial hybrids designed by covalently linking a PS (methylene blue) to efflux pump inhibitors (INF55 and INF271) were more effective in treating wound infections caused by *S. aureus* or *A. baumannii* studied in mice models (Rineh et al., 2017, 2018).

It is also worth noting that synergistic effect of aPDT when used in combination with antimicrobial peptide was also demonstrated recently (de Freitas et al., 2018; Nakonieczna et al., 2018). Aurein 1.2 augmented the aPDT activity mediated by methylene blue or chlorin-e6 against strains of *S. aureus*, *A. baumannii* and more importantly against vancomycin resistant *E. faecium*, whereas the AMP aPDT combination with curcumin (as PS) had no effect thus revealing a PS-dependent mechanism (de Freitas et al., 2018). Later, Nakonieczna et al. (2018) carried out a similar study showing synergistic effect of rose bengal-aPDT with two synthetic AMPs, CAMEL, and pexiganan against 35 isolates of *P. aeruginosa*. Notably, it was also shown that this combination was non-toxic to human keratinocytes. Conjugates such as ZnPc(Lys)₅ (a zinc phthalocyanine derivative coupled with pantalysine) also showed a synergistic antibacterial action which was sufficient to heal *S. aureus* wound infection in mice models (Ullah et al., 2018).

Overall, photodynamic therapy appears to be a promising option for treatment of infections caused due to ESKAPE pathogens, particularly effective in topical applications. aPDT co administered or conjugated with antibiotics, antimicrobial peptides, nanoparticles, or efflux pump inhibitors show a synergistic effect. However, it is difficult to compare efficacy between different combinatorial approaches due to lack of uniform methodologies. More studies on investigation of toxicity and biocompatibility of various combinations should be investigated using *in vivo* models for translating them into clinical practice.

### SILVER NANOPARTICLES IN THERAPY

Nanomedicine is one of the emerging branches for treating drug resistant pathogens. Metal nanoparticles have wide biomedical applications as antimicrobial agents due to their unique physical and chemical properties (Beyth et al., 2015; Hemeg, 2017). Amongst metal nanoparticles, silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) synthesized using physical, chemical or biological methods have shown promising antibacterial activity due to their multi-targeted approach which reduces the probability of resistance (Möhler et al., 2018; Siddiqi et al., 2018). AgNPs act by releasing Ag⁺ ions which results in disruption of electron transport or signal transduction pathway or leads to generation of ROS, ultimately damaging important biomolecules such as cell wall, cell membrane, cellular DNA, and/or proteins (Dakal et al., 2016; Qayyum et al., 2017).

AgNPs act by inhibiting or disrupting planktonic cells as well as biofilms of MDR pathogens. Even though earlier reports have suggested the cytotoxic effects of AgNPs (Mohanty et al., 2012), recently *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies have demonstrated the safe usage of AgNPs (Möhler et al., 2018). AgNPs synthesized using aqueous leaf extract of *Corchorus capsularis* exhibited antibacterial activity against *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* and were found to be non-toxic to mouse fibroblast cells (Kasithhevar et al., 2017). Electrochemically synthesized AgNPs showing antimicrobial activity against planktonic and biofilm forming *P. aeruginosa* strain were non-toxic to *G. mellonella* larvae model (Pompilio et al., 2018). Recently, sunlight mediated AgNPs synthesized using *Capsicum annuum* was tested in *S. aureus* infected zebra fish model which proved to be effective in inhibiting biofilm formation. Histological studies revealed that they are non-toxic and hence can be tested for efficacy in higher mammalian *in vivo* models (Lotha et al., 2018). A single-blind clinical trial (Clinical Trial Registration: NCT01243320 and NCT01405794) carried out in 60 healthy human volunteers,
showed that commercial AgNPs when administered orally at dose of 10 and 32 ppm and monitored over 14 days were found to be non-toxic. The study revealed no significant changes in metabolic, hematologic and pro-inflammatory responses as well as no morphological changes in vital organs (Munger et al., 2014).

One of the widely explored applications of AgNPs is their use in the form of composite dressings or hydrogels for treatment of topical wound infections. AgNPs incorporated in chitosan composite dressings offer sustained release of Ag⁺ ions at low dosage which are non-toxic to fibroblast cells. Studies in mice models suggested that AgNPs/chitosan composite dressings and low molecular weight chitosan-coated silver nanoparticles were effective in reducing bacterial load, were non-toxic and biocompatible, had low absorption in body and promoted better wound healing against S. aureus and P. aeruginosa (Liang et al., 2016; Peng et al., 2017). Similarly, studies using three other polymer dressings made of chitosan, nylon, and collagen incorporated with AgNPs exhibited in vitro antibacterial activities against ESKAPE pathogens (Radulescu et al., 2016; Rath et al., 2016; Ding et al., 2017). These dressings did not exhibit inflammatory responses, showed re-epithelization of cells and better wound contraction leading to accelerated wound healing in mice models. Sodium carboxymethyl cellulose hydrogel loaded with polyethylene glycol coated AgNPs showed antibacterial activity, re-epithelization, and wound healing in MRSA infected mice model (Mekkawy et al., 2017). Similarly, topical application of nanosilver hydrogels in combination with Aloe vera accelerated wound contraction and enhanced wound healing due to the moist environment provided by Aloe vera (Anjum et al., 2016). In yet another formulation, AgNPs coated on to MCM-41 type mesoporous silica nanoparticles prevented their aggregation and allowed sustained release of Ag⁺ ions displaying a long-term antibacterial activity against S. aureus. These antibacterial nanofibrous membranes could reduce inflammatory response and accelerate wound healing in wistar rats (Dong et al., 2016). A randomized clinical trial was carried out to test the antibacterial effect of two silver dressings and their healing time in burn patients. It was demonstrated that the hydrofiber silver dressing (Aquacel®) was preferred over the nanocrystalline silver dressing (Acticoat™) due to reduction of bioburden, quick wound healing, ease of use, comfort to the patients, and low cost (Verbelen et al., 2014). On the other hand Acticoat™ showed complete wound healing within 12 weeks in 64% of the patients with leg ulcers as compared to those who were treated with Iodosorb dressings (cadexomer iodine) (Miller et al., 2010).

Polymer-based nanomaterials and metal NPs are used in antimicrobial coatings on surface of medical devices, such as catheters and implants for prevention of infections. AgNPs when embedded in electrospun hyaluronic acid/polycaprolactonenanofibrous membranes coated on flexor tendon animal models prevented bacterial infection during the early postsurgical period (Chen et al., 2015; Shalumon et al., 2018). Likewise, implants coated with nanocomposite layer of polysaccharide 1-deoxylactit-1-yl chitosan and AgNPs in a mini-pig animal model showed good biocompatibility with the bone tissue (Marsich et al., 2013). All these studies demonstrated that the entrapped AgNPs allowed controlled release of Ag⁺ ions displaying prolonged antibacterial and antibiofilm action as well as reduced inflammatory responses. A randomized clinical trial demonstrated the efficacy of triple-lumen central venous catheters impregnated with AgNPs (AgTive) which showed reduced bacterial colonization as compared to conventional catheters in intensive care unit patients (Antonelli et al., 2012).

Repeated exposure of AgNPs at sub inhibitory concentrations may lead to resistance in bacterial pathogens. To overcome this limitation, a combination of AgNPs with antibiotics has been suggested in order to increase the therapeutic efficacy of either, resulting in reduction of dose and hence toxicity. In vitro studies demonstrating AgNPs co-incubated with different antibiotics showed synergistic antibacterial activities against ESKAPE (Ghosh et al., 2012; Panácek et al., 2015; Golińska et al., 2016; Habash et al., 2017; Singh et al., 2018; Wypij et al., 2018). Synergistic antibacterial activity of AgNPs in combination with polymyxin B was demonstrated in A. baumannii infected mouse model with 60% survival rate as compared to the controls treated with antibiotic or AgNPs alone (Wan et al., 2016).

Despite the use of AgNPs as a potential therapeutic agent, literature survey indicates a paucity of data obtained from in vivo studies carried out to test the toxicity, efficacy, pharmacokinetic, and immuno-modulatory response of the AgNPs. Further investigations through well-defined studies and clinical trials will lead to applications of AgNPs in wound dressings or medical devices.

**CONCLUDING REMARKS**

There is an urgent need to restock our armamentarium of antimicrobials in order to stay ahead of the ever rising drug resistant ESKAPE pathogens. There is an insufficiency of effective antibiotic combinations in addition to the dry pipeline of new drugs. Huge efforts have been taken to use antibiotics in combination with adjuvants targeting important metabolic mechanisms/pathways contributing to drug resistance (permeabalisers, lactamase inhibitors, efflux pump inhibitors, quorum sensing inhibitors, toxin inhibitors etc.) The modest success received to date with such antibiotic-adjuvant combinations has paved way to explore other alternative strategies to combat drug resistance. There is a significant rise in the interest shown by the scientific community to use novel therapeutic agents such as phages, antimicrobial peptides, metal nanoparticles, and photodynamic light which, although, have some limitations as discussed above. Some of the commonly described limitations of these therapies include stability and toxicity of the therapeutic agent, its targeted delivery at the site of infection, or immune response developed by the host against the therapeutic agent. Ongoing research has therefore led to further develop or modify these novel therapeutic agents or therapies so as to surmount the limitations as well as to overcome the barriers of bacterial resistance.

This review summarizes studies that demonstrate potential alternative therapies using in vivo models some of which have extended further to the level of clinical trials. The interest in phage therapy to treat bacterial infections is fast
Growing leading to development of commercial preparations such as “Stafal,” “Sextaphage,” “PhagoBioDerm,” and “Pyophage” against MDR pathogens. Similarly, use of silver nanoparticles as antibiofilm coatings in surgical implants, antimicrobial agents in topical applications or as formulations in wound dressings has shown promising activities in animal models. Clinical trials using commercially available nanosilver coated dressings (ActicoatTM, Aquadrel®) or catheters (AgTive) is another noteworthy advancement. AMPs have received great attention due to their broad spectrum activity; however, they have shown limited pharmaceutical potential due to their toxicity, stability, and production costs. Photodynamic light therapy which is widely used for cancer therapy has also been demonstrated to be an effective strategy for clearing wound infections. However, additional studies demonstrating the efficacy and safety of these therapeutic agents against ESKAPE infections are desired. Similarly, randomized clinical trials would enable these therapeutic agents to cross the regulatory hurdles and find application in clinical practice.

It was observed that, majority of these studies have used animal models infected by Staphylococcus aureus, Acinetobacter baumannii, and P. aeruginosa to test the efficacy of the therapeutic agent. The probable reason for this could be that these pathogens mostly cause topical infections (wound, burn and abscess) and because majority of the limitations (targeted delivery, stability, immune response, toxicity etc.) described for each therapy can be minimized though not avoided in such models. It would be important to study the effect of these therapeutic agents against systemic infections caused by ESKAPE members. It was also observed that, the methods used for estimating efficacy of any therapeutic agent were not uniform. Table 2 reveals that, the in vivo efficacy of various therapies is given either in terms of log or percent reduction of microbial load or as percent survival of the infected host (animal model). The methods followed to estimate the reduced pathogen loads as well as dosages used for treatment also vary. It is therefore not appropriate to compare these studies to identify the best therapeutic agent/therapy against any ESKAPE member.

In addition to the growing concern in searching and evaluating the clinical potential of the above discussed alternative therapies, research on combinatorial approach, based on the synergistic action of two or more therapies is also gaining attention. Most commonly studied combinations involve use of a therapeutic agent/ therapy (phage, aPDT, AMP, or AgNP) in combination with antibiotic/s or in some cases with an efflux pump inhibitor or quorum sensing inhibitor. Another interesting option used was the combination of the therapeutic agents in a conjugate or hybrid (antibiotic-antibiotic, antibiotic-EPI, PS-AMP, PS-EPI etc.) for an increased efficacy against the pathogen. Most of these studies demonstrated that the combinatorial approach helped overcome the limitation caused by individual therapeutic agent. For example, an antibiotic combined with an efflux pump inhibitor or a photosensitizer conjugated with an AMP improved their entry and retention into the target pathogen for enhanced antimicrobial action. Similarly, combinations of antibiotics with nanoparticles or AMPs reduced the toxicity caused by these agents which were required at high dosages when used alone. The synergistic action allows for an increased bioavailability of the drug or therapeutic agent, broad antimicrobial spectrum, reduced toxicity, and decreased chances of development of resistance. However, Table 3 shows that most of the studies demonstrating potential of combinatorial approach are currently based on in vitro evaluation only. Data supporting the potential of a combinatorial therapy with respect to the mechanism of synergy, its in vivo efficacy, toxicity, and immune response is scarce and needs further investigation.

Finally, cost effectiveness of the above described therapeutic agents/therapy over the conventional antimicrobial agents also play a crucial role in their clinical application. Production cost for any therapeutic agent will strongly depend on the various regulatory hurdles they pass to come into clinical practice. An agent or therapy which is too expensive may not be a preferred choice for treatment in under developed countries or weaker economies thus leading to over-use of conventional antimicrobials thus contributing to the growing drug resistance.

To conclude, a uniform research methodology used to test the efficacy of these therapeutic agents in accordance with well-defined standards will make it possible to reliably compare the data presented by various research groups. Well-performed clinical trials of these therapeutic agents used as monotherapy or as a combinatorial approach will allow us to derive the real potential of these therapeutic combinations for being translated into clinical practice.
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