Small Hydropower—Small Ecological Footprint? A Multi-Annual Environmental Impact Analysis Using Aquatic Macroinvertebrates as Bioindicators. Part 1: Effects on Community Structure
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The increasing incentives stemming from many international initiatives that target sustainable energy production have led to the global success of small hydropower plants. However, there is a growing debate about the actual environmental impact these structures have on riverine ecosystems, to the extent that some researchers argue that they could have a proportionally greater impact than large hydropower plants; nevertheless, an empirical evaluation applying a long-term true “Before-After-Control-Impact (BACI)” approach has never been conducted. In a true “BACI” experiment applying generalized linear mixed models, we assessed changes in benthic macroinvertebrate communities—taxonomic composition, spatial and temporal β-diversity, and possible indicator taxa—along 6 sites located in a glacier-fed stream in the Italian Alps before and after the installation of a small “run-of-river” hydropower plant. The “BACI” results over the 5-year study showed no significant variation in the benthic macroinvertebrate communities stemming from the activity of the hydropower plant. Moreover, spatial β-diversity showed, in relation to the “control” site, a constant dissimilarity pattern throughout all the sampling years, exhibiting a constant increase proceeding downstream. On the other hand, temporal β-diversity showed changes in the benthic macroinvertebrate communities, but these changes were largely caused by variation in densities and not by the appearance or disappearance of new taxa. In summary, we were unable to detect a significant impact of the small “run-of-river” hydropower plant on the benthic macroinvertebrate communities of the glacier-fed stream under study. Despite the need of further studies that consider the different features and operational measures of small hydropower plants, our findings illustrate that, when correctly managed, small hydropower plants and the energy they produce may represent an added resource for strategic debates on energy planning processes,
especially in light of the commitments at the international level of many countries in developing strategies toward a “carbon-neutral” energy sector.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Since the beginning of human history, rivers have supported human development, since they act—among many other functions—as communication routes, direct and indirect food providers (i.e., fish stocks and agricultural water, respectively) and, in more recent times, as large-scale industrialization and power generation sources (Grill et al., 2019; Baird and Plummer, 2021).

Hydropower energy represents approximately 16% of the worldwide electricity production and approximately 80% of the global energy derived from renewable sources (Zarfl et al., 2015; Gernaat et al., 2017). However, despite these already remarkable contribution levels, these percentages and the global hydropower capacity are expected to increase in the coming years (IRENA, 2019) as several countries have committed to environmental targets set by a manifold of international initiatives for sustainable energy production. These initiatives include the Paris Agreement at the global level (UNFCCC, 2015), the EU Directive 2018/2001 (European Parliament and Council, 2018), the proposal of the European Climate Law (European Parliament and Council, 2020), and the United States Renewable Portfolio Standard legislations (Kosnik, 2010). In this scenario, hydropower is currently, and will be in the future, a key-factor in developing concrete strategies to reach these environmental targets (Hermoso, 2017).

Nonetheless, due to the high social and ecological impact of large hydropower plants (LHPs) that entail the construction of large dams (Rosenberg et al., 1995), worldwide investments are substantially favoring the construction of small hydropower plants (SHPs, installed capacity of 1–10 MW) (Lange et al., 2019), as one of the key technologies to achieve the targets set by the abovementioned international environmental initiatives. Indeed, from the patch to landscape scale, the adverse ecological effects of dams on riverine ecosystems have been widely reported, such as the loss of habitats and ecosystem services (Schöngart et al., 2021), disruption of biogeochemical cycles (Friedl and Wüest, 2002), alteration of hydrological regimes (Hecht et al., 2019), and/or geomorphological changes in the regulated river (Petts and Gurnell, 2005). Thus, mainly supported by ad hoc national policies, feed-in tariffs, and low environmental compliance standards, SHPs are experiencing an unprecedented global boom (Lange et al., 2019): 7% of the energy produced through renewable sources worldwide is produced by SHPs, and their global installed capacity has increased by approximately 10% from 2013 to 2019, whereas the world’s SHP potential capacity remains unexploited by 66% (Liu et al., 2019), thus indicating that this positive trend will continue in the coming years (Couto and Olden, 2018).

A crucial additional reason that justifies the worldwide success of SHPs is the belief that they have fewer adverse ecological impacts than LHPs (Anderson et al., 2015; Venus et al., 2020). However, the supposition that SHPs generally constitute a low-footprint technology (Kosnik, 2008) is not backed by any strong empirical evidence (Abbasi and Abbasi, 2011), to the extent that some authors argue that the social and environmental costs per megawatt produced by SHPs may be higher than those of LHPs (Bakken et al., 2012; Ziv et al., 2012; Kibler and Tullos, 2013). Therefore, the expected global expansion of the hydropower sector may potentially contribute to a decline in the ecological status of riverine ecosystems worldwide (Winemiller et al., 2016; Hermoso, 2017; Zarfl et al., 2019). Despite these contrasting opinions and the expansion trends of the SHP sector, knowledge gaps in scientific literature concerning SHP effects on the ecological, social, or economic sphere remain remarkable (Kuriqi et al., 2021): whereas SHPs constitute approximately 91% of the currently installed power plants, only 5% of the published studies regarding the impact of hydropower energy focus on them (Couto and Olden, 2018). Additionally, in most of these studies, the SHP capacities and schemes—which vary considerably in size and design—are not described (Kelly-Richards et al., 2017). Consequently, it has been difficult to gather thorough scientific knowledge that could contribute to an unbiased, urgently needed debate on strategic hydropower planning (Couto and Olden, 2018). Moreover, long-term data for evaluating the effects of SHPs—and, more generally, of small impoundments—on riverine ecosystems are notoriously lacking (Mbaka and Wanjiru Mwaniki, 2015). Therefore, available studies are generally limited to spatial comparisons with “control” reaches, i.e., upstream vs. downstream on the same stream with no temporal perspective (Mueller et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2016) or to large geographical scale where “control” sites are located on different streams (Bilotta et al., 2017), while a true, multiannual, Before-After-Control-Impact (BACI) analysis on SHPs has, to the best of our knowledge, never been applied (Anderson et al., 2015; Kuriqi et al., 2021).

In this study, using aquatic macroinvertebrates as a proxy for changes in the running-water environment, we aimed to narrow this scientific gap by performing a long-term environmental impact analysis of a “run-of-river” SHP (Anderson et al., 2015)—applying a BACI design—in a high-gradient, glacier-fed stream. Aquatic macroinvertebrates are widely recognized as effective bioindicators for stream health due to their diversity, widespread presence, long generation time albeit limited mobility, easy sampling effort requirements, and crucial ecological roles (Resh, 2008). In fact, they are directly involved in providing ecosystem services (i.e., pollination, crop pest control, see Raitif et al., 2019), and important ecological functions such as litter decomposition, nutrient retention, and
riverbeds stabilization (Suter and Cormier, 2015). Furthermore, they have already been successfully used in several studies to detect both subtle and more obvious ecological impacts of hydropower plants (e.g., Armanini et al., 2014; Quadroni et al., 2017). More specifically, we intended to 1) assess the actual environmental impact of an SHP on the riverine ecosystem over a 5-year period by sampling aquatic macroinvertebrates before and after the implementation of the SHP at sampling sites divided into control and impacted sites (BACI design); 2) identify macroinvertebrates potentially sensitive to SHP activities that may be useful for further monitoring assessments in scenarios similar to the one presented in this study; and 3) disentangle the variability in the macroinvertebrate communities potentially introduced by the SHP from the spatial and temporal community fluctuations that are naturally present in a glacier-fed stream.

For the first objective, we hypothesized that, given the variety of habitats that the installation of an SHP may create due to new hydrologic and sediment regimes (Csiki and Rhoads, 2010; Mueller et al., 2011), the BACI analysis would result in a significant difference between “control” and “impact” sites and between “before” and “after” temporal windows. Consequently, for the second objective, we expected to find that macroinvertebrates species associated with the specific habitats

FIGURE 1 | (A) Map showing the geographical framework of the study area and the location of the sampling sites along the Saldur stream. The upper left map shows a magnification of the geographical area close to the Tyrolean weir of the “run-of-river” hydropower plant; (B) photo of the hydropower plant taken in the “early” sampling period (April); (C) photo of the hydropower plant taken at the apex of the glacial melting period (July).
created by SHP structures would indicate the new hydrology and sediment regime and/or the unimpacted environmental conditions of the control site. Finally, concerning the third objective, we expected that the heterogeneity of the new habitats created by the SHP would increase the spatial and temporal variability of the macroinvertebrate communities in comparison to a scenario without the presence of the hydropower plant (Scotti et al., 2019a).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental Design**

The Saldur stream (Figure 1A), approximately 21.5 km long, originates from the Matscher glacier (N 46°, E 10°, Italian Central-Eastern Alps) and is a fish-free tributary of the Adige River, the second longest Italian river. Its whole catchment (101 km²) has been part of the International Long Term Ecological Research (ILTER) network since 2014 (site code IT-25). The climate in the catchment—based on the Köppen Geiger climate classification (Rubel et al., 2017)—spans from Et to Dfc following a decreasing elevational gradient (3,738 m a.s.l.—921 m a.s.l.). Saldur stream water is abstracted or exploited for aquaculture, household and tourism sectors and, predominantly, agriculture and hydropower production (Scotti and Bottarin, 2021). Indeed, at the beginning of 2016, a small “run-of-river” hydropower plant—whose technical features are reported in Table 1—commenced its operations on the stream (Figures 1B,C).

The design for this study was conceived in 2014, when the construction operations of the “run-of-river” SHP were planned. Aquatic macroinvertebrates have been collected from the Saldur stream since 2010 for different research purposes and sampling designs (Scotti et al., 2019b). Thus, to assure continuity and comparability with past and future ILTER activities, the site nomenclature adopted from sampling season 2015 onward followed that of the prior seasons with some adaptations, i.e., a split of site 2 into four different new sites (Figure 1A; Scotti et al., 2019a). We collected aquatic macroinvertebrates at 6 sites along the stream (Figure 1A): site 1 acted as the control site, while the sites in the water diversion area of the SHP, i.e., from 2A to 2C (Supplementary Figure S1), were selected based on their relative position to the weir—the water abstraction structure, see Figures 1B,C and to the outlet pipe of the desilting tank—a construction that conveys sediments, after desiltation of the water headed to the turbine, approximately 150 m downstream of the weir, just upstream of site 2C (Supplementary Figure S1). Sites 2D and 3 were located approximately 3 and 6 km downstream of the weir, respectively. Thus, sampling sites 2A (just upstream of the weir), 2B (just downstream of the weir), 2C (downstream of the weir, just downstream of the outlet pipe of the desilting tank), 2D and 3 are considered impacted sites potentially influenced by the SHP activities and/or located in the depleted stretch. Further details about the catchment and the sampling sites are retrievable in Scotti et al. (2019a) and Scotti and Bottarin (2021).

**Fieldwork and Laboratory Activities**

The sites were sampled from 2015 to 2019 twice a year, in late April/mid-May (“early” sampling period) and in late September/mid-October (“late” sampling period). Each sampling site was represented by a 20–50 m segment of the stream from which we collected a total of 12 quantitative Surber samples (22 × 23 cm, mesh-size 500 μm) at each sampling event. Three subsamples were collected at each site, each consisting of 4 pooled Surber samples. Each subsample was processed independently and pooled only for the specific analyses described below. This method was motivated by the highly uniform substrate of the stream, mainly composed of cobbles of two sizes (macrolithal, 20–40 cm; and mesolithal, 6–20 cm). Once the substrates to be targeted for each sampling had been identified, the subsamples were distributed proportionally to the area covered by each substrate. The sampling effort consisted of perturbing the substrate upstream of the Surber sampler for approximately 1 min. Thus, the final sampled area for every subsample was approximately 0.20 and 0.60 m² for the entire sample. Each subsample was then filtered in the field using a 100 μm sieve net, preserved in plastic sealed bottles containing 70% ethanol, labeled and brought to the laboratory within the same day. A gauging station located at site 3—maintained by the Hydrographical Office of the Autonomous Province of Bolzano/Bozen—provided water discharge data throughout the entire length of the study to assess potential variations in discharge introduced by the activity of the SHP.

In the laboratory, samples were sorted without applying subsampling, and organisms were separated from the debris. All organisms were then counted and identified to the lowest possible taxonomic level (mostly genus) under a stereoscopic microscope at 50× magnification, referring to the appropriate literature (Olmi, 1978; Consiglio, 1980; Ferrarese and Rossaro, 1981; Rossaro, 1982; Belfiore, 1983; Nicolai, 1983; Rivosecchi, 1983; Lechthaler and Car, 2005; Lechthaler and Stockinger, 2005). We considered the issue of taxonomic ambiguities in our dataset, but given that ambiguous taxa are equally present in all our sites and their proportion in taxa richness and abundance is extremely low (see Scotti and Bottarin, 2021), we did not apply any
correction methods. In this way, we could preserve true rarity and abundance patterns across sampling sites (Cuffney et al., 2007; Meredith et al., 2019).

**Data Analysis Path**

As a first step, we used the iNEXT R package (Hsieh et al., 2016) to check the relationship between sample coverage vs. number of individuals retrieved and their diversity for Hill numbers of order 0, 1, 2 to assess whether our sampling depth was adequate. Then, for each subsample, we calculated, using the `diversity` function in the R package vegan (Oksanen et al., 2019), five faunal descriptors to characterize the community of macroinvertebrates: taxonomic richness (N0), density (individuals/m²), percent Ephemeroptera–Plecoptera–Tricoptera, the macroinvertebrates most sensitive to weir presence (% EPT) (Mueller et al., 2011), Shannon evenness (Hill’s ratio, E10) and Simpson evenness (Hill’s ratio, E20).

Applying an approach resembling that of Pardini et al. (2018), we used generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs) to apply a BACI analysis for each of the five formerly identified faunal indices. GLMMs are robust to unbalanced datasets (Pinheiro and Bates, 2006) and thus appropriate for our data, for which our BACI design consisted of a single “before” year (2015), multiple “after” years (2016, 2017, 2018, 2019), a single “control” site (site 1), and multiple “impact” sites (2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 3). For each faunal descriptor, using the `glmmTMB` function in the glmmTMB R package (Brooks et al., 2017), we ran a GLMM to determine the proportion of variance explained by the fixed effects of period (before-after) and treatment (control-impact) and their interaction (the actual BACI effect). The error distribution and link functions of the `glmmTMB` function were set accordingly to the numerical characteristics of the specific faunal descriptor (see Table 2 and “Data availability statement” section for the used...
code), whereas for the five GLMMs, we included site and year, and we tested their interaction term as random effects to generalize our inferences. Moreover, the procedure followed for each GLMM included the choice of the best-fitting model through Akaike’s information criterion (AIC), use of a possible observation-level random effect to account for overdispersion, inspection of residual and random effects, and test of significance through likelihood ratio test (Harrison et al., 2018).

Subsequently, to disentangle the inter- and intra-site and annual variability of the macroinvertebrate community, we performed an analysis of similarities (ANOSIM), corroborated by 9,999 permutations of class membership, that was based on a percentage difference distance matrix calculated from raw abundance data. Using the anosim function in the vegan R package (Oksanen et al., 2019), each site and year was tested separately, with either year or site being the grouping variable. Thus, a total of 11 different analyses (6 sites + 5 years) were conducted. To further differentiate and quantify the macroinvertebrate dissimilarities between sites and years, we ran a db-RDA (Legendre and Anderson, 1999) on Canoco5 (Šmilauer and Lepš, 2014) based on a percentage difference matrix—calculated from raw abundance data of samples (aggregating subsamples)—and on a factor representing the five sampling years of the study.

Moreover, spatial β-diversity—related to the “control” site, using subsamples—was partitioned into richness differences and replacement and was calculated to better disentangle the macroinvertebrate community dissimilarities identified thus far. We applied the beta.div.comp function in the R package adespatial (Dray et al., 2020) to the raw abundance data using the quantitative equivalent of the Sørensen’s index of the Podani group (Podani et al., 2013) since this form of the index is generally preferable in studies carried out within small spatial extents where species are largely the same (Legendre, 2014). Afterward, we again aggregated the subsamples and investigated the temporal β-diversity (Legendre, 2019) between each “after” sampling year and the “before” year, given the space-time dimension of our study. Using the TBI function included in adespatial (Dray et al., 2020), we derived the contribution over time of species gains and losses to the total dissimilarity, calculated as percentage difference.
and Sørensen dissimilarity on raw abundance and presence/absence data, respectively, to better understand whether dissimilarity stemmed from the actual appearance of new taxa or solely from differences in the abundances of the taxa. Summary and site-specific TBI output indices were tested for significance with a permutation test (9,999 permutations), and through the additional adespatial (Dray et al., 2020) function `tpaired.krandtest`, we tested whether the changes in each macroinvertebrate taxon between two different sampling years were significant (9,999 permutations). Since several taxa were tested simultaneously, the resulting `p`-values were corrected for multiple tests with Benjamini & Hochberg’s false discovery rate (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995) with the `p.adjust` function of the stats R package (R Core Team, 2020).

Finally, to avoid limiting the search of possible indicator/sensitive macroinvertebrate species to a specific pair of sampling years or sites, we ran an indicator species analysis first for all the possible combinations of years and then for all the possible combinations of sites, applying the `multipatt` function (9,999 permutations)—“IndVal.g” argument—of indicspecies (De Cáceres and Legendre, 2009) R package. Again, the resulting `p`-values were corrected for multiple tests with Benjamini & Hochberg’s false discovery rate (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995) with the `p.adjust` function of the stats R package (R Core Team, 2020) R package.

Apart from where otherwise explicitly indicated, all analyses were conducted using Microsoft R Open software, version 4.0.2 (Microsoft R Core Team, 2020). Graphics produced with ggplot2 (Wickham, 2011).

We provide the entire R code of this data analysis path in the “Data availability statement” section.

**RESULTS**

**Faunal Descriptors & Before-After-Control-Impact Analysis**

We collected 34,836 benthic macroinvertebrates, mainly from the families Nemouridae (28%), Chironomidae (21%), Baetidae (19%), Taeniopterygidae (13%), and Leuctridae (5%). The sampling depth was adequate for both the number and the diversity of the organisms retrieved. (Supplementary Figure S2). In general terms, taxonomic richness (N0), individual density, and Shannon evenness (E10) showed some important general patterns (Figure 2): 1) these faunal descriptors showed variability both in their intra-annual (between periods of the same year) and interannual (among years) components; 2) high values of evenness were generally coupled to low values of taxonomic richness and density, and vice versa; 3) a reduction of richness and density of benthic macroinvertebrates between the “before” and “after” study period was not detected; 4) sites from 1 to 2C (Figures 2A–D) differentiated clearly from sites 2D and 3 (Figures 2E,F), especially in terms of taxonomic richness and density; and 5) both the “before” year (2015) and the “control” site (site 1) were not distinctly different from the “after” years and the “impact” sites, respectively. When testing this last pattern with GLMMs, no significant BACI effect was found, and none of the five community descriptors shifted due to the presence of the hydropower plant (p > 0.05, Table 2; see also BACI interaction plots in Supplementary Figure S3). Moreover, the water discharge, measured at site 3, continued to show a constant water regime pattern throughout the whole study period (Figure 3).

**Spatial and Temporal β-Diversity**

When testing the macroinvertebrate communities of each site and year separately, with either year or site being the grouping variable, we found a higher intersite and interannual dissimilarity compared to the intra-site and intra-annual dissimilarities (except for 2019, ANOSIM, R > 0, p < 0.05, see Supplementary Table S1). The amount of the macroinvertebrate community variance explained by the sampling years was 36.7% (db-RDA, first axis: pseudo-F = 8.6, p = 0.0001; all axes: pseudo-F = 3.6, p = 0.0001, Figure 4). The results shown in Figure 4 demonstrate that macroinvertebrate communities differed across years, but the proximity of control site 1 to the other geographically close “impact” sites (i.e., 2A, 2B, 2C), even in 2016–2019, once again indicated no overall effect caused by the presence of the SHP (Figure 4).

To better analyze the identified macroinvertebrate community dissimilarities, we calculated spatial β-diversity related to the “control” site partitioned into richness difference and replacement (Figure 5). While these two components of dissimilarity did not show a clear trend through years and sites, the total dissimilarity was consistent throughout all the sampling years, showing a constant increase proceeding downstream (Figure 5): the average dissimilarity among control site 1 and the nearby “impact” sites 2A, 2B, 2C was quite low (0.24) but increased almost linearly when compared to sites 2D (0.55) and 3 (0.75).

Additionally, given the space-time dimension of our study, we investigated the temporal β-diversity between each “after” sampling year paired with the “before” year 2015 (Figure 6). When performed on abundance data (Figure 6A), macroinvertebrate community dissimilarity quantification showed values between 0.81 and 0.31, with the component representing taxa increase—C/(2A + B + C)—significantly accounting for approximately 88% of the mean dissimilarity calculated among all the pairs of sampling years at each site (TBI function tests: p < 0.05). Remarkably, trends of decreasing and increasing dissimilarity, despite differing in magnitude, were shared by the sampling sites altogether (both “control” and “impact”): for instance, 2018 represented the lowest community dissimilarity for all sites when compared to 2015. In contrast, the dissimilarity values on presence/absence data (Figure 6B) were between 0.39 and 0.16, and the taxa increase component represented only approximately 53% of the mean dissimilarity calculated among all the pairs sampling years at each site. As a consequence, the overall variation in taxa increase or decrease was not significant for any sampling-year pair, and the general trend of dissimilarity—despite being low in magnitude—was fairly variable among the different sites (tpaired.krandtest, p > 0.05).
Indeed, our understanding of the ecological conditions and stream health of the riverine environment, particularly in the context of the Saldur stream, hinges on the role of benthic macroinvertebrates, which were used as a proxy for changes in the impact by the run-of-river SHP of the Saldur stream on benthic macroinvertebrates. The study found no evidence of an interannual variation that does not appear to be influenced by the small hydropower plant.

However, when performing the IndVal analysis, 26 taxa were classified as “indicator taxa” (p < 0.01): 4 in relation to a year or temporal combination and 22 in relation to a site or a combination of sites. Nevertheless, none was associated with a specific habitat potentially introduced by the presence of the weir (Supplementary Table S2).

DISCUSSION

The main finding of our study is that we could not detect an impact by the run-of-river SHP of the Saldur stream on benthic macroinvertebrates, which were used as a proxy for changes in the ecological conditions and stream health of the riverine environment. Indeed, our first hypothesis of finding a significant BACI effect could not be confirmed. The main reason is potentially that both the hydrologic and sediment regimes—which we expected to change—were not influenced by the SHP activities: the water discharge continued to show the same patterns, and, referring to macroinvertebrates, found no evidence of long-term connectivity, the flow regime and its alterations are widely recognized as key drivers in shaping aquatic biodiversity; they directly influence the physical habitats of streams, shape the life history characteristics of riverine fauna and flora, and may also determine the success or failure of new exotic species (Bunn and Arthington, 2002).

The trends illustrated in Figure 2, which show an increase in dissimilarity and diversity of macroinvertebrate communities with increasing distance from the glacial source, are commonly recorded in glacier-fed streams worldwide (Milner et al., 2001), and they were found in the Saldur stream itself when the SHP was not yet operating and in other glacier-fed streams of the region (Lencioni, 2018; Scotti et al., 2019a). In fact, benthic macroinvertebrate communities living in glacier-fed streams comprise an extremely specialized pool of species (Muhlfeld et al., 2020). Following the outcome of several studies conducted worldwide, Milner et al. (2001) identified water temperature and channel stability of stream/riverbed as the key environmental parameters that contribute the most to shaping the communities of benthic macroinvertebrates in glacier-fed streams. The combination of these two components allows us to define where along a gradient of glacial meltwater, the appearance, disappearance, or replacement of species may be expected. This conceptual model of faunal distribution, despite not explicitly investigated in this paper, was tested in the Saldur stream under natural conditions by Scotti et al. (2019a) (i.e., before the “run-of-river” hydropower plant was established on the stream); the benthic macroinvertebrate communities fitted the habitat template model of Milner et al. (2001), and higher values of diversity and dissimilarity of the macroinvertebrate communities were found where glacial influence was reduced (sites 2D and 3). Here, at the same sampling sites as Scotti et al. (2019a), the identical pattern was registered even after the start of operations of the hydropower plant in terms of several faunal descriptors (taxonomic richness N0, Shannon evenness E10, Simpson evenness E20, see Figure 2) and spatial β-diversity (Figure 5).

Moreover, in glacier-fed streams, the water flow is tightly linked to the transport of sediments, especially during the months of glacier ablation (Milner et al., 2017). Concerning the effect of a potentially new sediment regime caused by the weir, we were unable to detect a diminishing trend of % Ephemeroptera-Plecoptera-Trichoptera (% EPT)—a reported direct consequence of the weir presence (Mueller et al., 2011)—and, among other species, we even found two plecopterans broadly present in all the sites upstream and downstream of the weir area (sites 1–2C, Supplementary Table S2). This indicates that a possible deposition of fine sediments upstream of the weir (site 2A) and at the outlet pipe of the desilting tank (site 2C) did not have an impact on the macroinvertebrate community. Our results are supported by other studies that, despite not referring to macroinvertebrates, found no evidence of long-term fine sediment accumulation caused by “run-of-river” dams (Csiki and Rhoads, 2014). In addition, we could not observe any decrease in the density and taxonomic richness of benthic macroinvertebrates caused by the presence of the SHP.
resource management are becoming the rule, rather than toward an era where trade-off policies regarding water crisis. Indeed, since the start of the twenty-first century, we have been living in a period of the global context. As a consequence, our second expectation of finding species associated with the habitats created by the weir must also be rejected, since we could not detect any specific macroinvertebrate whose presence or absence could be directly linked to the presence or activities of the SHP (Supplementary Table S2).

Furthermore, the temporal β-diversity analysis of presence/absence data (Figure 6B) clarified that community dissimilarities were mainly caused by, despite not being statistically significant (tpaired.krandtest, p > 0.05), variations in the density of the organisms and did not originate from the permanent appearance or disappearance of species. This result is supported by other studies conducted in comparable streams, where interannual climate variations (e.g., differences in snowpack) greatly influenced the densities and life-cycle behaviors of some benthic macroinvertebrates (Finn and Poff, 2008). In summary, even if the choice of sampling time (April/May, and September/October) was made to minimize the effect of glacial meltwater (Engel et al., 2016) and consequently to maximize the possibility of detecting an effect of the SHP on the benthic macroinvertebrates, the community patterns remained clearly driven by the influencing dynamics of glacial melting, and the overlapping of a potential disrupting factor caused by the activities of the SHP had no detectable effects on the macroinvertebrate assemblages, leading us to again reject our third hypothesis.

The results of this study gain additional value when placed into the global context. Indeed, since the start of the twenty-first century, we have been living in a period of the “global water crisis” (Bunn, 2016). Thus, we are moving increasingly toward an era where trade-off policies regarding water resource management are becoming the rule, rather than the exception (Tickner et al., 2017): an example is the necessity to balance energy production with biodiversity sustainability (Kareiva, 2012), since biodiversity conservation is considered one of the most powerful tools to achieve sustainability from a long-term perspective (Naeem et al., 2016). In this regard, strategic planning at the river basin scale is an effective tool to reach this balance and to select an optimal portfolio of dams—with neither SHPs nor LHPs precluded—able to meet both energy and conservation needs worldwide (Ziv et al., 2012). This approach could be part of the solution to the “inherent contradiction” regarding hydropower plants when related, for example, to the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs): on one hand, SDG 7 advocates to “ensure access to affordable, reliable, sustainable and modern energy for all”; on the other hand, SDG 14 recommends to “conserve and sustainably use life below water” (Kuriqi et al., 2021). Moreover, planning at this level would allow us to better consider crucial issues such as the social acceptance of new hydropower plants (Rygg et al., 2021), their weight in terms of the creation of a new, so-called “energy landscape” (Ferrario and Castiglia, 2017), and the benefits of locally produced energy (Rygg et al., 2021).

Concerning the present study, we recognize the potential limitations of our BACI (Smokorowski and Randall, 2017), mainly because of the presence of only one “before” year and because our study was conducted in a fish-free, glacier-fed, high-gradient stream—where catchment slope contributes to minimizing the potential impact of a weir. However, most “run-of-river” SHPs in mountain areas are placed in this type of stream, and our results show that the presence of an SHP does not automatically imply an increase in habitat fragmentation and deterioration between the upstream and downstream stretches of a stream/river, especially when environmental flows are correctly managed and multiple-cascade systems of SHPs are not overdeveloped (Kuriqi et al., 2021). In addition, as advocated...
by some authors (Anderson et al., 2015; Kuriqi et al., 2021), our study is among the first to consider potential environmental impacts even upstream of diversion structures.

Nevertheless, to allow a broad generalization of our results, further studies considering the different sizes, designs, operational measures, and cumulative impacts of SHP—as well as the sharing of good design principles and practices among professionals—are vital for supporting both informed scientific debates and policy decisions (Couto and Olden, 2018; Lange et al., 2018). This study represents a first step in this direction, empirically demonstrating that SHPs represent an opportunity in the abovementioned energy planning process; they may indeed constitute an important resource for pursuing the climate and sustainability target plans set by the international community, especially at the local level, given the limitations of LHPs related to carbon neutrality (Wehrlí, 2011).
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