INTRODUCTION

Posterior instrumentation with pedicle screw fixation is the current standard of care for adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS). In 1995, Suk, et al. reported the radiological outcomes of 78 patients and noted improved coronal balance and less correction loss at 2 years with screws than with hybrid constructs. Since then, application of pedicle screws to the thoracic spine has become popular in the surgical management of AIS. In 2004, Shufflebarger, et al. also reported that using a wide posterior release and posterior pedicle screws to correct lumbar and thoracolumbar AIS resulted in a coronal correction of 80% with good sagittal alignment at 2 years after surgery. Several studies that compared anterior spinal instrumentation with pedicle screw instrumentation for the treatment of thoracolumbar or lumbar AIS showed no statistical difference in coronal or sagittal curve correction at 2 years after surgery. Correction with posterior screw fixation helps in multidimensional spinal correction.

Although posterior correction with pedicle screws is known to be an important and useful surgical technique in the treatment of AIS, controversy exists on the optimal density of the screws and on the resulting amount of correction, loss of cor-
rection, and changes in distal adjacent segments. As the number of pedicle screws increases, the amount of force applied to correct the deformity can also be greater. Although the average major curve correction was reported to be approximately 50–60%, little information is available about the relationship between pedicle screw density and correction rates of AIS.

The purpose of this study was to analyze radiological outcomes in patients with AIS who underwent posterior correction with high-density pedicle screw-only constructs. We hypothesized that high-density pedicle screw-only constructs in AIS would provide a high correction rate and would facilitate the maintenance of the correction or obviate the loss thereof.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

After obtaining Institutional Review Board approval (Asan Medical Center, IRB No: 2017-0894), we conducted a retrospective review of all patients who underwent posterior correction and fusion with high-density pedicle screw-only constructs at our institution with a minimum follow-up of 5 years. The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) AIS diagnosis; 2) posterior-only surgery with all pedicle screw constructs; 3) number of pedicle screws per one vertebra ≥1.6; and 4) ≥5 years follow-up. Patients who underwent additional anterior release surgery or thoracotomy procedures were excluded. We also excluded patients with other specific etiologies of scoliosis, including congenital scoliosis, neuromuscular scoliosis, and various syndromes.

A total of 463 consecutive patients underwent correction surgery using high-density pedicle screws for AIS between January 2002 and December 2011. Twenty-two patients were excluded because they underwent anterior release, and follow-up data for ≥5 years were not available for 317 patients. Therefore, 124 patients who underwent posterior-only surgery with all pedicle screw constructs were finally included. Demographic data, including age, sex, fusion level, number of screws, Lenke curve type, Risser stage, and follow-up period, were retrospectively collected from electronic medical records.

Radiological measurements

Radiological data were obtained from the picture archiving communication system of our institution. Radiological parameters were measured in whole-spine standing anteroposterior and lateral radiographs taken preoperatively in the standing position with full extended arms and straight gaze, and all patients were positioned uniformly in this posture in postoperative, 2-year follow-up, and 5-year follow-up time points. The following factors were measured: proximal thoracic (PT), main thoracic (MT), and thoracolumbar/lumbar (TL/L) Cobb angles; percentage of flexibility; distance between the C7 plumb line and the center sacral vertical line (C7-CSVL); distance between the center of the last instrumented vertebra and CSVL (LIV-CSVL); sagittal vertical axis (SVA); thoracic kyphosis (TK); and lumbar lordosis (LL). Flexibility was measured on preoperative passive side-bending radiographs, and flexibility rate (FR) was calculated using the following formula: FR (%) = \( \frac{\text{upright angle} - \text{side-bending angle}}{\text{upright angle}} \times 100 \). The average curve correction was calculated using the following formula: average curve correction (%) = \( \frac{\text{average preoperative coronal Cobb angle} - \text{average postoperative coronal Cobb angle}}{\text{average preoperative coronal Cobb angle}} \times 100 \). The SVA was defined as the horizontal distance from the plumb line falling from the center of C7 to the posterosuperior corner of S1. TK was measured between the upper endplate of T5 and the lower endplate of T12, and LL was measured between the upper endplate of L1 and the upper endplate of S1.

Additionally, the shoulder height difference (SHD), apical vertebral rotation (AVR), apical vertebral translation (AVT), lowest instrumented vertebral tilt (LIVT), and distal adjacent disc wedging (ADW) were measured. SHD was defined as the difference in height between the upper margins of both acromioclavicular joints and was deemed positive when the right was higher than the left acromioclavicular joint. AVR was graded using the Nash-Moe method: grade 0, neutral position (no rotation); grade 1, the pedicle in the concave side (the right side) starts disappearing; grade 2, the pedicle disappears; grade 3, the contralateral pedicle (pedicle in the convex side) is in the midline of the vertebra; and grade 4, the contralateral pedicle crosses the midline of the vertebra. AVT was assessed according to the distance of the center of the apical vertebra to the CSVL. LIVT was the angle between the lower endplate of the LIV and the horizontal line. ADW was defined as the angle between the lower endplate of the distal adjacent vertebra and the horizontal line. LIVT and ADW were deemed positive when the tilt faced the convex side of the instrumented thoracic curve.

Surgical technique

All patients underwent posterior surgery with the rod derotation method with pedicle screw fixation: all surgeries were performed by a single surgeon (C.S.L.). The patient was positioned prone with both hips and knees at 20° flexion on a table (Jackson Spinal Table System, OSI, Union City, CA, USA) compatible for a C-arm fluoroscope. After posterior exposure of the spine, the C-arm fluoroscope was set up to obtain a posteroanterior image. Once the C-arm fluoroscope was positioned at the target vertebra, the C-arm was gradually rotated until a true posteroanterior view of the rotated vertebral body was acquired and both pedicles were symmetrically visualized en face. Data from thin-sectioned CT scans including the approximate rotation angle of each vertebra served as references. Pedicle screws were placed after obtaining symmetric pedicle images. At each level, screws were first placed on the concave side of where the operator stood. On the basis of the round pedicle outline on the C-arm image, the entry points were determined at 10 o’clock and 2 o’clock positions on the
right and left pedicles. Preoperative CT scans were performed for all patients, and the C-arm was used for the placement of each pedicle screw. Screws were placed in all pedicles if possible. A titanium alloy implant system (4.5, 5.5, and 6.5 mm in diameter) was used for all patients, and 5.5 mm cobalt-chrome alloy rods system were used for the assembly.

Fusion level selection was based on the classification criteria proposed by King, et al.14 and Lenke, et al.15 Although definite guidelines for the determination of the upper and lower instrumented vertebrae have not yet been established, we have published a review article on five major controversial issues regarding fusion level selection in corrective surgery for AIS.16

Statistical analysis
Data management and statistical analyses were performed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (version 18.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Descriptive statistical data are reported as means, ranges, and standard deviations where appropriate. All longitudinal analyses for variables that were measured at more than two time points were performed with mixed-model one-way analyses of variance with available data from each patient. A value of p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
A total of 124 patients (98 females and 26 males, 79% females), with a minimum follow-up of 5 years (mean 6.15±1.63 years), were examined. The mean patient age was 15.48 years (18.5±39.4 months). The average Risser grade was 2.83±1.33. The demographic data of patients are described in Table 1. Most of the patients had Lenke type 1 curves (48, 38.7%), whereas 24 (19.4%) had Lenke type 2, 19 had Lenke type 3, 19 had Lenke type 5, 7 had Lenke type 4, and 7 had Lenke type 6 curves (Table 2). The average number of screws per one vertebra was 1.96±0.09, with the average number of levels fused being 10.55±1.74 (range 7–15) (Table 1).

Coronal parameters
The PT curve averaged 26.3°±13.3° and was corrected to 13.6°±9.3° postoperatively (48.3% correction) (p<0.001), with maintenance of correction measuring 12.3°±9.5° and 11.8°±8.9° at 2-year and 5-year follow-up evaluation (p=0.810 and 0.928), respectively. From the preoperative to the immediate postoperative period, the coronal C7 plumb line shifted to the left side, and the mean C7-CSVL was significantly different between the two time points (p=0.001), with maintenance of correction at the postoperative follow-up. There was no significant difference in LIV-CSVL values at serial follow-up (Table 3, Fig. 1).

Sagittal parameters
Although there was no statistically significant change in SVA immediately after surgery or during the follow-up duration of 5 years (p=0.088, 0.617, and 0.582), the C7 sagittal plumb line slightly moved backward during the 5-year follow-up period. The preoperative TK angle (9.6°±7.8°) did not significantly change postoperatively (11.5°±6.0°, p=0.233). However, there were gradual increments from the preoperative time point to the 2-year and 5-year follow-up time points of 13.0°±7.5° and 13.0°±7.5° (p=0.005 and 0.004), respectively. The LL showed a similar pattern. The preoperative and postoperative average LL angle measured 46.1°±12.0° and 49.6°±12.1°, respectively.

| Table 1. Preoperative Demographic and Radiographic Data
| Variables | Mean | SD | Range |
|-----------|------|----|-------|
| Age (yr)  | 15.48| 3.28| 10.0–17.8 |
| Sex, M:F  | 26 (21%):98 (79%) |
| Risser    | 2.83 | 1.33 | - |
| Follow-up period (yr) | 6.15 | 1.63 | 5.2–14.8 |
| Fusion level | 10.55 | 1.74 | 7–15 |
| Number of screws | 20.71 | 3.57 | 13–28 |
| Screw density (screw/one vertebra) | 1.96 | 0.09 | 1.6–2.0 |
| Pelvic incidence (°) | 45.8 | 12.0 | 21–78 |
| Proximal thoracic | |
| Standing (*) | 26.3 | 13.3 | 3–103 |
| Side-bending (*) | 16.5 | 12.0 | 1–95 |
| Flexibility (%) | 38.7 | 22.66 | 19–96 |
| Main thoracic | |
| Standing (*) | 54.0 | 13.4 | - |
| Side-bending (*) | 26.1 | 14.1 | 1–106 |
| Flexibility (%) | 52.75 | 19.32 | 15–98 |
| Thoracolumbar/lumbar | |
| Standing (*) | 35.8 | 13.1 | - |
| Side-bending (*) | 14.0 | 9.4 | 0–40 |
| Flexibility (%) | 61.84 | 20.95 | 0–100 |

M, male; F, female; SD, standard deviation.

| Table 2. Lenke Curve Type of All Patients
| Type | Frequency (cases) | Percentage (%) |
|------|------------------|----------------|
| 1    | 48               | 38.7           |
| 2    | 24               | 19.4           |
| 3    | 19               | 15.3           |
| 4    | 7                | 5.6            |
| 5    | 19               | 15.3           |
| 6    | 7                | 5.6            |
However, compared with the preoperative angle, there were statistically significant differences and improvement in the 2-year follow-up average of 52.5°±10.5° (p<0.001) and the 5-year follow-up average of 53.6°±9.9° (p<0.001) (Table 4, Fig. 2).

**Additional radiographic parameters**

The AVT averaged 31.81±35.20 mm and was improved to 10.77±8.72 mm postoperatively (p<0.001), with maintenance of improvement measuring 10.38±7.50 mm and 8.33±9.02 mm at 2-year and 5-year follow-up evaluation (p=0.999 and 0.871), respectively. The preoperative average AVR measured 1.26±0.66, with average improvement of 0.98±0.49 postoperatively (p=0.003), 0.97±0.54 at 2-year follow-up (p=0.999), and 0.96±0.53 at 5-year follow-up (p=0.999). The preoperative LIVT averaged 19.2°±9.3° and improved to 4.7°±3.4° postoperatively (p<0.001), with maintenance of correction measuring 4.2°±3.7° and 4.5°±3.5° at 2-year and 5-year follow-up (p=0.902 and 0.983), respectively. The preoperative average ADW measured 5.6°±4.0°, with average improvement to 2.1°±2.6° postoperatively (p<0.001), 2.9°±3.2° at 2-year follow-up (p=0.524), and 2.8°±6.5° at 5-year follow-up (p=0.998). The right shoulder was higher than the left shoulder before surgery; however, the left shoulder became higher than the right shoulder immediately after surgery. The change in SHD was significantly different. However, as SHD gradually decreased over time, the shoulders became more balanced at final follow-up (Table 5).

**Complications**

There were no major complications other than low-grade late...
implant-associated infections (three cases). All patients successfully recovered after first-generation antibiotic treatment. There were neither acute infections nor neurological complications or pedicle screw-related complications, such as crankshaft phenomena. No patients underwent re-operation until the final follow-up.

### DISCUSSION

Surgical treatment of spinal deformities began with the development of the Harrington device in 1962, followed by Luque and Galveston rods, and sublaminar wires were the mainstay of treatment for several years. However, these techniques were limited by their inability to correct the deformity of scoliosis three-dimensionally. In the 1980s, Cotrel and Dubousset developed the Cotrel-Dubousset implant and introduced a three-dimensional correction method to restore sagittal alignment through 90° derotation of a rod. Suk’s thoracic pedicle screw application and direct vertebral rotation technique also contributed substantially to three-plane correction.

Posterior pedicle screw constructs have currently become the primary surgical strategy for AIS, providing better three-dimensional correction and mechanical fixation and lower revision rates than hybrid constructs. Several studies have shown that pedicle screw-only constructs provide better curve correction than hybrid or hook-only constructs. However, controversy remains about the optimal screw density of pedicle screw constructs. Although some authors have described the use of screws on every vertebra in the fusion region, Min et al. reported that a comparable correction of 63% in the MT curve was achieved by using only 9.48 pedicle screws per pa-

### Table 4. Comparison of Sagittal Parameters at Preoperative, Immediately Postoperative, 2-Year, and 5-Year Follow-Up Time Points

| Parameter               | Mean  | SD   | p value (preop vs. 2-yr F/U) | p value (preop vs. 5-yr F/U) |
|-------------------------|-------|------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Sagittal vertical axis (mm) |       |      |                             |                             |
| Preop.                  | 1.84  | 25.28|                             |                             |
| Imm. postop.            | -7.56 | 31.98| 0.088                       |                             |
| Postop. 2-year          | -7.70 | 26.89| 0.617                       | 0.365                       |
| Postop. 5-year          | -7.78 | 29.05| 0.582                       | 0.451                       |
| Thoracic kyphosis (°)   |       |      |                             |                             |
| Preop.                  | 9.6   | 7.8  |                             |                             |
| Imm. postop.            | 11.5  | 6.0  | 0.233                       |                             |
| Postop. 2-year          | 13.0  | 7.5  | 0.467                       | 0.005*                      |
| Postop. 5-year          | 13.0  | 7.5  | 1.000                       | 0.004*                      |
| Lumbar lordosis (°)     |       |      |                             |                             |
| Preop.                  | 46.1  | 12.0 |                             |                             |
| Imm. postop.            | 49.6  | 12.1 | 0.111                       |                             |
| Postop. 2-year          | 52.5  | 10.5 | 0.269                       | <0.001*                     |
| Postop. 5-year          | 53.6  | 9.9  | 0.882                       | <0.001*                     |

SD, standard deviation; Preop., preoperative; Imm. postop., immediately postoperative.

*Statistically significant.

---

**Fig. 2.** Whole spine lateral X-ray series of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis patient. (A) Standing lateral radiograph of a 15-year-old girl with a 55° thoracic and a 43° lumbar curve. (B) Immediate postoperative follow-up radiograph after T4-L4 fusion. (C) Two-year follow-up radiograph showing stable correction. (D) Five-year follow-up radiograph showing good maintenance of thoracic kyphosis and lumbar lordosis.
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Table 5. Comparison of Additional Radiographic Parameters at Preoperative, Immediately Postoperative, 2-Year, and 5-Year Follow-Up Time Points

|                                | Mean  | SD    | p value |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|---------|
| Apical vertebra translation (mm) |       |       |         |
| Preop.                         | 31.81 | 35.20 |         |
| Imm. postop.                   | 10.77 | 8.72  | <0.001* |
| Postop. 2-year                 | 10.38 | 7.50  | 0.999   |
| Postop. 5-year                 | 8.33  | 9.02  | 0.871   |
| Apical vertebra rotation (grade) |       |       |         |
| Preop.                         | 1.26  | 0.66  |         |
| Imm. postop.                   | 0.98  | 0.49  | 0.003*  |
| Postop. 2-year                 | 0.97  | 0.54  | 0.999   |
| Postop. 5-year                 | 0.96  | 0.53  | 0.999   |
| Distal adjacent disc wedging (°) |       |       |         |
| Preop.                         | 5.6   | 4.0   |         |
| Imm. postop.                   | 2.1   | 2.6   | <0.001* |
| Postop. 2-year                 | 2.9   | 3.2   | 0.524   |
| Postop. 5-year                 | 2.8   | 6.5   | 0.998   |
| Shoulder height difference (mm) |       |       |         |
| Preop.                         | 10.58 | 11.99 |         |
| Imm. postop.                   | -14.20| 14.61 | <0.001* |
| Postop. 2-year                 | -7.36 | 9.10  | <0.001* |
| Postop. 5-year                 | -6.22 | 9.61  | 0.893   |

SD, standard deviation; Preop., preoperative; Imm. postop., immediately postoperative.
*Statistically significant.

tient, meaning an implant density of one screw per vertebra or a 50% implant density. The correction rate in most studies with skipped pedicle screw fixation was reported to be <70%. Suk et al. reported that correction rate of thoracic curve was 69%, with a 3% loss of correction at recent follow-up, by skipped pedicle screw fixation. Also, Uehara et al. reported a MT curve correction rate of 69% at final follow-up of skip pedicle screw fixation. Meanwhile, however, Yu et al. reported a correction rate for a major curve of 72.7% by an all-screw fixation method. In the current study, an average of 1.96 pedicle screws per one vertebra were placed, providing similar results to Yu et al., with excellent coronal curve correction rates: PT, 48.3% (p<0.001); MT, 83.1% (p<0.001); and TL/L curve, 80.2% (p<0.001). Through an indirect comparison, we suggest that high-density pedicle screw-only constructs in AIS achieve a high correction rate; however, our study does not provide a definite answer because of the absence of a control group.

In this study, coronal profiles remained stable at the final follow-up with no significant change, compared with the immediate postoperative period. Larson et al. also documented improved percentage correction of the major coronal curve in a high-screw density cohort. Additionally, AVT, AVR, LIVT, ADW, and SHD were significantly improved and well maintained during the follow-up period. In particular, the left shoulder tended to become higher than the right shoulder in the immediate postoperative period. This suggests that high-density pedicle screw constructs may allow for a greater correction force to be applied without screw pull-out at the apical vertebra. The correcting force comes from rod rotation maneuver not from the pedicle screw construct. However, SHD was gradually restored at the 2-year and 5-year follow-up periods.

Restoration of TK is imperative in AIS surgery because rotational deformity results in hypokyphosis in most patients. The effect of screw density on TK restoration has been reported in a study, with controversial results. Larson et al. and Lonner et al. reported that an increasing number of screws was related to decreasing kyphosis at the final follow-up. However, Liu et al. documented that a high screw density provided better TK restoration than a low pedicle screw density. In the current study, the TK angle slightly increased by approximately 2° immediately after surgery, and TK was gradually restored to 3° at the 2-year follow-up and to 4° at the 5-year follow-up. This gradual increase in TK angle may be due to measurement errors, minimal screw loosening, or overall settling mechanism. Although the change in TK angle immediately after surgery was not statistically significant, we believe that TK was restored and maintained well because of the greater correction power of high-density pedicle screw constructs. The LL followed a similar pattern. The increase in LL angle was statistically significant at the 2-year and 5-year follow-up periods. Whereas most of the thoracic curves would have been fused, the lumbar curve might not have been fused, or even if it was fused, the fusion did not reach below the L3 level to save motion segments. However, we had applied pedicle screws up to L4 for increasing correction power in some cases. Therefore, there may have been a change in the remaining lumbar segments, resulting in improvement of LL over time after surgery.

Our study has several limitations. It was not a prospective study, and we could not compare constructs with different screw densities. Also, we did not consider potential cofounders, such as Risser stage, that might have an effect on the correction rate afforded by the high-density pedicle screw constructs. Also, there is the possibility of bias by including Lenke 5 in the results. There have already been extensive reports on the safety and efficacy of high-density pedicle screw constructs for the treatment of AIS. However, our patients had very high screw densities because we always tried to place screws in every pedicle. This is the most substantial point of difference compared with previous reports. Notwithstanding, to the best of our knowledge, this is the largest consecutive series involving Asian patients with AIS who were treated with high-density pedicle screws and had a minimum of 5-year follow-up. Although functional scoring of patients, such as Scoliosis Research Society
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scores, is important in reporting surgical results, clinical data assessments were not available because we have only recently started to implement functional evaluation. Further research is required with clinical data and long-term follow-up to validate the advantages of high-density screw constructs in AIS surgery.

In conclusion, we obtained excellent correction rates of 48.3% for PT, 83.1% for MT, and 80.2% for TL/L curve using high-density pedicle screw-only constructs in AIS, with no significant loss of correction at final follow-up.
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