Exploiting the Fanconi Anemia Pathway for Targeted Anti-Cancer Therapy
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Genome instability, primarily caused by faulty DNA repair mechanisms, drives tumorigenesis. Therapeutic interventions that exploit deregulated DNA repair in cancer have made considerable progress by targeting tumor-specific alterations of DNA repair factors, which either induces synthetic lethality or augments the efficacy of conventional chemotherapy and radiotherapy. The study of Fanconi anemia (FA), a rare inherited blood disorder and cancer predisposition syndrome, has been instrumental in understanding the extent to which DNA repair defects contribute to tumorigenesis. The FA pathway functions to resolve blocked replication forks in response to DNA interstrand cross-links (ICLs), and accumulating knowledge of its activation by the ubiquitin-mediated signaling pathway has provided promising therapeutic opportunities for cancer treatment. Here, we discuss recent advances in our understanding of FA pathway regulation and its potential application for designing tailored therapeutics that take advantage of deregulated DNA ICL repair in cancer.

MECHANISM OF DNA ICL REPAIR IN THE FA PATHWAY

Fanconi anemia (FA) is a chromosomal instability syndrome characterized by developmental abnormalities, progressive bone marrow failure, and increased cancer susceptibility (D’Andrea, 2010). Bone marrow failure is the main cause of childhood mortality in FA patients, and unfortunately, most children with FA develop myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) or acute myeloid leukemia (AML) (Garaycoechea and Patel, 2014; Kee et al., 2012). Moreover, patients who live into adulthood are predisposed to additional malignancies, including head and neck, gynecological, and gastrointestinal cancers. Thus, the study of FA has provided important insights into the pathogenesis of cancer and could lead to the development of anti-cancer therapeutics for the general population.

FA is caused by germ-line mutations in one of the 17 currently known FA genes whose gene products participate in a common DNA repair pathway called the FA pathway (Kim and D’Andrea, 2012). The pathway functions to resolve DNA interstrand cross-links (ICLs), lethal DNA lesions that block DNA replication and transcription (Clauson et al., 2013; Deans and West, 2011). It is also required to buffer against the genotoxic effects of reactive aldehydes naturally produced inside the cells (Langervin et al., 2011). The FA pathway coordinates various steps in ICL repair: recognition and unhooking of ICLs, translesion DNA synthesis (TLS), and homologous recombination (HR) (Kottemann and Smogorzewska, 2013). Central to the FA pathway is the conjugation of monoubiquitin to FANCD2 at Lys561 and, to a lesser extent, its binding partner FANCI at Lys523 in human (Garcia-Higuera et al., 2001; Smogorzewska et al., 2007). The ubiquitinated FANCD2-FANCI complex promotes downstream nucleolytic incisions near the ICL and TLS past the lesion (Knipscheer et al., 2009). This step is activated by the multi-subunit ubiquitin E3 ligase complex, also known as the FA core complex, which is composed of eight FANC proteins (FANCA/B/C/E/F/G/L/M) along with the accessory proteins, FAAP100, FAAP20, and FAAP24 (Walden and Deans, 2014). The FA pathway senses an ICL-mediated stalled replication fork, and the FAAP24 heterodimer in the complex recognizes DNA damage caused by the DNA ICL and induces Ataxia-telangiectasia and Rad3-related (ATR)-dependent activation of the FA core complex (Collis et al., 2008). The auxiliary proteins, histone-fold containing kinetochore protein MHF1 and MHF2, promote constitutive association of FANCM to chromatin (Singh et al., 2010; Yan et al., 2010). FANCM contains a DEAH translocase domain at its N-terminus (Meetei et al., 2005). Although not required for FANCD2 monoubiquitination, ATPase activity of FANCM is essential for remodeling and stabilization of replication forks, and activation of the cell cycle checkpoint (Collis et al., 2012; Gari et al., 2008; Schwab et al., 2010).

Although the mechanisms of ICL repair are not yet fully understood, the most detailed model derived from studies in Xenopus egg extracts suggests that two DNA replication forks converge on an ICL to initiate repair (Fig. 1A) (Zhang et al., 2015). The ubiquitinated FANCD2-FANCI heterodimer is relocalized to a converged fork near the ICL and controls nucleolytic incision to release the ICL from one of the two strands (Knipscheer et al., 2009). FANCD2 monoubiquitin (FANCD2-Ub) has been implicated in the recruitment of several structure-specific nucleases, which are responsible for unhooking the DNA ICL (Fig. 1B). FANCP/SLX4 functions as a scaffold that targets structure-specific nucleases such as the...
ERCC1-FANCC/XPF heterodimer and SLX1 to a lesion, utilizing its UBZ4 (ubiquitin-binding zinc finger 4) motif that recognizes FANCD2-Ub (Cybulski and Howlett, 2011; Yamamoto et al., 2011). Recent biochemical and genetic studies indicated that nuclease activity of the ERCC1-XPF complex plays a prominent role in carrying out unhooking incisions, which is controlled by the presence of SLX4 (Hodskinson et al., 2014; Klein Douwel et al., 2014). Interestingly, mutations in FA-Q patients disrupt the function of XPF in ICL repair, without altering its function in nucleotide excision repair (NER), highlighting the multifunctional activity of the XPF endonuclease (Bogliolo et al., 2013). SLX4 also participates in diverse genome maintenance pathways including telomere maintenance and regulation of DNA damage checkpoints (Kim, 2014). Interestingly, the SLX4 complex was recently shown to function as a SUMO E3 ligase that sumoylates XPF and counteracts replication stress (Guervilly et al., 2015).

The incision step converts the lagging strand into a DSB at the stalled fork. TLS, a DNA damage tolerance mechanism mediated by specialized TLS polymerases (Chang and Cimprich, 2009), allows a nascent leading strand to bypass the unhooked adduct and extend from primer-template termini to resume replication (Fig. 1C). The 5' exonuclease SNM1A may promote this process by digesting the unhooked cross-link intermediate, generating a preferred substrate for TLS polymerases (Wang et al., 2011). The active site for TLS polymerases is less restricted, and TLS polymerases can thus accommodate base mismatches and distorted base-parings. The precise mechanism for the recruitment of TLS polymerases to DNA ICL lesions remains elusive, but the FA core complex has been shown to facilitate this process (Budzowska et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2012). Among TLS polymerases, Pol ξ (a REV3-REV7 heterodimer) and REV1 play a key role in replication-dependent ICL repair. Previous genetic studies in chicken DT40 cells indicated that Rev1 and Rev3 are epistatic with FANCC in cisplatin sensitivity (Niedzwiedz et al., 2004). Pol ξ has been shown to extend the lesion bypass step of ICL repair that occurs in Xenopus egg extracts (Raschle et al., 2008). Although REV1 has deoxycytidyl transferase activity to insert dCMP opposite an ICL, it plays a more structural role to facilitate polymerase switching between different TLS polymerases, and coordinates insertion and extension steps (Lehmann et al., 2007). Indeed, recent structural analysis revealed the formation of a quaternary TLS polymerase complex consisting of the C-terminal domain (CTD) of REV1, heterodimeric Pol ξ and Pol κ, thereby highlighting the role of the REV1 CTD in a scaffold that simultaneously binds these polymerases (Wojtaszek et al., 2012). Given the diverse structures formed by distinct ICL-inducing agents, each ICL lesion may be processed by a combination of specific TLS polymerases with unique substrate preferences (Guainazzi and Schärer, 2010).

Another important step following nucleolytic incision is repairing replication-associated DSBs, which is mediated by HR. A sister chromatid restored by TLS is used as a template for strand invasion by the 3' overhang of a lagging strand to restore
The deubiquitinating enzyme USP1 regulates the level of FANCD2-Ub (Nijman et al., 2005). USP1 associates with its activating factor UAF1, and the USP1-UAF1 complex removes monoubiquitin from FANCD2 to complete the repair (Cohn et al., 2007) (Fig. 1D). In addition to its stimulatory role, UAF1 is also necessary for recruiting the FANCD2-FANCI complex to USP1 (Yang et al., 2011). The Usp1 knockout mouse exhibits FA phenotypes, and Usp1+/- cells are hypersensitive to DNA cross-linking agents, indicating that timely deubiquitination process is essential for the integrity of the FA pathway (Kim et al., 2009). However, the precise timing and location of deubiquitination of FANCD2 remain to be resolved.

**REGULATION OF FANCD2 ACTIVATION BY THE FA CORE COMPLEX**

**Functional modules of the FA core complex and its regulation**

FANCD2 monoubiquitination by the FA core complex is a key regulatory step in the FA pathway as it connects an upstream DNA damage response (DDR) to downstream enzymatic DNA repair processes. Therefore, the activity of the FA core complex needs to be tightly controlled. A variety of posttranslational modifications and protein-protein interactions maintain the integrity of the complex and regulate its enzymatic activity (Fig. 2). Among the components of the complex, only the FANCL subunit has known catalytic activity mediated by a RING domain (Meetei et al., 2003). The other subunits appear to function as structural and regulatory elements. Notably, disruption of the interaction between FANCL and its ubiquitin E2 conjugating enzyme UBE2T caused by *UBE2T* mutations in FA patients leads to compromised FANCD2 monoubiquitination, suggesting that *UBE2T* (FANCT) mutations define a new FA subtype (Hira et al., 2015). Recent biochemical and genetic experiments classified the FA core complex into three distinct modules, namely, the FANCB-FANCL-FAAP100 core catalytic unit, and the FANCA-FANCG-FAAP20 and FANCC-FANCE-FANCF auxiliary units (Huang et al., 2014; Rajendra et al., 2014). A minimal FANCB-FANCL-FAAP100 subcomplex is sufficient to monoubiquitinate FANCD2 *in vitro* (Rajendra et al., 2014). The other modules are necessary for stabilizing the FA core complex and achieving its maximal activity. For instance, the N-terminus of FANCF connects three modules to the FANCM anchor complex (Deans and West, 2009). The C-terminus of FANCE is required for recruiting the FANCD2-FANCI heterodimer to the FA core complex to facilitate FANCD2 monoubiquitination (Polito et al., 2014). The N-terminus of FAAP20 interacts with FANCA and prevents it from undergoing uncontrolled degradation (Kim et al., 2012; Leung et al., 2012). Deficiency in FANCA also results in destabilization of its binding partners FANCG and FAAP20, and hypersensitivity to ICL-inducing agents, highlighting its role as a scaffold to preserve the integrity of the complex. However, it remains unclear why the FA core complex consists of at least ten subunits that do not appear to have any homology or evolutionary connections, and what precise roles each module exerts.

**DNA damage response and FA pathway activation**

ATR-dependent phosphorylation is essential for activation of the FA pathway. Recognition of ICL-stalled replication forks by the FANCM-FAAP24 heterodimer, which recruits replication protein A (RPA) and the human homolog of the Caenorhabditis elegans biological clock protein CLK-2 (HCLK2), initiates the activation of the ATR-mediated checkpoint and the FA core complex (Collins et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2010). Multiple components of the FA core complex are activated by phosphorylation during the stress response. For instance, disruption of ATR-dependent FANCM phosphorylation at Ser1045 compromises CHK1 activation and FANCD2 monoubiquitination (Singh et al., 2013). CHK1-mediated phosphorylation of FANCE at Thr346 and Ser374, and
ATR-dependent FANCA phosphorylation at Ser1449 are required for the functional integrity of the FA pathway (Collins et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2007). The FANCD2-FANCI heterodimer itself is phosphorylated in a DNA damage-dependent manner. FANCD2 phosphorylation at Thr691 and Ser717 promotes FANCD2 monoubiquitination and the intra-S-phase checkpoint (Ho et al., 2006). FANCI contains a cluster of SQ/TQ phosphorylation sites near its ubiquitination site, and mutations of these residues abrogate FANCD2 monoubiquitination (Ishii et al., 2008). Conversely, FANCI phosphomimetic mutations enhance FANCD2 activation, indicating that the level of FANCI phosphorylation dictates FANCD2 activation. Interestingly, the three-dimensional structure of the FANCD2-FANCI heterodimer revealed a unique FANCD2 interacting domain in FANCI, which undergoes a conformational change that stabilizes its interaction with FANCD2 (Joo et al., 2011). Structural reorganization of the heterodimer mediated by ATR-dependent FANCI phosphorylation may render FANCD2 suitable for monoubiquitination, although how FANCD2 monoubiquitination is coordinated with FANCI phosphorylation is unclear.

**Fine-tuning FA pathway activation**

Recent studies demonstrated roles of SUMOylation that regulate FANCD2 activation. The FANCD2-FANCI heterodimer is SUMOylated following replication stress in an ATR-dependent manner, and SUMOylated FANCD2 is a target for the SUMO-targeted ubiquitin E3 ligase (STUbL) RNF4, which results in polyubiquitination and removal of FANCD2 from damage sites via the DVC1-p97 ubiquitin segregase complex (Gibbs-Seymour et al., 2015). Improper clearance of FANCD2 due to disruption of SUMO signaling compromises cellular survival against replication stress, suggesting that timely inactivation of FANCD2 is required for the functional integrity of the FA pathway.

Integrated SUMO-ubiquitin signaling also regulates FANCA degradation. Characterization of a breast cancer patient with a unique FANCA mutation that disrupts the interaction with FAAP20 revealed that in the absence of FAAP20 interaction, a FANCA SUMOylation site becomes exposed, which initiates proteasome-dependent FANCA degradation mediated by RNF4 (Xie et al., 2015). Aberrant accumulation of FANCA at sites of repair by the loss of RNF4 may prevent replication fork restarting and thus inhibit completion of DNA repair. Notably, SUMO modification has been shown to occur simultaneously at multiple sites of several proteins during DSB repair and stabilize physical interactions between the proteins (Psakhye and Jentsch, 2012). This protein group SUMOylation may be relevant to the activation of the FA core complex as well; pervasive SUMOylation may stabilize the FA core complex assembly and promote its activation, which is followed by selective degradation of its components by a STUbL such as RNF4. Several other components of the FA core complex in addition to FANCA are expected to be SUMOylated in a similar manner (Xie et al., 2015), and thus the role of SUMOylation in regulating the FA pathway awaits further characterization.

Several factors have been implicated in the regulation of FANCD2 activation in addition to the FA core complex. Deficiency of RAD18, an ubiquitin E3 ligase involved in postreplication repair of UV-damaged DNA, delays the kinetics of FANCD2 monoubiquitination (Williams et al., 2011a). The MutS complex, a damage sensor required for mismatch repair, has been shown to facilitate the recruitment of the FA core complex to chromatin, thereby playing a redundant role with the FANCQ complex (Huang et al., 2011; Williams et al., 2011c). Ubiquitin-like with PHD and ring finger domains 1 (UHRF1), a key epigenetic regulator of chromatin modification at replication forks, was recently identified as a DNA ICL recognition factor that initiates ICL repair (Liang et al., 2015; Tian et al., 2015). UHRF1 is rapidly targeted to a DNA ICL, which promotes recruitment of FANCD2 and structure-specific nucleases required for ICL processing. It will be interesting to determine if these factors crosstalk with the FA core complex to fine-tune the steps of FANCD2 activation.

**DNA REPAIR FACTORS AS THERAPEUTIC TARGETS IN CANCER**

The DNA repair system functions as a critical tumor suppressor network to preserve the integrity of the genome and prevent malignancy. Accordingly, genome instability is one of the most pervasive characteristics of cancer cells. Germ-line mutations or promoter hyper-methylation of DNA repair genes confer increased risk for multiple cancers (Negrini et al., 2010). In addition, replication stress resulting from high levels of DNA damage that interfere with DNA replication and progression is augmented by faulty DNA repair mechanisms caused by selection of somatic mutations that disrupt DNA repair process (Gaillard et al., 2015). For instance, DNA hyper-replication induced by oncogene activation triggers DDR as a natural barrier to prevent malignancy; thus, inactivation of DDR promotes cellular transformation, and mutations in DDR factors are frequently found in various human cancers (Bartkova et al., 2006; Di Micco et al., 2006; Kandoth et al., 2013). However, although defects in DNA repair confer survival advantages on cancer cells by increasing their adaptability, these defects may reveal a weakness that can be therapeutically exploited. This is possible because cancer cells either become more susceptible to conventional chemotherapy that causes DNA damage due to a decreased capacity to address genotoxicity, or become hyper-dependent on another compensatory DNA repair pathway, which provides a therapeutic window for specific killing of cancer cells. Hence, ICL-inducing agents such as nitrogen mustards and platinum compounds are some of the most widely used chemotherapeutic regimens to treat leukemia as well as a variety of solid tumors.

In-depth analysis of the FA signaling pathway has helped to understand the molecular mechanism of the chemotherapeutic effects of DNA ICL-inducing agents and allowed for targeted anti-cancer therapy. This effect could be achieved either by inhibiting the intact or upregulated FA pathway to chemosensitize cancer cells, or by exploiting the synthetic lethality of cancer cells that are defective in the FA pathway (Fig. 3). Resistance to ICL-inducing chemotherapy constitutes a significant barrier to improving patient outcomes. As ICL-inducing chemotherapy directly causes DNA damage, the DNA repair capacity of cancer cells plays a major role in determining the effectiveness of DNA-damaging drugs. For instance, a role for TLS in chemotherapy has been implicated in acquired drug resistance following chemotherapy. Suppression of REV1 or Pol γ not only sensitized cancer cells to platinum agents but also limited mutagenesis and acquired chemoresistance in mouse B-cell lymphoma and lung adenocarcinoma models (Doles et al., 2010; Xie et al., 2010). Upregulation of REV3L mRNA levels was observed in human glioma, and stable overexpression of REV3L attenuated cisplatin-induced toxicity, while downregulation enhanced its cytotoxicity (Wang et al., 2009). These results indicate that upregulation of TLS represents one of the critical mechanisms that confer acquired chemoresistance on tumor cells, and targeting the enhanced TLS pathway using specific TLS polymerase inhibi-
Functional disruption of HR signaling could expand the utility of BRCA1-proficient tumors to PARP inhibition, suggesting that inhibition of the FA pathway can become more effective by inhibiting DNA damage signaling simultaneously with DDR and DNA repair inhibitors such as pimozide and GW7647, as well as a more selective inhibitor ML323, inhibit FANCD2 deubiquitination and potentiate cisplatin cytotoxicity of chemoresistant cancer cells. Although inhibition of the FA pathway can occur at multiple levels, FANCD2 monoubiquitination has been a primary target for pharmacological interventions. A previous study demonstrated that proteasome inhibition by bortezomib or depletion of proteasome subunits leads to suppression of FANCD2 monoubiquitination and foci formation (Jacquemont and Taniguchi, 2007). Bortezomib also downregulates FANCD2 gene expression by inhibiting NF-κB signaling and enhances cytotoxicity of melphanal-resistant multiple myeloma cells (Yarde et al., 2009). Bortezomib has been used as standard care for relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma and mantle cell lymphoma, and eventually, its use could be expanded to include a combination therapy, for instance with PARP inhibition in HR-proficient tumors. The natural compound curcumin and its analogs such as EF24 and 4H-TTD have been shown to inhibit FANCD2 activation and sensitize a variety of cancer cells to DNA damage (Chimomas et al., 2006; Landais et al., 2009). In addition, MLN4924, an inhibitor of a Nedd8 activating enzyme, was shown to impaire FANCD2 activation, rendering cancer cells hypersensitive to ICL-inducing agents (Kee et al., 2012).

The USP1-UAF1 deubiquitinating enzyme complex plays an essential role in the FA pathway by antagonizing the level of FANCD2-Ub. Thus, disrupting the ubiquitin-deubiquitination cycle of FANCD2 could lead to the inhibition of the FA pathway. Indeed, several inhibitors of the USP1-UAF1 complex have been developed to target the FA pathway. Small molecule inhibitors such as pimozide and GW7647, as well as a more selective inhibitor ML323, inhibit FANCD2 deubiquitination and potentiate cisplatin cytotoxicity of chemoresistant cancer cells (Chen et al., 2011; Liang et al., 2014). Inhibiting the USP1-UAF1 complex also compromises TLS by increasing levels of monoubiquitinated PCNA, another substrate of USP1, suggesting that inhibition of the USP1-UAF1 complex can simultaneously target two major steps in the FA pathway (Liang et al., 2014). USP1 also prevents the Inhibitor of DNA-binding-1 (ID1) transcription factor from undergoing destruction and thus maintains the stemness of malignant cells (Williams et al., 2011b). Another USP1 inhibitor C527 was shown to promote ID1 degradation and induce differentiation of leukemic cells (Mistry et al., 2013). Therefore, USP1 inhibitors can play versatile roles in targeting multiple cancers.

An siRNA-based synthetic lethal screening identified several genes including ATM, PARP1, CDK1, NBS1, and PLK1 that are required for the survival of cells deficient in FANCG, indicating that these genes could be targeted in conjunction with an FA pathway inhibitor (Kennedy et al., 2007). As deficiency of ATM signaling is found in several types of leukemia and triple-negative breast cancer, the FA pathway inhibitor could be used as a single agent in these cancers as well. In addition, CHK1 inhibition was shown to be synthetically lethal with FANCA deficiency following cisplatin treatment (Chen et al., 2009). These results suggest that targeting the FA pathway can become more effective by inhibiting DNA damage signaling simultaneously, and appropriate combinations of DDR and DNA repair inhibitors could be customized for increasing the efficacy of chemotherapy.

**CONCLUSION**

Since the discovery of FANCD2 monoubiquitination in the early 2000s, the study of FA has integrated important topics in biology, including ubiquitin signaling, DNA repair, and the pathogen-
esis of cancer. FANCD2 activation acts as a surrogate marker for the DNA ICL repair process, and knowledge of how FANCD2 is activated to regulate downstream repair steps has opened new therapeutic opportunities for cancer treatment. However, many of the details are still missing. We must increase our understanding of the regulatory mechanisms underlying FANCD2 activation by the FA core complex. More specifically, a comprehensive understanding of the complex network of posttranslational modifications that regulate the FA core complex may lead to the identification of additional targets for therapeutic interventions. Moreover, finding ways to inhibit the enzymatic steps of the FA pathway, including incisions and TLS, may be useful. Basic information on DNA repair regulation could also have a broad translational impact. Profiling DNA repair in individuals with nonfunctional or upregulated DNA repair capacity could provide targeted and personalized therapeutic options. Optimizing the selection of a chemotherapeutic approach requires the identification of reliable DNA repair biomarkers. Thus, development of fast and affordable ways to monitor individual DNA repair activity is highly desirable. Furthermore, synthetic lethality could be extended beyond DNA repair pathways to include aberrant signaling of activated oncogenes and growth factors, or enhanced anti-apoptotic signaling. Overall, further deciphering of the complex regulatory network underlying the FA pathway will enable development of new strategies to exploit aberrant regulation of DNA repair in cancer.
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