In Vivo Reporter Assays Uncover Changes in Enhancer Activity Caused by Type 2 Diabetes–Associated Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms
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Many single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with type 2 diabetes overlap with putative endocrine pancreatic enhancers, suggesting that these SNPs modulate enhancer activity and, consequently, gene expression. We performed in vivo mosaic transgenesis assays in zebrafish to quantitatively test the enhancer activity of type 2 diabetes–associated loci. Six out of 10 tested sequences are endocrine pancreatic enhancers. The risk variant of two sequences decreased enhancer activity, while in another two incremented it. One of the latter (rs13266634) locates in an SLC30A8 exon, encoding a tryptophan-to-arginine substitution that decreases SLC30A8 function, which is the canonical explanation for type 2 diabetes risk association. However, other type 2 diabetes–associated SNPs that truncate SLC30A8 confer protection from this disease, contradicting this explanation. Here, we clarify this incongruence, showing that rs13266634 boosts the activity of an overlapping enhancer and suggesting an SLC30A8 gain of function as the cause for the increased risk for the disease. We further dissected the functionality of this enhancer, finding a single nucleotide mutation sufficient to impair its activity. Overall, this work assesses in vivo the importance of disease-associated SNPs in the activity of endocrine pancreatic enhancers, including a poorly explored case where a coding SNP modulates the activity of an enhancer.

Type 2 diabetes affects >300 million people, causing severe complications and premature death (1), yet the underlying molecular mechanisms are largely unknown. This disease is highly complex, multifactorial, and partially characterized by endocrine pancreatic dysfunction, leading to insufficient insulin production (1). Genome-wide association studies (GWASs) have identified several single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with an increased risk of type 2 diabetes (2–4). Part of these variants are located in noncoding sequences with epigenetic marks associated to enhancer activity known to regulate the expression of their target genes by interacting with their promoters (5) and some overlap with transcription factor (TF) binding sites (TFBSs) required for proper endocrine pancreatic function (6–10). In this way, type 2 diabetes–associated SNPs may ultimately translate into transcriptional changes of the target genes (6–10). Methods to predict enhancers include profiling chromatin accessibility (11) and histone modifications (e.g., H3K27ac, H3K4me1) (12). The majority of the enhancer testing assays are performed in vitro in specific cell lines, missing cellular diversity and physiological contexts. To overcome these limitations, animal models have been used (13,14). The zebrafish has been successfully used for the study of pancreas development and function (15), having an endocrine compartment with the same cell types (a-, b-, d-, and e-cells) and functions as in mammal pancreas (16,17). Additionally, orthologous TFs operate in the zebrafish pancreas during early development, some of which are also important for adult pancreas maintenance. As in humans, Pdx1 plays a crucial role in zebrafish pancreas development and b-cell maturation and function (18), and Nkx6.1 is required for the identity of endocrine pancreatic progenitors (19,20).

Some coding mutations are associated with the development of type 2 diabetes, while others might confer a protective effect (2,21). Interestingly, SLC30A8, a zinc transporter–encoding gene, shows contradicting results. The coding SNP rs13266634 located in the SLC30A8 gene is associated with an increased risk for type 2 diabetes (3)
because of a tryptophan-to-arginine switch at protein position 325, causing reduced zinc transport activity (22,23). Zinc is essential for insulin packaging and maturation and secretion in β-cells (24); thus, the decrease of SLC30A8 activity is the simplest explanation for the increased risk for the disease. Surprisingly, recently identified protein-truncating SNPs in SLC30A8 have been associated with a protective effect (2,25) by enhanced insulin secretion (26). Further work is needed to clarify the type 2 diabetes association with SLC30A8 SNPs. An unexplored explanation for these apparently contradicting results could be that the coding SNP rs13266634 exerts a specific impact on adjacent or overlapping cis-regulatory sequences.

In this work, we investigated the impact that SNPs located in putative enhancer regions have in enhancer activity, using an in vivo approach. Ten sequences that overlap with type 2 diabetes–associated loci and with marks for enhancer activity were tested, one overlapping with an exon of SLC30A8 (seq132wt). To test sequences for enhancer activity in the endocrine pancreas, we performed in vivo mosaic transgenesis assays in zebrafish embryos. We show that this strategy is sensitive, has low noise, and can be quantitative to address enhancer activity. Using this method, we observed that 6 of 10 tested sequences are endocrine pancreatic enhancers, including the SLC30A8 exon-containing sequence. In addition, two sequences were found to be pancreatic progenitor enhancers. We also found that the type 2 diabetes–associated SNP (henceforth referred to as risk allele) decreased the enhancer activity of two enhancers, while the risk allele of two sequences resulted in a gain of enhancer activity. Interestingly, the SLC30A8 coding risk allele (seq132risk) showed an increase in enhancer activity, demonstrating that coding SNPs have the potential to modulate the target gene activity at both the transcriptional and protein level. To better understand how SNPs can affect enhancer activity, we focused on the seq132 enhancer. We divided seq132wt into different fragments, observing that all are necessary for a robust pancreatic enhancer activity. We also show that common SNPs in seq132 modulate its pancreatic enhancer activity and that a single nucleotide mutation ablates completely the endocrine pancreatic enhancer activity of seq132. Additionally, we observed a chromatin interaction between seq132 and the promoter of Slc30a8 gene in murine cells and noted that targeting transcriptional modulators to seq132 using CRISPR affects the transcription of Slc30a8, strongly suggesting that the seq132 enhancer belongs to the regulatory landscape of Slc30a8. Overall, in this work, we use an in vivo system to validate enhancers that overlap with type 2 diabetes–associated SNPs, showing several cases where nucleotide variations result in complex changes in enhancer activity, including a classical and poorly understood coding SNP.

**RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS**

**Zebrafish Husbandry and Embryo Culture**

Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) were handled according to European animal welfare regulations and standard protocols. Embryos were cultured at 28°C in Petri dishes containing E3 medium supplemented with 1-phenyl-2-thiourea to delay pigmentation formation (27).

**Putative Enhancer Selection**

Putative enhancer sequences were selected on the basis of GWAS data that uncovered 163 SNPs associated with type 2 diabetes or glycemic traits (P < 5e-8), considering all variants in high linkage disequilibrium (1000 Genomes Project Utah residents with ancestry from northern and western Europe r2 > 0.8), with lead GWAS SNPs being the exception for rs735949 (P < 3.70e-6) (6,28–30). Risk alleles associated with seq132, seq117, and seq790 are part of the previously described GWAS credible set SNPs (31). Sequences were analyzed using the Islet Regulome Browser (32), which identifies active enhancers by the presence of H3K4me1, H3K27ac, and H2A.Z epigenetic marks in adult human endocrine pancreatic samples. The analysis was further refined by the presence of PDX1, MAFB, NKX6.1, FOXA2, and NKX2.2 binding obtained by chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) profiles (6,28), resulting in a list of 10 putative enhancer sequences, each overlapping with one SNP associated with type 2 diabetes (Supplementary Table 1).

**In Vivo Mosaic Transgenesis Assays**

Zebrafish transgenesis was performed using the Tol2 transposon system (33). One-cell embryos from the Tg(sst:mCherry) zebrafish reporter line were microinjected with 3 nL containing 25 ng/μL Tol2 transposase mRNA and 25 ng/μL phenol/chloroform-purified reporter vector. Injections were performed at least two times. *Ins:GFP* reporter was built by isolating the insulin promoter from the *ins*-CFP-NTR vector (SacI and BamHI) (34), cloning it in a pE-MCS vector (35) and recombining it to a Tol2-based transposon containing a Gateway cassette and a GFP reporter gene.

Human sequences were PCR amplified from human genomic DNA using specific primers (Supplementary Table 2), cloned in TOPO vector (pCR8/GW/TOPO TA Cloning KIT; Invitrogen) and recombined in vitro to the Z48 transgenesis vector (36) by the Gateway system. Risk SNPs from seq58, seq68, seq73, seq219, and seq460 were inserted by site-directed mutagenesis using specific primers containing the risk variant (Supplementary Table 2). Injected embryos showing expression of GFP in the midbrain were selected for immunohistochemistry at 48 hours post fertilization (hpf).

**sst:mCherry Reporter Line**

To identify the zebrafish endocrine pancreatic domain, we developed an in vivo reporter line that drives expression of mCherry in δ-cells. Primers for the somatostatin (*sst*) promoter amplification were designed (Supplementary Table 2) and the amplified fragment cloned in a Tol2 transposon containing mCherry as reporter gene. The vector was microinjected in one-cell embryos using the Tol2 transposon system. Embryos were selected for sst:mCherry-positive cells and raised until adulthood and a stable transgenic line was isolated.
Immunohistochemistry
The 48-hpf microinjected embryos were dechorionated and fixed in 4% formaldehyde in PBS (PBS1×) overnight at 4°C and then washed in PBS-T (0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS1×) with 1% Triton X-100 in PBS1× (2 h) and 5% BSA in PBS-T (0.1%). Embryos were incubated with anti-Nkx6.1 (1:75) (F55A12; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank) and anti-insulin (1:50) (ab210560; Abcam) diluted in 5% BSA-PBS-T followed by washing. Embryos were then incubated with anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 647 (1:800), anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 647 (1:800) (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and DAPI (1:1,000) (Invitrogen) diluted in 5% BSA-PBS-T. Embryos were washed and stored in 50% glycerol in PBS1×. Microscopy slides were prepared using 50% glycerol in PBS1×. Confocal imaging was performed using a Leica SP5II confocal microscope.

Assessment of Enhancer Activity
Embryos were analyzed, using confocal microscopy, for the presence of GFP-positive cells in the endocrine pancreatic domain (sst:mCherry reporter domain) or in the endocrine progenitor domain (anti-Nkx6.1). One embryo was considered positive if at least one GFP-positive cell was detected within the endocrine pancreatic domain or progenitor domain. Quantifications are presented as percentages of positive embryos to ensure the quantification of different transposon integrations.

Prediction of TFBSSs Affected by Type 2 Diabetes Risk Variants
The wild-type (wt) and risk variant sequences were analyzed using 719 specific position weight matrices for vertebrate TFs using JASPAR software (37). TFs were ranked by position-specific score matrix. The relative score is a threshold score between 0 and 1 and is calculated by (score - minimum score) / (maximum score - minimum score), meaning 1 is the highest affinity and 0 is no affinity of binding (37). TFs that showed differential binding affinity in wt and risk variants were selected and further analyzed by real-time PCR (iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix, CFX 384). Slc30a8 expression was calculated by the ΔCt method to actb housekeeping mRNA.

ChIP on Plasmid
Seq119wt and risk were cloned into a pLVX lentiviral backbone (#125839; Addgene) as KpnI-ApaI (Anza) fragments. Lentiviral particles were produced in HEK-293 cells (packaging plasmids psPAX2, #12260, and pCMV-VSV-G, #8454; Addgene) and used to infect MIN6 cells (a gift from Lorenzo Pasquali) according to standard procedures. Infected cells were selected with puromycin (1 μg/mL; Sigma-Aldrich) for 12 days, starting 48 h after infection. Three to 10 million cells were used for ChIP (12) with 4 μg of Nkx6.1 antibody (F5510; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank) and magnetic Dynabeads (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Eluted chromatin was purified with a MiniElute Kit (QIAGEN). Immunoprecipitated DNA was dissolved in water and further analyzed by real-time PCR (iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix, CFX 384; Bio-Rad).

Real-time Quantitative Expression Analysis
MIN6 cells were harvested for RNA extraction with TRIzol (Ambion) and treated with DNase (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Five hundred nanograms to 1 μg of DNA-free RNA was retrotranscribed with iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad). cDNA was used for quantitative PCR (iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix, CFX 384). Slc30a8 expression was calculated by the ΔCt method to actb housekeeping mRNA.

4C Sequencing
The 4C sequencing (4C-seq) was performed on 10 million MIN6 cells using sequential DpnII and Csp6I as previously described (38), with minor modifications. The 4C template was purified using an Amicon Ultra-15 Centrifugal Filter Unit (Millipore). Two libraries were independently prepared with the Expand Long Template PCR System (Roche) using specific primers (Supplementary Table 2). Libraries were purified with QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (QIAGEN) followed by the Agencourt AMPure XP reagent (Beckman Coulter). Libraries were sequenced on an Ion S5 XL System (Ion 540 Chip, Ion Torrent; Thermo Fisher Scientific). Previously described processing (39,40) was used with a custom Perl script. More than 3.5 million reads were aligned to the mouse genome (mm10) using Bowtie2 (default parameters, global alignment mode) (41). Reads within fragments flanked by restriction sites of the same enzyme (checked with bedtools) or fragments <40 base pairs (bp) were filtered out. Mapped reads were then converted to reads-per-first-enzyme-fragment-end units and smoothed using a 30-fragment mean running window algorithm.

CRISPR Inactivation and CRISPR Activation Targeting
Twenty-nucleotide single guide RNAs (sgRNAs) targeting the murine Slc30a8 enhancer with high predicted cleavage were selected from the UCSC Genome Browser CRISPR target track and cloned into the lentiviral backbone for enhancer inactivation (CRISPRi) [in Lenti-(BB)-hPGK-KRAB-dCas9-2A-BlastR, #118155; Addgene] or activation (CRISPRa) [in LentiSAMv2, #75112; Addgene] as previously described (42). Lentiviral particles were produced in HEK-293 cells (packaging plasmids: pRSV-rev, #12253; pMDLg/pRRE, #12251; and pMD2G, #12259; Addgene) and used to infect MIN6 cells according to standard procedures. Infected cells were selected by blasticidin (8 μg/mL) (Sigma-Aldrich) for 12 days, starting 48 h after infection.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analyses were performed by using the χ2 test with Fisher correction and unpaired t test, applying a significance level of P ≤ 0.05. For real-time expression experiments, statistical analysis was performed with the Mann-Whitney test.

Data and Resource Availability
All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in the published article (and its Supplementary Material), with the exception of 4C-seq sequencing data. The 4C-seq data sets have been deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive at EMBL-EBI under accession number...
PRJEB39688 (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser/view/PRJEB39688). The sst:mCherry reporter line generated during the current study is available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

RESULTS

Identification of Endocrine Pancreatic Enhancers In Vivo by Mosaic Transgenesis in Zebrafish

In vivo enhancer reporter assays can be performed in zebrafish either by generating stable transgenic lines, a time-consuming approach, or by mosaic transgenesis (43) on the basis of the analysis of many independent integration events. To test sequences for endocrine pancreatic enhancer activity, we used a Tol2 transposon (44) containing a minimal promoter, a GFP reporter gene, and a midbrain-specific enhancer (Z48) acting as an internal control of transgenesis (36) (Fig. 1A). As an endocrine marker, we developed an in vivo reporter line that drives expression of mCherry in β-cells (sst:mCherry). To validate sst:mCherry as an endocrine pancreatic reporter, we generated double-positive embryos for the sst:mCherry and insulin (ins:GFP) reporter transgenes (Fig. 1B). At 48 hpf, all GFP-positive cells (ins:GFP) were located within the sst:mCherry expression pattern (Fig. 1C), indicating that the sst:mCherry reporter line can be used to define the endocrine pancreatic domain. Next, to understand whether the mosaic strategy to identify endocrine pancreatic regulatory elements was sensitive enough, we mobilized a Tol2 transposon containing the insulin promoter upstream of GFP. The mosaic analysis of 48-hpf–injected embryos, using confocal microscopy, revealed that 69% (n = 23) showed GFP expression in the endocrine pancreatic domain (Fig. 1D and Supplementary Fig. 1). Random integrations of the Z48 transgenesis vector can generate noise as a result of the influence of regulatory elements located in the genomic landscapes of each integration, termed position effect (43). To test whether the Z48 transgenesis vector was prone to position effect, we mobilized this vector without a sequence to test (negative control [NC]). These injected embryos did not show expression of GFP in the endocrine pancreas (0%, n = 43) at 48 hpf. In total, these results show that the use of mosaic transgenic embryos is sensitive enough to identify endocrine pancreatic regulatory sequences and that the associated noise as a result of the position effect is very low (Fig. 1D and Supplementary Fig. 1). Because endocrine pancreatic enhancers might also be active in pancreatic progenitor cells, we asked whether mosaic transgenesis assays could also be applied for these cell types. To test this hypothesis, we used an anti-Nkx6.1 antibody to define the endocrine pancreatic progenitor domain (Fig. 1E), and we mobilized the Z48 vector containing a known progenitor enhancer from the human SOX9 locus (36). Twenty-seven percent (n = 11) of embryos showed expression of GFP within the pancreatic progenitor domain labeled by Nkx6.1, contrasting with the NC, for which GFP was not detected (0%, n = 23) (Fig. 1F and Supplementary Fig. 1).

Identification of Endocrine Pancreatic Enhancers Overlapping With Type 2 Diabetes–Associated SNPs

We selected 10 sequences (Supplementary Table 1) that overlap with SNPs previously associated with type 2 diabetes that are enriched for enhancer marks (H3K4me1, H3K27ac, and H2A.Z) and for TFBSs of endocrine pancreas TFs (FOXA2, NKX2.2, NKX6.1, MAFB, and PDX1) (6) (Fig. 2A and Supplementary Fig. 2A–H). Nine of these sequences are noncoding while one, seq132, partially overlaps with a coding exon of the SLC30A8 gene. The respective sequences that do not contain the type 2 diabetes–associated variant (wt alleles) were cloned in Z48 transgenesis vector, and in vivo enhancer assays were performed by mosaic transgenesis in zebrafish embryos (36). Out of the 10 tested sequences, 6 showed a consistent expression of GFP in the endocrine pancreatic domain, therefore being endocrine pancreatic enhancers (seq58wt, seq68wt, seq73wt, seq132wt, seq219wt, and seq460wt) (Fig. 2B and D and Supplementary Figs. 3–5). Stable transgenic lines were generated for three of these sequences (seq132wt, seq460wt, and seq58wt) to confirm their endocrine pancreatic enhancer activity (Supplementary Fig. 6). Interestingly, for at least three of the tested sequences (seq58, seq68, and seq73), the GFP signal was also detected adjacent to the endocrine pancreatic domain (Supplementary Fig. 7), suggesting that these sequences may be pancreatic progenitor enhancers. To address this hypothesis, we labeled embryos injected with reporters of seq58, seq68, and seq73 with anti-insulin to label the endocrine pancreas above the established threshold, being therefore identified as pancreatic progenitor enhancers. To further characterize the identified enhancers, we determined in which endocrine pancreatic cell types they drive expression. For that, we injected the Z48 transgenesis vector containing the respective enhancers in a gagm:mCherry reporter line (α-cells), counterstaining these embryos with anti-insulin to label β-cells. We found that the majority of tested enhancers were able to drive expression in β-cells and that most of them were able to drive expression in more than one cell type (Supplementary Fig. 8).

SNPs Associated With Increased Risk of Type 2 Diabetes Modulate Enhancer Activity

To address the possible impact that type 2 diabetes–associated SNPs have in overlapping enhancers, we tested the corresponding variants (risk alleles), performing enhancer assays for endocrine pancreas. Of the six previously identified endocrine pancreatic enhancers, two (seq58risk and seq219risk) showed a decreased enhancer activity for the respective risk allele and two an increase (seq68 and seq132) compared with the wt allele (Fig. 3A and B and Supplementary Figs. 9 and 10). Strikingly, for seq132, the risk allele is in a coding exon of SLC30A8 (seq132risk 56%, n = 36; seq132wt 23%, n = 34) (Fig. 3B). For one sequence, the risk allele was able to drive GFP expression in the endocrine pancreas above the established threshold,
while the wt allele did not (seq119risk 14%, \( n = 28 \); seq119wt 4%, \( n = 27 \)) (Fig. 3B). Overall, these results demonstrate that type 2 diabetes–associated SNPs have the potential to modulate the activity of enhancers in a sequence-specific manner.

Differential binding of TFs to wt and risk alleles could explain the observed differential enhancer activity. To test this hypothesis, we generated a stable mouse MIN6 b-cell line containing human wt and risk sequences of the seq119 enhancer. Seq119 risk showed both an increased predicted affinity to Nkx6.1 binding (Supplementary Fig. 11A) and increased enhancer activity (Fig. 3B). Performing ChIP-PCR, we demonstrated that Nkx6.1 binds with higher affinity to the seq119 risk variant (Supplementary Fig. 11B). Additionally, we predicted bioinformatically TFBSs for wt and risk alleles of each sequence (Supplementary Table 3). Sequences were then clustered in two groups: sequences that had shown differential enhancer activity between wt and risk alleles (seq58, seq68, seq119, seq132, and seq219) and sequences that did not (seq72, seq73, seq117, seq460, and seq790). Although both groups showed a similar number of predicted TFBSs in the wt allele, the differential activity enhancers group showed a higher number of predicted differential binding between wt and risk alleles (Supplementary Fig. 11C). These results suggest that differential binding of TFs might control the regulatory output of wt and risk variants.

The Enhancer Seq132mm Belongs to the Slc30a8 Regulatory Landscape

Among the detected enhancers, we found that seq132, a sequence that partially overlaps with an exon of SLC30A8, is an endocrine pancreatic enhancer. Additionally, we showed that the type 2 diabetes–associated risk allele (seq132risk), which encodes a tryptophan-to-arginine substitution causing a decrease in the function of SLC30A8 (24), has increased enhancer activity compared with the wt allele (seq132wt). To determine whether seq132 belongs to

Figure 1—A: Schematic representation of the Z48 vector (top) and a representative image of a Z48-injected embryo (bottom) showing GFP expression in the midbrain, mediated by the Z48 enhancer (blue), at 48 hpf. The expression of GFP in the midbrain functions as an internal control of transgenesis. Scale bar = 200 μm. B: Representative images of sstmCherry (top) and ins:GFP (bottom) reporter lines at 48 hpf, showing mCherry and GFP expression in b- and β-cells, respectively. Scale bars = 50 μm. C: Confocal images showing the endocrine pancreatic domain (dashed line), defined by the cross of the sstmCherry and ins:GFP reporter lines. The 48-hpf embryos were counterstained with the nuclear marker DAPI. Scale bars = 10 μm. D: Percentage of embryos showing GFP-positive cells within the endocrine domain when injected with a vector containing GFP as reporter gene under the control of the insulin promoter (ins:GFP) (69%, \( n = 23 \)) or with the Z48 vector without an endocrine enhancer (NC). *\( P < 0.05 \), by \( \chi^2 \) test. E: Confocal images from 48-hpf embryos stained with anti-Nkx6.1 antibody (purple) to define the progenitor pancreatic domain (dashed line) in the sstmCherry reporter line and the nuclear marker DAPI. Scale bars = 10 μm. F: Graph representing the percentage of embryos with GFP expression in progenitor cells when injected with a pancreatic progenitor enhancer (SOX9_PPE) (27%, \( n = 11 \)) or the NC (0%, \( n = 43 \)). *\( P < 0.05 \), by \( \chi^2 \) test.
the regulatory landscape of \textit{SLC30A8}, we used the MIN6 cell line to detect chromatin interaction points, since enhancers contact the promoter of the genes that they control. First, we performed enhancer assays in zebrafish, demonstrating that the mouse orthologous sequence (\textit{seq132mm}) of the \textit{seq132} human enhancer is also an endocrine pancreas enhancer (Fig. 4A). Then, using 4C-seq (38), we observed the existence of an interaction between the \textit{Slc30a8} promoter and \textit{seq132mm} (Fig. 4B and Supplementary Fig. 12). To further validate that \textit{seq132mm}
belongs to the regulatory landscape of Slc30a8, and because of the remarkable conservation in the activity and sequence of this enhancer, we propose that this regulatory mechanism is conserved in humans.

**The SLC30A8 Seq132 Enhancer Is Divided Into Different Functional Domains**

Next, we wanted to understand whether seq132 is divided into different functional domains. For that, we divided seq132wt into four fragments (Fig. 5A) and performed enhancer assays for each (Fig. 5B). Fragments seq132wt1 (872 bp) and seq132wt2 (967 bp) showed a milder endocrine pancreatic enhancer activity than the seq132wt total fragment (seq132wt 23%, n = 34; seq132wt1 9.8%, n = 41; seq132wt2 6.5%, n = 31). We also tested another fragment, seq132wt3 (899 bp), that contains seq132wt1 and extends to the end of the coding sequence of SLC30A8. Seq132wt3 was able to drive GFP expression in endocrine pancreatic cells (10%, n = 30), as was the remaining fragment seq132wt4 (788 bp), although with a decreased efficiency (4%, n = 23) (Fig. 5C). From these results, we conclude that seq132 has several functional domains spread through this sequence, and the sum of these parts is necessary for this enhancer to be fully functional. These results also suggest that other SNPs could potentially affect the output of this enhancer. To test this, we have performed enhancer assays with seq132risk, which contains three other common SNPs with no known association to type 2 diabetes (seq132risk#: rs2466296, rs2466295, and rs2466294) (Fig. 6A). Interestingly, seq132risk# was a less active enhancer than seq132risk, showing an activity similar to seq132wt (Fig. 6B and C) and demonstrating that the impact of disease risk alleles in the activity of enhancers might be modulated by other adjacent polymorphisms.

**A Single Nucleotide Mutation Impairs Seq132 Enhancer**

Focusing on seq132, we wanted to further determine whether a single nucleotide mutation could lead to the complete ablation of the activity of this enhancer. Previous results have shown that Pdx1, an important TF required for proper pancreatic function, controls the activity of one endocrine pancreatic enhancer located in the second intron of the mouse Slc30a8 gene (45). On the basis of this, we hypothesized that seq132 could also be controlled by PDX1 binding. After performing TFBS analysis (Supplementary Table 3), we found that within seq132, there is a high score–predicted binding site for PDX1 (JASPAR score 0.9654) (Fig. 7A). To test whether this binding site is required for enhancer activity, we performed transgenesis assays using seq132wt containing a mutation in the predicted binding site of PDX1 (seq132wtPDX1) (Fig. 7B and C). This is an adenine-to-guanine substitution in the PDX1 consensus binding site, resulting in a predicted ablation of the binding of PDX1 (PDX1 predicted binding score: seq132wt 0.9654; seq132wtPDX1 0). Comparing endocrine pancreatic enhancer activity between seq132wt and seq132wtPDX1, we found that the seq132wtPDX1 sequence is unable to drive GFP expression in the endocrine pancreas (0%, n = 20) (Fig. 7D). Because we previously
observed that seq132risk had increased endocrine pancreatic enhancer activity, we explored the possibility of the risk SNP rescuing the loss of function observed for the seq132wtPDX1 sequence. We observed that seq132riskPDX1 also showed no endocrine pancreatic enhancer activity (0%; n = 23); thus, the risk SNP is not sufficient to rescue the loss of the PDX1 binding site (Fig. 7D).

DISCUSSION

In this work, we demonstrate the feasibility to perform enhancer assays using mosaic transgenesis in zebrafish. The zebrafish pancreas, as its mammal counterpart, is composed mainly by α-, β-, and δ-cells that secrete the hormones glucagon, insulin, and somatostatin, respectively. The malfunction of these cell types can contribute to type 2 diabetes development. Therefore, enhancer assays evaluating type 2 diabetes–associated alleles should consider all these cell types. In the current work, we used an sst:mCherry reporter construct to determine the zebrafish endocrine pancreatic domain in vivo, making available the inherent cellular complexity of a fully functional pancreas to define the activity of enhancers. In contrast, most of in vitro assays are limited to only one endocrine pancreatic cell type, in many cases not fully functional (46). In vivo assays have, however, their own limitations. This is particularly important when studying SNPs that might modulate the activity of enhancers and, therefore, transcriptional levels rather than binary activation or inactivation states. In this work, we demonstrate that a mosaic transgenesis method is sensitive enough and has low levels of noise, making it possible to assay quantitatively the activity of enhancers.

In the current study, variation of enhancer activity comprises at least three parameters predicted to have an impact on the number of embryos with GFP expression in the endocrine pancreas: 1) transcriptional output, affecting the amount of GFP expression per cell and its detection potential defined by the GFP detection threshold; 2) expression domain, defined by the potential of GFP expression in different endocrine pancreatic cell types; and 3) robustness, defined by the stability of GFP expression. Although
the present assay does not discriminate these three sources of differential enhancer activity, the introduction of further improvements can offer this possibility. Expression domain can be discriminated if specific endocrine pancreatic cell markers are used, as shown for wt alleles (Supplementary Fig. 7), and differences in transcriptional output can be observed if an internal control is used and GFP expression per cell is quantified.

Using mosaic transgenesis in zebrafish, we validated 6 out of 10 tested sequences as human endocrine pancreatic enhancers, two of which are also pancreatic progenitor enhancers, underlining the accuracy of enhancers prediction. Although species-specific regulatory outputs cannot be completely excluded, genetic networks and TFs that operate in enhancers are usually highly conserved between distantly related vertebrates as zebrafish and human, making interspecies enhancers assays reliable.

Exploring the impact of the risk-associated SNPs in enhancer activity, we found that for seq58 and seq219, the risk allele dramatically decreased the enhancer activity while for seq68, seq119, and seq132 the opposite result was observed, suggesting that disease-associated SNPs have the potential to be translated into a loss or gain of function of target genes (47). For one case, seq119, we

---

**Figure 5**—A: Schematic representation of the four analyzed fragments derived from seq132wt: seq132wt1, seq132wt2, seq132wt3, and seq132wt4. The gene SLC30A08 is represented in blue. The wt allele is discriminated in black boxes. B: Representative confocal images for the total sequence, seq132wt, and the four analyzed fragments showing GFP-positive cells in the endocrine pancreatic domain (dashed line) defined by the sst:mCherry reporter line. The 48-hpf embryos were stained with DAPI. Scale bars = 10 μm. C: Graph representing the total percentages of positive embryos for seq132wt (23%, n = 34), seq132wt1 (9.8%, n = 41), seq132wt2 (6.5%, n = 31), seq132wt3 (10%, n = 30), and seq132wt4 (4%, n = 23). *P < 0.05, by χ² test.
further demonstrated the increased binding affinity of Nlx6.1 in the risk allele, suggesting that the enhancer activity outputs might be explained by the differential binding of TFs to wt and risk alleles, consistent with previous works (48,49).

Seq132 overlaps with exon 8 of SLC30A8. Strikingly, the risk allele rs13266634 (4), which results in an amino acid substitution impairing the function of SLC30A8 (22), showed a significantly higher level of enhancer activity than the wt

Figure 6—A: Schematic representation of the three different analyzed versions of seq132: seq132wt, seq132risk, and seq132risk#. The gene SLC30A08 is represented in blue. The symbol # represents common variants with no association with type 2 diabetes. The wt and risk alleles are represented in black boxes. B: Representative confocal images for the seq132risk#, showing GFP expression in the endocrine pancreatic domain (dashed line) defined by the sst:mCherry reporter line. The 48-hpf embryos were stained with DAPI. Scale bars = 10 μm. C: Graph representing the total percentages of positive embryos for the seq132wt (23%, n = 34), seq132risk (56%, n = 36), and seq132risk# (20%, n = 20). *P < 0.05, by χ² test.

Figure 7—A: PDX1 binding site prediction by JASPAR software. The human SLC30A8 is represented in blue. The vertical black line represents the single nucleotide mutation that overlaps with a PDX1 putative binding site. B: Schematic representation of the analyzed sequences: seq132wt, seq132wtPDX1, seq132risk, and seq132riskPDX1. The wt and risk alleles are discriminated in black boxes and the mutation in red boxes. The green boxes represent the binding site for PDX1. C: Representative confocal images for the analyzed sequence containing the risk variant in the absence (top) of the mutation in the putative binding site for PDX1, showing GFP expression in endocrine pancreatic domain (dashed line) defined by the sst:mCherry reporter line. The sequence containing the wt allele and the mutation (bottom) was not able to drive GFP expression. The 48-hpf embryos were stained with DAPI. Scale bars = 10 μm. D: Graph representing the total percentages of positive embryos for the different sequences represented in panel B: seq132wt (23%, n = 34), seq132wtPDX1 (0%, n = 21), seq132risk (56%, n = 36), and seq132riskPDX1 (0%, n = 20). *P < 0.05, by χ² test.
sequence. These results demonstrate that coding SNPs have the potential to modulate the activity of overlapping enhancers, a mechanism poorly explored but compatible with described overlapping exon/enhancer functions (50). SLC30A8 encodes a zinc transporter, an ion essential for insulin maturation and secretion in β-cells (22,23). Therefore, the rs13266634-associated increased risk for type 2 diabetes is commonly attributed to the decrease of the zinc transporter activity. In opposition to this hypothesis, the loss of function of SLC30A8 was shown to enhance insulin secretion (26), and 12 identified truncating SNPs in the SLC30A8 gene have been associated with a protective effect against type 2 diabetes (2,25). This incongruence could be explained if the rs13266634 association with type 2 diabetes results, not from the reduced SLC30A8 zinc transporter activity, but from an increase of its transcription caused by a more active enhancer.

Further exploring the seq132 enhancer, we observed that the increase of its activity when containing the risk allele can be reverted by the presence of three other common SNPs, highlighting how combinatorial variations in single nucleotides can alter enhancer activity. Furthermore, a mutation that disrupts the predicted binding site of PDX1 in seq132 results in the complete ablation of the enhancer. This suggests that the loss of the binding of PDX1 might coincide with the gain of a transcriptional repressor, since this mutation ablates the activity of nonoverlapping seq132 subfragments that have mild autonomous enhancer activity (Fig. 8). Overall, in this work, we open new avenues on the understanding of the code embedded in noncoding regulatory sequences and show the complexity of effects that one single nucleotide variation might have in the activity of enhancers and its possible impact on human disease.
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