Management of the patient with eosinophilic asthma: a new era begins
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ABSTRACT Now that it is generally accepted that asthma is a heterogeneous condition, phenotyping of asthma patients has become a mandatory part of the diagnostic workup of all patients who do not respond satisfactorily to standard therapy with inhaled corticosteroids. Late-onset eosinophilic asthma is currently one of the most well-defined asthma phenotypes and seems to have a different underlying pathobiology to classical childhood-onset, allergic asthma. Patients with this phenotype can be identified in the clinic by typical symptoms (few allergies and dyspnoea on exertion), typical lung function abnormalities (“fixed” airflow obstruction, reduced forced vital capacity and increased residual volume), typical comorbidities (nasal polyposis) and a good response to systemic corticosteroids. The definitive diagnosis is based on evidence of eosinophilia in bronchial biopsies or induced sputum, which can be estimated with reasonable accuracy by eosinophilia in peripheral blood. Until recently, patients with eosinophilic asthma had a very poor quality of life and many suffered from frequent severe exacerbations or were dependent on oral corticosteroids. Now, for the first time, novel biologicals targeting the eosinophil have become available that have been shown to be able to provide full control of this type of refractory asthma, and to become a safe and efficacious substitute for oral corticosteroids.
Introduction

Over recent decades, asthma has come to be no longer been considered a single disease but a collection of different conditions with overlapping symptomatology but diverse aetiologies [1]. The importance of defining subtypes has been increasingly recognised and multiple subphenotypes of asthma have been identified based on clinical, functional or inflammatory parameters [2–5]. Probably the most consistent and clinically relevant phenotype is late-onset eosinophilic asthma [6, 7]. Patients with this phenotype show persistent eosinophilic airway inflammation despite treatment with inhaled corticosteroids (ICS), which is associated with more severe disease and a poorer prognosis [8–12]. Recognition of this relatively rare phenotype in the clinic has now become even more important, since targeted therapies, such as monoclonal antibodies against interleukin (IL)-5, have been developed and will soon become available [13, 14]. These novel treatment options are very promising and could, for the first time, eliminate the unmet needs of patients with severe, late-onset eosinophilic asthma, and become a safe and effective substitute for systemic corticosteroids [15]. In this review, we describe the clinical, pathophysiological and management aspects of this specific asthma phenotype, in order to provide the clinician with tools for its early recognition, enabling targeted treatment of these patients.

Asthma phenotypes and the role of the eosinophil

Phenotyping of asthma is not new. As early as in 1947, Rackemann [16] pointed out that different subtypes of asthma existed. Around that time, asthma was considered an illness characterised by “spasmodic afflictions of the bronchial tubes” with a good response to the bronchodilating agent isoprenaline [17]. The most common assumption was that an allergic trigger was responsible for airway obstruction and symptoms of asthma. Rackemann challenged this theory by stating “Even the allergists now recognize that ’all is not allergy that wheezes’”. In his paper “Intrinsic asthma” [18], he described patients with adult-onset asthma, without any sign of allergy, but with a more severe course of the disease, including several fatalities. In an animated discussion, he and his colleagues wondered what the initiating trigger of “intrinsic asthma” might be. Was it allergy at all? Was it allergy to drugs, such as aspirin? Was it allergy to bacteria, yet to be identified? Was it related to a nerve reflex from the nose or sinuses? Or was it due to an infection? This latter option was considered less likely, as high levels of blood eosinophils were observed rather than neutrophils. Rackemann made a plea for further research into this nonallergic asthma subtype: “Surely it is hard to believe that the wheeze which comes to the young school girl in the middle of the ragweed season is the same disease as that which develops suddenly in the tired business man and pushes him down to the depths of despair” [16].

Despite this visionary plea for asthma phenotyping, asthma continued to be regarded as a single disease that was strongly associated with allergy, particularly in children [19]. From 1963, an increasing number of papers was published on the increases in the prevalence of allergies and asthma in children and young adults [20, 21]. This “epidemic” of allergy and asthma was thought to be related to increased exposure to sensitising allergens and reduced stimulation of the immune system during critical periods of development [22]. Risk factors with the best potential for primary prevention included parental smoking, breastfeeding, dietary factors and, most importantly, indoor allergens [23].

In the meantime, significant progress was made in the development of new asthma treatments. Following on from the success in rheumatoid arthritis, the first small trial of adrenocorticotropic hormone in asthma was reported in 1949 [24]. Since then, several studies have confirmed the beneficial effects of glucocorticoids in asthma and by the 1970s, systemic corticosteroids were accepted as the standard therapy to treat and prevent asthma exacerbations [25]. Because of the serious side-effects of this therapy, ICS were developed and introduced in 1973 with a much better risk–benefit profile [26]. Until today, ICS have been the mainstay of asthma treatment.

The concept of asthma as a single condition with one uniform therapy began to falter due to the observation that a small subset of asthma patients were not controlled on even high doses of ICS, so-called “difficult asthma” patients [27]. In addition, it became clear that specific subgroups of asthma patients could be identified, either on the basis of clinical characteristics (with or without frequent exacerbations) [28], age of asthma onset (childhood onset versus adult onset) [29], lung function abnormalities (with or without persistent airflow limitation) [11], trigger factors (allergic, nonallergic or aspirin induced) [30] or type of airway inflammation (eosinophilic versus noneosinophilic) [30]. In 1999, Wenzel et al. [31] were the first to describe a specific phenotype of severe asthma characterised by persistent eosinophils in bronchial biopsies despite high-dose inhaled and/or oral corticosteroid treatment, which they called “eosinophil-positive severe asthma”. Almost 10 years later, a breakthrough in clinical asthma phenotyping came from studies that used cluster analysis to identify different subphenotypes of asthma in a more objective way [32–35]. These clusters varied with respect to the severity of symptoms, age of asthma onset, degree of airflow limitation or type of airway inflammation, but most analyses suggest that adult patients...
are likely to fall into one of five clusters [1, 36, 37]. Remarkably, some cluster analyses identified a phenotype of patients with late-onset eosinophilic asthma that was clearly distinct from the classical "allergic asthma" phenotype [33, 34]. In the following sections, we will discuss in more detail the clinical profile, pathophysiological mechanisms, management and novel therapeutic options for this eosinophilic asthma phenotype.

Molecular pathways of eosinophilic inflammation
Eosinophils are bone marrow-derived granulocytes that have long been recognised as the major inflammatory cells involved in the pathobiology of both childhood-onset, allergic asthma and adult-onset, nonallergic asthma [38]. In patients with childhood-onset, allergic asthma, numbers of eosinophils increase after exposure to specific allergens [39]. This allergic response is often manifested as a dual reaction, consisting of an early-phase response that involves mainly mast cell degranulation [40], followed by a late-phase response in which a secondary infiltration of cells occurs in the Airways [41]. Eosinophils comigrate with inflammatory cells and frequently undergo degranulation, releasing a range of cytotoxic products including major basic protein, eosinophil cationic protein, eosinophil-derived neurotoxin and eosinophil peroxidase [42]. They also produce a variety of cytokines and chemokines that further contribute to airway epithelial damage, oedema, mucus overproduction from goblet cells and bronchial hyperresponsiveness [43].

In childhood-onset, allergic asthma, T-helper (Th)2 cells are believed to drive the immune response, as greater expression of Th2 cytokines including IL-4, IL-5 and IL-13 is seen in allergen-challenged individuals, along with downregulation of Th1 cytokines (IL-2 and interferon-γ) [43]. IL-4 promotes Th2 cell development and B-cell isotype switching, and it affects the production of chemokines by the airway epithelium [44]. IL-5 plays an important role in the migration, maturation and survival of eosinophils, and IL-13 has been shown to cause airway inflammation, increased mucous secretion, subepithelial fibrosis and eotaxin production [45]. Furthermore, IL-13 has also been shown to increase airway hyperresponsiveness [46]. However, the cytokine network associated with asthma in humans is complex and eosinophilia is not always associated with allergic inflammation or the atopic asthma phenotype [47]. For example, several studies suggest that although IL-4 triggers the polarisation of T-cells to a Th2 phenotype, it is not necessary for the manifestation of eosinophilic inflammation in asthma [48].

Adult-onset eosinophilic asthma frequently develops in the absence of allergen-dependent activation of Th2 lymphocytes, which suggests a distinct underlying mechanism of eosinophilic inflammation apart from allergy. Recent evidence suggests that innate lymphoid cells (ILCs) have a central role in driving this type of eosinophilic asthma [49-51]. High numbers of ILC2s have been detected in airways and blood from patients with asthma [52] as well as in eosinophilic nasal polyps [53], a frequently observed comorbidity in late-onset eosinophilic asthma [54]. ILCs can be activated in an allergen-independent manner by IL-25, IL-33 and thymic stromal lymphopoietin [55], which are released from bronchial epithelial cells upon stimulation with viruses, fungal allergens and air pollutants. Production of cytokines by these cells is also stimulated by prostaglandin D2 via activation of its chemoattractant receptor-homologous molecule receptor [56]. Like Th2 cells, activated ILCs produce high amounts of IL-5 and IL-13, and are capable of inducing eosinophilic airway inflammation independent of T-cells (fig. 1) [50]. In addition, ILC2s have been shown to be essential for the persistence of asthma [57].

Thus, in asthma, two different pathways driven by either allergen-specific Th2 cells or allergen-independent ILC2s may lead to production of IL-5, which induces eosinophilic airway inflammation.

Clinical profile of the patient with eosinophilic asthma
The term "eosinophilic asthma" describes a subphenotype of asthma that is characterised by elevated levels of eosinophils in bronchial biopsies or sputum despite chronic and correct use of adequate doses of ICS [58-61]. The exact prevalence of eosinophilic asthma is not known but one study in patients with difficult asthma on high-dose inhaled and/or oral corticosteroids showed that of 44 patients, 14 (32%) exhibited sputum eosinophilia (≥2%) on two occasions with a 5 years apart [62]. By extrapolating these figures to the entire asthma population [63], one could estimate that about 5% of all adult asthma patients would fulfil the criteria of the eosinophilic asthma phenotype.

Apart from eosinophilic airway inflammation that is relatively steroid resistant, the eosinophilic asthma phenotype is characterised by specific clinical, functional and inflammatory characteristics and comorbidities [6] (table 1).

The eosinophilic asthma phenotype appears to be more common in patients with adult-onset asthma than in those with childhood-onset asthma [29]. The average age of onset is 25–35 years of age [33, 61, 62] and,
while adult asthma generally shows a female preponderance [64], eosinophilic asthma appears to be more equally distributed between males and females [33, 62]. Patients with late-onset eosinophilic asthma are less often typically allergic than other adults with asthma [29, 62, 65]. However, although not sensitised to common inhaled allergens [33], many patients have elevated

**TABLE 1 Clinical profile of late-onset eosinophilic asthma patients**

| Clinical profile                                      |
|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Adult onset of asthma                                  |
| Equal distribution between sexes                       |
| Few or no allergies to common allergens                |
| Elevated eosinophils in peripheral blood               |
| At risk of severe exacerbations                        |
| Normal or moderately elevated IgE level               |
| Low FEV1 and often persistent airflow limitation      |
| Air trapping and dynamic hyperinflation               |
| Chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis           |
| Aspirin sensitivity                                   |
| Good response to systemic corticosteroids             |
| Good response to anti IL-5 treatment                  |

FEV1: forced expiratory volume in 1 s; IL: interleukin.
levels of total IgE, which may be linked to hidden allergens, such as superantigens against Staphylococcus aureus [66, 67]. In addition, late-onset eosinophilic asthma is often associated with sensitivity to nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory medications (aspirin) [29, 30].

Several studies have shown that late-onset eosinophilic asthma is associated with more severe disease than noneosinophilic asthma [8, 31]. High levels of eosinophils in sputum [68] and bronchial biopsies [69] are associated with poor asthma control, more severe asthma [70] and fatal or near-fatal asthma attacks [71]. In a biopsy study of patients with severe asthma, it appeared that the patients who had eosinophilic inflammation despite systemic corticosteroids had an almost 20 times higher odds of being intubated than those without eosinophilic inflammation [31]. In patients who died from asthma, significantly more eosinophils were found in large and small airways, as compared to biopsies from patients with milder exacerbations [72].

Late-onset eosinophilic asthma is also associated with lower forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1) and airflow limitation that is not fully reversible with bronchodilators [11, 29, 32, 73, 74]. In addition, peripheral airways are more involved in the inflammatory process than in other adults with asthma, as was shown by a lower forced vital capacity (FVC)/slow vital capacity and higher levels of alveolar nitric oxide in patients with eosinophilic asthma [31, 75].

Another characteristic feature of late-onset eosinophilic asthma is chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis [76]. The association between peripheral blood eosinophilia, nasal polyposis and asthma has been recognised for many decades, in particular in combination with aspirin sensitivity [30, 77–81]. This association has been confirmed in a study in adults with difficult-to-control asthma, showing that severe sinus disease was a strong independent predictor of persistent eosinophilia in blood or sputum [54]. Mucosal inflammation in these patients might extend even to the middle ears. In 2011, a newly recognised middle ear disease, eosinophilic otitis media, was described, characterised by a highly viscous, eosinophil-predominant middle ear effusion causing progressive deterioration of hearing. This otitis is associated with asthma and nasal polyps, and responds to prednisone, whereas other treatments for otitis media failed [82, 83].

Thus, late-onset eosinophilic asthma is characterised by systemic inflammation and involves the whole respiratory tract, from the paranasal sinuses to the very distal airways. Treatment with inhaled steroids, even at very high doses, is often not sufficient to obtain control of the disease, probably because peripheral airways and paranasal sinuses cannot be reached adequately with inhaled or topical corticosteroids [84, 85]. It has been demonstrated repeatedly that systemic corticosteroids can blunt the eosinophilic inflammatory process and improve asthma symptoms [86, 87] and that the apparent resistance to corticosteroids in this asthma phenotype is only relative (fig. 2).

**Management of the patient with eosinophilic asthma**

Early identification of patients with eosinophilic asthma in clinical practice is important because these patients are at risk of poor asthma outcome [11, 88, 89], which has implications for asthma management. However, identifying these patients in day-to-day practice may not be easy. The typical patient with “eosinophilic asthma” is relatively uncommon [90] and has few symptoms despite active airway inflammation [35].
This is probably explained by a blunted perception of dyspnoea, as has been shown in severe asthma patients with high levels of sputum eosinophils [91]. These symptoms are also atypical, with more pronounced dyspnoea on exertion instead of wheezy attacks, which is related to dynamic hyperinflation due to distal airway inflammation [31, 75]. Most patients with eosinophilic asthma have an adult onset of their disease, are nonatopic and have fixed airflow limitation [11, 29]. Taken together, these symptoms and signs differ greatly from those of classical childhood-onset, allergic asthma and may be indistinguishable from those of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) [92]. In line with this, a recent study evaluating the effect of a new biological in severe prednisone-dependent asthma patients [15] showed greater improvement in the St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire score, a questionnaire that is primarily designed for COPD patients, than in the Asthma Control Questionnaire score. The treating physician may therefore easily misdiagnose the patient with eosinophilic asthma and, more importantly, prescribe inadequate treatment. Indeed, treating a patient with persistent eosinophilia with bronchodilators alone, without corticosteroids, is undesirable and may even be associated with increased morbidity and mortality [71, 72, 93].

In order to make the correct diagnosis, a few items in the history and physical examination may be of help. If a patient has a negative or limited smoking history (<15 years) and no history of occupational exposures, a diagnosis other than (classical) COPD should be considered. In addition, the presence of nasal polyps on examination or a history of recurrent surgery for nasal polyps may hint towards eosinophilic asthma [94]. Lastly, exacerbations that recur again and again after discontinuation of systemic corticosteroid courses are often a sign of corticosteroid dependency, which is a common feature of eosinophilic asthma [14, 15, 61]. Because the risk of misdiagnosis and inadequate treatment is highest amongst practitioners who are not familiar with the clinical presentation of a patient with eosinophilic asthma, like general practitioners or nurse practitioners, it is recommended that the type of airway inflammation should be assessed in all patients with COPD who have a limited smoking history, nasal polyps and/or recurrent exacerbations. More importantly, these patients should be referred to an asthma specialist for further assessment and targeted treatment [95].

Patients with eosinophilic asthma should ideally be diagnosed by analysing sputum samples [5]. However, sputum induction is not easy to perform in routine clinical practice and requires access to specific laboratories with trained personnel [96, 97]. Therefore, the use of several alternatives to sputum cell counts, including peripheral blood eosinophils, exhaled nitric oxide fraction (F_{eNO}) and serum IgE have recently been evaluated in a systematic review [98]. The results show that overall, blood eosinophils, F_{eNO} and IgE have only moderate accuracy to distinguish between patients with and without airway eosinophilia. Another recent study showed that in smoking and nonsmoking patients with adult-onset asthma, the diagnostic accuracies of F_{eNO} and blood eosinophils were superior to that of total IgE, while combining F_{eNO} and blood eosinophils into one model further improved the overall diagnostic accuracy [99]. A cut-off value of eosinophils of <0.09×10^9 L^{-1} was associated with absence of airway eosinophilia in 92% of patients, whereas a value of ≥0.41×10^9 L^{-1} was associated with sputum eosinophils ≥3% in 95% of patients [99]. Blood eosinophilia, therefore, seems to be the most feasible surrogate marker to detect airway eosinophilia in patients with adult-onset airway disease in routine practice [14, 100, 101].

Patients with adult-onset, eosinophilic asthma should also be checked for chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis. Typically, patients with chronic rhinosinusitis and nasal polyps have an impaired sense of smell, which can be used as a tool to identify nasal polyposis in patients with eosinophilic asthma [102]. Diagnosis and management of chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis requires the expertise of a specialist [103]. Therefore, a patient with eosinophilic asthma should preferably be referred to an ear, nose and throat clinic soon after diagnosis.

Distal airway inflammation with air trapping and dynamic hyperinflation are also common in eosinophilic asthma, and should be addressed and treated if necessary. In a recent pilot study, fine-particle formulations were added to standard ICS treatment in patients with eosinophilic asthma to specifically target the distal airways [86]. The results showed that adding fine-formula ICS suppressed airway eosinophilia more than placebo, confirming earlier reports that eosinophilia in these patients is not entirely steroid resistant [87].

Finally, patients with eosinophilic asthma often depend on systemic corticosteroids for control of their disease [15]. It goes without saying that patients receiving this treatment chronically should receive preventive therapies for osteoporosis and peptic ulcers, and that they have to be checked regularly for weight gain, hypercholesterolaemia, hypertension and diabetes [104].

**New treatment options for the patient with eosinophilic asthma**

For patients with eosinophilic asthma, ICS, even at very high doses, are not sufficient to control the disease. It is for these often oral steroid-dependent patients that specific therapeutics targeting components of the inflammatory response have been developed or are currently under investigation.
Omalizumab

Omalizumab is a monoclonal antibody that binds IgE and is, to date, the only biologic therapy approved for asthma. IgE has a central role in the pathophysiology of allergic responses, and omalizumab attenuates both the early- and late-phase responses to inhaled allergens in patients with asthma [105]. Although total serum IgE levels do not correlate with the degree of tissue eosinophilia, treatment with anti-IgE therapy has been shown to reduce airway and blood eosinophils and to be efficacious in reducing exacerbations in children, adolescents and adults with asthma [106–108]. However, there are patients with uncontrolled asthma that do not respond to anti-IgE therapy and show persistent eosinophilic inflammation. This is why therapeutics targeting Th2 cytokines, including IL-4, IL-5 and IL13, have been developed and tested in clinical trials in patients with eosinophilic asthma.

Anti-IL-4 and anti-IL-13

IL-4 and IL-13 play a key role in the pathogenesis of asthma, and several compounds aiming to target these cytokines are now being evaluated, of which the most promising will be discussed here [109].

Lebrikizumab and tralokinumab are both humanised monoclonal IgG4 antibodies to IL-13 and potent inhibitors of its function. Corren et al. [110] evaluated the efficacy of lebrikizumab in a large trial in patients with moderate to severe asthma not controlled by ICS and showed a significant improvement in FEV1 in the subgroup with elevated periostin levels at baseline. Unfortunately, two more recent phase II trials in mild asthmatics were less promising and did not show any improvements in FEV1 or reduction in the late asthmatic response to allergen [111, 112]. The failure of these last two trials suggests that patients with mild asthma whose asthma can be controlled by ICS are less likely to benefit from lebrikizumab.

Tralokinumab was evaluated in a large group of patients with moderate to severe asthma and, although there was no change in the primary end-point (asthma control), there was a statistically significant reduction in β2-agonist use and increase in FEV1, in particular, in the subgroup of patients with elevated IL-13 levels in sputum [113].

These trials suggested that both tralokinumab and lebrikizumab may have beneficial effects on lung function in selected patients.

Therapies that inhibit both IL-4 and IL-13, including pitrakinra, a recombinant form of IL-4, and dupilumab, a monoclonal antibody to IL-4 receptor α, have shown more promising results. A phase IIb study of pitrakinra in moderate to severe asthmatics demonstrated a reduction of exacerbation frequency among subgroups of patients with eosinophilic asthma, elevated exhaled nitric oxide levels and a specific IL-4 receptor polymorphism during withdrawal of ICS [114]. Wenzel et al. [59] evaluated the effect of dupilumab in patients with moderate to severe eosinophilic asthma during tapering of ICS and showed a significant reduction in asthma exacerbations, and improvements in lung function and asthma control. This latter proof-of-concept study suggests a potential role for dupilumab in a specific subset of patients with poorly controlled eosinophilic asthma.

Anti-IL-5

IL-5 plays a critical role in eosinophil differentiation, maturation, recruitment and activation in tissues [115]. This cytokine is extremely specific to eosinophils and has been an important therapeutic target in clinical asthma trials [116]. Several studies have investigated the effects of anti-IL-5 therapy in asthma. In 2000, Leckie et al. [117] published a randomised, placebo-controlled, proof-of-principle study in 24 patients with mild allergic asthma, in which they showed that treatment with mepolizumab, a humanised monoclonal antibody to IL-5, resulted in a significant reduction in both circulating and sputum eosinophils. To the surprise of the investigators, IL-5 had no effect on the late asthmatic response to allergen challenge or on the associated increase in airway hyperresponsiveness. Two other studies, one relatively small dose-finding study and a large multicentre trial of 362 patients with mild allergic asthma [118], confirmed that mepolizumab was associated with a significant and sustained reduction in sputum and blood eosinophils, but without any effect on clinical asthma indices or measures of lung function. This lack of clinical effect of anti-IL-5 therapy was disappointing and questioned the role of eosinophils in asthma [117].

However, in 2009, by selecting patients with severe asthma and persistent blood and sputum eosinophilia, two independent studies were able to show a significant decrease in exacerbations and an improvement in asthma control with mepolizumab, in addition to a prednisone-sparing effect [60, 61]. Both of these trials provided evidence that in a select group of asthma patients with severe “eosinophilic asthma”, inhibition of IL-5 could result in clinically important benefits and set the stage for large, multicentre trials. A few years later, two large, multicentre trials evaluated the efficacy of mepolizumab in patients with evidence of eosinophilic airway inflammation and a history of recurrent, severe asthma exacerbations, and again showed around 50% reduction in exacerbation frequencies with corresponding decrease in peripheral blood
eosinophils [13, 14]. In another large study among patients requiring oral glucocorticoids for asthma control, treatment with subcutaneous mepolizumab at 4-week intervals over 20 weeks allowed for a significant reduction in steroid dose and a reduced exacerbation rate [15].

Besides mepolizumab, two other anti-IL-5 therapies have been developed and are also being studied in patients with poorly controlled eosinophilic asthma: reslizumab and benralizumab. Two large, phase III trials with reslizumab, a humanised IgG4 monoclonal antibody against IL-5, showed significant reductions in asthma exacerbations (50% and 60%, respectively), as well as improved lung function and asthma control symptoms [119, 120].

Benralizumab is a humanised monoclonal antibody against IL-5 receptor α on eosinophils and is currently in phase II trials. Lavoie et al. [121] examined the effect of a single 1-mg·kg⁻¹ dose of benralizumab given intravenously; they demonstrated that the drug was safe, and resulted in significant reductions in airway, bone marrow and peripheral blood eosinophilia for up to 28 days. Larger trials will determine if benralizumab has the same clinical benefits as mepolizumab and reslizumab.

Conclusions
Late-onset eosinophilic asthma is a relatively rare, but is one of the best-defined asthma phenotypes. Apart from elevated numbers of eosinophils in sputum and peripheral blood, adults with this phenotype can be clinically identified by typical symptoms (few allergies and dyspnoea on exertion), typical lung function abnormalities (“fixed” airflow obstruction, reduced FVC and increased residual volume), typical comorbidities (chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis) and a good response to systemic corticosteroids. Patients with eosinophilic asthma have a poor quality of life and many suffer from frequent severe exacerbations or are oral corticosteroid dependent. Fortunately, novel biologicals targeting the eosinophil have now become available and are, for the first time, able to control this type of refractory asthma, and to become a safe and efficacious substitute for oral corticosteroids. With these drugs, one of the greatest unmet needs in asthma will be eliminated and a new era of asthma treatment will begin.
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