Recombination behavior of photolithography-free back junction back contact solar cells with carrier-selective polysilicon on oxide junctions for both polarities
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Abstract

We report on ion-implanted, inkjet patterned back junction back contact silicon solar cells with POL\textsuperscript{ysilicon on Oxide (POLO)} junctions for both polarities – $n^+$ doped BSF and $p^+$ doped emitter. The recombination behavior is investigated at two different processing stages: before and after trench separation of $p^+$ and $n^+$ regions within polysilicon (poly-Si). Before trench separation, we find a systematic dependence of the recombination behavior on the BSF index, i.e. the $p^+n^+$-junction meander length in the poly-Si. Obviously, recombination at the $p^+n^+$-junction in the poly-Si limits the implied open circuit voltage $V_{oc,impl}$ at one sun illumination and the implied pseudo fill factor $pFF_{impl}$ to 695 mV and 80 %, respectively. After trench isolation, however, $V_{oc,impl}$ ($pFF_{impl}$) values increase up to 730 mV (85.5 %), corresponding to a pseudo-efficiency of 26.2 % for an assumed short circuit current density $J_{sc}$ of 42 mA/cm\textsuperscript{2}. We demonstrate a photolithography-free back junction back contacted solar cell with $p$-type and $n$-type POLO junctions with an in-house measured champion efficiency of 23.9 % on a designated area of 3.97 cm\textsuperscript{2}. This efficiency is mainly limited by the imperfect passivation in the undoped trench regions and at the undoped front side.
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1. Introduction

In recent years many research groups have investigated different types of carrier-selective junctions for high efficiency silicon solar cells [1]. The back junction back contact (BJBC) cell with hydrogenated amorphous silicon (a-Si:H)/ monocrystalline silicon (c-Si) heterojunctions, reaching an energy conversion efficiency of 25.6 % [2], is an excellent and well-known example for carrier-selective junctions, which were integrated into high efficiency silicon solar cells. A drawback of the a-Si/c-Si heterojunction technology for the application in BJBC cells is the restriction to low temperature processing and therefore a rather complex patterning procedure for the formation of interdigitated junctions and metallization on the rear side [3]. Shadow masked ion implantation is an enabling local doping technique for the junction formation on the rear side of BJBC cells to reduce the process complexity [4-8]. Nevertheless thermal stability of the junctions is required therefor.

In the late 1970s and early 1980s different groups proposed polysilicon emitters with an interfacial oxide as carrier-selective junctions for silicon solar cells with high temperature stability [9-13]. This type of junctions are denoted as POLysilicon on Oxide (POLO) junctions in the following [14-16]. Excellent results have recently been achieved with these junctions. In particular, saturation current densities as low as for a-Si/c-Si junctions, but lower junction resistivities for both electron collecting junctions based on n⁺ POLO and hole collecting junctions based on p⁺ POLO have been achieved [1,15,17-22]. This comparison between a-Si/c-Si heterojunctions and our temperature stable POLO junctions implies an efficiency potential larger than 25 % for both approaches and a potentially reduced process complexity for the latter [8].

So far, many groups [17-21] have reported promising implied open circuit voltages and pseudo fill factors for test structures and BJBC cell precursors with POLO junctions for both polarities. Yang et al. just recently published first photolithography patterned POLO-BJBC solar cells with an efficiency of 19.2 % [21].

One key challenge for the integration of these junctions into BJBC cells is the poor recombination behavior of pn-junctions formed within highly defective poly-Si [23, 20]. We therefore investigate the influence of a trench, separating p⁺ and n⁺ regions within poly-Si, on the recombination behavior on cells. We show promising results for BJBC solar cells with POLO junctions for both polarities with an in-house measured efficiency of 23.9 % (designated area of 3.97 cm²). As patterning technique, we use inkjet-printed masks (which eventually can be substituted by screen printed masks) in combination with wet chemical etching. Although local doping is envisaged to be eventually performed via masked ion implantation, we also use inkjet printing for patterning of dielectric implant masks here to mimic masked ion implantation, but with a more flexible mask for the evaluation of different cell geometries.

2. Experimental

We use saw-damage etched 156 mm × 156 mm n-type Czochralski silicon wafers with a base resistivity of 3.5 kΩcm and a thickness of 160 μm as substrate material. In order to blind out resistive losses in the metallization as well as busbar losses in a first step, 20 small cells with an active cell area of 20 mm × 20 mm are processed on each wafer. In addition, full-area implanted boron and counterdoped (boron overcompensated by phosphorus) reference regions are integrated on the wafer to facilitate process monitoring.

After growing a ~2.1 nm thin thermal silicon dioxide layer in a tube furnace, undoped amorphous silicon (a-Si) is deposited on both sides by using low pressure chemical vapor deposition (LPCVD). Hereafter the front (rear) side of the wafer receives a blanket phosphorus (boron) implantation, followed by a masked phosphorus implantation on the rear.

The latter locally overcompensates the boron in an interdigitated pattern with different BSF indices ranging from 730 μm to 1175 μm (Fig. 1a). For masking of the phosphorus implantation, we pattern a sputtered dielectric layer by inkjet-printed hotmelt wax and a subsequent wet-chemical etching.

After removal of the dielectric implant mask, high temperature treatment for the formation of the POLO junctions (dopant activation, crystallization of the a-Si, and perhaps local break-up of the interfacial oxide) is performed. During this step, a thick silicon dioxide layer is grown on top of the poly-Si by wet thermal oxidation. Subsequently, this silicon dioxide layer is again patterned via inkjet printing on the rear, and removed from the front side of the wafer. The remaining SiO₂ on the rear acts as etching barrier for a subsequent texturization process, which yields a
textured front side and a separation of $n^+$ POLO BSF and $p^+$ POLO emitter regions by a textured trench. After removing the SiO$_2$ mask, the cell precursors are passivated with silicon nitride double layers on both sides and a silicon dioxide layer is deposited on the rear side. Via openings in the rear side dielectric layers are created by using inkjet patterning and wet chemical etching, whereas the front side passivation is removed during this step. After that, the front side is passivated again with a silicon nitride double layer and a silicon dioxide layer is deposited on the front side in order to improve its optical properties. An aluminium metallization of the rear side by vacuum evaporation in an industrial high-throughput tool from Applied Materials, followed by a self-aligned RISE contact separation [24,25] completes the cell process.

The recombination behavior of the solar cell precursors and reference test structures is characterized by injection dependent dynamic infrared lifetime measurements [26] before (Fig. 1b) and after (Fig. 1c) the trench isolation of the $p^+$ POLO emitter and the $n^+$ POLO BSF and after a subsequent via contact opening, metallization and RISE contact separation (final solar cells, Fig. 1a). After interpolation of the data points by cubic splines, $V_{oc,impl}$ and $pFF_{impl}$ values are extracted by assuming a $J_{sc}$ value of 42 mA/cm$^2$. Recombination parameters $J_{0c,n+POLO}$ and $J_{0c,p+POLO}$ are extracted from photoconductance decay measurements on symmetric reference test structures according to the method of Kane and Swanson [27] and by using the Auger recombination model by Richter et al. [28]. A low resistance of the POLO junctions is verified by four point probe measurements in the reference regions according to Ref. [23] ($\rho_{rel}$=0.04) as well as on TLM test structures [22]. Solar cells are characterized with the solar cell analysis system LOANA from pvtools.

3. Results and discussion

Figures 2a and 2b show the arithmetic averages of injection dependent effective carrier lifetimes of cell precursors with five different BSF indices. Each average value is calculated using the measurement data for four cell precursors with the same BSF index on the same wafer.

While the high lifetimes measured in the reference regions demonstrate the excellent passivation quality of both the phosphorus ($J_{0c,n+POLO} = 4$ fA/cm$^2$) and boron ($J_{0c,p+POLO} = 7.5$ fA/cm$^2$) implanted poly-Si layers, the cell precursors exhibit remarkably poor recombination characteristics before trench isolation. As shown in Fig. 1b1), the BSF ($n^+$ POLO) and emitter ($p^+$ POLO) regions are in direct contact at this stage, i.e., a $pn$-junction is formed in the poly-Si. Due to the high defect density in the poly-Si, this $pn$-junction possibly has poor recombination behavior [18]. This picture is supported by the dependency of the lifetime level in Fig. 2a on the BSF index. For lower BSF indices, and therefore higher $pn$-junction meander length per cell area $D_{pn}$, the lifetime is reduced.
Fig. 2. Average values (four cells) of injection dependent effective carrier lifetimes of cell precursors before (a) and after (b) trench isolation. Inset in Fig. 2 (a) is a magnification (effective carrier lifetime: 220 – 400 μs, excess carrier density: 2·10^{14} – 4.5·10^{15} cm^{-3}) of the lifetime curves of the cell precursors with different BSF indices, i.e. different p+n+-junction meander length densities D_{pn}.

Furthermore, the dependence of the effective lifetimes τ_{eff} on the injection level Δn shows a slight decrease of τ_{eff} for decreasing Δn. This behavior may be induced by a recombination path with an inherently high ideality factor (e.g. recombination within a space charge region). Alternatively, multiple recombination paths may be present, e.g. recombination at the p’n’-junction within poly-Si and at the poly-Si/c-Si interface. If the first exhibits an injection dependent transport limitation, a high effective ideality factor would be implied (equivalent circuit of a poor parallel diode connected via a resistor). However, further recombination paths might be present and contribute to the low lifetime level measured in the cell areas.

Measurements after the wet chemical removal of the pn-junction and passivation of the trench region (Fig. 1c1, c2) are shown in Fig. 2b. At this stage, the lifetime level and the overall recombination behavior of the cells is significantly improved; in low injection ~2 ms are measured for all BSF indices, which is comparable to the 2 ms (2.5 ms) of the full area boron (counterdoped) reference region. The slight decrease of the lifetimes in the reference regions is possibly caused by a reduced passivation quality of the SiNₓ on the textured front side (compared to the previously planar front-side passivated with n+ POLO).

The Figure 3a and 3b show the improvement of the implied open circuit voltage V_{oc,impl.} at 1 sun illumination and of the implied pseudo fill factor pFF_{impl.} due to the trench isolation for different BSF indices. For all investigated solar cell precursors, V_{oc,impl.} values above 718 mV and pFF_{impl.} values above 82.7 % are extracted, resulting in implied pseudo-efficiencies η_{impl.} above 25 %. The highest implied pseudo-efficiency of 26.2 % is obtained on a cell precursor with an index of 1020 μm with an open circuit voltage V_{oc,impl.} of 730 mV and a pseudo fill factor pFF_{impl.} of 85.5 % (best cell precursor of four averaged cell precursors in Fig. 3).

After the trench isolation, we create via contact openings on the rear side, again by utilizing inkjet patterning and wet chemical etching. In this step, the front-side passivation, which was only deposited in order to facilitate lifetime measurements after the trench isolation, is removed. Therefore, the front-side was re-passivated with a SiNₓ double layer stack. Eventually, we finalize the cell precursors by vacuum evaporation of aluminium on the rear side, followed by a self-aligned RISE contact separation. A strong and inhomogeneous degradation of V_{oc,impl.} (pFF_{impl.}) between 9 mV (1.1 %) and 29 mV (4.6 %) is observed at this stage (Fig. 4). We attribute these losses to an increment of the recombination current density due to a poor re-passivation of the front side and/or a damage of the trench passivation on the rear side during the wet chemistry steps required for the opening of the contact vias. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that also the pFF_{impl.} values are affected (Fig. 4b). In the framework of the two-diode model, this corresponds to an additional J_{02}-like recombination path at the undoped surfaces rather than to an increase of the J_{01}-like recombination at the heavily doped POLO junctions. This picture is furthermore confirmed by the implied IV characteristic.
The best $V_{OC,\text{impl}}$ and $pFF_{\text{impl}}$ values we achieve on this wafer at this stage are 722 mV and 83.1% for the solar cell with a BSF index of 1175 μm (best cell precursor of four averaged cell precursors in Fig. 4). We characterize this solar cell with the LOANA system and obtain an open circuit voltage $V_{OC}$ of 720 mV and a pseudo fill factor $pFF$ of 83.2% resulting in a cell efficiency of 23.1%.

This agreement between the extracted implied quantities and those extracted from IV data show that dynamic infrared lifetime measurements at different stages of cell process flow can be successfully used for process monitoring. The high open circuit voltages and pseudo fill factors after trench isolation demonstrates the potential of this cell concept to achieve high cell efficiencies.

---

**Fig. 3.** Comparison of the average values (four cells) of (a) implied open circuit voltage $V_{OC,\text{impl}}$ and (b) implied pseudo fill factor $pFF_{\text{impl}}$ before and after trench isolation.

**Fig. 4.** Comparison of average values (four cells) of (a) implied open circuit voltage $V_{OC,\text{impl}}$ and (b) implied pseudo fill factor $pFF_{\text{impl}}$ after trench isolation and for final solar cells.
The champion efficiency of 23.9\% (in-house measurement on black chuck, designated area 3.97 cm\(^2\), \(V_{OC}\) 722 mV, \(J_{SC}\) 42.1 mA/cm\(^2\), \(FF\) 78.7 \%) is reached on a cell with a BSF index of 950 \(\mu\)m on a second wafer with a lower thermal budget during junction formation and by using an Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)/SiO\(_2\) instead of SiN\(_x\)/SiO\(_2\) rear side passivation. This efficiency is again mainly limited by the passivation of the undoped trenches, leading to low \(pFF\) of 81.2 \%.

4. Conclusion

BJBC solar cells with carrier-selective POLysilicon on Oxide (POLO) junctions offer a promising high temperature route towards high efficiency silicon solar cells exceeding 25 \%. Simultaneously, enabling techniques such as ion implantation can be applied to reduce process complexity. We have prepared photolithography-free ion-implanted BJBC solar cells with carrier-selective POLO junctions for both polarities based on inkjet patterning. The recombination behavior of two precursor stages - before and after trench isolation of \(p^+\) and \(n^+\) region within poly-Si - was compared. We found a clear correlation between \(p^+n^+\)-junction meander length density and injection dependent lifetimes. It was concluded that \(p^+n^+\)-junctions inside the highly defective poly-Si layer are responsible for the detrimental recombination behavior limiting the solar cell precursors \(V_{OC,impl}\) and \(pFF_{impl}\) to 695 mV and 80 \%, respectively, when \(p^+\) and \(n^+\) regions within poly-Si are in contact.

Therefore \(p^+n^+\)-junctions in the poly-Si were removed by inkjet patterning of a thermally grown silicon dioxide layer and a subsequent alkaline texturization etch. This trench isolation strongly improved the recombination behavior of the solar cell precursors, enabling \(V_{OC,impl}\) (\(pFF_{impl}\)) up to 730 mV (85.5 \%) and a respective pseudo-efficiency of 26.2 \%.

In this first cell run we achieved a champion efficiency of 23.9\% (in-house measurement on black chuck, designated area 3.97 cm\(^2\), \(V_{OC}\) = 722 mV, \(J_{SC}\) = 42.1 mA/cm\(^2\), \(FF\) = 78.7 \%). The good efficiencies achieved on final solar cells and the excellent implied \(IV\) characteristic determined on cell precursors show the high potential of this cell concept. We are confident to overcome the shortcomings of our first POLO-BJBC cell batch by optimizing the cell process and to realize conversion efficiencies beyond 24 \%.
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