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Abstract

We consider the stochastic heat equation whose solution is observed discretely in space and time. An asymptotic analysis of power variations is presented including the proof of a central limit theorem. It generalizes the theory from Bibinger and Trabs \cite{bibinger2019} in several directions.
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1 Introduction and main result

Stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs) do not only provide key models in modern probability theory, but also become increasingly popular in applications, for instance, in neurobiology or mathematical finance. Consequently, statistical methods are required to calibrate SPDE models from given observations. However, in the statistical literature on SPDEs, see \cite{schoutens2019} for a recent review, there are still basic questions which are not yet settled.

A natural problem is parameter estimation based on discrete observations of a solution of an SPDE which was first studied by Markussen \cite{markussen1987} and which has very recently attracted considerable interest. Applying similar methods the three related independent works \cite{cialenco2018}, \cite{bibinger2019}, \cite{chong2019} study parabolic SPDEs including the stochastic heat equation, consider high-frequency observations in time, construct estimators using power variations of time-increments of the solution and prove central limit theorems. As we shall see below, the marginal solution process along time at a fixed spatial point is not a (semi-)martingale such that the well-established high-frequency theory for stochastic processes from \cite{Revu1988} cannot be (directly) applied. In view of this difficulty, different techniques are required to prove central limit theorems. Interestingly, the proof strategies in \cite{cialenco2018}, \cite{bibinger2019}, \cite{chong2019} are quite different. Cialenco and Huang \cite{cialenco2018} consider the realised fourth power variation for the stochastic heat equation with both an unbounded spatial domain $D = \mathbb{R}$, or a bounded spatial domain $D = [0, \pi]$. In the first setting they apply the central limit theorem by Breuer and Major \cite{breuer1983} for stationary Gaussian sequences with sufficient decay of the correlations. For $D = \mathbb{R}$ they use Malliavan calculus instead and the fourth moment theorem by Nualart and Ortiz-Latorre \cite{nualart2012}. Also in case of a bounded domain $D = [0, 1]$, Bibinger and Trabs \cite{bibinger2019} study the normalized discrete quadratic variation and establish its asymptotic normality building upon a theorem by Peligrad and Utev \cite{peligrad2003} for triangular arrays which satisfy a covariance inequality related to $\rho$-mixing. Finally, Chong \cite{chong2019} has proved (stable) central limit theorems for power variations in the case $D = \mathbb{R}$ based on a non-obvious martingale approximation in combination with the theory from \cite{chong2019}. The strategy of proofs by \cite{bibinger2019} and \cite{chong2019} do not directly rely on a purely Gaussian model and can be transferred to more general settings. While Bibinger and Trabs \cite{bibinger2019} have considered further nonparametric inference on a time-varying deterministic volatility, Chong \cite{chong2019} already provides a proof beyond the Gaussian framework including stochastic volatility.

This note presents a concise analysis which transfers the asymptotic theory from \cite{bibinger2019} to an unbounded spatial domain $D = \mathbb{R}$ and from the normalized discrete quadratic variation to general
power variations. Contrarily to \([2]\), we do not start with the illustration of a solution as an infinite-dimensional SDE but exploit the explicit representation of the solution with the heat kernel thanks to the continuous spectrum of the Laplace operator on the whole real line.

Though we stick here to the simplest Gaussian setting to illustrate the main aspects and deviations from the classical theory, our findings show that the central limit theorem under a \(\rho\)-mixing type condition can be used likewise for this different model. We moreover expect that it provides a perspective to prove central limit theorems very generally, although many approximation details, for instance, to address stochastic volatility, remain far from being obvious.

We consider the **stochastic heat equation** in one spatial dimension

\[
\partial_t X_t(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} X_t(x) + \sigma W(dt, dx), \quad X_0(x) = \xi(x), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R},
\]

for space-time white noise \(\dot{W}\), and with parameters \(\sigma, \sigma > 0\), and some initial condition \(\xi\) which is independent of \(\dot{W}\). \(\dot{W}\) is defined as a centred Gaussian process with covariance structure \(\mathbf{E}[\dot{W}(ds, dx)\dot{W}(dt, dy)] = \mathbf{I}_{xy} \mathbf{I}_{xy} = 0\), and is in terms of a distribution the space-time derivative of a Brownian sheet. Since the Laplace operator on the whole real line does not have a discrete spectrum and we do not have to discuss boundary problems, the asymptotic analysis actually simplifies compared to \([2]\) and allows for more transparent proofs.

A mild solution of (1) is a random field that admits the representation

\[
X_t(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(t, x - y) \xi(y) dy + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(t - s, x - y) \sigma \dot{W}(ds, dy), \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R},
\]

where the integral is well-defined as the stochastic Walsh integral and with

\[
G(t, x) = \frac{\exp(-x^2/(2\sigma^2 t))}{\sqrt{2\pi \sigma t}}.
\]

\(G(t, x)\) is the heat kernel, the fundamental solution to the heat equation. Let us refer to Lototsky and Rozovsky [8, Ch. 2.3.1] for an introduction to the heat equation and SPDEs in general. Suppose we observe this solution on a discrete grid \((t_i, x_k)_{i=0,\ldots,n; k=1,\ldots,m} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}\), at equidistant observation times \(t_i = i\Delta_n\). We consider infill or high-frequency asymptotics where \(\Delta_n \downarrow 0\). For statistical inference as parameter estimation, the key quantities to study are **power variations**

\[
V^p_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\Delta_n X(x)_{|i\Delta_n/4}}{\Delta_n^{1/4}}, \quad \Delta_n X(x) := X_{i\Delta_n}(x) - X_{(i-1)\Delta_n}(x),
\]

with \(p \in \mathbb{N}\). The normalization of \(\Delta_n X(x)\) with \(\Delta_n^{1/4}\) takes into account the (almost) \(1/4\)-Hölder regularity in time of \(X_t(x)\), see Lototsky and Rozovsky [8, Ex. 2.3.5]. By homogeneity in space, sufficient statistics for the volatility are spatial averages

\[
V^p_{n,m} := \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m V^p_n(x_k) = \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\Delta_n X(x_k)}{\Delta_n^{1/4}}^p.
\]

The main result of this note is a central limit theorem for \(V^p_{\nu, m}\) in the double asymptotic regime where \(n \to \infty\) and (possibly) \(m \to \infty\). An important role in our asymptotic analysis takes the second-order increment operator \(D_2(f, s) := f(s) - 2f(s - 1) + f(s - 2)\) for some function \(f\), being well defined on \([s - 2, s]\). For brevity we assume \(\xi = 0\), but the result readily extends to sufficiently regular initial conditions which are independent of \(\dot{W}\).

**Theorem 1.** Consider \([1]\) with \(\xi = 0\). For \(\delta_m := \min_{k=2,\ldots,m} |x_k - x_{k-1}|\) assume that \(\Delta_n \log^2(n) \log^2(m)/\delta_m^2 \to 0\). Then the power variations from \([3]\) with \(p \in \mathbb{N}\) satisfy

\[
\sqrt{m \cdot n} |V^p_{n,m} - \left(\frac{2}{\pi \sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \sigma^p \mu_p| \overset{d}{\to} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \left(\frac{2}{\pi \sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \sigma^p (\mu_{2p} - \mu_p^2) + 2 \sum_{r=2}^\infty \rho_p \left(\frac{r}{2} D_2(\sqrt{r}, \gamma)\right)\right),
\]

as \(n \to \infty\) with \(\mu_p = \mathbf{E}[\mathcal{Z}^p], \mathcal{Z} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)\), and with \(\rho_p(a) = \text{Cov}(|\mathcal{Z}_1|^p, |\mathcal{Z}_2|^p)\) for \(\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2\) standard normally distributed random variables with correlation \(a\).
Note the explicit formula \( \mu_p = 2^{p/2} \Gamma(\frac{p+1}{2})/\sqrt{\pi} \), also referred to as \((p-1)!!\) for \(p\) even. In particular for \(p = 2\), that is, for the normalized discrete quadratic variation, we have \(\mu_2 = 1\) and the asymptotic variance is
\[
\left( \left( \frac{2}{\pi \vartheta} \right)^{1/4} \sigma \right)^4 \left( 2 + \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} (D_2(\sqrt{\gamma}, r))^2 \right)
\]
in analogy with Example 2.10 in [3] and with [2]. This coincides with the variance of the normalized discrete quadratic variation of a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst exponent \(1/4\) and scale parameter \(2/((\pi \vartheta))^{1/4}\), see also Theorem 6 in [3] and [10].

The above result allows for a growing time horizon \(T := n \Delta_n = o(n)\) and, more general than in [2], the number \(m\) of spatial observations in the unbounded spatial domain can be larger than the number of observation times \(n\). The necessary condition that induces de-correlated observations in space is \(\Delta_n \log^2(n) \log^2(m)/\delta_n^2 \to 0\), tantamount to a finer observation frequency in time than in space. Based on Theorem 4 one can construct estimators and confidence statements for the parameters \(\sigma^2\) and \(\vartheta\), if the other one is known, see [2, 3].

2 High-frequency asymptotic analysis of power variations

Our analysis builds upon the following result, whose proof is postponed to Section 3.

**Proposition 2.** For \(x, y \in \mathbb{R}\) with \(x \neq y\), we have that
\[
\text{Cov}(\Delta_i X(x), \Delta_j X(y)) = \sqrt{\sum_n \frac{2}{\pi \vartheta} \sigma^2 \left( \mathbb{I}_{(i=j)} + \frac{1}{2} D_2(\sqrt{\gamma}, |i-j|+1) \mathbb{I}_{(i \neq j)} + \frac{1}{2} D_2(\sqrt{\gamma}, i+j) \right)}
\]
and
\[
|\text{Cov}(\Delta_i X(x), \Delta_j X(y))| = \mathcal{O}\left( \frac{\Delta_n}{|x-y|} \left( |i-j-1| \sqrt{1 + \mathbb{I}_{(i=j)}} \right) \right).
\]

The increments thus have non-negligible covariances and \(t \mapsto X_t(x)\) is not a (semi-)martingale. The term with \(D_2(\sqrt{\gamma}, i+j)\) is a negligible remainder. Since second-order differences \(D_2(\sqrt{\gamma}, \cdot)\) of the square root decay as its second derivative, we observe that \(\text{Cov}(\Delta_i X(x), \Delta_j X(x)) = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\sum_n (i-j)^{-3/2}})\). This motivates an asymptotic theory exploiting \(\rho\)-mixing arguments. From the proposition and joint normality of the increments, we readily obtain the expectation and variance of the power variations \(V_n^p(x)\) at one spatial point \(x \in \mathbb{R}\).

**Corollary 3.** For any \(x \in \mathbb{R}\), we have that
\[
\mathbb{E}[V_n^p(x)] = \left( \frac{2}{\pi \vartheta} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \sigma^p \mu_p + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}) \text{ and }
\]
\[
\text{Var}(V_n^p(x)) = \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{2}{\pi \vartheta} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \sigma^p \left( \mu_p^p - \mu_p^2 \right) + 2 \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \rho_p \left( \frac{1}{r} D_2(\sqrt{\gamma}, r) \right) + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}),
\]
with \(\mu_p = \mathbb{E}[|Z|^p], Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)\), and with \(\rho_p(a) = \text{Cov}(|Z_1|^p, |Z_2|^p)\) for \(Z_1, Z_2\) standard normal with correlation \(a\).

**Proof.** For \(i = j\), Proposition 2 yields \(\text{Var}(\Delta_i X(x)) = \sqrt{\sum_n \sigma^2 (1 + \frac{1}{2} D_2(\sqrt{\gamma}, 2i))} \). Since \(|D_2(\sqrt{\gamma}, 2i)| \leq \frac{1}{2} (2(i-1))^{-3/2}\), we obtain by a Taylor expansion, or an application of the mean value theorem, see [16], that
\[
\mathbb{E}[V_n^p(x)] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_p |\mathbb{E}Z_i|^2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi \vartheta}} (1 + \frac{1}{4} D_2(\sqrt{\gamma}, 2i))^{1/2} = \left( \frac{2}{\pi \vartheta} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \sigma^p \mu_p + \mathcal{O}\left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} i^{-3/2} \right).
\]
Using the joint normality of the increments \((\Delta_i X)_{1 \leq i \leq n}\), and writing \(\Delta_i = (2 \Delta_n / \pi \vartheta)^{1/4} \sigma Z_{x,i}\), with a tight sequence \((Z_{x,i})_{1 \leq i \leq n}\), we deduce for any \(x \in \mathbb{R}\) that
\[
\text{Var}(V_n^p(x)) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \text{Cov} \left( \frac{\Delta_i X(x)}{\Delta_1^{1/4}}, \frac{\Delta_j X(x)}{\Delta_1^{1/4}} \right).
\]
Proof. We obtain in combination with Corollary 3 that

\[ \var(|Z_1|^p)|1 + \frac{1}{2}D_2(\sqrt{r}, 2i)|^p + \frac{2}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} \text{Cov}(|\tilde{Z}_{x,i}|^p, |\tilde{Z}_{x,j}|^p) \]. \quad (5) \]

By the above bound, the term with \( D_2(\sqrt{r}, 2i) \) is negligible. With Proposition [2] and using the following identity for the correlations of \( \tilde{Z}_{x,i} \) and \( \tilde{Z}_{x,j} \)

\[ \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} \big( D_2(\sqrt{r}, i - j + 1) + D_2(\sqrt{r}, i + j) \big) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{r=2}^{n-i} D_2(\sqrt{r}, r) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} D_2(\sqrt{r}, r) + O(n^{-1/2}) , \]

we obtain the result. \( \square \)

As we can see from the previous proof, the term \((2\sigma^4/(\pi \vartheta))^{p/2}(\mu_{p^2} - \mu_p^2)\) in the variance would also appear for independent increments, while the additional term involving \( \rho_p \) comes from the non-vanishing covariances. Proposition [2] moreover implies that the covariance of \( V_n^p(x) \) and \( V_n^p(y) \) decreases with a growing distance of the spatial observation points \( x \) and \( y \). In particular, averaging over all spatial observations in \( \vartheta \) reduces the variance by the factor \( 1/m \), as long as the high-frequency regime in time dominates the spatial resolution. The next corollary determines the asymptotic variance in Theorem [3].

**Corollary 4.** For \( \delta_m = \min_{k=2,\ldots,m} \|x_k - x_{k-1}\| > 0 \), we have that

\[ \text{Var}(V_n^p, m) = \frac{1}{mn} \left( \frac{2}{\pi \vartheta} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \sigma^{2p} \left( (\mu_{p^2} - \mu_p^2) + 2 \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \rho_p \left( \frac{1}{2}D_2(\sqrt{r}, r) \right) \right) \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{n^{1/2} \log(n) \log(m)}{\delta_m} \right) \right) . \]

**Proof.** By Proposition [2] for \( x \neq y \) and a moment bound for the multivariate normal distribution, see [13], we deduce that

\[ \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{k \neq l} \text{Cov}(V_n^p(x_k), V_n^p(x_l)) = \frac{1}{m^2 n^2} \sum_{i,j} \sum_{k \neq l} \text{Cov}(\left| \frac{\Delta X(x_k)}{\Delta_n^{1/4}} \right|^p, \left| \frac{\Delta X(x_l)}{\Delta_n^{1/4}} \right|^p) \]

\[ = O\left( \frac{1}{m^2 n^2} \sum_{i,j} \sum_{k \neq l} \frac{\Delta_n^{p/2} (1_{i = j} + (i - j - 1) \vee 1)^{-p}}{\|x_k - x_l\|^p} \right) . \]

The sum of covariances for \( k \neq l \) is thus maximal for \( p = 1 \) and with

\[ \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\Delta_n^{1/2}}{|x_k - x_l|} + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} \frac{\Delta_n^{1/2}}{|x_k - x_l| (i - j - 1)} \]

\[ \leq \frac{\Delta_n^{1/2}}{n|x_k - x_l|} + \frac{\Delta_n^{1/2}}{n^2|x_k - x_l|} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-i} \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} (i - j - 1)^{-1} \]

\[ = O\left( \frac{n^{1/2} \log(n)}{|x_k - x_l|} \right) , \]

we obtain in combination with Corollary [3] that

\[ \text{Var}(V_n^p, m) = \frac{1}{mn} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{m} \text{Var}(V_n^p(x_k)) + \sum_{k \neq l} \text{Cov}(V_n^p(x_k), V_n^p(x_l)) \right) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{mn} \left( \frac{2}{\pi \vartheta} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \sigma^{2p} \left( (\mu_{p^2} - \mu_p^2) + 2 \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \rho_p \left( \frac{1}{2}D_2(\sqrt{r}, r) \right) \right) + O\left( \frac{1}{mn} \right) + O\left( \frac{n^{1/2} \log(m) \log(n)}{mn \delta_m} \right) , \]

where we use that

\[ \sum_{k \neq l} \frac{1}{|x_k - x_l|} \leq 2 \delta_m^{1/2} \sum_{m=2}^{m} \sum_{l=1}^{m} (k - l)^{-1} \leq 2 \delta_m^{-1} \sum_{m=2}^{m} \sum_{l=1}^{m} l^{-1} = O(m \log(m) \delta_m^{-1}) . \quad (6) \]

\( \square \)
We turn to the proof of the central limit theorem transferring the strategy from \cite{2} to our model. Define the triangular array
\[
Z_{n,i} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{mn}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \Delta_{n}^{x_{k}} \left( |\Delta_{i} X(x_{k})|^{p} - \mathbb{E}|\Delta_{i} X(x_{k})|^{p} \right).
\]

Peligrad and Utev \cite{13} Thm. B established the central limit theorem \(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{n,i} \overset{d}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{N}(0, v^{2})\) with variance \(v^{2} := \lim_{n \to \infty} \text{Var}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{n,i})\), under the following conditions:

(A) The variances satisfy \(\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{Var}(Z_{n,i}) < \infty\) and there is a constant \(C > 0\) such that
\[
\text{Var}\left( \sum_{i=a}^{b} Z_{n,i} \right) \leq C \sum_{i=a}^{b} \text{Var}(Z_{n,i}) \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq a \leq b \leq n.
\]

(B) The Lindeberg condition is fulfilled:
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[Z_{n,i}^{2} \mathbb{I}(\{Z_{n,i} > \varepsilon\})] = 0 \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0.
\]

(C) The following covariance inequality is satisfied. For all \(t \in \mathbb{R}\), there is a function \(\rho(t) \geq 0, u \in \mathbb{N}\), satisfying \(\sum_{t \geq 1} \rho(t)2^{t} < \infty\), such that for all integers \(1 \leq a \leq b < b + u \leq c \leq n\):
\[
\text{Cov}(e^{it\sum_{i=a}^{b} Z_{n,i}}, e^{it\sum_{i=b+u}^{c} Z_{n,i}}) \leq \rho(t) \sum_{i=a}^{c} \text{Var}(Z_{n,i}).
\]

Therefore, Theorem \cite{13} follows if the conditions (A) to (C) are verified. (C) is a \(\rho\)-mixing type condition generalizing the more restrictive condition by Utev \cite{13} that the triangular array is \(\rho\)-mixing with a certain decay of the mixing coefficients.

**Proof of Theorem \cite{13}.** (A) follows from Proposition \cite{2}. More precisely, we can verify analogously to the proofs of the Corollaries \cite{13} and \cite{14} that
\[
\text{Var}(Z_{n,i}) = \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{2}{\pi\theta} \sigma^{2p} (\mu_{p}^{2} - \mu_{p}^{2}) + 2 \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \rho_{p} \left( \frac{1}{r} D_{2}(\sqrt{r}, \theta) \right) + \mathcal{O}(\Delta_{1/2}^{1/2} \log(n) \log(m) \delta_{m}^{-1}) \right),
\]
\[
\text{Var}\left( \sum_{i=a}^{b} Z_{n,i} \right) = \frac{(b-a+1)}{n} \left( \text{Var}(Z_{n,i}) + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}) \right) + \mathcal{O}\left( \frac{(b-a+1)\Delta_{n}^{1/2} \log(n) \log(m)}{n\delta_{m}} \right).
\]

(B) is implied by the Lyapunov condition, since the normal distribution of \(\Delta_{i} X(x_{k})\) yields with some constant \(C\) that
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[Z_{n,i}^{4}] \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbb{E}[Z_{n,i}^{2}])^{2} = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}) \to 0.
\]

(C) Define \(Q_{b}^{a} := \sum_{i=a}^{b} Z_{n,i}\). For a decomposition \(Q_{b+u}^{a} := \sum_{i=b+u}^{n} Z_{n,i} = A_{1} + A_{2}\), where \(A_{2}\) is independent of \(Q_{b}^{a}\), an elementary estimate with the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality shows that
\[
|\text{Cov}(e^{itQ_{b}^{a}}, e^{itQ_{b+u}^{a}})| \leq 2t^{2} \text{Var}(Q_{b}^{a})^{1/2} \text{Var}(A_{1})^{1/2},
\]
see \cite{2}, (52)]. To determine such a suitable decomposition, we write for \(i > b\)
\[
\Delta_{i} X(x) := B_{i}(x) + B_{i}^{b}(x), \quad \text{where}
\]
\[
B_{i}(x) := \int_{0}^{t_{i}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta_{i} G(s, x - y) \sigma \tilde{W}(ds, dy), \quad \Delta_{i} G(s, x) := G(t_{i} - s, x) - G(t_{i-1} - s, x),
\]
\[
\mathcal{B}_b(x) := \int_{t_b}^{t_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta_i G(s, x - y) \sigma \bar{W}(ds, dy) + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(t_1 - s, x - y) \sigma \bar{W}(ds, dy).
\]

Then, we set \(A_1 := Q_{b+u}^e - A_2\) and \(A_2 := \frac{1}{\sqrt{mn}} \sum_{i=b+u}^c \sum_{k=1}^m \Delta_n \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}_b^k(x_k)^p - \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{B}_b^k(x_k)^p])\), where \(A_2\) is indeed independent from \(Q_u^b\).

**Lemma 5.** Under the conditions of the theorem, it holds that \(\text{Var}(A_1) = O(u^{-1/2})\).

This auxiliary lemma is proved in Section 3. In combination with \(\text{Var}(Q_{b+u}^e) \geq \omega \frac{(c-b-u+1)}{n}\), with some constant \(\omega > 0\), and (11), we obtain condition (C):

\[
|\text{Cov}(e^{itQ_u^b}, e^{itQ_{b+u}^e})| = O\left(u^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{Var}(Q_u^b)\right).
\]

This completes the proof of the central limit theorem for \(\sum_{i=1}^n Z_{n,i}\) and Theorem 1. \(\square\)

### 3 Remaining proofs

In this proof section, we write \(A \lesssim B\) for \(A = O(B)\).

#### 3.1 Proof of Proposition 2

Since \(\Delta_i X(x) = \mathcal{B}_i^{-1}(x) + C_i(x)\), with \(\mathcal{B}_i^{-1}(x)\) from (8) and

\[
C_i(x) = \int_{t_1}^{t_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(t_i - s, x - y) \sigma \bar{W}(ds, dy),
\]

with \(\mathcal{B}_j^{-1}(x)\) and \(C_i(x)\) centred and independent for \(j \leq i\), we derive for \(j \leq i\) that

\[
\text{Cov}(\Delta_i X(x), \Delta_j X(y)) = E[\Delta_i X(x) \Delta_j X(y)]
\]

\[
= E[\mathcal{B}_i^{-1}(x) \mathcal{B}_j^{-1}(y)] + E[\mathcal{B}_i^{-1}(x) C_i(y)] \mathbb{1}(i \neq j) + E[C_i(x) C_j(y)] \mathbb{1}(i = j).
\]

Noting that \(G(t, \cdot)\) is the density of \(\mathcal{N}(0, \vartheta t)\), we obtain for \(x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}, r_1, r_2 \in (s, \infty)\) based on the identity for the convolution that

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} G(r_1 - s, x_1 - y) G(r_2 - s, x_2 - y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(r_1 - s, u) G(r_2 - s, (x_2 - x_1) - u) du = G(r_1 + r_2 - 2s, x_2 - x_1).
\]

We moreover obtain for \(r_3 \leq (r_1 + r_2)/2\) and \(y \geq 0\):

\[
\int_0^{r_3} G(r_1 + r_2 - 2s, y) ds = \int_{r_1 + r_2 - 2r_3}^{r_1 + r_2} \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2\pi y}} e^{-y^2/(2\vartheta u)} du
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \vartheta}} \left(\sqrt{r_1 + r_2} e^{-y^2/(2\vartheta (r_1 + r_2))} - \sqrt{r_1 + r_2 - 2r_3} e^{-y^2/(2\vartheta (r_1 + r_2 - 2r_3))}\right)
\]

\[
- y \vartheta \left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{r_1 + r_2}} \leq \sqrt{y} Z \leq \frac{y}{\sqrt{r_1 + r_2 - 2r_3}}\right), \quad Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1).
\]

Based on that, we determine the terms in (10). Setting

\[
\kappa := |x - y|/\sqrt{\Delta_n}, \quad g_\kappa(s) := \sqrt{s} e^{-\kappa^2/(2s)} \quad \text{and} \quad h_\kappa(s) := \text{P}(Z \geq \kappa/\sqrt{s}),
\]

we obtain for \(j \leq i\) by Itô’s isometry

\[
\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{B}_i^{-1}(x) \mathcal{B}_j^{-1}(y)]
\]

(13)
Similarly, we have for \( j < i \) that

\[
\mathbb{E}[D_i^{-1}(x)C_j(y)] = \sigma^2 \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \Delta_i g(s, x - z) G(t_j - s, y - z) dz ds
\]

\[
= \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi \vartheta}} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \left( G(t_i + t_j - 2s, x - y) - G(t_{i-1} + t_j - 2s, x - y) \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \sqrt{\Delta_n} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi \vartheta}} \left( g_i(x) - g_i(y) \right)
\]

\[
- \sigma^2 \sqrt{\Delta_n} \left( h_i(x) - h_i(y) \right) + \sigma^2 \sqrt{\Delta_n} \left( h_{i-1}(x) - h_{i-1}(y) \right).
\]

For \( i = j \), with \( g_i(0) = h_i(0) = 0 \), we obtain that

\[
\mathbb{E}[C_i(x)C_i(y)] = \sigma^2 \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} G(t_i - s, x - z) G(t_i - s, y - z) dz ds
\]

\[
= \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \sqrt{\Delta_n} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi \vartheta}} g_i(2) - \sigma^2 \sqrt{\Delta_n} h_i(2).
\]

Inserting \( 13 \), \( 14 \) and \( 15 \) in \( 10 \) yields

\[
\text{Cov}(\Delta_iX(x), \Delta_jX(y)) = \sigma^2 \sqrt{\Delta_n} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi \vartheta}} \left( g_i(x)1_{i=j} + \frac{1}{2}D_2(g_i, |i-j|+1)1_{i \neq j} + \frac{1}{4}D_2(g_i, i+j) \right)
\]

\[
- \sigma^2 \sqrt{\Delta_n} \left( 2h_i(1)1_{i=j} + D_2(h_i, |i-j|+1)1_{i \neq j} + D_2(h_i, i+j) \right).
\]

For \( x = y \) we have \( \kappa = 0 \) and obtain the result in Proposition 2. Since the second derivative of \( g_i \) is bounded by \( |g_i''(s)| \leq \frac{1}{3}g_i(s)^{5/2} + 2\kappa^2 \vartheta^{-1}g_i^{5/2} - \kappa^4 \vartheta^{-2}s^{-7/2}e^{-\kappa^2/2} \leq (\kappa s)^{-1} \) for all \( s > 0 \) we deduce \( D_2(g_i, s) \leq \kappa^{-1}(s-2)^{-1} \) for \( s > 2 \). Similarly, \( |h_i''(s)| \leq (\kappa s^{-5/2} + \kappa^3 s^{-7/2})e^{-\kappa^2/2} \leq \kappa^{-2}s^{-s} \) implies \( \kappa D_2(h_i, s) \leq \kappa^{-1}(s-2)^{-1} \) for \( s > 2 \). With \( g_i(s) + h_i(s) \leq \kappa^{-1} \) for \( s \in [0, 2] \), we conclude that for \( x \neq y \):

\[
|\text{Cov}(\Delta_iX(x), \Delta_jX(y))| \leq \frac{\Delta_n}{|x-y|} \left( \frac{1}{(i-j-1)\lor 1} + 1_{i=j} \right).
\]

### 3.2 Proof of Lemma 5

For any \( p \in \mathbb{N} \), the power function \( P(z) = |z|^p \) has the derivative \( P'(z) = pz|z|^{p-2} \), such that the mean value theorem yields that for \( x, y \in \mathbb{R} \) and some \( \tau \in (0, 1) \):

\[
|x+y|^p - |x|^p = yp(x+\tau y)|x+\tau y|^{p-2} \quad \text{and} \quad ||x+y|^p - |x|^p| = p|y||x+\tau y|^{p-1}.
\]
The variance \( \text{Var}(A_1) \) coincides with the one of the non-compensated expression

\[
\hat{A}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{mn}} \sum_{i=b+u}^{c} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \Delta_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} (|B_i^b(x_k)| + B_i^b(x_k)| - |B_i^b(x_k)|^p).
\]

An application of (16) and the triangle and Young inequalities yield that with some constant \( C_p \):

\[
|\hat{A}_1| \leq \frac{C_p}{\sqrt{mn}} \sum_{i=b+u}^{c} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \Delta_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} |B_i^b(x_k)| \left( |B_i^b(x_k)|^{p-1} + |B_i^b(x_k)|^{p-1} \right).
\]  (17)

For a sequence \((X_n, Y_n)^T\) of centred bivariate normally distributed random variables with null sequences of variances \(v_{x,n}, v_{y,n}^2\) and covariances \(c_n\), a simple estimate yields that for \(p, q \in \mathbb{N}\):

\[
\mathbb{E}[|X_n|^p | Y_n|^q] = O(v_{x,n}^p |c_n|^q + v_{y,n}^q).
\]  (18)

Since \(B_i^b(x)\) and \(B_i^b(y)\) in (8) are independent, centred and jointly normally distributed, we thus only require \(\mathbb{E}[B_i^b(x)B_j^b(y)]\) and \(\mathbb{E}[B_i^b(x)^2]\) to determine an upper bound for \(\text{Var}(\hat{A}_1)\). To evaluate these terms, we conduct similar calculations as in the proof of Proposition 2. For \(b \leq j \leq i\), any \(x, y \in \mathbb{R}\) and with the notation from (12):

\[
\mathbb{E}[B_i^b(x)B_j^b(y)] = \sigma^2 \int_0^{t_b} \int_\mathbb{R} \Delta_n G(s, x-z) \Delta_r G(s, y-z) d\sigma d\tau
\]

\[
= \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \sqrt{\Delta_n} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi \vartheta}} (D_2(g_b, i+j) - D_2(g_b, i+j+2b))
\]

\[
- \sigma^2 \sqrt{\Delta_n} \kappa(D_2(h_b, i+j) - D_2(h_b, i+j+2b)).
\]

Since \(|D_2(g_0, s)| \lesssim s^{-3/2}\) and \(|D_2(g_b, s)| + |\kappa D_2(h_b, s)| \lesssim \kappa^{-1} (s-2)^{-1}\) for \(s > 2\) and \(\kappa > 0\) as shown at the end of the proof of Proposition 2 we conclude that

\[
|\mathbb{E}[B_i^b(x)B_j^b(y)]| \lesssim \sqrt{\Delta_n} (i+j-2b)^{-\frac{3}{2}} 1_{(x=y)} + \frac{\Delta_n}{|x-y|} (i+j-2b)^{-1} 1_{(x \neq y)}.
\]  (19)

To bound \(\mathbb{E}[B_i^b(x)^2]\), we use for \(j \leq i\) that

\[
\mathbb{E}[B_i^b(x)^2] = \sigma^2 \int_0^{t_b} \int_\mathbb{R} \Delta_n G(s, x-z) \Delta_r G(s, y-z) d\sigma d\tau
\]

\[
+ 1_{(i \neq j)} \mathbb{E}[B_i^b(x)C_j^b(y)] + 1_{(i=j)} \mathbb{E}[C_i^b(x)C_j^b(y)].
\]

The second and third summand have already been determined. For the first one, we obtain that

\[
\sigma^2 \int_0^{t_b} \int_\mathbb{R} \Delta_n G(s, x-z) \Delta_r G(s, y-z) d\sigma d\tau = \frac{\sigma^2 \Delta_n^{1/2}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi \vartheta}} (D_2(g_b, i+j+2b) - D_2(g_b, i+j+2b))
\]

\[
- \sigma^2 \sqrt{\Delta_n} \kappa(D_2(h_b, i+j+2b) - D_2(h_b, i+j+2b)).
\]

Inserting the three summands, we derive that

\[
\mathbb{E}[B_i^b(x)B_j^b(y)] = \frac{\sigma^2 \Delta_n^{1/2}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi \vartheta}} (D_2(g_b, i+j+2b) - D_2(g_b, i+j+2b))
\]

\[
- \sigma^2 \sqrt{\Delta_n} \kappa(D_2(h_b, i+j+2b) - D_2(h_b, i+j+2b))
\]

\[
\lesssim \Delta_n^{1/2} \text{Im}(\frac{1}{(i-j-1)} \vee 1 + 1_{(i=j)}) \left( 1_{(x=y)} + \frac{\Delta_n^{1/2}}{|x-y|} 1_{(x \neq y)} \right).
\]
Consider first the sum including the first addends. Based on (18), we obtain with (19) the bound
\[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j=b+u}^{c} \left( i+j+2b \right) - \frac{2}{6} p + (2i-2b) \frac{2}{6} p (2j-2b) - \frac{2}{6} p \]
\[ + \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{i,j=b+u}^{c} \sum_{k \neq l} \frac{\Delta_n}{|x_k-x_l|^2} \left( i+j+2b \right) - \frac{2}{6} p + (2i-2b) \frac{2}{6} p (2j-2b) - \frac{2}{6} p \]
\[ \lesssim \frac{c-b-u+1}{n} \sum_{k \neq l} k^{-\frac{2}{6} p} + \sqrt{\frac{\Delta_n}{\delta_m}} \log (m) \frac{c-b-u+1}{n} \sum_{k \neq l} k^{-1} \lesssim u^{-\frac{1}{6}}, \]
where we use (6). Since the sum of the cross terms is as well maximal for \( p = 1 \), the same upper bound applies. For the sum of the last addends, it suffices to consider \( p = 1, 2 \), since for \( p > 2 \) the same order generalizes by (15). For \( p = 1 \) we obtain again the same bound and for \( p = 2 \) using (13) that
\[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j=b+u}^{c} \left( i+j+2b \right) - \frac{2}{6} p + (2i-2b) \frac{2}{6} p (2j-2b) - \frac{2}{6} p \]
\[ + \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{i,j=b+u}^{c} \sum_{k \neq l} \frac{\Delta_n}{|x_k-x_l|^2} \left( i+j+2b \right)^{-1} + (2i-2b) \frac{2}{6} p (2j-2b) - \frac{2}{6} p \]
\[ \lesssim \frac{(c-b-u+1)}{n \sqrt{u}} \left( 1 + \frac{\Delta_n}{\delta_m^2} \right). \]
This yields that \( \text{Var}(A_1) \leq \mathbb{E}[|\tilde{A}_1|^2] \lesssim u^{-1/2}. \)
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