Analysis of the Relationship between Hypertrophy of the Ligamentum Flavum and Lumbar Segmental Motion with Aging Process
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Introduction

Posterior spinal conditions including hypertrophy of the ligamentum flavum (Lf) play a major role in the pathogenesis of lumbar spinal canal stenosis (LSCS) [1-3]. The LF covers a considerable part of the posterior and lateral walls of the spinal canal [4,5]. LF thickening of the LF can contribute in part to narrowing of the spinal canal and mechanical compression of the nerve roots and the cauda equine [6-9]. This mechanical compression causes low back pain and sciatica, even in the absence of a bulging annulus fibrosus, herniated nucleus pulposus, or osseous spurs [10].

The pathogenesis of thickening of the LF is not clear and whether the thickening results from tissue hypertrophy or buckling remains controversial. Elastic fibers
normally predominate in the LF, comprising 60% to 70% of the extracellular matrix [11,12]. In contrast, the hypertrophied LF shows an increase in collagen fibers, calcification, ossification, and chondrometaplasia [13-18].

The causes of LF hypertrophy are multifactorial and include activity levels, age, and mechanical stress on the LF. Tissue damage induced by mechanical stress might be the initial trigger of an inflammatory reaction and subsequent development of tissue scarring [16,19], with the accumulation of such scarring resulting in LF hypertrophy [20].

The purpose of this study was to clarify the pathogenesis of LF hypertrophy associated with mechanical stress through an investigation of the relationship between LF hypertrophy and segmental instability of L4–L5 level of the lumbar spine.

Materials and Methods

1. Participants

Prospective participants were 296 patients with clinical symptoms of low back pain with or without leg pain, who underwent radiographic and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) examination at our institution between January 2007 and September 2014. Patients with evidence of bone metastasis, congenital anomalies, scoliosis, discitis, osteomyelitis, spondylolysis, spondylolisthesis, ossification, or fracture were excluded. With the intended purpose of investigating pure hypertrophy of the LF, and excluding LF buckling, 39 patients with normal L4–L5 disc height were selected for the study. A previous study reported that disc height on MRI obtained for healthy 20–30 year old males is 11.8 mm [21]. Therefore, we selected patients whose disc height was more than two-thirds (8 mm) as normal. The study group consisted of 27 men and 12 women, with an average age of 61.2 years (range, 23–81 years).

2. Scanning protocol

Dynamic radiographs in flexion and extension, and MRI data were obtained. For the dynamic radiographs, participants were placed in upright, axially loaded, positions of flexion and extension. For MRI, participants were placed in a prone position and images were obtained using an EXCELART Vantage 1.5-T magnet (Toshiba Medical, Tokyo, Japan). T1- and T2-weighted images in the sagittal and transverse planes were obtained.

3. Assessment of lumbar segmental spinal motion

Assessment of lumbar segmental spine motion was quantified from the dynamic flexion-extension radiographs. Angulation of disc space widening in flexion position and segmental angulation (segmental angulation=angle of flexion–angle of extension) were used to assess radiographic instability at the L4–L5 segment. Presence of an intervertebral disc vacuum phenomenon at L4–L5 and lumbar lordosis were investigated from T12 through S1 on lateral radiographs.

4. Assessment of Disc Degeneration

Disc degeneration is a major component of segmental stability. Disc degeneration was classified into five grades using Pfirrmann’s criteria based on T2-weighted sagittal images [22]. Grading of the disc status included identification of signs of degeneration at the level of the nucleus, annulus, and end-plate, with grade I indicative of a normal disc status and grade V indicative of the most advanced degeneration.

5. LF thickness

LF thickness was measured on axial T2-weighed MRI at the level of L4–5 facet joint, with thickness of the middle portion of the LF obtained (Fig. 1). MRI scans were projected using the DICOM viewer and LF thickness
measured using electronic calipers on a DICOM workstation. Measurements were performed by two experienced orthopedic surgeons at different times, with each surgeon obtaining two measurements. The mean value was used for analysis. In the case of asymmetrical thickness of the LF, the larger thickness value was used in the analysis.

6. Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using PASW ver. 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The association between thickness of the LF and age, disc degeneration, angulation of disc widening, segmental angulation, vacuum phenomenon, and lumbar lordosis were analyzed using Pearson's correlation analysis. To examine the relationship between thickness of the LF and disc degeneration, a Bonferroni correction was used. Logistic regression analysis was performed to test whether each parameter was independently associated with LF thickness. The cutoff for a positive thickening of the LF was set at a thickness ≥3.5 mm. Logistic regression analysis was performed using the end point ≥3.5 mm to identify predictive variables of LF thickness. Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were determined for each predictive variable. Statistical significance was defined as $p<0.05$. The intra- and inter-observer agreement was assessed to be good-to-excellent, with a kappa statistic >0.70 for each parameter.

Results

1. Lumbar segmental spinal motion

The mean angulation of disc space widening at L4–L5 in a flexion position was $6.3^{\circ} \pm 15.1^{\circ}$ (range, $-8^{\circ}$ to $+12^{\circ}$), and the mean segmental angulation was $7.2^{\circ} \pm 3.2^{\circ}$ (range, $2^{\circ}$ to $13^{\circ}$). The average lumbar lordosis was $44.9^{\circ} \pm 11.3^{\circ}$ (range, $15^{\circ}$ to $73^{\circ}$). A vacuum phenomenon was evident in 7 (17.9%) patients (Table 1).

2. The relationships between thickness of the LF and parameters

The mean thickness of the LF at L4–L5 was $3.71 \pm 1.1$ mm. The distribution of grades of disc degeneration was grade II (n=4), thickness $2.43 \pm 0.20$ mm; grade III (n=10), $3.01 \pm 0.41$ mm; and grade IV, $4.16 \pm 1.12$ mm. The mean LF thickness was greater for grade IV compared to grade II (*$p<0.05$) and grade III (**$p<0.01$).
thickness and age was mirrored by a significant positive correlation between age and grade of disc degeneration ($r=0.77$, $p<0.01$). LF thickness increased significantly with disc degeneration ($r=0.60$, $p<0.01$) (Fig. 4). LF thickness was independent of the angulation of disc space widening, segmental angulation, vacuum phenomenon, and lumbar lordosis ($p>0.05$) (Table 2).

Logistic regression analysis revealed that LF thickening was influenced by segmental angulation (OR=1.55, $p=0.014$) and age (OR=1.16, $p=0.008$). Angulation of disc widening, vacuum phenomenon, and lumbar lordosis were not associated with thickening of the LF (Table 2). Age-associated disc degeneration was associated with lumbar segmental instability, with greater segmental angulation occurring in older patients, indicative of an association between mechanical stress, resulting from lumbar segmental instability, and thickening of the LF.

**Discussion**

The LF covers the posterior wall of the spinal canal, such that LF hypertrophy may eventually compress the spinal cord, cauda equina, or lumbo-sacral nerve roots [6,23-25]. Canal narrowing in LSCS results from degenerative changes in the posterior structures of the lumbar spine. LF thickening and bony proliferation of the facet joints are major factors [19]. Numerous studies have investigated the mechanism of LF hypertrophy concerning anatomy, histology, and biology. Causes of LF hypertrophy are multifactorial and include age, mechanical stress, and growth factors. Histological studies have demonstrated a positive association between LF thickness and increased fibrosis (i.e., increase in collagen fiber content) and decrease in elastic fibers [13,16-18]. Epidemiological studies have provided evidence of a causal role of mechanical stress resulting from spinal instability in initiating the pathological pathway of LF hypertrophy [16,26-28]. Presently, radiographic measures of L4–L5 segmental instability were used to elucidate the mechanism between mechanical stress and LF thickness.

The pathogenesis of thickening of the LF is not clear,

**Table 2. Logistic regression analysis for the thickness of ligamentum flavum**

| Independent variable                  | $p$-value | Odds ratio | 95% Confidence interval |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|------------|-------------------------|
| Age                                  | 0.008     | 1.16       | 1.04–1.30               |
| Segmental angulation                 | 0.014     | 1.55       | 1.09–2.20               |
| Angulation of disc space widening    | 0.506     | 0.91       | 0.69–1.20               |
| Vacuum phenomenon                    | 0.498     | 2.57       | 0.17–39.52              |
| Lumbar lordosis                      | 0.284     | 1.07       | 0.95–1.20               |
and whether LF thickening is due to tissue hypertrophy or buckling remains controversial. Part of the issue in elucidating the pathogenesis of the LF thickening is that the exact function of the LF is unknown. LF is connective tissue that is likely to play a role in maintaining the intrinsic stability of the spine, controlling intervertebral movement, and maintaining a smooth surface of the posterior dural sac [29]. A transmission electron microscopy assessment of the LF concluded that reduced elasticity of the LF may cause bulging of the ligament into the spinal canal, even in the standing position with a LF of normal thickness [14]. In addition, disc collapse causes further buckling of the thickened LF into the spinal canal. To evaluate this theory, Altinkaya et al. [30] examined the relationship between LF thickness, and intervertebral disc degeneration and disc height, reporting a significant increase in LF thickness with increased disc degeneration. Based on these findings, the authors hypothesized that buckling of the spinal segment occurring secondary to disc degeneration results in thickening of the LF and its bucking into the spinal canal. In contrast, Sakamaki et al. [4] did not identify a correlation between the LF thickness and disc degeneration. Currently, we evaluated only patients in whom L4–L5 disc height was preserved, thereby excluding buckling as a component of our analysis. In this specific group of patients, disc degeneration was significantly correlated with increasing age, and LF thickness was significantly correlated to disc degeneration. Our reported increase in LF thickness with age is supported by previous studies that have identified an age-dependent phenomenon [4,16,31]. Together, these findings provide evidence that LF thickening is not necessarily dependent on buckling of LF into the spinal canal with disc degeneration.

Normal LF is composed of 80% elastic fibers and 20% collagen fibers [32]. Histologically, LF hypertrophy is characterized by loss of elastic fibers, increase in collagen fibers resulting in fibrosis, calcification, ossification, degeneration of elastic fibers, and chondrometaplasia [13,16-20]. These histological changes are similar to tissue scarring associated with post-inflammatory repair in other organs, such as the heart, skin, kidneys, and lungs [33-36]. Damage to LF tissue induced by mechanical stress might be the initial triggering event of an inflammatory reaction, leading to the development of scar tissue [16,19]. Emerging evidence suggests a possible role of angiogenesis as a pivotal component of the process of tissue scarring and repair. Specifically, activation of the stroma, especially of the fibroblasts, has been linked to inflammation. Fibroblast activation drives the angiogenic response of endothelial cells [37,38]. In particular, vascular endothelial growth factor from fibroblasts stimulates multiple components of the angiogenic cascade [38,39]. Moon et al. [40] reported the interaction of LF cells with macrophage-like cells to produce angiogenesis-related factors, with the exception of transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-β) 1. Activated LF cells, which have been exposed to macrophage, can induce angiogenesis-related factors. This indicates that fibrosis and scarring triggered by an inflammatory reaction is the major pathomechanism of LF hypertrophy. Therefore, inflammatory reactions initiated by trauma, such as mechanical stress, trigger the process of repair. Furthermore, as the hypertrophied LF contains more collagen, it is stiffer, which increases its vulnerability to mechanical injury during repetitive motions of flexion-extension motion, leading to a vicious cycle of scar-repair-scar.

Bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) are the largest subgroup in the TGF-β superfamily and play important roles during embryogenesis and chondrogenesis. Yoshida et al. [18] reported a proliferation of chondrocytes in 91% of patients with LSCS. Shafaq et al. [41] reported higher cellularity and increased cartilage matrix formation to be significant underlying processes of LF hypertrophy, with these processes being more evident in patients with LSCS associated with hypermobility compared to patients with LSCS without hypermobility. BMPs were expressed in many cells of the hypertrophied LF. Based on this evidence, mechanical stress and BMP signaling may influence LF hypertrophy by stimulating chondrogenesis and cartilage matrix production.

Mechanical stress is considered one of the most important factors for LF hypertrophy. However, it is not clear what precise mechanical stress leads to LF hypertrophy. Fukuyama et al. [26] reported more severe LF hypertrophy in patients with radiological evidence of spondylolisthesis or vacuum phenomenon, indicating that mechanical instability may be a possible cause of LF hypertrophy. In our study, segmental angulation was identified as a significant risk factor of LF thickening, although a vacuum phenomenon was not retained as a predictive factor in our logistic regression analysis. Abbas et al. [31] reported LF thickness at L3–L4 and L4–L5 segments results from the relative hypermobility of these two segments compared to L5–S1 segment, which is stabilized by the iliolumbar ligaments.
Hypertrophy of the ligamentum flavum and the large transverse processes of L5 vertebra. Sairyo et al. [16] used three-dimensional finite element modeling to characterize the effects of mechanical stresses in various layers of the LF. Stress in the LF was predicted to be a maximum for loaded flexion postures, such as in lifting. The authors further predicted that higher mechanical stress on the dorsal side of the LF, compared to the dural side, leads to LF hypertrophy.

In our study, logistic regression analysis showed that thickening of the LF was influenced by segmental angulation and patient age. Therefore, increasing age may increase disc degeneration and segmental instability during motions of flexion-extension motion, such that repetitive flexion-extension cycles may lead to LF hypertrophy.

Our study has several limitations that must be considered in interpretation of outcomes. Foremost, a number of factors contribute to narrowing of spinal canal beyond thickening of the LF, including facet osteoarthritis and spondylolisthesis. To understand further the pathogenesis of the canal narrowing, these additional factors should also be included in the analysis. Our study group was also limited in number and did not include a control group. Therefore, the correlation between thickness of the LF and symptoms of LSCS could not be evaluated within the context of our study. In addition, thickening of the LF was defined by a thickness of more than 3.5 mm, which represents a narrow range of the LF thickness identified in previous studies [16,26,31,42-44].

Conclusions

The accumulation of mechanical stress, caused by age-related segmental instability, and especially segmental angulation with flexion-extension, leads to LF hypertrophy.
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