All-optical control of pendular qubit states with nonresonant two-color laser pulses
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Practical methodologies for qubit controls are established by two prerequisites, i.e., preparation of a well-defined initial quantum state and coherent control of that quantum state. Here we propose a quantum control method, realized by irradiating nonresonant nanosecond two-color ($\omega$ and $2\omega$) laser pulses to molecules in the pendular (field-dressed) ground state. The two-color field nonadiabatically splits the initial pendular ground state $|\tilde{0}, \tilde{0}\rangle$ to a superposition state of $|\tilde{0}, \tilde{0}\rangle$ and $|\tilde{1}, \tilde{0}\rangle$, whose relative probability amplitudes can be controlled by the peak intensity of one wavelength component ($\omega$) while the peak intensity of the other component ($2\omega$) is fixed. The splitting of the quantum paths is evidenced by observing degrees of orientation of ground-state-selected OCS molecules by the velocity map imaging technique. This quantum control method is highly advantageous because any polar molecule can be controlled regardless of the molecular energy structures.
Nowadays studies on controlling molecular rotation can be divided into two big branches. One is related to molecular spectroscopy. Gas molecules can be fixed in the laboratory-fixed frame by irradiating a moderately strong laser field\(^1\). Such a molecular ensemble is referred to as being aligned. In the aligned molecular ensemble, the molecular angular distribution is symmetric with respect to the plus–minus inversion of the aligned axis. A molecular ensemble with directional asymmetry is called being oriented. There are a number of studies on the realization of strong molecular alignment and orientation by combined electrostatic and laser fields\(^3\)–\(^5\), a two-color laser field\(^6\)–\(^10\), and a THz laser field\(^11\)–\(^12\). The laser-induced molecular alignment and orientation techniques have enabled photoelectron spectroscopy\(^13\)–\(^14\) and high-harmonic spectroscopy\(^15\)–\(^17\) in molecule-fixed frames so that anisotropic properties including the molecular orbitals of sample molecules can be investigated.

The studies on molecular rotational dynamics are also connected to another big branch of quantum science, the so-called quantum computer or quantum simulator\(^18\), which has attracted considerable attention. The molecular rotational state of polar molecules was proposed as a quantum platform\(^23\). The molecular rotational ensemble is referred to as being aligned.\(^2\)

There are a number of studies on molecular rotational dynamics including the one-to-one correspondence between the two oscillating electric fields. \(\theta\) is the angle between the molecular axis and the laser polarization. \(\alpha_0\) and \(\alpha_\perp\) are polarization components parallel and perpendicular to the molecular axis, while \(\beta_\parallel\) and \(\beta_\perp\) are hyperpolarizability components parallel and perpendicular to the molecular axis. Derivation of the interaction Hamiltonian can be found in ref.\(^10\).

The first term of Eq. (2) generates molecular alignment by allowing transitions between field-free states via nonzero matrix elements \((J, M | \cos^2 \theta I + 2 M)\). Since the cylindrical symmetry along the polarization direction is satisfied, transitions between different \(M\) states are not allowed. In the presence of only the alignment Hamiltonian, transitions between even- and odd-\(J\) states are not allowed, which also means that there is no transition between the two pendular states \((\theta, 0)\) and \((\theta, 0)\). The system is invariant under a plus-minus sign inversion of the polarization direction. The second term of Eq. (2) corresponds to the orientation Hamiltonian breaking the plus-minus sign inversion symmetry. In terms of the transition matrix elements, nonzero components \((J, M | \cos \theta I + 1, M)\), \((J, M | \cos^3 \theta I + 1, M)\) and \((J, M | \cos^3 \theta I + 3, M)\) allow transitions between even- and odd-\(J\) states. In this condition, a pendular state is given by the superposition of even- and odd-\(J\) states in Eq. (1). Analytic expressions of the nonzero matrix elements are given in ref.\(^10\).

According to the Landau–Zener formula\(^32\), a nonadiabatic transition rate \(\Gamma(t)\) between two pendular states is

\[
\Gamma(t) = \frac{d_{mn}^2}{\hbar} \left( \epsilon_m - \epsilon_n \right),
\]

where \(\epsilon_m(n)\) is an energy of a field-dressed state labeled by \(m(n)\), and \(d_{mn}\) is the transition matrix element between the two states. Formerly, with nonresonant nanosecond laser pulses with moderately strong intensities of \(10^{10}–10^{12}\text{ W cm}^{-2}\), it was believed that molecular rotational dynamics were adiabatic, because the rotational speed of molecules \((1/(\epsilon_m - \epsilon_n))\) is rapid enough in comparison to the field intensity variation so that \(\Gamma(t)\) is near zero. Therefore, the adiabaticity criterion can be considered as \(\Gamma(t) \sim 0\). Over the past years, however, it was revealed that nonadiabatic transitions between a pair of pendular states \((\theta, 0)\) and \((\theta, 0)\) can be significant depending on the external field parameter.\(^9\)\(^10\)\(^33\)–\(^35\) This intrinsically inevitable nonadiabatic transition between pendular doublet states, though it is a technical issue to be overcome in the molecular orientation, can be a powerful tool to control the pendular state given by a superposition of \((\theta, 0)\) and \((\theta, 0)\).

Figure 1 schematically shows our quantum control strategy. The second-harmonic pulse \((2\omega)\) has a shorter pulse duration than the fundamental \((\omega)\) pulse, due to the second-order frequency conversion process. Therefore, in the leading edge of the nanosecond two-color laser pulse, virtually only the \(\omega\) pulse interacts with molecules. By the \(\omega\) field becoming strong enough, the molecules in both \((\theta, 0)\) and \((\theta, 0)\) states show a laser-molecule interaction Hamiltonian \(H_{\text{int}}\). In Eq. (1), high-lying free rotational states need to be involved in the summation when a stronger laser field is applied. Considering a linearly polarized nonresonant two-color laser field\(^6\)–\(^7\)\(^9\), the laser-molecule interaction Hamiltonian \(H_{\text{int}}\) is given by

\[
H_{\text{int}}(t) = -\frac{1}{4} \left[ \epsilon_{\omega}(t) + \epsilon_{\omega}(t) \right] (\alpha_0 - \alpha_\perp \cos^2 \theta)
- \frac{1}{8} \left[ \cos \phi \epsilon_{\omega}(t) \epsilon_{\omega}(t) \right] \left[ (\beta_\parallel - 3 \beta_\perp) \cos^2 \theta + 3 \beta_\perp \cos \theta \right].
\]
strong alignment along the polarization direction of the \( \omega \) field\(^9\). In this condition, the two states are not mixed because the nonresonant-laser-based alignment Hamiltonian has no transition matrix elements between the two states. In the presence of only the strong alignment potential, the two states are almost degenerate in energy at \( t = t_1 - \delta t \) as shown in Fig. 1. Up to this moment, the population of the initial pendular ground state \( |0, 0\rangle \) is preserved through the adiabatic process. Any transitions to higher-lying pendular states \( |j, 0\rangle \) \( (j \geq 2) \) can be ignored by assuming a slow temporal variation of the laser intensity.

The combination of the \( \omega \) and \( 2\omega \) fields forms an orientation potential via a hyperpolarizability interaction with the molecules\(^6,7\). As the \( 2\omega \) intensity becomes significant, due to the orientation Hamiltonian, the transition matrix elements between the two states abruptly become nonzero. The degeneracy is clearly resolved as the \( 2\omega \) intensity becomes stronger, and the two energy curves exhibit an avoided crossing at \( t_1 \) as shown in Fig. 1. Since the energy gap between the two states is near zero at the crossing point \( t_1 \), the two states can be mixed completely by the transition rate given by Eq. (3), such that the rotational state after \( t = t_1 + \delta t \) can be expressed as \( a|0, 0\rangle + b|1, 0\rangle \) with relations \( |a|^2 = |b|^2 \sim 1/2 \) and \( |a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1 \). We note that, in the presence of the orientation potential, the two pendular states are oriented in opposite directions. While each pendular state shows strong orientation, the degree of molecular orientation is virtually zero because the two pendular states are completely mixed. To increase the degree of orientation, it is desirable to temporally synchronize the alignment and orientation potentials by optimizing the delay between the \( \omega \) and \( 2\omega \) pulses\(^9,10,36\).

The two energy curves cross again on the trailing edge of the \( 2\omega \) pulse at \( t = t_2 \). In between the crossing points of \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \), the interaction can be considered adiabatic because the temporal variations of the energy curves are slow enough. Thus the adiabaticity criterion \( \Gamma(t) \sim 0 \) is satisfied.

At the second crossing point \( t = t_2 \), the quantum states experience another nonadiabatic splitting. After the splitting at \( t = t_2 \), the mixed quantum state adiabatically evolves until the laser pulses are completely turned off. Eventually, there are four quantum paths through the entire laser–molecule interaction process.

The final state \( |\psi(t_f)\rangle \) can be analytically expressed by using an initial state \( |\psi(t_0)\rangle \) and the following unitary propagation operator:

\[
\hat{U}_f = \hat{U}_s^\dagger \hat{U}_c \hat{U}_s \tag{4}
\]

Here \( \hat{U}_s \) is a \( 2 \times 2 \) unitary operator, nonadiabatically mixing an initial pendular ground state into a superposition state at \( t_1 \). Another mixing process at \( t_2 \) can be given by the Hermitian conjugate of \( \hat{U}_s \) by assuming a temporally symmetric laser pulse. The unitary nature gives a \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix in a generalized form, expressed as

\[
\hat{U}_s = \begin{pmatrix} a & -b^* e^{-i\Delta} \n  b & a^* e^{i\Delta} \n \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{U}_s^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} a^* & b^* \n -be^{-i\Delta} & ae^{-i\Delta} \n \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5}
\]

In between the nonadiabatic transition points of \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \), the two adiabatic quantum paths accumulate phases, which can be considered by a diagonal matrix \( \hat{U}_c \) given by

\[
\hat{U}_c = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-iS_1} & 0 \n 0 & e^{-iS_1} \n \end{pmatrix}, S_{i(n=0,1)} \equiv \int_{t_i}^{t_2} \epsilon_{[i,0]} dt. \tag{6}
\]

Therefore, the total unitary operator is given by

\[
\hat{U}_f = \begin{pmatrix} |b|^2 e^{iQ} + |a|^2 e^{-iQ} & a^*b^* e^{i\Delta}[e^{iQ} - e^{-iQ}] \n a^*b^* e^{i\Delta}[e^{-iQ} - e^{iQ}] & |a|^2 e^{iQ} + |b|^2 e^{-iQ} \n \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7}
\]

where \( Q \equiv (S_0 - S_1)/2 \). In the right-hand side of Eq. (7), \( e^{-iP} (P \equiv (S_0 + S_1)/2) \) is omitted because it introduces a uniform
and the state \( |0, \tilde{0}\rangle \) evolves into
\[
\cos(Q) |0, \tilde{0}\rangle + 2iabe^{-i\chi} \sin(Q) |1, \tilde{0}\rangle
\]
and the state \( |1, \tilde{0}\rangle \) evolves into
\[
2ia^*b^* e^{i\chi} \sin(Q) |0, \tilde{0}\rangle + \cos(Q) |1, \tilde{0}\rangle
\]
by the irradiation of the nonresonant two-color laser pulse. This result means that the final population in the pendular ground state \( |0, \tilde{0}\rangle \) and the first excited state \( |1, \tilde{0}\rangle \) can be controlled by the quantum phase difference \( Q = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_i) dt \). The phase difference \( Q(I_\omega) \) is an increasing function of the peak intensity of the pulse because the energy gap of the two states for the time between \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) is an increasing function of the hyperpolarizability interaction. Therefore, the final state \( \langle \psi(t_f) \rangle \) (shown in Fig. 1) can be controlled by the peak intensity \( I_\omega \) of the pulse.

To validate this quantum control method, we have calculated the population dynamics by numerically solving the relevant time-dependent Schrödinger equation\(^9\).\(^10\). An OCS molecule is used as a sample. Nonresonant \( \omega \) and \( 2\omega \) laser pulses are used, whose pulse durations are set at 6 and 2 ns by FWHM, respectively. The polarizations of the two wavelengths are parallel. The ground state \( |0, \tilde{0}\rangle \) is used as an initial condition. Numerical calculations are conducted by changing the peak intensity \( I_\omega \) of the \( \omega \) pulse, while the peak intensity \( I_{2\omega} \) of the \( 2\omega \) pulse is fixed at \( 0.8 \times 10^{11} \text{ W cm}^{-2} \). Throughout the simulations, the relative phase \( \phi \) between the two wavelengths is set at zero to maximize the orientation potential, which is proportional to \( \cos \phi \).\(^6\).\(^7\).

Without losing the concept of the underlying physical mechanism, the above simulation conditions are chosen to clearly demonstrate the nonadiabatic transitions at the leading and trailing edges of the \( 2\omega \) pulse, so that the results are consistent with the analytic solution.

Figure 2a shows the populations of the two states \( |0, \tilde{0}\rangle \) at the peak of the laser pulse, and those populations after the interaction are shown in Fig. 2b. At the peak intensity (Fig. 2a), more population transfer occurs by increasing \( I_\omega \). The \( |1, \tilde{0}\rangle \) state population reaches near 50% at \( I_\omega = 1.0 \times 10^{12} \text{ W cm}^{-2} \), which means that the two states are totally mixed in the first nonadiabatic transition process at \( t_f \). At the peak intensity of the pulse, the degrees of alignment between the ground state and the mixed state is consistent as shown in Fig. 2c. However, while the ground state shows strong orientation, the mixed state shows significantly reduced orientation as shown in Fig. 2d because the two oppositely oriented states are mixed.

Looking at the populations after the laser pulse has completely passed (Fig. 2b), they show oscillating behaviors as expected from the analytic solution. When the peak intensity \( I_\omega \) is above \( 1.0 \times 10^{12} \text{ W cm}^{-2} \) and the two states are completely mixed at the peak intensity of the laser pulse \( (|a|^2 = |b|^2 = 1/2) \), the final populations oscillate between 0% and 100% as the peak \( \omega \) intensity is further increased, which is consistent with the prediction from the analytic function (Eq. (9)). Creation of the four quantum paths and the interference between these paths cause the \( I_{2\omega} \)-dependent modulation of the final state amplitudes.

**Experimental observation.** Figure 3 shows a summary of our observations of molecular orientation by the velocity map.
imaging (VMI) technique. OCS molecules in the rotational ground state are used as a sample (see Supplementary Methods). At the peak intensity of the two-color pulse, the molecules are ionized by a femtosecond probe pulse as shown in Fig. 3a. The 2-dimensional velocity distributions of the $S^+$ fragment ions are observed, which reflect the angular distributions of the rotation-controlled neutral molecules. The typical $S^+$ ion images are shown in Fig. 3c–f. In the center of the images, there appear low-energy fragment signals. These signals originate from the dissociation of OCS$^+$ parent ions and are not a focus of the present study. In the concentric rings between 150 and 210 pixels, there appear signals upward and downward along the polarization direction. The up–down asymmetry along the polarization direction shows the evidence of orientation of OCS molecules. Orientation potential energies created by the two-color laser pulses are proportional to $\cos \phi$, with $\phi$ the relative phase between the two wavelengths (shown in Fig 3b). The $\cos \phi$ dependence of the orientation directions is seen in the comparisons between (c) and (d) or (e) and (f).

The $S^+$ ion images are measured by changing $\phi$. We evaluate the degrees of alignment $\langle \cos^2 \theta_{2D} \rangle$ (g) and orientation $\langle \cos \theta_{2D} \rangle$ (h) of the rotation-controlled neutral molecules from the ion distributions with $\theta_{2D}$ the angle between the polarization direction of the two-color control pulse and the detected ion direction. When $I_\omega$ is increased from $1.7 \times 10^{11}$ to $5.0 \times 10^{11}$ W cm$^{-2}$, the degree of alignment is increased as shown in Fig. 3g, while the $\phi$-dependent modulation amplitude of the degree of orientation is reduced as shown in Fig. 3h. Note that the degree of alignment is independent of $\phi$ within data fluctuations and is increased when the $\omega$ intensity is increased, which ensures that the experimental conditions such as the spatial overlap of the two-color beams are constant during the experiment. If the process is adiabatic, the degrees of alignment and orientation should increase by increasing the peak intensity of the $\omega$ pulse. The reduced degree of orientation means that the nonadiabatic transition between oppositely oriented two pendular states actually takes place as discussed in Fig. 2d. Since the nonadiabatic process is observed in the first half of the two-color pulse, another

---

Image: Fig. 3 Experimental observation of the nonadiabatic transition. a Velocity-map imaging for observing molecular orientation. The pump and probe pulses are irradiated to OCS molecules in the rotational ground state. b Schematic representation of the relative phase $\phi$ between the two wavelengths. c–f Typical images of the $S^+$ fragment ions observed in different conditions. g $\phi$-independent degrees of alignment $\langle \cos^2 \theta_{2D} \rangle$ and h $\phi$-dependent degrees of orientation $\langle \cos \theta_{2D} \rangle$ for two peak $\omega$ intensities of $5.0 \times 10^{11}$ W cm$^{-2}$ (black) and $1.7 \times 10^{11}$ W cm$^{-2}$ (red).
Hermitian conjugate nonadiabatic process should also take place in the second half of the two-color pulse.

Conclusions

In this study, using the ground-state-selected target OCS molecules, we demonstrated a qubit control method realized by nonresonant two-color laser pulses, rather than a resonant microwave. This approach has a strong advantage in that it does not require either resonance frequency tuning or an external field, and the quantum control can be all-optically achieved. Utilizing nonresonant laser pulses brings us a capability of qubit control regardless of molecular energy structures. Thus, this all-optical nonresonant field approach has tremendous potential for coherent control of rotational qubit states. This study paves the way to control multiple qubits by various methods utilizing nonresonant laser pulses.

Method

As a pump pulse for the quantum control, the fundamental ($\omega$) and the second-harmonic ($2\omega$) pulses from an injection-seeded Nd:YAG laser (Sperta-Physics, LAB-130-10) are used. The duration of the $\omega$ pulse is 9 ns and that of the $2\omega$ pulse is 6 ns by FWHM. The relative phase $\varphi$ between the $\omega$ and the $2\omega$ pulses is varied by changing the angle of a fused silica plate inserted in the beam path. The path intensity of the $2\omega$ pulse is fixed at $8.0 \times 10^{11}$ W cm$^{-2}$, while the $\omega$ intensity is changed by an attenuator consisting of a $1/2$-wave plate and a polarizer. As a probe pulse, output from a Ti:sapphire amplifier is used with the center wavelength of 800 nm and the pulse width of 35 fs. The polarizations of the pump pulses are set parallel to the detector plane and that of the probe pulse is perpendicular to the detector plane. The pump and the probe pulses are collinearly focused by a 300-mm lens into the state-selected molecular beam.

Statistics and reproducibility

The S$^+$ fragment ions are detected by a micro-channel plate (MCP) backed by a phosphor screen and the images on the screen are recorded by a charge-coupled device camera. In Fig. 3g, h, both (cos$\theta_i$/$\theta_i$)$^+$ and (cos$\theta_i$/$\theta_i$)$^+$ are evaluated based on the angular distributions observed for S$^+$ fragment ions as shown in Fig. 3c-f.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request. Source data for Figs. 2, 3g, and h, are provided with this paper.

Code availability

The codes used in all theoretical simulations are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.
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