A Study of the Stability Mechanism of the Dispersed Particle Gel Three-Phase Foam Using the Interfacial Dilational Rheology Method
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Abstract: The dispersed particle gel (DPG) three-phase foam is a novel profile control and flooding system. The stability mechanism of the DPG three-phase foam was studied using an interfacial dilational rheology method. The results show that the elastic modulus of the DPG three-phase foam is up to 14 mN/m, which is much higher than the traditional foam. The increase in interface elasticity produces significantly positive effects on foam stability. Emphasis is given to the influences of frequency, temperature, pressure, and concentration on the viscoelasticity and interfacial adsorption of DPG particles, which change the modules of the foam interface and have a significant effect on foam stability. In addition, the microstructure of the DPG three-phase foam was observed. A viscoelastic shell is formed by the aggregation of the DPG particles on the interface. The irreversible adsorption gives the interface high elasticity and mechanical strength. The electrostatic repulsion between particles increases the spacing between bubbles. The combined effects of these factors give the interface higher mechanical strength, slow down the film drainage, effectively prevent gas permeation, and significantly improve the foam stability.
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1. Introduction

Nowadays, the foam system has attracted a lot of attention, and it has found an increasingly wide utilization in various fields, such as petroleum engineering, food engineering, and for the synthesis of new materials [1–9]. Foam has been extensively applied to foam flooding, foam profile, foam fracture acidizing, foam drainage gas recovery, and other engineering processes in the oil industry. However, foam is a thermodynamically unstable system and is transient under high reservoir temperature and pressure or external disturbance factors [1]. It is of great importance to enhance foam stability. There are three effects that cause foam to burst in reservoirs: liquid film drainage, disturbance rupture of the liquid membrane, and gas diffusion [2]. Polymers, polymer gels, and nanoparticles are added into foams to enhance foam stability. They do this by increasing foam viscosity or particle adsorption in order to inhibit gas diffusion and retard the rate of liquid drainage [3–6]. However, these additives have some drawbacks that cannot bear harsh reservoir conditions. For example, the viscosity reduction of the polymers due to shear degradation make the foam viscosity lower and decrease foam stability. The variation in the wettability of nanoparticles under high temperatures and high salinity makes it difficult for nanoparticles to adsorb on the gas liquid interface, resulting in an unstable foam system [7].
G. Zhao [8,9] found that foam stability can be enormously enhanced by adding the dispersed particle gel (DPG). The novel three-phase foam has a large foam volume and a long life in reservoir conditions. The DPG particles have high viscoelasticity, and they can both adsorb on the foam interface and increase the liquid viscosity. Currently, however, the study of the DPG three-phase foam is still in its infancy, and the stability mechanism is still controversial.

Foam stability is mainly determined by the properties of the liquid film, so most early research was based on the measurement of the equilibrium interfacial tension [10,11]. With the enrichment of surfactant types and the expansion of its application fields, the study of the dynamic properties of the interface received more attention, and the interfacial rheology research gradually became a common method with which to measure the stability of foam [12,13], especially interfacial elasticity [6,11,14,15]. It is found that there is a numerical relationship between the interfacial viscoelasticity and the thickness of the liquid film [14,15]. The interfacial dilational rheology method is widely used to study the interface properties of surfactant solutions, nanoparticle-foam, the surfactant-polymer composite system, emulsions, and oil/water interfaces [16–23]. Information on aggregation morphology, rearrangement and diffusion orientation, microscopic relaxation, and the interaction of molecules can be obtained by interfacial rheology [24–32].

In this study, a new three-phase foam system was prepared by adding DPG particles into surfactant solutions. The interfacial rheological behavior of this composite foam system in different conditions was investigated by a dilational, rheological method. The mechanism of the effect of the DPG on interfacial behavior was discussed, which provided the theoretical basis for the mechanism of viscoelastic solid particles to stabilize foam.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Materials

Tetradecyl hydroxyl sulfobetaine (THSB) used as foaming agent was provided by Nuosong Company, Limited, Shanghai, China. Chemical structure of THSB is shown in Figure 1. Partial hydrolysis polyacrylamide (HPAM) was provided by Oil Service Company, Langfang, China and had a molecular weight of $9.6 \times 10^6$ (g·mol$^{-1}$) and a hydrolysis degree of 3.62%. The phenolic resin crosslinker was provided by Shengli Oilfield Shengli Chemical Company, Limited, Dongying, China. The purity of nitrogen was more than 99%, and this was provided by the Tianyuan Company, Qingdao, China. All the stock solutions were prepared using simulated water with a salinity of 800 mg·L$^{-1}$.

![Figure 1. Chemical structure of THSB.](image)

2.2. Preparation of the DPG Three-Phase Foam

The mixture of 0.3% HPAM and 0.9% phenolic resin crosslinker was placed into an oven for 6 h at 368.15 K until a bulk gel was formed. The reaction equation is shown in Figure 2. Then, the bulk gel was added to the JM-85 colloid mill rotating at 3000 rpm for 10 min at 298.15 K. The obtained pale yellow solution was the DPG solution with a concentration of 0.3%. The concentration of DPG solution is defined as the concentration of HPAM used in the preparation process. The DPG particles are mainly spherical with a size distribution between 1 and 3 µm, and the Zeta potential is from $-28.6$ to $-36.2$ mV; both were measured using a Particle Size-Zeta Potential Analyzer (Brookhaven NanoBrook Omni, New York, NY, USA). The viscosity of DPG particles is 5.2 mPa·s, measured by a viscometer (Brookfield DV−2 Pro, Middleboro, MA, USA) with a shear rate of 73 s$^{-1}$. The DPG particles, THSB,
and water were prepared into a mixture of different concentration ratios. Nitrogen was injected into the mixture to generate the three-phase foam. Pictures of bulk gel, DPG particles, and DPG three-phase foam are shown in Figure 3.

![Figure 2](image-url)  
**Figure 2.** Reaction equation for the formation of bulk gel.

![Figure 3](image-url)  
**Figure 3.** Pictures of bulk gel, DPG particles and DPG three-phase foam. (a) Bulk gel; (b) DPG particles; (c) DPG 3-phase foam.

### 2.3. Interfacial Dilational Rheology

Interfacial dilational rheology was performed using the interfacial tension meter/rheometer (Tracker-H) provided by TECLIS Company, Lyon, France. A schematic diagram of the device is shown in Figure 4. A bubble was formed on the end of a U-type needle immersed in the solution, and the profile of the floating bubble was transmitted to the computer application by a camera. The bubble volume controller made the floating bubble oscillate. The surface tension and the interfacial dilatational modulus of the nitrogen/water interface was calculated using a computer application. In the absence of special instructions, the experiment was carried out under the following conditions: (1) Temperature: 298.15 K; (2) Pressure: 101,325 Pa; (3) Vibration frequency: 0.1 s⁻¹; and (4) Amplitude: 10% of the initial volume.

When the area of the interface sinusoidally fluctuates, molecules are continuously adsorbed and desorbed on the interface membrane, and the interfacial tension gives a sinusoidal response. The interfacial dilatational modulus ($E$) is defined as follows,

$$E = \frac{d\sigma}{d(lnA)} = \frac{d\sigma}{d\ln A}$$

in which $\sigma$ is the gas/liquid interfacial tension and $A$ is the bubble surface area.

The interfacial dilational modulus is the comprehensive characterization of the resistance to deformation ability and the repair ability of the deformation of the interface. The higher the value of the dilational modulus, the higher the film strength, and the stronger the self-repair ability.

For viscoelastic interfaces, the interfacial dilational modulus is composed of the elastic modulus and the viscous modulus,

$$E = |E|\cos\theta + i|E|\sin\theta$$
in which \(|E|\cos\theta\) represents dilational elasticity, \(|E|\sin\theta\) represents dilational viscosity, and \(\theta\) is the phase angle, representing the proportion of the elastic modulus and the viscous modulus.

![Schematic diagram of the interface rheometer.](image)

**Figure 4.** Schematic diagram of the interface rheometer.

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Evaluation of Foam Stability

Lots of work [9] was done during the early stages of the stability evaluation of DPG three-phase foam. Therefore, the half-life time and drainage of the traditional aqueous foam and DPG three-phase foam at 363.15 K were specifically investigated using a foam scanner (TECLIS Company, Lyon, France). The experimental results are shown in Figure 5. In Figure 5a, the foam volume of the DPG three-phase foam stabilized for a while and then began to decrease until 650 s. However, the foam volume of the traditional aqueous foam began to dramatically decrease at 370 s. The half-life time of the DPG three-phase foam is 900 s, which is 2.2 times longer than the traditional aqueous foam. In Figure 5b, the liquid carrying capacity of the DPG three-phase foam is up to 20%, which is four times higher than the traditional aqueous foam. The time of liquid drainage was lengthened from 200 to 480 s, and the foam stability was significantly improved by adding DPG particles.

![Foam volume and liquid fraction over time.](image)

**Figure 5.** Foam volume and liquid fraction over time. (a) Foam volume and (b) liquid fraction in foam.

It is worth noting that the DPG three-phase foam was still maintained for about 400 s after the liquid fraction was close to zero. This is closely related to the property of the thin film and interface of foam. Therefore, interfacial rheology of foam was studied to reveal the mechanism of stability.
3.2. Interfacial Rheology and Factors Influencing Foam Stability

3.2.1. Influence of the DPG Concentration

The interfacial tension and modulus of the traditional aqueous foam (0.05% THSB) and the DPG three-phase foam at different DPG concentrations are shown in Figure 6. The interfacial tension of the DPG three-phase foam is slightly higher than that of the traditional foam. With an increase of DPG concentration, the dilational modulus significantly increases. In Figure 6c, the increase of the viscous modulus is slight, whereas the elastic modulus dramatically increases. Thus, the increase of the dilational modulus is mainly attributed to the increase of the elastic modulus. The elastic modulus derives from the energy change caused by the deviation from the equilibrium state after the perturbation of the interface molecules, which is closely related to the intermolecular interaction. The results show that the DPG three-phase foam has better mechanical strength, and the interface has better resistance to deformation.

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6.** Interfacial tension and modulus at different DPG concentrations. (a) Dynamic interfacial tension; (b) dynamic interfacial dilational modulus; and (c) equilibrium interfacial modulus, elastic modulus, and viscous modulus.

In the traditional aqueous foam, the interfacial tension gradually decreases with an increase of surfactant molecules adsorbing on the interface, and equilibrium is obtained after saturated adsorption. The dilational modulus fluctuates with time, and the foam interface is unstable. The fast relaxation process of surfactant molecules includes molecular migration between the bulk and interface and molecules transporting on the interface. This process timely repairs the interfacial tension gradient caused by deformation, making the interface elastic modulus small and causing it to continuously fluctuate. In the DPG three-phase foam, the interfacial tension increases after DPG particles and surfactant molecules interact and aggregate on the interface because of the hydrophobic effect of the
DPG particles. With an increase of the DPG concentration, the number of DPG particles on the interface increases, the interfacial tension continues to rise, and the increasing amplitude gradually decreases. An increase of surface tension will have an adverse effect on the foaming performance of the foam, but it has no decisive influence on the foam stability. DPG particles are viscoelastic macromolecules, and the interfacial tension of the foam film has a long equilibrium time because of the slow movement of DPG particles. When the interfacial area changes, its relaxation time is longer. There is not enough time to restore the tension gradient, and this leads to a larger tension gradient, which makes the interfacial dilational modulus higher. The adsorption of DPG particles with surfactant molecules increases the interfacial elasticity, makes the foam film thickness maintain a uniform state when it is disturbed, and improves the stability and strength of the foam film.

3.2.2. Influence of the Surfactant Concentration

The equilibrium interfacial tension and modulus of the traditional aqueous foam and the DPG three-phase foam at different surfactant concentrations are shown in Figure 7. In the traditional aqueous foam, with an increase of surfactant concentration, the number of surfactant molecules adsorbed on the interface increases and the interfacial tension gradually decreases until the interface adsorption is saturated and reaches a plateau. At a high surfactant concentration, surfactant molecules in the liquid phase quickly transfer to the interface with the dilution of the bubble, and the interfacial tension quickly returns to the original state. Thus, the thinned liquid is not repaired, and the dilational modulus is low and the stability is poor. In the DPG three-phase foam, surfactant molecules associate with DPG particles and jointly adsorb on the interface. A large number of DPG particles aggregating on the interface at a low surfactant concentration leads to the dramatic improvement of the dilational modulus. At high surfactant concentrations, surfactant molecules begin to form free micelles, which largely distribute in the body phase and the interface. The decreasing number of DPG particles on the interface results in a lower modulus and a decrease in foam stability.

![Figure 7. Equilibrium interfacial tension and modulus at different surfactant concentration. (a) Equilibrium interfacial tension and (b) equilibrium interfacial dilational modulus.](image)

3.2.3. Influence of Oscillation Frequency

The oscillation frequency is an important factor affecting the dilational modulus. The equilibrium interfacial modulus and phase angle of the traditional aqueous foam and the DPG three-phase foam in the 0.05–0.5 Hz frequency range are shown in Figure 8. At a low DPG concentration, with an increase of the oscillation frequency, the dilational modulus increases and the phase angle decreases. At a high DPG concentration, the number of DPG particles at the interface increases, and the frequency has less influence on the interfacial modulus.
3.2.4. Influence of Temperature

The interfacial tension and modulus of the traditional aqueous foam and the DPG three-phase foam at different temperatures are shown in Figure 9. The higher the temperature, the faster the interface reaches equilibrium, the lower the equilibrium interfacial tension, and the smaller the viscous modulus and the elastic modulus. The interfacial modulus of the DPG three-phase foam at a high temperature is obviously higher than that of the traditional aqueous foam.

High temperature accelerates the thermal motion of molecules, which is conducive to the activity of molecular aggregation at the interface and rapidly promotes a decrease in interfacial tension. However, when the temperature is too high, the gas-liquid mass transfer gets faster. The interfacial tension gradient caused by deformation decreases; the interfacial modulus and the interfacial elasticity decrease, and it is easier for the gas to pass through the liquid membrane. Also, as the temperature rises, the evaporation of liquid gets faster, and the speed of film drainage is quicker, which causes the instability of the foam. At high temperatures, DPG particles still maintain good molecular activity and form effective adsorption on the interface to maintain the high elasticity of the film. The heat transfer resistance of the liquid film is increased by the elastic membrane structure. Therefore, the liquid film drainage and gas transmission are less affected by temperature, and the foam has better stability.
Figure 9. Interfacial tension and modulus under different temperatures. (a) Dynamic interfacial tension (0.05% THSB + 0.01% DPG), (b) equilibrium interfacial tension, (c) equilibrium interfacial modulus, and (d) equilibrium interfacial viscous modulus and elastic modulus.

3.2.5. Influence of Pressure

The interfacial tension and modulus of the traditional aqueous foam and the DPG three-phase foam at different pressures are shown in Figure 10. When the pressure rises, the balance time of the foam interface is basically unchanged, and the interfacial tension is reduced. As the pressure continues to increase, the interfacial tension slowly decreases. The interfacial tension reaches the minimum value when the pressure increases to 8 MPa, which is called the minimum equilibrium interfacial tension pressure. The equilibrium interfacial modulus slightly rises with an increase of pressure and finally reaches equilibrium. The interfacial modulus of the DPG three-phase foam at different pressures is obviously higher than that of the traditional aqueous foam.

Pressure has little effect on the molecular diffusion rate. When the pressure rises, the foam interface is compressed, and the number of DPG particles and active molecules increase and form a closer arrangement on the interface, resulting in a decrease of interfacial tension and an increase of interfacial modulus. This plays an important role in enhancing the liquid membrane strength. As the pressure continues to rise, the interfacial tension and modulus reach a plateau after saturated adsorption of molecules. Under high pressure, the interfacial film is more elastic and the foam is more stable.
3.3. Analysis of the Foam Stabilization Mechanism

The microstructure of the traditional foam and the DPG three-phase foam was observed using a Leica DMI8 C inverted microscope system, as shown in Figure 11. The traditional foam is loosely arranged with different bubble sizes (Figure 11a). The liquid film is thin with little distance between the interfaces, and can be easily ruptured (Figure 11a,c). In the DPG three-phase foam, the bubbles are dense and uniform (Figure 11d), and a large number of particles gather in the liquid phase and on the interface, resulting in a significant increase in the thickness of the liquid film and the spacing between the interfaces (Figure 11e,f).

DPG particles stabilize the foam in two ways. The first way is through the formation of adsorption layer on the liquid film. The second way is through the dispersal in the liquid phase. DPG particles associate with surfactant molecules by physical adsorption and gather on the foam interface. The DPG particles that adsorbed on the interface are tightly arranged, forming a dense and stable elastic membrane structure. The thickness of the liquid film obviously increases, and the liquid drainage channel is blocked. This can be seen as steric stabilization. Besides, the strong elastic shell forms a skeleton of the foam to wrap up the gas, reducing the contact area between the bubbles, preventing the coalescence of bubbles, and weakening the permeation of the liquid film and gas. The elastic film has good mechanical strength. It is not easy to break up the foam by external disturbances, which results in a more stable foam system. Moreover, the excess DPG particles dispersing uniformly in the bulk phase form an orderly structure. When the bubbles are close to each other, solid particles will hinder liquid drainage. Thus, the stability of the foam is improved.
Figure 10. Interfacial tension and modulus under different pressures. (a) Dynamic interfacial tension, (b) equilibrium interfacial tension, (c) equilibrium dilational modulus, and (d) equilibrium viscous modulus and elastic modulus.

3.3. Analysis of the Foam Stabilization Mechanism

The microstructure of the traditional foam and the DPG three-phase foam was observed using a Leica DMi8 C inverted microscope system, as shown in Figure 11. The traditional foam is loosely arranged with different bubble sizes (Figure 11a). The liquid film is thin with little distance between the interfaces, and can be easily ruptured (Figure 11a, c). In the DPG three-phase foam, the bubbles are dense and uniform (Figure 11d), and a large number of particles gather in the liquid phase and on the interface, resulting in a significant increase in the thickness of the liquid film and the spacing between the interfaces (Figure 11e, f).

Figure 11. Microstructure of the traditional aqueous foam and the DPG three-phase foam. (a,b) The traditional aqueous foam at different levels of magnification, (c) the plateau border of the traditional aqueous foam, (d) the DPG three-phase foam, (e) DPG particle adsorption at the gas-liquid interface and non-adsorption in the solution, and (f) the plateau border of the DPG three-phase foam.

Furthermore, the traditional foam is mainly maintained by the Marangoni effect under a low surfactant concentration. When the surfactant concentration is high, the rapid migration of molecules from the liquid phase to the interface will reduce the Marangoni effect, and the thinned liquid film cannot be repaired, resulting in the poor stability of the foam. DPG particles are polymer particles with viscoelasticity and have solid particle characteristics. The particles form a stable elastic membrane structure on the gas-liquid interface. The relaxation process of DPG macromolecules is very slow when external disturbances occur. There is no mass transfer and desorption of DPG particles in the body phase and on the interface during the experimental period, and therefore the thickness of the foam film remains uniform. This irreversible adsorption gives the interface high elasticity and mechanical strength, which is beneficial to the stability of the foam. The schematic diagram of the mechanism of foam stabilization is shown in Figure 12.

In addition, as DPG particles and THSB molecules are negatively charged, the electrostatic repulsion between particles increases the spacing between bubbles, and this weakens the stratification and coalescence of the foam film.

The combined effect of all factors above gives the interface higher mechanical strength, slows down the liquid film drainage rate, effectively prevents gas permeation, and significantly improves the foam stability.
4. Conclusions

The stability mechanism of the DPG three-phase foam in different conditions was experimentally studied using an interfacial dilational rheology method. The results show that frequency, temperature, pressure, and concentration influence the viscoelasticity and interfacial adsorption of DPG, which change the dilational modules of the foam interface and have a significant effect on foam stability. The stability mechanism of the DPG three-phase foam is as follows. DPG particles and surfactant molecules are closely arranged on the foam interface by physical adsorption, forming a viscoelastic stable membrane structure. This strong elastic shell forms the skeleton of the foam to wrap up the gas, preventing the coalescence of bubbles. The thickness of the foam film remains uniform, and the liquid film has higher mechanical strength, slows down the liquid film drainage rate, effectively prevents gas permeation, and significantly improves the foam stability.

Author Contributions: X.Y., P.Y., G.Z., X.S., and C.D. conceived and designed the experiments; X.Y. performed the experiments; X.Y. and P.Y. analyzed the data; X.Y. wrote the paper.

Funding: This research was funded by the National Key Basic Research Program (No. 2015CB250904), Science Funds for Doctoral fund of Shandong Province (No. ZR201702170502), the China Postdoctoral Fund (No. 2016M600228), Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (No. ZR2017BEE044), the Foundation of State Key Laboratory of Petroleum Resources and Prospecting, China University of Petroleum, Beijing (No. PRP/open-1707), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 51704314).

Acknowledgments: The authors express their appreciation to technical reviewers for their constructive comments. Thanks to Edward C. Mignot, Shandong University, for linguistic advice.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The founding sponsors had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

References
1. Shchukin, E.D.; Pertsov, A.; Amelina, E.; Zelenev, A. Colloid and Surface Chemistry; Elsevier: New York, NY, USA, 2001; Volume 12.
2. Murray, B.S. Stabilization of bubbles and foams. Curr. Opin. Colloids Interface Sci. 2007, 12, 232–241. [CrossRef]
3. Wang, X.; Dong, M.; Zhou, W. Polymer/gel enhanced foam flood for improving post-waterflood heavy oil recovery. In Proceedings of the SPE Heavy Oil Conference-Canada, Calgary, AB, Canada, 11–13 June 2013; Society of Petroleum Engineers: Richardson, TX, USA, 2013.

4. Chen, S.; Jian, G.; Hou, Q.; Gao, S.; Luo, Y.; Zhu, Y.; Li, W. Effect of oil on the stability of polymer enhanced foams. Int. J. Oil Gas Coal Technol. 2013, 6, 675–688. [CrossRef]

5. Emrani, A.S.; Nasr-El-Din, H.A. An experimental study of nanoparticle-polymer-stabilized CO$_2$ foam. Colloids Surf. A 2017, 524, 17–27. [CrossRef]

6. Tang, L.; Fang, H.B.; Zong, H.; Zhang, L.; Jin, Z.Q.; Xu, Z.C.; Zhang, L.; Zhao, S. Dilational rheological properties of interfacial films containing Branch-Preformed particle gel and crude oil fractions. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 2015, 132. [CrossRef]

7. Binks, B.P.; Kirkland, M.; Rodrigues, J.A. Origin of stabilisation of aqueous foams in nanoparticle–surfactant mixtures. Soft Matter 2008, 4, 2373–2382. [CrossRef]

8. Fang, J.; Dai, C.; Yan, Y.; Yan, Z.; You, Q. Enhanced Foam Stability by Adding Dispersed Particle Gel: A New 3-Phase Foam Study. In Proceedings of the SPE Asia Pacific Enhanced Oil Recovery Conference, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 11–13 August 2015; Society of Petroleum Engineers: Richardson, TX, USA, 2015.

9. Zhao, G.; Dai, C.; Wen, D.; Fang, J. Stability mechanism of a novel three—Phase foam by adding dispersed particle gel. Colloids Surf. A 2016, 497, 214–224. [CrossRef]

10. Richardson, R.M.; Pelton, R.; Cosgrove, T.; Zhang, J. A Neutron Reflectivity Study of Poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) at the Air-Water Interface with and without Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate. Macromolecules 2000, 33, 6269–6274. [CrossRef]

11. Noskov, B.; Alexandrov, D.; Loglio, G.; Miller, R. Characterisation of adsorbed polymer film structure by dynamic surface tension and dilational elasticity. Colloids Surf. A 1999, 156, 307–313. [CrossRef]

12. Maldonado-Valderrama, J.; Patino, J.M.R. Interfacial rheology of protein–surfactant mixtures. Soft Matter 2005, 15, 271–282. [CrossRef]

13. Monteux, C.; Fuller, G.G.; Bergeron, V. Shear and dilational surface rheology of oppositely charged polyelectrolyte/surfactant microgels adsorbed at the air-water interface. Influence on foam stability. J. Phys. Chem. B 2004, 108, 16473–16482. [CrossRef]

14. Bhamla, M.S.; Giacomin, C.E.; Balemans, C.; Fuller, G.G. Influence of interfacial rheology on drainage from curved surfaces. Soft Matter 2014, 10, 6917–6925. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

15. Narsimhan, G.; Wang, Z. Stability of thin stagnant film on a solid surface with a viscoelastic air–liquid interface. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2005, 291, 296–302. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

16. Wang, J.; Nguyen, A.V.; Farrokhpay, S. Effects of surface rheology and surface potential on foam stability. Colloids Surf. A 2016, 488, 70–81. [CrossRef]

17. Li, Z.; Zhang, L.; Yan, F.; Zhang, L.; Zhao, S.; Yu, J.-Y. Surface dilational properties of N-acyltaurate amphiphiles with aromatic side chains. Acta Phys.-Chim. Sin. 2009, 25, 1939–1944.

18. Cui, X.-H.; Zhang, L.; Zhao, R.-L.; Luo, L.; Zhang, L.; Zhao, S.; Yu, J.-Y. Interfacial Interactions Among Aromatic Side Chained N-Acyltaurates Studied by Interfacial Tension Relaxation Measurements. Chem. J. Chin. Univ. 2011, 32, 1556–1562.

19. Wang, H.; Gong, Y.; Lu, W.; Chen, B. Influence of nano-SiO$_2$ on dilational viscoelasticity of liquid/air interface of cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide. Appl. Surf. Sci. 2008, 254, 3380–3384. [CrossRef]

20. Dong, X.; Xu, J.; Cao, C.; Sun, D.; Jiang, X. Aqueous foam stabilized by hydrophobically modified silica particles and liquid paraffin droplets. Colloids Surf. A 2010, 353, 181–188. [CrossRef]

21. Noskov, B.; Mikhailovskaya, A.; Lin, S.-Y.; Loglio, G.; Miller, R. Bovine serum albumin unfolding at the air/water interface as studied by dilational surface rheology. Langmuir 2010, 26, 17225–17231. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

22. Noskov, B.A. Dilational surface rheology of polymer and polymer/surfactant solutions. Curr. Opin. Colloids Interface Sci. 2010, 15, 229–236. [CrossRef]

23. Yarranton, H.; Sztukowski, D.; Urrutia, P. Effect of interfacial rheology on model emulsion coalescence: I. Interfacial rheology. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2007, 310, 246–252. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

24. Bhamla, M.S.; Chai, C.; Álvarez-Valenzuela, M.A.; Tajuelo, J.; Fuller, G.G. Interfacial mechanisms for stability of surfactant-laden films. PLoS ONE 2017, 12, e0175753. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
25. Yue, L.; He, Z.-M.; Wang, Y.-Q.; Shang, Y.-Z.; Liu, H.-L. Effect of the surfactant C_{12}mimBr on the aggregation behavior of gemini12-2-12 at an air/water interface, investigated using an interfacial dilational rheology method. *Acta Phys.-Chim. Sin.* **2014**, *30*, 2291–2299.

26. Rane, J.P.; Pauchard, V.; Couzis, A.; Banerjee, S. Interfacial rheology of asphaltenes at oil–water interfaces and interpretation of the equation of state. *Langmuir* **2013**, *29*, 4750–4759. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

27. Samanituk, J.R.; Hermans, E.; Verwijlen, T.; Pauchard, V.; Vermant, J. Soft-glassy rheology of asphaltenes at liquid interfaces. *J. Dispers. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *36*, 1444–1451. [CrossRef]

28. Simjoo, M.; Rezaei, T.; Andrianov, A.; Zitha, P. Foam stability in the presence of oil: Effect of surfactant concentration and oil type. *Colloids Surf. A* **2013**, *438*, 148–158. [CrossRef]

29. Subramaniam, A.B.; Abkarian, M.; Mahadevan, L.; Stone, H.A. Mechanics of interfacial composite materials. *Langmuir* **2006**, *22*, 10204–10208. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

30. Zang, D.; Rio, E.; Langevin, D.; Wei, B.; Binks, B. Viscoelastic properties of silica nanoparticle monolayers at the air-water interface. *Eur. Phys. J. E Soft Matter Biol. Phys.* **2010**, *31*, 125–134. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

31. Deo, P.; Somasundaran, P. Interactions of hydrophobically modified polyelectrolytes with nonionic surfactants. *Langmuir* **2005**, *21*, 3950–3956. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Zhao, G.; Khin, C.C.; Chen, S.B.; Chen, B.-H. Nonionic surfactant and temperature effects on the viscosity of hydrophobically modified hydroxyethyl cellulose solutions. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2005**, *109*, 14198–14204. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

© 2018 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).