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Abstract. Early in 1996, the latest of the European incoherent-scatter (EISCAT) radars came into operation on the Svalbard islands. The EISCAT Svalbard Radar (ESR) has been built in order to study the ionosphere in the northern polar cap and in particular, the dayside cusp. Conditions in the upper atmosphere in the cusp region are complex, with magnetosheath plasma cascading freely into the atmosphere along open magnetic field lines as a result of magnetic reconnection at the dayside magnetopause. A model has been developed to predict the effects of pulsed reconnection and the subsequent cusp precipitation in the ionosphere. Using this model we have successfully recreated some of the major features seen in photometer and satellite data within the cusp. In this paper, the work is extended to predict the signatures of pulsed reconnection in ESR data when the radar is pointed along the magnetic field. It is expected that enhancements in both electron concentration and electron temperature will be observed. Whether these enhancements are continuous in time or occur as a series of separate events is shown to depend critically on where the open/closed field-line boundary is with respect to the radar. This is shown to be particularly true when reconnection pulses are superposed on a steady background rate.

1 Introduction

The European incoherent-scatter (EISCAT) radars have been in operation in northern Scandinavia since 1983 (Rishbeth and Williams, 1985). This facility, with a tri-static UHF radar and effectively two monostatic VHF radars, has proved extremely useful in furthering our understanding of the coupled upper atmosphere-ionosphere-magnetosphere system at high latitudes. In 1996, the existing radars will be complemented by an additional facility on Svalbard. At 78.2°N, 15.82°E, the EISCAT Svalbard Radar (ESR) is in an ideal position to study the dynamics of the upper atmosphere in the polar cusp region and the dayside polar cap (Cowley et al., 1990). These regions are threaded by field lines which have been reconnected with the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF) relatively recently. Thus these regions are connected by magnetic field lines to the dayside magnetopause, across which some shocked solar-wind plasma of the magnetosheath is transferred (Reiff et al., 1977; Lockwood, 1995a, b; Cowley, 1982). Mechanisms for this mass transfer which have been suggested include wave-driven diffusion and penetration by high-momentum solar-wind filaments. However, both observational and theoretical evidence strongly indicates that magnetic reconnection is the dominant process. Magnetopause observations and recent ground-based radar and optical observations, as well as low-altitude satellite data, all strongly suggest that the magnetopause reconnection rate is pulsed (see the review Lockwood, 1995a). In this paper, we offer some predictions as to what the ESR will see under conditions of pulsed reconnection.

The output from a time-dependent, self-consistent auroral precipitation model (Palmer, 1995) was used to model the spatial and temporal distribution of 630-nm atomic oxygen emission in response to pulsed magnetopause reconnection. In this way, it was possible to simulate how such events would appear to a meridian scanning photometer in the cusp region. By making assumptions about the nature of the reconnection, the model was shown to be able to match some of the major features seen in photometer and satellite observations. This work has been submitted for publication and is currently under review.

In this paper we consider those output parameters of the auroral model which are observable to incoherent scatter (such as electron concentration, $N_e$, and ion and electron temperatures, $T_i$ and $T_e$). In this way, it is possible to extend the previous work to predict what would be seen by the ESR. In principle, it is possible to vary the...
reconnection rate used as an input to the model between fully pulsed (i.e. there is no background reconnection taking place between the pulses) and the opposite limit of continuous and steady reconnection. For the illustrative purpose of this paper however, we will limit ourselves to two cases with square wave pulses in reconnection rate, namely fully pulsed reconnection and reconnection pulses during which the rate is twice the steady background value between the pulses.

2 The model

2.1 Estimating the reconnection pattern

Reconnection between the IMF and the geomagnetic field occurs on the dayside magnetopause. In order to study the effects of pulsed reconnection, it is necessary to estimate certain parameters defining the reconnection-rate variation. We do this by referring to magnetopause observations of the effects of reconnection. A study has been made of the temporal distribution of magnetopause flux transfer events (FTEs) (Lockwood and Wild, 1993), which are widely considered to be signatures of pulsed magnetopause reconnection. The conclusions of this study were that reconnection occurred in bursts, lasting on average 2 min with a mean repeat period of 8 min. The most common (mode) values of the periods and pulse durations were 2 min and 35 s, respectively (Lockwood and Davis, 1995). In our model, we use a reconnection variation with a 4-min period, reconnection occurring in a square wave pulse lasting 1 min in each cycle. The repeat period, although lower than the mean repetition rate seen in satellite data, comes well within the observed distribution, and was chosen as a compromise between the mean and the mode of the observations (Lockwood and Wild, 1993). Similarly, a duration of 1 min was chosen as a compromise between the mean and the mode of the observed distribution.

On reconnection, the resultant open field line is bent, and contracts under the influence of the curvature (“tension”) force and later because of the antisunward magnetosheath flow. The point where the open field line threads the boundary typically moves at ~150–400 km s\(^{-1}\) over the magnetopause. If the reconnection occurs in bursts, the pulses of enhanced tangential magnetopause electric field associated with these motions are not in general mapped down into the ionosphere as they would be in steady state (Lockwood and Cowley, 1992). The response time of ionospheric flows and electric fields is set by the frictional drag caused by ion-neutral collisions and the inductance of the dayside magnetosphere. Observations and theory suggest this time to be of the order to 20 min. Theoretical estimates of the inductive smoothing constant have been calculated by many authors (Holzer and Reid, 1975; Sanchez et al., 1991; Coroniti and Kennel, 1973; Lockwood and Cowley, 1992). Observational evidence comes from studies of the response of dayside flows (measured using the EISCAT radar) to IMF changes (monitored with the AMPTE satellites), which showed that although flows responded within 5 min of a change impinging on the dayside magnetopause, they subsequently continued to evolve over a further period of about 15 min (Todd et al., 1988, Etemadi et al., 1988). Thus the time to establish the full flow corresponding to the new IMF orientation is of the order of 20 min. For pulsed reconnection occurring at a rate that is faster than this, the changes in magnetospheric magnetic fields mean that the pulses of magnetopause electric field are inductively smoothed out in the ionosphere in the Earth’s frame of reference. During continual pulsed reconnection with a sufficiently short repetition period, to a good approximation the ionosphere at the foot of newly reconnected field lines moves poleward at a constant velocity (Lockwood et al., 1993b). In our model, we assume that pulsed reconnection has been occurring for some time before the observations start and that there is a constant 500-m s\(^{-1}\) poleward plasma velocity in the ionosphere. Consecutive bursts of reconnection will give contiguous patches of ionospheric plasma on open field lines, and these will convect polewards with the constant convection velocity (Cowley et al., 1991).

The location of the reconnection site is variable. It is dependent on the geometry of the magnetosheath field relative to the geomagnetic field, and is therefore sensitive to changes in the direction of the IMF. If a large component of the IMF exists along the direction of the Earth’s orbit (the \(B_z\) component), the reconnection site will be altered in longitude. In addition, the subsequent reconnected field lines will evolve over the polar cap in an asymmetric pattern because the tension force has a component in the \(Y\) direction the size of which is dependent on the exact geometry. In this paper, we shall assume a subsolar reconnection site and neglect these effects of the IMF \(B_z\) component. This allows us to limit the model to the two-dimensional case in which the field line evolves along the Sun-Earth meridian from a subsolar reconnection site. The top panel of Fig. 1 shows the model reconnection-rate variation used in this paper. In this figure, the background reconnection rate is \(a\), and the enhanced reconnection rate is \(b\). The repeat period used is \(\tau = 240\) s and the square wave pulses last \(dt = 60\) s. The reconnection rate is zero between the pulses in Fig. 1, so the mean value is one-quarter of the value within the pulses. Section 3 will consider a second case of pulsed but continuous reconnection in which there is a steady background reconnection rate between the pulses.

2.2 Mapping the precipitation into the ionosphere

The positions of the field lines in the ionosphere and their response to the reconnection is shown in the lower panel of Fig. 1. In this figure, the position of a field line along a magnetic meridian, shown by the distance \(x\) (defined as positive poleward), is plotted against time, \(t\). During a burst of reconnection, the open/closed (o/c) field-line boundary (shown as a thin dashed line in this diagram) erodes equatorwards, only relaxing back when the reconnection stops and the o/c boundary convects polewards with the same speed as the (constant) poleward plasma flow (Lockwood et al., 1993b). Note that the o/c boundary...
Fig. 1. a Reconnection-rate variation showing square wave pulse, labelled 1–4, \( dt \) long and of repeat period \( T \). The rate within the pulses is \( b \), that between the pulses, \( a \), is here zero. b Distance of field lines, along the magnetic meridian, \( x \), as a function \( t \). The boundaries between patches 1–4 (opened by pulses 1–4) move polewards with the constant poleward convection speed, \( V_p \). Three lines of constant time elapsed since reconnection are shown: the thin dashed line is \( T = 0 \) (i.e. the \( o/c \) boundary); the thick solid line is \( T \approx 120 \) s (i.e. the ion edge); and the thick dashed line is the \( T \) at which precipitation flux decays to below the threshold which defines it as cusp (i.e. the poleward edge of the cusp). The mean latitude of the \( o/c \) boundary is taken to be constant. The horizontal lines marked ESR1, ESR2 and ESR3 show the locus of the radar for the locations, relative to the mean \( o/c \) boundary, which give rise to the variations shown in Fig. 6a, b and c, respectively.

The model described in the previous section can predict where the precipitation will occur, and what its flux will be, but in order to determine the effect that this precipitation would have on the ionosphere, we used an auroral model, which, for a given spectrum of precipitation, solves the chemical and transport equations in one dimension (Palmer, 1995). This model uses multistream electron transport code which has been described elsewhere (Lummerzheim, 1987; Rees and Lummerzheim, 1989). The initial neutral atmosphere was modelled using the MSIS 90 model (Hedin, 1991) which was run for moderate solar (F10.7 = 100) and geomagnetic (Ap = 15) activity. The concentrations of a range of minor neutral and ion species were then calculated by time integrating the continuity equations on a one-dimensional, field-aligned grid (85 up to 500 km) using a comprehensive ion chemistry scheme based on previously published reactions (Rees, 1989). Vertical and horizontal transport of the ion and neutral species were neglected. In order to avoid confusing this with the model of field-line motion described in the previous section, in this paper we will refer to it as ‘the auroral model’.

The cusp electron spectrum which was input into the auroral model was Maxwellian in shape, with a characteristic energy of 100 eV and a total energy flux of 5 mW m\(^{-2}\) (corresponding to a temperature of 1.16 \( \times 10^6 \) K and a concentration of \( 4.7 \times 10^{-7} \) m\(^{-3}\)). Such a spectrum has been classified as typical of an ‘active’ cusp (Carlson and Egeland, 1995) in which transient events are seen. Although in our model we assume that the electron
precipitation does not change within the cusp region, this is not always the case. There are many examples of satellite data which show spatial structure in the electron flux. We do not know exactly how this structure varies, but there is some evidence that bursts of enhanced electron flux are caused by the satellite encountering small structures which move polewards at the convection velocity (Lockwood et al., 1993b). That being the case, we would expect Fig. 5 to contain more polewards-moving structure than is shown and more complex structure in Figs. 6a–c and 8. If each event is associated with the same electron-flux structure and variation, this added detail will be regular and periodic. Such a situation is implied by the periodic structure in photometer data (Sandholt et al., 1992). One possible explanation of such structure in the electron flux is the presence of field-aligned currents (FACs).

In the present state of development, the auroral model can only calculate the effect of electron precipitation, which limits the information that can be determined about the exact amount of ionisation, heating and excitation taking place, as the effect of the precipitating ions is unknown. In general, it is expected that the ion precipitation would increase the overall electron concentration and heating. However, as the behaviour of the ions varies with time elapsed since reconnection, it is likely that the ionospheric effects of such ions will evolve in the same way. There is no agreement or model as yet as to the ionospheric effects of the cusp ion precipitation. The ions will raise the ionospheric electron temperature and concentration and therefore the 630-nm optical emission, but to an unknown extent. It is hoped to include ion precipitation in our model at a later date. This inclusion of ion precipitation would alter the evolution of $N_e$, $T_e$ and 630-nm emission rate within each event, but not the relation of events in time and space. The main purpose of this paper is to discuss the relation of events to each other and to satellite signatures, which can be achieved by looking at the electron precipitation alone.

When running the auroral model it was assumed that once a flux tube has been opened ($T = 0$) the sheath plasma will flow toward the ionosphere and precipitation effects would be seen as soon as sufficient flux reaches the ionosphere (at $T \approx 2$ min, the time of flight of the most energetic ions); precipitation classified as “cusp” has been shown to last for about 10 min (Lockwood and Davis, 1995) (until $T \approx 12$ min). Subsequently sheath plasma flows super-Alfvénically into the tail and the precipitation is switched off. The auroral model was then run with constant cusp electron precipitation between $T = 2$ and 12 min, and then run for a further 10 min to allow for the effects of the precipitation to decay. Although cusp electron precipitation shows considerable structure, a square wave pulse of precipitation is typical of the gross features of observed electron spectra. The implications of such an approximation have been discussed above. It has been shown for a specific example (Newell et al., 1991, plate 1) that the reconnection rate was steady (to within a factor of two) (Lockwood et al., 1994). The range of lower cut off ion energies in the cusp region seen during this particular pass have also been used to estimate that cusp precipita-

The auroral model predicts the electron concentration and temperature of the ionospheric plasma on a field line with a known history of cusp electron precipitation. It also gives the volume emission rate of 630-nm light, which is largely excited by the hot tail of the heated thermal ionospheric electrons. Panels of Fig. 2 show (from top to bottom) the variations of $N_e$ and $T_e$ and the 630-nm volume emission rate as a function of $T$, for a pulse of cusp electron precipitation lasting 10 min, between, $T = 120$ and 720 s.

2.4 Modelling satellite observations

Satellites overlying the cusp will measure the spectra of the precipitating ions and electrons. A variety of patterns in the ion precipitation can result if the reconnection is pulsed (Lockwood and Smith, 1994). The concepts introduced in this paper have been used to model the stepped cusp forms (Lockwood and Davis, 1995) that have been observed (Lockwood et al., 1993a; Pinnock et al., 1993).
Variations of parameters predicted by the auroral model as a function of $T$ and $h$, for a square wave variation of electron precipitation flux between $T = 120$ s and $T = 720$ s. a) $N_e$, from $1 \times 10^{11}$ to $8 \times 10^{11}$ m$^{-3}$; b) $T_e$ from 500 to 2000 K and c) the volume emission rate at 630 nm from $1 \times 10^{10}$ to $6 \times 10^{10}$ m$^{-3}$.

These predictions are discussed briefly here, as we wish to study the relationship of events seen by the ESR with the steps seen by the satellites.

As the satellite flies through the region, the ion spectrum it will detect will vary according to the distribution of time elapsed since reconnection of the field lines it crosses ($T$). The evolution of the ion spectrum that is seen therefore depends very strongly on the direction of the satellite pass. A low-altitude satellite (with a height $\lesssim 800$ km) moves at a speed, $\approx 8$ km s$^{-1}$. We here consider two satellites; The first, $S$, moves meridionally equatorwards such that $dx/dt = -V_s$; the second, $S_1$, also moves equatorwards, but has a large longitudinal component to its motion such that $dx/dt > 0$. The satellite $S$, crossing the cusp from pole to equator, (line $S$ in Fig. 3) will initially see low-energy ions as it crosses the field lines opened during the first burst of reconnection (i.e. with a high $T$). Within each region, the energy of the ions will increase slightly, as the satellite moves towards the field lines opened later in the pulse: this occurs because the satellite moves equatorwards sufficiently quickly that it samples field lines of progressively lower $T$. At the boundary between regions 1 and 2 (opened by reconnection pulses 1 and 2, respectively) the satellite moves across a discontinuity in $T$. Region 2 has lower values of $T$ than region 1, because pulse 2 occurred after pulse 1, and so the energy of the ion precipitation there is greater. The next boundary crossing will be similar, but the ion precipita-

![Fig. 3. Same as Fig. 1b, but showing the loci of the meridionally equatorwards-moving, low-altitude satellite, $S$, and a longitudinally moving low-altitude satellite which also moves slowly equatorwards, $S_1$.](image)

Fig. 2a–c. Variations of parameters predicted by the auroral model as a function of $T$ and $h$, for a square wave variation of electron precipitation flux between $T = 120$ s and $T = 720$ s. a) $N_e$, from $1 \times 10^{11}$ to $8 \times 10^{11}$ m$^{-3}$; b) $T_e$ from 500 to 2000 K and c) the volume emission rate at 630 nm from $1 \times 10^{10}$ to $6 \times 10^{10}$ m$^{-3}$.

2.5 Modelling photometer data

In addition, we can model the effects in the 630-nm emissions observed by meridian scanning photometers such as
Fig. 4. Same as Fig. 1b, but showing the locus of a photometer beam at a fixed altitude which scans polewards along the meridian every 20 s.

that at Ny Ålesund on Svalbard, roughly 2° poleward of the ESR. At noon, during moderately intense periods of southward IMF, this station is positioned under the cusp, just poleward of the o/c field-line boundary. In mid-winter, and near new moon, this location is in sufficient darkness to allow measurement of the 630-nm emissions produced by atomic oxygen excited by cusp precipitation. The photometer scans the sky from the northern to the southern horizon along the magnetic meridian roughly every 20 s. Using the auroral model as described in Sect. 2.3, it is possible to reconstruct the two-dimensional distribution of volume emission rate along the meridian (i.e. as a function of $x$ and height, $h$). Figure 4 shows photometer scans, each lasting 20 s (the repeated diagonal lines), on the plot of meridional distance against time for one particular $h$. The plot also shows the variation of the o/c boundary and the evolution of newly opened flux regions as in Figs. 1 and 3. By integrating the airglow emission along a line of sight for each elevation angle, we have been able to generate polewards-moving events of a type that are often observed at midday in the winter by meridian scanning photometers (Sandholt et al., 1992). Figure 5 shows an example of a stack plot of predicted luminosity scans shown as a function of zenith angle with positive zenith angles being poleward, and time running down the plot. For this example, the observing site is located three degrees poleward (+3°) of the mean location of the o/c boundary. Each polewards-moving event is caused by precipitation that results from a burst of reconnection and is thus a signature of the reconnection pulse. However, successive bursts merge into a continuous band of 630-nm emission, despite the fact that the reconnection is fully pulsed in this example. The pulsed nature of the reconnection is seen in the motions of the equatorward boundary of the luminous band and by polewards-moving structures which emerge polewards out of the continuous band.

2.6 Predictions for the ESR

Predicting how the ESR will view such polewards-moving events provides a good test for our model. In particular, we need to know the variations in $N_e$ and $\mathcal{T}_{e}$ we expect to see in association with polewards-moving 630-nm events and/or stepped cusp ion precipitation. Polewards-moving structures in electron concentration have been observed by the EISCAT radar near the polar cap (Lockwood et al., 1993a). These structures were associated with a stepped ion spectrum as measured by the DMSP F10 satellite when in close conjunction. As the satellite crossed from one polewards-moving event to the next, the ion spectrum that it observed stepped to a higher energy, indicating that the field lines, and therefore the polewards-moving electron concentration enhancement, were associated with two separate bursts of reconnection. The events are then seen at different stages of their evolution as discussed in Sect. 2.4. In order to predict how such events will appear in ESR data, we used the auroral model to produce outputs of $N_e$ and $\mathcal{T}_{e}$ as functions of $T$. The distribution of these parameters along the meridian was then modelled (as a function of $x$ and $t$) and this was ‘observed’ by sampling it in the way that the ESR will when operated in a certain experiment mode. We here limited our attention, for the sake of simplicity, to an experiment in which the ESR is in the field-aligned position. The model output was integrated over 20 s, but no attempt has been made to add noise and thus limit the resolution of the output to account for the signal-to-noise ratio required by the radar.
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On the diagram of meridional position against time (Fig. 1), a stationary field-aligned radar beam would appear as a horizontal line. What the radar sees during pulsed reconnection will depend crucially on where it is in relation to the precipitation. If the radar is positioned such that the ion-edge erodes and relaxes backwards and forwards over it (as for the line marked ‘ESR1’ in Fig. 1), separated enhancements will be seen in $N_e$ and $T_e$. Such a case is presented in Fig. 6b, for which the radar is located at the mean latitude of the o/c boundary ($\theta = 0^\circ$), i.e. $3^\circ$ equatorward of the photometer which would detect the sequence shown in Fig. 5. The electron concentration, $N_e$ (top panel), shows a series of pulses. The concentration increases over three 20-s radar integration periods after the ion edge has eroded equatorward over the radar. This rise is caused by the rise in $T$ as the radar becomes more poleward of the ion edge (see Fig. 2 for variation of $N_e$ with $T$). The decay in $N_e$ would be instantaneous when the ion edge relaxes back in the poleward direction over the radar. However, in Fig. 6 the synthesised data are 20-s integrations and so such sudden transients tend to be smoothed. The lower panel shows $T_e$; clear events of elevated electron temperature are seen at the same time as the $N_e$ enhancements. With full transmitter power, the radar will achieve accuracies of 10% or better for both $N_e$ and $T_e$, provided that electron concentrations are high enough. Initial modelling shows that even at sunspot minimum, such resolution should be possible up to the F2 peak, if not for the full height range shown in Figs. 6 and 8.

If the radar is positioned in the middle of the precipitation regions (represented by the line marked ‘ESR2’ in Fig. 1) it will see a continuous enhanced region where the discontinuities are much less pronounced. Variations are seen as each successive patch of newly opened flux evolves polewards over the radar beam, giving a cyclical variation of time elapsed since reconnection. An example of how $N_e$ and $T_e$ would appear for such a situation is shown in Fig. 6a, for which the ESR would be $1.25^\circ$ poleward of the mean location of the o/c boundary. In this case, the radar remains in the latitude band where precipitation is always present. Figure 2 shows that $T_e$ does not vary greatly for the 600-s period for which the precipitation is present. Thus the lower panel of Fig. 6b shows very little variation in $T_e$ for this case. Figure 2 shows, however, that $N_e$ rises gradually as $T$ increases, while the precipitation persists. As a result, a cyclical variation of $N_e$ is still seen in this case. $N_e$ increases with $T$, but discontinuously jumps to lower values (as $T$ steps to a lower value) on the boundary between successive events.

If the radar were yet further poleward, it would cross through a region containing field lines with a longer elapsed time since reconnection (represented by the line ESR3 in Fig. 1). The example given in Fig. 6c is for the ESR $\theta = 3^\circ$ poleward of the mean location of the o/c boundary. The radar will still observe a continuum in the enhanced electron concentration, but in this case, the concentration gradually decays within each cycle as at these larger $T$, the precipitation has ceased, and the concentrations are decaying (see Fig. 2). It may be difficult to detect the electron concentration fluctuations in this case, but the lower panel of Fig. 6c shows very clear fluctuations in $T_e$. These arise because the electron temperatures decay much more rapidly than the electron concentration, following the cessation of precipitation (see Fig. 2). It is important to note however, that the auroral model calculated the growth and decay of the ionospheric parameters based on precipitation which cut off abruptly after 10 min. This cut-off will not be sharp in reality, and the resultant enhancements in electron temperature would not therefore decay so quickly. As a result, although $T_e$ structures may be expected on the poleward edge of the cusp, they may not be as well defined as in Fig. 6c. It is useful to compare the $N_e$ fluctuations in the top panels in b and c of Fig. 6. The former shows rises with sudden downward jumps, whereas the latter shows falls with sudden upward jumps. The difference arises because at low $T$, $N_e$ increases with $T$, and at high $T$ (after the precipitation has cut off) $N_e$ decreases with $T$. Between the two will be a location where $T$ rises and then falls in each cycle, so that very little variation in $N_e$ is seen.

The model also predicts weak enhancements in ion temperature, $T_i$ (not shown) resulting from electron-to-ion heat conduction (as $T_e/T_i > 1$). In this work, heating effects of ionospheric flows have not been considered. Joule heating causes a rise in ion temperature, a decrease in electron temperature below the F-region peak and a redistribution of the electron concentration profile via thermal upwelling. These will effect ESR observations of not only ion temperature and electron concentration, but also electron temperature (via the energy balance criteria of an electron gas).

---

**Fig. 6a-c.** Plots of (top) $N_e$ and (bottom) $T_e$ as a function of height and observing time, for three locations of the radar, $\theta$ measured in degrees north of the open/closed boundary, and with background reconnection rate, $a = 0$. $\theta = 0^\circ$ gives locus ESR1 in Fig. 1b; $\theta = 1.25^\circ$ gives locus ESR2 in Fig. 1b; $\theta = 3^\circ$ gives ESR3 in Fig. 1b.
If IMF conditions are constant, and significant joule heating occurs, the observed effects would be seen to vary the same way in each event. This would make the events less clear in electron concentration, but would further enhance the events seen in the electron temperature.

Such effects may be significant if there is a large component of the IMF in the Y direction, which would initially give a large east/west component to the flow. This component would decay as the field lines straighten. This heating would cause an additional increase in $T_e$ and since it is likely that $T_e > T_i$ under such circumstances, $T_e$ may be elevated further by ion-to-electron heat conduction.

3 Continuous but pulsed reconnection

The previous section considered fully pulsed reconnection, with the reconnection rate between the pulses, $a$, equal to zero. In this section we consider pulsed, but continuous reconnection, where the background rate is half that in the pulses ($a = b/2$). Figure 7 corresponds to Fig. 1 for this case, in which the mean reconnection rate is now equal to $5/8$, but has the same value as in Fig. 1 (corresponding to $V_e = 0.5$ km s$^{-1}$). Thus $b$ is smaller than in the previous case. Figure 7 shows that the latitudinal motions of lines of constant $T$ (e.g. the o/c boundary at $T = 0$ or the ion edge at $T \approx 2$ min) are of considerably smaller amplitude than in Fig. 1. Furthermore, the variations in $T$ seen by the radar will be smaller in amplitude and without the discontinuous changes seen when $a = 0$. Figure 8 shows the variation of $N_e$ and $T_e$ predicted for a radar at a location $V = 3.1^\circ$ poleward of the mean location of the o/c boundary. This location has been carefully selected because it corresponds to the locus ESR1 in Fig. 7 which displays the largest range of variations in $N_e$ and $T_e$. The top panel shows the variations in $N_e$ are $\sim 10\%$ of the peak concentration. Moving to radar locations poleward of this (like locus ESR2 in Fig. 7) reduces these variations yet further, such that they would be undetectable. The lower panel of Fig. 8 contains the variation in $T_e$, which shows both rises and falls are ramped and without the discontinuous steps seen in Fig. 6. For most of the cusp locations, $T_e$ fluctuations cannot be defined, and the region at the equatorward edge where they can be very narrow in latitude in comparison with the size of the entire events.

4 Discussion

We have presented a model which has previously been used to make predictions about features seen in photometer and satellite data when magnetopause reconnection is pulsed, and we have applied it to observations by incoherent-scatter radar. The model can reproduce features such as cusp ion steps and polewards-moving 630-nm transients as a direct result of pulsing the magnetopause reconnection rate. The purpose of this paper has been to predict the associated signature that should be detected by the new EISCAT Svalbard Radar (ESR). It has been predicted that, for a field-aligned operation of the ESR, reconnection pulses will be seen as enhancements in electron concentration and temperature and, to a lesser extent, ion temperature (although this latter effect will be swamped by any ion frictional heating that occurs). Whether these enhancements appear as separate events or as a continuous band, will depend on the exact position of the radar with respect to the open/closed field-line boundary. This model is limited by the fact that, at present, it is only possible to calculate the effect of electron precipitation. Ion precipitation is likely to be significant in altering the intensity of the enhancements, however, cusp ion precipitation is a strong function of elapsed time since reconnection and thus its effects should serve to magnify the effects predicted here. On the other hand, the one-dimensional nature of the auroral model used means that the thermospheric winds (which will not, in general, match the plasma convection) are likely to smear the 630-nm optical events. This is not a problem for the ESR measurements predicted here, as the thermospheric wind has little or no bearing on the modelled ionospheric electron temperature and concentration enhancements.

The modelling indicates that pulsed reconnection will be very difficult to detect if the reconnection rate within the pulses ($b$) is less than about twice the value between the pulses ($a$). Figure 8 does show clear cyclical variations in $T_e$ for $a = b/2$, but these are only found in a very narrow band of latitudes at the equatorward edge of the cusp. We can quantify the width of this band, $\Delta x$. From our assumption that $V_e$ is constant, we obtain the expression $\Delta x = (b - a)(1 - (dt/\tau)c) dt$, where, as before, $\tau$ is the pulse repetition period, $dt$ is the duration of enhanced reconnection in each cycle and $a$ and $b$ are the background and enhanced reconnection rates, respectively. In the above case, with $a/b = 1/2$, $V_e = 500$ m s$^{-1}$, $dt = 1$ min and $\tau = 4$ min, $\Delta x = 18$ km. This can be compared with the same case but with $a = 0$ (fully pulsed reconnection), for which $\Delta x = 90$ km. Even for fully pulsed reconnection, the pulses may be difficult to detect if the radar is near the centre of the latitude range covered by the cusp ion precipitation.

In all cases shown here, the mean latitude of the o/c boundary has not drifted relative to the radar. In reality, the boundary is always likely to be moving over the radar. For example, the 630-nm transients are observed usually during southward IMF and when the cusp/ecliptic red line aurora is migrating equatorwards because of erosion of the dayside magnetosphere (Fasel, 1995; Sandholt et al., 1992). Thus for fully pulsed reconnection, the $N_e$ and $T_e$ pulses shown in Fig. 6a may rapidly evolve to a form like those in Fig. 6b and then those in 6c. Such changes will make identification of the pulses yet more difficult. Nevertheless, with satellite, optical measurements and the ESR, the effects of many different reconnection patterns can now be studied in detail, and we look forward to testing
our model further and interpreting the results, using the first data from the ESR.
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