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Highlights
Xenopus laevis embryos with an extirpated otic placode develop with one inner ear

One-eared tadpoles can execute horizontal gaze-stabilizing eye movements

Off-direction evoked vestibular-ocular responses are present and remarkably robust

Developmental plasticity of gaze stabilization is unaided by visuo-motor signaling
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SUMMARY
Gaze stabilization relies on bilateral mirror-symmetric vestibular endorgans, central circuits, and extraocular motor effectors. Embryonic removal of one inner ear before the formation of these structures was used to evaluate the extent to which motor outputs in the presence of a singular inner ear can develop. Near-congenital one-eared tadpoles subjected to separate or combinatorial visuo-vestibular motion stimulation exhibited comparable eye movements, though smaller in gain to controls, whereas isolated visuo-motor responses were unaltered. Surprisingly, vestibulo-ocular reflexes were robust during off-direction motion toward the missing ear in most cases and often attenuated during on-direction motion. This bidirectional plasticity of signal encoding appears to occur at the expense of vestibular reflexes during motion in the normally preferential activation direction of the singular ear. Consequently, formation of central vestibulo-motor circuits in one-eared animals likely relies on multi-neuronal homeostatic strategies, including enhanced afferent fiber activity in the attempt to adjust bilateral sensorimotor transformations.

INTRODUCTION
Head movements are detected and mechano-electrically transduced into neuronal signals by vestibular organs in the inner ear (Angelaki and Cullen, 2008; Dieterich and Brandt, 2015). Following vectorial decomposition by semicircular canal and otolith organs, bilateral signals are reconstructed through spatially- and endorgan-specific integration in discrete central circuits and contribute to behaviors which stabilize posture and gaze during active and passive movements (Szentagothai, 1950; reviewed in Straka and Gordy, 2020). A key feature of this computation is the mirror-symmetric arrangement of sensory epithelia (Fritzsch and Straka, 2014) and the interconnection of the vestibular nuclei across the midline by commissural pathways (Markham et al., 1977; Malinvaud et al., 2010). Despite this bilaterality, such mirror-symmetry generates motion sensors and postsynaptic neuronal elements on both sides that are largely distinct from each other with respect to directional preference. This is particularly evident given that activity modulations of bilateral endorgans occur simultaneously and more importantly in mutual exclusivity with respect to their facilitatory/disfacilitatory dynamics onto central targets. Bilateral vestibular organs therefore represent complementary, though partially overlapping, structures with distinct sensitivity domains rather than simple duplications with interchangeable functionality (Chagnaud et al., 2017). Thus, encoding and representation of multi-dimensional head/body movements depends on the morpho-physiological integrity of vestibular sensors within the two inner ears.

Disruption of bilateral processing, such as during an acute unilateral loss of inner ear function or inappropriate peripheral signaling, results in an impairment of self-motion encoding because of insufficient and asymmetric information from mirror-symmetrically arranged sensors. Immediate behavioral effects include dizziness, vertigo, spontaneous nystagmus, and deterioration of orientation and navigational skills (Zhao et al., 2008; see Fetter, 2016). These pathological reactions derive from excessive bilateral asymmetric activity of central vestibular circuits combined with the subsequent failure to produce adequate gaze- and posture-stabilizing neuronal commands. In addition, asymmetric neuronal activity is centrally represented as being in mismatch with other motion-related sensory signals such as visual image motion or limb/neck proprioceptive inputs (for review see e.g., Vidal et al., 1998; Curthoys, 2000; Dutia, 2010; Strupp and Brandt, 2013). However, these impairments abate, at least partially, over time because of plasticity processes in bilateral central circuits which are distributed across various regions of the central nervous system (CNS), and occur at molecular, cellular, and anatomical levels, which collectively permit readjustments in...
computational strategies to alleviate the consequences of peripheral imbalance (for review see Llinás and Walton, 1979; Dieringer, 1995; Straka et al., 2005).

The remarkable plasticity of vestibular signal processing after a unilateral vestibular loss has been extensively used to study the principles of “vestibular compensation” following a variety of protocols (for review see Curthoys, 2000). These studies were usually conducted in adult or at least juvenile vertebrates with a functional vestibular sensory periphery and central pathways (Dieringer, 1995; Beraneck and Idoux, 2012). In this manner, unilateral impairments of inner ear function induced a loss of signal processing in already well-established, entrained, and spatio-temporally tuned circuits. Under such circumstances, vestibular lesion-induced plasticity must cope with preexisting bilateral symmetric circuits and resultant computations. In contrast, unilateral excision of the embryonic otic placode, which develops into all sensory and non-sensory tissues of the inner ear, before the formation of central pathways (Elliott and Fritzsch, 2010; Elliott et al., 2015a, 2015b) might reveal plasticity processes that permit vestibular circuits to develop and function based on sensory inputs only from a single inner ear into circuits which have only ever received such unilateral input. This generates a developmental condition where relevant brainstem vestibular circuits control bilateral gaze- and posture-stabilizing motor elements from unilateral vestibular inputs alone.

Here, we demonstrate that unilateral embryonic removal of the otic placode causes one-eared tadpoles to exhibit a remarkable degree of developmental vestibular plasticity. These tadpoles develop without signs typical for a unilateral vestibular loss, such as abnormal tail deviations or uncoordinated spontaneously generated swim episodes. Gaze-stabilizing vestibulo-motor responses exhibit appropriate spatio-temporal dynamics during bidirectional motion stimulation. Behavioral analyses during unidirectional motion and electrophysiological evidence here suggest that central circuits have adapted to respond to oscillatory head motion within the singular ear, with minimal additional contributions by motion-sensitive visual pathways. Collectively, these results highlight the ability of the nervous system to develop appropriate motion direction-specific gaze-stabilizing behaviors following ontogenetic assembly of circuits in the absence of bilateral signaling.

RESULTS
Vestibular-evoked eye movements in one-eared tadpoles

One-eared tadpoles were generated by unilateral removal of the left otic placode at embryonic stages 25–27 (Figure 1A, left; Video S1). Removal of the otic placode at these developmental stages has previously been demonstrated in Xenopus laevis to selectively and completely remove inner ear endorgans and corresponding neurosensory elements (Fritzsch, 1990; Elliott and Fritzsch, 2010). The absence of the entire ear and its resulting lack of peripheral sensory components was confirmed beginning at stage 46 (Figure 1A), a developmental period where the high transparency of Xenopus tadpoles allows direct visual assessment of the presence of inner ear structures (Figures S1A, S1B, S1F, and S1G). As expected, stage 46 one-eared animals lacked recognizable inner ear gross-histological structures (Figures S1C and S1H, asterisk) as well as neurosensory elements such as hair cells and associated vestibular afferent fibers (Figure 1A, extirpated side; Figures S1D, S1E, S1I, and S1J). Using myosin-VI and acetylated-tubulin as selective markers for hair cells and nerve fibers, respectively, a clear absence of innervated sensory epithelia on the operated side, compared to the unmanipulated side was revealed (Figures 1A, S1I, and S1J), confirming the successful and reliable embryonic removal of one ear.

Given that semicircular canals in Xenopus laevis tadpoles become functional at stage 48 (Lambert et al., 2008) and only elicit robust angular vestibulo-ocular reflexes (aVOR) after having reached stage S2/S3, one-eared tadpoles were reared to this developmental stage (Figures 1B and S1K–S1N). Successful rearing of surgically manipulated animals to these stages presented with high survival rates, with 100% of 115 post-surgical animals reaching stage 46, and ~85% of subsequently selected stage 46 survivors reaching stages S3–S7, as quantitatively assessed from 5 independent experimental cohorts. Apart from the absence of one ear, reared tadpoles appeared indistinguishable from controls in terms of bodily development and exhibited normal spontaneous swimming behaviors. In vitro preparations of unmanipulated two-eared controls and one-eared tadpoles (Figures S1K–S1N) were used to assess the performance of gaze stabilizing vestibulo-ocular motor responses by eye motion tracking during horizontal rotation on a motion platform in complete darkness (Figure 1B). Sinusoidal rotation of unmanipulated control tadpoles in the dark at 0.5 Hz with a peak velocity of ±31.4°/s, corresponding to positional excursions of ±10° (Figure 1C, top trace), evoked vestibular-driven compensatory eye movements in both eyes (without intermittent fast-phases)
that were positional stimulus-timed and oppositely directed, features that are characteristic for the aVOR in Xenopus tadpoles (Figure 1C, bottom traces). Comparison between both eyes in control animals revealed a high degree of conjugate motion with correspondingly similar gain values from each eye (Figures S2A–S2C), features which are consistent with the expected and previously reported levels of conjugate coordination for the horizontal aVOR in larval Xenopus (Soupiadou et al., 2020). Therefore, the movements of both eyes were combined in each animal before the subsequent quantification of the performance in the different experimental groups. Across all control animals, an average over single cycles (6–40 cycles) in the dark (Figure 1D, left) exhibited a response gain (eye motion amplitude/stimulus position amplitude) of $0.24 \pm 0.11$ (Figure 1E, left; mean $\pm$ SD, $n = 13$) and a considerable phase-lead re stimulus position of $-72.46^\circ \pm 23.13^\circ$ (Figure 1E, right; mean $\pm$ SD, $n = 13$).

Despite the complete absence of inner ear endorgans on the left side, one-eared animals subjected to the same stimulation paradigm also exhibited oppositely directed eye movements indicative of a functional aVOR (Figure 1D, right). Similar to controls, robust conjugate movements of both eyes in one-eared animals were readily observed, with each eye exhibiting comparable gain values and coordinated motion (Figures S2D–S2F). This again allowed the motion of the two eyes to be averaged before further processing. Response magnitudes, obtained by averaging over multiple cycles (12–66 cycles) presented with gain values of $0.14 \pm 0.08$ (Figure 1E, left, mean $\pm$ SD, $n = 13$) and a response peak that was approximately in

---

**Figure 1. Vestibulo-ocular reflex performance in one-eared Xenopus laevis tadpoles**

(A) Schematic depicting the experimental procedure and developmental timeline following unilateral embryonic removal of the otic placode (stages 25–27; lateral view) followed by rearing of the one-eared embryos to tadpole stages (stage 46–57; dorsal view), note the lack of the left inner ear (orange *) and corresponding neurosensory and accessory otic structures, illustrated by images from the left (Exirpated side) and right side (Unmanipulated side) of a stage 46 larva, with whole-mount antibody stainings against neurons (acetylated tubulin, green) and hair cells (myosin-VI, red) in the otic region.

(B) Schematic of a semi-intact preparation used for functional profiling of control and one-eared tadpoles during horizontal sinusoidal rotation coupled with live motion-tracking of both eyes.

(C) Representative example of oppositely-directed, compensatory eye oscillations (lower traces) during five cycles of horizontal sinusoidal head rotation ($\pm 10^\circ$, peak velocity $\pm 31.4^\circ/s$) at 0.5 Hz (upper trace) in an unmanipulated control (blue) and a one-eared (orange) tadpole. Responses are averages of both eyes, respectively.

(D and E) Averaged responses over a single horizontal rotation cycle of controls ($n = 13$, individual gray traces; from 6 to 40 cycles) and one-eared animals ($n = 13$, individual gray traces; from 12 to 66 cycles); blue and orange traces represent the population mean response over one motion cycle (black trace) for the respective group of animals, averaged responses were used to individually calculate the gain (left in E) and phase value re stimulus position (right in E). Significance levels are indicated by asterisks: *$p \leq 0.05$, ****$p \leq 0.0001$ (Mann-Whitney U-test). R, rostral; C, caudal; V, ventral; D, dorsal; M, medial; op, otic placode; ov, optic vesicle; cg, cement gland; oe, olfactory epithelium. Immunohistochemical stainings in A were counterstained with the nuclear marker DAPI. Scale bars in A are 100 $\mu m$. Data in E are represented as mean $\pm$ SD. See also Figures S1 and S2.
phase re stimulus position (2.08° ± 26.90°; Figure 1E, right, mean ± SD, n = 13). Statistical comparison of eye movements between one-eared tadpoles and controls revealed a significant reduction of the response gain (Figure 1E, left, p = 0.0338; Mann-Whitney U-test). In addition, the pronounced phase-lead of the peak responses relative to stimulus position in darkness was significantly delayed in one-eared tadpoles with respect to controls (Figure 1E, right, p < 0.0001; Mann-Whitney U-test). Accordingly, these data demonstrate that one-eared tadpoles are able to execute a horizontal aVOR in darkness despite the lack of bilateral mirror-symmetric endorgans and indicate that the remaining intact inner ear is sufficient to produce gaze-stabilizing extracochlear motor commands, even though with reduced efficacy. The phase-relationship of the responses in one-eared animals suggests a considerable temporal delay in the processing of signals from the right, singular, inner ear, likely through longer-latency, multisynaptic pathways.

**Directional contributions of singular ears during horizontal aVOR**

Head rotation is normally encoded by direction-specific strengthening/attenuation of vestibular nerve afferent signals (Paulin and Hoffman, 2019). In one-eared tadpoles, which maintain the ability to encode oscillatory motion in darkness (Figure 1), a single semicircular canal was found to be sufficient for eliciting a bidirectional horizontal aVOR. However, to separately investigate the directional contributions of a singular ear to leftward versus rightward head movements, eye motion amplitudes were evaluated over the first half-cycle of stimulation bouts during platform rotation exclusively to the left or to the right (Figure 2A).

Eye movements during these half-cycle periods would therefore derive only from a unidirectional motion away from the singular ear (contraversive) or toward this intact ear (ipsiversive). In two-eared unmanipulated controls, eye movements evoked by unidirectional motion in the dark toward the left (Figure 2B, left; blue traces) or the right (Figure 2B, right; blue traces) were predictably opposite and statistically no different in response strength to stimulus direction within individual animals with mean amplitudes of 5.96° ± 1.44 and 5.93° ± 1.63°, respectively (Figure 2C, left, mean ± SD, p > 0.9999; Wilcoxon signed-rank test, n = 4 pairs). Eye movements in one-eared tadpoles evoked by leftward, contraversive, motion in the dark surprisingly were rather variable but astonishingly also robust and in opposition to head movements (Figure 2B, left; orange traces). Rightward ipsiversive motion, i.e., toward the side of the intact, singular ear, evoked responses that were even more variable between different animals, both in direction and magnitude (Figure 2B, right; orange traces). In addition, these eye movements were generally smaller than those driven by contraversive motion with mean amplitudes of 1.43° ± 2.05 and 3.82° ± 1.88°, respectively (Figure 2C, right, mean ± SD, p = 0.0420, Wilcoxon signed-rank test, n = 11 pairs). Surprisingly, despite the inner ear being intact on the right side, aVOR responses elicited by a rightward ipsiversive motion in one-eared tadpoles were severely impaired, at least in a number of animals compared to controls (Figure 2D, ipsi, p = 0.0002; Mann-Whitney U-test). Such a significant impairment was also found for contraversive motion-driven eye movements toward the side lacking an ear (Figure 2D, contra, p = 0.0136; Mann-Whitney U-test), although this outcome was expected given the lack of conventional sensitivity of vestibular afferent activity for motion toward the impaired inner ear (see e.g., Soupiadou et al., 2020). Thus, these sets of data indicate that one-eared tadpoles developed bidirectional vestibular detection and signal processing capacities that allow activating compensatory eye movements during rotation toward the side lacking an ear. However, this directional contribution obviously occurs at the expense of the performance of the aVOR toward the intact side, which becomes compromised during this process (Figure 2D).

**Visuo-vestibular plasticity and influence on gaze-stabilizing reflexes**

Motion-related sensory signals are known to participate in plasticity processes aiding recovery of acute vestibular loss (reviewed recently in Smith, 2022). In aquatic organisms, visual scene motion is a significant contributor in neuronal computations of self-motion behaviors (Roeser and Baier, 2003), particularly through optokinetic reflex (OKR) circuits which operate synergistically with aVOR signals (Soupiadou et al., 2020). To investigate the extent that visual image motion assists vestibular-evoked eye movements in one-eared animals, tadpoles were subjected to horizontal sinusoidal rotation of the platform in the presence of a world-stationary illuminated black and white-striped visual pattern (light; Figure 3A). This experimental approach caused a synergistic activation of a horizontal aVOR and an OKR. Eye movements evoked in unmanipulated control tadpoles under this condition were oppositely directed (Figure 3B) with gain magnitudes of 0.22 ± 0.08 (Figure 3C, mean ± SD, n = 13) and were timed with stimulus position with relatively small phase leads of –18.74° ± 17.97° re head position (Figure 3F, mean ± SD, n = 13). When compared to similarly evoked movements in darkness (dark; Figures 1 and 3B, dotted blue line) gain magnitudes were found to be no different from eye movements evoked in light (Figure 3C, p = 0.6355; Wilcoxon signed-rank test, n = 13 pairs). In contrast, quantification of phase relationships revealed that eye
movements evoked in light were considerably more in phase with stimulus head position (Figure 3F, p = 0.0002; Wilcoxon signed-rank test, n = 13 pairs). Such a relationship between aVOR responses in the presence of a world-stationary visual scene and aVOR in darkness in two-eared control animals complies with the expected impact of concurrent visual motion signals on gaze-stabilizing VOR behaviors, where visual image motion serves as ongoing feedback to adjust the VOR dynamically with only minor influences on response magnitude (see Straka and Dieringer, 2004). In one-eared tadpoles, vestibular-evoked eye movements in light were stimulus-timed and oppositely directed with gain and phase magnitudes of 0.16 ± 0.08 and 4.5 ± 14.7°, respectively (Figures 3D and 3G, mean ± SD, n = 13). Similar to unmanipulated controls, gain magnitudes did not differ statistically between light and dark conditions (Figure 3D, p = 0.1272; Wilcoxon signed-rank test, n = 13 pairs). However, in contrast, vestibular-evoked eye movements in one-eared animals in light did not exhibit a phase shift relative to head position as observed in control animals (Figure 3G, p = 0.3396; Wilcoxon signed-rank test, n = 13). This suggests the lack of a behaviorally observable influence of visual image motion on aVOR circuits in these animals.

Comparison of the performance of stimulus-evoked eye motion in one-eared animals and unmanipulated controls in the presence of an illuminated visual pattern revealed smaller overall gain values as well as...
Figure 3. Visuo-vestibular reflex plasticity

(A) Schematic depicting the experimental condition that consisted of a horizontal sinusoidal head rotation in the presence of a world-stationary, illuminated black and white-striped visual pattern (Light).

(B) Averaged responses over a single head motion cycle in light (gray traces from 6 to 77 cycles, respectively) and population means (solid-colored traces) in controls (n = 13) and one-eared animals (n = 13); dotted blue and orange traces depict population means obtained from head rotations in darkness (Dark) illustrated in Figure 1D; black sine waves indicate the stimulus position.

(C–H) Gain (C–E) and phase re stimulus position (F–H) calculated from averaged responses over a single motion cycle in Dark and Light conditions of controls (C and F) and one-eared animals (D and G); respective values for the light condition in the two experimental groups are compared in (E and F).

Significance levels are indicated by asterisks: *p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤ 0.01, ***p ≤ 0.001 (Wilcoxon signed-rank test in F, Mann-Whitney U-test in E and H). Horizontal dotted lines in (F–H) at 0° indicate phase alignment with the stimulus. Data in (E and H) are represented as mean ± SD. See also Figures S3 and S2.
Physiological dynamics of one-ear-driven aVOR

In order to evaluate the presence of a modulated resting discharge in extraocular motor nerves that accompany aVOR eye movements, multi-unit extracellular recordings were performed. Modulated discharge dynamics have been previously shown to be immediately abolished after acute vestibular lesions in contralateral extraocular motor nerves in Xenopus tadpoles at mid-larval stages (Lambert et al., 2013; Brender and Straka, 2018) and remained absent thereafter (Lambert et al., 2013). Motion of the eyes during horizontal aVOR, which is driven by the coordinated efforts of lateral recti (LR) muscles, is controlled by the firing dynamics of bilateral abducens nerves which innervate each LR muscle. The discharge activity of these abducens nerves, herein referred to anatomically as left (Le) and right (Ri) abducens, irrespective of control or one-earied animal (Figure 4A), was therefore profiled during horizontal sinusoidal head rotation in darkness (0.5 Hz, positional excursion ±10°, peak velocity ±31.4°/s; Figure 4A).

In control two-earied animals (Figure 4A, blue traces), modulation of left and right abducens nerve activity occurred during sinusoidal rotation in darkness in approximate phase-opposition with respect to the same-sided directional head motion velocity (see shaded gray bars). These features were consistent with the push-pull functional dynamics of extraocular motor nerves during an aVOR (Straka and Dieringer, 2004). As a most remarkable feature, and in stark contrast to the condition after an acute vestibular lesion in mid-larval stage Xenopus tadpoles (Lambert et al., 2013), all recorded abducens nerves on both sides in...
Figure 4. Discharge dynamics of abducens motoneurons

(A) Recording sites of abducens motor nerves during sinusoidal head rotation (±10° positional excursion, peak velocity of ±31.4°/s, 0.5 Hz) in darkness (upper panel); multi-unit recordings of left (Le) and right (Ri) abducens nerves (lower panel) during head rotation, corresponding to peak leftward (lower peaks) and rightward (upper peaks) velocities (Vel) of ±31.4°/s (black sinusoidal velocity trace) in two-eared control (blue) and one-eared (orange) animals; shaded regions indicate periods of leftward head motion velocity.
one-eared animals expressed a distinct and modifiable resting discharge (Figure 4A, orange traces). However, the dynamic characteristics of this resting discharge were much less consistent across one-eared animals compared to controls. Although left and right abducens nerves in control animals modulated generally in phase with their opposite directional head motion velocity (Figures 4B and 4C, upper panels), this effect appeared to be obscured in right abducens nerves of one-eared animals (Figures 4B and 4C, lower panels). Discharge modulation of these nerves was found to exhibit a considerable heterogeneity, with some nerves modulating with profiles that were entirely inconsistent with typical right abducens nerves of control animals. Quantification of phase relationships with respect to leftward head motion velocity (Figures 4D and 4E) was in agreement with such qualitative observations. Indeed, abducens nerves in controls were found to exhibit temporal activity patterns consistent with those expected for their anatomical identity (Figure 4D), with no temporal overlap of the activity in their bilateral abducens counterparts (see blue hashed bars in Figure 4D). Directional phase analysis re leftward velocity revealed mean phase vectors of 143.92° ± 13.52° (r = 0.973) and 329.86° ± 23.48° (r = 0.919) for left and right abducens, respectively. These activity metrics are demonstrative of preferred directional firing, which indicates spatially separate tuning properties present in these nerves (Figure 4E; p < 0.0001 and p = 0.000067, Rayleigh’s uniformity test for left and right abducens, respectively; p < 0.001, Moore’s paired test). In contrast, left and right abducens nerves in one-eared animals showed a large spread in temporal distribution of activity during rotation (Figures 4C and 4D), with mean directional vectors for the left and right abducens nerves of 152.77° ± 21.24° (r = 0.934) and 282.16° ± 102.02° (r = 0.205), respectively (Figure 4E). In particular, right abducens nerves appeared to modulate in some cases even during rightward peak velocity (Figures 4C and 4D) and failed to exhibit a preferred directional sensitivity (p = 0.614, Rayleigh’s uniformity test). Conversely, left abducens nerves from one-eared animals largely exhibited an appropriate temporal pattern of discharge modulation and grouped in a preferred direction (p < 0.001, Rayleigh’s uniformity test). These results suggest a clear lack of entirely separate spatial tuning between both nerves (p > 0.05, Moore’s paired test). The mean angular directional preferences for left abducens nerves between controls and manipulated animals were found to be no different (p = 0.365, Watson-Williams F-test), as well as for comparison of right abducens nerves (p = 0.241, Watson-Williams F-test), suggesting that in some animals appropriate tuning properties are present, despite the heterogeneity introduced by individual animals.

Amplitude-dependent features, such as the depth of modulation, which estimates the magnitude of change of discharge within a single head motion cycle, were found to be not statistically different between anatomical left and right nerves within controls and manipulated animals (Figures S4A and S4B; p = 0.1563, p > 0.999; Wilcoxon signed-rank test, n = 6 pairs of controls and n = 5 pairs of one-eared animals, respectively). Such features are characteristic of a spatially appropriate push-pull aVOR organization (Straka and Dieringer, 2004). In addition, the spontaneous activity, corresponding to discharge rates during periods of no head motion, were similarly invariant between left and right nerves in both animal groups (Figures S4C and S4D; p = 0.5625, p = 0.6250; Wilcoxon signed-rank test, n = 6 pairs of controls and n = 5 pairs of one-eared animals, respectively), suggesting the presence of a homeostatic plasticity during the ontogenetic establishment of the circuitry that apparently aims at symmetric driving forces. Comparison of discharge rates during the application of rotational stimuli relative to spontaneous resting activity rates (modulation index) revealed expected response profiles in control nerves (Figure S4E). Left and right abducens nerves...
appeared to modulate around their spontaneous firing rate, with frequencies modulating below and above their resting rate, corresponding to stimulus-evoked periods of discharge facilitation and disfacilitation (Figure S4E, blue heat maps). Modulation around resting activity was observed less often in one-eared animals, in both left and right nerves, suggesting that at least in some cases these nerves in one-eared animals suffer from a lack of appropriate facilitation/disfacilitation dynamics based on the activity pattern from the singular ear (Figure S4E, orange heat maps). Between control and one-eared animals, the modulation depths in both nerves were significantly less robust (Figures S4F and S4G; p = 0.0463, p = 0.0011; Mann-Whitney U-test for left and right abducens nerves, respectively), while resting rates were found to be no different (Figures S4F and S4G; p = 0.3823, p = 0.1876; Mann-Whitney U-test for left and right abducens nerves, respectively). Beyond differences in modulation depth, these physiological profiles suggest a striking dissimilarity in bilateral abducens nerve activity during the aVOR for animals which have developed with only a singular ear. Motor transformations from such singular ears appear to follow temporal dynamics of comparable extent as would be expected in unmanipulated control animals for anatomically defined left abducens nerves. This is not too surprising given the major driving force of abducens motor nerve activity from the contralateral ear, which is the residual singular ear in one-eared animals. In contrast, right abducens nerves exhibit a prominent temporal heterogeneity. The disparity between the two nerves, which in control conditions does not exist, is indicative of potentially equally heterogenic mechanisms used to permit modulatory activity that is necessary to yoke the eyes.

DISCUSSION

Unilateral extirpation of the embryonic otic placode generated tadpoles that developed with a singular ear. These one-eared tadpoles exhibited a considerable degree of developmental plasticity, observable during execution of the horizontal vestibulo-ocular reflex. Eye movements, though weaker compared to two-eared controls, demonstrated successful execution of sensorimotor transformations despite the lack of bilateral mirror-symmetric vestibular endorgans. Achievement of this capacity occurs through neuronal computations of inputs from the singular inner ear in hindbrain vestibular centers. Once in the hindbrain, input from the single ear is sufficient to drive bilateral directed gaze stabilizing reflexes (Figure 5). The developing CNS is therefore capable of establishing directionally sensitive sensorimotor processing capabilities from self-motion information originating from a single set of vestibular endorgans. The mechanisms driving this capacity likely derive from individualized strategies of circuit plasticity during development, which are largely independent of visual-motion contributions.

Developmental plasticity in unilateral sensory deprived vestibulo-ocular motor circuits

Surgical excision of the otic placode at very early stages in Xenopus laevis generated embryos which experienced the complete absence of one inner ear and were thus challenged with detecting self-motion stimuli with only one set of endorgans. Downstream of such challenges in sensory detection, integration of vectorially different inputs through peripheral pathways was continued, despite the fact that these pathways typically receive bilateral motion vectors (Glasauer and Knorr, 2020). Unilateral ablation techniques such as this have previously been demonstrated as a suitable approach to assess the anatomical effects of sensory deprivation on hindbrain targets in Xenopus (Fritsch, 1990; Elliott et al., 2015a, 2015b), chick (Levi-Montalcini, 1949; Peusner and Morest, 1977), and salamanders (Goodman and Model, 1988). Such studies were pivotal in identifying the effects on central vestibular circuit development; however, detailed profiling of the behavioral impact and electrophysiological execution of sensorimotor transformations from the remaining singular inner ear by vestibulo-ocular and visuo-motor centers is so far unexplored. Ear extirpation in Xenopus at later embryonic periods during which the inner ear is well into its development examined resulting behavioral consequences (Rayer et al., 1983; Rayer and Horn, 1986), although exploration was mostly limited to vestibular stimulation in darkness during static head positions without the presence of OKR feedback and to our knowledge has not been profiled on an electrophysiological level. Related embryonic manipulations such as surgical rotation (Lilian et al., 2019; Elliott et al., 2015b) or addition of supernumerary ears (Elliott et al., 2015a; Gordy et al., 2018), profiled functional achievements to a successful degree, though central computations retain inputs of variable degrees and spatio-temporal composition from both sides (Lilian et al., 2019; Elliott et al., 2015a, b).

Beyond different surgical techniques, non-invasive methods have been used to profile vestibular sensory loss, particularly from selective deficiencies in microgravity (Horn, 2003), inner ear genetic manipulations with permanent effects (Kopecky et al., 2012; Macova et al., 2019) or those of a more transitory nature, such as the generation of Zebrafish with temporary utricular deprivation (Roberts et al., 2017; Ehrlich...
Figure 5. Putative plasticity mechanisms in embryonically generated one-eared tadpoles
Schematic depicting the speculated horizontal aVOR circuitry during a leftward head turn in a one-eared animal and proposed plasticity mechanisms (orange boxes, orange cells and axons). Leftward head rotation (black arrow) elicits oppositely directed horizontal eye movements (blue arrows) through muscle contractions of the lateral and medial recti (LR, MR, blue) driven from off-direction hair cell and afferent activity modulation of the singular horizontal semicircular canal (HC, blue).

(A) Disfacilitation (gray colored cells and axons) of second-order vestibular target neurons (2° VN) and HC afferent fibers (1° HC) produces eye movements which are delayed relative to control conditions, potentially because of (A) augmented crossed excitatory (green, +) or inhibitory (magenta, -) commissural gating of contralateral 2° VN target neuronal activity (orange line).

(B and C) Upstream of driving force computations, temporally inappropriate firing dynamics of abducens (VI) motoneurons are potentially offset (B) by the activity of antagonistic muscles, i.e., the ipsilateral MR muscle. Increased levels of afferent discharge rates (C; see inset) may contribute to the encoding ability for off-directional head movements. III, oculomotor nerve; VI-INT, abducens internuclear neurons. Blue, eye motion direction and corresponding horizontal endorgan; green, excitatory connections; magenta, inhibitory connections; gray, disfacilitation; orange, proposed sites and mechanisms of plasticity in one-eared animals.
and Schoppik, 2019). Such manipulations, however, were either not specific to one side (Kopecky et al., 2012), lack uniformity of deprivation across all sensory epithelia (Roberts et al., 2017), or present with defects in various sensorimotor areas (Patten et al., 2012). Functional consequences of these conditions would therefore derive from motion information of both sides, albeit with varying degrees of asymmetric signaling. In contrast, one-eared animals in the current study receive self-motion information solely through one inner ear, which lacks its bilateral mirror-symmetric compliment, but normally develops all other sensorimotor systems, with minimal detrimental effects on adjacent placode-derived sensory organs (Elliott and Fritzsch, 2010). The overall retention of vestibulo-motor responses in the presence of a singular ear (Figure 1) demonstrates the capacity of one-eared animals to execute adequate spatio-temporal VOR transformations. Execution of gaze-stabilizing vestibular reflexes in darkness, and thus without visually derived motion-signaling, demonstrate that these animals have generated sufficient plastic vestibular alterations to transform directionally specific inputs from the singular ear. Such plastic capabilities have not been observed in previous behavioral assessments of one-eared Xenopus tadpoles (Zarei et al., 2017), where Mauthner cell mediated swimming startle responses were of appropriate measure, although directionally biased with respect to inputs from the singular ear (Zarei et al., 2017). The latter finding is not entirely surprising, given the physiological basis of Mauthner cell-mediated startle behaviors (Korn and Faber, 2005), where no morpho-physiological modifications within the singular ear can obviously encode bidirectional stimuli. In contrast, in the current study, lateralized horizontal rotation is detectable by the singular horizontal semicircular canal and was shown to derive from the structurally guided facilitation/disa
cilitation dynamics of semicircular canal afferent signals (Figure 2).

Particularly surprising was the unexpected inequality in eye motion amplitudes during contraversive versus ipsiversive (with respect to the single ear) rotation, which favored more robust responses during disfacilitation of the singular right ear. Acute lesion of a single stato-acoustic nerve in Xenopus tadpoles showed a physiologically more expected effect where rotation toward the lesion side elicited very poor eye movements, a feature consistent with the sudden loss of a predominant directional sensitivity (Soupiaudou et al., 2020), which is likely because of the resulting absence of the driving force supplying relevant extra
cocular motoneurons (Branoner and Straka, 2018). Here, despite the obvious bidirectional sensitivity of the singular inner ear, such asymmetric motor output highlights individualized differential strengths in computation within brainstem processing regions. This suggests that plasticity mechanisms are not goal-directed at consistently aiming for production of suitable motor commands that equalize sensitivity vectors (Dier
ingher, 2003). Instead, behavioral responses during head oscillation-driven facilitation and disfacilitation of singular ears seem to provide sufficient dynamics for the production of spatio-temporally appropriate aVOR responses beyond differences in directional vectors. In animals with an acute loss of inputs from one inner ear, the residual oscillatory motion-driven aVOR is much less robust and generally rather asymmetric with a predominance of responses during rotations toward the intact side (Soupiaudou et al., 2020). This is likely related to the fact that the effects of such an acute lesion were assessed in post-embryonic animals with a well-established and functionally mature vestibular system. In contrast, one-eared animals in this study were generated before the development of otic neurosensory and central vestibular elements. By comparison, here, plasticity-mediated generation of vestibular behaviors is thus challenged during development of the vestibular system and reports on the extent to which bilateral peripheral input is required or dispensable during this ontogenetic period. Lesions in tadpoles, which is at variance with the otic extirpation in embryos in the current study, present with permanent morphological detriments, which are retained even into post-metamorphic adult stages (Lambert et al., 2013), highlighting that such perturbations in Xenopus tadpoles occur after a period where relevant circuitries have already developed and thus reveal the emerging consequences after a unilateral loss of vestibular sensory inputs.

In the visual system, early reversible monocular deprivation in the cat leads to an increased responsiveness to signals from the remaining eye in cortical areas, with a concomitant expense of target sensitivity to inputs from the shunted eye (Wiesel and Hubel, 1963). The data presented here suggest the opposite, with a dampening in motion vector sensitivity in the excitatory on-direction of the singular ear. However, the marginal redundancy in visual input originating from individual eyes during visual motion detection, even among lateral- and frontal-eyed animals which present with markedly strong directional asymmetries (Massek and Hoffmann, 2009; Wagner et al., 2022) does not exist for vestibular signal encoding and processing, where mirror-symmetric endorgans encode directional domains that are almost mutually exclusive. Therefore, that the remaining inner ear maintains and potentially increases the ability to peripherally distinguish directional vectors (Figure 2) suggests that one-eared tadpoles have individually activated developmental
strategies that ultimately provide preservation or extension of bidirectional sensitivities. Two-eared mediated bilateral modulation of motion-related neuronal activity is known to depend on spontaneous afferent discharge levels, which provide a larger range for bidirectional motion encoding at higher resting rates and a more directionally restricted sensitivity at low or very low afferent firing rates as usually present in amphibian species (Blanks and Precht, 1976; Honrubia et al., 1981, 1989). Here, a generalized strategy to generate bidirectional sensitivity from singular inner ears might involve the establishment of higher resting discharge rates in vestibular afferent fibers beyond the usually low firing rates that allow encoding of head motion only in the on- but not in the off-direction (Figure 5C). Developmentally established higher resting rates of vestibular afferents innervating the singular ear would thus extend the dynamic range for the motion encoding by increasing the degree for a firing rate disfacilitation during contraversive head movements.

One-eared Xenopus tadpoles subjected to drop-swim assays showed deficits in postural stabilization (Elliott et al., 2015b), which suggests an inability to correct for directionally asymmetric vestibular inputs. However, the extent to which this reflects a limitation in processing bandwidth required for integrating otolith and semicircular canal inputs, or is merely a developmental restriction, given that tadpoles were assessed relatively shortly after the ear removal, remained untested (Elliott et al., 2015b). Developmental progression of similarly manipulated tadpoles to the physiological stages assayed here has been done previously but was limited to tract tracing observations alone (Fritzsch, 1990). The capability of one-eared animals in this study to execute a spatially appropriate aVOR provides a unique perspective on the developmental strategies for adaptive plasticity, highlighting the extent to which directional sensitivities may develop despite lacking structures for their detection. These results expand on the observed persistency of appropriate vestibular processing despite embryonic deficiencies in peripheral inputs. Indeed, delayed bilateral otolith formation in Zebrafish demonstrated a similar autonomy for nascent posture-stabilizing circuits (Roberts et al., 2017). The extent of developmental plasticity observed in the current study compliments with previous experimental models in the visual system of amphibians (e.g., Constantine-Paton and Law, 1978; Ruthazer et al., 2003; Blackiston et al., 2017) and teleosts (e.g., Ramdya and Engert, 2008), which served to highlight the remarkable degree of flexibility during development of sensory systems. A potential mechanism in the case of one-eared tadpoles might include altered resting discharge rates as well as a shift in the push-pull organization of inhibitory and excitatory vestibulo-ocular connections beyond the typical three-neuronal connections. Such mechanisms could generate a spectrum of individually specific encoding capacities for bilateral extraocular motor commands through alterations in the degree of excitation or disinhibition (see below).

Mechanisms of developmental vestibular plasticity

Firing activity of extraocular motor nerves represent the terminal site of VOR sensorimotor transformations originating from inner ear peripheral inputs (Gensberger et al., 2016). Extracellular discharge dynamics of these motoneurons, particularly those of the abducens nerve, have previously been used to profile downstream circuit computations after an acute vestibular loss in Xenopus (Lambert et al., 2013; Branoner and Straka, 2018), and various species of ranid frogs (e.g., Rohregger and Dieringer, 2003), as well as following embryonically guided introduction of additional vestibular inputs (Gordy et al., 2018). Here, profiling abducens nerve dynamics in one-eared animals reported on a considerable range of developmental plasticity measures. Despite the absence of one inner ear, a sustained and robust spontaneous resting rate of the extraocular motor nerve was observed. The presence of such robust rates contrasts with animals following an acute unilateral vestibular loss where an elimination of resting activity in extraocular motor nuclei contralateral to the lesioned ear was reliably demonstrated (Branoner and Straka, 2018; Lambert et al., 2013). Given that motoneurons of the right abducens nerve in one-eared tadpoles exhibit such prominent resting rates despite lacking a contralateral left inner ear, which under control conditions provides the excitatory drive (Straka and Dieringer, 1993), suggests the presence of homeostatic mechanisms which likely aim at establishing symmetric driving forces during ontogeny. Following an acute lesion of one stato-acoustic nerve at the tadpole stage (e.g., Lambert et al., 2013), such a loss is likely driven and permanently maintained by the weighted inputs on abducens motor targets from second-order vestibular neurons which suddenly lack excitatory inputs from the lesioned side while maintaining continued ipsilateral inhibition from the remaining ear. In the current study, the development of a suitable driving force could likely be generated by both a decrease in inhibitory inputs to the right abducens nucleus and by an indirect excitatory input from the remaining inner ear (Figure 5A). Unilateral labyrinth-ectomized ranid frogs appear to rely heavily on the former compensatory strategy, though were also rather heterogeneous in the efficacy of their responses (Agosti et al., 1986).
In the current one-eared animals, the impaired ability of right abducens nerves to modulate around their respective resting rates in some animals suggests a degree of inadequacy in disinhibition and might lend support to this notion. Indirect excitatory contributions might be the result of midline-crossing commissural pathways in the hindbrain (Figure 5A; Straka, 2020), particularly of excitatory fibers which innervate horizontal semicircular canal second-order vestibular neurons (Holler and Straka, 2001) and assist the generation of symmetric resting rates, as might be the case for the acute loss in ranid frogs (Agosti et al., 1986). The relative synaptic weights of such excitatory connections, their second-order targets, and the distributions relative to inhibitory commissural fibers is thus of great interest to investigate. The longer response latency in one-eared aVOR eye movements of the current study tends to support such a claim, given the delay to reach peak eye motion velocity relative to control conditions and could be due to additional synaptic relays during sensorimotor transformation (Figures 1 and 2; see Figure 5 for a summary). The presence of additional synaptic sites likely supplements the traditional three-neuronal reflex circuit typical for aVOR processing and thus offers a potential mechanistic site for assisting appropriate eye movements during head rotations in the absence of the former. If indeed such crossed synaptic additions are utilized and have become a dominant pathway in these animals requires further investigation into frequency sensitivities. This would be of particular interest to explore because of possible resultant behavioral constraints given that VOR responses are considerably sensitive to high frequency head movements. As a result, response delays might compromise appropriate eye movements during high frequencies, whereas low frequency head movements could likely cope with such synaptic strategies. Commissural pathway-mediated generation of such symmetry would be opposite to that observed in cats, where a loss of crossed vestibular commissural inhibition causes an increase in the resting discharge of contralesional second-order vestibular neurons, which, however, decreases over time (Yagi and Markham, 1984). In chicks, an increase in excitatory inputs on the lesioned side was found only in animals which had not been classified as being able to behaviorally compensate for an acute unilateral vestibular loss (Shao et al., 2012). The similar resting rates between bilateral abducens nerves in embryonically manipulated Xenopus tadpoles approximate a considerable extent of symmetric activity in their upstream vestibular nuclei. These animals, though lacking behavioral and physiological robustness at control levels, have seemingly developed such a symmetry, which permits appropriate motor output and highlights the general need of symmetric activity levels in the vestibular nuclei, as has been proposed in several experimental models (Lambert and Straka, 2012).

Motion evoked discharge rates in the abducens nerves of one-eared tadpoles were cyclic with respect to the stimulus. Despite differences in the ability to modulate around their respective resting rates, abducens nerve activity profiles clearly demonstrated a general capability to execute sensorimotor transformations originating from inputs from the singular inner ear. However, the notable heterogeneity in the response phase of individual nerves indicates a range of temporal relationships. This is particularly evident for right abducens nerves, where peak firing rates temporally extended even in some cases to periods with inappropriate motion direction. In these abducens motoneuron populations, the lack of direct excitatory input from the operated side, despite disinhibitory contributions from the remaining ear, might seem to be a detriment that was sometimes developmentally uncompensated for, particularly given that all left abducens nerve responses appeared appropriate in phase (with excitatory inputs from the residual singular inner ear). However, the behavioral data suggests against this, particularly given the activation of considerably strong eye movements during sinusoidal and unilateral motion toward the operated side. Therefore, right abducens motoneurons with directionally inappropriate phase metrics might be supplemented with temporally complimenting, though phase shifted, discharge rates in medial rectus-innervating oculomotor motoneurons, which would provide suitable antagonistic yoking (Figure 5B) required for the aVOR (Horn and Straka, 2021). Post-lesional plasticity in ranid frogs has so far demonstrated a considerable variability in the spatial tuning of the abducens nerve activity during linear and angular motion-evoked VOR, which was demonstrated to be behaviorally detrimental but likely beneficial for the survival of deafferented central vestibular neurons, illustrating the lack of a robust singular principle for recovery (Goto et al., 2001; Rohregger and Dieringer, 2003). In tadpoles of the current study, the ability to execute spatially meaningful aVOR behaviors suggests that inappropriate tuning of abducens nerve activity might only play a minor role. This variability in response timing indicates either an absence of a unifying goal-directed neuronal strategy or a permissive mechanistic framework for amelioration following vestibular loss similar to the previously reported spatial plasticity of the VOR (for review see Dieringer, 2003). Precise tuning characteristics of central vestibular neurons would be beneficial to further explore, such as in recent approaches quantifying tuning and convergence properties in Zebrafish (Liu et al., 2020).
Motion-sensitive sensory modality integration is prominent in brainstem gaze and posture processing centers (Angelaki and Cullen, 2008), and plasticity-based reorganization following vestibular loss is typically supplemented by these modalities (Curthoys, 2000). In tadpoles of the current study, concurrent optokinetic flow appeared to not supplement aVOR responses neither in amplitude nor in temporal attributes. These animals have therefore developed a vestibular processing regime without relying on augmented synergistic visual motion signals, which suggests the location of plasticity as being possibly exclusive to vestibular circuit elements alone. A wealth of studies has reached similar or contrasting conclusions, which highlights broad species differences in the apparent extent of modality substitution following vestibular deprivation (for review see e.g., Dieringer, 1995; Darlington and Smith, 2000). The current study is thus the first instance of unilateral embryonic vestibular deprivation demonstrating the impact on the performance of vestibulo-ocular reflexes that align with independence from visually mediated substitution. Cerebellar contributions to developmental maturation of vestibular evoked posture-stabilization (Ehrlich and Schoppik, 2019), as well as homeostatic mechanisms following prolonged rotation (Dietrich and Straka, 2016) implicate the possibility of the cerebellum as being involved in plasticity strategies here as well, though experimental validation is still pending. Ontogenetic development of brainstem vestibular circuits might be highly plastic and can be exploited to drive functionally appropriate motor outputs despite lacking peripheral sensors. Considerations to such plasticity extents would be beneficial for targeted therapeutics, such as those aimed at using transplantation approaches to replace vestibular deficits (Elliott et al., 2022), and might aid in the holistic understanding of adaptability in vestibular development and processing.

**Limitations of the study**

Electrophysiological data from abducens nerves were acquired by extracellular multi-unit recordings with separately crafted electrode capillaries of different diameters to fit individual nerves across animals. Electrode capillary sizes determine the capacity to detect specific units and therefore can obscure a comprehensive assessment of the entire population discharge dynamics by reducing the resolution to specific sets of individual motoneurons. In addition, removal of the otic placode, though clearly demonstrated, is an experimental physical manipulation and could present with unintended side effects as a byproduct of surgical intervention. Genetic ablation of the otic placode could potentially serve to substantiate the absence of such effects in these developing embryos, although this might also introduce other detrimental consequences for the experimental outcome. Xenopus tadpoles in this study are head-fixed during behavioral trials. Head fixation restricts the ability of behaviorally substituting strategies during horizontal rotation, such as saccadic head movements common in anurans, and could therefore impart a bias in the efficacy of observed gaze-stabilization strategies.
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## KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| Antibodies          |        |            |
| Myosin VI antibody  | Proteus Biosciences | Cat#25-6791, RRID:AB_10013626 |
| Acetylated-tubulin antibody | Sigma-Aldrich | Cat#T7451, RRID:AB_609894 |
| Goat anti-Mouse IgG2b Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor™ 488 | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat# A-21141, RRID:AB_2535778 |
| Goat anti-Rabbit IgG Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor™ Plus 647 | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat# A32733, RRID:AB_2633282 |
| Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins |        |            |
| DAPI                | Thermo Scientific | Cat#62248 |
| Aqua-Poly/Mount     | Polysciences | Cat#18606 |
| 3-aminobenzoic acid ethyl ester methanesulfonate (MS-222) | Pharmaq Ltd. UK | N/A |
| NaCl                | Carl Roth, Germany | Cat#3957.3 |
| KCl                 | Carl Roth, Germany | Cat#P017.1 |
| NaHCO₃              | Carl Roth, Germany | Cat#8551.1 |
| HEPES               | Carl Roth, Germany | Cat#9105.4 |
| CaCl₂               | Carl Roth, Germany | Cat#A119.1 |
| MgCl₂               | Carl Roth, Germany | Cat#2189.1 |
| Glucose monohydrate | Carl Roth, Germany | Cat#6780.1 |
| Benzocaine          | Sigma-Aldrich | Cat#E1501 |
| L-Cysteine          | Sigma-Aldrich | Cat#168149 |
| Paraformaldehyde    | Carl Roth, Germany | Cat#0335.3 |
| Deposited data      |        |            |
| Original analysis code | This paper | Gordy, Clayton; Straka, Hans (2022), “Gordy and Straka [ISCIENCE-D-22-02377R1]”, Mendeley Data, V1, https://doi.org/10.17632/wb3xfw75zz.1 |
| Experimental models: Organisms/strains |        |            |
| Model organism: Xenopus laevis | Institutional breeding facility, Faculty of Biology, Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich | N/A |
| Software and algorithms |        |            |
| Figure assembly: Affinity Designer 1.10.5.1342 | Serif | N/A |
| ZEN Imaging software (blue edition) 3.4.91 | Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH | N/A |
| Data acquisition: Spike 2 version 7.04 | Cambridge Electric Design Limited (CED), UK | N/A |
| Data analysis: Python 3.7.6 | Anaconda Inc. (https://www.anaconda.com/products/distribution) | N/A |
| Data visualization and statistics: GraphPad Prism 8.4.3 | Graphpad Software, LLC, Inc, USA | N/A |
| Circular statistics: Oriana 4.02 | Kovach Computing Services | N/A |
| Leica Application Suite X | Leica Microsystems CMS GmbH | N/A |
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**RESOURCE AVAILABILITY**

**Lead contact**

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Dr. Hans Straka (straka@lmu.de).

**Materials availability**

This study did not generate new unique reagents.

**Data and code availability**

- All data reported in this paper will be shared by the lead contact on request.
- All original code has been deposited at Mendeley Data and is publicly available as of the date of publication. DOIs are listed in the key resources table.
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact on request.

**EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS**

*Xenopus laevis*

Experiments were conducted on wild-type *Xenopus laevis* embryos and larvae of either sex at developmental stages 25–27, 46, and 53–57 (Nieuwkoop and Faber, 1994). Embryos were obtained through induced ovulation by injection of human chorionic gonadotropin, followed by *in vitro* fertilization with sperm suspension in 1 x Modified Barth’s Saline (MBS, diluted from 10 x stock; 880 mM NaCl, 10 mM KCl, 100 mM HEPES, 25 mM NaHCO3, pH 7.6) or manual collection after natural mating. Embryos from either fertilization method were de-jellied with 2% cysteine and incubated in 0.1 x Marc’s Modified Ringer’s Solution (MMR, diluted from 10 x stock; 1 M NaCl, 18 mM KCl, 20 mM CaCl2, 10 mM MgCl2, 150 mM HEPES, pH 7.6–7.8) until animals reached stage 46, when tadpoles were transferred and housed jointly in standing tanks of de-chlorinated water of appropriate volume (McNamara et al., 2018), maintained at 17–19°C under a 12 h/12 h light/dark cycle, and fed daily with a powdered Spirulina (Algova, Germany) suspension in tank water. One-eared experimental tadpoles were housed in the same environmentally controlled room and exposed to the same aqueous medium as control siblings. After reaching stage 53–57, tadpoles were used for behavioral and/or physiological assessment in accordance with the “Principles of animal care” publication No. 86–23, revised 1985, of the National Institutes of Health and were carried out in accordance with the ARRIVE guidelines and regulations. Permission for the experiments was granted by the legally responsible governmental body of Upper Bavaria (Regierung von Oberbayern) under the license codes ROB-55.2-1-54-2532-14-2016, ROB-55.2.2532.Vet_03-17-24 and ROB-55.2.2532.Vet_02-19-146. In addition, all experiments were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations of the Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich.

**METHOD DETAILS**

**Inner ear extirpation**

Extirpations of the inner ear anlage (the otic placode) were performed in 1.0 x MMR at a room temperature of 22°C on stage 25–27 embryos. Embryos were anesthetized with 0.02% Benzocaine (Sigma-Aldrich, E1501; Elliott and Fritzsch, 2010) before the surgical manipulations. All surgical interventions were performed with fine tungsten needles (0.125 mm, Fine Science Tools, 10130-05). Access to the developing inner ear following visual identification of the target area was made by peeling back the dorsolateral ectoderm-derived layer overlying the developing otic placode. Placodes were subsequently identified by visual inspection and were surgically excised from the surrounding tissue (Video S1). Removals were
done unilaterally, with the contralateral side left unmanipulated. Care was taken to minimize the ablation and disturbance of adjacent non-otic tissue such as to exclusively remove the developing ear. After the surgery, embryos were maintained for 30 min in 1.0 x MMR to permit healing of the exposed tissue before being returned to 0.1 x MMR and reared until reaching the desired stages for the different types of experiments (see below). A representative video of ear extirpation was captured on a SteREO Discovery.V20 stereo microscope with a AxioCam 305 color camera (Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH) taken at 8 fps and exported at 15 fps using ZEN software 3.4.91 (Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH).

**Experimental preparations**

All experiments were performed on semi-intact in vitro preparations generated from tadpoles that had been subjected to a unilateral embryonic inner ear extirpation or from untreated control animals and were obtained following a protocol described previously (Knorr et al., 2021; specified in detail by Özzugur et al., 2022). Tadpoles were first anesthetized in 0.05% 3-aminobenzoic acid ethyl ester methanesulfonate (MS-222; Pharmaq Ltd. UK) at a room temperature of 22°C for 3–5 min and were then transferred into ice cold frog Ringer solution (75 mM NaCl, 25 mM NaHCO3, 2 mM CaCl2, 2 mM KCl, 0.1 mM MgCl2, and 11 mM glucose, pH 7.4). An in vitro preparation was generated by decapitation, removal of the lower jaw, and evisceration. The skin covering the dorsal part of the head including the otic capsule(s) was removed, the cartilaginous skull opened and the choroid plexus detached to allow access of the Ringer solution to the brainstem through the open fourth ventricle. Such in vitro preparations maintain fully functional sensory organs (e.g., ears and eyes) as well as all central nervous circuits, and contain intact peripheral motor nerves and effectors organs (e.g., extraocular muscles; see Straka and Simmers, 2012). Following surgical procedures, animals were allowed to recover for 2 h at 17°C.

**Visuo-vestibular motion stimulation**

Vestibular sensory stimulation was provided by a six-axis motion simulator (PI H-840, Physik Instrumente, Karlsruhe, Germany) mounted onto a breadboard table (TMC Ametek). Semi-intact tadpole preparations were mechanically secured with insect pins in the center of a Sylgard-lined chamber (Ø 5 cm) and continuously superfused with insect pins in the center of a Sylgard-lined chamber (Ø 5 cm) and continuously superfused with oxygenated (Carbogen: 95% O2, 5% CO2) Ringer solution to maintain a constant temperature of 17.5 ± 1.0°C. For behavioral experiments, horizontal sinusoidal motion stimuli were generated by a custom written software in C++ (Soupiadou et al., 2020) and delivered to the control unit of the motion stimulator. Stimulation paradigms consisted for each animal as follows: bouts of sinusoidal vestibular stimulation were provided through oscillating horizontal rotation performed at 0.5 Hz with a peak velocity of ±31.4°/s for 15 consecutive cycles, followed by an inter-stimulus period of at least 60 s. Each animal was provided with the horizontal rotational stimuli first in darkness and then in light, with the light condition corresponding to motion in the presence of a world-stationary visual scene consisting of black and white stripes used for optokinetic stimulation described below. In both darkness and light, stimulation bouts were initiated with motion beginning either in the leftward or rightward directions before oscillation at 0.5 Hz (2-s period) between both directions, with leftward initiating bouts occurring first in the order of presented stimulus paradigms before those starting rightward. In all bouts, the first half cycle (1-s) of each bout were classified as unidirectional stimulation for subsequent analyses. Optokinetic stimuli were generated by three digital light processing video projectors (Aiptek V60). Visual patterns were projected onto a cylindrical screen (Ø 8 cm, height 5 cm) positioned around the center of the motion platform, providing a 275° visual field with a refresh rate of 60 Hz. Patterns consisted of equally spaced vertically oriented black and white stripes of 16°/16° spatial size. Optokinetic stimuli were presented at three frequencies, initiating in the following order: 0.1, 0.2 and 0.5 Hz, and occurred in 3 repetitions of 15 consecutive cycles per frequency, interrupted by a stationary period of at least 15 s. Vestibular stimulation paradigms for electrophysiological recordings of extraocular motor nerve discharge were performed similarly with consistent sinusoidal parameters, however stimulation was performed only in darkness, and consisted of at least two stimulation bouts of 5–15 cycles each (at 0.5 Hz with a peak velocity of ±31.4°/s as indicated above). Vestibular and optokinetic stimulus profiles were set to be sampled into Spike2 signal recording software (Cambridge Electronic Design, UK) at a rate of 50 Hz.

**Eye motion tracking**

Eye movements, in response to head motion (vestibular) and exclusive visual image motion (optokineti) stimulation, were recorded by a digital camera (Grasshopper Mono, Point Grey Research Inc., Canada) fitted with a high-pass infrared filter lens and appropriate zoom objective (Optem Zoom 70XL, Qioptiq Photonics GmbH & Co. KG, Germany; M25 × 0.75 + 0.25). The camera was mounted onto the motion simulator.
platform and centered directly above the head. Video sequences were recorded with a frame capture rate of 30 Hz using the FlyCap2 software (v2.3.2.14.) under illumination with an infrared light source. Positional changes of both eyes over time were quantitatively assessed (Beck et al., 2004; Soupiadou et al., 2020) by fitting an ellipse to each eye independently and computing the deviation of the major axis of each ellipse from the longitudinal image axis in each video frame. Behavioral data were captured and digitized at 30 Hz by a CED 1401 A/D interface and associated Spike2 program (Cambridge Electronic Design Ltd., UK).

Electrophysiological recordings of extraocular motor nerves

Extracellular multi-unit spike discharge was recorded from the severed ends of the lateral rectus (LR) motor nerves close to the innervation site of their respective bilateral eye muscles with glass suction electrodes. Electrodes were made from glass capillaries (Science Products, GB100-10) with a horizontal puller (P-87, Sutter Instruments Co., USA) and were individually broken to fit to the size of each nerve. Multi-unit spike activity was recorded (EXT 10-2F; npi electronic GmbH, Germany) and digitized at 20 kHz by the CED 1401 A/D interface in Spike2.

Immunohistochemistry

Young tadpoles at stage 46 were anesthetized in 0.5% MS-222 and fixed by immersion in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for at least 3 h at 4°C. Following, tadpoles were dissected by removal of the lower jaws and viscera, decapitated at the head/tail junction, and freed from the skin overlying the dorsal head. Subsequently, samples were dehydrated in 70% ethanol for a period of 1–12 h, followed by washing 3 x in 0.1x PBS before immersion for 1 h at a room temperature of 22°C in 5% normal goat serum with 0.1% Triton X100 to block the immunoreactive epitopes. Samples were then incubated overnight at 36°C with primary antibodies against the hair cell marker Myosin VI (1:400; Proteus Biosciences, 25-6791) and the neuronal marker acetylated-tubulin (1:800; Sigma-Aldrich, T7451). Afterward, washing and blocking reactions were repeated as above, before incubation for 1 h at room temperature with species-specific secondary antibodies (1:200; Alexa Goat anti-Mouse IgG2b, A-21141; Alexa Goat anti-Rabbit IgG, A32733) and DAPI (Thermo Fisher Scientific; 62248; 1:1,000) for 1 h at room temperature. Following a series of washes (6x) in 0.1x PBS, animals were mounted on microscope slides, coverslipped with Aqua Polymount (PolyScience, 18606) and subsequently imaged on a Leica SP5-2 confocal microscope.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data analysis

Data analysis of eye motion and extraocular motoneuron spike discharge recordings were conducted post-hoc in Python 3 following export from the Spike2 acquisition software into MATLAB (The Mathworks, Inc.) data files. Tadpoles selected for functional assays were excluded from behavioral and electrophysiological testing if they presented with developmental defects, exhibited aberrant motor behaviors, or did not respond to stimulation paradigms. Post-hoc exclusion was met in behavioral experiments if eye motion data presented with spontaneous nystagmus movements in the absence of visual/vestibular motion stimulation. Post-hoc exclusion was met in electrophysiological experiments if discharge responses were masked by excessive noise levels. Eye positions for both eyes were re-sampled at 200 Hz and low-pass filtered with a cut-off frequency of 4 Hz (Butterworth; 2nd order). Following, the responses of both eyes were combined, owing to the similar response levels between the left and right eyes in both controls and one-eared animals for vestibular and visual motion stimulation (Figure S2). Individual sinusoidal stimulus cycles, which were determined to contain episodes of stimulus-unrelated eye twitches, corresponding to fast-phases or other spontaneously occurring eye movements, were manually identified and removed from subsequent analysis (Beck et al., 2004). Responses evoked by individual sine waves were averaged across multiple cycles within each animal. The general lack of visuo-vestibular motion stimulus-driven resetting fast phases in Xenopus tadpoles at the tested stimulus settings facilitated the calculation of response gains as: the ratio of peak-to-peak eye position to peak-to-peak stimulus position, and corresponding phase metrics as: temporal delay between peak stimulus position and peak eye position calculated as an angular fraction of the motion cycle. Peak amplitudes during unidirectional stimulation were calculated during the first half cycle (1 second) initiating a stimulation bout, corresponding to the peak eye motion response across this period.
Extraocular motor discharge data was filtered with a Butterworth bandpass filter with lower and upper limits of 200 and 600 Hz, respectively, to reduce noise generated by the platform motion. Discharge rates were calculated from spike counts over time following a manual amplitude and spike interval-dependent threshold selection, which was determined for each individual nerve in each animal. Spike counts during each stimulus cycle were used to produce a peri-stimulus time histogram (PSTH; bin size 0.05 s) over a single cycle. For PSTH generation, stimulus cycles were selected from sinusoidal bouts with respect to peak directional velocity contralateral to each nerve to better identify the temporal dynamics. Spike rates were then calculated by first dividing spike counts within each histogram bin by the number of cycles and then by bin size. Responses which contained episodes of stimulus-unrelated eye twitches were excluded manually. For visualization of PSTHs in heat maps, responses were either normalized to their respective peak discharge rate per individual animal or as raw rates as indicated. Resting nerve discharge rates were calculated from the average of 20 s of spontaneous activity during periods where the head remained stationary either before and/or between stimulus bouts. Discharge rates over a single motion cycle were used to calculate parameters of modulation depth and phase. These values correspond respectively to the difference between the highest and lowest firing rate during a head motion cycle in the former, which quantitatively reports on the extent of sensorimotor transmission onto motoneurons, and the angular fraction of the difference between peak discharge rate and peak stimulus directional velocity in the latter. This latter calculation was also done with respect to opposite velocity motion for each nerve by shifting the angular location of peak firing rate forward by 180° along a 360° scale before determining the angular difference as above. Owing to unequal sampling rates in stimulus motion position, stimulus velocity metrics were acquired by re-sampling a single positional cycle to 20 kHz and fitting to a sine wave before differentiation.

Statistics
Statistical differences between independent (two-eared control versus one-eared animals) data sets were assessed using the Mann-Whitney U-test for unpaired nonparametric data, and the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-rank test for paired (within experimental groups) nonparametric data in Prism (GraphPad Software 8.4.3, Inc, USA). Nonparametric tests were used owing to the generally small sample size. To aid comparisons of paired data, graphs were visualized with connecting lines between data points with corresponding paired values. Data points shown without a connecting line reflect animals which did not have a corresponding paired measurement. Gain and phase comparisons for tadpoles across multiple frequencies were performed with the nonparametric Friedman test followed by a Dunn’s multiple comparisons test. Conjugate movements of both eyes were approximated by plotting pooled average cycle responses of the left and right eye against each other followed by calculation of $r^2$ and slope values from linear regressions. Circular statistics for electrophysiological data was calculated in Oriana (Version 4.02; Kovach Computing Services) as shown previously (Bacqué-Cazenave et al., 2018). Pooled phase values re peak leftward velocity (see above) taken from left and right abducens nerves from individual animals were used to calculate a mean vector, defined by an angular direction in degrees ($\mu$, ± circular standard deviation) and a corresponding length metric approximating clustering strength around the mean ($r$). Assessment of uniform distribution, indicative of no preferred direction, was calculated by Rayleigh’s uniformity test ($p$). Significance of difference between mean angular directions from pooled left and right abducens nerves in each animal group was tested with Moore’s Paired Test. Differences between mean angular directions in control and one-eared animals was assessed with a pairwise Watson-Williams F-test. A significance threshold of 0.05 was used for all analyses. Population data is reported as mean ± standard deviation (SD) unless otherwise stated. Statistical tests used and their details can also be found in the relevant figure legends and/or corresponding result sections. n-values used in statistical tests represent number of animals.