Conservative Management of Acute Lumbar Disc Herniation
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Abstract

Background: Low back pain (LBP) due to acute disc herniation is a common disease below the age 45 years of age. Nowadays several types of treatment modalities used to manage these patients. The economic burden of LBP is heavy. LBP rank fifth among category of diseases in cost of hospital care. LBP has higher indirect costs due to absenteeism from work and disability than any other disease. This study was performed to assess the clinical outcomes of non-surgical treatment of LBP patients after six months follow up.

Material & methods: Present prospective study was performed among 50 lumbar radicular patients with clinical signs and symptoms of acute lumbar disc herniation (less than three months). Their diagnosis was confirmed by Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) study. The patients were treated conservatively during six months. Study outcome variables were pain and disability that were assessed by Visual Analog Scale (VAS) and Oswestry Disability Questionnaire (ODQ).

Results: Twenty (40%) female and 30 (60%) male were participated in the study. During the follow up period, five (10%) patients (four male) were referred to neurosurgeon due to poor response to conservative treatment and motor weakness. Pain of patients according to VAS after six months conservative treatment significantly improved than initial evaluation of patients (3.11 ± 1.83, 7.1 ± 1.43 P = 0.00). Disability score of patients significantly improved with conservative treatment in follow up period (25.82 ± 16.92, 53.66 ± 17.66; P = 0.00).

Conclusion: Results of our study showed that conservative treatment in patients with acute lumbar disc herniation causes significant pain relief and disability improvement without any notable side effect.
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Introduction

Low Back Pain (LBP) is a common-condition younger than the 45 years of age. The estimated annual LBP incidence in Western countries is five cases per 1000 adults [1]. The economic burden of lumbar-spine disorders is heavy. This disorder ranks fifth among category of diseases for hospital cost and has higher costs due to absenteeism from work and disability than any other disease [2]. LBP due to acute lumbar disc herniation is a common disorder at the ages of 44-50 years. It is characterized by radiating pain in the area of the leg typically served by one nerve root in the lumbar or sacral spine [1,2]. Several conservative and surgical modalities were applied for these patients. Cauda equina syndrome was considered as the single absolute indication for surgery in a LBP patient [3-5]. Ninthy and ninety five percent of acute disc herniation patients were improved during two months with conservative treatment such as bed rest, life style modification, medication, back support, exercise, manipulation, physical therapy and epidural steroid injection [3-7].

Previous studies reported that conservative treatment such as non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs cause pain relief in patients with disc herniation [8,9]. Other drugs such as muscle relaxant might be useful in acute low back pain [9]. Some reports showed that oral steroid did not have useful impacts on acute lumbar disc herniation [8,9].

Epidural injection is one of the alternative treatment modalities for patients who did not respond to conservative treatment or have contraindication for surgery [9-12]. This study was performed to assess the clinical outcomes of non-surgically treated LBP patients after six months follow up.

Material and Methods

Present prospective study was performed among 50 patients with clinical signs and symptoms of acute lumbar disc herniation (less than three months symptoms) who confirmed by MRI. Our subjects then were referred to rheumatology clinic of Shahid Sadoughi hospital, Yazd, Iran. This study was approved by ethical board and fully supported by Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences.

Study samples

Our sampling method was consecutive sampling and also written informed consent was obtained from all patients. Patients who had symptoms and signs of radicular pain in L5 or S1 territory level were assessed by neurologic and lumbar movement examination, detection of muscle force and Straight Leg Raising test (SLR). MRI was done for all patients and if they had disc herniation without exclusion criteria were included in this study. The exclusion criteria were: degenerative or spinal canal stenosis, tumor, trauma, infection, spondilolysthesis or signs and symptoms of neurologic deficit.

Study design

All patients were visited by rheumatologist; and MRI imaging studies were used for diagnosis confirmation. An independent
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research physician verified symptoms and signs of selected patients at the time of enrollment. Patients with acute lumbar disc herniation were included. Radiculopathy and disc herniation in our subjects were confirmed by MRI. Complete clinical evaluations consist of SLR test, muscle strength, reflexes (Patellar and Achilles), sensory changes, the Oswestry Disability Questionnaire, 100-mm visual-analogue scale were performed for included patients at the initial time and after six months follow up.

Conservative treatment

Conservative treatments such as life style modification, bed rest, drug therapy with non steroid anti inflammatory drugs, muscle relaxant, systemic injection of corticosteroids, epidural injection, physiotherapy and three cyclic anti depressants (TCAs) depending on each patient’s situation were prescribed to the patients after initial evaluation.

Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed by non parametric tests such as Mann-Whitney and Wilcoxon test after entering study data to the computer via statistical package for social science (SPSS) software 14.0. Two-tailed significance level of 0.05 was used to detect significant difference between study variables.

Results

Twenty (40%) female and 30 (60%) male were entered to the study. During follow up period five (10%) patients (four male) were referred to neurosurgeon due to unresponsive to conservative treatment and progressive muscle weakness.

Eighty eight patients (56%) had Disc Extrusion (DE) and 22 (44%) patients had Disc herniation (DH). L4-L5 was the most affected level in patients with DE and DH (Table 1).

According to VAS score, pain of patients after six months conservative treatment significantly improves than initial evaluation (3.11 ± 1.83, 7.1 ± 1.43 P = 0.00) (Table 2).

DE and DH Patients did not show significant difference in the initial evaluation (7.42 ± 1.23, 6.68 ± 1.58; P = 0.09) and after six months follow up (7.0 ± 1.51, 3.57 ± 2.08; P = 0.15). Male and female patients had no significant difference in mean of VAS in initial (6.83 ± 1.51, 7.50 ± 1.23; P = 0.12) and after six months follow up evaluation (2.88 ± 1.88, 3.42 ± 1.77; P = 0.22).

Conservative treatments during six months follow up can significantly improved Oswestry Disability score in study patients (25.82 ± 16.92,53.66 ± 17.66; P = 0.00) (Table 2).

Mean of Oswestry disability score in DE Patients was significant higher than DH patients in initial evaluation (58.42 ± 18.81, 47.36 ± 14.17; P=0.03) but this significant difference was not seen after conservative treatment during six months follow (24.91 ± 14.49, 26.85 ± 17.76; P = 0.758).

| Level | Type of DH | L1-L5 N (%) | L5-S1 N (%) | Total N (%) |
|-------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| DH    | 1(4.5)     | 14(63.6)    | 7(31.8)     | 22(44)      |
| DE    | 1(3.6)     | 14(60)      | 13(46.4)    | 28(56)      |
| Total | 2(4)       | 28(56)      | 20(40)      | 50(100)     |

Abbreviations: DH: Disc Herniation, DE: Disc Extrusion

Table 1: Level of Disc Herniations in our patients.
treated non-surgically and were followed for a minimum of seven years. They investigated patients’ clinical outcomes at the initial, two-year follow up. MRI study showed that clinical outcome did not depend on the size of herniation or the grade of degeneration of the intervertebral disc in the minimum seven-year follow-up [17].

Mean of SLR degree after six months follow up significantly improved than initial evaluation. Mean of Oswestry disability score had significant difference between patients of conservative treatment and surgery treatment. Despite small number of studied cases and rather short period of follow up, this study are in concordance with the similar studies.

Present study has some limitations. First randomization did not design in our study. Second our follow up period was short for our aim and we must select longer follow up time. Third we assessed the pain and disability by VAS and Oswestry Disability Questionnaires in our patients, other methods maybe show different results. Fourth, the study was restricted to an Iranian population. Fifth, presented study was conducted in a single center rendering the external generalizability of our findings to other countries or centers were uncertain. The favorable outcomes associated with the conservative management in disc herniations should be further confirmed in controlled trials.

Conclusion

According to the results of our study, conservative treatment in patients with acute lumbar disc herniation causes significant pain relief and disability improvement without any notable side effects. Conservative management with proper patient selection can reduce risk of inappropriate surgery in patients with lumbar disc herniation.

There is no relationship between clinical outcome at the end of six months of conservative treatment and type of disc herniation in the initial evaluation.

In 90 percent of patient with conservative treatment clinical improvement was seen.

Oswestry disability score can be a good prognostication indicator for future surgery operations.
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