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Abstract

Applying effective Lagrangian method and on-shell scheme, we analyze the electroweak corrections to the rare decay $b \rightarrow s + \gamma$ from some special two loop diagrams in which a closed heavy fermion loop is attached to the virtual charged gauge bosons or Higgs. At the decoupling limit where the virtual fermions in inner loop are much heavier than the electroweak scale, we verify the final results satisfying the decoupling theorem explicitly when the interactions among Higgs and heavy fermions do not contain the nondecoupling couplings. Adopting the universal assumptions on the relevant couplings and mass spectrum of new physics, we find that the relative corrections from those two loop diagrams to the SM theoretical prediction on the branching ratio of $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ can reach 5% as the energy scale of new physics $\Lambda_{NP} = 200$ GeV.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The rare $B$ decays serve as a good test for new physics beyond the standard model (SM) since they are not seriously affected by the uncertainties originating from long distance effects. The forthcoming and running $B$ factories will make more precise measurements on the rare $B$-decay processes, and those measurements should set more strict constraints on the new physics beyond SM. The main purpose of investigating $B$-decay, especially the rare decay modes, is to search for traces of new physics and determines its parameter space.

The measurements of the branching ratios at CLEO, ALEPH and BELLE \cite{1} give the combined result

$$BR(B \to X_s \gamma) = (3.52 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-4} ,$$

(1)

which agrees with the next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) standard model (SM) prediction \cite{2}

$$BR(B \to X_s \gamma) = (3.15 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-4} .$$

(2)

Good agreement between the experiment and the theoretical prediction of the SM implies that the new physics scale should lie well above the electroweak (EW) scale $\Lambda_{\text{EW}}$. The systematic analysis of new physics corrections to $B \to X_s \gamma$ up to two-loop order can help us understanding where the new physics scale sets in, and the distribution of new physical particle masses around this scale. In principle, the two-loop corrections can be large when some additional parameters are involved at this perturbation order besides the parameters appearing in one loop results. In other words, including the two-loop contributions one can obtain a more exact constraint on the new physics parameter space from the present experimental results.

Though the QCD corrections to the rare B decays are discussed extensively in literature, the pure two-loop EW corrections to the branching ratio of $b \to s \gamma$ are less advanced because of the well known difficulty in calculation. Strumia has evaluated the two-loop EW corrections to $b \to s \gamma$ from the top quark using heavy mass expansion in gaugeless limit of the SM \cite{3}. At the limit of large $\tan \beta$ in supersymmetry, Ref.\cite{4} analyzes the two loop corrections to the branching ratio of $B \to X_s \gamma$ from the virtual charged Higgs and gluino-squark sector.

Employing the effective Lagrangian method and on-shell scheme, we present the corrections to the branch ratio of $B \to X_s \gamma$ from some special diagrams in which a closed heavy
fermion loop is attached to the virtual charged gauge bosons or Higgs here. The effective
Lagrangian method can yield one loop EW corrections to the effective Lagrangian of $b \to s \gamma$
exactly in the SM and beyond, and has been adopted to calculate the two loop supersymmetric
corrections for the branching ratio of $b \to s \gamma$ [5], neutron EDM [6] and lepton MDMs
and EDMs [7, 8]. In concrete calculation, we assume that all external quarks and photon
are off-shell, then expand the amplitude of corresponding triangle diagrams according to
the external momenta of quarks and photon. Using loop momentum translating invariance,
we formulate the sum of amplitude from those triangle diagrams corresponding to same
self energy in the form which explicitly satisfies the Ward identity required by the QED
gauge symmetry, then get all dimension 6 operators together with their coefficients. After
the equations of motion are applied to external quarks, higher dimensional operators, such
as dimension 8 operators, also contribute to the branching ratio of $B \to X_s \gamma$ in principle.
However, the contributions of dimension 8 operators contain the additional suppression fac-
tor $m_b^2/\Lambda_{\text{EW}}^2$ comparing with that of dimension 6 operators, where $m_b$ is the mass of bottom
quark. Setting $\Lambda_{\text{EW}} \sim 100\text{GeV}$, one obtains easily that this suppression factor is about $10^{-3}$
for the $b \to s \gamma$. Under current experimental precision, it implies that the contributions of
all higher dimension operators ($D \geq 8$) can be neglected safely.

We adopt the naive dimensional regularization with the anticommuting $\gamma_5$ scheme, where
there is no distinction between the first 4 dimensions and the remaining $D-4$ dimensions.
Since the bare effective Lagrangian contains the ultraviolet divergence which is induced
by divergent subdiagrams, we give the renormalized results in the on-mass-shell scheme [9]. Additional, we adopt the nonlinear $R_\xi$ gauge with $\xi = 1$ for simplification [10]. This
special gauge-fixing term guarantees explicit electromagnetic gauge invariance throughout
the calculation, not just at the end because the choice of gauge-fixing term eliminates the
$\gamma W^\pm G^\mp$ vertex in the Lagrangian.

This paper is composed of the sections as follows. In section II we introduce the effective
Lagrangian method and our notations. We will demonstrate how to obtain the identities
among two loop integrals from the loop momentum translating invariance through an ex-
ample, then obtain the corrections from the relevant diagrams to the effective Lagrangian
of $b \to s \gamma$. Section III is devoted to the numerical discussion under universal assumptions
on the parameters of new physics. In section IV we give our conclusion. Some tedious
formulae are collected in the appendices.
II. THE WILSON COEFFICIENTS FROM THE TWO-LOOP DIAGRAMS

In this section, we derive the relevant Wilson coefficients for the partonic decay $b \to s\gamma$ including two-loop EW corrections. In a conventional form, the effective Hamilton is written as

$$H_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V^*_{ts} V_{tb} \sum_i C_i(\mu) O_i,$$

(3)

where $V$ is the CKM matrix and $G_F = 1.16639 \times 10^{-5}$ GeV$^{-2}$ is the 4-fermion coupling. The definitions of those dimension six operators are [11]

$$O_1 = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \bar{s}(\partial^\mu \sigma^\nu) \omega_{\mu} b,$$

$$O_2 = \frac{eQ_d}{(4\pi)^2} [iD_\mu s] \gamma^\mu F \cdot \sigma \omega_{\mu} b + \bar{s} F \cdot \sigma \gamma^\mu \omega_{\mu} (iD_\mu b),$$

$$O_3 = \frac{eQ_d}{(4\pi)^2} \bar{s}(\partial^\mu F_{\mu\nu}) \gamma^\nu \omega_{\mu} b,$$

$$O_4 = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \bar{s}(\partial^\mu \sigma^\nu) \omega_{\mu} b,$$

$$O_5 = \frac{eQ_d}{(4\pi)^2} \bar{s} \sigma^{\mu\nu} (m_b \omega_{+} + m_s \omega_{-}) b F_{\mu\nu} ,$$

$$O_6 = \frac{g_s}{(4\pi)^2} [iD_\mu s] \gamma^\mu G \cdot \sigma \omega_{\mu} b + \bar{s} G \cdot \sigma \gamma^\mu \omega_{\mu} (iD_\mu b) ,$$

$$O_7 = \frac{g_s}{(4\pi)^2} \bar{s}(\partial^\mu G_{\mu\nu}) \gamma^\nu \omega_{\mu} b ,$$

$$O_8 = \frac{g_s}{(4\pi)^2} \bar{s} T^a \sigma^{\mu\nu} (m_b \omega_{+} + m_s \omega_{-}) b G^a_{\mu\nu} ,$$

$$O_9 = -\frac{eQ_d}{(4\pi)^2} [iD_\mu s] \gamma^\mu F \cdot \sigma \omega_{\mu} b - \bar{s} F \cdot \sigma \gamma^\mu \omega_{\mu} (iD_\mu b) ,$$

$$O_{10} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \bar{s}(\partial^\mu \sigma^\nu) \omega_{\mu} b ,$$

$$O_{11} = \frac{eQ_d}{(4\pi)^2} \bar{s} \sigma^{\mu\nu} (m_b \omega_{+} - m_s \omega_{-}) b F_{\mu\nu} ,$$

$$O_{12} = -\frac{g_s}{(4\pi)^2} [iD_\mu s] \gamma^\mu G \cdot \sigma \omega_{\mu} b - \bar{s} G \cdot \sigma \gamma^\mu \omega_{\mu} (iD_\mu b) ,$$

$$O_{13} = \frac{g_s}{(4\pi)^2} \bar{s} T^a \sigma^{\mu\nu} (m_b \omega_{+} - m_s \omega_{-}) b G^a_{\mu\nu} ,$$

$$O_{14} = (\bar{s} \gamma^\mu \omega_{-} c_{\alpha}) \bar{c}_\beta \gamma^\mu \omega_{-} b_{\beta} ,$$

(4)

where $F_{\mu\nu}$ and $G_{\mu\nu} = G^a_{\mu\nu} T^a$ are the field strengths of the photon and gluon respectively, and $T^a (a = 1, \cdots, 8)$ are $SU(3)_c$ generators. In addition, $e$ and $g_s$ represent the EW and strong couplings respectively.
After expanding the amplitude of corresponding triangle diagrams, we extract the Wilson coefficients of operators in Eq.(4) which are formulated in the linear combinations of one and two loop vacuum integrals in momentum space, then obtain the corrections to the branching ratio of $B \to X_s \gamma$. Taking those diagrams in which a closed heavy fermion loop is inserted into the propagator of charged gauge boson as an example, we show in detail how to obtain the Wilson coefficients in effective Lagrangian.

A. The corrections from the diagrams where a closed heavy fermion loop is inserted into the self energy of $W^\pm$ gauge boson

In order to get the amplitude of the diagrams in Fig.1(a), one can write the renormalizable interaction among the charged EW gauge boson $W^\pm$ and the heavy fermions $F_{\alpha,\beta}$ in a more universal form as

$$\mathcal{L}_{WFF} = \frac{e}{s_w} W^{-\mu} F_{\alpha} \gamma_\mu (\zeta^{L}_{\alpha\beta} \omega_- + \zeta^{R}_{\alpha\beta} \omega_+) F_{\beta} + h.c.,$$

(5)

where the concrete expressions of $\zeta^{L,R}_{\alpha\beta}$ depend on the models employed in our calculation.

The conservation of electric charge requires $Q_{\beta} - Q_{\alpha} = 1$, where $Q_{\alpha,\beta}$ denote the electric charge of the heavy fermions $F_{\alpha,\beta}$ respectively.

Applying Eq.(5), we write firstly the amplitude of those two loop diagrams in Fig.1(a). For example, the amplitude for the diagram in which a real photon is attached to the virtual $W^\pm$ boson (Fig.2) can be formulated as

$$i A_{ww,\rho}^{(2)} (p, k) = -\overline{\psi}_s \int \frac{d^D q_1}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{d^D q_2}{(2\pi)^D} \left( -i \frac{e \Lambda^{\pm}_{RE}}{\sqrt{2 s_w}} V_{ts}^* \right) \gamma_\mu \omega_- \frac{iq_1 + m_t}{q_1^2 - m_t^2} \left( -i \frac{e \Lambda^{\pm}_{RE}}{\sqrt{2 s_w}} V_{tb} \right) \gamma_\nu \omega_- \psi_b.$$
FIG. 2: The triangle diagram in which the real photon is attached to $W^\pm$ gauge boson. The amplitude is written in Eq.(6).

\[
\times -\frac{i}{(q_1 - p - k)^2 - m_w^2} \left\{ ie \left[ -g_{\mu\sigma}(2p + k - 2q_1)_\rho + 2(g_{\rho\mu}k_\sigma - g_{\rho\sigma}k_\mu) \right] \right\} \\
\times -\frac{i}{(q_1 - p)^2 - m_w^2} \frac{-i}{(q_1 - p)^2 - m_w^2} \frac{-i}{(q_1 - p)^2 - m_w^2} \text{Tr} \left[ (\frac{e\Lambda_{\text{RE}}^e}{s_w}) \gamma^\sigma \left\{ \zeta_{\alpha\beta}^{L} \omega_- + \zeta_{\alpha\beta}^{R} \omega_+ \right\} \right] \\
\times \frac{i(\not{q}_2 - \not{q}_1 + m_{F_A})}{(q_2 - q_1)^2 - m_{F_A}^2} (\frac{e\Lambda_{\text{RE}}^e}{s_w}) \gamma^\nu \left\{ \zeta_{\alpha\beta}^{L} \omega_- + \zeta_{\alpha\beta}^{R} \omega_+ \right\} \frac{i(\not{q}_2 - \not{p} + m_{F_A})}{(q_2 - p)^2 - m_{F_A}^2}. \quad (6)
\]

Here $\Lambda_{\text{RE}}$ denotes the renormalization scale that can take any value in the range from the EW scale $\Lambda_{\text{EW}}$ to the new physics scale $\Lambda_{\text{NP}}$ naturally, and we adopt the abbreviations: $c_w = \cos \theta_w, \quad s_w = \sin \theta_w$ with $\theta_w$ denoting the Weinberg angle. Additionally, $p, \ k$ are the incoming momenta of quark and photon fields, $\rho$ is the Lorentz index of photon, separately. Certainly, the amplitude does not depend on how to mark the momenta of virtual fields because of the translating invariance of loop momenta.

It can be checked easily that the sum of amplitude for diagrams in Fig.1(a) satisfies the Ward identity required by the QED gauge invariance

\[
k^\rho A_{\text{ww},\rho}^{(1)(a)}(p, k) = e[\Sigma_{\text{ww},(a)}(p + k) - \Sigma_{\text{ww},(a)}(p)] , \quad (7)
\]

where $A_{\text{ww},\rho}^{(1)(a)}$ denotes the sum of amplitudes for the triangle diagrams corresponding to the self energy in Fig.1(a), as well as $\Sigma_{\text{ww},(a)}$ denotes the amplitude of corresponding self energy diagram, respectively.

According the external momenta of quarks and photon, we expand the amplitude in Eq.(6) as

\[
\times \frac{-i}{(q_1 - p - k)^2 - m_w^2} \left\{ ie \left[ -g_{\mu\sigma}(2p + k - 2q_1)_\rho + 2(g_{\rho\mu}k_\sigma - g_{\rho\sigma}k_\mu) \right] \right\} \\
\times \frac{-i}{(q_1 - p)^2 - m_w^2} \frac{-i}{(q_1 - p)^2 - m_w^2} \frac{-i}{(q_1 - p)^2 - m_w^2} \text{Tr} \left[ (\frac{e\Lambda_{\text{RE}}^e}{s_w}) \gamma^\sigma \left\{ \zeta_{\alpha\beta}^{L} \omega_- + \zeta_{\alpha\beta}^{R} \omega_+ \right\} \right] \\
\times \frac{i(\not{q}_2 - \not{q}_1 + m_{F_A})}{(q_2 - q_1)^2 - m_{F_A}^2} (\frac{e\Lambda_{\text{RE}}^e}{s_w}) \gamma^\nu \left\{ \zeta_{\alpha\beta}^{L} \omega_- + \zeta_{\alpha\beta}^{R} \omega_+ \right\} \frac{i(\not{q}_2 - \not{p} + m_{F_A})}{(q_2 - p)^2 - m_{F_A}^2}. \quad (6)
\]

\[
-k^\rho A_{\text{ww},\rho}^{(1)(a)}(p, k) = e[\Sigma_{\text{ww},(a)}(p + k) - \Sigma_{\text{ww},(a)}(p)] , \quad (7)
\]

where $A_{\text{ww},\rho}^{(1)(a)}$ denotes the sum of amplitudes for the triangle diagrams corresponding to the self energy in Fig.1(a), as well as $\Sigma_{\text{ww},(a)}$ denotes the amplitude of corresponding self energy diagram, respectively.
and those similar formulae presented in Eq.(5) of Ref\[5\], where the tensors are defined as

\[ T_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = g_{\mu\nu}g_{\rho\sigma} + g_{\mu\rho}g_{\nu\sigma} + g_{\mu\sigma}g_{\nu\rho} , \]

\[ S_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\alpha\beta} = g_{\mu\nu}T_{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta} + g_{\mu\rho}T_{\nu\sigma\alpha\beta} + g_{\mu\sigma}T_{\nu\rho\alpha\beta} + g_{\mu\rho\sigma}T_{\nu\alpha\beta} + g_{\mu\rho\sigma\alpha}T_{\nu\beta} + g_{\mu\rho\sigma\alpha\beta}T_{\nu} . \]
Summing over those indices which appear both as superscripts and subscripts simultaneously, we derive all possible dimension 6 operators in the momentum space together with their coefficients which are expressed in the linear combinations of one and two loop vacuum integrals. In a similar way, one obtains the amplitude of other diagrams. Before integrating with the loop momenta, we apply the loop momentum translating invariance to formulate the sum of those amplitude in explicitly QED gauge invariant form, then extract the Wilson coefficients of those dimension 6 operators listed in Eq.\((14)\). Actually, we can easily verify the equation

\[
\int \int \frac{d^D q_1 \, d^D q_2}{(2\pi)^D (2\pi)^D (q_1^2 - m_1^2)(q_2^2 - m_2^2)((q_2 - q_1)^2 - m_0^2)} = 0 .
\]

Performing an infinitesimal translation \(q_1 \to q_1, \ q_2 \to q_2 - a\) with \(a_\rho \to 0\) (\(\rho = 0, 1, \cdots, D\)), one can write the left-handed side of above equation as

\[
\int \int \frac{d^D q_1 \, d^D q_2}{(2\pi)^D (2\pi)^D (q_1^2 - m_1^2)(q_2^2 - m_2^2)((q_2 - q_1)^2 - m_0^2)} q_{1\mu} = \int \int \frac{d^D q_1 \, d^D q_2}{(2\pi)^D (2\pi)^D (q_1^2 - m_1^2)(q_2^2 - m_2^2)((q_2 - q_1)^2 - m_0^2)} q_{1\mu} \times \left\{ 1 + \frac{2q_2 \cdot a}{q_2^2 - m_2^2} + \frac{2(q_2 - q_1) \cdot a}{(q_2 - q_1)^2 - m_0^2} + \cdots \right\} . \tag{12}
\]

This result implies

\[
\int \int \frac{d^D q_1 \, d^D q_2}{(2\pi)^D (2\pi)^D (q_1^2 - m_1^2)(q_2^2 - m_2^2)((q_2 - q_1)^2 - m_0^2)} q_1 \cdot q_2 = \int \int \frac{d^D q_1 \, d^D q_2}{(2\pi)^D (2\pi)^D (q_1^2 - m_1^2)(q_2^2 - m_2^2)((q_2 - q_1)^2 - m_0^2)} q_1^2 - q_1 \cdot q_2 . \tag{13}
\]

In a similar way, other identities presented in Ref.\([5]\) can be derived. Using the expression of two loop vacuum integral\([12]\)

\[
\Lambda_{\text{RE}}^4 \int \int \frac{d^D q_1 \, d^D q_2}{(2\pi)^D (2\pi)^D (q_1^2 - m_1^2)(q_2^2 - m_2^2)((q_2 - q_1)^2 - m_0^2)} \frac{1}{(1 - \epsilon)^2} \left( \frac{x_0}{x_0 + x_1 + x_2} \right) \left( \frac{x_1}{x_0 + x_1 + x_2} \right) \left( \frac{x_2}{x_0 + x_1 + x_2} \right) = \frac{\Lambda^2}{2(4\pi)^4} \Gamma^2 (1 + \epsilon) \left( 4\pi x_R \right)^{2\epsilon} \left\{ -\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} (x_0 + x_1 + x_2) \right. \\
\left. + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( 2(x_0 \ln x_0 + x_1 \ln x_1 + x_2 \ln x_2) - x_0 - x_1 - x_2 \right) \right. \\
\left. + 2(x_0 + x_1 + x_2) - 2(x_0 \ln x_0 + x_1 \ln x_1 + x_2 \ln x_2) \right. \\
\left. - x_0 - x_1 - x_2 \ln x_0 + x_1 \ln x_1 + x_2 \ln x_2 \right\} . \tag{14}
\]

and

\[
\Phi(x, y, z) = (x + y - z) \ln x \ln y + (x - y + z) \ln x \ln z
\]
\[ \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}(x, y, z) = \ln x \ln y + \ln x \ln z - \ln y \ln z + 2 \ln x + \frac{x - y - z}{\sqrt{\lambda^2}} \Psi(x, y, z), \]  

(15)

one obtains easily

\[
\frac{\Lambda_{\text{RE}}^4}{\Lambda^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} \left\{ \int \int \frac{d^D q_1}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{d^D q_2}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{q_1^2}{(q_1^2 - m_1^2)(q_2^2 - m_2^2)((q_2 - q_1)^2 - m_0^2)} \right\}
\]

\[
= \frac{\Lambda_{\text{RE}}^4}{\Lambda^2} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right\} \left\{ \int \int \frac{d^D q_1}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{d^D q_2}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(q_1^2 - m_1^2)(q_2^2 - m_2^2)((q_2 - q_1)^2 - m_0^2)} \right\}
\]

\[
= \frac{\Lambda^2}{2(4\pi)^4(1 - \epsilon)^2} \frac{\Gamma^2(1 + \epsilon)}{4\pi x_R} \left\{ -\frac{x_1 + 2x_2}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( x_1(1 + 2 \ln x_0) + 2x_2(1 + \ln x_0 + \ln x_2) \right) \right\}
\]

\[
- (x_1 + x_2) \ln^2 x_0 - (x_1 + 2x_2) \ln x_0 \ln x_2 - x_2 \ln^2 x_2 - x_1 \ln x_0 \ln x_1 + x_1 \ln x_1 \ln x_2
\]

\[
- 2(x_1 + x_2) \ln x_0 - 2x_2 \ln x_2 - \frac{x_1(x_0 - x_1 - x_2)}{\sqrt{\lambda^2}} \Psi(x_0, x_1, x_2)
\]

(16)

which is equivalent to the identity Eq.(13). Here, \( \varepsilon = 2 - D/2 \) with \( D \) denoting the dimension of space-time, \( \Lambda \) is an energy scale to define \( x_i = m_i^2/\Lambda^2 \) and \( \Lambda = \Lambda_{\text{RE}}/\Lambda^2 \). Additionally, \( \lambda^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 2xy - 2xz - 2yz \), and the concrete expression of \( \Psi(x, y, z) \) can be found in the appendix. Actually, the equation Eq.(16) provides a crosscheck of Eq.(14) and Eq.(15) rather than a verification of Eq.(13). After applying those identities derived from loop momentum translating invariance, we formulate the sum of amplitude from those triangle diagrams corresponding to the self energy Fig.(a) satisfying QED gauge invariance and CPT symmetry explicitly, and extract the Wilson coefficients of those operators in Eq.(4).

Integrating over loop momenta, one gets the following terms in the effective Lagrangian:

\[ \mathcal{L}^{\text{eff}}_W = \frac{\sqrt{2}G_F \alpha_s}{\pi s_w^2 Q_d} V_t^* V_t (4\pi x_R)^{2\varepsilon} \frac{\Gamma^2(1 + \varepsilon)}{(1 - \varepsilon)^2} \left\{ \left( \zeta^{L\ast L} \zeta^{R\ast R} + \zeta^{R\ast L} \zeta^{L\ast R} \right) \right\}
\]

\[
\times \left[ -\psi_1 + \frac{x_{\alpha}}{24} (x_{F\alpha} + x_{F\beta}) \psi_2 \right] (x_w, x_t) - \frac{1}{24} \hat{\theta}_{2,1}(x_{F\alpha}, x_{F\beta}) \psi_2(x_w, x_t)
\]

\[
- \frac{x_{F\alpha} + x_{F\beta}}{144} \psi_3(x_w, x_t) + \phi_1(x_{F\alpha}, x_{F\beta}) \psi_1(x_w, x_t) + \psi_4(x_w, x_t)
\]

\[
+ F_1(x_w, x_t, x_{F\alpha}, x_{F\beta}) + Q_u \left\{ \frac{1}{24} \left\{ \psi_5 + (x_{F\alpha} + x_{F\beta}) \psi_6 \right\} (x_w, x_t) - \frac{1}{24} \hat{\theta}_{2,1}(x_{F\alpha}, x_{F\beta}) \psi_6(x_w, x_t) \right\}
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{8} \phi_2(x_{F\alpha}, x_{F\beta}) \psi_5(x_w, x_t) + \psi_8(x_w, x_t) + F_2(x_w, x_t, x_{F\alpha}, x_{F\beta}) \right\} \mathcal{O}_2
\]

\[
+ \left( \zeta^{L\ast L} \zeta^{R\ast R} - \zeta^{R\ast L} \zeta^{L\ast R} \right) F_3(x_w, x_t, x_{F\alpha}, x_{F\beta}) \mathcal{O}_2
\]
+ \left( \zeta_{\alpha \beta}^L \zeta_{\alpha \beta}^R + \zeta_{\alpha \beta}^R \zeta_{\alpha \beta}^L \right) (x_{Fa}, x_{Fb})^{1/2} \left[ -\frac{1}{12\varepsilon} \psi_2(x_w, x_t) + \frac{1}{12} \theta_{1,1}(x_{Fa}, x_{Fb}) \psi_2(x_w, x_t) \right. \\
+ \left. \phi_3(x_{Fa}, x_{Fb}) \psi_1(x_w, x_t) + \psi_9(x_w, x_t) + F_\xi(x_w, x_t, x_{Fa}, x_{Fb}) \right] \\
+ Q_u \left[ -\frac{1}{12\varepsilon} \psi_6(x_w, x_t) + \frac{1}{12} \theta_{1,1}(x_{Fa}, x_{Fb}) \psi_6(x_w, x_t) + \phi_4(x_{Fa}, x_{Fb}) \psi_5(x_w, x_t) \right. \\
+ \left. \psi_{10}(x_w, x_t) + F_5(x_w, x_t, x_{Fa}, x_{Fb}) \right] \mathcal{O}_2 \\
+ \left( \zeta_{\alpha \beta}^L \zeta_{\alpha \beta}^R - \zeta_{\alpha \beta}^R \zeta_{\alpha \beta}^L \right) (x_{Fa}, x_{Fb})^{1/2} F_6(x_w, x_t, x_{Fa}, x_{Fb}) \mathcal{O}_9 \\
+ \left( \zeta_{\alpha \beta}^L \zeta_{\alpha \beta}^L + \zeta_{\alpha \beta}^R \zeta_{\alpha \beta}^R \right) \left\{ \psi_5 + \phi_2(x_{Fa}, x_{Fb}) \psi_5(x_w, x_t) \right\} \\
- \frac{1}{24} \theta_{2,1}(x_{Fa}, x_{Fb}) \psi_6(x_w, x_t) + \frac{x_{Fa} + x_{Fb}}{144} \psi_7(x_w, x_t) + \frac{1}{8} \phi_2(x_{Fa}, x_{Fb}) \psi_5(x_w, x_t) \\
+ \psi_5(x_w, x_t) + F_2(x_w, x_t, x_{Fa}, x_{Fb}) + T^\alpha_\xi F_7(x_w, x_t, x_{Fa}, x_{Fb}) \left\{ \mathcal{O}_6 \right\} \\
+ \left( \zeta_{\alpha \beta}^L \zeta_{\alpha \beta}^R - \zeta_{\alpha \beta}^R \zeta_{\alpha \beta}^L \right) (x_{Fa}, x_{Fb})^{1/2} \left[ -\frac{1}{12\varepsilon} \psi_6(x_w, x_t) + \frac{1}{12} \theta_{1,1}(x_{Fa}, x_{Fb}) \psi_6(x_w, x_t) \right. \\
+ \left. \phi_4(x_{Fa}, x_{Fb}) \psi_5(x_w, x_t) + \psi_{10}(x_w, x_t) + F_5(x_w, x_t, x_{Fa}, x_{Fb}) \right] \\
+ T^\alpha_\xi F_8(x_w, x_t, x_{Fa}, x_{Fb}) \mathcal{O}_6 \\
+ \left( \zeta_{\alpha \beta}^L \zeta_{\alpha \beta}^L - \zeta_{\alpha \beta}^R \zeta_{\alpha \beta}^R \right) F_9(x_w, x_t, x_{Fa}, x_{Fb}) \mathcal{O}_6 \\
+ \left( \zeta_{\alpha \beta}^R \zeta_{\alpha \beta}^R - \zeta_{\alpha \beta}^L \zeta_{\alpha \beta}^L \right) (x_{Fa}, x_{Fb})^{1/2} T^\alpha_\xi F_{10}(x_w, x_t, x_{Fa}, x_{Fb}) \mathcal{O}_6 + \cdots, \quad (17)

where $\alpha_s = e^2/4\pi$ and $Q_d = -1/3$, $Q_u = 2/3$ represent the charge of down- and up-type quarks, respectively. $T^\alpha_\xi = 1$ when the heavy virtual fermions take part in the strong interaction, otherwise $T^\alpha_\xi = 0$. The functions $\psi_i$, $\phi_i$ are defined as

\begin{align*}
\psi_1(x, y) &= \frac{\partial^4 \theta_{1,1}(x, y) - 3 \frac{\partial^2 \theta_{2,1}(x, y)}{\partial x^2}}{\partial x^4} , \\
\psi_2(x, y) &= \frac{\partial^4 \theta_{2,1}(x, y)}{\partial x^4} + 3 \frac{\partial^2 \theta_{2,1}(x, y)}{\partial x^3} , \\
\psi_3(x, y) &= \left\{ 4 \frac{\partial^4 \theta_{2,1}(x, y) - 18 \frac{\partial^3 \theta_{2,1}(x, y)}{\partial x^3} + 3 \frac{\partial^2 \theta_{2,2}(x, y)}{\partial x^4} + 9 \frac{\partial^3 \theta_{2,2}(x, y)}{\partial x^4} }{48 \frac{\partial^4 \theta_{2,1}(x, y)}{\partial x^3}} \right\}(x, y) , \\
\psi_4(x, y) &= \left\{ \frac{1}{48} \frac{\partial^4 \theta_{2,1}(x, y) - 23 \frac{\partial^3 \theta_{2,1}(x, y)}{\partial x^3} + 1 \frac{\partial^2 \theta_{2,1}(x, y)}{\partial x^4} + 1 \frac{\partial^4 \theta_{2,2}(x, y)}{\partial x^3} - \frac{\partial^3 \theta_{2,2}(x, y)}{\partial x^4}}{144 \frac{\partial^4 \theta_{2,1}(x, y)}{\partial x^3}} \right\}(x, y) , \\
\psi_5(x, y) &= \frac{\partial^4 \theta_{2,1}(x, y)}{\partial x^4} - \frac{\partial^3 \theta_{2,1}(x, y)}{\partial x^3} - 6 \frac{\partial^2 \theta_{2,1}(x, y)}{\partial x^2} + 6 \frac{\partial^2 \theta_{2,2}(x, y)}{\partial x^2} , \\
\psi_6(x, y) &= \frac{6 \partial^2 \theta_{1,1}(x, y) - \partial^2 \theta_{2,1}(x, y)}{\partial x^2} , \\
\psi_7(x, y) &= \left\{ 4 \frac{\partial^2 \theta_{1,1}(x, y)}{\partial x^2} - 36 \frac{\partial^2 \theta_{2,1}(x, y)}{\partial x^2} + 18 \frac{\partial^2 \theta_{2,1}(x, y)}{\partial x^3} + 3 \frac{\partial^4 \theta_{2,1}(x, y)}{\partial x^4} - 18 \frac{\partial^2 \theta_{1,1}(x, y)}{\partial x^2} \right\}(x, y) ,
\end{align*}
\[ \psi_s(x, y) = \left\{ -\frac{1}{48} \frac{\partial^4 \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x^4} + \frac{19}{72} \frac{\partial^3 \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x^3} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{\partial^2 \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{48} \frac{\partial^4 \varrho_{s,2}}{\partial x^4} + \frac{1}{8} \frac{\partial^3 \varrho_{s,2}}{\partial x^3} - \frac{1}{8} \frac{\partial^2 \varrho_{s,2}}{\partial x^2} \right\}(x, y), \]
\[ \psi_9(x, y) = \left\{ \frac{1}{72} \frac{\partial^4 \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x^4} - \frac{3}{8} \frac{\partial^3 \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x^3} + \frac{1}{24} \frac{\partial^2 \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{8} \frac{\partial \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x} \right\}(x, y), \]
\[ \psi_{10}(x, y) = \left\{ -\frac{1}{72} \frac{\partial^4 \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x^4} + \frac{1}{24} \frac{\partial^3 \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x^3} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{24} \frac{\partial \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial^2 \varrho_{s,2}}{\partial x^2} \right\}(x, y), \]
\[ \phi_1(x, y) = \left\{ \frac{1}{8} \frac{\partial^2 \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{24} \frac{\partial^3 \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x^3} - \frac{3}{32} \frac{\partial^2 \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{x_w}{16} \frac{\partial^3 \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x^3} - \frac{x_w}{128} \frac{\partial \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x} \right\}(x, y), \]
\[ \phi_2(x, y) = \left\{ -\frac{1}{8} \frac{\partial^2 \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{3}{4} \frac{\partial^3 \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x^3} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial^2 \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{x_w}{16} \frac{\partial^3 \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x^3} + \frac{x_w}{96} \frac{\partial \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x} \right\}(x, y), \]
\[ \phi_3(x, y) = \left\{ \frac{1}{16} \frac{\partial^2 \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x^2} - \frac{3}{8} \frac{\partial^3 \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x^3} + \frac{x_w}{8} \frac{\partial^2 \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x^2} - \frac{x_w}{16} \frac{\partial^3 \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x^3} + \frac{x_w}{16} \frac{\partial \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x} \right\}(x, y), \]
\[ \phi_4(x, y) = \left\{ -\frac{1}{16} \frac{\partial^2 \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{x_w}{8} \frac{\partial^3 \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x^3} - \frac{x_w}{16} \frac{\partial^2 \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{x_w}{16} \frac{\partial^3 \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x^3} - \frac{x_w}{96} \frac{\partial \varrho_{s,1}}{\partial x} \right\}(x, y). \] (18)

Note that the result in Eq. (17) does not depend on the concrete choice of energy scale \( \Lambda \), and the concrete expressions of \( F_i(x, y, z, u) \), \( \varrho_{i,j} \) \( (i, j = 1, 2, \ldots) \) can be found in appendix.

The charged gauge boson self energy composed of a closed heavy fermion loop induces the ultraviolet divergence in the Wilson coefficients of effective Lagrangian, the unrenormalized \( W^\pm \) self energy is generally expressed as

\[
\Sigma_{\mu\nu}^W(p, \Lambda_{\text{RE}}) = \Lambda^2 A^w_0 g_{\mu\nu} + \left( A^w_1 + \frac{p^2}{\Lambda^2} A^w_2 + \cdots \right) \left( p^2 g_{\mu\nu} - p_\mu p_\nu \right) + \left( B^w_1 + \frac{p^2}{\Lambda^2} B^w_2 + \cdots \right) p_\mu p_\nu ,
\] (19)

where the form factors \( A^w_{0,1,2} \) and \( B^w_{1,2} \) only depend on the virtual field masses and renormalization scale. Here, we omit those terms which are strongly suppressed at the limit of heavy virtual fermion masses. The corresponding counter terms are given as

\[
\Sigma_{\mu\nu}^{\text{WC}}(p, \Lambda_{\text{RE}}) = -\left[ \delta m^2_w (\Lambda_{\text{RE}}) + m^2_w \delta Z_w (\Lambda_{\text{RE}}) \right] g_{\mu\nu} - \delta Z_w (\Lambda_{\text{RE}}) \left[ p^2 g_{\mu\nu} - p_\mu p_\nu \right] .
\] (20)

The renormalized self energy is given by

\[
\Sigma_{\mu\nu}^W(p, \Lambda_{\text{RE}}) = \Sigma_{\mu\nu}^W(p, \Lambda_{\text{RE}}) + \Sigma_{\mu\nu}^{\text{WC}}(p, \Lambda_{\text{RE}}) .
\] (21)

For on-shell external gauge boson \( W^\pm \), we have

\[
\lim_{p^2 \to m^2_w} \frac{1}{p^2 - m^2_w} \frac{\Sigma_{\mu\nu}^W(p, m_w) \epsilon^\nu(p)}{p^\mu} = 0 ,
\]
\[
\lim_{p^2 \to m^2_w} \frac{1}{p^2 - m^2_w} \frac{\sum_{\mu\nu}^W(p, m_w) \epsilon^\nu(p)}{p^\mu} = \epsilon_\mu(p) .
\] (22)
where $\epsilon(p)$ is the polarization vector of $W^\pm$ gauge boson. Inserting Eq. (19) and Eq. (20) into Eq. (22), we derive the counter terms for the $W^\pm$ self energy in on-shell scheme as

$$
\delta Z_w^{os} = A_1^w + \frac{m_w^2}{\Lambda^2} A_2^w = A_1^w + x_s A_2^w ,
$$

$$
\delta m_{w}^{2, os} = A_0^w \Lambda^2 - m_w^2 \delta Z_w^{os} . \tag{23}
$$

To cancel the ultraviolet divergence and those dangerous terms violating the decoupling theorem completely, we should derive the counter term for the vertex $\gamma W^+ W^-$ here since the corresponding coupling is not zero at tree level. In the nonlinear $R_\xi$ gauge with $\xi = 1$, the counter term for the vertex $\gamma W^+ W^-$ is

$$
i \delta C_{\gamma W^{+}W^{-}} = i e \cdot \delta Z_w (\Lambda_{RE}) \left[ g_{\mu \nu} (k_1 - k_2)_\rho + g_{\nu \rho} (k_2 - k_3)_\mu + g_{\rho \mu} (k_3 - k_1)_\nu \right] , \tag{24}
$$

where $k_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$ denote the incoming momenta of $W^\pm$ and photon, and $\mu, \nu, \rho$ denote the corresponding Lorentz indices respectively.

We can verify that the sum of amplitude from counter diagrams satisfies the Ward identity required by the QED gauge invariance obviously. Accordingly, the effective Lagrangian from the counter term diagrams is written as

$$
\delta \mathcal{L}_w^C = - \frac{\sqrt{2} C_F \alpha_e x_w}{\pi^2 \omega Q_d} V^*_w V_{wh} (4 \pi x_R)^2 \Gamma^2 (1 + \varepsilon) \frac{(1 - \varepsilon)^2}{(1 - \varepsilon)^2} \left\{ \left( \zeta_{\alpha \beta} \zeta_{\alpha \beta} + \zeta_{\alpha \beta} \zeta_{\alpha \beta} \right) \right. 
\times \left[ \frac{1}{24 \varepsilon} \left\{ - \psi_1 + (x_{F_\alpha} + x_{F_\beta}) \psi_2 \right\} (x_w, x_i) - \frac{1}{24} \theta_{2,1} (x_{F_\alpha}, x_{F_\beta}) \psi_2 (x_w, x_i) 
- \frac{x_{F_\alpha} + x_{F_\beta}}{144} \psi_3 (x_w, x_i) + \phi_1 (x_{F_\alpha}, x_{F_\beta}) \psi_1 (x_w, x_i) + \psi_4 (x_w, x_i) 
+ Q_u \left( \frac{1}{24 \varepsilon} \left\{ \psi_5 + (x_{F_\alpha} + x_{F_\beta}) \psi_6 \right\} (x_w, x_i) - \frac{1}{24} \theta_{2,1} (x_{F_\alpha}, x_{F_\beta}) \psi_6 (x_w, x_i) 
+ \frac{x_{F_\alpha} + x_{F_\beta}}{144} \psi_7 (x_w, x_i) + \frac{1}{8} \phi_2 (x_{F_\alpha}, x_{F_\beta}) \psi_5 (x_w, x_i) + \psi_8 (x_w, x_i) \right] \mathcal{O}_2 
\left. + \left( \zeta_{\alpha \beta} \zeta_{\alpha \beta} + \zeta_{\alpha \beta} \zeta_{\alpha \beta} \right) (x_{F_\alpha} x_{F_\beta})^{1/2} \left[ - \frac{1}{12 \varepsilon} \psi_2 (x_w, x_i) 
+ \frac{1}{12} \theta_{1,1} (x_{F_\alpha}, x_{F_\beta}) \psi_2 (x_w, x_i) + \phi_3 (x_{F_\alpha}, x_{F_\beta}) \psi_1 (x_w, x_i) + \psi_9 (x_w, x_i) 
+ Q_u \left( - \frac{1}{12 \varepsilon} \psi_6 (x_w, x_i) + \frac{1}{12} \theta_{1,1} (x_{F_\alpha}, x_{F_\beta}) \psi_6 (x_w, x_i) \right. 
\right. \left. + \phi_4 (x_{F_\alpha}, x_{F_\beta}) \psi_5 (x_w, x_i) + \psi_10 (x_w, x_i) \right] \mathcal{O}_2 
\right. 
\right. 
\left. + \left( \zeta_{\alpha \beta} \zeta_{\alpha \beta} + \zeta_{\alpha \beta} \zeta_{\alpha \beta} \right) \left[ \frac{1}{24 \varepsilon} \left\{ \psi_5 + (x_{F_\alpha} + x_{F_\beta}) \psi_6 \right\} (x_w, x_i) + \frac{x_{F_\alpha} + x_{F_\beta}}{144} \psi_7 (x_w, x_i) 
\right. 
\right. \right\} .
$$
\[ -\frac{1}{24}\theta_{21}(x_F, x_{F\beta})\psi_6(x_w, x_t) + \frac{1}{8}\phi_2(x_F, x_{F\beta})\psi_5(x_w, x_t) + \psi_8(x_w, x_t) \mathcal{O}_6 \]
\[
+ \left( \zeta_{\alpha\beta} + \zeta_{\alpha\beta} x, x_{F\beta} \right)^{1/2} \left[ -\frac{1}{12}\psi_6(x_w, x_t) + \frac{1}{12}\theta_{11}(x_F, x_{F\beta})\psi_6(x_w, x_t) \mathcal{O}_6 \right] + \cdots. \tag{25}
\]

Adding the counter terms to bare Lagrangian Eq. (17), we cancel the ultraviolet divergence there. Under our approximation, the effective Lagrangian is written as
\[
\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\text{eff} = \sqrt{2G_F}\alpha_w V_{ts} V_{ts} \left\{ \left( \zeta_{\alpha\beta} + \zeta_{\alpha\beta} \right) \left[ F_1 + Q_u F_2 \right](x_w, x_t, x_{F\alpha}, x_{F\beta}) \mathcal{O}_2 \right. \\
+ \left( \zeta_{\alpha\beta} \right) \left[ F_3(x_w, x_t, x_{F\alpha}, x_{F\beta}) \mathcal{O}_2 \right. \\
+ \left( \zeta_{\alpha\beta} x, x_{F\beta} \right)^{1/2} \left[ -\frac{1}{12}\psi_6(x_w, x_t) + \frac{1}{12}\theta_{11}(x_F, x_{F\beta})\psi_6(x_w, x_t) \mathcal{O}_6 \right] + \cdots. \tag{26}
\]

which only depends on the masses of virtual fields. It should be clarified that the corrections to the coefficients of \(\mathcal{O}_{9,12}\) do not depend on the concrete renormalization scheme adopted here since the relevant terms from bare Lagrangian do not contain the ultraviolet divergence.

In the limit \(z \ll x, y\), the function \(\Phi(x, y, z)\) can be approximated in powers of \(z\) as
\[
\Phi(x, y, z) = \varphi_0(x, y) + z\varphi_1(x, y) + \frac{z^2}{2!}\varphi_2(x, y) + \frac{z^3}{3!}\varphi_3(x, y)
\]
\[
+ 2z\left( \ln z - 1 \right)\pi_1(x, y) + 2z^2\left( \ln z - \frac{3}{4} \right)\pi_2(x, y)
\]
\[
+ 2z^3\left( \ln z - \frac{11}{36} \right)\pi_3(x, y) + \cdots \tag{27}
\]

with
\[
\pi_1(x, y) = 1 + \varrho_{11}(x, y),
\]
\[
\pi_2(x, y) = -\frac{x + y}{(x - y)^2} - \frac{2xy}{(x - y)^3} \ln \frac{y}{x},
\]
\[
\pi_3(x, y) = -\frac{1}{(x - y)^2} - \frac{12xy}{(x - y)^4} - \frac{6xy(x + y)}{(x - y)^5} \ln \frac{y}{x}. \tag{28}
\]
and the concrete expressions of function $\varphi_i(x, y)$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3$) can be found in appendix. Using the asymptotic expressions in Eq. (27), we derive the leading contributions contained in Eq. (26) under the assumption $m_F = m_{F_a} = m_{F_\beta} \gg m_w$:

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{w}^{\text{eff}} \approx \frac{\sqrt{2} G_F \alpha_s x_w V_{ts} V_{tb}}{\pi^2 Q_d} \left\{ \left( \zeta^{L_s} \zeta^{L} + \zeta^{R_s} \zeta^{R}\right) \right\} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
- \frac{1}{8} \frac{3 Q_\beta}{Q_\alpha} \frac{\partial^2 \theta_{2,1}}{\partial x_w^2} - \frac{2}{8} \frac{3 Q_\beta}{Q_\alpha} \frac{\partial \theta_{1,1}}{\partial x_w} - \frac{1}{144} \frac{\partial^3 \theta_{4,1}}{\partial x_w^3} - \frac{1}{48} \frac{\partial^3 \theta_{2,1}}{\partial x_w^3}
\end{array} \right\} (x_w, x_t)
\right. $$

$$\left. + Q_u \left\{ \frac{1}{144} \frac{\partial^3 \theta_{2,1}}{\partial x_w^3} - \frac{1}{12} \frac{\partial^3 \theta_{2,1}}{\partial x_w^3} \right\} (x_w, x_t) \right\} \mathcal{O}_2
$$

$$- \frac{1}{8} \frac{Q_\beta}{Q_\alpha} \left( \zeta^{L_s} \zeta^{L} - \zeta^{R_s} \zeta^{R}\right) \frac{\partial \theta_{2,1}}{\partial x_w} (x_w, x_t) \mathcal{O}_2
$$

$$+ Q_u \left\{ \frac{1}{144} \frac{\partial \theta_{2,1}}{\partial x_w} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{\partial \theta_{2,1}}{\partial x_w} \right\} (x_w, x_t) \right\} \mathcal{O}_2
$$

$$+ T_{\alpha} \left\{ \frac{3}{8} \frac{\partial^2 \theta_{2,1}}{\partial x^2} (x, y) + \frac{5 \partial \theta_{2,1}}{4 \partial y} \right\} (x_w, x_t) \right\} \mathcal{O}_6
$$

$$+ \left\{ \zeta^{L_s} \zeta^{L} - \zeta^{R_s} \zeta^{R}\right\} \left[ \begin{array}{l}
- \frac{1}{144} \frac{\partial \theta_{2,1}}{\partial x_w} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{\partial \theta_{2,1}}{\partial x_w}
\end{array} \right\} (x_w, x_t) \right\} \mathcal{O}_6
$$

$$\left. + \left\{ \zeta^{L_s} \zeta^{L} - \zeta^{R_s} \zeta^{R}\right\} T_{\alpha} \left\{ \frac{1}{16} \frac{\partial \theta_{2,1}}{\partial x_w} + \frac{7}{24} \frac{\partial \theta_{2,1}}{\partial x_w} \right\} (x_w, x_t) \right\} \mathcal{O}_{12}
$$

$$+ \cdots , \quad (29)$$

where ellipses represent those relatively unimportant corrections. Comparing the result in Eq. (26), the contributions from the corresponding diagrams contain the additional suppressed factor $m_b^2/\Lambda_{EW}^2$, when both of virtual charged gauge bosons in Fig. 1(a) are replaced with the charged Goldstone $G^\pm$. However, we should consider the corrections from those two loop diagrams in which one of virtual charged gauge bosons is replaced with the charged Goldstone $G^\pm$ since it represents the longitudinal component of charged gauge boson in nonlinear $R_\xi$ gauge. As the closed fermion loop is attached to virtual $W^\pm$ gauge boson and charged Higgs simultaneously, the corresponding triangle diagrams belong to the famous Barr-Zee type diagrams [13]. It is shown [14] that this type diagrams contribute to
B. The corrections from the diagrams where a closed heavy fermion loop is attached to the virtual $W^\pm$, $G^\pm$ ($H^\pm$) bosons

Similarly, the renormalizable interaction among the EW charged Goldstone/Higgs $G^\pm$ ($H^\pm$) and the heavy fermions $F_{\alpha,\beta}$ can be expressed in a more universal form as

$$\mathcal{L}_{S^\pm FF} = \frac{e}{s_w} \left[ G^- \overline{F}_\alpha (g^{c,L}_{\alpha\beta} \omega_- + g^{c,R}_{\alpha\beta} \omega_+) F_\beta + H^- \overline{F}_\alpha (h^{c,L}_{\alpha\beta} \omega_- + h^{c,R}_{\alpha\beta} \omega_+) F_\beta \right] + h.c.,$$

(30)

where the concrete expressions of $g^{c,L,R}_{\alpha\beta}$, $h^{c,L,R}_{\alpha\beta}$ depend on the models employed in our calculation, the conservation of electric charge requires $Q_\beta - Q_\alpha = 1$. Generally, the couplings among the charged Goldstone/Higgs and quarks are written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{S^\pm d\bar{u}} = \frac{e V^u}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ G^- \overline{d} \left[ \frac{m_u}{m_w} \omega_- + \frac{m_d}{m_w} \omega_+ \right] u + H^- \overline{d} \left[ \frac{m_u}{m_w} \omega_- - B_c \frac{m_d}{m_w} \omega_+ \right] u \right\} + h.c.,$$

(31)

where the parameter $B_c$ also depends on the concrete models adopted in our analysis. In full theory, the couplings in Eq.(30) induce the corrections to the effective Lagrangian for $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ through the diagrams presented in Fig.1(b, c).

Since there is no mixing between the charged gauge boson and charged Higgs/Goldstone at tree level, the corresponding corrections from the diagrams presented in Fig.1(b, c) to the bare effective Lagrangian do not include the ultraviolet divergence, and can be formulated as

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{WH}^{eff} = \frac{\sqrt{2} G_F \alpha \beta}{\pi s_w Q_d} \frac{V^u}{V^d} V^t \left\{ (x_{F_\beta} x_w)^{1/2} P_1(x_w, x_{H^\pm}, x_t, x_{F_\alpha}, x_{F_\beta}) \right.$$ 

$$\times \left[ \Re \left( h^{c,L}_{\beta \alpha} \zeta^L_{\alpha \beta} + h^{c,R}_{\beta \alpha} \zeta^R_{\alpha \beta} \right) - i \Im \left( h^{c,L}_{\beta \alpha} \zeta^L_{\alpha \beta} + h^{c,R}_{\beta \alpha} \zeta^R_{\alpha \beta} \right) \right] O_5$$ 

$$+ (x_w x_{F_\alpha})^{1/2} P_2(x_w, x_{H^\pm}, x_t, x_{F_\alpha}, x_{F_\beta}) \left[ \Re \left( h^{c,L}_{\beta \alpha} \zeta^L_{\alpha \beta} - h^{c,R}_{\beta \alpha} \zeta^R_{\alpha \beta} \right) O_5 \right.$$ 

$$\left. - i \Im \left( h^{c,L}_{\beta \alpha} \zeta^L_{\alpha \beta} - h^{c,R}_{\beta \alpha} \zeta^R_{\alpha \beta} \right) O_1 \right]$$ 

$$+ (x_w x_{F_\beta})^{1/2} P_3(x_w, x_{H^\pm}, x_t, x_{F_\alpha}, x_{F_\beta}) \left[ \Re \left( h^{c,L}_{\beta \alpha} \zeta^L_{\alpha \beta} - h^{c,R}_{\beta \alpha} \zeta^R_{\alpha \beta} \right) O_5 \right.$$ 

$$\left. - i \Im \left( h^{c,L}_{\beta \alpha} \zeta^L_{\alpha \beta} - h^{c,R}_{\beta \alpha} \zeta^R_{\alpha \beta} \right) O_1 \right]$$ 

$$+ (x_w x_{F_\alpha})^{1/2} P_4(x_w, x_{H^\pm}, x_t, x_{F_\alpha}, x_{F_\beta}) \left[ \Re \left( h^{c,L}_{\beta \alpha} \zeta^L_{\alpha \beta} - h^{c,R}_{\beta \alpha} \zeta^R_{\alpha \beta} \right) O_5 \right.$$ 

$$\left. - i \Im \left( h^{c,L}_{\beta \alpha} \zeta^L_{\alpha \beta} - h^{c,R}_{\beta \alpha} \zeta^R_{\alpha \beta} \right) O_1 \right]$$

$$\left. \right\} + h.c.,$$

where
\[ -i \Im \left( \mathcal{H}^{c,L}_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^R_{\alpha \beta} - \mathcal{H}^{c,R}_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^L_{\alpha \beta} \right) \mathcal{O}_{11} \] 
\[ + \left( x_{F_\beta} x_w \right)^{1/2} P_5(x_w, x_{H^\pm}, x_t, x_{F_\alpha}, x_{F_\beta}) \left[ \Re \left( \mathcal{H}^{c,L}_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^L_{\alpha \beta} + \mathcal{H}^{c,R}_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^R_{\alpha \beta} \right) \mathcal{O}_8 \right. \]
\[ \left. - i \Im \left( \mathcal{H}^{c,L}_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^L_{\alpha \beta} + \mathcal{H}^{c,R}_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^R_{\alpha \beta} \right) \mathcal{O}_{13} \right] \]
\[ + \left( x_{F_\beta} x_w \right)^{1/2} P_5(x_w, x_{H^\pm}, x_t, x_{F_\alpha}, x_{F_\beta}) \left[ \Re \left( \mathcal{H}^{c,L}_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^L_{\alpha \beta} - \mathcal{H}^{c,R}_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^R_{\alpha \beta} \right) \mathcal{O}_8 \right. \]
\[ \left. + i \Im \left( \mathcal{H}^{c,L}_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^L_{\alpha \beta} - \mathcal{H}^{c,R}_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^R_{\alpha \beta} \right) \mathcal{O}_{13} \right] \]
\[ + \left( x_{F_\beta} x_w \right)^{1/2} P_6(x_w, x_{H^\pm}, x_t, x_{F_\alpha}, x_{F_\beta}) \left[ \Re \left( \mathcal{H}^{c,L}_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^L_{\alpha \beta} + \mathcal{H}^{c,R}_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^R_{\alpha \beta} \right) \mathcal{O}_8 \right. \]
\[ \left. - i \Im \left( \mathcal{H}^{c,L}_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^L_{\alpha \beta} + \mathcal{H}^{c,R}_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^R_{\alpha \beta} \right) \mathcal{O}_{13} \right] \]
\[ - \left( x_{F_\beta} x_w \right)^{1/2} P_6(x_w, x_{H^\pm}, x_t, x_{F_\alpha}, x_{F_\beta}) \left[ \Re \left( \mathcal{H}^{c,L}_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^L_{\alpha \beta} - \mathcal{H}^{c,R}_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^R_{\alpha \beta} \right) \mathcal{O}_8 \right. \]
\[ \left. + i \Im \left( \mathcal{H}^{c,L}_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^L_{\alpha \beta} - \mathcal{H}^{c,R}_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^R_{\alpha \beta} \right) \mathcal{O}_{13} \right] \right) \}
\]
\[ \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{eff}}^{W_G} = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{eff}}^{W_H} (B_c \to 1, G^{c,L,R}_{\alpha \beta} \to H^{c,L,R}_{\alpha \beta}, x_{H^\pm} \to x_w) . \]  

The expressions of form factors $P_i(x, y, z, u, w) \ (i = 1, \ldots, 4)$ can be found in appendix.

Using the asymptotic expressions of $\Phi(x, y, z)$ at the limit $x, y \gg z$ in Eq. 27, we simplify the expressions of Eq. (32) in the limit $m_F = m_{F_\alpha} = m_{F_\beta} \gg m_w$ as:

\[ \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{eff}}^{W_H} \approx \frac{\sqrt{2} G_F \alpha \beta B \mu_w}{\pi \sqrt{2} Q_{\mu w} m_F} V^*_{ts} V_{tb} \left\{ \frac{21}{48} - \frac{5}{288} Q_{\beta} \right\} \]
\[ + \left( \frac{3}{16} + \frac{Q_{\beta}}{48} \right) \left( \ln m_F \right) \left[ \frac{1}{48} - \frac{21}{144} Q_{\beta} \right] \]
\[ \times \Re \left( \mathcal{H}^{c,L}_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^L_{\alpha \beta} + \mathcal{H}^{c,R}_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^R_{\alpha \beta} \right) \mathcal{O}_5 \]
\[ - \frac{1}{2} \left( \ln m_F \right) \left[ \frac{1}{48} + \frac{2}{144} + \frac{6 Q_{\beta}}{48} \right] \]
\[ \times \Re \left( \mathcal{H}^{c,L}_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^L_{\alpha \beta} - \mathcal{H}^{c,R}_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^R_{\alpha \beta} \right) \mathcal{O}_5 \]
\[ - \frac{1}{8 \sqrt{2}} \left[ \ln m_F \right] \left[ \frac{1}{48} + \frac{2}{144} + \frac{6 Q_{\beta}}{48} \right] \]
\[ \times \Re \left( \mathcal{H}^{c,L}_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^L_{\alpha \beta} - \mathcal{H}^{c,R}_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^R_{\alpha \beta} \right) \mathcal{O}_5 \]
\[ - \frac{1}{8 \sqrt{2}} \left[ \ln m_F \right] \left[ \frac{1}{48} + \frac{2}{144} + \frac{6 Q_{\beta}}{48} \right] \]
\[ \times \Re \left( \mathcal{H}^{c,L}_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^L_{\alpha \beta} + \mathcal{H}^{c,R}_{\alpha \beta} \zeta^R_{\alpha \beta} \right) \mathcal{O}_5 \]
III. THE CORRECTIONS TO BRANCHING RATIO OF $B \to X_s \gamma$

In order to eliminate the strong dependence on the b-quark mass, the branching ratio is usually normalized by the decay rate of the $B$ meson semileptonic decay:

$$\frac{\Gamma(B \to X_s \gamma)}{\Gamma(B \to X_s e\nu)} = \frac{\Gamma(b \to s \gamma)}{\Gamma(b \to c \epsilon \nu)} = \frac{2\alpha_s}{3\pi\rho(y)\chi(y)}|\tilde{C}_5(\mu_b)|^2,$$

(36)

where $\rho(y) = 1 - 8y + 8y^3 - y^4 - 12y^2 \ln y$ is the phase-space factor with $y = (m_\gamma/m_b)^2$, and $\chi(y) = 1 - \frac{2\alpha_s(m_b)}{3\pi} f(y)$ with $f(m_c^2/m_b^2) \approx 2.4$. From now on we shall assume the

$$\frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}} \left[ 1 + \ln \frac{m_F^2}{\rho} - \frac{\theta_{21}(m_w^2, m_t^2) - \theta_{21}(m_{H^\pm}^2, m_t^2)}{m_w^2 - m_{H^\pm}^2} \right]$$

$$\times \left[ \Re(H_{cL}^{cL} \zeta_{cL}^{\alpha} + H_{cL}^{cL} \zeta_{cL}^{\alpha}) \mathcal{O}_8 + i\Im(H_{cL}^{cL} \zeta_{cL}^{\alpha} + H_{cL}^{cL} \zeta_{cL}^{\alpha}) \mathcal{O}_{13} \right]$$

$$- \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} \left[ 1 + \ln \frac{m_F^2}{\rho} - \frac{\theta_{21}(m_w^2, m_t^2) - \theta_{21}(m_{H^\pm}^2, m_t^2)}{m_w^2 - m_{H^\pm}^2} \right]$$

$$\times \left[ \Re(H_{cL}^{cL} \zeta_{cL}^{\alpha} - H_{cL}^{cL} \zeta_{cL}^{\alpha}) \mathcal{O}_8 - i\Im(H_{cL}^{cL} \zeta_{cL}^{\alpha} - H_{cL}^{cL} \zeta_{cL}^{\alpha}) \mathcal{O}_{13} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} \left[ 1 + \ln \frac{m_F^2}{\rho} - \frac{\theta_{21}(m_w^2, m_t^2) - \theta_{21}(m_{H^\pm}^2, m_t^2)}{m_w^2 - m_{H^\pm}^2} \right]$$

$$\times \left[ \Re(H_{cL}^{cL} \zeta_{cL}^{\alpha} + H_{cL}^{cL} \zeta_{cL}^{\alpha}) \mathcal{O}_8 + i\Im(H_{cL}^{cL} \zeta_{cL}^{\alpha} + H_{cL}^{cL} \zeta_{cL}^{\alpha}) \mathcal{O}_{13} \right].$$

(33)

The results indicate that the corrections to the effective Lagrangian from the diagrams presented in Fig[1(11(b), c)] are suppressed in the limit $m_F = m_{F_\alpha} = m_{F_\beta} \gg m_w$ unless the couplings $H_{cL,R}^{cL,R}$ violate the decoupling theorem.

It is well known that the short distance QCD affects the rare $B$ decay strongly. At the NLO level [15], the Wilson coefficients at the bottom quark scale are given as

$$\tilde{C}_5(\mu_b) \approx 0.67(\tilde{C}_5(\mu_w) - 0.42\tilde{C}_8(\mu_w) - 0.88),$$

$$\tilde{C}_8(\mu_b) \approx 0.7(\tilde{C}_8(\mu_w) + 0.12),$$

(34)

where the corresponding Wilson coefficients at EW scale are written as

$$\tilde{C}_5(\mu_w) = C_2(\mu_w) + C_5(\mu_w) + C_9(\mu_w) + C_{11}(\mu_w),$$

$$\tilde{C}_8(\mu_w) = C_6(\mu_w) + C_8(\mu_w) + C_{12}(\mu_w) + C_{13}(\mu_w).$$

(35)

As an application, we investigate the relative corrections to the branching ratio of rare decay $B \to X_s \gamma$ originating from those sectors.
FIG. 3: The relative correction to the branching ratio of the inclusive $B \to X_s \gamma$ decay versus the possible CP violation phases $\phi_{CP}$. Where the solid-line represents the theoretical correction with $Q_\beta = 1$, $Q_\alpha = 0$, the dash-line represents the theoretical correction with $Q_\beta = -1/3$, $Q_\alpha = -4/3$, the dot-line represents the theoretical correction with $Q_\beta = 2/3$, $Q_\alpha = -1/3$, the dash-dot-line represents the theoretical correction with $Q_\beta = 4/3$, $Q_\alpha = 1/3$, and the dash-dot-dot-line represents the theoretical correction with $Q_\beta = 5/3$, $Q_\alpha = 2/3$, respectively. The enhancing factor is chosen as the trivial $B_c = 1$ in (a), or a nontrivial $B_c = 10$ in (b).

value $BR(B \to X_s e \bar{\nu}) = 10.5\%$ for the semileptonic branching ratio, $\alpha_s(m_z) = 0.118$, $\alpha_e(m_z) = 1/127$. For the mass spectrum of SM, we take $m_t = 174$ GeV, $m_b = 4.2$ GeV, $m_w = 80.42$ GeV and $m_z = 91.19$ GeV. In the CKM matrix, we apply the Wolfenstein parameterization and set $A = 0.85$, $\lambda = 0.22$, $\rho = 0.22$, $\eta = 0.35$ [16].

Without loss of generality, we adopt the universal assumptions on those couplings and
mass spectrum of new physics as

\[ \zeta_{a\beta}^L = \zeta_{a\beta}^R = \mathcal{H}_{\beta a}^c = \mathcal{H}_{\beta a}^c = \mathcal{G}_{\beta a}^c = \mathcal{G}_{\beta a}^c = e^{i\phi_{\text{CP}}} , \]

\[ m_{f_{\alpha}} = m_{f_{\beta}} = m_{h^\pm} = \Lambda_{\text{NP}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (37)

To continue our discussion, we assume the electric charge of heavy fermions as \( Q_\beta = 2/3, 1, -1/3, 4/3, 5/3 \), which corresponds to the electric charge of another heavy fermion in inner loop \( Q_\alpha = -1/3, 0, 4/3, 1/3, 2/3 \) respectively. In addition, we also assume that those heavy fermions with fractional electric charge all take part in strong interaction.

Many extensions of the SM include the heavy fermion fields with \( Q_\beta = 2/3, Q_\alpha = -1/3 \). In the extensions of SM with large [18] or warped [19] extra dimensions, the KK excitations of up- and down-type quarks form a closed fermion loop which can be attached to the zero modes of charged gauge boson and Higgs. In the minimal supersymmetric extension of SM (MSSM) [20], the closed fermion loop composed by chargino (\( Q_\beta = 1 \)) and neutralino (\( Q_\alpha = 0 \)) can be attached to the charged gauge boson and Higgs. In the 3\(-3\)-1 model [21], the electric charge of exotic quarks are assigned as \( Q_\beta = 4/3, 5/3 \).

In many EW extensions of the SM, the couplings among the charged Higgs and quarks contain an enhancing factor \( B_c \). For example, \( B_c = \tan \beta \) in the MSSM is a strong enhancing factor at large \( \tan \beta \) limit. In other EW theories such as the littlest Higgs [22], 3\(-3\)-1 model, the couplings among the charged Higgs and quarks also contain a nontrivial enhancing factor \( B_c \gg 1 \). In our numerical discussion, we assume the possible enhancing factor with a trivial value \( B_c = 1 \) or a nontrivial value \( B_c = 10 \).

Including NLO QCD effects, we plot the relative corrections to one loop SM theoretical prediction on branching ratio of the inclusive \( B \to X_s \gamma \) decay versus the possible CP violation phase \( \phi_{\text{CP}} \) with \( B_c = 1 \) in FIG. 3(a). Depending on concrete choices of \( Q_\beta \) and the CP violation phase \( \phi_{\text{CP}} \), the relative corrections to the branching ratio from those two loop diagrams can reach 2.5%. Comparing with the corrections from QCD, the modifications from those two loop EW diagrams are unimportant certainly. Nevertheless, those effects can be observed possibly in the experiment along with improving of the theoretical analysis and increasing of the experiment precision. Taking the enhancing factor \( B_c = 10 \), we plot the relative corrections to one loop SM theoretical prediction on branching ratio of the inclusive \( B \to X_s \gamma \) decay versus the possible CP violation phase \( \phi_{\text{CP}} \) in FIG. 3(b). Because the contributions from two loop Bar-Zee diagrams are enhanced drastically, the relative
FIG. 4: The relative correction to the branching ratio of the inclusive $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ decay versus the energy scale of new physics $\Lambda_{NP}$. Where the solid-line represents the theoretical correction with $Q_\beta = 1$, $Q_\alpha = 0$, the dash-line represents the theoretical correction with $Q_\beta = -1/3$, $Q_\alpha = -4/3$, the dot-line represents the theoretical correction with $Q_\beta = 2/3$, $Q_\alpha = -1/3$, the dash-dot-line represents the theoretical correction with $Q_\beta = 4/3$, $Q_\alpha = 1/3$, and the dash-dot-dot-line represents the theoretical correction with $Q_\beta = 5/3$, $Q_\alpha = 2/3$, respectively.

corrections to one loop SM theoretical prediction on the branching ratio of $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ can reach 4.5%. Although the two-loop EW corrections can not compete with that from QCD, we cannot neglect the corrections with this magnitude.

Assuming $B_c = 10$ and $\phi_{CP} = \pi/2$, we plot the relative corrections to one loop SM theoretical prediction on the branching ratio of $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ varying with the energy scale of new physics $\Lambda_{NP}$ in FIG. 4. Since the intervention between the top quark and the particles in new physics, the relative corrections reach the maximum ($\sim 4.5\%$) around $\Lambda_{NP} = 200$ GeV. With increasing of $\Lambda_{NP}$, the relative corrections turn smaller and smaller. At $\Lambda_{NP} = 1$ TeV, the relative corrections are about 2%.

In the SM, the $CP$ asymmetry of the $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ process is calculated to be rather small: $A_{CP} \sim 0.5\%$ [17]. Certainly, the new CP violation phases may induce the observable effects on the $CP$ asymmetry of $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$. However, the numerical results indicate that the corrections from those two loop diagrams to the $CP$ asymmetry of $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ are rather small. The relative correction to one loop SM theoretical prediction on the branching ratio of $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ is already above 8% when $B_c = 30$, $\Lambda_{NP} = 200$ GeV and $\phi_{CP} = \pi/2$, the corresponding correction from those two loop diagrams to the $CP$ asymmetry is still smaller.
than 1% under our universal assumptions on the parameter space.

As mentioned above, the universal assumptions on the couplings and mass spectrum of new physics are adopted in our numerical analysis. In concrete EW extensions of the SM, this choice is a very simple assumption on parameter space. However, the numerical results given above reflect the typical magnitude of corrections from those two loop diagrams to the branching ratio of $B \to X_s \gamma$ unless there is contingent cancelation among different sectors of those two loop diagrams in concrete extensions of the SM.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Applying effective Lagrangian method and on-shell scheme, we analyze the EW corrections to the rare decay $b \to s + \gamma$ from some special two loop diagrams in which a closed heavy fermion loop is attached to the virtual charged gauge bosons or Higgs. The analysis shows that the final results satisfy the decoupling theorem explicitly when the interactions among Higgs and heavy fermions do not contain the nondecoupling couplings. Adopting the universal assumptions on the relevant couplings and masses of new physics, we present the relative corrections from those two loop diagrams to one loop SM theoretical prediction on the branching ratio of $B \to X_s \gamma$ varying with the possible CP violation phases and energy scale of new physics. The numerical results indicate that the relative corrections from those two loop diagrams can reach 5% if there is not contingent cancelation among different sectors of corresponding contributions.
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Appendix A: Form factors in the two-loop Wilson coefficients
The definition of $\Psi(x, y, z)$ is written as:

- $\lambda^2 > 0, \sqrt{y} + \sqrt{z} < \sqrt{x}$:
  \[
  \Psi(x, y, z) = 2 \ln \left( \frac{x + y - z - \lambda}{2x} \right) \ln \left( \frac{x - y + z - \lambda}{2x} \right) - \ln \frac{y}{x} \ln \frac{z}{x} - 2L_{i2} \left( \frac{x + y - z - \lambda}{2x} \right) + 2L_{i2} \left( \frac{x - y + z - \lambda}{2x} \right) + \frac{\pi^2}{3},
  \] (A1)
  where $L_{i2}(x)$ is the spence function;

- $\lambda^2 > 0, \sqrt{x} + \sqrt{z} < \sqrt{y}$:
  \[
  \Psi(x, y, z) = \text{Eq.(A1)}(x \leftrightarrow y);
  \] (A2)

- $\lambda^2 > 0, \sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y} < \sqrt{z}$:
  \[
  \Psi(x, y, z) = \text{Eq.(A1)}(x \leftrightarrow z);
  \] (A3)

- $\lambda^2 < 0$:
  \[
  \Psi(x, y, z) = 2 \left\{ Cl_{2} \left( 2 \arccos \left( \frac{x + y + z}{2\sqrt{yz}} \right) \right) + Cl_{2} \left( 2 \arccos \left( \frac{x - y + z}{2\sqrt{xz}} \right) \right) + Cl_{2} \left( 2 \arccos \left( \frac{x + y - z}{2\sqrt{xy}} \right) \right) \right\},
  \] (A4)
  where $Cl_{2}(x)$ denotes the Clausen function.

The expressions of $\varphi_0(x, y)$, $\varphi_1(x, y)$, $\varphi_2(x, y)$ and $\varphi_3(x, y)$ are given as

\[
\varphi_0(x, y) = \begin{cases}
(x + y) \ln x \ln y + (x - y)\Theta(x, y), & x > y; \\
2x \ln^2 x, & x = y; \\
(x + y) \ln x \ln y + (y - x)\Theta(y, x), & x < y.
\end{cases}
\] (A5)

\[
\varphi_1(x, y) = \begin{cases}
-\ln x \ln y - \frac{x+y}{x-y}\Theta(x, y), & x > y; \\
4 - 2 \ln x - \ln^2 x, & x = y; \\
-\ln x \ln y - \frac{x+y}{y-x}\Theta(y, x), & x < y.
\end{cases}
\] (A6)

\[
\varphi_2(x, y) = \begin{cases}
\frac{(2x^2 + 6xy) \ln x - (6xy + 2y^2) \ln y}{(x-y)^3} - \frac{4xy}{(x-y)^3} \Theta(x, y), & x > y; \\
-\frac{5}{9x} + \frac{2}{3x} \ln x, & x = y; \\
\frac{(2x^2 + 6xy) \ln x - (6xy + 2y^2) \ln y}{(x-y)^3} - \frac{4xy}{(y-x)^3} \Theta(y, x), & x < y,
\end{cases}
\] (A7)
\[ \varphi_3(x, y) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{-12xy(x+y)}{(x-y)^3} \Theta(x, y) - \frac{2(x^2+6xy+y^2)}{(x-y)^4} 
+ \frac{2(x^3+9x^2y+11xy^2+11y^3)}{(x-y)^5} \ln y, & x > y; \\
\frac{-5}{140x^2} + \frac{1}{5x^2} \ln x, & x = y; \\
\frac{-12y(x+y)}{(y-x)^3} \Theta(y, x) - \frac{2(x^2+6xy+y^2)}{(x-y)^4} 
+ \frac{2(x^3+9x^2y+11xy^2+11y^3)}{(x-y)^5} \ln y, & x < y, 
\end{cases} \] (A8)

with

\[ \Theta(x, y) = \ln x \ln \frac{y}{x} - 2 \ln(x-y) \ln \frac{y}{x} - 2 \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{y}{x}\right) + \frac{\pi^2}{3}. \] (A9)

The functions adopted in the text are written as

\[ \varrho_{i, j}(x, y) = \frac{x^i \ln^j x - y^i \ln^j y}{x - y}, \quad \varOmega_i(x, y; u, v) = \frac{x^i \Phi(x, u, v) - y^i \Phi(y, u, v)}{x - y}, \]

\[ F_1(x, y, z, u) = \frac{1}{24} \varrho_{2, 1}(z, u) \left( \varrho_{2, 1} + \varrho_{2, 1} \right) \left( y, x \right) - \left\{ \frac{1}{8} \varrho_{2, 1} - \frac{1}{24} \varrho_{2, 1} \right\} \left( z, u \right) \left\{ \frac{1}{8} \varrho_{2, 1} - \frac{1}{24} \varrho_{2, 1} \right\} \left( x, y \right) \]

\[ \frac{1}{18} \varrho_{2, 1}(x, y) + \frac{1}{24} \varrho_{2, 1}(x, y) - \frac{1}{4} \varrho_{2, 1}(x, y) \]

\[ \frac{1}{48} \left\{ (z + u) + 2(z \ln z + u \ln u) \right\} \frac{\partial \varrho_{2, 1}}{\partial x^4}(x, y) \]

\[ -2(z - u)^2 (1 + \varrho_{1, 1}(z, u)) \frac{\partial \varrho_{2, 1}}{\partial x^4}(x, y) \]

\[ - \left[ 9(z + u) + 6z \ln z - 6u \ln u \right] \frac{\partial \varrho_{2, 1}}{\partial x^3}(x, y) \]

\[ \left( 4 + 18Q_\beta + 6(2 - Q_\beta) \ln u \right) \frac{\partial \varrho_{2, 1}}{\partial x^4}(x, y) \]

\[ \left[ 2 + 6(1 - Q_\beta) \ln u \right] \frac{\partial \varrho_{2, 1}}{\partial x^4}(x, y) \]

\[ -6(z - u)^2 (1 + \varrho_{1, 1}(z, u)) \frac{\partial \varrho_{2, 1}}{\partial x^3}(x, y) \]

\[ + 6 \left[ (-4 + 2Q_\beta)(z + z \ln z) + (-2 - 2Q_\beta) u \right] \]

\[ + (1 - 2Q_\beta) u \ln u \frac{\partial \varrho_{2, 1}}{\partial x^2}(x, y) \]

\[ + \frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial x^4} \left[ (z - u)^2 \varOmega_1 - \varOmega_3 \right](x, y; z, u) \]

\[ -6 \frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial x^4} \left[ u(z - u) \varOmega_1 + u \varOmega_2 \right](x, y; z, u) \]

\[ + 6 \frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial x^2 \partial u^2} \left[ u(z + u) \varOmega_1 - u \varOmega_2 \right](x, y; z, u) \]
\[-2 \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x \partial u^3} \left[ u^2(z-u)\Omega_0 + u^2\Omega_1 \right](x, y; z, u) + 3 \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3} \left[ (z-u)^2\Omega_0 + 4(z-u)\Omega_1 + 3\Omega_2 \right](x, y; z, u) + 6 \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x \partial u^2} \left[ \left( \frac{5}{2} - Q_\beta \right)u(z-u)\Omega_0 + \frac{3}{2}u\Omega_1 \right](x, y; z, u) \]

\[ -3 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial u} \left[ 3u(z-u)\Omega_0 + ((6 - Q_\beta)z + (11-3Q_\beta)u)\Omega_1 - (6 - Q_\beta)\Omega_2 \right](x, y; z, u) \]

\[ F_2(x, y, z, u) = \frac{1}{24}\partial_{z,1}^4(z, u) \left\{ - \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^4}(z, u) + \frac{6 \partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right\}(x, y) + \left\{ \frac{\partial^4}{\partial z^4} - 3 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \right\}(z, u) \]

\[ + \left\{ \frac{\partial^4}{\partial y^4} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^2} \right\} \left( \frac{1}{9} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right)(x, y) \]

\[ + (z \ln z + u \ln u) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^4}{\partial y^4} - \frac{1}{12} \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^2} \right\} \frac{1}{9} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^4}{\partial y^2} \right)(x, y) \]

\[ - (z-u)^2 \left( \frac{1}{9} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^4}{\partial y^4} \right)(x, y) \]

\[ - \frac{1}{48} \left\{ 2 \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x \partial y^2} \left[ (z+u)\Omega_2(x, y; z, u) - \Omega_3(x, y; z, u) \right] \right\} \]

\[ - \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} \left[ (z-u)^2\Omega_1 - 2(z+u)\Omega_2 + \Omega_3 \right](x, y; z, u) \]

\[ - \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x \partial y^2} \left[ \frac{8}{3} (z-u)^2\Omega_0 + \frac{20}{3} (z+u)\Omega_1 - \frac{28}{3} \Omega_2 \right](x, y; z, u) \]

\[ + \frac{2}{3} \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^2 \partial y} \left[ (z-u)^2\Omega_0 - 2(z+u)\Omega_1 + \Omega_2 \right](x, y; z, u) \]

\[ + 4 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} \left[ (z+u)\Omega_0 - \Omega_1 \right](x, y; z, u) \]
\[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial u} \left[ (3 - 5Q_\beta) \Omega_1 - (3 - Q_\beta)(z - u) \Omega_0 \right](x, y; z, u) \]
\[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2 \partial u} \left[ Q_\beta \Omega_2 - (Q_\beta z + (2 - Q_\beta)u) \Omega_1 \right](x, y; z, u) \]
\[-2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left[ \Omega_1 + (z - u) \Omega_0 \right](x, y; z, u) ,\]

\[F_4(x, y, z, u) = -\frac{1}{12} \varrho_{1,1}(z, u) \left\{ \frac{\partial^4 \varrho_{1,1}}{\partial x^4} + \frac{3}{16} \frac{\partial^3 \varrho_{2,1}}{\partial x^3} \right\}(x, y) - \left\{ \frac{1}{16} \frac{\partial^2 \varrho_{2,1}}{\partial z^2} - \frac{1}{8} \frac{\partial \varrho_{1,1}}{\partial z} \right\}(x, y) \]
\[+ \frac{1}{12} \frac{\partial^2 \varrho_{1,1}}{\partial x^2} \left\{ \frac{\partial^4 \varrho_{1,1}}{\partial x^4} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial^3 \varrho_{2,1}}{\partial x^3} \right\}(x, y) + \frac{1}{24} \frac{\partial \varrho_{1,1}}{\partial x} \left\{ \frac{\partial^4 \varrho_{1,1}}{\partial x^4} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial^3 \varrho_{2,1}}{\partial x^3} \right\}(x, y) \]
\[+ \frac{1}{8} \ln \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 c_{1,1}}{\partial x^2} \right\}(x, y) - \frac{1}{8} \ln u \left\{ \frac{\partial^3 \varrho_{2,1}}{\partial x^3} + (3 - Q_\beta) \frac{\partial^2 \varrho_{1,1}}{\partial x^2} \right\}(x, y) \]
\[-\frac{1}{48} \left\{ \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x \partial u^3} \right\} u \left( z - u \right) \Omega_0 - u \Omega_1 \right\}(x, y; z, u) \]
\[-3(1 - Q_\beta) \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x \partial u} \left[ (z - u) \Omega_0 - \Omega_1 \right](x, y; z, u) \]
\[+ 3(1 - Q_\beta) \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x \partial z \partial u} \left[ (z - u) \Omega_0 - \Omega_1 \right](x, y; z, u) \]
\[-2 \frac{\partial^4 \Omega_1}{\partial x^4}(x, y; z, u) + \frac{3}{16} \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^3 \partial u} \left[ (z - u) \Omega_1 - \Omega_2 \right](x, y; z, u) \]
\[-6 \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^2 \partial u^2} \left( u \Omega_1(x, y; z, u) \right) - 6 \frac{\partial^3 \Omega_0}{\partial x^3}(x, y; z, u) \]
\[+ 3 \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^2 \partial u} \left[ (3 - Q_\beta)(z - u) \Omega_0 + (1 - Q_\beta) \Omega_1 \right](x, y; z, u) \]
\[+ 3(1 - Q_\beta) \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^2 \partial z} \left[ (z - u) \Omega_0 - \Omega_1 \right](x, y; z, u) ,\]

\[F_5(x, y, z, u) = \frac{1}{12} \varrho_{1,1}(z, u) \left\{ \frac{\partial^4 \varrho_{1,1}}{\partial x^4} - 6 \frac{\partial^2 \varrho_{1,1}}{\partial x^2} \right\}(x, y) + \left\{ \frac{1}{16} \frac{\partial^2 \varrho_{2,1}}{\partial z^2} - \frac{1}{8} \frac{\partial \varrho_{1,1}}{\partial z} \right\}(x, y) \]
\[+ \frac{1}{12} \frac{\partial^2 \varrho_{1,1}}{\partial x^2} \left\{ \frac{\partial^4 \varrho_{1,1}}{\partial x^4} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \varrho_{1,1}}{\partial x^2} \right\}(x, y) \]
\[+ \frac{1}{24} \frac{\partial \varrho_{1,1}}{\partial x} \left\{ \frac{\partial^4 \varrho_{1,1}}{\partial x^4} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \varrho_{1,1}}{\partial x^2} \right\}(x, y) \]
\[+ \frac{1}{8} \frac{\partial^2 \Omega_0}{\partial x \partial y^2}(x, y; z, u) - \frac{3}{8} \frac{\partial^3 \Omega_1}{\partial x \partial y^2}(x, y; z, u) \]
\[+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Omega_0}{\partial x}(x, y; z, u) ,\]

\[F_6(x, y, z, u) = -\frac{1}{16} \left\{ \frac{2}{u} \frac{\partial \varrho_{1,1}}{\partial x}(x, y) - 2 \frac{\partial^3 \Omega_1}{\partial x^2 \partial u}(x, y; z, u) \right\} \]
\[+ \frac{3}{u} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x \partial u^2} \left[ (z - u) \Omega_0 - \Omega_1 \right](x, y; z, u) \]
\[-Q_\alpha \left\{ 2 \frac{\partial^3 \Omega_1}{\partial x^2 \partial z}(x, y; z, u) - \frac{3}{8} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x \partial z \partial u} \left[ (z - u) \Omega_0 - \Omega_1 \right](x, y; z, u) \right\} ,\]
\[ F_7(x, y, z, u) = -\frac{1}{8} \left\{ -10 \frac{\partial \varrho_{1,1}^{1}}{\partial x}(x, y) + \ln u \left( \frac{\partial \varrho_{1,1}^{1}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 \varrho_{2,1}^{1}}{\partial x^2}(x, y) \right) \right. \\
+ 2(z - u) \left( 1 + \varrho_{1,1}^{1}(z, u) \right) \frac{\partial^2 \varrho_{1,1}^{1}}{\partial x^2}(x, y) - \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x \partial u^2} \left[ (zu - u^2) \Omega'_0 \right](x, y; z, u) \right. \\
\left. + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^2 \partial u} \left[ (z - 3u) \Omega_1 - \Omega_2 \right](x, y; z, u) \right. \\
\left. - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial u} \left[ \Omega_1 - 5(z - u) \Omega_0 \right](x, y; z, u) \right. \\
\left. + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left[ (z - u) \Omega_0 + 2 \Omega'_1 \right](x, y; z, u) \right\}, \\
F_8(x, y, z, u) = \frac{1}{16} \left\{ 2 \frac{\partial \varrho_{1,1}^{1}}{\partial x}(x, y) + 2(\ln z - \ln u) \frac{\partial^2 \varrho_{1,1}^{1}}{\partial x^2}(x, y) \right. \\
\left. - \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^2 \partial u} \left[ \Omega_1 + (z - u) \Omega_0 \right](x, y; z, u) \right. \\
\left. - \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x \partial u^2} \left[ \Omega_1 - (z - u) \Omega_0 \right](x, y; z, u) \right. \\
\left. + \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^2 \partial z} \left[ \Omega_1 - (z - u) \Omega_0 \right](x, y; z, u) \right. \\
\left. + \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x \partial z \partial u} \left[ \Omega_1 - (z - u) \Omega_0 \right](x, y; z, u) \right\}, \\
F_9(x, y, z, u) = \frac{1}{16} \left\{ 2(2 + \ln u) \left( \frac{\partial \varrho_{1,1}^{1}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^2 \varrho_{2,1}^{1}}{\partial x^2} \right)(x, y) + 2 - \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x \partial u^2} \left[ u \Omega'_1 \right](x, y; z, u) \right. \\
\left. - \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^2 \partial u} \left[ (z - u) \Omega_1 - \Omega_2 \right](x, y; z, u) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial u} \left[ (z - u) \Omega_0 - \Omega_1 \right](x, y; z, u) \right\}, \\
F_{10}(x, y, z, u) = \frac{1}{32} \left\{ \frac{2}{u} \frac{\partial \varrho_{1,1}^{1}}{\partial x}(x, y) - 2(2 + \ln z) \frac{\partial^2 \varrho_{1,1}^{1}}{\partial x^2}(x, y) - 4 \frac{\partial^3 \Omega'_1}{\partial x^2 \partial u}(x, y; z, u) \right. \\
\left. - \frac{2}{\partial x \partial u^2} \left[ \Omega_1 - (z - u) \Omega_0 \right](x, y; z, u) \right. \\
\left. - \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^2 \partial z} \left[ 5 \Omega_1 - (z - u) \Omega_0 \right](x, y; z, u) \right\}, \\
P_1(x, y, z, u) = \frac{1}{16} \left\{ 2 \left( 2 - Q_\beta \right) \ln w + 1 - 2Q_\beta \right\} \frac{\varrho_{1,1}^{1}(x, z) - \varrho_{1,1}^{1}(y, z)}{x - y} \\
+ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^2 \left[ 3 \varrho_{2,1}^{1}(x, z) - \varrho_{2,1}^{1}(y, z) \right] \frac{\varrho_{2,1}^{1}(x, z) - \varrho_{2,1}^{1}(y, z)}{x - y} \\
+ 2(\ln w \ln u - w \ln w) \frac{\varrho_{2,1}^{1}(x, z) - \varrho_{2,1}^{1}(y, z)}{x - y} \\
- \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w^2} \left[ (w - u) \Omega_0(x, z; u, w) - \Omega_0(y, z; u, w) \right] \frac{\varrho_{2,1}^{1}(x, z) - \varrho_{2,1}^{1}(y, z)}{x - y} \right\}.
\[+ w \frac{\Omega_1(x, z; u, w) - \Omega_1(y, z; u, w)}{x - y}
\]
\[+(2 - Q_\beta) \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \left[ (u - w) \frac{\Omega_0(x, z; u, w) - \Omega_0(y, z; u, w)}{x - y} \right]
\]
\[- \Omega_1(x, z; u, w) - \Omega_1(y, z; u, w) \]
\[- \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^2 \left[ \frac{\Omega_2(x, z; u, w) - \Omega_2(y, z; u, w)}{x - y} \right] \]
\[+(u - w) \frac{\Omega_1(x, z; u, w) - \Omega_1(y, z; u, w)}{x - y} \]
\[-2 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left[ \frac{\Omega_2(x, z; u, w) - \Omega_2(y, z; u, w)}{x - y} \right] \]
\[+(u + w) \frac{\Omega_1(x, z; u, w) - \Omega_1(y, z; u, w)}{x - y} \]
\[-2 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left[ \frac{\Omega_2(x, z; u, w) - \Omega_2(y, z; u, w)}{x - y} \right] \]
\[+(u - w) \left\{ \frac{\Omega_0(x, z; u, w) - \Omega_0(y, z; u, w)}{x - y} \right\} , \]

\[P_2(x, y, z; u, w) = \frac{1}{16} \left\{ 2 \left( \ln w - 3 + 2Q_\beta - (1 - Q_\beta) \ln u \right) \frac{\varrho_{1,1}(x, z) - \varrho_{1,1}(y, z)}{x - y} \right. \]
\[+ \left. \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^2 \left[ -3 \frac{\varrho_{3,1}(x, z) - \varrho_{3,1}(y, z)}{x - y} + \frac{\varrho_{3,2}(x, z) - \varrho_{3,2}(y, z)}{x - y} \right] \right. \]
\[+ \left. 2 (u - w + u \ln u - w \ln w) \frac{\varrho_{2,1}(x, z) - \varrho_{2,1}(y, z)}{x - y} \right. \]
\[+ \left. 2 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left[ 2(u - w + u \ln u - w \ln w) \frac{\varrho_{1,1}(x, z) - \varrho_{1,1}(y, z)}{x - y} \right. \right. \]
\[+ \left. (u - w) \frac{\varrho_{2,1}(x, z) - \varrho_{2,1}(y, z)}{x - y} \right. \]
\[- \left. \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w^2} \frac{w(u - w) \Omega_0(x, z; u, w) - \Omega_0(y, z; u, w)}{x - y} \right. \]
\[+ \left. 3 \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \left[ (u - w) \frac{\Omega_0(x, z; u, w) - \Omega_0(y, z; u, w)}{x - y} \right. \right. \]
\[\left. + \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left[ \frac{\Omega_2(x, z; u, w) - \Omega_2(y, z; u, w)}{x - y} \right. \right. \]
\[\left. - (u - w) \frac{\Omega_1(x, z; u, w) - \Omega_1(y, z; u, w)}{x - y} \right. \]
\[+ \left. 4w \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \frac{\Omega_1(x, z; u, w) - \Omega_1(y, z; u, w)}{x - y} \right\} . \]
\[
-2\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)\left[\frac{\Omega_1(x, z; u, w) - \Omega_1(y, z; u, w)}{x - y}\right]
+ (u - w)\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left[\frac{\Omega_0(x, z; u, w) - \Omega_0(y, z; u, w)}{x - y}\right]
+ (1 - Q_\beta)\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\left[\frac{\Omega_1(x, z; u, w) - \Omega_1(y, z; u, w)}{x - y}\right]
- (u - w)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\left[\frac{\Omega_0(x, z; u, w) - \Omega_0(y, z; u, w)}{x - y}\right]
\]

\[
P_3(x, y, z, u, w) = \frac{1}{16}\left\{ -2(2 + \ln w)\frac{\varrho_{1,1}(x, z) - \varrho_{1,1}(y, z)}{x - y}
+ (1 - 2Q_\beta)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\left[\frac{\Omega_1(x, z; u, w) - \Omega_1(y, z; u, w)}{x - y}\right]
+ (1 - (u - w))\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\left[\frac{\Omega_0(x, z; u, w) - \Omega_0(y, z; u, w)}{x - y}\right]\right\},
\]

\[
P_4(x, y, z, u, w) = \frac{1}{16}\left\{ 2(2Q_\beta + \ln w - (1 - Q_\beta)\ln u)\frac{\varrho_{1,1}(x, z) - \varrho_{1,1}(y, z)}{x - y}
- (Q_\beta - (u - w))\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\left[\frac{\Omega_0(x, z; u, w) - \Omega_0(y, z; u, w)}{x - y}\right]
- (1 - Q_\beta)\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\left[\frac{\Omega_1(x, z; u, w) - \Omega_1(y, z; u, w)}{x - y}\right]\right\},
\]

\[
P_5(x, y, z, u, w) = \frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}}\left\{ -2(2 + \ln w)\frac{\varrho_{1,1}(x, z) - \varrho_{1,1}(y, z)}{x - y}
- \frac{\partial}{\partial w}\left[\frac{\Omega_1(x, z; u, w) - \Omega_1(y, z; u, w)}{x - y}\right]
+ (u - w)\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\left[\frac{\Omega_0(x, z; u, w) - \Omega_0(y, z; u, w)}{x - y}\right]\right\},
\]

\[
P_6(x, y, z, u, w) = -\frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}}\left\{ (2(\ln u - \ln w))\frac{\varrho_{1,1}(x, z) - \varrho_{1,1}(y, z)}{x - y}
+ (\frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial}{\partial w})\left[\frac{\Omega_1(x, z; u, w) - \Omega_1(y, z; u, w)}{x - y}\right]
- (u - w)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right)\left[\frac{\Omega_0(x, z; u, w) - \Omega_0(y, z; u, w)}{x - y}\right]\right\}.
\]
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