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Abstract

Background: The benefits of epidural/spinal anesthesia during C-section delivery are well known. Instrumented spines have been a challenge for performing these techniques. We describe the case of an obstetric patient with previous spine surgery programmed for C-section. Three years ago, for her first delivery the patient underwent C-section managed by general anesthesia due to impossibility to perform neuroaxial block. Because of obtaining low newborn score and remarkable pain during the post-operative period, she requested conductive anesthesia for her second baby delivery.

Case presentation: Pre-puncture ultrasound evaluation was made to explore spinal structures, and lumbar sonoanatomy was correlated with previous X-ray images of lumbar surgery to decide the best levels for punctures. Then, the echo-predicted points of entry for the needles were marked on the patient’s back using a skin marker to perform the anesthetic procedure by usual puncture techniques. A non-real time ultrasound-guided Combined Spinal/Epidural Anesthesia (CSE) was successfully achieved with total mother satisfaction and excellent neonatal outcome.

Discussion and conclusion: The knowledge of spinal sonoanatomy should be a part of all anesthesiologists’ training. It facilitates enormously neuroaxial anesthetic techniques in difficult cases increasing the rate of success and lowering the number of attempts. The non-real time US-guided method does not demand extraordinary skills from anesthesiologists neither necessity of US-probe/needle coordination providing a reliable and non-cumbersome option for a single operator.
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Introduction

Neuroaxial anesthesia is currently considered the gold standard anesthetic technique in obstetric patients because it has been associated with lower maternal morbi-mortality since it has been routinely applied for many decades. Compared to general anesthesia, the usage of these techniques (spinal, epidural or CSE) has reduced bronco-aspiration and failed intubation events (both considered fatal anesthesia related complications) [1] as well as provided better neonatal outcome.

Previous spinal surgery has classically been considered an obstacle for applying conductive anesthesia due to difficulties in performing neuroaxial techniques by blind approach in these patients, so most anesthesiologists prefer general anesthesia despite its well-known risks in obstetrics patients.

Now-a-days, spinal surgical antecedents may stop being a significant obstacle for spinal or/and epidural anesthesia thanks to the advances in the practice of spinal sonoanatomy. We present a case report of an obstetric patient with previous spine surgery undergoing cesarean delivery who successfully received non-real time ultrasound-guided CSE anesthesia.

Case Presentation

A 37-year-old female patient who weighs 68 kg, a 39 weeks/4 days pregnancy not in labor, programmed for elective cesarean delivery (III pregnancies, 1 abortion, 1 Cesarean). Six years ago, the patient suffered from back pain due to spondylolisthesis (L4-S1) with lumbar instability, so she underwent spinal surgery with pedicle screw fixation. Three years ago, the patient underwent C-section delivery and received general anesthesia with remarkable pain in the post-operative period and no contact with her newborn for 2 days because he was sent to the neonatal care unit owing to low APGAR score. Due to her discomfort and stressful experiences, the patient “begged” us to avoid general anesthesia technique and requested better pain management; she was also afraid of the neonatal outcome. The risks of both anesthetics techniques and the probability of general anesthesia in case of CSE anesthesia failure, accidental dural-puncture, and Post-dural puncture headache (PDPH) were explained to the patient and she signed the consent informed document. We ask for all radiological documents related with her spinal surgery in order to be able to do an X-Ray/Echo correlation of findings.

On the physical exam, a scar from L3 to the sacrum was noted. Additionally, the lumbar spinous processes could not be palpated, so it was impossible to determine the intervertebral spaces or the neuroaxial midline by palpation. X-rays evaluation showed transpedicular instrumentation with bilateral bars extended from L4 to S1 (Figure 1).

The ultrasound (US) scanning was performed with a M-Turbo® ultrasound machine (SonoSite) with a 2 to 5.5-MHz broadband multifrequency probe. For the longitudinal approach, the probe was
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placed parasagittally, angled toward the midline. The sacrum was identified, and then the probe was moved cephalad to identify the vertebral interspaces. During pre-puncture ultrasonic evaluation of neuroaxis, we observed with difficulty the spinous processes images. The level of L1-L2, L2-L3, L3-4 and L4-5 intervertebral spaces were identified via US by transverse and longitudinal approach.

The predetermined point of entry for the introducer needle was marked on the patient’s back using a skin marker. A transverse line was drawn with a disposable skin marker at either the L1-L2, L2-L3, L3-4 and L4-5 interspace. The probe then was rotated 90° and placed transversely to determine the midline, which was marked by a longitudinal line. The point of needle insertion was determined as the intersection of the longitudinal and transverse lines. The probe was tilted slightly up and down to ensure an enough echogenic window at the selected intervertebral level.

Instrumentation artifacts at the lateral articular processes corresponding to the surgical area were well identified. L4-L5 space was considered to have the best ultrasonic view of spinal canal despite partial disruption of the flavum ligament (Figures 2 and 3). We measured the skin-ligamentum flavum/dura complex distance (SLFD). The sonoanatomy was normal at L1-L2 space (Figure 4). So first, we decided to put an epidural catheter for post-operative PCA analgesia into L1-L2 space, and then to give spinal anesthesia for surgery at level of L4-L5 because it had an appropriate spinal canal area (Figure 3).

In sitting position, and after antiseptic technique with iodine povidone, infiltrative anesthesia with lidocaine 2% was used onto skin marked points selected to achieve the spaces L1-L2 and L4-L5. The epidural space (L1-L2) was located by "the loss of resistance" test with 18 Gauge(G) Touhy epidural needle and an 20G epidural catheter was left for post-operative pain management (only the test dose was administered via epidural catheter in this moment) (Figures 5A and 5B).

Then, to overcome fibrotic tissue due to lumbar surgery, a 16G Tuohy epidural needle was used as a guide to facilitate the pencil point needle progression through the space at L4-L5 to achieve a spinal anesthesia. The above mentioned Tuohy needle was inserted until a depth equivalent to SLFD-1 cms. to avoid accidental dura-puncture.

Following, a 26G pencil point spinal needle was completely threaded through the 16G Tuohy needle until obtaining cerebrospinal fluid (CSF); then, isobaric Bupivacaine 0.5% 7.5 mg plus Fentanyl 25 µgr was

---

**Figure 1:** Previous lumbar X-rays: Instrumentation spine from L4 to S1.

**Figure 2:** US exploration. Longitudinal axis (Parasagittal view): Chirurgical instrumentation artifacts can be noticed.

**Figure 3:** US exploration. Transverse view (short axis): Very bad acoustic window, lack of transverses apophysis identification and disrupted flavum ligament due to previous surgery. This image corresponds to the selected L4-L5 space for spinal anesthesia.

**Figure 4:** Normal L1-L2 sonoanatomy: Selected space for epidural catheter placement in this case.

**Figure 5A:** Marked skin for referring puncture points posterior to pre-puncture ultrasound evaluation.
Discussion and Conclusion

Although, radiological methods such as fluoroscopy, tomography scan or MRI can provide accurate information about the place of puncture and the anatomic characteristics of the spine [2] they are expensive, unpractical and inaccessible in the labor room. On the other hand, ultrasound equipment is always present near or in a labor area and can be easily moved beside the pregnant patient.

Advantages along with the increasing knowledge acquired by anesthesiologists about spine-sonoanatomy, make ultrasound a very attractive tool to facilitate the accessibility of instrumented spines for neuroaxial anesthesia. However, it is mandatory to evaluate previous X-ray images in order to establish correlation with ultrasonic findings. Under these circumstances, it is important to clearly explain to patients the “pros and cons” of trying neuroaxial via and the possibility of failure. It is also mandatory to get the consent informed document signed.

Using ultrasound, it is possible to exactly identify the intervertebral spaces, the ligamentum flavum-dura complex, the medullar/spinal canal and to measure the distance between the skin and the ligamentum flavum-dura complex (SLFD) [3,4]. In our patient, we were able to identify the intervertebral spaces by counting the structures from sacrum.

Because of the lumbar scar and lack of spinal bone landmark due to the spine surgery, it was very difficult to identify any space by palpation. Several studies have compared the capability of identification of spinal intervertebral spaces with ultrasound vs. palpation method for spinal/ epidural anesthesia, and higher rate of success have been founded in ultrasound guided groups [3,5].

Fixation materials were easily recognizable by US imaging and the absence of bone structures well correlates with surgical antecedents. The presence of gaps in the ligamentum flavum-dura complex appears to be related with accidental dural-puncture events during epidural anesthesia [6,7]. For this reason, spinal anesthesia would be preferred in cases where an abnormal ligamentum flavum-dura complex is identified. We identified by transverse view (short axis) on US exploration, abnormalities of transverses apophysis and an irregular flavum-ligament-dura complex line that was interpreted as a disrupted flavum ligament due to previous spinal surgery. This image corresponded to the selected L4-L5 space for spinal anesthesia (Figure 3). This feature was important to decide for a spinal technique to avoid accidental dural-puncture with epidural technique.

Instrumentation and fibrosis were an issue. Fibrosis produced from lumbar surgical manipulation could cause irregular distribution of local anesthetic (LA) into the epidural space. Therefore, spinal anesthesia was the most suitable technique for this patient although there is always the possibility of failure with this technique [8]. Moreover, fibrosis can make it difficult for very fine spinal pencil-point needles to pass through the tissue. For that reason, we decided to use the 16G Touhy needle as a guide to avoid problems in getting into spinal canal and obtain CSF. The US exploration has been used in cases where an abnormal ligamentum flavum-dura complex (SLFD) [3,4]. In our patient, we were able to identify the intervertebral spaces by counting the structures from sacrum.

After surgery, post-operative pain was managed by continuous epidural infusion (4 ml/h) plus PCA (4 ml bolus, max. 2 bolus per hour, block-out of 20 minutes) with a mixture of Fentanyl 3µg/ml and Bupivacaine 0.0625% during the next 72 hrs. Visual Analog Scale (VAS) was under 3/10 during her hospital stay. Our patient was very satisfied with the anesthetic and post-operative pain management and the overall outcome.

Discussion and Conclusion

Although, radiological methods such as fluoroscopy, tomography...
rod surgery. They used a 20G epidural catheter put into place through an 18G Touhy needle, maybe to titrate the dose of LA. Apparently, they did not have another option than to establish a continuous anesthesia/analgesia although, with this technique, PDPH is a concern for potential postoperative complication, even more so, because of its difficult management if PDPH becomes severe. Fortunately, we did not have difficulties to put a catheter into an intervertebral level with normal epidural space identified by US.

Tran et al. [11] have described a single-operator real-time US-guidance. However, we considered this technique very cumbersome, demanding extraordinary skill from the operator regarding the handling of the probe/needle and time consuming. We performed a non-real time US/Puncture technique described by Arzola et al. [3,4], which makes it possible to mark the “coordinates” superficially on the skin, to preserve optimal antisepsis and performing the puncture at the proper site using the same epidural, spinal or CSE technique as usual. Another concern with single-operator real-time is the theoretical possibility of dragging echogenic-gel with the tip of the needle towards neurological structures or into CSF.

We conclude that knowledge of spinal sonoanatomy should be a part of all anesthesiologists’ training. It facilitates neuroaxial anesthetic techniques in difficult, if not impossible, cases, increasing the rate of success, lowering the number of attempts, having more anesthetic options and offering our pregnant patients the best anesthesia they could receive.

The non-real time US-guided method does not demand extraordinary skills from anesthesiologists neither necessity of US-probe/needle coordination providing an easy and reliable option for a single operator.
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