Diffusion on an Ising chain with kinks
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We count the number of histories between the two degenerate minimum energy configurations of the Ising model on a chain, as a function of the length \( n \) and the number \( d \) of kinks that appear above the critical temperature. This is equivalent to count permutations of length \( n \) avoiding certain subsequences depending on \( d \). We give explicit generating functions and compute the asymptotics. The setting considered has a role when describing dynamics induced by quantum Hamiltonians with deconfined quasi-particles.

I. INTRODUCTION

Consider a chain with Ising variables \( \sigma_i \) that can take the values \( \pm 1 \) on \( n \) sites. The Ising model is described by the following action:

\[
S = U \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}),
\]

where \( U > 0 \). This model has two degenerate minimum energy configurations. Indeed, both the configurations \( \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2 \equiv \{ \sigma_i = \mp 1, \text{ for every } i \} \) minimize the energy for this system with a value \( S = 0 \). Every other configuration can be obtained by flipping the \( \sigma_i \) variables starting from either \( \Sigma_1 \) or \( \Sigma_2 \): we see that flipping one variable costs an energy \( 4U \). We say that a variable is a kink if the adjacency variables have a different value. Flipping an adjacent variable \( \sigma_i \pm 1 \) will not change the total energy of the system. However, if we flip a second variable \( i \pm k \) with \( k \geq 2 \), i.e., we create another kink, then the cost in energy will be \( 8U \). The total energy of a certain configuration \( \{ \sigma_i : i = 1, ..., n \} \) will be given by \( S = 4U(d + 1) \), where \( d \) is the number of kinks, not including the initial one.

It is obvious that the two minimum energy configurations \( \Sigma_1 \) and \( \Sigma_2 \) are only separated by configurations that have energy \( 4U \). In fact, we can start from, say \( \Sigma_1 \), pick a site \( i \) and flip the variable \( \sigma_i \) from \(-1\) to \(+1\). In this way we keep staying in a configuration with energy \( 4U \). Then, from there we can reach the configuration \( \Sigma_2 \) by flipping only adjacent variables. We say that kinks can propagate freely, that is, without paying energy. The kink is made of the walls of a domain with all variables of the same value. In one dimension, making the domain larger does not change the domain walls. In statistical mechanics, this implies that the Ising model in one dimension has a critical temperature \( T = 0 \). At every temperature \( T > 0 \), some kinks will be created, and once created, a kink can propagate freely and connect the two minima (see, e.g., [4]). For this reason, at every \( T > 0 \), there is only one minimum energy configuration; there are two only when \( T = 0 \).

We call history the permutation on \( n \) objects that indicate the sequence of the flips connecting \( \Sigma_1 \) to \( \Sigma_2 \). So, for instance, a history is given by the string \( (i_1, i_2, ..., i_n) \) in which we flip first the variable \( \sigma_{i_1} \), then \( \sigma_{i_2} \) and so on. As we have pointed out, this history requires an energy \( 4U \). However, every time we create a kink, we pay an energy \( 4U \). The energy of a history is therefore the number \( 4U(d + 1) \), where \( d \) is number of kinks created during the entire history, without including the first one. It is easy to compute the total number of histories: since we must flip \( n \) Ising
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variable, we can do it in $n!$ possible ways, i.e., the number of permutations of length $n$. In the present paper we count the total number of histories given the parameters $n$ and $d$. We exhibit generating functions for the sequences and observe that these numbers are asymptotically $2^{n - 2d - 1}(d + 1)^n$. Our technique is the one of generating trees (see, e.g., [3, 6]).

This scenario is related to all those models in condensed matter and statistical mechanics, where two minima are separated by excitations that can propagate freely (or quasi-freely). Even if the propagation is not free, i.e., if we add a “tension” to the domains, there is a difference between propagating an excitation and creating a new kink [4].

Recently such a setting has become important again in the subject of topological quantum computation [3]. In this context, we have quantum Hamiltonians with deconfined quasi-particles. The quasi-particles are ends of strings. The quantum memory is encoded in the expectation value of large, topological observables in a degenerate ground state. A crucial problem is whether in this model quantum memory is stable for temperature higher than absolute zero [1].

As soon as the temperature is greater than zero, some kinks will be created with some density of probability in time, and will start to propagate. If the total time to connect two degenerate ground states will scale with the size of the system, the quantum memory will be considered robust, otherwise it will be considered fragile. A precise computation will require a knowledge about the number of all possible histories for this process.

We will deal with a purely combinatorial framework. Let us consider a network modeled by a chain with a finite number $n$ of nodes. In the network, the first node is adjacent to second one; the second to the first and the third one, and so on. To begin with, let us assume that there is a unique node of the network having a special property. For example, the node possesses some information, which has to be diffused to the entire network. When the clock ticks, the node passes the information to one its neighbors. The process carries on, and at each time step, a single node passes the information. It is clear that it takes exactly $n$ time steps for the information to be transferred to the entire network.

In how many different ways this can be done? Summing over all possible initial nodes, the answer to this question is $2^{n - 1}$. This corresponds to the case with a single kink introduced at the beginning of the process. In Figure 1 represented all the $2^{3 - 1} = 4$ possible different histories, when the number of nodes is $n = 3$ (see the vertices 123, 213, 231 and 321). The time steps of the diffusion are represented from left to right. In this case $d = 0$ because the only kink is the initial one.

Following the above description, let us now consider a variant in which we introduce extra kinks. Again, at each time step a single node passes the information, as we have seen before. We assume however that during the process there are exactly $d$ nodes acquiring the information not from an adjacent node. Additionally, these special nodes are not pairwise adjacent to each other. The total number of ways in which the information can be propagated to the entire network is the number of histories.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we introduce the notation and formalize the problem; in Section 3 we state and prove the results. Section 4 is a brief conclusion.

II. SET-UP

The graph $P_n = (V, E)$, where $V = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and edges $E = \{\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, ..., \{n - 1, n\}\}$, is called $n$-path. We will define below a procedure to assign labels to the vertices of $n$-paths. The procedure will satisfy the specific conditions, giving the diffusion dynamics which we have described in Introduction. For each integer $0 \leq t \leq n$, we define a function $f_t : V \rightarrow \{+, -\}$. This gives the ordered set $f_t = (f_t(1), f_t(2), ..., f_t(n))$, specifying the image of the function at time $t$. When $t = 0$ and $t = n$, we assume that $f_0 = (-, -, ..., -)$ and $f_n = (+, +, ..., +)$, respectively. When $t = 1$, we chose a vertex $v \in V$ and set $f_1(v) = +$. In other words we designate a vertex to be a kink. At each time step $t \geq 2$, we chose a vertex $w$ such that

\[ f_t(w) = + \quad \text{only if} \quad f_{t-1}(z) = + \quad \text{and} \quad \{w, z\} \in E. \tag{1} \]

The described rule allows us to transform $f_0$ into $f_n$ in exactly $n$ steps. To each one of the ordered sets $f_0, f_1, ..., f_n$, we can naturally associate a permutation $\pi$ of the set of $n$ points $|n| = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. A permutation $\pi$ is interpreted as a word of length $n$ over the alphabet $|n|$, in which every symbol of $|n|$ appears exactly once. Specifically, $\pi(i) = j$ if $f_i(j) = +$ and $f_{i-1}(j) = -$. Moreover, by following Eq. (1) we have $\pi(i) = j$ if there is $k < i$, such that $\pi(k) = j - 1$ or $\pi(k) = j + 1$. 
Let $F_n$ be the set of all permutations of $[n]$ satisfying the above conditions. The $k$-th permutation $\pi^{(k)} \in F_n$ is associated to the ordered set $(f_1^{(k)}, f_2^{(k)}, ..., f_n^{(k)})$. Notice that $k$ is simply an index to distinguish between maps in $F_n$, being $F_n$ an unordered set. An an example, the table below includes all elements of $F_4$. The left column represents $(f_1^{(k)}, f_2^{(k)}, ..., f_3^{(k)})$. It is superfluous to include $f_0^{(k)}$ and $f_4^{(k)}$, since $f_0^{(k)} = (-, -, -, -)$ and $f_4^{(k)} = (+, +, +, +)$ for every $k$. The right column contains $\pi^{(k)}$. The first row is then $f_1^{(1)} = (+, -, -, -), f_2^{(1)} = (+, +, -, -), f_3^{(1)} = (+, +, +, +)$ and $\pi^{(1)} = 1234$.

| $(+, -, -, -), (+, +, -, -), (+, +, +, +)$ | 1234 |
| $(+, +, +, +), (-, +, +, -), (+, +, +, +)$ | 1214 |
| $(-, +, +, +), (-, - +, +, -), (-, +, +, +)$ | 3124 |
| $(-, +, +, +), (-, - +, +, -), (-, +, +, +)$ | 2314 |
| $(-, +, +, +), (-, - +, +, -), (-, +, +, +)$ | 3214 |

It is simple to see that $F_n \subset S_n$ if $n \geq 3$, where $S_n$ denotes the set of all permutations of $[n]$. Let us consider a permutation $\pi \notin F_4$. For instance, let $\pi = 1324$. The intermediate steps taking $(-, -, -, -)$ to $(+, +, +, +)$ induced by $\pi$ are $(+, -, -, -), (+, +, -, -)$ and $(+, +, +, +)$. Notice that the second step is not in agreement with our procedure. This is because $\pi(2) = 3$ and $f_2(3) = +$, but $\pi(1) = 1$, while, in order to agree with the procedure, we should have $\pi(1) = 2$ or $\pi(1) = 4$. The permutations in the set $S_n - F_n$ are then characterized by an extra parameter, which we denote by $d$. This parameter specifies the number of vertices from which the procedure can start independently. We denote by $\pi^{(d,k)}$ the $k$-th permutation, with exactly $d$ non-adjacent sequences of $+$'s, inducing the functions $f_1^{(d,k)}, f_2^{(d,k)}, ..., f_{n-1}^{(d,k)}$. When $d = 0$, we have the above setting. In this case, we simply wrote $\pi^{(k)}$ instead of $\pi^{(0,k)}$.

The set of all elements of the form $\pi^{(d,k)}$ is denoted by $F_n^d$. There is a clear relation between $n$ and $d$ when $n$ is even, $d \leq n/2$; when $n$ is odd, $d \leq (n - 1)/2$. In the next section, we will find an explicit formula for $\# F_n^d$. It is a simple fact that $S_n = \bigcup_d F_n^d$, every $n$. In the table below, we include the permutations in $F_4^1 \equiv S_4 - F_4$. Since $\#F_4 = 8$, then $\#F_4^1 = 16$.

| $(+, -, -, -), (+, +, -, -), (+, +, +, +)$ | 1234 |
| $(+, -, -, -), (+, +, -, -), (+, +, +, +)$ | 1214 |
| $(+, -, -, -), (+, +, -, -), (+, +, +, +)$ | 3124 |
| $(+, -, -, -), (+, +, -, -), (+, +, +, +)$ | 2314 |
| $(+, -, -, -), (+, +, -, -), (+, +, +, +)$ | 3214 |
| $(+, -, -, -), (+, +, -, -), (+, +, +, +)$ | 2314 |
| $(+, -, -, -), (+, +, -, -), (+, +, +, +)$ | 3214 |
| $(+, -, -, -), (+, +, -, -), (+, +, +, +)$ | 2314 |

III. ENUMERATION

A generating tree consists of a root label and a set of succession rules. Following [1], a generating tree is a rooted labeled tree with the property that if $v_1$ and $v_2$ are any two vertices with the same label and $l$ is any label, then $v_1$ and $v_2$ have exactly the same number of children with the label $l$. To specify a generating tree it therefore suffices to specify (i) the label of the root, and (ii) a set of succession rules explaining how to derive from the label of a parent the labels of all of its children. For example, a portion of the generating tree $G$ for the set of all unrestricted permutations is illustrated in Figure[1]

The root is indexed by the permutation of length 1. A vertex indexed by a permutation $\pi$ of length $n$ has $n + 1$ children. These are respectively indexed by the $n + 1$ permutations that can be obtained by inserting the letter $n + 1$ in the word $\pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_n$. Clearly, this tree is isomorphic to a simpler tree, in which the root is labeled by the number 1, and a node labeled by $(n)$ has exactly $n + 1$ children, each one labeled by $(n + 1)$:

- **Root:** $(1)$
- **Rule:** $(s) \Rightarrow (s + 1)^{s+1}$
In order to enumerate the number of permutations in $F_n^d$, we define the generating tree $G$, in which we replace each permutation $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2\cdots\pi_n$ with $\pi_1 = 1$ by the vector $\text{Vec}(\pi) = (j, k, r)$, where $\pi_j = n, \pi \in F_n^k$ and

$$r = \begin{cases} 1, & \pi_{n-1}^1 < \pi_{n-1}^0; \\ 0, & \pi_{n-1}^1 > \pi_{n-1}^0, \end{cases}$$

as it is shown in Figure 2.

**Proposition 1** The generating tree $G$ is given by

- **Roots**: $(2, 0, 0)$ and $(1, 0, 1)$;
- **Rules**:
  - $(j, k, 0) \rightsquigarrow (1, k + 1, 1) \cdots (j, k + 1, 1)(j + 1, k, 0) \cdots (n + 1, k, 0)$;
  - $(j, k, 1) \rightsquigarrow (1, k, 1) \cdots (j, k, 1)(j + 1, k, 0) \cdots (n + 1, k, 0)$.

**Proof.** From the definitions, the permutations 12 and 21 are associated to the vectors $(2, 0, 0)$ and $(1, 0, 1)$, respectively. Let $\pi = 1\pi_2\cdots\pi_n$ be a permutation in $S_n$ associated to the vector $(j, k, 0)$. By inserting the letters $n + 1$
between position \(i - 1\) and position \(i\), we obtain a new permutation \(\pi = 1\pi_2 \cdots \pi_{i-1}(n+1)\pi_i \cdots \pi_n \in S_{n+1}\) associated to the vector \((i, k+1, 1)\), for all \(i = 2, 3, \ldots\), and the vector \((i, k, 0)\), for all \(i = j+1, j+2, \ldots, n+1\). Let \(\pi = 1\pi_2 \cdots \pi_n\) be a permutation in \(S_n\) associated to the vector \((j, k, 1)\). By inserting the letters \(n+1\) between position \(i - 1\) and position \(i\), we obtain a new permutation \(\pi = 1\pi_2 \cdots \pi_{i-1}(n+1)\pi_i \cdots \pi_n \in S_{n+1}\) associated to the vector \((i, k, 1)\), for all \(i = 1, 2, \ldots, j\), and the vector \((i, k, 0)\), for all \(i = j+1, j+2, \ldots, n+1\). This completes the proof. \(\blacksquare\)

Let \(G_0(t; u, v)\) and \(G_1(t; u, v)\) be the associated generating functions:

\[
G_r(t; u, v) = \sum_{n \geq 2} t^n \sum_{j \geq 2} \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{\pi \in S_n : \text{Vec}(\pi) = (j, k, r)} u^j v^k \\
= \sum_{n \geq 2} t^n \sum_{j \geq 2} \sum_{k \geq 0} g_{n,j,k,r} u^j v^k,
\]

where \(r = 0, 1\). Alternatively, this series counts the vertices of the tree \(\mathcal{G}\) by their height and their label (the root being at height 2).

**Proposition 2** The generating function for the number of permutations \(\pi = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_n\) in \(S_n\), \(n \geq 2\), according to the statistics \(j, k\), where \(\pi_j = n\) and \(\pi \in F_k\), is given by \(G_0(t; u, v) + G_1(t; u, v)\) such that the generating functions \(G_0(t; u, v)\) and \(G_1(t; u, v)\) satisfy

\[
G_0(t; u, v) = t^2 u^2 + \frac{tv}{1-u}(G_0(t; u, v) - uG_0(tu; 1, v)) + \frac{tu}{1-u}(G_1(t; u, v) - uG_1(tu; 1, v))
\]

and

\[
G_1(t; u, v) = t^2 u + \frac{tuv}{1-u}(G_0(t; 1, v) - G_0(t; u, v)) + \frac{tu}{1-u}(G_1(t; 1, v) - G_1(t; u, v)).
\]

**Proof.** Since \(g_{n,j,k,r}\) is the number of vertices in the generating tree \(\mathcal{G}\), as it was shown in Proposition 1, with label \((j, k, r)\), we obtain that

\[
g_{n+1,j,k,0} = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (g_{n,i,k,0} + g_{n,i,k,1}),
\]

\[
g_{n+1,j,k,1} = \sum_{i=j}^{n} (g_{n,i,k-1,0} + g_{n,i,k,1}).
\]

Let \(g_{n+1,r}(u, v) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \sum_{k \geq 0} g_{n+1,j,k,r} u^j v^k\) for \(r = 0, 1\). Rewriting the above recurrence relations in terms of \(g_{n,r}(u, v)\), we obtain that

\[
g_{n+1,0}(u, v) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \sum_{k \geq 1} u^j v^k \left( \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (g_{n,i,k,0} + g_{n,i,k,1}) \right),
\]

\[
g_{n+1,1}(u, v) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \sum_{k \geq 1} u^j v^k \left( \sum_{i=j}^{n} (g_{n,i,k-1,0} + g_{n,i,k,1}) \right),
\]

which is equivalent to

\[
g_{n+1,0}(u, v) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \sum_{k \geq 0} g_{n,j,k,0} v^k (u^{j+1} + \cdots + u^{n+1}) + \sum_{j \geq 1} \sum_{k \geq 0} g_{n,j,k,1} v^k (u^{j+1} + \cdots + u^{n+1}),
\]

\[
g_{n+1,1}(u, v) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \sum_{k \geq 0} g_{n,j,k,0} u v^{k+1} (u^1 + \cdots + u^j) + \sum_{j \geq 1} \sum_{k \geq 0} g_{n,j,k,1} u v^{k} (u^1 + \cdots + u^j).
\]
From the definitions of the generating functions and respectively. Then, Proposition 2 gives that

Proof. Denote the generating functions 

This type of functional equations can be solved systematically using the kernel method (see [2] and references therein). In this case, if we substitute 

and summing over 

and 

Then, 

From the definitions of the generating functions \( G_0(t; u, v) \) and \( G_1(t; u, v) \), we get that these functions satisfy the following functional equations:

and

as claimed. 

**Theorem 3** The generating function

is given by

**Proof.** Denote the generating functions \( G_0(t; u, v) + G_1(t; u, v) \) and \( vG_0(t; u, v) + G_1(t; u, v) \) by \( G(t; u, v) \) and \( H(t; u, v) \), respectively. Then, Proposition 2 gives that

and

This type of functional equations can be solved systematically using the kernel method (see [2] and references therein). In this case, if we substitute \( u = p = \frac{1}{1+t\sqrt{1-v}} \) and \( u = q = \frac{1}{1-t\sqrt{1-v}} \), we obtain that

\[
H(t; 1, v) = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - v}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - vt}} G\left( \frac{t}{1 + \sqrt{1 - vt}}; 1, v \right) + \frac{t^2 \sqrt{1 - v} (2 + \sqrt{1 - v} + t\sqrt{1 - v})}{(1 + \sqrt{1 - vt})^2}
\]
On the other hand, Theorem 3 can be used to obtain explicit formula for the generating functions

\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n \]

in the generating function

\[ G(t, v) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - v} t}{1 - \sqrt{1 - v} t} G(\frac{t}{1 - \sqrt{1 - v} t}; 1, v) + \frac{t^2 \sqrt{1 - v} (2 - \sqrt{1 - v} - t \sqrt{1 - v})}{(1 - \sqrt{1 - v} t)^2}. \]

Hence, the generating function \( G(t, v) = G(t; 1, v) \) satisfies

\[ \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - v}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - vt}} G(\frac{t}{1 + \sqrt{1 - vt}}, v) + \frac{t^2 \sqrt{1 - v} (2 + \sqrt{1 - v} + t \sqrt{1 - v})}{(1 + \sqrt{1 - vt})^2} \]

\[ = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - v}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - vt}} G(\frac{t}{1 - \sqrt{1 - vt}}, v) + \frac{t^2 \sqrt{1 - v} (2 - \sqrt{1 - v} - t \sqrt{1 - v})}{(1 - \sqrt{1 - vt})^2} \]

which is equivalent to

\[ G(t, v) = \frac{4t^2 \sqrt{1 - v}}{(1 - 2t \sqrt{1 - v})^2 (1 + \sqrt{1 - v})} (1 - t \sqrt{1 - v} - t (1 - v)) + \frac{v}{(1 + \sqrt{1 - v})^2 (1 - 2t \sqrt{1 - v})} G(\frac{t}{1 - 2t \sqrt{1 - v}}, v). \]

Applying the above functional equation an infinite number of times, we can find an explicit formula for the generating function \( G(t, v) \), as requested.

Let \( h_n(v) \) be the polynomial \( \sum_{d=0}^{n} \# F_n^{d \times d} \). For \( n = 2, 3, \ldots, 10 \), Theorem 3 gives

\[
\begin{align*}
h_2(v) &= 2, \\
h_3(v) &= 4 + 2v, \\
h_4(v) &= 8 + 16v, \\
h_5(v) &= 16 + 88v + 16v^2, \\
h_6(v) &= 32 + 416v + 272v^2, \\
h_7(v) &= 64 + 1824v + 2880v^2 + 272v^3, \\
h_8(v) &= 128 + 7680v + 24576v^2 + 7936v^3, \\
h_9(v) &= 256 + 31616v + 185856v^2 + 137216v^3 + 7936v^4, \\
h_{10}(v) &= 512 + 128512v + 1304832v^2 + 1841152v^3 + 353792v^4.
\end{align*}
\]

On the other hand, Theorem 3 can be used to obtain explicit formula for the generating functions \( h^d(t) = \sum_{n \geq 2} \# F_n^{d \times n} \). For example, Theorem 3 with \( v = 0 \), gives \( h^0(t) = 2t^2 = \frac{2t^2}{1 - 2t} = \sum_{n \geq 2} 2^{n-1} t^n \), which implies that \( \# F_n^0 = 2^{n-1} \), for all \( n \geq 2 \). More generally, in order to obtain an explicit formula for the generating function \( h^d(t) \), on the basis of the theorem, it is enough to take the derivative of the sum

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{d} \frac{2j^2 \sqrt{1 - v}}{(1 - 2j \sqrt{1 - v})(1 - 2(j + 1) \sqrt{1 - v})(1 + \sqrt{1 - v})^{2j+1}}
\]

exactly \( d \) times and then substitute \( v = 0 \). Applying Theorem 3 for \( d = 0 \), that is looking for the free coefficient of \( v \) in the generating function \( G(t, v) \) (in this case also \( j = 0 \)), we get that

\[ h^0(t) = \frac{2t^2}{1 - 2t}. \]

By considering the coefficient of \( v^j \), \( j = 1, 2, 3 \), in the generating function \( G(t, v) \) (see Theorem 3), we obtain an explicit formula for the generating function \( h^j(t) \), and we can state the following result.
Corollary 4 We have

\[ h^0(t) = \frac{2t^2}{1 - 2t}, \quad h^1(t) = \frac{2t^3}{(1 - 2t)^2(1 - 4t)}; \]
\[ h^2(t) = \frac{16t^5(1 - 3t)}{(1 - 2t)^3(1 - 4t)^2(1 - 6t)}, \quad h^3(t) = \frac{16t^7(17 - 184t + 636t^2 - 720t^3)}{(1 - 2t)^3(1 - 4t)^3(1 - 6t)^2(1 - 8t)}. \]

This gives an exact formula for \( \#F_n^d \), when \( d = 0, 1, 2, 3 \) and \( n \geq 1, 3, 5, 7 \), respectively

\[ \#F_n^0 = 2^{n-1}; \]
\[ \#F_n^1 = 2^{n-2}(2^{n-1} - n); \]
\[ \#F_n^2 = \frac{1}{32} 6^n - \frac{1}{16} 4^n (n - 1) + \frac{1}{32} 2^n (2n^2 - 4n - 1) \]
\[ \#F_n^3 = \frac{1}{128} 8^n - \frac{1}{64} 6^n (n - 2) + \frac{1}{64} 4^n (n^2 - 4n + 2) - \frac{1}{192} 2^n (12n^2 - 13n + 2n^3 + 6). \]

We now focus on the asymptotic behavior of \( h_d \). Two sequences \( a_n \) and \( b_n \) are said to be asymptotically equivalent as \( n \to \infty \) if \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_n}{b_n} = 1 \). We denote this fact by \( a_n \sim b_n \).

Theorem 5 For any fixed \( d \geq 0 \),
\[ \#F_n^d \sim 2^{n-2d-1}(d + 1)^n. \]

Proof. Theorem 2 shows that the smallest positive pole of the generating function \( h^d(t) \) is \( t^* = \frac{1}{2(d+1)} \), of order 1. Thus, we have \( \#F_n^d \sim c_d 2^n (d + 1)^n \). Concerning the constant \( c_d \), we can write

\[
c_d = \lim_{t \to t^*} (1 - t/t^*) \lim_{v \to 0} \frac{1}{d!} \frac{\partial^d}{\partial v^d} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{4t^2 \sqrt{1 - v} (1 - (1 + 2j) \sqrt{1 - v} - t(1 - v)) v^j}{(1 + \sqrt{1 - v})^{1+2j} (1 - 2j \sqrt{1 - v})^2 (1 - 2(j + 1) t \sqrt{1 - v})^2} \right) \\
= \lim_{t \to t^*} (1 - t/t^*) \lim_{v \to 0} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{d} \frac{\partial^{d-j}}{\partial v^{d-j}} \frac{4j! (d_j)^2 \sqrt{1 - v} (1 - (1 + 2j) \sqrt{1 - v} - t(1 - v))}{d! (1 + \sqrt{1 - v})^{1+2j} (1 - 2j \sqrt{1 - v})^2 (1 - 2(j + 1) t \sqrt{1 - v})^2} \right) \\
= \lim_{t \to t^*} \lim_{v \to 0} \frac{4t^2 (1 - t/t^*) \sqrt{1 - v} (1 - (1 + 2d) \sqrt{1 - v} - t(1 - v))}{(1 + \sqrt{1 - v})^{1+2d} (1 - 2d t \sqrt{1 - v})^2 (1 - 2(d + 1) t \sqrt{1 - v})^2} \\
= \lim_{t \to t^*} \frac{4t^2 (1 - t/t^*)^2}{(1 + 2d + 1)} \\
= \frac{1}{2^{2d+1}},
\]
as claimed. \( \blacksquare \)

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have counted the number \( \#F_n^d \) of histories that allow to connect a ground state of an Ising chain with \( n \) variables to the other one, having specified the number \( d \) of domain walls (or kinks) created in every history. We have given the generating functions and studied the asymptotic behavior.
The solution of this problem is important in statistical mechanics, to solve the random walk with kinks, and in quantum computing, to investigate the robustness of topological quantum memory at finite temperature. There is a number of open problems. A first problem would be to count the number of histories to flip the Ising variables along a non contractible loop in a torus. A second open problem would be to introduce the possibility that at a given click no variable is flipped or that a variables is flipped back. Eventually, the goal would be to assign probabilities to the three different events: flipping an adjacent variable, creating a kink, no flip, and computing the probability of making a loop around the torus in a given number of steps.

[1] E. Dennis, A. Kitaev, A. Landahl, and J. Preskill, J. Math. Phys. 43, 4452 (2002).
[2] Q. -H. Hou and T. Mansour, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 216:1, 227 (2008).
[3] A. Kitaev, Annals Phys. 303, 2 (2003).
[4] B. Kogut, Rev. Mod. Phys. 51, 659 (1979).
[5] J. West, Permutations with forbidden subsequences, and stack-sortable permutations, PhD thesis, MIT, 1990.
[6] J. West, Discrete Math. 146, 247 (1995).