The association between bromodomain proteins and cancer stemness in different solid tumor types
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Abstract
Cancer stemness, which covers the stem cell-like molecular traits of cancer cells, is essential for tumor development, progression and relapse. Both transcriptional and epigenetic aberrations are essentially connected with cancer stemness. The engagement of bromodomain (BrD) proteins—a family of epigenetic factors—has been presented in the pathogenesis of several tumor types, although their association with cancer stemness remains largely unknown. Here, we harnessed TCGA and GEO databases and used several bioinformatic tools (ie, Oncomine, PrognoScan, GEPIA2, TIMER2.0, TISIDB, GSEA, R2 platform) to characterize the association between the BrD family members' expression and cancer stemness in solid tumors. Our results demonstrate that significant upregulation of ATAD2 and SMARCA4, and downregulation of SMARCA2 is consistently associated with enriched cancer stem cell-like phenotype, respectively. Especially, higher-grade tumors that display stem cell-like properties overexpress ATAD2. In contrast to most BrD members, the gene expression profiles of ATAD2HIGH expressing tumors are strongly enriched with known markers of stem cells and with specific targets for c-Myc transcription factor. For other BrD proteins, the association with cancer de-differentiation status is rather tumor-specific. Our results demonstrate for the first time the relation between distinct BrD family proteins and cancer stemness across 27 solid tumor types. Specifically, our approach allowed us to discover a robust association of high ATAD2 expression with cancer stemness and reveal its' versatility in tumors.

Abbreviations: ACC, adrenocortical carcinoma; BLCA, bladder urothelial carcinoma; BRCA, breast invasive carcinoma; BrD, bromodomain; CESC, cervical squamous cell carcinoma and endocervical adenocarcinoma; COAD, colorectal adenocarcinoma; CSC, cancer stem cell; DEG, differentially expressed genes; ESCA, esophageal carcinoma; FDR, false discovery rate; GBM, glioblastoma multiforme; GEO, gene expression omnibus; GSEA, gene set enrichment analysis; HNSC, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; HPAn, The Human Protein Atlas; HR, hazard ratio; IHC, immunohistochemistry; KICH, kidney chromophobe; KIRC, kidney renal clear cell carcinoma; KIRP, kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma; LGG, brain lower grade glioma; LIHC, liver hepatocellular carcinoma; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; LUSC, lung squamous cell carcinoma; MESO, mesothelioma; mRNA-SI, mRNA stemness index; OS, overall survival; OV, ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma; PAAD, pancreatic adenocarcinoma; PRAD, prostate adenocarcinoma; RSEM, RNA-seq by expectation maximization; SARC, sarcoma; SKCM, skin cutaneous melanoma; STAD, stomach adenocarcinoma; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; TGCT, testicular germ cell tumor; THCA, thyroid carcinoma; THYM, thymoma; UCEC, uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma; UVM, uveal melanoma.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

The bromodomain is an evolutionarily conserved, about 110 amino acid-long, protein-protein interaction domains that facilitates the recognition of acetylated lysine residues. This essential activity provides versatile functions to bromodomain-containing (BrD) proteins, primarily associated with the chromatin-templated gene transcription, recombination, replication and repair of the DNA. As the majority of BrD proteins also contain additional structurally conserved functional domains, they display diverse physiological activities, including post-translational histone modifications (acetylation and methylation), chromatin remodeling and recruitment of distinct transcription factors. Moreover, BrD members affect both transcription initiation and elongation. Notably, all those functions are fundamental for epigenetic regulation of gene expression.

BrD family members can be classified into nine subgroups based on their major molecular functions. Group I of BrD family members comprises nine members of histone acetyltransferases, group II–2 proteins acting as histone methyltransferases, group III–11 members of chromatin remodeling factors, group IV–2 proteins with AAA ATPase activity, group V–4 members of BET transcriptional coactivators, group VI–4 proteins with E3 SUMO/ubiquitin ligase activities, group VII–4 SP family proteins of PML nuclear bodies, group VIII–2 transcriptional co-repressors with MYND zinc-finger domain and group IX–3 members of WD-repeat proteins. However, all BrD members are epigenetic “readers,” and numerous of them are known to be involved in the pathogenesis of distinct human diseases, including cancer.

Epigenetic dysregulation of gene expression contributes to tumorigenicity (at least partially) via facilitating the self-renewal of cancer cells. Cancer cells that possess the ability to self-renew and to differentiate into the more specialized progeny are known as cancer stem cells (CSCs). This population exhibits highly metastatic potential and facilitates tumor relapse after treatment due to intrinsic resistance to standard therapies. Moreover, the high plasticity of CSCs provides the transition between stem-like and non-stem-like states. Therefore, it is difficult to unequivocally define the CSC population and to determine whether distinct tumor types are organized into a rigid hierarchy. An increasing number of data demonstrates that molecular features characteristic for stem cells (“stemness”) are indisputable for cancer progression and therapy resistance. Although it is unclear whether the cancer stemness reflects the presence of bona fide CSCs, the molecular signatures sufficient for grading stem cell-like phenotype essentially contribute to the development of novel therapeutic approaches that may directly target the stem cell-like compartment of the tumor.

Recent reports suggest that several BrD members play a role in the regulation of the cancer stem cell population in distinct types of tumors. Especially, the role of BRD4 protein, a member of BET transcriptional coactivators, has been well documented in several studies in vitro. BRD4 regulates the self-renewal of glioma, medulloblastoma, prostate, breast and stomach cancer stem cells, and is essential for normal stem cell maintenance. Similarly, TRIM28 (also known as TIF1-1 or KAP1) facilitates stemness acquisition in distinct types of tumors, including breast and melanoma, and contributes to the stemness machinery of normal stem cells on several distinct levels.

However, little is known about other BrD family members and their association with cancer stemness. Here, we harnessed publicly available transcriptomic data from 27 distinct types of TCGA tumors to delineate the connection between specific BrD family proteins and cancer stemness measured with previously reported stemness indices or signatures. Using the TIMER2.0 platform, we reported that for most BrD family members, the differential expression in tumor tissues vs normal adjacent tissues could be observed. According to the GEPIA2 database, for several BrD genes, we demonstrated a significant correlation with TCGA cancer patients’ outcomes, mostly negative. These results were further validated with additional datasets.
from the Oncomine, PrognoScan and the GEO databases. Next, we used a transcriptome-based stemness index (mRNA-SI) and other stem cell-derived gene expression signatures to analyze the relation between BrD proteins' expression and the level of tumor de-differentiation. We observed that among 41 tested BrD family members, the correlation with cancer stemness across 27 tumor types was consistently positive or negative for only five and four BrD genes, respectively (namely ATAD2, BRD7, KAT2A, SMARCA4, TRIM28 [positive], and KAT2B, BAZ2B, SP100 and SMARCA2 [negative]). Using clinicopathologic data, we demonstrated that higher-grade tumors display significant upregulation of ATAD2 and SMARCA4 expression, and downregulation of SMARCA2 expression, which further confirms a universal relation of these BrD proteins' expression with cancer stemness. Moreover, the gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) revealed that ATAD2-associated and SMARCA4-associated transcriptome profiles are significantly enriched with known markers of stem cells. On the other hand, SMARCA2HIGH and KAT2BHIGH tumors are significantly depleted with stemness markers. Further GSEA analyses with MSigDB Hallmark (v7.4) gene sets as a reference confirmed robust enrichment of ATAD2-associated transcriptome profiles with "cancer hallmark" terms specific for stemness-high tumors, especially the activation of c-Myc-dependent transcription.

Altogether, our results clearly demonstrate yet unrecognized association of high ATAD2 expression with cancer stem cell-like phenotype of solid tumors, regardless of the tumor type. However, molecular studies are necessary to determine the precise role of ATAD2 in stem cell-like tumors and to ascertain whether epigenetic functions mediated by ATAD2 are sufficient to promote cancer stemness.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | TCGA solid tumor types selected for the study

In the current study, we selected 27 solid TCGA tumor types (a) with more than 50 samples collected and (b) with survival data available (tumor types that were excluded: CHOL, PCPG and UCS) for analyses (Table S1). All data is available online, and the access is unrestricted and does not require patients' consent or other permissions. The use of the data does not violate the rights of any person or any institution.

2.2 | The expression of BrD family members in distinct TCGA cohorts

The differential expression of BrD family members (Table S2) in tumor tissues vs normal adjacent tissues across 18 out of 27 tested solid TCGA tumor types was analyzed using the Gene_DE module of the TIMER2.0 platform. Only those tumor types for which normal adjacent tissue was available were analyzed with TIMER2.0. The Gene_DE module allows users to study the differential expression (log2-normalized TPM values) between tumor and adjacent normal tissues for any gene of interest across TCGA tumors. The statistical significance was computed by the Wilcoxon test.

The data regarding BrD family members' expression in other GEO datasets was retrieved from the online database, Oncomine (https://www.oncomine.org/resource/login.html). For further details, see Supporting Information Materials and Methods and Figure S1.

2.3 | The association between BrD family members expression and patients' outcome

The association between BrD family members expression and patients' overall survival (OS) across 27 solid TCGA tumor types was analyzed with the Survival_Map panel of the GEPIA2 database (http://gepia2.cancer-pku.cn/#index). The hazard ratio was estimated using the Mantel–Cox test using the mean BrD family members' expression as a cut-off. As for additional GEO cohorts, the PrognoScan database was used for the meta-analysis of the prognostic value of various genes. For further details, see Supporting Information Materials and Methods and Table S3.

2.4 | TCGA genomic data

Genomic data for 27 solid TCGA tumors were directly downloaded from the cBioportal (www.cbioportal.org) database.

2.5 | Transcriptomic data

The RNA sequencing-based mRNA expression data were directly downloaded from the cBioportal. RNASEq V2 from TCGA is processed and normalized using RSEM. Specifically, the RNASEq V2 data in cBioPortal corresponds to the rsem.genes.normalized_results file from TCGA. The Spearman's correlation was used for detection of co-expressed genes with \( P \)-value < .05 and FDR < 0.01 as cut-offs. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were cut off at \( P \)-value < .05 and FDR < 0.05.

2.6 | Stemness-associated scores

The mRNA-SI stemness score and other stemness signatures (Ben-Porath_ES_core, Wong_ESC_core and Bhattacharya_ESC) used in this study were previously described. Briefly, the mRNA-SI signature was calculated based on previously built predictive model using one-class logistic regression (OCLR) on the pluripotent stem cell samples (ESC and iPSC) from the PCBC dataset. The resulting training matrix contained 12 945 mRNA expression values measured across all...
available PCBC samples. The obtained signature was further applied to score TCGA samples using the Spearman correlations between the model's weight vector and the sample's expression profile. The index was subsequently mapped to the [0,1] range by using a linear transformation that subtracted the minimum and divided by the maximum. As for Ben-Porath_ES_core, Wong_ESC_core and Bhattacharya_ESC signatures, we used R2 platform (http://r2.amc.nl, accessed on 25 June 2021) to calculate the mean value (log2-transformed z-score) for each of the signatures in tested samples (each TCGA tumor type separately).

2.7 | Histologic tumor grades

The association between BrD family members' expression and the histologic tumor grade was assessed using the TISIDB portal (http://cis.hku.hk/TISIDB/index.php). The correlation was calculated using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient (r).

2.8 | Gene set enrichment analysis

The GSEA (http://www.broad.mit.edu/gsea/index.html) was used to detect the coordinated expression of a priori defined groups of genes within the tested samples. Gene sets are available from the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB, http://www.broad.mit.edu/gsea/).
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**Figure 1** The expression of BrD family members in tumor and normal adjacent tissues and the association with cancer patients' survival. (A) The differences in expression of BrD family members in tumor tissues and normal adjacent tissues based on TCGA data. The heatmap presents log10-transformed statistical significance (P-value). Color on the heatmap denotes either upregulated (red) or downregulated (green) expression in tumor tissues. TCGA tumor names are explained in Table S1. The number of TCGA cohorts characterized with either upregulated (red) or downregulated (green) expression of specific BrD family members in tumor tissues are shown. (B) The heatmap of the hazard ratio (log10 [HR]) of death for patients with high expression of specific BrD family members (with the mean as a cut-off). Red and blue denote higher or lower hazard ratios, respectively. Bordered squares denote statistically significant HRs. The number of TCGA cohorts characterized with either better (red) or worse (blue) prognosis are shown.
The association between BrD genes’ expression and cancer stemness. (A) The heatmap of Spearman’s correlation between BrD family members and tested stemness signatures (Ben-Porath, Wong, Bhattacharya). (B) The number of TCGA cohorts characterized with either positive (red) or negative (blue) correlation between the expression of specific BrD family members and mRNA-SI are shown. (C) The association between the cohort mean mRNA-SI level and the number of TCGA cohorts characterized with either a positive (red) or negative (blue) correlation between the expression of specific BrD family members and mRNA stemness index (mRNA-SI) across 27 TCGA tumor types. The number of TCGA cohorts characterized with significances (\(P < 0.05\)) of comparisons between basal vs luminal A and basal vs normal-like BRCA subtypes calculated with Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn’s multiple comparisons test are denoted below the graphs and color-coded accordingly: red—BrD expression higher in basal vs luminal A or normal-like (B > La/N); dark blue—BrD expression lower in basal vs luminal A (B < La); green—BrD expression lower in basal vs normal-like subtype (B < N). For further details see Table S5

FIGURE 2

3 | RESULTS

3.1 The expression of BrD family members in tumor and normal adjacent tissues and the association with cancer patients’ survival

Recent reports demonstrate that several BrD family members exhibit distinct expression patterns in tumor vs normal adjacent tissues. Here, we analyzed the expression for all 41 BrD family members (Tables S1 and S2) in 18 TCGA tumor types vs normal adjacent tissue using TIMER2.0 (http://timer.cistrome.org/). We observed that for most BrD family members, the differential expression highly depends on the tumor type. Only for five genes, namely KAT2A, SMARCA4, BAZ1A, ATAD2 and TRIM28, we observed consistently higher levels and for two genes—KAT2B and SMARCA2—lower levels, respectively, regardless of the tumor type
The expression of BrD family members in lower and higher grade tumors. (A) The association between BrD family members’ expression and tumor grade—either lower (blue) or higher grade (orange), as determined with Spearman correlation test ($-\log_{10}$[P-value]). (B) log2-normalized expression of selected BrD family members in LIHC (left) and UCEC (right) tumors classified based on the histologic grade

Next, we used the average expression of BrD family members as a cut-off for patients’ stratification and observed that several BrD family members are substantially associated with a patient’s outcome in TCGA datasets (Figure 1B). Especially in ACC and in LGG, the upregulation of 16 and 11 distinct BrD members, respectively, is associated with a worse prognosis. On the other hand, the upregulation of 18 distinct BrD family members in KIRC is associated with a better prognosis. As observed for the differential expression, the association of BrD family members with patient survival is tumor-specific. For most BrD members, their level rarely correlates with tumor patients’ survival, with only nine members (BRPF1, SMARCA2, BAZ1A, BAZ1B, ATAD2, TRIM28, SP100, SP110 and SP140) being significantly associated with either better or worse survival of at least five distinct tumor types. We further validated this observation with additional datasets from the Prognoscan database (Figure S2).32

We also verified the frequencies of alterations in BrD family members with the cBioportal platform.34 As presented in Figure S3A, the mutation rates (including missense mutations, amplifications and deletions) in BrD member-encoding genes were relatively low across all tested tumor types. Specifically, ATAD2 exhibit the highest level of aberrations that accounts for 10% of all profiled samples (10 506 samples in 27 solid TCGA tumor types). A closer look at ATAD2 mutation revealed a very high frequency of alterations in OV (35.3%) and elevated levels of alterations in ESCA (20.5%) and LIHC (20.2%; Figure S3B); most of these being amplifications.

3.2 The relation between BrD family members’ expression and cancer stemness

As previously reported, solid tumors display distinct levels of cancer stemness.12 Here, we analyzed the association between the expression of BrD family members and the level of tumor stemness quantified with the previously described transcriptome-based stemness index (mRNA-SI). As presented in Figure 2A, the expression of most BrD members significantly negatively correlated with cancer stemness across many TCGA tumor types, although only for KAT2B, KMT2A, SMARCA2, BAZ2B, SP100 and SP140, the association was highly consistent (regardless of the tumor type). Moreover, we observed a significant positive correlation of KAT2A, SMARCA4, ATAD2, TRIM24 and TRIM28 expression with tumor stemness across most solid tumor types (with the last two members previously reported36).
These associations were further confirmed with additional stem cell gene signatures (Figures 2B and S4), especially for KAT2B, SMARCA2, SMARCA4, ATAD2 and TRIM28 genes.

Next, for each of the tested tumor types, we compared the mean mRNA-SI score with the mean expression of BrD encoding genes. As presented in Figure 2CD, we observed a robust positive association for 12 markers, namely KAT2A, EP300, BRD1, SMARCA4, CECR2, ATAD2, BRD4, BRD2, BRDT, TRIM28, ZMYND8 and BRWD3 genes, and a negative correlation for KAT2B and SMARCA2. These results strongly suggest that stemness-like TCGA tumors (that exhibit high mean mRNA-SI level) are significantly over-expressing KAT2B, SMARCA4, ATAD2 and TRIM28, and significantly underexpressing KAT2B and SMARCA2.

Previously, Malta et al. have found a strong association between the mRNA-SI and known clinical and molecular features of TCGA BRCA tumors, demonstrating that the basal subtype, known to exhibit an aggressive phenotype associated with an undifferentiated state, display the highest levels of mRNA-SI. Therefore, we analyzed the expression of all BrD members in individual TCGA BRCA samples, stratified by molecular subtype (PAM50). As presented in Figure 2E, several BrD members, namely BRPF1, SMARCA4, BRD7, BRD9, BA21B, ATAD2 and BRD4 are significantly upregulated in basal breast cancer subtype (when compared to less aggressive luminal A and normal-like subtype), which strongly mimics the results obtained for the mRNA-SI (Table S5).

### 3.3 | The expression of BrD family members in lower and higher-grade tumors

As we have shown previously, higher-grade tumors clearly exhibit stemness characteristics mirrored by elevated mRNA-SI scores, especially in LIHC and UCEC (Figure S5). Therefore, we determined the association between the expression of BrD encoding genes and the tumor grade (Figure 3A). We observed significantly higher expression of ATAD2 and significantly lower levels of SMARCA2 in de-differentiated tumors (Figure 3B), while the level of other previously selected BrD family members (KAT2B, KAT2A and SMARCA4) was relatively unchanged. Although we did not detect statistical significance in the analyses of IHC staining from the Human Protein Atlas, we suggest that the level of ATAD2 protein is elevated while the level of SMARCA2 is depleted (Figure S6) in the higher grade LGG tumors, further supporting our first observation.

### 3.4 | Stemness signature enrichment in the transcript profiles associated with the expression of BrD family members

Next, using the mean expression of each BrD member as a cut-off, we divided patients from all 27 solid tumor cohorts into BrD low-expressing or high-expressing.
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The transcriptome profiles associated with the expression of selected BrD family members are enriched with c-Myc and E2F transcription factor targets that significantly mirror the enrichment of mRNA-SI gene signature with the “Hallmarks of cancer” terms. (A) The GSEA using significantly DEGs (P < .05, FDR < 0.05) in TCGA patients divided into low-expressing or high-expressing ATAD2 cohorts (using the mean expression of ATAD2 as a cut-off) was performed with the MSigDB Hallmark (v7.4) collection as a reference. The heatmap presents the normalized enrichment score (NES). White—no statistical significance (P > .05) or no DEGs were detected. Only those Hallmark terms, that were previously determined as significantly enriched (violet) or depleted (yellow) in the mRNA-SI gene signature are presented in the heatmap. Right panel denotes the number of TCGA cohorts with either enriched (violet) or depleted (yellow) Hallmark term. (B-D) Similarly, the GSEA of previously determined as significantly enriched (violet) or depleted (yellow) in the mRNA-SI gene signature are presented in the heatmap. Right panel denotes the number of TCGA cohorts with either enriched (violet) or depleted (yellow) Hallmark terms are plotted or high-expressing groups. We further used all significantly DEGs between those groups to define the BrD-related transcription profiles. The numbers of DEGs for each TCGA tumor type are presented in Figure S7. We used the GSEA to determine whether the BrD-associated transcription profiles exhibit the enrichment of previously defined signatures of stemness.10-12,28 For most BrD-associated transcription profiles we observed significant depletion with stemness markers (Figure 4A) across distinct TCGA tumor types. As expected, we also detected a robust enrichment of ATAD2-associated and TRIM28-associated gene expression profiles with stemness signatures across most TCGA tumor types. Moreover, this was further validated with additional stem cell-associated gene signatures (Figures 4B and S8).39,40 As the association of TRIM28 expression with cancer stemness was reported22,25 and the versatility of this phenomenon was demonstrated recently,38 we have further focused on other BrD family members.

To unequivocally confirm the enrichment of selected BrD-associated transcriptome profiles with the stemness signatures, we used additional GEO datasets in our studies (Table S4). The results presented in Figure S9 further validated our first observation of ATAD2-associated transcriptome profiles being significantly enriched with stemness signatures regardless of the tumor type.
3.5 | Targets for E2F and c-Myc transcription factors are significantly enriched in ATAD2, SMARCA4 and KAT2A associated expression profiles

Recently, Malta et al.\textsuperscript{12} have demonstrated that stemness-associated expression profiles are significantly enriched with targets for c-Myc (MYC proto-oncogene) transcription factor, and depleted with markers of hypoxia, Wnt/\beta-catenin signaling, tumor growth factor-\beta (TGF-\beta) signaling and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT). Here, we performed the GSEA analysis of mRNA-SI gene signature (Figure S10) to define the top enriched or depleted “hallmarks of cancer” terms (FDR < 0.05) and observed a significant enrichment of c-Myc and E2F transcription factor target genes in the mRNA-SI gene signature. Subsequently, using the GSEA tool and the MSigDB Hallmark (v7.4) dataset as a reference, we observed that ATAD2-associated transcription profiles are also significantly enriched with the targets for E2F and c-Myc transcription factors. Moreover, we detected a significant enhancement of cell cycle-related term “G2/M checkpoint” in ATAD2\textsuperscript{REG} expressing tumors across all tested TCGA tumor types (Figure 5A). To confirm that this is specific for ATAD2, we also analyzed the ATAD2B-related expression profiles and observed that these are barely enriched with the targets for c-Myc transcription factors, although still exhibiting significant enrichment of E2F targets and G2/M checkpoint-related genes (Figures 5B and S11A).

Similarly, we observed opposite results for SMARCA2 and SMARCA4-related gene expression profiles (Figures 5C and S11B,C) as well as for KAT2A and KAT2B-associated transcription profiles (Figures 5D and S11D,E), with SMARCA4-related and KAT2A-related gene expression profiles exhibiting significant enrichment of c-Myc and E2F transcription factors’ target genes.

Next, we looked at the association between selected BrD members and c-Myc and E2F family transcription factors and observed that only ATAD2 (according to the pathway commons protein-protein interaction dataset) interacts with c-Myc transcription factor and that the expression of ATAD2 positively correlated with the MYC level across TCGA tumor types (Figure S12A-D), while for other BrD members, the association was rather tumour-specific. Also, the TCGA tumors with high average ATAD2 levels express significantly higher levels of E2F1 and E2F2 transcription factors (Figure S12E-G), which together might explain the enrichment of ATAD2-associated gene expression profiles with the targets for c-Myc and E2F transcription factors. ATAD2 and MYC are both encoded within the long arm of chromosome 8, therefore we excluded that the abovementioned association results strictly from shared localization. As presented in Figure S13, results obtained for FBXO32, ZHX1 and ANXA13 genes encoded within the same region as ATAD2 clearly emphasize the specificity of ATAD2 and MYC as well as ATAD2 and cancer stemness associations.

All the abovementioned results strongly support our claim that ATAD2 is positively associated with cancer stemness, regardless of the tumor type and this association might be mediated at least partially by the interaction with the c-Myc transcription factor—an essential factor facilitating the acquisition and maintenance of stem cell properties.

4 | DISCUSSION

This is the first report of the association between distinct BrD proteins and cancer de-differentiation status across different types of solid tumors. Here, we used transcriptomic data from TCGA and GEO and harnessed several publicly available bioinformatic platforms or tools to demonstrate that: (a) most BrD members exhibit differential expression in tumor and normal tissues and the expression pattern is protein-specific and highly depends on the tumor type; (b) the association between BrD proteins expression and cancer stemness is mostly negative, with only several proteins being consistently positively correlated with cancer de-differentiation status regardless of the tumor type; (c) higher-grade tumors of different types express significantly higher levels of ATAD2 and lower levels of SMARCA2; (d) the transcriptome profiles associated with high expression of ATAD2, SMARCA4 or KAT2A are significantly enriched with stemness signatures; (e) ATAD2-associated gene expression profiles display significant enrichment with the c-Myc targets that mirrors the enrichment observed for the mRNA-SI gene signature; (f) ATAD2 and MYC are commonly upregulated in cancer tissues and together might regulate the acquisition of stem cell-like phenotype by solid tumors.

This is the first report that comprehensively analyzes the association of BrD proteins with cancer de-differentiation status across numerous types of solid tumors based on previously reported stemness quantifiers: the mRNA-SI—the transcriptome-based stemness index developed by the machine learning algorithm\textsuperscript{12} using a significant number of molecular profiles of distinct stem cell populations and their differentiated progeny, as well as other stem cell gene signatures, derived from transcriptional profiling of undifferentiated normal stem cells.\textsuperscript{10,11,28,39,40} The transcriptional program previously recognized in normal stem cells is commonly launched by different human epithelial cancers, which strongly suggests its’ prevalence in gaining cancer stemness regardless of the tumor type, and stemness signatures were demonstrated as very efficient in quantifying cancer stemness (commonly reflected in the histopathological grade).\textsuperscript{10,28}

To date, only several members of BrD family were directly connected with cancer stemness. Especially, the engagement of BRD4, a member of BET transcriptional coactivators, as well as the role for TRIM28—a transcriptional co-repressor, known to mediate E3 SUMO/ubiquitin ligase activity, have been well established in mediating the self-renewal properties of cancer stem cells.\textsuperscript{15-27} We have recently reported that TRIM28 overexpression closely associates with cancer stemness in breast cancer and melanomas and subsequently demonstrated that this phenomenon is very universal across diverse types of solid tumors.\textsuperscript{22,25,38} Several potential modes of actions for TRIM28 in obtaining cancer stemness have been suggested including (a) transcriptional co-repression of differentiating genes followed by (b) the enhancement of stem cell markers’ expression.\textsuperscript{41} Also, TRIM28 might act (c) by targeting for proteasomal degradation (through RING-mediated E3 ubiquitin ligase activity) various proteins, that is, AMPK, a “metabolic switch” that attenuates cancer stemness.\textsuperscript{22} As for BRD4, Venkataraman et al.\textsuperscript{17} have demonstrated an indisputable role in mediating the self-renewal of cancer cells in c-Myc-driven
medulloblastomas, which was further observed in gliomas, stomach, and liver tumors. Similar to TRIM28, the exact mechanism of BRD4-associated cancer stemness-high phenotype is not clear, although unequivocally it depends on bromodomain activity. Surprisingly, using the TCGA transcriptomic data we did not observe a significant association of BRD4 expression with cancer stemness across 27 tested tumor types, in contrast to previously reported TRIM28 and newly discovered ATAD2 (and to some part also SMARCA4) and cancer de-differentiation status.

ATAD2, a chromatin modulator that possesses an AAA+ ATPase domain and a bromodomain, is normally overexpressed in non-specialized cells, including embryonic stem cells, and in germ cells. Recently, ATAD2 has been recognized as essential in supporting specific transcriptional programs in ESC cells, modulating their proliferation and differentiation. Here, using the TCGA and GEO transcriptomic data, we report yet unrecognized association between ATAD2 overexpression and cancer stemness in solid tumors across distinct tumor types.

Several studies have previously reported significant upregulation of ATAD2 expression in solid tumors of distinct origins as well as its association with poor patients’ outcome, especially in lung, breast, liver, ovarian and cervix cancers. Our results demonstrate that a high ATAD2 level is significantly associated with a worse outcome in ACC, KIRP, LGG, LUAD, MESO, PAAD TCGA tumors, strongly suggesting that ATAD2 overexpression favors malignant transformation of unrelated cancer types. As previously reported, a high ATAD2 expression correlated with more aggressive tumor subgroups of cervical, colorectal, gastric and liver cancer patients, although a direct link with a cancer stem cell compartment was not tested. Here, we demonstrate that ATAD2 upregulation positively correlates with a higher tumor grade of HNSC, KIRC, LGG, LiHC, OV, PAAD and UCEC tumors, and higher-grade tumors clearly display stem cell features, particularly stemness-related gene expression profiles.

Moreover, a significant correlation between the mean ATAD2 expression and the mean mRNA-SI score across tested tumor types suggests that strongly de-differentiated tumors overexpress ATAD2. We report yet unrecognized correlation between ATAD2 upregulation and significant enrichment of stem cell-like phenotype in cancer and prove its’ versatility across solid tumors. The transcriptome profiles of ATAD2HIGH cancers are robustly overrepresented with predefined stemness gene signatures as well as with the targets for E2F and c-Myc transcription factors. Previously, ATAD2 has been identified as a transcriptional co-regulator modulating the expression of estrogen and androgen receptors or E2F and c-Myc transcription factors, all known as cancer/proliferation-promoting factors. The pRB-E2F pathway tightly regulates ATAD2 expression, which is essential for the growth of normal and cancer cells. As a direct binding partner for both E2F and c-Myc, ATAD2 induces the expression of genes that facilitate cell cycle progression and inhibition of apoptosis in many different types of cancers, including breast, lung and prostate tumors. Also, Wu et al proposed that ATAD2 might cooperate with the c-Myc to control the level of SMO and GLI1, leading to the Hedgehog (Hh) pathway and feedback of the Hh pathway activation in liver tumor cells. Similar results were recently reported for esophagus tumors. Li et al suggested that ATAD2 could regulate cancer stem cell biological features by activating the Hh pathway, as silencing of ATAD2 decreased the proliferation, invasion, migration and colony formation abilities of CSCs which corresponds to the Hh pathway inhibition.

Our results are in line with previously reported ATAD2 and MYC co-expression. c-Myc dysregulation accounts for most of the similarities between aggressive tumors and normal stem cell characteristics. Therefore, we suggest that the ATAD2-related cancer stem cell-like phenotype is mediated through both ATAD2 and c-Myc proteins and propose ATAD2 as a druggable target for de-differentiated tumors (especially those overexpressing MYC), which emerges achievable when considering its ATPase activity and its bromodomain. However, molecular studies are indispensable in order to determine the exact role for ATAD2 in cancer stem cell-like phenotype of solid tumors.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

To our results, demonstrate that BrD family genes display diverse expression patterns in stem cell-like solid tumors. Among all tested BrD proteins, the newly discovered positive association between ATAD2 and cancer de-differentiation status emerges as universal regardless of the tumor type. Higher-grade tumors display significant upregulation of ATAD2 expression and high ATAD2 level corresponds to enhanced c-Myc transcriptional activity. Together, we suggest that ATAD2 might serve as a potential therapeutic target for de-differentiated solid tumors that strongly exhibit cancer stem cell-like characteristics.
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