Yeast DNA Polymerase ε Catalytic Core and Holoenzyme Have Comparable Catalytic Rates*
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Background: The catalytic rates for yeast Pol ε are unknown.

Results: The catalytic core and holoenzyme have comparable catalytic rates, but the loading onto primer termini differs.

Conclusion: The accessory subunits and C terminus of the catalytic subunit do not influence the catalytic rates.

Significance: The catalytic rates of Pol ε provide a benchmark for future mechanistic studies of leading strand synthesis.

The holoenzyme of yeast DNA polymerase ε (Pol ε) consists of four subunits: Pol2, Dpb2, Dpb3, and Dpb4. A protease-sensitive site results in an N-terminal proteolytic fragment of Pol2, called Pol2core, that consists of the catalytic core of Pol ε and retains both polymerase and exonuclease activities. Pre-steady-state kinetics showed that the exonuclease rates on single-stranded, double-stranded, and mismatched DNA were comparable between Pol ε and Pol2core. Single-turnover pre-steady-state kinetics also showed that the $k_{pol}$ of Pol ε and Pol2core were comparable when preloading the polymerase onto the primer-template before adding Mg$^{2+}$ and dTTP. However, a global fit of the data over six sequential nucleotide incorporation revealed that the overall polymerization rate and processivity were higher for Pol ε than for Pol2core. The largest difference between Pol ε and Pol2core was observed when challenged for the formation of a ternary complex and incorporation of the first nucleotide. Pol ε needed less than 1 s to incorporate a nucleotide, but several seconds passed before Pol2core incorporated detectable levels of the first nucleotide. We conclude that the accessory subunits and the C terminus of Pol2 do not influence the catalytic rate of Pol ε but facilitate the loading and incorporation of the first nucleotide by Pol ε.

All eukaryotes have three replicative DNA polymerases (Pol2 ε, Pol δ, and Pol α) that are responsible for the synthesis of the leading and lagging strands during DNA replication (1–3). Pol α and Pol δ are the major DNA polymerases that replicate the lagging strand. Pol α synthesizes short primers that are extended by Pol δ in a cyclical manner to produce stretches of DNA known as Okazaki fragments (4). Under normal conditions, Pol ε is primarily responsible for the synthesis of the leading strand (5). Both Pol δ and Pol ε have an exonuclease domain that provides a proofreading function and allows the polymerases to replicate DNA with high fidelity (6).

Kinetic studies of prokaryotic, archaeal, and eukaryotic DNA polymerases have shown that they all follow the same basic mechanism when incorporating nucleotides into the nascent DNA strand (7, 8). The first step involves binding of the enzyme to the DNA, and the second step is the binding of a dNTP and two metal ions into the active site of the enzyme. The third step involves a conformational change from an open to a closed state that aligns the incoming dNTP, the 3′-OH of the nascent DNA strand, and the metal ions in a precise arrangement to allow phosphodiester bond formation. Following the transfer of the phosphoryl group to the growing DNA chain, a second conformational change occurs that allows for the release of pyrophosphate. The length of the DNA strand has now increased by one nucleotide. The DNA polymerase can either remain bound to the DNA and continue synthesis (called “processive synthesis”) or dissociate from the DNA and then bind again for the next nucleotide incorporation (called “distributive synthesis”) (9).

The eukaryotic B-family polymerases are composed of several subunits. Yeast Pol ε consists of four subunits, Pol2, Dpb2, Dpb3, and Dpb4 (10, 11). Pol2 can be further divided into two domains, the N-terminal catalytic domain and a C-terminal domain that interacts with Dpb2, Dpb3, and Dpb4. The catalytic domain of Pol2 contains the polymerase site for synthesizing DNA and a 3′–5′ exonuclease site that is responsible for proofreading the newly synthesized DNA (12, 13). We will refer to the catalytic domain (amino acids 1–1228) as Pol2core and to the holoenzyme with all four subunits as Pol ε for the remainder of this work. The recently solved high resolution structure of Pol2core (amino acids 1–1228) revealed a domain that is not found among other DNA polymerases (13). This so-called P domain allows Pol ε to encircle double-stranded DNA as the DNA leaves the polymerase active site, and this domain was shown to be important for the processivity and polymerase activity of Pol2core.

Pol ε replicates DNA with high fidelity. In general, it has been shown that the fidelity of replicative DNA polymerases is determined by three factors: the selection of correct nucleotides at the polymerase active site, the low likelihood to extend a mismatch, and the ability to excise the mismatch in the exonuclease site if incorporated. In vitro studies of Pol ε have suggested that the exonuclease activity increases the fidelity by
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100-fold or more (14, 15). Mice deficient in the exonuclease activity of Pol ε were first shown to have increased incidence of tumors (16). It has now been established that mutations in POLE, which inactivates the exonuclease activity, are associated with cancer (17–20).

The exonuclease and polymerase rates for yeast Pol ε have not yet been reported. In order to better understand the mechanism of DNA synthesis by Pol ε, it is important to determine its various kinetic rate constants. This will expand our knowledge of how Pol ε maintains high fidelity and processivity inside the cell. In addition, the C-terminal domain of Pol2 and the three accessory subunits have previously been implicated in checkpoint activation, initiation of DNA replication, positioning of Pol ε on the leading strand, processive DNA synthesis, and replication fidelity (21–26). The processivity of Pol ε is likely to be influenced by amino acids located in the thumb domain and palm domains, the P domain, the Dpb3 and Dpb4 subunits, interaction with proliferating cell nuclear antigen, interactions with the CMG complex (the replicative helicase), and dNTP concentrations. However, the relative contributions of the different determinants are still unclear. In this work, we have explored the kinetic mechanisms of DNA synthesis by yeast Pol ε and yeast Pol2core to ask if the C terminus and the accessory subunits influence the exonuclease and polymerase rates and/or the loading of yeast Pol ε. We found that Pol ε has high polymerization and exonuclease rates as would be expected from a replicative polymerase. We also found that the C terminus and the accessory subunits do not influence the catalytic rates but do influence the processivity of polymerization and facilitate the loading of yeast Pol ε onto the DNA.

**Experimental Procedures**

**Purification of Proteins—Saccharomyces cerevisiae** Pol ε exo⁻, Pol2core exo⁻ (amino acids 1–1228), and Pol2core (amino acids 1–1228) were tagged with glutathione S-transferase (GST) tag at the N terminus and purified as described (13, 27) (Fig. 1). The GST tag was removed during the purification. The exonuclease-deficient variants, Pol ε exo⁻ and Pol2core exo⁻, carry two amino acid substitutions, D290A and E292A, that are present in the previously studied pol2-4 allele (28). *S. cerevisiae* Pol ε was purified by conventional chromatography as described previously (29) (Fig. 1). All variants were expressed under the control of an inducible Gal1-10 galactose promoter in *S. cerevisiae* strain Py116. Proteins were typically purified from 90–120 liters of yeast grown in glycerol-lactate medium. After a final gel filtration step over a Sephadex 200 column, the purified proteins were concentrated to 5–17 mg/ml in a buffer containing 25 mM Hepes-NaOH (pH 7.6), 10% glycerol, 1 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl) phosphate hydrochloride, and 800 mM NaAC (pH 7.8).

**DNA Substrates—Oligonucleotides** were purchased from MWG Operon (Ebersberg, Germany) and gel-purified before use. Primer-template duplexes were prepared by mixing 6 μM primer strand with 7.2 μM template strand in a buffer containing 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) and 100 mM NaCl, heating to 85 °C for 5 min in a heating block, and slow cooling to room temperature.

**Pre-steady-state Kinetics—**All experiments were performed on a Rapid Quench QFM-400 (Bio-Logic, Claix, France) in RQ buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.8), 100 μg/ml bovine serum albumin (BSA), and 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) at 25 °C unless otherwise specified. A reaction mixture containing DNA, enzyme, and RQ buffer was loaded into syringe A, and a reaction mixture containing magnesium acetate, deoxyribonucleotides (dNTPs), and RQ buffer was loaded in syringe B of the rapid quench machine (specific reaction ingredients and concentrations are described below). Syringe C was loaded with water for washing, and syringe D was loaded with 3 M HCl to quench the reactions. Each time point consisted of mixing 20 μl from syringe A and 20 μl from syringe B in the reaction chamber, allowing the reaction to occur for the preset time, quenching with 20 μl of 3 M HCl, and collecting the reaction products from the exit line. The reaction products were neutralized with 100 μl of 1 M Tris-HCl (pH 8) and mixed with 150 μl of formamide containing 20 mM EDTA and 0.1% bromphenol blue. A total of 8 μl of this reaction mixture was loaded onto a 10% polacrylamide gel containing 8 M urea and 25% formamide in 1X TBE (Tris/borate/EDTA) buffer. The gel was scanned with a Typhoon Scanner 9400 (GE Healthcare) at the Alexa 532-nm setting to excite the fluorophore (tetrachlorofluorescein) that was covalently bound to the 5'-end of the primer DNA. The band intensities were quantified with ImageQuant version 5.2 software (GE Healthcare), and relative intensities were calculated by dividing the intensity of a specific band by the total intensity of all observed bands. The data were analyzed and plotted with GraphPad Prism version 5.01.

**Exonuclease Rates—**Exonuclease rates were determined by loading syringe A with 400 nM enzyme, 38 nM DNA, and 1 mM EDTA in RQ buffer and syringe B with 16 mM magnesium acetate in RQ buffer. The final concentrations of reactants were 200 nM enzyme, 19 nM DNA substrate, 0.5 mM EDTA, and 8 mM magnesium acetate. The data were fit to the following equation:

\[
[\text{Primer}] = A_1e^{-kt_{fast}} + A_2e^{-kt_{slow}}
\]

**Polymerase Rates—**Polymerase rates were determined by loading syringe A with 400 nM enzyme, 38 nM primer-template (50/80-mer), and 1 mM EDTA in RQ buffer and loading syringe
TABLE 1
Oligonucleotides used in the primer extension assays

| Oligonucleotide | Sequence |
|-----------------|----------|
| 5'TT-mer        | 5'-GATCGAGCTGTCCCTTAGAGGAATCTGCCTCGCAGCCTCCACTCAACTCTTT-3' |
| 50/80-mer       | 5'-GATCGAGCTGTCCCTTAGAGGAATCTGCCTCGCAGCCTCCACTCAACTCTTT-3' |
| 51T/80-mer      | 3'-CTATGATCTGACAGAACCTCTCTAGCTAAGCGAGCTCAGGGTATGATTGCTTTTTGACTAGTAATGGCAC-5' |
| 51TT/80-mer     | 5'-GATCGAGCTGTCCCTTAGAGGAATCTGCCTCGCAGCCTCCACTCAACTCTTT-3' |
| 24/54-mer       | 3'-CGCGGAGCCGTCGGCAGGTGAGTTGAGTAGGTCTTGTTGCAGTGACTGATAGTTCGAC-5' |
| 12/18-mer       | 5'-CCACTCGACCTAC-3' |

$K_d^{DNA}$ represents the equilibrium dissociation constant for the binary complex ($E$-DNA), $D$ is the DNA concentration, and $E$ is the active enzyme concentration. The concentrations given are final.

RESULTS

The Exonuclease Rate of Pol $\epsilon$—The high fidelity of Pol $\epsilon$ is in part due to its 3'-5' exonuclease activity, which allows for efficient removal of misincorporated nucleotides (6, 14). To examine the kinetics of the exonuclease reaction and to clarify whether the accessory subunits and/or C terminus of Pol $\epsilon$ influence the exonucleolytic removal of the 3'-terminal nucleotide, we used four different substrates: single-stranded DNA, a perfectly primed template, and primer-templates ending with either one or two mispaired nucleotides at the 3' terminus of the primer (Table 1). Exonuclease rates were determined by preincubating the respective DNA substrate with either saturating concentrations of wild-type Pol2core or Pol $\epsilon$ and then initiating the reaction by mixing the enzyme-DNA complexes with Mg$^{2+}$. Loss of the 3'-nucleotide from single-stranded DNA, primer template, single-mismatched DNA, and double-mismatched DNA was quantified and plotted against time (Fig. 2). The resulting curves were fitted to a biexponential decay equation (Equation 1) with a fast phase and a slow phase. It has previously been suggested that the slow phase is observed due to the primer terminus switching between the exonuclease and polymerase active sites of replicative DNA polymerases or the binding of free primer to DNA (32–35).

We found that Pol $\epsilon$ has a $K_{fast}$ of 49 s$^{-1}$ and a $K_{slow}$ of 1.2 s$^{-1}$ when excising one nucleotide from 61 and 26%, respectively, of the single-stranded DNA (Table 2). Excising one nucleotide from a single-mismatched and double-mismatched DNA gave a $K_{fast}$ of 33 and 46 s$^{-1}$ when exciting 61 and 49%, respectively. A correctly paired primer-end was removed at a slower rate, 11 s$^{-1}$, and only about 33% of the substrate was removed during the fast phase. In contrast to the variation seen for the $K_{fast}$, the $K_{slow}$ was measured to be about 1 s$^{-1}$ for all four substrates. The fast phase was the major contributor to the exonuclease reaction, so only the rate obtained for the fast phase was considered below.

The observed rate constants for Pol2core and Pol $\epsilon$ were comparable except for the removal of a single mismatch in which the rate constant of Pol2core is greater than that of Pol $\epsilon$ (Table 2). This difference was not seen when the two last nucleotides in the primer were mismatched.
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The Polymerase Rate and $K_{d}^{p}$TTTP of Pol ε—To measure the maximum rate of incorporation by Pol ε and the ground state affinity of dTTP for the binary complex of Pol ε and DNA (Table 1), we saturated the DNA substrate with enzyme (200 nM Pol ε and 19 nM DNA) and started the reaction by the addition of Mg$^{2+}$ and dTTP ranging in concentration from 0.5 to 500 µM (final concentrations). The amount of product formed was plotted against time using a double-exponential equation with a fast phase and a slow phase for each dTTP concentration (Equation 2). As for the exonuclease reactions, the slower phase is probably observed due to switching of the primer terminus between the polymerase and exonuclease active sites or association of free Pol ε with DNA substrate. The single-turnover rates were determined for both the Pol$^{exo}$ and Pol ε exo- enzymes (Tables 3 and 4). The fast phase was the major contributor to the polymerization reaction, and only the rate constants for the fast phase were plotted against dTTP concentration according to Equation 3 to calculate $k_{pol}$ and $K_{d}^{dTTP}$ (Fig. 3). The maximum polymerization rate constant ($k_{pol}$) was ~352 s$^{-1}$, and the $K_{d}^{dTTP}$ was ~25 µM for Pol$^{exo}$, and similar values of $k_{pol}$ ~319 s$^{-1}$ and $K_{d}^{dTTP}$ ~21 µM were found for Pol ε exo- (Table 5). The similarities in kinetic constants between the two enzymes indicate that the accessory subunits of Pol ε do not influence the ground state affinity for dTTP or the maximal rate of polymerization when adding a single nucleotide.

Elemental Effect of Nucleotide Incorporation—The rate-limiting step during DNA polymerization has been a matter of debate for some time (7, 36–39). One approach to identifying this step has been to compare the polymerization rate of an incoming normal nucleotide (dNTP) with that of a nucleotide that has replaced a non-bridging oxygen with sulfur on the α-phosphate of the incoming nucleotide ([S$_p$]-dNTPαS). The observed rate of incorporation has been shown to decrease by about 4–11-fold for the ([S$_p$]-dNTPαS) if the chemistry step is the rate-limiting step during polymerization (40, 41). It was previously shown that the elemental effect of ([S$_p$]-dTTPαS) incorporation by human Pol$^{exo}$ (amino acids 1–1189) was 0.9, suggesting that the chemistry step was not rate-limiting (31). Carrying out similar experiments with yeast Pol$^{exo}$ in the presence of dTTP or 15 µM ([S$_p$]-dTTPαS) (Fig. 4). We observed reaction constants of 115 ± 22 s$^{-1}$ and 68.4 ± 11 s$^{-1}$ in the presence of dTTP and ([S$_p$]-dTTPαS), respectively, to give an elemental effect of 1.6. The experiment was repeated with Pol ε exo- to determine whether the accessory subunits and the C terminus of Pol2 affect the chemistry step. The rate constants were 131 ± 20 s$^{-1}$ for dTTP and 151 ± 21 s$^{-1}$ for ([S$_p$]-dTTPαS) to give an elemental effect of 0.86 (Fig. 4), which may suggest that the chemistry step is not rate-limiting in the presence of all three accessory subunits and an intact Pol2 subunit.

| Table 2: Kinetics of exonuclease degradation |
|---------------------------------------------|
| Pol2core | 51T | 50/80 | 51T | 51T/80 | 51T/80 |
| $k_{fast}$ (s$^{-1}$) | 47 ± 5 | 12 ± 3 | 65 ± 8 | 35 ± 3 |
| $A_{fast}$ (nm) | 11 | 8.8 | 12.9 | 13.5 |
| Pol ε | 51T | 50/80 | 51T | 51T/80 |
| $k_{fast}$ (s$^{-1}$) | 49 ± 5 | 11 ± 2 | 33 ± 4 | 46 ± 8 |
| $A_{fast}$ (nm) | 11.6 | 6.2 | 11.6 | 9.3 |

$^a$ Single-stranded DNA.
$^b$ Correctly paired primer-template.
$^c$ Single mismatch at 3’-end of primer-template.
$^d$ Double mismatch at 3’-end of primer-template $k_{fast}$ represents the exonuclease rates of the fast phase, whereas $A_{fast}$ denotes the amplitude of the fast phase.

The Processive Polymerization Rate of Pol ε—The rate at which DNA polymerases incorporate multiple nucleotides in the nascent strand varies with the sequence context and is determined by multiple steps, including translocation along the DNA. To assess the rate of processive polymerization by Pol2core exo- and Pol ε exo-, we quantified the replication products from a primer extension reaction under single-turnover pre-steady-state conditions. We preloaded the DNA polymerase onto the DNA in the presence of excess DNA and started the reaction by the addition of what are considered to be physiological concentrations of dNTPs in yeast (dTTP = 39 µM, dATP = 66 µM, dGTP = 22 µM, and dTTP = 11 µM) (30). The reaction was quenched after 3–800 ms, and the replication products were separated on a denaturing polyacrylamide gel (Fig. 5A). The data were modeled using the global fit algorithm in the KinTek Explorer software (42) to determine the rates of polymerization and dissociation at the first six positions on the template (Fig. 5B and Table 6). The model fits the data based on
We found that \( \text{Pol2core exo}^- \) had an average polymerization rate of 176 s\(^{-1}\) over the second to fifth incorporated nucleotide and that \( \text{Pol }\varepsilon\  \text{exo}^-\) had an average polymerization rate of 242 s\(^{-1}\). Both \( \text{Pol2core exo}^- \) and \( \text{Pol }\varepsilon\  \text{exo}^-\) were sufficiently processive to synthesize 30 nucleotides without dissociating from the template. It took \( \text{Pol2core exo}^- \) about 0.1 s and \( \text{Pol }\varepsilon\  \text{exo}^-\) about 0.04 s to synthesize equal amounts of 30-nucleotide product (Fig. 5), suggesting that the difference in polymerization rates between the two enzymes is maintained over longer distances than the first five nucleotides. The dissociation rates at each position on the template influence the processivity. We found that \( \text{Pol2core exo}^- \) had an average dissociation rate of 4.6 s\(^{-1}\) over the first five nucleotides, whereas \( \text{Pol }\varepsilon\  \text{exo}^-\) had an average dissociation rate of 5.1 s\(^{-1}\) (Table 6). In the case of \( \text{Pol }\varepsilon\  \text{exo}^-\), the average dissociation rate might be overestimated due to the much higher than average dissociation rate at the fifth position.

The Loading of \( \text{Pol }\varepsilon\) onto the 3' Terminus of the Primer—The mechanism by which \( \text{Pol }\varepsilon\) loads onto the 3' terminus of the primer-template is poorly understood. The high resolution

---

**TABLE 3**

\( k_{\text{obs}} \) obtained at different dTTP concentrations for \( \text{Pol }\varepsilon\  \text{exo}^-\)

| dNTP | 0.5 \( \mu M \) | 1 \( \mu M \) | 2.5 \( \mu M \) | 5 \( \mu M \) | 7.5 \( \mu M \) | 10 \( \mu M \) | 15 \( \mu M \) | 20 \( \mu M \) | 30 \( \mu M \) | 50 \( \mu M \) | 75 \( \mu M \) | 100 \( \mu M \) | 200 \( \mu M \) | 500 \( \mu M \) |
|------|----------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| \( k_{\text{fast}} \) (s\(^{-1}\)) | 4.1 ± 1.0 | 9.9 ± 1.6 | 27.6 ± 4.3 | 62.3 ± 6.1 | 91 ± 11 | 114 ± 20 | 131 ± 27 | 150 ± 26 | 194 ± 26 | 205 ± 21 | 246 ± 22 | 276 ± 35 | 291 ± 49 | 304 ± 42 |
| \( A_{\text{fast}} \) (nM) | 3.9 | 4.8 | 5.6 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 8.2 | 7.8 | 9.0 | 9.4 | 9.8 | 9.5 | 10 | 11 |
| \( k_{\text{slow}} \) (s\(^{-1}\)) | 0.3 ± 0.1 | 0.5 ± 0.1 | 0.6 ± 0.1 | 0.6 ± 0.1 | 0.6 ± 0.2 | 2.9 ± 1.6 | 1.6 ± 0.5 | 1.1 ± 0.3 | 1.3 ± 0.3 | 0.8 ± 0.2 | 2.9 ± 0.7 | 1.4 ± 0.6 | 1.0 ± 0.4 |
| \( A_{\text{slow}} \) (nM) | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 3.0 |

---

**TABLE 4**

\( k_{\text{obs}} \) obtained at different dTTP concentrations for \( \text{Pol2core exo}^-\)

| dNTP | 0.5 \( \mu M \) | 1 \( \mu M \) | 2.5 \( \mu M \) | 5 \( \mu M \) | 7.5 \( \mu M \) | 10 \( \mu M \) | 15 \( \mu M \) | 20 \( \mu M \) | 30 \( \mu M \) | 50 \( \mu M \) | 75 \( \mu M \) | 100 \( \mu M \) | 200 \( \mu M \) | 500 \( \mu M \) |
|------|----------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| \( k_{\text{fast}} \) (s\(^{-1}\)) | 5.6 ± 1.4 | 20.6 ± 7.9 | 44.6 ± 6.4 | 57.3 ± 7.0 | 89 ± 11 | 126 ± 14 | 132 ± 21 | 153 ± 15 | 164 ± 18 | 232 ± 25 | 244 ± 31 | 312 ± 28 | 323 ± 38 | 325 ± 22 |
| \( A_{\text{fast}} \) (nM) | 5.2 | 6.2 | 8.0 | 9.3 | 10.4 | 10.9 | 11.2 | 11.4 | 12.4 | 12.6 | 13.6 | 12.9 | 13.8 |
| \( k_{\text{slow}} \) (s\(^{-1}\)) | 0.5 ± 0.1 | 0.9 ± 0.3 | 1.6 ± 0.3 | 2.4 ± 0.5 | 1.2 ± 0.5 | 1.4 ± 0.5 | 1.7 ± 0.7 | 2.2 ± 2.6 | 10.8 ± 3.0 | 6.2 ± 2.4 | 1.7 ± 0.7 | 10.3 ± 3.0 | 3.5 ± 1.0 |
| \( A_{\text{slow}} \) (nM) | 8.8 | 7.9 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 2.3 |
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**FIGURE 4. Elemental effect.** Single-turnover nucleotide incorporation reactions were performed with Pol2core exo− (A) and Pol ε exo− (B) either in the presence of 15 μM dTTP (black line, ■) or in the presence of 15 μM [γ-32P]-dTTPαS (red line, □). The amount of product formed is plotted against time and fit in a biphasic association equation. Mean values of the two independent experiments are plotted.

structure of Pol2core revealed a domain that allows Pol ε to incircle the double-stranded DNA (13), but this P domain might also restrict the loading of Pol ε onto the 3′ terminus of the nascent strand because the active site of Pol ε is less accessible. Our kinetics experiments show that Pol2core is clearly able to bind to DNA with the 3′ terminus in the polymerase active site, but it is unclear whether the accessory subunits facilitate the loading of Pol ε onto the DNA. To test this, we synthesized oligonucleotide primer-templates of different lengths, including a 12/18-mer, a 24/54-mer, and a 50/80-mer (Table 1). Primer extension assays were performed in which the DNA polymerase was not preincubated with DNA. Instead, we added the polymerase to a complete mix with dNTPs and primer-template that allowed us to measure the time it took to load either Pol2core exo− or Pol ε exo− onto the 3′ terminus and extend the primer by at least one nucleotide (Fig. 6). We found that the time for loading onto the primer terminus and incorporation of the first nucleotide varied for the three different substrates. Both Pol2core exo− and Pol ε exo− were efficiently loaded onto the primer termini of the 12/18-mer, and extension products had already started accumulating by 100–200 ms. Pol2core exo− was slower to bind and extend the 24/54- and 50/80-mer compared with the 12/18-mer in the given time range. A barely detectable product appeared after 1.5 s on the 50/80-mer. Pol ε exo− also bound and extended the 24/54- and 50/80-mer with slower kinetics than the 12/18-mer, but in both cases, there was detectable product at 400 ms. As described above, when the polymerase is preloaded onto the primer-template DNA, the catalytic rates for the first incorporated nucleotide are similar for Pol2core exo− and Pol ε exo− (Table 5).

Thus, the loading and extension of the first nucleotide appears to be facilitated by the C terminus of Pol2 and/or the accessory subunits when longer DNA substrates are used. The comparable rates on the short 12/18-mer are probably due to diffusion onto the ends of the short oligonucleotides, something that is suppressed as the oligonucleotides become longer.

**Active Site Titration—** To ask whether the accessory subunits and C terminus of Pol2 affect the equilibrium dissociation constant of the DNA-Pol ε exo− binary complex, we carried out an active site titration. This experiment also determines whether both enzyme preparations (Pol2core exo− and Pol ε exo−) are equally active and if the differences observed in the loading experiments are not an artifact due to less active Pol2core exo−. DNA-polymerase complex dissociation is a slow process, and it is for that reason possible to estimate the active polymerase concentration and dissociation constant of a binary complex by examining the dependence of product formation on DNA concentration. To determine the $K_{d}^{DNA}$ and active concentration of the respective enzymes, a 65 nM concentration of either Pol ε exo− or Pol2core exo− was preincubated with DNA (50/80-mer) ranging from 5 to 150 nM and rapidly mixed with 100 μM dTTP and magnesium acetate. The reactions were quenched after 50 ms, and the amount of product formed correlates with the active enzyme concentration. The products formed at each DNA concentration were plotted against the DNA concentration in a quadratic equation to calculate the dissociation constants of the binary complex and active enzyme concentration (Equation 4 and Fig. 7). For Pol2core exo−, the $K_{d}^{DNA}$ was 15.6 ± 3 nM, and the active enzyme concentration was 45 ± 2 nM, which means that 70% of our Pol2core exo− preparation was active. The $K_{d}^{DNA}$ for Pol ε exo− was 11.6 ± 2 nM, and the concentration of active enzyme was 52 ± 2 nM, which means that 80% of our Pol ε exo− preparation was active. These results show that both Pol2core exo− and Pol ε exo− are equally active. We conclude that the differences observed in the loading of Pol ε exo− and Pol2core exo− onto the 50/80-mer are inherent properties of the two variants and not an artifact observed because of inactive Pol2core exo− (Fig. 6).

**DISCUSSION**

The rate of DNA replication is determined by several factors, including the rate at which the helicase unwinds the DNA, the rates at which different protein complexes exchange positions on the leading and lagging strands, the concentrations of dNTPs, and the rate of the chemical reaction in which the DNA polymerase adds a nucleotide to the nascent strand. Here we have established kinetic properties of yeast Pol ε in the absence of other proteins that function as part of the replisome.

Fidelity measurements have shown that yeast Pol ε is highly accurate when incorporating deoxyribonucleotides during DNA replication (14, 44). Interestingly, Pol ε relies to a larger extent than Pol δ on its proofreading activity to achieve such high fidelity (14, 44). Here we determined the exonuclease rates of Pol2core and Pol ε on four different DNA substrates to
explore whether the accessory subunits and/or C terminus of Pol2 may influence the exonuclease rate of Pol2core and Pol ε. Single-stranded DNA, duplex DNA with a single mismatch at the 3' terminus, and duplex DNA with a double mismatch at the 3' terminus were all degraded at a higher rate than a correctly paired primer-template. This was expected from measurements of other DNA polymerases with proofreading capacity and is dependent on the partitioning between the exonuclease site and polymerase site, which are located about 40 Å apart (3, 35). A comparison between the rates of Pol2core and Pol ε shows that the rates for removal of nucleotides from single-stranded DNA, a double-mismatched duplex, and a correctly paired

FIGURE 5. Processive polymerization rates. A, multiple nucleotide incorporations were carried out to measure the time taken by Pol2core exo− and Pol ε exo− to incorporate 30 nucleotides under single-turnover conditions. Excess 50/80-mer (100 nM) was preincubated with enzyme (60 nM) and rapidly mixed with Mg2+ and physiological dNTP concentrations. B, the amount of remaining substrate (50-mer in red) and each intermediate product (51-mer in red, 52-mer in yellow, 53-mer in maroon, 54-mer in green, 55-mer in light blue, and 56-mer in black) were plotted with the KinTek global simulation software using a model defining the incorporation of the first six nucleotides and six DNA dissociation events. The polymerization rate constants obtained for Pol2core exo− and Pol ε exo− are listed in Table 6.
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| Nucleotide | Rates of polymerization | Dissociation rates |
|------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
|            | Pol2 core exo⁻ | Pol ε exo⁻ | Pol2 core exo⁻ | Pol ε exo⁻ |
| 1st        | 54 ± 1       | 92 ± 1       | 0.8 ± 0.48    | 1.00 ± 0.12 |
| 2nd        | 151 ± 3      | 174 ± 4      | 4.4 ± 1.3     | 1.58 ± 0.20 |
| 3rd        | 142 ± 5      | 175 ± 7      | 4.4 ± 0.39    | 2.04 ± 0.04 |
| 4th        | 251 ± 35     | 345 ± 92     | 3.3 ± 0.29    | 3.79 ± 3.00 |
| 5th        | 162 ± 4      | 275 ± 11     | 6.3 ± 0.50    | 13 ± 7.5   |

FIGURE 6. Loading of Pol ε onto the 3’-primer terminus. Primer extension reactions were carried out by rapidly mixing enzyme with DNA, Mg²⁺, and dTTP and then quenching the reactions at the time points indicated in A. dTTP incorporation was indicative of ternary complex formation and subsequent phosphodiester bond formation. Different lengths of DNA substrates were used to monitor the length dependence on the accessibility for loading the 3’-terminus into the polymerase active site and to extend the primer by one nucleotide. The relative band intensities of the incorporation of dTTP and then quenching the reactions at the time points indicated in B were plotted against time for Pol2 core exo⁻ and Pol ε exo⁻.

FIGURE 7. Active site titration. 65 nm Pol2 core exo⁻ (○) or Pol ε exo⁻ (▲) was preincubated with different concentrations of DNA and rapidly mixed with dTTP and Mg²⁺ for 50 ms. The mean product formed was plotted against DNA concentration in a quadratic equation to determine the $K_{D,ex}$ of 15.6 ± 3 nM and active enzyme concentration of 45 ± 2 nm for Pol2 core exo⁻ and $K_{D,ex}$ of 11.6 ± 2 nm for active enzyme concentration of 52 ± 2 nm for Pol ε exo⁻. Values are S.E. obtained from three independent experiments.

The small differences in exonuclease rates are in agreement with in vitro fidelity measurements on gapped substrates that showed that the two forms of Pol ε have comparable levels of fidelity (45). The exonuclease activity of T7 DNA polymerase is only about 25-fold higher than the determined exonuclease rate of a matched 3’ terminus. The observed dissociation rates also suggest that the observed $K_{D,slow}$ for both the polymerase rate (0.1–3 s⁻¹) and exonuclease rate (0.2–5 s⁻¹) under pre-steady-state kinetics represents the association of free enzyme with DNA that is present at equilibrium during the reaction. In comparison, there is a 10- and 5-fold difference between the removal of a mismatched and matched 3’ terminus in exonuclease rates for T7 DNA polymerase and T4 DNA polymerase, respectively (33, 35). The measured polymerization rate of about 250 s⁻¹ for yeast Pol ε is only about 25-fold higher than the determined exonuclease rate of a mismatched 3’ end. This should be compared with a >400- and >115-fold ratio for T4 and T7 DNA polymerases, respectively (33, 35, 40). Thus, it appears that the balance between the polymerase and exonuclease activity is shifted more toward the exonuclease site for yeast Pol ε, when compared with T4 and T7 DNA polymerase. These results also agree well with previous observations that Pol ε, even in the presence of 100 µM dNTPs, will degrade a portion of the matched primer during primer extension assays (29). Fidelity measurements have shown that 92% of base-base mismatches and 99% of single-nucleotide deletions made by yeast Pol ε are corrected by the associated exonuclease activity (14). Germ line mutations in the exonuclease domain of Pol ε are associated with colon cancer (17). In sporadic cancer, mutations in POLE are predominantly local-
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