Association between histamine-2 receptor antagonists and adverse outcomes in neonates: A systematic review and meta-analysis
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Abstract

Background
The use of histamine-2 receptor antagonists (H2RA) in neonates is still debated because of possible risk of infection, necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC) and increased mortality.

Aim
To review whether the use of H2RA in neonates admitted to neonatal intensive care units (NICU) is associated with infection, NEC or mortality.

Materials and method
We performed a systematic search in PubMed, Web of Science and SCOPUS databases using the terms “histamine-2 receptor antagonists”, “infection”, “necrotizing enterocolitis”, “mortality”, “neonates” and related terms to identify studies published up to April 30, 2017. We included studies conducted in hospitalized neonates and exposed to H2RA. The primary outcomes were infection, NEC and mortality. We included reports of infections with clinical signs and positive culture, and NEC according to Bell stages (stage ≥II) based on standardised clinical and radiologic criteria. Among 1,144 studies identified, 10 fulfilled the selection criteria. Information extracted included study design, sample size and number of participants, along with the outcomes of interest. We conducted a meta-analysis of adjusted data and pooled estimates of infection, NEC and mortality are reported as odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95%CI).

Results
Ten studies were analysed. There were substantial associations between H2RA and infection (pooled OR: 2.09; 95%CI: 1.35–3.24; P = 0.001) and NEC (pooled OR: 2.81, 95%CI: 1.73–4.52; P < 0.001).
Conclusion
Current evidence suggests that H$_2$RA is associated with an increased risk of infection and NEC, but not with mortality in neonates admitted to NICU. The use of H$_2$RA in neonates must be stringently considered when necessary.

Introduction
Histamine-2 receptor antagonists (H$_2$RA) are often prescribed off-label to neonates admitted to neonatal intensive care units (NICU) [1] for prophylaxis or therapy of stress ulcers and gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD). However, the safety and efficacy of H$_2$RA in neonates is still debated [2]. This is due to gastric acid secretions being one of the main non-immune defenses against invading pathogens [3] and the sustained inhibition of gastric acid secretions alters the bacterial ecology favoring the gastric colonization of enteric bacteria and may facilitate microbial translocation across the gut barrier because of decreased neutrophil activity [4,5]. Studies have shown an increasing gastric pH within few minutes of H$_2$RA administration [6,7], with effects on the H$_2$ receptors activation and modelling of the immune responses, especially in the production of inflammatory cytokines [8–10].

A 2014 systematic review of clinical trials conducted in 1 to 15 years old children reported that H$_2$RA were effective in reducing GERD signs and symptoms, but did not report adverse effects in a measurable manner, precluding a quantitative analysis on drug safety [11]. Other studies in neonates however have shown that H$_2$RA may predispose to infections [3,12–14], necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) [14,15] and death [14,16], but there are no systematic analyses of this evidence.

We conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to investigate whether the use of H$_2$RA in neonates admitted to NICU is associated with an increased risk of infection, NEC and mortality.

Materials and methods
This study was conducted following the Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) statement (S1 File) [17]. Institutional review board approval and informed consent were not required for this systematic review and meta-analysis. A study protocol was designed a priori and was registered in the PROSPERO database (registration number CRD42017060887).

Search strategy and selection criteria
We performed a systematic review using PubMed, Web of Science and SCOPUS databases to identify studies published up to April 30, 2017 without language restriction. Publications were identified using the terms “histamine-2 receptor antagonists”, “infection”, “necrotizing enterocolitis”, “mortality”, “neonates” and related terms. The full search strategy is described in the S1 Table. Two independent reviewers (MSF and RNSS) screened titles and abstracts for relevance and adequacy and disagreements were resolved by VSS and RQG. The manuscripts selected were read in full to confirm their eligibility and their reference lists were scanned to identify additional studies. We included studies conducted with neonates hospitalized in
NICU and exposed to H$_2$RA. We excluded studies in infants over 28 days old, those not containing original material or reporting data from ambulatory patients and studies including neonates with infections before initiating H$_2$RA, congenital malformations or genetic syndromes, mothers with HIV, rubella, toxoplasmosis, cytomegalovirus or hepatitis B and C.

**Outcomes**

The primary outcomes were infection, NEC and mortality. We included reports of nosocomial infections with clinical signs and positive culture. NEC was classified according to Bell stages and included children with Bell stage $\geq$II [18].

Secondary outcomes included pneumonia, sepsis and urinary tract infections (UTI). For these outcomes, we consider studies that had defined a) pneumonia as the presence of clinical signs associated with positive culture or radiological findings with suggestive signals of pulmonary involvement by infectious agents (persistent infiltrate, consolidation and cavitation) and abnormal laboratory tests; b) sepsis as the presence of signs suggestive of infection associated with a positive blood culture, and c) UTI when there was a positive urine culture together with clinical findings.

We analyzed the mortality at any time during the follow-up period, as reported in the study included in the meta-analysis.

**Data extraction and bias assessment**

We used pre-formatted tables for data extraction, including author, publication year, country, study design, sample size, number of participants with infections, NEC or death by H$_2$RA exposure. Not all studies reported the absolute numbers of the outcomes and frequencies were calculated from percentages. For articles not available in electronic databases or data unavailable in the articles included, we attempted to contact the authors to obtain relevant information. We had planned to extract data on the exposure time and dosage of H$_2$RA to ascertain duration of exposure and a dose safety gradient; however, it was not possible to obtain the data for meta-analysis. The risk of bias for individual studies was assessed by two independent reviewers using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) [19] and disagreements were resolved by discussion.

**Statistical analysis**

We calculated the pooled odds ratio (OR) for the primary and secondary outcomes and used forest plots to present effect sizes with 95% confidence intervals (95%CI). Pooled unadjusted and adjusted estimates were calculated using Mantel-Haenszel and inverse variance methods, respectively. The meta-analysis was performed using random-effects model. Two-tailed P-values $<$0.05 were used to determine statistical significance. Statistical heterogeneity was assessed using the Cochran Q test [20] and quantified by the $I^2$ index [21]. A subgroup analysis was performed according to the study design (cohort or case-control).

Leave-one-out sensitivity analysis was conducted by omitting one study at a time and examining the influence of each study on the pooled effect size [22]. Analyses were performed using Review Manager 5.3 (Cochrane IMS, Copenhagen, Denmark) and R-3.3.2 software (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

**Results**

The literature search identified 1,144 records. After screening titles and abstracts, 35 full-text articles were assessed for eligibility and 10 were included (Fig 1). Table 1 summarizes the main
characteristics of the 10 studies. Five studies used a case-control design [13,23–26] and five were cohorts [14,16,27–29]. No clinical trials were found. Nine studies focused on very-low birth weight babies [13,14,16,23,25–29] and one considered the whole preterm population (gestational age <37 weeks) [24]. Most studies reported only infection as an outcome [13,14,25,27–29], two reported only NEC [23,24] and four included the three main outcomes of infection, NEC and mortality [14,16,26,29].
| Study            | Country   | Study design | Population   | Subject characteristics | Risk factors used                                                                 | Statistics strategy         | Outcome               |
|------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Rojas et al.     | Colombia  | Cohort       | Very Low     | Birth weight: <2000g.    | Maternal factors: age, fever (>38°C), prenatals, prematurity ruptured membranes, type of delivery (vaginal, elective ceaserian section, emergency). Infant factors: birth weight, gestational age, gender, mechanical ventilation, oxygen, postnatal antibiotics, catheters (central and non-central), parenteral nutrition and gastric tube for enteral nutrition. | Univariate and Multivariate logistic regression | Infection             |
| Guillet et al.   | USA       | Case-control | Very Low     | Birth weight: 401g-1500g | Maternal factors: not available. Infant factors: birth weight, gender, race, site of birth and Apgar score. | Univariate and Multivariate logistic regression | Necrotizing enterocolitis |
| Bianconi et al.  | USA       | Case-control | Very Low     | Birth weight: not available. Gestational age: not available | Maternal factors: not available. Infant factors: birth weight, gestational age, gender, length of stay in NICU, duration of total parenteral nutrition, pharmaceutical substances used and duration for central vascular catheters. | Univariate analysis         | Infection             |
| Afjeh et al.     | Iran      | Cohort       | Very Low     | Birth weight: <1500g.    | Maternal factors: age, fever (>38°C), prenatals, prematurity ruptured membranes, type of delivery (vaginal, elective ceaserian section, emergency). Infant factors: birth weight, gestational age, gender, Apgar, duration of mechanical ventilation, oxygen therapy, postnatal antibiotics, chest tube, catheters (central and non-central), parenteral nutrition and gastric tube for enteral nutrition. | Univariate and Multivariate logistic regression | Infection             |
| Terrin et al.    | Italian   | Cohort       | Very Low     | Birth weight: 401-1500g. | Maternal factors: age, fever (>38°C), prenatals, prematurity ruptured membranes, type of delivery (vaginal, elective or emergency ceaserian section). Infant factors: birth weight, gestational age, gender, Apgar, duration of mechanical ventilation, oxygen therapy, postnatal antibiotics, catheters (central and non-central), parenteral nutrition and gastric tube for enteral nutrition. | Univariate and Multivariate logistic regression | Infection, necrotizing enterocolitis and mortality |
| Bilali et al.    | Greece    | Case-control | Pre-term     | Birth weight: not available. Gestational age: <37 weeks | Not available. | Univariate and Multivariate logistic regression | Necrotizing enterocolitis |
| Gupta et al.     | USA       | Case-control | Very Low     | Birth weight: <1500g     | Maternal factors: age, fever (oral temperature >38°C), antibiotics, steroids, caffeine use, prematurity ruptured membranes, chorionamnionitis, type of delivery. Infant factors: birth weight, gestational age, gender and formula feeding. | Univariate analysis         | Infection             |
| Singh et al.     | Australia | Case-control | Very Low     | Birth weight: <1500g     | Maternal factors: not available. Infant factors: birth weight, gestational age, gender, Apgar, patent ductus arteriosus, mechanical ventilation, oxygen therapy, continuous positive airway pressure, postnatal antibiotics, catheters (central and non-central), parenteral nutrition, type of feeding, gastric tube for enteral nutrition and length of hospital stay. | Univariate analysis         | Infection, necrotizing enterocolitis and mortality |

(Continued)
The risk of bias assessments are summarized in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. Overall, cohort studies had a lower risk of bias than case-control studies. The use of different criteria across studies for the selection of comparison groups (not exposed to H₂RA) may have introduced a high risk of bias, especially among case-control studies.

Seven studies involving 129,850 subjects were included in the pooled OR estimation for infection. Of these, 3,543 (17.0%) of 20,803 neonates receiving H₂RA had infections compared to 7,801 (7.2%) of 109,047 not exposed to H₂RA, resulting in a pooled OR of 3.38 (95%CI: 1.92–5.94; P < 0.001) (Fig 2A). There was substantial between-study heterogeneity (I²: 92%; 95%CI: 86.2% - 95.4%) and the sub-group meta-analysis demonstrated cohort studies influenced substantially the pooled OR. Based on studies [27,28] that had adjusted values, the pooled OR for infection was 2.09 (95%CI: 1.35–3.24; P < 0.001) and the between-study heterogeneity was 0% (Fig 2B).

Some studies presented data for infection categories (Fig 3A–3C). Five studies had data for sepsis [13,14,16,26,29] and the pooled unadjusted OR was 2.75 (95%CI: 1.51–5.02; P: 0.001)

| Study | Country | Study design | Population | Subject characteristics | Risk factors used | Statistics strategy | Outcome |
|-------|---------|--------------|------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Romaine et al. (2016) [16] | USA | Cohort prospective | Very Low Birth Weight | Birth weight: <1500g. Gestational age (median): 32 weeks | Maternal factors: type of delivery (vaginal or caesarean section). Infant factors: birth weight, gestational age, gender, Apgar, mechanical ventilation, oxygen therapy, inotropic support and neutropenia. | Univariate analysis | Infection, necrotizing enterocolitis and mortality |
| Santana et al. (2017) [29] | Brazil | Cohort retrospective | Very Low Birth Weight | Birth weight (median): <1500g; Gestational age (median): <34 weeks | Maternal factors: age, fever (oral temperature >38°C), prenatal care, premature rupture of membranes, type of delivery (vaginal or caesarean section), hypertension, Diabetes mellitus, gestational diabetes. Infant factors: birth weight, gestational age, gender, Apgar, duration of mechanical ventilation, oxygen therapy, duration of catheters (central and non-central), duration of parenteral nutrition and duration of gastric tube for enteral nutrition. | Univariate analysis | Infection, necrotizing enterocolitis and mortality |

Table 2. Assessment of study quality and risk of bias from case-control studies.

| Study | Selection | Adequate case definition | Representativeness of cases | Selection of controls | Definition of controls | Case and control are comparable | Ascertainment of exposure | Same method of ascertainment for cases and controls | Non-response rate |
|-------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|
| Guillet et al., 2006 [23] | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| Bianconi et al., 2007 [13] | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| Bilali et al., 2013 [24] | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| Gupta et al., 2013 [25] | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No |
| Singh et al., 2016 [26] | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214135.t002
Subgroup analysis showed an association between sepsis and H₂RA in cohort studies (OR: 2.57; 95%CI: 2.46–2.69; P < 0.001) \((I^2: 0\% ; 95\% CI: 0\% -86.0\% )\).

Three studies reported pneumonia and urinary tract infections \([14,26,29]\) with pooled ORs of 2.93 (95%CI: 1.45–5.92; P: 0.003) \((I^2: 0\% ; 95\% CI: 0\% -82.1\% )\) and 8.73 (95%CI: 2.38–31.98; P: 0.001) \((I^2: 0\% ; 95\% CI 0\% -70.7\% )\), respectively.

Unadjusted analyses from the three cohort studies evaluating NEC \([14,16,29]\) indicated an association with H₂RA (Fig 4A). Likewise, the meta-analysis of adjusted data \([14,23,24]\) reported substantial association between NEC and H₂RA (pooled OR: 2.81; 95%CI: 1.19–6.64; P: 0.02) \((I^2: 58\% ; 95\% CI 0\% -88.2\% )\) (Fig 4B).

Fig 5 shows that the use of H₂RA was not associated with mortality (pooled OR: 1.76; 95% CI: 0.50–6.16; P: 0.38) \((I^2: 83\%; 95\% CI: 80.6\% -96.0\% )\).

Table 3. Assessment of study quality and risk of bias from cohort studies.

| Study Selection          | Representativeness of the exposure cohort | Selection of the non-exposed cohort | Ascertainment of exposure | Without outcome in the start | Cohorts are comparable | Assessment of outcome | Length of follow-up | Adequacy of follow-up |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Rojas et al., 2005 [27]  | No                                       | No                                 | Yes                       | No                           | Yes                    | Yes                  | Yes                 | Yes                  |
| Afjeh et al., 2012 [28]  | No                                       | No                                 | Yes                       | No                           | Yes                    | Yes                  | Yes                 | Yes                  |
| Terrin et al., 2012 [14] | Yes                                      | Yes                                | Yes                       | Yes                          | Yes                    | Yes                  | Yes                 | Yes                  |
| Romaine et al., 2016 [16]| Yes                                      | Yes                                | Yes                       | Yes                          | Yes                    | Yes                  | Yes                 | Yes                  |
| Santana et al., 2017 [29]| Yes                                      | Yes                                | Yes                       | Yes                          | Yes                    | Yes                  | Yes                 | Yes                  |

Fig 2. Forest Plot for infection outcome. A) unadjusted and B) adjusted pooled OR for infection.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214135.g002
Discussion

The frequent off-label use of H₂RA in neonates steered the European Medicines Agency and the US Food and Drug Administration to encourage studies on their safety and agencies responsible for drug regulations increased their search for information on their adverse effects in paediatric populations [2,14]. These initiatives has resulted in an increased number of studies, providing an opportunity to further ascertain adverse effects. In this systematic review and meta-analysis, we found that the use of H₂RA in neonates is associated with increased risk of infections and NEC, but not with mortality.

Gastric fluid is an important non-immune barrier against pathogens [3] and the sustained inhibition of gastric acid secretion increases the pH and modifies the gastric microbiota [4,5].
The effects of H₂RA administration are not restricted to the gastric pH, since they also increase the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and reduce immunological responses to infection [8–10].

The main reasons for the prescription of H₂RA in NICUs are the management of GERD and the prophylaxis and treatment of stress ulcers, usually caused by other drugs [11]. However, neonates receiving H₂RA are more likely to exhibit GERD-like symptoms, resulting in a false perception that GERD is persisting, leading in turn to an increase of drug dosage and treatment duration [7]. Several studies have reported an average of 18 days between H₂RA administration and the occurrence of infection [14,30] and 19 days for the occurrence of NEC [23], although one study from Brazil reported that infections started 6 days after H₂RA use [29].

Only two studies evaluated whether the H₂RA dosage was associated with unfavourable outcomes, as neonates who developed infection or NEC had received higher doses than children without these outcomes, but these differences were not statistically significant [14,29].

![Fig 4. Forest Plot for necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC). A) Unadjusted and B) Adjusted pooled OR for NEC.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214135.g004)

The effects of H₂RA administration are not restricted to the gastric pH, since they also increase the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and reduce immunological responses to infection [8–10].

The main reasons for the prescription of H₂RA in NICUs are the management of GERD and the prophylaxis and treatment of stress ulcers, usually caused by other drugs [11]. However, neonates receiving H₂RA are more likely to exhibit GERD-like symptoms, resulting in a false perception that GERD is persisting, leading in turn to an increase of drug dosage and treatment duration [7]. Several studies have reported an average of 18 days between H₂RA administration and the occurrence of infection [14,30] and 19 days for the occurrence of NEC [23], although one study from Brazil reported that infections started 6 days after H₂RA use [29].

Only two studies evaluated whether the H₂RA dosage was associated with unfavourable outcomes, as neonates who developed infection or NEC had received higher doses than children without these outcomes, but these differences were not statistically significant [14,29].

![Fig 5. Forest Plot for mortality outcome.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214135.g005)
is also noteworthy that the studies had used a wide H₃RA dose ranges, making it difficult to identify a safe and effective dose.

This meta-analysis also found an association between H₃RA use and pneumonia, sepsis, and UTI. It is well established that the inhibition of gastric acid secretion alters the bacterial ecology favoring gastric colonization by enteric bacteria and may facilitate microbial translocation across the stomach barrier [4,5], which may contribute to the development of pneumonia and sepsis [31]. Although the increased risk of pneumonia and sepsis involves gastric colonization with gram-negative bacteria [31], the results of the studies included in this review do not support this assertion. Although Rojas et al. (2005) [27] and Terrin et al. (2012) [14] studies reported a higher prevalence of gram-negative microorganisms, et al. (2007) [13] reported the same proportion of gram-negative and positive microorganisms among neonates receiving and not receiving H₃RA.

The prolonged use of mechanical ventilation, central and peripheral catheters, parenteral nutrition and other devices in NICU is also associated with an increased risk of infection [32–37]. Although some studies in this meta-analysis controlled for these factors [14,23,24,27–29], the regression model still identified an association with H₃RA.

Gestational age and low birth weight also increase the risk of nosocomial infections and NEC [38]. However, after controlling for these factors, the use of H₃RA was independently associated with infection and NEC.

Although there is not enough information to assess whether H₂RA increase the length of hospitalization, two studies reported a potential increase in hospitalization time [14,29], and further studies should be encouraged to generate this information in neonates.

The combined analysis of case-control and cohort studies did not show an association between H₁RA use and mortality. However, there was large heterogeneity between studies and a paucity of quality data to examine the effect of H₂RA and mortality, which may have resulted in a type II error. Further studies are needed to generate sufficient data to examine this association.

Our findings should be interpreted with caution as the number of studies showing the adverse effects for H₂RA use in neonates admitted to NICU is small. All studies included were observational and treatments were not randomised. While the meta-analysis of cohort studies showed an association between H₂RA and increased risk of infection, NEC and death, these associations were not fully evident in the case-control studies. Some studies had poor quality which may increase the risk of bias. Moreover, it was not possible to perform a funnel plot analysis due to the small number of studies. Finally, it was not possible to identify safe dosage thresholds or usage time for H₂RA in neonates due to the scarcity of data and the wide variation reported between and within the studies.

Despite these limitations, current available evidence shows an association between the use of H₂RA, the risk of infections and NEC in neonates. Further safety studies including well defined patient groups are needed to increase the evidence for their safe use in neonates and to support the development of guidelines by regulatory agencies. In the meantime, the use of H₂RA in neonates must be stringently considered, when necessary.
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