Prevalence and distribution of *Eimeria* species in broiler chicken farms of different capacities
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**Abstract** – We conducted a survey in broiler farms from Romania to establish prevalence and distribution of *Eimeria* species using single PCR assay. We found *Eimeria* spp. in 21 (91%) out of 23 flocks, and in 11 (92%) out of 12 farms. Four species of *Eimeria* were identified: *E. acervulina* (21/23; 91%), *E. tenella* (14/23; 61%), *E. maxima* (5/23; 22%) and *E. praecox* (3/23; 13%). Infection with a single species (*E. acervulina*) was detected in 6 (26%) infected flocks originated from large farms. Mixed infections were found in 15 (65%) flocks and the most prevalent combination was *E. acervulina + E. tenella* (8/23; 35%). Four flocks (17%) harboured mixed infection with *E. acervulina + E. tenella + E. maxima*. *E. acervulina* was significantly more prevalent in flocks that received ionophores as anticoccidial feed additives.
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**Résumé** – Précavale et répartition des espèces d’*Eimeria* dans les élevages de poulets de chair de capacités différentes. Nous avons mené une enquête dans les élevages de poulets de chair en Roumanie pour établir la prévalence et la répartition des espèces d’*Eimeria* en utilisant les tests PCR. Nous avons trouvé *Eimeria* spp. chez 21 (91 %) des 23 bandes de poules, et dans 11 (92 %) des 12 fermes. Quatre espèces d’*Eimeria* ont été identifiées : *E. acervulina* (21/23 ; 91 %), *E. tenella* (14/23 ; 61 %), *E. maxima* (5/23 ; 22 %) et *E. praecox* (3/23 ; 13 %). L’infection par une seule espèce (*E. acervulina*) a été détectée dans 6 (26 %) des bandes infectées provenant de grandes exploitations. Des infections mixtes ont été trouvées dans 15 (65 %) des bandes et la combinaison la plus fréquente était *E. acervulina + E. tenella* (8/23 ; 35 %). Quatre bandes (17 %) héberguaient une infection mixte à *E. acervulina + E. tenella + E. maxima*. *E. acervulina* était significativement plus fréquente chez les bandes recevant des ionophores comme suppléments alimentaires anticoccidiens.

**Introduction**

Coccidiosis is one of the most important and costly diseases of poultry industry worldwide. The aetiological agents are apicomplexan protozoan parasites from *Eimeria* genus that multiply in the epithelial cells of the intestine. In poultry, there are seven recognized species that develop in certain parts of the gut (site-specific), each causing a separately recognizable disease [56]: *E. acervulina*, *E. tenella*, *E. maxima*, *E. necatrix*, *E. mitis*, *E. praecox* and *E. brunetti*. These species of *Eimeria* have different pathogenicity; *E. tenella* and *E. necatrix* are the most pathogenic and cause bloody lesions, high morbidity and mortality in naive chickens [19, 32]; *E. acervulina*, *E. maxima* and *E. brunetti* also cause clinical diseases; *E. praecox* and *E. mitis*, although considered to be relatively non-pathogenic [32], do cause a reduced feed conversion efficiency and growth rate [56]. Also, infection with certain species of *Eimeria* was demonstrated to be implicated in predisposing birds to necrotic enteritis [55], through lesions that compromise gut integrity, and allow the proliferation of pathogens [52].

Intensive chicken farming depends on specific prophylaxis of coccidiosis with in-feed anticoccidial drugs and live vaccines. Over time, the coccidiostats have become less effective due to development of drug resistance. Drug-resistant *Eimeria* strains are responsible for subclinical coccidiosis and, subsequently, for impaired economical performance as body weight gain, and feed conversion ratio [44]. The economic losses are significant, being estimated at more than 3 billion US$ annually in the world [11], and the economic importance of subclinical
coccidiosis varies with composition of coccidial populations [16]. Therefore, identification and genetic characterization of different species of *Eimeria* are central to prevention, surveillance and control of coccidiosis [31].

Identification of *Eimeria* species is based on clinical features, specific lesions in certain sites of the intestine, and morphological and biological features as sizes of oocysts, site of infection, pre-patent period, sporulation time. Although, *E. maxima* can be easily identified based on oocyst size, while *E. tenella* and *E. necatrix* produce unmistakable lesions [14], identification through these parameters only is not always accurate due to overlapping characteristics [27]. Mixed infections are commonly found under field conditions, which pose a problem for the precise discrimination of species using classical methods. Moreover, classical methods are expensive, time-consuming [17] and require highly trained personnel [27].

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) based assays proved to be effective for identification of all seven species of *Eimeria* in chickens. The used target regions are small subunit rRNA [48], SS rRNA [46], first and second internal transcribed spacers (ITS-1; ITS-2) of nuclear ribosomal DNA [13, 15, 40], and sequence-characterized amplified region (SCAR) derived from random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) profiles [12].

We conducted a survey in broiler chicken farms in Romania from August to November 2010. The aim was to establish the prevalence and distribution of *Eimeria* species by PCR in different size broiler farms with different prophylactic programmes.

| Table 1. Species-specific primers targeting the ITS-1 region for *Eimeria* species that infect chickens* |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Species**     | **Primer sequence 5′ 3′** | **Annealing temperature (°C)** | **Amplicon size (bp)** |
| *E. acervulina*  | F 5′-GGGCTTGGATGATTTGCTG-3′ | 65 | 145 |
|                 | R 5′-GCAGATGGCTGCAGCAGTG-3′ | 58 | 183 |
| *E. brunetti*   | F 5′-CTGGGGCTGAACGAGACGG-3′ | 65 | 205 |
|                 | R 5′-ATTGATGGCCATCCACAT-3′ | 65 | 330 |
| *E. maxima*     | F 5′-GTGGAACTGTTGATGAGGG-3′ | 58 | 160 |
|                 | R 5′-ACCAGATGCGCTCAACACC-3′ | 65 | 215 |
| *E. mitis*      | F 5′-GTTATTTTCTCTGTCGTCGTC-3′ | 65 | 278 |
| *E. necatrix*   | F 5′-AGTATGGGCGTGACATGAG-3′ | 65 | 278 |
| *E. praecox*    | R 5′-GATCAGCTTCATCAAATCTGCGG-3′ | 58 | 160 |
| *E. tenella*    | F 5′-CATCGGAATGTCTGTTGGAAACG-3′ | 65 | 215 |
|                 | R 5′-GCATGCGCTAAACAATCCCTCTCC-3′ | 65 | 278 |

* Primers previously described by Haug et al. [15] and Schnitzler et al. [40, 41].

Study flocks and samples

The study was conducted in 12 broiler farms from Romania picked by simple random sample, during August–November 2010. Farms were subsequently divided according to their size in three groups: small (*n* = 4), medium (*n* = 3) and large (*n* = 5) farms. Prophylaxis of coccidiosis was made with different ionophores and chemicals as it is stated in Table 1.

We collected faeces samples from 2 flocks/farm, except farm “D”, in total 23 flocks, when chickens were 20–35 days old (median 28 days), and information regarding coccidiostat drugs used (Table 2). Approximately 250 g of fresh faecal droppings/sample was collected at random by hand along the feed and water lines. The samples were processed once they arrived in the laboratory by flotation method with saturated sodium chloride (specific gravity 1.18–1.2) and stored at 4 °C till the next day. Afterwards, oocysts were isolated, purified and concentrated from faeces with saturated salt solution [43] and sporulated in 2.5% potassium dichromate solution. The oocysts were washed free from the salt and potassium dichromate by repeated centrifugation and resuspended in tap water. At the end, molecular analysis was done by PCR in order to identify the species of *Eimeria*. 

Material and methods

Broiler industry in Romania

Union of poultry breeders from Romania has 276 members, of which 18 large poultry companies that produce over 10 thousand tons of meat/year/farm, 22 medium poultry companies that produce between 5 and 10 thousands tons of meat/year/farm and 236 small poultry companies that produce less than 5 thousands tons of meat/year/farm [49]. The production of poultry meat in 2010 was about 317 thousands tons. Average performances in the same year were: daily body weight gain 54.19 g; feed conversion ratio of 1.859; mortality of 4.24%; and European Production Index 299.15 [49].

The most common broiler breeds are Cobb500 and Ross308, and they are reared in houses made of concrete on wood shavings. Prophylaxis of coccidiosis is based on the use of in-feed anticoccidial drugs.

The average age at slaughter is about 42 days, and average live weight of 2.2 kg. The time between successive grow-outs is about 2–3 weeks. Used litter is removed and the broiler houses are cleaned and chemically disinfected.
### Table 2. List of field samples and the results of ITS-1 PCR.

| Farm | Flock | Age (days) | Coccidiostat[a]       | Total | E. acervulina | E. tenella | E. maxima | E. praecox | Single infection | Mixed infections |
|------|-------|------------|------------------------|-------|---------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|      |       |            |                        | n (%) |               |            |           |            |                 |                 |
| Small-size farms (8 flocks) |       |            |                        |       |               |            |           |            |                 |                 |
| A    | 1     | 28         | Lasalocid (Avatec)     | +     | +             | –          | –         | –          | –               | +(A + T)        |
| A    | 2     | 32         | Lasalocid (Avatec)     | +     | +             | +          | –         | –          | –               | +(A + T)        |
| F    | 1     | 30         | Lasalocid (Avatec)     | +     | +             | –          | –         | +          | –               | +(A + T)        |
| F    | 2     | 26         | Lasalocid (Avatec)     | +     | +             | +          | –         | –          | –               | +(A + T)        |
| K    | 1     | 25         | Maduramycin (Cygro)    | +     | +             | +          | –         | –          | –               | +(A + T)        |
| K    | 2     | 25         | Maduramycin (Cygro)    | +     | +             | +          | –         | –          | –               | +(A + T)        |
| L    | 1     | 28         | nd                     | +     | +             | +          | +         | –          | –               | +(A + T+M)      |
| L    | 2     | 25         | nd                     | +     | +             | +          | +         | –          | –               | +(A + T)        |
| Total |       |            |                        | 8(100)| 8(100)        | 8(100)**   | 2(25)    | 2(25)     | 0               | 8(100)*         |
| Medium-size farms (6 flocks) |       |            |                        |       |               |            |           |            |                 |                 |
| B    | 1     | 29         | Narasin + nicarbazin (Maxiban) | +     | +             | +          | –         | –          | –               | +(A + T)        |
| B    | 2     | 29         | Narasin + nicarbazin (Maxiban) | +     | +             | –          | –         | –          | –               | +(A + T)        |
| C    | 1     | 20         | Diclazuril (Clinacox)  | –     | –             | –          | –         | –          | –               | –               |
| C    | 2     | 32         | Diclazuril (Clinacox)  | –     | –             | –          | –         | –          | –               | –               |
| G    | 1     | 29         | Monensin (Coxidin)     | +     | +             | –          | –         | –          | –               | +(A + T)        |
| G    | 2     | 29         | Monensin (Coxidin)     | +     | +             | +          | –         | –          | –               | +(A + T)        |
| Total |       |            |                        | 4(66,7)| 4(66,7)       | 4(66,7)    | 1(16,7)  | 0          | 0               | 4(66,7)         |
| Large-size farms (9 flocks)  |       |            |                        |       |               |            |           |            |                 |                 |
| D    | 1     | nd         | Robenidine(Cycostat)   | +     | +             | –          | –         | –          | –               | +(A)            |
| E    | 1     | 28         | Salinomycin (Sacox)    | +     | +             | –          | –         | –          | –               | +(A)            |
| E    | 2     | 27         | Salinomycin (Sacox)    | +     | +             | –          | –         | –          | –               | +(A)            |
| H    | 1     | 28         | Diclazuril (Clinacox)  | –     | –             | –          | –         | –          | –               | +(A + P)        |
| H    | 2     | 35         | Diclazuril (Clinacox)  | –     | –             | +          | –         | –          | –               | +(A + P)        |
| I    | 1     | 28         | nd                     | +     | +             | –          | –         | –          | –               | +(A)            |
| I    | 2     | 28         | nd                     | +     | +             | –          | –         | –          | –               | +(A)            |
| J    | 1     | 35         | Monensin (Coxidin)     | +     | +             | +          | +         | –          | –               | +(A + T+M)      |
| J    | 2     | 28         | Monensin (Coxidin)     | +     | +             | +          | +         | –          | –               | +(A + T+M)      |
| Total |       |            |                        | 9(100.0)| 9(100.0)      | 2(22.2)    | 2(22.2)  | 1(11.1)   | 6(75.0)**       | 3(33.3)         |
| Ionophores (n = 14) |       |            |                        |       |               |            |           |            |                 |                 |
| Total |       |            |                        | 14(100)*| 14(100)*      | 12(85.7)** | 3(21.4)  | 1(7.1)    | 2(14.3)        | 12(85.7)*       |
| Chemicals (n = 5) |       |            |                        | 3(60) | 3(60) | 0 | 0 | 1(20.0) | 2(40.0) | 1(20.0) |

[a] Nineteen out of 23 farmers answered to question regarding the coccidiostat used in-feed for coccidiosis control. A = E. acervulina; T = E. tenella; M = E. maxima; P = E. praecox. + positive; – negative. Fisher exact test: *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001. nd = not done; Med. = median.
DNA extraction

DNA extraction from sporulated oocysts of each flock sample was performed with the commercial kit Isolate Fecal DNA Kit (Bioline; Cat. No. BIO-52038). We followed the manufacturer’s instructions with minor modifications; we used 200 μL of sporulated oocysts suspension, instead of 150 mg faeces and the grinding time was 10 min instead of 1 min. The kit contains for the first step of extraction tubes with beads (beading bead lyses tube). The DNA was stored at −20°C till using.

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

*Eimeria* species were identified by single PCR assay using species-specific primers (Table 1) targeting the internal transcribed spacer-1 (ITS-1) as previously described by Schnitzler et al. [40, 41] and Haug et al. [15]. Each reaction mixture of 25 μL contained: 2 μL DNA sample; 25 pmol of species-specific reverse and forward primers; 12.5 μL MyTaq™ Mix (Bioline, Cat. No. BIO-25041); 9 μL ultra-pure water (PCR Water, Cat. No. BIO-37080, Bioline); and 0.5 μL of 1% bovine serum albumin. We used Houghton strains of all seven *Eimeria* species that infect chickens obtained from VLA (Veterinary Laboratory Agency Weybridge, UK) as positive controls, and distilled water as negative control.

The amplification was performed in MyGenie™ 96 Gradient Thermal Block (Bioneer). The cycling parameters for the amplification were the following: an initial denaturation at 95 °C for 1 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation (95 °C, 15 s), annealing (58 or 65 °C, 15 s) and extension (72 °C, 10 s), with a final extension at 72 °C for 3 min.

The PCR products (8 μL), mixed with loading buffer (2 μL), were separated on a 1.5% agarose gel by electrophoresis, stained with SYBR® Green I Nucleic Acid Gel Stain (Invitrogen). Specific fragments were identified by size using a 100 bp ladder under UV light (BioDoc-It® Imaging Systems, UVP®, VWR International LLC).

Statistical analysis

Data were statistically analysed with Epi Info version 3.5.2 [10]. First, the frequency and prevalence of species detected and the species combinations were recorded as overall, by farm type (small, medium and large farms) and type of coccidiostat used (ionophores, chemicals). Then, the difference in the prevalence was evaluated using Fisher exact test. A p value of < 0.05 was statistically significant.

Results

We found *Eimeria* spp. by PCR in 21 (91%) out of 23 flocks, and in 11 (92%) out of 12 farms. Four species of *Eimeria* were identified: *E. acervulina*, *E. tenella*, *E. maxima* and *E. praecox* (Figure 1). Overall, the most prevalent species was *E. acervulina* (21/23; 91%), followed by *E. tenella* (14/23; 61%) (Table 2).

Infection with only one species (*E. acervulina*) was detected in six (26%) positive flocks; these flocks originated from large farms (Table 2). Mixed infections with two or more species were found in 15 (65%) flocks (Table 2); the prevalence of mixed infections was significantly higher (*p < 0.05*) than in medium (2/6; 33%) and large farms (1/3; 33%), and those that received chemicals as anticoccidial feed additives (1/5; 20%).

The most prevalent combinations were *E. acervulina* + *E. tenella* (8/23; 35%; *p < 0.05*), and significantly more prevalent in small farms (5/8; *p < 0.05*) and in farms using ionophores (8/14; 57%; *p < 0.05*) (Table 3). Four flocks (17%) harboured mixed infection with *E. acervulina* + *E. tenella* + *E. maxima.*

Figure 1. Results obtained in PCR following agarose gel electrophoresis. Lines: L1 100 bp ladder; L2 positive control; L3 negative control; L 4–15 samples. (A, B) *E. acervulina* 145 bp; (C, D) *E. tenella* 278 bp; (E, F) *E. maxima* 205 bp; (G, H) *E. praecox* 215 bp.
Table 3. Frequency and prevalence [%] of mixed infections in broiler farms from Romania.

|                  | Total (n = 23) | Farm size | Coccidiostat* |
|------------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|
|                  | (n = 8)       | (n = 6)   | (n = 9)       |
| E. acervulina + E. tenella | 8(34.8)*      | 5(62.5)*  | 3(50.0)       | 0  | 8(57.1)* | 0  |
| E. acervulina + E. praecox | 1(4.3)        | 0          | 0             | 1(11.1) | 0       | 1(20.0) |
| E. acervulina + E. tenella + E. maxima | 4(17.4)      | 1(12.5)   | 1(16.7)       | 2(22.2) | 3(21.4) | 0 |
| E. acervulina + E. tenella + E. praecox | 1(4.3)        | 1(12.5)   | 0             | 0       | 1(7.1)  | 0  |
| E. acervulina + E. tenella + E. maxima + E. praecox | 1(4.3)        | 1(12.5)   | 0             | 0       | 0       | 0  |

Fisher exact test: *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001. Nineteen out of 23 farmers answered to question regarding the coccidiostat used in-feed for coccidiosis control.

Other infection combinations found were: E. acervulina + E. praecox; E. acervulina + E. tenella + E. praecox; and E. acervulina + E. tenella + E. maxima + E. praecox.

Discussion

Epidemiological studies on the prevalence of Eimeria species are useful tools for prevention and control of coccidiosis [31, 34]. Also, PCR-based assays can identify with accuracy species of Eimeria that afflict animals at farm level, even when they harbour mixed infections with a relative frequency down to 0.05% (two oocysts per PCR/4000) [17].

We identified species of Eimeria by PCR in 91% (21/23) of samples, although by flotation oocysts have been seen in all samples (23/23). In negative flocks to PCR there were few oocysts per gram faeces, between one and 117 (data not shown). Detection level by PCR is around 0.4–50 oocysts and depends mainly on sensitivity of the protocol used for DNA extraction [15]. Most of the protocols use glass beads in the first step of DNA extraction, and bead sizes and grinding times may influence the amount of DNA recovered from the sample [15]. Another cause can be the low number of oocysts per gram faeces in the samples. Haug et al. [15] found that when oocyst concentration is low, oocyst grinding is less efficient in extracting sample DNA.

We found that broiler chicken farms in Romania are populated with four species of Eimeria: E. acervulina, E. tenella, E. maxima and E. praecox. The most prevalent species were E. acervulina (91%) and E. tenella (61%). The crowding effect [51] and interactions among Eimeria species [50] are the most important factors affecting oocysts production. E. acervulina and E. tenella have the highest reproductive potential [51], and in mixed infections, E. acervulina reduces the oocysts production of E. brunetti, E. maxima, E. tenella and E. necatrix. We can suspect the same effect against E. praecox, because they occupy the same part of the gut. Besides, the crowding effect seems to be modulated by availability of epithelial cells and immunogenicity [51]. Immune response, developed after a primary infection, reduces the number of oocysts, and depends on immunogenicity of each Eimeria species. It is well known that E. maxima is the most immunogenic species in chickens, and E. acervulina and E. tenella have a moderate to low immunogenicity [29, 38]. Also, anticoccidial drugs interfere with the development of immunity in chickens. Broadly speaking, ionophores in low concentration stimulate the immune response, and in higher doses have immunosuppressive effect [33, 42]. Long et al. [28] found that monensin at 60–100 ppm reduced the immune response to infection with Eimeria, while concentration of 40 and 50 ppm allowed good development of immunity. Another ionophore, lasalocid, interferes partially with the development of immunity against E. tenella [39]. As regards chemicals, it was concluded that under experimental condition diclazuril did not significantly interfere with protective immunity formation against E. tenella [30]. As a conclusion, Hu et al. [18] found in a study using monensin, narasin, lasalocid, salinomycin, nicarbazin, halofuginone, robenidine, and amprolium and field isolates of Eimeria acervulina, E. maxima and E. tenella that none of the drugs interfered appreciably with protective immunity against Eimeria. Otherwise protection against infection with Eimeria is acquired gradually and it is complete at 7 weeks of age [7].

Haug et al. [16] found that long-term use of narasin between 2001 and 2004 conducted to a shift of coccidial population from a dominance of medium and large oocysts represented by E. tenella, E. praecox and E. maxima to a dominance of small oocysts as E. acervulina and an increase in flock prevalence. It is well known that long-term use of anticoccidials leads to development of drug resistance [6]. Drug resistance to anticoccidial drugs is described worldwide to all coccidiostats and to all Eimeria species [2, 21, 35, 36, 45, 53, 57]. Generally, E. acervulina seems to have a faster rate of drug resistance development and consequently a wide spectrum of resistance explained by its high reproductive index and the short life cycle [5, 20]. This can be an explanation for preponderance of E. acervulina in our study, or even in others.

In Czechoslovakia, France and Sweden during 1990–1996, all seven species of Eimeria, occurring in mixed infections, were reported from broiler farms [23, 54]. After 2000, in Norway, E. acervulina (100%), E. tenella (77%) and E. maxima (25%) were the predominant species as we report in our study, including low percentages of E. praecox (10%) and E. necatrix (2%) [17]. In North America, (Ontario, Canada), Ogedengbe et al. [34] found the same species as in European countries, but with a significantly lower prevalence between 0.3 and 2.5%. Also, in a study with chickens raised on used litter in the USA, the predominant species were E. acervulina, E. maxima, E. praecox and E. tenella, according to Lee et al. [25]. In Africa, Middle East and Asia the most frequent species reported in broilers are E. brunetti (between 10 and 60%) and E. necatrix.
In the present study E. tenella was the second species found as the most prevalent overall, presenting the same statistical level (p < 0.01) of infection as E. acervulina in small and medium farms. However, E. tenella was less prevalent in large farms, and some authors reported that the flock size did not affect the prevalence [3]. E. tenella is one of the most pathogenic species. It causes caecal lesions as haemorrhages, oedema, necrosis and anaemia [19]. Moreover, in an experiment it was observed that E. tenella infection can be a cause of the recrudescence of Salmonella enteritidis [37].

Multiple infections with different species of Eimeria in chickens are a common situation in most of the farms [1, 17, 54]. We found mixed infection (2–4 species) in 65% of the cases and single infection (E. acervulina) in 22% of the cases. Single infection was observed only in large farms (75%) and was more prevalent than mixed infections (38%) in these farms. Haug et al. [17] found single infection with E. acervulina (16%) less prevalent in Norway than in our study in Romania.

Mixed infections were associated with small and medium farms and with ionophores. The same findings were observed in China, Shandong province, in small-scale farms where more than one Eimeria species existed in most of the samples [47]. Most likely, the mixed infections are more prevalent in small and medium farms due to poor management and biosecurity practises as high stocking densities, reduced time between successive grow-outs [26], microclimate and workers [22]. As regarding ionophores (alter ion transport and disrupt osmotic balance), they do not prevent replication of Eimeria completely [9] as chemicals do (affect parasite metabolism). In order to prevent drug resistance, rotation of coccidiostats and shuttle programmes are recommended. Nevertheless, drug resistance is widespread and it was described to all coccidiostats and Eimeria species [8, 35]. Small and medium farms in Romania do not have their own feed mill and in most cases they cannot control the prophylaxis programme (personal observation).

The high prevalence of infection with E. acervulina and E. tenella as single or multiple infections in Romanian broiler farms can be due to reduced susceptibility to anticoccidial drugs and to poor management practises, especially in small and medium farms. Further investigations are needed in order to determine the susceptibility of these strains to coccidiostats. Our results are the first to report the prevalence of Eimeria species based on molecular analysis.
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