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Abstract

Background Many patients with schizophrenia discontinue antipsychotic medication, frequently with adverse outcomes. Although different antipsychotic formulations are associated with different times to discontinuation, not much is known about discontinuation rates with oral-weekly formulations. Such a formulation of penfluridol is available in both the Netherlands and several other countries.

Objectives We aimed to investigate the impact of antipsychotic formulations on time to discontinuation, especially the oral-weekly formulation.

Methods In a large, registry-based, retrospective cohort study from 1 January 2013 to 31 December 2016, we determined the time to medication discontinuation during the follow-up period with antipsychotic formulations, including oral-daily, oral-weekly, depot, or a combination of these. Patients with schizophrenia aged between 18 and 69 years were included and stratified according to the duration of recent antipsychotic use (taking the same formulation for ≤ 60 days or > 60 days before follow-up: short-term or long-term recent antipsychotic use). Medication discontinuation was defined as discontinuation of current antipsychotic formulation.

Results Overall, 8257 patients were included for analyses, with 80% of patients discontinuing antipsychotic medication. Time to discontinuation was longer in those with long-term recent antipsychotic use before the follow-up period and longest for oral-daily formulations. Patterns for discontinuation of oral-weekly and depot formulations were similar, regardless of the duration of recent antipsychotic use before follow-up. More prior discontinuations were associated with shorter time to discontinuation.

Conclusions Time to discontinuation differed considerably between formulations. The duration of recent antipsychotic use was a strong predictor of time to discontinuation. While oral-daily formulations had the longest time to discontinuation in the long-term recent antipsychotic use group, discontinuation trends were similar for oral-weekly and depot formulations. An oral-weekly formulation, whose administration route is noninvasive, might therefore be considered an alternative to depot formulations.

1 Introduction

Schizophrenia is a serious mental disorder associated with potential deterioration in cognitive, psychosocial, and behavioral functioning [1]. There is evidence-based consensus that, in most patients, antipsychotic medication is an essential component of adequate treatment [2]. However, approximately half of patients are non-adherent [3–6]. Non-adherence is associated with several potentially severe adverse events, such as relapses, hospitalizations, and suicide attempts [7, 8].

Currently, long-acting injectable (LAI) antipsychotic medication is advocated in cases of non-adherence [9]; however, a substantial proportion of patients refuse it due to its invasiveness. In such cases, oral-weekly antipsychotic medication treatment with long-lasting effects (e.g. penfluridol with a weekly regimen) could be considered [10, 11]. Penfluridol, first synthesized in 1968, is an antipsychotic
Earlier studies that focused on time to discontinuation operationalized the assessment of this outcome in different ways, e.g. considering a variety of theoretical time gaps and grace periods. However, different grace periods may have significantly different impacts on discontinuation, making it difficult to compare results between studies and to draw conclusions [18].

In this study, we used Dutch health insurance company registry data to assess time to discontinuation with oral-daily, oral-weekly, and depot formulations, or a combination of these. Since health insurance is mandatory for all residents of the Netherlands, inclusion of all insured patients created a generalizable sample of patients with schizophrenia. Results were stratified on the basis of the duration of recent antipsychotic use and the outcome was operationalized using real-world medication prescription patterns.

We hypothesized that there would be considerable medication discontinuation during follow-up, with a considerable impact of the duration of recent antipsychotic use before the follow-up period and of formulation type. Finally, we hypothesized that the oral-weekly formulation, due to its noninvasive oral administration, would show noninferior discontinuation trends compared with depot formulations.

## 2 Methods

### 2.1 Data Source: Dutch Computerized Health Insurance Registry Data

All data were derived from the computerized health insurance registry data at Zilveren Kruis, a large Dutch health insurance company that at that time insured about 30% of the Netherlands’ 17 million inhabitants. According to the company’s internal analyses, those insured by Zilveren Kruis are representative of the Dutch population. Dutch health insurers process, check, and reimburse claims under the strict rules of the Dutch Health Insurance Authority and prevailing privacy regulations. For this study, all reimbursed healthcare claims for all clients of Zilveren Kruis provided by all the health care providers under the Dutch Health Insurance Act were available. The data consisted of all psychiatric and somatic healthcare, including prescription medication. Selection and analysis were guided by the strict rules of the Dutch privacy laws and regulations. This study was carried out according to STROBE [19].

### 2.2 Study Design and Patient Selection

For this retrospective cohort analysis, all patients who were (1) insured by the Dutch health insurer Zilveren Kruis over the whole period of 2013–2016; (2) who had been exposed to antipsychotic medication on 31 December 2015; (3) who...
had been diagnosed with schizophrenia in 2013; and (4) who were aged 18–69 years on 1 January 2013 were included.

Time to discontinuation after 31 December 2015 in the follow-up year (2016) was assessed in patients, using survival analyses (Fig. 1). Patients had been stratified according to the antipsychotic formulation they used on 31 December 2015, combined with how long they were using that antipsychotic formulation. The amount of psychiatric treatment, as reflected by the costs of care comprising all delivered care, including (relapse-related) admissions, over 2014–2015, and patient characteristics were used to correct for the case-mix of these patients in the survival analyses.

Daily exposure to antipsychotic medication was estimated on the basis of the average prescription doses of antipsychotic medication in the dataset. Because the effective doses of antipsychotics per patients differed considerably, sensitivity analyses were performed using half and double the average prescription doses of antipsychotic medication in the dataset.

**2.3 Measures**

In the electronic supplementary material [ESM], it is explained how the average defined daily dose (DDD) representative for the Netherlands was calculated and how, for each patient, the exposure days to antipsychotic medication were determined using the Dutch DDD.

**2.4 Dependent Variable: Time to Discontinuation**

Time to discontinuation was defined as the number of days between the date on which the baseline antipsychotic formulation status had been established (31 December 2015) and the last day in 2016 before which the antipsychotic medication status was switched, or on which there was no antipsychotic exposure. The ‘antipsychotic status at discontinuation in 2016’ means that, at discontinuation, there would be at least 1 day where there was a change to another formulation or there would be at least 1 day without antipsychotic medication. Regarding the latter, when a patient was classified as on ‘no antipsychotic medication’, the patient could have received antipsychotics again after this day, possibly immediately after.

**2.5 Independent Predictor Variables (Covariates)**

**2.5.1 Demographic Variables**

There were two patient characteristics: age (1 January 2013) and sex.

**2.5.2 Baseline Antipsychotic Formulation Status on 31 December 2015**

Antipsychotic formulation status on 31 December 2015 was determined using the Dutch DDD, and comprised the options oral-daily, oral-weekly, and depot. The antipsychotic formulation status was determined as mixed if there was an overlap between any of the possible combinations of the formulations: oral-daily, oral-weekly, or depot.

**2.5.3 Recent Antipsychotic Use**

Recent antipsychotic use was calculated by starting at baseline (31 December 2015) and counting back the number of days before that day on which a patient had been receiving the same antipsychotic formulation. Recent antipsychotic use was divided into short-term recent use (≤ 60 days), and long-term recent use (> 61 days). We chose the 60-day cut-off as it is consistent with definitions of newly initiated antipsychotic treatment used in other studies [6, 12].

**Fig. 1** Study design and patient selection
2.5.4 Psychiatric Treatment Over 2014–2015

We measured the amount of elective psychiatric treatment (i.e. all outpatient non-crisis psychiatric treatment) and crisis psychiatric treatment (i.e. all crisis and inpatient psychiatric treatment) as reflected by the costs of care. The amount of psychiatric treatment was calculated using average national prices in Euros and allocated to the quarter of the starting date.

Psychotropic prescription co-medication (defined as all prescribed medication with an N at the first level of the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) code and excluding antipsychotic medication) was measured over 2014–2015 (see further explanation in the ESM). Psychotropic prescription co-medication was divided into two categories: any psychotropic prescription co-medication, or no psychotropic prescription co-medication.

The number of discontinuation events was defined as the switches in antipsychotic medication formulation status, including no antipsychotic medication exposure, and was counted over 2014–2015.

2.6 Analysis

The time to discontinuation was analyzed using Cox survival analyses to adjust for covariates. The Cox survival model was developed with forward selection of covariates until the fit of the model did not improve. Sensitivity analyses were performed using half and double the Dutch DDDs. All analyses were performed using SAS Enterprise guide 6.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

3 Results

3.1 Average Defined Daily Doses (DDDs) in the Netherlands Per Formulation, and Study Population

Table 1 presents the average DDDs used in the Netherlands. The dose of oral-daily formulations in the Netherlands was 9% higher than the World Health Organization (WHO) DDD, and the depot dose was 45% higher. The oral-weekly dose was 25% lower than the WHO DDD. The number of patients exposed to antipsychotic medication on 31 December 2015 was 8257.

3.2 Patient Characteristics and Amount of Psychiatric Treatment

Overall, the baseline antipsychotic formulation status was as follows: oral-daily formulations (6111, 74%) (Table 1), followed by depot (1219, 15%), mixed (486, 6%) and oral-weekly (441, 5%). Sixty-four percent of patients were male, with somewhat higher percentages of males in the depot and mixed subgroups. The average age of patients was 44 years, with the oral-weekly subgroup being the oldest and the mixed subgroup being the youngest. Male patients were, on average, 5 years younger than female patients.

Before the baseline date (31 December 2015), 59% of all patients had used the baseline antipsychotic formulation for ≤ 60 days. The percentages of patients with recent antipsychotic use for ≤ 60 days were 85% for the mixed formulation group, 67% for the depot subgroup, and 55% for the oral-daily and oral-weekly subgroups. Over 2014–2015, the average amount of elective psychiatric treatment (i.e. all outpatient non-crisis psychiatric treatment) was lowest in the oral-daily subgroup and highest in the oral-weekly subgroup. The average amount of crisis psychiatric treatment was also lowest in the oral-daily subgroup and highest (more than double) in the mixed subgroup.

Over the same period, the percentage of patients taking psychotropic prescription co-medication was highest in the oral-daily and mixed formulation subgroups and lowest in the depot and oral-weekly subgroups.

Over the same period, the average number of discontinuation events was highest in the oral-daily subgroup but low in the depot and mixed subgroups. The average number of discontinuation events was higher in the subgroup with short-term recent antipsychotic use, with the highest being in the oral-daily and oral-weekly subgroups. The average number of discontinuation events was lower in the subgroup with long-term recent antipsychotic use, with the highest being in the oral-weekly and depot subgroups. In the 2016 follow-up period, only 24% had had no discontinuation (Table 2), with the highest percentages being in the oral-daily group (28%), followed by the oral-weekly group (17%), the depot group (12%), and the mixed group (7%). Most discontinuations in 2016 were changes to no antipsychotic medication (70%), with the highest percentages being in the depot group (82%), followed by the oral-weekly group (79%), and the oral-daily group (72%) (Table 1). The lowest percentages were in the mixed group (9%).

3.3 Survival Analyses

The final Cox survival model for time to discontinuation included the following covariates: baseline antipsychotic formulation status groups, number of discontinuation events, the interaction of baseline antipsychotic formulation status groups and number of discontinuation events, age, and sex. Table 3 shows the covariates with the hazard ratios, confidence intervals, and significance levels. The other potential covariates did not improve the model.

The Cox survival curve (Fig. 2a) shows the time to discontinuation for the eight baseline antipsychotic formulation
Table 1 Average DDDs: study population, patient characteristics, and amount of psychiatric treatment based on average DDDs

| Baseline antipsychotic formulation status | Oral-daily | Oral-weekly | Depot | Mixed<sup>a</sup> |
|------------------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------|-------------------|
| Patients                                 | Total     | Oral-daily  | Oral-weekly | Depot | Mixed<sup>a</sup> |
| Patients                                 | 8257 (100)| 6111 (74)   | 441 (5)   | 1219 (15) | 486 (6) |
| Sex, Male                                | 5306 (64) | 3862 (63)   | 274 (62)  | 834 (68)  | 334 (69) |
| Age, years                               | 44 (12.0) | 44 (12.0)   | 46 (11.9) | 44 (12.1) | 43 (11.4) |
| Male                                     | 42 (11.6) | 42 (11.6)   | 44 (11.7) | 43 (11.7) | 41 (11.0) |
| Female                                   | 47 (12.0) | 47 (12.1)   | 49 (11.7) | 48 (11.9) | 47 (11.5) |
| Subgroup short-term recent antipsychotic use | 4843 (59) | 3374 (55)   | 242 (55)  | 813 (67)  | 414 (85) |
| Elective psychiatric treatment 2014–2015 (in Euros) | 7864 (7163) | 7322 (6795) | 9679 (8446) | 9255 (7807) | 9550 (7719) |
| Crisis psychiatric treatment 2014–2015 (in Euros) | 9973 (26,351) | 8163 (22,985) | 14,203 (29,411) | 13,628 (32,183) | 19,739 (39,904) |
| Number of discontinuation events 2014–2015 | All patients | 14 (22.6)   | 16 (24.8) | 12 (18.8) | 9 (9.2)  | 10 (15.7) |
|                                          | Maximum   | 106         | 106       | 105      | 98       | 99       |
|                                          | Subgroup short-term recent antipsychotic use | 22 (26.3)   | 26 (28.9) | 18 (22.9) | 12 (9.7) | 11 (16.6) |
|                                          | Maximum   | 106         | 106       | 105      | 98       | 99       |
|                                          | Subgroup long-term recent antipsychotic use | 3 (7.0)     | 3 (7.3)   | 5 (7.0)  | 4 (5.0)  | 1 (3.2)  |
|                                          | Maximum   | 92          | 92        | 58       | 40       | 21       |
|                                          | Subgroup psychotropic prescription co-medication 2014–2015 | 5973 (72)   | 4556 (75) | 276 (63) | 735 (60) | 406 (84) |
| Anti-psychotic status at discontinuation in 2016 | Oral-daily | 148 (2)     | 0 (0)     | 0 (0)    | 2 (0)    | 146 (30) |
|                                          | Oral-weekly | 59 (1)      | 0 (0)     | 0 (0)    | 0 (0)    | 59 (12)  |
|                                          | Depot      | 199 (2)     | 1 (0)     | 0 (0)    | 0 (0)    | 198 (41) |
|                                          | Mixed<sup>a</sup> | 128 (2)     | 35 (1)    | 20 (5)   | 70 (6)   | 3 (1)    |
|                                          | No antipsychotic medication | 5781 (70)   | 4382 (72) | 348 (79) | 1005 (82) | 46 (9) |
|                                          | No discontinuation in 2016 | 1942 (24)   | 1693 (28) | 73 (17)  | 142 (12) | 34 (7)  |

-DDD defined daily dose, SD standard deviation

<sup>a</sup>The different mixed combinations of formulations are combined
status groups using the average DDDs for The Netherlands. The long-term recent antipsychotic use groups differed markedly from the short-term groups, with the oral-daily long-term group having the longest survival time, followed by the other long-term groups. The survival time for the short-term groups was much shorter, with the mixed short-term group being the shortest and the remaining three groups following closely behind. After adjustment with the final Cox survival model, only 20% of all patients reached the end of follow-up without discontinuation, 2% in the short-term recent antipsychotic use subgroup, and 46% in the long-term recent antipsychotic use subgroup (Table 2).

To show the effect of the interaction between the number of discontinuation events and the baseline antipsychotic formulation status groups, the model is displayed with no discontinuation events in 2014–2015 (Fig. 2b) and also with 20 discontinuation events (Fig. 2c). The more discontinuation events there were in 2015–2016, the more the survival rates decreased. Survival was still the highest in the long-term recent antipsychotic use oral-daily subgroup, and the difference between this group and the other subgroups increased.

### 3.3.1 Sensitivity Analyses: Half and Double the Average Dutch DDDs

The results of the sensitivity analyses using half and double the average Dutch DDDs are in line with the results presented using the average Dutch DDDs in the main analysis. The results of the sensitivity analyses are presented in detail in the ESM.

The patient characteristics and psychiatric history of the three dose sets were comparable.

### 4 Discussion

This registry-based study was intended to determine the time to discontinuation with various antipsychotic formulations, including oral-daily, oral-weekly, and depot, or various combinations of these in patients with schizophrenia. Our results show that substantial numbers of patients discontinued treatment. We showed that, overall, only 20% of patients reached the end of 1-year follow-up without discontinuing their medication. However, discontinuation of antipsychotic medication was strongly associated with the duration of recent antipsychotic use before the index period: 46% of patients with long-term recent antipsychotic use reached the end of follow-up without discontinuation, versus only 2% with short-term recent antipsychotic use.

### Table 2 Percentages of patients reaching the end of the 2016 follow-up year receiving the same antipsychotic formulation

|                      | Oral-daily | Oral-weekly | Depot | Mixed | Total |
|----------------------|------------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Observed survival times |            |             |       |       |       |
| All                   | 28         | 17          | 12    | 7     | 24    |
| Short-term recent antipsychotic use | 3         | 2           | 1     | 1     | 2     |
| Long-term recent antipsychotic use | 58       | 35          | 32    | 42    | 53    |
| Cox model-adjusted survival times |            |             |       |       |       |
| All                   | 23         | 15          | 10    | 4     | 20    |
| Short-term recent antipsychotic use | 2         | 3           | 2     | 0     | 2     |
| Long-term recent antipsychotic use | 51       | 29          | 26    | 29    | 46    |

Data are expressed as percentages.

### Table 3 Cox survival model

| Covariate                      | HR | 95% HR CLs | p value |
|--------------------------------|----|------------|---------|
| Oral-daily long-term Reference  |    |            |         |
| Oral-daily short-term           | 7.99 | 7.37–8.66 | <0.0001 |
| Oral-weekly short-term          | 6.60 | 5.57–7.81 | <0.0001 |
| Oral-weekly long-term           | 1.71 | 1.38–2.11 | <0.0001 |
| Depot short-term                | 6.86 | 6.12–7.70 | <0.0001 |
| Depot long-term                 | 1.88 | 1.62–2.19 | <0.0001 |
| Mixed short-term a              | 14.64 | 12.81–16.73 | <0.0001 |
| Mixed long-term a               | 1.65 | 1.19–2.31 | 0.003   |
| Number of discontinuation events 2014–2015 | 1.03 | 1.02–1.03 | <0.0001 |

Interaction, number of discontinuation events with

| Oral-daily short-term           | 0.98 | 0.98–0.99 | <0.0001 |
| Oral-weekly short-term          | 0.99 | 0.98–1.00 | 0.0026  |
| Oral-weekly long-term           | 1.02 | 1.00–1.03 | 0.0611  |
| Depot short-term                | 1.00 | 0.99–1.00 | 0.1763  |
| Depot long-term                 | 1.02 | 1.00–1.04 | 0.0193  |
| Mixed short-term a              | 0.99 | 0.98–0.99 | <0.0001 |
| Mixed long-term a               | 1.02 | 0.95–1.10 | 0.5094  |
| Age                             | 1.00 | 1.00–1.00 | 0.0117  |
| Male Reference                  |    |            |         |
| Female                          | 1.17 | 1.11–1.23 | <0.0001 |

*HR* hazard ratio, *CLs* confidence limits

a In the Cox survival analyses, all combinations of formulations are combined into one group
Overall, considerable differences in discontinuation patterns were observed between formulation types, with the longest time to discontinuation observed in the long-term recent antipsychotic use of the oral-daily formulation. However, specifically, oral-weekly formulations and depot formulations showed similar discontinuation patterns after adjusting for important determinants, including the amount of (crisis) psychiatric treatment.

Most studies on time to discontinuation are performed in populations with short-term recent antipsychotic use. These studies highlight the benefit of depot formulations on adherence by showing a longer time to discontinuation compared to those receiving oral-daily formulations. Our results are in line with current literature [9, 16, 17].

On the other hand, studies on the time to discontinuation in patients with long-term recent antipsychotic use are limited. Zhu et al. compared the time to discontinuation for patients who had been treated with haloperidol or fluphenazine in oral-daily and depot formulations for at least 60 days, reflecting long-term recent antipsychotic use [12]. Unlike us, they found that time to discontinuation was longer in patients receiving depot formulations than in those patients receiving oral-daily formulations. Two factors may explain the difference in findings. First, whereas Zhu and colleagues focused on two first-generation antipsychotic medications, our study focused on formulations. To improve generalizability, our analyses included all possible antipsychotics in various formulations. However, this could have resulted in less discontinuation events, since, for instance, patients treated with oral-daily formulations could switch between different oral-daily antipsychotic medications, without discontinuing the formulation of antipsychotic medication.

Second, clinical characteristics may differ between patients initiated on different formulations across the short- and long-term recent antipsychotic use groups. Compared with patients receiving oral-daily formulations, those receiving depot formulations are more likely to have a history of non-adherence, substance abuse, poor insight, and more severe psychopathology [16, 20, 21]. As these characteristics are reflected in the number of prior discontinuations,
our findings show that clinical characteristics have a considerable effect on time to discontinuation. With regard to long-term recent antipsychotic use, patients initiated on oral-daily formulations could have beneficial clinical characteristics, which could explain the results favoring the oral-daily formulation. To overcome potential bias by indication, we adjusted our results for potentially relevant patient and clinical characteristics (e.g., psychiatric treatment history and number of prior discontinuation events). Even so, possible residual confounding cannot be ruled out.

In this study we focused on various antipsychotic formulations, including the oral-weekly formulation. Penfluridol is the only oral-weekly antipsychotic available in this formulation. To our knowledge, penfluridol is being manufactured in several countries, e.g., China, India, Brazil, and the Netherlands. Compounding pharmacies worldwide are showing interest in this drug because of its clinical therapeutics; for example, being an oral, potent, long-acting antipsychotic drug [22]. A Cochrane review showed the safety and efficacy of penfluridol to be comparable with the depot formulation. As it was also better at ‘keeping patients in treatment’, penfluridol may help reduce adherence problems in patients who refuse depot formulations because of invasiveness [10, 11]. Our results show that, overall, penfluridol showed a pattern of discontinuation similar to depot formulation. These results are promising; penfluridol is prescribed mainly to a subgroup of patients who are seen as more challenging than patients receiving depot and who may refuse injections. Another interesting group in this study is the mixed-formulation group. In the short-term recent antipsychotic use subgroup, mixed formulations showed the shortest time to discontinuation. There are two possible explanations for this. Either the patients using these formulations were in transition to another formulation or the treating psychiatrist decided to increase the effectiveness of therapy by starting short-term add-on therapy. On the other hand, the pattern for mixed formulations in patients with long-term recent antipsychotic use was comparable with the pattern for patients receiving depot and oral-weekly formulations, representing a more ‘complex’ subgroup of patients.

4.1 Strengths and Limitations

This study used registry data from a large health insurer whose population is representative for the Netherlands. As health insurance coverage is mandatory for Dutch citizens, financial barriers to adequate treatment are very low. Therefore, the generalizability of the results of this study is increased because the study population reflects not only the heterogeneity within the population but also includes unstable and vulnerable patients. Unlike ours, observational studies with registry data performed in other countries may, due to differences regarding healthcare policy, have focused on less vulnerable patients with sufficient financial support, potentially affecting generalizability.

Previous studies on this topic have used various methods to assess time to discontinuation, e.g., different theoretical time gaps and grace periods, due to the lack of a gold standard. These differences make it difficult to compare results. For example, different grace periods seem to have a different impact on discontinuation [18]. In our study, time to discontinuation was based on average daily maintenance doses. These average doses were determined after assessing the real prescription duration for every medication pick-up in the registry data. We believe that this provided a more valid measure of DDD in this context than the WHO DDD because of the more accurate presentation of the actual prescription duration, rather than using theoretical assumptions (Table 1). Since doses may also vary from patient to patient, we performed sensitivity analyses with half and double the calculated average DDD. These analyses showed similar patterns in the time to discontinuation. As mentioned before, studies on the associations between time to discontinuation and antipsychotic formulation in those with long-term recent antipsychotic use are limited; therefore, our findings contribute to our understanding of this important matter. To the best of our knowledge, this is also the first study in this context to focus on an oral-weekly formulation.

The following limitations have to be acknowledged. First, we used time to medication discontinuation as a measure for adherence. While this is in line with previous literature, this index focuses not only on adherence but also comprises several other important aspects [6, 12]. Previous studies have therefore used it as a global index for effectiveness rather than a measure solely of adherence [13–15]. The second limitation is that registry data contain important information on prescription pick-ups, which, however, do not necessarily equate to actual drug administration. Third, we provided no information on specific antipsychotic medications within the formulations. While it is known that differences in time to discontinuation can be associated with specific antipsychotic medications within the same formulation, our study focused not on the effect of specific antipsychotic medications on time to discontinuation but on the effect of formulation type [13]. Fourth, the oral-daily group included patients using clozapine, who represent a unique subgroup of treatment-refractory patients. As this group comprised a small proportion of the overall sample, we do not expect it to have had a large effect on the outcomes. Fifth, even after adjustment for several relevant patient and clinical characteristics, including mental health care costs as a marker of complexity, some residual confounding (by indication) cannot be ruled out. If so, this might help explain the differences between patterns across the groups of short- and long-term recent antipsychotic use. However, as our findings demonstrate, it is important to emphasize the relevance of ‘complexity’ in
The results of the current registry-based study show that, overall, a considerable proportion of patients discontinue medication. We also found that time to discontinuation was strongly associated with the duration of recent antipsychotic use, with the longest time to discontinuation for the oral-daily formulation being in the long-term recent antipsychotic use group. Third, the patterns of time to discontinuation with the oral-weekly formulation were similar compared with the depot formulation. Therefore, the oral-weekly formulation could be considered a good alternative to depot medication in cases of non-adherence or refusal to have injections. In addition, further research on adherence should not overlook the substantial effect of the duration of recent antipsychotic use.
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