Tuberculosis (TB) of the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) accounts for 11% of extrapulmonary tuberculosis (EPTB) cases [1]. The ileocecal region is the most common site, accounting for more than 50% of GIT TB cases [2]. Gastric and duodenal involvement is rare in EPTB and is seen in only 1% of cases of GIT TB [2, 3]. Low gastric pH due to gastric acid is thought to inhibit proliferation of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in the stomach [4]. The typical presenting symptom of GDTB is gastric outlet obstruction; in rare cases, patients may present with upper gastrointestinal bleeding or a mass lesion mimicking malignancy [5].

Prior to 2000, most cases of GDTB were anecdotal reports in which radiology was the cornerstone for the diagnosis [6–8]. In the first series of GDTB from the Indian subcontinent, 6 of 9 patients (67%) were diagnosed at surgery, which was considered the treatment of choice [8]. In another retrospective study, mucosal biopsies had a poor yield and surgery was required both for establishing the diagnosis and treatment of GDTB [5]. In contrast, a more recent study from New Delhi documented a high diagnostic yield with endoscopic pinch and snare biopsy for histological diagnosis of GDTB [9]. In the latter study, 12 of 13 pa...
tients (92.3%) could be treated successfully with a combination of antituberculous therapy (ATT) and endoscopic dilatation [9].

The present study attempted to evaluate efficacy of non-surgical management of GDTB using a combination of ATT along with endoscopic balloon dilatation of tuberculous strictures.

Patients and methods

All consecutive patients diagnosed with GDTB at GB Pant hospital New Delhi from 2009 to 2017 were prospectively evaluated. Of these, a case series 13 cases was published in 2012 which showed significant short-term improvement [9].

Diagnosis of GDTB was made on the basis of demonstration of caseating epithelioid granulomas and/or AFB on ZN stain in duodenal and or gastric biopsies. Patients with gastroduodenal lesions at endoscopy with non-specific histopathological features were also considered to have GDTB, provided they had definitive evidence of TB at any other site.

Patients underwent detailed clinical examination, biochemical investigations, tuberculin testing, and chest x-ray. IGRA, tissue for TB polymerase chain reaction, and culture were not done, as they were costly investigations. The Barium Upper Gastrointestinal Tract series and abdominal CECT (contrast-enhanced computed tomography) were done clinically when indicated. Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy (UGIE) was done under conscious sedation and multiple (6–8) pinch biopsies from the involved mucosa of stomach or duodenum were taken. Endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR)/snare biopsy was done subsequently if the pinch biopsies were inconclusive. Mucosal and EMR biopsies samples were processed for histopathological examination and Ziehl Neelsen (ZN) stain. CECT was done to evaluate the extent of gastroduodenal disease and also to identify lesions elsewhere in the abdomen. Stricture was documented on imaging, (either barium or abdominal CECT) or endoscopically. All patients with a confirmed diagnosis of GDTB were treated with weight-based ATT for a period ranging between 6 and 9 months. Patients who could not tolerate a liquid diet underwent dilatation before starting ATT. Dilatation was also done in patients in whom no significant improvement occurred in 2 to 4 weeks after ATT. Endoscopic balloon dilatation was done in patients with persistent vomiting using the technique described by us earlier [9]. The ATT given was usually four drugs (SHRZ) in the intensive phase and two drugs (HR) in the continuation phase. Intramuscular streptomycin and syrup rifampicin were used initially in patients who were unable to take oral medicines due to recurrent vomiting. The endpoint of endoscopic dilatation was successful dilatation with an 18-mm “through the scope” balloon (Wilson-Cook, United States). On follow-up evaluation, UGIE and barium study was repeated in patients with recurrent vomiting. Patients in whom symptoms persisted despite ATT and endoscopic dilatation were considered to have failure of medical management and were referred for surgery or offered placement of a self-expanding metal stent (SEMS). Post-ATT patients were followed up on an outpatient basis or through telephonic conversation for any clinical recurrence of symptoms in the long term. Eradication of tuberculosis was confirmed by clinical improvement of symptoms and endoscopic healing. Anthropometric measurements (weight and body mass index [BMI]) were recorded before starting treatment and repeated 9 to 12 months after completion of ATT.

Statistical methods

Mean with 95% confidence interval and median were calculated for continuous data. The Student’s t-test was used for continuous variables to identify the difference between the two groups. P < 0.05 was considered as significant.

Results

Fifty-two patient with GDTB were enrolled during the study period from 2009 to 2017. Mean age of the patients was 28.5 years (95% CI: 28.4–28.6). There was a significant male predominance as 35 of patients (67%) were male. Presenting symptoms were vomiting (n = 51, 98%), weight loss (n = 52, 100%) and fever (n = 12, 23%). Mean BMI at presentation was 16.5 kg/m² (95% CI: 16.48–16.57). Past history of tuberculosis was elicited in eight patients (15%). Twenty-three patients (44%) had evidence of tuberculosis elsewhere.

Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy was done in all patients; all but one had a stricture in the stomach, duodenum or at both sites (Fig. 1). The patient with the non-stricturing disease had a nodular mass in the antrum on UGIE. The site of involvement in GDTB was duodenum (n = 37, 71%), stomach contiguous with duodenum (n = 13, 25%) or only stomach (n = 2, 3.8%). The first and second part of the duodenum was the most common site of involvement with GDTB in the duodenum (42%), whereas the pyloric area was the most common site of involvement in the stomach (Fig. 2).

CECT examination provided additional information in 23 patients (44%). It showed abdominal lymph nodes in 10; pulmonary and pleural in two patients each; isolated jejunal, ileal, colonic; and a combination of multiple small bowel lesions in one patient each. Five patients have involvement in more than two sites (dissemination).

Fig. 1 Tubercular duodenal stricture.
Each of the 52 patients underwent endoscopy and pinch biopsy of the abnormal-appearing mucosal lesions. In 36 patients (69.2%) granulomas were seen on histopathological exam (HPE) and acid-fast bacilli (AFB) were seen in three patients (5.7%). Snare biopsy or EMR was done in 10 patients, seven of whom (70%) had epithelioid granuloma on HPE and one patient had AFB on ZN staining. Therefore, an accurate histopathological diagnosis could be made in 43 of the 52 patients (83%).

Management and follow-up

Forty-two patients required endoscopic balloon dilatation in addition to the ATT drug therapy (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4). A median of two sessions (range 0 – 6) were required in these patients. In patients with multiple strictures, dilatation was done for the critical strictures and the rest of the noncritical strictures responded to ATT.

There were two complications post-endoscopic dilatation. One patient had retroperitoneal perforation and was managed conservatively whereas one patient had an intraperitoneal perforation requiring surgery. Endoscopic dilatation failed in four cases: two patients (3.8%) underwent surgery and one patient underwent placement of a SEMS. One patient who had severe malnutrition preoperatively and underwent a feeding jejunostomy died postoperatively due to sepsis. Patients were able to resume their normal diet usually within 7 days post-endoscopic dilatation. Complete amelioration of vomiting was seen in all responders within a period of 4 to 6 weeks after initiation of de-
finitive therapy (► Fig. 5). Post completion of therapy, mean BMI was 20.5 kg/m² which was an increase of 4 kg/m² over the baseline value (P < 0.001). These patients were followed up prospectively for any recurrence of symptoms both by outpatient visits and telephonic follow-up. The median period of follow up was 23.5 months (IQR 11.5 – 52 months). None of the patients reported any recurrence of symptoms.

Discussion

Abdominal tuberculosis has a strong predilection for the ileocecal region, which accounts for more than 50% of patients with gastrointestinal TB [2]. Tuberculous involvement of the stomach and duodenum are uncommon even in countries where the disease is endemic. In this prospective study, we present our clinical experience of 52 patients with GDTB who were diagnosed at our center over a period of 8 years from 2009 to 2017. The salient features of our study are the relatively large number of patients and the long duration of the study and follow-up period.

The dominant feature of the clinical presentation was presence of recurrent vomiting in 51 (98%) of 52 patients. This underscores the fact that GDTB presents predominantly with features of gastric outlet obstruction. A recent study has shown that GDTB and corrosive ingestion appear to be almost as common as peptic ulcer disease as etiology of benign gastric outlet obstruction in the Indian subcontinent [10].

The most common site of obstruction was the junction between the first and second part of the duodenum in 42% of patients followed by the antro-pyloric segment. The duodenal bulb and the second part of the duodenum were more commonly involved than the distal duodenum (third and fourth parts), suggesting a gradient starting from the duodenal bulb which is most vulnerable whereas the third and fourth parts of the duodenum are least vulnerable. The reason for this gradient is currently unknown.

One of the strengths of our study has been demonstration of the high yield of granulomatous pathology on endoscopic biopsy specimens. Previous studies have shown that yield of endoscopic biopsies in establishing a histological diagnosis is less than 10% [5, 7, 11]. These studies have therefore recommended that surgery is the modality of choice for both diagnosis and treatment of GDTB [5, 11]. In this prospective study, we have conclusively shown that histologic yield is proportionate to quantity of tissue sent for histopathology. As a part of the study protocol, we were taking six to eight biopsy pieces from the abnormal area. If the report was inconclusive, we followed it up by doing either a snare biopsy or EMR. This is the main reason that we were able to demonstrate either epithelioid granulomas or AFB in more than 80% of patients. A similar high yield of endoscopic biopsy for demonstration of granulomatous pathology previously was reported by Jain et al albeit the sample size was only seven patients [12].

The current study makes a strong a case for a paradigm shift towards nonsurgical management of GDTB. Surgical management was required in only three of 52 patients with GDTB (5.8%) in our study. This is at variance with two earlier reports from India in which the vast majority of patients underwent surgical intervention for both diagnosis and treatment of GDTB [5, 11]. The efficacy of the nonsurgical approach is underscored by the fact that most of the patients were able to resume their normal diet within a mean of 7 days following endoscopic dilatation. Complete amelioration of vomiting was seen in all patients within a period of 4 to 6 weeks after initiation of definitive therapy. In addition to subjective improvement in symptoms, objective assessment showed an increase in BMI, suggesting a significant improvement in patients’ nutritional status. Efficacy of endoscopic balloon dilatation for GDTB has previously been shown in two brief reports [13, 14].

Conclusion

In summary, we have shown that most patients with GDTB present with features of gastric outlet obstruction. Endoscopy-based techniques should be considered as the standard operating procedure in diagnosis and management of patients with suspected GDTB. Surgical intervention is required only in the relatively small proportion who do not respond to the combination of ATT and EBD.
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