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Abstract. Geometric properties of the Jackson and Hahn-Exton $q$-Bessel functions are studied. For each of them, three different normalizations are applied in such a way that the resulting functions are analytic in the unit disk of the complex plane. For each of the six functions we determine the radii of starlikeness and convexity precisely by using their Hadamard factorization. These are $q$-generalizations of some known results for Bessel functions of the first kind. The characterization of entire functions from the Laguerre-Pólya class via hyperbolic polynomials play an important role in this paper. Moreover, the interlacing property of the zeros of Jackson and Hahn-Exton $q$-Bessel functions and their derivatives is also useful in the proof of the main results. We also deduce a sufficient and necessary condition for the close-to-convexity of a normalized Jackson $q$-Bessel function and its derivatives. Some open problems are proposed at the end of the paper.

1. Introduction and Statements of the Main Results

Let $D_r$ be the open disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r\}$ with radius $r > 0$ and let $D = D_1$. By $A$ we mean the class of normalized analytic functions $f : D_r \to \mathbb{C}$ which satisfy the usual normalization conditions $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$. Denote by $S$ the class of functions belonging to $A$ which are univalent in $D_r$ and let $S^*(\alpha)$ be the subclass of $S$ consisting of functions which are starlike of order $\alpha$ in $D_r$, where $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. The analytic characterization of this class of functions is

$$S^*(\alpha) = \left\{ f \in S : \Re \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha \quad \text{for all} \quad z \in D_r \right\},$$

and we adopt the convention $S^* = S^*(0)$. The real number

$$r^*_\alpha(f) = \sup \left\{ r > 0 : \Re \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha \quad \text{for all} \quad z \in D_r \right\},$$

is called the radius of starlikeness of order $\alpha$ of the function $f$. Note that $r^*(f) = r^*_0(f)$ is the largest radius such that the image region $f(D_{r^*(f)})$ is a starlike domain with respect to the origin.

For $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ the class of convex functions of order $\alpha$ is defined by

$$K(\alpha) = \left\{ f \in S : \Re \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \alpha \quad \text{for all} \quad z \in D_r \right\},$$

and for $\alpha = 0$ it reduces to the class $K$ of convex functions. We note that the convex functions do not need to be normalized, that is, the definition of $K(\alpha)$ is also valid for non-normalized analytic function $f : D \to \mathbb{C}$ with the property $f'(0) \neq 0$. The radius of convexity of order $\alpha$ of an analytic locally univalent function $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$r^*_\alpha(f) = \sup \left\{ r > 0 : \Re \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \alpha \quad \text{for all} \quad z \in D_r \right\}.$$
We note that \( r^* (f) = r^*_0 (f) \) is in fact the largest radius for which the image domain \( f((D, r^*(f)) \) is a convex domain in \( \mathbb{C} \). For more information about starlike and convex functions we refer to Duren’s the book [13] and to the references therein.

Consider the Jackson and Hahn-Exton \( q \)-Bessel functions. They are explicitly defined by

\[
J^{(2)}_\nu (z; q) = \frac{(q^{\nu + 1}; q)_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(q^n; q)_n (q^{\nu + 1}; q)_n} q^{n(\nu + 1)}
\]

and

\[
J^{(3)}_\nu (z; q) = \frac{(q^{\nu + 1}; q)_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(q^n; q)_n (q^{\nu + 1}; q)_n} z^{n(\nu + 1)},
\]

where \( z \in \mathbb{C}, \nu > -1, q \in (0, 1) \) and

\[
(a; q)_0 = 1, \quad (a; q)_n = \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 - aq^{k-1}), \quad (a; q)_\infty = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - aq^{k-1}).
\]

A common feature of these analytic functions is that they are \( q \)-extensions of the classical Bessel function of the first kind \( J_\nu \). Namely, for fixed \( z \) we have \( J^{(2)}_\nu ((1 - z); q) \to J_\nu (z) \) and \( J^{(3)}_\nu ((1 - z); q) \to J_\nu (2z) \) as \( q \to 1 \). Watson’s treatise [26] contains comprehensive information about the Bessel function of the first kind and properties of the above \( q \)-extensions of Bessel functions can be found in [14] [15] [18] [19] and in the references therein. Geometric properties of Bessel functions of the first kind, such as univalence, starlikeness, convexity and close-to-convexity, are established in [2] [3] [4] [6] [7]. Motivated by those results, in this paper we study the geometric properties of the Jackson and Hahn-Exton \( q \)-Bessel functions. For each of them, three different normalizations are applied in such a way that the resulting functions are analytic in the unit disk of the complex plane. Using the Weierstrassian decomposition of \( J^{(2)}_\nu \) and \( J^{(3)}_\nu \) and combining the methods from [1] [4] [5] we determine precisely the radii of starlikeness and convexity for each of the six functions. These results are the \( q \)-generalizations of the corresponding results for Bessel functions of the first kind obtained in [4] [5]. A characterization of entire functions from the Laguerre-Pólya class involving hyperbolic polynomials and an interlacing property of the zeros of Jackson and Hahn-Exton \( q \)-Bessel functions and their derivatives play an important role in the proofs. We establish a necessary and sufficient condition for the close-to-convexity of a normalized Jackson \( q \)-Bessel function and its derivatives. The results obtained in the present paper show that there is no essential difference between Jackson and Hahn-Exton \( q \)-Bessel functions when one teats the problem about the radii of starlikeness and convexity. Therefore one may expect that other geometric properties of these two \( q \)-extensions of Bessel’s function are also similar.

Since neither \( J^{(2)}_\nu ; q \) nor \( J^{(3)}_\nu ; q \) belongs to \( \mathcal{A} \), first we perform some natural normalizations. For \( \nu > -1 \) we define three functions originating from \( J^{(2)}_\nu ; q \):

\[
f^{(2)}_\nu (z; q) = (2^\nu c_\nu (q) J^{(2)}_\nu (z; q))^{\frac{1}{\nu}}, \quad \nu \neq 0,
\]

\[
g^{(2)}_\nu (z; q) = 2^\nu c_\nu (q) z^{1-\nu} J^{(2)}_\nu (z; q),
\]

\[
h^{(2)}_\nu (z; q) = 2^\nu c_\nu (q) z^{1-\nu} J^{(2)}_\nu (\sqrt{z}; q),
\]

where \( c_\nu (q) = (q; q)_\infty / (q^{\nu + 1}; q)_\infty \). Similarly, we associate with \( J^{(3)}_\nu ; q \) the functions

\[
f^{(3)}_\nu (z; q) = \left( 2^\nu c_\nu (q) J^{(3)}_\nu (z; q) \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}}, \quad \nu \neq 0,
\]

\[
g^{(3)}_\nu (z; q) = c_\nu (q) z^{1-\nu} J^{(3)}_\nu (z; q),
\]

\[
h^{(3)}_\nu (z; q) = c_\nu (q) z^{1-\nu} J^{(3)}_\nu (\sqrt{z}; q).
\]

Clearly the functions \( f^{(s)}_\nu (z; q), g^{(s)}_\nu (z; q), h^{(s)}_\nu (z; q), s \in \{2, 3\} \), belong to the class \( \mathcal{A} \).

The first principal result we establish concerns the radii of starlikeness and convexity as follows.

**Theorem 1.** Let \( \nu > -1 \) and \( s \in \{2, 3\} \). The following statements hold:

a) If \( \alpha \in [0, 1) \) and \( \nu > 0 \), then \( r^{(s)}_\nu (f^{(s)}_\nu) = x_{\nu, \alpha} \), where \( x_{\nu, \alpha} \) is the smallest positive root of the equation

\[
r \cdot dJ^{(s)}_\nu (r; q) / dr - \alpha \nu J^{(s)}_\nu (r; q) = 0.
\]
Moreover, if $\alpha \in [0,1)$ and $\nu \in (-1,0)$, then $r^*_\alpha \left(f^{(s)}_\nu\right) = x_{\nu,\alpha}$, where $x_{\nu,\alpha}$ is the unique positive root of the equation
\[ ir \cdot dJ^{(s)}_\nu(ir; q)/dr - \alpha \nu J^{(s)}_\nu(ir; q) = 0. \]

b) If $\alpha \in [0,1)$, then $r^*_\alpha \left(g^{(s)}_\nu\right) = y_{\nu,\alpha,1}$, where $y_{\nu,\alpha,1}$ is the smallest positive root of the equation
\[ r \cdot dJ^{(s)}_\nu(r; q)/dr - (\alpha + \nu - 1)J^{(s)}_\nu(r; q) = 0. \]

c) If $\alpha \in [0,1)$, then $r^*_\alpha \left(h^{(s)}_\nu\right) = z_{\nu,\alpha,1}$, where $z_{\nu,\alpha,1}$ is the smallest positive root of the equation
\[ r \cdot dJ^{(s)}_\nu(r; q)/dr - (2\alpha + \nu - 2)J^{(s)}_\nu(r; q) = 0. \]

Our second result concerns the radii of convexity.

**Theorem 2.** Let $\nu > -1$ and $s \in \{2,3\}$. The following statements hold:

a) If $\nu > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0,1)$, then the radius of convexity of order $\alpha$ of the function $f^{(s)}_\nu(z; q)$ is the smallest positive root of the equation
\[ 1 + \left(\frac{r \cdot d^2J^{(s)}_\nu(r; q)/dr^2}{dJ^{(s)}_\nu(r; q)/dr}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{\nu} - 1\right)\frac{r \cdot dJ^{(s)}_\nu(r; q)/dr}{J^{(s)}_\nu(r; q)} = \alpha. \]

Moreover, we have $r^*_\alpha \left(f^{(2)}_\nu\right) < j^{(1)}_{\nu,1}(q) < j^{(1)}_{\nu,1}(q) < j^{(1)}_{\nu,1}(q) < j^{(1)}_{\nu,1}(q)$, where $j^{(1)}_{\nu,1}(q)$ and $l^{(1)}_{\nu,1}(q)$ are the first positive zeros of the functions $J^{(2)}_\nu(z; q)$, $J^{(3)}_\nu(z; q)$, $z \mapsto dJ^{(2)}_\nu(z; q)/dz$ and $z \mapsto dJ^{(3)}_\nu(z; q)/dz$.

b) If $\nu > -1$ and $\alpha \in [0,1)$, then the radius of convexity of order $\alpha$ of the function $g^{(s)}_\nu(z; q)$ is the smallest positive root of the equation
\[ 1 + \nu + r \cdot d^2J^{(s)}_\nu(r; q)/dr^2 = \alpha. \]

Moreover, we have $r^*_\alpha \left(g^{(2)}_\nu\right) < j^{(2)}_{\nu,1}(q) < j^{(2)}_{\nu,1}(q) < j^{(2)}_{\nu,1}(q) < j^{(2)}_{\nu,1}(q)$, where $j^{(2)}_{\nu,1}(q)$ and $j^{(2)}_{\nu,1}(q)$ are the first positive zeros of the functions $z \mapsto z \cdot dJ^{(2)}_\nu(z; q)/dz + (1 - \nu)J^{(2)}_\nu(z; q)$ and $z \mapsto z \cdot dJ^{(3)}_\nu(z; q)/dz + (1 - \nu)J^{(3)}_\nu(z; q)$.

c) If $\nu > -1$ and $\alpha \in [0,1)$, then the radius of convexity of order $\alpha$ of the function $h^{(s)}_\nu(z; q)$ is the smallest positive root of the equation
\[ 1 + \frac{\sqrt{\nu}}{2} \left(\frac{r \cdot dJ^{(s)}_\nu(\sqrt{\nu}; q)/dr + \sqrt{\nu} \cdot d^2J^{(s)}_\nu(\sqrt{\nu}; q)/dr^2}{2 - \nu \cdot J^{(s)}_\nu(\sqrt{\nu}; q) + \sqrt{\nu} \cdot dJ^{(s)}_\nu(\sqrt{\nu}; q)/dr}\right) = \alpha. \]

Moreover, we have $r^*_\alpha \left(h^{(2)}_\nu\right) < j^{(2)}_{\nu,1}(q) < j^{(2)}_{\nu,1}(q)$ and $r^*_\alpha \left(h^{(3)}_\nu\right) < j^{(3)}_{\nu,1}(q) < j^{(3)}_{\nu,1}(q)$, where $j^{(2)}_{\nu,1}(q)$, $j^{(3)}_{\nu,1}(q)$, and $j^{(3)}_{\nu,1}(q)$ are the first positive zeros of the functions $z \mapsto z \cdot dJ^{(2)}_\nu(z; q)/dz + (2 - \nu)J^{(2)}_\nu(z; q)$, and $z \mapsto z \cdot dJ^{(3)}_\nu(z; q)/dz + (2 - \nu)J^{(3)}_\nu(z; q)$.

We note that these theorems are natural $q$-extension to Jackson and Hahn-Exton $q$-Bessel functions of the results obtained in [4] and [6]. While the ideas of the proofs are similar, here we need some specific $q$-extensions of results about the Bessel functions which are of independent interest, such as Lemmas [4] [6] and [9].

Finally, we state a result, which is the $q$-extension of the first part of [7] Theorem 1] for the Jackson $q$-Bessel function.

**Theorem 3.** If $\nu > -1$, then the function $h_{\nu}(z; q) = h^{(2)}_{\nu}(z; q)$ is starlike and all of its derivatives are close-to-convex in $D$ if and only if $\nu \geq \max\{v_0(q), v^*(q)\}$, where $v_0(q)$ is the unique root of the equation $db_\nu^2(z; q)/dz_{|z=1} = h^2_{\nu}(1; q) = 0$, and $v^*(q)$ is the unique root of the equation $j_{\nu,1}(q) = 1$.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains the preliminary results together with their proofs, while in Section 3 we present the proofs of the main results. In Section 4 we present some consequence of the Hadamard factorizations to Rayleigh sums of the $q$-Bessel functions under discussion and formulate some open problems.
2. Preliminaries

2.1. The Hadamard factorization for $q$-Bessel functions. The following preliminary results are useful in the sequel. The next infinite product representations are natural $q$-extensions of the well-known Hadamard factorization for Bessel functions of the first kind.

Lemma 1. If $\nu > -1$, then $z \mapsto J^{(2)}_\nu(z;q) = 2^\nu c_\nu(q)z^{-\nu}J^{(2)}_\nu(z;q)$ and $z \mapsto J^{(3)}_\nu(z;q) = c_\nu(q)z^{-\nu}J^{(3)}_\nu(z;q)$ are entire functions of order $p = 0$. Consequently, their Hadamard factorization for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ are of the form

\begin{align}
J^{(2)}_\nu(z;q) &= \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{j^{2n}_\nu(q)}\right), \\
J^{(3)}_\nu(z;q) &= \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{l^2_{\nu,n}(q)}\right),
\end{align}

where $j_{\nu,n}(q)$ and $l_{\nu,n}(q)$ are the nth positive zeros of the functions $J^{(2)}_\nu(\cdot; q)$ and $J^{(3)}_\nu(\cdot; q)$.

Proof. Since

\begin{align}
J^{(2)}_\nu(z;q) &= 2^\nu c_\nu(q)z^{-\nu}J^{(2)}_\nu(z;q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n z^{2n} q^{n(n+\nu)}}{2^{2n}(q; q)_n (q^{\nu+1}; q)_n}, \\
J^{(3)}_\nu(z;q) &= c_\nu(q)z^{-\nu}J^{(3)}_\nu(z;q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n z^{2n} q^{n(n+1)}}{(q; q)_n (q^{\nu+1}; q)_n},
\end{align}

it follows that the growth orders of the even entire functions $z \mapsto J^{(2)}_\nu(z;q)$ and $z \mapsto J^{(3)}_\nu(z;q)$ are zero. Namely, we have that

\begin{align}
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log(q; q)_n + \log(q^{\nu+1}; q)_n + 2n \log 2 - n(n + \nu) \log q} &= 0, \\
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log(q; q)_n + \log(q^{\nu+1}; q)_n - \frac{1}{2}n(n + 1) \log q} &= 0,
\end{align}

since as $n \to \infty$ we have $\log(q; q)_n \to (q; q)_\infty < \infty$ and $(q^{\nu+1}; q)_n \to (q^{\nu+1}; q)_\infty < \infty$. On the other hand, we know that the zeros $j_{\nu,n}(q), n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $l_{\nu,n}(q), n \in \mathbb{N}$, are real and simple, according to [14] Theorem 4.2 [18] and [13] Theorem 3.4], and with this the rest of the proof of (2.1) follows by applying Hadamard’s Theorem [20] p. 26. \hfill \Box

2.2. Quotients of power series. We will also need the following result, see [3] [22]:

Lemma 2. Consider the power series $f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n x^n$ and $g(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n x^n$, where $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b_n > 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. Suppose that both series converge on $(-r, r)$, for some $r > 0$. If the sequence $\{a_n/b_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is increasing (decreasing), then the function $x \mapsto f(x)/g(x)$ is increasing (decreasing) too on $(0, r)$. The result remains true for the power series $f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n x^{2n}$ and $g(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n x^{2n}$.

2.3. Zeros of polynomials and entire functions, and the Laguerre-Pólya class. In this subsection we provide the necessary information about polynomials and entire functions with real zeros. An algebraic polynomial is called hyperbolic if all its zeros are real. We note that the simple statement that two real polynomials $p$ and $q$ posses real and interlacing zeros if and only if any linear combinations of $p$ and $q$ is a hyperbolic polynomial is sometimes called Obrechkoff’s theorem. We formulate the following specific statement that we shall need, see [1].

Lemma 3. Let $p(x) = 1 - a_1 x + a_2 x^2 - a_3 x^3 + \cdots + (-1)^n a_n x^n = (1 - x/x_1) \cdots (1 - x/x_n)$ be a hyperbolic polynomial with positive zeros $0 < x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \cdots \leq x_n$, and normalized by $p(0) = 1$. Then, for any constant $C$, the polynomial $q(x) = C p(x) - x p'(x)$ is hyperbolic. Moreover, the smallest zero $\eta_1$ belongs to the interval $(0, x_1)$ if and only if $C < 0$.

The proof of this result is straightforward; it is enough to apply Rolle’s theorem and then count the sign changes of the linear combination at the zeros of $p$. We refer to [9] [10] for further results on monotonicity and asymptotics of zeros of linear combinations of hyperbolic polynomials.

A real entire function $\psi$ belongs to the Laguerre-Pólya class $\mathcal{LP}$ if it can be represented in the form

$$\psi(x) = c x^m e^{-ax^2 + \beta x} \prod_{k \geq 1} \left(1 + \frac{x}{x_k}\right) e^{-\pi x_k}.$$
with \( c, \beta, x_k \in \mathbb{R}, a > 0, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \sum x_k^{-2} < \infty \). Similarly, \( \phi \) is said to be of type I in the Laguerre-Pólya class, written \( \phi \in \mathcal{L}P_{I} \), if \( \phi(x) \) or \( \phi(-x) \) can be represented as

\[
\phi(x) = cx^m e^{\pi x} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left( 1 + \frac{x}{x_k} \right),
\]

with \( c \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma \geq 0, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, x_k > 0, \sum 1/x_k < \infty \). The class \( \mathcal{L}P \) is the complement of the space of hyperbolic polynomials in the topology induced by the uniform convergence on the compact sets of the complex plane while \( \mathcal{L}P_{I} \) is the complement of the hyperbolic polynomials whose zeros possess a preassigned constant sign. Given an entire function \( \varphi \) with the Maclaurin expansion

\[
\varphi(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} x^k \gamma_k/k!,
\]

its Jensen polynomials are defined by

\[
g_n(\varphi; x) = \sum_{k = 0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \gamma_k x^k.
\]

Jensen proved the following relation in \([17]\):

**Lemma 4.** The function \( \varphi \) belongs to \( \mathcal{L}P \) (\( \mathcal{L}P_{I} \), respectively) if and only if all the polynomials \( g_n(\varphi; x) \), \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), are hyperbolic (hyperbolic with zeros of equal sign). Moreover, the sequence \( g_n(\varphi; z/n) \) converges locally uniformly to \( \varphi(z) \).

Further information about the Laguerre-Pólya class can be found in \([21, 23]\) while \([11]\) contains references and additional facts about the Jensen polynomials in general and also about those related to the Bessel function.

The following result is a key tool in the proof of Theorems \([11, 2]\).

**Lemma 5.** Let \( \nu > -1 \) and \( a < 0 \). Then the functions \( z \mapsto (2a + \nu) J_{\nu}^2(z; q) - z \cdot dJ_{\nu}^2(z; q)/dz \) and \( z \mapsto (2a + \nu) J_{\nu}^3(z; q) - z \cdot dJ_{\nu}^3(z; q)/dz \) can be represented in the form

\[
c_{\nu}(q) \left( (2a + \nu) J_{\nu}^2(z; q) - z \cdot dJ_{\nu}^2(z; q)/dz \right) = 2 \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^{\nu} \phi_{\nu}(z; q),
\]

\[
c_{\nu}(q) \left( (2a + \nu) J_{\nu}^3(z; q) - z \cdot dJ_{\nu}^3(z; q)/dz \right) = 2z^{\nu} \psi_{\nu}(z; q),
\]

where \( \phi_{\nu}(.; q) \) and \( \psi_{\nu}(.; q) \) are entire functions which belongs to the Laguerre-Pólya class \( \mathcal{L}P \). Moreover, the smallest positive zero of \( \phi_{\nu}(.; q) \) does not exceed the first positive zero \( \gamma_{\nu,1}(q) \), while the smallest positive zero of \( \psi_{\nu}(.; q) \) is less than \( \gamma_{\nu,1}(q) \).

**Proof.** It is clear from the infinite product representation of \( z \mapsto J_{\nu}^2(z; q) = 2^\nu c_{\nu}(q) z^{-\nu} J_{\nu}^2(z; q) \) that this function belongs to the Laguerre-Pólya class of entire functions (since the exponential factors in the infinite product are canceled because of the symmetry of the zeros \( \pm \gamma_{\nu,n}(q), n \in \mathbb{N} \), with respect to the origin). This implies that the function \( z \mapsto J_{\nu}^3(2\sqrt{z}; q) = \mathcal{J}_{\nu}(z; q) \) belongs to \( \mathcal{L}P_{I} \). Then it follows from Lemma \([4]\) that its Jensen polynomials

\[
g_n(\mathcal{J}_{\nu}(.; q); \zeta) = \sum_{k = 0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \frac{k!}{(q; q)_k (q^{\nu+1}; q)_k} q^{k(\nu+\zeta)} (-\zeta)^k
\]

are all hyperbolic. However, observe that the Jensen polynomials of \( \tilde{\phi}_{\nu}(z; q) = \phi_{\nu}(2\sqrt{z}; q) \) are simply

\[
g_n(\tilde{\phi}_{\nu}; \zeta) = a g_n(\mathcal{J}_{\nu}(.; q); \zeta) - \zeta g_n'(\mathcal{J}_{\nu}(.; q); \zeta).
\]

Lemma \([3]\) implies that all zeros of \( g_n(\tilde{\phi}_{\nu}; \zeta) \) are real and positive and that the smallest one precedes the first zero of \( g_n(\mathcal{J}_{\nu}(.; q); \zeta) \). In view of Lemma \([3]\) the latter conclusion immediately yields that \( \tilde{\phi}_{\nu} \in \mathcal{L}P_{I} \) and that its first zero precedes \( \gamma_{\nu,1}(q) \). Finally, the first part of the statement of the lemma follows after we go back from \( \tilde{\phi}_{\nu}(.; q) \) to \( \phi_{\nu}(.; q) \) by setting \( \zeta = \frac{z}{2} \).

Similarly, because of Lemma \([1]\) the function \( z \mapsto J_{\nu}^3(z; q) = c_{\nu}(q) z^{-\nu} J_{\nu}^3(z; q) \) belongs to the Laguerre-Pólya class of entire functions, which implies that the function \( z \mapsto J_{\nu}^3(\sqrt{z}; q) = \mathcal{J}_{\nu}(z; q) \) belongs to \( \mathcal{L}P_{I} \). Then it follows from Lemma \([4]\) that its Jensen polynomials

\[
g_n(\mathcal{J}_{\nu}(.; q); \zeta) = \sum_{k = 0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \frac{k!}{(q; q)_k (q^{\nu+1}; q)_k} q^{k(\nu+1)} (-\zeta)^k
\]
are all hyperbolic. However, observe that the Jensen polynomials of \( \tilde{\psi}_\nu(z; q) = \psi_\nu(\sqrt{z}, q) \) are simply

\[
g_n(\tilde{\psi}_\nu; \zeta) = a_n(\tilde{\psi}_\nu; \zeta) - \zeta g_n'(\tilde{\psi}_\nu; \zeta).
\]

Lemma 3 implies that all zeros of \( g_n(\tilde{\psi}_\nu; \zeta) \) are real and positive and that the smallest one precedes the first zero of \( g_n(J_\nu(q); \zeta) \). In view of Lemma 3 the latter conclusion immediately yields that \( \tilde{\psi}_\nu \in \mathcal{LP}_1 \) and that its first zero precedes \( l_{\nu,1} \). Thus, the second part of the statement of this lemma follows after we go back from \( \tilde{\psi}_\nu(q; \zeta) \) to \( \psi_\nu(q; \zeta) \) by setting \( \zeta = z^2 \).

The following result is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4 and is the q-extension to Jackson and Hahn-Exton q-Bessel functions of the well known result that if \( \nu < -1 \) and \( c \) is a constant such that \( c + \nu > 0 \), then the Dini function \( z \mapsto z J_\nu'(z) + c J_\nu(z) \) has only real zeros and its first positive zero does not exceed the first positive zero of \( J_\nu \), see [20, p. 597] and [16, p. 11].

**Lemma 6.** If \( \nu > -1 \) and \( c \) is a constant such that \( c + \nu > 0 \), then the Jackson q-Dini function \( z \mapsto z \cdot J_\nu^{(2)}(z; q)/dz + c J_\nu^{(3)}(z; q)/dz \) has only real zeros and its first positive zero does not exceed \( l_{\nu,1} \). Similarly, under the same assumptions the Hahn-Exton q-Dini function \( z \mapsto z \cdot J_\nu^{(2)}(z; q)/dz + c J_\nu^{(3)}(z; q)/dz \) has only real zeros and its first positive zero does not exceed \( l_{\nu,1} \).

2.4. **The Hadamard factorization of the derivatives of q-Bessel functions.** The following infinite product representations are natural q-extensions of the well-known Hadamard factorization for the derivative of Bessel functions of the first kind.

**Lemma 7.** If \( \nu > 0 \), then \( z \mapsto (2^\nu/\nu) c_\nu(q) z^{1-\nu} \cdot J_\nu^{(2)}(z; q)/dz \) and \( z \mapsto (1/\nu) c_\nu(q) z^{1-\nu} \cdot J_\nu^{(3)}(z; q)/dz \) are entire functions of order \( \nu \geq 0 \). Consequently, their Hadamard factorization for \( z \in \mathbb{C} \) are of the form

\[
(2.2) \quad dJ_\nu^{(3)}(z; q)/dz = \frac{\nu}{2c_\nu(q)} \prod_{n \geq 1} \left( 1 - \frac{z^2}{(\nu, n+1) q} \right),
\]

where \( J_{\nu,n}(q) \) and \( l_{\nu,n}(q) \) are the \( n \)-th positive zeros of \( z \mapsto J_\nu^{(2)}(z; q)/dz \) and \( z \mapsto J_\nu^{(3)}(z; q)/dz \).

**Proof.** We have that

\[
\frac{1}{\nu} 2^\nu c_\nu(q) z^{1-\nu} \cdot J_\nu^{(2)}(z; q)/dz = \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n (2n + \nu) z^{2n q^{n+1}} q_n,
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\nu} c_\nu(q) z^{1-\nu} \cdot J_\nu^{(3)}(z; q)/dz = \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n (2n + \nu) z^{2n q^{n+1}} q_n,
\]

and

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log(q; q)_n + \log(q^{n+1}; q)_n + 2n \log 2 - n(n + \nu) \log q - \log(2n + \nu)} = 0,
\]

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n \log n}{\log(q; q)_n + \log(q^{n+1}; q)_n + 2n \log 2 - n(n + \nu) \log q - \log(2n + \nu)} = 0,
\]

since as \( n \to \infty \) we have \((q; q)_n \to (q; q)_\infty < \infty \) and \((q^{n+1}; q)_n \to (q^{n+1}; q)_\infty < \infty \). Moreover, we know that the zeros \( J_{\nu,n}(q) \), \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), and \( l_{\nu,n}(q) \), \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), are real for \( \nu > 0 \), according to Lemma 6 and with this the rest of the proof of (2.2) follows by applying Hadamard’s Theorem [20, p. 26].

2.5. **The Hadamard factorization of q-Dini functions.** The following infinite product representations are q-extensions of the known Hadamard factorization for Dini functions \( z \mapsto z J_\nu'(z) + (1 - \nu) J_\nu(z) \) and \( z \mapsto z J_\nu'(z) + (2 - \nu) J_\nu(z) \), see [5, Theorem 1].

**Lemma 8.** If \( \nu > -1 \), then \( z \mapsto d g_\nu^{(2)}(z; q)/dz \), \( z \mapsto d h_\nu^{(2)}(z; q)/dz \), \( z \mapsto d g_\nu^{(3)}(z; q)/dz \), and \( z \mapsto d h_\nu^{(3)}(z; q)/dz \) are entire functions of order \( \rho = 0 \). Consequently, their Hadamard factorization for \( z \in \mathbb{C} \) are of the form

\[
d g_\nu^{(2)}(z; q)/dz = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left( 1 - \frac{z^2}{\beta_{\nu,n}(q)} \right), \quad d h_\nu^{(2)}(z; q)/dz = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left( 1 - \frac{z}{\beta_{\nu,n}(q)} \right),
\]

\[
d g_\nu^{(3)}(z; q)/dz = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left( 1 - \frac{z^2}{\gamma_{\nu,n}(q)} \right), \quad d h_\nu^{(3)}(z; q)/dz = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left( 1 - \frac{z}{\delta_{\nu,n}(q)} \right),
\]
where \(\alpha_{\nu,n}(q)\) and \(\beta_{\nu,n}(q)\) are the \(n\)th positive zeros of \(z \mapsto z \cdot dJ_{\nu}^{(2)}(z; q) \) and \(z \mapsto z \cdot dJ_{\nu}^{(2)}(z; q) + (1 - \nu)J_{\nu}^{(2)}(z; q)\), while \(\gamma_{\nu,n}(q)\) and \(\delta_{\nu,n}(q)\) are the \(n\)th positive zeros of \(z \mapsto z \cdot dJ_{\nu}^{(3)}(z; q) + (1 - \nu)J_{\nu}^{(3)}(z; q)\) and \(z \mapsto z \cdot dJ_{\nu}^{(3)}(z; q) + (2 - \nu)J_{\nu}^{(3)}(z; q)\).

**Proof.** We have that
\[
\frac{dqs^{(2)}(z; q)}{dz} = 2^{n}c_{\nu}(q)z^{-\nu} \left( z \cdot \frac{dJ_{\nu}^{(2)}(z; q)}{dz} + (1 - \nu)J_{\nu}^{(2)}(z; q) \right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{n}(2n + 1)z^{2n}q^{n(n + \nu)}}{2^{2n}(q); q}_{n}^{n},
\]
\[
\frac{dh_{\nu}^{(2)}(z; q)}{dz} = 2^{n-1}c_{\nu}(q)z^{-\nu/2} \left( \sqrt{z} \cdot \frac{dJ_{\nu}^{(2)}(\sqrt{z}; q)}{dz} + (2 - \nu)J_{\nu}^{(2)}(\sqrt{z}; q) \right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{n}(n + 1)z^{n}q^{n(n + \nu)}}{2^{2n}(q); q}_{n}^{n},
\]
\[
\frac{dgs^{(3)}(z; q)}{dz} = c_{\nu}(q)z^{-\nu} \left( z \cdot \frac{dJ_{\nu}^{(3)}(z; q)}{dz} + (1 - \nu)J_{\nu}^{(3)}(z; q) \right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{n}(2n + 1)z^{2n}q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}}{(q); q}_{n}^{n},
\]
\[
\frac{dh_{\nu}^{(3)}(z; q)}{dz} = \frac{1}{2}c_{\nu}(q)z^{-\nu/2} \left( \sqrt{z} \cdot \frac{dJ_{\nu}^{(3)}(\sqrt{z}; q)}{dz} + (2 - \nu)J_{\nu}^{(3)}(\sqrt{z}; q) \right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{n}(n + 1)z^{n}q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}}{(q); q}_{n}^{n},
\]
and
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \log(q; q)_{n}^n + \log((q^{r+1}; q)_{n}^n + 2n \log 2 - n(n + \nu) \log q - \log(2n + 1) = 0,
\]
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \log(q; q)_{n}^n + \log((q^{r+1}; q)_{n}^n + 2n \log 2 - n(n + \nu) \log q - \log(2n + 1) = 0,
\]
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \log(q; q)_{n}^n + \log((q^{r+1}; q)_{n}^n - \frac{1}{2}n(n + 1) \log q - \log(2n + 1) = 0,
\]
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \log(q; q)_{n}^n + \log((q^{r+1}; q)_{n}^n - \frac{1}{2}n(n + 1) \log q - \log(2n + 1) = 0,
\]
the function \( z \mapsto J'_{\nu}(z)/J_{\nu}(z) \) takes the limit \( \infty \) when \( z \searrow j_{\nu,k-1}(q) \), and the limit \( -\infty \) when \( z \nearrow j_{\nu,k}(q) \). Moreover, since \( z \mapsto J'_{\nu}(z)/J_{\nu}(z) \) is decreasing on \((0, \infty) \setminus \{ j_{\nu,n}(q) \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \} \) it results that in each interval \((j_{\nu,k-1}(q), j_{\nu,k}(q))\) its restriction intersects the horizontal line only once, and the abscissa of this intersection point is exactly \( j'_{\nu,k}(q) \). Here we used the convention that \( j_{\nu,0}(q) = 0 \).

\[ \square \]

2.7. Starlikeness of entire functions in the open unit disk. The next result (see [24, Theorem 2]) is the key tool in the proof of Theorem 3.

**Lemma 10.** Let \( f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C} \) be a transcendental entire function of the form

\[ f(z) = z^{\nu} \prod_{n \geq 1} \left( 1 - \frac{z}{z_n} \right), \]

where all \( z_n \) have the same argument and satisfy \(|z_n| > 1\). If \( f \) is univalent in \( \mathbb{D} \), then

\[ \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{|z_n|} - 1 \leq 1. \]

In fact [24] holds if and only if \( f \) is starlike in \( \mathbb{D} \) and all of its derivatives are close-to-convex there.

3. PROOFS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

**Proof of Theorem 1.** The proofs for the cases \( s = 2 \) and \( s = 3 \) are almost the same, the only difference is that we have different zeros \( j_{\nu,n}(q) \) and \( j_{\nu,n}(q) \) in the proofs. Thus, we will present the proof only for the case \( s = 2 \) and in what follows for the simplicity we will use the following notations: \( J_{\nu}(z; q) = J_{\nu}^{(2)}(z; q) \), \( f_{\nu}(z; q) = f_{\nu}^{(2)}(z; q) \), \( g_{\nu}(z; q) = g_{\nu}^{(2)}(z; q) \), \( h_{\nu}(z; q) = h_{\nu}^{(2)}(z; q) \) and \( J'_{\nu}(z; q) = dJ_{\nu}^{(2)}(z; q)/dz \).

First we prove part \( a \) for \( \nu > 0 \) and parts \( b \) and \( c \) for \( \nu < 0 \). We need to show that for the corresponding values of \( \nu \) and \( \alpha \) the inequalities

\[ \text{Re} \left( \frac{zf_{\nu}^{(2)}(z; q)}{f_{\nu}(z; q)} \right) > \alpha, \quad \text{Re} \left( \frac{zg_{\nu}^{(2)}(z; q)}{g_{\nu}(z; q)} \right) > \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Re} \left( \frac{zh_{\nu}^{(2)}(z; q)}{h_{\nu}(z; q)} \right) > \alpha \]

are valid for \( z \in \mathbb{D}_{r^*_\nu(j_{\nu})} \), \( z \in \mathbb{D}_{r^*_\nu(g_{\nu})} \) and \( z \in \mathbb{D}_{r^*_\nu(h_{\nu})} \) respectively, and each of the above inequalities does not hold in larger disks. It follows from (2.1) that

\[ \frac{zf_{\nu}^{(2)}(z; q)}{f_{\nu}(z; q)} = 1 - \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{j_{\nu,n}(q) - z^2}, \]

\[ \frac{zg_{\nu}^{(2)}(z; q)}{g_{\nu}(z; q)} = 1 - \nu + \frac{zJ_{\nu}^{(2)}(\sqrt{z}; q)}{J_{\nu}(\sqrt{z}; q)} = 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{j_{\nu,n}(q) - z^2} \]

and

\[ \frac{zh_{\nu}^{(2)}(z; q)}{h_{\nu}(z; q)} = 1 - \frac{\nu}{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sqrt{2}J_{\nu}^{(2)}(\sqrt{2}z; q)}{J_{\nu}(\sqrt{2}z; q)} = 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{z}{j_{\nu,n}(q) - z}. \]

On the other hand, it is known that (see [24]) if \( z \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( \beta \in \mathbb{R} \) are such that \( \beta > |z| \), then

\[ \frac{|z|}{\beta - |z|} \geq \text{Re} \left( \frac{z}{\beta - z} \right). \]

Then the inequality

\[ \frac{|z|^2}{j_{\nu,n}(q) - |z|^2} \geq \text{Re} \left( \frac{z^2}{j_{\nu,n}(q) - z^2} \right), \]

holds for every \( \nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( |z| < j_{\nu,1}(q) \), which in turn implies that

\[ \text{Re} \left( \frac{zf_{\nu}^{(2)}(z; q)}{f_{\nu}(z; q)} \right) = 1 - \frac{1}{\nu} \text{Re} \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{j_{\nu,n}(q) - z^2} \right) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2|z|^2}{j_{\nu,n}(q) - |z|^2} = \frac{|z|f_{\nu}^{(2)}(z; q)}{f_{\nu}(z; q)}, \]

\[ \text{Re} \left( \frac{zg_{\nu}^{(2)}(z; q)}{g_{\nu}(z; q)} \right) = 1 - \text{Re} \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{j_{\nu,n}(q) - z^2} \right) \geq 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2|z|^2}{j_{\nu,n}(q) - |z|^2} = \frac{|z|g_{\nu}^{(2)}(z; q)}{g_{\nu}(z; q)}. \]
and
\[
\text{Re}\left(\frac{zh'_ν(z; q)}{hν(z; q)}\right) = 1 - \text{Re}\left(\sum_{n≥1} \frac{z}{J^2_n(q) - z^2}\right) ≥ 1 - \sum_{n≥1} \frac{|z|}{|J^2_n(q) - z^2|} = \frac{|z|h'_ν(z; q)}{hν(z; q)},
\]
with equality when \( z = |z| = r \). The latter inequalities and the minimum principle for harmonic functions imply that the corresponding inequalities in (3.1) hold if and only if \( |z| < xν,α,1 \) and \( |z| < zν,α,1 \) respectively, where \( xν,α,1 \) and \( zν,α,1 \) are the smallest positive roots of the equations
\[
r f'_ν(r; q)/fν(r; q) = α, \quad r g'_ν(r; q)/gν(r; q) = α, \quad r h'_ν(r; q)/hν(r; q) = α.
\]
Since their solutions coincide with the zeros of the functions
\[
r → r J'_ν(r; q) - ανJν(r; q), \quad r → r J'_ν(r; q) - (α + 1 - 1)Jν(r; q), \quad r → r J'_ν(r; q) - (2α + ν - 2)Jν(r; q),
\]
the result we need follows from Lemma [2] by taking instead of \( a \) the values \( \frac{1}{2}(α - 1)ν, \frac{1}{2}(α - 1) \) and \( a = α - 1 \), respectively. In other words, Lemma [5] show that all the zeros of the above three functions are real and their first positive zeros do not exceed the first positive zero \( jν,1(q) \). This guarantees that the above inequalities hold. This completes the proof of part \( a \) when \( ν > 0 \), and parts \( b \) and \( c \) when \( ν < 0 \).

Now, to prove the statement for part \( a \) when \( ν < 0 \), we use the counterpart of (3.2), that is,
\[
(3.3)
\]
which holds for all \( z ∈ C \) and \( β ∈ R \) such that \( β > |z| \) (see [4]). From (3.3), we obtain the inequality
\[
\text{Re}\left(\frac{z^2}{J^2_n(q) - z^2}\right) ≥ -\frac{|z|^2}{J^2_n(q) + |z|^2},
\]
which holds for all \( ν > -1 \), \( n ∈ N \) and \( |z| < jν,1(q) \) and it implies that
\[
\text{Re}\left(\frac{zf'_ν(z; q)}{fν(z; q)}\right) = 1 - \frac{1}{ν} \text{Re}\left(\sum_{n≥1} \frac{2z^2}{J^2_n(q) - z^2}\right) ≥ 1 + \frac{1}{ν} \sum_{n≥1} \frac{2|z|^2}{J^2_n(q) + |z|^2} = \text{Re}\left(\frac{i|z| f'_ν(i|z|; q)}{fν(i|z|; q)}\right).
\]
In this case equality is attained if \( z = i|z| = ir \). Moreover, the latter inequality implies that
\[
\text{Re}\left(\frac{zf'_ν(z; q)}{fν(z; q)}\right) > α
\]
if and only if \( |z| < xν,α \), where \( xν,α \) denotes the smallest positive root of the equation
\[
ir J'_ν(ir; q)/Jν(ir; q) = α,
\]
which is equivalent to
\[
ir J'_ν(ir; q) - ανJν(ir; q) = 0, \quad \text{for } ν < 0.
\]
It follows from Lemma [5] that the first positive zero of \( z → iz J'_ν(iz; q) - ανJν(iz; q) \) does not exceed \( jν,1(q) \) which guarantees that the above inequalities are valid. All we need to prove is that the above function has actually only one zero in \((0, ∞)\). Observe that, according to Lemma [2] the function
\[
r → r J'_ν(ir; q)/Jν(ir; q) = \sum_{n≥0} \frac{(2n + ν)(q^2)^{2n+ν}}{(q; q)_n (q^{nν}; q)_n} g^{n(n+ν)} + \sum_{n≥0} \frac{(q^2)^{2n+ν}}{(q; q)_n (q^{nν+1}; q)_n} g^{n(n+ν)}
\]
is increasing on \((0, ∞)\) as a quotient of two power series whose positive coefficients form the increasing “quotient sequence” \( \{2n + ν\}_{n≥0} \). On the other hand, the above function tends to \( ν \) when \( r → 0 \), so that its graph can intersect the horizontal line \( y = αν > ν \) only once. This completes the proof of part \( a \) of the theorem when \( ν < 0 \). \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem [2]** The proofs for the cases \( s = 2 \) and \( s = 3 \) are almost the same, the only difference is that we have different zeros in the proofs. Thus, as in the previous proof, we will present the proof only for the case \( s = 2 \) and in what follows for the simplicity we will use the following notations: \( Jν(z; q) = J^{(2)}ν(z; q), fν(z; q) = f^{(2)}ν(z; q), gν(z; q) = g^{(2)}ν(z; q), hν(z; q) = h^{(2)}ν(z; q), J'_ν(z; q) = dJ^{(2)}ν(z; q)/dz \) and \( J''_ν(z; q) = d^2J^{(2)}ν(z; q)/dz^2 \).

**a** Since
\[
1 + \frac{zf'_ν(z; q)}{fν(z; q)} = 1 + \frac{zh'_ν(z; q)}{hν(z; q)} + \left(\frac{1}{ν} - 1\right) \frac{zJ''_ν(z; q)}{Jν(z; q)}.
\]
and by means of (2.2) we have
\[ \frac{z J'_\nu(z;q)}{J_\nu(z;q)} = \nu - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{j^2_{\nu,n}(q) - z^2}, \]
and by using the inequality (3.2) we obtain that
\[ 1 + \frac{z J''_\nu(z;q)}{J'_\nu(z;q)} = \nu - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{j^2_{\nu,n}(q) - z^2}, \]
it follows that
\[ 1 + \frac{z J''_\nu(z;q)}{J'_\nu(z;q)} = 1 - \left( \frac{1}{\nu} - 1 \right) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{j^2_{\nu,n}(q) - z^2} - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{j^2_{\nu,n}(q) - z^2}. \]
Now, suppose that \( \nu \in (0, 1] \). By using the inequality (3.2), for all \( z \in \mathbb{D}_{j_{\nu,1}(q)} \) we obtain the inequality
\[ \text{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{z J''_\nu(z;q)}{J'_\nu(z;q)} \right) \geq 1 - \left( \frac{1}{\nu} - 1 \right) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2r^2}{j^2_{\nu,n}(q) - r^2} - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2r^2}{j^2_{\nu,n}(q) - r^2}, \]
where \( |z| = r \). Moreover, observe that if we use the inequality \( \lambda \text{Re} \left( \frac{z}{a - z} \right) - \text{Re} \left( \frac{z}{b - z} \right) \geq \lambda \frac{|z|}{a - |z|} - \frac{|z|}{b - |z|} \), where \( a > b > 0 \), \( \lambda \in [0, 1] \) and \( z \in \mathbb{C} \) such that \( |z| < b \), then we get that the above inequality is also valid when \( \nu > 1 \). Here we used that the zeros \( j_{\nu,n}(q) \) and \( j'_{\nu,n}(q) \) interlace according to Lemma 9. The above inequality implies for \( r \in (0, j_{\nu,1}(q)) \)
\[ \inf_{z \in \mathbb{D}_r} \left\{ \text{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{z J''_\nu(z;q)}{J'_\nu(z;q)} \right) \right\} = 1 + \frac{r J''_\nu(r;q)}{J'_\nu(r;q)}. \]

On the other hand, the function \( u_\nu(\cdot): (0, j_{\nu,1}(q)) \to \mathbb{R} \), defined by \( u_\nu(r;q) = 1 + r f''_\nu(r;q)/f'_\nu(r;q) \), is strictly decreasing since
\[ \frac{du_\nu(r;q)}{dr} = - \left( \frac{1}{\nu} - 1 \right) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{4r j^2_{\nu,n}(q)}{j^2_{\nu,n}(q) - r^2} - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{4r j^2_{\nu,n}(q)}{j^2_{\nu,n}(q) - r^2} \]
\[ < \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{4r j^2_{\nu,n}(q)}{j^2_{\nu,n}(q) - r^2} - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{4r j^2_{\nu,n}(q)}{j^2_{\nu,n}(q) - r^2} < 0 \]
for \( \nu > 0 \) and \( r \in (0, j_{\nu,1}(q)) \). Here we used again that the zeros \( j_{\nu,n}(q) \) and \( j'_{\nu,n}(q) \) interlace and for all \( n \in \mathbb{N}, \nu > 0 \) and \( r < \sqrt{j_{\nu,1}(q)/j'_{\nu,1}(q)} \) we have that
\[ j^2_{\nu,n}(q) - r^2 < j^2_{\nu,n}(q) - r^2. \]
Since \( \lim_{r \to 0} u_\nu(r;q) = 1 > 0 \) and \( \lim_{r \to j_{\nu,1}(q)/j'_{\nu,1}(q)} u_\nu(r;q) = -\infty \), it follows that for \( z \in \mathbb{D}_{r_1} \) we have
\[ \text{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{z J''_\nu(z;q)}{J'_\nu(z;q)} \right) > \alpha \]
if and only if \( r_1 \) is the unique root of
\[ 1 + \frac{r J''_\nu(r;q)}{J'_\nu(r;q)} = \alpha \]
situated in \( (0, j'_{\nu,1}(q)) \).

b) In view of Lemma 5, we have that
\[ 1 + z g''_\nu(z;q) = 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{\alpha^2_{\nu,n}(q) - z^2}, \]
and by using the inequality (3.2) we obtain that
\[ \text{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{z g''_\nu(z;q)}{g'_\nu(z;q)} \right) \geq 1 - \left( \frac{1}{\nu} - 1 \right) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2r^2}{\alpha^2_{\nu,n}(q) - r^2}, \]
where \( |z| = r \). Thus, for \( r \in (0, \alpha_{\nu,1}(q)) \) we get
\[ \inf_{z \in \mathbb{D}_r} \left\{ \text{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{z g''_\nu(z;q)}{g'_\nu(z;q)} \right) \right\} = 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2r^2}{\alpha^2_{\nu,n}(q) - r^2} = 1 + \frac{r g''_\nu(r;q)}{g'_\nu(r;q)}. \]
The function \( v_\nu(:;q) : (0, \alpha_{\nu,1}(q)) \to \mathbb{R} \), defined by \( v_\nu(r; q) = 1 + r g_\nu''(r; q)/g_\nu'(r; q) \), is strictly decreasing and \( \lim_{r \to 0} v_\nu(r; q) = 1 \), \( \lim_{r \to \alpha_{\nu,1}(q)} v_\nu(r; q) = -\infty \). Consequently for \( z \in D_2 \) we have that
\[
\operatorname{Re}\left( 1 + \frac{z g_\nu''(z; q)}{g_\nu'(z; q)} \right) > \alpha
\]
if and only if \( r_2 \) is the unique root of
\[
1 + \frac{r g_\nu''(r; q)}{g_\nu'(r; q)} = \alpha
\]
situated in \((0, \alpha_{\nu,1}(q))\). Finally, the inequality \( \alpha_{\nu,1}(q) < j_{\nu,1}(q) \) follows from Lemma 6.

\( \square \)

(2) In view of Lemma 8 we have that
\[
\nu, q \mapsto \nu = 0 \quad \forall \nu, q, \quad \nu, 1 \mapsto \nu = 1 \quad \forall \nu, 1
\]
and this greater or equal than zero if and only if \( \nu \geq \nu_0(q) \), where \( \nu_0(q) \) is the unique root of the equation \( h'_\nu(1; q) = 0 \). Here we used that for all \( \nu > -1 \) we have
\[
\frac{d}{d\nu} \left( \frac{f'_\nu(1; q)}{f_\nu(1; q)} \right) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2 j_{\nu,n}(q) d_{\nu,n}(q) / d\nu}{(j_{\nu,n} - 1)^2} \geq 0,
\]
since the function \( \nu \mapsto j_{\nu,n}(q) \) is increasing on \((-1, \infty)\) for all fixed \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Thus, applying Lemma 10 the conclusion of this theorem follows immediately.

\( \square \)
Further results and concluding remarks

In this section we present some consequence of the Hadamard factorizations related to the Rayleigh sum of the $q$-Bessel functions under discussion and formulate some open problems.

**A.** By using the infinite series and product representations of $h_{\nu}^{(2)}(\cdot, q)$, that is,
\[
z\prod_{n\geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{z}{j_{\nu}^{2,n}(q)}\right) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n}{2^{2n}(q; q)_n} (q^{\nu+1}; q)_n q^{n(\nu+n)},
\]
and comparing the coefficients of $z^2$ on the both sides of the above equation, it follows that
\[
\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{1}{j_{\nu}^{2,n}(q)} = \frac{q^{\nu+1}}{4(q-1)(q^{\nu+1}-1)}.
\]
This is actually the $q$-extension of the well-known first Rayleigh sum for Bessel functions of the first kind. Multiplying by $(1-q)^2$ both sides of the above equation and tending with $q$ to $1^-$ we obtain the Rayleigh sum in the question, that is,
\[
\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{1}{j_{\nu}^{2,n}(q)} = \frac{1}{4(\nu+1)},
\]
where $j_{\nu,n}$ stands for the $n$th positive zero of the classical Bessel function $J_{\nu}$. Now, taking into account that $j_{\nu}^{2,n}(q) - 1 < j_{\nu,n}^{2}(q)$ for all $\nu > -1$, $q \in (0, 1)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that
\[
\frac{h_{\nu}(1; q)}{h_{\nu}(1; q)} = 1 - \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{1}{j_{\nu}^{2}(q)} = 1 - \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{1}{j_{\nu}^{1}(q)} = \frac{4q^{\nu+2} - 5q^{\nu+1} - 4q + 4}{4(q-1)(q^{\nu+1}-1)} = \tau_{\nu}(q).
\]
The figures 1 and 2 of $\nu \mapsto j_{\nu,1}(q)$ and $\nu \mapsto \tau_{\nu}(q)$ for some fixed values of $q$ suggest that in Theorem 3 we have that $\max\{\nu^*(q), \nu_0(q)\} = \nu_0(q)$, exactly as in the case of the classical Bessel functions of the first kind. However, we were unable to prove that indeed $\max\{\nu^*(q), \nu_0(q)\} = \nu_0(q)$.

**B.** Here we present the $q$-analogue of another lower order Rayleigh sums of the Bessel function $J_{\nu}$. Note that the Rayleigh sums are sums of the form
\[
\sigma_{\nu}(2m) = \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{1}{j_{\nu}^{2,n}}
\]
and it is known that
\[
\sigma_{\nu}(4) = \frac{1}{16(\nu+1)^2(\nu+2)}.
\]
See [26] for more information on $\sigma_\nu(2m)$ in general. Let
\[ \sigma_\nu^{(2)}(2m; q) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{J_{2m}^{(0)}(q)} , \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_\nu^{(3)}(2m; q) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{I_{2m}^{(2)}(q)} . \]
According to the limit relations $J_\nu^{(2)}((1-q)z; q) \to J_\nu(z)$ and $J_\nu^{(3)}((1-q)z; q) \to J_\nu(2z)$, clearly we have that
\[ \lim_{q \to 1} (1-q)^{2m} \sigma_\nu^{(2)}(2m; q) = \sigma_\nu(2m) , \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{q \to 1} \frac{(1-q)^{2m}}{2^{2m}} \sigma_\nu^{(3)}(2m; q) = \sigma_\nu(2m) . \]

Now, we are going to determine the low-order $q$-Rayleigh sums $\sigma_\nu^{(s)}(2; q)$ and $\sigma_\nu^{(s)}(4; q)$ for $s \in \{2, 3\}$. Earlier we saw in the previous comment that
\[ \sigma_\nu^{(2)}(2; q) = \frac{q^{1+\nu}}{4(1-q)(1-q^{1+\nu})} . \]
In order to determine $\sigma_\nu^{(2)}(4; q)$ we apply
\[ h_\nu^{(2)}(z; q)h_\nu^{(2)}(-z; q) = -z^2 \prod_{n \geq 1} \left( 1 - \frac{z^2}{J_{\nu,n}^{(0)}(q)} \right) . \]
Comparing the coefficients of $z^4$ on both sides we have
\[ \sigma_\nu^{(2)}(4; q) = \frac{q^{2\nu+2}}{16(1-q)^2(1-q^{\nu+1})^2} - \frac{q^{2\nu+4}}{8(1-q)(1-q^2)(1-q^{\nu+1})(1-q^{\nu+2})} . \]
One can verify that
\[ (1-q)^4 \sigma_\nu^{(2)}(4; q) \to \frac{1}{16(\nu+1)^2(\nu+2)} , \]
which agrees with (4.1). Similarly, for the roots of the Hahn-Exton $q$-Bessel function
\[ \sigma_\nu^{(3)}(2; q) = \frac{q}{(1-q)(1-q^{1+\nu})} , \quad \sigma_\nu^{(3)}(4; q) = \frac{q^2}{(1-q)^2(1-q^{\nu+1})^2} - \frac{2q^3}{(1-q)(1-q^2)(1-q^{\nu+1})(1-q^{\nu+2})} . \]
Finally, we note that the classical Rayleigh inequalities [26, p. 502]

\[ (\sigma_\nu(2m))^{-1/m} < j_{\nu,1}^2 < \frac{\sigma_\nu(2m)}{\sigma_\nu(2m+2)} \]
can easily be transferred to
\[ \left( \sigma_\nu^{(2)}(2m; q) \right)^{-1/m} < j_{\nu,1}^2(q) < \frac{\sigma_\nu^{(2)}(2m; q)}{\sigma_\nu^{(2)}(2m+2; q)} , \]
and
\[ \left( \sigma_\nu^{(3)}(2m; q) \right)^{-1/m} < \frac{\sigma_\nu^{(3)}(2m; q)}{\sigma_\nu^{(3)}(2m+2; q)} . \]
These relations come from the facts that the zeros are real and are ordered such that $j_{\nu,n}(q) < j_{\nu,n+1}(q)$ and $l_{\nu,n}(q) < l_{\nu,n+1}(q)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

C. We note that many other known results on Bessel functions of the first kind, like complete monotonicity and inequalities can be extended to Jackson and Hahn-Exton $q$-Bessel functions by using the infinite product representations presented in this paper. Moreover, it would be also interesting to see the monotonicity of the zeros of $z \mapsto z \cdot dJ_\nu^{(s)}(z; q)/dz + cJ_\nu^{(s)}(z; q)$, $s \in \{2, 3\}$ with respect to the order $\nu$ and to extend Theorem 3 to the functions $f_{\nu}^{(s)}(\cdot; q)$ and $g_{\nu}^{(s)}(\cdot; q)$, $s \in \{2, 3\}$, as well as to consider the convexity of these functions together with $h_{\nu}^{(s)}(\cdot; q)$ in the open unit disk $D$. Moreover, we would like to see also the counterpart of Theorem 3 for $h_{\nu}^{(3)}(\cdot; q)$. These problems may be of interest for further research.
Figure 2. The graph of the function $\nu \mapsto \tau_{\nu}(q)$ for $q \in \{0.1, \ldots, 0.6\}$ on $(-1, 0)$.
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