Evaluation of Standard API Casing Connections and Parametric API Buttress Improvement by Finite Element Analysis
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Threaded and coupled connections generally present a non-uniform stress distribution, which is related to the higher stiffness of the box when compared to the pin. The non-uniform stress distribution can contribute to fatigue cracks and other failure modes in high pressure oil wells. An API 5CT P110 steel obtained from a seamless pipe was mechanically characterized. FEA models were carried out to investigate and compare two API casing connections under make-up torque and tensile efforts. A parametric study was performed using numerical models to determine the influence of some geometric features on the behavior of the API Buttress threaded connection. The API Buttress connection supported higher tensile loads than the API Short Round, however both standard connections showed high stress concentration in the last engaged thread and a non-uniform stress distribution. The manufacturing of grooves at the first and the last engaged threads proved to be an efficient way to reduce the stress concentration of Buttress casing connection and could be an alternative to the development of new products.
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1. Introduction

Casing strings for oil and gas exploration and production are generally formed by threads. The use of threaded connection instead of welding is justified by the major productivity and the reversibility of the threaded connections1. Dynamic loading is common in connection service conditions. Aiming to maintain their integrity they are generally preloaded, for example, by the use of tapered threads. The combination of preload, tensile, internal and external pressure and, in some cases bending, can result in a complex stress state2,3,4.

Proprietary connections have been developed by companies to ensure the integrity of the strings in applications as high-deep oil wells or to improve some character of the basic API standard. The premium connections, for example, are generally developed by modifications of some geometric parameters to reduce the stress concentration factor, support higher make-up torque or ensure sealing capacity3-6.

Many researchers have been reported that the API casing connections shows a stress concentration in the region of the last engaged thread and a non-uniform stress distribution that can result in fatigue cracks and other failure modes2-6. The stress concentration is related to the higher stiffness of the coupling (box) when compared to the tube (pin) and some proprietary connections have been developed by geometric changes in the box aiming to promotes a better stress distribution over the threads. Most of the information related to the development of proprietary connections is protected by commercial interests and are not available in the open literature. However, a review in patents show how premium connections have been developed6-10.

In this work, a steel API 5CT grade P110 obtained from a seamless pipe was characterized by mechanical tests. The properties of the steel were used to simulate two types of API threaded and coupled connections, API Short Round and API Buttress, both with diameter 13 3/8 in. Finite Element Analysis was used to simulate the problem in the software Ansys® Workbench® version 14.5. Both connections were submitted to tensile stresses and the jump-out (which is the separation tendency between pin and box) was evaluated, as well as the stress concentration factor.

A parametric study was applied to the base connection API Buttress to evaluate how some geometric modifications can influence the connection integrity and the stress concentration factor. This parametric study was based in a patent review6-10.

The obtained results help to understand how proprietary casing connections are developed and why the Standard API connections are not applied in high pressure oil wells and deep water. Besides, the optimized load distribution obtained in a grooved API Buttress connection has never been related in the technical literature and could be an alternative to the development of new products.
2. Materials and Methods

An API 5CT grade P110 steel from a seamless pipe of 13 3/8 in. diameter and 12.19mm wall thickness was firstly mechanically characterized. Tensile and Charpy impact tests were performed according to API 5CT\textsuperscript{11} and ASTM A370\textsuperscript{12} standards. Six samples were used in tensile and nine in impact tests.

Chemical analysis was performed on the steel to assure the requirements of the API 5CT (Table 1).

Table 1: Chemical specifications of the API 5CT grade P110 steel (wt%).

| Grade  | C     | Mn     | Mo     | Cr    | Ni     | Cu     | P      | S     | Si |
|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|----|
| P110   | Min.  | Max.   | Min.   | Max.  | Min.   | Max.   | Min.   | Max.  | Max.|

The second modification was applied on the threads and was based in a patent that was not applied to any commercial product yet\textsuperscript{10}. The patent claims that an additional groove in the threads crests at the first and last engaged threads regions reduces the thread stiffness, transferring partially the load to the subsequent threads, improving the load distribution over the connection. In this study, the grooves presence was simulated in the Buttress connection and the obtained results were compared to the standardized API connection in order to evaluate its performance.

3. Results and Discussion

The results of tensile and Charpy impact tests of the API 5CT grade P110 steel are presented in Table 5. The obtained fit was used in this work, as shown in Figure 3. In this approach, an initial interference between the threads of pin and box as a function of make-up turns was solved by the software in a primary stage. Many researchers have shown that this approach results in a stress distribution over the threads close to that obtained in physical models\textsuperscript{2,16,17}. The initial interference $\delta$ (or radial overlap) value was calculated by the Equation 1\textsuperscript{16}, and the parameters were obtained for the API 5B standard.

$$\delta = \frac{NPT}{2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where $N$ is the tighten cycle, $P$ is the thread pit and $T$ is the taper of the conic threaded connections.

Table 3 presents make-up torques evaluated in both API connections (input data in the current models) and presents the evaluated simulation outputs.

The calculus of the stress distribution in the connections under tractive conditions was the second stage of the simulations, as shown in Figure 4. At this simulation stage, the make-up torque was considered simultaneously with a crescent tractive stress applied to the pipe (point B in Figure 4). The axial displacement of all nodes are zero on the middle symmetry plane of the coupling (point A in Figure 4) and their radial displacements are free.

The values of tensile stress simulated in both connections are shown in Table 4.

After the standard API connection evaluations, a parametric study based on a patent review was performed. API Buttress connection was chosen as base to the parametric study and the proposed modifications were based on patents that aim to obtain a better stress distribution in tubular threaded connections. The first modification was the decreasing of box wall thickness in order to decrease its stiffness, as Figure 5 presents.

The second modification was applied on the threads and was based in a patent that was not applied to any commercial product yet\textsuperscript{10}. The patent claims that an additional groove in the threads crests at the first and last engaged threads regions reduces the thread stiffness, transferring partially the load to the subsequent threads, improving the load distribution over the connection. In this study, the grooves presence was simulated in the Buttress connection and the obtained results were compared to the standardized API connection in order to evaluate its performance.

---

\textsuperscript{4} For EW Grade P110, the phosphorus content shall be 0.020 % maximum and the sulfur content 0.010 % maximum.
Figure 1: CAD models of the threaded connections (a) API Casing connection and symmetry related to the coupling middle and the pipe axis; (b) 2D axisymmetric model.

Table 2: Mesh refinement study.

| Local refinement (mm) | Number of elements | Maximum structural error (mJ) | Maximum stress concentration (Tensile = 100MPa) |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| 0.05                  | 50391              | 2.32                          | 7.08                                           |
| 0.08                  | 28401              | 2.74                          | 7.05                                           |
| 0.1                   | 21395              | 2.85                          | 6.95                                           |
| 0.2                   | 10239              | 10.84                         | 6.88                                           |
| 0.3                   | 7168               | 23.93                         | 6.46                                           |

Figure 2: Mesh refinement study.

Figure 3: Initial interference fit related to make-up torque of the tapered threads.

The jump-out is a failure mode of the threaded connections with the formation of a gap between the thread flanks and can promotes the total separation of the casing string. As can be seen in Figure 6, the make-up torque had great influence in the resistance to jump-out of the standard connections. It is also possible to observe that the API Buttress connection had higher jump-out resistance than API Short Round. A previous work\textsuperscript{18} also found that the API Buttress casing connection has higher jump-out resistance than API Round when subjected to axial tensile stress.

Assanelli et al.\textsuperscript{19} used finite element analysis to evaluate the influence of make-up torque in the jump-out load of a
Table 3: Make-up torque evaluated in the API connections.

| Connection | Make-up (Turns) | Comparative answers or Simulation Output Values |
|------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Short Round | No torque      | 1.5, 2.5, 3.5                                 |
| Buttress   | No torque      | Min., - Max., -                              |

*For the API Buttress connection, the face of the coupling advances to one turn of the base of the triangle stamp for minimum make-up and to the apex of the triangle stamp for maximum make-up.*

Figure 4: Axisymmetric condition and the boundary conditions of the second stage of the simulation: make-up torque plus crescent tensile stress applied in B. Point A is the symmetry plane with axial displacement set to zero (Y direction).

Table 4: Tensile stresses applied to the connections and evaluated output values.

| Connection | Tensile Stress (MPa) | Comparative answers or Simulation Output Values |
|------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Short Round|                      | Stress distribution                            |
| Buttress   | 100, 150, 200, 400, 500 | Stress concentration Jump-out                  |

Table 5: Mechanical properties of API 5CT grade P110 steel.

| Sample | Tensile Tests | Charpy Impact Tests |
|--------|---------------|---------------------|
|        | Yield Strength (MPa) | Tensile Strenght (MPa) | Individual values at 0°C (J) |
| 1      | 881           | 960                 | 90                               | 84                                      | 88                                      |
| 2      | 878           | 957                 | 82                               | 90                                      | 84                                      |
| 3      | 880           | 949                 | 82                               | 76                                      | 80                                      |
| Average| 880           | 955                 | 84                               |                                         |                                         |
| Standard Deviation | 2           | 6                   |                                 |                                         | 5                                       |
The axial stress in the API connections when subjected to 500MPa tensile stress and maximum make-up torque is shown in Figure 9. The analysis of the results shown in Figure 9 leads to conclusion that the API Buttress connection has a better stress distribution profile than the API Short Round, but both connections have a high stress concentration in the region of the last engaged thread.

The stress concentration obtained as maximum axial tensile divided by applied tensile stress in both Standard API connections when subjected to different tensile is shown in Table 6. The API Buttress connection shows lower stress concentration than the API Short Round, which is attributed to the trapezoidal shape of the API Buttress thread and its limited thread height

The lower jump-out resistance of the API Short Round and the higher stress concentration is related to its triangular thread form (that develops radial forces when subjected to tensile stress) and its reduced cross section of the unengaged thread section of the pin

3.1 Parametric Study

The results of the reaction load distribution over the thread flanks of a Standard API Buttress connection is compared to modified connections with box wall thickness reduction in Figure 10-a, the boundary condition is the maximum torque plus 200MPa tensile stress. As can be seen, the box wall thickness reduction was inefficient in reducing stress concentration, once the stress concentration was just switched from the two first threads of the pin to the third last, while the central threads (threads 4 to 19) still support low loads.

Another result that confirms the inefficiency of the box wall reduction is the impact in the jump-out resistance of the modified Buttress connection showed in Figure 10-b. The bigger the wall thickness reduction, the smaller the jump-out resistance of the modified Buttress connection.

The results of reaction load distribution at the thread flanks, obtained by the model with grooves in the first and in the last engaged threads, are shown in Figure 11. A comparison between standardized API Buttress connection when subjected to maximum make-up torque and 200MPa tensile stress and the proposed connection in the same condition are also presented. The proposed geometric modification was effective in promoting a better load distribution over the threads: (i) reduction from 12% to 7% of the load supported by the thread 1; (ii) increasing of the load supported by the intermediate threads (flanks 4 to 20); (iii) lowering the load supported by the last flank. So, this geometric modification
Evaluation of Standard API Casing Connections and Parametric API Buttress Improvement by Finite Element Analysis

Figure 9: Axial stress distribution on the API connections as a result of maximum make-up torque and 500MPa tensile.

Table 6: Stress concentration in API Short Round and Buttress obtained in different tensile stress.

| Connection   | 100  | 150  | 200  | 400  | 500  |
|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Short Round  | 7.73 | 6.99 | 5.70 | 3.35 | 2.95 |
| Buttress     | 6.90 | 6.46 | 4.79 | 2.90 | 2.38 |

resulted in a better load distribution over the connection without significant lost in jump-out resistance (Figure 11-b).

The improvement of the load distribution over the threads in the grooved modified API Buttress, with no influence in the jump-out resistance, are in accordance with the original and no applied patent and could be a good alternative to the development of new products.

4. Conclusions

- The make-up torque is an important variable to be considered in the modeling of tapered threaded connections, once the torque had great influence in the jump-out results. Besides, the standard API Buttress has higher resistance to jump-out than the API Short Round.
• Both standard API casing connections have non-uniform load distribution over the threads, but the stress concentration of the API Short Round is higher than the API Buttress.
• The reduction of wall thickness of the box of API Buttress connection in order to minimize its stiffness was inefficient in decreasing stress concentration, once the stress concentration was not reduced and the jump-out tendency increased.
• The modification of the API Buttress casing connection by the confection of grooves in the last and first engaged threads was effective in promoting better load distribution over the thread flanks and reducing the stress concentration factor. The simulated grooves did not change the jump-out resistance of the connection and could be an alternative to the development of improved Buttress connections.
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