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Abstract

Background: β-Cyclodextrin functionalized hyper-branched polyglycerol (HBCD: β-CD-g-PG), a biocompatible polymer, has recently been proposed for delivery of poorly water soluble compounds.

Methods: The present study examines the interaction of HBCD with RNA, utilizing a constant concentration of RNA and different HBCD/RNA ratios of 1/16 to 1/1, at physiological condition in an aqueous solution. Circular Dichroism (CD), UV-visible, FTIR spectroscopic methods, zeta potential and Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) were used to analyze the particle formation, particle charge, particle size, aggregation, RNA conformation, binding constant and mode, and the effect of polymer complexation on RNA stability.

Results: The results indicate that the interaction of RNA with HBCD leads to the formation of a linear dendritic supramolecule biopolymer with an overall binding constant of $K_{HBCD/RNA} = 1.25 \times 10^3$.

Conclusion: The small sized synthesized polymer can be considered as an appropriate system for preventing RNA aggregation and protecting the gene by host-guest interaction.
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Introduction

Recently, a variety of macromolecule structures such as Cyclodextrins (CDs) and dendrimers have been examined for the formation of stable complexes with genes 1-6. CDs, cyclic oligosaccharides are well-known structures in supramolecular polymers in which the monomers are held together by reversible and highly directional non-covalent bonds 7,8. These biocompatible and nontoxic compounds 9 possess a cage-like supramolecular structure, with hydrophilic outer surfaces and lipophilic inner cavities. Various hydrophobic drugs can be embedded in their hydrophobic cavities via non-covalent interactions 10-14. However, CDs can only cause a minor enhancement in the solubility of hydrophobic drugs 15,16.

Recent advances have been focused on functionalizing CDs to obtain small sized macromolecules with higher free cavities and water solubility 17-19. Polyglycerol dendron functionalized cyclodextrins (β-CD-g-PG) are novel carriers which can be synthesized by controlled anionic polymerization of glycidol. The Hyperbranched Cyclodextrins (HBCD) are small sized, well-defined polymers with higher water solubility, oxidative stability and biocompatibility. They exhibit high potential of encapsulating hydrophobic guest molecules due to the molecules binding to interior or exterior part of their structure 20-22.

Tao et al. studied the host-guest interaction between β-CD-g-PG with long alkyl chain adamantines 23. The incorporation of insulin into HBCD polymers significantly caused enhanced drug absorbance across the nasal barrier and decreased blood glucose concentration 24. Paclitaxel was effectively encapsulated by HBCD for targeted drug delivery 25. Recently, β-cyclodextrine-polyethyleneimine polymers (PEI-CDs) were examined for in vitro transport of miRNA(microRNA) and siRNA (small interfering RNA) 6,26. CDs have also been studied as topical drug delivery systems. Application of CDs derivatives in transdermal drug delivery has improved drug release/permeation, decreased drug-induced local irritation and has optimized systemic and local dermal drug delivery. Recently, Loftsson et al. reviewed the role of cyclodextrins on drug delivery through biological membranes 27.

Although several experiments report the interaction of CDs with guest molecules 28,29, there is no precise information on the molecular aspects of interaction between HBCD and RNA in aqueous solution. Thus, HBCD interaction with RNA was studied in aqueous
solutions with HBCD/RNA molar ratios of 1/16 to 1/1 at pH=6.5-7.5 utilizing CD, UV, and FTIR measurements. The complexes were analyzed to study the particles size and charge parameters. The structural analyses of RNA secondary structures, binding site of HBCD to RNA, and HBCD-RNA binding constant were provided by spectroscopic results.

### Materials and Methods

**Materials**

Sodium methoxide, methanol and acetone were purchased from Merck. β-cyclodextrin, glycidol and Baker’s yeast RNA from Sigma Chemical (St. Louis, MO) were employed without further purification. The absorbance band at 280 nm was utilized to check the protein content of RNA solutions. The A260/A280 ratio for RNA was 2.10, indicating that the RNA samples were nearly free from protein 30. Other chemicals were for RNA was 2.10, indicating that the RNA samples were nearly free from protein. Other chemicals were provided by spectroscopic results.

**Synthesis of HBCD polymer**

HBCD (β-CD-g-PG) was synthesized by anionic ring opening multi branching polymerization method. Briefly, β-CD (0.5 g) was deprotonated by dissolving sodium methoxide (14.4 mmol) in dried methanol. Mixture was stirred at room temperature using a polymerization ampule equipped with a magnetic stirrer for 1 hr. Methanol was vaporized via vacuum oven at 60°C for 2 hr. Glycidol (92 mmol) was added to deprotonated β-CD and temperature was gradually increased up to 120°C. The mixture was stirred at 120°C for 12 hr and allowed to cool. It was dissolved in pure methanol, and precipitated upon addition of aceton. It was neutralized by filtration over cation-exchange resin. The precipitate was dried via vacuum oven at 80°C. Finally, a yellow viscous product was obtained with 87% yield.

**Preparation of stock solutions**

Homogeneous sodium-RNA solutions (1% w/w: 10 mg/ml) were prepared by dissolving RNA in 10 ml of phosphate buffer (pH=7.4) with occasional stirring at 5°C for 24 hr. Using the molar extinction coefficient of 6600 cm⁻¹ M⁻¹ which was represented as molarity of phosphate groups, the final concentration of RNA stock solution was assessed spectrophotometrically at 260 nm. UV absorbance of the diluted RNA solution (40 μM) at 260 nm was 0.11 (with the path length of 1 cm), and the final concentration of RNA stock solution was 25 mM in phosphate. For infrared spectroscopic measurements, various amounts of HBCD in phosphate buffer (pH=7.4) were added dropwise to RNA solutions to achieve favorable HBCD contents of 0.625, 1.25, 2.5, 5 and 10 mM with an ultimate RNA concentration of 10 mM. Infrared spectra were recorded 1 hr after the mixing of HBCD solutions with RNA solutions. For UV measurements, various concentrations of HBCD (500-1200 μM) were used with constant RNA concentration (40 μM).

**FTIR spectroscopy measurements**

To record the infrared spectra, a Nicolet FTIR spectrometer (Magna 550) equipped with a liquid-nitrogen-cooled HgCdTe (MCT) detector was used. The spectra of the HBCD/RNA solutions were collected utilizing a cell assembled with ZnSe windows and treated by OMNIC software. The spectra of solutions were recorded after 1 hr. The bands were measured in three individual samples, at the same HBCD and RNA concentrations. 100 scans were collected for each spectrum with resolution of 4 cm⁻¹. To obtain difference spectra [(polynucleotide solution+HBCD solution)-(polynucleotide solution)], a sharp RNA band at 968 cm⁻¹ was used as internal reference 33,34. This band is assigned to sugar C-C and C-O stretching vibration and does not change (intensity or shifting variation) upon HBCD complexation to RNA, so it will be deleted upon spectral subtraction. By considering the reference band at 968 cm⁻¹ (RNA) as a function of HBCD concentrations, the intensity ratios of RNA in-plane vibrations related to phosphate stretching vibrations and A-U and G-C base pairs were measured with an error of ±0.9%. In order to determine the ligand binding to RNA bases and backbone phosphate groups, similar intensity variations have been used 35,36. After peak normalization, the plot of relative intensity (R) of various RNA in-plane vibrations including 1698 (guanine), 1650 (uracil), 1606 (adenine), 1489 (cytosine) and 1241 cm⁻¹ (phosphate groups) versus polymer concentrations were gained. The peak normalization was performed using $R_i = I_i/I_{968}$ where $I_i$ is the intensity of the absorption peak for pure RNA in the complex with i as ligand concentration, and $I_{968}$ as the intensity of the peak at 968 cm⁻¹ (RNA internal reference) (Figure 1).

**Absorption spectroscopy**

A LKB model 4054 UV-Visible spectrometer was used to record the absorption spectra; quartz cuvettes of 1 cm were used with various HBCD concentrations of $5 \times 10^{-4} - 12 \times 10^{-3} M$ and constant RNA concentration of $40 \times 10^{-6} M$. Binding constants of HBCD-RNA complexes were calculated as reported 37. The interaction between HBCD as ligand [L] and RNA as substrate [S] is assumed to be 1:1 which forms a single complex SL (1:1). Equation (1) describes the relationship between the system variables and parameters with the observed absorbance change per centimeter:

$$
\frac{\Delta A}{b} = \frac{S_L K_{11} \delta e_{11} [L]}{1 + S_L K_{11} [L]}
$$
\[ \Delta A = A - A_0 \] from the mass balance expression \( S_t = [S] + [SL] \), 
\[ [S] = S_t / (1 + K_{11}[L]) \] is obtained.

Equation (1) is the binding isotherm, which indicates the hyperbolic dependence on free ligand concentration. According to the following equation, the linearization of equation (1) yields the double-reciprocal form of plotting the rectangular hyperbola:

\[ \frac{1}{\Delta A} = \frac{1}{S_t} K_{11} \Delta [L] + \frac{1}{S_t} \Delta [A] \]

As the double reciprocal plot of \( 1/\Delta A \) versus \( 1/[L] \) is linear, the binding constant can be estimated from the following equation:

\[ K_{11} = \frac{\text{intercept}}{\text{slope}} \]

**CD spectroscopy**

Various HBCD concentrations (125, 250, 500, 1000 and 2000 \( \mu M \)) were mixed with constant concentration of RNA (40 \( \mu M \)). The spectra of free baker’s yeast RNA and HBCD-RNA adducts were recorded using a Jasco J-720 spectropolarimeter at pH=7.3. A quartz cell with a path length of 1 cm was used for far-UV region (200-260 nm) measurements. Six scans were accumulated at a scan speed of 50 nm/min, with data being collected at every nanometer from 200 to 260 nm. The temperature of sample was kept at 25°C using a Neslab RTE-111 circulating water bath connected to the water-jacketed quartz cuvette. Using Jasco Standard Analysis software, spectra were corrected for buffer signal, and a conversion to Mol CD (\( \Delta \varepsilon \)) was performed.

**Determination of size and potential**

To determine polydispersity and the average diameter of HBCD-RNA polymer, free HBCD (1000 \( \mu M \)) and free RNA (40 \( \mu M \)), a Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) particle size analyzer (Brookhaven-model: 90plus USA) was used. A zeta potential meter (Brookhaven-model: ZetaPALS) was used to measure zeta potential of HBCD-RNA complexes, free HBCD (1000 \( \mu M \)) and free RNA (40 \( \mu M \)) at 37°C.

**Results**

**IR and \(^1\)H-NMR of HBCD**

HBCD polymer was synthesized by anionic ring opening polymerization method (Figure 2A) which was previously reported. The IR spectral changes of \( \beta \)-CD and HBCD are presented in figure 2B.

Absorption bands at 3380, 1116 and 2921 cm\(^{-1}\) are related to O-H, C-O-C and C-H groups, respectively. Broadening of the C-O band in HBCD spectrum comparing with \( \beta \)-CD can be assigned to polyglycerol binding to cyclodextrin functional groups. Similar broadening in the C-O absorbance of HBCD compared with \( \beta \)-CD was assigned to polyglycerol binding to the OH groups of cyclodextrin.

In the HBCD\(^1\)H NMR spectrum (Figure 2C), the signals of cyclodextrin protons have overlapped with polyglycerol signals at 4.1-3.6 ppm. The weak signal at 5.2 ppm is related to amonic protons of cyclodextrin. The same \( \beta \)-CD-NMR results for HBCD was reported by Adeli et al.

**Infrared spectra of HBCD-RNA complexes**

FTIR spectral studies have identified weak interaction of HBCD with phosphate and bases of RNA at low HBCD concentrations (via host-guest) and major participation of bases mainly with guanine, cytosine and backbone phosphate groove at higher concentrations. Evidence for this comes from the spectral changes of free RNA upon HBCD complexation.

At low HBCD concentration (r=1/16 to 1/8), no significant interaction was observed between HBCD and RNA bases as represented by small shifting and intensity changes of RNA in-plane vibrations at 1698 (G, U mainly G), 1650 (U, G, A, C mainly U), 1606 (A).

**Determination of size and potential**

To determine polydispersity and the average diameter of HBCD-RNA polymer, free HBCD (1000 \( \mu M \)) and free RNA (40 \( \mu M \)), a Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) particle size analyzer (Brookhaven-model: 90plus USA) was used. A zeta potential meter (Brookhaven-model: ZetaPALS) was used to measure zeta potential of HBCD-RNA complexes, free HBCD (1000 \( \mu M \)) and free RNA (40 \( \mu M \)) at 37°C.

**CD spectroscopy**

Various HBCD concentrations (125, 250, 500, 1000 and 2000 \( \mu M \)) were mixed with constant concentration of RNA (40 \( \mu M \)). The spectra of free baker’s yeast RNA and HBCD-RNA adducts were recorded using a Jasco J-720 spectropolarimeter at pH=7.3. A quartz cell with a path length of 1 cm was used for far-UV region (200-260 nm) measurements. Six scans were accumulated at a scan speed of 50 nm/min, with data being collected at every nanometer from 200 to 260 nm. The temperature of sample was kept at 25°C using a Neslab RTE-111 circulating water bath connected to the water-jacketed quartz cuvette. Using Jasco Standard Analysis software, spectra were corrected for buffer signal, and a conversion to Mol CD (\( \Delta \varepsilon \)) was performed.

**Determination of size and potential**

To determine polydispersity and the average diameter of HBCD-RNA polymer, free HBCD (1000 \( \mu M \)) and free RNA (40 \( \mu M \)), a Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) particle size analyzer (Brookhaven-model: 90plus USA) was used. A zeta potential meter (Brookhaven-model: ZetaPALS) was used to measure zeta potential of HBCD-RNA complexes, free HBCD (1000 \( \mu M \)) and free RNA (40 \( \mu M \)) at 37°C.
1489 (C) and 1241 cm\(^{-1}\) (asymmetric PO\(_2\) stretch) (Figures 1 and 3). The difference spectra showed negative features at 1724 and 1782 cm\(^{-1}\) which is due to the loss of RNA intensity vibrations (Figure 1). This is indicative of a minor, indirect interaction of RNA with HBCD via H-bonding system at low HBCD concentrations. Similarly, the loss of DNA vibrations intensity and negative features at cisplatin-DNA spectrum was assigned to minor and indirect H-bonding interaction of DNA with CD-37.

At higher HBCD concentrations (\(r=1/4\)), major shifting of the guanine and cytosine bands (G band at 1698 to 1680 cm\(^{-1}\) (1/1); C band at 1489 cm\(^{-1}\) to 1474 (\(r=1/4\)) was observed and the intensities of the adenine, guanine, cytosine and uracil bands strongly augmented. The intensity increase was characterized by the presence of several positive features at 1715 (guanine), 1650 (uracil), 1510, 1490 cm\(^{-1}\) (cytosine) and 1245 (phosphate) in the difference spectrum of HBCD-RNA (Figures 1 and 3; the difference spectrum is not shown in figure 1). The spectral changes (intensity and shifting) for the A, G, C and U bases (\(r=1/4\)) can be assigned to the interaction of HBCD (through OH) with mainly guanine and cytosine and to a lesser extent with the adenine and uracil bases.

At higher HBCD concentrations (\(r=1/2, 1/1\)), drastic shifting of the guanine and cytosine bands (guanine band at 1698 to 1706 cm\(^{-1}\) (1/1); cytosine band at 1489 to 1460 (\(r=1/2\)), 1477 cm\(^{-1}\) (\(r=1/1\)) were observed. The spectral changes for the bases (\(r=1/2\) and 1/1) can be attributed to the interaction of OH branches of HBCD with mainly G and C and to a lesser extent with A and U bases (Figures 1 and 3). Similar spectral changes were observed in narigin-RNA complexes.

HBCD-PO2 interaction was evident from intensity increase and shifting of the PO2 antisymmetric band at 1241 and symmetric band at 1085 cm\(^{-1}\) in the spectra of HBCD-RNA complexes (Figures 1 and 3).

For the phosphate group, shifting of the band at 1241 cm\(^{-1}\) to 1243 (\(r=1/8\)), 1245 (\(r=1/4\)), 1253 (\(r=1/2\)) and 1249 cm\(^{-1}\) (\(r=1/1\)) for the complexes can be ascribed to minor phosphate interaction with HBCD at lower concentrations and strong interaction at higher concentrations (\(r=1/2, 1/1\)). Similar spectral changes were observed in PAMAM-RNA complexes.

Circular dichroism spectra and RNA conformation

CD spectra of RNA and its complexes with different HBCD concentrations are shown in figure 6. CD spectrum of RNA, composed of four major peaks at 209 (negative), 221 (negative), 240 (negative), and 269 nm (positive) (Figure 3) is consistent with previously reported CD spectra of double-helical RNA in a conformational state.

At low HBCD concentration (125, 250 \(\mu M\)), no significant shifting was observed; however, the molar ellipticity of the band at 209 nm was strongly enhanced by increasing the polymer concentration (500 to 2000 \(\mu M\)). In the spectra of HBCD-RNA complexes, no shifting was observed for the band at 269 nm (Figure 3) which can be attributed to the presence of a conformation in free RNA and in HBCD-RNA complexes. This is consistent with the infrared results which showed a conformation in free RNA with IR marker bands at 1698 (G), 1241 (PO2), 861 and 810 cm\(^{-1}\) (ribose-phosphate) and in HBCD-RNA complexes at 1689-1688 (G), 1241-1234 (PO2), 864-868 and 812-810 cm\(^{-1}\) (ribose-phosphate) in the complexes (Figure 4). Besides, minor intensity change of the band at 269 nm and its collapse in the spectrum of HBCD-RNA can be related to the lack of RNA aggregation in the presence of HBCD polymer (Figure 3). This is consistent with DLS results of HBCD-RNA complexes which revealed that RNA aggregation did not occur.

Stability of HBCD-RNA complex

HBCD-RNA binding constant was determined as demonstrated previously in UV-Visible spectroscopy (Figure 5A). The overall binding constants were obtained using UV spectroscopy in a previously reported method. Concentrations of the complexed HBCD (ligand) were determined by subtracting the absorbance of the free RNA at 260 nm from those of the complexed. The concentration of free ligand was determined by the subtraction of the complexed ligand from total ligand. The data of 1/[ligand complexed] almost proportionally augmented as a function of 1/[free ligand] (Figure 5B). As the double reciprocal plot of 1/(\(A-A_0\)) vs. 1/HBCD concentration) was linear, binding constant (K) was calculated from the ratio of the intercept to the slope (Figures 4A and 4B); \(A_0\) is the initial absorbance of the free RNA at 260 nm and A is the recorded absorbance of the complexes at various HBCD concentrations. The overall binding constant of HBCD-RNA (\(K=1.25\times10^4\) M\(^{-1}\)) was indicative of a weak stability through attraction forces between HBCD and RNA. Similar bindings
were reported for G3.0 PAMAM dendrimers conjugated to 1-pyrene carboxaldehyde G3.0-PY (as guest) and HBCD (as host) complexes in which the enhanced \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) of the guest molecule was attributed to the host-guest interactions. Besides, UV-Vis spectrum of RNA at 260 nm (Figures 5A and 5B) exhibited increased intensity upon the addition of HBCD (spectra c to j) which was assigned to the host-guest interactions between the two segments and formation of HBCD-RNA complex.

**RNA conformation**

RNA remains in a conformation in HBCD-RNA complexes. The lack of major shifting of the A-RNA marker bands at 815-809 cm\(^{-1}\) (phosphodiester), 867-861 (ribosephosphate), 1247-1241 (phosphate), and 1700-1688 (guanine) indicates that RNA remains in a conformation upon HBCD complexation (Figure 1).

**Size and zeta potential**

The size distribution profile of small particles in suspension or (macro) molecules in solution can be determined by Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS). DLS measurements were applied to estimate the average hydrodynamic diameter of RNA, HBCD and their supramolecular assembly in solution (HBCD-RNA complexes) (Figures 6A-C). The obtained hydrodynamic diameter for free HBCD (2.8 nm at pH=7.4 and at 37°C) is in good agreement with previous literature reports (Figure 6). After addition of HBCD to RNA solution, the \( d_h \) value of the HBCD-RNA complex increased to 5.7 nm which is larger than the sum of the hydrodynamic diameters of the pure components. As discussed earlier, by formation of HBCD-RNA complex, RNA remained in a conformation. Therefore, increase in HBCD-RNA dimension can be attributed to an extended conformation of the polyglycerol chains of HBCD into the solvated environment. Based on the interactions between albumin and HBCD, this result could also be explained by the structural properties of HBCD and cyclodextrin hydrophobic cavity, the polar outer shell as well as complex tendency to interact with RNA. RNA zeta potential due to the presence of ionized residues on RNA surface is greatly affected by the concentration and charge of the cationic particles. Indeed, the phosphate backbone of nucleic acids carries one negative charge per residue. By the addition of HBCD (\( \zeta = -1.23 \text{ mV} \)), the value of \( \zeta \) for the supramolecular complex (HBCD-RNA) decreases to \(-9.22 \text{ mV}\). Decrease in \( \zeta \) value can be resulted from the intermolecular forces which led to HBCD-RNA supramolecular assembly.
Conclusion

An unprecedented type of gene delivery system was successfully developed based on linear dendritic supramolecular biopolymer. RNA-HBCD complexes can be used to gain insight into the new strategies for gene delivery. Our study provided substantial quantitative data on the effect of low and high HBCD concentrations on polynucleotide structure and the binding affinity of RNA to HBCD. According to the spectroscopic results, the following points are considerable: RNA binds HBCD through non-covalent binding (host-guest interactions) between the cavity of HBCD polycapeptide RNA aggregation and protected RNA by host-host glycopeptide interactions. The small size synthesized complex (5 nm) successfully prevented RNA aggregation and protected RNA by host-guest interactions between the cavity of HBCD polymer and the bases of gene. No RNA conformational change occurred upon HBCD interaction and RNA remained in A-family structure.

Acknowledgement

The authors appreciate Central Tehran Branch, IAU, Tehran, Iran for support of this work.

References

1. Wang R, Zhou L, Zhou G, Li G, Zhu B, Gu H, et al. Synthesis and gene delivery of poly(amide amines) with different branched architecture. Biomacromolecules 2010;11(2):489-495.
2. Fant K, Eshjörmér EK, Jenkins A, Grossel MC, Lincoln P, Norden B. Effects of PEylation and acetylation of PAMAM dendrimers on DNA binding, cytotoxicity and in vitro transfection efficiency. Mol Pharm 2010;7(5):1743-1746.
3. Yuan Q, Yeudall WA, Yang H. PEgylated poly-amidoamine dendrimers with bis-aryl hydrazine linkages for enhanced gene delivery. Biomacromolecules 2010;11(8):1940-1947.
4. Klajnert B, Bryszewska M. Dendrimers as delivery systems in gene therapy. In: Klajnert B, Bryszewska M, editors. New developments in mutation research. New York: Nova Science Publishers; 2007. p. 217-240.
5. Templeton NS, Lasic DD. Gene therapy: Therapeutic mechanisms and strategies. 2nd ed. New York: Dekker; 2004. 584 p.
6. Yao H, Ng SS, Tucker WO, Tsang YK, Man K, Wang XM, et al. The gene transfection efficiency of a folate-PEI600-cyclodextrinnanopolymer. Biomaterials 2009;30(29):5793-5803.
7. Choi SH, Geckeler KE. Synthesis of a novel poly (oxy-ethylene)-bridged β-cyclodextrin dimer. J Incl Phenom Macrocycl Chem 2007;57(1-4):257-260.
8. Harada A, Kawaguchi Y, Hoshino T. Supramolecular polymers formed by modified cyclodextrins. J Incl Phenom Macrocycl Chem 2001;41(1-4):115-121.
9. Agüeros M, Areses P, Campanero MA, Salman H, Quiñoocos G, Peñuelas I, et al. Bioadhesive properties and biodistribution of cyclodextrin-poly(ethylene glycol) nanoparticles. Eur J Pharm Sci 2009;37(3-4):231-240.
10. Liu YY, Fan XD, Hu H, Tang ZH. Release of chlorambucil from poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) hydrogels with beta-cyclodextrin moieties. Macromol Biosci 2004;4(8):729-736.
11. Asanuma H, Hishiya T, Komiyama M. Tailor-made receptors by molecular imprinting. Adv Mater 2000;12(14):1019-1030.
12. Bilensoy E, Gürkaynak O, Doğan AL, Hincal AA. Safety and efficacy of amphiphilic beta-cyclodextrin nanoparticles for paclitaxel delivery. Int J Pharm 2008;347(1-2):163-170.
13. Lee SC, Huh KM, Lee J, Cho YW, Galinsky RE, Park K. Hydrotrropic polymeric micelles for enhanced paclitaxel solubility: in vitro and in vivo characterization. Biomacromolecules 2007;8(1):202-208.
14. Avadi MR, Sadeghi AM, Mohammadpour N, Abedin S, Atyabi F, Dinarvand R, et al. Preparation and characterization of insulin nanoparticles using chitosan and arabic gum with ionic gelation method. Nanomedicine 2010;6(1):58-63.
15. Gupta U, Agashe HB, Asthana A, Jain NK. Dendrimers: novel polymeric nanoarchitectures for solubility enhancement. Biomacromolecules 2006;7(3):649-658.
16. Sharma US, Balasubramanian SV, Straubinger RM. Pharmacological and physical properties of paclitaxel (Taxol) complexes with cyclodextrins. J Pharm Sci 1995;84(10):1223-1230.
17. Li J, Chen B, Wang X, Goh S. H. Preparation and characterization of inclusion complexes formed by biodegradable poly(e-caprolactone)-poly(ethylenefuran)-poly(e-caprolactone)triblock copolymer and cyclodex-trins. Polymer 2004;45(6):1777-1787.
18. Wang JH, Cai Z. Incorporation of the antibacterial agent, miconazole nitrate into a cellulose fabric grafted with β-cyclodextrin. Carbohydr Polym 2008;72(4):695-700.
19. Connors KA. The stability of cyclodextrin complexes in solution. Chem Rev 1997;97(5):1325-1358.
20. Zarrabi A, Adeli M, Vossoughi M, Shokrgozar MA. Design and synthesis of novel polyglycerol hybrid nanomaterials for potential applications in drug delivery systems. Macromol Biosci 2011;11(3):383-390.
21. Sander A, Hanselmann R, Frey H, Mulhaupt R. Controlled synthesis of hyperbranched polyglycerols by ring-opening multibranching polymerization. Macromolecules 1999;32(13):4240-4246.
22. Sander A, Mulhaupt R, Haag R, Frey H. Hyperbranched polyester polyols: a modular approach to complex polymer architectures. Adv Mater 2000;12(3):233-239.
23. Tao W, Liu Y, Jiang B, Yu S, Huang W, Zhou Y, et al. A linear-hyperbranched supramolecular amphiphile and its self-assembly into vesicles with great ductility. J Am Chem Soc 2012;134(2):762-764.
24. Zhang X, Zhang X, Wu Z, Gao X, Shu Sh, Wang Z, et al. β-Cyclodextrin grafting hyperbranched polyglycerols as carriers for nasal insulin delivery. Carbohydr Polym 2011;84(4):1419-1425.

25. Zhang X, Zhang X, Wu Z, Gao X, Cheng C, Wang Z, et al. A hydrotrropic β-cyclodextrin grafted hyperbranched polyglycerol co-polymer for hydrophobic drug delivery. Acta Biomater 2011;7(2):585-592.

26. Pan SH, Bellocq NC, Liu A, Jensen G, Machemer T, Quijano E, et al. Cyclodextrin-modified polyethyleneimine polymers for gene delivery. Bioconjug Chem 2004;15(4):831-840.

27. Lotssson T, Duchêne D. Cyclodextrins and their pharmaceutical applications. Int J Pharm 2007;329(1-2):1-11.

28. Adeli M, Fard AK, Abedi F, Chegeni BK, Bani F. Thermo- and pH-sensitive dendrosomes as bi-phase drug delivery systems. Nanomedicine 2013;9(8):1203-1213.

29. Adeli M, Hakimpoor F, Parsamanesh M, Kalantari M, Sobhani Z, Attyabi F. Quantum dot-pseudopolyrotaxanesupramolecules as anticancer drug delivery systems. Polymer 2011;52(11):2401-2413.

30. Marmur J, Doty P. Thermal renaturation of deoxyribonucleic acids. J Mol Biol 1961;3(5):585-594.

31. Reichmann ME, Rice SA, Thomas CA, Doty PJ. A further examination of the molecular weight and size of deoxypentose nucleic acid. J Am Chem Soc 1954;76(11):3047-3053.

32. Vijayalakshmi R, Kanthimathi M, Subramanian V, Nair BU. DNA cleavage by a Chromium(III) complex. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2000;271(3):731-734.

33. Kanakis CD, Tarantilis PA, Polissiou MG, Diamantoglu S, Tajmir-Riahi HA. DNA interaction with naturally occurring antioxidant flavonoids quercetin, kaempferol, and delphinidin. J Biomol Struct Dyn 2005;22(6):719-724.

34. Kanakis CD, Tarantilis PA, Polissiou MG, Diamantoglu S, Tajimir-Riahi HA. An overview of DNA and RNA bindings to antioxidant flavonoids. Cell Biochem Bio-phys 2007;49(1):29-36.

35. Arakawa H, Ahmad R, Naoum M, Tajmir-Riahi HA. A comparative study of calf thymus DNA binding to Cr(III) and Cr(VI) ions. Evidence for the guanine N-7-chromium-phosphate chelate formation. J Biol Chem 2000;275(14):10150-10153.

36. Froehlich E, Mandeville JS, Kreplak L, Tajmir-Riahi HA. A. Aggregation and particle formation of RNA by dendrimers. Biomacromolecules 2011;12(7):2780-2787.

37. Connors KA. Binding constants: The measurement of molecular complex stability. 1st ed. New York: Wiley & Sons; 1997. 432 p.

38. N’soukpo-Kossi CN, Descôteaux C, Asselin E, Tajmir-Riahi HA, Bérubé G. DNA interaction with novel antitumor estradiol-platinum(II) hybrid molecule: a comparative study with cisplatin drug. DNA Cell Biol 2008;27(2):101-107.

39. Nafisi Sh, Shadali A, Feizbaksh A, Tajmir-Riahi HA. RNA binding to antioxidant flavonoids. J Photochem Photobiol B 2009;94(1):1-7.

40. Kypr J, Vorlicková M. Circular dichroism spectroscopy reveals invariant conformation of guanine runs in DNA. Biopolymers 2002;67(4-5):275-277.

41. Vorlicková M. Conformational transitions of alternating purine-pyrimidine DNAs in perchlorate ethanol solutions. Biophys J 1995;69(5):2033-2043.

42. Khodadadi Chegeni B, Kakanejadifard A, Abedi F, Kabirri R, Daneshnia F, Adeli M. pH-sensitive supramolecular copolydendrimers, new anticancer drug delivery system. Colloid Polym Sci 2014;292(12):3337-3346.

43. Polyanichko AM, Andrushchenko VV, Chikhirzhina EV, Vorob'ev VI, Wieser H. The effect of manganese(II) on DNA structure: electronic and vibrational circular dichroism studies. Nucleic Acids Res 2004;32(3):989-996.

44. Andrushchenko V, Wieser H, Bour P. B-Z conformational transition of DNA monitored by vibrational circular dichroism. Ab initio interpretation of the experiment. J Phys Chem B 2002;106(48):12623-12643.

45. Ahmed Ouameur A, Marty R, Neault JF, Tajmir-Riahi HA. AZT binds RNA at multiple sites. DNA Cell Biol 2004;23(11):783-788.

46. Berne BJ, Pecora R. Dynamic light scattering with applications to chemistry, biology, and physics. 1st ed. New York: Dover Publications; 2000. 377 p.

47. Beiranvand Z, Bani F, Kakanejadifard A, Laurini E, Fermeglia M, Pricl S, et al. Anticancer drug delivery systems based on specific interactions between albumin and polyglycerol. RSC Adv 2016;6(14):11266-11277.

48. Lifترت J, Doniach S, Das R, Herschlag D. Understanding nucleic acid-ion interactions. Annu Rev Biochem 2014;83:813-841.