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Abstract

We show that homeomorphisms \( f \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), \( n \geq 3 \), of finite distortion in the Orlicz–Sobolev classes \( W^{1,\varphi}_{\text{loc}} \) with a condition on \( \varphi \) of the Calderon type and, in particular, in the Sobolev classes \( W^{1,p}_{\text{loc}} \) for \( p > n - 1 \) are the so-called lower \( Q \)-homeomorphisms, 
\[
Q(x) = K_{I}^{-\frac{1}{n-1}}(x, f),
\]
where \( K_{I}(x, f) \) is its inner dilatation. The statement is valid also for all finitely bi-Lipschitz mappings that a far-reaching extension of the well-known classes of isometric and quasiisometric mappings. This makes possible to apply our theory of the boundary behavior of the lower \( Q \)-homeomorphisms to all given classes.
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1 Introduction

The problem of the boundary behavior is one of the central topics of the theory of quasiconformal mappings and their generalizations. At present mappings with finite distortion are studied, see many references in the monographs [12] and [30]. In this case, as it was earlier, the main geometric approach in the modern mapping theory is the method of moduli, see, e.g., the monographs [12], [30], [35], [43], [52], [53] and [55].

It is well–known that the concept of moduli with weights essentially due to Andreian Cazacu, see, e.g., [1]–[3], see also recent works [6]–[8] of her learner. At the present paper we give new modulus estimates for space mappings that essentially improve the corresponding estimates first obtained in the paper [23].
Here we apply our theory of the so-called lower $Q$-homeomorphisms first introduced in the paper [20], see also the monograph [30], that was motivated by the ring definition of quasiconformal mappings of Gehring, see [10]. The theory of lower $Q$-homeomorphisms has already found interesting applications to the theory of the Beltrami equations in the plane and to the theory of mappings of the classes of Sobolev and Orlich-Sobolev in the space, see, e.g., [17], [18], [23], [24], [25], [30] and [45].

Following Orlicz, see, e.g., paper [36], see also monograph [58], given a convex increasing function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$, $\varphi(0) = 0$, denote by $L^\varphi$ the space of all functions $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\int_D \varphi \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right) \, dm(x) < \infty$$

(1.1)

for some $\lambda > 0$ where $dm(x)$ corresponds to the Lebesgue measure in $D$. $L^\varphi$ is called the Orlicz space. In other words, $L^\varphi$ is the cone over the class of all functions $g : D \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\int_D \varphi \left( |g(x)| \right) \, dm(x) < \infty$$

(1.2)

which is also called the Orlicz class, see [4].

The Orlicz–Sobolev class $W^{1,\varphi}(D)$ is the class of all functions $f \in L^1(D)$ with the first distributional derivatives whose gradient $\nabla f$ belongs to the Orlicz class in $D$. $f \in W^{1,\varphi}_{\text{loc}}(D)$ if $f \in W^{1,\varphi}(D_\ast)$ for every domain $D_\ast$ with a compact closure in $D$. Note that by definition $W^{1,\varphi}_{\text{loc}} \subseteq W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}$. As usual, we write $f \in W^{1,p}_{\text{loc}}$ if $\varphi(t) = t^p$, $p \geq 1$. Later on, we also write $f \in W^{1,\varphi}_{\text{loc}}$ for a locally integrable vector-function $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_m)$ of $n$ real variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ if $f_i \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}$ and

$$\int_D \varphi \left( |\nabla f(x)| \right) \, dm(x) < \infty$$

(1.3)

where $|\nabla f(x)| = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} \left( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} \right)^2}$. Note that in this paper we use the notation $W^{1,\varphi}_{\text{loc}}$ for more general functions $\varphi$ than in those classic Orlicz classes often giving up
the conditions on convexity and normalization of \( \varphi \). Note also that the Orlicz–Sobolev classes are intensively studied in various aspects at the moment, see, e.g., [24] and references therein.

In this connection, recall definitions which are relative to Sobolev’s classes. Given an open set \( U \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 2 \), \( C_0^\infty(U) \) denotes the collection of all functions \( \psi : U \to \mathbb{R} \) with compact support having continuous partial derivatives of any order. Now, let \( u \) and \( v : U \to \mathbb{R} \) be locally integrable functions. The function \( v \) is called the **distributional (generalized) derivative** \( u_{x_i} \) of \( u \) in the variable \( x_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \), if

\[
\int_U u \psi_{x_i} \, dm(x) = -\int_U v \psi \, dm(x) \quad \forall \psi \in C_0^\infty(U).
\]

(1.4)

\( u \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}} \) if \( u_{x_i} \) exist for all \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \). These concepts were introduced by Sobolev in \( \mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 2 \), see [51], and at present it is developed under wider settings by many authors, see, e.g., [42] and further references in [24].

Recall also the following topological notion. A domain \( D \subset \mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 2 \), is said to be **locally connected at a point** \( x_0 \in \partial D \) if, for every neighborhood \( U \) of the point \( x_0 \), there is a neighborhood \( V \subseteq U \) of \( x_0 \) such that \( V \cap D \) is connected. Note that every Jordan domain \( D \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) is locally connected at each point of \( \partial D \), see, e.g., [57], p. 66.

Following [19] and [20], see also [30] and [44], we say that \( \partial D \) is **weakly flat at a point** \( x_0 \in \partial D \) if, for every neighborhood \( U \) of the point \( x_0 \) and every number \( P > 0 \), there is a neighborhood \( V \subset U \) of \( x_0 \) such that

\[
M(\Delta(E, F, D)) \geq P
\]

(1.5)

for all continua \( E \) and \( F \) in \( D \) intersecting \( \partial U \) and \( \partial V \). Here \( M \) is the modulus, see [3.5], and \( \Delta(E, F, D) \) the family of all paths \( \gamma : [a, b] \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^n} \) connecting \( E \) and \( F \) in \( D \), i.e., \( \gamma(a) \in E, \gamma(b) \in F \) and \( \gamma(t) \in D \) for all \( t \in (a, b) \). We say that the boundary \( \partial D \) is **weakly flat** if it is weakly flat at every point in \( \partial D \).

We also say that a **point** \( x_0 \in \partial D \) is **strongly accessible** if, for every neighborhood \( U \) of the point \( x_0 \), there exist a compactum \( E \) in \( D \), a neighborhood \( V \subset U \) of \( x_0 \) and a number \( \delta > 0 \) such that

\[
M(\Delta(E, F, D)) \geq \delta
\]

(1.6)
for all continua $F$ in $D$ intersecting $\partial U$ and $\partial V$. We say that the boundary $\partial D$ is **strongly accessible** if every point $x_0 \in \partial D$ is strongly accessible.

It is easy to see that if a domain $D$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is weakly flat at a point $x_0 \in \partial D$, then the point $x_0$ is strongly accessible from $D$. Moreover, it was proved by us that if a domain $D$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is weakly flat at a point $x_0 \in \partial D$, then $D$ is locally connected at $x_0$, see, e.g., Lemma 5.1 in [20] or Lemma 3.15 in [30].

The notions of strong accessibility and weak flatness at boundary points of a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ defined in [19], see also [20], are localizations and generalizations of the corresponding notions introduced in [28]–[29], cf. with properties $P_1$ and $P_2$ by Väisälä in [53] and also with the quasiconformal accessibility and the quasiconformal flatness by Näkki in [34]. Many theorems on a homeomorphic extension to the boundary of quasiconformal mappings and their generalizations are valid under the condition of weak flatness of boundaries. The condition of strong accessibility plays a similar role for a continuous extension of the mappings to the boundary.

In the mapping theory and in the theory of differential equations, it is often applied the so-called Lipschitz domains whose boundaries are locally quasiconformal. Recall first that a map $\varphi : X \to Y$ between metric spaces $X$ and $Y$ is said to be **Lipschitz** provided $\text{dist}(\varphi(x_1), \varphi(x_2)) \leq M \cdot \text{dist}(x_1, x_2)$ for some $M < \infty$ and for all $x_1$ and $x_2 \in X$. The map $\varphi$ is called **bi-Lipschitz** if, in addition, $M^* \text{dist}(x_1, x_2) \leq \text{dist}(\varphi(x_1), \varphi(x_2))$ for some $M^* > 0$ and for all $x_1$ and $x_2 \in X$. Later on, $X$ and $Y$ are subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the Euclidean distance.

It is said that a bounded domain $D$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is **Lipschitz** if every point $x_0 \in \partial D$ has a neighborhood $U$ that can be mapped by a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $\varphi$ onto the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ in such a way that $\varphi(\partial D \cap U)$ is the intersection of $\mathbb{B}^n$ with the a coordinate hyperplane and $f(x_0) = 0$, see, e.g., [35]. Note that a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism is quasiconformal and the modulus is a quasiinvariant under such mappings. Hence the Lipschitz domains have weakly flat boundaries. In particular, smooth and convex bounded domains are so.
2 On BMO, VMO and FMO functions

The BMO space was introduced by John and Nirenberg in [16] and soon became one of the main concepts in harmonic analysis, complex analysis, partial differential equations and related areas, see, e.g., [13] and [40].

Let $D$ be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$. Recall that a real valued function $\varphi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(D)$ is said to be of bounded mean oscillation in $D$, abbr. $\varphi \in \text{BMO}(D)$ or simply $\varphi \in \text{BMO}$, if
\[
\|\varphi\|_* = \sup_{B \subset D} \int_B |\varphi(z) - \varphi_B| \, dm(z) < \infty \tag{2.1}
\]
where the supremum is taken over all balls $B$ in $D$ and
\[
\varphi_B = \int_B \varphi(z) \, dm(z) = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \varphi(z) \, dm(z) \tag{2.2}
\]
is the mean value of the function $\varphi$ over $B$. Note that $L^\infty(D) \subset \text{BMO}(D) \subset L^p_{\text{loc}}(D)$ for all $1 \leq p < \infty$, see, e.g., [40].

A function $\varphi$ in BMO is said to have vanishing mean oscillation, abbr. $\varphi \in \text{VMO}$, if the supremum in (2.1) taken over all balls $B$ in $D$ with $|B| < \varepsilon$ converges to 0 as $\varepsilon \to 0$. VMO has been introduced by Sarason in [50]. There are a number of papers devoted to the study of partial differential equations with coefficients of the class VMO, see, e.g., [5], [15], [31], [37] and [39].

Following [14], we say that a function $\varphi : D \to \mathbb{R}$ has finite mean oscillation at a point $z_0 \in D$, write $\varphi \in \text{FMO}(x_0)$, if
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{B(z_0, \varepsilon)} |\varphi(z) - \tilde{\varphi}_\varepsilon(z_0)| \, dm(z) < \infty \tag{2.3}
\]
where
\[
\tilde{\varphi}_\varepsilon(z_0) = \int_{B(z_0, \varepsilon)} \varphi(z) \, dm(z) \tag{2.4}
\]
is the mean value of the function $\varphi(z)$ over the ball $B(z_0, \varepsilon)$. Condition (2.3) includes the assumption that $\varphi$ is integrable in some neighborhood of the point $z_0$. By the triangle inequality, we obtain the following statement.
Proposition 2.1. If for some collection of numbers \( \varphi_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}, \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0], \)
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^-} \int_{B(z_0, \varepsilon)} |\varphi(z) - \varphi_\varepsilon| \, dm(z) < \infty ,
\]
then \( \varphi \) is of finite mean oscillation at \( z_0. \)

Choosing in Proposition 2.1 \( \varphi_\varepsilon \equiv 0, \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0], \) we have the following.

Corollary 2.1. If for a point \( z_0 \in D \)
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^-} \int_{B(z_0, \varepsilon)} |\varphi(z)| \, dm(z) < \infty ,
\]
then \( \varphi \) has finite mean oscillation at \( z_0. \)

Recall that a point \( z_0 \in D \) is called a Lebesgue point of a function \( \varphi : D \to \mathbb{R} \) if \( \varphi \) is integrable in a neighborhood of \( z_0 \) and
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^-} \int_{B(z_0, \varepsilon)} |\varphi(z) - \varphi(z_0)| \, dm(z) = 0 .
\]
It is known that almost every point in \( D \) is a Lebesgue point for every function \( \varphi \in L^1(D). \) Thus, we have the following conclusion.

Corollary 2.2. Every function \( \varphi : D \to \mathbb{R} \) which is locally integrable, has a finite mean oscillation at almost every point in \( D. \)

Remark 2.1. Note that the function \( \varphi(z) = \log(1/|z|) \) belongs to BMO in the unit disk \( \Delta, \) see, e.g., [40], p. 5, and hence also to FMO. However, \( \varphi_\varepsilon(0) \to \infty \) as \( \varepsilon \to 0, \) showing that the condition \( (2.6) \) is only sufficient but not necessary for a function \( \varphi \) to be of finite mean oscillation at \( z_0. \)

Clearly that BMO \( \subset \) FMO \( \subset \) \( L^1_{\text{loc}} \) but FMO is not a subset of \( L^p_{\text{loc}} \) for any \( p > 1, \) see examples in Section 11.2 of the monograph [30], in comparison with BMO_{\text{loc}} \( \subset \) \( L^p_{\text{loc}} \) for all \( p \in [1, \infty). \) Thus, FMO is essentially wider than BMO_{\text{loc}}. The following lemma is key, see Corollary 2.3 in [14] and Corollary 6.3 in [30].

Lemma 2.1. Let \( D \) be a domain in \( \mathbb{R}^n, \) \( n \geq 2, \) \( x_0 \in D, \) and let \( \varphi : D \to \mathbb{R} \) be a non-negative function of the class FMO(\( x_0). \) Then
\[
\int_{\varepsilon < |x-x_0| < \varepsilon_0} \frac{\varphi(x) \, dm(x)}{|x-x_0| \log \frac{1}{|x-x_0|}} \in O\left( \log \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right) \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0
\]
for some \( \varepsilon_0 \in (0, \delta_0) \) where \( \delta_0 = \min(e^{-e}, d_0), \) \( d_0 = \sup_{x \in D} |x-x_0|. \)
3 On lower $Q$-homeomorphisms

Let $\omega$ be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^k$, $k = 1, \ldots, n - 1$. Recall that a (continuous) mapping $S : \omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a $k$-dimensional surface $S$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The number of preimages

$$N(S, y) = \text{card } S^{-1}(y) = \text{card } \{x \in \omega : S(x) = y\}, \ y \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (3.1)$$

is said to be a **multiplicity function** of the surface $S$. It is known that the multiplicity function is lower semicontinuous, i.e.,

$$N(S, y) \geq \liminf_{m \to \infty} N(S, y_m)$$

for every sequence $y_m \in \mathbb{R}^n, m = 1, 2, \ldots$, such that $y_m \to y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as $m \to \infty$, see, e.g., [38], p. 160. Thus, the function $N(S, y)$ is Borel measurable and hence measurable with respect to every Hausdorff measure $H^k$, see, e.g., [49], p. 52.

Recall that a $k$-dimensional Hausdorff area in $\mathbb{R}^n$ (or simply area) associated with a surface $S : \omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is given by

$$A_S(B) = A^k_S(B) := \int_B N(S, y) \, dH^k y \quad (3.2)$$

for every Borel set $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and, more generally, for an arbitrary set that is measurable with respect to $H^k$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, cf. 3.2.1 in [9] and 9.2 in [30].

If $\varrho : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty]$ is a Borel function, then its **integral over** $S$ is defined by the equality

$$\int_S \varrho \, dA := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varrho(y) \, N(S, y) \, dH^k y. \quad (3.3)$$

Given a family $\Gamma$ of $k$-dimensional surfaces $S$, a Borel function $\varrho : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty]$ is called **admissible** for $\Gamma$, abbr. $\varrho \in \text{adm } \Gamma$, if

$$\int_S \varrho^k \, dA \geq 1 \quad (3.4)$$

for every $S \in \Gamma$. The **modulus** of $\Gamma$ is the quantity

$$M(\Gamma) = \inf_{\varrho \in \text{adm } \Gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varrho^n(x) \, dm(x). \quad (3.5)$$
We also say that a Lebesgue measurable function \( \varrho : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty] \) is **extensively admissible** for a family \( \Gamma \) of \( k \)-dimensional surfaces \( S \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), abbr. \( \varrho \in \text{ext adm } \Gamma \), if a subfamily of all surfaces \( S \) in \( \Gamma \), for which (3.4) fails, has the modulus zero.

Given domains \( D \) and \( D' \) in \( \overline{\mathbb{R}^n} = \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{ \infty \} \), \( n \geq 2 \), \( x_0 \in \overline{D} \setminus \{ \infty \} \), and a measurable function \( Q : \mathbb{R}^n \to (0, \infty) \), we say that a homeomorphism \( f : D \to D' \) is a **lower \( Q \)-homeomorphism at the point** \( x_0 \) if

\[
M(f \Sigma_\varepsilon) \geq \inf_{\varrho \in \text{ext adm } \Sigma_\varepsilon} \int_{D \cap R_\varepsilon} \frac{\varrho^n(x)}{Q(x)} \, dm(x) \tag{3.6}
\]

for every ring \( R_\varepsilon = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \varepsilon < |x - x_0| < \varepsilon_0 \} \), \( \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0) \), \( \varepsilon_0 \in (0, d_0) \), where \( d_0 = \sup_{x \in D} |x - x_0| \), and \( \Sigma_\varepsilon \) denotes the family of all intersections of the spheres \( S(x_0, r) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x_0| = r \} \), \( r \in (\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) \), with \( D \).

The notion of lower \( Q \)-homeomorphisms in the standard way can be extended to \( \infty \) through inversions. We also say that a homeomorphism \( f : D \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^n} \) is a **lower \( Q \)-homeomorphism on \( \partial D \)** if \( f \) is a lower \( Q \)-homeomorphism at every point \( x_0 \in \partial D \).

We proved the following significant statements on lower \( Q \)-homeomorphisms, see Theorem 10.1 (Lemma 6.1) in [20] or Theorem 9.8 (Lemma 9.4) in [30].

**Proposition 3.1.** Let \( D \) and \( D' \) be bounded domains in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), \( n \geq 2 \), \( Q : D \to (0, \infty) \) a measurable function and \( f : D \to D' \) a lower \( Q \)-homeomorphism on \( \partial D \). Suppose that the domain \( D \) is locally connected on \( \partial D \) and that the domain \( D' \) has a (strongly accessible) weakly flat boundary. If

\[
\delta(x_0) \int_0^{\frac{d_0}{Q(x_0)}} \frac{dr}{||Q||_{n-1}(x_0, r)} = \infty \quad \forall \ x_0 \in \partial D \tag{3.7}
\]

for some \( \delta(x_0) \in (0, d(x_0)) \) where \( d(x_0) = \sup_{x \in D} |x - x_0| \) and

\[
||Q||_{n-1}(x_0, r) = \left( \int_{D \cap S(x_0, r)} Q^{n-1}(x) \, dA \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}},
\]

then \( f \) can be extended to a (continuous) homeomorphic mapping \( \overline{f} : \overline{D} \to \overline{D'} \).
4 A connection with the Orlicz–Sobolev classes

Given a mapping \( f: D \to \mathbb{R}^n \) with partial derivatives a.e., recall that \( f'(x) \) denotes the Jacobian matrix of \( f \) at \( x \in D \) if it exists, \( J(x) = J(x, f) = \det f'(x) \) is the Jacobian of \( f \) at \( x \), and \( \|f'(x)\| \) is the operator norm of \( f'(x) \), i.e.,
\[
\|f'(x)\| = \max\{|f'(x)h| : h \in \mathbb{R}^n, |h| = 1\}.
\]
We also let
\[
l(f'(x)) = \min\{|f'(x)h| : h \in \mathbb{R}^n, |h| = 1\}.
\]
The outer dilatation of \( f \) at \( x \) is defined by
\[
K_O(x) = K_O(x, f) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\|f'(x)\|^n}{J(x, f)} & \text{if } J(x, f) \neq 0, \\
1 & \text{if } f'(x) = 0, \\
\infty & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\]
the inner dilatation of \( f \) at \( x \) by
\[
K_I(x) = K_I(x, f) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{|J(x, f)|}{\|f'(x)^n\|} & \text{if } J(x, f) \neq 0, \\
1 & \text{if } f'(x) = 0, \\
\infty & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\]
Note that, see, e.g., Section 1.2.1 in [41],
\[
K_O(x, f) \leq K_I^{n-1}(x, f) \quad \text{and} \quad K_I(x, f) \leq K_O^{n-1}(x, f),
\]
in particular, \( K_O(x, f) < \infty \) a.e. if and only if \( K_I(x, f) < \infty \) a.e. The latter is equivalent to the condition that a.e. either \( \det f'(x) > 0 \) or \( f'(x) = 0 \).

Now, recall that a homeomorphism \( f \) between domains \( D \) and \( D' \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), \( n \geq 2 \), is called of finite distortion if \( f \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}} \) and
\[
\|f'(x)\|^n \leq K(x) \cdot J_f(x)
\]
with some a.e. finite function \( K \). The term is due to Tadeusz Iwaniec. In other words, \((4.6)\) just means that dilatations \( K_O(x, f) \) and \( K_I(x, f) \) are finite a.e.

In view of \((4.5)\), the next statement says on a stronger modulus estimate than the obtained in [23], Theorem 4.1, in terms of the outer dilatation \( K_O(x, f) \). It is key for deriving consequences from our theory of lower \( Q \)–homeomorphisms.
**Theorem 4.1.** Let $D$ and $D'$ be domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, and let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a nondecreasing function such that, for some $t_* \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$\int_{t_*}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{t}{\varphi(t)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-2}} \, dt < \infty.$$  

(4.7)

Then each homeomorphism $f : D \to D'$ of finite distortion in the class $W^{1,\varphi}_{\text{loc}}$ is a lower $Q$-homeomorphism at every point $x_0 \in \overline{D}$ with $Q(x) = [K_1(x, f)]^{-\frac{1}{n-1}}$.

**Proof.** Let $B$ be a (Borel) set of all points $x \in D$ where $f$ has a total differential $f'(x)$ and $J_f(x) \neq 0$. Then, applying Kirszbraun’s theorem and uniqueness of approximate differential, see, e.g., 2.10.43 and 3.1.2 in [9], we see that $B$ is the union of a countable collection of Borel sets $B_l$, $l = 1, 2, \ldots$, such that $f_l = f|_{B_l}$ are bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms, see, e.g., 3.2.2 as well as 3.1.4 and 3.1.8 in [9]. With no loss of generality, we may assume that the $B_l$ are mutually disjoint. Denote also by $B^*$ the rest of all points $x \in D$ where $f$ has the total differential but with $f'(x) = 0$.

By the construction the set $B_0 := D \setminus (B \cup B^*)$ has Lebesgue measure zero, see Theorem 1 in [24]. Hence $A_S(B_0) = 0$ for a.e. hypersurface $S$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and, in particular, for a.e. sphere $S_r := S(x_0, r)$ centered at a prescribed point $x_0 \in \overline{D}$, see Theorem 2.11 in [21] or Theorem 9.1 in [30]. Thus, by Corollary 4 in [24] $A_{S_r^*}(f(B_0)) = 0$ as well as $A_{S_r^*}(f(B^*)) = 0$ for a.e. $S_r$ where $S_r^* = f(S_r)$.

Let $\Gamma$ be the family of all intersections of the spheres $S_r$, $r \in (\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)$, $\varepsilon_0 < d_0 = \sup_{x \in D} |x - x_0|$, with the domain $D$. Given $\varrho_* \in \text{adm} f(\Gamma)$ such that $\varrho_* \equiv 0$ outside of $f(D)$, set $\varrho \equiv 0$ outside of $D$ and on $D \setminus B$ and, moreover,

$$\varrho(x) := \Lambda(x) \cdot \varrho_*(f(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in B$$

where

$$\Lambda(x) := \left[ J_f(x) \cdot K_1^{-\frac{1}{n-1}}(x, f) \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} = \left[ \frac{\det f'(x)}{l(f'(x))} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}} = \left[ \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \geq \left[ J_{n-1}(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in B ;$$

here as usual $\lambda_n \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_1$ are principal dilatation coefficients of $f'(x)$, see, e.g., Section I.4.1 in [41], and $J_{n-1}(x)$ is the $(n - 1)$-dimensional Jacobian of $f|_{S_r}$ at $x$ where $r = |x - x_0|$, see Section 3.2.1 in [9].
Arguing piecewise on $B_l$, $l = 1, 2, \ldots$, and taking into account Kirszbraun’s theorem, by Theorem 3.2.5 on the change of variables in [9], we have that

$$\int_{S_r} \rho^{n-1} \, dA \geq \int_{S'_r} \rho^*_s \, dA \geq 1$$

for a.e. $S_r$ and, thus, $\rho \in \text{ext adm } \Gamma$.

The change of variables on each $B_l$, $l = 1, 2, \ldots$, see again Theorem 3.2.5 in [9], and countable additivity of integrals give also the estimate

$$\int_D \frac{\rho^n(x)}{K_1^{n-1}(x)} \, dm(x) \leq \int_{f(D)} \rho^*_s(x) \, dm(x)$$

and the proof is complete. $\square$

**Corollary 4.1.** Each homeomorphism $f$ with finite distortion in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, of the class $W^{1,p}_{\text{loc}}$ for $p > n - 1$ is a lower $Q$-homeomorphism at every point $x_0 \in \overline{D}$ with $Q = K_1^{n-1}$.

## 5 Boundary behavior of Orlicz–Sobolev classes

In this section we assume that $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a nondecreasing function such that, for some $t_* \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$\int_{t_*}^\infty \left[ \frac{t}{\varphi(t)} \right]^{1-2/n} \, dt < \infty . \quad (5.1)$$

The continuous extension to the boundary of the inverse mappings has a simpler criterion than for the direct mappings. Hence we start from the former. Namely, in view of Theorem 4.1, we have by Theorem 9.1 in [20] or Theorem 9.6 in [30] the next statement.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let $D$ and $D'$ be bounded domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, $D$ be locally connected on $\partial D$ and $\partial D'$ be weakly flat. Suppose that $f$ is a homeomorphism of $D$ onto $D'$ in a class $W^{1,\varphi}_{\text{loc}}$ with condition (5.1) and $K_I \in L^1(D)$. Then $f^{-1}$ can be extended to a continuous mapping of $\overline{D'}$ onto $\overline{D}$.

However, as it follows from the example in Proposition 6.3 in [30], see also (4.5), any degree of integrability $K_I \in L^q(D)$, $q \in [1, \infty)$, cannot guarantee the extension by continuity to the boundary of the direct mappings.
Also by Theorem 4.1, we have the following consequence of Proposition 3.1.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let \( D \) and \( D' \) be bounded domains in \( \mathbb{R}^n, \ n \geq 3 \), \( D \) be locally connected on \( \partial D \) and \( \partial D' \) be (strongly accessible) weakly flat. Suppose that \( f : D \to D' \) is a homeomorphism of finite distortion in \( W^{1,\varphi}_{\text{loc}} \) with condition (5.1) such that

\[
\delta(x_0) \int_0^\infty \frac{dr}{||K_I||^{\frac{1}{n-1}}(x_0, r)} = \infty \quad \forall \ x_0 \in \partial D \tag{5.2}
\]

for some \( \delta(x_0) \in (0, d(x_0)) \) where \( d(x_0) = \sup_{x \in D} |x - x_0| \) and

\[
||K_I||(x_0, r) = \int_{D \cap S(x_0, r)} K_I(x, f) \, dA.
\]

Then \( f \) can be extended to a (continuous) homeomorphic map \( \overline{f} : \overline{D} \to \overline{D}' \).

In particular, as a consequence of Theorem 5.2, we obtain the following generalization of the well-known theorems of Gehring–Martio and Martio–Vuorinen on a homeomorphic extension to the boundary of quasiconformal mappings between the so-called QED domains, see [11] and [32].

**Corollary 5.1.** Let \( D \) and \( D' \) be bounded domains in \( \mathbb{R}^n, \ n \geq 3 \), \( D \) be locally connected on \( \partial D \) and \( \partial D' \) be (strongly accessible) weakly flat. Suppose that \( f : D \to D' \) is a homeomorphism of finite distortion in the class \( W^{1,p}_{\text{loc}} \), \( p > n - 1 \). If (5.2) holds, then \( f \) can be extended to a (continuous) homeomorphic map \( \overline{f} : \overline{D} \to \overline{D}' \).

**Lemma 5.1.** Let \( D \) and \( D' \) be bounded domains in \( \mathbb{R}^n, \ n \geq 3 \), \( D \) be locally connected on \( \partial D \) and \( \partial D' \) be (strongly accessible) weakly flat. Suppose that \( f : D \to D' \) is a homeomorphism of finite distortion in \( W^{1,\varphi}_{\text{loc}} \) with condition (5.1) such that

\[
\int_{D(x_0, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)} K_I(x, f) \cdot \psi^n_{x_0, \varepsilon}(|x - x_0|) \, dm(x) = o(I^n_{x_0}(\varepsilon)) \quad \text{as} \ \varepsilon \to 0 \ \forall \ x_0 \in \partial D \tag{5.3}
\]

where \( D(x_0, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) = \{ x \in D : \varepsilon < |x - x_0| < \varepsilon_0 \} \) for some \( \varepsilon_0 \in (0, \delta_0) \), \( \delta_0 = \delta(x_0) = \sup_{x \in D} |x - x_0| \), and \( \psi_{x_0, \varepsilon}(t) \) is a family of non-negative measurable...
(by Lebesgue) functions on \((0, \infty)\) such that

\[
0 < I_{x_0}(\varepsilon) = \int_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0} \psi_{x_0, \varepsilon}(t) \, dt < \infty \quad \forall \ \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0) .
\] (5.4)

Then \(f\) can be extended to a (continuous) homeomorphic map \(\overline{f} : \overline{D} \to \overline{D}'\).

Proof. Lemma 5.1 is an immediate consequence of Theorems 4.1 and 5.2 taking into account Lemma 3.7 in the work [46], see Lemma 7.4 in the monograph [30], and extending \(K_I(x, f)\) by zero outside of \(D\). \(\square\)

Choosing in Lemma 5.1 \(\psi(t) = 1/(t \log 1/t)\) and applying Lemma 2.1, we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let \(D\) and \(D'\) be bounded domains in \(\mathbb{R}^n\), \(n \geq 3\), \(D\) be locally connected on \(\partial D\) and \(\partial D'\) be (strongly accessible) weakly flat. Suppose that \(f : D \to D'\) is a homeomorphism in \(W^{1,\varphi}_{loc}\) with condition (5.1) such that

\[
K_I(x, f) \leq Q(x) \quad \text{a.e. in } D
\] (5.5)

for a function \(Q : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n\), \(Q \in \text{FMO}(x_0)\) for all \(x_0 \in \partial D\). Then \(f\) can be extended to a (continuous) homeomorphic map \(\overline{f} : \overline{D} \to \overline{D}'\).

In the following consequences, we assume that \(K_I(x, f)\) is extended by zero outside of \(D\).

**Corollary 5.2.** In particular, the conclusions of Theorem 5.3 hold if

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{B(x_0, \varepsilon)} K_I(x, f) \, dm(x) < \infty \quad \forall \ x_0 \in \partial D .
\] (5.6)

Similarly, choosing in Lemma 5.1 the function \(\psi(t) = 1/t\), we come to the following more general statement.

**Theorem 5.4.** Let \(D\) and \(D'\) be bounded domains in \(\mathbb{R}^n\), \(n \geq 3\), \(D\) be locally connected on \(\partial D\) and \(\partial D'\) be (strongly accessible) weakly flat. Suppose that \(f : D \to D'\) is a homeomorphism in \(W^{1,\varphi}_{loc}\) with condition (5.1) such that

\[
\int_{\varepsilon < |x-x_0| < \varepsilon_0} K_I(x, f) \frac{\, dm(x)}{|x-x_0|^n} = o\left(\left[\log \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon}\right]^n\right) \quad \forall \ x_0 \in \partial D
\] (5.7)
as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \) for some \( \varepsilon_0 \in (0, \delta_0) \) where \( \delta_0 = \delta(x_0) = \sup_{x \in D} |x - x_0| \). Then \( f \) can be extended to a (continuous) homeomorphic map \( \overline{f} : \overline{D} \to \overline{D}' \).

**Corollary 5.3.** The condition (5.7) and the assertion of Theorem 5.4 hold if

\[
K_I(x, f) = o \left( \left[ \log \frac{1}{|x-x_0|} \right]^{n-1} \right) \tag{5.8}
\]

as \( x \to x_0 \). The same holds if

\[
k_f(r) = o \left( \left[ \log \frac{1}{r} \right]^{n-1} \right) \tag{5.9}
\]

as \( r \to 0 \) where \( k_f(r) \) is the mean value of the function \( K_I(x, f) \) over the sphere \( |x-x_0| = r \).

**Remark 5.1.** Choosing in Lemma 5.1 the function \( \psi(t) = 1/(t \log 1/t) \) instead of \( \psi(t) = 1/t \), we are able to replace (5.7) by

\[
\int_{\varepsilon < |x-x_0| < 1} \frac{K_I(x, f) \, dm(x)}{|x-x_0| \log \frac{1}{|x-x_0|}} = o \left( \left[ \log \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right]^{n} \right) \tag{5.10}
\]

and (5.9) by

\[
k_f(r) = o \left( \left[ \log \frac{1}{r} \log \log \frac{1}{r} \right]^{n-1} \right). \tag{5.11}
\]

Thus, it is sufficient to require that

\[
k_f(r) = O \left( \left[ \log \frac{1}{r} \right]^{n-1} \right) \tag{5.12}
\]

In general, we could give here the whole scale of the corresponding conditions in terms of \( \log \) using functions \( \psi(t) \) of the form \( 1/(t \log \ldots \log 1/t) \).

**Theorem 5.5.** Let \( D \) and \( D' \) be bounded domains in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), \( n \geq 3 \), \( D \) be locally connected on \( \partial D \) and \( \partial D' \) be (strongly accessible) weakly flat. Suppose that \( f : D \to D' \) is a homeomorphism in \( W^{1,\psi}_{\text{loc}} \) with condition (5.7) such that

\[
\int_D \Phi(K_I(x, f)) \, dm(x) < \infty \tag{5.13}
\]
for a non-decreasing convex function \( \Phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+ \). If, for some \( \delta > \Phi(0) \),
\[
\int_{\delta}^{\infty} \frac{d\tau}{\tau [\Phi^{-1}(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} = \infty
\] (5.14)
then \( f \) can be extended to a (continuous) homeomorphic map \( \bar{f} : \overline{D} \to \overline{D}' \).

Indeed, by Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 in [48], (5.13) and (5.14) imply (5.2) and, thus, Theorem 5.5 is a direct consequence of Theorem 5.2.

**Corollary 5.4.** The conclusion of Theorem 5.5 holds if, for some \( \alpha > 0 \),
\[
\int_{D} e^{\alpha K_t(x,f)} \, dm(x) < \infty.
\] (5.15)

**Remark 5.2.** Note that by Theorem 5.1 and Remark 5.1 in [22] the conditions (5.14) are not only sufficient but also necessary for continuous extension to the boundary of \( f \) with the integral constraint (5.13).

Recall that by Theorem 2.1 in [48], see also Proposition 2.3 in [47], condition (5.14) is equivalent to a series of other conditions and, in particular, to the following condition
\[
\int_{\delta}^{\infty} \log \Phi(t) \frac{dt}{t^{m}} = +\infty
\] (5.16)
for some \( \delta > 0 \) where \( \frac{1}{n'} + \frac{1}{n} = 1 \), i.e., \( n' = 2 \) for \( n = 2 \), \( n' \) is strictly decreasing in \( n \) and \( n' = n/(n-1) \to 1 \) as \( n \to \infty \).

Finally, note that all these results hold, for instance, if \( f \in W_{1,p}^{1,p} \), \( p > n - 1 \).

### 6 On finitely bi–Lipschitz mappings

Given an open set \( \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \), \( n \geq 2 \), following Section 5 in [21], see also Section 10.6 in [30], we say that a mapping \( f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n \) is **finitely bi-Lipschitz** if
\[
0 < l(x,f) \leq L(x,f) < \infty \quad \forall \, x \in \Omega
\] (6.1)
where
\[
L(x,f) = \limsup_{y \to x} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{|y - x|}
\] (6.2)
and

\[ l(x, f) = \liminf_{y \to x} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{|y - x|}. \quad (6.3) \]

By the classic Rademacher–Stepanov theorem, we obtain from the right hand inequality in (6.1) that finitely bi-Lipschitz mappings are differentiable a.e. and from the left hand inequality in (6.1) that \( J_f(x) \neq 0 \) a.e. Moreover, such mappings have \((N)\)–property with respect to each Hausdorff measure, see, e.g., either Lemma 5.3 in [21] or Lemma 10.6 [30]. Thus, the proof of the following theorems is perfectly similar to one of Theorem 4.1 and hence we omit it, cf. also similar but weaker Corollary 5.15 in [21] and Corollary 10.10 in [30] formulated in terms of the outer dilatation \( K_O \).

**Theorem 6.1.** Every finitely bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism \( f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n, \ n \geq 2, \) is a lower \( Q \)-homeomorphism with \( Q = K_I^{-1} \).

All results for finitely bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms are perfectly similar to the corresponding results for homeomorphisms with finite distortion in the Orlich–Sobolev classes from the last section. Hence we will not formulate all them in the explicit form here in terms of inner dilatation \( K_I \).

We give here for instance only one of these results.

**Theorem 6.2.** Let \( D \) and \( D' \) be bounded domains in \( \mathbb{R}^n, \ n \geq 2, \) \( D \) be locally connected on \( \partial D \) and \( \partial D' \) be (strongly accessible) weakly flat. Suppose that \( f : D \to D' \) is a finitely bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism such that

\[ \int_D \Phi(K_I(x, f)) \ dm(x) < \infty \quad (6.4) \]

for a non-decreasing convex function \( \Phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+ \). If, for some \( \delta > \Phi(0), \)

\[ \int_\delta^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau \left[ \Phi^{-1}(\tau) \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} = \infty \quad (6.5) \]

then \( f \) can be extended to a (continuous) homeomorphic map \( \overline{f} : \overline{D} \to \overline{D}' \).

**Corollary 6.1.** The conclusion of Theorem 6.2 holds if, for some \( \alpha > 0, \)

\[ \int_D e^{\alpha K_I(x,f)} \ dm(x) < \infty. \quad (6.6) \]
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