Short-term recovery of physical activity and knee function after an acute knee injury
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ABSTRACT

Objectives To describe self-reported knee function, participation in physical activity and the number of knee surgeries at 3 and 6 months following acute knee injury.

Methods Prospective cohort study. Participants, aged 15–40 years with an acute knee injury sustained no more than 6 weeks prior to inclusion, were recruited. There were 279 participants with ACL injury and 101 participants with other acute knee injuries included. Follow-up questionnaires were sent at 3 and 6 months after injury. Demographic information, activity participation, International Knee Documentation Committee subjective knee form (IKDC-SKF) and the Single Assessment Numeric Evaluation (SANE) score were collected. Additional knee injuries were obtained from self-report and medical charts.

Results The IKDC-SKF, SANE and physical activity participation were reduced at 3-month and 6-month follow-up. The number of participants who achieved health-promoting physical activity levels was reduced by 50% at 6-month follow-up compared with before injury. Seventeen per cent of participants with ACL injury and 41% of participants with other acute knee injuries had returned to their preinjury physical activity at 6 months. Participants with ACL injury reported worse knee function, lower physical activity participation and had more surgeries (128 surgeries, including 109 ACL-reconstructions) compared with participants with other acute knee injuries (six surgeries).

Conclusion Acute knee injuries, including ACL injuries, affected self-reported knee function and physical activity participation for at least 6 months after index injury. More research is needed to understand how best to help people with acute knee injuries return to physical activity and achieve satisfactory knee function.

INTRODUCTION

In Sweden, knee injuries account for approximately 8% of all acute musculoskeletal injuries presenting to hospital emergency departments every year. About one-third of these injuries occurred during sports.1 Although many acute injuries occur during physical activity participation, the health-related benefits of being physically active outweigh the risks of injury.

Physical activity is a collective term for all activities that at moderate intensity lead to faster breathing, increased heart rate and feeling warmer. Adults are recommended to participate in at least 150 min/week of moderate intensity physical activity per week, to realise health benefits. Physical activity can be a walk, run or going to the gym but also includes organised and competitive sports.2 Basketball, football, handball and floorball are the sports with most participants in Sweden, and where knee injuries are most common.3 ACL injuries are the most investigated acute knee injury, but other ligament injuries, meniscal injuries, cartilage injuries or patellar dislocation are common acute knee injuries4–14 that affect knee-specific function.5,6

Physical activity is decreased following any type of acute knee injury and many patients do not return to preinjury level of physical activity.7–14 Sports are many young people’s primary physical activity15 and especially team sports have a positive effect on social and psychological health.16 All types of physical activity are important to benefit health, improve and maintain quality of life, and for reducing the risk of many diseases including knee osteoarthritis.2,17 Most studies investigate how return to physical activity is affected...
after an ACL injury or reconstruction. The effect of other acute knee injuries on participation in physical activity is less studied. Previous observations suggest that level of physical activity participation following knee injury may differ according to the type of injury. The aim of the present study was to describe self-reported knee function, participation in physical activity and the number of knee surgeries at 3 and 6 months following acute knee injury.

METHODS

Setting

This study is a part of the NACOX study that is a prospective, multicentre cohort study. Patients or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of our research. Participants were consecutively recruited over approximately 20 months, from six different sites in Sweden. Participants provided informed consent to participate.

Participants

People seeking medical care, between October 2016 and October 2018, for an acute knee injury sustained no more than 6 weeks prior to presentation, and aged between 15 and 40 years at time of injury, were eligible. Some clinics included all participants with knee injuries and other clinics only included participants with ACL injury. Participants were excluded if they met any of following criteria: previous ACL injury/reconstruction to the same knee, fractures that required separate treatment, inability to understand written and spoken Swedish language, cognitive impairments, other illness or injury that impaired function (eg, fibromyalgia, rheumatic diseases and other diagnoses associated with chronic pain).

Procedure

Participants were recruited between October 2016 and October 2018. Participants received a clinical diagnosis from an orthopaedic surgeon and MRI when required to confirm the diagnosis and were treated according to usual care. For ACL injuries, participants usually undergo rehabilitation before a decision for ACL reconstruction (ACLR). Other surgeries, for example, meniscectomies, are performed when needed according to patients’ symptoms (for more details, see the NACOX protocol). In the NACOX study, questionnaires are sent to the participants via short message at smartphone or email at various time points after injury. For the current analysis, data collected using the baseline questionnaire, and 3-month and 6-month follow-up questionnaires were analysed. In addition, medical charts with 6 months follow-up after the injury were reviewed.

Outcomes

Demographic and baseline characteristics including age, sex, body mass index, occupation, preinjury activity and level of participation, medical and injury history were collected. Specific questions were asked about recovery expectations (‘when do you think your knee will be recovered to the same level as before the injury?’), answer options: within 1 week, within 1 month, within 6 months, within 1 year, more than 1 year, the knee will never recover) and recovery of knee function, (‘how do you rate your knee function compared with before the injury’, graded in a six-point scale from ‘fully recovered’ to ‘much worse’).

For self-reported knee function, participants completed the Swedish version of the International Knee Documentation Committee subjective knee form (IKDC-SKF) and the Single Assessment Numeric Evaluation (SANE-score). The IKDC-SKF is a knee-specific self-reported outcome measure for symptoms, function and participation in sports. The IKDC-SKF has good measurement properties. Possible scores range from 0 to 100; a higher score indicates superior self-reported knee function. Minimal clinical important difference early after ACLR is 14 points. Muller et al defined 75.9 points and over were acceptable symptoms for patients who had undergone ACLR. The SANE is a 1-question measure where participants answer the question ‘If I had to give my knee a grade from 1 to 100, with 100 being the best, I would give my knee a …’. The SANE-score has good validity and is positively correlated with IKDC-SKF score.

Self-reported frequency of participation in vigorous physical activity was collected, according to the recommendations from Swedish National Board of Welfare, with a separate question, ‘how much time did you spend last week on exercising at a level that makes you short winded, for example, running, fitness class or ball games’. Participants reported the type of physical activity they participated in (eg, football, strength training) and the level of participation during the previous week. Participation in up to three activities could be recorded at every questionnaire. The most strenuous activity was analysed.

Activity level was classified according to Tegner Activity Scale and modified IKDC activity level. In the Tegner Activity Scale, sports activities and work are graded on an 11-level scale, according to functional demands on the knee. Level 0 is no participation in physical activity due to knee problems; level X is participation in elite football. In the modified IKDC, activity is classified in four levels: level I is pivoting and contact sports, level II is pivoting non-contact sports and III is neither pivoting nor contact sport. Participants who were not participating in physical activity, or who are completing rehabilitation were classified in level IV.

Information about any knee surgery during the follow-up period was collected by a direct question to the participants and reviewing medical charts.

Data analysis

For the current analyses, participants were grouped according to injury in two main groups, that is, ACL injury and other knee injuries. Specific diagnoses are reported in online supplemental file. Participants with
two or more knee injuries were grouped based on the most serious injury.

Injury groups are described with descriptive statistics. Missing data and loss to follow-up is reported under results and in tables.

Comparisons between groups were made with χ² tests or Mann-Whitney U tests, and within groups with repeated analysis of variance (ANOVA) or Friedman with post-hoc tests, as appropriate.

RESULT

A total of 279 participants with ACL injury and 101 participants with other acute knee injuries answered the baseline questionnaire. Twelve participants (4%) with ACL injury had a clinical diagnosis (no MRI) and 11 of them had later ACLR. Nine participants (9%) with other knee injuries had clinical diagnosis (two medial collateral ligament (MCL) injuries, one meniscus injury (had meniscectomy 6 weeks after the index injury) and six with other knee injuries (three had no MRI due to medical reasons, ie, pregnancy, obesity and claustrophobia)). Forty-three per cent of the participants with ACL injuries had at least one concomitant injury (online supplemental file, table 1). Subgroup analyses revealed no significant differences in IKDC-SKF at 6 months between participants with and without concomitant injuries.

There were 219 (78%) participants with ACL injury and 52 (51%) participants with other acute knee injury who completed the questionnaire at 6 months follow-up (figure 1, online supplemental file).

Demographics

The most common activity at time of injury was football. The majority of participants were physically active at a ‘recreational’ or ‘competitive’ level before injury. Almost every participant (94%) reported ‘return to preinjury physical activity’ was a rehabilitation goal (table 1).

Self-reported knee function and knee problems

Participants reported deteriorated knee function at all follow-up times, where 70% of the participants with ACL injury and 47% with other knee injuries reported IKDC-SKF score below the threshold of 75.9 defining patient acceptable state (22) (table 2). The IKDC-SKF and SANE scores increased significantly from baseline to 3 and 6 months after injury. Fewer participants with ACL injury reported their knee function fully recovered at both 3 months and 6 months follow-up, compared with participants with other knee injuries (p<0.001). At 6 months follow-up, 3% of participants with ACL injury compared with 23% of participants with other knee injuries reported their knee function was fully recovered.

Return to and participation in physical activity

Sixty-nine per cent of participants with ACL injury and 62% of participants with other knee injuries were physically active >120 min/week before their knee injury. At 6-month follow-up, the number of participants who participated in >120 min physical activity per week was 27% and 35%, respectively (no statistical difference between groups). Before injury, there was no difference between the groups in weekly participation in physical activity or type of physical activity. Activity level (Tegner Activity Scale and IKDC level) was decreased at 3 and 6 months after injury in both groups (p<0.001). Participants with ACL injury had a significantly lower Tegner Activity Scale score (p=0.022) and IKDC level of activity (p<0.001) at 3-month and 6-month follow-up compared with participants with other knee injuries (table 3).

At 6-month follow-up, 17% of participants with ACL injury and 41% of participants with other acute knee injuries had returned to their preinjury physical activity. Problems related to the knee injury (currently completing rehabilitation, poor knee function or fear for

| Variable | ACL injuries (n=279) | Other knee injuries (n=101) |
|----------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Age, mean (range) (years) | 25 (15–40) | 25 (15–40) |
| Male/female, n (%) | 136/143 (49/51) | 56/45 (55/45) |
| BMI>25, n (%) | 79 (29) | 33 (34) |
| Occupation, n (%) | 72 (26) | 31 (31) |
| Student | 121 (44) | 38 (39) |
| Employed | 147 (53) | 57 (58) |
| Other | 8 (3) | 3 (3) |
| Activity at injury, n (%) | | |
| Football (soccer) | 110 (39) | 36 (36) |
| Floorball | 34 (12) | 5 (5) |
| Skiing | 55 (20) | 14 (14) |
| Handball | 17 (6) | 2 (2) |
| Basketball | 6 (2) | 0 (0) |
| Sports on ice † | 2 (1) | 9 (9) |
| Spare time | 8 (3) | 11 (11) |
| Other sports | 49 (18) | 33 (33) |
| Physical activity level, n (%) | | |
| Elite | 62 (23) | 11 (13) |
| Competitive | 100 (37) | 33 (38) |
| Recreational | 91 (34) | 29 (33) |
| Other | 17 (1) | 14 (16) |
| Goal to return to preinjury physical activity, n (%) | | |
| <6 months | 136 (50) | 81 (86) |
| >6 months | 120 (44) | 9 (10) |
| Will never recover | 18 (7) | 3 (4) |

Superscript number indicates number of participants with missing data.

†Ice-hockey and bandy.

‡Question for recovery expectation: ‘when do you think your knee will be recovered to the same level as before the injury?’.
new injury/not trusting the knee) was the reason for not returning to preinjury physical activity in 92% of participants with ACL injury and 83% of participants with other knee injuries (table 3).

Surgery
Of the 279 participants with ACL injury, 109 participants (39%) had ACLR within the first 6 months after primary injury (mean 3.3, SD 1.5 months after injury) and 19 (7%) had other knee surgeries. Six participants with other acute injuries (6%), had knee surgery within the first 6 months after the primary injury (table 4, figure 2).

DISCUSSION
Self-reported knee function and physical activity participation was reduced at 3-month and 6-month follow-up after acute knee injury, and only one-third of participants were physically active at health-promoting levels (>120 min/week) 6 months after acute knee injury. Participants with ACL injury reported worse knee function and lower physical activity participation compared with participants with other acute knee injuries.

Self-reported knee function
Participants with ACL injury rated their knee function worse than participants with other acute knee injuries. However, our results suggest that other acute knee injuries also affect knee function. Fewer participants with ACL injury achieved full recovery within 6 months from the injury, but participants with other knee injuries were also frequently affected. Fewer than one in every four participants with other acute knee injuries were fully recovered at 6 months follow-up. McGuine et al6 reported similar results in female athletes, where IKDC-SKF score was reduced for a period of 3–12 months after acute knee injury. Knee function depended on type of knee injury, and even participants with injuries that were considered less serious were almost as affected as participants with ACL injury. Straume-Næsheim et al28 showed that patients with recurrent lateral patella dislocation were affected similarly to patients with ACL injury. However, patella dislocations were not treated in the same serious and effective way as ACL injuries, and patients had to wait longer for treatment. There is a strong focus on research of treatment and rehabilitation after ACL injury, and there are guidelines about how to manage ACL injury.29 Less is known about knee function and physical activity participation after other acute knee injuries. Although, our results suggest other acute knee injuries may have a similar impact to ACL injury.

Low self-reported knee function may be a reflection of our participants’ high demands on knee function due to high activity level before their knee injury. Nearly three out of four participants were active in contact or pivoting sports before injury, and participation time, type and level of physical activity before the injury did not differ between the injury groups (ACL and other knee injuries). Both injury groups had reduced activity levels at 3-month and 6-month follow-up, and participants had switched to less knee demanding physical activity (eg, from football and floorball to cycling, walking, running and strength training). Although the goal of the majority

Table 2 Self-reported knee function at baseline, 3-month and 6-month follow-up

|                    | Baseline | 3 months | 6 months |
|--------------------|----------|----------|----------|
|                    | ACL (n=279) | Other (n=101) | ACL (n=229) | Other (n=71) | ACL (n=219) | Other (n=52) |
| IKDC-SKF, mean (SD) | 43 (15) \(^{13}\) | 51 (17) \(^{10}\) | 55 (17) \(^{13}\) | 66 (19) \(^{15}\) | 63 (19) \(^{18}\) | 74 (18) \(^{15}\) |
| SANE, mean (SD)    | 39 (21) \(^{13}\) | 47 (20) \(^{15}\) | 62 (20) \(^{13}\) | 73 (22) \(^{15}\) | 66 (21) \(^{19}\) | 76 (24) \(^{15}\) |
| Knee function recovery, \(n\) (%) | | | | | | |
| Fully recovered    | 1 (1) | 8 (11) | 5 (3) | 12 (23) |  |  |
| Large recovery     | 117 (54) | 47 (67) | 103 (60) | 31 (60) |  |  |
| Small/no recovery  | 92 (43) \(^{15}\) | 14 (20) \(^{15}\) | 58 (34) \(^{19}\) | 9 (17) \(^{15}\) |  |  |

Superscript number indicates number of participants with missing data. Missing values at IKDC-SKF: at 3 months, 22 of the 29 patients in the ACL-group had ACL-reconstruction within 3 months from injury and at 6 months, 65 of 68 patients had ACL-reconstruction within 6 months. \(^{15}\)Significant different to ACL-group (\(p<0.05\)).

IKDC-SKF, International Knee Documentation Committee subjective knee form; SANE, Single Assessment Numeric Evaluation.
of our participants was to return to their preinjury activity. Return to the preinjury sport is one of the criteria for defining successful outcome after ACL injury and/or reconstruction and may increase patient satisfaction. In addition, team sports, to which most of our participants aim to return, may give further positive effects on health, compared with individual sports. On the other hand, lifelong participation in physical activity may be more important. Return to high demanding sports after initial knee injury increases the risk for new knee injuries, that increase the risk for both knee osteoarthritis and lower physical activity levels. Activity modification can be central pillar of treatment after knee injuries, to reduce the risk of osteoarthritis and make it possible for people to have a lifelong physical activity participation reaching the recommended levels.

### Participation in physical activity

The number of participants who met the recommended level of physical activity before knee injury was equal to worldwide reported levels of activity (approximately 65%). This is approximately 10% higher that activity rate of our participants was to return to their preinjury activity. Return to the preinjury sport is one of the criteria for defining successful outcome after ACL injury and/or reconstruction and may increase patient satisfaction. In addition, team sports, to which most of our participants

### Table 3

**Physical activity (PA) participation before and 3 and 6 months after the knee injury**

|                          | Before injury  | 3 months        | 6 months        |
|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                          | ACL (n=279)    | Other (n=101)   | ACL (n=229)     | Other (n=71)    | ACL (n=219)     | Other (n=52)    |
| **Weekly participation in PA at moderate intensity** |                |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| 0 min, n (%)             | 1 (0)          | 3 (3)           | 48 (22)         | 8 (11)          | 46 (22)         | 7 (14)          |
| 0–60 min, n (%)          | 30 (11)        | 14 (14)         | 68 (31)         | 20 (29)         | 55 (26)         | 14 (27)         |
| 60–120 min, n (%)        | 55 (20)        | 20 (21)         | 47 (21)         | 18 (26)         | 52 (25)         | 13 (25)         |
| >120 min, n (%)          | 191 (69)       | 60 (62)         | 60 (27)         | 24 (34)         | 56 (27)         | 18 (35)         |
| **Type of PA participation, n (%)** |                |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Football (soccer)        | 101 (36)       | 31 (32)         | 3 (2)           | 11 (16)         | 4 (2)           | 6 (12)          |
| Floorball                | 32 (12)        | 7 (7)           | 1 (0.5)         | 0 (0)           | 3 (2)           | 1 (2)           |
| Strength training        | 25 (9)         | 8 (8)           | 52 (26)         | 17 (24)         | 46 (26)         | 8 (15)          |
| Running                  | 24 (9)         | 5 (5)           | 12 (6)          | 4 (6)           | 29 (17)         | 2 (4)           |
| Handball                 | 17 (6)         | 3 (3)           | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)           |
| Walking                  | 6 (2)          | 4 (4)           | 18 (9)          | 5 (7)           | 14 (8)          | 6 (12)          |
| Cycling                  | 7 (3)          | 3 (3)           | 46 (23)         | 14 (20)         | 34 (19)         | 9 (17)          |
| Other sports             | 49 (26)        | 38 (40)         | 68 (34)         | 19 (27)         | 46 (26)         | 20 (38)         |
| **Tegner Activity Scale Median (IQR)** | 7 (5)          | 7 (5)           | 4 (2)           | 4 (3)           | 4 (2)           | 4 (3)           |
| **IKDC activity level, n (%)** |                |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Level I                  | 155 (56)       | 47 (50)         | 5 (2)           | 13 (18)         | 9 (4)           | 10 (20)         |
| Level II                 | 40 (14)        | 18 (19)         | 12 (5)          | 6 (9)           | 8 (4)           | 9 (17)          |
| Level III                | 83 (30)        | 27 (29)         | 136 (59)        | 40 (56)         | 134 (61)        | 25 (48)         |
| Level IV                 | 0 (0)          | 2 (2)           | 76 (33)         | 12 (17)         | 68 (31)         | 8 (15)          |
| **Return to preinjury PA, n (%)** |                |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Goal to return†          | 263 (95)       | 92 (99)         |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Have returned yes/no (%) | 20/181 (10/90) | 24/46 (34/66)   | 28/140 (17/83)  | 21/30 (41/59)   |                 |                 |
| **Reason for not returned to preinjury PA, n (%)** |                |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Completing rehabilitation| 112 (62)       | 26 (57)         | 70 (54)         | 9 (30)          |                 |                 |
| Poor knee function       | 33 (18)        | 8 (17)          | 22 (17)         | 10 (33)         |                 |                 |
| Fear/do not trust the knee| 25 (14)       | 5 (24)          | 27 (21)         | 6 (20)          |                 |                 |
| Other reason             | 11 (6)         | 1 (2)           | 10 (8)†         | 5 (17)          |                 |                 |

Superscript number indicates number of participants with missing data.

*Significant different to ACL-group (p<0.05).
†Including patients who had returned.
IKDC, International Knee Documentation Committee.

### Table 4

**Surgery within 6 months following primary injury**

|                          | ACL (n=279) | Other knee injuries (n=101) |
|--------------------------|------------|---------------------------|
| Diagnostic arthroscopy   | 3          | 1                         |
| ACLR                     | 109        | –                         |
| Meniscus repair          | 3          | 1                         |
| Meniscus resection       | 7          | 3                         |
| Other                    | 6          | 1                         |

Main surgery is described.
ACLR, ACL reconstruction.
Fomin S, et al. BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med 2020;6:e000950. doi:10.1136/bmjsem-2020-000950

Acute knee injuries, including ACL injuries, affect self-reported knee function and physical activity participation at a minimum 6 months after index injury. More research is needed for patients with different kinds of acute knee injuries to help them return to their preinjury physical activity, and achieve satisfaction with their knee function.

CONCLUSION

When interpreting our results from participants with ACL injury, one must consider that 39% of the participants had ACLR within the follow-up period. The decision for ACLR within 3 months from injury was mainly taken due to participants high activity demands. The reasons for deciding on ACLR after 3 months was both knee joint instability and high activity demands. Self-reported function and activity participation may have been influenced by the surgery. Most participants with ACL injury also reported that they were completing rehabilitation. The incidence of other knee surgeries was similar in the two groups (7 resp 6%).

Limitations

Only one of the clinics included participants with other acute knee injuries. The grouping of the participants in ACL versus non-ACL injury may introduce a bias. Though, other characteristics like number of knee surgeries, excluding ACLR, and demographics were similar between the groups. Due to the study design, there were some missing IKDC-SKF data from participants who had ACLR. Only half of the participants with other knee injuries responded to the 6 months questionnaire, and we were unable to conduct subgroup analyses for different injury types. Still, this is one of few studies reporting that both function and activity participation is affected after different types of knee injuries.

Subgroups of knee injuries

In the present study, we report all other knee injuries as one group. There were too few participants for subgroup analyses, and descriptive results for each diagnosis can be found in online supplemental file. The results indicate worse outcome after patella dislocation and cartilage injuries compared with other minor injuries. Previous studies have shown that patients with posterior cruciate ligament injury and meniscus injury could make a return to preinjury physical activity 2–6 months after the injury, depending on type of treatment. On the other hand, after a patellar dislocation, only two out of three returned to preinjury level of physical activity at all, with or without surgery. Our results for specific injury diagnoses support previous work.

Acute knee injuries, including ACL injuries, affect self-reported knee function and physical activity participation at a minimum 6 months after index injury. More research is needed for patients with different kinds of acute knee injuries to help them return to their preinjury physical activity, and achieve satisfaction with their knee function.
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