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Abstract

To study the temperature in a gas subjected to electromagnetic radiations, one may use the Radiative Transfer equations coupled with the Navier-Stokes equations. The problem has 7 dimensions; however with minimal simplifications it is equivalent to a small number of integro-differential equations in 3 dimensions. We present the method and a numerical implementation using an $H$-matrix compression scheme. The result is a very fast: 50K physical points, all directions of radiation and 680 frequencies require less than 5 minutes on an Apple M1 Laptop. The method is capable of handling variable absorptions and scattering functions of spatial positions and frequencies.

The implementation is done using htool\(^1\), a matrix compression library interfaced with the PDE solver freem++\(^1\). Applications to the temperature in the French Chamonix valley is presented at different hours of the day with and without snow / clouds and with a variable absorption taken from the Gemini measurements. The result is precise enough to assert temperature differences due to increased absorption in the vibrational frequency subrange of greenhouse gasses.
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Introduction

Heat transfer with radiative transfer are very important in astronomy, combustion and climatology, to name a few. For the atmosphere the reader is sent to [12], [9], [2], the numerically oriented book [17] and the two mathematically oriented books [4] and [5]. Due to the considerable difference of length scales, modelling at the level of photons is difficult to use for the earth atmosphere in large areas. A much simpler formulation, known as the radiative transfer equations, is based on energy conservation principles of continuum mechanics.

\(^1\)https://github.com/htool-ddm/htool
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These were shown to be well posed in [15] for the Radiative Transfer system coupled with the time dependent heat equation. Existence and uniqueness was proved in [7] and [6] for the stationary case.

On the numerical side the problem is hard because the model has 3 space variables, two directional ones, the frequencies and time.

In 2005, K. Evans and A. Marshak wrote in chapter 4 of [12] a review of the numerical methods available for Radiative Transfer alone. Today, judging from [3], the situation has not changed: SHDOM (Spherical Harmonic Discrete Ordinate Method) and Monte-Carlo are the two most popular methods. While reviewing the current situation for the radiative transfer equations in [1] we implemented a finite element version of SHDOM and found that the method was incapable, unless a huge number of degree of freedom is used, of giving results with the accuracy needed to differentiate between small variations of the absorption coefficient.

One may resort to approximations. In nuclear physics and astronomy, for the numerical simulations in the 1960 a constant absorption coefficient was used, the so called Grey Model. In climatology, the grey model cannot explain the role of the greenhouse gasses.

The stratified approximation assumes that the surface which receives the radiations is flat and the source is far. Thus only one space variable is retained. For such cases, an integral formulation, probably due to Chandrasekhar [4], turns out to be much more precise and also computationally much cheaper. A fixed-point iteration scheme on this nonlinear integral formulation, known in the radiative transfer community as “iterations on the sources” was shown to be monotone in [14], a property which seems to have escaped earlier studies. Finally in [7] and [8] the method was extended to include the temperature equation of the fluid and also to handle Rayleigh scattering while retaining monotonicity. In [6] F. Golse observed that the integral formulation exists also in 3D. A new existence result was proved by using this formulation and it was numerically tested. However the computing cost was $O(N^2)$, $N$ being the number of vertices of the triangulation of the physical domain.

The purpose of this article is to present an $O(N \ln N)$ implementation which uses compressed $H$-matrices and views the computation of integrals as a matrix-vector product in the Finite Element discrete space. Thence P.H. Tournier, who is a co-author of the library htool for integral equations with $H$-matrices, wrote the necessary modifications for Radiative Transfer, namely the handling of mixed matrices with one vertex on the boundary and the other one inside the domain.

The programming is a joint work of F. Hecht, O. Pironneau and P.H. Tournier, done using the high level PDE solver freefem++ [10]. The method is tested on a $35 \times 35$ km area and the first 10km of the atmosphere above it. The center of the domain is the city of Chamonix in the French Alps, provided by D. Smets who has constructed high precision maps and an automatic triangular mesh generator for many places in the world for the Microsoft flight simulator. Hence this Radiative-Heat-Transfer code can be used easily for any other terrain. Note that such a study would be a challenge for Cartesian
meshes because some of the mountains like the Mont-Blanc are above 4000 m and some slopes are almost vertical.

The absorption coefficient is a function of space and frequencies. It could also be a function of temperature if another fixed point loop is added. No regularity is assumed beside positivity and boundedness. Similarly, the scattering variable coefficient need only to be in (0, 1).

Real life data are used; the scattering part is not yet implemented. As shown in [?], it is not so difficult to do, but it adds complexity to an already complex topic. Numerically, the methods is very fast: it can handle a few hundred thousand physical points plus all directions plus 680 frequency points on a PC (and a few millions on a supercomputer) within minutes.

Note that the authors have no competence for climate modelling, so the results are only briefly commented: the purpose of this study is to validate the numerical tool.

1 The Mathematical Problem

To find the temperature \( T \) in an incompressible fluid exposed to electromagnetic radiations, it is necessary to solve the Navier-Stokes equations coupled with the Radiative Transfer equations. It is a system of partial differential equations formulated in terms of the fluid velocity \( \mathbf{u} \), its pressure \( p \), its density \( \rho \), and temperature \( T \); all are functions of time \( t \) and position \( \mathbf{x} \) in the physical domain \( \Omega \). It involves also the light intensity field \( I_\nu(x,t,\omega) \) for each frequency \( \nu \) in each direction \( \omega \):

Given \( I_\nu, T, \mathbf{u}, \rho \) at \( t = 0 \), find \( I_\nu, T, \mathbf{u}, \rho \), s.t. \( \{x, \omega, t, \nu\} \in \Omega \times S^2 \times (0, \bar{T}) \times \mathbb{R}^+ \),

\[
\frac{1}{c} \partial_t I_\nu + \omega \cdot \nabla I_\nu + \rho \kappa a \left[ I_\nu - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} p_\nu(\omega, \omega') I_\nu(\omega') d\omega' \right] = \rho \kappa (1 - a)[B_\nu(T) - I_\nu],
\]

\[
\rho(\partial_t T + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T - \nabla \cdot (\rho \kappa T \nabla T) + A \nabla \cdot \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} I_\nu(\omega) \omega d\omega d\nu = 0
\]

\[
\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} - \frac{\mu_F}{\rho} \Delta \mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p = g(T), \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad \partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0,
\]

where \( A = 4\pi/c_P \), \( c_P \) is the specific heat of the medium at constant pressure, \( S^2 \) is the unit sphere, \( \nabla, \Delta \) are with respect to \( \mathbf{x} \), \( B_\nu(T) = \frac{2h\nu^3}{c^2[\epsilon h\nu^2 - 1]} \), is the Planck function, \( h, c, k \) are the Planck constant, the speed of light in the medium and the Boltzmann constant. The above holds only if \( c_P \) is constant in \( \Omega \), which is the case in moderate size domains.

1.1 Adimensionalization

Recall that \( c = 2.99 \cdot 10^9 \text{m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}, \ h = 6.63 \cdot 10^{-34} \text{J} \cdot \text{s}, \ k = 1.38 \cdot 10^{-23} \text{J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}, \)

\( \kappa_T = 2 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}, \ c_P = 1.1 \text{J} \cdot \text{s} \cdot (\text{g} \cdot \text{K})^{-1}, \ \rho \sim \bar{\rho} = 1.3 \text{g} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}, \) in air at 20°C.
The frequencies of interest are in the order of \( \nu_0 = 10^{14} \text{s}^{-1} \), so we define \( B_0 = \frac{2 \nu_0^3}{3 c} \), \( T_0 = \frac{\hbar \nu_0}{k} \) and work with \( I_\nu/B_0 \), \( T/T_0 \) and \( \nu/\nu_0 \). Then (1) holds with these new unknowns with \( B_\nu(T) = \nu^3/(e^\nu - 1) \), \( c_P = 1 \) and

\[
B_0 = 1.47 \text{ J} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \quad T_0 = 4789 \text{ K}, \quad \frac{A}{\bar{\rho}} = \frac{4\pi B_0 \nu_0}{c_P \bar{\rho} T_0} = 2.70 \cdot 10^{11} \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}. \tag{2}
\]

**Notation 1** If \( \bar{\rho} \) is a reference density for the gas, \( \kappa = \bar{\rho} \bar{\kappa} \) is the percentage of light absorbed per unit length. It has the dimension \( \text{m}^{-1} \), thence, the notation

\[
\kappa(x, \nu) := \rho(x) \bar{\kappa}_\nu(x).
\]

Later we may assume at times that \( \bar{\kappa}_\nu(x) \) is independent of \( x \) or is the sum of products of \( x \)-functions with \( \nu \)-functions.

The scattering albedo is \( a \in (0, 1) \) and \( \frac{1}{4\pi} p_\nu(\omega', \omega) \) is the “probability” that a ray in direction \( \omega' \) scatters in direction \( \omega \). Both \( \kappa \) and \( a \) are functions of \( \nu \).

We further assume that \( \nu \to \kappa \) and \( \nu \to a \) are continuous positive functions, satisfying, for some positive constants \( a_M \) and \( \kappa_M < \kappa_M \):

\[
0 \leq \kappa_m \leq \kappa \leq \kappa_M, \quad 0 \leq a \leq a_M < 1, \quad \forall \nu > 0.
\]

The viscosity of air \( \mu_F \) is \( 18 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{Pa.s} \); \( g(T) \), the Boussinesq term, is a vector valued function of the temperature \( T \).

We require \( \Omega \) to be an open bounded subset of \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) with \( C^1 \) boundary. We denote by \( n(x) \) the outward unit normal to \( \Gamma := \partial \Omega \) at \( x \).

Boundary conditions are needed, for example, initial values for \( I_\nu, T, u, \rho, u \) given on \( \Gamma \) and \( \rho \) given at \( x \in \Gamma \) where \( u \cdot n < 0 \) and

\[
I_\nu(x, \omega) = Q_\nu(x, \nu') \cdot [\omega \cdot n(x)]_-, \quad \omega \cdot n < 0, \quad \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} |_\Gamma = 0.
\]

### 1.1.1 Sunlight

When \( Q_\nu(x, \omega) \) is due to sunlight, of power \( Q^0 \) coming from the direction \( \omega_s \), then the surface which receives the light re-emits it with (Lambertian reflexion),

\[
Q_\nu(x, \omega) = Q_\nu(x) \cdot [\omega \cdot n(x)]_+ \quad \text{with} \quad Q_\nu(x) := Q^0[\omega_s \cdot n(x)], B_\nu(T_s),
\]

the Planck function with the Sun’s temperature \( T_s \).

### 1.2 Simplifications

The angular average radiative intensity plays an important role in this article:

\[
J_\nu(x, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} I_\nu(\omega) d\omega.
\]
Assume isotropic scattering:

1.2.1 Isotropic Scattering

which, in turn, leads to a simpler form (see (4)) for the second equation in (1).

\[ \nabla \cdot \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} I_\nu(\omega) \omega d\omega d\nu = 4\pi \int_0^\infty \rho \tilde{k}(1 - a) (B_\nu(T) - J_\nu) d\nu , \]  
which, in turn, leads to a simpler form (see (4)) for the second equation in (1).

### 1.2.2 Small Thermal Diffusion and Convection

The Navier-Stokes equations are decoupled and can be solved beforehand. Then in the radiative transfer and temperature equations \( \rho, u \) are given. So (1) becomes the following system for \( I_\nu, J_\nu, T \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega \cdot \nabla I_\nu + \rho \tilde{k} I_\nu &= \rho \tilde{k}(1 - a) B_\nu(T) + \rho \tilde{k} \alpha J_\nu, \quad J_\nu := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} I_\nu d\omega, \\
\partial_t T + u \cdot \nabla T - \nabla \cdot (\kappa_T \nabla T) &= A \int_0^\infty \tilde{k}(1 - a) (J_\nu - B_\nu(T)) d\nu, \\
I_\nu(x, \omega) &= Q_\nu(x, \omega), \quad \omega \cdot n < 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \quad \left. \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} \right|_{t=0} = 0, \quad T|_{t=0} = T_0.
\end{align*}
\]

### 1.2.3 The stratified case

When \( \Omega \) is thin in a direction \( Ox \) and the physical data depend mostly on \( x \), the equations become almost one dimensional in \( x \). The domain \( \Omega \) is locally \( (0, H) \times \mathbb{R}^2 \). Assuming that sunlight at \( x = +\infty \) crosses the atmosphere unaffected the equations reduce to

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu \partial_\mu I_\nu + \rho \tilde{k} I_\nu &= \rho \tilde{k}(1 - a) B_\nu(T) + \rho \tilde{k} \alpha J_\nu, \quad J_\nu := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 I_\nu d\mu \\
\int_0^\infty \rho \tilde{k}(1 - a) (J_\nu - B_\nu(T)) d\nu &= 0, \\
I_\nu(0, \mu) &= Q_\nu(x, \mu), \quad \mu < 0, \quad I_\nu(H, \mu) = 0, \quad \mu > 0.
\end{align*}
\]
with \( \Gamma \) the plane \( x = 0 \), \( Q_\nu = Q^0 B_\nu (T_\nu) \mu \), \( \mu := \cos \alpha \) and \( \alpha \) the angle of the ray \( \omega \) with \( Ox \).

## 2 The Method of Characteristics

Let us derive a closed form solution of (5) by computing the long time solution of

\[
\partial_\tau I(x, \tau) + \omega \nabla_x I(x, \tau) + \kappa(x) I(x, \tau) = S(x). \tag{9}
\]

While it plays no part, notice that \( \tau = t/c \). Let \( K(x, \omega, \tau) = \int_0^\tau \kappa(x + \omega s) ds \).

Then

\[
\frac{d}{d\tau} \left( I(x + \omega \tau, \tau) e^{K(x, \omega, \tau)} \right) = e^{K(x, \omega, \tau)} \left[ \partial_\tau I + \omega \nabla_x I + \kappa(x) I \right]_{|x + \omega \tau, \omega, \tau}.
\]

Consequently

\[
I(x + \omega \tau, \tau) e^{K(x, \omega, \tau)} = I(x, 0) + \int_0^\tau e^{K(x, \omega, s)} S(x + \omega s) ds.
\]

Denote \( x' = x + \omega \tau \); the above is also

\[
I(x', \tau) e^{K(x'-\omega \tau, \omega, \tau)} = I(x', 0) + \int_0^\tau e^{K(x'-\omega \tau, \omega, s)} S(x + \omega s) ds.
\]

Denote by \( \tau_{x', \omega} \) the value of \( \tau \) which brings \( x' - \omega \tau \) to the boundary:

\[
x' - \omega \tau_{x', \omega} \in \Sigma, \text{ and denote } x_{\Sigma}(x', \omega) := x' - \omega \tau_{x', \omega}.
\]

Then the long time solution of (9) is

\[
I(x') = I(x_{\Sigma}(x', \omega)) e^{-K(x_{\Sigma}(x', \omega), \omega, \tau)}
\]

\[
+ \int_{0}^{\tau_{x', \omega}} e^{K(x'-\omega s, \omega, s) - K(x_{\Sigma}(x', \omega), \omega, \tau_{x', \omega})} S(x' - \omega (\tau_{x', \omega} - s)) ds.
\]

It can also be written as:

\[
I(x, \omega) = I(x_{\Sigma}(x, \omega)) e^{-\int_{x_{\Sigma}(x, \omega)}^{x} \kappa(x' - \omega s) ds} + \int_{0}^{\tau_{x, \omega}} e^{-\int_{x_{\Sigma}(x, \omega)}^{x'} \kappa(x' - \omega s') ds'} S(x - \omega s) ds
\]

\[
= I(x_{\Sigma}(x, \omega)) e^{-\int_{x_{\Sigma}(x, \omega)}^{x} \kappa(x' - \omega s) ds} + \int_{[x, x_{\Sigma}]} e^{-\int_{[x, x']} \kappa(x' - \omega s') ds'} S(x') dx'.
\]

Averaging in \( \omega \) and using (7), and knowing that the solid angle integral of \( f(x - \omega s) d\omega \) is the surface integral on \( \Gamma \) of \( f(x' - x) \cdot n(x') |x' - x|^3 d\Gamma(x') \) leads to:

\[
J_\nu(x) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} I(x, \omega) d\omega = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} I(x_{\Sigma}(x, \omega)) e^{-\int_{x_{\Sigma}(x, \omega)}^{x} \kappa(x' - \omega s) ds} ds d\omega
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} \int_{0}^{\tau_{x, \omega}} e^{-\int_{x_{\Sigma}(x, \omega)}^{x'} \kappa(x' - \omega s') ds'} S(x - \omega s) ds d\omega
\]

\[
= S_\nu^E(x) + \mathcal{J}[\mathcal{S}](x). \tag{11}
\]
with the notations, \( z_- := -\min(z, 0) \) and

\[
S^E_\nu(x) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \Omega Q_\nu(x, x') \left( \frac{(x' - x) \cdot n(x')}{|x' - x|^3} \right) e^{-f_{(x, x')}^\kappa} d\Gamma(x')
\]

\[
J[S](x) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \Omega S(x') \frac{e^{-f_{(x, x')}^\kappa}}{|x' - x|^2} dx'.
\]

(12)

where \( n(x') \) is the outer normal at \( x' \in \partial \Omega \). The last line is true only if \( \Omega \) is convex but it can be used in the general case with the following definition of \( \tilde{\Omega} \) and \( \bar{\kappa} \).

Figure 1: Consider \( \Omega \), the domain between the 2 spheres. The segment \([x', x']\) participates to the radiative intensity in \( x' \). However when the segment \([x', x'']\) crosses the inner sphere, the light scattered from \( x'' \) does not contribute to the light intensity in \( x' \).

**Proposition 1** Let \( \bar{\Omega} \supset \Omega \) be a convex extension of \( \Omega \). Let \( \bar{\kappa} \) be an extension of \( \kappa \) in \( \bar{\Omega} \) such that

\[ \bar{\kappa}(x) = \kappa(vx), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad \bar{\kappa}(x) = +\infty, \quad x \in \bar{\Omega} \setminus \Omega. \]

Then

\[
\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \int_0^{\tau_{x, \omega}} e^{-f_{s}^\kappa(x, \omega s)} |x - \omega s|^2 S(x - \omega s) ds d\omega = \int_{\Omega} S(x') \frac{e^{-f_{(x, x')}^\kappa}}{|x' - x|^2} dx'.
\]

**Proof**: If \( \Omega \) is convex then \( \{x - \omega s : s \in (0, \tau_{x, \omega}), \omega \in \mathbb{S}^2\} = \Omega \). Refering to figure 1, if \( \Omega \) is not convex, then the part in \( \bar{\Omega} \setminus \Omega \) of the integral on the right is zero because \( x' \in \bar{\Omega} \setminus \Omega \) implies that a portion of the integral in the exponential will be on \([x'', x']\), for some \( x'' \in \bar{\Omega} \setminus \Omega \). This portion will contribute to the integral with \( \bar{\kappa} = +\infty \) and \( e^{-\infty} = 0 \).

2.0.1 The Stratified Grey Case

The following will be helpful to assert the precision of the general algorithm 2.1 below.
Recall the Stefan-Blotzmann relation: \( \int_0^\infty B_\nu(T) = \sigma T^4 \) with \( \sigma = \pi^4/15 \), the Stefan constant. If \( \kappa_\nu \) is constant (grey case), then (8) integrated in \( \nu \) yields an equation for \( I = \int_0^\infty I_\nu d\nu \):

\[
\mu \partial x \bar{I} + \rho \bar{\kappa} \bar{I} = \rho \bar{\kappa} \sigma T^4(x), \quad \sigma T^4(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \bar{I}(x, \mu) d\mu, \quad x \in (0, H)
\]

with boundary conditions \( \bar{I}(0, \mu)|_{\mu>0} = \mu Q^0 \sigma T^4 \) and \( \bar{I}(H, \mu)|_{\mu<0} = 0 \).

Even though one can find directly a solution in integral form, when \( \rho \bar{\kappa} \) is constant the above framework yields

\[
\tilde{S}^E(x) = \frac{Q^0 \sigma T^4}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{x} \right)^2 e^{-\frac{\mu}{\kappa x}} d\Gamma(y, z) = \frac{Q^0 \sigma T^4}{2} E_3(\kappa x)
\]

where \( E_3 \) is the third exponential integral. Similarly (see [8])

\[
\mathcal{J}[S](x) = \kappa \int_0^H S(x') E_4(\kappa [x' - x]) dx'.
\]

This approximation can be extended to the case \( \kappa \) function of \( x \) by a change of coordinate \( x \to \tau \) with \( \kappa \frac{d}{d\tau} = \frac{d}{dx} \).

### 2.1 Algorithm

System (4) can be solved by the following iterative scheme.

1. Start from \( T^0 \equiv 0 \) and \( J^0_\nu = S^E_\nu \), given by (12)

2. FOR \( n = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1 \)

   (a) Knowing \( T^n(x, t) \) and \( J^n_\nu(x, t) \), compute by (11)

   \[
   J^{n+1}_\nu = S^E_\nu + \mathcal{J}[aJ^n_\nu + (1-a)B_\nu(T^n)], \quad \nu \in (0, \infty), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t < T.
   \]

   (b) Define \( T^{n+1} \) the solution of the semilinear drift-diffusion equation

   \[
   \begin{cases}
   \rho c_\nu (\partial_t T^{n+1} + u \cdot \nabla_x T^{n+1}) - \nabla_x \cdot (\rho c_\nu \kappa T \nabla_x T^{n+1}) \\
   + B(T^{n+1}) = 4\pi \int_0^\infty \kappa (1-a) J^{n+1}_\nu d\nu,
   \end{cases}
   \]

   with \( T^{n+1}|_{t=0} = T_0 \) and \( \partial T^{n+1}/\partial n|_{\partial \Omega} = 0 \) for \( x \in \Omega \), \( t > 0 \),

   where \( \rho \) and \( u \) are given by the Navier-Stokes equations and

   \[
   B(T) := 4\pi \int_0^\infty \kappa (1-a) B_\nu(\min(T_r, T_M)) d\nu.
   \]

**Remark 1** When \( u \) and \( \kappa T \) are negligeable, Step (b) becomes: find \( T^{n+1} \) such that

\[
\int_0^\infty \kappa (1-a) B_\nu(T^{n+1}_\nu) d\nu = \int_0^\infty \kappa (1-a) J^{n+1}_\nu d\nu.
\]
This equation has a unique solution because $T \mapsto B_\nu(T)$ is increasing. As for existence, observe that the left hand-side is continuous in $T_+$, vanishes for $T_+ = 0$, and tends to $+\infty$ when $T_+ \to +\infty$. For a computer solution we may use a Newton method because the Hessian is positive and never vanishes.

3 Implementation with $\mathcal{H}$-Matrices

Note that the computations of $S'_\nu$ and $\mathcal{J}[S]$ involve convolution like operators. Indeed, with $\Omega$ convex bounded and $a = 0$, $S'_\nu$ is given by (12) and

$$
\mathcal{J}[S_\nu](x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_\Omega S_\nu(x') \kappa(x') \frac{e^{-j[x-x']^\top \kappa}}{|x-x'|^2} dx'.
$$

The domain is discretized by the vertices $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N$ of a tetraedral mesh. The integrals are computed with a quadrature rule using quadrature points inside the tetraedras, typically 25 points when $x'$ is near to the tetraedra of the integral and 5 points otherwise; $J$ is approximated by its $P^1$ interpolation on the mesh:

$$
J(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N J_j \hat{w}^j(x) \quad \text{where } \hat{w}^j \text{ is the } P^1 \text{ Finite Element hat function of vertex } x^j.
$$

Then Step (a) of Algorithm 2.1 becomes:

$$
S_{\nu,j} = a J_{\nu,j} + (1-a) B_\nu(T_j)
$$

$$
J_{\nu,i} = S'^E_{\nu,i} + \sum_j G_{i,j}^\nu S_{\nu,j} \quad \text{where } G_{i,j}^\nu = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_\Omega \kappa(x) \frac{e^{-j|x-x'|\kappa}}{|x-x'|^2} \hat{w}^j(x') dx'.
$$

The matrix $G$ can be compressed with the $\mathcal{H}$-matrix method so that the multiplication $G \cdot S$ has complexity $O(N \ln N)$ for each $\nu$. The method works best when the kernel of $G$ in the integral decays exponentially with the distance between $x'$ and $x'$. The $\mathcal{H}$-matrix approximation views $\{G_{i,j}^\nu\}$ as a hierarchical tree of square blocks; The blocks correspond to interaction between clusters of points near $x^j$ and near $x'$. Far-field interaction blocks can be approximated by a low rank matrix because their singular value decompositions (SVD) have fast decaying singular values. We use partially pivoted adaptive cross-approximation [16] to approach the first terms of the SVD of the blocks, because only $r$-rows times $r$-columns columns are needed instead of the whole block, where $r$ is the rank of the approximation. The rank is a function of a user defined parameter $\epsilon$ in connection with the relative Frobenius norm error. Another criteria must be met: if $R_1$ (resp $R_2$) is the radius of a cluster of points centered at $x_1$ (resp $x_2$), then one goes down the hierarchical tree until the corresponding block satisfies $\max(R_1,R_2) < \eta|x_1-x_2|$ where $\eta$ is a user defined parameter. If the end of the tree is reached, the bloc is not compacted and it is displayed in red on figure 10. Then, to compute the integral $\int_0^\infty \kappa J_{\nu,i} d\nu$ a rectangular quadrature at points $\nu_k$ is used.
For example when \( a = 0 \),
\[
\int_0^\infty \kappa_{\nu} J_{\nu} d\nu = \sum_k S_{\nu_k,i} \left( \nu_k - \nu_{k-1} \right) + \sum_k \sum_j \kappa_{\nu_k} G_{\nu_k}^{ij} B_{\nu_k}(T_j)(\nu_k - \nu_{k-1})
\]
(19)

The same decomposition into product of \( H \)-matrices with vectors \( \{Q_{\nu_k}(x^j)\}_{i=1}^N \) can be applied to compute \( S_{\nu_k}^E \):
\[
S_{\nu_k,i}^E = \sum_s \kappa_{\nu_k} Q_{\nu_k}(x^j), \quad S_{\nu_k}^E = \int \hat{\omega}^j e^{-f_{[x^i,x^j]} \kappa} \left( \frac{[(x' - x^i) \cdot n(x')]}{|x' - x^i|^2}\right)^2 ds(x')
\]
(20)

### 3.1 Lebesgue Integrals

We will use the Gemini measurements to define \( \kappa_{\nu} \) (figure 2). To represent such a function we require 683 \( \nu \)-points. As it is, the numerical method requires an \( H \)-matrix for each \( \nu \), but it is not feasible to define \( 2 \times 683 \) \( H \)-matrices!

![Figure 2: Absorption \( \kappa_{\nu} \) versus wavelength \((c/\nu)\) in the range \((0.1, 26)\) read from the Gemini data site[11]. In the \( H \)-step of the algorithm it is approximated by \( 0.01 + \text{round}(10\kappa)/10 \), shown here as “approximated \( \kappa_{\nu} \)”.

Right: the \( x \)-dependency of \( \kappa \), the topology and the mesh. The Mont-Blanc is in the bottom left part and Chamonix is in the center. Colors are levels of the \( x \) dependence of \( \kappa \), 0.5(1 - \( x/2 \)); the red part is the intersection of the cloud with the mountains; the cloud is a cylinder circumscribing the red parts, centered at Chamonix and between altitude 2000m and 8000m; it is used only in one simulation.

Observe that the \( H \)-matrices \( H, H^E \), depend on \( \nu \) directly but through \( \kappa_{\nu} \). It is an opportunity to reduce the number of \( H \)-matrices down to the number of different values of \( \kappa_{\nu} \). The the integrals with respect to \( \nu \) are evaluated much like a Lebesgue integral.
Suppose \( \kappa \) takes only 2 values \( \kappa_1 \) and \( \kappa_2 \), then, according to (20), only 2 functions \( S^E_0 \) are needed, \( S^E_1, S^E_2 \), and similarly for \( G_n \). Now (19) becomes

\[
\begin{align*}
H_1^n &= \sum_{\{\kappa_{i\nu} = \kappa_1\}} \kappa_1(n_k - n_{k-1}) \sum_j G_{i,j}^i B_{i,j} (T_j^n) \\
H_2^n &= \sum_{\{\kappa_{i\nu} = \kappa_2\}} \kappa_2(n_k - n_{k-1}) \sum_j G_{i,j}^i B_{i,j} (T_j^n) \\
\int_0^\infty \kappa_{i\nu} \nu I_4 = s_1 \kappa_1 S^E_1 + s_2 \kappa_2 S^E_2 + H_1^n + H_2^n
\end{align*}
\]

where \( s_j \) is the measure of the \( \nu \)-set where \( \kappa_{i\nu} = \kappa_j, j = 1, 2 \).

There are some limitations however: \( \kappa(x) \) must be a sum of products of \( x \)-functions by \( \nu \)-functions:

\[
\kappa_{i\nu}(x) = \sum_j \rho_j(x) \kappa_j^{i\nu}, \text{ where } \kappa_j^{i\nu} \text{ takes only } N^j_k \text{ different values } \{\kappa^j_k\}_k
\]

Let \( s^j_k := \{\nu : \kappa^j_k = \kappa^j_k\} \). Then

\[
\int_0^\infty \kappa_{i\nu}(x) \mathcal{J}[S(\nu, x)]d\nu = \\
\frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{i,j,k,l} \rho_j(x) \kappa_k^{i\nu} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \int_{s^j_k} S(\nu, x') \right] d\nu \rho_i(\cdot) e^{-\kappa^j_k \int_{[x,x']} \rho_i(\cdot)} |x - x'|^2 dx'.
\]

Hence only the \( \mathcal{H} \)-matrices of kernel \( \rho_i(x') \kappa^j_k \exp(-\kappa^j_k \int_{[x,x']} \rho_i(\cdot))|x - x'|^2 \) are needed.

The same decomposition holds for \( S^E_\nu \).

\[
\int_0^\infty \kappa_{i\nu}(x) S^E_\nu(x) d\nu = \\
\frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{i,j,k,l} \rho_i(x) \kappa_k^{i\nu} \int_{\partial\Omega} \left[ \int_{s^j_k} Q_\nu d\nu \right] e^{-\kappa^j_k \int_{[x,x']} \rho_i(\cdot)} \left( \frac{[(x' - x) \cdot n(x')]^2}{|x' - x|^2} \right) d\Gamma(x'),
\]

which, after discretization, involves only the sum of products of \( \mathcal{H} \)-matrices of kernel on \( \partial\Omega \): \( e^{-\kappa^j_k \int_{[x,x']} \rho_i(\cdot)} |(x' - x) \cdot n(x')|^2 / |x' - x|^4 \).

### 3.2 Computations of Exponentials on a Background Grid

To compute \( e^{-\kappa^j_k \int_{[x,x']} \rho_i(\cdot)} \) we use a quadrature rule for the integral:

\[
\int_{[x,x']} \rho_j(\cdot) \approx \sum_{i=0}^I \rho_j(x_i) |x_{i+1} - x_i|, \quad x_0 = x, \quad x_{I+1} = x'.
\]

To speed-up the computation of \( \rho(x_j) \), \( \rho \) is interpolated on a fine 3D Cartesian grid during the initialization phase of the computer program.
4 Validation

4.1 Computing Resources

To assert the precision and computing time we used several meshes. The grey case corresponds to $\kappa = 0.5(1 - x/2)$. The Gemini case is when $\kappa_\nu = (1 - x/2)\tilde{\kappa}_\nu$ with $\tilde{\kappa}_\nu$ read from the Gemini web site. Results are on table 1 for the Chamonix valley and on figure 6 for the flat ground case.

| nb vertices | 4053 | 30855 | 231 796 |
|-------------|------|-------|---------|
| Grey        | 3    | 19    | 170     |
| Gemini      | 36   | 239   | 2027    |

The code has been ported on a supercomputer. It scales perfectly for the grey case and takes 90" for 300K vertices. On the Gemini case the Newton iterations are not yet parallelized but the rest takes 360". The memory used is governed by the compressed matrices. For the $H$-matrices the compression ratio is 29 for the volumic kernel and 9 for the surfacic kernel.

Figure 3: Compressed blocks in the $H$-matrices, surfacic on the left, volumic on the right. Compression is shown by colors (lighter is more compressed, red is not compressed), numbers are the ranks of the approximations. Neighboring blocks may not have similar ranks because they maybe correspond to vertices far from each other in the physical space.
4.2 Length Scale, Values for $\kappa_\nu$

The Gemini measurements for $\kappa$ are used in a domain $\Omega = x \in (0, 1) \times (-0.2, 3.32) \times (-3.35, 0.16)$ in 10km units. The Nimbus-4 measurements [13] show that some infra-red radiations like $\nu_{12} = \frac{3}{12} \cdot 10^{14} s^{-1}$, cross the 12km thick earth atmosphere almost unaffected, which implies that $\kappa_{\nu_{12}} \sim 0$.

Other radiations like $\nu_{15} = 0.2 \cdot 10^{14} s^{-1}$ are damped by 28% (i.e. $I_{\nu_{15}}|_{x=1} = 0.28 I_{\nu_{15}}|_{x=0}$, see [13]) which implies $e^{-1.2\kappa_{\nu_{15}}} = 0.28$, i.e. $\kappa_{\nu_{15}} = 1.05$. This is associated with the presence of CO$_2$ in air. Similarly, corresponding to the presence of water in air, $I_{\nu_{20}}$ is damped by 20%, meaning that $\kappa_{\nu_{20}} \sim 0.3$.

Therefore the Gemini data can be used without scaling. For a grey model, $\kappa = 0.5$ corresponds to the average loss from the theoretical black body radiation at ground level to the actual measurements in space. Surely this value is too large in the visible range, but the correlation between the infra-red and the visible ranges is weak.

4.3 Temperatures on a Flat Land Exposed to Sunrays

Our purpose here is to validate the results against the stratified numerical solutions of [8]. We begin with a grey case, $\kappa = 0.5$. The domain is $\Omega = (0, 1) \times (-L, L) \times (-L, L)$ above the Earth surface $S: x = 0$. The radiations of the Sun cross the atmosphere unaffected; 30% is reflected and 70% is absorbed and then re-emitted as a black body in all directions (Lambertian reflection) with intensity given by (24). Since the downward travel of the radiations is ignored, the source of light is at $x = 0$, with

$$Q_{\nu}(x, \omega) = Q^0 B_{\nu}(T_s) n \cdot \omega, \quad Q^0 = 2 \cdot 10^{-5}, \quad T_s = 1.02, \quad n = (1, 0, 0)^T$$ (24)

The results depend on the mesh size $h$ and $L$. By increasing $L$ and the number of vertices $n^3$, convergence to the stratified case is reached (figure 4). The convergence rate is shown on figure 7 to be approximately $h^{1.5}$. The stratified solution is computed independently by a method sketched in Paragraph 2.0.1 and detailed in [12].

The CPU times are plotted on figure 6 for 5 meshes with $N= 616, 4056, 30855, 80898, 231796$ vertices respectively. It confirms the $N \log N$ growth.

A similar exercise is done with $\kappa_{\nu} = 0.5(1 - x/2)$. Comparison with the stratified case is possible after a change of variable in the stratified code. Results are shown on figure 5.

4.4 The Chamonix Valley: the Grey Case

The domain is a portion of the atmosphere $\Omega = [h(y, z), H] \times [y_m, y_M][z_m, z_M]$ above the Earth surface $S: x = h(y, z)$. The radiations of the Sun cross the atmosphere unaffected; 30% is reflected and 70% is absorbed and re-emitted
Figure 4: Temperatures $T(x,0,0)$ versus altitude $x$ when $\kappa' = \frac{1}{2}$ for some values of $L$ and $n$. Convergence to the stratified 1D solution is visible.

Figure 5: Temperatures when $\rho\tilde{n} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - 0.5x)$: comparison with the stratified solution.

Figure 6: CPU time to compute the grey case on a flat ground, indicating an $N \ln N$ growth where $N$ is the number of vertices in the mesh.

Figure 7: Log-log plot of the discretization error versus mesh size; the “exact” solution is the stratified one computed with many points.
with intensity (24), except that $n$ is the normal of $S$. We can account for the hour of the day by rotating accordingly all normals.

$$Q_{\nu}([h(y,z), y, z]^T, \omega) = Q_{0}(x) B_{\nu}(T_s) n \cdot \omega, \quad T_s = 1.02,$$

We have simulated the case $Q_{0} = 2 \cdot 10^{-5}$ (no snow) and the case with snow above 2500m:

$$Q_{0}(x) = 0.5 (\beta + (1 - \beta) 1_{x < h_{\text{snow}}}), \quad \beta = 0.3, \quad h_{\text{snow}} = 0.25, \quad x \in S.$$ 

It means that in case of snow the light source is 30% of what it is without snow, the rest was reflected in the visible range before re-emission. Note that glaciers below 2500m are ignored.

As above we begin with a $\kappa_{\nu} = 0.5(1 - x/2)$ which takes into account the rarification of air. The mesh is shown on figure 8. Temperatures and radiations are computed in the morning and evening when the sun is at $\pm 45^\circ$ from the vertical and inclined towards the South by $20^\circ$. Results are shown on figure 8. On all such figures the altitude has been multiplied by 2 to enhance the graphics. It can be seen that the temperature is high on the slopes exposed to the Sun. It is seen also that the temperature is much cooler where there is snow. Temperatures are clearly too low on high mountains and perhaps too hot also on sunlit slopes. Still it is reasonable for a grey model. The Mont-Blanc is on the top left. Recall that points near the border of the domain receive only half the light because of clipping, so it is unrealistically cooler near the border.

To check convergence we compared a lower solution with a higher one. To generate a lower solution initial reduced temperature in the algorithm was set to $0.01$. To generate an upper solution, $T^0$ was set to $0.12$. Instead of 7 iterations, 15 were needed to reduce $|T^{n+1} - T^n|$ below $10^{-11}$. Then, no visible difference could be seen between the two results.

### 4.4.1 Influence of the snow

The snow is very important for the temperature distribution at the ground level. When there is no snow temperatures at the ground level in the Chamonix region at noon is 2°C hotter in the valley and very much hotter in the mountain, above zero (figure 9).

### 4.4.2 Clouds

Let the cloud be a cylinder centered in the middle of the domain, between $x = 2000m$ and $x = 8000m$. There, $\kappa_{\nu}(x)$ is multiplied by 1.5:

$$\kappa_{\nu} = 0.5(1 - 0.5x)(1 + 0.51[x \in (0.2, 0.8) : (y - 1.5)^2 + (z + 1.5)^2 < 0.5]);$$
Figure 8: All 3 computations are with $\kappa_\nu = 0.5(1 - x/2)$ and a clear sky (no cloud). Top: Reduced temperatures at noon in a vertical cut of the domain (the mesh has 36K vertices). Middle: Ground level temperatures in the morning: the Sun is at 45° from vertical in (x,y) plane and $-20^\circ$ in the (x,z) plane. (Est is the lower side of the square, South is the left side). Bottom: Temperatures in the evening ((sun at $-45^\circ$ from vertical in (x,y) plane and $-20^\circ$ in the (x,z) plane; West is the upper side of the square). Both meshes have 360K vertices.
Figure 9: Influence of the snow and the mesh: Ground temperatures at noon without snow. It is $2^\circ C$ hotter in the valley and very much hotter in the mountain, naturally. On top, the mesh has 36K vertices, and below, it has ten times more vertices. The two pictures differ mostly near the border of the domain where the computations are wrong anyway because the points receive only one half of the sunshine other points receive (and one fourth at the corners).
Figure 10: Ground temperature in the Chamonix region at noon without (top) and with (bottom) the cloud. It is hotter in the cloud but colder in the valley.
On Figure 10 ground temperatures are shown with and without the cloud in a zoom region with the finer mesh. It is hotter on the mountain inside the cloud but colder in the valley.

Figure 12 shows the temperature functions of altitude with and without the cloud (with snow) with variable density of air.

4.5 The Chamonix Valley in the Non-Grey Case

As in [8] $\nu \mapsto \kappa_\nu$ is read from the Gemini measurements web site.

To be sure that $\int_0^\infty B_\nu(T) = \sigma T^4$ with a good precision it is necessary to extend $\tilde{\kappa}_\nu$ for $\nu \in (0.01, 0.3)$; we set it to be equal to the last available Gemini point.

Then, as shown on Figure 2, $\nu \mapsto \tilde{\kappa}_\nu$ is approximated by the nearest step function that takes only 10 values: $\text{round}(10\kappa)/10$.

As explained above, by this trick we need to compute only $2 \times 10$ $\mathcal{H}$-matrices, even though $\nu$-integrals are computed with 683 quadrature points.

Temperatures at noon with snow and no cloud were computed with the Gemini data of figure 2. Results are shown on the top picture of figure 11.

Then these data were modified in the range $\nu \in (c/360, c/14)$ where $\kappa_\nu$ is set to 1. It simulates grossly the effect of $\text{CO}_2$ which renders the atmosphere opaque in these frequencies. The results are displayed on the middle picture of figure 11 and on figures 12 and 13. Note that the temperatures are colder.

A similar exercise is made but with $\kappa_\nu$ set to 1 in the range $\nu \in (c/3, c/1.5)$ to simulate an effect in the direction of $\text{CH}_4$. Results are in the bottom picture of figure 11 and also on figures 12 and 13. Note that the temperatures are hotter.

Hence blocking an infrared subrange can either make the day hotter or colder, depending on the position of the subrange, which in these numerical experiments we have childishly called $\text{CO}_2$ and $\text{CH}_4$. The same observation was made in [8].

On figure 13 the radiation intensities are shown versus wavelength ($c/\nu$) above Chamonix at altitude 3000m.

Note that the computing time is roughly ten time the one with a constant $\kappa$ because we use a Lebesgue discretization with ten levels.

4.6 Effect of Thermal Diffusion and Wind Velocity

4.6.1 Wind velocity

Convective winds due to temperature differences and modelled by a Boussinesq approximation seem out of simulation reach in areas of several kilometers. On the other hand high atmosphere winds $u_0 \sim 360\text{km/h}$ are numerically tractable if we assume that the effective viscosity is dominated by turbulence, leading to a Reynolds number around 500. Thus the stationary Navier-Stokes equations with fixed varying density are solved in $\Omega$ for the scaled velocity $u/u_0$ and pressure:

$$u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p - \nu \Delta u = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot u = 0, \quad u(H, y, z) = [0, 1, 0]^T$$

(25)
Figure 11: Ground temperatures at noon with snow (no cloud) with $\kappa$ given by the Gemini data. Below, same situation, but the $\kappa_{\nu}$ from the Gemini data is put to $1 - x/2$ in the range $\nu \in (c/18, c/14)$ to simulate the absorption by CO$_2$. Bottom: same but with $\kappa_{\nu} = 1 - x/2$, $\nu \in (c/3, c/1.5)$ to simulate the absorption by CH$_4$. The color map is the same for all 3. Bluer is colder, browner is hotter.
and Neumann conditions on the remaining boundaries $-\nu \partial_n u + p n = 0$.

A Newton method is applied to linearize the system and the Taylor-Hood Finite Element method is used for discretization. The linear systems are solved in parallel with the MUMPS library. Less than a dozen iterations are sufficient for convergence in some 600 sec on the M1 (see figure 14).

### 4.6.2 Simulation of the Temperature Equation

Recall that we have neglected the variations of $\rho$ in the diffusion term:

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T - \kappa T \Delta T = A \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu (J_\nu - B_\nu (T))d\nu \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}|_{\partial \Omega} = 0.$$ 

In the computations, the unit length is $L = 10$km. So $\kappa T = 2 \cdot 10^{-11}[\text{L}]^2/\text{s}$ and $\frac{\Delta}{\rho} = 2.70 \cdot 10^7[\text{L}]/\text{s}$. In these units $u_0 = 0.01[\text{L}]/\text{s}$. Let us divide by $u_0$:

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T - \tilde{\kappa} T \Delta T = \tilde{A} \int_0^\infty \tilde{\rho}_\nu (J_\nu - B_\nu (T))d\nu \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}|_{\partial \Omega} = 0,$$

where $\mathbf{u}$ is the solution of (25), $\tilde{\kappa} T = 2 \cdot 10^{-9}$, $\tilde{A} = 5.4 \cdot 10^9$, $\tilde{\rho}_\nu \sim 0.5$.

Evidently if $T^* + \delta T$ is the solution where $T^*$ is the solution with $\mathbf{u} = 0$ and $\tilde{\kappa} T = 0$, then $\delta T$ will be very small. This allows for linearization:

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \delta T - \tilde{\kappa} T \Delta \delta T + \delta T \tilde{A} \int_0^\infty \tilde{\rho}_\nu B'_\nu (T^*)d\nu = \tilde{\kappa} T \Delta T T^*.$$ 

![Figure 12](image12.png)  
**Figure 12:** Temperatures versus altitude in the center of the domain computed first with a fixed $\tilde{\kappa}$ with and without the cloud. Then with a variable $\tilde{\kappa}$ (Gemini measurements) with CO$_2$ or CH$_4$ corrections.

![Figure 13](image13.png)  
**Figure 13:** light intensity versus wave length at altitude 3000m in the center of the domain, with Gemini-$\kappa_\nu$ without and with CO$_2$ or CH$_4$ corrections.
Figure 14: Solution of the Navier-Stokes eqs at Re=500. Top: $u_1$, Bottom: $u_2$

The PDE is numerically out of reach with the physical values of the parameter. Yet to validate the concept and indicate the trend of the effect of wind and heat diffusion, we have solved it with $\tilde{\kappa}_T = 2 \cdot 10^{-3}$, $\tilde{\rho} \kappa \nu = \frac{(1 - x/2)}{2}$ and $A := A' = 5.4 \cdot 10^{2}$. Notice that if $\kappa_\nu$ does not depend on $\nu$, $A' \int_0^\infty \tilde{\rho} \kappa_\nu B'(T^*) = 4\sigma T^* 3 \tilde{\rho} \kappa \sim 1.55$. The results are shown on figures 15, 16.

5 Conclusion

The numerical study validates the claim that heat transfer with radiation can be solved numerically in minutes in 3D on a laptop with O(100K) mesh nodes and a fine resolution of the discontinuities of the absorption parameter in space and frequencies. On a massively parallel supercomputer with O(1M) mesh nodes it can be handled in minutes too.

The method is based on an integral formula for the radiation intensity averaged on the unit sphere of directions. The problem is reduced to an integral equation coupled with the temperature equation with radiation as source. An iterative scheme can be used which is mathematically shown to be convergent and monotone. The numerical errors can be estimated from the difference between the lower and the upper solutions.

A drastic numerical speed-up is obtained when the convolutions in the integrals are replaced by vector products with compressed $H$-matrices. Furthermore a small number of matrices are needed only when the integrals are computed as
Lebesgue integrals.
The method was tested on a portion of the atmosphere around the city of Chamonix where high mountains require a full 3D unstructured mesh. The code is precise enough to assert the differences due to changes in \( \kappa \), a subrange of frequencies. All results seem physically reasonable but we make no climate claim based on the numerical results. Yet we hope to have convinced some to try the code.
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