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Abstract

Businesses face a constant struggle in developing leaders who are devoted to serving and developing their people. Therefore, recognizing the link between servant leadership and employee commitment can help organizations establish a more positive working environment, leading to improved employee and organizational performance. This study aims to investigate the relationship between adopting servant leadership by hospital managers and employee commitment within Jordanian governmental hospitals. This paper employs a cross-sectional study design including a quantitative data collection method. Using a simple random sampling method, data were collected from 389 hospital staff employed by Jordanian governmental hospitals. The data were analyzed using Pearson (r) correlation coefficient with hypothesis testing. Research findings revealed a significant positive relationship between the adoption of a servant leadership style by government hospital managers and employee commitment (r = 0.633, Sig. < 0.01). Furthermore, the study showed that demographic variables including gender, age, education, and experience were not associated significantly with employee commitment. The study's results can help hospital managers develop and practice servant leadership, who are expected to increase employee commitment and achieve organizational objectives.

INTRODUCTION

Leadership is a vital part of the healthcare industry. It is no longer acceptable for medical professionals to neglect the need for good leadership in today’s health environment. Healthcare leaders are no longer modest business owners but heads of highly skilled teams of specialists and essential personnel responsible for providing the best possible care and outcomes for patients (Smith, 2019). Several leadership theories improve understanding of leadership as individuals seek to understand what makes a strong leader (Northouse, 2016). Academics have promoted servant leadership as a superior method of leading businesses and individuals. It focuses on inspiring people to achieve corporate goals by making them feel better about their work and keeping them optimistic about their prospects (Song et al., 2015).

The literature on adopting servant leadership style is vague and lacks definition (Eva et al., 2019), and received little empirical examination (Song et al., 2015). This correlational analysis aims to investigate the link between healthcare managers adopting servant leadership and...
employee commitment. This study is essential since it provides new information regarding servant leadership in Jordan’s healthcare system. Recognizing the link between adopting servant leadership and subordinates’ commitment can aid organizations and employees in establishing a more positive work environment and incorporating it into corporate culture, which could lead to improved employee and organizational performance. It is expected that leaders will emerge with a better knowledge of servant leadership and employee commitment, which will motivate leaders in the studied region to adopt this leadership style to enhance employee work satisfaction, resulting in higher employee engagement. In addition, the paper sought to examine the association between servant leadership and employee commitment in the healthcare sector, particularly within governmental hospitals. The findings will help organizational leaders adopt servant leadership. Furthermore, this study provides hospital managers and healthcare practitioners with information about how employees perceive the leadership styles in their workplace.

1. LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESES

Leaders of today must excel in ways that their predecessors did not. Leaders in the twenty-first century must be analytical and creative in their thinking, as they are effectively rewriting the concept of leadership (Marques, 2015). A company’s competitive advantage comes from having skilled and talented leaders. Businesses invest billions of dollars in leadership development, hoping to get a return on their investment by having leaders who can articulate a compelling vision, lead with integrity, display authenticity, and ethically inspire followers (Salas et al., 2012). Therefore, it is vital to analyze the organization’s executives and the leadership styles they deploy to comprehend its performance. As a result, several scholars have sought to define leadership.

Mancha and Yoder (2015) described leadership as a leader’s ability to urge organizational members to pursue organizational goals confidently. It is also defined as the process by which leaders utilize their power to persuade and direct employees to willingly contribute to the attainment of shared organizational goals under particular conditions (Yao & Huang, 2018). Influential leaders provide a clear vision for an organization and boost morale through empowering, encouraging, coaching, and guiding individuals through specific work processes (Gordon, 2017). It is the umbrella word for incorporating ethical and moral considerations into leadership, which has not been fully defined (Karatepe et al., 2019).

As technology advances and more businesses grow into the ever-changing and highly complicated internationalization and globalized markets, leadership research has become a well-known scholarly and professional activity (Gandolfi & Stone, 2018). Several studies have analyzed alternative leadership methods and their effectiveness in addressing various workplace difficulties. In addition, there have also been many publications in the last ten years that have helped clarify and substantiate servant leadership’s fundamental principles (Northouse, 2016).

Robert Greenleaf’s 1970 essay “The Servant as Leader” was the earliest mention of the concept of servant leadership in an institutional environment (Parris & Peachey, 2013). Greenleaf (1970) described servant leadership as a style of life and a management method that is distinct from others because leaders who adopt that leadership style are driven by a natural aspiration to put others’ needs ahead of one’s own. He stated that servant leaders place a higher value on serving others than on achieving job goals (Song et al., 2015). Moreover, servant leaders highlight the necessities of others and make it a top priority to meet those needs (Muthia & Krishnan, 2015). A primary interest of servant leaders is the development of others, which enhances employee empowerment and increases institutional performance (Parris & Peachey, 2013). De Waal and Sivro (2012) described servant leadership as a philosophy in which a person develops a natural desire to serve people by focusing on the well-being of the followers and then assumes the role of leadership to achieve the service to others. It is unquestionably a theory founded on values, trust, and the development of a thoughtful and pioneering leadership culture (Ally, 2019).
Since being ethical is one of the main features of servant leadership, a thorough understanding of the way servant leadership affects the service atmosphere is an area that warrants additional investigation; it has the ability to benefit both individual employees and the business (Mbandi, 2020). Most scholars on the subject of servant leadership agree on ten essential qualities. These include paying attention, sympathy, healing, mindfulness, influence, conceptualization, forethought, stewardship, commitment, and community building (Denning, 2016). Likewise, Coetzer et al. (2017) listed authenticity, humility, empathy, responsibility, courage, humanity, integrity, and listening as essential attributes of an effective servant leader. Franco and Antunes (2020) identified nine aspects of servant leadership – authorizing, assisting subordinates to develop and succeed, placing employees first, moral actions, understanding or vision, and family environment. As all of these are fundamental elements of servant leadership, and because organizations strive to remain successful, today’s organizations might benefit significantly from this study, which looked into how to implement servant leadership in organizations effectively.

Leaders in healthcare organizations must be involved in the way organizations gather and examine outcome data to affect medical professionals’ abilities, including performance evaluations in terms of results (Saeed et al., 2015). Since servant leadership is centered on serving others, it is easy to misunderstand the concept and what it implies (Gandolfi et al., 2017). Servant leaders are supposed to manage the business on behalf of a larger group or entity, such as the followers, community, shareholders, or society, focusing on collaboration rather than patriarchal or self-interest (Van Dierendonck & Patterson, 2015). A servant leader values and respects others’ abilities and contributions and is eager to learn from them while also acknowledging their particular strengths and areas for improvement (Coetzer et al., 2017).

Servant leaders may prioritize the necessities of each of their subordinates above their own. As a result, a servant leader impacts followers’ relationships, group performance, and employee commitment (Luu, 2019). Therefore, employers prefer versatile and prepared individuals to go above and beyond in the office by engaging in behaviors other than expected in their job descriptions. Servant leaders work hard to build genuine and deep relationships with their subordinates, as well as respond to and support them (Maharaj, 2015). The character of a servant leader must be one of trust and high ethical standards. Servant leaders empower their subordinates (Aij & Rapsaniotis, 2017). Employees may become overly reliant on a servant leader at times, making it difficult for them to fulfill organizational goals (Palumbo, 2016). However, because a strong emphasis is placed on customer service quality, and employees are driven to go above and beyond to fulfill customers’ expectations, it is still a valued leadership style (Savage-Austin & Honeycutt, 2011). Leadership is critical in how an organization runs because, while job performance is based on people, organizational performance is indisputably linked to the leaders of organizations (Kamali, 2014).

Abraham (2012) concluded that happy employees are more engaged and productive in their workplaces. The helping mentality of a servant leader encourages followers to give more and promotes a favorable and beneficial attitude toward organizations (Bambale, 2014). Although the argument over servant leadership will continue, it is undeniably gaining traction worldwide, with an emphasis on engaging employees, being helpful, and collaborating (Ally, 2019). Employees learn to practice serving ideals such as sharing their knowledge and experience, assisting in the development of other colleagues, and engaging in activities that are not required by job descriptions but contribute to the organization by witnessing and learning from servant leaders (Tuan, 2016). Furthermore, subordinates perceived a substantially better degree of job satisfaction when they work for a company dedicated to developing servant leadership characteristics (Kiker et al., 2019).

As a result of adopting a servant leadership style, employees acquire favorable work attitudes. Among the previously mentioned work attitudes, Van Dierendonck (2011) proposed an employee commitment model. While the study primarily applied this commitment to the organization’s senior management, it was emphasized that followers might mimic the leader’s conduct in their communication with that leader. By providing
role models, vital guidance, and coaching, employees can learn more about servant leadership’s “service” traits, allowing them to become more service-oriented (Greenleaf, 1977).

Irving and Berndt (2017) emphasized the connection between servant leadership and employee satisfaction, leading to improved organizational performance, change management, and other outcomes. Employee satisfaction is positively impacted by a leader who promotes servant leadership (McNeff & Irving, 2017). According to Liu and Shi (2018), servant leadership is linked to a healthy service climate within organizations of all kinds. Mertel and Brill (2015) also revealed that servant leadership significantly correlates to service climate and citizenship behavior. Empirical research supports and demonstrates the considerable and positive impact of servant leadership on work satisfaction (Majd, 2018). Northouse (2016) went on to say that in order for a servant leader to be efficient, followers must be open to the servant leader’s attempts to empower and assist them grow. Song et al. (2015) tried to determine whether servant leadership influences knowledge sharing. The study employed a quantitative method to assess two major parameters: knowledge sharing and team performance. It was discovered that adopting servant leadership had a significant impact on a team’s knowledge sharing, resulting in increased overall team productivity and the conceptual framework used to support research.

Furthermore, according to Van Winkle et al. (2014), employee empowerment increases as the supervisor’s servant leadership conduct improves. Employees are empowered by direct managers who demonstrate servant leadership by providing knowledge, resources, backing, and authority to perform as desired. The results demonstrated a link between supervisory servant leadership and employee views of follower empowerment. In addition, the findings showed a clear connection between servant leadership and employee performance. Finally, Mathieu et al. (2016) investigated servant and subordinate commitment and work satisfaction using a turnover model. The structural turnover intention model looked at the impact of a supervisor’s leadership behavior on employee work satisfaction and commitment.

Moreover, Coetzee et al. (2017) showed that among the most significant characteristics of servant leadership is the significant impact on workers, teams, and organizations. Furthermore, Sokoll (2014) investigated the link between servant leadership and employee commitment to direct managers. The findings revealed that the two factors have a good relationship. However, according to Sokoll (2014), it is critical to find out the correlation between servant leadership and subordinate commitment through empirical research in other organizational settings.

The aim of this study is to investigate the link between healthcare managers adopting a servant leadership style and employee commitment. In addition, the paper is likely to help in advancing the knowledge about whether servant leadership was an ideal leadership style of management or not. Based on the above, the following hypotheses are proposed:

H1: There is a statistically significant relationship between adopting servant leadership by hospital managers in Jordanian governmental hospitals and employee commitment at p ≤ 0.05.

H2: There is a statistically significant relationship between participants’ general characteristics and employee commitment at p ≤ 0.05.

2. METHODOLOGY

The goal of this quantitative correlation study was to find out how servant leadership affects employee commitment using a correlational research design. The focus was to ascertain a link between adopting a servant leadership style and employee commitment. In addition, the paper determined the effect of general characteristics of participants on employee commitment.

The Jordanian Ministry of Health (JMOH) owns and operates 32 hospitals with a percentage of 27.3% of the total hospitals in Jordan, including 5,251 hospital beds accounting for 35.0% of total hospital beds in Jordan total of 16,526 governmental hospital workers (JMOH, 2020). The participants were chosen from Jordanian gov-
ernmental hospital workers; thus, anyone who did not match these requirements was ruled out. A random sample of 490 surveys was delivered to governmental hospitals in Jordan; initially, 490 questionnaires were distributed, but only 389 questionnaires were returned (a response rate of 79.4%). Nevertheless, the sample size was sufficient to represent the study population (Sekaran & Bougie, 2016).

The study questionnaire was developed using servant leadership as an independent variable and employee commitment as a dependent variable. The study’s aim, the request for volunteers’ input, the importance of responding truthfully, and the measures prepared to protect participants’ identity and the acquired data privacy were all explained in the questionnaire’s introductory section. The survey was divided into two parts. The first portion collected information about the participants’ baseline characteristics, such as age and years of work experience. The following section collected information about the relationship between servant leadership and employee commitment. The items on the questionnaire were rated on a five-point Likert scale: Strongly Agree (5), Agree (4), Neutral (3), Disagree (2), and Strongly Disagree (1). Academics from several Jordanian universities and healthcare managers reviewed the questionnaire to ensure its validity, clarity, and lack of ambiguity. The final version of the questionnaire took all of the changes into account. The first 15 items were related to servant leadership and adjusted from Fields and Winston (2012) and Barbuto and Wheeler (2006). In addition, questions 16 to 29 were selected to evaluate employee commitment. Those were developed and modified from Spector and Fox (2011), Podsakoff et al. (1997), and Becker et al. (1996).

To protect the participants and comply with ethical issues during the study, the questionnaire and information on consent and how to participate were distributed to potential volunteers from Jordanian governmental hospitals. Since participation was anonymous, participants were asked not to include any identifying information. In addition, the study took no actions that may be interpreted as supporting or encouraging any unethical activity, such as breaching survey respondent confidentiality, misrepresenting study results, misleading respondents, or avoiding legal liability.

The SPSS software 25th version was utilized to analyze the quantitative data collected from the instruments utilized in this study. First, the study data were subjected to a reliability examination prior to data analysis. The analysis showed an alpha of 0.947, the result of alpha for each dimension of the questionnaire presented in Table 1. Alpha interpreted as confidence acceptable values for the alpha coefficient are 0.7 and above (LoBiondo-Wood & Haber, 2013). Therefore, the result of Cronbach’s alpha was acceptable for the study tool. Then, the Pearson r method was used to analyze and measure the linear relationship between the independent variable (adopting servant leadership style) and a dependent variable (employee commitment). The significance value was determined at $p < 0.05$. Pearson’s correlation coefficient is the most well-known and extensively used method for determining correlations among variables (Bernardelli, 2018). The generally used correlation coefficient ($r$) less than 0.3 means weak correlation, equal or above 0.3 and less than 0.5 – moderate correlation, and equal or above 0.5 – strong correlation (Heale & Twycross, 2015).

### Table 1. Reliability statistics

| Variables                        | No. of Items | Cronbach’s Alpha |
|----------------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| Independent Variable: Servant leadership items | 15           | 0.902            |
| Dependent Variable: Employee commitment items | 14           | 0.941            |
| Total Questionnaire Cronbach’s Alpha | 29           | 0.947            |

### 3. RESULTS

The paper was dedicated to examining the adoption of servant leadership style by hospital managers in Jordanian governmental hospitals and the impact on employee commitment. Descriptive statistics for the study include frequency and percentage calculated for demographic data. As shown in Table 2, most of the respondents were females (52.2%), and 47.8% were males. The majority of responders (45.0%) were between 35 and

http://dx.doi.org/10.21511/ppm.20(1).2022.25

303
49 years old, with 12.6% aged 50 and up. The education level of participants ranged from diploma (29.0%), bachelor (61.2%), and the lowest percentage (9.8%) was among participants with higher education. 38.3% of respondents had 3 to 6 years of work experience, while the lowest percentage (10.5%) had ten or more years of work experience.

**Table 2. Descriptive statistics**

| Demographics | Frequency | Percentage |
|---------------|-----------|------------|
| Gender        |           |            |
| Male          | 186       | 47.8%      |
| Female        | 203       | 52.2%      |
| Age           |           |            |
| 20 – less than 35 years | 165       | 42.4%      |
| 35 – less than 50 years | 175       | 45.0%      |
| 50 years and above | 49        | 12.6%      |
| Education     |           |            |
| Diploma       | 113       | 29.0%      |
| Bachelor      | 238       | 61.2%      |
| Higher education | 38       | 9.8%       |
| Work experience |         |            |
| Less than 3 years | 115       | 29.6%      |
| 3 – less than 6 years | 149      | 38.3%      |
| 6 – less than 10 years | 84     | 21.6%      |
| 10 years and above | 41     | 10.5%      |

In order to test the first hypothesis (H1), Pearson correlation analysis was carried out to investigate the connection between adopting a servant leadership style as an independent variable and employee commitment as a dependent variable. As presented in Table 3, the Pearson correlation coefficient r extracted is positive and significant. Therefore, it means that servant leadership (0.633, Sig. < 0.01) was associated positively and significantly with employee commitment. Therefore, H1 is accepted.

**Table 3. Pearson correlation**

| Correlations                  | Servant Leadership | Employee Commitment |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Pearson Correlation           | 1                  | .633**              |
| Sig. (2-tailed)               | .000               |                     |
| N                             | 389                | 389                 |

Note: ** means the correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

To test the second hypothesis (H2), the effect of participants’ general characteristics on employee commitment, one-way ANOVA was used. As seen in Table 4, employee commitment was not affected by gender (p = 0.552), age (p = 0.102), education (p = 0.158), and experience (p = 0.070). Therefore, H2 is rejected.

4. DISCUSSION

Leaders within the healthcare sector are in high demand for their ability to practice effectively and produce acceptable patient results. In addition, such leaders need to demonstrate to the public that health results are consistent with the latest information and professional knowledge (Saeed et al., 2015). Hence, this study investigated the link between adopting servant leadership style
and employee commitment within governmental hospitals in Jordan. Quantitative data were collected from the instruments utilized in this study. Pearson correlation coefficient (r) was calculated; the results revealed that using servant leadership style by hospital managers has a strong correlation with employee commitment in Jordan’s government hospitals.

Leadership plays a vital role in all organizations, including health care institutions (Por Pan et al., 2020). Empirical study has shown a significant relationship between adopting a servant leadership style on workers, teams, and organizations. Specifically, servant leadership has been favorably linked to a variety of person, group, and institutional outcomes (Coetzer et al., 2017).

The study’s results revealed that hospital managers who practice servant leadership improve employee commitment, which improves hospital performance in the long run. As a result, government hospitals should emphasize the necessity of employing the servant leadership style to improve healthcare services effectively. The study was noteworthy because it demonstrated how servant leadership influences employee commitment. As a result, organizations must prioritize people more than ever before to be effective and profitable (Kiker et al., 2019).

These findings aligned with Coetzer et al. (2017), who supported that servant leadership has a favorable impact on people, teams, and organizations, resulting in increased overall productivity. Similarly, McNeff and Irving (2017) discovered that servant leadership approaches have a solid link to employee commitment. A leader who practices servant leadership positively adds to employee work satisfaction and commitment. In addition, there is also a strong connection between adopting servant leadership and hospital employees’ conduct, according to Mertel and Brill (2015) and Panaccio et al. (2015). Furthermore, employees whom servant leaders support are more likely to remain engaged and perform effectively, according to Rui et al. (2017).

On the other hand, Chiniara and Bentein (2016) investigated a link between using servant leadership and employee performance. Their findings indicated a positive correlation, and it is consistent with the result of this study. Eva et al. (2021) found that servant leadership has a more significant influence on subordinates’ job satisfaction and commitment to the organization. The study’s findings are likely to contribute to a better knowledge of servant leadership, which will motivate leaders in the health care settings to adopt this leadership style, resulting in higher employee engagement.

CONCLUSION

This paper examines the relationship between servant leadership and hospital employee commitment in Jordan. Using a quantitative research approach, the study concludes that employee commitment is enhanced through adopting servant leadership in governmental hospitals in Jordan. Consequently, a servant leadership style can help healthcare organizations achieve competitive advantages through higher employee engagement. Therefore, it is vital to have hospital leaders who utilize their power to persuade and direct employees to attain shared organizational goals through empowering and encouraging. The findings will contribute to a better understanding of how organizational leaders might use servant leadership, which can aid organizations and employees in establishing a more positive work environment. In addition, future scholars and healthcare practitioners will benefit from the findings of this study in initiating and maintaining training to build servant leadership traits among leaders.
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