The Healthy Weights Initiative: a community-based obesity reduction program with positive impact on depressed mood scores
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Objectives: The risk for many chronic diseases increases with obesity. In addition to these, the risk for depression also increases. Exercise interventions for weight loss among those who are not overweight or obese have shown a moderate effect on depression, but few studies have looked at those with obesity. The objectives of this study were to determine 1) the prevalence of depressed mood in obese participants as determined by the Beck Depression Inventory II at baseline and follow-up; 2) the change in depressed mood between those who completed the program and those who did not; and 3) the differences between those whose depressed mood was alleviated after the program and those who continued to have depressed mood.

Methods: Depressed mood scores were calculated at baseline and follow-up for those who completed the program and for those who quit. Among those who completed the program, chi-squares were used to determine the differences between those who no longer had depressed mood and those who still had depressed mood at the end of the program, and regression analysis was used to determine the independent risk factors for still having depressed mood at program completion.

Results: Depressed mood prevalence decreased from 45.7% to 11.7% (P<0.000) from baseline to follow-up among those who completed the program and increased from 44.8% to 55.6% (P<0.000) among those who quit. After logistic regression, a score of <40 in general health increased the risk of still having depressed mood upon program completion (odds ratio [OR] 3.39; 95% CI 1.18–9.72; P=0.023).

Conclusion: Treating depressed mood among obese adults through a community-based, weight-loss program based on evidence may be an adjunct to medical treatment. More research is needed.
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Introduction

Obesity is difficult to treat. It is the result of complex environmental, socioeconomic, and lifestyle factors.¹–⁶ As such, the prevalence rate of obesity has more than tripled in Canada in the past 30 years.⁷ Complexities in treatment arise when obese patients have comorbidities, which is often the case.⁸ The risk for cardiovascular disease,⁹,¹⁰ diabetes,¹¹ cancers,¹²–¹⁴ and premature mortality¹⁵–²¹ increases with obesity.

In addition to these, the risk for depression also increases. For example, among a nationally representative sample of 9,125 American adults, it was found that having a body mass index (BMI) of >30 kg/m² increased the risk of having a lifetime mood disorder by 25% after adjusting for age, sex, smoking, and other psychiatric disorders.²²

This increased risk is highly problematic, especially when it is overlooked by health
care professionals, as depression has been identified as a barrier to obesity treatment.23–25

The prevalence of depression among obese adults in previous research has ranged depending on the methodology. For example, Onyike et al26 evaluated data from 1988 to 1994 using Diagnostic Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Third Edition (DSM-III), diagnostic criteria for depression and found a prevalence of 5.1% among obese adults (within the past month), while Roberts et al27 evaluated data from 1994 to 1995 using Diagnostic Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV), criteria and found a 15.5% prevalence of depression among obese adults (within the past 2 weeks). In a recent report by the National Center for Health Statistics, the age-adjusted prevalence of moderate depression among obese adults was 42.7% from 2005 to 2010.28

Depression and obesity are closely linked. The relationship appears to be bidirectional, with much discussion in the literature for potential pathways.29 For example, eating behaviors associated with depression have been linked to obesity, such as emotional eating30 and night eating.31 Additionally, the use of mood stabilizers such as antidepressants may cause a person to gain weight.32 Negative effects associated with being overweight or obese may also trigger depressive symptoms.33

Cross-sectional studies have found differences in the relationship between obesity and depression among men compared to women and among those with severe obesity. A study analyzing data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey found a significant association between obesity and depression in women, but not in men. The authors also found that those with severe obesity (BMI ≥40) were four times more likely to be depressed, even after controlling for many demographic and behavioral factors.26

In a meta-analysis of longitudinal studies on the relationship between depression and overweight and obesity, it was found that baseline obesity increased the onset of depression by 55%, and being overweight increased the risk of onset of depression by 27%. Furthermore, the study found that depression also increased the risk of developing obesity by 58%.33

The good news is that weight loss can positively impact mood. In a study of 487 patients who underwent gastric-restrictive weight loss surgery, it was found that losing weight after the surgery was associated with a significant and sustained drop in depressed mood scores, at 1-year and 4-year follow-up.34 This narrowly focuses on surgery/weight loss and depressed mood. The impact of other interventions to improve weight has had small to moderate, but positive, results on depression. For example, the Cochrane Collaboration reviewed 35 trials with 1,356 participants to determine the impact of exercise on depression. The authors concluded that there is only a moderate effect of exercise on depression, and there are too few quality trials.35 Essentially, the research is too early to be definitive. In addition, this review excluded studies with participants who were overweight or obese or those who had only depressed mood, rather than depression. Perhaps the effect would be larger among those who are depressed but are also suffering from obesity.

It is appropriate for an obese person to be treated in a clinician’s office or by a commercial weight loss program. The Healthy Weights Initiative in Moose Jaw, Saskatchewan, is a community-based, obesity reduction program that adheres to the ten principles outlined by the International Obesity Task Force and the Guidelines for Managing Overweight and Obesity in Adults (2013), which recommend intensive, multi-component behavioral intervention to address obesity and its related health effects.36 The first objective is to determine the prevalence and severity of depressed mood in obese participants as determined by a validated instrument at baseline and follow-up for the first five waves of participants. The second objective is to determine the change in depressed mood between those who completed the program and those who did not. The third objective is to determine the differences between those whose depressed mood was alleviated after the program and those who continued to have depressed mood.

Methods
Setting
According to data from Statistics Canada, there are ~5,000 adults living in the city of Moose Jaw, Saskatchewan, Canada, who are obese.37

Participants
Adults with a BMI ≥30 kg/m² who were referred to the program by a medical doctor were eligible to participate. Participants received a medical screen by their family physician to ensure safety to participate. No other inclusion or exclusion criteria were applied. This study was deemed exempt from ethical approval by the University of Saskatchewan Behavioural Ethics Board. As this study met the requirements for exemption status per Article 2.5 of the Tri-Council Policy Statement: Ethical Conduct for Research Involving Humans, December 2014, participant consent was not sought.

Measures
The Beck Depression Inventory II (BDI-II) was used to measure depressed mood among participants.38 This tool has a good internal scale reliability for community-dwelling adults (Cronbach’s alpha =0.86–0.92).39 Scores of 1–10 indicate
no depressed mood; scores of 11–16 indicate mild mood disturbances; 17–20 represent borderline clinical depressed mood; 21–30 represent moderate depressed mood; 31–40 indicate severe depressed mood; and scores of 41 or more indicate extreme depressed mood.

The Short Form-36 (SF-36) was used to measure general health status.40 The SF-36 is a commonly used instrument that measures eight dimensions of health: physical functioning, physical role functioning, bodily pain, general health perceptions, vitality, social role functioning, emotional role functioning, and mental health.

Four questions on self-esteem were taken from the Self-Description Questionnaire III. These questions asked participants to give an answer of 1) “false,” 2) “mostly false,” 3) “sometimes true, sometimes false,” 4) “mostly true,” and 5) “true” to each statement.41

During a medical screen, each participant had their blood pressure, blood sugar, and blood cholesterol levels tested. Individual fitness evaluations were also conducted, which included calculation of each participant’s BMI, waist-to-hip ratio, body fat percentage, and aerobic fitness.42

Procedures

The Healthy Weights Initiative is a community-based weight loss program offering group-based sessions at the local YMCA (Young Men’s Christian Association), and offered free of charge to all participants. Each participant received programming for 12 consecutive weeks, which included five group exercise sessions per week (60 in total) led by an exercise therapist; one group dietary session per week (12 in total) led by a dietician; and one group cognitive-behavioral therapy session per week (12 in total) led by a registered psychologist. After completing the program, participants received one group-based exercise session per week for an additional 12 weeks to serve as maintenance therapy. The details of each section of the program are described elsewhere.43

Each participant was asked to attend with a family member or friend who also had a BMI of at least 30. This social support individual, or “buddy,” was also asked to sign a social support contract, which acknowledges the participant’s physical activity and dietary goals, possible barriers to achieving those goals, solutions to overcoming those barriers, and how the buddy can help implement those solutions. Two other family members or friends also signed the social support contract. Each of these components is recommended by the Canadian Society for Exercise Physiology Physical Activity Training for Health guidelines.42

At 12 weeks, identical outcome measures from baseline were obtained from those who completed the program. Those who quit the program were contacted by clinic staff to complete a follow-up BDI-II survey.

Analysis

Those who scored 11 or higher on the BDI-II were considered to have depressed mood. Mean scores (with 95% confidence intervals [CI]) and prevalence rates between those who completed the program and those who quit the program were compared at baseline and follow-up, and chi-squares (SPSS Version 23.0) were used to determine statistically significant differences.

Those who completed the program and who scored 11 or higher on the BDI-II at baseline were included in an additional analysis. Baseline and follow-up mean BDI-II scores were compared using a paired samples t-test. Further, the group was broken down into two categories: those who no longer had depressed mood at follow-up and those who did. These groups were then compared across demographics, comorbidities, prescription drug use, smoking status, program adherence, self-esteem, self-report health, and self-report mental health using cross-tabulations. Mean scores were compared with 95% confidence intervals reported \( P<0.05 \) for physiological measures (including weight, body composition, BMI, blood glucose, blood cholesterol, and blood pressure), and SF-36 domain scores were also compared across the two groups.

After these initial cross-tabulations, binary logistic regression was used to determine the independent association between the outcome variable of depressed mood at 12 weeks and the potential explanatory variables. In the case where means were statistically significant, scores were grouped into exclusive binary categories. The unadjusted effect of each covariate was determined and then entered one step at a time based on changes in the \(-2\log\) likelihood and the Wald test. The final results are presented as adjusted odds ratios with 95% CIs.44

Results

Participant demographic and baseline information are presented in Table 1. Females, younger participants (<45 years of age), and single participants had significantly higher mean scores on the baseline BDI-II, where higher scores indicate more depressed mood. There were no significant differences in baseline mean scores across education level, employment, smoking status, and program completion or adherence (Table 2).

Figure 1 indicates the participant data used in this study. Those with missing data were removed from the analysis. The prevalence of depressed mood at baseline among those who completed the program (n=232) was 45.3% (27.2%
had mild mood disturbances, 12.1% had borderline clinical depressed mood, 4.7% had moderate depressed mood, and 1.3% had severe or extreme depressed mood. The prevalence of depressed mood at baseline among those who quit the program (n=56) was 46.4% (17.9% had mild mood disturbances, 12.5% had borderline clinical depressed mood, 14.3% had moderate depressed mood, and 1.8% had severe or extreme depressed mood). The results are presented in Table 3. The higher scores among those who quit the program on the BDI-II are discussed in further detail in another paper.43

Table 1 Participant demographics and baseline information in the Healthy Weights Initiative, N=296

| Variable                          | n (%)       |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| Sex                               |             |
| Male                              | 76 (25.7)   |
| Female                            | 220 (74.3)  |
| Age category (years)              |             |
| 18–25                             | 18 (6.1)    |
| 26–34                             | 50 (16.9)   |
| 25–44                             | 78 (26.4)   |
| 45–54                             | 75 (25.3)   |
| 55–64                             | 70 (23.6)   |
| 65+                               | 5 (1.7)     |
| Marital status                    |             |
| Married                           | 179 (60.5)  |
| Common law                        | 32 (10.8)   |
| Single                            | 85 (28.7)   |
| Education level                   |             |
| Less than high school             | 19 (6.4)    |
| High school                       | 111 (37.5)  |
| College/technical/trade           | 123 (41.6)  |
| University                        | 42 (14.2)   |
| Missing                           | 1 (0.3)     |
| Employment status                 |             |
| Unemployed                        | 46 (15.6)   |
| Nonprofessional                   | 194 (65.5)  |
| Professional                      | 54 (18.2)   |
| Retired                           | 2 (0.7)     |
| Chronic disease comorbidities     |             |
| At least one reported             | 199 (67.2)  |
| None reported                     | 95 (32.1)   |
| Missing                           | 2 (0.7)     |
| Smoking status                    |             |
| Smoker                            | 51 (17.2)   |
| Nonsmoker                         | 237 (80.0)  |
| Missing                           | 8 (2.7)     |
| Baseline obesity                  |             |
| Class I                           | 107 (36.1)  |
| Class II                          | 90 (30.4)   |
| Class III                         | 94 (31.8)   |
| Missing                           | 5 (1.7)     |
| Completed the program             |             |
| Yes                               | 234 (79.1)  |
| No                                | 62 (20.9)   |
| Depressed mood (BDI-II range)     |             |
| Normal (≤10)                      | 158 (53.4)  |
| Mild (11–16)                      | 71 (24.0)   |
| Borderline clinical (17–20)       | 37 (12.5)   |
| Moderate (21–30)                  | 19 (6.4)    |
| Extreme/severe (≥31)              | 5 (1.7)     |
| Missing                           | 6 (2.0)     |

Table 2 Baseline BDI-II scores across demographics and program completion and adherence (lower scores indicate better mood), N=290

| Variable                  | Mean (SD) | 95% CI | P-value |
|---------------------------|-----------|--------|---------|
| Sex                       |           |        |         |
| Males                     | 8.3 (7.0) | 6.7–9.9| 0.003   |
| Females                   | 11.3 (7.5)| 10.3–12.3|        |
| Age                       |           |        |         |
| <45 years                 | 11.7 (8.1)| 10.3–13.0| 0.008   |
| 45 years or older         | 9.3 (6.5) | 8.2–10.4|         |
| Marital status            |           |        |         |
| Single                    | 12.1 (8.0)| 10.4–13.8| 0.018   |
| Married/common law        | 9.8 (7.1) | 8.8–10.8|         |
| Education level           |           |        |         |
| Secondary or less         | 10.8 (7.5)| 9.4–12.1| 0.579   |
| Postsecondary             | 10.3 (7.5)| 9.1–11.4|         |
| Employment                |           |        |         |
| Unemployed                | 10.1 (6.4)| 8.2–11.9| 0.541   |
| Nonprofessional           | 10.8 (7.7)| 9.7–11.9|         |
| Professional              | 9.6 (7.3) | 7.6–11.7|         |
| Smoking status            |           |        |         |
| Smoker                    | 11.0 (8.8)| 8.4–13.5| 0.662   |
| Nonsmoker                 | 10.4 (7.2)| 9.5–11.4|         |
| Program completion        |           |        |         |
| No                        | 11.9 (7.9)| 9.8–14.0| 0.111   |
| Yes                       | 10.1 (7.3)| 9.2–11.1|         |
| Gym adherence              |           |        |         |
| <80%                      | 11.0 (6.7)| 9.7–12.3| 0.178   |
| 80% or more               | 9.7 (7.8) | 8.5–10.9|         |
| Diet adherence             |           |        |         |
| <80%                      | 10.6 (7.7)| 9.4–11.8| 0.164   |
| 80% or more               | 9.1 (6.2) | 7.6–10.6|         |
| CBT adherence              |           |        |         |
| <80%                      | 10.5 (7.7)| 9.4–11.1| 0.212   |
| 80% or more               | 9.1 (6.0) | 7.5–10.7|         |

Notes: P-values were considered significant at an α level of 0.05. A comparison of means was performed via a two sample t-test.

Abbreviations: BDI-II, Beck Depression Inventory II; CBT, cognitive-behavioral therapy.
Table 3 Comparing baseline and follow-up BDI-II scores between those who completed the program and those who quit (lower scores indicate better mood), N=290

|                  | Did not complete, the program | Completed, the program | P-value |
|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Mean BDI score (mean ± SD) |                               |                        |         |
| Baseline         | 12.13 (8.1)                   | 9.97 (7.0)             |         |
| Follow-up        | 13.23 (9.4)                   | 5.09 (4.6)             |         |
| Baseline (%)     |                               |                        |         |
| No depressed mood| 30 (53.6)                     | 127 (54.7)             | 0.039   |
| Mild/borderline depressed mood | 17 (30.4)               | 91 (39.3)              |         |
| Moderate-to-severe depressed mood | 9 (16.0)               | 14 (6.0)               |         |
| Follow-up (%)    |                               |                        | 0.000   |
| No depressed mood| 24 (42.9)                     | 188 (80.3)             |         |
| Mild/borderline depressed mood | 15 (26.8)            | 22 (9.4)               |         |
| Moderate-to-severe depressed mood | 15 (26.8)            | 3 (1.3)                |         |

Notes: Results are in response to a one way analysis of variance (ANOVA). P-values were considered significant at the α 0.05 level.

Abbreviation: BDI-II, Beck Depression Inventory II.

Figure 1 Flow diagram for included participants.

Abbreviation: BDI-II, Beck Depression Inventory II.
The 106 participants who were experiencing some level of depressed mood at baseline were included in an additional analysis. The sample was predominantly female (81.1%), and 18.9% were 55–64 years, 38.3% were 45–54 years, 30.2% were 35–44 years, 17.9% were 26–34 years, and 4.7% were 18–25 years. Two-thirds were married or in a common-law relationship, while the remaining 33% were not married. In regard to education, 15.1% completed university, 41.5% completed college, technical, or trade school, 35.8% completed high school, and 7.5% had less than high school education. Most were employed, with 67% working in nonprofessional fields and 17% working in professional fields. Baseline mean BDI-II score (16.2) differed significantly from the follow-up mean score (7.41), at $P<0.001$.

There were no significant differences among those who continued to have depressed mood from those who no longer had depressed mood at follow-up across sex, age, marital status, employment status, education level, or smoking status. Nor were there any significant differences in the number of comorbidities, number of prescription drugs, or health care utilization reported at baseline. Further, there were no significant differences between the two groups with regard to adhering to any segment of the program (Table 4).

Those who continued to have depressed mood after the program selected false or mostly false to the statement, “I like the way I look” at baseline significantly more than those who no longer reported depressed mood ($P=0.001$). There were no other differences in self-esteem variables or in self-report health or self-report mental health at baseline (Table 5).

Those who no longer reported depressed mood did score significantly better on the SF-36 pain ($P=0.018$) and general health domains ($P=0.026$) at baseline than those who continued to have depressed mood. Although those who were no longer depressed scored better on all remaining dimensions but one (role limitations due to emotional health), these were not significant. There were no statistically significant differences between those who continued to have depressed mood after the program and those who no longer had depressed mood on any physiological measure at baseline (Table 5).

After regression analysis (presented in Table 6), only SF-36 general health domain score independently increased the risk of still having depressed mood at follow-up (odds ratio 3.39; 95% CI 1.18–9.72; $P=0.023$).

### Table 4 Subgroup with BDI-II scores of $\geq 11$ at baseline (n=106)

| Demographics          | Depressed mood, after 12 weeks (%) | No depressed mood, after 12 weeks (%) | P-value |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| **Sex**               |                                   |                                      |         |
| Male                  | 4 (23.5)                          | 13 (76.5)                            | 0.942   |
| Female                | 19 (24.4)                         | 59 (76.5)                            |         |
| **Age (years)**       |                                   |                                      |         |
| Younger than 45       | 8 (17.0)                          | 39 (83.0)                            | 0.364   |
| 45 and older          | 15 (31.3)                         | 33 (68.8)                            |         |
| **Marital status**    |                                   |                                      |         |
| Not married           | 8 (23.5)                          | 26 (76.5)                            | 0.908   |
| Married or common law | 15 (24.6)                         | 46 (75.4)                            |         |
| **Employment**        |                                   |                                      |         |
| Not employed          | 5 (31.3)                          | 11 (68.8)                            | 0.765   |
| Nonprofessional       | 15 (23.1)                         | 50 (76.9)                            |         |
| Professional          | 3 (21.4)                          | 11 (78.6)                            |         |
| **Education level**   |                                   |                                      |         |
| High school or less   | 8 (20.5)                          | 31 (79.5)                            | 0.483   |
| Postsecondary         | 15 (26.8)                         | 41 (73.2)                            |         |
| **Health**            |                                   |                                      |         |
| Number of comorbidities reported |                   |                                      | 0.527   |
| None                  | 6 (30.0)                          | 14 (70.0)                            |         |
| One                   | 4 (16.0)                          | 21 (84.0)                            |         |
| Two or more           | 12 (24.5)                         | 37 (75.5)                            |         |
| **Number of prescription drugs** |                   |                                      | 0.116   |
| None                  | 8 (23.5)                          | 26 (76.5)                            |         |
| One or two            | 6 (15.4)                          | 33 (84.6)                            |         |
| Three or more         | 8 (40.0)                          | 12 (60.0)                            |         |
| **Smoking status**    |                                   |                                      | 0.174   |
| Yes                   | 6 (37.5)                          | 10 (62.5)                            |         |
| No                    | 17 (21.5)                         | 62 (78.5)                            |         |
| **Program adherence** |                                   |                                      |         |
| Exercise sessions     |                                   |                                      |         |
| <80%                  | 9 (29.0)                          | 22 (71.0)                            | 0.470   |
| 80% or more           | 14 (22.2)                         | 49 (77.8)                            |         |
| Diet sessions         |                                   |                                      | 0.726   |
| <80%                  | 7 (22.6)                          | 24 (77.4)                            |         |
| 80% or more           | 12 (26.1)                         | 34 (73.9)                            |         |
| CBT sessions          |                                   |                                      | 0.742   |
| <80%                  | 10 (26.3)                         | 28 (73.7)                            |         |
| 80% or more           | 9 (23.1)                          | 30 (76.9)                            |         |

Note: Cross-tabulations of depressed mood at follow-up across demographics, health variables, and program adherence, $P$-values were considered significant at an $\alpha$ level of 0.05. A comparison of means was performed via a two sample t-test. Abbreviations: BDI-II, Beck Depression Inventory II; CBT, cognitive-behavioral therapy.

Among those who began the program with a BDI-II score of 11 or more (depressed mood), 67.9% no longer had depressed mood after 12 weeks. This is an important result, as even those with severe and extreme depressed mood improved. Depressed mood prevalence at baseline among those who quit the program was similar to those who completed the program (45.3% vs 46.4%), but after follow-up, those who quit the

### Discussion

Although the Healthy Weights Initiative was designed as an obesity reduction program, the completion of the program is associated with a significant reduction in depressed mood.
Table 5 Subgroup with BDI-II scores of ≥11 at baseline (n=106)

|                           | Depressed mood, after 12 weeks | No depressed mood, after 12 weeks | P-value |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| **Self-esteem (%)**       |                                |                                   |         |
| In general, I like the way I am |                                |                                   | 0.144   |
| False/mostly false        | 13 (31.7)                      | 28 (68.3)                         | NA      |
| Sometimes true/sometimes false | 10 (22.2)                      | 35 (77.8)                         | NA      |
| True/mostly true          | 0                              | 8 (100.0)                         | NA      |
| I have a lot to be proud of |                                |                                   | 0.900   |
| False/mostly false        | 1 (16.7)                       | 5 (83.3)                          | NA      |
| Sometimes true/sometimes false | 13 (25.0)                      | 39 (75.0)                         | NA      |
| True/mostly true          | 9 (25.0)                       | 27 (75.0)                         | NA      |
| Many things about me are good |                                |                                   | 0.687   |
| False/mostly false        | 1 (12.5)                       | 7 (87.5)                          | NA      |
| Sometimes true/sometimes false | 10 (27.0)                      | 27 (73.0)                         | NA      |
| True/mostly true          | 12 (24.5)                      | 37 (75.5)                         | NA      |
| I like the way I look     |                                |                                   | 0.001   |
| False/mostly false        | 20 (33.9)                      | 39 (66.1)                         | NA      |
| Sometimes true/sometimes false | 2 (3.1)                        | 31 (96.9)                         | NA      |
| True/mostly true          | 2 (66.7)                       | 1 (33.3)                          | NA      |
| **Self-report (%)**       |                                |                                   | 0.874   |
| Mental health             |                                |                                   |         |
| Poor/fair                 | 9 (25.0)                       | 27 (75.0)                         | NA      |
| Good                      | 12 (25.5)                      | 35 (74.5)                         | NA      |
| Very good/excellent       | 2 (22.2)                       | 9 (77.8)                          | NA      |
| Health                    |                                |                                   | 0.303   |
| Poor/fair                 | 18 (28.6)                      | 45 (71.4)                         | NA      |
| Good                      | 5 (18.5)                       | 22 (81.5)                         | NA      |
| Very good/excellent       | 0                              | 4 (100.0)                         | NA      |
| **SF-36 dimension (mean ± SD)** |                                |                                   |         |
| Physical functioning      | 54.4 (18.6)                    | 62.1 (22.2)                       | 0.136   |
| Role limitations d/t physical health | 47.5 (34.5) | 52.3 (38.3)                      | 0.594   |
| Pain                      | 6.6 (17.7)                     | 59.8 (24.2)                       | 0.018   |
| General health            | 32.8 (18.3)                    | 43.7 (20.7)                       | 0.026   |
| Vitality                  | 33.1 (18.4)                    | 35.5 (16.0)                       | 0.555   |
| Social functioning        | 59.1 (23.2)                    | 61.3 (23.8)                       | 0.705   |
| Role limitations d/t emotional health | 54.6 (30.6) | 51.1 (39.4)                      | 0.697   |
| Emotional well-being      | 49.2 (11.9)                    | 56.3 (16.9)                       | 0.061   |
| **Physiological measure (mean ± SD)** |                                |                                   |         |
| Weight (lbs)              | 240.3 (47.9)                   | 242.8 (47.0)                      | 0.829   |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)   | 39.0 (7.5)                     | 38.9 (7.0)                        | 0.929   |
| Body fat (lbs)            | 111.8 (27.0)                   | 110.9 (26.3)                      | 0.893   |
| Body fat (%)              | 46.3 (3.9)                     | 45.2 (4.3)                        | 0.286   |
| Waist circumference (inches) | 46.1 (6.1)                    | 45.4 (5.4)                        | 0.587   |
| Hip circumference (inches) | 50.5 (6.2)                     | 51.7 (7.0)                        | 0.444   |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 128.9 (17.3) | 131.7 (11.0)                      | 0.361   |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 84.8 (10.7) | 84.0 (10.8)                       | 0.751   |
| Blood glucose (mmol/L)    | 5.5 (0.5)                      | 6.0 (2.3)                         | 0.279   |
| Blood cholesterol (mmol/L) | 4.9 (1.1)                      | 5.0 (1.2)                         | 0.786   |
| Aerobic fitness score     | 151.7 (128.5)                  | 161.6 (120.6)                     | 0.738   |

Notes: Cross-tabulations of depressed mood at follow-up across mental health, well-being, and physiological measures at baseline. Results are in response to a one way analysis of variance (ANOVA). P-values were considered significant at the α 0.05 level.

Abbreviations: BDI-II, Beck Depression Inventory II; SF-36, Short Form-36; d/t, due to.

The prevalence of moderate to severe depressed mood was 8.3%, which is comparable to previous studies that used DSM-III criteria to diagnose depression.26,27

Those whose depressed mood improved at the end of the program responded with “sometimes true, sometimes false”...
more often to the statement “I like the way I look” at baseline than those who had depressed mood at the end of the program (96.9% vs 3.1%). Although not a necessarily positive answer, it suggests that those who no longer had depressed mood may self-evaluate their body image on a day-to-day basis, rather than having an overall negative outlook. This may be indicative for self-compassion, an alternative conceptualization of healthy attitude toward self, and an attribute theoretically protective against depression.45

Those who no longer had depressed mood at follow-up had higher baseline scores on the SF-36 for pain, and therefore, more room to improve their pain. Pain has long been linked to depression.46 A longitudinal study of 500 primary care patients over 1 year found that pain was a strong predictor of depression severity, but depression severity was also a strong predictor of subsequent pain.47 Chronic pain is relatively common in Canadian population (18.9% in 2011),48 and especially common among those with obesity.49 One systematic review found obesity to be associated with pain from fibromyalgia, headaches, upper and lower extremity pain, and low back pain; the review further supports that weight loss can improve a wide variety of body pain related to obesity.49

Further, those who no longer had depressed mood at follow-up had higher baseline scores on the SF-36 dimension of general health. This domain covers self-report health, expectations about future health, and comparing health to others. Having a lower baseline score on this domain independently increased the risk of having depressed mood at follow-up by 239%, suggesting those with worse self-perception of their health are harder to treat with regard to depressed mood. A study published in the Lancet on comorbid depression and chronic disease from 60 countries found that after controlling for socioeconomic factors and health conditions, depression was the strongest predictor of worsening health compared to arthritis, asthma, diabetes, and angina.50 Given that 69.8% of the baseline depressed mood sample reported one or more comorbidities, this is important to consider when treating comorbid obesity.

Depressed mood is a central symptom of clinical depression and should be investigated and addressed promptly by care providers. Having a chronic condition, such as obesity, is significantly associated with having depression,51 and as many as 74% of those who have depression do not seek treatment.52 Adherence rates for medical treatment of depression are also notoriously poor. One study found that 45.9% of Canadian patients who are prescribed antidepressants quit taking their medication within 1 year.53 Given that certain types of antidepressants may actually contribute to weight problems, these may not be a suitable treatment method for those who are already obese.

Failing to adequately acknowledge and treat depression is not only detrimental to the health of the individual but also expensive. In a study published by the Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, depression costs the Canadian economy $51 billion per year, with a majority due to lost productivity and absence from work. For example, when a worker takes leave from work due to depression, the average cost to an employer is $18,000.54

The cognitive-behavioral therapy sessions offered in the program are important in addressing mental health, but the diet sessions and the exercise sessions, as well as losing weight itself, also play a role in positively impacting mood. For example, a study published in the American Journal of Psychiatry found that a “Western” diet was associated with poorer mental and physical health among women than “traditional” dietary patterns of high vegetable, fruit, meat, fish, and whole grains.55

Exercise as a treatment for depression has received more research attention, but the quality of research appears to be low. In a 2013 review by the Cochrane Collaboration, a moderate effect was found for exercise in the treatment of depression when reviewing robust randomized controlled trials.55 The authors concluded there is still a need for studies on the independent effect of exercise on depression. A systematic review of exercise interventions used as a method to manage depression published in the British Medical Journal came to a similar conclusion.56 However, studies included in both reviews did not look at the impact of exercise on depression in an obese population.

Here, we reviewed the impact of a comprehensive intervention that included five exercise sessions a week on depressed mood in obese adults. Markowitz et al29 suggest that overlap in treatment modalities for depression and obesity may be particularly effective, due to treating either condition will address improvements in life functioning and stress management. Challenges can occur in adherence, but the Healthy Weights Initiative has social support structures in place to improve adherence.

### Table 6 Independent risk factors for having depressed mood at follow-up

| Independent variable | Odds ratio | 95% CI | P-value |
|----------------------|------------|-------|---------|
| SF-36 general health score < 40 | 3.39 | 1.18–9.72 | 0.023 |

**Note:** Results are in response to a binary logistic regression. P-values were considered significant at the α 0.05 level.

**Abbreviation:** SF-36, Short Form-36.
Clinical Implications
Depressed mood in obesity may be treated with an obesity-reduction program that includes exercise, cognitive-behavioural therapy, and diet education. Depressed mood is strongly tied to general health and should be addressed by health care professionals among those suffering from obesity.

Limitations
Those who did not complete the program were all contacted between 6 months and 12 months after anticipated program completion. Further, the long-term (1 year) results of the study are not yet available. It is possible that adherence to weight loss practices promoted in the program may change, and therefore, the physical and mental health outcomes associated with the program may also change.

Conclusion
The Healthy Weights Initiative uses evidence-based practices, is comprehensive in its targeting of unhealthy weight behaviours, is community based, and promotes strong social support. Although designed as an obesity reduction program, there are benefits to mental health and depressed mood. Given the sometimes poor treatment outcomes with medical therapy, low adherence rates, and the multiple pathways between obesity and depression, treating depressed mood through a community-based, weight-loss program based on evidence may be a superior alternative to medical treatment.
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