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Abstract: Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is a complex threat to human health and, to date, it represents a hot topic in drug discovery. The use of non-antibiotic molecules to block resistance mechanisms is a powerful alternative to the identification of new antibiotics. Bacterial efflux pumps exert the early step of AMR development, allowing the bacteria to grow in presence of sub-inhibitory drug concentration and develop more specific resistance mechanisms. Thus, efflux pump inhibitors (EPIs) offer a great opportunity to fight AMR, potentially restoring antibiotic activity. Based on our experience in designing and synthesizing novel EPIs, herein, we retrieved information around quinoline and indole derivatives reported in literature on this topic. Thus, our aim was to collect all data around these promising classes of EPIs in order to delineate a comprehensive structure–activity relationship (SAR) around each core for different microbes. With this review article, we aim to help future research in the field in the discovery of new microbial EPIs with improved activity and a better safety profile.
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1. Introduction

The extensive use of antibiotics to treat microbial infections in humans and animals is paving the way to the development of resistant microorganisms. To date, antimicrobial resistance (AMR) represents a complex global public health issue with high costs for the healthcare sector, and the wider societal impact still largely unknown [1]. As a result of multidrug-resistant microbial infections, about 700,000 people worldwide die each year [2], 33,000 of which die in Europe, burdening the European Union with costs of EUR 1.5 billion annually [3]. Similarly, in the United States, more than 2 million people are infected with antibiotic-resistant microorganisms each year, with 35,000 deaths and an yearly cost to the health system estimated at USD 21 to USD 34 billion, coupled with more than 8 million additional days in hospitalizations [1].

To face AMR, besides discovering novel antibacterial agents, an alternative/parallel strategy relies on the approach of targeting microbial mechanisms underlying resistance. The idea of freezing resistance would allow antibiotics, which generated the resistance, to work again, thereby renewing our armamentarium to fight microbial infections. Over the years, molecules targeting factors involved in AMR have been named in different ways: adjuvant molecules, helper compounds or antimicrobial resistance breakers (ARBs) [4–7]. All of them share the lack of any antimicrobial activity of their own and the ability to synergize by different mechanisms with known antimicrobials, thus restoring the lost activity against resistant strains. Since microorganisms evolve resistance only for compounds exerting bactericidal or bacteriostatic effects, the lack of the antimicrobial activity in ARBs appears to be a strength for their potential use [8]. In addition, the combination of an ARB with an antibiotic could likely reduce the doses of the latter, thereby mitigating its side effects. Consequently, the use of ARB appears advantageous, even considering the great number
of microbial proteins and factors not suitable for developing direct antibacterials, which could be exploited as promising targets [4]. In this regard, ARBs might also be considered as anti-virulence compounds when the mechanisms involved in AMR are associated with increased microbial virulence, thus suggesting their use as a mono-therapy [9]. The research for anti-virulence compounds exerting a poor selective pressure on microorganisms and potentially respecting gut microbiota is in its infancy, more so than the strategy to combine an ARB with an antibiotic, but this approach deserves much more attention [10].

However, the use of an ARB to fight AMR is challenging, owing to various potential issues, including the identification of correct biological assays demonstrating the effective ARB activity. Indeed, it is essential that the synergism between the ARB and the antibiotic relies on the expected mechanism of the ARB. Moreover, the candidate ARB molecule should overcome all the “journey” of a drug development and next potential drug–drug interactions or side effects when co-administrated with different antibiotics. However, the feasibility of this strategy can be sought in the success of the β-lactamase inhibitors [11], progenitor of the whole ARB class and currently deemed essential in the antibiotic therapy based on β-lactam antibiotics.

Considering their peculiar role in AMR development, efflux pumps (EPs) represent a valuable target for developing ARB agents. EPs are typical trans-membrane proteins present both in prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells. They require an energy source (i.e., proton motive force) to extrude noxious compounds, such as substances synthetized by host organisms and a large array of antimicrobial agents [12]. Based on the amino acid sequence similarities, predicted secondary protein structures and phylogenetic relationship, microbial EPs are divided into six different families: ATP-binding cassette (ABC) superfamily, major facilitator superfamily (MFS), multidrug and toxic compound extrusion (MATE) superfamily, small multidrug resistance (SMR) superfamily, proteobacterial antimicrobial compound efflux (PACE) family, resistance–nodulation–cell Division (RND) superfamily [13]. In contrast, when classified according to the energy source used to extrude substrates, EPs fall in two classes; the former utilizes the hydrolysis of ATP (ABC superfamily) while the second class is an electrochemical gradient of sodium ions or protons (all the other families) [14].

EP inhibitors (EPIs) are to be considered a class of ARBs that may hold a pivotal role in fighting AMR. Since they are expressed in all microorganisms at a basal level, EPs are involved in several pathways functional to the microbial life [15]. However, during antibiotic treatment, the basal presence of EPs contributes to slightly decreased antimicrobial concentrations inside the microbial cells to sub-inhibitory levels, in turn producing an increase in the mutational transformations in microorganisms and promoting the development of target-based resistance [16–20]. Moreover, through the use of EPIs, the role of EPs in biofilm formation has been indirectly shown, by observing that pathogens overexpressing EP genes display a thicker biofilm than corresponding wild-type and EP genes knock-out strains. Although the mechanisms by which EPIs contribute to the formation of biofilm are still unclear, the possibility that an EPI could inhibit biofilm is very intriguing [21–23].

Therefore, an EPI potentially appears effective: (i) in reducing insurgence of resistance in wild-type strains, (ii) in overcoming efflux-mediated mechanisms in resistant strains and (iii) in hindering biofilm production.

However, the downside underlying the development of an ARB, such as an EPI, relies on the poor availability of quick and smart biological screenings able to identify active molecules early. In addition, in literature, molecules have often been described as EPIs when they are not owing to the poor knowledge of the efflux mechanisms and the lack of biophysical experiments validating a true EP inhibition. Thus, the presence of “fake” EPIs in literature is (i) facilitated by the poor experience in this field due to its novelty and (ii) encouraged by the exhausting research of molecules fighting AMR and by the fascinating approach underlying the identification of ARBs.
Among the hundreds of papers reported in literature on this topic, we noticed the presence of two scaffolds which were most employed to design and synthesize new synthetic EPIs. Accordingly, based on our experience in the search for EPIs, we collected information about the derivatives reported in literature as microbial EPIs and characterized by these two scaffolds (quinoline and indole) in order to highlight the potential of these classes for the development of potent EPIs. For a comprehensive description of all microbial EPIs present in literature, we suggest some recent review articles [10,24,25]. Indeed, herein, we focused the attention on the delineation of a clear structure–activity relationship (SAR) around quinoline and indole derivatives as microbial EPIs. Since an EPI should not possess any antibacterial activity on its own, all described derivatives are to be considered as not possessing this activity, unless indicated. Great attention has been given to the experiments proving EPI activity and to the evaluation of the toxic profile. In order to compare EPI activities, when possible, the synergistic activity of EPIs with antibiotics has been furnished as minimal potentiating concentration (MPC) able to reduce x-fold the antibiotic minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) (MPCx). Below, EPI derivatives have been classified on the basis of the microorganisms where they are tested. In Table 1, all the microorganisms used to assess EPI activity for the cited compounds are reported. In addition, in Table S1, chemical names and structures of all described EPIs are reported.

### Table 1. Description of the cited strains.

| Strains          | Description                                                                 | Ref.   |
|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| **B. subtilis**  |                                                                              |        |
| ΔΔ               | genes that encode the multidrug transporters Bmr and Blt are genetically inactivated | [26]   |
| ΔΔNA             | expresses a functional NorA transporter from the plasmid expression vector pBEV | [27]   |
| **S. aureus**    |                                                                              |        |
| SA-1199          | wild-type                                                                    | [27]   |
| SA-1199B         | overexpresses the chromosomal norA gene which harbors a mutation in grlA    | [28]   |
| 8325-4           | wild-type                                                                    | [29]   |
| K1758            | ΔnorA                                                                        | [30]   |
| K2361            | SA-K1758 with pK364:norA                                                    | [31]   |
| ATCC 25923       | wild-type                                                                    |        |
| SA-K2378         | having a plasmid that results in overexpression of norA from S. aureus SA1199| [32]   |
| SA-K1902         | ΔnorA                                                                        | [32]   |
| **E. aerogenes** |                                                                              |        |
| ATCC 13048       | wild-type                                                                    |        |
| ATCC 13048 p9    | overexpressing the EP activator marA gene                                   | [33]   |
| EA27             | overexpressing EP, clinically isolated                                       | [34]   |
| EA3              | overexpressing EP, clinically isolated                                       | [34]   |
| EA5              | overexpressing EP, clinically isolated                                       | [34]   |
| CM-64            | resistant to CAF due to EP overexpressing,                                  | [35]   |
| EA117            | low porin levels and also substitutions in the QRDR domain of GrlA          | [36]   |
| EA289            | overexpressing AcrAB–TolC pump                                              | [36]   |
| **E. coli**      |                                                                              |        |
| AG100            | wild-type                                                                    |        |
| AG100A           | AcrAB pump-deficient                                                        | [37]   |
| AG100tet         | overexpressing efflux pump                                                  | [38]   |
| ATCC 25922       | wild-type                                                                    |        |
| YD02             | multidrug-resistant after induction from ATCC 25922                         | [39]   |
| **P. aeruginosa**|                                                                              |        |
| ATCC 27853       | wild-type                                                                    |        |
Table 1. Cont.

| Strains | Description | Ref. |
|---------|-------------|------|
| KP55    | *K. pneumoniae* porin deficient phenotype | [40] |
| ATCC 700084 | *M. smegmatis mc²155* wild-type | [41] |
| 104     | *M. avium* wild-type | [42] |
| 104_CLA3| resistant to CLA due to overexpression of efflux pumps | [43] |
| 104_CLA4| resistant to CLA due to overexpressing efflux pumps and harboring the mutation A-2059G in 23S rRNA | [43] |

2. *Staphylococcus aureus* Efflux Pump Inhibitors

*S. aureus* is a Gram-positive bacterium belonging to the family of Staphylococcaceae. Grouped among the ESKAPE pathogens [44], *S. aureus* and especially its methicillin-resistant strain (MRSA) are a great problem for the human health. It possesses several EPs belonging to different families, and some of them are able to extrude a wide array of compounds including many antibacterials commonly used in therapy and antiseptics. Indeed, the impact of EPs in the development of resistance in *S. aureus* is widely recognized, especially when considering their large overexpression in MRSA strains [45,46]. Among all the *S. aureus* EPs, NorA and MepA are the mostly studied and mainly involved in fluoroquinolone resistance. NorA consists of 388 amino acid residues and, as an MFS member, it is organized in 12 TM α-helices [47,48]. To date, no crystal structures are available, and little is known about the mechanism of efflux apart from how it functions by using the proton motive force. Over the years, many NorA EPIs have been discovered by three different approaches: (i) by screening libraries of natural or synthetic molecules; (ii) by repurposing molecules with known biological activity and (iii) by designing and synthesizing new compounds. The lack of an NorA crystal structure has strongly hampered the identification of potent NorA EPIs with no examples of structure-based drug design reported for EPI identification, which, therefore, relies on ligand-based drug design approaches or classical medicinal chemistry strategies. However, some efforts have also been directed towards the development of homology models coupled with computational studies to (i) perform virtual screening of potential NorA EPIs, (ii) propose the binding mode of some molecules inside the NorA EP, and (iii) understand how NorA could extrude its substrates [49–51].

2.1. Indole Derivatives

In 1999, the pioneering group of Neyfakh [52] performed a screening on 9,600 structurally diverse compounds with molecular weights ranging from 200 to 700 against a modified strain (ΔΔNA) of *B. subtilis* expressing the norA gene from a plasmid [53].

ΔΔNA strain derives from the previously built *B. subtilis* ΔΔ strain, where genes encoding the two main EPs Bmr and Blt have been inactivated [54]. By this modification, ΔΔNA strain exhibited a 20-fold increase in resistance to ethidium bromide (**EtBr**—Figure 1) with respect to its parent ΔΔ strain.

Each compound was tested at the concentration of 20 µg/mL in combination with **EtBr** at 10 µg/mL, a concentration four-fold lower than its MIC [52]. Since the only known resistance mechanism for **EtBr** is its pump-mediated extrusion, the authors quickly identified which derivatives exhibited NorA EPI activity. In addition, specific NorA inhibition was assured because genes encoding for other EPs were silenced. Out of 9600 derivatives, 399 showed a four-fold **EtBr** MIC reduction while not having any bactericidal effect at the used concentrations. When checkerboard assays were performed with ciprofloxacin (**CPX**—Figure 1), 28 compounds retained activity up to 5 µg/mL, such as the reference compound reserpine (**RES**—Figure 1), while 11 of the 399 derivatives showed a higher EPI activity than **RES**, as they were still effective at 2.5 µg/mL.
Interestingly, among the 399 active compounds, 30 possessed an indole ring such as RES, and 11 derivatives were endowed with a biphenyl urea moiety. After checkerboard assays, in combination with CPX against SA-1199B, indole derivative 1 (Figure 2) resulted in one of the best compounds endowed with an MPC4 of 1.5 µg/mL. In addition, 1 was also able to prevent a fluorescence decrease in EtBr efflux assays on the ΔΔNA strain, similarly to RES. Unfortunately, the authors did not carry out a cytotoxicity evaluation on human cells, thereby foreclosing a potential use in in vivo models.

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Figure 1.** Reference NorA EPI reserpine (RES) and NorA substrates ethidium bromide (EtBr), ciprofloxacin (CPX) and berberine. Blue color is used to highlight the indole portion present in reserpine.

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure 2.** From indoles to benzothiophene and benzofuran EPIs.

In 2005, Samorson et al. [55] explored the C-2’ and C-5’ positions of the phenyl ring on the C-2 position of the indole nucleus of derivative 1, synthesizing a set of 10 derivatives. Compounds devoid of any antibacterial effect on their own (MIC ≥ 50 µg/mL) against
three different S. aureus strains (K1758, 8325-4 and K2361—Table 1) were evaluated for their synergistic activity with berberine (a known NorA EP substrate—Figure 1). Compounds 2 and 3 (Figure 2), characterized by the presence on the C-2 phenyl ring of a primary alcohol or an azidomethyl group at the C-2’ position and of a benzyloxy or methoxy substituents at C-5’ position, respectively, emerged as the best derivatives able to potentiate berberine more than 15-fold (from >500 to 30 μg/mL) at a concentration of 0.8 and 1.5 μg/mL, respectively, against K2361 (Table 1). While a primary alcohol or an azidomethyl group were well tolerated at C-2’ coupled with a benzyloxy or methoxy substituents at C-5’, an acidic function at C-2’ resulted in the lack of berberine potentiation. On the other hand, regardless of substituent at C-5’ phenyl ring, a primary amine at C-2’ yielded derivatives with synergistic activity against S. aureus strains wild-type and norA deleted while lacking EPI activity against K2361 (Table 1). The best compound 2 was further evaluated, in comparison with 1, for its ability to promote berberine accumulation and to synergize with CPX in the used S. aureus strains. Like 1, derivative 2 showed a CPX MPC₈ of 5 μg/mL and it was able, at 10 μg/mL, to promote berberine accumulation on K2361 strain (overexpressing norA—Table 1). However, a careful analysis of berberine accumulation curves showed that activity of compounds 1 and 2 could not be only due to NorA inhibition. Indeed, berberine accumulation in the three used strains only occurred in ΔnorA strain K1758 (Table 1) producing values of relative fluorescence unit (RFU) of about 200 after 20 min, while no fluorescence was recorded in the wild-type S. aureus 8325-4 and norA++ K2361 strains (Table 1), indicating that berberine was completely extruded. When compounds 1 and 2 were tested at 10 μg/mL as the final concentration, RFUs drastically increased at values above 500 on all the used strains. Therefore, since the addition of compounds 1 and 2 caused such a high increase in the fluorescence in all strains (also those wild-type and ΔnorA), the effect of berberine accumulation may be nonspecific for at least three different reasons. Indeed, compounds 1 and 2 could: (i) increase membrane permeability of S. aureus cells, thereby nonspecifically synergizing with berberine; (ii) also inhibit EPs other than NorA involved in berberine extrusion; (iii) emit fluorescence at the same wavelength as berberine. Unfortunately, no data about cytotoxicity against human cells was available.

One year later, on the back of the good results obtained around the nitro-indole moiety as essential scaffold for NorA EPI activity, Fournier et al. [56] undertook an extensive synthetic effort to develop arylbenzothiophene and diarylthiophene derivatives with the aim to evaluate the importance of the indolic nitrogen atom for NorA inhibition. No compounds showed any antibacterial effect at concentrations lower than 100 μg/mL against S. aureus ATCC 25923 (Table 1); however, no MIC values were determined against SA-1199B (Table 1), the S. aureus strain used for synergistic assays, thus making it impossible to ascertain, though synergism was influenced by a direct antibacterial activity. A key requirement to possess synergistic activity in the arylbenzo[b]thiophene class was the presence of an aldehyde group at C-3 position coupled with substitutions on the C-2 phenyl ring in para position rather than meta or ortho positions. However, compounds with the aldehyde group at C-3 and an unsubstituted C-2 phenyl ring (4—Figure 2) or a C-2 pyridin-3-yl portion (5—Figure 2) showed promising MPC₄ values (≤25 μg/mL). On the other hand, benzothiophene derivatives bearing –CN, a –OCH₃, or a –OCH₂CF₃ groups at C-3 or even aryl moiety shifted from C-2 to C-3 exhibited MPC₄ values ≥50 μg/mL. Interestingly, when the main nucleus was shrunk by benzene removal to give thiophene derivatives, the synergistic effect was completely lost, regardless of substituents. On the contrary, sulphur replacement of the benzothiophene with an oxygen atom yielded the benzofuran derivative (6—Figure 2) that retained the same activity as its close structural analogue 4. For the best compounds 4-6, NorA inhibition at 20 μg/mL was confirmed by EtBr efflux assays in comparison with RES. Finally, the cytotoxic profile was assessed for a large panel of derivatives against three different cell lines: keratin-forming tumor HeLa (KB), breast cancer (MCF7) and breast carcinoma (MCF7R). Unfortunately, derivatives 4 and 6, at lower concentrations (10 μM) than those needed for NorA inhibition, showed a significant
inhibition of the human cell growth. The only exception was derivative 5, which exhibited a weak inhibition of KB cell growth and no significant effect against MCF7 and MCF7R; however, the tested concentration for cytotoxic assessment was about 10-fold lower than its MPC4. Thus, although the authors reported arylbenzo[b]thiophene derivatives as NorA inhibitors for the first time, much needs to be done to improve this chemical class to obtain more potent and safer compounds. Moreover, the authors should reconsider the initial assumption to remove the –NO2 group present in indole 1 in an attempt to increase NorA EPI activity. Indeed, a direct comparison of the EPI activity of 1 with the best benzothiophene derivative 4 highlights that indole moiety is preferred over the benzothiophene nucleus, even though the presence of the –NO2 group of 1 as well as the aldehyde of 4 could influence EPI activity, thereby hampering the comparison between the two nuclei.

In 2008, as a continuation of the previous study [55], the group of Lewis [57] reported the synthesis and biological evaluation of 20 new 2-aryl-1H-indole derivatives with the aim to investigate the role of the –NO2 group in modulating the indole-mediated NorA inhibition. Thus, after the synthesis and evaluation of eight indole derivatives with different substituents at the C-5 position, the authors identified the –NO2 group as essential to possessing synergistic activity, in combination with berberine against the S. aureus wild-type (8325-4) and norA overexpressing (SA-K2378) strains (Table 1), with only the CN group that is potentially able to replace the NO2 group. Accordingly, the authors synthesized six new nitro-indole derivatives and their corresponding des-nitro compounds bearing different substituents on the C-2 aryl moiety. Synergistic activity, in combination with berberine, was evaluated as the MPC13,3 for each compound. As expected, all des-nitro indole derivatives showed poor synergistic activities, while nitro-indole analogues highlighted moderate to good effects. In particular, although less active than starting hit 1, derivatives 7 and 8 (Figure 3) displayed an MPC13,3 of 1.0 µM (0.3 µg/mL) and 2.0 µM (0.5 µg/mL), respectively, against both S. aureus 8325-4 and SA-K2378 (Table 1). This comparable activity against the two used strains clearly highlights that both compounds have a nonspecific synergizing effect, as they reduce berberine MIC in the same manner against the wild-type and the norA overexpressing strains. In addition, although all compounds exhibited MIC values much higher than concentrations needed to reach the synergistic effect with berberine, the NorA inhibition was not proved by EtBr efflux assays for any of the compounds. No data about synergistic activity with CPX or other NorA antibacterial substrates was reported.

![Figure 3. Indoles derivatives as NorA EPIs.](image)

In 2014, Hequet et al. [58] further explored the role of the indole derivatives as NorA EPIs, focusing attention on the C-3 position. From a previous work aimed at discovering new indoles as antibacterial agents, the authors observed that the presence of an aldonitrone moiety at the C-3 position removed the antibacterial activity against different bacteria [59]. With the aim to improve solubility, they enlarged the indole series, further synthesizing 12 new indole analogues that were tested as NorA EPIs by evaluating their
ability to inhibit, alone or in combination with CPX, the bacterial cells’ growth against SA-1199B strain (Table 1). By analyzing the synergistic activity of compounds at concentrations below their MIC values, it is possible to state that the presence of a halogen atom at C-5 position of the indole core is essential to displaying NorA EPI activity (best compound 9 MPC$_4$ = 0.5 µg/mL, MPC$_8$ = 2.0 µg/mL, MIC > 16.0 µg/mL—Figure 3). Indeed, derivatives, where the halogen atom was removed, showed a poor synergistic activity with CPX against SA-1199B (Table 1). This behavior is consistent with the importance of an electron-withdrawing group at the indole C-5 position, as also shown by the –NO$_2$ group of derivative 1. Furthermore, the indole derivative with halogen at C-6 retained significant synergistic activity (MPC$_4$ = 4.0 µg/mL), strengthening the key role of the halogen on the indole core. On the other hand, aldonitrone moiety at C-3 was not essential to imparting NorA inhibition activity; indeed, when it was replaced with hydroxylamine or amide functions, synergistic activity with CPX against SA-1199B was not affected. However, in order to investigate whether the best derivatives specifically inhibited NorA function, the authors performed checkerboard assays for these compounds against SA-K2378 (NorA$^+$) (Table 1) and EtBr accumulation assays against SA-1199B (Table 1). All of them exhibited good synergistic activities with CPX and EtBr accumulation, thus confirming their mechanism of action as NorA EPIs. Cytotoxicity evaluation at 10 µM against three different human cell lines (human lung fibroblast—MCR5, human mouth carcinoma—KB and human colon tumor—HCT116) disclosed that the best compounds (including 9) showed cytotoxic activity against human cells.

Two years later, based on a previous work aimed at obtaining the 6-bromobenzothiophene-3-carbonitrile intermediate for Raloxifen synthesis [60], Liger et al. attempted to optimize the previously identified arylbenzothiophene derivatives (i.e., 4) [61]. Although benzothiophene derivatives bearing a –CN group at C-3 did not show any NorA EPI activity in their previous work [56], the authors synthesized and tested a wide set of cyanobenzothiophene derivatives. However, the C-3 position was widely decorated with different electron-withdrawing groups in combination with an array of substituents, mainly at the C-6 position but also at C-4 and C-5. Once they excluded any antibacterial effect for all derivatives against ATCC 25923 and SA-1199B strains (Table 1), the authors assessed the synergistic effect of the compounds with CPX against SA-1199B. Although it was impossible to delineate a clear SAR around this class due to a lack of correlation between the activities of the combined substituents, some compounds showed promising synergistic activities at low concentrations. In particular, compounds 10 and 11 (Figure 4) exhibited an MPC$_8$ of 1 µg/mL and an MPC$_{16}$ of 2 µg/mL while showing an MIC > 128 µg/mL on tested strains. For both compounds, NorA inhibition was confirmed by EtBr accumulation assays at 20 µg/mL on SA-1199B by using RES as positive control. However, no synergistic assays against S. aureus strains wild-type or lacking NorA pump were performed, thereby not fully demonstrating the absence of a possible secondary synergistic mechanism, such as the increase in membrane permeability. Moreover, no human cell toxicity was assessed.

![Figure 4. Benzothiophene derivatives as NorA EPIs.](image-url)
In 2016, based on the literature data reported over the years around the indole core, Lepri et al. planned a rational design aimed at obtaining potent indole-based NorA EPIs [62]. The authors performed a wide effort to enrich SAR information around the indole core using a four-step design protocol, especially focusing attention on the N-1, C-3 and C-5 positions. The first substantial modification entailed the shift of the aryl from C-2 position, as the most potent indole EPIs 1-3 (Figure 2), to N-1 position of the indole core after demonstrating a similar spatial occupancy by superimposing the new N-benzyl indole with the 2-phenyl indole using FLAP software [63]. Thus, a set of benzyl-indole derivatives with an ethyl ester function at C-3 was synthesized with different substituents at the C-5 position in order to verify the role of the –NO₂ group (present in 1). All derivatives showed poor EtBr efflux inhibition at 50 µM against SA-1199B, with the exception of 12 bearing at C-5 a diethylamino ether chain (Figure 5). Interestingly, the indole derivative 13, the direct analogue of 1 within this series of compounds and with the -NO₂ group at C-5, was found to be inactive, thereby highlighting that the shift of the aryl moiety from C-2 to N-1 was detrimental (Figure 5). Although derivative 12 was the first indole NorA EPI derivative with an electron-donating group at C-5, the effect did not appear to be due to the electron-donating property of the C-5 substituent since other derivatives did not show any NorA EPI activity. On the contrary, the NorA EPI activity of derivative 12 would appear to be related to the presence of the protonable alkylamino chain, which was previously identified in the quinoline scaffold (see below) and is essential to imparting NorA inhibition [64]. Thus, the authors focused their attention on the substituent at C-5, introducing several different O-alkylamino chains. Overall, all 22 derivatives retained an excellent EtBr efflux inhibition, proving the key role of the protonable alkylamino chains in obtaining NorA inhibition.

Further modifications showed that the removal of the benzyl moiety from N-1 position led to a completely inactive derivative, thereby highlighting the importance of a lipophilic portion in this position. In addition, the removal or the hydrolysis of the ester at C-3 led to an increase in the antibacterial activity or the lack of EtBr efflux inhibition, respectively. Substitutions on the benzyl moiety gave few differences in terms of EtBr efflux inhibition regardless of the position of the substituent and its chemical property. Before selecting the best derivatives to evaluate their synergistic activity with CPX against SA-1199B (Table 1), the authors performed ADME studies on the best compounds overall, observing a moderate to good human metabolic stability after 30 minutes. However, the authors selected only some compounds to be evaluated for their synergistic activity, with CPX against SA-1199B and the wild-type SA-1199 (Table 1). The three derivatives (14 included as representative) (Figure 5) showed an MPC₄ of 3.13 µg/mL and an MPC₉ of 12.5 µg/mL against SA-1199B while not showing any significant synergistic effect against the wild-type SA-1199.

In conclusion, the authors performed a wide effort to enrich SAR around the indole core and identified new indole derivatives with good NorA inhibition, even without the –NO₂ group at C-5. The best derivatives showed synergistic activity comparable to the starting hit 1 but, unfortunately, the authors did not deepen the studies around these compounds to confirm if their synergistic activity was due to the NorA inhibition by checkerboard assays against norA knock-out and norA overexpressing S. aureus strains. Nevertheless, the wide SAR work has strengthened the information in a view to obtain more potent indole-based NorA EPIs.

One year later, starting from the good EtBr efflux inhibition activity of the side product 15 (Figure 5) obtained during the synthesis of some derivatives in the previous work [62], the same authors planned a rational design aimed at performing a scaffold-hopping approach to obtain unsymmetrical derivatives of 15 [65]. Thus, through a virtual screening on the SPECS database using FLAP, authors identified two fragments to replace the symmetric portion of 15 in order to synthesize derivatives 16 and 17 (Figure 5), which unfortunately showed poor EtBr efflux inhibition. Thus, after a chemical optimization, derivatives 18 and 19 (Figure 5) showed an excellent EtBr efflux inhibition (low IC₅₀) coupled with high MIC values. Synergistic activity with CPX against SA-1199B was reported with FIC values,
thus making difficult to obtain the exact MPC value for each compound. In addition, no synergistic evaluation was performed against specific norA knock-out and overexpressing strains as also in the previous work [62].

![Figure 5. N-1 substituted indole derivatives as NorA EPIs.](image)

Taking into account all the indole analogues reported over the years as NorA EPIs, we tried to build a comprehensive SAR, as depicted in Figure 6. Since many groups focused their efforts on the identification of indole derivatives as NorA EPIs, SAR information is often related to the series of each research group, making it difficult to rationalize clear guidelines to design potent NorA EPIs. However, it is interesting to note that the indole core can be also replaced by benzothiophene or benzofuran moieties if properly substituted. On the other hand, regarding the indole core, the presence of a NO$_2$ group at the C-5 position appears essential when a phenyl moiety is at C-2, while O-alkylamino chains at C-5 yielded potent derivatives when coupled with the aromatic portion shifted to the N-1 position. Of note, a carboxylic function was always poorly tolerated, affording derivatives lacking NorA EPI activity.

2.2. Quinoline Derivatives

Inspired from the 2-phenyl-4$H$-chromen-4-one, a common scaffold of the flavone and flavolignane EPIs [66], in 2011, Sabatini et al. started their work around the quinoline derivatives as potent NorA EPIs [67]. Initially, by replacing the endocyclic oxygen of
the chromene core with a nitrogen atom, the 2-phenylquinolone core was obtained and, subsequently, decorated with different polar chains based on the promising results of some MDR inhibitors [68]. During the synthesis of the N-alkylated quinolones, O-alkylated 2-phenylquinoline derivatives were also obtained, proving to be the best NorA EPIs of the series. Indeed, derivatives 20 and 21 (Figure 7), devoid of any antibacterial activity on their own, showed the best results in terms of both EtBr efflux inhibition and synergistic activity with CPX against SA-1199B (MPC$_4$ of 6.25 and 1.56 µg/mL, respectively). Therefore, an initial SAR around the quinoline core was delineated with alkylamino chains boosting the NorA EPI activity when present on the oxygen at the C-4 position (i.e., derivatives 20 and 21), rather than at the N-1 position or on the oxygen at the C-4’ position of the C-2 phenyl ring. In parallel, the best substituent for the C-4’ position of the C-2 phenyl ring was a –OPr group, which was preferred over a free –OH or other alkyl ethers such as –OMe or –OEt. Noteworthily, derivatives 20 and 21 also showed good synergistic activity with CPX against SA-K2378 (Table 1) while no significant effect was observed against the S. aureus strains where NorA was poorly or not present (SA-1199 and SA-K1902—Table 1).

Further optimization focused on the oxygen at the C-4 position of the 2-phenylquinoline core led to the design and synthesis of a wide set of analogues with different O-alkylamino chains [64]. When tested for evaluating their EtBr efflux inhibition and synergism with CPX against SA-1199B (Table 1), most of the compounds showed excellent results. In particular, derivatives 22 and 23 (Figure 7) showed MIC values ≥ 100 µg/mL, an MPC$_4$ of 0.78 µg/mL and an EtBr efflux inhibition of about 90% at 50 µM. In addition, both compounds exhibited excellent synergistic activity with CPX against SA-K2378 (Table 1) and no effect against the other S. aureus strains with poor or no NorA expression (SA-1199 and SA-K1902, respectively—Table 1).

Once it was reported that O-alkylamino chains at C-4 position were needed to impart NorA EPI activity to quinoline derivatives, further efforts were directed towards the functionalization of the “naked” benzene ring of the quinoline core [69]. Based on the large presence of the –OMe group on different known NorA EPIs, the functionalization of the quinoline C-5, C-6, C-7 and C-8 positions with –OMe groups was planned, maintaining p-OPr group at C-2 phenyl ring and selecting the best O-alkylamino chains at R$^2$.

**Figure 6.** SAR of indole derivatives as NorA EPIs.

Further optimization focused on the oxygen at the C-4 position of the 2-phenylquinoline core led to the design and synthesis of a wide set of analogues with different O-alkylamino chains [64]. When tested for evaluating their EtBr efflux inhibition and synergism with CPX against SA-1199B (Table 1), most of the compounds showed excellent results. In particular, derivatives 22 and 23 (Figure 7) showed MIC values ≥ 100 µg/mL, an MPC$_4$ of 0.78 µg/mL and an EtBr efflux inhibition of about 90% at 50 µM. In addition, both compounds exhibited excellent synergistic activity with CPX against SA-K2378 (Table 1) and no effect against the other S. aureus strains with poor or no NorA expression (SA-1199 and SA-K1902, respectively—Table 1).

Once it was reported that O-alkylamino chains at C-4 position were needed to impart NorA EPI activity to quinoline derivatives, further efforts were directed towards the functionalization of the “naked” benzene ring of the quinoline core [69]. Based on the large presence of the –OMe group on different known NorA EPIs, the functionalization of the quinoline C-5, C-6, C-7 and C-8 positions with –OMe groups was planned, maintaining p-OPr group at C-2 phenyl ring and selecting the best O-alkylamino chains at C-4. Biological assays showed that the –OMe introduction on the quinoline core yielded more potent NorA EPIs than des-methoxy analogues. In particular, the introduction of one –OMe at C-6 or two –OMe groups at the C-6 and C-7 positions resulted the best combinations, with compounds 24 and 25 (Figure 8) that emerged as the best derivatives, showing an EtBr efflux inhibition ≥ 90%, high MIC values (100 and >100 µg/mL, respectively) and an MPC$_3$ of 0.78 µg/mL against SA-1199B (Table 1). In parallel, both compounds exhibited high synergism with CPX against SA-K2378 and no effect against SA-1199 and SA-K1902.
Interestingly, compounds 24 and 25 also showed a non-toxic profile when tested on human liver epithelial (HepG2) cells, exhibiting CC₅₀ values of 42.0 µg/mL for 24 and 74.0 µg/mL for 25. As a confirmation, CC₅₀ values > 100 µg/mL were observed against the human monocytic cell line (THP-1). Accordingly, both compounds possessed a CC₅₀/MPC₈ ratio > 128-fold. Interestingly, at 0.78 µg/mL, neither compounds modified the membrane proton motive force needed for NorA function, thus disclosing that NorA inhibition was not due to a nonspecific effect. In addition, both compounds also showed EPI activity towards MepA EP. Guided by the promising results obtained with the 6-OMe derivative 24, further efforts were directed towards the investigation of the C-6 position of the quinoline core [70]. Considering the essential role of the alkylamino chain at the C-4 position of the quinoline, different analogues were designed with two O-alkyl-basic chains at C-4 and C-6 positions [70]. In addition, the intermediates C-6 O-benzyl and free OH analogues were used as counterparts to investigate the SAR. Although almost all the derivatives showed excellent inhibition of the EtBr efflux at 50 µM, only C-6 benzyloxy derivatives 26 and 27 (Figure 8) exhibited potent synergism with CPX against SA-1199B (Table 1). Indeed, both of them showed an MPC₄ of 0.78 µg/mL coupled with poor or no synergistic effect against the wild-type strains ATCC 25923 and SA-1199 (Table 1). Evaluation of the cytotoxicity on HepG2 cells disclosed a significant toxicity, with CC₅₀ values of 12.26 µg/mL (for 26) and 33.83 µg/mL (for 27). However, the combination of CC₅₀ values with MPC₄ suggested a promising selectivity of action for both compounds.
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$20 \text{R}^4 = \text{Et}$  
\% EtBr at 50 µM = 93.4  
MPC₄ CPX (SA-1199B) = 6.25 µg/mL  
MPC₈ CPX (SA-1199B) = 12.5 µg/mL  
MIC (SA-1199B) ≥ 100.0 µg/mL

$21 \text{R}^4 = \text{Et}$  
\% EtBr at 50 µM = 88.5  
MPC₄ CPX (SA-1199B) = 1.56 µg/mL  
MPC₈ CPX (SA-1199B) = 6.25 µg/mL  
MIC (SA-1199B) > 100.0 µg/mL

$22 \text{R}^4 = \text{Me}$  
\% EtBr at 50 µM = 86.0  
MPC₄ CPX (SA-1199B) = 0.78 µg/mL  
MPC₈ CPX (SA-1199B) = 1.56 µg/mL  
MIC (SA-1199B) ≥ 100.0 µg/mL

$23 \text{R}^4 = \text{Et}$  
\% EtBr at 50 µM = 93.4  
MPC₄ CPX (SA-1199B) = 0.78 µg/mL  
MPC₈ CPX (SA-1199B) = 3.13 µg/mL  
MIC (SA-1199B) ≥ 100.0 µg/mL

Figure 7. From flavone to quinoline nucleus.
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deed, both of them showed an MPC 4 of 0.78 against Hcophore models for NorA EPIs [74]. Virtual hits with nine different scaffolds (quinoline-4-carboxamides, phthalazin-1(2 H)-ones, benzimidazoles, pyridine, 1,7-naphthyridine, 1,8-naphthyridine, isoquinoline and quinazoline) were synthesized and evaluated as NorA EPIs. Although 2-arylquinazoline were found to be the best derivatives, two quinoline core 

towards MepA EP. Guided by the promising results obtained with the 6-OMe derivative (Figure 7), 16 new analogues were designed and synthesized by combining eight new C-2 aryl quinoline scaffolds with two different chains at C-4 (O-ethyl-N,N-diethylamino and O-ethylpiperidine). In checkerboard assays with CPX, chlorothiophene derivative 28 (Figure 9) showed the best synergistic effect, with an MPC4 of 0.39 µg/mL. By considering SAR information, the pyridine ring at the C-2 position was detrimental to NorA EPI activity, while thiophene moiety yielded some promising analogues, including the best compound 28. NorA inhibition was confirmed on SA-1199B by: (i) EtBr efflux assays at 50 µM with 28 that showed an inhibition percentage ≥ 70% and (ii) membrane polarization assays with 28 depolarizing S. aureus membrane less than 20% when tested at 5 µg/mL. In addition, 28 exhibited a CC50 value of 6.33 µg/mL on THP-1 cells, showing a promising CC50/MPC4 ratio of 16.

Recently, with the aim to enrich the array of NorA EPIs, the same research group performed a scaffold-hopping approach of the quinoline core followed by a pharmacophore-based virtual screening [72,73]. Therefore, the quinoline-4-yloxy core of 20 (Figure 7) was replaced by several scaffolds arising from the smart fragmentation of approved drugs to generate a virtual library that was screened on two previously reported pharmacophore models for NorA EPIs [74]. Virtual hits with nine different scaffolds (quinoline-4-carboxamides, phthalazin-1(2H)-ones, benzimidazoles, pyridine, 1,7-naphthyridine, 1,8-naphthyridine, isoquinoline and quinazoline) were synthesized and evaluated as NorA EPIs. Although 2-arylquinazoline were found to be the best derivatives, two quinoline-4-carboxamide
derivatives (compound 29 as representative) (Figure 9) showed promising synergism with CPX against SA-1199B with an \( \text{MPC}_4 \) of 1.56 \( \mu \)g/mL. In addition, EtBr efflux inhibition on SA-1199B was of 96\%, while synergistic activity with CPX was not observed against \( S. \) au-

FIGURE 10. SAR of quinoline derivatives as NorA EPIs.

In addition, at the C-6 position, the introduction of a free OH group or a second O-

3. Gram-Negative Efflux Pump Inhibitors

In 2017, the WHO published a list of antibiotic-resistant priority pathogens, and among these, the majority belongs to the Gram-negative bacteria class. These bacteria can cause serious diseases, such as pneumonia, blood-stream infections, meningitis and peritonitis, particularly in immune-compromised individuals. The nosocomial infections caused by Gram-negative pathogens are the harder issue for healthcare professionals due to the capability of microorganisms to acquire resistance, making pharmacological treatments ineffective. Unlike Gram-positive bacteria, Gram-negative bacteria have an outer membrane (OM), which is the main reason for resistance to a wide range of antibiotics, such as \( \beta \)-lactams, quinolones, tetracyclines [75]. The OM contains porins and other proteins that allow the passage of small molecules as well as hydrophilic antibiotics, while hydrophobic drugs can pass through a diffusion pathway [76]. Therefore, the alteration of
hydrophobic properties coupled with structural mutations, including the overexpression of EPs, can contribute to the onset of resistance [77].

The most known EPs in Gram-negative bacteria are AcrB of E. coli and MexB of P. aeruginosa, belonging to RND family, whose crystal structures are available (PDB codes: 1IWG and 3W9L, respectively) [78,79]. Spreading within the Gram-negatives membranes, RND transporters form a tripartite assembly to give the AcrAB–ToLC EP in the case of E. coli or MexAB–OprM of P. aeruginosa [80]. These transporters are much larger than MFS and are typically composed of approximately 1000 amino acid residues arranged in 12 TM α-helices. RND transporters are organized in trimers, and the substrate efflux is coupled with a proton movement [13]. In recent years, the research around RND transporters has experienced strong progress, thereby shedding light on the mechanisms involved in the efflux and opening the way for a rational identification of EPIs. Good progress has been made especially against E. coli and P. aeruginosa, where some pyranopyridines strongly potentiated the antibacterial activity of CPX, levofloxacin and piperacillin [81–84]. For one of these derivatives, the co-crystal structure (PDB code: 5ENO) [85] is also available while binding the AcrB subunit of AcrAB–ToLC EP of E. coli. To date, for some pyranopyridines are ongoing in vivo studies [84]. Another interesting example is shown by a pyridopyrimidine derivative, whose co-crystal structures with AcrB and MexB are available (PDB codes: 3W9H and 3W9J, respectively) [79]. Unfortunately, the best pyridopyrimidine derivative exhibited toxic and pharmacodynamic issues in vivo [86].

3.1. Quinoline Derivatives

One of the first examples regarding quinoline acting as Gram-negative EPIs reported in literature goes back to when Chevalier et al. [87], in 2001, described a series of pyrido-quinoline analogues as inhibitors of different EPs in Enterobacter aerogenes. All derivatives were tested alone or in combination with CPX (Figure 1) and norfloxacin (NFX—Figure 11) against the wild-type strain ATCC 13048 and its parent, ATCC 13048 p9 (Table 1), harboring a plasmid overexpressing marA gene, an activator of EPs expression [88,89], which was found to be 20-fold more resistant to CPX (MIC moved from 0.025 to 0.5 µg/mL). Compounds showed modest MIC values against the wild-type strain (64 µg/mL) that significantly increased against the resistant strains (512–1024 µg/mL), likely resulting from EP substrates. When combined at 16 µg/mL with CPX or NFX against both strains, compounds 30 and 31 (Figure 12) exhibited a promising synergistic effect with CPX by reducing by 20- and 5-fold, respectively, with its MIC only against ATCC 13048 p9. Surprisingly, only 31 retained a synergistic effect with NFX. EPI activity was demonstrated by the accumulation of labeled NFX in ATCC 13048 p9.

In 2003, Gallo et al. reported a series of quinoline ethers or quinoline thio-ethers synergizing with CAF (Figure 11) against the resistant E. aerogenes strain (EA2—Table 1). Among all derivatives, compound 32 (Figure 12) exhibited the best activity, with an MPC₈ of 500 µM (173 µg/mL) (MIC CAF moved from 512 to 64 µg/mL) [90].

In the same year, Mallea et al. reported a series of alkylaminoquinolines as inhibitors of the AcrAB–ToLC EP in E. aerogenes [91]. Compounds 33 and 34 (Figure 12), with an MIC of 1 mM (314 and 330 µg/mL, respectively) on EA27, emerged as promising compounds by showing a chloramphenicol (CAF) MPC₁₆ of 0.2 and 0.5 mM (63 and 165 µg/mL), respectively, against EA27 strain overexpressing the AcrAB efflux system (Table 1). SAR information highlighted the essential role of some alkylamino chains, such as piperidinoethyl and morpholinopropyl. Indeed, when diethylamino, dimethylamino or di-isopropylamino chains were linked to the aminooquinoline core, there was a significant reduction in the synergistic activity with CAF [91]. The best derivative 33 was also able to increase by the intracellular concentration of radiolabeled CAF in the EA27 strain 3-fold, similarly to PAβN, a known nonspecific Gram-negative EPI [92]. In addition, 33 was evaluated for its ability to decrease MIC values of structurally unrelated antibiotics (CAF, NFX, tetracycline (TET) and cefepime (CEF)—Figure 11) against different E. aerogenes strains in which decreasing porins levels were associated with the increasing of MDR. Data showed that compound 33
at 0.2 mM (63 µg/mL) mostly increased the susceptibilities to NFX (8-fold MIC reduction) and CAF (16-fold MIC reduction) when tested against EA27 and EA117 strains (Table 1), highlighting a better synergistic effect than PA\(_{\beta}\)N. From these preliminary results, the class of alkylaminoquinoline seemed to be promising to develop Gram-negative EPIs, but further investigation should be made.

Surprisingly, only 31 retained a synergistic effect with NFX. EPI activity was demonstrated by the accumulation of labeled NFX in ATCC 13048 p9.

**Figure 11.** Reference AcrAB–TolC EPI PA\(_{\beta}\)N and AcrAB–TolC substrates chloramphenicol (CAF), nalidixic acid (NA), norfloxacin (NFX), ofloxacin (OFX), oxacillin (OXA), cefepime (CEF), erythromycin (ERY), tetracycline (TET) and doxycycline (DOX).

In 2004, Chevalier et al. described a series of quinolines, with two methyl groups at C-2 and C-8 positions and an ether functionality at C-4 bearing an alkylamino chain, as Gram-negative EPIs [93]. From an initial screening in combination with CAF against EA27, compound 35 (Figure 12—MIC > 10 mM) exhibited the best synergistic activity, with an MPC\(_{8}\) of 500 µM (135 µg/mL). The synergistic activity of 35 was not related to bacterial membrane disruption, as demonstrated by two different experiments on EA27 strain measuring: (i) potassium leakage and (ii) β-lactamase localization in the periplasm. In addition, it was reported that compound 35 at 1 mM was able to synergize with different antibacterials, such as CAF, NFX and TET, against different strains, such as EA3, synthesizing...
channel-altered porins, and EA117 and KP55 (*Klebsiella pneumoniae*), producing very small porin amounts. Interestingly, derivative 35 lost its synergistic activity with CAF and NFX when tested against two different modified EA27 strains where AcrA or TolC were deleted, thus suggesting a specific mechanism of action involving the inhibition of AcrAB–TolC EP. Regarding SAR information, it was evident that only the ethylpyrrolidine chain on the oxygen at the quinoline C-4 position gave the derivative 35 with EPI activity, while other alkylamino chains, though very similar like ethylpiperidine, afforded compounds without this activity.

![Chemical structures of quinoline derivatives 30-35](image)

**Figure 12.** Quinoline derivatives (30–35) reported as Gram-negative EPIs.

Few years later, Ghisalberti et al. reported a series of chloroquinolines as potential EPIs towards antibiotic-resistant *E. aerogenes* isolates [94]. Among the six synthesized derivatives, decorated with different alkylamino chains at nitrogen atom at the C-4 position, three compounds (36–38—Figure 13) at 0.310 mM (86–95 µg/mL) showed a significant reduction in CAF MIC (by 8-fold) against EA27 and EA5 strains and by 32, 16 and 8-fold, respectively, against the CM-64 strain. In parallel, all three compounds did not show any antibacterial activity on their own and any synergistic effect when tested in combination with CAF against the wild-type *E. aerogenes* ATCC 13048.
In 2016, Machado et al. reported an in-depth study on the role of a previously identified NorA EPI 23 (Figure 7) [64] with a 2-phenylquinoline scaffold as an E. coli EPI [95]. During the first step of the study, aimed at excluding any antibacterial activity of 23 against three different E. coli strains (the wild-type AG100, the AcrAB-deficient AG100A and AcrAB-overexpressing AG100tet—Table 1), a clear trend was evident. Indeed, 23 showed MIC values of 256 (AG100), 32 (AG100A) and >256 µg/mL (AG100tet), highlighting that the presence of AcrAB significantly influenced the antibacterial activity of 23 and suggesting that it could be considered an AcrAB substrate. However, the synergistic activity of 23 was evaluated in combination with ofloxacin (OFX), oxacillin (OXA), TET and EtBr against the three E. coli strains. The best results were obtained against AG100tet by the combination of 23 80 µM (31 µg/mL) with OFX (four-fold MIC reduction) and of 23 40 µM (16 µg/mL) with TET (four-fold MIC reduction). In parallel, at the same concentration of 23, no significant synergism with OFX and TET was appreciated against AG100 and AG100A strains, thus suggesting an EPI activity dependent on the overexpression of acrAB. No synergism was observed between 23 and OXA or EtBr against all three E. coli strains. The EPI activity of 23 was also confirmed through the real-time fluorometry using EtBr and determining the relative final fluorescence (RFF) values against AG100, AG100A and AG100tet E. coli strains. The results were compared with values obtained for reference compounds (PAβN and CPZ); compound 23 showed an RFF of 13.1 against the wild-type strain, indicating a strong ability to interfere with EtBr efflux compared to PAβN and CPZ, which showed RFF values of 8.1 and 0.7, respectively. Considering the strain that overexpresses AcrAB–TolC (AG100tet), the quinoline derivative showed an RFF of 5.2, slightly less than CPZ but four times higher than PAβN, thus suggesting that 23 was able to promote the accumulation of EtBr in E. coli through the inhibition of the AcrAB. When the effect of 23 on the bacterial membrane potential was evaluated using the BacLight Bacterial Membrane Potential Kit, depolarized cells were over 85%; a value comparable to that was observed for the positive control CCCP (over 95%). Moreover, the possible effects of 23 on the membrane integrity of E. coli was evaluated at different concentrations, and no alteration of cell membrane integrity was detected after exposure at 80 µM (31 µg/mL 1/8 MIC) of 23. Unfortunately, the promising activity of 23 was obtained at concentrations toxic for human monocyte-derived macrophages cells (CC50 = 11 µM/4.24 µg/mL). Information acquired from these preliminary studies displayed 23 as a promising template to develop E. coli EPIs, even though some drawbacks should be considered. Indeed, 23 likely was an AcrAB substrate and proved to interfere with PMF, thus indirectly causing the inhibition of the AcrAB–TolC efflux system. Further investigation should be done to define the SAR and improve the safety profile of the compound.

Regarding the SAR information acquired around all quinoline derivatives as Gram-negative EPIs, it was evident that the presence of an alkylamino chain was essential on the heteroatom at C-4 position on the quinoline core. However, unlike quinoline NorA EPIs, the delineated SAR was less clear, with no information about the best side chain to be introduced at the C-4 position. There is no preference for the heteroatom at the C-4 position needed to link the chain with the central scaffold. In addition, the presence of a
Indeed, macrolides, such as clarithromycin (CL), that have been reported to be induced by azithromycin on three recently discovered EPs, MAV_1406 (MFS), MAV_1695 and MAV_3306 (both members of the ABC transporter superfamily), are involved in the antimicrobial extrusion of several antibiotics, with MIC reductions ranging from 4 to 32-fold against the YD02 strain. Poor synergistic effects of CL and CPX with antibacterials were observed against the wild-type strain ATCC 25922 (Table 1). Unfortunately, for the two best compounds, MIC evaluation, checkerboard assays and accumulation assays of antibiotics were not performed.

### 3.2. Indole Derivatives

In 2010, Zeng et al. reported a series of indole derivatives as AcrAB–TolC EPIs able to synergize with different antibiotics such as CAF, TET, erythromycin (ERY) and CPX against different *E. coli* strains (including the wild-type ATCC 25922 and the resistant YD02—Table 1) [39]. By synergistic assays, compound 39 (Figure 14) at 0.5 mM (88 µg/mL) was able to reduce the CAF and CPX MICs by 32-fold against the YD02 strain. In parallel, compound 40 (Figure 14) at 0.5 mM (88.0 µg/mL) showed synergistic activities with all the used antibacterials, with MIC reductions ranging from 4 to 32-fold against the YD02 strain. Poor synergistic effects of 39 and 40 with antibacterials were observed against the wild-type strain ATCC 25922 (Table 1). Unfortunately, for the two best compounds, MIC evaluation, checkerboard assays and accumulation assays of antibiotics were not performed.

![Figure 14. Indole derivatives reported as Gram-negative EPIs.](image)

In 2021, Cadelis et al. reported a series of indole-based spermine derivatives as Gram-negative antibiotic adjuvants [96]. An initial set of synthesized indole derivatives was evaluated for the ability to potentiate doxycycline (DOX) activity against the *P. aeruginosa* strain ATCC 27853 (Table 1). The evaluation of the antibiotic adjuvant activity of the best compounds (including 41 and 42—Figure 14) was next extended towards different Gram-negative bacteria in combination with different antibiotics. In addition, derivative 42 at scalar concentrations was combined with three doses of four different antibiotics against four different bacteria. Results show a significant synergistic effect mainly when combined with (DOX) against *P. aeruginosa*, *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* and no effect against *A. baumannii*. Less evident synergistic activity of 42 was observed with ERY, CAF and nalidixic acid (NA) against the four bacteria. In-depth studies were performed on derivative 41, as representative, disclosing its ability to inhibit AcrAB–TolC system in EA289 strain (Table 1) by using the fluorescent probe 1,2'-diNA. However, in further experiments the authors also observed a weak disruption of the *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* membranes and an increase in the membrane permeability of EA289, but only at high concentrations. Interestingly, both compounds 41 and 42 showed high CC50 values against two different cell lines (L6 rat skeletal myoblast cell and HEK-293 human embryonic kidney cells), thus representing promising EPIs.
4. Nontuberculous Mycobacteria (NTM) Efflux Pump Inhibitors

Nontuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) are microorganisms widely distributed in the environment, mostly present in soil and water, including both natural and treated water sources.

The NTM species most frequently encountered in clinical practice are: M. avium, M. intracellulare, M. kansasii, M. xenopi and M. abscessus [97,98]. Some mycobacteria are able to create communities consisting of several species, such as M. avium complex (MAC), which includes M. avium, M. intracellulare and M. chimaera.

Unlike the other microorganisms, NTM are not well known and or studied; therefore, few NTM EPIs are reported in literature.

Only recently, it was shown that NTM EPs are involved in the antimicrobial extrusion and, above all, that their basal expression is very high in these mycobacteria. The classification of EPs in NTM is still in its infancy and, to date, our understanding is based on three recently discovered EPs, MAV_1406 (MFS), MAV_1695 and MAV_3306 (both belonging to ATP-binding cassette) that have been reported to be induced by azithromycin (AZT) exposure and related to the appearance of macrolide-resistant phenotypes [99,100]. Indeed, macrolides, such as clarithromycin (CLA), AZT (Figure 15) and ERY (Figure 11), which are first-line drugs in therapy, are substrates of these pumps.

Studies of Rodriguez et al. have shown that NTM resistance to common antimicrobials was significantly reduced by four non-antibiotic drugs with known EPI activity: verapamil (VP), chlorpromazine (CPZ), thioridazine (TDZ) and biochanin A (BChA) (Figure 15) [100–104].

Quinoline Derivatives

A set of four quinoline derivatives, previously reported as NorA EPIs, was tested to evaluate their ability to: (i) inhibit EtBr efflux, (ii) increase EtBr accumulation and (iii) synergize with CLA against M. smegmatis mc² 155 (ATCC700084—Table 1) [43]. The rational of the initial screening was to identify potential compounds with “broad-spectrum”

![Figure 15. Reference NTM EPIs verapamil (VP), chlorpromazine (CPZ), thioridazine (TDZ) and biochanin A (BChA) and NTM EP substrates azithromycin (AZT) and clarithromycin (CLA).](image-url)
EPI activity, likely due to the inhibition of NTM EPs belonging to the MFS family as NorA. Compound 23 (Figure 7) exhibited the best EPI activity towards *M. smegmatis* mc^2 155, and thus it was advanced for further investigations against several *M. avium* strains. Through synergistic assays, compound 23 was able to synergize with three antibiotics (CLA, ERY and AZT) against different *M. avium* strains with different characteristics, also including the resistance to macrolides due to the overexpression of EPs. As representative, compound 23 at 1/32 its MIC (ranging from 32 to 64 µg/mL depending on the used *M. avium* strain) was able to reduce antibiotic MICs by values > 512 fold. EP inhibition as mechanism of action of 23 was demonstrated by synergistic assays with EtBr against all the used *M. avium* strains and also by EtBr efflux and accumulation assays against three different *M. avium* strains differing for the expression of EPs. Unfortunately, 23 exhibited high toxicity towards human monocyte-derived macrophages at the concentrations needed to reach its synergistic effect. Two medicinal chemistry works followed the identification of 23 as NTM EPI, leading to the identification of potent compounds acting at concentrations nontoxic for human monocyte-derived macrophages [105,106]. The initial 2-phenylquinoline structure of 23 was optimized to give 3-phenylquinolone analogues that exhibited a more potent EPI activity synergizing at low concentrations with antibiotics against *M. avium* strains. Therefore, the shift of the phenyl moiety at C-3 position and the functionalization of N-1 with alkylamino chains yielding 3-phenylquinolone was beneficial for improving NTM EPI activity, but it was slightly detrimental for NorA EPI activity. Indeed, in a head-to-head comparison between the parent 3-phenylquinolone and 2-phenylquinolone analogues, the former showed a reduced ability to inhibit the EtBr efflux on SA-1199B (Table 1) at 50 µM. On the contrary, many 2-phenylquinolone derivatives endowed with potent NorA EPI activity displayed a reduced NTM EPI effect (unpublished data). Taken together, these data suggest a slightly different pharmacophore needed for NorA and NTM EP inhibitions. As a confirmation, the introduction of the OMe group at the C-6 position of 3-phenylquinolone core did not lead to an increase in NTM EPI activity, as previously observed for C-6 OMe 2-phenylquinolone NorA EPIs. On the contrary, at the C-6 position of the 3-phenylquinolone core, a lipophilic moiety was well tolerated, leading to potent and safe NTM EPIs (derivatives 43 and 44—Figure 16).

![Figure 16. 3-phenylquinolones as NTM EPIs.](image-url)

Both compounds showed significant synergism with CLA, CPX and EtBr against three different *M. avium* strains. In particular, 44, at 1/32 its MIC (4 µg/mL/8.75 µM), reduced the CPX MIC by four-fold against *M. avium* 104^CLA3 and 104^CLA4 strains and the CLA MIC by four-fold against *M. avium* 104 strain (Table 1). Inhibition of EPs was demonstrated by EtBr efflux and accumulation assays against three *M. avium* strains. Due to its CC^50 of 56.32 µM towards human monocyte-derived macrophages, derivative 44 was also tested at 8.75 µM for its activity against an intracellular infection of *M. avium* 104 in human monocyte-derived macrophages as alone or in combination with CLA. The combination of CLA and 44 led to a strong boosting of anti-*M. avium* activity with respect to CLA alone.
Interestingly, although tested at $1/32$ its MIC, 44 also exhibited a similar anti-M. avium activity when tested alone, thus suggesting a potential and essential role of the NTM EPs during intracellular infections.

5. Conclusions

In this review, we collected all data regarding indole and quinoline derivatives reported in literature as microbial EPIs. When possible, SAR information has been highlighted and discussed to generate a sort of comprehensive SAR around each scaffold with the aim to help the future research of new microbial EPIs.

Regarding the collected information, we observed that most of the compounds (belonging to the quinoline and indole classes) have been reported as NorA EPIs, thus synergizing with the fluoroquinolone CPX against different strains of S. aureus. Accordingly, the best results in terms of EP inhibition have been obtained against NorA, with some compounds able to synergize with CPX at low concentrations as 0.78 µg/mL [69]. Interestingly, these findings appear in contrast with those observed for EPIs of Gram-negative bacteria. Indeed, although quinoline and indole derivatives showed significant synergism, though often at only high concentrations, the research of Gram-negative EPIs has led to some derivatives reached in vivo studies [86,107]. Accordingly, for AcrAB–ToIC (E. coli) [108] and MexAB–OprM (P. aeruginosa) [109], both EPs belonging to the RND family, there are crystal structures of the whole complexes and, for AcrB and MexB 3D structures in complex with EPIs have been also reported [79,85], thus allowing for future structure-based drug design approaches.

Therefore, quinoline and indole derivatives would appear to be suitable scaffolds to obtain potent NorA EPIs synergizing with antibiotics at very low concentrations, while representing only tool compounds in the field of Gram-negative EPIs. Of note, it is evident that most of quinoline EPIs need an alkylamino chain to better synergize with antibiotics, in contrast to indole EPIs, where only few derivatives have been reported with basic side chains. Finally, research for NTM EPIs is still in its infancy and, to date, only few molecules have been described in literature. Accordingly, the delineation of a SAR appears complicated, but the few quinoline and quinolone derivatives showing EPI activity can represent a good starting point for future research also aimed at identifying the role of EPs during intracellular infections.
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