Genetic Variation between Dengue Virus Type 4 Strains Impacts Human Antibody Binding and Neutralization

Emily N. Gallichotte,1,5,* Thomas J. Baric,2 Usha Nivarthi,1 Matthew J. Delacruz,1 Rachel Graham,2 Douglas G. Widman,2 Boyd L. Yount,2 Anna P. Durbin,1 Stephen S. Whitehead,4 Aravinda M. de Silva,1 and Ralph S. Baric1,2,3
1Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC, USA
2Department of Epidemiology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill School of Public Health, Chapel Hill, NC, USA
3Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, USA
4Laboratory of Viral Diseases, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA
5Lead Contact
*Correspondence: egallich@email.unc.edu (E.N.G.), rbaric@email.unc.edu (R.S.B.)
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.10.006

SUMMARY

There are four distinct DENV serotypes, and within DENV4, there are five distinct genotypes. The impact of genotypic diversity is not known, nor is it clear whether infection with one DENV4 genotype results in protective immunity against the other genotypes. To measure the impact of DENV4 genetic diversity, we generated an isogenic panel of viruses containing the envelope protein from the different genotypes. We characterized many properties of these viruses and find that a small number of amino acids changes within the envelope have disproportionate impacts on virus biology. Additionally, we observe large differences in the ability of DENV4 antibodies, immune sera, and vaccine sera to neutralize the panel, suggesting that DENV4 immunity might not be equally protective against all DENV4s. Our results support the monitoring of changing or emerging DENV genotypes and their role in escaping pre-existing neutralizing antibodies in people who have been vaccinated or exposed to natural DENV4 infections.

INTRODUCTION

Dengue virus (DENV) is a single-stranded positive sense RNA virus. It is estimated that over one-third of the world’s population is at risk for DENV infection, resulting in almost 400 million infections annually (Bhatt et al., 2013). Infection with DENV can result in a range of symptoms, from subclinical or mild disease, to severe DENV hemorrhagic disease and shock syndrome (Halstead, 2015). Genotype III has been detected sporadically in Asia between 1997 and 2015, and genotype V was primarily detected in India in the 1960s, but has been detected as recently as 2009 (Klungthong et al., 2011; Dash et al., 2016; Fares et al., 2015; Klungthong et al., 2004). Conversely, genotype IV, and V infections are relatively rare. Genotype III has been detected sporadically in Asia between 1997 and 2015, and genotype V was primarily detected in India in the 1960s, but has been detected as recently as 2009 (Klungthong et al., 2004; Zhao et al., 2010; Shihada et al., 2017). Genotype IV is sylvatic, with only three known sequences (Durbin et al., 2013; Rossi et al., 2012), and has not yet been shown to spillover into humans, although rare cases of transient spillover have been documented for DENV1–DENV3 (Teoh et al., 2010; Vasilakis et al., 2008).

In this manuscript, we used reverse genetics to generate a panel of recombinant DENV4 viruses that contain an isogenic backbone and differ only by the genotype sequence of the E protein. We used this panel of viruses to evaluate biological and virological properties associated with the E protein including its impact on neutralization using a well-characterized panel of human monoclonal antibodies, convalescent DENV4 sera, and
vaccine sera from human volunteers. Our data reveal clear and significant antigenic differences among the DENV4 genotypes, which is critical for understanding immunity after natural DENV infection and evaluating vaccine responses.

RESULTS

Design of DENV4 Isogenic Envelope Panel

Phylogenetic analyses of DENV4 identify six groups designated as genotypes I, IIa, IIb, III, IV (sylvatic), and V (Figure 1). As different isolates and genotypes of DENVs demonstrate variable growth rates and foci morphology in cell culture, hampering comparative studies of E protein variation, we used reverse genetics to construct a panel of recombinant DENV4 viruses. Using our previously described DENV4 molecular clone (genotype IIb) (Gallichotte et al., 2015), we replaced the wild-type (WT) envelope sequence with that from each of the other genotypes (Table S1; Figure 2A). All other structural and non-structural proteins were derived from WT DENV4, resulting in an isogenic panel of viruses that only differ in the E gene sequence (Figure 2A).

Sequence analyses across the DENV4 genotype viruses reveal significant amino acid variation in EDIII as well as residues adjoining the hinge region between EDI and EDII (Figures 2B, 2C, and S1). When looking at the representative strain for each genotype, some amino acids differ in only one virus (e.g., position 132), whereas at other sites (e.g., position 351) the residues are variable across multiple genotypes (Figures 2B and 2C).

DENV4 Viruses Differ in Growth Kinetics and Foci Morphology

To recover recombinant viruses, full-length cDNAs were assembled as previously described (Gallichotte et al., 2015; 2017). Recombinant DENVs displayed more heterogeneous growth kinetics on Vero cells following both low and high MOI infections (Figure 3B). Genotype IIb viruses replicated most efficiently, and genotype V was significantly attenuated in growth, with peak titers 3 logs lower than that of the other genotypes (Figure 3B). While genotype V was highly attenuated in Vero cells, it was the only virus to cause complete syncytia in C6/36 cells (Figure S2). Although speculative, it is possible that this demonstrates a virus adaptation for increased growth and spread in insect cells and insects. Syncytia formation has been seen with other strains of DENV (Pierro et al., 2006), but we did not observe syncytia with any of the other DENV4 genotype viruses.

In addition to virus growth, we also compared viral foci morphology (Figures 3C–3F). C6/36 foci were similar across the entire panel (Figures 3C and 3D). Slightly more variation in foci morphology and size was noted in Vero cells across the panel, with genotype V producing the smallest foci; however, all strains produced foci that were clearly defined and visible (Figures 3E and 3F). The attenuated foci size of genotype V was consistent with reduced replication in Vero cell growth curves (Figure 3B).

DENV4 E Genotype Viruses Do Not Differ in Thermostability

The large differences in viral replication of the DENV4 panel in mammalian and insect cells (Figure 3) may be a result of different temperature sensitivities, as studies have implicated envelope sequences and virion stability (Lim et al., 2017). A thermostability assay revealed that the DENV4 variants are similarly stable, with little loss of infectivity after incubation at 28°C and 37°C; however, all viruses lost ~1 log of infectivity after incubation at 40°C (Figure 4A). These results demonstrate that something other
than thermostability contributes to differences in the ability of the viruses to infect and replicate in mammalian and insect cells.

**DENV4 E Variants Differ in Maturation Status, Enhanceability, and Glycosylation Pattern**

As DENV maturation state may be heterogeneous in vitro, the recombinant panel allowed us to evaluate the role of E protein sequence on maturation status in an isogenic DENV4 backbone. During infection, DENV is assembled within the endoplasmic reticulum as immature virions containing pre-membrane (prM) proteins, which prevent fusion during viral egress. As DENV transits through the trans-Golgi network, pH change triggers cleavage of prM by the host protease furin. As the virus leaves the cell, cleaved pr dissociates, leaving fully mature viral particles. In cell culture, furin cleavage and pr dissociation are inefficient processes and highly cell type dependent, leading to heterogenous population of differentially mature viruses, containing different amounts of uncleaved pr peptide (Pierson and Diamond, 2012). As maturation status can influence infectivity and antibody neutralization (Mukherjee et al., 2014), we compared the maturation status across the DENV4 panel using immunoblotting and ELISAs (Figures 4B and 4C).

Immunoblotting revealed that the levels of pr protein varied across the panel, with genotype I and III being the least mature (most pr present) and genotypes IV and V being the most mature (very little pr protein detected) (Figure 4B). To corroborate these findings using a different assay, ELISA binding assays were performed, by capturing DENV4 viruses with cross-reactive monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) 4G2 (anti-E) and 2H2 (anti-pr), then probing with a pr-specific antibody (1E16) (Smith et al., 2015).

These studies also demonstrated that there are differing levels of pr protein across the panel (Figure 4C). Consistent with immunoblotting, genotype III was highly immature, whereas genotype V was the most mature. As the DENV4 variant panel contains differing amounts of pr protein (Figures 4B and 4C), we sought to determine whether the viruses could be enhanced by a non-neutralizing, pr-specific mAb (Smith et al., 2015). An antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) assay revealed that despite differing levels of pr present, all viruses are similarly enhanced, although the concentration of antibody needed to achieve peak enhancement and the level of enhancement do vary across the viruses (Figure 4D).

The furin cleavage site (located in prM protein) was not altered across the panel, suggesting that the E protein sequence can impact virus maturation (Pierson and Diamond, 2012). At a neutral pH of the released virus, the pr protein sits over the fusion-loop and is predicted to interact with seven amino acids in EDII (Figures 4E and 4F); however, at low pH, during processing of the virion, pr can make additional contacts across the envelope dimer. Under either condition, none of these amino acids were altered in the panel, suggesting that other residues may function to stabilize pr. Interestingly, there is little variability within the paired pr protein sequences of the variant panel (Figure S3).

Immunoblotting also revealed that the envelope protein of genotype V is slightly smaller than that of the other genotypes (Figure 4B). The DENV envelope protein contains two glycosylation sites, one in EDII (Asn-67) and one in EDI (Asn-153) (Figure 4E). Analysis of the glycosylation site sequences across the panel revealed that a single amino acid change at residue 153 in genotype V disrupts the N-X-T/S glycosylation motif (Figure 4G), resulting in a smaller molecular weight envelope protein (Figure 4B). When looking at all genotype V viruses used in our phylogenetic tree (Figure 1; Table S2), 87.5% have amino acid variability that disrupts the Asn-153 glycosylation site (Table S3), suggesting this disrupted motif is not unique.
to the genotype V strain selected within our panel, but appears to be conserved across most genotype V viruses. DENV envelope glycosylation can be important for binding to host cell receptors, determining infectivity in different hosts, and binding and neutralization by antibodies (Pokidysheva et al., 2006; Mondotte et al., 2007; Rouvinski et al., 2015). Therefore, genotype V’s conserved lack of the second glycosylation site might impact the virus’s ability to efficiently infect and be transmitted between vertebrate and invertebrate hosts, and be the result of adaptation to a different cellular or host tropism (Bryant et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2010). Additionally, the lack of this glycosylation site might contribute to the genotype V C6/36 syncytia phenotype (Figure S2). Genetic alteration of glycosylation and pr protein sequences, and generation of fully mature and fully immature virus preparations would allow one to determine the role of glycosylation and maturation status on many aspects of virus biology. Glycosylation status and large differences in viral maturation state have previously been shown to impact antibody binding and neutralization (Mukherjee et al., 2014); therefore, the binding and neutralization differences we see within this panel might be partially attributable to the variation in glycosylation and maturation.

### Binding of Serotype-Specific and Cross-Reactive mAbs to DENV4 E Genotype Variants

We next measured the binding of a panel of well-characterized DENV serotype-specific and DENV cross-reactive mAbs to our DENV4 viruses by ELISA (Figure 5). DENV4 serotype-specific antibodies D4-126 and D4-131 recognize partially overlapping epitopes that have not been fully defined in the EDI/II hinge region (Figures S4A and S4B) (Nivarthi et al., 2017). All DENV4 genotypes bound similarly to D4-126 and D4-131 (Figure 5A). mAb D4-141, which recognizes an EDIII epitope (Figure S4C), also bound all viruses similarly, despite large amount of variation in EDIII across the panel (Figure 5A). The non-human primate mAb 5H2, which binds to a well-defined epitope on EDI, displayed highly variable binding across the panel (Figure 5A). Two amino acids (162 and 174) predicted to be 5H2 contact residues were variable across the DENV4 panel (Figure S4A) (Cockburn et al., 2012). Genotype III did not bind 5H2 and contains an amino acid polymorphism at position 174, suggesting that this position is essential for 5H2 binding.

The cross-reactive human mAbs C10 and B7 recognize quaternary envelope dimer epitopes (EDEs) that span across the fusion loop of one E monomer into EDIII or EDI of the neighboring
Neutralization of DENV4 E Genotype Variants by Serotype-Specific and Cross-Reactive mAbs

Next, we evaluated the ability of DENV4 serotype-specific and cross-reactive mAbs to neutralize the DENV4 panel in a Vero cell focus reduction neutralization test (FRNT), and a flow cytometry-based neutralization assay with U937 cells expressing DC-SIGN, a known DENV attachment factor (Figures 6A, 6B, and S5). We observed a 1- to 2-log difference in antibody neutralization titers of the DENV4 serotype-specific antibodies against the DENV4 panel. Importantly, some mAbs were not able to neutralize select genotypes even at the highest concentrations tested, despite robust binding (e.g., D4-126 and genotype III) (Figures 5A, 6A, and 6B). Other mAbs have similar neutralization titers despite lower levels of binding (e.g., 5H2 and genotype V). These results reveal that, for each mAb and virus, the amount of mAb sufficient to bind and/or neutralize varies significantly.

Consonant with the binding results, EDE mAbs C10 and B7 potently neutralize all viruses similarly (Figures 6A and 6B), with the exception of B7 and genotype V, due to its missing glycosylation site. The C10 and B7 epitopes are highly conserved across monomer (Figures S4A and S4B) (Rouvinski et al., 2015). These mAbs bind all four DENV serotypes, reflecting the highly conserved nature of the epitope across the DENV E protein. Consequently, it was not surprising that C10 and B7 bound all genotypes within the DENV4 panel with similar efficiencies (Figure 5B), as the differences between genotypes are smaller than those between serotypes. Binding of B7, however, is dependent on the presence of a glycan at position 153 in EDI (Rouvinski et al., 2015). The DENV4 genotype V virus in this panel, which lacked this glycosylation site (Figure 4E), failed to bind to the B7 antibody (Figure 5B).

Figure 4. DENV4 Genotype Viruses Differ in Thermostability, Maturation, and Glycosylation Status

(A) DENV4 viruses were evaluated for their thermostability at 28 °C, 37 °C, and 40 °C (mean ± SD of biological triplicates). Relative infectivity is calculated as (ffu/mL at test temperature / ffu/mL 4 °C) x 100.

(B) Viruses were immunoblotted for envelope (E) and precursor (prM) protein present in viral stocks (representative image).

(C) Binding assay using pr-specific mAb 1E16 to detect amount of pr protein present in virus stocks (mean ± SD of biological triplicates).

(D) Antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) assay was performed with DENV4 virus panel and anti-pr mAb 1E16 in U937 cells (mean ± SD of biological duplicates).

(E) DENV envelope dimer showing location of putative pr interacting residues (navy) and glycosylation sites (yellow) (PDB: 1OAN).

(F) Envelope and putative pr interacting sites (via side-chain interactions) are listed for each genotype.

(G) Amino acid sequences of glycosylation sites 67 and 153 for each genotype. Genotype V lysine at amino acid position 153 (highlighted in red) disrupts glycosylation motif (N-X-T/S).
the DENV4 panel, likely explaining the robust and consistent neutralizing titers (Figures S4A and S4B). Additionally, the range of neutralization titers is smaller for cross-reactive mAbs compared to serotype-specific mAbs, suggesting that the more cross-reactive an antibody is (i.e., the more serotypes it recognizes), the less genotypic diversity matters.

The Neutralization of DENV4 E Genotype Variant Viruses by Human Sera from Natural Infection and Vaccination

Convalescent immune sera from people who have recovered from primary DENV4 infections contain strongly neutralizing serotype-specific and weakly neutralizing cross-reactive antibodies. We performed neutralization assays with DENV4 convalescent immune sera to measure the breadth of neutralization across different DENV4 E variant genotypes (Figures 7A and S6A). While the absolute neutralization titers vary across samples by 1–2 logs, all DENV4 immune sera were able to neutralize all genotypes (Figure 7A). While this suggests that natural infection with any DENV4 genotype elicits antibodies that are neutralizing against other genotypes as well, individuals who have weaker responses may be vulnerable to reinfection due to genotype variation.

Individuals who received a genotype II DENV4 monovalent vaccine developed neutralizing antibodies (Figures 7B and S6B). As seen with natural isolates (Durbin et al., 2013), we also observed a larger spread in neutralization titers with the monovalent vaccine immune sera (~2 logs) compared to the natural infection sera. Additionally, for some vaccine sera, neutralizing antibodies were undetectable against some genotypes, despite robust neutralization of other strains (e.g., sample 68 does not neutralize genotype IV or V, but potently neutralizes genotype II viruses). Among the currently circulating genotype I, II, and III viruses, vaccine-matched genotype II viruses were most potently neutralized. To determine whether the differential genotype neutralization is driven by serotype-specific or cross-reactive antibodies, we used depletion techniques to specifically remove cross-reactive antibodies (de Alwis et al., 2012) (Figures 7C, 7D, and S6C–S6E). We find that removing cross-reactive antibodies minimally alters neutralization titers, suggesting that the majority of total neutralization comes from serotype-specific antibodies, and that the differences in titers across DENV4 genotypes are primarily driven by DENV4 serotype-specific antibodies as well.

We next looked at the DENV4 genotypic neutralizing breadth of individuals who received a tetravalent DENV vaccine. As tetravalent vaccination can result in both DENV4 serotype-specific antibodies, and strongly neutralizing cross-reactive antibodies, depletion techniques were again used to determine the contribution of each population of antibodies to total neutralization. Control depleted sera, containing both serotype-specific and cross-reactive antibodies, differentially neutralized the DENV4 variants, with vaccine-matched genotype II viruses neutralized on average 3- to 20-fold more efficiently than the other genotypes (Figures 7E and S7A). In addition, some sera failed to neutralize currently circulating genotype I or III variants. When we removed DENV serotype cross-reactive antibodies, we observed only a small reduction in neutralization titers, indicating that the vaccine mainly induced serotype-specific neutralizing antibodies.
DISCUSSION

DENV is the most significant arthropod-borne virus, causing significant morbidity and mortality worldwide. Sanofi-Pasteur’s tetravalent DENV vaccine, Dengvaxia, has been marketed and used in human populations, and there are two additional commercial tetravalent vaccine candidates under evaluation in phase III human trials, including the NIH tetravalent DENV vaccine. Recent results with Dengvaxia demonstrate high vaccine efficacy in people who were dengue immune prior to vaccination (81.9%), and much poorer efficacy in people who were naïve before vaccination (52.5%) (Hadinegoro et al., 2015). Moreover, naïve individuals who received the vaccine appear to be at greater risk of developing severe disease, when exposed to a natural DENV infection approximately 24 months or more following the last dose of vaccine. As a result, Dengvaxia is currently recommended only for use in people who have been primed by natural DENV infections (Sridhar et al., 2018). Dengvaxia stimulated high levels of DENV4 serotype-specific neutralizing antibodies (Henein et al., 2017), and overall vaccine efficacy was highest against DENV4. However, in subjects who experienced DENV4 breakthrough infections, molecular analyses indicated that the vaccine had a greater efficacy against vaccine-matched DENV4 genotype II than the co-circulating genotype I virus (Raba et al., 2017). These data underscore the need for developing viruses and reagents that capture intra-serotype genetic variation when evaluating vaccine immune responses and identifying potential antibody-based correlates of protective immunity.

The existence of phylogenetically and antigenically distinct DENV1–DENV4 serotypes is well accepted in the literature (Holmes and Twiddy, 2003); however, the role of genetic diversity across genotypes is less well studied. Many common laboratory DENV strains have either been heavily cell culture adapted and/or differ in sequence from contemporary circulating strains (Dowd et al., 2015; Katzelnick et al., 2015, 2017). Additionally, some laboratory, and importantly, vaccine strains, are composed of DENV genotypes that are likely extinct and, consequently, do not circulate in human populations (Katzelnick et al., 2017). While CD8+ T cells, CD4+ T cells, and other mechanisms of cellular immunity are correlated with DENV protective immunity (Mathew and Rothman, 2008), and antibodies against DENV NS1 may also alter disease severity (Hertz et al., 2017), neutralizing antibodies represent the best correlate of protection to date (Katzelnick et al., 2016; Buddhari et al., 2014). Natural DENV infection is thought to provide lifelong protection against symptomatic reinfection with that serotype (Katzelnick et al., 2016; Buddhari et al., 2014); however, it is unknown whether individuals are protected with the same efficacy against all genotypes within the serotype. There are reports of rare, typically asymptomatic, homotypic reinfection in people in Nicaragua and Peru (Forsey et al., 2016; Waggoner et al., 2016), which is potentially driven by genotypic differences between the primary and secondary infecting viruses. Some studies have evaluated the breadth of antibody neutralization against different genotypes elicited by natural infection or vaccination (Blaney et al., 2005; Durbin et al., 2013; Katzelnick et al., 2015; Messer et al., 2012; Vasilakis et al., 2008a). While most individuals exposed to natural infections or vaccines neutralized multiple genotypes within each serotype, absolute levels of neutralizing antibodies vary depending on the individual and the DENV genotypes used. Indeed, even in the current study, we noted that most individuals exposed to natural infections or a vaccine, developed antibodies that neutralized the most...
prevalent DENV4 genotypes, but the levels of neutralizing antibody varied considerably by genotype. In 2008, the World Health Organization (WHO) noted that there is little evidence of antigenic drift within DENV serotypes that might lead to resistance of certain strains to post-vaccination neutralization, yet they advised that laboratories consider inclusion of multiple virus strains, including laboratory prototype strains and recent clinical isolates when performing neutralization assays (Roehrig et al., 2008). Our results demonstrate the value of including different DENV genotypes when evaluating vaccine responses.

Using reverse genetics, we developed an isogenic panel of DENV4 recombinant viruses that only differ in their E glycoprotein, which was derived from different genotypes. We reconstructed clinically relevant isolates with E protein genes derived from clinical specimens or low passage history in culture. Our data demonstrate that DENV4 E protein genotypic diversity can impact many aspects of the virus’s cell biology including growth in cells, glycosylation, syncytial formation, and maturation. Additionally, as all the viruses can be enhanced, it highlights the importance of determining the impact of DENV genetic variation on disease enhancement after infection and vaccination. The chimeric DENV4 virus panel described here is a powerful tool for initial assessment of the impact of DENV E genotypic variation on virus biology and humoral immunity. As we selected one representative envelope sequence per genotype and utilized a recombinant approach, the viruses here do not capture all E protein sequence diversity within each genotype and some will never actually be encountered by vaccine recipients. Hence, it will be important to evaluate more contemporary DENV4 genotype I, II, and III strains in future studies, including the use of both natural and recombinantly derived isolates. In agreement with our findings, we note that another study using WT strains of endemic and sylvatic DENV4 viruses demonstrated better neutralization of vaccine-matched endemic genotype II viruses compared to sylvatic viruses using sera from DENV4 monovalent vaccine recipients (Durbin et al., 2013).

Our results demonstrate that infection or vaccination with a single DENV4 genotype stimulates variable levels of neutralizing...
antibodies to different genotypes. Currently, there are insufficient data to correlate levels of neutralizing antibodies to protection from DENV disease. Moreover, other immune mechanisms involving T cells, NS1 immunity, and B cell memory may also reduce or eliminate clinical disease (Mathew and Rothman, 2008). However, it is worth noting that the licensed tetravalent DENV vaccine (Dengvaxia) had higher vaccine efficacy against vaccine-matched DENV4 genotype II (~83%) viruses compared to co-circulating DENV4 genotype I viruses (~47%) (Rabaa et al., 2017). We propose that targeted surveillance of changing or emerging DENV genotypes following vaccination will be valuable in assessing the influence of DENV genotype on the frequency of repeat infections and overall vaccine effectiveness.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Phylogenetic Tree
The tree was constructed in Geneious R11 using the neighbor-joining method (Jukes-Cantor genetic distance) with 100 replicates based on the multiple sequence alignment. The radial phylogram was visualized and rendered for publication using CLC Sequence Viewer 7 and Adobe Illustrator CC 2017.

Virus Construction
Chimeric recombinant DENV viruses were constructed as described before (Gallichotte et al., 2015, 2017). Briefly, DNA encoding isogenic envelope protein sequences was introduced into a quadruplicate DENV4 infectious clone system using synthetically derived genes and recombinant DNA approaches. Plasmid DNA was digested and ligated together, and viral full-length genomic RNA was generated using T7 RNA polymerase. Infectious genome-length capped viral RNA transcripts were electroporated into C6/36 cells, and supernatant was harvested and passaged onto C6/36 cells to make viral working stocks.

Cells
C6/36 cells (ATCC CRL-1660) were grown in minimum essential medium (MEM) at 32°C. Vero cells (ATCC CCL-81) were grown in DMEM media, U937 and U937 cells stably expressing DC-SIGN (U937+DC-SIGN) were grown in RPMI medium 1640, and all were cultured at 37°C. All media were supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS), which was replaced by 2% fetal bovine serum (FBS) during DENV infection. All media were supplemented with 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin, and 0.25 μg/mL amphotericin B. C6/36 and U937/ U937+DC-SIGN media were additionally supplemented with non-essential amino acids, and U937/ U937+DC-SIGN media was further supplemented with -glutamine and -mercaptoethanol. All cells were incubated at 5% CO2.

Immune Sera
DENV4 immune human plasma serum was obtained from BEI Resources (NR-41789). Human dengue immune sera was obtained from a previously described Dengue Traveler collection at the University of North Carolina. Vaccine sera were obtained from individuals who received a live-attenuated monovalent DENV4 or tetravalent vaccine 180 days post-vaccination, as developed by the NIH, and were provided by A.P.D. and S.S.W. All human sera samples were anonymized and obtained under Institutional Review Board approval.

Viral Titering and Immunostaining
Cells were plated 1 day prior to infection. Growth media was removed, and virus stocks were serially diluted 10-fold, added to cells, and incubated for 1 hr at either 32°C (C6/36) or 37°C (Vero). After incubation, 1% methanol solution in Opti-MEM (supplemented with 2% FBS, 100 μL/mL penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin, and 0.25 μg/mL amphotericin B) was overlaid, and cells were incubated for 3–4 days. Cells were washed with PBS and fixed with 80% methanol. Cells were blocked in 5% non-fat dried milk and stained with anti-E (4G2) and anti-prM (2H2) mAbs and horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-labeled secondary antibody. Foci were developed using TrueBlue substrate, and viral foci were counted manually.

Growth Curves
C6/36 or Vero cells were seeded in 24-well plates 1 day prior to infection. Viruses were diluted to an MOI of either 0.01 or 0.5, added to cells, and incubated for 1 hr at either 32°C (C6/36) or 37°C (Vero). Inoculum was removed, cells were washed three times with PBS, and growth media were replaced. Media were sampled daily, replaced with fresh media, and immediately frozen at −80°C. Samples were titrated as described above.

Thermostability Assay
DENV4 viruses were diluted 1:10, then incubated at 4°C, 28°C, 37°C, or 40°C for 1 hr, then immediately transferred to 4°C for 15 min. Viruses were then titrated on Vero cells and immunostained as described above.

Immunoblotting
Virus stocks were diluted in PBS, mixed with sample buffer, and heated at 95°C for 10 min. Samples were run on 4%–20% Protein TGX gels and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane. Membranes were blocked in 5% non-fat dried milk and probed with anti-E (4G2) and anti-prM (1E16) mAbs. Membranes were washed and probed with secondary antibodies labeled with HRP and developed using chemiluminescent substrate. Membranes were visualized using a Li-COR C-Digit Blot Scanner.

Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Binding Assay
Plates were coated with anti-E (4G2) and anti-prM (2H2) antibodies in carbonate buffer overnight and blocked in 5% non-fat dried milk, and then virus antigen was added. Primary antibody was diluted in blocking buffer and added to plates for 1 hr at 37°C. Alkaline-phosphate-labeled secondary antibody was added and plates were incubated for 1 hr at 37°C. Plates were developed with p-nitrophenyl phosphate substrate and color changes were quantified using Bio-Rad iMark Microplate Absorbance Reader.

ADE Assay
mAbs was diluted 5-fold and mixed with virus previously diluted to result in ~15% infection in U937+DC-SIGN cells. Virus:mAb mixtures were incubated at 37°C for 45 min, and then added to 5 x 104 U937 cells and incubated at 37°C for 2 hr. After incubation, cells were washed with growth media, and then reseeded in fresh growth media. The cells were incubated for 20 hr at 37°C, washed in PBS, fixed in 10% phosphate-buffered formalin, and then stained with anti-E mAb 4G2 directly conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488. Cells were analyzed on a Guava easyCyte flow cytometer.

Neutralization Assays
FRNT was performed by seeding Vero cells 1 day prior to infection. mAbs or immune sera were diluted 4-fold and mixed with virus stocks previously diluted to ~40 fluwell. Virus:Ab mixtures were incubated at 37°C for 1 hr, and then added to cells for 1 hr at 37°C. After incubation, overlay media was added and plates were incubated for 3 days. Cells were fixed and immunostained as described above. Flow cytometry-based neutralization assays were performed as described above in ADE assays, except with U937+DC-SIGN cells.

Polyclonal Antibody Depletion Assay
Dynabeads were covalently bound to anti-E mAb 1M7 overnight at 37°C. Bead:mAb complex was blocked with 1% BSA in PBS at 37°C, and then washed with 0.1 M 2-(N-Morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES) buffer. Beads were incubated with BSA (control), purified DENV3 (cross-reactive depletion), or a mix of DENV3 and DENV4 (full depletion) for 1 hr at 37°C, and then washed three times with PBS. Bead:mAb:DENV complex was fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 20 min, and then washed four times with PBS. DENV-specific antibodies were depleted from sera by incubating beads with sera diluted 1:10 in PBS for 1 hr at 37°C with end-over-end mixing for at least two sequential rounds of depletions. Removal of DENV antibodies was confirmed by ELISA.

Data Analysis and Software
All data were analyzed and graphed using GraphPad Prism v7.0a. Protein structures were visualized using MacPyMOL: PyMOL v1.7.6.2. Replicate information is included in the figure legends.
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