Modification of colorimetric method based digital soil test kit for determination of macronutrients in oil palm plantation
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Abstract: It is the need of time that oil palm farmers must perform the spatially planned soil analysis to know about the fertilizer sufficient and deficient zones of land. Colorimetric method is a suitable and fast solution of soil analysis for NPK determination using the digital soil test kit. NPK determination procedure with a digital soil test kit was undefined for oil palm. Furthermore, the digital soil test kit determines the passage of light through an opaque medium of soil solution with a specified reagent. Therefore, environmental light may interfere leading to wrong results of NPK measurement. Likewise, this equipment was non-incorporable with the controller of any VRT fertilizer applicator. In this research, these issues were addressed and the NPK measurement procedure was defined for oil palm plantation by modifying the ‘soil to water’ ratio in sample soil solution with an optimum environmental light range of 18-23 W/m². ‘Soil to water’ ratios were found for nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium as 0.31 to 5.00, 1.00 to 5.00 and 4.50 to 5.00, respectively to fit the requirement of NPK for oil palm in the prescribed range of the equipment. Validation study of modified digital soil test kit showed that 91.7% N, 89.6% P and 93.8% K results of modified digital soil test kit were matched with analytical laboratory method. Thus, the reliability of NPK results using digital soil test kit was enhanced, making the kit incorporable with the controller of variable rate fertilizer applicator through remote monitoring based data acquisition system. The outcome of this research can be used in the development of an IoT network data fusion for dynamic assessment of the NPK variation in the soil and nutrient management in oil palm plantations.

Keywords: digital soil test kit, variable rate fertilizer applicator, oil palm, NPK measurement, data acquisition system, colorimetric method

DOI: 10.25165/j.ijabe.20201304.5694

Citation: Yamin M, Ismail W I W, Kassim M S M, Aziz S B A, Akbar F N, Shamshiri R R, et al. Modification of colorimetric method based digital soil test kit for determination of macronutrients in oil palm plantation. Int J Agric & Biol Eng, 2020; 13(4): 188–197.

1 Introduction

Soil testing is an important part of soil and plant nutrient management. It is a standard practice for the farmers to analyze the soil and specify the required amount of fertilizer for application in the field manually or by Variable Rate Technology (VRT) fertilizer applicators[7]. Soil analysis is the first step toward mapping the amount of macronutrients in the farm. According to the crop plant requirement, farmers or VRT fertilizer application system can compute the needed amount of fertilizer to avoid the under or overdose of nutrients. Among the macronutrients, nitrogen is required for protein formation, chlorophyll synthesis and photosynthesis. Its deficiency reduces the use of solar radiation and impairs nutrient uptake. Phosphorus is used by the plant for root growth its deficiency means the restricted root growth, which leads to the overall growth of the plant. While potassium is important for the transport of assimilates of photosynthesis and enzyme activation. The deficiency of potassium may lead to the abnormality of the mentioned process[8].

Globally, total fertilizer nutrient (N+P2O5+K2O) consumption was estimated at 270.95 million tons in 2019 and it is expected to reach 273.38 million tons by the end of 2020[9]. Although these
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fertilizers play important roles in the protective growth of crops and ultimately in terms of higher yields in the capacity of crop production and soil fertility. But continuously uniform application of fertilizers above optimum not only increases the cost of production but also raises a big environmental threat in terms of soil and ground water contamination.

Nutrient levels that are above optimum do not improve plant growth. Above optimum, nitrogen and phosphorus levels can lead to excessive plant and algal growth in waterways that can degrade drinking water, fisheries and causes methemoglobinemia: anemia, cardiovascular disease, lung disease, sepsis, glucose-6-phosphate-dehydrogenase deficiency, and other metabolic problems in humans. High potassium can lead to an imbalance of base saturation levels as well as high soluble salts. In humans, it causes kidney disease or other conditions, such as heart disease, coronary artery disease, hypertension, diabetes, adrenal insufficiency and pre-existing hyperkalemia.

Therefore, it is the need of time that farmers must perform the spatially planned soil analysis for macronutrients regularly to know about the fertilizer sufficient and deficient zones of land. But unfortunately, for a good number of soil samples, the cost of soil analysis for NPK in the laboratory is not affordable for most of the farmers. Also, the laboratory methods take a longer time to analyze macronutrients depending upon the number of soil samples while field scenarios can be changed during the time.

Especially, the oil palm farmers face this problem because most of the oil palm plantations in Malaysia have unleveled areas and with the rain water, nutrients may wash very easily and accumulate in lower areas (PPI 2018). Therefore, oil palm growers require an inexpensive, quick, on-the-spot and reliable technique to determine the soil nutrient conditions and apply the desired nutrient in fertilizer deficient zone manually or more efficiently with the help of variable rate fertilizer applicator.

Ion Selective Electrodes (ISE) have good accuracy up to 95% to analyze the soil fast and accurate but five of the main hindrances prevent the farmers from using the ISE method; one, costly equipment and secondly, there are ISE commercially available for N and K but not for P. Thirdly, these equipment need regular calibration with their standard solutions. On the fourth, the sensors installed in equipment have a certain life and can be damaged if not maintained properly. Fifth, ISE equipment has no interfacing system with the controllers of VRT applicators. Other soil nutrient sensing technologies like Near-Infrared Reflectance Spectroscopy (NIRS) and REFs Spectroscopy) are expensive; involve site-specific calibration; require skills to operate and interpret the data.

The colorimetric method can be a good alternate for an inexpensive and quick on-the-spot analysis of soil for NPK and may give a good estimation of NPK in accordance with the laboratory method. Many soil test kits are available commercially with color card aid. Therefore, during the color matching process, the accuracy of kit varies from person to person. Luster Leaf, USA, introduced a digital soil test kit (1605 Rapitest Digital Soil Test kit) which can determine NPK of soil for various plants except for oil palm by colorimetric method. NPK determination procedure with this equipment was undefined for oil palm plantation because oil palm needs different amounts of NPK nutrients that do not fit for most of the plants. Therefore, this equipment cannot be used in oil palm plantation.

This equipment uses Light Emitting Diode (LED) based technology and determines the passage of light through an opaque medium developed by the soil solution and specified reagent. Therefore, environmental light may mix with LED light of equipment and affects the results. But the developer does not specify any light conditions to determine NPK by using this equipment. Therefore, in various environmental light conditions, this equipment can give wrong results of NPK. Furthermore, the electronic design of this equipment does not permit the interfacing with any VRT fertilizer applicator to transmit the NPK results directly to the standalone VRT fertilizer applicator.

In this study, the above mentioned issues were resolved by redefining the new NPK measurement levels for the soil of oil palm plantation. Secondly, experiments for establishing the appropriate environmental light conditions were also conducted for adoption in NPK measurement using the digital soil test kit. This enhanced the reliability of NPK measurement results using this equipment. Further, this paper defines the interfacing technique of digital soil test kit with the controller of VRT fertilizer applicators using a newly developed data acquisition system. This system offers a unique remote monitoring approach of recording the NPK results from the existing digital soil test kit. In the end, the modified digital soil test kit was tested to validate new NPK measurement levels in the soil of oil palm plantation with defined environmental light conditions. Thus, the paper explains a definite solution so that oil palm growers can find an easy, quick and reliable way for better nutrient management in their plantations manually or with VRT fertilizer applicators.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Soil fertility standards for oil palm

The International Plant Nutrition Institute has fixed the general application rates for nitrogen, phosphorus (P2O5) and potassium (K2O) based on Fresh Fruit Bunch (FFB) analysis. These application rates have been mentioned in the ‘nutrient consumption’ column of Table 1. Table 1 also shows the calculated standards for oil palm nutrition in the form of expected nutrients concentration in the soil and nutrient consumption ratios.

![Table 1: Macronutrients required by oil palm based on FFB analysis](image)

| Nutrient | Nutrient consumption (kg·palm−1·year−1) | Consumption ratio w.r.t. P2O5 | Expected in soil (ppm) |
|----------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| N        | 0.493±0.02 | 88                           | 2.94                   | 59                      |
| NO3−     | 2.184      | 13                           | 13                     | 260                     |
| P2O5     | 0.168±1.2 | 30                           | 30                     | 20                      |
| K2O      | 0.747±1.3 | 133                          | 4.44                   | 89                      |

2.2 Colorimetric method and soil fertility

2.2.1 Digital soil test kit (Rapitest 1605)

Since the digital soil test kit (DSTK) shown in Figure 1 measures nitrogen as nitrate (NO3−), phosphorus as P2O5 and potassium as K2O. It employs LED technology for the determination of the NPK using the colorimetric method. Luster leaf, USA, described the measurement levels (categorical values) of NO3−, P2O5 and K2O for DSTK as shown in Table 2.

According to the procedure of developer, one part of soil sample is mixed with five parts of water to prepare the soil solution. Stirring is needed for 1-2 min to dissolve the available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in the soil solution. As the soil settles...
down in the next 10-15 min after stirring, the clear liquid is taken in an appropriate test tube with respective reagent and shaken. It takes approximately 10 min to develop color in the test tube. Then the test tube is inserted into the optical soil test chamber of digital soil test kit and the level of the respective nutrient is noted by pushing the specified button for the test. These steps are followed for nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium tests.

![Digital soil test kit](image)

Note: Source: Luster Leaf Products, Inc.

**Figure 1 Digital soil test kit (Rapitest 1605)**

| Table 2 Original measurement levels of digital soil test kit |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Nitrogen level (ppm) | N4 | N3 | N2 | N1 | N0 |
| NO₃⁻ | 80 | 40 | 20 | 10 | 0 |
| Phosphorus level (ppm) | P4 | P3 | P2 | P1 | P0 |
| P₂O₅ | 100 | 50 | 20 | 10 | 5 |
| Potash level (ppm) | K₄ | K₃ | K₂ | K₁ | K₀ |
| K₂O | 900 | 600 | 400 | 200 | 50 |

Source: Luster Leaf Products, Inc.

2.2.2 Modification of soil testing procedure for oil palm plantation

In this study, the NPK determination procedure was defined for oil palm by specifying the soil and water ratio in the sample solution. The standard ratio of ‘soil to water’ in sample solution for NPK was 1 to 5 for this kit, but for oil palm plantation, this ratio cannot work because of the range limitation of the digital soil test kit. Table 1 shows that the normal value of NO₃⁻ for oil palm plantation is 260 ppm, which is out of the range of digital soil test kit (Table 2). Therefore the dilution method was used to reduce the ratio of ‘soil to water’ in the sample solution. The dilution method brought the nitrogen measurement within the range of equipment, i.e. 0-80 ppm. Similarly, Table 1 shows that the normal value of K₂O for oil palm plantation is 89 ppm, which is too little to indicate properly by digital soil test kit (Table 2). Therefore, the concentration method was used to increase the ratio of ‘soil to water’ in the sample solution. The concentration method brought the K₂O measurement within the range of equipment properly while P₂O₅ measurement can be indicated by the equipment properly without alteration of ‘soil to water’ ratio in the sample solution.

2.2.3 Determination of appropriate light conditions for digital soil test kit

The digital soil test kit uses a bi-color LED as a light source for detecting the passage of light from the solution of soil extract and reagent taken in a test tube. For NO₃⁻ test, the green light of 565 nm wavelength is used while the red light of 633 nm is used in the determination of P₂O₅ and K₂O. Soil test results from the digital soil test kit are affected by mixing of environmental light radiation with the source light of LED. The developer of the kit did not declare the environmental light range for the measurement of NPK using DSTK. Therefore, it is important to determine the appropriate environmental light radiation range for NPK tests. For this purpose, eight different concentration solutions (7, 13, 17, 23, 37, 43, 77 and 85 ppm) from Horiba nitrate calibration solution of 2000 ppm were prepared using the dilution method. These concentrations fall in the range of digital soil test kit from N0-N4. Similarly, eight different concentration solutions (190, 210, 390, 410, 590, 610, 890 and 910 ppm) from Horiba potassium calibration solution of 2000 ppm were prepared to range between K0-K4. While for phosphorus, H₃PO₄ (85%) solution was used to develop further 7, 13, 17, 23, 47, 53, 97 and 105 ppm solutions.

Each group of ten different concentration solutions was tested using a digital soil test kit under the environmental (solar based) radiations of 15-26 W/m² (+0.5) with an increment of 1 W/m² (+0.5) and data was recorded as shown in Figure 2. It is worth mentioning that environmental light enters from the cap of the test tube, therefore, it must be monitored near the cap of test tube inserted in the optical soil test chamber of DSTK as shown in Figure 2.

2.2.4 Development of the ‘digital soil test data acquisition system’ for VRT controllers

In the original form, a digital soil test kit cannot be integrated with any VRT fertilizer applicator. Thus, soil fertility results cannot be automatically transferred to any VRT controller or computer. Instead a manual procedure is adopted. To eliminate this deficiency, an 8-bit data acquisition system based on Light Dependent Resistor (LDR) was developed which offers a unique remote monitoring approach for recording NPK results from the existing digital soil test kit. It was made possible by installing 5 photocells/light dependent resistors (LDRs) against LED indicators of NPK concentrations in existing digital soil test kit without altering its original circuit or algorithm as shown in Figure 3. A digital data reader (Figure 3 and Figure 4) was also designed as a segment of the data acquisition system which reads NPK results from digital soil test kit using LDRs and transmits to the controller of the variable rate liquid fertilizer applicator for oil palm.

More specifically, the designed digital data reader was linked with an AT89S52 microcontroller which can read the results from digital soil test kit in digital form. All LDRs (Dark resistance = 1 MΩ each) are given 5 VDC on their first pins while the other pins
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of all LDRs are connected with pin 1 of respective opto-couplers as shown in Figure 4. Pin 4 of all opto-couplers are connected to signal cables attached to an AT89S52 microcontroller while 2 and 3 number pins are connected to the ground. When any of DSTK LEDs of NPK concentration is turned on, the resistance of respective LDR is reduced and triggering voltages are supplied to respective opto-coupler to turn it on. Thus the respective I/O line of AT89S52 microcontroller is grounded in the result of above mentioned action and AT89S52 microcontroller can recognize which LED has been turned on for which concentration of N, P or K (Figure 4).

Thirty memory locations in the AT89S52 microcontroller were reserved to store ten results of N, P and K each. These memory locations are selectable by the user using memory switches. AT89S52 microcontroller employed NPK programming switch (PSWx) to record NPK results from digital soil test kit using the following algorithm (Figure 5). ‘R0’ is a register in the microcontroller which was used to pick the N, P or K results, one by one from digital soil test kit and save it to respective memory location allocated for the purpose with the help of accumulator. When ‘PSWx’ is put to a low level signal, microcontroller searches for selected memories out of thirty allocated memories and stores the address of selected memory in ‘R0’. N, P or K result is received in the accumulator from the digital soil test kit and saved to a respective memory location for transmission to the VRT controller with simple TTL to USB converter cable. This frees the researchers and farmers to record and upload the data manually in electronic controllers of variable rate fertilizer applicator eliminating the chance of data handling error. The performance and functionality of the electronic board were evaluated and approved by Adaptive AgroTech Consultancy Int laboratory in Germany.

2.2.5  Testing of modified digital soil test kit in oil palm plantation

A comprehensive soil study was performed in an oil palm plantation, United Melaka Bhd. (UMB), to validate the NPK concentrations measured with the help of modified digital soil test kit by comparing with laboratory results and map the NPK status in

Figure 3  Addition of LDRs in digital soil test kit

Figure 4   Schematic design of digital soil test data acquisition system

Figure 5   Programming algorithm to record NPK results from DSTK in AT89S52/AT89LP52 microcontrollers
the study area. For this purpose, United Melaka Bhd. allocated 3.83 hm² of oil palm plantation. 48 soil samples were collected from the allocated plantation in the grid format up to the depth of 25 cm. Two sub-samples were collected from each spot and then mixed to make one soil sample of 500-600 g. Sampling points are marked by symbols P1-P48 in Figure 6. Each soil sample was divided into two parts of 250 g and 250-300 g making two groups of 48 soil samples.

Figure 6  Sampling plan for 3.83 hm²

The first group of 48 soil samples was sent for NPK testing to the research department of United Plantations Bhd. (UP), which took about 45 d for completing NPK tests using standard laboratory procedures. During this long time taking analysis, the NPK scenario in the soil of oil palm plantation may change considerably and may not be useful for accurate fertilizer applicator using variable rate fertilizer applicator. Another group of soil samples was tested with the help of a digital soil test kit using a modified procedure for NPK testing (Figure 7). This testing took about 8 h of time. Laboratory and DSTK results were compared to validate the modified procedure in defined light conditions.

Figure 7  NPK testing with digital soil test kit

3  Results and discussion

3.1  Modified NPK testing procedure for oil palm and light conditions

Ignoring the standard ‘soil to water’ ratios for N, P and K testing procedure, three different ‘soil to water’ ratios were defined for nitrate (NO₃⁻), phosphorus (P₂O₅) and potassium (K₂O) as 0.31 to 5, 1 to 5 and 4.5 to 5 respectively to fit the NPK requirement of oil palm in the capacity of the equipment. Figure 8 describes the modified NPK testing procedure for oil palm. It follows the standard steps described in section ‘0’ except the standard soil to water ratio.

Figure 8  Modified NPK testing procedure for oil palm

These new ‘soil to water’ ratios helped to redefine the measurement levels of digital soil test kit for the soil of oil palm plantation as presented in Table 3. For NO₃⁻ measurement, redefined measurable levels are 0, 32, 65, 130 and >260 ppm. While, for P₂O₅, there is no change in measurable levels because existing levels satisfy the measurement of phosphorus in the soil of oil palm plantations. Moreover, potassium levels in terms of K₂O were also redefined as 11, 44, 89, 133 and >200 ppm. Thus, NO₃⁻, P₂O₅ and K₂O concentrations required in the soil of oil palm plantation fall in the range of digital soil test kit.

Table 3  Redefined measurement levels of digital soil test kit for the soil of oil palm plantation

| Nitrogen level | N4 | N3 | N2 | N1 | N0 |
|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|
| NO₃⁻ (ppm)     | 260| 130| 65 | 32 | 0  |
| Phosphorus level | P4 | P3 | P2 | P1 | P0 |
| P₂O₅ (ppm)     | 100| 50 | 20 | 10 | 5  |
| Potash level   | K4 | K3 | K2 | K1 | K0 |
| K₂O (ppm)      | 200| 133| 89 | 44 | 11 |

Further, for the modified procedure, determined appropriate light conditions are shown in Table 4 to Table 6 where ‘I’ represents matched result with known concentration while ‘0’ represents mismatch. For NO₃⁻ determination, the optimum environmental light range was found as 18-22 W/m². The ranges for P₂O₅ and K₂O measurements were 18-21 W/m² and 18-23 W/m², respectively. In general, the appropriate light range for NPK determination was found 18-23 W/m² but it is important to follow the specified light intensity range for N, P and K determination separately. During NPK measurement in the field, the mentioned light intensity ranges can be monitored using a reliable instrument and tuned easily under the shade of tree or fertilizer applicator in a sunny environment.
Table 4 Light conditions for digital soil test kit during nitrate test

| NO₃⁻ ppm | DSTK level | Solar radiation (W·m⁻²) + 0.5 |
|----------|------------|-----------------------------|
|          | 15         | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| 7        | N0         | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 13       | N1         | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0  | 0  |
| 17       | N2         | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0  | 0  |
| 23       | N3         | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0  | 0  |
| 37       | N4         | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0  |
| 77       | N5         | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0  |
| 85       | N6         | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0  |

Table 5 Light conditions for digital soil test kit during P₂O₅ test

| P₂O₅ ppm | DSTK level | Solar radiation (W·m⁻²) + 0.5 |
|----------|------------|-----------------------------|
|          | 15         | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| 7        | P0         | 0  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 13       | P1         | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 17       | P2         | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 23       | P3         | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 47       | P4         | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 53       | P5         | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 97       | P6         | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 105      | P7         | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |

Table 6 Light conditions for digital soil test kit during K₂O test

| K₂O ppm | DSTK level | Solar radiation (W·m⁻²) + 0.5 |
|---------|------------|-----------------------------|
|         | 15         | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| 190     | K0         | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 210     | K1         | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 390     | K2         | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0  | 0  |
| 410     | K3         | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0  |
| 590     | K4         | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  |
| 610     | K5         | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0  |
| 890     | K6         | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0  | 0  |
| 910     | K7         | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0  | 0  |

3.2 Validation results of soil tests using modified digital soil test kit

The results for nitrogen tests have been shown in Figure 9. Digital soil test kit showed good performance as its results were 91.67% matched with analytical laboratory tests. Although the digital soil test kit measures NPK in range spans, even then it helps for very good NPK estimation in a short time. For phosphorus tests, the digital soil test kit performed well by matching its results 89.58% with laboratory tests (Figure 10). Similarly, DSTK results for potassium tests were matched 93.75% with analytical laboratory results (Figure 11). These results are in line with the findings of Faber, Downer[11] who found the Rapitest kit most suitable for determining NO₃⁻, P₂O₅, and K₂O in comparison with Quick Soil Test kit and La Motte Soil Test kit. This also proved that defined environmental light conditions worked to enhance the reliability of Rapitest digital soil test kit results.

Faber, Downer[11] also endorsed that Rapitest kit is a fast and economic mean by which farmers and agricultural service personnel can improve nutrient management for crop production and environmental protection. On the other hand, Adsett, Thottan[13] reported that Ion Selective Electrode (ISE) is although a fast and 95% accurate for measuring NO₃⁻ but it requires calibration with a known concentration of NO₃⁻ solution. Further, ISE has limited life and comparatively costly equipment. The study of Adamchuk, Lund[20] differs from the findings of Adsett, Thottan[17] describing that a variety of ISEs in Direct Soil Measurement (DSM) applications are 24% to 35% limiting its use in the field. Other researchers like Sethuramasamyrajya, Adamchuk[21] supported the findings of Adamchuk, Lund[20] by mentioning the accuracy of ISEs between 14% to 32% for NO₃⁻ measurement in DSM application.

Unfortunately, for the measurement of phosphorus in the field, there is no ISE available commercially[19] but costly technology of Reflectance Spectroscopy (REFS) has the in-field accuracy of 48% to 75%[22,23]. Moreover, ISE for in-field measurement of potash, are accurate between 27% to 62% as mentioned by Sethuramasamyrajya, Adamchuk[21] and Adamchuk, Lund[20] while other technologies like REFS have much lower accuracy which lies between 26% to 46%[24,25].

DSTK results matching = 91.67%

Figure 9 Laboratory and digital soil test kit analysis of soil samples for Nitrate (NO₃⁻)
Figure 10  Laboratory and digital soil test kit analysis of soil samples for $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$

Figure 11  Laboratory and digital soil test kit analysis of soil samples for $\text{K}_2\text{O}$

Figure 12 to Figure 14 are geographic representations of UMB soil analysis results (Figure 9 to Figure 11) in the form of NPK contour and vector overlay maps. It is depicted from Figure 12 that nitrogen was deficient on all points except P11, P17, P22 and P42 where it was 2-3 times higher than the required 59 ppm for oil palm plantation (Table 1). Hatched contours in Figure 12 show the normal concentration of available nitrogen. On the other hand, phosphorus was found to be extremely high in the field ranging between 41.2-2192.8 ppm (Figure 13). Figure 14 indicates the mixed situation of low and high concentrations of potassium in oil palm plantation. But maximum of 770.5 ppm of $\text{K}_2\text{O}$ was found in the field which was 8.66 times the required 89 ppm (Table 1). Hatched contours in Figure 14 show the normal concentration of potassium. Overall, the maps revealed environmental damaging condition because the farmer is laying piles of phosphorus in the field without performing the soil analysis. The situation arose due to the high cost and lengthy procedure of soil tests in the commercial laboratories depicting the need for fast, inexpensive and sufficiently accurate modified digital soil test kit for oil palm plantations.
Figure 12  Available nitrogen map for oil palm plantation

Figure 13  P₂O₅ map for oil palm plantation
to develop an IoT network data fusion of NPK in accordance with the analytical reference. (UMB) 
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