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Abstract The pandemic COVID-19 has relentlessly caused havoc to human life since its outbreak in December 2019. The disease has been a challenge for all. The clinical manifestations of Covid-19 ranges from no symptoms at all to severe acute respiratory distress syndrome. Anosmia being one of the important clinical features of COVID-19 has always been overlooked by the Indian population. This formed the background for this study. Aim To identify the incidence of anosmia reported in COVID-19 patients in India. Materials and Methods Literature search was carried out from January 2020 to March 2021 in databases like PUBMED and Google Scholar using the key words “ANOSMIA”, “HYPOSMIA” and “OLFACTORY DYSFUNCTION” in conjunction with “COVID-19”, “SARS-COV-2”, and “CORONAVIRUS”. Boolean operators were used to narrow and broaden the search. The search yielded sixteen eligible articles. Result The scrutiny of the 16 articles revealed an incidence range of anosmia from 9.2% to 82% and an average anosmia incidence rate of 30.19%. The cumulative incidence rate of anosmia in those studies where objective analysis was done is 52.2% and 16.4% for subjective analysis. Discussion The prevalence of anosmia in Indian population is found to be much lesser than that reported by European countries due to ethnicity or negligence. Objective evaluation of anosmia in COVID-19 patients increases the incidence of anosmia drastically. Hence objective evaluations such as UPIST, SNIFFING STICK test, etc. is to be promoted. This study also Emphasises the lack of common gold standard testing for olfaction like vision and hearing.
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Introduction

COVID-19 the raging pandemic and a threat to mankind is caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome corona virus-2 [SARS COV-2]. Isolated from the throat swab sample of a patient in Wuhan city China back in Jan 7, 2020 [1]. The impact of COVID-19 was catastrophic, as of march 2021 the weekly epidemiological update from WHO reports over 102 million cases and a total toll of deaths to 2.2 million from 222 countries and territories [2]. India notified its first laboratory-confirmed case of SARS-CoV-2 from the state of Kerala in the later part of January 2020. As on April 2021 globally India ranked second in terms of burden of COVID-19 cases, with 13 million cases including 170,179 deaths. SARS COV2 belongs to the β-genus of the Coronaviridae family. Being an enveloped single standard
RNA virus with genome of 26–32 kilobases [3, 4] they have characteristic club-shaped spikes that project from their surface made of S protein with S1 and S2 subunits that makes the virus attach to the cell membrane by interacting with host ACE2 receptor [5]. The clinical manifestations of Covid-19 ranges from no symptoms at all to severe acute respiratory distress syndrome and death. The most common symptoms include fever, cough, dyspnoea, sore throat, headache, myalgia, rhinorrhoea and diarrhoea and nasal discharge [6]. Epidemiological importance is given to asymptomatic patients as they play a key role in community transmission of the disease. Otolaryngologic symptoms forms most of the symptomatology of asymptomatic COVID-19 patients with reports suggesting that anosmia and taste disturbance being the only manifestation in “asymptomatic” individuals [7].

Anosmia

Anosmia is defined as the complete loss of olfactory sensation, about 5% of the general population have anosmia and it increases with age. The sense of smell called olfaction is essential for any living being. Studies of late suggests that olfactory dysfunction impacts the quality of life (QOL) adversely and it can affect the elements of QOL such as safety, hygiene, and nutrition [8]. Olfactory dysfunction can be classified as quantitative and qualitative (Table 1). The principle causes of olfactory dysfunction include: trauma, viral infections, rhinosinusitis, neurodegenerative illnesses-Parkinson disease, Alzheimer dementia [9].

Table 2 describes the different characteristics of olfactory dysfunction. Anosmia associated with post viral URI [Rhin and Para-influenza virus] occurs along with rhinorrhoea and nasal block due to mucosal inflammation. Exceptionally the anosmia in COVID-19 doesn’t have the aforementioned nasal symptoms [10, 11]. Thereby indirectly suggesting a correlation between SARS-CoV-2 infection and damage to the chemosensory system [12]. There are increasing evidence worldwide that olfactory dysfunction could be the presenting complaint in COVID-19 patients without rhinorrhoea, nasal block before appearance of other symptoms like fever and cough [13]. This has lead the way for increasing research in COVID-19 associated anosmia resulting in addition of new onset anosmia in the list of pre-existing clinical symptoms of COVID-19 by Centres for Disease Control and Prevention [14]. Though exact pathophysiology behind COVID-19 induced anosmia is blurred and extensive research work is carried on to attain clarity. Olfactory dysfunction in COVID-19 infection could be related to the involvement of the olfactory bulb or damage to the peripheral olfactory receptor cells in the nasal neuroepithelium as SARS-CoV-2 is neurotrophic in nature [15]. Various animal models have demonstrated high levels of angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE2) proteins expression by nasal and olfactory support cells which is used by the SARS-CoV-2 to infect the cells [16].

The olfactory epithelium includes several distinct cell types, namely, horizontal basal cells [HBCs], microvillar cells [MVCs], Bowman’s gland cells [BGCs], globular basal cells [GBCs], olfactory unsheathing glia [OEGs], sustentacular cells [SUSs], immature olfactory sensory neurons [iOSNs] and mature olfactory sensory neurons and [mOSNs, respectively [23]. The OSNs possess the receptors for perception of smell [22, 24]. In humans, there are at least ~400 functionally distinct OSNs [25]. Olfactory sensation starts when the odoriferous substance reaches the olfactory cleft and dissolve through the nasal mucosa to binds to the receptors on the dendritic cilia of olfactory sensory neurons (OSNs). The 6–30 million OSN has a

| Table 1 Classification of olfactory dysfunction (52) |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Olfactory dysfunction (dyosmia) | Oversensitivity | Normal sensitivity |
| Hyperosmia | Normosmia | Hyposmia |
| Anosmia (functional anosmia specific anosmia) | Complete anosmia: absolute loss of olfactory function, no smell detectable | Reduced sensitivity |
| Functional anosmia: severe limitation of olfactory function includes complete loss as well as residual odour perception | Partial anosmia: greatly reduced sensitivity to a particular Odoriferous substance/group of substances compared with General population usually not viewed as pathological | |
| Qualitative | Parosmia | Phantosmia |
| Altered perception of odours in the presence of a stimulus | Perception of odours in the absence of stimulus | |
special characteristic of continuously renewing themselves from the basal cells. OSNs convey odour related information to the first relay station, olfactory bulb and from there, information is further carried to higher brain centres to complete odour perception. Conscious perception of odours are relayed to the orbitofrontal cortex [17–20] with interconnections to the limbic system, cerebellum and insula.

The novel coronavirus, SARSCoV-2, enters the host cell by binding angiotensin-converting enzyme-2 [ACE2] receptors followed by priming of the viral spike protein by the Transmembrane Protease Serine 2 [TMPRSS2] [19]. Interestingly, single-cell sequencing studies reveal expression of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 in human olfactory epithelial supporting [sustentacular] cells, but not in OSNs [16, 21, 22]. A structural study using the cryo-electron microscopy [EM] unravelled the strong interaction between the full-length viral spike protein and the human ACE2 receptor [23, 24]. Hypothetically anosmia could be due to increased viral load in SUSs, BGCs and OSCs which are located apically in the olfactory epithelium, initially SUS cells might be infected, leading to partial/complete breakdown of the olfactory architecture, resulting in the decline in olfaction.

The insufficient data on the incidence of anosmia in COVID-19 from Asia more so from India formed the design for this study to be undertaken.

**Objective**

Considering the importance of smell disturbances in COVID 19, the study was undertaken by reviewing articles published in PUBMED and GOOGLE SCHOLAR to identify the incidence of anosmia among COVID-19 patients in India. The study aims at sorting out discrepancies in the incidence values of anosmia among COVID patients in India.

| Head injury | Infection of the upper respiratory tract | Rhinitis/sinusitis |
|-------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Lesion of the olfactory Fibres in the region of Lamina cribrosa, contusion of brain areas relevant to olfaction | Viral damage of olfactory epithelium | Mechanical displacement, oedema/functional defect because of inflammatory process of the mucosa/olfactory bulb |
| Rare | Frequent | Frequent |
| Degeneration | Metaplasia, faulty development of ORN | Signs of inflammation, mostly normal |
| Around 5% | Less than 1% | 60 of all patients with chronic sinusitis |
| 20–50 years | Rapid | 30–60 years |
| Rapid | Rapid | slow |
| Severe | Moderate | Variable |
| Frequent | Very frequent | Rather rare |
| Infrequent | Frequent | Very frequent |
Material and Method

Search Strategy and Selection Criteria

The literature search was carried out in databases like PUBMED and google scholar from January 2020 to March 2021. The search terms used were: “ANOSMIA”, “HYPOSMIA” and “OLFAC'TORY DYSFUNCTION” in conjunction with “COVID-19”, “SARS-COV-2”, and “CORONAVIRUS”. Boolean operators (NOT, AND, OR) were also used. Full text articles reporting the incidence of anosmia in COVID-19 patients from INDIA were included in the study. Articles which do not mention ANOSMIA and those that do not include INDIAN patients were excluded from the study.

Data Extraction and Analysis

A total of 1756 records were identified through the search from January 2020 to March 2020. After removing duplicate records 883 records were screened. After initial screening 435 articles were removed as they were non otolaryngological topics. The remaining 448 articles were taken for assessment and eligibility. 432 full text articles were excluded as they dealt with non-Indian population. Hence the remaining 16 articles were included in the study based on the inclusion criteria. The details of the data collection are presented in the Prisma chart. (Fig. 1)

Results

The number of patients included in the eligible studies varies from 34 to 1263. The age of patients varied from 15 to 43 years with a mean of 38.52. Except one study all other studies had adult patients. The patients included in studies screened were suffering from mild to moderate forms of the disease as the ventilated and intensively cared patients could not report anosmia. The range of incidence as observed by the studies ranges from 9.2% to 82% and the average incidence rate acquired in this study is 30.19%. Review of the articles gives an incidence rate of 52.2% for quantitative analysis and 16.4% for subjective analysis. This was supported by a meta-analysis done by Manish Mair who gives an incidence rate of 42% for quantitative analysis and 37% for subjective analysis. Another systemic review by Ajai Prakash stated an incidence rate of 48.54% (25, 27). Nine studies out of the 16 have said that anosmia is associated with dysgeusia of varied severity. It is also evident from the review that objective evaluation of anosmia in COVID-19 patients increases the incidence of anosmia drastically. There is no significant gender predominance in the incidence rate of anosmia as observed by the review. It was found in the review that anosmia does not have any association with comorbidities like systemic hypertension and type II diabetes mellitus. Table 3 Summarizes characteristics of included studies.

Discussion

The first cranial nerve “Olfactory nerve” also regarded as the forgotten cranial nerve has always been overlooked during examination in clinical practices. Besides anosmia [in the absence of intranasal diseases such as infectious rhinosinusitis, allergic rhinosinusitis, vasomotor
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rhinosinusitis and nasal polyposis] in patients with sensorineural viral infection of the first cranial nerve is an infrequent scenario in the clinical practice and our previous knowledge on neurotrophic viruses is limited. Hence the low prevalence of sensorineural viral anosmia has made clinical research on this topic difficult compounded by the lack of infrastructure to do neuroimaging studies, cumbersome procedures to take histological specimens from olfactory epithelium and absent viral cultivation methods until COVID-19 pandemic. COVID-19 a form of respiratory infection that remains an active pandemic responsible for the death of millions whose clinical course and pathophysiology is still an elusive topic. Over the course of the pandemic multiple studies have been published expressing the incidence of anosmia in COVID-19 patients. Various researchers reported a higher frequency of olfactory symptoms in asymptomatic patients of COVID-19. Though there is shortage of data on anosmia incidence due to COVID-19 in India the studies enlisted in our study may be analysed to fulfil that lacuna. Irrespective of the fact that most of the health organisations worldwide have adapted acute anosmia among symptoms corresponding to COVID-19 many of the new reported cases of COVID-19 induced anosmia is under diagnosed. This could be due to various factors but largely because of the lack of understanding of the correlation between corona virus and anosmia and ignorance of anosmia as a symptom among the common as well as medical population. The ways of assessing anosmia are also of paramount importance. It is a well-known fact that objective methods to evaluate olfactory disorders are more reliable than the subjective methods. Most widely used objective techniques for assessing olfaction are-

| S.no | Date       | Author                  | Country | Study method          | Number of patients | Mean age | Anosmia diagnosis method | Incidence rate (%) | Gender Predominance | Dysgeusia related |
|------|------------|-------------------------|---------|------------------------|--------------------|---------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 1    | Jul 2020   | Prasun Mishra           | India   | Case–control           | 74                 | N/A     | Self-reported            | 14.8                | Nil                 | No                |
| 2    | Sep 2020   | Alain, L. Kumar         | India   | Cross-sectional        | 410                | 38      | Eliciting history        | 21.1                | Nil                 | Yes               |
| 3    | Sep 2020   | Lakshit Kumar a         | India   | prospective            | 141                | 15.2    | Self-reported            | 24.1                | N                   | Yes               |
| 4    | Sep 2020   | Anindya S. Bhattacharjee | India   | Case–control           | 34                 | N/A     | Quantitative using olfactometer | 82                  | Nil                 | No                |
| 5    | Sep 2020   | Indu Rajkumar           | India   | Prospective cross-sectional cohort | 230              | 43      | Qualitative using 10 odours | 41.3                | Nil                 | Yes               |
| 6    | Oct 2020   | Vijay Bidkarl           | India   | cohort                  | 76                 | 28      | Qualitative test using 3 odours | 81.6                | Nil                 | Yes               |
| 7    | Oct 2020   | Smriti Panda            | India   | cohort                  | 225                | 35      | Self-reported            | 12.5                | Nil                 | No                |
| 8    | Oct 2020   | Naveed Nazir Shah       | India   | Cross sectional        | 121                | 33      | Questioner               | 18.47               | Nil                 | No                |
| 9    | Nov 2020   | Narayanasamy Krishnasamy | India   | Cohort                  | 1263               | 35      | Self-reported            | 9.4                 | Nil                 | No                |
| 10   | Nov 2020   | Betsy varghese          | India   | Cross sectional        | 203                | 36      | Self-reported            | 12                  | Nil                 | No                |
| 11   | Nov 2020   | Nishanth Dev            | India   | Case control            | 261                | 36      | Self-reported            | 21.1                | Nil                 | Yes               |
| 12   | Nov 2020   | Vishav Yadav            | India   | Prospective observational | 152         | 43      | Questioner               | 18.4                | Nil                 | Yes               |
| 13   | Nov 2020   | Priyanka Chaurasia       | India   | Prospective observational | 727         | N/A     | Self-reported            | 12.10               | Nil                 | yes              |
| 14   | Jan 2021   | Pradipt Ranjan Sahoo     | India   | Cross sectional        | 77                 | 37      | Eliciting history        | 10.1                | Nil                 | Yes               |
| 15   | Jan 2021   | Kunal Thakur            | India   | Prospective observational | 250         | 40      | Questioner               | 72                  | Nil                 | No                |
| 16   | Jan 2021   | Disha Kou               | India   | Prospective observational | 300         | 37      | Self-reported            | 27.6                | Nil                 | Yes               |
University of Pennsylvania Smell Identification Test (UPSIT), “Sniffing Sticks” test, The Connecticut Chemosensory Clinical Research Centre (CCRC) test and 12-odor Brief Smell Identification Test (BSIT), otherwise called the Cross-Cultural Smell Identification Test. These tests rely on odour threshold detection and odour identification. Sadly, these tests are seldomly used in the Indian population due to their expensive nature, less familiarity of the odours included in the test among the local masses and availability of these test kits. So, a simple smell identification test employing familiar odours for Indian population is commendable. Other special sensory systems like hearing and vision can be assessed with a gold standard measure but this is not the case for olfaction. A gold standard test for olfactory function would be ideal. But achieving this feat can be difficult, because of the cultural difference for odours and fragrances in different regions. Accepting such differences and adjusting the odorants used in smell tests may provide an alternative way in the absence of gold standard test for olfactory function for comparison of clinical data. Among the screened studies in our review Vijay Bidkar et al. proposed an alternative tool for the community health workers to assess anosmia and taste dysfunction in COVID-19 patients with effectiveness known as COVID-19 Anosmia Reporting Tool. Which was originally developed by American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery (AAO-HNS) can be used in addition to the above-mentioned methods to fortify the evidence [26].

SARS-CoV-2 requires double expression of two genes, Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme-2 (ACE2) and Transmembrane Protease Serine2 (TMPRSS2) receptors to enter the host. ACE2 binds to viral spike protein and TMPRSS2 facilitates priming of the S protein. Both ACE 2 and TMPRSS2 are expressed in the sustentacular cells of human olfactory epithelium and not on the OSN. The functioning of OSN after the sustentacular cells being infected with virus remains largely unknown. However, in the case of asymptomatic carriers, where we do not observe a complete loss of olfaction, the elevated levels of inflammatory cytokines [27] or an ionic imbalance in the sustentacular cells might affect the electrical threshold of OSNs producing olfactory dysfunctions of varying severity [28, 29].

In a Study conducted by Induraj kumar 26.9% of the patients self-reported anosmia before testing. Whereas after quantitative evaluation the incidence increased to 41.3%. This reflects that incidence of anosmia related to COVID-19 would be underestimated in an epidemiological study where only subjective methods were used to assess anosmia (30). Hence objectification of anosmia is essential to prevent under diagnosing it. A meta-analysis was taken as reference in a study done by Nishith Dev [31] to denote the fact that incidence of anosmia increases when validated instruments were used instead of subjective evaluation. Subjective evaluation has been the most common method in assessing the incidences of anosmia in majority of the studies screened in our review as only 4 studies have objective evaluation of anosmia. Similarly, a study by Anindya S. Bhattacharjee [32], used a novel olfactometer to quantify the severity of anosmia reported that out of the 85% of the anosmic asymptomatic patients in their study only 15% of them knew about their loss of smell.

Prasun Mishra et al. in his study concluded that the prevalence of anosmia in European population is higher than that of Asian population [33]. The incidence of anosmia as observed in our study as an average can be put to 30.19%. A comparison of this incidence rate with European and East Asian studies was done. A European study done by Klopfenstein et al., which stated an incidence of as 47% [34]. Another European study by Leichen et al. reported Anosmia was 86% [35] and Padrino et al. stated 83% [36]. A meta-analysis done by Tong et al. in Europe gives an incidence of 52.73% [37]. Although only few studies on the incidence of olfactory dysfunctions in Asia have been published so far. It is noted that the average incidence rate of this study is more or less similar to the findings of other Asian studies. East Asia have shown incidence anosmia to be ranging from 5.6% patients in China [38], 8.9% in Japan [39], to 30% in Korea [40]. Prasun et al. showed that the olfactory dysfunctions were seen in 14.8% of Indian patients [33].The prevalence in East Asians is much less as compared to the Caucasians [41], the probable phenomenon behind this difference in the incidence rate between Western and Asian population remains a topic of debate and requires further research. Fortunately, however some potential mechanisms have been postulated.

1. Virus becomes more stable through mutations of surface proteins, spike [S] protein and nucleocapsid [N] protein reducing its entry into the cell [42]
2. Genetic differences between ethnic groups and their role in viruses affecting organ systems.
3. The affinity of SARS COV-2 for tissues and potential human genetic factors [43].
4. Last but not the least the difference in the degree of expression of ACE2 throughout the body that can affect the outcome [44]. Bilinska et al., concluded that Caucasians might have excessive ACE2 variants expressed on the sustentacular cells of their olfactory cleft, hence resulting in the binding of the SARS-CoV-2 virus with higher affinity, resulting in higher frequency olfactory symptoms as compared to the East Asians, who have lesser ACE2 variants [45]. Also, a metanalysis done by Bartheld et al. signifies
that ethnicity is a pivotal factor in contributing to the difference observed in incidence of chemosensory dysfunction amongst East Asian and Caucasian population as quoted by the study done by Pradip Ranjan [46].

This review focuses the attention to the study done by Kunal Thakur. He found an alarming fact that in the study 72.3% of the patients had anosmia as the only symptom during the study. The finding stresses the need to enquire about new onset anosmia and smell dysfunction and taste dysfunction as it could be the only symptom or the first symptom to appear in COVID-19 patients. He has concluded that quarantine and isolation measures could be made more effective by early identification of these individuals with smell loss with no other symptoms [47] as supported by Krajewska et al. [7].

Hence, it may be presumed that even though incidence of olfactory dysfunction in study sample is lower than that of European subjects, olfactory dysfunction in COVID-19 cases does form a significant clinical feature. After the detailed literature review, we came to a conclusion that objective analysis of olfactory dysfunction improves the incidence rate of anosmia when compared to subjective methods. Objective methods can quantify olfactory loss and restrict confounders if any present as they are based on true perception of a stimuli hence limiting response and measurement bias. The increased frequency of olfactory loss when an objective methodology is used in a study rather than a subjective method implies that subjective methods might lack vital data and underestimate true smell loss in COVID-19 patients. [30] A close study of the 16 articles has provided valuable information relating to anosmia. They are highlighted in the following heads.

Dysgeusia Associated with Anosmia

Taste and smell perception are undoubtedly closely related. The Direct damage to nerves in the olfactory epithelium, where ACE2 is expressed in an enormous quantity, can also disrupt the taste mechanics in 2 ways: 1. Directly damaging those cells expressing ACE2, in the peripheral neurosensory taste chemoreceptors complex, 2. Affecting the VII, IX, or X cranial nerves whish are responsible for gustation. Among them, damage to the chorda tympani which is a branch of Facial nerve supplying anterior 2/3rd of the tongue is the most feasible cause. The virus after colonising the nasopharynx, may utilise the eustachian tube as a portal to enter into middle ear, causing further injury to chorda resulting in dysgeusia [48].

Clinical Factors in Anosmia

Nishanth Dev et al., conducted a case control study involving 261 patients and found in his study that Thrombocytopenia and elevated serum creatinine levels were associated significantly with presence of anosmia or dysgeusia [31]. Also he found association between chemosensory dysfunction and renal derangement. Anvi Jain et al. state that the COVID-19 patients with Olfactory and taste dysfunction had a higher viral load than those without Olfactory and taste dysfunction [49]. A study done by Xiangming et al. was the only study in this vast literature search to expresses a female preponderance of anosmia in COVID-19 [52, 53].

Quantification of Anosmia

A study conducted by Ananda S. Bhattacharjee, presented a novel method to assess the olfactory fitness of asymptomatic COVID-19 patients diagnosed with SARS-CoV-2 infection. They proposed an olfactory-action meter. It is a ten-channel olfactometer, which can deliver odours with high temporal precision. The instrument delivers odorized air through a glass funnel into an odour delivery unit through which subjects smell different odours. The olfactory function test they proposed challenges the detection abilities of the subjects at the threshold levels and probes olfactory matching skills. The results reflect the damage caused at the sensory periphery level as well as higher olfactory centres due to virus infection. Their custom-made olfactory action meter detected 82% of asymptomatic patients with olfactory deficits, only 15% of these patients realized about their loss of sense of smell before participating in the olfactory function test. The disparity between self-reported and quantitative assessment of smell loss clearly determines the necessity to develop effective quantitative investigations to gauge the olfactory deficits in carriers of COVID-19.

The asymptomatic carriers pose the greatest threat epidemiologically. Hence using a quantitative screening tool is mandatory to identify and isolate asymptomatic patients as anosmia may have remained undetected with traditional methods due to lack of sensitivity. [32]

Anosmia Recovery Rate

The recovery from the anosmia reported in certain studies in the review can be given as a tabular form Table 4. It is evident from the review that the patients suffering from anosmia due to COVID-19 recover their sense of olfaction.
The duration and percentage of the patients recovering varies mildly. This excellent recovery from anosmia in a short time span suggests few probable pathologies behind anosmia 1. Competitive action of the virus on the olfactory receptors 2. Local inflammatory phenomenon rather than central 3. Non neural olfactory epithelial cells as the potential targets of SARS COV-2. These theories are Supported by studies included in the review done by Kunal thakur [50], Smriti Panda [51] and A Jain [49]. A review done by Xiangming et al. cited by one of the studies of this review found that patients develop anosmia 4.4 days after the onset of the SARS-CoV-2 infection, with a duration of 8.96 days, and 98% of patients might recover within 28 days [52, 53].

**Conclusion**

Smell is one of the important senses and it should not be taken for granted. Though COVID-19 can present asymptomatically, there are a vast number of patients who present with anosmia. In India mostly Subjective evaluation of COVID-19 induced anosmia results is carried out resulting in under reporting of the incidence rates. So, an objective evaluation of anosmia should be taken into consideration to evaluate anosmia. As observed in this study the average incidence of anosmia in Indian population is 13.9% while in European population it is 86%.

**Limitation**

Lack of gold standard test for quantitative assessment of olfaction is evident. Time has arrived where these is a need to practice the formulation of a gold standard test [as in hearing and vision assessment] for proper quantified assessment of sense of smell.
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