Sensitivity and Specificity of HRT and OCT to Detect Diabetic Macular Edema
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Abstract

Objectives: The aim of this study is to determine the sensitivity and specificity between leaking status using FFA and edema status using HRT III and OCT to detect macular edema, and also to determine the agreement of edema status seen between HRT III and OCT in diabetic macular edema (DME).

Methodology: Sixty seven patients with DME were selected for this study. They were subjected to HRT III and OCT to get edema values. Finally FFA examination was done to see the leaking area and served as the gold standard in this study. The results using HRT III and OCT were each compared with FFA, and the agreement of edema status between HRT III and OCT were analysed.

Results: Topographic edema map of HRT III has relatively better sensitivity and specificity (78.1% and 76.6% respectively) compare with edema index of HRT III (74.2% and 72.6% respectively) to detect DME. Topographic edema map of OCT has relatively better sensitivity and specificity (68.7% and 85.0% respectively) compare with macular thickness value of OCT (48.6% and 78.1% respectively) to detect DME. There is fair agreement (r=0.252, p<0.001) between edema index of HRT III and macular thickness value of OCT, while other modules of HRT III and OCT has moderately good agreement (r=0.455 to 0.497, p<0.001) in detecting edema status in DME patients.

Conclusion: Both topographic edema map of HRT III and OCT have relatively better sensitivity compared with other modules to detect DME. Good agreement exists between HRT III and OCT in detecting edema in DME patients.

Keywords: Diabetic macular edema; Fundus Fluorescein Angiography (FFA); Heidelberg Retinal Tomograph (HRT); Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT)

Abbreviation: DME: Diabetic Macular Edema; WESDR: Wisconsin Epidemiologic Study of Diabetic Retinopathy; FFA: Fundus Fluorescein Angiography; HRT: Heidelberg Retinal Tomograph; OCT: Optical Coherence Tomography; ETDRS: Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study; HRA: Heidelberg Retina Angiography; SD: Standard Deviation

Introduction

Diabetic macular edema (DME) is an edema seen in the macular area as a result of diabetes mellitus complications. Chronic hyperglycaemia itself initiates the process and leading to vascular abnormalities among diabetic patients. The main clinical features of DME are based on the location and severity of retinal thickening with presence of hard exudates (lipid deposits) [1]. DME was further classified into focal or diffuse, however there is no definite border to differentiate between these two terms. DME is divided into mild, moderate and severe type based on Diabetic Macular Edema Disease Severity Scale [2].

Clinically significant macular edema (CSME) was defined by the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) and demonstrated that eyes with CSME benefited from focal argon laser photocoagulation treatment when compared to untreated eyes in control. Treatment reduced the risk of moderate visual loss by 50%, increased the chance of visual improvement, and was associated with only minor loses of visual field [3].

Wisconsin Epidemiologic Study of Diabetic Retinopathy (WESDR) is very well established and accepted worldwide. The latest report on WESDR XXIII which was published in 2009 highlighted that the 25-year cumulative incidence of macular edema was 29% and 17% for CSME. The prevalence of diabetes in Malaysia is 11.6% with about 1.84 million of people were estimated suffering diabetes mellitus [4]. One of the earliest report published by Maafuzy using direct ophthalmoscope was found that about 23.5% of the studied population had background retinopathy and 5.3% had advanced diabetic eye disease [5,6].

The standard tool for diagnosing diabetic macular edema is contact lens biomicroscopy. Fundus fluorescein angiography (FFA) has been used to evaluate vascular leakage qualitatively in assessing diabetic macular edema [7]. FFA can detect treatable leaking points and evaluation of ischemic area prior to treatment. FFA is an invasive intervention which is not appropriate for routine screening test.

Heidelberg Retinal Tomograph (HRT) is a Confocal Scanning Laser Ophthalmoscope which is a non-invasive method with laser scanning system. HRT III has 3 modules, first topographic edema mapping which is drafted by software based on vitreous interface elevation and it gives an image similar to those used in geographic land mapping. Second is the macular edema index thickness mapping which is constructed based on z-profile image pixel (each pixel has about 10 µm) [8]. Finally, edema index is a numeric value determining edema present or not [9]. Ang et al. reported that HRT has the capacity to detect small increases in macular volume that may not be detected by clinical evaluation and examination [10].
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New investigation tool Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) was invented to give better quantitative estimation of retinal thickness at multiple points within macular region by constructing a retinal thickness map. Lang published data regarding the sensitivity of OCT in detecting the smaller retinal thickness changes. The result shows that OCT has better sensitivity in detecting smaller changes in retinal thickness compare to fundus biomicroscopy [11].

The aim of this study is to determine the sensitivity and specificity between leaking status using FFA and edema status using HRT III and OCT to detect DME. We also want to determine the agreement of edema status seen between HRT III and OCT in DME.

Methods

Participants

We recruited diabetic patients with DME and excluded those with other possible causes of macular edema and there is no contraindication to undergo contrast study. A total of 67 DME patients presented to Eye Clinic, Hospital Universiti Sains Malaysia, Kelantan, Malaysia between December 2009 to July 2011 were included into this study.

Classification of DME

The classification of DME is based on Diabetic Macular Edema Disease Severity Scales [2] with modification (Table 1). An ETDRS grid (nine sectors of macular area) was used as a guideline for the specific distance from the fovea (Figure 1). In this study, DME was grouped into mild, moderate and severe with FFA guideline (Figure 2). Any edema seen within 1 mm circle at central macular was termed as severe type DME. Edema seen between 1 mm and 3 mm circles was termed as moderate type DME. Edema seen between 3 mm and 6 mm circles was considered as mild form of DME. In this study, three modalities (FFA, HRT III and OCT) were used to detect macular edema and FFA was considered as a gold standard.

Definition of term

- Leaking Status of FFA
  a) Positive edema leaking: hyperfluorescency area seen in the macular area after fluorescein dye injected intravenously.
  b) Negative edema leaking: no hyperfluorescency seen in the macular area after fluorescein dye injected intravenously.
- Topographic Edema Map of HRT III
  a) Positive edema topographic: the red and above color coding area from signal width map.
  b) Negative edema topographic: the orange and below color coding area from signal width map.
- Edema Index of HRT III
  a) Positive edema index: above the cut off macular edema index value (e > 1.835) [9].
  b) Negative edema index: below the cut off macular edema index value (e ≤ 1.835) [9].

| Severity of diabetic macular edema | Diabetic Macular Edema Disease Severity Scales [2]                                                                 | Modified Diabetic Macular Edema Disease Severity Scales |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Mild                              | some retinal thickening or hard exudates in posterior pole but distant from the center of the macula          | edema seen between 3mm and 6mm circles at central macular |
| Moderate                          | retinal thickening or hard exudates approaching the center of the macula but not involving the center        | edema seen between 1mm and 3mm circles at central macular |
| Severe                            | retinal thickening or hard exudates involving the center of the macula                                       | edema seen within 1mm circle at central macular        |

Table 1: Classification of diabetic macular edema.
Statistical analysis

All the statistical method analysis was done with Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS Inc.) software, version 18.0. The validity of HRT III and OCT procedure is tested for its ability to distinguish between edema present and not present among DME patients by comparing to the gold standard FFA procedure. Validity has two components which are sensitivity and specificity. The sensitivity is the ability of HRT III or OCT to identify edema correctly those who have detected edema by FFA. The specificity is the ability of HRT III or OCT to identify those who do not have edema by FFA. Crosstabs Statistic was used to derive the kappa value to determine the agreement of macular edema status seen between HRT III and OCT in diabetic patient with DME (p-value<0.05, significant). The $\kappa$ statistic is interpreted as the chance-corrected proportional agreement between the two groups. The $\kappa$ value has a maximum of 1.00 when agreement is perfect, and $\kappa=0$ indicates no agreement better than chance.

Results

A total of 67 patients that fulfilled the inclusion and exclusion criteria were recruited for this study. The mean age was 55.67, SD ± 6.1 years (range: 38-67 years) with male participants were 38 patients (56.7%) and female participants were 29 patients (43.3%). There were 11 patients (16.4%) with mild DME, 39 patients (58.2%) with moderate DME and 17 patients (25.4%) with severe DME.

Sensitivity and specificity of HRT III to detect macular edema

Topographic edema map of HRT III to detect macular edema among DME, moderate DME has better sensitivity compare to other severity type of DME to detect edema status (sensitivity 81.9%) and mild DME showed least sensitivity value, 60.7%. The specificity is better among mild type DME, 84.5% and least among severe DME, 64.7% (Table 3). Edema index evaluation for macular edema among DME, severe DME has better sensitivity compared to other severity type of DME to detect edema status (sensitivity 76.5%) and mild DME showed least sensitivity value, 67.9%. The specificity is better among severe type DME, 82.4% and least among moderate DME, 66.9% (Table 3).

Sensitivity and specificity of OCT to detect macular edema

Topographic edema map of OCT to detect macular edema among DME, severe DME has better sensitivity compared to other severity

| Subfield               | Mean retinal Thickness (µm) | Mean ± SD |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Central subfield       | 270.2 ± 22.5                |           |
| Superior inner macula  | 336.0 ± 20.6                |           |
| Nasal inner macula     | 335.0 ± 19.3                |           |
| Inferior inner macula  | 334.9 ± 16.7                |           |
| Temporal inner macula  | 322.6 ± 16.5                |           |
| Superior outer macula  | 329.6 ± 16.4                |           |
| Nasal outer macula     | 339.5 ± 16.9                |           |
| Inferior outer macula  | 325.4 ± 16.6                |           |
| Temporal outer macula  | 320.1 ± 15.4                |           |

Table 2: Reference value of mean retinal thickness in the nine ETDRS subfields [12].
type of DME to detect edema status (sensitivity 74.8%) and mild DME showed least sensitivity value, 50.0%. The specificity is better among mild type DME, 97.2% and least among severe DME, 79.4% (Table 4). In macular thickness value evaluation for macular edema using OCT among DME, severe DME has better sensitivity compared to other severity type of DME to detect edema status (sensitivity 58.8%) and mild DME showed least sensitivity value, 17.9%. The specificity is better among mild type DME, 93.0% and least among moderate DME, 71.6% (Table 4).

| Type of DME | Topographic Edema Map HRT III | | Edema Index HRT III | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) |
| Mild | 60.7 | 84.5 | 67.9 | 81.7 |
| Moderate | 81.9 | 80.5 | 73.6 | 66.9 |
| Severe | 76.5 | 64.7 | 76.5 | 82.4 |
| All DME | 78.1 | 76.6 | 74.2 | 72.6 |

Table 3: Sensitivity and specificity of HRT III modules to detect macular edema.

| Type of DME | Topographic Edema Map OCT | | Macular Thickness Value OCT | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) |
| Mild | 50.0 | 97.2 | 17.9 | 93.0 |
| Moderate | 67.6 | 81.1 | 46.7 | 71.6 |
| Severe | 74.8 | 79.4 | 58.8 | 79.4 |
| All DME | 68.7 | 85.0 | 48.6 | 78.1 |

Table 4: Sensitivity and specificity of OCT modules to detect macular edema.

Agreement between HRT III and OCT to detect macular edema

There was moderately good agreement existed between topographic edema map and edema index of HRT III (κ value 0.455; p value<0.001). There was also moderately good agreement existed between topographic edema map and macular thickness value of OCT (κ value 0.497; p value<0.001). Evaluation between HRT III and OCT showed moderately good agreement of topographic edema map existed between HRT III and OCT (κ value 0.485; p value<0.001) and fairly

| Agreement | Kappa value (p-value) | |
|---|---|---|
| Topographic Edema Map (HRT III) vs Edema Index (HRT III) | Mild DME | 0.427(<0.001) | moderate |
| | Moderate DME | 0.428(<0.001) | moderate |
| | Severe DME | 0.424(<0.001) | moderate |
| | All DME | 0.455(<0.001) | moderate |
| Topographic Edema Map (OCT) vs Macular Thickness Value (OCT) | Mild DME | 0.473(<0.001) | moderate |
| | Moderate DME | 0.437(<0.001) | moderate |
| | Severe DME | 0.489(<0.001) | moderate |
| | All DME | 0.497(<0.001) | moderate |
| Topographic Edema Map (HRT III) vs Topographic Edema Map (OCT) | Mild DME | 0.542 (<0.001) | Moderate |
| | Moderate DME | 0.427 (<0.001) | Moderate |
| | Severe DME | 0.441(<0.001) | Moderate |
| | All DME | 0.485 (<0.001) | Moderate |
| Edema Index (HRT III) vs Macular Thickness Value (OCT) | Mild DME | 0.099 (0.207) | Slight |
| | Moderate DME | 0.199 (<0.001) | Slight |
| | Severe DME | 0.319 (<0.001) | Fair |
| | All DME | 0.252 (<0.001) | Fair |

p value<0.05 is significant McNemar statistical test

Table 5: Agreement of edema status between HRT III and OCT to detect macular edema.
good agreement existed between edema index of HRT III and macular thickness value of OCT (κ value 0.252; p value<0.001) (Table 5).

Discussion

The mean age from this study fall at 55.67 (SD ± 6.1) years (range: 38-67 years). These findings are relevant to previous studies done on diabetic retinopathy screening where most of participants are middle aged group with mean age was 58.1 (SD ± 14.4) years and 54 years in Singapore and Malaysia respectively [13-17].

In our study, two modalities of HRT III (topographic edema map and edema index) were compared with FFA leaking status and the sensitivity value was above 70%. Guan et al. compared HRT and Retinal Thickness Analyzer in detecting DME, where the outcome showed that HRT has sensitivity 92% and specificity 68% to detect DME [18]. We found that topographic edema map of HRT III has better sensitivity and specificity (78.1% and 76.6% respectively) compared to edema index of HRT III (74.2% sensitivity and 72.6% specificity). Further on assessing based on severity, moderate DME has better sensitivity and specificity (topographic edema map: 81.9% and 80.5%). As par with the classification, moderate DME occupied relatively wider area (between 1 mm and 3 mm) and this area is rich with vessels. HRT III topographic edema map is a better choice for those who are suffering from moderate DME and unable to do invasive procedure to identify leaking area accurately. Multiple colors coding as a reference in topographic edema map play an important role in deciding area with edema and no edema.

Zambarakji et al. published a paper on volumetric analysis; the outcome shows that volumetric analysis has sensitivity 81.82% in detecting DME with edema index cut off point 1.8 [19]. In this study, we used edema index cut off point 1.835 [8] and the sensitivity and specificity of edema index to detect edema in DME patient was 74.2% and 72.6% respectively. The sensitivity and specificity discrepancies were mainly due to the difference in setting the edema index cut off point.

SCORE system (System for Classification and Ordering of Retinal Edema) was introduced using data obtained from HRT (developed using subjective assessment of the color map and the reflectivity image) and the outcome of this SCORE system has sensitivity and specificity 67% and 99% respectively [20]. In our study, we found that topographic edema map in HRT III has sensitivity and specificity of 78.1% and 76.6% respectively to detect edema in DME patients.

In our study, we assessed the agreement between topographic edema map and edema index of HRT III, we found that there was good agreement existing between topographic edema map and edema index of HRT III (r=0.455; p<0.001).

OCT was tested for macular thickness value by comparing to normal value published [12]. With this value cut off points, it is possible to plot edema area according to ETDRS grid (nine sectors) from thickness value obtained from OCT.

Introduction of OCT triggered various studies to determine and establish its uses into our daily clinical practice. In this study, we found that the topographic edema map and macular thickness evaluation has sensitivity 68.7% and 48.6% respectively. One of the earliest OCT study by Sanchez-Tocino et al., OCT has sensitivity of 93% to detect edema at central macular area 1 mm (fovea) with cut off foveal thickness 180 µm (reference value) [21]. In another study by Goebel and Kretchmar-Gross, it was stated that sensitivity to detect edema among CSME was 89% and specificity was 96% [22]. Kozak et al. stated in their publication that overall evaluation of OCT has sensitivity about 96.1% [23]. The discrepancies between our studies with others were widely due to no normal data available to set as reference value for Malaysian population. Apart this, area of interest in our study is 6 mm diameter with fovea centre.

Browning et al. published a paper regarding measurements and analysis OCT done on the central macula. Diagnosing DME involving macula needs high resolution images because the retinal thickness varies and fovea is the thinnest part of posterior pole [24].

Topographic edema map from OCT and HRT III was used to analyze based on severity of DME. We found that, mild DME interestingly shows better agreement with κ value 0.542 (p<0.001) compared to moderate and severe type. Minimal and early DME changes can be seen through topographic edema map of OCT. Thus this module can be used for fast screening of diabetic retinopathy or DME.

Detecting edema among diabetic patients by topographic edema map (HRT III and OCT) shows moderately good agreement (κ value 0.485; p<0.001). However topographic edema map and macular thickness value of OCT evaluation has better agreement with κ value 0.497 (p<0.001). Macular edema can be quantitatively mapped by OCT (macular thickness value) and HRT III (edema index) and data from published paper shows both significantly (p<0.05) correlate to each other [25]. In our study, we assessed the agreement between HRT III (edema index) and OCT (macular thickness values), the outcome shows there is fairly good agreement exist (κ value 0.252; p<0.001).

Limitation

Area of interest in this study is 6 mm diameter circle with fovea center, however HRT III area of focusing is 4.4×4.4 mm. There is missing area of interest in HRT III, however outside 3 mm was considered mild type DME and the uncovered area was ignored. In future if HRT III plans to improve their software, hope this can put as consideration for better coverage. Apart this, we also do not have normal data available for macular thickness value of OCT to set as reference value for Malaysian population that resulted in low sensitivity value.

Conclusion

Both topographic edema map of HRT III and OCT have relatively better sensitivity compare with other modules to detect DME. There is fair agreement between edema index of HRT III and macular thickness value of OCT, while other modules of HRT III and OCT have moderately good agreement in detecting edema status in DME patients.
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