Contralateral lymph node metastasis of a previously-treated oral squamous cell carcinoma without neck lymph node metastasis—a rare situation deserves attention
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Contralateral lymph node metastases (CLNM) have been considered a significant factor of poor prognosis of oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC). The risk factors for CLNM have been proposed according to several retrospective studies, including tumor location, size, thickness, surgical margin, histological grading, midline involvement, local recurrence, perineural and lymphovascular invasions, extranodal extensions, and clinical stage. The 5-year survival rate in patients with OSCC or oropharyngeal SCC (OPSCC) shows a significant gap between those with negative and positive CLNM, being 70% and 41.2% respectively. Even for cases of previously-diagnosed OSCC with pathological N0 stage, multiple studies showed the incidence of recurrent CLNM is still expected with a 1–3% recurrence rate, and 95% cases are developed within 2 years. Here, we presented a rare case of a previously-treated OSCC without neck lymph node metastasis that developed an isolated recurrent CLNM at the third-year follow-up and then demonstrated no recurrence for the following 15 years.

A 40-year-old male was diagnosed as having an OSCC of the right floor of mouth, cT2N0M0, stage II in December 2004. It was a unilateral ulcerative lesion, 2 × 1.2 cm in size, 8 mm in thickness, and at least 1 cm off the midline (Fig. 1A). He received wide excision, the right side type I modified radical neck dissection (MRND), and the adjuvant radiotherapy of 60 Gy over the primary and neck region for an OSCC, pT2N0M0, stage II with close margin. Disease-free condition was present during the regular follow-ups (Fig. 1B) until an isolated CLNM was found at the left neck level III in December 2007, which revealed a central necrotic morphology on computed tomography (CT) image (Fig. 1C). The left side type I MRND was performed and the pathological report revealed a metastatic SCC in line with the CT image. After this episode, no further metastasis or recurrence was noted up to date.

The occurrences of CLNM have not been widely reported. We suggest that the incidence of CLNM may be underestimated at the initial diagnosis of an OSCC due to undetected micrometastasis. The rate of micrometastasis is found to be from 6% to 46% in OSCC and OPSCC. Limitation may also arise from sensitivity and specificity of imaging tools, and 25% of occult metastases are too small to be detected. Considering the risk factors for CLNM, our case fitted the previously-described four factors: location of tumor (floor of the mouth), thickness (8 mm), surgical margin (1 mm close to the resected cancer), and histological grading (poor-differentiated OSCC). Kowalski et al. found that SCCs at the floor of the mouth have the highest risk for CLNM compared to those from other oral sites. Bier-Laning’s group described that no CLNM is found in tumor SCCs with tumor
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thickness less than 3.75 mm. There was a 5% increased risk of CLNM for every 1 mm increase in tumor thickness. For surgical margins, Capote-Moreno’s group compared the rate of delayed CLNM between oral and oropharyngeal carcinomas with clear-margin (279 patients) and those with margin-involved (111 patients) groups and gave rise to a significant difference, 7% versus 14%, respectively. Others investigators considered 1 cm free margin as adequate. The rates of CLNM for OSCC with free margin greater than 1 cm and for those with free margin less than 1 cm are 4% and 11.6%, respectively. Considering the histological grading, the risk of CLNM significantly increases with the grading, being 13.5% for poorly- and 5.2% for well-differentiated OSCCs, respectively. Despite the rarity of CLNM, we should pay much attention to those OSCCs with CLNM during the follow-up period.
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