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Abstract— A Questionnaire survey to understand the Thermal Comfort of the inhabitants was carried out in the traditional and modern residential buildings in the coastal region of Nagappattinam during various seasons. The list of Questionnaire was prepared based on the Literature studies from Thermal Comfort Survey – ASHRAE 55 standards to comprehend the effect of diverse Climatic factors such as temperature, humidity, air flow and overall thermal comfort factors in the evaluation of thermal comfort in traditional and modern residential buildings. The findings of this study were based on the collection of answers from the survey. The findings of the survey are compared with the technical investigations which is included at the end of this paper. These findings reveal that people living in the traditional buildings of the coastal belts of Nagappattinam are well modified to the harsh environment of traditional houses than modern houses.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The Questionnaire survey was carried out to understand the Environmental performance of typical vernacular dwellings and Modern buildings in the coastal belts of Tamil Nadu. Solar Passive features in traditional buildings and Modern buildings are described in Table 2. The survey report comprises of detailed Questionnaire survey of 50 vernacular and Modern houses (Table 3) and the values of Experimental Investigation and TSV of 200 residents on ASHRAE thermal sensation scale was recorded. Through this survey, the author has assessed the Environmental performance of these residences in varied seasons. The comfort conditions of the inhabitants living in the traditional typologies were studied through questionnaire, comfort temperature and neutral temperature was also calculated by thermal comfort models (Singh et al 2010). Recent studies on traditional buildings exposed that the findings got from the field measurements are commonly acknowledged to envisage the comfort temperature of traditional and Modern buildings. The comfort temperature range involves various Climatic parameters, adjustment of human being from harsh weather, sensitivity and expectations. So, in this survey both objective and personal measurements were organized concurrently during the investigation.

II. THE ASHRAE SCALE

The ASHRAE scale is an universal thermal scale developed by ASHRAE 55 standard which signifies Thermal Environmental Conditions for Human Occupancy (Figure-1).

| cold | cool | Slightly cool | Neutral | Slightly warm | Warm | Hot |
|------|------|---------------|---------|---------------|------|-----|
| -3   | -2   | -1            | 0       | +1            | +2   | +3  |

Figure -1 ASHRAE thermal comfort model

Source: ASHRAE Standard 55P, “Thermal Environmental Conditions for Human Occupancy”.

III. INVESTIGATION METHODOLOGY

The investigation followed a comparable prototype of questionnaires performed by Wong & Kho (2003), Wong et al (2002) in Singapore, Sadhan Mahapatra (2010), Abel Tablada (2005), Henry Feriadi (2004) in Indonesia. These Literature reviews were chosen for the valid comparison of the Environmental setting and for the coincidence of objectives. The questionnaire consisted of three sections. Private data, Environmental parameters and their opinion about overcoming the uncomfortable situation was also asked from the occupants of traditional and Modern dwellings. In the private data, occupants were asked about feeling of temperature by subjects depends on many aspects like age, sex, weight, height, clothes, occupation etc. The occupants of the traditional residences voted on ASHRAE 7 point thermal sensation scale by filling up the questions in the format. (Table-1). Table -3 and 4 shows the number of occupants interviewed during various seasons in varied typologies.

A set of questions were also given to ease the observational study on the frequent behavioral adjustment by the inhabitants and the responses were in the form of five-scale frequency of actions (Figure-1). The occupants were requested to estimate how frequently in a day they take the diverse flexible measures.
planned. Before the respondents replied the opinion poll, they were requested to be seated and settle down for about 30 minutes when the reviewer enlightened the intention of the survey and the process to update opinion poll formats. (Table-4). The Questionnaire was prepared in the regional language Tamil as per ASHRAE Format.

### Table -2 Solar passive features in the traditional and Modern houses of Warm humid zone)

| Bio climatic Zone | Wind catcher house | Modern House |
|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Built –up area (sq.m) | 110 | 120 |
| Building Envelope (walls) | mad, Brick(0.2m) lime mortar, timber, bamboo | Brick wall |
| Roofing | thatch roofing, country roof tiles | RCC roof |
| Ventilation | Doors, windows and Wind catchers | Doors and Windows |
| Building layout and Orientation | Open layout, East and West orientation | East and West orientation |
| Solar Passive elements | Thick external cavity walls, verandas, sloping roofs, courtyards, wind catchers, doors and windows | Nil |

### Table -3 Sampling distribution in the study area of coastal region

| Study area of Coastal region, Tamil Nadu | Summer season | Winter Season |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Number of questions | 200 | 200 |
| Number of Residences | 50 | 50 |
| Survey date | 5th May – 5th June | 8th Nov. – 10th Dec |
| Time (no. of questions)* | Morning - 10, Afternoon -25, Evening - 15 | Morning - 10, Afternoon -25, Evening - 15 |

### Table -4 personal parameters

| Personal parameters | India |
|---------------------|------|
|                     | Winter | Summer |
| 1. Clo value         | 0.4    | 0.3    |
| 2. Met. rate         | 1      | 1      |
| 3. Height (cm)       | 158    | 160    |
| 4. Weight (kg)       | 60     |        |
| 5. Gender            | Male   | 120    |
|                     | Female | 80     |
| 6. Age (years)       | <20    | 10     |
|                     | 21-30  | 100    |

### Table -5 represents the Indoor and Outdoor temperature measured using Hobo data loggers at the selected traditional and Modern House and the results were published in the journal (Shanthi Priya et al.). In Table – 7, the comfort temperature is evaluated by Humphreys and Auxiliems Models. In Table -6, Comfort temperature range is calculated for all the seasons which also consist of Temperature swings for all months and the Thermal Lag calculated from the data obtained from meteorological station. Different age group of the occupants casted their vote based on their state of emotions and actions. Based on this fact, it was observed that at similar temperature, occupants of varied age group, and gender have varied thermal sensation or else similar sensation at varied temperature.

![Figure-2(a) Regression on TSV on indoor operative temperature (January, February, March)](image)

![Figure -2(b) Regression on TSV on indoor operative temperature (April, May, June)](image)
6. Questionnaire Survey – Comfort Levels - Summer

In traditional typology 64% of the residents felt very comfortable during harsh weather (summer) and the left over 36% of the residents selected for comfortable, slightly uncomfortable, uncomfortable, very uncomfortable with an allocation of 20%, 4%, 2% and 1% correspondingly. In Modern typology not even a single person preferred for comfortable and around 70% of the inhabitants found that their buildings were uncomfortable.

Figure -5

5. Questionnaire Survey – Comfort Level - Winter

From Fig.4, it is found that 68% of the residents of traditional typologies preferred that their houses are very comfortable in winter and the left over 32% of the residents opted for comfortable, 5% opted for slightly uncomfortable, 3% opted for uncomfortable, 2% opted for very uncomfortable. Only 20% of the residents of modern typology stated that their buildings are very comfortable in winter and left over 80%, 15 % of the residents opted for comfortable,20% of the residents opted for slightly uncomfortable, 25% residents opted for uncomfortable, 20% of the residents opted for very uncomfortable during winter.

Figure -4 Questionnaire - thermal comfort - winter season

In warm humid climatic zones of coastal Tamil Nadu, the respondents also voted their preferences (prefer warmer, Just right, Prefer Cooler). From the above chart it was found that more people preferred cooler environment than warmer environment. More people preferred cooler environment though they have voted for -1 (slightly cool), 0 (Neutral) and +1 (slightly warm) in ASHRAE 7 point scale (Figure-3).

Figure -3 Thermal sensation votes (ASHRAE scale)
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Figure -2(c) Regression on TSV on indoor operative temperature (October, November, December)

Figure -6 PMV and PPD vs. Time

Figure -7 PMV vs. PPD

Figure -5: questionnaire on comfort –Various Seasons in Modern Buildings.
7. Assessment of Thermal Comfort in Traditional and Modern Typologies using Fanger’s Model

Thermal comfort of a person is determined by certain environmental factors such as AT, MRT, RH, air movement, type of clothing and action. Most of the occupants feel comfortable in their clothing levels and activity ranges under given environmental conditions. PMV and PPD based on Fanger’s comfort theory was carried out for both the buildings. In both the buildings people wearing normal clothing, their clo value and performing normal activities-metabolic rate were assumed. From Figures-6 and 7, it was found apparent that the traditional typology is relaxing for the most part of the time in summer compared to the modern one. The assessment using PMV –PPD study, proves that the traditional typologies are comfortable during summer.

Table-7 Calculation of comfort and neutral temperatures

| Place                          | Months | (Humphreys) CT° C | (Auxiliems) CT° C | Neut. Temp. °C (regression analysis) | Neut. Temp. °C (survey) |
|--------------------------------|--------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Traditional building in the study area of Coastal region in Tamil Nadu | Jan.   | 25.8              | 25.9              | 25.2                                | 25.8                    |
|                                | April  | 29.2              | 27.9              | 27.5                                | 27.2                    |
|                                | May    | 29.8              | 29.5              | 27.8                                | 28.4                    |
|                                | June   | 29.2              | 30.0              | 28.5                                | 29.0                    |
|                                | November | 26.8         | 26.8              | 26.5                                | 26.1                    |
|                                | December | 26.9          | 26.8              | 26.5                                | 25.3                    |
| Modern building in the study area of Coastal region in Tamil Nadu | January | 30.8              | 29.9              | 28.2                                | 28.7                    |
|                                | April  | 33.2              | 27.9              | 32.9                                | 33.9                    |
|                                | May    | 34.8              | 35.0              | 33.8                                | 34.2                    |
|                                | June   | 34.2              | 34.9              | 32.5                                | 33.2                    |
|                                | November | 30.8         | 29.8              | 29.5                                | 28.1                    |
|                                | December | 29.6          | 29.8              | 28.4                                | 29.3                    |

7. Adaptive Behavior

Adaptive behavior model is excellent verification method from the occupants to understand the users original occupancy level.

The above chart shows the % of adaptive activities of the inhabitants in the traditional typologies of coastal belts in Tamil Nadu. Around 60% of the people preferred to open the windows, 40%-50% of the people preferred to switch on the fan, 30%-40% of the people prefer to drink water, 20%-30% prefer to change their clothes, very less percentage (<10%) prefer to take bath and switch on AC (less than 10%) to make them thermally comfortable (Figure-8). Reasonably many people liked to open windowpane and switch on fans in coastal region of Tamil Nadu signifies that wind movement in the interior of the residence is greatly favorable to overcome the humidity prevailing in the coastal regions.

IV. DISCUSSIONS

This study on Thermal Comfort Survey showed that ASHRAE scales had predicted higher thermal comfort level in the traditional typologies of coastal region in Tamil Nadu. From the study, it is found that the results obtained from the adaptive models lie in harmony with the temperature recorded in the traditional buildings through experimental investigation during summer and winter months. The most important conclusion which can be drawn from this study is that other than 80% of the inhabitants stated that, their harsh thermal interior surroundings were tolerable, yet the TSV surpassed tolerable thermal conditions set by the ASHRAE standards. The neutral temperature and comfort range were acquire through linear regression analysis of TSV (Table-7). From the individual evaluation, it was recognized that the inhabitants admitted the thermal range beyond the ASHRAE comfort zone. This paper also discusses the resident’s actions in utilizing the diverse ecological and individual control to make them relaxing from the harsh weather.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The Comfort Temperature prevailing in the traditional houses and Modern houses of coastal region was evaluated using various Thermal Comfort Survey Models and it was found that the findings of the survey lies in harmony with the comfort temperature evaluated from experimentation methods. The results from the questionnaire survey also showed the preference of the inhabitants to become accustomed to the tropical humid existing surroundings by generating a better wind movement (rotating fans, opening the windows). It is apparent from the lessons that a appropriate material usage, spatial association, Building technique and Environmental strategies might fetch the much required relaxed thermal environment inside the typologies. These findings reveal that people living in the traditional typologies of the coastal region are well adapted to the harsh environment of traditional houses than modern houses.
Table 5  - Indoor and Outdoor Temperature

| Months   | Traditional House | Modern House |
|----------|-------------------|--------------|
|          | outside ambient Temp.°C (a) | Inside Temp. °C | outside ambient Temp. °C (b) | Outside ambient Temp. °C (a) | Mean inside Temp °C | outside ambient Temp °C (b) |
| Jan.     | 35 | 26.5 | 34.2 | 35.1 | 32.3 | 33.8 |
| April    | 37.9 | 30.1 | 38.2 | 37.9 | 35.4 | 38.2 |
| May      | 39.3 | 29.3 | 38.2 | 39.3 | 34.2 | 38.2 |
| June     | 38.1 | 31.2 | 38.5 | 38.1 | 34.9 | 37.5 |
| November | 34.2 | 25.4 | 33.8 | 34.2 | 31.1 | 33.0 |
| December | 33.4 | 24.1 | 34.1 | 33.4 | 30.3 | 32.9 |

b  Site Measurement – Data Logger

a  Weather Station

Table 6  Comfort temperature range based on comfort

| Typology-Study area | Months   | Temperature swings | Thermal lag | comfort temperature range |
|---------------------|----------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------------|
|                     | Outdoor  | Indoor             |             |                          |
| Traditional buildings in the study area of Coastal region of Tamil Nadu | January | 12 | 8 | 0 | 22- NA |
|                      | April    | 14 | 7 | 0 | 22.0 - 29.0 |
|                      | May      | 18 | 9 | 0 | 23.4 - 29.0 |
|                      | June     | 18 | 9 | 0 | 24.0 – 30.0 |
|                      | November | 13 | 7 | 1 | 22.4- 26.5 |
|                      | December | 13 | 7 | 1 | 22.1 – 26.2 |
| Modern buildings in the study area of Coastal region of Tamil Nadu | January | 12 | 10 | 5 | 26.2-29.3 |
|                      | April    | 14 | 12 | 4 | 30.3-33.9 |
|                      | May      | 17 | 14 | 5 | 30.3-34.5 |
|                      | June     | 17 | 13 | 5 | 31.3-35.3 |
|                      | November | 12 | 8 | 3 | 26.6-30.2 |
|                      | December | 13 | 9 | 3 | 28.7-32.4 |
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