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A B S T R A C T

The awareness of the dairy farmers about causes and control measures of a disease helps in avoiding the losses due to that disease. A study was undertaken to determine the awareness status of dairy farmers about various causes and control and preventive measures of abortion in dairy animals. Pre-structured and pre-tested interview schedule was presented to one hundred and twenty respondents from Punjab, who had visited GADVASU, Ludhiana. The questionnaire had fifty questions, each of one mark, twenty five related to various causes of abortion and rests were related to control and preventive measures. The score of the respondents about various causes of abortion varied from 3 to 20 with a mean score of 12.06 ± 0.485 (S.E.) out of 25, while the score of the respondents about management, control and preventive measures of abortion varied from 4 to 20 with a mean score of 13.54 ± 0.451 (S.E.). The total score of the respondents about all aspects of abortion varied from 10 to 38 (Out of 50) with a mean score of 25.61 ± 0.857 (S.E.). The results revealed that farmers had low awareness about various aspects i.e. causes, management, control and preventive measures of abortion in dairy animals. However, the awareness level was significantly positively correlated with education level, herd size and training of the farmers. So, there is need to create awareness amongst dairy farmers about various causes, control and preventive measures through various awareness programmes to prevent abortion in dairy animals. This will help them to modify their rearing practices accordingly and avoid potential losses occurring due to it.
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Introduction

The success of a dairy farm is dependent on the four pillars of breeding, feeding, heeding and weeding. All these have to be precisely adopted to have maximum benefit/profit. Fertility of the animals directly affects the reproduction and thus in turn production level of the dairy farm. The increase in production is directly related to good reproductive efficiency of the animals. Economic viability of a dairy venture depends upon reproductive efficiency of its herd. Successful reproductive efficiency comprises the ability to mate, capacity to conceive, nourish the embryo and deliver the viable offspring on completion of the gestation period without any complication (Chand, 2011). Abortion in pregnant animal leads to multiple losses to the livestock owners in the form of loss of future progeny, reduced milk production, increased number of days open leading to enhanced intercalving period, treatment cost and infection carrier and threat to other healthy animals. Each abortion is estimated to cost to the dairy farmers Rs. 30,000-50,000 depending on milk
and feed prices, replacement stock and the stage of gestation when the abortion occurs (Verma et al., 2015).

The awareness among the livestock farmers about various causes and control and prevention measures against abortion can play a significant role in avoiding the losses. Awareness of the farmers will protect their animal wealth and will reduce the avoidable losses. This basic study was aimed at assessing the awareness level of the farmers about various aspects of abortion in dairy animals and listing the knowledge gaps which can help in formulating suitable awareness programmes for the livestock farmers.

**Materials and Methods**

The study was conducted at campus of Guru Angad Dev Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Ludhiana, Punjab. The sample size consisted of randomly selected one hundred and twenty farmers belonging to different areas of the state. Data were collected through a pre-structured and pre-tested interview schedule questionnaire. Questionnaire was divided into two parts. First part had 25 questions related to general terminology and etiologies while second part included 25 questions dealing with management, control and preventive measures of abortion. One mark was assigned for each correct answer. Selected socio-personal characteristics of the respondents were also recorded. The data was tabulated and analyzed statistically to draw inferences.

**Results and Discussion**

The majority of the respondents fell into young age groups i.e. 84.16% of the respondents were less than 40 years of the age. This indicates the increased adoption of dairy farming as a profession by the youth of the state. The majority of the respondents (67.5%) were having education up to higher secondary school level. 30% of respondents had studied up to graduation level while 2.5% were having post graduate qualifications. Majority of respondents (55.5%) were members of the joint families while rest of the 45.5% were having nuclear families. Mixed farming comprising of agriculture with dairy farming, was being practiced by majority 92 (76.7%) of respondents followed by 23.3% practicing only dairy farming, indicating the trend of venturing into dairy farming sector. A very high majority of respondents 102 (85%) did not have any formal training on dairy farming while rest of the 18 (15%) were rearing animals without any training. Eighty eight (73.3%) respondents were having herd size less than 10 while remaining 32 (26.7%) farmers were having herd size more than 10. Television was found to be the mass media source followed by highest majority 117 (97.5%) of the study group followed by newspaper 93 (77.5%), Internet 82 (68.3%), radio 44 (36.7%) and farm magazines 28 (23.3%).

After testing, the score of the respondents about various causes of abortion varied from 3 to 20 with a mean score of 12.06 ± 0.485 (S.E.) out of 25, while the score of the respondents about management, control and preventive measures of abortion varied from 4 to 20 with a mean score of 13.54 ± 0.451 (S.E.) out of 25. The total score of the respondents about all aspects of abortion varied from 10 to 38 (Out of 50) with a mean score of 25.61 ± 0.857 (S.E.). Overall, 64 (53.3%) respondents fared poorly scoring less than 25 marks out of 50. Thirty seven (30.83%) respondents fell into very good score category, scoring 35 to 45 marks. Very few 6 (5.0%) respondents were able to score 45 or more marks. This clearly showed that the knowledge of the farmers about various
abortifacients and their control and prevention was low.

Similar results were reported in studies conducted by Singh et al., (2012) and Singh et al., (2014), who reported lower levels of awareness among dairy farmers of Punjab about common toxicities and safe animal handling practices respectively. They also stressed on the need for organisation of specific seminars and practical training of the farmers regarding safe animal practices. In another study, Sharma et al., (2015) found that the awareness level of the dairy farmers about risks and various preventive measures against Brucellosis was quite low.

Table 1: Socio-Personal characteristics of the respondents and their correlation with obtained score

| Factor               | Variable                  | Respondents | Correlation coefficient (‘r’) & Significance |
|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Age (Years)          | Up to 25                  | 38          | -0.0498                                     |
|                      | 25-40                     | 63          | ‘NS’                                        |
|                      | 41-60                     | 16          |                                             |
|                      | Above 60                  | 3           |                                             |
| Education            | Illiterate                | 0           | 0.4768                                      |
|                      | Below Metric              | 14          | ‘S’                                         |
|                      | Higher Secondary          | 67          |                                             |
|                      | Graduate                  | 36          |                                             |
|                      | Post Graduate             | 3           |                                             |
| Training             | Obtained                  | 18          | 0.5842                                      |
|                      | not obtained              | 102         | ‘S’                                         |
| Herd size            | <10                       | 88          | 0.4631                                      |
|                      | >10                       | 32          | ‘S’                                         |
| Occupation           | Dairy Farming only        | 28          | 0.0811                                      |
|                      | Mixed Farming             | 92          | ‘NS’                                        |
| Family type          | Nuclear                   | 56          | 0.0164                                      |
|                      | Joint                     | 64          | ‘NS’                                        |
| Mass Media Exposure  | Radio                     | 44          | 0.0948                                      |
|                      | Television                | 117         | ‘NS’                                        |
|                      | Internet                  | 82          |                                             |
|                      | Newspaper                 | 93          |                                             |
|                      | Farm Magazines            | 28          |                                             |

‘NS’ - Non Significant; ‘S’ - Significant
Table 2 Score obtained by the respondents

| Knowledge Criterion                        | General terminology and causes of abortion (Mean ± S.E.) | Management, control and prevention of Abortion (Mean ± S.E.) | Overall knowledge about abortion in dairy animals (Mean ± S.E.) |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Score of the respondents                 | 12.06 ± 0.485                                            | 13.54 ± 0.451                                              | 25.61 ± 0.857                                                 |

Table 3 Categorisation of the respondents based on the total score

| Total Score (out of 50) | Number of Respondents |
|-------------------------|------------------------|
| 45-50 (More than 90%)   | 6                      |
| 35-45 (70-90%)          | 13                     |
| 25-35 (50-70%)          | 37                     |
| 0-25 (Less than 50%)    | 64                     |

The dairy animals and farmers of Punjab were at greater risk for Brucellosis, owing to its higher incidence in the state. In our study also, the farmers had low awareness about various aspects i.e. causes, management, control and preventive measures of abortion in dairy animals.

The correlation analysis of various socio personal characteristics with the obtained scores revealed that education level, herd size and training of the respondents had a significant positive correlation among them and other factors did not yield any significant correlation with the obtained score. Similar positive correlation between knowledge level and education level, herd size and training status of the respondents was also reported in the different studies conducted by Patil et al., (2009), Singh et al., (2012) and Singh et al., (2014). This indicates towards the requirement of extension programmes to make farmers aware about various aspects (causes, management and control & prevention) of abortion in dairy animals so that farmers can adopt recommended scientific practices and avoid losses due to abortion.

In conclusion, from the above results, it can be concluded that the awareness level of the farmers about causes and various preventive measures against abortion in dairy animals was low. This point towards the risk proneness of dairy animals towards abortion, owing to lower knowledge level of the farmers, so, there is an immediate need to make the farmers aware about various causes and various preventive measures against abortion in dairy animals through literature, awareness campaigns cum training programmes and mass media methods.
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