Aesthetic rehabilitation with multiple loop connectors
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Abstract

Patients with a missing tooth along with diastema have limited treatment options to restore the edentulous space. The use of a conventional fixed partial denture (FPD) to replace the missing tooth may result in too wide anterior teeth leading to poor esthetics. The diastema resulting from the missing central incisors can be managed with implant-supported prosthesis or FPD with loop connectors. An old lady reported with chief complaints of missing upper anterior teeth due to trauma. Her past dental history revealed that she was having generalized spacing between her upper anterior teeth. Considering her esthetic requirement of maintaining the diastema between 12, 11, 22, and 21, the treatment option of 06 units porcelain fused to metal FPD from canine to canine with intermittent loop connectors between 21, 22, 11, 12 was planned. Connectors basically link different parts of FPDs. The modified FPD with loop connectors enhanced the natural appearance of the restoration, maintained the diastemas and the proper emergence profile, and preserve the remaining tooth structure of abutment teeth. This clinical report discussed a method for fabrication of a modified FPD with loop connectors to restore the wide span created by missing central incisors.
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Introduction

Patients with a missing tooth along with diastema have limited treatment options to restore the edentulous space. The use of a conventional fixed partial denture (FPD) to replace the missing tooth may result in too wide anterior teeth, an over-contoured emergence profile, which in turn causes poor esthetics. The diastema resulting from the missing central incisors can be managed with implant-supported prosthesis or FPD with loop connectors.[1‑3] However, severe diastema and multiple missing teeth with long span may create esthetic problems. Maximum esthetic results may be obtained if the natural anatomic forms of teeth are protected and the diastema are maintained with minimal over-contouring of the adjacent teeth.[4] Implant-supported prostheses may be used in the oral rehabilitation of partially edentulous patients but may be expensive and time consuming for patients with requirements of many favorable local and medical factors for successful treatment option.[5,6] This clinical report describes a technique to fabricate a 06 unit FPD with a modified palatal loop connector to provide maximum esthetic and functional correction for a patient with diastema between lateral and central incisor and missing central incisors.

Case Report

A 35-year-old lady reported with chief complaints of missing upper anterior teeth due to trauma. The maxillary laterals incisors and canines on both sides had endodontic treatment post trauma but had good periodontal support. Her past dental history revealed that she was having generalized spacing between her upper anterior teeth and her medical history was non-contributory. Clinical and radiological examination revealed the endodontically treated 12, 13, 22, 23, missing 11, 2, less amount of bone available in upper anterior region and traumatic bite because of supra eruption of lower anterior teeth [Figures 1 and 2]. The treatment options included an implant-supported prosthesis, FPD with loop connectors, resin bonded FPD. Considering her availability of bone and esthetic requirement of maintaining the diastema between 12, 11, 22, and 21, the treatment option of 06 units porcelain fused to metal FPD from canine to canine with intermittent loop connectors between 21, 22, 11, 12 was planned. The following clinical and lab procedures were carried out for her oral rehabilitation:

Teeth preparation for porcelain fused to metal was done on 12, 13, 22 and 23. Maxillary anterior FPD with loop connectors may cause occlusal interferences because of limited interocclusal space. In this patient, enameleoplasty of lower anterior teeth was done to regain the interocclusal space and to prevent the interferences. The shoulder
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The finish lines of the preparation were kept equigingival in order to enhance the esthetics as it prevents the color of the metal from showing through translucent enamel.[7] Final impressions were made with two stage double mix putty light body rubber base impression material (Aquasil, Denstply) [Figure 3] and poured in Type IV dental stone (Bego stone; BEGO, Bremen, Germany). Master casts were retrieved and die cutting was done. A quick-setting rigid polyvinylsiloxane interocclusal registration material was used to record the maxillomandibular relationship. The provisional FPD was fabricated and cemented using non-eugenol cement [Figure 4]. Casts were mounted on a semi-adjustable articulator (Hanau H2) using a face-bow transfer. A 0.5 mm thick wax sheet was placed on the edentulous ridge to create a space to allow convenient access for oral hygiene. Patterns of the modified FPD with loop connectors were fabricated by using blue inlay wax indirectly on the cast, adjusted for optimal occlusal contacts, and contoured to final shape, and form [Figure 5]. The patterns were invested with a phosphate-bonded investment (Bellawest, BEGO) and cast in a base metal alloy (Wiron 99; BEGO). After confirming the metal try in, the porcelain (Vita, Germany) was fired according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Pontics were contoured with a fine-grained bur maintaining the diastemas and evaluated for esthetics and residual ridge adaptation [Figure 6]. The occlusion was evaluated and adjusted where necessary. After glazing and polishing, the intaglio surface of the retainers was sandblasted using airborne-particle abraded with 50-mm aluminum oxide. Try in was done and interferences if any were removed. The surfaces of abutment teeth were steam cleaned and the restorations were cemented with Glass ionomer cement (GIC) Type I luting cement [Figures 7 and 8]. This prosthesis design may decrease access for plaque removal because palatal connectors are over-contoured by design. The patient was instructed to maintain the proper oral hygiene. Use of dental floss (Superfloss; Oral B, UK) and interdental brush (Interdental; Oral B) were recommended. The patient was evaluated after 1 week to assess the oral hygiene status.

**Discussion**

Connectors basically link different parts of FPD (i.e., pontic and retainers). Thus constitute an important part of FPD.
Their designing determines the health of periodontal ligament under FPD. They may be either rigid or non-rigid. The presence of the missing central incisors with a wide span is a difficult esthetic problem to resolve with conventional FPDs. The modified FPD with loop connectors enhance the natural appearance of the restoration, maintain the diastemas and the proper emergence profile, and preserve the remaining tooth structure of abutment teeth. However, this type of prosthesis requires additional laboratory procedures. In addition, the prosthesis design may cause difficulty in maintenance and may affect in phonetics especially linguopalatal sounds. However keeping the connectors round and small in size will not affect the phonetics.

Photoelastic analysis has revealed that within the connector, the highest stress was found at the gingival region of the connector and the lowest in the middle of the connector.\textsuperscript{[8,9]} Also, connector geometry affects the strength of ceramic materials.\textsuperscript{[10,11]} Therefore, smoother, less angled and more round connectors should be kept for lower stress levels.\textsuperscript{[12]}

**Summary**

This clinical report discussed a method for fabrication of a modified FPD with loop connectors to restore the wide span created by missing central incisors. The full coverage PFM crowns were fabricated for abutments to preserve them. This prosthesis resulted in an aesthetic result and required minimal adjustments.
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