Stabilization of a Virus-Like Particle and Its Application as a Nanoreactor at Physiological Conditions
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ABSTRACT: Virus-like particles are very interesting tools for application in bionanotechnology, due to their monodisperse features and biocompatibility. In particular, the cowpea chlorotic mottle virus (CCMV) capsid has been studied extensively as it can be assembled and disassembled reversibly, facilitating cargo encapsulation. CCMV is, however, only stable at physiological conditions when its endogenous nucleic acid cargo is present. To gain more flexibility in the type of cargo encapsulated and to broaden the window of operation, it is interesting to improve the stability of the empty virus-like particles. Here, a method is described to utilize the CCMV capsid at close to physiological conditions as a stable, enzyme-filled nanoreactor. As a proof-of-principle, the encapsulation of T4 lysozyme (T4L) was chosen; this enzyme is a promising antibiotic, but its clinical application is hampered by, for example, its cationic character. It was shown that four T4L molecules can successfully be encapsulated inside CCMV capsids, while remaining catalytically active, which could thus improve the enzyme’s application potential.

INTRODUCTION

Virus-like particles (VLPs) are protein-based nanocages composed of a discrete number of viral capsid proteins. In contrast to some other types of nanoparticles, such as liposomes or polymeric vesicles, VLPs are very monodisperse in shape and size. Of special interest is the cowpea chlorotic mottle virus (CCMV), an icosahedral plant virus, which normally adopts T = 3 symmetry. This capsid consists of 180 identical 20 kDa capsid proteins and has an inner and outer diameter of 18 and 28 nm, respectively. The CCMV VLP is able to undergo reversible, pH-dependent assembly and disassembly, even in the absence of its viral RNA. At pH 7.5, the capsid disassembles into 90 protein dimers, and by lowering the pH to 5.0, the original structure is reformed. This property has been used to encapsulate inorganic materials, negatively charged polymers, and enzymes by adding these molecules to the media during the assembly process of the protein dimers.

The endogenous assembly behavior of CCMV VLPs does not allow for their facile application in biological settings, as the capsids will disassemble at a physiologically neutral pH. Therefore, a modified protein-based block copolymer of the CCMV capsid protein, containing an N-terminal elastin-like polypeptide (ELP) block, was previously developed in our lab. ELPs are stimulus-responsive polymers, derived from elastin, which can switch from a water-soluble state to a collapsed, insoluble state, upon a change in the environmental conditions, such as temperature, pH, (bivalent) cations, and salt concentration of the solution. ELPs consist of repeats of the VPGXG pentapeptide, where X is any natural amino acid except proline. Previously, the stimulus-responsive polypeptide block was placed at the N-terminal end of the CCMV capsid protein, replacing the cationic RNA-binding domain. The introduction of the ELP resulted in a new assembly pathway: ELP-induced assembly resulted in the formation of T = 1 capsids, consisting of 30 protein dimers, after an increase of the salt concentration at pH 7.5. Capsids with a T = 3 symmetry could still be formed via the endogenous pH-induced assembly pathway.

Even though the newly developed ELP-CCMV capsid proteins could assemble at physiological pH, still a high salt concentration (~2 M NaCl) was needed to form the capsids. Therefore, a third assembly mechanism for the ELP-CCMV capsid proteins was introduced, making use of the metal-binding properties of the hexahistidine tags at the N-terminus of ELP-CCMV, which had initially been introduced to facilitate protein purification through affinity chromatography. The addition of divalent ions to ELP-CCMV, such as nickel or cobalt, resulted in local clustering of the capsid proteins,
lowering the transition temperature of the ELP blocks and subsequently leading to capsid assembly at pH 7.5, without the need for a high salt concentration. This has set the stage to use CCMV capsids as enzyme nanoreactors at non-native and physiological conditions.

Lysozyme was chosen as the model enzyme to be encapsulated in the nanoreactor. This enzyme plays a key role in the human immune system, as it protects our body from Gram-positive pathogens, such as *Bacillus* and *Streptococcus*. It does so by cleaving the core β-(1,4) glycosidic bond between N-acetylmuramic acid and the fourth carbon atom of the N-acetylglucosamine of bacterial cell wall peptidoglycans, resulting in bacterial lysis and death.14 Human lysozyme has been successfully applied in early studies to treat experimentally induced pneumonia using hamster models, which has laid the foundation for clinical testing of lysozyme for antibiotic treatments.15,16 The enzyme has some disadvantageous properties, however, which hamper its application in a clinical setting. For instance, the cationic character of lysozyme can lead to aggregation with negatively charged biopolymers, resulting in inhibition of its antibacterial properties. Additionally, lysozyme-specific inhibitors exist, which also limits the clinical efficacy of lysozyme. So far, only genetic engineering has been used to address these problems.17–20 In some cases, the engineered variants did indeed show improved properties. Changing the lysozyme genetic sequence, however, has also led to the introduction of new unexpected and unfavorable properties.

We set out to encapsulate lysozyme in a nanocage structure, in order to protect it against harmful external conditions or molecules, allowing the enzyme to remain active without the need for extensive genetic engineering of the T4L sequence. Since the lysozyme activity drops significantly upon an increase in ionic strength, a nanoreactor is required which is stable under physiological conditions.21,22 We hypothesized that the metal ion-stabilized ELP-CCMV particles might be very suitable nanocarriers for this purpose.

In order to encapsulate lysozyme, statistical encapsulation during assembly of the ELP-CCMV capsids would probably not yield a high enough encapsulation efficiency due to the cationic character of lysozyme. Therefore, we chose to use a covalent coupling strategy, which has previously been published by our group.23,24 Briefly, we used Sortase A (SrtA) to modify the N-terminus of ELP-CCMV with different cargoes, which eventually end up at the interior of the VLPs (Figure 1). SrtA is present in Gram-positive bacteria, where it anchors surface proteins to the bacterial cell wall. It recognizes proteins with the sorting signal LPXTG (where X = any amino acid). SrtA cleaves the bond between threonine and glycine, after which an N-terminal glycine residue attacks the intermediate.

We aimed to introduce the sorting signal LPETG onto the C-terminus of T4 lysozyme (T4L), using a single step of genetic engineering, in order to couple it to the N-terminus of ELP-CCMV using SrtA (Figure 1). After optimization of the coupling reaction and subsequent assembly and metal ion-mediated stabilization of the capsids, we investigated the activity of lysozyme after coupling to ELP-CCMV by monitoring the degradation of the cell walls of *Micrococcus luteus* (*M. luteus*), a Gram-positive bacterium.

### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**Materials.** Hot start II HF DNA polymerase, dNTPs, restriction enzymes, and T4 DNA ligase were obtained from New England Biolabs. The DNA oligos were synthesized by Biologeo. Ampicillin was purchased from MP Biomedicals. Chloramphenicol was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) was purchased from Acros. Ni-NTA agarose beads were obtained from Qiagen. The fluorescein conjugated *Micrococcus luteus* was purchased as part of the EnzChek Lysozyme Assay Kit from Fisher Scientific.

**UV–Vis Absorbance Measurements.** Protein concentrations were measured on a Varian Cary 50 Conc UV–vis spectrometer using a quartz cuvette with a path length of 3 mm. Protein concentrations were calculated using the theoretical extinction coefficients.25 Samples were centrifuged prior to the measurements.

**Mass Spectrometry.** Protein mass characterization was performed by electrospray ionization time-of-flight (ESI-TOF) on a JEOL AccuTOF CS. Deconvoluted mass spectra were obtained using MagTran 1.03 b2. Isotopically averaged molecular weights were calculated using the “Protein Calculator v3.4” at http://protecalc.sourceforge.net. Protein samples were desalted by spin filtration with MQ (final concentrations approximately 20 μM).

**Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC).** SEC measurements were performed on a Superose 6 increase 10/300 column (GE Healthcare). Analytical and preparative SEC measurements were executed on a Shimadzu LC-2010AHT HPLC and Agilent 1260 biosensor HPLC, respectively. Samples were separated on the column with a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min.

**Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM).** TEM grids (FCF-200-Cu, EMS) were glow-discharged using a Cressington carbon coater and power unit. Protein samples (0.2 mg/mL, 5 μL) were applied on the glow-discharged grids and incubated for 1 min. The samples were carefully removed using a filter paper and the grid was...
allowed to dry for at least 15 min. Then the grid was negatively stained by applying 2% uranyl acetate in water (5 µL). The staining solution was removed after 15 s and the grid was allowed to dry for at least 15 min. The samples were analyzed on a JEOL JEM-1010 TEM.

**Microplate Reader Experiments.** Microplate reader experiments were performed on a Tecan Spark 10 M microplate reader at 21 °C. Samples were centrifuged prior to analysis. Buffers were filtered prior to use. Excitation and emission wavelengths were set to 485 and 530 nm, respectively. All measurements were done in triplicate.

Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) Measurements. DLS measurements were performed on a Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZSP at 20 °C. Samples were centrifuged prior to analysis. Buffers were filtered prior to use. All measurements were done in triplicate, and the average of the triplicate measurements was plotted.

**SrtA-Mediated Coupling Experiments.** For a typical SrtA-mediated coupling experiment, stock solutions of SrtA, G-ELP-CCMV, and T4L-LPETG were prepared in Sortase buffer. If a component had been dissolved in another buffer, it was spin filtered to Sortase buffer (10 kDa MWCO, 3 × 10 min). The components were added together to final concentrations of 0−100 µM SrtA, 50 µM G-ELP-CCMV, and 50 µM T4L-LPETG. The solutions were shaken at 21 °C for 24 h. The reaction progress was followed by SDS-PAGE analysis.

**SrtA-Mediated Coupling Experiments, Followed by Capsid Purification.** For a typical SrtA-mediated coupling experiment, stock solutions of SrtA, G-ELP-CCMV, and T4L-LPETG were prepared in Sortase buffer. If a component had been dissolved in another buffer, it was spin filtered to Sortase buffer (10 kDa MWCO, 3 × 10 min). The components were added together to final concentrations of 0/10 µM SrtA, 50 µM G-ELP-CCMV, and 0/50 µM T4L-LPETG. The solutions were shaken at 21 °C for 3 h. The capsids were assembled to either pH 5.0 capsid buffer by spin filtration (10 kDa MWCO, 3 × 10 min) or pH 7.5 capsid buffer by dialysis (Spectra/Por 2 dialysis tubing, 12−14 kDa MWCO, 25 mm flat width, 3 × 20 min). Subsequently, the capsids were isolated using preparative SEC. The combined capsid fractions were concentrated by spin filtration, analyzed, and used for further experiments.

**Activity Assay with Free T4L.** Lysozyme activity of free T4L and T4L-LPETG was measured by observing the degradation of fluorescently labeled M. luteus cell walls (EnzChek lysozyme assay). An increase in fluorescence indicated the presence of active lysozyme, as the degradation of the cell walls reduced the quenching of the fluorescent groups. The fluorescently labeled substrate was diluted from 1 mg/mL in MQ to 50 µM in the desired buffer. The substrate (50 µL) was added to T4L or T4L-LPETG (50 µL, 2 µM in the desired buffer) in triplicate in a 96-well plate. The fluorescence intensity was then followed for 60 min at 21 °C with intermediate shaking steps on a Tecan Spark 10 M microplate reader (excitation wavelength 485 nm, emission wavelength 530 nm). The initial slopes of the curves were taken as a measure of the hydrolytic activity.

**Activity Assay with Encapsulated T4L (and Related Controls).** The isolated and concentrated capsid fractions (see SrtA-Mediated Coupling Experiments, Followed by Capsid Purification) were diluted to a concentration of approximately 200 µM by measuring the OD_{430} of the concentrated capsid solution and diluting to an OD_{430} of 1.4. Subsequently, NiCl₂ (900 µM in either pH 5.0 capsid buffer or pH 7.5 capsid buffer) or buffer (equal volume as the capsid solution) was added (final protein concentration is approximately 100 µM). The mixtures were incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Subsequently, the mixtures were dialyzed to reaction buffer (Spectra/Por 4 dialysis tubing, 12−14 kDa MWCO, 10 mm flat width, 3 × 20 min; solutions with Ni²⁺ separately from solutions without Ni²⁺ ions, to prevent potential capsid formation in the control samples during dialysis). The activity assay with the capsids was then executed as described in the section Activity Assay with Free T4L, using 50 µL of the capsid solution of approximately 100 µM per reaction.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The ELP-CCMV construct was designed such, that it displayed a glycine residue on its N-terminus for the SrtA reaction (G-ELP-CCMV). A T4L construct was modified to display the Sortase recognition motif LPETG at its C-terminus (T4L-LPETG). A hexahistidine tag was additionally included at the C-terminus of T4L-LPETG, for facile purification via affinity chromatography. As a control, a T4L construct was prepared with a C-terminal hexahistidine tag, but lacking the LPETG recognition motif. The proteins were subsequently expressed in *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*), followed by purification through Ni²⁺ affinity chromatography (see Supporting Information for experimental procedures and characterization of the expressed proteins).

Next, the Sortase-mediated coupling of T4L-LPETG to G-ELP-CCMV was evaluated. To this end, different equivalents of SrtA were added to a mixture of T4L-LPETG and G-ELP-CCMV. The coupling reaction was followed over time by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE; Figure 2A). Formation of both the intermediate T4L-SrtA and the desired product T4L-ELP-CCMV could be observed over time. As
expected, the addition of higher equivalents of SrtA, compared to the reactants, resulted in a faster reaction and more product formation after 24 h, as indicated by quantitative ImageJ analysis of the SDS-PAGE results (Figure 2B). Product formation was further confirmed by electro spray ionization time-of-flight (ESI-TOF) mass spectrometry analysis of the reaction mixtures after 24 h (Figure 2C). No product formation was observed using SDS-PAGE and ESI-TOF analysis when no SrtA was added to the reaction mixture (Figures S1 and 2D). A conventional way to monitor lysozyme activity is to follow degradation of lyophilized M. luteus cells. In this assay, a decrease in turbidity of the cell wall suspension indicates the presence of active lysozyme. Even though this assay has been the benchmark in lysozyme activity assays for a long time, the sensitivity and reproducibility of this assay are not optimal. In another procedure, the M. luteus cell walls are fluorescently labeled to such a degree, that the fluorescence becomes quenched. If now lysozyme-catalyzed degradation of the cell walls takes place, a dramatic increase of fluorescence occurs, making this assay much more sensitive. We chose to use the fluorescence-based assay for the next series of experiments.

First, the activity of hexahistidine-tagged T4L and the LPETG-modified variant were tested in the benchmark phosphate buffer pH 7.5, supplied with the substrate kit (Figure S2). Significant activity was observed for both enzymes under the experimental conditions used. A slight decrease in activity was observed as a consequence of the introduction of the LPETG sequence in T4L-LPETG. Next, we tested whether T4L would become inactive under conditions at which the G-ELP-CCMV capsid proteins are assembled, that is, pH 5.0 capsid buffer or pH 7.5 capsid buffer with 2.0 M NaCl. In neither buffer, activity was observed. Also, in pH 7.5 dimer buffer, containing 500 mM NaCl, no activity could be observed. Reducing the NaCl concentration of the pH 7.5 dimer buffer to 150 mM did result in lysozyme activity. Additionally, lowering the Tris-HCl concentration from 50 to 10 mM further increased the activity. At pH 5, no activity was observed at all, even upon lowering the ionic strength of the buffer. These results confirm that, in order to ensure activity of the encapsulated T4L, further stabilization of the G-ELP-CCMV capsids with metal ions is required as no lysozyme activity was observed in buffers in which the capsids remain assembled without additional stabilization.

Subsequently, a protocol was developed for capsid assembly and stabilization from a SrtA reaction mixture, containing modified and nonmodified G-ELP-CCMV capsid protein dimers, SrtA and free T4L-LPETG. This was done based on previous work on both the SrtA-mediated modification of the N-terminus of CCMV capsid proteins and Ni2+-induced capsid formation of ELP-CCMV. In the first attempt, Ni2+ ions were directly added to the reaction mixture to induce the formation of stabilized capsids, followed by preparative size exclusion chromatography (SEC) purification of the assembled capsids. This, however, did not lead to the formation of monodisperse capsids, as indicated by SEC analysis (Figure S3). Instead, tailing of the capsid peak was observed and a very low amount of capsids was obtained. A possible explanation could be that the Ni2+ ions also interact with other proteins in the solution with a hexahistidine tag, such as SrtA and free T4L-LPETG. Therefore, it was decided to first induce capsid formation from the SrtA reaction mixture via either pH-induced assembly or salt-induced assembly, followed by preparative SEC purification and subsequent stabilization of the capsids with Ni2+ ions. Both assembly methods, that is, lowering the pH of the reaction mixture from 7.5 to 5.0 or increasing the NaCl concentration of the reaction mixture from 150 to 2000 mM, were tested, and both resulted in the formation of capsids from the reaction mixtures (Figure 3A). After successful purification of both the T = 3 and T = 1 capsids, it was tested whether these capsids could be stabilized by Ni2+ ions. To this end, the capsids were incubated with Ni2+ ions and subsequently dialyzed to the T4L-compatible pH 7.5 dimer buffer with reduced NaCl and Tris-HCl concentrations (will be referred to as reaction buffer). DLS analysis showed that the T = 3 capsids fell apart during dialysis, indicating that the stabilizing effect of the metal ions is not enough in this case (Figure 3B). The T = 1 particles, however, could be stabilized by Ni2+: capsids were still present after dialysis to the reaction buffer (Figure 3C). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis of the particles directly
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after preparative SEC in pH 7.5 capsid buffer, and after stabilization and dialysis to reaction buffer showed equally sized particles of approximately 19.6 nm (Figure S4). When the Ni\(^{2+}\) ions were left out, disassembly toward the capsid protein dimers occurred during dialysis, as expected. We therefore continued with the stabilized \(T = 1\) particles for the remaining experiments.

After having developed a successful protocol for the formation and stabilization of G-ELP-CCMV \(T = 1\) capsids after the coupling reaction with T4L-LPETG, the lysozyme encapsulation efficiency was determined. To this end, the composition of the isolated capsid fraction was analyzed by SDS-PAGE (Figure 4A). As a control, capsids were prepared from reaction mixtures lacking either SrtA or T4L-LPETG. A band corresponding to G-ELP-CCMV was observed for all three capsid samples. As expected, the coupled product T4L-LPETG, in the same concentration as previously when CalB was encapsulated using a similar strategy,\(^{24}\) Free T4L-LPETG, in the same concentration as present in the active capsid and dimer samples, was more active (i.e., approximately seven times) than T4L-LPETG attached to G-ELP-CCMV (Figure 5). Additionally, free T4L-LPETG, subjected to the same protocol, but without SrtA and G-ELP-CCMV present, was equally active as T4L-LPETG that had not been subjected to this protocol (Figure S5). Hence, attachment of ELP-CCMV did affect lysozyme activity to some extent. Attempts to disassemble the metal ion-stabilized capsids into capsids by the addition of an excess of chelating agent ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) failed. Probably, the crowded interior of the loaded capsids did not allow EDTA to reach the metal ions near the hexahistidine tags.

Surprisingly, the activities of the T4L-modified dimers and capsids were very similar (Figure 5). Even if the \(M.\) \(luteus\) had been fragmented during lyophilization, resulting in cell wall fragments which could more easily come into contact with enzyme molecules, we would still expect to see a difference in activity, that is, some effect of diffusion through the pores of the \(T = 1\) capsids. To be sure that the addition of the substrate did not result in capsid disassembly, DLS measurements were performed on the capsids before and after substrate addition (Figure S6). No signs of capsid disassembly were observed, suggesting that the capsids remained intact during the activity assay. We could also rule out a specific adhesion of free T4L-LPETG to the outside of the capsids, as no free T4L-LPETG was observed on the SDS-PAGE gels after capsid purification (Figure 4A). Lastly, the effect of G-ELP-CCMV itself on T4L
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**Figure 4.** SDS-PAGE analysis of isolated capsid fractions after the SrtA-mediated coupling of T4L-LPETG to G-ELP-CCMV. (A) Left: comparison between capsids prepared from reaction mixtures containing both SrtA and T4L-LPETG (++) or where either SrtA or T4L-LPETG had been left out (−SrtA or −T4L-LPETG), respectively. The activity was measured using the EnzChek lysozyme assay. +Ni\(^{2+}\): nickel ions were added before dialysis to reaction buffer, to stabilize the capsids; −Ni\(^{2+}\): nickel ions were not added before dialysis to reaction buffer, yielding dimers. The activity of the ++ samples was compared to the activity of nonencapsulated T4L-LPETG, in approximately the same concentration as the capsid samples. As a control, the background reaction in reaction buffer was measured.
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**Figure 5.** Catalytic activity of capsids where both SrtA and T4L-LPETG had been present during the SrtA reaction (+++) or where either SrtA or T4L-LPETG had been left out (−SrtA and −T4L-LPETG, respectively). The activity was measured using the EnzChek lysozyme assay. +Ni\(^{2+}\): nickel ions were added before dialysis to reaction buffer, to stabilize the capsids; −Ni\(^{2+}\): nickel ions were not added before dialysis to reaction buffer, yielding dimers. The activity of the ++ samples was compared to the activity of nonencapsulated T4L-LPETG, in approximately the same concentration as the capsid samples. As a control, the background reaction in reaction buffer was measured.
activity was investigated by incubating free T4L-LPETG with free G-ELP-CCMV, followed by a measurement of the lysozyme activity (Figure S7). No effect of the presence of G-ELP-CCMV was observed on the T4L activity. This absence of any effects arising from aspecific ionic interactions between lysozyme and the CCMV capsid protein. From the experiments above, we can conclude that the T4L enzyme molecules retain their activity after encapsulation inside the CCMV capsids and that their activity is somewhat reduced compared to free T4L.

-**CONCLUSION**

We have utilized a protein-based block copolymer of CCMV and its expanded assembly profile to create nanoreactors which can function under conditions with similar salt concentrations as present in the physiological environment. As a proof-of-principle, T4 lysozyme was successfully encapsulated via selective attachment to the interior of the CCMV capsids. The activity of this enzyme is highly dependent on the salt concentration and pH of the environment. Only after addition of metal ions to the CCMV capsids, these could remain stable at conditions at which its cargo was also active. A high encapsulation efficiency of approximately 4 enzymes per T = 1 capsid was achieved, indicating that the unfavorable interaction between the cationic lysozyme molecules was overcome. Possibly these charges were neutralized by interactions with the interior of the capsids. It was shown that on incorporation in the CCMV capsids, the enzyme molecules were available to interact with the substrate and remained active. This opens perspectives to use the shielded T4L in antibiotic applications. Attempts to disassemble the capsids by the addition of EDTA, which should bind the metal ions, have not been successful so far. Future research could focus on methods to disassemble these capsids, and expansion of the assembly/disassembly cycle toward a switchable nanoreactor. Furthermore, we believe this strategy is widely applicable to different types of cargo, and provides interesting opportunities for the in vitro and possible in vivo application of the CCMV capsids.
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