Eltgol Acutely Improves Airway Clearance and Reduces Static Pulmonary Volumes in Adult Cystic Fibrosis Patients
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Abstract. [Purpose] Chest physical therapy techniques are essential in order to reduce the frequency of recurrent pulmonary infections that progressively affect lung function in cystic fibrosis patients. Recently, ELTGOL (L’Expiration Lente Totale Glotte Ouverte en décubitus Latéral) emerged as an inexpensive and easy to perform therapeutic option. The aim of this study was to compare the acute effects of ELTGOL and the Flutter valve in stable adult patients with cystic fibrosis. [Subjects and Methods] This was a randomized, crossover study with a sample of cystic fibrosis outpatients. The subjects underwent two protocols (Flutter Valve and ELTGOL interventions, referred to as ELTGOL and FLUTTER) in a randomized order with a one-week washout interval between them. The main outcomes were pulmonary function variables and expectorated sputum dry weight. [Results] ELTGOL cleared 0.34 g more of secretions than FLUTTER (95% CI 0.11 to 0.57). When comparing the physiological effects of ELTGOL and FLUTTER, the first was superior in improving airway resistance (−0.51 cmH2O/L/s; 95% CI −0.88 to −0.14) and airway conductance (0.016 L/s/cmH2O; 95% CI 0.008 to 0.023). [Conclusion] ELTGOL promoted higher secretion removal and improvement in airway resistance and conductance than the Flutter valve. These techniques were equivalent in reducing the pulmonary hyperinflation and air trapping in cystic fibrosis patients.
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INTRODUCTION

A few years ago, cystic fibrosis was considered a childhood disease, but life expectancy has increased in recent years due to medical advances and greater understanding of its pathophysiology, bringing the average age to 36.9 years old1). In this context, chest physical therapy (CPT) has been playing an important role, aiding mucociliary clearance, which directly influences the survival and prognosis of these patients2, 3).

In the last few years, a number of CPT techniques have been proposed in order to reduce the frequency of recurrent pulmonary infections that progressively affect lung function4), but many of these procedures require daily professional assistance, resulting in poor adherence to treatment. For this reason, techniques that allow independent treatment were developed and have been studied, such as autogenic drainage, active cycle of breathing, oral oscillatory devices, high-frequency chest oscillation, positive expiratory pressure, and intrapulmonary percussive ventilation5). Flutter valve® (Varioraw SARL, Scandipharm Inc, Birmingham, AL, USA), which combines positive expiratory pressure with high-frequency oscillations within airways, is a well-recognized and widely used device for the treatment of patients with cystic fibrosis6). In the last few years, ELTGOL (L’Expiration Lente Totale Glotte Ouverte en décubitus Latéral)7) has emerged as an inexpensive and easy to perform therapeutic option. During ELTGOL, the patient lies laterally, with the affected lung in the dependent position, while performing a series of slow expirations with the glottis open. According to its original description, this technique is indicated for hypersecretive, cooperative patients, and those in which the forced maneuvers pose a higher risk of causing harmful airway collapses8). Therefore, as there are very few studies about the physiological and clinical effects of ELTGOL, the aim of this study was to compare the acute effects of ELTGOL and the Flutter valve in stable adult patients with cystic fibrosis.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

This was a randomized, crossover study with a convenience sample of cystic fibrosis outpatients. The patients...
underwent two protocols (Flutter Valve and ELTGOL interventions), in a randomized order with a one-week washout interval between them. After the washout period and prior to the second intervention, the patients were reexamined by a physician to ensure that they remained stable. Pulmonary function tests were performed at the Pulmonary Function Laboratory (University of Rio de Janeiro), and the interventions were conducted by a cardiopulmonary physical therapist, always at the same time of the day, with the sessions scheduled between 9:00 and 12:00 AM. The allocation sequence was computer generated using one random block with a block size of fourteen. The protocol to be applied was revealed to the investigator only at the onset of each experimental sequence, and the participants were oriented to maintain their regular treatments during the period of the study.

We included individuals with clinical and laboratory (sweat test and/or deoxyribonucleic acid – DNA mutation analysis) diagnoses of CF from the Pneumology Service of Pedro Ernesto University Hospital (State University of Rio de Janeiro). Patients under any physiotherapeutic treatment and those with acute chest pain, recent history of hemoptysis, pneumothorax at least one year before the study, respiratory infection in the four weeks preceding the study, or a confirmed diagnosis of asthma were not included. According to the Helsinki Declaration, our Institutional Ethics Committee approved the study, and all subjects signed an informed consent form.

Sample size was estimated using the SigmaStat 3.1 software (SYSTAT Software Inc., Point Richmond, CA, USA), considering a power of 80% and α = 5%. According to the data from Konstan et al9, 8 subjects were required for the study in order to detect a 74% difference and a 64% standard deviation in the secretion production (main outcome).

Two days before the testing protocols, each subject visited the laboratory for an introductory session to become familiar with the equipment and the procedures. Both interventions were preceded by the inhalation of two puffs of 100 mcg of salbutamol, followed by a series of five minutes of coughing. The Flutter intervention (FLUTTER) was performed with the subjects comfortably seated and breathing through the device for 15 minutes, starting off from total pulmonary capacity (TLC), residual volume (RV), airway resistance (Raw), and specific conductance (Gaw) were recorded after the first and second 5-min coughing series.

The data were analyzed using the software SigmaStat 3.1 software (SYSTAT Software Inc., Point Richmond, CA, USA). The between-interventions comparison was performed according to the pre- and post-intervention difference for each physiological variable (95% CI). According to the data distribution, the paired t-test or Mann-Whitney rank sum test were used to compare pre- and post-intervention values within the same intervention. Differences were considered significant when p<0.05.

RESULTS

The data from the fourteen patients were collected between February 2011 and December 2011. All enrolled subjects completed the study and tolerated well the experimental protocol. Table 1 shows the anthropometric and functional baseline characteristics of the participants. There were neither differences between pre-intervention values nor order effects. Mean (SD) scores, mean (SD) differences between pre-intervention data, rank sum test were used to compare pre- and post-intervention values within the same intervention. Differences were considered significant when p<0.05.

Table 1. Functional and demographic characteristics of the adult patients with cystic fibrosis (n=14)

| Characteristic            | Value (mean ± standard deviation) |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Age (yrs)                 | 26.7 ± 5.4                        |
| Gender (M/F)              | 7/7                                |
| Weight (kg)               | 53.2 ± 11.7                       |
| Height (m)                | 1.63 ± 0.08                       |
| BMI (kg/m²)               | 19.7 ± 2.7                        |
| FEV₁ (% pred)             | 33.9 ± 13.8                       |
| FVC (% pred)              | 52.7 ± 20.2                       |
| FEV₁/FVC (%)              | 54.9 ± 6.4                        |
| FEF₂₅₋₇⁵% (% pred)         | 12.1 ± 6.2                        |

FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC = forced vital capacity; FEF₂₅₋₇⁵ = forced expiratory flow between 25% and 75% of the forced vital capacity; BMI = body mass index

Forced spirometry, body plethysmography, and diffusion capacity were used to evaluate the short-term physiological effects of interventions (Collins Plus Pulmonary Function Testing Systems, Warren E. Collins, Inc., Braintree, MA, USA). These tests followed ATS/ERS standards13, and predicted values were set according to the equations for the Brazilian population14, 15. The variables forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume at the first second (FEV₁), FVC/FEV₁, inspiratory capacity (IC), total lung capacity (TLC), residual volume (RV), airway resistance (Raw), and specific conductance (Gaw) were recorded after the first and second 5-min coughing series.

The data from the fourteen patients were collected between February 2011 and December 2011. All enrolled subjects completed the study and tolerated well the experimental protocol. Table 1 shows the anthropometric and functional baseline characteristics of the participants. There were neither differences between pre-intervention values nor order effects. Mean (SD) scores, mean (SD) differences within interventions, and mean (SD) differences between interventions for sputum dry weight and the physiological measurements are shown in Table 2. ELTGOL cleared 0.34 g more of secretions than FLUTTER (95% CI 0.11 to 0.57; p = 0.024). In the within-intervention analysis, FLUTTER reduced TLC (p = 0.024), FRC (p = 0.035), RV (p = 0.027), RV/TLC (p = 0.024), Raw (p = 0.001), and increased airways conductance (SGVa; p = 0.001) and the peripheral
DISCUSSION

In this study, sputum production and the physiological consequences of two airway clearance techniques in adult cystic fibrosis patients were evaluated. We opted to compare ELTGOL with the Flutter valve because the latter is a well-established and widely used technique for the treatment of cystic fibrosis patients\(^\text{19}\). Both techniques resulted in physiological benefits, but ELTGOL promoted higher secretion removal and improvement in airway resistance and conductance than the Flutter valve. Although only the Flutter valve reduced pulmonary hyperinflation, a clinically relevant difference between the two evaluated techniques was not found for CPT, RV, and RV/CPT in this study, as shown by the confidence interval analysis.

Although airway clearance techniques are widely used, their physiological mechanisms and consequences are still poorly understood. Airway clearance techniques are intended to remove pulmonary secretions from the periphery to more central airways, which can take several minutes after the end of their application. In our study, because we evaluated only the immediate effects of two chest physiotherapy techniques, it is likely that a significant amount of mucus was still in intermediate airways at the second pulmonary function evaluation. The low sensitivity of forced spirometry to detect changes in the lung periphery and the presence of secretions in the intermediate and central airways after the interventions may explain the absence of significant differences in spirometric variables observed in our study\(^\text{15}\).

Conversely, by means of impulse oscillometry, Scheidt et al. (2010)\(^\text{16}\) found a reduction in peripheral airway resistance and consequently in total resistance of the respiratory system after a single session with Flutter valve in hypersecretive patients with bronchiectasis. Similarly, but using body plethysmography, we found an improvement in Raw and SGVa after both ELTGOL and FLUTTER in patients with cystic fibrosis. When comparing these techniques, ELTGOL has proven superiority in improving the overall airway permeability (Raw and SGVa), but in the within-intervention analysis, only FLUTTER reduced pulmonary hyperinflation and air trapping. Despite this outcome, confidence interval analysis showed no differences when comparing TLC, RV, RV/TLC, and FRC between the two interventions. As, in theory, the airway impedance influences these static volumes, it is likely that a larger sample size would enable us to observe significant differences also in the within-intervention analysis for ELTGOL, corroborating the other clinical (sputum production) and physiological (airway resistance and conductance) findings.

Because conventional physiotherapy, i.e., postural drainage associated with chest percussion and/or vibration, cannot be carried out without a therapist, novel airway clearance techniques have been proposed with the aim of improving cystic fibrosis patients’ adherence to treatment. The Flutter valve is easy to use and has well-proven clinical benefits\(^\text{17}\), making this oscillatory device a common choice for

| Table 2. Sputum production and pulmonary function tests results in the FLUTTER and ELTGOL interventions |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Sputum Clearance | Flutter | ELTGOL | Flutter | ELTGOL | Flutter | ELTGOL | ELTGOL minus Flutter |
|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------------------|
| Sputum dry weight (g) | 0.52 (0.59) | 0.86 (0.65) | 0.34 (0.11 to 0.57) |
| Spirometry | | | | |
| FEV\(_1\) (L) | 1.17 (0.53) | 1.09 (0.51) | 1.17 (0.51) | 1.11 (0.50) | 0.01 (0.06) | 0.02 (0.14) | 0.01 (−0.59 to 0.08) |
| FVC (L) | 2.13 (0.91) | 2.02 (0.91) | 2.17 (0.83) | 2.10 (0.90) | 0.03 (0.15) | 0.08 (0.18) | 0.05 (−0.06 to 0.17) |
| FEV\(_1\)/FVC (%) | 54.9 (6.4) | 54.9 (7.1) | 54.0 (8.07) | 53.9 (7.82) | −0.93 (3.54) | −1.64 (5.24) | −0.71 (−4.08 to 2.66) |
| FEF\(_{25-75}\) (L/s) | 0.47 (0.26) | 0.44 (0.27) | 0.57 (0.45) | 0.48 (0.31) | 0.10 (0.32) | 0.04 (0.21) | −0.06 (−0.31 to 0.19) |
| Plethysmography | | | | |
| IC (L) | 1.52 (0.56) | 1.50 (0.68) | 1.54 (0.65) | 1.54 (0.71) | 0.02 (0.26) | 0.04 (0.19) | 0.02 (−0.17 to 0.20) |
| VC (L) | 2.01 (0.84) | 2.00 (0.87) | 2.02 (0.78) | 2.01 (0.92) | 0.02 (0.20) | 0.01 (0.15) | −0.1 (−0.40 to 0.33) |
| TLC (L) | 5.86 (1.74) | 5.71 (1.92) | 5.22 (1.79) | 5.27 (1.42) | −0.64 (0.93) | −0.44 (1.22) | 0.19 (−0.30 to 0.69) |
| FRC (L) | 4.33 (1.36) | 4.21 (1.62) | 3.70 (1.47) | 3.74 (1.10) | −0.63 (1.01) | −0.48 (1.22) | 0.15 (−0.41 to 0.72) |
| RV (L) | 3.84 (1.29) | 3.71 (1.73) | 3.21 (1.36) | 3.26 (1.05) | −0.63 (0.95) | −0.45 (1.20) | 0.18 (−0.37 to 0.73) |
| RV/TLC (%) | 65.21 (9.05) | 64.43 (9.75) | 60.43 (9.42) | 61.79 (12.15) | −4.79 (7.04) | −2.64 (6.32) | 2.15 (−1.78 to 6.07) |
| Raw (cmH\(_2\)O/L/s) | 4.35 (1.06) | 4.58 (1.22) | 3.49 (1.05) | 3.21 (1.14) | −0.86 (0.65) | −1.37 (0.92) | −0.51 (−0.88 to −0.14) |
| SGVa (L/s/cmH\(_2\)O) | 0.053 (0.02) | 0.053 (0.02) | 0.062 (0.02) | 0.078 (0.025) | 0.009 (0.008) | 0.025 (0.016) | 0.016 (0.008 to 0.023) |

Values are means (SD or CI 95%). FEV\(_1\) = forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC = forced vital capacity; FEF\(_{25-75}\) = forced expiratory flow between 25 and 75% of the FVC; IC = inspiratory capacity; VC = vital capacity; TLC = total lung capacity; FRC = functional residual capacity; RV = residual volume; Raw = airway resistance; SGVa = airway conductance; arterial oxygenation (SpO\(_2\); p = 0.017). In ELTGOL, there was a reduction in Raw (p < 0.001) and improvement in SGVa (p < 0.001) and SpO\(_2\) (p = 0.034). When comparing the physiological effects of ELTGOL and FLUTTER, the former was superior in improving Raw (−0.51 cmH\(_2\)O/L/s; 95% CI −0.88 to −0.14) and SGVa (0.016 L/s/cmH\(_2\)O; 95% CI 0.008 to 0.023; p = 0.003).
the treatment of cystic fibrosis patients. In our study, ELTGOL was equivalent to the Flutter valve in the reduction of airway resistance and pulmonary hyperinflation, showing superiority for secretion removal. The underlying mechanism of ELTGOL uses airways compression to improve air-liquid interaction in the peripheral airways, thus promoting pulmonary secretion displacement. This principle also supports the use of autogenic drainage, in which the patient has to breathe at different pulmonary volumes to “unstick,” “collect,” and “evacuate” the secretions from the peripheral airways\(^\text{18}\). Like Flutter valve, autogenic drainage has proven efficacy for patients with cystic fibrosis, but ELTGOL is inexpensive and easier to perform. These advantages have raised interest among therapists and researchers in the last few years. Therefore, ELTGOL has been shown to have positive effects in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease\(^\text{19}\) and chronic bronchitis\(^\text{20}\) exacerbations and in stable patients with bronchiectasis\(^\text{21}\) and chronic bronchi- tis\(^\text{22}\). Among these studies the only study on the long-term impact of ELTGOL did not show any proven efficacy in reducing hospitalization or the number of exacerbations\(^\text{19}\).

The main limitations of the present study are the small sample size and the lack of evaluation of the long-term effects of the interventions. Nevertheless, because the literature lacks information on the impact of ELTGOL in adult cystic fibrosis patients, in this first study, we evaluated only the short-term effects of the technique, comparing its results with a well-established procedure. Therefore, our results are not enough to recommend ELTGOL as a routine procedure in the treatment of CF patients but point out that is worthwhile to perform further research on this technique using long-term treatment designs and clinically relevant outcomes, such as quality of life and incidence of pulmonary exacerbations.

In conclusion, ELTGOL promoted higher secretion removal and improvement in airway resistance and conductance than the Flutter valve. These techniques were equivalent in reducing pulmonary hyperinflation and air trapping in adult cystic fibrosis patients.
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