Background

The satisfaction perceived by patients with chronic diseases affects clinical outcomes and healthcare costs. Some patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) develop a more severe form requiring biologic therapy. We assessed the quality of care perceived by IBD patients in dedicated centers.

Methods

This prospective, cross-sectional, multicenter study enrolled consecutive IBD patients who underwent biologic therapy in the participating centers. The nurses directly involved in the management of these patients explained the rationale of the survey, provided a specific questionnaire (CACHE), and collected data. The CACHE included 31 items structured in 6 domains: staff care, clinician care, center facilities, patient information, accessibility, and patient support. Patients' satisfaction score for each domain ranged from 0 to 100%.

Results

Sixteen different Italian centers participated and a total of 450 patients were enrolled (283 with Crohn's disease and 167 with ulcerative colitis). The overall score was 82.2±19.6, satisfaction with the clinicians care scoring the highest (87.6±3.2) and the information provided to the patient scoring the lowest (70.7±7.9). More specifically, it emerged that 5.2-19.5% of patients were unsatisfied with:

1) the communication between the IBD medical team and primary care physicians; 2) information received about the disease or patients' associations; and 3) the accessibility of the center.

Conclusion

Although our data revealed an acceptably high rate of global satisfaction among IBD patients receiving biologic therapy, more effort should be made to improve patient information and communication between IBD teams, other specialists and primary care physicians.
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Introduction

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is a chronic condition, mainly represented by Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC) [1,2], diagnosed at a young age, having a considerable impact on the quality of life of both patients and their families, and causing healthcare resource consumption [3-5]. It is estimated that around 10-15% of IBD patients develop a more severe form of disease and need biologic therapy [1,2,6,7]. The management of this patient subgroup generally requires a multidisciplinary team, including different specialists, dedicated nurses, psychologists, and dieticians [8,9].

As with other chronic diseases [10], the patient’s satisfaction may play a role in the long-term management of IBD. This is particularly expected for IBD patients on biologic therapy, who will need close follow up in dedicated centers [5,6]. Therefore, assessing the quality of care perceived by IBD patients in dedicated centers is relevant from both clinical and healthcare resource consumption perspectives. The quality of care depends mainly on adequate healthcare facilities, information regarding the disease, helplines for patient-nurse or patient-physician relationships, and prompt access to visits or diagnostic tests when a disease flare-up occurs [8,9]. Consequently, some specific questionnaires have been developed to assess IBD patients’ satisfaction with care [11-13].

Under the auspices of the ANOTE-ANIGEA (National Italian Association of Operators of Endoscopic Techniques - National Italian Association of Gastroenterology Nurses and Associates), we performed a cross-sectional, multicenter study to assess the quality of care perceived by IBD patients receiving biologic therapy in different Italian centers.

Materials and methods

Survey design

The rationale behind this survey was explained to nurses attending the ANOTE-ANIGEA National Congress that took place in May 2015 in Bologna, Italy. Only those nurses directly involved in the management of IBD patients on biologic therapy were asked to participate. Participant nurses received the protocol and the survey questionnaire when they checked in at the registration desk. The nurses involved were required to fully explain the rationale of the survey to those patients who were undergoing biologic therapy. Data were collected prospectively between 1 September and 30 November 2015. More specifically, in each center, the nurse provided each patient with the printed CACHE questionnaire [13], together with another sheet for the collection of demographic and clinical information. The nurse then collected the anonymized questionnaires completed by the patients who agreed to participate in the survey, and recorded the number of patients who declined participation. All questionnaires were mailed to a single center, where two investigators (AG and AZ) entered the data into a computerized spreadsheet for statistical evaluations.

Each patient provided written, informed consent to their participation in the study and the anonymous data analysis.

Questionnaire

To measure the quality of care perceived by IBD patients, we used the CACHE questionnaire [13], closely translated into Italian. This is a specific questionnaire, recently developed and validated [14], composed of a single sheet with 31 items structured in the following 6 domains: 1) staff care (10 items); 2) clinician care (5 items); 3) center facilities (4 items); 4) patient information (5 items); 5) accessibility (4 items); and 6) patient support (3 items). For each item, the patients were required to choose one of 5 response options, consisting of “Totally agree”, “Agree”, “Neither agree nor disagree”, “Disagree”, and “Totally disagree”. The following formula was used to calculate a score for each item: (real score - minimum score)/(maximum score - minimum score) × 100. The final score was standardized to achieve a range from 0 (lowest level of satisfaction) to 100% (maximum satisfaction), for each item [14], the total representing the overall degree of patient satisfaction. To measure the frequency of disappointed patients, data were also analyzed taking into account the number of positive (“totally agree” and “agree”) and negative (“totally disagree” and “disagree”) responses for each domain. Those questionnaires missing >10% of responses were considered as incomplete and were excluded from the analysis [13].

Results

A total of 16 different IBD centers participated in the survey, distributed throughout northern (4 centers), central (9 centers), and southern (3 centers) Italy, including 4 Universities and 12 General Hospitals. A total of 493 patients were invited, but 43 declined to participate. All questionnaires from the remaining 450 (91.3%) patients were evaluable, the missing response rate being only 1.4% (range: 0-4.8%). There were 283 patients with CD and 167 with UC, including 256 males and 194 females, with a mean age of 43.5±14.7 years. Among the CD group, there were 127 (44.9%) patients who had undergone previous surgical resection and 53 (18.7%) with a perianal disease. The median number enrolled in the various centers was 25 patients (range: 5-92). The main characteristics of the patients are provided in Table 1.

The scores describing patients’ satisfaction according to the 6 domains are reported in Table 2. The overall score was 82.2±19.6, satisfaction with the clinician’s care scoring the highest (87.6±3.2) and the information provided to the patient scoring the lowest (70.7±7.9). More specifically, the mean satisfaction score exceeded 85 only for the staff and clinician care domains, whilst it was <80 for both center facilities and patient information. No difference in the overall satisfaction score was noted between CD and UC patients (80.1±23.4 vs. 82.8±22.6), between males and females (81.4±22.5 vs.
83.3±21.9), or between those receiving intravenous or subcutaneous biologic therapy (82.3±23.5 vs. 81.7±21.8).

By analyzing the frequencies of responses, we found that patients gave negative scores ("totally disagree" and "disagree" quoted together) on as many as 8 (25.1%) of 31 items (Table 3).

In total, more than 5% (range: 5.2-19.5%) of patients were unsatisfied with the communication between the medical team and primary care physicians, information received about the disease or patients' associations, and the accessibility of the center.

**Discussion**

Quality of care assessment in patients with chronic diseases has received increasing attention in the last decade, and there has been an increasing understanding among health carers that an improvement in patient satisfaction is associated with better health outcomes, as for diabetes and hypertension [10]. Indeed, better quality in the healthcare provided to patients improves clinical outcomes and reduces overall costs [15,16].

IBD is chronic and some of these patients are faced with various challenges, such as recurrent hospitalizations, surgical interventions, definitive stoma, occurrence of extra-intestinal manifestations, and long-lasting biologic therapy [1-8,17]. The degree of patient satisfaction has been found to affect the quality of life of IBD patients, as well as their adherence to both medical treatment and other aspects of care [18-20]. Moreover, the United Kingdom IBD Standards Group emphasizes the importance of maintaining patient-centered care [21]. Hence, assessing IBD patients' perceived degree of satisfaction with global care is important.

In this large, multicenter survey we used the CACHE questionnaire to measure patient satisfaction in IBD patients undergoing ongoing biologic therapy [13,14]. Among the possible tools, we chose this questionnaire because, compared to other questionnaires such as QUOTE-IBD, patients can complete it more easily and quickly [11,13]. Our data showed that the global satisfaction rate in IBD patients receiving biologic therapy is acceptably high (82.2%). However, such a value would also indicate that the quality of care perceived by this subgroup of IBD patients could be further improved, at least in some fields. Interestingly, we observed that the domain concerning patient information achieved the lowest satisfaction score (70.7±7.9). More specifically, we found that as many as 19.5% of patients were not satisfied with the IBD information.

### Table 1

| Characteristics                  | CD (n=283) | UC (n=167) | Overall (n=450) |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|
| Male/female                      | 162/121   | 94/73     | 256/194         |
| Age (mean±SD; years)             | 42.8±11.3 | 44.3±13.6 | 43.5±14.7       |
| Education level (%)              |           |           |                 |
| Tertiary                         | 64 (22.6) | 31 (18.6) | 95 (21.1)       |
| Secondary                        | 140 (49.5)| 79 (47.3) | 219 (48.7)      |
| Primary                          | 96 (33.9) | 34 (20.3) | 130 (28.9)      |
| No education                     | 2         | 4         | 6 (1.3)         |
| Employment status (%)            |           |           |                 |
| Employed                         | 185 (65.4)| 100 (59.9)| 285 (63.3)      |
| Retired                          | 26 (9.2)  | 18 (10.8) | 44 (9.8)        |
| Student                          | 7 (2.5)   | 4 (2.4)   | 11 (2.4)        |
| Housekeeper                      | 10 (3.5)  | 4 (2.4)   | 14 (3.2)        |
| Unemployed                       | 63 (22.2) | 28 (16.8) | 91 (20.2)       |
| Work disabled                    | 2 (0.1)   | 3 (0.2)   | 5 (1.1)         |
| Disease duration (Mean±SD; yrs)  | 12.1±1.8  | 11.1±0.9  | 11.7±1.3        |
| Biologic therapy (intravenous/subcutaneous) | 208/75   | 143/24    | 351/98         |
| Current smoking (%)              | 99 (35)   | 31 (18)   | 130 (29)        |
| Concomitant diseases (%)         | 46 (16)   | 33 (20)   | 79 (17.5)       |

### Table 2

| Domain                          | Mean±SD  |
|---------------------------------|----------|
| Staff care                      | 85.2±6.2 |
| Clinician care                  | 87.6±3.2 |
| Center facilities               | 79.9±1.6 |
| Patient information             | 70.7±7.9 |
| Accessibility                   | 83.8±3.7 |
| Patient support                 | 82.8±3.6 |
they received through brochures and books, and from healthcare staff. In another study involving 290 Spanish patients with IBD, which used the same questionnaire (CACHE), the patient information score was the lowest (68.5±18) [14]. Likewise, using a different questionnaire (QUOTE-IBD), the received information was judged unsatisfactorily by 28% of patients in a previous Italian study performed between April 2010 and February 2011 [22], and by 45% of 162 Dutch IBD patients in 2001 [11]. Since adequate information has a positive impact on quality of life, more effort should be made to improve information for IBD patients [23]. Indeed, a better knowledge about the disease is associated with emotional adjustment to living with IBD [4,24,25]. Notably, it has recently been observed that information received from clinical staff (gastroenterologists, dedicated nurses) is much more important for IBD patients than that obtained from the internet [26]. Indeed, IBD patients would rather receive additional information on treatment, clinical manifestations, cancer, and mortality risks in face-to-face interviews [26]. Improved patient information could also affect smoking habits. It was astonishing to note that as many as 35% of our patients with CD who were receiving biologic therapy were current smokers, despite the deleterious effects of smoking in these patients [27].

Another relevant finding of our survey related to the patients’ satisfaction with the healthcare staff. Although the global score for this domain was 85.2±6.2, a value very similar to the 86.2±11.8 observed in a Spanish study [14], we found that as many as 13.1% of patients were unsatisfied with the communication between the medical team and other specialists and/or primary care physicians. Such a finding would suggest that the presence of a multidisciplinary IBD team including different physicians (gastroenterologist, surgeon, rheumatologist, dermatologist), dedicated nurses, dieticians and psychologists, should be implemented, as has been widely suggested [1-9,28]. Moreover, appropriate communication between the IBD team at the dedicated center and the general practitioner should not be neglected. Indeed, it has been found that the general practitioner as an information source is preferred by as many as 83% of IBD patients [26].

A limitation of our study was that the CACHE questionnaire, previously developed in Spain, has not been validated in Italy. In conclusion, our survey found an acceptably high rate of global satisfaction in IBD patients receiving biologic therapy. However, further effort should be made to improve patient information and communication between IBD teams, other specialists and primary care physicians.

Table 3 Items with a frequency of disagreement higher than 5%

| Domain                                | %     |
|---------------------------------------|-------|
| Staff care (1 of 10 items)            |       |
| There is good coordination and communication between my medical team and other specialists and/or primary care | 13.1  |
| Center facilities (3 of 4 items)      |       |
| The center I go to have my condition treated is well-located and easily accessible | 7.6   |
| The bathrooms in the center are adequate and accessible | 6.4   |
| The center where they administer my medication has the necessary resources and facilities | 5.2   |
| Patient information (3 of 5 items)    |       |
| At the hospital where I get treatment for my bowel disease, I can get information about my disease through brochures, information campaigns, etc. | 19.5  |
| I have been informed about how to contact patients’ associations for people with intestinal problems like mine | 18.9  |
| I get advice and guidance about nutrition, daily activities, exercise, etc., which I have to follow because of my bowel disease | 5.5   |
| Accessibility (1 of 4 items)          |       |
| In the center I go to for treatment, I can be attended over the phone | 5.2   |

**Summary Box**

**What is already known:**

- There is increasing evidence that the satisfaction perceived by patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) affects clinical outcomes and healthcare costs
- This is particularly expected for IBD patients on biologic therapy, who will need close follow up in dedicated centers
- The CACHE questionnaire is a specific questionnaire, recently developed and validated, to measure IBD patients’ satisfaction

**What the new findings are:**

- This Italian multicenter survey showed that the overall satisfaction score was acceptably high. However, patient information received the lowest score
- Improved provision of information for IBD patients about biologic therapy, as well as communication between IBD teams, other specialists and primary care physicians need to be implemented
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