Cytokinin stabilizes WUSCHEL by acting on the protein domains required for nuclear enrichment and transcription
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Abstract

Concentration-dependent transcriptional regulation and the spatial regulation of transcription factor levels are poorly studied in plant development. WUSCHEL, a stem cell-promoting homeodomain transcription factor, accumulates at a higher level in the rib meristem than in the overlying central zone, which harbors stem cells in the shoot apical meristems of Arabidopsis thaliana. The differential accumulation of WUSCHEL in adjacent cells is critical for the spatial regulation and levels of CLAVATA3, a negative regulator of WUSCHEL transcription. Earlier studies have revealed that DNA-dependent dimerization, subcellular partitioning and protein destabilization control WUSCHEL protein levels and spatial accumulation. Moreover, the destabilization of WUSCHEL may also depend on the protein concentration. However, the roles of extrinsic spatial cues in maintaining differential accumulation of WUS are not understood. Through transient manipulation of hormone levels, hormone response patterns and analysis of the receptor mutants, we show that cytokinin signaling in the rib meristem acts through the transcriptional regulatory domains, the acidic domain and the WUSCHEL-box, to stabilize the WUS protein. Furthermore, we show that the same WUSCHEL-box functions as a degron sequence in cytokinin deficient regions in the central zone, leading to the destabilization of WUSCHEL. The coupled functions of the WUSCHEL-box in nuclear retention as described earlier, together with cytokinin sensing, reinforce higher nuclear accumulation of WUSCHEL in the rib meristem. In contrast a sub-threshold level may expose the WUSCHEL-box to destabilizing signals in the central zone. Thus, the cytokinin signaling acts as an asymmetric spatial cue in stabilizing the WUSCHEL protein to lead to its differential accumulation in neighboring cells, which is critical for concentration-dependent spatial regulation of CLAVATA3 transcription and meristem maintenance. Furthermore, our work shows that cytokinin response is
regulated independently of the WUSCHEL function which may provide robustness to the regulation of WUSCHEL concentration.

Author summary

Stem cell regulation is critical for the development of all organisms, and plants have particularly unique stem cell populations that are maintained throughout their lifespan at the tips of both the shoots and roots. Proper spatial and temporal regulation of gene expression by mobile proteins is essential for maintaining these stem cell populations. Here we show that in the shoot, the mobile stem cell promoting factor WUSCHEL is stabilized at the protein level by the plant hormone cytokinin. This stabilization occurs in a tightly restricted spatial context, and movement of WUSCHEL outside of this region results in WUSCHEL instability that leads to its degradation. The specific regions on the WUSCHEL protein that respond to the cytokinin signaling are the same regions that are essential for both proper WUSCHEL localization in the nucleus and regulation of its target genes. This spatially specific response to cytokinin results in differential accumulation of WUSCHEL in space, and reveals an intrinsic link between protein stability and the regulation of target genes to maintain a stable population of stem cells.

Introduction

Plant meristem development depends largely on positional information. Determining how cells interpret positional cues to regulate gene expression is central to cell fate specification during pattern formation. The concentration of transcription factors (TFs) in developmental fields have been shown to provide positional information in gene expression and cell fate specification in animal systems [1, 2, 3]. The concentration-dependent transcriptional regulation is not well-studied in plants. Recently, the homeodomain TF-WUSCHEL (WUS) has been shown to regulate stem cell gene expression in a concentration-dependent manner. Stem cells located in the central zone (CZ) of the shoot apical meristems (SAMs) of plants sustain the growth and development of all aboveground plant parts [4]. A subset of stem cell daughters that divide relatively infrequently remains in the CZ [5, 6, 7]. The other stem cell daughters that are displaced into the adjacent peripheral zone (PZ) divide rapidly and differentiate as lateral organs, while those that are displaced basally into the rib meristem (RM) differentiate as pith cells and become part of the stem [4]. WUS expressed in the RM is essential for the maintenance of stem cells in the overlying CZ [8, 9, 10]. WUS protein has been shown to migrate into adjacent cells [11], likely through plasmodesmata [12] where it accumulates at a lower level than in the RM. WUS promotes stem cell fate in the CZ, regulates cell division patterns, and also activates CLV3 [9, 13, 14]. CLV3 is a secreted peptide that activates a receptor kinase pathway to restrict WUS transcription [15–18]. A recent study has shown that WUS binds the same cis-elements to activate and repress CLV3 at lower and higher levels, respectively [13]. The concentration-dependent transcriptional regulation has been shown to control CLV3 levels and spatial accumulation. Thus the differential accumulation of WUS in the RM and in the adjacent CZ is critical for regulating CLV3, which in turn impacts WUS transcript levels and the overall size of the SAM [19].

Rodriguez et al. [20] have shown that several interdependent processes involving DNA dependent homodimerization, nuclear-cytoplasmic partitioning, and protein destabilization...
contribute to higher WUS accumulation in the RM than in the adjacent cells. A specific amino acid residue in the DNA binding homeodomain (referred to as HOD1) is required for both DNA binding and homodimerization. However, HOD1 is not sufficient for full homodimerization, as a second part of the protein (aa134-aa208) also mediates homodimerization (referred to as HOD2). The mHOD1 (single amino acid missense mutation G77E) and ΔHOD2 (deletion of amino acids 134–208) double mutants of WUS have been shown to have higher diffusivity, which implicates DNA binding and homodimerization in the spatial accumulation of WUS. Also, the last 63 amino acid stretch of WUS that contains the acidic region, the WUS-box and the EAR-like domain has been shown to be sufficient for differential accumulation of WUS in the RM and the CZ [20].

A combined analysis of the point mutations in single and double mutants of the WUS-box and the EAR-like domain suggested that the EAR-like domain functions as a nuclear export signal, while the WUS-box functions as a nuclear retention signal, which implicates the nuclear-cytoplasmic partitioning dynamics in the regulation of nuclear concentration [20]. Moreover, ectopic overexpression of the wild type WUS in the CZ resulted in lower WUS protein accumulation [13]. On the contrary, the ectopic overexpression of WUS carrying a potent nuclear localization signal accumulated stably in cells of the CZ, showing that nuclear enrichment leads to WUS protein stability [13]. These studies suggest that higher WUS levels leads to its destabilization, until it reaches a saturating concentration where it becomes stable. Furthermore, a conditional nuclear translocation of WUS using the Dexamethasone (Dex) inducible form of WUS led to low or undetectable amount of the protein within 24 hours of Dex treatment in the CZ cells [20]. In contrast, the cells in deeper cell layers of the RM and the PZ accumulated WUS protein, suggesting that these cells may contain signals that can protect WUS from destabilizing signal/s. Therefore, positional signals and mechanisms that regulate differential accumulation of WUS in SAMs requires further investigation.

The higher WUS accumulation in the RM could simply be due to the synthesis of the protein in these cells [9, 11]. Earlier studies have shown that the exogenous application of cytokinin can induce WUS promoter activity in the RM [21, 22]. Recent studies have shown that the type-B ARRs that function downstream of the cytokinin receptor system bind the WUS promoter and activate transcription [23]. The synthetic cytokinin sensing promoter-pTCSn is active in cells of the RM while its activity is excluded from the L1 and the L2 layers [24, 25], which is explained based on the localized expression of cytokinin receptors in the RM [26]. The higher cytokinin signaling could be established by the WUS-mediated repression of type A ARABIDOPSIS RESPONSE REGULATORS (ARRs), negative regulators of cytokinin signaling [27]. Therefore, synthesis of WUS in the RM, promoted by the cytokinin signaling, may lead to higher WUS protein accumulation. However, as shown by the ectopic overexpression experiments explained in the earlier section, the higher synthesis alone would not lead to a uniformly higher protein accumulation. This suggests that additional levels of post-transcriptional regulation mediated by the positional signals could be important.

Here we present evidence that the RM-localized cytokinin signaling is necessary for promoting stability of the WUS protein, and acts on the acidic domain and the WUS-box transcriptional regulatory domains to stabilize the protein. We show that the Dex inducible form of the transcriptionally inactive, WUS-box mutant form of WUS fails to destabilize itself despite nuclear translocation, providing evidence that the WUS-box functions as a degron sequence. We also demonstrate that cytokinin can negate destabilization of WUS. The work presented here enriches the prevailing paradigm by providing a framework which involves a sensitive role for cytokinin signaling in promoting WUS protein stability in addition to its expression. The multifaceted WUS-box required for nuclear retention, cytokinin sensing, degradation and transcription acts as a common link that differentially interprets spatial cues to
regulate the differential WUS accumulation required for concentration-dependent regulation of CLV3 transcription.

Results

Exogenous application of cytokinin leads to higher WUS protein accumulation

We tested the effect of exogenous application of 10 μM 6-benzylaminopurine (6-BAP), which is sufficient to activate transcription of a known cytokinin responsive gene, the type A ARABIDOPSIS RESPONSE REGULATOR 5 (ARR5) [22], on the accumulation of WUS protein. Mock-treated pWUS::eGFP-WUS plants revealed pWUS::eGFP-WUS fluorescence primarily in the nuclei of the apical L3 and basal L3 cells of the SAM, and low levels of accumulation in the L1 and the L2 layers and also in the pith (Fig 1A). Upon 6 hrs of 6-BAP treatment, the pWUS::eGFP-WUS accumulation remained mostly nuclear and extended into the most basally located L3 cells and into the underlying pith (Fig 1B) (n = 8). By 12 hrs of 6-BAP treatment, pWUS::eGFP-WUS nuclear accumulation continued in the basal L3 layers and the pith, along with an increased accumulation in the L2 and the apical L3 layers (Fig 1C) (n = 5). By 24 hrs of 6-BAP treatment, the cells in the basal L3 layer and the pith also showed increased accumulation of the protein, with some accumulation appearing outside of the nuclei (Fig 1D and 1L) (n = 7). The cells located in L2 layer revealed a minimal increase in pWUS::eGFP-WUS accumulation even starting at 6 hrs, yet cells in the L1 layer did not show an increase in pWUS::eGFP-WUS accumulation until 24 hrs of 6-BAP treatment when a small increase was seen. The exposure of the pWUS::dsRed-N7 transcriptional reporter to 10 μM 6-BAP did not reveal a dramatic change in the number of WUS expressing cells (Fig 1I–1K) (n = 7), which is consistent with an earlier study showing that cytokinin at concentrations within the physiological range did not induce WUS transcription [22]. Taken together, these observations reveal that exogenous application of cytokinin resulted in higher WUS protein accumulation without a detectable increase in WUS transcript levels.

An increase in the number of cells that accumulated higher WUS protein in the apical L3, the basal L3, and the pith cells when compared to the cells in the L1 and the L2 layers could be due to the increased cytokinin responsiveness of the deeper cell layers. To determine the relationship between cytokinin responsiveness and the WUS protein accumulation, we analyzed the spatial activation patterns of the synthetic cytokinin responsive promoter-pTCSn::mGFP-ER at various times after 10 μM 6-BAP treatment. The pTCSn::mGFP-ER expression in Mock-treated plants was restricted to the apical L3 and the basal L3 cells (Fig 1E) as also shown in an earlier study [25]. At 6 hrs of 6-BAP treatment a dramatic increase in pTCSn::mGFP-ER reporter activity in the basal L3, along with a slight increase in reporter activity was observed in the apical L3 layers, the underlying pith cells, and the vasculature tissue (Fig 1F) (n = 5). Continued 6-BAP treatment for 12 hrs (Fig 1G) (n = 4) and 24 hrs (Fig 1H) (n = 14) resulted in a dramatic increase in pTCSn::mGFP-ER reporter activity in all cells except in the centrally located L1 layer cells, while a few centrally located L2 layer cells activated the reporter weakly. In summary, a higher WUS protein accumulation was observed in cells that revealed a maximal response to cytokinin treatment.

Cytokinin receptor mutants accumulate WUS at much lower levels in inner cell layers which also activate CLV3 at higher levels

To test the requirement of the cytokinin signaling in WUS protein accumulation, we examined the spatial patterns of WUS transcription, WUS transcript levels, and WUS protein distribution...
in the cytokinin triple receptor mutant line, cre1-12;ahk2-2;ahk3-3, that has been shown to eliminate cytokinin signaling [28]. RNA in situ hybridization by using the antisense WUS probe revealed normal expression of WUS in deeper cell layers of both Ler and the smaller sized cre1-12;ahk2-2;ahk3-3 SAMs (Fig 2A and 2B) (n = 5). The number of WUS expressing cells were not significantly different in much smaller sized receptor mutant SAMs (Fig 2C).

Moreover, the semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis did not reveal a striking change in WUS transcript levels despite a dramatic downregulation of CRE1, AHK2, and AHK3 transcript levels in cre1-12;ahk2-2;ahk3-3 mutant line (Fig 2I). To study the effect of cytokinin signaling on WUS protein levels, we introduced the pWUS::eGFP-WUS described in an earlier study [20].

Fig 1. Exogenous application of cytokinin leads to higher WUS protein accumulation which corresponds with the spatial pattern of induction of cytokinin response. Side views of SAMs showing WUS protein accumulation in 9 day old Mock-treated (A) and 6-BAP treated Ler plants for 6 hrs (B), 12 hrs (C), and 24 hrs (D). pTCSn::mGFP5-ER reporter expression in 9 day old Mock-treated (E) and 6-BAP treated Ler plants for 6 hrs (F), 12 hrs (G), and 24 hrs (H). The SAMs showing the expression of pWUS::dsRed-N7 transcriptional reporter which accumulates mostly in the apical L3 and basal L3 layers upon Mock (I) and 6-BAP treatment for 24 hrs (J). A slight lateral expansion of WUS expression domain by 1–2 cell layers has been observed upon 6-BAP treatment for 24 hrs (J). The number of WUS positive cells in different SAM layers as measured by the expression of transcriptional reporter (pWUS::dsRed-N7) upon Mock and 6-BAP treatment for 24 hrs (K) (n = 12) is compared to the number of cells that accumulate WUS protein in different SAM layers as measured by the translational reporter (pWUS::eGFP-WUS) upon Mock and 6-BAP treatment for 24 hrs (n = 7) (L). Error bars for (K-L) represent standard error. The cell layers in SAMs are marked; the L1 and the L2 are monolayers. The multilayer L3 has been divided into the apical L3 layer and the basal L3 layers. The pith is located beneath the basal L3 layers. Insets for each image show the areas identified by black arrowheads at 4x zoom and white arrowheads show the boundaries of the reporter accumulation. Autofluorescence is denoted by grey arrowheads and is characterized by multiple foci in a single cell. eGFP and mGFP-ER (green) are overlaid on FM4-64 (red) plasma membrane stain in (A-H). The dsRed-N7 (red) is overlaid on DIC images (I-J). The scale bars = 50 μm for all images.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007351.g001
into the cre1-12;ahk2-2;ahk3-3 mutant line. In most plants, the pWUS::eGFP-WUS accumulation was undetectable (Fig 2E) (n = 18), except in images acquired at higher detector gain which revealed protein accumulation in 2–3 centrally located cells in the L2 and the apical L3 cell layers (Fig 2F) (n = 7). Taken together, this analysis reveals that cytokinin signaling is required for maintaining WUS protein levels.

In order to understand the significance of the decreased WUS accumulation in cre1-12;ahk2-2;ahk3-3 mutants, we analyzed the expression pattern of CLV3, a direct transcriptional target of WUS [13]. The wild type CLV3 expression is detected in a higher number of cells in the L1 layer when compared to the L2 and L3 layers (Fig 2G). The cytokinin receptor mutants revealed a much smaller CLV3 expression domain with strongest expression detected in the two centrally located cells in the L2 layer (Fig 2H) (n = 6). A relatively higher CLV3 expression in sub-epidermal cells could be due to the lower WUS detected in these cells showing the
requirement of higher WUS to repress CLV3 in inner layers. A relatively weaker CLV3 expression in the L1 layer could be due to the limitation of WUS. These results are consistent with the WUS concentration-dependent regulation of CLV3 and cytokinin as a spatial WUS stabilizing signal contributing to the spatial regulation of CLV3.

**Induction of cytokinin response in outer cell layers increases WUS protein accumulation**

The results presented in previous sections suggest that higher cytokinin response in the apical L3 and the basal L3 cells may promote WUS protein stability. The WUS protein that migrates into the overlying L1 and L2 cells, and into the underlying pith cells that all exhibit limited cytokinin response may become unstable. The exogenous application of cytokinin was unable to induce higher levels of cytokinin response in the L1 and the L2 layers, which correlates with lower levels of WUS protein accumulation (Fig 1A–1D). To test whether activation of the cytokinin signaling was sufficient to stabilize the protein in the L1 and the L2 layers, we ectopically expressed a type B ARABIDOPSIS RESPONSE REGULATOR 1 (ARR1), a TF which activates downstream targets upon phosphorylation by the cytokinin signaling pathway. The deletion of the phospho-transceiver domain (ΔDDK) has been shown to activate cytokinin signaling constitutively even in the absence of cytokinin, and the fusion of ARR1ΔDDK to the hormone binding domain of the rat glucocorticoid receptor (GR) has been shown to induce cytokinin response upon Dex treatment [29, 30]. We expressed ARR1ΔDDK-GR in the CZ by using the two-component system consisting of the pCLV3::LhG4 driver together with p6xOP::ARR1ΔDDK-GR. To test the ability of this system in inducing ectopic cytokinin signaling, we monitored pTCSn::mGFP5-ER expression at 6 hrs, 12 hrs, 24 hrs, and 48 hrs after Dex treatment. The pTCSn::mGFP5-ER expression after 6 hrs of Dex treatment was elevated in the RM and a few cells in the PZ of the L1 layer (Fig 3B) (n = 6) compared to Mock-treated (Fig 3A) plants. By 12 hrs of Dex treatment, the L1 layer expression expanded inwards towards the CZ, and also into the basal L3 cells and the pith but at slightly reduced levels (Fig 3C) (n = 9). The L2 layer showed weakest response appeared to be the least sensitive to ARR1ΔDDK-GR induction along with the L1 cells in the CZ. At 24 hrs after Dex treatment, the pTCSn::mGFP5-ER expression was observed in all cell layers of SAMs, which was accompanied by an overall increase in SAM size (Fig 3D) (n = 5). The pTCSn::mGFP5-ER expression at 48 hrs after Dex treatment intensified further along with the increase in SAM size (Fig 3E) (n = 4). These observations show that expression of ARR1ΔDDK-GR in the CZ can induce cytokinin signaling. The spatial expression of CLV3 promoter was restricted to the CZ across all time points after ARR1ΔDDK-GR induction (Fig 3K–3O) suggesting that the staggered centripetal pattern of induction of cytokinin response in the CZ and in the basal L3 layers is not due to the misexpression of the CLV3 promoter but it is a non-cell autonomous effect.

Next, we monitored the fate of the pWUS::eGFP-WUS protein, and after 6 hrs of Dex treatment pWUS::eGFP-WUS levels increased in all layers of the SAM, including the L1 and the L2 layers (Fig 3G and S1A Fig) (n = 3). At 12 and 24 hrs after Dex treatment, pWUS::eGFP-WUS continued to accumulate at higher levels in the L2 and L3 layers, the basal L3 layers, and the pith, with a slight decrease in the L1 layer (Fig 3H and S1A Fig) (n = 6). Finally, at 48 hrs after Dex treatment, WUS protein was maintained at higher level in the L2 and the apical L3 layers while a decrease in protein level was observed in the L1 layer and in few cells in the pith (Fig 3J; S1A Fig) (n = 5). The analysis of WUS transcript pattern at 48 hrs of Dex treatment revealed an expanded WUS expression domain in deeper cell layers but the WUS transcripts were largely absent from the L1 and the L2 cell layers (S1B and S1C Fig) (n = 2). These results show that increase in WUS protein accumulation is not due to the de novo WUS transcription in the
Fig 3. The ectopic activation of cytokinin signaling leads to higher WUS protein accumulation in SAMs, including the outer cell layers. The SAM images of nine day old pCLV3::LhG4, p6xOP::ARRIADDDK-GR seedling showing pTCSn::mGFP5-ER reporter expression (A-E), WUS protein (pWUS::eGFP-WUS) accumulation (F-J), and CLV3 expression (pCLV3::mGFP5-ER) (K-O) in Mock-treated plants (A, F, K) and at 6 hrs (B, G, L), 12 hrs (C, H, M), 24 hrs (D, I, N), and 48hrs (E, J, O) after Dex treatment. The cell layers in SAMs are marked; the L1 and the L2 are...
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L1 and the L2 layers and also suggest that the ARRI1-mediated activation of WUS transcription requires rib meristem context. The higher WUS accumulation in the L1 and the L2 layers could be due to the higher mobility of the protein from inner layers. However, higher WUS protein accumulation in the inner layers upon 6-BAP treatment was not sufficient for higher WUS accumulation in the L1 and the L2 layers. Taken together, these results show that ectopic induction of cytokinin response in the L1 and the L2 layers was sufficient to partially stabilize WUS.

Cytokinin can offset destabilization of WUS observed upon ectopic overexpression

Since the spatial accumulation of WUS involves several interconnected processes: nuclear-cytoplasmic partitioning, its intercellular movement, and stability, a steady state analysis alone is not sufficient to implicate cytokinin in the regulation of protein stability. Moreover, since cytokinin has been shown to increase WUS transcription [22], an unambiguous argument about cytokinin involvement in regulating WUS protein stability requires transient analysis by using WUS expressed from a heterologous promoter not regulated by cytokinin. Our earlier study has shown that the Dex inducible form of ubiquitously expressed -p35S::eGFP-WUS-GR, resulted in sequential destabilization, starting from the CZ within 6 hrs of Dex treatment and extending into the lateral edge of the PZ and the inner cell layers of the RM within 24 hrs of Dex treatment [20]. To test whether cytokinin can counter the instability, we co-treated wild type p35S::eGFP-WUS-GR seedlings with both 10 μM Dex and 10 μM 6-BAP. The 24 hrs treatment of p35S::eGFP-WUS-GR seedlings with 6-BAP alone resulted in an increase in fluorescence levels in the cytoplasm, especially in deeper cell layers (Fig 4C) (n = 5) when compared to the Mock-treated controls (Fig 4A) which is consistent with the higher activation of cytokinin response in these cells (Fig 1E–1H). The simultaneous treatment with both 10 μM Dex and 10 μM 6-BAP resulted in protein accumulation in the nuclei of all cells, including those that are located in the central part of the SAM (Fig 4D) (n = 11) when compared to the Dex treatment alone (Fig 4B) (n = 5). Though the protein accumulated at a relatively lower level in central part of the SAM when compared to the neighboring cells (Fig 4D). Taken together, these experiments show that cytokinin was able to prevent destabilization of WUS observed upon ectopic overexpression, revealing that cytokinin signaling likely acts directly on the WUS protein. Earlier studies have shown that higher levels of cytokinin can induce WUS transcription [21–24] gradually over several days [31]. It is possible that a higher threshold of cytokinin signaling and acquisition of cellular competence together induce WUS transcription. A relatively insensitive mechanism of cytokinin that induces WUS transcription could provide a long-term control of WUS expression, while the highly sensitive effect of stabilizing the WUS protein could enable rapid response over a shorter timescale.

The transcriptional regulatory domains-acidic domain and WUS-box respond to cytokinin

To further test whether cytokinin directly acts on the WUS protein, we utilized the series of mutant WUS proteins developed in an earlier study [20] (Fig 5M). The N-terminal DNA
Cytokinin can offset ectopic WUS overexpression induced instability, which depends on the degron-like function of the WUS-box but does not require transcriptional activity of WUS. The SAMs showing the accumulation of ubiquitously expressed wild type (p35S:eGFP-WUS-GR), WUS-box mutant (p35S:eGFP-WUS (WBM)-GR), EAR-like domain mutant (p35S:eGFP-WUS (EARLM)-GR), double mutants of WBM mutant with the EARLM domain mutant (p35S:eGFP-WUS (WBM+EARLM)-GR), and double mutants of mHOD1 and ΔHOD2 (p35S:eGFP-WUS (mHOD1+ΔHOD2)-GR) versions in Mock-treated (A, E, I, M, Q), Dex-treated for 24 hrs (B, F, J, N, R), 6-BAP-treated for 24 hrs (C, G, K, O, S), and combined Dex+6-BAP treated for 24 hrs (D, H, L, P, T) plants. 6-BAP treatment alone led to increase in cytoplasmically-localized WUS in wild type (C), EARLM (K), and the double mutants of mHOD1 and ΔHOD2 (S) while the WBM (G) and the double mutants of WBM and EARLM (O) did not reveal a striking increase in protein levels. Dex-treatment alone led to dramatically lower levels of protein accumulation in cells located in the SAM (shown by the black arrowhead) in comparison to cells located in the developing leaves and the pith (yellow arrowheads) in wild type (B) and the double mutants of mHOD1 and ΔHOD2 (R) while the combined Dex and 6-BAP treatment improved protein accumulation both in wild type (D) and the double mutants of mHOD1 and ΔHOD2 (T). The Dex treatment of the WBM (F), EARLM (I) and the double mutants of WBM and EARLM (N) led to stable nuclear accumulation, though the WBM (F) did not show tight nuclear localization seen with the EARLM (I) and the WBM plus EARLM double mutants (N). The combined Dex and 6-BAP treatment (H, L, P) did not reveal a striking difference from that of the Dex alone treatment (F, J, N) in all three WUS forms.
binding homeodomain also required for homodimerization (HOD1) together with the centrally located 74-aa stretch (amino acids 134–208) homodimerization domain (HOD2) restrict WUS protein diffusivity (Fig 5M) [20]. Additionally, the 63-aa stretch (amino acids 229–292) located at the C-terminus of the protein is sufficient for differential nuclear accumulation of WUS, as it influences nuclear–cytoplasmic partitioning and protein stability [20]. Therefore, we tested the responsiveness of the last 63 amino acid stretch of \( p\,\text{WUS:}:e\text{GFP-WUS} \) (amino acids 229–292) (Fig 5B) to exogenous 6-BAP application. The 24 hrs of 6-BAP treatment led to a much broader accumulation of the truncated eGFP-WUS (amino acids 229–292) (Fig 5H, 5O and 5U) \((n = 7)\), than the full length eGFP-WUS (Fig 5G, 5N and 5T) showing that this part of WUS contains signals for sensing cytokinin. The spread of the truncated eGFP-WUS (amino acids 229–292) further into the PZ and developing leaves is likely due to the increased mobility of this smaller and non-dimerizable form of the WUS protein [20].

To fine map the cytokinin sensing region, we tested the response of the mutant versions of the acidic domain, the WUS-box, and the EAR-like domain that were expressed from the WUS promoter [20]. A previous study has shown that deletion of the acidic domain destabilizes the protein as the mutant protein accumulated only in very few cells in the L3 layer, which could be due to the large-scale effects on the protein structure [20]. Therefore, we generated the \( p\,\text{WUS:}:e\text{GFP-WUS (ADM)} \) construct by introducing point mutations in the acidic domain that previously have been shown to affect transcriptional activity [32]. The acidic domain mutant form of WUS accumulated at very low levels in very few cells (5–6 cells) located in the L3 layer (Fig 5C, 5P and 5V) \((n = 5)\). Exogenous application of 6-BAP to the \( p\,\text{WUS:}:e\text{GFP-WUS (ADM)} \) plants failed to induce the protein accumulation in the apical L3, the basal L3 and the pith cells, showing that the acidic domain is required for cytokinin sensing (Fig 5I, 5P and 5V) \((n = 9)\). The WUS-box (\( p\,\text{WUS:}:e\text{GFP-WUS (WBM)} \)) mutant version which has been shown to result in dramatic non-nuclear accumulation [20], also responded poorly to 6-BAP application and therefore revealed its essential function in cytokinin sensing (Fig 5D, 5J, 5Q and 5W) \((n = 8)\). In contrast, the 6-BAP application was able to induce the accumulation of the EAR-like domain mutant version of WUS (\( p\,\text{WUS:}:e\text{GFP-WUS (EARLM)} \)) in the basal L3 and the pith cells (Fig 5E, 5K, 5R and 5X) \((n = 4)\). Variable accumulation of the \( p\,\text{WUS:}:e\text{GFP-WUS (EARLM)} \) mutant version in different lines also suggests that these lines may have accumulated sub-threshold levels of WUS, leading to various degrees of instability (S2A–S2F Fig). The double mutant of the WUS-box and the EAR-like domain (\( p\,\text{WUS:}:e\text{GFP-WUS (WBM+EARLM)} \)) accumulated stably in the nuclei of cells in the RM and the CZ (Fig 5F), but failed to respond robustly to 6-BAP application, again confirming the requirement of the WUS-box for sensing cytokinin (Fig 5L, 5S and 5Y) \((n = 8)\). Collectively, these results show that the acidic domain and the WUS-box are essential for sensing cytokinin signaling.

**Degradation in cytokinin deficient region requires the WUSCHEL-box but not transcriptional activity**

Our previous analysis showed that the last 63-aa stretch of WUS contains destabilizing signals [20]. The acidic domain functions as one of the cytokinin sensors along with the WUS-box. The EAR-like domain functions in nuclear export [20]. However, the EAR-like domain mutant lines showed various degrees of instability (S2A–S2F Fig) [20] when compared to the EAR-like domain and WUS-box double mutant which accumulated stably. The
Fig 5. Cytokinin signaling acts on the transcriptional regulatory domains to stabilize WUS. Accumulation of various mutant forms of the WUS protein, expressed from the WUS promoter, 24 hrs after Mock (A-F) and 6-BAP treatment (G-L).

A) pWUS::eGFP-WUS (Mock) 24 hrs
B) pWUS::eGFP-WUS (229-292) (Mock) 24 hrs
C) pWUS::eGFP-WUS (ADM) (Mock) 24 hrs
D) pWUS::eGFP-WUS (WBM) (Mock) 24 hrs
E) pWUS::eGFP-WUS (EARLM) (Mock) 24 hrs
F) pWUS::eGFP-WUS (WBM + EARLM) (Mock) 24 hrs
G) pWUS::eGFP-WUS (6-BAP) (Mock) 24 hrs
H) pWUS::eGFP-WUS (229-292) (6-BAP) 24 hrs
I) pWUS::eGFP-WUS (ADM) (6-BAP) 24 hrs
J) pWUS::eGFP-WUS (WBM) (6-BAP) 24 hrs
K) pWUS::eGFP-WUS (EARLM) (6-BAP) 24 hrs
L) pWUS::eGFP-WUS (WBM + EARLM) (6-BAP) 24 hrs
WUS-box mutant expressed from the WUS promoter accumulated uniformly in cells located both within and outside the RM, suggesting that the WUS-box may be required for destabilizing WUS in cells outside the RM [20]. However, it is also possible that non-nuclear accumulation could have improved stability. In addition, since the WUS-box is also required for transcriptional activity, the loss of transcriptional function might have led to improved stability. To address these issues, we carried out a transient analysis of the wild type and mutant versions of the WUS protein by using a Dex-inducible system.

We expressed the wild type, the WUS-box (WBM), the EAR-like domain (EARLM), and the double mutant (WBM+EARLM) forms of WUS as glucocorticoid receptor (GR) fusions from the ubiquitous (p35S) promoter. As shown in earlier study [20], the wild type-p35S:eGFP-WUS-GR, failed to accumulate in the CZ after 24 hrs of Dex treatment, however it did accumulate in the nuclei of the basal L3 cells, the pith, the PZ, and the leaves (Fig 4B) (n = 5). The EAR-like domain mutant p35:eGFP-WUS (EARLM)-GR (Fig 4I and 4J) (n = 16) accumulated in nuclei throughout the SAMs upon 24 hrs of Dex treatment in a manner consistent with its role in nuclear export. The WUS-box mutant p35:eGFP-WUS (WBM)-GR (Fig 4E and 4F) (n = 11), and the WBM and EARLM double mutant p35:eGFP-WUS (WBM+EARLM)-GR (Fig 4M and 4N) (n = 18) also accumulated uniformly in SAMs upon 24 hrs of Dex treatment. A relatively higher nuclear accumulation of Dex-treated WBM when expressed from the ubiquitous promoter (Fig 4F) as opposed to the non-nuclear accumulation observed when expressed from the WUS promoter [20] suggests that the nuclear export machinery may be limiting. Though these results show that both the EAR-like domain and the WUS-box are required for destabilization, the instability associated with the EAR-like mutants expressed from the WUS promoter suggests that it may not function directly in destabilization [20]. The stable accumulation of the WBM suggests either that it could function as a degron, or that the transcriptional activity provided by the WUS-box is necessary for destabilization.

To test whether transcriptional activity is required for destabilization, we generated an alternative transcriptionally dead version of WUS that leaves the wild type WUS-box intact. An earlier study has shown that the homodimerization deficient mHOD1 (single amino acid missense mutation G77E) and ΔHOD2 (deletion of amino acids 134–208) double mutant version fails to rescue wus null mutant phenotype (Fig 6D) [20]. The 24 hrs of Dex treatment of p35:eGFP-WUS (mHOD1+ΔHOD2)-GR (Fig 4Q and 4R) (n = 10) resulted in lower protein accumulation as seen with the wild type protein (Fig 4B), though the monomeric WUS accumulated at much lower levels especially in differentiating leaves (Fig 4R). Taken together, these results show that transcriptional activity/function of WUS is not required for its destabilization, therefore the stability observed with the WUS-box mutant version suggests that the wild type WUS-box could function as a degron in addition to its role in transcriptional activity. An earlier study has shown that the WUS-box mutant version of WUS accumulated stably when misexpressed in the L1 layer [13]. Our misexpression of the wild type WUS in the L1 layer (pATML1:eGFP-WUS) (n = 44) resulted in lack of visible protein accumulation, or in very few cases lower levels of non-nuclear accumulation (n = 6) (S4A–S4C Fig). This observation is consistent with the stable nuclear accumulation of the GR fusion form of WUS-box mutant.
version in outer cell layers supporting a role for the WUS-box in nuclear degradation in cells outside the RM that lack cytokinin signaling.

**Cytokinin can stabilize WUS irrespective of the sub-cellular distribution, DNA binding and oligomeric status**

Cytokinin sensing through the WUS-box, which is also required for nuclear retention, might occur in the nucleus or through stabilization of WUS in the cytoplasm leading to higher nuclear import. To distinguish between these possibilities, we tested the responsiveness of the cytoplasmically-sequestered GR-fused forms of WUS to cytokinin. The 6-BAP application stabilized the mHOD1 and ΔHOD2 domain double mutant p35::eGFP-WUS (mHOD1+ΔHOD2) and...
GR (Fig 4S) (n = 11) and the EARLM p35:eGFP-WUS (EARLM)-GR (Fig 4K) in the cytoplasm similar to the wild type WUS p35:eGFP-WUS-GR (Fig 4C). The WBM p35:eGFP-WUS (WBM)-GR (Fig 4G) failed to respond as robustly as the EARLM p35:eGFP-WUS (EARLM)-GR (Fig 4K) which is consistent with the WUS-box being one of the cytokinin sensors (Fig 5). The cytokinin induced increase of WUS in the cytoplasm was also observed with the last 63 amino acid stretch of the WUS (Fig 5H). Similar response patterns of the GR fused and the GR independent versions rules out the possibility the observed effects are artifacts of GR-mediated retention of the WUS in the cytoplasm. In addition, the use of heterologous p35 promoter and the already translated GR-fused forms reveals that the cytokinin-induced WUS protein stability is a post-translational effect. These results show that the cytokinin can stabilize WUS protein in the cytoplasm irrespective of its oligomeric status or DNA binding, which could lead to a higher WUS pool available for nuclear import or diffusion into adjacent cells.

Next, we tested the combined effects of Dex and cytokinin treatments on the monomeric and other WUS mutant forms. The 24hr Dex treatment of the monomeric WUS variant p35:eGFP-WUS (mHOD1+ΔHOD2)-GR (Fig 4R) as shown in the previous section resulted in low protein accumulation similar to that of the wild type in the SAM, except in the pith and leaves where it accumulated at lower levels than the wild type protein (Fig 4B). The 24hr Dex plus 6-BAP treatments (Fig 4T) (n = 6) led to improved protein accumulation of the monomeric WUS, which was readily noticeable in inner cell layers, though the overall protein accumulation was lower and less nuclear than the wild type protein (Fig 4D). These results show that cytokinin can offset instability associated with the monomeric WUS, however, the DNA binding and homodimerization are required for higher nuclear accumulation. The combined 24hr treatments of 10 μM Dex and 10 μM 6-BAP did not result in a dramatic change in WUS forms that accumulated stably in the nucleus after Dex treatment alone, including p35:eGFP-WUS (EARLM)-GR (Fig 4L) (n = 18), p35:eGFP-WUS (WBM)-GR (Fig 4H) (n = 13), and p35:eGFP-WUS (WBM+EARLM)-GR (Fig 4P) (n = 3). These results suggest that the cytokinin signaling is largely responsible for stabilizing WUS until it reaches a level where it can independently remain stable.

A functional WUS is required for stable WUS protein accumulation

Analyses presented in previous sections suggest that WUS can self stabilize at higher levels promoted either by cytokinin signaling or through higher nuclear import as shown in an earlier study [13]. To directly test whether transcriptional activity/function of WUS is required for self-stabilization, we introduced the transcriptionally dead-HOD1 and HOD2 double mutant form of WUS pWUS::eGFP-WUS (mHOD1+ΔHOD2) into wus-1, a null mutant of WUS. The mutant form of WUS was barely detectable in the wus mutant background (Fig 6D) when compared to the normal accumulation observed in the wild type background (Fig 6A). Earlier studies have shown that WUS promoter is active in wus-1 mutant background [33] and the WUS promoter used in this study has been shown to rescue wus null mutant phenotype [11], which rules out lack of WUS transcription as the cause for lower WUS protein accumulation. The 6 hrs (Fig 6E) (n = 7) and 24 hrs (Fig 6F) (n = 7) treatments of 6-BAP were only able to minimally improve accumulation of the HOD1 and HOD2 double mutant form of WUS in the wus-1 mutant background when compared to the 6 hrs (Fig 6B) (n = 3) and 24 hrs (Fig 6C) (n = 3) treatments of cytokinin in the wild type background, showing that functional WUS is required for higher protein accumulation and also for the cytokinin-mediated stabilizing effect. However, the inability of the HOD1 and HOD2 double mutant to respond maximally to cytokinin in wus-1 mutant background could also be due to a decrease in cytokinin responsiveness of the wus mutants. To test this possibility, we analyzed the cytokinin response
patterns in \textit{wus-1} by using the cytokinin sensor \textit{pTCSn::mGFP5-ER}. The \textit{pTCSn} expression was observed in deeper cell layers of \textit{wus-1} mutants (Fig 6G), a pattern that was comparable to that of the wild type (Fig 1E). The application of 6-BAP to \textit{wus-1} mutants was able to fully induce the expression of \textit{pTCSn} in the deeper cell layers, in the peripheral edges of the SAM, and in the developing leaves, yet it was excluded or expressed at extremely low levels in the L1 layer within 6 hrs (Fig 6H) \((n = 5)\) of treatment. The overall expression intensified at 24 hrs (Fig 6I) \((n = 7)\), similar to the response observed in the wild type SAM (Fig 1H) revealing that cytokinin response is maintained independently from WUS function. Together these results suggest that functional WUS is required for maintaining its own stability and that cytokinin may amplify this effect by enriching the protein in the nucleus.

**Discussion**

WUS, a critical regulator of SAM development, is synthesized in the RM where it accumulates at higher levels and migrates into neighboring cells where it accumulates at lower levels \([11]\). WUS promotes stem cell maintenance by repressing differentiation factors to maintain differentiation program at a distance from the CZ \([34]\). Similarly WUS levels must also decrease in the basal L3 layers for their timely differentiation into the pith. Therefore, a precise control of the amount and spatial distribution of WUS protein accumulation is critical which could be regulated at the levels of synthesis, mobility and stability. The \textit{WUS} has been shown to restrict its own transcription by activating the transcription of \textit{CLV3}, which encodes a secreted peptide to activate receptor kinase pathway/s \([10]\). WUS has been shown to activate and repress \textit{CLV3} transcription at lower and higher levels respectively to regulate \textit{CLV3} levels and restrict its spatial expression to the CZ \([13]\). The RM-localized cytokinin signaling has been shown to activate \textit{WUS} transcription \([22, 31]\). Our work reveals a highly sensitive role for localized cytokinin signaling, mediated by the well-characterized membrane-bound sensor histidine kinases, in stabilizing the WUS protein in the apical L3 cells to maintain spatial concentration which is required for spatial regulation of \textit{CLV3} transcription and the meristem size. Moreover, the WUS-independent control of cytokinin response pattern, the stabilizer, may provide robustness to the WUS stability control and spatial regulation.

Our work shows that the higher instability of WUS outside the RM can be offset by cytokinin, which suggests that cytokinin may counter a ubiquitously present degradation signal. Our work also shows that WUS function/transcriptional activity is required for self-stabilization. Our previous work has shown that the WUS protein can be stabilized outside the RM by increasing the nuclear import \([13]\) or by decreasing the nuclear export \([20]\) suggesting that higher levels of nuclear WUS may negate the destabilizing signal. Perhaps at higher levels WUS could act as a better transcriptional repressor, as shown in the case of \textit{CLV3} regulation \([13]\), to downregulate genes in protein destabilization pathways, act at the post-translational level to block the destabilizing signal, or simply saturate the destabilization machinery. In an attempt to explore the regulation of WUS degradation, we treated \textit{pWUS::eGFP-WUS} with proteasome inhibitor-MG132 treatment \([35]\). Within 4 hours of MG132 treatment, we observed a dramatic decrease (S5B Fig) \((n = 8)\) or complete absence of eGFP-WUS signal (S5C Fig) \((n = 12)\). This suggests that the nuclear degradation of WUS has more complex relationship with MG132 sensitive proteasome degradation pathway. It is possible that the degradation signal/factors necessary for WUS degradation are themselves sensitive to proteasomal degradation, and therefore the inhibition of proteasomal function would elevate their levels leading to a rapid WUS degradation. Future analysis aimed at identifying the degradation signal/s and the nature of the nuclear degradation pathway that destabilizes WUS may provide new insights.
In addition to cytokinin, the WUS-mediated activation of CLV3 in the outer layers (the L1 and the L2) could offset WUS destabilization. This is because WUS fails to accumulate at higher level in the outer layers of clv3 null mutants despite higher synthesis in the inner layers [13], even upon exogenous 6-BAP treatment (S3A and S3B Fig) (n = 4) which also fails to activate cytokinin response in outer layers (S3C and S3D Fig) (n = 14). Therefore, CLV3 could act as an additional signal to fine tune the WUS levels in the outer cell layers where cytokinin signaling is absent, allowing it to function as a concentration-dependent switch in regulating CLV3 levels (Fig 7A). Future work will reveal whether CLV3-mediated signaling interferes with nuclear export leading to a higher nuclear WUS and stability or offsets nuclear destabilization directly.

Cytokinin signaling in the RM/L3 layers acts on the acidic domain and the WUS-box to stabilize WUS. The WUS-box is also required for nuclear retention [20]. The nuclear retention machinery acting in concert with the cytokinin signaling may lead to a higher nuclear accumulation, which could mask the degron like activity of the WUS-box leading to the stability of WUS. Outside the RM, the limitation of cytokinin signaling would lead to a reduced nuclear retention and an increased nuclear export through the activity of the EAR-like domain [20].
A net effect of these processes could lead to sub-threshold levels of WUS, which perhaps changes the protein conformation to expose the WUS-box to the destabilization signal. However, the causal relationship between nuclear export and degradation is not clear. The nuclear export could lead to WUS degradation in the cytoplasm as shown in the case of Aryl hydrocarbon receptor, a ligand (2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD)) activated nuclear TF [35], or nuclear export could simply decrease the nuclear levels of WUS leading to the nuclear degradation.

The WUS-box is also shown to be critical for transcriptional activity of WUS [32]. Earlier studies on TFs-Aryl hydrocarbon receptor [36], Transforming Growth Factor-β activated SMAD2 [37], and Interferon-gamma activated STAT1 [38] have shown that the protein instability/higher turnover is coupled to transcriptional activation [39, 40]. Moreover, the unstable TFs in eukaryotes, and eubacteria [39] have been shown to use their transcriptional activation domains (TADs) as degrons. The differential utilization of the multifaceted WUS-box for nuclear enrichment in cytokinin rich cells and as a degron in neighboring cytokinin deficient cells provides a tighter spatial control of the regulation of local concentration of WUS and the transcriptional activation-repression switch. A recent study has suggested that WUS-box could function as a MAPkinase docking site required for generating phosphoisoforms of WUS [41]. Future work aimed at analyzing the in vivo relevance of phosphorylation may provide clues to multiple roles of the WUS-box in regulating WUS concentration and transcription.

Materials and methods

Plant growth, genotypes, and microscopy

*Arabidopsis* plant growth conditions were maintained as described in earlier studies [11]. For seedling imaging experiments, all plants were grown on ½ MS for 7–8 days and then transferred to plates or liquid ½ MS cultures containing either 10 μM 6-benzylaminopurine (6-BAP) (Acros Organics), 10 μM Dexamethasone (Dex) (Sigma), or 10 μM MG132 (Sigma) for the specified period. *clv3-2* [15], *wus-1* [8] and *cre1-12;ahk2-2;ahk3-3* [28] mutants have been described earlier. *pWUS::eGFP-WUS* [20], *pCLV3::LhG4* [14], *pTCS::mGFP5-ER* [25] and *pWUS::DsRed-N7* [24], *pCLV3::mGFP5-ER* [19] have been described in earlier studies. *pTCS::mGFP5-ER* generated in Columbia ecotype was backcrossed twice to *clv3-2* and *wus-1*, and all observations were recorded in wild type *erecta* background. To examine the WUS protein localization in cytokinin receptor mutants, the *pWUS::eGFP-WUS* line was crossed with *cre1-12;ahk2-2;ahk3-3/+* plants. The F1 plants were backcrossed twice with *cre1-12;ahk2-2;ahk3-3/+* to generate *cre1-12;ahk2-2;ahk3-3/+* line that was homozygous for *pWUS::eGFP-WUS* in wild type *erecta* background.

To create the *p6xOP::ARR1ΔDDK-GR* vector, the DNA fragment of *ARR1ΔDDK-GR* was amplified using the primer pair MX318 and MX312rGR (see S1 Table) from the *p35S::ARR1ΔDDK-GR* plasmid (a kind gift from Dr. Takashi Aoyama, Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University), and cloned into the pENTR/D-TOPO vector. After confirming the sequence fidelity, the *ARR1ΔDDK-GR* fragment was inserted into *pMX6xOP::GW* (or *6xOP pzp222*) vector by the LR reaction and introduced into Landsberg *erecta* (Ler) background. They were crossed to the *pCLV3::LhG4* driver line to generate homozygous plants. The PCR primers used for genotyping have been listed in S1 Table. The transgenic lines carrying the WUS mutant versions—the WUS-box (WBM), the EAR-like domain (EARLM) have been described in an earlier study [20]. The acidic domain mutant version is created by PCR mutagenesis by using the primers listed in S1 Table.
RNA in situ hybridization

The seedlings of appropriate genotypes were grown for 7–8 days on ½ MS plates and transferred to Mock or 10 μM Dex plates for a specified period as necessary. Tissue collection, fixing, sectioning, and probe detection were performed mostly as described earlier [19, 42, 43], with the following modifications: no salt was included in the ethanol dehydration series, and the RNase digestion step was not performed. Full length WUS cDNA was PCR amplified and cloned into pGEM T-easy (Promega) for probe synthesis using purified plasmids. RNA probe was generated with T7 RNA polymerase (Promega), labeled with dioxygenin-rUTP (Roche). Following hybridization, the probe was immuno-blotted with anti-DIG AB (Roche) and developed with Western Blue alkaline phosphatase (Promega).

RT-PCR

For semi-quantitative RT-PCR experiments, three replicates each of seedling tissue from 9 plants were ground in liquid nitrogen. RNA was extracted according to the GeneJet Plant RNA Purification kit (Thermo Scientific). cDNA synthesis was performed using the Thermoscript RT-PCR System (Thermo Scientific). PCR fragments were amplified by employing 35 cycles (WUS), 35 cycles (CRE1), 35 cycles (AHK2), 30 and 35 cycles (AHK3) and 22 cycles (UBQ10). The primers used for amplification are listed in S1 Table.

Tissue preparation and confocal microscopy

Seedlings were embedded in 3% agarose melted to 60°C to create a block for tissue sectioning. Excess agarose was trimmed off using a razor, tweezers, and a Zeiss Stemi 2000-C dissecting microscope. The embedded seedling was then oriented in a vertical position, and sliced into two halves using a feather razor blade. Each half was then immediately transferred to a 3% FM4-64x cell membrane staining solution for 10–15 minutes, and then placed on a slide with a coverslip in ample ddH₂O. Plants were screened for optimal cut sections using a 20x objective on a Zeiss Axio Imager.A1 fluorescence microscope before final micrographs were captured with a 40x objective on a Leica SP5 Inverted Confocal microscope. eGFP fluorescence was detected with 488 nm excitation and an emission collection between 525 nm-550 nm. FM4-64x membrane stain and dsRed-N7 fluorescence were detected using 543 nm excitation with emission collected between 600 nm-650 nm and 575 nm-625 nm respectively.

Quantification of fluorescence signal

Images from confocal microscopy were loaded into the Icy Bioimage software [44] (http://icy.bioimageanalysis.org) and isolated for the eGFP or dsRed-N7 channel. The HK Means and Active Contour plugins were used to detect cell counts. For fluorescence quantification analysis, ROI boxes were drawn in ImageJ [45] (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/index.html) through the central column of SAM cells (30 μm wide and 100 μm tall) and plot profile was used to quantify fluorescence. This was performed on 4–8 confocal images per treatment, and values for each cell layer were averaged across all samples within a treatment. For cell count measurements, the number of fluorescing cells in each layer was counted for 4–8 confocal images per treatment and subsequently averaged across all samples.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Quantification of WUS protein levels (pWUS::eGFP-WUS) and accumulation of WUS transcript pattern upon cytokinin induction in the central zone. pWUS::eGFP-WUS
fluorescence levels in different cell layers of pCLV3::LhG4; p6xOP::ARR1ΔDDK-GR SAMs 6 hrs, 12 hrs, 24 hrs, and 48 hrs after Dex treatment is compared with Mock treated plants (A). RNA in situ showing localization of WUS in Mock (B) and Dex (C) treated pCLV3::LhG4; p6xOP::ARR1ΔDDK-GR plants. Error bars for (A) represent standard error. Scale bars are 50 μm for (B-C).

S2 Fig. Variation of WUS accumulation in the eGFP-WUS (EARLM) expressing plants. The pWUS::eGFP-WUS (EARLM) construct ranged from low to high accumulation in smaller SAMs (A-C) to low to high accumulation across more cells in larger SAMs after 6-BAP treatment (D-F) across different lines. These variations could suggest a potential for WUS to stabilize in a larger area if it is permitted to reach a certain level. The image in (A) is the same used in Fig 5E. Insets for each image show the areas identified by black arrowheads at 4x magnification, white arrowheads show boundaries of the reporter accumulation, and yellow arrowheads point to WUS protein accumulation in developing leaves. eGFP (green) is overlaid on FM4-64 (red) plasma membrane stain. The scale bar is 50 μm for all images.

S3 Fig. WUS accumulates at lower levels in outer cell layer despite higher synthesis in inner layers of cytokinin-treated clv3-2 mutants. The clv3-2 SAMs showing WUS protein (pWUS::eGFP-WUS) accumulation upon Mock (A) and 6-BAP 24 hrs (B) treatments. Note a relatively lower protein accumulation in the L1 and the L2 layers. The clv3-2 SAMs showing pTCSn::mGFP5-ER cytokinin response upon Mock (C) and 6-BAP 24 hrs (D) treatments both show exclusion of the cytokinin response from the L1 and L2 layers, with 6-BAP treatment only increasing the levels of cytokinin response in the deeper L3 and pith cells. The L1 and the L2 are monolayers. The multilayer L3 has been divided into the apical L3 layer and the basal L3 layers. The pith is located beneath the basal L3 layers. Insets for each image show the areas identified by black arrowheads at 4x magnification and white arrowheads show boundaries of the reporter accumulation. eGFP and mGFP5-ER (green) are overlaid on FM4-64 (red) plasma membrane stain. The scale bars are 50 μm.

S4 Fig. WUS accumulates very poorly when expressed directly in the L1 layer. eGFP-WUS expressed from the L1 layer (pATML1::eGFP-WUS) accumulates very poorly and mostly in the cytoplasm of the L1 layer (A, C) and L3 layer (B, C) of SAMs. Insets for each image show the areas identified by black arrowheads at 4x magnification. eGFP (green) is overlaid on FM4-64 (red) plasma membrane stain. The scale bar is 50 μm for all images.

S5 Fig. WUS levels decrease when exposed to the proteasome inhibitor-MG132. pWUS:: eGFP-WUS accumulation in wild type SAMs is highest in the L3 and deeper layers of the SAM and tapers off in the pith and the apical L1 and L2 layers (A). Treatment with MG132 results in reduced (B) and barely detectable (C) WUS accumulation. Insets for each image show the areas identified by black arrowheads at 4x magnification. eGFP (green) is overlaid on FM4-64 (red) plasma membrane stain. The scale bar is 50 μm for all images.

S1 Table. Primers used in this study.
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