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Abstract

Background: Zonocerus variegatus (Linnaeus, 1758) (Orthoptera: Pyrgomorphidae) is known as an agricultural pest in West and Central Africa. However, its importance in the agricultural production system in Cameroon has not been investigated. The study assesses farmers’ perception on the importance of Z. variegatus in the agricultural production systems of the humid forest zone of Southern Cameroon.

Methods: Research was carried out in 5 villages of each of three Agro-Ecological, Cultural and Demographic Blocks (AECD-Blocks) of the Forest Margin Benchmark Area (FMBA). In each village, a semi-structured survey was used; male and female groups of farmers were interviewed separately.

Results: Z. variegatus is present throughout the humid forest zone of Southern Cameroon, where it is ranked as the third most economically important insect pest of agriculture. In the farmers’ opinion, Z. variegatus is a polyphagous insect with little impact on young perennial crops. The length of the pre-farming fallow does not affect Z. variegatus pest pressure in the following crops. The increased impact of the grasshopper observed today in the fields, compared to what existed 10 years ago is as a result of deforestation and increase in surface of herbaceous fallow. The damage caused by Z. variegatus is higher in fields adjacent to C. odorata and herbaceous fallows than in those adjacent to forests and shrubby fallows. The fight against this grasshopper is often done through physical methods carried out by hand, for human consumption. The farmers highlight low usage of the chemical methods and a total absence of biological and ecological methods.

Conclusion: Farmers’ perception have contributed to understanding the status of Z. variegatus in the humid forest zone of Southern Cameroon. The results are in general similar to those obtained in other countries.
Background
In general, from the human point of view, any insect that is not at the right place is a pest (Williams, cited by [1]). Pests are also harmful or awkward species, which need to be controlled for economic or social reasons (Clark, cited by [1]). *Zonocerus variegatus* is reported in the literature as a pest of many crops in West and Central Africa. The first reports on damages of this grasshopper on crops were in 1910 by Peacock and Lamborns in Southern Nigeria, Schoutedem and Mayne in Zaire and Small in Uganda (In [2]). Its geographical range and impact on the crops increase with time. In 1948, *Z. variegatus* accounted for 10% yield loss in the banana harvest in Guinea [2]. On garden eggs, it can cause 25–80% yield loss [2]. In Nigeria, it causes 50% loss in annual cassava yield [4]. *Z. variegatus* has been implicated in the transmission of okra mosaics viruses in Ivory Coast and cowpea mosaics viruses in Nigeria [3, 5]. It is thought to be responsible for the transmission of the bacterial burn of cassava in Nigeria [2, 3]. Due to the high damages it inflicts on crops, it is considered as an important agricultural pest in Nigeria [4-6, 8], Ivory Coast [6], Ghana [4], Congo Brazzaville [9], Southern Benin (Coffi, cited by [4]) and in the Sahel [10]. *Z. variegatus* is currently the most important of grasshopper pests for crops in the humid forests of low altitude and savannas of West and Central Africa [2, 4, 11, 12]. However, no scientific literature exists on the pest status of *Z. variegatus* in Cameroon. Apart from two works [13, 14] and one report [5], most other literature on the problems caused by *Z. variegatus* in Central and West Africa only have generalities on Cameroon [4-6, 9, 15, 16]. Determining the importance of an insect in any agro-ecosystems, contributes to the description of its status [17]. Such information is necessary for the formulation of a good pest management strategy.

This paper presents the results of a group survey, administered to the farmers of the humid forest zone of Southern Cameroon, to assess the importance of variegated grasshoppers *Zonocerus variegatus* in the agricultural production systems. The survey approach was justified by the concern of having a fast idea on the *Z. variegatus* status in the production systems in the southern Cameroon and the need of collaboration between farmers, scientists, and extension services in terms of priorities definition about the crop protection strategies. Recent trends in agricultural research and development emphasize the need for farmer participation [18]. There has been increasing interest in the incorporation of farmer’s knowledge into agricultural research and development programs [19]. The Participatory Action Research framework provides one useful approach towards achieving farmers’ capacity building [18]. Farmers in general are good decision-makers (Goldman, cited by [20]) and their views have contributed to the understanding of various aspects of the bio-ecology of insects and the real situation of other pests. Taking their knowledge base and combining them with scientists’/extensionists’ expertise can contribute to the improvement of local practices in pest management [18]. An ethnobotanical study, conducted in Tharu, a village in Nepal, offered a basis to improve pest management programs in terms of efficacy and acceptance [18]. In African farmer communities, insects are much more known as pest though others such as *Apis mellifera* (Hymenoptera: Apidae), *Gryllotalpa africana* (Orthoptera: Gryllotalpidae), *Gryllus bimaculatus* (Orthoptera: Gryllidae) have a great importance in the communities. In general, *A. mellifera* (bees) produce honey. In West Cameroon *G. africana* are heralding misfortune whereas the cries of *G. bimaculatus* at certain periods of the year, announce good news (Kwecheu Marie, Personal Communication). Farmers’ knowledge of insects varies in quality and quantity depending on their interest in the subject, their environment, and the relevance of insects to their lives [18].

The objective of the present study was to determine farmers’ perception on: (1) the incidence of *Zonocerus variegatus* in the humid forest zone of Southern Cameroon; (2) the factors responsible for variations of *Z. variegatus* incidence in the humid forest zone of Southern Cameroon; and (3) to assess how the farmers manage this grasshopper in their agro-ecosystems.

Materials and methods

Study site
The work was carried out in 15 villages of the humid forest zone of Southern Cameroon (3°27’-4°10’N and 11°32’-11°49’E) (Figure 1). These villages were selected among the 45 Forest Margin Benchmark Area (FMBA: 1.54 million hectares) reference villages. Serving as a focal point for strategic diagnostic research in the sub-region, the benchmark approach was developed and implemented through the Eco-regional Program for the Humid and Sub-Humid Tropics of Sub-Saharan Africa (EPHTA). The 45 villages are situated along a gradient of natural resource utilization intensification, represented by 3 agro-ecological, cultural and demographic blocks (AECDBlocks) namely Yaounde, Mbalmayo and Ebolowa (Figure 1). Five out of 15 villages of each block were selected for the study. These were Nkongmesse, Nkolmelok, Nkometou-I, Akak-II and Etoud for the Yaounde block; Awae, Evindissi, Ngat, Mvoutessi and Nkolmetet for the Mbalmayo block and Minsélé, Mekoe, Mengomo, Akok and Obang-II for the Ebolowa blocks. The 3 AECD-Blocks differ in several aspects. Yaounde has a high human density (14–88 habitant/km²); whereas low human population densities are observed in Ebolowa (2–15 habitant/km²) and intermediates (10–48 habitant/km²) in Mbalmayo [21]. On the agro-ecological level, forest degradation is more pronounced around Yaounde than in the
Ebolowa, which still has some pockets of primary forest [21]. Mbalmayo constitutes an intermediate block. Farmers in these areas all practice slash and burn agriculture [22]. In the Yaoundé block, the length of fallow is about three years shorter than that of Ebolowa (7.5 years) while that of Mbalmayo block is a transition (5.4 years). The main food crops are banana, plantain, cocoyam, cassava, yam and groundnut [22]. Cucurbits, okra, vegetables and spices are secondary crops [22] while cocoa and coffee are the main cash crops of this area. Their production is mainly in small-scale, through domestic or family exploitation. These areas have an equatorial climate type with two dry seasons and two rainy seasons. The average annual rainfall is 1510 mm, 1643 mm and 1820 mm in Yaounde, Mbalmayo and Ebolowa respectively. From an ethnological viewpoint, the "Etons", "Ewondoses" and the "Bulus" are the main ethnic groups in the Yaounde, Mbalmayo and Ebolowa blocks respectively. With regard to the diet, the Bulus consume more sauces made based on almond of the fruits of * Irvingia gabonensis* (named 'Nd'o'o') while Etons and Ewondoses consumes more 'Okok' (pasta product made containing leaves of *Gnetum africanum*) and 'Kwem' (pasta product made containing leaves of *Manihot esculenta*) respectively (Lema Ngono, Personal Communication).

**Survey**

Information was obtained in each village between August and October 1998 using the rapid rural appraisal methods (RRAM) [23-25]. There were 17 questions, divided in three parts; 5 relating to the annual crops and, 5 others relating to the young perennial crops and 7 general questions. The final questionnaire was prepared based on a preliminary one that was tested in Bikok and Abang, two
other villages different from those used in our investigations. Based on the results of this pre-test, we decided to work with men and women farmers' groups separately in each village. We selected farmers growing annual or perennial crops. A total of 30 groups (389 farmers: 164 women and 225 men) were interviewed. Each group had 8 to 21 farmers aged 18 to 50 years. Participants were recruited in 3 strata based on the 3 blocks of the 'Benchmark' [23,24]. Interviews of two hours per group were conducted at different hours of the same day for the two groups. Farmers were asked to rank the importance of Zonocerus variegatus among the other insect pest of the humid forest zone of the Southern Cameroon and rate the impact of Z. variegatus on crops in the humid forest zone of Southern Cameroon. Farmers were also asked to state factors, which influence the pest status of Z. variegatus, the methods used against the pest and to state what they need to suppress the pest population. In the questionnaire, we used opened-ended and close-ended questions.

Questions were asked in the local language and/or French. During the survey in each village, a sample of Zonocerus variegatus was shown to the farmers to enable them recognize the insect. For the various rankings, scores (described In [25]) were used while the farmers answered the other questions directly.

Statistical analysis
Data were analyzed by the Kruskal-Wallis test using the 'Nonparametric One Way' (‘NPAR1WAY WILCOXON ’) procedure of the software SAS (‘Statistical Analysis Systems' version 8). All probabilities were appreciated at the 5% confidence level.

Results
Incidence of Zonocerus variegatus in the humid forest zone of Southern Cameroon
Zonocerus variegatus is present in all the surveyed villages. In all these villages, variegated grasshopper is well known to the farmers and they could describe the appearance of both nymphs and adults. All the farmers’ group rate it as an insect pest. Z. variegatus is ranked the 3rd most important insect pest in the area and together with borers and scale insects cause about 54% of the insect pest problems on annual crops (Table 1). On young perennial crops, mirid, borers and caterpillars are the major constraints and they cause about 59% of insect pest problems while Z. variegatus is a minor pest (Table 1). However, for the females groups of Yaoundé (for the annual and perennial crops) and Mbalmayo (for the perennial crops only), these pests have equal importance (Table 1).

Most farmers' groups (67%) reported that Zonocerus variegatus is a general feeder (polyphagous insect) (Table 2) that attacks all the annual crop species. These perceptions were higher at male (73% of groups) than female (60% of groups) (Table 2). Its food host range included cassava, groundnut and vegetables. None of the farmers' groups rated Z. variegatus as a monophagous pest on annual crops (Table 2). On young perennial crops, most groups (40%) perceived Z. variegatus as a non-harmful insect (Table 2). This perception was more pronounced in female groups (53%) than male groups (33%) (Table 2). Few farmers (23% of groups), especially the female (33% of groups) reported Z. variegatus as being olygophagous (Table 2) compared to only 13% of men groups. Their food range on perennial crops was more restricted to pear, cocoa, coffee, orange, oil palm and plum. Z. variegatus was rated by 7% (female only) of the groups as being monophagous (Table 2). In this monophagous behaviour, the grasshopper appeared to be a specialist feeder on pear or palm tree.

Factors responsible for variations in Zonocerus variegatus incidence in the humid forest zone of Southern Cameroon
Factors mentioned by the farmers
Compared to the scenario 10 years ago, most of farmers' groups (84%) surveyed in the humid forest zone reported that Zonocerus variegatus pressure in the fields has increased. These perceptions were higher in male (87% of groups) than in female groups (80% of groups). This increase of Z. variegatus incidence has been significant (73% of groups; 87% of male and 60% of female) mainly because of the increase in the surface of herbaceous fallow (73% of groups) and deforestation (60% of groups) (Table 3). Males (53% of groups) also give more importance to some factors such as high reproductive rate of Z. variegatus. Females perceived these factors as minors (Table 3). Most of other factors presented in table 3 were minors. Some factors like lack of human consumption, wide colonization of the fields by weeds, presence of cassava were only reported by males, while possible change in the Z. variegatus species has been proposed by females (Table 3).

Factors presented to the farmers
- variations in Zonocerus variegatus incidence according to fields types
All field types were damaged by Zonocerus variegatus (Table 4) but, 51% of the damage was associated to food crop fields ('Affub bidi' and 'Affub owondo'). Z. variegatus pressure was low in dry season fields ('Esseps'); young perennial crops fields; garden crops and marsh' fields ('Assans'). The pressure of Z. variegatus in groundnut fields ('affub owondo') is higher in the Mbalmayo block. In Assans and 'Garden crops', this pressure is significantly higher in the Yaounde and Mbalmayo blocks (Table 4). However, for the female groups, these block effects appeared significant only in the garden crops (Table 4).
- variations in *Zonocerus variegatus* incidence according to the age of the pre-farming fallow

The length of pre-farming fallow did not influence the extent of *Zonocerus variegatus* pressure in field crops (93% of groups) (Table 5). In the male and female groups, there were no significant differences in *Z. variegatus* pressure in the 3 types of fallow (Table 5). Similarly, the magnitude of the pressure did not differ significantly between blocks (Table 5).

- variations in *Zonocerus variegatus* incidence according to the type of adjacent fallow

The magnitude of *Zonocerus variegatus* pressure varied with the type of adjacent fallow in field crops (93% of male and 87% of female). In the male and female groups, it is higher in fields adjacent to *Chomolaena odorata* fallow and herbaceous fallow than in forest or shrub fallows (Table 6).

---

**Table 1: Relative importance (%) of the main insect pests on annual and perennial crops in the humid forest zone of Southern – Cameroon.**

| Crops                  | AECD-Blocks | Termites | Borer (Without Borers) | Caterpillars | Aphids | Mirids | Scales Insects | Variegated Grasshopper (Without Mirids) | Bugs | p-value |
|------------------------|-------------|----------|------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|----------------|-----------------------------------------|-------|---------|
| **Annual crops**       |             |          |                        |              |        |        |                |                                         |       |         |
| Yaounde                | 9           | 16       | 9                      | 10           | 6      | 19     | 16             | 14                                      | <0.001|         |
| Mbalmayo               | 2           | 21       | 10                     | 9            | 7      | 22     | 16             | 13                                      | <0.0001|         |
| Ebolowa                | 4           | 22       | 12                     | 13           | 5      | 17     | 14             | 15                                      | <0.0001|         |
| p-value                | <0.05       | NS       | NS                     | NS           | NS     | NS     | NS             | NS                                      |       |         |
| Mean                   | 5           | 20       | 10                     | 11           | 6      | 20     | 15             | 14                                      | <0.0001|         |
| **Young Perennial Crops** |             |          |                        |              |        |        |                |                                         |       |         |
| Yaoundé                | 14          | 14       | 12                     | 11           | 21     | 18     | 7              | 5                                       | <0.01 |         |
| Mbalmayo               | 1           | 19       | 19                     | 10           | 28     | 13     | 8              | 2                                       | <0.0001|         |
| Ebolowa                | 3           | 22       | 14                     | 14           | 28     | 5      | 11             | 4                                       | <0.0001|         |
| p-value                | <0.001      | NS       | NS                     | NS           | NS     | <0.05  | NS             | NS                                      |       |         |
| Mean                   | 6           | 18       | 15                     | 11           | 25     | 12     | 9              | 4                                       | <0.0001|         |
| **Female**             |             |          |                        |              |        |        |                |                                         |       |         |
| Annual crops           |             |          |                        |              |        |        |                |                                         |       |         |
| Yaounde                | 11          | 16       | 9                      | 13           | 9      | 19     | 12             | 14                                      | NS    |         |
| Mbalmayo               | 3           | 21       | 10                     | 12           | 9      | 22     | 12             | 13                                      | 0.0386|         |
| Ebolowa                | 5           | 22       | 11                     | 14           | 3      | 17     | 14             | 16                                      | 0.0236|         |
| p-value                | NS          | NS       | NS                     | NS           | NS     | NS     | NS             | NS                                      |       |         |
| Mean                   | 6           | 19       | 10                     | 13           | 7      | 19     | 12             | 14                                      | <0.0001|         |
| Young Perennial Crops  |             |          |                        |              |        |        |                |                                         |       |         |
| Yaoundé                | 14          | 15       | 7                      | 14           | 18     | 23     | 3              | 11                                      | NS    |         |
| Mbalmayo               | 2           | 21       | 19                     | 10           | 29     | 14     | 2              | 5                                       | 0.0005|         |
| Ebolowa                | 4           | 21       | 15                     | 15           | 22     | 7      | 13             | 4                                       | NS    |         |
| p-value                | NS          | NS       | NS                     | NS           | NS     | NS     | NS             | NS                                      |       |         |
| Mean                   | 7           | 19       | 14                     | 13           | 23     | 15     | 6              | 6                                       | <0.0001|         |
| **Male**               |             |          |                        |              |        |        |                |                                         |       |         |
| Annual crops           |             |          |                        |              |        |        |                |                                         |       |         |
| Yaounde                | 7           | 17       | 10                     | 8            | 3      | 20     | 21             | 15                                      | 0.0072|         |
| Mbalmayo               | 2           | 21       | 10                     | 7            | 6      | 23     | 20             | 13                                      | 0.0003|         |
| Ebolowa                | 3           | 23       | 13                     | 12           | 7      | 17     | 14             | 14                                      | 0.0002|         |
| p-value                | NS          | NS       | NS                     | NS           | NS     | NS     | NS             | NS                                      |       |         |
| Mean                   | 4           | 20       | 11                     | 9            | 5      | 20     | 18             | 14                                      | <0.0001|         |
| Young Perennial Crops  |             |          |                        |              |        |        |                |                                         |       |         |
| Yaoundé                | 14          | 13       | 16                     | 8            | 24     | 13     | 11             | 3                                       | 0.0404|         |
| Mbalmayo               | 0           | 18       | 19                     | 10           | 27     | 13     | 12             | 2                                       | 0.0007|         |
| Ebolowa                | 3           | 24       | 13                     | 12           | 33     | 2      | 10             | 4                                       | 0.0006|         |
| p-value                | 0.008       | NS       | NS                     | NS           | NS     | NS     | NS             | NS                                      |       |         |
| Mean                   | 6           | 18       | 16                     | 10           | 28     | 9      | 11             | 3                                       | <0.0001|         |

The values are averages of the scores for 10 groups (5 male and 5 female groups) of farmers interviewed per block; p-value is the significance levels of a non parametric test of Kruskal-Wallis; NS = no significant (p > 0.05). AECD-Blocks = Agro-Ecological, Cultural and Demographic Blocks.
Variations in *Zonocerus variegatus* incidence according to type of season

The pressure of *Zonocerus variegatus* on annual and perennial crops is higher in the short dry season and highest in the great dry season. *Z. variegatus* damage is very low in the fields during the rainy season. No significant difference was observed between the fields (Table 7). However, on the perennial crops, differences (non-significant) in *Z. variegatus* incidence variations (according the seasons) have been reported by females of Ebolowa and Mbalmayo blocks. (Table 7). According to farmers, there was a block effect in the dry season on young perennial crops with the Ebolowa block having the highest damage (Table 7). However, there was no block effect in gender perceptions in the annual and perennials crops (Table 7).

Entomophagy

In the humid forest zone of southern Cameroon, only the adult stages of *Zonocerus variegatus* were an item of diet (63% of groups). This feeding behavior appeared more in Mbalmayo (90% of groups) and Yaoundé (70% of groups) blocks. *Z. variegatus* was eaten a little in Ebolowa block (30% of groups). Some people do not eat *Z. variegatus* because of its body odour (3% of groups) and the fact that, consumption would result in muscular and articular paralysis (6% of groups).

Table 2: Food sources of *Zonocerus variegatus* among the annual and perennial crops in the humid forest of Southern Cameroon.

| Crops                  | AECD-Blocks | General feeder (Polyphagous) | Oligophagous | Monophagous | No crop attacked | Absent in the village |
|------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|------------------------|
| **All**                |             |                              |              |             |                  |                        |
| Annual crops           |             | 60                           | 40           | 0           | 0                | 0                      |
|                        | Yaounde     | 60                           | 40           | 0           | 0                | 0                      |
|                        | Mbalmayo    | 70                           | 30           | 0           | 0                | 0                      |
|                        | Ebolowa     | 70                           | 30           | 0           | 0                | 0                      |
|                        | Mean        | 67                           | 33           | 0           | 0                | 0                      |
| Young Perennial Crop   |             | 20                           | 20           | 10          | 50               | 0                      |
|                        | Yaounde     | 20                           | 20           | 10          | 50               | 0                      |
|                        | Mbalmayo    | 20                           | 20           | 10          | 50               | 0                      |
|                        | Ebolowa     | 30                           | 50           | 0           | 20               | 0                      |
|                        | Mean        | 23                           | 30           | 7           | 40               | 0                      |
| **Female**             |             |                              |              |             |                  |                        |
| Annual crops           |             | 60                           | 40           | 0           | 0                | 0                      |
|                        | Yaounde     | 60                           | 40           | 0           | 0                | 0                      |
|                        | Mbalmayo    | 60                           | 40           | 0           | 0                | 0                      |
|                        | Ebolowa     | 60                           | 40           | 0           | 0                | 0                      |
|                        | Mean        | 60                           | 40           | 0           | 0                | 0                      |
| Young Perennial Crop   |             | 20                           | 0            | 0           | 80               | 0                      |
|                        | Yaounde     | 20                           | 0            | 0           | 80               | 0                      |
|                        | Mbalmayo    | 40                           | 0            | 0           | 60               | 0                      |
|                        | Ebolowa     | 40                           | 40           | 0           | 20               | 0                      |
|                        | Mean        | 33                           | 13           | 0           | 53               | 0                      |
| **Male**               |             |                              |              |             |                  |                        |
| Annual crops           |             | 60                           | 40           | 0           | 0                | 0                      |
|                        | Yaounde     | 80                           | 20           | 0           | 0                | 0                      |
|                        | Mbalmayo    | 80                           | 20           | 0           | 0                | 0                      |
|                        | Ebolowa     | 73                           | 27           | 0           | 0                | 0                      |
|                        | Mean        | 73                           | 27           | 0           | 0                | 0                      |
| Young Perennial Crop   |             | 20                           | 40           | 20          | 20               | 0                      |
|                        | Yaounde     | 20                           | 40           | 20          | 20               | 0                      |
|                        | Mbalmayo    | 0                            | 40           | 20          | 40               | 0                      |
|                        | Ebolowa     | 20                           | 60           | 0           | 40               | 0                      |
|                        | Mean        | 13                           | 47           | 13          | 33               | 0                      |

The values are averages of the scores for 10 groups of farmers (5 male and 5 female groups) interviewed per block; AECD-Blocks = Agro-Ecological, Cultural and Demographic Blocks.
Protection of crops against *Zonocerus variegatus*

To protect crops against *Zonocerus variegatus*, farmers of the humid forest zone of Southern Cameroon use chemical and physical methods. No biological or ecological methods were used (Table 8). The physical fight is very much used (77% of groups) (Table 8). Through this method, grasshoppers are collected mostly for human consumption as mentioned by 63% of the groups (61% of female and 60% of male) (Table 8). Very few groups (13%) collect *Z. variegatus* for use as baits in fishing.

### Table 3: Reasons for the increase incidence of *Zonocerus variegatus* in crop fields in the humid forest zone of Southern Cameroon.

| Reasons                                           | All (Ydé) | Mblyo | Ebwa | Means (Ydé) | Mblyo | Ebwa | Means (Ydé) | Mblyo | Ebwa | Means |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----------|-------|------|-------------|-------|------|-------------|-------|------|-------|
| Increased surfaces of herbaceous and C. odorata fallows | 50        | 90    | 80   | 73          | 40    | 80   | 67          | 60    | 100  | 80    |
| Deforestation                                     | 60        | 60    | 60   | 60          | 40    | 60   | 53          | 60    | 60   | 67    |
| High reproductive rate of *Z. variegatus*         | 20        | 80    | 20   | 40          | 0     | 80   | 27          | 40    | 80   | 40    |
| Lack, suppression or reduction of control measures against *Z. variegatus* | 30        | 20    | 20   | 23          | 20    | 0    | 7           | 40    | 40   | 40    |
| Increased food host range of *Z. variegatus*      | 40        | 20    | 10   | 23          | 60    | 20   | 0           | 27    | 20   | 20    |
| Absence, suppression or reduction of human consumption of *Z. variegatus* | 10        | 10    | 10   | 10          | 0     | 0    | 0           | 20    | 20   | 20    |
| Wide colonization of the fields by weeds           | 10        | 0     | 10   | 7           | 0     | 0    | 0           | 20    | 0    | 20    |
| Increase sun shine levels                          | 10        | 20    | 10   | 13          | 0     | 20   | 13          | 20    | 20   | 7     |
| Favorable climatic conditions                     | 0         | 20    | 30   | 17          | 0     | 20   | 20          | 0     | 20   | 13    |
| Change of the crop types                          | 10        | 0     | 0    | 3           | 20    | 0    | 7           | 20    | 0    | 7     |
| Reduction of spaces in sugar cane crop            | 10        | 0     | 10   | 7           | 20    | 0    | 7           | 0     | 20   | 7     |
| Climatic changes                                  | 20        | 20    | 30   | 23          | 20    | 0    | 20          | 13    | 40   | 20    |
| Presence of cassava                                | 0         | 10    | 0    | 3           | 0     | 0    | 0           | 20    | 0    | 7     |
| Possible change of the species of *Z. variegatus* | 10        | 0     | 0    | 3           | 20    | 0    | 7           | 0     | 0    | 0     |

The values (% of answer) are averages for 10 groups of farmers (5 male and 5 female groups) interviewed per block. AECED-Blocks = Agro-Ecological, Cultural and Demographic Blocks. Ydé: Yaoundé, Mblyo: Mbalmayo, Ebwa: Ebolowa.

### Table 4: Relative importance (%) of *Zonocerus variegatus* pressure in different fields types in the humid forest zone of Southern Cameroon.

| All (Ydé) | Mblyo | Ebwa | Means | Ydé (p-value) | Mblyo (p-value) | Ebwa (p-value) | Mean (p-value) |
|-----------|-------|------|-------|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| AECED-Blocks | Affub owondo | Affub bidy | Assan | Essep | Garden crops | Young perennial crop | p-value |
| Yaounde   | 10    | 34   | 21    | 9   | 20           | 5              | <0.0001        |
| Mbalmayo  | 31    | 28   | 9     | 5   | 17           | 9              | <0.0001        |
| Ebolowa   | 19    | 28   | 20    | 12  | 7            | 14             | <0.001         |
| p-value   | 0.01  | NS   | <0.05 | NS | <0.05         | NS             | NS             |
| Means     | 20    | 30   | 17    | 9   | 15           | 9              | <0.0001        |
| Female (Ydé) | 11    | 38   | 21    | 9   | 17           | 3              | 0.0121         |
| Mbalmayo  | 29    | 21   | 12    | 8   | 25           | 5              | 0.0021         |
| Ebolowa   | 20    | 28   | 22    | 9   | 5            | 16             | 0.0346         |
| p-value   | NS    | NS   | NS    | NS | 0.0380       | NS             | NS             |
| Means     | 20    | 29   | 18    | 9   | 16           | 8              | 0.0001         |
| Male (Ydé) | 10    | 31   | 21    | 9   | 22           | 7              | 0.0029         |
| Mbalmayo  | 33    | 36   | 6     | 3   | 10           | 12             | 0.0010         |
| Ebolowa   | 19    | 28   | 19    | 15  | 9            | 11             | 0.0175         |
| p-value   | 0.0209 | NS   | 0.0220 | NS | 0.0422       | NS             | NS             |
| Means     | 21    | 32   | 15    | 9   | 14           | 10             | <0.0001        |

The values are averages of the scores for 10 groups of farmers (5 male and 5 female groups) interviewed per block. AECED-Blocks = Agro-Ecological, Cultural and Demographic Blocks. **Affub owondo** is Grandnut’ field, **Essep** is dry season’ fields, **Assan** is marsh’ fields. *p*-value is the significance levels of a non parametric test of Kruskal-Wallis; NS = nonsignificant (p > 0.05).
Table 5: Influence of age of the pre-farming fallow on the pressure (%) of Zonocerus variegatus in crop fields established at the same site.

| AECD-Blocks | Fallow of 1 -5 years | Fallow of 5 -10 years | Fallow > 10 years | p-value |
|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Yaounde     | 39                   | 31                    | 30                | NS      |
| Mbalmayo    | 40                   | 30                    | 30                | NS      |
| Ebolowa     | 33                   | 33                    | 33                | NS      |
| p-value     | NS                   | NS                    | NS                | NS      |
| Means       | 37                   | 32                    | 31                | NS      |

Chemical control of Z. variegatus populations was poorly practiced (27% of farmers) (Table 8). The insecticides used are décis®, diméthoate®, orthene®, cipercal®, furadan® and methyl®. There were differences in the insecticide use in various fields. In Yaounde décis® and furadan® were not used while in the Mbalmayo block diméthoate®, orthene®, cipercal® and methyl® are not used. Insecticides are not used against Z. variegatus in Ebolowa. Rains are the only

Table 6: Influence of fallow types on Zonocerus variegatus pressure (%) in adjacent crop fields in the humid forest zones of Southern Cameroon

| AECD-Blocks | C.odorata fallow | Herbaceous fallow | Shrubs fallow | Forest | p-value |
|-------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------|---------|
| Yaounde     | 52               | 35                | 10            | 5      | <0.0001 |
| Mbalmayo    | 44               | 40                | 11            | 7      | <0.0001 |
| Ebolowa     | 51               | 39                | 9             | 2      | <0.0001 |
| p-value     | NS               | NS                | NS            | NS     | NS      |
| Means       | 49               | 38                | 10            | 4      | <0.0001 |

The values are averages of the scores for 10 groups of farmers (5 male and 5 female groups) interviewed per AECD-Blocks; p-value is the significance levels of a non-parametric test of Kruskal-Wallis; NS = nonsignificant (p > 0.05); AECD-Blocks = Agro-Ecological, Cultural and Demographic Blocks.
natural factors against *Z. variegatus* though its effect is only slightly perceived in the Yaounde and Mbalmayo blocks (Table 8). Some farmers groups (23%) do not have any means of fighting against *Z. variegatus* especially in the Ebolowa block (Table 8).

**Discussion**
The study shows that farmers regard *Zonocerus variegatus* as an important annual crop pest in the humid forest zone of Southern Cameroon. It is a minor pest of young perennial crops. Similar results have also been reported in many countries in Africa, notably Nigeria [4,6-8], Ivory Coast.
[6], Ghana [4], Congo Brazzaville [9], Southern Benin (Coffi, cited by [4]) and the Sahel [10]. In most of these countries, *Z. variegatus* is known mainly as a food crop pest.

From the farmers’ point of view, the definition of an insect pest has primarily economic implications, given that the insect causes significant damage to warrant the implementation of a control measure. This implies that having reliable information on crop damage at different pest densities is vital in understanding the interaction between pest and host plants. However, crop damage by *Zonocerus variegatus* is very difficult to evaluate, especially on food crops [2]. This underscores the importance of farmers’ perceptions in understanding the pest status of a given insect [17]. Farmers’ perceptions have contributed to the understanding of various aspects of the bio-ecology of insects [18]. These perceptions can be significantly different from scientific knowledge but have major implications for development [27-30,5,31,18].

The crops mentioned by the farmers in this study as food sources for *Zonocerus variegatus* have also been reported in previous studies [2,38]. For the farmers of southern Cameroon, groundnut, cassava and vegetables are the most susceptible crops to *Z. variegatus*. The majority of the authors are unanimous on the high vulnerability of cassava [4,6,7] while the susceptibility level of vegetables and groundnut varies from one country to another. Cassava, groundnut and vegetables are the principal food crops of the humid forest zone of Southern Cameroon [22]. It thus gets clear a need for developing strategies of protection of these crops against *Z. variegatus*.

Many reports have also mentioned the growing importance of *Zonocerus variegatus* as a pest elsewhere as in the humid forest zone of Southern Cameroon. For example, before 1950, *Z. variegatus* was already known as a pest of primary or secondary importance in most countries of intertropical Africa [2,5]. However, it was later reported as an important pest in the 1970s in Nigeria [6,7,12].

The farmers in this study recognize as Kumar [1] that several factors affect the pest behavior of *Zonocerus variegatus*. For example *Z. variegatus* avoids pure forest compared to deforested areas [6,3,32,2,4]. This preference of deforested areas had also been reported in earlier studies [6,7,2,37]. Deforestation induces biotopes and conditions favorable for *Z. variegatus* such as increase in surfaces of herbaceous fallow. In addition, after deforestation, empty spaces are occupied by *C. odorata*, the main host plant of *Z. variegatus*. These may partly explain the high pressure of *Z. variegatus* observed by the farmers in the fields adjacent to *C. odorata* fallow [8] and herbaceous fallow compared to fields adjacent to forests. The increased damage observed in the dry season suggests that it is a seasonal pest as reported in other studies [3]. Probably, rains (natural mortality factor) induce morbid fungical infections in the natural populations of *Z. variegatus* among other mortality factors that would reduce the pest population.

### Table 8: Different methods used by farmers in the humid forest of Southern Cameroon of control *Zonocerus variegatus*.

| AECD-Blocks | No method | Chemical method | Natural method (rain) | Physical method |
|-------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Yaounde     | 10        | 50             | 40                   | 90             |
| Mbalmayo    | 0         | 30             | 30                   | 100            |
| Ebolowa     | 60        | 0              | 10                   | 40             |
| Means       | 23        | 27             | 27                   | 77             |
| **Female**  |           |                |                      |                |
| Yaounde     | 20        | 40             | 20                   | 80             |
| Mbalmayo    | 0         | 20             | 20                   | 100            |
| Ebolowa     | 60        | 0              | 0                    | 40             |
| Means       | 27        | 20             | 13                   | 73             |
| **Male**    |           |                |                      |                |
| Yaounde     | 0         | 60             | 60                   | 100            |
| Mbalmayo    | 0         | 40             | 40                   | 100            |
| Ebolowa     | 60        | 0              | 20                   | 40             |
| Means       | 20        | 33             | 40                   | 80             |

The values (%) are averages for 10 groups of farmers (5 male and 5 female groups) interviewed per AECD-blocks; AECD-Blocks = Agro-Ecological, Cultural and Demographic Blocks.
The study showed that, *Zonocerus variegatus* is an item of diet. This agrees with the findings of Iduwu & Modder [8] and Page & Richards [38] in Nigeria. In Nigeria as in Cameroon, the insect is eaten after being fried [8,38]. In fact, *Z. variegatus* is an important source of proteins [39].

In the present study, the main control method of *Zonocerus variegatus* in the humid forest of Southern Cameroon was through the physical removal of insects feeding on crops for human consumption. The consumption of *Z. variegatus* as control method has also been reported by Nigerian farmers [8]. However, physical control of *Z. variegatus* is generally difficult and not very effective. The high population of *Z. variegatus* in the humid forests of Southern Cameroon [33] indicates the lack of an adequate crop protection measure against the pest. Egg-pod exposure use by few Nigerian subsistence farmers is not known in southern Cameroon [4]. Southern Cameroon farmers seldom use chemical control, certainly because of the fall of the state aid, related to the economic crisis. This method, very much used by the farmers in Nigeria [8], was the main crop protection strategy in the tropical forest zones. Their utilization, in large areas has been one of the first important factors of reduction in crop loss due to pests [9]. However, because of their harmful effect on the environment, their utilization became weakly recommended [34,35,10,1,36]. The fact that biological control methods are not used here show that the ‘green muscle’ (biological acidid) used successfully in the savannah zones may not have been introduced to farmers of Southern Cameroon. These farmers’ perceptions showed a need to carry out an urgent control strategy in the agricultural production system against *Zonocerus variegatus*. However, the strategy needs to be directed a little more towards the integrated pest management as described by Modder [7]. An integration of the farmers is necessary [38].

This study also showed some perceptional gender differences in the humid forest zone of Southern Cameroon. In Yaoundé (for the annual and perennial crops) and Mbamayo (for the perennial crops only), females perceived the pest’s incidence with an equal importance while males recognized *Z. variegatus* as one of the major and minor pests in the annual and perennial crops respectively. On young perennial crops, only the females rated *Z. variegatus* as monophagous. In these perennial crops, more female groups perceived *Z. variegatus* as non-harmful insect than male groups. The increase of *Z. variegatus* incidence in the fields has been more reported by men than women groups. For the female groups, block effect appeared significant only in the garden crops while in the male groups they also appeared in groundnut fields (‘affub owondo’) and Assan (Marsh field). More male groups observed that, the magnitude of *Zonocerus variegatus* pressure varied with the type of adjacent falls in field crops. These perceptional gender differences have also been observed in Nepal [18]. In Nepal, with regard to the depredatory insects, men generally used more vague attributes like harmful or harmless, while women were more specific, often referring to the host plant [18]. The rate for instance, was referred to by women as a hole-making storage pest, while men did not refer to burrows at all [18]. This clearly reflected that in Nepal, women normally repair and clean the damage [18]. In fact, the perceptional gender differences have their origin in the division of labor [18]. In the humid forest zone of southern Cameroon, men and women spend most of their time in the field (agricultural activities) but, women are also involved in tasks at household while other male tasks are community oriented.

**Conclusion**

Farmers’ perceptions have contributed to the understanding of the pest status of *Zonocerus variegatus* in the humid forest zone of Southern Cameroon. Farmers rate *Z. variegatus* as an important polyphagous pest on food crops that warrants urgent management or control. The pest incidence has increased because of deforestation and increase of herbaceous fallsows. It is therefore necessary to also consider this grasshopper among the major pests in the national strategies of plant protection. Integrated Pest Management (IPM) strategy is a comprehensive approach that combines all rational strategies to reduce pest densities to tolerable levels while maintaining a safe quality environment [7]. These farmers’ perceptions are in general similar to the results of most experimental studies but may stimulate researchers to identify new research areas. Farmers’ perception can differ profoundly from scientific knowledge, having significant implications for development [18]. Both farmers and scientific knowledge have strengths and weaknesses [18].

**Acknowledgements**

The present study was supported by Grants from Weed and vegetation program (1228 budget) of International Institute of Tropical Agriculture-Humid Forest Ecoregional Center. We thank Dr Denis Sonwa (IITA-STCP-Cameroon), Dr Ousmane Coulibay (IITA-Benin), Dr Chimère DAW (CIFOR-Cameroon), Dr Nelson Nzofor (University of Buea) and Dr Omer Njajou (University of California, San Francisco) for reading and commenting on the manuscript.

**References**

1. Kumar R: *La lutte contre les insectes ravageurs CTA-Kharthala*; 1991.
2. Chiffaud J, Mestre J: *Le criquet puant Zonocerus variegatus (Linne, 1758)*: Essai de synthèse bibliographique CIRAD-PRIFAS; 1990.
3. De Grégorio R: *Liste commentée des travaux consacrés à la morphologie, la biologie, l’éthologie, l’alimentation et le polymorphisme saisonnier du criquet puant, Zonocerus variegatus. II: Alimentation et polymorphisme saisonnier (Orthoptera: Pyrgomorphidae)*. Bull Soc Ent Fr 1989, 94:5-6.
4. Modder WW: *Control of the variegated grasshopper Zonocerus variegatus (L.) on Cassava*. African Crop Science Journal 1994, 2:391-406.
5. De Visscher MN: *Résultat de l’enquête SAS 1989 sur Zonocerus variegatus (Linne, 1758)*; Acridien ravageur communément appelé Criquet puant ou Criquet barilo RIFAS, Montpellier; 1990.
6. Chapman RF, Page WW, Mc Caffery AR: Biomicrotics of the variegated grasshopper (Zonocerus variegatus) in west and central Nigeria. African Journal of Etnobiology 1986, 3(1):475-505.
7. Modder WWD: An integrated pest management strategy for the African grasshopper Zonocerus variegatus. The Nigerian Field 1986, 51:41-52.
8. Iduwu AB, Modder WWD: Possible control of the stinking grasshopper Zonocerus variegatus (L.) (Orthoptera: Pyrgomorphidae) in ondo state, through human consumption. The Nigerian Field 1996, 61:7-14.
9. Bani G: Stratégie de lutte contre le criquet puant, Zonocerus variegatus (Orthoptera: Pyrgomorphidae) au Congo. Journal of African Zoology 1990, 104:69-76.
10. Abou-thiam : Problèmes inhérents à la lutte contre le criquet puant, Zonocerus variegatus (L.) en Afrique. Reforma 1992, 35:355-358.
11. Anonymous: International standards for phytosanitary measures: Determination of pest status in an area fAO, icpm-98/3, Annex I; 1998.
12. Brunnell JR, Grégorio R: Détermination à partir de l'étude du contenu du jabot, du régime alimentaire du criquet puant, Zonocerus variegatus (L.) (Orthoptera: Pyrgomorphidae): I. Technique et critères de reconnaissance. Ann Univ Bénin 1978, IV:103-123.
13. Modder WWD: The attraction of the weed Chromolaena odorata and associated feeding behaviour. Bull Ent Res 1984, 74:239-247.
14. Descamps M: Observations relatives au criquet migrateur africain et à quelques autres espèces d'Acrididae du Nord Cameroun. L’Agronomie Tropicale 1953, 8:567-613.
15. Lavarié E: Les principaux insectes nuisibles aux cultures au cameroun (Zone humide). – Territoire du Cameroun Inspection générale de l’Agriculture; 1954.
16. De Grégorio R, Brunel JR: Quelques observations nouvelles sur le régime alimentaire du criquet puant, Zonocerus variegatus (L.) (Orthoptera: Pyrgomorphidae). Bulletin de l’IUFAN 1977, 39:643-652.
17. Bani G: Problèmes inhérents à la lutte contre le criquet puant, Zonocerus variegatus L. en Afrique. Mem Soc Belg Ent 1992, 35:355-358.
18. Gürün BS: Insect – a mistake in god’s creation? Tharu farmer’s perspective and knowledge of insects: a case study of a rural area of dhanusa, dhangadhi, nepal. Agriculture and Human Values 2003, 20:337-370.
19. Marcia M, Katrina B: Colonist farmers’ perceptions of fertility and the frontier environment in eastern amazonia. Agriculture and Human Values 2000, 17:371-384.
20. Pouboom CFN, Awah ET, Tchuanyo M, Tengoua F: Farmer’s perception of cassava and indigenous control methods in cameroun. International Journal of Pest Management 2005, 51:157-164.
21. EPHTA: IITA dans les villages: Quelques Données de l’enquète Agricole dans les villages de Recherche EPHTA, 1996.
22. Westphal E, Embrecht J, Mboemoue P, Mouzong-Boyomo , Westphal-Stevens JMC: L’agriculture autochtone au Cameroun’ Miscellaneous papers 20: Landbouwhogeschool, Wageningen the Netherland; 1981.
23. Gueye B, Freudenberger KS: Introduction à la méthode accélérée de recherche participative (MARP). Rapid Rural Appraisal. Quelques notes pour appuyer une formation pratique. Deuxième édition; 1991.
24. Dvorak K, Izac AM: Enquêtes exploratoires pour la planification de la recherché IITA; 1995.
25. National Resource Institute: Participatory Rural Appraisal, A manual on Issues, Principles, and Tools Workshop conducted by sED, NRI, 1996.
26. La Gall P: Ecologie de Zonocerus variegatus (Linne, 1758) Rapport final du programme conjoint ORSTOM-IITA: 2000.
27. Altiere MA: The ecology and management of insect pest in traditional egroecosystems. In The proceeding of the First International Working Conference on Stored Product Entomology Savanna; Georgia; 1990:11-17.
28. Wossink GAA, Buck AJ, Van niejenhuis , Haverkamp HCM: Farmer Perceptions of Weed Control Techniques in Sugarbeet. Agricultural Systems 1997, 55:409-423.
29. Altiere MA, Rosset PM, Nicholls C: Biological control and agricultural modernization: Towards resolution of some contradictions. Agriculture and human values 1997, 14:303-310.
30. Ketterings-Quirine M, Wilbowo TT, Noordwijk MV, Penet E: Farmers’ perspectives on slash-and-burn as a land clearing method for small-scale rubber producers in Sepinggur, Jambi Prov-

Publish with BioMed Central and every scientist can read your work free of charge.

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime." Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:
- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp