Nitric oxide in cellular adaptation and disease
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ABSTRACT

Nitric oxide synthases are the major sources of nitric oxide, a critical signaling molecule involved in a wide range of cellular and physiological processes. These enzymes comprise a family of genes that are highly conserved across all eukaryotes. The three family members found in mammals are important for inter- and intra-cellular signaling in tissues that include the nervous system, the vasculature, the gut, skeletal muscle, and the immune system, among others. We summarize major advances in the understanding of biochemical and tissue-specific roles of nitric oxide synthases, with a focus on how these mechanisms enable tissue adaptation and health or dysfunction and disease. We highlight the unique mechanisms and processes of neuronal nitric oxide synthase, or NOS1. This was the first of these enzymes discovered in mammals, and yet much remains to be understood about this highly conserved and complex gene. We provide examples of two areas that will likely be of increasing importance in nitric oxide biology. These include the mechanisms by which these critical enzymes promote adaptation or disease by 1) coordinating communication by diverse cell types within a tissue and 2) directing cellular differentiation/activation decisions processes.

1. Introduction

Nitric oxide (NO) is a short-lived, gaseous, free radical that was long thought of as an air pollutant [1–3]. In the latter part of the 20th century, many studies revealed important biological roles for NO, which is produced by a small number of physiological processes. These include pathways that were once thought to be inconsequential, such as nitrite reduction, that are emerging as important facets of nitroso group metabolism [4–6]. The best studied and potentially most relevant biological source of NO is the nitric oxide synthase (NOS) family. These enzymes oxidize l-arginine to produce NO. Biochemical, genetic, and phylogenetic analyses demonstrate the critical roles of NOS enzymes in a wide range of physiological contexts [4,7,8]. There are three highly conserved mammalian NOS genes: NOS1 or neuronal NOS, NOS2 or inducible NOS, and NOS3 or endothelial NOS.

Early work on NO and NOS activity was recognized with the 1998 Nobel Prize for Physiology and Medicine, awarded to Drs. Furchgott, Ignarro, and Murad [2]. Much of this early research focused on defining the role of NO in intercellular communications such as the regulation of vascular tone (mediated by NOS3). This physiologically vital process results in relaxation of vascular smooth muscle cells through activation of soluble guanylyl cyclase and Protein Kinase G [9–12]. Additionally, NO has been implicated in retrograde neuronal communications and regulation of synaptic plasticity (mediated by NOS1) [13–15], as well as in targeting pathogens with deadly NO-derived oxidants, such as peroxynitrite (mediated by NOS2) [16,17]. This review focuses on how NO signaling in these and other contexts can promote adaptive strategies in biochemical signaling, cellular behavior, and tissue function. We discuss how appropriate adaptation of these systems can improve fitness and how maladaptive changes can cause disease (see Fig. 3).

2. Basic biology of nitric oxide synthases

2.1. Regulation of NOS catalysis

The enzymatic mechanism of NOS family members is highly conserved. All members catalyze the production of NO and l-citrulline from l-arginine and oxygen using two catalytic protein domains to promote two distinct biochemical activities. Each domain comprises a series of motifs that enable a complex series of highly regulated chemical reactions, while an additional two domains regulate this enzymatic activity (see Fig. 1). These four domains are as follows. First, the N-terminal oxygenase domain, where NO is produced, contains dimerization sites and binding sites for heme, tetrahydrobiopterin (BH4), and l-arginine. Second, the C-terminal reductase domain has binding sites for NADPH, which serves as the electron source, and the two electron carriers, flavin...
Fig. 1. Structural Diversity among NOS Enzyme Isoforms. The well-conserved protein domain structure of the NOS enzymes is depicted, both common and isoform-specific motifs are shown. Larger boxes indicate the oxygenase and reductase domains that perform the important enzymatic functions, while smaller boxes indicate the simplified positions of a variety of functional motifs, although in some cases these are distributed in a more complex manner on the actual protein structure. Both the flexible hinge domains between theavin binding sites in the reductase domain (R-Hinge) and the hinge between the oxidase and reductase domains (OR-Hinge) regulate the flow of electrons between domains, and thus enzymatic activity. Important differences between a number of NOS isoforms are indicated by arrow boxes. Isoform specific localization is directed by multiple motifs, including acylation (palmitoylation, myristoylation) and protein:protein interactions via the PDZ domain. The autoinhibitory (AID) and Akt phosphorylation sites confer regulatory constraint by cellular signaling pathways on NOS1 and NOS3 enzymatic activity, their absence contributes to the constitutive activity of NOS2. Several identified splice variants of NOS1 are indicated. nNOSβ contains all the functional domains, while nNOSγ contains an additional 34 amino acid insertion between theavin binding domain and the regulatory OR-Hinge. Conversely, nNOSβ lacks the PDZ domain and nNOSγ appears to lack enzymatic activity.

2.2. Nitric oxide at the subcellular level

The regulatory roles of NO are complex, in part because NO concentrations can vary greatly in magnitude. Physiologically, concentrations of NO can vary from the low nanomolar up to the low micromolar. Lower concentrations of NO may not be sufficient to activate all signaling pathways, but instead will preferentially stimulate pathways interacting with other proteins also modulate enzymatic activity and thereby couple NO production to major cellular signaling pathways [32-35]. It is interesting to consider that alternative NO pathways, such as nitrite reduction to NO or transfer of NO groups between proteins, would be insensitive to these reagent limitations. This would allow certain types nitrogen radical signaling to occur in biochemical niches that did not favor NO activity, and hence extend the signaling complexity of NO-dependent signal transduction.

This mechanism for NO production is highly sensitive to the availability of the reaction substrates, resulting in well-established regulation of the reaction by L-arginine levels [27]. Consumption of L-arginine through other metabolic pathways underlies several important control mechanisms for NO production. This substrate competition is well-documented, particularly in the immune response. For example, bacterial pathogens such as Helicobacter pylori possess gene products that starve macromolecules of arginine and thereby block NOS-mediated antimicrobial activity [27,28]. A similar mechanism reinforces innate immune inflammatory behavior, or polarization, by repressing macrophage NO production through arginase-mediated arginine depletion [28,30]. Competition for substrates may also play a role in coupling NO production to metabolism via NADPH levels and to oxygen availability [29,30]. Post-translational modifications of NOS enzymes or their interactions with other proteins also modulate enzymatic activity and thereby couple NO production to major cellular signaling pathways [32-35]. It is interesting to consider that alternative NO pathways, such as nitrite reduction to NO or transfer of NO groups between proteins, would be insensitive to these reagent limitations. This would allow certain types nitrogen radical signaling to occur in biochemical niches that did not favor NO activity, and hence extend the signaling complexity of NO-dependent signal transduction.
such as heme or superoxide from mitochondria. These factors can significantly limit the diffusion of NO and thus raise the possibility of physiologically important gradients in NO at the subcellular level [36].

A few lines of evidence support this concept. Some NOS enzymes occur as isoforms with distinct subcellular localizations [25,35,38-43]. If subcellular gradients of NO are not possible, then NOS activity moving from one organelle to another should not have much effect on cellular signaling pathways. Muscle cells contain a splice variant of NOS1 that localizes close to the membrane to promote vasodilation and muscle performance. Despite the presence of other splice forms elsewhere in the cell, the loss of membrane associated NOS1 leads to ischemia and inflammation [38,39]. Even the high output enzyme, NOS2, is reported to localize to the apical surface of epithelial cells through interactions via its C-terminus [43]. Subcellular location may impose other types of regulation, such as substrate availability. Nonetheless, there is a complex landscape of subcellular peaks and valleys for the concentrations or activity of other physiologically important redox-active species, with important effects on NO signaling. Some of these molecules (e.g., superoxide) can react directly with NO, and others interact indirectly through intermediates (e.g., glutathione, thioredoxin, superoxide dismutase) [36,44-50]. Heterogeneous subcellular concentrations of NO are thought to transmit information about the functional state of the cell, resulting in important cellular changes. In the following sections, we discuss some of these processes, such as the plasticity of individual synapses in neurons, compartmentalized calcium signaling in muscle cells, and the transcriptional machinery in the nuclei of macrophages that controls inflammation [38,39,51]. Because of these diverse roles, NO can also integrate signals originating from diverse processes, making it an important target for therapeutic intervention.

2.3. Conservation and diversity in the NOS family

NOS genes have been identified in a wide variety of organisms, and the protein domains encoding the enzymatic machinery are highly conserved. Some bacterially expressed synthases share the same architecture as the vertebrate oxidase domain, indicating that its catalytic mechanism could accept electrons from alternate sources. Despite this, NOS proteins from plants and algae share the same essential domain architecture as the mammalian NOS genes, including both the oxygenase and diflavin reductase domains [52-54]. Mammals encode three separate NOS genes, and while they share the same basic architecture, they serve unique and non-redundant functions [54]. In fact, these three enzymes occur in all tetrapod genomes analyzed to date, suggesting that this arrangement was fixed around the time that the lineage that gave rise to land vertebrates split from teleost fishes [53-55]. NOS1, NOS2, and NOS3 (also known as nNOS, iNOS, and eNOS, respectively) exhibit mutual sequence divergence but high individual sequence conservation, despite ~400 million years of evolution [53,54,56].

All vertebrates have highly conserved NOS1 and NOS2 genes, and NOS1 is itself similar to the NOS genes in our closest invertebrate relatives. The tunicate Ciona intestinalis is an invertebrate chordate that shares much of the sequence and even much of the intron-exon boundaries of vertebrate NOS1. We review the role of NOS1 splice variants in one specialized signaling context in our discussion of skeletal muscle. These phylogenetic observations suggest that this splice form specialization has ancient origins. The inducible NOS2 gene likely arose through gene duplication and became fixed very early in vertebrate evolution. The loss of several autoinhibitory features enables high NO output, which is frequently associated with pathogen killing by mammalian innate immunity, although the enzyme itself arose at about the same time as the emergence of the acquired immune system [53]. It is perhaps unsurprising then that NOS2 also plays important roles in B and T lymphocytes [57]. The auto-inhibitory domain lost from NOS2 is important in suppressing enzymatic activity of NOS1 and NOS3 under basal conditions. This is accomplished by expelling calcium-free CaM from the enzyme, which blocks electron transfer to the oxygenase domain [58]. Although the endothelial-associated NOS3 gene is not found in fish genomes, it is highly conserved in all tetrapods. Thus, some have theorized that NOS3 played some role in adapting to breathing air, but this role remains unclear [53,54].

NOS3 contains several unique features, compared with NOS1, including the loss of the PDZ domain, the inclusion of acylation sites in the oxygenase domain that mediate membrane localization, and modification to the hinge domain within the reductase (Fig. 2). Chimeric protein studies demonstrate that replacing the reductase-hinge (R-Hinge) domain from NOS3 with that from NOS1 is sufficient to increase

Fig. 2. Conserved Catalytic Mechanism of NOS enzymes. The catalytic mechanism of NOS enzymes is shown superimposed on the protein modular domains where the activity occurs. The role of prosthetic groups in promoting electron flow is emphasized. Electrons are derived from NADPH, then transferred to FAD, and then FMN, before being transferred ultimately to the oxidase domain of the other protein chain in the NOS homodimer (shown here happening within a monomer for simplicity). Calcium promotes the binding of calmodulin (CaM) to the OR-Hinge, inducing a structural rearrangement that allows electron transfer. The auto-inhibitory (AID) loop lies within the FMN binding domain of NOS1 and NOS3, it can dislodge CaM in the absence of Ca²⁺ and terminate enzymatic activity. When the reaction is "coupled", the Heme/BH₄ complex transfers electrons to molecular oxygen and promotes two distinct oxygenation reactions that convert 1) L-Arginine to N-hydroxyarginine (NOHA), and finally to 2) L-citrulline and NO. Loss of substrates or BH₄ can cause “uncoupling”, or release of superoxide.
the NO output and coupling efficiency of the enzyme [22,23]. These conserved changes in NOS family members could thus permit different ratios of oxygen and nitrogen radicals. Nonetheless, the strong conservation of individual NOS genes suggests that these enzymes serve important, non-redundant roles [53,54].

Of the three genes, NOS1 was first to be identified. The original name, neuronal NOS (nNOS), reflects the cell type that was initially studied, though many cell types express NOS1. It is unique among the three genes because it encodes a PDZ protein:protein interaction domain on the amino terminus. This domain is important for subcellular localization, though it is not expressed in all splice variants of NOS1 [53,59]. NOS2 was originally named inducible NOS (iNOS) for its potent, stimulus-dependent transcription in immune cells, such as macrophages. NOS3, or endothelial NOS (eNOS), is an important regulator of the vasculature [16,60–62]. NOS1 and NOS3 are constitutively expressed, and they must be activated by cellular signaling pathways. These pathways include CaM-binding to the hinge domain in response to calcium influx, and phosphorylation by the phosphatidylinositol 3-Kinase (PI3K)/Akt signaling axis. This phosphorylation does not require calcium but instead appears to facilitate the interaction of CaM with the enzymes, thereby enhancing their catalytic activity [63,64]. The upstream regulators that promote calcium flux and PI3K activity vary widely across cell types but are frequently linked to critical functions of the cell.

NOS activity thus can couple NO production to signaling pathways that are specific to cell type. Unlike the signal-regulated family members, NOS2 is constitutively due to sequence changes that permit unregulated binding to CaM. This dysregulated binding enables high-output NO production at basal cytosolic calcium levels [25]. This can deplete reaction substrates, such as ɛ-arginine, which can impose constraints on other ɛ-arginine-dependent processes, for example. Accumulating NO rapidly reacts with any superoxide present to form the highly toxic radical peroxynitrite (ONOO−). Although critically important for killing invading pathogens, peroxynitrite can cause significant damage to the host tissue. Thus, the gene is tightly regulated at the level of mRNA transcription [16,59,61,62,65]. Recognition of microbial products, such as lipopolysaccharide or certain inflammatory cytokines, can promote transcription of NOS2, which involves complex transcriptional regulation that results from macropage inflammatory polarization [66–68]. Interestingly, the NO from NOS2 has been shown to modify and inhibit the pro-inflammatory signaling pathways that promote its own transcription, which is probably one of the best-described examples of NO-mediated feedback signaling [51,69,70]. Genes in the NOS family can regulate themselves and each other using similarly complex feedback signaling. The high degree of evolutionary conservation suggest that these systems were fixed early in vertebrate evolution.

2.4. Signaling pathways activated by nitric oxide

NO transmits information by chemically modifying a diverse set of important cellular molecules. In fact, the addition of the NO group to protein underpins a signaling system that has important parallels with phosphorylation in terms of modifying protein function and propagating information [71]. NO modification of organic or inorganic moieties occurs through nitrosation or nitrosylation, respectively. Although frequently used interchangeably, these terms refer to distinct reactions. Nitrosation occurs indirectly via a nitrosonium ion, which reacts with the nucleophilic center of moieties, such as the thiol groups in cysteine residues. Nitrosation refers to both physiological signaling processes and injurious processes caused by excessive NO, but it does not apply to oxidative damage due to other molecules, such as NO2− or peroxynitrite (ONOO−) [71,72]. The more rapid nitrosylation reaction also involves the addition of the NO group, but to inorganic moieties, such as the iron in heme. Nitrosylation reactions are favored over nitrosation, and hence can occur at lower concentrations of NO. The NO moiety itself forms an excellent leaving group and can transfer to cysteines on a second protein, for example.

The NO group on the donor is thought to function like a nitrosonium ion in the reaction, which should therefore be referred to as nitrositration [72]. This transfer reaction requires protein–protein interaction between the donor and recipient and depends on the redox state of both proteins. The reactivity of the cysteine thiol is determined by factors such as pH and protein structure, suggesting that nitrositration reactions could be controlled by posttranslational modifications or even allosteric changes [71–74]. More recent studies demonstrate that cascades of these nitroso transfer reactions can serve important signaling roles in cells [71–73,75].

A canonical signaling role for NO modifications is the activation of soluble guanylate cyclase (sGC), which generates cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP). The sensitivity of the heme group in sGC to nitrosylation by NO enables this reaction to occur at low concentrations of NO. Work with cGMP biosensors demonstrate responses to NO concentrations in the low picomolar range [76]. Further, these downstream signaling pathways can increase activity with increasing NO concentrations [75–79]. The best characterized role of cGMP is the activation of Protein Kinase G, but this is only one facet of its participation in far more complex cyclic purine signaling with cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP). These second messengers direct activity of their respective ABC kinases (Protein Kinase G and Protein Kinase A), multiple ion channels, and even the phosphodiesterases which can feedback regulate the concentrations of the cyclic purines by degrading them [80].

Spatiotemporal regulation of cAMP-Protein Kinase A is highly refined, with the A Kinase Anchoring Proteins (AKAPs) shaping kinase activity at the subcellular level [81,82]. It is possible that NOS enzymes participate in similarly complex subcellular signaling cascades with cGMP, Protein Kinase G, and other adaptors. Regulation of ion channels by cGMP is critical for the study of NO in controlling smooth muscle, but cGMP-independent regulation of channels by NO also is important [80]. NOS1-derived NO nitrosates the ryanodine receptor in skeletal muscle, for example, which alleviates calcium-mediated feedback inhibition of these important channels. Loss of this circuit blocks adaptive changes of muscle to persistent loading, resulting in atrophy [39].

NOS enzymes participate in a wide array of these feedback signals, with important consequences for adaptive changes by cells and tissue [83]. NO from NOS2 inhibits NFκB signaling, and this feedback inhibits the transcription of NOS2 and other inflammatory mediators. The loss of NOS2 leads to exaggerated inflammatory responses and increased mortality in models of sepsis [51,69,84,85]. NO displays positive feedback, as well, sometimes even within the same regulatory pathway, using different concentrations of NO or different enzymes. Unlike NO from NOS2, we showed that NO from NOS1 increases proinflammatory transcription by nitrosating and inactivating SOCS1, an inhibitor of NFκB, leading to enhanced NOS2 expression and NO production [51,83]. In a model of airway sensitization, NOS3 nuclear localization regulates NOS2 activity [86,87].

NO regulates NOS activity in more complicated ways. NO changes how some cells flux calcium, which itself modifies NOS activity [41]. NO and superoxide react to form peroxynitrite, which readily oxidizes tetrahydrobiopterin. Loss of this prothetic group inhibits NO production, allowing oxygen and nitrogen radical formation to act as potent feedback inhibition on NO-mediated NO production [88]. NO also exerts profound effects on cellular metabolism by changing mitochondria. Cytochrome C oxidase, complex IV of the electron transport chain, accounts for ~90% of cellular oxygen consumption [89]. Nitrosylation of this molecule blocks its binding to oxygen and induces so-called metabolic hypoxia, rendering the cell incapable of consuming oxygen for oxidative phosphorylation [90]. This process is reversible, although peroxynitrite can target the same pathway irreversibly, a process that is involved in regulating cell survival [91,92]. A combination of these
### Physiological contexts for NOS enzymes

#### 3.1. Nervous system

Originally studied in neurons, the NOS enzymes are well characterized in these cells. In addition to anterograde synaptic signaling (from axon to dendrite), like most neurotransmitters, NO can mediate retrograde signals from the postsynaptic membrane back to the originating neuron (see Fig. 3). This modifies synaptic signaling and is thought to serve as an important feedback mechanism underpinning cellular processes, such as long-term potentiation, and neurological phenomena, such as fear conditioning. The NO-mediated cGMP/Protein Kinase G pathway can modify other important signaling pathways, such as the MAP kinases, in this case leading to enhanced ERK-mediated transcription. Multiple NOS isoforms, in this case NOS1 and NOS3, are involved in these complex, organ-wide responses.

Another recurring theme in the neuronal system is the role of NO in both physiologic and injurious processes, such as neurotoxicity. NO can be found post-synaptically in neurons, where it can be stimulated by calcium after stimulation by neurotransmitters like glutamate during axonal signaling. Excitotoxicity in these neurons leads to excessive production of NO, which can contribute to neuronal death. Generally, low doses of NO produced from NOS1 are protective, whereas high doses contribute to disease. Even this is not always the case, as NO appears to serve both protective and toxic roles at both high and low levels, depending on the progression of neurological diseases, such as Huntington's and Parkinson's Diseases. The mechanisms of NO-mediated toxicity can be linked to increased apoptosis after treatment with industrial pollutants like 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzop- 

### Vascular system

Perhaps the best-known role of NO is in vasodilation, during which NO from endothelial cell expressed NOS3 relaxes neighboring smooth muscle cells and therefore vascular tone. This mechanism was therapeutically targeted with nitroglycerin to treat chest pain long before it was understood. The NO produced by the endothelial cells lining the blood vessel signals the smooth muscles to relax and vasodilate. This signaling partially explains low vascular resistance at...
rest [112,113]. NOS3−/− mice demonstrate poor vasodilation and develop hypertension, whereas their smooth muscle relaxation can be restored with chemical donors of NO even in the absence of the endothelium [114–117]. This intercellular signal works through nitrosylation of the heme group of soluble guanylate cyclase in smooth muscle, leading to the synthesis of cGMP [77,78]. The potent second messenger promotes relaxation and vasodilation through multiple mechanisms, among which are behavioral changes of ion channels [80].

Feedback inhibition also is important in vascular tone regulation and occurs through multiple mechanisms. These include inhibition by direct nitrosation of NOS3 itself, movement of the enzyme through nitrosation of its interacting partners, and even a novel role for alpha hemoglobin in controlling NO diffusion in arterial endothelial cells [118–121]. NOS3 is not the only family member involved in these tissues, however. NOS1 has a protective role in the heart by preventing systolic and diastolic dysregulation, oxidative stress, inflammatory tissue remodeling, and arrhythmia [122–125]. NOS1 activity in non-endothelial cells also mediates vasodilation in response to indications of increased metabolic load, such as calcium signaling in muscle cells. This mechanism permits physiological adaptation by enhancing blood flow where it is needed, and it underpins pathological remodeling in response to high level NOS2 activity [126–129].

Calcium-regulated NOS enzymes also have been implicated in cardiovascular disease. NOS1 has been implicated in stroke pathology resulting from abnormal calcium handling and oxidative stress [130]. An important pathological mechanism of NOS in the vasculature involves so-called uncoupling of NOS3, wherein the enzyme uncouples its oxidase and reductase activities normally via dissociation into monomers that generate superoxide radicals rather than NO. This promotes hypertension and vascular remodeling in part by disrupting angiotensin signaling [131,132]. As discussed later in this article, the vascular NO response can be used by tissues such as skeletal muscle to regulate their perfusion in response to activity.

3.3. Gastrointestinal tract

NOS enzymes play a critical role in coordinating the action of different cells and tissues throughout the body. The gastrointestinal (GI) tract is an excellent example. Approximately 50% of nerves in the GI tract contain NOS1, and NO is a vital inhibitory neurotransmitter in this organ. Thus, NOS1−/− mice demonstrate faster relaxation of the lower esophageal sphincter compared with wild types, and higher rates of gastroparesis, and partial paralysis of the stomach. Diseases with impaired release or decreased quantities of NO (e.g., achalasia, Hirschsprung’s, hypertrophic pyloric stenosis) cause difficulty in relaxing portions of the gut [133–135]. Additionally, NOS1 in neurons of the colonic mesenteric plexus, produces NO in response to requirements for absorption, secretion, or general secretory functions [133]. NOS3 regulates mucosal blood flow [136].

NO is a critical regulator of key intestinal processes, and its dysregulation can result in disease. The physiological role and structure of the gut can make it accessible to microbial and environmental insults, including pathogens, alcohol, or pollutants in food. This can change the metabolic activity or expression of NOS enzymes, such as alcohol-induced overproduction of NOS2, which causes excess reactive oxygen species in the intestines [133,134,136]. This accessibility also makes the gut a good target for therapeutic interventions. Indeed, some current treatment strategies for GI disorders target NOS enzymes or NO directly. As in many tissues, multiple cell types (neurons, vascular, muscle, immune cells, etc.) are integrated in the digestive tract. NOS enzymes play specific roles in all of these systems, both under physiologically normal conditions as well as in disease [80–82]. NO serves a critical role in communicating and coordinating the action of diverse cell types to contribute to the physiological function of the organ.

3.4. Skeletal muscle

The story of NOS in skeletal muscle involves an intriguing role for splice variants in the adaptation of muscle to training. Both the NOS1 and NOS3 genes can produce alternative splice forms, and these variant protein structures can transmit distinct signals [137,138]. This is best characterized for the NOS1 gene, which sits on human chromosome 12, consists of 29 exons, and spans more than 240 kilobases. This highly conserved gene yields five different splice variants whose nomenclature relies on its alternate gene name, nNOS: nNOSα, nNOSβ, nNOSγ, nNOSμ, and nNOS2 [139–141]. The canonical translation start and stop sites are on exon 2 and exon 29, respectively. However, splice variant structure is determined by several factors, including alternative promoters, alternative transcription and translation start sites, exon deletions and insertions, and alternative polyadenylation signals [122,139]. Thus, nNOSα is almost full length, and nNOSμ even includes 34 additional amino acid insertions. In contrast, nNOSβ and nNOSγ lack the PDZ domain, and nNOSγ and nNOS2 demonstrate changes that modulate their catalytic efficiency [142,143].

The signaling that activates these different isoforms is thought to be similar, still requiring calcium and activity of the PI3K/Akt axis [63]. However, isoform localization can differ at the subcellular level, and these differences can change their enzymatic output or the effects of the NO produced. nNOSμ and nNOSβ are both present in skeletal muscle, and their localization differences are thought to be important for maintaining tissue integrity and functionality. Calcium signaling is integral for skeletal muscle contraction, and NOS1 enzymatic activity is triggered by these calcium transients. nNOSγ is thought to act as a sensor of muscle activity, conferring the ability to resist fatigue and adapt to persistent activation, as occurs in endurance training [39]. nNOSγ physically associates with the dystrophin glycoprotein complex (DGC), which tethers it to the sarcolemma. When the DGC fails to assemble, this membrane association is lost, as occurs in Duchenne muscular dystrophy and a murine dystrophy model. This localization is critical for the sensor function of nNOSγ, as NO from this site mediates vasodilation of nearby blood vessels and promotes blood flow and muscle performance. Blockade of this DGC-associated NO activity leads to ischemia and inflammation, presumably resulting from poor perfusion of the metabolically active muscle tissue [39,144].

In contrast, nNOSβ is localized near the sarcolemmal Golgi, where it participates in sustaining muscle force output, possibly by regulating mitochondrial function. Both splice forms appear to play roles in the resistance of muscles to fatigue. It appears that their distinct subcellular localization allows these enzymes to perform both redundant and non-redundant roles that are essential for muscle tissue performance and adaptation. In fact, endurance training results in 60% higher NOS1 expression, whereas inactivity leads to loss of NOS1 and muscle atrophy. The loss of NOS1 from the sarcolemma in the case of muscular dystrophy does not remove it from the cytosol, where it associates with ryanodine receptors on the sarcoplasmic reticulum. This calcium channel association enhances calcium leakage through direct nitrosation, which is thought to help shape and regulate the coupling of cellular excitation and muscular contraction [144,145]. However, dysregulation of this circuit caused by disease or overexertion leads to hypernitrosation of the channel and exaggerated calcium leakage, which causes weakness, fatigue, and ultimately damages the tissue [39]. Similarly, patients lacking nNOSμ altogether, such as those with Duchenne or Becker muscular dystrophies, have very low tolerances for exercise [39,141]. The intricate interplay of different NOS isoforms in distinct subcellular localizations maintains muscle integrity and promotes adaptation to new functional demands, and critically this work provides a model for developing a mechanistic understanding of these enzymes in other tissues.
3.5. Immune system

NO plays several roles in the immune response, but the best appreciated is certainly NOS2-dependent pathogen killing. Sustained, high-output NO enables macrophages to kill or control a wide array of pathogens [146]. Despite some debate about the precise role of NOS2 for human microbialic activity, it is clear that this enzyme plays important roles in several immune cell types [147]. The most studied is the role in macrophages; however, NOS2 also regulates T cells, B cells, and myeloid derived suppressor cells [146-149]. Yet, three generally accepted models for NOS2 in immunity involve producing sufficient NO for immune effector functions, such as peroxynitrite-mediated microbialic activity, depletion of reaction substrates to suppress l-arginine-dependent cellular proliferation or signaling, and changing cellular signaling in an autocrine and paracrine fashion. Dysregulated innate immune responses occur when NOS2 is deleted, suggesting that these regulatory roles are critical for normal immune function [86,150,151].

In addition to the central role of NOS2, the other NOS enzymes have important roles in the immune system [57]. NOS1 has been implicated in a handful of reports. Given the neuronal expression of this isoform, it is not surprising that it was found to be involved in viral encephalitis. Its functions outside of the CNS, such as in dendritic cell activation and allergen-induced asthma, also have been reported [152-156]. In our own studies, we observe that NOS1 is essential for macrophage inflammatory transcription and host-tissue injury in an animal model of sepsis [51]. NOS1 is activated during stimulation of Toll-like Receptor 4, the resulting NO leads to nitrosation of Suppressor of Cytokine Signaling 1 (SOCS1) on two key cysteine residues resulting in its proteasomal degradation. In the absence of NOS1, SOCS1 protein is preserved and, instead, mediates proteasomal degradation of the p65 subunit of NFkB, leaving only p50 NFkB. This preponderance of transcriptionally active p50 leads to attenuation of pro-inflammatory cytokines, explaining the protection from tissue injury observed in models of systemic inflammatory response and sepsis [51].

NO-based feedforward signals also occur in this system. Loss of NOS1 leads to a blockage in the transcription of NOS2. Intriguingly, NOS1 is localized to the nucleus of macrophages, and SOCS1 also must be in the nucleus to degrade p65 [51,157]. Nevertheless, exogenously applied NO donors are sufficient to rescue SOCS1 degradation in the absence of NOS1, suggesting that paracrine NO signaling may play a role in regulating this inflammatory circuit. This work suggests the important role of NO in orchestrating immunity, including the earliest interactions of the host with a pathogen.

4. Conclusion

The highly conserved NOS family is central to the physiological regulation of cells and tissues in every animal in which it has been studied. The biochemistry of NO explains some of these observations. NOS enzymes demonstrate exquisite regulation in response to substrate availability, transcription, translation, and cellular and tissue signaling. As a highly diffusible and diatomic gas, NO is an ideal molecule for rapidly transmitting information. Yet, its highly reactive biochemistry enables cells to control its spread by capturing it with redox-active molecules, such as glutathione or heme. This transforms the highly mobile messenger into an NO group, whose movement is tightly regulated by nitroso transferase reactions between an array of cellular molecules.

Despite this mechanistic diversity, NO permits very different cell types to communicate and coordinates complex tissue functions, such as the vasodilatory effect or gastrointestinal peristalsis. This integrative quality also allows NO to coordinate how tissue responds to changing circumstances and enables adaptive changes, such as the muscle response to endurance training or the immune response to detection of a pathogenic insult. Dysregulation of NO signaling, however, can cause pathological processes in all of these tissues. These findings make NO an attractive target for therapeutic interventions, and some targeted treatments show promise. An improved understanding of the mechanisms of NO regulation and new approaches to targeting it with specificity will be key to realizing this potential.
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