Perceived Importance of Breast Cancer Risk Factors: A Survey on 386 Physicians in China
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Abstract

There are varying definitions of women at high risk of breast cancer across different institutions, and there are reports suggesting that the breast cancer risk assessment tools have not been well integrated into clinical practice. In this study, we tried to investigate the perceived importance of different breast cancer risk factors by physicians in China. A cross-sectional survey involving 386 anonymous physicians was conducted using a 20-item, 5-point Likert scale questionnaire. The Kruskal-Wallis test and post-hoc pairwise comparisons were used to compare the differences in response. Most of the respondents were either breast surgeons/specialists (n=161; 41.7%) or medical oncologists (n=151; 39.1%), and the results showed that the breast cancer risk factors were not perceived as equally important. The weighting of each risk factor also varied depending on the physician’s medical specialty, location of practice, and the number of years of clinical experience. This study provides a more updated insight into the perceptions of physicians in China toward the breast cancer risk factors, as well as underlines the potential improvements in breast cancer risk assessment strategies that can be done.
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Introduction

Breast cancer screening is considered as one of the most important ways to improve the survival rates of breast cancer patients (Huang et al., 2019), especially when considering the rapid increase of breast cancer...
burden in China over the years (Feng et al., 2018). With the improved understanding of known risk factors on breast cancer and development of breast cancer risk assessment tools, there is ample opportunity for promoting breast cancer prevention and risk reduction. However, there are varying definitions of women at high risk of breast cancer across different institutions, and there are reports suggesting that the breast cancer risk assessment tools have not been well integrated into clinical practice (Livaudais-Toman et al., 2015).

In this study, we tried to investigate the perceived importance of different breast cancer risk factors by physicians in China. It was unknown whether their medical specialties, locations of practice, and the numbers of years of clinical experience would affect their perceptions toward the breast cancer risk factors, and we suspected that the differences in perceptions may affect the implementation and success of breast cancer risk assessment strategies in China. To the best of our knowledge, there are no studies that have explored this aspect before.

Materials and Methods

A cross-sectional survey involving 386 anonymous physicians from all over China was conducted in January 2021. The online survey invitation was sent to the department heads of hospitals around China and disseminated within their respective departments.

The questionnaire consisted of 20 items that are considered or hypothesized as breast cancer risk factors. A 5-point Likert scale ranging from “Least Important” to “Most Important” was used to determine the weighting of each risk factor. In addition, respondents were asked to indicate their medical specialties, cities and provinces of practice, and numbers of years of clinical experience.

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 25.0. After grouping the respondents based on their medical specialties, cities and provinces of practice, and numbers of years of clinical experience, the Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare the differences in response between the subgroups. Post-hoc pairwise comparisons were analyzed when a statistically significant difference was found.

Results

Characteristics of respondents

Medical specialties

Most of the respondents were either breast surgeons/specialists (n=161; 41.7%) or medical oncologists (n=151; 39.1%). There were 33 (8.5%) respondents who indicated their medical specialty as general surgeon or surgical oncologist; 20 (5.2%) respondents who were radiation oncologists or radiotherapists; 7 (1.8%) respondents who were internal medicine physicians; 6 (1.6%) respondents who did not describe their medical specialties; 3 (0.8%) respondents who were cardiothoracic surgeons; 2 (0.5%) respondents who were pathologists; and A&E physician, Chinese medicine practitioner, and plastic surgeon with 1 (0.3%) respondent each.

Survey response analysis

The 5-point Likert scale responses to the questionnaire

Table 1. The mean ± SD of the 5-Point Likert Scale Responses to the Questionnaire Items (Ranging from 1 being “Least Important” to 5 being “Most Important”)

| Risk Factor                                | Mean   | SD    |
|--------------------------------------------|--------|-------|
| Modifiable risk factors                    |        |       |
| Use of hormone replacement therapy         | 3.76   | 1.02  |
| High level of stress (>50% of time)        | 3.76   | 0.92  |
| Mental depression (at least of moderate severity) | 3.69   | 0.98  |
| Being overweight / obese                   | 3.58   | 1.02  |
| No childhood / First live birth after age 35| 3.44   | 0.99  |
| No breastfeeding                           | 3.24   | 1.18  |
| Diet rich in meat / dairy products         | 2.69   | 1.14  |
| Lack of exercise (<3 hours per week)       | 2.67   | 1.07  |
| Habit of drinking alcohol                  | 2.63   | 1.09  |
| Diet rich in soy                           | 2.08   | 1.07  |
| Non-modifiable risk factors                |        |       |
| First-degree relative with breast cancer    | 4.31   | 0.92  |
| First-degree relative with ovarian cancer   | 3.73   | 1.05  |
| Previous history of proliferative breast disease with atypia | 3.72   | 1.04 |
| Beyond first-degree relative with breast cancer | 3.46   | 0.94 |
| High breast density                        | 3.31   | 0.97  |
| Early menarche (<12 years old)             | 3.26   | 1.09  |
| Beyond first-degree relative with ovarian cancer | 3.07   | 1.02 |
| Age >65 years                              | 2.88   | 1.08  |
| Previous history of proliferative breast disease without atypia | 2.25   | 1.01  |
| Being taller than average                  | 1.64   | 0.85  |
items can be seen in Table 1. The five risk factors with the highest mean value (in descending order) were first-degree relative with breast cancer, use of hormone replacement therapy (HRT), high level of stress (>50% of time), first-degree relative with ovarian cancer, and previous history of proliferative breast disease (PBD) with atypia. The five risk factors with the lowest mean value (in ascending order) were being taller than average, diet rich in soy, previous history of PBD without atypia, habit of drinking alcohol, and lack of exercise (<3 hours per week).

The five risk factors with the highest proportion of responses being “Most Important” (in descending order) were first-degree relative with breast cancer, first-degree relative with ovarian cancer, use of HRT, previous history of PBD with atypia, and high level of stress (>50% of time). The five risk factors with the lowest proportion of responses being “Most Important” (in descending order) were being taller than average, previous history of PBD without atypia, diet rich in soy, habit of drinking alcohol, and lack of exercise (<3 hours per week).

In addition, when asked if there is any other risk factor to include, the most common answers from the respondents were irregular sleep schedule (n=17), mental health issues (n=14), and poor sleep quality (n=12).

Subgroup analysis based on the medical specialty
A statistically significant difference in responses for diet rich in soy was found (p=0.001), with a post-hoc analysis revealing that this factor was of a higher importance to medical oncologists than breast surgeons/specialists (mean rank 210.31 vs. 165.34; p=0.004). Likewise, significant differences in responses were also found for the use of HRT (p=0.022) and previous history of PBD without atypia (p=0.001). Breast surgeons/specialists perceived a higher importance in the use of HRT as a risk factor than medical oncologists (mean rank 210.84 vs. 172.8; p=0.033), and medical oncologists perceived a higher importance in the previous history of PBD without atypia as a risk factor than breast surgeons/specialists (mean rank 218.21 vs. 164.32; p<0.001).

Subgroup analysis based on the Chinese city tier system
Statistically significant differences in responses for lack of exercise (p=0.042) and age ≥65 years (p=0.031) were found. Physicians whose location of practice was in Tier 3 cities (mean rank 134.59) perceived lack of exercise as less important than physicians whose location of practice was in Tier 1 (mean rank 182.95; p=0.033) or Tier 2 cities (mean rank 186.19; p=0.043). Physicians whose location of practice was in Tier 2 cities perceived age ≥65 years as less important than physicians whose location of practice was in Tier 1 cities (mean rank 156.45 vs. 192.73; p=0.048).

Subgroup analysis based on the years of clinical experience
Statistically significant differences in responses for diet rich in meat/dairy products (p=0.003) and beyond first-degree relative with breast cancer (p=0.006) were found. Physicians with <10 years of clinical experience (mean rank 155.29) perceived diet rich in meat/dairy products as less important than physicians with 20-29 years of clinical experience (mean rank 198.67; p=0.029) and physicians with ≥30 years of clinical experience (mean rank 164.66; p=0.003). Physicians with 20-29 years of clinical experience perceived beyond first-degree relative with breast cancer as less important than physicians with <10 years of clinical experience (mean rank 164.66 vs. 216.12; p=0.003).

Discussion
Despite the improved understanding of known risk factors on breast cancer and the development of breast cancer risk assessment tools over the years, little is known about the physicians’ perception towards these risk factors. As shown in this study, the breast cancer risk factors were not perceived as equally important, and the weighting of each risk factor also varied depending on the physician’s medical specialty, location of practice, and the number of years of clinical experience.

There are several findings in this study that may have implications for improving breast cancer risk assessment strategies in China. The habit of drinking alcohol, which is known to increase risks of breast cancer and proliferative benign breast disease (Liu et al., 2015), was perceived to have a low-medium importance. This is especially concerning since the proportions of excessive drinking, frequent drinking, and binge drinking were reported to reach 51%, 7.8%, and 26.6% among Chinese women, respectively (Li et al., 2011).

Being overweight or obese was perceived to have a medium-high importance by the respondents, but the lack of exercise was perceived to be of low-medium importance. We suspect that the rapid development of China’s economy and public transport infrastructure has given rise to more walkable cities, and thus its citizens became more physically active than before (Sun et al., 2021). Nonetheless, as the dietary pattern has become more westernized and obesity is increasingly becoming more prevalent in the Chinese population (Zhai et al., 2014), breast cancer risk prevention strategies should perhaps involve more interventions in this aspect.

High levels of stress and mental depression have become increasingly important and relevant as a breast cancer risk factor, with irregular sleep schedule, poor sleep quality, and mental health issues being the most commonly suggested non-traditional risk factors for breast cancer by respondents of this study. Since emerging evidence has suggested that there is a link between sleep difficulties and carcinogenesis (Owens et al., 2016; Trudel Fitzgerald et al., 2017), the inclusion of sleep habits in breast cancer risk assessment models may be warranted.

Lastly, even after considering that most of the respondents in this study were either breast surgeons/specialists or medical oncologists, the differences found in the weighting of the risk factors between different medical specialties, locations of practice (Chinese city tier system), and the number of years of clinical experience were particularly noteworthy. A possible reason for such differences could be due to the nature of their medical practice and the patient profiles that they see more often.
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in their clinical practice. For example, surgeons tend
to see more patients with early-stage breast cancer and
oncologists tend to see more patients with late-stage breast
cancer. Such differences suggest that the adoption of
breast cancer risk assessment strategies would have to take
into account the differences in physicians’ perceptions
toward individual risk factors.

Although the interpretation of this survey’s findings
was limited by the relatively low responses from
physicians whose location of practice was in lower-tier
cities, we believe that this study provides a more updated
insight into the perceptions of physicians in China
toward the breast cancer risk factors. These findings
underline the potential improvements in breast cancer
risk assessment strategies that can be done, particularly
with the modifiable risk factors.
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