Prone position and recruitment manoeuvre: the combined effect improves oxygenation
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Abstract

Introduction: Among the various methods for improving oxygenation while decreasing the risk of ventilation-induced lung injury in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), a ventilation strategy combining prone position (PP) and recruitment manoeuvres (RMs) can be practiced. We studied the effects on oxygenation of both RM and PP applied in early ARDS patients.

Methods: We conducted a prospective study. Sixteen consecutive patients with early ARDS fulfilling our criteria (ratio of arterial oxygen partial pressure to fraction of inspired oxygen (PaO2/FiO2) 98.3 ± 28 mmHg; positive end expiratory pressure, 10.7 ± 2.8 cmH2O) were analysed. Each patient was ventilated in both the supine position (SP) and the PP (six hours in each position). A 45 cmH2O extended sigh in pressure control mode was performed at the beginning of SP (RM1), one hour after turning to the PP (RM2) and at the end of the six-hour PP period (RM3).

Results: The mean arterial oxygen partial pressure (PaO2) changes after RM1, RM2 and RM3 were 9.6%, 15% and 19%, respectively. The PaO2 improvement after a single RM was significant after RM3 only ($P < 0.05$). Improvements in PaO2 level and PaO2/FiO2 ratio were transient in SP but durable during PP. PaO2/FiO2 ratio peaked at 218 mmHg after RM3. PaO2/FiO2 changes were significant only after RM3 and in the pulmonary ARDS group ($P = 0.008$). This global strategy had a benefit with regard to oxygenation: PaO2/FiO2 ratio increased from 98.3 mmHg to 165.6 mmHg 13 hours later at the end of the study ($P < 0.05$). Plateau airway pressures decreased after each RM and over the entire PP period and significantly after RM3 ($P = 0.02$). Some reversible side effects such as significant blood arterial pressure variations were found when extended sighs were performed.

Conclusions: In our study, interventions such as a 45 cmH2O extended sigh during PP resulted in marked oxygenation improvement. Combined RM and PP led to the highest increase in PaO2/FiO2 ratio without major clinical side effects.

Introduction

Acute respiratory failure is a common pathology in intensive care units. Management of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and acute lung injury (ALI) [1] remains a problem. Life care support such as mechanical ventilation is used to maintain or improve oxygenation. Nevertheless, as is true of many therapies, side effects such as ventilation-induced lung injury (VILI) and oxygen toxicity have been described [2,3]. Moreover, increased mortality in ARDS patients is well established when patients are ventilated with high tidal volume ($V_t$) and high plateau pressure. Nowadays, low $V_t$ and limited plateau pressure below 30 cmH2O have been associated with lower mortality and less inflammation [4-6]. Mechanical ventilation is therefore recommended as a lung-protective strategy. However, such ventilator settings are reported to induce hypoxemia, hypercapnia, alveolar derecruitment and atelectasis, which also contribute to lung injury [7,8]. Inflated, poorly aerated or nonaerated airway spaces coexist, and ventilation may induce (1) shear stress at the boundaries of these spaces, (2) inadequate cyclic opening and (3) closing of alveoli. Inflammation as well as cellular and epithelial damage may be associated with this type of ventilation [9,10]. The “open lung concept” was developed to fight against these ventilatory side effects and to improve oxygenation [11-16]. Opening pressures used should recruit poorly aerated or...
nonaerated airway spaces, and once this procedure is carried out, positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP) can be applied to stabilize cyclic opening and closing of alveoli to decrease VILI and to maintain oxygenation improvement [17-21]. To reinforce this strategy, an animal study suggested that a low stretch/open lung strategy compared to a low stretch/rest lung strategy was associated with lower mortality, decreased inflammatory response, more apoptosis and less epithelial damage [22]. Prone position (PP) [23-26] and recruitment manoeuvre (RM) [27-36] have been studied, and some benefit on alveolar recruitment, VILI and oxygenation has been demonstrated [37]. In daily practice and from a practical point of view, lung-protective ventilation is recommended. In addition to this strategy, RM can be performed while patients are in supine position (SP), and they can be turned to PP if hypoxemia remains a concern. In the present study, we tested the hypothesis that RM might have a different impact on oxygenation according to whether it was performed with patient in SP or in early or late PP. We therefore conducted a prospective study to evaluate the benefits of extended sigh using 45 cmH₂O airway pressure combined with PP in acute respiratory failure.

**Materials and methods**

**Population**

From June 2002 to March 2003, we prospectively studied, during the first week of ventilation, patients with ARDS or ALI, defined according to the criteria of the ARDS American European Consensus Conference [1]. This study was approved by our local hospital ethics committee (Comité d’éthique clinic le du CHU de Besançon). Written informed consent was waived. Patients were sedated, paralysed and ventilated in the volume control mode. Vasopressive drugs and fluid resuscitation were used as required to obtain a mean arterial pressure (MAP) of 75 mmHg. Patients with uncontrolled low cardiac output, a temporary pacemaker, bronchospasm or barotrauma were excluded.

**Basic ventilation**

A lung-protective ventilation strategy was used to maintain plateau pressure below 30 cmH₂O [20]. PEEP was adjusted to obtain 92% ± 2% oxygen saturation measured via pulse oximetry (SpO₂) with fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO₂) between 60% and 80%. PEEP may have been increased to 6, 8, 10, 12 or 14 cmH₂O to achieve the above criteria. Once these FiO₂ and SpO₂ criteria had been reached, ventilatory parameters were not changed. If FiO₂ was still higher than 80% with a PEEP of 14 cmH₂O, the increase in PEEP was interrupted and the patient was included in the study at that time. The inspiratory/expiratory (I/E) ratio was adjusted between 1:2 and 1:3. Basic ventilation was used, except when RM was performed. Mount connections were systematically removed. Heat humidifiers were used.

**Recruitment manoeuvre**

The RM consisted of changing the ventilatory mode to the pressure control mode and increasing pressure levels every 30 seconds to successively obtain 35, 40 and 45 cmH₂O peak inspiratory pressures (PIP) (Figure 1). Once the 45 cmH₂O PIP had been reached, a 30-second end-inspiratory pause was performed using the inspiratory pause function. The I/E ratio was maintained at 1:1 during RM. Respiratory frequency, PEEP and FiO₂ were similar during RM. We returned to basic ventilation every 30 seconds throughout the various 30-second steps described above. At the end of the RM, previous ventilatory adjustments were applied.

**Prone position**

PP was maintained for six hours. FiO₂ may have been temporarily increased to 100% while the patient was turned, and then it decreased back to the initial FiO₂ level.

**Protocol**

Two six-hour periods were used: one with patient in SP and one in PP. The first RM was performed at the beginning of SP (one hour after stabilization), the second one was performed one hour after turning the patient to PP and the last one was performed at the end of PP (Figure 2). Ventilatory settings, gas exchanges and haemodynamic parameters were recorded each time (from time 0 to time 8) in SP and PP: at the time of inclusion, before and immediately after each RM, before PP and one hour after turning the patient to SP.

**Statistical methods**

For this descriptive and analytical study, nonparametric tests were used. The Wilcoxon paired test was carried out to compare the variables before and after recruitment manoeuvres. If the number of equal variables was high, a sign test was implemented. The quantitative variables studied are reported in the tables as means ± standard deviations. A P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The different analyses were carried out by using SYSTAT 8.0 software.

**Results**

**Population**

Table 1 shows the patient demographics. Sixteen ARDS patients were prospectively included, 12 with pulmonary ARDS and four with extrapulmonary ARDS. Thirteen patients completed the study, while for three patients the protocol was interrupted at some point. Pneumonia
and pancreatitis were the main causes of ARDS. The patients were 63 years old on average. The mean Simplified Acute Physiology Score II was 44.7. The mean number of organ failures was about two. The mortality rate was 43.7%. Seven patients died, five as a result of pulmonary ARDS and two as a result of extrapulmonary ARDS.

**Ventilatory settings**

Table 2 shows the ventilator settings maintained throughout the whole study and their different effects on peak and plateau airway pressure. These decreased after each RM and over the entire PP period. The decrease in plateau pressure was significant after RM3 ($P = 0.02$). Plateau pressures at time 8 were lower than T0, but the decrease was not statistically significant.

**Gas exchange**

Table 3 shows the effects of gas exchange.

**Impact of RM on gas exchange**

$\text{PaO}_2$ and $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2$ ratio increased after each RM. The mean $\text{PaO}_2$ changes before and after RM1, RM2 and RM3 were 9.6%, 15% and 19%, respectively. The $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2$ ratio peaked at 218 mmHg after RM3. The
improvement before and after a single RM was significant after RM3 only ($P < 0.05$). Arterial carbon dioxide partial pressure ($PaCO_2$) decreased after each RM ($P < 0.05$).

**Impact of RM on gas exchange depending on body position**

Improvements in $PaO_2$ and $PaO_2/FiO_2$ ratio were transient in SP but durable during PP between RM2 and RM3. The decrease in $PaCO_2$ after RM1 was transient in SP and durable in PP.

**Impact of the global strategy on gas exchange**

When patients were included, the $PaO_2/FiO_2$ ratio was 98.3 mmHg with 79% FiO2 and 10 cmH2O PEEP. At the end of the study, in SP and compared to the beginning, the $PaO_2/FiO_2$ ratio was significantly higher at 165.6 mmHg ($P < 0.05$). $PaCO_2$ decreased from 39 mmHg at the beginning of the study to 36.4 mmHg at the end of the study.

**Impact of RM on gas exchange depending on extrapulmonary or pulmonary ARDS**

In the pulmonary ARDS group, the $PaO_2/FiO_2$ ratio improved from $115 \pm 47$ mmHg to $128 \pm 59$ mmHg after RM1, from $162 \pm 83$ mmHg to $196 \pm 104$ mmHg after RM2 and from $185 \pm 83$ mmHg to $230 \pm 101$ mmHg after RM3. In patients with extrapulmonary ARDS, the $PaO_2/FiO_2$ ratio improved from $102 \pm 19$ mmHg to $107 \pm 22$ mmHg after RM1, from $113 \pm 12$ mmHg to $112 \pm 35$ mmHg after RM2 and from $149 \pm 23$ mmHg to $154 \pm 78$ mmHg after RM3. In these subgroups, changes in $PaO_2/FiO_2$ ratio were significant only after RM3 and only in the pulmonary ARDS group ($P = 0.008$).

**Haemodynamics**

Figure 3 shows the haemodynamic effects. Vasopressive drug infusion rates were not modified throughout the entire study. A significant decrease in MAP was found when extended sighs were performed. However, they were reversible when the manoeuvre was stopped.

**Complications**

One patient had reversible bronchoconstriction after an extended sigh. PP could not be performed in a second patient because of heart rate disorders. PP had to be interrupted in the first few minutes for a third patient because of major desaturation related to an increase in airway pressure (above 50 cmH2O) due to abdominal compartment syndrome. RM did not cause pulmonary barotrauma. Predominant dermabrasions on the chest and the abdomen as well as facial oedema were observed after PP in four patients.

**Discussion**

The main findings of our early ARDS/ALI study are that there are probable combined effects of RM and PP as well as a larger $PaO_2$ improvement when RM is performed while the patient is in PP and probably after an extended period of time.

RMs have been proved to be efficient to protect the lung while improving oxygenation [37,38]; however, a computed tomography-based study performed during

---

**Table 1 Patient population**

| Patient demographics              | Pulmonary ARDS | Extrapulmonary ARDS |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Number of patients                | 12             | 4                   |
| Average age, years                | 63             | 66                  |
| SAPS II                           | 47             | 39                  |
| Organ failure $^b$                | 2.5            | 1.75                |
| $PaO_2/FiO_2$ ratio at time 0, mmHg | 99         | 97.5                |
| Deaths, n                         | 5              | 2                   |
| Diagnosis, n                      |                |                     |
| Pneumonia                         | 9              |                     |
| Aspiration                        | 3              |                     |
| Acute pancreatitis                | 4              |                     |

$^a$ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome; SAPS II, Simplified Acute Physiology Score II; $PaO_2/FiO_2$, ratio of arterial oxygen partial pressure to fraction of inspired oxygen. $^b$Organ Dysfunction and/or Infection score was used to quantify the number of organ failures.

---

**Table 2 Ventilatory settings used during the study**

| Ventilatory setting | Time 0 | Time 1 (RM1) time 2 | Time 3 | Time 4 (RM2) time 5 | Time 6 (RM3) time 7 | Time 8 |
|---------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|---------------------|---------------------|--------|
| $V_t$, mL           | 536 ± 105 | 522 ± 106.8 | 534 ± 102 | 532 ± 102 | 511 ± 99 | 511 ± 98.7 | 512 ± 97.8 | 512 ± 98.2 | 512 ± 98 |
| RR, breaths/minute  | 19 ± 4.1 | 19.5 ± 4.1 | 19.5 ± 4.3 | 19.5 ± 4.3 | 20 ± 4.4 | 20 ± 4.4 | 20 ± 4.4 | 20 ± 4.4 | 20 ± 4.4 |
| $V_e$, L/minute     | 10.5 ± 2.3 | 10.2 ± 2 | 10.4 ± 2.2 | 10.4 ± 2.1 | 10.2 ± 2.2 | 10.2 ± 2.2 | 10.2 ± 2.2 | 10.2 ± 2.2 | 10.3 ± 2.2 |
| External PEEP, cmH2O| 9.8 ± 2.8 | 9.8 ± 2.8 | 9.8 ± 2.8 | 9.8 ± 2.8 | 10.1 ± 2.6 | 10.1 ± 2.6 | 10.1 ± 2.6 | 10.1 ± 2.6 | 10.3 ± 2.7 |
| Total PEEP, cmH2O   | 10.7 ± 2.8 | 106 ± 28 | 106 ± 28 | 106 ± 28 | 108 ± 2.7 | 109 ± 3 | 114 ± 3.3 | 105 ± 28 | 106 ± 2.9 | 108 ± 3 |
| Paw, cmH2O          | 31.7 ± 47 | 30.5 ± 6 | 30.2 ± 5.7 | 31 ± 4.9 | 29 ± 5.2 | 30.5 ± 5.2 | 29 ± 5.9 | 28 ± 5.3 | 29 ± 5.3 |
| Pplat, cmH2O        | 24.6 ± 5.8 | 24.5 ± 5.7 | 24 ± 5.5 | 25.3 ± 5$^b$ | 24.2 ± 4.6 | 24 ± 4.1 | 23.4 ± 4.9 | 227 ± 5$^c$ | 23 ± 5.1 |

$^a$Paw: peak airway pressure; Pplat: plateau pressure; $V_t$: tidal volume; RR: respiratory rate; $V_e$: minute volume; PEEP: positive end expiratory pressure; SP: supine position; PP: prone position; RM: recruitment maneuver. Ventilatory settings were measured each time (from time 0 to time 8) in SP and PP (see Figure 2): inclusion, before and after each RM, before PP, and at the end of the protocol (1 hour after turning to the SP). $^b$Time 3 versus time 2: $P = 0.035$; $^c$time 6 versus time 7: $P = 0.02$. All data are expressed as means ± standard deviations.
Table 3 Gas exchanges used during the studya

| Gas exchanges | SP       | PP       | SP       |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|
|              | Time 0   | Time 1   | Time 3   |
| pH           | 7.37 ± 0.08 | 7.37 ± 0.07 | 7.40 ± 0.009 |
| PaO2, mmHg   | 76.5 ± 19   | 85.4 ± 28   | 94.5 ± 39 |
| PaCO2, mmHg  | 39 ± 7   | 39 ± 7.7   | 35 ± 7.4  |
| PaO2/FiO2 ratio, mmHg | 98.3 ± 28 | 111.4 ± 41 | 123 ± 52.3 |

*p* ≤ 0.01; °*p* ≤ 0.001; †*p* ≤ 0.05; ‡*p* ≤ 0.01; †*p* ≤ 0.001; ‡*p* ≤ 0.001; †*p* ≤ 0.05; ‡*p* ≤ 0.01; †*p* ≤ 0.01; ‡*p* ≤ 0.01; †*p* ≤ 0.05; ‡*p* ≤ 0.05; †*p* ≤ 0.05; ‡*p* ≤ 0.01; †*p* ≤ 0.05; ‡*p* ≤ 0.05; †*p* ≤ 0.05; ‡*p* ≤ 0.05. All data are expressed as means ± standard deviations.

RM in an animal model indicated that there were no protective effects against hyperinflation because of persistent lung inhomogeneity during the RM procedure [39]. A recent PP meta-analysis suggested a positive result on oxygenation and mortality and that VILI may be reduced or delayed during PP [37,40,41]. The combination of PP and RM may be a safe strategy to use for improvement of oxygenation and to avoid VILI. However, this strategy has not been studied often in the setting of acute respiratory failure [42-45].

In an oleic acid-induced lung injury model, Cakar et al. [42] studied the combination of PP and a 60 cmH2O peak alveolar pressure sustained inflation over 30 seconds. These authors observed greater oxygen improvement with reduced alveolar stress when PP was used. Three clinical studies in humans have tested the benefits of such a strategy. The findings of those studies are summarized in Table 4.

**Oxygenation efficacy**

Our study confirms the efficacy of RM in increasing PaO2 in SP and PP. The PaO2 improvement was transient in SP. In PP, the efficacy of RM performed after either one hour or six hours was different. First, PaO2 did not decrease between the two RMs, and PaO2 changes were larger after the second RM. PP and RM may have a combined effect on PaO2, and this PaO2 improvement would be better if RM were used, probably at different times during PP and especially at the end of PP. A benefit on PaO2 was durable one hour after the end of PP. With an extended period of PP (more than 12 hours), the beneficial effect of RM while in PP remains to be demonstrated.

Pelosi et al. [43] and Oczenski et al. [44] demonstrated the efficacy of such a strategy. In Pelosi et al.’s study, sighs were used for one hour after two hours of PP. A positive PaO2 variation was found in SP and PP. In SP after RM, PaO2 returned to the baseline, whereas in PP, PaO2 remained higher than the baseline. In Oczenski et al.’s study, extended sigh was used at the end of the PP period, with a persistent increase in oxygenation while the patient was turned supine three hours later. Lim et al. [45] showed, first, with an extended sigh, an improvement in PaO2 in PP that was lower than in SP, and, second, a PEEP increase after RM prevented the after-RM decrease in PaO2/FiO2 ratio. The differences between oxygenation responses in SP and PP may be explained by two factors: Only the patients in the most severe condition with a PaO2/FiO2 ratio < 100 were turned prone in the PP group, and the basic ventilation was delivered with an 8 mL/kg Vt, which could have limited the extent of the effect of the RM [45].

**Recruitment manoeuvre strategy**

RM has been studied in experimental models and in clinical studies. An equivalent or superior efficacy of sigh or extended sigh has been demonstrated compared to continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP). In general, a 40 to 50 cmH2O peak alveolar pressure is sufficient for lung recruitment [46,47]. The different RMs used in PP are summarized in Table 4 and included sigh, extended sigh and CPAP. They demonstrated a positive effect on alveolar recruitment and oxygenation.
| Study                        | ARDS type, number of patients | Baseline ventilation | Best PaO$_2$/FiO$_2$ ratio variation (mmHg), PP + RM | Study design                                                                 |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Pelosi et al, 2003 [43]     | Early ARDS (n = 10): 6 pulmonary, 4 extrapulmonary | About 7 mL/kg 590 mL | 14 14 121 32 193 240 | Sigh: Three consecutive volume-limited breaths/minute with a plateau pressure of 45 cmH$_2$O Following period of the study: 2-hour baseline SP 1-hour sigh SP 1-hour baseline SP 2-hour baseline PP 1-hour sigh PP 1-hour baseline PP Measurements taken at end of each period |
| Lim et al, 2003 [45]         | Early ARDS (n = 47): 37 pulmonary, 10 extrapulmonary 19 patients from a preliminary study | About 8 mL/kg 20 10 128 - 166 200 | Sigh: Three consecutive volume-limited breaths/minute with a plateau pressure of 45 cmH$_2$O Extended sigh Inflation phase: PEEP was increased by 5 cmH$_2$O every 30 seconds with a 2 mL/kg decrease in $V_t$. When PEEP reached 25 cmH$_2$O, CPAP at 30 cmH$_2$O was used for 30 seconds. Deflation phase | Following period of the study: Patients were randomised into two arms: (1) RM + PEEP at 15 cmH$_2$O (n = 20) or (2) PEEP alone at 15 cmH$_2$O (n = 8). A third arm of patients from a preliminary study were analysed. RM only (n = 19). PP was used only if PaO$_2$/FiO$_2$ ratio was < 100 (n = 14). The protocol started after 2-hour PP. Data were recorded before and after RM + PEEP (or PEEP only or RM only) at 15, 30, 45 and 60 minutes after the protocol. |
| Oczenski et al, 2005 [44]   | Early ARDS (n = 15): all extrapulmonary | About 6 mL/kg 460 to 490 mL | 18 15 130 29 176 322 | CPAP: 50 cmH$_2$O for 30 seconds Following period of the study: After 6-hour PP period, RM was performed. Data were recorded in SP after 6 hours PP and 3, 30 and 180 minutes after RM in SP. |
| Rival et al, 2011 (present study) | Early ARDS (n = 16): 12 pulmonary, 4 extrapulmonary | - 540 mL 19 10 98 25 177 218 | Sigh: Three consecutive volume-limited breaths/minute with a plateau pressure of 45 cmH$_2$O Extended sigh inflation phase: Pressure levels 30, 35, 40 and 45 cmH$_2$O every 30 seconds were used. At 45 cmH$_2$O, a 30-second end inspiratory pause was performed. Deflation phase | Following period of the study: 6-hour SP with RM at beginning of SP. Six-hour PP with two RM after 1 hour and 6-hour PP. Measurements taken at beginning of, before and after each RM, and also at end of each ventilation period and 1 hour after end of protocol. |

*ARDS: acute respiratory distress syndrome; $V_t$: tidal volume; RR: respiratory rate; PEEP: positive end expiratory pressure; PP: prone position; SP: supine position; RM: recruitment manoeuvre; PaO$_2$/FiO$_2$ ratio, ratio of arterial oxygen partial pressure to fraction of inspired oxygen; Pplat: plateau pressure; CPAP, continuous positive airway pressure.
in SP or PP. In our study, we practiced a RM using pressure control mode, and pressure was progressively increased in steps. The maximum pressure used was 45 cmH₂O. Compared with RMs described in literature, our method presents some sufficient features to open lung [37,48] with a gradual increase of airway pressure during sufficient time to induce progressive alveolar recruitment and more homogeneous distribution of pressure throughout lung parenchyma. PEEP probably may be increased to stabilize alveolar recruitment and PaO₂ in SP.

Respiratory mechanics
In the present study, plateau pressures and PaCO₂ decreased throughout the PP period and after each RM. PaCO₂ decreased from 39 mmHg to 36.4 mmHg, and plateau pressure decreased from 24.6 cmH₂O to 23 cmH₂O. These results indirectly suggest changes in compliance and alveolar recruitment. Pelosi et al. [43] confirmed the benefit of such a ventilatory strategy: In their study, PaCO₂ showed a decreasing pattern and end expiratory lung volume in PP was higher after RM than it was in SP (277 ± 198 mL vs. 68 ± 83 mL). Compliance followed the same improvement [43].

Compilations
In our study, the protocol had to be interrupted once for arrhythmia and once for bronchoconstriction. Transient hypotension was noted, but MAP remained normal at the end of RM. In a systematic RM review, hypotension (12%) and desaturation (9%) were the most common adverse events. Serious adverse events (barotrauma and arrhythmia) were uncommon [49]. In an experimental model, a decrease in cardiac output was observed [50]. Nielsen et al. [51] tested the impact of RM in hypovolemia, normovolemia and hypervolemia. Lung RMs significantly decreased left ventricular end diastolic volume as well as cardiac output during hypovolemia. Caution should be taken, and volemia should be evaluated before starting a RM.

Methodological considerations and limitations
This study has several limitations. We are unable to argue for the long-lasting effect of the RM and PP combination on PaO₂ and the benefit of such a strategy performed in all early ALI/ARDS groups. These questions require the enrolment of patients in a crossover study and follow-up of PaO₂ while the patient is returned to SP. Such a study remains to be done. However, the response with regard to PaO₂ is quite substantial and already has clinical significance. Because of the relatively small number of patients in our study, we were unable to sort patients according to the type of ARDS (lobar, patchy or diffuse ARDS).

The mechanisms of PaO₂ improvement cannot be emphasized in our study. With the observed change in plateau pressure for a given V₀, an increase in compliance and an improvement in residual capacity are likely. It would be interesting to measure alveolar recruitment and compliance. As the RM was considered part of daily care, Swan-Ganz catheterisation and cardiac ultrasonography were not systematically performed during the procedure. We do not have the data to analyse the transient haemodynamic instability which occurred during some RMs.

Conclusions
In clinical practice, and when RM may be used to improve PaO₂ and decrease VILI, RM may be useful during PP and probably needs to be performed when the patient has been in PP for some time to obtain a full response. Whether a better response is obtained after a longer period of time in PP remains to be demonstrated. The pressure control mode used in our study was as efficient as other methods. However, the place of this strategy needs to be determined in ARDS patients who fail to respond to usual treatment so as not to delay the use of rescue treatments such as extra-corporeal membrane oxygenation.

Key messages
- RM can be used in SP or PP to improve oxygenation.
- A pressure control mode was as efficient as other RMs.
- A probable combined effect on oxygenation exists between PP and RM.
- The combination of PP and RM may be assessed several times, preferably when the patient has been in PP for a few hours.
- No significant side effects were encountered in our study.
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