Low-momentum interactions with Brown-Rho-Ericson scalings and the density dependence of the nuclear symmetry energy
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We have calculated the nuclear symmetry energy $E_{\text{sym}}(\rho)$ up to densities of $4 \sim 5\rho_0$ with the effects from the Brown-Rho (BR) and Ericson scalings for the in-medium mesons included. Using the $V_{\text{low-k}}$ low-momentum interaction with and without such scalings, the equations of state (EOS) of symmetric and asymmetric nuclear matter have been calculated using a ring-diagram formalism where the particle-particle-hole-hole ring diagrams are included to all orders. The EOS for symmetric nuclear matter and neutron matter obtained with linear BR scaling are both overly stiff compared with the empirical constraints of Danielewicz et al. [9]. In contrast, satisfactory results are obtained by either using the nonlinear Ericson scaling or by adding a Skyrme-type three-nucleon force (TNF) to the unscaled $V_{\text{low-k}}$ interaction. Our results for $E_{\text{sym}}(\rho)$ obtained with the nonlinear Ericson scaling are in good agreement with the empirical values of Tsang et al. [3] and Li et al. [4], while those with TNF are slightly below these values. For densities below the nuclear saturation density $\rho_0$, the results of the above calculations are nearly equivalent to each other and all in satisfactory agreement with the empirical values.

PACS numbers: 21.65.Jk, 21.65.Mn, 13.75.Cs

I. INTRODUCTION

The nuclear matter symmetry energy is an important as well as very interesting subject in nuclear and astrophysical physics. As reviewed extensively in the literature [1, 8], it plays a crucial role in determining many important nuclear properties, such as the neutron skin of nuclear systems, structure of nuclei near the drip line, and neutron stars’ masses and radii. It is especially of importance that constraints on the nuclear matter equation of state (EOS) [9] and the density ($\rho$) dependence of the symmetry energy $E_{\text{sym}}(\rho)$ [10] up to $\rho \sim 4\rho_0$ have been experimentally extracted from heavy-ion collisions, $\rho_0$ being the saturation density of symmetric nuclear matter. There have been a large number of theoretical derivations of $E_{\text{sym}}(\rho)$ using, for example, the Brueckner Hartree-Fock (BHF) [11, 13], Dirac BHF [14, 16], variational [17], relativistic mean field (RMF) [18] and Skyrme HF [19] many-body methods. The results of these theoretical investigations have exhibited, however, large variations for $E_{\text{sym}}(\rho)$. Depending on the interactions and many-body methods used, they can give either a ‘hard’ $E_{\text{sym}}(\rho)$, in the sense that it increases monotonically with $\rho$ up to $\sim 5\rho_0$, or a ‘soft’ one where $E_{\text{sym}}(\rho)$ arises to a maximum value at $\rho \sim 1.5\rho_0$ and then descends to zero at $\sim 3\rho_0$ [4, 8]. It appears that the predicted behavior of $E_{\text{sym}}(\rho)$ may depend importantly on the nucleon-nucleon (NN) interactions and the many-body methods employed.

In the present work, we shall calculate the nuclear symmetry energy using the low-momentum interaction $V_{\text{low-k}}$ derived from realistic NN interactions $V_{NN}$ using a renormalization group approach [21, 22]. To our knowledge, this renormalized interaction has not yet been applied to the study of $E_{\text{sym}}$. As it is well known, most realistic $V_{NN}$ contain hard cores, or strong short-range repulsions. This feature makes these interactions not suitable for being directly used in nuclear many-body calculations; they need to be ‘tamed’ beforehand. For many years, this taming is enacted by way of the BHF theory where $V_{NN}$ is converted into the Brueckner G-matrix. A complication of the G-matrix is its energy dependence (see e.g. [26]), making it rather inconvenient for calculations. In the $V_{\text{low-k}}$ approach, a different ‘taming’ procedure is employed; it is performed by ‘integrating out’ the high-momentum components of $V_{NN}$ beyond a decimation scale $\Lambda$. In this way, the resulting $V_{\text{low-k}}$ is energy independent. Furthermore $V_{\text{low-k}}$ is nearly unique, namely the $V_{\text{low-k}}$ deduced from various realistic $V_{NN}$ potentials (such as [27, 30]) are nearly identical to each other for decimation scale $\Lambda \sim 2\text{fm}^{-1}$ [23, 24].

Using this $V_{\text{low-k}}$ interaction, we shall first calculate the equations of state (EOS) $E(\rho, \alpha)$ for asymmetric nuclear matter, from which $E_{\text{sym}}(\rho)$ can be obtained. Here $E$ is the ground-state energy per nucleon and $\rho$ is the total baryon density. $\alpha$ is the isospin asymmetry parameter defined as $\alpha = (n - p)/\rho$, where $n$ and $p$ denote, respectively, the neutron and proton density and $\rho = n + p$. Our EOS will be calculated using a ring-diagram many-body method [21, 23]. As we shall discuss later, this method includes the particle-particle hole-hole (pphh) ring diagrams to all orders. In comparison, only the diagrams with two hole lines are included in the familiar HF, BHF and DBHF calculations. In other words, in these HF methods a closed Fermi sea is employed while in the ring-diagram framework the effects from the fluctuations of the Fermi sea are taken into account by including the pphh ring diagrams to all orders.
The nuclear symmetry energy $E_{\text{sym}}(\rho)$ is related to the asymmetric nuclear matter EOS by

$$E(\rho, \alpha) = E(\rho, \alpha = 0) + E_{\text{sym}}(\rho)\alpha^2 + O(\alpha^4). \quad (1)$$

The contributions from terms of orders higher than $\alpha^2$ are usually negligibly small, as illustrated by our results in section III. With such contributions neglected, we have

$$E_{\text{sym}}(\rho) = E(\rho, 1) - E(\rho, 0). \quad (2)$$

Then the symmetry energy is just given by the energy difference between neutron and symmetric nuclear matter. In calculating $E_{\text{sym}}(\rho)$, the above EOS clearly play an important role. In our calculation, we shall require that the NN interaction and many-body methods employed should give satisfactory results for $E(\rho, 1)$ and $E(\rho, 0)$ of, respectively, neutron and symmetric nuclear matter. The use of $V_{\text{low}-k}$ alone, however, has not been able to reproduce the empirical nuclear saturation properties, the predicted saturation density and binding energy per particle being too large compared with the empirical values of $\rho_0 \approx 0.16\text{fm}^{-3}$ and $E \approx -16\text{MeV}$ for symmetric nuclear matter $^{31, 52}$. To improve the situation, it may be necessary to include the effects from Brown-Rho (BR) scaling $^{34-36}$ for the in-medium mesons, or a three-nucleon force (TNF) $^{10}$. BR scaling is suitable only for the low density region; it suggests that the masses of light vector mesons in medium are reduced ‘linearly’ with the density. We consider here the EOS up to about $5\rho_0$ and at such high density the linear BR scaling is clearly not applicable. In the present work we shall adopt the nonlinear Ericson scaling $^{41}$ for the in-medium mesons and apply it to our $E_{\text{sym}}(\rho)$ calculations. The effects from the linear BR and nonlinear Ericson scalings on the nuclear EOS and symmetry energy will be studied.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In section II we shall briefly describe our derivation of the low-momentum interaction $V_{\text{low}-k}$ using a $T$-matrix equivalence approach. Some details about the calculation of the EOS for asymmetric nuclear matter from this interaction with the $pphh$ ring diagrams summed to all orders will also be presented. The Ericson scaling is a nonlinear extension of linear BR scaling. The difference between them will be addressed in this section. Our results will be presented and discussed in section III. A summary and conclusion is contained in section IV.

II. FORMALISM

We shall calculate $E_{\text{sym}}(\rho)$ using a low-momentum ring-diagram approach $^{31, 33}$, where the $pphh$ ring diagrams are summed to all orders within a model space of decimation scale $\Lambda$. In this approach, we employ the low-momentum interaction $V_{\text{low}-k}$ $^{21, 22}$. Briefly speaking, this interaction is obtained by solving the following $T$-matrix equivalence equations:

$$T(k', k, k^2) = V_{\text{NN}}(k', k) + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{V_{\text{NN}}(k', q)T(q, k, k^2)}{k^2 - q^2} q^2 dq, \quad (3)$$

$$T_{\text{low}-k}(k', k, k^2) = V_{\text{low}-k}(k', k) + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\Lambda \frac{V_{\text{low}-k}(k', q)T_{\text{low}-k}(q, k, k^2)}{k^2 - q^2} q^2 dq, \quad (4)$$

$$T(k', k, k^2) = T_{\text{low}-k}(k', k, k^2); (k', k) \leq \Lambda. \quad (5)$$

In the above $V_{\text{NN}}$ represents a realistic NN interaction such as the CDBon potential $^{27}$. $P$ denotes principal-value integration and the intermediate state momentum $q$ is integrated from 0 to $\infty$ for the whole-space $T$ and from 0 to $\Lambda$ for $T_{\text{low}-k}$. The above $V_{\text{low}-k}$ preserves the low-energy phase shifts (up to energy $\Lambda^2$) and the deuteron binding energy of $V_{\text{NN}}$. Since $V_{\text{low}-k}$ is obtained by integrating out the high-momentum components of $V_{\text{NN}}$, it is a smooth ‘tamed’ potential which is suitable for being used directly in many-body calculations.
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**FIG. 1:** Sample ring diagram included in the equation of state $E(\rho, \alpha)$. Each wave line represents a $V_{\text{NN}}$ vertex. The HF one-bubble insertions to the Fermion lines are included to all orders.

We use a ring-diagram method $^{31, 33}$ to calculate the nuclear matter EOS. In this method, the ground-state energy is expressed as $E(\rho, \alpha) = E^{\text{free}}(\rho, \alpha) + \Delta E(\rho, \alpha)$ where $E^{\text{free}}$ denotes the free (non-interacting) EOS and $\Delta E$ is the energy shift due to the NN interaction. In our ring-diagram approach, $\Delta E$ is given by the all-order sum of the $pphh$ ring diagrams as illustrated in Fig. 1. Note that we include three types of ring diagrams, the proton-proton, neutron-neutron and proton-neutron ones. The proton and neutron Fermi momenta are, respectively, $k_{Fp} = (3\pi^2 \rho_p)^{1/3}$ and $k_{Fn} = (3\pi^2 \rho_n)^{1/3}$. With such ring diagrams summed to all orders, we have
the quark condensate

\[ \Delta E(\rho, \alpha) = \int_0^1 d\lambda \sum_m \sum_{ijkl<\lambda} Y^*_m(ij, \lambda) \times \frac{Y^*_m(kl, \lambda)}{|V_{\text{low} - k}|k}, \]

where the transition amplitudes \( Y \) are obtained from a \( pphh \) RPA equation \[31,32\]. Note that \( \lambda \) is a strength parameter, integrated from 0 to 1. The above ring-diagram method reduces to the usual HF method if only the first-order ring diagram is included. In this case, the above energy shift becomes \( \Delta E(\rho, \alpha)_{HF} = \frac{1}{2} \sum n_in_j \langle ij | V_{\text{low} - k} | ij \rangle \) where \( n_k=(1,0) \) if \( k \leq > \) \( k_{F_p} \) for proton and \( n_k=(1,0) \) if \( k \leq > \) \( k_{F_n} \) for neutron.

It is well known that the use of the free-space \( V_{NN} \) alone is not adequate for describing nuclear properties at high densities. To satisfactorily describe such properties, one may need to include the three-nucleon force \[40\] or the in-medium modifications to the nuclear interaction. In the present work, we shall employ in our EOS calculations nuclear interactions which contain the in-medium modifications suggested by the Brown-Rho (BR) \[34,35\] and Ericson \[41\] scalings. These scalings are based on the relation \[34,35,39\] that hadron masses scale with the quark condensate \( \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \) in medium as

\[ \frac{m^*}{m} = \left( \frac{\langle \bar{q}q(\rho) \rangle}{\langle \bar{q}q(0) \rangle} \right)^{1/3} \]

where \( m^* \) is the hadron mass in a medium of density \( \rho \), and \( m \) is that in free space. The quark condensate \( \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \) measures the chiral symmetry breaking, and its density dependence in the low-density limit is related \[42,43\] to the free \( \pi N \) sigma term \( \Sigma_{\pi N} \) by

\[ \frac{\langle \bar{q}q(\rho) \rangle}{\langle \bar{q}q(0) \rangle} = 1 - \rho \Sigma_{\pi N} \frac{f_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi}^2}{f_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi}^2} \]

where \( f_{\pi} = 93 \text{MeV} \) is the pion decay constant and \( \Sigma_{\pi N} = 45 \pm 7 \text{MeV} \) \[44\]. Applying the above scaling to mesons in low-density nuclear medium, one has the linear scaling \[35\]

\[ \frac{m^*}{m} = 1 - C \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \]

where \( m^* \) and \( m \) are, respectively, the in-medium and free meson mass, and \( C \) is a constant of value \( \sim 0.15 \). The above scaling will be referred to as the linear BR scaling. Nucleon-nucleon interactions are mediated by meson exchanges, and clearly the in-medium modifications of meson masses can significantly alter the NN interaction. These modifications could arise from the partial restoration of chiral symmetry at finite density/temperature or from traditional many-body effects. Particularly important are the vector mesons for which there is now evidence from both theory \[33,45,46\] and experiment \[47,48\] that the masses may decrease by approximately 10–15% at normal nuclear matter density and zero temperature. (Pions are not scaled because they are protected by chiral symmetry.) Density-dependent nuclear interactions obtained by applying the above scaling to the light mesons (\( \omega, \rho \) and \( \sigma \)) which mediate the NN potential have been employed in studying the properties of nuclear matter \[31,32,36,37\] and the \( ^{14}C \rightarrow ^{14}N \) \( \beta \)-decay \[38\].

We are interested in the EOS and \( E_{\text{sym}} \) up to densities as high as \( \rho \simeq 5 \rho_0 \), and at such high densities the above linear scaling is clearly not suitable. How to scale the mesons in such high density region is still by large uncertain. We shall adopt here the Ericson scaling \[41\] which is an extension of the BR scaling. In this scaling, a new relation for the quark condensate \( \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \) based on chiral symmetry breaking is employed, namely

\[ \frac{\langle \bar{q}q(\rho) \rangle}{\langle \bar{q}q(0) \rangle} = \left( \frac{1}{1 + C \frac{\rho}{\rho_0}} \right)^{1/3} \]

Note that this relation agrees with the linear scaling relation of Eq.(8) for small \( \rho \). The above scaling suggests a non-linear scaling for meson mass

\[ \frac{m^*}{m} = \left( \frac{1}{1 + D \frac{\rho}{\rho_0}} \right)^{1/3} \]

with \( D = \frac{\rho \Sigma_{\pi N} f_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi}^2}{f_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi}^2} \), and we shall refer to this scaling as the nonlinear Brown-Rho-Ericson (BRE) scaling. Using the empirical values for \( (\Sigma_{\pi N}, \rho_0, f_{\pi}, m_{\pi} \) \( \sigma \)), we have \( D = 0.35 \pm 0.06 \). In the present work, we shall employ the one-boson exchange BonnA potential \[30\] with its \( (\rho, \omega, \sigma \) mesons scaled using both the linear (Eq.(9)) and nonlinear (Eq.(11)) scalings. This potential is chosen because it has a relatively simple structure which is convenient for scaling its meson parameters.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Using both the unscaled and scaled BonnA potentials, we first calculate the ring-diagram EOS for symmetric nuclear matter to investigate if they can give saturation properties in good agreement with the empirical values. We employ the low-momentum interactions \( V_{\text{low} - k} \) from these potentials using a decimation \( \Lambda = 3.0 \text{fm}^{-1} \), which is chosen because we are to study the EOS up to high densities of \( \sim 5 \rho_0 \). As shown in Fig. \( 2 \), the EOS (labelled ‘\( V_{\text{low} - k} \) alone’) calculated with the unscaled potential saturates at \( k_F \simeq 1.8 \text{fm}^{-1} \), which is too large compared with the empirical value, and it also overbinds nuclear matter. We then repeat the calculation including the medium modifications from the BR scalings. For the linear BR scaling (Eq.(9)), we have used \( C_\omega = 0.128, C_\rho = 0.113 \) and \( C_\sigma = 0.102 \). These parameters are chosen so as to have satisfactory saturation properties, namely they give \( E_0/A \simeq 15.5 \text{MeV} \) and \( \rho_0 \simeq 0.17 \text{fm}^{-3} \). In Fig.
From Eqs.(7-11), we see that the parameters \( s \) obtained from the density dependence of quark condensates is \( 0.29 \lesssim D \lesssim 0.41 \) and \( C \simeq D/3 \). It is noteworthy that the \( C \) and \( D \) parameters we have employed in the EOS calculations agree well with the above theoretical values.

As also seen from Fig. 2, the above equivalence begins to disappear for densities larger than \( \rho_0 \). There the EOS given by the linear scaling is much stiffer than that given by the nonlinear one; the difference between them becomes larger and larger as density increases. In addition to the above two EOS, we have also calculated an EOS using the interaction given by the sum of the unscaled \( V_{\text{low-}k} \) and an empirical Skyrme three-nucleon force (TNF). The well-known empirical Skyrme force \([49]\) is of the form

\[
V_{\text{Skyrme}} = \sum_{i<j} V(i,j) + \sum_{i<j<k} V(i,j,k),
\]

where \( V(i,j) \) is a two-nucleon momentum dependent interaction, and \( V(i,j,k) \) is a zero-range three-nucleon interaction which has played an indispensable role for nuclear saturation. For nucleons in a nuclear medium of density \( \rho \), this three-nucleon force becomes a density-dependent two-nucleon force commonly written as

\[
V_\rho(i,j) = \frac{t_3}{6} \rho \delta(\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j). \tag{13}
\]

In Fig. 2 the EOS labelled ‘\( V_{\text{low-}k} \) with TNF’ is obtained using the combined interaction of \( V_{\text{low-}k} \) (unscaled) and \( V_\rho \). The parameter \( t_3 \) is adjusted so that the resulting EOS gives satisfactory saturation properties for symmetric nuclear matter. The EOS shown has \( t_3=2000 \) MeV-fm\(^6\).

It is of interest that the above three EOS (linear BR and nonlinear BRE, TNF) are nearly identical for densities \( \lesssim \rho_0 \), but they deviate from each other with increasing densities. Without experimental guidelines about the nuclear matter EOS above \( \rho_0 \), it would be difficult to determine which of these three EOS has the correct high density behavior. Fortunately, heavy-ion collision experiments conducted during the last several years have provided us with constraints of the EOS at high densities. Danielewicz et al. \([9]\) have obtained a constraint on the EOS for symmetric nuclear matter of densities between \( 2\rho_0 \) and \( 4.5\rho_0 \), as shown by the red solid-line box in Fig. 3. Comparing our three EOSs with their constraint, the linear BR EOS is clearly not consistent with the constraint and should be ruled out. This linear scaling is suitable for low densities, but definitely needs modification at high densities. It is primarily for this purpose that we have considered the nonlinear scaling. As displayed in Fig. 3, the EOS with the nonlinear BRE scaling is in much better agreement with the constraint than the linear BR one. It satisfies the constraint well except being slightly above the constraint at densities near \( \sim 4.5\rho_0 \). It is of interest that the EOS using \( V_{\text{low-}k} \) with the Skyrme-type TNF exhibits even better agreement with the constraint.

So far we have studied the effects of the BR scalings and the TNF three-nucleon force on the EOS for symmetric nuclear matter. The neutron matter EOS is also an interesting and important topic \([50,51]\). It plays a crucial role in determining the nuclear symmetry energies as well as the properties of neutron stars. It should be of interest to study also the effects of the above BR/BRE scalings and the TNF force on the EOS of neutron matter. Using the same \( V_{\text{low-}k} \) ring-diagram framework employed...
for symmetric nuclear matter and the same $C$, $D$ and $t_3$ parameters, we have calculated the neutron matter EOS up to $4.5\rho_0$. Our calculated neutron-matter EOS are displayed in Fig. 4. Danielewicz et al. [8] have given two different constraints for the neutron matter EOS: a stiff one (upper black solid-line box) and a soft one (lower red solid-line box) which are both displayed in Fig. 4. As we can see, the linear BR EOS is again producing too much pressure. The nonlinear BRE EOS agrees well with the stiff constraint (upper box) while the TNF EOS is fully within the soft constraint box. To further test these two EOS (nonlinear BRE and TNF), it would be very helpful to have narrower experimental constraints on the neutron matter EOS.

FIG. 4: Comparison of the calculated equations of state for neutron-matter with the constraints of Danielewicz [8]. See text for more explanations.

The symmetry energy $E_{\text{sym}}$ is a topic of much current interest, and extensive studies have been carried out to extract its density dependence from heavy-ion collision experiments [3, 10]. Based on such experiments, Li et al. [10] suggested an empirical relation

$$E_{\text{sym}}(\rho) \approx 31.6(\rho/\rho_0)^\gamma; \quad \gamma = 0.69 - 1.1, \quad (14)$$

for constraining the density dependence of the symmetry energy. Also based on such experiments, Tsang et al. [7] recently proposed a new empirical relation for the symmetry energy, namely

$$E_{\text{sym}}(\rho) = \frac{C_{s,k}}{2}(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0})^{2/3} + \frac{C_{s,p}}{2}(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0})^{\gamma_i} \quad (15)$$

where $C_{s,k} = 25\text{MeV}$, $C_{s,p} = 35.2\text{MeV}$ and $\gamma_i \approx 0.7$. It should be useful and of interest to check if our calculated $E_{\text{sym}}(\rho)$ is consistent with the above relations.

Using the ring-diagram framework described earlier, we have calculated the ground-state energy $E(\rho,\alpha)$ for asymmetric nuclear matter. (Recall that the asymmetry parameter is $\alpha = (\rho_n - \rho_p)/\rho$.) Some representative results are shown in Fig. 5: the results in the left panel are obtained with the 'V$_{\text{low-k}}$ with TNF' interaction while for the right panel the 'nonlinear BRE' interaction is used. As seen, $E(\rho,\alpha)$ varies with $\alpha^2$ almost perfectly linearly, for a wide range of $\rho$. (Note that in Fig. 5 we plot the energy difference $E_{\text{sym}}(\rho,\alpha) - E_{\text{sym}}(\rho,0)$.) This is a desirable and remarkable result, indicating that our ring-diagram symmetry energy can be accurately obtained from the simple relation given by Eq.(2), namely the energy difference between neutron and symmetric nuclear matter.

In Fig. 6, the 'shaded area' represents the empirical constraint, Eq.(14), of Li et al. [10]. As seen, there are large uncertainties in the high-density region. The empirical relation Eq.(15) of Tsang et al. [7] is given by the 'second curve from bottom' in the figure. As seen, the density dependence of this relation is slightly below the softest limit (lower boundary of the shaded area) of Eq.(14). Our 'nonlinear BRE' results are in the middle of the shaded area, in good agreement with the empirical constraint of [10]. Our results with the TNF force are below the empirical ones of both [10] and [7], giving a softer density dependence than both. It may be noticed that for densities ($\rho \lesssim \rho_0$), the calculated and empirical results are all in good agreement with each other. The symmetry energies given by them at $\rho_0$ are all close to $\sim 30\text{MeV}$, which is also the only well determined empirical value. Furthermore, our calculated symmetry energies all increase monotonically with density. We have required our nuclear matter EOS to satisfy certain empirical constraints, and with such requirements it may be difficult for our present calculations to have a soft $E_{\text{sym}}(\rho)$ as soft as the supersoft one of [8] which saturates at density near $\sim 1.5\rho_0$.

We have found that our symmetry energies can be well fitted by expressions of the same forms as Eqs.(14) and (15), with the exponents $\gamma$ and $\gamma_i$ treated as parameters. In Table 1, we compare the exponents determined from our results with the empirical ones of [10] and [7]. The $\gamma$ exponent given by the nonlinear BRE scaling is in good
agreement with the empirical values of \[10\]. The empirical \(\gamma_i\) of \[7\] is, however, about half-way between the \(\gamma_i\) obtained with 'nonlinear BRE' and that with 'TNF'.

![FIG. 6: Comparison of the density dependence of our calculated symmetry energies with the empirical results of \[2\] (dot-dash line) and \[10\] (shaded area).](image)

To have satisfactory agreements with such constraints, we have found that the symmetry energies obtained with the nonlinear BRE scaling are in good agreement with the Danielewicz constraints. We have found that the EOS obtained with the nonlinear Brown-Rho-Ericson scaling are in good agreement with the Danielewicz constraints. We have considered another method to render the effective interaction density dependent, namely adding a Skyrme-type three-nucleon force (TNF) to the unscaled \(V_{\text{low-k}}\) interaction. The EOS so obtained are also in good agreement with the Danielewicz constraints, but the resulting neutron matter EOS is significantly softer than that with the nonlinear scaling. The three methods (linear and nonlinear scalings, and TNF) all have reproduced well the empirical saturation properties of nuclear matter (\(\rho_0 \approx 0.17\text{fm}^{-3}\) and \(E_0/A \approx -15\text{MeV}\)), but their results at high densities are different. We have determined the scaling parameters \(C\) (linear BR scaling) and \(D\) (nonlinear BRE scaling) by fitting the above saturation properties. It is encouraging that the results, \((0.102 \lesssim C \lesssim 0.128)\) and \((0.30 \lesssim D \lesssim 0.40)\), so obtained are actually in good agreement with the theoretical result \(D \approx 0.35 \pm 0.06 \approx 3C\) given by Eqs.(7-11).

Including the above medium modifications, we proceed to calculate the nuclear symmetry energies. We have found that the \(E_{\text{sym}}(\rho, \alpha)\) given by our asymmetric ring-diagram calculations depends on \(\alpha^2\) almost perfectly linearly. This is a rather surprising and useful result, suggesting that the symmetry energy can be reliably obtained from the simple energy difference between symmetric nuclear matter and neutron matter. Our symmetry energies obtained with the nonlinear BRE scaling agree well with the empirical constraints of \[10\], and are slightly above the empirical values of \[7\]. Our results with the TNF force is slightly below the empirical results of both \[11\] and \[7\]. The non-linear Ericson scaling has given satisfactory results for the equations of states of nuclear matter and nuclear symmetry energies up to a density of \(\sim 5\rho_0\). We believe this scaling provides a suitable extension of the linear BR scaling to moderately high densities of \(\lesssim 5\rho_0\). Our calculated \(E_{\text{sym}}(\rho)\) all increase monotonically with \(\rho\) up to \(\sim 5\rho_0\). It may be of interest to carry out further studies about the possibility of obtaining a supersoft symmetry energy which may saturate at some low density of \(\sim 1.5\rho_0\).

### IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

Employing the \(V_{\text{low-k}}\) low-momentum interactions, we have calculated the nuclear symmetry energy \(E_{\text{sym}}(\rho)\) up to a density of \(\sim 5\rho_0\) using a ring-diagram framework where \(pphh\) ring diagrams are summed to all orders. We first calculate the EOS for symmetric nuclear matter and neutron matter and compare our results with the corresponding empirical constraints of Danielewicz et al. \[3\]. To have satisfactory agreements with such constraints, we have found it necessary to include certain medium corrections to the free-space NN interactions. In other words, the effective NN interactions in medium are different from those in free space, and when using them in nuclear many-body problems it may be necessary to include the renormalization effects due to the presence of other nucleons. We have considered several methods to incorporate such medium corrections. Although the nuclear matter saturation properties can satisfactorily be reproduced by including the medium corrections from the well-known linear Brown-Rho scaling for the in-medium mesons, this scaling produces an EOS which is too stiff compared with the Danielewicz constraints. We have considered another method to render the effective interaction density dependent, namely adding a Skyrme-type three-nucleon force (TNF) to the unscaled \(V_{\text{low-k}}\) interaction. The EOS so obtained are also in good agreement with the Danielewicz constraints, but the resulting neutron matter EOS is significantly softer than that with the nonlinear scaling. The three methods (linear and nonlinear scalings, and TNF) all have reproduced well the empirical saturation properties of nuclear matter (\(\rho_0 \approx 0.17\text{fm}^{-3}\) and \(E_0/A \approx -15\text{MeV}\)), but their results at high densities are different. We have determined the scaling parameters \(C\) (linear BR scaling) and \(D\) (nonlinear BRE scaling) by fitting the above saturation properties. It is encouraging that the results, \((0.102 \lesssim C \lesssim 0.128)\) and \((0.30 \lesssim D \lesssim 0.40)\), so obtained are actually in good agreement with the theoretical result \(D \approx 0.35 \pm 0.06 \approx 3C\) given by Eqs.(7-11).

Including the above medium modifications, we proceed to calculate the nuclear symmetry energies. We have found that the \(E_{\text{sym}}(\rho, \alpha)\) given by our asymmetric ring-diagram calculations depends on \(\alpha^2\) almost perfectly linearly. This is a rather surprising and useful result, suggesting that the symmetry energy can be reliably obtained from the simple energy difference between symmetric nuclear matter and neutron matter. Our symmetry energies obtained with the nonlinear BRE scaling agree well with the empirical constraints of \[10\], and are slightly above the empirical values of \[7\]. Our results with the TNF force is slightly below the empirical results of both \[11\] and \[7\]. The non-linear Ericson scaling has given satisfactory results for the equations of states of nuclear matter and nuclear symmetry energies up to a density of \(\sim 5\rho_0\). We believe this scaling provides a suitable extension of the linear BR scaling to moderately high densities of \(\lesssim 5\rho_0\). Our calculated \(E_{\text{sym}}(\rho)\) all increase monotonically with \(\rho\) up to \(\sim 5\rho_0\). It may be of interest to carry out further studies about the possibility of obtaining a supersoft symmetry energy which may saturate at some low density of \(\sim 1.5\rho_0\).

### Acknowledgement

We thank Professor Danielwicz for sending us the experimental data, and G.E. Brown and E. Shuryak for many helpful discussions. This work is supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under Grant Nos. DE-FG02-88ER40388 and DE-FG02-03ER41270 (R.M.), and the U.S. National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY-0099444.

---

[1] V. Baran et al., Phys. Rep. 410, 335(2005).

[2] A. W. Steiner, M. Prakash, J. Lattimer and P.J. Ellis,
[3] J. M. Lattimer and M. Prakash, Phys. Rep. 411, 325 (2005).
[4] B. A. Li, L. W. Chen and C. M. Ko, Phys. Rep. 464, 113 (2007).
[5] M. Di Toro et al., Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 62, 383 (2008).
[6] F. Sammarruca, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 19, 1259 (2010); arXiv:1002.0146 [nucl-th].
[7] M.B. Tsang, Yingxun Zhang, P. Danielewicz, M. Faminao, Zhuxia Li, W.G. Lynch and A.W. Steiner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 122701 (2009).
[8] Xiao et al., Phy. Rev. Lett. 102, 062502 (2009).
[9] P. Danielewicz, R. Lacey and W. G. Lynch, Science 298, 1592 (2002).
[10] B.A. Li and L.W. Chen, Phys. Rev. C72, 064611 (2005).
[11] I. Bombaci and U. Lombardo, Phys. Rev. C44, 1892 (1991).
[12] W.Zuo, A. Leguene, U. Lombardo, and J. F. Mathiot, Eur. Phys. J. A14, 469 (2002).
[13] Z. H. Li et al., Phys. Rev. C74, 047304 (2006).
[14] D. Alonso and F. Sammarruca, Phys. Rev. C67, 054301 (2003).
[15] P. Krastev and F. Sammarruca, Phys. Rev. C74, 025808 (2006).
[16] E.N.E. van Dalen, C. Fuchs and A. Faessler, Eur. Phys. J. A31, 29 (2007).
[17] R.B. Wiringa et al., Phys. Rev. C38, 1010 (1988).
[18] L.W. Chen et al., Phys. Rev. C76, 054316 (2007).
[19] L. W. Chen, C. M. Ko and B. A. Li, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 032701 (2005).
[20] A. Akmal, V.R. Pandharipande and D.G. Ravenhall, Phys. Rev. C58, 1804 (1998).
[21] S.K. Bogner, T.T.S. Kuo, L. Coraggio, A. Covello, Nucl. Phys. A684, 432 (2001).
[22] S.K. Bogner, T.T.S. Kuo, L. Coraggio, A. Covello and N. Itaco, Phys. Rev. C65, 051301 (2002).
[23] S.K. Bogner, T.T.S.Kuo and A. Schwenk, Phys. Rep. 386, 1 (2003).
[24] S.K. Bogner, T.T.S.Kuo, A. Schwenk, D. R. Entem and R. Machleidt, Phys. Lett. B576, 265 (2003).
[25] J. D. Holt, T. T. S. Kuo and G. E. Brown, Phys. Rev. C 69 (2004) 034329.
[26] T.T.S. Kuo, Z. Y. Ma and R. Vinh Mau, Phys. Rev. C 33, 717 (1986).