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This paper is devoted to study of differential calculi over quadratic algebras, which arise in the theory of quantum bounded symmetric domains. We prove that in the quantum case dimensions of the homogeneous components of the graded vector spaces of \(k\)-forms are the same as in the classical case. This result is well-known for quantum matrices.

The quadratic algebras, which we consider in the present paper, are q-analogues of the polynomial algebras on prehomogeneous vector spaces of commutative parabolic type. This enables us to prove that the de Rham complex is isomorphic to the dual of a quantum analogue of the generalized Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand resolution.
I. INTRODUCTION

In his works Rubenthaler introduces and studies prehomogeneous vector spaces of commutative parabolic type\(^4^3\). Their quantum analogues are crucial in the theory of quantum bounded symmetric domains. More exactly, an irreducible bounded symmetric domain admits a standard realisation as a unit ball in some finite dimensional complex Banach space, which is a prehomogeneous vector space of commutative parabolic type.

The simplest example of such a vector space is a vector space of complex \(m \times n\) matrices considered as a space of linear maps from \(\mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n\). The algebra of polynomial functions on this space is a \(U\mathfrak{sl}_{m+n}\)-module algebra by the following reason. There exists a natural correspondence between linear maps from \(\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m\) and an open subset in \(\text{Gr}(n, n + m)\), the Grassman manifold of \(n\)-dimensional subspaces in \(\mathbb{C}^{n+m}\). Namely, to any linear map we assign its graph.

Since the group \(S(GL_m \times GL_n)\) acts naturally on the space of \(m \times n\)-matrices, we see that the latter vector space is a prehomogeneous vector space of commutative parabolic type.

Note, that the algebra \(U\mathfrak{sl}_{m+n}\) is much bigger than \(U\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{gl}_m \times \mathfrak{gl}_n)\). Therefore the study of the \(U\mathfrak{sl}_{m+n}\)-symmetry (sometimes it is called a hidden symmetry) gives much more information than the study of the obvious \(S(GL_n \times GL_n)\)-symmetry. In the middle of 90’s it became clear that the quantum matrices also have a hidden symmetry because it is well known that the quantum analogue of the polynomial algebra on the space of \(m \times n\) matrices is a \(U_q\mathfrak{sl}_{m+n}\)-module algebra\(^4^5\).

Now let us consider the general case. Let \(\mathfrak{g}\) be a simple complex finite dimensional Lie algebra, and let \(\mathfrak{t}\) be its reductive subalgebra of the same rank. Let \(P(T)\) be the parabolic subgroup of \(G\) with the reductive part \(T\) (here the Lie groups \(G, T\) correspond to \(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t}\)). Clearly, the polynomial algebra on \(G/P(T)\) has a structure of \(U\mathfrak{t}\)-module algebra, and it is not difficult to see that it has a structure of \(U\mathfrak{g}\)-module.
algebra. A quantum analogue of this fact is also true what was shown in.\cite{44}

In our paper we obtain a number of results about the $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-covariant differential calculi on the polynomial algebra on quantum prehomogeneous vector spaces constructed in.\cite{44} Our goal is to produce new series of quantum Harish-Chandra modules using the method of cohomological induction. As it was shown in,\cite{1} the generalized Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand (BGG) resolutions are extremely important in this theory. It is known that the generalized BGG resolution of the trivial $U\mathfrak{g}$-module is dual to the De Rham resolution. We obtain a quantum analogue of this result which is new even in the special case of quantum matrices.

First we prove that the Hilbert series for the spaces of differential forms on the considered prehomogeneous spaces for classical and related to them quantum groups coincide. For case of matrices this was shown in\cite{39}. Next we prove that the polynomial algebras on quantum prehomogeneous vector spaces of commutative type are quadratic algebras. Our proof does not require separate consideration of serial and exceptional simple Lie algebras (cf.\cite{23,28}).

Similar ideas were used in the recent works of I. Heckenberger and S. Kolb\cite{20}. In the main text we will compare our methods and results.

Finally, we would like to note that a hidden symmetry can be used in the study of determinantal varieties, as it was shown in the recent papers of Enright and his coauthors.\cite{11,12}

\section{II. THE $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-MODULE ALGEBRA $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$}

Consider a simple complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with the Chevalley generators $\{H_i, E_i, F_i\}_{i=1,2,\ldots,l}$. Let $\mathfrak{h}$, $\mathfrak{n}^+$, $\mathfrak{n}^-$ be the Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by $\{H_i\}_{i=1,2,\ldots,l}$, $\{E_i\}_{i=1,2,\ldots,l}$, and $\{F_i\}_{i=1,2,\ldots,l}$, respectively.

Let $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1,2,\ldots,l}$ be a system of simple roots and $\mathbf{a} = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1,2,\ldots,l}$ be the Cartan matrix: $a_{ij} = \alpha_j(H_i)$. The set of fundamental weights $\{\varpi_j\}_{j=1,2,\ldots,l}$ forms a basis in
\( \mathfrak{h}^* \cong \mathbb{C}^l \) and one has \( \alpha_j = \sum_{i=1}^l a_{ij} \omega_i \). There exists a unique sequence of coprime positive integers \( d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_l \) such that

\[
d_i a_{ij} = d_j a_{ji}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, l,
\]

and the bilinear form in \( \mathfrak{h}^* \) given by

\[
(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = d_i a_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, l,
\]

is positive definite. In this setting, \( (\omega_i, \alpha_j) = d_i \delta_{ij} \).

Recall the standard notation \( Q = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \mathbb{Z} \alpha_i \) for the root lattice, \( P = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \mathbb{Z} \omega_i \) for the weight lattice, and also \( Q_+ = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \mathbb{Z}_+ \alpha_i \), \( P_+ = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \mathbb{Z}_+ \omega_i \).

The maximal root of \( \mathfrak{g} \) is given by a linear combination of its simple roots

\[
\sum_{j=1}^l n_j \alpha_j, \quad n_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+.
\]

Fix a simple root \( \alpha_{l_0} \) used in the above sum with the coefficient 1: \( n_{l_0} = 1 \). The tables in\(^4\) allow one to distinguish easily all simple roots of this kind.

Let \( H_0 \) be a linear combination of \( H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_l \) determined by

\[
\alpha_j(H_0) = \begin{cases} 
2, & j = l_0 \\
0, & j \neq l_0.
\end{cases}
\]

Introduce the notation \( \mathfrak{p}^-, \mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{p}^+ \) for the eigenspaces of \( \text{ad}_{H_0} \) which correspond to the eigenvalues \(-2, 0, 2\), respectively. Thus we get a decomposition

\[ \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}^- \oplus \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}^+, \]

where \( \mathfrak{p}^\pm \) are commutative Lie algebras. They are called prehomogeneous vector spaces of commutative parabolic type\(^{43}\).

We are interested in a quantum analogue for the algebra of differential forms with polynomial coefficients on the vector space \( \mathfrak{p}^- \).

Let \( s = \text{card}(P/Q) \). We assume that all algebras in this paper are unital and they are defined over the field \( \mathbb{C}(q^{\frac{1}{s}}) \), the field of rational functions in \( q^{\frac{1}{s}} \).
Consider the Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum universal enveloping algebra $U_{qg}$. It is determined by its generators $\{K_i^{\pm1}, E_i, F_i\}_{i=1,2,\ldots,l}$ and the well-known relations [24, p. 52]. It is a Hopf algebra with the comultiplication

$$\Delta(K_i^{\pm1}) = K_i^{\pm1} \otimes K_i^{\pm1}, \quad \Delta(E_i) = E_i \otimes 1 + K_i \otimes E_i, \quad \Delta(F_i) = F_i \otimes K_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes F_i,$$

the antipode $S$, and the counity $\varepsilon$.

In what follows all the $U_{qg}$-modules are left $U_{qg}$-modules, unless the contrary is stated explicitly.

Let $q^j = q^{d_j}$. All the $U_{qg}$-modules, which we consider, are weight modules:

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^l} V_\lambda, \quad V_\lambda = \{v \in V \mid K_i^{\pm1} v = q_j^{\pm\lambda_j} v, \; j = 1, 2, \ldots, l\}.$$

This allows one to introduce the linear operators $\{H_j\}_{j=1,2,\ldots,l}$, (hence also $H_0$) as follows:

$$H_j|_{V_\lambda} = \lambda_j, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^l,$$

and to consider the following grading on $V$:

$$V = \bigoplus_r V[r], \quad V[r] = \{v \in V \mid H_0 v = 2rv\}.$$

In what follows all the $U_{qg}$-modules are equipped with this grading.

By definition we set $V^* = \bigoplus_r V[r]^*$ in the category of graded vector spaces. $V^*$ has the following $U_{qg}$-module structure:

$$\xi l(v) = l(S(\xi)v), \quad \xi \in U_{qg}, \; v \in V, \; l \in V^*.$$

We need the generalized Verma modules $N(q^+, \lambda)$, which are defined as follows.

Let $U_{q^+}$ be the Hopf subalgebra generated by $\{K_i^{\pm1}, E_i, F_i\}_{i \neq l_0} \cup \{K_{l_0}^{\pm1}, E_{l_0}\}$ and

$$\mathcal{P}_+ = \mathbb{Z}_{l_0}^{l_0-1} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{l_0}^{l_0} \hookrightarrow P, \quad (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_l) \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^l n_j \bar{\omega}_j,$$

$\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_+$. Consider the simple finite dimensional $U_{q^+}$-module $L(q^+, \lambda)$ with the highest weight $\lambda$, together with the induced $U_{qg}$-module $N(q^+, \lambda) = U_{qg} \otimes_{U_{q^+}}$
\( L(q^+, \lambda) \). It is easy to show that \( N(q^+, \lambda) \) admits a description in terms of a generator \( v(q^+, \lambda) \) and the defining relations

\[
E_i v(q^+, \lambda) = 0, \quad K_i^{\pm 1} v(q^+, \lambda) = q_i^{\pm \lambda_i} v(q^+, \lambda), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, l,
\]

\[
F_j^{\lambda_j + 1} v(q^+, \lambda) = 0, \quad j \neq l_0;
\]

for a similar result in the classical case \( q = 1 \) see\(^{34}\).

Turn to producing a \( U_q g \)-module algebra \( \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q \) that will work as a \( q \)-analogue of the \( Ug \)-module algebra of polynomials on the vector space \( p^- \). Consider the graded vector space \( N(q^+, 0) \) together with the dual graded vector space \( \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q = N(q^+, 0)^* \).

We equip \( N(q^+, 0) \) with a structure of graded coalgebra and \( \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q \) with a structure of graded algebra by duality.

This approach was used by Drinfeld when he constructed algebras of functions on quantum groups. It is based on the fact that the vector space that is dual to a coalgebra is an algebra. Note that the tensor factors when passing to dual spaces remain non-permuted. On the contrary, in the tensor category of \( U_q g \)-modules one has \( V_1^* \otimes V_2^* \hookrightarrow (V_2 \otimes V_1)^* \), that is, the tensor factors change their places under passing to dual spaces. This inconsistence can be avoided by using the Hopf algebra \( U_q g^\text{cop} \) which is just \( U_q g \), but its comultiplication is replaced with the opposite one.

Let us treat the generalized Verma modules \( N(q^+, \lambda) \) as the objects in the tensor category of \( U_q g^\text{cop} \)-modules, and the corresponding dual graded modules over the quantum universal enveloping algebra as the objects in the tensor category of \( U_q g \)-modules. This convention yields a canonical isomorphism

\[
(N(q^+, \lambda) \otimes N(q^+, \mu))^* \cong N(q^+, \lambda)^* \otimes N(q^+, \lambda)^*;
\]

which allows one to avoid permutation of the tensor factors.

The morphism

\[
\Delta_0 : N(q^+, 0) \rightarrow N(q^+, 0) \otimes N(q^+, 0), \quad \Delta_0 : v(q^+, 0) \mapsto v(q^+, 0) \otimes v(q^+, 0)
\]
in the tensor category of $U_q\mathfrak{g}^{\text{cop}}$-modules equips the generalized Verma module $N(q^+, 0)$ with a structure of graded $U_q\mathfrak{g}^{\text{cop}}$-module coalgebra.

The adjoint linear map $m : \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q \otimes \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q \to \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q$ to $\triangle_0$ is a morphism of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-modules and equips $\mathbb{C}[p^-]_q$ with a structure of graded $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-module algebra (see\(^{44}\)).

So we obtain a $q$-analogue for the polynomial algebra on $p^-$. 

One should note that in the papers by Joseph and his coauthors (see, e.g.,\(^{26}\)) a more general class of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-module algebras is treated.

### III. COVARIANT DIFFERENTIAL CALCULI

In the classical case $q = 1$, the linear map that is adjoint to a morphism of the generalized Verma modules appears to be a covariant differential operator on $p^-$, see\(^{16}\). This fact can be used as a hint to apply a duality argument in the quantum case to produce a first order differential calculus over $\mathbb{C}[p^-]_q$, see\(^{44}\). Let us recall some definitions\(^{30}\).

Let $F$ be an algebra. A first order differential calculus over $F$ is an $F$-bimodule $M$ together with a linear map $d : F \to M$ such that:

1. for all $f_1, f_2 \in F$
   \[
   d(f_1 \cdot f_2) = df_1 \cdot f_2 + f_1 \cdot df_2; \tag{2}
   \]

2. $M$ is a linear span of the vectors $f_1 \cdot df_2 \cdot f_3$ with $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in F$.

Let $A$ be a Hopf algebra and let $F$ be an $A$-module algebra. A first order differential calculus $(M, d)$ over $F$ is called covariant if $M$ is an $A$-module $F$-bimodule and $d$ is a morphism of $A$-modules. An isomorphism of such calculi is introduced in a natural way.

Our next step is to produce a covariant first order differential calculus over $\mathbb{C}[p^-]_q$. 
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Given any \( \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_+ \), the generalized Verma module \( N(q^+, \lambda) \) is a \( U_q \mathfrak{g}^{\text{cop}} \)-module \( N(q^+, 0) \)-bicomodule:

\[
N(q^+, \lambda) \to N(q^+, 0) \otimes N(q^+, \lambda), \quad v(q^+, \lambda) \mapsto v(q^+, 0) \otimes v(q^+, \lambda),
\]
\[
N(q^+, \lambda) \to N(q^+, \lambda) \otimes N(q^+, 0), \quad v(q^+, \lambda) \mapsto v(q^+, \lambda) \otimes v(q^+, 0).
\]

Hence the dual graded vector space is a \( U_q \mathfrak{g} \)-module \( C[p^-]_q \)-bimodule. In particular,

\[
\Lambda^1(p^-)_q \overset{\text{def}}{=} N(q^+, -\alpha_{t_0})^*
\]

is a \( U_q \mathfrak{g} \)-module \( C[p^-]_q \)-module. It is a \( q \)-analogue for the space of 1-forms with polynomial coefficients.

Here the linear operator that is dual to the following morphism of the generalized Verma modules

\[
N(q^+, -\alpha_{t_0}) \to N(q^+, 0), \quad v(q^+, -\alpha_{t_0}) \mapsto F_{t_0} v(q^+, 0),
\]

is called a differential. Our definitions imply (2), together with the claim that the differential \( d : C[p^-]_q \to \Lambda^1(p^-)_q \) is a morphism of \( U_q \mathfrak{g} \)-modules. In the sequel it is shown that \( \Lambda^1(p^-)_q \) is a linear span of \( \{ f_1 \cdot df_2 \cdot f_3 | f_1, f_2, f_3 \in C[p^-]_q \} \).

The results obtained by Heckenberger and Kolb allow one to assume that, under some reasonable irreducibility assumptions, this covariant first order differential calculus is unique up to isomorphism.

Consider a graded algebra \( \Omega = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \Omega_i \) along with a linear degree 1 map \( d : \Omega \to \Omega \). The pair \( (\Omega, d) \) is called a differential graded algebra if \( d^2 = 0 \) and

\[
d(\omega' \cdot \omega'') = d\omega' \cdot \omega'' + (-1)^n \omega' \cdot d\omega'', \quad \omega', \omega'' \in \Omega_n, \quad \omega', \omega'' \in \Omega.
\]

A differential calculus over an algebra \( F \) is a differential graded algebra \( (\Omega, d) \) such that \( \Omega_0 = F \), and \( \Omega \) is generated by \( \Omega_0 \oplus d\Omega_0 \).

Suppose that a first order differential calculus \( (M, d) \) over \( F \) is given. By definition, the associated universal differential calculus \( (\Omega^{\text{univ}}, d^{\text{univ}}) \) over this algebra should have the following properties:
1. $\Omega^{\text{univ}} = M$;

2. $d^{\text{univ}}|_F = d$;

3. given a differential calculus $(\Omega', d')$ over $F$ which satisfies the above two properties ($\Omega'_1 = M$, $d'|_F = d$), there exists a homomorphism of differential graded algebras $\Omega^{\text{univ}} \to \Omega'$ which is the identity when restricted to $\Omega^{\text{univ}}_0 \oplus \Omega^{\text{univ}}_1$.

Consider a Hopf algebra $A$ and an $A$-module algebra $F$. A differential calculus $(\Omega, d)$ is said to be covariant if $\Omega$ is an $A$-module algebra and $d$ is an endomorphism of the $A$-module $\Omega$. It is known$^{30}$, p. 463 – 464, that the universal differential calculus exists and is unique up to isomorphism. It is covariant if the original first order differential calculus possesses this property.

**Remark.** The covariance notion we use here is more general than that in$^{30}$ where it is implicit that all the considered $U_q g$-modules are $U_q g$-finite. This difference does not affect the proof of covariance for the universal differential calculus expounded in$^{30}$, p. 464.

In what follows, we obtain a series of results on the universal differential calculus $(\Lambda(p^-)_q, d)$ of the first order differential calculus $(\Lambda^1(p^-)_q, d)$.

**IV. PBW-BASES AND R-MATRICES**

Recall the standard notation and some well-known results. Let $U_q \mathfrak{h}$, $U_q \mathfrak{n}^+$, $U_q \mathfrak{n}^-$ be the subalgebras of $U_q g$ generated by $\{K_i^{\pm1}\}_{i=1,2,...,l}$, $\{E_i\}_{i=1,2,...,l}$, and $\{F_i\}_{i=1,2,...,l}$, respectively. The linear maps

$$ U_q \mathfrak{n}^- \otimes U_q \mathfrak{h} \otimes U_q \mathfrak{n}^+ \to U_q g, \quad u^- \otimes u^0 \otimes u^+ \mapsto u^- u^0 u^+, $$

$$ U_q \mathfrak{n}^+ \otimes U_q \mathfrak{h} \otimes U_q \mathfrak{n}^- \to U_q g, \quad u^+ \otimes u^0 \otimes u^- \mapsto u^+ u^0 u^- $$

are the isomorphisms of vector spaces$^{24}$, p. 66.
We are to construct bases for the vector spaces $U_q \mathfrak{h}$, $U_q \mathfrak{n}^\pm$, together with the associated bases for $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ that are similar to the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt bases. Obviously, the elements $K_1^{j_1} K_2^{j_2} \ldots K_l^{j_l}$, with $j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_l \in \mathbb{Z}$, form a basis of $U_q \mathfrak{h}$. What remains is to produce the bases of $U_q \mathfrak{n}^+$, $U_q \mathfrak{n}^-$. Obviously we have

$$\mathfrak{h}^* \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{C}^l, \quad \lambda \mapsto (\lambda(H_1), \lambda(H_2), \ldots, \lambda(H_l)).$$

The decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$ determines an associated decomposition $\Phi = \Phi^+ \cup \Phi^-$ for the set of roots of $\mathfrak{g}$.

The Weyl group $W$ is generated by the simple reflections

$$s_i : \lambda \mapsto \lambda - \lambda(H_i) \alpha_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, l,$$

in $\mathfrak{h}^*$. Choose a reduced expression

$$w_0 = s_{i_r} s_{i_r} s_{i_r} \ldots s_{i_1}$$

(4)

of the longest element $w_0$ of $W$. It determines an associated linear order

$$\beta_1 = \alpha_{i_1}, \quad \beta_2 = s_i(\alpha_{i_2}), \quad \ldots, \quad \beta_M = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \ldots s_{i_{M-1}}(\alpha_{i_M})$$

(5)

on $\Phi^+$. Let us use the Lusztig braid group representation by the automorphisms $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n$ of the algebra $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ to obtain “$q$-analogues of the root vectors” $E_{\beta_k}$, $F_{\beta_k}$ (see, e.g., $^7, ^{24}, ^{42}$). The following proposition was proved by Lusztig.

**Proposition 1** The monomials

$$E_{\beta_1}^{j_1} E_{\beta_2}^{j_2} \ldots E_{\beta_M}^{j_M}, \quad j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_M \in \mathbb{Z}^+,$$

(6)

form a basis in $U_q \mathfrak{n}^+$, and the monomials

$$F_{\beta_M}^{j_M} F_{\beta_{M-1}}^{j_{M-1}} \ldots F_{\beta_1}^{j_1}, \quad j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_M \in \mathbb{Z}^+,$$

(7)

form a basis in $U_q \mathfrak{n}^-$.
Further we present here the commutation relations obtained by Levendorski and Soibelman\(^7\), p. 67–68.

**Proposition 2**  1. For all \(i < j\)

\[
E_{\beta_i} E_{\beta_j} - q^{(\beta_i, \beta_j)} E_{\beta_j} E_{\beta_i} = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+^M} C'_m(q) \cdot E^m, \\
F_{\beta_i} F_{\beta_j} - q^{-(\beta_i, \beta_j)} F_{\beta_j} F_{\beta_i} = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+^M} C''_m(q) \cdot F^m,
\]

with \(m = (m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_M)\), \(E^m = E^{m_1}_{\beta_1} E^{m_2}_{\beta_2} \cdots E^{m_M}_{\beta_M}\), \(F^m = F^{m_M}_{\beta_M} F^{m_{M-1}}_{\beta_{M-1}} \cdots F^{m_1}_{\beta_1}\). The coefficients \(C'_m(q), C''_m(q)\) can be non-zeros only when \(m_1 = m_2 = \ldots = m_i = 0\) and \(m_j = m_{j+1} = \ldots = m_M = 0\).

2. \(C'_m(q), C''_m(q) \in \mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]\).

**Corollary 1** The algebra \(U_q\mathfrak{g}\) is a domain.

**Corollary 2** The monomials

\[
E^{j_M}_{\beta_M} E^{j_{M-1}}_{\beta_{M-1}} \cdots E^{j_1}_{\beta_1}, \quad j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_M \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \]

form a basis in \(U_q\mathfrak{n}^+\), and the monomials

\[
F^{j_1}_{\beta_1} F^{j_2}_{\beta_2} \cdots F^{j_M}_{\beta_M}, \quad j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_M \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \]

form a basis in \(U_q\mathfrak{n}^-\).

Consider the Hopf algebras \(U_q\mathfrak{b}^+, U_q\mathfrak{b}^-\) generated by \(\{K_i^{\pm 1}, E_i\}_{i=1,2,\ldots,l}\) and \(\{K_i^{\pm 1}, F_i\}_{i=1,2,\ldots,l}\), respectively. The \(U_q\mathfrak{g}\)-modules discussed in this paper are weight and \(U_q\mathfrak{b}^+\)-finite (or \(U_q\mathfrak{b}^-\)-finite), that is, \(\dim(U_q\mathfrak{b}^+ \cdot v) < \infty\) for each vector \(v\) (respectively, \(\dim(U_q\mathfrak{b}^- \cdot v) < \infty\)).

Consider a pair of weight \(U_q\mathfrak{g}\)-modules \(V', V''\). Assume that either \(V'\) is \(U_q\mathfrak{b}^+\)-finite or \(V''\) is \(U_q\mathfrak{b}^-\)-finite. In this context Drinfeld introduced the operators

\[
\tilde{R}_{V', V''} : V' \otimes V'' \rightarrow V'' \otimes V',
\]
which are quantum analogues of the naive permutation

\[
\sigma_{V',V''} : V' \otimes V'' \to V'' \otimes V', \quad \sigma_{V',V''} : v' \otimes v'' \mapsto v'' \otimes v'
\]

of tensor factors\(^5,30\). Here are some properties of the maps \(\tilde{R}_{V',V''}\), which will be used in the sequel.

**Proposition 3** Let \(V', V'', W', W''\) be weight \(U_q b^+\)-finite \(U_q g\)-modules (or \(U_q b^-\)-finite \(U_q g\)-modules) and \(f' : V' \to W', f'' : V'' \to W''\) be the morphisms of \(U_q g\)-modules.

1. The linear map \(\tilde{R}_{V',V''}\) is invertible and is a morphism of \(U_q g\)-modules.

2. \((f'' \otimes f') \cdot \tilde{R}_{V',V''} = \tilde{R}_{W',W''} \cdot (f' \otimes f'')\).

**Proposition 4** Let \(V, V', V''\) be weight \(U_q b^+\)-finite \(U_q g\)-modules (or \(U_q b^-\)-finite \(U_q g\)-modules).

1. \(\tilde{R}_{V' \otimes V'',V} = \left(\tilde{R}_{V',V} \otimes \text{id}_{V''}\right) \left(\text{id}_{V'} \otimes \tilde{R}_{V'',V}\right)\),

2. \(\tilde{R}_{V,V' \otimes V''} = \left(\text{id}_{V'} \otimes \tilde{R}_{V',V''}\right) \left(\tilde{R}_{V,V'} \otimes \text{id}_{V''}\right)\),

3. \(\tilde{R}_{V,C} = \tilde{R}_{C,V} = \text{id}_V\).

Recall an explicit form for \(\tilde{R}_{V',V''}\). We intend to use \(q\)-analogues for the root vectors \(E_{\beta_i}, F_{\beta_i}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, M\). Let

\[
\exp_q(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i} \frac{1 - q}{1 - q^j}\right) t^i,
\]

\(q_\beta = q^{\frac{i(\beta,\beta)}{2}}\), and \(t_0 \in \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{h}\) be given by

\[
(\lambda, \mu) = \lambda \otimes \mu(t_0), \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*.
\]

Let \(V', V''\) be weight \(U_q b^+\)-modules and either \(V'\) is \(U_q b^-\)-finite or \(V''\) is \(U_q b^-\)-finite. It is a consequence of the results of\(^{29,35}\) that

\[
\tilde{R}_{V',V''} = \sigma_{V',V''} \cdot R_{V',V''}, \quad (10)
\]
where \( R_{V', V''} : V' \otimes V'' \rightarrow V' \otimes V'' \),

\[
R_{V', V''} (v' \otimes v'') = \bigotimes_{\beta \in \Phi^+} \exp_q \beta^2 \left( (q_{\beta}^{-1} - q_{\beta}) E_{\beta} \otimes F_{\beta} \right) q^{-t_0} (v' \otimes v''),
\]

and the sign \( \bigotimes \) indicates that the multipliers are written in the decreasing order of indices

\[
\exp_q \beta^2 \left( (q_{\beta}^{-1} - q_{\beta}) E_{\beta} \otimes F_{\beta} \right) \ldots \exp_q \beta_1^2 \left( (q_{\beta_1}^{-1} - q_{\beta_1}) E_{\beta_1} \otimes F_{\beta_1} \right) q^{-t_0}.
\]

Notice that

\[
t_0 = \sum_k I_k \otimes I_k (I_k, I_k)
\]

for any orthogonal basis \( \{ I_j \}_{j=1,2,\ldots,l} \) of \( \mathfrak{h} \cong \mathfrak{h}^* \).

It follows from Proposition 4.2 of \textsuperscript{10} that

\[
\left( \check{R}_{N(q^+, \lambda)^*, N(q^+, \mu)^*} \right)^* = \left( \check{R}_{N(q^+, \lambda), N(q^+, \mu)} \right)^{-1}.
\]

Here \( N(q^+, \lambda) \), \( N(q^+, \mu) \) are the objects in the category of \( U_q \mathfrak{g}^{\text{cop}} \)-modules, and \( N(q^+, \lambda)^*, N(q^+, \mu)^* \) are the graded dual \( U_q \mathfrak{g} \)-modules.

Turn to the finite dimensional weight \( U_q \mathfrak{g} \)-modules (these are called type 1 \( U_q \mathfrak{g} \)-modules).

Let \( \lambda \in P \). Just as in the classical case \( q = 1 \), the Verma module \( M(\lambda) \) admits a description in terms of its generator \( v(\lambda) \) and the defining relations

\[
E_i v(\lambda) = 0, \quad K_i^{\pm 1} v(\lambda) = q_i^{\pm \lambda_i} v(\lambda), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, l.
\]

The weight vectors

\[
v_J(\lambda) = F_{\beta_M}^{j_M} F_{\beta_{M-1}}^{j_{M-1}} \ldots F_{\beta_1}^{j_1} v(\lambda), \quad J = (j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_M) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^M,
\]

form a basis of the vector space \( M(\lambda) \). Hence \( M(\lambda) \) is a weight \( U_q \mathfrak{g} \)-module with the same dimensions of weight subspaces as in the classical case \( q = 1 \).

The Verma module \( M(\lambda) \) possesses the largest proper submodule \( K(\lambda) \). It is obvious that the quotient module \( L(\lambda) = M(\lambda)/K(\lambda) \) is simple.
$L(\lambda)$ is finite dimensional if and only if $\lambda \in P_+$. In this case $K(\lambda)$ is the only proper submodule of finite codimension, and $L(\lambda)$ admits a description in terms of its generator $v(\lambda)$, the relations (13), together with the additional relations $F_i^{\lambda+1}v(\lambda) = 0$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, l$.

The simple weight $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-modules $L(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in P_+$, are pairwise non-isomorphic, and every simple weight finite dimensional $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-module is isomorphic to one of them.

**Proposition 5** (cf. 24, p. 76 – 77) Given any non-zero element $\xi \in U_q\mathfrak{g}$, there exists $\lambda \in P_+ \cap Q$ such that $\xi L(\lambda) \neq 0$.

**Proposition 6** (24, p. 81) The family of weights for $L(\lambda)$ and their multiplicities remain intact under passage from $U\mathfrak{g}$ to $U_q\mathfrak{g}$, that is from the classical case to the quantum case.

**Proposition 7** [24, p. 82] Every weight finite dimensional $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-module is semisimple.

It follows from the above results that

$$L(\lambda) \otimes L(\mu) \approx \sum_{\nu \in P_+} c^\nu_{\lambda\mu} L(\nu) \quad \text{for all } \lambda, \mu \in P_+,$$

and the multiplicities $c^\nu_{\lambda\mu}$ of $L(\nu)$ in $L(\lambda) \otimes L(\mu)$ are the same as those in the classical case $q = 1$.

Let $P^\nu_{\lambda\mu}$ be the projection in $L(\lambda) \otimes L(\mu)$ onto the isotypic component which is multiple to $L(\nu)$ and parallel to the sum of all other isotypic components.

**Proposition 8** (10, p. 333) For all $\lambda, \mu \in P_+$

$$\tilde{R}_{L(\mu),L(\lambda)} \tilde{R}_{L(\lambda),L(\mu)} = \bigoplus_{\nu \in P_+} q^{(\lambda,\lambda+2\rho)+(\mu,\mu+2\rho)-(\nu,\nu+2\rho)} P^\nu_{\lambda\mu}.$$  \quad (15)

Below we sketch a standard method of reducing some problems related to $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-modules, to the problems of the classical theory of $U\mathfrak{g}$-modules.

The principal observation here is that many properties of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-modules can be formulated and proved in terms of their distinguished submodules over the ring
\( A = \mathbb{Q}[q^{1/s}, q^{-1/s}] \) of Laurent polynomials with rational coefficients in the indeterminate \( q^{1/s} \). In fact, consider the \( A \)-subalgebra \( U_A \) in \( U_q \mathfrak{g} \) generated by \( K_i^{\pm 1}, E_i, F_i, h_i = \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, l \). It is known from \(^6\) that a list of defining relations between these generators can be derived from the standard list of relations for \( U_q \mathfrak{g} \) by replacing therein the relation \( E_i F_j - F_j E_i = \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \) with \( E_i F_j - F_j E_i = \delta_{ij} h_i \) and adding the relation
\[
(q_i - q_i^{-1}) h_i = K_i - K_i^{-1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, l.
\]
Certainly, the \( A \)-subalgebra \( U_A \) inherits a structure of the Hopf algebra.

Consider the homomorphisms \( j : U_A \rightarrow U_\mathfrak{g}, \ i : U_A \rightarrow U_q \mathfrak{g} \) given by
\[
j(K_i^{\pm 1}) = 1, \quad j(E_i) = E_i, \quad j(F_i) = F_i, \quad j(h_i) = H_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, l,
\]
\[
i(K_i^{\pm 1}) = K_i^{\pm 1}, \quad i(E_i) = E_i, \quad i(F_i) = F_i, \quad i(h_i) = \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, l.
\]
The first homomorphism allows one to elaborate the classical theory of \( U_\mathfrak{g} \)-modules in studying \( U_A \)-modules, and the second one makes it possible to transfer the results related to \( U_A \)-modules onto \( U_q \mathfrak{g} \)-modules.

V. Generators and Defining Relations for the Algebra \( \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q \)

The main results of this section were obtained by Heckenberger and Kolb in \(^18\), while the auxiliary statements presented here are new and will be used in the sequel.

We start with the well-known properties of the Weyl group \( W \). Let \( S = \{1, 2, \ldots, l\} \setminus \{l_0\}, W_S \subset W \) be the subgroup generated by the simple reflections \( s_i, \ i \in S \), and
\[
W^S = \{ w \in W \mid l(vw) \geq l(w) \text{ for all } v \in W_S \}.
\]
It was shown by Kostant \(^31\) that any element \( w \in W \) can be represented uniquely as a product \( w = w_S \cdot w^S \), where \( w_S \in W_S, \ w^S \in W^S \), and \( l(w) = l(w_S) + l(w^S) \). In
particular, one has
\[ w_0 = w_{0, \mathcal{S}} \cdot w_{0}^{\mathcal{S}}, \quad l(w_0) = l(w_{0, \mathcal{S}}) + l(w_{0}^{\mathcal{S}}) \]
for the longest element \( w_0 \) of the Weyl group \( W \). In the above setting, \( w_{0, \mathcal{S}} \) is the longest element of the subgroup \( W_{\mathcal{S}} \).

Fix the reduced expressions
\[ w_{0, \mathcal{S}} = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \ldots s_{i_{M'}}; \quad w_{0}^{\mathcal{S}} = s_{i_{M'+1}} s_{i_{M'+2}} \ldots s_{i_M}. \] (16)
Their concatenation \( w_0 = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \ldots s_{i_M} \) is a reduced expression for \( w_0 \).

Use it to produce a basis of \( U_q\mathfrak{g} \). The algebra \( U_q\mathfrak{g} \) is a free right \( U_q\mathfrak{q}^+ \)-module with the basis
\[ F_{\beta_M}^{j_M} F_{\beta_{M-1}}^{j_{M-1}} \ldots F_{\beta_{M'+1}}^{j_{M'+1}} v_i, \quad (j_M, j_{M-1}, \ldots, j_{M'+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{M-M'}. \]
Thus one has

**Proposition 9** Let \( \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_+ \) and \( \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d\} \) be a basis of the vector space \( L(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) \). Then the homogeneous elements
\[ F_{\beta_M}^{j_M} F_{\beta_{M-1}}^{j_{M-1}} \ldots F_{\beta_{M'+1}}^{j_{M'+1}} v_i, \quad j_k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}, \] (17)
form a basis of the graded vector space \( N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) \).

The homogeneity of the elements (17) follows from the fact that \( F_{\beta} \) are the weight vectors of the \( U_q\mathfrak{g} \)-module \( U_q\mathfrak{g} \), whose weights are the same as in the classical case.

Let \( U_q\mathfrak{k} \subset U_q\mathfrak{g} \) be the Hopf subalgebra generated by \( \{E_i, F_i\}_{i \neq 0} \cup \{K_j^\pm\}_{j=1,2,\ldots, l} \).

**Corollary 3** The homogeneous components of the graded vector space \( N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) \) are finite dimensional weight \( U_q\mathfrak{k} \)-modules. The multiplicities of the weights are the same as in the classical case \( q = 1 \).

The algebra \( \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q \) is a domain, and the homogeneous component \( \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1} \) generates \( \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q \), see\(^{18} \). Our immediate intension is to find some defining relations.
The explicit form of the multiplication

\[ m : \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q \otimes \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q \to \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q, \quad m : f_1 \otimes f_2 \mapsto f_1 f_2 \]

implies the relation

\[ \varphi \psi = m \hat{R}_{\mathbb{C}[p^-]_q, \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q} (\varphi \otimes \psi), \quad \varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q. \quad (18) \]

In fact, \( N(q^+, 0) \) is an object in the tensor category of \( U_q \mathfrak{g}^{\text{cop}} \)-modules, and the linear maps

\[ (\hat{R}_{\mathbb{C}[p^-]_q, \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q})^*: N(q^+, 0) \otimes N(q^+, 0) \to N(q^+, 0) \otimes N(q^+, 0), \]

\[ \triangle_0 : N(q^+, 0) \to N(q^+, 0) \otimes N(q^+, 0) \]

are morphisms in this category. On the other hand,

\[ (\hat{R}_{\mathbb{C}[p^-]_q, \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q})^* v(q^+, 0) \otimes v(q^+, 0) = v(q^+, 0) \otimes v(q^+, 0), \]

\[ \triangle_0 : v(q^+, 0) = v(q^+, 0) \otimes v(q^+, 0), \]

with \( v(q^+, 0) \) being a generator of the \( U_q \mathfrak{g}^{\text{cop}} \)-module \( N(q^+, 0) \). Hence \( (\hat{R}_{\mathbb{C}[p^-]_q, \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q})^* \triangle_0 = \triangle_0 \). It remains to pass to the adjoint linear maps. Then the relation (18) is to be treated as a commutativity for \( \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q \) viewed as an algebra in the braided tensor category of weight \( U_q \mathfrak{b}^{-}\text{finite} \) \( U_q \mathfrak{g} \)-modules\(^{40} \).

Denote by \( \mathcal{L} \) the kernel of the linear map

\[ \mathbb{C}[p^-]_{q,1} \otimes \mathbb{C}[p^-]_{q,1} \to \mathbb{C}[p^-]_{q,2}, \quad \varphi \otimes \psi \mapsto \varphi \psi - m \hat{R}_{\mathbb{C}[p^-]_q, \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q} (\varphi \otimes \psi). \]

In the classical limit \( q = 1 \), \( \mathcal{L} \) appears to be a subspace of the antisymmetric tensors.

Let \( \mathfrak{k}_{ss} = [\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}] \) and \( U_q \mathfrak{k}_{ss} \subset U_q \mathfrak{g} \) be the Hopf subalgebra generated by \( \{K_j^{\pm 1}, E_j, F_j\}_{j \neq l_0} \). It follows from (18) that \( m \mathcal{L} = 0 \). To be rephrased, the elements of \( \mathcal{L} \) constitute quadratic relations. We give a description of this subspace in terms of the morphism of \( U_q \mathfrak{k} \)-modules \( \tilde{R}_{\mathbb{C}[p^-]_{q,1}, \mathbb{C}[p^-]_{q,1}} : \mathbb{C}[p^-]_{q,1} \otimes \mathbb{C}[p^-]_{q,1} \to \mathbb{C}[p^-]_{q,2}, \quad \varphi \otimes \psi \mapsto \varphi \psi - m \hat{R}_{\mathbb{C}[p^-]_q, \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q} (\varphi \otimes \psi). \)
$C[p^{-}]_{q,1} \otimes C[p^{-}]_{q,1}$ determined by the relations similar to (10), (11), with $g$ being replaced by $\mathfrak{k}_{ss}$.

Here we consider various relations between classical and quantum cases. It is convenient to replace temporarily the ground field $C(q_{1}^{2})$ by the field of complex numbers, assuming instead that $q \in (0, 1)$. Such $q$ are not the roots of unity.

**Proposition 10** There exists a unique negative eigenvalue of the linear map $\tilde{R}_{C[p^{-}]_{q,1},C[p^{-}]_{q,1}}$. This eigenvalue is $-q^{\frac{1}{(H_{0},H_{0})}}$ and its multiplicity is

$$\dim \mathfrak{p}^{-}(\dim \mathfrak{p}^{-} - 1).$$

**Proof.** As $C[p^{-}]_{q,1}$ generates the algebra $C[p^{-}]_{q}$ and $\dim C[p^{-}]_{q,2} = \frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}^{-}(\dim \mathfrak{p}^{-} + 1)}{2}$, one deduces that $\dim \mathcal{L} \leq \frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}^{-}(\dim \mathfrak{p}^{-} - 1)}{2}$. Hence the desired statement is a consequence of the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1** $\mathcal{L}$ contains all the eigenvectors of $\tilde{R}_{C[p^{-}]_{q,1},C[p^{-}]_{q,1}}$ with negative eigenvalues.

**Lemma 2** The dimension of the eigenspace of $\tilde{R}_{C[p^{-}]_{q,1},C[p^{-}]_{q,1}}$ with the eigenvalue $-q^{\frac{1}{(H_{0},H_{0})}}$ is at least $\frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}^{-}(\dim \mathfrak{p}^{-} - 1)}{2}$.

**Proof of Lemma 1** Let $\mathcal{L}'$ be the spectral subspace of the linear map $\tilde{R}_{C[p^{-}]_{q,1},C[p^{-}]_{q,1}}$ associated to the negative half-axis ($-\infty, 0$). Obviously, $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}'$ are $U_{q}\mathfrak{k}$-submodules, so it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{L} \supset \mathcal{L}'$. In the classical case $q = 1$ the multiplicities of simple weight $U\mathfrak{k}$-modules in $(\mathfrak{p}^{-})^{*} \otimes (\mathfrak{p}^{-})^{*}$ do not exceed 1 since the weight subspaces of the $U\mathfrak{k}$-module $\mathfrak{p}^{-}$ are one dimensional. Thus by Propositions 6, 7 these multiplicities are just 1 in the quantum case as well. Hence the subspaces $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}'$ are determined by the respective $U_{q}\mathfrak{k}$-spectra, i.e., by the sets of highest weights of their simple $U_{q}\mathfrak{k}$-submodules.

In the case $q = 1$, the sets of highest weights coincide. What remains to do now is to trace their dependence on $q \in (0, 1]$. It follows from (15) that the spectrum
of $\tilde{R}_{C[p^{-}], C[p^{-}]}$ is on the real axis and does not contain 0. Thus the analytic dependence of the spectral projection associated with the negative half-axis follows from the analytic dependence of $\tilde{R}_{C[p^{-}], C[p^{-}]}$ itself.

Now trace the dependence on $q$ of the operators

$$E_j, F_j, \quad j \neq l_0, \quad H_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, l,$$

acting in $\mathcal{L}$, and of the operator $\tilde{R}_{C[p^{-}], C[p^{-}]}$. To do this, choose the basis of the weight vectors in $C[p^{-}]$ dual to the one described in Proposition 9. Thus we get also bases in $C[p^{-}], C[p^{-}] \otimes C[p^{-}], C[p^{-}] \otimes C[p^{-}]$. The desired statement $\mathcal{L} \supset \mathcal{L}'$ follows from the fact that the matrix elements of the operators $m$ and $\tilde{R}_{C[p^{-}], C[p^{-}]}$ with respect to the bases given above depend analytically on $q \in (0, 1]$. ■

Proof of Lemma 2. Consider the subspace

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \left\{ a \in N(q^+, -\alpha_{l_0})_{-1} \otimes N(q^+, -\alpha_{l_0})_{-1} \mid \tilde{R}_{N(q^+, -\alpha_{l_0}), N(q^+, -\alpha_{l_0})} a = -a \right\}. \tag{19}$$

It suffices to prove the inequality

$$\dim \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \geq \frac{\dim p^-(\dim p^--1)}{2}. \tag{20}$$

In fact, the restrictions of the linear maps $\tilde{R}_{N(q^+, -\alpha_{l_0}), N(q^+, -\alpha_{l_0})}, \tilde{R}_{N(q^+, -\alpha_{l_0}), N(q^+, -\alpha_{l_0})}$ to the subspace $N(q^+, -\alpha_{l_0})_{-1} \otimes N(q^+, -\alpha_{l_0})_{-1}$ differ only by the scalar multiplier $q^{-\frac{1}{2}(\rho_0, w_0)}$ (while comparing the operators of permutation of the multipliers in the tensor categories of $U_q g^{\text{cop}}$-modules and $U_q s^{\text{cop}}$-modules, we use the reduced expression for $w_0 \in W$ as above).

In order to prove (20), consider morphisms of $U_q g^{\text{cop}}$-modules

$$N(q^+, w \rho - \rho) \rightarrow N(q^+, -\alpha_{l_0}) \otimes N(q^+, -\alpha_{l_0}), \quad w \in W^S \& \ell(w) = 2,$$

and the images of homogeneous components $N(q^+, w^{-1} \rho - \rho)_{-2}$. It suffices to prove that the sum of images has dimension $\dim p^-(\dim p^--1)/2$, and the linear map $\tilde{R}_{N(q^+, -\alpha_{l_0}), N(q^+, -\alpha_{l_0})}$ is $-1$ when restricted to each of the images.
We are going to elaborate the following lemma proved by Kostant\textsuperscript{31} , p. 359 – 360; \textsuperscript{41}, p. 348, with $r = 2$.

Lemma 3 Consider the $U_k$-module $(\mathfrak{p}^-)^r$, $r = 1, 2, \ldots, \dim \mathfrak{p}^-$. Its isotypic components are simple $U_k$-modules whose weight subspaces are one dimensional, and the set of weights is

\[ \{ w\rho - \rho \mid w \in W^S \& l(w) = r \}. \]

A similar result is also valid for $q \in (0, 1)$, as the multiplicities in decompositions of tensor products remain intact when passing from the classical case $q = 1$ to the quantum case\textsuperscript{24}. This implies the desired estimate for the dimension of the sum of homogeneous components $N(q^+, w\rho - \rho)\mid_{l=2}$. It remains to show that the linear map

\[ \hat{R}_{N(q^+, -\alpha_{l_0}), N(q^+, -\alpha_{l_0})} \] when restricted to an image of any morphism of $U_q\mathfrak{g}^{\text{cop}}$-modules

\[ N(q^+, w\rho - \rho) \to N(q^+, -\alpha_{l_0}) \otimes N(q^+, -\alpha_{l_0}), \quad w \in W^S \& l(w) = 2, \]

is $-1$. It suffices to prove that the restriction is $\pm 1$ since it follows from the continuity in $q$ that we are still inside the spectral subspace associated with the non-positive part of the spectrum.

Let us take a closer look at (15). It follows from the proof of the relation expounded in \textsuperscript{10}, p. 239, that the linear map

\[ \hat{R}_{N(q^+, \mu), N(q^+, \lambda)} \hat{R}_{N(q^+, \lambda), N(q^+, \mu)} \] when restricted to the image of a morphism $N(q^+, \nu) \to N(q^+, \lambda) \otimes N(q^+, \mu)$ is just the scalar multiplier

\[ q^{-(\mu, \mu+2\rho) - (\lambda, \lambda+2\rho) + (\nu, \nu+2\rho)} = q^{-(\mu+\rho, \mu+\rho)-(\lambda+\rho, \lambda+\rho)+(\nu+\rho, \nu+\rho)+(\rho, \rho)}. \]

Substitute $\lambda = \mu = -\alpha_{l_0}$, $\nu = w\rho - \rho$ to the right-hand side to get 1, as the weights $-\alpha_{l_0} - \rho, \nu + \rho, \rho$ are in the same $W$-orbit and hence have the same length.

The proof of Proposition \textsuperscript{10} is finished.

\begin{flushright} $\blacksquare$ \end{flushright}

Proposition 11 $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ is a quadratic algebra with the space of generators $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}$ and the space of relations $\mathcal{L}$. 
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Proof. Consider the quadratic algebra \( F = T(\mathbb{C}[p^-]_{q,1})/(\mathcal{L}) \) whose space of generators is \( \mathbb{C}[p^-]_{q,1} \) and the space of relations is \( \mathcal{L} \). The natural homomorphism of graded algebras \( I : F \to \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q \) is surjective since \( \mathbb{C}[p^-]_{q,1} \) generates \( \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q \). The injectivity of \( I \) follows from the fact that the dimensions of the graded components are the same:

\[
\dim \mathbb{C}[p^-]_{q,j} = \left( j + \dim p^- - 1 \right), \quad \dim F_j = \left( j + \dim p^- - 1 \right). \tag{21}
\]

The first equality in (21) can be obtained using the monomial basis (17) of \( N(q^+, 0) \), and the second one is due to the monomial basis of \( F \) described as follows.

Just as in the classical case \( q = 1 \), the weights of the \( U_q \mathfrak{k} \)-module \( \mathbb{C}[p^-]_{q,1} \) are of the form \(-\alpha_{l_0} - \sum_{i \neq l_0} n_i \alpha_i\), and every weight subspace is one dimensional. Introduce a linear order on the set of weights of this \( U_q \mathfrak{k} \)-module corresponding to the lexicographical order on the set of strings \((-n_1, -n_2, \cdots, -n_{l_0-1}, -1, -n_{l_0+1}, \cdots, -n_l)\) formed by the decomposition coefficients in simple roots. Choose a basis \( \{ z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_{\dim p^-} \} \) of the weight vectors in \( \mathbb{C}[p^-]_{q,1} \), dual to the monomial basis in \( N(q^+, 0)_{-1} \), and impose an order on its elements corresponding by the growth of the weights. In view of (11), it is easy to prove that the tensors

\[
z_i \otimes z_j + q^{-\frac{\beta}{2}(n_s, n_s)} \sum_{k < m} \tilde{R}_{ij}^{km} z_k \otimes z_m, \quad i > j,
\]

form a non-commutative Gröbner basis, hence

\[
\left\{ z_1^{j_1} z_2^{j_2} z_3^{j_3} \cdots z_{\dim p^-}^{j_{\dim p^-}} \mid j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{\dim p^-} \right\} \tag{22}
\]

form a basis of \( F^2 \).

Remark 1 In the basis (22), the action of the generators \( E_i, F_i, K_i^{\pm 1} \) is given by matrices whose elements belong to the field of rational functions \( \mathbb{Q}(q) \) and do not have poles at \( q \in (0, 1] \), as it follows from the definitions and Proposition 2.
VI. A FIRST ORDER DIFFERENTIAL CALCULUS

A $\mathbb{C}[p^-]_q$-bimodule $\Lambda^1(p^-)_q$ of 1-forms on the quantum vector space $p^-$ was introduced in Sec. III, together with the differential $d : \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q \to \Lambda^1(p^-)_q$. This Section presents a description of $\Lambda^1(p^-)_q$ in terms of generators and relations which implies, in particular, that $\Lambda^1(p^-)_q$ is a linear span of the set \( \{f_1 df_2 f_3 \mid f_1, f_2, f_3 \in \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q\} \).

Let us view the problem in a more general sense. We introduce quantum analogues of fiberwise linear functions for the homogeneous holomorphic vector bundles. This way one gets 1-forms in the case of a tangent bundle for $p^-$. Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_+$. Consider the following morphisms

\[ \Delta^+_{\text{left}, \lambda} : N(q^+, \lambda) \to N(q^+, 0) \otimes N(q^+, \lambda), \]

\[ \Delta^+_{\text{right}, \lambda} : N(q^+, \lambda) \to N(q^+, \lambda) \otimes N(q^+, 0), \]

in the category of $U_q \mathfrak{g}^{\text{cop}}$-modules, defined by their action on the generators:

\[ \Delta^+_{\text{left}, \lambda} : v(q^+, \lambda) \to v(q^+, 0) \otimes v(q^+, \lambda), \]

\[ \Delta^+_{\text{right}, \lambda} : v(q^+, \lambda) \to v(q^+, \lambda) \otimes v(q^+, 0). \]

The following relations are immediate consequences of the definitions

\[ (\text{id} \otimes \Delta^+_{\text{left}, \lambda}) \Delta^+_{\text{left}, \lambda} = (\Delta^+ \otimes \text{id}) \Delta^+_{\text{left}, \lambda}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (23)

\[ (\Delta^+_{\text{right}, \lambda} \otimes \text{id}) \Delta^+_{\text{right}, \lambda} = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta^+) \Delta^+_{\text{right}, \lambda} \]  \hspace{1cm} (24)

\[ (\varepsilon^+ \otimes \text{id}) \Delta^+_{\text{left}, \lambda} = (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon^+) \Delta^+_{\text{right}, \lambda} = \text{id}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (25)

\[ (\text{id} \otimes \Delta^+_{\text{right}, \lambda}) \Delta^+_{\text{left}, \lambda} = (\Delta^+_{\text{left}, \lambda} \otimes \text{id}) \Delta^+_{\text{right}, \lambda}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (26)

In particular, the latest relation follows from

\[ (\text{id} \otimes \Delta^+_{\text{right}, \lambda}) \Delta^+_{\text{left}, \lambda} v(q^+, \lambda) = v(q^+, 0) \otimes v(q^+, \lambda) \otimes v(q^+, 0), \]

\[ (\Delta^+_{\text{left}, \lambda} \otimes \text{id}) \Delta^+_{\text{right}, \lambda} v(q^+, \lambda) = v(q^+, 0) \otimes v(q^+, \lambda) \otimes v(q^+, 0). \]
Consider the category of graded vector spaces and the space \( \Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^-, \lambda)_q \) which is dual to \( N(q^+, \lambda) \) in this category. Equip \( \Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^-, \lambda)_q \) with a structure of \( \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}]_q \)-bimodule by the duality:

\[
m_{\text{left}, \lambda} \overset{\text{def}}{=} (\Delta_{\text{left}, \lambda}^+)^*, \quad m_{\text{right}, \lambda} \overset{\text{def}}{=} (\Delta_{\text{right}, \lambda}^+)^*.
\]

In a similar way, equip \( \Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^-, \lambda)_q \) with a structure of \( U_q \mathfrak{g} \)-module using the antipode \( S^{-1} \) of the Hopf algebra \( U_q \mathfrak{g}^{\text{cop}} \).

The relations \([23] - [26]\) imply the following statement.

**Proposition 12** For any \( \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_+ \) the graded vector space \( \Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^-, \lambda)_q \) is a \( U_q \mathfrak{g} \)-module \( \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}]_q \)-bimodule.

Let \( N(q^+, \lambda)_{\text{highest}} \) be the highest homogeneous component of the graded vector space \( N(q^+, \lambda) \) and \( P_{\text{highest}} \) be the projection in \( N(q^+, \lambda) \) onto the subspace \( N(q^+, \lambda)_{\text{highest}} \), parallel to the sum of all other homogeneous components.

**Lemma 4** The linear maps

\[
(id \otimes P_{\text{highest}}) \Delta_{\text{left}, \lambda}^+ : N(q^+, \lambda) \to N(q^+, 0) \otimes N(q^+, \lambda)_{\text{highest}},
\]

\[
(P_{\text{highest}} \otimes id) \Delta_{\text{right}, \lambda}^+ : N(q^+, \lambda) \to N(q^+, \lambda)_{\text{highest}} \otimes N(q^+, 0)
\]

are injective.

**Proof.** We restrict ourselves to proving the injectivity of the first linear map. As for the second one, the proof is similar.

Elaborate the same notation as in the statement of Proposition 9 and use the standard order on the set of weights of the \( U_q \mathfrak{k} \)-module \( N(q^+, \lambda)_{\text{highest}} \approx L(\mathfrak{k}, \lambda) \).

The injectivity of the first linear map in question follows from that proposition and the fact that for any weight vector \( v \in N(q^+, \lambda)_{\text{highest}} \), one has

\[
(id \otimes P_{\text{highest}}) \Delta_{\text{left}, \lambda}^+ \left( F_{\beta_M}^{j_M} F_{\beta_{M-1}}^{j_{M-1}} \cdots F_{\beta_{M'+1}}^{j_{M'+1}} v \right) = \text{const} \cdot F_{\beta_M}^{j_M} F_{\beta_{M-1}}^{j_{M-1}} \cdots F_{\beta_{M'+1}}^{j_{M'+1}} v(q^+, 0) \otimes v,
\]
up to the terms possessing lower weights than \( v \) in the second tensor factor. Here \( \text{const} \neq 0 \) depends on the weight of \( v \).

**Proposition 13** 1. The bimodule \( \Gamma(p^-, \lambda)_q \) over \( \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q \) is a free left and a free right \( \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q \)-module.

2. With \( \Gamma(p^-, \lambda)_{q, \text{lowest}} \) being the lowest homogeneous component of \( \Gamma(p^-, \lambda)_q \), one has the following isomorphisms of \( U_q \mathfrak{k} \)-modules:

\[
\mathbb{C}[p^-]_q \otimes \Gamma(p^-, \lambda)_{q, \text{lowest}} \cong \Gamma(p^-, \lambda)_q, \quad f \otimes v \mapsto f v, \quad (27)
\]

\[
\Gamma(p^-, \lambda)_{q, \text{lowest}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q \cong \Gamma(p^-, \lambda)_q, \quad v \otimes f \mapsto vf. \quad (28)
\]

**Proof.** The first claim follows from the second one. The morphisms of \( U_q \mathfrak{k} \)-modules \( (27), (28) \) are the morphisms of graded vector spaces. Proposition 9 implies by the duality that the dimensions of the related homogeneous components are finite and equal. Thus, \( (27), (28) \) are one-to-one because they are onto, what is in turn due to Lemma 4.

Of course, \( \Gamma(p^-, \lambda)_q \) is not a free \( \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q \)-bimodule. We are to find relations between the generators from \( \Gamma(p^-, \lambda)_{q, \text{lowest}} \). Since \( \Gamma(p^-, \lambda)_q \) is a lowest weight \( U_q \mathfrak{g} \)-module, one has a well defined morphism of \( U_q \mathfrak{g} \)-modules

\[
\tilde{R}_{0, \lambda} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \tilde{R}_{\mathbb{C}[p^-]_q, \Gamma(p^-, \lambda)_q} : \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q \otimes \Gamma(p^-, \lambda)_q \rightarrow \Gamma(p^-, \lambda)_q \otimes \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q.
\]

**Proposition 14** 1. \( m_{\text{left}, \lambda} = m_{\text{right}, \lambda} \cdot \tilde{R}_{0, \lambda}, \quad m_{\text{right}, \mu} = m_{\text{left}, \mu} \cdot \tilde{R}_{\mu, 0}. \)

2. \( \tilde{R}_{0, \lambda} : \mathbb{C}[p^-]_{q, 1} \otimes \Gamma(p^-, \lambda)_{q, \text{lowest}} \rightarrow \Gamma(p^-, \lambda)_{q, \text{lowest}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[p^-]_{q, 1}. \)

**Proof.** The first claim in 1) follows by passing to adjoint operators. In fact, the claim is equivalent to the coincidence of the morphisms of \( U_q \mathfrak{g}^{\text{cop}} \)-modules

\[
(\tilde{R}_{0, \lambda})^* \triangle^+_{\text{right}, \lambda} = \triangle^+_{\text{left}, \lambda}. \quad (29)
\]
In turn, (29) follows from the fact that the vector \( v(q^+, \lambda) \) generates the \( U_q \mathfrak{g}^{\text{cop}} \)-module \( N(q^+, \lambda) \), together taking into account the following relations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta^+_{\text{right}, \lambda} v(q^+, \lambda) &= v(q^+, \lambda) \otimes v(q^+, 0), \\
\Delta^+_{\text{left}, \lambda} v(q^+, \lambda) &= v(q^+, 0) \otimes v(q^+, \lambda), \\
\hat{R}_{0, \lambda}^* (v(q^+, \lambda) \otimes v(q^+, 0)) &= v(q^+, 0) \otimes v(q^+, \lambda).
\end{align*}
\]

The second claim in 1) can be proved in a similar way. The second statement of the Proposition is due to the fact that \( \hat{R}_{0, \lambda}^* \) is a morphism of \( U_q \mathfrak{g} \)-modules, so it commutes with the linear map \( H_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes H_0 \). \( \blacksquare \)

**Corollary 4** Let \( \{z_i\} \) be a basis of the finite dimensional vector space \( \mathbb{C}[p^-]_{q,1} \) and \( \{\gamma_i\} \) a basis of the finite dimensional vector space \( \Gamma(p^-, \lambda)_{q, \text{lowest}} \). There exists a unique matrix \( \left( \hat{R}_{ij}^{km}(\lambda) \right) \) such that

\[
\hat{R}_{0, \lambda}(z_i \otimes \gamma_j) = \sum_{k,m} \hat{R}_{ij}^{km}(\lambda) \gamma_k \otimes z_m, \quad (30)
\]

with \( i, m \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \dim \mathbb{C}[p^-]_{q,1}\} \), \( j, k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \dim \Gamma(p^-, \lambda)_{q, \text{lowest}}\} \).

**Remark 2** To find the functions \( \hat{R}_{ij}^{km}(\lambda) \) seems to be an intricate problem, as their definition involves actions of the universal R-matrix in the tensor products of infinite dimensional \( U_q \mathfrak{g} \)-modules. We show that actually this is not a problem. Just as in Sec. [VI] consider the Hopf subalgebra \( U_q \mathfrak{k}_{\text{ss}} \subset U_q \mathfrak{g} \) generated by \( K_i^{\pm 1}, E_i, F_i \) with \( i \neq l_0 \). The action of the universal R-matrix of the Hopf algebra \( U_q \mathfrak{g} \) on the vectors from \( \mathbb{C}[p^-]_{q,1} \otimes \Gamma(p^-, \lambda)_{q, \text{lowest}} \) differs from the action of the universal R-matrix of the Hopf algebra \( U_q \mathfrak{k}_{\text{ss}} \) only by a multiplier \( \text{const} = q_{l_0}^{\lambda_{l_0}} / \omega_{l_0} \).

(31)

To prove (31), it suffices to use (11), the reduced expression of \( w_0 \) as in Sec. [VI] and the relation

\[
(\alpha_{l_0}, \lambda) = (\alpha_{l_0} |_{\mathfrak{h}^+ |_{\mathfrak{k}^+}}, \lambda |_{\mathfrak{h}^+ |_{\mathfrak{k}^+}}) + \frac{(\alpha_{l_0}, \omega_{l_0})(\omega_{l_0}, \lambda)}{(\omega_{l_0}, \omega_{l_0})}, \quad (\alpha_{l_0}, \omega_{l_0}) = d_{l_0},
\]

which allow to compare the Cartan multipliers \( q^{-l_0} \).
The next statement follows from Propositions 13, 14.

**Proposition 15** The set \( \{ \gamma_j \}_{j=1,2,\ldots,\dim \Gamma(p^-,\lambda)} \) generates the \( \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q \)-bimodule \( \Gamma(p^-,\lambda)_q \), and

\[
z_i \gamma_j = \sum_{k,m} \hat{R}_{ij}^{km}(\lambda) \gamma_k z_m
\]

(32)

are defining relations.

**Corollary 5** For all \( i, j \in \{1,2,\ldots,\dim p^-\} \) one has

\[
z_i dz_j = \sum_{k,m=1}^{\dim p^-} \hat{R}_{ij}^{km} dz_k z_m,
\]

(33)

with \( \hat{R}_{ij}^{km} = \hat{R}_{ij}^{km}(-\alpha_0) \), which constitutes a defining list of relations between the generators of the \( \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q \)-bimodule \( \Lambda^1(p^-)_q \).

**VII. A UNIVERSAL DIFFERENTIAL CALCULUS**

As it was mentioned in Sec. III every first order differential calculus determines a universal differential calculus over the algebra \( F \). In the present section we give several examples illustrating this situation.

**Example 1** (No relations.) Consider a vector space \( V \) and a differential calculus over its tensor algebra \( T(V) \). Choose a vector space \( V' \) being isomorphic to \( V \), together with an isomorphism \( d : V \to V' \). Equip the tensor algebra \( \Omega' = T(V \oplus V') \) with a grading as follows:

\[
deg(v) = 0, \quad v \in V; \quad \deg(v') = 1, \quad v' \in V'.
\]

Obviously, \( \Omega' = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \Omega'_j \), with \( \Omega'_j = \{ t \in \Omega' \mid \deg t = j \} \). Define a linear operator \( d' \) in \( \Omega' \) recursively: \( d'1 = 0 \),

\[
d'v = dv, \quad v \in V; \quad d'v' = 0, \quad v' \in V',
\]

\[
d'(v \otimes t) = dv \otimes t + v \otimes d't, \quad v \in V, t \in \Omega',
\]

\[
d'(v' \otimes t) = -v' \otimes d't, \quad v' \in V', t \in \Omega'.
\]
The pair \((Ω′_1, d'|Ω′_0)\) is a first order differential calculus over the tensor algebra \(T(V)\), and the pair \((Ω′, d')\) is its universal differential calculus.

**Example 2** (Relations between the coordinates are imposed.) Consider the two-sided ideal \(J_0 = \bigoplus_{j \geq 2} (J_0 \cap V^{\otimes j})\) of the tensor algebra \(T(V) = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} V^{\otimes j}\). We show how to define a differential calculus over the algebra \(F = T(V)/J_0\). Let \(J_F\) be the two-sided ideal of \(Ω'\) generated by \(J_0\) and \(d'J_0\). The algebra \(Ω^F = Ω'/J_F\) inherits the grading \(Ω^F = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} Ω^F_j\).

Obviously, \(V \hookrightarrow F\). As \(d'J_F \subset J_F\), the differential \(d'\) transfers onto \(Ω^F = Ω'/J_F\). Thus we get a linear map \(d_F\) and a differential calculus \((Ω^F, d^F)\) over the algebra \(F = Ω^F\). It is the universal differential calculus of the first order differential calculus \((Ω^F_1, d^F|_F)\), and is well-known in the quantum group theory\(^{30}\), p. 462.

**Example 3** (Relations between the coordinates and the differentials are imposed.)

We have described above the free first order differential calculus over the algebra \(F = T(V)/J_F\). Now turn to a more realistic example by introducing \(R\)-matrix commutation relations between the elements \(v \in V\) and \(v' \in V'\). Consider an invertible linear map \(\mathcal{R} : V \otimes V' \to V' \otimes V\). Let \(J_1\) be the subbimodule of the \(F\)-bimodule \(Ω^F_1\) generated by

\[
\{vv' - v'v | v \otimes v' = \mathcal{R}(v \otimes v'), \quad v \in V, \ v' \in V'\} \subset Ω^F_1. \tag{34}
\]

The factorization of \((Ω^F_1, d^F|_F)\) by \(J_1\) leads to a first order differential calculus over \(F\). To obtain the associated universal differential calculus, consider the homogeneous two-sided ideal \(J_M\) of \(Ω^F\) generated by \(J_1, d^FJ_1\), and divide out \(Ω^F\) by \(J_M\). It is possible to transfer the differential onto \(Ω^F/J_M\) since \(d^FJ_M \subset J_M\).

Sec. III [VII] provide a first order differential calculus \((Λ^1(p^-)_q, d)\) over the algebra \(\mathbb{C}[p^-]_q\), together with the associated universal differential calculus \((Λ(p^-)_q, d)\).

It is easy to obtain its description in terms of generators and relations, in view of the results of Sec. [VII] and Example 3. For that, it suffices to complete the
list of relations for the \( \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q \)-bimodule \( \Lambda^1(p^-)_q \) with those deduced from (33) via differentiation:

\[
dz_i \wedge dz_j = - \sum_{k,m=1}^{\dim p^-} \tilde{R}_{ij}^{km} dz_k \wedge dz_m.
\] (35)

It is easy to prove that \( \Lambda^j(p^-)_q \) is a free left \( \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q \)-module of rank \( \binom{\dim p^-}{j} \) and also a free right \( \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q \)-module of rank \( \binom{\dim p^-}{j} \), just as in the classical case \( q = 1 \).

Now notice that the linear span of the \( j \)-forms

\[
dz_{i_1} \wedge dz_{i_2} \wedge \ldots \wedge dz_{i_j}, \quad i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \dim p^-\},
\]

with constant coefficients, is a \( U_q \mathfrak{k} \)-module. This linear span will be denoted by \( \Lambda^j(p^-)_q^{\text{const}} \).

**Proposition 16** There exist the isomorphisms of \( U_q \mathfrak{k} \)-modules

\[
\mathbb{C}[p^-]_q \otimes \Lambda^j(p^-)_q^{\text{const}} \cong \Lambda^j(p^-)_q, \quad f \otimes \omega \mapsto f \omega,
\] (36)

\[
\Lambda^j(p^-)_q^{\text{const}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q \cong \Lambda^j(p^-)_q, \quad \omega \otimes f \mapsto \omega f.
\] (37)

**Proof.** It suffices to use Proposition 13 and the definition of \( \Lambda(p^-)_q \).

We turn to a computation of \( \dim \Lambda^j(p^-)_q^{\text{const}} \).

**Lemma 5** The dimension of the vector space \( \Lambda^2(p^-)_q^{\text{const}} \) does not exceed its value in the classical case \( q = 1 \):

\[
\dim \Lambda^2(p^-)_q^{\text{const}} \leq \frac{\dim p^- (\dim p^- - 1)}{2}.
\] (38)

**Proof.** While proving (38), we may replace the ground field \( \mathbb{C}(q^{\frac{1}{2}}) \) with the ground field \( \mathbb{C} \) assuming \( q \in (0,1) \) to be transcendental. Consider the linear map

\[
\tilde{R} : dz_i \otimes dz_j \mapsto \sum_{k,m=1}^{\dim p^-} \tilde{R}_{ij}^{km} dz_k \otimes dz_m
\]
in $\Lambda^1(p^-)_q^{\text{const}} \otimes \Lambda^1(p^-)_q^{\text{const}}$. One has a natural isomorphism

$$\Lambda^2(p^-)_q^{\text{const}} \cong \left\{ v \in \Lambda^1(p^-)_q^{\text{const}} \otimes \Lambda^1(p^-)_q^{\text{const}} \mid \tilde{R} v = -v \right\}. $$

It is easy to see that all the eigenvalues of the linear map $\tilde{R}$ are real and non-zero. A proof reduces to the replacement of the $\tilde{R}$-matrix of the Hopf algebra $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ by the $\tilde{R}$-matrix of the Hopf algebra $U_q\mathfrak{k}_{ss}$, with the subsequent application of (15). One may assume a basis $\{z_i\}$ of the vector space $\mathbb{C}[p^-]_{q,1}$ to be chosen as in Sec. [V]. Under this choice, the functions $\tilde{R}_{ij}^{km}$ in the indeterminate $q$ are analytic on the half-interval $(0,1]$, hence are continuous for $0 < q \leq 1$. Thus for all $q \in (0,1]$ the number of negative eigenvalues of $\tilde{R}$ according to their multiplicities is $\frac{\dim p^- (\dim p^- - 1)}{2}$. Hence the dimension of the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue $-1$ does not exceed $\frac{\dim p^- (\dim p^- - 1)}{2}$. ■

The inequality, that is converse to (38), will be established as soon as $-1$ is proved to be an eigenvalue of $\tilde{R}$ with the multiplicity at least $\frac{\dim p^- (\dim p^- - 1)}{2}$. It suffices to get a similar estimate for the adjoint linear map. The latter may be identified as the restriction of $\hat{R}_{N(q^+,-\alpha_0),N(q^+,-\alpha_0)}$ to the tensor product of the highest homogeneous components $N(q^+,-\alpha_0)_{-1} \otimes N(q^+,-\alpha_0)_{-1}$. The desired inequality follows from (20). Thus we have proved

**Lemma 6** The dimension of the vector space $\Lambda^2(p^-)_q^{\text{const}}$ is at least its value for $q = 1$:

$$\dim \Lambda^2(p^-)_q^{\text{const}} \geq \frac{\dim p^- (\dim p^- - 1)}{2}. \quad (39)$$

Now we turn to the higher order differential forms.

**Lemma 7** For all $j \geq 3$

$$\dim \Lambda^j(p^-)_q^{\text{const}} \leq \binom{\dim p^-}{j}. \quad (40)$$

**Proof.** Consider the basis of weight vectors $\{z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{\dim p^-}\}$ of the $U_q\mathfrak{k}$-module $\mathbb{C}[p^-]_{q,1}$ formed in the final part of Sec. [V]. Impose the lexicographic order relation
on the set $\{dz_i \otimes dz_k\}_{i,k=1,2,\ldots,\dim p^-}$. The action of the universal R-matrix of the Hopf algebra $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to this basis is given by a triangular matrix with positive entries on the principal diagonal (see (11)). Thus only the terms $dz_k \otimes dz_m$ with $k \leq m$ contribute to the right-hand side of

$$dz_i \otimes dz_j = - \sum_{k,m=1}^{\dim p^-} \tilde{R}_{ij}^{km} dz_k \otimes dz_m, \quad i \geq j,$$

(41)

and every element of $\Lambda^j(p^-)_{\text{const}}$ belongs to the linear span of

$$dz_{i_1} \wedge dz_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{i_j}, \quad 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_j \leq \dim p^-.$$

Remark 3 The distinguished basis

$$\{dz_1, dz_2, \ldots, dz_{\dim p^-}\} \subset \Lambda^1(p^-)_{\text{const}}$$

can be used to identify the tensor algebra $T((p^-)^*)$ with the free non-commutative algebra $C\langle dz_1, dz_2, \ldots, dz_{\dim p^-}\rangle$. Consider the two-sided ideal $I$ of the free algebra generated by the set $G$ of the differences between the left- and the right-hand sides of (41). The latter relations work as “substitution rules” in the final part of the proof of Lemma 7, the left-hand side, wherever it occurs, is to be replaced by the right-hand side.

A proof of the following lemma uses the same arguments as in the proof of a similar result from the work by Heckenberger and Kolb on the de Rham complexes.

Lemma 8 For all $j \geq 3$

$$\dim \Lambda^j(p^-)_{\text{const}} \geq \binom{\dim p^-}{j}.$$

(42)

Proof. If (42) holds for $j = 3$, it follows from the diamond lemma that $G$ is a Gröbner basis for the two-sided ideal $I$. In this context, the desired inequality holds also for all $j \geq 3$. This means that we may restrict ourselves to the special case $j = 3$ while proving Lemma 8.
We identify \((p^-)^*\) to \(\Lambda^1(p^-)^{\text{const}}\) and introduce the notation \((p^-)^{\wedge 2}\) for the subspace \(\{ v \in (p^-)^* \otimes (p^-)^* \mid \tilde{R}v = -v \}\), cf. (11). It follows from Lemmas 5 and 6 that

\[
\dim((p^-)^{\wedge 2}) = \frac{\dim p^- (\dim p^- - 1)}{2}.
\] (43)

Consider the subspaces \(L_1 = (p^-)^{\wedge 2} \otimes (p^-)^*,\ L_2 = (p^-)^* \otimes (p^-)^{\wedge 2}\) of the vector space \((p^-)^{\otimes 3}\), together with a complex of linear maps

\[
0 \to L_1 \cap L_2 \to L_1 \oplus L_2 \xrightarrow{j} (p^-)^{\otimes 3} \to \mathbb{C}[p^-]_{q,3} \to 0,
\]

\(j : v_1 \oplus v_2 \mapsto v_1 - v_2,\ \ v_j \in L_j \subset (p^-)^{\otimes 3},\)

which is exact in all terms except, possibly, \((p^-)^{\otimes 3}\). After computing the Euler characteristic for this complex, we deduce that

\[
- \dim(L_1 \cap L_2) + \dim(L_1 \oplus L_2) - \dim((p^-)^{\otimes 3}) + \dim \mathbb{C}[p^-]_{q,3} \leq 0.
\]

Now use (43), (21) to get

\[
\dim(L_1 \cap L_2) \geq n^2(n - 1) - n^3 + \frac{n(n+1)(n+2)}{6} = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{6},
\]

with \(n = \dim p^- = \dim(p^-)^*\). One deduces from a description of the universal differential calculus as in Example 3 that

\[
\dim \Lambda^2(p^-)^{\text{const}} \geq \dim(L_1 \cap L_2) \geq \binom{\dim p^-}{3}.
\]

The next statement follows from the Lemmas proved in this Section.

**Proposition 17** The homogeneous components \(\Lambda^j(p^-)_q\) of the differential graded algebra \(\Lambda(p^-)_q\) vanish for \(j > \dim p^-\). Each of those is a free left and also a free right \(\mathbb{C}[p^-]_q\)-module of rank \(\binom{\dim p^-}{j}\).

The following statement is well known in the classical case \(q = 1\) and can be proved by reduction to that case.
Proposition 18 In \( \Lambda(p^-)_q \) one has \( \text{Ker} \, d = \text{Im} \, d \).

Proof. Consider the introduced above monomial bases \([22]\) in \( \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q \) and

\[
\{dz_{i_1} \wedge dz_{i_2} \wedge \ldots \wedge dz_{i_k} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \ 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_k \leq \text{dim} \, p^- \}
\]

in \( \Lambda(p^-)^\text{const}_q \). Use these bases, together with the isomorphism of vector spaces \( \Lambda(p^-)_q \cong \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q \otimes \Lambda(p^-)^\text{const}_q \) to get a monomial basis in \( \Lambda(p^-)_q \).

In this basis, the matrix elements of \( d \) are rational functions from \( \mathbb{Q}(q) \) and do not have poles in \( (0, 1] \), see \([11]\) and Proposition \([2]\). The relation \( \text{Ker} \, d = \text{Im} \, d \) at transcendental \( q \) follows from the fact that it holds for \( q = 1 \). Actually, equip the algebra \( \Lambda(p^-)_q \) with the grading \( \text{deg}(z_j) = \text{deg}(dz_j) = 1, j = 1, 2, \ldots, \text{dim} \, p^- \). Observe that \( d \) preserves the homogeneity degree of differential forms, and the homogeneous components of \( \Lambda(p^-)_q \) are finite dimensional. It remains to use the relation \( d^2 = 0 \) and the following well-known result.

Let \( A(q) \) be a matrix with the entries from \( \mathbb{Q}(q) \) which satisfies \( A(q)^2 = 0 \). Then the associated operator function satisfies \( \text{dim} \, \text{Ker} \, A(q) = \text{dim} \, \text{Im} \, A(q) \) on a Zariski open subset. In fact, the function \( \text{dim} \, \text{Ker} \, A(q) - \text{dim} \, \text{Im} \, A(q) \) takes values in \( \mathbb{Z}_+ \) and is upper semicontinuous since both \( \text{dim} \, \text{Ker} \, A(q) \) and \( -\text{dim} \, \text{Im} \, A(q) \) are upper semicontinuous.

\[\blacksquare\]

VIII. A BGG RESOLUTION OF THE TRIVIAL \( U_q g \)-MODULE

In this Section we remind the well-known results \([13, 38]\). Let \( \lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_l\} \in P \). The Verma module \( M(\lambda) \) with the highest weight \( \lambda \) is generated by the highest weight vector \( v(\lambda) \). The defining relations are as follows:

\[
E_i v(\lambda) = 0, \quad K_i^{\pm 1} v(\lambda) = q_i^{\pm \lambda_i} v(\lambda), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, l.
\]
The affine action of the Weyl group $W$ is introduced by $w \cdot \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$, with $\rho$ being a half-sum of positive roots.

A non-zero vector $v \in M(\lambda)$ is said to be singular if it is a weight vector and $E_i v = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, l$. There exists a one-to-one correspondence between the singular vectors of the weight $\mu$ in $M(\lambda)$ and the non-zero morphisms of Verma modules $M(\mu) \to M(\lambda)$. Every non-zero morphism of Verma modules is injective and $\dim \text{Hom}_{U_q g}(M(\mu), M(\lambda)) \leq 1$ since a similar result is valid in the classical case $q = 1$. The details of the argument can be found in $^{13}$, Sec. 4.5.

The next claim is well known in the classical case $q = 1$ and can be easily deduced from the definitions.

**Lemma 9** (cf. $^{8}$, Proposition 7.1.15) If $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_l) \in \mathbb{R}^l$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, l\}$, then the vector $v_i = F_{\lambda_i + 1}^i v(\lambda) \in M(\lambda)$ is a singular vector of the weight $s_i \cdot \lambda$.

An application of the lemma, together with the argument used in $^{8}$, yields

**Proposition 19** ($^{8}$, Proposition 7.6.8) For any $w \in W$

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{U_q g}(M(w \cdot 0), M(0)) = 1.$$ 

In what follows we fix the embeddings $i_w : M(w \cdot 0) \hookrightarrow M(0)$, that is, singular vectors which are the images of $v(w \cdot 0)$ under $i_w$. We choose the singular vectors so that the coefficients of their decomposition with respect to the basis

$$F_{\beta_1}^{j_1} F_{\beta_2}^{j_2} \cdots F_{\beta_M}^{j_M} v(0), \quad j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_M \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

belong to the field $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ and do not have poles at $q = 1$. The formulas for the singular vectors of Verma modules were obtained in $^{14, 22, 38}$ and in $^{9}$; the formulas for the projections onto subspaces of singular vectors with fixed weights were obtained by Tolstoy$^{46}$. 
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As it is clear from \(^{13,38}\), the BGG resolution of the trivial \(U_q\mathfrak{g}\)-module \(C\) has the same form as in the classical case \(q = 1\):

\[
\cdots \xrightarrow{d_2} C_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} C_0 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0, \quad C_j = \bigoplus_{\{w \in W \mid l(w) = j\}} M(w \cdot 0). \tag{44}
\]

Here \(\epsilon : M(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \epsilon : v(0) \mapsto 1\) is an obvious morphism of \(U_q\mathfrak{g}\)-modules.

The construction of differentials \(d_j\) elaborates a partial order on the Weyl group \(W\), the Bruhat order. Recall a definition from\(^{21}\).

Consider the oriented graph \(G\) whose vertices are the elements of \(W\) and the edges are such ordered pairs \(w' \rightarrow w''\) of vertices that \(l(w'') = l(w') + 1\), and \(w'' = w's_\gamma\) with \(s_\gamma\) being a reflection corresponding to a root \(\gamma \in \Phi\). By definition, \(w' \leq w''\) iff there exists a path from \(w'\) to \(w''\). This partial order is called the Bruhat order.

The above order remains intact if in its definition one replaces \(w'' = w's_\gamma\) by \(w'' = s_\gamma w'\)\(^{21}\), p. 119. Also, if in the definition of the graph \(G\) one replaces the equality \(l(w'') = l(w') + 1\) by the inequality \(l(w'') > l(w')\), one gets a different but equivalent definition of the Bruhat order\(^{21}\), p. 118, 122. We present a description of the Bruhat order in terms of reduced expressions for the elements of the Weyl group \(W\).

**Proposition 20** (\(^{21}\), p. 120) Let

\[
w = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{l(w)} \tag{45}
\]

be a reduced expression of an element \(w \in W\). The set \(\{w' \in W \mid w' \leq w \& w' \neq w\}\) coincides with the set of the elements produced by omitting some (possibly all) multipliers in the right-hand side of \((45)\):

\[
w' = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_r}, \quad 1 \leq i(1) < i(2) < \ldots < i(r) \leq l(w).
\]

The next well-known result provides a correspondence between the Bruhat order on \(W\) and the standard order relation on the subset \(\{w \cdot 0 \mid w \in W\}\) of the weight lattice \(P \cong \mathbb{Z}^l\).
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Lemma 10 (cf. \(8\), proposition 7.7.2) Let \(w \in W, \gamma \in \Phi\). Then

i) \((s_\gamma w) \cdot 0 = w \cdot 0 - n\gamma\), with \(n\) a non-zero integer;

ii) if \(l(s_\gamma w) > l(w)\), then \((s_\gamma w) \cdot 0 < w \cdot 0\);

iii) if \(l(s_\gamma w) < l(w)\), then \((s_\gamma w) \cdot 0 > w \cdot 0\).

Consider the morphisms of \(U_q \mathfrak{g}\)-modules

\[ i_{w_1, w_2} : M(w_1 \cdot 0) \to M(w_2 \cdot 0), \quad w_1, w_2 \in W, \]

such that \(i_{w_2} i_{w_1, w_2} = i_{w_1}\).

The existence and the uniqueness of \(i_{w_1, w_2}\) under the assumption \(i_{w_1} M(w_1 \cdot 0) \subset i_{w_2} M(w_2 \cdot 0)\) are obvious. The inclusion holds iff \(w_1 \geq w_2\). This fact can be established in the same way as in the classical case \(q = 1\): it suffices to repeat the proofs of \(8\), Lemmas 7.6.10, 7.6.11, with the reference to Lemma 7.6.9 replaced by a reference to the following statement.

\[ \text{Lemma 11} \]

1. For any \(i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, l\}, u \in U_q \mathfrak{n}^-\) there exists such \(N \in \mathbb{N}\) that

\[ F_i^N u \in U_q \mathfrak{n}^- \cdot F_i. \]

2. For any \(i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, l\}, u \in U_q \mathfrak{n}^-\) there exists such \(N \in \mathbb{N}\) that \(u F_i^N \in F_i \cdot U_q \mathfrak{n}^-\).

\[ \text{Proof.} \] We start proving the first claim. It suffices to prove it in the special case \(u = F_j\) with \(j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, l\}\), since \(F_j\) generate \(U_q \mathfrak{n}^-\). Even more, one may assume \(j \neq i\). It follows from the defining relations between \(F_i, F_j\) in \(U_q \mathfrak{g}\) that \(F_i^{1-a_{ij}} F_j\) is a linear combination of \(F_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} F_j F_i^k\) with \(1 \leq k \leq 1 - a_{ij}\). Set \(N = 1 - a_{ij}\).

The second claim can be proved in a similar way. \(\square\)

This lemma means that the multiplicative subset \(F_i^{Z_+}\) of \(U_q \mathfrak{n}^-\) satisfies both the right and the left Ore conditions.

The following result of \(3\) is crucial.

\[ \text{Lemma 12 Consider the oriented graph} \ \mathcal{G} \ \text{introduced above.} \]
1. Let \( w, w'' \in W, w \leq w'' \), and \( l(w'') = l(w) + 2 \). Then the number of such \( w' \in W \) that there exist the edges \( w \to w', w' \to w'' \), is either zero or two (in the latter case one has a quadruple of the elements of the Weyl groups \( W \), called a square).

2. It is possible to associate a number \( \epsilon(w, w') = \pm 1 \) with every edge \( w' \to w \) in such a way that the product of the numbers corresponding to the edges of each square is \( -1 \).

A differential \( d_j : C_j \to C_{j-1} \) is defined by

\[
d_j|_{M(w \cdot 0)} = \bigoplus_{\{w' \in W \mid w' \to w\}} \epsilon(w, w') i_{w, w'}.
\] (46)

Obviously, \( d_j \circ d_{j-1} = 0 \) for all \( j \in \mathbb{N} \). Moreover, the submodule \( \text{Ker} \epsilon \) of the Verma module \( M(0) \) is generated by \( F_i v(0), i = 1, 2, \ldots, l \). Thus \( \text{Im} d_1 = \text{Ker} \epsilon \), and (46) is a complex which is extended by \( \epsilon \) in the category of \( U_q \mathfrak{g} \)-modules. Its exactness for a transcendental \( q \) follows from the result of Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand on the exactness of a similar complex in the classical case \( q = 1 \). In fact, the weight subspaces of Verma modules are finite dimensional, and the matrix elements of the linear maps \( d_j|_{M(w \cdot 0)} \) in the bases introduced in Sec. [V] belong to the field \( \mathbb{Q}(q) \) of rational functions and do not have poles at \( q = 1 \).

Just as in the classical case \( q = 1 \), (44) is a resolution of the trivial \( U_q \mathfrak{g} \)-module in the category \( \mathcal{O} \), the full subcategory of finitely generated weight \( U_q \mathfrak{b}^+ \)-finite modules.

**Remark 4** While constructing a BGG resolution, a function on the set of edges of the oriented graph \( G \) with the values \( \pm 1 \), and such that the product of its values on the edges of each square is \( -1 \), was used. It is easy to establish via an argument similar to that of [41], p. 355, 356, that a function with such properties is essentially unique. More precisely, for any two functions \( \epsilon(w_1, w), \varepsilon(w_1, w) \) of this form, one has

\[
\epsilon(w_1, w) = \gamma(w_1)^{-1} \varepsilon(w_1, w) \gamma(w)
\] (47)
with a function $\gamma : W \to \{+1, -1\}$. In fact, let $W^{(k)} = \{w \in W | l(w) = k\}$. For each $w \in W^{(k)}$, $k \geq 1$, fix such $w' \in W^{(k-1)}$ that $w' \to w$ and $w = w's_a$ for some simple root $\alpha$. Define a function $\gamma(w)$ recursively:

\[\gamma(e) = 1, \quad \gamma(w) = \gamma(w') \frac{\epsilon(w', w)}{\epsilon(w', w)}. \tag{48}\]

\[\text{(47)}\] can be proved by an induction argument in $k$ which uses the properties of $\epsilon(w_1, w)$, $\epsilon(w_1, w)$ (Lemma 12 and Lemma 11.3 of 3). It follows from \[\text{(47)}\] that the BGG resolutions corresponding to $\epsilon(w, w')$ and $\epsilon(w, w')$ are isomorphic in the category of complexes of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-modules. One can use a family of the linear maps $\mu_k : C_k \to C_k$ with $\mu_k |_{M(\omega_0)} = \gamma(w) \text{id}_{M(\omega_0)}$ as an isomorphism of complexes.

### IX. A GENERALIZED BGG RESOLUTION

Some results of this Section are valid not only for $S = \{1, 2, \ldots, l\} \setminus \{l_0\}$ but for any subset $S \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, l\}$, and the associated Hopf algebras $U_q\mathfrak{g}$, $U_q\mathfrak{g}^+$, a lattice $\mathcal{P}_+$ and the generalized Verma modules $N(q^+, \lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_+$, see\[41\]. Among the results one should mention Proposition 9 and those of the present section.

We produce a resolution of the trivial module $\mathbb{C}$ in the category $\mathcal{O}_S$, that is, the full subcategory formed by $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-finite $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-modules of the category $\mathcal{O}$. We follow the ideas of\[33\] and\[41\] where this problem was solved in the classical case $q = 1$.

Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_+$ and let $p_\lambda$ be the canonical surjective morphism of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-modules

\[p_\lambda : M(\lambda) \to N(q^+, \lambda), \quad p_\lambda : v(\lambda) \mapsto v(q^+, \lambda).\]

Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_+$ and $f : M(\lambda) \to M(\mu)$ be a non-zero morphism of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-modules. If there exists a morphism of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-modules $\hat{f} : N(q^+, \lambda) \to N(q^+, \mu)$ such that $\hat{f}p_\lambda = p_\mu f$, it is unique and is called the standard morphism associated with $f$.

The following result is a $q$-analogue of\[33\] , Proposition 3.1, and can be proved in the same way as the result of Lepowsky we refer to.
Proposition 21 Let $\lambda \in P$, $\mu \in P_+$, and $f : M(\lambda) \to M(\mu)$ be a morphism of Verma modules. If $p_\mu f \neq 0$, then $\lambda \in P_+$ and there exists a standard morphism of the generalized Verma modules $\hat{f} : N(q^+, \lambda) \to N(q^+, \mu)$.

The subset $W^S$ was used in Sec. V, and the graph $G$ with $W$ being the set of vertices, was an essential point of the previous Section. We are going to show that for one to pass to the generalized Verma modules (as well as to the generalized BGG resolution) one should only replace the graph by its subgraph determined by the set of vertices $W^S$.

It is known from 33, p. 502, that $w \cdot \mu \in P_+$ for any $\mu \in P_+$ and $w \in W^S$.

Similarly to (44), consider the complex of $U_q g$-modules

$$0 \to C^S_0 \xrightarrow{d_{l(w_0^S)}} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_2} C^S_1 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} C^S_0 \to 0,$$

with $\epsilon : N(q^+, 0) \to \mathbb{C}$, $\epsilon : v(q^+, 0) \mapsto 1$, being the obvious surjective morphism,

$$C^S_j = \bigoplus_{\{w \in W^S \mid l(w) = j\}} N(q^+, w \cdot 0),$$

and $w_0^S$ being the longest element in $W^S$.

Define the differentials $d_j$ just as in (46):

$$d_j|_{N(q^+, w \cdot 0)} = \bigoplus_{\{w' \in W^S \mid w' \to w\}} \epsilon(w, w') \tilde{i}_{w, w'},$$

where

$$\tilde{i}_{w, w'} : N(q^+, w \cdot 0) \to N(q^+, w' \cdot 0), \quad \tilde{i}_{w, w'} : v(q^+, w \cdot 0) \mapsto p_{w' \cdot 0}i_{w, w'}v(w \cdot 0).$$

The relation $d_j \circ d_{j+1} = 0$ follows from a similar relation for (44), together with the following statement whose proof is similar to that of 33, p. 503.

Lemma 13 Let $w, w' \in W^S$ and $l(w) = l(w') + 1$. A non-zero morphism of $U_q g$-modules $N(q^+, w \cdot 0) \to N(q^+, w' \cdot 0)$ exists iff $w' \to w$. 
The exactness of (49) can be proved in the same way as that of (44) in Sec. VIII, i.e., via the exactness of the generalized BGG resolution in the classical case $q = 1$ (see 33, 41).

In Sec. VI we showed the following fact: consider the graded $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-module $N(q^+, \lambda)$, then its graded dual possesses a $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-module $\mathbb{C}[p^-]_q$-bimodule structure.

Use (49) to replace its terms by the dual graded vector spaces and the morphisms involved therein by the adjoint linear maps to get a complex of the $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-module $\mathbb{C}[p^-]_q$-bimodules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow (C^0) (w_0^*) \longrightarrow (C^1) (w_0^*) \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow \left(C^l (w_0^*)\right) (w_0^*) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (51)$$

**Proposition 22** The complex of the $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-module $\mathbb{C}[p^-]_q$-bimodules (51) is exact.

It should be noted that, while producing the complex of the $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-module $\mathbb{C}[p^-]_q$-bimodules (51), a specific choice of the function $\epsilon(w, w')$ on the set of edges of the graph $G$ has been used implicitly. It follows from the observation of the previous Section that the isomorphism class in the category of complexes of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-module $\mathbb{C}[p^-]_q$-bimodules does not depend on this choice.

**X. A DE RHAM COMPLEX**

In this Section, we turn back to the assumption $S = \{1, 2, \ldots, l\} \setminus \{l_0\}$, with $\alpha_{l_0}$ being a simple root whose coefficient in (11) is 1.

We continue with our study of the differential calculus $(\Lambda(p^-)_q, d)$ which we started in Sec. VII.

We begin with the classical case $q = 1$. Consider the complex of graded $U\mathfrak{g}$-modules dual to the de Rham complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \Lambda^0(p^-) \longrightarrow \Lambda^1(p^-) \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow \Lambda^{\dim p^-}(p^-) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The complex is known to be isomorphic to a generalized BGG resolution. (To prove this, use the results of Rocha-Caridi 41, p. 364, Lemma 3, the duality of
the relative Koszul complex, and the de Rham complex of differential forms with polynomial coefficients. This duality is well known and it was discussed in the case of differential forms with coefficients from the algebra of formal series at the origin in3).

Now we turn to quantum analogues. Consider the universal differential calculus \((\Lambda(p^-)_q, d)\) (see Sec. VII), the linear maps \(d_j = d|_{\Lambda^{j-1}(p^-)_q}\), and the de Rham complex

\[
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \Lambda^0(p^-)_q \xrightarrow{d_1} \Lambda^1(p^-)_q \xrightarrow{d_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_{\dim p^-}} \Lambda^{\dim p^-}(p^-)_q \rightarrow 0.
\]

(52)

Consider also the complex which is dual to (52) in the category of graded \(U_q\mathfrak{g}\)-modules. Prove that it is isomorphic to (49).

**Lemma 14** In the category of weight \(U_q\mathfrak{g}\)-modules one has

\[
(\Lambda^k(p^-)_q)^* \approx \bigoplus_{\{w \in W^S | l(w) = k\}} N(q^+, w \cdot 0), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, \dim p^-.
\]

(53)

**Proof.** Use the fact that this statement is valid for \(q = 1\). Choose the weight monomial bases in \(N(q^+, w \cdot 0), (\Lambda^k(p^-)_q)^*\) in the same way as in Sec. VI, VII. The weights of vectors in these bases do not change under quantization. This allows one to use the results of Sec. VII and the universal property of the generalized Verma modules to prove the existence of such morphisms of \(U_q\mathfrak{g}\)-modules

\[
\mathcal{J}_k(q) : \bigoplus_{\{w \in W^S | l(w) = k\}} N(q^+, w \cdot 0) \rightarrow (\Lambda^k(p^-)_q)^*,
\]

that, firstly, their matrix elements with respect to the chosen bases are rational functions from \(\mathbb{Q}(q)\) having no poles at \(q = 1\), and, secondly, the linear map \(\mathcal{J}_k(q)\) is one-to-one for \(q = 1\). Since the homogeneous components of the \(U_q\mathfrak{g}\)-modules in question are finite dimensional, it follows that the morphism of \(U_q\mathfrak{g}\)-modules \(\mathcal{J}_k(q)\) is one-to-one.

\[\blacksquare\]
Use (52) to replace its terms by the dual graded vector spaces and the morphisms involved therein by the adjoint linear maps to get the complex

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{g}} \overset{\delta_{\text{dim} p^-}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{g}} \overset{\delta_2}{\longrightarrow} \cdots \overset{\delta_2}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{g}} \overset{\delta_1}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{g}} \overset{\epsilon}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

(54)

with $\epsilon$ being the obvious surjective morphism, $\delta_j = d^*_j$, and

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}^j = \bigoplus_{\{w \in W^- | l(w) = j\}} \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{q}^+, w \cdot 0).
$$

Lemma 15 The morphisms $N(q^+, w' \cdot 0) \to N(q^+, w'' \cdot 0)$ of the generalized Verma modules involved in (54) are standard.

Proof. The desired morphisms of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-modules $M(w' \cdot 0) \to M(w'' \cdot 0)$ will be obtained by a duality argument. First, introduce a $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-module algebra $\Lambda$ and an embedding of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-module algebras $\Lambda(p^-)_q \hookrightarrow \Lambda$.

The Verma module $M(0)$ with zero highest weight is a graded $U_q\mathfrak{g}^{\text{cop}}$-module coalgebra. The dual graded $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-module algebra $\mathbb{C}[n^-]_q$ is a quantum analogue for the polynomial algebra on the vector space $n^-$. Set

$$
\Lambda \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{C}[n^-]_q \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[p^-]_q} \Lambda(p^-)_q.
$$

Equip $\Lambda$ with a structure of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-module algebra and show that the linear map

$$
\Lambda(p^-)_q \to \Lambda, \quad \omega \mapsto 1 \otimes \omega,
$$

(55)

is an embedding of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-module algebras.

We use the so called dyslectic modules over commutative algebras in braided tensor categories, see the Appendix.

Let $\mathcal{C}^-$ be the full subcategory of weight $U_q\mathfrak{b}^-$-finite dimensional $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-modules. This is an Abelian braided tensor category. The algebra $\mathbb{C}[p^-]_q$ is commutative in $\mathcal{C}^-$, and the $\mathbb{C}[p^-]_q$-bimodules $\Lambda(p^-)_q$ and $\mathbb{C}[n^-]_q$ are dyslectic modules over $\mathbb{C}[p^-]_q$. By Proposition 26 the category of dyslectic modules over $\mathbb{C}[p^-]_q$ is an Abelian
braided tensor category with the tensor product $\otimes_{\mathbb{C}[p^-]}$. This allows one to equip $\Lambda = \mathbb{C}[n^-] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[p^-]} \Lambda(p^-)_q$ with the structure of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-module algebra

$$\Lambda \otimes \Lambda \to \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[p^-]} \Lambda \to \Lambda$$

in a way which is standard in the quantum group theory\(^{37}\), p. 438. In this setting, $\Lambda(p^-)_q \hookrightarrow \Lambda$ and

$$\Lambda = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\dim p^} \Lambda^j, \quad \Lambda^j = \mathbb{C}[n^-] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[p^-]} \Lambda^j(p^-)_q.$$ 

We shall check that in the category of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-modules one has

$$\Lambda^j \approx \bigoplus_{\{w \in W^S | l(w) = j\}} \Lambda^*.$$ (56)

In fact, in the category of weight $U_q\mathfrak{h}$-modules

$$\mathbb{C}[n^-] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[p^-]} N(q^+, w \cdot 0)^* \cong \mathbb{C}[n^-] \otimes (N(q^+, w \cdot 0)^*)_{\text{lowest}},$$

with $(N(q^+, w \cdot 0)^*)_{\text{lowest}}$ being the lowest homogeneous component of the graded vector space $N(q^+, w \cdot 0)^*$. Thus among the weights of the $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-module $\mathbb{C}[n^-] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[p^-]} N(q^+, w \cdot 0)^*$ there exists the lowest weight, and the associated weight space of which is one dimensional. The universal property of the Verma module $M(w \cdot 0)$ implies the existence of a non-zero morphism of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-modules

$$M(w \cdot 0) \to (\mathbb{C}[n^-] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[p^-]} N(q^+, w \cdot 0)^*)^*.$$ 

This morphism is unique up to a scalar multiplier. Use a duality argument to obtain a morphism of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-modules

$$\mathbb{C}[n^-] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[p^-]} \Lambda^j(p^-)_q \to \bigoplus_{\{w^{-1} \in W^S | l(w) = j\}} M(w \cdot 0)^*.$$ (57)

We check that it is one-to-one. Let $M(\mathfrak{k}, 0)$ be the Verma module over $U_q\mathfrak{k}$ with the highest weight 0. Use the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt bases to introduce the isomorphisms of $U_q\mathfrak{h}$-modules

$$M(\mathfrak{k}, 0)^* \otimes \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{C}[n^-]_q, \quad M(\mathfrak{k}, 0)^* \otimes N(q^+, w \cdot 0)^* \xrightarrow{\cong} M(w \cdot 0)^*$$
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and the bases of homogeneous components of the $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-modules

$$\mathbb{C}[n^-]_q \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[p^-]_q} \Lambda^j(p^-)_q, \quad \bigoplus_{\{w^{-1} \in W^\circ | l(w) = j\}} M(w \cdot 0)^*.$$  

The morphism (57) maps every homogeneous component into a homogeneous component of the same degree. It is easy to prove that, in the above bases, the matrices of linear maps between the homogeneous components are square matrices whose entries are in $\mathbb{C}(q^1)$ and which are regular at $q^1 = 1$. Hence their invertibility at transcendental $q$ follows from their invertibility at $q = 1$. So it remains to apply the invertibility of the morphism (57) at $q = 1$. Thus we get the isomorphism (56).

To complete the proof of the lemma, extend the endomorphism $d : \Lambda(p^-)_q \to \Lambda(p^-)_q$ of the $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-module $\Lambda(p^-)_q$ to an endomorphism $d_{\text{ext}} : \Lambda \to \Lambda$ of the $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-module $\Lambda$. Use a covariant first order differential calculus $(M(-\alpha_l)_*, d_{p^-})$ over the algebra $\mathbb{C}[n^-]_q$, which can be derived just as in Sec. [sec:7] by a duality argument from the morphism of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-modules

$$M(-\alpha_{l_0}) \to M(0), \quad v(-\alpha_{l_0}) \mapsto F_{l_0}v(0).$$

The above isomorphism of the $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-module $\mathbb{C}[n^-]_q$-bimodules $\Lambda^1 \approx M(-\alpha_{l_0})^*$ is determined up to a scalar multiplier, which can be chosen in such a way that the associated operator $d_{p^-} : \mathbb{C}[n^-]_q \to \Lambda^1$ satisfies

$$d_{p^-} \varphi = d \varphi, \quad \varphi \in \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q.$$  

(58)

We get the desired extension $d_{\text{ext}}$ of $d$ by setting

$$d_{\text{ext}}(f \omega) = (d_{p^-} f) \omega + f d \omega$$

for all $f \in \mathbb{C}[n^-]_q \subset \Lambda$, $\omega \in \Lambda(p^-)_q \subset \Lambda$. This extension is well defined due to the universal property of tensor product in the category of $\mathbb{C}[p^-]_q$-bimodules, together with the relation

$$(d_{p^-}(f \varphi)) \omega + f \varphi d \omega = (d_{p^-} f) \varphi \omega + f d(\varphi \omega),$$
with $f \in \mathbb{C}[n^-]_q$, $\omega \in \Lambda(p^-)_q$, $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}[p^-]_q$. This relation is an easy consequence of (58).

It follows from the definition that $d_{\text{ext}}$ is a morphism of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-modules whose restriction to $\Lambda(p^-)_q \subset \Lambda$ coincides with the differential $d$. ■

**Proposition 23** In the category of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-modules the complex (54) is isomorphic to the generalized Berstein-Gelfand-Gelfand resolution (49).

**Proof.** It follows from Lemmas 14, 15 that the complexes under consideration may be treated as those formed by the same $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-modules, with the differentials having a similar form

$$d_j|_{N(q^+,w,0)} = \bigoplus_{\{w' \in W^0 \mid w' \rightarrow w\}} \epsilon(w, w') i_{w,w'},$$

where only the values of the scalar multipliers $\epsilon(w, w')$ are different. However, the argument of Sec. VIII (see Remark 4) makes sure that a replacement of scalar multipliers reduces to the replacement of a complex of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-modules by an isomorphic complex. ■

**Remark 5** 1. In a recent preprint by Heckenberger and Kolb 20 the de Rham complex of 17, that is very similar to ours, was realized as a complex dual to a BGG resolution. But the methods used there are quite different and the precise relation between the two resulting de Rham complexes still remains to be clarified.

2. With some abuse of terminology, one can say that the main results of the paper were obtained under the assumption of $q$ being transcendental. The recent bright results of Heckenberger and Kolb 19 give us a hope to extend our result for all $q$ which are not the roots of unity.
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APPENDIX: ALGEBRAS AND MODULES IN TENSOR CATEGORIES

Consider the Abelian braided tensor category $\mathcal{C}^-$, a full subcategory of weight $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-finite $U_q\mathfrak{g}$-modules. The braiding $\check{R}_{V_1,V_2} : V_1 \otimes V_2 \to V_2 \otimes V_1$ is defined as usual in terms of the universal R-matrix$^{25}$.

An algebra $F$ in the category $\mathcal{C}^-$ is said to be commutative in this category if $m = m\check{R}_{F,F}$, with $m : F \otimes F \to F$ being the multiplication in $F$.

Consider a bimodule $E$ over a commutative algebra $F$ in the category $\mathcal{C}^-$:

$$m_{\text{left}} : F \otimes E \to E, \quad m_{\text{right}} : E \otimes F \to E.$$ 

We follow$^{36}$ in calling it symmetric if $m_{\text{right}} = m_{\text{left}}\check{R}_{EF}$.

EXAMPLE 4 An algebra $F$ in the category $\mathcal{C}^-$ is a bimodule over any of its subalgebras $F'$. If $F$ is commutative in $\mathcal{C}^-$, this bimodule is symmetric.

Proposition 24 ($^{40}$, Remark 1.1) If $E_1, E_2$ are symmetric bimodules over a commutative algebra $F$ in the category $\mathcal{C}^-$, then the bimodule $E_1 \otimes_F E_2$ is also symmetric.

Proposition 25 ($^{40}$, Remark 1.2) Let $E$ be a left module $m_{\text{left}} : F \otimes E \to E$ over a commutative algebra $F$ in $\mathcal{C}^-$. The morphism $m_{\text{right}} = m_{\text{left}}\check{R}_{EF}$ equips $E$ with a structure of symmetric bimodule over $F$ in $\mathcal{C}^-$. This means that every left module $E$ over a commutative algebra $F$ in $\mathcal{C}^-$ is a symmetric bimodule over $F$ in $\mathcal{C}^-$. 
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A bimodule $E$ over a commutative algebra $F$ in the category $C^-$ is said to be dyslectic if

$$m_{\text{right}} = m_{\text{left}} \hat{R}_{EF}, \quad m_{\text{left}} = m_{\text{right}} \hat{R}_{FE}.$$ 

**Proposition 26** (Proposition 2.4, Theorem 2.5) Let $E_1$, $E_2$ be dyslectic bimodules over a commutative algebra $F$ in the category $C^-$ and $j$ be a canonical surjective morphism $E_1 \otimes F E_2 \to E_1 \otimes_F E_2$. Then

1. $E_1 \otimes_F E_2$ is a dyslectic bimodule over $F$;

2. there exists a unique morphism $\overline{R}_{E_1 E_2} : E_1 \otimes_F E_2 \to E_2 \otimes_F E_1$ in the category $C^-$ such that $\overline{R}_{E_1 E_2} j = j \hat{R}_{E_1 E_2}$.

It follows in a natural way from the above that the category of dyslectic bimodules over a commutative algebra in the category $C^-$ is an Abelian braided tensor category.

Proofs of Propositions 26 and 25 were obtained by Pareigis who used the methods of category theory in a very wide generality. Note that the modules over commutative algebras in the braided Abelian tensor categories are used in algebraic K-theory and arise naturally in the conformal quantum field theory.
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