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ABSTRACT

To understand the range of morphologies observed in the galaxy population at high redshift, it is instructive to evaluate the appearance of local peculiar galaxies when placed at cosmological distances. To this end, we use rest frame ultraviolet (UV), B, and V band images of five nearby (\(z < 0.02\)) interacting and/or starbursting galaxies to simulate deep HST observations of peculiar galaxies at medium to high redshifts. In particular, we simulate Hubble Deep Field (HDF) observations in the F606W and F814W filters of starburst galaxies in the redshift range \(z \sim 0.5 - 2.5\) by explicitly account for the combined effects of band-shifting and surface brightness dimming.

We find that extended morphological features remain readily visible in the long exposures typical of the HDF out to redshifts of \(\sim 1\). For systems above \(z \approx 1.5\), the simulated morphologies look remarkably similar to those of the faint objects found in the HDF and other deep HST fields. Peculiar starburst galaxies therefore appear to be the best local analogs to the highest redshift galaxies in terms of morphology, star formation rates, and spectral energy distributions. Nevertheless, photometric measurements of the \(z > 1.5\) images fail to recover the true global properties of the underlying systems. This is because the high-\(z\) observations are sensitive to the rest-frame UV emission, which is dominated by the most active star forming regions. The extended distribution of starlight from more evolved populations would not be detected. Therefore, while the observed morphologies provide information on the distribution of star formation within the systems, they may reveal very little about their true dynamical states. We conclude that imaging observations in the restframe UV alone cannot reveal whether high-\(z\) systems (\(z \gtrsim 1.5\)) are proto-galaxies, proto-bulges, or starbursts within a pre-existing population. Definitive statements regarding the global properties and dynamical states of these objects require deep imaging observations at longer wavelengths.
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1. Introduction

One of the most exciting advances in observational cosmology, made possible by the superior spatial resolution of the Hubble Space Telescope (HST), has been the identification of the objects comprising the “Faint Blue Excess” in the galaxy luminosity function with a rapidly evolving population of galaxies with irregular and/or peculiar morphologies at intermediate to high redshifts (e.g. Colless et al. 1994; Griffiths et al. 1994; Glazebrook et al. 1995; Driver et al. 1995a,b; Cowie et al. 1995; Schade et al. 1995; Abraham et al. 1996; van den Bergh et al. 1996; Odewahn et al. 1996). Despite the numerous recent studies of this high-redshift population, the true nature of these objects remains elusive. They may be higher redshift manifestations of what are known locally to be disturbed and interacting galaxies, or simply normal Hubble types which look peculiar due to the effects of band-shifting and the loss of surface brightness sensitivity (e.g. Bohlin et al. 1991; Griffiths et al. 1994; Schade et al. 1995; Giavalisco et al. 1996a; Williams et al. 1996; O’Connell & Marcum 1996; Colley et al. 1996). Alternatively, they may represent entirely new classes of galaxies (e.g. “Chain Galaxies”, Cowie, Hu & Songaila 1995; Koo et al. 1996; “Tadpole Galaxies”, van den Bergh et al. 1996) or sub-galactic-sized objects in the process of forming galaxies (“proto-galactic clumps”, Pascarelle et al. 1996; Steidel et al. 1996; Lowenthal et al. 1997; Trager et al. 1997).

To aid in the interpretation of the wealth of morphological data provided by HST observations of high redshift galaxies, it is instructive to first understand what nearby galaxies (z ~ 0) would look like if they were placed at cosmological distances (z > 1). Such redshifting experiments have been conducted by several authors using a range of normal Hubble types and/or peculiar morphologies at intermediate to high redshifts (e.g. Colless et al. 1994; Griffiths et al. 1994; Glazebrook et al. 1995; Driver et al. 1995a,b; Cowie et al. 1995; Schade et al. 1995; Abraham et al. 1996; van den Bergh et al. 1996; Odewahn et al. 1996). In §3 we describe the five systems used in this study. In §3 we describe the data processing. In §4 we discuss the technique for making the simulated observations. In §5 we discuss the implications of these results for interpreting the morphologies of high-redshift systems, and in §6 we summarize our major conclusions. Throughout this work we adopt \(H_0=50\) km s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\) and \(q_0=0.5\).

2. Peculiars at \(z \approx 0\)

The peculiar galaxies used in this exercise were chosen on the basis of the availability of data at the appropriate wavelengths. In particular, we chose systems with \(B, V\) data available from an on-going imaging survey of peculiar galaxies (Hibbard et al. in preparation) and with HST FOC (\(\lambda \approx 2320\) Å) data available from the HST archives. All systems are optical starbursts and are known to contain large numbers of Wolf-Rayet stars (Wolf-Rayet galaxies; Vacca & Conti 1992). The FOC images were acquired as part of a larger study of the UV properties of starburst and Wolf-Rayet galaxies. The presence of large numbers of Wolf-Rayet stars in these systems indicates that a short-lived burst of massive star formation occurred within the last 7 Myr in each of these objects (Vacca & Conti 1992; Schaerer & Vacca 1997).

The global properties of each system are given as the lowest redshift entry in Table 1, and \(B\)-band images (described below) are shown in Figure 1. Superposed on these images are the fields-of-view of the FOC observations and labels of some features of interest. While each of these systems contains multiple optical condensations which might be associated with separate progenitor nuclei, only in Arp 299 do the components seem well enough separated to warrant individual catalog designations (NGC 3690 and IC 694), and these are listed as separate entries in Table 1. All physical scales (distances, radii, and luminosities) have been derived directly from heliocentric velocities and the adopted Hubble constant of \(H_0=50\)
km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$.

The first two systems, Arp 299 and NGC 1614, are optically luminous \((L_B = 1.9 \ L_B^z \text{ for Arp 299}, \ 1.7 \ L_B^z \text{ for NGC 1614})\) and ultraluminous in the infrared \((\log[L_{IR}/L_{B}] > 12)\). Such extreme IR luminosities are believed to originate primarily from UV photons that are quickly absorbed by dust and reradiated in the infrared (e.g. Sanders & Mirabel 1996). Arp 299 and NGC 1614 are two of the most active star forming systems in the local universe, with inferred star formation rates ranging from 50 to 400 $M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$ (Heckman, Armus & Miley 1990; Storchi-Bergmann, Calzetti & Kinney 1994; Lançon & Rocca-Volmerange 1996). Both systems appear to be on-going mergers between two disk galaxies of normal Hubble types (Gehrz, Sramek & Weedman 1983; Neff et al. 1990; Hibbard & Yun 1997), and both appear to be powered predominantly by compact starbursts rather than AGN (Wynn-Williams et al. 1991; Condon et al. 1991; Ridgeway, Wynn-Williams & Becklin 1994; Vacca & Conti 1992; Vacca et al. 1997). NGC 1614 is a merger that is near completion, with the two nuclei (labeled as A and B in Fig. 1a) separated by 11" = 5 kpc (Neff et al. 1990, Mazzarella & Boroson 1993). Arp 299 is a less advanced merger composed of two separate disk systems, IC 694 and NGC 3690, that are in contact but not fully merged (see Fig. 1b). Their nuclei (labeled A and B in Fig. 1b) are separated by 20" (7 kpc).

The next two systems, NGC 1741 and He 2-10, are less active starburst systems. They are an order of magnitude less luminous in the IR, with most of the energy from the starburst emerging in the optical and UV, and they are slightly less luminous in the optical \((0.8 \ L_B^z \text{ for NGC 1741} \text{ and } 0.6 \ L_B^z \text{ for He 2-10})\). The SFRs are high \((\sim 30 M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1})\), but not as large as in the other two systems. NGC 1741 is a member of the Hickson Compact Group 31 (Hickson 1994). Its unusual morphology is clearly the result of an interaction or merger with other members of the group. For the purposes of this paper we include only HCG 31a and HCG 31c (labeled A and C in Fig. 1c) in the global measurements of NGC 1741. He 2-10 (Fig. 1d) is a relatively nearby Blue Compact Dwarf. The reason for the prodigious massive star formation activity in He 2-10 is not as clear as in the other three cases, but H I imaging suggests that a merger or accretion event is occurring (Kobulnicky et al. 1995).

The total star formation rates tabulated in Table 1 were computed by comparing the luminosities in the various bands with those derived from the Bruzual & Charlot (1997) models, with the assumptions of a Salpeter Initial Mass Function spanning the mass range 0.1–125 $M_{\odot}$ and constant star formation with a duration of 10 Myrs. The conversion factors are given in the notes to the table. At $z \approx 0$, the total star formation rates for the optical starbursts NGC 1741 and He 2-10 were derived from $L_B$; for NGC 1614 and Arp 299 the total SFR was computed using the IR luminosity to represent the bolometric luminosity. In ultraluminous IR systems such as NGC 1614 and Arp 299 the IR luminosity dominates the total energy output (Sanders & Mirabel 1996), and the use of $L_{IR}$ for $L_{bol}$ is a reasonable approximation.

3. Data Processing

The $B$- and $V$-band images for Arp 299, NGC 1741, and NGC 1614 were obtained with the University of Hawai`i 88′′ telescope and Tek 2048 CCD at Mauna Kea Observatory in November 1996 and January 1997 under photometric conditions and 1′′ seeing. The f/10 reimaging optics were used, giving a plate scale of 0.22′′ pixel$^{-1}$. The total exposure times were 1200 sec in $B$-band and 900 sec in $V$-band. The data were calibrated via observations of Landolt UBVRI standards (Landolt 1983) observed on the same nights, with zeropoint errors of order 0.05 mag. The $B$- and $V$-band images of He 2-10 were obtained with the 0.9m telescope at CTIO and are described in Corbin, Korista & Vacca (1993). The data were corrected for foreground Galactic extinction using the reddening law of Seaton (1979).

The UV data are archival HST FOC images. These images were acquired before the HST servicing mission and therefore suffer from spherical aberration. The images of IC694 and NGC 1614 were processed with the HST pipeline calibration routines. The images of NGC 3690, NGC 1741, and He 2-10 were corrected for pixel “roll-over” and then re-processed with the HST calibration pipeline procedures. Additional processing on all images was performed to interpolate over blemishes and reseau and correct for flat-field non-linearity. The exposure times for the FOC images of He 2-10, NGC 1741, and NGC 1614 were each 996.75 s. The final images of NGC 3690 and IC 694 were constructed by averaging two observations, each

---

$^*$We adopt $L_B^z \approx 21$ as the characteristic luminosity for a Schechter luminosity function; Loveday et al. 1992.
with exposure times of 895.75 s. The HST FOC image of NGC 1741 has been presented and discussed by Conti, Leitherer & Vacca (1996), who also analyzed a GHRS UV spectrum of one of the bright starburst knots seen in this image. A description of the FOC image of He 2-10 can be found in Conti & Vacca (1994); a description of the images of NGC 3690 and IC 694 can be found in Vacca et al. (1997; see also Meurer et al. 1995).

Since the redshifting technique described below accounts for the changes in the number of photons per wavelength interval per unit collecting area as a function of redshift, there is some concern that the method, although correct for extended sources, may be inappropriate for treating the cosmological fading of unresolved sources (Colley et al. 1996). This will not be a problem as long as the width of the point spread function (PSF) in the original images is mapped onto a region smaller than the width of the PSF of the redshifted image. For the ground-based images the seeing is about the same as the full width at half maximum of unresolved sources in the final “drizzled” images of the HDF (~3-4 pixels). Therefore, as long as the rebinning factors (the number of pixels in the original image that are mapped into a single pixel of the final image) are larger than unity the smearing of unresolved sources will not be a concern. This condition is satisfied for the lower redshift simulations.

For the high redshift simulations, on the other hand, the use of the pre-COSTAR FOC images presents a concern, as the PSF is quite extended (the radii for encircled energy fractions of 50% and 90% are 38 and 84 pixels, respectively; Leitherer et al. 1996). To account for this, the UV data were deconvolved in order to recover as much flux as possible from point sources.

The UV morphology is dominated by several dozen bright star-forming knots embedded within a more diffuse background (cf. Conti & Vacca 1994; Meurer et al. 1995), and so we chose to deconvolve the images in two steps. The first step is to remove as much flux from the point sources as possible by using the sigma-clean algorithm (Keel 1991). We used a large number of iterations (~200-400) in order to recover any significant point sources. The residual image was then deconvolved with 10 iterations of the Richardson-Lucy (R-L) deconvolution algorithm. This two-step process avoids producing the large “absorption troughs” around point sources that result from many iterations of the R-L algorithm.

There is also some concern that the limited field of view and sensitivity of the FOC images prohibit a proper representation of the far UV morphology of these systems. This is especially a concern for the z=1.59 simulation, where the limiting (2.5σ) isophote of the FOC images are detected with a S/N>5 in the simulated F606 image. However, based on B − R color maps and narrow-band Hα images of these systems (Hibbard, unpublished), we do not expect significant populations of young UV emitting stars outside of the starburst regions. We therefore believe that the UV morphologies are fairly well represented by the FOC images.

4. Construction of Simulated Images

The UV, B, and V images were used to simulate the appearance of the systems when placed at distances corresponding to redshifts between z=0.45 to 2.44 and observed with HST using exposure times similar to those used for the Hubble Deep Field (HDF; Williams et al. 1996). The redshifts of the simulated observations are chosen to shift the UV, B and V-band images into the HST F606W (λ_{pivot}=5935 Å) and F814W (λ_{pivot}=8269 Å) filter passbands. In particular, the V-image shifts into the HST F814W passband at z=0.45, the B-image shifts into the HST F814W passband at z=0.81, and the UV image shifts into the HST F606W passband at z=1.59 and into the F814W passband at z=2.44.

A threshold of 2.5σ was first applied to the images, after which they were edited to remove stars, foreground or background galaxies, and any stray artifacts. The images were then degraded in surface brightness and linear scale according to the simulated redshift and the adopted cosmology. The technique used to produce the simulations is similar to that described by Giavalisco et al. (1996a), and the appropriate equations are given in that reference. We differ from these authors in the final steps; instead of adding artificial noise to the images we insert the degraded images directly into a subsection of the HDF F814W mosaic. The advantage of our technique is that it ensures that the detection limit of the simulated images is close to that of the actual HDF observations and it allows a direct comparison to be made with HDF images of galaxies at these redshifts.

---

*We calculate the redshifts from the pivot wavelengths of the filter-detector system, since these are independent of the input spectral energy distribution.*
We have not considered any evolutionary effects in these simulations; i.e., the ages of the underlying populations within the galaxies are taken to be exactly as they are today. We do this because we are interested in assessing the appearance of systems with a very young, active starburst superposed upon a more evolved underlying population when viewed from cosmological distances. In §5.3 we incorporate an approximate treatment of evolution and examine its effects.

The results of the redshifting exercise are shown in Figure 2 [plate YY] for the intermediate redshift simulations (\(z = 0.45\) and 0.81), and in Figure 3 [plate ZZ] for the highest redshift simulations (\(z = 1.59\) and 2.44). The upper panels present the simulated images of the five starburst systems (recall that Arp 299 is composed of two separate systems), while the lower panels show galaxies with similar redshifts taken from the HDF, displayed at the same spatial scale and with the same transfer function as the simulated images nearest their redshift. The redshifts of the HDF galaxies are listed at the bottom of each panel, and were taken from the Hawaii Active Catalog of the HDF (Cowie 1997) or from Lowenthal et al. (1997).

For redshifts lower than \(\sim 1\) the band-shifting is not large enough to shift rest frame wavelengths shortward of the 4000 Å break into the simulated passband. Additionally, the surface brightness sensitivity of the simulated images is not much worse than in the ground-based observations. As a result, the defining morphological peculiarities of these systems remain readily discernible.

At the higher redshifts, the rest \(UV\) is shifted into the simulated passband, and the more evolved population is no longer apparent.\(^9\) The resulting morphologies are due to the distribution of bright star forming regions within the galaxies. These morphologies range from a single knot (He 2-10), to a knot with surrounding nebulosity (NGC 1741), to a pair of objects (NGC 1614), and finally to several closely spaced luminous knots (Arp 299). This is similar to the morphologies of high-\(z\) galaxies found in the HDF and other deep HST fields (e.g. Cowie et al. 1995; Abraham et al. 1996; van den Bergh et al. 1996; Pascale et al. 1996; Giavalisco et al. 1996b; Koo et al. 1996; Lowenthal 1997).

We treated our simulated images as if they represented actual observations of high redshift objects and measured observational properties (apparent magnitudes, half-light radii, surface brightnesses) using the same techniques as those used for objects in the HDF (e.g. Lowenthal et al. 1997). The results are listed in Table 1. The “observed” parameters of the simulated images are quite typical of those measured for intermediate to high-redshift galaxies (e.g. Cowie et al. 1995; Koo et al. 1996; Pascale et al. 1996; Giavalisco et al. 1996a; Lowenthal et al. 1997; Trager et al. 1997).

In order to estimate the absolute blue magnitude \(M_B\), Luminosities, and SFRs of our simulated objects, we require K-corrections and conversions between AB magnitudes and magnitudes based on the Vega system, as well as conversions between the Cousins I band filter and the HST F814W filter and between the Johnson V filter and the HST F606W filter. The conversion between AB magnitudes and Vega-based magnitudes is simply the difference in the zero points of the magnitude systems and was taken to be 0.116 mag and 0.439 mag in the F606W and F814W filters, respectively.

K-corrections are given by a number of authors for a variety of redshifts and galaxy types (e.g., Frei & Gunn 1994; Fukugita, Shimasaku & Ichikawa 1995; Kinney et al. 1996). However, K-corrections in the B band are not available for redshifts as high as \(z = 2.44\), due primarily to the fact that observed galaxy spectra (on which the K-corrections are based) are unavailable at rest wavelengths of \(\sim 1200\) Å. To circumvent this problem, we calculated K-corrections for I band magnitudes by performing synthetic photometry on the low-extinction spectral energy distribution (SED) template for a starburst (SB1; E(B-V)<0.1) constructed by Kinney et al. (1996). The I band K-corrections are presented as a function of redshift for the six starburst templates in Figure 4. The same starburst templates were used to calculate the rest frame colors and the conversions between the HST filter system and the Johnson/Cousins filter system.

The use of a low-extinction starburst template may seem inappropriate, given that these systems are known to be dusty. However, we wish to treat our simulations as much as possible like actual observations of high redshift systems, for which a low extinction

---

\(^9\)The \(z = 1.59\) V606 simulation would have a corresponding F814W observation that measures the rest \(U\)-band light of the systems, and it is possible that these observations would detect the more extended stellar population. We are testing this by obtaining \(U\)-band images of a sample of starburst galaxies, including those used in this study.
is usually assumed (e.g. Cowie et al. 1995; Steidel et al. 1996, Lowenthal et al. 1997). We will examine the effect of this assumption in §5.2 below.

5. Discussion

5.1. Observed Morphologies

The redshifting experiment we have performed using nearby starburst galaxies yields images which look remarkably similar to those of the faint objects found in the HDF and other deep HST fields. None of the previous redshifting experiments reproduce this range of morphologies so well. We note that one of the objects in our sample, NGC 1741, is often used as a spectroscopic template for galaxies at high redshift (e.g., Conti et al. 1996; Steidel et al. 1996). The similarities in morphology, SFRs, and spectral energy distributions (cf. Conti et al. 1996) lead us to conclude that peculiar starburst galaxies appear to be the best local analogs to the high-\(z\) systems. It remains to be seen if similar experiments using normal types can reproduce all of these aspects of the high redshift systems.

We find that the outer tidal morphologies (and therefore the intrinsic dynamical nature) of these systems are readily discernible to redshifts \(z \sim 1\). This suggests that meaningful comparisons should be possible between low-\(z\) peculiar and those at intermediate redshifts (cf. Wu, Faber & Lauer 1996). We are in the process of obtaining ground based \(U\)-band images of a sample of \(\sim 50\) peculiar galaxies to investigate whether this conclusion holds to redshifts of \(\sim 1.3\) (Hibbard et al., in preparation). A comparison between the tidal morphologies of intermediate redshift peculiar with those of a properly degraded low-\(z\) sample could address the question of whether interactions have a different nature at the different epochs.

Figures 2 and 3 illustrate how severe both bandshifting and cosmological fading can be for \(z > 1.5\). At these redshifts most of the morphologically dominant features in the optical have faded from view, and only the youngest and most active star forming regions are visible. What is quite remarkable is that the dominant morphological features at these redshifts are not the most active star forming regions (as deduced from observations in the far infrared) nor the centers of the galaxies. Rather, they are the least obscured star forming regions visible in the UV images. For example, in NGC 1614, the main nucleus (labeled A in Fig. 1a) is the site of most of the star formation activity, while the second nucleus (labeled B) has post-burst spectral characteristics (Liu & Kennicutt 1995) and a significant population of UV emitting B-stars. However, due to very high dust extinction at the site of region A, the optically faint second nucleus B dominates the morphology at high redshifts, with the main nucleus appearing only as a faint extension to the east. Similarly, in Arp 299 the dominant feature at high redshift is not a galactic nucleus, but the region of disk overlap (region C in Fig. 1b), contributing more than half of the UV photons. Yet IR and NIR mapping (Joy et al. 1989; Gehrz et al. 1982; Wynn-Williams et al. 1991) suggest that this region contributes only 10% of the total star formation activity.

While it is true that peculiar galaxies at low redshift will appear peculiar at high redshift, it is important to realize that the reasons for the apparently peculiar morphologies differ at low and high redshifts. At low redshift, the peculiar morphology results from the action of strong tidal forces on the extended (evolved) stellar population (cf. Toomre & Toomre 1972), and to a lesser extent from the large number of young stars and large amounts of dust associated with the merger induced starburst. At high redshift, the morphology is driven by the irregular distribution of the most active and/or least extinguished regions of star formation.

Most of the systems used in this study have an irregular UV morphology for the same reason that they are classified as peculiar galaxies: they are on-going mergers of pre-existing systems. On the other hand, it is possible that the disturbed UV morphologies at high redshift result instead from the coalescence of star-forming proto-galactic clumps which represent the first generation of stars formed in these systems. Alternatively, more quiescent scenarios might also give rise to such morphologies. For example, galaxies may be assembled in isolation but with star formation occurring primarily in intense short-lived bursts that are distributed irregularly throughout an otherwise smooth distribution of stars and gas (e.g., the “Christmas tree” model of Lowenthal et al. 1997). The UV morphology alone cannot distinguish between these scenarios. However, the morphology of any extended light from evolved stars will constrain the true dynamical nature of the objects, and should discriminate between the various scenarios.

The existence and morphology of an extended evolved population can be investigated via deep rest-
frame optical observations. While the UV morphologies at \( z \geq 1.5 \) are only \( \sim 1'' \) in diameter, the stellar disks or spheroidals should be several times larger (5–10 kpc vs. 1–2 kpc, cf. Table 1). At these redshifts, the optical bands are shifted into the near-infrared. Deep, high resolution imaging observations (e.g., with NICMOS on HST or ground-based NIR observations under good seeing conditions) should be able to detect irregular extended distributions such as those in NGC 1741, NGC 1614, and Arp 299, although they would fail to detect the more regular distribution of evolved stars from a system like He 2-10. Such observations should reveal whether the star forming regions are embedded within a more diffuse stellar body, or are truly naked starbursts, and hence proto-galaxies.

5.2. Recovery of Global Properties

In Figure 5 we plot the derived half-light radii, luminosities, and star formation rates listed in Table 1 as a function of redshift, after normalizing by their values at \( z \approx 0 \). This figure shows that the inferred properties of the high redshift simulations are often quite different from their actual values. This illustrates the potential danger of using restframe UV properties to derive global system characteristics.

The reasons for the behavior seen in Fig. 5 are two-fold. The first is the presence of an underlying population which is older than the starburst and contributes significant flux in the optical but very little in the UV. The second is the fact that we have made no correction for dust and have used a low-extinction \( (E(B-V) \approx 0.1) \) SED to derive the K-corrections. While NGC 1741 has a relatively small intrinsic reddening \( (E(B-V)=0.05; \) Conti et al. 1996), He 2-10 and NGC 3690 are moderately reddened \( (E(B-V) \approx 0.2-0.3; \) Vacca & Conti 1992; Vacca et al. 1997), and NGC 1614 and IC 694 are heavily reddened \( (E(B-V) \approx 1) \). In this subsection we examine how these effects affect the derivation of global values for these systems.

The behavior of \( r_{1/2}(z) \) (Fig. 5a) can be completely understood in terms of the first of these effects: as the observed band moves into the rest UV, its morphology is dominated by the most active star forming regions. These regions are concentrated within very tight knots or associations that are considerably more compact than the surrounding evolved stellar populations. In all of these systems except He 2-10 the evolved populations contribute significant optical flux within the starburst region, and the half-light radius is larger than that of the young stars alone. He 2-10 is unresolved in all of our simulations, and thus the measured values of \( r_{1/2}(z) \) are upper limits. The resulting behavior of \( r_{1/2}(z) \) seen in Fig. 5a is simply due to the increase in the equivalent physical size of the PSF at higher redshifts.

The behavior of \( L_B(z) \) (Fig. 5b) is a combination of both effects. In all cases, the observations at \( z < 1 \) sample the rest optical, and \( L_B \) is fairly accurately recovered. At \( z > 1 \), we must derive \( B \)-band luminosities from rest UV observations, and both effects are contributing to the errors. In addition to taking into account redshift dilution, a correction of the form \( L_B = L_{UV} \times [L_B/L_{UV}]_{SED} \) is required, where \( [L_B/L_{UV}]_{SED} \) is calculated from an assumed template. Since the UV flux contains no contribution from the more evolved population, a \( B \)-band luminosity derived from the UV fluxes will be underestimated. For the present systems, this amounts to a factor of two to three. In addition, if the actual SED is different from that of the template, the factor \([L_B/L_{UV}]_{SED}\) will be different (cf. Fig. 4). If the underlying galaxy is redder than the template, \( L_B \) will be underestimated, while if it is bluer \( L_B \) will be overestimated.

For the five systems examined here, NGC 1741 alone is bluer than the assumed SB1 template. As a result, the higher \([L_B/L_{UV}]_{SED}\) value partially compensates for the lack of photons from the extended population, and the derived \( L_B \) is coincidentally within 90% of the actual value. For the other four systems, both the presence of an evolved population and an incorrect \((UV-B)\) color cause \( L_B \) to be underestimated, with the luminosities of the reddest systems (NGC 1614, IC 694) underestimated by the largest factor. Knowledge of the reddening in the UV can be incorporated to help alleviate these shortcomings. However, such knowledge can never reproduce the contribution from an underlying evolved population. For this, the only recourse is to attempt to observe this population directly in the restframe optical bands.

The behavior of \( SFR(z) \) seen in Fig. 5c also has contributions from both effects: the SFR equations have been derived for an unreddened population with an age of 10 Myrs. In light of the presence of significant amounts of dust and substantially older populations in these systems, these assumptions are not valid. Therefore the conversions between broad band luminosities and the SFR given in Table 1 fail to give...
consistent results between the various bands.

Again, knowledge of the reddening in the UV can compensate for some of these effects. For example, reddening may be derived by spectroscopy or by a UV color (e.g. F606W and F814W observations of z > 2 galaxies, see Meurer et al. 1997). However, it should be noted that (1) the colors so derived will be dominated by relatively unextinguished sightlines, which may not be characteristic of the system as a whole; and (2) the correlation between the UV luminosity and the IR luminosity, used to estimate the total SFR, may not hold for very dusty systems (e.g., Meurer et al. 1997). Indeed, NGC 3690 and NGC 1614 lie well off of the relationship between UV and IR flux given in Meurer et al. (1995, 1997). As a result, the SFRs derived from the IR at z ≈ 0 are well above the values derived from the other bands at higher redshift (Fig. 5c).

There is a prevailing sentiment that star-forming galaxies at high redshift are naked starbursts, with very little dust and very few evolved stars. Part of this bias is driven by the fact that the universe is a fraction of its present age at these redshifts (e.g. 2.0 Gyr for z=2.44 using the adopted cosmology). However, a number of systems have been found at z > 1 that exhibit spectroscopic evidence of both evolved stellar populations (Stockton, Kellogg & Ridgway 1995; Dunlop et al. 1996; Spinrad et al. 1997) and copious amounts of dust (Clements et al. 1992; Dunlop et al. 1994). In addition, the restframe UV colors of z > 2 galaxies suggest that reddening in these objects is significantly higher than normally assumed, with internal color excesses similar to those found in local starbursts (Meurer et al. 1997). So the presence of evolved stars and dust should not be discounted a priori. As our observations and simulations have shown, even extremely dusty galaxies such as NGC 1614 and IC 694 are detectable in the restframe UV, despite large amounts of reddening. Presumably, in such systems the dust is sufficiently patchy in nature that some relatively unextinguished sightlines exist through which active star-forming regions can be seen.

We conclude that if the objects found at high redshift are at all similar to the galaxies in our sample (i.e. possessing significant amounts of dust and/or an evolved population), the sizes, blue luminosities and SFRs may be substantially underestimated.

5.3. Evolutionary Effects

The simulations we have presented do not include any attempts to model evolutionary effects in the underlying stellar populations. That is, the age of the underlying populations and the level of star formation throughout the simulated high-z images are presumed to be just as they are in the low-z analogs. Our intent is not to model what these specific systems looked like when they (and the universe) were younger. Rather, we simply wish to explore what starbursting peculiar galaxies containing a range of stellar populations would appear like at cosmologically interesting distances. The conclusions arrived at above do not require the underlying stellar populations be 10 Gyr old, just that this population be significantly older than the starburst (e.g. a few ×10^8 years) and contribute significantly to the optical luminosity.

It is common to assume that stars form in a galaxy where they are seen today. In this case, evolution may crudely be modeled by scaling the observed B-band images by a factor to account for the expected increase in the surface brightness due to a higher star formation rate per unit area at earlier times, and by the (UV − B) color expected for younger population. We performed such a simulation assuming an exponentially decreasing star formation rate with a characteristic time of 2 Gyr (typical of an Sb galaxy, Bruzual & Charlot 1993), and formation epoch of z=10. These parameters are used in conjunction with Bruzual & Charlot (1997) models to convert the B-band images into UV images of 1.7 Gyr old disks, which are then redshifted as before. The resulting z=2.44 simulated images are shown in Figure 6, with the same z > 2 HDF systems as shown in the bottom row of Fig. 3.

As seen in this figure, the HDF galaxies at z > 2 clearly lack disks as prominent as those seen in the simulations with our crude treatment of evolution. From this, one might conclude that the such disks formed since z~2 (e.g., Pascarelle et al. 1996). Alternatively, it is possible that the assumption of spatially uniform exponential star formation provides a poor description of disk formation. Deep NIR imaging observations should discriminate between these alternatives.

6. Conclusions

We have conducted a redshifting experiment to simulate the appearance of local starburst galaxies if observed at cosmological distances. We find:
• Extended tidal features remain readily visible in the long exposures typical of the HDF out to redshifts of \( \sim 1 \).

• For systems above \( z \approx 1.5 \), the simulated morphologies look remarkably similar to those of the faint objects found in the HDF and other deep HST fields, more so than previous simulations.

• At \( z > 1.5 \) the observed morphologies reflect the distribution of the most active and/or least extinguished regions of active star formation. The extended distribution of starlight from more evolved stellar populations is no longer detected.

• Photometric measurements of the \( z > 1.5 \) simulated images fail to recover the true global properties of the underlying systems. This is due to two factors: (1) the inability to image the evolved population which emits very little in the UV; (2) the assumption that high-\( z \) systems are relatively dust free.

If high-\( z \) galaxies contain an evolved population of stars which contribute significantly in the optical but not in UV and/or substantial amounts of dust, the last two points have particularly important consequences for our understanding of these systems. Their combined effect could lead to erroneous conclusions concerning the nature of these systems, such as whether they are \( L_B^* \) or sub-\( L_B^* \) systems, compact or extended, or have exponential or \( r^{1/4} \) light profiles. We note that at least some high-redshift objects are known to contain dust and/or an evolved populations.

These considerations raise the question of whether the systems recently discovered at high redshift are indeed “proto-galaxies”, “proto-spheroids”, or “sub-galactic building blocks”. The galaxies in our sample are forming a significant population of new stars, and will likely evolve into dynamically “hot” stellar systems. However, they contain an underlying population of evolved stars and would not be considered primeval. We thus conclude that UV morphology alone cannot reveal whether high-\( z \) systems (\( z \gtrsim 1.5 \)) are proto-galaxies, proto-bulges, or starbursts within a pre-existing population. Definitive statements regarding the global properties and the true dynamical nature of these objects require deep imaging observations in the near-infrared to search for more evolved populations. Similarly, whether or not the high redshift systems have dust can be investigated by deep observations in the restframe IR. At \( z > 2 \) the far IR bands are shifted into the sub-mm, and observations using sub-mm bolometers, such as SCUBA on the JCMT or the planned millimeter array, should provide crucial confirmation or constraints on the dust content of such systems.

In presenting these experiments we are not suggesting that high redshift galaxies are on-going mergers of pre-existing disk galaxies. However, as we have demonstrated here, one would be hard pressed to say that this were not the case purely on the basis of the available data. For now, nearby peculiar starburst galaxies appear to be the best analogs to the highest redshift galaxies in terms of morphology, star formation rates and spectral energy distributions. How appropriate this analogy is awaits further observations.
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Fig. 1.— Ground-based B-band images of the five low-redshift peculiar starburst galaxies used for the redshifting experiment, displayed with a wrapping greyscale transfer function. Arp 299 is counted as two separate systems (NGC 3690 and IC 694) in the text and Table 1. The region imaged in the archival FOC observations is outlined. The 22'' field of view of the FOC corresponds to a linear size of 10.3, 6.6, 8.3 and 1.9 kpc for NGC 1614, Arp 299, NGC 1741 and He 2-10, respectively (using the redshifts given in Table 1 and H_o=50 km s^{-1} Mpc^{-1}). Several prominent star forming regions in NGC 1614 and Arp 299 are labeled, as are the separate components of HCG 31, of which NGC 1741 is a member.

Fig. 2.— Simulated observations of the low-z starburst galaxies embedded into the HDF F814W image. The ground-based B and V images have been used to simulate HDF observations in the F814W filter at redshifts of z=0.45 and 0.81 (q_o=0.5). The simulated images were added to random regions of the drizzled HDF image, and as such occasionally appear close to HDF galaxies. For example, the spiral galaxy to the left of the He 2-10 z=0.81 simulation is an HDF galaxy at a z=1.15. The lower panels show eight galaxies from the HDF at similar redshifts. Using the convention of naming HDF galaxies by the WF chip they appear in and the x and y coordinate in version 2 of the drizzled HDF, these galaxies are (from left to right) top row: hd4_1068_1613, hd4_0883_1350, hd3_0310_0849, hd2_0270_0236; bottom row: hd3_1225_1091, hd2_1710_1306, hd4_1307_0514, hd4_1898_1157. All galaxies are shown at the same angular scale, with each box enclosing 8'' on a side (54 kpc at z=0.45 and 66 kpc at z=0.81), and with the same transfer function. This figure shows that the defining morphological characteristics of the low-z peculiars remain readily observable out to these redshifts.

Fig. 3.— Simulated observations of the low-z starburst galaxies embedded into the HDF F606W and F814W images. The archival HST FOC observations have been used to simulate HDF observations in the F606W filter at a redshift of z=1.59 and in the F814W filter at z=2.44. The lower panels show eight galaxies from the HDF at similar redshifts and displayed with the same transfer function as the simulation images. The HDF galaxies are (from left to right) top row: hd2_0993_1451, hd3_0306_1462, hd3_0988_1824, hd3_1506_0887; bottom row: hd2_0649_1744, hd2_0666_1771, hd2_1160_1875, hd2_0360_1302. The panels measure 4'' (34 kpc at z=1.59 and 31 kpc at z=2.44) on a side. At these redshifts the rest UV has been shifted into the observed passband and none of the extended features from the evolved stellar population are visible.

Fig. 4.— Cousin’s I-band K-corrections as a function of redshift, determined from the various starburst (SB) templates of Kinney et al. (1996). The K-corrections were combined with rest frame (B-I) or (UV-B) colors for each template to determine \( L_B \) and \( L_{UV} \), respectively. The SB1 template, corresponding to \( E(B-V) < 0.1 \), was used for all calculations in this paper.

Fig. 5.— The logarithms of the (a) half-light radii, (b) blue luminosities, and (c) star formation rates derived from the simulated images at different redshifts, all relative to the values measured in the original images. At z > 1.5 the redshifted images fail to recover the intrinsic measurements from the host systems. We conclude that measurements derived from observations in the restframe UV should be used with caution when attempting to infer the global properties of the host systems.

Fig. 6.— Simulated observations of the low-z starburst galaxies at z = 2.44, including an approximate treatment of evolution. We have assumed an exponential star formation history with a characteristic time of 2 Gyr, an age of 1.7 Gyr, and a formation epoch of z = 10 (see text). The lower panels show the same four z > 2 HDF galaxies as are shown in the lowest panel of Figure 3, now using the angular resolution and transfer function as the simulation images shown directly above. The panels measure 7.5'' (58 kpc) on a side.
| $z$  | Filter | $m_z^{AB}$ (mag) | $r_{1/2}'$ (′′) | $\mu_{r_{1/2}}^{AB}$ (mag arcsec$^{-2}$) | $M_B$ (mag) | $r_{1/2}$ (kpc) | $L_B/L_B^*$ | SFR ($M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$) |
|------|--------|------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 0.00291 | $B$    | 10.7             | 7.0             | 17.0                                   | -20.4    | 0.6           | 0.60         | 29              |
| 0.45 | I814   | 21.1             | 0.13            | 18.8                                   | -20.5    | 1.0           | 0.60         | 29              |
| 0.81 | I814   | 22.5             | <0.12           | 19.9                                   | -20.5    | 1.1           | 0.64         | 31              |
| 1.59 | V606   | 25.4             | <0.12           | 22.7                                   | -19.8    | 1.1           | 0.32         | 5.0             |
| 2.44 | I814   | 26.2             | <0.12           | 23.5                                   | -19.7    | 1.2           | 0.31         | 4.7             |
|      |        |                  |                 |                                       |          |               |              |                 |
|      |        |                  |                 |                                       |          |               |              |                 |
|      |        |                  |                 |                                       |          |               |              |                 |
| 0.0130 | $B$    | 13.6             | 11.0            | 20.8                                   | -20.8    | 4.2           | 0.81         | 39              |
| 0.45 | I814   | 20.8             | 0.61            | 21.7                                   | -20.8    | 4.6           | 0.82         | 39              |
| 0.81 | I814   | 22.1             | 0.50            | 22.6                                   | -20.9    | 4.9           | 0.89         | 43              |
| 1.59 | V606   | 24.4             | 0.14            | 22.2                                   | -20.7    | 1.7           | 0.79         | 12              |
| 2.44 | I814   | 25.2             | 0.16            | 23.2                                   | -20.7    | 1.9           | 0.75         | 11              |
|      |        |                  |                 |                                       |          |               |              |                 |
|      |        |                  |                 |                                       |          |               |              |                 |
|      |        |                  |                 |                                       |          |               |              |                 |
| 0.0102 | $B$   | 13.0             | 8.0             | 19.5                                   | -20.9    | 2.4           | 0.90         | 120             |
| 0.45 | I814   | 20.7             | 0.43            | 20.9                                   | -20.9    | 3.3           | 0.90         | 43              |
| 0.81 | I814   | 22.1             | 0.27            | 21.2                                   | -20.9    | 2.7           | 0.92         | 44              |
| 1.59 | V606   | 25.1             | 0.14            | 22.8                                   | -20.0    | 1.7           | 0.42         | 6.4             |
| 2.44 | I814   | 26.0             | 0.14            | 23.7                                   | -19.9    | 1.8           | 0.37         | 5.6             |
|      |        |                  |                 |                                       |          |               |              |                 |
|      |        |                  |                 |                                       |          |               |              |                 |
|      |        |                  |                 |                                       |          |               |              |                 |
| 0.0102 | $B$   | 12.9             | 17.0            | 21.0                                   | -21.0    | 5.1           | 1.0          | 180             |
| 0.45 | I814   | 20.4             | 0.78            | 21.8                                   | -21.2    | 5.9           | 1.2          | 60              |
| 0.81 | I814   | 22.0             | 0.62            | 22.9                                   | -21.0    | 6.1           | 1.0          | 49              |
| 1.59 | V606   | 26.1             | 0.16            | 24.1                                   | -19.0    | 1.9           | 0.16         | 2.5             |
| 2.44 | I814   | 27.0             | 0.15            | 24.9                                   | -18.9    | 1.9           | 0.14         | 2.2             |
|      |        |                  |                 |                                       |          |               |              |                 |
|      |        |                  |                 |                                       |          |               |              |                 |
|      |        |                  |                 |                                       |          |               |              |                 |
| 0.0160 | $B$ | 13.2             | 10.0            | 20.2                                   | -21.6    | 4.7           | 1.8          | 220             |
| 0.45 | I814   | 19.8             | 0.62            | 20.8                                   | -21.8    | 4.7           | 2.0          | 97              |
| 0.81 | I814   | 21.4             | 0.52            | 22.0                                   | -21.6    | 5.1           | 1.7          | 84              |
| 1.59 | V606   | 25.4             | 0.18            | 23.6                                   | -19.8    | 2.1           | 0.32         | 5.0             |
| 2.44 | I814   | 26.0             | 0.20            | 24.5                                   | -19.9    | 2.4           | 0.35         | 5.4             |
Notes to Table 1:

(1) Redshift
(2) Filter for measured values.
(3) Apparent magnitude, in AB magnitudes.
(4) Half light radii from aperture photometry, in arcsec.
(5) Surface brightness averaged over the half-light radius, in AB magnitudes.
(6) Absolute blue magnitude calculated for a cosmology with $H_o$=50 km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$ and $q_o$=1/2 according to the following equation:

$$M_B = m_i^{AB}(z) - 5 \times \log(D_L/10\text{pc}) + [m_i(z) - m_i^{AB}(z)]_{SED} + [m_B(0) - m_i(0)]_{SED} - K_i$$

where $m_i^{AB}(z)$ is the measured magnitude in the AB system, $D_L$ is the luminosity distance in pc, $K_i$ is the K-correction for the observed filter $i$ listed in column 2 (see Fig. 4 for K-corrections for the F814W filter), $m_i(0)$ is the apparent magnitude in filter $i$ at $z = 0$, and the SED subscript indicates that the values are calculated by integrating the chosen SED (SB1 from Kinney et al. 1995 in this case) over the appropriate bandpass. Magnitudes are in the Vega-based system unless designated with an AB superscript.

(7) Half-light radii in kpc, using the adopted cosmology.

(8) Blue Luminosity in terms of $L_B^*$, where we adopt $M_B^* \approx -21$ as the characteristic luminosity for a Schechter luminosity function from Loveday et al. 1992.

(9) Star formation rate, derived from the Bruzual & Charlot (1997) models, with the assumptions of a Salpeter Initial Mass Function spanning the mass range 0.1–125 M$_\odot$, constant star formation, and a population age of 10 Myrs. This yields the following equations for the star formation rate in M$_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$:

$$SFR = L_{bol}/4.40 \times 10^9 L_\odot,$$

$$SFR = L_B/4.11 \times 10^{38} \text{ergs s}^{-1} \text{Å}^{-1},$$

$$SFR = L_{2200}/1.87 \times 10^{39} \text{ergs s}^{-1} \text{Å}^{-1}.$$ 

At $z \approx 0$ the SFRs for He 2-10 and NGC 1741 are calculated from the observed $B$-band luminosity, while for NGC 3690, NGC 1614 and IC 694 the SFRs are calculated assuming $L_{bol} \approx L_{IR}$. $L_{IR}$ is derived from a single color fit to the four IRAS passbands (Sanders & Mirabel 1996). At $z = 0.45, 0.81$ the SFRs are calculated via the derived $L_B$; at $z = 1.59, 2.44$ they are calculated from $L_{2200}$.
This figure "hv97fig1.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9707275v1
This figure "hv97fig2.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9707275v1
This figure "hv97fig3.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9707275v1
This figure "hv97fig5.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9707275v1
This figure "hv97fig6.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9707275v1