Comparative analysis of traditional and modern apricot breeding programs: A case of study with Spanish and Tunisian apricot breeding germplasm
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Abstract

Traditional plant breeding is based on the observation of variation and the selection of the best phenotypes, whereas modern breeding is characterised by the use of controlled mating and the selection of descendants using molecular markers. In this work, a comparative analysis of genetic diversity in a traditional (Tunisian) and a modern (Spanish) apricot breeding programme was performed at the phenotypic and molecular level using simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers. Seven phenotypic traits were evaluated in 42 Tunisian apricot accessions and 30 genotypes from the Spanish apricot programme. In addition, 20 SSR markers previously described as linked to specific phenotypic traits were assayed. Results showed that modern breeding using controlled crosses increases the size of the fruit. The fruit weight average observed in the Tunisian cultivars was of 20.15 g. In the case of traditional Spanish cultivars the average weight was 47.12 g, whereas the average weight of the other progenitors from France, USA and South Africa was 72.85 g. Finally, in the new releases from the CEBAS-CSIC breeding programme, the average weight was 72.82 g. In addition, modern bred cultivars incorporate desirable traits such as self-compatibility and firmness. Cluster and structural analysis based on SSR data clearly differentiates the genotypes according to their geographic origin and pedigree. Finally, results showed an association between some alleles of PaCITA7 and UDP96003 SSR markers with apricot fruit weight, one allele of UDP98406 marker with fruit firmness and one allele of UDAp407 marker with fruit ripening.
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Introduction

Plant breeding programmes involve the application of different genetic techniques in order to obtain new varieties with improved productivity, fruit quality and resistance to existing diseases. Plant breeding is practiced by farmers and by professional plant breeders from different private and public institutions. Traditional breeding, based on the observation of phenotypic variation and the selection of the best phenotypes, was the first approach used around the world. Modern breeding, on the other hand, is characterised by the use of controlled mating and by the subsequent monitoring of the recombination obtained using molecular markers.
neous accessions of ‘Bargougs’ (the most common apricot cultivar in the middle of Tunisia) propagated by seeds and issued from the Gafsa Oasis, Degache, Tozeur, Nefta and Midess (Fig. 1 & Table 1). In addition, 30 genotypes from the apricot breeding programme of CEBAS-CSIC in Murcia (Spain) were included in this study, including new releases, advanced selections and progenitors from different geographic areas (Table 2).

Phenotypic evaluation

The following seven agronomic traits of breeding interest were studied: flowering time evaluated every 1-2 days until 50% of the flowers were completely opened (F50) from very early (before February 16) to very late (after March 12); ripening time considered when the fruit had suitable firmness and colour at the commercial maturity stage from early (before May 10) to very late (after June 20); flower compatibility evaluated by bagging as self-compatible when fruit set was presented or self-incompatible with null set (Burgos et al., 1993); fruit weight measured in g, very light (< 20 g), medium (20-40 g), heavy (40-60 g), and very heavy (>80 g); flesh firmness from soft (less than 15 N) to firm (more than 30 N); and skin and flesh colour as determined by a Minolta Chroma Meter (CR-300, Minolta, Ramsey, USA). Pairwise standard genetic distances (GDs) were calculated between groups of cultivars based on the mean character difference of the assayed phenotypic traits constructing an unrooted Neighbour Joining (NJ) tree using DARwin software v.5.0 (Perrier & Jacquemoud-Collet, 2006). In addition, Factorial Correspondence Analysis (FCA) was carried out to provide a synthetic representation of the genetic variability of the studied accessions.

Molecular characterisation and association genetic studies

Total genomic DNA was isolated using an optimized variation of the procedure described by Doyle & Doyle (1987). Extracted apricot genomic DNA was PCR-amplified using 20 primer pairs flanking SSR sequenc-es distributed among the whole genome and previously described as linked to specific phenotypic traits (Table 3). Amplified PCR products were separated by electrophoresis using 3% Metaphor® agarose (Biowit-taker, Maine, USA) gel (1 X TBE buffer) stained with GelRed™ Nucleic Acid Gel Stain® (Biotium, Hatwad, CA, USA). A 1 Kb Plus DNA Ladder was used as molecular size standard. Polymorphic alleles were scored

Material and methods

Plant material

The plant material assayed consisted of 42 Tunisian apricot accessions, including 23 cultivars issued from traditional selections and propagated by grafting from the north (Ras Jbel and Testour), the centre (Kairouan), and the south (Gabes, Mareth), and 19 sponta-
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To infer the genetic structure of the apricot material, a model-based Bayesian clustering method implemented in the STRUCTURE 2.2 program (Pritchard et al., 2000) based on SSR data was used. STRUCTURE was run using a model with admixture and correlated allele frequencies, with the assumed number of genetic clusters (K) ranging from 1 to 10, with 10 independent replicate runs for each K value. Statistical parameters based on the rate of change in the log probability of data between successive K values was calculated to confirm the exact estimation of the most likely number of K clusters using the Structure Harvester application (Earl & von Holdt, 2011).

Results

Phenotypic diversity and phenetic relationships

The study revealed the great phenotypic variability of the studied agronomic traits (flowering-time, ripening time, self-compatibility, fruit weight, flesh firmness,
Table 1. Apricot cultivars selected from the Tunisian breeding programme with their propagation mode and origin. The following seven agronomic traits were studied: self-compatibility, flowering and ripening time, fruit weight and firmness, and skin and flesh colour.

| Cultivar            | Propagation | Origin | Compatibility | Flowering | Ripening | Weight | Firmness | Skin colour | Flesh colour |
|---------------------|-------------|--------|---------------|-----------|----------|--------|----------|-------------|--------------|
| Addadi Ahmar V15    | Grafting    | Ras Jbel | S-C           | Medium    | Early    | Medium | Soft     | Yellow Green| Yellow       |
| Amor El Euch V5A    | Grafting    | Kairouan | S-I           | Medium    | Early    | Medium | Firm     | Light Orange| Orange       |
| Amor El Euch V51A   | Grafting    | Mareth  | S-I           | Medium    | Early    | Medium | Soft     | Yellow Green| Yellow       |
| Amor El Euch V51C   | Grafting    | Mareth  | S-I           | Medium    | Early    | Very Light | Soft      | Yellow Green| Yellow       |
| Baccour V41C        | Grafting    | Mareth  | S-I           | Medium    | Early    | Very Light | Soft      | Yellow Green| Yellow       |
| Bargoug B40F        | Seedling    | Gafsa   | S-I           | Medium    | Medium   | Medium | Soft     | Yellow Green| Yellow       |
| Bargoug B40H        | Seedling    | Gafsa   | S-I           | Medium    | Medium   | Very Light | Soft      | Yellow Green| Yellow       |
| Bargoug B40L        | Seedling    | Gafsa   | S-I           | Medium    | Medium   | Very Light | Soft      | Yellow Green| Yellow       |
| Bargoug B40M        | Seedling    | Gafsa   | S-I           | Medium    | Medium   | Very Light | Soft      | Yellow Green| Yellow       |
| Bargoug B43A        | Seedling    | Nefta   | S-I           | Medium    | Early    | Very Light | Soft      | Yellow White | Yellow       |
| Bargoug B43B        | Seedling    | Nefta   | S-I           | Medium    | Early    | Very Light | Soft      | Yellow White | Yellow       |
| Bargoug B42A        | Seedling    | Tozeur  | S-I           | Early     | Early    | Very Light | Medium    | Yellow Yellow| Yellow       |
| Bargoug B42C        | Seedling    | Tozeur  | S-I           | Early     | Very Light | Medium | Soft     | Yellow White | Yellow       |
| Bargoug B4B         | Seedling    | Degache | S-I           | Early     | Very Light | Medium | Soft     | Yellow Green| Light Orange |
| Bargoug B4C         | Seedling    | Degache | S-I           | Early     | Very Light | Medium | Soft     | Yellow Green| Yellow       |
| Bargoug B4D         | Seedling    | Degache | S-I           | Early     | Very Light | Medium | Soft     | Yellow Green| Yellow       |
| Bargoug B4E         | Seedling    | Degache | S-I           | Early     | Very Light | Soft   | Light Orange | Light Orange |
| Bargoug B4F         | Seedling    | Degache | S-I           | Early     | Very Light | Soft   | Yellow Yellow | Yellow       |
| Bargoug B4H         | Seedling    | Degache | S-I           | Early     | Early     | Very Light | Soft      | Yellow Green| White        |
| Bargoug B4C         | Seedling    | Midess  | S-I           | Early     | Very Light | Soft   | Yellow Yellow | Yellow       |
| Bargoug B46D        | Seedling    | Midess  | S-I           | Early     | Very Light | Soft   | Yellow Yellow | Yellow       |
| Bargoug B46E        | Seedling    | Midess  | S-I           | Early     | Very Light | Medium Soft | Light Orange | Light Orange |
| Bayoudhi V11A       | Grafting    | Kairouan | S-C           | Very Late | Medium   | Very Light | Medium Soft | White        | White        |
| Bedri V1A           | Grafting    | Kairouan | S-I           | Early     | Early     | Very Light | Firm      | Yellow White | White        |
| Bedri V48A          | Grafting    | Mareth  | S-I           | Early     | Early     | Very Light | Soft      | Yellow White | White        |
| Bedri Thani V48G    | Grafting    | Gafsa   | S-I           | Early     | Very Light | Soft   | Yellow White | White        |
| Bedri Louzi V57B    | Grafting    | Gabes   | S-I           | Medium    | Early     | Light     | Soft      | Yellow Green| Yellow       |
| Bouk H'med V13A     | Grafting    | Ras Jbel | S-I           | Medium    | Medium   | Medium | Soft     | Yellow White | White        |
| Bouthani V20B       | Grafting    | Testour | S-C           | Late      | Early    | Light     | Firm      | Yellow White | White        |
| Chéchi Bazza V28D   | Grafting    | Testour | S-I           | Very Late | Late     | Light     | Soft      | White        | White        |
| Fourati V38C        | Grafting    | Sfax    | S-I           | Medium    | Early     | Light     | Soft      | Yellow White | White        |
| Khad Hlima V2A      | Grafting    | Kairouan | S-I           | Medium    | Early    | Medium | Soft     | Yellow White | White        |
| Khad Hlima V2C      | Grafting    | Kairouan | S-I           | Medium    | Early    | Light    | Medium Soft | Yellow White | White        |
| Oud Aouicha V71     | Grafting    | Testour | S-C           | Late      | Medium   | Medium | Medium Soft | Light Orange | Light Orange |
| Oud El Haj Tahar V70| Grafting    | Testour | S-I           | Very Late | Medium   | Medium | Very Light | Soft Yellow Green | Yellow       |
| Oud Gnna V27B       | Grafting    | Testour | S-I           | Late      | Medium   | Medium | Medium Soft | Yellow Light Orange | Yellow |
| Oud H'mida V21D     | Grafting    | Testour | S-I           | Late      | Medium   | Very Light | Firm      | Yellow Yellow | Yellow       |
| Oud Rhayem V18A     | Grafting    | Testour | S-C           | Medium    | Early    | Medium | Medium Soft | Yellow Green | White        |
| Thami V59B          | Grafting    | Gabes   | S-I           | Early     | Very Light | Soft   | Yellow Yellow | Yellow       |
| Zbidi V7A           | Grafting    | Kairouan | S-C           | Medium    | Medium   | Medium | Medium Soft | Yellow Green | Yellow       |

(1) S-C, self-compatible; S-I, self-incompatible

and skin and flesh colour) in Tunisian (Table 1) and Spanish (Table 2) apricot germplasm (Fig. 2). Regarding the self-incompatibility expressed by most Tunisian cultivars (90%) (Table 1), this trait has the advantage of maintaining genetic variability within populations even though it is a negative trait from the agronomic point of view. Self-compatibility is synonymous with higher production. In the case of the Spanish breeding programme, self-incompatibility is present in the North-American cultivars used as PPV resistance progenitors, although self-compatibility has been introduced in the new cultivars (Table 2).

All cultivars showed a wide range of flowering dates from early to very late. In the case of the traditional cultivars from Tunisia, early or late flowering were not key traits for selection (Table 1). In the case of the Spanish germplasm, traditional cultivars like ‘Currot’ were used in the breeding programme for introducing early flowering, although late flowering is present in the North-American cultivars used as PPV resistance
Table 2. Progenitors and new releases from the Spanish breeding programme at CEBAS-CSIC with pedigree, propagation mode and origin. The following seven agronomic traits were studied: self-compatibility, flowering and ripening time, fruit weight and firmness, and skin and flesh colour.

| Cultivar       | Pedigree                                  | Propagation | Origin | Compatibility | Flowering | Ripening | Weight | Firmness | Skin colour | Flesh colour |
|----------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------|--------|---------------|-----------|----------|--------|----------|-------------|--------------|
| **Progenitors**|                                           |             |        |               |           |          |        |          |             |              |
| Búlida         | Unknown                                   | Grafting    | Spain  | S-C           | Late      | Medium   | Medium | Medium Firm| Light Orange| Light Orange |
| Currat         | Unknown                                   | Grafting    | Spain  | S-C           | Early     | Early    | Light  | Medium Soft | White       | White        |
| Mauricio       | Unknown                                   | Grafting    | Spain  | S-C           | Medium    | Medium   | Medium | Medium Soft | Yellow      | Yellow       |
| Bergeron       | Unknown                                   | Grafting    | France | S-C           | Very Late | Very Late| Heavy  | Medium Firm | Light Orange| Orange       |
| Goldrich       | Sunglo × Perfection                       | Grafting    | USA    | S-I           | Late      | Late     | Very Heavy | Firm        | Orange      | Orange       |
| Orange Red     | Lasp. Mashad × NJA2                        | Grafting    | USA    | S-I           | Very Late | Very Late| Medium | Medium Firm | Orange      | Orange       |
| Palsteyn       | Blenhein × Canino                         | Grafting    | South Africa | S-C  | Early      | Medium   | Heavy  | Medium Firm | Light Orange| Orange       |
| **New releases**|                                           |             |        |               |           |          |        |          |             |              |
| Dorada         | Bergeron × Moniquí                         | Grafting    | Spain  | S-C           | Very Late | Very Late| Heavy  | Medium Firm | Yellow      | Yellow       |
| Estrella       | OR × Z211-18                               | Grafting    | Spain  | S-I           | Medium    | Medium   | Very Heavy | Firm        | Orange      | Orange       |
| Maravilla      | OR × Z211-18                               | Grafting    | Spain  | S-I           | Medium    | Medium   | Very Heavy | Firm        | Light Orange| Orange       |
| Mirlo Blanco   | Rojo Pasión × BP                           | Grafting    | Spain  | S-C           | Early     | Early    | Heavy  | Medium Firm | Light Orange| Orange       |
| Mirlo Naranja  | Rojo Pasión × BP                           | Grafting    | Spain  | S-C           | Early     | Early    | Heavy  | Medium Firm | Light Orange| Orange       |
| Mirlo Rojo     | Rojo Pasión × BP                           | Grafting    | Spain  | S-C           | Early     | Early    | Heavy  | Firm        | Light Orange| Orange       |
| Murciana       | OR × Currot                               | Grafting    | Spain  | S-C           | Late      | Medium   | Heavy  | Firm        | Light Orange| Orange       |
| Rojo Pasión    | OR × Currot                               | Grafting    | Spain  | S-C           | Medium    | Medium   | Heavy  | Medium Soft | Light Orange| Orange       |
| Rosa           | OR × Palstey                               | Grafting    | Spain  | S-I           | Medium    | Medium   | Very Heavy | Firm        | Light Orange| Orange       |
| Selene         | Goldrich × A2564                           | Grafting    | Spain  | S-C           | Late      | Late     | Heavy  | Firm        | Orange      | Orange       |
| Sublime        | OR × Z211-18                               | Grafting    | Spain  | S-I           | Medium    | Medium   | Very Heavy | Firm        | Orange      | Orange       |
| Tohi           | OR × Palstey                               | Grafting    | Spain  | S-C           | Medium    | Early    | Very Heavy | Medium Soft | Light Orange| Orange       |
| Valorane       | OR × Currot                               | Grafting    | Spain  | S-C           | Medium    | Medium   | Very Heavy | Firm        | Orange      | Orange       |
| 5-57           | (OR × Currot) × BP                         | Grafting    | Spain  | S-I           | Early     | Early    | Heavy  | Medium Firm | Orange      | Light Orange |
| 7-41           | Rojo Pasión × BP                           | Grafting    | Spain  | S-C           | Early     | Early    | Heavy  | Firm        | Orange      | Light Orange |
| 8-50           | OR × TB                                   | Grafting    | Spain  | S-I           | Very Late | Very Late| Heavy  | Medium Firm | Orange      | Orange       |
| 8-61           | OR × TB                                   | Grafting    | Spain  | S-C           | Late      | Late     | Heavy  | Medium Firm | Orange      | Orange       |
| 9-5            | OR × TB                                   | Grafting    | Spain  | S-C           | Very Late | Very Late| Heavy  | Medium Firm | Orange      | Orange       |
| 9-55           | OR × TB                                   | Grafting    | Spain  | S-I           | Very Late | Very Late| Heavy  | Medium Firm | Orange      | Orange       |
| 10-18          | OR × TB                                   | Grafting    | Spain  | S-I           | Very Late | Very Late| Heavy  | Firm        | Orange      | Orange       |
| 10-20          | OR × TB                                   | Grafting    | Spain  | S-C           | Very Late | Very Late| Heavy  | Medium Firm | Orange      | Orange       |
| 10-57          | (Goldrich × TB) × OP                      | Grafting    | Spain  | S-I           | Very Late | Very Late| Heavy  | Medium Firm | Orange      | Orange       |
| 11-1           | (Goldrich × TB) × OP                      | Grafting    | Spain  | S-I           | Very Late | Very Late| Heavy  | Medium Firm | Orange      | Orange       |

[1] OR, Orange Red; BP, Búlida Precoz; TB, Tardif de Bordaneil; OP, open pollination. [2] S-C, self-compatible; S-I, self-incompatible.

progenitors (‘Orange Red’, ‘Goldrich’). In addition, most of the Tunisian apricot accessions showed early or medium ripening time (Table 1), whereas the Spanish genotypes showed a wide range of maturity dates, from early to very late (Table 2). In the Spanish breeding programme, both early and late ripening progenitors were used in the crosses in order to release new varieties covering the entire production time from the beginning of May to late June.

The fruit weight average (20.15 g) observed in the Tunisian cultivars was lower than that of the germplasm evaluated in Spain. In the case of traditional Spanish cultivars used as progenitors (‘Currat’, ‘Mauricio’, ‘Búlida’), the average weight was 47.12 g, whereas the average weight of the other progenitors from France, USA and South Africa was 72.85 g. Finally, in the new releases from the CEBAS-CSIC breeding programme, the average weight was 72.82 g. Most of the Tunisian apricot cultivars showed soft or medium-soft fruits (Table 1). In the case of the Spanish collection, traditional cultivars used as progenitors were mainly characterised by medium-soft fruits. The North American cultivars used as progenitors in the Spanish breeding programmes, however, had firm fruit (Table 2). Most of the new releases resulting from the crosses performed at CEBAS-CSIC had medium-firm or firm fruits. On the other hand, most Tunisian apricot cultivars showed yellow or yellow green colouring in the
skin (Table 1). Regarding the genotypes from the Spanish collection, the cultivars used as progenitors are characterised by light orange or orange skin colour with the exception of ‘Currot’ (white) and ‘Mauricio’ (yellow). Most of the new releases included in this study resulting from the crosses performed at CEBAS-CSIC had orange-fleshed fruits (Table 2).

Finally, all Tunisian apricot cultivars showed white, yellow or light orange flesh colour with only one exception (‘Amor El Euch V5A’), which showed orange flesh (Table 1). The traditional Spanish cultivars used as progenitors (‘Currot’, ‘Mauricio’ and ‘Búlida’) were characterised by white, yellow and light orange flesh, respectively, whereas the foreign cultivars used as progenitors in the Spanish breeding programmes produced orange fleshed fruits. Most of the new releases included in this study resulting from the crosses performed at CEBAS-CSIC had orange-fleshed fruits (Table 2).

Results of the cluster analysis revealed clear differences between the cultivars studied, which pooled into three main groups. Group I contained 16 accessions from the Spanish collection, including seven cultivars (‘Currot’, ‘Goldrich’, ‘Mirlo Rojo’, ‘Valorange’, ‘Murciana’, ‘Selene’, and ‘Orange Red’) and nine selections (‘8-50’, ‘11-1’, ‘5-57’, ‘9-5’, ‘9-55’, ‘10-18’, ‘8-61’, ‘10-2’ and ‘7-41’). Group II contained 29 accessions, including 15 accessions from Tunisia (5 grafted and 10 propagated by seed) and the rest of the CEBAS-CSIC collection (14 accessions). This second group could be divided into three subgroups: II A (9 Tunisian accessions); II B (4 Tunisian and 4 Spanish accessions); and II C (10 Spanish and 2 Tunisian accessions (‘Oud Aouicha V71’ and ‘Oud Gnaa V27B’)). The subgroups II B and II C contained accessions from the north and the centre of Tunisia, but none from the south. Finally, Group III included 27 accessions from Tunisia. This group could be divided into the following three subgroups: III A, which exclusively contained ‘Bargougs’ accessions [44 (B, C, D, E); 46 (C); and 42 (A, C, G)]; III B, which mainly included accessions from the south of Tunisia in addition to ‘Oud El Haj Tahar V70’ from Testour in the north (Fig. 1); and subgroup III C, which included all the remaining accessions from Tunisia (Fig. 3A).

In addition, the FCA analysis showed that the accessions studied were highly diverse in terms of phenotype. There were clear, closely-related groups of accessions, and these groupings were related to geographic origin: Group I is made up of Tunisian accessions and Group II consists of the CEBAS-CSIC collection. There were two main subgroups among the

Table 3. Origin of the 20 SSR markers tested and relationships with the phenotypic traits. Linkage group (LG), genetic position in centimorgans (cM), physical location in the Prunus reference genome (Peach v1.0), trait linkage and references.

| Marker       | Species | Reference | LG  | Position (cM) | Location Peach v1.0 | Trait       | References               |
|--------------|---------|-----------|-----|---------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------------|
| BPPCT025     | Peach   | Dirlewanger et al. (2002) | 6   | 56.4          | Scaffold 6:21129947 | Skin colour | Salazar et al. (2013)   |
| CPCT022     | Peach   | Aranza et al. (2002)       | 7   | 18.6          | Scaffold 7:10225365 | Flowering time | Fan et al. (2010)   |
| CPCT005      | Plum    | Mnjia et al. (2004)        | 4   | 93.6          | Scaffold 4:29887942 | Flowering time | Salazar et al. (2013) |
| PaCITA7      | Apricot | Lopes et al. (2002)        | 1   | 25.9          | Scaffold 1: --      | Ripening time | Salazar et al. (2013) |
| pchcms5      | Peach   | Sosinski et al. (2000)     | 6   | 44.7          | Scaffold 6:19166407 | Self-compatibility | Vilanova et al. (2003) |
| PGS.121     | Apricot | Soriano et al. (2012)      | 1   | 23.9          | Scaffold 1:8078385  | Flesh colour  | Salazar et al. (2013) |
| UDA027      | Almond  | Testolin et al. (2004)     | 4   | 74.7          | Scaffold 4:18639695 | Ripening time | Salazar et al. (2013) |
| UDPAp404    | Apricot | Messina et al. (2004)      | 4   | 9.3           | Scaffold 4: --      | Ripening time | Salazar et al. (2013) |
| UDPAp407    | Apricot | Messina et al. (2004)      | 7   | 41.3          | Scaffold 7: --      | Ripening time | Salazar et al. (2013) |
| UDPAp439    | Apricot | Messina et al. (2004)      | 4   | 49.6          | Scaffold 4: --      | Ripening time | Salazar et al. (2013) |
| UDPAp449    | Apricot | Messina et al. (2004)      | 3   | 42.5          | Scaffold 3: --      | Skin colour  | Ruiz et al. (2010)     |
| UDPAp460    | Apricot | Messina et al. (2004)      | 7   | 28.4          | Scaffold 7: --      | Flowering time | Fan et al. (2010)    |
| UDPAp471    | Apricot | Messina et al. (2004)      | 7   | 51.3          | Scaffold 7: --      | Nut weight   | Fernandez et al. (2011) |
| UDP96001    | Peach   | Cipriani et al. (1999)     | 6   | 17.5          | Scaffold 6:7040757  | Skin colour  | Verde et al. (2002)    |
| UDP96003    | Peach   | Cipriani et al. (1999)     | 4   | 28.3          | Scaffold 4:8757450  | Ripening time | Salazar et al. (2013) |
| UDP98021    | Peach   | Cipriani et al. (1999)     | 6   | 9.8           | Scaffold 6: --      | Fruit weight | Salazar et al. (2013) |
| UDP98025    | Peach   | Testolin et al. (2000)     | 2   | 9.6           | Scaffold 2:10872102 | Fruit weight | Eduardo et al. (2011) |
| UDP98050    | Apricot | Testolin et al. (2000)     | 2   | 10.0          | Scaffold 1: --      | Fruit weight | Eduardo et al. (2011) |
| UDP98122    | Peach   | Testolin et al. (2000)     | 6   | 72.00         | Scaffold 6:24753353 | Ripening time | Salazar et al. (2013) |
| UDP98146    | Apricot | Testolin et al. (2000)     | 6   | 10.6          | Scaffold 6: --      | Ripening time | Verde et al. (2002)    |

1 In Prunus reference Map Texas × Early Gold. 2 In the linkage map of the study.
Comparative analysis of traditional and modern apricot breeding programs

The most polymorphic locus was PSG.21 (0.833) and the least polymorphic was UDP98025 (0.040) (Table 4).

The 20 SSR markers assayed for genetic diversity studies generated a total of 110 genotypes with an average of 6 genotypes per marker. Mean expected heterozygosity (He) was about 0.48 with maximum He values recorded by SSR markers for PSG.21 (0.851) and minimum He values recorded by SSR markers for UDP98025 (0.041). Observed heterozygosis (Ho) ranged from 0.000 (UDP96001) to 0.831 (UDAp471), with a mean value of 0.359. A significant heterozygosity deficit was observed for four loci (UDP96001, UDP98025, UDP98416 and UDAp449), however, for the rest of the SSR markers studied, no significant difference was found between the expected and the observed heterozygosity (Table 4). On the other hand, for the Wright’s fixation index, the calculated Fst values ranged from 0.002 (UDA027) to 0.518 (UDAp407), with an average of 0.141. Fis values ranged from -0.22 (UDAp471) to 1 (UDP96001), with an average of 0.20 (Table 4).

Table 5 shows the SSR alleles obtained with a high degree of co-segregation within the phenotypes of the Tunisian accessions: accessions from the north and the centre of Tunisia in the first subgroup (indicated in green and brown respectively in Fig. 3B), and accessions from the south and the oases of Tunisia in the second subgroup (indicated in blue). There was no clear grouping among varieties in the Spanish collection. FCA results also showed that apricot material in Tunisia was less diversified than the Spanish apricot material (in black in Fig. 3B).

Molecular diversity and association genetic studies

Amplification of SSR loci was successful with the 20 tested primers. Polymorphic bands were generated for all the primers used, with a total of 60 scored polymorphic bands. The number of presumed alleles revealed by the 20 SSRs ranged from two (BPPCT025, PaCITA7, pchcms5, UDA027, UDAp407, UDAp449, UDAp460, UDP96001, UDP98025 and UDP98416) to ten (PSG.21), with a mean of three alleles per locus (Table 4). The average PIC value for the 20 loci was 0.410. The most polymorphic locus was PSG.21 (0.833) and the least polymorphic was UDP98025 (0.040) (Table 4).

The 20 SSR markers assayed for genetic diversity studies generated a total of 110 genotypes with an average of 6 genotypes per marker. Mean expected heterozygosity (He) was about 0.48 with maximum He values recorded by SSR markers for PSG.21 (0.851) and minimum He values recorded by SSR markers for UDP98025 (0.041). Observed heterozygosis (Ho) ranged from 0.000 (UDP96001) to 0.831 (UDAp471), with a mean value of 0.359. A significant heterozygosity deficit was observed for four loci (UDP96001, UDP98025, UDP98416 and UDAp449), however, for the rest of the SSR markers studied, no significant difference was found between the expected and the observed heterozygosity (Table 4). On the other hand, for the Wright’s fixation index, the calculated Fst values ranged from 0.002 (UDA027) to 0.518 (UDAp407), with an average of 0.141. Fis values ranged from -0.22 (UDAp471) to 1 (UDP96001), with an average of 0.20 (Table 4).

Table 5 shows the SSR alleles obtained with a high degree of co-segregation within the phenotypes of the Tunisian accessions: accessions from the north and the centre of Tunisia in the first subgroup (indicated in green and brown respectively in Fig. 3B), and accessions from the south and the oases of Tunisia in the second subgroup (indicated in blue). There was no clear grouping among varieties in the Spanish collection. FCA results also showed that apricot material in Tunisia was less diversified than the Spanish apricot material (in black in Fig. 3B).

**Figure 2.** Fruits from some of the apricot cultivars and accessions evaluated. Scale bar of 5 cm.
Figure 3. Unrooted NJ (Neighbour Joining) dendogram based on the mean character difference distances, Spanish apricot germplasm is indicated in black colour and Tunisian apricot germplasm in red (A). Genetic structure based on FCA analysis (B) among apricot cultivars evaluated using phenotypic traits; clearly identified subgroups of Tunisian apricot germplasm indicated in green, brown, blue; and Spanish accessions (black).
Comparative analysis of traditional and modern apricot breeding programs

The genetic heterozygosity ranged between 0.050 (Tu-
nisian cultivar ‘BedriV48A’) and 0.650 (CEBAS-CSIC
selections ‘7-41’ and ‘8-50’), with an average value of
0.55 for all the studied genotypes (Table 6).

Table 4. Molecular diversity parameters of the SSR loci used in the apricot genetic analysis. Number of samples (N), number of samples that amplify (n), number of genotypes found (Genotypes), number of alleles amplified (Alleles), major allele frequency (MAF), expected heterozygosity (He), observed heterozygosity (Ho), polymorphism information content (PIC), fixation index (Fst), mean value of gene flow (Nm), and inbreeding coefficient (Fis).

| Marker    | N  | n    | Genotypes | Alleles | MAF  | He   | Ho   | PIC  | Fst  | Nm   | Fis |
|-----------|----|------|------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|
| BPPCT025  | 72 | 67   | 3          | 2       | 0.619| 0.471| 0.433| 0.360| 0.005| 48.77| 0.09|
| CPPCT022  | 72 | 71   | 9          | 6       | 0.437| 0.686| 0.479| 0.631| 0.163| 1.29 | 0.24|
| CPSCT005  | 72 | 72   | 6          | 3       | 0.451| 0.646| 0.472| 0.573| 0.252| 0.74 | 0.15|
| PaCITA7   | 72 | 72   | 3          | 2       | 0.785| 0.338| 0.264| 0.281| 0.466| 0.29 | -0.11|
| pchcms5   | 72 | 69   | 3          | 2       | 0.681| 0.434| 0.261| 0.340| 0.021| 11.71| 0.41|
| PSG1.21   | 72 | 72   | 25         | 10      | 0.188| 0.851| 0.764| 0.833| 0.091| 2.49 | 0.07|
| UDA027    | 72 | 72   | 3          | 2       | 0.715| 0.407| 0.403| 0.324| 0.002| 103.92| 0.02|
| UDAp404   | 72 | 70   | 6          | 3       | 0.721| 0.440| 0.257| 0.398| 0.214| 0.92 | 0.34|
| UDAp407   | 72 | 71   | 3          | 2       | 0.521| 0.499| 0.338| 0.375| 0.518| 0.23 | -0.03|
| UDAp439   | 72 | 67   | 6          | 3       | 0.552| 0.586| 0.597| 0.515| 0.048| 5.01 | 0.47|
| UDAp449   | 72 | 72   | 2          | 2       | 0.972| 0.054| 0.056| 0.053| 0.013| 19.59| -0.02|
| UDAp460   | 72 | 70   | 3          | 2       | 0.771| 0.353| 0.286| 0.290| 0.385| 0.40 | -0.05|
| UDAp471   | 72 | 71   | 9          | 4       | 0.458| 0.684| 0.831| 0.633| 0.022| 11.06| -0.22|
| UDP96001  | 72 | 72   | 2          | 2       | 0.528| 0.498| 0.000| 0.374| 0.023| 10.48| 1.00|
| UDP96003  | 72 | 69   | 6          | 3       | 0.645| 0.517| 0.449| 0.459| 0.124| 1.77 | 0.08|
| UDP98021  | 72 | 72   | 6          | 3       | 0.639| 0.508| 0.458| 0.438| 0.073| 3.17 | 0.07|
| UDP98025  | 72 | 72   | 2          | 2       | 0.979| 0.041| 0.042| 0.040| 0.046| 5.15 | -0.04|
| UDP98406  | 72 | 71   | 5          | 3       | 0.493| 0.571| 0.451| 0.479| 0.054| 4.40 | 0.20|
| UDP98412  | 72 | 72   | 5          | 3       | 0.479| 0.556| 0.292| 0.457| 0.049| 4.89 | 0.47|
| UDP98416  | 72 | 71   | 3          | 2       | 0.634| 0.464| 0.056| 0.356| 0.014| 18.13| 0.88|
| Mean      | 72 | 71   | 6          | 3       | 0.613| 0.480| 0.359| 0.410| 0.141| 1.53 | 0.20|

Table 5. SSR alleles obtained with the highest level of co-segregation within phenotypes of the different apricot genotypes assayed

| Marker    | SSR allele (nt) | Percentage of a given phenotype |
|-----------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
|           |                 | Ripening time                  | Fruit weight      | Fruit firmness |
|           |                 | Late/very late | Early/medium | Small (<60 g) | Big (>60 g) | Firm/medium | Soft |
| UDP98406  | 118             | 14 | 86 | 94 | 0 | 94 | 6 |
| PaCITA7   | 391             | 6  | 94 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 6  |
| UDP96003  | 434             | 100 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| UDAp407   | 449             | 90 | 6  | 94 | 0 | 0 | 6 |

[1] Band scoring was analysed using SYNGENE® GeneTools gel analysis software.

Molecular heterozygosity, relationships and structure analysis

The genetic heterozygosity of apricot cultivars evaluated using SSR markers ranged from 0.050 (‘Bedri V48A’) to 0.600 (‘9-5’ and ‘Valorange’), with an average value of 0.39 (Table 6). The group of traditional cultivars from Tunisia and Spain showed lower genetic heterozygosity, which was independent of the propagation mode.
contained Tunisian genotypes; and group C contained nearly all the remaining Tunisian genotypes in addition to three of the traditional Spanish cultivars. Group A could be divided into two subgroups, with the first subgroup containing all the Spanish genotypes. The second subgroup included five ‘Bargougs’ propagated by seeds and originating from the oasis of Gafsa in the south-west of Tunisia. In Group B, the distribution of Tunisian cultivars in secondary subgroups was related to geographic origin, so that genotypes from the north and the south clustered together and ‘Bargougs’ clustered separately. Group C included the rest of the Tunisian cultivars and three traditional cultivars from Spain (‘Currot’, ‘Búlida’ and ‘Mauricio’), which were related to the cultivars ‘Baccour V41C’ and ‘Amor El Euch V51C’ from the south of Tunisia as well as to one accession of ‘Bargoug B46D’ from the oasis of Midess.

Finally, using model-based Bayesian clustering, the estimated log probability of the data (ln Pr (X|K)), given the assumed number of ancestral populations K, was highest at K=2. As defined by Evanno et al. (2005), an ad hoc quantity based on the second order rate of change of the likelihood function with respect to K (∆K) showed that the best model is at K=2 (Figure 5). According to the model at K=2, apricot genotypes were assigned to two genetically different clusters identified by STRUCTURE analysis: cluster 1 grouped together all the Tunisian cultivars (42 cultivars) with three accessions from Spain (‘Currot’, ‘Búlida’ and ‘Mauricio’). The second cluster was made up of the Spanish collection (27 cultivars). The model under K=3 classified the 72 apricot genotypes into the following three clusters: the first cluster included 27 Spanish cultivars; the second cluster included six cultivars from Tunisia; and the third cluster included three cultivars from the Spanish breeding programme (‘Currot’, ‘Búlida’ and ‘Mauricio’) and 36 Tunisian cultivars (Fig. 5).
Comparative analysis of traditional and modern apricot breeding programs

Discussion

Phenotypic diversity and phenetic relationships

The majority of the traditional apricot cultivars assayed (both Spanish and Tunisian) were identified as self-incompatible. These results, however, contrast with evaluations of Moroccan apricot germplasm. In fact, Kodad et al. (2013) identified 65% of Moroccan apricot cultivars as self-compatible. Taking into account the fact that self-compatibility is not widely distributed in the traditional Tunisian cultivars, it seems that this trait has been selected for propagation in new cultivation areas in Tunisia, as occurred in Spain in the past. In addition, this will be one of the most important traits in the design of new crosses always with self-compatible cultivars in order to incorporate this trait. The high percentage of self-compatibility found in the Moroccan apricot cultivars can support the theory of positive selection for self-compatibility from apricot cultivars originating from Tunisia.

Figure 4. Dendogram obtained by NJ (Neighbour Joining) cluster analysis among 72 apricot genotypes evaluated using 20 SSR markers. Capital letters indicate the main cluster observed. In black Spanish germplasm and in red Tunisian germplasm. Numbers below branches represent bootstrapping values (1,000 replicates).
Most of the Tunisian accessions were characterised by early or medium flowering and ripening time, very light fruit weight, soft or medium-soft fruit and yellow colouring. These features are common to the Tunisian germplasm, indicating a high level of similarity in terms of geographic and genetic origin. Furthermore, these results also indicate the absence of crosses with foreign varieties that would have introduced greater genetic and phenotypic variability. By contrast, the genotypes evaluated from the CEBAS-CSIC breeding...
programme showed high phenotypic diversity for all traits studied. The joint use of traditional Spanish cultivars and foreign cultivars with distinct characteristics in Spain has led to a high level of phenotypic diversity in the new apricot releases.

With regard to phenetic relationships, factorial analysis showed that the accessions studied are highly diverse and that the groups obtained are related to their geographic origin. For the Tunisian accessions, there are two main groups: the first group with accessions from the north and the centre of Tunisia and the second group with accessions from the south and the oases. Similar results were obtained by Krichen et al. (2014) in morphological studies and by Bourguiba et al. (2012), who confirmed this structure of Tunisian apricots with the Bayesian method using STRUCTURE software (Pritchard et al., 2000). Regarding the genotypes evaluated from the Spanish breeding programme, results showed a high level of diversity linked to the different genetic origins of the genotypes.

**Molecular diversity and association genetic studies**

Molecular results indicate the effectiveness of the SSR markers used to detect molecular polymorphism. All of the 20 SSR markers used in the assayed 72 apricot genotypes were polymorphic and revealed a total of 60 alleles and 110 genotypes. These values are lower than the 152 alleles and 262 genotypes reported by Bourguiba et al. (2010) using 32 SSR primers for the characterisation of 47 Tunisian apricot cultivars. Krichen et al. (2006) revealed 103 alleles and 155 genotypes assaying 54 Tunisian cultivars with 24 SSR markers. Nevertheless, our values are higher than the 135 alleles and 80 genotypes revealed from 82 apricot accessions reported by Bourguiba et al. (2010) using 24 SSRs with an average of 4.75 alleles per locus. Sánchez-Pérez et al. (2005) obtained a mean value of 3.9 alleles per locus for Spanish apricot using 17 polymorphic SSRs.

The expected heterozygosity of all apricot accessions was similar to the results reported by Romero et al. (2003) in previous studies with peach SSRs on apricot (0.46). However, higher results (0.64) were reported by Zhebentyayeva et al. (2003). Markers with PIC values higher than 0.5 were efficient in discriminating among genotypes and were extremely useful in detecting polymorphism (De Woody et al., 1995). In our study, PSG1.21, with a PIC value of 0.83, was the most polymorphic locus, and UDP98025, with a PIC value of 0.040, was the least polymorphic locus. Similar values have been reported by Bourguiba et al. (2010) identifying CPSCT039 as the most polymorphic primer with a PIC value of 0.79 and AMPA119 as the least polymorphic primer.

In the present study, the 20 SSRs used for genetic diversity studies generated a total of 110 genotypes with an average of 6 genotypes per marker. The mean value of gene flow (Nm) is estimated from average Fst values. This shows that Fst values (0.141 on average) in this study are higher than those calculated by Bourguiba et al. (2010). Such values prove that there has been little genetic exchange, which may be explained by historical events and the relative proximity of the geographic origins of the accessions studied in Tunisia. The results obtained in our study confirm that SSR markers are suitable for the characterisation of apricot germplasm. Our results, also show the great genetic diversity of the apricot cultivars studied.

In accordance with our results UDP96003 and PaCITA7 SSR markers have been previously described as being linked to fruit weight in apricot by Salazar et al. (2013). The SSR markers CPPCT022, UDP460, CPPCT005 and PaCITA7 have been described as being related to flowering time in different species including peach (Fan et al., 2010) and apricot (Salazar et al., 2013), however no such relations were observed in this study.

Observed association between some alleles of PaCITA7 and UDP96003 SSR markers with the fruit weight of apricot genotypes and one allele of UDAP407 marker with the fruit firmness indicate the possibility of using electrophoresis in Metaphor® agarose for distinguishing alleles of special interest. In addition, these results suggest that these markers would perform well in a potential selection even when we know that this selection could be an artefact. These results will provide efficient MAS strategies for selection of big fruit weigh, early ripening and firm new genotypes originated in the modern apricot breeding programs from controlled crosses.

The presence of the 238 nt allele from the marker PGSl.21 is a necessary although insufficient condition for showing resistance to Plum pox virus (PPV, sharka) (Rubio et al., 2014). The presence of this allele in the CEBAS-CSIC collection was previously determined by Rubio et al. (2014) in the study mentioned above. Only three Tunisian cultivars among the 42 studied showed the corresponding allele, indicating the scarce possibilities of finding cultivars resistant to sharka among the Tunisian genotypes. These results allow us to foresee an evaluation for PPV resistance among the three genotypes showing the allele of interest in controlled conditions (Rubio et al., 2014).
Molecular heterozygosity, relationships and structure analysis

Our results revealed the lesser genetic diversity in the traditional Tunisian and Spanish apricot germplasm in comparison with other European and North American germplasm. The genetic heterozygosity levels of descendants of crosses between Spanish and North American cultivars confirm the value of the use of North American germplasm in European breeding programmes in terms of enlarging the genetic variability of local cultivars as indicated by Sánchez-Pérez et al. (2006). The use of cultivars from North America greatly increases the level of heterozygosity in the Spanish apricot breeding programmes. The strategy of using North American cultivars to introduce new traits like firmness, orange colouring and PPV resistance into traditional Spanish cultivars. The release of highly successful cultivars like ‘Rojo Pasión’ (Egea et al., 2004), ‘Mirlo Blanco’, ‘Mirlo Naranja’ and ‘Mirlo Rojo’ (Egea et al., 2010) in Spain confirm the efficacy of this crossing strategy (i.e., selecting genotypes which combine both genetic backgrounds).

Genetic distance analysis of the SSRs assayed also confirmed the different origin of several traditional Spanish cultivars (‘Currot’, ‘Búilda’ and ‘Mauricio’) introduced in Spain from the North of Africa in comparison with the rest of the assayed cultivars introduced in Europe, North America or South Africa directly from the Middle East (Bourguiba et al., 2012; Kodad et al., 2013). In addition, the five ‘Bargous’ from the Gafsa oasis that placed outside of the Tunisian group could be due to the introduction of French cultivars in this area and subsequent cross pollination with local apricots.

The correlation between the clustering based on SSRs and the agronomic data was very low. Cluster analysis based on SSR data clearly differentiated the genotypes according to their origin and pedigree, whereas cluster analysis based on agronomic data differentiated some genotypes according to their phenotypic characterisation. These results agree with previous findings showed by Maghuly et al. (2005). Results also indicate the effectiveness of the SSR markers used to analyse the structure of the studied populations or the germplasm group. The genetic structure identified by STRUCTURE allowed us to distinguish 2 clusters: Tunisian germplasm with 3 accessions from Spain and the rest of the Spanish collection. These results once again confirm the FCA and NJ tree results and the findings provided by Bourguiba et al. (2010).

Breeding perspectives

The obtained results showed that modern breeding using controlled crosses increases the efficiency of the

obtained new releases size. The new varieties present bigger fruits. The average observed in the Tunisian cultivars was of 20.15 g in contrast with the average weight of 72.82 g of the new releases from the CEBAS-CSIC breeding programme. In addition, modern bred cultivars incorporate traits such as self-compatibility, desirable fruit colour and firmness.

On the other hand, observed association between some alleles of PaCITA7 and UDP96003 SSR markers with apricot fruit weight, one allele of UDAp407 marker with fruit firmness and one allele of UDP98406 marker with fruit ripening indicate the possibility of using electrophoresis in Metaphor® agarose for distinguishing alleles of special interest. These results will provide efficient MAS strategies for selection of big fruit weigh, firm and early ripening new genotypes originated in the modern apricot breeding programs from controlled crosses.
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