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Photochemical benzylic radical arylation promoted by supported Pd nanostructures
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We report a novel way to promote photochemical benzylic radical arylation using Pd nanostructures. Traditional benzylic radical reaction pathways are challenged by the presence of metal centres that provoke unprecedented regioselectivity towards more synthetically relevant C(sp²)–C(sp²) couplings. This new C–H activation pathway is rationalised by means of a pseudo-persistent radical effect facilitated by metal centres. We show the mechanistic and computational aspects of the heterogeneous photocatalysis processes that are the root of this drastic change in reactivity.

Introduction

Free radical reactions have long been established as a direct method for carbon–carbon bond formation. In particular, the generation of carbon-centred radicals from C–H bond activation is a promising alternative to promote processes that maximize atom and step economy. Extensive research in the field has developed novel methods for radical reactions using homogeneous radical initiators (e.g., triethylborane, tert-butyl hydroperoxide, di-tert-butylperoxide) and, more recently, examples employing heterogenous semiconductors (e.g., TiO₂).

Advantages such as no generation of debris and easy separation. Due to their particular stability comparing to other carbon-centred radicals, benzylic radical intermediates are important in the synthesis of natural products. Free benzylic and related radicals tend to have simple reaction pathways dominated by self-reaction, addition to unsaturated systems and oxidative processes leading to alcohols, aldehydes and esters.

The formation of C(sp³)–C(sp²) bonds, and the novel structures that can derive from these reactions is not a typical pathway for free benzylic radicals. Finding ways to selectively favour non-traditional radical couplings would greatly increase the use of radical-radical couplings in organic synthesis. Here we show that metal nanoparticles (i.e., PdNP) can change completely the regioselectivity of benzylic radical reactions, from conventional head-to-head combination to efficient head-to-ring coupling processes (Scheme 1). We propose that benzylic radicals can associate with the PdNP surface drastically changing their reactivity. The interaction with the Pd surface can diminish the “radical” nature of the molecule, an overall effect that can be compared to the persistent radical effect (PRE).

Results and discussion

Whereas there are different ways to generate free radicals, we have recently reported the use of photoexcited TiO₂ as a clean free radical initiator (no initiator debris formed). Here, we used this method to generate benzylic radicals by oxidation of p-xylene through the photogenerated TiO₂-hole, an exceptional electrophilic species. This is, the VB edge of TiO₂ (~2.5 V vs NHE) appears to have sufficient energy for oxidizing solvents such as toluene (2.5 V vs NHE), p-xylene (2.25 V vs NHE). Scheme 2 shows the proposed mechanism for the formation of benzylic radicals upon UVA excitation of Pd@TiO₂, which was explained as a dystonic proton-coupled electron-transfer (PCET) process.

In the absence of metal nanoparticles (i.e., Pd), the reaction furnishes only the head-to-head coupling products (Table 1), resembling the behaviour when benzylic radicals are produced in solution by conventional methods — such as in the photolysis of dibenzyl ketone (DBK, Scheme 3) — where the head-to-head...
Further, this arylation of benzylic selectivity towards head Pd species by XPS analysis (Figure S3). As depicted in table S2, treatment products, i.e., we subject the Pd@TiO₂ material is not detrimental of the formation of head Pd@TiO₂ and AuNP@TiO₂ respectively. Table 1 (and Table S2-S3) summarises the reaction of methylbenzenes under UVA irradiation and inert atmosphere using different TiO₂ (P25)-based photocatalysts. Details of the reaction conditions as well as catalyst characterization are presented in the accompanying ESI. Chart 1 shows the mechanistic abbreviations used for the different species considered in this work. When bare TiO₂ is used as catalyst the reaction leads to a majority of the head-to-head product (BB) whereas only traces of the head-to-ring coupling products (oP and pP) are seen. Conversely, when the catalyst is replaced by Pd@TiO₂, the addition of PdNP induces the formation of the oP and pP coupling products, which suggests that the interaction between the metal NP and benzylic radicals promotes head-to-ring coupling in benzylic systems (Tables 1, S2-S3). Additionally, we determined that the presence of oxidised Pd species in the material is not detrimential of the formation of head-to-ring products, i.e., we subject the Pd@TiO₂ to a known reducing treatment (See ESI), and determined the oxidation state of the Pd species by XPS analysis (Figure S3). As depicted in table S2, selectivity towards head-to-ring coupling remains similar to that found with the fresh (more oxidised) catalyst.

Scheme 2. UVA photoinitiated generation of benzyl radicals from the toluene used as solvent. The PCET process is accompanied by hydrogen gas evolution. A similar mechanism applies for p-xylene. VB: valence band. CB: conduction band.

Chart 1. Abbreviations for the different species proposed in the reactions of toluene. Note for p-Ring — the ring coupling product before aromatization and before release from the surface— that a similar species (not shown), o-Ring, exists in the case of ortho coupling. The same nomenclature is used for p-xylene, except that only one ring product (oP) is formed.

Table 1. Conversion, moles of products and fraction of oP for the reaction of p-xylene using different catalyst.

| Catalyst     | Conversionb (µmol) | n_oP (µmol) | n_pP (µmol) | oP (%)   |
|--------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|
| TiO₂         | 0.36 ± 0.02        | ND          | 0.353 ± 0.007 | ND       |
| Au@TiO₂      | 0.5 ± 0.1          | 0.011 ± 0.001 | 0.5 ± 0.1  | 2 ± 3   |
| Pd@TiO₂      | 0.6 ± 0.1          | 0.108 ± 0.002 | 0.4 ± 0.1  | 21 ± 5  |

a Reaction conditions: 4 mL of p-xylene, 20 mg of catalyst under Ar atmosphere and UVA irradiation (16 Wm⁻²) for 1 h. Number of moles estimated by GC-MS using 3,5-di-tert-butyltoluene as external standard. All values are duplicates (except the runs with Pd@TiO₂ are triplicates). b Σproducts, including aldehyde formation. ND: not detected.

The selectivity towards C(sp³)–C(sp³) coupling could be explained using PRE theory. The PRE was first described by Ingold and Fisher, and usually requires the simultaneous formation of a persistent and a transient radical. Here a persistent radical cannot recombine, or the process is severely hindered. In classical examples one of the radicals is persistent — e.g., TEMPO— while other transient radicals can react with either the persistent or other transient radicals, including self-recombination. Living free radical polymerization is a typical example where this kinetic behaviour applies. While ring coupling products are unusual, in the specific case of DBK Ramamurthy et al. have detected ring coupling products between benzyl and phenyl acetyl radicals when confined within zeolite cavities. In our system, we proved the formation of free benzylic radicals by trapping experiments with TEMPO (Figure S8). These photogenerated benzylic radicals could bind to the PdNP, extending the radical lifetime — thus, forming a pseudo-persistent radical. The idea of PRE promoted by metal centres has been studied before in homogeneous phase, where the metal centre can prolong the radical lifetime in our...
system we attribute persistent radical character to Bpd (Chart 1)—we note that the substitution of Au for Pd also indicates PRE (Tables 1, S2-S3). In Bpd, reactivity at the benzylic position is prevented by bonding at the metal surface and, thus, attack from benzylic radicals approaching from solution is directed to other positions in the aromatic ring. In a typical case where the persistent radical is not introduced at the beginning of the reaction, but rather formed as the reaction progresses (as is the case here), there is a brief period during which normal recombination (leading to BB) dominates while the less reactive (and in this case less mobile) Bpd accumulates, but soon becomes concentrated enough to dominate radical–radical reactions. A proposed mechanism is outlined below, where it is assumed that free Pd@TiO2 is not the limiting reagent and that no PdNP hosts more than one benzylic radical. As we note below, ideal conditions to favour ring coupling involve low light intensities where these conditions are readily met, Scheme 4.

![Scheme 4: Mechanism relating to the self-reactions of benzyl radicals (B•) in the presence of Pd@TiO2 (Pd).](image)

Kinetics considerations lead us to believe the benzylic radical formation is not the rate-determining step of the reaction and, therefore, we can use the steady-state approximation to explain the selectivity of the reaction as dictated by two competitive pathways (i) radical recombination (BB) and (ii) formation of persistent radical species (Bpd). Under steady-state conditions the following equations can describe the kinetics of the formation of ring-coupling products:

\[
\begin{align*}
2\text{B} & \xrightarrow{k_1} \text{BB} \\
\text{B}^+ + \text{Pd} & \xrightarrow{k_2} \text{Bpd} \\
\text{B}^+ + \text{Bpd} & \xrightarrow{k_3} \text{o}-\text{Ring and/or } p\text{-Ring}
\end{align*}
\]

and the selectivity can be described as:

Selectivity = \[
S = \frac{\text{Head-to-Ring coupling}}{\text{Head-to-head coupling}}
\]

Additionally, as the selectivity is dictated by the formation of benzyl radicals, the irradiance used can drastically change the reaction product ratio (Figure 2). To establish this, Figure 3 shows the product (oP) ratios obtained upon irradiation using different light intensities. Further, we show the raw data for different Pd@TiO2 batches (Table S4) and a solid curve based on the simultaneous analysis of the three sets with the condition imposed that the fraction of head-to-ring coupling cannot exceed 100%. The dashed line is the calculated fraction of head-to-head product (BB). The trend in Figure 3 suggests that when the irradiance decreases, less benzylic radicals are free in solution, thus, leading to head-to-ring coupling competing favourably with head-to-head reaction, under conditions suitable for PRE.
located at the alkyl carbon. On the other hand, the benzyl cation respectively shows the highest negative charge (+0.19) and the carbon of the alkyl group (+0.59) and partially in +0.15 positions of the aromatic ring (+0.19). The partial charge of carbon atoms in the aromatic ring is of +0.19 and as expected, the highest negative charge is located at the alkyl carbon. On the other hand, the benzyl cation localises the charge at the ortho positions (-0.13), whereas the alkyl carbon is also slightly charged (-0.09).

Computational studies

To gain further insights into the mechanism, we performed DFT calculations to study the interaction between benzyl radicals and a cluster of palladium atoms. First, we characterized free benzyl radicals using PBE level of theory. The benzyl radical shows C_{3v} symmetry with the spin unpaired localized mainly in the carbon of the alkyl group (+0.59) and partially in the ortho (+0.19) and para (+0.19) positions of the aromatic ring (+0.19). The partial charge of carbon atoms in the aromatic ring is of similar magnitude (+ ~0.12), while the carbon of the alkyl group shows the highest negative charge (~0.31) due to the covalent bond with two hydrogen atoms (see Figure 4). Similar results have been found at BP86-D3/Def2-TZVP level of theory (see Figure 5). For comparison, we also explore the symmetry and charge density distribution in benzyl anion and benzyl cation. The partial charge of carbon atoms in the benzyl anion aromatic ring are centred in the para and ortho positions (-0.18 and -0.15 respectively) and as expected, the highest negative charge is located at the alkyl carbon. On the other hand, the benzyl cation}

Then, we analysed the interaction of benzyl structures with the metal surface. As both Pd and Au metal nanoparticles have shown some selectivity towards the head-to-ring coupling, we have performed computational studies using both elements. A cluster of 225 atoms of Pd (or Au) was taken as theoretical model for studying the interaction between the benzyl radical and the metal nanoparticles since the corresponding size (~1.9 nm) nicely resembles the experimental dimension of the NPs prepared (~2.0 nm). The average Pd-Pd (~2.74 Å) — and Au-Au (~2.84 Å)— bond lengths in Pd_{225} and Au_{225} agree well with literature values (Figure S9). 22, 23 Different starting geometries were considered when studying the interaction between benzyl radical with metal clusters, including radical interacting at the center of [111] facet of NP and/or at the edge of the metal cluster. Five minimum structures have been found for the complexes between benzyl radical and Pd_{225} cluster, while four structures have been computed in case of Au_{225} cluster (Figure S10-S11). The highest adsorption energy was computed when the benzyl radical approaches the edge of the NP for both Pd (~30.3 kcal mol^{-1}) and Au clusters (~26.9 kcal mol^{-1}). However, it is worth noting that the average adsorption energy is higher when the benzyl radical interacts with Pd_{225} (~27.7 kcal mol^{-1}) than with Au_{225} (~21.2 kcal mol^{-1}). This is probably due to the different packed plane [111] between Pd_{225} and Au_{225} clusters. In the benzyl radical, the length between the carbon of the alkyl group and the carbons at the ortho (2.47 Å) and para positions (4.24 Å) resembles better the atom organization found in Pd_{225} than that of Au_{225} (Figure S9). Indeed, in case of Pd_{225}, the benzyl radical is interacting not only at the alkyl group but also with aromatic ring, and as result the radical “follows” the [111] surface of Pd. In the case of Au_{225}, the main interaction is only between the alkyl group of benzyl radical with gold atom, while no significant interaction is found with the aromatic ring (the
benzyl radical is tilted respect to the [111] surface of Au_{225}). Overall, the average adsorption energy between benzyl radical and Pd_{225} (-27.7 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) – where the most stable structure is shown in Figure 6 – agrees with the values of dissociation bonding energies reported for alkoxamines (e.g., benzyl-TEMPO) of relevance in "living" free radical polymerization.\(^{24}\) Thus, the binding energy is such that benzyl radicals can be in equilibrium with their persistent form (i.e., BPd), with the latter being thermally stable at temperatures below 373 K.

![Image](image-url)

Figure 6. a) Calculated structure of benzyl radical on Pd_{225}. b) Löwdin population analysis showing the charge density (in red color) and spin polarization (in green color) on carbon atoms.

Importantly, by analysing the spin polarization, the interaction between the benzyl radical either with Pd_{225} and Au_{225} results that the unpaired spin is not anymore concentrated in the organic moiety, but rather delocalized along the metal atoms of NP. An increase of electron density compared to the “free” benzyl radical is computed at the ortho and para positions especially in case of Pd_{225}, while in meta positions a decrease of negative charge is observed. Thus, it can be inferred that the C–C coupling products observed experimentally may arise from the electrophilic attack of a “free” benzyl radical to the ortho or para positions of a benzyl species adsorbed on the metal nanoparticle surface. In summary, it seems that the metal nanoparticle (especially Pd) “activate” the ortho and para positions of benzyl species towards an electrophilic attack. Regarding the detailed geometry of the species formed, computational studies showed significant pyramidalization of the benzyllic carbon upon binding to Pd. The length of the C–Pd bond (when considering a Pd atom at the edge of the cluster, see Figure 6) is 2.17 Å. Further, the bond contains ca. 20% s-character. In addition, the benzyl C–C bond is stretched from 1.402 Å in the free benzyl radical to 1.439 Å in the BPd species, whilst the C in CH\(_2\) is slightly pyramidal, Figure 6 and Table 3. This result is also confirmed by DFT calculations (BP86-D3/Def2-TZVP) with a single atom of Pd, in which the benzyl radical loses spin density at those positions while the palladium atom acquires it (Figure 6). As in case with Pd_{225}, a C-Pd bond is formed between the C of CH\(_2\) (which adopts a slight pyramidal geometry) and Pd atom releasing 47.4 kcal mol\(^{-1}\). The newly orbital of carbon in the C-Pd now incorporates 9.9 % of s character, similarly to the results computed with Pd_{225} cluster. In contrast to the Pd_{225} calculations, all the carbon in the aromatic rings lose charge density on interaction with single Pd atom, which may indicate the importance of Pd nanoparticle on activating the ortho and para positions towards an electrophilic attack from benzyl radical. Instead, the spin density decreases remarkably upon the interaction with Pd atom, especially in ortho and in para position as well as in the C of CH\(_2\), while the Pd atom acquires it.

| Species                  | C–C bond length (Å) |
|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Toluene                 | 1.509               |
| Free benzyl radical     | 1.402               |
| Benzyl radical–Pd\(_{225}\) | 1.439\(^*\)         |
| Benzyl radical–Au\(_{225}\) | 1.453\(^*\)         |

Overall, the benzyllic species found on the Pd nanoparticle surface show no spin polarization, a charge density distribution and pyramidalization that can resemble the benzyl anion molecular structure. These results are consistent with metal-promoted persistency for benzyllic radicals. Therefore, the preference for reaction at the ring when benzyl radicals attack the BPd complex may be assisted by the slight increase in electron density at the ortho and para positions, along with the fact that C at CH\(_2\) is already tetravalent and slightly pyramidal, and thus, an unlikely site for additional bonding. We note (see Figures S10 and S11) that the ring always stabilizes parallel to the Pd surface, consistent with \(\pi\) interactions with the surface. The TiO\(_2\) support clearly plays a role in the formation of benzyllic radicals, but it is closer to an spectator in the reactions that lead to head-to-ring product formation.

**Conclusions**

We have shown that PdNP can change completely the regioselectivity of benzyl radical reactions, favouring non-traditional radical couplings of potential interest in organic synthesis. The Ingold-Fischer PRE theories provide a reliable paradigm for the interpretation of the preference for head-to-ring coupling. The concepts developed here for simple methylbenzenes may enable regiochemical control of radical–radical reactions in many other systems.
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