Sulfonamide-class antibiotics are recognized as water pollutants, which have negative environmental impacts. A strategy to deal with sulfonamides is throughout the application of oxidation processes. This work presents the treatment of the sulfacetamide (SAM) antibiotic by electrochemical oxidation, UV-C/H2O2 and photo-Fenton process. It was established the main degradation routes during each process action. A DFT computational analysis for SAM structure was done and mass spectra of primary transformation products were determined. Chemical oxygen demand (COD), total organic carbon (TOC) and biochemical oxygen demand (BOD5) were also followed. Additionally, SAM treatment in simulated seawater and hospital wastewater was measured. These data can be useful for comparative purposes about degradation of sulfonamide-class antibiotics by electrochemical and advanced oxidation processes.

© 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).
1. Data description

Information on the main degradation routes for sulfacetamide (SAM) treatment by the considered processes is initially presented. Such data are relevant to understand action of the systems on the antibiotics [1]. The considered electrochemical system is characterized by the action of active chlorine species as degrading agents (mediated route, Eqs (1)–(4)), in NaCl presence. Meanwhile, when Na2SO4 is used as supporting electrolyte, oxidative species in solution bulk cannot be generated from sulfate ions, but oxidation on anode surface (direct route) can be evidenced [2–4]. Fig. 1A depicts degradation of the sulfonamide by electrochemical oxidation utilizing two supporting electrolytes (i.e., NaCl and Na2SO4) to identify the action routes of the system.

\[
\text{Ti/IrO}_2(\text{anode}) + 2\text{Cl}^- \rightarrow \text{Cl}_2 + 2e^- \tag{1}
\]

\[
\text{Cl}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{HOCl} + \text{HCl} \tag{2}
\]

\[
\text{HOCl} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{H}_3\text{O}^+ + \text{OCl}^- \tag{3}
\]

\[
\text{Cl}_2, \text{HOCl, OCl}^- + \text{organic pollutant} \rightarrow \text{degradation products} \tag{4}
\]

Fig. 2 presents evolution of SAM under UV-C irradiation, hydrogen peroxide and AOP UV-C/H2O2; this last system generates hydroxyl radical (Eq. (5)) as main degrading species (Eq. (6)) [5].

\[
\text{UV-C} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{HO}^* \tag{5}
\]

\[
\text{HO}^* + \text{organic pollutant} \rightarrow \text{degradation products} \tag{6}
\]
In Fig. 3 is shown SAM elimination by photo-Fenton system (which involves interaction of iron ions with hydrogen peroxide and light to produce HO•, Eqs. (7) and (8) [6]). Control experiments (i.e., UV-A/H2O2 and Fenton) are also presented in Fig. 3.

$$\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{HO}• + \text{HO}^- \quad (7)$$

$$\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + h\nu_{(UV-vis)} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{HO}• + \text{H}^+ \quad (8)$$

Degradation of SAM under the oxidation processes can be promoted by active chlorine (e.g., electrochemistry) or hydroxyl radical (e.g., photo-Fenton and UV-C/H2O2). These are electrophilic species
able to attack electron rich moieties [1,4]. Then, computational calculations to identify regions on SAM with high electron density and susceptible to attacks by such degrading agents was carried (Fig. 4). Additionally, to determine the primary transformations, analyses of HPLC-MS were performed. Table 1 summarizes the primary products found for SAM treatment by each process; whereas, Fig. 5 contains mass spectra of the transformation products.

To establish the treatments extent, COD, TOC and BOD5 were measured at 100% of SAM degradation by each considered process. Fig. 6A illustrates the TOC removal, whereas Fig. 6B presents the biodegradability relationship (BOD5/COD).

To test the ability of the processes to degrade the antibiotic in complex matrices, treatment of SAM in simulated seawater and hospital wastewater (see composition in Table 2) was performed. Fig. 7 shows the removal of SAM in these complex matrices during treatment by the three oxidation systems.

The raw data for the above figures and tables is available on the Mendeley data repository (see this link: https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/bctc4dh2ck/draft?a=cd8f77df-08f8-45d9-ae48-1f9f8186ac9c).

2. Experimental design, materials, and methods

2.1. Reagents

Sodium sulfacetamide was provided by Corpaul (Medellín, Colombia) Sodium chloride, calcium chloride dihydrate, potassium chloride, ammonium chloride, sodium sulfate, potassium dihydrogen phosphate, urea and acetonitrile were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Urea was purchased from Carlo Erba (Sabadell, Spain) and formic acid was provided by Carlo-Erba (Val de Reuil, France). All chemicals were used as received. The solutions were prepared using distilled water.

2.2. Reaction systems

An electrolytic cell equipped with a Ti/IrO2 rectangular plate of 8 cm² (anode, previously characterized [9]), a zirconium spiral of 10 cm² (cathode) and a WK electric apparatus (as current source) were used for the electrochemical experiments (Picture 1). A current density of 5 mA cm⁻² and 0.05 mol L⁻¹ of NaCl or Na₂SO₄ as supporting electrolyte were used. The electrochemical system was
operated under constant stirring conditions. In the experiments, 150 mL of the antibiotic solutions were treated. Each experiment was performed at least by duplicate. During treatments, aliquots of 1.2 mL were taken at regular time intervals to perform the analyses of antibiotic evolution.

The photochemical processes were carried out in a homemade aluminum reflective reactor. In the case of UV-C/H2O2, the reaction system was equipped with 5 UV-C lamps (LUMEK T8 15W) with main emission at 254 nm (Picture 2). Antibiotic (40 μmol L⁻¹) solutions (150mL) were placed in beakers under constant stirring. Each experiment was performed at least by duplicate. During treatments, until eight aliquots of 1.0 mL were taken at regular time intervals to perform the analyses.

Meanwhile, for photo-Fenton process, the same homemade aluminum reflective reactor equipped with 5 lamps (LuxTech T8 15W) was utilized. SAM (40 μmol L⁻¹) solution (150 mL) was placed in
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**Fig. 4.** Electron density regions on SAM more susceptible to attacks by electrophilic species (e.g., HOCl or HO•).

| Table 1  | Summary of primary products found for SAM treatment by the considered processes. |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Product [M+H]⁺ (Mass spectrum in Fig. 5) | Process | Electrochemical oxidation | UV-C alone | UV-C/H₂O₂ | Photo-Fenton |
|          |                                    |                           |             |           |             |
| 275 (A) |                                    | X                          | X           | X         | X           |
| 259 (B) |                                    | X                          | X           | X         | X           |
| 269 (C) |                                    | X                          |             | X         |             |
| 299 (D) |                                    | X                          |             |           |             |
| 245 (E) |                                    | X                          | X           | X         | X           |
| 360 (F) |                                    | X                          |             |           |             |

X means the product was found during degradation by the process.
beakers under constant stirring. In the photo-Fenton process, 500 µmol L\(^{-1}\) and 45 µmol L\(^{-1}\) of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) and Fe (II) respectively were used.

2.3. Analyses

The evolution of SAM during treatments was followed by using a UHPLC Thermoscientific Dionex UltiMate 3000 instrument equipped with an Acclaim™ 120 RP C18 column (5 µm, 4.6 × 150 mm) and a diode array detector. The injection volume was 20 µL, and a mixture of acetonitrile/aqueous formic acid
(10 mmol L\(^{-1}\), pH 3.0) 40/60% V/V was used as mobile phase. The UV detection was carried out at 257, 270, 280 and 290 nm. It must be indicated that all degradation experiments were carried out at least by duplicate.

The computational analysis was performed with the Fukui function by applying the framework of functional density theory (DFT). SAM structure was optimized with the B3LYP hybrid functional density [10], with the 6-31+G* basis set and the continuous polarization model [11] using the dielectric constant for water. Thus, \( f_e \) (i.e., electrophilic Fukui functions) values were calculated. For \( f_e \), a higher number is an indicator of a higher possibility of attack by electrophilic species.

The determination of primary transformation products was carried out at 50% of the antibiotic degradation. For such determination an ACQUITY UPLC H-Class (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA) equipped with a quaternary solvent supply manager and a sampler manager coupled to an Xevo-G2-XS-Q-Tof, Mass spectrometer equipped with an electrospray interface (Waters Corporation). A Restek C18 column (50 × 2.1 mm; 1.7 μm) was used with water (acidified by 0.1% formic acid) and acetonitrile

\[ \text{BOD}_5/\text{COD Initial: 0.32} \]

Fig. 6. Treatments extent.
(ACN) as eluents. The flowrate was 0.5 mL min\(^{-1}\) at room temperature. The gradient was from 90/10% water/ACN until 2 min, then the gradient change to 75/25% to 3 min, in 3.5 min change again to 90/10% to 6 min.

ESI\(^+\) positive ionization mode and a sensitivity analysis were used for MS Determination with an analysis range of 50–700 Da, with a scan time of 0.1 s and a delay between operations of 0.01 s, for an analysis time of 6 minutes. The collision energy ramp was 10–30 V and the cone voltage was 30 V.

Chemical oxygen demand (COD) was established according to the Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater (5220 D). The closed reflux colorimetric method was used. An aliquot of 2500 \(\mu\)L of sample was added to a digestion vessel containing 1500 \(\mu\)L of digestion solution.

### Table 2
Composition of the complex matrices.

| Component                  | NaCl   | MgSO_4*7 H_2O | CaCl_2*2H_2O | NaHCO_3 | pH   |
|----------------------------|--------|---------------|--------------|---------|------|
| Concentration (µmol L\(^{-1}\)) | 500000 | 50000         | 10000        | 2000    | 6.5  |
| Hospital wastewater (HWW\(^a\)) | NaCl   | CaCl_2 | KCl | Na_2SO_4 | KH_2PO_4 | NH_4Cl | Urea | pH |
| Concentration (µmol L\(^{-1}\)) | 51300  | 340    | 1340 | 710     | 370      | 940    | 21000 | 6.5 |

\(^a\) Matrix prepared according to Refs. [7,8].

---

**Fig. 7.** Degradation of SAM in simulated seawater (SW) and hospital wastewater (HWW) by the diverse processes. **A.** Electrochemistry. **B.** UV-C/H_2O_2. **C.** Photo-Fenton.
(potassium dichromate in concentrated sulfuric acid) and 3500 μL of sulfuric acid reagent (silver sulfate in concentrated sulfuric acid). The digestion was performed at 140 °C during 2 hours in a Velp Thermo-reactor. Absorbance was measured at 600 nm in a Lab Scien UV-1100 spectrophotometer.

Biochemical oxygen demand at 5 days (BOD₅) was carried out according to the Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater (5210 B) using an Oxitop respirometric system thermostatted at 20 °C. The volume added to the incubation bottle contained 270 mL (10% V/V was the inoculum and 90% V/V was the sample). Prior to analysis, the pH was adjusted to near neutrality using sodium hydroxide (1.0 M), and residual hydrogen peroxide or active chlorine species were eliminated using sodium bisulfite (0.1 M).

Total organic carbon (TOC) was measured using a Teledyne Tekmar TOC analyzer. This was determined by combustion with catalytic oxidation at 680 °C using high-purity oxygen gas at a flow rate of 190 mL min⁻¹. The apparatus had a non-dispersive infrared detector. Calibration of the analyzer was attained with standard potassium hydrogen phthalate (99.5%) solution. The injection sample volume was 50 μL.
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