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Along with an indispensable role in healthcare system of China for centuries, Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) shows increasing usages as complementary therapy in western countries. To improve our understanding on their therapeutic effects, it's critical to unveil chemical compositions of TCM formula, the predominant form of therapy in TCM. However, intrinsic chemical complexity makes it a challenging task to perform analysis on each individual TCM formula even with most current state-of-art analytic techniques available. In this work we approached this question by focusing on analogous formulae, a unique category of TCM formulae grouped together based on shared herbs and/or similar TCM syndromes. Systematic chemical profiling on five Si-Ni decoctions (SNs) for cardiovascular diseases was performed by multistage MS and high-resolution MS (HR-MS) experiments. A total of 83 compounds, including alkaloids, flavonoids, ginsenosides, bile acids and triterpenoids, were described. Analysis on SNs-treated rats detected 55 prototype compounds and 39 metabolites in the systemic circulation in vivo, which may contribute directly to their observed clinical efficacies. This approach offers great advantage to speed up identification of chemical compositions of formula and reveal the difference among these analogous formulae that may be related to diverse clinical effects.

For centuries Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) has been widely used to prevent and treat many common diseases in China and other Asian countries. Meanwhile, its popularity has continued growing as an complementary/alternative therapy in the West. In accordance with ancient TCM compatibility theory originated from balance and harmony, different medicinal herbs (sometimes involving minerals and animal-related products) are organized together to establish a TCM formula for treating patient. In a TCM formula the positive effects of multiple herbs are enhanced while negative side effects that may occur when used individually would be reduced or eliminated, which makes TCM formula a predominant form of TCM therapy to address traditional phenotypic syndromes of patients. Among TCM formulae, analogous formulae are a unique category on account of sharing some herbs and/or using for the same or similar syndrome with different symptoms and signs. Overlapped TCM composition in analogous formulae provides a great opportunity to investigate relationship between their chemical profiles and variations in clinical applications and unveil scientific foundation of TCM compatibility rules. This information would greatly improve our understanding on molecular mechanisms of TCM, therefore further promoting worldwide recognition about TCM therapy and facilitating its globalization. However, chemical analyses on analogous formulae remain limited, which partially relates to their intrinsic complexity.
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In recent years, owing to rapid development in analytical technical advances and high availability of instrumentation, liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) has become one of the most essential tools for the rapid analysis of TCM constituents. Compared with conventional phytochemistry techniques, HPLC-ESI-MS\textsuperscript{a} can achieve highly efficient separation and rich structural information in chemicals at the same time\textsuperscript{3}. Then HR-MS data shows the accurate mass and relative ion abundance of the target peaks which makes it possible to calculate their potential elemental compositions according to the spectral isotope distribution on the basis of natural isotope abundance\textsuperscript{4}. LC-MS is a powerful analytical method for identification of known compounds and elucidation of unknown compounds in TCM complex matrix\textsuperscript{5–8}, such as TCM formulae. On account of constituent diversity, molecular complexity and limitations of analysis technics, only some relatively simple or classical TCM formulae have been clarified the characterization of their constituents. Wang et al. studied the chemical constituents in Da-Huang-Gan-Cao-Tang, a famous formula consisting of \textit{Rhei Radix et Rhizoma} (Da Huang) and \textit{Glycyrrhizae Radix et Rhizoma} (Gan Cao) in ratio of 4:1 w/w in Jin-Gui-Yao-Lue, using the combination of LC-ESI-Q-TOF-MS and LC-ESI-IT-MS\textsuperscript{5}. 104 compounds were identified on the basis of their accurate molecular weight and multistage MS data. Because unclear chemical constituents in TCM formulae limits further pharmacological research, systemic chemical profiling is an essential foundation to understand TCM formulae. Further, compounds absorbed into the systemic circulation and metabolites are important for the explanation of pharmacological efficacies of a TCM formula because oral administration is the traditional and classic dosing route for TCM formulae\textsuperscript{9}. From pharmacokinetic point of view, only a fraction of components in the formulae absorbed into the blood would exert therapeutic effects \textit{in vivo}. It is critical to identify these potential bioactive constituents responsible for bioactivities of TCM formulae\textsuperscript{10,11}.

Si-Ni decoction, described in ancient Zhang Zhongjing's herbal formulae (\textit{Shanghan Lun}, which is a Chinese medical treatise compiled by Zhang Zhongjing to treat epidemic infectious diseases causing fevers), is a typical TCM formula which has been used for over 2000 years. It consists of \textit{Aconiti lateralis radix} (Fuzi, ranunculaceae), \textit{Glycyrrhizae radix et rhizome praeparata cum melle} (Zhigancao, Fabaceae) and \textit{Zingiberis rhizoma} (Ganjiang, Zingibeaceae). SNs includes Si-Ni decoction (SIN), Fuling Si-Ni decoction (FSIN), Ginseng Si-Ni decoction (RSIN), Tongmai Si-Ni decoction (TSIN) and Tongmai Si-Ni with \textit{Suis fellis pulvis} decoction (ZSIN) with different content ratio or adding \textit{Ginseng radix et rhizoma} (Renshen, Araliaceae) and/or \textit{Poria} (Fuling, Polypores). SNs have been used to prevent or treat cardiovascular disease for many years\textsuperscript{12–15}, but their components are still poorly understood\textsuperscript{16}. In this paper, an integrated approach by combining pre-classification strategy\textsuperscript{17} and diagnostic fragment-ion-based extension strategy\textsuperscript{18,19} was developed to characterize and compare the chemical components of SNs systematically \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} based on multistage MS and HR-MS (Fig. 1). The pre-classification strategy\textsuperscript{17} on multiple spectrums of TCM formula was previously proposed by our group on the basis that each class of nature products, such as flavonoids, alkaloids, and saponins, shares a characteristic carbon skeleton or the same structural units. It not only improved the accuracy but also sped up the identification of compounds from chemical databases and relevant literatures. Until now chemical differentiation study has not yet performed on these five formulae and very little is known about the chemical profiling of SNs, especially those \textit{in vivo}. Results from this work will facilitate further research in pharmacological basis for clinical application of these five formulae.
Results

Validation of HPLC-MS chemical profiling method. To ensure the accurate assessment and comparison chemical profiling of SNs, nine constituents in SNs, which cover major structural groups, were selected as representatives with measuring the peak area and retention time. The repeatability and stability of the method were satisfactory with the peak area and retention time variations for nine constituents less than 9.21% and 0.35%, respectively. The method also provided good intra-day and inter-day precision. The RSDs of retention time and peak area of the nine constituents were in the range from 0.03% to 0.27% and 2.59% to 8.82%, respectively. According to the results, the proposed method is reliable and accurate for the qualitative analysis of SNs.

Identification of chemicals in FSIN. Containing most of major traditional Chinese medicinal materials in SNs, FSIN was selected as the representative for identification of compounds. Multistage MS and HR-MS of FSIN were performed in both negative and positive ion modes to get complete information about its chemical constitutions. The PDA spectrum, positive base peak MS spectrum and negative base peak MS spectrum of FSIN were displayed in Fig. 2. A TCM potential target database (TCM-PTD, http://tcm.zju.edu.cn/ptd), including 12,629 ingredients related to 490 traditional Chinese medicinal materials, was regarded as an in-house database. After comparing with the reference compounds first, other molecular formulae were organized to match with those in the in-house database. Rest of compounds without matching were submitted to REAXYS database (https://www.reaxys.com/) by molecular formula. All compounds were confirmed by multistage MS data, relevant literature or UV spectra.

A total of twenty alkaloids were detected in FSIN in this study. Here compound 6 at the retention time of 20.15 min was taken as an example for illustration of the identification of alkaloids as well as representative fragmentation pathways for this group of compounds. A protonated [M + H]⁺ ion peak of compound 6 was present at m/z 432.2362, which corresponds to elemental compositions of C_{24}H_{33}NO_{6}. Search results in the chemical library indicated it would very likely to be 14-hydroxy-2-isobutyrylhetisine N-oxide. Subsequently, the MS² spectrum provided a series of characteristic fragment ions for the benefit.

Figure 2. The negative base peak MS spectrum (a), positive base peak MS spectrum (b) and PDA spectrum (c) of FSIN.
of structure determination. Fragment ions at \( m/z \) 414 and 344 probably be produced by the losses of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) and \( (\text{CH}_3)_2\text{CHCOOH} \), respectively. The existence of three consecutive fragments at \( m/z \) 326, 308, 290 indicated successive losses of three water molecules. In addition, characteristic ions were also detected at \( m/z \) 280 and 262. As shown in Fig. 3a, fragment at \( m/z \) 280 could be interpreted by loss of \( \text{CO} \) (−28 Da) after keto-enol tautomerism of fragment at \( m/z \) 308. Similarly, other alkaloids were tentatively identified using molecular formulae and characteristic fragmentation information as well as available literatures.

A total of nineteen flavonoids, including five flavones, six chalcones, seven dihydroflavones and one isoflavone, were identified as major constituents in FSIN. Compounds 19, 21, 27, 28, 32 and 41 were unambiguously identified as schaftoside, isoschaftoside, liquiritin, liquiritin apioside, isoliquiritin apioside and liquiritigenin by comparing their retention time, UV spectra and MS fragmentation with those of reference compounds. The main fragmentation mechanisms of compound 19 were first investigated to facilitate identification of other flavonoids. The proposed fragmentation pathway of compound 19 was displayed in Fig. 3b. It produced \([\text{M}+\text{H}]^+\) ion at \( m/z \) 565.1540 and \([\text{M}−\text{H}]^-\) ion at \( m/z \) 563.1388, which led to its molecular formula of \( \text{C}_{26}\text{H}_{28}\text{O}_{14} \). Along possible molecular formulae and chemical library, absorption in PDA spectrum at about 220 nm and 270 nm suggested that compound 19 was a flavonoid. Over 500 Da of molecular weight implied this likely is a conjugated flavonoid since typical molecular weight of flavonoid aglycones usually ranges from 200 to 350 Da. The retro-Diels-Alder (RDA) cleavage of C-ring bonds in the flavonoid aglycone usually generate the structural fragment at \( m/z \) 443 (\([\text{M}−\text{H}]−120\)−). It revealed that there was no other substituent in the B-ring. The characteristic fragments, \( m/z \) 473 (\([\text{M}−\text{H}]−90\)−), \( m/z \) 503 (\([\text{M}−\text{H}]−60\)−), and \( m/z \) 545 (\([\text{M}−\text{H}]−18\)−), were derived from the cleavage of sugar units or water loss from compound 19. The fragment \( m/z \) 383 (−180 Da) was also observed because of different combinations of the cleavage of sugar units and further loss of CH\(_3\)OH from \( m/z \) 383 led to the peak with \( m/z \) 353. And there was no information detected due to eliminating a saccharidic residue. All the above information pointed out that compound 19 was a di-C-glycosyl flavonoid, but not a di-O-glycosyl flavonoid. In flavonoid C-glycosides, the sugar unit is most usually directly connected to the position 6-C or 8-C of the flavonoid aglycone. \([\text{M}−\text{H}]−60\)− and \([\text{M}−\text{H}]−90\)− are characteristic product ions formed by cross-ring cleavages in a pentose residue. While \([\text{M}−\text{H}]−120\)− and \([\text{M}−\text{H}]−90\)− are characteristic fragments formed by cross-ring cleavages in a hexose residue. Taken together, compound 19 was tentatively identified as schaftoside or isoschaftoside. Compared with reference compounds, compound 19 was undoubtedly identified as schaftoside. Based on the typical fragmentation mechanisms of schaftoside, other flavonoids were tentatively identified by molecular formulae matching, characteristic fragmentation information, UV spectra as well as the relevant literature.
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of 162 Da from quasi-molecular ion, indicated the existence of a glucose unit. Minor ion at m/z 863 ([M – H – 120]−) from cross-ring cleavage in a saccharide residue is in agreement with the presence of a glucose unit. Characteristic ion at m/z 351 was also detected, which manifested that compound 47 has a GluA-GluA chain except for glucose and the GluA-GluA chain does not connect with the glucose unit. Compound 47 including a glucose unit and two glucuronic acid units can be confirmed in the positive ion mode. The [M + H]+ ion of compound 47 yielded an aglycone residue ion at m/z 471 after eliminating two glucuronic acid residues and one glucose unit consecutively. In addition, aglycone residue produced ions at m/z 453 and 407 by loss of a H2O and an extra HCOOH. This explained that the skeleton of its aglycone includes a hydroxyl group and a carboxyl group. The proposed fragmentation pathways of compound 47 were present in Fig. 3c and Fig. 3d. Compound 47 was tentatively identified as Licorice saponin A3 based on this information as well as the chemical library.

**Systematic identifications of SNs.** As shown in Table 1, five SNs contain overlapping medicinal materials. The similar identification methods mentioned above were applied to major components identification in other four formulae. The overlapped medicinal material composition of five SNs sped up the comprehensive identification of chemical profile of other four formulae. The results of major components identification in five SNs were displayed in Table 2. There were altogether eighty-three compounds have been identified and their detailed mass spectrometry data were on display in the Supplementary Material (Table S1). As shown in Table 2, a compound was flagged as “√” if it existed in a formula.

**In vivo profiling of SNs in rat plasma.** HPLC-ESI-MS analysis of sample plasma obtained after oral administration of five SNs was carried out both in positive and negative mode. Comparisons among the chromatograms of the blank plasma, dosed plasma, and aqueous extract in both two modes indicated that 55 compounds from aqueous extract were absorbed into the circulatory system. The 55 prototype compounds includes 18 alkaloids, 5 flavonoids, 5 ginsenosides, 21 triterpenoids and 6 bile acids. Since bile acids could be endogenous compounds in rats, variation of peak areas between chromatograms were also utilized to confirm the source of these bile acids. There are three peaks in dosed plasma displayed more than five-fold increase of peak area relative to those in blank plasma. These three peaks were designated as taurohyodeoxycholic acid, glycohyodeoxycholic acid and taurochenodeoxycholic acid, which were the major constituents of *Suis fellis pulvis* in the formula. All these absorbed components were more likely to be the bioactive components. The compounds in rat plasma were flagged with the red “√” in shadow in Table 2 and the structures of prototype compounds are shown in Fig. 4.

Biotransformations of compounds frequently produce active metabolites in vivo. Here an approach was developed to detect metabolites by comparative analysis of HRMS data between dosed-sample and blank-sample. Three requisite criteria were applied, which are accuracy mass of precursor ions within an error of 5 ppm, isotope ratio tolerance less than 10 and fold change of intensity more than 5. The metabolites are divided into two groups based on whether there is effective MS2 fragment ions. A metabolite belongs to group 1 (G1) if relevant MS2 fragment ions can give evidence of it. Otherwise, a metabolite belongs to group 2 (G2). Metabolites profiling of SNs in rat plasma are shown in Table 3. There are a total of thirty-nine metabolites detected in SNs and some of their MS2 data are displayed in the Table S2 (Supplementary Material).

**Discussion**

This is the first report describing the difference of chemical compositions among five SNs and Table 1 summarized the composition of these analogous formulae. This group of analogous formulae mainly consists of *Aconiti lateralis radix*, *Glycyrrhizae radix et rhizome praeparata cum melle* and *Zingiberis rhizoma* with different weight ratio or adding *Suis fellis pulvis* or *Ginseng radix et rhizoma* and/or *Poria*.
| No. | Rt* (min) | Formula       | Compound                          | TCM formula* | FSIN | RSIN | SIN | TSIN | ZSIN |
|-----|-----------|---------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|------|------|-----|------|------|
| 1   | 6.82°     | C_{25}H_{29}O_{7}N | Ficuseptine A                     | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 2   | 14.80°    | C_{25}H_{29}O_{7}N | Hetsine                           | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 3   | 15.52°    | C_{20}H_{25}O_{3}N | Hetisine or Pseudokobusine         | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 4   | 18.46°    | C_{20}H_{25}O_{4}N | Delbidine                         | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 5   | 18.70°    | C_{25}H_{29}O_{7}N | Isotalatizidine                   | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 6   | 20.15°    | C_{20}H_{25}O_{4}N | 14-hydroxy-2-isobutyryl hetsine N-oxide | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 7   | 20.40°    | C_{20}H_{25}O_{4}N | Neoline                           | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 8   | 21.00°    | C_{20}H_{25}O_{4}N | Guan fu base Y                    | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 9   | 21.60°    | C_{20}H_{25}O_{4}N | Hetsine or Pseudokobusine         | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 10  | 24.20°    | C_{20}H_{25}O_{4}N | Guan fu base A1                   | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 11  | 25.15     | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Glucosoliquiritin apioside        | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 12  | 26.55°    | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Coldephnine                       | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 13  | 27.58     | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | 6-C,7-O-di-β-D-glucopyranosyl 4,5,7-trihydroxyflavone | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 14  | 27.64°    | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Fuzitine                          | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 15  | 28.98°    | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Tatalizamine                       | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 16  | 29.58°    | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | RacemulosineA                     | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 17  | 29.58°    | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Atisine                           | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 18  | 31.07     | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Schaftoside isomer                | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 19  | 31.39     | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Schaftoside                       | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 20  | 32.27°    | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Guanfubase A1 isomer              | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 21° | 32.68     | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Isoschaftoside                    | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 22  | 35.24     | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Isoviolanthin                     | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 23  | 35.84°    | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Guan fu base F                    | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 24  | 36.43°    | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | 14-acetyl-8-O-methyltalatizamine   | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 25  | 36.43°    | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Liquiritin apioside isomer        | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 26  | 36.51°    | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Liquiritin isomer                 | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 27° | 36.59     | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Liquiritin                        | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 28° | 36.59     | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Liquiritin apioside               | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 29  | 40.74°    | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Guan fu base G                    | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 30° | 44.41     | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | 20-glucoginsenoside Rf            | √            | √    |      |     |      |
| 31  | 45.53     | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Notoginsenoside R1                | √            | √    |      |     |      |
| 32° | 47.13     | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Isoliquiritin apioside            | √            | √    | √    | √   | √    |
| 33° | 48.02     | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Ginsenoside Re                    | √            | √    |      |     |      |
| 34° | 48.02     | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Ginsenoside Rg1                   | √            | √    |      |     |      |
| 35  | 48.27     | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Isoliquiritin apioside isomer     | √            | √    |      |     |      |
| 36  | 49.05°    | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Oronin                            | √            | √    |      |     |      |
| 37  | 49.20°    | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Isoliquiritin                     | √            | √    |      |     |      |
| 38  | 50.98     | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Licorice glycoside D2 or D1       | √            | √    |      |     |      |
| 39  | 51.14°    | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Ouvrardantine A                   | √            | √    |      |     |      |
| 40  | 51.20     | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Licorice glycoside C2 or C1       | √            | √    |      |     |      |
| 41° | 55.15°    | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Liquiritigenin                    | √            | √    |      |     |      |
| 42  | 55.94     | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | 24-hydroxy licorice saponin A3    | √            | √    |      |     |      |
| 43  | 57.72     | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Licorice glycoside A              | √            | √    |      |     |      |
| 44  | 57.84     | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Licorice glycoside B              | √            | √    |      |     |      |
| 45  | 58.47     | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | 22-hydroxy licorice saponin G2    | √            | √    |      |     |      |
| 46  | 59.16     | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Tauroxycholic acid                | √            | √    |      |     |      |
| 47  | 59.39     | C_{20}H_{27}O_{3}N | Licorice saponin A3               | √            | √    |      |     |      |

Continued
Negative and positive base peak mass spectrums were measured for five SNs to obtain complete information about their chemical constituents. Consisting of majority of TCM materials in SNs, FSIN was selected as the representative of SNs and qualitative identification study was performed on this formula first to establish a basic chemical profile. With availability of composition of medicinal material for all five SNs, peaks in other four SNs were first allocated to previously identified compounds in FSIN when two formulae shared same TCM material. The unique compounds in each formula were then identified separately. This workflow significantly facilitated the identification process under preliminary conditions.

### Table 2. Compounds identified from Si-Ni decoction analogous formulae in vitro and in vivo.

| No. | Rt (min) | Formula  | Compound | TCM formulae |
|-----|---------|----------|----------|--------------|
|     |         |          | FSIN     | RSIN | SIN | TSIN | ZSIN |
| 48  | 60.88   | C_{42}H_{72}O_{14} | Ginsenoside Rf | √   | √   |         |      |
| 49  | 62.11   | C_{60}H_{82}O_{16} | Ginsenoside Rb1 | √   | √   |         |      |
| 50  | 62.16   | C_{62}H_{80}O_{15} | Taurohyodeoxycholic acid |         |         |         |      |
| 52  | 62.46   | C_{60}H_{82}O_{16} | Licorice saponin G2 isomer | √   | √   | √   | √   | √   |
| 53  | 63.27   | C_{62}H_{82}O_{16} | Ginsenoside Rc | √   |         |         |      |
| 54  | 63.63   | C_{62}H_{82}O_{16} | Licorice saponin G2 isomer | √   | √   | √   | √   | √   |
| 55  | 64.37   | C_{62}H_{82}O_{16} | Ginsenoside Rb2 | √   |         |         |      |
| 56  | 64.41   | C_{62}H_{82}O_{16} | Glycohyocholic acid |         |         |         |      |
| 57  | 64.51   | C_{62}H_{82}O_{16} | Ginsenoside Ro | √   |         |         |      |
| 58  | 66.18   | C_{60}H_{82}O_{16} | Licorice saponin G2 | √   | √   | √   | √   | √   |
| 59  | 66.92   | C_{60}H_{82}O_{16} | Yunganoside J1 or L1 | √   | √   | √   | √   |      |
| 60  | 67.57   | C_{62}H_{82}O_{16} | Yunganoside G1 | √   | √   | √   | √   |      |
| 61  | 67.71   | C_{60}H_{82}O_{16} | Licorice saponin G2 isomer | √   | √   | √   | √   |      |
| 62  | 68.19   | C_{60}H_{82}O_{16} | Glycohyocholic acid |         |         |         |      |
| 63  | 68.61   | C_{60}H_{82}O_{16} | Licorice saponin G2 isomer | √   | √   | √   | √   |      |
| 64  | 69.06   | C_{60}H_{82}O_{16} | Glycyrrhizic acid |         |         |         |      |
| 65  | 69.14   | C_{60}H_{82}O_{16} | Glycyrrhizic acid aglycone isomer | √   | √   | √   | √   |      |
| 66  | 70.53   | C_{60}H_{82}O_{16} | Taurochenodeoxycholic acid |         |         |         |      |
| 67  | 70.55   | C_{60}H_{82}O_{16} | Yunganoside J1 or L1 | √   | √   | √   | √   |      |
| 68  | 70.88   | C_{60}H_{82}O_{16} | Albitzasaponin B | √   | √   | √   | √   |      |
| 69  | 71.25   | C_{60}H_{82}O_{16} | Licorice saponin B2 | √   | √   | √   | √   |      |
| 70  | 71.75   | C_{60}H_{82}O_{16} | Glycyrrhizic acid aglycone-H2O | √   | √   | √   | √   |      |
| 71  | 71.80   | C_{60}H_{82}O_{16} | Uralsonaponin B or LicoricesaponinK2/H2 | √   | √   | √   | √   |      |
| 72  | 71.85   | C_{60}H_{82}O_{16} | Glycyrrhizic acid aglycone | √   | √   | √   | √   |      |
| 73  | 72.66   | C_{60}H_{82}O_{16} | Licoricesaponin K2/H2 or UralsonaponinB | √   | √   | √   | √   |      |
| 74  | 72.99   | C_{60}H_{82}O_{16} | Hyocholic acid |         |         |         |      |
| 75  | 73.11   | C_{60}H_{82}O_{16} | Licoricesaponine H2/K2 or Uralsonaponin B | √   | √   | √   | √   |      |
| 76  | 74.11   | C_{60}H_{82}O_{16} | Licorice saponin J2 | √   | √   | √   | √   |      |
| 77  | 74.11   | C_{60}H_{82}O_{16} | Apio glycyrrhizin | √   | √   | √   | √   |      |
| 78  | 75.07   | C_{60}H_{82}O_{16} | Yunganoside L | √   | √   | √   | √   |      |
| 79  | 75.00   | C_{60}H_{82}O_{16} | Araboglycyrrhizin | √   | √   | √   | √   |      |
| 80  | 75.89   | C_{60}H_{82}O_{16} | Licorice saponin C2 | √   | √   | √   | √   |      |
| 81  | 76.89   | C_{60}H_{82}O_{16} | Glycohyocholic acid |         |         |         |      |
| 82  | 76.97   | C_{60}H_{82}O_{16} | Yunganoside H2 | √   | √   | √   | √   |      |
| 83  | 78.68   | C_{60}H_{82}O_{16} | 6-Shogaol | √   | √   | √   | √   |      |

*The retention time of FSIN were used as a standard one; the retention time of those peaks that were not appeared in FSIN were from the formula they first appeared in. The retention time in positive base peak mass spectrum. Compounds identified by comparing with reference standards. Compounds appeared in a formula; the red “√” in shadow compounds absorbed into blood.*
requirement on identical experimental conditions for all five SNs that made their chromatograms are directly comparable.

As shown in results, alkaloids, flavonoids and triterpenoids comprised of majority of compounds in SNs. Alkaloids are the major bioactive components from *Aconiti lateralis radix*. The most significant characteristics of these alkaloids is the presence of a relatively high-intensity protonated molecular ion \([\text{M} + \text{H}]^+\) in their positive-ion spectrum while almost no response in negative-ion mode. For flavonoids,
| No. | Rt (min) | Formula | Metabolic pathway | Error (ppm) | Prototype | Group | Fold change of intensity |
|-----|----------|---------|-------------------|-------------|-----------|-------|------------------------|
| M1  | 14.26b   | C22H35O5N | Demethylation     | 0.7         | Isotalatizidine | G1    | 15.10                  |
|     |          |         | Deethylation      |             | Talatizamine   |       |                        |
| M2  | 15.11p   | C20H23O3N | Alcohol to ketone | 0.2         | Hetsisnone    | G2    | 5.70                   |
|     |          |         | Loss of O         |             | Fuzitine      |       |                        |
|     |          |         | Alcohols dehydration |        | Debidine      |       |                        |
| M3  | 18.15g   | C22H35O5N | Hydrolysis        | 0.3         | Guan fu base Y | G1    | 7.73                   |
|     |          |         | Alcohol to ketone | 0.9         | Talatizamine  |       |                        |
| M4  | 19.75g   | C20H23O3N | Hydrolysis + desaturation | 0.9     | Talatizamine  | G1    | 8.67                   |
|     |          |         | Alcohol to ketone | 0.8         | Neoline      |       |                        |
| M5  | 28.94a   | C20H23O3N | Hydrolylation     | 0.5         | Guan fu base G | G1    | 25.48                  |
| M6  | 29.25a   | C20H23O3N | 2 × hydroxylation | 0.1         | Guan fu base G | G1    | 5.12                   |
| M7  | 29.57p   | C22H35O5N | Alcohol to ketone | 0.8         | Coldephnine   | G2    | 13.46                  |
| M8  | 33.30a   | C20H23O3N | Loss of O         | 0.8         | Coldephnine   | G1    | 5.09                   |
|     |          |         | Hydroxymethylene loss |     | 14-acetyl-8-O-methyltalatizamine | | |
| M9  | 34.99    | C20H23O3N | Hydroxylation + desaturation | 0.4 | Liquiritigenin | G2    | 30.23                  |
| M10 | 39.64a   | C20H23O5N | Demethylation to carboxylic acid | 0.5 | Ononin     | G1    | 9.48                   |
| M11 | 40.63a   | C20H23O5N | Hydrolylation     | 0.3         | Ouvrardiantine A | G1    | 16.77                  |
| M12 | 41.18    | C20H23O11 | Loss of O         | 4.9         | Liquiritin apioside isomer | G1 | 34.68                  |
|     |          |         | Loss of O         | 0.8         | Liquiritin apioside     | | |
|     |          |         | Loss of O         | 0.8         | Isoliquiritin apioside isomer | | |
| M13 | 42.06b   | C20H23O5N | Alcohol to ketone | −0.1        | Guan fu base F | G1    | 8.78                   |
|     |          |         | Loss of O         | 0.8         | Guan fu base G     | | |
| M14 | 42.20b   | C20H23O5N | Hydrolylation     | 0.8         | Liquiritigenin     | G1    | 4.98                   |
| M15 | 42.20b   | C20H23O11 | Hydroxylation + glucuronide conjugation | 0.2 | Liquiritigenin | G1    | 43.90                  |
|     |          |         | Demethylation + 2 × hydroxylation | | Ononin | | |
| M16 | 42.55a   | C20H23O11 | 2 × hydroxylation | 0.4         | Ononin     | G1    | 83.02                  |
| M17 | 42.56a   | C20H23O5N | Hydroxylation + methylation | 0.7 | Liquiritigenin | G1    | 27.74                  |
| M18 | 43.27a   | C20H23O5N | Demethylation     | 0.8         | Ouvrardiantine A | G1 | 11.40                  |
|     |          |         | Methylation       | 0.8         | Guan fu base G     | | |
|     |          |         | Acetylation       | 0.8         | Racemulosine A     | | |
| M19 | 44.36    | C20H23O5N | Sulfate conjugation | 1.0 | Liquiritigenin | G2    | 21.13                  |
| M20 | 47.13a   | C20H23O10 | Hydroxylation + desaturation | 0.5 | Ononin     | G1    | 13.79                  |
| M21 | 49.07a   | C20H23O10 | Hydroxylation + desaturation | 0.7 | Liquiritin isomer | G1 | 80.87                  |
|     |          |         | Hydroxylation + desaturation |         | Liquiritin isomer | | |
|     |          |         | Hydroxylation + desaturation |         | Isoliquiritin isomer | | |
|     |          |         | Glucuronide conjugation | | Liquiritigenin | | |
| M22 | 50.71a   | C20H23O5N | Alcohol to ketone | 0.4         | Liquiritigenin | G1    | 8.22                   |
| M23 | 50.79    | C20H23O5N | Acetylation       | 0.8         | Liquiritigenin     | G2    | 7.72                   |
| M24 | 54.90g   | C20H23O5N | Acetylation       | 4.3         | Glucoisoliquiritin apioside | G2 | 33.37                  |
| M25 | 55.67p   | C20H23O5N | Hydroxylation + glucuronide conjugation | 4.7 | Guan fu base Y | G1 | 7.54                   |
| M26 | 55.95    | C20H23O10 | hydroxylation     | −0.8        | Ononin     | G1    | 22.37                  |
| M27 | 56.00a   | C20H23O5N | Methylation       | 0.6         | Liquiritigenin     | G1    | 10.21                  |
| M28 | 56.42    | C20H23O5N | Glucuronide conjugation | −0.9 | Glycohyodeoxycholic acid | G2 | 15.58                  |

Continued
[M − H]−, [2M − H]−, [M + HCOOH − H]− are the major high-intensity ions in the negative mode. These ions are conducive to confirming their molecular weights and molecular formulae. In ESI-MS spectra, the main fragment ions of alkaloids are originated from the neutral loss of 18 Da, 32 Da, and 60 Da or their superposition in accordance to loss of a molecule of water, methanol, acetic acid or a combination of them, respectively. The relative abundance of these fragments not only depend on the nature but also is sensitive to the position of the substituents on the rings. Flavonoid glycosides in ESI-MS spectra, were characterized by loss of 162 Da, 132 Da or 146 Da on account of the elimination of hexose, pentose or rhamnose, respectively. Compared with alkaloids and flavonoids, triterpenoids have relatively higher molecular weight and smaller polarity. Triterpenoids, including ginsenosides and licorice saponin compounds, come mainly from *Ginseng radix et rhizoma* and *Glycyrrhizae radix et rhizome praeparata cum melle*. Ginsenosides generally yield singly charged ions [M − H]− and [M + HCOOH − H]− in negative ion mode, availng the determination of molecular formula. Characteristic ions [aglycone − H]− at m/z 475 or 459 after loss of all glycosidic units can be observed in the MS/MS spectra, which could be used to discriminate propanoanaxtriol from propanoaxadiol type ginsenosides. In addition, MS/MS spectra suggested the amount and species of sugar moieties and a mass difference of 162, 132 or 146 indicated the presence of a glucose, pentose or rhamnose moiety, respectively. Licorice saponin compounds are the major active compounds in *Glycyrrhizae radix et rhizome praeparata cum melle* and exhibit high abundances of quasi-molecular ions [M − H]−. For licorice saponin compounds, neutral loss of 176 Da, 162 Da or 146 Da from [M − H]− also heralds that the relevant compounds possess a glucuronic acid (GluA) unit, a glucose unit or a rhamnose (Rham) unit. Furthermore, predominant saccharide chain ions, such as m/z 351, 497 or 339, are remarkably characteristics in licorice saponin compounds, signifying the relevant compounds with a GluA-GluA chain, a GluA-GluA-Rham chain or a GluA-Rham chain.

In general, many nature products from plants have similar structures or skeletons. Like flavonoids or triterpenoids, a number of them shared a common basic skeleton with only minor differences on substituents. Polarity and molecular weight determines their retention time in LC. In different MS spectra, the fragments information from multiage MS of every peak can help determine whether two peaks

| No. | RT (min) | Formula | Metabolic pathway | Error (ppm) | Prototype | Group | Fold change of intensity |
|-----|---------|---------|------------------|------------|-----------|-------|-------------------------|
| M29 | 65.44   | C26H41O4N | Alcohols dehydration | 0.6        | Glycochenodeoxycholic acid | G2   | 11.65                   |
|     |         |         |                   |            | Glycochenodeoxycholic acid |       |                         |
| M30 | 69.09   | C26H41O5N | Alcohol to ketone | 0.8        | Glycochenodeoxycholic acid | G1   | 8.82                    |
|     |         |         |                   |            | Glycochenodeoxycholic acid |       |                         |
| M31 | 70.91   | C26H41O3N | Glycine conjugation | 2.9        | Yunganoside G1 | G2 | 8.21                     |
|     |         |         |                   |            | Yunganoside G1 |       |                         |
| M32 | 72.90   | C26H41O10 | Hydroxylation + glucuronide conjugation | −2.2 | 6-Shogaol | G2 | 7.92                     |
|     |         |         |                   |            | 6-Shogaol |       |                         |
| M33 | 74.64   | C26H41O3N | Hydroxylation + glucuronide conjugation | 0.4 | Coldephnine | G2 | 11.76                   |
|     |         |         |                   |            | Coldephnine |       |                         |
| M34 | 75.56   | C26H41O3N | Alcohols dehydration | −0.9 | Taurohyodeoxycholic acid | G1 | 17.01                   |
|     |         |         |                   |            | Taurohyodeoxycholic acid |       |                         |
| M35 | 76.37   | C26H41O3N | Acetylation | −0.6 | Glycochenodeoxycholic acid | G2 | 12.57                   |
|     |         |         |                   |            | Glycochenodeoxycholic acid |       |                         |
| M36 | 80.29   | C26H41O3N | Hydrolysis | −3.3 | Glycyrrhizic acid aglycone isomer | G2 | 5.04                     |
|     |         |         |                   |            | Glycyrrhizic acid aglycone isomer |       |                         |
| M37 | 80.43   | C26H41O3N | Hydroxylation + methylation | −0.8 | Uralenolide | G2 | 6.87                     |
|     |         |         |                   |            | Uralenolide |       |                         |
| M38 | 81.14   | C26H41O3N | Hydroxylation + methylation | 0.7 | Glycyrrhizic acid aglycone isomer | G2 | 6.68                     |
|     |         |         |                   |            | Glycyrrhizic acid aglycone isomer |       |                         |
| M39 | 83.58   | C26H41O3N | Methylation | −2.2 | Glycyrrhizic acid aglycone isomer | G2 | 12.38                   |
|     |         |         |                   |            | Glycyrrhizic acid aglycone isomer |       |                         |

Table 3. Metabolic profiling of Si-Ni decoction analogous formulae in rat plasma. aThe retention time of FSIN were used as a standard one; the retention time of those peaks that were not appeared in FSIN were from the ZSIN. bThe retention time in positive base peak of high resolution mass spectrum.
that ginsenoside Rb1 protects against myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury partially through regulating Ca2+. Namely, some of them are orally active through penetrating GI lumen to enter the systemic circulation 47. Thus, it is very meaningful to confirm which compounds were absorbed into blood by rats after oral administration of SNs. This effort provides useful information to screen active ingredients and imply the different action mechanisms among analogous formulae. Several prototype compounds, including 18 alkaloids, 5 flavonoids, 5 ginsenosides, 21 triterpenoids and 6 bile acids from aqueous extract absorbed into the circulatory system were extracted. Alkaloids from Aconiti lateralis radix were the major group of compounds for these five SNs in vivo, which are known for many pharmacological effects, such as cardiotoxic effect, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant 28–30. Studies showed that alkaloids have protective effect on cardiovascular system, mainly reflecting in improving heart function, stabilizing blood pressure, antagonizing coagulation and thrombosis and easing ischemia reperfusion injury 28–30. Flavonoids and triterpenoids are bioactive compounds presented in Glycyrrhiza radix et rhizoma praeparata cum meli et exhibit diverse biological effects 31–35. Both experimental and clinical studies suggested that they possess cardioprotective, antioxidative, hepatoprotective properties 36. Bile acids were unique components in ZSIN, which come from Suis fellis pulvis, one of the most commonly used traditional medicines in China 37,38. Modern pharmacological research suggested that they displayed diverse biological activities, such as anti-inflammatory effect, treating gallstones, hypolipidemic effect 39,40. For example, taurohyodeoxycholic acid has protective effect on ulcerative colitis in mice, which might be partially due to suppressing pro-inflammatory mediators TNF-α and IL-6 41. Previous work showed that absorbed taurocholic acid could exert a good anti-adjuvant arthritis activity in rats by directly inhibiting the activity of NF-κB and reducing expression of diverse inflammatory and immune response mediators 42. Inflammation is known to an important pathophysiological factor in mediating and exacerbating cardiovascular diseases 43,44 and abundant experimental data proved that anti-inflammatory action plays an important role in the prevention and treatment of cardiovascular diseases 44–46. Therefore, bile acids may exhibit cardiovascular protection effects because of their anti-inflammatory activity and could be important active components responsible for therapeutic effect of ZSIN. Ginsenosides, the major constituents of Ginseng radix et rhizoma, were only present in RSIN and FSIN. Depending on physico-chemical properties, some of them are orally active through penetrating GI lumen to enter the systemic circulation 47. Many researches showed that Ginseng radix et rhizoma and ginsenosides exerted satisfactory therapeutic effects, especially in cardiovascular disorders and neurodegenerative disorders 48–50. Studies have shown that ginsenoside Rb1 protects against myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury partially through regulating the activation of PI3K-Akt signaling pathway and attenuation of cardiac hypertrophy by inhibiting Ca2+→CaN signal transduction pathway 51,52. Literature survey revealed that diverse biological processes could be modulated by these compounds identified in vivo that shed some light on mechanisms of actions of SNs in treating cardiovascular diseases. In addition to the prototype compounds, thirty-nine metabolites from biotransformation were screened. The bioactive metabolites are also important for the explanation of pharmacological efficacies of TCM formulae. To fully understand the fundamental differences between SNs from different levels, there is much work need to be done, e.g., to quantify absorbed compounds and investigate how absorbed compounds regulate biological processes. In this study, multistage MS experiments and HR-MS experiments were performed to present chemical profiling differentiation of five SNs in vitro and in vivo. It is significant to characterize and compare the chemical constituents of a category of analogous formulae simultaneously because it will lay a solid foundation for further comparative study of their pharmacological efficacies and molecular mechanisms. Besides, comparative analysis on analogous formulae would be more efficient and effective compared with study on one single TCM formula. As shown in Table 2, 83 compounds including alkaloids, flavonoids, ginsenosides, bile acids and triterpenoids have been separated and identified by reference compounds comparison through several sources, including molecular formulae matching, characteristic fragmentation information, UV spectra as well as the relevant literature. A total of 94 compounds including 55 prototype compounds and 39 metabolites were detected in rat plasma after oral administration of formulae, which would most likely be responsible for pharmacological effects of these formulae. In summary, differential analyses of constituents in five SNs in vitro and in vivo were carried out, which was helpful for further comparative study of pharmacological and clinical studies of SNs.

Methods

Chemicals and materials. All herbs were purchased from Zhejiang Chinese Medical University medical pieces (Hangzhou, China). Suis fellis pulvis was of analytical grade and was obtained from YIJII fundamental industrial Co., LTD. (Shanghai, China). All materials were identified by Prof. Liurong Chen. Authentic standards of ginsenoside Rg1, ginsenoside Re, ginsenoside Rf, ginsenoside Rb2, ginsenoside R, ginsenoside Rb1, liquiritin, glycyrrhizic acid, isoliquiritin apioide, liquiritin apioide were purchased from Shanghai Winherb Medical Technology (Shanghai, China). Liquiritigenin was obtained from Jincefenxi Technology (Tianjin, China). Schaftoside and isoschaftoside were supplied by Chengdu
Must Biotechnology (Chengdu, China) and Zhongxin Innova Laboratories (Tianjin, China), respectively. Purity of all the reference substances was more than 98%.

HPLC grade acetonitrile and methanol were from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). HPLC grade formic acid was from ROE Scientific Inc. (Newark, DE, USA). All other reagents were of analytical grade.

Preparation of standard solutions and samples. Stock solutions of the 13 reference substances were prepared by dissolving them in 25% (v/v) methanol through ultrasound and centrifuging at 10000rpm for 10 min.

Analogous formulae include SIN, FSIN, RSIN, TSIN and ZSIN. The compositions of these five formulae were shown in Table 1. Decoctions were prepared according to ancient Zhang Zhongjing's herbal formulae. The herbal pieces of each decoction were immersed in pure water (six times of total weight of dried herbs) for overnight and then heated under reflux extracted twice for 1.5 hours with six and four times of total weight of dried herbs, respectively. Extracted solutions were combined after filtered through two layers of gauze. The filtrate was evaporated to semi-dryness under reduced pressure with a rotary evaporator at 70 °C and was totally dried by vacuum freeze-drying. Samples for HPLC-MS analysis were prepared in water at the concentrations of 5 mg/mL. The samples were centrifuged at 10000rpm for 10 min before analysis.

High performance liquid chromatography. A Zorbax Eclipse XDB-C18 column (5 μm, 4.6 × 250 mm; Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) was used for all the chromatographic analysis. The mobile phase consisted of 0.05% formic acid-water (v/v) (A) and 0.05% formic acid-acetonitrile (B), using a gradient elution of 5–30% B at 0–50 min, 30–50% B at 50–75 min, 50–95% B at 75–80 min and 95% B at 80–90 min. The sample volume injected was set at 20 μL. The column temperature was set at 30 °C. The flow rate was 0.6 mL/min and the photodiode array (PDA) detection range was from 190 to 400 nm.

Mass spectrometry. The multistage MS data were acquired on an Agilent 1100 series HPLC system (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany) coupled with an LCQ Deca XPplus ion trap mass spectrometer (IT-MS) (Thermo Finnigan, San Jose, CA, USA) via a commercial ESI interface. The instrument settings of multistage MS experiments were as follows: the scan mass range was set at m/z 100–1500 in both positive and negative ion mode with +4.00 kV and −3.00 kV source voltage, respectively. The capillary temperature was set at 350 °C, with sheath gas N2 pressure 60arb and auxiliary gas N2 pressure 20arb.

The HR-MS analyses were performed with Waters UPLC (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA) equipped with an AB SCIEX Triple TOF 5600plus system (AB SCIEX, Framingham, MA, USA). The TOF-MS analysis was performed using in both positive and negative ion mode with m/z 100–1500. The conditions of ESI source were as follows: CUR, 30 psi; GS1, 50 psi; GS2, 50 psi; ISVF, −4.5 kV or +5.5 kV; TEM, 550 °C for negative ion mode and 600 °C for positive ion mode. Injection volume for aqueous extracts was set at 10 μL. A margin of error up to ±5 ppm was allowed. Both IT-MS and HR-MS data were acquired during 5–90 min with the same liquid chromatography conditions described above.

HPLC-MS chemical profiling method validation. The precision of the HPLC-MS method was determined by the intra- and inter-day variations and expressed as percentage relative standard deviation (RSD) of replicate. In practical terms, one sample was analyzed for six times within a day to test the intra-day precision, while one sample was tested in duplicates for consecutive three days to evaluate the inter-day precision. To evaluate the repeatability, six replicates of the same sample were prepared and analyzed. The stability was assessed by analyzing the same sample storing at room temperature at 0, 2, 4, 8, 16 and 24 h. The RSDs of replicate were applied to evaluating the repeatability and stability.

Animal experiments. Twenty-five male Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats were purchased from Shanghai SLAC laboratory Animal Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). The rats were acclimated for a week with temperature of 24 ± 2 °C, humidity of 55 ± 15%, and 12 h dark-light cycle. Before the experiments, all rats were fasted overnight with free access to water. Twenty-five male SD rats, weighing between 200 ± 10 g, were randomly separated into 5 groups and administered once with 8 times daily dosage of aqueous extracts via gastric gavage (the detailed information of oral single-dose of SNs in Table 4 and 3.2 mL per 100 g body weight). About 1 mL blood samples were collected from eyes in heparinized tubes immediately before dosing and at 60 min after dosing, respectively. The plasma was separated after blood samples centrifuging at 4000 rpm for 15 min and was stored at −80 °C for later analysis.

All procedures about animal care and experiments were performed in accordance with protocols which were approved by the Animal Ethic Review Committees of Zhejiang University.

Preparation of plasma samples. To eliminate the individual variability among the animals, plasma samples of post-dosed rats in the same group were mixed together as one dosed sample for each formula and twenty-five plasma samples from pre-dosed rats were combined into one blank sample. Each 0.5 mL aliquot of plasma sample was mixed with 1 mL of acetonitrile and vortexes for protein precipitation. After centrifugation at 10000 rpm for 10 min, the supernatant was transferred and concentrated to dryness at 35 °C. The dried residue was then re-dissolved in 100 μL of methanol by ultrasound. After centrifugation...
again at 10000 rpm for 10 min, the supernatant was transferred to auto-sampler vial. Injection-volumes were 30 μL and 7.5 μL for HPLC-MS and UPLC-HRMS analysis, respectively.

**Methods of data processing.** A TCM potential target database (TCM-PTD, http://tcm.zju.edu.cn/ptd) developed internally was regarded as an in-house database to identify chemical component in SNs. A total of 12,629 ingredients related to 490 traditional Chinese medicinal materials covering Chinese Pharmacopeia 2010 Edition (Volume I) were collected in current TCM-PTD (Version 1.0). All respects of traditional Chinese medicinal materials including name, ingredient, molecular formula, molecular weight and 2D structure were collected. After comparing with the reference compounds, other molecular formulae were organized to match the in-house database. Those compounds without match in the TCM-PTD were retrieved on the REAXYS database (https://www.reaxys.com/), which covers fields of chemical research in academia and industry. Possible hits from the REAXYS database were then narrowed by filtering with origin of the compounds to natural product and/or substructure. All compounds were confirmed by multistage MS data, relevant literature and/or UV spectra.

The prototype compounds absorbed into the circulatory system were detected by comparisons among the chromatograms of the blank plasma, dosed plasma, and aqueous extract in both two modes. PeakView™ software v1.2 with the XIC Manager add-in was used for finding potential metabolites by HRMS data comparative analysis between dosed-sample and blank-sample. This approach covers 4 steps. Firstly, the possible metabolic pathways of a parent compound were listed (shown in Table 5). Second, Theoretical metabolites of each compound in SNs were generated quickly by Python script program based on the mainly metabolic pathways. Thirdly, potential metabolites were screened with high confidence based on accurate mass molecular ion, isotopic pattern, nitrogen rule and the ratio of the

| Si-Ni Decoction Analogous Formulae | Daily Dosage (g/kg) | Oral Single-dose (g/kg) |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| SIN                               | 7.2                 | 57.3                    |
| RSIN                              | 8.6                 | 68.5                    |
| TSIN                              | 9.7                 | 77.8                    |
| ZSIN                              | 10.6                | 85.0                    |
| FSIN                              | 7.1                 | 56.7                    |

Table 4. The oral single-dose of SNs treated to rats for analysis in vivo. *Daily dosage means the dosage for per kilogram body weight rat every day. It was translated from a human equivalent dose taking into account body weight and body surface area. *Oral single-dose is the actual dosage in our animal experiment. Based on the maximal oral dosage and the solubility of SNs, the oral single-dose of SNs was 3.2 mL per 100 g body weight (i.e. 8 times daily dosage).

| Metabolic pathway      | Formula change of the parent compound | Metabolic pathway      | Formula change of the parent compound |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Decarboxylation        | −COOH                                 | Demethylation + hydroxylation | −CH₂ + O                              |
| Hydrolysis             | +H₂O                                  | Deethylation           | −C₂H₄                                 |
| Hydroxylation          | +O                                    | Isopropyl dealkylation  | −C₃H₆                                 |
| Demethylation + 2 × hydroxylation | −CH₂ + O₂                         | Methylation            | +CH₂                                  |
| Reduction              | +H₂                                   | Acetylation            | +C₂H₄O                                |
| Hydroxylation + desaturation | +O→H₂                         | S-cysteine conjugation | +C₂H₆NO₅                               |
| Alcohol to ketone      | −H₂                                   | Glycine conjugation    | +C₂H₆NO                               |
| Demethylation          | −CH₂                                  | Taurine conjugation    | +C₂H₆NO₅                               |
| Hydroxymethylene loss  | −CH₂O                                 | Cysteine conjugation   | +C₂H₆NOS                               |
| Loss of O              | −O                                    | Glucuronide conjugation| +C₂H₆O₃                                 |
| 2 × hydroxylation      | +O₂                                   | Hydroxylation + glucuronide conjugation | +C₂H₆O₅                              |
| Demethylation to carboxylic acid | −CH₂ + CO₂                     | Sulfate conjugation    | +SO₃                                  |
| Alcohols dehydration   | −H₂O                                 | Hydroxylation + sulfation | +SO₄                                 |
| Hydroxylation + methylation | +O + CH₂                       | Decarboxylation + glucuronidation | −CO + C₂H₆O₅                             |

Table 5. The mainly metabolism pathway of xenobiotics and the formula change relative to the parent compound.
dosed-sample intensity to the blank-sample intensity (fold change of intensity). Forth, metabolites were confirmed through analyzing their MS² data and comparing with the prototype.

References

1. Cho, W. C. Evidence-based anticancer materia medica. (Springer, 2011).
2. Jia, W. et al. The rediscovery of ancient Chinese herbal formulas. Phytother. Res. 18, 681–686 (2004).
3. Wu, H. F. et al. Recent developments in qualitative and quantitative analysis of phytochemical constituents and their metabolites using liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry. J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 72, 267–291 (2013).
4. Ipsen, A., Wanti, E. J. & Ebels, T. M. Construction of confidence regions for isotopic abundance patterns in LC/MS data sets for rigorous determination of molecular formulas. Anal. Chem. 82, 7319–7328 (2010).
5. Wang, S., Chen, P., Xu, Y., Li, X. & Fan, X. Characterization of the c-13 constituents in Da-Huang-Gan-Cao-Tang by liquid chromatography coupled with quadrupole-time-of-flight tandem mass spectrometry and liquid chromatography coupled with ion trap mass spectrometry. Journal of separation science 37, 1748–1761, doi: 10.1002/jssc.201400061 (2014).
6. Lv, Y. et al. Characterization of the constituents in rat biological fluids after oral administration of Fufang Danshen tablets by ultra-performance liquid chromatography/quadrupole-time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Journal of pharmaceutical and biomedical analysis 52, 155–159, doi: 10.1016/j.jpba.2009.12.013 (2010).
7. Tan, Y. et al. HPLC-DAD-Q-TOF/MS/MS analysis and HPLC quantitation of chemical constituents in traditional Chinese medicinal formula Ge-Gen Decoction. Journal of pharmaceutical and biomedical analysis 80, 192–202, doi: 10.1016/j.jpba.2013.03.008 (2013).
8. Zhang, H.-Y. et al. Screening and identification of multi-component in Qinkailing injection using combination of liquid chromatography/time-of-flight mass spectrometry and liquid chromatography/ion trap mass spectrometry. Analytica chimica acta 577, 190–200 (2006).
9. Liu, Q. & Jiang, J.-G. Chemical metabolism of medicinal compounds from natural botanicals. Current medicinal chemistry 19, 1682–1705 (2012).
10. Wang, Q. et al. Identification and pharmacokinetics of multiple constituents in rat plasma after oral administration of Yinchenhufu decoction. J. Ethnopharmacol. 153, 714–724 (2014).
11. Wang, X. J. et al. Pharmacokinetics screening for multi-components absorbed in the rat plasma after oral administration traditional Chinese medicine formula Yin-Chen-Ho-Tang by ultra performance liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization/quadrupole-time-of-flight mass spectrometry combined with pattern recognition methods. Analyst 136, 5068–5076 (2011).
12. Tan, G. Q. et al. Metabonomic profiles delineate the effect of traditional Chinese medicine Sini decoction on myocardial infarction in rats. PLoS One 7, e34157 (2012).
13. Su, H. F., Zou, R., Liu, Y. & Li, X. Study the efficacy of SiNi Jia RenShen broth treatment in patients with silent myocardial ischemia (SMI) after subacute myocardial infarction. Chinese Archives of Traditional Chinese Medicine 23, 1415–1417 (2004).
14. Liu, J. Q. et al. Anti-Inflammatory Effects of the Chinese Herbal Formula Sini Tang in Myocardial Infarction Rats. Evid. Based Complement. Alternat. Med. 2014, 203978 (2014).
15. Jin, M. H., Qin, J. & Wu, W. K. Clinical Study on “Sini” Decoction in Treating Stenocardia for Coronary Heart Disease. Zhong Yao Yao Cai, 1, 030 (2003).
16. Tan, G. G. et al. Characterization of constituents in Sini decoction and rat plasma by high-performance liquid chromatography with diode array detection coupled to time-of-flight mass spectrometry: Biomed. Chromatogr. 25, 913–924 (2011).
17. Xing, S. Luo, K. D., Chen, W. X., Fan, X. H. & Cheng, X. A. pre-classification strategy for identification of compounds in traditional Chinese medicine analogus formulations by high-performance liquid chromatography–Mass spectrometry. J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 92, 82–89 (2014).
18. Wang, S. et al. Fragment ion diagnostic strategies for the comprehensive identification of chemical profile of Gui-Zhi-Tang by integrating high-resolution MS, multiple-stage MS and UV information. Journal of pharmaceutical and biomedical analysis 98, 22–35 (2014).
19. Zheng, C. et al. Diagnostic fragment-ion-based extension strategy for rapid screening and identification of seral components of homologous families contained in traditional Chinese medicine prescription using high-resolution LC-ESI-TOF/MS: Shengmai injection as an example. Journal of Mass Spectrometry 44, 230–244 (2009).
20. Chen, Y., Koelliker, S., Oehme, M. & Katz, A. Isolation of Diterpenoid Alkaloids from Herb and Flowers of Aconitum napellus ssp. v ulgare and Electrospray Ion Trap Multiple MS Study of These Alkaloids. J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 73, 155-159, doi: 10.1016/j.jpba.2009.12.013 (2010).
21. Tan, G. G. et al. Detection and identification of diterpenoid alkaloids, isoflavonoids and saponins in Qifu decoction and rat plasma after oral administration of Shengmaisan formula by UPLC-MS. Pharmacokinetic study of schisandrin, schisandrol B, schisantherin A, deoxyschisandrin, and schisandrin B in rat plasma after oral administration of Shengmaisan formula by UPLC-MS. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 57, 27–32 (2009).
22. Liu, S. S., Liu, I. M. & Lai, M. C. The plasma glucose lowering action of Hei-Shug-Pian, the fire-processed product of the root of Aconitum (Aconitum carmichaelii), in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. J. Ethnopharmacol. 126, 18–30 (2009).
23. Shaheen, F. et al. Alkaloids of Aconitum laeve and their anti-inflammatory, antioxidiant and tyrosinase inhibition activities. Phytochemistry. 66, 935–940 (2005).
24. Zhao, D., Wang, J., Cui, Y. & Wu, X. Pharmacological effects of Chinese herb aconite (fuji) on cardiovascular system. Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine 32, 308–313 (2012).
25. Huang, H., Zhu, B. & Huang, B. Effect of aconite decoction on BNP, IL-6 levels in chronic congestive heart failure rats model. Shan Xi Zhong Yi 30, 745–746 (1999).
26. Niu, C., Zhang, T., Xu, H. & Qin, X. Research of vasodilation effect of aconite decoction on the rabbit aorta in vitro. Zhong Yao Yao Cai 15, 23–25 (2004).
27. Pyo, M. K. et al. Effects of higenamine and its 1-naphthyl analogs, YS-49 and YS-51, on platelet TxA2 < sub > 2 </ sub > synthesis and aggregation. Thrombosis research 120, 81–86 (2007).
28. Wang, Y. C. & Yang, Y. S. Simultaneous quantification of flavonoids and triterpenoids in licorice using HPLC. J. Chromatogr. B. 850, 392–399 (2007).
29. Jayaprakasam, B. et al. Licorice flavonoids inhibit eotaxin-1 secretion by human fetal lung fibroblasts in vitro. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 57, 820–825 (2009).
30. Yang, N. et al. Glycyrrhiza uralensis Flavonoids Present in Anti-Asthma Formula, ASHMITM, Inhibit Memory Th2 Responses in Vitro and in Vivo. Phytother. Res. 27, 1381–1391 (2013).
31. Honda, H. et al. Glycyrrhizin and isoliquiritigenin suppress the LPS sensor Toll-like receptor 4/MD-2 complex signaling in a different manner. J. Leukoc. Biol. 91, 967–976 (2012).
32. Fukai, T. et al. Anti-Helicobacter pylori flavonoids from licorice extract. Life sciences 71, 1449–1463 (2002).
36. Asl, M. N. & Hosseinzadeh, H. Review of pharmacological effects of Glycyrrhiza sp. and its bioactive compounds. *Phytotherapy Research* **22**, 709–724 (2008).
37. Zhang, B. K. & Fang, L. Q. Modern Clinical Application of Pulvis Fellis Suis. *Chinese Traditional Patent Medicine* **36**, 376–379 (2014).
38. He, J. *et al.* Anti-inflammatory effects of Pulvis Fellis Suis extract in mice with ulcerative colitis. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **138**, 53–59 (2011).
39. He, J. *et al.* Protective effect of taurohyodeoxycholic acid from Pulvis Fellis Suis on trinitrobenzenesulfonic acid induced ulcerative colitis in mice. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **670**, 229–235 (2011).
40. Sciutto, A. M., Colombo, P. & Germogli, R. The new biliary acid taurohyodeoxycholic acid in the treatment of gallstones. *Acta Toxicologica et Therapeutica* **17**, 323–338 (1996).
41. Liu, M. *et al.* Effects of taurochenodeoxycholic acid on adjuvant arthritis in rats. *International Immunopharmacology* **11**, 2150–2158 (2011).
42. Epstein, F. H. & Ross, R. Atherosclerosis—an inflammatory disease. *New England journal of medicine* **340**, 115–126 (1999).
43. Mathieu, P., Lemieux, I. & Despres, J. Obesity, inflammation, and cardiovascular risk, *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics* **87**, 407–416 (2010).
44. Gao, X.-M. *et al.* Deletion of macrophage migration inhibitory factor protects the heart from severe ischemia–reperfusion injury: A predominant role of anti-inflammation. *Journal of molecular and cellular cardiology* **50**, 991–999 (2011).
45. Guo, J., Lin, G.-s., Bao, C.-y., Hu, Z.-m. & Hu, M.-y. Anti-inflammation role for mesenchymal stem cells transplantation in myocardial infarction. *Inflammation* **30**, 97–104 (2007).
46. Libby, P. & Crea, F. Clinical implications of inflammation for cardiovascular primary prevention. *European heart journal* **31**, 777–783 (2010).
47. Yan, B. *et al.* Construction of the fingerprints of ginseng stem and leaf saponin reference substances and spiked plasma sample by LC-ESI/MS and its application to analyzing the compounds absorbed into blood after oral administration of ginseng stem and leaf saponin in rat. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* **30**, 1657–1662 (2007).
48. Qi, L. W., Wang, C. Z. & Yuan, C. S. Ginsenosides from American ginseng; chemical and pharmacological diversity. *Phytochemistry* **72**, 689–699 (2011).
49. Van Kampen, J. M., Baranowski, D. B., Shaw, C. A. & Kay, D. G. Panax ginseng is neuroprotective in a novel progressive model of Parkinson’s disease. *Exp. Gerontol.* **50**, 95–105 (2014).
50. Karmazyn, M., Moey, M. & Gan, X. T. Therapeutic potential of ginseng in the management of cardiovascular disorders. *Drugs* **71**, 1989–2008 (2011).
51. Wang, Z., Li, M., Wu, W.-k., Tan, H.-m. & Geng, D.-f. Ginsenoside Rb1 preconditioning protects against myocardial infarction after regional ischemia and reperfusion by activation of phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase signal transduction. *Cardiovascular Drugs and Therapy* **22**, 443–452 (2008).
52. Jiang, Q. S., Huang, X. N. & Jiang, X. Y. Inhibitory effect of ginsenoside Rb1 on calcineurin signal pathway in cardiomyocyte hypertrophy induced by prostaglandin F2alpha. *Acta pharmacologica sinica* **28**, 1149–1154 (2007).
53. Ni, S. *et al.* UPLC–QTOF/MS-based screening and identification of the constituents and their metabolites in rat plasma and urine after oral administration of Glechoma longituba extract. *Journal of Chromatography B* **878**, 2741–2750 (2010).

**Acknowledgments**

This work was financially supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (No. 2012CB518405), the Science and Technology Foundation of Zhejiang Province (No. 2014C33180) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 2014QNA7030).

**Author Contributions**

X.F. and N.A. conceived and designed the experiments, Q.C. performed the experiments, Q.C., S.X. and Z.L. analyzed the data and Q.C. and N.A. wrote the manuscript text. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

**Additional Information**

**Supplementary information** accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

**Competing financial interests:** The authors declare no competing financial interests.

**How to cite this article:** Chen, Q. *et al.* Chemical and Metabolic Profiling of Si-Ni Decoction Analogous Formulae by High performance Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 11638; doi: 10.1038/srep11638 (2015).