Reirradiation to the abdomen for gastrointestinal malignancies
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Abstract

Background: Reirradiation to the abdomen could potentially play a role in palliation of symptoms or local control in patients with gastrointestinal malignancies. Our goal was to retrospectively determine rates of toxicity, freedom from local progression and overall survival in gastrointestinal cancer patients treated with reirradiation to the abdomen.

Methods: Between November 2002 and September 2008, 13 patients with a prior history of abdominal radiotherapy (median dose 45 Gy) were treated with reirradiation for recurrent or metastatic gastrointestinal malignancies. The median interval between the two courses of radiotherapy was 26 months. Patients were treated with a hyperfractionated accelerated regimen, using 1.5 Gy fractions twice daily, with a median dose of 30 Gy (range 24-48 Gy). Concurrent chemotherapy was administered to 8 (62%) patients.

Results: The 1-year rate of freedom from local progression was 50%, and the median duration of freedom from local progression was 14 months. The 1-year rate of overall survival was 62%, and the median duration of overall survival was 14 months. One patient developed grade 3 acute toxicity (abdominal pain and gastrointestinal bleeding), requiring hospitalization during radiotherapy; subsequently, that patient experienced a grade 4 late toxicity (gastrointestinal bleeding). No other patients developed grade 3-4 acute or late toxicity or required hospitalization during radiotherapy.

Conclusion: Hyperfractionated accelerated reirradiation to the abdomen was well-tolerated with low rates of acute and late toxicity. Reirradiation could play a role in providing a limited duration of local control in gastrointestinal cancer patients with a history of prior abdominal radiotherapy.
Introduction
Multiple studies have demonstrated the safety and efficacy of reirradiation at various sites of the body, including head and neck, brain, breast, lung and pelvis [1-15]. Among gastrointestinal malignancies, many studies have shown the safety and efficacy of pelvic reirradiation for rectal cancer [11-15]. However, to the best of our knowledge, no studies to date have evaluated the safety and efficacy of reirradiation to the abdomen for gastrointestinal malignancies. Reirradiation to the abdomen could potentially play a role in palliation of symptoms or local control. Hence, the goal of this study was to retrospectively determine rates of toxicity, freedom from local progression and overall survival in gastrointestinal cancer patients treated with reirradiation to the abdomen.

Materials and methods
Between November 2002 and September 2008, 13 patients with gastrointestinal cancer and a history of prior abdominal radiotherapy underwent reirradiation, with a hyperfractionated accelerated approach, at the University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center. The hospital and radiotherapy records of these patients were reviewed. The M. D. Anderson Institutional Review Board approved this study.

Patient Characteristics
Patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. The median age at the time of retreatment was 56 years (range 37-80 years). The diagnosis was pancreatic adenocarcinoma in 3 patients, colon adenocarcinoma colon in 3 patients,

Table 1: Patient and Treatment Characteristics

| Characteristic                  | Median (Range) or Number of Patients (%) |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Age at Retreatment (years)      | 56 (37-80)                               |
| Gender                          |                                          |
| Male                            | 9 (69%)                                  |
| Female                          | 4 (31%)                                  |
| Pathology                       |                                          |
| Pancreatic adenocarcinoma       | 3 (23%)                                  |
| Colon carcinoma                 | 3 (23%)                                  |
| Cholangiocarcinoma              | 2 (15%)                                  |
| Others*                         | 5 (38%)                                  |
| Prior Radiotherapy Dose         |                                          |
| 30 Gy (10-12 fractions)         | 2 (15%)                                  |
| 35 Gy (14 fractions)            | 2 (15%)                                  |
| 45 Gy (25 fractions)            | 4 (31%)                                  |
| 50.4 Gy (28 fractions)          | 5 (38%)                                  |
| Retreatment Interval (months)   | 26 (5-83)                                |
| Retreatment Dose**              |                                          |
| 24 Gy                           | 1 (8%)                                   |
| 30 Gy                           | 6 (46%)                                  |
| 34.5 Gy                         | 1 (8%)                                   |
| 39 Gy                           | 4 (31%)                                  |
| 48 Gy                           | 1 (8%)                                   |
| Concurrent Chemotherapy         |                                          |
| Yes                             | 8 (62%)                                  |
| No                              | 5 (38%)                                  |
| Indication for Retreatment      |                                          |
| Palliation of Pain              | 5 (38%)                                  |
| Palliation of Bleeding          | 4 (31%)                                  |
| Definitive (Not candidate for other treatments) | 3 (23%)                                  |
| Consolidation after Chemotherapy| 1 (8%)                                   |

* Ampullary, gastric, duodenal, small bowel, and pancreatic neuroendocrine
** Retreatment was given in 1.5 Gy twice daily fractions
cholangiocarcinoma in 2 patients, and ampullary adenocarcinoma, gastric adenocarcinoma, duodenal adenocarcinoma, small bowel adenocarcinoma, and pancreatic neuroendocrine carcinoma, in 1 patient each.

Prior radiotherapy records were obtained and reviewed in all cases at the time of retreatment. The median dose of prior radiotherapy was 45 Gy (range 30-50.4 Gy). The prior radiotherapy dose was 30 Gy in 2.5-3 Gy fractions in 2 patients, 35 Gy in 2.5 Gy fractions in 2 patients, 45 Gy in 1.8 Gy fractions in 4 patients and 50.4 Gy in 1.8 Gy fractions in 5 patients. Prior radiation was given with a definite intent in 9 patients and for palliation in 4 patients. The median interval between the two courses of radiotherapy was 26 months (range 5-83 months).

At the time of reirradiation, 8 (62%) patients had recurrent disease and 5 (38%) patients had metastatic disease. Prior to reirradiation, patients had received a median of 2 (range 0-4) different regimens of chemotherapy, not including concurrent chemotherapy given with radiation. Reirradiation was administered for palliation of pain in 5 patients, palliation of bleeding in 4 patients, definitive treatment in 3 patients who were not candidates for other therapies, and consolidative treatment after chemotherapy in 1 patient.

**Treatment**

All patients underwent computed tomography (CT) simulation. Patient-specific information about reirradiation is shown in Table 2. Patients were treated with 150 cGy in 1.8 Gy fractions in 1 patient. Treatment in 3 patients who were not candidates for other therapies, and resection of the irradiated area. Radiation therapy was stopped early in 2 patients because of acute toxicity. Hence, the administered reirradiation dose was 24 Gy in 1 patient, 30 Gy in 6 patients, 34.5 Gy in 1 patient, 39 Gy in 4 patients and 48 Gy in 1 patient. The median administered dose of reirradiation was 30 Gy. In all cases, patients were reirradiated for recurrence or metastasis from the same primary tumor for which they were initially treated. The site of retreatment was para-aortic/paracaval nodes in 4 patients, pancreas in 3 patients, stomach in 2 patients, and the superior mesenteric region, duodenum, liver metastasis and abdominal wall mass, in 1 patient each. Among the 13 patients, the reirradiated region was completely within the previously treated volume in 9 patients, and the reirradiated region partially overlapped with the previously treated volume in 4 patients. Radiation therapy was delivered using intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) in 5 patients, 4-field conformal technique in 4 patients, wedge-pair in 2 patients, 5-field conformal technique in 1 patient, and two oblique fields in 1 patient. Reirradiation was administered to the gross tumor volume (GTV) with a 2-3 cm block margin for conformal plans. A margin of 1.5-2 cm was added to the GTV to form the planning target volume (PTV) for IMRT plans. Radiation therapy was delivered using 6-18 MV photons. In selected cases (N = 7), cumulative dose-volume histograms were obtained for the two courses of radiation therapy, with particular attention given to the cumulative doses to the spinal cord, kidneys, liver and bowel. Typical cumulative dose constraints included maximum dose to the spinal cord = 46 Gy, V50 for at least one kidney <33% and V30 for liver < 50%, although these could be exceeded at the discretion of the attending radiation oncologist, especially if there was a prolonged interval between the two courses of radiation therapy. Concurrent chemotherapy was administered to 8 (62%) patients, of whom 7 received capecitabine, and 1 received gemcitabine and erlotinib. None of the patients underwent surgical resection of the irradiated area.

**Follow-up**

Follow-up information was obtained from hospital records and radiation therapy department records. Follow-up information was also obtained from the M. D. Anderson Tumor Registry, which collects information on patients annually through letters, phone calls, and Bureau of Vital Statistics records. The median follow-up interval was 8 (range 3-26) months.

**Statistical Analysis**

Acute and late toxicity was graded using the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 3.0 [17]. Local progression was defined as any radiographic progression within the treated field. The rates of freedom from local progression and overall survival were estimated by Kaplan-Meier methods [18]. All time intervals were calculated from the date of completion of reirradiation.

**Results**

**Local Control and Survival**

Seven (54%) of the 13 patients developed local progression. The 1-year actuarial rate of freedom from local progression was 50% (Figure 1). The median duration of freedom from local progression was 14 months. There were 10 deaths (77%) among the 13 patients. The 1-year actuarial overall survival rate was 62% (Figure 2). The median duration of overall survival was 14 months.
Toxicity

Only 1 patient (8%) developed grade 3 acute toxicity (abdominal pain and bleeding from gastrojejunal anastomosis) during chemoradiation; this patient required hospitalization for 4 days and termination of radiation therapy before completion of the prescribed course. No other patient developed grade 3-4 acute toxicity or was hospitalized for acute toxicity. Only one patient developed grade 2 acute toxicity (duodenal ulceration and stricture); radiation therapy was stopped early in this patient.

Table 2: Patient-specific Retreatment Characteristics

| Patient No. | Retreatment Site | Tumor Size (cm)* | Retreatment Dose (Gy) | Retreatment Interval (months) | Retreatment DVH | Cumulative DVH |
|-------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| 1           | Duodenum         | 7 × 7 × 5        | 30                    | 42                          | Kidneys V 20 0%, Liver V 20 5%, Max. cord dose 15 Gy |               |
| 2           | Stomach          | 10 × 4 × 2       | 30                    | 29                          | Kidneys V 20 0%, 0%, Liver V 20 5%, Max. cord dose 20 Gy |               |
| 3           | Liver            | 5 × 5 × 6        | 48                    | 45                          | Kidneys V 20 0%, 0%, Liver V 20 25%, Max. cord dose 3 Gy | Kidneys V 20 0%, 0%, Liver V 20 58%, Max. cord dose 42 Gy |
| 4           | Stomach          | 10 × 9 × 10      | 30                    | 5                           | Kidneys V 20 0%, 2%, Max. cord dose 12 Gy |               |
| 5           | Superior mesenteric | 5 × 3 × 3         | 39                    | 25                          | Kidneys V 20 0%, 0%, Liver V 20 0%, Max. cord dose 32 Gy |               |
| 6           | Abdominal wall    | 7 × 3 × 10       | 30                    | 26                          | Max. cord dose 12 Gy |               |
| 7           | Para-aortic/caval | 4 × 3 × 3         | 39                    | 28                          | Kidneys V 20 7%, 21%, Max. cord dose 23 Gy | Kidneys V 20 22%, 32%, Max. cord dose 39 Gy |
| 8           | Pancreas          | 8 × 6 × 5        | 34.5                  | 22                          | Kidneys V 20 7%, 17%, Liver V 20 5%, Max. cord dose 27 Gy | Kidneys V 20 9%, 23%, Liver V 20 22%, Max. cord dose 43 Gy |
| 9           | Pancreas          | 6 × 6 × 6        | 39                    | 83                          | Kidney V 20 0%, Liver V 20 0%, Max. cord dose 12 Gy | Kidney V 20 0%, Liver V 20 12%, Max. cord dose 39 Gy |
| 10          | Para-aortic/caval | 9 × 10 × 17      | 30                    | 36                          | Max. cord dose 7 Gy |               |
| 11          | Para-aortic/caval | 9 × 6 × 8        | 30                    | 26                          | Kidneys V 20 0%, 0%, Liver V 20 20%, Max. cord dose 18 Gy | Kidneys V 20 14%, Liver V 20 72%, Max. cord dose 46 Gy |
| 12          | Pancreas          | 6 × 4 × 6        | 24                    | 12                          | Kidneys V 20 0%, 0%, Liver V 20 0%, Max. cord dose 17 Gy | Kidneys V 20 8%, 24%, Liver V 20 33%, Max. cord dose 46 Gy |
| 13          | Para-aortic/caval | 5 × 6 × 5        | 39                    | 11                          | Kidney V 20 5%, 8%, Liver V 20 0%, Max. cord dose 23 Gy | Kidney V 20 18%, 20%, Liver V 20 42%, Max. cord dose 38 Gy |

DVH: Dose volume histogram
Max.: Maximum
* Maximum lateral × anterioposterior × craniocaudal dimensions, respectively
to prevent progression of the ulcer. The remaining 11 patients completed their prescribed course of radiation therapy.

The patient with grade 3 acute toxicity subsequently developed grade 4 late toxicity (bleeding from the gastrojejunal anastomosis), two months after completion of reirradiation. The gastrojejunal anastomosis had received a cumulative maximum dose of 63 Gy in this patient. The patient was initially treated with endoscopic clips, epinephrine and coagulation, and then required a surgical revision of gastrojejunostomy. This patient had no subsequent episodes of gastrointestinal bleeding. No other patient developed grade 3-4 late toxicity.

Discussion
We have hereby reported the first study on reirradiation to the abdomen for gastrointestinal cancers. Patients treated with reirradiation had a limited overall survival, with a median survival of 14 months, which reflects the poor prognosis of patients with recurrent or metastatic abdominal malignancies. Reirradiation provided local control for a limited duration, with a median duration of freedom from local progression of 14 months. However, this duration of local control was clinically significant, taking into consideration the limited life expectancy in these patients. Reirradiation provided these patients durable local control that lasted for the majority of their remaining life without significant increase in morbidity.

Reirradiation was well-tolerated with only one patient experiencing grade 3-4 acute and late toxicity. In addition, one patient developed grade 2 acute toxicity. These toxicity events involved gastrointestinal bleeding or ulceration. Patients treated with reirradiation may have a higher risk of developing gastrointestinal bleeding and ulceration, compared to patients not exposed to prior radiation therapy. Hence, we need to be cognizant about the risk of bleeding and ulceration in patients treated with abdominal reirradiation, especially around the duodenum or jejunal anastomosis.

We used a hyperfractionated accelerated regimen to deliver radiation therapy, with 150 cGy fractions, given twice daily. We recently reported outcomes in 50 rectal cancer patients treated with pelvic reirradiation with an identical regimen, with a total dose of 30-39 Gy [16]. Pelvic reirradiation was well-tolerated, with grade 3 acute toxicity occurring in 4% and grade 3-4 late toxicity occurring in 26% of patients. The median duration of freedom from local progression was 21 months and the median overall survival was 26 months. Rectal cancer patients likely had superior outcomes because of more favorable tumor biology compared to abdominal cancers, and because many of the rectal cancer patients underwent surgical resection in addition to radiation therapy. Nevertheless, we have now demonstrated in two separate sites of the body that reirradiation can be safely administered using a hyperfractionated accelerated approach, with 150 cGy twice daily fractions.

This study had several limitations. The number of patients was small, and there was considerable heterogeneity in tumor type and site of reirradiation. Hence, it is difficult to draw firm conclusions regarding the local control and

Figure 1
Kaplan-Meier estimates of freedom from local progression in patients treated with abdominal reirradiation.

Figure 2
Kaplan-Meier estimates of overall survival in patients treated with abdominal reirradiation.
survival outcomes in this study. Moreover, since patients received systemic therapy in addition to radiation therapy, it is difficult to surmise how much radiation contributed to the overall outcomes. Toxicity rates were assessed based on a review of hospital and departmental records, and therefore, may have been underestimated. The median follow-up interval was relatively short, and any patients who achieve long-term survival after reirradiation could potentially have higher rates of late toxicity. In spite of these limitations, this study shows that abdominal reirradiation could be a potential treatment option in selected patients with gastrointestinal malignancies. Of note, only 13 patients were treated with this approach in a period of about 6 years at a large institution. Careful patient selection clearly plays an important role in determining who might benefit from this treatment.

In conclusion, our study showed that abdominal reirradiation was well-tolerated with low rates of acute and late toxicity. Abdominal reirradiation appeared to provide local control, albeit with a limited duration. We suggest that abdominal reirradiation could have many potential applications in selected patients with recurrent or metastatic gastrointestinal cancers. Reirradiation may help in palliation of symptoms, such as pain or bleeding. In patients with isolated areas of disease that are refractory to chemotherapy, reirradiation could help achieve local control. In patients that have a good response to chemotherapy, reirradiation could have a consolidative role. Further studies are warranted to evaluate the role of abdominal reirradiation in these settings. Further studies are also needed to confirm the safety of abdominal reirradiation.
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