THE NATURE OF STARBURSTS. III. THE SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF STAR FORMATION
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ABSTRACT

We map the spatial distribution of recent star formation over a few × 100 Myr timescales in 15 starburst dwarf galaxies using the location of young blue helium burning stars identified from optically resolved stellar populations in archival Hubble Space Telescope observations. By comparing the star formation histories from both the high surface brightness central regions and the diffuse outer regions, we measure the degree to which the star formation has been centrally concentrated during the galaxies’ starbursts, using three different metrics for the spatial concentration. We find that the galaxies span a full range in spatial concentration, from highly centralized to broadly distributed star formation. Since most starbursts have historically been identified by relatively short timescale star formation tracers (e.g., Hα emission), there could be a strong bias toward classifying only those galaxies with recent, centralized star formation as starbursts, while missing starbursts that are spatially distributed.
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1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. The Starburst Mode of Star Formation in Dwarf Galaxies

The term starburst refers to an intense, finite period of star formation (SF) in a galaxy (e.g., Gallagher 1993; Heckman 1997; Kennicutt et al. 2005). The increased rate of ongoing SF in a starburst has numerous observational signatures. The youngest, most massive stars produce significant enhanced emission at ultraviolet (UV), optical, and infrared wavelengths, which can be used to identify regions of significant, ongoing SF. However, if these stars are exclusively used in the analysis, their inherently short lifetimes limit identification of extended SF events. Indeed, a starburst can be both spatially and temporally larger than the present-day population of young, high-mass (M > 15 M☉) stars may suggest. For example, sites of significant, ongoing SF have been identified in numerous galaxies based on their Hα emission (e.g., Lee et al. 2009), but the timescale for Hα emission is ≳5–10 Myr. Thus, the presence of Hα can neither confirm nor rule out whether the SF has persisted on longer timescales or has been present in regions other than where the present-day massive stars are located. Moreover, star formation rates (SFRs) measured from Hα emission are prone both to stochastic effects from the low number of high-mass stars responsible for the emission and to increased uncertainties due to the emission being a secondary process of the photoionization of gas in low density media (i.e., not from the stars themselves; Lee et al. 2011). SFRs measured from UV emission are found to be more robust, as the UV flux is emitted directly from the stellar photospheres of more numerous, intermediate-mass (2 Mα ≲ M ≲ 15 Mα) B stars (Lee et al. 2011). UV emission has the added advantage of sampling a longer timescale than Hα emission (~100 Myr versus ~5–10 Myr) and has been shown to be an excellent tracer of SF in star-forming galaxies (Gil de Paz et al. 2007).

Compared to UV and Hα emission, optical imaging of resolved stellar populations can sample higher time resolutions and much longer timescales (depending on the photometric depth achieved by the observations). Using high-fidelity photometry of resolved stellar populations, the longer temporal baselines available at optical wavelengths can be used to reconstruct the history of SF over Gyr-based timescales (for a review, see Gallart et al. 2005, and references therein) providing a much broader perspective of the SF experienced in a host galaxy.

Among the many morphological types in which starbursts have been seen, dwarf galaxies offer valuable laboratories in which to study the starburst phenomenon. Dwarf galaxies have the advantage of being both the most numerous morphological galaxy type (i.e., providing a larger possible sample of local starbursts within a fixed volume), and having low metal content (i.e., and thus less internal extinction than higher metallicity systems simplifying the analysis of the star formation histories, SFHs). The solid-body rotation of dwarf galaxies (e.g., Skillman et al. 1988; Skillman 1996) prevents the mixing of the stellar populations on short timescales, which facilitates spatial analysis of the recent SF (e.g., Gieles et al. 2008; Bastian et al. 2009, 2011). Starbursts in dwarf galaxies are known to create outflows of chemically enriched material into the intergalactic medium (Martin et al. 2002; Summers et al. 2003; Hartwell et al. 2004). This outflow of gas from a starburst has been linked to both potentially playing a role in transforming dwarf irregular galaxies into dwarf spheroidal or dwarf elliptical systems (e.g., Marlowe et al. 1997; Mayer et al. 2001; van Zee et al. 2001; Pasetto et al. 2003) and in shaping the magnetic field of the host galaxy.
(e.g., NGC 1569; Kepley et al. 2010). Finally, dwarf galaxies have low stellar surface densities, which makes them easily resolved into stars, facilitating analysis of their color–magnitude diagrams (CMDs).

1.2. Spatially Centralized versus Distributed Starbursts

Historically, starbursts have been assumed to be centralized. This assumption is based on observations showing that recent SF is often found primarily in the center of a starburst galaxy’s optical disk. This effect is seen in different morphological types, including starburst spiral galaxies (e.g., Caldwell et al. 1999), irregular starburst dwarf galaxies, and blue compact dwarf galaxies (BCDs; a sub-class of starburst dwarf galaxies, e.g., Papaderos et al. 1996; van Zee et al. 1998; Salzer & Norton 1999). Theoretical simulations of starburst galaxies also often assume a central starburst (e.g., Norman & Scoville 1988; Mihos & Hernquist 1994; Mac Low & Ferrara 1999).

However, there is ample evidence that starbursts may not be a solely centralized phenomenon. For example, Meurer (2000) studied the U–B and B–I colors of star clusters and diffuse light in the starburst spiral galaxy NGC 3310 and found that 80% of the flux in the U band was emission from the diffuse SF throughout the optical disk of a galaxy and only 20% came from the centrally concentrated stellar clusters. A similar study reported both centrally and non-centrally concentrated SF in nearby BCDs based on the radial profiles of B − V colors (Hunter & Elmegreen 2006). Distributed starbursts have been reported in both low and high stellar density regions in individual galaxies based on resolved young stars (e.g., Annibali et al. 2003; Cannon et al. 2003). In addition, observations have been better fit by simulations with widespread, shock-induced bursting SF, rather than centrally concentrated, density-dependent SF (Chien & Barnes 2010). The metrics used to evaluate the concentration of SF in these heterogeneous studies vary, preventing a direct, uniform comparison of results. However, the mixed findings emphasize that one cannot assume that starbursts are solely a centralized phenomenon.

1.3. Re-examining the Starburst Paradigm

This paper is the third in a series studying starbursts in nearby dwarf galaxies. In the first paper, McQuinn et al.
Figure 2. Age distribution of stars in the blue (left panel) and red (right panel) HeB stellar regions as a function of magnitude for a simulated CMD matching the completeness of the data for NGC 784. There is a one-to-one correspondence between age and luminosity for the HeB stars until the HeB stars begin to mix with red clump or RGB stars at fainter magnitudes. This luminosity is a lower limit for unambiguously selecting HeB stars from other stellar populations in a CMD. For this galaxy, the limit corresponds to an age of $\sim 200$ Myr for BHeB stars and $\sim 150$ Myr for the RHeB stars. The limit is unique for each system and dependent upon photometric uncertainties, crowding, metallicity, and the SFH of the host galaxy.

(2010a, hereafter Paper I), we derived the SFHs of 20 galaxies by matching the optically resolved stellar populations of the galaxies to synthetic CMDs. These individual SFHs allow us to define a starburst galaxy as a system with unusually high levels of SF compared to its own historical average (Kennicutt 1998). This perspective widens the definition to include lower-intensity starbursts that have neither the immediate extreme observational signatures of the youngest most massive stars nor the large super-star clusters/strong emission produced by high absolute SFRs. Using this broader definition, four galaxies in the sample were newly identified as recent starbursts (i.e., Antlia dwarf, ESO 154–023, NGC 784, and UGC 9128). Indeed, the optical images of these bursts do not show the larger super-star clusters and Hα emission typically associated with well-known starburst galaxies such as NGC 5253, NGC 4449, or NGC 1569 (which are also included in our sample). However, the SFHs of these newly identified starbursts clearly show elevated recent SFRs and qualify the systems as starbursts according to the criterion in Paper I (i.e., recent SFRs $> 2 \times \langle SFR \rangle_{\text{historical}}$). Five additional galaxies were identified as fossil starbursts that have present-day SFRs decreasing to historical levels or below.

In the second paper of the series, McQuinn et al. (2010b, hereafter Paper II), we focused on the temporal behavior of the starbursts. The starburst durations were measured to last a minimum of 450 Myr in 19 of the 20 dwarf galaxies, and as much as 1.3 Gyr in two of the systems. SFRs sustained on these timescales cannot be supported by an individual pocket of SF, due both to the limited availability of gas and to the likely
The observations were chosen based on three properties that ensure robust reconstruction of the recent SFHs. First, we selected galaxies that lie close enough (i.e., $D \lesssim 5$ Mpc) to resolve their stellar populations with HST’s imaging instruments—the Advanced Camera for Surveys (Ford et al. 1998) or the Wide Field Planetary Camera 2 (WFPC2; Holtzman et al. 1995). Second, we required the archival observations to include both $V$- and $I$-band images of a galaxy. Third, we selected systems where the $I$-band observations’ photometric depth reached a minimum of $\sim 2$ mag below the tip of the red giant branch (TRGB)—a requirement for accurately constraining the recent SFH of the galaxy (Dolphin 2002; Dohm-Palmer et al. 2002). One galaxy (SBS 1415 + 437) did not meet this final requirement but was included in the original studies for comparison purposes. It is not analyzed in this paper. While our archival-defined sample spans a wide parameter space for starburst dwarf galaxies including many of both the stronger, well-known starburst dwarf galaxies in the nearby universe (e.g., NGC 1569, NGC 4449, NGC 5253) and the smaller, less well-known starbursts (e.g., NGC 4068, UGC 9128), it is not a volume-limited sample.

From this group of 19 galaxies, we applied two additional cuts to ensure global spatial analysis was possible. First, we required that the HST observations covered at least 50% of the deprojected area out to the $B$-band 25 mag arcsec$^{-2}$ isophotal diameter ($D_{25}$), as defined by Karachentsev et al. (2004). This criterion eliminated three galaxies with limited areal HST coverage (NGC 4214, NGC 6822, Holmberg II). Second, we required that the number of core helium burning stars allowed for a meaningful spatial analysis, which required that the amplitude of the burst must be greater than $10^{-2} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. This criterion eliminated one galaxy (Antlia) from the sample. The final sample consists of the 15 dwarf galaxies listed in Table 1. Also included in Table 1 is the percentage of the deprojected $D_{25}$ area covered by HST and the equivalent area in kpc$^2$. The deprojected area was calculated by correcting the angular area of each galaxy for the optical inclination angle ($i$), Galactic extinction in the $B$ band, and the distance to the system. The specific HST instruments, observation times, and HST program IDs can be found in Paper I (Table 1) and Weisz et al. (2008).

All photometry was measured by DOLPHOT or HSTphot (Dolphin 2000) from the standard HST pipeline processed and cleaned images. The data sets were processed uniformly, ensuring reliable comparison between galaxies. Artificial star tests were performed to measure completeness using the same photometric software packages listed above. The details of the photometric processing for 14 of these galaxies are presented in Paper I and for one galaxy (DDO 165) in Weisz et al. (2008). CMDs for all galaxies can be found in Figure 2 of Paper I. Here in Figure 1, we present an example CMD for NGC 784, whose data reach our minimum required photometric depth. Representative uncertainties are shown per magnitude by crosses in the left-hand side of the CMD.

Stellar evolutionary features that are readily apparent in the example CMD of Figure 1 include the main sequence (MS), blue and red core helium burning (BHeB and RHeB, respectively) sequences, the red giant branch (RGB), and the asymptotic giant branch (AGB). The upper MS, the BHeB, and the RHeB stars are populated by stars $\gtrsim 2 M_\odot$ and thus are unambiguous indicators of recent SF (e.g., Gallart et al. 2005; McQuinn et al. 2011, and references therein). Stars with a mass of $2 M_\odot$ or greater will evolve off the MS and begin burning helium in their cores. These RHeB stars form a sequence slightly hotter than the RGB stars. At brighter magnitudes, the RHeB stars are well isolated.
from other populations, but at fainter magnitudes they begin to become indistinguishable from the RGB stars. The RHeB stars remain at cooler effective temperatures until their opacity changes due to internal convective mixing. These stars then become hotter and rapidly traverse the “blue loop” in the CMD becoming BHeB stars, located just redward of the upper MS stars in CMD space (e.g., Stothers & Chin 1991). When the core helium is exhausted, the stars will evolve further into either AGB stars, moving redward in the CMD to cooler temperatures, or erupt as supernovae.

2.2. HeB Stars Trace the Locations of Recent SF

As described in Section 2.1 and seen in Figure 1, the BHeB and RHeB stars occupy a unique space in the CMD. Along the RHeB and BHeB sequences, there is a nearly one-to-one correspondence between the luminosity of a HeB star and the mass of the star. The masses and stellar ages of each point on the sequences can be estimated using stellar evolution isochrones (see Section 2.2.2). Thus, HeB stars in a given luminosity range can be robustly age dated using stellar evolution isochrones (e.g., Dohm-Palmer et al. 1997), and the spatial distribution of HeB stars of different ages can be used to trace the sites of recent SF. Note that the luminosity of a HeB star does undergo small changes as the star evolves. This minor change in luminosity is smaller than our photometric uncertainties and we do not correct for it. Here we present our methodology for isolating HeB stars, dating them using stellar evolution libraries, and thus tracing the sites of recent SF. We use one galaxy (NGC 784) as an example. In the Appendix, we present the results of this analysis for the entire galaxy sample.

2.2.1. Isolating the HeB Stars in an Optical CMD

At low metallicities, both blue and red HeB stars are relatively isolated in an optical CMD as seen in Figure 1. The bright BHeB stars form a sequence that is approximately parallel to and slightly redward of the upper MS; the exact shape of the
BHeB sequence depends on the host galaxy’s metallicity, and to a lesser extent, the SFH. At fainter magnitudes, the sequence of BHeB stars trends redder and eventually blends into the red clump. The typical intrinsic $V-I$ color separation between the MS and BHeB stars is 0.1–0.3 mag. This distinction can become blurred in galaxies with higher metallicities, internal reddening, and photometric crowding. However, the starburst galaxies in our sample have low metallicity and low internal reddening. They also have less stellar photometric crowding than larger spiral galaxies on average, although dwarf starburst galaxies do have regions of higher stellar density. Overall, photometric uncertainties and crowding are relatively small and do not significantly blur the MS and BHeB populations, as can be seen in the representative CMD in Figure 1. The separation between the populations is more apparent at higher luminosities, and becomes less distinct at lower luminosities depending upon the photometric uncertainties. There are three exceptions in our sample (NGC 1569, NGC 4449, and NGC 5253), where the MS and BHeB populations begin to blend due to photometric crowding and differential extinction. Nonetheless, we include these three galaxies in the analysis noting higher uncertainties in the spatial analysis of BHeB stellar population. Note also the RHeB stars form a sequence bluerward of the RGB stars; these stars blend with the RGB stars at luminosities below the TRGB making them difficult to uniquely separate in a CMD for ages $\gtrsim 100$ Myr for most galaxies (McQuinn et al. 2011).

The technique of separating the MS, BHeB, and RHeB stars is described fully in Dohm-Palmer & Skillman (2002) and McQuinn et al. (2011). While the MS and BHeB sequences are readily identifiable in the CMDs, separating these sequences by eye can be ambiguous, particularly at lower luminosities (see Figure 1 as an example). To robustly separate the MS and BHeB stars, we created histograms of the $V-I$ colors of all stars in the MS, BHeB, and RHeB regions of the CMD, in intervals of 0.5–1.0 mag in $M_V$, depending on the photometric uncertainties and on the number of HeB stars present. Binned in this way, the concentration of stars forming the MS, BHeB, and RHeB sequences form three distinct peaks in the stellar color distribution. These three histogram peaks mark the center position in the CMD of each stellar sequence. We conservatively selected the stars with colors of $\pm 0.1$ mag of the peaks for both the blue and red HeB populations in each galaxy.
Maps of BHeB stars of varying ages on smoothed HST images

Figure 6. Top left panel: CMD of NGC 784 with the region containing BHeB stars used in the analysis marked in blue. Top right panel: the luminosity function of the BHeB stars identified in the CMD. Middle and lower panels: the location of the BHeB stars of four different age ranges overlaid on smoothed V-band HST images of the galaxy. The area of the points is scaled by the SFR enabling a direct comparison between age bins. The white box drawn on the first image encompasses the area used in reconstructing the SFH of the central region. The region outside of this box comprises the outer region used in our analysis. Note that the HST images are not shown in world coordinate system (WCS) orientations. Similar figures for the entire sample can be found in the Appendix.

At fainter magnitudes, the BHeB and RHeB stars begin to merge with the red clump and RGB stars, respectively, creating a lower luminosity limit for selecting HeB stars from a CMD. This limit is specific to each data set because it depends on the metallicity, photometric uncertainties, and SFH of each galaxy. The individual lower limits were determined by creating synthetic CMDs from the SFHs (Paper I) and by mimicking photometric completeness and observational uncertainties using artificial star tests performed on the original data sets. In the left panel of Figure 2, we plot age versus luminosity for the stars in the BHeB region of a synthetic CMD, based on the best-fit SFH of NGC 784. The one-to-one age–luminosity relation of the BHeB stars is apparent in Figure 2, until an age of $\sim 200$ Myr, where there are stars of multiple ages present for a given luminosity. It is at this magnitude where the BHeB sequence for the simulated CMD of NGC 784 becomes mixed with older red clump stars. BHeB stars cannot be unambiguously selected at magnitudes fainter than this limit. Likewise, as seen in the right panel of Figure 2, the RHeB region becomes contaminated with older RGB stars at low luminosities, corresponding to an age limit of $\sim 150$ Myr. In general, we find that the BHeB stars can be traced further back in time than the RHeB stars, making them a longer range chronometer of recent SF.

2.2.2. Dating the HeB Stars Using Theoretical Isochrones

Once the blue and red HeB stars were identified in the CMD, we used stellar evolution isochrones to age date the stars. Stellar evolution isochrones track the paths of stars of different mass in
individual metallicities were taken from H CMDs. Isochrones were matched in metallicity and adjusted for evolution isochrones, is used to age date the HeB in the observed This set of isochrones, collectively known as the Padova stellar for stars with masses \( > 7 \, M_\odot \), we use the isochrones of Bertelli et al. (1994). This set of isochrones, collectively known as the Padova stellar evolution isochrones, is used to age date the HeB in the observed CMDs. Isochrones were matched in metallicity and adjusted for both the internal and foreground extinction for each galaxy. The individual metallicities were taken from H region abundance measurements in the literature whenever possible. In five cases, the metallicity was estimated from the luminosity–metallicity relation for dwarf galaxies (i.e., Zaritsky et al. 1994; Tremonti et al. 2004; Lee et al. 2006). The \( A_v \) extinction measurements were estimated from the SFHs (Paper I) and transformed to \( A_I \) extinction using the York Extinction Solver tool (McCall 2004), assuming the Cardelli et al. (1989) reddening law with \( R = 3.1 \). These values are in good agreement with the values from the dust maps of Schlegel et al. (1998), but also incorporate estimates of internal extinction. The adopted metallicity and extinction values can be found in McQuinn et al. (2011, Table 1). We opted not to use the isochrones incorporating circumstellar dust models (Bressan et al. 1998; Groenewegen 2006), as they primarily affect the AGB stage of evolution and do not affect the location of HeB stars in the CMDs. In Figure 3, we present a representative comparison between the stellar populations for NGC 784 and the stellar evolution isochrones up to 160 Myr. The blue and red HeB stages of evolution are easily identified in the isochrones, as stars in these stages occupy extreme blue and red turning points in the isochrones. The isochrones are in general agreement with the MS locus and the blue and red extremes of the blue loop sequence.

In Figure 4, we plot the luminosity function of the BHeB and RHeB stars selected from the CMD for NGC 784, along with the ages derived from the stellar evolutionary isochrones. As expected, there are smaller numbers of short-lived high-mass stars. Uncertainties in the luminosity function include both Poisson errors and incompleteness measurements. The luminosity functions extend to just above the lower luminosity limit where the HeB sequences begin to merge with other stellar populations in the CMD. The luminosity function of the RHeB stars is similar to that of the BHeB stars providing a consistency check on our technique.

2.2.3. Mapping the Distribution of HeB Stars at Different Ages

We tag each bin in the luminosity function with an equivalent age, determined from the stellar isochrones. Representative ages are shown as arrows in Figure 4. The locations of the HeB stars in each age bin can then be mapped directly onto the optical image of the galaxy. We chose to map the HeB stars at four different luminosity (or age) bins. The bin selection was different for each system and was based on the structure of each observed CMD, the number of HeB stars present, and the luminosity at which the HeB stars merged into other stellar populations (see Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.2).

In Figure 5, we plot the positions of the BHeB and RHeB stars on the optical image of NGC 784 for each of four age bins. The background image in each panel is a smoothed V-band image made by applying a 25 pixel (i.e., 1’25) radius Gaussian kernel to the original HST observation. Included in Figures 4 and 5 are the results for both the blue and red HeB stars in NGC 784. Both the luminosity functions and spatial patterns in the two stellar populations are similar, as expected. Thus, we focus on the BHeB population for the rest of the paper as these stars are both more numerous than the RHeB stars (e.g., Dohm-Palmer & Skillman 2002; McQuinn et al. 2011), and can be traced to fainter magnitudes in the observed CMDs (i.e., older ages). For each age bin, the HeB stars are plotted as white asterisks in Figure 5. The areas of the asterisks are scaled by the average SFR in that age bin to account for the initial mass function (IMF) and stellar lifetimes so that the total area in the asterisks is proportional to the SFR in that age bin. Thus, while the number of points in a given age bin is determined by the number of stars, the total area of the points represents the SFR after normalization by the IMF. This allows the simultaneous presentation of the location of the SF, the total SFR, and the number of stars from which the SFR is computed. As there will naturally be fewer high-mass, younger stars, scaling the asterisks in this way enables a more direct comparison of the amount of SF occurring in each age bin. For example, in Figure 5, the total area in the asterisks in the most recent 0–25 Myr compared to those 25–50 Myr ago indicates that the SFR was higher more recently.

Combining the above analysis, in Figure 6, we plot the CMD, BHeB luminosity function, and maps of the spatial distributions of the BHeB stars in four age bins for NGC 784. Similar figures mapping the sites of recent SF in each galaxy of the sample can be found in the Appendix. Two of the galaxies, NGC 6789 and NGC 4163, host fossil bursts that ended 80 Myr and 100 Myr ago, respectively. Similarly to the other galaxies in our study, we plot the spatial distributions of the BHeB stars in these galaxies in four time bins, but define the first time bin in the maps to be the post-burst time period. We limit our subsequent spatial analysis in these two galaxies to the SF occurring during the active starburst (i.e., prior to this post-burst time period).
Figure 8. SFHs of regions of the central and outer regions of the galaxies over the past 1500 Myr. The central regions are defined for each galaxy in Figure 14 in the Appendix. The duration of the starbursts from Paper II is drawn as a bar at the top of each plot. The lifetime average SFR (i.e., birthrate parameter = 1) derived from each region is drawn as a solid gray line.
Figure 8. (Continued)
2.2.4. Inherent Age Limit and the Diffusion Timescale of Stars

Using BHeB stars to trace the sites of recent SF is based on the assumption that the stars have not migrated significantly from their birth sites. Dwarf galaxies are known to be solid-body rotators lacking shear and differential rotation (e.g., Skillman et al. 1988; Skillman 1996). Their dynamics implies that there should be little radial mixing of stars over timescales considered here. The limiting timescale for our technique is therefore the diffusion timescales for dissolving SF structures.

Stellar populations within galaxies have been shown to be born with a high degree of substructure made up of a continuous distribution of clusters, groups, and associations (Bastian et al. 2010 and references therein). For a sample of dwarf galaxies, Bastian et al. (2011) found these structures persist on the order of hundreds of Myr. This timescale was measured down to the limiting photometric depth of the data and is thus a lower limit. These findings are similar to previously reported lower limit lifetimes of \( \sim 80 \) Myr for stellar structures in the Small Magellanic Cloud (Gieles et al. 2008) and \( \sim 175 \) Myr for the Large Magellanic Cloud (Bastian et al. 2009). While the stellar structures could not be probed on longer timescales given their data, it is assumed that the stellar structures will continue to dissolve, including some radial migration of the stellar populations. Although our data are frequently sensitive to HeB stars as old as 400 Myr, we limit the spatial analysis to HeB stars younger than 250 Myr, the approximate lower limit found by Bastian et al. (2011) for stellar structure to be maintained in dwarf galaxies. We list the adopted limiting timescale for each galaxy in Table 1.

3. Evaluating the Spatial Concentration of the Starbursts

As described in Section 1, while starbursts have often been assumed to be centrally concentrated, there is also observational evidence that starbursts can be distributed in nature. The current data set of temporally and spatially resolved stellar populations allow us to measure the spatial extent of the SF. We focus on quantifying this spatial distribution using two timescales. The first is over the last 100–250 Myr using BHeB stars, and the second is over the duration of each starburst event. In the following subsections, we discuss three techniques employed to evaluate the concentration of bursting SF over these two timescales.

3.1. Three Metrics for Measuring the Concentration of SF

We employ three techniques to measure the spatial concentration of the SF. The first technique compares the spatial distribution of the young stellar populations as traced by the BHeB stars (ages \( \lesssim 100–250 \) Myr) with the underlying older stellar populations as traced by the RGB stars (ages \( \gtrsim 1 \) Gyr). This metric evaluates the concentration of SF over one timescale corresponding to the oldest BHeB stars (see Table 1, Column 6). The second technique compares the SFHs reconstructed from the central and outer regions. The third technique estimates the fraction of stellar mass created in the central region. Because both the second and the third metrics use the temporally resolved SFHs, they can be evaluated over different timescales.
Figure 11. Comparison of three metrics evaluating the spatial distribution of SF over the most recent $\sim 100–250$ Myr (see Table 1 for time bins on the individual galaxies). The red “C” in each panel marks the centrally concentrated end of the spectrum, while the red “D” marks the spatially distributed end. The three metrics are correlated and show a continuous spectrum in the spatial extent of SF in these starburst galaxies.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Table 2
The Spatial Extent of the Bursts

| Galaxy         | Most Recent $\sim 100–250$ Myr | Lifetime of Burst |
|----------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|
|                | Concentration Ratio of BHeB/RGB Stars (%) | Ratio of Central to Outer Birthrate Parameters | Fraction $M_\odot$ in Central Region | Ratio of Central to Outer Birthrate Parameters | Fraction $M_\odot$ in Central Region |
| UGC 9128       | 44                               | 4.3 ± 0.4         | 69 ± 7                        | 1.3 ± 0.1                        | 40 ± 4                          |
| UGC 4483       | 71                               | 4.0 ± 0.4         | 46 ± 4                        | 1.6 ± 0.1                        | 25 ± 5                          |
| NGC 4163       | 21                               | 0.0 ± 0.0         | 96 ± 10                       | 1.3 ± 0.1                        | 70 ± 13                         |
| UGC 6456       | 20                               | 16.0 ± 2.3        | 58 ± 3                        | 4.8 ± 0.5                        | 29 ± 6                          |
| NGC 6789       | . . .                            | 16.5 ± 0.1        | 85 ± 3                        | 4.3 ± 0.1                        | 56 ± 13                         |
| NGC 4068       | 97                               | 2.1 ± 0.1         | 51 ± 2                        | 0.1 ± 0.1                        | 37 ± 1                          |
| DDO 165        | 65                               | 2.5 ± 0.1         | 46 ± 2                        | 1.3 ± 0.1                        | 32 ± 2                          |
| IC 4662        | 25                               | 5.8 ± 0.1         | 78 ± 3                        | 2.7 ± 0.1                        | 59 ± 8                          |
| ESO 154–023    | 100                              | 0.9 ± 0.1         | 27 ± 2                        | 1.1 ± 0.1                        | 30 ± 4                          |
| NGC 2366       | 85                               | 1.5 ± 0.2         | 61 ± 6                        | 1.0 ± 0.1                        | 52 ± 4                          |
| NGC 625        | 10                               | 4.8 ± 0.1         | 63 ± 5                        | 3.0 ± 0.1                        | 51 ± 9                          |
| NGC 784        | 54                               | 1.3 ± 0.1         | 25 ± 1                        | 0.8 ± 0.1                        | 19 ± 2                          |
| NGC 5253       | 13                               | 0.0 ± 0.0         | 94 ± 6                        | 4.0 ± 0.1                        | 59 ± 6                          |
| NGC 1569       | 15                               | 12.1 ± 0.1        | 83 ± 4                        | 4.7 ± 0.1                        | 67 ± 8                          |
| NGC 4449       | 28                               | 7.6 ± 0.1         | 84 ± 4                        | 3.4 ± 0.1                        | 69 ± 13                         |

Notes. Column (1): galaxy name. Columns (2)–(4): results are based on the SF from 0 Myr to 100–250 Myr ago (see Table 1, Column 6) except for NGC 4163 and NGC 6789. In these two post-burst galaxies, the SF was measured from 100–200 Myr and 80–250 Myr ago, respectively, corresponding to time periods of actively bursting SF. Column (2): comparison is based on the number density of the BHeB to the RGB stars. Uncertainties are estimated to be of the order of 10%. Columns (5) and (6): results are based on the SF that has occurred over the duration of the starburst in each galaxy.
We chose two timescales. The first matches that defined by the BHeB stars used in the first metric. The second is equal to the duration of the burst events (see Table 1, Column 5).

### 3.1.1. First Metric: BHeB Star Concentration

Our first technique uses the BHeB stars selected in Section 2.2.1, shown in Figure 6 for NGC 784 and in the Appendix for the entire sample. We compare the distributions of BHeB stars to those of the RGB stars. The RGB stars were selected from the well-populated and well-defined RGB based on magnitude and color cuts. For example, in Figure 6, we selected RGB stars within a region defined by $-3.2 < M_V < -1$ and $0.8 < V - I < 1.3$. The actual region used is curved and follows the distribution of RGB stars in the CMD. We fit the distributions of the BHeB and RGB stellar number densities with two-dimensional elliptical Gaussian functions. While the stellar distributions are not perfectly described by a Gaussian profile, a Gaussian function provides a good first-order approximation of the distribution. Uncertainties are estimated to be of the order of 10% in fitting the FWHM of the stellar distributions based on the errors on the fit parameters. One system, NGC 6789, was dropped from this particular analysis due to difficulties in fitting low numbers of BHeB stars. The degree of central concentration of the young stars can be measured using the ratio of the FWHM of the two stellar populations’ distributions.

In Figure 7, we plot the cumulative distribution of this ratio for the sample. There is a continuous spectrum of the spatial extent of recent SF in these systems. There is no dependence on the depth of BHeB photometry in the distribution of the ratio measurements. In some galaxies, the BHeB stars are confined to within 25% of the RGB spatial distribution, indicating centralized SF. In other galaxies, the BHeB stars extend to more than 80% of the RGB spatial distribution, indicating distributed SF. However, there are a number of galaxies where the BHeB stars extend over roughly half the RGB spatial distribution, emphasizing the point that the spatial distribution of SF lies along a continuum. The SF in these galaxies are neither clearly centralized nor clearly distributed, but fall in an intermediate category. We will discuss these results further when comparing the results of the three metrics.

### 3.1.2. Second Metric: $b$ Parameter Ratios

Our second technique for measuring the concentration of SF analyzes the SFHs of the central and outer regions of each galaxy. We define the “central” regions to be within where the $V$-band surface brightness drops to 10%–25% of the peak flux in the unsmoothed HST images. In one case, NGC 2366, a large star-forming complex lies outside the central region, making the flux cutoff between central and outer fall at the upper end of this range. In Figure 6, the central region for our example galaxy NGC 784 is outlined on the smoothed images of the galaxies; the outer regions encompass the remainder of the field of view (FOV). We used rectangular regions to define the central regions. We found that our analysis is robust against small changes in the delineation between “central” and “outer” regions and the shape of the regions because of the large number of stars. The central and outer regions for the entire galaxy sample are shown in the Appendix.
We reconstructed separate SFHs for the central and outer regions, using the same technique and methodology that we applied to the whole FOV in Paper I. Briefly, we derived the star formation rate (SFR$(r, Z)$) applying a CMD fitting technique (Dolphin 2002) with stellar evolutionary models from Marigo & Girardi (2007) to the photometry and artificial star lists in the central and outer regions. When necessary, additional artificial stars were generated to adequately sample the completeness in small areas. We fit for distance, extinction, and stellar age, and constrain the metallicity to increase with time (except in NGC 2366 where the photometry reached a full magnitude below the red clump). The fit distances were in good agreement with TRGB measured distances from the literature. We refer the reader to Paper I for a full discussion of the SFHs, the time binning used, and uncertainties.

In Figure 8, we present the SFHs of the last 1.5 Gyr for both the central and outer regions in the galaxy sample. The CMD fitting technique used to reconstruct these SFHs uses information from all the stellar populations in the CMDs, not just the HeB stars discussed in the preceding analysis. Thus, the SFHs are not limited by the same constraints in selecting blue or red HeB stars and can extend over a much longer timeline. A horizontal bar shows the burst durations for each galaxy as measured in Paper I.

A useful metric to quantify starbursts is the birthrate parameter, defined as the ratio of the current SFR to the past average $(b = \text{SFR}/\langle \text{SFR} \rangle)$; Scalo 1986; Kennicutt 1998). Starbursts have been found to have birthrate parameters greater than 2; for comparison, current birthrate parameters for normal star-forming galaxies are typically of the order of 0.5 (Kennicutt et al. 2005). In Figure 8, the solid horizontal line designates a value $b = 1$ for the individual region.

We are interested in assessing whether the SF in the central regions has been more enhanced than the SF in the outer regions. Thus, we compare the ratio of the birthrate parameter in the central and outer regions for the sample. In Figure 9, we plot the cumulative distribution of this ratio, for the two timescales we selected. In the most recent 100–250 Myr (solid blue line), some of the galaxies have ratios greater than 10, indicating the central regions showed more enhanced SF than the outer regions (i.e., highly centralized SF). However, nearly half of the galaxies have birthrate parameters in their outer regions within a factor of two of the central regions. This indicates that both the central and the outer regions experienced enhanced SF activity. This reinforces our result from the first metric that shows a continuous spectrum of the spatial extent of recent SF. On the longer timescale of the burst durations (dashed red line), the distribution in the ratios is much smaller. This tighter range in the ratio of the birthrate parameter is partly due to the differences in the time binning used in the most recent 100–250 Myr and that used over the longer duration timescales, as averaging the birthrate parameter over longer timescales eliminates peak values. However, despite this bias, there is still a significant range in the spatial distribution of SF in these galaxies when measured over the duration of the starbursts.

3.1.3. Third Metric: Fraction of Stellar Mass Formed

Our third technique estimates the fraction of the stellar mass formed in the central region. The stellar mass was estimated by integrating the SFRs in the central and outer regions over the same two timescales used in comparing the central and outer SFHs. Note that this third metric is not strictly independent of the second metric as they both use the SFHs to measure the spatial concentration of SF. However, the ratio of the birthrate parameter in the second metric compares how intense the SF is in the inner and outer regions relative to their historical average, whereas the third metric integrates the SFH only over a specific time period. In other words, these two metrics both use the SFHs but have different normalizations and thus provide different measurements of the spatial concentration of SF.

In Figure 10, we present the cumulative distribution of the fraction of stellar mass formed in the central regions. Similarly to the other two metrics, in the most recent 100–250 Myr (solid blue line) the fraction of stellar mass formed in the central regions shows a continuum of values. In some galaxies, more than 80% of the stellar mass was formed in the central regions, indicating highly centralized SF. In other systems, less than 25% of the stellar mass was formed in the central regions, indicating distributed SF. However, there are also a number of galaxies where roughly half of the stellar mass was formed in the central regions, emphasizing the point that the spatial distribution of SF lies along a continuum. When measured over the durations of the bursts (dashed red line), the distribution of the ratio of central to outer stellar mass in Figure 10 is narrower. No galaxy is forming more than 80% of their mass in the central region, indicating that the SF is less centralized over this longer timescale.

3.2. Are the Bursts Centralized or Distributed: A Comparison of Three Metrics

In Figure 11, we compare the three metrics for evaluating the concentration of SF over the most recent 100–250 Myr. We mark the highly centralized SF end of the continuous spectrum with a red “C,” and the distributed SF end with a red “D.” The results for individual galaxies in each metric are listed in Table 2. Two galaxies (NGC 4163 and NGC 5253) had no detectable SF occurring in the outer regions over this timescale and are not plotted. Based on the SF occurring in the central regions in these two galaxies, the ratio of the BHeB to RGB stars spatial extent were 21% and 14%, indicating highly centralized SF.

As seen in Figure 11, the three metrics are correlated. The systems with much smaller distributions of the younger BHeB stars relative to the older RGB stars show lower birthrate parameters in the outer regions of the galaxies relative to the central regions. These systems also have a larger fraction of stellar mass being formed in the central, high surface brightness regions. Likewise, the galaxies where the spatial distributions of younger BHeB stars are roughly equivalent to the spatial extent of the older RGB stars also show birthrate parameters in the outer regions that are roughly comparable to the central region values. Additionally, smaller fractions of the stellar mass are formed in the central regions in these galaxies.

As seen in Figure 11, there is a continuum in the spatial extent of the starbursts. Some galaxies, such as NGC 4163, NGC 5253, and NGC 6789, do show highly centralized SF in the most recent 100–250 Myr. In contrast, other galaxies, such as NGC 4068, ESO 154–023, and NGC 784, show indications of distributed SF. These findings are confirmed in the maps of the BHeB stellar distributions in the Appendix, which show that sites of recent SF are highly centralized in some galaxies but can also extend over much of the optical disk of a galaxy in others. The majority of the systems lie somewhere between these two extremes. While delineating between centralized and distributed SF would be based on arbitrary thresholds, it is clear that not all starbursts are highly centralized in the most recent 100–250 Myr.
In Figure 12, we present a comparison of two concentration metrics calculated over the duration of the burst events, which is longer than the 100–250 Myr timescale in all of the galaxies. Note that the plotted range for the ratio in birthrate parameters is smaller in Figure 12 than in the companion plot in Figure 11. There is a general correlation between the two metrics. When the birthrate parameter in the outer regions is within a factor of two of the central regions, the fraction of stellar mass formed in the central regions is also smaller. One galaxy, UGC 6456, is an outlier from the trend.

Comparing Figures 11 and 12, we find a range in the spatial extent of the starbursts over both timescales studied. This distribution in the spatial extent of the starbursts did not correlate with absolute magnitude, starburst duration, or average SFRs. Note that our sample was selected based on the HST observations available in the public archive, as discussed in Section 2.1, and it is therefore not a volume-limited sample. Thus, we are unable to measure the distribution function of the spatial extent of SF in starburst dwarf galaxies as a class of objects. However, our result does have implications for understanding the trigger mechanisms of the starbursts. Whatever physical mechanisms initiate these starbursts must account for this range in spatial properties.

The galaxies that show the highest degree of centralized SF (e.g., NGC 1569, NGC 4449, NGC 5253) are also the most well known, and have been identified as starbursts according to numerous and diverse observational criteria, including hosting a population of Wolf-Rayet (W-R) stars (Schaerer et al. 1999) or super-star clusters (Larsen et al. 2008), having threshold infrared emission line ratios (Thornley et al. 2000), or showing significant Hα emission (Lee et al. 2009), and high SFR surface densities in their central regions (Kennicutt et al. 2005). These systems lend themselves to being classified as present-day starbursts because of the observational impact made by their centrally concentrated SF, particularly when classified with short timescale SF tracers (e.g., Hα emission and W-R stars). In contrast, the currently more distributed starbursts (e.g., ESO 154–023, UGC 4483, NGC 4068) do not always meet this diverse range of observational criteria and are thus less readily identified as starbursts. The range in the spatial extent of the starbursts highlights a bias toward classifying galaxies with centralized SF as starbursts over galaxies with more distributed SF. This bias is complicated by the fact that there is no absolute threshold or criterion for classifying a galaxy as a starburst system.

Some of the most well-known starbursts in the sample that have the highest degree of centralized SF also have the highest SFRs, suggesting a possible correlation between the SFR in a starburst and the spatial extent of the SF. To explore this possible connection, we ranked the galaxies according to the three concentration metrics and then compared the average of these three rankings to the peak SFR of the starbursts. The peak SFRs (Paper II) were normalized by the absolute B-band luminosity (in units of $L_\odot$) to eliminate any bias in the SFR due to galaxy size. In Figure 13, we present this comparison with the most centralized starbursts ranked with smaller numbers and the most distributed ranked with larger numbers. We find no correlation between the spatial extent of the SF and the normalized SFR of starbursts.

4. CONCLUSIONS

We have used optical imaging of resolved stellar populations obtained from the HST data archive to study the spatial distribution of SF in 15 nearby starburst dwarf galaxies. We measure the concentration of SF over three different timescales using (1) the location of young BHeB stars from the V- and I-band observations, (2) newly derived SFHs for central and outer regions of the galaxies, and (3) an estimate of the fraction of stellar mass created in the central regions. The three metrics show that the spatial extent of SF lies on a continuum in these galaxies both over the most recent 100–250 Myr, and over the life of the starburst events. SF is seen to be highly concentrated in some of the sample (e.g., NGC 4163, NGC 5253, and NGC 6789), distributed in other systems (e.g., NGC 4068, ESO 154–023, NGC 784), and somewhere in between for the remaining galaxies. The range in spatial extent in the starbursts did not correlate with the peak SFR of the starbursts.

These new findings on the greater spatial extent of these starbursts, combined with the recent results measuring starbursts to last hundreds of Myr (Paper II), provide a new perspective on the bursting mode of SF. In contrast to the often reported or assumed smaller, centralized spatial scales and short timescales (10 Myr) of starbursts, these results show that starbursts can be a global phenomenon. In addition, the range in spatial extent of the starbursts, coupled with the different observational signatures of centralized versus distributed SF, highlights a bias toward classifying galaxies with centralized SF as starbursts over galaxies with more distributed SF. This bias is complicated by the fact that there is no absolute threshold or criterion for classifying a galaxy as a starburst system.

While not a volume-limited sample of starburst dwarf galaxies, these results provide context for understanding the characteristics of starbursts in low-mass galaxies. Any trigger mechanisms for the elevated levels of SF in our sample must account for these characteristics. The physical mechanism governing the spatial and temporal extent of these starbursts was not investigated, but is likely based both on the starburst trigger mechanism and the equation of state of the gas, including the degree of turbulence present in the ISM, in each system.
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APPENDIX

MAPS OF THE RECENT SF AS TRACED BY BHeB STARS IN THE SAMPLE

In Figure 14, we present the CMDs, BHeB luminosity functions, and maps of the sites of recent SF for four luminosity or age bins for the entire sample. This analysis follows the...
Maps of BHeB stars of varying ages on smoothed HST images

Figure 14. Top left panel: CMD of the galaxy with the region containing BHeB stars used in the analysis marked in blue. Top right panel: The luminosity function of the BHeB stars identified in the CMD. Middle and lower panels: The location of the BHeB stars of four different age ranges overlaid on smoothed V-band HST images of the galaxy. The area of the points is scaled by the SFR, enabling a direct comparison between age bins. The white box drawn on the first image encompasses the area used in reconstructing the SFH of the central region. The region outside of this box comprises the outer region used in our analysis. Note that the HST images are not shown in WCS orientations. For observations using the WFPC2 camera, the PC chip was positioned on the center of the target galaxy; thus the analysis was performed within the “chevron” shape of the field of view.

(The complete figure set (14 images) and color version of this figure are available in the online journal.)

prescription described in Section 2.2. In systems with higher metallicity (i.e., higher levels of extinction) and photometric crowding, BHeB stars only as old as 100 Myr can be unambiguously separated in the CMDs (e.g., NGC 625, NGC 5253, NGC 1569, NGC 4449), whereas BHeB stars up to 250 Myr in age could be separated in other systems (e.g., UGC 9128, UGC 4483). The scaling of the asterisks was uniquely determined for each system depending on the range of SFRs measured over the time periods studied; the size of the asterisks cannot be compared across systems. A full set of color figures for the remaining 13 galaxies in the sample is available through the online journal.
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