Benefit of salvage total pharyngolaryngoesophagectomy for recurrent locally advanced head and neck cancer after radiotherapy
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Abstract

Background: The treatment modalities for recurrent locally advanced head and neck cancer failure after radiotherapy are limited with poor prognosis. Salvage supra-radical operation seems to be an option. It has not been established which patients will benefit from salvage total pharyngolaryngoesophagectomy.

Methods: We retrospectively reviewed 66 patients with previously irradiated recurrent T4 head and neck cancer who underwent salvage total pharyngolaryngoesophagectomy at our institution between January 2001 and June 2014. The clinical outcome and toxicities were analyzed.

Results: Flap loss occurred in 2 patients, and the incidence of fistulas and anastomosis strictures was 15.6% (10/66) and 13.6% (9/66), respectively. The median survival time of the entire cohort was 12 months. The interval between radiation and salvage surgery, and microscopic carotid artery invasion were identified as independent prognostic factors for overall survival. The 3-year overall survival rates of patients with \( n = 33 \) and without \( n = 33 \) risk factors were 9.1% and 47.2%, respectively \((p = 0.007)\). A time interval between radiation and salvage surgery \( \leq 6 \) months and previous concurrent chemotherapy or targeted therapy were risk factors for severe post-operative complications.

Conclusions: Salvage total pharyngolaryngoesophagectomy is beneficial to selected patients with recurrent locally advanced head and neck cancer after radiotherapy.

Introduction

The effective treatment for recurrent locally advanced head and neck cancers after radiotherapy is limited. The median survival of patients who received chemotherapy as the standard treatment is 6–9 months [1]. The majority of patients have a high rate of local failure after non-surgical treatment, especially in recurrent stage T4 patients [2–4]. It has been reported that salvage aggressive surgery might lead to long-term survival in these patients [2].

Total pharyngolaryngoesophagectomy (TPLE) has been confirmed technically feasible and effective, and could achieve long survival for the recurrent locally advanced head and neck patients [2]. TPLE coupled with upper digestive tract reconstruction also expand the extent of the salvage surgery with better quality of life (QOL). Considering that few treatment modalities can be appropriate for recurrent locally advanced head and neck cancer, TPLE coupled with upper digestive tract reconstruction has been attempted on these patients as an option.

The purpose of the current study was to assess the comparative efficacy of salvage TPLE for previously irradiated, recurrent T4 head and neck cancer, and to identify the factors that affect the prognosis and complications. Our study could help surgeons identify which group of patients could most likely benefit from this supra-radical surgical salvage strategy.
Patients and methods

Patient selection

Between January 2001 and June 2014, a total of 66 patients who underwent salvage TPLE in the Department of Head and Neck Surgical Oncology in our hospital were retrospectively reviewed. Before initiation of this study, Institutional Review Board approval was obtained. The selection criteria were as follows: (1) squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck cancer; (2) recurrent locally advanced head and neck cancer (stage rT4 according to the seventh AJCC stage system); (3) definitive/adjuvant radiotherapy was performed in the previous treatment with a dose >50 Gy; (4) clinical rT4b cases was excluded; and (5) all patients declined chemotherapy. Patients were evaluated pre-operatively using endoscopy, abdominal ultrasonography, chest computed tomography (CT), and head and neck magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Medical records were retrospectively analyzed to gather the clinical characteristics and surgical outcomes.

Operative techniques

TPLE or completion of TPLE and microvascular free tissue transfer were performed on all cases. The donor site for digestive reconstruction included free jejunum (n = 63) and free flaps, including 2 anterior lateral thigh flaps and 1 forearm flap, and 12 pectoralis major myocutaneous flaps and 2 ALT flaps were also used for skin reconstruction on 14 patients with skin invasion. The surgical procedure was under a standard step. Tumor resection and flap elevation were performed by two teams simultaneously. TPLE always followed the en bloc principle; specifically, the cervical sheath was separated bilaterally with the specimen first and the thyroid gland was generally removed if suspicious invasion was detected. Transection of the trachea was performed, and separated from the cervical esophagus and oropharynx. The cervical esophagus was also transected at the proper level according to the extent of tumor. Surgical margins were examined with frozen sections until clean margins were achieved if possible. The jejunum segment or flap tube was placed to repair the digestive tract. After complete enteric anastomosis, the microscopic vascular anastomoses were established. Finally, irrigation and hemostasis, a drain-setting permanent tracheostomy, and skin closure were performed.

Statistics

All of the patients were followed until June 2015. Kaplan-Meier curves were used to evaluate the overall survival of the cohort. Multivariate analysis was performed to identify the possible risk factors of poor prognosis and bilateral logistic regression was used to evaluate the factors of severe complications. All statistics were performed using SPSS 17.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Patient status before salvage surgery

The characteristics of the entire cohort are presented in Table 1. Of the 66 patients, 5 were females, whereas the remaining 61 patients were males with a mean age of 58.6 years (age range, 42–81 years) at the time of salvage surgery. The primary sites were laryngeal cancer in 19 patients, hypo-pharyngeal cancer in 40 patients, and cervical esophageal cancer in 7 patients. Primary treatment varied according to the site of lesions. Surgery was the main initial treatment for patients (17/19) with laryngeal cancer; approximately one-half had two or

Table 1 Characteristics of cases before salvage surgery

| Characteristics                                      | n  | %   |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----|-----|
| Age in years                                        |    |     |
| > 60                                                 | 30 | 45.5%|
| ≤ 60                                                 | 36 | 54.5%|
| Primary                                             |    |     |
| Larynx                                              | 19 | 28.8%|
| Hypo-pharynx                                         | 40 | 60.6%|
| Cervical esophagus                                   | 7  | 10.6%|
| Previous treatment                                  |    |     |
| 1 course without surgery                            | 30 | 45.5%|
| 1 course with surgery                               | 26 | 39.4%|
| Multiple courses                                     | 10 | 15.2%|
| Radiation dose                                       |    |     |
| ≤ 60Gy                                              | 14 | 21.2%|
| > 60Gy                                              | 52 | 78.8%|
| Time interval between radiation and salvage surgery |    |     |
| ≤ 6                                                  | 14 | 21.2%|
| > 6                                                  | 52 | 78.8%|
| Previous chemo- or targeted therapy                 |    |     |
| No                                                   | 46 | 69.7%|
| Yes                                                  | 20 | 30.3%|
| Skin invasion                                       |    |     |
| No                                                   | 52 | 78.8%|
| Yes                                                  | 14 | 21.2%|
| Pre-op suspicious carotid artery invasion*          |    |     |
| No                                                   | 53 | 80.3%|
| Yes                                                  | 13 | 19.7%|
| Pre-op suspicious prevertebral llnvasion*            |    |     |
| No                                                   | 55 | 83.3%|
| Yes                                                  | 11 | 16.7%|
| Pre-op positive cervical nodes                       |    |     |
| No                                                   | 48 | 72.7%|
| Yes                                                  | 18 | 27.3%|

*Pre-operatively suspicious was judged by preoperative CT scan
more previous surgeries. Radiotherapy or concurrent chemoradiation was mostly used as the first treatment in patients with hypo-pharyngeal (32/40) and cervical esophageal (7/7) cancers. Of the entire cohort, 51 patients underwent radical radiotherapy. In the remaining 15 patients, radiation was performed post-operatively. The radiation dose ranged from 50 to 70 Gy, and 20 patients underwent concurrent chemotherapy and/or targeted therapy.

**Post-operative pathologic findings**

The entire cohort of cases had recurrent advanced local disease (rT4). Specifically, 21.2% of patients (14/66) had skin invasion, and 36.4% (26/66) and 16.7% (11/66) had microscopic invasion of the carotid artery and pre-vertebral fascia by frozen section and post-operative pathology.

**Complications**

The overall complication rate was 39.4% (16/66), and no peri-operative deaths occurred. Flap loss occurred in 2 patients, and the incidence of fistulas and anastomosis strictures was 15.6% (10/66) and 13.6% (9/66), respectively. Other complications included a hematoma (n = 1) and wound infection (n = 2). The incidence of severe complications (flap loss, strictures, and fistulas) was 22.7% (15/66). Univariate analysis of the characteristics related to severe complications is presented in Table 2. The time interval between radiation and salvage surgery ≤6 months and previous concurrent chemotherapy or targeted therapy were risk factors of severe complications (Table 2).

**Survival**

The follow-up period was between 12 and 60 months, and the median follow-up period was 38 months for all living patients. Forty-one deaths occurred in the group. The median survival time was 12 months, and the 1- and 2-year overall survival rates of the entire cohort were 50.4% and 37.7%, respectively.

Possible prognostic factors (age, primary site, previous treatment, time interval between radiation and salvage surgery, previous chemo- or targeted therapy, skin invasion pre- and intra-operative carotid artery or pre-vertebral invasion and positive lymph node) were including in univariate analysis (Table 3). The statistically significant factors (time interval between radiation and salvage surgery, microscopic carotid artery invasion, and pre-op pre-vertebral invasion) in univariate analysis were included in the multivariate analysis by Cox regression. The time interval between radiation and salvage surgery and microscopic carotid artery invasion were identified as independent prognostic factors (Table 4). The 3-year overall survival rates of patients with (n = 33) and without (n = 33) these two risk factors were 9.1% and 47.2%, respectively (p = 0.007), and the median survival rates of patients with and without two risk factors were 8 and 33 months, respectively (Fig. 1).

**Discussion**

Radiotherapy with chemotherapy is considered the mainstay treatment for squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck; however, effective treatment is lacking for persistent or recurrent locally advanced lesions. The standard first-line palliative chemotherapy is used with a median survival of 6–9 months. Salvage surgery was associated with a 12-month survival for the entire group, especially the 33 patients without the 2 risk factors.

Traditionally, salvage surgery for advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the upper respiratory and digestive tracts also has a poor prognosis. Goodwin reported that

### Table 2 Binary logistic regression of factors for oral diet recovery

| Characteristics                           | RR(95%CI)         | P-value |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Age in years                              |                   |         |
| > 60                                      | 0.486(0.108–20.178) | 0.346   |
| ≤ 60                                      |                   |         |
| Previous treatment                        |                   |         |
| 1 course without surgery                  | 1.043(0.330–3.295) | 0.942   |
| 1 course with surgery                     |                   |         |
| Dose of radiation                         |                   |         |
| > 60Gy                                    | 0.212(0.014–3.175) | 0.261   |
| ≤ 60Gy                                    |                   |         |
| Time interval between radiation and salvage surgery | 10.224(1.455–71.839) | 0.019   |
| ≤ 6                                       |                   |         |
| > 6                                       |                   |         |
| Previous chemo- or targeted therapy       |                   |         |
| No                                        | 0.129(0.026–0.646) | 0.013   |
| Yes                                       |                   |         |
| Skin invasion                             |                   |         |
| No                                        | 0.100(0.010–1.022) | 0.052   |
| Yes                                       |                   |         |
| Intra-op carotid artery invasion          | 0.274(0.030–2.491) | 0.250   |
| No                                        |                   |         |
| Yes                                       |                   |         |
| Pre-op prevertebral invasion              | 10.871(0.778–157.952) | 0.076   |
| No                                        |                   |         |
| Yes                                       |                   |         |

*aIntra-op carotid artery invasion was judged by intraoperative detection*
the 2-year survival rate of salvage surgery for stage IV HNSCC was <25%, and only 30% of patients reported a satisfactory QOL [4]. TPLE overall prolonged the median survival time from 3.8–12 months compared with supportive care, which is meaningless considering the high risk of salvage surgery. As we found in the current study, select patients who did not have two risk factors could achieve a high 3-year survival rate of 47.2%, and the median survival of 33 months was similar to naïve-treatment patients with the same stage. Our results revealed that surgical salvage could still help such late-stage patients.

The time interval between radiation and salvage surgery was a significant factor related to survival and severe complications. The poor prognostic patients developed rT4 tumors <6 months after radiation. The possible reasons were as follows: (1) the cancer was resistant to radiation; or (2) the tumor progressed quickly after recurrence. Each condition indicates that these tumors exhibit aggressive biological behavior, and salvage surgery is not a promising strategy to treat these tumors. This finding is similar to that reported in other studies [2–4]. Some authors [5–7] have considered that a short disease interval (DFI) is a significant negative tumor factor, as well as a faster growth rate or greater resistance to index treatment, making salvage therapy more problematic [8–11].

Microscopic carotid artery invasion was a prognostic factor in the current study. Although clinical rT4b cases were excluded according to pre-operative evaluation, microscopic invasion of the carotid artery was still detected intra-operatively by frozen section; however, this risk factor seemed difficult to be distinguished before surgery, which was still a problem we faced. Tumor and scar tissue were difficult to distinguish by imaging examination and more accurate preoperative evaluation is still needed for recurrent disease.

In the current study, the negative factors related to oncologic and functional improvement included a shorter interval between radiation and salvage surgery, microscopic carotid artery invasion, and previous concurrent chemotherapy or targeted therapy. These factors could achieve a high 3-year survival rate of 47.2%, and the median survival of 33 months was similar to naïve-treatment patients with the same stage. Our results revealed that surgical salvage could still help such late-stage patients.

The time interval between radiation and salvage surgery was a significant factor related to survival and severe complications. The poor prognostic patients developed rT4 tumors <6 months after radiation. The possible reasons were as follows: (1) the cancer was resistant to radiation; or (2) the tumor progressed quickly after recurrence. Each condition indicates that these tumors exhibit aggressive biological behavior, and salvage surgery is not a promising strategy to treat these tumors. This finding is similar to that reported in other studies [2–4]. Some authors [5–7] have considered that a short disease interval (DFI) is a significant negative tumor factor, as well as a faster growth rate or greater resistance to index treatment, making salvage therapy more problematic [8–11].

Microscopic carotid artery invasion was a prognostic factor in the current study. Although clinical rT4b cases were excluded according to pre-operative evaluation, microscopic invasion of the carotid artery was still detected intra-operatively by frozen section; however, this risk factor seemed difficult to be distinguished before surgery, which was still a problem we faced. Tumor and scar tissue were difficult to distinguish by imaging examination and more accurate preoperative evaluation is still needed for recurrent disease.

In the current study, the negative factors related to oncologic and functional improvement included a shorter interval between radiation and salvage surgery, microscopic carotid artery invasion, and previous concurrent chemotherapy or targeted therapy. These factors

### Table 3 Univariate analysis of prognostic factors

| Characteristics                        | n   | 2-year overall survival rate | P-value |
|----------------------------------------|-----|-----------------------------|---------|
| Age in years                           |     |                             | 0.285   |
| > 60                                   | 30  | 42.2%                       |         |
| ≤ 60                                   | 36  | 33.9%                       |         |
| Primary                                |     |                             | 0.218   |
| Larynx                                 | 19  | 22.3%                       |         |
| Hypo-pharynx                           | 40  | 42.1%                       |         |
| Cervicaleosophagus                     | 7   | 57.1%                       |         |
| Previous treatment                     |     |                             | 0.692   |
| 1 course without surgery               | 30  | 30.9%                       |         |
| 1 course with surgery                  | 26  | 43.0%                       |         |
| Multiple courses                       | 10  | 46.7%                       |         |
| Time interval between radiation and salvage surgery | 0.065 |       |         |
| ≤ 6                                    | 14  | 11.3%                       |         |
| > 6                                    | 52  | 41.6%                       |         |
| Previous chemo- or targeted therapy    |     |                             | 0.579   |
| No                                     | 46  | 40.3%                       |         |
| Yes                                    | 20  | 31.4%                       |         |
| Skin invasion                          |     |                             | 0.135   |
| No                                     | 52  | 41.9%                       |         |
| Yes                                    | 14  | 19.8%                       |         |
| Pre-op suspicious carotid artery invasion | 0.009 |       |         |
| No                                     | 53  | 42.8%                       |         |
| Yes                                    | 13  | 8.5%                        |         |
| Intra-op carotid artery invasion       |     |                             | 0.000   |
| No                                     | 40  | 54.3%                       |         |
| Yes                                    | 26  | 6.2%                        |         |
| Pre-op suspicious prevertebral invasion | 0.001 |       |         |
| No                                     | 55  | 46.0%                       |         |
| Yes                                    | 11  | 0                           |         |
| Intra-op prevertebral invasion         |     |                             | 0.327   |
| No                                     | 39  | 44.1                        |         |
| Yes                                    | 27  | 24.4                        |         |
| Pre-op positive cervical nodes         |     |                             | 0.834   |
| No                                     | 48  | 38.4%                       |         |
| Yes                                    | 18  | 34.4%                       |         |

### Table 4 Multivariate analysis for prognostic factors by Cox regression

| Characteristics                        | 2-year overall survival rate | HR(95%CI)   | P-value |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Time interval between radiation and salvage surgery (months) |                      | 2.527 (1.219–5.242) | 0.013   |
| ≤ 6                                    | 11.3%                       |             |         |
| > 6                                    | 41.6%                       |             |         |
| Intra-op carotid artery invasion       |                             | 3.643 (1.593–8.329) | 0.002   |
| No                                     | 54.3%                       |             |         |
| Yes                                    | 6.2%                        |             |         |
| Pre-op pre vertebral invasion          |                             | 0.643 (0.265–1.559) | 0.328   |
| No                                     | 46.0%                       |             |         |
| Yes                                    | 0                           |             |         |

*Pre-operative suspicious was judged by preoperative CT scan*

*bIntra-op carotid artery invasion was judged by intraoperative detection*
were similar to those reported in previous studies on salvage surgery for recurrent HNSCC regardless of previous treatment or recurrence status [1, 12–15]. This result demonstrated that selected cases, even very locally advanced recurrent head and neck cases, could also be salvaged by surgery. Patients are likely to benefit from salvage TPLE.

Considering these results, we recommend individualized assessment and multi-disciplinary decision of each patient. For patient who do not have any adverse factors of prognosis and post-operative QOL, salvage surgery is a good choice.

Conclusions
Salvage TPLE is likely to have significant survival and functional benefit to select patients with previously irradiated, recurrent T4 cancer of the larynx, hypopharynx, and cervical esophagus. The adverse factors include a short interval between irradiation and salvage surgery, microscopic carotid artery invasion, and previous concurrent chemotherapy or targeted therapy.
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