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Abstract

Background: Adolescents living with perinatally-acquired HIV (APHIV) face challenges including timely disclosure of their HIV-serostatus that was explored in the West-African COHADO cohort. We assessed the 24-month outcomes in COHADO, among APHIV in relation to the disclosure of their own HIV-serostatus.

Methods: Nested within the International epidemiologic Database to Evaluate AIDS pediatric West African prospective cohort (IeDEA pWADA), the COHADO cohort included antiretroviral (ART)-treated APHIV aged 10–19 years, enrolled in HIV-care <10 years, in Abidjan (Côte d’Ivoire) and Lomé (Togo) in 2015. A favorable 24-month outcome was defined when combining being retained in care, without progression to WHO-AIDS stage, with CD4 cell count > baseline CD4 (± 10%) and with virological suppression (viral load [VL] <50 copies/mL). We investigated correlates of APHIV favorable 24-month outcome using multivariate logistic regression.

Results: Overall, 209 APHIV were included, 51.6% in Abidjan, 54.5% were females. At inclusion, median CD4 cell count was 521/mm3 (IQR[281-757]); only 29.6% had a VL measurement of whom 3.2% in virological suppression. APHIV were younger in Lomé (median age: 12 years (interquartile range [IQR]:11-15) compared to Abidjan (14 years (IQR:12-15, p=0.01). Full HIV-disclosure increased from 41.6% at inclusion to 74.1% after 24 months. After 24 months of follow-up, 6 (2.9%) died, 8 (3.8%) were lost to follow-up, 4 (1.9%) were transferred out. Overall, 73.7% did not progress to WHO-AIDS stage, 62.7% had CD4 count above (± 10%) of the baseline value (48.6% in Abidjan versus 69.0% in Lomé, p<0.001). Among the 83.7% with VL measurements, 48.8% were in virological suppression (Abidjan: 45.4%, Lomé: 52.5%, p<0.01). The 24-month combined outcome was favorable for 45% (29.6% in Abidjan and 61.4% in Lomé, p<0.01). Adjusted on sex, age, a 24-month favorable outcome was not associated with HIV-disclosure status but was significantly higher for APHIV living in Lomé compared to those living Abidjan (adjusted odds ratio =4.41, 95%CI:2.29-8.50).

Conclusions: 24-month favorable outcome rates were low among West-African APHIV and differed across countries. HIV-disclosure frequency improved over time but remained low. Context-specific responses are urgently needed to improve adolescent’s care to reach the UNAIDS 90% target of virological success for those on ART.

Introduction

Access to antiretroviral therapy (ART) in sub-Saharan Africa has significantly expanded since 2004 [1]. As a result, children living with perinatally-acquired HIV are now growing up into adolescence and young adulthood [2, 3]. This critical period of adolescence is characterized by biological, psychosocial changes in a context where HIV disease is turning into a chronic condition. Globally, 1.8 million adolescents aged 10–19 years were living with HIV in 2017 [4]. Sub-Saharan Africa is the most impacted region, accounting for 84% of adolescents living with HIV (ALHIV) [4–6]; HIV/AIDS was the leading cause of death amongst adolescents in this region in 2016 [7]. Despite the progress achieved in pediatric HIV care, attention must
be paid to the expanding population of adolescents living with either perinatally or non-perinatally acquired HIV. It is estimated that new HIV infections in adolescents will increase 13% annually by 2030 in Africa [8, 9].

Compared to adults or younger children, adolescents living with perinatally acquired HIV (APHIV) experience higher morbidity, mortality and lower rates of virological suppression [10, 11]. This is most likely related to late access to HIV diagnosis and antiretroviral therapy (ART), lack of HIV-disclosure, poor medication adherence, poor retention in care, explained by individual, social, and structural barriers [6, 12–15]. Timely full HIV-disclosure could improve ALHIV outcomes through improved adherence and slower disease progression [16]. Transition to adult care also remains a vulnerable step in ALHIV care [17, 18]. All these factors contribute to delaying the ALHIV cascade of care, which targets are 90% of those infected on ART and 90% of those on ART achieving virological suppression [19].

Timely HIV-disclosure in APHIV is a crucial step to achieve this 90–90–90 UNAIDS target [19, 20]. The full-disclosure of an HIV diagnosis includes naming HIV/AIDS, provide information about care and the modes of transmission HIV disease [20]. Unfortunately, a large proportion of APHIV diagnosed during their infancy, remain unaware of their own HIV-positive status while they are growing-up. According to a review, 1.7% to 41% of children and ALHIV in low and middle-income countries are fully disclosed of their HIV-positive status [21]. More specifically in West-Africa, less than a third of APHIV knew their status in 2011 [22]. Data suggest that HIV-disclosure is associated with better ART adherence and retention in care [23, 24]. However, in West Africa, the HIV-disclosure process often occurs late, after the WHO-recommended age of 12 years [25]. The prospective monitoring of this disclosure process is crucial to understand the clinical, immunological and virological long term outcomes in APHIV.

Prospective follow-up data from sub-Saharan Africa health facilities show an insufficiently targeted approach to APHIV [26]. The situation is even worse in West and Central Africa, which has recorded a 35% increase in the annual number of AIDS-related deaths among adolescents aged 15–19 years from 2010 to 2016 [27]. To better document outcomes of APHIV in West Africa, the COHADO cohort, as part of the West African International epidemiologic Database to Evaluate AIDS (IeDEA) paediatric cohort Collaboration, was launched in 2015. We described the HIV-disclosure process and assess the 24-month outcomes among APHIV since inclusion in the COHADO cohort, in two sites of the West-African IeDEA paediatric cohort in Lomé (Togo) and Abidjan (Côte d’Ivoire).

**Methods**

**Study design**

The IeDEA paediatric West African Database to evaluate AIDS (pWADA) is an international multicentric prospective cohort as part of the IeDEA global pediatric collaboration (https://www.iedea.org/) supported by the US National Institutes of Health since 2006, to describe HIV epidemiology trends and evaluate HIV outcomes using large patient-level observational databases. It included children and adolescent (< 16
years of age) living with HIV from 11 pediatric clinical centers in seven West African countries (Benin, Burkina Faso, Côte d’Ivoire, Ghana, Mali, Senegal and Togo). It aimed at addressing HIV/AIDS research questions regarding HIV care and outcomes in children and adolescents living with HIV in West Africa [28]. Nested in pWADA, the COHADO prospective cohort was aimed at collecting prospective data to focus on ALHIV specifics issues such as the HIV-disclosure process and behavioral issues in Lomé (Togo) and Abidjan (Côte d’Ivoire) between 2015 and 2017.

**Settings and study population**

The COHADO cohort included APHIV from the pediatric pWADA active file of the Teaching Hospital of Yopougon (Abidjan, Côte d’Ivoire) and the Sylvanus Olympio Teaching Hospital (Lomé, Togo). In accordance with national guidelines, APHIV followed up in these sites were typically seen in medical consultation quarterly. CD4 count were measured six-monthly, and since 2016, viral load was monitored on a yearly basis.

Between January 2015 and November 2015, all ART-treated ALHIV aged 10–19 years, included in HIV-care before the age of 10 years (as a proxy of perinatal infection), and followed up in the two participating sites.

In November 2016, a workshop targeted on health care professional practices of HIV-serostatus disclosure to ALHIV was held in Abidjan and involved the clinicians (MD, psychologists) of all the IeDEA-pWADA sites. This workshop was aimed to promote the HIV disclosure process to ALHIV among HCW from the IeDEA-WA sites, including Abidjan and Lomé contributing to COHADO [29].

**Data collection**

Study-specific data including living and schooling condition, parents’ vital status, access to running water, access to electricity, disclosure (at baseline and during follow-up) and disclosure process, were recorded using standardized questionnaires assessed yearly. Other sociodemographic, clinical and therapeutic data were extracted from medical records and from the IeDEA pediatric central database.

HIV-disclosure process data (disclosure status, date of full disclosure, person in charge of disclosure) were recorded from parents/legal guardian to avoid any accidental disclosure during the interview. HIV-disclosure was defined as full disclosure when the APHIV has been told that he or she has HIV/AIDS specifically, and he/she knows the care and the modes of transmission [20].

Treatment adherence was evaluated by the counsellor, using the ratio between the number of pills prescribed and the number of pills taken during the four days preceding the visit. Good treatment adherence was defined as more than 95% of planned doses taken.
Data analysis

Baseline was defined as the date of inclusion in the COHADO cohort. Our endpoint, a 24-month favorable outcome, was measured at the 24-month visit combining multiple criteria: (i) vital status (alive, died, lost to follow-up); (ii) clinical criteria (WHO clinical stage [30] evolution from baseline); (iii) immunological criteria (difference in CD4 count since baseline); (iv) virological criteria (HIV viral load). APHIV was defined as lost to follow-up if he did not report for any follow-up for at least 6 months, and for whom transfer, or vital status was unknown.

After describing each criterion separately, we assessed a combined outcome pooling all criteria at 24 months. A favorable combined outcome was defined as being alive and followed up without progression to WHO AIDS stage; having a CD4 cell count above the baseline value (±10%) and being in virological suppression (viral load < 50 copies/mL). APHIV with missing data in one of the evaluation criterion were classified using the other criterion and we performed sensitivity analyses.

We described APHIV baseline characteristics using median values with inter-quartile ranges (IQR) for continuous variables and proportions for categorized variable, globally and by site. Full HIV-disclosure was assessed over the 24 months of follow-up: APHIV were classified as non-disclosed, disclosed to during the past 2 years (after inclusion in COHADO), and disclosed to >2 years, thus before inclusion in COHADO (for them, the timing of disclosure was often not recorded).

We described 24-month outcomes overall, according to site, disclosure status and other baseline characteristics. Correlates of favorable combined outcome in COHADO at 24 months of follow-up were investigated using a backward stepwise logistic regression analysis, including all covariables significantly associated at a 20% threshold in the univariate analyses. Age and sex were forced in the final multivariate model. We tested for country-specific practices adding an interaction between disclosure and country, but this was non-significant. All analyses were performed using STATA 14.2 (Statacorp, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

Selection and inclusion of ALHIV in the COHADO cohort

From January to November 2015, 511 ALHIV visited the sites of Abidjan and Lomé. Among them, a total of 209 (40.9%) APHIV were offered enrolment in COHADO and gave their consent (Figure 1). Reasons for not being included were due to refusal and the unavailability of health care workers to propose participating in the study. ALHIV included in COHADO did not differ from others who visited the sites in terms of sex, age and immunological status distributions at baseline. However, there were high rates of missing data for both WHO clinical staging and viral load data (Table 1).

Baseline characteristics
Baseline characteristics are presented in Table 2. Among the 209 APHIV included, 51.7% (n = 108) lived in Abidjan and 54.6% (n = 114) were female. Median age was 13 [IQR: 12–15] years; APHIV were significantly older in Abidjan compared to Lomé (p = 0.01). The APHIV median ART duration prior to inclusion in COHADO was 6 [IQR: 4–10] years and it was significantly longer in Abidjan than in Lomé (p<0.01).

Only 23.9% of the cohort lived with both biological parents. Most APHIV (89.9%) were from urban areas, 97.1% had access to electricity and 62.7% had access to piped water at home.

Overall, 17.2% had already reached the WHO AIDS stage 4 at baseline, this proportion was higher in Lomé (32.7%) compared to Abidjan (2.8%) (p<0.01). Regarding ART, 81.2% were on an NNRTI-based regimen, and 56.4% of APHIV were good adherent to ART, as defined in the methods section. Only 29.6% of APHIV had a viral load measurement, of whom 3.2% reached virological suppression (<50 cp/mL).

At baseline, 41.6% were already fully disclosed of their HIV-serostatus, this proportion was significantly higher in Abidjan (57.4%) compared to Lomé (24.8%, p<0.01).

24-month outcomes

Among the 209 APHIV, 32.5% were HIV-disclosed over the 24-month follow-up period, reaching a proportion of 74.1% who were fully HIV-disclosed at endpoint (+78.1% compared to baseline, p<0.01). During follow-up, the increase in HIV disclosure frequency relatively to baseline was stronger in Lomé (+52.5%) than in Abidjan (+13.9%) (p<0.01). (Table 3)

By 24 months, six APHIV had died (2.9%) and eight were lost to follow-up (3.8%). Among the 209 APHIV, the 24-month combined outcome was favorable for 45% of APHIV. The proportion of APHIV with a favorable outcome was higher in Lomé (61.4%) compared to Abidjan (29.6%, p<0.01) (Table 3).

HIV disclosure process characteristics

The APHIV who were HIV-disclosed to at the endpoint were significantly older (median age: 16 [IQR: 14–18] years) than those not disclosed to (median age: 13 years [IQR: 12–14]) (p<0.01). These APHIV also tended to be more advanced in HIV disease and had significantly lower median CD4 cell (22.6% at WHO stage 4/AIDS; CD4 cell count: 508 cells/mm³ [IQR: 348–750]) compared to those not disclosed to (14.8% at WHO stage 4/AIDS; CD4 cell count: 596 cells/mm³ [IQR: 455–871]) (Table 4).

Questioned about the reason of non-disclosure at baseline, parents/legal guardians of the 122 APHIV unaware of their HIV-serostatus declared fear of the adolescent’s reaction (75.0%) and fear of HIV status divulgaion to others (69.1%) as the most frequent reasons. Other reasons included the young age of APHIV (52.9%) and the fact that parents were also not prepared (35.3%).
Among the 155 APHIV who were fully HIV-disclosed to by last contact, both parents (44.4%) and psychologists (56.7%) were the most involved in the HIV disclosure process; doctors were involved in the process for only 5.5% of APHIV (Table 5).

Factors associated with a favorable 24-month combined outcome

Table 6 presents the correlates of a 24-month favorable combined outcome in APHIV. In the univariate analysis, we found that APHIV from Lomé (vs Abidjan), without access to piper water (vs with access to piper water) and with baseline WHO clinical stage 4 (vs WHO clinical stage 1,2,3) were significantly more likely to have a 24-month favorable outcome. Contrariwise, being an orphan tended to reduce the odds of a 24-month favorable outcome, but this was not significant.

In the final model, adjusted for sex, age and disclosure status, APHIV disclosure status was not associated with having a favorable 24-month outcome (Disclosed ≤ 2 years since baseline: adjusted odds ratio (aOR) = 0.50, 95%CI [0.21–1.15], p = 0.10; Disclosed >2 years since baseline: (aOR) = 0.65, 95%CI [0.25–1.72], p = 0.39) (Table 6). APHIV from Lomé were four times more likely to have a favorable 24-month outcome compared to those from Abidjan (aOR: 4.41, 95%CI [2.29–8.50]).

We performed sensitivity analyses for APHIV with 24-month outcomes defined with one missing criterion, the result remained in the same order.

Discussion

For the first time to our knowledge, this cohort reports on the clinical, immunological and virological outcomes of APHIV measured over 24-month in relation to HIV-disclosure in two West African pilot sites contributing to the leDEA West Africa collaboration. We make several key findings: first, the COHADO project has encouraged full HIV-serostatus disclosure to APHIV, with an increase from 46.1% to 74.1% after 24 months, but we still found that one in four APHIV remained not formally disclosed of his HIV-serostatus at the endpoint while they all were aged above 13 years. Second, doctors remained little involved in the disclosure process, unlike parents and psychologists. Third, we found that APHIV disclosed to were at a more advanced stage of the disease than those unaware of their status. Fourth, after 24-month of follow-up, the cumulative death rate was high, close to 3%, and only 45% of APHIV had a favorable 24-month combined outcome after two years of follow-up. Finally, adjusted on sex, age, disclosure status was not associated with the outcome, but APHIV from Lomé increased by four the odds of a favorable 24-month outcome compared to those from Abidjan.

Although the frequency of ALHIV fully disclosed of their HIV-serostatus varies according to studies in Africa, it remains overall low ranging from 16% to 39% [31–36]. In our study, we found that only 46.1% knew their HIV status at baseline, and 74.1% by 24 months of follow-up. This is higher than reported in previous studies, particularly for West Africa [22, 37, 38]. In Ghana, two separate studies reported the
proportion of HIV disclosure to be 11.2% among children and adolescents aged 8–14 years in 2009 and 44% among ALHIV aged 12–19 years in 2015 [37, 39]. Furthermore, we observed an increase in the HIV-disclosure process over the 24-month follow-up period that could be partly explained by the growing awareness of health care workers on the benefits of HIV-disclosure. This likely occurred after the workshop on HIV-serostatus disclosure to ALHIV delivered in 2016, in Abidjan and involving the HIV health professionals of all the leDEA-pWADA sites [29]. This stimulating effect on HIV-disclosure is clearly visible in Lomé where the proportion of APHIV disclosed had increased from 24.7% to 77.2% during the study.

We found that both psychologists and parents are involved in ALHIV serostatus disclosure, while doctors tended to delegate the disclosure practice, likely for time issues. Indeed, healthcare workers face structural issues including limited human and technical resources, whereas disclosure is a complex process which needs time and training [20, 23]. It is important to tailor locally appropriate strategies to improve disclosure practice. Furthermore, parents are also unprepared for disclosure and fear ALHIV stigmatization. For many parents, children are too young or are not ready to receive HIV-disclosure [21, 40, 41]. The role and benefits of having parents involved in the HIV disclosure process remain unclear. While some studies have suggested that parents are in a much better position to disclose ALHIV serostatus, others have reported that according to ALHIV, health workers are better placed [42–44].

We found that APHIV disclosed of their HIV status tended to have worse immunological and clinical condition than those not disclosed. Unfortunately, we were not able to assess the exact timing of HIV disclosure as this is an evolving process that can take several clinical visits. We make however, two main hypotheses that could explain this observation. Either HIV disclosure had a negative effect on the APHIV leading to poor ART adherence and thus poor clinical outcomes. Or, it is the poor immunological and clinical condition of the patient that led to HIV-disclosure, in order to improve urgently their health condition via the improvement of their adherence to ART. We feel, in the context of our study, that this second hypothesis is most likely. This indication bias could have reduced the disclosure effect measured at the 24th month, since APHIV disclosed have worse health conditions. We also noted that those disclosed to were significantly older, and therefore most likely to be at a more advanced stage of the disease. Previous cohort studies, in both high and low income settings have reported that younger age of APHIV is associated with less frequent WHO 4 clinical stage compared to older APHIV [45, 46].

During follow-up, the cumulative death rate reached 3%. While this seems high, it is lower than that reported in other studies conducted in ALHIV in sub-Saharan Africa, where it ranged from 4–6% [24, 47]. Although these rates remain in the same order of magnitude, our lower rate could be explained by the shorter follow-up duration.

Overall, 45% of APHIV had favorable combined 24-month outcome; this was more frequent in Lomé than in Abidjan. However, APHIV in Lomé were in poorer clinical and immunological condition compared to those from Abidjan, and therefore had more leeway to reach a favorable 24-month outcome. This finding highlights differences of practices and resources available, between West African cities, which must be
considered to improve APHIV care. Nevertheless, our outcomes are observed in the context where about 80% of APHIV were receiving a NNRTI-therapy with a limited access to genotype and second-line therapy, common in West-Africa. The sub-optimal virological response in our population is in line with the results reported in a snapshot-study conducted in Lomé in 2016, with high rates of virological failure and drug resistance [48].

Many studies have reported on how HIV disclosure improves ALHIV clinical, immunological and virological outcomes as well as retention in care[16, 23, 24, 47, 49]. In COHADO, we found that HIV disclosure tend to reduce the odds of having a favorable 24-month outcome, though this was not significant. This result could partially be explained by the disclosure indication bias induced in COHADO, previously mentioned, where disclosure is prompted by the advanced stage of the disease. We advise caution in the interpretation of this observation, since our disclosure variable was not standardized nor we were not able to time disclosure for all APHIV. A substantial proportion of APHIV was indeed disclosed before inclusion in COHADO cohort and without disclosure date. So, the association between disclosure and the 24-month favorable outcome is evaluated at a time too soon for some APHIV and too far for others.

It has been previously reported that ALHIV non-disclosure of HIV-serostatus increases virological failure by a 5-fold [50]. The identification of virological failure is possible where viral load measurement is available, which was not routinely done in West Africa. The COHADO cohort, as one of the first to provide viral load data with measurements most available for the 24th month of follow-up. Viral load access is a real issue in ALHIV care monitoring in Africa, and the prioritization of viral load measurement is universally recommended since 2016 particularly among ALHIV who have high risk of virological failure[50]. In the COHADO clinical sites, the annual viral load measurement is a recent opportunity that should be scaled up and supported in every HIV-care facility, as virological success could be also used to optimize treatment adherence and outcomes of APHIV.

Our study met several limitations. First, we enrolled less than half of APHIV who visited the sites during the inclusion period due to logistical issues, mainly related to the lack of health care worker resources to propose the study and get formally the parent’s consent. Although those included did not differ significantly from those not included, the small sample size may have limited the statistical power of our analysis. Second, we selected our APHIV in urban referral center for adolescent HIV care where APHIV are likely receiving better standard of care compared to the general population in these cities. Third, the few time points in the follow-up did not allow us to perform a longitudinal analysis. However, the COHADO cohort reported a small proportion of lost to follow-up reflecting high quality of follow-up in the selected sites. Nevertheless, our study provides original data documenting the feasibility and indirectly the positive effect of accompanying actively the HIV disclosure process using health care worker training on this topic, and routine monitoring of this crucial event. Second, to our knowledge, this study gives a representative and longitudinal assessment of the virological response in APHIV on lifelong ART that could be further used as a reference.
Conclusions

The COHADO cohort study assessed the HIV-disclosure process and outcomes of APHIV in two West African urban clinical sites. Although the frequency of full HIV disclosure remains low, this project encouraged full HIV-disclosure serostatus to APHIV with documented results. The 24-month outcome of APHIV was favorable for slightly less than half of them and differ according to sites.

Urgent interventions are needed to support timely APHIV disclosure practices in both caregivers and health care workers. These interventions to improve full HIV-disclosure to APHIV, may consider disclosure as a dynamic process that should be monitored over time and include caregivers, and healthcare providers. It is also urgent to reduce morbidity and mortality in ALHIV in West Africa. The country effect on the favorable outcomes of ALHIV suggested the need for a better distribution of health workers and resources, the need for context-specific interventions. Finally, it is crucial to monitor closely virological outcomes of ALHIV on lifelong ART. This study provides a reference to tailor context-specific interventions in West-Africa. Cohort studies among ALHIV such as in pWADA may offer unique opportunity to optimize monitoring and standardized data collection and offer interventional packages to address specifics ALHIV issues including disclosure, ART adherence, virological outcome, drug resistance mental and sexual health with the perspective of improving the 90–90–90 cascade in ALHIV population.
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| NNRTI   | Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors |
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Table 1. Characteristic of adolescents included in the COHADO cohort compared to adolescent not included, Abidjan (Côte d’Ivoire), Lomé (Togo), IeDEA-pWADA, 2015-2017

|                                | Total (N=511) | Not included in COHADO (N=302) | Included in COHADO (N=209) | P Value |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| **Sex, n (%)**                 |               |                                 |                             |         |
| Males                          | 239 (46.8)    | 144 (47.7)                      | 95 (45.4)                   | 0.61(1) |
| Females                        | 272 (53.2)    | 158 (52.3)                      | 114 (54.6)                  |         |
| **Age in years, median [IQR]** | 13 [11-15]    | 14 [12-16]                      | 13 [11-15]                  | 0.06(3) |
| **WHO clinical stage**         |               |                                 |                             | <0.01(2)|
| 1,2,3                          | 380 (74.4)    | 207 (68.5)                      | 173 (82.8)                  |         |
| 4 (AIDS)                       | 43 (8.4)      | 7 (2.3)                         | 36 (17.2)                   |         |
| Missing                        | 88 (17.2)     | 88 (29.4)                       | 0 (0.0)                     |         |
| **CD4, median [IQR]**          | 483 [258-701] | 487 [277-678]                   | 534 [278-798]               | 0.76(3) |
| **Virological suppression, n (%)** |            |                                 |                             | <0.01(2)|
| Yes                            | 34 (6.7)      | 32 (10.6)                       | 2 (1.0)                     |         |
| No                             | 190 (37.2)    | 130 (43.0)                      | 60 (28.7)                   |         |
| Missing                        | 287 (56.1)    | 140 (46.4)                      | 147 (70.3)                  |         |
| **Site, n (%)**                |               |                                 |                             | <0.01(1)|
| Abidjan (Côte d’Ivoire)        | 371 (72.6)    | 263 (87.1)                      | 108 (51.7)                  |         |
| Lomé (Togo)                    | 140 (27.4)    | 39 (12.9)                       | 101 (48.3)                  |         |
| **Age initiation ART, median [IQR]** | 7[4-9]        | 6[4-9]                          | 7[4-10]                     | 0.12(3) |

(1) Chi2 test  (2) Fisher’s exact test  (3) Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test
|                                | Total N=209 (%) | Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire N=108 (%) | Lomé, Togo N=101 (%) | P-Value(1) |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| **Sex n (%)**                  |                |                                  |                      | 0.25       |
| Males                          | 95 (45.4)      | 45 (41.7)                        | 50 (49.5)            |            |
| Females                        | 114 (54.6)     | 63 (58.3)                        | 51 (50.5)            |            |
| **HIV disclosure performed, n (%)** |                |                                  |                      | <0.01      |
| Yes                            | 87 (41.6)      | 61 (57.4)                        | 25 (24.8)            |            |
| No                             | 122 (58.4)     | 45 (42.6)                        | 76 (75.2)            |            |
| **Education level, n (%)**     |                |                                  |                      | 0.01       |
| Primary school                 | 80 (38.3)      | 31 (28.7)                        | 49 (48.5)            |            |
| Middle schools                 | 103 (49.3)     | 61 (56.5)                        | 42 (41.6)            |            |
| High schools                   | 26 (12.4)      | 16 (14.8)                        | 10 (9.9)             |            |
| **Residential environment, n (%)** |                |                                  |                      | 0.91       |
| Urban                          | 187 (89.9)     | 96 (88.9)                        | 91 (90.1)            |            |
| Rural                          | 22 (10.1)      | 12 (11.1)                        | 10 (9.9)             |            |
| **Electricity access, n (%)**  |                |                                  |                      | 0.43(2)    |
| Yes                            | 203 (97.1)     | 106 (98.1)                       | 97 (96.0)            |            |
| No                             | 6 (2.9)        | 2 (1.9)                          | 4 (4.0)              |            |
| **Piped water access, n (%)**  |                |                                  |                      | <0.01      |
| Yes                            | 131 (62.7)     | 101 (93.5)                       | 30 (29.7)            |            |
| No                             | 78 (37.3)      | 7 (6.5)                          | 71 (70.3)            |            |
| **Living with, n (%)**         |                |                                  |                      | 0.65       |
| Both parents                   | 50 (23.9)      | 27 (25.0)                        | 23 (22.8)            |            |
| Only one parent                | 93 (44.5)      | 50 (46.3)                        | 43 (42.6)            |            |
| Other family member            | 66 (31.6)      | 31 (28.7)                        | 35 (34.6)            |            |
| **Orphanhood, n (%)**          |                |                                  |                      | 0.03       |
| No                             | 91 (43.5)      | 44 (40.7)                        | 47 (46.5)            |            |
| One parent                     | 91 (43.5)      | 55 (50.9)                        | 36 (35.6)            |            |
| Two parents                    | 27 (13.0)      | 9 (8.4)                          | 18 (17.8)            |            |
| **WHO clinical stage, n (%)**  |                |                                  |                      | <0.01      |
| 1,2,3                          | 173 (83.8)     | 105 (97.2)                       | 68 (67.3)            |            |
| 4 (AIDS)                       | 36 (17.2)      | 3 (2.8)                          | 33 (32.7)            |            |
| **ART regimen, n (%)**         |                |                                  |                      | 0.48       |
| 2 NRTI+1NNRTI                  | 168 (81.2)     | 88 (83.0)                        | 80 (79.2)            |            |
| 2 NRTI+1PI                     | 39 (18.8)      | 18 (17.0)                        | 21 (20.8)            |            |
| **Good ART adherence†, n (%)** |                |                                  |                      | <0.01(2)   |
| Yes                            | 118 (56.4)     | 57 (52.7)                        | 61 (51.6)            |            |
| No                             | 57 (27.3)      | 24 (22.2)                        | 33 (32.6)            |            |
| Missing                        | 34 (16.3)      | 27 (25.0)                        | 7 (6.9)              |            |
| **Virological suppression, n/N (%)** |            |                                  |                      | <0.01      |
| (Viral load < 50cp/mL)         |                |                                  |                      |            |
| Yes                            | 2/62 (3.2)     | 0/53 (0.0)                       | 2/9 (22.2)           |            |
| No                             | 60/62 (96.8)   | 53/53 (100.0)                    | 7/9 (77.8)           |            |
| **CD4 cell count/mm3, median [IQR]** |            |                                  |                      | 0.71(3)    |
| 521[281-757]                   | 540[314-753]   | 484[271.5-760]                   |                      |            |
| **Age in years, median [IQR]** | 13[11-15]      | 14[12-15]                        | 12[11-15]            | 0.01(3)    |
| **ART duration in years, median [IQR]** |        |                                  |                      |            |
| 6[4-10]                        | 9[7-11]        | 5[2-6]                           |                      | <0.01(3)   |
| **Age at ART initiation, median [IQR]** | 7[4-10]       | 5[3-8]                           | 9[6-11]              | <0.01(3)   |

(1) Chi2 test; (2) Fisher’s exact test; (3) Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test †More than 95% of planned doses taken.
Table 3. 24-month outcomes of the 209 APHIV included, by site, in the COHADO cohort, IeDEA-pWADA, 2015-2017

| HIV-disclosure performed, n (%) | Total N=209 (%) | Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire N=108 (%) | Lomé, Togo N=101 (%) | P Value (1) |
|--------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| No                             | 54 (25.9)      | 31 (28.7)                       | 23 (22.8)           | <0.01       |
| Yes (Since ≤ 2 years)          | 68 (32.5)      | 15 (13.9)                       | 53 (52.5)           |
| Yes (Since > 2 years)          | 87 (41.6)      | 62 (57.4)                       | 25 (24.7)           |

| Follow-up, n (%) | Total N=209 (%) | Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire N=108 (%) | Lomé, Togo N=101 (%) | P Value (2) |
|-----------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| Died            | 6 (2.9)        | 4 (3.7)                         | 2 (2.0)             | 0.18        |
| Lost to follow-up | 8 (3.8)   | 3 (2.8)                         | 5 (4.9)             |
| Transferred out | 4 (1.9)        | 4 (3.7)                         | 0 (0.0)             |
| Alive & follow-up | 191 (91.4) | 97 (89.8)                       | 94 (93.1)           |

| Progression to AIDS WHO stage, n (%) | Total N=209 (%) | Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire N=108 (%) | Lomé, Togo N=101 (%) | P Value (2) |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| No                                  | 154 (73.7)     | 91 (84.2)                       | 63 (62.4)           | <0.01       |
| Yes                                 | 7 (3.4)        | 5 (4.6)                         | 2 (2.0)             |
| Not applicable                      | 48 (22.9)      | 11 (11.2)                       | 35 (35.6)           |

| CD4 count ≥ baseline value (±10%), n (%) | Total N=209 (%) | Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire N=108 (%) | Lomé, Togo N=101 (%) | P Value (1) |
|----------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| No                                     | 76 (36.3)      | 55 (51.4)                       | 21 (21.0)           | <0.01       |
| Yes                                    | 131 (62.7)     | 52 (48.6)                       | 79 (69.0)           |
| Missing                                | 2 (1.0)        | 1 (1.0)                         | 1 (1.0)             |

| Viral load undetectable (<50 cp/mL)   | Total N=209 (%) | Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire N=108 (%) | Lomé, Togo N=101 (%) | P Value (1) |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| No                                    | 73 (34.9)      | 56 (51.8)                       | 17 (16.8)           | 0.01        |
| Yes                                   | 102 (48.8)     | 49 (45.4)                       | 53 (52.5)           |
| Missing                               | 34 (16.3)      | 3 (2.8)                         | 31 (30.7)           |

| Combined criteria (above), n (%)      | Total N=209 (%) | Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire N=108 (%) | Lomé, Togo N=101 (%) | P Value (1) |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| Favorable                             | 94 (45.0)      | 32 (29.6)                       | 62 (61.4)           | 0.01        |
| Unfavorable                           | 115 (55.0)     | 76 (70.4)                       | 39 (38.6)           |

(1) Chi2 test  (2) Fisher’s exact test
†36 already WHO stage 4 at inclusion, 5 Died, 4 Transferred, 1 lost to follow-up, 2 missing

Table 4. 24-month characteristics of the 209 APHIV included according to HIV-serostatus disclosure, in the COHADO cohort, Abidjan (Côte d’Ivoire), Lomé (Togo), IeDEA-pWADA, 2015-2017
|                         | Total N=209 (%) | HIV-serostatus disclosed N=155 (%) | HIV-serostatus non disclosed N=54 (%) | P-Value (1) |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| **Sex, n (%)**          |                 |                                   |                                      | 0.86        |
| Males                   | 95 (45.4)       | 71 (45.8)                         | 24 (44.4)                            |             |
| Females                 | 114 (54.6)      | 84 (54.2)                         | 30 (55.6)                            |             |
| **Site, n (%)**         |                 |                                   |                                      | 0.34        |
| Abidjan (Côte-d’Ivoire)| 108 (51.7)      | 77 (49.7)                         | 31 (57.4)                            |             |
| Lomé (Togo)             | 101 (48.3)      | 78 (50.3)                         | 23 (42.6)                            |             |
| **Orphanhood, n (%)**   |                 |                                   |                                      | 0.32        |
| No                      | 91 (43.5)       | 63 (40.7)                         | 28 (46.5)                            |             |
| One parent              | 91 (43.5)       | 70 (50.9)                         | 21 (35.6)                            |             |
| Two parents             | 27 (13.0)       | 22 (8.4)                          | 5 (17.8)                             |             |
| **WHO clinical stage, n (%)** |             |                                   |                                      | 0.32 (2)    |
| 1,2,3                   | 164 (78.5)      | 118 (76.1)                        | 46 (85.2)                            |             |
| 4 (AIDS)                | 43 (20.6)       | 35 (22.6)                         | 8 (14.8)                             |             |
| Missing                 | 2 (0.9)         | 2 (1.3)                           | 0 (0.0)                              |             |
| **Virological suppression (n=175), (Viral load < 50cp/mL)** | |<0.24 | | |
| Yes                     | 102 (58.3)      | 73 (55.7)                         | 29 (65.9)                            |             |
| No                      | 73 (41.7)       | 58 (44.3)                         | 15 (34.1)                            |             |
| **CD4 cell count /mm3, median [IQR]** | 523[353-785] | 508[348-750] | 596[455-871] | 0.04 (3) |
| **Age in years, median [IQR]** | 15[13-17] | 16[14-18] | 13[12-14] | <0.01 (3) |

Table 5. Characteristics of HIV-disclosure process to the 155 APHIV disclosed by last contact, by site in the COHADO cohort, IeDEA-pWADA, 2015-2017

| **Person involved in disclosure, n (%)** | Total N=155 (%) | Abidjan, Côte d’Ivoire N=77 (%) | Lomé, Togo N=78 (%) |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| Mother                                  | 41 (28.1)       | 30 (43.5)                       | 11 (14.3)           |
| Father                                  | 22 (15.6)       | 18 (26.1)                       | 4 (5.2)             |
| Doctors                                 | 8 (5.5)         | 6 (8.7)                         | 2 (2.6)             |
| Psychologist                            | 84 (56.7)       | 25 (36.2)                       | 59 (76.6)           |
| Counsellor                              | 3 (2.1)         | 0 (0.0)                         | 3 (2.1)             |
| Other (Family or association members)   | 3 (2.1)         | 0 (0.0)                         | 3 (2.1)             |
| **Disclosure performed before ART initiation** |              |                                 |                     |
| Yes                                     | 13 (8.4)        | 6 (7.8)                         | 7 (8.4)             |
| No                                      | 133 (85.8)      | 64 (83.1)                       | 69 (88.5)           |
| Unknown                                 | 9 (5.8)         | 7 (9.1)                         | 2 (2.6)             |

(1) Chi2 test; (2) Fisher’s exact test; (3) Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test
Table 6. Correlates of favourable combined 24-month outcome of the 209 APHIV included, in the COHADO, IeDEA-pWADA, 2015-2017
### Univariate analysis

| Variable                  | Unfavorable n=115 (%) | Favourable n=94 (%) | OR (95% CI) | p value | aOR (95% CI) | p value | aOR (95% CI) | p value |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------|--------------|---------|--------------|---------|
| HIV-Disclosure            |                       |                     | 0.79 | (0.56-1.11) | 0.17 | 0.45 | (0.21-1.15) | 0.10 | 0.35 |
| No                        | 27 (23.5)             | 27 (28.7)           | 1.00 | --- | --- | 1.00 | --- | --- |
| Yes (Since ≤ 2 years)     | 35 (30.4)             | 33 (35.1)           | 0.94 | (0.46-1.92) | 0.87 | 0.48 | (0.24-1.82) | 0.43 | 0.65 | (0.25-1.72) | 0.39 |
| Yes (Since > 2 years)     | 53 (46.1)             | 34 (36.2)           | 0.64 | (0.32-1.27) | 0.20 | 0.67 | (0.24-1.16) | 0.10 | 0.65 | (0.25-1.72) | 0.39 |
| Site                      |                       |                     |     |       |     |     |     |       |
| Abidjan, Côte-d’Ivoire   | 76 (66.1)             | 32 (34.1)           | 1.00 | --- | --- | 1.00 | --- | --- |
| Lomé, Togo                | 39 (33.9)             | 62 (65.9)           | 3.77 | (2.12-6.71) | <0.01 | 5.11 | (1.93-13.5) | 0.01 | 4.41 | (2.29-8.50) | <0.01 |
| Sex                       |                       |                     |     |       |     |     |     |       |
| Males                     | 48 (41.7)             | 47 (50.0)           | 1.00 | --- | --- | 1.00 | --- | --- |
| Females                   | 67 (58.3)             | 47 (50.0)           | 0.71 | (0.41-1.23) | 0.23 | 0.79 | (0.43-1.46) | 0.46 | 0.76 | (0.42-1.36) | 0.35 |
| Education level           |                       |                     | 0.89 | (0.58-1.33) | 0.57 |       |       |       |
| Primary school            | 41 (35.6)             | 39 (41.5)           | 1.00 | --- | --- | 1.00 | --- | --- |
| Middle schools            | 60 (52.2)             | 43 (45.7)           | 0.75 | (0.41-1.35) | 0.34 |       |       |       |
| High schools              | 14 (12.2)             | 12 (12.8)           | 0.90 | (0.37-2.18) | 0.81 |       |       |       |
| Piped water access        |                       |                     |     |       |     |     |     |       |
| Yes                       | 81 (70.4)             | 50 (53.2)           | 1.00 | --- | --- | 1.00 | --- | --- |
| No                        | 34 (29.6)             | 44 (46.8)           | 2.09 | (1.18-3.70) | 0.01 | 0.82 | (0.43-1.98) | 0.67 |       |       |       |
| Electricity access, n (%) |                       |                     |     |       |     |     |     |       |
| No                        | 3 (2.6)               | 3 (3.2)             | 1.00 | --- | --- | 1.00 | --- | --- |
| Yes                       | 112 (97.4)            | 91 (96.8)           | 1.23 | (0.24-6.24) | 0.80 |       |       |       |
| Residential environment   |                       |                     |     |       |     |     |     |       |
| Urban                     | 100 (86.9)            | 87 (92.6)           | 1.00 | --- | --- | 1.00 | --- | --- |
| Rural                     | 15 (13.1)             | 7 (7.4)             | 0.53 | (0.21-1.37) | 0.19 | 0.52 | (0.18-1.44) | 0.21 |       |       |       |
| Living with               |                       |                     | 0.77 | (0.53-1.12) | 0.17 |       |       |       |
| Both parents              | 25 (21.7)             | 25 (26.6)           | 1.00 | --- | --- | 1.00 | --- | --- |
| Only one parent           | 49 (42.6)             | 44 (46.8)           | 0.89 | (0.45-1.78) | 0.75 | 1.24 | (0.50-3.11) | 0.63 |       |       |       |
| Other family member       | 41 (35.7)             | 25 (26.6)           | 0.60 | (0.28-1.28) | 0.19 | 0.77 | (0.24-2.45) | 0.66 |       |       |       |
| Orphanhood                |                       |                     | 0.70 | (0.47-1.06) | 0.09 |       |       |       |
| No                        | 42 (36.5)             | 49 (52.1)           | 1.00 | --- | --- | 1.00 | --- | --- |
| One parent                | 58 (50.4)             | 33 (35.1)           | 0.48 | (0.26-0.88) | 0.01 | 0.57 | (0.24-1.35) | 0.20 |       |       |       |
| Two parents               | 15 (13.1)             | 12 (12.8)           | 0.68 | (0.28-1.62) | 0.39 | 0.69 | (0.19-2.44) | 0.57 |       |       |       |

### Baseline WHO clinical stage
Figures

Figure 1

Flow chart of inclusion of 209 APHIV in the COHADO Cohort, IeDEA-pWADA, 2015.