The “central six” of ptosis repair: eliminating contour as a variable in external levator surgery
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Abstract

Aim: Eyelid contour is a key component to satisfactory lid position and appearance following ptosis repair, the components of which have been highly debated and remain difficult to objectively measure. We sought to minimize the number of intraoperative adjustments required and reduce reoperation rates by addressing only the central 6 mm of tarsus when reapproximating levator to the anterior surface of tarsus, thereby eliminating contour as an adjustable variable.

Methods: All patients who underwent external levator resection with blepharoplasty for correction of involutional ptosis between 2012 and 2019 by a single surgeon at one center were retrospectively reviewed. Patients who underwent concomitant brow lifting surgery were excluded. The same technique was used for each eyelid with uniform suture placement. One 6-0 silk horizontal mattress suture was placed partial thickness through the superior third of tarsus 3 mm lateral to the center of tarsus; another was passed 3 mm medial to the center of tarsus. No sutures were placed outside of this central 6-mm zone. Patient fixation was used to determine lid height and symmetry. Once satisfactory, the sutures were tied down in a permanent fashion and the eyelid position again verified. In total, 153 eyelids in 85 patients were evaluated. Data obtained included preoperative and postoperative margin-to-reflex distance (MRD), intraoperative and postoperative complications, reoperation rates, and patient satisfaction with appearance of lid contour and symmetry.

Results: The mean follow up time was 3.41 months. The mean preoperative MRD, was 1.05 mm. The mean postoperative was 3.18 mm. All patients had recovery of an anatomically normal temporal peak height. Two of 153...
eyelids (1.31%) required reoperation due to residual ptosis or overcorrection. No patients had postoperative lagophthalmos. Ninety-one percent of patients who underwent bilateral surgery had satisfactory symmetry defined as less than or equal to 1-mm difference between right and left MRD₁. Eighty-two of the 85 patients were satisfied with their postoperative appearance.

**Conclusion:** This simple and standardized technique for suture placement gives reliable and effective results for external elevator advancement for ptosis repair by eliminating contour as an adjustable variable. Addressing the central 6 mm of tarsus is not only paramount but also in and of itself satisfactory in achieving optimal contour during external levator resection, without regard to more medial or lateral lid anatomy.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Acquired eyelid ptosis is most commonly due to involutional changes of the levator aponeurosis. There are various surgical techniques to correct ptosis, and the majority of them focus on tightening or advancing the levator aponeurosis onto tarsus. External levator advancement was first described in the 1880s, and since then it has been repeatedly modified and improved. The traditional surgical technique is to place one or more sutures to reattach the levator aponeurosis to the anterior surface of tarsus once it has been carefully dissected and partially resected. The first suture is placed centrally to achieve appropriate eyelid height, and more sutures are then placed medially and laterally to achieve proper contour. This approach can be cumbersome and require multiple adjustments intraoperatively to achieve proper contour. There have been several studies suggesting modifications of the procedure in order to standardize and simplify the process. It remains a challenging surgery in order to achieve adequate lift of the eyelid while retaining proper eyelid contour.

A recent study describing a single stitch müller muscle conjunctival resection for ptosis repair has suggested that only the central portion of the eyelid needs to be addressed surgically. Excellent results were demonstrated with this technique, and it is similar to the concept we propose for the external levator advancement surgery. We propose a technique for external levator resection that standardizes suture placement on only the central 6 mm of tarsus, thereby eliminating contour as an adjustable variable and simplifying the surgery.

**METHODS**

A retrospective chart review was performed at the practice of the main author. The institutional review board from Ascension St Vincent Hospital in Indianapolis granted exception status for the study. The research was Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act compliant and adhered to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients who underwent ptosis surgery by the main author from 2012 to 2019 using the central six technique were identified. The medical records were analyzed to record pertinent clinical examination measurements and outcomes, including measurements of margin-to-reflex distance (MRD₁) and reoperation rates.

Patients with aponeurotic ptosis with levator function greater than 12 mm were included. Exclusion criteria included those who underwent concomitant brow lifting procedures, those with prior ptosis surgery, and those with inadequate follow up.

**Surgical technique**

Cases were performed under monitored anesthesia care with approximately 4-5 mL of local anesthetic injected in each upper eyelid. Corneal protectors were placed to ensure no damage to the globe. A lid
crease incision was made, and standard blepharoplasty performed, in which skin and orbicularis muscle were removed. The lid was stretched with gentle retraction, and the upper border of tarsus was marked. A parallel line was placed 3-4 mm above the upper border of tarsus to mark the amount of levator aponeurosis to be resected. A 15 Bard-Parker blade was used to cut through septum and levator aponeurosis, exposing Mueller's muscle underneath. The peripheral marginal arcade was preserved. Sharp dissection was used to expose the central upper border of tarsus. The center of the tarsal plate was determined as the widest portion of tarsus. This point may not coincide with the midpoint of the eyelid, as many patients have a temporal shift of the tarsus with age. Appropriate hemostasis was achieved. Two paracentral marks were placed 6 mm apart to indicate the placement of sutures. Each mark was placed approximately 3 mm on either side of the center of tarsus, thus marking the “central six”. One 6-0 silk horizontal mattress suture was placed partial thickness through the superior third of tarsus 3 mm medial to the center of tarsus and another passed 3 mm lateral to the center of tarsus. No sutures were placed outside of this central 6 mm zone. Patient fixation was then used to ensure adequate lid height and symmetry with the patient supine. Any adjustments were made and sutures tied down permanently. The skin was closed in a standard fashion. Figure 1 demonstrates the key steps of central six technique for suture placement.

RESULTS

There were 85 patients identified who underwent surgery with the central six technique. The results are summarized in Table 1. In total, 153 eyelids were included, with 68 patients undergoing bilateral surgery and 17 undergoing unilateral surgery. The average patient age was 68 years (range 38 to 73). The mean levator function was 14.45 mm (range 12 to 18 mm) and average follow-up was 3.4 months (range 1 to 17 months). The average preoperative MRD₁ was 1.05 mm (range -5 to 2 mm) and the average postoperative MRD₁ was 3.18 mm (range 1 to 5.5 mm), yielding a mean improvement in MRD₁ of 2.13 mm (standard deviation
Only two patients (1.31%) required reoperation: one for overcorrection and the second for residual ptosis. Both of these patients had undergone unilateral surgery. The patient with residual ptosis who underwent reoperation had to be converted to general anesthesia intraoperatively due to patient pain and discomfort, thus not allowing for intraoperative adjustment of eyelid height. Of those who underwent bilateral surgery, 62 patients (91.2%) had satisfactory postoperative symmetry of eyelid height defined as an MRD\(_1\) difference less than 1 mm between the two eyes. Postoperatively, 82 of 85 patients (96.5%) were satisfied with the outcomes of surgery. The three patients who were not satisfied included the two who required reoperation, while the third patient elected not to have a reoperation performed for residual ptosis. The two who underwent a secondary surgery using the same technique had good results. No patients developed postoperative lagophthalmos. There were no immediate postoperative complications. Figure 2 shows typical patients who underwent surgery with the central six technique.

**DISCUSSION**

The two main goals of ptosis surgery are to restore eyelid height and contour, which can often be a challenging process requiring multiple intraoperative adjustments. The traditional approach is to place a central stitch to achieve the correct height, but this then leaves a peak centrally. Additional sutures are placed medially and laterally to restore a natural eyelid shape. Multiple techniques to simplify the procedure have been proposed since modern ptosis surgery was described in the 1970s by Jones et al.\(^4\). In the 1990s, there were several modifications. Liu et al.\(^6\) proposed the concept of a single-suture ptosis repair, while Lucarelli and Lemke\(^7\) later introduced small incision ptosis repair without concurrent blepharoplasty. Meltzer et al.\(^8\) presented their experience using an adjustable suture. Later, Ahuero et al.\(^9\) proposed a refinement to small-incision surgery with a standardized suture placement at the medial pupillary border and lateral limbus.

In a similar fashion, our proposed technique standardizes suture placement and thus eliminates contour as an adjustable variable. In our practice, those patients who have external ptosis surgery performed generally require concomitant blepharoplasty for dermatochalasis and are not good candidates for small-incision ptosis surgery. We have had excellent results with high patient satisfaction by only focusing on the central 6 mm of tarsus for suture placement. Operative time is reduced, thus leading to improved patient comfort and safety. Intraoperative adjustments for height can be made by tightening or loosening sutures, or on occasion a suture must be replaced to achieve more lift. Eyelid contour is typically excellent, without need to adjust suture placement horizontally.

Eyelid contour is a key component to satisfactory lid position and appearance following ptosis repair, the components of which have been highly debated and remain difficult to objectively measure\(^11-13\). External photos can be analyzed with geometrical models to quantify contour\(^11\). Another technique involves...
measuring distances from mid-pupil to different points on the upper eyelid. Alternatively, blind graders can judge whether contour is adequate based on external photos. Ultimately, contour is important as it is a key component to postoperative patient satisfaction. A peaked eyelid or focal drooping results in abnormal appearance and displeased patients. In our study, we focused on patient satisfaction as an indirect measure of both good contour and adequate eyelid height. Eighty-two of the 85 patients (96.5%) in our study were satisfied with the outcomes of their surgery.

There are limitations to our study, including its retrospective nature and limited sample size. In addition, there was no control group to demonstrate statistically significant improvement over standard techniques. Our symmetry rate (91.2%) and satisfaction rate (96.5%) were among the high end of those published in the literature. We did not measure contour directly, but instead used patient satisfaction as an indirect measure of contour. The rates of intraoperative adjustment for eyelid height was not documented.

In conclusion, this simple and standardized technique for suture placement gives reliable and effective results for external elevator resection for ptosis repair by eliminating contour as an adjustable variable. Addressing the central 6 mm of tarsus is not only paramount but also in and of itself satisfactory in achieving optimal contour during external levator resection, without regard to more medial or lateral lid anatomy.

DECLARATIONS

Authors’ contributions
Made substantial contributions to conception and design of the study and performed data analysis and interpretation: Campbell BC, Nunery WR, Lee HBH
Performed data acquisition: Adjei ST

Availability of data and materials
Not applicable.

Financial support and sponsorship
None.

Conflicts of interest
All authors declared that there are no conflicts of interest.
Ethical approval and consent to participate
The institutional review board from Ascension St Vincent Hospital in Indianapolis granted exception status for the study. The research was Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act compliant and adhered to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Consent for publication
Written consent was obtained for publication for patient images.

Copyright
© The Author(s) 2020.

REFERENCES
1. Anderson RL, Beard C. The levator aponeurosis. Attachments and their clinical significance. Arch Ophthalmol 1977;95:1437-41.
2. Pearl RM. Acquired ptosis: a reexamination of etiology and treatment. Plast Reconstr Surg 1985;76:56-64.
3. Anderson RL, Dixon RS. Aponeurotic ptosis surgery. Arch Ophthalmol 1979;97:1123-8.
4. Jones LT, Quickert MH, Wobig JL. The cure of ptosis by aponeurotic repair. Arch Ophthalmol 1975;93:629-34.
5. Eversbusch O. Zur operation der congenitalen blepharoptosis. Klin Monatsbl Augenheilkd 1883;21:100.
6. Liu D. Ptosis repair by single suture aponeurotic tuck: surgical technique and long-term results. Ophthalmology 1993;100:251-9.
7. Lucarelli MJ, Lemke BN. Small-incision external levator repair: technique and early results. Am J Ophthalmol 1999;127:637-44.
8. Meltzer MA, Elahi E, Taupeka P, Flores E. A simplified technique of ptosis repair using a single adjustable suture. Ophthalmology 2001;108:1889-92.
9. Ahuero AE, Winn BJ, Sires BS. Standardized suture placement for mini-invasive ptosis surgery. Arch Facial Plast Surg 2012;14:408-12.
10. Ediriwickrema LS, Geng J, Nair AA, Prendes M, Gerber AL, et al. Single suture müller muscle conjunctival resection (ssMMCR): a modified technique for ptosis repair. Ophthalmic Plast Reconstr Surg 2019;35:403-6.
11. Malbouisson JM, Baccega A, Cruz AA. The geometrical basis of the eyelid contour. Ophthalmic Plast Reconstr Surg 2000;16:427-31.
12. Golbert M, Pereira FJ, Garcia DM, Cruz AAV. Contour symmetry of the upper eyelid following bilateral conjunctival-Müller’s muscle resection. Aesthet Surg J 2017;37:269-75.
13. Ahn S, Lee H, Lee J, Park J, Park M, et al. Analysis of surgical outcome after levator advancement by assessing changes in eyelid contour. J Craniofac Surg 2016;27:1147-50.