The existence of coupled residue motions on various time scales in enzymes is now well accepted, and their detailed characterization has become an essential element in understanding the role of dynamics in catalysis. To this day, a handful of enzyme systems has been shown to rely on essential residue motions for catalysis, but the generality of such phenomena remains to be elucidated. Using NMR spectroscopy, we investigated the electronic and dynamic effects of several mutations at position 105 in TEM-1 β-lactamase, an enzyme responsible for antibiotic resistance. Even in absence of substrate, our results show that the number and magnitude of short and long range effects on 1H-15N chemical shifts are correlated with the catalytic efficiencies of the various Y105X mutants investigated. In addition, 15N relaxation experiments on mutant Y105D show that several active-site residues of TEM-1 display significantly altered motions on both picosecond-nanosecond and microsecond-millisecond time scales despite many being far away from the site of mutation. The altered motions among various active-site residues in mutant Y105D may account for the observed decrease in catalytic efficiency, therefore suggesting that short and long range residue motions could play an important catalytic role in TEM-1 β-lactamase. These results support previous observations suggesting that internal motions play a role in promoting protein function.

Enzymes are extremely efficient catalysts that can accelerate biochemical reactions up to a factor of 10^{18} when compared with the same uncatalyzed reaction (1). Although considerable progress has been made in understanding enzyme catalysis over the past few years (2, 3), the detailed explanation of this large rate enhancement remains a significant challenge. Historically regarded as relatively static entities, increasing evidence now suggests that enzymes behave as dynamic machines and that motions on various time scales play important roles in promoting enzyme catalysis (4). To this day, only a small number of enzymes have been shown to rely on essential proximal and/or distal coupled residue motions for catalysis, among which dihydrolate reductase (5–8), cyclophilin A (9, 10), liver alcohol dehydrogenase (11–14), triose-phosphate isomerase (15–19), and ribonuclease A (20–22) remain some of the best characterized systems (for recent reviews see Refs. 23 and 24). Analogous behavior among other enzymes remains to be elucidated, although the confirmation of such phenomena in structurally and functionally unrelated protein families and folds suggests that this may be a widespread process (24). A general view of such correlation between structure, function, and dynamics in enzymes would greatly improve our current understanding of these powerful catalysts. In this study, we provide experimental evidence supporting the importance of active-site residue motions in the enzyme TEM-1 β-lactamase through the characterization of various Y105X mutants by NMR spectroscopy.

We have previously investigated the role of the active-site residue Tyr^{105} in TEM-1 β-lactamase using saturation mutagenesis, enzyme kinetics, and in silico molecular dynamics studies (25). Our results show that this residue is mainly involved in substrate discrimination and stabilization at the active site of TEM-1. Aromatic residues at position 105 were shown to play an important role in substrate stabilization by preventing steric hindrance with substrate molecules through the formation of a rigid, stabilizing wall that restricts the active-site cavity size, and therefore substrate movement. Most non-aromatic residue replacements at position 105 were found to possess too many degrees of freedom for appropriate substrate stabilization, thus explaining the strong aromatic bias observed in other class A β-lactamases at this active-site position. Interestingly, Y105G, Y105N, and Y105A were moderately active in hydrolysis of penicillin substrates, despite the fact that they are not aromatic. Increasing side chain length (and flexibility) generally resulted in important decreases in activity. Charged side chains (except the aromatic His) were also poorly compatible with reactivity. These kinetic observations correlated with the extent of Y105X side chain motion upon molecular modeling in the presence of a penicillin substrate; only the aromatic and the small residues provided a stable, well organized binding environment over the short time scale tested (picosecond). To further explore dynamics of these TEM-1 β-lactamase active-site mutants, we turned to nuclear magnetic resonance.
NMR relaxation experiments are powerful techniques that can provide valuable information on the dynamic effects of mutations in the active-site cavity of enzymes during catalysis (10). In addition to providing information on fast dynamics (picosecond-nanosecond (ps-ns)) of protein backbones (26), the time scale of NMR dynamics ranges up to the catalytically relevant microsecond-millisecond (μs-ms) (27). The recent and complete 1H, 15N, 13C backbone resonance assignments of TEM-1 (E28G) β-lactamase by NMR (28) as well as 15N relaxation and backbone dynamics studies on the same enzyme (29) now pave the way to the motional characterization of important active-site residues with respect to their effect on catalysis in this enzyme family. To improve the interpretation of our previous molecular modeling and kinetics observations at the molecular level and to verify the proposed motion of residue 105 in TEM-1, this study describes the backbone resonance assignments of TEM-1 mutants Y105D, Y105G, Y105N, and Y105W as well as 15N relaxation and backbone dynamics of wild-type TEM-1 and mutant Y105D. To our surprise, the localized dynamic effects we had originally observed by molecular modeling in the area of position 105 extend to a far broader environment, affecting catalytically relevant motional time scales of important catalytic residues in the active-site cavity of TEM-1. The dynamic investigation of the Y105D mutant and the effects of the Y105X mutations on the surrounding environment provide evidence for the importance of active-site residue motions in TEM-1 β-lactamase as well as their possible role in substrate stabilization and catalysis. In addition to long range effects observed for residues distal to the active site as well as evidence offered by previous molecular dynamics investigation performed on a TEM-1 mutant (30), these experimental observations suggest that class A β-lactamases may rely on long range residue motions in substrate recognition as well as for catalysis.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Reagents—Unless otherwise indicated, all chemicals were purchased from Sigma. Bistris propane4 was purchased from GE Healthcare, and nitrocefin was purchased from Oxoid (Nepean, Ontario, Canada). Restriction and DNA-modifying enzymes were purchased from MBIs Fermentas and New England Biolabs. 15NH4Cl, [13C]glucose, 2,2-dimethylsilapentane-5-sulfonic acid, and D2O were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories (Andover, MA).

Bacterial Strains and Plasmids—Escherichia coli XL1-Blue (supE44, hisD17, recA1, endA1, gyrA46, thi, relA1, lac F’ [proAB+, lacI, lacZAM15, Tn10(tet)]) was used for cloning and plasmid propagation, whereas E. coli BL21(DE3) [hsdS gal [αcI857 ind1 Sm7 nin5 lacUV5-T7 gene 1)] was used for protein expression. Plasmid pET-TEM-1 (31), in which the wild-type blaTEM-1 gene was fused to the leader sequence of ompA was a generous gift from Marvin D. Makinen (University of Chicago). It was maintained using 30 μg/ml kanamycin and was used for extracellular protein expression under the control of the T7 promoter in E. coli BL21(DE3). The construction of plasmids pQE32Chl-TEM(Y105X) containing the Y105X mutations of TEM-1 was described elsewhere (25).

Oligonucleotides and Mutagenesis—Oligonucleotide primers used for mutagenesis were synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA) and by the Plate-Forme d’Analyses Biomoléculaires (Université Laval, Québec, Canada). Oligonucleotide primers used for DNA sequencing were synthesized by Li-Cor Biotechnology (Lincoln, NB). The E28G mutation originally present in the pET-TEM-1 construction was reverted back to WT using the QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit from Stratagene (La Jolla, CA) with mutagenic primers TEM-G28E-upper (5’-CAGGCCCCAGCAAGGCTG-GTAAAGTA-3’) and TEM-G28E-lower (5’-TACTTTCAC-CAGGGTTTCGGGCTG-3’). The Y105D, Y105G, and Y105W mutants of TEM-1 (25) were PCR-amplified from vectors pQE32Chl-TEM(Y105X) using the two following terminal primers: TEMHinDIIIR (5’-CACCAACAAGCTT-TACCAATGCTTTAACGCTGA-3’) and NdelOmpATEMF (5’-CACACACACATAGAAAAAGACAGCTATCGCAGAT-TGCACTGGACATGTGCTTGTTGGCTTACGGATCCGCA- GGGCCACCCAGAAACGCTGGTAAA-3’), a 95-bp forward primer containing both the Ndel restriction site and the ompA leader sequence. The resulting recombinant ompATEM(Y105X) genes were sequentially digested with Ndel and PstI and cloned into Ndel/PstI-digested and shrimp alkaline phosphatase-treated pET-TEM-1 before electroporation into E. coli XL1-Blue cells. Colonies were individually picked after selection on Luria-Bertani (LB) medium containing 30 μg/ml kanamycin, and the sequence of each mutant was confirmed by the dideoxy chain termination method with the Thermo Sequenase Cycle Sequencing kit from Upstate Biological Corp. using dye-labeled primers and a Li-Cor automated sequencer (Lincoln, NB). For protein expression purposes, DNA constructs were transformed into E. coli BL21(DE3) cells and sequenced again.

Expression and Purification of 15N-13C-Labeled WT and TEM-1(Y105X) Mutants—Uniformly 15N-13C-labeled TEM-1 samples were prepared using 15NH4Cl and [13C]glucose as the sole nitrogen and carbon sources according to the following protocol. A 2-ml overnight culture of each BL21(DE3)/pET-TEM-1(Y105X) clone was used to inoculate 500 ml of M9 minimal medium containing 0.04 mM Na2HPO4, 0.02 mM KH2PO4, 0.02 mM FeCl3, 0.1 mM CaCl2, 0.1 mM MgSO4, 2.5 mM CaCl2, 50 mM ZnSO4, 0.5% thiamine, 2.5 mM betaine, and 30 μg/ml kanamycin. The proteins were expressed by propagating the host cells at 37 °C (250 rpm) to an A600 nm = 0.8 followed by induction with 0.4 mM isopropyl 1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside and addition of 15 μg/ml kanamycin for 16–18 h at 25 °C (250 rpm). After induction, cells were pelleted by centrifugation (30 min, 10,000 × g, 4 °C), and the supernatant was filtered using a 0.45-μm membrane filter prior to a 5-fold concentration using an 8400 stirred cell apparatus from Millipore (Nepean, Ontario, Canada) with an Ultracel Amicon YM-10 membrane (molecular mass cutoff 10,000 Da).

After overnight dialysis at 4 °C against a 10 mM Bistris propane buffer (pH 6.6), purification of WT and TEM(Y105X) mutants was performed on an ÄKTAexplorer chromatography system from Amersham Biosciences.

4 The abbreviations used are: Bistris propane, 1,3-bis[tris(hydroxymethyl)methylamino]propane; NOE, nuclear Overhauser effect; WT, wild type; PDB, Protein Data Bank; ps-ns, picosecond-nanosecond; μs-ms, microsecond-millisecond; HSQC, heteronuclear single quantum coherence.
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system from GE Healthcare as reported previously (29). In all cases, purity was estimated to be higher than 95% by SDS-PAGE, and liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry/electrospray ionization and yields were typically ~50 mg/liter of pure protein for all TEM-1(Y105X) mutants.

**NMR Samples**—For the acquisition of NMR spectra, WT and mutants Y105D, Y105G, Y105N, and Y105W were lyophilized after extensive dialysis against H\textsubscript{2}O. The enzymes were subsequently dissolved to a concentration of 0.8 mM in a 90% H\textsubscript{2}O, 10% \textsuperscript{2}H\textsubscript{2}O solution containing 4 mM imidazole and 0.1 mM 2,2-dimethylsilapentane-5-sulfonic acid for internal pH and chemical shift referencing, respectively. All experiments were performed at pH 6.6.

**NMR Spectroscopy**—All NMR experiments were performed at 30 °C on a Varian INOVA 600 spectrometer operating at a proton frequency of 599.739 MHz equipped with a z axis gradient and a triple resonance cryoprobe. Two-dimensional \textsuperscript{1}H-\textsuperscript{15}N HSQC, three-dimensional HNCO, three-dimensional HN(CO)CA, and three-dimensional CBCA(CO)NH spectra (Biopack, Varian Inc., Palo Alto, CA) together with assignments obtained for TEM-1 E28G (28) were used to determine sequence-specific assignments for the polypeptide backbone of WT and mutant Y105W. Other mutants (Y105D, Y105G, and Y105N) were assigned by comparison using a combination of two-dimensional \textsuperscript{1}H-\textsuperscript{15}N HSQC and three-dimensional HNCO spectra.

\textsuperscript{15}N relaxation experiments were performed on WT and on the Y105D mutant using \textsuperscript{15}N-\textsuperscript{13}C double-labeled samples for all experiments. \textsuperscript{15}N-\textsuperscript{T\textsubscript{1}} experiments were performed using sensitivity-enhanced inversion-recovery pulse sequence with pulsed field gradients developed by Kay and co-workers (32). \textsuperscript{15}N-\textsuperscript{T\textsubscript{2}} experiments were performed using the BioPack pulse sequence from Varian, Inc. (Palo Alto, CA) (33). An RF field strength of 6.579 kHz was used for the \textsuperscript{15}N 180° pulses in the CPMG sequence with an inter-pulse delay of 587 \textmu s. Delay times were 10.9, 21.7, 43.5, 87.0, 173.9, 347.8, 695.7, 1391.3, and 1989.1 ms for \textsuperscript{T\textsubscript{1}} and 10, 30, 50, 70, 90, 110, 130, 150, and 190 ms for \textsuperscript{T\textsubscript{2}}. \textsuperscript{1}H-\textsuperscript{15}N steady state NOEs were obtained by acquiring spectra with and without \textsuperscript{1}H saturation applied before the start of the experiments using a pulse sequence obtained from Kay and co-workers (32). A saturation time of 4 s was used for \textsuperscript{1}H-\textsuperscript{15}N NOE experiments. To eliminate the potential effect of sample or field homogeneity degradation over time on measured NOEs, relaxation experiments were performed using the BioPack pulse sequence with and without \textsuperscript{1}H saturation applied before the start of the experiments. The 90° processing was used for the great majority of relaxation delay (highest intensities) was peak-picked with NMR-View, and each ellipse was manually adjusted to fit the peak. The same procedure was used for \textsuperscript{1}H-\textsuperscript{15}N NOE spectra.

The \textsuperscript{15}N \textsuperscript{T\textsubscript{1}} and \textsuperscript{T\textsubscript{2}} relaxation rates were determined by fitting \textsuperscript{T\textsubscript{1}} and \textsuperscript{T\textsubscript{2}} curves to a two-parameter exponential decay of the form shown in Equation 1,

\[
V(t) = V_0 e^{(-t/T)}
\]

(Eq. 1)

where \(V(t)\) is the volume after a delay time \(t\); \(V_0\) is the volume at time \(t = 0\); and \(R\) is either \(R_1 = 1/T_1\) or \(R_2 = 1/T_2\). Fitting was accomplished using the program CURVEFIT (AG Palmer, Columbia University). \(R_1\) and \(R_2\) uncertainties were calculated using Jackknife simulations (63), and for each data set the minimum error used for further calculation was set to the mean error. \{\textsuperscript{1}H\}-\textsuperscript{15}N NOE values were obtained from the ratio of the volumes of experiments recorded with and without proton saturation. The uncertainties on the \{\textsuperscript{1}H\}-\textsuperscript{15}N NOE values were obtained using the method described by Nicholson et al. (38).

**Model-free**—The internal motion parameters were optimized for the relaxation data according to the model-free formalism pioneered by Lipari and Szabo (39, 40) and extended by Clore et al. (41, 42) using the program ModelFree 4.16 (AG Palmer, Columbia University) and the statistical approach of Mandel et al. (43). An axially symmetric diffusion model was used in our analysis. Initial estimates of the global tumbling parameters were obtained using the program QUADRIC (AG Palmer, Columbia University). Residues with \{\textsuperscript{1}H\}-\textsuperscript{15}N NOE \(<0.65\) were not considered, and neither were residues with high \(R_2 (R_2 \approx (R_0) + \sigma_{R_2})\), unless their corresponding \(R_1\) values were low (\(R_1 \leq (R_0) - \sigma_{R_1}\)) (44). The value used for \textsuperscript{15}N chemical shift anisotropy was \(-172\) ppm and the N–H bond length was set to 1.02 Å. For each simulation, 500 randomly distributed data sets were generated, and discrimination between models was performed using \(F\)-statistics analysis.

The five models used to describe the spin-relaxation data were as follows: model 1, \(S_2\); model 2, \(S_2^2\); \(\tau_s\) model 3, \(S_2\); \(R_{ex}\) model 4, \(S_2\); \(\tau_s\) \(R_{ex}\); and model 5, \(S_2^2\), \(\tau_s\) \(S_2^2\). \(S_2\) is the order parameter used to characterize the amplitude of the internal motions on the ps-ns time scale. \(S_2^2\) is a measure of the degree of spatial restriction of the \{\textsuperscript{1}H\}-\textsuperscript{15}N bond vector and has values ranging from 0, indicating unrestricted motions, to 1, for completely restricted motions; \(S_2^2\) is the order parameter for fast motions; \(\tau_s\) is the effective correlation time for internal motions; and \(R_{ex}\) is an exchange term to account for contributions to \(R_2\) from \(\mu\)-ms time scale motions.

**Estimation of the Tyr\textsuperscript{105} Ring Current Effects and Prediction of Chemical Shift Changes Induced by the Mutation**—Ring current effects of the Tyr\textsuperscript{105} aromatic group on the chemical shifts of other residues were estimated using the program SHIFTS (version 4.1.1) (45) for TEM-1 with Tyr\textsuperscript{105} positioned in two alternate conformations (positions A and B). Position A places Tyr\textsuperscript{105} as observed in the free-enzyme conformation of TEM-1 (Protein Data Bank, Brookhaven National Laboratory; PDB coordinates 1BTL) (46), whereas position B places Tyr\textsuperscript{105} as observed in the imipenem-bounded flipped conformation (PDB coordinates 1BT5) (47). Minimized PDB files were created using the InsightII package, version 2000.1 (Accelrys, San Diego, CA) according to the following protocol. For position A,
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The 1.8-Å crystallographic structure of the E. coli TEM-1 β-lactamase (PDB coordinates 1BTL) was used as starting coordinates. The crystallographic water molecules and the active-site SO\textsubscript{4} molecule were deleted, and hydrogen atoms were added at the normal ionization state of the amino acids at pH 7.0. The structure was energy-minimized by applying 1000 steps of steepest descent followed by a conjugate gradient minimization until convergence of 0.001 kcal mol\textsuperscript{-1} Å\textsuperscript{-1}. For position B, backbone atoms of 1BTL and 1BT5 were superimposed, and the Tyr\textsuperscript{105} side chain of 1BTL was repositioned according to Tyr\textsuperscript{105} in 1BT5 by applying χ1 and χ2 angle torsions. The structure was then minimized using the same protocol as for position A. The contribution of Tyr\textsuperscript{105} to ring current shifts was estimated with all aromatic residues mutated to Ala, except for Tyr\textsuperscript{105}. SHIFTS was also used to predict the effect of the mutation on chemical shifts of other residues of the protein. Observed chemical shift changes were considered meaningful when they were significantly greater than the predicted ones.

Sequence Numbering—Because of technical requirements in NMR analysis, sequence numbering used for TEM-1 and mutants Y105X is different from the classical nomenclature proposed by Ambler et al. (48). Although sequence numbering starts at 26 to give the active-site serine residue the number 70, residues 239 and 253 are not skipped, and the numbering is sequential from 26 to 288. To avoid any confusion in catalytic and structural interpretation, both nomenclatures are appropriately labeled.

RESULTS

\textsuperscript{15}N,\textsuperscript{13}C-Labeled proteins corresponding to mutants Y105D, Y105G, Y105N, and Y105W of TEM-1 β-lactamase were expressed and purified to homogeneity. These mutants were chosen based on the following structural and functional considerations: Trp because of its aromatic similarity with the native Tyr, the native-like activity of mutant Y105W toward penicillins and cephalosporins, and also because of its frequent occurrence in other class A β-lactamases; Asn because its side chain is much smaller than the native, aromatic Tyr although still being a highly active mutant also occasionally represented in other class A β-lactamases; Gly because, like Ala, it exhibits discrimination with respect to penicillins (high catalytic efficiency) and cephalosporins (low catalytic efficiency); and Asp as a representative of a low activity mutant despite its structural similarity with the highly active Asn mutant (25).

Chemical Shift Differences—Although our previous NMR studies of TEM-1 were carried on the E28G mutant (28, 29), this study was performed without this mutation, and assignments of WT TEM-1 were used (Biological Magnetic Resonance Data Bank accession number 6357). A combination of two-dimensional \textsuperscript{1H,15}N HSQC, three-dimensional HNCO, three-dimensional HN\textsubscript{(CO)}CA, and three-dimensional CBCA(CO)NH spectra (Biopack, Varian Inc., Palo Alto, CA) were used to sequentially assign nearly all the backbone \textsuperscript{1H}, \textsuperscript{15}N, and \textsuperscript{13}C’ atoms (BMRB numbers for Y105W, Y105G, Y105N, and Y105D are 7236, 7237, 7238, and 7239, respectively). More than 99% of backbone \textsuperscript{1H}, \textsuperscript{15}N, and \textsuperscript{13}C’ assignments were obtained for non-proline residues of each enzyme tested. For WT and mutants Y105D, Y105G, and Y105N, the missing assignments are \textsuperscript{1H}/\textsuperscript{15}N-Ser70 and \textsuperscript{1H}/\textsuperscript{15}N-Ala237, whereas for mutant Y105W, the missing assignments are \textsuperscript{1H}/\textsuperscript{15}N-Ser70, \textsuperscript{1H}/\textsuperscript{15}N-Asn132, and \textsuperscript{1H}/\textsuperscript{15}N-Ala237. As observed previously for the E28G assignments (28), the missing chemical shifts are attributed to peaks overlapping or missing resonances caused by line broadening. Regardless of the functional differences resulting from the Y105X mutations, the two-dimensional and three-dimensional NMR spectra of all mutants are quite similar to those of the WT enzyme (supplemental Fig. S1). However, depending on the mutation at position 105, important chemical shift differences were observed at specific residues relative to WT.
mapping of backbone amide chemical shift differences ($\Delta\delta_{\text{HN}}$) observed between WT and mutants Y105X, whereas Fig. 2 presents the magnitude of these $\Delta\delta_{\text{HN}}$ displayed on the primary sequence of the enzyme. For all mutants, the most important effects observed on $\Delta\delta_{\text{HN}}$ occur in three major areas of the enzyme corresponding to residues 100–115, 120–140, and 213–218 (Fig. 2). To a lesser extent, all mutants also display higher-than-background effects on $\Delta\delta_{\text{HN}}$ in regions encompassing residues 68–80, 163–170, and 235–246. Finally, with the exception of residue 215, $\Delta\delta_{\text{HN}}$ is generally smaller for mutant Y105W than for the three other Y105X mutants investigated.

Residues in contact with substrate molecules or directly implicated in catalysis in TEM-1 $\beta$-lactamase are all located within active-site walls encompassing residues Met$^{69}$–Lys$^{73}$, Val$^{103}$–Ser$^{106}$, Met$^{129}$–Asn$^{132}$, Glu$^{166}$–Asn$^{170}$, Val$^{214}$–Gly$^{218}$, Lys$^{234}$–Glu$^{239}$ (Ambler Lys$^{234}$–Glu$^{240}$), Arg$^{243}$ (Ambler Arg$^{244}$), Met$^{270}$ (Ambler Met$^{272}$), and Asn$^{274}$ (Ambler Asn$^{276}$) (Fig. 3 and gray regions in Figs. 2 and 5). Because these residues are generally located in the immediate vicinity of the substrate molecule and, in some cases, are very close to the mutated residue, some $\Delta\delta_{\text{HN}}$ may be the result of a direct short range interaction with residues in close proximity to the Tyr$^{105}$ mutation. For instance, the shortest distance between Tyr$^{105}$ and Asn$^{132}$ (O$_{105}$–N$_{132}$) is only 3.0 Å in the crystal structure of the free enzyme (PDB coordinates 1BTL), therefore providing an explanation for the magnitude of $\Delta\delta_{\text{HN}}$ observed at position Asn$^{132}$ in all Y105X mutants. In contrast, although they form the sub-

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**FIGURE 2.** Sequence mapping of $^1$H–$^{15}$N backbone chemical shift differences ($\Delta\delta_{\text{HN}}$) (Hz) calculated between wild-type TEM-1 and mutants Y105W, Y105G, Y105N, and Y105D. Residues that define active-site walls of TEM-1 are highlighted in gray (see Fig. 3).
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**FIGURE 3.** Solvent-accessible surface representation of the active-site walls in TEM-1 $\beta$-lactamase. Residues forming the active-site cavity and either implicated in substrate recognition or catalysis are grouped as follows: Met$^{69}$–Lys$^{73}$ (cyan), Val$^{103}$–Ser$^{106}$ + Met$^{129}$–Asn$^{132}$ (SDN loop) (dark green), Glu$^{166}$–Asn$^{170}$ (O1-loop) (light green), Val$^{214}$–Glu$^{239}$ (Ambler Lys$^{234}$–Glu$^{240}$) (light blue), Arg$^{243}$ (Ambler Arg$^{244}$) + Met$^{270}$ (Ambler Met$^{272}$) + Asn$^{274}$ (Ambler Asn$^{276}$) (red). The acylated benzylpenicillin substrate is displayed as balls and sticks (PDB coordinates 1FQG). Residue numbering according to Ambler et al. (48).
strate cavity in TEM-1, some of these active-site walls are con-
stituted by residues separated by large distances (e.g., the shortest
distance between Tyr105 and Glu166, O105–Oe1166 = 7.6 Å).
Interestingly, the active-site walls are generally more affected
by the Y105X mutation than any other portion in the enzyme,
and most segments of each wall contain at least one residue
displaying higher-than-average ΔδHN (Fig. 2). Moreover,
whereas being generally concentrated near the active-site cav-
ity, significant effects on ΔδHN are nevertheless observed
throughout the enzyme for all mutants, sometimes more than
20 Å from the site of mutation (Fig. 1). This result illustrates
that the effect of mutations at position 105 is not restricted to a
local environment. In fact, although 57 residues of mutant
Y105W display backbone ΔδHN greater than 11 Hz when com-
pared with WT (22% of the total enzyme), this number jumps to
78 residues (30%) in Y105G, 91 residues (35%) in Y105N,
and 104 residues (40%) in Y105D (Table 1), thus clearly extending
beyond the immediate environment of position 105. In fact, the
Y105X mutation is too far away from several residues displaying
significant ΔδHN to result in any direct contribution to chemi-
cal shift changes (e.g., backbone 15N105–15N106 distances = 12.7 Å
for Glu238, 12.9 Å for Leu169, and 19.0 Å for Leu76), therefore
suggesting the existence of coupled long range effects caused by
the mutation at position 105.

The π-system of aromatic residues such as tyrosine can gen-
erate a local magnetic field known as ring current, which may
significantly affect the chemical shift of surrounding nuclei. To
verify whether short and long range chemical shift differences
observed in the Y105X mutants could simply be attributed to
the disappearance of the aromatic hydroxyphenyl side chain of
Tyr105, we used the program SHIFTS (45) to predict ring cur-
cent effects originating from Tyr105. Ring current shifts were
calculated for both previously observed conformations of the
Tyr105 side chain in crystal structures of TEM-1 (46, 47).
Significant ring current shifts for Tyr105 were predicted in the
immediate vicinity of position 105 (90 Hz predicted for back-
bone 1HN of residue 106, 72 Hz for 108, and less than 36 Hz for
residues 109, 110, and 130–132), but no other significant effect
(>15 Hz) was predicted for either of the two side chain confor-
mations of residue 105 (results not shown). This indicates that
significant long range chemical shift differences observed in all
Y105X mutants are not attributed to direct electronic pertur-
bation caused by the elimination of the hydroxyphenyl side
chain of Tyr105, and therefore must rely on a combination of
concerted effects that have consequences throughout the
enzyme.

It is also interesting to note that the number and magnitude
of the effects on ΔδHN generally correlate with the previously
reported catalytic efficiencies of the same mutants for the clas-
sical substrate benzylpenicillin (Table 1) (25), suggesting that
electronic perturbations and/or dynamic effects observed by
NMR may adequately reflect catalytic effects caused by this
mutation in TEM-1. Thus, mutant Y105W displays fewer
affected residues (22%), consistent with its high catalytic effi-
ciency, whereas 40% of the residues of the weakly active Y105D
mutant show significant 1H-15N chemical shift perturbation.
This mutant also displays chemical shift perturbation for a
greater number of catalytic residues than the other Y105X
mutants, consistent with its low catalytic efficiency (Y105D-
kcat/Km = 255 s⁻¹, Y105D-kcat/Km = 369 μM, Y105D-kcat/Km = 6.9 ×
10⁵ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ versus WT-kcat = 1240 s⁻¹, WT-Kcat/Km = 43 μM,
WT-kcat/Km = 2.9 × 10⁻¹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹) (Table 2) (25). In addition,
these ΔδHN are generally of greater magnitude as catalytic
efficiency decreases.

**15N Backbone Relaxation Dynamics**—Previous experimental
observations made by x-ray crystallography on TEM-1 have
shown that Tyr105 can adopt two alternate conformations in the
presence of substrates or inhibitors (47). Although the Tyr105
hydroxyphenyl side chain points toward Val216 in the free
enzyme, a χl angle rotation of more than 110° has been

---

### TABLE 1

| Distribution of 1H-15N backbone chemical shift differences (ΔδHN) for residues affected by the Y105X mutation |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| ΔδHN (Hz)* | Y105W | Y105G | Y105N | Y105D |
|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 11–20       | 35    | 54    | 59    | 66    |
| 20–30       | 8     | 5     | 11    | 12    |
| 30–40       | 3     | 4     | 4     | 11    |
| 40–50       | 2     | 3     | 4     | 2     |
| 50–60       | 2     | 2     | 2     | 0     |
| 60–70       | 2     | 2     | 2     | 0     |
| 70–80       | 3     | 1     | 2     | 2     |
| 80–90       | 0     | 1     | 0     | 1     |
| 90–100      | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| 100–125     | 1     | 1     | 3     | 3     |
| 125–150     | 1     | 0     | 1     | 2     |
| 150–200     | 1     | 1     | 2     | 2     |
| >200        | 0     | 3     | 2     | 1     |
| Total no. of affected residues | 57 (22%) | 78 (30%) | 91 (35%) | 104 (40%) |

* Experimental error = 5.5 Hz. Only values greater than twice the experimental error were considered as significant.

### TABLE 2

| Backbone 1H-15N chemical shift differences (ΔδHN) between Y105X mutants and wild-type TEM-1 for selected active-site residues |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mutant | ΔδHN (Hz) | Lys234 (15.5 Å) | Ser130 (10.2 Å) | Asn132 (6.1 Å) | Glu166 (11.0 Å) | Lys234 (18.7 Å) | Arg244 (17.3 Å) | kcat/Km relative to wild type* |
|--------|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| Y105W  | NS*       | 27.4*          | NA*            | 20.2           | NS*            | 20.2           | NS*            | 1.34             |
| Y105G  | 5.7       | 81.0           | 131.5          | 6.8            | 7.2            | 5.6            | 0.27           |
| Y105N  | 10.6      | 107.4          | 55.2           | 31.3           | 9.7            | 5.7            | 0.20           |
| Y105D  | 23.4      | 123.2          | 140.4          | 30.8           | NS*            | NS*            | 0.02           |

* Distance between backbone 15N105–15N106 atoms (PDB coordinates 1BTL).

* Values for benzylpenicillin were taken from Ref. 25.

* NA means not available.

---
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observed in the presence of the inhibitor imipenem (47), making this the largest conformational change observed in the enzyme. High flexibility of Tyr105 is suggested by high B-factor values of Tyr105 in several crystal structures of TEM-1 (46, 49) and confirmed by a lower-than-average order parameter of this amide in solution as evaluated by NMR (29). However, our previous dynamic modeling studies of this residue showed a low propensity of Tyr105 for conformational change on the ps time scale relative to more flexible Y105X replacements, suggesting that positioning and restricted dynamic motions of the Tyr105 side chain could be a determinant of recognition for substrate stabilization in TEM-1 β-lactamase (25).

TEM-1 Y105D displayed the most important effects on β-lactamase. Values for WT are colored gray, and values for mutant Y105D are colored black. Secondary structure elements are plotted on the sequence as gray rectangles for α-helices and black rectangles for β-strands.

TABLE 3
Average backbone relaxation and model-free parameters for wild-type TEM-1 and mutant Y105D

| Parameters | TEM-1 | Y105D |
|------------|-------|-------|
| $R_1$      | 0.98 ± 0.01 | 0.97 ± 0.02 |
| $R_2$      | 19.5 ± 0.6 | 18.8 ± 0.7 |
| NOE        | 0.82 ± 0.04 | 0.81 ± 0.04 |
| $S^2$      | 0.93 ± 0.02 | 0.92 ± 0.02 |
| $D_1/D_2$  | 1.16 ± 0.01 | 1.18 ± 0.01 |
| $\tau_m$ (ns) | 13.8 ± 0.01 | 13.7 ± 0.01 |

example, the global correlation time for TEM-1 varied from 12.8 ns at 0.4 mM to 13.8 ns at 0.8 mM. This increase in correlation time is most likely due to an increase in viscosity at high protein concentration. It was therefore crucial for our analysis that both proteins be at exactly the same concentration. From the $^1$H-$^15$N HSQC spectra recorded for the relaxation experiments, it was possible to obtain reliable data for 206 and 230 out of 250 potentially observable amides for WT and mutant Y105D, respectively. Table 3 presents average values for relaxation data and parameters obtained for TEM-1 and mutant Y105D.

The general comparison of $R_1$, $R_2$, and $^1$H-$^15$N NOE values for TEM-1 and mutant Y105D shows that both enzymes behave in a very similar manner, displaying comparable values throughout the sequence (Table 3 and Fig. 4, A–C). The general
constant pattern observed in the relaxation data from one extremity of the enzyme to the other is uncommon relative to the pattern generally observed in other proteins, where an important decrease in both N- and C-terminal regions as well as in unstructured regions is frequently observed. This feature reflects the high rigidity of both enzymes in solution, a property that we have also previously observed in TEM-1 (E28G) (29). However, there are significant local differences between the relaxation data for both enzymes, especially concentrated in regions showing important chemical shift differences (e.g. residues 70–80, 124–135, and most importantly 211–221) (Fig. 4, A–C). Fig. 5 shows the Y105D/WT ratios for all relaxation parameters, highlighting the residues displaying the most important differences between both enzymes. Changes in $R_1$ and $[^{1}H]^{15}N$ NOE values reflect differences in the ps-ns dynamics of proteins, whereas changes in $R_2$ values may also reflect changes in µs-ms motions. Interestingly, 88 residues are significantly affected in either $R_1$ or $[^{1}H]^{15}N$ NOE relaxation parameters, suggesting significant dynamic differences on the ps-ns time scale for these residues (supplemental Table S3). Among these, 14 belong to the active-site walls of TEM-1 and may be implicated in substrate stabilization (Table 4). Because it has been proposed that motions on the ps-ns time scale may influence the thermodynamics of binding as well as the kinetics of enzyme-catalyzed reactions (50–52), disruption of ps-ns motions among these active-site residues may reduce substrate stabilization and/or catalysis in mutant Y105D. Similarly, among the 30 residues significantly affected in $R_2$ (supplemental Table S3), 11 belong to the active-site walls (Table 4), suggesting that differences in µs-ms motions of these residues between WT and mutant Y105D may also affect substrate stabilization and/or catalysis. Residues 211–221 correspond to the region where the $R_2$ values are the most affected by the Y105D mutations, both in terms of magnitude and number of residues.

![FIGURE 5. Y105D/WT ratios for the relaxation parameters ($R_1$, $R_2$, and $[^{1}H]^{15}N$ NOE).](image)

**TABLE 4**

Active-site wall and invariant residues displaying significant relaxation parameter variation between wild-type TEM-1 and mutant Y105D

| Relaxation parameter | Residues |
|----------------------|----------|
| $R_1$                | Tyr46, Leu76, Glu104, Ser106, Asn132, Arg164, Val175, Gly218, Leu220, Ala232, Glu239, Gly250, Met270, Trp288 |
| $R_2$                | Tyr46, Thr71, Phe72, Leu76, Val103, Glu104, Ser106, Lys215, Val216, Gly218, Leu220, Gly244, Met270, Ile280, Trp288 |
| $[^{1}H]^{15}N$ NOE  | Thr71, Phe72, Val103, Glu104, Ser106, Met129, Glu168, Asn170, Thr181, Gly218, Arg243, Leu249, Met270, Ile280 |
| $S_2$                | Ser106, Glu239 |
| $R_{ex}$             | Lys215, Val175, Gly218, Lys234 |

*Residues defining active-site walls are in boldface type and residues intolerant to any amino acid substitution in TEM-1 (55) are underlined. Sequential numbering is used.*

*As the $^{15}N$-HSQC correlation of Lys215 was significantly weaker for the WT than for Y105D, it was impossible to obtain relaxation data for the WT. We therefore conclude that the $R_2$ value was significantly higher for the WT, reflecting significantly higher $R_{ex}$. 
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affected. In addition, because \(\mu\)s-ms dynamics are directly related to the time scale of catalysis, these modified motions may also affect turnover in mutant Y105D. Residue Lys\textsuperscript{215} is also a particularly good candidate for this, as it has been shown to be an essential member of the catalytically important hydro- gen-bonding sub-network of class A \(\beta\)-lactamases through the formation of a hydrogen bond with Ser\textsuperscript{139} (an equally important member of the SDN loop implicated in catalysis) (53).

Model-free Analysis—To correlate our relaxation data with the internal dynamics of the protein, further dynamic analyses were conducted using the model-free formalism pioneered by Lipari and Szabo (39, 40). Such analyses allow for the direct investigation of local and global dynamic effects observed in the protein of interest, namely through the extraction of the order parameter \(S^2\), the conformational exchange parameter \(R_{ex}\), and the overall correlation time of the molecule \(\tau_m\) (Tables 3 and 4 and Fig. 4, D and E).

Average values of model-free parameters for WT and mutant Y105D confirm that both enzymes behave very similarly with respect to their global dynamic properties (Table 3). Following the model selection, there was only a slight divergence between both proteins; for WT and mutant Y105D, respectively, 82 and 81% of the residues fitted well for model 1, 7 and 9% for model 2, and 10 and 8% for model 3. No residue was fitted to model 4 nor model 5 in either protein. Both WT and Y105D display a small prolate axial anisotropy with \(D/D_1\) values of 1.16 and 1.18 and similar global correlation times \(\tau_m\) of 13.8 and 13.7 ns, respectively (Table 3). Considering that the two enzymes differ only by a single mutation, this result was expected. In addition, the average order parameter values \(S^2\) obtained for TEM-1 and mutant Y105D are exceptionally high (>0.9 for both enzymes), confirming previous observations reported for TEM-1 (E28G) (29). Because the order parameter measures the amplitude of ps-nm motions and varies from 0 for unrestricted internal motions to 1 for completely restricted motions (43), values >0.9 are indicative of highly ordered proteins in solution.

Six residues display distinct behavior patterns in their order parameters \(S^2\) (supplemental Table S3), two of which are located in the active-site walls (Ser\textsuperscript{196} and Glu\textsuperscript{239}) (Table 4). These residues display a significant decrease in their order parameters indicating an increase in ps-nm motion amplitude in the mutant compared with WT. Furthermore, five residues display distinct \(\mu\)s-ms motions when compared with WT (supplemental Table S3), four of which belong to active-site walls (Lys\textsuperscript{215}, Val\textsuperscript{216}, Gly\textsuperscript{218}, and Lys\textsuperscript{234}) (Table 4). The model-free analysis for these residues required an \(R_{ex}\)-term, which is related to local conformational exchange and refers to motions observed on the \(\mu\)s-ms time scale (43). As the \(^{15}\)N-HSQC correlation of residue Lys\textsuperscript{215} was significantly weaker for the WT than for Y105D, it was impossible to obtain relaxation data for this residue in the WT. We therefore conclude that the \(R_k\) value was significantly higher for the WT, reflecting significantly higher \(R_{ex}\) for Lys\textsuperscript{215} in the WT. The important decrease of \(R_2\) and \(R_{ex}\) for residues 215, 216, 218, and 234 in mutant Y105D (Fig. 4E) suggests a slowdown in motions of these residues on the catalytically relevant \(\mu\)s-ms time scale. These residues delineate two active-site walls of TEM-1, and Lys\textsuperscript{215}, Val\textsuperscript{216}, and Gly\textsuperscript{218} are located in the most dynamically affected region observed between WT and mutant Y105D (Fig. 4). It is interesting that for this region (211–221), only two residues exhibit small but significant chemical shift differences (Lys\textsuperscript{215} and Val\textsuperscript{216}), although changes in motional parameters occur for a greater number of residues and are more important, as reflected in the fact that this region displays the most significant changes in \(R_k\) and \(R_{ex}\) values. Assuming exchange in a two-state model, this could suggest that for these residues the rate of exchange between the two states is significantly affected by the mutation, but the population of each state is roughly the same in the WT and in mutant Y105D. Therefore, only the rate of exchange would be significantly affected by the mutation.

Despite the fact that the model-free approach is not the most comprehensive evaluation of \(\mu\)s-ms time scale motions, dynamics on this time scale can be inferred from \(R_2\). Crude \(R_2\) values do not necessarily provide an adequate portrayal of \(\mu\)s-ms dynamics for an enzyme, but differences in \(R_2\) for WT and mutant Y105D suggest \(\mu\)s-ms motion differences resulting from this mutation. In addition to the important \(R_{ex}\) differences noted for positions 215, 216, 218, and 234, 13 additional active-site wall or invariant residues may have different \(\mu\)s-ms motions between WT and Y105D, based on significant variation in \(R_k\) (Table 4). Motions of Val\textsuperscript{216} and Lys\textsuperscript{234} on a catalytically relevant time scale could affect catalysis by perturbing the hydrogen bonding network observed in WT (Fig. 6), therefore partly explaining the differences in \(k_{cat}\) observed previously with mutant Y105D (25).

DISCUSSION

The investigation of the correlations between enzyme dynamics and function is required to gain a detailed understanding of the mechanisms underlying the catalytic activity of these important molecules. Implication of \(\mu\)s-nm and \(\mu\)s-ms
motions in enzyme activities and catalytic rates is now well accepted, and NMR spectroscopy is a valuable tool to probe these time windows (21). In an attempt to explain the differences in the catalytic efficiency of several Y105X mutants of TEM-1, we previously conducted a short 200-ps molecular dynamics simulation that allowed for the partial explanation of differences in affinity through the formation of a stabilizing wall created by residues exhibiting few degrees of freedom at position 105, therefore restricting substrate motion in the active site (25). However, this molecular dynamics simulation model was relatively limited in that it only allowed for the investigation of small motions explored on a short time scale and exclusively concentrated in the local environment of position 105. To better characterize these motions, we investigated the role of the conserved active-site residue Tyr105 by comparing its structural and dynamic features with respect to the Y105W, Y105G, Y105N, and Y105D mutants using NMR spectroscopy. The chemical shift differences observed between TEM-1 and these various mutants allowed us to focus our dynamic characterization on the Y105D mutant.

Overall, although the Y105D mutation considerably affects the electronic and dynamic environment of several residues throughout the enzyme, the backbone dynamics of residue 105 are not significantly affected relative to WT, suggesting that local dynamics at position 105 are not the sole element contributing to the differences observed in catalysis. Indeed, despite the important steric and ionic alterations offered by the Y105D replacement, R1, R2, and R15N NOE values of mutant Y105D remain similar to WT. On the other hand, our present analyses revealed significant short and long range changes in motion throughout the enzyme, providing further clarification of the effect of this mutation in substrate stabilization and catalysis. We show significant alterations in the ps-ns and µs-ms dynamics of important residues, often either in or near the active-site walls of TEM-1, as a result of this mutation at position 105. For instance, Ser106 and Glu239 show significant increase in ps-ns motions with respect to WT. Such a decrease of the order parameter for residues located in the active site could result in a higher conformational entropy cost associated with substrate binding, hence contributing to the catalytically impaired active-site observed in the Y105D mutant. In addition, Arg164 is a highly conserved residue in class β-lactamases that is considered to be important in anchoring the base of the Ω-loop through a salt bridge with Asp179 (54). Although more than 17 Å away from the mutation at position 105, a significant change in the R1 is observed. This change in ps-ns dynamics through a possible network of active-site motions could affect the stability of the Ω-loop and thus reduce appropriate substrate stabilization and catalysis.

Moreover, our results show a significant decrease in the µs-ms motions for residues Glu212, Lys215, Val216, Gly218, and Lys234. It is interesting to note that among these residues, Val216 and Lys234 were shown to be intolerant to any amino acid substitutions with respect to benzylpenicillin hydrolysis in TEM-1 (55). These results suggest that this activity requirement may partly be governed by µs-ms dynamics in the vicinity of these residues. Lys215, Val216, and Lys234 are positioned in the immediate vicinity of the substrate molecule, and their ground-state dynamic behavior may have a direct impact on substrate recognition and stabilization, therefore partly explaining the decreased affinity observed for mutant Y105D (25). In fact, although Lys234 is implicated in the initial recognition of the substrate molecule as an electrostatic anchor for the carboxylate moiety of substrates (56), its implication in proton shuttling during catalysis is still the subject of debate (57). On the other hand, with help from the guanidinium group of Arg244, the backbone carbonyl group of Val216 has been shown to anchor a conserved water molecule that interacts with the C3 carboxylate group of the substrate for appropriate stabilization (58) (Fig. 6). Thus, this residue has been suggested to influence substrate binding and catalysis in both TEM-1 (55) and PSE-4 (59). Because the µs-ms motions surrounding Glu212, Lys215, Val216, and Lys234 are decreased in mutant Y105D, these changes on the catalytically relevant time scale of their local environment may reduce substrate stabilization in the active site of Y105D, consistent with the large increase in K_m previously observed with this mutant (25). In addition to affecting the correct stabilization of the substrate through a conserved water molecule by Val216 (Fig. 6), the attenuation of µs-ms motions in the Y105D mutant could reflect a reduction of the possible conformations that the enzyme can adopt on this time scale. These “productive” conformations could be responsible for the appropriate positioning of the substrate in the active site, and their loss upon mutation could account for the decline of the enzymatic activity. It should be noted that the present study confirmed the presence as well as the importance of previously observed µs-ms motions in the active site and in the Ω-loop of TEM-1 (29). Although it was observed that µs-ms motions were present in the vicinity of the active site (29), it was impossible to determine whether these motions were catalytically relevant. The changes in µs-ms time scale motions we observe upon a mutation that affects kinetic properties of TEM-1 indicates a correlation between motion time scales and the kinetic properties, consistent with causality, although causality has not been demonstrated. To date, the numerous x-ray studies of TEM-1 have provided no indication of catalytically related motions. As a result of the current NMR studies, we propose that the combination of these subtle but significant effects within the active-site cavity are directly related to the 2 orders of magnitude reduction in catalytic efficiency observed for the Y105D mutant of TEM-1 (25). It is important to note that the available data do not allow us to differentiate whether the µs-ms motions observed for the amides of Glu212, Lys215, Val216, Gly218, and Lys234 are resulting from their own motions or from motions of other surrounding residues. However, it is now clear that these µs-ms motions are correlated with the fine-tuning of catalytic properties of TEM-1, and possibly of other β-lactamases.

Long Range Dynamic Effects—Although important residues displaying modified ps-ns and µs-ms dynamics in mutant Y105D are elements of the active-site walls and therefore generally considered in close proximity to the substrate molecule, it is important to keep in mind that motions characterized in this study are exclusively focused on the backbone relaxation of 15N atoms. To that extent, it is important to estimate relevant distances separating residues of interest and therefore to clarify what is considered short range (<5 Å) or long range (>5 Å)
interactions. For instance, the shortest distance between Tyr\textsuperscript{105} and Val\textsuperscript{216} (OH\textsubscript{105}–Cy1\textsubscript{216} = 4.2 Å) may be considered short range, whereas the distance between both their \textsuperscript{15}N atoms would be considered long range (14.7 Å). This important difference in atom distances is observed for several residues located in the active-site walls of TEM-1. Nonetheless, most of the calculated distances among dynamically affected residues should be considered long range because they do not permit any direct contact with residue 105. For instance, Lys\textsuperscript{234} displays a shortest residue distance of 7.8 Å with Tyr\textsuperscript{105} (Ce2\textsubscript{105}–N\textsubscript{5,234}) and a 15N\textsuperscript{105}–15N234 distance of 18.7 Å (both long range).

This observation raises two important points regarding dynamic results characterized in this study. The first point is the fact that, except for dynamically affected residues located in the immediate vicinity of the mutation (e.g. Ser\textsuperscript{106}, Asn\textsuperscript{132}, and to a certain extent Val\textsuperscript{216}), both shortest inter-residue or NMR observable (backbone \textsuperscript{15}N atoms) distances between position 105 and any other dynamically affected residue are too important to account for any direct interaction (e.g. Lys\textsuperscript{234}, Leu\textsuperscript{76}, Gly\textsuperscript{218}, etc.). This observation raises the second important point: the long range dynamic effects observed as a consequence of the Y105D mutation is consistent with the existence of a network of motions among residues of TEM-1 β-lactamase. This hypothesis is strengthened by a previous molecular dynamics study performed on the inhibitor-resistant M69L mutant of TEM-1, suggesting that only differences in dynamics of this mutant account for the resistance to clavulinate (30). This type of dynamic network explaining long range dynamic effects has been characterized previously in detail for other enzymes and has often been shown to play a crucial role in catalysis (reviewed in Refs. 23 and 24). It has also been observed in allosteric (60) and other noncatalytic proteins (61) with long range motions characterized as contiguous (displaying a traceable pathway) or disperse (noncontiguous with an untraceable pathway). The evidence presented here not only suggests dynamic “cross-talk” between residues constituting opposite walls of the active-site cavity of TEM-1 (often separated by large distances) but also suggests that residues distal to the active-site cavity may disrupt catalysis in TEM-1 through their altered motional behavior. For instance, it is interesting to note that several residues displaying significant ps–ns and/or μs–ms motional differences have been shown to be intolerant to any amino acid substitution in TEM-1 (Table 4), among which several are conserved in all class A β-lactamases (55). Although some residues of the active-site walls are expected to be intolerant to any mutation because of their direct importance in catalysis (e.g. Lys\textsuperscript{234} and Val\textsuperscript{216}), others are distal to the active-site cavity and display significant dynamic alterations between TEM-1 and mutant Y105D. For example, two dynamically affected residues (Tyr\textsuperscript{46} and Leu\textsuperscript{76}, both >20 Å from the mutation) are completely buried in TEM-1, and their motional disruption through a possible long range network of coupled motions may affect the appropriate packing of the hydrophobic core of the enzyme and/or catalysis.

The fact that our NMR studies on WT and mutants Y105X were performed in the absence of any substrate or inhibitor is of considerable interest because it supports previous investiga-
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