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Abstract

The fitness of microbes and their colonization efficiency in plants is significant for promotion of plant growth, but the mechanism between plants and bacteria in rhizospheric region is not clearly explained. This study focused on identification and characterization of some plant growth promoting biocontrol bacteria. A total of 94 bacteria strains were isolated and tested for different plant growth promotion activities and their antagonistic behaviors towards different pathogenic fungi. The isolated bacteria were categorized into 23 bacterial genera, using 16S rRNA. The most predominant genera of bacterial isolates were *Pseudomonas* (4 species) and *Bacillus* (6 species). The isolates (Qassim University Saudi Arabia (QUSA) 52 and 45) and their transposon mutagenesis mutants inhibited mycelium growth of *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Alternaria* sp., and *Colletotrichum* sp. Isolates 52 and 45 of *P. fluorescens* and their mutants’ 52-M12, 45-M19, and 45-M20 yielded the highest dry weight and shoot, and root length in alfalfa plants. Furthermore, the efficiency of these bacterial isolates and mutants against *R. solani* was considerably higher than the control treatment. Therefore, application of biocontrol agents can significantly control the soil-born fungal pathogen in alfalfa plants.
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Background

Numerous biocontrol bacterial species are still unknown and the knowledge of their antagonism against pathogenic fungi is still poor. Thus, the accurate and reliable identification of biocontrol bacteria is an important task for many, if not all disciplines within microbiology (Busse et al. 1996). Traditionally, biocontrol bacterial isolates were identified and classified based on phenotypic methods according to morphological, physiological, and metabolic markers (Rosselló-Mora and Amann 2001). There are major drawbacks to these phenotypic approaches. They are time-consuming, tedious, and the variability among strains belonging to the same species can be worth (Busse et al. 1996; Mignard and Flandrois 2006). The diversity of rhizobia isolated from *Phaseolus vulgaris* has been examined worldwide, using a variety of techniques and criteria, where beans originated (Central and South America) and where it was introduced (Koskey et al. 2018). The PCR–RFLP analysis of the 16S–23S rDNA intergenic transcribed spacer (ITS) has proven to be a useful tool for studying the genetic diversity of rhi zobia belonging to different genera (Vessey and Chemininwa 2006, and Lin et al. 2007).

Sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene is a genotypic method by which bacteria can be classified and identified. In literature, there are various reasons that 16S rRNA is the most commonly used genetic marker for bacterial identification and classification (Janda and Abbott 2007). These reasons are (1) its function has not
changed over time, (2) the 16S rRNA gene is universal in bacteria, and (3) it is large enough for informatics purposes. Therefore, the advantage of using 16S rRNA over phenotypic approach was reported because it does not require optimal growth conditions and/or cultivation of microorganisms. The 16S rRNA gene sequence has been used to identify various types of slow- and fast-growth bacteria and rare as well as novel species of

| Table 1 | Sources and locations of bacterial isolates were collected from Qassim region in Saudi Arabia/QUSA |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| QUSA    | Sample                                | Source                                                                                           |
| 1       | Soil                                  | Saxaul/Ghada tree (Haloxylon persicum) - Rawdat Al-Tanhat                                        |
| 2       | Soil                                  | Arta shrub (Calligonum comosum) - Rawdat Al-Tanhat                                               |
| 3, 4, 20| Root                                  |                                                                                                  |
| 21      | Leaves                                |                                                                                                  |
| 5-7     | Soil                                  | Carrot - Qassim University farm                                                               |
| 8, 22   | Leaves                                | Damas tree (Conocarpus) - Qassim University                                                     |
| 9-12    | Leaves                                | Albizia lebbeck tree - Qassim University                                                       |
| 13-15   | Leaves                                | Palm tree/Phoenix dactylifera - Al-Raihi palm orchards, Buraidah                               |
| 16-19   | Leaves                                | Ivy/Convolvulus - Al-Raihi palm orchards, Buraidah                                              |
| 23-27   | Soil                                  | Barley - Rawdat Al-Tanhat                                                                      |
| 28      | Roots                                 |                                                                                                  |
| 29, 30  | Soil                                  | Chenopodiaceae grass - Rawdat Al-Tanhat                                                         |
| 31, 32  | Roots                                 |                                                                                                  |
| 33-34   | Leaves                                | Rhazya stricta/Al-Harmal - Rawdat Al-Tanhat                                                     |
| 35      | Soil                                  |                                                                                                  |
| 36      | Leaves                                | Plantago ovate/Al-Riblaa - Rawdat Al-Tanhat                                                     |
| 37-39   | Roots                                 |                                                                                                  |
| 40      | Soil                                  |                                                                                                  |
| 41-43   | Roots                                 | Launaea (Al-Bakraa) - Rawdat Al-Tanhat                                                           |
| 44-50   | Soil                                  | Clover - Buraidah                                                                              |
| 51, 52  | Roots                                 |                                                                                                  |
| 53      | Roots                                 | Wheat - Buraidah                                                                               |
| 54      | Leaves                                | Undefined grass 1 - Buraidah                                                                   |
| 55-58   | Roots                                 |                                                                                                  |
| 59-65, 80| Soil                            |                                                                                                  |
| 66      | Roots                                 | Pulicaria crispa/Arfag - Buraidah                                                               |
| 67-69   | Roots                                 | Heliotropium bacciferum - Buraidah                                                              |
| 70, 71  | Soil                                  |                                                                                                  |
| 72      | Leaves                                |                                                                                                  |
| 73, 74  | Leaves                                | Astragalus spinosus/Al-Katad - Buraidah                                                         |
| 75, 77  | Soil                                  |                                                                                                  |
| 76, 78  | Roots                                 |                                                                                                  |
| 79      | Soil                                  | Pulicaria crispa - Buraidah                                                                     |
| 81      | Soil                                  | Clover - Almulaida, Buraidah                                                                   |
| 82      | Soil                                  | Arta tree - Almulaida, Buraidah                                                                 |
| 83-84, 94| Roots                            | Undefined grass 2 - Buraidah                                                                   |
| 85      | Soil                                  |                                                                                                  |
| 86-87   | Leaves                                | Undefined grass 3 - Buraidah                                                                    |
| 88, 89, 90| Soil                            |                                                                                                  |
| 91-93   | Roots                                 | Undefined grass 4 - Buraidah                                                                    |
bacteria (Alsohim et al. 2014 and Mignard and Flandrois 2006).

In Saudi Arabia, commercialization of microbial biofertilizers and biocontrol agents are still in its early stage, which no local plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) are available in the market (Alsohim et al. 2018).

To the best of our knowledge, no study has been performed to develop effective local microbial strains. Thus, the most microbial bio-fertilizers and biocontrol agents in the market are imported from other countries. It has been shown that the survivability of PGPR isolated from a temperate climate rapidly decreases when added to arid soil (Bhattacharjee et al. 2008). Isolation of native strains adapted to the arid environment may contribute to the formulation of inoculants suitable for use with local regional crops. Native isolates may be preferred in the selection of bacteria for inoculation, as they are adapted to the environment and thus can be more competent than imported biocontrol bacteria (Karagöz et al. 2012). Using natural soil isolates inoculated into the plant rhizosphere has the advantage of being the easiest way for adaptation and succession (Chen et al. 2006). Pseudomonas bacteria are used as model organisms in these colonization studies because they are among the important bacteria existing in the rhizosphere (Lugtenberg et al. 2001). For example, Lugtenberg et al. (2001) conducted a systematic search for mutants affected in competitive root colonization with P. fluorescens WCS365. This was done via transposon (Tn5) mutagenesis, and they generated a bank of mutants that were used to test their ability of in competitive fitness. It also has been reported that bacteria (Pseudomonas fluorescens) colonized the base of the root in higher densities than in other parts of the root and that they decreased by twofold towards the top of root (Simons et al. 1996 and Chin-A-Woeng et al. 1997). The Qassim region, Saudi Arabia, is located in an arid zone characterized by low rainfall, extreme temperatures, and infertile with salt-affected soils. However, agricultural activities in Qassim are known to depend on groundwater and the use of chemical fertilizers to enhance soil fertility and crop productivity. The excessive use of chemical fertilizers often results in unexpected harmful environmental effects, including accumulation of nitrate in plant tissues, leaching of nitrate into groundwater and surface runoff of phosphorus and nitrogen. Obviously, an integrated nutrient management system is required to maintain agricultural productivity and protect the environment.

Therefore, microbial inoculants are promising components for integrated solutions to agro-environmental problems (Alsohim 2015), which deduced that PGPR isolates (Sinorhizobium sp.) may have the potential to act as PGPR that can enhance plant growth, particularly under stressed environmental conditions. It is, therefore, necessary to develop effective biofertilizers containing native PGPR strains that can adapt well to the arid environment. Rhizosphere of perennial plants, which are well adapted to the local environment are perhaps the best source of native microbial isolates (Hanna et al. 2012).

Therefore, the objectives of this study were to (1) isolate and purify biocontrol bacteria from a range of plant species, (2) use mutagenesis to identify mutants that promote the plant growth, in vitro evaluation of bacteria and mutants for suppression of oomycete and fungal growth together, and (3) characterize the selected bacteria by genome sequencing and conduct in vivo test with those bacteria mutants for plant growth promotion with and without fungal pathogens.

### Material and methods

#### Isolation of bacteria strains

Bacterial isolates were obtained from plant samples of various trees, bushes, and desert grasses growing at different sites in the Al-Qassim region, Saudi Arabia (Alsohim 2015) (Table 1). The plant samples contained some parts of soil, roots, and leaves, were carefully collected in plastic bags, and then stored at 4°C until used.

After removing adhering soil, the plant root samples were cut into small pieces and crushed in sterile water. The plant leaves were also crushed in sterile water. As well as, 10 g of the soil from each of the rhizosphere samples was transferred to 100 ml of sterile water. These 3 suspensions were serially diluted, and 100 μl of each 10-4, 10-5, and 10-6 homogenized dilutions was distributed into nutrient agar (NA) medium. Plates were

| Table 2 | Plant pathogenic fungi tested in the fungal inhibition growth assay and their sources |
|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| No.   | Fungi                             | Source                                |
| 1     | Fusarium moniliform               | Drought on palm tree - pathology lab  |
| 2     | Fusarium solani                   | Biotechnology lab                     |
| 3     | Fusarium oxysporum                | Biotechnology lab                     |
| 4     | Fusarium graminarium              | Pathology lab                         |
| 5     | Fusarium oxysporumc.             | Pathology lab                         |
| 6     | Fusarium sp. (purple)             | Pathology lab                         |
| 7     | Fusarium sp. (white)              | Isolated from tomato - pathology lab  |
| 12    | Fusarium sp. (white1)             | Palm tree - pathology lab             |
| 8     | Fusarium sp. (pink)               | Biotechnology lab                     |
| 9     | Rhizoctonia solani                | Biotechnology lab                     |
| 10    | Colletotrichum sp.                | Al-Rajhi horticulture - pathology lab |
| 11    | Botrytis sp.                      | Strawberry fruit                      |
| 13    | Alternaria sp.                    | Leaf spot on palm tree - pathology lab|
| 14    | Stemphylium sp.                   | Pathology lab                         |
incubated at 28 ± 1 °C (Alsohim et al. 2018) for isolation of bacteria.

Identification of PGPR isolates through DNA sequencing
Rhizobacterial isolates, that grown overnight in nutrient broth and genomic DNA, were extracted by the hexadecyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) method (Doyle and Doyle 1990). The bacterial 16S rDNA primer Ps-for (5'GGTCTGAGAGGATGCAG3') and Ps-rev (5'TTAGCTCCACCTCGCGGG3') were used to amplify the 16S rDNA gene region. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was using a DNA Engine DYAD™ Peltier Thermal Cycler. Thermal cycling was performed by carrying out initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles at 94 °C for 1 min, 55 °C for 1 min, 72 °C for 1.5 min, and the final extension at 72 °C for 8 min. The fragments obtained were analyzed via gel electrophoresis (24 × 12 cm) with 2% agarose, and carried out at 80 V for 2 h. A 1 kb DNA ladder load on the left lane of the gel was used as a molecular size marker. The PCR products were sent to the Macrogen Company in Korea for sequencing. The sequence search for the alignment of nucleotides was performed using the BLAST (basic local alignment search tool) web-based program.

Fungal inhibition growth assay
The bacterial isolates were tested for their ability to inhibit the growth of some important fungal plant pathogens. Four genera (Fusarium, Rhizoctonia solani, Colletotrichum sp., and Botrytis sp.) were tested in this assay. Fungal isolates information are described in Table 2. Four single-bacterial colonies of the bacterial isolates (P. fluorescens) were streaked on four edges of PDA containing Petri dishes and one disk of a new colony of the tested fungi was placed in the

| Table 3 Identification of bacterial isolates (QUSA) and their high similarity using 16S rDNA gene sequencing |
| QUSA | Description | Identity |
|-------|-------------|---------|
| 2     | Bacillus sp. PVR-YH-4-1 | 97% |
| 5     | Klebsiella sp. IPPW-15 | 93% |
| 7     | Chryseobacterium sp. K-2 | 99% |
| 10    | Acinetobacter sp. NC95 partial | 99% |
| 11    | Bacillus licheniformis strain MK7 | 98% |
| 14    | Uncultured Acinetobacter sp. clone G13-S-1-G03 | 99% |
| 16    | Psychrobacter sp. MVS1-N6 | 98% |
| 17    | Pseudomonas sp. CB14 | 88% |
| 19    | Paracoccus sp. B22 | 98% |
| 23    | Microbacterium sp. AHW1G3 | 92% |
| 25    | Pseudomonas stutzeri strain L1 | 99% |
| 26    | Pantoea dispersa strain p91_G09 | 98% |
| 27    | Uncultured Pseudomonas sp. clone CV-3 | 93% |
| 28    | Stenotrophomonas maltophilia strain Ysm | 82% |
| 29    | Lysinibacillus fusiformis strain B-13 | 93% |
| 30    | Enterobacter sp. FCB1 | 98% |
| 31    | Pseudomonas sp. NR 6-02 | 89% |
| 32    | Pseudomonas syringae strain 8532 | 98% |
| 33    | Achromobacter sp. GMCo22 | 86% |
| 34    | Pantoea sp. LP10_RH04 | 99% |
| 35    | Stenotrophomonas sp. SMAKK001 | 85% |
| 36    | Bacillus subtilis strain PSM5 | 85% |
| 37    | Bacillus sp. RC2 partial | 86% |
| 40    | Arthrobacter nicotianae strain Lb-41 | 91% |
| 41    | Pseudomonas sp. AD3.12 | 99% |
| 42    | Cronobacter dublinensis strain PYJ131 | 97% |
| 43    | Pseudomonas sp. TK37 | 98% |
| 44    | Pseudomonas sp. PS-4 | 98% |
| 45    | Pseudomonas sp. PS-4 | 99% |
| 46    | Pseudomonas stutzeri strain NM2E7 | 98% |
| 47    | Exiguobacterium sibiricum strain IHB B 14506 | 97% |
| 48    | Pseudomonas stutzeri strain S16(B)12 BRNEIST-DST | 88% |
| 49    | Bacillus sp. T3-33 | 99% |
| 51    | Pseudomonas amygdali pv. tabaci strain YWTG-1 | 99% |
| 52    | Pseudomonas fluorescens P10-1, complete genome | 98% |
| 53    | Bacillus sp. TAE13 gene | 99% |
| 57    | Rhodospirillum sp. kmd_187 | 97% |
| 58    | Pseudomonas sp. TK3 | 99% |
| 60    | Bacillus flexus strain p8_B09 | 84% |
| 61    | Bacillus sp. 2BSG-MG-25 gene | 99% |
| 62    | Bacillus sp. RSP-VW-56 | 99% |
| 63    | Pseudomonas sp. CP07 | 89% |
| 64    | Pseudomonas sp. B3053 | 88% |

Fungal inhibition growth assay
The bacterial isolates were tested for their ability to inhibit the growth of some important fungal plant pathogens. Four genera (Fusarium, Rhizoctonia solani, Colletotrichum sp., and Botrytis sp.) were tested in this assay. Fungal isolates information are described in Table 2. Four single-bacterial colonies of the bacterial isolates (P. fluorescens) were streaked on four edges of PDA containing Petri dishes and one disk of a new colony of the tested fungi was placed in the incubated at 28 ± 1 °C (Alsohim et al. 2018) for isolation of bacteria.

Identification of PGPR isolates through DNA sequencing
Rhizobacterial isolates, that grown overnight in nutrient broth and genomic DNA, were extracted by the hexadecyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) method (Doyle and Doyle 1990). The bacterial 16S rDNA primer Ps-for (5’GGTCTGAGAGGATGCAG3’) and Ps-rev (5’TTCAGCTCCACCTCGCGGG3’) were used to amplify the 16S rDNA gene region. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was using a DNA Engine DYAD™ Peltier Thermal Cycler. Thermal cycling was performed by carrying out initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles at 94 °C for 1 min, 55 °C for 1 min, 72 °C for 1.5 min, and the final extension at 72 °C for 8 min. The fragments obtained were analyzed via gel electrophoresis (24 × 12 cm) with 2% agarose, and carried out at 80 V for 2 h. A 1 kb DNA ladder load on the left lane of the gel was used as a molecular size marker. The PCR products were sent to the Macrogen Company in Korea for sequencing. The sequence search for the alignment of nucleotides was performed using the BLAST (basic local alignment search tool) web-based program.

Fungal inhibition growth assay
The bacterial isolates were tested for their ability to inhibit the growth of some important fungal plant pathogens. Four genera (Fusarium, Rhizoctonia solani, Colletotrichum sp., and Botrytis sp.) were tested in this assay. Fungal isolates information are described in Table 2. Four single-bacterial colonies of the bacterial isolates (P. fluorescens) were streaked on four edges of PDA containing Petri dishes and one disk of a new colony of the tested fungi was placed in the
center of the Petri dish. The plates were incubated at 28 °C until the fungal mycelium covered the entire control dish after 4 days. The ability of IS-Ω-Km/hah mutants to inhibit the growth of the fungi was tested on PDA plates; all bacterial strains were inoculated as a 5 μl droplet from an overnight broth (cell density adjusted to OD 600 = 0.5) and allowed to grow overnight on a PDA agar plate. Fungi were then inoculated at the center of the PDA agar plates and incubated at 28 °C.

As the bacterial isolates showed antifungal activity, the observed inhibition of fungal mycelium growth was estimated using a three-level scale: (+) fungal mycelium showed delay in growth compared with the control, (+++) fungal mycelium stopped growing in contact with the bacterial colony, and (++++) presence of clear antagonistic area free of fungal mycelium surrounding the bacterial colony. The inhibition zone (mm) was recorded by measuring the distance between the edges of the fungal mycelium and the bacterial streak.

### Table 4 Reaction of mutants of bacterial isolate no. 52 (Pseudomonas fluorescens) tested for their antagonism to Rhizoctonia solani and Colletotrichum sp.

| Mutant no. | Rhizoctonia solani after 1 day | R. solani after 5 days | Colletotrichum after 1 day | Colletotrichum after 5 days | Fluorescent |
|------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| Iso. 52    | +++                           | ++                    | ++                       | +                         |             |
| 1          | +++                           | -                     | -                        | -                         | -           |
| 2          | +++                           | +++                   | +++                      | +++                       | +           |
| 3          | +++                           | +++                   | +++                      | +++                       | +           |
| 4          | +++                           | +++                   | +++                      | +++                       | +           |
| 5          | +                             | -                     | +                        | -                         | -           |
| 6          | -                             | -                     | +++                      | +                         | -           |
| 7          | -                             | -                     | -                        | -                         | -           |
| 8          | +++                           | +++                   | +++                      | +++                       | -           |
| 9          | +                             | +++                   | +++                      | +++                       | -           |
| 10         | -                             | -                     | -                        | -                         | -           |
| 11         | +++                           | +++                   | +++                      | +++                       | +           |
| 12         | +                             | -                     | -                        | -                         | -           |
| 13         | +++                           | +++                   | +++                      | +++                       | +           |
| 14         | +++                           | +++                   | +++                      | +++                       | +           |
| 15         | +                             | -                     | -                        | -                         | -           |
| 16         | +                             | -                     | +                        | +                         | -           |
| 17         | +++                           | +++                   | +++                      | +++                       | +           |
| 18         | +                             | -                     | -                        | -                         | -           |
| 19         | +++                           | +++                   | +++                      | +++                       | +           |
| 20         | +                             | -                     | -                        | -                         | -           |
| 21         | +                             | -                     | -                        | -                         | -           |
| 22         | +++                           | +++                   | +++                      | +++                       | +           |
| 23         | +                             | -                     | +                        | -                         | -           |
| 24         | +++                           | +++                   | +++                      | +++                       | +           |
| 25         | -                             | -                     | -                        | -                         | -           |
| 26         | +++                           | -                     | -                        | -                         | -           |
| 27         | +++                           | -                     | -                        | -                         | -           |
| 28         | -                             | -                     | -                        | -                         | -           |
| 29         | +++                           | -                     | -                        | -                         | -           |
| 30         | -                             | -                     | +                        | -                         | -           |
| 31         | -                             | -                     | -                        | -                         | -           |
| 32         | +++                           | -                     | -                        | -                         | -           |
Construction of a *Pseudomonas fluorescens* transposon mutant using bacterial conjugation

Bacteria (*P. fluorescens*) isolates 45 and 52 were grown overnight in Luria broth (LB) at 27 °C and *Escherichia coli* was grown overnight in LB at 37 °C. Next, 900 μl of bacteria cells were mixed with 600 μl of the S17-1 λpir carrying plasmid pSCR001 (and 600 μl of *E. coli* strain containing the helper plasmid, DH5α (pRK2013), if used). The cells were mixed and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm to obtain a pellet. The mixture was then washed twice with sterile water. The cell suspension was spotted on LB agar plates and incubated overnight at 27 °C. These bacterial mating spots were then recovered and placed in 1 ml of sterile water and diluted 10-1 and 10-2. The suspensions were spread onto LB plates supplemented with antibiotics in order to select the trans-conjugants carrying the plasmid or for transposon mutagenesis. Two hundred isolates of IS-Ω-Km/hah transposon mutants were generated by the conjugation of bacteria with S17-1 λpir (pSCR001) and selecting of Kmr mutants. Mutants were selected and arranged in micro titer dishes in order to produce frozen stocks and create the transposon library (Alsohim et al. 2014).

Testing selected bacteria in vivo for PGPR in the presence or absence of fungal isolates in greenhouse

In vivo experiments were conducted with biocontrol bacteria to determine whether they could improve plant growth (in the absence of pathogens) and protect plants (in the presence of pathogens). Alfalfa seeds were sterilized by Clorox 1% for 5 min and inoculated with selected bacterial isolates prior to exposure to the phytopathogenic fungi. The seeds were soaked for 10 min in bacterial containing bacterial isolates. The bacterial isolates (isolate 45; *Pseudomonas* sp., Mutant 45-M19, Mutant 45-M20, isolate 52; *P. fluorescens* Pf0-1, Mutant 52-M12, and Mutant 52-M22) were tested for ability to protect the alfalfa roots against *Rhizoctonia solani*. The bacterial isolates (isolate 37; *Bacillus* sp.) were tested against *Botrytis* sp. The isolates were grown in nutrient broth on a rotary shaker at 30 °C and 170 rpm for 24 h.

### Table 5
Quantitative reaction of mutants of bacterial isolate no. 45 (*Pseudomonas* sp.) tested for their antagonism against *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Colletotrichum* sp., *Fusarium* solani, *F. moniliforme*, *F. oxysporum*, *Fusarium* sp., and *Botrytis* sp.

| Mutant No. | R. so | F. so | F. mon | F. ox | F. gra | Thial. | Bot. |
|------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|--------|--------|------|
| Iso 45     | +++   | -     | -      | -     | -      | -      | +++  |
| 1          | ++    | -     | -      | -     | -      | -      | +    |
| 2          | -     | -     | -      | -     | -      | -      | +++  |
| 3          | -     | -     | -      | -     | -      | -      | -    |
| 4          | -     | -     | -      | -     | -      | -      | -    |
| 5          | -     | -     | -      | -     | -      | -      | ++   |
| 6          | -     | -     | -      | -     | -      | -      | -    |
| 7          | -     | -     | -      | -     | -      | -      | -    |
| 8          | -     | -     | -      | -     | -      | -      | -    |
| 9          | -     | -     | -      | -     | -      | -      | +++  |
| 10         | -     | -     | -      | -     | -      | -      | ++   |
| 11         | -     | -     | -      | -     | -      | -      | +++  |
| 12         | -     | -     | -      | -     | -      | -      | -    |
| 13         | -     | -     | -      | -     | -      | -      | -    |
| 14         | -     | -     | -      | -     | -      | -      | ++   |
| 15         | -     | -     | -      | -     | -      | -      | +++  |
| 16         | -     | -     | -      | -     | -      | -      | ++   |
| 17         | -     | -     | -      | -     | -      | -      | +++  |
| 18         | -     | -     | -      | -     | -      | -      | -    |
| 19         | -     | -     | -      | -     | -      | -      | -    |
| 20         | +++   | -     | -      | -     | -      | -      | +++  |

### Table 6
Thirty days after inoculation: shoot and root measurements of alfalfa plants under different treatments

| No. | Treatment | Shoot length (cm) | Root length (cm) | Fresh weight (mg/plant) | Dry weight (mg/plant) |
|-----|-----------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| 1   | Isolate 45| 14.3 bc*          | 7.7 ba           | 1.4 c                   | 0.6 b                |
| 2   | Mutant 45-19| 15.3 b          | 8.0 ba           | 1.5 b                   | 0.7 ab               |
| 3   | Mutant 45-20| 16 b             | 8.0 ba           | 1.3 d                   | 0.6 b                |
| 4   | Isolate 37| 20.7 a            | 8.7 a            | 1.6 a                   | 0.8 a                |
| 5   | Bot-45    | 16.3 b            | 7.0 ba           | 1.3 cd                  | 0.7 ab               |
| 6   | Bot-45-19| 14.7 b            | 6.3 b            | 0.8 f                   | 0.4 c                |
| 7   | Bot-45-20| 14.3 b            | 6.7 ba           | 0.6 g                   | 0.3 c                |
| 8   | Bot-37    | 16.7 b            | 7.7 ba           | 1.6 a                   | 0.8 a                |
| 9   | Bot.      | 0.0 d             | 0.0 c            | 0.0 h                   | 0.0 d                |
| 10  | Seeds     | 10.7 c            | 6.0 b            | 0.8 f                   | 0.4 c                |

*Significant at the 0.01 probability level

Seeds (Control 1) not inoculated with any microbe, Bot. (Control 2) only inoculated with *Botrytis* sp.
The isolates were grown on LB broth at 28 °C for 3 days and further evaluated for their PGP traits. The suspensions were centrifuged in sterile 50-ml falcon tubes at 6000 rpm for 10 min. The pellets were then resuspended in sterile distilled water to obtain a final concentration of 108 cells ml\(^{-1}\), (OD = 0.5) at 600 nm, which was measured, using the viable plate count and optical density methods (Alsohimm et al. 2018).

The soil used in the pot experiment was sterilized to minimize the influence of other microorganisms and air-dried prior to the experiment. Plants were monitored in a growth chamber at 30 °C with a photoperiod of 15 h. The experiments were arranged in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replicates and repeated twice. After 4 weeks from inoculation, characteristics measured included plant root weight and length, plant shoot weight and length, and plant biomass (wet and dry).

**Statistical analysis**

The analysis of variance for plant characteristics was computed, using the MSTATC microcomputer program (MSTATC 1990).

**Results and discussion**

**PGPR identification**

Isolates, which showed interesting PGPR characteristics, were further identified via sequencing of their 16S rDNA gene. The BLAST searches against the NCBI nucleotide database revealed close relationships to known plant-associated bacteria, including the 23 genera as Arthrobacter, Bacillus, Cronobacter, Enterobacter, Erwinia, Klebsiella, Lysinibacillus, Mesorhizobium, Pantoea, Pseudomonas, Staphylococcus, and Stenotrophomonas (Table 3). The most predominant genera of the bacterial isolates were *Pseudomonas* (QUA-17) (4 species) and *Bacillus* (6 species). These isolates were also found in rhizospheric soil of Himachal Pradesh, India, as reported by Kumar et al. (2012) and used in a biofertilizer formulation. The BLAST search results through NCBI showed the highest (99%) similarity index of isolate QUSA-34 with *Pantoea* sp. The highest sequence resemblance of isolates (QUA-41 and QUSA-45) with *Pseudomonas* sp. and *Bacillus pumilus* for isolate QUSA-87, as described in Table 2. Hayat et al. (2013) identified 9 Gram-positive bacterial strains from legume rhizospheric soil, using 16S rRNA gene sequencing. These strains showed the highest similarity (97.9–99.8%) to the genus *Bacillus* and were characterized for plant growth promoting (PGP) activities in legume and cereal crops.

**Construction of *Pseudomonas* sp. transposon mutants**

In this study, transposon mutagenesis of *Pseudomonas* sp. produced mutants that are effective in the protection of alfalfa plants against fungi than the bacterial isolates. Moreover, some mutants of *Pseudomonas* sp. showed more protection of alfalfa plants in soil infected with plant pathogen such as *R. solani* and *Botrytis* sp. than in bacterial isolates. Thus, some genes that mutated are useful target for biocontrol of plants growth promotion agents.

**In vitro biocontrol assay of mutagenesis mutants**

After 24 h, fungi had spread over the plate towards the bacterial colonies. A clear inhibition zone was observed around mutants 22 and 24 of *P. fluorescens* (isolate 52)
compared with wild type and the other transposon mutants. An absence of mycelium was observed in the inhibition zone around the colonies (Table 4). Moreover, there was no difference between the wild type and mutant 20 of *P. fluorescens* (isolate 45) in fungi inhibition zone (Table 5). This suggested that some mutant’s genes played an important role in inhibiting pathogen growth in vitro.

It was previously reported that the plant growth promotion and suppression pathogenic disease caused by *Pythium* using *Pseudomonas* strains such as SBW25 and CHAO (Naseby et al. 2001). This study found that *P. fluorescens* and some mutants (isolate 52-mutant #22 and isolate 45-mutant #20) had the ability to inhibit the growth of two plant pathogens (*R. solani* and *Botrytis* sp.). It was found that the fungal and oomycete growth was also inhibited by *P. fluorescens* and some mutants (isolate 52-mutant #22 and isolate 45-mutant #20), but that was not found with the viscosin mutants and thus corroborate with the observation of De Bruijn et al. (2007), i.e., the production of viscosin biosurfactants caused zoospore lysis in the oomycete plant pathogen *Phytophthora infestans*.

**In vivo antagonism of isolates (37, 45, and 52) and its mutants against *R. solani* and *Botrytis* sp.**

Shoot and root lengths, and the fresh and dry weight tendency of surviving plants were significantly affected by inoculated bacteria in alfalfa plants grown in the experiments (Tables 6 and 7). The shoot and root lengths of alfalfa plants (Table 6) grown in the soil treated with the isolate *Bacillus* sp. were significantly higher than (20.7 cm and 8.7 cm, respectively) with the other treatments. Dissimilarity was observed in fresh and dry weight in soil treated with *P. fluorescens* against *Botrytis* sp. Isolates 52 and 45 of *P. fluorescens* along with mutants’ 52-M12, 45-M19, and 45-M20 observed with a maximum dry weight and length of shoots and roots of alfalfa plants (Table 7). Furthermore, the efficiency of these bacterial isolates and their mutants against *R. solani* was significantly higher than with the control treatment due to an increase in the dry weight of alfalfa under the infection with *R. solani*. Compared with the application of selected bacterial isolates, obtained findings indicate that application of biocontrol agent could significantly boost the capability to suppress soil-borne fungi in alfalfa plants. Additionally, *R. solani* and *Botrytis* sp. also significantly reduced the seedling emergence in this study. The effect of *Botrytis* sp. was partially suppressed by *Bacillus* sp. (isolate #37). However, isolate 45 and mutants (M 45-19 and M45-20) of *P. fluorescens* were found to be less protective against *Botrytis* sp. Contrarily, isolates 52 and 45 and mutants (52-M12 and 45-M19) of *P. fluorescens* were more effective against *R. solani*. While, in root and shoot lengths and weights of alfalfa seedlings, *P. fluorescens* was found most effective against the two pathogens (*R. solani* and *Botrytis* sp.). Seeds treated with the mutants (52-M12 and 45-M19) under infection resulted in shoot and root length and weight as seen with the mutants (52-M12 and 45-M19) without infection of *R. solani*. Therefore, this disease might be suppressed by plant growth promoting bacteria (*Pseudomonas* sp.) by reducing the pathogen populations through production of antimicrobials (Naseby et al. 2001). This study proved that some genes in *P. fluorescens* distributed by transposon mutagenesis need to be more investigated to identify the location of these genes and their function.

**Conclusion**

The results revealed that *P. fluorescens* and mutants (isolate 52-mutant #22 and isolate 45-mutant #20) had the ability to inhibit the growth of two plant pathogens. The bacterial isolates and their mutants against *R. solani* and *Botrytis* sp. were significantly higher than the control. Therefore, the results can suggest that application of biocontrol agents could significantly restrict soil-borne fungal pathogens in alfalfa plants.
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