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Abstract. A well known result of Borel says that the category of modules over the Iwahori-Hecke algebra of a semisimple $p$-adic group $G$ describes the Bernstein component associated to the unramified principal series of $G$. We consider Bernstein components for $Sp(4, F)$ associated to principal series induced from simple supercuspidal representations.

1. Introduction

(Note: This paper is almost complete. It is in the final stages of preparation. The final version will be posted shortly.)

Let $G$ denote a split connected reductive group over $R$, where $R$ is the ring of integers of a $p$-adic field $F$. Let $T$ denote an $F$-split maximal torus in $G$ defined over $R$. We briefly recall the notion of a Bernstein component.

Definition 1. A cuspidal pair $(L, \sigma)$ consists of an $F$-Levi subgroup $L$ of $G$, together with a supercuspidal representation $\sigma$ of $L(F)$. We say that the cuspidal pairs $(L_1, \sigma_1)$ and $(L_2, \sigma_2)$ are inertially equivalent if there exists $g \in G(F)$ and $\chi \in X^\text{un}(L_2)$ such that $gL_1g^{-1} = L_2$ and $g\sigma_1 \otimes \chi = \sigma_2$, where $g\sigma_1(x) := \sigma_1(g^{-1}xg)$ and where $X^\text{un}(L_2)$ denotes the group of unramified characters of $L_2(F)$.

If $L$ is an $F$-Levi subgroup of $G$, let $P = LN$ denote the associated $F$-parabolic, $N$ the unipotent radical. Let $s = [L, \sigma]_G$ denote the inertial equivalence class of $(L, \sigma)$. Let $\mathcal{R}_s(G)$ denote the category of all representations $\pi$ each of whose irreducible subquotients are subquotients of some $i_P^G(\sigma_\chi)$, for some $\chi \in X^\text{un}(L)$, where $i_P^G$ denotes normalized parabolic induction.

The category $\mathcal{R}_s(G)$ is called the Bernstein component associated to $s$. The prevailing philosophy is that Bernstein components should be equivalent to the category of modules over some appropriate Hecke algebra. This has been proven in some general cases, the most famous of which is the theorem of Borel (see [1]), which says that the category of unramified principal series is equivalent to the category of modules over the Iwahori Hecke algebra. Morris and Roche ([5] and [7]) have important results in this area as well.

Let $G$ be a simply connected, almost simple, split connected reductive algebraic group defined over $F$. Recently, Gross and Reeder [4] have discovered a new class of supercuspidal representations of $G(F)$, called simple supercuspidal representations. In this paper we determine the Hecke algebras describing the Bernstein components when $\sigma$ is a simple supercuspidal representation of a Levi in $Sp(4, F)$. We now recall the definition of simple supercuspidal representations, since their definition motivates our construction of their associated Hecke algebras.

Let $\mathcal{A}_G$ be the apartment associated to the split torus $T$ of $G$. Let $\Phi$ denote the set of roots of $T$ in $G$ and $\Psi$ the set of affine roots. Fix a Chevalley basis in the Lie algebra of $G$. To each $\psi \in \Psi$ we have an associated affine root group $U_\psi \cong R$. Fix an alcove $C$ in the apartment with
corresponding simple and positive affine roots $\Pi \subset \Psi^+$. To $G$ we have an associated Iwahori subgroup $I \subset G(F)$. Let $x_0$ be the unique point in the alcove $C$ on which all simple affine roots of $G$ take the same value. Their common value is $1/h$, where $h$ is the Coxeter number of $G$. Let $G(F)_{x,r}$ denote the Moy-Prasad subgroup of $G(F)$ at the point $z$, with level $r$. Set

$$I^+ = G(F)_{x_0,1/h} \text{ and } I^{++} = G(F)_{x_0,1/h^+}$$

Then

$$I^+ / I^{++} \cong \bigoplus_{\psi \in \Pi} U_\psi / U_{\psi+1}$$

(see [4]). Let $Z$ be the center of $G(F)$. A character $\chi$ of $ZI^+$ is affine generic if $\chi$ is trivial on $I^{++}$ but nontrivial on each $U_\psi / U_{\psi+1}$ appearing in the above decomposition of $I^+ / I^{++}$.

**Theorem 1.** (Gross/Reeder, [3]) Let $\chi : ZI^+ \to \mathbb{C}^*$ be an affine generic character. Then $cInd_{ZI^+}^{G(F)} \chi$ is a supercuspidal representation, where $cInd$ denotes compact induction.

Gross and Reeder have given the name simple supercuspidal representations to these representations. In this paper we initiate the study of Bernstein components when $\sigma = [L, \sigma]_G$, with $\sigma$ a simple supercuspidal representation. We first note that one can define simple supercuspidal representations for $GL(n,F)$ in analogy with how they are defined in Theorem 1. The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose $p \neq 2$.

1. Let $G(F) = Sp(4,F)$ and $L(F) = SL(2,F) \times GL(1,F)$. Suppose $\sigma'$ is a simple supercuspidal representation of $SL(2,F)$, and $\mu$ a nontrivial quadratic character of $GL(1,F)$. Let $\sigma = \sigma' \times \mu$ and set $s = [L, \sigma]_G$. The category $\mathcal{R}_s(G)$ is equivalent to the category of modules over the Iwahori Hecke algebra of $SL(2,F)$.

2. Let $G(F) = Sp(4,F)$ and $L(F) = GL(2,F)$. Suppose $\sigma$ is a simple supercuspidal representation of $GL(2,F)$ and set $s = [L, \sigma]_G$. The category $\mathcal{R}_s(G)$ is equivalent to the category of modules over the Iwahori Hecke algebra of $PGL(2,F)$.

The main part of our proof of this theorem is the construction of a canonical Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(K,\phi)$ associated to $[L, \sigma]_G$, which we showed is isomorphic to the Iwahori-Hecke algebra of $SL(2,F)$ or $PGL(2,F)$. In fact our method is very general, and we expect to prove analogous results for more general connected reductive groups, as we now describe.

Again let $G$ be a simply connected, almost simple, split connected reductive group. Let $L$ be a Levi of $G$, and let $\sigma = cInd_{ZL}^{L(F)}(\chi)$ be a simple supercuspidal representation of $L(F)$, where $I_L^+$ denotes the pro-unipotent part of an Iwahori subgroup of $L(F)$, and $Z_L$ denotes the center of $L(F)$. We now construct a canonical Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(K,\phi)$ associated to $s = [L, \sigma]_G$.

In analogy with how $I^+, I^{++}$ were defined above, we first consider the subset in $A_G$ on which all simple affine roots in $L$ (not in $G$) take the same value, say $1/m$. Let $p$ be a point on this subset satisfying the condition

$$\psi(p) \in \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall \psi \in \Psi.$$ 

Define

$$K^+ = G(F)_{p,1/m}, \quad K^{++} = G(F)_{p,1/m^+}$$

In section 2 we show
Lemma 1. The subgroup $K^{++}$ is normal in $K^+$, with quotient

$$K^+/K^{++} \cong \bigoplus_{\psi \in \Psi; \psi(p) = 1/m} U_{\psi}/U_{\psi+1}$$

Since $\psi(p) = 1/m$ for all simple affine roots $\psi$ in the Levi $L$, the upshot is that an affine generic character $\chi$ on $ZT^+_L \subset L(F)$ extends canonically to a character, denoted $\phi$, on $K^+ \subset G(F)$. This gives us a canonical Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(K^+, \phi)$, which is the space of complex-valued functions on $G(F)$ such that $f(k_1gk_2) = \phi(k_1)f(g)\phi(k_2) \forall k_1, k_2 \in K^+, \forall g \in G(F)$, and such that $f$ vanishes off a finite union of double cosets $K^+gK^+$. We can make the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. Let $G$ be a split, almost simple, simply connected, connected reductive group. Let $L$ be a maximal Levi in $G$, and $\sigma$ a simple supercuspidal representation of $L(F)$. Set $s = [L, \sigma]_G$. The category $\mathcal{R}_s(G)$ is equivalent to the category of modules over either an affine Hecke algebra of $SL(2, F)$ with parameters, or the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[Z]$.

2. Preliminaries

Let $E$ be a real vector space, and let $\Phi \subseteq E^*$ be a root system. Then for every $\alpha \in \Phi$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha + k$ is an affine functional on $E$. These functionals are called affine roots. Let $\Psi$ denote the set of affine roots. For every affine root $\gamma$ let $H_{\gamma}$ be the hyper plane where $\gamma$ vanishes. The affine Weyl group is the group generated by reflections about the hyperplanes $H_{\gamma}$. Connected components of $E \setminus \bigcup_{\gamma} H_{\gamma}$ are called alcoves. The affine Weyl group acts simply transitively on the alcoves. Fix an alcove $\sigma$. An affine root $\gamma$ is positive if $\gamma$ is positive on $\sigma$. A positive affine root is simple if $H_{\gamma}$ defines a co dimension 1 facet of $\sigma$. Let $\Pi$ denote the set of simple affine roots.

Let $F$ be a $p$-adic field, $R$ its ring of integers and $\pi$ the uniformizing element. Let $G$ be a split, simply connected Chevalley group over $F$ corresponding to the root system $\Phi$. Let $T$ be a maximal split torus. Let $T(R)$ its maximal compact subgroup, and $T(R)^+$ the maximal pro-$p$ subgroup of $T(R)$. Let $N$ be the normalizer of $T$ in $G$. Recall that $N/T(R)$ is isomorphic to the affine Weyl group $W$. For every affine root $\gamma$ we have a subgroup $U_\gamma \cong R$. If $\gamma' = \gamma + k$ with $k \geq 0$ then $U_{\gamma'} \subseteq U_\gamma$ and $U_{\gamma'}/U_\gamma \cong R/\pi^k R$. For every affine root $\gamma$, the groups $U_\gamma$ and $U_{-\gamma}$ generate a subgroup $K_\gamma \cong SL_2(R)$. We fix an isomorphism $\varphi_\gamma : SL_2(R) \rightarrow K_\gamma$ (pinning). Since $K_{-\gamma} = K_\gamma$, we make $\varphi_{-\gamma}$ and $\varphi_\gamma$ compatible, in the sense that

$$x_\gamma(u) = \varphi_\gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \varphi_{-\gamma} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ u & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U_\gamma$$

for every $u \in R$. For every $u \in R^\times$ we define

$$n_\gamma(u) = x_{-\gamma}(-u^{-1})x_\gamma(u)x_{-\gamma}(-u^{-1}) = \varphi_\gamma \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u \\ -u^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in N$$

and

$$h_\gamma(u) = n_\gamma(u)n_\gamma(-1) = \varphi_\gamma \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in T.$$

Let $T_\gamma = K_\gamma \cap T$. The map $u \mapsto h_\gamma(u)$ is an isomorphism of $R^\times$ and $T_\gamma$. Note that

$$n_{-\gamma}(u) = n_\gamma(-u^{-1}) \text{ and } h_{-\gamma}(u) = h_\gamma(u^{-1}).$$

Let $\gamma$ and $\gamma'$ be two affine roots. The interaction of $U_\gamma$ and $U_{\gamma'}$ is as follows:
(1) Assume that \( \gamma' - \gamma = k \). Then \( U_\gamma \subseteq U_{\gamma'} \) or \( U_{\gamma'} \subseteq U_\gamma \). In particular, 
\[ [U_\gamma, U_{\gamma'}] = 1. \]

(2) Assume that \( \gamma' + \gamma = k \). Then \( U_\gamma \) and \( U_{\gamma'} \) are contained in a subgroup isomorphic to \( \text{SL}_2(F) \). If \( k > 0 \) then \( U_\gamma \) and \( U_{\gamma'} \) are contained in a maximal pro-\( p \) subgroup of \( \text{SL}_2(F) \) and one checks that 
\[ [U_\gamma, U_{\gamma'}] \subseteq U_{\gamma+1}U_{\gamma'+1}T(R)^+. \]

(3) Otherwise 
\[ [U_\gamma, U_{\gamma'}] \subseteq \prod_{i,j>0} U_{i\gamma+j\gamma'}. \]

Note that \( I^+ \) is the subgroup of \( G \) generated by \( T(R)^+ \) and \( U_\gamma \) for all positive affine roots. Then \( I^+ \) is a maximal pro-\( p \) subgroup of \( G \) and we have a decomposition 
\[ G = I^+NI^+. \]

We also have that \( I^{++} \) is the subgroup of \( G \) generated by \( T(R)^+ \) and \( U_\gamma \) for all positive affine roots \( \gamma \) that are not simple affine roots. Recall that \( x_0 \) is the unique point in the alcove \( \sigma \) on which all simple affine roots take the same value. Their common value is \( 1/h \), where \( h \) is the Coxeter number of \( G \). Then

\[ I^+ = \langle T(R)^+, U_\psi : \psi(x_0) \geq 1/h \rangle \]
\[ I^{++} = \langle T(R)^+, U_\psi : \psi(x_0) > 1/h \rangle \]

In other words, \( I^+ = G(F)_{x_0, 1/h} \) and \( I^{++} = G(F)_{x_0, 1/h^+} \). Let \( Z \) denote the center of \( G \) and set \( H := ZI^+ \).

**Lemma 2.** (see [4]) The subgroup \( I^{++} \) is normal in \( I^+ \), with quotient
\[ I^+/I^{++} \cong \bigoplus_{\psi \in \Pi} U_\psi/U_{\psi++1} \]

We therefore have that any character of \( \bigoplus_{\psi \in \Pi} U_\psi/U_{\psi++1} \) extends canonically to a character of \( I^+ \).

Now let \( L \) be a Levi subgroup in \( G \). Let \( \Psi_L \) denote the set of affine roots of \( L \), viewed as a subset of \( \Psi \), and \( \Pi_L \) the set of simple affine roots of \( L \) corresponding to \( \sigma \). Let \( \chi_L \) be an affine generic character for \( L \), namely, a character of \( \bigoplus_{\psi \in \Pi_L} U_\psi/U_{\psi1} \) that is nontrivial on each \( U_\psi \), for \( \psi \in \Pi_L \). Consider the subset in \( E \) on which all simple affine roots in \( L \) take the same value (sometimes this subset will be empty). Let \( 1/m \) be this common value.

Let \( p \in E \). Set
\[ K^+ = \langle T(R)^+, U_\psi : \psi(p) \geq 1/m \rangle \]
\[ K^{++} = \langle T(R)^+, U_\psi : \psi(p) > 1/m \rangle \]

In other words, \( K^+ = G(F)_{p, 1/m} \) and \( K^{++} = G(F)_{p, 1/m^+} \).

**Lemma 3.** The subgroup \( K^{++} \) is normal in \( K^+ \), with quotient
\[ K^+/K^{++} \cong \bigoplus_{\psi \in \Psi; \psi(p)=1/m} U_\psi/U_{\psi+1} \]
Proof. All statements are easy consequences of the rules of commutations. Note that

$$[K^+, K^+] \subset \prod_{i,j \geq 1} T(R)^+ U_{i\gamma + j\gamma'} \subset K^{++}$$

since \((i\gamma + j\gamma')(p) \geq 1/m + 1/m > 1/m\). Thus, \(K_+/K_+\) is abelian. 

Since \(\psi(p) = 1/m \forall \psi \in \Pi_L, \chi_L\) canonically extends to \(K^+\), which gives us a natural Hecke algebra \(H(K^+, \chi_L)\) to study. This Hecke algebra depends on the point \(p\). We impose two additional conditions on the point \(p\) in an attempt make calculation easier and in an attempt to uniquely identify \(p\).

Condition (1): \(p\) lies on a hyperplane \(H_\gamma\) for some affine root \(\gamma\).

Condition (2): \(\psi(p) \in \frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z} \forall \psi \in \Psi\).

Note that condition (2) has the effect of forcing \(K^+\) to be \(G(F)_{p,0^+}\).

3. Symplectic Groups

Now let \(\Phi\) be of type \(C_n\) with \(\alpha_1 = e_1 - e_2, \alpha_2 = e_2 - e_3, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1} = e_{n-1} - e_n, \alpha_n = 2e_n\) the simple roots. Then \(\delta = 2e_1\) is the highest root. We view the roots as functionals on \(E = \mathbb{R}^n\) using the standard dot-product. The standard choice of affine simple roots are \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n, 1 - \delta\).

An arbitrary Levi \(L\) of \(Sp(2n)\) looks like \(GL(n_1) \times GL(n_2) \times \ldots \times GL(n_r) \times Sp(2m)\) such that \(m + \sum_{i=1}^r n_i = n\). In this section we will determine if there is a point in \(E\) where the set of simple affine roots of \(L\) all take the same value.

Suppose \(L\) is a Levi that contains the factor \(GL(n) \times GL(m)\), with \(n, m > 1\). Let \(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}, 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \beta_k\), and \(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{m-1}, 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \gamma_i\) denote their sets of simple affine roots, respectively. If we consider where these simple affine roots all take the same value in \(E\), this gives the condition

$$\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \ldots = \beta_{n-1} = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \beta_k = \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \ldots = \gamma_{m-1} = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \gamma_i$$

In particular, if we set \(x = \beta_1\), then

$$1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x.$$

If \(n \neq m\), we get that \(x = 0\), i.e. that \(\beta_k = 0 \forall k = 1, \ldots, n - 1\) and \(\gamma_i = 0 \forall i = 1, \ldots, m\). But then the equality

$$\beta_{n-1} = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \beta_k$$

is a contradiction. Therefore, it must be that \(n = m\).

Suppose now that \(L\) is a Levi that contains the factor \(GL(n) \times Sp(2m)\), with \(n, m > 1\). Let \(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}, 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \beta_k\), and \(\alpha_{n-m+1}, \alpha_{n-m+2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n, 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{n-m+i} - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_{n-m+i}\) denote...
their sets of simple affine roots, respectively. If we consider where these simple affine roots all take the same value in $E$, this gives the condition

$$\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \ldots = \beta_{n-1} = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \beta_k = \alpha_{n-m+1} = \alpha_{n-m+2} = \alpha_{n-1} = \alpha_n = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{n-m+i} - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_{n-m+i}$$

Set $x = \beta_1$. Then the above simultaneous equality gives, in particular, $1 - (n - 1)x = 1 - mx - (m - 1)x$. If $n \neq 2m$, then we get that $x = 0$, contradicting $\beta_{n-1} = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \beta_k$.

Therefore, $n = 2m$.

In conclusion, if $L$ is a Levi of $Sp(2n)$ and if the set of simple affine roots of $L$ has a common solution in $E$, then $L$ must be either of the form $GL(n) \times GL(n) \times \ldots \times GL(n) \times Sp(2n)$, where $n > 1$, or of the form $GL(1) \times Sp(2n - 2)$, where $n > 1$. It is easy to see that the converse holds as well.

4. The Levi $SL(2) \times GL(1)$ in $Sp(4)$

4.1. Some open compact subgroups. Now let $\Phi$ be of type $C_2$ such that $\alpha = e_1 - e_2$ and $\beta = 2e_2$ are simple roots. Then $\delta = 2e_1$ is the highest root. We view the roots as functionals on $E = \mathbb{R}^2$ using the standard dot-product. The standard choice of affine simple roots are $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $1 - \delta$. The corresponding alcove $\sigma$ consists of $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\frac{1}{2} > x_1 > x_2 > 0$, as pictured below.

Let $L$ be the Levi $SL(2) \times GL(1)$ in $Sp(4)$. The set of affine roots of $L$ are $\beta$ and $1 - \beta$. In particular, the subset in $E$ on which all simple affine roots in $L$ take the same value is the set $(x_1, 1/4)$, where $x_1$ is arbitrary. Up to conjugation by the affine Weyl group, by Conditions (1) and (2), $p = (1/4, 1/4)$, the midpoint of the edge bordering $\sigma$ and $\sigma'$. By Lemma 3 we have

Corollary 1. The group $K^{++}$ is a normal subgroup of $K^+$ and

$$K^+/K^{++} \cong U_{\beta}/U_{\beta+1} \times U_{1-\beta}/U_{2-\beta} \times U_\delta/U_{\delta+1} \times U_{1-\delta}/U_{2-\delta} \times U_{\alpha+\beta}/U_{\alpha+\beta+1} \times U_{1-\alpha-\beta}/U_{2-\alpha-\beta}$$
Let $\rho$ the set of all $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\frac{1}{2} > x_1, x_2 > 0$ ($\rho$ is the union of $\sigma$ and $\sigma'$ and the open ended edge separating $\sigma$ and $\sigma'$).

4.2. Weak Hecke algebra. Let $\psi$ be a character of $K^+/K^{++}$ non-trivial on both $U_\beta/U_{\beta+1}$ and $U_{1-\beta}/U_{2-\beta}$, but trivial on $U_\delta/U_{\delta+1}, U_{1-\delta}/U_{2-\delta}, U_{0+\beta}/U_{0+\beta+1}$, and $U_{1-\alpha-\beta}/U_{2-\alpha-\beta}$. Let $H_\psi$ be the Hecke algebra of $(K^+, \psi)$-biinvariant functions on $G$. We shall now compute the support of this Hecke algebra. Computation is based on the following simple observation. A double coset $K^+gK^+$ supports a function in $H_\psi$ if and only if $\psi(gkg^{-1}) = \psi(k)$ for every $k \in K^+$ such that $gkg^{-1} \in K^+$.

Let $N_\psi$ be the subgroup of $N$ consisting of elements $n$ such that
- $nU_\beta n^{-1} = U_\beta$.
- $nx_\beta n^{-1} \equiv x_\beta \pmod{U_{\beta+1}}$ for all $x_\beta \in U_\beta$.

Note that $n \in N_\psi$ satisfies the same conditions if we replace $U_\beta$ by $U_{1-\beta}$. Let $T_\psi = N_\psi \cap T(R)$. Then $W_\psi = N_\psi / T_\psi$ is the subgroup of the affine Weyl group consisting of transformations preserving the horizontal strip $S := 0 < \beta < 1$. It is clear that any element in $N_\psi$ supports a non-trivial element in $H_\psi$. The main result of this section is that the converse is true.

**Proposition 1.** The support of the Hecke algebra $H_\psi$ is equal to $K^+N_\psi K^+$.

**Proof.** Any $K^+$-double coset is represented by $x_\alpha ny_\alpha$ where $x_\alpha, y_\alpha \in U_\alpha$ and $n \in N$. Let $w$ be the element in the affine Weyl group corresponding to $n^{-1}$. We have the following cases:

**Case (1):** $w(S)$ is not equal to $S$ or the vertical strip $0 < \delta < 1$. Then there exists a affine root $\gamma$ such that $\gamma = 0$ is a boundary of $w(S)$, $\gamma(p) > 1/2$, and $nU_\gamma n^{-1} = U_\beta$ or $U_{1-\beta}$, as shown on the picture.

Assume that $nU_\gamma n^{-1} = U_\beta$. Let $x_\gamma \in U_\gamma$ such that $\psi(x_\beta) \neq 1$, for $x_\beta = nx_\gamma n^{-1}$. Recall that $[y_\alpha, x_\gamma] \in \prod_{i,j > 0} U_{i\alpha + j\gamma}$. Since $\gamma(p) > 1/2$, $(i\alpha + j\gamma)(p) > 1/2$ for all $i, j > 0$. Thus $[y_\alpha, x_\gamma] \in K^{++}$ and, for every $f \in H_\psi$,

$$f(x_\alpha ny_\alpha) = f(x_\alpha n x_\gamma y_\alpha) = f(x_\alpha nx_\gamma y_\alpha) = f(x_\alpha x_\beta ny_\alpha).$$
Moreover, since \([x_\alpha, x_\beta] \in U_{\alpha+\beta}U_{2\alpha+\beta} \subseteq K^+\) and since the character \(\psi\) is trivial on \(U_{\alpha+\beta}\) and \(U_{2\alpha+\beta}\), we have
\[
f(x_\alpha x_\beta ny_\alpha) = f(x_\alpha x_\beta n y_\alpha) = \psi(x_\beta)f(x_\alpha n y_\alpha).
\]
It follows that \(f(x_\alpha n y_\alpha) = 0\). If \(nU_\gamma n^{-1} = U_{1-\beta}\) a similar argument applies (even easier since \([U_\alpha, U_{1-\beta}] = 1\).

Case (2): \(w(S)\) is the vertical strip \(0 < \delta < 1\). Then \(nU_{\delta}n^{-1} = U_\beta\). (There are two orbits of long affine roots, distinguished by the parity of the value at the origin. In particular \(nU_{\delta}n^{-1}\) cannot be \(U_{1-\beta}\).) Let \(x_\delta \in U_\delta\) such that \(\psi(x_\delta) \neq 1\), for \(x_\beta = nx_\delta n^{-1}\). Since \(x_\delta\) commutes with \(y_\alpha\),
\[
f(x_\alpha n y_\alpha) = f(x_\alpha x_\beta n y_\alpha) = f(x_\alpha x_\beta n y_\alpha) = \psi(x_\beta)f(x_\alpha n y_\alpha).
\]
Case (3): \(w^{-1}(S) = S\). For the reminder of the proof we fix \(\gamma = -e_1 - e_2\). Note that \(\psi\) is trivial on \(U_{\gamma+k}\) if \(k > 0\).

**Lemma 4.** Let \(n \in N\) and let \(w\) be the corresponding element in \(W\). Assume that \(w^{-1}(S) = S\). Then:

1. If \(w^{-1}(\alpha)(p) > 1/2\) and \(w(\alpha)(p) < 1/2\), i.e. if \(w\) is a translation by an integer \(k > 0\) to the right, then \(K^+x_\alpha n y_\alpha K^+ = K^+n y_\alpha K^+\).
2. If \(w(\alpha)(p) > 1/2\) and \(w^{-1}(\alpha)(p) < 1/2\), i.e. if \(w\) is a translation by an integer \(k > 0\) to the left, then \(K^+x_\alpha n y_\alpha K^+ = K^+x_\alpha n K^+\).
3. If \(w(\alpha)(p) > 1/2\) and \(w^{-1}(\alpha)(p) > 1/2\), i.e. if \(w\) is a reflection about the plane \(\delta = k > 0\), then \(K^+x_\alpha n y_\alpha K^+ = K^+n K^+\).

**Proof.** (1) and (2) follow from \(n^{-1}U_\alpha n = U_{\alpha+k}\) and \(nU_\alpha n^{-1} = U_{\alpha+k}\), respectively. (3) follows from \(nU_\alpha n^{-1} = nU_\alpha n^{-1} = U_{\gamma+k}\). □

Assume now that either \(w^{-1}(\alpha)(p) > 1/2\) and \(w(\alpha)(p) < 1/2\), or \(w = 1\), i.e. that \(n\) corresponds to a translation to the right by \(k \geq 0\). We claim that \(f(n y_\alpha) = 0\) if \(y_\alpha \in U_\alpha \setminus U_{\alpha+1}\). Let \(\delta' = 2k + 1 - \delta\). Then \(U_{\delta'} \subset K^+\), \(\psi\) is trivial on \(U_{\delta'}\), and \(n^{-1}U_{\delta'} n = U_{1-\delta}\). Let \(x_{\delta'} \in U_{\delta'} \setminus U_{\delta'+1}\), and let \(x_{1-\delta} = n^{-1}x_{\delta'} n \in U_{1-\delta} \setminus U_{2-\delta}\). Then
\[
f(n y_\alpha) = f(x_{\delta'} n y_\alpha) = f(nx_{1-\delta} n y_\alpha) = \psi([y_\alpha, x_{1-\delta}]^{-1})f(n y_\alpha).
\]
Note that \([y_\alpha, x_{1-\delta}] \in U_{\gamma+1}U_{1-\beta}\). Since \(\psi\) is non-trivial on \(U_{1-\beta}\), it follows that \(\psi([y_\alpha, x_{1-\delta}]^{-1}) \neq 1\) for appropriate choice of \(x_{1-\delta}\) if \(y_\alpha \in U_\alpha \setminus U_{\alpha+1}\). This proves the claim. A similar argument shows that \(f(x_\alpha n) = 0\) if \(n\) corresponds to a translation to the left.

Assume now that either \(w^{-1}(\alpha)(p) < 1/2\) and \(w(\alpha)(p) < 1/2\), or \(w = 1\), i.e. that \(n\) is a reflection about \(\delta = k \leq 0\). We claim that \(f(x_\alpha n y_\alpha) = 0\) unless both \(x_\alpha\) and \(y_\alpha\) are in \(U_{\alpha+1}\). Assume, without loss of generality, that \(x_\alpha \in U_\alpha \setminus U_{\alpha+1}\). First we assume that \(y_\alpha \notin U_{\alpha+1}\). Note that \(U_{-\delta+1} \subset K^+\) and \(\psi\) is trivial on \(U_{-\delta+1}\). Let \(x_{-\delta+1} \in U_{-\delta+1}\). Then
\[
f(x_\alpha n y_\alpha) = f(x_\alpha n y_\alpha x_{-\delta+1}) = f(x_\alpha x_{-\delta+1} n y_\alpha) \psi([x_{-\delta+1}, y_\alpha]^{-1}) = \psi([x_{-\delta+2k+1}, x_{-\delta+1}]^{-1}) \psi([x_{-\delta+1}, y_\alpha]^{-1}) f(x_{-\delta+2k+1} x_\alpha n y_\alpha),
\]
for some \(x_{-\delta+2k+1} \in U_{\delta-2k+1}\). Note that \([x_{-\delta+2k+1}, y_\alpha] = x_{-\beta}x_{-\gamma}\) for some \(x_{-\beta} \in U_{1-\beta}\) and \(x_{-\gamma} \in U_{1-\gamma}\). Also, note that \(\psi\) is trivial on \([x_{-\delta+2k+1}, x_\alpha]\). Therefore, \(\psi([x_{-\delta+1}, y_\alpha]) \neq 1\) for an appropriate choice of \(x_{-\delta+1}\), proving the claim in this case. Now assume that \(y_\alpha \in U_{\alpha+1}\). Then \(f(x_\alpha n y_\alpha) = f(x_\alpha n)\). We compute
\[
f(x_\alpha n) = f(x_{\delta+1} x_\alpha n) = \psi([x_{\delta+1}^{-1}, y_\alpha^{-1}]) f(x_\alpha x_{-\delta+1} n) = \psi([x_{\delta+1}^{-1}, y_\alpha^{-1}]) f(x_\alpha n x_{-\delta+2k+1})
\]
Note that \( \psi([x_{-\delta+1}^{-1}, y_{\alpha}^{-1}]) \neq 1 \) and \( x_{\delta-2k+1} \in K^{++} \). Therefore, \( f(x_{\alpha}n) = 0 \). This proves the claim.

Combining with Lemma \( \text{5} \), we see that the support of \( H_\psi \) consists of double cosets \( K^+ n K^+ \) for \( n \in N \) and it satisfies the first bullet of the definition of \( N_\psi \). But such \( n \) belongs to the support only if the second bullet is also satisfied. \( \square \)

4.3. A length function. Let \( q \) be the order of \( R/\pi R \). We define \( \ell : N_\psi \to \mathbb{Z} \) so that \( q^{\ell(n)} \) is the number of \( K^+ \) cosets in \( K^+ n K^+ \):

\[
K^+ n K^+ = \bigcup_{j=1}^{q^{\ell(n)}} x_j K^+.
\]

Note that \( q^{\ell(n)} \) is also equal to the number of \( K^+ \cap n K^+ n^{-1} \) cosets in \( K^+ \). More precisely, we can write \( x_j = y_j n \) where \( y_j \in K^+ \) such that

\[
K^+ = \bigcup_{j=1}^{q^{\ell(n)}} y_j (K^+ \cap n K^+ n^{-1}).
\]

Clearly, the function \( \ell \) descends to the group \( W_\psi \). The group \( W_\psi \) acts simply transitively on \( \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \) squares contained in the horizontal strip \( S \). Let \( w \in W_\psi \). Let \( \rho_0 = \rho, \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m = w(\rho) \) be a gallery between \( \rho \) and \( w(\rho) \). The number \( m \) is called the length of the gallery.

**Proposition 2.** Let \( w \in W_\psi \). Then \( \ell(w) = 2m \) where \( m \) is the length of the gallery from \( \rho \) to \( w(\rho) \).

*Proof.* Assume that \( w \) corresponds to \( n \in N_\psi \). Then \( U_\gamma \subseteq n K^+ n^{-1} K^+ \) if and only if \( \gamma \) is positive on both \( \rho \) and \( w(\rho) \) or, equivalently, \( \rho \) is positive on the whole gallery. The proposition follows from the fact that the number of \( \gamma \) positive on \( \rho \) but not positive on the whole gallery is \( 2m \). \( \square \)

For example, if \( n \) corresponds to the reflection \( w_\delta \) then \( \gamma = e_1 + e_2 \) and \( \delta \) are the two roots positive on \( \rho \) but not positive on \( w_\delta(\rho) \). Thus

\[
K^+ n K^+ = \bigcup_{u,v \in R/\pi R} x_\gamma(v)x_\delta(u)nK^+.
\]

If \( n \) corresponds to the reflection \( w_{1-\delta} \), then

\[
K^+ n K^+ = \bigcup_{u,v \in R/\pi R} x_{1-\gamma}(v)x_{1-\delta}(u)nK^+.
\]

**Proposition 3.** Let \( n_1, n_2 \in N_\psi \) correspond to the reflection \( w_\delta \in W_\psi \) about the axis \( \delta = 0 \). Let \( n_3 \in N_\psi \) such that

\[
n_3 \in K^+ n_1 K^+ n_2 K^+.
\]

Then \( n_3 \) corresponds to the trivial element in \( W_\psi \) or to \( w_\delta \). This conclusion is also valid if we replace \( w_\delta \) by \( w_{1-\delta} \), the reflection about \( \delta = 1 \).

*Proof.* Let \( I' \) be the Iwahori subgroup corresponding to the alcove \( \sigma' \). Then \( w_\delta \) is the simple reflection in \( W \). Thus

\[
K^+ n_1 K^+ n_2 K^+ \subseteq I' w_\delta I' w_\delta I' = I' \cup I' w_\delta I'
\]

and the first case of the proposition follows. The second case is proved analogously: \( w_{1-\delta} \) is the simple reflection with respect to \( I \), the Iwahori subgroup corresponding to \( \sigma \). \( \square \)
4.4. **Strong Hecke algebra.** Let $Z_\beta \cong m_2$ be the center of $K_\beta$. Then $T_\psi / T(R)^+ \cong (T_\delta / T_\delta^+) \times Z_\beta$. A character $\mu$ of $T_\psi / T(R)^+$ is invariant under the conjugation of $N_\psi$ if and only if it is quadratic. Assume that $\mu$ is quadratic. Then $\psi$ and $\mu$ combine to define a character, denoted by $\chi$, of $K = T_\psi K^+$. Let $H_\chi \subseteq H_\psi$ be the subalgebra of $(K, \chi)$-biinvariant functions. The support of this algebra is $K N_\psi K$. Since $T_\psi$ is contained in $N_\psi$ the double single cosets in $K N_\psi K$ are parameterized by $W_\psi$. In particular, the algebra $H_\chi$ has a basis parameterized by elements in $W_\psi$.

We normalize the measure on $G$ so that the volume of $K$ is 1. Then the unit of $H_\chi$ is $1_\chi$, the function supported on $K$ such that $1_\chi(1) = 1$. Let $f_\delta$ and $f_{1-\delta}$ be in $H_\chi$ supported on the cosets of $n_\delta = n_\delta(-1) = n_{-\delta}(1)$ and $n_{1-\delta} = n_{1-\delta}(-1) = n_{-\delta-1}(1)$, respectively, normalized so that $f_\delta(n_\delta) = 1$ and $f_{1-\delta}(n_{1-\delta}) = 1$.

We have $[x_{-\alpha}(v), x_\delta(u)] = x_\delta(av^2u)x_{\alpha}(bvu)$ for some $a, b \in R^\times$. First let us define a constant

$$G_\delta(\mu, \psi) := \sum_{u \in (R/\pi R)^\times} \mu(h_\delta(u)) \psi(x_\delta(au))$$

This is a Gauss sum.

**Proposition 4.**

1. Assume that the restriction of $\mu$ to $T_\delta$ is not trivial. Then

$$f_\delta \ast f_\delta = \mu(h_\delta(-1))q^2 1_\chi + (q-1)G_\delta(\mu, \psi)f_\delta.$$ 

2. Assume that the restriction of $\mu$ to $T_\delta$ is trivial. Then

$$f_\delta \ast f_\delta = q^2 1_\chi.$$

**Proof.** Since $f_\delta \ast f_\delta$ is supported on $K n_\delta K n_\delta K$, Proposition 7 implies that $f_\delta \ast f_\delta$ is a linear combination of $1_\chi$ and $f_\delta$. Thus it suffices to evaluate the convolution at 1 and $n_\delta$. Write $K n_\delta K = \cup_j x_j K$. A simple computation shows that

$$(f_\delta \ast f_\delta)(x) = \int_{Kn_\delta K} f_\delta(y)f_\delta(y^{-1}x)dy = \sum_j f_\delta(x_j)f_\delta(x_j^{-1}x).$$

Now suppose $x = 1$. Recall that $x_j = y_j n_\delta$ for some $y_j \in K^\times$, for every $j$. Then

$$f_\delta(x_j)f_\delta(x_j^{-1}) = \psi(y_j)f_\delta(n_\delta)f_\delta(n_\delta^{-1})\psi(y_j^{-1}) = f_\delta(n_\delta)f_\delta(n_\delta^{-1})$$

for every $j$. Thus

$$(f_\delta \ast f_\delta)(1) = \sum_j f_\delta(x_j)f_\delta(x_j^{-1}) = q^2 f_\delta(n_\delta)f_\delta(n_\delta^{-1})$$

Since $n_\delta^{-1} = n_\delta h_\delta(-1)$, it follows that $f_\delta(n_\delta^{-1}) = \mu(h_\delta(-1))$ and $(f_\delta \ast f_\delta)(1) = \mu(h_\delta(-1))q^2$.

Now suppose $x = n_\delta$. Recall that $y_j = x_\delta(u) \cdot x_\gamma(v)$, where $u, v \in R/\pi R$. Then

#(f_\delta \ast f_\delta)(n_\delta) = \sum_j f_\delta(x_j)f_\delta(x_j^{-1}n_\delta) = \sum_j f_\delta(n_\delta^{-1}y_j^{-1}n_\delta)$$

since $f_\delta(x_j) = f_\delta(y_j n_\delta) = f_\delta(n_\delta) = 1$ for all $j$. Since $n_\delta^{-1}U_{\delta} n_\delta = U_{-\delta}$ and $n_\delta^{-1}U_{\gamma} n_\delta = U_{-\alpha}$

$$(f_\delta \ast f_\delta)(n_\delta) = \sum_{u, v \in R/\pi R} f_\delta(x_{-\delta}(u)x_{-\alpha}(v)).$$

We need to analyze a few cases:

Case 0: Suppose $v = u = 0$. Then $f_\delta(x_{-\delta}(u)x_{-\alpha}(v)) = f_\delta(1) = 0$, since $1 \notin Kn_\delta K$.

Case 1: Suppose $v = 0, u \neq 0$. Then
Note that \(KabK\) supported on the single double coset \(t\) and \(KaK\). Let \(\phi\) be the associative algebra generated by two elements \((\alpha, \beta)\) such that \(\phi(\alpha)\) is non-trivial on \(\mathbb{H}\). We define \(\delta(\alpha)\) as \(\mu(\delta(\alpha))\) after commuting \(\delta(\alpha)\) and \(x(\alpha)\). Since \(\alpha(\delta(\alpha))\) is supported on one double coset.

**Case 2:** Suppose \(u = 0, v \neq 0\). Let \(I\) be the Iwahori subgroup corresponding to the cell \(\sigma\). Then \(Ix_-(v)I = Ix_-(v)x_-(v)I = Ix_-(v)I = Ix_-(v)I\).

Thus, \(x_-(v) \notin In \delta I\) and \(x_-(v) \notin Kn \delta K\). Since \(K \subset I\), then \(f_\delta(x_-(v)) = 0\).

**Case 3:** Suppose \(u \neq 0, v \neq 0\). Then

\[
\sum_{u,v} f_\delta(x_-(u)x_-(v)) = \sum_{u \neq 0} \mu(\delta(\alpha)) + \sum_{u \neq 0, v \neq 0} \mu(\delta(\alpha)) \psi(x_-(\alpha(u^{-1}v^2))) = \sum_{u \neq 0} \mu(\delta(\alpha)) + (q - 1) \sum_{u \neq 0} \mu(\delta(\alpha)) \psi(x_-(\alpha(u)))
\]

where we used a substitution \(u := uv^2\) and the fact that \(\mu\) is quadratic to simplify. Now, if \(\mu\) is non-trivial on \(T\) then the first sum is 0. Otherwise the first sum is \(q - 1\) and the second sum is \(1 - q\).

We note that by symmetry, \(f_{1-\delta}\) satisfies the same quadratic relations, replacing \(G_\delta\) with \(G_{1-\delta}\) (and defining \(G_{1-\delta}\)) in the obvious way.

We define \(e_\delta = f_\delta/G_\delta(\mu, \psi)\) and \(e_{1-\delta} = f_{1-\delta}/G_{1-\delta}(\mu, \psi)\). Since \(G_\delta(\mu, \psi)^2 = \mu(\delta(-1))q\), it follows that \(e_\delta\) (and also \(e_{1-\delta}\)) satisfies the relation

\[
e_\delta^2 = q + (q - 1)e_\delta.
\]

Let \(\mathbb{H}\) be the associative algebra generated by two elements \(t_\delta\) and \(t_{1-\delta}\) satisfying the same quadratic relation. This algebra has a basis \(t_w\) where \(w \in W_\psi\). More precisely if, for example, \(w = w_1 w_{1-\delta} \cdots\) (a shortest expression) then \(t_w = t_\delta t_{1-\delta} \cdot \cdots\). We have a homomorphism \(\varphi : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow H_\chi\) defined by \(\varphi(t_\delta) = e_\delta\) and \(\varphi(t_{1-\delta}) = e_{1-\delta}\).

**Theorem 3.** The map \(\varphi\) is an isomorphism of \(\mathbb{H}\) and \(H_\psi\).

**Proof.** We show, using Proposition 6, that \(\varphi(t_w)\) is non-zero and supported on one double coset. To see that it is supported on one double coset, suppose \(f, g \in H_\chi\) such that \(f\) is supported on \(KaK\) and \(g\) is supported on \(KbK\). If furthermore \(vol(KaK)vol(KbK) = vol(KabK)\), then \(f \ast g\) is supported on the single double coset \(KabK\). This last fact is true for an arbitrary Hecke algebra, not just \(H_\chi\). To see this, write \(KaK = \cup \alpha Ka\alpha, KbK = \cup \beta Kb\beta\) as a union of single left cosets. Note that \(vol(KaK) = i, vol(KbK) = j\). By computing \(f \ast g\), it is clear that \(f \ast g\) is supported
on $KaKbK$. Now write $KaKbK = \cup_j KaK^{b_j} = \cup_{i,j} Ka_iK^{b_j}$. Write $KaK = \cup_{i} Ka_i$, as a union of left cosets. The condition $\text{vol}(KaK)\text{vol}(KbK) = \text{vol}(KaKbK)$ becomes $ij = \ell$. Now, since $KaK \subset KaKbK$, and since the cosets of $K \backslash G$ partition $G$ into disjoint cosets, we have that each left coset in $KaKbK = \cup_{i} Ka_i$ is one of the left cosets in $KaKbK = \cup_{i,j} Ka_iK^{b_j}$. Furthermore, the cosets in $KaKbK$ are disjoint (by definition of how we write $KaKbK$ as a union of left cosets), whereas it’s not clear a priori that the cosets $Ka_iK^{b_j}$ are disjoint. But since $ij = \ell$, this forces by the pigeonhole principle that the cosets $Ka_iK^{b_j}$ are disjoint. In particular, since the cosets in $KaKbK$ are disjoint, we get that the set of cosets $\{Ka_{\ell}\}_\ell$ correspond bijectively to the set of cosets $\{Ka_iK^{b_j}\}_{i,j}$, so indeed $KaKbK = KaKbK$, and $f * g$ is supported on the single coset $KaKbK$.

We now show that $\varphi(t_w)$ is non-zero as well. To see that it is non-zero, suppose again that $f, g \in H_\chi$ such that $f$ is supported on $KaK$ and $g$ is supported on $KbK$ and $\text{vol}(KaK)\text{vol}(KbK) = \text{vol}(KaKbK)$, and that $f, g$ are both non-zero. In particular, $f(a) \neq 0$ and $g(b) \neq 0$. We show now that $f * g$ is non-zero. Again, this last fact is true for an arbitrary Hecke algebra, not just $H_\chi$. So to see this, recall that we just showed that $f * g$ is supported on $KaKbK$. We now compute

$$(f * g)(ab) = \int_G f(x)g(x^{-1}ab)dx$$

Since $\text{supp}(g) \subset KbK$, this forces $x \in abKb^{-1}K$. Recall that we wrote $KbK = \cup_j Kb_{b_j}$, $b_j \in K/(K \cap b^{-1}Kb)$. Analogously, we can decompose $Kb^{-1}K$ into right cosets $Kb^{-1}K = \cup_m Kd_m^{-1}b^{-1}K$, with $d_m \in K/(K \cap b^{-1}Kb)$. In particular, $Kb^{-1}K = \cup_j b_j^{-1}K$. Therefore,

$$\int_G f(x)g(x^{-1}ab)dx = \sum_j \int_{ab_j^{-1}K} f(ab_jb^{-1}k)g((ab_jb^{-1}k)^{-1}ab)dk$$

$$= \sum_j f(ab_jb^{-1}k)g(k^{-1}bb_j^{-1}b^{-1}a^{-1}ab) = \sum_j f(ab_jb^{-1})g(bb_j^{-1})$$

So we need to understand when $f(ab_jb^{-1}) = 0$. Well, $ab_jb^{-1} \in KaK$ iff $ab \in KaKb_j^{-1}$. Recall that we showed $KaK = KaKbK$. Thus, $KaK = \cup_j KaK^{b_j} = \cup_j KaK^{b_j^{-1}}$, since $b_j$ range over a group. Moreover, recall that we wrote $KaK = \cup_i Ka_i$, so we have $KaK = \cup_i Ka_iK^{b_j} = \cup_{i,j} Ka_iK^{b_j^{-1}}$. But we have shown earlier in the proof of this theorem that the cosets $Ka_iK^{b_j}$, as $i, j$ vary, are all disjoint. Therefore, the sets $Ka_iK^{b_j}$, as $j$ varies, are all disjoint. Therefore, since $ab \in KaK = KaKbK = \cup_j KaK^{b_j} = \cup_j KaK^{b_j^{-1}}$, $ab$ must lie in exactly one of the sets $KaKb_j^{-1}$. In particular, it is clear that $ab \in KaKb$ (i.e. when $b_j$ represents the trivial coset in $K/(K \cap b^{-1}Kb)$). We have therefore proven that $ab \in KaKb_j^{-1}$ iff $b_j$ represents the trivial coset in $K/(K \cap b^{-1}Kb)$. Therefore, $f(ab_jb^{-1}) = 0$ unless perhaps when $b_j$ represents the trivial coset in $K/(K \cap b^{-1}Kb)$. Therefore, we get that

$$\sum_j f(ab_jb^{-1})g(bb_j^{-1}) = f(a)g(b)$$

In particular, $(f * g)(ab) \neq 0$, finishing the claim that $f * g$ is non-zero.

Finally, we have concluded that $\varphi$ sends the basis of $\mathbb{H}$ to a basis of $H_\psi$. \square

5. The Levi $GL(2)$ in $Sp(4)$

5.1. Some open compact subgroups. We now let $L$ be the Levi $GL(2)$ in $Sp(4)$. The analysis from section 2 tells us to consider the subset in $\mathbb{R}^2$ where $\alpha = 1 - \alpha$. By symmetry of the
apartment, we might as well consider the subset in $\mathbb{R}^2$ where $\eta = 1 - \eta$, where $\eta = \alpha + \beta$. Up to conjugation by the affine Weyl group, Conditions (1) and (2) in section 2 narrow down the possible points $p$ in this subset to two points. One of these points is exactly the same point $p = (1/4, 1/4)$ that we used for the $SL(2) \times GL(1)$ Levi. We choose this point for the $GL(2)$ Levi. In particular, in what follows, $K^+ = G_{p,1/2}, K^{++} = G_{p,1/2^+}$. By Lemma 3, we have

**Corollary 2.** The group $K^{++}$ is a normal subgroup of $K^+$ and

$$K^+/K^{++} \cong U_{\alpha+\beta}/U_{\alpha+\beta+1} \times U_{1-(\alpha+\beta)}/U_{2-(\alpha+\beta)} \times U_{\beta}/U_{\beta+1} \times U_{1-\beta}/U_{2-\beta} \times U_{2\alpha+\beta}/U_{2\alpha+\beta+1} \times U_{1-(2\alpha+\beta)}/U_{2-(2\alpha+\beta)}.$$ 

5.2. **Weak Hecke algebra.** Set $\eta := \alpha + \beta$. Let $\psi$ be a character of $K^+/K^{++}$ non-trivial on both $U_{\eta}/U_{\eta+1}$ and $U_{1-\eta}/U_{2-\eta}$, but trivial on

$$U_{\beta}/U_{\beta+1} \times U_{1-\beta}/U_{2-\beta} \times U_{2\alpha+\beta}/U_{2\alpha+\beta+1} \times U_{1-(2\alpha+\beta)}/U_{2-(2\alpha+\beta)}.$$ 

Let $H_\psi$ be the Hecke algebra of $(K^+, \psi)$-biinvariant functions on $G$. We shall now compute the support of this Hecke algebra. Computation is based on the following simple observation. A double coset $K^+gK^+$ supports a function in $H_\psi$ if and only if $\psi(gkg^{-1}) = \psi(k)$ for every $k \in K^+$ such that $gkg^{-1} \in K^+$.

Let $N_\psi$ be the subgroup of $N$ consisting of elements $n$ preserving the diagonal strip $S := 0 < \eta < 1$. Let $T_\psi = N_\psi \cap T(R)$ and $W_\psi = N_\psi/T_\psi$. Then $W_\psi$ is the subgroup of the affine Weyl group consisting of transformations that preserve $S$. It is clear that any element in $N_\psi$ supports a non-trivial element in $H_\psi$. The main result of this section is that the converse is true.

**Proposition 5.** The support of the Hecke algebra $H_\psi$ is equal to $K^+N_\psi K^+$.

**Proof.** Set $\eta = \alpha + \beta$. Any $K^+$-double coset is represented by $x_\alpha ny_\alpha$ where $x_\alpha, y_\alpha \in U_\alpha$ and $n \in N$. Let $w$ be the element in the affine Weyl group corresponding to $n^{-1}$.

We have $nU_\eta n^{-1} = U_\eta, nU_{1-\gamma} n^{-1} = U_{1-\eta}$ for some affine root $\gamma$. Then $n^{-1}\eta = \gamma$. Moreover, $\gamma(p) + (1 - \gamma)(p) = 1$. In particular, either:

(A) One of $\gamma(p), (1 - \gamma)(p)$, is strictly bigger than $1/2$.

(B) $\gamma(p) = (1 - \gamma)(p) = 1/2$, or

Case (A): Assume that $\gamma(p) > 1/2$. Let $x_\gamma \in U_\gamma$ such that $\psi(x_\gamma) \neq 1$, for $x_\gamma = n x_\gamma n^{-1}$. Recall that $[y_\alpha, x_\gamma] \in \prod_{i,j>0} U_{i\alpha+j\gamma} T(R)^+$. Since $\gamma(p) > 1/2$ and $\alpha(p) = 0$, we have $(i\alpha + j\gamma)(p) > 1/2$ for all $i, j > 0$. Thus $[y_\alpha, x_\gamma] \in K^{++}$ and, for every $f \in H_\psi$,

$$f(x_\alpha ny_\gamma) = f(x_\alpha ny_\alpha x_\gamma) = f(x_\alpha nx_\gamma y_\alpha) = f(x_\alpha x_\gamma ny_\alpha).$$

Moreover, $[x_\alpha, x_\gamma] \in U_{2\alpha+\beta}$. Recall that we have defined $\psi$ to be trivial on $U_{2\alpha+\beta}/U_{2\alpha+\beta+1}$, so

$$f(x_\alpha x_\gamma ny_\alpha) = f(x_\gamma x_\alpha ny_\alpha) = \psi(x_\gamma)f(x_\alpha ny_\alpha).$$

It follows that $f(x_\alpha ny_\alpha) = 0$. If $(1 - \gamma)(p) > 1/2$, a similar argument applies (note here that $U_{1-\gamma}$ is still $U_{1-\beta}$, and $\psi$ is trivial on $U_{1-\beta}$).

Case (B): By looking at the apartment, one can see clearly that $\gamma(p) = (1 - \gamma)(p) = 1/2$ is equivalent to $w^{-1}(S) = S$. For the remainder of the proof we fix $\gamma = \alpha + \beta$, $\delta = 2\alpha + \beta$. We also set $w_\alpha := w_\alpha(-1), w_\beta := w_\beta(-1)$, and $w_{1-\beta} := w_{1-\beta}(-1)$.

**Lemma 5.** Let $n \in N$ and let $w$ be the corresponding element in $W$. Assume that $w^{-1}(S) = S$. Then:

1. If $w(\alpha)(p) > 1/2$ and $w^{-1}(\alpha)(p) > 1/2$, then $K^+x_\alpha wy_\alpha K^+ = K^+wK^+$. 
We compute the hyperplane. Let \( \beta \).

But since \( \beta \), we have that \( \beta \).

which implies that \( \beta \).

Proof. We first prove (1). Assume that \( y_\alpha \in U_\alpha \setminus U_{1+\alpha} \). One computes

\[
K^+ x_\alpha w y_\alpha K^+ = K^+ x_\alpha w y_\alpha w^{-1} w K^+. \]

Since \( w(\alpha)(p) > 1/2 \), either \( w y_\alpha w^{-1} \in U_{1+\alpha} \) or \( w y_\alpha w^{-1} \in U_{1-\alpha} \). In either case, \( w y_\alpha w^{-1} \in K^+ \).

In the first case, we have

\[
K^+ x_\alpha w y_\alpha w^{-1} w K^+ = K^+ w y_\alpha w^{-1} x_\alpha w K^+ = K^+ x_\alpha w K^+ \]

In the second case, a computation in \( SL(2, F) \) shows that \( \{ U_\alpha, U_{1-\alpha} \} \in K^+ \). Therefore, we get

\[
K^+ x_\alpha w y_\alpha w^{-1} w K^+ = K^+ [x_\alpha, w y_\alpha w^{-1}] w y_\alpha w^{-1} x_\alpha w K^+ = K^+ x_\alpha w K^+ \]

Finally, we compute

\[
K^+ x_\alpha w K^+ = K^+ w w^{-1} x_\alpha w K^+ = K^+ w K^+ \]

since \( w^{-1}(\alpha)(p) > 1/2 \) (so that \( w^{-1} x_\alpha w \in K^+ \)). The same arguments prove (2) and (3).

Lemma 6. Let \( n \in N \) and let \( w \) be the corresponding element in \( W \). Assume that \( w^{-1}(S) = S \).

Suppose that \( x_\alpha, y_\alpha \in U_\alpha \), and that at least one of \( x_\alpha, y_\alpha \) is not contained in \( U_{\alpha+1} \). Then:

1. Suppose \( x_\alpha \in U_\alpha \setminus U_{1+\alpha} \). If \( w(\alpha)(p) > 1/2 \) and \( w^{-1}(\alpha)(p) < 1/2 \), then \( f(x_\alpha w) = 0 \).
2. Suppose \( y_\alpha \in U_\alpha \setminus U_{1+\alpha} \). If \( w(\alpha)(p) < 1/2 \) and \( w^{-1}(\alpha)(p) > 1/2 \), then \( f(y_\alpha w) = 0 \).
3. Suppose \( x_\alpha, y_\alpha \in U_\alpha \). If \( w(\alpha)(p) < 1/2 \) and \( w^{-1}(\alpha)(p) < 1/2 \), then \( f(x_\alpha w y_\alpha) = 0 \).

Proof. We first prove (1). Assume that \( x_\alpha \in U_\alpha \setminus U_{1+\alpha} \). Let \( x_\beta \in U_\beta \) such that \( \psi(x_\gamma) \neq 1 \), where \( [x_\beta, x_\alpha] = x_\delta x_\gamma \) for some \( x_\gamma \in U_\gamma, x_\delta \in U_\delta \). We compute

\[
f(x_\alpha w) = \psi(x_\beta) f(x_\alpha w) = f(x_\delta x_\gamma x_\alpha x_\beta w) \]

But \( w^{-1}(\alpha)(p) < 1/2 \) is equivalent to \( \alpha(w p) < 1/2 \). This implies that \( \beta(w p) \geq 1/2 \). Therefore, \( w^{-1} x_\beta w \in K^+ \). Furthermore, since \( w^{-1}(S) = S \), we have that \( w^{-1} \) either fixes the affine root groups \( U_\eta \) and \( U_{1-\eta} \), or permutes them. Therefore, \( \psi(w^{-1} x_\beta w) = 1 \), and we have

\[
f(x_\delta x_\gamma x_\alpha x_\beta w) = f(x_\delta x_\gamma x_\alpha w w^{-1} x_\beta w)
\]

This shows that \( f(x_\alpha w) = 0 \). (2) is proven similarly. We now prove (3). If \( x_\alpha \in U_{\alpha+1} \) or if \( y_\alpha \in U_{\alpha+1} \), then the above proof of (1) gives the result. Suppose \( x_\alpha, y_\alpha \in U_\alpha \setminus U_{\alpha+1} \). If \( \beta(w p) > 1/2 \), then the above argument gives us

\[
f(x_\alpha w y_\alpha) = \psi(x_\beta) f(x_\alpha w y_\alpha) = f(x_\delta x_\gamma x_\alpha x_\beta w y_\alpha) = f(x_\delta x_\gamma x_\alpha w w^{-1} x_\beta w y_\alpha) \]

But since \( \beta(w p) > 1/2 \), we have that \( [w^{-1} x_\beta w, y_\alpha] \in K^{++} \), so

\[
f(x_\delta x_\gamma x_\alpha w w^{-1} x_\beta w y_\alpha) = \psi(x_\beta) f(x_\alpha w y_\alpha), \]

which implies that \( f(x_\alpha w y_\alpha) = 0 \). Suppose that \( \beta(w p) = 1/2 \). Since \( w p \in S \), the condition \( \beta(w p) = 1/2 \) implies that \( w = 1 \) or \( w \) is the reflection through the \( \alpha = 0 \) hyperplane. If \( w = 1 \), we may set \( z_\alpha = x_\alpha y_\alpha \). Then \( z_\alpha \in U_\alpha \setminus U_{\alpha+1} \). It is clear that \( f(z_\alpha) = 0 \), by multiplying \( f(z_\alpha) \) on the left by \( \psi(x_\beta) \) for an appropriate \( x_\beta \). Now suppose \( w \) is the reflection through the \( \alpha = 0 \) hyperplane. Let \( x_\beta \in U_\beta \) such that \( \psi(x_\gamma) \neq 1 \), where \( [x_\beta, x_\alpha] = x_\delta x_\gamma \) for some \( x_\gamma \in U_\gamma, x_\delta \in U_\delta \). We compute

\[
f(x_\alpha w y_\alpha) = \psi(x_\beta) f(x_\alpha w y_\alpha) = f(x_\delta x_\gamma x_\alpha x_\beta w y_\alpha) \]
\[ \psi(x_\gamma) f(x_\alpha w w^{-1} x_\beta w y_\alpha) \]

But \( w^{-1} x_\beta w \in U_\delta \subset K^+ \), and since \( U_\alpha \) commutes with \( U_\delta \) and \( \psi \) is trivial on \( U_\delta \), we get

\[ \psi(x_\gamma) f(x_\alpha w w^{-1} x_\beta w y_\alpha) = \psi(x_\gamma) f(x_\alpha w y_\alpha), \]

which implies that \( f(x_\alpha w y_\alpha) = 0 \), which finishes the proof of Lemma \( \text{[6]} \). \( \square \)

Combining with Lemma \( \text{[5]} \) and Lemma \( \text{[6]} \) we are now finished with the proof of proposition \( \text{[5]} \).

5.3. **A length function.** Let \( q \) be the order of \( R/\pi R \). We define \( \ell : N_\psi \to \mathbb{Z} \) so that \( q^{\ell(n)} \) is the number of \( K^+ \cosets in \( K^+ n K^+ \):

\[ K^+ n K^+ = \bigcup_{j=1}^{q^{\ell(n)}} x_j K^+. \]

Note that \( q^{\ell(n)} \) is also equal to the number of \( K^+ \cap n K^+ n^{-1} \cosets in \( K^+ \). More precisely, we can write \( x_j = y_j n \) where \( y_j \in K^+ \) such that

\[ K^+ = \bigcup_{j=1}^{q^{\ell(n)}} y_j (K^+ \cap n K^+ n^{-1}). \]

Clearly, the function \( \ell \) descends to the group \( W_\psi \). The group \( W_\psi \) acts transitively on \( \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \) squares contained in the diagonal strip \( S \). Let \( w \in W_\psi \). Let \( \rho_0 = \rho, \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m = w(\rho) \) be a gallery between \( \rho \) and \( w(\rho) \) (where \( \rho \) is as in section \( \text{[4.1]} \)). The number \( m \) is called the length of the gallery.

**Proposition 6.** Let \( w \in W_\psi \). Then \( \ell(w) = 3m \) where \( m \) is the length of the gallery from \( \rho \) to \( w(\rho) \).

**Proof.** Assume that \( w \) corresponds to \( n \in N_\psi \). Then \( U_\gamma \subseteq n K^+ n^{-1} \cap K^+ \) if and only if \( \gamma \) is positive on both \( \rho \) and \( w(\rho) \) or, equivalently, \( \rho \) is positive on the whole gallery. The proposition follows from the fact that the number of \( \gamma \) positive on \( \rho \) but not positive on the whole gallery is \( 3m \).

For example, if \( n \) corresponds to the reflection \( w_\delta w_{1-\beta} \) then \( \delta, 1-\beta, \) and \( 1+\alpha \) are the three affine roots that are positive on \( \rho \) but not positive on \( w_\delta w_{1-\beta}(\rho) \). Thus

\[ K^+ n K^+ = \bigcup_{u,v,s \in R/\pi R} x_\delta (u) x_{1-\beta} (v) x_{1+\alpha} (s) n K^+. \]

**Proposition 7.** Let \( n_1, n_2 \in N_\psi \) correspond to \( w_\delta w_{1-\beta} \in W_\psi \). Let \( n_3 \in N_\psi \) such that

\[ n_3 \in K^+ n_1 K^+ n_2 K^+. \]

Then \( n_3 \) corresponds to the trivial element in \( W_\psi \), to \( w_\delta \), to \( w_{1-\beta} \), or to \( w_\delta w_{1-\beta} \).

**Proof.** Let \( I' \) be the Iwahori subgroup corresponding to the alcove \( \sigma' \) (where \( \sigma' \) is as in section \( \text{[4.1]} \)). We claim that

\[ K^+ n_1 K^+ n_2 K^+ \subseteq I' w_\delta w_{1-\beta} I' w_\delta w_{1-\beta} I' = I' \cup I' w_\delta I' \cup I' w_{1-\beta} I' \cup I' w_\delta w_{1-\beta} I'. \]

The first inclusion is trivial. To see that \( I' w_\delta w_{1-\beta} I' w_\delta w_{1-\beta} I' = I' \cup I' w_\delta I' \cup I' w_{1-\beta} I' \cup I' w_\delta w_{1-\beta} I' \), first note that \( I' w_\delta w_{1-\beta} I' = I' w_\delta w_{1-\beta} U_\delta U_{1-\beta} \). Therefore,

\[ I' w_\delta w_{1-\beta} I' w_\delta w_{1-\beta} I' = I' w_\delta w_{1-\beta} U_\delta U_{1-\beta} w_{1-\beta} I' = I' U_{1-\beta} w_{1-\beta} w_{1-\beta} I'. \]

But \( w_{1-\beta} w_{1-\beta} = 1 \), so we have

\[ I' w_\delta w_{1-\beta} I' w_\delta w_{1-\beta} I' = I' U_{1-\beta} I'. \]
Since $U_\delta, U_{1-\beta} \subset I'$, and from the relation $w_\gamma(u) = x_\gamma(u)x_{-\gamma}(-u^{-1})x_\gamma(u)$ for any affine root $\gamma$, we have

$$I'U_{-\delta}U_{1-\beta}I' = I' \cup I'w_\delta I' \cup I'w_{1-\beta}I' \cup I'w_\delta w_{1-\beta}I'$$

The proposition now follows. $\square$

5.4. **Strong Hecke algebra.** Let $T_\alpha \cong R^\times$ be the image of $R^\times$ under the homomorphism $h_\alpha$. A character $\mu$ of $T_\alpha/(T_\alpha \cap T(R)^+)\,$ is invariant under the conjugation of $N_\psi$ if and only if it is quadratic. Assume that $\mu$ is quadratic. Then $\psi$ and $\mu$ combine to define a character, denoted by $\chi$, of $K = T_\alpha K^+$. Let $H_\chi \subset H_\psi$ be the subalgebra of $(K, \chi)$-biinvariant functions. The support of this algebra is $KN_\psi K$.

We normalize the measure on $G$ so that the volume of $K$ is 1. Then the unit of $H_\chi$ is $1_\chi$, the function supported on $K$ such that $1_\chi(1) = 1$. Let $f_n$ and $f_\alpha$ be in $H_\chi$ supported on the cosets of $n = w_\delta w_{1-\beta} = w_\delta(-1)w_{1-\beta}(-1)$ and $w_\alpha = w_\alpha(-1)$, respectively, normalized so that $f_n(n) = 1$ and $f_\alpha(w_\alpha) = 1$.

Suppose $f_n$ is supported on the double coset $KnK$.

**Proposition 8.**

(1) Assume that $\mu$ is not trivial. Then

$$f_n * f_n = \mu(h_{1-\beta}(-1)h_\delta(-1))q^3 1_\chi.$$ 

(2) Assume that $\mu$ is trivial. Then

$$f_n * f_n = q^3 1_\chi + q(q-1)f_n.$$ 

**Proof.** Since $f_n * f_n$ is supported on $KnK$, Proposition 7 implies that $f_n * f_n$ is a linear combination of $1_\chi$, $f_\delta$, $f_{1-\beta}$, and $f_n$, where $f_\delta \in H_\chi$ is supported on $w_\delta$ and $f_{1-\beta} \in H_\chi$ is supported on $w_{1-\beta}$. But from what we proved about the support of $H_\chi$, $Kw_\delta K$ and $Kw_{1-\beta}K$ are not in the support of $H_\chi$, and therefore $f_n * f_n$ is really a linear combination of $1_\chi$ and $f_n$. Thus it suffices to evaluate the convolution at 1 and $n$.

Write $KnK = \cup_j x_j K$. A simple computation shows that

$$(f_n * f_n)(x) = \int_{KnK} f_n(y)f_n(y^{-1}x)dy = \sum_j f_n(x_j)f_n(x_j^{-1}x).$$

Now suppose $x = 1$. Recall that $x_j = y_j n$ for some $y_j \in K$, for every $j$. Then

$$f_n(x_j)f_n(x_j^{-1}) = \psi(y_j)f_n(n)f_n(n^{-1})\psi(y_j^{-1}) = f_n(n)f_n(n^{-1})$$

for every $j$. Thus

$$(f_n * f_n)(1) = \sum_j f_n(x_j)f_n(x_j^{-1}) = q^3 f_n(n)f_n(n^{-1})$$

One can calculate that $n^{-1} = nh_{1-\beta}(-1)h_\delta(-1)$. Therefore, normalizing $f_n$ such that $f_n(n) = 1$, it follows that $f_n(n^{-1}) = \mu(h_{1-\beta}(-1)h_\delta(-1))$ and $(f_n * f_n)(1) = \mu(h_{1-\beta}(-1)h_\delta(-1))q^3$.

Now suppose $x = n$. Recall that $y_j = x_\delta(u) \cdot x_{1-\beta}(v) \cdot x_{1+\alpha}(s)$, where $u, v, s \in R/\pi R$. Then

$$(f_n * f_n)(n) = \sum_j f_n(x_j)f_n(x_j^{-1}n) = \sum_j f_n(n^{-1}y_j^{-1}n)$$

since $f_n(x_j) = f_n(y_j n) = f_n(n) = 1$ for all $j$. Since $n^{-1}U_\delta = U_{-\delta}$ and $n^{-1}U_{1-\beta}n = U_{\beta-1}$ and $n^{-1}U_{1+\alpha}n = U_\alpha$,
\[(f_n \ast f_n)(n) = \sum_{u,v,s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \pi \mathbb{R}} f_n(x_{-\delta}(u)x_{\beta-1}(v)x_{-\alpha}(s)).\]

We need to analyze a few cases:

1): Suppose \(u = v = 0\). Then \(f_n(x_{-\delta}(u)x_{\beta-1}(v)x_{-\alpha}(s)) = 0\).

2): Suppose \(u = 0, v \neq 0\). Then \(f_n(x_{-\delta}(u)x_{\beta-1}(v)x_{-\alpha}(s)) = 0\).

3): Suppose \(u \neq 0, v = 0\). Then \(f_n(x_{-\delta}(u)x_{\beta-1}(v)x_{-\alpha}(s)) = 0\).

4): Suppose \(u \neq 0, v \neq 0\). Recall that \(n = w_\delta(-1)w_{1-\beta}(-1)\). We normalize \(f_n\) such that \(f_n(n) = 1\).

Then we compute, using commutator relations:

\[
f_n(x_{-\delta}(u)x_{\beta-1}(v)x_{-\alpha}(s)) = f_n(x_{-\delta}(-u^{-1})x_{-\delta}(u)x_{\beta-1}(v)x_{-\alpha}(s)x_{\beta}(-u^{-1}))
\]

\[
= f_n(x_{1-\beta}(-v^{-1})w_\delta(-u^{-1})x_{\beta-1}(v)x_{-\alpha}(s)x_{\gamma}(-su^{-1})x_{1-\beta}(-v^{-1}))
\]

\[
= f_n(x_{1-\beta}(-v^{-1})w_\delta(-u^{-1})x_{\beta-1}(v)x_{-\alpha}(s)x_{\gamma}(-su^{-1})x_{1-\beta}(-v^{-1}))
\]

for some \(v', v'' \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \pi \mathbb{R}) \cup \{0\}\). Then

\[
f_n(x_{1-\beta}(-v^{-1})w_\delta(-u^{-1})x_{\beta-1}(v)x_{-\alpha}(s)x_{1-\beta}(-v^{-1})x_{\gamma}(-su^{-1})x_{1-\beta}(-v^{-1})x_{\delta+1}(v'')
\]

\[
= f_n(x_{1-\beta}(-v^{-1})w_\delta(-u^{-1})x_{\beta-1}(v)x_{-\alpha}(s)x_{1-\gamma}(v^{-1}s)x_{\gamma}(-su^{-1}))
\]

A computation shows that \(w_\delta(-u^{-1})w_{1-\beta}(-v^{-1}) = h_\delta(u^{-1})h_{1-\beta}(v^{-1})w_\delta(-1)w_{1-\beta}(-1)\), so we get

\[
f_n(w_\delta(-u^{-1})w_{1-\beta}(-v^{-1})x_{-\alpha}(s)x_{1-\gamma}(v^{-1}s)x_{\gamma}(-su^{-1}))
\]

\[
= f_n(h_\delta(u^{-1})h_{1-\beta}(v^{-1})w_\delta(-1)w_{1-\beta}(-1)x_{-\alpha}(s)x_{1-\gamma}(v^{-1}s)x_{\gamma}(-su^{-1}))
\]

\[
= f_n(h_\delta(u^{-1})h_{1-\beta}(v^{-1})nx_{-\alpha}(s)x_{1-\gamma}(v^{-1}s)x_{\gamma}(-su^{-1}))
\]

\[
= f_n(h_\delta(u^{-1})h_{1-\beta}(v^{-1})nx_{-\alpha}(s)n^{-1}n)x_{1-\gamma}(v^{-1}s)x_{\gamma}(-su^{-1}))
\]

\[
= f_n(h_\delta(u^{-1})h_{1-\beta}(v^{-1})x_{\alpha+1}(s')n)x_{1-\gamma}(v^{-1}s)x_{\gamma}(-su^{-1}))
\]

\[
= f_n(x_{\alpha+1}(s''))h_\delta(u^{-1})h_{1-\beta}(v^{-1})n)x_{1-\gamma}(v^{-1}s)x_{\gamma}(-su^{-1}))
\]
= f_n(h(1)h_{1-\beta}(1))\chi(x_{1-\gamma}(s)x_{1-\gamma}(-s))
for some \( s', s'' \in (R \setminus \pi R) \cup \{0\} \). Now, \( h(1)h_{1-\beta}(1) \in KnK \) iff \( u = v \). Therefore, we get

\[
\mu(v)f_n(n)\chi(x_{1-\gamma}(1)x_{1-\gamma}(-1)) = \delta_{1-\gamma}(f_n(n))\chi(x_{1-\gamma}(1)x_{1-\gamma}(-1)) = 0.
\]

It is a lengthy computation to show that since we have normalized \( f_n(n) = 1 \), then \( \chi(\alpha) = \chi(\gamma) \). Therefore, we get that \( \chi(x_{1-\gamma}(s)x_{1-\gamma}(-1)) = 1 \), so we get

\[
(f_n * f_n)(n) = \sum_{v \in (R/\pi R)^*} \mu(v)
\]

which equals zero if \( \mu \) is nontrivial (since the sum of a nontrivial character over a group vanishes) and equals \( q(q - 1) \) if \( \mu \) is trivial.

Suppose \( f_\alpha \) is supported on the double coset \( Kw_\alpha K \). Set \( w := w_\alpha = w_\alpha(-1) \). We compute

**Proposition 9.**

\[
f_\alpha * f_\alpha = \mu(h_\alpha(-1))1_\chi.
\]

**Proof.** Note that \( f_\alpha * f_\alpha \) is supported on \( Kw_\alpha Kw_\alpha K \). Moreover, \( Kw_\alpha Kw_\alpha K = Kw_\alpha K w_\alpha^{-1}w_\alpha^{-1}K = Kw_\alpha KK = K \) since \( Kw_\alpha Kw_\alpha^{-1}K = K \). Thus it suffices to evaluate the convolution at 1.

But

\[
(f_\alpha * f_\alpha)(1) = \int_{KwK} f_\alpha(y)f_\alpha(y^{-1})dy = \int_{wK} f_\alpha(y)f_\alpha(y^{-1})dy = \int_K f_\alpha(wk)f_\alpha((wk)^{-1})dk = \int_K f_\alpha(w)\chi(k)\chi^{-1}(f_\alpha(w^{-1})dk
\]

Normalize \( f_\alpha \) such that \( f_\alpha(w) = 1 \). We then have \( w^{-1} = h_\alpha(-1)w \). So \( f_\alpha(w^{-1}) = f_\alpha(h_\alpha(-1)w) = \chi(h_\alpha(-1))f_\alpha(w) = \chi(h_\alpha(-1)) \). But \( h_\alpha(-1) \) is in the central part of \( K \) on which \( \mu \) is defined. So \( \chi(h_\alpha(-1)) = \mu(h_\alpha(-1)) \), which depends on whether \( \mu \) is quadratic or not.

Therefore, \( f_\alpha * f_\alpha = \mu(h_\alpha(-1))1_\chi. \)

Suppose now that \( \mu \) is trivial. We define \( e_n = f_n/q \) and \( e_\alpha = f_\alpha \). In particular, \( e_\alpha^2 = 1 \) and \( e_\alpha \) satisfies the relation

\[
e_\alpha^2 = q + (q - 1)e_\alpha.
\]

Let \( \mathbb{H} \) be the associative algebra generated by two elements \( t_n \) and \( t_\alpha \) satisfying the same quadratic relation. We have a homomorphism \( \varphi : \mathbb{H} \to H_\chi \) defined by \( \varphi(t_n) = e_n \) and \( \varphi(t_\alpha) = e_\alpha \).

**Theorem 4.** The map \( \varphi \) is an isomorphism of \( \mathbb{H} \) and \( H_\psi \).

**Proof.** As in the proof of Theorem 3 it follows from Proposition 9 that \( \varphi(t_w) \) is non-zero and supported on one double coset. Thus \( \varphi \) sends the basis of \( \mathbb{H} \) to a basis of \( H_\psi. \)
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