Prognostic Value of Thyroid Transcription Factor-1 Expression in Patients with Advanced Lung Adenocarcinoma
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Abstract. Background/Aim: The prognostic role of thyroid transcription factor-1 (TTF1) in advanced lung cancer is not clearly established. The present study aimed to evaluate the associations between clinicopathological characteristics, TTF1 expression, and overall survival (OS) of patients with advanced lung adenocarcinoma. Materials and Methods: One hundred and seventy-two patients were enrolled in this retrospective study. OS was assessed according to immunohistochemical TTF1 expression in lung adenocarcinoma tissue, age, gender, performance status (PS), smoking history and status, disease stage, tumor differentiation, epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutation and EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) treatment status. Results: The OS time was longer (p< 0.001) for patients with TTF1 expression than for patients without TTF1 expression (13.0 vs. 5.0 months, respectively). A multivariate analysis confirmed that worse PS [hazard ratio (HR)=2.13, p<0.001], poor histological differentiation (HR=2.02, p=0.001), wild-type EGFR status (HR=3.08, p<0.001) and negative TTF1 expression (HR=1.97, p=0.001) were independent predictors of worse prognosis. Conclusion: TTF1 expression is an independent predictor of survival of patients with advanced lung adenocarcinoma.

Lung cancer is one of the most frequent types of cancer and causes death of more than 1.5 million people annually (1). The overall survival (OS) is currently adenocarcinoma (2). The overall survival (OS) is poor for the majority of patients with adenocarcinoma despite advances in treatment (1).

In general, prognostic factors influencing survival in patients with lung adenocarcinoma have been identified: age, gender, weight loss, smoking status, performance status (PS), disease stage and number or site of metastases (3, 4). Some of these factors, such as disease stage and PS, are useful for choosing treatment options. However, the discriminant value of most potential prognostic biological markers is insufficient to predict the optimal therapeutic course for an individual (5, 6).

Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is an important therapeutic target for the treatment of lung cancer. Tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) is recommended as first-line treatment for patients with EGFR-mutated non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), leading to a favorable response, better progression-free survival and fewer side-effects than conventional chemotherapy. At present, EGFR mutation is widely used as a biomarker to select patients for EGFR-TKI treatment (7).

Thyroid transcription factor-1 (TTF1) is expressed by epithelial cells of thyroid and lung. TTF1 is a useful immunohistochemical (IHC) marker which helps distinguish lung adenocarcinoma from squamous cell carcinoma or large cell carcinoma and is also commonly used as a marker to distinguish between primary and metastatic lung adenocarcinoma (8).

Several studies have assessed the prognostic value of TTF1 in NSCLC, however, most of them included patients with early-stage disease (9, 10). The prognostic value of TTF1 expression in advanced NSCLC is controversial. Elsamany et al. in a retrospective study of 120 patients reported that TTF1 expression was not a prognostic marker in advanced non-squamous NSCLC (11).

A meta-analysis of 17 studies (including four studies of stage IIIb-IV NSCLC) suggested that TTF1 expression might be an important favorable prognostic factor for advanced-
stage NSCLC. However, data from these studies were limited by patient heterogeneity. In addition, several relevant parameters were not included in multivariate analyses such as EGFR mutation status, type of therapy, or site and number of metastases (10).

The aim of this study was to evaluate associations between the clinicopathological characteristics, TTF1 expression, EGFR gene mutation status and OS of selected a patient population with stages IIB and IV of primary lung adenocarcinoma.

Materials and Methods

Patients. A total of 172 consecutive patients with primary lung adenocarcinoma diagnosed in our Department from January 2012 to July 2017 were retrospectively selected. This cohort included only patients with stage IIB or IV disease at initial diagnosis. All patients were treated in accordance with European Society of Medical Oncology guidelines for lung cancer (12, 13). The median follow-up period was 44 months (range=6-104 months).

Data for sex, age, smoking history and status, tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) stage, and PS at the initial visit were obtained from the medical record. OS was calculated from the date of diagnosis until the date of death from any cause or the date of the last follow-up visit. Survival data were updated in February 2018.

Patients were categorized as never smokers if they had smoked fewer than 100 cigarettes. Former smokers had quit smoking at least 1 year prior to the visit. Current smokers continued to smoke or had quit smoking less than one year prior to the visit (14). The clinical staging was performed according to the seventh edition of the TNM Classification for lung cancer (15). PS was estimated using the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) scale (16).

The study was approved by Vilnius Regional Biomedical Ethics Committee (no. 158200-13-652-210), Vilnius, Lithuania. Written informed consent for participation was obtained from each patient.

Methods. Pathological tissue samples were obtained from each patient before treatment by bronchoscopic biopsy. Lung adenocarcinomas were defined histologically according to the 2015 World Health Organization diagnostic criteria for lung carcinomas, and were classified into poorly, moderately and well-differentiated (17). The diagnosis of lung adenocarcinoma in poorly differentiated TTF1-negative cases was confirmed if malignant epithelial lung tumors had signs of glandular differentiation or mucin production and cytokeratin 7 (CK7) expression, but were negative for p40 (8, 18).

Immunohistochemical staining for TTF1 expression was carried out on formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue samples, using a standard streptavidin-biotin based method. The TTF1 antibody (SP141, rabbit monoclonal primary antibody; Ventana Medical Systems, Inc., Tucson, AZ, USA) was used at a dilution of 1/200. In each case, normal alveolar cells (and thyroid tissue) were used as positive controls. Staining was considered positive when 10% or more of tumor cell nuclei reacted with any intensity (19) (Figure 1).

EGFR mutation status (exon 18-21 of the tyrosine kinase domain) was investigated by polymerase chain reaction and the direct DNA sequencing method. DNA was derived from tumor samples embedded in paraffin blocks. After de-paraffinization, tissue sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin, and target lesions were selectively obtained. Details about the EGFR methodologies used for mutation detection were described previously (20).

Statistical analysis. Differences in clinical characteristics among TTF1 expression groups were tested using the chi-squared test. p-Values were calculated using Fisher’s exact test. OS time was measured from the date of diagnosis until the date of death from any cause or last follow-up. Probability of survival was estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method. The log-rank test was used to determine survival differences between groups. Cox proportional hazards regression analysis was used to determine which independent factors had a significant impact on OS. All variables with a p-value of less than 0.05 at univariate analysis were entered into a multivariate analysis for which p-values of less than 0.05 were defined as being statistically significant. Data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Science software version 21.0 for Windows (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

Patient demographics and pathological characteristics. Ninety-eight (57.0%) patients were males and 74 patients (43.0%) were females. The median patient age was 64 years (range=29-84 years). Ninety-three (54.1%) patients were current or former smokers. The majority (79.1%) of patients had an ECOG PS of 0-1. Pathologic stage was IIB in 32 patients (18.6%), and IV in 140 patients (81.4%).

Platinum-based doublet chemotherapy (cisplatin and gemcitabine, carboplatin and gemcitabine, cisplatin and paclitaxel, carboplatin and paclitaxel, cisplatin and pemetrexed), single-agent chemotherapy (gemcitabine, vinorelbine) or single-agent targeted therapy (gefitinib, erlotinib) were administered to 81.9%, 5.3% and 12.8% of first-line treated patients respectively.

Second-line chemotherapy was received by 59.3% of the patients. Single-agent chemotherapy (docetaxel, pemetrexed, gemcitabine, vinorelbine) or platinum-based doublets (carboplatin and gemcitabine, carboplatin and paclitaxel, carboplatin and vinorelbine) were administered to 82.3% and 17.7% of second-line treated patients respectively.

Third-line chemotherapy was administered to 31.4% of the patients who received first-line chemotherapy. Single-agent chemotherapy (docetaxel, pemetrexed, gemcitabine, vinorelbine) or platinum-based doublets (carboplatin and gemcitabine, carboplatin and paclitaxel, carboplatin and vinorelbine) accounted for about 88.9% of third-line treatments, and platinum-based doublet chemotherapy (carboplatin and gemcitabine, carboplatin and paclitaxel, carboplatin and vinorelbine) accounted for almost 11.1%.

The adenocarcinomas were graded as 48 (27.9%) cases of well-or moderately differentiated and 124 (72.1%) of poorly differentiated. EGFR mutations (exon 18 in two cases, exon 19 in 10 cases, exon 20 in two cases, and exon 21 in 12 cases) were detected in 26 (15.1%) patients.
Figure 1. Representative negative (A) and positive (B) expression of thyroid transcription factor-1 (TTF1) in lung adenocarcinoma cells; thyroid tissue with positive TTF1 expression was used as positive control (C). Magnification ×200.
Table I. Characteristics of the patients with advanced-stage lung adenocarcinoma according to thyroid transcription factor-1 (TTF1) expression.

| Characteristic                                      | Without TTF1 expression (N=38), N (%) | With TTF1 expression (N=134), N (%) | p-Value |
|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|
| Gender                                              |                                       |                                     | <0.001  |
| Male                                                | 31 (81.6%)                           | 67 (50.0%)                          |         |
| Female                                              | 7 (18.4%)                            | 67 (50.0%)                          |         |
| Age                                                 |                                       |                                     | 0.241   |
| ≥70 Years                                           | 29 (76.3%)                           | 92 (68.7%)                          |         |
| <70 Years                                           | 9 (23.7%)                            | 42 (31.3%)                          |         |
| Smoking status                                      |                                       |                                     | <0.001  |
| Never smokers                                       | 8 (21.1%)                            | 71 (53.0%)                          |         |
| Current or former smokers                           | 30 (78.9%)                           | 63 (47.0%)                          |         |
| Clinical stage                                      |                                       |                                     | 0.056   |
| IIIB                                                | 11 (28.9%)                           | 21 (15.7%)                          |         |
| IV                                                  | 27 (71.1%)                           | 113 (84.3%)                         |         |
| Histological differentiation                       |                                       |                                     | 0.015   |
| Well-or moderately differentiated                   | 5 (13.2%)                            | 43 (32.1%)                          |         |
| Poorly differentiated                               | 33 (86.8%)                           | 91 (67.9%)                          |         |
| EGFR mutation                                      |                                       |                                     | 0.008   |
| Positive                                            | 1 (2.6%)                             | 25 (18.7%)                          |         |
| Negative                                            | 37 (97.4%)                           | 109 (81.3%)                         |         |
| ECOG PS                                             |                                       |                                     | 0.132   |
| 0-1                                                 | 33 (86.8%)                           | 103 (76.9%)                         |         |
| 2-3                                                 | 5 (13.2%)                            | 31 (23.1%)                          |         |
| EGFR-TKI treatment                                  |                                       |                                     | 0.002   |
| Yes                                                 | 0 (0.0%)                             | 22 (16.4%)                          |         |
| No                                                  | 38 (100.0%)                          | 112 (83.6%)                         |         |

ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; TKI, tyrosine kinase inhibitor. Chi-square test. p-Values were obtained by Fisher’s exact test.

Clinicopathological data and correlation with TTF1 expression. Overall, TTF1 immunoreactivity was found in 134 cases (77.9%). The clinicopathological variables of the patients with lung adenocarcinoma, such as age, clinical stage, grades and performance status were not significantly associated with TTF1 expression (Table I). Significant correlation was only found between TTF1 expression and female sex (p<0.001), never-smoker status (p<0.001), well-or moderately differentiated tumor (p=0.015), EGFR mutation (p=0.008) and treatment with EGFR-TKI (p=0.002).

Only 2.6% of TTF1-negative patients had EGFR-mutant tumors. TTF1 positivity had 96.1% sensitivity, 25.3% specificity, 97.4% [95% confidence interval (CI)=86.5-99.5%] negative predictive value and 18.6% [95% CI=12.9-26.1%] positive predictive value for predicting the presence of activating mutations of EGFR.

Survival analysis. At end of the study, 156 out of 172 (90.7%) patients were dead. The median patient OS was 11 months (range=2-49 months). In univariate analysis, sex, smoking and performance status, histological differentiation, EGFR mutation and TTF1 staining were statistically significantly (p<0.05) correlated with OS (Table II).

The OS time was significantly (log rank test; p<0.001) longer for patients with adenocarcinoma with TTF1 expression (median=13.0 months; 95% CI=11.0-14.9 months) than for those with adenocarcinoma without TTF1 expression (median=5.0 months; 95% CI=2.9-7.0 months). For those with EGFR mutation, OS was statistically significantly (log-rank test; p=0.001) longer (median 30.0 months; 95% CI=19.7-40.3 months) than for those with wild-type EGFR (median=10.0 months; 95% CI=7.6-12.4 months). The OS time was significantly (log-rank test;
Diagnosis of lung adenocarcinoma is well documented, but its prognostic value, especially for patients with advanced-stage lung cancer, has been less well studied. The role of TTF1 in differential diagnosis of adenocarcinoma with TTF1 expression. After exclusion of patients treated with chemotherapy for late-stage disease as their duration of survival is limited (26). This suggests that detrimental effects of cigarette smoking may occur earlier in disease progression. Another explanation may be that the effects of continued smoking during therapy are not evident in patients treated with chemotherapy for late-stage disease as their duration of survival is limited (26).

**Discussion**

TTF1 is a marker currently used in routine clinical practice to distinguish lung adenocarcinoma from adenocarcinoma metastatic to the lung. The role of TTF1 in differential diagnosis of lung adenocarcinoma is well documented, but its prognostic value, especially for patients with advanced-stage lung cancer, has been less well studied.

The percentage of positive TTF1 expression reported in our study (77.9%) is similar to that for Caucasian patients (9, 21). The definition of positive TTF1 expression under IHC study varies according to the study. Some studies defined positive TTF1 expression as any definite nuclear staining, whereas others defined it as tumors with 5% or 50% positivity (22-24). However, one study showed that the clinical outcome between patients with weakly TTF1-positive and those with strongly TTF1-positive adenocarcinoma was not different (21). Therefore, in this study, we defined positive TTF1 expression as reaction in 10% or more of tumor cell nuclei with any intensity.

Clinicopathological variables such as female sex, never- or former smoker status, well or moderately differentiated tumor and EGFR mutation were significantly associated with TTF1 expression. These results are in accordance with previously reported studies (9, 19).

A number of trials revealed PS and histological differentiation to be predictors of survival (3, 4, 6, 25). Similarly, in our study, worse PS (ECOG 2-3) and poor histological differentiation were independent predictors of worse prognosis.

There was no statistically significant difference in survival observed between never- or former smokers and current smokers in our study. A study by Li et al. reported similar findings (26). This suggests that detrimental effects of cigarette smoking may occur earlier in disease progression. Another explanation may be that the effects of continued smoking during therapy are not evident in patients treated with chemotherapy for late-stage disease as their duration of survival is limited (26).

TTF1 positivity in our study was associated with prolonged survival (13.0 vs. 5.0 months, \( p=0.001 \)). The estimated HR of 1.97 suggests that patients without TTF1 expression have a risk of death almost two times higher relative to patients with adenocarcinoma with TTF1 expression. After exclusion

### Table III. Multivariate analyses for overall survival.

| Characteristic         | HR (95% CI)    | \( p \)-Value |
|------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Gender                 |                |              |
| Male vs. female        | 1.10 (0.70-1.73) | 0.674        |
| Smoking status         |                |              |
| Current or former smokers vs. never smokers | 1.34 (0.88-2.05) | 0.168        |
| Histological differentiation |        |              |
| Poorly differentiated vs. well-or moderately differentiated | 2.02 (1.35-3.02) | 0.001        |
| ECOG PS                |                |              |
| 2-3 vs. 0-1            | 2.13 (1.42-3.21) | <0.001       |
| EGFR mutation          |                |              |
| Negative vs. positive  | 3.08 (1.80-5.25) | <0.001       |
| TTF1 expression        |                |              |
| Negative vs. positive  | 1.97 (1.31-2.97) | 0.001        |
| EGFR-TKI treatment     |                |              |
| No vs. yes             | 0.75 (0.28-1.99) | 0.567        |

ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; TTF1, thyroid transcription factor-1; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; TKI, tyrosine kinase inhibitor; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio. Cox proportional hazards regression analysis. HR >1.00 indicates worse survival.
of EGFR mutation-positive patients in multivariate analysis (data not shown), we found that estimated HR for TTF1 expression decreased from 1.97 to 1.86, but was still statistically significant (p=0.003). Therefore, this did not have a significant impact on our results.

Several studies assessed the prognostic value of TTF1 in NSCLC, however, most of them included patients with early-stage disease. A meta-analysis published in 2006 noted that high TTF1 protein expression was associated with better survival in NSCLC, mainly in early-stage NSCLC. Favorable survival outcome associated with TTF1 expression in early-stage NSCLC may be explained by the lack of any adjuvant therapy in most of these patients and subsequently survival was less likely to be affected by other treatments, compared to surgical resection. This analysis included 10 studies and the data were insufficient to determine the prognostic value in lung adenocarcinoma and disease stage (9).

In a more recent meta-analysis including 17 studies with 2,235 patients, TTF1 overexpression was significantly correlated with favorable survival in patients with NSCLC and adenocarcinoma. This correlation was observed in both Asian and non-Asian study populations. When analysis was restricted to stage IIIB-IV NSCLC (4 studies), it was found that the combined HR (0.43) was lower than the combined HR (0.63) for studies of stage I NSCLC, suggesting that TTF1 expression might also be an important favorable prognostic

Figure 2. Overall survival curves for patients with advanced-stage lung adenocarcinoma (Kaplan-Meier plots) according to: A, thyroid transcription factor-1 (TTF1) expression: positive (N=134) vs. negative (N=38) groups; B, tumor differentiation: well-or moderately differentiated (N=48) vs. poorly differentiated (N=124) adenocarcinomas; C, epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutation: positive (N=26) vs. negative (N=146) groups; and, D, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status (PS): 0-1 (N=136) vs. 2-3 (N=36).
factor for advanced-stage NSCLC. This systematic review with meta-analysis was complicated by heterogeneity between the studies. In addition, several relevant parameters were not included in multivariate analyses such as site and number of metastases, type of therapy, and EGFR mutation status. The technique used for IHC varied considerably among the studies. The primary antibodies used were not identical, and many different cut-offs for TTF1 positivity were used (10).

In contrast, Elsamany et al. in a retrospective study of 120 patients from Saudi Arabia reported that TTF1 expression was not a prognostic marker in advanced non-squamous NSCLC. Patients with stage IIIIB-IV non-squamous NSCLC were enrolled in this study. TTF1-positive patients had better OS in univariate analysis, the significance of which was lost in multivariate analysis involving different prognostic factors (11). Several factors might explain the lack of association of TTF1 expression with survival improvement in that study. The percentage of patients with positive TTF1 expression (83.3%) was similar to that of Asian patients. Forty percent of TTF1-positive patients were also EGFR-mutant. This may point to the possibility of biological similarity of NSCLC in Saudi Arabia with that of Asian patients (11).

Numerous studies reported the poor prognostic role of negative TTF1 expression in lung adenocarcinoma (9, 22, 24, 27). TTF1-negative patients define a subgroup of lung adenocarcinomas with unfavorable outcome, which may be because of the aggressive pattern of recurrence in such cases. Future studies are warranted to investigate molecular mechanisms of this poor prognosis and identify novel therapeutic targets to benefit more patients with this aggressive disease (27).

Assessment of EGFR mutations has become mandatory in order to choose the most active first-line treatment for patients with advanced primary lung adenocarcinoma (28). EGFR mutations were detected in 26 (15.1%) from 172 lung adenocarcinomas patients in our study. The majority (84.6%) of mutations were exon 19 deletions or mutation of exon 21. These findings are in accordance with previous reports on Caucasian patients (29, 30).

However, almost all of the recently reported trials of treatment with TKIs demonstrated only progression-free survival benefits, and no OS benefits in patients with different EGFR mutational status (28, 31, 32). This is mostly because of the high crossover rate after progression (31, 32). Only one trial by Sellmann et al. showed a significant and clinical meaningful OS benefit in patients with EGFR mutation-positive NSCLC. Despite a high crossover rate (53%) OS results of their study demonstrated a significant survival benefit for the gefitinib-treated patients with EGFR mutation (46.9 vs. 21.0 months, p=0.036) (33).

Twenty-two out of 26 patients with EGFR-mutant (mutations exon 19 or 21) disease in our study received EGFR-TKI only in first-line treatment due to drug reimbursement policies in Lithuania. For this reason, EGFR-TKI treatment crossover at disease progression was absent. This may explain the benefit to OS of treatment with TKIs in patients with EGFR mutation in our study.

Our data indicate that TTF1 negativity in patients with lung adenocarcinoma is negatively associated with EGFR mutation, which is in accordance with previous studies (19, 34). Only 2.6% of TTF1-negative patients were EGFR-mutant. The negative predictive value of TTF1 for activating mutations of EGFR was 97.4%. Prior studies showed that EGFR mutations are exceptionally rare in TTF1-negative adenocarcinomas of the lung (35, 36). The absence of TTF1 immunoreactivity can reliably predict an absence of activating mutations of EGFR. IHC is not able to replace mutational testing, but TTF1 status could be informative in the selection of patients for EGFR mutation testing (36).

In clinical practice, data on TTF1 expression combined with EGFR mutations can timely guide clinical treatment for lung adenocarcinomas (15). Such information could be used in the interpretation of equivocal EGFR mutation results or allow for early initiation of cytotoxic chemotherapy in patients newly diagnosed with TTF1-negative advanced-stage adenocarcinoma of the lung when the wait for formal EGFR testing results could be detrimental (36). Negativity for TTF1 can also guide clinical testing toward biomarkers other than EGFR, improving the testing algorithm in patients with limited tumor tissue samples (19).

The limitation of this study is its retrospective design. Nevertheless, the study population was typical of that seen in everyday practice. Another limitation is that weight loss, adenocarcinoma histological subtypes, and metastatic site were not included in the analysis as they were not adequately reported in medical records for most of the patients.

In conclusion, the results of this study demonstrated that TTF1 expression is an independent predictor of survival of patients with advanced-stage lung adenocarcinoma. TTF1 expression status could be useful for predicting the presence of EGFR mutation. These results should be validated in a prospective study.
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