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Abstract

Background: Inappropriate prescriptions can lead to adverse consequences for patients. It also imposes excessive cost on the patients, payers and health systems. The current study aimed at estimating the rate of inappropriate brain Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) prescriptions and their financial burden in Iran.

Methods: Using systematic stratified sampling method, this cross-sectional study recruited 385 participants from three public teaching hospitals in Shiraz, Iran. Demographic information, questions related to brain MRI prescription and its indications checklist were collected using study-specific data collection tools. The completed indications checklist was compared to the appropriateness status table of indications and scenarios to detect the percent of the appropriateness of prescriptions.

Results: About 21 percentage of total brain MRI prescriptions are inappropriate. Previous treatment, number of referrals to physician, having other diagnostic tests and the applicant of MRI (P < 0.01) had significant relationships with prescription appropriateness. The estimated financial burden of inappropriate brain MRIs in Shiraz teaching hospitals was 99,988 US dollar in 2017.

Conclusions: More than one-fifth of brains MRIs were inappropriate (i.e. prescriptions without medical indications). It caused 99,988 United States Dollar (USD) financial burden which is 17 times that of Iran’s Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita. To better allocate resources for the provision of MRI services to health system, rationing policies for controlling moral hazard and reducing provider induced demand can be helpful.
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Background

Neurological diseases are one of the common causes of death and physical and mental disabilities in different societies. Neurological diseases are disorders that influence the central and peripheral nervous system including brain, spinal cord, and nerves such as cerebrovascular disease [1]. Early and precise diagnosis of brain and neurological disorders and damages will assist in the better and fast treatment of those illnesses. Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) have important roles in diagnosing these illnesses [2]. In addition to assisting in the early diagnosis of illness, imaging prevents more aggressive measures for treatment [3]. In this respect, one application of MRI is diagnosis of brain and neurological illnesses [4].

It is stated that only less than half of MRIs prescribed by neurologists were appropriate [5]. Despite the significant effects of MRI in diagnosing diseases, unnecessary provision of it can impose financial burden on patients, payers and healthcare systems [6, 7].

There is substantial growth in MRI acquisition and utilization in Iran [8]. According to a local research in Iran, about 80 percentage of demanded MRIs by physicians for
the patients suffering from headache had normal results. It shows that MRI prescriptions in many cases are illogical and inappropriate [9]. According to Salari et al. [10], 167 lumbar spine MRI prescriptions among 300 prescriptions were inappropriate, and the cost of these inappropriate prescriptions was estimated at 7178 US dollar. So, health economists and policy makers believe that evidence-based and appropriate use of these services reduces health services costs and promotes services quality [5].

According to the last published statistics in Iran in 2018, total health expenditure (THE) was about 39 billion United States Dollar (USD) (8.7 percentage of Gross Domestic Product (GDP)), which was 484.3 USD in terms of per capita. Public spending, including social insurance and government budget, accounted for 45.9 percentage of THE. Out of pocket payments as the main source of health financing accounted for 35.8 percentage of THE [11]. In an unpublished study in Iran, it is reported that MRI services cost that covered by insurance organizations (both social and private) was about 6.8 million USD in 2018 [12]. Anyway, as mentioned by other researchers, advanced diagnostic procedures such as MRI are specified as main drivers of the health care expenditure [13, 14].

The present study aimed to evaluate the level of brain MRI inappropriate prescriptions and their financial burden in public teaching hospitals in Shiraz in 2017.

Methods
This research is a cross-sectional study that was carried out in 2017 to evaluate the level of inappropriate prescriptions of brain MRIs and their financial burden in teaching hospitals of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences.

Using systematic stratified sampling method, this research recruited 385 participants from public teaching hospitals in Shiraz, Iran.

The total sample size was proportionally allocated to three public hospitals namely, Namazi, Faghihi, and Chamran, which were the only MRI services providers in Shiraz’s public sector, according to their volume of MRI services.

To select participants in each hospital, the waiting list of patients was obtained from the hospitals’ MRI departments, and the participants were randomly selected using random number tables.

To collect data, one nurse attended in patients’ readiness rooms and examined the prescriptions of the patients who were selected previously through a systematic sampling from the waiting list of MRI. We exclude the prescriptions that did not have a patient history or MRI prescriptions that have not registered.

The selected participant’s information was collected through a data collection form. This form consisted of two parts. The first part was about patients’ demographic information and the questions related to prescription and the second part was the brain MRI prescription indications checklist. We used the checklist that was developed by Salari et al. [15].

The data obtained from data collection forms was compared to the appropriateness status table of indications and scenarios to detect the percentage of the prescriptions’ appropriateness. Salari et al. developed these indications and scenarios appropriateness status table. In that consensus-based study, experts in brain disorders (neurosurgeons and neurologists) scored the scenarios according to Rand Appropriateness Method. The scenarios were classified into the categories of appropriate, uncertain and inappropriate [15].

To estimate the number of inappropriate MRI prescriptions, the estimated rate of inappropriateness of brain MRI was multiplied by total brain MRI services provided by public hospitals in Shiraz. Finally, by multiplying the number of inappropriate prescriptions by the brain MRI procedure’s tariffs for the patient and insurer in 2017 the overall imposed financial burden was estimated. It should be noted that in the current study the financial costs of inappropriate MRI were estimated and the comprehensive economic burden of them was not studied. For converting Iranian Rial to USD exchange rate reported by the central bank of Iran was used [16]. Statistical analysis was performed by Stata 14.

Results
About 60 percentage of patients were female and 96 percentage have basic health insurance. The education level of patients was elementary and secondary (33.2), academic (28.7), high school diploma (25.5) and illiterate (13.5), respectively. 37 percentage of patient had complementary health insurance.

Table 1 shows descriptive statistics of the appropriateness status of brain MRI in studied patients and the relationship between examined patients’ socio-demographic variables with the appropriateness of brain MRI descriptions. The results indicate that generally 21.6 percentage of total prescriptions are not appropriate.

Table 2 also indicates the mentioned statistics based on clinical variables. Results demonstrated that previous treatment, number of times of visit, having other diagnostic tests and the applicant of MRI (P<0.01), type of treatment and the specialty of the physician (P < 0.1), had significant relationships with prescriptions appropriateness.

Among 16,200 brain MRIs that were done in studied (three) hospitals in 2017, 21.6 percentage, it means 3500
cases were brain MRIs without indication, among which 2240 cases (64 percentage) were with injection and 1260 cases (36 percentage) were with and without injection. The tariffs of doing a brain MRI procedure without injection was 22.7 USD and the tariffs of doing a brain MRI procedure with and without injection was 39 USD in 2017.

So the financial burden of inappropriate brain MRIs on the patients and insurers for “without injection”, “with and without injection” and all MRIs were 50,848, 49,140 and 99,988 USD, respectively (Table 3).

**Discussion**

Inappropriate prescriptions for MRI services can lead to an adverse outcome for patients and impose an excessive cost on the patients and health systems. So the appropriateness of brain MRI prescriptions, its related factors and financial burden were investigated in this study.

Findings show that 21.6 percentage of brain MRI prescriptions were without indication (inappropriate). Researches that mainly assessed the brain MRI appropriateness are few, so other MRI services are also compared with our findings. Piersson et al. [17] reported that about 19 percentage of brain MRIs in a single center in Ghana were inappropriate. It seems the use of MRI procedure in Iran is similar to that of the United States of America (USA), which has a very costly health system; because Lehnert & Bree (2010) found that 26 percentage of MRI and Computerized Tomography (CT) scan prescriptions in the USA are inappropriate [18]. Oikarinen et al. [19] indicated that 7 percentage of MRIs done in an academic hospital in Finland were inappropriate. Saadat et al. [20] found that 17.2 percentage of conducted MRIs in private centers in Tehran were normal that 9.8 percentage of them were for headache examinations. Barzin et al. demonstrated that 81 percentage of requested MRIs by physicians for patients suffering from headaches in an educational hospital in Sari city had normal results [9]. Sheehan et al. [21] reported that 45 percentage (106 cases) out of 237 prescribed MRIs for shoulder in a department of veterans affairs tertiary care hospital were inappropriate. In recent research in Ontario, the inappropriate rate of hip MRI is estimated at 32.1 percentage [22].

The Comparison also shows that there are different results on different MRI services (all MRI, brain, shoulder, hip, …). There are also different inappropriate rate of MRI prescriptions across different countries and settings associated with their health system structure and policies to control physician and patient behavior. However, it seems these differences should be mainly
explained by different methodology, indications criteria, and instruments used by different researchers.

Analytic findings show that there are no significant relationships between socio-demographic variables and brain MRI appropriateness. However, several clinical factors have a significant relationship with the appropriateness of brain MRI.

We found that having previous treatment and other diagnostic tests are related to brain MRI’s appropriateness. Manta [22] showed that having no previous radiographic examination was the most predictor of inappropriate prescription of hip MRI in Ontario.

Also, Sheehan et al. [21] showed that ultrasound could be a cost-saving substitution for 66 percentage of shoulder MRIs.

Finding also indicates that 27.74 percentage of 191 patients at the first visit to the physicians were without indication for brain MRI. We also found the more number of times a patient visits a physician, the less likely the inappropriateness of brain MRI. Patients with more visits to doctors likely have chronic and severe symptoms [23], so their MRIs probably are necessary and appropriate. It also can be explained by defensive medicine. In the first visit, physicians reduce and compensate for malpractice liability risk and apply more tests and treatments, which may be unnecessary [24].

One of the main results is that the primary applicant of MRI has a significant relationship with brain MRI’s appropriateness. About 19 percentage of prescriptions requested primarily by physicians were inappropriate, but on the contrary, more than 60 percentage of brain MRIs requested primarily by patients were inappropriate.

### Table 2 Appropriateness of brain MRI prescriptions based on clinical variables in Shiraz public hospitals in 2017

| Overall | With indication | Without indication | Total | P-value |
|---------|-----------------|--------------------|-------|---------|
|         | Frequency       | Percent            | Frequency | Percent | Frequency | Percent |
| Having previous treatment | Yes | 302 | 78.45 | 83 | 21.55 | 385 | 100 |
|         | No | 115 | 68.86 | 52 | 31.14 | 167 | 100 |
| Type of treatment | Drug | 137 | 84.05 | 26 | 15.95 | 163 | 100 |
|         | Rest | 6 | 75 | 2 | 25 | 8 | 100 |
|         | Surgery | 42 | 95.45 | 2 | 4.55 | 44 | 100 |
|         | Others | 2 | 66.67 | 1 | 33.33 | 3 | 100 |
| Treatment duration | Less than 1 Year | 59 | 78.67 | 16 | 21.33 | 75 | 100 |
|         | 1–5 years | 73 | 86.90 | 11 | 13.10 | 84 | 100 |
|         | More than 5 Years | 55 | 93.22 | 4 | 6.78 | 59 | 100 |
| Number of times of visit | First time | 138 | 72.25 | 53 | 27.74 | 191 | 100 |
|         | Second times | 68 | 75.56 | 22 | 24.44 | 90 | 100 |
|         | Third times or more | 96 | 92.3 | 8 | 7.7 | 104 | 100 |
| Having other diagnostic testsa | Yes | 159 | 85.48 | 27 | 14.52 | 186 | 100 |
|         | No | 143 | 71.86 | 56 | 28.14 | 199 | 100 |
| Applicant | Physician | 279 | 80.63 | 67 | 19.37 | 346 | 100 |
|         | Patient | 6 | 37.5 | 10 | 62.5 | 16 | 100 |
|         | Physician & patient | 17 | 73.91 | 6 | 26.09 | 23 | 100 |
| Referral center | Public hospital | 194 | 79.83 | 49 | 20.17 | 243 | 100 |
|         | Private hospital | 20 | 66.67 | 10 | 33.33 | 30 | 100 |
|         | Private office | 88 | 78.57 | 24 | 21.43 | 112 | 100 |
|         | Specialty of the physician | Neurosurgery | 78 | 80.41 | 19 | 19.59 | 97 | 100 |
|         | Neurologist | 123 | 67.95 | 58 | 32.05 | 181 | 100 |
|         | Other | 101 | 94.39 | 6 | 5.61 | 107 | 100 |

*a CT scan, electroencephalography, etc*

### Table 3 Financial burden of inappropriate brain MRIs in public hospitals in Shiraz, 2017

| Number of inappropriate brain MRIs | Tariffs of one MRI | Total cost (USD) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Without injection | 2240 | 22.7 | 50,848 |
| With and without injection | 1260 | 39 | 49,140 |
| Total | 3500 | | 99,988 |
Patients usually appeal diagnostic tests e.g., MRI from their physician; however, many times, their request is unnecessary. Although it likely increases patients’ satisfaction and health outcomes, it wastes health system resources and maybe increases the side effects of these tests [25]. It is also related to the consumer moral hazard concept, resulting from information asymmetry between purchasers and patients [26].

Some macro factors which can affect on volume of unnecessary diagnostic tests and interventions that we could not assess their effect. For example, Andrade et al. found that more supply of imaging services (imaging centers, MRI machines, radiologists) by itself increase the demand for such services [27]. This phenomenon can be explained by provider induced demand or more accessibility to these services.

The present study showed that the financial burden resulting from inappropriate brain MRI prescriptions in 2017 was 99,988 USD in Shiraz public hospitals, which is about 17 times of Iran’s GDP per capita in that year (5680 USD) [28]. This financial burden is related to one type of MRI services (brain MRI), in one city and only public centers. Considering all the mentioned factors, there is a noticeable financial burden of inappropriate MRI services in Iran. It should be asserted that according to a national study in 2018, there were 276 MRI machines in Iran (about 3.5 per one million population) [29].

There are few studies with a focus on Brain MRI. Moreover, different studies have utilized different tools for checking the appropriateness of MRI services, and finally, there are different approaches to estimate the financial/economic burden. As we estimated only the direct financial burden of inappropriate brain MRI services, it is not compared with other areas.

A major limitation of the current study is that there are not clear statistics about the utilization of brain MRI in Iran, so we cannot estimate the overall financial burden of brain MRI in Iran. We only estimated the financial burden of brain MRI in public hospitals in Shiraz. Another main limitation is that we calculated the only direct medical financial costs of inappropriate brain MRI and comprehensive economic burden of them (such as transportation cost, food cost, productivity loss and intangible cost) was not studied. Moreover, the lack of similar studies on brain MRI, relevant factors and its financial burden was another limitation.

Conclusions
About one-fourth of brains MRIs were inappropriate. It caused 99,988 USD financial burden which is 17 times of Iran’s GDP per capita. To better allocation of health system resources for the provision of MRI services, rationing policies for controlling consumer moral hazard and reducing provider induced demand can be helpful.

Abbreviations
CT: Computerized tomography; GDP: Gross Domestic Product; MRI: Magnetic Resonance Imaging; THE: Total Health Expenditure; USA: United Stated of America; USD: United States Dollar.

Acknowledgements
The authors are grateful to the participants for their valuable contributions.

Authors’ contributions
Study design: ZK, AS; data gathering: AS, MB; data analysis: AS, HS; data interpretation: ZK, FL, HS; manuscript drafting: ZK, AS, MB; final review of manuscript: ZK, AS, FL, HS, MB. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding
This study is financially supported by Shiraz University of Medical Sciences with grant number 13862.

Availability of data and materials
The data used in this study are not publicly available because the participants were promised that the raw data would remain confidential. However they are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations
Ethics approval and consent to participate
This study was conducted in accordance with the International Declaration of Helsinki. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences with code IR.SUMS.REC.1396.S260. All participants were informed both verbally and through written information of their right to withdraw from the study at any time. All participants gave their written consent to participate in the study.

Consent for publication
The participants gave their written consent to publish findings of the study.

Competing interests
The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and publication of this article.

Author details
1 Health Human Resources Research Center, School of Management and Information Sciences, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Almas Building, Alley 29, Qas Rodasht Ave, Shiraz, Iran. 2 Health Policy and Management Department, Bushehr University of Medical Sciences, Bushehr, Iran.

Received: 14 January 2020 Accepted: 26 February 2021
Published online: 05 March 2021

References
1. Carroll WM. The global burden of neurological disorders. Lancet Neurol. 2019;18(5):418–9.
2. Mattson M, Duan W, Pedersen W, Culmsee C. Neurodegenerative disorders and ischemic brain diseases. Apoptosis. 2001;6(1–2):69–81.
3. Klunk WE, Engler H, Nordberg A, Wang Y, Blomqvist G, Holt DJ, et al. Imaging brain amyloid in Alzheimer’s disease with Pittsburgh Compound-B. Ann Neurol. 2004;55(3):306–19.
4. Catana C, Drzezga A, Heiss W-D, Rosen BR. PET/MRI for neurologic applications. J Nucl Med. 2012;53(12):1916–25.
5. Emery DJ, Shojania KG, Forster AJ, Miovjeanin N, Feasby TE. Overuse of magnetic resonance imaging. JAMA Internal Med. 2013;173(9):823–5.
6. Paleish M, Fredrikson S, Jamshidi H, Tomson G, Petzold M. How is magnetic resonance imaging used in Iran? Int J Technol Assess Health Care. 2008;24(4):452–8.
7. Hendee WR, Becker GJ, Borgstede JP, Bosma J, Casarella WJ, Erickson BA, et al. Addressing overutilization in medical imaging. Radiology. 2010;25(1):240–5.

8. Paleish M, Tishelman C, Fredriksson S, Jamshidi H, Tomson G, Emami A. “We noticed that suddenly the country has become full of MRI.” Policy makers’ views on diffusion and use of health technologies in Iran. Health Res Policy Syst. 2010;8(1):9.

9. Barzin M, Alaee A, Gholian JS. MRI findings in patients with headache referred to Imam Khomeini Hospital, Sari, Iran, from October 2007 to February 2009. J Mazandaran Univ Med Sci. 2010;20(75):65–9.

10. Salari H, Ostovar R, Esfandiari A, Keshkatar A, Sari AA, Manesh HY, et al. Evidence for policy making: clinical appropriateness study of lumbar spine MRI prescriptions using RAND appropriateness method. Int J Health Policy Manag. 2013;2(1):17.

11. Global Health Expenditure database [Internet]. World Health Organization. 2018 (cited 2020). https://apps.who.int/nha/databased.

12. Ramandi S, Abbasi M, Mosadeghrad AM. Magnetic resonance imaging utilization in Iran. 2021. (Preprint). https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-177994/v1.

13. Okunade AA, Murthy VN. Technology as a ‘major driver’ of health care costs: a countintegration analysis of the Newhouse conjecture. J Health Econ. 2002;21(1):147–59.

14. Bayati M, Ahari AM, Badakhshan A, Ghavipour M, Joulaei H. Cost analysis of MRI services in Iran: an application of activity based costing technique. Iran J Radiol. 2015;12(4):e18372.

15. Salari H, Ravanbod MR, Akbari Sari A, Farzanegan G, Esfandiari A. Developing appropriate indications for prescriptions of brain MRI using RAND appropriateness method. Evid Based Health Policy Manag Econ. 2017;14(4):205.

16. Central Bank of Iran. Statistics of foreign exchange rate. 2019 (cited 20/12/2019). Available at: https://www.cbi.ir/exrates/rates_en.aspx.

17. Pierson A, Nunoo G, Gorlek P. An audit of clinical practice, referral patterns, and appropriateness of clinical indications for brain MRI examinations: a single-centre study in Ghana. Radiography. 2018;24(2):e25–30.

18. Lehnhrt BE, Bree RL. Analysis of appropriateness of outpatient CT and MRI referred from primary care clinics at an academic medical center: how critical is the need for improved decision support? J Am Coll Radiol. 2010;7(3):192–7.

19. Oikarinen H, Karttunen A, Pääkkö E, Tervonen O. Survey of inappropriate use of magnetic resonance imaging. Insights Into Imag. 2013;4(5):729–33.

20. Saadat S, Ghodsí SM, Firooznia K, Etminan M, Goudarzi K, Naeni KH. Overuse or underuse of MRI scanners in private radiology centers in Tehran. Int J Technol Assess Health Care. 2008;24(3):277–81.

21. Sheehan SE, Coburn JA, Singh H, Vanness DJ, Sittig DF, Moberg DP, et al. Reducing unnecessary shoulder MRI examinations within a capitated health care system: a potential role for shoulder ultrasound. J Am Coll Radiol. 2016;13(7):780–7.

22. Manta A, O’Grady J, Bleakney R, Theodoropoulos J. Determining the appropriateness of requests for outpatient magnetic resonance imaging of the hip. Can J Surg. 2019;62(4):224.

23. Atella V, Piano Mortani A, Kopinska J, Belotti F, Lapi F, Cicelli C, et al. Trends in age-related disease burden and healthcare utilization. Aging Cell. 2019;18(1):e12861.

24. Frakes M, Gruber J. Defensive medicine: evidence from military immunity. Am Econ J Econ Policy. 2019;11(3):197–231.

25. Gallagher TH, Lo B, Chesney M, Christensen K. How do physicians respond to patients’ requests for costly, unindicated services? J Gen Intern Med. 1997;12(11):663–8.

26. Zheng Y, Vukina T, Zheng X. Estimating asymmetric information effects in health care with uninsurable costs. Int J Health Econ Manag. 2019;19(1):79–98.

27. Andrade EDO, Andrade END, Gallo JH. Case study of supply induced demand: the case of provision of imaging scans (computed tomography and magnetic resonance) at Unimed-Manaus. Revista da Associação Médica Brasileira. 2011;57(2):138–43.

28. National Accounts. 2019 (cited 20/12/2019). https://unstats.un.org/unsd/snaama/CountryProfile.

29. Haji Aghajani M, Hashemi H, Haghdoost A, Noori Hekmat S, Janbabaee G, Maher A, et al. Distribution of major medical equipment in Iran in 2016 and the estimation of needs in 2026. Iran J Epidemiol. 2018;13:37–47.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.