Importance:
Medical professionals and indeed the general public have an increasing interest in the acquisition of dermatoscopic images of suspect or ambiguous skin lesions. To this end, good dermatoscopic image quality and low costs are important considerations.

Observations:
Images of seven lesions (seborrheic keratosis, melanoma in-situ, blue and dermal nevus, basal cell carcinoma and two squamous cell carcinomas) were taken. A novel technique of “tape dermatoscopy” involved:

1. Using immersion fluid (i.e., water, olive oil, disinfectant spray) placed on the flat or slightly elevated lesion;
2. Covering the lesion with transparent adhesive tape with lateral tension;
3. Using ambient indoor or outdoor lighting for illumination (rather than flash photography);
4. Positioning a photographic device at an angle of approximately 45° from the side of the lesion to avoid light reflection;
5. Recording a focused image with a mobile phone or digital camera at a distance of approximately 25-30 cm from the lesion; and
6. Enlarging the image on the screen of the device.

Essential dermatoscopic features enabling a correct diagnosis were visible in 6 of the 7 lesions. “Tape dermatoscopy” images of the lesions were compared to standard dermatoscopy (using a Fotofinder handyscope® in combination with a mobile phone). The latter confirmed the dermatoscopic features in six of seven lesions.

Conclusions and Relevance:
“Tape dermatoscopy” images can be recorded by medical personnel and even the general public without a dermatoscope. However, the limitations of this method are that images may be unfocused, exophytic tumors may be difficult to assess, excess pressure on tumoral blood vessels may lead to compression artefact, dermatoscopic features that are only visible under polarized light are unable to be detected (particularly “crystalline” or “chrysalis” structures) and tumors in certain anatomic locations may be difficult to assess (e.g., edges of nose, ears [demonstrated in one case], nails). Comparative prospective studies are necessary in order to test reproducibility of these preliminary findings, to establish special indications for the technique, and to develop guidelines for its effective use.
Introduction

Diagnosing skin cancer with the naked eye can be difficult, especially for early tumors. For this purpose dermatoscopy is extremely helpful [1-3]. Medical professionals and, indeed, the general public have an increasing interest in the acquisition of dermatoscopic images of suspect or ambiguous skin lesions. Preferably, this could be achieved at low cost without compromising image quality. Saweda and Tanaka have developed a low-cost dermatoscopic device using echo-gel on the skin lesion, mounting a glass slide, illuminating the lesion at an angle from above using a torch, and examining the lesion using a microscope eyepiece (ocular) lens [4]. However, a procedure to record images is not provided by this technique. In the current pilot study, an approach is presented for a useful, simplified and inexpensive “tape dermatoscopy.” Seven flat or slightly elevated skin lesions were examined. Transparent adhesive tape was applied to each lesion with lateral tension after covering the lesion with immersion fluid. Finally, images were recorded using a mobile phone or digital camera (Figure 1).

Report of the cases

Case 1
A 48-year-old female sent an e-mail image of an enlarging, slightly elevated lesion on her right breast. “Tape dermatoscopy” was subsequently performed by a family member instructed in the technique. After applying olive oil and transparent adhesive tape on the lesion, images were taken in outdoor daylight using a 5 megapixel iPhone 4 mobile phone (Apple Inc., Cupertino, CA, USA) (Figure 2A). The image revealed the dermatoscopic features of comedo-like openings, milia-like cysts, gyri, sulci and homogeneous yellowish to brownish colors, suggestive of a seborrheic keratosis. On the following day standard dermatoscopic images were taken with a Fotofinder handyscope (FotoFinder Systems, Bad Birnbach, Germany) attached to an iPhone 5 (Apple Inc., Cupertino, CA, USA). The latter confirmed the dermatoscopic features and “tape dermatoscopy” diagnosis (Figure 2B), and no histology was considered necessary.

Case 2
An 88-year-old man noticed a change in a flat lesion on his left arm. A “tape dermatoscopy” image was taken (by A.B.) using disinfectant spray as immersion fluid, clear adhesive tape, indoor ambient light, and a mobile phone with an 8 megapixel camera (iPhone 5). A thin melanoma was suspected, as the image showed the “tape dermatoscopy” features of atypical homogeneous brownish, whitish and pinkish areas, multiple grey dots, and some asymmetric, patchy, tan-brown pigmentation (Figure 3A). A subsequent standard dermatoscopic image was taken with a Fotofinder handyscope, confirming the dermatoscopic features and provisional diagnosis (Figure 3B). Histopathology revealed lentigo maligna on actinic damaged skin.

Case 3
A 78-year-old woman presented with an unchanged flat, pigmented lesion on her left forearm. A “tape dermatoscopy” image was taken (by A.B.) with a mobile phone (iPhone 5) in ambient indoor lighting, using disinfectant spray as immer-
camera (iPhone 5) (by A.B). Disinfectant spray as an immersion fluid and transparent adherent tape were used, and the photographs were taken in ambient indoor light (Figure 5A). Standard dermatoscopic images of the same lesion were later recorded with a Fotofinder handyscope (Figure 5B). In both images the atypical arborizing vessels of a basal cell carcinoma (lower part), the milia-like cysts, and homogeneous yellowish to brownish colors of a seborrheic keratosis (upper part) were clearly visible. Histopathology confirmed this collision tumor.

Case 4
A 90-year-old female presented with a recent onset of a reddish-brown, slightly elevated lesion on her left temple. A “tape dermatoscopy” image was taken using a mobile phone camera (iPhone 5) (by A.B). Disinfectant spray as an immersion fluid and transparent adherent tape were used, and the photographs were taken in ambient indoor light (Figure 4A). A subsequent standard dermatoscopic image (taken with Fotofinder handyscope) revealed the same feature (Figure 4B). Histologic confirmation was deemed unnecessary.
dermatoscopy” revealed some (albeit unfocused) atypical vessels, ulcerations, whitish circles, and white lines (Figure 6B and C). In the normal dermatoscopic image taken with a Fotofinder handyscope, linear irregular and hairpin-like ves-

Case 5
A 77-year-old woman presented with a reddish exophytic tumor on the left zygomatic area. Clinical (Figure 6A) and “tape dermatoscopy” images (Figure 6B and C) were recorded (by A.B.). Disinfectant spray was employed as an immersion fluid, and transparent adherent tape, ambient indoor lighting, and an iPhone 5 were used. Subsequent standard dermatoscopy images were taken with a Fotofinder handyscope (Figure 6D), during a regular office consultation. “Tape dermatoscopy” revealed some (albeit unfocused) atypical vessels, ulcerations, whitish circles, and white lines (Figure 6B and C). In the normal dermatoscopic image taken with a Fotofinder handyscope linear irregular and hairpin-like ves-

Histopathologic examination confirmed an invasive squamous cell carcinoma.
Case 6
A 75-year-old man presented with an exophytic tumor on the lower antihelix of the right ear (Figure 7A). Low-cost “tape dermatoscopy” was carried out (by A.B.) using disinfectant spray as an immersion fluid, transparent adherent tape, indoor ambient lighting, and an iPhone 5 (Figure 7B). However, the “tape dermatoscopy” technique was not effective for the exophytic tumor at this anatomic area (left side of Figure 7B) and the image of the surface of the tumor was unfocused (right side of Figure 7B).

Standard dermatoscopy images were then recorded using a Fotofinder handyscope (Figure 7C). It was only possible to take a standard dermatoscopic image from the lateral aspect of the exophytic tumor. The latter revealed whitish circles, white-to-yellow keratotic areas, blood spots, and linear vessels in the upper part of the image (Figure 7C). Histopathologic examination confirmed an invasive squamous cell carcinoma.

Case 7
A 38-year-old man presented with a soft, elevated tumor on the scalp (Figure 8a). Low-cost “tape dermatoscopy” was performed (by A.B.) using disinfectant spray as an immersion fluid, transparent adherent tape, indoor ambient lighting, and an iPhone 5. “Tape dermatoscopy” revealed aggregated brownish globules (Figure 8B). Standard dermatoscopic photographs were subsequently taken with a Fotofinder handyscope (Figure 8C). The latter confirmed the presence of aggregated light-brown globules (”cobblestone pattern”) but also disclosed a few comma vessels (Figure 8C). The diagnosis of dermal nevus was confirmed by histopathologic examination.

Discussion
The current pilot study demonstrates the simple, cost-effective technique of “tape dermatoscopy.” “Tape dermatoscopy” images of flat or slightly elevated skin lesions can be recorded.
Images may be unfocused, especially if the camera has an insufficient macro capability to record images close to the lesion; markedly exophytic tumors are difficult to record (Figure 6A-D); tumoral blood vessels [5,6,13] can potentially be compressed while attaching and tensioning the adhesive tape (Figure 8B); diagnostic helpful features such as “crystalline” (“chrysalis”) structures are not visible with this non-polarized method [14,15]; and tumors in difficult locations (e.g., convex surfaces of the nose, ears, and nails) may not be able to be recorded with adequate image quality (Figure 7A-C).

However, for many types of skin lesions located on relatively flat anatomic areas (including the scalp), the “tape dermatoscopy” method is easily applicable and potentially effective.

Prospective studies of this proposed new technique are required, using large comparative series. The latter would involve comparing “tape dermatoscopy” images recorded by non-professionals with standard dermatoscopic images taken by professionals with a standard dermatoscope. Such studies may elucidate special indications for the technique and develop practicable guidelines for public use.
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Figure 8. (A) “Tape dermoscopy” image of an elevated dermal nevus on the scalp. The lesion had been covered with immersion fluid (disinfectant spray) and tensioned transparent adhesive tape. (B) Enlarged image of Figure 8A. (C) Normal non-polarized dermatoscopic image taken with a Fotofinder handyscope. [Copyright: ©2015 Blum et al.]