High temperature superconducting FeSe films on SrTiO$_3$ substrates
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Interface enhanced superconductivity at two dimensional limit has become one of most intriguing research directions in condensed matter physics. Here, we report the superconducting properties of ultra-thin FeSe films with the thickness of one unit cell (1-UC) grown on conductive and insulating SrTiO$_3$ (STO) substrates. For the 1-UC FeSe on conductive STO substrate (Nb-STO), the magnetization versus temperature ($M$-$T$) measurement shows a drop crossover around 85 K. For the FeSe films on insulating STO substrate, systematic transport measurements were carried out and the sheet resistance of FeSe films exhibits Arrhenius TAF behavior with a crossover from a single-vortex pinning region to a collective creep region. More intriguing, sign reversal of Hall resistance with temperature is observed, demonstrating a crossover from hole conduction to electron conduction above $T_c$ in 1-UC FeSe films.

Experimental

Previous STM/STS study shows square-like $1 \times 1$ structure with the in-plane lattice constants $a = b = 3.82$ Å and 20 meV superconducting-like gap for the 1-UC FeSe films on STO substrates. However, by STS detection, semiconducting behavior is observed for the second UC of the FeSe film on STO. Thus, carefully comparison of the transport properties of high quality 1-UC and 2-UC FeSe films on STO substrates becomes necessary. In this paper, we mainly report the electronic transport and diamagnetic results from five typical FeSe films grown by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) system. Sample No.1 is 1-UC FeSe on conductive STO substrate, samples No.2 and No.3 are 1-UC FeSe films on insulating STO substrates, while samples No.4 and No.5 are 2-UC FeSe films on insulating STO substrates. The 10-UC FeTe protection layers are deposited before the $ex$ $situ$ measurements of the films. All FeSe films are 1.5 mm wide and 8 mm long. The distance between the voltage electrodes for the measurement is 1.8 mm for sample No.2, 2.25 mm for sample No.3, 2.0 mm for sample No.4 and 2.75 mm for sample No.5. As for sample No.1, the diamagnetic measurement exhibits that the onset of magnetization drop starts around 85 K, indicating a possible superconductivity up to this high temperature. For samples No. 2–5, thermally activated flux flow (TAF) behavior and Hall effect are carefully studied. It is found that single-vortex pinning dominates vortex dynamics in low magnetic field region, whereas collective creep becomes important at higher magnetic fields. More interestingly, sign reversal of Hall coefficient ($R_H$) with temperature is observed.
ARPES studies 12–15. Indeed, high quality FeSe films are easier to be

It is worthy to mention that the observed TC of 1-UC FeSe on insulating substrate by ex situ transport measurements is obvious lower than estimated TC of 1-UC FeSe on conductive substrate by STM and ARPES studies.24–25 Indeed, high quality FeSe films are easier to be achieved by MBE growth on conductive STO substrates because the conductive STO substrate shows more flat and homogeneous surface for sample growth. In order to see if higher TC of 1-UC FeSe can be revealed by direct measurements other than energy gap detection, we did magnetization experiments for 1-UC FeSe on conductive STO substrate (sample No.1). The M-T curves without or with removing the influence of substrate and protection layer show a drop crossover around 85 K. (a) M-T curves of sample No.1 (LR STO/1-UC FeSe/10-FTe) measured under a 1000 Oe parallel magnetic field. Inset: M-T curves of LR STO/non-superconducting 1-UC FeSe/10-UC FeTe. (b) M-T curves of 1-UC FeSe (removing the influence of STO substrate and FeTe protection layers).

**Results and discussions**

It is worthy to mention that the observed TC of 1-UC FeSe on insulating substrate by ex situ transport measurements is obvious lower than estimated TC of 1-UC FeSe on conductive substrate by STM and ARPES studies.24–25 Indeed, high quality FeSe films are easier to be achieved by MBE growth on conductive STO substrates because the conductive STO substrate shows more flat and homogeneous surface for sample growth. In order to see if higher TC of 1-UC FeSe can be revealed by direct measurements other than energy gap detection, we did magnetization experiments for 1-UC FeSe on conductive STO substrate (sample No.1) in a magnetic property measurement system (MPMS-SQUID-VSM). DC magnetization as a function of temperature (M-T) during both zero field cooling (ZFC) and field cooling (FC) at 1000 Oe parallel field of sample No.1 is shown in Fig. 1(a). The M-T curves exhibit a drop crossover around ~85 K. Please notice that the raw data shown in Fig. 1(a) include the contributions from FeSe film, protection layer and STO substrate. Thus, the background signal from the protection layer and substrate is necessary to be subtracted for more precise result. However, it is hard to keep the quality of STO substrates exactly same for magnetic measurements. Therefore, we designed an experiment to exclude the background signal. After we found the 85 K drop crossover of 1-UC FeSe, we put the sample in low vacuum system and waited for a long time until the sample lost its superconductivity. Then, we measured this sample again as the background signal as shown in the inset of Fig. 1(a). We can see that when the sample becomes non-superconducting, the magnetization drop around 85 K disappears. Thus, the possibility of the relation between the drop and the superconductivity cannot be excluded. However, without resistivity evidence of TC of 85 K, the susceptibility drop alone cannot demonstrate the high TC up to 85 K in 1-UC FeSe films. Since the susceptibility of FeTe capping layer may also change in the degraded sample, the magnetization curves excluding the background signal shows negative value even at 300 K (Fig. 1b). Interestingly, after subtracting the influence of STO substrate and FeTe protection layer from the same sample, the M-T curves still exhibit the magnetization drop crossover around 85 K with decreasing temperature (Fig. 1b). The structural phase transition (from a tetragonal to an orthorhombic phase on cooling) of bulk FeSe was ever observed around 90 K,16,17 at which there also is strong antiferromagnetic spin fluctuation. In the meanwhile, phonon softening is a general feature in Fe-based superconductors and induced by either structural phase transition or the superconductive phase transition. Thus, the consideration for the phonon softening may be valuable for understanding our observation of magnetization drop crossover around 85 K in the 1-UC FeSe films, which show much enhanced superconductivity comparing with bulk FeSe.

The electronic transport measurements were carried out in a physical property measurement system with the magnetic field up to 16 T (PPMS-16T). The obtained superconducting parameters of the four measured samples are summarized in Table 1. Figure 2 shows the transport results of sample No.2, one typical 1-UC FeSe film grown on insulating STO substrate covered by non-superconducting FeTe protection layers with an excitation current of 500 nA. Figure 2(a) shows the sheet resistance of the sample as a function of temperature Rsh(T) at zero magnetic field (μO H). The resistance begins to drop at about 54.5 K. By extrapolating both the normal resistance and the superconducting transition curves, we obtain the onset TC of 40.2 K and the resistance drops completely to zero at 23.5 K (Tc,zero). The zero resistance is defined when the measured voltage is within the instrumental resolution ±20 nV. Figure 2(b) shows Rsh(T) curves at different perpendicular magnetic fields (μO H) up to 105 Oe.
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**Table 1** | Summary of the parameters of four FeSe samples on insulating STO. TC,zero was obtained by extrapolating both the normal resistance and the superconducting transition curves. TC,zero with star is the temperature at which the resistance starts to decrease. Since previous STM study indicates that the second UC of FeSe films grown on STO substrates shows semiconducting behavior and only the first UC FeSe is superconducting, JC of the four samples at 2 K is calculated from IC by using the thickness of 0.55 nm (1-UC FeSe).

| Sample | TC,zero (K) | TC,zero (K) | IC (Ah/cm²) |
|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1-UC   | 1-UC        | 1-UC        | 1-UC        |
| (No.2) | (No.3)      | (No.4)      | (No.2)      |
| 40.2 K | 36.0 K      | 43.0 K      | 23.5 K      |
| *54.5 K| *45.8 K     | *50.0 K     | 22.5 K      |
|        | 40.0 K      | 40.0 K      | 16.5 K      |
|        | 16.5 K      | 16.5 K      | 16.5 K      |
|        | 1.67 × 10⁴  | 4.85 × 10⁴  | 2.72 × 10⁴  |
|        | 9.7 × 10⁵   | 9.7 × 10⁵   | 9.7 × 10⁵   |
where $r$ is a temperature independent constant and $U_0(H)$ is the activation energy of the flux flow. Thus, $\ln \rho(T,H)$ versus $1/T$ should be linear in the TAFF region. As shown in Fig. 2(c), the experimental data in Fig. 2(b) can be well fitted by the Arrhenius relation (solid lines). The fitting lines obtained from $\ln \rho(T,H)$ versus $1/T$ at different magnetic fields can be well extrapolated to the same temperature, $T_m = 38$ K, which is close to the value of $T_{\text{onset}}$. In addition, the activation energy $U_0$ for different magnetic field can be obtained by the slope of the solid fitting lines. As shown in Fig. 2(d), the $U_0(H)$ shows a magnetic field dependent power law relation,

$$U_0(H) \propto H^{-\alpha}$$

with $\alpha = 0.14$ ($\mu_0H < 3.4$ T) and 0.60 ($\mu_0H > 3.4$ T), respectively.

For the 2-UC FeSe film grown on insulating STO substrate covered by non-superconducting FeTe protection layer (sample No.4), Fig. 3(a) shows the sheet resistance as a function of temperature $R_{sq}(T)$ at zero magnetic field ($\mu_0H$). By extrapolating both the normal resistance and the superconducting transition curves, we obtain the onset $T_{\text{onset}} = 43$ K and the resistance drops completely to zero at 22.5 K ($T_{\text{zero}}$), which are almost same with those of 1-UC FeSe (sample No.2). Figure 3(b) shows the $R_{xy}$ of sample No.4 as a function of temperature, $R_{xy}(T)$, at different perpendicular magnetic fields ($\mu_0H$). The $R_{xy}(T)$ curves at different magnetic fields can also be fitted by the Arrhenius relation (solid lines) well as shown in Fig. 3(c). The fitting lines obtained from $\ln \rho(T,H)$ versus $1/T$ at different magnetic fields cross to one point at about $T_m = 36$ K, which is consistent with the $T_C$ of the sample. The activation energy $U_0$ varies with different magnetic field can be achieved by the slope of the solid fitting lines as shown in Fig. 3(d), $\alpha = 0.125$ ($\mu_0H < 3.7$ T) and 0.79 ($\mu_0H > 3.7$ T) respectively by utilizing Eq. (2). These values obtained from the two samples are close. At the temperatures not far from $T_C$ the weak power law decreases of $U_0(H)$ in low magnetic fields for both samples implies that single-vortex pinning dominates in this region, followed by a quicker decrease of $U_0(H)$ at high field where a crossover to collective flux creep regime occurs. The similar behaviors are observed in iron-based superconductors, such as FeSe$^{13,14}$, FeTeSe$^{15}$ and Nd(O,F)FeAs$^{16}$ crystals. The exponent $\alpha = 0.5$ and 1 corresponds to a planar-defect pinning and a point-defect pinning in high $T_C$ superconductors respectively$^{17}$. For our FeSe ultrathin films, the fitted values obtained at high magnetic field vary between 0.5 and 1, suggesting that the pinning centers may be mixed with point and planar defects. The cross-over magnetic field is about 3 T for bulk FeSe, 2 T for FeTeSe, and 3 T for Nd(O,F)FeAs, which are almost in the same level with our observation in ultrathin FeSe films. For cuprates, the range of the cross-over magnetic field is from 0.8 T - 5.5 T, where the exact value depends on the sample situations, such as defects and boundaries.

The Hall resistance ($R_{xy}$) of FeSe films is measured by sweeping the magnetic field at a fixed temperature. The temperature stabilization is better than 0.1%. The distance between the Hall voltage electrodes is about 1.5 mm for all measured samples. Figures 4(a)–4(b) show the data of $R_{xy}$ vs magnetic field ($R_{xy}(H)$) at different temperatures from 40 K to 150 K of samples No.2 and No.4, which exhibit good linear relation. In order to subtract the influence of FeTe protection layer, transport properties of reference sample (10 UC FeSe grown on insulating STO substrate) were studied. The sheet resistance of the reference sample varies with temperature ($R_{sq}(T)$) under different magnetic fields (0 T, 9 T and -9 T) are exhibited in Fig. 4(c). Figure 4(d) shows the $R_{xy}(H)$ curves of the reference sample at
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Figure 3 | Transport measurement of the 2-UC FeSe film grown on HR STO substrate (sample No.4). (a) The temperature dependence of sheet resistance under zero field, showing $T_{\text{onset}} \approx 43 \text{K}$ and $T_{\text{zero}} \approx 22.5 \text{K}$. (b) The temperature dependence of sheet resistance under various perpendicular magnetic fields. (c) $\ln \left[ R_{\text{sq}}(\Omega) \right]$ vs. $1/T$ in various perpendicular magnetic fields. The corresponding solid lines are fitting results from the Arrhenius relation. (d) Field dependence of $U_0(H)$. The solid lines are power-law fits using $U_0(H) \sim H^{-\alpha}$. For this 2-UC FeSe film, $\alpha = 0.125$ for $\mu_0H \leq 3.7 \text{ T}$, and $\alpha = 0.79$ for $\mu_0H > 3.7 \text{ T}$.

Figure 4 | Hall results of FeSe films and FeTe protection layers. (a) Hall resistance ($R_{xy}$) varies with magnetic field — $R_{xy}(H)$ at different temperatures of sample No.2. (b) $R_{xy}(H)$ curves at different temperatures of sample No.4. (c) $R_{xy}(T)$ curves of the 10-UC FeTe protection layer under different perpendicular magnetic fields (0 T, 9 T and −9 T). (d) $R_{xy}(H)$ curves at different temperatures of the 10-UC FeTe protection layer.
Figure 5 | Hall results of sample No.2 (1-UC FeSe films grown on HR STO) and sample No.4 (2-UC FeSe films grown on HR STO) after subtracting the influence of the protection layer. (a) & (c), $R_{xy}$ vs magnetic field curves at different temperatures of sample No.2 and No.4 subtracting the background of protection layers, respectively. (b) & (d), Hall sensitivity and the carrier density of sample No.2 and No.4 at different temperatures obtained from the data in (a) and (c).

different temperatures. The Hall conductance of pure FeSe films (removed the influence of FeTe layers) can be calculated by

$$\sigma_{xy(\text{FeSe})} = \sigma_{xy(\text{sample})} - \sigma_{xy(\text{FeTe})} \left( \sigma_{xy} = -\frac{R_{sq(xy)}}{R_{sq(xy)}^2 + R_{sq(xy)}^2} \right).$$

After removing the influence of FeTe protection layer, the Hall resistance ($R_{sq(xy)-\text{FeSe}}$) of the pure FeSe film sample is re-obtained by conversing from Hall conductance of FeSe films,

$$R_{sq(xy)-\text{FeSe}} = -\frac{\sigma_{xy(\text{FeSe})}}{\sigma_{xx(\text{FeSe})}^2 + \sigma_{xy(\text{FeSe})}^2} \left( \sigma_{xx} = \frac{R_{sq(xx)}}{R_{sq(xx)}^2 + R_{sq(xy)}^2} \right),$$

which are plotted in Figs. 5(a) and 5(c) for sample No.2 and No.4, respectively.

In Fig. 5(b), the temperature dependence of the Hall sensitivity $\frac{R_H}{d} = \frac{V_H}{I(\mu_0 H)} = \frac{R_{xy}}{\mu_0 H} (V_H$ is the Hall voltage, $d$ is the thickness of the sample) and two-dimensional carrier density ($n_l = \frac{1}{R_{II/d}} q \frac{1}{I(\mu_0 H)}$, $q$ is the charge of electron) are plotted. For a normal metal with Fermi liquid feature, the Hall coefficient is constant at different temperatures. However, it varies with temperature for multiband materials, such as MgB$_2$ or iron-based superconductors, or a sample with non-Fermi liquid behavior such as cuprate superconductors. Here, an interesting phenomenon—sign reversal behavior of $\frac{R_H}{d}$ is observed in the 1-UC FeSe film (sample No.2) with temperature increasing (shown in Fig. 5(a) and 5(b)). In Fig. 5(a), the slope of the $R_{xy}(H)$ curves changes from negative to positive as the temperature is higher than 100 K. Correspondingly, the signs of $\frac{R_H}{d}$ and $n_l$ reversed at the same time as shown in Fig. 5(b). That is to say, as the temperature is lower than 100 K, the 1-UC FeSe film is electron-doped, but it is hole-doped while the temperature higher than 100 K. As for 2-UC FeSe film, Figure 5(c) shows the $R_{xy}(H)$ curves of sample No.4 at different temperatures from 40 K to 150 K. The Hall sensitivity $\frac{R_H}{d}$ for sample No.4 decays continuously with increasing temperature as shown in Fig. 5(d). The value of $\frac{R_H}{d}$ at 150 K is almost 10 times smaller than that at 40 K, exhibiting strong temperature dependence of $\frac{R_H}{d}$. This behavior is possibly induced by the multiband effect. For sample No.4, the Hall data manifest electron-doped property as increasing temperature till 150 K. Please notice that the carrier density ($n_l$) in FeSe films is pretty huge ($\sim 10^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$).

In order to confirm that the sign reversal of $\frac{R_H}{d}$ is a universal behavior in ultrathin FeSe films, Hall resistance from another two samples (sample No.3 is 1-UC FeSe film and sample No.5 is 2-UC FeSe film) at various temperatures up to 300 K was measured. Figure 6(a) and 6(b) are the raw $R_{xy}(H)$ data from sample No.3 and No.5. The signs of $\frac{R_H}{d}$ are obviously reversed in these two samples with increasing temperature. After subtracting the influence of FeTe protection layer utilizing the same method mentioned above, the $R_{xy}$ curves of pure FeSe films at different temperatures are shown in Fig. 6(c)–6(f) with similar sign reversal behavior of $\frac{R_H}{d}$. The parameters of Hall effect for all four samples can be found in Table 2 & 3. Thus, the crossover of conduction carrier type with temperature is demonstrated to be a universal phenomenon for ultrathin FeSe films. The reversal temperature is 80 K or 150 K for 1-UC FeSe films (sample No.3 or sample No.2) but 150 K or larger for 2-UC FeSe (sample No.5 or sample No.4).

Interestingly, we found that the sign reversal behavior of the Hall results of our FeSe films always happens at the temperature before
Figure 6 | Hall results of sample No.3 (1-UC FeSe films grown on HR STO) and sample No.5 (2-UC FeSe films grown on HR STO). (a) $R_{xy}(H)$ curves at different temperatures of sample No.3. (b) $R_{xy}(H)$ curves at different temperatures of sample No.5. (c) & (d) $R_{xy}(H)$ curves at different temperatures of sample No.3 and No.5 subtracting the background, respectively. (e) & (f) Hall coefficient and the carrier density of sample No.3 and No.5 at different temperatures after subtracting the background.

Table 2 | Hall sensitivity carrier density and mobility of four FeSe samples on HR STO at different temperatures (with FeTe protection layer)

| T [K] | $R_{xy}/\mu B$ [$\Omega/\mu$T] | $n_s$ [$10^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$] | Mobility [cm$^2$/Vs] |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|       | 1-UC (No.2) | 1-UC (No.3) | 2-UC (No.3) | 2-UC (No.5) | 1-UC (No.2) | 1-UC (No.3) | 2-UC (No.3) | 2-UC (No.5) | 1-UC (No.2) | 1-UC (No.3) | 2-UC (No.3) | 2-UC (No.5) |
| 30 K  | -0.221 | -2.828 | 2.290 |
| 40 K  | -1.545 | -2.836 | -0.583 | -0.740 | 52.150 | 1.971 | 30.970 | 12.382 |
| 50 K  | -1.069 | -3.811 | -0.632 | -0.937 | 32.354 | 1.435 | 24.110 | 8.935 |
| 60 K  | -0.732 | -6.013 | -0.681 | -1.060 | 23.446 | 0.903 | 20.752 | 7.623 |
| 80 K  | -0.390 | -35.837 | -0.791 | -1.422 | 11.628 | 0.150 | 24.110 | 8.935 |
| 100 K | -0.212 | 7.29 | 3.601 | -2.84 | 2.96 | 3.601 | -2.84 | 35.755 | 2.022 | 1.423 | 2.746 | 0.173 |
| 120 K | 0.086 | 0.174 | -0.220 | -0.017 | 7.29 | 3.601 | -2.84 | 35.755 | 2.022 | 1.423 | 2.746 | 0.173 |
| 150 K | 0.214 | 0.137 | 2.921 | 4.552 | 5.859 | 0.523 | 11.437 | 3.900 |
| 200 K | 0.188 | 0.197 | 3.320 | 3.172 | 1.737 | 1.723 |
| 300 K | 0.188 | 0.197 | 3.320 | 3.172 | 1.737 | 1.723 |
the hump of the $R_{xy}(T)$ curves, thus, a possible structural transition of FeSe film may contribute to the observed sign reversal phenomenon\textsuperscript{16,17,29}. Besides, we notice that similar sign reversal behavior was also observed in topological insulator Bi\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3},\textsuperscript{30} and was attributed to the change of defects in the sample. Further experimental or theoretical investigations in this context would be valuable towards the comprehension of the observed sign reversal Hall effect in 1-UC FeSe films.

The origins of the huge interface-induced enhancement of $T_C$ in 1-UC FeSe films compared with bulk FeSe are still under debate and many possible factors may contribute. An early magnetic study on the single-crystalline Fe\textsubscript{0.9}Se reveals that $T_C$ can be increased from 7 K to above 30 K with the modest pressure range below 2 GPa\textsuperscript{11}. Additionally, doubling the critical temperature of La\textsubscript{1.9}Sr\textsubscript{0.1}CuO\textsubscript{4} using epitaxial strain was also reported\textsuperscript{31}. However, for 1-UC FeSe films on STO, the in-plane lattice constant measured from the atomically resolved STM image is ~3.82 Å, which is a little larger than the bulk value (3.77 Å) while still smaller than the in-plane lattice constant of STO (3.91 Å), indicating that the tensile strain in the 1-UC FeSe films is less than 1%. Furthermore, FeSe and Fe(Se,Te) films grown on different substrates with larger lattice constants, such as MgO, Si, and Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, were studied\textsuperscript{13}. The observed $T_C$ of these films is not higher than 20 K although the tensile strain in these films is much larger than our situation. Therefore, we conclude that the small tensile strain alone in 1-UC FeSe films cannot explain the observed high $T_C$ superconductivity. As has been revealed by recent \textit{in situ} ARPES study\textsuperscript{32} and calculation\textsuperscript{33-34}, the charge transfer from the oxygen vacancy states of the STO substrate may play an important role in the high $T_C$ superconductivity\textsuperscript{13}. Additionally, the soft phonons at the interface can enhance the energy scale of Cooper pairing and even change the pairing symmetry, then may increase the $T_C$\textsuperscript{33-35}.

In summary, superconducting properties of ultra-thin (1-UC or 2-UC) FeSe films grown on insulating STO and conductive STO substrates were studied by transport and magnetic measurements. \textit{M-T} observation of FeSe films on conductive STO shows a susceptibility drop ~85 K. Further transport investigations are necessary to see if the drop is corresponding to the superconductivity. The results from films on insulating STO reveal Arrhenius TAFF behavior with a transition from single-vortex pinning region to collective creep region. More intriguingly, the observed sign reversal of Hall coefficient above $T_C$ demonstrates a crossover from hole transport to electron conduction in ultrathin FeSe films with decreasing temperature.

1. Kamihara, Y., Watanabe, T., Hirano, M. & Hosono, H. Iron-Based Layered Superconductor La(Fe\textsubscript{1-x}M\textsubscript{x})\textsubscript{2}As\textsubscript{2} (x = 0.05–0.12) with $T_c$ = 26 K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130, 3296–3297 (2008).
2. Ren, Z. et al. Superconductivity at 55 K in Iron-Based Doped-Layer Quadrupolar Compound Sm(Fe\textsubscript{1-y}M\textsubscript{y})\textsubscript{2}As\textsubscript{2}. Chin. Phys. Lett. 25, 2215–2216 (2008).
3. Wang, C. et al. Thorium-doping-induced superconductivity up to 56 K in Gd\textsubscript{1-y}Th\textsubscript{y}Fe\textsubscript{2}As\textsubscript{O}. Euro. Phys. Lett. 83, 67006 (2008).
4. Mizuguchi, Y. et al. Superconductivity at 27 K in tetragonal FeSe under high pressure. Appl. Phys. Lett. 93, 152505 (2008).
5. Hunte, F. et al. Two-band superconductivity in LaFeAsO\textsubscript{1-x}F\textsubscript{x} at very high magnetic fields. Nature 453, 903 (2008).
6. Yuan, H. Q. et al. Nearly isotropic superconductivity in (Ba, K)Fe\textsubscript{2}As\textsubscript{2}. Nature 457, 565 (2009).
7. Zhang, W. H. et al. Direct Observation of High-Temperature Superconductivity in One-Unit-Cell FeSe Films. Chin. Phys. Lett. 31, 017401 (2014).
8. Lei, H. C., Hu, R. W. & Petrovic, C. Critical fields, thermally activated transport, and critical current density of FeSe single crystals. Phys. Rev. B 84, 014520 (2011).
9. Hsu, F. C. et al. Superconductivity in the PbO-type structure FeSe. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 105, 14262 (2008).
10. Strongin, M. et al. Enhanced superconductivity in layered metallic films. Phys. Rev. Lett. 21, 1320–1323 (1968).
11. Locquet, J. P. et al. Doubling the critical temperature of La\textsubscript{1.9}Sr\textsubscript{0.1}CuO\textsubscript{4} using epitaxial strain. Nature 394, 453 (1998).
12. Wang, Q. Y. et al. Interface-induced high-temperature superconductivity in single unit-cell FeSe films on SrTiO\textsubscript{3}. Chin. Phys. Lett. 29, 037402 (2012).
13. Liu, D. et al. Electronic origin of high-temperature superconductivity in single-layer FeSe superconductor. Nature Commun. 3, 931 (2012).
14. He, S. et al. Phase diagram and high temperature superconductivity at 65 K in tuning carrier concentration of single-layer FeSe films. Nat. Mater. 12, 605 (2013).
15. Tan, S.-Y. et al. Interface-induced superconductivity and strain-dependent spin density wave in FeSe/SrTiO\textsubscript{3} thin films. Nat. Mater. 12, 634 (2013).
16. Margadonna, S. et al. Crystal structure of the new FeSe\textsubscript{1−}x superconductor. Chem. Commun. 43, 5607 (2008).
17. McQueen, T. M. et al. Tetragonal-to-Orthorhombic Structural Phase Transition at 90 K in the Superconductor Fe\textsubscript{1.01}Se. Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 057002 (2009).
18. Luo, C. W. et al. Quasiparticle Dynamics and Phonon Softening in FeSe Superconductors. Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 257006 (2012).
19. Palstra, T. T. M., Battlogg, B., Schneemeyer, L. F. & Waszczak, J. V. Thermally Activated Dissipation in Bi\textsubscript{1−}xSr\textsubscript{x}CuO\textsubscript{2+δ} Bulk Superconductors. Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 1662 (1988).
20. Palstra, T. T. M., Battlogg, B., van Dover, R. B., Schneemeyer, L. F. & Waszczak, J. V. Dissipative flux motion in high-temperature superconductors. Phys. Rev. B 41, 6621 (1990).
21. Lei, H. C., Hu, R. W. and Petrovic, C. Critical fields, thermally activated transport, and critical current density of FeSe single crystals. Phys. Rev. B 84, 014520 (2011).
22. Lei, H. C. et al. Iron chalcogenide superconductors at high magnetic fields. Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater. 13, 054305 (2012).
23. Ge, J. Y. et al. Superconducting properties of highly oriented Fe\textsubscript{1+δ}Se\textsubscript{1−δ} with excess Fe. Solid State Communications 150, 1641 (2010).
24. Jaroszyński, J. et al. Upper critical fields and thermally-activated transport of NdFeAs\textsubscript{1−x}F\textsubscript{x} single crystal. Phys. Rev. B 78, 174523 (2008).
25. Chin, C. C. & Morishita, T. The transport properties of YBa\textsubscript{2}Cu\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{6−δ}, thin films. Physica C 207, 37–43 (1993).
26. Yang, H. et al. Fully Band-resolved scattering rate in MgB\textsubscript{2}, revealed by the nonlinear Hall effect and magnetoresistance measurements. Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 067001 (2008).
27. Cheng, P. et al. Hall effect and magnetoresistance in single crystals of NdFeAs\textsubscript{1−x}F\textsubscript{x} (x = 0 and 0.18). Phys. Rev. B 78, 134508 (2008).
28. Ong, N. P. The Hall Effect and its Relation to other Transport Phenomena in the Normal State of the High-Temperature Superconductor. Physical Properties of High-Temperature Superconductors. Ginsberg, D. M. (ed.) 459–507 (World Scientific, Singapore, 1990).
29. Millican, J. N. et al. Pressure-induced effects on the structure of the FeSe superconductor. Solid State Communications 149, 707 (2009).
30. Hor, Y. S., Qu, D., Ong, N. P. & Cava, R. J. Low temperature magnetothermoelectric effect and magnetoresistance in Te vapor annealed Bi\textsubscript{1−x}Te\textsubscript{x}, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 22, 375801 (2010).
31. Tissen, V. et al. Effects of pressure-induced phase transitions on superconductivity in single-crystal Fe\textsubscript{1+δ}Se. Phys. Rev. B 80, 092507 (2009).
32. Li, Q. et al. Films of iron chalcogenide superconductors. Rep. Prog. Phys. 74, 124510 (2011).
33. Liu, K., Lu, Z. Y. & Xiang, T. Atomic and electronic structures of FeSe monolayer and bilayer films on SrTiO$_3$ (001): First-principles study. Phys. Rev. B 85, 235123 (2012).
34. Xiang, Y.-Y., Wang, F., Wang, D., Wang, Q.-H. & Lee, D.-H. High-temperature superconductivity at the FeSe/SrTiO$_3$ interface. Phys. Rev. B 86, 134508 (2012).
35. Lee, J. J. et al. Significant Tc enhancement in FeSe films on SrTiO$_3$ due to anomalous interfacial mode coupling. arXiv:1312.2633v4.

Acknowledgments
The authors thank Fa Wang, Zhili Xiao, Jiyong Yang and Mingliang Tian for fruitful discussions. This work was financially supported by National Basic Research Program of China (Grant Nos. 2013CB934600 & 2012CB921300), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11222434, 11174007, 91121004, 11321091 and 11374336), the Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (RFDP) of China and China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2011MS500180 & No. 2012T50012).

Author contributions
J.W., L.W., X.M. and Q.-K.X. designed and coordinated the experiments; Y.S., W.Z., Y.X., F.L., Y.Z., Z.X. and J.W. carried out the experiments; Y.S. and J.W. wrote the paper.

Additional information
Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.
How to cite this article: Sun, Y. et al. High temperature superconducting FeSe films on SrTiO$_3$ substrates. Sci. Rep. 4, 6040; DOI:10.1038/srep06040 (2014).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder in order to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/