INTRODUCTION

Fresh-cut products are minimally processed fruits or vegetables that have been trimmed, peeled, and/or cut into a ready-to-eat product, which is subsequently packaged to offer consumers high nutrition, convenience, and flavor while maintaining freshness (IFPA, 2004; Rico, Martin-Diana, Barat, & Barry-Ryan, 2007). Recently, consumers are increasingly demanding for fresh-cut fruits and vegetables due to the convenience it offers (Rico et al., 2007). Fresh-cut tropical fruits on the market today include apple, melons, cantaloupe, banana, watermelon, mangoes, mangosteen, rambutan, jackfruit, pomelo, papaya, durian, grapefruit, pineapples, and fruit mixes (Sidhu & Al-Zenki, 2005). Growing consumer’s interest has led researchers into developing fresh-cut from other fruits and vegetables such as broccoli, eggplant, and cucumber among others.

Cucumber, which is largely consumed as fresh fruit, vegetable in salads, or pickled product, is attracting more interest as a minimal processed fruit. Processing into fresh-cut may be another way to improve its consumption and reduce postharvest losses by reducing quantity loss during storage of whole cucumber fruits. Subsequently, fresh-cut fruits are even more perishable and have a very short shelf life due to minimal processing which directly affects fruit physiology as cutting increases tissue respiration rates (Artés & Allende, 2005). Minimum processing reduces fruit tissue integrity, triggering deterioration processes such as browning and softening, water loss as well as production of off-flavors (Gil & Allende, 2012). The removal of the natural protective barrier of epidermis, increased humidity and solute leakage on fruit surfaces, provides optimum conditions for the growth of microorganisms (Oms-Oliu, Soliva-Fortuny, & Martín-Belloso, 2008). These physiological defects accompanied by minimally processed fresh-cut fruits have stimulated research on new ways to extend their shelf life and preserve quality (Coppens d’Eeckenbrugge, Leal, Bartholomew, Paull, & Rohrbach, 2003).
A recent approach to prolong the shelf life of fresh-cut fruit and vegetables is the use of edible coatings either alone or combined with modified atmosphere packaging. Numerous studies on improving the shelf life of fresh-cut fruits are extensive with the application of modified atmosphere packaging (Bai, Saftner, Watada, & Lee, 2001; Farber et al., 2003; Oms-Oliu, Soliva-Fortuny, & Martin-Belloso, 2007), and edible coatings and films (Min & Krohta, 2005; Olivas & Barbosa-Cánovas, 2005; Rico et al., 2007; Rojas-Graü, Tapia, Rodríguez, Carmona, & Martin-Belloso, 2007).

Edible coatings are material used for wrapping foods to extend their shelf life of the product, which may be consumed together with food or without further removal (Pavlath & Orts, 2009). Chitosan, a polysaccharide-based edible coating, has been successfully used to coat fresh-cut fruits (Ali, Noh, & Mustafa, 2015; Bal, 2018; Chien, Sheu, & Yang, 2007; Jianglian & Shaoying, 2013).

MA packaging technology has been representative used to maintain quality of fresh-cut products during preservation by carbon dioxide elevation and reduction in oxygen levels (Saltveit, 2001; Singh, Hedayetullah, Zaman, & Meher, 2014; Zhang, Quantick, Grigor, Wiktorowicz, & Irven, 2001). In recent years, inert gas-based food preservation technology has been successfully used to preserve freshness of fresh-cut fruits and vegetables (Zhang et al., 2001). When inert gases such as argon are dissolved in water under certain pressure, water molecules form a cage of polyhedral structure that can hold inert gas molecules, known as the clathrate hydrate (Linga, Kumar, & Englezos, 2007; Yang et al., 2010). Fortunately, these gases are chemically stable and they do not have an adverse effect in human (Wu, Zhang, & Wang, 2012; Zhang et al., 2001).

Argon, as an alternative to nitrogen, has been approved to be used in European Union because of the properties of being odorless, inert, and tasteless (Day, 2007; Greenwood & Earnshaw, 2012). Argon is denser than nitrogen, being 1.43 times denser and thus it flows in a laminar type, like a liquid, through air space, unlike nitrogen (Spencer, 1995). Argon has been reported for its effectiveness in controlling respiratory rate and excludes oxygen more efficiently than nitrogen (Spencer, 2005). Argon as a main component of the air has also been reported to decrease microbial growth and improve the quality of products like broccoli and lettuce (Day, 1996; Day, 1998; Jamie & Saltveit, 2002; Zhang, Zhan, Wang, & Tang, 2008).

Many reports have been published on minimally processed fruits and vegetable; however, to the best of our knowledge, there has not been any published information on the effect of chitosan coating and nitrogen/argon-based MA packaging on fresh-cut cucumber. Therefore, this research focused on the effects of chitosan and nitrogen/argon-based MA packaging on the quality and shelf life of fresh-cut cucumber.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Fruits and other materials

Freshly harvested cucumber (about 9 weeks after planting) was purchased directly from a local farm in Gwangju, Korea and stored in the refrigerator at 5°C for 24 hr before experimenting. The fruits were further sorted base on size, greenness, and free from blemish. Chitosan powder (Chitosan powder 100%, Symbiosal Biotech. Co. Ltd, Mokpo, Korea) of low molecular weight (10.5 cps, 20°C) was used in this study to aid easy solubility in solvent. Analytical grade acetic acid was purchased from Daejung Chemical Co. Ltd, Gyeonggi-do, Korea. Composite films of polypropylene + polyamide (20 × 30 cm) were used in this study because of its excellent gas permeability properties. Airzero nozzle type vacuum and gas flushing machine (AZ-450E) was used for MA packaging. Gas was mixed and supplied by Daedong Airgen, Daegu, Korea. Gas composition in each chamber include Air chamber (79% nitrogen, 20.9% oxygen, 0.03% carbon dioxide). Nitrogen-base (79% nitrogen, 3% oxygen, 18% carbon dioxide), Argon-base (79% argon, 3% oxygen, 18% carbon dioxide) (2.6%;17.9%) approximately.

2.2 | Preparation of coating solution and experimental design

A preliminary study was conducted to select suitable storage temperature (0, 5, 10, 15°C) and to determine the average shelf life of fresh-cut cucumber. Storage at 5°C preserved fresh-cut cucumber for about 4 days; hence, this condition was selected for this study. Cucumber was washed in tap water and sliced into about 10 mm thickness. Two chitosan coating solutions were prepared and distilled water was used as control (0% chitosan). Chitosan solutions were prepared using the method described by Ali et al. (2015). To prepare coating concentrations, 1.0% chitosan concentration (10 g/L) and 2% concentration (20 g/L) chitosan powder, each was dissolved in distilled water (60°C) containing 10 ml of glacial acetic acid with the aid of magnetic stirrer for 5 hr. The pH of the solution was adjusted to 5.6~5.9, by 1 N NaOH. Cucumber slices were then divided into three portions based on dipping solutions. Single layer coating was carried out in batches by completely submerging 500 g sliced cucumber in 1 L solution for 2 min and then coated samples are allowed to dry inside a laminar airflow cabinet for about 30 min. Finally, 100 g coated fresh-cut cucumber were packed into each packaging film, gas-filled and sealed using the automated MA packaging machine. For each treatment, three packages (triplicate) were stored at 5°C, 60% RH in the refrigerator (Samsung, CRF-1764D). Samples were analyzed at 3 days interval for 12 days.

2.3 | Headspace gas analysis

The headspace gas compositions of packaged samples were analyzed with a digital gas analyzer (Quantek Gas Analyzer Model 902D, USA). Gas concentration was measured by penetrating the needle probe of the device into the package film containing the sample. Values carbon dioxide was recorded from display screen located on the instrument and result calculated as % carbon dioxide using the following equation:

\[
\text{CO}_2 \text{ produced} (\%) = \text{CO}_2\text{fin} - \text{CO}_2\text{in}
\]
where CO$_{2in}$ is the carbon dioxide concentration of first day, while CO$_{2fin}$ is the carbon dioxide on the final day of storage.

### 2.4 Weight loss and texture (firmness)

Weight of whole package on each day of analysis was measured using laboratory scale digital weighing balance (Mettler Toledo, CH/PL 3002). Weight losses were determined comparing weight of samples (initial weight, 100 ± 3 g) before and after the storage period. The values were expressed as weight loss percentage with regard to the initial weight.

\[
\text{Weight loss (\%)} = \left( \frac{W_{\text{in}} - W_{\text{fin}}}{W_{\text{in}}} \right) \times 100
\]

where $W_{\text{in}}$ is the weight of the first day and $W_{\text{fin}}$ the weight of final day.

Firmness was measured as the maximum force (g) required to puncture the flesh of fresh-cut cucumber. A penetration test was conducted on the surface of fresh-cut cucumber using a texture analyzer (Compac-100, Scientific Co., Tokyo, Japan) with a 5 mm diameter cylindrical probe. Samples were penetrated to a depth of 12 mm. The speed of the probe was 3 mm/s per each penetration. Measurements were carried out in quadruplicate on each packaged sample.

### 2.5 Color values, yellowing index and whiteness index

Surface color of fresh-cut cucumber was measured with a chroma meter (CR-300, Minolta Co., Osaka, Japan) using L* (lightness), a* (red-green), and b* (yellow-blue) values. The color was expressed as yellowing index (Kochhar & Kumar, 2015) of peel and whiteness index (Amanatidou, Slump, Gorris, & Smid, 2000) of fresh-cut surface. The value of $\Delta E$ defines the color difference and is calculated by follows:

\[
\text{Yellowing index (YI)} = 142.48 \times \frac{b^*}{L^*}
\]

\[
\text{Whiteness Index (WI)} = 100 - [(100 - L^*)^2 + (a^* + b^*)^2]^{1/2}
\]

### 2.6 Chlorophyll content

The chlorophyll contents of fresh-cut cucumbers were determined according to the method proposed by Zhang et al. (2008). Fresh-cut cucumbers (5 g) were homogenized with 20 ml of 80% acetone in a tissue homogenizer (Daihan Sci. Co., HG-15A, Korea) at 2,000 g (relative centrifugal force) for 30 s. The mixture was filtered using vacuum filtering and finally centrifuged at 2,000 g (relative centrifugal force) for 15 min. The absorbance of the filtered homogenate was measured at 647.0 and 664.5 nm on a UV spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Co. UV-2550, Tokyo, Japan). The chlorophyll content was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{Chlorophyll (mg/g)} = 17.95A_{647} + 7.9A_{664.5}
\]

### 2.7 Microbiological analysis

All samples were analyzed for total bacteria and fungi (yeast and molds) counts. Ten grams of fresh-cut cucumber were aseptically packed using sample bag (190 × 300 mm, 3M Co., MN, USA) and diluted with 90 ml of 0.1% peptone water. The samples were homogenized by a stomacher (SH-001, Shimkyyu, Tokyo, Japan) at high speed for 3 min. The bacteria were determined on plate count agar (PCA; Becton Dickinson, NJ, USA) following incubation at 37°C for 48 hr and represented as log CFU/g for bacteria. Fungi were counted on potato dextrose agar (PDA; Becton Dickinson, NJ, USA). The incubation temperature was 25°C and plates were examined after 48 hr.

### 2.8 Statistical analysis

For statistical analysis, all experiments were carried out in a minimum of triplicates and data were processed by analysis of variance and mean separated by Duncan's multiple range tests ($p < 0.05$) using SPSS software (Version 20, SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA).

### 3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Headspace gas analysis

Respiration rate is one of the major factors contributing to postharvest losses of fruit (Bhande, Ravindra, & Goswami, 2008). The consumption of oxygen and subsequent carbon dioxide production in MA packages is a factor of tissue respiration occurring in the fresh-cut product (Ghidelli, Mateos, Rojas-Argudo, & Pérez-Gago, 2014). Results obtained in this study showed a high production of carbon dioxide (27.17%) in uncoated and air-packaged samples (Figure 1). As seen in Figure 1, nitrogen- and argon-based MA packaged samples showed significant differences from air-packaged samples throughout the storage period. However, 1% and 2% coated air-packaged samples (1CC and 2CC) did not show significant differences with uncoated sample (OCC) till 9 days. However, apparent differences were observed after 12 days of storage. Using the rate of carbon dioxide production, this result confirms chitosan coating has gaseous barrier properties. Several studies have described the beneficial effects of polysaccharide edible coatings on reducing respiration rate of fresh-cut products, which is due to their good gaseous barrier (Ghidelli et al., 2014; Olivas & Barbosa-Cánovas, 2005). Wong, Tillin, Hudson, and Pavlath (1994) also reported a lower carbon dioxide production rate (50%–70% reduction) in apple pieces coated with several polysaccharide-based coatings. Nitrogen- and argon-based MA packages reduced respiration (very low carbon dioxide production) which delayed physiological changes caused by respiration during storage period. In contrast, combined application of chitosan coating with nitrogen or argon MA packaging significantly influenced consumption of oxygen, and subsequently, the reduced
production of carbon dioxide maintained a low respiration rate which is an important factor in metabolic reactions and deterioration of fruits during storage.

3.2 | Weight loss and texture (firmness)

Fresh-cut fruits are more prone to weight loss which makes it an essential parameter to be evaluated during storage experiment. As shown in the result Figure 2, all samples experienced increased weight loss throughout the storage period. However, the percentage weight loss differs by each treatment with uncoated air-packaged sample having the highest loss in weight with a value of 4.85%, while 2% chitosan-coated and argon-based MA packaged samples had the least weight loss of 1.78% after 12 days of storage. Weight loss in the fresh-cut fruit is due to moisture loss from the surface of the fresh-cut which may cause some physiological changes. The concentration of chitosan coating was observed to affect weight loss, but no obvious effect of MA gaseous composition was observed in the result from this study (Figure 2).

Previous studies have described the beneficial effects of edible coatings in reducing weight loss of minimally processed fruit and vegetables (Chien et al., 2007). This beneficial effect of chitosan coating may be due to the barrier created by chitosan polymers used, which in turn reduced gas exchange and water loss from fresh-cut samples (Brasil, Gomes, Puerta-Gomez, Castell-Perez, & Moreira, 2012; Brecht, 1995).

Firmness is another important factor for quality of fresh-cut fruit (Liu et al., 2014). The firmness of all samples experienced a dramatic decrease after the 3 days of storage (Figure 3a–c). This decrease may be attributed to the initial rapid loss in moisture as shown in Figure 2a. At the end of storage, it was observed that chitosan coating helped to preserve the firmness of fresh-cut cucumber. The order of firmness retention was 0% < 1% < 2% chitosan concentration in all fresh-cut cucumber packages, with 2% chitosan-coated samples having the highest values for firmness overall. MA packaging complemented chitosan coating in maintaining the firmness of the
Meng, Zhang, Zhan, and Adhikari (2014) also reported that the application of pressurized Ar can delay the softening of texture in cucumbers during storage. Low oxygen and elevated carbon dioxide concentration in the nitrogen/argon-based MA packages reduces enzymatic activity of tissue degrading enzymes (e.g., pectinase) which are related to fruit softening (Brasil et al., 2012; Martiñon, Moreira, Castell-Perez, & Gomes, 2014).

3.3 | Color values; yellowing index and whiteness index

Color is an important quality parameter that affects consumers’ acceptance of fresh-cut products. In this study, the yellowing index of peel and whiteness index of surface of fresh-cut were used to quantify the effects of various treatments on the quality of fresh-cut cucumber samples. Fruits remain living, respiring tissues after harvest. In freshly harvested green fruits, yellow carotenoids coexist with green chlorophylls. Since cucumber is a non-climacteric green fruit, it produces very low ethylene. However, during senescence chlorophyll is rapidly degraded, exposing the lighter yellow pigments. Within few days of storage, most green vegetables will undergo unmasking of chlorophyll losing their dark green color and begin to turn yellow (Kanellis, Morris, & Saltveit, 1986; Moalemiyan & Ramaswamy, 2012). From the result in Figure 4, the yellowing index of all samples increased, indicating a general depletion of green color of the cucumber peel. About 1% and 2% chitosan-coated samples were observed to have lower values for yellowing index in all the MA packages. Overall, the peel of uncoated air-packaged samples had the highest yellowing index with 56.12 and the least value of 38.36 was in 2% chitosan-coated and argon-based MA packaged samples. This result depicts that chitosan coating reduced yellowing in cucumber by retarding degradation of chlorophyll. Additional reductions in yellowing of cucumber peel were observed in nitrogen- and argon-based MA packaged samples. This result is in agreement with the result reported by Bastrash, Makhlouf, Castaigne, and Willemot (1993) wherein low oxygen and high carbon dioxide storage atmosphere inhibited yellowing of cut broccoli at low temperatures.

Similar trend was also observed in the result of the whiteness of the fresh-cut surface (Figure 5). Chitosan coating and nitrogen/
argon-based MA packaging preserved the whiteness of the samples by reducing enzymatic browning that causes unpleasant color change. At the end of storage, all chitosan-coated fresh-cut samples showed better whiteness value than uncoated samples. Similar results have also been reported by Ghidelli et al. (2014) for fresh-cut eggplant.

3.4 | Chlorophyll content

Chlorophyll is mainly responsible for green color of cucumber peel. It plays vital role in the appearance and acceptance of cucumber. As the green pigment in cucumbers, when chlorophyll is decomposed, it leads to the development of yellowness that reduces their sensory appeal and market value (Barrett, Beaulieu, & Shewfelt, 2010). The result showed that chlorophyll was significantly \( (p < 0.05) \) affected by both chitosan coating and MA packaging (Table 1). Chlorophyll content of fresh-cut cucumber decreased during storage irrespective of the treatment combination. Similar trend was observed in the result of yellowing index (Figure 4). After storage period, uncoated and air-packaged fresh-cut samples had the highest chlorophyll degradation with the lowest total chlorophyll content of 1.71 mg/g, while 2% chitosan-coated and argon-based MA packaged samples retained more chlorophyll with the highest value of 3.07 mg/g. Throughout storage, argon-based MA packaged samples had consistently higher chlorophyll content than both nitrogen- and air-packaged fresh-cut samples. Argon has the potential of minimizing or lowering color change (Meng et al., 2014). This might be due to the fact that argon could be capable of slowing down the conversion of chlorophyll to yellow-olive-colored pheophytin in the cucumber flesh (Krebbers, Matser, Koets, & Van den Berg, 2002). It is also noteworthy to report that either chitosan coating or argon MA packaging can significantly reduce chlorophyll degradation; however, their combinations were observed to be more effective in this study.

3.5 | Microbial count

Microbial safety is important in determining the quality and shelf life of fresh-cut fruits. Since minimal processing exposes tissues of fresh-cut fruit to microbial contamination, the ability of fresh-cut product to maintain microbial stability during storage is considered crucial. In this study, the effects of chitosan and MA packaging on total bacterial and fungal count were examined. From the result for bacterial count (Table 2), the initial bacterial count was 3.29 CFU/g.
Chitosan-coated samples showed decrease in bacterial count for the first 9 days before a gradual increase in bacterial growth at 12 days of storage. After the storage period, 2% chitosan argon-based MA packaged samples had the least bacterial count of 2.12 CFU/g, a value lower than the initial count, while uncoated fresh-cut samples had bacterial counts ranging from 3.67 to 5.19 CFU/g. Also from the result, it can be seen that nitrogen and argon MA packaging further inhibited rate of bacterial proliferation.

For fungal count, chitosan coating and MA packaging effectively reduced fungal count for the first 3 days of storage (Table 3). However, increase in fungal count was observed after 6 days of storage for all treatments. As shown in the result, it can be said that chitosan effectiveness in reducing fungal growth over a long period of storage time may not be adequate. Uncoated and air-based MA packaged fresh-cut had consistently high growth rate throughout the storage period and a final fungal count of 5.87 CFU/g. About 2% chitosan-coated nitrogen- and argon-based MA packaged fresh-cut cucumber had considerably low fungal counts with values of 2.91 and 2.97 CFU/g, respectively, after storage period. Chitosan has been reported to have bactericidal and fungicidal properties, which makes its effective in inhibiting growth of pathogenic bacteria and fungi (Devlieghere, Vermeulen, & Debevere, 2004; Dutta, Tripathi, Mehrotra, & Dutta, 2009; Helander, Nurmiaho-Lassila, Ahvenainen, Rhoades, & Roller, 2001; Li, Wang, Chen, Huangfu, & Xie, 2008; Waewthongrak, Pisuchpen, & Leelasuphakul, 2015). Also, successful applications of MAP in whole and fresh-cut fruit and vegetables have been extensively reported in literature (Farber et al., 2003; Sandhya, 2010). The significant ability of nitrogen- and argon-based MA packaging used in this study to inhibit spoilage organisms may be due to their non-selective antimicrobial effect of high carbon dioxide (Farber et al., 2003). Therefore, it can be concluded from the result that chitosan coating and nitrogen/argon-based MA packing were very effective in inhibiting bacterial and fungal growth in fresh-cut cucumber.

### Table 1: Chlorophyll content of fresh-cut cucumber stored at 5°C for 12 days

| Chlorophyll content (mg/g) | Storage period (days) |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|
|                           | 0                     | 3                     | 6                     | 9                     | 12                    |
| 0CC                       | 5.43 ± 0.00a          | 4.06 ± 0.02d          | 3.58 ± 0.04d          | 2.80 ± 0.23d          | 1.71 ± 0.18a          |
| 0CN                       | 5.43 ± 0.00b          | 4.48 ± 0.18cd         | 3.11 ± 0.09b          | 2.35 ± 0.12e          | 1.97 ± 0.09d          |
| 0CA                       | 5.43 ± 0.00c          | 4.66 ± 0.03c          | 4.05 ± 0.30c          | 2.96 ± 0.02d          | 2.08 ± 0.09c          |
| 1CC                       | 5.43 ± 0.00a          | 4.70 ± 0.32c          | 4.01 ± 0.04c          | 3.52 ± 0.04c          | 2.77 ± 0.10b          |
| 1CN                       | 5.43 ± 0.00b          | 4.68 ± 0.14c          | 3.96 ± 0.10c          | 2.99 ± 0.07d          | 2.42 ± 0.10b          |
| 1CA                       | 5.43 ± 0.00c          | 5.01 ± 0.13b          | 4.23 ± 0.43b          | 3.32 ± 0.10c          | 2.95 ± 0.16ab         |
| 2CC                       | 5.43 ± 0.00a          | 4.89 ± 0.02bc         | 4.60 ± 0.21bc         | 3.98 ± 0.04b          | 2.97 ± 0.04ab         |
| 2CN                       | 5.43 ± 0.00b          | 4.94 ± 0.07bc         | 4.42 ± 0.03b          | 3.80 ± 0.06b          | 2.90 ± 0.09ab         |
| 2CA                       | 5.43 ± 0.00c          | 5.36 ± 0.01a          | 4.72 ± 0.24a          | 4.21 ± 0.20a          | 3.07 ± 0.06a          |

Note. Where 0C, 1C, and 2C represents 0%, 1%, and 2% chitosan concentration respectively, and C, N, and A represents control (air-based), N (nitrogen-based), and A (argon-based) MAP, respectively. Values in the same column with different superscripts are significantly different (p < 0.05).

### Table 2: Total bacteria count (CFU/g) of fresh-cut cucumber stored at 5°C for 12 days

| Bacterial count (log CFU/g) | Storage period (days) |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|
|                            | 0                     | 3                     | 6                     | 9                     | 12                    |
| 0CC                        | 3.29 ± 0.04a          | 3.51 ± 0.39c          | 3.71 ± 0.08e          | 4.20 ± 0.08e          | 5.19 ± 0.13d          |
| 0CN                        | 3.29 ± 0.04a          | 3.31 ± 0.24c          | 3.59 ± 0.10e          | 3.68 ± 0.55eh         | 3.81 ± 0.13c          |
| 0CA                        | 3.29 ± 0.04a          | 2.98 ± 0.04bc         | 3.07 ± 0.08e          | 3.28 ± 0.07e          | 3.67 ± 0.05bc         |
| 1CC                        | 3.29 ± 0.04a          | 2.76 ± 0.50bc         | 2.85 ± 0.05d          | 3.34 ± 0.04d          | 3.12 ± 0.37b          |
| 1CN                        | 3.29 ± 0.04a          | 1.42 ± 0.10abc        | 1.99 ± 0.06bc         | 2.74 ± 0.08c          | 2.81 ± 0.36ab         |
| 1CA                        | 3.29 ± 0.04a          | 1.26 ± 0.80a          | 1.68 ± 0.06b          | 2.54 ± 0.02b          | 2.35 ± 0.00ab         |
| 2CC                        | 3.29 ± 0.04a          | 2.13 ± 0.83bc         | 2.43 ± 0.16d          | 2.62 ± 0.17bc         | 3.02 ± 0.17b          |
| 2CN                        | 3.29 ± 0.04a          | 1.83 ± 0.65b          | 2.20 ± 0.29c          | 2.59 ± 0.21bc         | 2.59 ± 0.06ab         |
| 2CA                        | 3.29 ± 0.04a          | 1.00 ± 0.00a          | 1.42 ± 0.17a          | 1.49 ± 0.78a          | 2.12 ± 0.34a          |

Note. Where 0C, 1C, and 2C represents 0%, 1%, and 2% chitosan concentration respectively, and C, N, and A represents control (air-based), N (nitrogen-based), and A (argon-based) MAP, respectively. Values in the same column with different superscripts are significantly different (p < 0.05).
TABLE 3 Fungal count (CFU/g) of fresh-cut cucumber stored at 5°C for 12 days

| Treatment | Storage period (days) |
|-----------|----------------------|
|           | 0                    | 3       | 6       | 9       | 12      |
| 0CC       | 2.06 ± 0.23<sup>a</sup> | 3.75 ± 0.46<sup>b</sup> | 3.83 ± 0.02<sup>l</sup> | 4.43 ± 0.03<sup>f</sup> | 5.87 ± 0.02<sup>l</sup> |
| 0CN       | 2.06 ± 0.23<sup>a</sup> | 1.55 ± 0.35<sup>d</sup> | 3.67 ± 0.07<sup>de</sup> | 3.78 ± 0.10<sup>de</sup> | 4.55 ± 0.12<sup>d</sup> |
| 0CA       | 2.06 ± 0.23<sup>a</sup> | 1.35 ± 0.00<sup>a</sup> | 3.57 ± 0.17<sup>de</sup> | 3.53 ± 0.13<sup>d</sup> | 4.53 ± 0.13<sup>d</sup> |
| 1CC       | 2.06 ± 0.23<sup>a</sup> | 1.66 ± 0.55<sup>a</sup> | 3.62 ± 0.12<sup>de</sup> | 4.08 ± 0.03<sup>e</sup> | 4.85 ± 0.02<sup>d</sup> |
| 1CN       | 2.06 ± 0.23<sup>a</sup> | 1.45 ± 0.17<sup>a</sup> | 3.43 ± 0.05<sup>de</sup> | 3.83 ± 0.08<sup>de</sup> | 4.06 ± 0.11<sup>e</sup> |
| 1CA       | 2.06 ± 0.23<sup>a</sup> | 1.39 ± 0.08<sup>a</sup> | 3.33 ± 0.04<sup>c</sup> | 3.16 ± 0.18<sup>c</sup> | 3.51 ± 0.22<sup>b</sup> |
| 2CC       | 2.06 ± 0.23<sup>a</sup> | 1.68 ± 0.55<sup>a</sup> | 2.31 ± 0.09<sup>a</sup> | 3.16 ± 0.05<sup>c</sup> | 3.75 ± 0.01<sup>b</sup> |
| 2CN       | 2.06 ± 0.23<sup>a</sup> | 1.52 ± 0.70<sup>a</sup> | 2.17 ± 0.11<sup>b</sup> | 2.67 ± 0.17<sup>b</sup> | 2.91 ± 0.24<sup>a</sup> |
| 2CA       | 2.06 ± 0.23<sup>a</sup> | 1.42 ± 0.20<sup>a</sup> | 1.95 ± 0.16<sup>a</sup> | 2.28 ± 0.40<sup>a</sup> | 2.97 ± 0.25<sup>a</sup> |

Note. Where 0C, 1C, and 2C represents 0%, 1%, and 2% chitosan concentration respectively, and C, N, and A represents control (air-based), N (nitrogen-based), and A (argon-based) MAP, respectively. Values in the same column with different superscripts are significantly different (p < 0.05).

4 | CONCLUSION

Fresh-cut cucumber requires quality preservation method sufficient to maintain quality and replenish tissue integrity after minimal processing. The results indicated that chitosan coating to some extent preserved quality of fresh-cut cucumber, but its effectiveness alone may not be sufficient over long storage period. Packaging in natural air supports rapid tissue respiration and negatively affects quality and promotes senescence in fresh-cut cucumber. The use of active packaging films can also be suggested for further studies to create a modified atmosphere in packaged fresh-cut cucumber by its selective gaseous permeability. In general, the combination of chitosan coating with argon-based MA packaging best preserved quality and prolonged the shelf life of fresh-cut cucumber throughout 12 days storage. Therefore, it can inferred that the combination of chitosan treatment and argon-based MA packing have potential application in the food industry to preserve the overall quality and extend the shelf life of the fresh-cut cucumber.
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