Microarray and bioinformatics analyses of gene expression profiles in BALB/c murine macrophage polarization
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Abstract. Macrophages possess the hallmark feature of plasticity, allowing them to undergo a dynamic transition between M1 and M2 polarized phenotypes. The aim of the present study was to screen for differentially-expressed genes (DEGs) that were associated with BALB/c murine macrophage polarization. The transcription profiles of three M1 and three M2 samples were obtained using microarray analysis. Based on the threshold of fold-change >2.0 and P-value <0.05, a total of 1,253 DEGs were identified, of which 696 were upregulated and 557 downregulated in M1 macrophages compared with M2 macrophages. Gene Ontology (GO) function and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analyses were performed. A gene-gene interaction network of the DEGs was constructed using the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes database. GO annotation identified three categories: Cellular component, molecular function and biological process, with 34 and 40 enrichment terms consisting of upregulated and downregulated DEGs, respectively. GO enrichment analysis of DEGs was primarily associated with protein binding, response to stimulus, cell differentiation, and regulation of biological process. KEGG enrichment identified 15 and four pathways involving differentiation, and regulation of biological process. KEGG enrichment identified three categories: Cellular component, molecular function and biological process, with 34 and 40 enrichment terms consisting of upregulated and downregulated DEGs, respectively. Signaling pathway analysis revealed that these DEGs were mainly involved in apoptosis, hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF) 1a pathway, innate immune system, tumor necrosis factor (TNF) signaling pathway, cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction, and other signal transduction pathways. Interaction network analysis indicated that genes including TNF, interleukin (IL)-6, IL-1β, suppressor of cytokine signaling 3, nitric oxide synthase 2, HIF1a may serve key roles in macrophage polarization. The present study provided new insights into the role of genes in macrophage differentiation and polarization.

Introduction

Macrophages are derived from hematopoietic stem cells, in particular, from bone marrow myeloid progenitor cells. Beyond the classical functions of pathogen elimination, tissue development and wound repair, macrophages are well-recognized key regulators of both innate and adaptive immunity, as well as important mediators of systemic metabolism, angiogenesis, apoptosis, malignancy and reproduction (1-3). Macrophages display a high degree of plasticity, with the ability to generate different functional phenotypes (namely M1 and M2) in response to microenvironmental cues (4,5). Cytokines and microbial products have been implicated in the reprogramming of M1 and M2 macrophages: Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) plus interferon (IFN)-γ induce M1 macrophage activation, while stimulation of macrophages with interleukin (IL)-4 or IL-13 induces M2 macrophage activation (6,7). M1 macrophages secrete tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, IL12 and IL-23, as well as large amounts of nitric oxide by expressing inducible nitric oxide synthase, which are essential for clearing bacterial, viral and fungal infections and in mediating resistance against tumors (8). M2 macrophages are characterized by upregulation of arginase (Arg)1, chitinase 3-like 3 (CHI3L3), resistin-like α (Retnla), mannose receptor C (Mrc)-1 (also known as CD206) and chemokines such as C-C motif chemokine ligand (CCL)17 and CCL24. They are important in the host response to parasite infection, tissue remodeling, angiogenesis and tumor progression (9-12).

Macrophage polarization has been the focus of previous studies, particularly with regards to transcriptional regulation. Transcriptional factors, such as nuclear factor-κB, Jun proto-oncogene AP-1 transcription factor subunit, signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) 1, interferon regulatory factor (IRF)3, IRF5, IRF8, hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF) 1a, Kruppel-like factor (KLF) 2 and AKT serine/threonine kinase 1 (AKT1) participate in toll-like receptor (TLR)-induced M1 activation (8,13-17). In contrast, STAT6, IRF4, HIF2α, peroxisome proliferator-activated...
receptor (PPAR)-γ, CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein β, glucocorticoid receptors, AKT2, and KLF4 are involved in the polarization of macrophages to the M2 phenotype (8,13-17).

MicroRNA (miRs), such as miR-27b and miR-155, are involved in M1 polarization, whereas miR-9, miR-21, miR-125b, miR-146a, miR-223, Let-7i, Let-7c and Let-7e are involved in M2 macrophage polarization (1,2,6,18). In addition, enzymes involved in epigenetic regulation, such as Junonji domain-containing 3 (JMDJ3) and histone deacetylase 3, are important in M2 macrophage polarization (19-21).

Microarray analysis. Total RNA from each sample was amplified and labeled by using a Low Input Quick Amp WT Labeling kit (Agilent Technologies), following the manufacturer's instructions. Labeled cRNA was purified using an RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen GmbH). The concentration and specific activity of the labeled cRNAs (pmol Cy3/µg cRNA) were measured using a NanoDrop 2000. Each microarray slide (catalog no. p/n G2534-60011/G2534-60014; Agilent Technologies Inc.) was hybridized with 1.65 µg Cy3-labeled cRNA using a gene expression hybridization kit (catalog no. p/n 5188-5242; Agilent Technologies, Inc.) in a hybridization oven (catalog no. p/n G2545A; Agilent Technologies, Inc.), according to the manufacturer's protocol. Following 17 h of hybridization, the slides were washed in staining dishes (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) with a gene expression wash buffer kit (catalog no. p/n 5188-5327; Agilent Technologies, Inc.), following the manufacturer's protocol. Next, the slides were scanned using an Agilent Microarray Scanner G2565C (Agilent Technologies, Inc.) with the following settings: Dye channel green, scan resolution 3 µm, PMT 100% and 20-bit scanning. The Agilent Feature Extraction software (version 10.7; Agilent Technologies, Inc.) was used to analyze the acquired array images. Quantile normalization and subsequent data processing were performed using GeneSpring software version 11.0 (Agilent Technologies, Inc.). DEGs were identified through fold change (>2-fold) filtering. Microarray analysis was performed by Shanghai Biotechnology Corporation (Shanghai, China). Array data were deposited at the Gene Expression Omnibus database of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (accession no. GSE81922).

RNA extraction and purification. BMDMs were collected following 48 h culture with polarization stimuli, and total RNA was extracted using TRIzol (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA quantity and quality were measured using a NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), and RNA integrity was assessed using an Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) and denaturing agarose gel electrophoresis. Total RNA was further purified using an RNeasy Mini kit and RNase-Free DNase set (both from Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany).

Functional enrichment analysis. To further understand the biological relevance and associated pathways of DEGs, functional enrichment analysis was performed using the Biological Network Gene Ontology (BiNGO; v3.0.3) and CluePedia (v1.0.4) web-based tools (27,28). BiNGO (www.psb.ugent.be/cbd/papers/BiNGO) is a tool that identifies Gene Ontology (GO) terms that are significantly overrepresented in a set of genes or a subgraph of a biological network. BiNGO maps the predominant functional themes of the tested gene set on the GO hierarchy and takes advantage of Cytoscape's versatile visualization environment to produce an intuitive molecular interaction network. The CluePediaCytoscape plugin (v3.0.1; www.ici.upmc.fr/cluepedia) is a search tool for new markers that are potentially associated to pathways. A pathway-like visualization can be created using the Cerebral plugin (v2.8.2) layout (29). The threshold of hypergeometric distribution of functional annotation was 0.05.

Construction of interaction networks. Since genes act by interacting with other genes to accomplish their functions; the interaction networks of the candidate genes identified were further explored by bioinformatics analysis. In the
present study, 18 macrophage polarization-associated genes
defined by gene expression profiling (listed in
Table I) were
examined for gene interaction networks using the Search for
the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins (STRING; v9.0)
database (string-db.org) (30). This database provides informa-
tion on both experimental and predicted interactions from
varied sources, including computational prediction, literature
mining and knowledge transfer between organisms and infor-
mation aggregated from other primary databases. An extended
network was constructed by setting the required confidence
score to 0.400.

Statistical analysis. The threshold set for significant up- and
downregulated DEGs in microarray data was >2-fold change
and P<0.05. Data were expressed as the mean ± standard
error of the mean. Statistical analysis was performed using a
Student's t-test by using Graphpad Prism v5.0 (GraphPad
Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) for comparison between
two groups. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically
significant difference.

Results

Overview of DEG profiles in M1 and M2 macrophages. A
box-plot was used to visualize the distributions of the intensi-
ties from all samples, and principal component analysis (PCA)
was employed to perform an unsupervised examination of
differences in the signals between M1 macrophages and M2
macrophages. As demonstrated in Fig. 1A, the distribution of
the log2-ratio of the microarray intensity values in the
six samples (three repeats for M1 and three repeats for M2
macrophages) was very similar following quantile normaliza-
tion. The M1 macrophage samples were distinctly separated
from the M2 macrophage samples in the PCA plots (Fig. 1B),
suggesting a differential gene expression between M1 and M2
macrophages.

Based on a threshold set at >2-fold change and P<0.05 for
the microarray data, a total of 1,253 differentially-expressed
mRNAs were identified in M1 compared with M2 macrophage samples, of which 696 mRNAs were upregulated and 557 mRNAs were downregulated. A volcano plot illustrated the expression variance in the number of DEGs at different
P-values and fold changes (Fig. 1C). Independent hierar-
chical clustering, visualized by a heat map (Fig. 1D), further
confirmed that the identified DEGs were significantly distinct
between the M1 and M2 groups.

GO and pathway analyses of DEGs. To generate insights
into the potential biological functions of DEGs, functional
enrichment analysis was performed using GO and KEGG
pathway terms and mapped in functional networks using the
Cytoscape plug-ins, BiNGO and CluePedia. GO identified
two categories: biological process, cellular component, and
molecular function. Through GO analysis, 34 and 40 GO

| Probe name | Gene symbol | P-value | Fold change | FC (abs) | Regulation |
|------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|------------|
| A_51_P257951 | Retnla     | 0.0041927 | 0.00014303 | 6991.6038 | Down       |
| A_51_P167292 | CHI3L3     | 0.022E-05  | 80.8592825  | 80.859282  | Up         |
| A_55_P1988108 | MRC1      | 0.0144366 | 89.560221  | Down      |
| A_55_P2158741 | NOS2      | 0.0267168  | 60.0522186  | 60.0522186 | Up         |
| A_66_P116173 | IL23r      | 0.00021806 | 44.214073  | Down      |
| A_51_P303160 | ARG1       | 0.0001499 | 20.531917  | Down      |
| A_51_P106799 | PPARG     | 0.00702976 | 20.430919  | Down      |
| A_51_P107362 | SOCS2     | 0.0016812  | 17.8375098 | Down      |
| A_55_P1992834 | SOCS2   | 0.00505959 | 14.8759057 | Down      |
| A_51_P322640 | CCL24     | 0.02594911 | 13.7192935 | Down      |
| A_55_P1992838 | SOCS2   | 0.00301572 | 13.7192935 | Down      |
| A_51_P474459 | SOCS3     | 0.00465443 | 9.35719605 | Up         |
| A_51_P212782 | IL1b       | 0.01326346 | 7.48579057 | Up         |
| A_55_P1997756 | IL6     | 0.00478943 | 7.1843002  | Up         |
| A_51_P385099 | TNF       | 0.009646  | 6.83831860 | Up         |
| A_51_P473888 | IL6st     | 0.003416  | 6.1398055  | Down       |
| A_55_P2082974 | IRAK2  | 0.02073071 | 2.41207065 | Up         |
| A_52_P356204 | NOSTRIN   | 0.00827602 | 2.38593001 | Down       |
| A_51_P271503 | IL1r1     | 0.00732888 | 2.22167189 | Down       |
| A_51_P387608 | HIF1a     | 0.01494099 | 2.11181487 | Up         |

FC (abs), fold change absolute; Retnla, resistin-like α; CHI3L3, chitinase 3-like 3; MRC1, mannose receptor C-type 1; NOS2, nitric oxide synthase 2; IL, interleukin; ARG1, arginase 1; PPARG, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor; SOCS, suppressor of cytokine signaling; CCL24, C-C motif chemokine ligand 24; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; IRAK2, interleukin 1 receptor associated kinase 2; NOSTRIN, nitric oxide synthase trafficker; HIF1α, hypoxia-inducible factor 1 α.
terms were significantly enriched for up- and downregulated DEGs, respectively, based on the setting threshold of $P<0.05$ and false discovery rate (FDR) $<0.05$ (Table II). The main GO categories were: Protein binding, regulation of biological process, response to stimulus, metabolic process and cell differentiation (Fig. 2). Moreover, 15 and four pathways
Table II. Functional annotation of differentially-expressed genes via GO enrichment.

| GO identifier | Description                                      | Corrected P-value | Gene count |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| **Upregulated genes** |                                                |                   |            |
| 50896         | Response to stimulus                             | 3.55E-35          | 133        |
| 5623          | Cell                                             | 3.29E-29          | 345        |
| 5488          | Binding                                          | 6.81E-27          | 277        |
| 5515          | Protein binding                                 | 1.23E-24          | 180        |
| 9987          | Cellular process                                 | 2.79E-22          | 242        |
| 16020         | Membrane                                         | 1.11E-20          | 210        |
| 50789         | Regulation of biological process                 | 5.12E-20          | 195        |
| 5615          | Extracellular space                              | 9.41E-19          | 46         |
| 5737          | Cytoplasm                                        | 1.07E-17          | 190        |
| 5622          | Intracellular                                    | 6.92E-14          | 233        |
| 3824          | Catalytic activity                               | 1.02E-12          | 139        |
| 51704         | Multi-organism process                           | 2.10E-12          | 30         |
| 5576          | Extracellular region                             | 1.27E-11          | 66         |
| 8219          | Cell death                                       | 1.42E-09          | 31         |
| 8152          | Metabolic process                                | 1.69E-09          | 159        |
| 7610          | Behavior                                         | 7.73E-09          | 28         |
| 7275          | Multicellular organismal development             | 8.00E-08          | 79         |
| 6810          | Transport                                        | 1.65E-07          | 71         |
| 9986          | Cell surface                                     | 5.58E-07          | 20         |
| 30234         | Enzyme regulator activity                        | 1.98E-06          | 29         |
| 16787         | Hydrolase activity                               | 2.57E-06          | 62         |
| 9056          | Catabolic process                                | 8.94E-06          | 32         |
| 6928          | Cellular component movement                      | 1.30E-04          | 18         |
| 30154         | Cell differentiation                             | 1.47E-04          | 48         |
| 46903         | Secretion                                        | 1.49E-04          | 14         |
| 16740         | Transferase activity                             | 1.96E-04          | 46         |
| 16209         | Antioxidant activity                             | 6.51E-04          | 5          |
| 32501         | Multicellular organismal process                 | 2.61E-03          | 96         |
| 16301         | Kinase activity                                  | 2.78E-03          | 24         |
| 16491         | Oxidoreductase activity                          | 4.81E-03          | 21         |
| 4871          | Signal transducer activity                       | 8.77E-03          | 61         |
| 5578          | Proteinaceous extracellular matrix                | 2.39E-02          | 10         |
| 4872          | Receptor activity                                | 3.77E-02          | 53         |
| 7154          | Cell communication                               | 4.28E-02          | 15         |
| **Downregulated genes** |                                             |                   |            |
| 5623          | Cell                                             | 3.0026E-32        | 328        |
| 5488          | Binding                                          | 6.1503E-31        | 268        |
| 5515          | Protein binding                                 | 7.6309E-31        | 182        |
| 50789         | Regulation of biological process                 | 9.9221E-20        | 183        |
| 16020         | Membrane                                         | 2.2576E-19        | 194        |
| 9987          | Cellular process                                 | 3.2737E-19        | 219        |
| 5737          | Cytoplasm                                        | 7.2487E-15        | 171        |
| 50896         | Response to stimulus                             | 1.2201E-13        | 87         |
| 5622          | Intracellular                                    | 2.213E-13         | 216        |
| 7275          | Multicellular organismal development             | 2.9385E-11        | 84         |
| 8152          | Metabolic process                                | 2.0536E-10        | 152        |
| 30154         | Cell differentiation                             | 2.1508E-10        | 61         |
| 5576          | Extracellular region                             | 4.247E-09         | 57         |
| 30234         | Enzyme regulator activity                        | 1.0124E-08        | 32         |
| 5615          | Extracellular space                              | 2.4893E-08        | 29         |
| 3824          | Catalytic activity                               | 6.7488E-08        | 115        |
Figure 3. Differentially-expressed gene pathway network generated using CluePedia. Interaction pathway networks for the identified (A) downregulated and (B) upregulated genes. The size of the circle indicates the number of genes involved in the pathway, and the color of the circle represents the P-value. The threshold for the analysis was set at P<0.05 and FDR<0.05. FDR, false discovery rate; NGF, nerve growth factor; NRAGE, MAGE family member D1; NRIF, neurotrophin receptor interacting factor; NADE, NAD synthetase; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; NFκB, nuclear factor κB; NOD, atrophin 1; RAGE, receptor for advanced glycation end products; HIF1, hypoxia-inducible factor 1; HTLV-I, human T-lymphotropic virus I; MyD88, myeloid differentiation primary response gene 88; TRIF, toll-like receptor adaptor molecule 2.
were significantly enriched for up and downregulated DEGs, respectively, which could be categorized into 15 and four groups, respectively. The groups were classified according to their different functions and the function details are presented in Table III (left column). Some of the groups shared similar genes. The main pathways identified by KEGG were the HIF1 signaling pathway, TNF signaling pathway, innate immune system, apoptosis and cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction (Fig. 3).

Interaction network analysis. An interaction network was constructed using STRING and then visualized using Cytoscape based on the macrophage polarization-associated genes identified in the present study. The network comprised 18 genes and 38 interactions (Fig. 4). The main pathways identified by KEGG were the HIF1 signaling pathway, TNF signaling pathway, innate immune system, apoptosis and cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction (Fig. 3).

Discussion

Macrophages, as major innate immune and antigen presenting cells, are important in infection resistance and tumorigenesis.

Macrophages activated by TLR ligands, such as LPS or IFN-γ, are called M1 macrophages. In contrast, stimulation of macrophages with T helper cells type 2 cytokines, such as IL-4 or IL-13, induces the generation of M2-type macrophages. Treatment of bone marrow cells with granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (CSF) and macrophage CSF, leads to the generation of M1 and M2 macrophages, respectively (31). Appropriately activated macrophages eliminate pathogens and tumors, whereas, activation with inappropriate stimuli may suppress the immune system, resulting in tumorigenesis and chronic infections. As the primary cells that secrete inflammatory cytokines, macrophages (particularly M2-type) directly mediate the development of inflammatory autoimmune diseases, tissue damage and inflammatory infiltration in hypersensitivity reactions (32-35).

Macrophage polarization has been a topic of intense interest in macrophage research. Early studies identified a number of genes involved in macrophage polarization. For example, previous studies have demonstrated that the JMJD3-interferon regulatory factor (Irf) 4 axis regulates M2 macrophage polarization and host responses against helminth infections (21). SOCS2 and SOCS3 diametrically control macrophage polarization (22). Formyl peptide receptor (FPR) 2 promotes antitumor host defense by limiting M2 polarization of macrophages (36). IRF5 and IRF8 promote M1 macrophage polarization (14,15), while KLF4 is involved in M2 macrophage polarization (16). Akt1 and Akt2 protein kinases differentially contribute to macrophage polarization (17). However, although several genes associated with

| Function | Groups | Gene count |
|----------|--------|------------|
| Upregulated genes | | |
| Apoptosis | Group 9 | 29 |
| Class A1 (Rhodopsin-like receptors) | Group 8 | 30 |
| Cytokine Signaling in immune system | None 4 | 21 |
| HIF1 signaling pathway | Group 5 | 17 |
| HTLV-I infection | None 3 | 22 |
| Immune system | Group 6 | 62 |
| Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) | Group 4 | 67 |
| Innate immune system | Group 7 | 36 |
| Intestinal immune network for IgA production | None 1 | 8 |
| Legionellosis | Group 3 | 41 |
| Leishmaniasis | Group 4 | 42 |
| Phagosome | None 0 | 15 |
| Rheumatoid arthritis | Group 2 | 32 |
| Staphylococcus aureus infection | None 2 | 12 |
| TNF signaling pathway | Group 0 | 43 |
| Downregulated genes | | |
| Axon guidance | Group 1 | 24 |
| Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction | None 0 | 18 |
| Platelet degranulation | Group 0 | 24 |
| Rho GTPase cycle | Group 2 | 22 |

KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; HIF1, hypoxia-inducible factor 1; HTLV-I, human T-lymphotropic virus I; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.
macrophage polarization have been identified, the interaction among genes and the mechanism of this constellation of genes in the response of macrophages to polarizing conditions remain elusive.

The accessibility of microarray data and gene profiling has facilitated a better understanding of the underlying mechanisms of complex biological processes and responses. In the present study, mRNA-based microarray methods were employed to analyze RNA samples from ex vivo programmed M1 and M2 macrophages isolated from BALB/c mice. Bioinformatics analysis identified a total of 1,253 DEGs in M1 macrophages, including 696 upregulated genes and 557 downregulated genes relative to M2 macrophages. Previous studies have examined the gene expression profiles of M1 and M2 macrophages derived from C57BL/6j mice and from human blood samples (37,38). In the present microarray study, all 8 genes corresponding to canonical M1 markers (NOS2, IL23 receptor, SOCS3, IL-1β, IL-6, TNF, interleukin 1 receptor associated kinase 2 and HIF1a) and the M1 markers CD38, G-protein coupled receptor (Gpr)18 and Fpr2, identified in C57BL/6j murine macrophages (37), were demonstrated to be upregulated in M1 compared with M2 macrophages (Table I). In addition, 10 genes corresponding to canonical M2 markers (including Retnla, Chi313, MRC1, ARG1 and PPARγ), and the M2 markers early growth response 2 and c-myc identified in C57BL/6j murine macrophages (37), were demonstrated to be up-regulated in M2 compared with M1 macrophages in the present study (Table I). These data validate the robustness of the microarray results presented in the current study.

A better understanding of the gene functions and molecular pathways associated with different macrophage subtypes is necessary for further progress in the macrophage field. In the present study, a gene expression analysis of M1 and M2 macrophages derived from BALB/c mice was performed. The bioinformatics analysis demonstrated that, for the upregulated genes, GO functional analysis identified 34 enriched terms, including eight cellular components, 11 molecular functions and 15 biological process terms. Biological process terms comprised of response to stimulus, cell differentiation and regulation of biological process. KEGG functional analysis identified 15 enriched terms, which included apoptosis, cytokine signaling in immune system, HIF1 signaling pathway, innate immune system, and TNF signaling pathway. For the downregulated genes, GO functional analysis identified 40 enriched terms, which consisted of nine cellular components, 13 molecular functions and 18 biological process terms. KEGG functional analysis identified four enriched terms, namely, axon guidance, cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction, platelet degranulation and Rho GTPase cycle. Interaction network analysis of the screened DEGs, generated by STRING, indicated that genes including TNF, IL-6, IL-1β, SOCS3, NOS2 and HIF1a may serve key roles in macrophage polarization.

In summary, the current study identified 1,253 DEGs and analyzed their functions through GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analyses. Subsequently, an interaction network was constructed to analyze the overlapping DEGs with known genes associated with macrophage polarization. The present study may thus provide novel insights into the role of genes in macrophage differentiation and polarization. Further experimental studies will be needed in the future in order to confirm these findings and further explore the molecular mechanisms of macrophage polarization.
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