Effect of Buttermilk on the Physicochemical, Rheological, and Sensory Qualities of Pan and Pita Bread
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The aim of this study was to evaluate the influence of buttermilk on the physicochemical and sensory attributes of pan and pita breads. Different amounts of buttermilk (30, 60, and 100% of added water) were mixed with other ingredients of pan and pita bread formulations. The doughs and bread were analyzed for rheological, physicochemical, and sensory qualities. The results demonstrated that incorporation of different concentrations of buttermilk in bread formulations progressively enhanced water absorption capacity, dough development time, gelatinization temperature, and peak viscosity, whereas it reduced the dough stability and temperature at peak viscosity. Supplementation of wheat flour with 30% buttermilk significantly \( (P \leq 0.05) \) enhanced the physical properties of pan bread compared to nonsupplemented control. Incorporation of different percentages of buttermilk in bread formulation concomitantly \( (P \leq 0.05) \) increased protein, oil, and ash contents and it reduced the carbohydrate contents of both types of bread. Incorporation of 60 and 100% of buttermilk in bread formula showed low scores of all sensory attributes compared to control and 30% buttermilk containing pan and pita bread. In conclusion, supplementation of bread formulas with 30% buttermilk is recommended for improving the nutritional and sensorial qualities of pan and pita bread.

1. Introduction

Wheat is an important and most widely cultivated crop in the world, and wheat-based products provide 20% of all calories consumed by people around the globe. The most important application of wheat is in bread making processes where wheat flour represents the main ingredient. Throughout history, bread is one of the oldest and most staple foods prepared and consumed by humans throughout the world [1]. In recent decades, the global production and consumption of bread have considerably increased due to population explosion and changes in lifestyle and eating habits [2]. In Middle East countries, particularly in Saudi Arabia, the major types of bread prepared and consumed are pan (Samouli) and pita (Mafrood) bread and these bread types are mostly made from white flour [3]. However, during wheat milling processes most of the important nutrients are removed resulting in flour with low protein content and quality due to the deficiency of wheat proteins lacking essential amino acids such as lysine and threonine in wheat protein [4]. In addition, removing the wheat bran and germ also may result in losses of many nutritious and health promoting compounds such as dietary fiber, minerals, vitamins, and various antioxidant compounds [5]. To overcome such limitations, supplementation of wheat flour with protein rich and nutritious sources has become an increasingly important and challenging research field in recent years. In this regard, several research reports on supplementation or fortification of bread wheat flour with grains and legumes flour have been published [6–12].

Buttermilk, a low-fat milky liquid leftover after the churning of cream, is one of the most important functional dairy products that have excellent health and disease curing potentials and it is now receiving high interest from consumers all over the world. In addition, buttermilk is also considered as an excellent source of nutritional elements such as minerals (potassium, phosphorus, and calcium), vitamin B12, riboflavin, enzymes, and protein [13]. Moreover, buttermilk has a fresh and piquant taste and has applications in a wide variety of foods such as refreshing drinks, low fat yogurt, cheese, ice cream, nutritious bakery products,
Table 1: Formulation of pan and pita bread with different concentration of buttermilk.

| Ingredients     | % of wheat flour | % of added buttermilk |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------|
|                 | 0%               | 30%                   | 60%                   | 100%                 |
| Pan bread       |                  |                       |                       |                      |
| Wheat flour (g) | 100              | 1000                  | 1000                  | 1000                 |
| *Water (mL)     | 60               | 600                   | 434                   | 256                  | —                    |
| Buttermilk (mL) | —                | —                     | 186                   | 384                  | 675                  |
| Yeast (g)       | 3                | 30                    | 30                    | 30                   | 30                   |
| Sugar (g)       | 5                | 50                    | 50                    | 50                   | 50                   |
| Salt (g)        | 2                | 20                    | 20                    | 20                   | 20                   |
| Margarine (g)   | 3                | 30                    | 30                    | 30                   | 30                   |
| Improver (g)    | 0.01             | 0.1                   | 0.1                   | 0.1                  | 0.1                  |
| Pita bread      |                  |                       |                       |                      |
| Wheat flour (g) | 100              | 1000                  | 1000                  | 1000                 |
| *Water (mL)     | 60               | 600                   | 434                   | 256                  | —                    |
| Buttermilk (mL) | —                | —                     | 186                   | 384                  | 675                  |
| Yeast (g)       | 3                | 30                    | 30                    | 30                   | 30                   |
| Sugar (g)       | 5                | 50                    | 50                    | 50                   | 50                   |
| Salt (g)        | 1                | 10                    | 10                    | 10                   | 10                   |
| Improver (g)    | 0.01             | 0.1                   | 0.1                   | 0.1                  | 0.1                  |

* Added water calculated based on the farinograph results of formulated dough.

and confectionaries [14]. Furthermore, buttermilk has several therapeutic potentials such as cholesterol reduction, blood pressure reduction, antiviral effects, and anticancer effects [15–17]. In baking industry, buttermilk could be used to enhance the rheological, nutritional, and organoleptic properties of bread [18, 19]. Due to its high nutritional and health promoting potentials, utilization of buttermilk as a supplement in bread formulations received significant attention in recent years [18, 19]. Therefore, the main aims of the present study are to utilize buttermilk in pan and pita bread formulations and evaluate the influence of buttermilk on the physicochemical and sensory attributes of pan and pita breads.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials. Hard wheat flour (75% extraction) was obtained from Al-Andalus milling factory, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Margarine of hydrated soybean and cotton seed oils was purchased from Goody Middle East Company, Dubai, United Arab Emirates. BakeMate bread improver (containing α-amylase) was brought from Ahmad Abid trading company, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Sugar and salt were obtained from local markets in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Fresh buttermilk was obtained from Almarai Company, Saudi Arabia. All chemicals used are of analytical grade.

2.2. Preparation of Pan Bread (Loaf). The dough was prepared following the standard straight dough method 10-10A [20] with some modifications. Briefly, the ingredients (Table 1) were mixed on the basis of 1000 g wheat flour (14% moisture content) to the levels of 3% instant yeast, 3% margarine, 2% salt, 5% sugar, and 0.01% improver. Then, different amounts water and buttermilk (30, 60, and 100% of the added water) were added based on the flour optimum absorption as determined by farinograph. After that, all contents were thoroughly mixed (Tyrone, model TR 202, UK) to the optimum dough development time as determined by farinograph. Then, the dough was formed in ball shape and kept in a fermentation tank for 30 min at 32°C and 85% relative humidity. Thereafter, the dough was freed from gases and divided into three portions of 550 g each and then fermented again for 20 min under the above conditions. Then, the fermented dough was passed through bread forming machine and put into baking pans (22 × 6 × 7 cm) and kept again in the fermentation tank for final fermentation for 30 min at the same condition. After fermentation, the dough was baked in the electric rotary oven (National Co. Ltd., Kyoto, Japan) for 20 min at 225°C. After cooling for 30 min at room temperature, the bread volume was estimated by the seed displacement method. The volume of seeds displaced by the bread was considered as the bread volume. The specific volume of the bread was calculated according to the AACC method [20] by dividing volume (CC) by weight (g).

2.3. Preparation of Pita Bread (Flat). The method of preparation of Arabian bread was used to prepare pita bread as described elsewhere [21]. Briefly, the ingredients (Table 1) were mixed on the basis of 1000 g wheat flour (14% moisture content) to the levels of 3% instant yeast, 5% sugar, 1% salt, and 0.01% improver. Then, different amounts of water and buttermilk (30, 60, and 100% of the added water) were added based on the flour optimum absorption as determined by farinograph. The contents were mixed to form dough and fermented as described for pan bread. Thereafter, the dough
Table 2: Farinograph readings of wheat dough fortified with different concentrations of buttermilk (30, 60, and 100% of added water).

| Dough samples                      | % of water absorption corrected to 14% | Arrival time (min) | Dough development time (min) | Dough stability (min) | Departure time (min) | Degree of softening (BU) |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Control (Wheat flour + 0% buttermilk) | 60.0                                   | 1.5                | 2.3                          | 9.7                   | 9.7                  | 23.0                     |
| Wheat flour + 30% buttermilk       | 62.0                                   | 1.5                | 2.9                          | 8.3                   | 9.8                  | 22.0                     |
| Wheat flour + 60% buttermilk       | 64.0                                   | 1.5                | 2.7                          | 8.3                   | 9.8                  | 24.0                     |
| Wheat flour + 100% buttermilk      | 67.0                                   | 1.5                | 2.2                          | 8.1                   | 9.6                  | 24.0                     |

Mean values of triplicates, SD ≤ ±5%.

was divided into portions (150 g each) and formed into balls by hand and then fermented again for 20 min under the above-mentioned conditions. Then the flat bread was created by using wooden cylinder having the diameter of 20 cm and thickness of 6 mm and left for final fermentation for 30 min at the same conditions. The bread was baked at 350°C for 2 min and then left to cool for 30 min before analysis.

2.4. Approximate Composition. The approximate composition of bread samples was determined following the official standard method [22]. Moisture content was measured using oven drying method and weight measurements before and after drying (AOAC 935.29). Protein was determined by Kjeldahl method (AOAC 988.05). Oil and ash were estimated by Soxhlet method (AOAC 963.15) and drying methods (AOAC 942.05), respectively. Carbohydrate was calculated by differences.

2.5. Determination of Dough Rheology. The rheological properties of wheat dough supplemented with different concentrations of buttermilk were analyzed by farinograph and amylograph. The farinograph results indicated that supplementation of wheat flour with buttermilk significantly affected the water absorption, dough development time, dough stability, and degree of softening (Table 2). Increasing the concentrations of buttermilk in the dough progressively (P ≤ 0.05) increased the water absorption from 60% in control to 67% in wheat flour supplemented with 100% buttermilk. This could be due to the increase of the protein solubility and content of the dough following the addition of buttermilk whose protein is characterized by its high solubility, hydrophobicity, and absorption capacity. Furthermore, added buttermilk could result in a structural modification in the dough which may allow absorption of more water due to hydrogen bonding. High water absorption capacity of dough represents consistency which is one of the appealing characteristics in bread making. The quantity of added water is considered to be very important for the distribution of the dough materials, their hydration, and the gluten protein network development. Similar to our observations, Hassan et al. [18] reported that replacing water with different concentrations of buttermilk in

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Dough Rheology. The dough rheological properties of wheat flour supplemented with different concentrations of buttermilk were analyzed by farinograph and amylograph. The farinograph results indicated that supplementation of wheat flour with buttermilk significantly affected the water absorption, dough development time, dough stability, and degree of softening (Table 2). Increasing the concentrations of buttermilk in the dough progressively (P ≤ 0.05) increased the water absorption from 60% in control to 67% in wheat flour supplemented with 100% buttermilk. This could be due to the increase of the protein solubility and content of the dough following the addition of buttermilk whose protein is characterized by its high solubility, hydrophobicity, and absorption capacity. Furthermore, added buttermilk could result in a structural modification in the dough which may allow absorption of more water due to hydrogen bonding. High water absorption capacity of dough represents consistency which is one of the appealing characteristics in bread making. The quantity of added water is considered to be very important for the distribution of the dough materials, their hydration, and the gluten protein network development.
bread formulation substantially increased water absorption of the dough in a concentration dependent manner. In addition, several research reports have demonstrated that supplementation of wheat flour with vegetable and legumes flour, dairy products, or protein isolates significantly increased the water absorption capacity [7, 9, 23, 24]. By contrast, Madenci and Bilgicli [19] reported that addition of dairy by-products (whey protein concentrate and buttermilk powder) to wheat flour reduced the water absorption of the formed dough. Our results indicated that dough development time was also increased ($P \leq 0.05$) with an increase in buttermilk concentration to 30 and 60% and then decreased again at 100% buttermilk. The enhancement of dough development time upon addition of buttermilk could be due to the differences in the physicochemical properties of the constituents of the buttermilk and those of the wheat flour. Similarly, Hassan et al. [18] and Bilgin et al. [25] stated that the development time of wheat dough was significantly increased upon replacing water with different concentrations of fermented skimmed milk, acid whey, and buttermilk. In addition, Madenci and Bilgicli [19] reported that incorporation of dairy by-products in wheat dough significantly enhanced the dough development time. Moreover, Mohammed et al. [9] indicated that addition of chickpea flour to wheat flour increased the dough development time. Our findings also showed that incorporation of buttermilk in wheat dough decreased the dough stability compared to control and the reduction was concomitant with an increase in the concentration of buttermilk in the dough. The reduction could be due to the fact that added buttermilk constituents could disrupt the wheat gluten-starch network, competing with wheat flour proteins for water and likely the proteolytic activity in the buttermilk hydrolyze wheat gluten and then decreased its stability. Similarly, Hassan et al. [18] reported a reduction in dough stability at higher supplementation levels of acid whey, buttermilk, and skimmed milk powder in pan bread formulations. In addition, a similar decrease in dough stability was also observed by Sabanis and Tzia [11], Anton et al. [26], Gadallah et al. [27], and Pasha et al. [28] as the percentage level of legume flour in the blend increased. Our results also revealed that the effects of buttermilk fortification on the departure time and degree of softening were minor. Overall, the farinograph analysis demonstrated that incorporation of different concentration of buttermilk in bread formulations positively affected the water absorption capacity and development time of dough, whereas it showed an adverse impact on the dough stability.

The amylograph results (Table 3) showed that fortification of wheat flour with buttermilk significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) affected the gelatinization temperature, peak viscosity, and temperature at peak viscosity of fortified dough compared to control samples. Gelatinization temperature and peak viscosity concomitantly ($P \leq 0.05$) increased with increase in the concentration of buttermilk in the dough, while the temperature at peak viscosity showed concomitant reduction as the buttermilk level increased. The increase in the peak viscosity and gelatinization temperature could be attributed to the added lactose sugar from buttermilk. Similarly, it has been reported that addition of mushroom powder to wheat flour substantially increased peak viscosity from 820 to 1700 BU [29]. Furthermore, increases in peak viscosity and gelatinization temperature were observed in composite flours of wheat, cereals, legumes, or sago flours [30–33]. By contrast, other reports have indicated that addition of legumes protein isolates and milk proteins reduced the peak viscosity of the dough [34, 35]. The difference could be attributed to the variation in the added materials that in the latter is protein isolates which are devoid of sugars or starches whereas in the former the whole materials are added which might contains sugars and starches that increase the viscosity and gelatinization temperature.

### Table 3: Amylograph readings of wheat dough fortified with different concentrations of buttermilk (30, 60, and 100%).

| Dough samples | Gelatinization temperature (°C) | Peak viscosity (BU) | Temperature at peak viscosity (°C) |
|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Control (wheat flour + 0% buttermilk) | 60.7 | 671.0 | 88.9 |
| Wheat flour + 30% buttermilk | 62.0 | 781.0 | 86.9 |
| Wheat flour + 60% buttermilk | 62.9 | 848.0 | 83.9 |
| Wheat flour + 100% buttermilk | 63.0 | 987.0 | 82.1 |

Mean values of triplicates, SD $\pm \pm 5\%$. 

3.2. Physical Properties of Pan and Pita Bread. Wheat flour with or without buttermilk supplementation was used for the preparation of two types of bread frequently consumed in Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The results of the physical properties of pan bread are shown in Table 4 and Figure 1(a). The results indicated that supplementation of wheat flour with buttermilk significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) enhanced the weight and volume of pan bread compared to nonsupplemented control. Strikingly, loaf weight and volume enhancement during baking of pan bread containing buttermilk is a desirable quality attribute as consumers are often attracted to bread with high weight and volume believing that it has more substance for the same price. The loaf weight concomitantly ($P \leq 0.05$) increased with increase in the concentration of buttermilk reaching the maximum at 100% buttermilk supplementation. Increase in bread weight may be due to increased water absorption capacity of buttermilk containing dough (Table 2). The highest ($P \leq 0.05$) loaf volume (2883.33± 28.86 cm$^3$) and loaf specific volume (5.92 ± 0.07 cm$^3$/g) were observed at 30% buttermilk supplementation followed by control (0% buttermilk), 60% and 100% buttermilk. Although
Table 4: Physical characteristics of pan breads made using wheat flour fortified with different concentrations (0, 30, 60, and 100% of added water) of buttermilk.

| Physical properties               | 0%       | 30%      | 60%      | 100%     |
|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Loaf weight (g)                   | 483.67 ± 1.08<sup>c</sup> | 487.67 ± 1.51<sup>b</sup> | 496.00 ± 2.00<sup>a</sup> | 498.33 ± 2.30<sup>a</sup> |
| Loaf volume (cm)                  | 2650.00 ± 12.24<sup>b</sup> | 2883.33 ± 28.86<sup>a</sup> | 2633.33 ± 17.22<sup>b</sup> | 2566.67 ± 10.01<sup>c</sup> |
| Loaf specific volume (cm<sup>3</sup>/g) | 5.48 ± 0.01<sup>b</sup> | 5.91 ± 0.07<sup>a</sup> | 5.31 ± 0.06<sup>c</sup> | 5.15 ± 0.07<sup>d</sup> |

<sup>a–d</sup>Mean values of triplicate samples ± SD. Means not sharing a common superscript (a) in a row are significantly different at P ≤ 0.05 as assessed by Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

Figure 1: Physical appearance of pan (a) and pita (b) breads containing different levels of buttermilk (BM). Control; 0% BM, 30% buttermilk; 30% BM, 60% buttermilk; 60% BM, and 100% buttermilk; 100% BM.

they reduced by buttermilk supplementation at high percentages (60 and 100% buttermilk), the values of the loaf bread specific volume are still within the range 3.5–6.0 cm<sup>3</sup>/g that characterize the regular bread as identified by grain products research institutes [36]. The decrease (P ≤ 0.05) in loaf volume and specific volume at 60 and 100% buttermilk of the bread may be attributed to the reduction in the wheat structure forming proteins and a low ability of the dough to entrap air. In addition, the higher resistance of dough observed during dough handling and preparation might affect the gas retention in the dough and bread during baking and hence reduce the volume of the loaves. Moktan and Ojha [12] reported that increase in the percentage of germinated horse gram decreased the loaf volume and specific volume of bread but increased the loaf weight. Gani et al. [34] stated that addition of whey proteins, whey protein hydrolysates, casein, and casein hydrolysates significantly reduced the loaf specific volume.

3.3. Chemical Composition of Pan and Pita Bread. The chemical composition of pan and pita bread made from wheat flour supplemented with different concentrations of buttermilk (0, 30, 60, and 100% of added water) is shown in Table 5. Generally, incorporation of buttermilk in bread formulation increased protein, oil, and ash contents and reduced the carbohydrate contents of both types of bread. The increases
in protein, fat, and ash contents might result from the added buttermilk that contains appreciable amounts of these constituents, whereas the proportional reduction in carbohydrate is expected as the carbohydrate is calculated by subtracting protein, oil, and ash contents from 100%. The highest protein, fat, and ash contents of both types of bread were observed at 100% buttermilk supplementation while the lowest values were found in control samples suggesting concentration dependent effects of buttermilk on these constituents. In agreement with our findings, numerous reports indicated that supplementation of wheat flour with various legume flours or whey protein concentrate powder and buttermilk powder has concomitantly increased protein, oil, and ash contents and reduced carbohydrate content of fortified pan and flat breads [12, 18, 19, 38]. Overall, improvement of protein content in pan and pita bread following buttermilk supplementation could be of nutritional importance as the protein of animal sources is known by its good nutritional quality compared to cereal proteins. Thus, supplementation of wheat flour with various legume proteins, oil, and ash contents from 100%. The highest protein, fat, and ash contents of both types of bread were observed at 100% buttermilk supplementation while the lowest values were found in control samples suggesting concentration dependent effects of buttermilk on these constituents. In agreement with our findings, numerous reports indicated that supplementation of wheat flour with various legume flours or whey protein concentrate powder and buttermilk powder has concomitantly increased protein, oil, and ash contents and reduced carbohydrate content of fortified pan and flat breads [12, 18, 19, 38]. Overall, improvement of protein content in pan and pita bread following buttermilk supplementation could be of nutritional importance as the protein of animal sources is known by its good nutritional quality compared to cereal proteins. Thus, supplementation of wheat flour with various legume proteins, oil, and ash contents from 100%. The highest protein, fat, and ash contents of both types of bread were observed at 100% buttermilk supplementation while the lowest values were found in control samples suggesting concentration dependent effects of buttermilk on these constituents. In agreement with our findings, numerous reports indicated that supplementation of wheat flour with various legume flours or whey protein concentrate powder and buttermilk powder has concomitantly increased protein, oil, and ash contents in pan and pita bread. These findings suggested that incorporation of buttermilk in pan bread formulations at 30% replacement of added water could enhance the sensory characteristics of pan bread. For pita bread, the addition of buttermilk affected most of the sensory attributes with the exception of degree of cracking that was not significantly affected by incorporation of buttermilk. Both control and 30% buttermilk containing pita bread showed the highest scores of all sensory attributes compared to that containing 60 and 100% buttermilk. Similar to that of pan bread, the overall acceptability of pita bread was observed in control and 30% buttermilk containing pita bread. These findings suggested that incorporation of buttermilk in pita bread at a concentration of 30% enhanced the sensory properties of pita bread. Similarly, inclusion of various types of legumes, nuts, and mushroom flour and protein isolates in bread was reported to affect the sensory quality of the products as the supplementation rate elevated [6, 9, 12, 29, 32, 38]. In addition, supplementation of wheat flour with various concentrations of whey protein isolate and buttermilk powder concurrently affected the sensory attributes of the bread [18, 19]. Overall our findings demonstrated that

### Table 5: Chemical composition of pan and pita breads made using wheat flour fortified with different concentrations (0, 30, 60, and 100% of added water) of buttermilk.

| Parameters   | 0%         | 30%        | 60%        | 100%       |
|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| **Pan bread**|            |            |            |            |
| Protein      | 13.65 ± 0.30<sup>a</sup> | 13.79 ± 0.21<sup>b</sup> | 14.21 ± 0.01<sup>c</sup> | 14.38 ± 0.23<sup>a</sup> |
| Oil          | 4.17 ± 0.13<sup>c</sup>  | 4.45 ± 0.09<sup>b</sup>  | 4.55 ± 0.11<sup>b</sup>  | 4.79 ± 0.05<sup>c</sup>  |
| Ash          | 2.69 ± 0.10<sup>c</sup>  | 2.78 ± 0.00<sup>c</sup>  | 2.75 ± 0.00<sup>c</sup>  | 2.92 ± 0.01<sup>c</sup>  |
| Carbohydrate | 79.53 ± 0.35<sup>c</sup> | 79.12 ± 0.10<sup>c</sup> | 78.44 ± 0.13<sup>c</sup> | 77.89 ± 0.16<sup>c</sup> |
| **Pita bread**|           |            |            |            |
| Protein      | 13.41 ± 0.12<sup>b</sup> | 13.47 ± 0.39<sup>c</sup> | 15.04 ± 0.69<sup>c</sup> | 15.17 ± 0.58<sup>a</sup> |
| Oil          | 1.54 ± 0.10<sup>c</sup>  | 2.12 ± 0.06<sup>b</sup>  | 2.19 ± 0.04<sup>b</sup>  | 2.98 ± 0.19<sup>c</sup>  |
| Ash          | 1.71 ± 0.00<sup>c</sup>  | 1.75 ± 0.05<sup>b</sup>  | 2.06 ± 0.11<sup>c</sup>  | 1.98 ± 0.04<sup>c</sup>  |
| Carbohydrate | 83.26 ± 0.45<sup>c</sup> | 82.29 ± 0.49<sup>c</sup> | 80.69 ± 0.34<sup>a</sup> | 79.85 ± 0.25<sup>c</sup> |

<sup>a-c</sup> Mean values of triplicate samples ± SD. Means not sharing a common superscript (s) in a row are significantly different at <i>P</i> ≤ 0.05 as assessed by Duncan's Multiple Range Test.
supplementation of bread formula with buttermilk at 30% substitution of added water is recommended for improving the nutritional and sensorial attributes of pan and pita bread.

4. Conclusion

The present study focused on the utilization of buttermilk in pan and pita bread making to improve the rheological and nutritional qualities of bread without major effects on the consumer acceptability of the products. The results revealed that incorporation of 30% buttermilk in pan and pita bread formulations significantly improved the rheological properties (water absorption capacity, dough development time, gelatinization temperature, and peak viscosity), physical properties (bread weight, volume, and specific volume), and sensory quality of pan and pita bread. Therefore, supplementation of bread with 30% buttermilk is recommended and could potentially improve the nutritional and sensory qualities of pan and pita breads, those regularly consumed by people in Saudi Arabia, and thus could improve the nutritional and health status of those communities.
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| **Pita bread**                     |                  |                 |                 |                 |
| Surface color                      | 4.80 ± 0.22a     | 4.70 ± 0.18a    | 4.10 ± 0.06b    | 4.60 ± 0.14a    |
| Degree of symmetry                 | 4.90 ± 0.31a     | 4.80 ± 0.12a    | 4.30 ± 0.18b    | 4.90 ± 0.01a    |
| Degree of cracking                 | 4.70 ± 0.18a     | 4.70 ± 0.22a    | 4.50 ± 0.52a    | 4.80 ± 0.42a    |
| Crumb color                        | 5.00 ± 0.05a     | 4.90 ± 0.31a    | 4.30 ± 0.12b    | 4.50 ± 0.12b    |
| Crumb texture                      | 4.90 ± 0.31a     | 4.30 ± 0.17b    | 3.80 ± 0.13c    | 4.10 ± 0.11b    |
| Taste and flavor                   | 4.80 ± 0.22a     | 4.80 ± 0.12a    | 4.40 ± 0.11b    | 4.10 ± 0.19b    |
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