Empowering Retinal Gene Therapy with a Specific Promoter for Human Rod and Cone ON-Bipolar Cells
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Optogenetic gene therapy holds promise to restore high-quality vision in blind patients and recently reached clinical trials. Although the ON-bipolar cells, the first retinal interneurons, make the most attractive targets for optogenetic vision restoration, they have remained inaccessible to human gene therapy due to the lack of a robust cell-specific promoter. We describe the design and functional evaluation of 770En_454P(hGRM6), a human GRM6 gene-derived, short promoter that drives strong and highly specific expression in both the rod- and cone-type ON-bipolar cells of the human retina. Expression also in cone-type ON-bipolar cells is of importance, since the cone-dominated macula mediates high-acuity vision and is the primary target of gene therapies. 770En_454P(hGRM6)-driven middle-wave opsin expression in ON-bipolar cells achieved lasting restoration of high visual acuity in the rd1 mouse model of late retinal degeneration. The new promoter enables precise manipulation of the inner retinal network and paves the way for clinical application of gene therapies for high-resolution optogenetic vision restoration, raising hopes of significantly improving the life quality of people suffering from blindness.

INTRODUCTION

Optogenetic and gene supplementation therapies to restore vision are already performed on human patients1 (Table 1). In the sophisticated neuronal network of the retina,2 targeting therapeutic genes to specific cell types to achieve precise intervention is often imperative. While the photoreceptor cells and the retinal pigment epithelium are targets for gene therapy at early stages of retinal degeneration, the bipolar cells make promising targets for gene therapy at late stages of retinal degeneration, when most photoreceptors are lost. The bipolar cells are the first interneurons of the retina that receive direct input from the photoreceptors. They are divided into ON- and OFF-type bipolar cells that respond to either light increments or light decrements, respectively, forming the foundation for contrast vision.3 It was previously shown that optogenetic sensitization of ON-bipolar cells (OBCs) to light in a photoreceptor-less, blind murine retina can restore light responsiveness and complex inner retinal signaling, resulting in diverse receptive-field types in the retinal ganglion cell population.4-6 Channelrhodopsin-2,7 rhodopsin,6 and chimeric Opto-mGluR68 have all been expressed in the OBCs of blind rd1 mice to restore functional vision at the retinal, cortical, and behavioral levels. Photoswitchable chemical ligands attached to cysteine-mutated modified glutamate receptors (LiGluRs) equally restored visual responses in rd1 mice when targeted to the OBCs.7 Besides optogenetic approaches, OBCs also present attractive targets for gene supplementation therapies treating congenital stationary night blindness (CSNB). Most mutations causing CSNB are found in OBC-specific genes that encode proteins of the mGluR6 signalosome, such as NYX, GRM6, GPR179, LRIT3, GNB3, and TRPM1.8

Although OBCs were successfully targeted in the mouse retina, they remained inaccessible to gene therapy in the human retina due to the lack of molecular tools; in particular, a specific promoter that can drive strong transgene expression in human OBCs. A reason for the divergence of promoter performance in murine and human OBCs is the prominent inter-species functional variability of molecular tools.9 Further, OBCs are located deep within the retinal tissue, making them less accessible to viruses. They also appear rather non-permissive to viral transduction and require highly specialized promoters.9 This becomes evident by the fact that specific targeting of diverse retinal cell types, but excluding OBCs, was recently achieved in the primate and human retina using a combinatorial screen of synthetic promoters and novel adeno-associated virus (AAV) capsid variants. OBC-specific expression is important not only for restoring precise retinal signaling with an optogenetic gene therapy but also for reducing the risk of toxic and/or inflammatory reactions previously linked to unsppecific protein expression using ubiquitous promoters.9 Due to the species specificity of molecular tools, promoter function must inevitably be tested in human tissue. A valuable pre-clinical model to test promoter specificity and efficacy in human tissue is the post-mortem human retinal explant (HRE). Since promoter specificity is independent of the viral administration route, a whole-eye in vivo gene therapy is not required.

The 9.5-kb full-length murine Grm6 promoter is known to exclusively drive expression in OBCs11 and was successfully used in a transgenic
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mouse line to drive expression in all OBCs of the murine retina. For AAV-based gene therapies, however, short promoter sequences are required, since the packaging capacity of an AAV is limited (approximately 4.7 kb) and does not accommodate endogenous promoters of several kilobases in length. In this respect, enhancer promoter combinations derived from Grm6 have proven most successful in driving transgene expression in murine OBCs. A short 200-bp Grm6 enhancer in combination with a basal viral simian virus 40 (SV40) promoter (200En-SV40) was first used for ectopic channelrhodopsin-2 expression in OBCs. Introducing a quadruple repetition of the 200-bp Grm6 enhancer preceding the SV40 basal promoter (4xGRM6-SV40) was later shown to increase transgene expression in the murine retina. Unfortunately, 4xGRM6-SV40 was unable to drive transgene expression in OBCs of the degenerated rd1 mouse retina and led to significant off-target expression in ex vivo cultures of human post-mortem retinas. More recently, an entirely murine Grm6-based short promoter was designed (200En-mGluR500P) that drives gene expression in the wild-type C57BL/6 mouse retina.

### Table 1. Ongoing AAV Gene Replacement and Gene Supplementation Trials in the Retina

| ClinicalTrials.gov identifier | Disease | Gene | Target cells | Vector | Delivery | Phase | Drug | Start | Estimated Date of completion |
|------------------------------|---------|------|-------------|--------|----------|-------|------|-------|-------------------------------|
| NCT03328130                  | Autosomal recessive RP | PDE6B | PRs | AAV2/5 | SR | I/II | HORA-PDE6B | 2017 | 2022 |
| NCT03374657                  | Autosomal recessive RP | RLBP1 | RPEs | AAV2/8 | SR | I/II | CPK850 | 2018 | 2025 |
| NCT03252847                  | X-linked RP | RPRG | PRs | AAV2 | SR | I/II |              | 2017 | 2020 |
| NCT03116113                  | X-linked RP | RPRG | PRs | AAV2/8 | SR | II/III |          | 2017 | 2020 |
| NCT03316560                  | X-linked RP | RPRG | PRs | AAV2 | SR | I/II | AGTC-501 | 2018 | 2024 |
| NCT01482195                  | RP | MERTK | RPEs | AAV2 | SR | I |         | 2011 | 2023 |
| NCT02556736                  | Advanced RP | ChR2 | RGCs | AAV2 | I/IIa | RST-001 | 2015 | 2033 |
| NCT03326336                  | Non-syndromic RP | ChrimsonR | RGCs | AAV2/7m8 | I/IIa | GS030 | 2018 | 2024 |
| NCT03507686                  | Choroideremia | REP1 | RPE | AAV2 | SR | II |        | 2017 | 2020 |
| NCT03496012                  | Choroideremia | REP1 | RPE | AAV2 | SR | III |        | 2017 | 2020 |
| NCT02407678                  | Choroideremia | REP1 | RPE | AAV2 | SR | II |        | 2016 | 2021 |
| NCT03001310                  | Achromatopsia | CNGB3 | PRs | AAV2/8 | SR | I/II |        | 2016 | 2019 |
| NCT03278873                  | Achromatopsia | CNGB3 | PRs | AAV | SR | I/II |        | 2017 | 2023 |
| NCT02599922                  | Achromatopsia | CNGB3 | PRs | AAV2 | SR | II/III |        | 2016 | 2022 |
| NCT02935517                  | Achromatopsia | CNGA3 | PRs | AAV2 | SR | I/II | AGTC-402 | 2017 | 2021 |
| NCT02610582                  | Achromatopsia | CNGA3 | PRs | AAV2/8 | SR | I/II |        | 2017 | 2021 |
| NCT00516477                  | Leber congenital amaurosis | RPE65 | RPEs | AAV2 | SR | I/II | VN | 2007 | 2024 |
| NCT01208389                  | Leber congenital amaurosis | RPE65 | RPEs | AAV2 | SR | I/II | VN | 2010 | 2026 |
| NCT00999609                  | Leber congenital amaurosis | RPE65 | RPEs | AAV2 | SR | III | VN | 2012 | 2029 |
| NCT02948679                  | Leber congenital amaurosis | RPE65 | RPEs | AAV2/5 | SR | I/II | AAV OPTIRPE65 | 2016 | 2023 |
| NCT00481546                  | Leber congenital amaurosis | RPE65 | RPEs | AAV2 | SR | I |        | 2007 | 2026 |
| NCT03597399                  | Leber congenital amaurosis | RPE65 | RPEs | AAV2 | SR | post-authorization | VN | 2019 | 2029 |
| NCT02416622                  | X-linked retinoschisis | RS1 | PRs, BCs | AAV2 | I/II | RSI AAV Vector | 2015 | 2022 |
| NCT02317887                  | X-linked retinoschisis | RS1 | PRs, BCs | AAV2/8 | I/II | RSI AAV Vector | 2014 | 2021 |
| NCT02065011                  | Usher syndrome 1B | MY07A | PRs | LV | SR | I/II | UshStat | 2013 | 2035 |
| NCT01505062                  | Usher syndrome 1B, RP | MY07A | PRs | LV | SR | I/II | SAR421869 | 2012 | 2020 |
| NCT01367444                  | Stargardt disease | ABCA4 | PRs | LV | SR | I/II | SAR422459 | 2011 | 2019 |
| NCT01736592                  | Stargardt disease | ABCA4 | PRs | LV | SR | I/II | SAR422459 | 2012 | 2034 |

RP, retinitis pigmentosa; AAV, adeno-associated virus; LV, lentivirus; PRs, photoreceptor cells; RGCs, retinal ganglion cells; SR, subretinal injection; RPEs, retinal pigment epithelial cells; IVT, intravitreal injection; VN, voretigene neparvovecrzyl; BCs, bipolar cells.
because current retinal gene therapies (Table 1) almost exclusively target the cone-dominated fovea mediates high-acuity vision, but also selectivity for rOBCs over cOBCs; this is not only important because the macular region, which is most permissive to AAV transduction of genomes, is severely downregulated in 8-week-old rd10 (B6.CXB1-Pde6brd10) mice with retinal degeneration, even when the gene therapy was performed early at postnatal day 24 (P24). 

Here, we introduce a novel synthetic promoter derived from the human GRM6 gene, consisting of a core promoter element and an enhancer element, which drives transgene expression in OBCs in a modest and highly specific (approximately 90%) manner when delivered by an AAV gene therapy, not only in late degenerated murine retinas but particularly also in human ex vivo retinas. Proof of functionality in the human retina is important due to the known variability of its expression in adult C57BL/6 mice (Figure S1), consistent with its expression in adult C57BL/6 mice (Figure S1), consistent with the known variability of cell-type targeting by synthetic promoters across species. Further advantage of the novel 770En_454P(hGRM6) promoter is its ability to drive strong expression in cOBCs of the human macula, with no selectivity for rOBCs over cOBCs; this is not only important because the cone-dominated fovea mediates high-acuity vision, but also because current retinal gene therapies (Table 1) almost exclusively target the macular region, which is most permissive to AAV transduction in humans and primates. Further advantage of the 770En_454P(hGRM6) promoter is that it mediates low off-target gene expression in other retinal cell types of the human retina and that it complies in size (1.2 kb) with other promoters used in AAV gene therapies.

The 770En_454P(hGRM6) promoter paves the way toward OBC-targeted gene supplementation therapies for commercial restoration and treatment of CSNB, thereby bringing hope to millions of people suffering from photoreceptor degeneration. Giving access to OBCs for genetic manipulations makes 770En_454P(hGRM6) a welcome tool to unravel inner retinal signaling across species, across health and disease, and across different approaches of prosthetic restoration.

RESULTS

The OBCs make promising targets for gene therapy, either to replace malfunctioning genes causative of congenital stationary night blindness or to restore vision by an optogenetic supplementation therapy when photoreceptors are lost (Figure 1). Bipolar cells are the first interneurons of the retinal neuronal network and remain relatively well preserved in advanced retinal degeneration, which allows optogenetic restoration of sophisticated visual signaling (Figure 1A). Preclinical endeavors are underway that supply functional versions of mutated genes to the OBCs to restore the mGluR6 signaling pathway in CSNB patients (Figure 1B) and, with that, OBC function and vision. The mGluR6 receptor is exclusively expressed in the OBCs; therefore, the Grm6 gene makes a valuable target for promoter design. It was shown that the murine Grm6 enhancer in combination with the SV40 viral promoter is able to drive OBC-enriched expression of exogenous transgenes in the murine retina.

Changes in Grm6 Transcription during Retinal Degeneration

Since we recently showed that expression from the 4xGRM6-SV40 promoter is severely downregulated in 8-week-old rd1 (C3H/HeOu) mice with retinal degeneration, even when the gene therapy was performed early at postnatal day 24 (P24), we quantified Grm6 transcription in the retinas of rd1 mice of up to 10 months of age by quantitative real-time PCR to ascertain whether Grm6 expression was downregulated during the degenerative process. Thereby, we assumed that promoters active in the aggressive rd1 photoreceptor degeneration mouse model are likely to be active in less severe degenerative models, as we have shown for the 4xGRM6-SV40 promoter, which is still active in the slower rd10 (B6.CXB1-Pde6brd10) photoreceptor degeneration mouse line—but not in the rd1 model although with considerable off-target expression.

We quantified Grm6 transcription at P14, P21, P28, P54, and P318 in homogenized entire rd1 retinas and determined Grm6 transcription levels relative to transcription levels in wild-type C57BL/6 mouse retinas (n = 4, P54; Figure 2). Ribosomal protein L8 (Rpl8) was used as a reference gene, and Rh0 was used as marker for progressing photoreceptor degeneration. At P21, the relative Rh0 expression falls to 0.8% of its expression in adult C57BL/6 mice (Figure S1), consistent with severe rod photoreceptor loss. To compensate for the remaining photoreceptors in the early stages of degeneration that would bias toward an underestimation of Grm6 levels compared to the levels in late stages, we normalized the measured Grm6 transcription values against the remaining Rh0 transcription levels (Equation 3, in Materials and Methods). Figure 2 shows that the largest reduction in Grm6 transcription levels (Equation 3, in Materials and Methods). Figure 2 shows that the largest reduction in Grm6 transcription levels (Equation 3, in Materials and Methods). Figure 2 shows that the largest reduction in Grm6 transcription levels (Equation 3, in Materials and Methods). Figure 2 shows that the largest reduction in Grm6 transcription levels (Equation 3, in Materials and Methods).
transcription (−33.3%) takes place between P21 and P28, following the peak rod degeneration at P12–P14. Subsequently, Grm6 transcript levels in the rd1 retina stabilized at around 40% of the wild-type C57BL/6 level. This suggests that the silencing of 4xGRM6-SV40-driven expression in OBCs of the rd1 mouse retina14 was unlikely to be due to the Grm6 enhancer. Having confirmed sustained Grm6 transcription throughout the entire process of retinal degeneration, we chose the Grm6 genome sequence as a template for synthetic promoter design.

**Design of Short Human GRM6-Based Promoters**

To optimally comply with the human transcription machinery, we based the promoter design on the human GRM6 gene. To find potential regulatory genome sequences, we identified inter-species conserved DNA, active chromatin regions (DNase I hypersensitivity clusters or H3K27 acetylation), and potential transcription factor (TF) binding sites. The Gene Transcription Regulation Database (GTRD)15,20 was used to retrieve chromatin immunoprecipitation DNA-sequencing (ChIP-seq) peaks, which provide experimentally verified TF binding sites.

To define the proximal promoter region, we focused on the 1,000 bp immediately 5' of the translation start site (TLSS, defined as position 0; Figure 3A). When aligning the human and mouse sequences, we identified a 167-bp conserved sequence (−425 to −259; Figure 3A, striped region). We chose the first promoter variant, 566P (−691 to −126), to contain this 167-bp conserved sequence, the 3’ transcription start site (TSS; −179), and the 5’ H3K27Ac Mark signal peak (−656 to −405), as well as the potential binding site for the activating TF ERG. The second selected promoter variant, 454P (−453 to +1) also contains the full conserved 167-bp sequence but extends a further 3’ compared to 566P, reaching the translation start site (TLSS) and additionally containing the potential binding sites for the TFs MYC, POU5F1, and TCF7L1.

To select potential enhancer sequences, we focused on the human GRM6 region around the sequence syntenic to the murine 200En Grm6 enhancer previously identified by Kim and colleagues.12 We identified a 310-bp-long sequence conserved between mouse and human genomes (−13,819 to −13,510) and extending beyond the murine 200En Grm6 enhancer in both 3’ and 5’ directions (Figure 3B, striped section). We selected two enhancer variants, 407En and 770En. The short 407En enhancer (−13,873 to −13,467) contains the entire identified 310-bp conserved sequence. The longer 770En enhancer additionally contains all regulatory regions of interest in the 5’ direction, such as potential binding sites for the TFs DACH1, FLI1, and CTCF, as well as a DNase I hypersensitivity cluster (−13,990 to −13,816).

**Evaluation of Promoters in the Human Retina**

The human post-mortem retinal explant presents a valuable pre-clinical model for promoter testing; not only is it known that promoter activity and specificity vary largely among species,13 but evaluation of promoter specificity also does not require a whole-eye in vivo gene therapy, since it is independent of the viral administration route.

For transduction of post-mortem human retinas, we cloned three GRM6 enhancer/proximal promoter combinations (Table 2) upstream of the mCitrine reporter gene. We packaged expression constructs into the AAV2(7m8) viral capsid, which is already being used for intravitreal injections into human eyes (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT03326336). We used self-complementary (sc) AAV capsids that mediate faster gene expression (within approximately 1 week) compared to single-stranded (ss) AAVs (approximately 4–5 weeks), which is advantageous when working with ex vivo retinal cultures of limited longevity.

6 × 10^8–1.5 × 10^10 vgs (vector genomes) were added to the ganglion cell side of cultured HREs at day 1. Explants were frozen and immunolabeled at day 8 of culture when mCitrine expression became detectable by eye (Figure S2). Cryosections were co-labeled with the nuclear stain DAPI to distinguish cell layers and antibodies against mCitrine (transgene) and Gao (OBC marker) to derive the identity of expressing [mCitrine+] cells. Photoreceptors are located in the outer nuclear layer (ONL), OBCs label positive for Gao and are located in the inner nuclear layer (INL), and ganglion cells are located in the ganglion cell layer (GCL) (Figures 4A and 4C). All three promoters—as opposed to highly unspecific transgene expression driven by 4xGRM6-SV40 (Figure S3)—showed high specificity for OBCs: 407En_454P(hGRM6) drove mCitrine expression in 83% ± 4% of OBCs, 407En_566P(hGRM6) drove expression in 75% ± 21% of OBCs, and 770En_454P(hGRM6) drove expression in 88% ± 13% of OBCs. To quantify promoter strength, we measured cytoplasmic Alexa 488 (secondary antibody for mCitrine) fluorescence in transduced OBC cell bodies (for details, refer to Materials and Methods). As evident from Figure 4B, promoters 770En_454P(hGRM6) (5.3 ± 1.3; n = 4; p = 0.002) and 407En_454P(hGRM6) (4.9 ± 0.2; n = 3;
For all subsequent experiments, we therefore used promoters with the
promoter element 454P, which produced more efficient and stronger
mCitrine expression.

Significantly Enhanced cOBC Preference in HREs
Currently, AAV gene therapies almost exclusively target the macula,
the central area of the retina comprising the fovea centralis, which
mediates high-acuity vision.22 The ONL of the human fovea
exclusively contains cones, which connect to cone bipolar cells in the inner retina
forming the midget pathway underlying high-acuity vision. Fovea-
targeted retinal gene therapy, therefore, demands a promoter that
effectively drives expression in cOBCs.

To quantify selective expression in cOBCs, we performed triple la-
beling of human retinal cryosections with antibodies against the re-
porter protein mCitrine, Gao or Gy13 (ubiquitous OBC markers),
and the rOBC cell-specific antibody protein kinase C alpha
(PKCa) to distinguish rOBCs [PKCa+ and Gao+/Gy13+] and
cOBCs [PKCa− and Gao+/Gy13+]. Figure 4C shows that
770En_454P(hGRM6) drives expression in both rOBCs and cOBCs.
We counted mCitrine-expressing cOBCs as a fraction of all re-
porter-expressing OBCs and found that 770En_454P(hGRM6)
drove expression in cOBCs at a higher ratio (22.3% ± 8.6%)
compared to 407En_454P(hGRM6) (13.5% ± 1%). Since both pro-
moters are active in rOBCs and cOBCs, the resulting expression ra-
tio will always be influenced by the cOBC-to-rOBC ratio present in
the transduced tissue, which varies in different retinal regions; the
occurrence of cOBCs outside of the macula is low compared to
the abundance of rOBCs.23 To get a measure of the preference of
a promoter for cOBCs, which is independent of the cOBC-to-
rOBC ratio, we first calculated the expression efficacies for rOBCs
and cOBCs separately (Equation 1).

\[
\text{Expression efficacy (rOBCs)} = \frac{\text{expressing rOBCs [PKCa(+) }, \text{Gao(+), mCitrine +]} }{\text{all rOBCs [PKCa(+), Gao(+)] in region}}
\]

\[
\text{Expression efficacy(cOBCs)} = \frac{\text{expressing cOBCs [PKCa(−), Gao(+), mCitrine +]} }{\text{all cOBCs [PKCa(−), Gao(+)]] in region}}
\]

(Equation 1)
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2.3%; Figure 4D). To corroborate the excellent performance of
770En_454P(hGRM6) in cOBCs, we also cultured and transduced
explants of human maculas where cOBCs largely predominate. As
exemplified in Figure 5, 770En_454P(hGRM6) drove gene expres-
sion in macular cOBCs with high efficiency.
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expression profile was identical for both capsids, targeting equal levels of OBCs (scAAV2(7m8): 88.1% ± 13.1%, n = 3; scAAV2(Y252,272,444,500,700,730F): 89.7% ± 3.5%; n = 3). Consequently, the high OBC specificity produced by 770En_454P(hGRM6) is a property of the promoter and independent of the AAV capsid.

Significant transgene expression in cells other than OBCs could lead to cytotoxicity and inflammatory reactions as well as to corrupt signaling in an optogenetic approach. Therefore, we quantified and compared OBC-specific and off-target transgene expression by measuring Alexa 488 fluorescence of the immunolabeled mCitrine reporter protein throughout the retina. Figure 6H shows that the normalized FVs in OBCs are significantly higher compared to those in other cells, which include ganglion cells, amacrine cells, photoreceptors, and OFF-type bipolar cells. Further, the equivalent FVs of rOBCs (5.4 ± 2.2) and cOBCs (5.2 ± 1.7) again confirmed equal functionality of 770En_454P(hGRM6) in both OBC types. In combination with the low off-target transduction rate (Figure 6G), we consider functional interference or induction of cytotoxic/inflammatory responses arising from off-target protein expression to be rather unlikely. To understand whether the ratio of off-target versus on-target expression is dependent on the viral dose applied, we correlated in different locations of the retinal explants OBC expression specificity and expression efficacy, the latter being directly dependent on the applied AAV dose (Figure S4). The correlation of specificity and efficacy was not significant (p = 0.18), from which we conclude that the OBC specificity of 770En_454P(hGRM6)-driven expression is independent of AAV dose.
The combination of high OBC specificity and efficacy of expression driven by 770En_454P(hGRM6) allows for cell-type-specific intervention, maximizing functional outcome and reducing undesired side effects.

**Optogenetic Vision Restoration with 770En_454P(hGRM6)-Driven OBC-Targeted Middle-Wave Opsin Expression in Fully Degenerated rd1 Mouse Retinas**

The tissue’s accessibility to treatment is important for a retinal gene therapy. This can be challenging by anatomical, functional, and transcriptional changes within the tissue. HREs are not a degenerated tissue; therefore, we used the harsh photoreceptor degeneration rd1 mouse model to test 770En_454P(hGRM6)’s performance in the degenerated retina. The 4xGRM6-SV40 promoter, for example, was no longer functional in the OBCs of rd1 mice when injected at 3.5 weeks of age and evaluated at 7.5 weeks of age. To challenge the performance of 770En_454P(hGRM6), we performed an optogenetic gene therapy in late degenerated, 22-week-old rd1 mice (n = 8). For this, we cloned an expression cassette in which promoter 770En_454P(hGRM6) drives the expression of murine medium-wave-sensitive cone opsins 1 (Opn1mw) and a red fluorescent protein (TurboFP635). We fused Opn1mw C-terminally with the entire C terminus of the OBC-specific mGluR6 receptor to achieve correct subcellular localization within the mGluR6 signalosome of the bipolar cell. We further added C-terminally a Golgi export signal (TS) and the rhodopsin membrane trafficking sequence (TETSVAPA) to improve membrane targeting. 770En_454P(hGRM6)-Opn1mw-Ct-mGluR6-TS-1D4-IRES2-TurboFP635 (Figure S5) was packaged into ssAAV2(7m8), and 1 × 10^{11} vgs were bilaterally and intravitreally injected. To ascertain functional Opn1mw expression, we quantified the visual acuity by detecting the optomotor response (OMR). Briefly, the OMR of a mouse is a compensatory head movement reflex that serves the stabilization of a globally shifting visual image on the retina. By presenting to a mouse a laterally moving vertical black-and-white striped pattern, the highest spatial frequency that still elicits the OMR can be determined by stepwise increasing the spatial frequency of the pattern until the OMR is lost. The detection threshold can then be translated into the visual acuity of vision. We measured the OMR of the treated mice repeatedly between 28 and 38 weeks of age (n = 3). The average visual acuity of the treated mice was 0.28 ± 0.02 cycles per degree (cpd), which was significantly improved (CI95% of difference = 0.087–0.237, p = 0.0001) compared to that of non-injected rd1 littermates (0.12 ± 0.03 cpd; n = 5), reaching 65% of the values of seeing C57BL/6-positive controls (0.43 ± 0.05 cpd; n = 10; CI95% of difference = 0.081–0.217, p = 0.0001) (Figure 7A).

Importantly, restored visual acuity did not decrease over the 10-week test period (Figure S6), which is indicative of a halt or slowing down of retinal degeneration through optogenetic re-activation of inner retinal signaling. Mice were subsequently euthanized to visualize the retinal TurboFP635 expression pattern. Remarkably, transgene expression was found as virtually pan-retinal even in these very late degenerated retinas (rd1, 41 weeks of age: Figure 7B). Cryosections from additional treated rd1 mice (n = 5) sacrificed at 26 weeks of age revealed that 770En_454P(hGRM6), in contrast to 4xGRM6-SV40, is able to drive Opn1mw expression also in OBCs of the degenerated retina with good expression efficacy (57.1% ± 4.3%; Figure 7C) and OBC specificity (59.1% ± 10%; Figure 7D). For comparison, expression specificity in wild-type C57BL/6 mice is higher (70.6% ± 5%; Figure S7), probably a consequence of transcriptomic changes during the process of degeneration. Nonetheless, the good restoration of visual acuity in rd1 mice confirms that the OBC specificity of 770En_454P(hGRM6) in rd1 mice is still high enough to separate the retinal ON and OFF channels underlying contrast detection.

The extent to which degeneration will affect 770En_454P(hGRM6) promoter specificity in humans will need to be investigated, but widespread, cell-specific, functional, and stable therapeutic transgene expression in a degenerated retina well beyond any remaining retinal responsiveness or visual behavior (>24 weeks in rd1 mice) is, undoubtedly, a fundamental prerequisite for an effective gene therapy.

**DISCUSSION**

Retinal diseases are almost exclusively cell-type specific, and expression of a therapeutic gene in off-target cells was reported to enhance cytotoxic effects. The challenge for gene therapy is, therefore, to achieve cell-type-specific transgene expression at functional levels. Such cell-type-specific treatment is, in particular, indispensable when optogenetic therapy is aimed at bipolar cells to restore complex and finely balanced inner retinal signaling between distinct retinal cell types. A further challenge is to achieve robust and specific transgene expression in degenerated tissue, since cellular transcriptomes often change in degeneration. OBCs have proven particularly challenging target cells. Not only do they lie deep within the retina, making them less accessible to viruses, but they also appear rather non-permissive to viral transduction and require highly specialized promoters.

Although murine OBCs were targeted with Grm6-derived promoters, albeit with varying specificity, this success was not translated into human tissue (Figure S3) or late degenerated retinas. The novel 770En_454P(hGRM6) promoter presented here paves the way for OBC-targeted human gene therapy. 770En_454P(hGRM6) not only targets a high percentage of OBCs throughout the human retina but also does this with a very high specificity for OBCs of approximately 90%. 770En_454P(hGRM6) also fulfills other requirements that render it well suited for a human retinal gene therapy: (1) it is sufficiently short (1,243 bp) to fit, together with a therapeutic transgene (i.e., an optogene), into an AAV capsid; (2) it is derived from the human genome and, therefore, optimally controlled by the human transcription machinery; (3) it possesses high functionality in the OBCs,
enabling macular OBC-targeted gene therapy for restoration of high-acuity vision; and (4) it drives expression at therapeutic levels also in degenerated tissue, leading to functional restoration. This was shown by the good restoration of the OMR in treated rd1 mice with retinal degeneration, despite a significantly higher inner retinal off-target expression (27%) compared to HREs (5%). Reasons for the difference are potentially the human origin of the 770En_454P(hGRM6) promoter as well as the degenerative process, which is known to alter cellular transcriptomes;14 corroborating the latter, we found that the inner retinal off-target expression of 770En_454P(hGRM6)-driven optogene expression in wild-type C57BL/6 mice is significantly lower (12.9% ± 4.8%; Figure 7D) than in rd1 mice (26.8% ± 7.2%, p = 0.0153; Figure 6D). Nonetheless, despite off-target expression of medium-wave cone opsins in cells other than OBCs, contrast sensitivity triggering the OMR was clearly restored, confirming successful re-establishment of the ON and OFF pathways emerging at the level of the bipolar cells.30 Since OBC specificity of 770En_454P(hGRM6)-driven expression in HREs is very high (approximately 90%), it is expected that visual acuity will be reasonably well restored also in future human patients. Of course, off-target expression is relevant not only for optogenetic restoration but also for gene delivery in general, since significant transgene expression in cells other than OBCs could lead to cytotoxicity and inflammatory responses;15 however, the number of expressing off-target cells is small compared to that of expressing OBCs, and transgene expression levels in off-target cells are significantly lower than those in OBCs (Figures 6G and 6H), rendering cytotoxic effects caused by off-target expression improbable.

AAV-mediated optogenetic gene therapy is, currently, the most promising approach to treat end-stage photoreceptor degenerative diseases of the retina independent of the underlying pathology, such as, for example, hereditary retinitis pigmentosa or geographic atrophy in late dry age-related macular degeneration. The two ongoing clinical trials by Allergan (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT02556736) and GenSight Biologics (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT03326336) target channelrhodopsins (ChR232 and ChrimsonR,33 respectively) to the retinal ganglion cells. This will potentially restore luminance detection but with low visual acuity, since the diverse ganglion cell types (ON, OFF, transient, and sustained) will be engineered into one uniform response type, a sustained ON ganglion cell that starts firing action potentials when the light is turned on and stops signaling when light
is turned off. Use of 770En_454P(hGRM6), in contrast, enables optogenetic activation of OBCs and, with that, restoration of inner retinal signaling between bipolar, amacrine, and ganglion cells, which underlies high-level image formation, including contrast signaling and the detection of movement. Channelrhodopsins as therapeutic optogenetic tools potentially bear some disadvantages as well: they are of microbial origin, which may elicit an immunogenic response in a patient, and they require very high-activation light intensities, enhancing the risk of tissue phototoxicity in the treatment\(^3\) and making the use of image processors necessary. To overcome the aforementioned potential drawbacks, research has started targeting OBCs with more refined, next-generation optogenetic tools based on human opsins, such as Opto-mGluR6\(^4\) and rhodopsin.\(^5\) Being G-protein-coupled receptors, these tools profit from an approximately 1,000-fold signal amplification mediated by the coupled intracellular G-protein cascade and endow OBCs with environmental light sensitivity.\(^6\) Here, we expanded the set of OBC-targeted optogenetic strategies by implementing Opn1mw as a tool to render OBCs light sensitive. Opn1mw is expressed in green-light-sensitive cones, where it naturally couples to transducin, a \(G\)\(_i\)-type protein. Due to its \(G\)\(_i\)-type preference, Opn1mw has the ability to couple into the endogenous \(G\)\(_{ic}\)-pathway of OBCs. We could demonstrate that re-activation of retinal light signaling. All the aforementioned data are fundamental for a durable treatment of a human patient where the diagnosis of vision loss typically takes place during the late stages of degeneration.

Besides optogenetic therapies, OBC-targeted gene supplementation therapies with the potential of treating CSNB will also profit from 770En_454P(hGRM6). Most forms of CSNB are caused by mutations within OBC-expressed genes such as NYX, GRM6, TRPM1, GPR179, GNB3, and LRT13, which encode proteins involved in the OBC-signaling cascade\(^8\) (Figure 1B). Preclinical endeavors are underway that supply a functional version of the mutated gene to the OBCs to restore the mGluR6 signaling pathway and, with that, OBC function and vision.\(^18\) In terms of translation into the clinics and testing in human subjects, we see no major challenge for 770En_454P(hGRM6). Regarding safety, 770En_454P(hGRM6) consists of entirely human DNA elements. In terms of efficacy, mCitrine expression was visible by eye already 7 days post-transduction, and sufficient OPN1mw was expressed in the rd1 mouse retina to restore a solid OMR; however, the efficacy of expressing larger, more complicated proteins, such as membrane proteins—in particular, optogenetic proteins—in the human retina remains to be...
tested. In terms of manufacturing, which mainly concerns the AAV capsid and not the transgene, we foresee no issues for 770En_454P(hGRM6), since it complies in size with other promoters used in ssAAV gene therapy.

The center of the human retina (fovea centralis), which mediates high-acuity vision, and the surrounding central retina, the macula, are understandably the primary focus of current retinal gene therapies.22 Promoter 770En_454P(hGRM6) empowers OBC-targeted macular gene therapies with the promise to restore high-quality vision. In age-related macular degeneration, Stargardt disease, and cone-rod dystrophies, the macular cones are primarily affected.36 The macula, however, is also affected in later stages of rod-cone dystrophies, such as hereditary retinitis pigmentosa.17 Subretinal injections typically place AAVs close to the central retina. Intravitreal injections currently also only lead to transgene expression within the macula of primates and probably also humans.38,39 The reason is 2-fold: first, only in the macular region is the inner limiting membrane barrier thin enough to allow viral particles to pass. Second, the bipolar and ganglion cells connecting to the foveal cones are laterally pushed aside from the fovea, rendering them directly accessible from the vitreous.16,40 Of course, endeavors are being made to achieve pan-retinal transduction, such as multifocal subretinal injections, inner limiting membrane peeling before intravitreal injection,38 and AAV engineering for improved penetration of the inner limiting membrane.21 Despite the unarguable importance of the macula as a target for gene therapy, transduction of macular OBCs has, however, not yet been achieved. This is because the macula is dominated by the cOBCs, which remained, until the development of 770En_454P(hGRM6), inaccessible to transgene expression. The reason for this may be that cOBCs require particularly specialized promoters. Which elements of 770En_454P(hGRM6) then could convey the high functionality in the cOBCs? cOBC functionality...
could be mediated through (1) the proximal promoter element (2),
the enhancer element, and (3) the distance and interaction be-
tween transcription factor binding sites in the enhancer and the
proximal promoter. The more effective promoter element 454P
contains additional binding sites for the transcriptional regulators
TCF7L1 (regulator of Wnt signaling),\textsuperscript{41} MYC (highly pleiotropic
transcription factor),\textsuperscript{42} and POU5F1 (involved in embryonic
development and stem cell pluripotency;\textsuperscript{43} Figure 3A). Addition-
ally, in promoter variants containing 454P(hGRM6), the distance
between TF binding sites in the enhancer and the proximal pro-
moter is reduced, which was previously linked to enhanced
promoter functionality.\textsuperscript{15} The observed difference in terms of
cOBC preference between promoters 770En_454P(hGRM6) and
407En_454P(hGRM6) must lie in the enhancer element 770En. The 5’
region of 770En contains additional binding sites for
CTCF (transcriptional and epigenetic regulation),\textsuperscript{14} DACH1 (tran-
scriptional regulator implicated in neural development),\textsuperscript{45,46} and
FLI1 (activator, proto-oncogene),\textsuperscript{47} which may all be involved in
the enhancement of activity. Since FLI1 is known to homo-
dimerize with itself and hetero-dimerize with ERG,\textsuperscript{48} DNA looping
through binding with FLI1 and ERG contained in the proximal
promoter may approximate enhancer and promoter, which may
potentially enhance functionality in cOBCs. Indeed, a recent study
described a synergistic role of FLI1 and ERG in the gene regulation
of endothelial cells.\textsuperscript{49}

Besides leveraging OBC-targeted clinical gene supplementation and
optogenetic gene therapies with the potential to restore high-acuity
vision, 770En_454P(hGRM6) also empowers basic research, for the first time permitting
controlled manipulation of OBC activity across species. This will contribute to ongoing revelations
of the still rather elusive inner retinal signaling, not only in health but,
importantly, also in degenerated retinal tissue. These insights can, in
turn, serve as an exemplar for other degenerating neuronal networks.
In summary, promoter 770En_454P(hGRM6) mediates highly OBC-
specific, robust, and durable transgene expression, even in the late de-
generated retina and possesses the unique ability to effectively drive
transgene expression in cOBCs resident in the human fovea and medi-
atng high-acuity vision.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bioinformatic Analysis and Promoter Design
We obtained genomic sequences and information on DNase I clus-
ters, H3K27Ac marks, and cross-species conservation for GRM6
and Grm6 from the Genome Browser of the Genomics Institute of
the University of California, Santa Cruz (https://genome.ucsc.edu,\textsuperscript{50,51}
assemblies GRCh37/hg19, GRCh38/hg38, and GRCm38/mm10).\textsuperscript{20,21} We retrieved ChIP-seq data from the Gene Transcription
Regulation Database (http://gtrd.biouml.org/\textsuperscript{19,20} and performed
sequence alignments online with “blastn” (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.
nih.gov/Blast.cgi).\textsuperscript{52,53}

Quantitative Real-Time PCR of Grm6 Transcription
isolated RNA with the SV Total RNA Isolation System (Promega,
Z3100) from the pooled retinas of each mouse and performed quan-
titative real-time PCRs in duplicates with the Luna Universal One-
Step RT-qPCR Kit (New England Biolabs, E3005) using KiCqStart
SYBR Green Primers (KSPQ12012G) for Grm6, Rho, and the
reference gene Rpl8. We used Equation 3 to mitigate the
underestimation of Grm6 transcription levels in the early stages of degeneration.

\[ \text{Va}(\text{Grm6}, x) = \text{Vm}(\text{Grm6}, x) \times \left[ \frac{\text{Vrn}[\text{Rho}, x]}{\text{Vrn}[\text{Rho}, C57BL6]} \right] + \#(\text{other cells}) \],

(Equation 3)

where \( \text{Va} \) stands for value adjusted, \( \text{Vm} \) stands for value measured, and \( x \) stands for the time point in degeneration; \#rods represents the average amount of rods (6.4 Mio),\(^5^4\) and \#(other cells) represents the average amount of non-rod cells (1.678 Mio)\(^5^4^,5^5\) in a healthy mouse retina. The adjustment is an estimate that assumes that the effect of changing non-rod cell numbers during degeneration is negligible. We further normalized the adjusted and measured values to the respective values of the wild-type animals.

**Promoter Cloning and Viral Vectors**

We isolated human genomic DNA from blood with the NucleoSpin Blood Kit (Macherey-Nagel, 740951). We PCR-amplified GRM6 enhancer and proximal promoter sequences, combined them into synthetic promoters, and then cloned the promoters into AAV plasmids. For HRE experiments, we used the scAAV plasmid pAAVsc_U7Dtex23\(^5^6\) (a kind gift by P. Odermatt and L. Garcia) as the backbone. To clone the synthetic promoters upstream of reporter mCitrine, followed by the woodchuck hepatitis virus posttranscriptional regulatory element (WPRE) and the short polyadenylation signal sNRP1-pA, we PCR-amplified XbaI-MluI from plasmid pAAV-4xGRM6-SV40_Opto-mGlur6-IRES_mCitrine-WPRE_BGH pA,\(^5^4\) and inserted it into pAAVsc_U7Dtex23 using restriction sites XbaI and MluI. Into this new backbone, we then inserted the synthetic promoters upstream of mCitrine by restriction with EcoRI/MfeI (compatible cohesive ends) and Agel for 407En_454P(hGRM6) and 407En_566P(hGRM6), or restriction with XbaI and Agel for 770En_454P(hGRM6) (Figure S8). For mouse experiments, we cloned the plasmid backbone pAAV-4xGRM6-SV40_Opto-mGlur6-IRES_TruboFP635_WPRE_BGH pA as described by van Wyk et al.\(^1^4\) but with TurboFP635 instead of mCitrine. Then, we PCR-amplified Opn1mw from murine retinal cDNA (GoScript Reverse Transcriptase, Promega), replacing the stop codon with the entire C terminus of mGlur6 followed by a Golgi export signal (TS)\(^2^8\) and the rhodopsin trafficking sequence (TETSQVAPA, 1D4 epitope). We subsequently replaced 4xGRM6-SV40_Opto-mGlur6 in the plasmid backbone by using adaptor PCR and the In-Fusion HD Cloning Plus Kit (Takara, 638909). We again used adaptor PCR to then insert 770En_454P(hGRM6) upstream of Opn1mw, resulting in ssAAV plasmid pAAV-770En_454P(hGRM6)-Opn1mw-CTmGlur6-UTS1D4-IRES2-TurboFP635-WPRE-BGH_PA (Figure S5). We packaged viral vectors with capsids AAV2(7m8)^1^3^ and AAV2(Y252,272,444,500,700,730F)^1^2^4^ as described elsewhere.\(^1^4\) Vector NTI Advance was used for generating plasmid maps.

**Mouse Injections**

We fixed mouse retinas, after enucleation and removal of the cornea and lens, for 2 h and human retinas for 30 min in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) and subsequently cryo-protected them in a sucrose gradient (10%, 20%, and 30% (wt/wt) sucrose in PBS) for a minimum of 2 h at each concentration. For cryosectioning, we embedded retinas with Tissue-Tek O.C.T. Compound (Sakura Finetek) in cryomolds, froze them in liquid-nitrogen-cooled 2-methylbutane, cut them into sections of 15-μm thickness on a cryostat (Leica), and mounted them on SuperFrost Ultra Plus Adhesion slides (Thermo Scientific). We used 2xNGS (Sigma-Aldrich, G9023) and 2xNDS (Sigma-Aldrich, D9663) (6% normal serum, 2% BSA, and 0.3% Triton X-100 in 1× PBS) and prepared antibody solutions (AB solutions) (Table S1) in 1× blocking solution (mixed 1:1 with 1× PBS). After 1 h of blocking, we incubated slides in primary AB solution overnight at 4°C and subsequently in secondary AB solution with DAPI (0.65 μg/mL, Sigma-Aldrich) for 2 h at room temperature. For the triple staining with anti-Gao, we used a sequential staining protocol: We first stained against PKCs and mCitrine as described earlier and then incubated the sections with anti-Gao for 2 h at room temperature and subsequently with anti-Mouse Cyanine 5 for another 2 h at room temperature. For staining of whole mounts or flat mounts, we blocked samples in blocking solution overnight and incubated in primary AB solution for 6 days at 4°C and in secondary AB solution for 5 days at 4°C. Finally we mounted the stained samples on glass slides with Fluoromount mounting medium (Sigma-Aldrich, F4680).
Microscopy and Quantitative Fluorescence Measurements
We used a ZEISS LSM 880 with Airyscan and ZEN 2.1 software and either a Plan-Apochromat 20×/0.8 M27 or a Plan-Apochromat 40×/1.3 Oil DIC M27 objective lens and performed image processing and evaluation in Fiji. We measured FVs in different areas of immunolabeled cryo-sections by selecting cell bodies with the freehand selection tool of Fiji and measuring the average FV of Alexa 488-labeled mCitrine with the “analyze-measure” command. We treated samples as identically as possible but normalized the median of measured FVs to the background fluorescence in untransduced OBCs to compensate for remaining experimental variations. For better comparison, we also normalized the fluorescence intensities measured in the triple-stained sections to the intensities measured in the double-stained sections. The total number of cells measured for FVs or counted for cell-type specificity quantification is given in Table S2.

Optomotor Reflex Measurements
We measured visual acuity by OMRs in the OptoDrum (Striatech) virtual optomotor system. It contained a small chamber (54 x 54 x 30 cm) with four screens (23.8”, 1920x1080 pixel resolution, in-plane switching [IPS] screen) surrounding a platform. The brightness of the screens was adjusted to 10^13 photons cm^{-2} s^{-1}, and the bottom and the top of the chamber were covered with mirrors. Head movements of unrestrained mice sitting on an elevated platform (9-cm diameter, 10-cm height) were tracked from above by an infrared-sensitive digital camera (1/3” complementary metal oxide semiconductor [CMOS] sensor with global shutter and wide-angle lens, F1.6) while a rotating pattern of black and white vertical bars was displayed at different spatial frequencies controlled by the software. The velocity of the moving bars was set to 12°/s, and the contrast was set to 100%. Optodrum software analyzed the recorded head movements, evaluated whether the mice followed the stimulus pattern, and rated the trial as positive or negative. Visual acuity of optogenetically treated rd1 mice at different time points in degeneration were not significantly different (ANOVA, p = 0.214; CI95% of difference for weeks 33–28 = [–0.091, 0.209]; CI95% of difference for weeks 38–28 = [−0.2, 0.1]; CI95% of difference for weeks 38–33 = [−0.293, 0.074]; Figure S6); therefore, we used the averages of the trials of individual mice for comparisons with positive and negative controls.

Statistics
We performed statistical tests in R v.3.6.0.39 We used one-way ANOVA for multiple comparisons with post hoc analysis with Tukey’s honestly significant difference (HSD). We used Welch’s t test for comparisons between two populations. Assumptions of normality were not rejected by the Shapiro-Wilk test, and assumptions of homoscedasticity were not rejected by Levene’s test for homogeneity of variance. In graphs, the significance levels are indicated as p ≤ 0.05, p ≤ 0.01, and p ≤ 0.001. Values are given as the mean ± standard deviation of biological replicates.

We performed power calculations with the pwr.anova.test function to estimate the required sample size for all experiments comparing synthetic promoter variants and the different treatment groups in optomotor reflex measurements. We estimated standard deviations (SDs) through pilot experiments (HRE experiments) or with values reported in the literature28 (OMR): SD for cOBC preference, 4.8%; SD for normalized fluorescence, 1.09; and SD for visual acuity, 0.04 cpd. We anticipated the following differences between group means to be statistically meaningful and functionally relevant: cOBC preference, 10%; normalized fluorescence, 2.4 (50% of the mean of the pilot experiment); and visual acuity, 0.1 cpd. Dividing these in-between group mean differences by the respective SDs, we calculated the following effect sizes: cOBC preference, 2.083; normalized fluorescence, 2.213; and visual acuity, 2.5. We performed power calculations for an ANOVA of 3 groups (for the three synthetic promoters 407En_454P(hGRM6), 407En_566P(hGRM6), and 770En_454P(hGRM6)) or 3 groups of mice in the OMR experiments at a significance level of 0.05 and power of 0.9, which all yielded necessary biological sample sizes of between 2 and 3.
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