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We present a hydrodynamic assessment of preliminary particle spectra observed in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. The hadronic part of the underlying equation of state is based on explicit conservation of (measured) particle ratios throughout the resonance gas stage after chemical freezeout by employing chemical potentials for stable mesons, nucleons and antinucleons. We find that under these conditions the data (in particular the proton spectra) favor a low freezeout temperature of around $\sim 100$ MeV. Furthermore we show that through inclusion of a moderate pre-hydrodynamic transverse flow field the shape of the spectra improves with respect to the data. The effect of the initial transverse boost on elliptic flow and the freezeout geometry of the system is also discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

During its second year of operation, RHIC (the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider at Brookhaven National Laboratory) has collided \textsuperscript{197}Au nuclei at center-of-mass (CM) energies of 200 GeV per nucleon pair to create strong interaction matter at high energy densities in the laboratory. To identify signals of a possible phase transition from low-energy nuclear to deconfined quark-gluon matter, a large amount of data was analyzed and recently presented for the first time [1].

In the present article we investigate single particle spectra of various hadronic species within a hydrodynamic framework for the reaction dynamics, which assumes rapid thermalization in the reaction volume and a subsequent expansion according to the conservation of energy, momentum, entropy and baryon-number (for details of the approach, which resides on explicit longitudinal boost invariance, cf. Ref. [2]). At CM energies of 130 AGeV, the successful description of observed single-particle transverse momentum ($p_T$) spectra and their azimuthal modulation in non-central collisions have validated this approach down to decoupling temperatures of $\sim 130$ MeV, at which hadronic interactions have been assumed to cease instantaneously [3]. As an alternative to entirely hydrodynamic simulations, especially for the late, more dilute stages in a heavy-ion collision, hybrid models have been developed [4–6] which treat the hadronic phase in sequential-scattering models, propagating hadrons individually. While the momentum-space observables are in good agreement with experiments at RHIC in both descriptions, the freezeout geometry persists to be inconsistent with the data in either approach. Hydrodynamic evolutions appear to be too long-lived but too small in radial extent [7], whereas hybrid calculations produce an emission cloud which appears to be too large [4,5].

Concerning global particle production, it was soon realized [8] that, also at RHIC energies, measured hadron ratios reflect a chemical composition of the fireball which corresponds to a temperature close to the expected QCD phase boundary, $T_{chem} \simeq 170$-180 MeV $\simeq T_c$. Thus, in a thermodynamic description of the cooling process from chemical to thermal freezeout, the conservation of the relative hadronic abundances requires the introduction of (effective) chemical potentials [9–14] for species that are stable on the scale of typical fireball lifetimes. In particular, it was pointed out in Ref. [13] that the conservation of antibaryons plays an important role at collider energies. Despite their large annihilation cross sections, their finally observed abundance is in complete agreement with chemical-freezeout systematics (for a possible microscopic explanation of this fact, based on multi-meson fusion reactions to maintain detailed balance, cf. Ref. [15]). This implies the build-up of large antibaryon chemical potentials, $\mu_{\bar{N}}^{\text{eff}}$, defined via $\mu_{\bar{N}} = -\mu_N + \mu_{\bar{N}}^{\text{eff}}$. Towards thermal freezeout this, in turn, entails rather large baryon chemical potentials ($\mu_N \simeq 350$ MeV), and is at the origin of appreciable pion chemical potentials ($\mu_\pi \simeq 80$-100 MeV). The influence of chemical potentials on the hydrodynamic evolution and resulting observables has been investigated in Ref. [16] for CM energies of 130 AGeV. In addition to conserving $\pi^-$, $K^-$, $\eta$- and $\eta'$-numbers, we here explicitly distinguish chemical potentials of baryons and antibaryons along the lines of Ref. [13] to correctly account for the finite net-baryon density at full RHIC energy (200 AGeV). For consistency with previous analyses [2,3,17,18] we assume a phase transition from quark-gluon to hadron matter at $T_c = 165$ MeV with a latent heat of $\epsilon_{\text{lat}} = 1.15$ GeV/fm$^3$ and a hadronic resonance gas equation-of-state (EoS) as before. At $T_c$ the hadronic phase starts in chemical equilibrium to (approximately) reproduce the measured particle ratios [8], see above. To improve on previous analyses, the subsequent hadronic evolution is now constructed incorporating effective meson and (anti-) baryon chemical potentials as in Ref. [13] to preserve the correct (absolute) particle abundances.

As a second new aspect of the present manuscript, we present an attempt to refine the initial conditions of the hydrodynamic evolution. More specifically, we will explore ramifications of pre-equilibrium collective behav-
ior by introducing appropriate radial velocity profiles at the time of complete thermalization. Such effects can be associated with pre-thermal re-interactions, a free-streaming period, or a combination thereof, and turn out to generally improve the description of transverse momentum spectra of the produced particles.

Our article is organized as follows. In Sects. II and III we analyze the impact (and interplay) of off-equilibrium hadro-chemistry and modified initial collisions on transverse momentum spectra of pions, kaons and (anti-)protons, both for central and more peripheral collisions in comparison to preliminary data at 200 \(A\) GeV. Pertinent predictions for azimuthal anisotropies in non-central collisions are presented in Sect. IV. We furthermore comment on implications for the freezeout geometry in Sect. V, and summarize in Sect. VI.

II. PARTICLE SPECTRA – CENTRAL COLLISIONS

Let us start by briefly discussing the initial conditions of our hydrodynamic calculations. According to the \(\sim 15\%\) larger hadron multiplicity at midrapidity in central collisions at 200 \(A\) GeV [19,20] as compared to 130 \(A\) GeV, we increase the maximum entropy-density parameter from \(s_0 = 95 \text{ fm}^{-3}\) [7] to 110 \text{ fm}^{-3}\) (keeping the equilibration time fixed at \(\tau_0 = 0.6 \text{ fm/c}\) to facilitate the interpretation of observed changes). The correct baryon admixture is obtained by adjusting the entropy- and baryon-density in the center of the collision, \(S\) and \(B\) the total entropy and net baryon number). The thermodynamic fields in the transverse plane are set to scale with a combination of wounded nucleon and binary collision profiles as elaborated in Refs. [7,18], which allows for a geometrical prescription to reproduce the multiplicity in collisions at finite impact parameter \(b\).

The results of our calculations with improved hadro-chemistry are compared to (preliminary) data for \(\pi^-\), \(K^-\) and antiproton \(p_T\)-spectra from central Au+Au collisions at 200 \(A\) GeV [21,22] in Fig. 1 (the experimental centrality selection of 5 \% is approximated by using an average impact parameter \(b = 2.4 \text{ fm}\)). Compared to particle spectra in standard (\textit{i.e.,} chemical-equilibrium) hydrodynamics we find a better description of the overall curved shape of the hadronic spectra, in particular for low-\(p_T\) pions. This is a result of the meson chemical potentials \(\mu_\pi \approx 80-100 \text{ MeV}\) at freezeout), which amplify the Bose-statistics effect. In addition, the population of heavy resonances also increases after inclusion of chemical potentials which entails larger contributions at low \(p_T\) from their decay products. At large transverse momenta the hydrodynamic calculations deviate from the data which is suggestive for the onset of the hard scattering regime. At exactly which values of \(p_T\) this occurs, and how this transition depends on the particle species, are among the major questions to be clarified. \textit{E.g.,} high energy partons evolving within a hydrodynamic background can be introduced to study the particle spectra beyond the collective behavior [23].

As was already observed in Ref. [16], the expansion of the chemically non-equilibrated hadron gas leads to slopes for pion spectra that are almost insensitive to the decoupling temperature. Proton spectra, on the contrary, clearly favor a freezeout at \(T \approx 100 \text{ MeV}\) (thick solid line), which corresponds to an energy density \(e \approx 0.075 \text{ GeV/fm}^3\) (which is about the same as in previous calculations). The thin lines in Fig. 1 correspond to decoupling at the phase transition (recall that the multiplicity of the individual particle species is independent of freezeout due to the chemical potentials).

The experimental pion spectra in the 1-2 GeV range appear flatter than what follows from the flow generated by hydrodynamic expansion with our given initial configuration (at transverse momenta \(p_T \geq 2 \text{ GeV}\) this is conceivably due to additional perturbative hard scattering contributions). To a lesser extent, this is also true for the heavier kaons and protons, even at the low freezeout temperature of 100 MeV.

![Fig. 1. \(\pi^-, K^-\) and antiproton spectra for central collisions at 200 \(A\) GeV. \(K^-\) and \(\bar{\rho}\) spectra are scaled by factors of 1/10 and 1/100, respectively. The thick lines represent the results for \(T_{\text{dec}} = 100 \text{ MeV}\), the thin lines for 165 MeV. All calculations are for a thermalization time \(\tau_0 = 0.6 \text{ fm/c}\), either \textit{without} (solid lines) or \textit{with} (dashed lines) an initial transverse boost (see text).](image-url)
ities [25,7]. A realistic situation is probably in between the two extremes, essentially pre-equilibrium in character with associated rather complicated structures of the generated flow-field and energy-density distributions (more exotic phenomena such as sphaleron explosions [26] could also play a role). As an exploratory study, we here introduce a simplistic initial ‘seed’ transverse velocity according to \[ v_T(r) = \tanh(\alpha r), \] where \( r \) is the radial distance from the origin, superimposed on the original fields at \( \tau_0 = 0.6 \, \text{fm}/c \). For a value of \( \alpha = 0.02 \, \text{fm}^{-1} \) the initial velocity field for \( r \leq 6 \, \text{fm}/c \) is similar in magnitude (although less parabolic) to both (i) starting the hydrodynamic evolution at earlier time (\( \tau_0 = 0.2 \, \text{fm}/c \) as in Ref. [24]) and evolving it to \( \tau_0 = 0.6 \, \text{fm}/c \), as well as (ii) free streaming from \( \tau = 0.2 \) to \( 0.6 \, \text{fm}/c \). The essential difference between (i) and (ii) lies in the azimuthal distribution at \( \tau_0 = 0.6 \, \text{fm}/c \), to which we will come back to in Sect. IV. It should also be noted that stronger transverse flow due to larger transverse pressure is expected if the longitudinal expansion is not fully thermalized [27].

The results with our simple ansatz are represented by the dashed lines in Fig. 1, and are found to improve the agreement with experiment, up to \( p_T \simeq 2(3.5) \, \text{GeV} \) for pions and kaons (antiprotons). We note that when increasing \( \alpha \) to 0.05, the proton spectra become much flatter than experimentally observed.

### III. PARTICLE SPECTRA – NON-CENTRAL COLLISIONS
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**FIG. 2.** Centrality dependence of positive pion spectra at mid-rapidity \((y = 0)\) in terms of central, semi-central (scaled by 1/2) and peripheral collisions (scaled by 1/3).

In Fig. 2 we compare preliminary spectra of positive pions [21] to our hydrodynamic results at \( T_{\text{dec}} = 100 \, \text{MeV} \) in 3 different centrality bins (‘central’, \( b = 2.4 \, \text{fm}, N_{\text{part}} = 343.8 \); ‘semi-central’, \( b = 7 \, \text{fm}, N_{\text{part}} = 170.8 \); ‘peripheral’, \( b = 9.6 \, \text{fm}, N_{\text{part}} = 76.6 \)). Again, we display calculations with an initial transverse boost by dashed lines. As expected, the prerequisites for a hydrodynamic approach (strong rescattering and a sufficiently large system size) are increasingly invalidated at large impact parameters, reflected by an onset of deviations from experiment at smaller transverse momenta (higher-momentum particles can rapidly escape the fireball without thermalizing). For peripheral collisions the agreement between theory and experiment holds for \( p_T \leq 1 \, \text{GeV} \), which, nevertheless, still accounts for more than 96% of the emitted particles.

Fig. 3 shows experimental [21] and calculated proton spectra which are of particular interest in the present context as they acquire the largest chemical potentials \((\text{e.g., around thermal freezeout } \mu_N = 380 \, \text{MeV} \text{ and } \mu_S = 343 \, \text{MeV} \text{ implying } \mu_N^\text{ch} = 723 \, \text{MeV}, \text{ which yields an antiproton-to-proton ratio of 0.72 consistent with experiment [28]}, \) and are most sensitive to collective expansion. We find good agreement of theory and experiment at a freezeout temperature of 100 MeV up to \( p_T \simeq 3.5 \, \text{GeV} \) in the central, but only up to \( \sim 2 \, \text{GeV} \) in the peripheral sample. The additional transverse ‘kick’ in the initial state as described above (dashed lines) is particularly significant for central collisions.
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**FIG. 3.** Midrapidity proton spectra for central, semi-central (scaled by 1/2) and peripheral collisions (scaled by 1/3).

Despite the fact that particle densities in the later hadronic stage of the expansion are moderate, we conclude that rescattering is strong enough to allow for a hydrodynamic description until thermal decoupling. The correct chemical composition of the hadronic gas is maintained by the generation of large chemical potentials, which (at given temperature) provide an increased number of scattering partners with larger cross sections as compared to a chemically equilibrated environment.

We have focused here on positively charged pions and protons. The corresponding results for \( \pi^-, K^+, K^- \) and \( \bar{p} \) in non-central collisions are of similar quality. The multiplicities and mean transverse momenta of these particles are collected in Table 1.
V. ELLIPTIC FLOW

For the same impact parameters as considered above we proceed by studying the azimuthal anisotropies of particle spectra [29], i.e., the momentum dependence of elliptic flow as defined by \( v_2(p_T; b) = \langle \cos(2\phi) \rangle \), where the average is taken over the angular distribution of particles, \( dN/dydp_Td\phi \).

Flow anisotropy is generated during the earliest stages of the collision, at which the spatial eccentricity of the thermodynamic fields and the anisotropies in the pressure gradients are the largest. The matter is set into anisotropic motion as larger forces are acting along the ‘short’ radius of the initial (overlap) ellipse. This motion rapidly reduces the spatial anisotropies, thereby bringing further generation of momentum anisotropy (i.e., \( v_2 \)) to a stall [30,2]. If the system evolves in chemical equilibrium, the dominant particle species at freeze-out are pions, which carry the generated anisotropy in their momentum distribution. Their differential elliptic flow, \( v_2(p_T) \), is then almost independent of the decoupling temperature \( T_{\text{dec}} \) [3]. Heavier particles, on the other hand, do exhibit some dependence on \( T_{\text{dec}} \), mainly because of the continuously increasing radial flow which shifts the generated anisotropy towards larger transverse momenta. In the presence of effective chemical potentials the contribution of protons to the total anisotropic flow (of all particles) is still small; however, the contribution of their number to the particle yield is more significant. The anisotropic flow must thus be absorbed by the pions (which, due to their small masses, adjust their momentum distribution easier). Through this effect their elliptic flow now also becomes sensitive to the decoupling temperature, as found in Ref. [16]. In addition, the influence of resonance decays is enhanced in the chemical off-equilibrium formulation. The heavy resonances, which at large transverse momentum carry rather large elliptic flow, decay and transfer their elliptic flow to pions at relatively low transverse momentum.

In Fig. 4 we show results for elliptic flow of pions (left panel) and protons (right panel) from the hydrodynamic calculation under inclusion of chemical potentials. The initial transverse boost as defined in Sect. II shifts the anisotropy to larger transverse momenta which implies a reduction of \( v_2 \) at given \( p_T \). The development of anisotropic flow is additionally hindered since it has to form on top of the isotropic initial boost field which we have employed here. The value \( \epsilon_p \), at which the anisotropy of the energy-momentum tensor of the fluid \( T_{\mu\nu} \) saturates during the evolution [2], is about 25% smaller than without the initial ‘kick’.

It is instructive to compare these results to the scenario where the equilibration time is set to very small values (\( \tau_0 = 0.2 \, \text{fm}/c \) for the dashed-dotted curves in Fig. 4). As elucidated in Sect. II, this generates as much radial flow as the superimposed profile at \( \tau_0 = 0.6 \, \text{fm}/c \) does. However, the elliptic flow is larger than in the former case, but not significantly different from using an equilibration time of 0.6 \( \text{fm}/c \) without initial kick. This is due to the fact that, without initial kick, \( v_2 \) saturates for either equilibration time at approximately the same value *.

![Fig. 4. Elliptic flow of positively charged pions (left) and protons (right) for three different impact parameters. The dashed lines include an initial transverse boost as described in the text. Dashed-dotted lines represent the results when assuming thermalization at \( \tau_0 = 0.2 \, \text{fm}/c \) with \( \alpha = 0.02 \, \text{fm}^{-1} \).](image_url)

FIG. 4. Elliptic flow of positively charged pions (left) and protons (right) for three different impact parameters. The dashed lines include an initial transverse boost as described in the text. Dashed-dotted lines represent the results when assuming thermalization at \( \tau_0 = 0.2 \, \text{fm}/c \) with \( \alpha = 0.0 \).

The experimentally observed elliptic flow reaches a limiting maximal value as a function of transverse momentum. The PHENIX collaboration has pointed out [21] that this saturation is reached at smaller transverse momenta for pions than for protons, and that the saturation value appears to be larger for the latter. Within the hydrodynamic framework this reflects the earlier breakdown of the strong rescattering assumption for pions, which for protons remains valid up to higher \( p_T \) due to larger (average) scattering cross sections (\( \bar{\sigma}_{pN} > \bar{\sigma}_{\pi\pi} \)). This is corroborated by the description of the single-

*Note that this is no longer true for significantly larger \( \tau_0 \), e.g. 2 \( \text{fm}/c \), for which \( v_2 \) is significantly reduced and underpredicts the data already at 130 \( A\text{GeV} \).
particle spectra which extends to larger $p_T$ for (anti-) protons than for pions. The different $v_2$-saturation momenta for mesonic and baryonic elliptic flow are also consistent with the formation of hadrons via quark-coalescence [31]. Within this picture one similarly expects a larger saturation value of $v_2$ for protons than for pions.

V. FREEZE-OUT GEOMETRY

Let us finally comment on the implications of our results for the freezeout geometry of the hadronic system. In Ref. [14] it was pointed out that the relation between energy density and pressure, $e(p)$, for the hadronic equation of state is barely modified by the introduction of chemical potentials. Therefore, the space-time evolution of the system, which is largely driven by this relation, is not substantially altered either. A large change, however, occurs in the relation between temperature and energy density, $T(e)$, which thus influences the construction of the freezeout hypersurface and the thermal properties of the fluid on this surface. E.g., in chemical equilibrium the energy density at $T = 130$ MeV corresponds to a temperature of only 100 MeV in the presence of large chemical potentials, since the latter increase particle and energy densities approximately by pertinent fugacity factors $e^{\mu/T}$. Therefore, the freezeout hypersurface of the hydrodynamic calculations in chemical off-equilibrium is not much different from the hypersurface of previous calculations if freezeout is performed at a comparable energy density (i.e., the freezeout temperature is adapted accordingly). In both cases, the fireball decouples at about 15 fm/c after equilibration (in central collisions) and has about the same spatial extent. Only after inclusion of the initial radial flow profile is the lifetime shortened by about 15%, and the transverse expansion increases by about the same percentage. For observables, this entails smaller longitudinal correlation radii (which reflect the system’s lifetime) but only slightly larger sideward radii. This effect reduces the discrepancies between calculated and measured Hanbury-Brown and Twiss (HBT) radii by a few percent [7], but is not sufficient by itself. Additional effects, such as viscosity [32], large partonic cross sections in the early phases [33], or a refined treatment of hadronic rescattering [5] and freezeout [34] (including, e.g., a large $\rho$-meson width as predicted in Ref. [35]), seem to be required to fully resolve the ”HBT puzzle”.

VI. SUMMARY

Based on a resonance gas equation of state which explicitly incorporates hadrochemical freezeout by employing chemical potentials for (stable) mesons and baryons in the hadronic evolution, we have performed hydrodynamic simulations of heavy ion-collisions at full RHIC energy. We have compared the results for pion, kaon, and proton $p_T$-spectra to preliminary data from 200 AGeV Au+Au collisions at different centralities. Our investigations indicate the necessity of an initial (pre-hydrodynamic) transverse flow to better account for the slopes of the observed spectra. Good agreement with preliminary data for transverse momentum spectra in central collisions is obtained up to $\sim 1.5$-2 GeV for pions, and up to at least 3 GeV for protons. We further studied the influence of hadrochemistry and initial flow on elliptic flow and source geometry. The former has been presented as a prediction for pions and protons for upcoming experimental analyses. For the latter, some improvement with respect to the discrepancy between model and data has been found, but additional effects remain mandatory.
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