Stage-Specific Germ-Cell Marker Genes Are Expressed in All Mouse Pluripotent Cell Types and Emerge Early during Induced Pluripotency
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Abstract

Embryonic stem cells (ESCs) generated from the in-vitro culture of blastocyst stage embryos are known as equivalent to blastocyst inner cell mass (ICM) in-vivo. Though several reports have shown the expression of germ cell/pre-meiotic (GC/PrM) markers in ESCs, their functional relevance for the pluripotency and germ line commitment are largely unknown. In the present study, we used mouse as a model system and systematically analyzed the RNA and protein expression of GC/PrM markers in ESCs and found them to be comparable to the expression of cultured pluripotent cells originated from the germ line. Further, siRNA knockdown experiments have demonstrated the parallel maintenance and independence of pluripotent and GC/PrM networks in ESCs. Through chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments, we observed that pluripotent cells exhibit active chromatin states at GC marker genes and a bivalent chromatin structure at PrM marker genes. Moreover, gene expression analysis during the time course of iPSCs generation revealed that the expression of GC markers precedes pluripotency markers. Collectively, through our observations we hypothesize that the chromatin state and the expression of GC/PrM markers might indicate molecular parallels between in-vivo germ cell specification and pluripotent stem cell generation.
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Introduction

The capacity of long-term self-renewal and the unique ability to differentiate into all three germ layer cell types (ectoderm, endoderm and mesoderm) define pluripotency. According to the source of cells used for the establishment of pluripotent cells, one can currently distinguish between the following pluripotent cell lines: (1) embryonic stem cells (ESCs) derived from the inner cell mass of mouse blastocysts at embryonic day 3.5 [E3.5] [1,2], (2) embryonic germ cells (EGCs) cultured from primordial germ cells (PGCs) that colonize the genital ridge at E12.5 [3,4], (3) embryonal carcinoma cells (ECCs) isolated from germ-cell tumors of either testis or ovary [5], (4) germ line stem cells isolated from mouse neonatal and adult testis (GSCs and maGSCs, respectively) [6,7] and (5) induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs), derived from reprogramming somatic cells by ectopic expression of defined transcription factors [8]. All the above mentioned cultured pluripotent cell lines (EGCs, ECCs, GSCs, and maGSCs) have a germ-cell origin, except ESCs, whose origin is not clearly understood. Although these cell lines have different molecular profiles mostly due to their developmental stage of isolation, they share the expression of germ cell/pre-meiotic (GC/PrM) markers that may indicate a germ-cell origin [9].

During embryonic development, the specification of PGCs is crucial for the development of the germ line, which is finally destined to give rise to the totipotent zygote upon fertilization. Prior to gastrulation, the precursors of primordial germ cells arise in the E6.25 proximal epiblast from 4–8 cells positive for the transcriptional repressor Blimp1 [10,11]. These Blimp1-positive cells continuously proliferate and start to express other PGC markers such as Fragilis and Stella by E7.5. Thereafter, PGCs initiate migration and colonization of the genital ridge and increase their number to approximately 4000 by E12.5 [12,13]. Further development of PGC germ cells to mature spermatozoa or oocytes depends on the coordinated genetic and epigenetic events [14]. Interestingly, several studies have demonstrated the expression of some of the GC/PrM markers like Blimp1, Stella, Fragilis, Piwili, Dazl and MVH in ES cells at the RNA level [15,16,17], raising the possibility that ES cells might originate from the germ line [9].

The present study, using mouse as a model system, we have systematically analyzed the expression of GC/PrM markers in ES cells compared to germ line origin cultured pluripotent cells like EGCs, ECCs, GSCs and maGSCs and found comparable expression at the RNA and protein level. Moreover, we show the expression of Stella, Dazl and MVH in preimplantation...
embryos and, the independence of pluripotency-specific networks from germ cell-specific networks in ES cells. Interestingly, chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis revealed that ES cells exhibit active chromatin states at GC marker genes and a bivalent chromatin structure at PrM marker genes. Further, gene expression analysis during iPSC generation revealed that the expression of GC markers precedes pluripotency markers. Collectively, our data indicates the possible link between in-vivo germ cell specification and in-vitro pluripotent stem cells generation.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture
Derivation and maintenance of male mouse ESC and maGSC lines from different genetic backgrounds (129Sv and C57BL/6) were described previously [18]. The female ESC line ES Rosal26 was generated from Rosa26-LacZ transgenic mouse line as described for MPI-VL, a previously generated female ESC line [19]. iPS cells (O18) were a kind gift from Prof. Rudolf Jaenisch [20]. All above cell lines including EGC line (EG 1) and parthenogenetic cells were maintained in standard ESC culture conditions. EGC cell line (F9) protein extract was provided by Mr. Peter Christalla, Goettingen. For knockdown experiments, ES cells were seeded in KO-DMEM supplemented with KO-serum replacement (Invitrogen) at a density of 2 x 10^6 cells/ml on feeder layer. After 5 h of plating, the cells were transfected with either Daz1 siRNA (NM_010021.4_stealth_199, _726, _1056, Invitrogen) or Myf5 siRNA (NM_001145885.1_stealth_85, _922, _1599, Invitrogen) or Oct4 siRNA (NM_013633.1_stealth_199, _463, _727, Invitrogen) or scrambled siRNA (Control siRNA, Invitrogen) using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer’s instructions. After 3 h of transfection, the medium was changed to standard ES culture medium and allowed to grow for 24 h. The next day, transfection was repeated and cells were harvested after additional 24 h of culture.

All animal experiments were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use committee of the University of Goettingen (Approval ID: 33.9.42502-097/06).

Generation of iPS cells
We used Yamanaka factors (retroviral expression vectors for Oct3/4, Sox2, Klf4, c-Myc) to generate iPS cells [9]. For reprogramming studies, embryonic fibroblasts isolated from transgenic Nanog-EGFP mice [21] were transduced with retroviral particles as previously described [8]. To establish iPS cell lines, colonies which appeared after 10 days of virus infection were isolated and transduced with OCT3/4, SOX2, KLF4, C-MYC (analytical technology) following the manufacturer’s protocols. Total RNA from blastocysts or 5 x 10^6 cells/ml on feeder layer. After 5 h of plating, the cells were transfected with either Daz1 siRNA (NM_010021.4_stealth_199, _726, _1056, Invitrogen) or Myf5 siRNA (NM_001145885.1_stealth_85, _922, _1599, Invitrogen) or Oct4 siRNA (NM_013633.1_stealth_199, _463, _727, Invitrogen) or scrambled siRNA (Control siRNA, Invitrogen) using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer’s instructions. After 3 h of transfection, the medium was changed to standard ES culture medium and allowed to grow for 24 h. The next day, transfection was repeated and cells were harvested after additional 24 h of culture.

RNA extraction, RT-PCR and qPCR
Total RNA was extracted from cells using Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen) and from ~50 blastocysts using Picupre Kit (Analytical Technology) following the manufacturers’ protocols. Total RNA from blastocysts or 5 μg of total RNA from cells was digested with DNaseI (Sigma) and used for cDNA synthesis using the SuperScriptII system (Invitrogen). For qPCR analysis, diluted cDNA (1/20) was used as a template in a Platinum SYBR Green qPCR SuperMix-UDG with ROX (Invitrogen) and run in ABI 7500HT Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Primers used in RT-PCR and qPCR are listed in supplementary tables (Tables S1, S2, S3).

Protein extraction and Western blotting
Proteins were extracted from cells and tissues using lysis buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl [pH 8.0], 1 mM EDTA, 2.5% SDS, 100 mM PMSF) containing protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). Protein samples were resolved on 4–12% SDS-PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane (Amersham Biosciences). Membranes were processed using standard Western blot protocols, and signals detected using a chemiluminescent kit (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Antibody sources are listed in supplementary tables (Table S5).

Early-stage-embryo collection, immunocytochemistry, and alkaline phosphatase staining
Early stage embryos collected from the oviduct or uterus of pregnant CD-1 mice were used for whole-mount immunostaining as described previously [22]. Immunostained embryos were mounted on cavity microscope slides using Vectashield DAPI mounting medium (Vector Laboratories) and images were acquired using an Olympus confocal microscope. Immunostaining on iPS cells using SSEA1 antibody was performed as previously described [23]. Alkaline phosphatase (AP) staining was performed according to manufacturer’s protocol (Sigma).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation
The chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) was performed essentially as previously described [23]. Briefly, cultured wild-type ESCs were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde and briefly incubated in lysis buffer followed by sonication (Branson Sonifier 250). Soluble chromatin was pre-cleared with protein-A sepharose beads and incubated with and without (negative-control) antisera (3–5 μg) directed against H3K4me3, H3K9ac, H3K9me3, and H3K27me3. Enriched DNA was analyzed by qPCR using SYBR green (Invitrogen)-based PCR amplification with primers listed in supplementary tables (Table S4). qPCR data from two or more biological replicates were calculated and expressed as percentage of input DNA precipitation. Antibodies used in ChIP are listed in supplementary tables (Table S5).

Teratoma formation assay
Teratoma formation assay was performed as previously described [24,25]. Briefly, iPS cells (1 x 10^6 cells) were injected subcutaneously into 8 to 10 weeks old female severe combined immunodeficient RAG2-/-c yc-/- mice lacking T, B, and natural killer (NK) cells. Tumor growth was monitored weekly by palpation and size was recorded using linear calipers. Animals were sacrificed when a tumor diameter of 1 cm was reached. Autopsies were performed and tumor tissue was placed in phosphate-buffered 4% formalin for 16 h and then embedded in paraffin. For histological analysis, the specimens were stained with haematoxylin and cosin (HE).

Statistical Analysis
All qPCR data for RNA expression analysis (two or more biological replicates) were calculated using standard curve method. For statistical significance calculations, 2way ANOVA (GraphPad Prism 4.0) test was used.

Results
Pluripotent stem cells express GC/PrM genes
To investigate whether GC/PrM gene expression is characteristic of all known mouse pluripotent cells, we systematically analyzed several pluripotent cells. Firstly, we examined GC/PrM gene expression in male maGSCs and ESGs from different genetic backgrounds.
backgrounds and in iPSCs, EGCs, and F9 cells by Western blotting (Fig. 1A). GC markers Stella and Fragilis were readily detected in all cell types (Fig. 1A), including parthenogenetic cells (Fig. 1B). Further, PrM markers Piwil2, Dazl, and MVH were found to be expressed in all pluripotent cells, except EGCs (Fig. 1A). Protein levels of GC/PrM markers were reduced or absent in ESCs and mESC cells upon spontaneous differentiation with retinoic acid for 20 days (Fig. 1B). Overwise, we analyzed multipotent mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) and could not detect any expression of GC/PrM markers (Fig. 1B). We also performed RT-PCR analysis for other PrM, meiotic, and post-meiotic markers (Fig. 1C). Expression of PrM markers Stra8, Bag17, Rdr2, and Pcdh2 was detected in all cells (Fig. 1C). Surprisingly, meiotic markers Syph3, Pkh2, and Cycb3/4 were also detected in all pluripotent cell lines (Fig. 1C). However, expression of several other developmental markers such as post-meiotically expressed Tbp2, Teg, Gpx4, Pml1, and mature spermatozoan marker Cyle1 was undetectable as expected (Fig. 1C).

To determine whether the expression of GC/PrM markers is specific to male pluripotent cells, we studied two female ES cell lines, namely, Mpi VI and ES Rossa26. Pluripotency of these cell lines was confirmed by detecting the expression of the key pluripotency markers Oct3/4 and Sox2 (data not shown). Both female pluripotent cell lines were found to express all analyzed GC/PrM markers with levels similar to those of male pluripotent cells (Fig. 1D).

GC/PrM genes are also expressed in early embryogenesis

Next, we studied the expression of GC marker (Stella) and PrM markers (Dazl and MVH) in early stages of mouse embryogenesis (2-, 4-, 8-cell stages) by immunocytochemistry (ICC) (Fig. 2A). Interestingly, we found Stella, Dazl and MVH to be expressed throughout all stages of embryogenesis (Fig. 2A). To determine the expression levels of GC/PrM markers at the blastocyst stage, we performed qPCR on blastocyst stage embryos (Fig. 2B). In agreement with our ICC results, all analyzed GC/PrM markers (Fragilis, Dazl, MVH, and Stra8) were detected at the blastocyst stage with transcript levels, that are, however, markedly lower than those of pluripotency markers such as Oct3/4, Nanog, Lin28 (Fig. 2B).

Independency of pluripotent and GC/PrM networks in ESCs

The widespread expression of GC/PrM markers in pluripotent cells led us to study their influence on other GC/PrM and key pluripotency markers (Fig. 3). Firstly, we down-regulated Dazl in ES cells using siRNA and found an ~80–90% decrease at both the RNA and protein level (Fig. 3A, B). In contrast, control siRNA treated cells did not exhibit altered Dazl expression levels (Fig. 3A, B). Then, we performed a qPCR-based analysis of expression levels of key pluripotency markers and detected no significant differences among control siRNA treated and Dazl siRNA treated cells (Fig. 3C). Similarly, the expression of PrM markers MVH and Stra8 did not change significantly, whereas GC markers (Stella and Fragilis) showed significant up-regulation in Dazl down-regulated cells (Fig. 3D). Similarly, ~70% down-regulation of MVH expression (Fig. 3E, F) did not influence expression of key pluripotency markers (Fig. 3G). Consistent with Dazl down-regulation, MVH depletion had no effect on Dazl and Stra8, but Stella and Fragilis were significantly up-regulated (Fig. 3H). Conversely, we down-regulated Oct3/4 and studied the expression of GC/PrM and pluripotency markers. The down-regulation of Oct3/4 resulted in significant down-regulation of Klf4 expression, whereas the expression of other pluripotent markers such as Nanog, Zfp206, and Lin28 did not alter (Supplementary Fig. S1). Furthermore, the down-regulation of Oct3/4 had no statistically significant effect on the expression of GC/PrM markers (Supplementary Fig. S1).

Active chromatin at GC marker gene promoter regions and bivalent chromatin at PrM marker gene promoters in ESCs and iPSCs

We hypothesized that the chromatin state at the promoter regions of GC/PrM markers might elucidate their role in the establishment/maintenance of pluripotency or lineage specification in ESCs. We analyzed the ChiP sequencing data of mouse ES cells, which is freely available [26] and found that the promoter regions of GC markers Blimp1, Stella and Fragilis were enriched for H3K4me3 indicating the transcriptionally active chromatin state, as seen for Oct3/4 (Supplementary Fig. S2A). In contrast the promoter regions of Dazl and MVH were decorated with both H3K4me3 and H3K27me3, highlighting the bivalent chromatin state, which is a hallmark of lineage specification genes, such as Hoxa11 and Pax5 (Supplementary Fig. S2B). To further validate these observations, gene specific histone modification profiles (active: H3K4me3, H3K9ac; and repressive: H3K9me3, H3K27me3) were analyzed by ChiP at the promoter regions of GC markers Fragilis and Blimp1, and PrM markers Dazl and MVH, and compared to the promoter regions of Oct3/4 (transcriptionally active chromatin) and Hoxa11 and Pax5 (bivalent chromatin) in ES cells (Fig. 4A). qPCR quantification of ChiP DNA showed that the promoter regions of GC markers Fragilis and Blimp1 were enriched for the activating modifications H3K4me3 and H3K5ac, but depleted for the repressive modifications H3K9me3 and H3K27me3, indicating a transcriptionally active chromatin similar to key pluripotency Oct3/4 gene promoter (Fig. 4A). In contrast, the promoters of PrM genes Dazl and MVH were enriched for both active (H3K4me3 and H3K5ac) and repressive (H3K27me3) modifications, representing the bivalent chromatin domain similar to lineage specific genes (Hoxa11 and Pax5) (Fig. 4A). Moreover, we also performed gene specific histone modification profiling in established iPS cells [20] and found similar results like ES cells (Fig. 4B).

GC markers emerge during early reprogramming of MEFs into iPSCs

To further understand the role of GC/PrM markers during the establishment and maintenance of pluripotency, we used ectopic expression of the four Yamanaka factors (Oct4, c-Myc, Klf4, and Sox2) for reprogramming of somatic cells to induced pluripotency. Firstly, we reprogrammed MEFs isolated from Nanog-EGFP mice and established four iPS lines (xu1, 2, 5, and 6), which are morphologically similar to ES cells, activate the Nanog promoter-driven EGFP expression, positive for AP staining, SSEA1 immunostaining and express endogenous Oct3/4 and Sox2 (Fig. 5A–D). The iPSC lines were further characterized by histone modification, and DNA methylation profiling of key pluripotent marker genes (data not shown). Finally, all the iPS lines (xu1, 2, 5 and 6) were injected subcutaneously into immunodeficient mice. Two recipients were used per cell line. In all mice, tumors were observed and the mice had to be sacrificed between day 18 and day 33 after injection. The tumors were identified as teratomas by histological examination as exemplified for iPS cell lines xu2 and 6 (Supplementary Fig. S3). Then, we set up a time course experiment and analyzed the expression levels of key pluripotency genes and GC/PrM genes during the course of reprogramming as outlined in figure 5E. Expression analysis using real time qPCR revealed
significant expression levels of key germ cell markers (Blimp1 and Fragilis) at day 6 and a gradual increase to the levels seen in ES cells by day 22 (Fig. 5F, G). Transcripts of Stella, another germ cell marker, were significantly detectable at day 10 of reprogramming and reached levels similar to those in ES cells by day 22. (Fig. 5F, G).

In contrast, significant endogenous expression levels of the key pluripotency markers Oct3/4 and Sox2 occurred only on day 12 of reprogramming and showed expression levels typical for ES cells by...
day 22 (Fig. 5G). Further pluripotency markers like Zfp206 and Nanog appeared only on day 18 and 20, respectively and increased to levels observed in ES cells only in fully reprogrammed and established iPSC cells (Fig. 5G). Surprisingly, we could not detect significant expression of pre-meiotic markers such as Stra8, Dazl and MVH before day 22 of reprogramming. The expression of Stra8 appeared not until day 22 and the other two markers were only present in established iPSC cells (Fig. 5G).

Figure 4. Epigenetic signature of GC/PrM genes in ESCs and iPSCs. (A and B) Efficiency of Dazl down-regulation as shown by Western blot analysis and subsequent densitometric quantification. (C) Real time qPCR analysis showing Dazl downregulation at the RNA level and the expression profile of the core pluripotency network (Oct3/4, Klf4, Nanog, Zfp206 and Lin28). (D) Expression of GC (Stella and Fragilis) and PrM (MVH and Stra8) markers in Dazl down-regulated ESCs. (E and F) Western blot showing the efficiency of MVH downregulation and the densitometric quantification, respectively. (G) Real time qPCR analysis showing MVH downregulation at the RNA level and the expression profile of the core pluripotency network (Oct3/4, Klf4, Nanog, Zfp206 and Lin28). (H) Expression of GC (Stella and Fragilis) and PrM (Dazl and Stra8) markers in MVH down-regulated ESCs. The dotted lines in C, D, G, H indicate the normalized expression levels of analyzed genes in control siRNA treated cells. The qPCR data of two biological replicates (including three technical replicates each) were calculated and represented as a mean ± SD. Expression levels, which are statistically significant, are indicated with asterisks (**p<0.01; ***p<0.001).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0022413.g003
Figure 5. Expression pattern of GC/PrM and pluripotency genes during the course of iPS generation. Fully reprogrammed and established iPS cells express Nanog promoter-driven EGFP (A), positive for AP staining (B), and express SSEA1 (C). (D) Expression of key pluripotency markers Oct3/4 and Sox2 in iPS cells. Protein extract from ESCs was used as a positive control. (E) Schematic diagram depicting the generation of iPS cells using the four Yamanaka factors and the time course of sample collection (starting from the day of retrovirus transduction, day0 (d0) till day 22 (d22)) for gene expression analysis. (F) Real time qPCR analysis of pluripotency (Oct3/4, Sox2, Nanog and Zfp206), germ cell (Stella, Blimp1 and Fragilis) and pre-meiotic genes (Dazl, MVH and Stra8) during the time course of iPS cell generation from day 5 (5d) to day 10 (10d) after virus infection. Nanog-EGFP MEFs were used as a control. (G) Real time qPCR analysis for the above mentioned genes during the time course of iPS cell generation from day 12 (12d) to day 22 (22d) after virus infection. The qPCR data of two or more biological replicates (including three technical replicates each) were calculated and represented as a mean ± SD.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0022413.g005

Discussion

Though traditionally pluripotent ES cells are regarded as in-vitro counterpart of the inner cell mass (ICM), their origin is not yet clearly defined. Recently, it has been hypothesized that ESCs may have a germ-cell origin based on common molecular properties with other pluripotent cells of germ-cell origin [9]. Previously, ES cells were shown to express several GC/PrM markers [15,16,17]. In agreement with these results, that demonstrated the expression of several GC/PrM markers at the transcript level, our Western blot analysis detected the expression of GC/PrM markers in all analyzed pluripotent cell types available including iPS cells and female ES cells of mouse origin. Consistent with an earlier report [27], expression of GC/PrM genes was not detectable in bone marrow-derived multipotent stem cells, thus indicating the unique expression of GC/PrM genes only in pluripotent cells. Our immunocytochemistry expression analysis of GC/PrM markers in preimplantation embryos revealed the expression of Stella, Dazl and MVH in all analyzed preimplantation embryo stages (2-, 4-, 8-cell stage). Further, down-regulation of PrM genes in ESCs did not influence the expression levels of pluripotency network genes, but rather increase expression of GC genes. Conversely, down-regulation of pluripotency marker Oct3/4 showed no significant effect on GC/PrM marker genes, thus highlighting the maintenance of parallel but independent networks.

The genome-wide expression profiling of ES cells revealed the expression of a large number of genes at low levels due to the open chromatin state of ES cells leading to leaky expression [28,29,30,31]. To elucidate leaky versus essential expression of GC/PrM markers in ES cells, we analyzed the global ChIP-seq data of ES cells and found an active chromatin state at PGCG germ cell markers and a bivalent chromatin structure at pre-meiotic markers [26]. In support of global ChIP-seq data, our gene-specific chromatin state of GC/PrM markers in ES cells confirmed the active chromatin state with enrichment for the activating histone modifications H3K4me3 and H3K9ac at the promoter regions of PGC markers Blimp1 and Fragilis, which demonstrates the fundamental expression of these genes. In contrast, the promoter regions of Dazl and MVH were marked with bivalent chromatin state, i.e. enrichment for the two activating [H3K4me3

Figure 6. Hypothetical model for the germ cell origin of pluripotent ESCs. (A) The inner cell mass cells of the blastocyst are positive for Oct3/4 and Sox2 expression. During further development, primordial germ cell (PGC) specification in mouse implantation embryos (blastocyst (~E3.5) stage onwards) is marked by the expression of germ cell markers Blimp1 and Fragilis followed by reactivation of Oct3/4 and Sox2 and is completed by ~E6.5-E7.5 in vivo. This period of in vivo PGC specification parallels with the in vitro ESCs generation from pre-implantation blastocysts (~E3.5) together with 3–5 days of ESCs outgrowth. (B) On the other hand, reprogramming of somatic cells to iPS cells using the four Yamanaka factors (Oct3/4, Sox2, Klf4 and c-Myc) leads to the early appearance of germ cell markers followed by the activation of endogenous Oct3/4 and Sox2 and subsequent establishment of pluripotent state. This pattern of gene activation is equivalent to that of PGC specification and ESC establishment as discussed above. (C) The active chromatin state of germ cell markers in ESCs might indicate the developmental origin of ESCs from PGCs; the presence of bivalent chromatin at the promoter regions of pre-meiotic genes indicate the poised state for germ line commitment.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0022413.g006
and H3K9ac) and the repressive (H3K27me3) histone modifications, which is a hallmark of key developmental regulation/lineage specific genes [32,33]. The observed active chromatin state at GC marker genes might indicate the possible early germ cell specification epigenetic marks in pluripotent cells. Conversely, the bivalent chromatin state at PrM marker genes might represent the poised germ cell lineage specification or the heterogeneous expression of these genes in pluripotent cells.

Recent advances in direct reprogramming of somatic cells to induced pluripotency opened new avenues not only for tailor-made patient-specific cells for future regenerative medicine in addition to advancing our knowledge of the basic biology of establishment and maintenance of pluripotency [34]. Of particular interest is the role of GC/PrM markers during iPS cell generation using the four Yamanaka’s factors. We analyzed the activation of GC/PrM markers along with the endogenous activation of core pluripotency markers during somatic reprogramming and found the activation of the PGC specification markers Blimp1, Stella and Fragilis to occur much earlier (between day 6 and day 9 of reprogramming) than activation of the endogenous pluripotency markers Oct3/4 and Sox2 (by day 12 of reprogramming). In contrast, the expression of the PrM markers Dazl, MVH and Stra8 was only detectable by day 22 and in established iPS cell lines, respectively. Recent studies of the molecular mechanisms underlying somatic reprogramming revealed that somatic cells undergo mesenchymal to epithelial transition (MET) during early reprogramming to acquire pluripotency through BMP signaling and vital expression of E-cadherin [35,36]. Interestingly, during embryonic development, PGC precursors rely on inductive BMP signals followed by MET activation and Fragilis, Blimp1, Stella and E-cadherin expression [37,38]. Loss of BMP signals, Blimp1 and E-cadherin expression results in the depletion or a reduced number of PGCs [38,39,40,41]. Taken together, we assume that even somatic cells acquire a “temporary” PGC/GC fate and finally establish pluripotency during reprogramming.

Based on our study and earlier reports [9], we propose a working model for the germ-cell origin of ESCs and the possible acquisition of PGC/GC fate by somatic cells during iPSCs generation (Fig. 6). According to our model, the ICM of blastocyst stage embryos (~E3.5) expresses key pluripotency markers Oct3/4, Sox2, and c-Myc. Following embryonic development, PGC specification in-vitro is marked by the expression of key PGC genes, where Blimp1 is activated by BMP signaling [10], facilitates the activation of Stella and E-cadherin, initiates the repression of the somatic program, and reactivates the pluripotency network before PGCs acquire migratory properties [38] (Fig. 6A). Considering the GC fate and lineage commitment of PGCs, key germ-cell markers may have active chromatin, whereas PrM genes may show bivalent chromatin (Fig. 6C). Similarly, ESC generation also starts with isolation of ~E3.5 blastocysts followed by culture to obtain outgrowth from the ICM. It is more likely that during the in-vitro ICM outgrowth, ICM cells proceed with the pre-programmed developmental program of PGC specification via BMP signals, initiate MET, begin expressing Fragilis, Blimp1, and Stella, re-activate pluripotency genes, and acquire the unique self-renewal property (Fig. 6A). The observed active chromatin state of Blimp1, Stella, and Fragilis thus might indicate the unique expression of PGC/GC origin of ESC and the bivalent chromatin state of Dazl and MVH confers the germ-cell lineage commitment, as has been observed for other lineages (Fig. 6C). Similarly, during somatic reprogramming, addition of Oct3/4, Sox2, c-Myc, and Klf4 to somatic cells might mimic the in-vitro ~E3.5 blastocyst ICM cells and follows the induction of BMP signaling and hence the activation of Fragilis, Blimp1, Stella, and E-cadherin, and MET (Fig. 6B). Further, activation of the endogenous pluripotency network from the host cell genome finally establishes pluripotent cell characteristics (Fig. 6B). Finally, the chromatin state of GC/PrM markers may also reflect their transition through germ-cell fate (Fig. 6C).

In summary, we show the expression of GC/PrM markers in all analyzed pluripotent cell types and show parallel but independent maintenance of GC/PrM networks from pluripotent networks. Through our data, we propose a hypothetical model for possible germ-cell origin of ESCs and suggest the plausible transition of somatic cells through germ-cell fate to achieve pluripotency. Further genetic and epigenetic studies aimed at PGC specification during ICM outgrowth may resolve and increase our knowledge of pluripotency.

Supporting Information

**Figure S1** Effect of the downregulation of Oct3/4 in ES cells. (A) Real time qPCR demonstrating the down-regulation of Oct3/4 and the expression profile of other pluripotency markers (Klf4, Nanog, Zfp206 and Lin28). (B) Expression profile of germ cell (Stella and Fragilis) and pre-metiotic (Dazl, MVH and Stra8) markers in Oct3/4 down-regulated ESCs. The dotted lines indicate the normalized expression levels of analyzed genes in control siRNA treated cells. The qPCR data of two biological replicates (including three technical replicates each) were calculated and represented as a mean ±SD. Expression levels, which are statistically significant, are indicated with asterisks (**p<0.001). (TIF)

**Figure S2** Epigenetic signature of pluripotency and GC/PrM genes in ES cells. (A) Chip-seq data from the database showing that the promoter regions (red box) of pluripotency marker gene Oct3/4 and germ cell markers Blimp1 (Prdm1), Stella (Dppa3) and Fragilis (Ifitm3) representing open chromatin with abundance of active histone modification H3K4me3 (green peaks) and are depleted of repressive marks like H3K27me3 and H3K9me3 (highlighted with red and brown peaks respectively). In contrast, the promoter regions of pre-metiotic genes MVH (Dlx4), Dazl, Hoxa11 and Pax5 were marked with both active and repressive histone modification marks, signifying their bivalent chromatin structure (B). (TIF)

**Figure S3** Histopathological analysis identifies iPS cell-derived tumors as teratomas. Tumors grown in RAG2−/− cγc−/− mice after injection of iPS cell lines #xu2 and #xu6 were HE stained. The tumors are teratomas showing ectodermal mesodermal and endodermal differentiations (* skin epithelium, # cartilage, → muscle, ▼ gut epithelium). The scale bar represents 100 μm. (TIF)

**Table S1** Primers used in RT-PCR. (DOC)

**Table S2** Quantitative real-time PCR primers for siRNA down regulation study. (DOC)

**Table S3** Quantitative real-time PCR primers used to test endogenous gene expression. (DOC)

**Table S4** List of antibodies used in Western blotting. (DOC)
Table S5  Quantitative real-time PCR primers used in Chip assay.
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