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1. Introduction

Nondiffuse fatty liver is considered to develop when the fat accumulation ability of hepatocytes or fat deposition becomes heterogeneous throughout the liver and is classified into the following 4 types: focal steatosis, multifocal steatosis, lobar or segmental steatosis, and focal spared lesion in fatty liver [1]. Based on imaging studies, insulin and nutrient levels in the blood flowing into the corresponding hepatic areas are considered to be causative in the frequent development of focal steatosis and focal spared lesion [2].

Some cases of focal steatosis and focal spared lesion exhibit an oval or mass-like appearance on imaging, and differentiation from liver tumors may be problematic. In this study, we performed contrast-enhanced ultrasonography (CEUS) for focal steatosis and focal spared lesions using Sonazoid (Daiichi Sankyo Pharmaceutical, Tokyo, Japan), in order to diagnose liver mass lesions, and obtained information on the hemodynamics of focal steatosis and focal spared lesions. Herein, we report these data with a review of the literature.

2. Materials and Methods

The subjects were 47 patients in whom liver mass lesions were detected on abdominal ultrasonography during health check-up and underwent CEUS with Sonazoid. The findings of these patients were investigated retrospectively. These were diagnosed with focal steatosis (24 cases) or a focal spared
lesion (23 cases) on dynamic computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging [3, 4]. At first, the presence or absence of an existing blood vessel within the lesion was evaluated by color doppler ultrasonography (CDUS). Next, CEUS was performed using an Aplio XG (Toshiba Medical Systems, Tokyo, Japan) with a convex probe (PVT-375BT, 3.75 MHz center frequency). The mechanical index (MI) for the acoustic output was set to 0.2, and a single focus point was set at the lower margin of the lesion. An intravenous bolus injection of Sonazoid (0.5 mL) was administered via a left cubital venous line followed by flushing with 10 mL of normal saline. The lesion was evaluated with regard to the following findings: the dynamics of the enhancement in the vascular phase (0–40 seconds), the presence or absence of an existing blood vessel within the lesion in the vascular phase, and the presence or absence of a hypoechoic area in the postvascular phase (after 15 minutes). Digital cine clips of the CEUS images were stored on the hard disk of the scanner and transferred to a high performance personal computer for subsequent analysis. The dynamics of the enhancement in the vascular phase were compared with those in the surrounding liver and classified into the following 3 enhancement patterns: hyperenhancement, isoenhancement and hypoenhancement. The postvascular phase was observed using the advanced dynamic flow (ADF) mode in some cases. Using the ADF mode, Sonazoid bubbles phagocytosed by Kupffer cells were destroyed, with a high MI of 1.0 [5]. Since the presence or absence of hypoechoic areas in the postvascular phase cannot be readily identified, particularly in high-echoic lesions, this procedure is useful for such determination.

All CEUS images were reviewed by two hepatologists, one was with 15 years of experience and other was with 27 years of experience.

2.1. Statistical Analysis. The presence or absence of an existing blood vessel in the lesion and the enhancement pattern (hyperenhancement, isoenhancement, and hypoenhancement) in the vascular phase were compared using Fisher’s exact test. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 11.0 (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) for Windows (Microsoft) and $P < 0.05$ was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

This study was approved by the Ethical Review Board of Toho University Medical Center, Omori Hospital.

3. Results

3.1. Focal Steatosis. Of the 24 patients with focal steatosis (Table 1), 13 and 11 were male and female, respectively, and the mean age was 61.8 ± 14.3 years (median: 64.5 years). The mean major axis of the lesion was 20.0 ± 9.5 mm (median: 19.5 mm) and the location was S3 in 1 patient (near the umbilical portion), S4 in 16 (transverse part of the portal vein in 8, near the falciform ligament of the liver (Sappey’s vein area) in 6, and the gallbladder bed and adjacent to IVC in 1 each), S5 in 6 (the gallbladder bed in 3, the right lobe surface in 1, and other area of the right lobe in 2), and S8 (right lobe surface) in 1.

The enhancement pattern in the vascular phase of CEUS was isoenhancement in 19 patients and hypoenhancement in 5 (Figure 1). An existing blood vessel was noted in the lesion in the vascular phase in 7 patients. On comparison of the enhancement patterns, the patterns were isoenhancement and hypoenhancement of the lesions in 5 and 2 of the 7 patients with existing blood vessels, respectively, and in 14 and 3 of the lesions of the 17 patients with no existing blood vessels, respectively, showing no significant difference.

A hypoechoic area was noted in the postvascular phase in 3 patients, which was also evident using the ADF mode in these same 3 patients.

3.2. Focal Spared Lesion. Of the 23 patients with focal spared lesions (Table 2), 15 and 8 were male and female, respectively, and the mean age was 52.9 ± 14.1 years (median: 52 years). The mean major axis of the lesion was 22.8 ± 11.8 mm (median: 20 mm), and the location was S3 in 1 patient (near the umbilical portion), S4 in 5 (transverse part of the portal vein in 3, and Sappey’s vein area and the gallbladder bed in 1 each), S5 in 7 (the gallbladder bed in 6, and the right lobe surface in 1), S6 in 5 (the right lobe surface in 4, and the right hepatic hilum in 1), S7 (right lobe surface) in 2, and S8 in 3 (the right lobe surface in 1, and other area of the right lobe in 2).

The enhancement pattern in the vascular phase of CEUS was isoenhancement of the lesion in 18 patients and hyperenhancement of the lesion in 5 (Figure 2).

An existing blood vessel was noted in the lesion in the vascular phase in 5 patients. On comparison of the enhancement patterns, the patterns were hyperenhancement and isoenhancement of the lesions in 3 and 2 of the 5 patients with existing blood vessels, respectively, and in 2 and 16 of the lesions of the 18 patients with no existing blood vessels, respectively, showing a significant difference ($P = 0.048$), and existing blood vessels were more often noted in the cases with hyperenhancement.

No hypoechoic areas were noted in the postvascular phase in any patient. Nine patients were observed using the ADF mode, but no hypoechoic areas were noted.

4. Discussion

Fatty liver is caused by the accumulation of triglycerides and other nutrients within hepatocytes and is roughly divided into diffuse and nondiffuse fatty liver. Nondiffuse fatty liver is further classified into 4 types: focal steatosis, multifocal steatosis, lobar or segmental steatosis, and focal spared lesion in the fatty liver [1]. The characteristic hemodynamic profile of the liver is considered to be involved in the maldistribution of fat in the liver parenchyma. The blood supply to the liver is derived from the hepatic artery and portal vein. The portal vein supplies 70% to 80% of the blood, delivering nutrients and other substances derived from the intestine [6]. It has been reported that, in nondiffuse fatty liver, localized fat and nonfat deposition are likely to occur in specific lesions, such as the dorsal S4 lesion of the liver, around the gallbladder and Sappey’s vein area [7–9]. Matsui et al. [2, 10] investigated many cases using CT during arterial portography (CTAP)
Table 1: Of the 24 lesions with focal steatosis, patients character, location, size, enhancement pattern in the vascular phase of CEUS, presence of existing blood vessel in the CDUS and/or the vascular phase, presence of hypoechoic area in the postvascular phase, and ADF mode of CEUS.

| No. | Age | Sex | Location            | Size (mm) | Vessels seen on CDUS or/and CEUS | CEUS Vascular | Postvascular/ADF |
|-----|-----|-----|---------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| 1   | 60  | F   | S4/Transverse portion | 28        | +                               | Iso           | -    |
| 2   | 73  | F   | S4/Sappey's vein area | 25        | +                               | Hypo          | -    |
| 3   | 72  | M   | S5/GB bed            | 25        | +                               | Iso           | -    |
| 4   | 80  | M   | S4/Transverse portion | 36        | +                               | Iso           | -    |
| 5   | 45  | M   | S4/GB bed            | 8         | +                               | Iso           | -    |
| 6   | 49  | M   | S4/Sappey's vein area | 21        | +                               | Iso           | -    |
| 7   | 62  | M   | S4/Sappey's vein area | 15        | +                               | Hypo          | -    |
| 8   | 76  | F   | S4/Transverse portion | 20        |                                 | Iso           | -    |
| 9   | 85  | F   | S3/Umbilical portion | 7         |                                 | Iso           | -    |
| 10  | 59  | M   | S4/Transverse portion | 18        |                                 | Hypo          | -    |
| 11  | 48  | F   | S4/Sappey's vein area | 12        |                                 | Iso           | -    |
| 12  | 68  | F   | S4/Transverse portion | 14        |                                 | Iso           | -    |
| 13  | 67  | F   | S4/Adjacent to IVC   | 40        |                                 | Iso           | -    |
| 14  | 50  | M   | S5/Right lobe surface | 15        |                                 | Iso           | -    |
| 15  | 31  | M   | S8/Right lobe surface | 8         |                                 | Iso           | -    |
| 16  | 66  | F   | S5/GB bed            | 30        |                                 | Iso           | -    |
| 17  | 74  | M   | S4/Transverse portion | 8         |                                 | Iso           | -    |
| 18  | 80  | F   | S4/Transverse portion | 27        |                                 | Iso           | -    |
| 19  | 64  | M   | S4/Sappey's vein area | 31        |                                 | Iso           | -    |
| 20  | 45  | F   | S4/Sappey's vein area | 20        |                                 | Iso           | -    |
| 21  | 65  | M   | S5/GB bed            | 19        |                                 | Hypo          | -    |
| 22  | 40  | M   | S5/Other area of the right lobe | 15 |                                 | Iso           | -    |
| 23  | 49  | F   | S5/Other area of the right lobe | 8 |                                 | Iso           | +    |
| 24  | 75  | M   | S4/Transverse portion | 30        |                                 | Hypo          | +    |

GB: gall bladder, Iso: isoenhancement, hypo: hypoenhancement, ADF: advanced dynamic flow.

*−: no hypoechoic area, +: hypoechoic area.

Liu et al. [12] reported the hemodynamics of 25 lesions in 20 patients with focal steatosis by CEUS using SonoVue, in which hypoenhancement and isoenhancement of the lesions were observed in the vascular phase in 44% each and hyperenhancement was observed in 12%. In our study, isoenhancement and hypoenhancement of the lesions were noted in 19 (79%) and 5 (21%) of the 24 patients, and no hyperenhancement was observed in any patient. Considering the findings of Liu et al., it was suggested that focal steatosis tends to present as hypoenhanced or isoenhanced lesions in the vascular phase of CEUS.

Liu et al. also investigated the time required for contrast agent to reach and enter the lesion with focal steatosis [12]. The time to reach the hypoenhanced lesions, which was 1–21 seconds, was delayed compared with the time (1–7 seconds) taken for the surrounding liver parenchyma. They did not mention the reason for this, but, in all likelihood, the staining intensity or speed may have tended to decrease in the hypoenhanced regions compared to that in the surrounding liver parenchyma due to the exclusion/narrowing of blood vessels by the fatty cells; that is, exclusion of the sinusoid by fat droplets [15]. Therefore, hyperenhancement is unlikely to
occur in focal steatosis, and the cause of hyperenhancement in the 12% in the study reported by Liu et al. remains unclear.

Among the 23 patients with focal spared lesions, isoenhancement and hyperenhancement of the lesions were noted in the vascular phase of CEUS in 18 and 5 patients, respectively, and no hypoenhancement was noted in any patient. In the focal steatosis cases described above, enhancement tended to decrease in the focal steatosis lesion, compared with that in the surrounding liver parenchyma, due to the influence of fatty cells. In these focal spared lesions, isoenhancement was noted in most cases, but hyperenhancement was noted in some cases. This may have been due to fatty cell-induced exclusion and narrowing of blood vessels in the surrounding liver parenchyma by fatty cells [16, 17], in contrast to focal steatosis, and enhancement of the lesion may have appeared to be increased relative to that of the surrounding liver parenchyma. In normal liver parenchyma, arterial blood generally flows in first, followed by perfusion via the portal blood flow. Since ectopic venous circulation other than the portal vein, that is, the third inflow, is involved in focal spared lesions, the third inflow may have entered the focal spared lesion earlier than the portal blood perfusion within the liver parenchyma, resulting in more rapid and stronger enhancement compared with the surrounding liver parenchyma.

Regarding the existing blood vessels and the enhancement pattern in focal steatosis and focal spared lesions, no significant correlation was noted between the presence of an
Table 2: Of the 23 lesions with focal spared lesions, patients character, location, size, enhancement pattern in the vascular phase of CEUS, presence of existing blood vessel in the CDUS and/or the vascular phase, presence of hypoechoic area in the postvascular phase, and ADF mode of CEUS.

| No. | Age | Sex | Location                  | Size (mm) | Vessels seen on CDUS or/and CEUS | CEUS Vascular | Postvascular/ADF |
|-----|-----|-----|---------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| 1   | 44  | M   | S4/GB bed                 | 60.9      | +                                | hyper        | -                |
| 2   | 75  | F   | S5/GB bed                 | 12        | +                                | Iso          | -                |
| 3   | 69  | F   | S6/Right hepatic hilum    | 14        | +                                | hyper        | -                |
| 4   | 48  | M   | S5/GB bed                 | 12        | +                                | Iso          | -                |
| 5   | 35  | F   | S4/Sappey's vein area     | 21        | +                                | hyper        | -                |
| 6   | 52  | M   | S5/GB bed                 | 17        |                                  | Iso          | -                |
| 7   | 45  | M   | S6/Right lobe surface     | 19        |                                  | Iso          | -                |
| 8   | 70  | M   | S7/Right lobe surface     | 41        |                                  | Iso          | -                |
| 9   | 37  | F   | S6/Right lobe surface     | 20        |                                  | Iso          | -                |
| 10  | 62  | F   | S8/Other area of the right lobe | 18   |                                  | Iso          | -                |
| 11  | 52  | M   | S5/Right lobe surface     | 12        |                                  | hyper        | -                |
| 12  | 59  | M   | S8/Right lobe surface     | 23        |                                  | hyper        | -                |
| 13  | 64  | M   | S4/Transverse portion     | 22        |                                  | Iso          | -                |
| 14  | 45  | M   | S5/GB bed                 | 18        |                                  | Iso          | -                |
| 15  | 24  | M   | S5/GB bed                 | 20        |                                  | Iso          | -                |
| 16  | 71  | F   | S8/Other area of the right lobe | 15   |                                  | Iso          | -                |
| 17  | 47  | F   | S3/Umbilical portion      | 13        |                                  | Iso          | -                |
| 18  | 59  | M   | S4/Transverse portion     | 40        |                                  | Iso          | -                |
| 19  | 34  | M   | S4/Transverse portion     | 20        |                                  | Iso          | -                |
| 20  | 36  | M   | S6/Right lobe surface     | 30        |                                  | Iso          | -                |
| 21  | 60  | M   | S7/Right lobe surface     | 35        |                                  | Iso          | -                |
| 22  | 56  | M   | S6/Right lobe surface     | 27        |                                  | Iso          | -                |
| 23  | 72  | F   | S5/GB bed                 | 14        |                                  | Iso          | -                |

GB: gall bladder, hyper: hyperenhancement, Iso: isoenhancement, ADF: advanced dynamic flow.

*−: no hypoechoic area.

existing blood vessel and the enhancement pattern in focal steatosis. In contrast, in focal spared lesions, existing blood vessels were noted in 3 of the 5 cases with hyperenhancement, showing a significant difference. The presence or absence of an existing blood vessel may influence the enhancement dynamics in focal spared lesions.

In the postvascular phase, hypoechoic areas were noted in 3 of the 24 focal steatosis cases, and these hypoechoic areas were also observed using the ADF mode in each of these cases. In contrast, no hypoechoic areas were noted in any of the 23 cases of focal spared lesion or of the 9 cases observed using the ADF mode. These findings suggest that the phagocytic ability of Kupffer cells is reduced in fat-containing cells compared with normal liver cells.

It was clarified that hemodynamics in lesions can be observed using low-invasive procedures in real-time by CEUS using Sonazoid in comparison with the previous CT angiography. It was suggested that Kupffer function (phagocytosis) in the lesions could be evaluated by observing the postvascular phase. In addition, hypoechoic areas were noted in some of the focal steatosis cases in the postvascular phase. We require attention for differentiation of these lesions and malignant tumors.

Among the limitations, this study was retrospective in nature, that is, the number of cases was small, the lesions were not diagnosed histologically, and it was unclear whether the existing blood vessel within the lesions represented an artery, vein, or portal vein. It may be necessary to increase the number of cases and perform further evaluations including histopathological investigation.

5. Conclusion

We investigated the hemodynamics in focal steatosis and focal spared lesions in nondiffuse fatty liver by CEUS using Sonazoid. It was suggested that differences in the dynamics of enhancement in the vascular phase of CEUS were influenced by fat deposits in the target lesion and the surrounding liver parenchyma and the third inflow and that the fat deposition-associated phagocytic ability of Kupffer cells is involved with the presence or absence of the hypoechoic area in the postvascular phase.

As for the knowledge provided in this study, it will seem that it is necessary in future in distinguishing a malignant tumor from these lesion.
Figure 2: A 35-year-old female (case No. 5) with focal spared lesion in the S4 (near the falciform ligament of liver so called Sappey’s vein area). (a) Unenhanced computed tomography (CT) scan shows a hyperattenuating lesion (arrow) in the S4. (b) Enhanced CT scan shows the lesion (arrow) of hyperattenuation in the portal phase. (c) Gray-scale US (right intercostal axis) shows a hypoechoic lesion (arrow). (d) Color Doppler ultrasonography (CDUS) (right intercostal axis) shows hepato petal flow (arrow head) toward the lesion (arrow). (e) Left: In the vascular phase (13 seconds) of CEUS (right intercostal axis), the lesion (arrow) is hyperenhancement compared with the surrounding liver. Right: Gray-scale US (monitor mode) (arrow).
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CEUS: Contrast-enhanced ultrasonography  
CT: Computed tomography  
CDUS: Color Doppler ultrasonography  
MI: Mechanical index  
ADF: Advanced Dynamic Flow  
CTAP: CT during arterial portography.

Consent

All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008 [5]. Informed consent was obtained from all patients for being included in the study.

Conflict of Interests

There are no financial or other relations that could lead to a conflict of interests.

References

[1] B. W. Dong, M. H. Chen, J. G. Li, B. Wang, and K. Yan, “Further study on sonographic patterns of non-formity fatty liver,” *Chinese Journal of Ultrasonography*, vol. 2, pp. 62–63, 1993.
[2] O. Matsui, M. Kadoya, S. Takahashi et al., “Focal sparing of segment IV in fatty livers shown by sonography and CT: correlation with aberrant gastric venous drainage,” *The American Journal of Roentgenology*, vol. 164, no. 5, pp. 1137–1140, 1995.

[3] K. W. Kim, M. J. Kim, S. S. Lee et al., “Sparing of fatty infiltration around focal hepatic lesions in patients with hepatic steatosis: sonographic appearance with CT and MRI correlation,” *The American Journal of Roentgenology*, vol. 190, no. 4, pp. 1018–1027, 2008.

[4] S. Hirohashi, K. Ueda, H. Uchida et al., “Nondiffuse fatty change of the liver: discerning pseudotumor on MR images enhanced with ferumoxides—initial observations,” *Radiology*, vol. 217, no. 2, pp. 415–420, 2000.

[5] S. Yoshikawa, H. Iijima, M. Saito et al., “Crucial role of impaired Kupffer cell phagocytosis on the decreased Sonazoid-enhanced echogenicity in a liver of a nonalcoholic steatohepatitis rat model,” *Hepatology Research*, vol. 40, no. 8, pp. 823–831, 2010.

[6] R. C. Sanders, J. D. Dolk, and N. S. Miner, “Liver,” in *Exam Preparation for Diagnostic Ultrasound: Abdomen and OG/GYN*, p. 3, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, Md, USA, 1st edition, 2002.

[7] Y. Kawamori, O. Matsui, S. Takahashi, M. Kadoya, T. Takashima, and S. Miyayama, “Focal hepatic fatty infiltration in the posterior edge of the medial segment associated with aberrant gastric venous drainage: CT, US, and MR findings,” *Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 356–359, 1996.

[8] O. Matsui, T. Takashima, M. Kadoya et al., “Staining in the liver surrounding gallbladder fossa on hepatic arteriography caused by increased cystic venous drainage,” *Gastrointestinal Radiology*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 307–312, 1987.

[9] J. Yoshikawa, O. Matsui, T. Takashima et al., “Focal fatty change of the liver adjacent to the falciform ligament: CT and sonographic findings in five surgically confirmed cases,” *American Journal of Roentgenology*, vol. 149, no. 3, pp. 491–494, 1987.

[10] O. Matsui, M. Kadoya, J. Yoshikawa et al., “Aberrant gastric venous drainage in cirrhotic livers: imaging findings in focal areas of liver parenchyma,” *Radiology*, vol. 197, no. 2, pp. 345–349, 1995.

[11] W. Dahntert, “Third inflow to liver,” in *Radiology Review Manual*, W. Dahntert, Ed., p. 675, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, Pa, USA, 5th edition, 2003.

[12] L. P. Liu, B. W. Dong, X. L. Yu, D. K. Zhang, C. S. Kang, and X. H. Zhao, “Evaluation of focal fatty infiltration of the liver using color doppler and contrast-enhanced sonography,” *Journal of Clinical Ultrasound*, vol. 36, no. 9, pp. 560–566, 2008.

[13] L. P. Liu, B. W. Dong, X. L. Yu, D. K. Zhang, X. Li, and H. Li, “Analysis of focal spared areas in fatty liver using color doppler imaging and contrast-enhanced microvessel display sonography,” *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 387–394, 2008.

[14] T. Gabata, O. Matsui, M. Kadoya et al., “Aberrant gastric venous drainage in a focal spared area of segment IV in fatty liver: demonstration with color Doppler sonography,” *Radiology*, vol. 203, no. 2, pp. 461–463, 1997.

[15] G. C. Farrell, N. C. Teoh, and R. S. McCuskey, “Hepatic microcirculation in fatty liver disease,” *Anatomical Record*, vol. 291, no. 6, pp. 684–692, 2008.

[16] I. Mihmanli, F. Kantarci, M. H. Yilmaz et al., “Effect of diffuse fatty infiltration of the liver on hepatic artery resistance index,” *Journal of Clinical Ultrasound*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 95–99, 2005.

[17] L. Oguzkurt, T. Yildirim, D. Torun, F. Tercan, O. Kizilkilic, and E. A. Niron, “Hepatic vein Doppler waveform in patients with diffuse fatty infiltration of the liver,” *European Journal of Radiology*, vol. 54, no. 2, pp. 253–257, 2005.