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ABSTRACT

Motivated by the recently discovered class of faint ($10^{34}$–$10^{35}$ ergs s$^{-1}$) X-ray transients in the Galactic center region, we investigate the 2–10 keV properties of classical and recurrent novae. Existing data are consistent with the idea that all classical novae are transient X-ray sources with durations of months to years and peak luminosities in the $10^{34}$–$10^{35}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ range. This makes classical novae a viable candidate class for the faint Galactic center transients. We estimate the rate of classical novae within a 15' radius region centered on the Galactic center (roughly the field of view of XMM-Newton observations centered on Sgr A*) to be $\sim 0.1$ yr$^{-1}$. Therefore, it is plausible that some of the Galactic center transients that have been announced to date are unrecognized classical novae. The continuing monitoring of the Galactic center region carried out by Chandra and XMM-Newton may therefore provide a new method to detect classical novae in this crowded and obscured region, where optical surveys are not, and cannot hence be, effective. Therefore, X-ray monitoring may provide the best means of testing the completeness of the current understanding of the nova populations.

Subject headings: Galaxy: center — novae, cataclysmic variables — X-rays: binaries

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, several groups have reported their detections of relatively faint X-ray transients in the Chandra and XMM-Newton observations of the Galactic center region (Porquet et al. 2005; Sakano et al. 2005; Muno et al. 2005). These authors conclude that these transients are collectively located near the Galactic center, based on their absorbing columns and their sky distribution, although no direct distance measurements are available. With this assumption, the inferred luminosities of these transients are in the $10^{34}$–$10^{35}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ range. The authors of these studies claim that such a luminosity is too high for cataclysmic variables (CVs), semidetached binaries in which the accreting object is a white dwarf. Instead, they argue for neutron star or black hole accretors based solely on the luminosity. However, the Galactic center transients are subluminous compared to the known transient populations of black hole or neutron star binaries (Sakano et al. 2005; Muno et al. 2005), requiring a new population (see, e.g., King & Wijnands 2006).

The accretion-driven X-ray luminosities of CVs are indeed insufficient to explain the Galactic center transients. Nonmagnetic CV X-ray luminosities are in the range $10^{30}$–$10^{32}$ ergs s$^{-1}$, with the highest value being $3 \times 10^{32}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ for the old nova, V603 Aql (Baskill et al. 2005). Magnetic CVs, the intermediate polars (IPs) in particular, are more luminous in 2–10 keV X-rays, with estimated luminosities often exceeding $10^{33}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ (Sazonov et al. 2006). However, since the highest luminosity recorded for an IP is $1.3 \times 10^{34}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ during the outbursts of the unusual IP (and another old nova), GK Per (Hellier et al. 2004), IPs are also not likely candidates for the Galactic center X-ray transients.

However, the above discussion is incomplete because it is limited to the accretion-driven X-ray luminosities of CVs. In reality, CVs can generate higher X-ray luminosities through nuclear fusion, which is a more efficient source of energy than accretion onto a white dwarf. Indeed, classical novae have been known to emit 2–10 keV X-rays at luminosities exceeding $10^{34}$ ergs s$^{-1}$. We present below a summary of X-ray properties of classical as well as recurrent novae.

2. NOVAE AS X-RAY TRANSIENTS

A white dwarf accreting at below the critical rate will undergo a thermonuclear runaway and becomes a classical nova, once a sufficient amount of fresh fuel has been accumulated (see, e.g., Shara 1989 for a review). A classical nova releases enough energy ($\sim 10^{45}$ ergs) to eject a shell of up to $\sim 10^{-3} M_\odot$ at a typical velocity of 1000 km s$^{-1}$. Classical novae are seen as spectacular optical transients that brighten by over 10 mag, reaching peak brightness as high as $M_V = -9$ (Della Valle & Livio 1995). By definition, a classical nova has only been observed to go into outburst once, although they are thought to repeat with a recurrence period of well over 1000 yr. A recurrent nova is a closely related system that has been seen to undergo multiple thermonuclear runaways; theories of thermonuclear runaways require a high-mass white dwarf accreting at a high rate to explain the short recurrence times of these objects.

Imaging X-ray observations of classical novae weeks to months after visual peak have revealed at least two kinds of X-ray emission (Orio 2004). Of these, the supersoft emission peaks in the EUV/soft X-ray range with little or no flux above 1 keV. We do not discuss supersoft emission further in this paper, because supersoft emission is easily absorbed by the interstellar medium and is unobservable from sources in the Galactic center region.

The other component is inferred to be from shocks within the ejected shell, although they are spatially unresolved within the first few years. The X-ray spectrum of the shell component can be modeled as optically thin thermal emission with temperatures in the 1–10 keV range in the early stages. The line-rich emission
detected in some novae at a later stage are also likely to be from the shell, although they become too soft to be observable from the Galactic center region. The review by Orio (2004) has firmly established that some classical novae are 2–10 keV X-ray sources. We now attempt the first systematic survey to see how widespread this component is, and how bright they are on average.

We present a summary in Table 1 and Figure 1 compiled from the literature, as detailed below. We focus on the first 1000 days since eruption. In the figure, we use labels such as “N1” defined in the table. For the three novae detected with ROSAT, we list their observed 0.2–2.4 keV luminosities and plot them in red. Their 2-10 keV luminosities are rather uncertain due to the mismatch with the ROSAT bandpass.

For other novae, we generally present the measured, absorbed 2–10 keV luminosity. Note that the values in Table 1 do not necessarily coincide with those that are reported in the references, sometimes because we have used more recent distance estimates, and because some papers report unabsorbed and/or bolometric luminosities.

Descriptions of the first five objects in Table 1 can be found in Orio (2004). Of the other novae observed with ROSAT, there are at least as many with XMM-Newton, Chandra, and ASCA. So far, ROSAT and XMM-Newton have been the most comprehensive X-ray surveys. However, XMM-Newton has been the most sensitive, and so is the most likely to detect X-ray novae in the very first outburst. XMM-Newton has also been useful for detecting X-ray novae at all stages of their evolution.

We now attempt the first systematic survey to see how widespread this component is, and how bright they are on average.

We present a summary in Table 1 and Figure 1 compiled from the literature, as detailed below. We focus on the first 1000 days since eruption. In the figure, we use labels such as “N1” defined in the table. For the three novae detected with ROSAT, we list their observed 0.2–2.4 keV luminosities and plot them in red. Their 2-10 keV luminosities are rather uncertain due to the mismatch with the ROSAT bandpass.

For other novae, we generally present the measured, absorbed 2–10 keV luminosity. Note that the values in Table 1 do not necessarily coincide with those that are reported in the references, sometimes because we have used more recent distance estimates, and because some papers report unabsorbed and/or bolometric luminosities.

Descriptions of the first five objects in Table 1 can be found in Orio (2004). Of the other novae observed with ROSAT, there are at least as many with XMM-Newton, Chandra, and ASCA. So far, ROSAT and XMM-Newton have been the most comprehensive X-ray surveys. However, XMM-Newton has been the most sensitive, and so is the most likely to detect X-ray novae in the very first outburst. XMM-Newton has also been useful for detecting X-ray novae at all stages of their evolution.

During the first outburst, X-ray novae may show a large degree of variability. For example, V1974 Cyg is a good example of this. Its X-ray luminosity increased by a factor of 10 in the first 100 days. However, it then decreased by a factor of 10 in the next 100 days. This variability is probably due to the fact that the accretion disk is not yet fully formed. As the disk becomes more stable, the X-ray luminosity will decrease.

On the other hand, some X-ray novae may not show any variability at all. For example, V4633 Sgr is a good example of this. Its X-ray luminosity remained constant throughout the first outburst. This is probably due to the fact that the accretion disk is already fully formed. As the disk becomes more stable, the X-ray luminosity will decrease.
The remainder of classical novae are taken from the Swift survey of classical novae (Ness et al. 2007). As the focus of this paper is the supersoft component, they do not provide luminosities or the conversion factor appropriate for the shell X-rays. Since none of the Swift observations are deep enough to enable spectroscopy of the shell X-rays, we have used a single conversion factor of $6.24 \times 10^{-14}$ ergs cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (2–10 keV) per 1 Swift XRT counts ks$^{-1}$, appropriate for a $kT = 5$ keV bremsstrahlung observed through $N_H = 1 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$. Among the objects included in the Ness et al. (2007) compilation, we exclude V1974 Cyg, V351 Per, V382 Vel, Nova LMC 2000, V4633 Sgr, Nova LMC 2005, V5116 Sgr, V1663 Aql, V1188 Sco, V477 Sct, V476 Sct, and V382 Nor. Recurrent novae plotted are: R1: IM Nor; R2: CI Aql; and R3: RS Oph. See text for details.

The range of plasma temperatures in the ejecta decrease from 20–30 keV at hard X-ray turn-on, to $\sim$1 keV in a few months (e.g., Lloyd et al. 1992; Mukai & Ishida 2001). Within 1–2 yr the nebula may have a rich line spectrum, emitting mostly below 2 keV.

Fig. 1.—Hard X-ray light curves of classical novae, all shown against days since visual maximum. Black points are generally inferred 2–10 keV luminosities. Blue points are the same estimated from Swift XRT count rates, while red points are inferred 0.2–2.4 keV luminosities from ROSAT data. Points for any given object are connected, except that the 11 points for V1974 Cyg are left unconnected for clarity. Upper limits are shown as upside-down carets; measurements are shown using a variety of symbols to allow those for different objects (indicated by the object keys; see below) to be distinguished. In six cases, object keys themselves, enclosed in boxes, are used to plot measurements. Classical novae plotted are: N1: V838 Her; N2: V1974 Cyg; N3: V351 Per; N4: V382 Vel; N5: Nova LMC 2000; N6: V4633 Sgr; N7: Nova LMC 2005; N8: V5116 Sgr; N9: V1663 Aql; NA: V1188 Sco; NB: V477 Sct; NC: V476 Sct; and ND: V382 Nor. Recurrent novae plotted are: R1: IM Nor; R2: CI Aql; and R3: RS Oph. See text for details.

Fig. 2.—Histograms of 2–10 keV luminosities of classical novae during days 10–30 (top) and 30–100 (bottom). The top panel reports four independent detections of two novae; the bottom panel reports six detections of four objects and one upper limit for a fifth system.
1 keV (e.g., Ness et al. 2003, 2005). Of the novae discussed above, the time for the hard component of the X-ray emission to cool was about 6 months for the two fast novae (Balman et al. 1998; Mukai & Ishida 2001), but was longer (over 18 months) for slow novae with massive ejecta (Orio et al. 1996; Greiner et al. 2003), potentially exceeding the duration of the supersoft phase. However, the gradual decrease in temperature means that the duration of novae as >2 keV X-ray sources is effectively shorter than the total duration of novae as shell X-ray sources.

Even less is known of the X-ray emission from recurrent novae. IM Nor (R1 in Fig. 1) was not detected 1 month after outburst and was only a moderately strong (~2 × 10^{32} [d/1 kpc]^2 ergs s^{-1}) source 6 month past maximum (Orion et al. 2005). The hard component of CI Aql (R2) was detected 34 and 95 days after outburst at about 7 × 10^{30} ergs s^{-1} (Greiner & di Stefano 2002) using the distance of 2.6 kpc (Lynch et al. 2004). In contrast, RS Oph (R3) reached a luminosity in excess of >10^{33} ergs s^{-1} shortly after the outburst peak (Sokoloski et al. 2006; Bode et al. 2006). In Figure 1 we plot only the observed 2–10 keV luminosity from early Swift observations for RS Oph; RXTE measurements are similar. The fast turn-on and high luminosity of RS Oph is due to the existence of an M giant wind, which provides an additional mechanism for X-ray production not available in classical novae or to many recurrent novae, whose mass donors are on or near the main sequence. The relative paucity of X-ray data on recurrent novae reflects the fact that recurrent novae are much rarer than classical novae. In the rest of the paper, we will therefore concentrate on classical novae, but the possibility of an RS Oph–like transient near the Galactic center region should be kept in mind.

3. NOVAE AS GALACTIC CENTER TRANSIENTS?

As our summary shows, novae are a known class of X-ray transients with peak luminosities above 10^{34} ergs s^{-1}. Thus, they should be considered as a candidate class in discussing Galactic center transients. In fact, novae are the only known class of transients with the right characteristics, as the known neutron star and black hole transients have much higher peak luminosities.

Classical novae can be found both in a relatively young population (e.g., the Galactic disk) and in the older population (e.g., the Galactic bulge). Della Valle & Duerbeck (1993) have shown (their Fig. 1) that the distribution of the rates of decline of classical novae in the Milky Way and in M31 perfectly overlap with each other, and both are statistically distinguishable from LMC distribution (which exhibits a predominance of fast rates of decline). Since it is well known from theoretical studies (e.g., Starrfield et al. 1985; Kovetz & Prialnik 1985; Livio 1992) that the rate of decline is a tracer of the mass of the white dwarf in the nova system, we can assume that the main bulk of the progenitors of novae in the Milky Way and in M31 originates in the same type of stellar population. Capaccioli et al. (1989) and Shafer & Irby (2001) have demonstrated that novae in M31 are mainly produced in the bulge (see also the tabulation of M31 novae by Pietsch et al. [2007]); therefore, in view of what is reported above, the same should occur for novae in our Galaxy.

A global Milky Way rate of ~24 novae yr^{-1} has been measured by Della Valle & Livio (1994) by scaling from extragalactic nova surveys (Della Valle et al. 1994). A somewhat larger estimate of ~35 novae yr^{-1} has been obtained by Shafer (1997) by extrapolating from the current rate of nova discovery in the Galaxy (about 4–5 nova yr^{-1}) and by Darnley et al. (2006) based on a microlensing survey of M31. In the following we will adopt as an “educated” guess a global rate of 30 nova yr^{-1}, and estimate the rate of novae in a region of the sky within 15’ of the Galactic center. This is roughly the field of view of XMM-Newton EPIC observations centered on Sgr A*.

Recent estimates of the ratio nova_rate (disk)/nova_rate (bulge) range from 0.25 up to 0.40 (Capaccioli et al. 1989; Della Valle et al. 1992, 1994; Shafer & Irby 2001). By assuming from Ratnatunga & van den Berg (1989) a surface area for the Galactic disk of 850 kpc^2 and a typical scale height of 100 pc for disk novae (Della Valle & Livio 1998), the density of nova outburst in the Milky Way disk is \rho_{\text{disk}} = (0.4–0.7) × 10^{30} \text{novae pc}^{-3} \text{yr}^{-1}. Assuming a distance from the Sun to the Galactic center of 8 kpc, one can find that the rate of disk novae within 15’ of the Galactic center is only 5 × 10^{-4} novae yr^{-1}. That is, Galactic center X-ray transients are highly unlikely to be disk novae.

More uncertain is the estimate of the nova density in the bulge. Let us assume (from Fig. 1 of Della Valle & Livio 1998) that most bulge novae are located within 400 pc of the Galactic plane. From Figure 2 of Shafter (1997) we can assume (rather optimistically) that most bulge novae occur within the first kiloparsecs from the Galactic center. Under these assumptions, we find that bulge novae are distributed within a prolate ellipsoid with a density of ~3 × 10^{-8} novae pc^{-3} yr^{-1}. The line-of-sight region within 15’ of the Galactic center encompasses a volume of ~35^2 pc^2 × π × 1000 pc = 3.8 × 10^6 pc^3. The expected number of bulge novae in this volume is therefore of order ~0.1 nova yr^{-1}.

The majority of these novae go undiscovered. During 1978–1993, the average rate of discovery of Milky Way novae was 3.3 yr^{-1} (Liller & Mayer1987). Even though the rate of discovery may have increased in recent years (about 6 yr^{-1} are reported in IAU Circulars since 2001), this still leaves of order 25 classical novae every year that are undiscovered. We expect that the undiscovered novae are preferentially located in crowded regions and/or behind high interstellar extinction. Both problems are extreme in the Galactic center region. Therefore, optical observations are unlikely to yield a complete census of the novae in the Galactic center region, although wide-area IR monitoring should be able to do so.

There have been observations of the Galactic center region roughly every 6 months with XMM-Newton for roughly 2 yr between 2000 September and 2002 October, out of which three transients were discovered (Porquet et al. 2005; Sakano et al. 2005). To this, we add 1 yr as the representative duration of novae as a Galactic center X-ray transients (i.e., bright enough and hard enough to be detectable if they were placed at the Galactic center; the precise value one adopts affects the following numbers only slightly). With this assumption, these XMM-Newton observations should have been sensitive to novae that peaked optically between 1999 September and 2002 October, or a period of 3 yr. Combined with the above estimate of 0.1 nova yr^{-1} within 15’ of the Galactic center, roughly the field of view of XMM-Newton EPIC cameras, we predict these observations should have detected 0.3 novae as X-ray transients. If this is the true expectation value, there is a 26% chance that at least one of the Galactic center transients is a nova according to the Poisson distribution (4% chance that two or more were novae).

Most optimistically, then, one or two of the XMM-Newton discovered transients could have been unrecognized novae. On the other hand, it may well be the case that none of these transients are novae. Novae are poorer candidates for the Chandra transients, given the strong concentration of Chandra transients near Sgr A* (Muno et al. 2005). However, given the uncertainties involved both in the nova rate and the transient rate, we consider it advisable to keep novae in mind, particularly as regular monitoring of the Galactic center region continues (Wijnands et al. 2006).
In fact, we can turn this argument around. There is a possibility that the present estimate of the Milky Way nova rate ($\sim 30 \text{ yr}^{-1}$) is underestimated, because optical monitoring is ineffective in the crowded, high-extinction regions around the Galactic center. The degree of central concentration of bulge novae is unknown; if there is an additional population of novae found preferentially near the Galactic center, we would not know it from optical data. The continuing search for faint X-ray transients in the Galactic center region can therefore be considered an important complementary method for discovering classical novae that are otherwise not recognized. Since the Galactic center region is already regularly monitored with sensitive X-ray observatories for other purposes, it makes sense to utilize the existing data for this purpose.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Classical and recurrent novae are a known class of transient X-ray sources that reach luminosities in the $10^{34} - 10^{35}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ range. The shell X-ray phase of novae may last months to several years, although they probably soften as they age, gradually making them less conspicuous above 2 keV.

Novae have the right spectral and temporal characteristics to explain some of the faint Galactic center transients that have been detected with Chandra and with XMM-Newton in recent years. If the existing literature accurately reflects the rate of X-ray transients near the Galactic center, then the known population of classical (and recurrent) novae are probably a small, but not negligible, contributor to the overall transient population.

Muno et al. (2005) have argued that dynamical processes may lead to a high space density of X-ray binaries within 1 pc of the Galactic center. That is, the concentration of X-ray emitters is forcing considerations of a new population of objects not seen elsewhere in the Galaxy. We propose that any such studies include white dwarf binaries, since Galactic center specific processes could produce an additional population of novae beyond disk and bulge nova that are currently known. The combination of X-ray monitoring and population synthesis may represent our best hope of obtaining a complete picture of nova populations in the Galaxy, because optical surveys cannot possibly be effective in the Galactic center region. Note that optical surveys fail to detect the majority of Galactic nova overall—compare the inferred Galactic nova rate of $\sim 30 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ to the actual rate of discovery ($\sim 1 \text{ yr}^{-1}$).

Chandra and XMM-Newton have been monitoring the Galactic center region more or less regularly over the last $\sim 8$ yr. Even at 0.1 nova yr$^{-1}$ within 15' of the Galactic center, it is probable that a nova will be detected as a $2 - 10$ keV X-ray transient soon, if one has not been already. A concurrent IR monitoring campaign will be required, however, to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that a particular X-ray transient is due to a classical nova.
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