Symmetrization for Embedding Directed Graphs
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Recently, one has seen a surge of interest in developing such methods including ones for learning such representations for (undirected) graphs (while preserving important properties) (Liang et al. 2018). However, most of the work to date on embedding graphs has targeted undirected networks and very little has focused on the thorny issue of embedding directed networks. In this paper, we instead propose to solve the directed graph embedding problem via a two-stage approach: in the first stage, the graph is symmetrized in one of several possible ways, and in the second stage, the obtained symmetrized graph is embedded using any state-of-the-art (undirected) graph embedding algorithm. Note that it is not the objective of this paper to propose a new (undirected) graph embedding algorithm or discuss the strengths and weaknesses of existing ones; all we are saying is that whichever be the suitable graph embedding algorithm, it will fit in the above proposed symmetrization framework.

Satuluri et al. proposed various ways (such as Bibliometric and Degree-discounted symmetrization) of symmetrizing a directed graph into an undirected graph, while information about directionality is incorporated via weights on the edges of the transformed graph (or applying a re-weighting scheme in case of already weighted graphs) (Satuluri and Parthasarathy 2011).

Satuluri and Parthasarathy (2011) presented Bibliometric and Degree-discounted Symmetrization for Embedding Directed Graphs.

\[ \text{BIBLIOMETRIC/DEGREE-DISCOUNTED SYMMETRIZATION} \]

However, computing TC for large directed graphs with cycles is expensive. Instead, we propose to do a breadth first search (BFS) with a depth constraint \( l \) to compute a node’s local reachability, as shown in Figure 2. This approach generalizes Bibliometric and Degree-discounted. For example, metrization will not connect \( f \) and \( g \) in the resulting undirected graph. However, \( f \) and \( g \) should be connected and form a natural cluster as they can reach the same nodes and are also reached by the same nodes. We refer to the nodes which can reach a target node \( i \) as \( i \)’s predecessors, and the nodes which can be reached by \( i \) as \( i \)’s successors. Transitive closure (TC) of a directed graph is a methodology (usually housed in a simple data structure) that makes it possible to answer reachability questions. Let \( G \) be the initial directed graph with adjacency matrix \( A \). The TC of \( G \) is a graph \( G^+ = (V, E^+) \) such that for all \( v, w \) in \( V \) there is an edge \((v, w) \) in \( E^+ \) if and only if there is a non-null path from \( v \) to \( w \) in \( G \). The adjacency matrix of \( G^+ \) is represented as \( A_G^+ \). Similar to out-link similarity \( B_o \) defined by Satuluri et al. (Satuluri and Parthasarathy 2011), we define out-reach similarity \( B_o \) as:

\[ B_o(i, j) = \frac{1}{(D_o(i))^\alpha (D_o(j))^\alpha} \sum_k A_G^+(i, k) A_G^+(k, j) \]

(1)

where \( D_o^G \) is the diagonal matrix of out-degrees in \( G^+ \), \( D_o^G \) is the diagonal matrix of in-degrees in \( G^+ \), \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are the discounting parameters. \( \alpha = \beta = 0.5 \) is found to work the best empirically. The above expression is symmetric in \( i \) and \( j \). And \( B_o \) is represented as:

\[ B_o = (D_o^G)^{-\alpha} A_G^+ (D_o^G)^{-\beta}, A_G^+ (D_o^G)^{-\alpha} \]

(2)

And in-reach similarity \( C_i \) is defined as:

\[ C_i = (D_i^G)^{-\beta} A_G^+ (D_i^G)^{-\alpha} A_G^+ (D_i^G)^{-\beta} \]

(3)

The resulting symmetrized undirected graph is represented as \( G_U \), and its associated adjacency matrix is \( A_U \) which is the sum of \( B_o \) and \( C_i \):

\[ A_U = B_o + C_i \]

(4)

However, both bibliometric and degree-discounted symmetrization only consider first order graph structure while failing to take higher order graph structure into account. As shown in Figure 1, bibliometric and degree-discounted symmetrization will not connect \( f \) and \( g \) in the resulting undirected graph. However, \( f \) and \( g \) should form a natural cluster as they have close common successors and predecessors.
if we set \( l = 1 \), the resulting undirected graph should be the same as Bibliometric and Degree-discounted symmetrization.

We also include hierarchical difference between node pairs and distance to common successors and predecessors to refine \( A_U \) as follows.

**Hierarchical difference between node pairs** As shown in Figure 3, another intuition suggests that the out-reach similarity between \( i \) and \( j \) should be inversely related to the hierarchical difference between \( i \) and \( j \). The hierarchy score of each node can be assigned by a function to represent where it stands in the entire network (Sun et al. 2017). A higher hierarchical difference contributes less to the in/out-reach similarity.

![Figure 3: All else equal, the node \( i \) should be less similar to the node \( j \) which has a different hierarchy (left) compared to the condition that both nodes \( i \) and \( j \) have the same hierarchical information in the graph (right)](image)

**Hierarchical distance to common successors and predecessors** As shown in Figure 4, intuition suggests that when nodes \( a, b, g \), and \( h \) can reach the same node \( i \), the contribution of this event to the out-reach similarity between \( a \) and \( b \) is smaller than \( g \) and \( h \), as \( g \) and \( h \) are closer to \( i \) than \( a \) and \( b \).

![Figure 4: Even though nodes \( a, b, g \), and \( h \) can reach the same node \( i \), the contribution of this event to the out-reach similarity between \( a \) and \( b \) is smaller than \( g \) and \( h \), as \( g \) and \( h \) are closer to \( i \) than \( a \) and \( b \).](image)

**Application: Expert Finding in CQAs**

Existing methods for expert finding in community question answering services (CQAs) (Sun et al. 2018a; Sun et al. 2018b) suffer from the data sparseness problem. In this paper, we propose to symmetrize the directed CQA graphs to undirected graphs, which can add more interactions in the corresponding undirected graphs to overcome the data sparseness problem. We then train a deep neural network based regressor with input as \( <X,Y> \), where each input \( x \) concatenates the feature vector of a question \( q \) and its corresponding answerer \( u \), and \( y \) is the voting score of the answerer for \( q \). Since Sun et al. discovered that voting score can be viewed as an indicator to identify the best answerer (Sun et al. 2018b). The feature vectors of questions and answerers can be obtained by applying any suitable (undirected) graph embedding method to the generated undirected graphs. Given a new question \( q \) and a set of potential answerers \( C_q \), we can predict each candidate \( u \)’s voting score for \( q \), where \( u \in C_q \). The user who achieves the highest voting score will be selected as the best answerer for \( q \).
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