Age distribution of stars in boxy/peanut/X-shaped bulges formed without bar buckling
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ABSTRACT

Some barred galaxies, including the Milky Way, host a boxy/peanut/X-shaped bulge (BPX-shaped bulge). Previous studies suggested that the BPX-shaped bulge can either be developed by bar buckling or by vertical inner Lindblad resonance (vILR) heating without buckling. In this paper, we study the observable consequence of an BPX-shaped bulge built up quickly after bar formation via vILR heating without buckling, using an N-body/hydrodynamics simulation of an isolated Milky Way-like galaxy. We found that the BPX-shaped bulge is dominated by stars born prior to bar formation. This is because the bar suppresses star formation, except for the nuclear stellar disc (NSD) region and its tips. The stars formed near the bar ends have higher Jacobi energy, and when these stars lose their angular momentum, their non-circular energy increases to conserve Jacobi energy. This prevents them from reaching the vILR to be heated to the BPX region. By contrast, the NSD forms after the bar formation. From this simulation and general considerations, we expect that the age distributions of the NSD and BPX-shaped bulge formed without bar buckling do not overlap each other. Then, the transition age between these components betrays the formation time of the bar, and is testable in future observations of the Milky Way and extra-galactic barred galaxies.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The near-infrared images from the COBE satellite presented the first clear evidence of the boxy/peanut-shaped bulge in the Milky Way (Weiland et al. 1994; Dwek et al. 1995; Binney, Gerhard & Spergel 1997). Recent star counts have sharpened this picture by proving the presence of an X-shaped bar (McWilliam & Zoccali 2010; Saito et al. 2011; Ness et al. 2012; Nataf et al. 2015; Wegg & Gerhard 2013; Portail, Wegg & Gerhard 2015; Ness & Lang 2016). Using the red clump stars’ magnitude distributions, Wegg, Gerhard & Portail (2015) fully established the full picture of a boxy/peanut/X-shaped bulge (BPX-shaped bulge) in the Milky Way. The BPX-shaped bulge has a vertically extended structure (up to about 1 kpc) with the radial extension of around 1.5 kpc along the major-axis, which is an additional inner structure to a long and thinner Galactic bar with a length of about 5 kpc in radius and a scale height of about 180 pc (see Bland-Hawthorn & Gerhard 2016, for a review). The BPX-shaped bulge morphology is not unique to the Milky Way and such bulges are observed in external disc galaxies. The fraction of galaxies with BPX-shaped bulges is about half of the nearby edge-on disc galaxies (Lüttinger, Dettmar & Pohlen 2000; Lüttinger, Pohlen & Dettmar 2004; Laurikainen et al. 2014). This fraction also strongly depends on mass (Erwin & Debattista 2017; Li, Ho & Barth 2017) and declines towards higher redshift (Kruk et al. 2019).

The origin of the BPX-shaped bulges is closely related to bar formation (Sellwood & Wilkinson 1993; Sellwood & Gerhard 2020). One of physical mechanisms about the formation of BPX-shaped bulge is buckling instability (Toomre 1966), which is a common phenomenon in collisionless N-body simulations of disc galaxies (Raha et al. 1991; Friedli & Pfenniger 1990; Pfenniger & Friedli 1991; Merritt & Sellwood 1994; Martinez-Valpuesta & Shlosman 2004; Martinez-Valpuesta, Shlosman & Heller 2006; Debattista et al. 2006, 2017, 2018, 2020; Fragkoudi et al. 2017; Saha, Pfenniger & Taam 2013; Smirnov & Sotnikova 2018, 2019; Lokas 2019; Khoperskov et al. 2019; Collier 2020). The buckling instability involves spontaneous breaking of the symmetry with respect to the disc equatorial plane, developed by the vertical inner Lindblad resonance (vILR, e.g. Pfenniger & Friedli 1991), that thicken and weaken the bar on a few dynamical timescales. Numerical simulation studies showed that the buckling event occurs of order one to a few Gyr after the bar forms. Some previous studies (Shen et al. 2010; Gerhard & Martinez-Valpuesta 2012) showed that the buckled bar naturally reproduced the observed BPX-shaped properties of the Milky Way in many aspects.

1 Note that subsequent buckling events can happen at a later time under certain conditions (Martinez-Valpuesta, Shlosman & Heller 2006; Saha, Pfenniger & Taam 2013; Smirnov & Sotnikova 2019).
(Li & Shen 2015; Shen & Li 2016, for a review). However, it should be noted that the presence of gas suppresses buckling of bars, as shown in previous N-body/hydrodynamics simulations (Berentzen et al. 1998; Debattista et al. 2006; Berentzen et al. 2007; Wozniak & Michel-Dansac 2009; Villa-Vargas, Shlosman & Heller 2010).

Other mechanisms invoked to explain the formation of BPX-shaped bulges are vertical resonant heating (Combes & Sanders 1981; Combes et al. 1990; Friedli & Pfenniger 1990; Pfenniger & Friedli 1991; Quillen et al. 2014) or resonant trapping into a vILR secularly during bar growth (Quillen 2002; Sellwood & Gerhard 2020). Recently, using N-body simulations, Sellwood & Gerhard (2020) demonstrated that these two mechanisms can develop the BPX-shaped bulge without bar buckling soon after the formation of the bar.

The vertical resonant heating without bar buckling was seen in many previous simulations, in some of which the heating mechanism was not explicitly mentioned. These studies showed that a planar orbit family of the bar (i.e. $x_1$ orbits; Contopoulos & Papayannopoulos 1980) bifurcates into a 3D orbit family, $x_1$+1 orbits (so-called banana orbits; Pfenniger & Friedli 1991; Patis, Skokos & Athanassoula 2002; Skokos, Patis & Athanassoula 2002; Williams et al. 2016), or higher-order resonant orbits such as brezel-like orbit families (Portail, Wegg & Gerhard 2015; Valluri et al. 2016; Abbott et al. 2017; Patis & Harsoula 2018; Parul, Smirnov & Sotnikova 2020).

In addition to these studies of stellar orbits in barred potentials, Wozniak & Michel-Dansac (2009) performed N-body/hydrodynamics simulations including star formation, and showed that, without bar buckling, stars born in the gaseous disc rapidly populate vertically resonant orbits triggered by the combined effects of the horizontal ILR (hILR) and vILR.

In this mechanism of the BPX-shaped bulge formation without buckling, the BPX-shaped bulge starts forming soon after the bar forms, confined in radius by the extent of the vILR. Interestingly, previous numerical simulations also suggested that during the bar growing phase, intense star formation in the central sub-kpc region forms a nuclear stellar disc (NSD; e.g. Friedli & Benz 1993, 1995; Martin & Friedli 1997; Heller & Shlosman 1994; Athanassoula 2005; Wozniak 2007; Kim et al. 2011; Cole et al. 2014; Debattista et al. 2015, 2018; Seo et al. 2019; Baba & Kawata 2020), while the bar suppresses star formation throughout the remainder of its extent (Martin & Friedli 1997; Spinoso et al. 2017; Khoperskov et al. 2018; Donohoe-Keyes et al. 2019). Consequently, after bar formation, no more stars should be born in the typical radial range of the vILR. While there is usually enhanced star formation in a ring around the bar, e.g. the Milky Way’s 4 kpc ring, there is no known viable mechanism to transfer these stars inwards to the vILR. As a consequence, we can expect a marked age disparity between the BPX-shaped bulge and the NSD; the BPX-shaped bulge is dominated by stars formed before the bar formation, while the NSD is dominated by the stars younger than the age of the bar (Baba & Kawata 2020).

Using an N-body/hydrodynamics simulation of an isolated Milky Way-like barred galaxy, where buckling is not suppressed artificially, we demonstrate that this is indeed the case, when the BPX-shaped bulge forms without buckling. In Section 2, we describe our galaxy model and simulation method. We analyse the morphological evolution of the simulated galaxy and quantify the time evolution of the simulated BPX-shaped bulge in Section 3. In Section 4, we describe differences of the age distributions of the stars in the NSD, the in-plane bar structure (sometimes coined the long bar in the Milky Way), and the BPX-shaped bulge. In addition, Section 5 analyses orbital characteristics of stars formed after bar formation to explore what prevents these populations from being heated to the BPX-shaped bulge. Finally, we summarise our results in Section 6. Note that we consider only the case of the BPX-shaped bulge formation without bar buckling. We discuss the comparison with bar buckling driven BPX-shaped bulge briefly in Section 6.

## 2 MODELS AND METHOD

For the purpose of this study, we analyse an N-body/hydrodynamic simulation of an isolated galactic disc presented in Baba & Kawata (2020). Since we kept running the simulation used in Baba & Kawata (2020) up to $t = 7$ Gyr, we present the results based on the simulation up to $t = 7$ Gyr rather than $t = 5$ Gyr available at the time of the publication of Baba & Kawata (2020). In this section, we give a brief overview of the galaxy model and simulation techniques.

We assume that the galaxy is initially composed of axisymmetric stellar/gas discs, classical bulge and dark matter (DM) halo (see also Baba 2015, for details). The stellar disc follows a radially exponential and vertically isothermal profile:

$$\rho_{st}(R,z) = \frac{M_d}{4\pi R_d^2|z|} \exp\left(-\frac{R}{R_d}\right) \text{sech}^2\left(\frac{z}{z_d}\right),$$

where $M_d$, $R_d$ and $z_d$ are the total mass, scale-length and scale-height of the stellar disc, respectively. We assume that $M_d = 4 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$, $R_d = 2.6$ kpc and $z_d = 300$ pc (Bland-Hawthorn & Gerhard 2016). Using Hernquist’s method (Hernquist 1993), the velocity structure of the stellar disc in cylindrical coordinates is determined by a Maxwellian approximation. The radial velocity dispersion is set to be $0.3 \times 1.3 = 2.5 R_d$. The disc mass also follows an exponential profile with a total mass $(M_b)$ of $1.2 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$, a scale-length of 10.4 kpc and a scale-height of 100 pc (e.g. Bigiel & Blitz 2012). The initial temperature is set to $10^4 K$. The classical bulge follows the Hernquist profile with an isotropic velocity dispersion (Hernquist 1990):

$$\rho_{cb}(r) = \frac{M_{b,0}}{2\pi a_b r} \frac{a_b}{r(r+a_b)^3},$$

where $M_{b,0}$ and $a_b$ are the total mass and scale-length of the bulge, respectively. We assume that $M_{b,0} = 2 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ and $a_b = 0.79$ kpc. Following Widrow & Dubinski (2005), we generate the classical bulge using a distribution function with an energy cutoff with $q_b = 0.21$ in equation (11) of Widrow & Dubinski (2005). The resulting mass of the classical bulge $(M_b)$ is $6.7 \times 10^{9} M_\odot$. As a result, the mass ratio of the classical bulge to the stellar disk is about 15%. This value is somewhat larger than the current upper limit of the classical bulge mass fraction for the Milky Way ($\lesssim 10\%$; Shen et al. 2010; Di Matteo et al. 2014; Debattista et al. 2017).

The initial numbers of stars and gas (SPH) particles are 5.7 millions and 4.5 millions, respectively, and particle masses for star and gas particles are about $9.1 \times 10^{4} M_\odot$ and $3 \times 10^{4} M_\odot$, respectively. In our simulations, the gas mass fraction $M_g/(M_d + M_b)$ is initially about 24%. Because the scale-length of the gaseous disc is large, the gas surface density at $R = 8$ kpc is about 10 $M_\odot$ pc$^{-2}$. This is consistent with the observational value of the Milky Way (e.g. McKee, Parravano & Hollenbach 2015).

We model the DM halo with a rigid background potential. For the rigid DM halo, we adopt the Navarro-Frenk-White profile (Navarro, Frenk & White 1997):

$$\rho_b(r) = \frac{M_h}{4\pi f_c(C_h)} \frac{1}{r(r+a_h)^2},$$

where $M_h$, $a_h$ and $C_h$ are the total mass, scale-length and concentration of the DM halo, respectively. We use $M_h = 7 \times 10^{12} M_\odot$, $a_h = 37$ kpc and $C_h = 20$. In our simulations, the total mass of the DM halo is $3 \times 10^{12} M_\odot$. In this section, we describe our galaxy model and simulation method. We analyse the morphological evolution of the simulated galaxy and quantify the time evolution of the simulated BPX-shaped bulge in Section 3. In Section 4, we describe differences of the age distributions of the stars in the NSD, the in-plane bar structure (sometimes coined the long bar in the Milky Way), and the BPX-shaped bulge. In addition, Section 5 analyses orbital characteristics of stars formed after bar formation to explore what prevents these populations from being heated to the BPX-shaped bulge. Finally, we summarise our results in Section 6. Note that we consider only the case of the BPX-shaped bulge formation without bar buckling. We discuss the comparison with bar buckling driven BPX-shaped bulge briefly in Section 6.
where $M_b$, $a_b$, and $C_b$ are the total mass, scale radius and concentration parameter of the dark matter halo, respectively, and $f_c(C_b) = \ln(1 + C_b) - C_b/(1 + C_b)$. We assume that $M_b = 1.26 \times 10^{12} M_\odot$, $a_b = 25$ kpc, and $C_b = 11.2$. Note that a rigid DM halo omits dynamical friction on the bar and suppress the slowdown of the bar (e.g. Debattista & Sellwood 2000; Athanassoula & Misiriotis 2002). Hence, our simulation does not explicitly include the slowdown of the bar.

The $N$-body representation of the disc galaxy is initialised using the procedure described in Hernquist (1993). This method does not provide a strictly equilibrium model. We resolve this by evolving the stellar orbits self-consistently for 6 Gyr, while fixing the positions of the gas particles and enforcing axisymmetry of the potential to prevent structure formation (McMillan & Dehnen 2007). We use this equilibrium state as the ‘initial’ condition (i.e. $t = 0$ Gyr). Fig. 1 shows the initial circular velocity of each component (left panel) and Toomre’s $Q$ value of the disc stars (right panel) as functions of the galactocentric distance, $R$.

Our simulation is carried out with an $N$-body/smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH) simulation code, ASURA-2 (Saitoh & Makino 2009, 2010). Gravitational interactions of stars and SPH particles are calculated by the Tree with GRAPE method (Makino 1991), using a software emulator of GRAPE, known as PhantomGRAPE (Tanikawa et al. 2013)\(^2\). A gravitational softening length is set to 10 pc in our simulation, and is sufficiently small to resolve the three-dimensional structure of a disc galaxy (Baba, Saitoh & Wada 2013). The simulations also take into account radiative cooling for a wide temperature range of $20$ K $< T < 10^8$ K (Wada, Papadopoulos & Spaans 2009), heating due to far-ultraviolet interstellar radiation (Baba, Morokuma-Matsui & Saitoh 2017), probabilistic star formation from the cold dense gas ($T < 100$ K and $n > 100$ cm$^{-3}$; Saitoh et al. 2008), as well as thermal feedback from type II supernovae (Saitoh & Makino 2009) and HII regions (Baba, Morokuma-Matsui & Saitoh 2017). To compensate for gas consumption due to star formation, the SPH particles are continuously added with a constant rate of $2 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, which models the gas accretion from the halo to the disc (Baba & Kawata 2020). At $t = 7$ Gyr, the gas mass is about $1.5 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ and the stellar mass has increased by about $1.0 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ due to star formation. The final gas surface density at $R = 8$ kpc is about $10.7 M_\odot$ pc$^{-2}$. Therefore, the gas mass is almost constant from the beginning of the simulation, while the total stellar mass has increased by about 20%.

As we aim to investigate the BPX-shaped bulge formation without buckling, we choose the initial conditions so that the bar buckling is suppressed. The left panel of Fig. 1 shows that the contribution from the stellar disc to the total circular velocity in the galaxy model is larger than that from the DM halo in the regions of $3 \lesssim R \lesssim 12$ kpc. Early bar formation is ensured, since the initial stellar distribution satisfies the criteria for the bar instability in a rigid DM halo (e.g. Efstathiou, Lake & Negroponte 1982). However, the buckling instability happens if the stellar vertical-to-radial velocity dispersion ratio, $\sigma_z/\sigma_R$, is less than about 0.3 (Sellwood 1996)\(^3\). As seen in the right panel of Fig. 1 our galaxy is set up to achieve an initial $\sigma_z/\sigma_R > 0.6$. We additionally ensure that the initial bulge-to-disc mass ratio of $M_b/M_d = 0.16$ also exceeds the limit for bar buckling established by Smirnov & Sotnikova (2019). Also, as mentioned in Section 1 including the gas component and star formation further suppresses bar buckling.

3 BPX-SHAPED BULGE FORMATION WITHOUT BAR BUCKLING

This section outlines the morphological evolution of the simulated galaxy. Fig. 2 shows the time evolution (columns) of the simulated galaxy, with an edge-on view of the stellar distribution along the bar

\(^2\) https://bitbucket.org/kohji/phantom-grape.

\(^3\) This is not a strictly correct condition for buckling. Merritt & Sellwood (1994) discussed that the buckling modes are maintained when $\Omega_z > 2(\Omega_\phi - \Omega_b)$ (Debattista et al. 2017), where $\Omega_z$, $\Omega_\phi$ and $\Omega_b$ are the vertical oscillation and mean angular frequencies of a star and the pattern speed of the bar, respectively. However, because $\Omega_b$ is not known at the initial condition, we use this simpler empirical condition.
Figure 2. Morphological evolution of the simulated barred spiral galaxy (the galaxy rotates in clock-wise direction). Top row: Evolution of edge-on views. Orange colors indicate surface density of stars in logarithmic scale \((M_\odot \text{pc}^{-2})\). 2nd row: Evolution of face-on views of the stellar surface density in logarithmic scale. 3rd row: Evolution of face-on views of the gas surface density in logarithmic scale \((\text{H pc}^{-2})\). Bottom row: Evolution of star-formation-rate (SFR) distributions in logarithmic scale \((\text{}/\text{u1D440} \text{pc}^{-2} \text{Gyr}^{-1})\). Here, we computed the SFR using the stars younger than 10 Myr at each snapshot. After the bar formed \((t \gtrsim 1.5 \text{ Gyr} = T_{\text{bar}})\), the major-axis of the bar is set to be the \(x\)-axis. In this study, we focus on the bar/bulge region enclosed by rectangle in the left panels.

To quantify dynamical evolution of the bar, we measure the bar amplitude with the \(A_{\text{bar}}\) Fourier amplitude of the face-on stellar density maps as

\[
A_{\text{bar}} = \left| \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_j e^{2i\phi_j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_j} \right|,
\]

where \(m_j, \phi_j\) and \(N\) are the mass, azimuth angle of a \(j\)-th stellar particle and the number of stellar particles within a cut-off radius of \(R_c = 3.5 \text{ kpc}\), respectively (e.g. Sellwood & Athanassoula 1986; Dubinski, Berentzen & Shlosman 2009). Fig. 3(a) shows the exponential growth of the bar strength lasts about 1.5 Gyr. In the following, we define the bar formation time \(T_{\text{bar}} = 1.5 \text{ Gyr}\), when the bar reaches about half its peak amplitude.

The bar pattern speed in our simulation is obtained by calculating time change of the \(m = 2\) phase \(\phi_{m=2}\) as \(\Omega_b = \Delta \phi_{m=2}/\Delta t\), where \(\Delta t = 10 \text{ Myr}\). Fig. 3(b) shows that the pattern speed is as fast as about \(50 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-1}\) at \(t \simeq 1 \text{ Gyr}\). Then, it gradually decreases until \(t \simeq 1.5 \text{ Gyr}\), and settles to around \(40 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-1}\). The fluctuation of the bar strength and the pattern speed in Fig. 3 could indicate...
that the amplitude and the phase angle of the bar are oscillating (Wu, Pfenniger & Taam 2018; Hilmi et al. 2020).

We next investigate the time evolution of the vertical structure of the bar. The top panels of Fig. 2 present the time evolution of the side-on view of the stellar disc. Prior to the bar formation ($t \approx 1$ Gyr), the bulge region is still elliptical shaped. Interestingly, a weak BPX-shaped bulge appears just after bar formation at $t \approx 1.5$ Gyr and continuously sharpens with time. We quantify the BPX height by:

$$A_{BPX} = \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_j \zeta_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_j} \right)^{1/2},$$

(5)

where $\zeta_j$ is the vertical position of the $j$-th stellar particle. This is basically a root square mean height of the central bar region. We call star particles from the initial condition ‘old stars’, and stars born during the active simulation ‘new stars’. Fig. 4(a) shows that the BPX heights for the old ($A_{BPX,old}$), new ($A_{BPX,new}$) and all ($A_{BPX,all}$) stars start to increase around $t = T_{bar}$ and the growth continues even after the bar fully developed around $t = 2$ Gyr. The old stellar component is thicker from the beginning, but thickens further. However, this thickening is less prominent, compared to the new stellar component, which forms the BPX-shaped bulge just after the bar formation. The strength of the BPX-shape becomes almost constant after $t = 4$ Gyr.

As seen in the snapshots of Fig. 2, the BPX-shaped bulge in our simulation is not developed by bar buckling. To show it more quantitatively, we analyse the temporal evolution of the buckling amplitude, $A_{buckle}$, which is defined by the following equation (e.g. Debattista et al. 2006).

$$A_{buckle} = \left| \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} z_j m_j e^{i(m_z \phi_j)}}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_j} \right|,$$

(6)

for the $m_z$-th mode buckling. As shown in Fig. 4(b), $A_{buckle}$ for the both $m_z = 1$ and 2 modes remains less than 1 pc at all the time. This is much smaller than the gravitational softening length, and confirms that our BPX-shaped bulge is not caused by bar buckling.

It is worth noting that previous studies with N-body/hydrodynamics simulations showed that including the gas component suppresses bar buckling, but a thickened bulge appeared (e.g. Debattista et al. 2006; Berentzen et al. 2007; Seo et al. 2019). However, these simulations do not include star formation, and hence lack the new-born and thus vertically cold stellar populations. Our high-resolution self-consistent simulation shows that the BPX-shaped bulge appears without bar buckling.

Figure 3. Time evolution of (a) the bar amplitude, $A_{bar}$, and (b) the bar pattern speed, $\Omega_b$, within $R = 3.5$ kpc. The time evolution of $\Omega_b$ is not shown for $t < 1$ Gyr, because the bar amplitude is too low to evaluate the pattern speed. The green vertical dotted lines indicate the times ($t = 1.0, 1.5, 2.5,$ and $7.0$ Gyr) corresponding to those of the snapshots shown in Fig. 2. These time are chosen to separate the qualitatively different dynamical phases of the bar, i.e. before bar formation (phase I), bar growing (phase II), just after bar formation (phase III), and bar stabilised (phase IV), as highlighted in panel (a). Throughout this paper, the bar formation time is defined as $T_{bar} = 1.5$ Gyr.

Figure 4. (a) Time evolution of the BPX heights, $A_{BPX}$, measured in $1 < R < 2$ kpc using equation (5). The thicker three solid lines show the time evolution of $A_{BPX}$ for all, old and new stars indicated with the labels of $A_{BPX,all}$, $A_{BPX,old}$ and $A_{BPX,new}$ respectively. The green vertical dotted lines are the same as Fig. 3 and separate the phases I, II, III and IV. The thinner four lines show the time evolution of $A_{BPX}$ for stars born in these four phases, as highlighted with the labels close to the lines. (b) Time evolution of the buckling amplitudes $A_{buckle}$ for $m_z = 2$ (solid) and $m_z = 1$ (dashed) modes measured using equation (6) for the all stars within $1 < R < 3.5$ kpc. Note that the unit of $y$-axis of panel (b) is pc.
4 AGE AND BIRTH RADIUS DISTRIBUTIONS OF BAR/BULGE STARS

Fig. 5 shows the face-on (upper panels) and edge-on (lower panels) stellar density distributions of four different age populations at $t = 7$ Gyr. The four populations are delimited by the green vertical dotted lines in Figs. 3 and 4, and indicated with phases I, II, III and IV. We can see that the stars formed in phases I and II, i.e. $0 < t_{\text{birth}} < T_{\text{bar}}$ Gyr, show strong BPX-shape when viewed edge-on. This is also seen as the high BPX amplitudes of the stars formed in these phases ($A_{\text{BPX,I}}$ and $A_{\text{BPX,II}}$ in Fig. 4(a)). The BPX-shaped bulge region is less populated by the stars formed after bar formation, $t_{\text{birth}} > T_{\text{bar}}$ Gyr (i.e. phases III and IV). Fig. 4(a) shows that the BPX amplitude of stars formed in phase III, $A_{\text{BPX,III}}$, is still high. However, that of stars formed in phase IV, $A_{\text{BPX,IV}}$, is significantly weaker.

We next analyse the birth time ($t_{\text{birth}}$) and birth radius ($R_{\text{birth}}$) distributions of the stars in the different volumes in the bar regions. To this end, we first divide the bar/bulge stars at $t = 7$ Gyr into three groups, namely the NSD, the BPX-shaped bulge (BPX hereafter) and the long bar (BAR hereafter). The NSD region is defined as a cylindrical region of $R < 1.2$ kpc and $|z| < 0.2$ kpc (see Fig. 5). The BPX region is defined as $|x| < 3$ kpc, $|y| < 1.5$ kpc and $|z| > 0.25$ kpc. The BAR region is defined as $|x| < 3$ kpc, $|y| < 1.5$ kpc, $|z| < 0.2$ kpc and $R > 1.5$ kpc, and the BAR stars are restricted with $|v_z| < 45$ km s$^{-1}$, which is comparable to the velocity dispersion in $|z| < 0.2$ kpc. Then, we traced these particles backward in time and determined the birth radius of the star particle, $R_{\text{birth}}$, using the snapshots saved every 1 Myr. Since the radial velocity dispersion of the stars is about 10 km s$^{-1}$ at the birth time, this time resolution is sufficient to estimate the birth radii of the stars with an accuracy of 10 pc. Note that we neglected the stars which were present at $t = 0$ in the following analysis. We found that these stars occupy about 95% and 70% of the BPX and BAR populations, respectively. Although these stars are present, they are regarded as the older stars. Since the focus of this study is on the youngest limits of the age distributions of BPX stars, the fraction of the pre-existing stars prior to the bar formation does not affect the following discussion.

Fig. 6(a) displays that the above three groups are distributed in the $R_{\text{birth}}$-$t_{\text{birth}}$ plane differently. The NSD stars (gray dashed contours) are formed almost exclusively at $t_{\text{birth}} < 1$ Gyr. We plot the temporal evolution of the in-situ SFRs of the central region in Fig. 7(a). The colour of the line indicates the bar amplitude. The figure shows that when the bar starts forming around $t = 1$ Gyr, the high level of star formation in the central region is triggered and continues until $t < 2$ Gyr, followed by the continuous low-level of star formation. Consequently, the $t_{\text{birth}}$-distribution of the NSD stars in Fig. 6(c) shows a peak around 1.8 Gyr with a long tail until $t_{\text{birth}} = 7$ Gyr. Fig. 6(b) demonstrates that the $R_{\text{birth}}$-distribution of the NSD stars sharply peaks around 0.5 kpc and almost all stars originate from $R_{\text{birth}} < 1.5$ kpc. Vice versa all stars formed in the NSD region after $t > 1$ Gyr remain confined to this region.

In contrast to the NSD stars, the BPX stars (orange dotted contours) are dominated by the population with $t_{\text{birth}} < 1.5$ Gyr formed at 1 kpc $\leq R_{\text{birth}} \leq 4$ kpc (Fig. 6(a)). In other words, the formation time and radius of the BPX stars are separated from those of the NSD stars (Figs. 6(b) and (c)).

The distribution of the BAR stars (blue solid contours) in Fig. 6 is similar to that of the BPX stars. However, a significant fraction of the BAR stars formed after $t_{\text{birth}} \approx 1.5$ Gyr. The $R_{\text{birth}}$ distribution of the BAR stars with $t_{\text{birth}} \geq 1.5$ Gyr shows that they formed in the outer region of the bar, including outside the bar ($R > 3$ kpc), and fell into the bar region later, because the star formation in the bar is quenched inside the bar, except the central NSD region (Fig. 7(b)). These differences in $t_{\text{birth}}$ and $R_{\text{birth}}$ between the BPX and BAR stars suggest that the efficiency for stars to be in the BPX-shaped bulge is higher for stars formed in the inner disc, $R < 4$ kpc, before the bar fully formed.

5 ORBITAL PROPERTIES OF BAR AND BPX-SHAPED BULGE STARS

To study the mechanism causing the differences in the $t_{\text{birth}}$ and $R_{\text{birth}}$ distributions between the BAR and BPX stars as described above, we analyse orbital properties in these two components. For this analysis, we follow the method of Sellwood & Gerhard (2020) and evaluate the orbital frequencies (radial frequency $\Omega_R$, azimuthal frequency $\Omega_\phi$, and vertical frequency $\Omega_z$) at time $t$ from the previous 300 Myr of evolution, with outputs every 1 Myr.

We first investigate the mechanism to form the BPX-shaped bulge without bar buckling. To this end, we applied the frequency analysis to the stars that were born at $0 < t_{\text{birth}} < 1.5$ Gyr and ended up either in the BPX or BAR region at $t = 7$ Gyr. Fig. 8 shows the distributions of the BPX (orange dashed contours) and BAR (blue solid contours) stars in the $(\Omega_\phi - \dot{\Omega}_R)/\Omega_R$ vs. $(\Omega_\phi - \dot{\Omega}_b)/\Omega_b$ plane. We plot the temporal evolution of the stars in the different volumes in the bar regions. To this end, we first divide the bar/bulge stars at $t = 7$ Gyr into three groups, namely the NSD, the BPX-shaped bulge (BPX hereafter) and the long bar (BAR hereafter). The NSD region is defined as a cylindrical region of $R < 1.2$ kpc and $|z| < 0.2$ kpc (see Fig. 5). The BPX region is defined as $|x| < 3$ kpc, $|y| < 1.5$ kpc and $|z| > 0.25$ kpc. The BAR region is defined as $|x| < 3$ kpc, $|y| < 1.5$ kpc, $|z| < 0.2$ kpc and $R > 1.5$ kpc, and the BAR stars are restricted with $|v_z| < 45$ km s$^{-1}$, which is comparable to the velocity dispersion in $|z| < 0.2$ kpc. Then, we traced these particles backward in time and determined the birth radius of the star particle, $R_{\text{birth}}$, using the snapshots saved every 1 Myr. Since the radial velocity dispersion of the stars is about 10 km s$^{-1}$ at the birth time, this time resolution is sufficient to estimate the birth radii of the stars with an accuracy of 10 pc. Note that we neglected the stars which were present at $t = 0$ in the following analysis. We found that these stars occupy about 95% and 70% of the BPX and BAR populations, respectively. Although these stars are present, they are regarded as the older stars. Since the focus of this study is on the youngest limits of the age distributions of BPX stars, the fraction of the pre-existing stars prior to the bar formation does not affect the following discussion.

Fig. 6(a) displays that the above three groups are distributed in the $R_{\text{birth}}$-$t_{\text{birth}}$ plane differently. The NSD stars (gray dashed contours) are formed almost exclusively at $t_{\text{birth}} \geq 1$ Gyr. We plot the temporal evolution of the in-situ SFRs of the central region in Fig. 7(a). The colour of the line indicates the bar amplitude. The figure shows that when the bar starts forming around $t = 1$ Gyr, the high level of star formation in the central region is triggered and continues until $t < 2$ Gyr, followed by the continuous low-level of star formation. Consequently, the $t_{\text{birth}}$-distribution of the NSD stars in Fig. 6(c) shows a peak around 1.8 Gyr with a long tail until $t_{\text{birth}} = 7$ Gyr. Fig. 6(b) demonstrates that the $R_{\text{birth}}$-distribution of the NSD stars sharply peaks around 0.5 kpc and almost all stars originate from $R_{\text{birth}} < 1.5$ kpc. Vice versa all stars formed in the NSD region after $t > 1$ Gyr remain confined to this region.

In contrast to the NSD stars, the BPX stars (orange dotted contours) are dominated by the population with $t_{\text{birth}} < 1.5$ Gyr formed at 1 kpc $\leq R_{\text{birth}} \leq 4$ kpc (Fig. 6(a)). In other words, the formation time and radius of the BPX stars are separated from those of the NSD stars (Figs. 6(b) and (c)).

The distribution of the BAR stars (blue solid contours) in Fig. 6 is similar to that of the BPX stars. However, a significant fraction of the BAR stars formed after $t_{\text{birth}} \approx 1.5$ Gyr. The $R_{\text{birth}}$ distribution of the BAR stars with $t_{\text{birth}} \geq 1.5$ Gyr shows that they formed in the outer region of the bar, including outside the bar ($R > 3$ kpc), and
also changes and deviates more from $E_J(L_z)$, which is the total orbital energy for circular orbits in the average axisymmetric potential as a function of $L_z$. Conservation of $E_J$ is shown in Fig. 9(b), where the symbols are coloured with their $E_J$ at $t = 4.5$ Gyr, and the dotted lines indicate $E_J$ at $t = 6.5$ Gyr. Similarity in colour between the symbols and the dotted lines close to them indicate their $E_J$ at $t = 6.5$ Gyr are similar to that at $t = 4.5$ Gyr. We confirm that the majority of stars conserve their $E_J$ within 5%.

In Fig. 9, we also show the thick green lines of ‘axisymmetric’ vILR. This is a location of vILR computed from an axisymmetric potential approximated from the real potential. Strictly speaking, this is not correct vILR in the non-axisymmetric system. However, we use this for an indicator of the location of vILR. In this study, we use the AGAMA software package (Vasilev 2019) to obtain an approximate ‘axisymmetric’ potential of the each snapshot. We use the Multipole function for the DM halo and classical bulge and the CylSpline function for the disc in AGAMA. We then computed the orbital frequencies ($\Omega_{R,\text{axi}}, \Omega_{\phi,\text{axi}}, \Omega_{z,\text{axi}}$) for stars, and the locus of the axisymmetric vILR is drawn from the stars within $0.45 < (\Omega_{\phi,\text{axi}} - \Omega_{\theta})/\Omega_{z,\text{axi}} < 0.55$. We can see that most of the stars in Fig. 9 cannot reach the vILR, because they keep the high $E_J$. As a result, very few stars end up at the BPX region, i.e. $(\Omega_{\phi} - \Omega_{\theta})/\Omega_{z} > 0.5$, which are highlighted with circles.

$E_J$ is only a conserved quantity, when the bar potential does not change with time. Accordingly, we observe that significant amount of stars change $E_J$ before the bar potential settles. Fig. 10 shows $L_z$, $E$ and $E_J$ at $t = 1.5$ Gyr and $3.5$ Gyr for stars formed at $1.47 < t_{\text{birth}} < 1.50$ Gyr. The contours of $E_J$ (dotted lines) and the position of vILR are different between Fig. 10(a) and (b), because the gravitational potential and the pattern speed of the bar change significantly between 1.5 and 3.5 Gyr. Differences between colours of symbols and colours of their closest dotted lines in Fig. 10(b) shows that significant number of stars lose $E_J$ (up to about 15%) and reach vILR at $t = 3.5$ Gyr. Consequently, more stars are heated up to the BPX region, i.e. having $(\Omega_{\phi} - \Omega_{\theta})/\Omega_{z} > 0.5$ (circles in Fig. 10(b)) at $t = 3.5$ Gyr. Hence, until the bar becomes stable, the stars formed outside vILR can lose $E_J$, and they can be heated up to the BPX region by the vertical heating at vILR. This explains the tail of the BPX stars with $t_{\text{birth}} > 1.5$ Gyr in Fig. 6(c). This violation of the conservation of $E_J$ during the bar formation also means that stars formed at various radius and orbits can reach vILR and can be heated up to the BPX region, which helps the continuous growth of the BPX-shaped bulge until the bar becomes stable (Fig. 4).

In addition to the non-conservation of $E_J$, we find that an outward shift of the vILR location also helps the formation of the BPX-shaped bulge in our simulation. Comparing Fig. 10(a) and Fig. 10(b), the vILR (in an axisymmetric potential approximation, but as a rough indicator of the position of vILR) is located at $L_z \lesssim 200$ km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$ at $t = 1.5$ Gyr, but it shifted to $L_z \lesssim 350$ km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$ at $t = 3.5$ Gyr. This also helps for more stars to reach the vILR at $t = 3.5$ Gyr. This outward shift of the vILR location is due to an increase in the central mass concentration. The bar formation triggers gas inflow to the centre, which increases the central mass concentration and shifts the vILR location outwards, causing the stars to be heated up to the BPX region.

6 SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In this study, we analysed a 3D N-body/hydrodynamics simulation of a Milky Way-like barred spiral galaxy and investigated the formation of the BPX-shaped bulge without bar buckling. We initialised our simulations with parameters that ensure the absence of violent buckling. Yet, in our simulation the BPX-shaped bulge starts appearing just after the bar formation via the vILR heating. This contrasts to BPX-shaped bulges created by violent buckling, which typically happens 1–2 Gyrs after bar formation (e.g. Debattista et al. 2020).

We find a strong dichotomy in age and formation radius between
the stars in the NSD and the BPX-shaped bulge. The BPX-shaped bulge is dominated by the stars formed in the inner disc before the bar formation, and is reached by very few stars younger than the bar formation epoch. Thus, the age distribution of the BPX starkly contrast with that of the NSD, which is formed from gas driven inward after the bar formation (e.g. Friedli & Benz 1995; Athanassoula 2005; Baba & Kawata 2020). On the other hand, the age and formation radius of the BAR stars, i.e. a long bar stars, do not show a clear transition around the bar formation.

This strong dichotomy in the age distribution between the BPX and the NSD is driven by the quenching of star formation within the main bar region and barriers preventing the migration of a sizeable number of stars into the region of the vILR: while there is continuous star formation in the outer disc, these stars inevitably have higher Jacobi energy, which is approximately conserved. While they can still lose angular momentum to fall towards the inner bar region, constant $E_J$ implies that this needs to be traded against increased non-circular energy. This in turn prevents them from reaching the vILR and so from being heated to the BPX region. We note that Jacobi energy is not conserved during bar formation (due to the time-dependence of the bar potential). This helps stars formed prior to the time at

Figure 6. (a) $R_{\text{birth}}$ versus $t_{\text{birth}}$ density map of the NSD (gray, dashed), BPX (orange, dashed), BAR (blue, solid) stars at $t = 7$ Gyr. The horizontal dashed line indicates the bar formation time $T_{\text{bar}} (=1.5$ Gyr). (b) $R_{\text{birth}}$ distributions of the NSD (upper), BPX (middle), and BAR (lower) samples. (c) $t_{\text{birth}}$ distributions of the NSD (right), BPX (middle), and BAR (left) samples.
which the bar potential stabilises at various radii to reach vILR and continuously form the BPX-shaped bulge.

To our knowledge, it is novel to study the age distribution of the BPX-shaped bulge, compared to the formation time of the bar, focusing on the case when the BPX-shaped bulge is built up by the vILR heating without bar buckling, and we find that the BPX-shaped bulge mainly consists of the stars older than the bar formation epoch. Then, as shown in Fig. 6(c), the age distribution of the NSD and BPX-shaped bulge are exclusive to each other. Hence, if we could observe the relative age difference between the NSD and the BPX-shaped bulge, and found an epoch when the decreasing older stars in the NSD coincide with decreasing younger stars in the BPX-shaped bulge, it implies that the BPX-shaped bulge of the galaxy is likely to be built up without bar buckling, and the transition age corresponds to the formation epoch of the bar.

Note that our simulation includes a classical bulge with a mass of about 15% of the disc mass, which is somewhat larger than the currently suggested upper limit of the classical bulge mass fraction in the Milky Way ($\lesssim 10\%$; e.g. Shen et al. 2010; Debattista et al. 2017). Li, Shen & Kim (2015) demonstrated that without an initial central mass concentration (in their case a compact classical bulge), no $x_2$ orbits develop, and the gas inflow due to the bar formation does not trigger the formation of a nuclear gas ring or NSD (see also Athanassoula 1992; Kim, Seo & Kim 2012). If the Milky Way has no classical bulge, then NSD formation may be considerably delayed relative to the time of bar formation, and the age distributions of stars between the BPX-shaped bulge and the NSD may have a gap even if the BPX-shaped bulge formed without bar buckling. However, we note that Li, Shen & Kim (2015) assumed external bar potentials and ignore self-gravity of the gas. Self-consistent $N$-body/SPH simulations of disc galaxies without a classical bulge showed that nuclear star formation occurs immediately after bar formation in a nuclear gas ring region with a radius of about 10 pc, and the size of the ring depends on the mass of the central mass concentration (Seo et al. 2019). Hence, we think that further studies are required to investigate, how much delay since the bar formation is expected for the NSD to build up, depending on the size of the compact bulge. If there is a delay of the formation of the NSD, the gap of the age distributions between the BPX-shaped bulge and the NSD may tell us a lack of the central mass concentration before the bar formation. We plan to explore such simulations in a future study.

It is interesting to compare our results with the simulations of the BPX-shaped bulge formed via bar buckling. Our study demonstrates that the $N$-body/hydrodynamics simulations with self-consistent star formation model are important to study the age distribution of stars in the different components of the galactic bar, compared with the bar formation epoch, because it depends on the suppression and/or enhancement of star formation due to the bar formation at the different location of the galactic disc. As mentioned in Section 1, the presence of gas components suppresses buckling of bars, as shown in previous $N$-body/hydrodynamics simulations (e.g. Berentzen et al. 2007; Iannuzzi & Athanassoula 2015). Hence, it may be difficult to set up a controlled simulation which leads to bar buckling, but including the gas component, radiative cooling and self-consistent star formation model. Interestingly, Fragkoudi et al. (2020) reports that some of the Milky Way-like galaxies in the Auriga high-resolution cosmological simulations underwent the bar buckling. It would be interesting to compare the age distribution of the stars in the BPX-shaped bulge in their simulations, and study how it depends on the strength of bar buckling.

The age distribution difference between the NSD and the BPX-shaped bulge in the extra-galaxies can be observed with the Inte-
Figure 9. The distribution of $L_z$ and $E$ at (a) $t = 4.5$ Gyr and (b) $t = 6.5$ Gyr for stars (crosses and circles) formed at $4.47 < t_{\text{birth}} < 4.50$ Gyr, but not within the NSD ($R < 1.5$ kpc and $z < 0.2$ kpc). The thin solid curve indicates $E_c(L_z)$, which is orbital energy expected for circular orbits in the axisymmetric potential approximated from the simulation snapshot. Because the stars' $E$ are computed from the full non-axisymmetric potential, the stars can be distributed slightly below $E_c(L_z)$. Green thick lines indicate the location of the axisymmetric vILR, which is estimated in the axisymmetric potential using AGAMA. The stars with $(\Omega_b - \Omega_z)/\Omega_z < 0.5$ are marked with crosses and the stars with $(\Omega_b - \Omega_z)/\Omega_z > 0.5$ are marked with circles. The colors of the symbols are given according to the value of $E$ at $t = 4.5$ Gyr. Dotted lines show constant Jacobi energy ($E_J$ in km$^2$s$^{-2}$) at the time of each panel every $0.05 \times 10^5$ km$^2$s$^{-2}$. There are more stars in panel (b), because more stars outside of panel (a) fall into the plot range at $t = 6.5$ Gyr.

Figure 10. Same as Fig. 9, but for stars formed at $1.47 < t_{\text{birth}} < 1.50$ Gyr. $\Omega_b = 48$ and $40$ km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$ is assumed at $t = 1.5$ and 3.5 Gyr, respectively.

gal Field Units (IFUs), like the TIMER survey (Gadotti et al. 2015, 2019). In the Milky Way, the age and orbit of giant stars in the BPX-shaped bulge can be observed with the near-infrared (NIR) multi-objects spectrograph, like APOGEE (e.g. Bovy et al. 2019; Queiroz et al. 2020; Wylie et al. 2021). However, it is challenging to observe the age of the stars in the NSD. This may require to use bright stars, like Mira variables (Matsunaga et al. 2009), which are known to follow the age-period relation (Feast, Whitelock & Menzies 2006; Grady, Belokurov & Evans 2019, 2020). Japan Astrometry Satellite Mission for Infrared Exploration (JASMINE: Gouda 2012; Gouda & Jasmine Team 2020)\(^4\) will provide the NIR astrometry of the stars in the Galactic central region, including Miras in the NSD.

\(^4\) http://jasmine nao.ac.jp/index-en.html
and provide the accurate measurement of the transverse velocity of the NSD stars. The combination of these future observations will enable us to test if the Milky Way’s BPX-shaped bulge is formed by the vILR heating without buckling, and reveal the bar formation epoch.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the anonymous referee for his/her constructive and helpful comments which have improved the manuscript. We thank Takayuki R. Saitoh for technical supports on performing numerical simulations with ASURA. Calculations, numerical analyses and visualization were carried out on Cray XC50 (ATERUI-II) and computers at Center for Computational Astrophysics, National Astronomical Observatory of Japan (CICAA/NAOJ). This work was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) Grant Numbers 18K03711 and 21K03633. JB acknowledges the supports by JSPS KAKENHI grant Nos. 17H02870, 18H01248, 19H01933 and 21H00054. DK acknowledges the support from the Promotion of Science (C) Grant Numbers 18K03711 and 21K03633. JB acknowledges the support of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) Grant Numbers 18K03711 and 21K03633. RS acknowledges the generous support of a Royal Society University Research Fellowship.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

Abbott C. G., Valluri M., Shen J., Debattista V. P., 2017, MNRAS, 470, 1526
Athanassoula E., 1992, MNRAS, 259, 345
Athanassoula E., 2005, MNRAS, 358, 1477
Athanassoula E., Misiriotis A., 2002, MNRAS, 330, 35
Baba J., 2015, MNRAS, 454, 2954
Baba J., Kawata D., 2020, MNRAS, 492, 4500
Baba J., Morokuma-Matsui K., Saitoh T. R., 2017, MNRAS, 464, 246
Baba J., Saitoh T. R., Wada K., 2013, ApJ, 763, 46
Berentzen I., Heller C. H., Shlosman I., 1998, MNRAS, 300, 49
Berentzen I., Shlosman I., Martinez-Valpuesta I., Heller C. H., 2007, ApJ, 666, 189
Bigiel F., Blitz L., 2012, ApJ, 756, 183
Binney J., Gerhard O., Spergel D., 1997, MNRAS, 288, 365
Binney J., Tremaine S., 2008, Galactic Dynamics: Second Edition. Princeton University Press
Bland-Hawthorn J., Gerhard O., 2016, ARA&A, 54, 529
Bowy J., Leung H. W., Hunt J. A. S., Mackereth J. T., García-Hernández D. A., Roman-Lopes A., 2019, MNRAS, 2019
Chiba R., Friske J. K. S., Schönrich R., 2021, MNRAS, 500, 4710
Cole D. R., Debattista V. P., Erwin P., Eap S. W. F., Roškar R., 2014, MNRAS, 445, 3352
Collier A., 2020, MNRAS, 492, 2241
Combes F., Debbasch F., Friedli D., Pfenniger D., 1990, A&A, 233, 82
Combes F., Sanders R. H., 1981, A&A, 96, 164
Contopoulos G., Papayannopoulos T., 1980, A&A, 92, 33
Debattista V. P., Eap S. W. F., Ness M., Gonzalez O. A., 2018, MNRAS, 473, 5275
Debattista V. P., Lidićcott D. J., Khachaturyants T., Bernaldo e Silva L., 2020, MNRAS, 498, 3334
Debattista V. P., Mayer L., Carollo C. M., Moore B., Wadsley J., Quinn T., 2006, ApJ, 645, 209
Debattista V. P., Ness M., Eap S. W. F., Cole D. R., 2015, ApJ, 812, L16
Debattista V. P., Ness M., Gonzalez O. A., Freeman K., Zoccali M., Minniti D., 2017, MNRAS, 469, 1587
Debattista V. P., Sellwood J. A., 2000, ApJ, 543, 704
Di Matteo P. et al., 2014, A&A, 567, A122
Donohoe-Keyes C. E., Martig M., James P. A., Kraljic K., 2019, MNRAS, 489, 4992
Dubinski J., Berentzen I., Shlosman I., 2009, ApJ, 697, 293
Dwek E. et al., 1995, ApJ, 445, 716
Elstathiou G., Lake G., Negroponte J., 1982, MNRAS, 199, 1069
Erwin P., Debattista V. P., 2017, MNRAS, 468, 2058
Feast M. W., Whitelock P. A., Menzies J. W., 2006, MNRAS, 369, 791
Fragkoudi F., Di Matteo P., Haywood M., Gómez A., Combes F., Katz D., Semelin B., 2017, A&A, 606, A47
Fragkoudi F. et al., 2020, MNRAS, 494, 5936
Friedli D., Benz W., 1993, A&A, 268, 65
Friedli D., Benz W., 1995, A&A, 301, 649
Friedli D., Pfenniger D., 1990, in European Southern Observatory Conference and Workshop Proceedings, Vol. 35, European Southern Observatory Conference and Workshop Proceedings, p. 265
Gadotti D. A. et al., 2019, MNRAS, 482, 506
Gadotti D. A., Seidel M. K., Sánchez-Blázquez P., Falcón-Barroso J., Husemann B., Coelho P., Pérez I., 2015, A&A, 584, A90
Gerhard O., Martínez-Valpuesta I., 2012, ApJ, 744, L8
Gouda N., 2012, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 458, Galactic Archaeology: Near-Field Cosmology and the Formation of the Milky Way, Aoki W., Ishigaki M., Suda T., Tsujimoto T., Arimoto N., eds., p. 417
Gouda N., Jasmine Team, 2020, in Galactic Dynamics in the Era of Large Surveys, Valluri M., Sellwood J. A., eds., Vol. 353, pp. 51–53
Grady J., Belokurov V., Evans N. W., 2019, MNRAS, 483, 3022
Grady J., Belokurov V., Evans N. W., 2020, MNRAS, 492, 3128
Heller C. H., Shlosman I., 1994, ApJ, 424, 84
Hernquist L., 1990, ApJ, 356, 359
Hernquist L., 1993, ApJS, 86, 389
Hilmi T. et al., 2020, MNRAS, 497, 933
Iannuzzi F., Athanassoula E., 2015, MNRAS, 450, 2514
James P. A., Percival S. M., 2018, MNRAS, 474, 3101
Khoperskov S., Di Matteo P., Gerhard O., Katz D., Haywood M., Combes F., Berizzik P., Gomez A., 2019, A&A, 622, L6
Khoperskov S., Haywood M., Di Matteo P., Lehnert M. D., Combes F., 2018, A&A, 609, A60
Kim S. S., Saitoh T. R., Jeon M., Figer D. F., Merritt D., Wada K., 2011, ApJ, 735, L11
Kim W.-T., Seo W.-Y., Kim Y., 2012, ApJ, 758, 14
Kruk S. J., Erwin P., Debattista V. P., Lintott C., 2019, MNRAS, 490, 87
Lütticke R., Dettmar R. J., Pohlen M., 2000, A&A, 362, 435
McWilliam A., Zoccali M., 2010, ApJ, 724, 1491
McMillan P. J., Dehnen W., 2007, MNRAS, 378, 541
Nataf D. M. et al., 2015, MNRAS, 447, 1535
Ness M. et al., 2012, ApJ, 756, 183
Parul H. D., Smirnov A. A., Sotnikova N. Y., 2020, ApJ, 895, 12

