Love makes smell blind: mating suppresses pheromone attraction in *Drosophila* females via Or65a olfactory neurons
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In *Drosophila*, the male sex pheromone *cis*-vaccenyl acetate (cVA) elicits aggregation and courtship, through the odorant receptor Or67d. Long-lasting exposure to cVA suppresses male courtship, via a second channel, Or65a. In females, the role of Or65a has not been studied. We show that, shortly after mating, *Drosophila* females are no longer attracted to cVA and that activation of olfactory sensory neurons (OSNs) expressing Or65a generates this behavioral switch: when silencing Or65a, mated females remain responsive to cVA. Neurons expressing Or67d converge into the DA1 glomerulus in the antennal lobe, where they synapse onto projection neurons (PNs), that connect to higher neural circuits generating the attraction response to cVA. Functional imaging of these PNs shows that the DA1 glomerulus is inhibited by simultaneous activation of Or65a OSNs, which leads to a suppression of the attraction response to cVA. The behavioral role of postmating cVA exposure is substantiated by the observation that matings with starved males, which produce less cVA, do not alter the female response. Moreover, exposure to synthetic cVA abolishes attraction and decreases sexual receptivity in unmated females. Taken together, Or65a mediates an aversive effect of cVA and may accordingly regulate remating, through concurrent behavioral modulation in males and females.

Polyandry, females mating multiply with different males, leads to a gender conflict over optimum mating rates and remating intervals. Polyandry is widespread in *Drosophila* and other insects. Females mate more than once, since a single mating does not yield sufficient sperm to match their egg production capacity, whereas high mating rates decrease female fitness and lifetime. This gives rise to a sexual conflict, which mediates pre- and postcopulatory selection on female traits that influence optimum mating rates and remating intervals1–4.

After mating, insect females undergo vital behavioral changes, regarding receptivity to further mating, feeding and egg laying5–7. In the fruit fly *D. melanogaster*, a single component of the seminal fluid, dubbed sex peptide (SP), has been shown to trigger the post-mating switch in female reproductive behavior8–13. After mating for the first time, SP increases female egg production, while multiple receipt of SP during consecutive matings reduces female lifetime and fecundity. On the other hand, SP transfer increases male fitness, since it delays remating in females and thus reduces male sperm competition8,14–16.

*Drosophila* females become unreceptive immediately after mating. Two, partly overlapping components contribute to postmating physiological and behavioral changes, including the inhibition of remating: a short-lasting copulation effect and a long-lasting sperm effect, which is generated by seminal proteins including SP. The prolonged effect of insemination on female receptivity and egg production lasts several days, but becomes evident only several hours after mating. In contrast, the copulation effect persists only for about one day14,17–19. What triggers this immediate suppression of receptivity after mating is not known, but the male-produced sex pheromone *cis*-vaccenyl acetate (cVA) is a candidate stimulus, since it is transferred to the female via the ejaculate together with sperm20.

cVA is a key compound regulating *Drosophila* social and sexual behaviors. It acts as an aggregation pheromone to attract males and females to feeding and mating sites21, and elicits sex-specific courtship behaviors at close range22–24. On the fly antenna, cVA is detected by two odorant receptors, Or65a and Or67d, which are expressed in...
different olfactory sensory neurons (OSNs)\textsuperscript{22,23,26}. First- and second order olfactory neurons show identical pheromone responses in both sexes. Differences in male and female courtship behavior arise from dimorphic third-order circuits in the central brain\textsuperscript{22}, where neurons determined by the fruitless (\textit{fru}) gene differentially couple sensory input to motor output in males and females\textsuperscript{27,29}, and where \textit{doublesex} (\textit{dsx}) neurons, which are responsive to cVA, regulate receptivity of unmated females to male courtship\textsuperscript{31}.

In males, cVA regulates courtship and aggression in a temporarily differential manner: acutely, perception via Or67d elicits aggression and prevents courtship with other males\textsuperscript{22,23}; chronically, perception via Or65a leads to a generalized suppression of aggression and courtship\textsuperscript{26,32}. Or65a is not part of the \textit{fru} circuit\textsuperscript{26}, yet it achieves a sex-specific effect on male courtship and aggression through lateral interaction with the Or67d channel\textsuperscript{32}. This raises the question which behavioral consequences cVA mediates in females through Or65a. Since cVA increases female receptivity prior to, but not after mating, we investigated whether mating modulates the female response to cVA at the behavioral and neurophysiological level.

**Results**

**Males inhibit female attraction to cVA after mating.** cVA attraction was tested in a Y-tube olfactometer, where cVA was released in one arm at a constant rate. Test females were virgin, mated with fed males, or mated with males that had been starved during 3 d. Virgin females were attracted to cVA, but copulation with fed males abolished female attraction. In contrast, females that had been mated with starved males continued to be attracted to cVA (Figure 1).

**Sex peptide does not modulate cVA attraction.** Diverse mating-induced behavioral changes in \textit{Drosophila} females, including reduced receptivity and increased oviposition, involve seminal fluid proteins\textsuperscript{8,19,33}. Starvation has been shown to affect sperm-mediated traits\textsuperscript{23} and may hence decrease copulation duration and sperm transfer, or even deteriorate sperm quality. However, we found no difference in copulation duration and reproductive success of starved and fed males (Figure 2A).

We then tested the hypothesis that sex peptide (SP) is involved in the termination of post-mating attraction to cVA. SP knockout males\textsuperscript{27,31} were used to this purpose. However, SP did not account for changes in postmating cVA attraction in females, since cVA attraction was down-regulated in females mated with SP-deficient males as well as in females mated with control males producing SP (Figure 2B).

**cVA exposure during mating abolishes postmating cVA attraction.** We next asked whether cVA itself is the signal that shuts down postmating female attraction to cVA. During mating, males transfer cVA to females\textsuperscript{18,20–22,37} and prolonged stimulation with cVA may ensue in behavioral modulation. If olfactory exposure to cVA during mating causes the reduced female response, it would follow that starved males do not produce sufficient amounts of cVA to produce a female behavioral modulation. We employed chemical analysis to quantify cVA transfer during mating, showing (Figure 3A) that fed males transferred almost threefold more cVA to females during copulation than starved males. More cVA was extracted from females during 24 h than during 5 min (Figure 3A), which confirms that cVA is not only transferred onto the female cuticle, but also via the ejaculate into the genital tract\textsuperscript{22,38}. cVA on the female cuticle is known to be detected by other males and to suppress further courtship\textsuperscript{20–22,39}. Obviously, cVA is detected also by the female fly itself, since females carry odorant receptors (Ors) selectively tuned to cVA\textsuperscript{26}, while the behavioral consequences have not been investigated. Since fed males transfer more cVA during mating than starved males, it is conceivable that females are exposed to a higher amount of cVA during copulation with fed males.

We therefore tested the effect of exposure to synthetic cVA on subsequent attraction of virgin females to cVA. Pre-exposure to a filter paper loaded with 300 ng cVA, corresponding to the amount of cVA transferred by starved males, did not have an effect on unmated females; whereas exposure to 600 ng, corresponding to the amount of cVA transferred by fed males, abolished attraction of unmated females to cVA (Figures 3A, B). The attraction response of virgin females, following olfactory exposure to a low and high dose of synthetic cVA, matched the behavior observed in females mated with starved and fed males, respectively (Figure 1).

In unmated females, cVA promotes sexual receptivity\textsuperscript{32}. The abolished attraction response led thus to the question whether cVA pre-exposure also has an effect on female mating behavior. Exposure of unmated females to 600 ng and 1.200 ng synthetic cVA significantly reduced their receptivity to male courtship (Figure 3C), showing that exposure of females to cVA leads to a reduction of mating.

**Figure 1** | Effect of mating on cVA attraction. Attraction of \textit{D. melanogaster} females to cVA released at 15 ng/min in one arm of a y-tube olfactometer. Females were tested before mating, and 1 h after mating with fed males and starved males (n = 40, 27 and 20 respectively). The attraction index shows the time spent in the cVA arm of the olfactometer minus the time spent in the control arm divided by the total amount of time spent in both arms. Positive values indicate attraction to cVA whereas negative values show repellency. Asterisks indicate significant attraction (mean ± SEM, Wilcoxon test, ** p < 0.01). Photo by S. Lebreton.
behaviors to cVA through local circuits also in female flies, therefore propose that Or65a modulates the sex-specific Or67d response involving LNs that interconnect these two glomeruli. We show that exposure of females to cVA during mating activates DA1, which is modulated by activation of GABAB receptors, which are therefore responsible for inhibitory and GABAergic LNs in the AL, which is thought to regulate the male behavioral response to cVA stimulus input via Or67d. We therefore investigated the role of the Or65a and Or67d olfactory channels with respect to the cVA-induced response modulation in *Drosophila* females.

We first examined whether mating changes the sensitivity of Or67d OSNs in T1 sensilla on the female antenna, using single sensillum recordings. However, the response of Or67d OSNs to cVA did not change after mating (Figure 4A, B). Consequently, inhibition of cVA attraction cannot be attributed to a peripheral modulation at the OSN level.

Next, using transgenic flies expressing the calcium sensor GCaMP specifically in OSNs (Orco-Gal4 driver) or PNs (GH146-Gal4 driver), we recorded the activity of the DA1 glomerulus in response to cVA. At the presynaptic level (OSNs), the response to cVA was not affected (Figure 4C), whereas at the postsynaptic level (PNs), the response to cVA decreased significantly after mating (Figure 4D). This down-regulation of the DA1 output signal is consistent with the observed change in cVA attraction after mating (Figure 1). Moreover, processing of the cVA signal in the AL lends support to the idea that lateral interaction between Or67d and Or65a olfactory channels modulates the response to cVA not only in males, but also in females.

In order to confirm that cVA exposure during mating suppresses further cVA attraction and that Or65a is involved, we targeted the expression of tetanus toxin light chain (TeTxLC tnt) to Or65a neurons, using two independent lines. TeTxLC blocks synaptic transmission by preventing neurotransmitter release and therefore suppresses any response from neurons in which it is expressed. After mating, females expressing TeTxLC in Or65a OSNs remained to be attracted to cVA. This was not the case when an inactive form of TeTxLC (TeTxLC (−)) was expressed (Figure 4E), showing that input from Or65a OSNs is required to suppress cVA attraction after mating. Moreover, our results confirm that Or65a neurons are not needed for cVA attraction per se.

**Discussion**

Our study brings new insights into how postmating behavior in *Drosophila* females is regulated by the male-produced sex pheromone cVA. We show, for the first time, that chronic exposure to cVA abolishes attraction of females to cVA and that it reduces receptivity to male courtship (Figures 1, 3).

The response to cVA is mediated via the same odorant receptors in both sexes: acute input via Or67d triggers direct responses, male-aggression and attraction of females to males, respectively; prolonged exposure via Or65a generates a response inhibition in males, as well as in females (Figures 3, 4). A behavioral role of the Or65a channel in *Drosophila* females has not yet been described.

We show that exposure of females to cVA during mating activates Or65a OSNs, and reduces the activity of the DA1 glomerulus receiving simultaneous input from Or67d OSNs (Figure 4C, D), which leads to a suppression of the female behavioral response to cVA following mating. Flies in which Or65a is silenced continue to be attracted to cVA even after mating (Figure 4E).

Or65a, which is not part of the fruitless circuit, elicits nonetheless a gender-specific behavioral response. Or67d and Or65a OSNs converge in the adjacent DA1 and DL3 glomeruli, respectively and it has been suggested that the fine-tuning of male-to-male aggression response involves LNs that interconnect these two glomeruli. We therefore propose that Or65a modulates the sex-specific Or67d olfactory channel through local circuits also in female flies (Figure 4F).

PN activity in DA1 is decreased in mated females, as shown by calcium imaging (Figure 4C, D). Most LNs in the AL are GABAAergic and therefore inhibitory and GABAergic LNs are responsible for olfactory habituation. It has been established that the activity of DA1 is modulated by activation of GABAA receptors, which are

**Figure 3** | Effect of cVA exposure, during mating or to synthetic cVA, on subsequent cVA attraction and female receptivity. (A) Amount of cVA extracted from females after mating with fed (n = 8) and starved males (n = 6). Single females were dropped in hexane, immediately after mating, and extracted during 5 min or 24 h. Amounts found on females mated with fed and starved males were analyzed with a Mann-Whitney test (**p < 0.01). (B) Virgin females were exposed to 0.3 or 0.6 μg of synthetic cVA during 1 h and then tested for cVA attraction. Asterisks above bars indicate significant attraction (Wilcoxon test, ***p < 0.001; data are mean ± SEM). (C) Receptivity of unmated females. Females were exposed to 0 (hexane), 0.3, 0.6 or 1.2 μg of cVA (n = 44, 40, 43 and 41, respectively) during 1 h, before they came in contact with a random virgin fed male during 1 h. Data were analyzed using a Mixed-effect model followed by a multiple comparison test (for details see SI Material and Methods, ***p < 0.001). Photos by S. Lebreton.
expressed in OSNs projecting to DA1, and the particularly high level of presynaptic inhibition in OSNs projecting to DA1 underlines the importance of gain control in pheromone detection and behavior.

The *Drosophila* SP has a long-term effect on female receptivity, but a suppression of female receptivity during the first few hours following copulation cannot be attributed to SP. Males transfer large amounts of cVA to the females during mating, which consequently repels other males. Here we show that the transfer of cVA elicits, in addition, the female short-term postmating response (Figure 3), well before SP induces long-term effects.

Polyandry in *Drosophila* drives pre- and postcopulatory sexual selection of female and male reproductive behavior. Although is adaptive for females to mate more than once, multiple matings comprise a fitness cost. However, females traits, including the sensory perception of male fecundity, are understudied in comparison with male traits, such as seminal fluid proteins.
One element of Drosophila polandry is that multiple matings diminish male fertility, probably due to sperm depletion\textsuperscript{43,52}, which is congruent with the finding that females, after mating with recently mated males, show less pronounced postmating behavioral changes\textsuperscript{5,6,9}. Shortly after mating with fed males, female receptivity decreases\textsuperscript{6} and abolished attraction to cVA prevents exposure to courting males at aggregation sites, from where cVA is being released in substantial amounts (Figures 1, 3)\textsuperscript{12}. In contrast, matings with starved males, or exposure to smaller amounts of cVA, do not abolish attraction to cVA (Figures 1, 3).

Since high amounts of cVA are being transferred during first matings, it is conceivable that males transfer less cVA during subsequent matings, reflecting their reduced fecundity. The amount of cVA transferred may thus allow females to detect male mating status and to adjust sexual receptivity and remating rate accordingly.

Sexual selection is partitioned into male-male competition and female mate choice\textsuperscript{53}. The Or65a olfactory channel, mediating the long-term effect of cVA exposure, is subject to sexual selection in Drosophila males and females. Or65a functions in both sexes to reinforce the effect of cVA on male competitors, through suppression of courtship in males\textsuperscript{54}, and through reduced cVA attraction and receptivity in females (Figures 3, 4). In addition, cVA input through Or65a regulates remating in females as a function of the amount of cVA transferred by males, reflecting male quality (Figures 1, 3). The Or65a channel modulates accordingly polandry in Drosophila.

**Methods**

Additional methods are provided in SI Material and Methods.

**Fly stocks, crossings and rearing conditions.** The Dalby strain of the fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster was used as a wild-type strain\textsuperscript{78}. Optical imaging was performed using a transgenic fly line labeled in OSNs Orco-GAL4; EN2-D; UAS-GC3.0; TM2/TM6B or labeled in PNs yw; GH146-GAL4, UAS-GCaMP-3.0; CyO; TM2/TM6B. The role of sex-pheromone (SP) was assessed by using males lacking SP (SP\textsuperscript{−/−}) and control males producing SP (SP\textsuperscript{+/+}); SP\textsuperscript{−/−} males were obtained by crossing SP\textsuperscript{−/−}/TM3,Sb,ry males to A130/TM3,Sb,ry females. Control males were produced by crossing SP\textsuperscript{−}/TM3,Sb,ry males to A130/TM3,Sb,ry females, resulting in SP\textsuperscript{−}/SP\textsuperscript{−} males, SP\textsuperscript{−}/TM3,Sb,ry, SP\textsuperscript{−}/SP\textsuperscript{−}/TM3,Sb,ry and A130/TM3,Sb,ry lines were obtained from Claudia Fricke (University of East Anglia). To silence Or65a OSNs, we expressed a light chain of the tetanus toxin (TeTxLC) in these neurons using the UAS-GAL4 system. For that purpose, two UAS-TeTxLC int strains (Bloomington stock 28838 and 28997, respectively referred as 1 and 2 in this article) were crossed with a Or65a-GAL4 line (Bloomington stock 9994). Control experiments were done by expressing an inactive form of TeTxLC (UAS-TeTxLC\textsuperscript{\textsc{d})} using two independent strains (Bloomington stock 28840 and 28841, respectively referred as 1 and 2).

Flies were reared on a standard sugar-yeast-cornmeal medium diet at room temperature (19–22 °C) and under a 10:14 h L: D photoperiod. For optical imaging experiments, flies were reared at 25 °C. Newly emerged flies were anesthetized under CO\textsubscript{2}, and dissected under a microscope. Flies of the same sex were then kept together in 30 ml plastic tubes with fresh diet (fed flies) or with a humidified plate of sponges with a window on top that was sealed with two-component silicone (KwikSil). Under saline (130 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 2 mM Mg\textsubscript{2+}, 2 mM Ca\textsubscript{2+}, 36 mM saccharose, 5 mM HEPES, 1 mM NaOH, pH 7.3) the vertex was opened between the eyes, the ocelli and the basis of the antennae. After removing the cuticle, fatty tissue and tracheal sacs, the antennal lobes were gently visible.

Imaging datasets were acquired using a CCD-camera (Pro-Imaging, Sensi-Cam) attached to an upright fluorescent microscope (OLYMPUS BX51WI), which was controlled via TILL visION (TILL Photonics). Excitation of the GCaMP-3.0 was provided via a Polychrome V (TILL Photonics). The stimulus was applied using a stimulus controller (Systech Stimulus Controller CS-59; Kirchzarten, Germany) generating a continuous air flow of 1.0 L/min added with a stimulus flow of 0.5 L/min which was shifted between a blank and a stimulus pipette to prevent mechanical stimulation. cVA diluted in paraffin oil was applied on a circular filter paper (0.5, 5, 50 and 500 µg) and placed in Pasteur pipettes. These were then attached to the tubing of the CS-55. The imaging protocol lasted for 40 frames at 4 Hz with a stimulus duration of 2 s.

Further analysis was carried out with custom-written programs in IDL 6.4 (ITT Visual Information Solutions). Beginning with a background (percent difference of change from background), bleach and movement correction to minimize artifacts and continuing with identification of the observed glomeruli, a precise response kinetic (AE/F) for each glomerulus was calculated.

**Chemical analysis.** We analyzed the amount of cVA transferred to females during mating with fed and starved males. Just after mating, individual females were dropped into a 1.5-mL glass vial containing 100 µL hexane, to which 200 ng of heptadecenyl acetate was added as an internal standard, during 5 min, for a brief extraction of compounds present on the cuticle. The extracts present on the cuticle were then analyzed on a gas chromatograph coupled with a mass spectrometer (GC-MS). For details on chemical analysis, see SI Material and Methods.

**Statistics.** For details, see SI Material and Methods.
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