Origin and Trace of Hydrothermal Calcites in the Hydrocarbon Reservoirs Intruded by Diabase: Evidence From Strontium and Oxygen Isotopic Proxies
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Thermal contact clastic rocks provide a new potential type of hydrocarbon reservoirs for expanding exploration targets. However, the development mechanism of this type of reservoir remains enigmatic. Authigenic hydrothermal carbonates associated with magmatism exert significant controls over physical properties of the contact reservoirs. Therefore, the origin and trace of hydrothermal carbonates are key issues for reservoir development elucidation of thermal contact reservoirs and need to be further investigated. The current study takes the typical hydrocarbon area of thermal contact metasandstone reservoirs from the Funing Formation, northern Slope of Gaoyou sag, as a case study, aiming to unravel the origin and trace of authigenic hydrothermal calcites developed in thermal contact reservoirs, mainly using strontium and oxygen isotopic geochemistry proxies combined with petrological and mineralogical analyses. Authigenic hydrothermal calcites are distinguished, and their distribution characteristics are examined in thermal contact rocks. Subsequently, the origin and migration trace of the hydrothermal-related calcites are clarified. This study has significant implications for intensive understanding of reservoir development mechanism and reservoir evaluation and prediction of thermal contact clastic rocks.
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INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, numerous hydrocarbon reservoirs at the contact zones of magmatic intrusions were continuously explored in many sedimentary basins worldwide. Furthermore, the contact metamorphic zone and intrusive edge can act as an integrated reservoir (Zhang, 2000; Wu et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2019). This finding has challenged the conventional perspective, which concluded that hydrocarbon should not occur at the vicinity to magmatic zone. In eastern China, massive thermal contact metamorphic reservoirs were explored in the Mesozoic–Cenozoic rifted basins, which were characterized by wide occurrence of frequent and wide-range magmatic activities. In
particular, mudstones can be altered to be potential hydrocarbon reservoirs after the thermal contact metamorphism caused by magmatic intrusion. For instance, metamudstone reservoirs in the Dongying sag and slate reservoirs in the Jizhong sag are becoming critical hydrocarbon reserves in the Bohai Bay basin (e.g., Wu et al. and Liu et al., 2016).

Previous studies have documented that multiple authigenic minerals (e.g., carbonates, quartz, and clay-related metamorphic minerals) were formed in contact zones of intrusive magma during contact diagenesis (Ros, 1998). These authigenic minerals have influences on reservoir petrophysics at different degrees, thus being important subject for developing mechanism of hydrocarbon reservoirs (Rateau et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2016). In particular, the authigenic carbonate had critical controls on reservoir because it was very sensitive to fluid environment (i.e., it could precipitate or be dissolved in fluids of different

FIGURE 1 | (A) General location of the Gaoyou sag. (B) Structural map showing the distribution of intrusive diabase and well locations at the studied interval (modified after Liu et al., 2019).

FIGURE 2 | Well-logging data (A) and seismic profile (B) showing spatial distribution of intrusive diabase and contact sandstones in the Gaoyou sag.
temperatures or metal concentrations; Therkelsen, 2016; Liu et al., 2019). However, the origin and migration pathways are still enigmatic due to chemical property instability and complicated fluid environment of hydrothermal carbonates, which confined our further understanding of developing mechanism of the contact metamorphic reservoirs.

Taking the Funing Formation of Gaoyou sag, Subei Basin, as a case study in the current research, we mainly adopted

| Well | Depth (m) | Lithology     | Por-c (%) | Por-m (%) | Permeability (mD) |
|------|-----------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| S-2  | 1898.05   | Graywacke     | 18.35     | 14.89     | 22.69             |
| S-2  | 1908.55   | Graywacke     | 17.52     | 16.88     | 33.56             |
| S-2  | 1909.48   | Graywacke     | 20.36     | 22.21     | 45.89             |
| S-2  | 1913.56   | Metasandstone | 15.21     | 15.68     | 23.87             |
| S-2  | 1918.61   | Metasandstone | 14.31     | 16.89     | 15.69             |
| S-2  | 1921.33   | Metasandstone | 17.01     | 16.55     | 31.58             |
| S-2  | 1928.88   | Metasandstone | 19.86     | 14.58     | 89.56             |
| S-2  | 1937.98   | Metasandstone | 21.25     | 20.01     | 56.81             |
| S-2  | 1945.69   | Metasandstone | 11.36     | 15.36     | 44.51             |
| S-2  | 1951.09   | Metasandstone | 28.65     | 24.15     | 76.01             |
| S-2  | 1963.25   | Metasandstone | 22.71     | 20.08     | 59.81             |
| S-2  | 1975.33   | Metasandstone | 20.05     | 17.87     | 45.55             |
| S-2  | 1980.12   | Metasandstone | 17.20     | 15.89     | 40.89             |
| S-2  | 1988.56   | Metasandstone | 16.45     | 18.21     | 38.96             |
| S-2  | 1998.33   | Metasandstone | 15.23     | 16.33     | 65.23             |
| S-2  | 2000.55   | Diabase       | 10.89     | 11.55     | 21.89             |
| S-2  | 2003.69   | Diabase       | 8.98      | 4.59      | 10.55             |
| S-2  | 2008.01   | Diabase       | 7.50      | 6.11      | 16.87             |
| S-14 | 1848.53   | Quartzwacke   | 30.59     | 28.97     | 105.88            |
| S-14 | 1853.57   | Quartzwacke   | 31.55     | 31.58     | 118.47            |
| S-14 | 1859.13   | Quartzwacke   | 32.90     | 31.13     | 251.12            |
| S-14 | 1863.88   | Metasandstone | 21.89     | 22.91     | 201.48            |
| S-14 | 1869.12   | Metasandstone | 22.20     | 21.56     | 155.29            |
| S-14 | 1875.93   | Metasandstone | 29.41     | 27.46     | 178.56            |
| S-14 | 1883.69   | Metasandstone | 30.52     | 28.41     | 298.81            |
| S-14 | 1890.55   | Metasandstone | 19.48     | 17.54     | 178.33            |
| S-14 | 1896.78   | Metasandstone | 26.65     | 23.88     | 121.55            |
| S-14 | 1902.12   | Metasandstone | 24.89     | 21.12     | 90.87             |
| S-14 | 1908.15   | Metasandstone | 21.89     | 21.58     | 133.56            |
| S-14 | 1921.58   | Metasandstone | 19.51     | 19.99     | 68.87             |
| S-14 | 1929.56   | Metasandstone | 18.45     | 18.56     | 65.55             |
| S-14 | 1937.12   | Metasandstone | 17.51     | 17.07     | 50.81             |
| S-14 | 1948.01   | Metasandstone | 15.55     | 15.78     | 55.61             |
| S-14 | 1955.56   | Metasandstone | 13.78     | 12.15     | 40.71             |
| S-14 | 1960.52   | Metasandstone | 19.78     | 16.89     | 98.98             |
| S-14 | 1968.13   | Diabase       | 5.23      | 4.33      | 10.88             |
| S-14 | 1971.12   | Diabase       | 9.84      | 9.05      | 15.59             |
| S-14 | 1973.25   | Diabase       | 6.65      | 6.78      | 19.87             |
| S-3  | 1998.57   | Graywacke     | 20.02     | 23.38     | 113.99            |
| S-3  | 2003.18   | Graywacke     | 21.35     | 21.37     | 165.81            |
| S-3  | 2007.91   | Graywacke     | 26.60     | 25.50     | 187.71            |
| S-3  | 2013.15   | Metasandstone | 22.56     | 22.89     | 185.56            |
| S-3  | 2018.66   | Metasandstone | 17.78     | 17.07     | 97.78             |
| S-3  | 2024.91   | Metasandstone | 16.58     | 14.21     | 85.65             |
| S-3  | 2028.05   | Metasandstone | 16.21     | 13.89     | 81.13             |
| S-3  | 2031.38   | Metasandstone | 15.23     | 12.08     | 50.28             |
| S-3  | 2035.63   | Metasandstone | 20.09     | 15.87     | 45.87             |
| S-3  | 2039.14   | Metasandstone | 21.13     | 17.89     | 33.85             |
| S-3  | 2043.14   | Metasandstone | 22.58     | 20.87     | 185.45            |
| S-3  | 2049.63   | Metasandstone | 18.78     | 18.88     | 167.25            |
| S-3  | 2058.11   | Metasandstone | 16.58     | 16.41     | 80.56             |
| S-3  | 2067.96   | Metasandstone | 16.68     | 17.56     | 115.48            |
| S-3  | 2076.15   | Metasandstone | 19.87     | 19.08     | 178.14            |
| S-3  | 2086.53   | Diabase       | 4.23      | 4.58      | 15.51             |
| S-3  | 2089.98   | Diabase       | 4.98      | 4.71      | 10.24             |
| S-3  | 2092.36   | Diabase       | 3.87      | 4.39      | 9.89              |
Strontium and oxygen isotopic analysis, coupled with petrophysical measurement and lithology and mineralogy study, to elucidate and decipher the origin and trace of hydrothermal carbonates in the hydrocarbon reservoir intruded by diabase. This study provides mechanism understanding for reservoir diagenesis associated to magmatic intrusion, thus having great significance for reservoir assessment and prediction.

### TABLE 2 | Strontium and oxygen isotopes measured from cored wells in the studied area.

| Well | Depth (m) | Lithology | Mineralogy       | $^{87}\text{Sr}^{86}\text{Sr}$ | $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ (‰) |
|------|-----------|-----------|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| S-2  | 1898.05   | Graywacke | Coarse-sized calcite | 0.708248                     | 26.11                    |
| S-2  | 1906.55   | Graywacke | Coarse-sized calcite | 0.708295                     | 26.28                    |
| S-2  | 1909.48   | Graywacke | Coarse-sized calcite | 0.708251                     | 27.53                    |
| S-2  | 1913.58   | Metasandstone | Fine-sized calcite | 0.707396                     | 17.33                    |
| S-2  | 1918.61   | Metasandstone | Fine-sized calcite | 0.707381                     | 16.58                    |
| S-2  | 1921.33   | Metasandstone | Fine-sized calcite | 0.707425                     | 20.11                    |
| S-2  | 1928.88   | Metasandstone | Fine-sized calcite | 0.707351                     | 15.11                    |
| S-2  | 1937.98   | Metasandstone | Fine-sized calcite | 0.707398                     | 13.68                    |
| S-2  | 1945.69   | Metasandstone | Fine-sized calcite | 0.707425                     | 13.77                    |
| S-2  | 1951.09   | Metasandstone | Fine-sized calcite | 0.707388                     | 13.05                    |
| S-2  | 1963.25   | Metasandstone | Fine-sized calcite | 0.707321                     | 12.89                    |
| S-2  | 1975.33   | Metasandstone | Fine-sized calcite | 0.707115                     | 12.31                    |
| S-2  | 1980.12   | Metasandstone | Fine-sized calcite | 0.707101                     | 12.85                    |
| S-2  | 1988.56   | Metasandstone | Fine-sized calcite | 0.707056                     | 12.03                    |
| S-2  | 1998.33   | Metasandstone | Fine-sized calcite | 0.707003                     | 11.25                    |
| S-2  | 2000.55   | Diabase    | Fine-sized calcite | 0.706999                     | 10.25                    |
| S-2  | 2003.69   | Diabase    | Fine-sized calcite | 0.706815                     | 9.88                     |
| S-2  | 2008.01   | Diabase    | Fine-sized calcite | 0.706825                     | 9.41                     |
| S-14 | 1848.53   | Quartzwacke | Coarse-sized calcite | 0.708226                     | 27.35                    |
| S-14 | 1853.57   | Quartzwacke | Coarse-sized calcite | 0.708214                     | 27.15                    |
| S-14 | 1859.13   | Quartzwacke | Coarse-sized calcite | 0.708336                     | 26.55                    |
| S-14 | 1863.88   | Metasandstone | Coarse-sized calcite | 0.707601                     | 16.75                    |
| S-14 | 1869.12   | Metasandstone | Coarse-sized calcite | 0.707611                     | 16.52                    |
| S-14 | 1875.90   | Metasandstone | Coarse-sized calcite | 0.707628                     | 16.23                    |
| S-14 | 1883.69   | Metasandstone | Coarse-sized calcite | 0.707633                     | 15.12                    |
| S-14 | 1890.55   | Metasandstone | Coarse-sized calcite | 0.707551                     | 15.36                    |
| S-14 | 1896.78   | Metasandstone | Coarse-sized calcite | 0.707523                     | 15.58                    |
| S-14 | 1902.12   | Metasandstone | Coarse-sized calcite | 0.707503                     | 12.44                    |
| S-14 | 1908.15   | Metasandstone | Coarse-sized calcite | 0.707486                     | 11.05                    |
| S-14 | 1921.58   | Metasandstone | Coarse-sized calcite | 0.707489                     | 11.18                    |
| S-14 | 1929.56   | Metasandstone | Coarse-sized calcite | 0.707423                     | 11.86                    |
| S-14 | 1937.12   | Metasandstone | Coarse-sized calcite | 0.707412                     | 10.59                    |
| S-14 | 1948.01   | Metasandstone | Coarse-sized calcite | 0.707211                     | 10.48                    |
| S-14 | 1955.56   | Metasandstone | Coarse-sized calcite | 0.707005                     | 10.56                    |
| S-14 | 1960.52   | Metasandstone | Coarse-sized calcite | 0.706991                     | 9.98                     |
| S-14 | 1968.13   | Diabase    | Coarse-sized calcite | 0.706511                     | 9.62                     |
| S-14 | 1971.12   | Diabase    | Coarse-sized calcite | 0.706198                     | 9.12                     |
| S-14 | 1973.25   | Diabase    | Coarse-sized calcite | 0.706221                     | 9.05                     |
| S-3  | 1998.55   | Graywacke  | Coarse-sized calcite | 0.708489                     | 26.78                    |
| S-3  | 2003.18   | Graywacke  | Coarse-sized calcite | 0.708441                     | 25.98                    |
| S-3  | 2007.91   | Graywacke  | Coarse-sized calcite | 0.708135                     | 26.88                    |
| S-3  | 2013.15   | Metasandstone | Fine-sized calcite | 0.707915                     | 17.68                    |
| S-3  | 2018.66   | Metasandstone | Fine-sized calcite | 0.707936                     | 18.88                    |
| S-3  | 2024.91   | Metasandstone | Fine-sized calcite | 0.707715                     | 18.01                    |
| S-3  | 2028.05   | Metasandstone | Fine-sized calcite | 0.707688                     | 14.58                    |
| S-3  | 2031.38   | Metasandstone | Fine-sized calcite | 0.707605                     | 14.99                    |
| S-3  | 2035.63   | Metasandstone | Fine-sized calcite | 0.707618                     | 14.31                    |
| S-3  | 2039.14   | Metasandstone | Fine-sized calcite | 0.707521                     | 13.78                    |
| S-3  | 2043.14   | Metasandstone | Fine-sized calcite | 0.707158                     | 12.89                    |
| S-3  | 2049.63   | Metasandstone | Fine-sized calcite | 0.707225                     | 13.11                    |
| S-3  | 2058.11   | Metasandstone | Fine-sized calcite | 0.707008                     | 13.01                    |
| S-3  | 2067.95   | Metasandstone | Fine-sized calcite | 0.707105                     | 10.89                    |
| S-3  | 2076.15   | Metasandstone | Fine-sized calcite | 0.707002                     | 9.26                     |
| S-3  | 2089.53   | Diabase    | Fine-sized calcite | 0.706158                     | 9.05                     |
| S-3  | 2098.98   | Diabase    | Fine-sized calcite | 0.706568                     | 9.11                     |
| S-3  | 2092.36   | Diabase    | Fine-sized calcite | 0.706112                     | 9.36                     |
GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The Subei Basin, located in eastern China (Figure 1A), is a rifted basin developed from the Mesozoic and Paleozoic basement. The Subei Basin comprises the Dongtai and Yanfu depressions and the Jianhu uplift, covering a total area of \( \sim 3.5 \text{ km}^2 \times 10^4 \text{ km}^2 \). The Gaoyou sag was formed at the center of the Dongtai depression due to uneven uplifting caused by late Cretaceous Yizheng movement.
and Cenozoic Wubao movement (Yang and Chen, 2003; Liu et al., 2016). The northern slope, covering an area of 1,300 km², is a major hydrocarbon zone of the Gaoyou sag (Figure 1B). Diabase dikes and sills are widely distributed in the Gaoyou sag, particularly in the northern slope (Figure 1B). Previous studies revealed that two stages of magmatic activities occurring during the period of Sanduo movement were related to diabase intrusion in the Funing Formation of the Gaoyou sag (Mao, 2000; Hu, 2010). Well-logging and seismic interpretations reveal that diabase intruded dominantly as hypabyssal or concordant sills and multiphase intrusive diabase were superimposed complexes with thicknesses ranging from several meters to more than 200 m (Wang et al., 2007; Figures 2A,B). The diabases and contacting metamorphic zones (metamudstones and metasandstones), characterized by low porosity and permeability and high heterogeneity, are important hydrocarbon reserves in the northern slope of the Gaoyou sag. Thus far, nearly eighty production wells have been drilled, and commercial oil and gas flow have been found in 20 wells in the Matouzhuang, Huazhuang, Facaizhaunge, Xiejiazhuang, Shanian, and Chenbao regions (Figure 1). To date, 2.4 t × 10⁶ t of crude oil has been produced in diabase and their contact metamorphic complex reservoirs (Liu et al., 2019).

**SAMPLES AND METHODS**

Core samples with a total length of 82.50 m were obtained from exploration wells (see Figure 1). Full-length core specimens were analyzed preliminarily at fieldwork to avoid weathering surfaces or veins for further experimental measurements. Multiple microscopic observations were conducted on impregnated, casting, and CL thin sections. The detailed observation procedures are referred to Liu et al. (2016). The mineral contents and porosities were determined by image analysis, using the point counting method (i.e., counting 300 points on a single piece of thin sections). The result is given in the Table 1.

Strontium and oxygen isotopes ($^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr and δ$^{18}$O) were analyzed using micro-area and in situ methods by applying the laser ablation method. The marked thin sections were sent for LA-ICP-MS (an LSX-200 UV laser ablation system equipped with an NdYAG laser emitter and a helium carrier). Detailed parameter settings were carried out following Liu et al. (2017). The detection error was better than ±0.000002 and ±0.1‰ for $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr and δ$^{18}$O, respectively. All δ$^{18}$O data were documented as V-SMOW standard. All the measured isotopic data are given in Table 2.

The analyses mentioned previously were exclusively conducted at the State Key Laboratory of Petroleum Resources and Prospecting in China University of Petroleum, Beijing.

**RESULTS**

**Lithology and Mineralogy**

The intrusive rock is mainly composed of basic plagioclase and augite of ~ 50 and ~ 40%, respectively. It displays a typical diabasic texture which is characterized by similar grain sizes of plagioclase and augite grains and the frame spaces of plagioclase grains filled with augite, chlorite, and hematite grains (Figure 3A). Some other minor minerals, including olivine, biotite, and apatite, are developed. Chloritization widely occurs at the diabase edges. In the closely contacting metasandstones, three types of authigenic carbonates can be classified according to morphologies, sizes, and cathodoluminescences: 1) coarse-sized calcites—they are usually developed as pore-filling cements among quartz grains characterized by coarse sizes ranging from 200 to 500 μM; for example, dolomite coating is commonly developed as rims in the contact metasandstones (Figure 3B); 2) fine-sized calcites—this type of calcites, with sizes ranging from 50 to 200 μM, commonly occur as authigenic mineral fillings in micropores of the metasandstones and are often distributed in array (Figure 3C); and 3) micritic calcites—this type of calcites is characterized by sizes usually less than 50 μM and distributed in fractures or microfissures of the contact metasandstones (Figure 3D). The fine-sized and micritic calcites often display blue to purple CL features (Figures 3E,F). On the contrary, the coarse-sized calcites usually exhibit orange to red CL features (Figures 3G,H).

**Strontium and Oxygen Isotopes**

*Strontium isotope ($^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr):* Strontium isotopes from three different zones, namely, the diabase intrusion, the contact metasandstones, and the overlying unaltered sandstones (Figure 2), showed significant variations. The $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios of the unaltered sandstones ranged from 0.708135 to 0.708489, with a mean value of 0.708293. On the contrary, the altered metasandstones influenced by diabase intrusion exhibited low $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios, with the values ranging from 0.706991 to 0.707936 and the mean value of 0.707393. The diabase edge exhibited extremely low $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr values compared with metasandstones, with $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios ranging from 0.706112 to 0.707000 and a mean value of 0.706490 (Table 2).
Oxygen isotope ($\delta^{18}$O): Similar to strontium isotopes, oxygen isotopes from the three different zones exhibited pronouncedly variable ratios, with their values ranging from 25.98 to 27.53‰ (mean value of 26.73‰), from 9.26 to 20.11‰ (mean value of 13.76‰), and from 9.05 to 10.25‰ (mean value of 9.43‰) of unaltered sandstones, contact metasandstones, and intrusive diabase, respectively (Table 2).

Porosity and Permeability
The porosities from the point counting method and experimental measurement exhibited similar values of unaltered sandstones, contact metasandstones, and intrusive diabase, with their values ranging from 14.89 to 32.80% (mean value of 24.17%), from 11.36 to 30.52% (mean value of 19.08%), and from 3.87 to 11.55% (mean value of 6.57%), respectively (Table 1). The permeability of the three zones, namely, the overlying unaltered sandstones,
the contact metasandstones, and the diabase intrusion, exhibited different ratios, with values ranging from 22.69 mD to 251.12 mD (mean value of 116.12 mD), from 15.69 mD to 298.81 (mean value of 95.80 mD), and from 9.89 mD to 21.89 mD (mean value of 14.59 mD), respectively (Table 1).

DISCUSSION
Calcite Origins
Except for autochthonous calcites being precipitated during the syndepositional period, the allochthonous carbonates formed at the diagenetic stage is another major source of calcite. The latter source of carbonates fills reservoir pores as authigenic minerals, thus controlling the reservoir property significantly (Huang, 1990; Vahrenkamp and Swart, 1990; Machel and Burton, 1994; Warren, 2000; Machel, 2004; Liu et al., 2022). In the studied interval, the calcite samples are accumulated into two clusters according to their mineral sizes, morphologies, CL lights, and strontium and oxygen isotopes (Figure 4). The strontium isotope composition ($^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr) of the diagenetic carbonates is mainly composed of two contrasting sources, namely, high radiogenic "continental Sr" derived from terrestrial flow and low radiogenic "mantle Sr" of magmatic origin with values of 0.712 ± 0.001 and 0.703000, respectively (McArthur et al., 2001; Korte et al., 2003; Huang et al., 2008). The variation of two sources determined the $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr composition of authigenic carbonates. Obviously, the studied fine-/micritic-sized calcites should originate from the hydrothermal components produced by diabase intrusion because the $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr composition of these calcite samples is close to mantle Sr. This inference is consistent with other features. First, the temperature of the hydrothermal fluids introduced by magmatism was often much higher than that of diagenetic fluids, thus displaying pronouncedly depleted oxygen isotopes (Figure 5). Second, cathodoluminescences are different in calcites of different origins, with terrestrial-origin calcite displaying orange to red CL light, marine-origin calcite exhibiting no CL feature, and magmatic-origin calcite showing blue to purple CL light (Huang, 1990; Veizer et al., 1999). The CL feature of the studied fine-/micritic-sized calcites indicated their magmatic source. Moreover, the temperature decreases rapidly when hydrothermal fluids intrude into contact sandstones, thus resulting in rapid crystallization of authigenic minerals and forming fine-sized calcites (Heald and Rention, 1960; Robert et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2015). On the contrary, the calcites characterized by orange to red CL lights exhibit typical terrestrial-origin features with respect to coarse mineral sizes and high $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios. Hence, the fine- and micritic-sized calcites originated from hydrothermal fluids are related to diabase intrusion in the studied area, while the coarse-sized calcite cements were derived from diagenetic fluids occurring before this magmatism.

Trace of Hydrothermal Calcites
High-temperature magma can heat formation water, thus driving water convection in connected porosities of the reservoir sandstones when diabase is intruding into overlying formation (Enrique et al., 1997; Liu et al., 2015). It is believed that hydrothermal fluids circulate for many times and water–rock reactions repeatedly occur in the rock frame until the temperature difference disappears between the hydrothermal fluids and formation water (Etheridge et al., 1983; Wood and Walther, 1986). However, the hydrothermal convection may not occur under a complex situation, especially when the rock framework collapsed to maintain pressure balance and the porosity system was not connected (Haszeldine et al., 1984; Knut et al., 1988). In this scenario, upward unidirectional flow occurs (Wood and Walther). In the studied interval of the Gaoyou sag, strontium and oxygen isotopes increased constantly at an upward direction (Figure 5), revealing that the proportion of hydrothermal fluid was constantly decreasing. Hence, unidirectional flow, instead of the hydrothermal convection, occurred during the intrusion of diabase in the studied area. Otherwise, it should exhibit homogeneous isotopic features due to multiple circulation of flows. This inference is consistent with the fact that contents of hydrothermal calcites decreased constantly (Figures 6A,B). It is noteworthy that the range of metasandstone influenced by diabase intrusion is closely associated with porosity but is weakly linked with permeability (Figures 6C,D). This correlation in the studied interval reveals that the intrusion of diabase brought massive energy, thereby causing indiscriminate breakthrough of hydrothermal fluids in the contact sandstones. However, large pores could accommodate more high-energy hydrothermal fluids, thus forming a relatively thick range of metasandstone.

CONCLUSION
The following conclusions can be drawn from our study:

1) The fine-/micritic-sized calcites filling in metasandstone porosities originated from hydrothermal fluids introduced by diabase intrusion in the Funing Formation of the Gaoyou sag, while the coarse-sized calcites occurring as cements were derived from diagenetic fluids before this magmatism.

2) The flowing of hydrothermal-origin calcites was upwardly unidirectional, thus constantly forming positive drifting of strontium and oxygen isotopes. Meanwhile, this flowing was an indiscriminative breakthrough of the hydrothermal fluids in contact sandstones, regardless of permeability variations of the contact sandstones. However, the metasandstones of large pores could form a thick range of potential reservoir because of more high-energy hydrothermal fluids being accommodated.
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