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Table S1 Single step processes for transforming α-pinene, crude sulfate turpentine (CST), gum turpentine (GT) or 1,8-cineole into p-cymene.

| Feedstock | Conditions | Yield of p-cymene | Yield of other products (if specified) | Ref |
|-----------|------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------|-----|
| α-pinene  | Faujasite Y, 300 °C, Tubular flow reactor, N₂ | 44% | m-Cymene (9%), o-Cymene (1%) | 1 |
| α-pinene  | Mixed Zn/Cr oxide, 350 °C, N₂ | 78% | Camphene (4%), others (18%) | 2 |
| α-pinene  | H₃PW₁₂O₄₀ on Si, 160 °C | 68% | p-Menthenes (20%) | 3 |
| α-pinene  | Pd/Zn on Al-SBA15, 300 °C, H₂ | 77% | Limonene, Camphene, m-Cymene and p-Menthene (% not specified) | 4 |
| α-pinene  | H₂O, 400 °C, 300 bar, O₂ | 30% | Limonene (% not specified) | 5 |
| GT        | H₂SO₄, Pd/C (0.2 wt%), 120 °C | 62% | Not specified | 6 |
| GT        | Ce/Pd doped AlSi-PENTA® SN-55, 300 °C, N₂ | 82% | Not specified | 7 |
| CST (65% α-pinene, 24% 3-carene, 11% others) | Faujasite Y, 300 °C, Tubular flow reactor, N₂ | 20% | m-Cymene (8%), p-MeDs (11%), p-Menthenes (16%), p-Menthanes (4%) | 1 |
| CST (65% α-pinene, 25% β-pinene, 10% others) | i) 5 wt% NaOCl pre-treatment ii) 0.1 wt% Pd on Si, 300 °C, 25% H₂ in N₂ | 65% | Bicyclic terpenes (30%), Monocyclic terpenes (5%) | 8 |
| 1,8-cineole | Pd-doped γ-Al₂O₃ | 90% | Limonene | 9 |

Table S2 Processes for transforming α-pinene or CST into mixtures of p-MeDs.

| Feedstock | Conditions | Yield p-MeDs | Yield of other products (if specified) | Ref |
|-----------|------------|--------------|----------------------------------------|-----|
| α-pinene  | NH₄-FER zeolite, 90 °C | Limonene (45%) | Camphene (40%) | 10 |
| α-pinene  | Mordenite Y, 150 °C, 3 bar N₂ | Dipentene (36%) Terpinolene (36%) | Camphene (4%) | 11 |
| α-pinene  | Amorphous zirconium phosphate, 300 °C | Limonene (31%) α-terpinene (3%) γ-terpinene (10%) Terpinolene (4%) Isoterpinolene (10%) | p-Cymene (14%) Camphene (6%) | 12 |
| α-pinene  | ZSM-5-NaOH, 150 °C, 6 bar N₂ | Limonene (15%) α-terpinene (17%) γ-terpinene (7%) Terpinolene (10%) | Camphene (34%) | 13 |
| α-pinene  | TiO₂/WO₆, H₂O, 250 °C, 10 bar | α-terpinene (24%) γ-terpinene (8%) Isoterpinolene (11%) Terpinolene (1%) | Camphene (22%) Tricyclene (9%) | 14 |
| α-pinene  | H₂O, MW (1.2 kW) | Limonene (14%) α-terpinene (12%) γ-terpinene (24%) Terpinolene (20%) | Allocimene (9%) | 15 |
| CST (42% α-pinene, 12% β-pinene, 46% 3-carene) | H₂SO₄ (aq), 110 °C, 5 h | α-terpinene, γ-terpinene, terpinolene (76% total) | Polymeric material | 16 |
Table S3 Palladium catalysed processes for transforming $p$-MeDs into $p$-cymene

| Feedstock | Conditions | Yield $p$-cymene | Yield of other products (if specified) | Ref |
|-----------|------------|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----|
| Limonene (30%), Terpinolene (31%), $\alpha$-terpinene (8%), $\gamma$-terpinene (6%), $p$-cymene (13%) | Pd/C, 300 °C, N$_2$ | 95% | $p$-Menthane (2%) $p$-Menthene (1%) | 17 |
| Limonene | Pd-HZSM-5, n-dodecane, 8 bar N$_2$ 260 °C | 82% | $p$-Menthane (16%) | 18 |
| $\alpha$-terpinene | Pd/C (10%), 140 °C | 82% | $p$-Menthane (18%) | 19 |

Table S4 Non-palladium catalysed processes for transforming $p$-MeDs into $p$-cymene

| Feedstock | Conditions | Yield $p$-cymene | Yield of other products (if specified) | Ref |
|-----------|------------|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----|
| Unspecified mixture of $\alpha$-terpinene, $\gamma$-terpinene and terpinolene | FeCl$_3$ (0.24 eq.), water, $p$-cymene, 90 °C, 1.5 h | 29% | Not specified | 16 |
| Limonene | Sodium (20 mol%), ethylenediamine (70 mol%), FeCl$_3$ (0.2%), 100 °C, N$_2$ | 99% (crude) | Not specified | 20 |
| Limonene | I$_2$ (0.5 equiv.), DDQ (0.5 equiv.), toluene, 110 °C | 82% | Not specified | 21 |
| Limonene | Fe-modified sepiolite, MW, 180 °C | 100% | - | 22 |
| $\alpha$-terpinene | DMSO, 100 °C | 88% | $p$-Methylacetophenone (10%) | 23 |
| $\gamma$-terpinene | DMF, 100 °C | 95% | Not specified | 23 |
| $\gamma$-terpinene | Air (30 bar), 210 °C | 82% | Terpinolene 8-Hydroxy-$p$-cymene (% not specified) | 24 |
| $\gamma$-terpinene | $\text{H}_4[\text{PMo}_{12}\text{VO}_{40}]$ (0.5 mol%), Diethyl carbonate, O$_2$, 70 °C | 87% | Not specified | 25 |
| Terpinolene | $\text{H}_4[\text{PMo}_{12}\text{VO}_{40}]$ (0.5 mol%), Diethyl carbonate, O$_2$, 70 °C | 71% | Not specified | 25 |
Figure S1 Time course of monoterpenes produced in the ACRO reaction of CST when treated with 6M H$_2$SO$_4$(aq) at 90 °C.
Figure S2 Time course of the consumption of bicyclic monoterpenes in CST when treated with 6M H$_2$SO$_4$(aq) at 90 °C.

Figure S3 Time course of the ratio of ρ-MeDs produced when CST is treated with 6 M H$_2$SO$_4$(aq) at 90 °C.
Figure S4 Time course of the ratio of \( p \)-MeDs produced when ‘mock’ CST is treated with 6M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\)(aq) and 5 mol\% Me\(_2\)S at 90 °C.

Figure S5 Time course of the ratio of \( p \)-MeDs produced when gum turpentine is treated with 6M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\)(aq) and 5 mol\% Me\(_2\)S at 90 °C.
Figure S6 Time course of the ratio of p-MeDs produced when β-pinene is treated with 6M H$_2$SO$_4$(aq) at 90 °C.

Figure S7 Time course of the ratio of p-MeDs produced when α-pinene is treated with 6M H$_2$SO$_4$(aq) at 90 °C.

Figure S8 Time course of the ratio of p-MeDs produced when 3-carene is treated with 6M H$_2$SO$_4$(aq) at 90 °C.
Table S5 Structures and $^1$H NMR chemical shifts of the diagnostic alkene protons of the major bicyclic monoterpenes in CST and the p-MeD products produced in their ACRO reaction.

| Compound          | Structure | Chemical shift (ppm) |
|-------------------|-----------|----------------------|
| 1,2,4,5-tetramethylbenzene | ![Structure](image) | 6.92 ($2\text{H}$) |
| α-pinene          | ![Structure](image) | 5.21 – 5.16 ($1\text{H}$) |
| β-pinene          | ![Structure](image) | 4.65 – 4.61 ($1\text{H}$) & 4.58 – 4.54 ($1\text{H}$) |
| 3-carene          | ![Structure](image) | 5.27 – 5.21 ($1\text{H}$) |
| Limonene          | ![Structure](image) | 5.43 – 5.36 ($1\text{H}$) & 4.73 – 4.69 ($1\text{H}+1\text{H}$) |
| α-terpinene       | ![Structure](image) | 5.68 – 5.58 ($1\text{H}+1\text{H}$) |
| γ-terpinene       | ![Structure](image) | 5.47 – 5.43 ($1\text{H}+1\text{H}$) |
| isoterpinolene    | ![Structure](image) | 6.45 – 6.38 ($1\text{H}$) & 5.58 – 5.51 ($1\text{H}$) |
| terpinolene       | ![Structure](image) | 5.43 – 5.36 ($1\text{H}$) |
| p-cymene          | ![Structure](image) | 7.16 – 7.08 ($2\text{H}+2\text{H}$) |
The internal standard (10 mol%) has two aromatic protons at $\delta_H 6.92$ ppm and was set to an integral value of 2.00 in all NMR spectra (each proton integrating to 1). This means a single proton from any monoterpenic integrating to 1 makes up 10 mol% of the organic terpene content.

Integrations for non-overlapping $^1$H NMR proton resonances were measured wherever possible. In those cases where proton resonances for monoterpenic A were overlapped with resonances from monoterpenic B, then the integral value for monoterpenic A was calculated by subtracting the integration value of a non-overlapping proton resonance for monoterpenic B from the combined integrals of the overlapped A+B resonance.

For example, Figure S15 shows an overlapped signal at $\delta_H 5.70-5.50$ ppm corresponding to both alkene proton resonances from $\alpha$-terpinene and one alkene proton resonance from isoterpinolene which integrate to a total value of 8.36. The other alkene proton resonance for isoterpinolene appears with no overlap at $\delta_H 6.45 – 6.38$ ppm, which integrated to 1.78. Consequently, the integral value for the two alkene protons of $\alpha$-terpinene is calculated as: $8.36 – 1.78 = 6.58$. This gives a value of 3.29 for each proton, meaning $\alpha$-terpinene comprises 32.9% of the total monoterpenic content.
Figure S9 $^1$H NMR spectrum of CST containing 1,2,4,5-tetramethylbenzene (10 mol% assuming a MW for CST of 136 g mol$^{-1}$) as an internal standard.

Figure S10 $^1$H NMR spectrum of the monoterpenes produced in the ACRO reaction of CST after 3.25 h using 1,2,4,5-tetramethylbenzene (10 mol%) as an internal standard.
Figure S11 4.0 – 8.0 ppm region of the $^1$H NMR spectrum of CST containing 1,2,4,5-tetramethylbenzene (10 mol%) as an internal standard.

Figure S12 4.0 – 8.0 ppm region of the $^1$H NMR spectrum of the reaction products produced in the ACRO reaction of CST after 3.25 h containing 1,2,4,5-tetramethylbenzene (10 mol%) as an internal standard.
Figure S13 $^1$H NMR spectrum of a distilled mixture of $p$-MeDs produced from an ACRO reaction of CST.

Figure S14 $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of a distilled mixture of $p$-MeDs produced from an ACRO reaction of CST.
Procedure for preparing a mixture of 2-carene/3-carene
Anhydrous iron (III) chloride (0.023 g, 0.4 mmol) was added to ethylenediamine (3.3 mL, 49 mmol) and sodium metal (0.32 g, 14 mmol) under a N₂ atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at 50 °C until black spots began to form on the sodium surface, with the reaction mixture then heated to 95 °C to afford a bubbling black solution. 3-carene (9.52 g, 70 mmol) was then added dropwise over a period of 8 min. After stirring for 2 hours, the reaction was allowed to cool to room temperature before being quenched by the dropwise addition of water (25 mL). ¹H NMR spectroscopic analysis of the crude organic layer (8.02 g, 59 mmol, 84% yield) revealed that it was comprised of a mixture of 3-carene and 2-carene in a 56:44 ratio, as determined from integration of their characteristic alkene proton resonances at δ5.56 and δ5.25, respectively.²⁶
Figure S16 $^1$H NMR spectrum of a 56:44 mixture of 3-carene and 2-carene.
High resolution mass spectrometric analysis was used to detect the presence of bicyclic monoterpenes, \( p \)-MeDs and monomeric/oligomeric terpene sulfonium species in both the aqueous and organic layers of the ACRO reaction. Aliquots of both layers were taken and diluted in acetonitrile, with direct injection then used to analyse the samples using a Bruker MaXis HD ESI-QTOF mass spectrometer. HRMS spectra are shown in comparison with calculated MS spectra displaying predicted isotopic patterns for comparative purposes.

**Figure S17**
Top: High resolution mass spectrum showing a \( \text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{17}^+ \) peak at 137.1331 corresponding to [M+H]\(^+\) for bicyclic monoterpene and/or \( p \)-menthene ions. Bottom: Predicted isotopic pattern expected for an [M+H]\(^+\) molecular ion of \( \text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{17}^+ \).

**Figure S18**
Top: High resolution mass spectrum showing a molecular ion peak at 199.1520 for a monomeric \( p \)-menthene sulfonium ion \( \text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{23}\text{S}^+ \). Bottom: Predicted isotopic pattern expected for the molecular ion of \( \text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{23}\text{S}^+ \).
Figure S19 Top: High resolution mass spectrum showing a molecular ion peak at 335.2775 for a dimeric \( p \)-menthene sulfonium ion \( \text{C}_{22}\text{H}_{39}\text{S}^+ \). Bottom: Predicted isotopic pattern expected for the molecular ion of \( \text{C}_{22}\text{H}_{39}\text{S}^+ \).

Figure S20 Top: High resolution mass spectrum showing a molecular ion peak at 471.4008 for a trimeric \( p \)-menthene sulfonium ion \( \text{C}_{32}\text{H}_{55}\text{S}^+ \). Bottom: Predicted isotopic pattern expected for the molecular ion of \( \text{C}_{32}\text{H}_{55}\text{S}^+ \).
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