LEARNING LONG-TERM MUSIC REPRESENTATIONS VIA HIERARCHICAL CONTEXTUAL CONSTRAINTS

Shiqi Wei\textsuperscript{1,2}  
Gus Xia\textsuperscript{2}

\textsuperscript{1} School of Data Science, Fudan University  
\textsuperscript{2} Music X Lab, Computer Science Department, New York University Shanghai

\texttt{sqwei19@fudan.edu.cn, gxia@nyu.edu}

ABSTRACT

Learning symbolic music representations, especially disentangled representations with probabilistic interpretations, has been shown to benefit both music understanding and generation. However, most models are only applicable to short-term music, while learning long-term music representations remains a challenging task. We have seen several studies attempting to learn hierarchical representations directly in an end-to-end manner, but these models have not been able to achieve the desired results and the training process is not stable. In this paper, we propose a novel approach to learn long-term symbolic music representations through contextual constraints. First, we use contrastive learning to pre-train a long-term representation by constraining its difference from the short-term representation (extracted by an off-the-shelf model). Then, we fine-tune the long-term representation by a hierarchical prediction model such that a good long-term representation (e.g., an 8-bar representation) can reconstruct the corresponding short-term ones (e.g., the 2-bar representations within the 8-bar range). Experiments show that our method stabilizes the training and the fine-tuning steps. In addition, the designed contextual constraints benefit both reconstruction and disentanglement, significantly outperforming the baselines.

1. INTRODUCTION

Deep music representation learning has been proven to be a powerful tool for high-quality symbolic music generation [1]. The learned representations can be directly fed into downstream predictive models such as LSTMs [2] and Transformers [3] to achieve more coherent results than note-based or event-based generation [4–6] Furthermore, when a representation learning model has a probability interpretation, the representation can then be easily interpolated or resampled to create new music pieces. Recently, several studies further disentangle music representations into interpretable factors (such as pitch, rhythm, chord and texture) to achieve a more controllable and interactive music generation [5,7,8]. For example, we can keep the pitch factor of a melody while resampling its rhythm factor to achieve theme variation. We can also interpolate the pitch factor for a smooth music morphing [7].

Despite the above-mentioned progress [9–11], most existing work applies only to short music segments with a length of several beats, while learning long-term representations remains a challenging task. In particular, studies have shown that even for monophonic melodies, "flat" model designs (e.g., using long-range sequential encoders) have difficulty remembering a complete music phrase at once. Some other studies have attempted to solve this problem by building another layer of hierarchy on top of short-range flat models, learning short-term and long-term representations simultaneously in an end-to-end manner [1,12]. However, as the model expressivity increases with the number of layers, models also become much more difficult to train.

We argue that the main problem with current methods is the lack of proper inductive bias, and in this paper we propose a new method for learning long-term, phrase-level symbolic music representations through contextual constraints. The method consists of two stages: pre-training and fine-tuning, with two steps in each stage. During the pre-training stage, we first adopt EC\textsuperscript{2}-VAE [7] to learn bar-level, disentangled latent pitch and rhythm representations. Then, we apply the same model to learn phrase-level representation but with contrastive losses to constrain the difference between phrase-level and bar-level representations. It is indeed difficult to learn phrase-level representations directly using bar-level models, but the additional contrastive constraint can serve as a useful inductive bias to help find a reasonable solution that can subsequently be improved by fine-tuning. During the fine-tuning stage, we replace the pre-trained decoder with a hierarchical prediction model that forces the phrase-level representation to reconstruct the bar-level ones. This is achieved by first tuning only the new hierarchical decoder (while fixing the pre-trained encoder) and then tuning the whole network. During these two steps, structured contrastive loss is applied to stabilize the learning process.

Experiments show that the proposed method significantly outperforms the baselines and successfully learns disentangled pitch and rhythm representations for 8-bar long phrases (32 beats in 4/4 meter) without increasing the latent dimensionality. To our knowledge, this is also the first generative model that achieves phrase-level composition style transfer, latent factor interpolation, and theme...
variation. In sum, our contributions are as follows:

- We demonstrate the importance of structured contextual constraints in learning long-term disentangled representations. Our approach only requires reasonable amount of data to train and could learn compact latent representation.
- We show that the proposed Structured InfoNCE loss effectively expresses the contextual constraints, stabilizes the training of long-range models and helps the model converge faster.
- Our model achieves phrase-level music style transfer, latent factor interpolation, and theme variation.

2. RELATED WORK

We review two realms of research related to our work on long-term music-representation learning: contrastive learning, which is the main method to stabilize the training process, and hierarchical music modeling, which is related to our fine-tuning model.

2.1 Contrastive Learning

Contrastive learning (CL) is an efficient method in self-supervised learning [13–15], serving as regularization to latent representations. For example, NCE-based contrastive losses [16,17] have been widely used and achieved good results in natural language processing. Contrastive predictive coding (CPC) [18] and Deep InfoMax (DIM) [19] explore the relation between minimizing a contrastive learning loss and maximizing a lower bound of the mutual information. In DIM, global feature is connected with local feature to learn more abstract and informative representations.

2.2 Hierarchical Music Representation Learning

The hierarchical nature of music has been studied for a long time [20–23]. Recently, we see some efforts on learning long-term music representations using hierarchical modeling [12, 24, 25]. The basic idea is that since a flat model design can only effectively learn short-term representations, we can stack more layers on top of the short-term representations module for long-term representations. Existing works include MusicVAE [1], Music Transformer VAE [12], Jukebox [26], etc. However, experiments show that unless we have a huge amount of data, the model is in general very difficult to train. In this study, we provide a two-stage algorithm with contrastive loss as a better learning strategy. Also, no model so far has achieved disentanglement for long-term representation as done in this study.

3. METHODOLOGY

In this section, we introduce our algorithm in detail. Conceptually, it consists of two stages, each with two steps. The first stage is pre-training:

- In step 1, we only train the hierarchical decoder to ensure the predictive power of the long-term representation.
- In step 2, we build Long-EC$^2$-VAE, a long-term version of the model and train it with an extra contextual constraint using the proposed Structured InfoNCE loss. Intuitively, this loss prevents the learned long-term representations from deviating too far from corresponding well-trained short-term representations.

The second stage is fine-tuning, in which we build a hierarchical representation-learning model by combining the encoder of Long-EC$^2$-VAE with a hierarchical decoder. We name this model after Hierarchical-EC$^2$-VAE.

- In step 1, we only train the hierarchical decoder to ensure the predictive power of the long-term representation.
- In step 2, we train the whole hierarchical network for a better long-term pitch-rhythm disentanglement.

3.1 Pre-training by Contrastive Learning

The model of the pre-training stage, Long-EC$^2$-VAE, is shown in Figure 1. It is built upon an off-the-shelf music representation model, EC$^2$-VAE [7], which can effectively disentangle pitch and rhythm factors for short music segments by cutting the latent representation into two parts and pairing one part with a local rhythm decoder. In Fig-

- The second stage is fine-tuning, in which we build a hierarchical representation-learning model by combining the encoder of Long-EC$^2$-VAE with a hierarchical decoder. We name this model after Hierarchical-EC$^2$-VAE.

- In step 2, we build Long-EC$^2$-VAE, a long-term version of the model and train it with an extra contextual constraint using the proposed Structured InfoNCE loss. Intuitively, this loss prevents the learned long-term representations from deviating too far from corresponding well-trained short-term representations.

\[ L = L_{\text{Long-EC}^2\text{-VAE}} + L_{\text{Structured InfoNCE}} \]  

(1)

where $L_{\text{Long-EC}^2\text{-VAE}}$ is the same as in the original EC$^2$-VAE model (which contains the KL loss, the rhythm loss
Figure 2: The model architecture of Hierarchical-EC²-VAE in the fine-tuning stage. The training follows two steps.

and the overall reconstruction loss. The Structured InfoNCE loss expresses the contextual constraint. It is developed from InfoNCE [18] loss, and it is structured since the compared representation pairs are extracted from music segments of different length, one is long term and the other is short term. Formally:

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{Structured InfoNCE}} = -\ln \frac{\exp(z_{l,f}^T W z_{S,f}^+ / \tau)}{\exp(z_{l,f}^T W z_{S,f}^+ / \tau) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \exp(z_{l,f}^T W z_{S,f}^- / \tau)},
\]

where \(z_{l,f}\) and \(W\) are the normalized long-term representations and weighing matrix we need to learn. \(f = \{p, r\}\) indicates whether it is the pitch or rhythm factor. Likewise, we use \(z_{S,f}\) to denote the short-term representations extracted by right-hand-side model. \(K\) and \(\tau\) are hyper-parameters. \(K\) is the amount negative samples and \(\tau\) is the temperature parameter.

In specific, the short-term melodies are half as long as long-term ones. The positive samples \(z_{S,f}^+\) are in the cases that the corresponding short-term melody is a part of the long-term melody and \(f\) takes the same value as in \(z_{l,f}\), while the negative samples are not in this case. Also, the long-term and short-term representations share the same dimensionality.

3.2 Fine-tuning with Hierarchical Generation

Figure 2 shows the architecture of the fine-tuning model, Hierarchical-EC²-VAE, where the two subfigures illustrate the two training steps. Here, the encoder design is the same as in the Long-EC²-VAE model, while the decoder is a hierarchical predictive model with three layers. The first two layers are new designed and the last layer is an aggregation of several EC²-VAE decoders sharing the same parameters. Given the disentangled long-term (phrase-level) representations, it first decodes intermediate-level representations, then decodes bar-level representations, and finally reconstructs concrete rhythm and music tokens.

Compared to the phrase-level representation, the temporal receptive fields of the intermediate-level representations all shrink to a half, but at the same time their number doubles in order to cover the same range of music. The same relationship holds between intermediate and bar-level representations. In particular, a phrase means 8 bar (in 4/4 meter, 32 beats) in our design, so that the intermediate-level and bar-level mean 4-bar and 2-bar melody segments (a length which the original EC²-VAE model can handle), respectively. All levels of latent representations share the same dimensionality.

In the first step of training (Figure 2(a)), the encoder is a literal copy from the Long-EC²-VAE model and we only train the hierarchical decoder. Formally, the loss function is:

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{step1}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{Hierarchical–EC²-VAE Decoder}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{InfoNCE}},
\]

where the first term refers to the reconstruction losses adopted from the EC²-VAE model, and the second term is defined as:

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{InfoNCE}} = -\ln \frac{\exp(z_{l,f}^T W z_{S,f}^+ / \tau)}{\exp(z_{l,f}^T W z_{S,f}^+ / \tau) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \exp(z_{l,f}^T W z_{S,f}^- / \tau)},
\]

where \(z_{l,f}\) are the normalized hierarchical representations we need to learn with \(l = \{\text{intermediate, bar}\}\) indicating the level of representation and other notations follow the
same meaning as in Eq. (2). Here, both positive $\hat{z}_{l,f}$ and negative $\hat{z}_{l,f}$ samples are normalized representations computed from a pre-trained EC²-VAE, in which the positive samples are in the cases that the $\hat{z}_{l,f}$ and $z_{l,f}$ are computed based on the same music segment and have the same value of $l$ and $f$, while $\hat{z}_{l,f}$ are not in this case.

After the first step achieves a reasonable accuracy, we proceed to step 2 (Figure 2(b)), unfreezing the encoder and training the whole representation-learning model with:

$$L_{\text{step2}} = L_{\text{step1}} + L_{\text{Structured InfoNCE}} + \beta L_{\text{KL phrase}},$$

where the first two terms are defined in Eq. (3) and Eq. (2) respectively. $L_{\text{KL phrase}}$ is KL divergence to only regularize the phrase-level representations by a normal distribution. The value $\beta$ controls the degree of KL divergence penalty.

4. EXPERIMENTS

4.1 Dataset and data format

We train our model on Nottingham Database [27] and POP909 database [28]. Our dataset contains 2154 melodies (at song level) in total. We randomly split these pieces into 2 subsets: 90% pieces for training and for 10% pieces for test. The data format is designed as the same as in [7] in which 4 bar or 8 bar melodies are formalized as sequences of 130-dimensional one-hot embedding vectors and 16-beat and 32-beat rhythm pattern is represented by a sequence of 3-dimensional one-hot embedding vectors. Each vector in the melody sequence denotes a $\frac{1}{4}$-beat unit. The first 128 dimensions of this vector denote 128 MIDI-format pitches from 0 to 127, the 129th dimension is the holding state for longer note duration, and the last dimension is kept for rest. The three dimensions of rhythm pattern vectors represent the onset of any pitch, a holding state, and rest, respectively.

4.2 Implementation Details

All of our models are trained using Adam optimizer [29] with a scheduled learning rate from 1e-3 to 1e-5. The batch size is 128 in the pre-training stage and is 64 in the fine-tuning stage. We do normalization on representations in Eq.(2) and (4) to make the training process more stable. The representations fed into decoders are original representation without normalization.

4.2.1 Pre-training

In the pre-training stage, we simply adopt the structure of EC²-VAE [7] to model 4 bar and 8 bar EC²-VAE. Each model comprises an encoder with a bi-directional GRU layer, a rhythm decoder with a GRU layer, and a global decoder with a GRU layer. We set the hidden dimension of the GRU in the encoder and decoders to 2048. The latent dimension is 128 for disentangled pitch representations and 128 for disentangled rhythm representations for each range model. For $L_{\text{Structured InfoNCE}}$ depicted in Eq. (2), we set $K$ to 512 and $\tau$ to 1. The positive samples for Eq. (2) and Eq. (4) are the representations of 1-4th, 3-6th and 5-8th bar from well-trained 4 bar EC²-VAE. Actually, even when training the 4-bar EC²-VAE (right-hand side of Figure 1), we use a similar constrastive loss as in Eq. (2) where the positive samples are representations of 1-2th, 2-3th, 3-4th bar from well-trained 2 bar (original) EC²-VAE [7].

4.2.2 Fine-tuning

Hierarchical-EC²-VAE model consists of a long-term (8 bar) EC²-VAE encoder, 4 GRU layers, and an aggregation of 2 bar EC²-VAE decoders. We first train the hierarchical model with fixed 8 bar EC²-VAE encoder from pre-trained stage for around 25 epochs. Then we train the whole model without fixing parameters. We set the hidden dimension of 4 GRU layers to 1024. We set $K$ to 256 and $\tau$ to 1 for both Structured InfoNCE loss and InfoNCE loss and set $\beta$ to 0.1 in Eq. (5).

4.3 Objective Evaluation

We objectively evaluate the model in terms of reconstruction accuracy, training stability, and disentanglement.

4.3.1 Reconstruction Accuracy

Table 1 shows that the reconstruction accuracy of the proposed models (2nd and 3rd rows) significantly outperform the baseline, a vanilla EC²-VAE applied to 8-bar melody (first row). The last two rows show the results of two ablation settings of Hierarchical-EC²-VAE: one without the contrastive loss and the other without first fixing the parameters of encoder and directly train the model end-to-end. We see that the proposed Structured InfoNCE or InfoNCE losses play a vital role for an accurate reconstruction and the two-step training strategy improves the result marginally.

| Method                  | Recon.Acc | Rhythm Recon.Acc |
|-------------------------|-----------|------------------|
| Baseline                | 0.772     | 0.847            |
| Long-EC²-VAE            | 0.992     | 0.995            |
| H-EC²-VAE(ours)         | 0.991     | 0.989            |
| H-EC²-VAE(w/o CL)       | 0.584     | 0.599            |
| H-EC²-VAE(w/o fixed)    | 0.991     | 0.989            |

Table 1: A comparison on reconstruction accuracy of different models.

4.3.2 Training stability

Figure 3: Experimental results of overall reconstruction and rhythm accuracy on the test set.
Comparing the accuracy curves of the proposed Long-EC$^2$-VAE with the baseline as illustrated in Figure 3, we find that the proposed Long-EC$^2$-VAE converges more quickly during training. This indicates that the proposed training strategy leads to a better initialization and makes the performance of the model fluctuate less during training.

4.3.3 Disentanglement Evaluation
We evaluate the disentanglement performance of models using a disentanglement evaluation method adopted in [7] and [30]. The method randomly transposes all the notes of the input data by $i(i \in [1, 12])$ semitones while keeping the rhythm and underlying chord unchanged and then measures the variation of disentangled representations. We denote $\Sigma|\Delta z_p|$ and $\Sigma|\Delta z_r|$ as the variation of $z_p$ and $z_r$.

![Figure 4: The comparison between $\Sigma|\Delta z_p|$ and $\Sigma|\Delta z_r|$ after transposition. The numbers show the pitch augmented by 12 semitones in each sub-figure from left to right.](image)

As shown in Figure 4, values of $\Sigma|\Delta z_p|$ of the proposed Hierarchical-EC$^2$-VAE are relatively high while $\Sigma|\Delta z_r|$ maintains in a significantly low level. This indicates that the pitch and rhythm representations of the proposed Hierarchical-EC$^2$-VAE are well-disentangled as the change of notes has a tiny impact on $z_r$. Similarly, we can intuitively find in the figure that the disentanglement performance of the proposed Hierarchical-EC$^2$-VAE is much better than the baseline and also outperforms the proposed Long-EC$^2$-VAE.

4.4 Music generative examples
In this section, we show some music generation results by manipulating the disentangled phrase-level pitch and rhythm representations in three different ways: style transfer via swapping the representation, rhythm morphing via interpolating the representation, and theme variation via representation posterior sampling.

4.4.1 Phrase-level composition style transfer
We cross-swap the disentangled pitch and rhythm factors $z_p$ and $z_r$ of two 8-bar melodies A and B and then obtain generative pieces C and D. The results are shown in Figure 5, in which we see that both of the two generative pieces perfectly inherit target rhythm patterns. Besides, these generative melodies vary slightly from the source melody and these variations tend to sound creative, i.e. the appearance of embellished notes.

![Figure 5: Style transfer examples by hierarchical-EC$^2$-VAE model.](image)

4.4.2 Latent $z_r$ interpolation
We interpolate rhythm representations $z_r$ of two phrases using SLERP [31] while keeping the pitch and chord unchanged. The interpolated latent representations can then be “re-synthesized” using Hierarchical-EC$^2$-VAE.

As shown in Figure 6, we interpolate $z_r$ of the piece A and B with different SLERP weights. The results exhibit a surprising sense of coherence of pitch and rhythm in generative melodies, even in the transition between consecutive bars. This implies that a longer-term representation is also adept at modeling short-term generation and even contains more global harmonic information than a short-term representation.

4.4.3 Theme variation
We can also achieve theme variation by adding a Gaussian noise to $z_r$ while keeping $z_p$ unchanged. As a sample shown in Figure 7, we find that as the variance of the noise grows larger, the pitch and rhythm of the generative melody are still reasonable smooth, implying that the long-term representations contain the coherence of contextual information and can “control” the generation process.
Each subject listens to five randomly arranged groups in turn and is required to rate each melody ranging from 1 (very low) to 5 (very high) according to three aspects: creativity, naturalness (how human-like the composition is) and overall musicality.

4.5.2 Results

A total of 29 subjects (18 females and 11 males) participated in the survey. Experimental results depicted in Figure 8 demonstrate that people prefer melodies generated by the proposed Hierarchical-EC$^2$-VAE to those generated by the 2 bar EC$^2$-VAE [7], implying the effects of a long-term coherence learned by our model. The heights of bars represent means of the ratings and the error bars represent the MSEs computed via within-subject ANOVA [32]. The results show that our model performs significantly better than the 2 bar EC$^2$-VAE in terms of all three dimensions ($p < 0.05$). Besides, the qualities of melodies generated by the proposed Hierarchical-EC$^2$-VAE reach a competitive standard compared to the human-composed pieces, especially in creativity.

5. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we contribute a pipeline of algorithms to learn long-term and disentangled music representations. The main novelty lies in the proposed two inductive biases which constrain the long-term representations using contextual information. The first one requires long-term representation to be not too different from the short-term ones which represent a part of the long-term sequence, and we demonstrate contrastive loss is well-suited for such rough constraint. The second inductive bias is that a good long-term representation should be able to reconstruct the corresponding short-term ones, and we use a hierarchical predictive model to realize this constraint. Unlike most hierarchical models, our purpose is not prediction for its own sake, but rather to leverage the prediction power to learn a well-disentangled long-term representation. Experimental results show that our approach is quite successful, capable of disentangling pitch and rhythmic factors for phrase-level (32 beats) melody without increasing the dimensionality of latent representation compared to bar-level models. Moreover, the learned representations enable high-quality phrase-level style transfer via representation swapping and theme variation by representation interpolation and posterior sampling.
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