Cross-linked polyethylenimine–tripolyphosphate nanoparticles for gene delivery
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Abstract: The high transfection efficiency of polyethylenimine (PEI) makes it an attractive potential nonviral genetic vector for gene delivery and therapy. However, the highly positive charge of PEI leads to cytotoxicity and limits its application. To reduce the cytotoxicity of PEI, we prepared anion-enriched nanoparticles that combined PEI with tripolyphosphate (TPP). We then characterized the PEI-TPP nanoparticles in terms of size, zeta potential, and Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectra, and assessed their transfection efficiency, cytotoxicity, and ability to resist deoxyribonuclease (DNase) I digestion. The cellular uptake of PEI-TPP with phosphorylated internal ribosome entry site–enhanced green fluorescent protein C1 or FAM (fluorouracil, Adriamycin [doxorubicin] and mitomycin)-labeled small interfering ribonucleic acids (siRNAs) was monitored by fluorescence microscopy and confocal laser microscopy. The efficiency of transfected delivery of plasmid deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) and siRNA in vitro was 1.11- to 4.20-fold higher with the PEI-TPP particles (7.6% cross-linked) than with the PEI, at all N:P ratios (nitrogen in PEI to phosphorus in DNA) tested. The cell viability of different cell lines was more than 90% at the chosen N:P ratios of PEI-TPP/DNA complexes. Moreover, PEI-TPP nanoparticles resisted digestion by DNase I for more than 2 hours. The time-dependent absorption experiment showed that 7.6% of cross-linked PEI-TPP particles were internalized by 293T cells within 1 hour. In summary, PEI-TPP nanoparticles effectively transfected cells while conferring little or no toxicity, and thus have potential application in gene delivery.
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Introduction
Viral and nonviral systems have been widely used for gene delivery.1-4 While viral delivery systems can protect deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) against degradation from intracellular enzymes, they may cause an uncertain immune response, leading to toxic effects.5,6 Therefore, it is important to develop nonviral delivery systems with improved safety.

Nonviral delivery vectors may consist of cell-penetrating peptides, polymers, liposomes, or dendrimers (multibranched polymers).7-9 An example is the cationic polyethylenimine (PEI). In every macromolecule of PEI, a third of the amino nitrogen can be protonated and catalyzed into a polymer with high-density cationic potential and a highly branched network. Owing to this property, PEI can concentrate DNA and penetrate cells. PEI penetrates the endosome by means of osmotic imbalance, known as the proton-sponge effect. Moreover, PEI is a stable buffer under different pH conditions.10 Several studies have shown that PEI-based nonviral vectors can be locally delivered to target tumors.11,12 However the application of these vectors in gene delivery and therapy is limited, due to their high cytotoxicity. To minimize the cationic charge on PEI and
decrease its charge-related toxicity, such ligands as peptides, polysaccharides, polyethylene glycols, antibodies, imidazolyl, and acyl functional groups have been used.11–17

Anionic tripolyphosphate (TPP) molecules possess multipoint-attachment sites, through which PEI-TPP nanoparticles can be formed. Because of the polyanionic charge of TPP, many studies have chosen it to cross-link with chitosan to carry drugs or target genes.18,19 In the present study, we used an ionotropic gelation method20 to link PEI to TPP. We then characterized the main physical properties of the new nanoparticles with regard to size, zeta potential, and Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectra. The ability to deliver DNA and small interfering ribonucleic acid (siRNA) and protect DNA from nucleases was investigated as well.

**Methods and materials**

**Reagents**

PEI (average molecular weight 25 kDa), 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), agarose, ethidium bromide, bromophenol blue, and 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) dilactate were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). Sodium TPP was purchased from Guangzhou Chemical (Guangzhou, People’s Republic of China). Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) and fetal calf serum (FCS) were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA).

Plasmid DNA (pDNA; phosphorylated internal ribosome entry site–enhanced green fluorescent protein [EGFP] C1) was preserved in our laboratory. Human papillomavirus (HPV) 16 E6 siRNA labeled 6-carboxyfluorescein (FAM [fluorouracil], Adriamycin [doxorubicin], mitomycin): HPV E6 (sense, 5’-GAG GUA UAU GAC UUU GCU UTT-3’; antisense, 5’-AAG CAA AGU CAU AUA CCU CTT-3’) was synthesized by GenePharma (Shanghai, People’s Republic of China).

Reagent A (2.5% ammonium molybdate in 3 M HCl) and reagent B (sodium bisulfite [5.44 g], sodium sulfite [0.4 g], and 1,2,4-aminophenolphthol sulfonic acid [0.2 g] dissolved in 200 mL water) were prepared in the lab. Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) was purchased from Shanghai Chemical Reagent Factory (Shanghai, People’s Republic of China). All other chemicals used were of the highest quality commercially available.

**Other materials**

Human embryonic kidney (HEK)-293T, hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2, breast cancer (Michigan Cancer Foundation [MCF]-7), and cervical cancer HeLa cells were purchased from the cell bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Beijing, People’s Republic of China). Primary rabbit osteoarthritis synovial fibroblasts (rOASFs) were isolated from a rabbit osteoarthritis model.

**Preparation of PEI-TPP nanoparticles**

An aqueous solution of 50 mL 4% sodium tripolyphosphate was added dropwise to 40 mL HCl (0.1 M) to be acidified, and the mixture was freeze-dried to produce tripolyphosphoric acid. Then, 1% (5 mL) tripolyphosphoric acid aqueous solution was added dropwise to 1 mL PEI aqueous solution (0.1%), with continuous stirring for 4 hours for 5% cross-linking. The final product was collected by dialysis against deionized water for 3 days and then vacuum-dried at −50°C for 2 days to get powdered PEI-TPP nanoparticles. Concentrations of 10%, 15%, or 20% cross-linking of PEI-TPP nanoparticles were prepared in the same manner.

The binding rate of tripolyphosphate in the PEI-TPP particles was evaluated by measuring the quantity of phosphate, in accordance with the literature.21 In brief, 1 μg PEI-TPP nanoparticles was dissolved in 1 mL 60% perchloric acid for 2 hours. The final volume of the mixture was added to 10 mL water, when cooled to room temperature. Test tubes with 6 mL or 10 mL of nanoparticle solution were brought to a final volume of 16 mL with water. These were mixed with 2.0 mL of reagent A and reagent B, incubated for 10 minutes, and then the absorbance was measured at 660 nm.21,22

**Size and zeta-potential measurement**

A laser particle-size analyzer and a zeta-potential analyzer (Zetasizer Nano ZS, Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK) were used to measure the average diameter and zeta potential of the PEI-TPP nanoparticles, with an N:P ratio (ie, the nitrogen in PEI to the phosphorus in DNA) of 10.2%. Briefly, 200 μg of lyophilized nanoparticles were dissolved in 1 mL double-distilled water and sonicated. The size and zeta potential were measured with relevant analyzers. Data are reported as the average of three measurements.

**FTIR**

FTIR spectra were obtained using a KBr pellet in an FTIR spectrophotometer (Nicolet 6700; Thermo Fisher Scientific), using the 10.2% cross-linking rate of PEI-TPP as the candidate. Infrared spectroscopy-grade KBr was used as a scanning matrix. From 1 to 2 mg of fine sample powder and 90–100 mg of KBr powder were mixed and dried completely, then transferred to a 13 mm die to make a nearly transparent and homogeneous
pellet. All spectra were taken at 4 cm\(^{-1}\) resolution, averaged over 40 scans in the range 400–4,000 cm\(^{-1}\).

**Gel retardation assay**

PEI-TPP nanoparticles were mixed with 1 mg pDNA at N:P ratios of 1:1, 5:1, 10:1, 15:1, 20:1, or 25:1 in 5% dextrose, while PEI and pDNA were mixed at N:P ratios 5:1, 10:1, or 15:1. All the mixtures were incubated for 30 minutes at room temperature, electrophoresed in a 1% agarose gel, and after staining with ethidium bromide for 20 minutes visualized on an ultraviolet transilluminator.

**DNase digestion assay**

For the deoxyribonuclease (DNase) I protection assay, 2 \(\mu\)g pDNA was complexed with 20 \(\mu\)L of PEI-TPP (N:P ratio of 10:1) to get the DNA complex. Ten microliters of naked pDNA and DNA complex were added with one unit DNase I (1 \(\mu\)L) or 1 \(\mu\)L phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; as control). The mixture was incubated in a 37°C water bath for 15, 30, 60, or 120 minutes. Then, 1 \(\mu\)L of 100 mM EDTA was added to stop the reaction. The samples were heated at 75°C for 10 minutes to inactivate DNase I. Finally, the complex was dissociated by incubation with 10 \(\mu\)L heparin (5 mg/mL) for 2 hours at room temperature. All the samples were electrophoresed in 1% agarose, then stained with ethidium bromide and photographed with an Alphalmager® EP image-acquisition system (ProteinSimple, Santa Clara, CA, USA).

**Uptake of FAM-labeled siRNA**

To investigate the intracellular uptake of PEI-TPP nanoparticles in vitro, HEK293T cells were plated in six-well microplates with filled circular glass slides and incubated overnight in DMEM replenished with 10% FCS to ~70% confluence. Then, the cells were cocultured with PEI-TPP (7.6%) and PEI-TPP (7.6%)/FAM-labeled HPV E6 siRNA mixture in DMEM for 15, 30, 60, 120, 180, or 240 minutes. The plates were gently washed with sterile PBS three times and cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 15 minutes at room temperature, then washed three times with PBS, stained with DAPI, and mounted on clean glass slides with fluorescence-free glycerol-based mounting medium. Finally, the slides were observed under a confocal microscope (FluoView® FV-1000; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

The cell lines HEK293T and HepG2 were purchased from the cell bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences. rOASFs were isolated from a rabbit osteoarthritis model as reported previously. The cells were cultured in 10% FCS DMEM. The third to fifth generations were used in the experiments. HEK293T and HepG2 cells, or rOASFs, were plated in 24-well plates. After 20 hours, the medium was discarded, and cells were washed once with serum-free DMEM. Two microliters of FAM-labeled siRNA (100 nM) and PEI-TPP at different N:P ratios (1:1, 5:1, 10:1, 15:1, 20:1, or 25:1) were mixed with 100 \(\mu\)L PBS. The siRNA was dropped into the six given N:P ratios of PEI-TPP and incubated for 20 minutes. The resultant transfection media were all dropped into each well. Four hours later, the coculture medium was aspirated and 500 \(\mu\)L fresh 10% FCS DMEM was replenished to each well, and then cells were incubated up to 36 hours. Cells treated with only PEI-TPP nanoparticles served as the controls. The transfection effect of FAM-labeled siRNA was assessed in an inverted fluorescence microscope after transfection for 36 hours, based on the number of cells with green fluorescence.

**In vitro transfection efficiency of PEI-TPP nanoparticles**

HEK293T, MCF-7, HeLa, and HepG2 cells and rOASFs were seeded in 24-well plates. After 20 hours, the medium was discarded, and cells were washed once with serum-free DMEM. Vector pEGFP-C1 (1 \(\mu\)g) and PEI-TPP at different N:P ratios (1:1, 5:1, 10:1, 15:1, 20:1, or 25:1) or PEI (N:P 5:1, 10:1, or 15:1) were mixed with 100 \(\mu\)L PBS. pEGFP-C1 was dropped into the given N:P ratios of PEI-TPP or PEI and incubated for 20 minutes. The resultant transfection medium was added to each well. After 4 hours, the transfection mixture was aspirated and 500 \(\mu\)L fresh 10% FCS DMEM was added to each well, and cells were cultivated up to 36 hours. Cells treated with only the PEI-TPP nanoparticles served as the controls.

The transfection efficacy of pEGFP-C1 was monitored in an inverted fluorescence microscope 36 hours after transfection, based on the number of cells with green fluorescence. Ten fields were randomly selected under 200× magnification, and the number of cells with green fluorescence were counted and averaged as the result.

**Cell-viability assay**

Cell viability was detected with the MTT assay. HeLa, HEK293T, HepG2, and MCF-7 cells and rOASFs were seeded into 96-well plates and cocultured with preset N:P ratios of PEI-TPP and PEI for 36 hours. The medium was replaced with 10 \(\mu\)L of 0.5 mg/mL MTT reagent and incubated for 4 hours at 37°C. After removal of the culture medium, 100 \(\mu\)L of dimethyl sulfoxide was added to each
well, and the absorbance was detected at 570 nm using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay plate reader (Varioskan™ Flash MRX; Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cells not treated with PEI-TPP taken as 100% viability of the control cells, and wells only filled with MTT reagent served as blanks to standardize the absorbance. Cell viability (%) was calculated as: absorbance_{transfected}/absorbance_{control} × 100%.[24] All experiments were performed at least three times.

Results
Preparation of PEI-TPP nanoparticles
The amino positive charge of PEI was partially neutralized by anionic TPP through charge interactions, and then formed the PEI-TPP nanosize complex in aqueous solutions. The ionic linkage rate of PEI-TPP nanoparticles was measured using colorimetry of phosphate (Table 1).

Characterization of nanoparticles
The size and zeta potential of the nanoparticles were detected to characterize the PEI-TPP (10.2%) nanoparticles. The particles were of uniform size and scattered light in the nanometer scale (about 120 nm, Figure 1). With increasing amounts of TPP, the zeta potential and particle size of the PEI-TPP nanoparticles decreased in aqueous solution (Table 2). FTIR analysis showed PEI-TPP spectra peaks at 927 cm⁻¹ (P–O–P stretching), 1,118 cm⁻¹ (C–N stretching), 1,655 cm⁻¹ (amino deformation), 3,413 cm⁻¹ (amino stretching), and PEI spectra peaks at 2,836 cm⁻¹ (amino stretching). The band at 897 cm⁻¹ represented TPP in the nanoparticles (Figure 2, note arrows). This indicated that TPP combined with PEI through amino and P–O bonds.

Gel retardation
The negative charge in the phosphate backbone of DNA was minimized through the combination of PEI-TPP or PEI to pDNA, which can retard DNA’s electrophoresis rate. The electrophoresis results showed that with increasing amounts of PEI-TPP (N:P ratios 1:1, 5:1, 10:1, 15:1, 20:1, or 25:1) or PEI (N:P ratios 5:1, 10:1, or 15:1) complexed with DNA, the electrophoretic mobility of DNA was slowed. PEI at the N:P ratio of 10:1 slowed the mobility of pDNA more than the other ratios, while PEI-TPP nanoparticles and DNA had a bigger weight:weight (wt:wt) ratio. Furthermore, PEI-TPP with 7.6% cross-linking exhibited the best retardation effect on DNA (Figure 3).

DNase digestion assay
An indicator of a good transfection vector is whether it can resist the degradation of nucleases in sera. In the DNase digestion experiment, heparin released whole pDNA nanoparticles exposed to DNase I effectively. The naked pDNA (2 µg) was completely digested by DNase I within 15 minutes, but the PEI-TPP (7.6%) nanocarrier efficiently protected pDNA from degradation (Figure 4).

Transfection of siRNA by PEI-TPP nanoparticles
Intracellular trafficking of the PEI-TPP (7.6%)/FAM-siRNA complex in HEK293T cells was observed by confocal microscopy from 15 minutes to 4 hours (Figure 5, A–G). After 30 minutes, discrete fluorescent dots appeared in the cytoplasm and then accumulated around the nucleus over time (Figure 5, B–F). The results showed that PEI-TPP/siRNA complexes were efficiently transported into the cells.

We also tested the efficiency with which PEI-TPP (7.6%) nanoparticles delivered siRNA into HepG2, HEK293T, and

---

Table 1 Percentage cross-linking in PEI-TPP nanoparticles

| Sample | Attempted ionic linking (%) | Realized ionic linking (%) |
|--------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1      | 5                          | 2.63±0.45                 |
| 2      | 10                         | 5.24±1.12                 |
| 3      | 15                         | 7.6±0.97                  |
| 4      | 20                         | 10.2±0.67                 |

Abbreviations: PEI, polyethylenimine; TPP, tripolyphosphate.

Table 2 Particle-size and zeta-potential measurements of PEI-TPP nanoparticles (in H₂O)

| Sample | Samples | Average particle size (nm) | Zeta potential (mV) |
|--------|---------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| 1      | PEI-TPP (2.63%) | 147.3±1.32                | 20.3±4.26          |
| 2      | PEI-TPP (5.24%) | 126.5±5.20                | 10.7±0.47          |
| 3      | PEI-TPP (7.6%)  | 87.4±0.76                 | 9.5±2.13           |
| 4      | PEI-TPP (10.2%) | 64.6±3.40                 | 7.2±0.53           |
| 5      | PEI       | 301.4±2.46                | 31.7±0.68          |

Abbreviations: PEI, polyethylenimine; TPP, tripolyphosphate.

---

Figure 1 Size distribution of PEI-TPP (10.2%) detected by a Zetasizer Nano Zs.

Abbreviations: PEI, polyethylenimine; TPP, tripolyphosphate.

Note: Zetasizer Nano Zs from Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK.
Figure 2 Fourier-transform infrared spectra of (A) PEI, (B) TPP, and (C) PEI-TPP (10.2%).
Note: Arrows indicate spectra peaks.
Abbreviations: PEI, polyethylenimine; TPP, tripolyphosphate.

Figure 3 Mobility assay of PEI-TPP/DNa and PEI/DNa complexes at various N:P ratios and cross-linking rates.
Abbreviations: PEI, polyethylenimine; TPP, tripolyphosphate; DNA, deoxyribonucleic acid; pDNA, plasmid deoxyribonucleic acid; N:P ratios, nitrogen in PEI to phosphorus in DNA.
rOASF cells. PEI-TPP at N:P 10:1 was the most efficient (Figure 6), being 1.78-, 2.5-, and 1.8-fold higher than PEI (N:P 10:1) alone in rOASF, HEK293T, and HepG2 cells, respectively. These results show that the PEI-TPP vector can powerfully convey siRNA into different types of cells.

Transfection of plasmid by PEI-TPP nanoparticles

The transfection efficacy of the PEI-TPP nanocarrier to deliver pDNA was evaluated in HeLa, HEK293T, HepG2, and MCF-7 cell lines and primary rOASFs. Cells were processed for 4 hours with PEI-TPP nanoparticles complexed with 1 μg pEGFP-C1 and increasing amounts of PEI-TPP (N:P 1:1, 5:1, 10:1, 15:1, 20:1, or 25:1) or PEI (PEI:DNA 10:1, Figure 7). After 36 hours, the cells were examined for GFP under a fluorescence microscope. In general, at the N:P ratio of 10:1, PEI-TPP (10.2%) nanoparticles resulted in the highest levels of GFP (Figure 7, B–D). In HeLa and HEK293T cells with more TPP content, the delivery efficacy of PEI-TPP (10.2%) reached the maximum at N:P = 10:1, but gradually reduced as TPP in the particles increased (Figure 7, A and B).

The transfection efficacy of pEGFP-C1 by PEI-TPP at 10.2% (N:P 10:1) was 1.49-, 4.28-, 2.84-, and 1.38-fold greater than that of PEI (N:P 10:1) in HeLa, 293T, HepG2, and MCF-7 cells, respectively (Figure 7, A–D). PEI-TPP (7.6%) N:P 25:1 showed greater transfection efficacy than PEI (2.07-fold, Figure 7E). Similar to HepG2 cells, in rOASFs the transfection efficiency of PEI-TPP (10.2%) at N:P 20:1 was 3.6-fold higher than the PEI (Figure 7C).

PEI-TPP nanoparticles have much less effect on cell viability

To estimate the effect of PEI-TPP/DNA complexes on cell viability, an MTT assay was performed. Cell viability was >90% at different N:P ratios of PEI-TPP/pDNA in HEK293T, HepG2, and HeLa cells, and was 70% in the MCF-7 cells. In contrast, the proliferation rate was <56% in cells treated with PEI/DNA complexes (Figure 8). These data suggest that PEI-TPP nanoparticles have much less cytotoxicity than PEI.

Discussion

Gene therapy has presented enormous opportunities for the treatment of congenital and acquired diseases.25–28 However, the main obstacle to application has been the lack of a safe and effective carrier system. Nonviral vectors are lower in risk, and can be modified to meet the needs of individualized treatment. These include cationic polymers, which concentrate DNA or RNA into a stable nanoparticle and avoid the problems associated with viral carriers.11,28,29 Among cationic polymers, such as chitosan, polyamidoamine dendrimers, poly-L-lysine, and poly(2-[dimethylamino]ethyl methacrylate),30,31 branched PEIs can efficiently link with DNA at different physiological pH values and form PEI-DNA complexes that are diminutive enough to be endocytosed.32 Although these characteristics of PEI make it an attractive candidate for gene transport, it can cause charge-associated cytotoxicity at higher concentrations.15,33 In our study, we reduced the charge-related cytotoxicity of branched PEI via ionic interactions with the anionic molecule TPP. We achieved well-defined carrier nanoparticles of PEI by cross-linking TPP.

PEI-TPP nanoparticles were synthesized in sizes ranging from 65 nm to 147 nm. These possessed a positive zeta potential that negatively correlated with the content of TPP in the particles. The reduced size of the nanoparticles might be due to the electrostatic interaction between the amines of PEI and the incremental amount of anionic TPP. Monitoring
of pDNA at lower N:P ratios, probably because in PEI-TPP
the positive charge of PEI was neutralized by anionic TPP.
Consequently, the PEI-TPP nanoparticles were more ef
cfective in retarding the mobility of pDNA than PEI.

A perfect gene-delivery vector should prevent cutting
of the target gene by nuclease. To evaluate the ability of
PEI-TPP nanoparticles to protect the transported gene, we
performed a DNA digestion experiment and found that PEI-
TPP (7.6%) nanoparticles efficiently protected pDNA against
DNase I for more than 2 hours. Furthermore, we assessed
the intracellular trafficking of the PEI-TPP (7.6%)/siRNA
complex, and found that it was internalized by HEK293T
cells within 30 minutes, and was gathered around the nucleus
even after 4 hours. These results showed that the existence of
TPP did not inhibit the cellular endocytosis of nanoparticles
and the associated RNA complex.

Next, we compared the effectiveness of PEI-TPP and
PEI (branched 25 kDa) particles to deliver a GFP-expression
plasmid into HEK293T, HeLa, HepG2, and MCF-7 cells,
as well as primary rOASFs. Although PEI-TPP (10.2%)
nanoparticles did not achieve the best transfection efficacy,
they presented much better than PEI in the four cell lines
and rOASFs. For PEI, we chose the N:P ratio of 10:1, but
it has been reported that the best transfection rate of PEI is
at N:P ratios of 9–13.5:1. These data provide evidence that
the transfection efficacy of PEI-TPP nanoparticles surpasses
that of PEI.

It has been reported that the transfection efficiency of
polplexes is cell-specific. To determine the specificity
of the newly prepared PEI-TPP/pDNA complexes in
the present study, we chose HEK293T, HeLa, HepG2,
and MCF-7 cells and primary rOASFs and tested PEI-TPP (10%)/DNA. PEI was more efficient in HeLa and HepG2 cells than in the other two cell lines, perhaps due to cell specificity. Transfection with the PEI-TPP (7.6%)/pDNA mixture was cell-specific and in the order of HEK293T > HepG2 > HeLa > MCF-7, and the transfection of PEI-TPP nanoparticles in different cells showed almost the same trend. Furthermore, the efficacy of the PEI-TPP vector to transfect siRNA but not pDNA was also investigated. Compared to the efficiency of transfection by PEI in rOASFs and HepG2 and 293T cells, that of FAM-specific HPV16 E6 siRNA was 1.78-, 2.5-, and 1.85-fold higher, respectively.

Charge-related cytotoxicity is one of the main obstacles in the use of PEI as a gene vector.\textsuperscript{35} In this study, we showed that neutralizing the charge on PEI with TPP resulted in significantly decreased cytotoxicity in HeLa, HEK293T, HepG2, and MCF-7 cells. Further, nanoparticles containing less TPP did more harm to cells than those with more. PEI possesses more ionic density, which is associated with cytotoxicity.\textsuperscript{36–38} The weakened toxicity of the PEI-TPP nanovector is likely due to the electrostatic interaction between amine anions from TPP, which decreased the overall positive charge of the complex.

**Conclusion**

In this study, we modified the multipurpose cationic polymer gene-delivery system PEI through charge interactions between PEI and TPP. These PEI-TPP nanoparticles have significantly higher transfection efficiency than PEI for...
both pDNA and siRNA, and significantly lower cytotoxicity in cell lines and primary cells, because of the masking of the high cationic charge of PEI by TPP. The PEI-TPP nanoparticles thus have potential application in gene delivery.
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