Crystal Structure of the Src Family Kinase Hck SH3-SH2 Linker Regulatory Region Supports an SH3-dominant Activation Mechanism*
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Most mammalian cell types depend on multiple Src family kinases (SFKs) to regulate diverse signaling pathways. Strict control of SFK activity is essential for normal cellular function, and loss of kinase regulation contributes to several forms of cancer and other diseases. Previous x-ray crystal structures of the SFKs c-Src and Hck revealed that intramolecular association of their Src homology (SH) 3 domains and SH2 kinase linker regions has a key role in down-regulation of kinase activity. However, the amino acid sequence of the Hck linker represents a suboptimal ligand for the isolated SH3 domain, suggesting that it may form the polyproline type II helical conformation required for SH3 docking only in the context of the intact structure. To test this hypothesis directly, we determined the crystal structure of a truncated Hck protein consisting of the SH2 and SH3 domains plus the linker. Despite the absence of the kinase domain, the structures and relative orientations of the SH2 and SH3 domains in this shorter protein were very similar to those observed in near full-length, down-regulated Hck. However, the SH2 kinase linker adopted a modified topology and failed to engage the SH3 domain. This new structure supports the idea that these noncatalytic regions work together as a “conformational switch” that modulates kinase activity in a manner unique to the SH3 domain and linker topologies present in the intact Hck protein. Our results also provide fresh structural insight into the facile induction of Hck activity by HIV-1 Nef and other SH3 domains joined by a short connector, an SH2 kinase linker, a bilobed kinase domain, and a C-terminal negative regulatory tail (Fig. 1A) (2). The crystal structures of several near full-length SFKs, consisting of SH3, SH2, and kinase domains plus a tyrosine-phosphorylated C-terminal tail, demonstrate that intramolecular interactions involving the SH3 and SH2 domains both contribute to negative regulation of kinase activity (4–6). These noncatalytic domains pack in tandem against the back surface of the kinase domain, stabilizing it in a closed, inactive conformation (Fig. 1B). The crystal structures revealed that the SH3 domain engages the SH2 kinase linker, which adopts a polyproline type II (PPII) helical conformation in the context of the overall structure, typical of most SH3 ligands (Fig. 1B). The SH2 domain binds to the C-terminal tail, which is phosphorylated on a conserved tyrosine residue by the independent regulatory kinases, Csk and Chk (7).

Intramolecular interactions maintain the kinase in an inactivated state, and disruption of these regulatory interactions often leads to SFK activation. In the case of Hck, a member of the Src kinase family that is expressed in macrophages and other myeloid hematopoietic cells (8), mutations within the SH3 domain induce kinase activation presumably by disrupting interaction with the SH2 kinase linker. Complementary mutations in the SH2 kinase linker region, distal to the kinase domain, also are sufficient to induce kinase activation. Replacement of the tyrosine residue in the negative regulatory tail with phenylalanine is also sufficient to activate the kinase domain by releasing the tail from the SH2 domain (9, 10).

Intermolecular interactions with partner proteins can also induce kinase activation, by displacing the SH3 domain, the SH2 domain, or both, from their regulatory positions on the back of the kinase domain. For example, the Nef protein of HIV-1 binds tightly to the SH3 domain of Hck, inducing constitutive kinase activation both in vitro and in cells (11–14). In the case of c-Src, one mode of activation involves bipartite interaction with focal adhesion kinase, which causes displacement of both the SH3 and SH2 domains from their respective internal ligand sites (15). These observations suggest that different members of the Src kinase family have distinct requirements for activation, which may be dictated in part by the affin-
ities of their respective SH2 and SH3 domains for their intramolecular binding sites.

The two distinct modes regulating SFK activity, one involving SH3-linker interaction and the other SH2-tail engagement, has raised the question of whether disruption of either interaction alone is sufficient for activation or whether displacement of both is required (2). Previous work has shown that tightening the Hck SH2-tail interaction does not impair kinase activation through SH3 displacement by Nef in cells (12) or in vitro (14). These observations suggest that the SH2 kinase linker may function as an “activation switch,” with perturbation of its interaction with the SH3 domain sufficient for kinase activation at least in the context of Hck. An SH3-dominant mode of activation such as this predicts that the SH2 kinase linker may represent a suboptimal ligand for the SH3 domain in the context of the down-regulated Hck structure. To approach this question from a structural perspective, we solved the x-ray crystal structure of the complete Hck regulatory region (SH3-SH2 linker) in the absence of the kinase domain. We observed that the structures and relative orientations of the SH2 and SH3 domains in this shorter protein were very similar to those observed in near full-length, down-regulated Hck. However, the SH2 kinase linker adopted a modified structure in the absence of the kinase domain and failed to engage the SH3 domain. This new structure supports the idea that the linker region exists as a PPII helix only in the context of the overall down-regulated structure. We also observed that a high affinity peptide ligand for SFK SH3 domains readily induced activation of a form of Hck in which the SH2-tail interaction is locked. In contrast, the same peptide had no effect on the activation of a form of Hck in which the SH2-tail interaction is absent. In vitro kinase assays were performed using the Tyr-2 FRET peptide VSL12 (VSLARRPLPPLP) (16) and flash-cooled in liquid nitrogen.

### EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

**Cloning, Expression, and Purification of Recombinant Hck32L**—The coding region for the human Hck SH3 and SH2 domains plus the SH2 kinase linker (residues 72–256, Hck32L; Hck numbering) was amplified by PCR and subcloned into the pET21a bacterial expression vector (EMD Chemicals Inc., San Diego) using NdeI and Xhol restriction sites. The nucleotide sequence was verified for the entire coding region. The Hck32L protein was expressed with the vector-encoded C-terminal His tag in *Escherichia coli* Rosetta 2 (DE3) cells. Cultures were grown in Luria-Bertani medium, and protein expression was induced with 0.4 mM isopropyl-1-thio-β-d-galactopyranoside at 18 °C for 16 h. Soluble Hck32L protein was extracted using a microfluidizer (Microfluidics, Newton, MA) and purified using a nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid column (GE Healthcare). Soluble aggregates were removed by gel filtration chromatography using a Hi-Load Superdex 75 16/60 column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with 25 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 1 mM DTT, and 0.02% sodium azide. The peak containing Hck32L was further purified over Hi-Trap QP (GE Healthcare) at pH 7.5 and a 0–1.0 M NaCl gradient.

**Expression and Purification of Recombinant SFKs and in Vitro Kinase Assay**—Recombinant Hck-YEEI and Src-YEEI proteins were expressed in Sf-9 insect cells and purified by ion exchange and immobilized metal affinity chromatography as described elsewhere (14). The SH3 domain binding peptide VSL12 (VSLARRPLPPLP) (16) was synthesized by the University of Pittsburgh Genomics and Proteomics Core Laboratory. VSL12 mass and purity were verified by mass spectrometry. *In vitro* kinase assays were performed using the Tyr-2 FRET peptide substrate and the Z'-Lyte assay (Invitrogen) as described previously (14, 17).

**Crystallization**—Diffraction quality crystals were grown by sitting-drop vapor diffusion at 20 °C by mixing Hck32L (6.5 mg/ml), 25% (v/v) polyethylene glycol 3,350, 0.15 M KSCN, 0.05 M NaCl, and a 1:100 dilution of Hck32L crystal seeds (grown in 25% v/v polyethylene glycol 3,350, 0.1 M Tris, pH 8.5, 0.2 M NaCl). Crystals formed in 7–10 days with approximate dimensions of 0.3 × 0.05 × 0.05 mm. Prior to data collection, crystals were cryoprotected by stepwise transfer to mother liquor supplemented with a final concentration of ethylene glycol to 10% (v/v) and flash-cooled in liquid nitrogen.

**Data Collection and Processing**—X-ray diffraction data (Table 1) were collected to a resolution of 2.6 Å from a single Hck32L crystal. All data were collected at the University of Pittsburgh X-ray Facility using x-rays of CuKα wavelength and Rigaku Saturn 944 CCD detector. Data integration and scaling were carried out using HKL2000 (18). Diffraction from the Hck32L crystal is consistent with the trigonal P3₃ space group with one molecule in the asymmetric unit.

**Structure Determination and Refinement**—The structure factor data were analyzed using the program phenix.xtriage (19), and pseudo-merohedral twinning was detected possessing...
the twin-law operator (-h, -k, l) and twin fraction (0.48). Structure solution of Hck32L was determined by molecular replacement with the program PHASER (19), using the structure coordinates of the human Hck kinase (PDB code 1QCF) SH3 and SH2 domains as independent search models. The molecular replacement solutions were refined using rigid-body, individual coordinate, individual isotropic b-factor, occupancy, simulated annealing, and TLS refinement using the program phenix. After the last cycle of refinement and model building, water molecules were added using phenix.refine. A final round of refinement using all atoms was then conducted, and final refinement statistics are summarized in Table 1. Model building was conducted using the program Coot (20). The stereochemical quality of the final refined model was evaluated using Procheck (21) and MolProbity (22). Figures of the x-ray structure and electron density were produced using PyMol (23). The program ESPript (24) was used to produce sequence alignment shown in Fig. 2C.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Conservation of SH2 and SH3 Domain Architecture—Previous structural analyses of the down-regulated conformations of Hck and c-Src revealed intramolecular interactions between the SH3 domain and the SH2 kinase linker (see Introduction). However, subsequent studies have shown that the amino acid sequence of the linker represents a suboptimal ligand for the isolated SH3 domain (25, 26), suggesting that it may form the PPII helical conformation required for SH3 docking only in the context of the overall structure. To test this hypothesis directly, we determined the x-ray crystal structure of the regulatory region of Hck to 2.6 Å. This protein encompasses the SH3 and SH2 domains as well as the SH2 kinase linker (referred to hereafter as Hck32L) (Fig. 1C). Consistent with the structures of other SH3 and SH2 domains, the Hck32L SH3 domain folds as a β/β-sandwich composed of five β-strands (βa, βb, βc, βd, and βe), and the SH2 domain is composed of a central six-stranded β-sheet (βA, βB, βC, βD, βE, and βF) flanked by two α-helices (αA and αB) (Fig. 1C) (5, 6, 27–31). Superposition of the individual SH3 and SH2 domains in the Hck32L fragment with those from the structures of down-regulated near full-length human Hck and c-Src, as well as SH3-SH2 structures of human Lck and Fyn, demonstrates strong structural conservation among these Hck SH3-SH2 Linker Structure
domains despite varying degrees of sequence identity (Fig. 2 and Table 2).

Relationship of SH3 and SH2 Domains within the Hck32L Crystal Structure—The SH3 and SH2 domains in the Hck32L crystal structure make minimal interdomain contacts, consistent with previous dynamics studies (25). One exception involves the main-chain carbonyls of Arg-139 and Val-140 (SH3 domain), which form salt bridges with the side-chain N\textsubscript{\textgamma} atom of Lys-151 (SH2 domain) (Fig. 3A). Limited SH3-SH2 inter-domain contacts are found in other tandem SH3-SH2 domain arrangements, including those in the Fyn32, Lck32,

| TABLE 2 |
| Sequence and Structural Comparison of Hck32L domains with other SFKs |
| Sequence identity and superposition root mean square deviations (r.m.s.d.) were calculated using Fyn32 (PDB code 1G83), Lck32 (PDB code 1LCK), near full-length Hck (PDB code 1QCF), and near full-length c-Src (PDB code 2SRC) structures with the indicated number of \(\alpha\)-carbon atoms aligned. Superpositions were calculated using secondary structure matching in Coot (20). |
| Hck32L | SH3 domain | | SH2 domain | |
| | Sequence identity | \(\alpha\)-Carbon RMSD | \(\alpha\)-Carbon atoms | Sequence identity | \(\alpha\)-Carbon RMSD | \(\alpha\)-Carbon atoms |
| Fyn32 | 60 | 0.9 | 57 | 62 | 1.3 | 98 |
| Lck32 | 56 | 1.1 | 59 | 71 | 0.9 | 100 |
| Hck | 100 | 0.7 | 57 | 100 | 0.6 | 100 |
| c-Src | 53 | 1.2 | 57 | 54 | 1.1 | 100 |

We next superimposed the SH2 domain of Hck32L with that of the Fyn32 and Lck32 structures and compared the relative orientations of the SH3 domains (Fig. 3B). This analysis shows that the relative orientations of the SH3 and SH2 domains are very similar between the Hck and Fyn structures, with the SH3 domains rotated away from each other by only 17° (angle between axes passing through the center of mass of each domain). However, the Lck SH3 domain orientation significantly differs from Hck and is rotated away from the SH2 domain by 100° relative to the Hck SH3 domain. This comparison demonstrates inter-domain flexibility across SFKs even in the crystal state and is consistent with \(^{15}\text{N}\) NMR relaxation data that showed differences in correlation times between the SH3-SH2 domains of Fyn, Lck, and c-Abl (27, 34, 35).

In near full-length down-regulated Hck, hydrophobic residues on the surface of SH3 (Ile-85, Val-87, and Leu-89) and SH2 (Trp-148, Phe-149, Tyr-184, and Leu-223) domains flank the connector \(3_{10}\)-helix (Fig. 1C), a structural feature observed in other SFKs (5, 6, 27–33). A connector of \(\sim 10\) amino acids joins the SH3 and SH2 domains in the Hck32L structure (residues 140–147 in Hck; all numbering based on the human c-Src crystal structure; PDB code 2SRC). This connector forms a \(3_{10}\)-helix (Fig. 1C), a structural feature observed in other SFKs (5, 6, 27–33).
nector, which has a Pro-Xaa-Pro motif not found in Fyn, Hck, or c-Src. A conserved salt bridge (found in Fyn and c-Src) between a Lys (Lys-151) in the SH3 domain and a Glu (Glu-147) in the SH3-SH2 connector is absent in Lck due to the replacement of Glu with Pro in the Pro-Xaa-Pro motif. Loss of this SH3-connector interaction and the conserved β-turn that precedes the 3_10-helix in the connector, may destabilize a conformation compatible with the SH3 domain orientation found in the down-regulated states of Hck and c-Src. The SH3 domain orientation found in Lck32 may be stabilized by formation of a salt bridge between the main-chain amide of Lys-139 (SH3 domain) and the side-chain carboxylate of Glu-225 (SH2 domain).

The altered SH3 domain orientation observed in our Hck32L structure in comparison with the larger down-regulated Hck structure may be attributed to the loss of the conserved β-turn that precedes the 3_10-helix in the connector, and formation of inter-domain salt bridges between the main-chain carbonyl oxygen atoms of Arg-139 and Val-140 and a side-chain nitrogen of Lys-151 as described above (Fig. 3A). It is noteworthy that molecular dynamics simulations on near full-length down-regulated Hck and c-Src, which modeled the conformational changes involved in inducing the activated state, suggest that coupling between the SH3 and SH2 domains in the down-regulated state is dependent on the connector region. However, upon activation, the β-turn and 3_10-helix are structurally modified, resulting in adoption of a more flexible connector conformation (36). In addition, replacement of c-Src connector residues with glycines induced kinase activation, supporting a role for the connector in suppressing activation (36). Consequently, the conformation of the connector region observed in Hck32L may reflect its structure in an activated state, with the connector functioning as a conformational “switch” to regulate the activity of the kinase. Although the SH3 domain orientation in Hck32L may result from unstructuring of the β-turn preceding the connector and crystal contacts, the domain organization described here is also observed in a solution NMR analysis of an identical Hck32L protein. These observations suggest that activation of Hck by SH3 and/or SH2 domain displacement may lead to a similar reorganization of the SH3-SH2 region initiated by the connector 3_10-helical switch.

SH2 Kinase Linker Is Largely Unstructured in Hck32L—The complete SH2 kinase linker (residues Gly-233 to Glu-261) is present in the Hck32L protein, and electron density for the SH2-proximal linker residues Gly-233 to Met-246 was visible (Fig. 4A). As shown in Fig. 4B, these residues form hydrogen bonds, hydrophobic interactions, and salt bridges with residues in the SH2 domain. Hydrophobic interactions are observed between linker residue Leu-237 and SH2 Tyr-202, Ile-214, and Tyr-230; linker Leu-241 and SH2 Phe-172, Leu-186, Val-188, and Val-227; and linker Pro-244 and SH2 Phe-172. Flanking these hydrophobic interactions are hydrogen bonding and ionic contacts between linker residue Asp-235 and SH2 Arg-217, Ser-218, and His-229; linker Gln-239 and SH2 Asp-190; linker Ser-242 and SH2 Gly-170; and linker Cys-245 and SH2 Gln-161 and Phe-172. Very similar interactions are conserved in the down-regulated form of near full-length Hck (Fig. 4C) and may help to anchor the N-terminal end of the linker in the proper orientation for SH3 domain engagement in the full-length structure.

In the context of the near full-length down-regulated structure of Hck, the SH2 kinase linker makes multiple contacts with both the SH3 and kinase domains that contribute, along with SH2-tail interaction, to maintenance of the down-regulated state (5, 6, 13, 29, 31). SH2-tail interaction orients the linker to permit intercalation between the SH3 and kinase domains, positioning the linker PPII helix for interaction with the SH3 domain. In addition, the linker makes two contacts with the N-lobe of the kinase domain. These contacts involve Trp-254 and Trp-260, which insert into a hydrophobic pocket that is formed by the β-sheet and αC helix of the N-lobe (modeled in Fig. 5). The SH2 kinase linker sequence retained in the Hck32L protein possesses all of the determinants required for PPII helix formation and SH3 engagement observed in the down-regulated structure of near full-length Hck (5, 29). However, analysis of Hck32L crystal packing shows that crystal contacts are formed instead between the SH2 domain and the PPII helix-binding site of the SH3 domain. These crystal contacts are consistent with the occlusion of the linker from the SH3-binding site. In addition, linker disorder in Hck32L may also be due to the loss of the Trp-254 and Trp-260 interactions, which require the presence of the N-lobe of the kinase domain. These observations provide direct structural evidence that without the
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kinase domain, the linker cannot adopt the PPII helical conformation essential for SH3 binding. Our structural findings are supported by previous dynamic analysis of an identical Hck32L construct using hydrogen-deuterium exchange coupled to mass spectrometry, which also failed to detect SH3-linker interaction (25). In addition, this study showed that a peptide based on the linker sequence failed to interact with a tandem Hck SH3-SH2 protein in trans, supporting the idea that the linker is intrinsically unstructured (25). Taken together, these results strongly suggest that the presence of the kinase domain is essential to structure the linker in a PPII helical conformation compatible with SH3 binding and thus plays an important role in driving its own down-regulation.

Activation of Hck-YEEI but Not Src-YEEI by an SH3 Domain Peptide Ligand—The finding that the linker in Hck32L is unstructured and does not interact with the SH3 domain suggests that the native linker sequence is a poor ligand for the SH3 domain, even in the context of full-length down-regulated Hck. In contrast, a recent crystal structure of c-Src, in which the SH2 domain is released from the tail and the kinase adopts an active conformation (37), reveals that the linker remains bound to the SH3 domain despite the loss of almost all kinase domain contacts (modeled in Fig. 6A). This difference suggests that Hck may be more susceptible than c-Src to activation by SH3-directed ligands. To test this hypothesis, we expressed and purified both Hck and c-Src in their near full-length, down-regulated forms. Both proteins also have modified C-terminal tails, in which the natural sequence following the conserved regulatory tyrosine is replaced with pTyr-Glu-Glu-Ile (pYEEI) to enhance SH2-tail interaction (5, 14, 38). This modification allowed us to address the impact of an SH3-directed peptide ligand on kinase activation through the SH3 domain, while keeping the SH2-tail interaction intact.

We first determined the specific activity of each recombinant SFK in vitro with a FRET-peptide substrate (see “Experimental Procedures”). Each kinase was titrated into the assay, and maximal activity was observed with about 100 ng of input kinase per
well (Fig. 6B). Under these conditions, kinase activation most likely results from random collisions of kinase molecules, the frequency of which rises as a function of the amount of kinase protein present. Based on these kinase titration curves, we then chose amounts of Hck-YEEI and c-Src-YEEI that gave similar sub-maximal levels of kinase activity to test the effect of a peptide ligand for the SH3 domain on kinase activation. The peptide ligand used in these studies is known as VSL12, a 12-mer peptide ligand for the SH3 domain on kinase activation. The peptide ligand used in these studies has been shown to bind with high affinity (EC50 for half-maximal Hck activation is 62 ± 10 nM determined by surface plasmon resonance) (16, 26). As shown in Fig. 6C, addition of the VSL12 peptide ligand induced complete activation of Hck-YEEI. The EC50 for half-maximal Hck activation was observed at a kinase:peptide molar ratio between 1:30 and 1:100. In striking contrast, VSL12 had no effect on c-Src-YEEI activity, even at a kinase:peptide ratio of 1:1000. This observation suggests that within full-length Hck, intra-molecular SH3-linker interaction is relatively weak, and the kinase domain is therefore susceptible to activation by SH3-directed ligands that perturb this negative regulatory interaction. In contrast, the inability of the peptide to stimulate c-Src-YEEI suggests that regulation of this kinase may also require SH2-tail displacement. Indeed, previous work has shown that peptides based on focal adhesion kinase, a physiological signaling partner for c-Src, require dual engagement of both the SH3 and SH2 domains for kinase activation in vitro (15). Together with the new Hck32 L crystal structure presented here, our data strongly support the idea that individual Src family members may have inherently different sensitivities to SH3- and SH2-bound inputs despite the overall similarity in the domain organization of their down-regulated conformations. These differences may help to explain their ability to respond in unique ways to specific cellular environments and to serve nonredundant functions even when co-expressed in the same cellular context.
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