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ABSTRACT

This paper introduces the versatile of an electrokinetic technique by using the non-uniform electric field for dielectrophoresis (DEP) application. This technique is defined as electromicrofluidics. The potential application for portable and real time detection method of Enterococcus faecium (EF), Staphylococcus aureus (SA), Klebsiella pneumoniae (KP), Acinetobacter baumannii (AB), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (PA) and Enterobacter aerogenes (EA) which are the (ESKAPE) bacteria. The MATLAB analytical modelling was used in simulating the polarisation factor and velocities of bacteria based on Clausius-Mossotti factor (CMF). The validation of CMF simulation through the DEP to peak (Vp-p) to their input frequencies from 100 to 15000 kHz. The droplet method was deployed to place properly 0.2 μL of sample onto DEP microelectrode. The velocities and crossover frequency (fxo) ranges of bacteria were determined through bacteria trajectory in specific time interval monitored by microscope attached with eye piece camera. The applied range of input frequencies from 100 to 15000 kHz at 6 (Vp-p) for each bacteria were successfully identified the unique ranges of frequencies response for detection application. The advantages of this works are selective with rapid capability for multidrug resistant (MDR) bacteria detection application.
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INTRODUCTION

Over 40 years ago, dielectrophoresis (DEP) technology related to electrokinetic mechanism were explored. Recently, enhancement with integrated microelectrofluidic applications was established. The DEP microelectrofluidic solution is used for the detection, separation, and isolation of biology particles (Buyong et al. 2019; Yunus et al. 2019), bacteria (Rahim et al. 2018), red blood cells (RBC) (Abd Samad et al. 2019; Yunus et al. 2018), and
cancer cells (Jamaludin et al. 2018). Advantages, it is a contactless, simple, free contamination and clean method that uses non-uniform electric field expose to sample without additional of labelling material (Buyong et al. 2019; Cha et al. 2019; D’Amico et al. 2017; Kikkeri et al. 2018; Mohammad et al. 2017; Sadeghian et al. 2017; Shirmohammadi & Manavizadeh 2018; Siebman 2018).

This study was focused on DEP mechanism implementation for bacteria detection of the Enterococcus faecium (EF), Staphylococcus aureus (SA), Klebsiella pneumonia (KP), Acinetobacter baumannii (AB), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (PA) and Enterobacter aerogenes (EA). These bacteria are commonly associated to multidrug resistance (MDR) species (Brooks et al. 2018; González-Bello 2017; Karlowsky et al. 2017; Phoon et al. 2018; Rani et al. 2017; Santajit & Indrawattama 2016). The ESKAPE bacteria are divide into two groups; gram-positive and gram-negative. The gram-positive group includes EF and SA species. The EF species have round shape in pairs or chain arrangement. The SA species also have a round shape, but with grape-like cluster arrangement. The gram-negative group includes KP, AB, PA and EA. The KP is encapsulated and rod in shape. Meanwhile, AB has a rod shape and sometimes round when entering growing stages. The PA is rod in shape. Whereas the EA is gram-negative, rod in shape, and sometimes encapsulated.

Various methods have been developed by scientists for detecting bacteria produced by bacteria especially anti-resistance multidrug bacteria like ESKAPE. Additionally, there are several methods to rapidly detect ESKAPE bacteria. The current method for detecting ESKAPE bacteria is time consuming and complex (Santajit & Indrawattama 2016). The latest ESKAPE detection methods include mass spectrometric analysis (Leung et al. 2017), nitroreductase-triggered fluorescence turn-on probe (NTR) (Xu et al. 2017), T2 bacteria magnetic resonance assay (De Angelis et al. 2018) and isothermal DNA-based assays in a portable degas-actuated microfluidic diagnostic assay platform (Renner et al. 2017). All detection techniques require various steps prior to testing the samples. The Leung et al. (2017) method used the mass spectrometric analysis requires added chemical named ammonium-isobutyrate to isolate ESKAPE bacteria from pure culture or biological specimen to their whole cell lipids. This chemical is important in identifying the unique ‘signature ions’ of each ESKAPE species from their lipid cells by mass spectrometer. Xu et al. (2017) used the NTR technique which also requires additional chemical known as astris buffer solution at pH 7.4, containing 500 mM NADH for identifying all ESKAPE species with fluorescence analysis (FL analysis). Similar to these, Renner et al. (2017) technique also uses FL analysis, but the difference is that the method uses embedded electronics device with electronics circuit, battery, LEDs, and microfluidic channel. Different LEDs produce different intensity of fluorescence effect. This Renner et al. (2017) technique also uses FL intensity to identify ESKAPE bacteria, but it requires a reagent as additional chemical, which is magnesium acetate (MgOAc), during the sample loading step. De Angelis et al. (2018) technique is slightly different than the other methods discussed since it uses magnetic field to identify ESKAPE bacteria, but it needs additional materials like superparamagnetic particles through the binding of attached species-specific probes.

**Figure 1.** a) Schematic of DEP microelectrode configuration and blue arrows of lateral and vertical $F_{DEP}$, b) The ESKAPE bacteria, rod shaped is laterally attracted to top surface of DEP microelectrode when $P_{DEP}$ frequency applied, c) No movement of ESKAPE bacteria when applying frequency $P_{DEP}$ equivalent to $N_{DEP}$ at $f_{xc}$ value, and d) The ESKAPE bacteria is vertically repelled from the top surface of DEP microelectrode to center of channel when $N_{DEP}$ frequency applied.
The introduction of DEP technique is simple and clean method compared to other methods. Not require any added chemical or materials, besides being a contactless method. Furthermore, it is able to give a rapid real time detection and easy to use. The novelty of the proposed technique is using tapered DEP microelectrode. Lateral and vertical DEP forces ($F_{DEP}$) were created by tapered DEP microelectrode, which made it easier to produce the isolation and selection of targeted ESKAPE bacteria. Thus, it is a potentially alternative solution for ESKAPE detection that is rapid and in real time. Figure 1 illustrates the schematic of DEP experimental and DEP responses positive DEP ($P_{DEP}$), negative DEP ($N_{DEP}$), and crossover frequency ($f_{xo}$).

**DEP PRINCIPLE**

There are several types of force manipulation and separation technique, but this paper related to DEP manipulation and separation technology for ESKAPE detection. Based on the literature review, the common types of force are electrics, magnetic, optical, and both electrics & magnetic as tabulated in Table 1. The electric force used in this study was DEP. The DEP is a type of electrokinetic technique that can conduct contactless manipulation and separation of particles using non-uniform electric field intensity (Pethig 2017). The magnetic resonance is a type of magnetic field manipulation. This method uses the superparamagnetic particles to bind with specific targeted bacteria in the presence of external magnetic field. The superparamagnetic particles act as bio tagging material to targeted bacteria, whereas the external magnetic field can create magnetic dipole moment when exposed to the superparamagnetic material and targeted bacteria. Only the specific targeted bacteria can get through the separation channel containing seven channels with specific probes for each. These specific probes are useful for detecting and counting the number of bacteria isolated (De De Angelis et al. 2018). Another type of force is optical force. Generally, this technique uses different intensity of fluorescence with specific bio tagging material or some polymer chains to identify the targeted bacteria or bacteria. The rate of absorption and reflected fluorescence is detected by photodiode and measured to estimate the number of bacteria isolated (Renner et al. 2017; Xu et al. 2017). Lastly, the mass spectrometry invented by Leung et al. (2017) use a combination of the electric and magnetic forces. The ESKAPE sample in this technique was isolated from pure culture or biological specimen and whole cell lipids were extracted by hot ammonium isobutyrate. The lipid extracts were purified and analysed by MALDI-TOF-MS (mass spectrometry). A sample in liquid formed was ionised by bombarding it with electrons. The high energy of electron beam caused the ESKAPE bacteria solution with lipid polymerised molecules to break into charged fragments and charged non-fragments. The electric and magnetic field were subjected to these ions of ESKAPE bacteria solution, which were then separated according to their mass-to-ratio. The heavier ions were not or less deflected compared to the lighter ones. Electron multiplier acts as detector of charged ions. It can differentiate the different ions based on their mass. The results of function of the mass-to-charge ratio were obtained by the signal intensity of detected ions in which the characteristics of fragmentation pattern were known by correlating the masses of an entire molecule to the identified masses.

| Type forces                  | Examples           | Operation              | References               |
|------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Electrics                    | Dielectrophoresis  | Dielectric polarity    | (Pethig 2017)            |
| Magnetics                    | Magnetic resonance | Intensity of magnetic field | (De Angelis et al. 2018) |
| Optical                      | Fluorescence analysis | Intensity of light      | (Renner et al. 2017)     |
| (Xu et al. 2017)             |                    |                        |                          |
| Electrics and magnetics      | Mass spectrometer  | Mass of ions           | (Leung et al. 2017)      |

This study emphasizes on finding $f_{xo}$ from the basic formula of $F_{DEP}$. This enabled the differentiation and identification of the Clausius-Mossotti factor (CMF) for each ESKAPE species. The basic formula (1) of $F_{DEP}$ can be written as:

$$F_{DEP} = 2\pi R^3 \varepsilon_0 \frac{\varepsilon_p - \varepsilon_m}{\varepsilon_p + 2\varepsilon_m} \nabla E^2$$ (1)
The R is particles radius, the polarisation factor or CMF is \( \frac{2(\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_p)}{\varepsilon_m + 2\varepsilon_p} \), \( \varepsilon_m \) is permittivity of medium, \( \varepsilon_p \) is permittivity of particles and the \( \nabla \) is the gradient of squared electric’s field strength (Gascouy et al. 2013; Honegger & Peyrade 2013; Pethig 2013). At the very low frequency of the current and voltage phasors are in phase (Pethig 2013). Thus, the conduction is dominance through the membrane. The conduction behaviour makes it easy for the medium to experience joule heating. Due to high conductivity, it can create bubbles in the medium. On the other hand, at very high frequency, the current phasor leads the voltage phasor by \( \frac{\pi}{2} \) rad, making the permittivity parameter of cell more dominant compared to conductivity. High electrical charge stored in cell and medium can also cause the formation of bubbles (joule heating). At high frequency above than 100 kHz can reduce electrochemistry reaction (electrolysis) that caused the formation of bubbles (Adekanmi & Srivastava 2019). Meanwhile, stated that at frequency range from 100 kHz to a few MHz, the electrothermal effect is raised due to increasing frequency range, which also causes joule heating (Du & Manoochehri 2008). This means that the input frequency above a few MHz can also cause the formation of bubbles. The CMF magnitude polarisation represents ESKAPE pathogen velocity. The transition CMF was from \( P_{\text{DEP}} \) to \( N_{\text{DEP}} \), which was divided into two regions; positive region of \( P_{\text{DEP}} \) where particle is more polarised then medium and negative region (\( N_{\text{DEP}} \)) where particle is less polarised then medium. Meanwhile, at the \( f_0 \) point, the polarisation of \( P_{\text{DEP}} \) or \( N_{\text{DEP}} \) of particle was equal to polarisation of medium. The positive region, initially at low frequency, has high magnitude of \( P_{\text{DEP}} \) represent that the velocity of ESKAPE pathogen was also high. As the input frequency increases, the magnitudes of CMF decreased together with the velocity of ESKAPE pathogen. When it reached 0 magnitude of CMF, the \( P_{\text{DEP}} \) became equal to \( N_{\text{DEP}} \), meaning that there was no movement of ESKAPE bacteria and velocity. The frequency increased after \( f_0 \) became 0 starting from the lowest magnitude of CMF until it reached the highest magnitude of CMF. It also increased the magnitude of the ESKAPE velocity for negative regions.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**FABRICATION PROCESS**

The fabrication process technology of complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor (CMOS) is used in fabricated the Tapered Aluminium Microelectrode Arrays (TAMA) platform (Buyong et al. 2015). The plasma-enhanced chemical vapour deposition (PECVD) silicon oxide (SiO₂) is deposited about 1.15 μm as an insulator on top layer of silicon substrate. Then, the physical-vapour-deposition (PVD) technique is using to deposited about 60/30 nm of a thin adhesion layer of titanium/titanium nitride (Ti/TiN). The PVD is using to deposited following the Ti/TiN deposition a layer of aluminium/silicon/copper Al/Si/Cu (98/1/1 wt. %) with thickness of 4.0 μm. To pattern the square array structure to the Al/Si/Cu layer, photolithography with resist thickness of 4.0 μm including UV cured for hardened photoresist process is performed. Lastly, by using inductive coupled plasma (ICP) etcher with advance plasma resist strip, Al/Si/Cu is being etched. The process flow of fabrication steps is presented in Figure 2(a) until 2(f).

**FIGURE 2. Schematic of process flow for TAMA fabrication (Buyong et al. 2019)**
ESKAPE SAMPLES PREPARATION

The *ESKAPE* pathogens were obtained from the Novel Antibiotic Laboratory at the Faculty of Health Sciences, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia Kuala Lumpur (UKMKL) in formed culture petri dish. The first step of sample preparation is started by sterilising all equipment such as wire loop and agar petri dish that contain individual colonies of *ESKAPE* bacteria using the Bunsen burner. The wire loop was heated up until it looks like ‘red flammable’ and then, the temperature was reduced in a few seconds. After that, the white spot on the agar dish was scratched directly. The white spot was gently scratched only on the surface. The flame of Bunsen burner was retained open to ensure that the environment was still in a sterilised condition while making the bacteria suspension medium. Subsequent, the tiny dot of white spot was transferred from the agar incubated dish to the test tube containing 1 mL DI water. The DI water was stirred with wire loop inside the test tube until all tiny white spots on the wire loop were completely dissolved. The DI water with low permittivity of 78 F m⁻¹ and conductivity of 0.0002 S m⁻¹ was used for medium bacteria suspension preparation to reduce the joule heating effect. The wire loop was then removed and the cap of the test tube was immediately closed. The test tube was gently shaken to ensure that the bacteria suspension was completely dissolved.

ANALYTICAL MODELLING

The analytical modelling of polarisation factors is using Maxwell–Wagner effect for CMF analysis. The analytical modelling from complex number for extraction unique identifications of *ESKAPE* was done using MATLAB software. The analytical modelling of *ESKAPE* was derived from dielectric properties of *ESKAPE* and medium permittivity and conductivity values. The dielectric properties of permittivity and conductivity values are defined using the $f_{xo}$ for all *ESKAPE* species.

DEP EXPERIMENTAL WORK

Based on the DEP experimental work, the physiological state of *ESKAPE* bacteria can be translated into dielectric properties to determine the $F_{DEP}$, $P_{DEP}$ or $N_{DEP}$ responses and the $f_{xo}$. Therefore, the analytical modelling CMF was validated by experimental DEP using tapered DEP microelectrode, TAMA to observe the actual of *ESKAPE* bacteria by observing $P_{DEP}$, $N_{DEP}$ and $f_{xo}$ responses. Determination of range $f_{xo}$ based on equation (1) use for *ESKAPE* bacteria detection, where the $f_{xo}$ is related to $F_{DEP}$ of $P_{DEP}$ is equal to $N_{DEP}$. Once input frequency applied are increased or decreased in non-uniform electric field distribution, $F_{DEP}$ responses will expose to *ESKAPE* bacteria cause the movement at different velocity. At certain frequency range, if there is no *ESKAPE* bacteria movement then it is defined as $f_{xo}$.

The experimental setup consisted of microscope (STM-6 Olympus Japan), eyepiece camera (AM7025X Dino-Eye Edge), function generator (IWATSU SG-4105), micro glass covers with dimension of 20 × 20 mm, prober, and tapered DEP microelectrode. The micropipette was used to drop 0.2 μL of *ESKAPE* bacteria suspension on tapered DEP microelectrode with polymide well having 2080 × 2080 μm of dimension. Micro cover glass was put on top of the DEP microelectrode to ensure that the *ESKAPE* bacteria droplets were properly confined. The DEP experimental setup for *ESKAPE* bacteria detection is illustrated in Figure 3.

FIGURE 3. The illustration of DEP experimental setup for *ESKAPE* detection
MEASUREMENT OF ESKAPE VELOCITY

The measurement of ESKAPE velocity was based on video recording captured by eye-piece camera. From the video frame, the displacement of ESKAPE pathogen was identified with recorded time for velocity measurement analysis. The displacement between two points was done under condition P_DEP and N_DEP. In detail, for the case of P_DEP, the displacement range was 5 μm between of two microelectrode edges, which was to the top surface microelectrode. In contrast, N_DEP was from top surface of microelectrode to edges in between microelectrode with the similar displacement of 5 μm.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

ANALYTICAL CMF MODELLING

Based on the analytical modelling of CMF simulation, the detection frequency range was used from 100 until 15000 kHz, showed in Figure 4. The physical differences ESKAPE bacteria produced different frequency response. This is proven by referring \( f_\infty \) differences for each ESKAPE bacterium. Equation (1) was use to identify values of each ESKAPE CMF. The CMF calculations are based on the parameter of shape and ranges size as tabled in Table 2. The unit of round-shaped bacteria was measured in μm as diameter meanwhile for rod-shaped bacteria were measured as a dimension of length by width, also the unit in μm.

TABLE 2. The ranges size/ dimension of ESKAPE pathogens

| Pathogens species | Shape | Size/Dimension in μm          | References                 |
|-------------------|-------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| EF                | Round | ~0.75 - 0.76                 | (Lalam et al. 2015)        |
| S4                | Round | ~0.50 - 1.00                 | (Gnanamani et al. 2017)    |
| KP                | Rod   | ~1.29 × 0.58                 | (Rajeshwari et al. 2009)   |
| AB                | Rod   | ~1.50-2.50 × ~1.00-1.50      | (Almasaudi 2018)           |
| PA                | Rod   | ~2.0 × 0.50                  | (Vater et al. 2014)        |
| EA                | Rod   | ~1.45 × 0.70                 | (Diene et al. 2013)        |
EXPERIMENTAL RESULT

This study has successfully conducted the DEP experiment for all six species of the ESKAPE bacteria. The $f_{xo}$ ranges of EF, SA, KP, AB, PA, and EA were 11000 to 11100 kHz, 6000 to 10000 kHz, 7100 kHz, 6100 to 7000 kHz, 10000 to 12000 kHz, and 1200 to 1300 kHz, respectively. Meanwhile, the $P_{DEP}$ range responses for EF, SA, KP, AB, PA, and EA were at 900 to 10900 kHz, 100 to 5900 kHz, 100 to 7000 kHz, 100 to 6000 kHz, 100 to 9900 kHz, and 300 to 1100 kHz, respectively. For $N_{DEP}$ responses of EF, SA, KP, AB, PA, and EA, their input frequencies were 11200 to 15000 kHz, 11000 to 14000 kHz, 7500 to 15000 kHz, 7500 to 10000 kHz, 13000 to 15000 kHz, and 1400 to 1500 kHz, respectively. It is proven that the tapered DEP microelectrode has the ability for detection of the ESKAPE bacteria. Based on their different sizes and shapes that formulated into $f_{xo}$ of CMF consisted permittivity and conductivity then represent as dielectric properties. Figure 5 shows example of EA species, (a)–(b) the $P_{DEP}$ responses when applied 6 V$_{pp}$ at the 300 kHz frequency. $P_{DEP}$ response occurred $EA$ species were attracted to the top surface of tapered DEP microelectrode, due high intensity of electric field at the top surface of tapered DEP microelectrode compared to in between microelectrode. Since the $EA$ species were more polarised then the medium at applied input frequency. Furthermore, Figure 5(c)–5(d) shows that 6 V$_{pp}$ at 5000 kHz was identified as $N_{DEP}$ response. All $EA$ species were repelled to the centre of the tapered DEP microelectrode. This region has the low intensity of electric field, resulted the $EA$ species to accumulate in between the microelectrode. In this condition as applied input frequency $EA$ species were less polarised then the medium. Figure 5(e)–5(f) shows that input applied of 6 V$_{pp}$ at 1200 kHz, no movement for all $EA$ species because there was no difference in $F_{DEP}$. Which means that $P_{DEP}$ was equal to $N_{DEP}$ defined as $f_{xo}$ for $EA$ species detection. The white arrows in Figure 5(a)–5(b) and Figure 5(c)–5(d) illustrate the movement of $EA$ bacteria when electric field was applied at initial 0 s to final stage 10 s, respectively.

![FIGURE 5. EA species (a) Initial condition at 6 V$_{pp}$ at 300 kHz, (b) $P_{DEP}$ response after 10 s, (c) Initial condition at 6 V$_{pp}$ at 5000 kHz, (d) $N_{DEP}$ response after 10 s, (e) Initial condition at 6 V$_{pp}$ at 1200 kHz, and (f) $f_{xo}$ response, no movement after 10 s](image-url)
ANALYSIS OF ESKAPE VELOCITY

Based on the DEP experimental, the velocity against input frequency curves for ESKAPE bacteria species were constructed as shown in Figure 6(a). The EF species showed five peaks for $P_{\text{DEP}}$ and two peaks for $N_{\text{DEP}}$. The highest $P_{\text{DEP}}$ velocity for $EF$ was 3.81 $\mu$m s$^{-1}$ at 200 kHz of input frequency, whereas the highest $N_{\text{DEP}}$ velocity was 0.45 $\mu$m s$^{-1}$ at 11800 kHz. The $f_{\text{so}}$ was determined when no movement of $EF$ bacteria. The $f_{\text{so}}$ for $EF$ was at the range of 11000 until 11800 kHz of input frequencies as shown in Figure 6(a). Subsequently, the $SA$ species showed two peaks for $P_{\text{DEP}}$ and one peak for $N_{\text{DEP}}$. The highest $P_{\text{DEP}}$ velocity was 9.52 $\mu$m s$^{-1}$ at 5000 kHz while the highest $N_{\text{DEP}}$ velocity was 19.04 $\mu$m at 14000 kHz. The $f_{\text{so}}$ for $SA$ species ranging from 6000 until 10000 kHz is as shown in Figure 6(a). The $KP$ species showed five peaks for $P_{\text{DEP}}$ and two peaks for $N_{\text{DEP}}$. The highest $P_{\text{DEP}}$ velocity was 4.39 $\mu$m s$^{-1}$ at 2000 kHz while the highest $N_{\text{DEP}}$ velocity was 0.24 $\mu$m s$^{-1}$ at 7200 kHz. The $f_{\text{so}}$ was at 7100 kHz of input frequency as in Figure 6(a). The $AB$ species showed five peaks for $P_{\text{DEP}}$ and one peak for $N_{\text{DEP}}$. The highest $P_{\text{DEP}}$ velocity was 5.85 $\mu$m s$^{-1}$ at 3000 kHz while the highest $N_{\text{DEP}}$ velocity was 0.40 $\mu$m s$^{-1}$ at 7500 kHz. The $f_{\text{so}}$ of $AB$ was ranged from 6100 until 7000 kHz. The $AB$ curve is displayed in Figure 6(a). The $PA$ species demonstrated four peaks for $P_{\text{DEP}}$ and one peak for $N_{\text{DEP}}$. The highest $P_{\text{DEP}}$ velocity was 12.4 $\mu$m s$^{-1}$ at 3000 kHz and the highest $N_{\text{DEP}}$ velocity was 0.28 $\mu$m s$^{-1}$ at 13000 kHz. The $f_{\text{so}}$ for $PA$ was ranged from 10000 until 12000 kHz as shown in Figure 6(a). Lastly, the $EA$ species displayed one peak for $P_{\text{DEP}}$ and one peak for $N_{\text{DEP}}$. The highest $P_{\text{DEP}}$ velocity was 80 $\mu$m s$^{-1}$ at 1100 kHz and the highest $N_{\text{DEP}}$ velocity was 40 $\mu$m s$^{-1}$ at range frequency of 8000 until 10000 kHz. Meanwhile, their $f_{\text{so}}$ frequency was ranged from 1200 until 1300 kHz. The $EA$ velocity curve is as shown in Figure 6(a). From further analysis of velocity ESKAPE bacteria we can produce the secondary data and plotted the frequencies range for $P_{\text{DEP}}$ and $N_{\text{DEP}}$ in Figure 6(b). The $P_{\text{DEP}}$ response for $EF$ species is range in 100 until 11000 kHz and for $N_{\text{DEP}}$ is range in 11800 until 15000 kHz. The $SA$ species for $P_{\text{DEP}}$ is range in 100 until 6000 kHz meanwhile for $N_{\text{DEP}}$ is range in 10000 until 14000 kHz. The $KP$ species for $P_{\text{DEP}}$ is range in 100 until 7000 kHz but for $N_{\text{DEP}}$ is range in 7200 until 15000 kHz. The $AB$ species for $P_{\text{DEP}}$ is range in 100 until 6100 kHz. Meanwhile, for $N_{\text{DEP}}$ response is range in 7000 until 10000 kHz. The $PA$ species for $P_{\text{DEP}}$ is range in 100 until 10000 kHz and their $N_{\text{DEP}}$ response is range in 12000 until 15000 kHz. Then, lastly the $EA$ species for $P_{\text{DEP}}$ is range in 100 until 1100 kHz. Meanwhile, for their $N_{\text{DEP}}$ response is range in 1300 until 15000 kHz. Figure 6(b) is illustrated the working frequency range for $P_{\text{DEP}}$ and $N_{\text{DEP}}$ by left-right arrows. The coloured box was showed the $f_{\text{so}}$ ranges for each ESKAPE species. Based on the velocity curve in Figure 6(b), a step of determining a $f_{\text{so}}$ of two or more ESKAPE bacteria having over-lapping dielectrophoretic frequency responses, $f_{\text{so}}$ was defined. In the case of $SA$
and KP, the detection KP was easier because there was only one point of $f_x$. However, for SA species there was a wide range of DEP crossover between 6000 and 10000 kHz. This is due to different physical size and shape of SA and KP, thus, different range of $f_x$ was produced. The SA species physically have round shape-grape arrangement (Gnanamani et al. 2017) and a wide frequency range as shown in this study. Based on the SA species bacteria cell arrangement, the total surface area was increased due to the grape-shaped arrangement. Thus, the equation of $F_{DEP}$ proved that the dielectrics values were also increased. In contrast, the KP species have a rod shape but exist in individual arrangement (Rajeshwari et al. 2009). This has caused a low surface area compared to that of SA species. The dielectric values of KP were also decreased, which was proved by DEP experiment showing only one $f_x$ point at 7100 kHz. Furthermore, in this study three ESKAPE species namely SA, KP, and AB were overlapped at certain $f_x$ range points (7100 kHz and 6100 to 7000 kHz) as showed in Figure 6(b). The smallest range of the black box of KP; the medium of range of the brown box of AB were intercepted in bigger yellow box of SA due to bigger range of $f_x$. Detection these three ESKAPE species using the lowest and highest ranges of $f_x$ were utilised by identified the uniqueness of their own different of dielectric properties for ESKAPE detection species. Similar method also is implemented to another three of ESKAPE species namely P4 and EF were overlapped at certain $f_x$ also EA species.

**DISCUSSION**

The shape and size of each ESKAPE species was not identical. The average size of ESKAPE species was below 2 µm. In fact, range size of each ESKAPE species was between 1 and 2 µm. Therefore, based on the shape and size produced different dielectric values resulted unique $f_x$ for rapid ESKAPE bacteria detection method using tapered DEP microelectrodes via $f_x$ analysis. The results of maximum and average speed for each ESKAPE bacteria were listed in Table 3.

| Species | Speed of movement (µm s$^{-1}$) | Average of speed (µm s$^{-1}$) |
|---------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| $EF$    | 3.81                            | 0.45                          | 2.13                          |
| $SA$    | 9.52                            | 19.04                         | 14.28                         |
| $KP$    | 4.39                            | 0.24                          | 2.31                          |
| $AB$    | 5.85                            | 0.40                          | 3.13                          |
| $PA$    | 12.4                            | 0.28                          | 6.34                          |
| $EA$    | 80                              | 40                            | 60                            |

The higher speed movement of $F_{DEP}$ response was $EA$ species, 80 µm s$^{-1}$ for $P_{DEP}$ and 40 µm s$^{-1}$ for $N_{DEP}$ with an average speed of 60 µm s$^{-1}$. Meanwhile, the lower speed movement is $EF$ species with 3.81 µm s$^{-1}$ for $P_{DEP}$ and 0.45 µm s$^{-1}$ for $N_{DEP}$ with an average speed of 2.13 µm s$^{-1}$. The $EF$ and $SA$ bacteria have round-shaped and the smallest sizes compared to $KP$, $AB$, $PA$, and $EA$ species. However, $EF$ and $SA$ velocities are lower because of the arrangement in colonies such as grape-like clusters and pair-chains. It makes heavier $F_{DEP}$ for levitated these two species of bacteria $EF$ and $SA$. The rest of the bacteria for four species $KP$, $AB$, $PA$, and $EA$ are exited in individual orientation, not in colony form. In general, $KP$, $AB$, $PA$, and $EA$ have rod-shaped with ranged dimensions were ~1.29 to 2.0 µm in length by ~0.50 to 1.50 µm in width. It was a larger range of sizes compared to $PA$ and $SA$ species. It also means that $KP$, $AB$, and $PA$ have heavier $F_{DEP}$ for levitate these kinds of bacteria. Special case for $EA$, there has the addition structure coved at the surface of the rod, it called pilus and flagella. It was like a hair structure, used for bacteria swimming and reproduction. The $EA$ species existed in individual but the pilus and flagella make the bacteria attached to each other when the electric field applied in DEP experimental. Its surface area increases and make heavier. With the increasing value of frequencies, the effectiveness of $F_{DEP}$ also increases then it can levitate the $EA$ bacteria attached each with a larger surface area. Initially, it has low momentum due to low
frequencies range but after it achieved maximum $F_{\text{DEP}}$ effectiveness at 1100 kHz of $P_{\text{DEP}}$ and 8000 until 10000 kHz of $N_{\text{DEP}}$. Therefore, it generated the high momentum and then produced the maximum velocity of 80 $\mu$m s$^{-1}$ of $P_{\text{DEP}}$ and 40 $\mu$m s$^{-1}$ of $N_{\text{DEP}}$ respectively. This proved each ESKAPE bacteria has a unique morphology that influenced their dielectric properties due to different shapes, sizes and arrangements. Meaning that when we are increasing or decreasing the input frequency value, this resulted in the differences of $F_{\text{DEP}}$ responses based on each of ESKAPE dielectric properties. The experimental DEP result indicated that only $E4$ species have the different $f_{\text{so}}$ range from 1200 until 1300 kHz compared to that of the other five species. This means that there was no intersection to other $f_{\text{so}}$ ranges of other five species ESKAPE. The $P4$ species have $f_{\text{so}}$ range of 10000 to 12000 kHz, which intercepted $f_{\text{so}}$ of $SA$ and $EF$ at 10000 kHz and 11000 to 11200 kHz, respectively. The $SA$, $f_{\text{so}}$ also intercepted $AB$ at range of 6100 to 7000 kHz. The $SA$ and $KP$ were intercepted at 7100 kHz. Therefore, the species detection of mixtures for $EF$ and $PA$ species utilizes the maximum range of $f_{\text{so}}$ was used for $EF$ followed by the minimum $f_{\text{so}}$ range for $PA$. Meanwhile for $SA$ species detection, maximum $f_{\text{so}}$ was used. The $EA$ species showed no intersection of $f_{\text{so}}$ to another five species of ESKAPE, suggesting that their $f_{\text{so}}$ range can be used directly as in Figure 6(b). The detecting $AB$ and $KP$ species was quiet challenging as their $f_{\text{so}}$ ranges overlapped to the huge $SA$ $f_{\text{so}}$ range. Overall, DEP method is potentially and suitable for ESKAPE species detection method. However, further investigation on similar physical size and the $f_{\text{so}}$ need to be explore. Table 4 was listed and summarized all the ESKAPE species detection technique based on our discussions in the introduction section. The comparison DEP result was included the detection method, time constrain, and advantages and disadvantages.

**TABLE 4. The comparison of current technologies used for ESKAPE bacteria detection with tapered DEP microelectrode technique**

| Detection method | Time required | Advantages/ Disadvantages |
|------------------|---------------|---------------------------|
| Nuclear Imaging  | 60 min        | Advantages:  
  i. Rapid detection  
Disadvantages:  
  i. Required buffer solution  
  ii. Complicated system |
| Optics imaging   | 30 min        | Advantages:  
  ii. Rapid detection  
  iii. Portable  
Disadvantages:  
  i. Required solvent |
| Magnetic Resonance | 300 min  | Advantages:  
  i. Automated  
Disadvantages:  
  i. Marker tagging  
  ii. Not portable  
  iii. Expensive |
CONCLUSION

The DEP detection based on shape and size of ESKAPE bacteria were approximately average from 1 to 2 μm resulted in the f_so overlap. Therefore, there was a wide frequency range observed due to different geometrical size and shapes of round and rod among the ESKAPE bacteria. The utilization of f_so has overlapping differences, which have the potential to formulate the detection based on the lowest and highest ranges of f_so for each ESKAPE bacteria. Thus, the f_so were defined as potential solution for rapid ESKAPE bacteria detection method using tapered DEP microelectrodes via f_so analysis.
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