Nanobody-based immunosensing methods for safeguarding public health
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Abstract

Immunosensing methods are biosensing techniques based on specific recognition of an antigen–antibody immunocomplex, which have become commonly used in safeguarding public health. Taking advantage of antibody-related biotechnological advances, the utilization of an antigen-binding fragment of a heavy-chain-only antibody termed as 'nanobody' holds significant biomedical potential. Compared with the conventional full-length antibody, a single-domain nanobody retaining cognate antigen specificity possesses remarkable physicochemical stability and structural adaptability, which enables a flexible and efficient molecular design of the immunosensing strategy. This minireview aims to summarize the recent progress in immunosensing methods using nanobody targeting tumor markers, environmental pollutants, and foodborne microbes.
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Introduction

In principle, an immunosensing method is a biosensing strategy based on specific recognition between an antigen and an antibody, which measures the signals of a broad biological target by a concentration-dependent approach[1]. Thus far, the reported immunosensing methods mainly utilize enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays, photo-/electrochemical immunosensors, and chemiluminescence assays. Compared with other established analytical methods, such as chromatographic and mass spectrometric analysis, an immunosensing method offers many advantages including high sensitivity, portability, rapid rate, and miniaturization potential for point-of-care testing[2–6]. In particular, immunosensors employ certain antibodies immobilized on an electrode surface as molecular probes to directly capture target molecules for signal read-out, and have witnessed a rapid growth in many aspects including diseases diagnosis[7–9], environmental pollution monitoring[10–12], and pathogenic microorganism detection[5,13].

It is known that in immunosensing methods, the
stably formed immunocomplex between the targeted antigen and antibody \( (K_a = 10^{10} \text{M}^{-1}) \) on the biosensing interface is responsible for their high sensitivity and selectivity\(^{[14]}\). A single-domain nanobody consists of an antigen-binding variable region, which is different from the traditional antibodies, and it achieves versatile biomedical applications owing to its retained cognate antigen-binding specificity, unique compact structure, and excellent physicochemical properties\(^{[15–16]}\). Historically, after Hamers-Casterman et al first identified a heavy-chain-only antibody from the Camelidae family in 1993, the term nanobody originated from its nanosized structure\(^{[17]}\), which was formed by an autonomous single variable region, also called as VHH\(^{[18]}\). As shown in **Fig. 1**, the biotech production of an antigen-specific nanobody is as follows\(^{[19]}\). Lymphocytes are collected from an immunized camel, to extract the mRNA. Subsequently, the cDNA is synthesized and packed into a phagemid. Following, the phage library is constructed for the selection of the antigen-specific nanobody. Finally, the nanobody-encoded gene is subcloned into an expression vector to produce a soluble nanobody.

Several attributes of a nanobody are beneficial for the novel design and practices of immunosensing methods. In conventional full-length immunoglobulin G, hypervariable loops in the variable domain form a paratope complementary to the epitope on the antigen, which are referred to as complementary determining regions (CDRs) 1, 2, and 3\(^{[20]}\). In comparison, in a nanobody, the CDR3 loops are relatively longer\(^{[21]}\), which enable more efficient contact with the buried surface area on the antigen, which may be inaccessible by conventional antibodies. Moreover, a nanobody is highly soluble with excellent physicochemical stability\(^{[22]}\), and disulphide bond formation and glycosylation are not required for a nanobody to retain its antigen-binding properties\(^{[14]}\), making the scale-up production of nanobodies of a recombinant form feasible\(^{[23]}\). Additionally, a nanobody populates in a minimal size of approximately 15 kDa, which results in a shorter circulatory half-life and improved penetration ability than the full-sized antibody\(^{[24]}\).

There are many studies and related reviews on immunosensing methods, such as immunosensors. In this minireview, we do not aim to provide a comprehensive review about the progress in this field, instead, we mainly focus on the recent reports related to public health applications of a nanobody: clinical diagnosis, detection of pathogenic organisms, and environmental pollutions.

**Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay**

Owing to its operational convenience and cost-effectiveness, for decades, the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) has been one of the

---

**Fig. 1 Preparation of antigen-specific nanobody.** A healthy Bactrian camel is immunized, and the mRNA is extracted from collected lymphocytes. Then, the cDNA is synthesized and packed into the phagemid. Next, the phage library is constructed for the selection of the antigen-specific nanobody. Finally, the nanobody-encoded gene is subeloned into an expression vector for the expression of nanobody. VHH: camelid heavy-chain only antibodies.
most commonly used diagnostic methods both in clinics and laboratories to detect target antigens in biological systems. It is based on specific antigen–antibody interactions. The analytical performance of ELISA is closely related to the affinity, specificity, and availability of the antibody used. However, the variance between batches of polyclonal antibodies and the deterioration of the hybridomas of monoclonal antibodies in storage have raised serious concerns regarding the reproducibility of the ELISA kit. Additionally, traditional antibodies are glycosylated proteins, their production is time-consuming, and they are difficult to be massively expressed in heterologous systems. By contrast, a nanobody can undergo genetic modification, which can be easily achieved in a prokaryotic system. Hammock et al. reported a sandwich immunoassay based on a double-nanobody strategy to determine human-soluble epoxide hydrolase, a biomarker of metabolic, cardiovascular, and chronic kidney diseases. In the assay, nanobody A9 was first used as the capture antibody. Because passive adsorption of the antibody to polystyrene microplates may lead to loss of its binding capability, the capture nanobody was efficiently immobilized on the plates through streptavidin–biotin linkages. Subsequently, horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-labelled nanobody A1 synthesized via periodate oxidation (Maraprade reaction) was used as the detection antibody. The constructed ELISA kit achieved high sensitivity and a low limit of detection (LOD) (0.03 ng/mL), and was successfully applied to human tissue samples with good recovery and negligible cross-reactivity. The study demonstrated a good prototype of a nanobody-based ELISA kit for various targeted biological molecules.

In addition to disease-related biomarkers, a nanobody-based immunoassay can be used for monitoring environmental pollution. As shown in Fig. 2B, Xu et al. developed three types of nanobody-based ELISA—monovalent (Nb1), bivalent (Nb2), and trivalent (Nb3)—to evaluate tetrabromobisphenol-A, in which the nanobody was conjugated with bacterial magnetic particles-streptavidin-biotin-Nbs (BMP-SA-Biotin-Nbs). It was found that the trivalent nanobody having high binding capability successfully applied to human tissue samples with good recovery and negligible cross-reactivity. The study demonstrated a good prototype of a nanobody-based ELISA kit for various targeted biological molecules.

![Fig. 2 Application of nanobodies as recognition molecules in enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays.](image)

- **A**: Schematic comparison of two types of sandwich ELISA formats for human-soluble epoxide hydrolase determination. Figure adapted from Hammock et al. (2020). B: Preparation of BMP-SA-Biotin-Nbs and calibration of BMP-SA-Biotin-Nbs-based assay for analysis of tetrabromobisphenol-A. Figure adapted from Xu et al. (2020). C: Preparation of two different types of luminescent strategies for the detection of tenuazonic acid. Figure adapted from Hammock et al. (2020). D: Schematics of nanobodies screening and design of developed CELISA for evaluation of Newcastle disease virus. Figure adapted from Zhao et al. (2021). VHH: camelid heavy-chain only antibodies; sEH: soluble epoxide hydrolase determination; HRP: horseradish peroxidase; TMB: 3,3’5,5’-tetramethylbenzidine; MSR-1: The Magnetospirillum gryphiswaldense strain; BMP: bacterial magnetic particles; GA: glutaraldehyde; SA: streptavidin; Nb: nanobody; TBBPA: Tetrabromobisphenol-A; T5: a synthesized hapten of TBBPA, 6,6-bis(3,5-dibromo-4-hydroxyphenyl) heptanoic acid; ELISA: enzyme linked immunosorbent assay; CLEIA: chemiluminescent enzyme immunosorbent assay; BLEIA: bioluminescent enzyme immunosorbent assay; TeA: Alternaria mycotoxin tenuazonic acid; Nluc: nanoluciferase.
exhibited improved analytical performance. In addition, BMP-SA-Biotin-Nb3-based assay possessed a linear range of 0.11–2.1 ng/mL with an LOD of 0.03 ng/mL. The determination results were consistent with those of liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry, whereas the 30-minute assay time of the nanobody-based ELISA was relatively shorter than that of the mass spectrometric analysis. Furthermore, BMP-SA-Biotin-Nbs could be reused for thrice without apparent loss of the binding capability of the nanobodies.

Foodborne mycotoxin has posed serious threat to public health. To monitor the contamination of mycotoxins, two types of nanobody-based sandwich immunosassays—chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay (CLEIA) and bioluminescent enzyme immunoassay (BLEIA)—have been developed (Fig. 2C)[29]. In the CLEIA, a common monovalent nanobody acted as the capture antibody, whereas a nanoluciferase-fused nanobody was employed in the BLEIA. For the determination of tenuazonic acid, both assays showed high sensitivity, satisfactory recoveries, and selectivity with LODs of 0.3 and 1.1 ng/mL for the CLEIA and the BLEIA, respectively.

To detect the Newcastle disease virus, an avian virus that has caused severe economic loss in the poultry industry worldwide[30], Zhao et al established a nanobody-based competitive enzyme-linked immunoassay (cELISA), in which HRP was fused to a nanobody (Fig. 2D)[31]. The cut-off value of the cELISA was 18%, and its sensitivity and specificity were 100% and 98.6%, respectively, which were higher than those of the hemagglutination inhibition test and the commercial ELISA kit. Therefore, the proposed method in combination with the above-mentioned nanobody-based ELISA assays provided an advantageous solution for detecting biologically important molecules.

**Electrochemical immunosensor**

There are inherent disadvantages in enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays, such as dissatisfactory sensitivity. An electrochemical immunosensor is a powerful analytical method for the quantification of target molecules, which can provide rapid and accurate detection results owing to the well separation of the biorecognition and the signal output. An electrochemical immunoassaying system is composed of biorecognition molecules, transduction elements, and read-out equipment. The biorecognition element, which is typically a capture antibody or antigen, is immobilized on an electrode interface, and the chemical information of an analyte, such as concentration, is detected and transformed into electric signals for measurement[32]. Wan et al reported nanobody-based electrochemical impedance spectroscopy for sensitive detection of testosterone, a biomarker of cardiac function and many diseases (Fig. 3A)[33]. The nanobody was immobilized on a glassy carbon electrode (GCE) via biotin and streptavidin linkages. The resulting calibration curve showed a wide linear range from 0.05 to 5 ng/mL with an LOD of 0.045 ng/mL. _Alexandrium minutum_ as a typical environmental pollutant has a progressively negative impact on the ecological environment. To deal with this problem, Ario de Marco et al established a nanobody-functionalized electrochemical immunosensor for monitoring the toxic microalgalae (Fig. 3B)[34]. Desirable _Alexandrium minutum_ detection results were achieved with the excellent design of a nanobody-immobilized sensing interface on a GCE. Specifically, first the electrode was modified with Au nanoparticles conjugated with L-cysteine to obtain a self-assembled monolayer. An _Alexandrium minutum_ specific-nanobody was fused with SpyTag (a peptide isolated from _Streptococcus pyogenes_), which can bind to a protein partner via an amide bond in a few minutes[35] and subsequently immobilized on a GCE modified with SpyCatcher (a protein partner). Charge transfer resistance changes were recorded using electrochemical impedance spectroscopy to quantify the _Alexandrium minutum_ cells. In addition to a wide linear range of the calibration curve of _Alexandrium minutum_ (10^3–10^9 cells/L) with an LOD of 3×10^4 cells/L, the developed immunoassay displayed high sensitivity and reproducibility, which are useful for the determination of environmental pollutants. Genetically modified crops have generated considerable concerns regarding food safety. Shen et al constructed an electrochemical immunosensor combined with a nanobody to monitor a genetically modified crop using biomarker _Agrobacterium_ sp. strain CP4 protein (CP4-EPSPS) (Fig. 3C)[36]. In the assay, an ordered mesoporous carbon having excellent thermal/mechanical stability and conductivity and Au nanoparticles were modified on a GCE to attach a redox probe, thionine (Th). As a capture agent, the anti-CP4-EPSPS nanobody was covalently bound to the modified GCE via a reaction between 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylamino) propyl) carbodiimide (EDC) and N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS). CP4-EPSPS could be detected with high sensitivity and specificity. The
resulting calibration curve showed a linear range from 0.001 to 100 ng/mL with an LOD of 0.72 pg/mL, which is potentially applicable for screening genetically modified crops.

The pandemic of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (also called COVID-19) has caused the death of millions of people and huge economic losses worldwide[37–38]. To retard the transmission of the infection, molecular diagnosis of the virus with high sensitivity is crucial. Sahika et al developed nanobody-functionalized electrochemical immunosensors for the detection of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 virus. Adapted from Ario de Marco et al[39], for the detection of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus, a spike-specific nanobody fused with the SpyCatcher protein was immobilized on an electrode interface through a SpyTag/SpyCatcher linkage. The overall assay could be completed within 15 minutes using 5 μL of the samples with a wide detection range from attomolar to nanomolar and an LOD of 23 fmol/L. The sensitivity of the proposed method was comparable to those of commercial ones; however, the assay time was relatively shorter. Thus, an electrochemical immunosensor provides a promising approach for rapid and sensitive detection of various pathogenic and environmental microbes.

**Photoelectrochemical immunosensor**

A photoelectrochemical (PEC) immunosensor includes a photoelectric conversion unit in which immobilized photoactive materials are light-excited and the produced charges are transferred for the detection of target molecules[40]. Therefore, in addition to the specific recognition of immunocomplexes, a PEC immunosensor enables detection with a low interference background, excellent sensitivity, a rapid response, miniaturized equipment, and photoelectrochemical technique[41]. Typically, a PEC immunosensing system is composed of a light source, reaction cell, and three-electrode-equipped working system (working, reference, and counter electrodes). Immunochemical compounds as biomolecule probes are first immobilized on the working photoactive electrode. After the immunoreaction process, the resulting steric hindrance effect caused by the formation of immunocomplexes, a PEC immunosensor can provide detection with a low interference background, excellent sensitivity, and fast response.
build the sensing interface with efficient loading of the photoactive components and the nanobody\textsuperscript{[43]}.

Liu et al developed a fragile PEC immunosensor combining a nanobody for the analysis of serum cystatin C, a representative biomarker for the evaluation of glomerular filtration rate and other diseases (Fig. 4A)\textsuperscript{[44]}. The photoactive anode was functionalized with TiO\textsubscript{2} nanotube arrays, providing enhanced and stable photocurrent responses owing to the uniform interfacial structure and high surface area of the TiO\textsubscript{2} oriented on the sensing interface. After further conjugation of a detecting nanobody on the electrode, the constructed PEC immunosensor exhibited remarkable inter- and intra-assay accuracy, selectivity, and stability. A wide linear detection range was found from 0.72 pmol/L to 7.19 nmol/L with an LOD of 0.14 pmol/L.

Although TiO\textsubscript{2} is an excellent photoactive material, its wide band gap (approximately 3.2 eV) may limit its use in a PEC module. Therefore, TiO\textsubscript{2}-based complexes or co-sensitized structures are extensively investigated to increase their photoelectric conversion efficiency\textsuperscript{[45]}. Liu et al constructed a label-free PEC immunosensor using nanobody-targeting neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL), an early biomarker for acute renal failure disease (Fig. 4B)\textsuperscript{[46]}. An indium–tin oxide (ITO) electrode was modified with cobalt 2,9,16,23-tetraaminophthalocyanine-sensitized TiO\textsubscript{2}, achieving five times higher photocurrent than a ITO/TiO\textsubscript{2} electrode, and a biotinylated nanobody was immobilized on the electrode via biotin/streptavidin linkages. A low LOD of 0.6 pg/mL and a wide detection range from 0.01 to 100 ng/mL NGAL were obtained. Li et al constructed a PEC sandwich immunosensor integrating a detecting nanobody, TiO\textsubscript{2} nanorods, and ZnS nanoparticles for ultrasensitive quantitative determination of tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) in serum samples (Fig. 4C)\textsuperscript{[47]}. In the assay, a TiO\textsubscript{2} nanorod arrays/CdS:Mn\textsuperscript{2+} co-sensitized fluorine-doped tin oxide electrode was prepared. Nb1 was immobilized on the electrode surface to serve as a capture antibody, and the detecting nanobody of Nb19 was conjugated with ZnS nanoparticles to amplify the detection signals. The proposed PEC immunosensor could quantify TNF-α with a desirable calibration range from 2.0 pg/mL to

\textsuperscript{100 ng/mL}.

**Fig. 4 Application of nanobodies as recognition molecules in PEC immunosensors.** A: Schematics of fabrication of serum cystatin C-specific Nbxs-based immunosensor. Adapted from Liu et al\textsuperscript{[44]}. B: Schematics of nanobody-based immunosensor for sensitive detection of NGAL. Adapted from Liu et al\textsuperscript{[46]}. C: Schematics of preparation of anti-TNF-α nanobody and construction of immunosensor. Adapted from Li et al\textsuperscript{[45]}. D: Construction of immunosensor for determination of S100 calcium-binding protein B. Adapted from Zhang et al\textsuperscript{[49]}. VHII: camelid heavy-chain only antibodies; Ti: titanium; ITO: indium tin oxide; Nb: nanobody; CB: the conduction band; VB: valence band; LUMO: the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital; HOMO: the highest occupied molecular orbital; Pt: platinum; NGAL: neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin; TNF-α: human tumor necrosis factor α; FTO: the fluorine-doped tin oxide; CNQDs: carbon nitrides quantum dots; C\textsubscript{60}: buckminsterfullerene; PCN-224: porphyrin-derived metal–organic frameworks with carboxyl-group terminals; S100B: calcium-binding protein B, an emerging peripheral biomarker of blood–brain barrier permeability and central nervous system injury.
200 ng/mL with an LOD of 1.0 pg/mL.

Buckminsterfullerene (C_{60}) has generated significant interest owing to its excellent light absorption, delocalized conjugated structure, and electron-accepting ability. However, the pristine form of C_{60} has poor delocalization and electron accumulation, which may limit its use in PEC immunosensors^{[49]}. To build a biological-friendly sensing interface with extraordinary PEC response, metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) having well-regulated crystalline structures with high porosities and large specific surface areas were conjugated with C_{60}^{[90]}. Zhang et al reported C_{60} coupling with an electronically complementary porphyrin-derived MOF (i.e., PCN-224)-functionalized electrode modified with a nanobody for the determination of S100 calcium-binding protein B, a biomarker of central nervous system injury (Fig. 4D)\(^{[90]}\). In the assay, the detecting nanobody of Nb82 was conjugated with carbon nitride quantum dots (a dye used for bioimaging) for signal amplification. Another Nb9 was modified on the electrode as the capture antibody. It was found that the recorded photocurrent response of the immunosensor agreed well with the concentration of the antigen in the linear range of 1 to 100 ng/mL with an LOD of 0.41 pg/mL. Therefore, a PEC immunosensor using a nanobody provides insights in the new design of molecular diagnosis methods as well as instrument miniaturization.

**Conclusion and Perspective**

Nanobody-based immunosensing methods are emerging analytical approaches which utilize the specific immunoreactions between an antigen and an antibody in combination with chemical analysis technologies. To achieve a rapid and sensitive immunosensing process, there have been many efforts on the molecular design and fabrication of nanomaterials in conjugation with immunoreactive agents on sensing interfaces. To maximize the bioactivity of a surface-tethered immunocomplex, different conjugation strategies, such as EDC/NHS or biotin/streptavidin coupling, glutaraldehyde crosslinking, Au–S bonding, and SpyTag/Spy catcher, have been employed, in which a nanobody as a molecular probe was successfully immobilized on a platform with retention of the binding capability. Compared with a few reviews on immunoassays and immunsensors, this minireview focuses on nanobody-based immunosensing methods for public health, including disease diagnosis, environmental pollutant monitoring, and pathogenic microbe detection, which demonstrate the promising potential of a nanobody as a versatile molecular probe. Ultimately, the search for detection with increased reliability and sensitivity of biologically important substances will lead to continuous development of immunosensing systems. For example, the selection and massive production of photoelectronic-reactive nanomaterials are prerequisites for the analytical performance of PEC immunosensors. Finally, rational design and modification of nanomaterials in combination of nanobodies in immunosensing systems may present a continuous direction in this field to broaden their biomedical uses.
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