Fixation versus Excision of Osteochondral Fractures after Patellar Dislocations in Adolescent Patients: A Retrospective Cohort Study
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Abstract

Background: Patellar dislocation is one of the most common knee injuries in the adolescent population. It is often combined with osteochondral fracture. The purpose of this study was to compare the outcomes between fixation and excision of osteochondral fractures not involving the bearing surface in adolescent patients with patellar dislocations.

Methods: Patients who underwent surgery for osteochondral fracture following patellar dislocation in our institution from 2007 to 2014 were retrospectively evaluated. Visual analog scale (VAS) of pain and the International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC) form were used to assess knee pain and function at follow-up. Patient satisfaction was evaluated. Differences in the values of variables among groups were assessed using t-test if equal variance or Mann–Whitney U-test if not equal variance. The Pearson’s Chi-square test was applied for dichotomous variables if expected frequency was >5 or Fisher’s exact test was applied if not. A value of P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results: Forty-three patients were included, with the average age of 14.1 ± 2.3 (range, 9.0–17.0) years. Nineteen underwent fixation of osteochondral fractures and 24 did not. The average follow-up time was 28 ± 10 months. There was no significant difference in age, gender, follow-up time, causes of injury, times of dislocation, and location of osteochondral fracture between fixation and excision groups. The fixation group had a significantly longer surgery time (82 ± 14 min) and larger size of osteochondral fracture (2.30 ± 0.70 cm²) than the excision group (43 ± 10 min, 1.88 ± 0.62 cm², respectively, t = 10.77, P < 0.01 and t = 0.84, P < 0.05). At the last follow-up, the average IKDC score in the fixation group (82.52 ± 8.71) was significantly lower than that in the excision group (89.51 ± 7.19, t = 2.65, P < 0.01). There was no significant difference in VAS of pain and patients’ satisfaction. There were 7 (16%) patients with recurrent dislocation.

Conclusion: Excision of osteochondral fractures has equivalent or better outcomes compared to fixation in adolescent patients with patellar dislocations when these fractures do not involve the bearing surface.
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However, chondrocytes in osteochondral fractures involving the bearing surface of the knee. Exclusion criteria included (1) osteochondral fracture involving the bearing surface of the knee, (2) surgical history of the ipsilateral knee, and (3) patients without complete demographic data such as times of recurrent dislocation, location and size of osteochondral fracture, and surgery time.

Management
All patients had anterior-posterior and lateral radiographs and MRI scan before surgery [Figure 1b and 1c]. Insall-Salvati index (ISI) was calculated. Patients were identified to have patella alta as the ISI was >1.3.13 Patellar tilt angle (PTA) was measured.14 The medial patellofemoral ligament (MPFL) injury was identified based on clinical examination and MRI preoperatively. All patients underwent medial collateral ligament suture and MPFL suture repair. Lateral release was also performed for patients with >30° PTA. All surgeries were performed by the same surgeon. During surgery, osteochondral fractures were removed and the size was measured using a vernier caliper. If the fracture involved any articulating portion of the patellofemoral and femorotibial joint under direct arthroscopic visualization during range of motion, this was considered as the bearing surface involving [Figure 2]. Osteochondral fractures were fixed or not depending in the size, depth, and quality of fragments. If the size was too small (width in any direction <1 cm) and the quality was too bad, fragments were removed and microfracture was performed. While in the fixation group, osteochondral fractures were openly internally fixed using headless compression screws (Headless Compression Screw, Double Medical, China) or bioabsorbable pins (FreedomPin, Inion Oy, USA) [Figure 3]. After surgery, for all patients in both groups, the knee was fixed at 0° extension with a brace for the first 2–3 weeks. Then, passive flexion started and reached to 90° at 4 weeks. Active range of motion started after 6 weeks. Weight bearing in extension was allowed after 2 weeks. Full exercise was allowed after 6 months.

Demographic data and clinical outcome assessment
Demographic data were retrieved from the medical records including age, gender, follow-up time, causes of injury, times of recurrent dislocation, location and size of osteochondral fracture, and surgery time. All patients were asked to return...
to the clinic for follow-up. At every follow-up, visual analog scale (VAS) was used to assess knee pain and the International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC) form was used to assess knee function. The satisfaction of patients was divided into three grades: poor, good, and excellent based on their own subjective evaluation.

**Statistical analysis**

All the analyses were performed using the Statistical Product and Service Solutions (SPSS version 15.0 for Windows, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The data were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) or as a percentage of subjects. Differences in the values of variables among groups were assessed using t-test if equal variance or Mann-Whitney U-test if not equal variance. The Pearson’s Chi-square test was applied for dichotomous variables if expected frequency was >5 or Fisher’s exact test was applied if not. A value of $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

There were 43 consecutive patients who met the inclusion criteria. Nineteen patients were in the fixation group and 24 patients in the excision group. The average age was $14.1 \pm 2.3$ (range $9.0–17.0$) years. The average follow-up time was $28 \pm 10$ (range $13–56$) months. The average time to surgery from injury was $15 \pm 9$ (range $3–36$) days. Patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1. There was no significant difference in age, gender, follow-up time, causes of injury, time to surgery from injury, PTA, ISI, times of dislocation, and location of osteochondral fracture between fixation and excision groups [Table 1]. However, the surgery time in the fixation group ($82 \pm 14$ min) is significantly longer than that in the excision group ($43 \pm 10$ min, $t = 7.35, P < 0.01$). All patients were identified to have MPFL injury and underwent MPFL repair. Patella alta (ISI $>1.3$) was observed in three patients. In 21 patients, the PTA was $>30^\circ$ and lateral release was performed.

At the last follow-up, the average IKDC score was $86.42 \pm 8.55$ (range $65.52–100.00$) in total. The average IKDC score in the fixation group ($82.52 \pm 8.71$, range $65.52–100.00$) was significantly lower than that in the excision group ($89.51 \pm 7.19$, range $73.56–100.00$, $t = 10.77$, $P < 0.01$). The average VAS score of pain was $0.53 \pm 0.86$ (range $0–3$) in total. The average VAS score in the fixation group ($0.58 \pm 0.96$, range $0–3$) was similar to that in the excision group ($0.50 \pm 0.78$, range $0–3$, $U = 0.71$, $P > 0.05$). The satisfaction was poor in four patients, good in 22, and excellent in 17. The good and excellent rate in the fixation group was $89\% (17/19)$ and that in the excision group was $92\%$. Moreover, it had no significant difference between the two groups ($\chi^2 = 0.81$, $P > 0.05$).

There were no significant differences in the IKDC score between male and female, first dislocations and recurrent dislocations, and $\leq 2$ cm$^2$ and $>2$ fractures in each group (all $P > 0.05$) [Figure 4]. For female patients, excision of fractures ($90.50 \pm 6.62$) had significantly higher IKDC score than fixation ($81.92 \pm 9.66$, $t = 2.05$, $P < 0.01$). For both patients with first dislocation and those with recurrent dislocation, excision (respectively, $80.00 \pm 8.00$, $85.31 \pm 9.05$) also had significantly higher IKDC score than fixation (respectively, $87.64 \pm 7.81$, $t = 2.16$, $P < 0.05$; $93.25 \pm 3.90$, $t = 1.85$, $P < 0.05$) [Figure 4].

At the last follow-up, there were 7 (16%) patients who had recurrent patellar dislocation: three patients were in the fixation group and four were in the excision group. There was no significant difference between two groups ($\chi^2 = 0.22$, $P > 0.05$). Four (4/7) patients were skeletally mature and underwent MPFL reconstruction and tibial tubercle transfer. Three (3/7) patients were skeletally immature and accepted conservative treatment. During the second surgery, previous...
osteochondral fracture was found to have been healed in 3/4 patients, but cracking delineation of cartilage injury was still observed. In the other one patient, osteochondral defect and fibrocartilage filling were observed. Lasting knee pain was identified in four patients in the fixation group and two patients in the excision group. There was no patient who had infection or knee stiffness.

**Discussion**

In this study, we reviewed a series of 43 adolescent patients with osteochondral fracture that did not involve the bearing surface after patellar dislocation and compared the outcomes of patients undergoing internal fixation with that of patients without fixation. Results showed that patients in the excision group had significantly shorter surgery duration and higher IKDC score after surgery than those in the fixation group. In this study, we observed a similar result that patients in the excision group had a larger size of osteochondral fracture. In other studies, osteochondral fragments got worse outcomes than those with fragment excision and microfracture although the fixation group had a larger size of osteochondral fracture. In this study, osteochondral defect and fibrocartilage filling were observed in one patient who had fixation of osteochondral fragments from injured joints and observed fibrillation of osteochondral fragment after reattachment was still observed. In the other one patient, osteochondral defect and fibrocartilage filling were observed. Lasting knee pain was identified in four patients in the fixation group and two patients in the excision group. There was no patient who had infection or knee stiffness.

**Table 1: Demographic characteristics of all patients with osteochondral fractures after patellar dislocations**

| Characteristics                                      | Total (n = 43) | Fixation group (n = 19) | Excision group (n = 24) | Statistics | P   |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-----|
| Age (year), mean (range)                             | 14.1 (9.0–17.0) | 13.9 (9.0–17.0)         | 14.2 (10.0–17.0)        | −0.385*    | 0.702 |
| Gender, n (%)                                        |                |                         |                         |            |     |
| Male                                                 | 13 (30)        | 8 (42)                  | 5 (21)                  | 2.275†     | 0.131 |
| Female                                               | 30 (70)        | 11 (58)                 | 19 (79)                 |            |     |
| Follow-up (month), mean (range)                      | 28 (13–56)     | 25 (13–36)              | 31 (13–56)              | −1.237     | 0.216 |
| Causes of injury, n (%)                              |                |                         |                         |            |     |
| Direct trauma                                         | 20 (47)        | 8 (42)                  | 12 (50)                 | 0.266†     | 0.606 |
| Twisting injury                                       | 23 (53)        | 11 (58)                 | 12 (50)                 |            |     |
| Time to surgery from injury (day), mean (range)      | 15 (3–36)      | 14 (3–36)               | 16 (3–32)               | 0.882*     | 0.383 |
| PTA (*), mean (range)                                | 26.84 (3.45–38.40) | 25.17 (3.45–38.40) | 27.25 (3.86–35.79) | 0.449*     | 0.656 |
| ISI, mean (range)                                    | 1.08 (0.84–1.46) | 1.05 (0.84–1.36)        | 1.10 (0.88–1.46)        | 0.536†     | 0.704 |
| Times of dislocation, n (%)                          |                |                         |                         |            |     |
| First                                                | 26 (60)        | 10 (53)                 | 16 (67)                 | 0.874*     | 0.350 |
| Recurrent                                            | 17 (40)        | 9 (47)                  | 8 (33)                  |            |     |
| Location of osteochondral fracture, n (%)            |                |                         |                         |            |     |
| Medial patellar facet                                | 28 (65)        | 14 (74)                 | 14 (58)                 | 0.703†     | 0.703 |
| Lateral femoral condyle                              | 11 (26)        | 3 (16)                  | 8 (33)                  |            |     |
| Both                                                 | 4 (9)          | 2 (10)                  | 2 (9)                   |            |     |
| Fracture size (cm²), mean (range)                    | 2.07 (0.96–4.14) | 2.30 (1.40–4.14)        | 1.88 (0.96–3.42)        | 0.841*     | 0.045 |
| Surgery duration (min), mean (range)                 | 60 (29–116)    | 82 (59–116)             | 43 (29–68)              | 10.767*    | <0.01 |

‡-test; χ² test; *Mann-Whitney U-test. ISI: Insall-Salvati Index; PTA: Patellar tilt angle.

**Table 2: Comparison of cases with ≤2 cm² and >2 cm² osteochondral fractures between the fixation group and the excision group**

| Size of fractures | Fixation group (n = 19) | Excision group (n = 24) | χ² | P   |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----|-----|
| ≤2 cm², n         | 8                       | 14                      | 3.751 | 0.290 |
| >2 cm², n         | 11                      | 10                      |      |     |

**Discussion**

In this study, we reviewed a series of 43 adolescent patients with osteochondral fracture that did not involve the bearing surface after patellar dislocation and compared the outcomes of patients undergoing internal fixation with that of patients without fixation. Results showed that patients in the excision group had significantly shorter surgery duration and higher IKDC score after surgery than those in the fixation group. In this study, we observed a similar result that patients in the excision group had a larger size of osteochondral fracture. In other studies, osteochondral fragments got worse outcomes than those with fragment excision and microfracture although the fixation group had a larger size of osteochondral fracture. In this study, we observed a similar result that patients in the fixation group had significantly lower IKDC score than those in the excision group. How does such an interesting result come? First, Hembree et al. analyzed cell viability of 29 osteochondral fragments from injured joints and observed that chondrocytes in osteochondral fragment had significant necrosis and apoptosis, which might affect the outcome of fixation procedures. In Nomura and Inoue’s study, fibrillation of osteochondral fragment after reattachment was observed in one patient who had fixation of osteochondral fracture. In this study, osteochondral defect and fibrocartilage filling were observed in one patient who had fixation of osteochondral fracture. These may result from necrosis and apoptosis of chondrocytes in osteochondral fragments. Consequently, we think that the necrosis and apoptosis of chondrocytes in osteochondral fragments will have a negative effect on the outcome of fixation. From this perspective, the reduction and fixation of osteochondral fracture equals to osteochondral
autograft. Furthermore, common fixation techniques of osteochondral fractures include suture,[17] headless metal screws,[10] and bioabsorbable pins.[18] All these techniques have further damage to cartilage of fragments and can hardly achieve rigid fixation, which will possibly lead to worse outcomes and lower function scores. Finally, the result of this study showed that fixation of osteochondral fracture significantly increased the surgery time, which would increase more surgery trauma and the risk of infection. Based on all these evidence, we think that removal of osteochondral fragments which do not involve bearing surface after patellar dislocation should be considered as an option before other better-fixation techniques.

Patellar dislocation occurs most commonly in adolescent patients, many of whom are skeletally immature.[1] The best method to treat patellar dislocation remains a subject of debate. Nonsurgical treatment is traditionally advocated for patients with primary patellar dislocation.[19] Several authors have reported good or excellent functional outcomes and few recurrent dislocations after arthroscopic medial plication.[20,21] Previous cadaveric studies have demonstrated the location of MPFL femoral attachment close to the physis.[22,23] There is a risk of physeal injury during MPFL reconstruction. It has been reported that physeal injury after ligament reconstruction induced growth alteration and significant knee deformity.[24,25] In this study, contraction of the medial retinaculum and MPFL repair but not MPFL reconstruction were performed to treat skeletally immature patients with patellar dislocation. Results show that 16% of patients had recurrent dislocation during an average follow-up of 28 months.

There were some limitations to this study. First, this study was a retrospective cohort study in which patients were not randomly divided into the fixation or excision group. Second, those patients with small osteochondral fractures that were difficult to be fixed back were also included in the excision group, which induced that the average size of osteochondral fragments in the fixation group (2.30 cm²) was significantly larger than that in the excision group (1.88 cm², \( P = 0.045 \)). Furthermore, whether fixations of osteochondral fractures were done or not was not blind to the evaluator, which might lead to assessment bias. Finally, the follow-up was relatively short term with an average of 28 months as the most important assessment of osteoarthritis was a long-term consequence. Yet, we believe that our results are still of interest, and further follow-up on this patient cohort is ongoing.

In this study, patients in the excision group had significantly shorter surgery time and higher IKDC score after surgery than those in the fixation group. We concluded that if osteochondral fractures following patellar dislocations do not involve the bearing surface and are smaller than 2 cm², removal of these fragments could be considered as an option.

**Figure 4:** Comparisons of the IKDC score at the last follow-up between different gender (a), the first dislocations and the recurrent dislocations (b), the \( \leq 2 \) cm² and \( >2 \) fractures (c) in fixation group (n = 19) and excision group (n = 24), respectively (*\( P < 0.05 \)). IKDC: International Knee Documentation Committee.
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青少年患者髌骨脱位后固定或切除骨软骨骨折块的疗效比较：一项回顾性队列研究

摘要

背景：髌骨脱位在青少年中是一种常见的膝关节损伤，通常合并有骨软骨的骨折。本文比较了青少年患者髌骨脱位后未累及负重面的骨软骨骨折块固定或切除治疗的疗效。

方法：我们回顾性分析了2007~2014年间在我院手术治疗的合并有骨软骨骨折的髌骨脱位患者。对符合纳入标准的患者进行随访。随访时，应用视觉模拟评估膝关节疼痛，应用国际膝关节文献委员会（IKDC）评分评估膝关节的功能，同时记录患者的满意度。

结果：共43例患者满足纳入标准，平均年龄14.1 ± 2.3（9.0~17.0）岁。平均随访时间28 ± 10个月。其中19例行骨软骨骨折块的固定术为固定组，24例行切除术为切除组。两组患者在年龄、性别、随访时间、致伤原因、脱位次数以及骨软骨骨折的位置上均无显著性差异。但是固定组患者的手术时间更长（82 ± 14比43 ± 10分钟，t=10.77，P<0.01），骨软骨骨折块面积更大（2.30 ± 0.70比1.88 ± 0.62 cm²，t=0.84，P<0.05）。在随访终点，固定组患者的IKDC评分（82.52 ± 8.71）显著低于切除组（89.51 ± 7.19，t=2.65，P<0.01）；但是两组之间VAS疼痛评分和患者满意度均无显著差异（均P>0.05）。所有患者中有7（16%）例患者出现再脱位。

结论：对于青少年合并骨软骨骨折的髌骨脱位，如果骨折块未累及负重面，切除比固定骨折块可以获得更好的效果。