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**ABSTRACT**

*Aegle marmelos*, generally acknowledged as Bael, is being ancient in Ayurveda for the therapy of a number of disorders. All the components on it tree along with stem, bark, root, leaves, fruit and seeds at all stages of maturity have medicinal virtues and have been recorded in Ethno-medicine.

**Aims:** The present investigation study the Anti-Type I diabetic activity of the methanolic extract of *Aegle marmelos* on STZ induced rat model.

**Study Design:** In-vivo study in rat model

**Place and Duration of Study:** Department of Pharmacology, Karnataka college of Pharmacy, Bangalore, India, between Jan 2021 to Dec 2021.

**Methodology:** Extracted *Aegle marmelos* was to be evaluated the toxicity as per the OECD guidelines and biochemical, hematological and gross pathological analysis has been assessed. Type I Diabetes has been induced in Wistar rats through STZ 65mg/kg/b.w. I.P. During the experiment, Rat's BW and FBS level were monitored. At the end of study, animals among all groups namely Group I: Normal control, Group II: STZ 65mg/kg, Group III: STZ + Insulin 4Ukg/b.w., Group IV: *Aegle marmelos* 250mg/kg and Group V: *Aegle marmelos* 500mg/kg were sacrificed and biochemical parameters like Lipid profile, C-Peptide, HbA1c, Serum insulin, pancreatic insulin, and histology of pancreas had been observed. *Aegle marmelos* was also screened for pro-inflammatory
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1. INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus is the most common metabolic disease characterized by way of continual hyperglycemia, which is due in accordance with carbohydrate, protein and lipid metabolism disturbance triggered through a relative or utmost deficiency in secretion of insulin and/or insulin action within the peripheral tissues [1]. DM has significantly higher risk of death after cancer and cardio, cerebrovascular diseases [2]. It is estimated as 5% on demise in the world is caused by diabetes, and it will be increased by 50% within the next 10 years [3]. There is thriving proof as the excess production of ROS into diabetes, as reason oxidative stress, may thoroughly and of part make a contribution towards the progression of problems of a variety over tissues [4, 5]. The control of DM without any consequences is a challenge, medicine derived from plants may additionally lead an essential function between the remedy for DM [6]. Natural merchandise isolated from medicinal plant sources have been ancient for the siege and therapy on a number of diseases pathologies, consisting of cancers, heart disease, diabetes mellitus or high blood pressure [7, 8]. Up to 2014, More than 800 kinds have been investigated and theirs hypoglycemic results have been reported [9].

Aegle marmelos is a medicinal plant of family Rutaceae which is typically acknowledged as like Bael, Bengal-quince, golden apple or wood/stone apple tree. It is a medium-sized deciduous tree, up to 12-15 m tall with a short trunk, thick, soft, flaking bark and spreading, occasionally spiny branches [10]. This plant is provincial to Northern India but extensively located throughout the Indian Peninsula and in Ceylon, Burma, Bangladesh, Thailand and China. It is also grown in partial Egyptian gardens, within Surinam and Trinidad [11, 12]. A. marmelos crop plants are globose with a smooth, hard and aromatic shell that is grey-green when raw and yellowish when ripe. The fruit pulp is faded orange, sweet, resinous and noticeably aromatic [13, 14]. This fruit is broadly used into folks remedy for the treatment of diabetes mellitus [15], so properly it is used in the treatment over chronic diarrhea, dysentery and peptic ulcers, as a laxative and in conformity with get better out of respiratory affections [16]. A. marmelos crop plants has been acknowledged in imitation of possess antioxidant [17], radioprotective [18], gastroprotective [19], anti-ulcerative colitis [20], hepatoprotective [21], cardioprotective [22] and antidiabetic [23] activities. A. marmelos fruit possesses excessive nutritional value. The crop is aged to redact juice, jam, syrup, jelly, toffee and other products. The pulp is observed to contain water, sugars, protein, fiber, fat, calcium, phosphorus, potassium, iron, minerals yet nutritional vitamins (Vitamin A, B1, C then Riboflavin) [14, 24] as like well as bioactive compounds, kind of carotenoids, phenolics, alkaloids, pectens, tannins, coumarins, flavonoids and terpenoids [25, 26].

Therefore, this study was aimed to evaluate the anti-diabetic activity of A. marmelos fruits of methanolic extract against STZ induced diabetes in rats.

2. MATERIALS AND MEHTODS

2.1 Collection of Plant Material

The fruits of Aegle marmelos were brought from Bangalore, Karnataka, India. The plant specimen has been identified and authenticated by
department of botany, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur and specimens were kept for the reference. And reference number was RUBL 211761.

2.2 Extraction of Fruits of Aegle marmelos [27-31]

2.2.1 Preparation of extract

The fruits of Aegle marmelos were chopped into small pieces and dried under shade at room temperature for seven days. The dried fruits were powdered and passed through the sieve (Coarse 10/40). The powder was used for the preparation of methanolic extract.

2.2.2 Method of extraction

The 100gm powder was subjected to extraction with 1000ml methanol in a reflux condenser for 3 cycles of 7hrs each till the volume reduced to half. Extract was filtered through Whatman filter paper No.1 and evaporated to dryness to get constant weight.

2.3 Experimental Animals

Female Albino mice (5-6 weeks old) weighing between 25-35gm were taken for toxicity studies and Wistar male rats (8-10 weeks old) weighing 150-200gm was used for the main experiment.

2.4 Experimental Design

2.4.1 Acute oral toxicity study

The acute oral toxicity study was performed according to the OECD guidelines No. 425. Animals were observed individually after dosing at least once during the first 30 minutes, periodically during the first 24 hours, with special attention given during the first 4 hours and daily thereafter, for a total of 14 days. Parameters were analyzed: Body weight, Blood Glucose Level, Lipid Profile, Renal Function Test (RFT), Liver Function Test (LFT), Hematological parameters Blood samples were performed using an Automatic Hematology Analyzer, and Vital Tissue Histology (i.e. Kidney, Liver, Spleen, Heart, and Lung). A dose of 1/10th and 1/20th were considered to be high dose and low dose prepared by dissolving in miliQ water. The doses were prepared as per the OECD guideline No. 425.

2.4.2 Model for type i diabetes mellitus

2.4.2.1 STZ induced diabetes mellitus [32]

Wistar male rats (150-200g) were considered for this analysis and diabetes induced through I.P., dose of STZ 65mg/kg/b.w. STZ was made freshly before administration and dissolved in the buffer of 0.1 M cold sodium citrate and pH 4.5. In order to avoid hypoglycaemia, STZ-Rats were fed 5% w/v glucose solution for 24 hours. After 72h, rats were recorded Fasting Blood Sugar (FBS) >180 mg/dL and chosen for the analysis. All the animals were given free access to have the tap water and pellet diet and held in polyethylene cages at room temperature. Rat’s body weight, FBS levels of rats were taken with one-touch glucometer prior to and after the end of the test, i.e. 0 and 30 days.

At last, Animals were finally anaesthetized with high dose of Phenobarbital. Blood was collected by Cardiac puncture and tissues were collected and then examined. The parameters;

- Blood Glucose Level (Using Digital Glucometer, One touch select, LifeScan Scotland Ltd, UK), Serum Insulin, Pancreatic Insulin (Sandwich ELISA Assay), C-peptide, Hb1Ac (Span Diagnostic), and Lipid Profile (DELTA LABS Kit, Bangalore, India)
- Measurement of Pro-Inflammatory Cytokines, Markers of disease severity; IL-6, IL-1beta, and TNF-alpha by Sandwich ELISA Assay (Commercial Available kit, Mercodia, Sweden) [33-37].
- Antioxidant Enzyme Studies: Lipid Peroxidation (LPO) [38, 39], Reduced Glutathione (GSH) [40, 41], Superoxide dismutase (SOD) [42], and Catalase (CAT) [43].
- Histopathology Study: Pancreas [44]

2.4.2.2 Histology of pancreas tissue – H&E staining

The animals were euthanized using high dose of Pentobarbital and then sacrificed and the pancreas of each animal was isolated and was cut into small pieces, preserved and fixed in 10% formalin for two days., dehydrated with alcohol, embedded in paraffin, cut into 4-5 m thick sections, and stained with Haematoxylin-Eosin dye for photomicroscopic observation. The microscopic features of the organs of rats were compared with the control group.
Table 1. Groupings were done by following manner, Where N = 6 animals in each group

| Group | Description | Number of Rats |
|-------|-------------|----------------|
| I | Normal Control Group – Vehicle Only. | 6 rats |
| II | Disease Control, Received STZ 65mg/kg/b.w i.P | 6 rats |
| III | Standard drug, Received Insulin 4U/kg/b.w. i.p + STZ 65mg/kg/b.w i.P | 6 rats |
| IV | Test drug (Low dose, 250mg/kg), Received Aegle marmelos X mg/kg/b.w P.O + STZ 65mg/kg/b.w i.P | 6 rats |
| V | Test drug (High dose, 500mg/kg), Received Aegle marmelos Y mg/kg/b.w P.O + STZ 65mg/kg/b.w i.P | 6 rats |

3. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The results are expressed as Mean ± SEM from N=6 rats in each group. Data were analysed using statistical software Microsoft Excel worksheet. The significance of difference among the groups was assessed using Student t-test compared between Normal control (Untreated) vs. all groups p<0.05 were considered significant.

4. RESULTS

The yield of methanolic extract of fruits of *Aegle marmelos* was calculated and the % Yield was 27.5.

Mortality was not seen in the acute toxicity up to a dose of 5000mg/kg/b.w. p.o. No signs of illness, gastrointestinal intolerance or abnormal behavior were observed. Hence, noted the dose of 5000 mg/kg was safe and well tolerated. (Table 2, 4, 6 and Figs. 1 & 2)

**Dose**: Selection of dose was done on the basis of acute toxicity OCED guideline 425. 5000 mg/kg body weight was tolerated dose and no signs of toxicity have been found, after performing the acute oral toxicity studies. 1/20th and 1/10th of the same dose was selected; 250mg/kg and 500mg/kg respectively and the further study were carried out.

4.1 Toxicity Reports of Acute Toxicity on 5000 mg/kg/B.W. of Dose of *Aegle marmelos*

![Lipid Profile (mg/dl)](Fig. 1. Lipid Profile (mg/dl)
Values are expressed as Mean ± S.E.M; (n =6/group).

Table 2. Body weight and Blood Glucose Level

| Parameters       | Normal control ± S.E.M. | Test drug (Aegle marmelos 5000 mg/kg) ± S.E.M. |
|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Body weight in gm. Normal Control Vs. Test Drug | 26.17 ± 0.088 | 26.83 ± 0.042 |
|                  | t-Test: Paired Two Sample for Means | P(T<=t) one-tail - 0.0013** |
### Parameters

| Blood Glucose Level (mg/dl) | Normal control ± S.E.M. | Test drug (Aegle marmelos 5000 mg/kg) ± S.E.M. |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| 82.67 ± 0.667               | 82.67 ± 0.494           |

Normal Control Vs. Test Drug (Aegle marmelos 5000 mg/kg) t-Test: Paired Two Sample for Means $P(T<=t)$ one-tail - 0.5

- **ms**: moderately-significant,
- **ns**: non-significant

**Values are expressed as Mean ± S.E.M; (n =6/group).**

### Lipid Profile

| Lipid Profile | Normal Control Vs. Test Drug (Aegle marmelos 5000 mg/kg) |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| TC            | 0.00086ss | 0.0088ms |
| TGs           | 0.071ms  | 0.016ms |
| LDL           | 0.055ns  |
| HDL           | 0.016ws  |
| VLDL          | 0.055ws  |

- **ss**: strongly-significant,
- **ms**: mildly-significant,
- **ws**: weakly-significant,
- **ns**: non-significant

**t-Test: Paired Two Sample for Means $P(T<=t)$ one-tail**

**Values are expressed as Mean ± S.E.M; (n =6/group).**

### Serum Electrolytes

| Serum Electrolytes | Normal Control ± S.E.M. | Test Drug (Aegle marmelos 5000 mg/kg) ± S.E.M. | $P(T<=t)$ one-tail |
|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Sodium (m mol/L)   | 136.00 ± 0.632          | 140.00 ± 0.365                                | 0.0013ms        |
| Potassium (m mol/L)| 3.72 ± 0.060            | 3.95 ± 0.043                                  | 0.016ws         |
| Chloride (m mol/L) | 107.50 ± 0.764          | 113.50 ± 0.764                                | 0.0052ms        |
| Urea (mg/dl)       | 24.83 ± 0.401           | 27.33 ± 0.882                                 | 0.032ws         |
| Creatinine (mg/dl) | 0.20 ± 0.052            | 0.32 ± 0.060                                  | 0.067bs         |
| Uric acid (mg/dl)  | 2.60 ± 0.052            | 2.90 ± 0.058                                  | 0.0071ms*       |

- **ms**: mildly-significant,
- **ws**: weakly-significant,
- **ns**: non-significant,
- **ms**: moderately significant

**Values are expressed as Mean ± S.E.M; (n =6/group).**

### Liver Function Profile

**Fig. 2. Liver function profile**

Values are expressed as Mean ± S.E.M; (n =6/group).
Table 5. Interpretation between the groups

| Liver function profile | TP  | Alb  | Glb  | ALP  | BT  | BD  |
|------------------------|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|
| Normal Control Vs. Test Drug (Aegle marmelos 5000 mg/kg) | 0.0046<sup>ms</sup> | 0.017<sup>ws</sup> | 0.015<sup>ws</sup> | 0.046<sup>rs</sup> | 0.5<sup>ns</sup> | 0.5<sup>n</sup> |

*P(T<=t)* one-tail

ms: mildly-significant, ws: weakly-significant, ns: non-significant

Table 6. Haematological parameters

| Haematological Test | Normal Control ± S.E.M. | Test Drug (Aegle marmelos 5000 mg/kg) ± S.E.M. | *P(T<=t)* one-tail |
|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Hb gm/dL            | 13.98 ± 0.060           | 14.42 ± 0.087                                  | 0.004<sup>rs</sup> |
| WBC (c/cmm)         | 7905.17 ± 25.060        | 8633.33 ± 42.164                               | 1.48<sup>gl</sup> |
|                      |                         | (<0.001)<sup>ss</sup>                           |                    |
| RBC (m/cmm)         | 8.23 ± 0.088            | 8.58 ± 0.048                                   | 0.015<sup>ws</sup> |
| Neutrophil (%)      | 56.83 ± 0.601           | 62.33 ± 0.667                                  | 0.001<sup>rs'</sup>|
| Lymphocyte (%)      | 33.00 ± 0.365           | 33.50 ± 0.764                                  | 0.28<sup>ns</sup> |
| Platelet (lakh/cmm) | 3.23 ± 0.009            | 3.26 ± 0.006                                   | 0.02<sup>ns</sup> |
| NLR                 | 1.72 ± 0.029            | 1.87 ± 0.060                                   | 0.042<sup>ws</sup>|
| PLR                 | 97.83 ± 1.069           | 97.48 ± 2.306                                  | 0.44<sup>rs</sup> |

ms: mildly-significant, ss: strongly-significant, ws: weakly-significant, ns: non-significant, ms*: moderately significant

Values are expressed as Mean ± S.E.M; (n =6/group).

Fig. 3. Histopathological findings for vital organs

All tissues are showing normal shape, size and architecture. No anatomical and structural extremity anomalies were seen. (H&E stain, scar bar = 100μm)
4.2 Effect of Am on Type I Diabetes Mellitus Rat

4A) Blood Glucose

Values are expressed as Mean ± S.E.M; (n =6/group).

4B) Serum Insulin and Pancreatic Insulin

Values are expressed as Mean ± S.E.M; (n =6/group).

Fig. 4. Effect of 4A) Blood Glucose, 4B) Serum Insulin, and Pancreatic Insulin with the treatment of Aegle marmelos (AM) in Diabetic Rats

Table 7. Interpretation between the groups

| Comparisons between the group     | BGL               | S. Insulin | P. Insulin |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------------|------------|
| NC Vs. DC                         | 2.9 ^2t (<0.001)  | 3.45 ^11t (<0.001)  | 9.2 ^16t (<0.001)  |
| DC Vs. STD                        | 1.005 ^9t (<0.001) | 4.18 ^11t (<0.001)  | 1.9 ^17t (<0.001)  |
| STD Vs. AM 250mg/kg               | 1.4 ^11t (<0.001)  | 3.8 ^09t (<0.001)  | 2.02 ^11t (<0.001)  |
| STD Vs. AM 500mg/kg               | 0.0043 ^9s         | 0.014 ^9s       | 2.01 ^07t (<0.001)  |

\textit{t}-Test: Two-Sample Assuming Equal Variances

\( P(\text{t}<= \text{t}) \) one-tail

\( ss \): strongly –significant, \( ms \): moderately–significant, \( ws \): weakly–significant
Fig. 5. Effect of 5A) C-Peptide and 5B) Hb1AC with the treatment of *Aegle marmelos* (*AM*) in Diabetic Rats

Table 8. Interpretation between the groups

| Comparisons between the group | C-Peptide       | Hb1AC          |
|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| NC Vs. DC                    | 1.7-07 (<0.001)ss | 4.99-07 (<0.001)ss |
| DC Vs. STD                   | 3.1-08 (<0.001)ss | 3.9-05 (<0.001)ss |
| STD Vs. AM 250mg/kg          | 0.048ws         | 0.008ms        |
| STD Vs. AM 500mg/kg          | 0.18ns          | 0.007ms        |

*P(T<=t) one-tail*  
*ss: strongly –significant, ms: mildly-significant, ns: non-significant, ws: weakly-significant*
Fig. 6. Effect of Lipid Profile with the treatment of *Aegle marmelos (AM)* in Diabetic Rats

*Values are expressed as Mean ± S.E.M; (n =6/group).*

Table 9. Interpretation between the groups

| Comparisons between the group | TC     | TG     | HDL    | LDL    | VLDL   |
|-------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| NC Vs. DC                     | 1.2     | 3.2    | 1.9    | 1.5    | 9.2    |
|                               | (<0.001)ss | (<0.001)ss | (<0.001)ss | (<0.001)ss | (<0.001)ss |
| DC Vs. STD                    | 1.02    | 6.6    | 7.5    | 3.1    | 7.4    |
|                               | (<0.001)ss | (<0.001)ss | (<0.001)ss | (<0.001)ss | (<0.001)ss |
| STD Vs. AM 250mg/kg           | 8.6     | 1.6    | 0.07   | 0.47   | 0.02   |
|                               | (<0.001)ss | (<0.001)ss | ns       | (0.001)ss | ns    |
| STD Vs. AM 500mg/kg           | 0.28    | 3.7    | 0.002  | 0.005  | 3.4    |
|                               | (0.001)ss | (0.001)ss | ms       | *ms*   | s     |

*t-Test: Two-Sample Assuming Equal Variances

- ss: strongly-significant, ns: non-significant, ms: mildly-significant, ws: weakly-significant, ms*: moderately-significant*

---

Fig. 7. Effect of pro-inflammatory cytokines with the treatment of *Aegle marmelos (AM)* in diabetic rats

*Values are expressed as Mean ± S.E.M; (n =6/group).*

---
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### Table 10. Interpretation between the groups

| Comparisons Between The Group       | IL-6       | IL-1Beta   | TNF-Alpha  |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| NC Vs. DC                           | 8.1-16 (<0.001)ss | 1.02-11 (<0.001)ss | 8.3-15 (<0.001)ss |
| DC Vs. STD                          | 3.1-15 (<0.001)ss | 1.2-09 (<0.001)ss | 9.1-12 (<0.001)ss |
| STD Vs. AM 250mg/kg                 | 3.6-08 (<0.001)ss | 1.5-06 (<0.001)ss | 1.5-06 (<0.001)ss |
| STD Vs. AM 500mg/kg                 | 0.0015ms*    | 0.0001ss    | 0.023ws    |

*t-Test: Two-Sample Assuming Equal Variances

P(T<=t) one-tail

ss: strongly-significant, ws: weakly-significant, ms*: moderately-significant

---

**Fig. 8. Effect of Antioxidant Enzyme with the treatment of *Aegle marmelos* (AM) in Diabetic Rats**

Values are expressed as Mean ± S.E.M; (n =6/group)

### Table 11. Interpretation between the groups

| Comparisons between the group       | SOD       | CAT       | LPO        | GSH        |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|
| NC Vs. DC                           | 1.8-10 (<0.001)ss | 3.5-11 (<0.001)ss | 3.7-07 (<0.001)ss | 5.2-07 (<0.001)ss |
| DC Vs. STD                          | 3.5-18 (<0.001)ss | 3.1-16 (<0.001)ss | 7.3-07 (<0.001)ss | 4.1-07 (<0.001)ss |
| STD Vs. AM 250mg/kg                 | 5.6-11 (<0.001)ss | 2.8-10 (<0.001)ss | 0.032ws    | 5.5-06 (<0.001)ss |
| STD Vs. AM 500mg/kg                 | 9.5-08 (<0.001)ss | 1.2-09 (<0.001)ss | 0.27ns     | 0.0003ss    |

*t-Test: Two-Sample Assuming Equal Variances

P(T<=t) one-tail

ss: strongly-significant, ws: weakly-significant, ns: non-significant

### 4. DISCUSSION

Medicinal herbs are an essential part of our natural prosperity and had been a promising future; there are approximately half million natural plants around the world, and yet almost about to them theirs therapeutics activities had not been investigated so far, and their activities ought to keep ultimate among the treatment of present or may be in future studies. Different parts of *Aegle marmelos* plant are being used for various therapeutic purposes such as asthma,
Fig. 9. Effects of Aegle marmelos on STZ Induced in Test Rats; Histopathology study:  
Pancreas

**Normal Control 40x** High Power photomicrograph of an islet showing normal beta cells with abundant basophilic cytoplasm. H&E stain, scar bar = 100μm

**Disease Control, STZ 65mg/kg/b.w 40x.** High Power photomicrographs of an islet of Langerhans were showing atrophy of the beta cells. The beta cell cytoplasm is scanty and inflammatory cells are seen. H&E stain, scar bar = 100μm

**Standard drug, Insulin 4U/kg/b.w i.p 40x.** High Power photomicrographs of an islet were showing numerous beta cells with abundant basophilic cytoplasm. No inflammatory cells are seen. H&E stain, scar bar = 100μm

**Aegle marmelos 250mg/kg 40x.** High Power photomicrograph of an islet showing atrophied beta cells with scanty basophilic cytoplasm. No inflammatory cells are seen. H&E stain, scar bar = 100μm

**Aegle marmelos 500mg/kg 40x.** High Power photomicrograph of an islet, were showing normal beta cells with basophilic cytoplasm. No inflammatory cells are seen. H&E stain, scar bar = 100μm

allergy, diabetes, healing wounds, and swollen joints etc [45]. In screening of the toxic concerning of an herbal extract found to be safe and no impact on the test in rats after 14 days of observation. This study presents data on the treatment of diabetic markers, which were shown to be comparable efficacy then the standard one as Insulin, Aegle marmelos has shown marked decrease in the serum glucose level, Total cholesterol, triglycerides, LDL, VLDL, glycosylated hemoglobin, were also found to be a limited range. The HDL cholesterol, serum insulin and pancreatic insulin increased with test drug, increase in islet area was quite
considerable. Similarly, mediator of inflammation was assessed and analysis showed *Aegle marmelos* inhibited moderately in STZ stimulated rats. Free radical concentrations were screened in terms of SOD, CAT, MDA, & GSH. And data revealed that there were significantly changes in the treated groups as compared with STZ rats. The data suggesting, it has the potential alternative and sustainable source for Ayurveda drugs.

5. CONCLUSION

In drawing the conclusion of the research carried out, the analysis is mainly focused on the toxicity and diabetic markers. *Aegle marmelos* has significant anti-diabetic activity executed of the present investigation should remain outcome of lower blood glucose levels, enhanced body mass, improvised lipid profile, and notable occurrence of beta cell mass in histopathology studies. The treated diabetic group confirmed notably lowered within the HbA1c levels. Similarly the increase in serum insulin and pancreatic insulin, controlled pro-inflammatory cytokines, anti-oxidant enzyme may additionally facilitate in conformity with prevent diabetic complication.
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