Episiotomy Practice and Its Associated Factors in Africa: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
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Background: Episiotomy, a surgical procedure that enlarges the vaginal opening during childbirth, was common practice until the early 2000s. Other sources, including the World Health Organization (WHO), advocate for the selective use of episiotomy. Episiotomy rates, on the other hand, have remained high in developing countries, while declining in developed countries. As a result, the current study sought to determine the overall prevalence of episiotomy in Africa as well as the risk factors associated with its practice.

Methods: Articles were searched in international electronic databases. A standardized Microsoft Excel spreadsheet and STATA software version 14 were used for data extraction and analysis, respectively. The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) checklist was used to write this report. A random-effects meta-analysis model was used to determine the pooled prevalence of episiotomy. A heterogeneity test was conducted using I-Squared ($I^2$) statistics. Egger’s test and funnel plots were conducted to detect publication bias. Subgroup analysis was also conducted. Association was expressed through a pooled odds ratio (OR) with a 95% Confidence Interval (CI).

Result: A total of 21 studies with 40,831 participants were included in the systematic review and meta-analysis. The pooled prevalence of episiotomy practice was 41.7% [95% CI (36.0–47.4), $I^2 = 99.3\%$, $P < 0.001$]. Primiparity [OR: 6.796 (95% CI (4.862–9.498)), $P < 0.001$, $I^2$: 95.1%], medical doctors-assisted delivery [OR: 3.675 (95% CI (2.034–6.640)), $P < 0.001$, $I^2$: 72.6%], prolonged second stage of labor [OR: 5.539 (95% CI (4.252–7.199)), $P < 0.001$, $I^2$: 0.0%], using oxytocin [OR: 4.207 (95% CI (3.100–5.709)), $P < 0.001$, $I^2$: 0.0%], instrument-assisted vaginal delivery [OR: 5.578 (95% CI (4.285–7.260)), $P < 0.001$, $I^2$: 65.1%], and macrosomia [OR: 5.32 (95% CI (2.738–10.339)), $P < 0.001$, $I^2$: 95.1%] were factors associated with episiotomy practice.

Conclusion: In this review, the prevalence of episiotomy among African parturients was high. A selective episiotomy practice should be implemented to reduce the high episiotomy rates.

Systematic Review Registration: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?ID=CRD42021293382, identifier: CRD42021293382.
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**BACKGROUND**

An episiotomy is a vaginal and perineal surgical incision performed by a skilled birth attendant, to widen the vaginal opening (1, 2), late in the second stage when the perineum is stretched thin (3), and it is one of the most commonly performed surgical procedures all over the world (4). There are seven different ways to perform an episiotomy, with the two most common types in the literature and medical practice being “midline” and “mediolateral.” A midline (sometimes called “median”) episiotomy is a vertical incision from the posterior fourchette that runs along the midline through the central tendon of the perineal body. A mediolateral episiotomy is an incision beginning in the midline and directed laterally and downwards away from the rectum (2).

This surgical procedure is not without consequences as compared to permitting the perineum to tear. To begin with, episiotomy might be detrimental with respect to urinary incontinence symptoms (5). In a study conducted to assess the impact of episiotomy on the urogenital hiatus using transperineal ultrasound, the urogenital hiatus area was altered by episiotomy (6). In contrary, indicated use of episiotomy resulted in a significant decrease in third and fourth degree lacerations in a population-based observational study in Texas, United States of America (7).

According to a Cochrane database systematic review, women who had selective episiotomy experienced 30% less severe perineal trauma at birth than women who had a routine episiotomy policy. In terms of Appgar scores of <7 at 5 min, the number of women developing perineal infection, the number of women reporting painful sexual intercourse 6 months or more after delivery, and urinary incontinence 6 months or more after delivery, there was probably no or little difference reported. However, other significant long-term effects and outcomes were not reported in these trials (urinary fistula, rectal fistula, and fecal incontinence). As a result, the rationale for performing routine episiotomies to prevent severe perineal trauma was found to be unjustified, and there were no benefits to the baby or the mother from routine episiotomy (8).

Even when episiotomy technique is considered, mediolateral episiotomy does not appear to be protective against clinically or sonographically diagnosed obstetrical anal sphincter injuries (OASIS), and it was associated with decreased sexual functioning as well as sexual desire, arousal, and orgasm within the first 5 years after delivery (9). Furthermore, in a study conducted in 2015–2016 with the goal of describing the detailed epidemiology of labor and delivery in China, mediolateral episiotomy without indications more than doubled the risk of third and fourth degree perineal laceration in nulliparous without neonatal benefits, remembering the consequences of injudicious use of episiotomy (10). Prophylactic use of episiotomy in critical conditions such as shoulder dystocia, instrumental deliveries, occiput-posterior position, fetal macrosomia and non-reassuring fetal heart patterns don’t prevent 3rd or 4th degree perineal tear (11). Nonetheless, a comparative, retrospective, mono-centric study in a university maternity unit in Besançon, France, found that selective episiotomy could reduce the incidence of perineal tears, particularly second-degree perineal tears, without increasing the rate of OASIS (12).

In order to combat the pain correlated with episiotomy, water birth has gained popularity globally, especially in midwifery-led care settings (13). Women’s experiences with water birth matched groups in a prospective study by Lathrop et al. revealed that water birth was associated with a decreased likelihood of perineal lacerations (14). Furthermore, water immersion may reduce episiotomy rates (15, 16). Nonetheless, a lack of high-quality evidence clouded informed decisions about the advantages and disadvantages of water birth (17). Therefore, the merit and risks of water birth should be discussed thoroughly with the parturient during the process of informed decision making with mothers interested in this option (16).

Every year, ~140 million babies are born worldwide (18). In 2019, the United Nations (UN) estimated that the total fertility rate of Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) would be at 4.7 births per woman from 2015 to 2020, which is more than double the level of any other region in the world (19). In concert with this, the rates of episiotomy practice have remained high worldwide, particularly in less industrialized countries and East Asia (20–22).

Reported rates of episiotomies vary greatly from one country to another across the globe. The lowest rate (1%) of episiotomy was reported in Sweden, whereas the highest (100%) was reported in Taiwan among primiparous parurients (23). Several countries have registered higher proportion of episiotomy practice. For instance, 58% in Italy in 1999; 66% in Oman in 2015; 67.5% in Poland in 2010; 68% in India in 2008; 75% in Cyprus; 94% in Cambodia; approximately 95% in Mexico among primiparous women (20, 23–28). In addition, significant number of women undergone episiotomy in Asian countries, 42–98% (23, 29, 30).

Furthermore, despite the standard recommendations that corroborate judicious use of episiotomy, increasing and variable patterns have been reported in Mexico: 41.8% in the state of Oaxaca and 77.2% in Mexico City (8, 25, 31). A sharp decline in episiotomy rates was reported in some countries like Turkey (93.3% in primipara women and 30.2% in multipara women in 2013) (1), France (18.6% from 2013 to 2017) (2), China (85.50% in 2003 to 41.7% in nulliparous and 21.5% in multiparous from 2015 to 2016) (3), the United States of America (20.3% in 2002 to 9.4% in 2011 (4)). Moreover, in Brazil episiotomy rate around the country declined from ~94% in 2000 (32) to 54% in 2014 (33) and 42% in 2019 (34), in Finland decreased from 71.5% in 1997–1999 to 54.9% in 2006–2007 among primiparous women, and from 21.5% in 1997–2001 to 9.2% in 2006–2007 among multiparous women (35). To summarize, the larger disparity in episiotomy rates around the world, as made evident by historical trends, is closely attributable to differences in episiotomy policies and resources (8, 20).

Individual and clinical factors related to mothers; individual and clinical factors related to the newborn; as well as the
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socio-demographic profiles of the parturient in Africa and other countries influence episiotomy practice (36). In studies conducted in Brazil (37, 38), Nigeria (39–42), Turkey (43), the United States (44), and Ethiopia (45, 46), the odds of episiotomy practice were positively correlated with younger age at delivery. Nonetheless, advanced maternal age (≥35) was reported as an attributable factor in some studies (37, 47–49).

According to Macleod et al. (50), Koskas et al. (51), Giannella et al. (52), Cromi et al. (48), Beyene et al. (53), Tobiw Tefera et al. (54), Teshome et al. (46), and Pebolo et al. (55) episiotomy was significantly associated with a prolonged second stage of labor. Furthermore, macrosomia (49, 59, 60), breech presentation (50, 51, 57, 60–62), primiparity (40–42, 45, 46, 53, 56–58, 61, 63–65), oxytocin use (45, 53, 59, 62, 66, 67), meconium-stained amniotic fluid (49, 50), reduced apgar score (67), assisted breech vaginal delivery or vaginal operative delivery (forceps) (41, 42, 53, 54, 56, 58, 61, 63, 66), analgesia (49, 67, 68), non-reassuring fetal heart rate pattern (68), persistent occipito posterior position (41), post-term pregnancy (45), fetal distress (47, 69), perineal tear (66), private character of the mother (41), a history of gestational hypertension (45), birth spacing <2 years (66), vaginal birth after cesarean section (41), maternal under nutrition (64), history of episiotomy in their index delivery (70), and delivery attended by obstetricians and gynecologists (40, 57, 61) were found to be the risk factors documented in these studies. That said, the odds of episiotomy practice may vary within the African context and around the globe, and hence midwives and obstetricians must better weigh the risks and benefits in order to predict and curb the impacts associated with liberal use of episiotomy (8).

Banta and his associate found four advantages to episiotomy. To begin with, it is claimed that a clean, straight incision is easier to repair and heals faster than a laceration or tear. Second, it is claimed that episiotomy results in fewer third-degree lacerations. Third, episiotomy is said to prevent fetal brain injury by lowering the fetal head's pressure on the pelvic floor. Fourth, episiotomy is said to shorten the second stage of labor, which helps to avoid pelvic floor damage (71). Additionally, episiotomy is justified in preeclampsia (72), in the event of abnormal cardiotocography, inability to control maternal blood pressure, imminent eclampsia, worsening biochemistry, or worsening maternal symptoms, for expeditious delivery of the newborn by creating enough space (71). Finally, episiotomy requires laboring mothers to provide informed consent (74). Performing episiotomy without informed consent or with coerced consent is deemed to be instances of obstetric violence (16).

In Africa, although there has been no representative data, the reported rate of episiotomy ranged from 9.3% in a study conducted in South East Nigeria (40) to 73% in Uganda (55). Understanding the magnitude and risks associated with episiotomy can help adhere to existing or develop new protocols that are consistent with World Health Organization (WHO) (75) and American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) recommendations that emphasize the judicious use of episiotomy (3). To date, there has been no systematic review and meta-analysis conducted to estimate the pooled prevalence and identify risk factors associated with episiotomy practice in Africa. Therefore, the current study aimed to address these two questions: (i) what is the continent's overall estimate of episiotomy practices? (ii) What are the factors that may influence episiotomy practices among African women who give birth in health facilities?

**METHODS**

**Reporting and Study Protocol Registration**

The goal of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to determine the pooled prevalence of episiotomy practice and the factors associated with it among African parturients who gave birth in public health facilities. The study protocol for this study was prepared and registered in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) databases on 25/12/2021 (available from: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?ID=CRD42021293382) we confirmed the absence of ongoing systematic reviews on this topic by following the guidance note for registering a Systematic Review Protocol to avoid duplication. The meta-analysis was reported using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis (PRISMA)-Statement (76) (Supplementary File 1).

**Inclusion Criteria**

The inclusion criteria for this review were determined using the CoCoPop mnemonic (condition, context, and population). **Population/Participants** - parturient mothers who were reported to have undergone episiotomy at health facilities in Africa. **Context**-Observational Studies (descriptive and analytic cross-sectional studies, cohort studies, and case control studies) published in English between January 1, 2000 and December 31, 2021, spanning more than two decades due to a scarcity of primary studies. **Condition**-Studies that reported the outcome of interest based on the prevalence and risk factors associated with episiotomy practice were included in this review.

**Exclusion Criteria**

We excluded studies without full text access; articles that contained insufficient information; findings from personal opinions; articles reported outside the scope of the outcome of interest; qualitative study design; case reports; case series; letters; and previous systematic review.

**Operational Definitions**

**Episiotomy**

It is an obstetric surgical procedure in which incisions are made in the vulva and perineum to allow for a smooth delivery of the newborn by creating enough space (3).

**Delayed or Prolonged Second Stage of Labor**

If the labor lasts longer than 2 h without epidural analgesia or 3 h with epidural analgesia in nulliparous women, or 1 h without or 2 h with epidural analgesia in multiparous women (77).

**Macrosomia**

A new born birth weight ≥4,000 g (78).
Oxytocin
Is a drug prescribed for laboring mothers for induction or augmentation of labor by enhancing uterine contraction (78).

Parity
Parity is determined by the number of pregnancies reaching the age of viability. A woman who has been delivered only once of a fetus or fetuses born alive or dead with an estimated length of gestation of above the age of viability is termed primiparity. Whereas, multipara is a woman who has completed two or more pregnancies to the age of viability (78).

Spontaneous Vertex Delivery
When the fetal presenting part is the vertex or occiput in a laboring mother, labor begins spontaneously and the delivery is accomplished with minimal assistance (78).

Search Strategy
Our search was restricted to articles published in English from January 1, 2000 to December 31, 2021. The electronic databases of PubMed, Hinari, Science Direct, Web of Science, African Journal of Online (AJOL), Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), Excerpta Medica database (EMBASE), Google, Journal Storage (JSTOR), and Google scholar were searched. Using the snowballing method, the reference lists of the identified studies were also scrutinized to identify other relevant articles that were not captured during the initial search. We used key concepts to build a search strategy while conducting a comprehensive PubMed search. Initially, Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms relevant to our search were identified and added to the search builder. Next, we identified every possible keyword for each key concept and thoroughly used a combination of MeSH and keywords, truncating (*) of stems that are four letters or longer, putting double quotes (“”) around any multi-phrase, and adding field tags [tiab] and [tw] for each concept. Finally, after double checking that syntax was correct and Boolean operators were in all caps, we started running a search in the PubMed search box using a building block approach, which means we built the search one concept at a time and then combined concepts together at the end (“women” (text word) OR “pregnant mother” (text word) OR “birth” (text word) OR (“pregnant women” (MeSH Terms) AND “women” (MeSH Terms)) AND (“episiotomy” (text word) OR “episiotomy practice” (text word) OR (“obstetric surgical procedures” MeSH Terms)) AND “episiotomy.” From January 1st to February 30th, 2022, two authors (BW and EA) participated in a double blinded search. The full search results were included as an additional file (Supplementary File 2).

The Study Selection Procedure
The retrieved studies were exported to EndNote X7, which was then used to remove duplicate studies. After removal of duplicates, two authors (BW and MO) independently screened the titles and abstracts to determine the eligibility of studies. To describe the extent to which assessments by multiple authors are similar, the Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions was consulted. Values of kappa 0.75 (75 percent) were considered in this way, indicating excellent agreement. The screened articles were then subjected to a full article review by two independent authors (TI and HB). The inclusion and exclusion criteria were used to screen the articles.

Methodological Quality Assessment
The Joana Briggs Institute (JBI) critical appraisal checklists (79) were used to assess the quality of the studies. The methodological quality of each study was independently evaluated by two reviewers (EA and LT). Discrepancies were solved through discussion with a third independent reviewer (MS.O.). Hence, studies scoring 7 or above after evaluation against these criteria were included in this systematic review and meta-analysis. In this manner, for studies reporting only prevalence data, the following major components were evaluated: appropriateness of the sample frame for addressing the target population, sample size adequacy, study setting and participants, whether the data analysis was conducted with sufficient coverage of the identified sample, validity and reliability of the measurement, appropriateness of the statistical analysis, and adequacy and management of response rate (Supplementary File 3). For the analytical cross-sectional studies, the JBI checklist assessed the following main components: inclusion criteria, participants and settings, whether the exposure was measured in a valid and reliable way, whether the standard and objective criteria were used for measuring the outcome, confounding factors and strategies used to deal with them, whether the outcome was measured in a valid and...
### TABLE 1 | The characteristics of the studies included in the systematic review and meta-analysis.

| References | Year | Country | Region | Study design | Total cases/Total sample | Primiparous Cases/Sample % | Multi-parous Cases/Sample % | Overall (%) | Quality |
|------------|------|---------|--------|--------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Yemaneh et al. (61) | 2015 | Ethiopia | East Africa | Cross-Sectional | 140/338 | 95/195 (48.7) | 45/143 (31.5) | 41.4 | Low risk |
| Woretaew et al. (66) | 2021 | Ethiopia | East Africa | Cross-Sectional | 181/410 | NR | NR | 44.2 | Low risk |
| Kidane et al. (45) | 2016 | Ethiopia | East Africa | Cross-sectional | 144/407 | 70/140 (50.0) | 74/267 (27.7) | 35.4 | Low risk |
| Worku et al. (57) | 2019 | Ethiopia | East Africa | Cross-Sectional | 134/387 | 102/158 (64.6) | 32/229 (14.0) | 35.2 | Low risk |
| Beyene et al. (53) | 2020 | Ethiopia | East Africa | Cross-Sectional | 169/411 | 99/154 (64.3) | 70/257 (27.2) | 41.1 | Low risk |
| Fikadu et al. (64) | 2020 | Ethiopia | East Africa | Cross-Sectional | 272/400 | 171/212 (80.7) | 101/188 (53.7) | 68.0 | Low risk |
| Tobieaw Tefera and Mekonen (54) | 2019 | Ethiopia | East Africa | Cross-Sectional | 265/405 | 181/215 (86.1) | 84/190 (44.2) | 65.4 | Low risk |
| Okeke et al. (58) | 2012 | Nigeria | West Africa | Cross-Sectional | 1,201/3,032 | 624/789 (79.1) | 577/2,243 (25.7) | 39.6 | Low risk |
| Alayande et al. (42) | 2012 | Nigeria | West Africa | Cross-Sectional | 1201/3,032 | 624/789 (79.1) | 577/2,243 (25.7) | 39.6 | Low risk |
| Onah and Akani (63) | 2004 | Nigeria | West Africa | Cross-Sectional | 175/433 | 99/130 (76.2) | 76/303 (25.1) | 40.4 | Low risk |
| Izuka et al. (82) | 2014 | Nigeria | West Africa | Cross-Sectional | 411/662 | NR | NR | 62.1 | Low risk |
| Chigbu et al. (41) | 2008 | Nigeria | West Africa | Cross-Sectional | 1,877/4,174 | 1,150/1,277 (90.1) | 727/2,897 (25.1) | 45.0 | Low risk |
| Owa et al. (40) | 2015 | Nigeria | West Africa | Cross-Sectional | 68/728 | 44/212 (20.8) | 24/516 (4.7) | 9.3 | Low risk |
| Ayyuba et al. (64) | 2016 | Nigeria | West Africa | Cross-Sectional | 5,040/12,168 | 2,844/5,582 (79.4) | 2,196/8,586 (25.6) | 41.4 | Low risk |
| Enyindah et al. (63) | 2007 | Nigeria | West Africa | Cross-Sectional | 1,846/4,720 | 972/1,260 (77.1) | 874/3,460 (25.3) | 39.1 | Low risk |
| Pembi et al. (55) | 2019 | Uganda | East Africa | Cross-sectional | 181/249 | NR | NR | 73.0 | Low risk |
| Innocent et al. (69) | 2018 | DRC | Central Africa | Cross-Sectional | 939/1,878 | 378/492 (76.8) | 561/1,386 (40.5) | 50.0 | Low risk |
| Bergh et al. (83) | 2003 | Zimbabwe | East Africa | Cross-Sectional | 965/3,589 | 838/1,560 (53.8) | 127/2,029 (6.3) | 27.0 | Low risk |
| Morhe et al. (64) | 2004 | Ghana | West Africa | Cross-Sectional | 374/2,151 | 268/847 (31.6) | 0/1,304 (0) | 17.4 | Low risk |
| Adama et al. (85) | 2018 | Burkina Faso | West Africa | Cross-Sectional | 813/3,703 | NR | NR | 22.0 | Low risk |

DRC, Democratic Republic of the Congo; NR, Not reported; %, Percentage.
reliable manner, and appropriateness of the statistical analysis (Supplementary File 4).

**Data Extraction**

Using a standard Microsoft Excel spreadsheet, BW and MO independently extracted the relevant data. For data extraction, the JBI adopted formats were used (80). The author’s name, study period and year of publication, methods and settings, age of the mothers, sample size and sampling procedure, data collection instrument, estimate of episiotomy practice with 95 percent confidence interval, response rate, and factors associated with episiotomy were all extracted. After retrieving data from 30% of the studies, the reliability agreement among the data extractors was assessed and confirmed using Cohan’s kappa coefficient. As a result, the kappa coefficient’s strength of agreement was classified as poor (≤0.20), fair (0.21–0.40), moderate (0.41–0.60), good (0.61–0.80), and almost perfect agreement (0.81–1) (81) and a kappa statistic value ≥0.5 was considered congruent and accepted. In the case of disagreements between the two data extractors, LT was involved in resolving them through discussion and re-checking of the original articles.

**Summary Measures**

The number of parturients who received episiotomy was divided by the total number of parturients and multiplied by one hundred to calculate the pooled episiotomy practice among African parturients. The pooled effect was investigated using the OR. Furthermore, variables identified as a risk factor for episiotomy in at least three studies were taken into account.

**Publication Bias and Heterogeneity**

To check for publication bias, we used Egger’s statistical tests and funnel plots. The presence of publication bias was thus declared with a statistical significance of 5%. The $I^2$-test was also used to determine heterogeneity. When the $I^2$-test value was 25, 50, and 75%, heterogeneity was classified as mild, moderate, and high, respectively, across the studies.

**Statistical Methods and Analysis**

All the extracted data was exported to STATA version 14 software for analysis. Due to the high heterogeneity among the included studies, the random-effects model was used for analysis. To find the source of heterogeneity, we used subgroup analysis based on African regions and meta-regression based on year of publication and sample size. The impact of the retrieved associated factors on the outcome variable was also investigated. Texts, forest plots, and tables were used to illustrate the findings of this systematic review and meta-analysis. The characteristics of the included studies were described using the OR with a 95% CI.
### RESULT

#### Study Search and Selection

Our search was restricted to articles published in English between January 1, 2000 and December 31, 2021 in the electronic databases PubMed, Hinari, Science Direct, Web of Science, CINAHL, and EMBASE. In addition, Google, Google scholar, and AJOL were used. Through systematic and manual searching, 934 primary articles were found. Due to duplication, 770 articles were removed. The remaining 164 were screened based on their title and abstract, with 130 being eliminated as unrelated to our study. Finally, 34 full-text primary articles were evaluated against eligibility criteria, and 21 were selected for quantitative analysis (Figure 1).

#### Study Characteristics

This systematic review and meta-analysis included a total of 21 articles from seven African countries. Eighteen primary studies employed an analytical cross-sectional study design, while the remaining three studies employed a descriptive cross-sectional study design. Regarding the settings in which the studies were conducted eight studies were conducted in Ethiopia (45, 46, 54, 57, 61, 64, 66), eight in Nigeria (40–42, 58, 63, 65, 82), and the remainder of the studies were conducted in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) (69), Zimbabwe (83), Uganda (55), Ghana (84), and Burkina Faso (85). The majority of the studies, 16 (76.2%), were reported from Eastern and Western African countries. The number of study participants ranged from 249 (55), in a study conducted in Uganda to 12,168 (56) in Nigeria. Out of 40,831 women, episiotomy was practiced on 15,437 of them. The highest prevalence of episiotomy practice was reported in a study from Uganda, at 73% (55), followed by Ethiopia, at 68% (64). On the contrary, the lowest rate of episiotomy, 9.3%, was reported in a study from Nigeria (40). In this meta-analysis, 17 of the 21 studies reported a percentage of episiotomy practice in primiparous and multiparous women (40–42, 45, 46, 53, 54, 56–58, 61, 63–66, 69, 83), while the other four studies did not (55, 82, 84, 85). The mean age of the study participants was not reported in ~66.7% of the studies (40, 46, 55, 56, 58, 63, 64, 66, 69, 82–84). Among the studies that reported the age of the study subjects, the mean age of women for whom episiotomy was performed was 25.57 years (41, 45, 53, 54, 57, 61, 85). The year

---

**TABLE 1**

| Study ID | Study Title | ES (95% CI) | Weight |
|---------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| Yemaneh et al. (2015) | 48.72 (41.70, 55.73) | 5.80 |
| Kidane et al. (2016) | 50.00 (41.72, 58.28) | 5.72 |
| Solomon et al. (2019) | 64.56 (57.10, 72.02) | 5.77 |
| Beyene et al. (2020) | 64.29 (56.72, 71.85) | 5.77 |
| Fikadu et al. (2020) | 80.66 (75.34, 85.98) | 5.90 |
| Tobia et al. (2019) | 84.19 (79.31, 89.06) | 5.92 |
| Teshome et al. (2020) | 53.39 (48.82, 59.97) | 5.83 |
| Okeke et al. (2012) | 79.09 (76.25, 81.93) | 5.99 |
| Alayande et al. (2012) | 62.16 (53.14, 71.18) | 5.66 |
| Onah et al. (2004) | 76.15 (68.83, 83.48) | 5.78 |
| Chigbu et al. (2008) | 90.05 (88.41, 91.70) | 6.01 |
| Innocent et al. (2018) | 76.83 (73.10, 80.56) | 5.96 |
| Owa et al. (2015) | 20.75 (15.30, 26.21) | 5.89 |
| Ayyuba et al. (2016) | 79.40 (78.07, 80.72) | 6.02 |
| Enyindah et al. (2007) | 77.14 (74.62, 79.46) | 6.00 |
| Bergh et al. (2003) | 53.72 (51.24, 56.19) | 6.00 |
| Morhe et al. (2004) | 31.64 (28.51, 34.77) | 5.98 |
| Overall (I-squared = 99.2%, p = 0.000) | 64.37 (55.62, 73.12) | 100.00 |

**NOTE:** Weights are from random effects analysis

---

**FIGURE 3** | The pooled prevalence of episiotomy practice among primiparous women in Africa, 2022.
of publication of the included studies ranged from 2003 to 2021 (Table 1).

Regarding the sampling techniques and data collection tools, nine studies employed systematic random sampling methods (45, 46, 53–55, 57, 61, 64, 66), eleven studies employed either retrospective (40–42, 56, 58, 63, 65, 82–84) or prospective (85) analysis of delivery notes, while the remaining one study employed stratified sampling methods (69). Furthermore, pre-tested questionnaires and refined checklists were used to collect data (Supplementary File 5).

**Meta-Analysis**

**Prevalence of Episiotomy Practice**

This systematic review and meta-analysis included 21 studies to estimate the pooled prevalence of episiotomy practice among African parturients who gave birth in health facilities. The heterogeneity ($I^2$) of the included studies was ($I^2 = 99.3\%; P < 0.001$) when using the fixed effect model. Due to the high heterogeneity of the data, we used a random effects model to estimate the pooled prevalence of episiotomy practice, which was $41.7\%$ [95% CI (36.0–47.4)] (Figure 2).

**Supplementary Analysis**

In addition, supplementary analysis was performed on 17 of the 21 studies that reported the magnitude of episiotomy in primiparous ($n = 11,555$) and multiparous women ($n = 24,252$) to estimate the percentage of episiotomy practiced. As a result, the combined prevalence of episiotomy among primiparous and multiparous women was $64.4\%$ [(95% CI: 55.6–73.1), $I^2 = 99.2\%, P < 0.001$] and $26.3\%$ [(95% CI: 20.6–31.9), $I^2 = 99.1\%, P < 0.001$] among primiparous (Figure 3) and multiparous (Figure 4) respectively.

**Heterogeneity**

We used subgroup analysis based on African regions, as well as meta-regression based on year of publication and sample size, to find the source of heterogeneity.
We used the random-effects model to perform sensitivity analysis. The results of the analysis revealed that single study had no effect on the final pooled prevalence of episiotomy practice (Table 3).

Publication Bias
All studies fell within the funnel plot based on subjective inspection (Figure 6). Furthermore, neither Egger’s linear regression test ($t = 0.16, P = 0.260$) nor Begg’s rank correlation test ($z = 0.15, P = 0.880$) were statistically significant (Table 4).

Factors Associated With Episiotomy Practice in Africa
The pooled odds ratio was used to identify factors linked to episiotomy practice, and the association with the outcome variable was declared at a 5% significant level. As a result, eight variables were extracted from at least three studies. Six variables were found to be predictors of episiotomy performance: birth attendant, mode of delivery, oxytocin use, prolonged second stage of labor, birth weight, and parity.

This study revealed that primiparous women had 6.78 times more likelihood of incurring an episiotomy as compared to multiparous women [OR: 6.796 (95% CI (4.862–9.498)), $P < 0.001$, $I^2$: 95.1%]. Furthermore, episiotomy was 3.7 times more likely to be practiced when medical doctors attended the delivery compared to midwives [OR: 3.675 (95% CI (2.034–6.640)), $P < 0.001$, $I^2$: 72.6%]. In this study, the second stage of labor delayed for more than 2 h was 5.5 times more likely to end up with an episiotomy [OR: 5.539 (95% CI (4.252–7.199)), $P < 0.001$, $I^2$: 0.0%].

In the current review, laboring mothers who were given oxytocin for labor augmentation were 4.21 times more likely to undergo episiotomy when compared to their counterparts [OR: 4.207 (95% CI (3.100–5.709)), $P < 0.001$, $I^2$: 0.0%]. Regarding the mode of delivery, mothers whose labor was assisted by instrumental vaginal delivery were 5.58 times more likely to undergo episiotomy compared to those delivered by spontaneous vertex delivery [OR: 5.578 (95% CI (4.285–7.260)), $P < 0.001$, $I^2$: 65.1%]. Furthermore, the findings from the current review revealed that the odds of episiotomy practice were 5.32 times more likely when the fetal birth weight was $\geq$4,000 g [OR: 5.32 (95% CI (2.738–10.339)), $P < 0.001$, $I^2$: 95.1%] compared to the normal birth weight (Table 5).

**DISCUSSION**

The aim of this meta-analysis was to find out how common episiotomy was and what factors were linked to it. This review included twenty-one studies in order to summarize the extent of episiotomy use and identify associated factors among African women who gave birth in public health facilities. These results

---

**Figure 5** | Subgroup analyses on the pooled prevalence of episiotomy practice by African regions, 2022 (source: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_regions_of_Africa).

**Table 2** | Meta regression analysis of factors affecting between study heterogeneity.

| Heterogeneity source | Coefficient | Standard error | $T$ | $P > t$ | [95% conf. interval] |
|----------------------|-------------|---------------|-----|---------|---------------------|
| Year                 | 0.0088525   | 0.0426002     | 0.21| 0.838   | $-0.0806471$ to $0.0983522$ |
| Sample size          | 0.0000309   | 0.0000559     | 0.55| 0.588   | $-0.0000865$ to $0.0001482$ |
have been obtained from research conducted in a number of African countries. The routine use of episiotomy, according to researchers, increases the risk of perineal trauma (27). All international organizations, including the WHO, agree with the body of evidence that routine episiotomy has no place in the modern era of advanced maternal care (75). Furthermore, the 2006 ACOG bulletin did not recommend the routine use of episiotomy (3).

Nonetheless, a remarkable spectrum of episiotomy practice has been observed among countries around the globe (82). The current review found that the pooled prevalence of episiotomy practices among laboring mothers in Africa was 41.7 [95% CI (36.0–47.4)] for all vaginal deliveries. There is a wide difference in episiotomy practice from region to region within the African continent. The sample size, year of publication, and settings where the studies were conducted might have contributed to a high and uneven spectrum of episiotomy. Furthermore, such disparities may indicate a lack of evidence-based standardized policy, training, and practice across the continent. Another possible explanation for the variation in episiotomy practices among African countries could be the preference to employ episiotomy frequently because of the simultaneous belief that allowing even minor perineal tears is more cumbersome than repair when an episiotomy cut is performed.

In the current review, the rate of episiotomy for all vaginal deliveries is by far higher than previous studies carried out in Denmark, 4.9% (20), Sweden, 6.6% (20), Nigeria, 7% (40), the United States in 2011, 9.4% (20), Ghana, 17% (84), United Kingdom (UK) in 2011/2012, 15.20% (20), Burkina Faso, 21% (85), in rural Zimbabwe, 26% (83), Hong Kong, 27% (86), Vietnamese-born women living in Australia, 29.9% (30), Slovenia, 31.3% in 2013 (87), and 14.3% in France (88). A significant and continuing decline in the rate of episiotomy practices in some countries over the years may be attributed to the adoption of clinical practice guidelines advocating the policy of restricted episiotomy use. Clesse et al. (88), for instance, found a remarkable and persistent decline in the episiotomy figure 7.3 of percentage points and a rate of change of 34% from 2013.

Similarly, the episiotomy rate in all vaginal deliveries in the United States fell from 60.9% in 1979 to 24.5% in 2004 (89), and the rate in Hong Kong fell from 73% in 2003 to 27% in 2008 (86). In contrast, the pooled estimate for episiotomy practice, in all vaginal deliveries, in this meta-analysis was lower than in a study conducted in India, where 63.4% (90), Oman, 66% (27), Portugal, 72.9% in 2010 (20), Mexico City, 77.2% (25), Turkey, 93.3% (91), Phnom Penh, Cambodia, 94.5% (28), and Taiwan, 100% (23), which may suggest liberal use in these countries.

This systematic review and meta-analysis also identified potential determinants of episiotomy practices among parturients in Africa. Thus, primiparity was found to be significantly associated with the use of episiotomy, which is supported by the findings of other previous studies (30, 68). Another study also found that primiparas were more likely to undergo episiotomy than multiparas (28). The results of this review are also in line with those of previous studies conducted in Ethiopia (45, 46, 53, 57, 61, 64), Nigeria (40–42, 56, 58, 63, 65), Brazil (92), Vietnam (93), Iran (67), Saudi Arabia (94), Latin America (59), France (68), East African migrants in Australia (95), Taiwan (96), and Vietnam born women in Australia (30). The potential explanation may be that episiotomies are thought to speed up the second stage of labor and reduce

### TABLE 3 | Sensitivity analysis of pooled prevalence with each study removed one by one.

| Study omitted          | Estimate | 95% [confidence interval] |
|------------------------|----------|---------------------------|
| Yemaneh et al. (61)    | 41.705051| 35.847664 47.562439       |
| Woreta et al. (69)     | 41.570477| 35.714336 47.42862        |
| Kidane et al. (45)     | 42.004498| 36.133785 47.87521        |
| Worku et al. (57)      | 42.041344| 36.172348 47.910336       |
| Beyene et al. (63)     | 41.720245| 35.8564  47.584087        |
| Fikadu et al. (64)     | 40.381493| 34.705494 46.057491       |
| Tobia Tefera and Mekonen (54) | 40.511047| 34.801342 46.220753       |
| Teshome et al. (46)    | 41.397526| 35.557297 47.237751       |
| Okeke et al. (58)      | 41.805637| 35.741482 47.869793       |
| Aelayse et al. (42)    | 42.053123| 36.194939 47.911308       |
| Onah and Akani (65)    | 41.755241| 35.888203 47.622284       |
| Izu et al. (62)        | 40.66296 | 34.972534 46.353886       |
| Pebolo et al. (65)     | 40.173367| 34.488853 45.857876       |
| Chigbu et al. (41)     | 41.530837| 35.533012 47.527702       |
| Innocent et al. (69)   | 41.268299| 35.447868 47.08873        |
| Owa et al. (43)        | 43.314487| 37.978573 48.650406       |
| Auyuba et al. (56)     | 41.726723| 35.287895 48.16555        |
| Enyindah et al. (63)   | 41.836052| 35.640514 48.03159        |
| Bergh et al. (83)      | 42.452347| 36.423828 48.490965       |
| Morhe et al. (84)      | 42.917702| 37.378407 48.456997       |
| Adama et al. (85)      | 42.694324| 36.942516 48.446133       |
| Combined               | 41.690794| 35.994615 47.386973       |

FIGURE 6 | Funnel plots for publication bias for episiotomy practice in Africa, 2022.
the risk of spontaneous perineal tears, but such perceptions among obstetricians or midwives have not been supported by evidence.

Fetal macrosomia is common in obstetrics with problems in both the mother and newborn. The current review also showed that newborn birth weight $\geq 4,000$ g was another risk factor associated with the practice of episiotomy compared with normal birth weight, which coincides with the findings reported in studies carried out in Ethiopia (53, 54, 57), France (51), and Nigeria (42, 56, 82). Other than newborns', fetal macrosomia causes maternal complications during delivery, such as 3rd or 4th degree perineal tears (97, 98). Rates of episiotomy, and other morbidities and mortality associated with predicted macrosomia could be reduced by cesarean deliveries (99). However, when such prenatal screening is not available as in underdeveloped countries, it contributes to high magnitudes of episiotomy.

Statistical analysis of this result also indicates that a protracted second stage of labor is among the important risk factors positively associated with episiotomy. This finding is also supported by studies conducted in Iran (67), Spain (100), and Brazil (92). When mothers exert themselves in labor for more than 2 h, they usually become exhausted. Moreover, inadequate provision of maternal support will also result in prolonged labor. This time, the attending healthcare professional is forced to perform an episiotomy cut to alleviate or reduce morbidity to the fetus (53).

In present study, laboring mothers who had used oxytocin for the induction or augmentation of labor had higher odds of being exposed to episiotomy than their counterparts. Our results concur with the findings in Iran (67), Brazil (92), Vietnam (30), and Latin America (32). Similarly this review is congruent with a study conducted in Shroud City, northeast Iran (67). The potential explanation could be due to oxytocin induced uterine hyper stimulation which in turn may affect the normal beat to beat variability during labor resulting in non-reassuring fetal heart rate patterns. In such cases episiotomy is usually performed for expeditious delivery of the baby in an attempt to shorten labor time.

None spontaneous vertex deliveries (vacuum-assisted, forceps, and assisted breech deliveries) were another risk factor for episiotomy practice in laboring mothers compared with spontaneous vertex delivery and it is in line with previous studies conducted in other settings (32, 37, 67, 94). Such a correlation may arise from tertiary hospitals' endeavors to handle abnormal labor, complex and advanced maternity care. Therefore, doctors and midwives may perform an episiotomy to decrease perineal tears in such a situation.

The other finding from this study is that deliveries attended by doctors were positively associated with episiotomy practice compared with deliveries attended by midwives. Similar findings have been observed in other settings (40, 57, 61). One of the reasons might be that abnormal labors are frequently attended by medical doctors and, hence, episiotomies are performed liberally to support and assist the labor process with forceps or vacuum delivery.

**Limitations of This Study**

The limitations of this systematic review have been acknowledged. Some studies did not contain sufficient predictor variables to adequately determine the degree of prediction. However, attempts were made to include all other potential variables across the identified databases. Furthermore, in this review, the study method used in all included articles was a cross-sectional design. As a result, the outcome variable might be...
affected by other confounding variables, which would decrease the power of the study and the causal conclusion between episiotomy and its associated factors. In the current meta-analysis, all included studies were conducted in African countries in three regions: Eastern Africa, Central Africa, and Western Africa. Therefore, it might lack continental representativeness because no information was found in the northern and southern regions of the African continent. However, the maternal health care and health care facilities in these regions are not different from those in other regions of the continent. Furthermore, the results of this review should be interpreted cautiously as there is significant heterogeneity in pooled effect estimates.

**Strength of This Study**

The protocol for this study has been registered. More than seven online databases were searched to avoid missing published studies, including articles published in African journals. In addition, a manual search was performed to retrieve the article using Google Scholar. During the selection of articles, the PRISMA guidelines were strictly followed, and the articles were closely assessed for their quality using the newly amended JBI critical appraisal tool. Furthermore, we used broader inclusion criteria to include articles published from 2000 to 2021GC. In studies that reported percent of episiotomy in both primiparous and multiparous parturients, additional analysis was performed. A sensitivity analysis was also carried out.

**CONCLUSION**

The pooled random effect meta-analysis revealed that the prevalence of episiotomy practice among laboring mothers in Africa was high when compared to existing global recommendations, including those from the WHO. Furthermore, primiparity, macrosomia, prolonged second stage of labor, instrument assisted vaginal deliveries, augmented or induced labor using oxytocin, and deliveries attended by medical doctors were independent predictors of episiotomy practice in African health facilities.
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