HunFlair: an easy-to-use tool for state-of-the-art biomedical named entity recognition
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Abstract

Summary: Named entity recognition (NER) is an important step in biomedical information extraction pipelines. Tools for NER should be easy to use, cover multiple entity types, be highly accurate and be robust toward variations in text genre and style. We present HunFlair, a NER tagger fulfilling these requirements. HunFlair is integrated into the widely used NLP framework Flair, recognizes five biomedical entity types, reaches or overcomes state-of-the-art performance on a wide set of evaluation corpora, and is trained in a cross-corpus setting to avoid corpus-specific bias. Technically, it uses a character-level language model pretrained on roughly 24 million biomedical abstracts and three million full texts. It outperforms other off-the-shelf biomedical NER tools with an average gain of 7.26 pp over the next best tool in a cross-corpus setting and achieves on-par results with state-of-the-art research prototypes in in-corpus experiments. HunFlair can be installed with a single command and is applied with only four lines of code. Furthermore, it is accompanied by harmonized versions of 23 biomedical NER corpora.

Availability and implementation: HunFlair is freely available through the Flair NLP framework (https://github.com/flairNLP/flair) under an MIT license and is compatible with all major operating systems.

Contact: weberple@informatik.hu-berlin.de or saengema@informatik.hu-berlin.de

Supplementary information: Supplementary data are available at Bioinformatics online.

1 Introduction

Recognizing biomedical entities (NER) such as genes, chemicals or diseases in unstructured scientific text is a crucial step of all biomedical information extraction pipelines. The respective tools are typically trained and evaluated on rather small gold standard datasets. However, in any real application they are applied ‘in the wild’, i.e. to a large collection of texts often varying in focus, entity distribution, genre (e.g. patents versus scientific articles) and text type (e.g. abstract versus full text). This mismatch can lead to severely misleading evaluation results. To address this, we recently released the HUNER tagger (Weber et al., 2020) that was trained jointly on a large collection of biomedical NER datasets, leading to a much better performance on unseen corpora compared to models trained on a single corpus. However, HUNER relies on a Docker installation and uses a client-server architecture. These design decisions do not hinder its own installation but make its integration into any of the major NLP frameworks, which is required for the construction of comprehensive information extraction pipelines, cumbersome. Moreover, HUNER does not build upon a pretrained language model (LM), although such models were the basis for many recent breakthroughs in NLP research (Akbik et al., 2019).

Here, we present HunFlair, a redesigned and retrained version of HUNER integrated into the widely used Flair NLP framework. HunFlair builds upon a pretrained character-level language model. It recognizes five important biomedical entity types with high accuracy, namely Cell Lines, Chemicals, Diseases, Genes and Species. Through its shipping as a Flair component, it can be easily combined with other IE tools (e.g. text parsing, document classification, hedge detection) or other language models and benefits from the experiences and future developments of the large user and developer base of Flair. Through its simple but extensible interface, it is easily accessible also for non-experts. Technically, HunFlair combines the insights from Weber et al. (2020) and Akbik et al. (2019) by merging character-level LM pretraining and joint training on multiple gold standard corpora, which leads to strong gains over other state-
of-the-art off-the-shelf NER tools. For HunFlair, we specially trained a character-level in-domain LM on a large corpus of biomedical abstracts and full-texts and make it publicly available to facilitate further research.

In addition, we integrate 23 biomedical NER corpora into HunFlair using a consistent format, which enables researchers and practitioners to rapidly train their own models and experiment with new approaches within Flair. Note that these are the same corpora that were already made available through HUNER. However, the integration into Flair has the additional benefits of more convenient automated downloading, and flexible pre-processing. While HUNER’s corpora came preprocessed with a particular method, users of HunFlair may process the corpora along with their own choices, for instance by using different sentence resp. word segmentation methods.

2 HunFlair

HunFlair was created by implementing the approach behind HUNER into the Flair NLP framework, along with its improvement by integrating a pretrained language model. Flair is an NLP framework designed to allow intuitive training and distribution of sequence labeling, text classification and language models. Flair achieves state-of-the-art performance in several NLP research challenges (Akbik et al., 2018), allows researchers to ‘mix and match’ various types of character, word and document embeddings and features a base of more than 120 contributors. In addition, more than 500 open-source projects and python libraries rely on Flair (see https://github.com/flairNLP/flair).

HunFlair’s corpora came preprocessed with a particular method, may process the corpora along with their own methods.

3 Results

We compare the tagging accuracy of HunFlair to two types of competitors: Other ‘off-the-shelf’ biomedical NER tools, and other recent research prototypes. Therefore, we classify a tool as off-the-shelf when it (i) comes with pretrained prediction models (ease of use), and (ii) can be locally installed (to allow the application to potentially large and potentially propriatary text collections). In contrast, we consider a tool as research prototype when its application requires a retraining of models or when it is only usable as a web service.

Our primary comparisons to off-the-shelf tools are based on cross-corpus experiments, because these give insight into the generalization properties of a model across different text types (e.g. full text versus abstract) and scientific subdomains (e.g. human oncology, psychological diseases, biology of plants, etc.). Clearly, this comes at the price of introducing a bias against methods which were designed for specific annotation guidelines that differ from those of an evaluation corpus. Therefore, our comparisons to research prototypes are based on in-corpus experiments which evaluate the architecture of HunFlair also in this setting.

3.1 Comparison to off-the-shelf tools

We compare the performance of HunFlair in a cross-corpus setting to five other state-of-the-art biomedical NER tools using three gold standard corpora: CRAFT (Bada et al., 2012), BioNLP13 Cancer Genetics (Pyysalo et al., 2013) and PDR (Kim et al., 2019). None of these was used in the training of neither HunFlair nor any competitor tools and we checked that there are no significant textual overlaps between these corpora and any of HunFlair’s trainings corpora. We compare (restricted to the supported entity types) against SciSpacy (Neumann et al., 2019), HUNER (Weber et al., 2020), tmChem (Leaman et al., 2015), GNormPlus (Wei et al., 2015) and DNorm (Leaman et al., 2013). As SciSpacy comes with several models for each entity type, we report the best performance among all of those models that were not trained on the evaluation corpus. Results can be found in Table 1.

HunFlair outperforms all competitors in all but one comparison, with an average gain of 7.26 pp in F1. Note that this evaluation uses mention-level F1 scores and compares against the gold spans annotated in the original corpora, while allowing for a one-character

Table 1. F1-scores of several off-the-shelf biomedical NER tools on three unseen corpora

| Tool         | CRAFT | BioNLP | CG | PDR |
|--------------|-------|--------|----|-----|
|             | Ch    | G     | S  |     |
| Misc        | 42.88 | 64.93 | 81.15 | 72.15 | 55.64 | 68.97 | 80.53 | 80.63 |
| SciSpacy    | 35.73 | 47.76 | 54.21 | 58.43 | 56.48 | 66.18 | 57.11 | 75.90 |
| HUNER       | 42.99 | 50.77 | 84.45 | 67.37 | 55.32 | 71.22 | 67.84 | 73.64 |
| HunFlair    | 59.69 | 72.19 | 85.05 | 81.82 | 65.07 | 87.71 | 76.47 | 83.44 |

Note: We distinguish entity types Chemical (Ch), Disease (D), Gene (G) and Species (S). The best results are in bold. Misc displays the results of multiple taggers: tmChem for Chemical, GNormPlus for Gene and Species and DNorm for Disease. Note, this cross-corpus evaluation setup aims to assess the robustness of the tools regarding varying entity type definitions. However, it can introduce a bias against tools designed for a particular annotation guideline such as those listed under Misc.
offset which accounts for differences in the handling of special characters. Results for a slightly different evaluation protocol, which considers as match any overlap between gold standard and predicted spans, along with a more in-depth discussion of evaluation setups and results, can be found in Supplementary Material S2. Although especially SciSpacy and HUNER profit from this more lenient evaluation (+8.04 pp/+5.55 pp), the overall ranking of methods is not changed.

3.2 Comparison to research prototypes
We compare HunFlair’s results in an in-corpus setting to those reported by four different research prototypes based on three different corpora: JNLPBA (Kim et al., 2004), BioCreative V CDR (Li et al., 2016) and NCBI Disease (Dogán et al., 2014). These corpora were chosen because of the availability of published results on the test splits. Specifically, we compare to BioBERT (Lee et al., 2019), SciBERT (Beltagy et al., 2019), CollaboNet (Yoon et al., 2019) and SciSpacy (Neumann et al., 2019). To ensure a fair comparison, we proceed as follows when evaluating HunFlair in this setting. We first remove the three evaluation corpora from the pretraining set. We next pre-train HunFlair on all remaining corpora and then fine-tune it on the training and development portions of the respective corpus.

The results can be found in Table 2 and the detailed evaluation protocol is described in Supplementary Material S3. HunFlair sets the new state-of-the-art on BioCreative V CDR consisting of chemical and disease annotations with a macro-averaged F1 score of 90.57. For JNLPBA (gene) and NCBI Disease, it reaches on-par results with the competitor methods. We also investigate the effect of pretraining on multiple gold standard corpora, by comparing HunFlair to a non-pretrained version on all 23 NER corpora. On average, finetuning improves results on all entity types with the improvements in F1 ranging from 0.8 pp for chemicals to 4.75 pp for cell lines. The full results per corpus are provided in Supplementary Material S4.

4 Conclusion
We proposed HunFlair, a state-of-the-art biomedical NER tagger. Through its tight integration into the Flair NLP framework, it is easy to install, easy to use and easy to combine with other NLP modules. It comes comes along with 23 biomedical NER corpora in a single format while still enabling customized pre-processing.

Table 2. Comparison with the reported results of state-of-the-art research prototypes for BioNER

|                         | JNLPBA (Gene) | BC5CDR    | NCBI     |
|-------------------------|--------------|-----------|----------|
| SciBERT                 | 77.28        | 90.01     | 88.57    |
| BioBERT v1.1            | 77.49        | 89.76     | 89.71    |
| CollaboNET              | 78.58        | 87.68     | 86.60    |
| SciSpacy                | –            | 83.92     | 81.56    |
| HunFlair                | 77.60        | 89.65     | 88.65    |
| HunFlair (vanilla)      | 77.78        | 90.57     | 87.47    |

Note: Scores are macro-averaged F1 and best results are printed in bold. Note, that HunFlair has been trained on the training and development portions of the respective corpus. ‘HunFlair (vanilla)’ refers to the HunFlair model without pretraining on gold standard corpora.

HunFlair is a redesign of HUNER, which it extends with pretrained domain-specific character-level language models. It outperforms a series of other off-the-shelf tools in a cross-corpus evaluation setting on different datasets, and achieves on-par results with current state-of-the-art research prototypes based on in-corpus experiments.
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