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Abstract—The development of integrated photonic circuits utilizing gallium phosphide requires a robust, scalable process for fabrication of GaP-on-insulator devices. Here, we present the first GaP photonic devices on SiO₂. The process exploits direct wafer bonding of a GaP/AlG₆Ga₁₋₆P/GaP heterostructure onto a SiO₂-on-Si wafer followed by the removal of the GaP substrate and the AlG₆Ga₁₋₆P stop layer. Photonic devices such as grating couplers, waveguides, and ring resonators are patterned by inductively coupled-plasma reactive-ion etching in the top GaP device layer. The peak coupling efficiency of the fabricated grating couplers is as high as −4.8 dB. Optical quality factors of 20 000 as well as second- and third-harmonic generation are observed with the ring resonators. Because the large bandgap of GaP provides for low two-photon absorption at telecommunication wavelengths, the high-yield fabrication of GaP-on-insulator photonic devices enabled by this work is especially interesting for applications in nanophotonics, where high quality factors or low mode volumes can produce high electric field intensities. The large bandgap also enables integrated photonic devices operating at visible wavelengths.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Gallium phosphide (GaP) has been an important material in the photonics industry since the 1960s. Together with GaAsP, it has been the basis for a range of light-emitting devices [1] despite the fact that it has an indirect bandgap for the thermodynamically favored cubic (zinc blende) crystal structure. More recently, a range of nanophasnic devices and phenomena utilizing GaP has been investigated. These include phonon crystal cavities [2] and coupling of these cavities to emitters, such as fluorescent molecules [3] and nitrogen vacancy (NV) centers [4]. Novel spectrometer concepts [5] as well as second harmonic [6], [7] and sum-frequency generation [8] have also been demonstrated with phonon crystal cavities.

The latter is made possible by the non-centrosymmetric crystal structure of GaP, which results in a non-vanishing second-order susceptibility χ(2) (δd₁₄ = 82 pm/V at 1318 nm) [9]. Microdisk resonators made of GaP have also been coupled to NV centers [10] and have been used to observe cavity optomechanics [11], where the low two-photon absorption at 1550 nm leads to reduced heating compared to Si devices [12], [13]. Indeed, the large bandgap (2.26 eV) of GaP makes it an attractive material for mitigating such losses for devices operating at near-infrared wavelengths (λmax > 1100 nm) in addition to enabling functionality at visible wavelengths. The relatively high index of refraction of GaP (n > 3.05 for vacuum wavelengths up to 1600 nm) [14] produces strong confinement of the light and small mode volumes.

All of the nanophotonic structures mentioned above were fabricated on top of a sacrificial AlG₆Ga₁₋₆P layer, which was removed in the region under the device by wet etching to exploit the refractive index contrast between GaP and air. This approach is viable only for free-standing structures; photonic devices such as waveguides, grating couplers or ring resonators cannot be realized in this manner. Fabrication of non-freestanding GaP photonic structures has been achieved utilizing lift-off and transfer onto diamond, where the specific aim was coupling to NV centers [15]–[18]. Large-scale wafer-level fabrication is however not practicable with this technique, and as such it is only sufficient for testing of single devices. Most recently, an approach has been demonstrated exploiting GaP films grown on silicon that are subsequently transferred to glass with an adhesive interlayer (SU-8 2002) [19]. Although this represents a step forward, the use of a polymer limits further processing because of thermal stability, and the process does not provide for full integration, for example with electronic circuitry.

A similar transfer technique using benzocyclobutene instead as the adhesive has been applied to create InGaP-on-silicon dioxide [20] and AlGaAs-on-silicon dioxide [21] low-loss waveguides and high-quality resonators. The second-order nonlinear properties of these III-V materials are comparable to GaP, namely for InGaP, δd₁₄ = 83 pm/V at 1570 nm [22], and for AlGaAs, δd₁₄ = 120 pm/V at 870 nm [23]. Only theoretical values are available in the literature for the third-order susceptibility of GaP. They are of the same order of magnitude as those of InGaP and AlGaAs (n(2) ~ 10⁻¹⁰ m²/W). The primary advantage of GaP with respect to these other high-refractive-index, high-nonlinearity systems is its significantly larger electronic bandgap.
RIE = prepared by thermal \( \mu \times \) and Cl\(_4\)\[F/2264\] for facile nucleation of the subsequent Al\(_x\)Ga\(_{1-x}\)P layer provided by atomic layer deposition (ALD). Megasonic cleaning (Gallium \( \mu \times \)) ensured a hydrophilic surface free of organic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD). The initially deposited 100 nm-thick homoepitaxial GaP buffer layer provided for facile nucleation of the subsequent Al\(_x\)Ga\(_{1-x}\)P etch-stop layer. The Al\(_x\)Ga\(_{1-x}\)P layer, also 100 nm thick, had an approximate composition of Al\(_{0.36}\)Ga\(_{0.64}\)P as determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD). The lattice mismatch of 0.09% between GaP and Al\(_{0.36}\)Ga\(_{0.64}\)P corresponds to a Matthews-Blakeslee critical thickness [24] of 157 nm. As the grown thickness is below this value, which should be a conservative estimate, we do not expect any influence on the quality of the following GaP device layer, which has a nominal thickness of 300 nm. All layers were deposited at a susceptor temperature of 650 °C. This layer stack, dubbed the source wafer, was bonded onto a 4-inch Si target wafer capped with 2 µm of SiO\(_2\) prepared by thermal dry oxidation at 1050 °C, which eventually becomes the buried oxide of the GaP-o-I wafer [25]. Prior to bonding, the source and target wafers were both coated with approximately 5 nm of Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) by atomic layer deposition (ALD). Megasonic cleaning with ozone-rich deionized water before and after coating with Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) ensured a hydrophilic surface free of organic contamination. The source and target wafer surfaces were brought into intimate contact at room temperature in ambient atmosphere to initiate the bonding followed by annealing at 300 C for 2 hours to increase the bonding energy.

The original GaP substrate must be removed after bonding. Ideally, a single process which rapidly and selectively removes GaP, stopping on the Al\(_x\)Ga\(_{1-x}\)P layer, would be used. To our knowledge, no suitable process for wet etching of GaP selectively with respect to Al\(_x\)Ga\(_{1-x}\)P has been published. With the prerequisite of removing several hundred microns in a reasonable time, we tested various solutions for this purpose without success (see Supplemental Material, Section I). A selective dry-etch process exists [26] but cannot practically be used alone due to its relatively slow rate and the quantity of material to be removed. We therefore employed a three-step procedure.

First, the GaP substrate was thinned down to \( \leq 70 \) µm by etching in a commercial alkaline solution of K\(_2\)Fe(CN)\(_6\) (Gallium Phosphide Etchant, Transene). Because \{100\} faces are polished by this etchant, the peak-to-peak roughness of the initially unpolished back side of the substrate was substantially reduced. The observed etch rate reached a maximum of 670 nm/min for the (100) face, but depended on agitation, temperature, and time in the etchant (presumably because the etchant was being consumed) and was thus hard to control and not sufficiently homogeneous. An alternative for thinning is wafer grinding, which may provide better thickness uniformity and permit continued processing on a wafer scale. At this point, however, the wafer was diced into chips approximately 7 mm \( \times \) 7 mm. The remaining GaP substrate was then further thinned to \( \leq 20 \) µm by inductively-coupled-plasma reactive ion etching (ICP-RIE) in an Oxford Instruments PlasmaPro System 100 ICP tool with a mixture of H\(_2\) and Cl\(_2\) that etches homogeneously at a rate of 1.6 µm/min. The process parameters were 20 sccm Cl\(_2\), 20 sccm H\(_2\), 260 V DC bias, 80 W RF power, 600 W ICP power, 5 mTorr chamber pressure, and 80 °C sample electrode temperature.

Selective etching of GaP in the presence of Al\(_x\)Ga\(_{1-x}\)P was provided by the third and final step consisting of another ICP-RIE process, this time with a mixture of Cl\(_2\) and CF\(_4\). The only previously known selective plasma etch is a SiCl\(_4\)/SiF\(_4\) RIE process developed by Eppele et al. [26], with which a selectivity

![Fig. 1. Schematic of the process flow for GaP-o-I device fabrication.](image-url)
of 126:1 was achieved for GaP with respect to Al$_{0.8}$Ga$_{0.2}$P, a composition with high aluminum content. The etching action is attributed primarily to chlorine-containing species, whereas the fluorine is required for the formation of relatively nonvolatile AlF$_3$, which serves as an etch inhibitor. Because SiF$_2$ is not available in our ICP-RIE tool (Oxford Instruments PlasmaPro System 100 ICP), we investigated alternative mixtures of various chlorine and fluorine sources, namely Cl$_2$/SF$_6$, Cl$_2$/CF$_4$, Cl$_2$/CHF$_3$, SiCl$_4$/CHF$_3$ and SiCl$_2$/CF$_4$ mixtures (see Supplemental Material, Section II). Only Cl$_2$/CF$_4$ and Cl$_2$/CHF$_3$ plasmas exhibited etch rates above 100 nm/min as needed for the removal of several microns within a reasonable timeframe. Optimization of the Cl$_2$/CF$_4$ process (see Supplemental Material, Section II for details) gave the following process parameters: 7.5 sccm Cl$_2$, 30 sccm CF$_4$, 240 V DC bias, 100 W ICP power, 60 W RF power, 15 mTorr chamber pressure, and 20 °C sample electrode temperature. GaP was etched with an etch rate of 270 nm/min. The selectivity with respect to Al$_{0.36}$Ga$_{0.64}$P for our bonded heterostructure was estimated to be approximately 120:1. This optimized recipe was used to remove the remaining GaP on top of the Al$_{0.36}$Ga$_{0.64}$P layer. It should be noted that, although it may be possible to grow an Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P stop layer with suitable quality that is perhaps twice as thick as that used here, improved selectivity may be even more helpful in reducing the requirements on thickness uniformity after substrate wafer thinning.

Once the original GaP substrate was no longer present, the Al$_{0.36}$Ga$_{0.64}$P etch stop layer was easily removed by submerging the sample in concentrated HCl (37 % by weight) for 90 s, resulting in the final GaP-o-1 wafer. This step has the opposite selectivity of the previous substrate removal step and leaves the GaP device layer intact. The GaP device layer was characterized by XRD (see Fig. 2). A fit of the finite-size oscillations of the GaP (004) Bragg reflection in an omega-2-theta scan yields a GaP layer thickness of 295 nm. The full width at half maximum of the rocking curve for the GaP reflection is 80 arcsec, which corresponds to a threading dislocation density of $2 \times 10^7$ cm$^{-2}$ as calculated from the model of Ayers [27] and indicates that the transferred epitaxial film is of high quality.

### B. GaP Patterning

The patterning of devices in the top GaP layer is a critical step. Photonic devices, e.g., grating couplers, waveguides, and ring resonators, require well-defined (typically vertical) and smooth sidewalls to obtain the desired transmission properties, particularly low scattering losses. The fabrication of photonic crystal structures in addition calls for a high-resolution process capable of creating small features with a high aspect ratio and high dimensional accuracy [28], [29]. Most of the studies of anisotropic GaP dry-etching in the literature have focused on increasing the etch rate and maintaining a smooth top-surface morphology [30]–[37]. In these studies, either chlorine-containing species, such as Cl$_2$ or BCl$_3$ [31], [35], [37], [38], or mixtures of H$_2$ and CH$_4$ [32], [34], or a combination of both [36] have been used. Inclusion of Ar and N$_2$ gases which are expected to contribute more to physical as opposed to chemical etching, has also been investigated [31], [35], [37], [39]. The interplay of the various process parameters and gas mixture ratios is complicated, but there are some general trends. Plasma conditions generating a high concentration of chlorine atoms and ions are especially aggressive, with GaP etch rates exceeding 1.5 μm/min [35]. Etching with BCl$_3$ is less aggressive than with Cl$_2$ [35]. The sample electrode temperature has relatively little influence on etch rate and top surface morphology, but does change the sidewall profile, with lower temperatures reducing undercut and roughness, presumably due to passivation effects [36]. For sidewall passivation, CH$_4$ is expected to play an important role [35], but BCl$_3$ and N$_2$ may also be involved [41]. Chemically inert components, such as Ar, that contribute to the etch process in a purely physical manner, tend to increase surface roughness and should be avoided [39].

Keeping the above points in mind, we optimized the dry-etch process in our particular ICP-RIE system (Oxford Instruments PlasmaPro System 100 ICP) starting from a base recipe comprising gas flows of H$_2$ (17.5 sccm), CH$_4$ (2.0 sccm), Cl$_2$ (5.0 sccm), and BCl$_3$ (15.0 sccm), at 600 W ICP power, 300 V DC bias, 80 °C sample electrode temperature, and 5 mTorr chamber pressure. Optimizing one parameter at a time sequentially, we varied the gas ratios, temperature, ICP power, and chamber pressure. The tests were performed with 4 mm × 6 mm chips diced from [100]-oriented, single-side polished, nominally undoped GaP wafers. A test pattern with various slot openings as small as 50 nm was defined by e-beam lithography (Vistec EBPG 5200ES) using either 4% or 6% hydrogen silsesquioxane (HSQ) in 4-methylpentan-2-one from Dow Corning as a negative resist spin-coated at 6000 rpm, yielding HSQ film thicknesses of nominally 85 nm and 150 nm, respectively. To improve the adhesion of HSQ to GaP, a thin (3-nm) layer of SiO$_2$ was deposited by ALD prior to spin coating. For the ICP-RIE process, the chips were placed directly on a 100-mm Si carrier wafer backside-cooled with helium, without any affixer such as wax or grease.
As illustrated in Fig. 3, the ratio of BCl$_3$ to Cl$_2$ has a strong influence on the GaP etch rate. For these experiments, the sum of the BCl$_3$ and Cl$_2$ flow rates was kept constant at 20 sccm, and the flow rates of H$_2$ and CH$_4$ were left unchanged. The dramatic decrease in etch rate with added BCl$_3$ might be due to surface passivation by BCl$_3$ [40] or to a reduced density of reactive chlorine-containing species in the plasma, or both. The observation of more severe sidewall roughness and increased undercutting for decreasing BCl$_3$-to-Cl$_2$ ratio (not shown) points however to a surface passivation role for BCl$_3$. An equal flow of BCl$_3$ and Cl$_2$ yields a reasonable compromise between etch rate and sidewall profile (see the scanning electron microscope (SEM) image in Fig. 3), while providing decent selectivity (5:1) with respect to HSQ, and was used in the further optimization of the process as described below.

Consistent with the work of Shul et al. [36], we also observed that the extent of undercut and sidewall roughness could be reduced by lowering the temperature, and at a sample electrode temperature of 20 °C, the remaining undercut could be eliminated [Fig. 4(a)]. The resultant recipe is referred to as the “high-power” recipe (see Table I). Further improvement of the sidewall roughness is expected to be achieved by going to even lower temperatures and adjusting the BCl$_3$-to-Cl$_2$ ratio simultaneously to maintain vertical sidewalls. For prolonged etches, the structures exhibit poorer sidewall roughness even at 20 °C, presumably due to heating of the sample. Periodically interrupting the plasma for 60 s to allow the sample to cool was found to be beneficial but left traces of the process cycling in the sidewall morphology [see Fig. 4(a)]. One could also consider affixing the sample to the carrier wafer with a grease or other substance to improve thermal contact.

While the external sidewalls of the test structures appeared to be nearly vertical when etched with the high-power recipe, the profile inside small, high-aspect ratio openings was less satisfying. SEM images of cross-sections prepared by focused ion beam (FIB) milling with Ga ions through a test structure [see Fig. 4(b)] revealed bowed sidewalls in the slots, where the effect is more pronounced in narrower openings. Such profile issues are common when etching narrow structures and may be caused by accumulation of negative charge near the mouths of the openings [41], leading to deflection of the impinging positive ions towards the inner sidewalls. In addition, we also observed a reduced etch rate in high-aspect ratio openings. For nominally 50 nm-wide slots, we measured an etch rate of 30 nm/min compared to 80 nm/min outside the structure. We can compensate for this well-known phenomenon, commonly referred to as RIE lag [42], by increasing etch time, but the accompanying reduction in selectivity with respect to removal of the e-beam resist must be kept in mind. Even without the RIE lag, the selectivity with respect to HSQ is only 4:1.

To address the need for higher selectivity when etching small openings, the ICP-RIE process was further modified by adjusting the ICP power. The dependence of etch rate and selectivity on ICP power, with all other parameters of the high-power recipe left unchanged, is shown in Fig. 5. While the GaP etch rate is essentially constant from 400 W to 600 W, we observe a significant rise at 200 W, a somewhat counterintuitive result given that increased ICP power is associated with a higher plasma density, which typically would increase the chemical component of etching. Several mechanisms could account
Fig. 5. Dependence of GaP etch rate (blue, open) and selectivity with respect to HSQ (red, solid) on ICP power.

Fig. 6. SEM images of fabricated photonic devices. (a) Ring resonator comprising a 400 nm-wide circular waveguide with a radius of 7.5 μm and the associated 400 nm-wide bus waveguide. The gap between the ring and the bus waveguide is 160 nm. (b) Grating coupler at 30° tilt angle.

for this behavior, such as a change in the plasma chemistry at higher ICP powers, leading to either creation of species that are effective at passivation or removal of species responsible for etching. For the latter possibility, sputter desorption of etchants from the surface may play a role [35].

One other parameter that could influence etch performance is chamber pressure. We found that an increase from 5 mTorr to 10 mTorr roughened the sidewalls and led to undercutting of the patterned structures. At lower pressure, the plasma was not stable. Therefore, a pressure of 5 mTorr was maintained.

Finally, taking advantage of the known passivation behavior of CH<sub>4</sub> [36], the H<sub>2</sub>-to-CH<sub>4</sub> ratio was reduced from the usual value of 8.75:1 to 2.9:1 in order to increase the anisotropy when etching with an ICP power of 200 W. The resulting “low-power” recipe is specified in Table I. An SEM image of a test pattern fabricated with the low-power recipe [see Fig. 4(c)] indicates smooth, nearly vertical etching of the outer sidewalls for features etched over 800 nm deep. Owing to the reduced ICP power, periodic interruption of the etching to allow cooling of the sample was no longer necessary. The pronounced foot that forms at the bottom of the structures may be a consequence of the surface passivation process, as it can be reduced by cycling with an oxygen plasma. It is absent on GaP-o-I substrates when the GaP is etched through to the underlying oxide (see Fig. 6). The higher selectivity with respect to HSQ (11:1) permits the use of thinner resist layers, which should improve dimensional accuracy. Except for very small openings (e.g., 50-nm width), we observe a better sidewall profile than for the high-power recipe, as illustrated by the SEM image in Fig. 4(d) of a cross-section prepared by FIB, where there is significant deviation from verticality only at the top of the opening.

C. Devices

A series of device structures was fabricated on a 6 mm × 7 mm GaP-o-I chip. The structures included 400 nm-wide ridge waveguides with lengths up to 2.5 mm as well as circular ring resonators, also 400 nm wide, with radii ranging from 5 μm to 15 μm and coupling gaps to the associated bus waveguide ranging from 80 nm to 240 nm [see Fig. 6(a)]. Focusing grating couplers located at the ends of the waveguides enabled local testing of the devices without end-facet polishing. The focusing grating couplers [see Fig. 6(b)] consisted of 19 curved lines of GaP and were designed with either a periodicity of 764 nm and a duty cycle of 80% or a periodicity of 728 nm and a duty cycle of 85%. The two designs have similar transmission performance, and in both cases, the couplers have a length of 35 μm and are designed for nearly vertical coupling (10° at 1550 nm). Sub-wavelength-sized wedges are incorporated opposite the waveguide to reduce reflections [43], [44].

The structures were defined by e-beam lithography using a pre-coating of 3 nm of SiO<sub>2</sub> deposited by ALD and 6% HSQ as resist. Pattern transfer was carried out with the low-power ICP-RIE recipe, as described above in Section II-B. The waveguides, ring resonators, and grating couplers were all fabricated in the same process step and were fully etched through to the underlying SiO<sub>2</sub> layer. After etching, the chip was exposed to an oxygen plasma (600 W) for 3 min. This step serves to prevent the formation of droplet-shaped residues otherwise observed on the GaP sidewalls. Finally, the HSQ was removed by submerging the chip in standard buffered oxide etchant for 10 s. An atomic force microscopy measurement of the top device surface gives a root means square (RMS) surface roughness of 0.30 nm (see Fig. 7).

III. OPTICAL CHARACTERIZATION

The devices were characterized by means of their optical transmission behavior. A schematic of the apparatus is shown in
Fig. 8. Apparatus used for transmission measurements.

Fig. 8. All measurements were performed in air at atmospheric pressure with the chip resting on an aluminum block held at 20.0 °C, as measured with an integrated thermistor and controlled with a Peltier element. Continuous-wave infrared light from a tunable external-cavity laser (Photonetics Tunics-Plus) was directed through a cleaved single-mode optical fiber into the input grating coupler of the device under test (DUT). A fiber polarization controller (FPC) was used to align the polarization of the light with the TE design orientation of the grating couplers. Light emitted from the output grating coupler on the other side of the device was collected with another cleaved single-mode optical fiber, which was connected to a power meter (EXFO IQ 1600), either directly or through a fiber beam splitter (FBS), for monitoring and recording of transmission spectra. For measurements of second- and third-harmonic generation, an optical spectrometer (Ocean Optics USB2000) was used, where the second cleaved fiber was positioned either over the output grating coupler or directly above the ring resonator to collect the scattered light. The latter configuration was necessary for observation of the third harmonic, as it is absorbed by the GaP, and in any case, the grating couplers were not designed for the harmonic wavelengths. For characterization of the power dependence of harmonic generation, an erbium-doped fiber amplifier (EDFA), a bandpass filter, and a variable optical attenuator (VOA) were introduced between the tunable laser and the FPC.

Of the 88 devices patterned in GaP on this chip, 82 (93%) showed transmission. The grating couplers exhibited a Gaussian-shaped transmission profile [see Fig. 9(a)] that was red shifted with respect to the design wavelength of 1550 nm presumably because of deviations from design dimensions in the fabricated structures. However, when the input and output optical fibers were aligned at an angle of 20° to the chip normal instead of the 10° design angle, the center wavelength was at 1553 nm and the maximum efficiency per coupler was measured to be −4.8 dB or 33.5%. The average coupling efficiency was 6.6 ± 0.4 dB. In principle, the losses could differ between the input and output grating couplers; we have assumed that they are identical and taken half of the total loss occurring between the two cleaved fibers. For comparison, the best grating couplers fabricated with the GaP-on-diamond system achieve efficiencies of −7.7 dB [18]. Silicon focusing grating couplers with a design similar to that described here, i.e., fully etched with no cladding, have more than 10 dB loss per coupler [12], presumably to some extent because of the higher index contrast. Highly optimized, partially etched and cladded focusing grating couplers in silicon can however have a coupling efficiency approaching −1 dB [45]. With the same techniques, it should be possible to improve the transmission of the GaP grating couplers.

For waveguide lengths up to 2200 μm, variations in the grating coupler losses dominate over losses in the waveguides. In other words, the propagation loss is not significant compared to the scatter in the measured transmission and would require significantly longer waveguides to be determined. From the quality factors observed for the ring resonators (see below) we can however estimate an upper bound of 8 dB/cm for the propagation loss.

Fig. 9(b) displays the transmission spectrum of a ring resonator comprising a 400 nm-wide circular waveguide with a radius \( r = 15 \) μm evanescently coupled to a 400 nm-wide bus waveguide through a 240-nm coupling gap. The observation of a single family of resonances is consistent with the small cross-section of the waveguide supporting only the fundamental TE-polarized mode. We attribute the splitting of some of the resonances into double resonances (not visible in Fig. 9) to coupling with counter propagating modes excited by backscattering
from imperfections in the waveguide such as surface roughness. The free spectral range between adjacent resonances is given by $\Delta_{FSR} = c/n_g L$, where $n_g = n_{eff} + \nu \frac{d n_{eff}}{d\nu}$ is the group refractive index, $n_{eff}$ is the effective refractive index, $\nu$ is the frequency, $c$ is the speed of light and $L = 2\pi r$ is the length of the resonator. From finite element simulations at $\lambda = 1550$ nm, $n_{eff} = 1.9375$ and $n_g = 4.00$ can be inferred, which leads to $\Delta_{FSR} = 0.80$ THz (equivalent to 6.3 nm at this wavelength). The measured free spectral range for the device in Fig. 9(b) is 0.83 THz.

Fitting a Lorentzian function to a resonance, as shown in Fig. 9(c), allows one to calculate the quality factor $Q = \nu_0 / \Delta\nu$, where $\nu_0$ is the resonance frequency and $\Delta\nu$ is the full width at half maximum of the resonance. The periodic background ripple is due to interference between reflections from the grating couplers and can be modelled with an Airy function, which is the typical description of the sum of the longitudinal mode profiles of a Fabry-Perot cavity [46]. The best measured devices have loaded quality factors of $Q = 20000$. This is slightly better than the values reported for GaP-on-diamond devices (which however have a smaller radius) [18] but still much lower than what has been achieved for GaP-on-AlGaP microdisks using resist reflow to reduce sidewall roughness [11].

The non-centrosymmetric crystal structure of GaP implies that it has a non-vanishing second-order susceptibility ($\chi^{(2)}$), which leads to nonlinear optical effects, e.g., second-harmonic generation (SHG) [47]. A spectrum of the light gathered with the output fiber positioned above a ring resonator taken while pumping at 1539 nm with 3.7 mW injected into the device (i.e., after the input grating coupler) is shown in Fig. 10. Because an unknown fraction of the total generated second-harmonic light is collected, we cannot quantify the conversion efficiency. In addition to the strong SHG signal observed at 770 nm, third-harmonic generation (THG) is detected around 513 nm (inset in Fig. 10). Although the THG is much weaker than the SHG, it is in the green portion of the visible spectrum and sufficiently intense to be easily seen with the naked eye. SHG has been previously reported at low input powers in two-dimensional photonic-crystal cavities and waveguides made of GaP [6], [48].

To our knowledge this is the only report of THG in a GaP microcavity other than a brief mention in a previous study of GaP microdisks, where no data was shown [49].

The dependence of the second-harmonic intensity on the input power at the fundamental wavelength for a resonance at 1537.67 nm is shown in Fig. 11(a). A linear fit of the logarithmically plotted data confirms the second-order nature of the process. The slight deviation from quadratic behavior is attributed to a small thermo-optic shift of the resonance frequency [50]. Indeed, at higher input powers, we observe a significant thermo-optic displacement of the resonances to longer wavelengths, as is typical of such devices and as has already been reported for GaP microdisks [11], [49]. The dependence of the second harmonic intensity on the input wavelength is shown in Fig. 11(b). The SHG signal was detected with the cleaved fiber positioned above the ring resonator. The square of the inverted transmitted power (i.e., the power dropped into the ring) measured separately is overlaid on the plot. The observed resonance is split; there are therefore two unresolved peaks in the dropped power spectrum. The peak of the second harmonic is slightly blue-shifted with respect to the resonance at the pump wavelength, which can be attributed to a small thermo-optic shift of the resonance frequency. This misalignment can be compensated by thermally tuning the resonance frequencies to more fully take advantage of resonant enhancement [49], [51] (see Supplemental Material, Section III), although in this particular case, the accessible temperature range was limited to $\pm 60^\circ$C due to thermal stress eventually causing waveguide rupture. The difference in shape of the two signals may be due to the resonance at the second-harmonic wavelength having a different splitting and quality factor than the fundamental resonance.

IV. Conclusion

In this paper, we have described a complete process flow for the fabrication of the first GaP-on-SiO$_2$ photonic devices. Several new process steps have been demonstrated: direct
wafer bonding of a GaP/AlxGa1−xP/GaP heterostructure to a SiO2-on-Si wafer; a GaP substrate removal procedure involving a combination of wet and dry etching, where the key step is a final Cl2/CF4 ICP-RIE process that stops selectively on the AlxGa1−xP sacrificial layer; and two alternative ICP-RIE recipes employing H2/CH3/Cl2/BCl3 plasmas that allow device patterning of high aspect-ratio devices with relatively smooth, nearly vertical, outer sidewalls. Simple building blocks for integrated photonic circuits have been realized, such as waveguides, grating couplers with losses below 5 dB, and ring resonators with quality factors of 20000. Second-harmonic as well as third-harmonic light can be generated with the ring resonators. The ability to work with full GaP-on-SiO2 wafers makes our approach inherently higher yield and more versatile than the liftoff-and-transfer method previously employed for GaP-on-diamond devices [16]–[18]. It is also more robust with respect to further processing and allows more direct integration than bonding methods employing polymers [19]–[21]. Indeed, we expect this GaP-o-i technology platform to enable both new device architectures for GaP and their integration into photonic and electronic circuits. For example, the various device concepts utilizing photonic crystal structures, which to-date have been demonstrated as isolated, free-standing devices interrogable only with free-space optics such as high-numerical aperture microscope objectives or tapered fibers, could instead be connected directly with other on-chip elements via waveguides. Devices operating in the visible spectrum, for example, spectrometers or structures which couple to atoms [52], quantum dots or NV centers [17], could be similarly integrated. In the area of nonlinear optics, ring resonators could be designed with a second bus waveguide for efficient collection and out-coupling of the SHG signal [51], and, eventually, on-chip frequency conversion of integrated emitters could be explored. Alternatively, the observation of THG suggests that creation of a Kerr frequency comb may be possible [53]. These are just a few of the numerous opportunities created for GaP nanophotonics.
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