Weakly coupled conformal manifolds in $4d$
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ABSTRACT: We classify $\mathcal{N} = 1$ gauge theories with simple gauge groups in four dimensions which possess a conformal manifold passing through weak coupling. A very rich variety of models is found once one allows for arbitrary representations under the gauge group. For each such model we detail the dimension of the conformal manifold, the conformal anomalies, and the global symmetry preserved on a generic locus of the manifold. We also identify, at least some, sub-loci of the conformal manifolds preserving more symmetry than the generic locus. Several examples of applications of the classification are discussed. In particular we consider a conformal triality such that one of the triality frames is a USp(6) gauge theory with six fields in the two index traceless antisymmetric representation. We discuss an IR dual of a conformal Spin(5) gauge theory with two chiral superfields in the vector representation and one in the fourteen dimensional representation. Finally, an extension of the conformal manifold of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ class $S$ theories by conformally gauging symmetries corresponding to maximal punctures with the addition of two adjoint chiral superfields is commented upon.
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1 Introduction

The study of supersymmetric quantum field theories in four dimensions led to numerous insights on the dynamics of strongly coupled systems. Many of these insights follow from the better control one has with supersymmetry over the renormalization group flow, thus leading to by now well established conjectures of IR equivalences of different flows as well as IR enhancements of global symmetries. Another important class of understandings is related to the existence of interacting conformal supersymmetric Lagrangians in 4d. Such Lagrangians have tunable couplings which in particular can be taken to be small. The history of this subject is rather rich, see e.g. [1–3]. A systematic understanding of such conformal Lagrangians was developed first in [4]. One of the highly non-trivial properties such models often have is S-duality, that is an exact equivalence of seemingly different conformal Lagrangians. The canonical example is that of \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM based on gauge group
$G$ with complexified coupling $\tau$ being equivalent to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM based on the Langlands dual group $^tG$ and coupling $-1/\tau$ [5–8]. These types of dualities typically relate strongly coupled regimes of one model to weakly coupled regimes of another. By now, a huge variety of such dualities with extended, $\mathcal{N} = 4$ or $\mathcal{N} = 2$ [10], supersymmetry is known. Often these dualities can be understood geometrically by compactifying the $6d$ $(2,0)$ theory on a Riemann surface [11, 12] which can lead to deep insights into various properties of the models, see e.g. [13, 14]. Moreover, S-dualities are also intimately connected to deep purely mathematical ideas [15].

However, with minimal supersymmetry in $4d$, $\mathcal{N} = 1$, the understanding of conformal dualities is rather fragmented. One class of examples discussed recently is that of quiver theories based on $\text{SU}(N)^k$ gauge group such that each $\text{SU}(N)$ factor sees exactly $3N$ flavors. The one loop beta function for the gauge fields vanishes and this theory admits a conformal manifold which passes through zero coupling. To deduce this the exact structure of the quiver theory is essential [16] (see [17–20] for some further developments). Moreover it was argued in [16] that the conformal manifold has a one dimensional complex direction such that the theory with weak coupling is dual to the same theory, modulo possible global issues, albeit with strong coupling. The full duality group should be the mapping class group of a sphere with two pairs of different punctures. Another example which was recently considered is that of $\text{SU}(3)$ SQCD with nine flavors [21]. This model has a seven dimensional conformal manifold [4, 22] passing through zero coupling. It was argued in [21] that this manifold should admit an action of a duality group which is the mapping class group of a sphere with ten punctures. The model in four dimensions can be understood as a compactification on a ten punctured sphere of a $(1, 0)$ $6d$ SCFT described by a pure $\text{SU}(3)$ SCFT on its tensor branch [23, 24]. In both of these examples, as well as in the cases with extended supersymmetry, the appearance of the conformal duality can be understood geometrically by constructing the models at hand as compactifications of six dimensional theories on Riemann surfaces. The duality group is then the mapping class group of the Riemann surface. It is natural to wonder whether any conformal Lagrangian with a non trivial conformal manifold would admit an action of a duality group. That is the conformal manifold will have loci describable by weakly coupled conformal gauge theories.\footnote{A more general scenario would be that the conformal manifold might have loci, cusps, which are described by a conformal weak gauging of a global symmetry of some SCFT, which might by itself be strongly-coupled [27, 28].}

A first step when trying to answer such a question is to compile a list of four dimensional supersymmetric theories which admit a description in terms of a conformal Lagrangian. Partially achieving this goal is the purpose of the current paper. As $\mathcal{N} = 1$ models have a rather rich structure allowing to choose a gauge group, matter content, and superpotential;
classifying the most general model with a weakly coupled Lagrangian conformal description is a rather intricate problem. Here we will focus on Lagrangians based on a simple gauge group $G$. However, we will keep the matter content and the interactions generic, guided only by the demand that the theory should have a conformal manifold passing through zero couplings. Given the list of conformal gauge theories with simple gauge groups one can start building theories with non-simple groups by coupling the currents of a (sub)group of the global symmetry to dynamical vector fields. In that respect the models we classify here are a set of building blocks for more general conformal Lagrangian theories with weakly coupled conformal manifolds.\(^4\)

The classification detailed here consists of two main simple steps. As we are interested in conformal Lagrangians we take all the matter chiral superfields to have the free conformal R-symmetry assignment of $\frac{2}{3}$. First we need to find all the possible choices of matter content such that all the one loop beta functions of gauge couplings vanish, which is a rather trivial exercise to perform. The second step is to figure out whether the theory with this matter content, and with assignment of free R charges to the matter fields, has a conformal manifold, that is, it is not IR free. This step amounts to computing certain Kähler quotients \cite{22} (see also \cite{29,30}), which is, though mostly straightforward, a laborious task to perform. We will find a rich variety of conformal Lagrangian theories from which the well known cases of $\text{SU}(3)$ SQCD with nine flavors, $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, and $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $\text{SU}(N)$ SQCD with $2N$ flavors are just few examples. For each such model we will detail the conformal anomalies, the dimension of the conformal manifold, the symmetry group preserved on a generic locus of the manifold, as well as (at least some) directions of the conformal manifold preserving larger sub-groups of the global symmetry of the free point.\(^5\)

The space of conformal gauge theories can be thought of as defining a special slice, an interface, in the space of quantum field theories. Theories with larger matter content, but same gauge group, are IR free (though often UV completed by other gauge theories \cite{57}). Whereas theories with smaller matter content are asymptotically free.\(^6\) We thus classify a

\(^4\)In some cases conformally gauging a subgroup of the global symmetry of a free gauge theory with a simple gauge group that does not have a conformal manifold can lead to a non trivial conformal manifold. Such a theory must have a vanishing beta function for this to happen. Thus, the full set of building blocks of conformal gauge theories include also free gauge theories with simple gauge groups.

\(^5\)Let us here mention some other classification programs of various properties of supersymmetric QFTs. First, the conformal $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Lagrangians with arbitrary gauge groups were classified in \cite{31}. The 6d tensor branch Lagrangian descriptions were analyzed in \cite{32}, and there is a rapidly growing literature on classifying 6d and 5d SCFTs (see \cite{33} for a recent review of some aspects of the 6d classification program, and \cite{34-45} plus references within for some recent approaches to the 5d classification program). Another strand of recent works deals with the analysis of possible protected operators, relevant and marginal in particular, of supersymmetric QFTs in various dimensions \cite{46,47}. Yet another strand of recent works deals with the various properties of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories: classification of 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs using their Coulomb branch geometries, culminating in a proposed classification of all 4d rank 1 $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs (see \cite{48} for a recent review, and references within); the chiral algebra program \cite{49}; the relation to quantum mechanical integrable models \cite{50}; and many facets of BPS/CFT correspondence, see e.g. \cite{13,51,52}. The connection between the integrable models and SCFTs persists also for minimal supersymmetric cases through various index computations \cite{16,53-56}. All the studies listed here are deeply interrelated.

\(^6\)Note that by smaller and larger we mean removing or adding representations, not merely changing the dimension of the representation under the gauge group. There are interesting examples of gauge theories
sub-slice of this interface given by simple gauge groups. There are several ways in which our analysis can be generalized given this picture. One can either complete the classification of this interface by considering non simple gauge groups, or one can start exploring the bulk of the asymptotically free theory space by turning on some relevant deformations. In fact the only interesting relevant deformations of the conformal theories on the boundary are mass terms or vacuum expectation values and thus we expect it will be not too hard to analyze. This is to be contrasted with the models farther away from the interface in the theory space which might admit a large variety of relevant deformations, see e.g. [58] for a recent discussion.

The results we derive here can be exploited in a variety of ways. For example, one can try to seek conformal dualities between different \( \mathcal{N} = 1 \) theories in four dimensions. A way to systematically do so was discussed in [59]. The main idea is that once we restrict the discussion to conformal Lagrangians, the dimension of the gauge group and the dimension of the representation are fixed by the conformal anomalies leaving a finite set of theories which might, or might not, contain a dual of a given model. Many examples of conformal dualities were obtained in this manner in [28, 59]. It would be very interesting to study such conformal dualities using a variety of techniques involving various types of supersymmetric indices [60–66] and going beyond these, see e.g. [67]. As a concrete motivation for our program, and also a detailed example of computations of conformal manifolds, in section 2 we discuss an example of a putative conformal triality between three different conformal gauge theories. Some of the conformal Lagrangian theories might have an alternative UV complete non-conformal description which involves an RG flow. We will discuss a particular example of this in section 9.1: thus, one can not just go inside the bulk of the theory space away from the conformal interface by RG flows but also go back to the interface from the bulk. Another interesting question is whether conformal manifolds have any interesting geometric interpretation. In addition to examples of this that we have already mentioned, let us mention one more interesting case. In [59] it was shown that certain conformal quiver theories with SU(3) gauge nodes with nine flavors each have conformal manifolds which can be understood as parametrizing the space of complex structure moduli of genus \( g \) Riemann surfaces as well as the space of flat connections of \( E_8 \) on these surfaces. This is again related to the fact that these models can be conjecturally obtained by compactifying the \((1,0)\) 6d rank one E-string theory on a genus \( g \) Riemann surface (see [68–70] for related works).

As a concrete example of an application of the classification program in this direction we discuss in section 9.2 an extension of the conformal manifold of class \( \mathcal{S} \) [12, 71] theories corresponding to the compactification of the \( A \) type \((2,0)\) theory on a genus \( g \) Riemann surface with \( s \) maximal punctures. The extension is done by conformally gauging the maximal puncture symmetries with the addition of two chiral superfields in the adjoint representation.

The paper is organized as follows. We start in section 2 with a detailed example of a derivation of a conformal triality which illustrates the set of techniques we will use with non-simple gauge groups such that some of the simple gauge factors are asymptotically free and some are IR free. The latter gauge couplings are actually dangerously irrelevant leading to, conjecturally, interacting SCFTs in the IR. See [25] for some recent examples.
to classify theories with non-trivial conformal manifolds. In section 3 the classification procedure is detailed and in the following sections we apply it to various classical gauge groups. $\mathcal{N} = 1$ conformal theories with simple unitary, section 4, symplectic, section 5, orthogonal, section 6, and exceptional, section 7, gauge groups are considered. In section 8 we discuss conformal theories with simple gauge group which have extended supersymmetry at zero coupling. Finally, in section 9 we discuss a couple of physical applications of our results. Several appendices complement the bulk with additional technical details and definitions. Specifically, appendix B has numerous worked out examples of computations illustrating the various subtleties in analyzing the structure of the conformal manifolds.

2 Prologue: a conformal triality

We start our discussion with a simple example of a conformal triality. We claim that three different looking conformal gauge theories describe different weakly coupled cusps of the same 21 dimensional conformal manifold. The derivation of this triality proceeds by first considering the theory in triality frame $A$, which is a USp(6) conformal gauge theory with six fields in the $14$, the two index antisymmetric traceless representation. We argue that this theory is conformal and that on a generic locus of the conformal manifold there is no global (non-R) symmetry. The model has 21 vector fields and $6 \times 14 = 84$ chiral superfields. All the ’t Hooft anomalies for symmetries that are not broken on the conformal manifold must agree between the different triality frames. For the case at hand, the only symmetry that is preserved on the conformal manifold is the U(1) R-symmetry, whose anomalies can be expressed in terms of the $a$ and $c$ conformal anomalies. For conformal gauge theories, these are expressible in terms of the dimension of the gauge group ($\dim G$) and the dimension of the representation of the chiral fields under it ($\dim R$),

\[ a = \frac{3}{16} \dim G + \frac{1}{48} \dim R, \quad c = \frac{1}{8} \dim G + \frac{1}{24} \dim R. \tag{2.1} \]

This implies [59] that any conformal dual gauge theory to this model has to have the same dimension of the gauge group and the same dimension of representations of matter. We then proceed to find two models that fit this bill and analyze their conformal manifolds to claim that in fact they are dual to the theory in frame $A$. The discussion concretely illustrates the various methods to analyze the conformal manifolds which we use in the next sections of the paper to classify conformal gauge theories with simple gauge groups.

2.1 Frame A

Let us consider a USp(6) gauge theory with matter comprised of six chiral fields in the $14$, which is the two index traceless antisymmetric representation of USp(6). The Dynkin index of this matter representation is 2 and of the adjoint is 4 and thus, as $4 + 6 \times 2 \times (\frac{2}{3} - 1) = 0$, the one loop beta function for the gauge coupling vanishes. This matter content does not have a Witten anomaly [72]. We also note that the $14$ has a non-trivial totally symmetric cubic invariant and thus the theory has $8 \times 7 = 56$ marginal operators, which transform in the three index symmetric representation of the SU(6) global symmetry group of the
free point. As we will discuss shortly the dimension of the conformal manifold is 21 on a
generic point of which the SU(6) global symmetry is completely broken. We can also count
the number of supersymmetric relevant operators and we find 21 of these corresponding to
the symmetric square of the matter fields.

To determine the dimension of the conformal manifold we will follow here, and in
most similar computations in the paper, the procedure developed in [22]. In this reference,
analyzing the general structure of the beta functions of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theories in four dimensions
(as well as theories with four supercharges in lower dimensions), it was determined that to
compute the dimension of the conformal manifold one could proceed in two simple steps.
We start from some SCFT and as a first step we list all the supersymmetric marginal
couplings, the set of which we will denote by $\{\lambda_i\}$. The theory can be a free UV Lagrangian,
an IR end point of an RG flow, or even an abstractly defined strongly-coupled SCFT. The
SCFT has some global symmetry which we will denote by $G$. Note that if the SCFT
has weakly coupled vector fields, we should in principle also consider the gauge couplings
and include the anomalous symmetries in $G$. In the second step we compute the Kähler
quotient of the space given by dividing the marginal couplings by the complexified global
symmetry group,

$$\{\lambda_i\}/G_C.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

The dimension of the Kähler quotient is the dimension of the conformal manifold, i.e.
the space of exactly marginal couplings, of the theory. The intuition one might have
about this is that the only way a marginal coupling might not be exactly marginal, in fact
marginally irrelevant, is if it recombines with a component of a conserved current multiplet.
In particular there are no marginally relevant supersymmetric deformations. We note here,
that this fact can be also neatly observed [73] by computing the supersymmetric index of
a theory [60, 61].

Let us apply this procedure for the case at hand by computing the relevant Kähler
quotient. The non-anomalous symmetry of the free theory is SU(6) and the marginal
operators $\lambda_{ijk}$ form the $\mathbf{56}$ which is the three index symmetric representation. There is an anomalous U(1) symmetry under which all the matter fields have the same charge and there is one (complexified) gauge coupling $\tau$. The Kähler quotient which we need to compute then is,

$$\mathcal{M}_c \sim \{\lambda_{ijk}, \tau\}/SU(6)\mathbb{C} \times U(1)\mathbb{C} = \{\mathbf{56}\}/SU(6)\mathbb{C}. \quad (2.3)$$

Here we have used the fact that the anomalous U(1) transformation can be always absorbed by appropriate factors depending on $\tau$. By $\sim$ in the above equation we mean the equality to hold locally near the weakly coupled point. To explicitly compute the quotient we first note that it is easy to construct invariants of SU(6) and thus show that the Kähler quotient is not empty leading to a conformal manifold. For example let us define,

$$f_{i_1j_3k_5s_3m_2n_3} = \epsilon^{i_1j_1k_1s_1m_1n_1} \epsilon^{i_2j_2k_2s_2m_2n_2} \lambda_{i_1i_2i_3} \lambda_{j_1j_2j_3} \lambda_{k_1k_2k_3} \lambda_{s_1s_2s_3} \lambda_{m_1m_2m_3} \lambda_{n_1n_2n_3}, \quad (2.4)$$

which is symmetric in all the indices and then,

$$\prod_{i=1}^6 f_{u_i^{\dagger} u_2^{\dagger} u_3^{\dagger} u_4^{\dagger} u_5^{\dagger} u_6^{\dagger} u_1 u_2 u_3 u_4 u_5 u_6}, \quad (2.5)$$

is an SU(6) invariant.

We can also consider a maximal SU(3) subgroup of SU(6) such that the fundamental representation of SU(6) is mapped to the second rank symmetric representation of SU(3), the $\mathbf{6}$. Then the $\mathbf{56}$ decomposes as $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{27} \oplus \mathbf{28}$. Moreover, the adjoint of SU(6), $\mathbf{35}$, decomposes as $\mathbf{27} \oplus \mathbf{8}$. This implies that the theory has a one dimensional sublocus of the conformal manifold on which the SU(3) is preserved. Here the exactly marginal operator parametrizing this one dimensional sublocus is given by the singlet in the decomposition of the $\mathbf{56}$. The marginal operators in the $\mathbf{27}$ of the preserved SU(3) are actually marginally irrelevant, as these are eaten by the conserved currents enhancing the SU(3) to SU(6) that are now no longer conserved [22]. As a result, when on a generic point of this one dimensional sublocus, the marginal operators are in the $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{28}$, where the $\mathbf{28}$ is the six index symmetric representation of SU(3). The singlet just moves us along the sublocus, but we can insert the marginal operator in the $\mathbf{28}$ to move away from it.

We can next decompose SU(3) into SU(2) maximal subgroup such that $\mathbf{3}$ goes to $\mathbf{3}$. Then $\mathbf{28}$ decomposes as $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{5} \oplus \mathbf{9} \oplus \mathbf{13}$ and the adjoint $\mathbf{8}$ decomposes as $\mathbf{3} \oplus \mathbf{5}$. Thus we have an additional one dimensional manifold on which SU(3) is farther broken to SU(2) with marginal operators being in $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{9} \oplus \mathbf{13}$. We can then break SU(2) to its U(1) Cartan using the U(1) singlets in either the $\mathbf{9}$ or $\mathbf{13}$. This gives an additional two dimensional manifold preserving only the U(1). On this subspace we have, besides the four singlets parametrizing it, also 18 marginal operators charged under the U(1). As this originated from SU(2) representations, they come in pairs with opposite charges, giving additional $18 - 1 = 17$ directions breaking the U(1). Overall, we end with a 21 dimensional conformal manifold, preserving no symmetry on a generic locus of it, but with a one dimensional subspace preserving SU(3), a two dimensional subspace preserving SU(2) and a four dimensional subspace preserving U(1).
The conformal anomalies are fixed by \( \text{dim } \mathfrak{g} = \text{dim}(\text{USp}(6)) = 21 \) and \( \text{dim } \mathfrak{r} = 6 \times 14 = 84 \). We can also compute some quantities of this theory which do not depend on the location on the conformal manifold (We will call them \( \mathcal{M}_c \) invariants following [59]), and which thus should match in any duality frame. For example the superconformal index [60–62] is given by (for definitions see appendix A),

\[
I_A = \left( \frac{q;p}{q;p} \right)^3 \int \frac{dz_1}{2\pi i z_1} \int \frac{dz_2}{2\pi i z_2} \int \frac{dz_3}{2\pi i z_3} \frac{\left( \Gamma_e((qp) \frac{1}{2})^2 \prod_{i \neq j} \Gamma_e((qp) \frac{1}{2} z_i^{\pm 1} z_j^{\pm 1}) \right)^6}{\prod_i \Gamma_e(z_i^{\pm 2}) \prod_{i \neq j} \Gamma_e(z_i^{\pm 1} z_j^{\pm 1})}. \tag{2.6}
\]

Here \( z_i \) are USp(6) fugacities, that is the character of the fundamental is \( z_1 + \frac{1}{z_1} + z_2 + \frac{1}{z_2} + z_3 + \frac{1}{z_3} \). This can be generalized to other partition functions, e.g. lens index [63–65].

### 2.2 Frame B

Let us now consider an SU(2)\(^7\) gauge theory with matter comprised by a single bifundamental chiral field between every pair of SU(2) gauge factors. Each SU(2) gauge group has then twelve fundamentals and thus has a vanishing one loop beta function. The marginal operators correspond to the triangles in the quiver, the number of which is \( \left( \binom{7}{3} \right) = 35 \). The free theory has \( 21 - 7 = 14 \) non-anomalous U(1) symmetries all of which are broken on the conformal manifold as we will soon show. We thus get a 21 dimensional conformal manifold with no symmetry on a generic point. The relevant operators are built from quadratic gauge invariants of the fields, the number of which is given by the number of the edges in the quiver which is 21.

Let us count explicitly the exactly marginal deformations. Here it is convenient to perform the analysis using the methods developed by Leigh and Strassler [4]. The basic idea is that in supersymmetric theories the beta functions of superpotential interactions...
are given as a linear combination of the anomalous dimensions of the fields participating in the superpotential term (see for example [74]). Moreover, the beta function of a gauge interaction is proportional to a linear combination of anomalous dimensions of the fields transforming non-trivially under the gauge group [75, 76]. Thus, demanding that the beta functions vanish gives a set of linear equation for anomalous dimensions with the number of independent variables given by the couplings. Then if one can show that a solution for this set exists (say at zero coupling in our case) and that the number of couplings is larger than the number of equations one can deduce the existence of a conformal manifold and compute its dimension. This procedure is particularly straightforward if the theory has only abelian global symmetries as is the case for the theory at hand.

Let us denote the anomalous dimensions of bifundamental chiral fields between the $i$th and $j$th gauge group ($i \neq j$) as $\gamma_{ij}$. Then the demand that all the beta functions vanish translates to,

$$\forall i \neq j \neq k \quad \gamma_{ij} + \gamma_{jk} + \gamma_{ki} = 0, \quad \forall j \quad \sum_{i \neq j} \gamma_{ij} = 0.$$  \hfill (2.7)

The first type of equations are beta functions for superpotential couplings and the second is the NSVZ beta function [75, 76]. The only solution for these equations is that all $\gamma_{ij}$ vanish.

We have thus 21 equations for functions depending on 42 variables (the 35 superpotential couplings and 7 gauge couplings), which gives us a 21 dimensional space of solutions, that is $\dim \mathcal{M}_c = 21$.

It is not hard to construct some of the invariants explicitly. We have 21 U(1) symmetries associated to the edges of the quiver, 7 of which are anomalous. Let us define the fugacity of the U(1) corresponding to the edge between $i$th and $j$th gauge group as $s_{ij}$. Then the non-anomalous symmetries satisfy for any $i \prod_{j \neq i} s_{ij} = 1$. The fugacity associated to the superpotential term of the vertices $i$, $j$, and $k$ ($i \neq j \neq k$) is $\lambda_{ijk} = s_{ij}s_{jk}s_{ki}$. Let us by abuse of notation also denote by $\lambda_{ijk}$ the coupling of the superpotential term. Then for example $\prod_{j,k \neq i} \lambda_{ijk}$ are invariants for any $i$ out of all the nonanomalous symmetries and thus correspond to exactly marginal deformations.

The conformal anomalies are fixed by $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 7 \times \dim(\text{SU}(2)) = 21$ and $\dim \mathfrak{r} = \frac{7 \times 6}{2} \times 4 = 84$. The index is given by,

$$I_B = \frac{(q;q)^7(p;p)^7}{2^7} \int \prod_{i=1}^{7} \frac{dz_i}{2\pi i z_i} \prod_{i \neq j}^{7} \Gamma_{e}(\frac{1}{2}(z_{i}^{\pm 1}z_{j}^{\pm 1})) \prod_{i=1}^{7} \Gamma_{e}(z_{i}^{\pm 2}).$$  \hfill (2.8)

The $z_i$ are fugacities for the seven SU(2) groups, that is the character of fundamental of each is $z_i + \frac{1}{z_i}$.

### 2.3 Frame C

Let us consider an SU(2)$^2 \times$ SU(4) gauge theory with matter comprised of three bifundamental chiral fields between each SU(2) and the SU(4) gauge group, and six chiral fields in the two index antisymmetric representation, 6, of the SU(4). Each SU(2) gauge group has twelve fundamentals and thus has vanishing one loop beta function. The SU(4) has
six fundamentals, six antifundamentals, and six antisymmetrics. The Dynkin index of the latter representation is 1 and thus as $4 + 6 \times 1 \times \left(\frac{2}{3} - 1\right) + (6 + 6) \times \frac{1}{2} \times \left(\frac{2}{3} - 1\right) = 0$ the one loop beta function for the SU(4) gauge group also vanishes. There are marginal operators made from two bifundamentals and an antisymmetric, where the indices for the SU(2) × SU(4) gauge groups are contracted using epsilon tensors for both groups. We have one such operator for every choice of SU(2) group, combination of bifundamentals, and antisymmetric chiral giving a total of $2 \times 6 \times 6 = 72$ marginal operators. Here we note that the bifundamental pair must be symmetric, leading to six different symmetric combinations of three bifundamentals. There are also 21 relevant deformations built from the symmetric squares of the SU(4) antisymmetrics.

The symmetry group of the free theory is SU(3)$_1$ × SU(3)$_2$ × SU(6) and the marginal operators are in the $(1, \bar{6}, 6) \oplus (\bar{6}, 1, 6)$ where the representations are of (SU(3)$_1$, SU(3)$_2$, SU(6)). Let us analyze the conformal manifold in more detail. We denote the two types of marginal operators as $\lambda_i^\alpha$ and $\lambda_i^\beta$ where $i$ are SU(6) indices, $\alpha$ SU(3)$_1$ indices in its symmetric representation, and $\beta$ SU(3)$_2$ indices also in its symmetric representation. First, it is very easy to see that the K"ahler quotient is not empty and indeed we have a conformal manifold. For instance for $\lambda_i^\alpha$ we can build the invariant

$$\epsilon_{ijklmn} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\mu} \lambda_{i\alpha}^l \lambda_{j\gamma}^m \lambda_{k\delta}^n \lambda_{\mu}^\nu,$$

and likewise for $\lambda_i^\beta$.

This means that there must be at least a two dimensional conformal manifold corresponding to turning on both of these operators. Let us first go along the subspace corresponding to inserting one of these to the superpotential. Doing so will break the symmetry to the subgroup that the chosen operator is invariant under. In our case the breaking is very similar to that of an SU($N$) × SU($N$) bifundamental where one breaks to the diagonal SU($N$). Likewise here we are going to break to the diagonal between the SU(3) and an SU(3) subgroup of the SU(6) such the fundamental of SU(6) becomes the symmetric
of the SU(3). Under this decomposition the operator in the \((\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{6})\) of \(SU(3) \times SU(6)\) is decomposed into \(\mathbf{6} \otimes \mathbf{6} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{8} \oplus \mathbf{27}\). The singlet is just the exactly marginal operator we turned on, which is indeed a singlet of the preserved symmetry. The remaining operators are additional marginal operators breaking the preserved \(SU(3)\), however, these turn out to be marginally irrelevant. To see this recall that when turning on this operator we have broken the \(SU(3) \times SU(6)\) part of the global to a diagonal \(SU(3)\), and so the broken conserved currents must eat marginal operators to become long multiplets. The eaten marginal operators must transform in the same representation as those of the broken conserved currents under the preserved diagonal \(SU(3)\), otherwise these cannot be eaten and this decomposition is impossible. Indeed under the \(SU(3)\) subgroup of \(SU(6)\) that we used we have that the adjoint of \(SU(6)\) decomposes to \(\mathbf{8} \oplus \mathbf{27}\). These are precisely the representations appearing besides the singlet in the decomposition of the marginal operator, and so they combine with them to form long multiplets.

So overall we see that there is a one dimensional subspace of the conformal manifold along which the \(SU(3) \times SU(3) \times SU(6)\) global symmetry is broken to \(SU(3) \times SU(3)\). There are in fact two such subspaces depending on which of the two operators, \(\lambda^a_\alpha\) or \(\lambda^\alpha_a\), we use. We can also turn on both operators. As we previously determined, once one operator is turned on then besides its singlet component under the preserved symmetry, the other components become marginally irrelevant. However we still have the second operator which is now in the \((\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{6})\) of the \(SU(3) \times SU(3)\) global symmetry that is preserved on this one dimensional subspace. We can then insert it into the superpotential. This will break the \(SU(3) \times SU(3)\) to the diagonal and we again have that \(\mathbf{6} \otimes \mathbf{6} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{8} \oplus \mathbf{27}\). The singlet is the operator we are inserting. Since we break one of the \(SU(3)\) global symmetry groups, the \(\mathbf{8}\) is actually marginally irrelevant. The \(\mathbf{27}\), though, remains marginal. So we conclude that there is a two dimensional subspace preserving a diagonal \(SU(3)\) of the \(SU(3) \times SU(3) \times SU(6)\) global symmetry group, spanned by the two singlets we get from \(\lambda^a_\alpha\) and \(\lambda^\alpha_a\). On this subspace we still have a marginal operator in the \(\mathbf{27}\) that we can turn on. Note that \(\mathbf{27}\) is the tensor representation which is traceless with two symmetric upper indices and two symmetric lower indices, let us denote the couplings as \(\tilde{\lambda}^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\). We can now turn on these couplings and break the symmetry completely. It is easy to build invariants and classify them, e.g. \(\tilde{\lambda}^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} \tilde{\lambda}^\gamma_{\delta\epsilon}\), \(\tilde{\lambda}^\delta_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{\lambda}^\beta_{\gamma\epsilon}\), \(\tilde{\lambda}^\epsilon_{\alpha\gamma} \tilde{\lambda}^\gamma_{\beta\delta}\), etc. This gives a 21 dimensional conformal manifold on a generic point of which all the symmetry is broken.

The conformal anomalies are fixed by \(\dim \mathfrak{g} = 2 \dim (SU(2)) + \dim (SU(4)) = 21\) and \(\dim \mathfrak{h} = 6 \times 6 + 8 \times 6 = 84\). The index is given by,

\[
\mathcal{I}_C = \frac{(q; q)^5(p; p)^5}{2^{24} 4!} \prod_{i=1}^{3} \frac{dz_i}{2\pi i z_i} \int \frac{du}{2\pi i u} \int \frac{dv}{2\pi i v} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{3} (\Gamma_e((qp)^{1/2} \pm 1 z_i))\Gamma_e((qp)^{1/2} v^\pm 1 z_i) \right)^3 \left( \prod_{i \neq j} \Gamma_e((qp)^{1/2} (z_i z_j)\pm 1) \right)^6 \Gamma_e(u^{\pm 2}) \Gamma_e(v^{\pm 2}) \prod_{i \neq j} \Gamma_e(z_i/z_j) \right) .
\]

Here \(z_i\) are SU(4) fugacities and satisfy \(\prod_{i=1}^{4} z_i = 1\), that is the character of the fundamental is \(z_1 + z_2 + z_3 + \frac{1}{z_1 z_2 z_3} = 1\).
2.4 Triality

Note that each of the three gauge theories we have discussed are conformal, and have \( \text{dim } \mathcal{G} = 21 \) and \( \text{dim } \mathcal{R} = 84 \) and thus the same conformal anomalies. Also all three have a 21 dimensional conformal manifold passing through zero coupling on a generic point of which there is no flavor symmetry, and 21 supersymmetric relevant operators. We thus can conjecture that these models describe different weakly coupled cusps of the same conformal manifold, that is they are dual to each other. In particular this implies that the index of all the models should be equal,

\[
I_A = I_B = I_C. \tag{2.11}
\]

The indices are given by rather different expressions, for example the number of integrations is different. We have verified that in expansion in fugacities they indeed agree when computed using any one of the three dual models. Expanding the indices we obtain the following result in the three duality frames,

\[
1 + 21(qp)^{\frac{3}{2}} + 21qp + 21(q + p)(qp)^{\frac{3}{2}} + 231(qp)^{\frac{4}{3}} + (21q^2 + 21p^2 + 378qp)(qp)^{\frac{2}{3}} \\
+ 420(q + p)(qp)^{\frac{4}{3}} + 1750(qp)^{2} + 21(q^3 + p^3)(qp)^{\frac{4}{3}} + 441(q + p)(qp)^{\frac{2}{3}} \\
+ 651(q^2 + p^2)(qp)^{\frac{4}{3}} + 3573(qp)^{7} + 4599(q + p)(qp)^{2} + \cdots. \tag{2.12}
\]

Note also that all three models have the same choice of global structure for the gauge group. Namely, USp(4) or USp(4)/\( \mathbb{Z}_2 \), SU(2)^7 or SU(2)^7/\( \mathbb{Z}_2 \), and SU(4) × SU(2)^2 or (SU(4) × SU(2)^2)/\( \mathbb{Z}_2 \). This is noteworthy since the existence of these choices implies the existence of one-form symmetries [9, 77], either electric or magnetic, in these theories. These are hard to break and so must also agree on all sides of the duality, and indeed the presence of this choice on all three sides guarantees this.\(^7\) Note also that although we gave some evidence for the validity of the triality we did not discuss the precise mapping between the 21 exactly marginal couplings in each triality frame. This is a very interesting question which should be addressed but it goes beyond what we can comment on now.

Note that in triality frames \( B \) and \( C \) each one of the gauge groups by themselves are IR free. What makes these theories conformal is the fact that several symmetries are gauged which changes the global symmetry of the theory, and this alters the computation of the conformal manifold as a quotient by global symmetry. This fact stresses that if one is to classify most general conformal gauge theories, one should also keep IR free gauge theories (such that the one loop gauge beta function vanishes) as building blocks for more complicated theories.

The construction of the triality illustrates the basic technology we will use in classifying conformal theories in what follows as well as the physical motivation behind looking for such models. With this discussion we are ready to divert our attention to the classification program.

\(^7\)In principle one can probe [64] the map between various choices of global structure for the gauge group by computing the lens index [63–65].
3 The classification program

We shall begin by specifying the general approach of our classification program. As previously stated, we are interested in classifying all $N = 1$ gauge theories with simple gauge groups in four dimensions which possess a conformal manifold passing through weak coupling. We are then lead to consider a non-abelian gauge group $G$ with some number of chiral fields in representations $R_i$. The conditions that this gauge theory is an interacting SCFT at the weak coupling point are:

1. The theory must be gauge anomaly free. This is necessary for the consistency of the gauge theory. Since in 4$d$ the gauge anomalies are all cubic, they depend on the cubic Casimir which only exist for $G = SU(N)$ with $N > 2$. As a result this condition is only non-trivial for this case, and reads:

$$
\sum_i C(R_i) = 0,
$$

where we use $C(R_i)$ for the cubic index of the representation $R_i$. We note for convenience that it obeys $C(R_i) = -C(\overline{R_i})$, and as such vanishes for real and pseudo-real representations.

2. The one loop $\beta$-function must vanish with no anomalous dimensions for any field. This ensures that the theory can be conformal at weak coupling. This leads to the condition:

$$
\sum_i T(R_i) = 3h_G^\vee,
$$

where we use $T(R_i)$ for the Dynkin index of the representation $R_i$, and $h_G^\vee$ for the dual Coxeter number of the group $G$.

3. The theory must have a conformal manifold. This ensures that the theory is indeed an interacting SCFT, that is, it remains conformal away from zero coupling for some appropriate combination of couplings. This means that, first, there must be appropriate cubic superpotentials, so as to be marginal at weak coupling, that one can turn on. Furthermore, the Kähler quotient of the space of marginal couplings by the complexified global symmetry group of the free point must be non-zero [22].

For a given theory we need to verify that these three conditions are satisfied. The first two are given by trivial algebra. The third one, the computation of the Kähler quotient, can be rather tricky. In appendix B we detail an algorithm to compute such a quotient and the various subtleties involved, as well as work out quite a few concrete examples. In what follows we will detail the final result of such computations along with comments which should be instrumental in rederviving the quoted results.

---

*We shall only consider cases where the gauge theory itself is conformal at weak coupling, and ignore cases where the gauge theory flows to an interacting SCFT with a different weak coupling description.*
Table 1. Various group theory data for SU($N$) representations associated with tensors with at most two indices. Here the label entry stands for the standard Dynkin label of the representation, and the symbol entry provides the shorthand symbol that will be employed for that representation throughout this article. The remaining entries list the dimension, Dynkin index (a.k.a. quadratic Casimir), cubic Casimir, and the reality properties of each representation.

We next consider each simple group in turn, and enumerate our results. We shall consider only cases that are intrinsically $N = 1$ at weak coupling. We shall return to consider cases with extended supersymmetry in section 8. For each case we specify the dimension of the conformal manifold, the symmetry of the free point, the symmetry on the generic point of the conformal manifold. The conformal manifolds might contain subspaces which preserve larger symmetry than the generic point. We identify some of such subspaces, though we do not claim that we identify all of them, as these types of computations tend to be rather involved.

4 $G = SU(N)$

We shall first consider the case of group $G = SU(N)$.

4.1 Generic cases

For generic $N$, condition 3.2 can only be satisfied by representations containing at most two indices. These are the adjoint, symmetric, antisymmetric, fundamental and their conjugates. We have summarized the relevant group theory data on these in table 1. For sufficiently low $N$, some other representations are possible. We shall refer to the cases involving them as exotic cases, and discuss them in the next section. Cases made from the above mentioned representations will be dubbed generic cases, and considered in this section, even if some of them are only conformal for small $N$.

An important part in the classification is the possible superpotential terms one can add, which will be discussed forthwith. First adjoints can be coupled using a cubic superpotential. This can be done with an antisymmetric coupling for any group and with a symmetric coupling for $N \geq 3$. The antisymmetric coupling is the one used in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills, but will not play a role here as we always considered cases with less than 3 adjoint chirals. We shall here only concentrate on cases where $N \geq 3$, and so can always use the symmetric coupling. These comes about as for $SU(2)$, the only interesting cases are the ones with extended supersymmetry.
Additionally all two index representations can be coupled to two fundamentals via cubic superpotentials. For symmetrics and antisymmetrics this is to two anti-fundamentals, where the coupling is respectively symmetric or antisymmetric, and similarly for the conjugate representations. Adjoints couple to a fundamental and anti-fundamental, and similarly can be coupled also to any other pair of a representation and its conjugate. These are just the superpotential types that exist for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories. There is also a superpotential involving all three two-index representations: a symmetric, conjugate antisymmetric and adjoint, and likewise for the complex conjugate.

For generic $N$, these are the only superpotentials, but for low values of $N$ additional superpotential terms are possible. We next review some of these special cases.

1. $N = 3$: several special things occur for $N = 3$. First the antisymmetric is the same as the anti-fundamental, so there are less choices in terms of matter multiplets. However, the fundamentals and anti-fundamentals can be coupled with an antisymmetric cubic superpotential given by the baryons. Also the symmetrics and their conjugates can be coupled with a symmetric cubic superpotential given by the determinant. As a results almost every representation can be coupled to itself making conformal manifolds quite common for SU(3) gauge theories.

2. $N = 4$: the special feature of $N = 4$ is that the antisymmetric representation becomes real. As a result there are more cubic superpotentials for theories with antisymmetrics as, if these can couple to a given representation, they can also couple to the conjugate one. We also note that the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ type coupling between two antisymmetrics and the adjoint is antisymmetric.

3. $N = 5$: here one can build a baryonic invariant from two antisymmetrics and a fundamental, and likewise for the complex conjugate. This invariant is symmetric.

4. $N = 6$: here one can build a baryonic invariant from three antisymmetrics, and likewise for the complex conjugate. This invariant is symmetric.

We next list the possible theories and their properties. For ease of presentation we shall break the possibilities into cases.

### 4.1.1 Cases with two adjoints

We first consider the cases with two chiral fields in the adjoint representation. The possible solutions to conditions (3.1) and (3.2) for generic $N$ are:

1. $N_F = N_{\mathcal{F}} = N$

2. $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_F = 3$, $N_{\mathcal{F}} = N - 1$

3. $N_{AS} = N_{\mathcal{AS}} = 1$, $N_F = N_{\mathcal{F}} = 2$

Here we have suppressed the two adjoints for brevity, but we remind the reader that they are also part of the matter content. Also, for chiral choices there are two possibilities
given by complex conjugation and we have only written one, as they are physically the same differing merely by redefining the SU(N) generators.

Besides these, there are several solutions that exist only for small N:

1. $N_{\text{AS}} = 2$, $N_F = 6 - N$, $N_{\mathcal{F}} = N - 2$, $N = 5, 6$
2. $N_{\text{AS}} = 3$, $N_F = N_{\mathcal{F}} = 1$, $N = 4$
3. $N_{\text{AS}} = 4$, $N = 4$
4. $N_{\text{AS}} = 2$, $N_{\text{AS}} = 1$, $N_{\mathcal{F}} = 1$, $N = 5$

Here we have only listed cases that do not reduce to one of the generic families. Finally, we need to consider the possible superpotentials and perform the Kähler quotient. We list the cases where this is non-trivial, together with some of their properties in table 2. In all the tables in the paper we use the following notations. $\dim \mathcal{M}$ stands for the dimension of the conformal manifold. $G^\text{free}_F$ and $G^\text{gen}_F$ are the symmetries preserved at the free point and at a generic point of the conformal manifold respectively. The symbol $\emptyset$ denotes the situation when no symmetry is preserved. Under $G^\text{gen}_F$ we also list some of the larger symmetries preserved on sub-loci of the conformal manifold. Finally, we list for reference the $a$ and $c$ anomalies.

Let us make several specific comments about the various cases.

- Case 1 can be conformally gauged with the diagonal SU(N). The Cartan of this group is preserved on generic points. The other preserved U(1) groups are the baryon U(1), which is preserved generically, and some combination of the other U(1) group and the Cartan of the SU(2), which is preserved only on a special line.

- In case 2 the preserved SU(3) is the diagonal of the intrinsic SU(3) and an SU(3) subgroup of SU(5), while the SU(2) is the complement of this diagonal SU(3) in SU(5). The U(1) is a combination of the commutant U(1) in SU(5) and the intrinsic U(1) groups.

- In case 3 we have the breaking of SU(3) $\rightarrow$ U(1) $\times$ SU(2) and SU(4) $\rightarrow$ U(1) $\times$ SU(2)$^2$, where the preserved SU(2)$^2$ is the diagonal of the SU(2) in SU(3) and one of the SU(2) groups in SU(4), while the other SU(2) is its commutant in SU(4). The two U(1) groups are combinations of the intrinsic U(1) groups and the U(1) commutants in the non-abelian groups. One of these cannot be broken and is one of those preserved generically, while the second generically preserved U(1) is a combination of the other U(1) and Cartans of the SU(2) groups.

- In case 4 we have the breaking SU(3) $\rightarrow$ U(1) $\times$ SU(2) for both SU(3) groups, where the SU(2)$^2$ is preserved as well as two combinations of the commutant and intrinsic U(1) groups. As both of these SU(2) groups see effectively 4 doublet chiral fields, this can easily be used to build linear quivers using for instance $N = 2$ SU(2) $+ 4F$.

- In case 5 the two SU(2) groups rotating the flavor symmetries can be preserved along a 2d subspace together with some combination of the intrinsic U(1) groups. This allows one to gauge them, which can also be used to build quivers for small N, like $N = 4$. 
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Additionally there is a 1d subspace, generated by the cubic adjoint superpotential and the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superpotentials for the fundamentals and antisymmetrics, where these SU(2) groups are broken to the diagonal, but one preserves the two baryonic symmetries as well as another U(1) which is a combination of the intrinsic U(1) groups and the Cartan of the adjoint SU(2). for $N = 4$, one of the baryonic symmetries enhances to SU(2), which is then also preserved on this subspace. Generically, the Cartan of the diagonal fundamental SU(2) and some combination of the baryonic symmetries is preserved, except for $N = 6$ where all baryonic symmetries can be broken and $N = 5$ where all symmetries can be broken.

- In case 6 we first have the breaking SU(4) $\rightarrow$ USp(4), with an additional preserved U(1) being a combination of the intrinsic U(1) and the Cartan of the SU(2). We can further completely break the U(1) and break USp(4) to SU(2)$^2$.

| Case | Matter | $\dim \mathcal{M}$ | $G_f^{\text{free}}$ | $G_f^{\text{gen}}$ | $a, c$ |
|------|--------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------|
| 1    | $N_F = N_T = N$ | $N + 1$ | U(1)$^2 \times \text{SU}(N)^2$ | U(1)$^3$ | $a = \frac{12N^2-11}{48}$, $c = \frac{7N^2-5}{24}$ |
|      |        | (5 for $N = 3$) | (U(1)$^2$ for $N = 3$) | has a 1d subspace | preserving U(1)$^2 \times \text{SU}(N)$ |
| 2    | $N_A = 1, N_F = 3$, $N_T = 5, N = 6$ | 7 | U(1)$^3 \times \text{SU}(2)$ | $\emptyset$ | $a = \frac{23}{7}$, $c = \frac{119}{17}$ |
|      |        |                  | $\times \text{SU}(3) \times \text{SU}(5)$ | has a 2d subspace | preserving U(1) $\times$ SU(2) $\times \text{SU}(3)$ |
| 3    | $N_A = 1, N_F = 3$, $N_T = 4, N = 5$ | 4 | U(1)$^3 \times \text{SU}(2)$ | U(1)$^2$ | $a = \frac{103}{17}$, $c = \frac{52}{3}$ |
|      |        |                  | $\times \text{SU}(3) \times \text{SU}(4)$ | has a 2d subspace | preserving U(1)$^2 \times \text{SU}(2)^2$ |
| 4    | $N_A = 1, N_F = 3$, $N_T = 3, N = 4$ | 2 | U(1)$^3 \times \text{SU}(2)$ | U(1)$^2 \times \text{SU}(2)^2$ | $a = \frac{65}{13}$, $c = \frac{17}{3}$ |
|      |        |                  | $\times \text{SU}(3)^2$ | |
| 5    | $N_A = N_T = 1$, $N_F = N_T = 2$ | 5 | U(1)$^4 \times \text{SU}(2)^2$ | U(1)$^2$ | $a = \frac{12N^2-11}{48}$, $c = \frac{7N^2-5}{24}$ |
|      |        | (6 for $N = 6$, $N = 5$) | (U(1)$^3 \times \text{SU}(2)^4$ for $N = 4$) | (U(1)$^2$ for $N = 6$) | |
|      |        |                  | |
| 6    | $N_A = 4, N = 4$ | 3 | U(1) $\times \text{SU}(2)$ | SU(2)$^2$ | $a = \frac{63}{17}$, $c = \frac{13}{3}$ |
|      |        |                  | $\times \text{SU}(4)$ | has a 1d subspace | preserving U(1)$\times$ USp(4) |
| 7    | $N_A = 2, N_T = 4$, $N = 6$ | 3 | U(1)$^2 \times \text{SU}(2)^2$ | SU(2)$^2$ | $a = \frac{419}{33}$, $c = \frac{229}{27}$ |
|      |        |                  | $\times \text{SU}(4)$ | has a 1d subspace | preserving U(1)$\times$ USp(4) |

Table 2. Cases involving an SU($N$) gauge group with two adjoint chiral fields. Note that the two adjoint chiral fields are not written in the table.
Case 7 features similar breaking as in the previous case, with first breaking $\text{SU}(4) \rightarrow \text{USp}(4)$, with an additional preserved $\text{U}(1)$ being a combination of the intrinsic $\text{U}(1)$ and the Cartan of the antisymmetric $\text{SU}(2)$. We can further completely break the $\text{U}(1)$ and break $\text{USp}(4)$ to $\text{SU}(2)^2$.

### 4.1.2 Cases with one adjoint

We next consider cases with one chiral field in the adjoint representation, that only have $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry. The possible solutions to conditions (3.1) and (3.2) for generic $N$ are then:

1. $N_S = N_{\overline{S}} = 1$, $N_{\overline{A}} = 1$, $N_F = 1$, $N_{\overline{F}} = N - 3$

2. $N_S = 1$, $N_{\overline{A}} = 1$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 2N$

3. $N_S = 1$, $N_{\overline{A}} = 1$, $N_F = N - 4$, $N_{\overline{F}} = N + 4$

4. $N_S = 1$, $N_{\overline{A}} = 2$, $N_F = N - 5$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 7$

5. $N_S = 1$, $N_F = N - 3$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 2N + 1$

6. $N_{\overline{A}} = 2$, $N_{\overline{A}} = 1$, $N_F = 5$, $N_{\overline{F}} = N + 1$

7. $N_{\overline{A}} = 2$, $N_F = 6$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 2N - 2$

8. $N_{\overline{A}} = 1$, $N_F = N + 3$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 2N - 1$

Here we have suppressed the adjoint for brevity, but we remind the reader that it is also part of the matter content. Also, for chiral choices there are two possibilities given by complex conjugation and we have only written one, as they are physically the same differing merely by redefining the $\text{SU}(N)$ generators. We also note that while for generic $N$ these models have only $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry, for some this is enhanced to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ for low values of $N$.

Besides these, there are several solutions that exist only for small $N$:

1. $N_S = 1$, $N_{\overline{A}} = 3$, $N_F = N - 6$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 10 - N$, $N = 6, 7, 8, 9, 10$

2. $N_{\overline{A}} = 7$, $N_F = N_{\overline{F}} = 1$, $N = 4$

3. $N_{\overline{A}} = 5$, $N_F = 15 - 3N$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 2N - 5$, $N = 4, 5$

4. $N_{\overline{A}} = 4$, $N_{\overline{A}} = 2$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 2$, $N = 5$

5. $N_{\overline{A}} = 4$, $N_{\overline{A}} = 1$, $N_F = 1$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 4$, $N = 5$

6. $N_{\overline{A}} = 4$, $N_F = 12 - 2N$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 2N - 4$, $N = 5, 6$

7. $N_{\overline{A}} = 3$, $N_{\overline{A}} = 2$, $N_F = 7 - N$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 3$, $N = 5, 6, 7$

8. $N_{\overline{A}} = 3$, $N_{\overline{A}} = 1$, $N_F = 8 - N$, $N_{\overline{F}} = N$, $N = 5, 6, 7, 8$

9. $N_{\overline{A}} = 3$, $N_F = 9 - N$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 2N - 3$, $N = 5, 6, 7, 8, 9$
Here we have only listed cases that do not reduce to one of the generic families. Finally, we need to consider the possible superpotentials and perform the kähler quotient. We list the cases where this is non-trivial, together with some of their properties in tables 3 and 4.
| \(N_{AS} = 2\), \(N_F = 6\), \(\mathcal{N} = 5\) | \(\dim \mathcal{M}\) | \(G_F^{free}\) | \(G_F^{gen}\) | \(a, c\) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| \(U(1)^3 \times SU(2)\times SU(6) \times SU(8)\) | \(U(1)^2 \times SU(3)\times SU(4) \times USp(4)\) | \(a = \frac{23}{17}, c = \frac{31}{14}\) |
| \(N_{AS} = 1\), \(N_F = 9\), \(\mathcal{N} = 11\) | \(U(1)^3 \times SU(9)\times SU(11)\) | \(U(1) \times SU(2)\times SU(9)\) | \(a = \frac{25}{17}, c = \frac{27}{14}\) |
| \(N_{AS} = 1\), \(N_F = 8\), \(\mathcal{N} = 9\) | \(U(1)^3 \times SU(8)\times SU(9)\) | \(U(1)^2 \times SU(2)\times SU(7)\) | \(a = \frac{35}{18}, c = \frac{37}{14}\) |
| \(N_{AS} = 1\), \(N_F = 7\), \(\mathcal{N} = 4\) | \(U(1)^3 \times SU(7)^2\) | \(U(1)^2 \times SU(2)^3\times USp(4)\) | \(a = \frac{51}{34}, c = \frac{53}{21}\) |
| \(N_{AS} = 5\), \(N_F = 4\), \(\mathcal{N} = 3\) | \(U(1)^3 \times SU(3)^2\times SU(5)\) | \(U(1)^2 \times SU(2)^2\times USp(4)\) | \(a = \frac{17}{14}, c = \frac{19}{15}\) |
| \(N_{AS} = 2\), \(N_F = 8\), \(\mathcal{N} = 6\) | \(U(1)^2 \times SU(4)\times SU(8)\) | \(\emptyset\) | \(a = \frac{51}{34}, c = \frac{53}{21}\) |
| \(N_{AS} = 3\), \(N_F = 3\), \(\mathcal{N} = 6\) | \(U(1)^4 \times SU(2)\times SU(3)^2\times SU(6)\) | \(U(1)^2 \times SU(2)^2\times SU(2)^2\times USp(4)\) | \(a = \frac{497}{36}, c = \frac{499}{36}\) |
| \(N_{AS} = 3\), \(N_F = 2\), \(\mathcal{N} = 5\) | \(U(1)^4 \times SU(2)^2\times SU(3)^2\times SU(6)\) | \(\emptyset\) | \(a = \frac{497}{36}, c = \frac{499}{36}\) |
| \(N_{AS} = 3\), \(N_F = 2\), \(\mathcal{N} = 6\) | \(U(1)^4 \times SU(2)^2\times SU(3)^2\times SU(6)\) | \(\emptyset\) | \(a = \frac{497}{36}, c = \frac{499}{36}\) |
| \(N_{AS} = 3\), \(N_F = 3\), \(\mathcal{N} = 5\) | \(U(1)^4 \times SU(2)^2\times SU(3)^2\times SU(6)\) | \(\emptyset\) | \(a = \frac{497}{36}, c = \frac{499}{36}\) |
| \(N_{AS} = 3\), \(N_F = 9\), \(\mathcal{N} = 6\) | \(U(1)^3 \times SU(3)^2\times SU(6)\) | \(U(1)^2 \times SU(2)^2\times USp(4)\) | \(a = \frac{22}{15}, c = \frac{23}{14}\) |

**Table 4.** Cases involving an SU\((N)\) gauge group with one adjoint chiral field, continued.
Let us make several specific comments about the various cases.

- In case 3 the SU(2) is embedded in SU(3) as U(1) × SU(2) ⊂ SU(3).
- In case 5 the symmetry is embedded as SO(7) ⊂ SU(7). Incidentally, it can be further broken to G2 along a 2d subspace.
- In case 6 the SU(2) is embedded inside U(1) × SU(2) × SU(5) ⊂ SU(7) and the SU(5) is the diagonal one of the flavor SU(5) and the one inside SU(7). The USp(4) and USp(6) are embedded inside U(1) × USp(4) ⊂ SU(5) and U(1) × USp(6) ⊂ SU(7), respectively.
- In case 7 the symmetries are embedded as follows. In the first case we have SU(4) × SU(2) ⊂ SU(6) and SU(4) × U(1) ⊂ SU(5), where the SU(4) group is the diagonal one. In the second case we have USp(6) ⊂ SU(6) and USp(4) × U(1) ⊂ SU(5). In both cases, the preserved U(1) groups are combinations of the intrinsic U(1) groups, the Cartan of the SU(2), and the U(1) commutants in the non-abelian groups.
- In case 8 the SU(2) is embedded inside U(1) × SU(2) ⊂ SU(3), and the USp(4) is in the diagonal SU(5), where the embedding is such that there is a U(1) commutant.
- In case 9 the USp(4) is embedded inside SU(6) × USp(4) ⊂ SU(10) and the SU(6) is the diagonal one of the flavor SU(6) and the one inside SU(10).
- In case 10 the breaking is as follows: SU(4) × U(1)² ⊂ SU(6) and SU(4) × USp(4) ⊂ SU(8), where the SU(4) group is the diagonal one. Generically the diagonal SU(4) is further broken to SU(3). The preserved U(1) groups are combinations of the intrinsic U(1) groups, the Cartan of the SU(2), and the U(1) commutants in the non-abelian groups.
- In case 11 we break SU(11) → SU(9) × SU(2) × U(1), where the preserved SU(9) is the diagonal one and the U(1) is a combination of the intrinsic U(1) groups and the U(1) commutant in SU(11).
- In case 12 we break SU(9) → SU(7) × SU(2) × U(1) and SU(8) → SU(7) × U(1), where the preserved SU(7) is the diagonal one and the U(1) groups are combinations of the intrinsic U(1) groups and the U(1) commutants in the non-abelian groups.
- In case 13 for the first 1d subspace we first break both SU(7) → SU(6) × U(1) and then break SU(6) → USp(6). The preserved USp(6) is then the diagonal one and the U(1) is a combination of the intrinsic U(1) groups and the U(1) commutants in the non-abelian groups. For the second 1d subspace we first break both SU(7) → SU(5) × SU(2) × U(1). The preserved SU(5) is then the diagonal one. In this subspace, the generically preserved SU(2)³ is embedded as SU(2)² → SU(2)_{diagonal}, SU(5) → U(1) × USp(4) → U(1) × SU(2)².
• In case 14 we break both SU(3) → SU(2) × U(1) and break SU(5) → USp(4) × U(1). The preserved U(1) groups are combinations of the intrinsic U(1) groups and the U(1) commutants in the non-abelian groups.

• In case 15 the USp(8) group is embedded in SU(8) and the U(1) is a combination of the intrinsic U(1) groups and the Cartans of SU(4).

• In case 16 the symmetries are embedded as follows: first we break both SU(3) groups to U(1) × SU(2). The preserved SU(2)^2 are then the diagonal combination of the intrinsic SU(2) with SU(2) ⊂ SU(3)_AS and the SU(2) ⊂ SU(3)_F. The former is further broken on generic points. The U(1) groups are combinations of the intrinsic U(1) groups and the U(1) commutants in the non-abelian groups.

• In case 17 the symmetries are embedded as follows: first we break both SU(3) groups to U(1) × SU(2). The preserved SU(2)^2 are then the diagonal combination of the intrinsic SU(2) acting on the conjugate antisymmetrics with SU(2) ⊂ SU(3)_AS and the SU(2) ⊂ SU(3)_F. The U(1) groups are combinations of the intrinsic U(1) groups and the U(1) commutants in the non-abelian groups.

• In case 18 the SU(6) is broken to USp(6) and the SU(3) is broken to a U(1) which combines with the intrinsic ones.

• In case 19 the SU(5) is broken to USp(4) × U(1) and the U(1) groups are combinations of the intrinsic U(1) groups and the U(1) commutants in the non-abelian groups.

• In case 20 we first break SU(9) → U(1) × SU(3) × USp(6). The preserved SU(3) is then the diagonal one with the SU(3) rotating the fundamentals. This SU(3) cannot be broken on the conformal manifold.

• In case 21 we break SU(7) → U(1) × USp(6), SU(4) → U(1) × SU(3) and SU(3) → U(1) × SU(2). The preserved SU(2) is then the diagonal one of SU(2) ⊂ SU(3) and SO(3) ⊂ SU(3) ⊂ SU(4).

4.1.3 Cases with symmetrics but no adjoints

We next consider the cases where there is at least one chiral field in the symmetric representation, but no chiral fields in the adjoint representation. The number of solutions to conditions (3.1) and (3.2) is very large and we list all the cases in appendix C.1.3. Among those there are only a few which also have a non trivial conformal manifold once generic superpotentials are turned on. We consider the possible superpotentials and perform the Kähler quotient. We list the cases where this is non-trivial, together with some of their properties in table 5.

Let us make several specific comments about the various cases.

• In case 1 the breaking is SU(4) → SO(4) for both groups. We can then further break each SO(4) to SO(3).
In case 2 the SU(3) rotating the fundamentals is unbroken while the one rotating the anti-fundamentals is broken to SO(10)\(\times SU(3)^M\) for \(M = 0\). One does not need the anti-baryon superpotential, and an additional U(1) can be preserved. It is interesting to note that the SO(7)\(\times SU(3)^2\) case can be further broken to \(G_2 \times SU(3)^2\) on a 2d subspace.

In case 3 the symmetries are embedded as follows: SO(14) \(\subset SU(14)\) and the SU(2) is the diagonal of the antisymmetric SU(2) and SO(3) \(\subset SU(3)\).

4.1.4 Cases with only antisymmetrics and fundamentals

We next consider the cases where there are only chiral fields in the antisymmetric and fundamental representation, or their conjugate. We list the theories solving (3.1) and (3.2) in C.1.4. Most of these are IR free. However once superpotentials are turned on some have interacting conformal manifolds. We list the cases where this is non-trivial, together with some of their properties in tables 6 and 7.

Let us make several specific comments about the various cases.

- In case 1 the generically preserved U(1) groups are part of the Cartan of each USp(6) \(\subset SU(6)\) group. The \(U(1)^2\) that is preserved on the subspace are different and are combinations of the Cartans of each SU(3) group and the intrinsic U(1) groups.
|   | Matter                                                                 | $\dim \mathcal{M}$ | $G_F^{\text{free}}$             | $G_F^{\text{open}}$                  | $a, c$       |
|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1 | $N_{\text{AS}} = N_{\overline{\text{AS}}} = 3$, $N_F = N_{\overline{F}} = 6$, $N = 6$ | 23                | $U(1)^2 \times SU(3)^2 \times SU(6)^2$ | $U(1)^2$ has 1d subspace preserving $U(1)^2 \times USp(6)^2$ | $a = \frac{159}{16}, c = \frac{89}{4}$ |
| 2 | $N_{\text{AS}} = N_{\overline{\text{AS}}} = 3$, $N_F = N_{\overline{F}} = 6$, $N = 5$ | 73                | $U(1)^3 \times SU(3)^2 \times SU(6)^2$ | $\emptyset$ has 1d subspace preserving $SU(2)$ | $a = 7, c = 8$ |
| 3 | $N_{\text{AS}} = 3$, $N_{\overline{\text{AS}}} = 2$, $N_F = 7$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 9$, $N = 6$ | 28                | $U(1)^3 \times SU(2) \times SU(3) \times SU(7) \times SU(9)$ | $SU(2)^2$ has 1d subspace preserving $SU(2)^3$ | $a = \frac{81}{4}, c = \frac{23}{4}$ |
| 4 | $N_{\text{AS}} = 3$, $N_{\overline{\text{AS}}} = 2$, $N_F = 7$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 8$, $N = 5$ | 67                | $U(1)^3 \times SU(2) \times SU(3) \times SU(7) \times SU(8)$ | $\emptyset$ has 1d subspace preserving $U(1)^2 \times SU(2)^3 \times USp(4)$ | $a = \frac{141}{4}, c = \frac{197}{44}$ |
| 5 | $N_{\text{AS}} = N_{\overline{\text{AS}}} = 2$, $N_F = N_{\overline{F}} = 10$, $N = 6$ | 11                | $U(1)^3 \times SU(2)^2 \times SU(10)^2$ | $SU(2)^{10}$ has 4 1d subspaces preserving $U(1)^2 \times G_1 \times G_2$ where $G_1, G_2 = USp(8) \times SU(2)$ or $USp(6) \times USp(4)$ | $a = \frac{165}{16}, c = \frac{95}{8}$ |
| 6 | $N_{\text{AS}} = N_{\overline{\text{AS}}} = 2$, $N_F = N_{\overline{F}} = 9$, $N = 5$ | 29                | $U(1)^3 \times SU(2)^2 \times SU(9)^2$ | $\emptyset$ has 4 1d subspaces preserving $U(1)^4 \times G_1 \times G_2$ where $G_1, G_2 = USp(6) \times SU(2)$ or $USp(4)^2$ | $a = \frac{173}{4}, c = \frac{101}{12}$ |
| 7 | $N_{\text{AS}} = 4$, $N_F = N_{\overline{F}} = 8$, $N = 4$ | 82                | $U(1)^2 \times SU(4) \times SU(8)^2$ | $U(1)$ has 1d subspaces preserving $U(1)^4 \times USp(4)^4$ | $a = \frac{225}{4}, c = \frac{133}{24}$ |
| 8 | $N_{\text{AS}} = 3$, $N_{\overline{\text{AS}}} = 1$, $N_F = 8$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 12$, $N = 6$ | 56                | $U(1)^3 \times SU(3) \times SU(8) \times SU(12)$ | $U(1) \times USp(8)$ has 1d subspace preserving $U(1)^3 \times USp(8)^2 \times USp(4)$ | $a = \frac{165}{16}, c = \frac{95}{8}$ |
| 9 | $N_{\text{AS}} = 3$, $N_{\overline{\text{AS}}} = 1$, $N_F = 8$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 10$, $N = 5$ | 39                | $U(1)^3 \times SU(3) \times SU(8) \times SU(10)$ | $U(1)$ has 1d subspace preserving $U(1)^4 \times USp(6)^2 \times USp(4)$ | $a = \frac{173}{4}, c = \frac{101}{12}$ |
| 10| $N_F = N_{\overline{F}} = 9$, $N = 3$ | 7                 | $U(1) \times SU(9)^2$ | $\emptyset$ has 1d subspace preserving $SU(3)^6$ | $a = \frac{21}{8}, c = \frac{13}{4}$ |

Table 6. Cases involving an SU($N$) gauge group with fundamental and antisymmetric chiral fields only.
In case 2 the SU(2) is embedded as SO(3) \subset SU(3) where it is in the diagonal of SU(3)^2 and SU(6)^2, where for the latter we use the embedding \(6_{SU(6)} \rightarrow \overline{6}_{SU(3)}\).

In case 3 one breaks SU(9) \rightarrow SU(3)^3 \times U(1)^2 \rightarrow SO(3)^3 \times U(1)^2, and then take the diagonal SU(2) of the three SO(3) groups. The other two SU(2) groups are embedded as SU(2)^2 \times U(1)^2 \subset SU(7), and these are the ones generally preserved. The rest of the symmetries are broken completely.

In case 4 the USp(4) is embedded as USp(4) \times U(1)^3 \subset SU(7), and the SU(2)^3 is embedded as SU(2)^3 \times U(1)^4 \subset SU(8). The U(1)^5 are combinations of the intrinsic U(1)^3, the Cartans of SU(2) and SU(3), and the U(1) commutants in the non-abelian groups.

| Matter | \(dim \, M\) | \(G_{free}^f\) | \(G_{com}^f\) | \(a, c\) |
|--------|-------------|----------------|---------------|--------|
| \(N_{AS} = N_{AT} = 4,\) \(N_{F} = N_{T} = 2,\) \(N = 6\) | 15 | U(1)^3 \times SU(2)^2 \times SU(4)^2 | SU(2)^2 \times SU(2)^2 | a = \frac{133}{14}, c = \frac{81}{14} |
| \(N_{AS} = 5, N_{AT} = 3,\) \(N_{F} = 4,\) \(N = 6\) | 26 | U(1)^2 \times SU(3) \times SU(4) \times SU(5) | SU(2)^4 \times SU(2)^2 | a = \frac{153}{16}, c = \frac{83}{16} |
| \(N_{AS} = 4, N_{AT} = 3,\) \(N_{F} = 3, N_{T} = 5,\) \(N = 6\) | 21 | U(1)^3 \times SU(3)^2 \times SU(4) \times SU(5) | SU(2)^5 \times SU(2)^2 | a = \frac{29}{7}, c = \frac{43}{7} |
| \(N_{AS} = 6, N_{T} = 12,\) \(N = 6\) | 273 | U(1) \times SU(6) \times SU(12) | SU(2)^3 \times SU(2)^2 \times U(1)^4 \times USp(8) \times USp(4) | a = \frac{729}{19}, c = \frac{69}{19} |
| \(N_{AS} = 5, N_{AT} = 1,\) \(N_{F} = 2, N_{T} = 10,\) \(N = 6\) | 136 | U(1)^3 \times SU(2) \times SU(5) \times SU(10) | SU(2)^4 \times USp(8) \times USp(4) | a = \frac{729}{19}, c = \frac{69}{19} |
| \(N_{AS} = 4, N_{AT} = 3,\) \(N_{F} = 2, N_{T} = 8,\) \(N = 6\) | 55 | U(1)^3 \times SU(2) \times SU(4) \times SU(8) | SU(2)^2 \times SU(2)^2 \times USp(8) | a = \frac{729}{19}, c = \frac{69}{19} |
| \(N_{AS} = 4, N_{AT} = 2,\) \(N_{F} = 5, N_{T} = 7,\) \(N = 5\) | 20 | U(1)^3 \times SU(2) \times SU(4) \times SU(5) \times SU(7) | SU(2)^3 \times SU(2)^2 \times USp(4) | a = 7, c = 8 |
| \(N_{AS} = 5, N_{T} = 10,\) \(N = 5\) | 152 | U(1)^2 \times SU(5)^2 \times SU(10) | SU(2)^5 \times SU(2)^2 \times USp(8) | a = \frac{341}{16}, c = \frac{297}{16} |
| \(N_{AS} = 4, N_{AT} = 1,\) \(N_{F} = 6, N_{T} = 9,\) \(N = 5\) | 72 | U(1)^3 \times SU(4) \times SU(6) \times SU(9) | SU(2)^3 \times SU(2)^2 \times USp(8) | a = \frac{341}{16}, c = \frac{297}{16} |

Table 7. Cases involving an SU(\(N\)) gauge group with fundamental and antisymmetric chiral fields only, continued.
• In case 5 the maximal breaking is SU(10) \rightarrow SU(2)^5 for both SU(10) groups. The minimal breaking involves either SU(10) \rightarrow U(1) \times SU(2) \times USp(8) or SU(10) \rightarrow U(1) \times USp(4) \times USp(6) for each SU(10) group. There are then 4 distinct subspaces, one for each choice. The U(1)^2 are then the combinations of the commutant and intrinsic U(1) groups.

• Similarly, in case 6 the minimal breaking involves either SU(9) \rightarrow U(1)^2 \times SU(2) \times USp(6) or SU(9) \rightarrow U(1)^2 \times USp(4)^2 for each SU(9) group. There are then 4 distinct subspaces, one for each choice. The U(1)^4 are then the combinations of the commutant and intrinsic U(1) groups.

• In case 7 the minimal breaking involves SU(8) \rightarrow U(1) \times USp(4)^2 for each SU(8) group. The SU(4) is broken to its Cartan. The U(1)^4 are then three combinations of the commutant and intrinsic U(1) groups, and one of the intrinsic U(1) groups which is never broken on the conformal manifold.

• In case 8 the USp(4) and one of the USp(8) are embedded inside USp(4) \times USp(8) \times U(1) \subset SU(12), and the second USp(8) which is also the one generally preserved is embedded inside USp(8) \subset SU(8). The U(1)^3 are combinations of the intrinsic U(1)^3, and the U(1) commutant in the non-abelian group. One of the intrinsic U(1)'s is the one preserved generally.

• In case 9 the USp(4) and one of the USp(6) are embedded inside USp(4) \times USp(6) \times U(1) \subset SU(10), and the second USp(6) is embedded inside USp(6) \times U(1)^2 \subset SU(8). The U(1)^4 are combinations of the intrinsic U(1)^3, and the U(1) commutants in the non-abelian groups. One of the intrinsic U(1)'s is the one preserved generally.

• In case 10 the minimal breaking is SU(9) \rightarrow U(1)^2 \times SU(3)^3 for both SU(9) groups.

• In case 11 the SU(2) groups rotating the fundamentals and their conjugates cannot be broken. It is possible to preserve some of the Cartan of the SU(4) groups on subspaces of the conformal manifold.

• In case 12 the breaking is SU(4) \rightarrow USp(4) and the other non-abelian groups are broken down to the Cartan.

• In case 13 the SU(2) is embedded as SU(2) \times U(1)^3 \subset SU(5). The U(1)^4 are combinations of the Cartans of SU(3)^2 and SU(4), and the U(1) commutants in SU(5).

• In case 14 the USp(8) and the USp(4) are embedded as USp(8) \times USp(4) \times U(1) \subset SU(12). The U(1)^4 are combinations of the intrinsic U(1), the Cartan of SU(6), and the U(1) commutant in the non-abelian group.

• In case 15 one breaks SU(10) \rightarrow SU(2) \times USp(8) \times U(1) for the first 1d subspace, SU(10) \rightarrow USp(4) \times USp(6) \times U(1) for the second 1d subspace. For the third 1d subspace one breaks SU(10) \rightarrow SU(5) \times SU(2) such that 10 \rightarrow (5, 2), then taking the diagonal of the remaining SU(5) and of SU(5)_F breaking it as SU(5) \rightarrow SU(2)
such that $\mathbf{5}_{SU(5)} \rightarrow \mathbf{5}_{SU(2)}$. The additional SU(2) for all of these cases is the intrinsic one and is also preserved generally. The U(1)$^3$ in the first two 1d subspaces are combinations of the intrinsic U(1)$^3$, the Cartan of SU(5), and the U(1) commutant in SU(10).

- In case 16 the USp(4) is embedded as USp(4) $\subset$ SU(4)$_F$, and the USp(8) is embedded as USp(8) $\subset$ SU(8). The U(1)$^4$ is a combination of the SU(4)$_{AS}$ and SU(2) Cartans and the intrinsic U(1) groups. The SU(2)$^2$ generally preserved is embedded as SU(2)$^2 \subset$ USp(4).

- In case 17 one breaks SU(7) $\rightarrow$ USp(4) $\times$ SU(3) $\times$ U(1) $\rightarrow$ USp(4) $\times$ SO(3) $\times$ U(1) and taking the diagonal SU(2) of this SO(3) and SU(2)$_{AS}$ to get the generally preserved SU(2). In addition one breaks SU(5) $\rightarrow$ SU(3) $\times$ U(1)$^2$ $\rightarrow$ SO(3) $\times$ U(1)$^2$ and SU(4) $\rightarrow$ SU(2) $\times$ U(1)$^2$ and takes the diagonal SU(2) of these SO(3) and SU(2). The U(1)$^4$ is a combination of the intrinsic U(1) groups and the U(1) commutants in the non-abelian groups. The generally preserved U(1)$^3$ are a combination of the U(1) coming from the breaking USp(4) $\rightarrow$ SU(2)$^2$ $\rightarrow$ SU(2) $\rightarrow$ U(1) and the U(1)$^4$ preserved on the 1d subspace.

- In case 18 one of the intrinsic U(1) groups cannot be broken on the conformal manifold. On the special subspace, the preserved symmetry includes U(1)$^4 \times$ SU(2)$^5$, where the SU(2)$^5$ is embedded as SU(2)$^5 \times$ U(1)$^4 \subset$ SU(10), and the U(1) groups are a combination of the intrinsic U(1)’s, the Cartan of the two broken SU(5) groups and the U(1) commutants in the non-abelian groups.

- In case 19 the USp(8) is embedded as USp(8) $\times$ U(1) $\subset$ SU(9). In addition one breaks SU(6) $\rightarrow$ SU(3) $\times$ SU(2) $\times$ U(1)$^2$ $\rightarrow$ SO(3) $\times$ SU(2)$\times$ U(1)$^2$ and SU(4) $\rightarrow$ SU(2) $\times$ U(1)$^2$ and take the diagonal SU(2) of SO(3) and the SU(2) $\subset$ SU(4). The U(1)$^3$ groups are a combination of the intrinsic U(1)’s, and the U(1) commutants in the non-abelian groups. The generally preserved U(1) is a combination of the above 1d subspace U(1)$^3$.

### 4.2 Exotic cases

Finally we consider representations which are only possible for low values of $N$. The full list of possibilities appears in table 8. As can be seen, there are only a handful of cases extending up to SU(12). Most of these cases are the rank 3 antisymmetric, and a few rank 4 antisymmetric as well. The groups SU(4) and SU(5) have a few more exotic choices.

We first review the list of possible superpotentials. We begin with the case of SU(4). Here we have two types of twenty dimensional representations. The $\mathbf{20}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{20}}$ are the product of the fundamental and the antisymmetric. The antisymmetric product of either the $\mathbf{20}$ or the $\overline{\mathbf{20}}$ can be coupled to the antisymmetric and the symmetric product of either can be coupled to either the symmetric or its conjugate. The $\mathbf{20}$ can also couple to an anti-fundamental and an antisymmetric or conjugate symmetric, and likewise for the conjugate. As usual, the product of the $\mathbf{20}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{20}}$ can couple to the adjoint, and also to the $\mathbf{20}'$. 

---
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Finally the 20 can also linearly couple to an adjoint or 20' and a fundamental, and likewise for the conjugate.

The 20' is the symmetric traceless product of two antisymmetrics. It has a cubic symmetric coupling, and being a real representation, its anti-symmetric square can couple to the adjoint. It can also linearly couple to the symmetric square of the antisymmetric, adjoint, symmetric and conjugate symmetric representations.

For SU(5) we can have the 45 which appears only in one combination with anti-fundamentals. There are no cubic superpotentials that involve only these two representations.

For N = 6 – 12, we can also have the three index antisymmetric representation. This representation can couple to the product of an anti-fundamental and conjugate antisymmetric, and likewise for the complex conjugate. Like all other representations, the product with its conjugate can be coupled to the adjoint. There are a few special cases. For N = 6, the representation is pseudo-real so it can couple linearly to the fundamental and antisymmetric and also to the anti-fundamental and conjugate antisymmetric. Its symmetric product can be coupled to the adjoint representation. For N = 7 and N = 8, we can couple its antisymmetric square to the fundamental and antisymmetric representations, respectively, and likewise for the conjugate. Finally, for N = 9 there is an antisymmetric cubic coupling, though this won’t play a role here.
The only other possible representation is the four index antisymmetric representation, which is possible for $N = 8, 9$. Its generic coupling is to the symmetric square of the conjugate antisymmetric and to the product of the conjugate three index antisymmetric and an anti-fundamental. For $N = 8$, it is a real representation, so all these couplings are also possible for the non-conjugate representation. Also the antisymmetric square can be coupled to the adjoint, though this won’t play a role here. For $N = 9$, we can also couple its symmetric square to the fundamental. In that case it can also be coupled to the product of the two and three index antisymmetric representations, though this also won’t play a role here.

We list the many theories which are anomaly free and have vanishing one loop beta function in appendix C.1.5. All that is now left is to find out which cases support a conformal manifold. We list the cases where this is so, together with some of their properties, in tables 9 and 10.

Let us make several comments about the cases in tables 9 and 10.

- In case 1 only two of the intrinsic U(1) groups are broken.

| Matter | $\dim M$ | $G_{\text{free}}$ | $G_{\text{gen}}$ | $\alpha$, $\beta$ |
|--------|---------|------------------|------------------|----------------|
| $G = SU(4)$, $N_{20} = 1, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 1$, $N_{\mathcal{AS}} = 1$, $N_{\mathcal{F}} = 1, N_{\mathcal{F}} = 2$ | 1 | $U(1)^4 \times SU(2)$ | $U(1)^2 \times SU(2)$ | $a = \frac{137}{6}$, $c = \frac{117}{6}$ |
| $G = SU(4)$, $N_{20} = 1, N_{\mathcal{Ad}} = 1$ | 2 | $U(1)$ | $\emptyset$ | $a = \frac{257}{6}$, $c = \frac{97}{6}$ |
| $G = SU(4)$, $N_{20} = 1, N_{\mathcal{AS}} = 4$ | 1 | $U(1) \times SU(4)$ | $SU(2)^2$ | $a = \frac{127}{6}$, $c = \frac{99}{6}$ |
| $G = SU(4)$, $N_{20} = 3, N_{\mathcal{Ad}} = 1$, $N_{\mathcal{AS}} = 1, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 2$ | 2 | $U(1)^3 \times SU(2)^2$ | $SU(2)^2$ has a 1d subspace preserving $U(1) \times SU(2)^2$ | $a = \frac{227}{6}$, $c = \frac{227}{6}$ |
| $G = SU(6)$, $N_{20} = 2, N_{\mathcal{Ad}} = 2$ | 3 | $U(1) \times SU(2)^2$ | $SU(2)^2$ has a 1d subspace preserving $U(1)^2$ | $a = \frac{245}{10}$, $c = \frac{245}{10}$ |
| $G = SU(6)$, $N_{20} = 2, N_{\mathcal{Ad}} = 1$, $N_{\mathcal{AS}} = 2, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 2$ | 1 | $U(1)^3 \times SU(2)^2 \times SU(3)$ | $SU(2)^2$ has a 1d subspace preserving $U(1)^3 \times USp(8)$ | $a = \frac{17}{4}$, $c = \frac{17}{4}$ |
| $G = SU(6)$, $N_{20} = 2, N_{\mathcal{Ad}} = 1$, $N_{\mathcal{AS}} = 2, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 4$ | 3 | $U(1)^3 \times SU(2)^2 \times SU(4)$ | $U(1)^2 \times SU(2)^2$ has a 1d subspace preserving $U(1)^3 \times USp(4)$ | $a = \frac{137}{16}$, $c = \frac{137}{16}$ |

**Table 9.** Cases involving an SU($N$) gauge group with ‘exotic’ matter.
Table 10. Cases involving an SU(N) gauge group with ‘exotic’ matter, continued.

| Case | Matter | \( \text{dim} M \) | \( G_F^{\text{free}} \) | \( G_F^{\text{gen}} \) | \( a, c \) |
|------|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| 9    | \( G = \text{SU}(6), \)  
\( N_20 = 1, N_{Ad} = 2, \)  
\( N_{AS} = 1, N_{\overline{F}} = 2 \) | 2 | \( \text{U}(1)^3 \times \text{SU}(2)^2 \) | \( \text{SU}(2) \) | \( a = 9, \)  
\( c = \frac{37}{4} \) |
| 10   | \( G = \text{SU}(6), \)  
\( N_{20} = 1, N_{Ad} = 2 \)  
\( N_F = N_{\overline{F}} = 3 \) | 5 | \( \text{U}(1)^3 \times \text{SU}(2) \)  
\times SU(3)^2 | \( \text{U}(1)^3 \)  
has 1d subspace preserving  
\( \text{U}(1)^2 \times \text{SU}(3) \) | \( a = \frac{147}{16}, \)  
\( c = \frac{77}{8} \) |
| 11   | \( G = \text{SU}(6), \)  
\( N_{20} = 1, N_{Ad} = 1 \)  
\( N_{AS} = 3, N_{\overline{F}} = 6 \) | 12 | \( \text{U}(1)^3 \times \text{SU}(3) \)  
\times SU(6) | \( \text{U}(1) \)  
has 1d subspace preserving  
\( \text{U}(1) \times \text{USp}(6) \) | \( a = \frac{451}{48}, \)  
\( c = \frac{259}{24} \) |
| 12   | \( G = \text{SU}(6), N_{Ad} = 1, \)  
\( N_{20} = 1, N_{AS} = 1 \)  
\( N_F = 6, N_{\overline{F}} = 8 \) | 1 | \( \text{U}(1)^4 \times \text{SU}(6) \)  
\times SU(8) | \( \text{U}(1)^4 \times \text{SU}(6) \)  
\times SU(6) | \( a = \frac{469}{48}, \)  
\( c = \frac{259}{24} \) |
| 13   | \( G = \text{SU}(6), \)  
\( N_{Ad} = 1, N_{20} = 1, \)  
\( N_{AS} = 1, N_{\overline{F}} = 2, \)  
\( N_F = 4, N_{\overline{F}} = 2 \) | 12 | \( \text{U}(1)^5 \times \text{SU}(2)^2 \)  
\times SU(4) | \( \emptyset \)  
has 1d subspace preserving  
\( \text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(2) \times \text{USp}(4) \) | \( a = \frac{451}{48}, \)  
\( c = \frac{241}{27} \) |
| 14   | \( G = \text{SU}(6), N_{Ad} = 1, \)  
\( N_{20} = 1, N_{\overline{F}} = 2, \)  
\( N_F = 7, N_{\overline{F}} = 3 \) | 7 | \( \text{U}(1)^4 \times \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(3) \times SU(7) \) | \( \text{U}(1) \)  
has 1d subspace preserving  
\( \text{U}(1)^2 \times \text{USp}(6) \)  
also has 1d subspace preserving  
\( \text{U}(1)^2 \times \text{SU}(3) \times \text{USp}(4) \) | \( a = \frac{117}{12}, \)  
\( c = \frac{125}{12} \) |
| 15   | \( G = \text{SU}(6), N_{20} = 1, \)  
\( N_{AS} = N_{\overline{F}} = 3, \)  
\( N_F = N_{\overline{F}} = 3 \) | 3 | \( \text{U}(1)^4 \times \text{SU}(3)^4 \) | \( \text{U}(1) \)  
has 1d subspace preserving  
\( \text{U}(1)^3 \) | \( a = \frac{461}{48}, \)  
\( c = \frac{251}{24} \) |

- In case 3 the unbroken symmetry is embedded as \( \text{SO}(4) \subset \text{SU}(4) \).
- In case 4 the unbroken \( \text{SU}(2) \) symmetries are the ones rotating the fundamentals and antifundamentals. On a 1d subspace, a combination of the Cartan of \( \text{SU}(2)_{AS} \) and one of the intrinsic \( \text{U}(1) \) groups also remains unbroken.
- In case 5 one of the preserved \( \text{SU}(2) \) groups is \( \text{SU}(2)_{\overline{F}} \) while the other is \( \text{SO}(3) \subset \text{SU}(3) \).
- In case 6 one of the preserved \( \text{U}(1) \) groups is the Cartan of \( \text{SU}(2)_{20} \) while the other is a combination of the intrinsic \( \text{U}(1) \) and the Cartan of the other \( \text{SU}(2) \).
- In case 7 the preserved symmetries are embedded as: \( \text{SO}(4) \subset \text{SO}(5) \subset \text{SO}(6) = \text{SU}(4) \). The always preserved \( \text{U}(1) \) is the Cartan of \( \text{SU}(2)_{20} \), while the one preserved on a subspace is a combination of the Cartan of \( \text{SU}(2)_{AS} \) and one of the intrinsic \( \text{U}(1) \) groups.
In case 8 the USp(4) symmetry in the first 1d subspace is embedded as \( U(1) \times \text{USp}(4) \subset \text{SU}(5) \). The SU(2) symmetry in the second 1d subspace is embedded as \( \text{SU}(3) \times \text{SU}(2) \times U(1) \subset \text{SU}(5) \), and the SU(3) is the diagonal of the intrinsic SU(3) and the one embedded in SU(5).

- In case 9 the preserved SU(2) is \( SU(2)_F \).
- In case 10 the preserved SU(3) is the diagonal one.
- In case 11 the preserved USp(6) is embedded as \( \text{USp}(6) \subset \text{SU}(6) \).
- In case 12 one breaks \( SU(8) \to U(1) \times SU(2) \times SU(6) \) where the preserved SU(6) is the diagonal one.
- In case 13 the SU(2) groups is \( SU(2)_F \) while the USp(4) group is embedded as \( USp(4) \subset SU(4) \). This case also has a 1d subspace where one preserves \( U(1)^2 \times SU(2) \), where now the SU(2) is one of the two SU(2) groups in SU(4) under the same embedding.
- In case 14 the USp(6) in the first 1d subspace is embedded as \( \text{USp}(6) \times U(1) \subset \text{SU}(7) \). In the second 1d subspace the USp(4) groups is embedded as \( \text{USp}(4) \times \text{SU}(3) \times U(1) \subset \text{SU}(7) \) where the preserved SU(3) is the diagonal one.
- In case 15 the SU(3)_F and SU(3)_AS are reduced to the diagonal SU(3) and the same for the conjugate SU(3)’s. The U(1)’s contained in the 1d subspace are the Cartans of the two diagonal SU(3)’s and one of the intrinsic ones. The same intrinsic U(1) is the one generally preserved.

5 \( G = \text{USp}(2N) \)

We shall next consider the case of group \( G = \text{USp}(2N) \).

5.1 Generic cases

Like for \( SU \) type groups, for generic \( N \), the condition 3.2 can only be satisfied by representations containing at most two indices. These are the symmetric (adjoint), antisymmetric and fundamental. We have summarized the relevant group theory data on these in table 11. All of these representations are real or pseudo-real and so there is no cubic anomaly constraint. For sufficiently low \( N \), some other representations are possible. We shall refer to the cases using them as exotic cases, and discuss them in the next section. Cases made from the above mentioned representations will be dubbed generic cases, and considered in this section, even if some of them are only possible for small \( N \).

We next wish to discuss the possible superpotential terms one can add. Groups of type \( USp \) do not have a cubic Casimir, and so there is no cubic symmetric superpotential term for adjoints. There are, however, cubic superpotentials coupling the symmetric square of the adjoints to the antisymmetric, and the anti-symmetric square of the antisymmetric to the adjoint. Also, with the exception of USp(4), antisymmetrics do have a cubic symmetric
Table 11. Various group theory data for USp(2N) representations associated with tensors with at most two indices. Here the label entry stands for the standard Dynkin label of the representation, and the symbol entry provides the shorthand symbol that will be employed for that representation throughout this article. Additionally, the dimension, Dynkin index and the reality properties of the representation are listed.

| Symbol | Label            | Dimension | Dynkin index | Reality  |
|--------|------------------|-----------|--------------|----------|
| F      | (1, 0, 0, \ldots, 0) | 2N        | $\frac{1}{2}$ | Pseudo-real |
| AS     | (0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0) | N(2N - 1) - 1 | N - 1 | Real |
| S      | (2, 0, 0, \ldots, 0) | N(2N + 1) | N + 1 | Real |

superpotential, and as such all conformal cases involve antisymmetric. Additionally, the adjoint and antisymmetric representations can couple to two fundamentals in a symmetric or antisymmetric manner, respectively.

The only exceptional case is $N = 2$, where there is no cubic symmetric superpotential term for the antisymmetric representation. As a result these cases are usually not conformal.

We next examine the possible cases. We begin by listing the possible solutions to conditions (3.2) for generic $N$:

1. $N_S = 2$, $N_F = 2N + 2$
2. $N_S = 2$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_F = 4$
3. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_F = 2N + 6$
4. $N_{AS} = 3$, $N_F = 12$
5. $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_F = 2N + 10$
6. $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_F = 4N + 8$
7. $N_F = 6N + 6$

Besides these, there are several solutions that exist only for small $N$:

1. $N_S = 2$, $N_{AS} = 3$, $N = 2$
2. $N_S = 2$, $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_F = 2(3 - N)$, $N = 2, 3$
3. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 5$, $N_F = 2$, $N = 2$
4. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 3$, $N_F = 2(5 - N)$, $N = 2, 3, 4, 5$
5. $N_{AS} = 9$, $N = 2$
6. $N_{AS} = 8$, $N_F = 2$, $N = 2$
7. $N_{AS} = 7$, $N_F = 4$, $N = 2
Table 12. Cases involving a USp(2N) gauge group with symmetric chiral fields.

| Case | Matter | dim $M$ | $G_F^{free}$ | $G_F^{gen}$ | $a, c$ |
|------|--------|---------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| 1    | $N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_F = 2N + 6$ | $N + 4$ (5 for $N = 2$) | $(U(1)^2 \times SU(2N + 6))$ | $U(1)^{N+3}$ for $N > 2$ has a 1d subspace preserving $SO(2N + 6)$ for $N \geq 2$ has a 1d subspace preserving $USp(2N - 2) \times SO(8)$ | $a = \frac{20N^2 + 21N - 1}{24}, c = \frac{14N^2 + 15N - 1}{24}$ |
| 2    | $N_S = 2, N_{AS} = 3, N = 2$ | 1 | $U(1) \times SU(2) \times SU(3)$ | $U(1) \times SU(2)$ | $a = \frac{125}{18}, c = \frac{65}{54}$ |
| 3    | $N_S = 2, N_{AS} = 2, N = 3$ | 1 | $U(1) \times SU(2)^2 \times SU(5)$ | $U(1)^2$ | $a = \frac{259}{18}, c = \frac{133}{18}$ |
| 4    | $N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 5, N_F = 2, N = 2$ | 1 | $U(1)^2 \times SU(2) \times SU(5)$ | $U(1) \times SU(2) \times SU(4)$ | $a = \frac{115}{18}, c = \frac{73}{18}$ |
| 5    | $N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 3, N = 5$ | 7 | $U(1) \times SU(3)$ | $\emptyset$ has a 1d subspace preserving SU(2) | $a = \frac{141}{3}, c = \frac{44}{3}$ |
| 6    | $N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 3, N_F = 2, N = 4$ | 10 | $U(1)^2 \times SU(2) \times SU(3)$ | $U(1)$ has a 1d subspace preserving SU(2)$^2$ | $a = \frac{57}{3}, c = \frac{241}{18}$ |
| 7    | $N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 3, N_F = 4, N = 3$ | 19 | $U(1)^2 \times SU(3) \times SU(4)$ | $\emptyset$ has a 1d subspace preserving U(1) $\times SU(2) \times SU(4)$ | $a = \frac{23}{4}, c = \frac{55}{18}$ |
| 8    | $N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 3, N_F = 6, N = 2$ | 27 | $U(1)^2 \times SU(3) \times SU(6)$ | $\emptyset$ has a 1d subspace preserving U(1) $\times SU(2)^4$ | $a = \frac{139}{3}, c = \frac{79}{3}$ |

8. $N_AS = 6, N_F = 6(3 - N), N = 2, 3$

9. $N_AS = 5, N_F = 4(4 - N), N = 2, 3, 4$

10. $N_AS = 4, N_F = 2(7 - N), N = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$

Finally, we need to consider the possible superpotentials and perform the Kähler quotient. There are several different cases where this is non-trivial so we shall split them to two groups. The cases involving symmetric chirals appear in table 12, while those without appear in tables 13 and 14.

Let us make several comments about the cases in table 12.

- Case 1 is pretty involved. Besides the 1d subspace preserving $SO(2N + 6)$, for $N \neq 2$, there is also a 1d subspace preserving $USp(2x) \times SO(2N + 6 - 2x)$ for $x \leq N - 1$, where when the inequality is saturated, one preserves an extra U(1) on this 1d subspace.
For $N = 2$, we are missing one operator and so only the case where the inequality is saturated is possible. The symmetries then are embedded as $\text{USp}(2x) \times \text{SO}(2N + 6 - 2x) \subset \text{SU}(2x) \times \text{SU}(2N + 6 - 2x) \times U(1) \subset SU(10)$. The $U(1)$ that is preserved on the $x = N - 1$ subspace is a combination of the commutant and intrinsic $U(1)$ groups. The Cartans of $\text{USp}(2x) \times \text{SO}(2N + 6 - 2x)$ cannot be broken on the conformal manifold.

- In case 2 the preserved $SU(2)$ is the diagonal of $SU(2)_S$ and $SO(3) \subset SU(3)_{AS}$. The $U(1)$ cannot be broken.

- In case 3 the $U(1)$ and a combination of the Cartans of the $SU(2)$ groups is preserved.

- In case 4 the breaking goes as $\text{USp}(4) \subset U(1) \times SU(4) \subset SU(5)$. The $\text{USp}(4)$, $SU(2)$ and some combination of the commutant and intrinsic $U(1)$ groups are preserved.

- In case 5 the embedding is $SU(2) \subset SU(2) \times U(1) \subset SU(3)$.

- In case 6 the preserved $SU(2)$ groups are the one rotating the fundamentals and the $SU(2) \subset SU(2) \times U(1) \subset SU(3)$. The Cartan of the $SU(2)$ rotating the fundamentals cannot be broken.

- In case 7 the symmetries are embedded as follows $\text{USp}(4) \subset SU(4)$, $SU(2) \subset SU(2) \times U(1) \subset SU(3)$. There is also a 1d subspace preserving the $SO(4) \subset SU(4)$, $SU(2) \subset SU(2) \times U(1) \subset SU(3)$ symmetry.

- In case 8 the symmetries are embedded as $\text{USp}(2) \times SO(4) \subset SU(6)$ and $SU(2) \subset SU(2) \times U(1) \subset SU(3)$. The preserved $U(1)$ is a combination of the commutant and intrinsic $U(1)$ groups.

Let us make several comments about the cases in tables 13.

- In case 9 for $N \geq 4$ you can preserve the $\text{USp}(12) \subset SU(12)$, where when the inequality is saturated an additional combination of the intrinsic $U(1)$ and the Cartans of $SU(3)$ can be preserved. For $N \geq 3$ you can preserve the $\text{USp}(6)^2 \subset SU(12)$ and a $U(1)$ that is a combination of the commutant and intrinsic $U(1)$ groups. For $N \geq 2$ you can preserve the $\text{USp}(4)^3 \subset SU(12)$ and a $U(1)^2$ that are combinations of the commutant and intrinsic $U(1)$ groups. For $N = 3$ one can preserve $\text{USp}(8) \times \text{USp}(4) \subset SU(12)$ and a $U(1)^2$ that are combinations of the commutant and intrinsic $U(1)$ groups. The $N = 2$ case is special in that there are less superpotentials, but they are all uncharged under the intrinsic $U(1)$. As a result the conformal manifold is smaller in this case, and an additional $U(1)\!$ is always preserved with respect to the $N > 2$ cases. The $N = 2$ case also has a 1d subspace preserving $U(1) \times SU(3) \times SU(2)^2$ with the symmetry embedded as $SU(3) \times SO(4) \subset SU(3) \times SU(4) \subset SU(12)$ such that $12 \rightarrow (3, 2, 2)$, with the preserved $SU(3)$ the diagonal of this and the one acting on the antisymmetric. The $N = 2$ case also has a 1d subspace preserving $U(1) \times SU(2) \times \text{USp}(6)$ with the symmetry embedded as $SU(2) \times \text{USp}(6) \subset SO(12) \subset$
Table 13. Cases involving a USp(2N) gauge group with only fundamental and antisymmetric chiral fields.

|   | Matter | dim $\mathcal{M}$ | $G^\text{free}_F$ | $G^\text{gen}_F$ | $a$, $c$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 9 | $N_{AS} = 3$, $N_F = 12$ | 56 | U(1) $\times$ SU(3) $\times$ SU(12) | $\emptyset$ (U(1) for $N = 2$) for $N > 4$ has a 4d subspace preserving USp(12) for $N = 4$ has a 1d subspace preserving U(1) $\times$ USp(12) for $N \geq 3$ has a 1d subspace preserving U(1) $\times$ USp(6) for $N = 3$ has a 1d subspace preserving U(1)$^2$ $\times$ USp(4) $\times$ USp(8) for $N \geq 2$ has a 1d subspace preserving USp(4)$^3$ $\times$ U(1)$^2$ (USp(4)$^3$ $\times$ U(1)$^3$ for $N = 2$) | $a = \frac{2N^3 + 10N - 1}{16}$, $c = \frac{4N^3 + 8N - 1}{8}$ |
| 10 | $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_F = 2N + 10$, $N \neq 2$ | $N + 6$ | U(1) $\times$ SU(2) $\times$ SU(2N + 10) | SU(2)$^{N+5}$ (U(1) $\times$ SU(2)$^8$ for $N = 3$) for $N > 7$ has a 2d subspace preserving USp(2N + 10) for $N = 7$ has a 1d subspace preserving U(1) $\times$ USp(2N + 10) for $N = 4, 5, 6$ has a 3d subspace preserving two USp subgroups for $N > 3$ has a 1d subspace preserving U(1) $\times$ USp(8) $\times$ USp(2N + 2) for $N = 3$ has a 1d subspace preserving U(1)$^2$ $\times$ USp(8)$^2$ | $a = \frac{26N^2 + 27N - 2}{24}$, $c = \frac{14N^2 + 21N - 2}{24}$ |

SU(12) such that $\mathbf{12} \rightarrow (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{6})$, with the preserved SU(2) being the diagonal of this and the one coming from the breaking SU(3) $\rightarrow$ SO(3) of the SU(3) acting on the antisymmetrics.

- Case 10 behaves similarly to case 9. For $N \geq 7$ one can always preserve the USp(2N + 10) $\subset$ SU(2N + 10), where when the inequality is saturated, one can also preserve an additional U(1) which is a combination of the Cartan of the SU(2) and the intrinsic U(1). For $N > 2$ one can preserve two USp subgroups inside SU(2N + 10). In any case the SU(2)$^{N+5}$ $\subset$ USp(2N + 10) $\subset$ SU(2N + 10) is always preserved. For $N > 3$ one can preserve USp(8) $\times$ USp(2N + 2) $\subset$ SU(2N + 10) and a U(1) that is a combination of the commutant and intrinsic U(1) groups. For $N = 3$ the superpotential coupling the antisymmetrics and two flavors is not charged under the U(1). As a result, the cubic one coupling the antisymmetrics is marginally irrelevant and there are
less superpotentials, and the U(1) is never broken on the conformal manifold. Here there is a 1d subspace preserving the USp(8)\(^2\) \(\subset\) U(1) \(\times\) SU(8)\(^2\) \(\subset\) SU(2\(N + 10\)), and an additional U(1) which is a combination of the Cartan of the SU(2) and the commutant U(1).

Let us make several comments about the cases in tables 14.

- In case 11 the embedding is SU(3) \(\subset\) SU(6) such that 6 \(\rightarrow\) 6.
- In case 12 the embedding is SU(2) \(\subset\) SU(5) such that 5 \(\rightarrow\) 5.
- In case 13 the symmetry is embedded as USp(4) \(\subset\) SU(4). The U(1)\(^3\) are a combination of the SU(5) Cartans and the intrinsic U(1).
- In case 14 the SU(4) is broken to three U(1)’s and the preserved U(1)\(^2\) are two of these.

| Table 14. Cases involving a USp(2\(N\)) gauge group with only fundamental and antisymmetric chiral fields, continued. |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|    | Matter | \(dim \mathcal{M}\) | \(\mathcal{G}^{free}_F\) | \(\mathcal{G}^{open}_F\) |
| 11 | \(N_{AS} = 6, N = 3\) | 21 | SU(6) | \(\emptyset\) has 1d subspace preserving SU(3) |
| 12 | \(N_{AS} = 5, N = 4\) | 11 | SU(5) | \(\emptyset\) has 1d subspace preserving SU(2) |
| 13 | \(N_{AS} = 5, N_f = 4, N = 3\) | 25 | U(1) \(\times\) SU(4) \(\times\) SU(5) | \(\emptyset\) has 1d subspace preserving U(1)\(^3\) \(\times\) USp(4) |
| 14 | \(N_{AS} = 4, N = 7\) | 5 | SU(4) | \(\emptyset\) has 1d subspace preserving U(1)\(^2\) |
| 15 | \(N_{AS} = 4, N_f = 2, N = 6\) | 8 | U(1) \(\times\) SU(2) \(\times\) SU(4) | SU(2) has 1d subspace preserving U(1)\(^2\) \(\times\) SU(2) |
| 16 | \(N_{AS} = 4, N_f = 4, N = 5\) | 14 | U(1) \(\times\) SU(4)\(^2\) | U(1) has 1d subspace preserving U(1)\(^2\) \(\times\) USp(4) |
| 17 | \(N_{AS} = 4, N_f = 6, N = 4\) | 29 | U(1) \(\times\) SU(4) \(\times\) SU(6) | \(\emptyset\) has 1d subspace preserving U(1)\(^2\) \(\times\) USp(6) |
| 18 | \(N_{AS} = 4, N_f = 8, N = 3\) | 53 | U(1) \(\times\) SU(4) \(\times\) SU(8) | \(\emptyset\) has 1d subspace preserving U(1)\(^3\) \(\times\) USp(8) |
Table 15. Various group theory data for USp(2N) representations associated with tensors with more than two indices. Here the group entry stands for the group in question, and the label entry stands for the standard Dynkin label of the representation. The remaining entries list the dimension, Dynkin index (AKA quadratic Casimir) and the reality properties of each representation.

| Group   | Label       | Dimension | Dynkin index | Reality     |
|---------|-------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|
| USp(4)  | (0, 2)      | 14        | 7            | Real        |
| USp(4)  | (1, 1)      | 16        | 6            | Pseudo-real |
| USp(6)  | (0, 0, 1)   | 14        | 5/2          | Pseudo-real |
| USp(6)  | (0, 0, 1, 0)| 48        | 7            | Pseudo-real |
| USp(8)  | (0, 0, 0, 1)| 42        | 7            | Real        |
| USp(10)| (0, 0, 1, 0, 0) | 110   | 27/2          | Pseudo-real |

- In case 15 the SU(2) is the one rotating the fundamentals. The SU(4) is broken to three U(1)’s and the preserved U(1)^2 are two of these.
- In case 16 the symmetry is embedded as SO(2) ⊂ SO(3) ⊂ SO(4) ⊂ SO(5) = USp(4) ⊂ SU(4). The SU(4) is broken to three U(1)’s and the preserved U(1)^2 are two of these.
- In case 17 the symmetry is embedded as USp(6) ⊂ SU(6). The SU(4) is broken to three U(1)’s and the preserved U(1)^2 are two of these.
- In case 18 the symmetry breaking pattern is as follows: USp(8) ⊂ SU(8), U(1)^2 ⊂ SU(3) ⊂ U(1) × SU(3) ⊂ SU(4) plus an additional U(1) that is a combination of the commutant and intrinsic ones.

5.2 Exotic cases

Finally we consider representations which are only possible for low values of N. The full list of possibilities appears in table 15. As can be seen, there are only a handful of cases extending up to USp(10).

We first review the list of possible superpotentials. We begin with the case of USp(4). Here the two additional representations have no analogues for higher N. The 14 has a cubic symmetric invariant, which proves useful for getting conformal theories. Additionally, it by definition can be coupled to the symmetric product of two antisymmetrics, but also can couple to the symmetric product of two symmetrics. Finally, the antisymmetric product can couple to the symmetric as it is a real representation.

The 16, however, has no cubic symmetric invariant. By definition, it can be coupled to a fundamental and an antisymmetric, and its symmetric square can be coupled to a symmetric as it is a pseudo-real representation. Additionally, it can also be coupled to a fundamental and a symmetric, and its anti-symmetric square can be coupled to an antisymmetric.

For USp(6), USp(8) and USp(10) we have the thee-index traceless anti-symmetric representation. This representation has only a limited number of possible cubic superpotentials. The basic ones are the ones coupling it to a fundamental and an antisymmetric,
and the one coupling its symmetric square to a symmetric, owing to its pseudo-reality. For rank 4 and above, its antisymmetric square can be coupled to an antisymmetric.

The only remaining representation is the four-index traceless anti-symmetric representation of $USp(8)$. By definition, it can couple to the symmetric square of the antisymmetric and to a fundamental and a thee-index anti-symmetric. As it is a real representation, its anti-symmetric square can be coupled to a symmetric, though that will not be of use here.

We list the exotic cases solving the condition (3.2) in appendix C.2.2. All that is now left is to find out which cases support a conformal manifold. We list the cases where this is so, together with some of their properties, in table 16.

Let us make some comments about table 16.

- For case 3 the $SU(2)$ is the one rotating the fundamentals.
- For case 4 there is also a $2d$ subspace preserving $USp(6)$.

6 $G = SO(N)$

We shall next consider the case of group $G = SO(N)$.

6.1 Generic cases

Like the previous cases, for generic $N$, the condition 3.2 can only be satisfied by representations containing at most two indices. These are the symmetric, antisymmetric (adjoint) and fundamental. We have summarized the relevant group theory data on these in table 17. All of these representations are real, so there is no cubic anomaly constraint. For sufficiently low $N$, some other representations are possible. We shall refer to the cases using them as exotic cases, and discuss them in the next section. Here we only consider cases
Table 17. Various group theory data for SO($N$) representations associated with tensors with at most two indices. Here the label entry stands for the standard Dynkin label of the representation, and the symbol entry provides the shorthand symbol that will be employed for that representation throughout this article. Additionally, the dimension, Dynkin index and the reality properties of the representation are listed.

| Symbol | Label          | Dimension | Dynkin index | Reality |
|--------|----------------|-----------|--------------|---------|
| $V$    | $(1,0,0,...,0)$| $N$       | 1            | Real    |
| $AS$   | $(0,1,0,...,0)$| $\frac{N(N-1)}{2}$ | $N-2$       | Real    |
| $S$    | $(2,0,0,...,0)$| $\frac{N(N+1)}{2} - 1$ | $N+2$       | Real    |

with $N > 6$. Cases with lower $N$, are locally identical to same of the previously discussed groups and so were already considered there, notably, SO(5) = USp(4) and SO(6) = SU(4).

We next wish to discuss the possible superpotential terms one can add. Groups of type SO do not have a cubic Casimir, with the exception of $N = 6$, and so there is no cubic symmetric superpotential term for adjoints. There are, however, cubic superpotentials coupling the symmetric square of the adjoints to the symmetric, and the anti-symmetric square of the symmetrics to the adjoint. Also the symmetrics do have a cubic symmetric superpotential, and as such all conformal cases involve symmetrics. Additionally, the adjoint and symmetric representations can couple to two fundamentals in a antisymmetric or symmetric manner, respectively.

We next examine the possible cases. We begin by listing the possible solutions to conditions (3.2) for generic $N$:

1. $N_S = 2, \quad N_V = N - 10$
2. $N_S = 1, \quad N_{AS} = 1, \quad N_V = N - 6$
3. $N_S = 1, \quad N_V = 2N - 8$
4. $N_{AS} = 2, \quad N_V = N - 2$
5. $N_V = 3N - 6$

Finally, we need to consider the possible superpotentials and perform the Kähler quotient. The results are summarized in table 18.

Let us make some comments.

- In case 2 the USp$(2M) \times$ SO$(N - 6 - 2M)$ preserved symmetries are embedded in SU$(N - 6)$, and there is a 1d subspace with this breaking for every $0 \leq M \leq \lfloor \frac{N-6}{2} \rfloor$. On a generic point, these symmetries are further broken to their Cartan subalgebra.

6.2 Exotic cases

Finally we consider representations which are only possible for low values of $N$. The full list of possibilities appears in table 19. Most of these are spinors which can be added up to $N = 18$. For $N < 10$, there are also several other representations like three index antisymmetric ones.
$$SU(2) \times SU(N - 10)$$

**Table 18.** Cases involving an $SO(N)$ gauge group with ‘generic’ matter.

| Group   | Label        | Dimension | Dynkin | Reality |
|---------|--------------|-----------|--------|---------|
| $SO(7)$ | $(0, 0, 1)$   | 8         | 1      | Real    |
| $SO(7)$ | $(0, 0, 2)$   | 35        | 10     | Real    |
| $SO(7)$ | $(1, 0, 1)$   | 48        | 14     | Real    |
| $SO(8)$ | $(0, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 0, 1)$ | 8$_S$, 8$_C$ | 1 | Real |
| $SO(8)$ | $(0, 0, 2, 0), (0, 0, 0, 2)$ | 35$_c$, 35$_c$ | 10 | Real |
| $SO(8)$ | $(0, 0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 1, 0)$ | 56$_V$, 56$_S$, 56$_C$ | 15 | Real |
| $SO(9)$ | $(0, 0, 0, 1)$ | 16 | 2 | Real |
| $SO(9)$ | $(0, 0, 1, 0)$ | 84 | 14 | Real |
| $SO(10)$ | $(0, 0, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$ | 16 | 16 | Complex |
| $SO(11)$ | $(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$ | $40$ | 8 | Pseudo-real |
| $SO(12)$ | $(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$ | $40$, $64$ | 32 | Complex |
| $SO(13)$ | $(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$ | 64 | 8 | Pseudo-real |
| $SO(14)$ | $(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$ | 64, $64'$ | 16 | Real |
| $SO(15)$ | $(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$ | $128$, $128'$ | 16 | Real |
| $SO(16)$ | $(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$ | $256$, $256'$ | 32 | Real |
| $SO(17)$ | $(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$ | $256$, $256'$ | 32 | Complex |

**Table 19.** Various group theory date for $SO(N)$ representations associated with spinors and tensors with more than two indices. Here the group entry stands for the group in question, and the label entry stands for the standard Dynkin label of the representation. Additionally, the dimension, Dynkin index and the reality properties of the representation are listed.
We next review the list of possible superpotentials. First, we consider the spinors. The behavior of these states vary depending on \(N\) with a periodicity of 8, and so the discussion on these will be separated to various cases. Nevertheless, there are a few properties that are shared by all cases. First the only possible superpotentials must involve an even number of spinors, and so we are limited to terms quadratic in the spinors. These can then interact with either the vector or antisymmetric representation, but not with the symmetric. The exact manner of interaction depends on \(N\) mode 8, which we next explore.

We first consider the case of \(N\) odd, where there is a single spinor representation. There are then superpotentials coupling two spinors to the adjoint and vector representations, where the symmetry properties of the product varies with \(N\). The spinor representation is real for \(N = 7, 9\) and pseudo-real for \(N = 11, 13\), where here and in what follows all numbers are mode 8. As a result, for \(N = 7, 9\) the antisymmetric spinor product can be coupled to the adjoint while for \(N = 11, 13\), the symmetric spinor product can. Similarly, the vector coupling is symmetric for \(N = 9, 11\) and antisymmetric for \(N = 7, 13\).

Next we turn to the case of even \(N\), where there are two spinor representations. If \(N = 4n + 2\), then these are complex representations, and the product of the spinor with the conjugate spinor couples with the adjoint. The square of either of them couples to the vector, where it is symmetric for \(N = 10\) and antisymmetric for \(N = 14\). For \(N = 4n\) there are two self-conjugate spinor representations where the product of one with the other couples to the vector. The square of either of them couples to the adjoint, where it is symmetric for \(N = 12\) and antisymmetric for \(N = 8\), as these have different reality conditions.

For SO(7), SO(8) and SO(9) we also have the three-index anti-symmetric representation, and for SO(8) also the representations related to it via triality. For \(N = 8, 9\) it does not have a symmetric cubic invariant, which combined with its high contribution to the Beta function, impedes a Kähler quotient so we need not consider them any further. However, for SO(7), there is a symmetric cubic invariant, making this representation viable. Additionally, it can couple to the symmetric square of both the adjoint and spinor representations, and its antisymmetric square can couple to the adjoint representation.

For SO(7) we also have the representation of dimension 48. However, it does not possess a cubic symmetric invariant, which together with its high Dynkin index, is sufficient to rule it out for our purposes, redeeming us from the need to consider it further. The only other representation is the self-dual and anti self-dual four-index anti-symmetric representations of SO(8). These are related by triality to the symmetric of SO(8), the \(35_v\), and so the possible superpotentials can be generated by applying the triality transformation on these cases.

We list all the cases solving the condition (3.2) in appendix C.3.2. All that is now left is to find out which cases support a conformal manifold. As there are a lot of cases, we have split the results across multiple tables. Specifically, table 20 shows the cases with \(G = \text{SO}(7), \text{SO}(8)\), table 21 shows the cases with \(G = \text{SO}(9)\) and some of the \(G = \text{SO}(10)\) cases, and table 22 shows the remaining cases with \(G = \text{SO}(10)\).

Let us make some comments about the cases in table 20.

- In case 1 the SU(2)\(^5\) is embedded in SU(10). Its commutant is U(1)\(^4\), which combines with the Cartan of SU(5) to give four of the preserved U(1) groups. The final one is the intrinsic U(1) in the theory, which is also the one preserved generically.
\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Case & Matter & \( \dim M \) & \( G^\text{free}_F \) & \( G^\text{gen}_F \) & \( a, c \) \\
\hline
1 & \( G = \text{SO}(7) \) & 102 & \( \text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(5) \times \text{SU}(10) \) & \( \text{U}(1) \) & \( a = \frac{7}{12}, c = \frac{29}{3} \) \\
& \( N_S = 10, N_V = 5 \) & & & \( \) & \( \) \\
\hline
2 & \( G = \text{SO}(7), N_S = 1 \) & 1 & \( \text{U}(1)^2 \times \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(4) \) & \( \text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(2)^2 \) & \( a = \frac{65}{12}, c = \frac{29}{12} \) \\
& \( N_S = 2, N_V = 4 \) & & & & \( \) \\
\hline
3 & \( G = \text{SO}(7), N_S = 1 \) & 1 & \( \text{U}(1)^2 \times \text{SU}(3)^2 \) & \( \text{SU}(2) \) & \( a = \frac{7}{12}, c = \frac{29}{12} \) \\
& \( N_S = 3, N_V = 3 \) & & & & \( \) \\
\hline
4 & \( G = \text{SO}(7), N_S = 1 \) & 1 & \( \text{U}(1)^2 \times \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(4) \) & \( \text{U}(1)^2 \times \text{SU}(2)^2 \) & \( a = \frac{13}{14}, c = \frac{1}{14} \) \\
& \( N_S = 4, N_V = 2 \) & & & & \( \) \\
\hline
5 & \( G = \text{SO}(7), N_{AS} = 1 \) & 1 & \( \text{U}(1) \) & \( \emptyset \) & \( a = \frac{245}{24}, c = \frac{419}{24} \) \\
& \( N_{AS} = 1 \) & & & & \( \) \\
\hline
6 & \( G = \text{SO}(7), N_{AS} = 1 \) & 2 & \( \text{U}(1)^2 \times \text{SU}(4) \) & \( \text{U}(1)^2 \times \text{SU}(2) \) & \( a = \frac{263}{24}, c = \frac{117}{24} \) \\
& \( N_S = 4, N_V = 1 \) & & & & \( \) \\
\hline
7 & \( G = \text{SO}(7), N_{AS} = 1 \) & 1 & \( \text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(5) \) & \( \text{U}(1)^2 \times \text{SU}(2) \) & \( a = \frac{1}{7}, c = \frac{12}{7} \) \\
& \( N_S = 5 \) & & & & \( \) \\
\hline
8 & \( G = \text{SO}(8), N_S = 6 \) & 111 & \( \text{U}(1)^2 \times \text{SU}(6)^3 \) & \( \text{U}(1)^2 \times \text{SU}(3)^2 \) & \( a = \frac{13}{7}, c = \frac{16}{7} \) \\
& \( N_{bc} = 6, N_V = 6 \) & & & & \( \) \\
\hline
9 & \( G = \text{SO}(8), N_S = 1 \) & 1 & \( \text{U}(1)^2 \times \text{SU}(2) \) & \( \text{SU}(2) \) & \( a = \frac{233}{16}, c = \frac{463}{16} \) \\
& \( N_{AS} = 1, N_{bc} = 2 \) & & & & \( \) \\
\hline
10 & \( G = \text{SO}(8), N_S = 1 \) & 4 & \( \text{U}(1)^3 \times \text{SU}(2)^2 \times \text{SU}(4) \) & \( \text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(2)^2 \) & \( a = \frac{13}{16}, c = \frac{17}{16} \) \\
& \( N_S = 2, N_{bc} = 2, N_V = 4 \) & & & & \( \) \\
\hline
11 & \( G = \text{SO}(8), N_S = 1 \) & 1 & \( \text{U}(1)^3 \times \text{SU}(6) \) & \( \text{U}(1)^2 \times \text{USp}(4) \) & \( a = \frac{13}{16}, c = \frac{17}{16} \) \\
& \( N_{bc} = 1, N_{bc} = 1, N_V = 6 \) & & & & \( \) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Cases involving an SO(7) or SO(8) gauge group with ‘exotic’ matter.}
\end{table}

- In case 2 one of the SU(2) is the one rotating the spinors, while the other is embedded in SU(4) as SO(3) \( \times U(1) \subset SU(4) \). The U(1) is a combination of the intrinsic U(1) groups and the commutant of the SU(2) in SU(4).

- In case 3 the SU(2) is embedded as SO(3) \( \subset SU(3) \), where the SU(3) is the diagonal one.

- In case 4 the SU(2)^2 is embedded in SU(4), where its commutant is U(1). This U(1) combines with the Cartan of the SU(2) to give one of the preserved U(1) groups, while the other is one of the intrinsic U(1) groups in the theory.

- In case 6 the preserved symmetry is embedded as SO(2) \( \times USp(2) \subset SU(4) \), while the remaining U(1) is a combination of the commutant and one of the intrinsic
U(1) groups. This combination can be further broken while also breaking USp(2) to its Cartan.

- In case 7 the USp(4) is embedded as SO(5) \( \subset \) SU(5).
- In case 8 the SU(3)^2 symmetry is embedded in the diagonal SU(6) group of the SU(6)^3 part. Its commutant is U(1) for each SU(6) of which the diagonal combination is broken. Additionally, the two intrinsic U(1) groups are preserved on the entire conformal manifold.
- In case 10 the SU(2)^2 is the diagonal of the intrinsic SU(2)^2 = SO(4) and SO(4) \( \subset \) SU(4).
- In case 11 the USp(4) is embedded in SU(6) as SO(5) \( \subset \) SU(5) \( \subset \) SU(6). The additional preserved U(1) group is the one acting on the two spinors with opposite charges, and some combination of the intrinsic U(1) groups and the commutant of SO(5) in SU(6).

Let us make some comments about the cases in table 21.

- In case 12 the U(1) part of the global symmetry at the free point is always preserved on the conformal manifold. In the 1d subspace preserving an additional U(1) \( \times \) SU(3) the symmetry is embedded as follows. Consider the U(1) \( \times \) SU(6) subgroup of both SU(7) groups. Then the SU(3) is embedded in both SU(6) groups such that \( 6_{SU(6)}^1 \rightarrow 6_{SU(6)}^2 \rightarrow 6_{SU(3)} \), and the U(1) is a combination of the two commutant U(1) groups in the SU(7) groups.
- In case 13 the U(1) acting only on the vector and spinor is preserved.
- In case 14 one of the SU(2) groups is the diagonal combination of SO(4) \( \subset \) SU(4) and the other is embedded as SO(3) \( \subset \) SU(3).
- In case 15 the symmetry is embedded as SO(3) \( \times \) SO(3) \( \subset \) SO(6) \( \subset \) SU(6) with one also being locked on the SO(3) \( \subset \) SU(3). The SO(3) not locked on the subgroup of the SU(3) group cannot be broken on the conformal manifold.
- In case 16 the SO(7) is a subgroup of SU(8) such that \( 8 \rightarrow 7 + 1 \), and the U(1) is a combination of the intrinsic and commutant U(1) groups.
- In case 17 the SU(3) is the diagonal one in the embedding SU(3) \( \subset \) SU(8) such that \( 8 \rightarrow 8 \). There is also a 1d subspace preserving U(1)^8, where the U(1)^7 factor is some diagonal combination of the Cartans of the two SU(8) groups.
- In case 18 the symmetry is embedded as follows. Consider the U(1) \( \times \) SU(6) and U(1) \( \times \) SU(2) \( \times \) SU(6) subgroups of SU(7) and SU(8) respectively. Then the SU(3) is embedded in the SU(6) groups as \( 6_{SU(6)} \rightarrow 6_{SU(3)} \) for both groups, and the U(1)^3 is some combination of the abelian part of the free point global symmetry, the Cartan of the SU(2) in SU(8) and the U(1) commutants in the non-abelian symmetries. There
| Case | Matter | \( \dim M \) | \( G^L_{Fermi} \) | \( G^R_{Fermi} \) | \( a, c \) |
|------|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| 12 | \( G = SO(9), N_{16} = 7, N_V = 7 \) | 100 | \( U(1) \times SU(7)^2 \) | \( U(1) \) | \( a = \frac{199}{447}, c = \frac{283}{24} \) |
| 13 | \( G = SO(9), N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_{16} = 1, N_V = 1 \) | 1 | \( U(1)^3 \) | \( U(1) \) | \( a = \frac{143}{84}, c = \frac{71}{8} \) |
| 14 | \( G = SO(9), N_S = 1, N_{16} = 3, N_V = 4 \) | 10 | \( U(1)^2 \times SU(3) \times SU(4) \) | \( SU(2) \) | \( a = \frac{113}{12}, c = \frac{59}{12} \) |
| 15 | \( G = SO(9), N_S = 1, N_{16} = 2, N_V = 6 \) | 3 | \( U(1)^2 \times SU(2) \times SU(6) \) | \( U(1)^2 \times SU(3) \) | \( a = \frac{227}{24}, c = \frac{119}{24} \) |
| 16 | \( G = SO(9), N_S = 1, N_{16} = 1, N_V = 8 \) | 1 | \( U(1)^2 \times SU(8) \) | \( U(1) \times SO(7) \) | \( a = \frac{19}{2}, c = 10 \) |
| 17 | \( G = SO(10), N_{16} = 8, N_V = 8 \) | 162 | \( U(1) \times SU(8) \times SU(3) \) | \( U(1) \times SU(3) \) | \( a = \frac{613}{48}, c = \frac{343}{24} \) |
| 18 | \( G = SO(10), N_{16} = 7, N_S = 1, N_V = 8 \) | 100 | \( U(1)^2 \times SU(7) \times SU(8) \) | \( U(1)^2 \times SU(3) \) | \( a = \frac{613}{48}, c = \frac{343}{24} \) |
| 19 | \( G = SO(10), N_{16} = 6, N_S = 2, N_V = 8 \) | 90 | \( U(1)^2 \times SU(2) \times SU(6) \times SU(8) \) | \( U(1)^2 \times SU(3) \) | \( a = \frac{613}{48}, c = \frac{343}{24} \) |
| 20 | \( G = SO(10), N_{16} = 5, N_S = 3, N_V = 8 \) | 72 | \( U(1)^2 \times SU(3) \times SU(5) \times SU(8) \) | \( U(1)^4 \times SU(1) \) | \( a = \frac{613}{48}, c = \frac{343}{24} \) |
| 21 | \( G = SO(10), N_{16} = 4, N_S = 4, N_V = 8 \) | 66 | \( U(1)^2 \times SU(4)^2 \times SU(8) \) | \( U(1)^4 \times SU(2)^4 \) | \( a = \frac{613}{48}, c = \frac{343}{24} \) |
| 22 | \( G = SO(10), N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_{16} = 1, N_V = 1 \) | 1 | \( U(1)^3 \) | \( U(1) \times SU(3) \) | \( a = \frac{139}{13}, c = \frac{76}{12} \) |
| 23 | \( G = SO(10), N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_{16} = 1, N_V = 2 \) | 1 | \( U(1)^3 \times SU(2) \) | \( U(1) \times SU(3)^2 \) | \( a = \frac{45}{4}, c = \frac{45}{4} \) |

Table 21. Cases involving an SO(9) or SO(10) gauge group with ‘exotic’ matter.
are no superpotentials charged under the U(1) group acting on both spinors with the same charge, and it is never broken on the conformal manifold. Another U(1) in the U(1)$^3$ part has 21 superpotential terms charged under it all with the same sign, and as a result, it is also never broken and the charged superpotential terms are marginally irrelevant. There is also a 1d subspace preserving U(1)$^8$, where the U(1)$^7$ factor is some combination of the Cartans of the SU(8) and SU(7), as well as one of the U(1) groups.

- Case 19 behaves similarly to case 18. The SU(3) is again embedded inside the SU(6) and the SU(6) $\subset$ SU(8) such that $6_{\text{SU}(6)} \rightarrow 6_{\text{SU}(3)}$, and the U(1)$^3$ is some combination of the abelian part of the free point global symmetry, the Cartan of the commutant and intrinsic SU(2) groups and the U(1) commutant in the SU(8). Here only the symmetry acting on both spinors with the same charge is preserved. There is also a 1d subspace preserving U(1)$^8$, where the U(1)$^7$ factor is some combination of the Cartans of the SU(8), SU(6) and SU(2), as well as one of the U(1) groups.

- For case 20, there is a 1d subspace preserving U(1)$^8$, where the U(1)$^7$ factor is some combination of the Cartans of the SU(8), SU(5), SU(3), and one of the U(1) groups. Again, the symmetry acting on both spinors with the same charge cannot be broken.

- In case 21 the breaking is as follows. Both SU(4) groups are broken as SU(4) $\rightarrow$ U(1)$\times$ SU(2)$^2$, and the SU(8) group is broken as SU(8) $\rightarrow$ U(1)$\times$ SU(4)$^2$ $\rightarrow$ U(1)$\times$ SO(4)$^2$. The 1d subspace is then given by locking the SU(2)$^4$ $\subset$ SU(8) on the SU(2)$^4$ $\subset$ SU(4)$^2$ and also locking the U(1) commutant in SU(8) with the U(1) acting on the spinors with opposite charges. Like in the other cases, the symmetry acting on both spinors with the same charge cannot be broken. There is also a 1d subspace preserving U(1)$^8$, where the U(1)$^7$ factor is some combination of the Cartans of the SU(8), the two SU(4) groups and one of the U(1) groups.

- In case 22 the symmetry acting on the spinors with opposite charges cannot be broken.

- In case 23 the preserved U(1) is the diagonal combination of the Cartan of the SU(2) and the U(1) acting only on the spinor and vectors.

Let us make some comments about the cases in table 22.

- In case 24 the breaking is SU(4)$_{\text{Spinor}} \rightarrow$ U(1)$\times$ SU(2)$^2$, SU(4)$_{\text{V}} \rightarrow$ SO(4) with the SU(2)$^2$ $\subset$ SU(4)$_{\text{Spinor}}$ locked on the SO(4) $\subset$ SU(4)$_{\text{V}}$. The U(1) acting on the spinor and vector only cannot be broken on the conformal manifold. There is also a 1d subspace preserving U(1)$^4$, where the U(1)$^3$ factor is some combination of the Cartans of the two SU(4) groups.

- In case 25 there is a 1d subspace preserving U(1)$^4$, where the U(1)$^3$ factor is some combination of the Cartans of the SU(4), SU(3) and one of the U(1) groups. The U(1) acting on the spinor and vector only, as well as a combination of the U(1) acting on the spinors and a Cartan of the SU(4) cannot be broken on the conformal manifold.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Matter & \textit{dim}M & \textit{G}_{free} & \textit{G}_{\text{gen}} & \alpha, c \\
\hline
24 & \textit{G} = SO(10), \\
 & \quad N_S = 1, \\
 & \quad N_{16} = 4, N_V = 4 & 10 & U(1)^2 \times SU(4)^2 & U(1) \\
 & & & & has a 1d subspace \\
 & & & & preserving U(1)^2 \times SU(2)^2 \\
 & & & & \quad \alpha = \frac{123}{24}, \\
 & & & & \quad c = \frac{253}{24} \\
\hline
25 & \textit{G} = SO(10), \\
 & \quad N_S = 1, N_{16} = 3, \\
 & \quad N_{1}\mathbb{T} = 1, N_V = 4 & 2 & U(1)^3 \times SU(3) \\
 & & & \times SU(4) & U(1)^2 \\
 & & & & has a 1d subspace \\
 & & & & preserving U(1)^4 \\
 & & & & \quad \alpha = \frac{563}{24}, \\
 & & & & \quad c = \frac{223}{24} \\
\hline
26 & \textit{G} = SO(10), \\
 & \quad N_S = 1, N_{16} = 2, \\
 & \quad N_{1}\mathbb{T} = 2, N_V = 4 & 2 & U(1)^3 \times SU(2)^2 \\
 & & & \times SU(4) & U(1) \\
 & & & & has a 1d subspace \\
 & & & & preserving U(1)^4 \\
 & & & & \quad \alpha = \frac{563}{24}, \\
 & & & & \quad c = \frac{223}{24} \\
\hline
27 & \textit{G} = SO(10), \\
 & \quad N_S = 1, \\
 & \quad N_{16} = 3, N_V = 6 & 13 & U(1)^2 \times SU(3) \\
 & & & \times SU(6) & 0 \\
 & & & & has a 1d subspace \\
 & & & & preserving U(1) \times SU(2) \\
 & & & & also has a 2d subspace \\
 & & & & preserving a \\
 & & & & different SU(2) \\
 & & & & \quad \alpha = \frac{133}{24}, \\
 & & & & \quad c = \frac{29}{4} \\
\hline
28 & \textit{G} = SO(10), \\
 & \quad N_S = 1, N_{16} = 2, \\
 & \quad N_{1}\mathbb{T} = 1, N_V = 6 & 6 & U(1)^3 \times SU(2) \\
 & & & \times SU(6) & U(1) \\
 & & & & has a 1d subspace \\
 & & & & preserving U(1) \times SU(2) \\
 & & & & \quad \alpha = \frac{133}{24}, \\
 & & & & \quad c = \frac{29}{4} \\
\hline
29 & \textit{G} = SO(10), \\
 & \quad N_S = 1, \\
 & \quad N_{16} = 2, N_V = 8 & 3 & U(1)^2 \times SU(2) \\
 & & & \times SU(8) & USp(4) \\
 & & & & has a 1d subspace \\
 & & & & preserving SU(2) \times USp(4) \\
 & & & & \quad \alpha = \frac{571}{24}, \\
 & & & & \quad c = \frac{301}{24} \\
\hline
30 & \textit{G} = SO(10), \\
 & \quad N_S = 1, N_{16} = 1, \\
 & \quad N_{1}\mathbb{T} = 1, N_V = 8 & 1 & U(1)^3 \times SU(8) & U(1)^2 \times SU(4) \\
 & & & & \quad \alpha = \frac{571}{24}, \\
 & & & & \quad c = \frac{301}{24} \\
\hline
31 & \textit{G} = SO(10), \\
 & \quad N_S = 1, N_{16} = 1, \\
 & \quad N_V = 10 & 1 & U(1)^2 \times SU(10) & U(1) \times SO(9) \\
 & & & & \quad \alpha = \frac{571}{24}, \\
 & & & & \quad c = \frac{301}{24} \\
\hline
32 & \textit{G} = SO(11), \\
 & \quad N_S = 1, N_{\text{AS}} = 1, \\
 & \quad N_{32} = 1, N_V = 1 & 2 & U(1)^3 & 0 \\
 & & & & \quad \alpha = \frac{329}{24}, \\
 & & & & \quad c = \frac{21}{4} \\
\hline
33 & \textit{G} = SO(12), \\
 & \quad N_S = 1, N_{\text{AS}} = 1, \\
 & \quad N_{32} = 1, N_V = 2 & 2 & U(1)^3 \times SU(2) & U(1) \\
 & & & & has a 1d subspace \\
 & & & & preserving SU(2) \\
 & & & & \quad \alpha = \frac{753}{24}, \\
 & & & & \quad c = \frac{307}{24} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 22. Cases involving an SO(10), SO(11) or SO(12) gauge group with ‘exotic’ matter.

- In case 26 the U(1)$^4$ consists of the U(1) acting on the spinor and vector only, which is never broken, and the U(1)$^3$ factor, which is some combination of the Cartans of the SU(4), the two SU(2) groups, and the other U(1).

- In case 27 the symmetries are embedded as follows: first we reduce the SU(3) to SU(2) \times U(1) for the 1d subspace and to SO(3) for the 2d subspace. The SU(6) is then broken to U(1)$^2 \times SU(2)$ for the 1d subspace, embedded such that $6 \rightarrow 3 + 2 + 1$, and U(1) \times SU(2) for the 2d subspace, embedded such that $6 \rightarrow 5 + 1$. We then lock
the two SU(2) groups to the diagonal. The preserved abelian symmetry on the 1d subspace is a combination of the commutant and intrinsic U(1) groups.

- In case 28 the breaking is as follows: first we take SU(6) → SO(6) → SO(3) × SO(3) then we further break one SO(3) to its SO(2) subgroup, which is the U(1) that is preserved generically, and the other SO(3) is broken to the diagonal group with the intrinsic SU(2), becoming the SU(2) that remains at a special point on the conformal manifold.

- In case 29 the breaking is as follows: we take SU(8) → SO(8) → SO(5) × SO(3), where the SO(5) is the group preserved generically. The special preserved SU(2) is the diagonal of the SO(3) and the intrinsic SU(2).

- In case 30 the breaking is as follows: SU(8) → SU(6) × SU(2) × U(1) → SO(6) × SO(2) × U(1), where the SU(4) is the SO(6) and the two U(1) groups are combinations of the commutant and intrinsic U(1) groups.

- In case 31 the breaking is as follows: SU(10) → SO(10) → SO(9). The U(1) is a combination of the commutant of SO(9) in SU(10) and one of the intrinsic U(1) groups.

- In case 33 the generically preserved U(1) is the Cartan of the SU(2) group.

7 \( G = E_6, E_7, E_8, F_4, G_2 \)

Finally we consider the case of exceptional groups. The list of possible representations consistent with condition (3.2) appears in table 23. All of these groups have no cubic Casimir, and as a result there is no cubic symmetric invariant using adjoints. The only cases then with a conformal manifold when adjoint matter is involved are the \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) ones, so we only consider here cases without adjoints. The properties of the conformal theories are summarized in table 24.

- **E_8.** Here the only available representation is the adjoint and so the only case consistent with condition (3.2) is the \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) one.

- **E_7.** Here the only other representation is the fundamental, which do not posses a cubic invariant. As a result the only possible conformal cases are the \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) and the \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) ones. For convenience we shall write the possible purely \( \mathcal{N} = 1 \) solutions to (3.2):
  1. \( N_{133} = 2, \quad N_{56} = 3 \)
  2. \( N_{56} = 9 \)

- **E_6.** Here the only other representation is the fundamental and its complex conjugate. These posses a symmetric cubic invariant which allows for conformal theories made only from these representations. In fact, as there is no cubic Casimir, there is no constraint in having any combination of these. As a result, the possible cases are:
  1. \( N_{27} = N_{27} = 6 \)
Table 23. Various group theory data for representations of the exceptional groups. Here the group entry stands for the group in question, and the label entry stands for the standard Dynkin label of the representation. Additionally, the dimension, Dynkin index and the reality properties of the representation are listed.

| Group | Label | Dimension | Dynkin index | Reality |
|-------|-------|-----------|--------------|---------|
| $G_2$ | $(1,0)$ | 7         | 1            | Real    |
| $G_2$ | $(0,1)$ | 14        | 4            | Real    |
| $G_2$ | $(2,0)$ | 27        | 9            | Real    |
| $F_4$ | $(0,0,0,1)$ | 26 | 3 | Real |
| $F_4$ | $(1,0,0,0)$ | 52 | 9 | Real |
| $E_6$ | $(1,0,0,0,0)$, $(0,0,0,0,0,1)$ | 27, 27 | 3 | Complex |
| $E_6$ | $(0,1,0,0,0,0)$ | 78 | 12 | Real |
| $E_7$ | $(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1)$ | 56 | 6 | Pseudo-real |
| $E_7$ | $(1,0,0,0,0,0,0)$ | 133 | 18 | Real |
| $E_8$ | $(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1)$ | 248 | 30 | Real |

2. $N_{27} = 7$, $N_{27} = 5$
3. $N_{27} = 8$, $N_{27} = 4$
4. $N_{27} = 9$, $N_{27} = 3$
5. $N_{27} = 10$, $N_{27} = 2$
6. $N_{27} = 11$, $N_{27} = 1$
7. $N_{27} = 12$

For convenience we shall also write the possible purely $\mathcal{N} = 1$ solutions to (3.2) which are not conformal:

1. $N_{78} = 2$, $N_{27} = N_{27} = 2$
2. $N_{78} = 2$, $N_{27} = 3$, $N_{27} = 1$
3. $N_{78} = 2$, $N_{27} = 4$
4. $N_{78} = 1$, $N_{27} = 5$, $N_{27} = 3$
5. $N_{78} = 1$, $N_{27} = 6$, $N_{27} = 2$
6. $N_{78} = 1$, $N_{27} = 7$, $N_{27} = 1$
7. $N_{78} = 1$, $N_{27} = 8$
**F₄.** This case is similar to the E₆ one in that the only other representation is the fundamental and it possess a symmetric cubic invariant. Unlike the previous case, though, it is real. This allows for conformal theories made only from the fundamental representation. The possible purely \( \mathcal{N} = 1 \) solutions to (3.2) are:

1. \( N_{52} = 2, \ N_{26} = 3 \)
2. \( N_{26} = 9 \)

From these, only the last is conformal.

**G₂.** In this case we have two possible representations besides the adjoints, these are the 7 dimensional fundamental representation and the 27 dimensional symmetric representation. The former has a cubic antisymmetric invariant, while the latter has two cubic symmetric invariants. These allows us to build conformal theories when only these representations are used. The possible purely \( \mathcal{N} = 1 \) solutions to (3.2) are:

1. \( N_{14} = 2, \ N_{7} = 4 \)
2. \( N_{7} = 12 \)
3. \( N_{27} = 1, \ N_{7} = 3 \)

From these, only the last two are conformal.

Let us make several comments about specific cases,

- In all these cases the superpotentials are in three index symmetric or antisymmetric representations. For E₆ and F₄ we use the symmetric cases.
- Here the basic preserved subgroups are embedded as: \( \text{U}(1)^2 \subset \text{SU}(3) \) as the Cartan, \( \text{SU}(2) \subset \text{SU}(5) \) such that \( 5 \rightarrow 5 \), \( \text{SU}(3) \subset \text{SU}(6) \) such that \( 6 \rightarrow 6 \), \( \text{SU}(3) \subset \text{SU}(8) \) such that \( 8 \rightarrow 8 \) and \( \text{SU}(3) \times \text{SU}(3) \subset \text{SU}(9) \) such that \( 9 \rightarrow (3,3) \). In the other cases these are used as subgroups. For G₂ the SU(3)³ are just the four independent SU(3) groups in SU(12) for the first case, and the SU(2) in the last case is embedded in SU(3) as \( 3 \rightarrow 3 \).

8 **Extended supersymmetry**

Let us now discuss here conformal manifolds with weakly coupled loci with extended supersymmetry. The possible conformal Lagrangians, even with non-simple gauge groups, with \( \mathcal{N} > 1 \) supersymmetry in four dimensions were analyzed in [31] and here we add the analysis of the conformal manifold for the cases with a simple gauge group. All such models have a 1d subspace of the conformal manifold which preserves the full extended supersymmetry of the free point. Some of them have additional directions which preserve only \( \mathcal{N} = 1 \) supersymmetry. For the \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) cases these are the well studied \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \) deformations, see e.g. [3, 4, 78].
| Matter | dim $\mathcal{M}$ | $G_F^{\text{free}}$ | $G_F^{\text{gen}}$ | $a$, $c$ |
|--------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|------|
| $G = E_6$, $N_{27} = 6$ | 41 | $\text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(6)^2$ | $\emptyset$ | $a = \frac{171}{4}$, $c = \frac{93}{4}$ |
| $G = E_6$, $N_{27} = 5$ | 46 | $\text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(5) \times \text{SU}(7)$ | $\emptyset$ | $a = \frac{171}{4}$, $c = \frac{93}{4}$ |
| $G = E_6$, $N_{27} = 4$ | 61 | $\text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(4) \times \text{SU}(8)$ | $\emptyset$ | $a = \frac{171}{4}$, $c = \frac{93}{4}$ |
| $G = E_6$, $N_{27} = 3$ | 86 | $\text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(3) \times \text{SU}(9)$ | $\emptyset$ | $a = \frac{171}{4}$, $c = \frac{93}{4}$ |
| $G = E_6$, $N_{27} = 2$ | 121 | $\text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(10)$ | $\emptyset$ | $a = \frac{171}{4}$, $c = \frac{93}{4}$ |
| $G = E_6$, $N_{27} = 1$ | 166 | $\text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(11)$ | $\emptyset$ | $a = \frac{171}{4}$, $c = \frac{93}{4}$ |
| $G = E_6$, $N_{27} = 8$ | 221 | $\text{SU}(12)$ | $\emptyset$ | $a = \frac{171}{4}$, $c = \frac{93}{4}$ |
| $G = E_6$, $N_{27} = 7$ | 85 | $\text{SU}(9)$ | $\emptyset$ | $a = \frac{171}{4}$, $c = \frac{93}{4}$ |
| $G = E_6$, $N_{27} = 10$ | 77 | $\text{SU}(12)$ | $\emptyset$ | $a = \frac{171}{4}$, $c = \frac{93}{4}$ |
| $G = E_6$, $N_{27} = 9$ | 3 | $\text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(3)$ | $\text{SU}(2)$ | $a = \frac{29}{4}$, $c = \frac{15}{4}$ |

**Table 24.** Cases involving an exceptional gauge group.

### 8.1 Special unitary groups

Let us first discuss $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SU($N$) gauge theories. This case was widely studied in the past. The theory has three fields in the adjoint representation. For $N > 2$ we have marginal operators in the $10 + 1$ of the global SU(3) symmetry group. For $N = 2$ only the singlet is there. The dimension of the conformal manifold is three for $N > 2$ and is one for $N = 2$. On the direction parameterized by the singlet, $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetry is preserved and in particular the SU(3) symmetry. Along another direction, the so called deformation, a $\text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(1)$ global symmetry can be preserved. On a generic point of the conformal manifold all the global symmetry is broken.
In tables 25 and 26 we write all the purely $\mathcal{N} = 2$ cases with a single SU$(N)$ gauge symmetry that solve (3.1) and (3.2). All cases have one adjoint chiral field coming from the vector multiplet and we do not write it in the table. In addition, all the theories have a 1d subspace preserving $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry, some cases have additional 1d subspaces preserving $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry and we note it explicitly.

Let us make several comments about specific cases,

- In case 2 the generically preserved SU$(2N)$, for $N > 3$, is the diagonal of the two intrinsic SU$(2N)$ groups. This space is also the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ preserving one. This space also exists for $N = 3$, but then there is also a 1d subspace preserving $\mathcal{N} = 1$ and SU$(3)^4$ which is embedded as SU$(3)^2 \times U(1) \subset SU(6)$ in both SU$(6)$ groups.

- The conformal manifold in case 3, being an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal gauge theory with a simple gauge group, has an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ preserving 1d subspace. The preserved symmetry on this subspace is U$(1)^3 \times SU(N+2)$, where the SU$(N+2)$ is the diagonal of the two intrinsic SU$(N+2)$ groups. This group is then broken as SU$(N+2) \rightarrow USp(N+2) \rightarrow SU(2)^{N+2}$ when $N$ is even, and as SU$(N+2) \rightarrow U(1) \times USp(N+1) \rightarrow U(1) \times SU(2)^{N+2}$ when $N$ is odd in general. For even $N > 6$ we have an additional 1d subspace preserving $\mathcal{N} = 1$ and U$(1) \times USp(N+2)^2$, where both USp$(N+2)$ groups are embedded as USp$(N+2) \subset SU(N+2)$ in both SU$(N+2)$ groups. For $N = 6$ we find a similar 1d subspace preserving U$(1)^2 \times USp(8)^2$ instead.

- In case 4 there is an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ preserving 1d subspace, on which an U$(1)^2 \times SU(2) \times SU(6)$ global symmetry is preserved. The SU(6) here is the diagonal of the two intrinsic SU(6) groups.

- In case 5 the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ preserving subspace preserves a U$(1)^3 \times SU(2) \times SU(4)$ global symmetry, where both SU(4) and SU(2) groups are the diagonal of the two intrinsic SU(4) and SU(2) groups, respectively. Has an additional 1d subspace preserving $\mathcal{N} = 1$ and U$(1)^2 \times USp(4)^2$, where both USp(4) groups are embedded as USp(4) $\subset$ SU(4) in both SU(4) groups. For $N = 5$ we have another $\mathcal{N} = 1$ 1d subspace preserving U$(1)^3 \times SU(2)^2$, where we break both SU(4) $\rightarrow$ SO(3) $\times$ U(1), and identify the diagonal SU(2) of SU(2)$_{AS}$ and SO(3)$_F$ and the same for the conjugates.

- In case 6 the 1d subspace preserving $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry also preserves a U$(1)^2 \times USp(4) \times SU(4)$ global symmetry, where the SU(4) is the diagonal of SU(4)$_{F}$ and SU(4)$_{\overline{F}}$, while we break SU(4)$_{AS} \rightarrow USp(4)$. This case also has an additional 1d subspace preserving $\mathcal{N} = 1$ and U$(1)^2 \times SU(2) \times USp(4)^2$, where both USp(4) groups are embedded as USp(4) $\subset$ SU(4) in SU(4)$_F$ and SU(4)$_{\overline{F}}$, while we break SU(4)$_{AS} \rightarrow SU(2)^2 \times U(1) \rightarrow SU(2) \times U(1)^2$.

- In case 7 the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ preserving 1d subspace also preserves a U$(1)^3 \times SU(N-2)$ global symmetry, where the SU$(N-2)$ is the diagonal of the two intrinsic SU$(N-2)$ groups. Also has an additional 1d subspace preserving $\mathcal{N} = 1$ and U$(1) \times SO(N-2)^2$, where both SO$(N-2)$ groups are embedded as SO$(N-2) \subset SU(N-2)$ in both intrinsic SU$(N-2)$ groups.
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|   | Matter                                      | dim $M$ | $G_F^{free}$                               | $G_F^{gen}$                               | $a$, $c$                               |
|---|---------------------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| 1 | $G = \text{SU}(2)$, $N_F = 8$               | 1       | $\text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(8)$          | $\text{U}(1) \times \text{SO}(8)$          | $a = \frac{23}{24}$, $c = \frac{5}{7}$  |
| 2 | $G = \text{SU}(N)$, $N_F = N_F = 2N$, $N > 2$ | 1       | $\text{U}(1)^2 \times \text{SU}(2N)^2$    | $\text{U}(1)^2 \times \text{SU}(2N)$      | $a = \frac{7N^2 - 5}{24}$, $c = \frac{2N^2 - 1}{6}$ |
|   |                                             | (7 for $N = 3$) |                                             | ($\emptyset$ for $N = 3$) |                                           |
|   |                                             |         |                                             | for $N = 3$ has an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ $1d$ subspace preserving $\text{SU}(3)^4$ |                                           |
| 3 | $G = \text{SU}(N)$, $N_{AS} = N_{\mathcal{A}S} = 1$, $N_F = N_F = N + 2$, $N > 4$ | $\lfloor \frac{N+4}{2} \rfloor$ | $\text{SU}(N + 2)^2$ | $\text{U}(1)^{1+(N \mod 2)} \times \text{SU}(2)^{\lfloor \frac{N+4}{2} \rfloor}$ | $\text{U}(1)^2$ for $N = 5, 6$ has an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ $1d$ subspace preserving $\text{U}(1) \times \text{USp}(N + 2)^2$ |
|   |                                             | (8, 6 for $N = 5, 6$) |                                             | ($\emptyset$, $\text{SU}(2)^4$) for $N = 5, 6$ |                                           |
|   |                                             |         |                                             |                                           |                                           |
| 4 | $G = \text{SU}(4)$, $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_F = N_F = 6$ | 22      | $\text{U}(1)^3 \times \text{SU}(2)^2 \times \text{SU}(6)^2$ | $\emptyset$                                | $a = \frac{23}{24}$, $c = 5$          |
| 5 | $G = \text{SU}(N)$, $N_{AS} = N_{\mathcal{A}S} = 2$, $N_F = N_F = 4$, $N > 4$ | 7       | $\text{U}(1)^4 \times \text{SU}(2)^2 \times \text{SU}(4)^2$ | $\text{U}(1)^2$ ($\emptyset$, $\text{U}(1)$ for $N = 5, 6$) | $a = \frac{6N^2 + 3N - 5}{24}$, $c = \frac{3N^2 + 3N - 2}{12}$ |
|   |                                             | (29, 14 for $N = 5, 6$) |                                             | has an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ $1d$ subspace preserving $\text{U}(1)^2 \times \text{USp}(4)^2$ for $N = 5$ also has an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ $1d$ subspace preserving $\text{U}(1)^3 \times \text{SU}(2)^2$ |                                           |
| 6 | $G = \text{SU}(4)$, $N_{AS} = 4$, $N_F = N_F = 4$ | 23      | $\text{U}(1)^3 \times \text{SU}(4)^3$     | $\text{U}(1)^2$ has an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ $1d$ subspace preserving $\text{U}(1)^2 \times \text{SU}(2) \times \text{USp}(4)^2$ | $a = \frac{103}{24}$, $c = \frac{23}{6}$ |
| 7 | $G = \text{SU}(N)$, $N_S = N_S = 1$, $N_F = N_F = N - 2$ | $N - 1$ | $\text{U}(1)^4 \times \text{SU}(N - 2)^2$ | $\text{U}(1)$ ($\emptyset$ for $N = 3$) has an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ $1d$ subspace preserving $\text{U}(1) \times \text{SO}(N - 2)^2$ | $a = \frac{13N^2 + 3N - 58}{24}$, $c = \frac{7N^2 + 3N - 4}{24}$ |

|   |                                             | (3 for $N = 3$) |                                             |                                           |                                           |

**Table 25.** Cases involving an SU($N$) gauge group with extended supersymmetry. All cases also have an adjoint chiral field in addition to the matter written in the table.
| Case | $G = SU(N)$, $N_S = N_F = 1$, $N_AS = N_PS = 1$ | $dim \, M$ | $G_{\text{free}}^f$ | $G_{\text{free}}^{\text{gen}}$ | $a, c$ |
|------|------------------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| 8    | $G = SU(N)$, $N_S = N_F = 1$, $N_AS = N_PS = 1$ | 2     | $U(1)^4$ (for $N = 6$) | $U(1)^2$ ($\emptyset$ for $N = 6$) | $a = \frac{6N^2 - 2}{3N^2 - 2}$, $c = \frac{14}{3}$ |
| 9    | $G = SU(4)$, $N_AS = 6$, $N_F = N_PS = 2$ | 2     | $U(1)^4 \times SU(6) \times SU(2)^2$ | $U(1) \times SU(4) \times SU(2)$ \hspace{1em} has an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ 1d subspace preserving $U(1) \times SU(4) \times SU(2)^2$ | $a = \frac{101}{7}$, $c = \frac{9}{7}$ |
| 10   | $G = SU(4)$, $N_AS = 8$ | 1     | $U(1) \times SU(8)$ | $U(1) \times USp(8)$ | $a = \frac{141}{7}$, $c = \frac{9}{7}$ |
| 11   | $G = SU(5)$, $N_AS = N_PS = 3$, $N_F = N_PS = 1$ | 4     | $U(1)^4 \times SU(3)^2$ | $U(1)$ | $a = \frac{155}{7}$, $c = \frac{12}{7}$ |
| 12   | $G = SU(6)$, $N_AS = N_PS = 3$ | 12    | $U(1)^2 \times SU(3)^2$ | $\emptyset$ \hspace{1em} has an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ 1d subspace preserving $U(1)^4$ | $a = \frac{45}{7}$, $c = \frac{112}{7}$ |
| 13   | $G = SU(6)$, $N_20 = 1$, $N_F = N_PS = 9$ | 1     | $U(1)^4 \times SU(9)$ | $U(1)^2 \times SU(9)$ | $a = \frac{239}{7}$, $c = \frac{65}{7}$ |
| 14   | $G = SU(6)$, $N_20 = 1$, $N_AS = N_PS = 1$, $N_F = N_PS = 5$ | 7     | $U(1)^2 \times SU(5)^2$ | $\emptyset$ \hspace{1em} has an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ 1d subspace preserving $U(1)^2 \times USp(4)^2$ | $a = \frac{115}{7}$, $c = \frac{125}{7}$ |
| 15   | $G = SU(6)$, $N_20 = 1$, $N_AS = N_PS = 2$, $N_F = N_PS = 1$ | 8     | $U(1)^2 \times SU(2)^2$ | $\emptyset$ | $a = \frac{221}{7}$, $c = \frac{29}{7}$ |

Table 26. Cases involving an $SU(N)$ gauge group with extended supersymmetry, continued.

- In case 8 the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ preserving 1d subspace also preserves a $U(1)^3$ global symmetry for $N \neq 4$, which is enhanced to $U(1)^2 \times SU(2)$ for $N = 4$.
- In case 9 the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ preserving 1d subspace also preserves a $U(1)^2 \times USp(6) \times SU(2)$ global symmetry, where the $SU(2)$ is the diagonal of the two intrinsic $SU(2)$ groups while we break $SU(6) \rightarrow USp(6)$. The generally preserved $USp(4)$ group is embedded as $USp(4) \times U(1) \subset USp(6)$. In the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ 1d subspace we break $SU(6) \rightarrow USp(4) \times U(1)$.
- In case 10 one breaks $SU(8) \rightarrow USp(8)$.
- In case 11 the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ preserving 1d subspace also preserves a $U(1)^3 \times SU(3)$ global symmetry, where the $SU(3)$ is the diagonal of the two intrinsic $SU(3)$ groups.
In case 12 the \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) preserving 1d subspace also preserves a \( U(1)^2 \times SU(3) \) global symmetry, where the \( SU(3) \) is the diagonal of the two intrinsic \( SU(3) \) groups.

In case 13 the \( SU(9) \) is the diagonal of the two intrinsic \( SU(9) \) groups.

In case 14 the \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) preserving 1d subspace also preserves a \( U(1)^3 \times SU(5) \) global symmetry, where in the \( SU(5) \) is the diagonal of the two intrinsic \( SU(5) \) groups. In the \( \mathcal{N} = 1 1d \) subspace we break both \( SU(5) \to USp(4) \times U(1) \).

In case 15 the \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) preserving 1d subspace also preserves a \( U(1)^3 \times SU(2) \) global symmetry, where the \( SU(2) \) is the diagonal of the two intrinsic \( SU(2) \) groups.

In case 16 the \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) preserving 1d subspace also preserves a \( U(1)^3 \) global symmetry.

In case 17 the \( SU(6) \) is the diagonal of the two intrinsic \( SU(6) \) groups. In addition one breaks \( SU(2) \to SO(2) \).

In case 18 the \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) preserving 1d subspace also preserves a \( U(1)^4 \times SU(2) \) global symmetry, where the \( SU(2) \) is the diagonal of the intrinsic \( SU(2)_F \) and \( SU(2)_{\overline{F}} \) groups. In addition one breaks \( SU(2)_{20} \to SO(2) \) in both subspaces.
| Case | $G$ | $N_f$ | $N_{AS}$ | $dim\mathcal{M}$ | $G_F^{free}$ | $G_F^{gen}$ | $a, c$ |
|------|------|------|--------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| 1    | $G = USp(2N)$, $N_f = 4N + 4$ | 4N + 4 | 4       | 1               | $U(1) \times SU(4N + 4)$ | $U(1)$ $\times SO(4N + 4)$ | $a = \frac{N(4N + 3)}{24}$, $c = \frac{N(4N + 3)}{6}$ |
| 2    | $G = USp(2N)$, $N_{AS} = 2, N_f = 8$ | 31 | 2 (27 for $N = 2$) | $U(1)^2 \times SU(2)$ $\times SU(8)$ | $\emptyset$ | for $N > 3$ has an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ 2d subspace preserving $U(1) \times USp(8)$ for $N = 3$ has an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ 1d subspace preserving $U(1)^2 \times USp(8)$ | $a = \frac{12N^2 + 12N - 1}{24}$, $c = \frac{6N^2 + 9N - 1}{12}$ |
| 3    | $G = USp(4)$, $N_{AS} = 4, N_f = 4$ | 3 | 4       | $U(1)^2$ $\times SU(4)^2$ | $U(1)^3 \times SU(2)$ has an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ 1d subspace preserving $U(1)^2 \times SU(2) \times USp(4)$ | $a = \frac{12}{9}$, $c = \frac{10}{6}$ |
| 4    | $G = USp(4)$, $N_{AS} = 6$ | 1 | 6       | $U(1) \times SU(6)$ | $U(1) \times USp(6)$ | $a = \frac{20}{11}$, $c = \frac{15}{7}$ |
| 5    | $G = USp(4)$, $N_{16} = 1$ | 2 | 2       | $U(1)$ | $U(1)$ | $a = \frac{29}{9}$, $c = \frac{17}{5}$ |

| Table 28. Cases involving a USp($2N$) gauge group with extended supersymmetry. All cases also have an adjoint chiral field in addition to the matter written in the table. |

- In case 19 the SU(3) is the diagonal of the intrinsic SU(3)$_F$ and SU(3)$_\mathcal{P}$ groups. In addition one breaks SU(3)$_F$ $\to$ SO(3), where the SU(2) is the SO(3).
- In case 20 one breaks SU(4)$_F$ $\to$ SO(4), where the SU(2)$^2$ is the SO(4).
- In case 21 the SU(4) is the diagonal of the two intrinsic SU(4) groups.

### 8.2 Symplectic groups

Here we take the group to be USp($2N$). The case of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ is trivial as there is no symmetric cubic invariant of the adjoint (symmetric) representation. Thus, there is only a 1d subspace preserving $\mathcal{N} = 4$ and the full SU(3) symmetry exchanging the three adjoints. All the purely $\mathcal{N} = 2$ cases have one adjoint chiral field coming from the vector multiplet and we do not write it down in the table. All of the theories have a single $\mathcal{N} = 2$ preserving direction. As in the special unitary cases some of the cases have additional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ preserving 1d subspaces.

Let us make several comments about specific cases,

- In case 1 one breaks SU($4N + 4$) $\to$ SO($4N + 4$).
- In case 2 the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ preserving 1d subspace also preserves a $U(1) \times SU(2) \times SO(8)$ global symmetry, where one breaks SU(8) $\to$ SO(8). For $N \geq 3$, there is also an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ 1d subspace along which one breaks SU(8) $\to$ USp(8) and SU(2) $\to$ U(1). For $N = 3$, it also contains a 1d subspace where an additional U(1) can be preserved.
Table 29. Cases involving a USp(2$N_f$) gauge group with extended supersymmetry, continued.

| Case | Matter | $dim_M$ | $G^\text{free}_F$ | $G^\text{gen}_F$ | $a$, $c$ |
|------|--------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| 6    | $G = \text{USp}(6)$, $N_{AS} = 4$ | 14 | $\text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(4)$ | $\emptyset$ has an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ 1d subspace preserving $\text{U}(1)^3$ | $a = \frac{132}{27}$, $c = \frac{35}{6}$ |
| 7    | $G = \text{USp}(6)$, $N_{14'} = 1, N_f = 11$ | 1 | $\text{U}(1)^2 \times \text{SU}(11)$ | $\text{U}(1) \times \text{SO}(11)$ | $a = \frac{120}{27}$, $c = \frac{11}{6}$ |
| 8    | $G = \text{USp}(6)$, $N_{14'} = 1, N_{AS} = 2, N_f = 3$ | 12 | $\text{U}(1)^2 \times \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(3)$ | $\emptyset$ | $a = \frac{45}{8}$, $c = 6$ |
| 9    | $G = \text{USp}(6)$, $N_{14'} = 2, N_f = 6$ | 1 | $\text{U}(1)^2 \times \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(6)$ | $\text{U}(1)^2 \times \text{SU}(4)$ | $a = \frac{32}{27}$, $c = \frac{37}{9}$ |
| 10   | $G = \text{USp}(6)$, $N_{14'} = 3, N_f = 1$ | 1 | $\text{U}(1)^2 \times \text{SU}(3)$ | $\text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(2)$ | $a = \frac{45}{8}$, $c = \frac{11}{6}$ |
| 11   | $G = \text{USp}(8)$, $N_{48} = 1, N_f = 6$ | 1 | $\text{U}(1)^2 \times \text{SU}(6)$ | $\text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(4)$ | $a = \frac{10}{3}, c = 10$ |

- In case 3 the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ preserving 1d subspace also preserves a $\text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(2)^2 \times \text{USp}(4)$ global symmetry, where one breaks $\text{SU}(4)_F \rightarrow \text{SO}(4)$, and the $\text{SU}(2)^2$ is the $\text{SO}(4)$. In addition, one breaks $\text{SU}(4)_{AS} \rightarrow \text{USp}(4)$. One can further continue and break the $\text{SO}(4)$ to its Cartan, and $\text{U}(1) \times \text{USp}(4) \rightarrow \text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(2)^2 \rightarrow \text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(2)$. For the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ 1d subspace one instead breaks $\text{SU}(4)_F \rightarrow \text{USp}(4)$ and $\text{SU}(4)_{AS} \rightarrow \text{SU}(2) \times \text{U}(1)^2$.

- In case 4 one breaks $\text{SU}(6) \rightarrow \text{USp}(6)$.

- In case 6 the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ preserving 1d subspace also preserves a $\text{U}(1) \times \text{USp}(4)$ global symmetry, where one breaks $\text{SU}(4) \rightarrow \text{USp}(4)$. For the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ 1d subspace one breaks $\text{SU}(4) \rightarrow \text{U}(1)^3$.

- In case 7 one breaks $\text{SU}(11) \rightarrow \text{SO}(11)$.

- In case 8 the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ preserving 1d subspace also preserves a $\text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(2)^2$ global symmetry, where one breaks $\text{SU}(3) \rightarrow \text{SO}(3)$, which is one of the $\text{SU}(2)$ groups, while the other is the intrinsic $\text{SU}(2)_{AS}$ group.

- In case 9 one breaks $\text{SU}(6) \rightarrow \text{SO}(6)$, where the $\text{SU}(4)$ is the $\text{SO}(6)$. In addition one breaks $\text{SU}(2) \rightarrow \text{SO}(2)$, where one of the $\text{U}(1)^2$ groups is the $\text{SO}(2)$ and the other is a combination of the intrinsic $\text{U}(1)$ groups.

- In case 10 one breaks $\text{SU}(3) \rightarrow \text{SO}(3)$, where the $\text{SU}(2)$ is the $\text{SO}(3)$.

- In case 11 one breaks $\text{SU}(6) \rightarrow \text{SO}(6)$, where the $\text{SU}(4)$ is the $\text{SO}(6)$.
Let us make several comments about specific cases,

- In case 1 one breaks $SU(2N - 4) \rightarrow USp(2N - 4)$.
- In case 2 one breaks $SU(2x) \rightarrow USp(2x)$, and $SU(10 - 2x) \rightarrow USp(10 - 2x)$ when $x < 5$.

### 8.3 Orthogonal groups

Here we take the group to be $SO(N)$. The case of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ is trivial as there is no symmetric cubic invariant of the adjoint (antisymmetric) representation. Thus, there is only a 1d subspace preserving $\mathcal{N} = 4$ and the full $SU(3)$ symmetry exchanging the three adjoints.

All the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ cases have one adjoint chiral field coming from the vector multiplet and we do not write it down in the table. All of the theories have only a single $\mathcal{N} = 2$ preserving direction.

| Case | Matter | $dim \mathcal{M}$ | $G_{F}\text{free}$ | $G_{F}\text{open}$ | $a, c$ |
|------|--------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--------|
| 1    | $G = SO(N)$, $N_a = 2N - 4$ | 1 | $U(1)$ | $U(1)$ | $a = \frac{N(7N-9)}{48}$, $c = \frac{N(2N-3)}{12}$ |
| 2    | $G = SO(7)$, $N_b = 2x$, $N_{c} = 10 - 2x$ | 1 | $U(2x)$ | $U(1) \times USp(2x)$ | $a = \frac{140x}{24}$, $c = \frac{77x}{12}$ |
| 3    | $G = SO(8)$, $N_{b}, N_{c} = 2y$, $N_{e} = 12 - 2x - 2y$ | 1 | $U(2x) \times U(2y) \times U(10 - 2x)$ | $U(1) \times USp(2x) \times USp(10 - 2x)$ | $a = \frac{42}{24}$, $c = \frac{26}{12}$ |
| 4    | $G = SO(9)$, $N_{16} = 2x$, $N_{v} = 14 - 4x$ | 1 | $SU(2x) \times SU(14 - 4x)$ | $U(1) \times USp(2x) \times USp(14 - 4x)$ | $a = \frac{243 - 2x}{24}$, $c = \frac{85 - 2x}{12}$ |
| 5    | $G = SO(10)$, $N_{16} = N_{TP} = x$, $N_{v} = 16 - 4x$ | 1 | $U(x)^{2}$ | $U(1)^{2} \times SU(x)^{2}$ | $a = \frac{205 - 4x}{24}$, $c = \frac{85 - 2x}{12}$ |
| 6    | $G = SO(11)$, $N_{32} = x$, $N_{v} = 18 - 4x$ | 1 | $SU(18 - 4x)$ | $U(1) \times SO(x) \times USp(18 - 4x)$ | $a = \frac{187 - 3x}{12}$, $c = \frac{209 - 6x}{12}$ |
| 7    | $G = SO(12)$, $N_{32} = x, N_{32'} = y$, $N_{v} = 20 - 4x - 4y$ | 1 | $SU(20 - 4x - 4y)$ | $U(1) \times SO(x) \times SO(y) \times USp(20 - 4x - 4y)$ | $a = \frac{225 - 4x - 2y}{12}$, $c = \frac{63 - 2x - 2y}{12}$ |
| 8    | $G = SO(13)$, $N_{64} = x$, $N_{v} = 22 - 8x$ | 1 | $SU(22 - 8x)$ | $U(1) \times SO(x) \times USp(22 - 8x)$ | $a = \frac{233 - 20x}{12}$, $c = \frac{209 - 20x}{12}$ |
| 9    | $G = SO(14)$, $N_{64} = N_{TP} = 1$, $N_{v} = 8$ | 1 | $SU(8)$ | $U(1)^{2} \times USp(8)$ | $a = \frac{575}{24}$, $c = \frac{151}{8}$ |

**Table 30.** Cases involving an $SO(N)$ gauge group with extended supersymmetry. All cases also have an adjoint chiral field in addition to the matter written in the table.
Table 31. Cases involving an exceptional gauge group with extended supersymmetry. All cases also have an adjoint chiral field in addition to the matter written in the table.

- In case 3 one breaks $\text{SU}(2^x) \rightarrow \text{USp}(2^x)$, $\text{SU}(2^y) \rightarrow \text{USp}(2^y)$, and $\text{SU}(12 - 2x - 2y) \rightarrow \text{USp}(12 - 2x - 2y)$ when the groups are non vanishing.

- In case 4 one breaks $\text{SU}(2^x) \rightarrow \text{USp}(2^x)$, and $\text{SU}(14^4x^4y^4) \rightarrow \text{USp}(14^4x^4y^4)$.

- In case 5 one takes the diagonal $\text{SU}(x)$ of $\text{SU}(x)^{16}$ and $\text{SU}(x)^{16}$. In addition, one breaks $\text{SU}(16 - 4x) \rightarrow \text{USp}(16 - 4x)$ when $x < 4$.

- In case 6 one breaks $\text{SU}(x) \rightarrow \text{SO}(x)$, and $\text{SU}(18 - 4x) \rightarrow \text{USp}(18 - 4x)$.

- In case 7 one breaks $\text{SU}(x) \rightarrow \text{SO}(x)$, $\text{SU}(y) \rightarrow \text{SO}(y)$, and $\text{SU}(20 - 4x - 4y) \rightarrow \text{USp}(20 - 4x - 4y)$ when the groups are non vanishing.

- In case 8 one breaks $\text{SU}(x) \rightarrow \text{SO}(x)$, and $\text{SU}(22 - 8x) \rightarrow \text{USp}(22 - 8x)$.

- In case 9 one breaks $\text{SU}(8) \rightarrow \text{USp}(8)$.

8.4 Exceptional groups

With exceptional gauge groups we can only have one dimensional conformal manifolds on which the supersymmetry is preserved. The reason is that none of these groups has a cubic symmetric invariant of the adjoint representation, or any other cubic invariant containing at least two adjoints, and thus the only exactly marginal superpotential is the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ one.
Let us make several comments about specific cases,

- In case 3 one breaks $\text{SU}(6) \rightarrow \text{SO}(6)$, where the $\text{SU}(4)$ is the $\text{SO}(6)$ group.
- In case 5 the $\text{SU}(4)$ is the diagonal of the two intrinsic $\text{SU}(4)$ groups.
- In case 7 one breaks $\text{SU}(6) \rightarrow \text{USp}(6)$.
- In case 9 one breaks $\text{SU}(8) \rightarrow \text{USp}(8)$.

9 Epilogue

In this paper we have obtained a rich variety of conformal gauge theories with a simple gauge group. Let us end the discussion with two simple examples of further possible developments of the results presented in the paper. First we will discuss an IR duality connecting one of the conformal theories we have obtained to a somewhat simpler non-conformal gauge theory. Second, we will discuss an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ extension of the conformal manifolds of $\mathcal{A}$ type class $\mathcal{S}$ theories by gauging the symmetry of a maximal puncture with an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ vector multiplet and two adjoint chiral superfields.

9.1 An IR duality

Some of the conformal theories we have classified might be related by IR dualities, of the sort first discussed in [57], to other models. As an example let us consider the $\text{USp}(4) = \text{Spin}(5)$ theory with two fields, $q$ and $\tilde{q}$, in the five dimensional two index anti-symmetric representation and one field, $\phi$, in the $14$. We have obtained that this is a conformal theory with a one dimensional conformal manifold with a $U(1)$ symmetry preserved on a generic locus. The superpotential is $W = q\tilde{q}\phi + \phi^3$ and under the preserved $U(1)_{a_i}$, $\phi$ is neutral while $q$ is charged $+1$ and $\tilde{q}$ charged $-1$. The $\text{TrRU}(1)^2$ anomaly is $-\frac{10}{7}$, the conformal anomalies are $a = \frac{19}{8}$ and $c = \frac{9}{4}$, while the rest of the 't Hooft anomalies vanish. The free fixed point has an $SU(2)_a \times U(1)_b$ symmetry such that $U(1)_a$ is the Cartan of $SU(2)_a$ and under $U(1)_b$ the fields $q$ and $\tilde{q}$ have charge $+1$ while $\phi$ has charge $-\frac{2}{7}$. This means that the marginal operators $q^2\phi$, $\tilde{q}^2\phi$, and $q\tilde{q}\phi$ are in the $3$ of $SU(2)_a$ and have charge $\frac{12}{7}$ under $U(1)_b$. The marginal operator $\phi^3$ is a singlet of $SU(2)_a$ and has charge $-\frac{6}{7}$ under $U(1)_b$. There is a single independent invariant we can build out of $3$ and $1$, implying that the conformal manifold is one dimensional. The Cartan of $SU(2)_a$ is not broken on the conformal manifold.

Now, let us consider an $SU(4)$ gauge theory with two fields in the ten dimensional two index symmetric representation, field $Q$ in $10$ and field $\tilde{Q}$ in $\overline{10}$. We also add a gauge singlet field $\Phi$ coupling through a superpotential $W = \Phi Q \tilde{Q}$. The superconformal R-charges of the fields $Q$ and $\tilde{Q}$ computed by a maximization [79] is $\frac{1}{3}$ meaning that $Q\tilde{Q}$ is a free field [80]. The superpotential removes this operator in the IR [81] (see also e.g. [82]). The superpotential has a non-anomalous $U(1)$ symmetry under which $Q$ is charged $+\frac{1}{2}$ and $\tilde{Q}$ is charged $-\frac{1}{2}$. The $\text{TrRU}(1)^2$ anomaly is $-\frac{10}{7}$, the conformal anomalies are $a = \frac{19}{8}$ and
$c = \frac{9}{4}$, while the rest of the 't Hooft anomalies vanish. This matches perfectly the USp(4) theory. Computing the superconformal index in both duality frames we obtain,

$$1 + \left( a + \frac{1}{a} \right)^2 x^4 + \left( a + \frac{1}{a} \right)^2 \left( n + \frac{1}{n} \right) x^7 + \left( a^4 + \frac{1}{a^4} + a^2 + \frac{1}{a^2} + a + \frac{1}{a} + 4 \right) x^8$$

$$- \left( n + \frac{1}{n} \right) x^9 + \left( 1 + \left( 2 + a^2 + \frac{1}{a^2} + a + \frac{1}{a} \right) \left( n^2 + \frac{1}{n^2} + 1 \right) \right) x^{10}$$

$$+ \left( n + \frac{1}{n} \right) \left( a^4 + \frac{1}{a^4} + 3a^2 + \frac{3}{a^2} + a + \frac{1}{a} + 5 \right) x^{11}$$

$$+ \left( a^6 + \frac{1}{a^6} + a^4 + \frac{1}{a^4} + a^3 + \frac{1}{a^3} + 2a^2 + \frac{2}{a^2} + 2 - \left( n^2 + \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \left( 1 + a + \frac{1}{a} \right) \right) x^{12}$$

$$+ \cdots ,$$

where we define $p/q = n^2$ and $pq = x^6$, while $a$ is the fugacity for $U(1)_a$. Note that at order $pq$, at which we should observe the contribution of marginal operators minus the conserved currents [83], we have a zero. However, as we have the $U(1)_a$ symmetry conserved current, which contributes $-1$ at order $pq$, there has to be a $+1$ contribution which comes from the exactly marginal deformation.

### 9.2 Extending the conformal manifolds of class $\mathcal{S}$ with two adjoints

Let us consider general $\mathcal{N} = 2$ class $\mathcal{S}$ [12] theory corresponding to compactification of the 6d $A_{N-1}$ type $(2,0)$ theory on a genus $g$ Riemann surface with $s$ maximal punctures. From $\mathcal{N} = 1$ perspective these theories have a $U(1)_t$ global symmetry which is the Cartan of the 6d SU(2) global symmetry, in addition to the $(1,0)$ R-symmetry, that the $(2,0)$ models have. Each puncture contains a moment map operator $M_i$ which is in the adjoint representation of the SU($N_i$) symmetry associated to the $i$'th puncture. The moment map operators have the superconformal R-charge assignment 4/3 and are charged +1 under the $U(1)_t$ symmetry. We also note that dimension $r$ Coulomb branch operators have R-charge assignment $\frac{4}{3} r$ and have $U(1)_t$ charge $-r$. The anomalies of the SU($N_i$) symmetry are those of $N$ free hypermultiplets in the fundamental representation of SU($N$) using this R-charge. Let us consider the case of $N > 2$ and gauge the SU($N$) symmetry associated to one of the punctures with an addition of two adjoint fields $X$ and $Y$. The gauging is conformal for $N > 2$ with the superconformal R-symmetry of the adjoint fields being $\frac{2}{3}$. The $U(1)_t$ charge of the adjoint fields is $-\frac{1}{3}$. Note that we have an SU($2a$) symmetry rotating the two adjoint fields. To explore the conformal manifold we need to turn on some superpotentials which will break the SU($2a$) $\times$ U($1)_t$ symmetry, to which we turn next. The most general marginal superpotential built from operators charged under the gauged symmetry of the puncture is,

$$W = M \left( X + Y \right) + \left( X^3 + Y^3 + X^2 Y + Y^2 X \right) .$$

Here $M$ is the moment map operator associated with the puncture. Note that the model has also dimension 3 Coulomb branch operators charged $-3$ under U($1)_t$ which is marginal. The
The first term in the superpotential above is a doublet of SU(2) and has U(1) charge $3/4$ while the second term is $4$ of SU(2) with U(1) charge $-3/4$. We can easily compute the Kähler quotient and see that it has dimension one sub-locus which preserves a linear combination of U(1) and U(1). We can use the SU(2) symmetry to choose this combination to be $U(1)_{(-3/4)u} \equiv U(1)_\ell$ and thus the superpotential we turn on is,

$$W = \lambda_1 M X + \lambda_2 Y^2 X.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9.3)

Note that out of the 6 superpotential terms in (9.2) the operators $MY$ and $X^2Y$ recombine with the conserved currents of SU(2), while $Y^3$ and $X^3$ have charges 2 and $-4$ respectively under U(1). The dimension three Coulomb branch operators also have U(1)$_\ell$. We can in principle further break U(1)$_\ell$ but we will refrain from doing so. Note also that out of the two independent combinations of the form \eqref{9.3} one recombined with one of the broken conserved current and one remains exactly marginal. The gauging with the deformation preserving U(1)$_\ell$ thus produces a theory with $(3g - 3 + s) + g + 1$ exactly marginal deformations, where the first $3g - 3 + s$ come from the complex structure moduli of the original class $S$ theory and $g$ from the flat connections of the U(1)$_\ell$ symmetry \cite{19,83}. Performing this procedure for the other punctures we add one exactly marginal dimension per puncture and the preserved symmetry is $U(1)_{(-3/4)\sum_{i=1}^s a_i} \equiv U(1)_\ell$. If we apply the procedure to all punctures, the theory will have $3g - 3 + 2s + g$ exactly marginal deformations. Note that the original class $S$ model has a duality group acting on the conformal manifold and as our procedure extends this manifold, we expect this duality also to act after the extension. It is tempting to entertain the possibility that applying the procedure outlined here one can interpret the resulting model as corresponding to genus $g$ surface but now with $2s$ punctures of some sort (say $s$ of one kind and $s$ of another) which would mean that the duality group would be the mapping class group of such a surface. It would be very interesting to understand the structure of the conformal manifold in more detail.
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\footnote{This is reminiscent of superpotential deformations of SQCD with two adjoints \cite{84}. Note that considering more general $\mathcal{N} = 1$ class $S$ theories of \cite{83,85} RG flows are involved and the superconformal R-symmetries might allow for more choices of superpotentials from the ADE classification of \cite{84,86–89}. It would be interesting to explore these possibilities.}
\section{N = 1 superconformal index}

In this appendix we give some definitions on the \( \mathcal{N} = 1 \) superconformal index \cite{60-62}, in addition to some related notations, and useful results. In four dimensions the index is defined as a trace over the Hilbert space of the theory quantized on \( S^3 \),

\[
\mathcal{I}(\mu_i) = Tr(-1)^F e^{-\beta \delta} e^{-\mu_i \mathcal{M}_i}, \quad (A.1)
\]

where \( \delta \triangleq \frac{1}{2} \{ Q, Q^\dagger \} \), with \( Q \) one of the Poincaré supercharges, and \( Q^\dagger = \mathcal{S} \) its conjugate conformal supercharge, \( \mathcal{M}_i \) are \( Q \)-closed conserved charges and \( \mu_i \) their associated chemical potentials. The non-vanishing contributions come from states with \( \delta = 0 \) making the index independent on \( \beta \), since states with \( \delta > 0 \) come in boson/fermion pairs.

The full contribution for a chiral superfield in the fundamental representation of \( SU(N) \) or \( USp(2N) \) with R-charge \( r \) can be written in terms of elliptic gamma functions, as follows

\[
\mathcal{I}_\chi(SU(N)) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_e \left( (pq)^{\frac{1}{2r}} z_i \right),
\]

\[
\mathcal{I}_\chi(USp(2N)) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_e \left( (pq)^{\frac{1}{2r}} z_i^{\pm1} \right),
\]

\[
\Gamma_e(z) \triangleq \Gamma(z; p, q) \equiv \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - p^{n+1} q^{m+1}/z}{1 - p^n q^m z}, \quad (A.2)
\]

where \( \{ z_i \} \) with \( i = 1, \ldots, N \) are the fugacities parameterizing the Cartan subalgebra of \( SU(N) \), with \( \prod_{i=1}^{N} z_i = 1 \) or \( USp(2N) \). In addition, we will often use the shorten notation

\[
\Gamma_e(xy^{\pm n}) = \Gamma_e(xy^n) \Gamma_e(xy^{-n}). \quad (A.3)
\]

In a similar manner we can write the full contribution of the vector multiplet in the adjoint of \( SU(N) \) or \( USp(2N) \), together with the matching Haar measure and projection to gauge singlets as

\[
\mathcal{I}_V(SU(N)) = \kappa^{N-1} \frac{1}{N!} \int_{T^{N-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{N-1}} \frac{dz_i}{2\pi i z_i} \prod_{k \neq \ell} \Gamma_e(z_k/z_\ell) \cdots,
\]

\[
\mathcal{I}_V(USp(2N)) = \kappa^{N} \frac{1}{2^N N!} \int_{T^{N}} \prod_{a=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{N}} \frac{dz_a}{2\pi i z_a} \Gamma_e(z_a^{\pm2}) \prod_{1 < a < b < N} \Gamma_e(z_a^{\pm1} z_b^{\pm1}) \cdots, \quad (A.4)
\]

where the dots denote that it will be used in addition to the full matter multiplets transforming in representations of the gauge group. The integration is a contour integration over the maximal torus of the gauge group. \( \kappa \) is the index of \( U(1) \) free vector multiplet defined as

\[
\kappa \triangleq (p;p)(q;q), \quad (A.5)
\]

where

\[
(x; y) \triangleq \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - xy^n) \quad (A.6)
\]

is the q-Pochhammer symbol.
B Algorithm for finding conformal manifolds and full calculation examples

In the bulk of the paper we have given the results for the analysis of the conformal manifold for a variety of cases, but have not provided details for how the calculation is done. In this appendix we will provide such details and illustrate them with a variety of examples. The general algorithm we will use is:\footnote{Such algorithms can be applied more generally to approach the problem of analyzing a Kähler quotient. One notable example of physical use is the analysis of the Higgs branch of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories, which can be described as a hyperkähler quotient. The methods used here then, also have parallels in the analysis of such Higgs branches, see [90].}

1. Pick a theory consistent with conditions (3.1) and (3.2).

2. List all the marginal operators invariant under the gauge symmetry, these operators must be cubic in our case.\footnote{Here we ignore the marginal operator associated with the gauge coupling constant, and similarly we ignore the anomalous symmetry when listing the global symmetry of the theory. This comes about as the gauge coupling of the simple gauge groups discussed here becomes marginally irrelevant as it recombine with the broken current operator associated with the anomalous symmetry. However, it should be noted that in some quiver theories, the number of anomalous symmetries may be smaller than the number of coupling constants, in which case the analysis should be done more carefully.}

3. The next stage is to find at least one exactly marginal operator. The problem of finding such operators can be mapped to finding operators composed of the above marginal operators that are invariant under the flavor symmetry of the theory. This is done in order to prove that the Kähler quotient isn’t empty. It is convenient here to separate the global symmetry into its abelian and non-abelian parts, and consider each in turn:

   (a) First look for invariants under the abelian symmetries, this can be easily found after assigning non-anomalous charges to all the fields, by finding operators of vanishing charge. If no operators are found, then there is no conformal manifold.

   (b) Next, one should look for powers of the operators invariant under the abelian symmetries that will give invariants under the non-abelian symmetries. When taking powers of the marginal operators one should only consider the symmetric product as these are bosonic operators. If no such operator can be found, then there is no conformal manifold.

4. With the exactly marginal operator at hand one needs to find the symmetry preserved by this operator. This can be done by considering what keeps a given representation fixed. Some examples are:

   (a) A fundamental breaks $\text{SU}(N)$ to $\text{SU}(N - 1)$ which is the group fixing a chosen fundamental.

   (b) The subgroup of $\text{SU}(N)$ fixing a chosen symmetric matrix is $\text{SO}(N)$ and an antisymmetric matrix is $\text{USp}(N)$ for $N$ even.
5. Some cases are more intricate than the ones above, and one needs to rely on group theory branching rules and verify the breaking using the next stage. Another possibility is taking a diagonal combination of two symmetries.

6. Next, we verify that the chosen subgroup of the flavor symmetry is the preserved one under the chosen exactly marginal operator. This is done by breaking the symmetries of all the currents and marginal operators under the alleged preserved symmetry, and checking that all the broken currents are eaten by the marginal operators. The remaining singlet operators give the dimension of the subspace on the conformal manifold preserving the chosen symmetry. In a more algebraic manner we break the operators $O_{ps} \to O_{ps_{\text{new}}}$ and break the currents that are in the adjoint representation of the flavor symmetry $G_f$ as $\text{Adj}_{G_f} \to \text{Adj}_{G_{\text{new}}} \oplus \text{b.c.}$ and need to verify that the broken currents b.c. appear in $O_{ps_{\text{new}}}$ and remove them.

7. Finally one should take the remaining operators and symmetry and go back to stage 3 of the algorithm. This recursive process stops when no additional exactly marginal operators can be found or when the flavor symmetry is broken completely.

Next, we shall illustrate this algorithm using various examples, of cases both with and without a Kähler quotient. We will use this opportunity to further clarify various aspects of the calculation.

B.1 Examples of cases with no Kähler quotient

We shall first consider cases where there is no Kähler quotient.

B.1.1 Lack of a Kähler quotient due to no marginal operators

The most trivial way for a Kähler quotient to be non-existent is that there are no marginal operators, that is the list of all marginal operators, which we determine in step 2, is empty. Examples of this include a $\text{USp}(2N)$ gauge theory with $6(N+1)$ fundamental chiral fields, an $\text{SO}(N)$ gauge theory with $3(N^2-2)$ vector chiral fields and an $\text{SU}(N)$ gauge theory with $3N$ chiral fields in the fundamental and anti-fundamental representations, for $N \neq 3$.

B.1.2 Lack of a Kähler quotient due to abelian symmetries

A common reason for a Kähler quotient to be non-existent is due to the abelian symmetries. This happens when there is a non-anomalous $\text{U}(1)$ global symmetry under which the charges of all marginal operators have the same sign, and thus there is no Kähler quotient. As a simple example, consider the case of an $\text{SU}(5)$ gauge theory with six antisymmetric chiral fields, three conjugate antisymmetric chiral fields and three anti-fundamental chiral fields. We can define two non-anomalous $\text{U}(1)$ groups, say $\text{U}(1)_x \times \text{U}(1)_y$. A convenient choice is to take one, say $\text{U}(1)_x$, to act only on the antisymmetric and conjugate antisymmetric chiral fields, with charge +1 for the former and −2 for the latter, and take the other, $\text{U}(1)_y$, to act only on the conjugate antisymmetric and anti-fundamental chiral fields, with charge +1 for the former and −3 for the latter. The theory has two marginal operators, given by
Table 32. Matter content and assigned charges for an SU(6) gauge theory.

| Representation | Number of fields | \( U(1)_x \) | \( U(1)_y \) | \( U(1)_b \) | \( U(1)_a \) |
|----------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 20             | 1               | 2            | 3            | 0            | 0            |
| AS            | 1              | -3           | -1           | 0            | 1            |
| \( \overline{AS} \) | 1           | 0            | 2            | 0            | -1           |
| F            | 11             | 0            | -1           | 1            | 0            |
| \( \overline{F} \) | 11        | 0            | -1           | -1           | 0            |

\( AS \times F^2 \) and \( \overline{AS}^2 \times \overline{F} \), both of which have negative charge under \( U(1)_y \). As a result there is no quotient in this case.

As another simple example, we consider an SU(\( N \)) gauge theory with three antisymmetric chiral fields, three conjugate antisymmetric chiral fields, six fundamental chiral fields and six anti-fundamental chiral fields. We can define a non-anomalous U(1) group acting on the antisymmetric and conjugate antisymmetric chiral fields with charge 2, and on the fundamental and anti-fundamental chiral fields with charge \(- (N - 2)\). For \( N > 6 \), the only marginal operators are given by \( AS \times F^2 \) and \( \overline{AS} \times F^2 \), and these both have negative charge under this U(1). As a result, there is no Kähler quotient in these cases.

While, in the two examples we have shown, it was readily apparent that there is no quotient under the abelian groups, in some cases, depending on the choice of U(1) groups, this may be more obscure. As an example, consider an SU(6) gauge theory with the following combination of chiral fields, and a specific choice of U(1) groups, shown in table 32.

Here we list the representations of the chiral fields that participates in this case, the number of chiral fields charged under each representation, and their charges under a choice of four non-anomalous U(1) groups. There are six different marginal operators that we can turn on: \( AS^3 \), \( \overline{AS}^3 \), \( AS \times F^2 \), \( \overline{AS} \times F^2 \), \( 20 \times AS \times F \) and \( 20 \times \overline{AS} \times \overline{F} \). We can write down their charges under the four U(1) symmetries. For this it is convenient to use fugacities, where we use the fugacity \( i \) for \( U(1)_i \). In this way, if the charge of the operator under \( U(1)_i \) is \( q_i \), then we write its charges under all symmetries concisely as \( \prod_i i^{q_i} \). In this notation, the charges of the operators under the U(1) symmetries are:

\[
\frac{a^3}{y^3 x^9}, \frac{y f}{a^3}, \frac{b^3}{a}, \frac{a}{b^2 x^3 y^3}, \frac{aby}{x}, \frac{x^2 y^4}{a b}.
\]

Here it is not immediately apparent that there is no quotient, even though this is indeed the case. One way to see this is to redefine \( U(1)_y \rightarrow U(1)_y' - U(1)_x \), which we can implement on fugacities by taking \( y \rightarrow \frac{y'}{x} \). Then the first and last two operators have negative charge under \( U(1)_x \), while the third and fourth one have zero charges, and as these two alone don’t have a quotient under the remaining abelian symmetries, there is indeed no quotient. A more general approach is as follows. Say there is a quotient, then we can find a set of positive integers \( n_i \) such that \( \prod_i O_i^{n_i} = 1 \), where \( O_i \) are the charges of the operators in fugacities. We can then form a set of linear equations, number of which is the number of U(1) groups, providing restrictions on the set of numbers \( n_i \). Then, there
is a quotient under the abelian symmetries if and only if there is a non-trivial solution for \( n_i \) positive integers. In this case, it is possible to show with a little algebra that there is no such solution.

In many cases it is possible to know immediately if there is no quotient under the abelian symmetries thanks to the following observation. Say we have \( n_i \) chiral fields charged under the gauge symmetry in the representation \( R_i \), with Dynkin index \( T_{R_i} \), then the contribution of these to the mixed gauge-U(1)\(_R\) anomaly, which in turn is related to the one loop beta function, is \( -\frac{n_i T_{R_i}}{3} \). The contribution of all the other chiral fields, from the fact that U(1)\(_R\) is anomaly free, is \( -h_G^\vee + \frac{n_i T_{R_i}}{3} \). We also note that if we define a U(1) to act on all of the \( n_i \) chiral fields with the same charge, \( q_i \), then its contribution to the mixed anomaly between this global U(1) and the gauge symmetry is \( q_i n_i T_{R_i} \), and in particular is proportional to its contribution to the mixed gauge-U(1)\(_R\) anomaly. There is a similar relation also for the remaining chiral fields. We then note the following:

1. If we have a collection of chiral fields whose contribution to the mixed gauge-U(1)\(_R\) anomaly (equivalently, to the beta function) is the same as two adjoint chiral fields, then we can define a U(1) symmetry such that all chiral fields in this collection have charge 1, while all other chiral fields have charge \(-2\). It then also follows that if we have a collection of chiral fields whose contribution to the mixed gauge-U(1)\(_R\) anomaly is greater than that of two adjoint chiral fields, then if we define a U(1) symmetry such that all chiral fields in this collection have charge 1, then for it to be anomaly free, all other chiral fields must have charge greater than \(-2\). In this case, the only marginal operator with positive charge under this symmetry is the one when all three chiral fields that build this operator belong to this collection. All other operators have negative charge.

2. If we have a collection of chiral fields whose contribution to the mixed gauge-U(1)\(_R\) anomaly (equivalently, to the beta function) is the same as one adjoint chiral field, then we can define a U(1) symmetry such that all chiral fields in this collection have charge 2, while all other chiral fields have charge \(-1\). It then also follows that if we have a collection of chiral fields whose contribution to the mixed gauge-U(1)\(_R\) anomaly is greater than that of one adjoint chiral field, then if we define a U(1) symmetry such that all chiral fields in this collection have charge 2, then for it to be anomaly free, all other chiral fields must have charge greater than \(-1\). In this case, the only marginal operator with positive charge under this symmetry is the one when at least two chiral fields that build this operator belong to this collection. All other operators have negative charge.

With these observations in mind, it is straightforward to immediately show that in all three examples there is no quotient. In our first example, we had an SU(5) gauge theory with six chiral fields in the antisymmetric representation and three chiral fields in the conjugate antisymmetric representation. On one hand, these together contribute more than two adjoints to the gauge-U(1)\(_R\) anomaly, while on the other hand, there is no marginal superpotential made solely from these fields, and so there is no quotient.
Similarly in our second example, we had an SU($N$) gauge theory with three chiral fields in the antisymmetric representation. When $N > 6$, these together contribute more than one adjoint to the gauge-U(1)$_R$ anomaly. However, there is no marginal superpotential made from two or more of these fields, and so there is no quotient. Finally, we have the third example, with the matter content given in table (32). Here it is a bit trickier, but we can again notice that the contribution to the gauge-U(1)$_R$ anomaly of the chiral fields in the fundamental, the anti-fundamental and the three index antisymmetric is greater than two adjoints while there is no marginal superpotential made solely from these fields. Therefore, we can again conclude that there is no quotient. In this way it is possible to immediately rule out many cases.

B.1.3 Lack of a Kähler quotient due to non-abelian symmetries

There can be instances where it is possible to find a quotient under the abelian symmetries, but it is impossible to find one under the non-abelian symmetries. This usually happens due to one of the following two reasons:

1. For an SU($N$) group with only $n$ operators in the fundamental representation, there is a quotient if and only if $n \geq N$.

2. For an SU($N$) with only a single operator in the antisymmetric representation, there is a quotient if and only if $N$ is even.

As an example, we consider a Spin(18) gauge theory with a single chiral field in the spinor representation and 16 chiral fields in the vector representation. In this case there is a single marginal operator made from the product of the vector and the symmetric product of the spinor chiral fields. The global symmetry consists of an SU(16) acting on the vector chiral fields and a U(1), which can be chosen so as to act on the spinor chiral field with charge 1 and on the vector chiral fields with charge $-2$. The marginal operator then is uncharged under the U(1), but is in the fundamental representation of the SU(16). In this case then, it is trivial that there is a quotient under the U(1), but as there is only one operator in the fundamental of SU(16), there is no quotient under the non-abelian symmetry.

B.1.4 Lack of a Kähler quotient due to a combination of abelian and non-abelian symmetries

The last case where there is no quotient is when there is a quotient under both the abelian and non-abelian symmetries, but these are mutually exclusive. As a simple example of this, consider an SU(6) gauge theory with four antisymmetric chiral fields, a single chiral field in the conjugate antisymmetric representation, five fundamental chiral fields and eleven anti-fundamental chiral fields. There are four marginal operators: $\text{AS}^3$, $\overline{\text{AS}}^3$, $\text{AS} \times F^2$, $\overline{\text{AS}} \times F^2$. The free point global symmetry is U(1)$^3 \times$ SU(4) $\times$ SU(5) $\times$ SU(11). It is straightforward to show that there is a quotient under the abelian symmetries, if it is allowed to use all four operators. However, the only operator charged under the SU(5) is $\overline{\text{AS}} \times F^2$, which is in its antisymmetric representation, and as there is no quotient for a
single antisymmetric of SU(5), it is in fact marginally irrelevant. The remaining three have a quotient under the non-abelian symmetries, however, it is impossible to have a quotient under the abelian symmetries with only these three operators. Therefore, although there is a quotient under both the abelian and non-abelian symmetries, there is no quotient under both simultaneously.

Finally, as a more complicated example we consider an SU(6) gauge theory with a single chiral field in its 20 representation, four chiral fields in the antisymmetric representation, a single chiral field in the conjugate antisymmetric representation, two chiral fields in the fundamental representation and eight chiral fields in the anti-fundamental representation. The symmetry of the free point is $U(1)^4 \times SU(4) \times SU(2) \times SU(8)$, and we assign charges under the non-anomalous $U(1)$ groups as follows. We assign charge $(1,0,0,0)$ to the chiral field in the 20, $(0,1,0,0)$ to the AS chiral fields, $(0,0,1,0)$ to the $\overline{AS}$ chiral field, $(1,0,2,3)$ to the $F$ chiral fields and $(-1,-2,-1,-1)$ to the $\overline{F}$ chiral fields, under $U(1)_x \times U(1)_y \times U(1)_z \times U(1)_a$. The marginal operators and their representations under the flavor symmetries are

\[
\begin{align*}
A & = AS \times \overline{F}^2 \sim (4,1,28) x^{-2} y^{-3} z^{-2} a^{-2} \\
B & = \overline{AS} \times F^2 \sim (1,1,1) x^2 z^5 a^8 \\
C & = AS^3 \sim (20^n,1,1) y^3 \\
D & = \overline{AS}^3 \sim (1,1,1) z^3 \\
E & = 20 \times \overline{AS} \times \overline{F} \sim (1,1,8) y^{-2} a^{-1} \\
G & = 20 \times AS \times F \sim (4,2,1) x^2 y z a^4,
\end{align*}
\]

where we again use fugacities to represent charges for abelian groups. Here the representations under the non-abelian groups are ordered in the same order as the symmetries were listed when we noted the free point symmetry.

Like in the previous cases, we seek first an invariant under the abelian symmetries. We attempt to find one by writing down the constraints of zero charge under each $U(1)$ as linear equations in the number of times we use each operator, and look for positive integer solutions. Using this, we find that the only invariant operators under the abelian symmetries are powers of $AC^2E^2G$. Next we would like to build an invariant under the non-abelian symmetries. Focusing first on building an SU(8) invariant, we find two marginal operators transforming under the SU(8) symmetry $A$ and $E$. In the case of operator $E$, it transforms only under the SU(8) out of the non-abelian symmetries forcing us to take its symmetric power only. Taking $n$ copies of the operator invariant under the abelian symmetries we will take $E^{2n_{\text{sym}}}$, giving us an operator transforming under the $2n$ index symmetric representation of SU(8) which is represented by a Young tableau with a single row of length $2n$. To get an SU(8) invariant, we must contract this representation with the conjugate $2n$ index symmetric representation of SU(8), represented by a Young tableau of seven rows of length $2n$. Generating such a representation requires at least $7n$ copies of the two index antisymmetric representation, while we only have $n$ such copies. We see then that while we can generate an invariant under the abelian part of the global symmetry, it is impossible to make an invariant under the full symmetry from these abelian invariants.
Similarly, we note that while it is possible to generate invariants under the non-abelian part of the global symmetry, it is impossible to make an invariant under the full symmetry from these non-abelian invariants. Therefore, in this case it is impossible to generate an invariant under the full flavor symmetry, meaning there are no exactly marginal operators and the conformal manifold is empty.

B.2 Examples of cases with a Kähler quotient

After showing some examples without a Kähler quotient, we move on to show various examples of cases with a Kähler quotient. We shall start with a few standard examples, to illustrate the basic features, and then move on to show a few more examples with interesting features.

B.2.1 Simple examples with a Kähler quotient

In the first example we look at the first case of table 2 ignoring the case of $N=3$ for simplicity. This is a theory of an SU($N$) gauge group with two adjoint chiral fields and $N_F = N_{\Phi} = N$. One can verify it obeys (3.1) and (3.2). The symmetry of the free point is $\text{U}(1)^2 \times \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(N)^2$, and we assign charges under the non-anomalous $\text{U}(1)$ groups as follows. We assign charge $(0, +1)$ to the adjoints and $(\pm 1, -2)$ to the fundamentals and anti-fundamentals under $\text{U}(1)_x \times \text{U}(1)_y$. The marginal operators and their representations under the flavor symmetries are

$$A = \text{Ad}^3 \sim (4, 1, 1) y^3,$$

$$B = \text{Ad} \times F \times \overline{F} \sim (2, N, \overline{N}) y^{-3},$$

(B.2)

where we again use fugacities to represent charges under $\text{U}(1)$ symmetries, and order the non-abelian symmetries in the same order in which the symmetries were presented when we introduced the symmetry at the free point.

An invariant under the abelian symmetries is the operator $AB$. An invariant under the non-abelian symmetries can be constructed by taking $N$ such copies, and contracting both the SU($N$) indices with epsilon tensors to create an invariant under both. As for the SU(2), the $2$ and $4$ representations need to be taken to the $N$-th symmetric power and multiplied to generate an SU(2) invariant.

The fact that the invariant under both SU($N$) groups is generated by a baryon of a bifundamental implies that the subspace preserves the diagonal SU($N$), while both the representations under SU(2) imply that it is at least broken to $\text{U}(1)_a$. The marginal operators under this breaking

$$(4, 1, 1) y^3 \oplus (2, N, \overline{N}) y^{-3} \rightarrow (1) y^3 \left(a^3 + a + a^{-1} + a^{-3}\right)$$

$$\oplus (\text{Ad}_{\text{SU}(N)} \oplus 1) y^{-3} \left(a + a^{-1}\right).$$

(B.3)

When we insert operators into the superpotential, we break the symmetry to the subgroup such that the inserted operators are uncharged under the preserved subgroup. For the case at hand, to get a Kähler quotient we need to insert both the operators $A$ and $B$, and so the preserved symmetry must be such that at least one component of each is a
singlet. This does not yet hold in (B.3), implying that we need to break more symmetry. The minimal choice is to break one of the U(1) groups by the identification

\[ y^3a^{-1} = 1 \Rightarrow a = y^3. \]  

(B.4)

This implies that we are inserting into the superpotential the component with charge \( y^3a^{-1} \) of \( A \) and with charge \( y^{-3}a \) of \( B \). It is important to note that indeed \( AB \) is a singlet as required.

Breaking the symmetry further according to the identification above, the marginal operators are

\[ \rightarrow (1) (y^{12} + y^6 + 1 + y^{-6}) \oplus (Ad_{SU(N)} \oplus 1) (1 + y^{-6}) . \]  

(B.5)

Next we write down the broken currents

\[ b.c. = (1) (y^6 + 1 + y^{-6}) \oplus (Ad_{SU(N)}) . \]  

(B.6)

In the first term there are the currents of the SU(2), where we have used the relation \( a = y^3 \). The second term arises as we lose an SU(\( N \)) group from the breaking of SU(\( N \)) \( \times \) SU(\( N \)) to the diagonal SU(\( N \)) group.

The broken current can only become so by absorbing a marginally irrelevant operator. As a result some of the marginal operators in (B.5) must in fact be marginally irrelevant and eaten by the broken currents. Indeed, we see that there are marginal operators with the correct charges to be eaten. This is an important consistency check that this breaking can exist.

The remaining marginal operators after being eaten by broken currents are

\[ (1) \oplus (1) (y^{12} + y^{-6}) \oplus (Ad_{SU(N)}) y^{-6}. \]  

(B.7)

We see that we are left with one singlet, meaning one exactly marginal operator, and thus there is a 1d subspace on the conformal manifold preserving U(1)\(^2\) \( \times \) SU(\( N \)) symmetry. This is again an important consistency check, as there must always be at least one singlet corresponding to the exactly marginal operator that we have turned on.

We next consider turning on additional marginal operators. For that we look for a collection of operators, among the remaining marginal operators on this 1d subspace, with a Kähler quotient under the preserved symmetry on that subspace. Looking at the remaining operators one can easily see that we can generate another singlet by combining the two operators \((1) y^{12}\) and \((1) y^{-6}\) breaking U(1)\( y \). The remaining operators after the symmetry breaking and the reduction of the broken current are

\[ 2 (1) \oplus (Ad_{SU(N)}) . \]  

(B.8)

Thus, we have an additional direction preserving U(1) \( \times \) SU(\( N \)) together with the one we already found preserving a higher amount of symmetry.

Next, we can break SU(\( N \)) \( \rightarrow \) SU(\( N - 1 \)) \( \times \) U(1) to find

\[ 3 (1) \oplus (Ad_{SU(N-1)}) , \]  

(B.9)
with an additional direction preserving $U(1)^2 \times SU(N-1)$. We can keep breaking $SU(N-1)$ in the same manner serially until the $SU(N)$ has been completely broken down to its Cartan subalgebra. This will result in an $N+1$ dimensional conformal manifold preserving $U(1)^N$. In the end of this process we are left only with exactly marginal operators, and the full conformal manifold is found.

**Another example.** Next, we wish to further illustrate our method with another example. In this example we look at case 27 appearing in table 22. This is a theory of an $SO(10)$ gauge group with one two index traceless symmetric chiral field, three spinor chiral fields and six vector chiral fields. One can verify it obeys (3.2). The symmetry of the free point is $U(1)^2 \times SU(3) \times SU(6)$, and we assign charges under the non-anomalous $U(1)$ groups as follows. We assign charge $(1, 0)$ to the spinor chirals, $(0, 1)$ to the symmetric chirals and $(-1, -2)$ to the vector chirals under $U(1)_x \times U(1)_y$. The marginal operators and their representations under the flavor symmetries are

$$
A = S \times V^2 \sim (1, 2\mathbf{T}) \ x^{-2} y^{-3}
$$

$$
B = S^3 \sim (1, 1) \ y^3
$$

$$
C = 16^2_{SO(10)} \times V \sim (6, 5) \ xy^{-2}.
$$

An operator invariant under the abelian symmetries is given by $A^3 B^7 C^6$. The easiest way to form an invariant under the non-abelian symmetries is taking two copies of $A^3 B^7 C^6$, that is to consider instead $A^6 B^{14} C^{12}$, and then each operator can be multiplied with itself to form a singlet. For $A$, we use the $SU(6)$ invariant given by the determinant of the symmetric matrix, which is a sixth order symmetric invariant, and in fact it is the only $SU(6)$ invariant that can be generated from a single symmetric matrix of $SU(6)$. For $B$, this is immediate as it is a singlet under the non-abelian symmetries. Finally for $C$, we take twice the sixth totally antisymmetric product for both the $SU(3)$ and $SU(6)$ indices, so that the total product is symmetric, which gives a singlet under both symmetries.

The antisymmetric contractions imply we should identify a diagonal $SU(3)$ symmetry. This requires first breaking $SU(6) \to SU(3)$ and then take the diagonal of this $SU(3)$ and the intrinsic one. This indeed gives a component in $C$ with a singlet under the non-abelian groups. We next need to have a singlet component under the non-abelian groups also in operator $A$. For this we note that the two index (conjugate) symmetric representation $2\mathbf{T}$ of $SU(6)$ breaks to the symmetric $6$ and the $15'$ representations of $SU(3)$. As this does not give us a singlet we need to further break the $SU(3)$ to $SU(2)$, which can be accomplished by taking either $SU(3) \to SO(3)$ or $SU(3) \to SU(2) \times U(1)_z$. As we have taken the diagonal group with an $SU(3)$ subgroup of $SU(6)$, this implies that we should also break the $SU(6)$ accordingly. Here it is important to note that while the commutant of the chosen $SU(3)$ subgroup in $SU(6)$ is empty, and the commutant of $SU(2)$ in $SU(3)$ is either empty or $U(1)_z$, depending on the choice of embedding, the commutant of $SU(2)$ in $SU(6)$ is larger. Specifically, if we break $SU(3) \to SO(3)$, then we should break $SU(6) \to U(1)_a \times SU(2)$ such that $6 \to a_5_{SU(2)} + \frac{1}{a}$, while if we break $SU(3) \to SU(2) \times U(1)_z$, then we should break $SU(6) \to U(1)_a \times U(1)_b \times SU(2)$ such that $6 \to a_3_{SU(2)} + \frac{b}{2}_{SU(2)} + \frac{1}{a b z}$. The marginal
Next we write down the broken currents

\[ (1, 2\mathbf{1}) x^{-2}y^{-3} \oplus (1, 1) y^3 \oplus (6, \mathbf{3}) xy^{-2} \]

1st \( \rightarrow (5) x^{-2}y^{-3}a^{-2} \oplus (4) x^{-2}y^{-3}b^{-1} \oplus (3) x^{-2}y^{-3}(b^2 + a^2b^{-2}) \]
\[ \oplus (2) x^{-2}y^{-3}(b^{-1} + a^2b) \oplus (1) x^{-2}y^{-3}(a^{-2} + a^2b^4) \oplus (1) y^3 \oplus (5) xy^{-2}z^2a^{-1} \]
\[ \oplus (4) xy^{-2}(z^2ab^{-1} + z^{-1}a^{-1}) \]
\[ \oplus (3) xy^{-2}(z^2a^{-1} + ab^2z^2 + ab^{-1}z^{-1} + a^{-1}z^{-4}) \]
\[ \oplus (2) xy^{-2}(z^2ab^{-1} + ab^2z^{-1} + z^{-1}a^{-1} + ab^{-1}z^{-4}) \]
\[ \oplus (1) xy^{-2}(z^2a^{-1} + ab^{-1}z^{-1} + ab^2z^{-4}) \, , \quad (B.11) \]

2nd \( \rightarrow (a^{-2}(9 \oplus 5 \oplus 1) + a^45 + a^{10}1) x^{-2}y^{-3} \oplus (1) y^3 \]
\[ \oplus (a^{-1}(9 \oplus 7 \oplus 5 \oplus 3 \oplus 1) + 5(a^3 + a^{-1}) + a^51) xy^{-2} \, , \quad (B.12) \]

where the first and second refer to the breaking SU(3) \( \rightarrow \) SU(2) \( \times \) U(1) and SU(3) \( \rightarrow \) SO(3), respectively. The underlined operators are non-abelian singlets that represent the operators to be added to the superpotential, and as such form a quotient as dictated by the found invariant operator. We next need to break the abelian symmetries such that all these operators are singlets under these symmetries as well. In the second case, this dictates that all the abelian symmetries are to be completely broken, while in the first case, we are to identify

\[ x^{-2}y^{-3}a^{-2} = x^{-2}y^{-3}a^2b^4 = y^3 = xy^{-2}z^2a^{-1} = xy^{-2}a^{-1}z^{-1}b^{-1} = 1 \]
\[ \Rightarrow y = 1, z = a = b^{-1} = x^{-1} \, . \quad (B.13) \]

The resulting marginal operators are

1st \( \rightarrow 2(5) \oplus (4) (x^3 + 2x^{-3}) \oplus (3) (4 + x^6 + x^{-6}) \oplus 3(2) (x^3 + x^{-3}) \]
\[ \oplus (1) (5 + x^6) \, , \quad (B.14) \]

2nd \( \rightarrow 2(9) \oplus (7) \oplus (5) \oplus (3) \oplus (1) \, . \quad (B.15) \]

Next we write down the broken currents

b.c. 1st \( \rightarrow (5) \oplus (4) (x^3 + x^{-3}) \oplus 4(3) \oplus 3(2) (x^3 + x^{-3}) \oplus 4(1) \, , \quad (B.16) \]

b.c. 2nd \( \rightarrow (9) \oplus (7) \oplus (5) \oplus (3) \oplus (1) \, . \quad (B.17) \]

The remaining marginal operators after being eaten by the broken currents are

1st \( \rightarrow (5) \oplus (4) x^{-3} \oplus 3(3) (x^3 + x^{-3}) \oplus (1) x^6 \oplus (1) \, , \quad (B.18) \]

2nd \( \rightarrow (9) \oplus (5) \oplus 2(1) \, . \quad (B.19) \]

We see that we are left with one singlet in the first case and two singlets in the second case, and thus there is a 1d subspace of the conformal manifold preserving U(1) \( \times \) SU(2) symmetry and a 2d subspace preserving only a different SU(2) symmetry.
Looking at the remaining operators we see various representations under the remaining symmetries that break them completely, leaving us with a $16 \oplus 3 = 13$ dimensional conformal manifold on which no symmetry is generically preserving. In the end of this process we are left only with exactly marginal operators, and the full conformal manifold is found.

**Yet another example.** In this example we look at the eighth case of table 12. This is a theory of a USp(4) gauge symmetry with one adjoint chiral field, three chirals in the two index traceless antisymmetric representation and six fundamental chirals. One can verify that it obeys (3.2). The symmetry at the free point is $U(1) \times SU(3) \times SU(6)$, and we assign charges under the non-anomalous $U(1)$ groups as follows. We assign charge $(1,0)$ to the adjoint chiral, $(0,1)$ to the antisymmetric chirals and $(-1,-1)$ to the fundamental chirals under $U(1)_x \times U(1)_y$. The marginal operators and their representations under the flavor symmetries are

\[
A = S^2 \times AS \sim (3, 1) x^2 y \\
B = S \times AS^2 \sim (\bar{3}, 1) xy^2 \\
C = S \times F^2 \sim (1, 21) x^{-1} y^{-2} \\
D = AS \times F^2 \sim (3, 15) x^{-2} y^{-1}.
\]

(B.20)

There are two invariants under the abelian symmetries given by the operators $AD$ and $BC$. An invariant under the non-abelian symmetries can be constructed by taking two copies of $AD$ and four copies of $BC$ all with symmetric contractions. In total the invariant operator is $A^2 B^4 C^4 D^2$.

We have both the symmetric and antisymmetric representation under the $SU(6)$ flavor symmetry group, and thus we expect the breaking of $SU(6) \rightarrow SU(n) \times SU(6 - n) \times U(1)$ where one of the special unitary subgroups will be broken to a symplectic group by the antisymmetric representation and the other to an orthogonal group by the symmetric representation. The initial breaking is determined by the relation between the numbers of the two representations in the invariant operator. In our case it is $SU(6) \rightarrow SU(4) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_a$ where the $SU(4)$ is broken to $SO(4)$, which we take to be $SU(2)^2$, and the $SU(2)$ remains unbroken as it is the same as $Sp(2)$. As for the $SU(3)$, it is broken by the fundamentals as $SU(3) \rightarrow SU(2) \times U(1)_a$. The marginal operators under this breaking are

\[
(3, 1) x^2 y + (\bar{3}, 1) xy^2 + (1, 21) x^{-1} y^{-2} + (3, 15) x^{-2} y^{-1} \\
\rightarrow (1, 1, 1, 1) x^2 y z^{-2} + (2, 1, 1, 1) x^2 y z + (1, 1, 1, 1) xy^2 z^2 \\
+ (2, 1, 1, 1) xy^2 z^{-1} + (1, 1, 1, 1) x^{-1} y^{-2} a^{-4} + (1, 2, 2, 2) x^{-1} y^{-2} a^{-1} \\
+ (1, 3, 3, 1) x^{-1} y^{-2} a^{-2} + (1, 1, 1, 1) x^{-1} y^{-2} a^{2} \\
+ (1, 1, 1, 1) x^{-2} y^{-1} z^{-2} a^{-4} + (1, 2, 2, 2) x^{-2} y^{-1} z^{-2} a^{-1} \\
+ (1, 3, 3, 1) x^{-2} y^{-1} z^{-2} a^{-2} + (1, 1, 1, 1) x^{-2} y^{-1} z^{-2} a^{2} \\
+ (2, 1, 1, 1) x^{-2} y^{-1} z a^{-4} + (2, 2, 2, 2) x^{-2} y^{-1} z a^{-1} \\
+ (2, 3, 1, 1) x^{-2} y^{-1} z a^{-2} + (2, 1, 3, 1) x^{-2} y^{-1} z a^{2}.
\]

(B.21)
Here the symmetries are broken as $3_{\text{SU}(3)} \rightarrow z (2, 1, 1, 1) + \frac{1}{z^2}$, $6_{\text{SU}(6)} \rightarrow a (1, 2, 2, 1) + \frac{1}{a^2} (1, 1, 1, 2)$. We have underlined four operators that are singlets under the non-abelian parts of the preserved global symmetry, each one a component of one of the four marginal operators $A$, $B$, $C$ and $D$. These should represent the components we expect to be turned on when going on the subspace corresponding to the invariant combination that we found, and indeed these have a quotient under the $U(1)$ symmetries. As the symmetry preserved by the subspace must be such that these operators transform as a singlet under it, we see that some of the $U(1)$ groups need to be identified with one another. In this case the required identifications are

$$x^2yz^{-2} = xy^2z^2 = x^{-1}y^{-2}a^2 = x^{-2}y^{-1}z^{-2}a^{-4} = 1 \Rightarrow x = z^{-2}, \ y = z^2, \ a = z^{-1}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.22)

Breaking the symmetry further according to the identification above, the marginal operators are

$$\rightarrow 4 (1, 1, 1, 1) \oplus (2, 1, 1, 1) (2z^3 + z^{-3}) \oplus (1, 2, 2, 2) (z^3 + z^{-3})$$
$$\oplus (1, 1, 1, 3) z^6 \oplus (1, 3, 3, 1) \oplus (1, 3, 1, 1) z^{-6} \oplus (1, 1, 3, 1) z^{-6}$$
$$\oplus (2, 2, 2, 2) \oplus (2, 3, 1, 1) z^{-3} \oplus (2, 1, 3, 1) z^{-3}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.23)

Next we write down the broken currents

$$b.c. = 3 (1, 1, 1, 1) \oplus (2, 1, 1, 1) (z^3 + z^{-3}) \oplus (1, 2, 2, 2) (z^3 + z^{-3}) \oplus (1, 3, 3, 1)$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.24)

Here the first term comes from the fact we lost three abelian symmetries in the above identification, the second term comes from the breaking of $\text{SU}(3) \rightarrow \text{SU}(2) \times U(1)_a$ and the last two come from the breaking of $\text{SU}(6) \rightarrow \text{SO}(4) \times \text{USp}(2) \times U(1)_a$.

The remaining marginal operators after being eaten by the broken currents

$$\rightarrow (1, 1, 1, 1) \oplus (1, 1, 1, 3) z^6 \oplus (1, 3, 3, 1) z^{-6} \oplus (1, 1, 3, 1) z^{-6}$$
$$\oplus (2, 1, 1, 1) z^3 \oplus (2, 2, 2, 2) \oplus (2, 3, 1, 1) z^{-3} \oplus (2, 1, 3, 1) z^{-3}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.25)

We see that we are left with one singlet, meaning one exactly marginal operator, and thus there is a 1d subspace on the conformal manifold preserving $U(1) \times SU(2)^4$ global symmetry.

Looking at the remaining operators one can continue and generate singlets breaking the symmetry completely as there are multiple representations under each $SU(2)$. The end result is thus

$$1 \oplus 3 \oplus 3 \oplus 3 \oplus 2 \oplus 16 \oplus 6 \oplus 6 \oplus 4 \times 3 \oplus 1 = 27.$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.26)

The result is a 27 dimensional conformal manifold preserving no global symmetry on a generic point. In the end of this process we are left only with exactly marginal operators, and the full conformal manifold is found.
B.2.2 Cases with marginally irrelevant operators due to unbreakable symmetries

So far all the examples we discussed with a Kähler quotient had either exactly marginal operators or marginally irrelevant operators that are eaten by broken symmetries. However, there can be cases where there is a conformal manifold, but we have marginally irrelevant operators due to them being charged under a symmetry under which there is no quotient. These cases then look like a combination of the previous cases in this subsection, and the cases of the previous subsection. Like the previous cases in this subsection, they have a non-trivial quotient, and so a conformal manifold, but when going on it, the space of marginal operators that we can turn on eventually resembles that of the cases in the previous subsection. In these cases, the dimension of the conformal manifold is not equal to the number of marginal operators minus the number of broken currents. Like in the previous subsection, the eventual lack of a quotient can be due to the abelian symmetries, the non-abelian symmetries or both.

As a simple example of this case, we look at the fourth case of table 5. The theory here is an SU($N$) gauge theory with a single symmetric chiral field, a single chiral field in the conjugate antisymmetric, $2N - 4$ fundamental chiral fields and $2N + 4$ anti-fundamental chiral fields. This theory has $U(1)^3 \times SU(2N - 4) \times SU(2N + 4)$ global symmetry. We assign charges under the non-anomalous $U(1)$ groups as follows. We assign charges $(N - 2, 0, 2)$ to the symmetric chiral, $(-N - 2, 0, 2)$ to the conjugate antisymmetric chiral, $(0, N + 2, -1)$ to the fundamental chirals and $(0, -N + 2, -1)$ to the anti-fundamental chirals under $U(1)_a \times U(1)_b \times U(1)_c$. The marginal operators and their representations under the flavor symmetries are

\[
A = \overline{\Delta S} \times F^2 \sim ((N - 2)(2N - 5), 1) b^{2(N+2)} a^{-(N+2)}
\]

\[
B = S \times F^2 \sim (1, (N + 2)(2N + 5)) b^{-2(N-2)} a^{N-2},
\]

where we assume that $N > 6$. We shall relax that assumption later. The interesting feature in this model is that the two marginal operators are charged only under a single combination of the $U(1)$ groups. We can form a non-abelian invariant from both operators by taking the determinant of the antisymmetric or symmetric matrix. As a result the operator $A^{2(N-2)} B^{2(N+2)}$ is invariant under the full global symmetry, and a quotient exists. Since we are inserting an operator in the symmetric representation of $SU(2N + 4)$ and another in the antisymmetric representation of $SU(2N - 4)$, the former global symmetry then is broken to $SO(2N + 4)$, while the latter is broken to USp$(2N - 4)$. Similarly, $U(1)_a$ and $U(1)_b$ are broken to the combination defined by $a = b^2$. We can next decompose the operators into representations of the preserved global symmetry, write down the broken currents and identify the marginally irrelevant operators, similarly to what was done in the previous examples. One then finds that there is only one exactly marginal operator, the rest eaten by the broken symmetries. As this is similar to the previous examples, we will not present the analysis in detail here.

The different aspect enters when we consider cases with $N < 7$. In these cases there are additional marginal operators so we might expect there to be a larger conformal manifold.
Table 33. Matter content and assigned charges for an SU(6) gauge theory.

However, with the exception of $N = 3$, in all other cases these additional operators are actually marginally irrelevant as these are charged under the remaining U(1) groups, such that there is no quotient. For instance, consider $N = 6$. The additional marginal operator in this case is

$$C = \overline{A S}^3 \sim (1, 1) c^6 a^{-24},$$

and there is indeed no quotient.

In the example we have shown above, the unbreakable symmetries were one of the intrinsic U(1) symmetries, and so the issue was readily apparent. However, there are cases where the unbreakable symmetry is some combination of the abelian and non-abelian symmetries, and its existence is not immediately apparent. As an example, we look at the sixth case of table 3. This is a theory of an SU(6) gauge theory with one adjoint chiral, two antisymmetric chirals, one conjugate antisymmetric chiral, five fundamental chirals and seven anti-fundamental chirals. One can verify it obeys (3.1) and (3.2). The symmetry of the free point is $U(1)_4 \times SU(2) \times SU(5) \times SU(7)$, and we assign charges under the non-anomalous U(1) groups as shown in table 33.

The marginal operators and their representations under the flavor symmetries are

$$A = Ad^3 \sim (1, 1, 1) z^{15} a^{15}$$
$$B = Ad \times F \times \overline{F} \sim (1, 5, 7) x^2 z^{-3} a$$
$$C = Ad \times \overline{A S} \times \overline{A S} \sim (2, 1, 1) y^{-1} z^3 a^{-2}$$
$$D = A S \times F^2 \sim (2, 1, 2\overline{1}) x^{-10} y z^{-20} a^{-3}$$
$$E = \overline{A S} \times F^2 \sim (1, 10, 1) x^{14} y z^4 a^{-12}$$
$$G = A S^3 \sim (4, 1, 1) y^3 a^{-9}$$
$$I = \overline{A S}^3 \sim (1, 1, 1) y^{-6} a^{-12}.$$

There are several invariants one can build under the abelian symmetries, and we will focus on the operators $A B^5 C^4 D G$ and $A^3 B C^2 D^3 E^2 G$.

One invariant under the non-abelian symmetries can be constructed from one copy of $A B^5 C^4 D G$, by contracting the indices of both the SU(5) and SU(7) groups in $B^5$ with the respective epsilon tensors to create an invariant under the SU(5) and the $21$ representation under SU(7). The latter can then be contracted with the $2 \overline{1}$ of SU(7) in $D$. Taking $C$ to the fourth symmetric power gives the $5$ representation of SU(2) that can be contracted with the $2$ and $4$ representations to generate an SU(2) invariant.
Another invariant under the non-abelian symmetries can be constructed from one copy of $A^3BC^2D^3E^2G$. This is again done by contracting the indices with the epsilon tensor, where for $SU(5)$ we use the two antisymmetric representations in $E^2$ and the single fundamental in $B$, which is also used for the $SU(7)$ with the three conjugate antisymmetric representations in $D^3$. Finally for the $SU(2)$, we can multiply the 3 coming from $C^2$, with the two 4 representations coming from $D^3$ and $G$ to form an $SU(2)$ singlet.

In the invariant operator $AB^5C^4DG$, we use an $SU(5) \times SU(7)$ baryon, implying that a diagonal $SU(5)$ is conserved out of the one coming from the breaking of $SU(7) \rightarrow SU(5) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_c$ and the intrinsic $SU(5)$. As for the intrinsic $SU(2)$, the presence of operators charged only under it imply that the $SU(2)$ is at least broken to $U(1)_b$. In the invariant operator $A^3BC^2D^3E^2G$ we use only symmetric contractions, so we don’t expect diagonal combinations of groups. The use of a single $SU(5) \times SU(7)$ bifundamental implies these break as $SU(5) \rightarrow SU(4) \times U(1)_c$ and $SU(7) \rightarrow SU(6) \times U(1)_d$. In addition the presence of operators in the antisymmetric representations under the $SU(5)$ and $SU(7)$ groups imply further breakings as $SU(6) \rightarrow USp(6)$ and $SU(4) \rightarrow USp(4)$. The intrinsic $SU(2)$ is at least broken to $U(1)_b$ for the same reasons mentioned before. The marginal operators under the two breakings

\[
\begin{align*}
(1, 1, 1) z^{15} a^{15} &+ (1, 5, 7) x^{2} z^{-3} a^{+} + (2, 1, 1) y^{-1} z^{-5} a^{-2} + (2, 1, 21) x^{-10} y z^{-20} a^{-3} \\
&+ (1, 10, 1) x^{14} y^{-2} z^{-4} a^{-12} + (4, 1, 1) y^{3} a^{-9} + (1, 1, 1) y^{-6} a^{-12} \\
1st \rightarrow (1, 1) z^{15} a^{15} &+ (24 + 1, 1) x^{2} z^{-3} a c^{-2} + (5, 2) x^{2} z^{-3} a c^{5} \\
&+ (1, 1) y^{-1} z^{-5} a^{-2} (b + b^{-1}) + (10, 1) x^{-10} y z^{-20} a^{-3} (b + b^{-1}) c^{-4} \\
&+ (5, 2) x^{-10} y z^{-20} a^{-3} (b + b^{-1}) c^{3} + (1, 1) x^{-10} y z^{-20} a^{-3} (b + b^{-1}) c^{10} \\
&+ (10, 1) x^{14} y^{-2} z^{-4} a^{-12} + (1, 1) y^{3} a^{-9} (b^{3} + b + b^{-1} + b^{-3}) + (1, 1) y^{-6} a^{-12}, \\
2nd \rightarrow (1, 1) z^{15} a^{15} &+ (4, 6) x^{2} z^{-3} a c^{-1} + (4, 1) x^{2} z^{-3} a c d^{-6} + (1, 6) x^{2} z^{-3} a c^{-4} d^{-1} \\
&+ (1, 1) x^{2} z^{-3} a c^{-4} d^{-6} + (1, 1) y^{-1} z^{-5} a^{-2} (b + b^{-1}) \\
&+ (1, 14 + 1) x^{-10} y z^{-20} a^{-3} (b + b^{-1}) d^{-2} + (1, 6) x^{-10} y z^{-20} a^{-3} (b + b^{-1}) d^{5} \\
&+ (4, 1) x^{14} y^{-2} z^{-4} a^{-12} c^{-3} + (5 + 1, 1) x^{14} y^{-2} z^{-4} a^{-12} c^{2} \\
&+ (1, 1) y^{3} a^{-9} (b^{3} + b + b^{-1} + b^{-3}) + (1, 1) y^{-6} a^{-12},
\end{align*}
\]

where we underlined the operators containing singlets under the non-abelian symmetries. These are designated to be the marginal operators inserted in the superpotential, and as such have a quotient under the abelian groups, in accordance with the invariant operators we found. Here, in the first case we have used the breaking $\overrightarrow{7}_{SU(7)} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (5, 1) + e^{5} (1, 2)$, $2_{SU(7)} \rightarrow b + \frac{1}{b}$ while in the second we have used the breaking $\overrightarrow{7}_{SU(7)} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1, 6) + d^{6} (1, 1)$, $5_{SU(5)} \rightarrow c (4, 1) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1, 1), 2_{SU(7)} \rightarrow b + \frac{1}{b}$. Finally, we need to identify some of the abelian symmetries with one another so that the underlined operators become true singlets. This will give us the abelian symmetry preserved by these operators. For the first operator
we find the identifications
\[ z^{15}a^{15} = x^2z^{-3}a^{-2} = y^{-1}z^5a^{-2}b = x^{-10}yz^{-20}a^{-3}b^{-1}c^{10} = y^3a^{-9}b^{-3} = 1 \]
\[ \Rightarrow z = a = 1, \ b = y, \ c = x. \quad \text{(B.32)} \]

For the second operator \( A^3BC^2D^3E^2G \) we find the identifications
\[ z^{15}a^{15} = x^2z^{-3}ac^{-4}d^6 = y^{-1}z^5a^{-2}b = x^{-10}yz^{-20}a^{-3}b^{-1}d^{-2} \]
\[ = x^{14}y^{-2}z^4a^{-12}c^2 = y^3a^{-9}b = 1 \]
\[ \Rightarrow z = y^{-2}, \ a = y^2, \ b = y^{15}, \ c = x^{-7}y^{17}, \ d = x^{-5}y^{10}. \quad \text{(B.33)} \]

Breaking the symmetry further according to the identification above, the marginal operators are
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{1st} & \rightarrow (1, 1) (y^6 + y^4 + 2y^2 + 5 + y^{-2} + y^{-6}) \oplus (24, 1) \oplus (5, 2) x^7 \\
& \quad \oplus (5, 2) x^{-7} (y^2 + 1) \oplus (10, 1) x^{14}y^{-2} \oplus (\overline{10}, 1) x^{-14} (y^2 + 1), \\
\text{2nd} & \rightarrow (1, 1) (2y^{30} + 6 + 3y^{-30} + y^{-60}) \oplus (4, 6) y^{15} \oplus (1, 14) (y^{30} + 1) \oplus (5, 1) \\
& \quad \oplus (4, 1) (x^{-35}y^{85} + x^{35}y^{-85}) \oplus (1, 6) (x^{35}y^{70} + x^{-35}y^{100} + x^{-35}y^{70}).
\end{align*}
\]

Next we write down the broken currents
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{b.c.}^{1\text{st}} & \rightarrow (1, 1) (y^6 + 4 + y^{-2}) \oplus (24, 1) \oplus (5, 2) x^7 \oplus (5, 2) x^{-7}, \\
\text{b.c.}^{2\text{nd}} & \rightarrow (1, 1) (y^{30} + 5 + y^{-30}) \oplus (5, 1) \oplus (1, 14) \\
& \quad \oplus (4, 1) (x^{-35}y^{85} + x^{35}y^{-85}) \oplus (1, 6) (x^{-35}y^{70} + x^{35}y^{-70}).
\end{align*}
\]

The remaining marginal operators after being eaten by the broken currents
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{1st} & \rightarrow (1, 1) \oplus (1, 1) (y^6 + y^4 + y^2 + y^{-6}) \oplus (5, 2) x^{-7}y^2 \\
& \quad \oplus (10, 1) x^{14}y^{-2} \oplus (\overline{10}, 1) x^{-14} (y^2 + 1), \\
\text{2nd} & \rightarrow (1, 1) \oplus (1, 1) (y^{30} + 2y^{-30} + y^{-60}) \\
& \quad \oplus (4, 6) y^{15} \oplus (1, 14) y^{30} \oplus (1, 6) x^{-35}y^{100}.
\end{align*}
\]

We see that we are left with one singlet in each case, meaning one exactly marginal operator, and thus there is a 1d subspace on the conformal manifold preserving \( U(1)^2 \times SU(2) \times SU(5) \) symmetry and a 1d subspace preserving \( U(1)^2 \times USp(4) \times USp(6) \) symmetry.

Looking at the remaining operators of the first case one can easily see that we can generate another singlet by combining the two operators \( (1, 1) y^6 \) and \( (1, 1) y^{-6} \) breaking \( U(1)_y \). The remaining operators after the symmetry breaking and the subtraction of the broken current are
\[ 4(1, 1) \oplus (5, 2) x^{-7} \oplus 2(\overline{10}, 1) x^{-14} \oplus (10, 1) x^{14}. \quad \text{(B.40)} \]

Thus, we have additional three directions preserving \( U(1) \times SU(2) \times SU(5) \) together with the one we already found preserving a higher amount of symmetry.
Next, we can break $U(1) \times SU(2) \times SU(5) \to U(1) \times SU(2) \times (USp(4) \times U(1)_{a'})$ and identify $a' = x^{-7}$ in a similar procedure to the one we did before to find

$$6 \left(1, 1\right) \oplus 2 \left(5, 1\right) \oplus \left(4, 2\right) \oplus \left(4, 1\right) x^{-35} \oplus \left(1, 2\right) x^{-35}, \quad (B.41)$$

giving two additional directions preserving $U(1) \times SU(2) \times USp(4)$. One can continue breaking the symmetry as follows $U(1) \times SU(2) \times USp(4) \to U(1) \times SU(2) \times SU(2)^2 \to U(1) \times SU(2)^3 \to U(1) \times SU(2)^2 \to U(1) \times SU(2) \to U(1)^2$ and find the remaining operators

$$12 \oplus 3x^{-35} \left(y' + y'^{-1}\right). \quad (B.42)$$

Thus, we find a 12 dimensional conformal manifold preserving $U(1)^2$ on a general point. We cannot break the symmetry any more because we are left only with exactly marginal operators and operators that cannot combine to form a singlet of the flavor symmetry. The latter ones are then marginally irrelevant operators. We note that the $U(1)$ symmetry responsible for the irrelevancy is a non-trivial combination of the intrinsic $U(1)$ groups and Cartan elements in the non-abelian groups, given by the identifications in (B.32) and $a' = x^{-7}$. The presence of such symmetries may not be immediately apparent, but can be uncovered through the process of successive breakings as done here. Finally, we note that we can get to the same end result, (B.42), also by successive breakings from the second 1d subspace we found.

### B.2.3 Cases with a complex subspace structure

We have seen by now various cases defined for a group with variable rank where the existence and behavior of the conformal manifold depends on the rank. Usually, this is due to the existence of additional operators at special ranks. Occasionally, this is due to some marginal operators becoming singlets under some symmetries at special ranks. Interestingly, there are also cases where there is a conformal manifold for every rank, sometimes also with the same dimension and generically preserved symmetry for every rank, but the subspace structure of the conformal manifold is sensitive to the rank of the gauge group. This occurs where the identity of the independent invariants, but not necessarily their number, depends on the rank. The existence of these subspaces is important when considering quiver theories, as then it might be necessary to know which symmetries can be gauged without completely lifting the conformal manifold, and so it is useful to also consider these details.

As an example we consider case 9 in table 13. This is a theory of a USp(2N) gauge theory with three antisymmetric traceless chiral fields, and twelve fundamental chiral fields. The symmetry of the free point is $U(1)_c \times SU(3) \times SU(12)$, and we assign charges under the non-anomalous $U(1)_c$ group as follows. We take the antisymmetric chiral fields to have charge 2, and the fundamental chiral fields to have charge $-(N - 1)$.

We then have the following marginal operators

$$A = AS^3 \sim (10, 1) c^6$$

$$B = AS \times F^2 \sim (3, 66) c^{-2(N-2)}. \quad (B.43)$$
Here, we have assumed that $N > 2$. The case of $N = 2$ is special as then the operator $A$ does not exist, and we will not consider it in detail here.

As there is only one $U(1)$ group, it is straightforward to find abelian invariants. The more interesting question, is finding the non-abelian invariants. From $A$, we can build the two $SU(3)$ invariants $A^4$ and $A^6$. These are positively charged under $U(1)_c$, and as $B$ is negatively charged under $U(1)_c$, if we can find a non-abelian singlet made just from it then there is a quotient. Indeed, the combination $B^{12}$ contains a singlet, where the $SU(3)$ indices are contracted with the epsilon tensor to form an $SU(3)$ invariant, and the $SU(6)$ indices are contracted in a mixed symmetry product given by the Young diagram made from three rows of four boxes each. This ensures that the full product is symmetric. We then see that there is indeed a quotient, and using similar methods as in the previous sections, one can show that there is a 56 dimensional conformal manifold, on a generic point of which the global symmetry is completely broken, regardless of $N$.

An interesting question then is what symmetries can be preserved on special subspaces. As we are inserting a marginal operator in the $10$ of $SU(3)$, it should be broken at least to its Cartan. The effect of $B$ is subtler. Generically, a single operator in the antisymmetric of an $SU(2k)$ group breaks that group to $USp(2k)$. If there are two independent operators in the antisymmetric representation of $SU(2k)$ group, then that group is broken to $USp(2n) \times USp(2k - 2n)$, and so forth. In this case, we needed to use a third rank antisymmetric contraction for the $SU(6)$ indices in $B$, due to the need to make the operator $SU(3)$ invariant. This suggests that the breaking pattern is $SU(12) \rightarrow USp(2k) \times USp(2l) \times USp(12 - 2k - 2l)$ for some numbers $k$ and $l$. However, we can ask whether it is possible to preserve more symmetry, specifically, whether we can preserve the full $USp(12)$ subgroup of $SU(12)$.

In order to have such a subspace, we need to form the quotient using the totally symmetric, determinant type, invariant for the antisymmetric of $SU(6)$. We then consider the operator $B^6$, and contract the $SU(6)$ indices fully symmetrically. This then forces us to contract also the $SU(3)$ indices in the fully symmetric matter, which leads to the six index symmetric tensor representation of $SU(3)$. To form an $SU(3)$ invariant, we multiply this with the operator $A^6$, which contains the conjugate six index symmetric tensor representation. The resulting operator has the $U(1)_c$ charge $-12(N - 4)$. We then have three possible behaviors depending on the value of $N$. If $N > 4$ then the operator we found has negative charge and together with the $SU(3)$ invariant $A^3$, we have a quotient. In these cases there is a subspace of the conformal manifold preserving the $USp(12)$ subgroup of $SU(12)$. If $N = 4$ then it is invariant under the full global symmetry, and gives a quotient by itself. We then expect a $1d$ subspace, spanned by this combination, preserving $U(1) \times USp(12)$, where the extra $U(1)$ is expected as the quotient requires one operator less and so we expect one less marginally irrelevant operator and one more conserved current. Finally, if $N < 4$ then this operator is also positively charged and there is no quotient of this type, implying that we can not preserve the $USp(12)$ subgroup of $SU(12)$ on any subspace on the conformal manifold.

Finally, it is possible to show that we can get a quotient fixing two independent antisymmetric matrices for any $N > 2$, so in those cases the minimal number of $USp$ subgroups
of SU(12) that can be preserved is two. For $N = 2$, as we do not have the operator $A$, we must make an invariant from $B$ alone and so the minimal number of $USp$ subgroups of SU(12) that can be preserved is three. The $N = 2$ case also has various other special subspaces preserving various subgroups of SU(3) $\times$ SU(12), see [28] for a detailed example of one such subspace.

C Lists of models with vanishing beta function

In this appendix we summarize all possible strictly $N = 1$ solutions of conditions (3.1) and (3.2). For the complete list, these should be supplemented by the $N = 2$ and $N = 4$ solutions in [31]. The list is organized by gauge group and matter representation, in the same vein as done in the bulk of the paper.

C.1 Special unitary groups

Here we summarize solutions when the gauge group is SU($N$).

C.1.1 Cases with two adjoints

Here we summarize solutions when the matter content contains two chiral fields in the adjoint representation. The possible solutions to conditions (3.1) and (3.2) for generic $N$ are:

1. $N_F = N_{\mathcal{T}} = N$
2. $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_F = 3$, $N_{\mathcal{T}} = N - 1$
3. $N_{AS} = N_{\mathcal{AS}} = 1$, $N_F = N_{\mathcal{T}} = 2$

Here we have suppressed the two adjoints for brevity, but we remind the reader that they are also part of the matter content. Also, for chiral choices there are two possibilities given by complex conjugation and we have only written one, as they are physically the same differing merely by redefining the SU($N$) generators.

Besides these, there are several solutions that exist only for small $N$:

1. $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_F = 6 - N$, $N_{\mathcal{T}} = N - 2$, $N = 5, 6$
2. $N_{AS} = 3$, $N_F = N_{\mathcal{T}} = 1$, $N = 4$
3. $N_{AS} = 4$, $N = 4$
4. $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_{\mathcal{AS}} = 1$, $N_{\mathcal{T}} = 1$, $N = 5$

Here we have only listed cases that do not reduce to one of the generic families.

C.1.2 Cases with a single adjoint

Here we summarize solutions when the matter content contains one chiral field in the adjoint representation, but that only have $N = 1$ supersymmetry. The possible solutions to conditions (3.1) and (3.2) for generic $N$ are then:

1. $N_S = N_{\mathcal{T}} = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_F = 1$, $N_{\mathcal{T}} = N - 3$
2. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_F = 2N$
3. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_F = N - 4$, $N_F = N + 4$
4. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_F = N - 5$, $N_F = 7$
5. $N_S = 1$, $N_F = N - 3$, $N_F = 2N + 1$
6. $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_F = 5$, $N_F = N + 1$
7. $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_F = 6$, $N_F = 2N - 2$
8. $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_F = N + 3$, $N_F = 2N - 1$

Here we have suppressed the adjoint for brevity, but we remind the reader that it is also part of the matter content. Also, for chiral choices there are two possibilities given by complex conjugation and we have only written one, as they are physically the same differing merely by a redefining the SU($N$) generators. We also note that while for generic $N$ these models have only $N = 1$ supersymmetry, for some this is enhanced to $N = 2$ for low values of $N$.

Besides these, there are several solutions that exist only for small $N$:

1. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 3$, $N_F = N - 6$, $N_F = 10 - N$, $N = 6, 7, 8, 9, 10$
2. $N_{AS} = 7$, $N_F = N_F = 1$, $N = 4$
3. $N_{AS} = 5$, $N_F = 15 - 3N$, $N_F = 2N - 5$, $N = 4, 5$
4. $N_{AS} = 4$, $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_F = 2$, $N = 5$
5. $N_{AS} = 4$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_F = 1$, $N_F = 4$, $N = 5$
6. $N_{AS} = 4$, $N_F = 12 - 2N$, $N_F = 2N - 4$, $N = 5, 6$
7. $N_{AS} = 3$, $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_F = 7 - N$, $N_F = 3$, $N = 5, 6, 7$
8. $N_{AS} = 3$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_F = 8 - N$, $N_F = N$, $N = 5, 6, 7, 8$
9. $N_{AS} = 3$, $N_F = 9 - N$, $N_F = 2N - 3$, $N = 5, 6, 7, 8, 9$

Here we have only listed cases that do not reduce to one of the generic families.

C.1.3 No adjoints, with symmetrics

Here we summarize solutions when the matter content contains chiral fields in the symmetric representation, but no chiral fields in the adjoint representation. The possible solutions to conditions (3.1) and (3.2) for generic $N$ are:

1. $N_S = 2$, $N_S = 2$, $N_F = N - 4$, $N_F = N - 4$
2. $N_S = 2$, $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_F = N - 7$, $N_F = 5$
3. $N_S = 2$, $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_F = N - 6$, $N_F = N + 2$
4. $N_S = 2$, $N_S = 1$, $N_F = N - 5$, $N_F = 2N - 1$
5. $N_S = 2$, $N_{AS} = 3$, $N_F = N - 9$, $N_F = 11$
6. $N_S = 2$, $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_F = N - 8$, $N_F = N + 8$
7. $N_S = 2$, $N_{\frac{AS}{3}} = 1$, $N_F = N - 7$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 2N + 5$
8. $N_S = 2$, $N_F = N - 6$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 3N + 2$
9. $N_S = 1$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 1$, $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_{\frac{AS}{3}} = 2$, $N_F = 2$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 2$
10. $N_S = 1$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 1$, $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_{\frac{AS}{3}} = 1$, $N_F = 3$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = N - 1$
11. $N_S = 1$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 1$, $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_F = 4$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 2N - 4$
12. $N_S = 1$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_{\frac{AS}{3}} = 1$, $N_F = N$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = N$
13. $N_S = 1$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_F = N + 1$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 2N - 3$
14. $N_S = 1$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 1$, $N_F = 2N - 2$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 2N - 2$
15. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_{\frac{AS}{3}} = 3$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 8$
16. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_{\frac{AS}{3}} = 2$, $N_F = 1$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = N + 5$
17. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_{\frac{AS}{3}} = 1$, $N_F = 2$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 2N + 2$
18. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_F = 3$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 3N - 1$
19. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_{\frac{AS}{3}} = 3$, $N_F = N - 3$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 9$
20. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_{\frac{AS}{3}} = 2$, $N_F = N - 2$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = N + 6$
21. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_{\frac{AS}{3}} = 1$, $N_F = N - 1$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 2N + 3$
22. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_F = N$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 3N$
23. $N_S = 1$, $N_{\frac{AS}{3}} = 3$, $N_F = 2N - 6$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 10$
24. $N_S = 1$, $N_{\frac{AS}{3}} = 2$, $N_F = 2N - 5$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = N + 7$
25. $N_S = 1$, $N_{\frac{AS}{3}} = 2$, $N_F = 2N - 4$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 2N + 4$
26. $N_S = 1$, $N_F = 2N - 3$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 3N + 1$

As previously, for chiral choices there are two possibilities given by complex conjugation
and we have only written one, as they are physically the same, differing merely by redefining
the SU(N) generators.

Besides these, there are several solutions that exist only for small $N$:

1. $N_S = 2$, $N_{\frac{AS}{3}} = 4$, $N_F = N - 10$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 14 - N$, $N = 10, 11, 12, 13, 14$
2. $N_S = 2$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 1$, $N_{\frac{AS}{3}} = 3$, $N = 8$
3. $N_S = 1$, $N_{\frac{AS}{3}} = 3$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 7 - N$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 2N - 5$, $N = 5, 6, 7$
4. $N_S = 1$, $N_{\frac{AS}{3}} = 3$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 4$, $N = 5$
5. $N_S = 1$, $N_{\frac{AS}{3}} = 5$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 1$, $N = 4$
6. $N_S = 1$, $N_{\frac{AS}{3}} = 6$, $N = 4$
7. $N_S = 1$, $N_{\frac{AS}{3}} = 3$, $N_{\frac{AS}{3}} = 1$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 6 - N$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = N - 2$, $N = 5, 6$
8. $N_S = 1$, $N_{\frac{AS}{3}} = 3$, $N_{\frac{AS}{3}} = 2$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 1$, $N = 5$
9. $N_S = 1$, $N_{\frac{AS}{3}} = 3$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 6 - N$, $N_{\frac{F}{T}} = 3N - 2$, $N = 5, 6$
10. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 3$, $N_{\overline{AS}} = 1$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 11$, $N = 5$

11. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_{\overline{AS}} = 4$, $N_{\overline{F}} = N - 4$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 12 - N$, $N = 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12$

12. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_{\overline{AS}} = 5$, $N_{\overline{F}} = N - 5$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 15 - 2N$, $N = 5, 6, 7$

13. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_{\overline{AS}} = 6$, $N = 6$

14. $N_S = 1$, $N_{\overline{AS}} = 4$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 2N - 7$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 13 - N$, $N = 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13$

15. $N_S = 1$, $N_{\overline{AS}} = 5$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 2N - 8$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 16 - 2N$, $N = 5, 6, 7, 8$

16. $N_S = 1$, $N_{\overline{AS}} = 6$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 2N - 9$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 19 - 3N$, $N = 5, 6$

17. $N_S = 1$, $N_{\overline{AS}} = 7$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 2$, $N = 5$

Here we have only listed cases that do not reduce to one of the generic families.

C.1.4 No adjoints, no symmetrics, but antisymmetrics and fundamentals

Here we summarize solutions when the matter content contains chiral fields in the fundamental and antisymmetric representations (and their conjugates), but no chiral fields in any other representation. The possible solutions to conditions (3.1) and (3.2) for generic $N$ are:

1. $N_{AS} = N_{\overline{AS}} = 3$, $N_{\overline{F}} = N_{\overline{F}} = 6$

2. $N_{AS} = 3$, $N_{\overline{AS}} = 2$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 7$, $N_{\overline{F}} = N + 3$

3. $N_{AS} = N_{\overline{AS}} = 2$, $N_{\overline{F}} = N_{\overline{F}} = N + 4$

4. $N_{AS} = 3$, $N_{\overline{AS}} = 1$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 8$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 2N$

5. $N_{AS} = 3$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 9$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 3N - 3$

6. $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_{\overline{AS}} = 1$, $N_{\overline{F}} = N + 5$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 2N + 1$

7. $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_{\overline{F}} = N + 6$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 3N - 2$

8. $N_{AS} = N_{\overline{AS}} = 1$, $N_{\overline{F}} = N_{\overline{F}} = 2N + 2$

9. $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 2N + 3$, $N_{\overline{F}} = 3N - 1$

10. $N_{\overline{F}} = N_{\overline{F}} = 3N$
As previously, for chiral choices there are two possibilities given by complex conjugation and we have only written one, as they are physically the same differing merely by a redefining the SU($N$) generators.

Besides these, there are several solutions that exist only for small $N$:

1. $N_{AS} = 12$, $N = 4$
2. $N_{AS} = 11$, $N_F = N_T = 1$, $N = 4$
3. $N_{AS} = N_{AS}^{FS} = 5$, $N_F = N_T = 10 - 2N$, $N = 4, 5$
4. $N_{AS} = 5$, $N_{AS}^{FS} = 4$, $N_F = 11 - 2N$, $N_T = 7 - N$, $N = 4, 5$
5. $N_{AS} = 6$, $N_{AS}^{FS} = 3$, $N_T = 3$, $N = 5$
6. $N_{AS} = N_{AS}^{FS} = 4$, $N_F = N_T = 8 - N$, $N = 4, 5, 6, 7, 8$
7. $N_{AS} = 5$, $N_{AS}^{FS} = 3$, $N_F = 12 - 2N$, $N_T = 4$, $N = 5, 6$
8. $N_{AS} = 6$, $N_{AS}^{FS} = 2$, $N_F = 1$, $N_T = 5$, $N = 5$
9. $N_{AS} = 7$, $N_{AS}^{FS} = 1$, $N_T = 6$, $N = 5$
10. $N_{AS} = 7$, $N_F = 21 - 4N$, $N_T = 3N - 7$, $N = 4, 5$
11. $N_{AS} = 6$, $N_{AS}^{FS} = 1$, $N_F = 2$, $N_T = 7$, $N = 5$
12. $N_{AS} = 5$, $N_{AS}^{FS} = 2$, $N_F = 13 - 2N$, $N_T = N + 1$, $N = 5, 6$
13. $N_{AS} = 4$, $N_{AS}^{FS} = 3$, $N_F = 9 - N$, $N_T = 5$, $N = 5, 6, 7, 8, 9$
14. $N_{AS} = 6$, $N_F = 18 - 3N$, $N_T = 3N - 6$, $N = 5, 6$
15. $N_{AS} = 5$, $N_{AS}^{FS} = 1$, $N_F = 14 - 2N$, $N_T = 2N - 2$, $N = 5, 6, 7$
16. $N_{AS} = 4$, $N_{AS}^{FS} = 2$, $N_F = 10 - N$, $N_T = N + 2$, $N = 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10$
17. $N_{AS} = 5$, $N_F = 15 - 2N$, $N_T = 3N - 5$, $N = 5, 6, 7$
18. $N_{AS} = 4$, $N_{AS}^{FS} = 1$, $N_F = 11 - N$, $N_T = 2N - 1$, $N = 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11$
19. $N_{AS} = 4$, $N_F = 12 - N$, $N_T = 3N - 4$, $N = 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12$

Here we have only listed cases that do not reduce to one of the generic families.

C.1.5 Exotic cases

Here we summarize solutions when the matter content contains chiral fields in at least one of the exotic representations in table 8. The possible solution for equations (3.2) and (3.1) in this case are:

**SU(4).**

1. $N_{20} = 1$, $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_F = 1$
2. $N_{20} = 1$, $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_F = 2$, $N_T = 1$
3. $N_{20} = 1$, $N_S = 1$, $N_F = 3$, $N_T = 2$
4. $N_{20} = 1$, $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_T = 7$
5. $N_{20} = 1, \quad N_{AS} = 1, \quad N_F = 1, \quad N_{\overline{F}} = 8$
6. $N_{20} = 1, \quad N_F = 2, \quad N_{\overline{F}} = 9$
7. $N_{20}' = 1, \quad N_{Ad} = 1$
8. $N_{20}' = 1, \quad N_{AS} = 4$
9. $N_{20}' = 1, \quad N_{AS} = 3, \quad N_F = N_{\overline{F}} = 1$
10. $N_{20}' = 1, \quad N_{AS} = 2, \quad N_F = N_{\overline{F}} = 2$
11. $N_{20}' = 1, \quad N_{AS} = 1, \quad N_F = N_{\overline{F}} = 3$
12. $N_{20}' = 1, \quad N_F = N_{\overline{F}} = 4$

**SU(5).**

1. $N_{45} = 1, \quad N_{\overline{F}} = 6$

**SU(6).**

1. $N_{20} = 6$
2. $N_{20} = 5, \quad N_{AS} = 1, \quad N_{\overline{F}} = 2$
3. $N_{20} = 5, \quad N_F = N_{\overline{F}} = 3$
4. $N_{20} = 4, \quad N_{AS} = 2, \quad N_{\overline{F}} = 4$
5. $N_{20} = 4, \quad N_{AS} = N_{\overline{AS}} = 1, \quad N_F = N_{\overline{F}} = 2$
6. $N_{20} = 4, \quad N_{AS} = 1, \quad N_F = 3, \quad N_{\overline{F}} = 5$
7. $N_{20} = 4, \quad N_F = N_{\overline{F}} = 6$
8. $N_{20} = 3, \quad N_{Ad} = 1, \quad N_{AS} = 1, \quad N_{\overline{F}} = 2$
9. $N_{20} = 3, \quad N_S = N_{\overline{F}} = 1, \quad N_F = N_{\overline{F}} = 1$
10. $N_{20} = 3, \quad N_S = 1, \quad N_{\overline{F}} = 10$
11. $N_{20} = 3, \quad N_{AS} = N_{\overline{AS}} = 2, \quad N_F = N_{\overline{F}} = 1$
12. $N_{20} = 3, \quad N_{AS} = 3, \quad N_{\overline{F}} = 6$
13. $N_{20} = 3, \quad N_{AS} = 2, \quad N_{\overline{AS}} = 1, \quad N_F = 2, \quad N_{\overline{F}} = 4$
14. $N_{20} = 3, \quad N_{AS} = 2, \quad N_F = 3, \quad N_{\overline{F}} = 7$
15. $N_{20} = 3, \quad N_{AS} = N_{\overline{AS}} = 1, \quad N_F = N_{\overline{F}} = 5$
16. $N_{20} = 3, \quad N_{AS} = 1, \quad N_F = 6, \quad N_{\overline{F}} = 8$
17. $N_{20} = 3, \quad N_F = N_{\overline{F}} = 9$
18. $N_{20} = 2, \quad N_{Ad} = 2$
19. $N_{20} = 2, \quad N_{Ad} = 1, \quad N_{AS} = 2, \quad N_{\overline{F}} = 4$
20. $N_{20} = 2, \quad N_{Ad} = 1, \quad N_{AS} = 1, \quad N_F = 3, \quad N_{\overline{F}} = 5$
21. $N_{20} = 2, \quad N_S = N_{\overline{F}} = 1, \quad N_{AS} = N_{\overline{AS}} = 1$
22. \(N_{20} = 2\), \(N_{S} = N_{7} = 1\), \(N_{AS} = 1\), \(N_{F} = 1\), \(N_{T} = 3\)

23. \(N_{20} = 2\), \(N_{S} = N_{7} = 1\), \(N_{F} = N_{T} = 4\)

24. \(N_{20} = 2\), \(N_{S} = 1\), \(N_{AS} = 1\), \(N_{T} = 12\)

25. \(N_{20} = 2\), \(N_{S} = 1\), \(N_{\bar{A}S} = 3\), \(N_{T} = 4\)

26. \(N_{20} = 2\), \(N_{S} = 1\), \(N_{\bar{A}S} = 2\), \(N_{F} = 1\), \(N_{T} = 7\)

27. \(N_{20} = 2\), \(N_{S} = 1\), \(N_{\bar{A}S} = 1\), \(N_{F} = 2\), \(N_{T} = 10\)

28. \(N_{20} = 2\), \(N_{S} = 1\), \(N_{F} = 3\), \(N_{T} = 13\)

29. \(N_{20} = 2\), \(N_{AS} = x\), \(N_{\bar{A}S} = 3\), \(N_{F} = 9 - 3x\), \(N_{T} = 3 - x\), \(x = 0, 1, 2, 3\)

30. \(N_{20} = 2\), \(N_{AS} = x\), \(N_{\bar{A}S} = 2\), \(N_{F} = 10 - 3x\), \(N_{T} = 6 - x\), \(x = 0, 1, 2\)

31. \(N_{20} = 2\), \(N_{AS} = x\), \(N_{\bar{A}S} = 1\), \(N_{F} = 11 - 3x\), \(N_{T} = 9 - x\), \(x = 0, 1\)

32. \(N_{20} = 2\), \(N_{AS} = 4\), \(N_{T} = 8\)

33. \(N_{20} = 2\), \(N_{F} = N_{T} = 12\)

34. \(N_{20} = 1\), \(N_{Ad} = 2\), \(N_{AS} = 1\), \(N_{T} = 2\)

35. \(N_{20} = 1\), \(N_{Ad} = 2\), \(N_{F} = N_{T} = 3\)

36. \(N_{20} = 1\), \(N_{Ad} = 1\), \(N_{S} = 1\), \(N_{T} = 10\)

37. \(N_{20} = 1\), \(N_{Ad} = 1\), \(N_{AS} = x\), \(N_{\bar{A}S} = 2\), \(N_{F} = 7 - 3x\), \(N_{T} = 3 - x\), \(x = 0, 1\)

38. \(N_{20} = 1\), \(N_{Ad} = 1\), \(N_{AS} = 3\), \(N_{T} = 6\)

39. \(N_{20} = 1\), \(N_{Ad} = 1\), \(N_{AS} = 1\), \(N_{F} = 6\), \(N_{T} = 8\)

40. \(N_{20} = 1\), \(N_{S} = N_{7} = 1\), \(N_{AS} = 2\), \(N_{\bar{A}S} = 1\), \(N_{T} = 2\)

41. \(N_{20} = 1\), \(N_{S} = N_{7} = 1\), \(N_{AS} = 2\), \(N_{F} = 1\), \(N_{T} = 5\)

42. \(N_{20} = 1\), \(N_{S} = N_{7} = 1\), \(N_{AS} = N_{\bar{A}S} = 1\), \(N_{F} = N_{T} = 3\)

43. \(N_{20} = 1\), \(N_{S} = N_{7} = 1\), \(N_{AS} = 1\), \(N_{F} = 4\), \(N_{T} = 6\)

44. \(N_{20} = 1\), \(N_{S} = 1\), \(N_{\bar{A}S} = 5\), \(N_{F} = N_{T} = 1\)

45. \(N_{20} = 1\), \(N_{S} = 1\), \(N_{\bar{A}S} = 4\), \(N_{F} = 2\), \(N_{T} = 4\)

46. \(N_{20} = 1\), \(N_{S} = 1\), \(N_{AS} = x\), \(N_{\bar{A}S} = 3\), \(N_{F} = 3 - 3x\), \(N_{T} = 7 - x\), \(x = 0, 1\)

47. \(N_{20} = 1\), \(N_{S} = 1\), \(N_{AS} = x\), \(N_{\bar{A}S} = 2\), \(N_{F} = 4 - 3x\), \(N_{T} = 10 - x\), \(x = 0, 1\)

48. \(N_{20} = 1\), \(N_{S} = 1\), \(N_{AS} = x\), \(N_{\bar{A}S} = 1\), \(N_{F} = 5 - 3x\), \(N_{T} = 13 - x\), \(x = 0, 1\)

49. \(N_{20} = 1\), \(N_{S} = 1\), \(N_{AS} = x\), \(N_{F} = 6 - 3x\), \(N_{T} = 16 - x\), \(x = 0, 1, 2\)

50. \(N_{20} = 1\), \(N_{AS} = x\), \(N_{\bar{A}S} = y\), \(N_{F} = 15 - 3x - y\), \(N_{T} = 15 - x - 3y\), \(x + 3y \leq 15, 3x + y \leq 15, x \geq y\)
SU(7).

1. \( N_{35} = N_{35} = 2, N_F = N_{\overline{F}} = 1 \)
2. \( N_{35} = 3, N_F = 3, N_{\overline{F}} = 9 \)
3. \( N_{35} = 3, N_{\overline{AS}} = 2, N_F = N_{\overline{F}} = 1 \)
4. \( N_{35} = 3, N_{\overline{AS}} = 1, N_F = 2, N_{\overline{F}} = 5 \)
5. \( N_{35} = 2, N_{35} = 1, N_{AS} = N_{\overline{AS}} = 1, N_{\overline{F}} = 2 \)
6. \( N_{35} = 2, N_{35} = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_F = 1, N_{\overline{F}} = 6 \)
7. \( N_{35} = 2, N_{35} = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_F = 4, N_{\overline{F}} = 3 \)
8. \( N_{35} = 2, N_{35} = 1, N_F = 5, N_{\overline{F}} = 7 \)
9. \( N_{35} = 2, N_{\overline{Ad}} = 1, N_{\overline{AS}} = 1, N_F = 1, N_{\overline{F}} = 2 \)
10. \( N_{35} = 2, N_{\overline{Ad}} = 1, N_F = 2, N_{\overline{F}} = 6 \)
11. \( N_{35} = 2, N_S = N_{\overline{F}} = 1, N_{\overline{F}} = 4 \)
12. \( N_{35} = 2, N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 2, N_F = 2, N_{\overline{F}} = 1 \)
13. \( N_{35} = 2, N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_F = 6, N_{\overline{F}} = 2 \)
14. \( N_{35} = 2, N_S = 1, N_F = 10, N_{\overline{F}} = 3 \)
15. \( N_{35} = 2, N_{AS} = 2, N_{\overline{AS}} = 1, N_{\overline{F}} = 7 \)
16. \( N_{35} = 2, N_{AS} = 1, N_{\overline{AS}} = 3, N_F = 2 \)
17. \( N_{35} = 2, N_{AS} = 1, N_{\overline{AS}} = 2, N_F = 3, N_{\overline{F}} = 4 \)
18. \( N_{35} = 2, N_{AS} = 1, N_{\overline{AS}} = 1, N_F = 4, N_{\overline{F}} = 8 \)
19. \( N_{35} = 2, N_{\overline{AS}} = 3, N_F = 6, N_{\overline{F}} = 1 \)
20. \( N_{35} = 2, N_{\overline{AS}} = 2, N_F = 7, N_{\overline{F}} = 5 \)
21. \( N_{35} = 2, N_{\overline{AS}} = 1, N_F = 8, N_{\overline{F}} = 9 \)
22. \( N_{35} = 2, N_{AS} = 2, N_F = 1, N_{\overline{F}} = 11 \)
23. \( N_{35} = 2, N_{AS} = 1, N_F = 5, N_{\overline{F}} = 12 \)
24. \( N_{35} = 2, N_F = 9, N_{\overline{F}} = 13 \)
25. \( N_{35} = N_{35} = 1, N_{\overline{Ad}} = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_{\overline{F}} = 3 \)
26. \( N_{35} = N_{35} = 1, N_S = N_{\overline{F}} = 1, N_F = N_{\overline{F}} = 2 \)
27. \( N_{35} = N_{35} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{\overline{AS}} = 1, N_{\overline{F}} = 8 \)
28. \( N_{35} = N_{35} = 1, N_S = 1, N_F = 1, N_{\overline{F}} = 12 \)
29. \( N_{35} = N_{35} = 1, N_{AS} = N_{\overline{AS}} = 2, N_F = N_{\overline{F}} = 1 \)
30. \( N_{35} = N_{35} = 1, N_{AS} = 2, N_{\overline{AS}} = 1, N_F = 2, N_{\overline{F}} = 5 \)
31. \( N_{35} = N_{35} = 1, N_{AS} = 2, N_F = 3, N_{\overline{F}} = 9 \)
32. \( N_{35} = N_{35} = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_F = 7, N_{\overline{F}} = 10 \)
33. \(N_{35} = N_{35} = 1, N_{AS} = N_{AS} = 1, N_F = N_T = 6\)
34. \(N_{35} = N_{35} = 1, N_F = N_T = 11\)
35. \(N_{35} = 1, N_{Ad} = 2, N_F = 1, N_T = 3\)
36. \(N_{35} = 1, N_{Ad} = 1, N_S = 1, N_F = 9\)
37. \(N_{35} = 1, N_{Ad} = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_{AS} = 2, N_F = 2, N_T = 1\)
38. \(N_{35} = 1, N_{Ad} = 1, N_{AS} = 2, N_T = 8\)
39. \(N_{35} = 1, N_{Ad} = 1, N_{AS} = N_{AS} = 1, N_F = 3, N_T = 5\)
40. \(N_{35} = 1, N_{Ad} = 1, N_{AS} = 2, N_F = 6, N_T = 2\)
41. \(N_{35} = 1, N_{Ad} = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_F = 4, N_T = 9\)
42. \(N_{35} = 1, N_{Ad} = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_F = 7, N_T = 6\)
43. \(N_{35} = 1, N_{Ad} = 1, N_F = 8, N_T = 10\)
44. \(N_{35} = 1, N_S = N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 2, N_F = 4\)
45. \(N_{35} = 1, N_S = N_S = 1, N_{AS} = N_{AS} = 1, N_F = 1, N_T = 3\)
46. \(N_{35} = 1, N_S = N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_F = 2, N_T = 7\)
47. \(N_{35} = 1, N_S = N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_F = 5, N_T = 4\)
48. \(N_{35} = 1, N_S = N_S = 1, N_F = 6, N_T = 8\)
49. \(N_{35} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{AS} = N_{AS} = 1, N_T = 13\)
50. \(N_{35} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_F = 1, N_T = 17\)
51. \(N_{35} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 4, N_F = 1, N_T = 2\)
52. \(N_{35} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 3, N_F = 2, N_T = 6\)
53. \(N_{35} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 2, N_F = 3, N_T = 10\)
54. \(N_{35} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_F = 4, N_T = 14\)
55. \(N_{35} = 1, N_S = 1, N_F = 5, N_T = 18\)
56. \(N_{35} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 4, N_T = 3\)
57. \(N_{35} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 3, N_{AS} = 1, N_F = 3\)
58. \(N_{35} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 3, N_F = N_T = 4\)
59. \(N_{35} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 2, N_{AS} = 1, N_F = 7, N_T = 1\)
60. \(N_{35} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 2, N_F = 8, N_T = 5\)
61. \(N_{35} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{AS} = N_{AS} = 1, N_F = 11, N_T = 2\)
62. \(N_{35} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_F = 12, N_T = 6\)
63. \(N_{35} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_F = 15, N_T = 3\)
64. \(N_{35} = 1, N_S = 1, N_F = 16, N_T = 7\)
65. \(N_{35} = 1, N_{AS} = x, N_{AS} = y, N_F = 15 - 4x - y, N_T = 17 - x - 4y, 4x + y \leq 15, 4y + x \leq 17\)
SU(8).

1. $N_{70} = 2, N_F = N_\mathcal{T} = 4$
2. $N_{56} = 2, N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_\mathcal{T} = 2$
3. $N_{56} = 2, N_S = 1, N_F = 5, N_\mathcal{T} = 3$
4. $N_{56} = 2, N_{AS} = 2, N_F = 2, N_\mathcal{T} = 4$
5. $N_{56} = 2, N_{AS} = 1, N_F = 3, N_\mathcal{T} = 9$
6. $N_{56} = 2, N_F = 4, N_\mathcal{T} = 14$
7. $N_{56} = N_{56} = 1, N_{AS} = N_{\mathcal{T}} = 1, N_F = N_\mathcal{T} = 3$
8. $N_{56} = N_{56} = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_F = 4, N_\mathcal{T} = 8$
9. $N_{56} = N_{56} = 1, N_F = N_\mathcal{T} = 9$
10. $N_{70} = 1, N_{56} = 1, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 1, N_F = 3, N_\mathcal{T} = 4$
11. $N_{70} = 1, N_{56} = 1, N_F = 4, N_\mathcal{T} = 9$
12. $N_{70} = 1, N_{Ad} = 1, N_{AS} = N_{\mathcal{T}} = 1$
13. $N_{70} = 1, N_{Ad} = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_F = 1, N_\mathcal{T} = 5$
14. $N_{70} = 1, N_{Ad} = 1, N_F = N_\mathcal{T} = 6$
15. $N_{70} = 1, N_S = N_\mathcal{T} = 1, N_F = N_\mathcal{T} = 4$
16. $N_{70} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 3$
17. $N_{70} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 2, N_F = 1, N_\mathcal{T} = 5$
18. $N_{70} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 1, N_F = 2, N_\mathcal{T} = 10$
19. $N_{70} = 1, N_S = 1, N_F = 3, N_\mathcal{T} = 15$
20. $N_{70} = 1, N_{AS} = N_{\mathcal{T}} = 2, N_F = N_\mathcal{T} = 2$
21. $N_{70} = 1, N_{AS} = 2, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 1, N_F = 3, N_\mathcal{T} = 7$
22. $N_{70} = 1, N_{AS} = 2, N_F = 4, N_\mathcal{T} = 12$
23. $N_{70} = 1, N_{AS} = N_{\mathcal{T}} = 1, N_F = N_\mathcal{T} = 8$
24. $N_{70} = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_F = 9, N_\mathcal{T} = 13$
25. $N_{70} = 1, N_F = N_\mathcal{T} = 14$
26. $N_{56} = 1, N_{Ad} = 1, N_S = 1, N_F = 7$
27. $N_{56} = 1, N_{Ad} = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_F = 1, N_\mathcal{T} = 10$
28. $N_{56} = 1, N_{Ad} = 1, N_{AS} = N_{\mathcal{T}} = 1, N_\mathcal{T} = 5$
29. $N_{56} = 1, N_{Ad} = 1, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 2, N_F = 4, N_\mathcal{T} = 1$
30. $N_{56} = 1, N_{Ad} = 1, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 1, N_F = 5, N_\mathcal{T} = 6$
31. $N_{56} = 1, N_{Ad} = 1, N_F = 6, N_\mathcal{T} = 11$
32. $N_{56} = 1, N_S = N_\mathcal{T} = 1, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 1, N_F = 3, N_\mathcal{T} = 4$
\[33. \ N_{56} = 1, N_S = N_{56} = 1, N_F = 4, N_{\overline{F}} = 9\]
\[34. \ N_{56} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{\overline{AS}} = 3, N_{\overline{F}} = 5\]
\[35. \ N_{56} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{\overline{AS}} = 2, N_F = 1, N_{\overline{F}} = 10\]
\[36. \ N_{56} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{\overline{AS}} = 1, N_F = 2, N_{\overline{F}} = 15\]
\[37. \ N_{56} = 1, N_S = 1, N_F = 3, N_{\overline{F}} = 20\]
\[38. \ N_{56} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{\overline{AS}} = 3, N_{\overline{F}} = 5\]
\[39. \ N_{56} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 2, N_{\overline{AS}} = 1, N_F = 4, N_{\overline{F}} = 1\]
\[40. \ N_{56} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 2, N_F = 5, N_{\overline{F}} = 6\]
\[41. \ N_{56} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_{\overline{AS}} = 1, N_F = 9, N_{\overline{F}} = 2\]
\[42. \ N_{56} = 1, N_S = 1, N_F = 10, N_{\overline{F}} = 7\]
\[43. \ N_{56} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{\overline{AS}} = 1, N_F = 14, N_{\overline{F}} = 3\]
\[44. \ N_{56} = 1, N_S = 1, N_F = 15, N_{\overline{F}} = 8\]
\[45. \ N_{56} = 1, N_{AS} = x, N_{\overline{AS}} = y, N_F = 14 - 5x - y, N_{\overline{F}} = 19 - x - 5y, 5x + y \leq 14, 5y + x \leq 19\]

\[\text{SU(9)}\]

\[1. \ N_{126} = 1, N_S = 1, N_F = 8\]
\[2. \ N_{126} = 1, N_{AS} = N_{\overline{AS}} = 1, N_{\overline{F}} = 5\]
\[3. \ N_{126} = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_F = 1, N_{\overline{F}} = 11\]
\[4. \ N_{126} = 1, N_{\overline{AS}} = 2, N_F = 5\]
\[5. \ N_{126} = 1, N_{\overline{AS}} = 1, N_F = 6\]
\[6. \ N_{126} = 1, N_F = 7, N_{\overline{F}} = 12\]
\[7. \ N_{84} = N_{84} = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_{\overline{F}} = 5\]
\[8. \ N_{84} = N_{84} = 1, N_F = N_{\overline{F}} = 6\]
\[9. \ N_{84} = 1, N_{Ad} = 1, N_S = 1, N_F = 4\]
\[10. \ N_{84} = 1, N_{Ad} = 1, N_{AS} = 2, N_F = 1\]
\[11. \ N_{84} = 1, N_{Ad} = 1, N_{\overline{AS}} = 1, N_F = 2, N_{\overline{F}} = 6\]
\[12. \ N_{84} = 1, N_{Ad} = 1, N_F = 3, N_{\overline{F}} = 12\]
\[13. \ N_{84} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{\overline{F}} = 22\]
\[14. \ N_{84} = 1, N_S = N_{\overline{S}} = 1, N_F = 1, N_{\overline{F}} = 10\]
\[15. \ N_{84} = 1, N_S = N_{\overline{S}} = 1, N_{\overline{AS}} = 1, N_{\overline{F}} = 4\]
\[16. \ N_{84} = 1, N_{\overline{S}} = 1, N_{AS} = 2, N_{\overline{AS}} = 1, N_{\overline{F}} = 1\]
\[17. \ N_{84} = 1, N_{\overline{S}} = 1, N_{AS} = N_{\overline{AS}} = 1, N_F = 6, N_{\overline{F}} = 2\]
\[18. \ N_{84} = 1, N_{\overline{S}} = 1, N_{AS} = 2, N_F = 1, N_{\overline{F}} = 7\]
19. \(N_{84} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_F = 7, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 8\)
20. \(N_{84} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{\mathcal{AS}} = 1, N_F = 12, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 3\)
21. \(N_{84} = 1, N_S = 1, N_F = 13, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 9\)
22. \(N_{84} = 1, N_{AS} = x, N_{\mathcal{AS}} = y, N_F = 12 - 6x - y, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 21 - x - 6y, 6y + x \leq 12, 6y + x \leq 21\)

\[\text{SU}(10).\]

1. \(N_{120} = N_{\frac{120}{2}} = 1, N_F = N_{\mathcal{T}} = 2\)
2. \(N_{120} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{Ad} = 1\)
3. \(N_{120} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{AS} = N_{\mathcal{AS}} = 1, N_F = N_{\mathcal{T}} = 2\)
4. \(N_{120} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_F = 3, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 9\)
5. \(N_{120} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{\mathcal{AS}} = 1, N_F = 9, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 3\)
6. \(N_{120} = 1, N_S = 1, N_F = N_{\mathcal{T}} = 10\)
7. \(N_{120} = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_{\mathcal{AS}} = 2, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 8\)
8. \(N_{120} = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_{\mathcal{AS}} = 1, N_F = 1, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 15\)
9. \(N_{120} = 1, N_{AS} = 1, N_F = 2, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 22\)
10. \(N_{120} = 1, N_{\mathcal{AS}} = 3, N_F = 6, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 2\)
11. \(N_{120} = 1, N_{\mathcal{AS}} = 2, N_F = 7, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 9\)
12. \(N_{120} = 1, N_{\mathcal{AS}} = 1, N_F = 8, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 16\)
13. \(N_{120} = 1, N_F = 9, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 23\)

\[\text{SU}(11).\]

1. \(N_{165} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{\mathcal{AS}} = 1, N_F = 5, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 3\)
2. \(N_{165} = 1, N_S = 1, N_F = 6, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 11\)
3. \(N_{165} = 1, N_{\mathcal{AS}} = 3, N_F = 2, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 1\)
4. \(N_{165} = 1, N_{\mathcal{AS}} = 2, N_F = 3, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 9\)
5. \(N_{165} = 1, N_{\mathcal{AS}} = 1, N_F = 4, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 17\)
6. \(N_{165} = 1, N_F = 5, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 25\)

\[\text{SU}(12).\]

1. \(N_{220} = 1, N_S = 1, N_{\mathcal{AS}} = 1, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 3\)
2. \(N_{220} = 1, N_S = 1, N_F = 1, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 12\)
3. \(N_{220} = 1, N_{\mathcal{T}} = 27\)
C.2 Symplectic groups  
Here we summarize solutions when the gauge group is USp(2N).

C.2.1 Generic cases  
Here we list the theories which we dubbed generic. We begin by listing the possible solutions to conditions (3.2) for generic $N$:

1. $N_S = 2$, $N_F = 2N + 2$
2. $N_S = 2$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_F = 4$
3. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_F = 2N + 6$
4. $N_{AS} = 3$, $N_F = 12$
5. $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_F = 2N + 10$
6. $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_F = 4N + 8$
7. $N_F = 6N + 6$

Besides these, there are several solutions that exist only for small $N$:

1. $N_S = 2$, $N_{AS} = 3$, $N = 2$
2. $N_S = 2$, $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_F = 2(3 - N)$, $N = 2, 3$
3. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 5$, $N_F = 2$, $N = 2$
4. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 3$, $N_F = 2(5 - N)$, $N = 2, 3, 4, 5$
5. $N_{AS} = 9$, $N = 2$
6. $N_{AS} = 8$, $N_F = 2$, $N = 2$
7. $N_{AS} = 7$, $N_F = 4$, $N = 2$
8. $N_{AS} = 6$, $N_F = 6(3 - N)$, $N = 2, 3$
9. $N_{AS} = 5$, $N_F = 4(4 - N)$, $N = 2, 3, 4$
10. $N_{AS} = 4$, $N_F = 2(7 - N)$, $N = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$

C.2.2 Exotic cases  
Here we list the theories with vanishing beta functions which we dubbed exotic.

USp(4).

1. $N_{14} = 1$, $N_{AS} = 2$
2. $N_{14} = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_F = 2$
3. $N_{14} = 1$, $N_F = 4$
4. $N_{16} = 1$, $N_{AS} = 3$
5. $N_{16} = 1$, $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_F = 2$
6. $N_{16} = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_F = 4$
7. $N_{16} = 1$, $N_F = 6$
USp(6).

1. $N_{14'} = 1, \quad N_S = 2, \quad N_F = 3$
2. $N_{14'} = 2, \quad N_S = 1, \quad N_{AS} = 1, \quad N_F = 2$
3. $N_{14'} = 1, \quad N_S = 1, \quad N_{AS} = 1, \quad N_F = 7$
4. $N_{14'} = 4, \quad N_{AS} = 1$
5. $N_{14'} = 4, \quad N_F = 4$
6. $N_{14'} = 3, \quad N_{AS} = 2, \quad N_F = 1$
7. $N_{14'} = 3, \quad N_{AS} = 1, \quad N_F = 5$
8. $N_{14'} = 3, \quad N_F = 9$
9. $N_{14'} = 2, \quad N_{AS} = 3, \quad N_F = 2$
10. $N_{14'} = 2, \quad N_{AS} = 2, \quad N_F = 6$
11. $N_{14'} = 2, \quad N_{AS} = 1, \quad N_F = 10$
12. $N_{14'} = 2, \quad N_F = 14$
13. $N_{14'} = 1, \quad N_{AS} = 4, \quad N_F = 3$
14. $N_{14'} = 1, \quad N_{AS} = 3, \quad N_F = 7$
15. $N_{14'} = 1, \quad N_{AS} = 2, \quad N_F = 11$
16. $N_{14'} = 1, \quad N_{AS} = 1, \quad N_F = 15$
17. $N_{14'} = 1, \quad N_F = 19$

USp(8).

1. $N_{48} = 1, \quad N_S = 1, \quad N_{AS} = 1$
2. $N_{42} = 1, \quad N_S = 1, \quad N_{AS} = 1$
3. $N_{42} = 1, \quad N_S = 1, \quad N_F = 6$
4. $N_{48} = 2, \quad N_F = 2$
5. $N_{48} = 1, \quad N_{42} = 1, \quad N_F = 2$
6. $N_{42} = 2, \quad N_F = 2$
7. $N_{48} = 1, \quad N_{AS} = 2, \quad N_F = 4$
8. $N_{48} = 1, \quad N_{AS} = 1, \quad N_F = 10$
9. $N_{48} = 1, \quad N_F = 16$
10. $N_{42} = 1, \quad N_{AS} = 2, \quad N_F = 4$
11. $N_{42} = 1, \quad N_{AS} = 1, \quad N_F = 10$
12. $N_{42} = 1, \quad N_F = 16$
USp(10).

1. $N_{110} = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_F = 1$
2. $N_{110} = 1$, $N_F = 9$

C.3 Orthogonal groups

Here we summarize solutions when the gauge group is SO($N$).

C.3.1 Generic cases

Here we list the possible generic solutions for equation (3.2).

1. $N_S = 2$, $N_V = N - 10$
2. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_V = N - 6$
3. $N_S = 1$, $N_V = 2N - 8$
4. $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_V = N - 2$
5. $N_V = 3N - 6$

C.3.2 Exotic cases

Here we list the possible exotic solutions for equation (3.2). Here we write cases up to the outer automorphism of the selected $SO$ group.

SO(7).

1. $N_8 = M$, $N_V = 15 - M$
2. $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_8 = M$, $N_V = 10 - M$, $M$ odd
3. $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_8 = M$, $N_V = 5 - M$
4. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_8 = 1$
5. $N_S = 1$, $N_8 = M$, $N_V = 6 - M$
6. $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_{35} = 1$
7. $N_{35} = 1$, $N_8 = M$, $N_V = 5 - M$
8. $N_{48} = 1$, $N_8 = 1$
9. $N_{48} = 1$, $N_V = 1$

SO(8).

1. $N_{8S} = M$, $N_{8C} = L$, $N_V = 18 - M - L$, $M \geq L \geq 18 - M - L$
2. $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_{8S} = M$, $N_{8C} = L$, $N_V = 12 - M - L$, $M \geq L \geq 12 - M - L$, $M$ and $L$ not both even
3. $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_{8S} = M$, $N_{8C} = L$, $N_V = 6 - M - L$, $M \geq L \geq 6 - M - L$
4. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_{8S} = 2$
5. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_{8S} = 1$, $N_V = 1$
6. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_{8S} = 1$, $N_{8C} = 1$
7. $N_S = 1$, $N_{8S} = M$, $N_{8C} = L$, $N_V = 8 - M - L$, $M \geq L$
8. $N_{56v} = 1$, $N_V = 3$
9. $N_{56v} = 1$, $N_V = 2$, $N_{8S} = 1$
10. $N_{56v} = 1$, $N_V = 1$, $N_{8S} = 2$
11. $N_{56v} = 1$, $N_V = 1$, $N_{8S} = 1$, $N_{8C} = 1$
12. $N_{56v} = 1$, $N_{8S} = 3$
13. $N_{56v} = 1$, $N_{8S} = 2$, $N_{8C} = 1$

$SO(9)$.

1. $N_{16} = M$, $N_V = 21 - 2M$
2. $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_{16} = M$, $N_V = 14 - 2M$, $M$ odd
3. $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_{16} = M$, $N_V = 7 - 2M$
4. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_{16} = 1$, $N_V = 1$
5. $N_S = 1$, $N_{16} = M$, $N_V = 10 - 2M$
6. $N_{84} = 1$

$SO(10)$.

1. $N_{16} = M$, $N_{16} = L$, $N_V = 24 - 2M - 2L, M \geq L$
2. $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_{16} = M$, $N_{16} = L$, $N_V = 16 - 2M - 2L, M > L$
3. $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_{16} = M$, $N_{16} = L$, $N_V = 8 - 2M - 2L, M \geq L$
4. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_{16} = 2$
5. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_{16} = 1$, $N_{16} = 1$
6. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_{16} = 1$, $N_V = 2$
7. $N_S = 1$, $N_{16} = M$, $N_{16} = L$, $N_V = 12 - 2M - 2L, M \geq L$

$SO(11)$.

1. $N_{32} = M$, $N_V = 27 - 4M$
2. $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_{32} = 2$, $N_V = 1$
3. $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_{32} = 1$, $N_V = 5$
4. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_{32} = 1$, $N_V = 1$
5. $N_S = 1$, $N_{32} = M$, $N_V = 14 - 4M
SO(12).

1. $N_{32} = M$, $N_{32}' = L$, $N_V = 30 - 4M - 4L$, $M \geq L$
2. $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_{32} = 2$, $N_V = 2$
3. $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_{32} = 1$, $N_{32}' = 1$, $N_V = 2$
4. $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_{32} = 1$, $N_V = 6$
5. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_{32} = 1$, $N_V = 2$
6. $N_S = 1$, $N_{32} = M$, $N_{32}' = L$, $N_V = 16 - 4M - 4L$, $M \geq L$

SO(13).

1. $N_{64} = M$, $N_V = 33 - 8M$
2. $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_{64} = 1$, $N_V = 3$
3. $N_S = 1$, $N_{64} = 2$, $N_V = 2$
4. $N_S = 1$, $N_{64} = 1$, $N_V = 10$

SO(14).

1. $N_{64} = M$, $N_{64'} = L$, $N_V = 36 - 8M - 8L$, $M \geq L$
2. $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_{64} = M$, $N_{64'} = L$, $N_V = 24 - 8M - 8L$, $M > L$
3. $N_{AS} = 2$, $N_{64} = 1$, $N_V = 4$
4. $N_S = 1$, $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_{64} = 1$
5. $N_S = 1$, $N_{64} = 2$, $N_V = 4$
6. $N_S = 1$, $N_{64} = 1$, $N_{64'} = 1$, $N_V = 4$
7. $N_S = 1$, $N_{64} = 1$, $N_V = 12$

SO(15).

1. $N_{128} = 2$, $N_V = 7$
2. $N_{128} = 1$, $N_V = 23$
3. $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_{128} = 1$, $N_V = 10$
4. $N_S = 1$, $N_{128} = 1$, $N_V = 6$

SO(16).

1. $N_{128} = 2$, $N_V = 10$
2. $N_{128} = 1$, $N_{128'} = 1$, $N_V = 10$
3. $N_{128} = 1$, $N_V = 26$
4. $N_{AS} = 1$, $N_{128} = 1$, $N_V = 12$
5. $N_S = 1$, $N_{128} = 1$, $N_V = 8$
SO(17).

1. \( N_{256} = 1, \quad N_V = 13 \)

SO(18).

1. \( N_{256} = 1, \quad N_V = 16 \)
2. \( N_{AS} = 1, \quad N_{256} = 1 \)

C.4 Exceptional groups

Here we summarize the possible solutions for equation (3.2) when the gauge group is one of the exceptional groups.

\( G_2 \).

1. \( N_{14} = 2, \quad N_7 = 4 \)
2. \( N_7 = 12 \)
3. \( N_{27} = 1, \quad N_7 = 3 \)

\( F_4 \).

1. \( N_{52} = 2, \quad N_{26} = 3 \)
2. \( N_{26} = 9 \)

\( E_6 \).

1. \( N_{78} = 2, \quad N_{27} = N_{\overline{27}} = 2 \)
2. \( N_{78} = 2, \quad N_{27} = 3, \quad N_{\overline{27}} = 1 \)
3. \( N_{78} = 2, \quad N_{27} = 4 \)
4. \( N_{78} = 1, \quad N_{27} = 5, \quad N_{\overline{27}} = 3 \)
5. \( N_{78} = 1, \quad N_{27} = 6, \quad N_{\overline{27}} = 2 \)
6. \( N_{78} = 1, \quad N_{27} = 7, \quad N_{\overline{27}} = 1 \)
7. \( N_{78} = 1, \quad N_{27} = 8 \)
8. \( N_{27} = N_{\overline{27}} = 6 \)
9. \( N_{27} = 7, \quad N_{\overline{27}} = 5 \)
10. \( N_{27} = 8, \quad N_{\overline{27}} = 4 \)
11. \( N_{27} = 9, \quad N_{\overline{27}} = 3 \)
12. \( N_{27} = 10, \quad N_{\overline{27}} = 2 \)
13. \( N_{27} = 11, \quad N_{\overline{27}} = 1 \)
14. \( N_{27} = 12 \)
$E_7$.

1. $N_{133} = 2$, $N_{56} = 3$
2. $N_{56} = 9$
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