Casting new light on the chronology of the loess/paleosol sequences in Lower Austria

Birgit Terhorst, Christine Thiel, Robert Peticzka, Tobias Sprafke, Manfred Frechen, Florian A. Fladerer, Reinhard Roetzel, Christine Neugebauer-Maresch

Abstract: This paper presents a review on recently dated sections in well-known loess/paleosol sequences of Lower Austria. The dating results indicate that there was loess deposition during the Upper Würmian Pleniglacial as recorded in the profile Joching. However, most obtained ages are older than the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) and therefore erosional processes, which led to the removal of younger deposits can be supposed. Soil formation between ~28 ka and ~35 ka mainly resulted in the formation of Cryosols. Hence, in the studied profiles, there is no evidence for more intense interstadial pedogenesis during this time span. This might be of particular relevance to the stratigraphy of ‘Stillfried B’ (sensu Fink). The 2nd age cluster lies between ~35 ka and ~57 ka i. e. the Middle Pleniglacial (Würmian) and is dominated by loess deposits intercalated with different Cryosols. This period is also characterized by colluvial processes. There is a significant hiatus between ~57 ka and ~106 ka, a fact which might be due to long lasting and intensive erosional processes in the study areas. The oldest measured age of the Last Glacial is 106 ± 12 ka for the loess on top of ‘Stillfried A’ in Paudorf (Paudorfer Bodenbildung). Immediately below this pedocomplex and equivalents to it, ages of 124 ± 25 ka (Göttweig-Aigen), 159 ± 20 ka (Paudorf 1), and 170 ± 16 ka (Joching) were obtained in loess. Furthermore, there is evidence for older Middle Pleistocene deposits in Stratzing, Paudorf 2, Göttweig-Furth and Langenlois.
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1 Introduction

Loess landscapes are widespread in Lower Austria. Especially the loess region adjacent to the eastern margin of the Bohemian Massif comprises famous loess sequences at Krems, Stratzing, Göttweig and Paudorf (Fig. 1). Equally important is the loess/paleosol sequence of Stillfried, which is situated further to the east.

Both regions have received much attention due to its archaeological finds (e. g. ANTI-WEISER 1997; EINWOGER et al. 2006; HÄNDL et al. 2008; NEUGEBAUER-MARESCH 2008). However, the well-developed loess/paleosol sequences in Lower Austria have not experienced much attention besides archeological investigations since the works of FINK (1956, 1976, and 1978). Since the 1930’s (GÖTZINGER 1936) attempts have been made to develop a common stratigraphy.
for loess deposits in the area under study. This has not only been hampered by the lack of continuous records preserved but also by the lack of suitable dating techniques. Thus the chronological positions of many marker horizons such as the Stillfried complex (e.g. Fink 1976), the ‘Göttweiger Verlehmungszone’ and the ‘Paudorfer Bodenbildung’ (e.g. Götzing 1936; Fink 1976) have caused much controversy (e.g. Noll et al. 1994; Zöller et al. 1994). Until then it was not certain which of these pedocomplexes depicts the last interglacial soil (Eemian). Due to enhanced dating techniques Thiel et al. (2011a) were able to clearly identify the ‘Paudorfer Bodenbildung’ at its type locality in Paudorf as the Eemian soil (Fig. 1). The ‘Göttweiger Verlehmungszone’ can most likely be attributed to the marine isotope stage (MIS) 11 or any older interglacial (Thiel et al. 2011b). However, these results have only been a small step towards the reconstruction of the former landscape in Lower Austria and its evolution. Other approaches to gain more information on pedogenesis and paleoenvironmental conditions in Lower Austria during the Quaternary have included micromorphological (e.g. Havlíček et al. 1998; Smolíková 2003; Smolíková & Havlíček 2007), geochemical (Haslinger & Heinrich 2008; Haslinger et al. 2009) and paleontological investigations (e.g. Frank & Rabeder 1997; Döppes & Rabeder 1997; Frank 1997; Fladerer et al. 2005). Due to topographically controlled variability of soil formation, the micromorphological attributes might not be sufficient to allow for stratigraphic correlations and numerical datings can complete the chronostratigraphy essentially.

Because Lower Austria is a geographical key position for the correlation of the dry loess landscape with the loess/paleosol sequences of east and south-east Europe, it is of great relevance to derive more information on loess deposition and paleopedogenesis as well as on erosion processes in time and space.

As an outline of current investigations in Lower Austria, this study presents first chronological results in form of short summaries from the study sites in Stratzing, Joching, Stillfried, Paudorf, Göttweig, and Langenlois (Fig. 1). Detailed profile description, analytical results, and luminescence dating results have been published elsewhere (Peticzka et al. 2010; Thiel et al. 2011a; b; c; 2011).

2 The chronological framework of the loess/paleosol sequences

2.1 Stratzing

The Stratzing loess/paleosol sequence (340 m a.s.l.) is situated at the eastern margin of the west-east elongated hill of the ‘Galgenberg’, which represents a characteristic landscape in the loess area of the Kremser Feld (Fig. 1). The loess/paleosol sequence of the Stratzing tennis court is exposed to a depth of 7.5 m and is subdivided in 19 units (Fig. 2: 1). Single thermoluminescence ages exist earlier from Zöller et al. (1994), detailed archaeological investigations were conducted by Neugebauer-Maresch (1993) in nearby excavations, and malacological results were presented by Niederhuber (1997).

The basal part of the profile shows Middle Pleistocene loess deposits with an interglacial soil complex (ST18 and 19). The pedocomplex is covered by two loess layers (ST17) and a soil sediment (ST 16), which truncated the upper loess layer. Horizon ST 15 is the oldest archeological layer on top of which an alternating sequence of Cryosols and loess is visible (ST 14 to ST 6). This sequence exhibits two further archeological horizons (ST 13 and ST 10).

The uppermost part of the sequence consists of a loess layer (ST 3) and a well developed 1.0 m thick Cryosol pedocomplex (ST 4). The three uppermost horizons (ST 1–ST 3) are essentially disturbed by viticulture activities and are not described here.
Luminescence dating was applied to nine horizons (THEL et al. 2011a; see Table 1). Making use of a post-IR IRSL dating protocol, the loess below the pedocomplex ST 18 and ST 19 and also its overlying loess (ST 17) were dated to > 300 ka (THEL et al. 2011a). The colluvial layer (ST 16) indicates a hiatus, which is verified by an age of 117 ± 8 ka for horizon ST 15. THEL et al. (2011a) considered the obtained age as an overestimate due to post-depositional mixing. Both, the colluvial layer and the luminescence dating result imply a great discontinuity in the sequence. The sediment deposition of layer ST 14 was dated to 57 ± 4 ka, and dating of ST 11 resulted in an age of 35 ± 2 ka. The age of cultural layer I (ST 10, sample 1627) was estimated to 32 ± 2 ka; this is in good agreement with the radiocarbon ages (cluster) obtained for this cultural layer from a neighbouring outcrop (NEUGEBAUER-MARESCH 1993; see discussion in THEL et al. 2011a). For the loess layer (ST 5) a post-IR IRSL age of 31 ± 2 ka was obtained, and the overlying Cryosol complex (ST 4b) was dated to 28 ± 2 ka.

Highly fragmented fossil horse remains have been saved from the basal parts of the sequence. They belong to both mandibles, two vertebrae, and the metatarsal III of the right body side. The measurements combined with the tooth morphology allow to identify the finds as Equus cf. mosbachensis, which is a strong signal of Middle Pleistocene age.

2.2 Joching

The study site is located on the left bank of the Danube valley in the Wachau, about 15 km west to the city of Krems, Lower Austria (Fig. 1). The valley is deeply incised in Paleozoic bedrock of the Bohemian Massif, the overlying Middle Miocene sediments, and its slopes are partly covered by loess and intercalated paleosols. The most famous site of the area is the archeological excavation of Willendorf. There, the loess and loess-like sediments are of Middle to Upper Pleistocene age, with uncalibrated 14C ages rang-

Table 1: Compilation of the recently published ages on the base of luminescence and 14C-dating (STILLFRIED).

| Site       | Unit | Sample ID | Age (ka) |
|------------|------|-----------|----------|
| Stratzing  | ST4b | 1625      | 28 ± 2   |
|            | ST5  | 1626      | 31 ± 2   |
|            | ST10 | 1627      | 32 ± 2   |
|            | ST11 | 1628      | 35 ± 2   |
|            | ST14 | 1629      | 57 ± 4   |
|            | ST15 | 1630      | 117 ± 8  |
|            | ST17b| 1631      | > 300    |
|            | ST19c| 1632      | > 300    |
|            | ST19d| 1633      | > 300    |
| Joching    | J1   | 1398      | 16 ± 2   |
|            | J3   | 1399      | 47 ± 3   |
|            | J9   | 1400      | 170 ± 16 |
| Stillfried | S5 top| Hv 25618 | 24,430 ± 730 uncal. |
|            | S5 bottom| Hv 25619 | 22,840 ± 870 uncal. |
| Paudorf    | P2-3 | 1402      | 187 ± 12 |
|            | P2-9 | 1401      | 189 ± 16 |
|            | P1-2 | 1404      | 106 ± 12 |
|            | P1-4 | 1403      | 159 ± 20 |
| Göttweig   | G1-3 | 1405      | > 300    |
|            | G1-1 | 1406      | 173 ± 40 |
|            | G1-4 | 1407      | > 350    |
|            | G1-2 | 1408      | 34 ± 3   |
|            | G1-4 | 1409      | 124 ± 25 |
| Langenlois | LB 2/9| LB 2/9   | 246 ± 29 |
|            | LB 2/10| LB 2/10 | 300 ± 29 |
|            | LB 5/3| LB 5/3   | 35 ± 2   |
|            | LB 5/5| LB 5/5   | 41 ± 4   |
|            | LB 5/10| LB 5/10 | 41 ± 4   |
|            | LB 5/15| LB 5/15 | 53 ± 4   |

a) THEL et al. 2011a
b) THEL et al. 2011b
c) THEL et al. 2011c
The loess/paleosol sequence investigated has a total thickness of about 10 m, with two distinct pedocomplexes (Fig. 2: 2). The basal loess deposit (J9) is covered by an interglacial pedocomplex (J6–J8). A silty yellowish-brown loess rich in secondary carbonates (unit J5) is exposed on the top of horizon J6. An interstadial paleosol (J4) is present on top of this loess, followed by stratified loamy brownish pellet sands (‘Bröckelsande’, unit J3). J2 corresponds to a zone of Cryic horizons (J2) (IUSS Working Group WRB 2006) and J1 represents the uppermost loess of the studied sequence.

At this site three luminescence samples were taken (Fig. 2: THIEL et al. 2011b). The lowermost loess unit (J9) was sampled 0.7 m below the pedocomplex (J7 and J8), and the post-IR IRSL dating resulted in an age of 170 ± 16 ka (Table 1). For the ‘Bröckelsand’ (J3; pellet sands) the depositional age was estimated to 47 ± 3 ka. The uppermost sample originates from the loess unit J1 1.3 m below the surface and was dated to 16 ± 2 ka.

2.3 Stillfried

The Stillfried study site is located in a distance of about 40 km north-east the city of Vienna (Fig. 1). The study site comprises two famous loess/paleosol sequences. Both the ‘Stillfrieder Komplex’ and the profile of ‘Stillfried B’ are formed during the Last Glacial/Interglacial cycle. The Stillfried exposures were first mentioned by BOEHMKER (1917). He described the ‘Stillfrieder Komplex’ including three humic horizons superimposed on a Bt horizon. Furthermore, the key section of ‘Stillfried B’ is closely connected with the loess studies of the Austrian loess researcher Julius Fink. Repeatedly, he published on the characteristic weak brownish horizon with its significant content of charcoal (FINK 1954, 1956).

The ‘Stillfried B’ sequence has been dated repeatedly by radiocarbon dating due to the fact that numerous charcoal samples are included. The results of FINK (1962), VOGEL & ZAGWYN (1967) and RÖGL & SUMMESBERGER (1978) are variable and provided partly age inversions. A more recent discussion is published in FLADERER (2001).

The presented sequence (Fig. 2: 6) is located in the western part of the abandoned brickyard of Stillfried at an altitude of 173 m.

On top of loess strata (S6) three weakly developed BC horizons (S5) with an overall thickness of 1.2 m are situated on top of each other (PETICZKA et al. 2010). The basal part of the pedocomplex shows marginally more intense pedogenesis as manifested in bioturbation structures. Charcoals occur in particular in the intermediate section of S5 as well as on top of the uppermost BC horizon (S4).

Recent radiocarbon dating results in a depth of 2.3 m (Hv 25618) respectively 2.6 m (Hv 25619), in a slight inversion of the uncalibrated 14C-dating (Table 1). The sample on top of the pedocomplex records an age of 24,430 ± 730 yr (Hv 25618) and the lower sample is with 22,840 ± 870 yr (Hv 25619) at the same age, respectively slightly younger.

2.4 Paudorf

The village of Paudorf is located on the eastern foothills of the Bohemian massif, 7 km south to the city of Krems.

The studied sequences are exposed in a former brickyard and considered as the type locality of the ‘Paudorfer Verlehmungszone’ sensu Götzinger (1936) and FINK (1976), which was correlated with ‘Stillfried A’. The outcrop, which is about 9.5 m deep (Fig. 2) has been described by FINK (1976) and KOVANDA et al. (1995) and was analyzed with thermoluminescence by ZÖLLER et al. (1994) and NOLL et al. (1994). The published ages differ from each other and do not allow a clear interpretation. At least two pedocomplexes are preserved at this site; the uppermost soil complex corresponds to the prominent ‘Paudorfer Bodenbildung’, and the basal pedocomplex was correlated with the ‘Göttweiger Bodenbildung’.

In profile 1 (Fig. 2: 3a) the pedocomplex of the ‘Paudorfer Bodenbildung’ (P1/3), developed as a reddish-brown, clay-enriched pedocomplex with crotovina, is intercalated by loess sediments (P1/2 and P1/4). Profile 2 (Fig. 2: 3b) exhibits a loess/paleosol sequence, which is subdivided in numerous layers and soil horizons, which have never been described in detail. According to PETICZKA et al. (2009) a differentiation of at least five pedocomplexes and paleosols is possible. In the basal section of the profile, a well developed dark brown pedocomplex representing at least one interglacial period is present (P2/10). It is overlain by yellowish brown carbonate-rich loess (P2/9) and a brownish paleosol horizon (P2/8). This horizon is overlain by the next loess strata (P2/3–7), which includes the horizons P2/4 and P2/6, which correspond to Cryosols (Reductaquic) according to the IUSS Working Group WRB (2006). Unit P2/2 corresponds to the pedocomplex ‘Paudorfer Bodenbildung’ described in profile Paudorf 1. In this position the soil horizons are situated close to the surface and thus are disturbed by recent bioturbation.

The position of the luminescence samples is specified in Fig. 2. The uppermost sample was taken in the loess unit P1/2 just above the ‘Paudorfer Bodenbildung’ (unit P1/3). The measurements of the uppermost sample on top of the ‘Paudorfer Bodenbildung’ resulted in an age of 106 ± 12 ka for unit P1/2 (THIEL et al. 2011b). The loess unit P1/4 below the ‘Paudorfer Bodenbildung’ was sampled as a block due to induration. The analyses recorded an age of 159 ± 20 ka (THIEL et al. 2011b).

In profile Paudorf 2, an age of 187 ± 12 ka was obtained for unit P2/3 4.2 m below the surface (P2/3), and the second sample, taken in the loess unit P2/9 (7.9 m below top ground surface), displays a rather similar age (189 ± 16 ka) (THIEL et al. 2011b). Both samples clearly indicate deposition during Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) 6. The underlying pedocomplex (P2/10) originally correlated with the ‘Göttweiger Verlehmungszone’ (GÖTZINGER 1936), thus developed during MIS 7 or an older interglacial.

2.5 Göttweig

The study site is situated 5 km south of the city of Krems and 2 km north to Paudorf (Fig. 1). Two different sections
Fig. 2. Overview of the studied sequences on the base of field survey. The sketch provides a generalized and equalized view. The ages are simplified by not showing the errors; they can be depicted from the corresponding section and Table 1.

Abb. 2. Überblick der untersuchten Profile auf der Basis der Geländeaufnahmen. Die Zeichnung gibt eine generalisierte und einander angepasste Sicht. Die Alter sind vereinfacht ohne Fehler dargestellt. Sie können den entsprechenden Unterkapiteln und Tabelle 1 entnommen werden.
were investigated near the monastery of Göttweig, close to
the loess sequence in Paudorf (Fig. 2: 4a and b). Section I,
Göttweig-Furth (ca. 240 m a.s.l) represents the classical site
of the 'Göttweiger Verlehmungszone' sensu BAYER (1927)
and GÖTZINGER (1936). It is located in a sunken path near
the market town of Furth. On the section of Göttweig-
Furth, numerous studies have been published reflecting
different opinions on the chronology of the pedocomplex
'Göttweiger Verlehmungszone'. For instance, FINK et al.
(1976) classified the pedocomplex as Mindel/Riss Interglac-
ial. KOVANDA et al. (1995) proposed an older age and al-
located it with respect to micromorphological analyses to
an interglacial phase inside the Mindel complex. ZÖLLER et
al. (1994) allocated the 'Göttweiger Verlehmungszone' as at
least antepenultimate interglacial.

With respect to the profile in Göttweig-Aigen, the results of
ZÖLLER et al. (1994) indicate that the sequence there be-
longs to the Last Glacial/Interglacial cycle.

In the section Göttweig-Furth (Fig. 2: 4a) the pedocomplex
'Göttweiger Verlehmungszone' (unit GI-4) and the overlying
up to 5 m thick sandy-silty yellowish-brown loess is hori-
zontally exposed over several 100 m and situated on top of
a Danube terrace of which the chronostratigraphical posi-
tion is unclear. A continuous thin layer (unit GI-2) can be
identified in the loess package; which has the appearance of
a tephra. At present, a volcanic component could not be de-
tected by mineralogical analyses.

The luminescence sampling points at Göttweig-Furth are
shown in Figure 2 and Table 1. For the loess unit GI-3 (sam-
ple 1405) 0.3 m below the tephra band an age of >350 ka was
obtained (THIEL et al. 2011b; Table 1). The sample of the loess
unit GI-1, 0.6 m above the tephra, was dated to 173 ± 40 ka.
About 300 m upslope of this section, a further sample (1407;
not shown in Fig. 2) was taken just above the supposed te-
phra; dating resulted in an age ≥300 ka (THIEL et al. 2011b).

The section Göttweig-Aigen is located in a sunken path
near the village of Aigen, where a pedocomplex correlated
with the 'Paudorfer Bodenbildung' is exposed (FINK 1976;
Fig. 2: 4b). The pedocomplex (unit GI-3) is truncated in its
upper parts, as indicated by the lack of an A horizon and a
layer of 30 cm thick reworked loess (unit GI-2) covering the
soil. Yellowish brown loess (GI-1) is present on top of the
redeposited material and below the paleosol (GII-4).

The loess unit GII-1 (Table 1, sample 1408) 0.6 m above
the 'Paudorfer Bodenbildung' was dated to 34 ± 3 ka (THIEL
et al. 2011b). For the carbonate rich silt loess (unit GII-4),
sampled 0.6 m below the 'Paudorfer Bodenbildung' (i.e.
2.45 m below top ground surface), an age of 124 ± 25 ka was
obtained.

2.6 Langenlois

The study site is located about 7 km north-east to the city
of Krems (Fig. 1) in the area of the Kremser Feld. The loess
was deposited in a bay-like depression ('Kremser Bucht'),
which was formed tectonically (WESSELY 2006). GÖTZINGER
(1936) made note of the up to 20 m thick loess sequences
at the southern edge of the plateau, whereas PIFFL (1955)
observed even thicker loess deposition at the easterly slopes of the Kremser Feld. The north-exposed wall of the
former brickyard in Langenlois was briefly described by
PIFFL (1976). In the former brickyard of Langenlois (Fig. 1),
fluvial and aeolian deposits are present (PIFFL 1976). Until
now, for the loess exposures around the market town of
Langenlois only few data exist (SMOLÍKOVÁ 2003; FLADERER
et al. 2005).

Profile LB2: the fluvial sequence

The sediment succession at the east exposed wall of the
former brickyard in Langenlois clearly shows a transition
from fluvial to eolian deposition (Fig. 2: 5a). The loamy de-
posits of LB 2/10 display a paleo-surface on which fluvial
gravels and sands (LB 2/9 and LB 2/8) were deposited. The fluvial deposits of LB 2/8 include mammal bones, which are
mostly in their original anatomical relationships. From a
taphonomical point of view it is evident that sedimentation
and deposition of carcasses of dead animals or their parts
have taken place synchronously during very rapid channel
sedimentation without significant relocation (THIEL et al.
2011c). The assemblage speaks in favour of interglacial con-
ditions, but the actual status of taxonomic research does
not allow a closer attribution than Middle Pleistocene.

The soil sediment LB 2/7 is superimposed on the fluvial
deposits of LB 2/8. It is covered by gravels and sands LB
2/5–6, which reveal another fluvial deposit in the study area.

On top of LB 2/5 a redeposited loam is present (BL 2/4)
overlain by a weak paleosol horizon (LB 2/3), which corre-
sponds to an intersticial soil. The uppermost horizons are
disturbed by intense land use.

Horizon LB 2/10 was dated to >300 ka (THIEL et al. 2011c;
Table 1). The authors emphasised that the derived lumines-
cence age is close to or even beyond the dating limit de-
spite great improvements in latest dating techniques. Thus,
a more accurate age cannot be presented. For the fluvial
deposits (LB 2/9) luminescence dating resulted in an age of
246 ± 29 ka (THIEL et al. 2011c).

Profile LB5: the eolian sequence

The loess sequence is subdivided by three Cryosols (Re-
ductaque) (LB 5/6, LB 5/8, LB 5/16) indicating permafrost
and associated retention of water (Fig. 2: 5b). An initial soil
horizon with a weak brownish color is present in the upper
part of the sequence (LB 5/4).

A cultural layer containing charcoal fragments can be seen
in the lower parts of the profile (LB 5/12).

The record ends with a thick loess strata situated below
modern soil sediments.

The dating results indicate that the eolian sequence
formed from ~55 ka until ~35 ka (THIEL et al. 2011c; Table 1).
The loess unit LB 5/15 was dated to 53 ± 4 ka and for unit
LB 5/10 an age of 41 ± 4 ka was obtained. The dating of the
approximately 1 m thick homogenous loess of unit LB 5/5
resulted in the same age. The uppermost loess unit (LB 5/3)
was dated to 35 ± 2 ka.

3 Discussion

Upper to Middle Last Glacial ages have been obtained in
the profiles of Joching, Stratzing and Stillfried. The young-
est loess (16 ± 2 ka; Table 1) was found in the upper parts of
the sequence in Joching (Fig. 2). Such young loess is excep-
tional when compared with other loess/paleosol sequences
in this area; the dating results indicate intense loess deposition between ~28 ka and ~35 ka. Somewhat younger are the upper profile sections of Stillfried, which were dated by $^{14}$C method. In Stillfried ages of 24,430 ± 730 yr BP and 22,840 ± 870 yr BP were obtained. Earlier TL-studies of Zöller et al. (1994) proved younger ages in the upper parts of the Stillfried B sequence.

Alltogether, the sequence in Joching clearly shows that there was loess deposition during the Upper Würmian Pleniglacial. Considering the somewhat older ages in other studied profiles, it can be assumed that erosional processes led to the removal of younger deposits.

Soil formation between ~28 ka and ~35 ka resulted in Cryosols, respectively. Hence, in the studied profiles, there is no evidence for more intense, interstadial pedogenesis in this time span. In the sequence of Willendorf, thin humic horizons are designated to interstadial periods (Haesaerts et al. 1996; Nigst et al. 2008). However, there was proof of only one humic horizon in the sequence of Stratzing (Thiel et al. 2011a), which is not allocated to an interstadial period.

Related to the presented $^{14}$C datings, the age of the paleosol complex in the key section of Stillfried B remains still unclear. It has to be discussed, that published datings are different from each other, measured with variable methods, and uncertainties in the position of samples and sample preparation have to be considered as well. In general $^{14}$C-datings are not calibrated in the literature and thus hardly comparable to luminescence results.

However, in Upper Austrian loess profiles there is evidence of intense interstadial pedogenesis at about 29 ka (Terhorst et al. 2002).

The following age cluster lies in the Middle Pleniglacial between ~35 ka and ~57 ka and in that case, one can find primarily loess sediments. Weak and thin Cryic horizons and the loess layers provide evidences for a cold glacial climate.

Furthermore, a prominent colluvial layer in form of pellet sands is present in Joching (47 ± 3 ka). It is underlain by an interstadial brown paleosol of unknown age.

At the sequence in Stratzing differences between former radiocarbon datings and latest luminescence ages are observed. The discrepancy for the central part of the profile (ST 14 and ST 15) is due to redeposition processes and incorporation of older soil material in the slope position. In this context, it has to be highlighted that luminescence dating techniques constrain the time of deposition of sediment, whereas radiocarbon ages refer to the death of an organism. The sediment can therefore be older than incorporated, anthropogenic buried charcoal, wood or artifacts. Controversy may also have arisen because neighboring outcrops were dated, and thus a correlation of individual horizons is hampered.

For the investigations the absence of ages between ~55 ka and ~106 ka it is indicative and might record long lasting and intensive erosion processes in the loess landscape. An age of 106 +/- 12 ka was obtained from the loess on top of the 'Paudorfer Bodenbildung' (Thiel et al., 2011b), which is equivalent to the Stillfried A complex. Immediately below this pedocomplex ages of 124 ± 25 ka (Göttweig-Aigen), 159 ± 20 ka (Paudorf 1), and 170 ± 16 ka (Joching) were obtained for the loess.

Other sediments older than MIS 5 but younger than MIS 7 were detected in Göttweig-Furth and Paudorf.

Concerning the older sediments there are discontinuities, which might be due to the low sampling resolution. However, it is also evident that Lower Austrian loess sequences exhibit great hiatus as shown in Stratzing and Göttweig-Aigen (see Havliček et al. 1998).

The sequence Langenlois 2 shows an age of 246 ± 50 ka in its basal fluvial deposits. This age is close to the beginning of MIS 7 (Lisiecki & Raymo 2005) and gives an approximation for the faunal remains at this site, which stand for forest to park-like paleoenvironmental conditions and might reflect the beginning of an interglacial (Thiel et al. 2011c).

The next older dating results stand for minimum ages in the range of the given constraints of the dating method. Stratzing and Langenlois record ages of >300 ka for the basal parts, and Göttweig is with an result of >350 ka in consistent with older age estimates of Kovanda et al. (1994).

All gathered information in the study sites give evidence of numerous and intensive land forming processes in form of erosion and reposition.
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