SegH and Hef: two novel homing endonucleases whose genes replace the mobC and mobE genes in several T4-related phages
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ABSTRACT

T4 contains two groups of genes with similarity to homing endonucleases, the seg-genes (similarity to endonucleases encoded by group I introns) containing GIY-YIG motifs and the mob-genes (similarity to mobile endonucleases) containing H-N-H motifs. The four seg-genes characterized to date encode homing endonucleases with cleavage sites close to their respective gene loci while none of the mob-genes have been shown to cleave DNA. Of 18 phages screened, only T4 was found to have mobC while mobE genes were found in five additional phages. Interestingly, three phages encoded a seg-like gene (hereby called segH) with a GIY-YIG motif in place of mobC. An additional phage has an unrelated gene called hef (homing endonuclease-like function) in place of the mobE gene. The gene products of both novel genes displayed homing endonuclease activity with cleavage site specificity close to their respective genes. In contrast to intron encoded homing endonucleases, both SegH and Hef can cleave their own DNA as well as DNA from phages without the genes. Both segH and mobE (and most likely hef) can hom between phages in mixed infections. We discuss why it might be a selective advantage for phage freestanding homing endonucleases to cleave both HEG-containing and HEG-less genomes.

INTRODUCTION

Homing endonucleases are mobile genetic elements that can promote their own horizontal transfer by a process called homing (1). This occurs if the homing endonuclease encounters a genome that does not contain the endonuclease gene (HEG) at the cognate site. The HEG-less genome is cleaved by the endonuclease and repaired by the cell machinery via double strand break repair using the HEG-containing allele as template thereby inserting the HEG at the cognate site in the cleaved genome [reviewed in (2)]. HEGs are found both intergenically (freestanding) and frequently inserted within intervening sequences (group I, or group II introns, or inteins) where they confer mobility both to themselves and the surrounding splicing element via homing (3–8). Insertion of a HEG into an intervening sequence is thought to be beneficial for the HEG because it increases the number of potential homing targets since the splicing capability of the intron allows an intron-associated HEG to be inserted also into coding sequences without disrupting their function (5,6). Four families of homing endonucleases have been described based on conserved sequence motifs within them, LAGLIDAG, GIY-YIG, H-N-H and His-Cys box [reviewed in (9)]. Bacteriophage T4 contains three intron-associated HEGs and at least 12 freestanding genes with similarity to intron-encoded homing endonucleases (10,11). Only two of the intron-associated HEGs encode active homing endonucleases (I-TevI and I-TevII) while the third (I-TevIII in the nrdB intron) is rendered inactive due to a large internal deletion (12). Seven of the freestanding HEGs have similarities to the GIY-YIG family of intron-encoded homing endonucleases (10,13,14) and the remaining five have similarity to the H-N-H family of homing endonucleases (11). The GIY-YIG genes are called segA-G (for similarity to endonucleases encoded by group I introns) and four of them have been shown experimentally to encode freestanding homing endonucleases (segA (10,15), segE (16), segF (formerly gene 69) (13) and segG (formerly gene 32.1) (14). The H-N-H genes are called mobA-E (for similarity to mobile endonucleases), but none of the mob-genes have so far been shown to possess...
endonuclease activity or homing capability (17). The different seg- genes and mob-genes are only scarcely represented in phages closely related to T4 (10,15,16,18). It is intriguing that T4 contains so many seemingly non-essential putative homing endonuclease genes while they are absent in many of its close relatives.

In a previous study of the distribution of group I introns among T4-related phages we PCR screened and sequenced the td-rrdAB and nrdDG regions from more than 20 phage strains (19). In T4 these regions contain the three well-characterized intron-encoded HEGs (I-TevI in td, I-TevII in nrdD and I-TevIII in nrdB) and also two freestanding, putative HEGs containing the H-N-H motif, mobE between nrdA and nrdB, and mobC between nrdD and nrdG (cf. Figure 5A). These two freestanding putative HEGs have previously only been found in T4 (10,15,16,18). Here we show that mobE genes are present in five additional T-even-like phages besides T4. No additional phages with mobC were found. Interestingly, some of the phages that lacked either mobE or mobC had completely unrelated genes inserted at the corresponding sites. One novel gene was found replacing mobC in three phages and shows similarity to the GIY-YIG seg-genes of T4 and a second gene was found replacing mobE in phage U5 and has no similarity to any known homing endonuclease. Both novel gene products displayed endonuclease activity with cleavage sites close to their gene loci in the phage genomes, a feature characteristic for homing endonucleases. We have therefore named the novel seg-gene segH and the U5 gene hef (for homing endonuclease-like function). Homing studies in mixed phage infections show that segH displays site-specific homing. In addition we have obtained evidence for homing of the mobE gene in phage T6.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacteria and phages

Strains of the original T-evens, T2H, T4D and T6 were kindly provided by Elisabeth Haggård, Dept. of Genetics, Stockholm University. Strains RB2, RB3, RB27, RB32, RB49, RB69, LZ1, LZ7, Tulla and U5 were kindly provided by Karin Carlson, Dept. of Cell and Molecular Biology, Uppsala University. RB14, RB15, RB23 and RB51 are from our stocks, originally a gift from Sean Eddy and phage LZ2 was kindly provided for this study by Sean Eddy, Dept. of Genetics, Washington University of Medicine. Escherichia coli B0 was used as host strain for all phage work and is from our stocks.

Screen for mobC and mobE genes

Amplifications were performed using 2.5 U of proofreading Pfu DNA polymerase (Stratagene) for 30 cycles according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Primers for PCR amplification were for mobE: A2 and BS20, and for mobC: D2 and G4 (Supplementary Table 1). PCR products were analysed on agarose gels and purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification kit (Qiagen) to remove buffers, nucleotides and primers before sequencing. PCR fragments were sequenced on both strands using DYEnamic ET Terminator Cycle Sequencing for MEGA Bace from Amersham Pharmacia Biotech. All sequences obtained in this study have been reported to GenBank™, either as updates to previously reported files AY262125–AY262139, AY262142 and AY262144–AY262145, or as novel accessions nos DQ178119–DQ178121.

In vitro expression of proteins

Targets for in vitro translations were amplified directly from phage using the following primer pairs with T7 promoter sequence and reticulocyte ribosome binding sequence: Retic T4 mobE T7 primer together with T4, T6, RB2, RB15 mobE end primer. Retic RB3/T6 mobE T7 primer together with T4, T6, RB2, RB15 mobE end primer or RB3 mobE end primer, Retic T4 mobC T7 primer together with G2, Retic segH T7 primer together with segH 3′ primer and Retic U5 hef T7 primer together with U5.1 (Supplementary Table 1). PCR products were purified using QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen) and used as templates in in vitro translation reactions using the reticulocyte in vitro translation kit TNT® T7 Quick for PCR DNA (Promega) according to the manufacturers’ recommendations. Radiolabelled [35S]-Met was included in the reactions and products were separated on 12% polyacrylamide gels and analysed by PhosphoImager (FujiFilm FLA-3000).

In vivo expression and purification of SegH

A PCR fragment of segH from LZ2 was cloned into pET21(+) (Stratagene) and verified by DNA sequencing (MWG-biotech). Expression of C-terminally His-tagged segH was performed in E.coli strain BL21(DE3) codon plus, and cells were harvested after 2 h induction with 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). Cells were disrupted in a X-press chamber (AB Bionix) and extracted in sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), containing 20 mM imidazol. The suspension was centrifuged and the supernatant was loaded onto a HisTrap™ HP column (Amersham Biosciences) and washed according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. SegH was eluted at 116 mM imidazol using a stepwise increase of imidazol concentration.

In vitro endonuclease assays

All targets for cleavage assays were amplified from T2 by PCR and purified using QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen). Primers for target amplifications shown in Figure 2B were: Pat11, G2(F), BS10 and A2(F) (Supplementary Table 1); (F) denotes primers that were Fluorescein-labelled in the 5′ end. In vitro translation products were used directly in cleavage reactions. Reaction conditions were as follows: up to 800 fmol target DNA, 5 μl in vitro translation reaction and 3 μg RNase A in a total volume of 50 μl were incubated at 37°C for 30 min in 66 mM K-acetate, 33 mM Tris-acetate (pH 7.9), 10 mM Mg-acetate, 0.1 mg/ml BSA (for MobC and SegH) and in 100 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 10 mM MgCl2, 0.1 mg/ml BSA (for MobE and Hef). Incubations with target DNA and in vitro translation mix without primer DNA served as negative controls. Cleavage results were analysed on agarose gels by excitation at 473 nm and filtered at 520 nm (FujiFilm FLA-3000); ImageGauge v3.45 (FujiFilm) was used for the quantification of cleavage.

Primers for target amplifications shown in Figures 3A and B were: D3, D4, G4, H2, A2, U5.1 and 43B (Table 1,
Supplementary Data). In vitro translation products were used directly in cleavage reactions. Reaction conditions were as follows: up to 10 μl target DNA and 3 μl in vitro translation reaction were incubated for cleavage as above. For cleavage site mapping one 32P-radiolabelled primer was used in the target PCR and cleavage products were separated on 8% polyacrylamide gels together with sequencing ladders produced with the fmol® DNA Cycle Sequencing System (Promega) using the same labelled primers: nrdB1, nrdB2, D4 and G2 (Supplementary Table 1).

Targets used in SegH competition assay were amplified from T2, using primers Pat11 and G2(F) and from T4 and LZ2 using Pat11 and G4(F) (Supplementary Table 1). Targets used in Hef competition assay were amplified from T2, T4 and U5 using primers BS10 and A2(F) (Supplementary Table 1).

Competition assays with 0.4 and 0.8 mg of partially purified His-tagged SegH were performed with equimolar amounts of targets (700 fmol of T2, T4 and LZ2) in 20 μl reactions. The cleavage reaction was performed in 66 mM K-acetate, 33 mM Tris-acetate (pH 7.9), 10 mM Mg-acetate, 0.1 mg/ml BSA at 37°C, and samples were withdrawn for analyses as indicated. Competition assays with 5 and 10 μl of in vitro translated Hef were performed in 50 μl reactions with equimolar amounts of targets (700 fmol of T2, T4 and U5). The cleavage reaction

Figure 1. (A) Alignment of T4 Seg-proteins and LZ2 SegH. (B) Alignment of the different MobE proteins. The sequence of the MobE protein from Schizo-T-even phage AehI was obtained from the genome sequence, GenBank accession no. AY266303. Residues with black shading and white text are identical in 70% of the sequences, grey shading and black text denotes positions with similar residues in 70% of the sequences. (C) Alignment of a region of Hef (residues 251–345) with a region of a hypothetical protein from Corynebacterium glutamicum (residues 283–370 of 606, GenBank accession no. NP_600985) and a region from a representative ORF with unknown function that appears to be related to a diverse group of endonucleases (residues 9–102 of 144 from Rhodopseudomonas palustris hypothetical protein, GenBank accession no. NP_946397). Residues with black shading are conserved, and asterisks denote highly conserved residues among the diverse group of endonucleases.
was performed in 100 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5),
10 mM MgCl2, 0.1 mg/ml BSA and 3 μg RNase A at 37°C, and
samples were withdrawn for analyses as indicated. Samples
were immediately purified using QIAquick PCR purification
kit (Qiagen). Cleavage results were analysed on agarose gels
by excitation at 473 nm and filtered at 520 nm (Fujifilm
FLA-3000); ImageGauge v3.45 (Fujifilm) was used for the
quantification of cleavage.

Mixed phage infections and PCR screening of homing
Equal amounts of two phage strains (2.5 × 10⁹ p.f.u.) were
mixed with 5 × 10⁸ cells from a mid log-phase culture giving a
multiplicity of infection of five of each phage. Incubation was
continued for 90 min at 37°C with vigorous shaking. Cells
were lysed with chloroform and progeny phage plated on new
bacteria. For each cross 24 plaques were picked and resuspen-
ded in 20 μl of water. A 5 μl aliquot of the suspension was
used as template in a 25 μl PCR with 1 U of Tag polymerase
(Fermentas) according to the manufacturer’s recommenda-
tions. Primers for screening of HEG presence were for td
intron: tdlVASA and tdlVSB, for nrdB intron: nrdDVISA
and nrdDVISB, for U5 nrdB intron: nrdDVISA and nrdDIVS-
USB, for hef: U5.1 and U5.2, for segH1: segH1 and segH
rev, for mobE: A2 and BS20, and for mobC: D2 and G2
(Supplementary Table 1).

Screening for markers flanking HEGs
Amplification of the nrdD-nrdG region from progeny phage
was performed as described above using primers D8 and G4
and for the nrdA-nrdB region BS40 and 43B (Supplementary
Table 1). Phage specific markers were screened by restriction
cleavage at sites indicated in Figure 5C, for the nrdG gene
of RB3/T2 crosses by direct sequencing using the G4 primer,
and in Figure 5D by direct sequencing using primers BS40
and 43B.

RESULTS
Screen for mobC and mobE among T-even-like
bacteriophages
The T4 mobC gene is inserted between genes nrdD and nrdG
coding for the anaerobic ribonucleotide reductase and its activ-
ator protein, respectively. In a PCR screen of the region be-
tween nrdD and nrdG among 20 T-even-like phages we found
that only T4 produced a fragment of mobC size. Phages T6,
RB3 and LZ2 produced slightly larger PCR products and the
remaining phages produced fragments indicating no additional
gene inbetween nrdD and nrdG (data not shown). Surpris-
ingly, sequencing of the PCR fragments from T6, RB3 and
LZ2 showed that they all contain a completely different gene
in this position with similarity to the T4 seg-genes (Figure 1A).
We have named the new gene segH. The T6, RB3 and LZ2
segH sequences are very similar with the protein products only
differing at five out of 276 amino acid residues (data not shown).

The putative H-N-H endonuclease gene mobE in T4 is located
between the genes coding for the large (nrdA) and the small
(nrdB) subunits of the aerobic ribonucleotide reductase.
In a PCR screen of 18 T-even-like phages we found that
phages T6, RB2, RB3, RB15 and LZ7 had insertions matching
the length of T4 mobE in this region while phage U5 had a
much longer insertion. Sequencing of the U5 region shows that
it encodes a protein of 544 amino acids with no similarity to
any known homing endonuclease, but containing a domain of
unknown function (20) that appears to be related to a diverse
group of endonucleases (Figure 1C). We have named the U5
gene hef for homing endonuclease-like function (see below).
The remaining phages in the screen did not contain any gene
inbetween nrdA and nrdB.

Sequencing of the nrdA-mobE-nrdB region in T4 showed
that mobE differed from previously published sequences
(21,22) by two frame shifts that brought two previously annotated
open reading frames (ORF) into a continuous open reading
frame from the stop codon of nrdA to the start codon of
nrdB (19). Sequencing of T6, RB2, RB3, RB15 and LZ7
confirms that they also contain continuous mobE ORFs
between nrdA and nrdB with somewhat varying sequences
(Figure 1B). The genome sequence of the schizo-T-even phage
Aeh1 also encodes a mobE gene of similar size in this region
(Figure 1B). All in all this indicates that the original
sequence of T4 mobE obtained from a cloned genome frag-
ment (21,22) was of a non-functional gene (perhaps generated
during the cloning procedure). Indeed, our attempts to clone
T4 mobE have produced several frame shifted and mutated
versions. However, we have now managed to clone T4 mobE
and the gene product is highly toxic when expressed in E.coli
(data not shown), a feature shared with numerous proven

Figure 2. (A) PAGE gel 8% of the in vitro translation products. Proteins were
labelled with [³⁵S]Methionine during in vitro translation and bands were
visualized by phosphomager analysis. (B) Agarose gel from cleavage assay
with in vitro translated T4 MobC (lane 2), LZ2 SegH (lane 3), T4 MobE (lane 5)
and U5 Hef (lane 6); control incubations with in vitro translation mix without
template DNA (lanes 1, 4). DNA targets were labelled at one end with
Fluorescein-labelled primer thereby generating only one labelled band upon
cleavage.
Figure 3. Sequencing reactions run alongside single strand labelled cleavage reactions to map (A) the SegH cleavage site, and (B) the Hef cleavage site. Note that the second gel is inverted to illustrate the cleavage sites on both strands. Sequence variation surrounding (C) the SegH cleavage site in some T-even-like phages with or without optional genes mobC or segH, and (D) the Hef cleavage site in T-even-like phages with and without optional genes mobE or hef.

Figure 4. Competition between target sites for SegH and Hef endonucleases. (A) Purified SegH cleavage of target sites from T2 (triangles), T4 (squares) and LZ2 (circles). (B) Cleavage of T2 (triangles), T4 (squares) and U5 (circles) target sites by in vitro translated Hef. Open symbols denote incubations with twice as much endonuclease as in incubations denoted with closed symbols.
homing endonuclease genes (23). The toxicity to E.coli, its scattered distribution in phages closely related to T4 and the strong exclusion of T2 markers around mobE in mixed infections with T4 (14,19) suggest that MobE is an endonuclease with homing properties.

SegH and Hef: two novel sequence specific endonucleases

To test if any of these putative homing endonucleases are functional we in vitro expressed the gene products of three of the mobE genes (from T4, T6 and RB3, respectively), T4 mobC, LZ2 segH and U5 hef from PCR fragments with a T7 promoter sequence inserted before the start codon of the respective gene. All in vitro reactions yielded proteins of the expected molecular masses (Figure 2A).

After degradation of RNA the in vitro translated proteins were used directly for endonuclease assays. As can be seen in the left part of Figure 2B incubation of LZ2 SegH translation extract with a PCR fragment fluorescently labelled at one end and spanning the nrdD-nrdG region from T2 generated a specific double strand cleavage product while no such activity was detected with a T4 MobC translation or with a mock translation reaction where no T7 translation template was added. SegH could also cleave DNA of the same genetic region from T4 and LZ2, and from the lengths of the cleavage products generated with DNA targets amplified with different primer combinations we were able to locate the SegH cleavage site to the end of the nrdG gene for all phages (data not shown).

Double strand cleavage was also shown to occur when in vitro translated U5 Hef was incubated with a fluorescently labelled PCR fragment spanning the nrdA-nrdB region from T2 (Figure 2B, right part). However, in vitro translated T4 MobE or a mock translation reaction without added T7 template had no endonuclease activity. Further analysis showed that Hef could also cleave T4, T6 and RB3 DNA at the same position around 250 bp into the nrdB gene (data not shown).

We further tested the T4, T6 and RB3 variants of MobE for cleavage of T2, T6 and RB3 DNA ranging from the intergenic region upstream of nrdA to the end of the nrdB gene (~2800 bp for T2, 3800 bp for T6 and 4000 bp for RB3) with seven different buffer conditions. No cleavage products were detected with any templates or cleavage conditions tested. The same negative results were obtained with T4 MobC on T2, T4 and LZ2 DNA spanning 1500–2000 bp including the whole nrdG gene and half of the nrdD gene (data not shown). Since several proteins with the H-N-H motif recently have been shown to nick only one strand of the DNA instead of introducing double strand breaks (24,25) we also tested T4, T6 and RB3 MobE and T4 MobC for cleavage with PCR fragments that were isotopically labelled on one strand or the other but still without detecting any cleavage (data not shown). We conclude that MobE and MobC do not display any endonuclease activity in vitro with the templates and under conditions tested.

Mapping of SegH and Hef cleavage sites and competition between different target sites

The freestanding T4 homing endonucleases characterized so far differ from intron-encoded homing endonucleases in that their cleavage sites are located several 100 bp away from the HEG insertion site (10,13–16). As mentioned above we roughly mapped the SegH cleavage site to the end of nrdD and the Hef site to within the first 300 bp of nrdB. By isotopic labelling of only one DNA strand at a time we were able to determine the exact cleavage sites on each strand (Figure 3A and B). Both SegH and Hef introduce double strand breaks with 2 nt 3’ extensions like many characterized phage homing endonucleases (6,13,14,26).

Cleavage by SegH occurs 82 and 84 nt from the end of the nrdD gene, on the coding and template strand respectively (Figure 3C). Interestingly, the SegH cleavage site coincides exactly with the position of a major shift in sequence similarity between the segH containing phages T6, RB3 and LZ2 and the T-even-like phages that do not contain segH (Figure 3C). Upstream of the cleavage site there is >95% sequence identity throughout the nrdD gene among all phages sequenced (19) while the last part of the nrdD gene, downstream of the cleavage site in the segH containing phages, shows only about 60% identity to segH-less phages. This shift in sequence similarity fits well with the co-conversion of-flanking markers between the endonuclease cleavage site and the inserted HEG that is generated upon homing (27–29) and suggests that segH originates from a phage whose sequence has diverged substantially from the rest of the T-even-like phages.

Cleavage by U5 Hef occurs 229 and 231 nt downstream of the start of nrdB, on the template and coding strands respectively (Figure 3D). This region of nrdB is quite well conserved at the DNA level among the T-even-like phages. Therefore it is not surprising that Hef cleaves DNA from T2, T4, T6 and RB3 as well as from U5. The position of the cleavage site in the middle of the first U5 nrdB exon fits well with our previous prediction that it is in fact the homing activity of Hef that mobilizes the U5 nrdB intron (that contains a non-functional I-TevIII HEG, cf. Figure 5A) (19).

For most intron-encoded homing endonucleases, insertion of the intron disrupts the recognition site. However, for freestanding homing endonucleases the separation of the cleavage site and the insertion site frequently results in both HEG-containing as well as HEG-less alleles being cut (13–16). Extensive sequence variation which limits the frequency of...
recombination events between the cut site and the HEG insertion site has been suggested to increase the homing efficiency of the HEG by expanding the region to be replaced (13,28). If efficient recombination were possible in the region between the cut and the HEG insertion site, repair would proceed without transfer of the HEG in the majority of repair events. If SegH recognition includes sequences downstream of the cleavage site the extensive sequence differences between HEG-containing and HEG-less alleles in this region could make segH-containing alleles resistant to cleavage.

To test if SegH and Hef show any cleavage specificity for different phage DNA sequences, competition assays were performed. Partially purified SegH shows a higher cleavage activity towards T2 (without any HEG in the nrdDG region) and T4 (with mobE in the nrdDG region) compared to the LZ2 nrdDG region in a mix of equimolar concentrations of PCR amplified targets (Figure 4A). If this is due to differences in target binding or in cleavage activity remains to be determined (work in progress). Competition assays with in vitro translated U5 Hef protein showed no preferential cleavage activity towards a specific target in a mixture of equimolar concentrations of PCR amplified nrdAB regions from T2 (without any HEG in the nrdAB region), T4 (with mobE in the nrdAB region) and U5 (Figure 4B) in accordance with the high degree of sequence similarity around the cleavage site between the phages.

**Homing of segH and mobE in mixed phage infections**

To assess if segH and mobE can home to HEG-less phages and to further investigate the reported unidirectional inheritance of mobE (19), we performed mixed infections (two different phages infecting the same bacterium) using donor phages containing various combinations of mobE, segH and hef (Figure 5). If only neutral recombination occurs, the theoretical occurrence of an allele from either phage in the progeny of a mixed infection is 50%. However, homing endonucleases have been shown to cause a phenomenon termed localized marker exclusion where genetic markers from the HEG-less recipient phage are excluded in the progeny of the cross while the HEG is strongly over represented (13,14,30). In addition, some phages generally exclude other phage alleles to varying extent by still largely unknown mechanisms (30). We have shown previously that T2 generally excludes T6 and RB3 markers but that genes with homing properties (i.e. the td intron) can partly counteract this exclusion (19). The use of partially excluded phages as donors is a good set-up for homing studies since the donor alleles found in the progeny are most likely from homing events.

To mid-log phase bacteria we added equal amounts of donor and recipient phages in excess to minimise single infection events, and the relative frequency of occurrence of HEGs in the progeny was determined. In those phages where introns known to be homing proficient were present the frequency of these in the progeny was also determined for comparison. To assess mobE and segH homing we used either T6, RB3 or LZ2 as donor phages while U5 was used as donor for homing studies of hef (Figure 5A). T2 was used as the recipient in all crosses. Figure 5B shows that all RB3 and LZ2 markers tested were strongly excluded by the T2 recipient. Interestingly, both RB3 and LZ2 segH, and in one cross also T6 segH, were more frequent than the respective td introns that are homing proficient. This indicates that also segH is homing proficient and can propagate itself in spite of exclusion. Notably, also mobE was found at a similar frequency as td in the T6/T2 crosses suggesting that mobE is homing proficient. Progeny from the RB3/T2 crosses contained no mobE genes or nrdB introns in accordance with previous reports that this region of RB3 is very strongly excluded by T2 (12,19,30). In the U5/T2 crosses hef was strongly over-represented in the progeny (Figure 5B), and so were also the nearby td and nrdB introns, whereas, the nrdD intron with its non-functional HEG was found at a lower frequency.

To analyse further if homing of the segH, mobE and hef genes has taken place we analysed the origin of the regions flanking these genes in all progeny that contained the HEG, from the T2 crosses (Figures 5C and D). Recombination points between donor and recipient alleles were found close to the segH gene in all progeny screened from both RB3/T2 and LZ2/T2 crosses (Figure 5C). This is strong evidence that homing has taken place for this gene and corroborates our earlier data with the td intron (19) showing that homing proficient genes can overcome exclusion. Similarly, we found that 9 of the 12 progeny from the T6/T2 crosses had at least one recombination point close to the mobE gene strongly suggesting that T6 mobE is homing proficient (Figure 5D). In a previous study we observed that the homing deficient T4 nrdB intron was transmitted with the same frequency as the homing proficient td and nrdD introns in T4, and speculated that T4 mobE mobilized the nrdB intron as well as its own gene (19). Similar observations were made by Liu et al. (14). Our current results from the T6/T2 crosses strongly support this hypothesis and suggest that T4 and T6 MobE may have a cleavage site early in the nrdAB region. A similar screen of the progeny from the U5/T2 cross did not locate any T2 markers throughout the nrdA and nrdB genes (data not shown) making it impossible to determine if hef has been transferred via homing. Generally, U5 seems to exclude most phages over large genetic regions (T2, T4, RB23, LZ1, our unpublished data) making the homing results in these regions uninformative as there appears to be little mixing of alleles between U5 and other phages. The same difficulty is apparent with T4 that strongly excludes most T-even-like phages over large regions (30,31). Further analysis of homing of U5 hef as well as T4 mobC therefore has to await the construction of exact deletion variants of these strains for unbiased homing analyses (work in progress).

**DISCUSSION**

We have found that, like the T4 introns, the putative H-N-H endonuclease genes mobC and mobE of bacteriophage T4 are infrequently represented in closely related phages and that several phages have an unrelated gene at either of these positions. Phages T6, RB3 and LZ2 have a gene homologous to the T4 seg-genes replacing T4 mobC between their nrdD and nrdG genes. This gene is the eighth seg-like gene found among the T-even-like phages and we therefore name it segH (10,13,14). We show here that segH, like several of its relatives in T4, encodes an endonuclease with a cleavage site close to its gene locus, a feature common to homing endonucleases. Analysis of the co-conversion region between the segH cut site and insertion site indicates that segH has been transferred to the T-even-like phages from a genetically quite distant source.
A novel gene was also found in phage U5 between \textit{nrdA} and \textit{nrdB} (in place of the \textit{mobE} gene in T4). For \textit{in vitro} cleavage assays the product of this gene generates a double strand cut in the downstream \textit{nrdB} gene, and we name this gene \textit{hef}.

\begin{figure}
\centering
\begin{minipage}{0.5\textwidth}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure6a}
\caption{(A) Proposed pathway for transposition of a HEG via cleavage of its own genome. Occasional cleavage of the own genome by the homing endonuclease at the cleavage site gives it the opportunity to invade sites with sequence similarity at other positions in the genome, or in another genome during a mixed infection event. Single strand invasion followed by Join-cut-copy replication (38) and resolution of the Holiday junction will form a chimeric chromosome where the HEG has been joined to the new site. Short regions of initial pairing will be stabilized by DNA replication from the 3' end of the invading strand. In those cases where the homing endonuclease can cleave the alternative site the chimeric chromosome may subsequently be used as template for double strand break repair (DSBR) using the identical part (black lines) and any other short sequence similarity on the opposite side of the HEG for initiation of repair. This will result in the HEG being inserted at the new position in the genome.}
\end{minipage}
\begin{minipage}{0.5\textwidth}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure6b}
\caption{(B) Scheme of horizontal transfer of HEG to a cognate site within a phage population and invasion and spread to new sites within the same genome or an unrelated genome. The scheme is an adaptation of the cycle of intron gain and loss from reference (19). Heavy arrows indicate preferred transfer, and light arrows less frequent events.}
\end{minipage}
\end{figure}
(for homing endonuclease-like function). Even though _hef_ encodes a domain related to a diverse group of endonucleases it does not show any sequence similarity to the other known HEG families. In line with the nomenclature proposed for homing endonucleases (9,32) we suggest that the endonuclease products of _segH_ and _hef_ are called F-TevVIII and F-TevIX, respectively.

Our results show that _segH_ from LZ2 and RB3 can induce homing in mixed infections with T2 as recipient phage and that _T6 mobE_ in mixed infections with T2 also promotes its own propagation via recombination although we have still not been able to demonstrate _mobE_ cleavage _in vitro_. Since _T-even_ phages use hydroxymethylcytosine instead of cytosine and also glucosylate the HMC residues _in vivo_, with the glucosylation varying in pattern and amount between phages (33), it is not unlikely that our _in vitro_ experiments with unmodified DNA do not produce the conditions needed for recognition and cleavage by these enzymes.

Both _SegH_ and _Hef_ produce double strand cuts generating 2 nt 3' extensions and, at least _in vitro_, they cleave both HEG-containing and HEG-less alleles as seen in previously tested _T4_ Seg-endonucleases (13–16). This is in sharp contrast to the majority of intron-encoded homing endonucleases that do not cleave their own intron-containing alleles. Cleavage of the HEG-containing allele is likely to reduce the efficiency of homing. Why then do so many of the freestanding homing endonucleases cleave their own DNA? We propose that modest cleavage of the own genome does not reduce phage viability and that it may increase the frequency of transposition of the HEG to new sites within the same genome or between genomes (Figure 6). Frequent transposition to new sites followed by new spread between phages via homing is vital for the survival of a HEG in a population (19,34,35).

_T4_ utilizes its very efficient recombination machinery to ensure replication of the ends of its linear chromosome and at the same time increases the number of replication forks on the phage DNA (36,37). Single stranded 3' ends of _T4_ chromosomes are highly recombigenic and invade cognate sites on sister chromosomes followed by replication with the invaded DNA as template [for mechanism see (38)]. We propose that the high variation downstream of the site, differentiating the _segH_ cleavage specificity further. Our results show that _SegH_ from LZ2 has a preference for site-specific cleavage of _T2_ and _T4_ over LZ2 _in vitro_. _T2_ and _T4_ both lack the _segH_ but _T4_ has the _mobC_ gene instead at the equivalent site. The sequence variation surrounding the _SegH_ cleavage site is less between _T2_ and _T4_ but quite high downstream of the cleavage site between LZ2 on the one hand and _T2_ and _T4_ on the other. This high variation downstream of the site, differentiating the _segH_ -containing phages LZ2, RB3 and _T6_ from _T2_ and _T4_ may contribute to the difference in target preference.
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