Background: The metabolic syndrome (MetS) is highly prevalent and associated with higher risk of diabetes and cardiovascular events. Exercise programs have been shown to improve components of MetS, but the optimal design of a structured exercise program for treatment of the MetS remains unclear.

Purpose: To assess the impact of different exercise programs on the MetS and its components.

Methods: MEDLINE via PubMed and Embase was searched. Randomized controlled trials of supervised exercise alone and in combination with nutrition programs compared with usual care in adults with the MetS were selected. Two authors independently reviewed articles to select eligible studies and performed data abstraction. Eight studies representing 1218 patients were included. The participants had a median age of 51, median BMI of 29 kg/m², and were 55% male. Mean weight loss increased with program duration. For combination programs, the mean weight loss was $-2.6$ kg, $-3.7$ kg, and $-6.5$ kg for 3, 6, and 12 months, respectively. The components of the MetS most frequently statistically significantly improved were waist circumference (6/6 studies), blood pressure (4/6 studies), and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (3/6 studies).

Limitations: Studies did not include long-term follow-up post program completion to evaluate persistence of benefit. It is unknown whether the same results would be found in an older, more obese population.

Conclusion: Supervised exercise programs yield significant resolution of components of the MetS, particularly in reducing waist circumference. Longer program duration and frequent interval sessions appear to have highest benefit and thus may help reduce cardiovascular risk and diabetes associated with the MetS.
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Introduction

Obesity and metabolic syndrome (MetS) are highly prevalent worldwide. In the United States, metabolic syndrome is estimated to be present in approximately 30–40% of the general population and is even more prevalent in older and minority populations. The public health significance of the high prevalence of MetS is profound given that MetS is associated with a 2-fold risk of diabetes and increased risk of cardiovascular disease including hypertension, TIA/stroke, coronary heart disease, myocardial infarction, and the need for revascularization. While there are limitations to the commonly used definitions for MetS due to gender and ethnic
differences for waist circumference and varying genetic-based susceptibility to cardiovascular disease, MetS criteria, particularly central or visceral obesity, remain meaningful risk factors for cardiovascular disease.\textsuperscript{7,8}

Sedentary lifestyle is strongly associated with MetS and diabetes.\textsuperscript{9–12} Prior studies have demonstrated an inverse relationship between the numbers of daily steps taken and MetS with a decreased odds of MetS for every 1000 steps/day increment.\textsuperscript{13} Owiasiuk et al noted that 61% of the adults with MetS had low or sedentary activity and 32% had negligible physical activity based on pedometer data.\textsuperscript{14} Randomized controlled trials have consistently shown that increased physical activity can improve components of the MetS and thus represent a key treatment strategy.\textsuperscript{15–18} The precise value of exercise specifically for the treatment of MetS has been difficult to delineate given heterogeneity in study design and patient populations across studies, however. In particular, it remains unclear what value high versus moderate intensity incurs and whether the mode of exercise, i.e., aerobic versus strength versus interval training, variable impacts components of MetS. In addition, many lifestyle intervention programs include both dietary and physical activity components, and it is therefore difficult to isolate the benefit of exercise alone. In this setting, from a clinical practice standpoint, it has been challenging to provide evidence-based recommendations for exercise regimens targeted toward patients with MetS. The aim of this systematic review was to evaluate the impact of different types of structured exercise programs on the components of the MetS.

Methods

Data Sources And Searches

The systematic review was conducted following PRISMA guidelines. MEDLINE (via PubMed) and Embase were searched with the assistance of a medical research librarian. The following keywords were used for the search: “metabolic syndrome,” “insulin resistance syndrome,” “cardiometabolic syndrome,” “exercise,” “physical activity,” “resistance training,” “interval training,” or “lifestyle intervention.” Boolean operators and medical subject heading terms as well as other controlled vocabulary were used to enhance electronic searches. An example of specific search strategy details is shown in Supplementary Table 1. All human subject studies published in full-text or abstract were eligible for inclusion. The search was limited to publications from 2000 to 2018 given that more contemporary studies included patient cohorts that are most reflective of current co-morbidities and patient characteristics as a result of the evolving obesity epidemic. Additional studies of interest were identified by hand searches of bibliographies. The initial search was performed in October 2017 and the search was last updated in January 2019. Due to the limited number of studies that met inclusion criteria for this systematic review and the significant heterogeneity in study design of the included papers, it was not statistically feasible to conduct a meta-analysis.

Study Selection

Two authors (M.S.J. and M.A.T) sequentially determined study eligibility. Studies were initially screened by the first author; decisions about study inclusion were made independently by both authors (M.S.J. and M.A.T). Differences in opinion regarding study inclusion were resolved through consensus. Studies were included if they: 1) included human studies with participants 18 years of age or older with MetS; 2) randomized controlled trials evaluating the effect of supervised structured exercise and combination lifestyle intervention programs compared with usual care; 3) evaluated impact on MetS criteria. The definitions for MetS were the NCEP ATP III, European, and Asian definitions.\textsuperscript{19–21} We excluded studies that were not available with an English translation.

Data Extraction And Quality Assessment

Two authors (M.S.J and M.A.T) independently assessed the risk of study bias and study quality using the Downs and Black checklist.\textsuperscript{22} This system uses a 27-question scale to assess the quality of a study based on five domains: reporting, external validity, internal validity (bias), internal validity (confounding), and power.

Data Synthesis And Analysis

Data from eligible studies were abstracted by two authors (M.S.J. and M.A.T.). For all studies, we recorded study design, sample size, patient population characteristics, duration of program, and outcomes measured. Two authors qualitatively synthesized the results of the included studies. All authors had access to the study data and had reviewed and approved the final manuscript. We accepted the outcome definitions as stated by each study without independently validating or reviewing their data.
Results
Studies Included In The Systematic Review
After removal of duplicate entries, a total of 2385 unique articles were identified by our systematic literature search (Figure 1). On the basis of abstract review, 105 articles were selected for full-text review. Two study authors classified 8 articles as meeting the predefined criteria for analysis. Table 1 summarizes the design and outcomes of the included studies. These 8 studies included 1218 unique patients from 8 separate patient cohorts. The mean program duration was 22 weeks (range 12 weeks-1 year). The average cohort size was 152 (range 21–464). In general, cohorts included 55% males (range 0–100%) with a median age of 51 years and BMI of 29 kg/m².

Of the included studies, 5 interventions involved aerobic exercise alone, 2 involved a combination of aerobic and resistance exercise, and 1 compared aerobic exercise with combination of aerobic and resistance exercise. Nutrition counseling was included in 4 studies. Design of the nutritional counseling varied and included individual, face-to-face meetings, group meetings, and nutrition diaries. Nutrition counseling included low-calorie, low-carbohydrate and Mediterranean diets.

Impact Of Programs On Metabolic Syndrome: Exercise + Nutritional Interventions
Among programs with combination exercise and nutrition interventions, the median weight loss was −3.7 kg (range −2.6 to −6.5 kg). Participants randomized to the usual care arm had a median weight loss of −0.5 kg. In terms of resolution of MetS and its components, the average change in waist circumference (WC), triglycerides (TG), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), blood pressure (systolic and diastolic), and fasting blood glucose (FBG) according to program design are shown in Tables 2 and 3.

Impact Of Programs On Metabolic Syndrome: Exercise-Only Interventions
Amongst programs with an exercise-only intervention, the median weight loss was −1.8 kg (range −1.2 to −3.6 kg). Participants randomized to the control had a median weight change of +0.5 kg (range −0.2 to +0.8 kg). The
| Study                  | Population | Program Length | Intervention                                                                 |
|-----------------------|------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Anderssen 2007**    | 137 adults with MetS | 12 months | 3 intervention groups:  
1) Dietary counseling alone  
2) Supervised exercise alone: Endurance-based, aerobic exercise, circuit training, or jogging 3 times/week for 60 mins.  
3) Dietary counseling and supervised exercise |
| Norway                | 100% male | Mean age 45 | Mean BMI: 29 % with diabetes: NR % white: NR                               |
| **Pettman 2009**      | 153 adults with MetS | 16 weeks | “Shape up for Life” program consisting of:  
- 1 two-hour session per week providing advice on diet and exercise.  
- 1 one-hour supervised exercise session per week, consisting of aerobic and resistance exercises. |
| Australia             | 28% male | Mean age: 45 | Mean BMI: 37 % with diabetes: NR % white: NR                               |
| **Oh 2010**           | 52 adults with MetS | 6 months | 90 min sessions. 60 sessions total (3 per week for 3 months, 2 per week for 3 months).  
Program included food and exercise diaries, health education workshops, and supervised exercise sessions (yoga and Tae-Bo).  
Advised to follow a low-calorie, low-carbohydrate diet. |
| South Korea           | 0% male | Mean age: 63 | Mean BMI: 26 23% with diabetes 0% white                                        |
| **Liu 2015**          | 464 adults with MetSyn | 6 months | Low intensity lifestyle modification (LILM) program:  
- 16 sessions over 6 months on exercise & weight loss goals with 1 hr of supervised aerobic exercise  
- Food and exercise diaries |
| Taiwan                | 62% male | Mean age: 37 | Mean BMI: 28 % with diabetes: NR % white: NR                               |
| **Tjonna 2008**       | 32 adults with MetS | 16 weeks | Exercised 3 times/week. Randomized to:  
1) Moderate continuous training (CME): exercising at 70% of highest measured heart rate 3 times per week  
2) Aerobic interval training (AIT): exercising at 90% of highest measured heart rate 3 times per week |
| Norway                | 46% male | Mean age: 52 | Mean BMI: 30 % with diabetes: NR % white: NR                               |
| **Stensvold 2010**    | 43 adults with MetS | 12 weeks | Exercised 3 times/week:  
1) Aerobic interval training (AIT): walking or running at 70% peak predicted heart rate  
2) Strength training (ST)  
3) Combination of AIT and ST (COM) |
| Norway                | 60% male | Mean age: 50 | Mean BMI: NR % with diabetes: NR % white: NR                               |
| **Damirchi 2014**     | 21 adults with MetS | 12 weeks | 6 weeks of aerobic training program (3 times/week) followed by 6 weeks of detraining |
| Iran                  | 100% male | Mean age: 56 | Mean BMI: 27 % with diabetes: NR % white: NR                               |
| **Mora-Rodriguez 2018** | 163 adults with MetS | 16 weeks | Supervised aerobic interval training 3 times/week for 43 mins/session |
| Spain                 | 50% male | Mean age: 54 | Mean BMI: 32 % with diabetes: NR % white: 100%                             |

**Abbreviations:** MetS, metabolic syndrome; WC, waist circumference; TG, triglycerides; sBP, systolic blood pressure; dBP, diastolic blood pressure; MAP, mean arterial pressure; FBG, fasting blood glucose; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein; NR, not reported.
Table 2 Impact Of Structured Exercise Programs On Components Of Metabolic Syndrome

| Study Title                  | Group | Weight (kg) | WC (cm) | TG (mg/dL) | HDL-C (mg/dL) | sBP (mmHg) | dBP (mmHg) | FBG (mg/dL) |
|------------------------------|-------|-------------|---------|------------|---------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| **Combination Exercise and Nutrition Compared to Usual Care** |       |             |         |            |               |            |            |             |
| Anderssen 2007\(^2\)        | INT   | −5.2        | NR      | NR         | NR            | NR         | NR         | NR          |
|                             |       | Exercise alone: −1.3 |       |            |               |            |            |             |
|                             |       | Diet + exercise: −6.5 |       |            |               |            |            |             |
|                             | CON   | 0.8         | NR      | NR         | NR            | NR         | NR         | NR          |
| Pettman 2009\(^3\)         | INT   | −2.6\(^a\)  | −4.2\(^a\) | −5.2       | 0.5\(^a\)     | −2.5\(^a\) | −1.0\(^a\) | −4.3        |
|                             |       | 0.43        | −1.04   | −2.5       | 1.8           | 3.3        | 2.4        | −0.9        |
| Oh 2010\(^4\)              | INT   | −4.3\(^a\)  | −9.4\(^a\) | 4.6        | 4.6           | −6.1       | −5.0       | −7.2        |
|                             |       | −0.9        | −0.6    | 2.9        | 2.8           | −3.4       | −6.9       | 9.6         |
| Liu 2015\(^5\)             | INT   | −3.0 (3.5)\(^a\) | NR      | NR         | NR            | NR         | NR         | NR          |
|                             |       | −0.61 (2.8) | NR      | NR         | NR            | NR         | NR         | NR          |
| **Exercise Alone Compared to Usual Care** |       |             |         |            |               |            |            |             |
| Tjonna 2008\(^6\)          | INT   | CME group: −3.6\(^a\) | CME group: −6.1\(^a\) | CME group: 3.6 | CME group: 1.8 | CME group: −10\(^a\) | CME group: −6 | CME group: 7.2 |
|                             |       | AIT group: −2.3\(^a\) | AIT group: −5.0\(^a\) | AIT group: 1.8 | AIT group: 3.6\(^a\) | AIT group: −9\(^a\) | AIT group: 1218p | AIT group: −5.4 |
|                             | CON   | −0.2        | −2.3    | 2.9        | −0.7          | −5         | 1          | 12.6\(^a\)  |
| Stensvold 2010\(^7\)       | INT   | AIT: −1.3\(^a\) | AIT: −9.0 | AIT: 1.1   | AIT: −5.8     | AIT: −4.0  | AIT: −1.8  |             |
|                             |       | ST: −0.7\(^a\) | ST: −5.4 | ST: 2.0    | ST: −3.5      | ST: 0.8    | ST: −7.2   |             |
|                             | CON   | NR          | 1.7\(^a\) | 0          | −0.4          | 0.6        | −0.6       | −1.8        |
| Damirchi 2014\(^8\)        | INT   | After training: −2.75 (0.8)\(^a\) | After training: −46.75 (8.6)\(^a\) | After training: 10 (2.1)\(^a\) | MAP: −3.54 (1.33)\(^a\) | NR         | After training: −11.62 (3.3)\(^a\) |
|                             | CON   | NR          | NR      | NR         | NR            | NR         | NR         | NR          |

(Continued)
average change in MetS, WC, TG, HDL, blood pressure, and FBG according to program design is shown in Tables 2 and 3.

### Quality Assessment And Risk Of Bias
Studies were of moderate quality. The primary categories that received lower scores were internal validity-bias, internal validity–confounding and power (Supplementary Table 2).

### Discussion
While obesity and a sedentary lifestyle have been associated with the development of the MetS, in clinical practice it has been challenging to provide evidence-based recommendations for physical activity as a primary therapy for the MetS and components. In particular, there has been conflicting evidence regarding the ideal type, frequency, and setting for exercise targeted toward resolution of components of MetS. Herein, we conducted a systematic review of the literature to identify randomized controlled trials of supervised exercise programs compared to usual care in order to better characterize the value of different exercise programs for the treatment of MetS. Our results reinforce the significant benefit of structured
exercise for multiple components of MetS, particularly for reducing waist circumference, increasing HDL-C, and improving blood pressure. Moreover, these programs were effective across diverse patient cohorts despite relatively minimal time requirements and intensity of exercise.

As hypothesized, the randomized controlled trials that incorporated combination exercise and nutrition interventions seem to result in greater benefits when compared with exercise only interventions.\(^\text{17,23-25}\) The median weight loss in combined interventions was \(-3.7\) kg compared to \(-1.8\) kg in the exercise alone arms, with \(61\%\) of the participants having resolution of MetS compared to \(38\%\). Our findings highlight the point that nutritional changes are critical for weight loss. Salas-Salvado et al randomized a similar population of adults with MetS to a 12-month combination lifestyle intervention program, which involved following a Mediterranean diet, promoting physical activity, and behavioral support, or usual care. Although the intervention did not involve supervised aerobic exercise, the participants in the intervention group had an average weight loss of \(-3.2\) kg compared to \(-0.7\) kg in the control group (\(p<0.001\)). Participants in the intervention group also showed significant improvements in waist circumference (\(-3.1\) vs \(-0.7\) cm, \(p < 0.001\)), fasting blood glucose (\(p=0.002\)) triglycerides (\(p=0.002\)), and HDL-C (\(p<0.001\)).\(^\text{40}\)

Our results also highlight the fact that exercise has significant metabolic benefits even in the absence of significant weight loss.\(^\text{23}\) This was demonstrated in a study by Malin et al in which individuals with the MetS participated in 300 mins/week of exercise and were randomized to a high- versus low-glycemic diet. Interestingly, both groups showed similar improvements in waist circumference, blood pressure, triglycerides, and fasting glucose.\(^\text{39}\) In the 6 studies where data for each component of the MetS was reported, the components that were the most frequently improved were WC (6/6 studies), BP (4/6 studies), and HDL-C (3/6 studies).\(^\text{17,24-28}\) Interestingly, triglycerides appeared to be less responsive to exercise-based interventions possibly because triglyceride reduction is typically mild without weight loss.

In terms of mode of exercise that provides the highest yield for MetS, Tjonna et al compared moderate continuous training (CME) with aerobic interval training (AIT) and found some benefit to AIT, specifically a significantly greater reduction in fasting glucose (\(-5.4\) vs \(+12.6\) mg/dL) and MetS prevalence (\(-45\%\) vs \(0\%\)). However, this finding requires further validation in larger cohorts.\(^\text{15,26}\) The added value of high- vs moderate-intensity exercise remains unclear.\(^\text{41,29}\) In general, more frequent exercise appears to be more effective and is in line with general recommendations for exercise by the United States Preventive Task Force for 150 mins/week for adults.\(^\text{30}\) This is supported by data from a study by Kemmler et al where women with MetS were randomized to an exercise intervention (four 60-min sessions per week, combination of aerobic and strength training) or a wellness intervention (one 60-min session per week, low-intensity physical activity such as walking). After 12 months, the exercise group showed significant improvement in waist circumference, sBP, dBP, triglycerides, and HDL-C.\(^\text{15}\) While strength training is often recommended in addition to aerobic training, the one study to evaluate this in the setting of a randomized clinical trial was unable to show significant additional benefit for the treatment of MetS.\(^\text{27}\) The duration of the program was the other key variable associated with degree of benefit for MetS. In general, a longer program duration was associated with greater weight loss. For the combination programs, the mean weight loss was \(-2.6\) kg, \(-3.7\) kg, and \(-6.5\) kg for 3, 6, and 12 month programs, respectively.

Identifying cost-effective, scalable, and sustainable mechanisms to promote physical activity among persons with MetS is a key area of need. There is a strong foundation of literature that highlights the potential efficacy of telephone- and online-based follow-up that overcomes many of the logistical challenges of in-person visits.\(^\text{31-35}\) Fappa et al provided 87 adults with MetS with verbal instructions to follow a calorie-restricted, Mediterranean diet and increased physical activity. Participants were then randomized to a telephone intervention, which involved seven 20-min dietary counseling calls, face-to-face intervention, which involved seven one-on-one 1-hr dietary counseling sessions, or usual care. Interestingly, after 6 months, both intervention groups showed similar improvements in the prevalence of the MetS, WC, TG, and sBP and dBP. The face-to-face intervention only provided additional benefit for FBG.\(^\text{32}\) Similarly, a study by Christian et al randomized 279 adults to an online program that helped set personalized goals for weight loss, nutrition, and physical activity and addressed barriers to lifestyle changes or usual care. After 12 months, the intervention group showed significant improvements in weight and WC, demonstrating that significant improvements still can be gained if the infrastructure for frequent face-to-face visits is not available.\(^\text{33}\) The Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP) randomized patients at risk for diabetes to placebo,
metformin, or a lifestyle intervention program, which recommended a goal of 7% weight loss and least 150 mins of physical activity per week. After 2.8 years, the lifestyle intervention program was associated with 58% reduction in the incidence of diabetes when compared with placebo. After the DPP ended, participants were offered the option to continue in a maintenance phase of the program, which involved lifestyle sessions every 3 months and 4 group sessions per year. Ten years after DPP started, the incidence of diabetes was reduced by 34% in the lifestyle intervention group when compared with placebo. While all the patients in this study did not have metabolic syndrome, the participants had similar cardiovascular risk factors with an average BMI of 34.0, waist circumference of 105.1 cm, and hemoglobin A1c of 5.91%. This demonstrates that lifestyle intervention programs can provide long-term reduction in cardiovascular disease risk factors, even in high-risk populations.

This review does have limitations to note. First, the studies discussed did not have a component of long-term follow-up and so it is unknown whether participants maintain the metabolic benefits achieved with the intervention over time. Second, the median age of participants was 51 and median BMI was 29 kg/m² so it is unknown whether the same results would be found in an older, and/or more obese population. Lastly, the total number of studies eligible for the review was small, limiting the ability to compare in detail the impact of different designs of exercise interventions for MetS (interval, intensity, duration). This highlights an important unmet need in literature and outlines future studies that would add to our understanding of how best to guide therapy for patients with MetS.

In conclusion, in adults with MetS, structured exercise programs compared to usual care result in a modest but significant improvement in components of MetS, particularly waist circumference, HDL-C, and blood pressure, as well as an overall reduction in the prevalence of MetS. Combination exercise and nutrition interventions, longer program duration, and more frequent exercise sessions were associated with the greatest benefit. There is a strong foundation of data supporting that follow-up via phone or eHealth to facilitate and promote exercise is a low-cost and sustainable approach to implement physical activity as a primary therapy for MetS. More detailed assessments of different exercise programs over longer durations and with long-term follow-up are required to better delineate distinct benefits of different exercise programs for MetS.
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