Finite element analysis of the flexor digitorum profundus tendon during a passive rehabilitation protocol
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ABSTRACT: The present study aims to create a patient-specific hand model to simulate the passive rehabilitation on the index finger, quantifying the flexor digitorum profundus (FDP) tendon excursion and the stress experienced during simulated flexion. The computational model used in this analysis was created from an unknown patient dataset available in the Embodi3d online library. The segmentation, three-dimensional reconstruction, and modeling of the structures involved were performed using Materialise Mimics and Rhino3D. The FDP tendon excursion and stress values present in the model were calculated in the ANSYS environment. Based on the finite-element simulation, the FDP tendon presents an excursion of 10.1 mm during passive postoperative flexion. The highest-stress values were observed between the pulleys-FDP tendon contact surfaces. In particular, the pulley A1 exhibited the maximum principal stress of the model with a 58.7 MPa. The pulley A3 showed the same stress distribution pattern that A1 Pulley, but with the lowest values. The FDP Tendon excursion obtained is consistent with the results reported in the literature, which vary from 8 to 11 mm. The stress values found in the model explain the importance of the pulley mechanism keeping the FDP tendon attached to the finger bone during the range of motion experienced. The silico model proposed may potentially be used in the assessment of new medical device proposals in the field of hand reconstructive surgery.

RESUMEN: El objetivo del presente estudio fue crear un modelo específico de la mano para simular la rehabilitación pasiva en el dedo índice, cuantificando la excursión y la tensión experimentada del tendón flexor profundo (FP). El modelo computacional utilizado se creó a partir de un conjunto de datos disponibles en la biblioteca de Embodi3d. La segmentación, la reconstrucción tridimensional y el modelado de las estructuras se realizaron utilizando Materialise Mimics y Rhino3D. La excursión y los valores de tensión presentes en el modelo se calcularon en ANSYS. El tendón FP presenta una excursión de 10,1 mm durante la flexión postoperatoria pasiva. Los valores de tensión más altos se observaron entre las superficies de contacto entre las poleas y el tendón. En particular, la polea A1 exhibió la tensión principal máxima del modelo con 58,7 MPa. La polea A3 mostró el mismo patrón de distribución de tensiones que la polea A1, pero con los valores más bajos. La excursión del tendón obtenida es consistente con los resultados reportados en literatura que varían de 8 a 11 mm. Los valores de tensión encontrados explican la importancia del mecanismo de poleas que mantiene el tendón FP unido al hueso durante el rango de movimiento experimentado. El modelo propuesto puede potencialmente utilizarse en la evaluación de nuevas propuestas de dispositivos médicos en el campo de la cirugía reconstructiva de la mano.

1. Introduction

The flexor tendons of the hand are very fine and complex structures; these transmit the muscle forces to provide
to the fingers a great range of motion (ROM), strength, touch, and precision [1]. The hands, as a functional organ, are responsible for a wide variety of activities of daily life, especially in professionals and athletes. In this context, the hands are quite always exposed to injuries and accidents. Currently, the most frequent causes of hand trauma include partial and total tendon ruptures [2]. According to current statistics reported by the Italian Hospital Discharge Registry, from 2016 to 2019, approximately 30,000 cases of tendon injuries have been reported per year, of which 30% correspond to injuries of the flexor and extensor tendons of the hands [3].

Based on the type of the hand tendon injury, the damage may cause a significant disability or loss of finger function. The challenge in the treatment is originated from the repair of the flexor tendons injuries, both the flexor digitorum profundus (FDP) and the flexor digitorum superficialis (FDS). In particular, management of zone II injuries where is required to re-establish the continuity of the tendons, the gliding mechanism, and preserve the pulleys [4]. At the moment, suture thread is the gold standard treatment strategy for repair ruptured tendons [5]. However, after surgery reconstruction, regardless of the suture techniques and materials, the main problems occurring are caused by (1) inadequate grasping of the tendon by the suture thread [slippage and gap formation], (2) suture knot failures (potential repair failure sites, and gliding resistance within the synovial sheath and pulleys) and (3) suture thread rupture (insufficient tensile strength). Besides, unwanted fibrous scar-like tissue formation, associated with persistent inflammation and excessive remodeling (poor functional properties of the healing tendon lead to re-rupture), and adhesions between the healing tendon and surrounding soft tissues (reduced ROM) are the primary causes of repair failure and the most common reasons for re-operation after the initial repair [6–8].

Owing to complications associated with suture thread [1–3], in the last years, a wide range of methods and options have been proposed, ranging from repair devices, barbed sutures, and scaffolds to regenerative medicine approaches. Nevertheless, several of them exhibit variable and inconsistent outcomes [9, 10]. There is a major interest in developing a solution that enables improvement, accelerates, and standardizes tendon repair but to date, replace suture thread remains an open challenge to solve in the field of hand reconstructive surgery.

As mentioned before, another limitation in the tendon healing process is the higher fibrous scar-like tissue which exhibits a lower ratio of the collagen type I [11]. As a consequence, the tendon thickens and stiffens to overcome the lower mechanical strength, and hence tissue quality is reduced [12]. Over the past few years, the concept of scarless healing has recently become of interest to researchers. However, the mechanisms underlying scarless healing have still to be elucidated. Undoubtedly, further research is needed to offer reliable clinical treatments [13]. On the other hand, the adhesions are part of the healing process and almost inevitably produce functional disability. These may occur between the FDP and FDS tendons as well as between the tendons and flexor sheath, thus increasing gliding resistance and stress at the repair site [14]. Independent of the solution (suture threads, devices, etc.), minimizing adhesions is key to the success of a flexor tendons repair; the improved rehabilitation protocols involving early postoperative mobilization have shown promising patient outcomes [15]. Indeed, early mobilization promotes intrinsic healing, reduces the severity of adhesion formation, and minimizes extrinsic scarring after tendon repair [16]. Therefore, the surgeon’s method must combine precise atraumatic tissue management along with providing a strong repair solution that enables an early post-operative motion protocol [17, 18]. The present study aims to create a patient-specific hand model to simulate the passive rehabilitation movement on the index finger, quantifying the amount of FDP tendon excursion and the stress experienced during simulated flexion.

2. Methods

2.1 Hand model segmentation and three-dimensional model construction

Three-dimensional (3D) anatomical models are based on digital imaging and communications in medicine (DICOM) format; these datasets are obtained from several acquisition modalities such as computerized tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance (MR) [19]. The great availability of accessible datasets to generate 3D anatomical models has enabled actively conducted research as well as combining imaging segmentation, 3D modeling, and Finite Elements (FE) analysis [20, 21]. The hand model used in this study was created from a CT dataset available in the Embodi3d online library containing several medical image datasets and 3D printable models for research and learning use [22]. The acquisition data from an unknown patient included a continuous image sequence of the right hand in the supine position, with the acquisition parameters, are reported in Table 1.

The segmentation and 3D reconstruction were performed by Materialise Mimics innovation suite 21.0 (Materialise, Leuven, Belgium). The bone segmentation was performed, selecting a preset threshold range between [-863 to 1013] HU (Hounsfield Unit) [23]. Owing to nearly similar contrast...
values among soft tissue structures (tendons, sheaths, pulleys) and surrounding tissue (muscles, fat, and skin) was necessary to set the segmentation threshold manually between the threshold range [-978 to -870] HU. We hypothesized that the selected threshold range coincided with tendinous sheaths’ paths through which the tendons and pulleys are assembled. The general view of this process is displayed in Figure 1.

2.2 Three-dimensional modeling of flexor digitorum profundus tendons and pulleys A1-A3

The models of the flexor tendons and pulleys A1-A3 were generated in the Rhinoceros 6.0 (Robert McNeel & Associates, Washington, United States), and Materialise 3-Matic research suite 13.0 Computer-Aided Design (CAD) systems; these were located on the volar plate. The cross-section areas (CSA) and defined paths of the tendinous sheaths obtained from CT scans were used to define the profile sketches of the tendons and curves on the CAD system. To calculate the CSA of the tendons, we approximated their cross-sectional area based on an elliptical approximation (Area = π × D1 × D2 / 4), considering the mean of the horizontal diagonal (D1=4.10). The mean vertical diagonal (D2) was reduced by approximately 50%, estimating the oval-shaped of the tendons as reported in Table 2. In addition, the design uniform shaping of the FDP tendons enables the gliding mechanism and the free excursion through the pulleys during flexion in the in-silico model.

The A1 and A3 pulleys were designed using the surfaces of the proximal phalanx and the metacarpal; this process requires 3D creating and editing tools. A2 and A4 pulleys were not considered because these do not affect ROM [14, 24]. Figure 2A shows the overlapping of the approximate cross-sectional areas of the sheath, the tendon, as well as the estimated 50% reduction thereof. At the end of the segmentation, reconstruction, and modeling process, in Figure 2(B), the anatomical model of the right hand is shown, which will be used in the simulation.

2.3 Finite element analysis modeling

Some hypotheses were considered to simplify the complexity of the physiological environment and the interactions between anatomical structures. For the present study, only the flexion of the index finger was considered. The other complementary structures were included in the model with a frozen rigid structural behavior. Besides, not all the synovial sheaths surrounding the tendons were considered; their lubrication effect during the excursion of the internal tendon was modeled as a frictionless contact with all the structures involved in the contact region. All assembled structures were exported as standard for the exchange of product model (STEP) files from Materialise 3-Matic research suite 13.0. The completed model meshed in the ANSYS R19.2 [Swanson Analysis Systems, Inc. Houston, PA, United States] Computer-aided engineering (CAE) system, where the data post-processing, deformations, and stresses were calculated. The flexor tendons, pulleys, and bones were considered as linear orthotropic materials, the tetrahedral formulation and the type of unit were 10-node elements (TED10) were set to fit geometries with 744 elements in the distal, medial, proximal phalanxes, and metacarpal bones, 1506 elements in the pulleys A1 and A3, and 296 elements in the FDP tendon (Figure 4). This type of element enables the reproduction of properly curved surfaces with curved edges, owing to each edge of the volume element has mid-side nodes [25]. The general view of the right-hand model is displayed in Figure 3.

Material properties

The bone (cortical-trabecular hard materials) and tendon (fibers at different length scales-connective matrix soft materials) present a complex composite hierarchical architecture with an anisotropic mechanical behavior which is difficult to model numerically [26–28]. To approximate the characterization of these composite materials, in literature, several studies consider constitutive models with isotropic responses [29–32]. The present study used more accurate findings, which are considered as a linear elastic orthotropic approximation of the material’s behavior. During the simulated passive post-operative movement, all the bones of the hand were considered linear cortical, and mechanical properties were based on experimental measures, which are shown in Table 3-4 [33, 34].

---

### Table 1 DICOM information, acquisition data

| Description          | Value | Unit |
|----------------------|-------|------|
| Number of slices     | 27    |      |
| Slice thickness      | 2.00  | mm   |
| Slice increment      | 2.00  |      |
| Slice spacing        | 0.32  | mm   |
| Field of view        | 163.93| mm   |
| Matrix               | 659 × 512| pixel |

### Table 2 Dimensions of diagonals and CSA of the tendon sheaths

| Anatomical structure     | D1 (mm) | D2 (mm) | Sheath Areas (mm²) |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| First flexor tendon (Thumb) | 4.11    | 4.64    | 14.90              |
| Second flexor tendon (Index) | 4.19    | 4.25    | 14.00              |
| Third flexor tendon (Middle) | 4.10    | 4.76    | 15.32              |
| Fourth flexor tendon (Ring) | 4.10    | 4.58    | 14.74              |
| Fifth flexor tendon (Little) | 4.00    | 4.21    | 13.55              |
| Mean Sheaths Area        | 4.10    | 4.48    | 14.50              |
| Selected Tendon Areas    | 4.10    | 2.24    | Range: 6.5–7.2     |
Aiming to mimic the FDP tendon and pulley behavior, principal structures of FE analysis in the passive flexion movement. An experimental investigation conducted by [35] employing mechanical properties of porcine flexor tendons, which have been demonstrated to present similar mechanical behavior [36, 37] was considered for the simulation. The FDP tendon (fibers and matrix) and
Table 3 Bone material properties: Elastic and Shear Modulus, and Poisson ratio for a linear elastic orthotropic model [33]

| Cortical bone | Value | Unit |
|---------------|-------|------|
| $E_X$         | 12.60 | MPa |
| $E_Y$         | 12.60 | MPa |
| $E_Z$         | 19.40 | MPa |
| $G_{XY}$      | 4.85  | MPa |
| $G_{YZ}$      | 5.70  | MPa |
| $G_{XZ}$      | 5.70  | MPa |
| $\nu_{XY}$    | 0.39  | -    |
| $\nu_{YZ}$    | 0.39  | -    |
| $\nu_{XZ}$    | 0.39  | -    |

Table 4 Bone material properties: Stress limits for a bone orthotropic model [34]

| Cortical bone | Value | Unit |
|---------------|-------|------|
| Tensile X     | 135   | MPa |
| Tensile Y     | 53    | MPa |
| Tensile Z     | 53    | MPa |
| Compressive X | -250  | MPa |
| Compressive Y | -40   | MPa |
| Compressive Z | -40   | MPa |
| Shear XY      | 65    | MPa |
| Shear YZ      | 65    | MPa |
| Shear XZ      | 65    | MPa |

Pulleys were defined as isotropic linear elastic materials from the rule of mixture approximation. The mechanical properties are presented in Table 5. In addition, an isotropic stress limit of 107 MPa was considered based on the cadaver study [38].

Table 5 FDP tendon and pulley material properties: Elastic and Shear Modulus, and Poisson ratio for a linear elastic orthotropic model

| Cortical bone | Value | Unit |
|---------------|-------|------|
| $E_X$         | 4.75  | MPa |
| $E_Y$         | 4.75  | MPa |
| $E_Z$         | 962.99| MPa |
| $G_{XY}$      | 1.18  | MPa |
| $G_{YZ}$      | 45.64 | MPa |
| $G_{XZ}$      | 45.64 | MPa |
| $\nu_{XY}$    | 0.25  | -    |
| $\nu_{YZ}$    | 0.23  | -    |
| $\nu_{XZ}$    | 0.23  | -    |

Table 6 Maximum-minimum deformation and stress values of the FDP tendon pulley contact regions

| FDP tendon pulley contact regions | Total deformations (mm) | Principal Stresses (MPa) |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
|                                  | Max | Min | Max | Min |
| A1                               | 18.1 | 10.8 | 58.7 | -1.6 |
| A2                               | 56.8 | 53.8 | 8.2 | -0.5 |

3. Results

Figure 5 shows total deformation in the index finger model; the highest value is present in the distal phalanx with a resultant deformation of 96.0 mm corresponding to the MCP rotation, as well the lowest value is located in the metacarpal bone. Besides, the FDP tendon presents an excursion of 10.1 mm during passive post-operative flexion starting from a supine position. Figure 6 shows the stress map of the model. The high-stress region is observed around the pulleys-FDP tendon contact regions. In particular, the A1 pulley exhibits the maximum principal stress of the model with a 58.7 MPa on the posterior FDP tendon surface. In contrast, the anterior FDP tendon surface presents compression stress of -1.6 MPa. The pulley A3 shows the same stress distribution pattern as that A1 pulley. But with the lowest values corresponding to 8.2 MPa and -0.5 MPa, respectively. Table 6 shows values corresponding to maximum-minimum deformation and stress of the FDP tendon pulley contact regions.

4. Discussion

This FE analysis aimed to assess the excursion and stresses arising in the FDP tendon-pulley assembly when an increasing load was applied simulating the passive postoperative flexion. The total deformation of the index finger showed a well-distributed trend in the model from the distal phalanx to the proximal metacarpal region, demonstrating a correct ROM as well as an appropriate
Figure 4 View of the index finger 3D model used in Finite element simulation, Ansys Static structural environment. (A) Mesh of the index finger model, tetrahedral formulation TED10 (B) Boundary conditions applied to the model

Figure 5 Total deformation of the Finite Element index finger model. FDP Tendon deformation regions are detailed; the maximum deformation is presented in the distal phalanx orientation of the structures. The resultant deformations in the model showed the FDP tendon-pulley assembly can fully transmit appropriate movement to the extremity of the distal phalanx, rotating around the axis corresponding to the MCP joint. Figure 7 shows the free-body analysis of the index finger model during a partial rotation in the YZ plane. Starting from the supine position (0, 0) and imposing MCP rotation in X, from DIP - PIP to DIP'-PIP' positions, there was a greater deformation in the most distal zone of the index finger model (YDIP' > YPIP' and ZDIP' > ZPIP'). This explains the greater deformation is located in the bone-tendon attachment (96 mm).

Several cadaver studies using different methods to assess...
Figure 6 The Stress map of the Finite Element index finger model. FDP Tendon stress regions are detailed. The maximum principal stress of the model is presented in the FDP tendon-pulley A1 contact zone.

Figure 7 Free-body analysis of the index finger model during a partial rotation in the YZ plane.

FDP tendon excursion (i.e., position markers and digital dynamometer) have reported a resultant deformation varies from 8 to 11 mm \(^{[15]}\), \(^{[41]}\), \(^{[42]}\) The FDP Tendon excursion obtained in this FE simulation is consistent with the experimental results. The metacarpal bone does not present deformations owing to the fixed supports imposed. Regarding the stress map, it may be observed that the index finger bones presented the lowest values; this is mainly because the transmission of the load was performed efficiently by the pulley’s mechanism. A similar system occurs in the fishing rod, a rigid element; however, unlike this, the bones of the hand present joints that enable the flexion of the finger and the tendon excursion. Indeed, the highest-stress values were present in the contact regions between the A1-A5 pulleys and FDP tendon; this demonstrates the importance of the structures, keeping FDP tendon attached to the finger bone during the complete ROM \(^{[43]}\).

5. Conclusions

The early motion of rehabilitation is essential after tendon repair to facilitate intrinsic tendon healing while minimizing extrinsic adhesion formation and scar tissue formation. The purpose of this study was to create a patient-specific model of the hand and to replicate...
the passive rehabilitation movement on the index finger to quantify the amount of FDP tendon excursion and stress experienced during simulated flexion at 70°. The simulated motion in the in-silico model resulted in normal FDP tendon excursion. Our predictive result coincides with the experimental studies present in the literature. The FE simulation of the index finger model provided results that explain the biomechanical phenomenon at the contact region between the FDP tendon and A1–A5 pulleys. In particular, the stress map results showed a direct proportional relationship between the angle formed by the tendon at the MCP joint and the contact regions between the A1–A5 pulleys and the FDP tendon. A higher tendon angle is, the larger is the overall stress of the pulley-tendon assembly. The resultant deformations of the index finger model showed the greater deformation in the most distal zone located in the bone-tendon attachment, which was verified with the free-body analysis during a partial rotation in the YZ plane. The findings of the simulation framework proposed may potentially be used in the development and assessment of novel in-silico medical device proposals aimed at challenging FDP tendon repair in the field of hand reconstructive surgery.
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