Application and research of loop-mediated isothermal amplification and lateral flow dipstick in detection of Porcine circovirus type 3
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Abstract

Background Porcine circovirus type 3 (PCV3) is a newly detected pathogen from pigs in the past few years. As the infection rate of PCV3 in the herd is getting higher and wider, the infection is more and more serious. The virus with unclear clinical symptoms and pathogenesis is also paid more and more attention. And detection of pathogenic antibodies has become an important issue. Methods: In this experiment, Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) was combined with Lateral flow dipstick (LFD) to establish a rapid and convenient detection method (LAMP-LFD). Results: The results showed that the band was darkened at a nucleic acid concentration of 0.2 pg/μL using a conventional PCR method, and a clear detection line was observed at a nucleic acid concentration of 0.2 fg/μL using the LAMP-LFD method. The primer and hybridization probe are only compatible with PCV3. Compared to the PCR method, the LAMP-LFD method has a shorter time (about 1 h) and requires less instrumentation. Conclusions: The test results show that the LAMP-LFD method has extremely high sensitivity and specificity. The operation is simple and quick. The visual effect of the detection results is better than that of PCR and single LAMP test, which can bring convenience in practical production applications.

Background

Porcine circovirus (PCV) is the smallest virus known to infect mammals today, and a single-stranded circular DNA virus that is about 1.7k kb in size. Porcine circovirus has been found to have three subtypes, PCV1, PCV2 and PCV3. Pigs infected with PCV1 do not have clinical symptoms(1); pigs infected with PCV2 can cause multiple clinically complicated disease such as weaned piglet multisystem exhaustion syndrome (PMWS), dermatitis nephropathy, progressive wasting, poor growth and development. PCV3 is a new subtype identified from pigs, and its clinical symptoms and pathogenesis are still
unclear(2,3). In 2017, PCV3 was first detected and reported in southern China (4).

The infection rate of PCV3 has increased year by year and the infection range has become larger and larger. Although the clinical symptoms of PCV3 are not yet clear, PCV3 does cause tissue inflammation and has a high detection rate in sick pigs with symptoms of diarrhea, hyperthermia or respiratory diseases. Whether PCV3 is destructive to the body's immune system after invading the body needs to be known by studying its pathogenic mechanism. Due to the increasing number of positive poisoned pigs, the rapid detection of PCV3 has become one of the problems of various farming units.

Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) is a nucleic acid in vitro amplification technique (5). DNA was amplified specifically, efficiently, and rapidly using four specially designed primers and Bst DNA polymerase with strand displacement activity under constant temperature conditions. LAMP technology has been widely used in the fields of nucleic acid scientific research, disease diagnosis and genetically modified food detection due to its strong specificity, high sensitivity, fastness, accuracy and ease of operation. Compared with PCR technology, LAMP technology greatly simplifies the requirements of the instrument, and the reaction time is greatly shortened, which is more suitable for fast and simple detection.

The basis of the lateral flow dipstick (LFD) technology is the highly sensitive technique for detecting trace antigens established by Sano et al. in 1992(6). The LFD method mainly uses colloidal gold technology. Biotin is labeled on the primer, and the biotin-labeled amplification product can specifically hybridize with the probe labeled with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) and combine with the antibody against FITC to form a ternary complex. This ternary complex is bound to the detection line containing biotin antibodies. The unhybridized FITC-labeled probes form a biotin-free binary complex that binds to the FITC-containing quality control line (7). When the quality control line and the test line
appear on the test strip at the same time, the test sample is positive.

The LAMP product can be judged by agarose gel electrophoresis to observe the band, using a turbidimeter or using a fluorescent dye to observe the color change. These determination methods have some shortcomings. The LAMP-LFD technique presents the LAMP product in a color-developing manner on the lateral flow test strip, and the results can be judged by visual observation. This method sheds the dependence on the instrument, discards the toxic reagents, and because the introduction of specific probes makes the results more specific, and the entire detection time is only increased by 8–10 min based on the LAMP reaction time.

This test uses the LAMP-LFD method to detect PCV3, and performs specificity, sensitivity and reproducibility tests to make the test results more accurate, and 100 clinical samples were detected using the LAMP-LFD method. It is designed to obtain test results at a higher speed, convenience and reliability in actual production and life.

Methods

2.1 Virus and samples

Porcine circovirus type 3(PCV3) nucleic acid and plasmid, porcine circovirus type 1/2(PCV1/2), porcine respiratory and reproductive system syndrome virus(PPRSV), swine fever virus(CSFV), and pseudorabies virus(PRV), etc. are all from the Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Prevention and Control of Livestock and Poultry Diseases. 100 samples used in clinical trials were from farms in Yantai, Linyi and Liaocheng.

2.2 LAMP-related primers and hybrid probe design

Refer to the PCV3 related conserved sequence uploaded by NCBI (GenBank accession number: MH107164.1), and design a set of LAMP using the LAMP primer online design website (http://primerexplorer.jp/elamp4.0.0/index.html). The primers include an upstream outer primer F3 (TCCAGTTTTTTCGGGACAT), a downstream outer primer B3
(AACACTTGGCTCCAAGAC), an upstream inner primer FIP (CTTTTTCTCCAGACCCACCCCA-
AAAGCAGTGCTCCCCATTG), and a downstream inner primer BIP
(TTCCCGCCAGAATTGGTTTGG-GCGGAAAGTTCCACTCGTAA), as shown in Figure.1. A plurality
of hybridization probes were designed using Primer 5.0 for screening according to the
target amplified fragment, as shown in Table 1. The primers and hybridization probes were
synthesized and modified by Bao Bio (Dalian) Co., Ltd. FIP 5' end modified biotin (Biotin),
hybridization probe 5' end modified fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC).

2.3 Conventional PCR method

The external primer F3/B3 was used as upstream and downstream primer for conventional
PCR, and the PCR reaction system was prepared to be 20 μL according to the instructions
of Nanjing Vazyma Biotechnology Co., Ltd. 2×Taq Plus Master Mix II, as shown below:

| Component                  | Volume |
|----------------------------|--------|
| 2×Taq Plus Master Mix II   | 10 μL  |
| F3                        | 1 μL   |
| B3                        | 1 μL   |
| DNA Sample                 | 1.5 μL |
| ddH2O                      | 6.5 μL |

Reaction conditions: denaturation 94 °C, 4 min; 94 °C 30 s, 57 °C 30 s, 72 °C 30 s, a total of
32 cycles; total extension 72 °C, 8 min. After the completion of the reaction, the product
was stored at 4 °C, subjected to 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis, and observed under a
gel imager.

2.4 Establish LAMP system and condition optimization

Using a PCV3 positive plasmid as a DNA template and 25 μL of the LAMP system was
prepared according to the NewEngland Biolabs Bst 2.0 DNA polymerase instructions as
follows:

| Component                  | Volume |
|----------------------------|--------|
| 10× ThermoPol® Buffer      | 2.5 μL |
| MgSO4···100 mmol/L         | 1.5 μL |
| dNTP Mix2···2.5mM···NTP rMO| 5 μL   |
| Betaine                    | 2 μL   |
| F3/B3···10 mmol/L          | 0.25 μL|
| FIP/BIP···10 mmol/L        | 1 μL   |
| Bst DNA Polymerase···8000U/mL| 1.5 μL |
| DNA Sample                 | 1.5 μL |
| Nuclease-free Water        | add to 25 μL |
The optimal reaction time was explored in a 60°C water bath. The reaction time was set at 35, 40, 45, 50, 55, 60, 65, 70, and 75 minutes for each gradient. After the LAMP reaction time was selected, the optimal reaction temperature exploration test was carried out, and the reaction temperatures of 56, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, and 64 °C were respectively set. Determine the optimal reaction time and temperature, in order to obtain the experimental results quickly and easily in the actual application process.

2.5 LAMP-LFD detection

The test strips used in the LFD test were from Milenia® HybriDetect 2T. After the LAMP reaction was completed, 2 μL of hybridization probe was added to continue the reaction for 5-6 min, and then 5 μL of the product was taken and added to 100 μL of HybriDetect Assay Buffer for 1-2 min. The test results were observed after adding a lateral flow test strip.

2.6 Specificity and sensitivity test of LAMP-LFD detection

Under optimized conditions, the LAMP-LFD specificity tests were performed simultaneously using PCV1, PCV2, PRV, CSFV, PRRSV and PCV3 as templates. Under optimized conditions, the PCV3 positive plasmid was diluted 10-fold (initial concentration was 200 ng/μL) for routine PCR and LAMP-LFD sensitivity tests.

2.7 Repeatability testing and clinical application of LAMP-LFD detection

Under optimized conditions, the LAMP-LFD repeatability test was carried out using a PCV3 positive plasmid (plasmid concentration: 200 ng/μL and the lowest concentration in the sensitivity test, respectively) as a template.

The conventional PCR and LAMP-LFD methods were used to detect 100 suspected diseased pig tissues from Yantai, Linyi and Liaocheng in Shandong Province to evaluate the applicability of the LAMP-LFD method in clinical testing.
Results

3.1 LAMP-LFD detection and hybridization probe selection

The optimal reaction time temperature of PCV3 LAMP was 50 min and 62 °C (shown in Figure.2). The optimal reaction conditions were used to select hybridization probes. The results showed that the hybridization effect of Probe 1 and Probe A was better than others (shown in Figure.3). Finally, Probe 1 was selected.

3.2 Specificity and sensitivity test

The LMAP primer only amplifies PCV3 and does not react with other viral nucleic acids, demonstrating its specificity (Figure 4 and 5). Sensitivity tests showed that the conventional PCR method was obscured when the nucleic acid dilution gradient was 10-6 (this gradient concentration was 0.2 pg/μL, shown in Figure 6), while the LAMP-LFD method still observed a clear detection line at a dilution gradient of 10-9 (this gradient concentration is 0.2 fg / μL, shown in Figure 7). It shows that the LAMP-LFD detection system is nearly 1000 times more sensitive than the conventional PCR method.

3.3 Repeatability test and clinical application of LAMP-LFD method

The results of the reproducibility test showed that clear detection lines and quality control lines were observed in the other four tests except that the detection line of the lower component of the third test plasmid was unclear (shown in Figure 8). It shows that LAMP-LFD detection technology can achieve high reproducibility even at low nucleic acid concentrations.

100 samples were subjected to PCV3 detection by conventional PCR and LAMP-LFD methods. The detection rate of PCV3 using conventional PCR method was 83% (83/100) (shown in Figure 9, results of partial sample), while the detection rate of PCV3 using the LAMP-LFD method was 85% (85/100) (shown in Figure 10, results of partial sample). Furthermore, all 83 positive samples detected by the LAMP method were included in 85
positive samples detected by the LAMP-LFD method.

Discussion

As a newly discovered virus subtype in recent years, PCV3 has not been found to bring obvious clinical symptoms to infected pigs due to the lack of mature technology for isolation and culture. However, there are reports that the incidence of PCV3 is higher in pigs with severe diarrhea and respiratory diseases. Since the discovery and reporting of PCV3 by Rachel Palinski et al in 2016(3), countries have detected and reported them. In the first report of the discovery of PCV3-positive pigs in southern China in 2017(4), the presence of PCV3 was first discovered in Shandong Province (8, 9). Although no corresponding clinical symptoms were found in infected pigs, an inflammatory response was observed from the tissue sections. The detection of antibodies by Enzyme linked immunoabsorbent assay (ELISA) (10) and the detection of antigen by PCR (11) have found that the positive rate of PCV3 in pigs in China is high. This shows that the situation of pigs infected with PCV3 is very serious and the scope is getting wider and wider, which must be taken seriously.

As a simple and fast method for nucleic acid amplification, LAMP has been used more frequently in recent years. However, since the visualization effect of the LAMP results is too complicated compared to the LAMP operation itself, for example, the use of agarose gel electrophoresis or a turbidimeter makes many people, especially non-scientific personnel, less enthusiastic about LAMP technology. The LAMP-LFD method can just improve the visualization of the test results, and the operation is simple and convenient. Now, there are reports that the LAMP-LFD technology is used to detect swine fever virus and various bacteria (12-14). The results of this experiment show that the sensitivity of the LAMP-LFD method is much higher than that of conventional PCR in terms of sensitivity, and the reproducibility of the LAMP-LFD method is highly reproducible for clinical rapid
detection. In the clinical test experiment, the detection rate was slightly higher by the LAMP-LFD method than the conventional PCR method. At the same time, the LAMP-LFD method also detected positive samples that were not detected using conventional PCR. Combined with the high sensitivity of the LAMP-LFD method, the LAMP-LFD method is more advantageous in clinical testing. Moreover, the LAMP-LFD method does not require exposure to toxic reagents, nor expensive instruments, and the time for obtaining results is short. These advantages will bring great convenience to the farming unit in practical production applications.

Conclusions

The test results show that the LAMP-LFD method has extremely high sensitivity and specificity. The operation is simple and quick. The visual effect of the detection results is better than that of PCR and single LAMP test, which can bring convenience in practical production applications. The experimental results show that the LAMP-LFD method has more excellent effects in terms of specificity and sensitivity than the conventional PCR method. Moreover, the visualization of the LAMP-LFD method is more clear. Compared with the conventional PCR method and the LAMP method, the LAMP-LFD method has the advantages of high efficiency and convenience, and the detection result can be quickly obtained in actual production applications.
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Abbrevation Words Table

| ABBREVIATION | FULL NAME |
|--------------|-----------|
| PCV1/PCV2/PCV3 | Porcine circovirus type 1/2/3 |
| PMWS | Piglet multisystem exhaustion syndrome |
| LAMP | Loop-mediated isothermal amplification |
| LFD | Lateral flow dipstick |
| FITC | Fluorescein isothiocyanate |
| PRRSV | Porcine respiratory and reproductive syndrome virus |
| CSFV | Cwine fever virus |
| PRV | Pseudorabies virus |
| ELISA | Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay |
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Tables

| Name   | Sequence 5'—3' | Length bp |
|--------|----------------|-----------|
| Probe 1 | CTTGTCCTGGGTGAGC | 17        |
| Probe 2 | TTGTCTGGGTGAGCG   | 16        |
| Probe 3 | AGAAGAGGCTTTGTCC  | 16        |
| Probe A | GGGGTGAAGTAACGG   | 15        |
| Probe B | TCCGCATAAGGGTC    | 14        |

Figures
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Experimental condition optimization M\[DL2000marker 1-9:56~64°C -\[Negative control 35m-75m\]35-75min

123AB
Figure 3

Screening hybridization probe 1: Probe 1 2: Probe 2 3: Probe 3 A: Probe A B: Probe B

Figure 4

Specificity test (LAMP) M: DL2000 marker 1-6: CSFV, PRRSV, PRV, PCV1, PCV2, PCV3
-: Negative control
Specificity test (LAMP-LFD) M: DL2000 marker 1-6: CSFV, PRRSV, PRV, PCV1, PCV2, PCV3, Negative control

Sensitivity test (conventional PCR method) M: DL2000 Marker -: Negative control

0: undiluted positive plasmid (200 ng/μL) 1-10: 20 ng/μL, 2 ng/μL, 0.2 ng/μL, 20 pg/μL, 2 pg/μL, 0.2 pg/μL, 20 fg/μL, 2 fg/μL, 0.2 fg/μL, 20 ag/μL
Figure 7

Sensitivity test (LAMP-LFD method) M: DL2000 Marker -: Negative control 0: undiluted positive plasmid (200 ng/μL) 1-10: 20 ng/μL, 2 ng/μL, 0.2 ng/μL, 20 pg/μL, 2 pg/μL, 0.2 pg/μL, 20 fg/μL, 2 fg/μL, 0.2 fg/μL, 20 fg/μL
Repeatability test 0: Negative control A: undiluted positive plasmid (200 ng/μL) B: lowest concentration of plasmid in the sensitivity test (0.2 fg/μL) 1-5: 5 times LAMP-LFD test
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Results of partial sample (conventional PCR) M: DL2000marker -: Negative control

+: positive control
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Results of partial sample (LAMP-LFD) M: DL2000marker -: Negative control +: positive control