Honey bee vibration monitoring using the 805M1 accelerometer †
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Abstract: In this work we demonstrate that the 805M1 single axis analogue output accelerometer can be used to monitor honey bee activity and requires only a low cost microcontroller with an audio shield to log the data. We present accelerometer output signals demonstrating the ability to capture individual honey bee ‘whooping’ signals as well as long term amplitude monitoring, indicating the brood cycle, using this affordable measurement system.
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1. Introduction

There is currently concern over the decline in honey bee colonies as they provide a significant proportion of the pollination required for many food crops [1-3]. The use of sensor technology for monitoring managed honey bee colonies is growing in popularity and it is of interest to develop new methods which can more accurately and less invasively assess honey bee colony status [4]. The use of accelerometers to obtain information on the state of honeybee colonies has several advantages over sound recorded by microphones, in that (i) accelerometers can reside in a honeybee hive for several years with a negligible effect of propolis coating (ii) they are particularly good at monitoring the low frequency signals which form a large part of the honeybee communication processes, and (iii) they sense a physical property, the vibration, that is probably far more relevant to them than sounds. One example of accelerometers allowing the observation of specific vibrational communication signals has been reported for the ‘whooping signal’ [5]. The vibrational amplitude is also dependent on the local environment/substrate and this has been demonstrated to be a strong indicator of an active queen [6]. Accelerometer data has also shown promise for the prediction of swarming [7]. These previous reports have used ultra-high performance accelerometers (Brüel and Kjær, 4507) which also require separate signal conditioning electronics before the vibrational data can be logged; this represents a very expensive arrangement that precludes wide deployment. In this work we demonstrate that the 805M1 single axis analogue output accelerometer, that incorporates a piezo-ceramic crystal with low power electronics in a shielded housing, can be used to monitor honey bee activity and requires only a low cost microcontroller with an audio shield to log the data. We present high quality accelerometer output signals for both individual bee pulses and long term amplitude monitoring using this affordable measurement system.

2. Materials and Methods

The 805M1 is a single axis analogue output accelerometer that incorporates a piezo-ceramic crystal with low power electronics in a shielded housing that is suitable for embedded applications [8]. The model used was the 805M1-0020 that operates over ±20g range and with a ±1dB bandwidth...
from 1 to 8000Hz and producing and output voltage of typically 100mV/g. Typically they draw less than 0.8mA in a simple 3 wire system of ground, excitation voltage (3.0V to 5.5V dc) and signal output. The hermetically sealed enclosure allows for an operating temperature range of -40°C to +100°C and for quantities of 10 or more the cost is around UK£65. These were embedded in the wax of the central combs of three bee hives and covered with a little melted wax. The wire trailed out of the brood box into the waterproof enclosure on the side of the hive containing the data logger as shown in Figure 1. All three systems were powered from a single leisure battery connected to a solar panel and associated controller.

![Figure 1.](image)

(a) Three hives monitored at the Nottinghamshire Beekeepers Association teaching apiary showing the solar panel (leisure battery behind). (b) Teensy based data logger installed on the side of one of the hives with the cable to the 805M1 accelerometer passing into the brood box.

The data logger, shown in Figure 2, was based on the Teensy 3.2 microcontroller [9] using the associated audio shield [10]. The audio data format was 16 bit mono sampled at 44.1 kHz and saved as a .raw file. Code for this aspect was generated by the Teensy audio system design tool [11]. Using the command sgtl5000_1.lineInLevel(15), the sensitivity to the line input was set to 15 which represents the most sensitive range of 0.24Vpp. The system recorded five minutes of audio data, twice a day, to the microSD card on the audio shield using the Alarm.alarmRepeat function and created a file name based on the EPOCH time. Note that this is a ten digit number but the first two digits will remain the same for several years so these were left off the file name to leave an eight character file name that identified the day and time of the recording. The typical current draw for the Teensy and audio shield is on average less than 100mA. No attempt was made to optimize the code for minimal power consumption.
In a separate experiment, an electromagnetic shaker was secured to a bee hive outer wall, with a Brüel and Kjær 4507 [12] accelerometer secured on another wall of the same hive, with an 805M1 glued directly onto it. The shaker was stimulated with a frequency sweep from 0 to 2 kHz, within a two minutes long experiment. The output of both accelerometers was recorded with a stereo sound card, and the output voltage at the frequency of the stimulus was extracted by Fourier analysis of successive, one second long excerpts of the signal. The 805M1 voltage output was scaled to accommodate for the sensitivity difference (1000 against 100 mV/g) and the ratio of the two outputs was then calculated to benchmark one accelerometer against the other.

3. Results

Of the three hives, only two provided reliable data as a fault developed in the accelerometer wiring of the third hive during installation that was not obvious until the data was analyzed. The other two hives provided 47 days of data with five minutes of recording around midnight and midday. The raw files were combined to produce night time and day time spectrograms which allowed both the frequency components and amplitudes to be analyzed.
Figure 3. The time course and corresponding spectrogram of a typical honeybee whooping signal measured by the 805M1 accelerometer. The color intensity of the spectrogram denotes the logarithm of the amplitude of the measured acceleration with red being the highest acceleration and dark blue being the lowest.

In Figure 3(a) we show the accelerometer output voltage as a function of time for a typical ‘whooping’ signal measured by the 805M1. This type of signal is characterized by two well pronounced upper harmonics at twice and three times the value (in this case, around 380Hz) of the fundamental frequency [5] which can be clearly seen in the spectrogram of this data shown in Figure 3(b).

Figure 4. The average accelerometer amplitude from 200Hz to 320Hz for the measurement period.

In Figure 4 we show the average accelerometer amplitude from 200Hz to 320Hz for the full measurement period. In Figure 5 we show the frequency response of the ratio of the outputs of a Brüel and Kjær 4507 and a 805M1 accelerometers, each subjected to the same vibration. Over the bandwidth measured, which is the one relevant to the majority of honey bee vibrational signals, both transducers perform similarly.
Figure 5. The frequency response of the ratio of the outputs of a Brüel and Kjær 4507 and a 805M1 accelerometers, subjected to the same vibration.

4. Discussion

The data shown in Figure 4, whilst being somewhat noisy due to only 5 minutes of recorded data per day, has a minima at around day 14 and again at day 46 days. The change in amplitude is expected due to the queen laying in an empty cell which is fed by nursing bees. The brood then grows in the cell and matures before being capped, later leading to the birth of the worker bee. Although the observed period is longer than the expected 21 days of a typical brood cycle, honeybees are known to take some time to maintain a cell immediately after the birth of a worker bee and before the queen would lay a fresh egg in the same cell. In addition, the queen may be busy laying on another frame which would extend the measured period of oscillation in the amplitude. The regular change in amplitude however is all that is required to indicate the repeated laying of the queen in the hive, which is a critical parameter in monitoring colony health. The quality of the individual whooping signal shown in Figure 3 is similar to that produced from the more expensive accelerometers [5] suggesting that the 805M1 is indeed a suitable accelerometer for honey bee vibration monitoring.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Writing-Review & Editing, M.I.N. and M.B.; Measurement Hardware and Software, M.I.N; Data Analysis, M.B.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank the Nottinghamshire Beekeepers Association (www.nottsbees.org.uk) for allowing them to install measuring equipment described in this article in their teaching apiary.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest

References

1. Potts, S.G; Roberts, S.P.M; Dean, R; Marris, G; Brown, M.A; Jones, R; et al. Declines of managed honeybees and beekeepers in Europe. J. Apic. Res. 2010, 49, 15–22. doi:10.3896/IBRA.1.49.1.02
2. Ellis, J.D; Evans, J.D; Pettis, J.S; Colony losses, managed colony population decline and Colony Collapse Disorder in the United States. J. Apic. Res. 2010, 49, 134–136. doi:10.3896/IBRA.1.49.1.30
3. Klein, A-M; Vaissiere, B.E; Cane, J.H; Steffan-Dewenter, I; Cunningham, S.A; Kremen, C; et al. Importance of pollinators in changing landscapes for world crops. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 2007, 274 303–313. doi:10.1098/rspb.2006.3721
4. Zacepins, A; Brusbardis, V; Meitalovs, J; Stalidzans, E; Challenges in the development of Precision Beekeeping. Biosyst. Eng. 2015, 130 60–71. doi:10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2014.12.001
5. Ramsey, M-T; Bencsik, M.; Newton, M.I.; Long-Term Trends in the Honeybee 'Whooping Signal' Revealed by Automated Detection. M-T Ramsey, M.Bencsik and M.I.Newton. *PLoS ONE* 2017, 12 e0171162 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0171162

6. Bencsik, M; Le Conte, Y; Reyes, M; Pioz, M; Whittaker, D; Crauser, D; Newton, M.I.; Honeybee colony vibrational measurements to highlight the brood cycle. *PLoS ONE* 2015, 10, e0141926 doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0141926

7. Bencsik, M; Bencsik, J; Baxter, M; Lucian, A; Romieu, J; Millet, M; Identification of the honeybee swarming process by analysing the time course of hive vibrations. *Comput. Electron. Agric.* 2011, 76, 44–50 doi:10.1016/j.compag.2011.01.004

8. Teensy USB Development Board. Available online: www.pjrc.com/store/teensy32.html (accessed on 10/07/2018).

9. Audio Adaptor Board for Teensy 3.0 - 3.6. Available online: www.pjrc.com/store/teensy3_audio.html (accessed on 10/07/2018).

10. Teensy audio design tool. Available online: https://www.pjrc.com/teensy/gui/ (accessed on 10/07/2018).

11. TO-5 3-wire voltage output accelerometer. http://www.te.com/usa-en/product-CAT-EAC0009.html (accessed on 10/07/2018).

12. Bruel and Kjaer 4507 accelerometer https://www.bksv.com/en/products/transducers/vibration/Vibration-transducers/accelerometers/4507(accessed on 18/09/2018)

© 2018 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).