Understanding Communication in an Online Cancer Forum: Content Analysis Study
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Abstract

Background: Cancer affects individuals, their family members, and friends, and increasingly, some of these individuals are turning to online cancer forums to express their thoughts/feelings and seek support such as asking cancer-related questions. The thoughts/feelings expressed and the support needed from these online forums may differ depending on if (1) an individual has or had cancer or (2) an individual is a family member or friend of an individual who has or had cancer; the language used in posts in these forums may reflect these differences.

Objective: Using natural language processing methods, we aim to determine the differences in the support needs and concerns expressed in posts published on an online cancer forum by (1) users who self-declare to have or had cancer compared with (2) users who self-declare to be family members or friends of individuals with or that had cancer.

Methods: Using latent Dirichlet allocation (LDA), which is a natural language processing algorithm and Linguistic Inquiry and Word Count (LIWC), a psycholinguistic dictionary, we analyzed posts published on an online cancer forum with the aim to delineate the language features associated with users in these different groups.

Results: Users who self-declare to have or had cancer were more likely to post about LDA topics related to hospital visits (Cohen d=0.671) and use words associated with LIWC categories related to health (Cohen d=0.635) and anxiety (Cohen d=0.126). By contrast, users who declared to be family members or friends tend to post about LDA topics related to losing a family member (Cohen d=0.702) and LIWC categories focusing on the past (Cohen d=0.465) and death (Cohen d=0.181) were more associated with these users.

Conclusions: Using LDA and LIWC, we show that there are differences in the support needs and concerns expressed in posts published on an online cancer forum by users with cancer compared with family members or friends of those with cancer. Hence, responders to online cancer forums need to be cognizant of these differences in support needs and concerns and tailor their responses based on these findings.

(JMIR Cancer 2021;7(3):e29555) doi: 10.2196/29555
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Introduction

Background
Increasingly, individuals affected by cancer are seeking support on online cancer forums [1-4]. These forums function as a support group where individuals can seek and receive support around cancer from members of the forum, some of whom may (from their personal experience) be familiar with the support expressed.

Prior work determined that members of online cancer forums who self-declare to be diagnosed with cancer or going through cancer treatment tend to seek advice [5] and the more emotional support members of an online cancer forum received, the more likely they were to continue their membership in the forum [6].

The support needs and concerns expressed in online cancer forum posts may vary depending on who is accessing the forum; for example, the support needs expressed by individuals with cancer may vary from those of individuals who are family members or friends of individuals with cancer. In prior work, researchers have used language features from social media and online forum posts to determine whether users belong to different groups such as different age groups [7] and genders [8], to identify and characterize users who express loneliness from other users (who do not express loneliness) [9,10], and to predict patients risk for cardiovascular disease [11]. Similarly, in this paper, we analyze posts published on an online cancer forum on Reddit to determine the language features that delineate posts by users who self-declare to have or had cancer (we will refer to this group as the “has cancer” group) from posts by users who self-declare to be family members or friends of individuals with cancer (referred to as the “family or friend” group).

We hypothesize that these language features will reflect the differences in support needs and concerns expressed by users who belong to these different groups.

Related Work
Users join online health forums to seek and give support as it relates to their health and well-being and that of others. Prior work has shown that online health forums are an effective way for seeking and giving support around mental health [12], substance use recovery [13,14], and cancer [1-4].

Prior work analyzed posts and comments on an online cancer forum and determined that members expressed more negative personal information in public messages compared with private messages [4] and the more emotional support members received, the higher the chance they will continue their membership in the forum [6]. Members of an online cancer forum who were either diagnosed with cancer or going through cancer treatment tended to seek advice and survivors of cancer shared their cancer-related experiences [5].

Over the course of their membership, members of an online cancer forum take on various roles on the forum and for individuals who have been members of the forum for a long period, these roles tend to be more focused on encouraging other members compared with their roles when they first became members of the forum, which tended to be related to seeking information [3]. These forums provide significant peer-to-peer support to individuals seeking support; hence, it is important that members of the forum responding to posts have an accurate understanding of the types of support being sought.

Our work in this paper is different from prior work analyzing posts in online cancer forums as they did not delineate posts by members of the forum that have/had cancer from those who are family or friends.

Methods

Data
Our data comprise posts from an active online cancer forum on Reddit, /r/Cancer, which is the cancer forum with the most number of users (37,000 members as of March 2021) on Reddit. /r/Cancer is self-described as “This reddit is for the discussion of cancer, cancer related news, stories of survival, stories of loss and everything else associated with the disease.” Using Google’s BigQuery [15], which is a data store with publicly available Reddit data sets, we collected 29,533 posts published between December 2015 and August 2019 on /r/Cancer. From these posts, we identified users who self-declared to have or had cancer by selecting the user names of authors of posts that explicitly mentioned that the author of the post either has or had cancer; specifically, we selected posts which contained the word “cancer” and a first-person singular pronoun (ie, “I” and “me”), for example, “Just got diagnosed with lung cancer, how do I cope”. One of the coauthors (AA) reviewed these posts and took out the posts that were not indicating that a user has or had cancer. Similarly, we identified users who self-declared to be family members or friends of individuals with or that had cancer by selecting the user names of authors of posts that explicitly mentioned that a family member or friend has or had cancer; specifically, we selected posts which contained the word “cancer” and also contained the following keywords associated with family members and friends: “mother,” “mom,” “father,” “dad,” “parent,” “grandmother,” “grandmother,” “grand mom,” “grand ma,” “grand father,” “grandfather,” “grand dad,” “grand dad,” “grand pa,” “husband,” “wife,” “spouse,” “son,” “daughter,” “child,” “aunt,” “uncle,” “nephew,” “niece,” “sister,” “brother,” “family,” “friend,” for example, “My young child is battling cancer.” One of the coauthors (AA) reviewed these posts and took out the posts that were not indicating that a user was a family member or friend of an individual with or that had cancer. Given the user names of users who either self-declared in posts to have or had cancer or were family members or friends of individuals with or that had cancer, we collected all their posts published in the forum (ie, /r/Cancer). Table 1 shows a summary of our data set.
Table 1. Summary of our data set. This shows the number of posts by (1) users who self-declared to have or had cancer (the “has cancer” group) and (2) users who self-declared to be family members or friends (the “family or friend” group) of individuals with cancer.

| Category                        | Number of posts | Number of users |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| The “has cancer” group          | 4414            | 2938            |
| The “family or friend” group    | 3483            | 2456            |

Differences in Language Use

We used 2 approaches to determine the differences in language use in posts by users who belong to either the “has cancer” group or the “family or friend” group. Specifically, we used (1) an open vocabulary method and (2) a dictionary-based method. In all the analysis in this work, we report the effect size by using Cohen $d$, which is the standardized difference between means.

Open Vocabulary Method

In this section, we use a natural language processing topic modeling algorithm, latent Dirichlet allocation (LDA) [16], which is used to identify and group co-occurring words in documents (ie, Reddit posts in this work); these word groups are referred to as topics. LDA is a generative model which assumes that topics consist of a combination of words and tokens and Reddit posts consist of a mixture of topics. As words in Reddit posts are known, the latent variables of the topics can be estimated using Gibbs sampling [17]. Labels can be assigned to the various topics based on the content words associated with the topic. For example, LDA may cluster the words “Monday,” “Tuesday,” “Wednesday,” “Thursday,” and “Friday” as days of the week. Using the DLATK package [18], we generated 20 LDA topics from the /r/Cancer posts by users that self-declared to have or had cancer (ie, the “has cancer” group) and users who self-declared to be family members or friends (ie, the “family or friend” group); we chose to generate 20 topics because we varied the number of LDA topics by using 10, 20, 30, and 40 topics, and one of the coauthors (AA) reviewed these topics and observed that the topic themes from 20 topics had the most coherent themes. Similar to prior works which used LDA to identify the topic themes from social media posts most associated with users who expressed loneliness from those who did not [9,10] and to delineate posts by individuals belonging to different age groups [7] and genders [8], we used the DLATK package [18] to identify the topic themes most associated with posts belonging to the “has cancer” group when compared with posts belonging to the “family or friend” group, and vice versa.

Dictionary-Based Method

In this section, we used Linguistic Inquiry and Word Count (LIWC) [19], which is a psycholinguistic dictionary with 73 categories (eg, positive and negative emotions, health, and personal pronouns) and a curated list of words associated with these categories. Specifically, using the DLATK package [18], we determined the frequency of occurrence of words associated with LIWC categories in posts belonging to the “has cancer” group compared with the “family or friend” group.

Ethics and Privacy

This study was deemed exempt by the Institutional Review Board guidelines of the authors institution. The data set used for this work is publicly available. The authors of this work did not contact any member or moderator of the forum /r/Cancer nor did we contact any Reddit users. Besides, Reddit user profile information was not reviewed or used in this work.

Results

Open Vocabulary Method

Table 2 shows the effect sizes (using Cohen $d$) of the most significant LDA topics ($P<.001$ [Benjamini–Hochberg $P$ correction]) associated with /r/Cancer posts by users that belong to the “has cancer” group compared with posts by users belonging to the “family or friend” group. In addition, Table 3 shows the effect sizes (using Cohen $d$) of the most significant LDA topics associated with /r/Cancer posts by users belonging to the “family or friend” group compared with posts by users that belong to the “has cancer” group. The authors of the paper independently labeled each topic theme and then met to discuss and agree on the labels for each topic theme.
Table 2. LDA topics associated with posts by users who self-declared to have or had cancer (ie, the “has cancer” group) compared with posts by users in the “family or friend” group.

| LDA topic themes                          | Highly correlated words in topics                                                                 | Cohen d |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Hospital visit                           | pain, hospital, back, days, blood, started, doctor, home, worse, ER                                 | 0.671   |
| Questions/seeking advice                | advice, good, wondering, experience, type, information, questions, survival, early, similar        | 0.537   |
| Symptoms, risk, and cure of disease      | cells, risk, cure, disease, symptoms, cancers, cervical, pancreatic, body, patients                 | 0.474   |
| Research/questions around cancer         | research, patient, part, study, breast, questions, diagnosis, prostrate, find, survivor             | 0.432   |
| Cancer surgery                          | surgery, colon, removed, tumor, thyroid, remove, lymph, kidney, nodes, stomach                     | 0.349   |
| Cost/payment for treatment               | treatment, insurance, medical, money, health, clinical, working, options, pay, trials               | 0.345   |
| Change in diet                           | eat, weight, food, stomach, throat, diet, healthy, tongue, taste, loss                              | 0.293   |
| Tests around cancer                      | scan, biopsy, back, doctor, results, CT, lymph, found, oncologist, tumor                           | 0.290   |
| Support from people/community            | support, people, post, free, share, story, group, love, hope, great                                | 0.245   |
| Side effects of treatment                | chemo, treatment, radiation, side, effects, week, hair, round, pretty, started                     | 0.214   |

*LDA*: latent Dirichlet allocation.

Table 3. LDA topics associated with posts by users who self-declared to be family members or friends of individuals with or that had cancer (ie, the “family or friend” group) compared with posts by users in the “has cancer” group.

| LDA topic themes                          | Highly correlated words in topics                                                                 | Cohen d |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Losing family member                     | mom, day, passed, lost, home, didn't, love, hospital, wanted, made                                | 0.702   |
| Caring for family member                 | sister, brother, family, wife, home, work, parents, mother, live, care                             | 0.373   |
| Diagnosis of family member               | dad, he’s, father, diagnosed, stage, ago, found, lung, today, pancreatic                          | 0.339   |
| Diagnosis of family member               | mom, stage, breast, diagnosed, advice, she's, friend, ovarian, grandma, lung                     | 0.179   |
| Talk around support                      | time, life, family, things, make, support, care, health, long, difficult                           | 0.159   |

*LDA*: latent Dirichlet allocation.

**Dictionary-Based Method**

Table 4 shows the effect sizes (using Cohen $d$) and LIWC categories that are more associated with posts belonging to the “has cancer” group when compared with the “family or friend”

group. In addition, Table 5 shows the effect sizes (using Cohen $d$) and LIWC categories that are more associated with posts by the “family or friend” group when compared with posts by the “has cancer” group.

Table 4. LIWC categories most associated with posts belonging to the “has cancer” group when compared with the “family or friend” group. Effect size is reported as Cohen $d$.

| LIWC category                  | Cohen d |
|-------------------------------|---------|
| Health                        | 0.635   |
| Biological processes          | 0.607   |
| Second-person pronouns        | 0.234   |
| Anxiety                       | 0.126   |

*LIWC: Linguistic Inquiry and Word Count.*
Table 5. LIWC categories most associated with posts belonging to the “family or friend” group when compared with posts by the “has cancer” group. Effect size is reported as Cohen $d$.

| LIWC$^a$ category       | Cohen $d$ |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| Third-person singular pronoun | 1.168     |
| Personal pronoun         | 0.977     |
| Female references        | 0.964     |
| Male references          | 0.746     |
| First-person singular pronouns | 0.543     |
| Past focus               | 0.465     |
| Affiliation              | 0.398     |
| First-person plural pronouns | 0.242     |
| Sadness                  | 0.224     |
| Time                     | 0.222     |
| Present focus            | 0.221     |
| Death                    | 0.181     |
| Friends                  | 0.175     |

$^a$LIWC: Linguistic Inquiry and Word Count.

**Discussion**

**Principal Findings**

In this work, using LDA and LIWC, we show that there are differences in the support needs and concerns expressed in online cancer forum posts by users who belong to the “has cancer” group compared with those belonging to the “family or friend” group. In the following section, we summarize the findings from this work.

In our analysis, we observed that users who self-declare to have or had cancer tend to post about topic themes such as their hospital visits and seeking advice and information as these relate to cancer; this finding is in line with previous work [5], which showed that individuals who self-declared (in an online cancer forum) to be diagnosed with cancer or undergoing treatment mostly sought advice from other members of the forum. We also observed that users who self-declared to have cancer tend to post about topics themes related to the cost/payments for their treatments, change in diet, and side effects of treatment, and use words associated with LIWC categories focusing on health and anxiety. These findings can aid in the design of processes for providing better support on online cancer forums. For example, the cost for cancer treatment can be expensive, and because users who self-declare to have or had cancer tend to post about topic themes related to cost/payment for their treatment, online cancer forums can partner with health care providers to document ways in which users can provide support and care to their loved ones with cancer—this information can be made easily accessible on the forum. Besides, given that LIWC categories associated with the “family or friends” group, this may imply that users belonging to this group express (in their posts) having a difficult time coping with either losing their loved one or their loved one being sick; hence, the cancer forum can provide professional mental health counselors who can provide help to these users on how to cope with a loved one being sick or losing a loved one.

**Limitation**

Prior work determined that the interests of members of online forums focused on similar topics may differ [20]; hence, a limitation of this work is that the language used on /r/Cancer may differ from that used in other online cancer forums. In addition, the sample used in this work is composed of Reddit users who publish posts on the subreddit /r/Cancer and is not representative of all users affected by cancer.

**Conclusion**

In this paper, using LDA and LIWC, we determined the LDA topics and LIWC categories associated with posts by (1) users...
who self-declared to have or had cancer and (2) users who self-declared to be family members or friends of individuals with cancer; also, we observed that these language use differences reflect the differences in support needs and concerns expressed in posts belonging to these groups.
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