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Romina Pace, MD, MSc, Stewart Harris, MD, MPH, Monica Parry, NP-Adult, MEd, MSc, PhD, and Harsh Zaran, MGA, on behalf of the Transformation of Indigenous Primary Healthcare Delivery (FORGE AHEAD) Program Collaborators

ABSTRACT

Background: First Nations (FN) peoples in Canada face spiraling rates of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and cardiovascular disease (CVD). Data on the extent of CVD risk-factor management in FN peoples with T2DM in Canada are scarce.

Methods: A T2DM registry with data from 7 FN communities in Canada was utilized to identify individuals eligible for primary and secondary CVD prevention. Proportions of individuals meeting clinical practice guideline–specified targets (hemoglobin A1c ≤7.0%; blood pressure ≤130/80 mm Hg; low-density lipoprotein ≤2 mmol/L) were compared with those in the general Canadian population.

Contexte : Au Canada, chez les membres des Premières Nations, le nombre de cas de diabète de type 2 (DT2) et de maladie cardiovasculaire (MCV) monte rapidement. On a peu de données sur l’importance de la prise en charge des facteurs de risque de MCV chez les membres des Premières Nations atteints de DT2 au Canada.

Methodologie : Un registre du DT2 comportant des données sur sept communautés canadiennes des Premières Nations a été utilisé pour repérer les personnes admissibles à la prévention primaire et secondaire de la MCV. Les proportions de personnes qui avaient atteint les

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is a leading cause of death globally; combined ischemic heart disease and stroke accounted for 15.2 million deaths in 2016.1 In Canada, CVD is second to cancer as the leading cause of death, accounting for just over 25% of all mortality.2 CVD mortality has decreased in Canada in association with trends in improvements in risk-factor modifications and medical treatments.3 It is currently unclear if this progress extends to the Indigenous peoples in Canada, for whom the burden of CVD continues to exceed that for non-Indigenous Canadians.4-6

The inaugural First Nations Regional Health Survey showed that First Nations (FN) adults aged 50-59 years had a prevalence of self-reported heart disease greater than two-fold that of the general Canadian population (11.5% vs 5.5%, respectively).7 In the Canadian Census Mortality and Cancer Follow-up Study (1991-2006), a 2.3-fold (95% confidence interval [CI] 2.1, 2.6) increase in risk of avoidable mortality from diseases of the circulatory system in FN women, and a 1.6-fold (95% CI 1.5, 1.8) higher risk in FN men, compared with non-Indigenous populations, was reported.8 A recent study documented a decline in incident cardiac events among FN peoples with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) in Ontario, but rates continued to be significantly higher than those in non-FN individuals.9

The imbalanced burden of CVD between Indigenous and non-Indigenous populations in Canada stems from the higher prevalence of modifiable CVD risk factors among Indigenous
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people, such as poor glucose control, obesity, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and tobacco use. These inequities are rooted in historical, political, and psychosocial factors resulting from drastic changes in lifestyles compounded by poor living conditions, and limited access to healthy foods and health care. Many Indigenous communities were hastily transitioned from physically demanding and time-consuming food procurement methods (hunting, fishing, gathering) to more sedentary lifestyles and increased consumption of processed foods. These changes are fueling an epidemic of cardiometabolic disease and pose important challenges to these communities.

T2DM is a major risk factor for CVD, and these diseases share several modifiable risk factors and frequently coexist in patients. The First Nations Regional Health Survey (2008-2010) demonstrated that among individuals aged ≥ 55 years, those with T2DM reported higher proportions of stroke (10.4% vs 4.8%) and heart disease (29.1% vs 14.5%) than those without T2DM. T2DM prevalence may also be a greater risk factor for CVD in Indigenous women compared with men; the Strong Heart Study evaluated coronary heart disease in Indigenous Americans and found that T2DM was associated with greater than 2-fold higher prevalence rates (4.6 vs 1.8) in women compared with men. Given this elevated risk of CVD, there is a focus for primary prevention through a multifaceted approach including lifestyle changes (increased physical activity, optimized diet, and smoking cessation) as well as appropriate medications to attain glycemic, blood pressure (BP), and lipid targets to reduce CVD risk in individuals with T2DM. In individuals with T2DM and established CVD, secondary prevention recommendations are similar to those of primary prevention, with the addition of antithrombotic medications and use of antihyperglycemic agents with demonstrated cardiovascular outcome benefits to reduce the risk of subsequent cardiovascular events.

Data on the extent of CVD risk-factor management and use of cardioprotective medications in Indigenous peoples in Canada with T2DM are scarce. The present analyses report modifiable risk-factor control in primary and secondary prevention of CVD, and prescription of cardioprotective medications for secondary prevention in a cross-section of FN peoples living on reserves across Canada.

Materials and Methods

Settings and individuals

Transformation of Indigenous Primary Healthcare Delivery (FORGE AHEAD [FA]) program, a Canadian, community-driven quality-improvement program to enhance T2DM care in FN communities, was initiated in 2013 and completed by 9 FN communities from across 5 provinces (British Columbia, Alberta, Manitoba, Quebec, Newfoundland and Labrador). Communities were recruited using a Web site and regional sharing of program information, and/or via personal communication by investigators who had preexisting partnerships with communities. There were 3 phases of the
program: (i) national-level preparatory activities; (ii) development and implementation of community-driven quality-improvement interventions; and (iii) dissemination of results, which occurred within an 18-month period. Details of ethical approvals, governance, program components, and the knowledge translation plan have been published elsewhere.19,20 The program was implemented in 2 waves; the first began in October 2014, the second in June 2015. Communities from both waves were included in the current study.

Data collection

The FN Diabetes Surveillance System (FNDSS), a Web-based data collection tool developed by the Diabetes Alliance team at Western University,21 was a component of the FA program that allowed for the creation of a registry that enumerated all adults (aged ≥18 years) with T2DM in partnering communities. Local clinical data coordinators in each community using FNDSS (N = 8) were trained to populate the surveillance tool with demographic data, clinical measures, and prescription medications for each individual. Baseline values were identified as the latest value within the 12 months prior to starting the FA program in each community.13 The baseline data were examined in the analyses below. One community did not record baseline comorbidities, including CVD, as these data were not available in their electronic medical records. Individuals from this community were therefore excluded.

Outcome measures

Individuals were categorized into primary (no known CVD) and secondary (known CVD) CVD prevention groups. CVD status was determined by the presence or absence of ischemic heart disease and/or cerebrovascular disease diagnoses documented in FNDSS. Demographic data collected included age (years), sex, normal albuminuria (albumin-to-creatinine ratio ≤2 mg/mmol), estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) ≥60 ml/min per 1.73 m², body mass index ≤30 kg/m², current smoking status, microvascular disease (neuropathy, nephropathy, and/or retinopathy), and hypertension diagnosis. Glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c), systolic and diastolic BP, and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) were also collected to assess management of cardiovascular risk factors per the Diabetes Canada Clinical Practice Guidelines.17

In individuals with CVD for which medication data were available, the presence or absence of the following was assessed: (i) antithrombotic medication (aspirin, clopidogrel, prasugrel, ticagrelor, and/or oral anticoagulant); (ii) lipid-lowering medication (statin and/or ezetimibe); (iii) medication targeting the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS); and (iv) beta-blockers. Additionally, individuals were categorized as receiving medications from all 4 classes of cardioprotective medications listed above.

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were conducted using R, version 3.5.1 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing). Proportions of individuals meeting specified targets (HbA1c ≤7.0%; BP ≤130/80 mm Hg, LDL ≤2.0 mmol/L), means of clinical measures (HbA1c; systolic BP; diastolic BP; LDL), and prescriptions for each class of cardioprotective medications were calculated for individuals with available data for each category. Comparisons between primary and secondary CVD prevention groups employed 2-tailed t tests to compare means, and χ² tests to compare proportions. Analyses were also conducted stratified by sex.

RESULTS

Cohort demographics

From the overall FA baseline cohort (N = 2098; 55.3% female) of FN individuals with T2DM living on reserves, CVD (ischemic heart disease and/or cerebrovascular disease) was documented in 17.9% (N = 376; Fig. 1). A total of 15.3% (n = 177) of women and 21.3% of men (n = 199) in the cohort had CVD diagnoses recorded. Among those with CVD, a greater proportion of women had been diagnosed with cerebrovascular disease (20.9% vs 13.6%), compared with men, but this difference was not statistically significant (Supplemental Table S1).

Individuals with CVD were older than those without (69.2 vs 58.7 years, P < 0.01; Table 1), and almost half of the CVD cohort had been diagnosed with T2DM for over a decade, compared to less than a quarter of those without CVD. In individuals with CVD, women were older than men (70.9 vs 67.6 years, P = 0.02) and had a longer mean duration of T2DM than men with CVD (13.0 years [standard deviation: 8.4] vs 10.2 years [standard deviation: 7.0]; Supplemental Table S1). These differences were not seen in the primary prevention group.

Fewer individuals with CVD had an eGFR ≥60 ml/min per 1.73 m², compared to individuals without CVD (74.0% vs 86.1%, P < 0.01; Table 1). In individuals with CVD, a smaller proportion of women had an eGFR ≥60 ml/min per 1.73 m², compared to men (65.5% vs 84.8%, P < 0.01; Supplemental Table S1). Over half of the cohort had an albumin-to-creatinine ratio ≤2.0 mg/mmol, and roughly two-thirds were obese in both the primary and secondary CVD prevention groups, with no difference between sexes.

Diagnoses of microvascular disease (23.1% vs 5.6%, P < 0.01) and hypertension (81.0% vs 50.6%, P < 0.15)
were more prevalent in individuals with CVD compared to individuals without CVD (Table 1). Notably, a larger proportion in the primary prevention group were current smokers, compared to the secondary prevention group (57.6% vs 48.1%, \(P < 0.01\)). In the primary prevention group, a larger proportion of men were current smokers (63.7% vs 48.1%, \(P < 0.01\)) and had been diagnosed with hypertension (56.0% vs 46.4%, \(P < 0.01\)) compared to women in the primary prevention group, and in diastolic BP in those with CVD (Supplemental Table S3).

### Cardiometabolic risk factors

Overall, less than 50% of all individuals met HbA1c and BP targets (Table 2). A larger proportion of women with CVD met HbA1c targets, compared to men (Supplemental Table S2). BP targets were met by a greater number of women compared to men in both the CVD and no CVD groups. Among individuals with CVD, approximately two-thirds of individuals met LDL targets, whereas approximately 40% of those without CVD had an LDL \(\leq 2\) mmol/L. Less than 10% of the cohort achieved all 3 guideline-recommended targets, and there was no significant difference between the primary and secondary prevention groups (6.8% vs 8.8%, \(P = 0.33\)) or between sexes. Women with CVD had the highest proportion of individuals meeting all 3 targets, which was significantly higher than for women without CVD (11.0% vs 7.5%, \(P < 0.01\)).

Mean clinical risk factor measures were all above guideline-recommended thresholds, except for mean diastolic BP in both groups, and LDL in individuals with CVD diagnoses (Table 3). Individuals with CVD had a lower mean diastolic BP and LDL level compared to those without CVD; otherwise, mean clinical risk factor measures were comparable between the 2 groups. There were no significant differences in the means of clinical measures between sexes, aside from a small but statistically significant difference in systolic and diastolic BP in individuals without CVD, and in diastolic BP in those with CVD (Supplemental Table S3).

### Cardioprotective medications in secondary prevention

Medication data were available for 43.6% (n = 164) of individuals with CVD. Among individuals with CVD, those for whom medication data were available were younger (62.0 vs 74.7 years, \(P < 0.01\)), had a lower proportion of microvascular disease diagnoses (12.8% vs 31.1%, \(P < 0.01\)), and had a smaller proportion of smokers (28.6% vs 88.5%, \(P < 0.01\)) compared to those for whom medication data were not available (Supplemental Table S4). Moreover, the cohort with medication data available had a greater proportion of ischemic heart disease (95.7% vs 89.6, \(P = 0.03\)) and less cerebrovascular disease (9.8% vs 22.6%, \(P < 0.01\)) compared to those without medication data.

Among individuals with CVD and prescription data available, \(<60\%\) were prescribed an antithrombotic, lipid-lowering medication or agent targeting RAAS, and beta-blockers were only prescribed to 20% of these individuals (Table 4). Overall, \(<2\%\) of these individuals had a drug from each of the 4 classes of recommended cardioprotective medication for secondary prevention prescribed. There were no significant differences between sexes.

### Table 1. Baseline characteristics of First Nations individuals with type 2 diabetes from communities participating in the Transformation of Indigenous Primary Healthcare Delivery (FORGE AHEAD) program, stratified by presence or absence of cardiovascular disease diagnosis

| Characteristic                                   | No known cardiovascular disease | Known cardiovascular disease | \(P\)  |
|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|
| Age (y), M (SD)                                  | 58.7 (14.2) n = 1722           | 69.2 (13.2) n = 376          | < 0.01 |
| Diabetes mellitus diagnosed > 10 years ago      | 22.0 (378) n = 1722            | 47.3 (178) n = 376           | < 0.01 |
| Estimated glomerular filtration rate \(\geq 60\) ml/min per 1.73 m\(^2\) | 86.1 (298) n = 346             | 74.0 (157) n = 212           | < 0.01 |
| Albumin-to-creatinine ratio /C\(^2\)              | 57.2 (281) n = 491             | 54.7 (116) n = 104           | 0.54   |
| Body mass index \(\leq 30\) kg/m\(^2\)           | 34.0 (109) n = 321             | 32.5 (37) n = 114            | 0.77   |
| Microvascular disease                            | 5.6 (96) n = 1722              | 23.1 (87) n = 376            | < 0.01 |
| Diagnosed hypertension                           | 50.6 (550) n = 1722            | 81.0 (294) n = 376           | < 0.01 |
| Current smoker                                   | 57.6 (329) n = 571             | 48.1 (90) n = 187            | 0.03   |

Values are % (n), unless otherwise indicated. n = indicates total n with data available.

M, mean; SD, standard deviation.

### Table 2. Proportion of First Nations individuals with type 2 diabetes from communities participating in the Transformation of Indigenous Primary Healthcare Delivery (FORGE AHEAD) program attaining cardiometabolic targets * stratified by presence or absence of cardiovascular disease diagnosis

| Target                           | No known cardiovascular disease | Known cardiovascular disease | \(P\)  |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|
| Hemoglobin A1c \(\leq 7.00\)%    | 48.4 (445) n = 919              | 45.4 (133) n = 293            | 0.37   |
| Blood pressure \(\leq 130/80\) mm Hg | 30.0 (289) n = 961             | 33.0 (104) n = 315            | 0.33   |
| Low-density lipoprotein \(\leq 2.00\) mmol/L | 40.9 (304) n = 741          | 61.6 (165) n = 268            | < 0.01 |
| All 3 on target                  | 6.8 (41) n = 604               | 8.7 (21) n = 241              | 0.33   |

Values are % (n), unless otherwise indicated. n = indicates total n with data available.

* Diabetes Canada Clinical Practice Guideline recommended targets.\(^6\)
Systolic blood pressure, low-density lipoprotein, diastolic blood pressure, revealed major care gaps in 19 FN communities. In the T2DM and related complications in FN peoples in Canada, cross-sectional study documenting the clinical management of Clinical Management and Epidemiologic study (CIRCLE), a care gap in preventing and managing CVD in FN individuals with T2DM in Canada.

Nearly a decade ago, the Canadian First Nations Diabetes Clinical Management and Epidemiologic study (CIRCLE), a cross-sectional study documenting the clinical management of T2DM and related complications in FN peoples in Canada, revealed major care gaps in 19 FN communities. In the current study, a smaller proportion of our cohort was meeting BP targets (30.7% vs 34.7%), compared to the population in the CIRCLE study; however, we demonstrate a roughly 10% increase in the proportion of FN individuals with T2DM achieving glycemic (47.7% vs 38.9%) and LDL (46.4% vs 35.2%) targets, compared to that in the CIRCLE study. Although this increase illustrates some small improvement in risk-factor management over time, significant disparities continue to exist between FN populations and the general Canadian population. In a cross-section of T2DM patients (<10% Indigenous) followed by primary care physicians in Canada, the Diabetes Mellitus Status in Canada (DM-SCAN) study documented comparable, if not slightly higher, proportions of attainment of guideline-recommended targets in patients with and without coronary artery disease (CAD). Akin to the findings in the current study, Grenier and colleagues found that LDL targets were more likely to be met in patients with CAD than in those without (66.0% vs 54.5%, P < 0.01), and there was no difference between groups in meeting HbA1c targets (48.5% vs 50.5%, P = 0.24). Divergent from the findings of Grenier and colleagues indicating that patients with CAD were more likely to achieve BP targets (39.1% vs 35.8%, P = 0.05), individuals with CVD in our study were not more likely to have attained BP targets (33.0% vs 30.1%, P = 0.33). Moreover, patients with CAD were more likely to meet all 3 recommended targets, compared to those without CAD (15.4% vs 12.0%, P < 0.01) in the DM-SCAN study. In our cross section of FN individuals, not only was there no difference in attaining all 3 targets between the CVD and no CVD groups (8.8% vs 6.8%, P = 0.33), but the proportion of individuals meeting all 3 targets was roughly half that in the general Canadian population in the DM-SCAN study. The importance of targeting not only glycemic but also cardiovascular risk factors was underlined in Steno-2 study, a randomized controlled trial that showed that multifactorial, target-driven treatment of cardiovascular risk factors in patients with T2DM and microalbuminuria reduced cardiovascular events and mortality. Moreover, in a cohort of 26,636 patients with T2DM, Nichols and colleagues showed that patients who met HbA1c, BP, and LDL targets had lower rates of hospitalization for CVD compared to those who did not meet targets or met only HbA1c targets.

Table 3. Median and mean diabetes-related clinical measures for First Nations individuals with type 2 diabetes from communities participating in the Transformation of Indigenous Primary Healthcare Delivery (FORGE AHEAD), stratified by presence or absence of cardiovascular disease diagnosis

| Measure                          | No known cardiovascular disease | Known cardiovascular disease | P*  |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----|
| Hemoglobin A1c, %                | 7.4 (6.3, 9.0) n = 919         | 7.2 (6.4, 8.4) n = 293       | 0.37|
| Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg   | 133.0 (122.0, 146.0) n = 961   | 133.0 (120.5, 149.0) n = 315 | 0.33|
| Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg  | 78.0 (71.0, 84.0) n = 741      | 72.0 (65.0, 80.0) n = 268    | < 0.01|
| Low-density lipoprotein, mmol/L  | 2.3 (1.7, 3.0) n = 604         | 2.4 (1.0) n = 604            |     |

n = indicates total n with data available.
IQR, interquartile range; SD, standard deviation.
* For 2-tailed t test comparing means.

**DISCUSSION**

In a cross section of FN peoples with T2DM living on a reserve, a population at high risk of CVD, suboptimal management of CVD risk factors in individuals for both primary and secondary CVD prevention was demonstrated. Less than half met glycemic targets; only a third had adequately met LDL targets. Overall, <10% of the cohort met all 3 clinical targets. Additionally, among individuals with documented CVD and available medication data (43.6% of the CVD cohort), <2% were prescribed all 4 classes of cardioprotective medications. These results highlight a significant care gap in preventing and managing CVD in FN individuals with T2DM in Canada.

Nearly a decade ago, the Canadian First Nations Diabetes Clinical Management and Epidemiologic study (CIRCLE), a cross-sectional study documenting the clinical management of T2DM and related complications in FN peoples in Canada, revealed major care gaps in 19 FN communities. In the current study, a smaller proportion of our cohort was meeting BP targets (30.7% vs 34.7%), compared to the population in the CIRCLE study; however, we demonstrate a roughly 10% increase in the proportion of FN individuals with T2DM achieving glycemic (47.7% vs 38.9%) and LDL (46.4% vs 35.2%) targets, compared to that in the CIRCLE study. Although this increase illustrates some small improvement in risk-factor management over time, significant disparities continue to exist between FN populations and the general Canadian population. In a cross-section of T2DM patients (<10% Indigenous) followed by primary care physicians in Canada, the Diabetes Mellitus Status in Canada (DM-SCAN) study documented comparable, if not slightly higher, proportions of attainment of guideline-recommended targets in patients with and without coronary artery disease (CAD). Akin to the findings in the current study, Grenier and colleagues found that LDL targets were more likely to be met in patients with CAD than in those without CAD (66.0% vs 54.5%, P < 0.01), and there was no difference between groups in meeting HbA1c targets (48.5% vs 50.5%, P = 0.24). Divergent from the findings of Grenier and colleagues indicating that patients with CAD were more likely to achieve BP targets (39.1% vs 35.8%, P = 0.05), individuals with CVD in our study were not more likely to have attained BP targets (33.0% vs 30.1%, P = 0.33). Moreover, patients with CAD were more likely to meet all 3 recommended targets, compared to those without CAD (15.4% vs 12.0%, P < 0.01) in the DM-SCAN study. In our cross section of FN individuals, not only was there no difference in attaining all 3 targets between the CVD and no CVD groups (8.8% vs 6.8%, P = 0.33), but the proportion of individuals meeting all 3 targets was roughly half that in the general Canadian population in the DM-SCAN study. The importance of targeting not only glycemic but also cardiovascular risk factors was underlined in Steno-2 study, a randomized controlled trial that showed that multifactorial, target-driven treatment of cardiovascular risk factors in patients with T2DM and microalbuminuria reduced cardiovascular events and mortality. Moreover, in a cohort of 26,636 patients with T2DM, Nichols and colleagues showed that patients who met HbA1c, BP, and LDL targets had lower rates of hospitalization for CVD compared to those who did not meet targets or met only HbA1c targets.

Table 4. Proportion of First Nations individuals with type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease prescribed medications appropriate for secondary prevention for cardiovascular disease from communities participating in the Transformation of Indigenous Primary Healthcare Delivery (FORGE AHEAD) program

| Medication category                        | Individuals with cardiovascular disease (Total n = 164) | Women with cardiovascular disease (Total n = 73) | Men with cardiovascular disease (Total n = 91) | P  |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----|
| Aspirin or other antithrombotic             | 59.2 (97)                                              | 54.8 (40)                                       | 62.6 (57)                                     | 0.31|
| Statin or other lipid-lowering medication   | 48.2 (79)                                              | 52.1 (38)                                       | 45.1 (41)                                     | 0.37|
| Renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitor | 57.3 (94)                                         | 56.2 (41)                                       | 58.2 (53)                                     | 0.79|
| Beta-blocker                                | 20.1 (33)                                              | 16.4 (12)                                       | 23.1 (21)                                     | 0.29|
| All 4 classes of medications               | 1.8 (3)                                                | 2.7 (2)                                         | 1.1 (1)                                       | 0.44|

Values are % (n), unless otherwise indicated.
Smoking is an important risk factor for CVD. Higher rates of smoking in Indigenous populations, compared to the general population, have been well documented and acknowledged as a significant public health issue, yet this disparity remains. Over half of the individuals in our baseline cohort with smoking status documented were active smokers. This percentage is significantly higher than the ~10% reported in the general population with T2DM from the DM-SCAN study.29 The proportion of smokers in the current study is also higher than the ~40% documented in Indigenous communities in the Study of Health Assessment and Risk in Ethnic Groups and Aboriginal Peoples (SHARE-AP) and the CIRCLE study over a decade ago.30,22 The proportion of active smokers in FA communities was greater in FN men than women. Notably, the global population-attributable fraction of CVD for men with T2DM is 4-fold higher than that in women with T2DM.27 Reassuringly, smoking cessation is accompanied by a reduction in risk of CVD, in comparison to ongoing smoking, and its effects are similar in both sexes.29 Programs to promote smoking cessation among Indigenous adults are imperative to curb CVD rates.29 Through the Canadian government’s FN and Inuit Component of the Federal Tobacco Control Strategy, 16 smoking-cessation projects in Indigenous communities have been funded, but their impact has yet to be reported.30

In addition to appropriate risk-factor management, the ability of cardioprotective medications to improve health outcomes in individuals with CVD has long been established.3 Regrettably, the proportion of FN individuals with T2DM and CVD receiving antithrombotics was 7.8% less than that in the general population of Canada, in the DM-SCAN study (59.2% vs 67.0%), and statins, RAAS inhibitors, and beta-blockers were prescribed 39.9% (48.2% vs 57.3% vs 89.0%), and 26.8% (20.1% vs 57.3% vs 89.0%), and 26.8% (20.1% vs 88.1%) less, respectively.22 In contrast, a recent study found that in FN peoples aged ≥65 years with T2DM, living in Ontario, >60% had prescriptions for each class of cardioprotective medication.6 These higher proportions may be due to restricting the analyses to an older population with increased drug coverage. Of note, our study did not include any communities from Ontario, and among our cohort with CVD, those with medication data available were younger than those for whom we did not have this information. The low rates of medical management of CVD in the high-risk population represented in the current study are especially concerning given studies showing low rates of revascularization and worse outcomes in FN populations; in a cohort of patients undergoing coronary angiography in Alberta, FN individuals were less likely to receive coronary angiography within 24 hours of an acute myocardial infarct (odds ratio 0.7; 95% CI 0.6, 0.9) and had higher mortality (hazard ratio 1.4; 95% CI 1.1, 1.8) after angiography compared to non-FN individuals.31 In Ontario, revascularization rates in FN people recently have been shown to be increasing.6

In the current study, FN women with CVD have better glycemic and BP control than men, which is in contrast to a recent review of non-Indigenous populations.22 Al-Salameh and colleagues also reported higher LDL levels and an underuse of aspirin in women with T2DM, compared to men.22 Additionally, several studies in the general population have suggested that adherence to statins, antihypertensive drugs, and insulin is lower in women than in men.33-35 Although we did not address adherence, the better glycemic and BP control in women with CVD in the FA cohort could suggest greater adherence to medication and lifestyle changes in FN women, compared to men, which is in contrast to findings among non-Indigenous populations.

Disparities in CVD management in Indigenous communities are rooted in barriers to access to medications and services due to fractured health care delivery.40 Medical mistrust has also been reported frequently in Indigenous studies and needs to be addressed to deliver optimal care.37,38 Recently, Anand and colleagues demonstrated that within FN communities across Canada, communities with greater trust among community members, higher socioeconomic status, higher social support, and higher education had lower rates of CVD risk factors.39 Poorer medication literacy also positions Indigenous peoples at a disadvantage in receiving care; in an urban Indigenous health centre, Smylie and colleagues found that individuals with or at high risk of CVD had low CVD medication knowledge, placing them at risk for medication errors.41 Encouragingly, implementation of an education program, which was delivered by a trained Indigenous nurse and included a tablet application, pill card, and booklet, was demonstrated to a significantly improve medication knowledge.9

This study has some limitations. Surveillance health data regarding Indigenous peoples in Canada are substandard, largely due to the failure to engage Indigenous peoples in partnerships to govern and manage their data.40 FNDSS, a tool developed by working directly with communities, was used to collect the data in this study; however, further refinements are needed to best suit community needs and resources. In this study using FNDSS, we did not have complete data for all individuals, and we only considered individuals for whom the variable of interest was recorded; <50% of participants with CVD had medication data available, and only ~20% had BMI data recorded. This finding in part highlights the inconsistency in documentation of medications and conditions, a common gap found in many Indigenous communities and one of the drivers for establishing a disease registry. Modifications are currently underway to improve the usability of FNDSS and allow communities to interpret/use their own health information, as they deem appropriate.41 Although we had medication data for only 43.6% of the CVD cohort, those for whom we did have prescription data were younger, had less microvascular disease, and included more nonsmokers, suggesting that this cohort was “healthier” than those without medication data; this could bias our data on the proportion of FN individuals with T2DM and CVD receiving appropriate medication for secondary prevention. Additionally, CVD diagnoses were based on chart review by local clinical data coordinators, but we did not have information on how these diagnoses were achieved. Any diagnoses or labs not available in the community chart could not be entered in the FNDSS database. Further, the data were collected prior to the addition of sodium—glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors and glucagon-like peptide—1 receptor agonist to the Non-Insured Health Benefits (NIHB) Program, through which many FN individuals receive prescription medications. We therefore could not assess if these diabetes medications with proven CVD outcome benefits, which should be a key prescription option...
for this high-risk population moving forward, were being prescribed. In spite of these limitations, it is clear that there continues to be a substantial gap in CVD prevention in FN in Canada.

Conclusions
A disheartening proportion of FN peoples with T2DM living on a reserve are not attaining targets for modifiable CVD risk factors. This study underscores areas for which there is need for greater CVD risk-factor control and highlights that Indigenous communities need to be supported to develop CVD prevention and management interventions tailored to their cultural, social, historical, and geographic contexts to improve CVD outcomes.
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