Gene Expression Pattern of PI3K/AKT Pathway Based on Insulin Resistance and Vitamin D Toward the Understanding of T2DM Pathogenesis
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Abstract

Insulin-stimulated glucose transport occurs via PI3K/AKT-dependent pathway which results in GLUT4 translocation from intracellular vesicles to plasma membrane and glucose uptake. PTEN, as a phosphatase, is the main antagonist of the PI3K/AKT pathway's kinases. Present study was performed to investigate underlying mechanism responsible for defects in insulin signalling, hence, RT-PCR was employed to investigate mRNA expression level of IRS1/PI3K/PDK1/AKT2/GLUT4/PTEN in diabetic and non-diabetic participants and serum vitamin D was measured by HPLC. Findings provide evidence that IRS1 gene expression was preserved while PI3K/PDK1/AKT2/GLUT4 were expressed significantly lower in diabetics compared to non-diabetics. Albeit there was no significant difference in PTEN expression between groups, PTEN was up-regulated by the years of having diabetes. As T2DM has been characterized by defects in insulin signalling at transcriptional level and post-translational modifications, it is difficult to conclude what exactly happens since only gene expression was considered, nevertheless it can be concluded that insulin resistance is not caused through an alteration in PTEN expression as a primary defect but may be caused by decreased PI3K/PDK1/AKT2/GLUT4 signalling and dysregulation of feedback loops. Particularly, PTEN expression showed a significant relation with duration of diabetes, suggesting that PTEN may not be the cause of the reduced expression of PI3K/AKT pathway in diabetes while it can be the effect of that. No significant correlations between serum vitamin D concentration and gene expression level of GOIs were observed in either group of participants which could be due to the non-linearity relationships as insulin signaling is a cascade with amplifying properties.

1. Introduction

An essential aspect of insulin function (its impact on glucose homeostasis and insulin
resistance) is a condition that cells fail to respond physiological levels of insulin which results in dysfunction of glucose transport and Type II diabetes [1].

Insulin initiates its function by interacting with the insulin receptor and reversely is dephosphorylated by phosphatases PTEN and SHIP2 [2], [3]. Phosphorylated IRS1 binds and activates PI3K which causes to phosphorylation of PIP2 to form PIP3 (PTEN and SHIP2 dephosphorylate PIP3 back to PIP2), then PIP3 interact allosterically with PDK1 and leads to AKT/PKB and PKC phosphorylation to activate GLUT4 translocation to the plasma membrane from intracellular vesicular compartment [4], [5]. Hence, PTEN inactivation leads to PIP3 accumulation and consequently, to the hyper activation of AKT, which leads to decrease serum glucose level [6]. This suggests that higher level of PTEN may make individuals more susceptible to the development of Type II diabetes by modulating insulin sensitivity [7]. Overexpression of PTEN in T2DM, results in inhibition of AKT signalling pathway and GLUT4 translocation to the cell membrane, hence decreases glucose uptake.

In contrast, decreasing of PTEN expression, enhances insulin stimulated AKT phosphorylation [8]. Therefore, regulation of insulin function is performed by the balance between phosphorylation and dephosphorylation.

The insulin signalling pathway includes multiple feedback loops [9], as phosphorylated/activated AKT phosphorylates and negatively regulates PTEN. This phosphorylation impairs the function of PTEN to dephosphorylate IR and IRS1 [10]. This reveals positive feedback loop (AKT inhibits signal attenuation PTEN hence inhibits dephosphorylation of the receptor and IRS1) that consists of a double negative feedback loop (phosphorylated kinase (AKT) negatively regulates the phosphatase (PTEN) that dephosphorylates it) (Fig. 1).

Insulin initiates its function by interacting with the insulin receptor which can be reversely dephosphorylated by PTEN phosphatase. Phosphorylated IRS1 binds and activates PI3K
which causes conversion of PIP2 to PIP3 (PTEN dephosphorylate PIP3 back to PIP2).

Allosteric interaction of PIP3 with PDK1 leads to AKT/PKB and PKC phosphorylation to activate GLUT4 translocation to the plasma membrane from intracellular vesicular compartment. The insulin signalling pathway includes multiple feedback loops as phosphorylated/activated AKT phosphorylates and negatively regulates PTEN. This phosphorylation impairs the function of PTEN to dephosphorylate IR and IRS1.

Based on tremendous results of some recent studies, there is a relationship between vitamin D and insulin sensitivity not only in both in vitro and in vivo studies but also in epidemiological and clinical studies [11]. However, the mechanism underlying the effects of vitamin D on insulin sensitivity has not been clarified yet. Vitamin D may modulate PTEN and/or other components of the AKT/PI3K pathway hence, affects insulin sensitivity and glucose homeostasis [12], [13]. In our previous study, the effects of vitamins D on an insulin-resistant model of neuronal cells was investigated which caused improvements in insulin signaling with significant increases in IR, PI3K and GLUT4 expression levels, as well as AKT phosphorylation and glucose uptake [14].

The present study was carried out to investigate the plausible mechanisms responsible for insulin resistance in Type II diabetes. In order to verify whether the regulation of key genes of insulin action is altered in Type II diabetes, mRNA expression level of IRS1, PI3K, PDK1, AKT2, GLUT4 and PTEN in non-diabetics and Type II diabetics were investigated. Also, in order to elucidate the plausible role of vitamin D in pathogenesis of T2DM, the relationship between serum vitamin D and expression level of genes involved in insulin signaling were compared in diabetics and non-diabetics.

2. Research Design And Methods

2.1. Experimental Participants
This cross-sectional study was conducted at Hospital Serdang and Universiti Putra in Malaysia which investigated the expression level of insulin signal transduction component in Type II diabetic participants and compare it with non-diabetic participants. The appropriate sample size has been calculated based on independent-samples t-test to compare the means between two groups by using statistical software package Gpower 3.17. The effect size has been used as $d = 0.5$, the $\alpha$ error probability considered as 0.05, and Power of $1-\beta$ error probability considered as 0.95 based on recommended values. The number of recruited individuals for the study was 100 in age group of 35–60 (50 diabetic participants and 50 non-diabetics served as control group). Mean age was not significantly different between non-diabetic and Type II diabetic participants and the number of men and women were equal in each group. Participants who had cancers, nephropathy complications, thyroid and parathyroid diseases were excluded from this study. The necessary approval was obtained from UPM ethic committee. The study was conducted in accordance to the Declaration of Helsinki in its currently applicable version, the guidelines of the International Conference on Harmonization of Good Clinical Practice (ICH-GCP) and coordination with the Health Ministry of Malaysia was fulfilled based on the applicable Malaysian laws (National Medical Research Register, (NMRR)). Consent has been obtained from each participant after full explanation of the purpose and nature of all procedures used.

2.2. Blood Collection in the PAXgene Blood RNA Tube

For RNA isolation, 2.5 ml blood was collected from fasted volunteers in PAXgene Blood RNA Tubes (Qiagen; cat. no. 762165, Germany). The system requires combined use of PAXgene Blood RNA Tubes for blood collection and RNA stabilization, followed by PAXgene Blood RNA Kit (Qiagen; cat. no. 762174, Germany) for RNA isolation. These tubes were kept at room temperature for around 8 hours and then transferred to the refrigerator to
extract RNA a day after blood collection. During the pilot study, it was concluded that the integrity and purity of RNA was improved by storage of tubes at room temperature for about 8 hr and deferred extraction for 24 hr after blood collection compared to the extracted RNA 2 hr after blood collection (based on the Kit protocol that mentioned postpone of extraction for at least 2 hr after blood collection is compulsory to get satisfactory result).

2.3. RNA Extraction

Extraction of RNA was started with a centrifugation step to pellet nucleic acids. The pellet was washed, suspended and incubated in optimized buffers with proteinase K (PK) to bring about protein digestion. An additional centrifugation through the PAXgene Shredder spin column was carried out to homogenize the cell lysate and remove residual cell debris, before the supernatant of the flow-through fraction was transferred to a fresh Microcentrifuge tube. Binding conditions were adjusted with ethanol and the lysate was applied to a PAXgene RNA spin column for a brief centrifugation. RNA selectively binds to the PAXgene silica membrane as contaminants pass through during the centrifugation. Remaining contaminants were removed in several efficient wash steps. Between the first and second wash steps, the membrane was treated with DNase I (RNFD) to remove trace amounts of bound DNA. After the wash steps, RNA was eluted in elution buffer and heat-denatured. Isolated RNA was measured at A260/A280 for purity control. The integrity and size distribution of RNA were checked by agarose gel electrophoresis and Ethidium Bromide staining. The respective ribosomal bands appeared sharp on the stained gel and 28S ribosomal RNA bands presented with an intensity approximately twice that of the 18S RNA band.

2.4. cDNA Synthesis
Purified RNA sample was briefly incubated in gDNA Wipeout Buffer at 42 °C for 2 minutes to effectively remove any contaminating genomic DNA and then it was used in reverse transcription to produce cDNA. QuantiTect Rev.Transcription Kit (Qiagen; cat. no. 205313, Germany) was used and reverse-transcription master mix was prepared on ice according the manufacturer protocol. Template RNA (14 µl) was thawed on ice and added to each tube containing reverse-transcription master mix. The tubes were incubated for 15 min at 42 °C and then incubated for 3 min at 95 °C to inactivate Quantiscript Reverse Transcriptase. Reverse-transcription reactions were stored on ice and transferred to the fridge at −80 °C until using in RT-PCR.

2.5. Real-Time RT-PCR

Optimization and quantitation of all the markers of interest (GAPDH, ACTIN, PTEN, AKT2, GLUT4, PDK1, PI3K and IRS1) were carried out on real time-PCR (Qiagen; cat. no. 204054, Germany). In this study, all the primers were designed by Qigen Company and stored in TE buffer in small aliquots at −20ºC. A reaction mix include 2x QuantiFast SYBR Green PCR Master Mix, primers and RNase-free water was prepared according to manufacturer instruction on ice and appropriate volumes dispensed into PCR vessels while programming the real-time cycler. Template cDNA was thawed on ice and added to the PCR vessels containing reaction mix (≤ 100 ng/reaction). For two-step RT-PCR, the volume of the cDNA added (from the undiluted RT reaction) should not exceed 10% of the final PCR volume (2 µl of the cDNA was added in this study, as the final PCR volume was 20 µl). The cycling conditions were as follows: 95 ºC for 5 min (PCR initial activation step); 95 ºC for 10 s (denaturation); 60 ºC for 30 s (combined annealing/extension); followed by 40 cycles and it was performed on a Bio-Rad Real-Time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad; CFX96, USA).

An NTC containing all the components of the reaction except for the template was performed to enable detection of contamination. An RT-control including all the
components of the reaction except for Quantscript Reverse Transcriptase was performed to detect probable genomic DNA contamination.

2.6. Data Presentation and Calculations through Relative Quantification

Target nucleic acids can be quantified using either absolute quantification or relative quantification. With relative quantification, the amounts of the target genes and the reference gene within the same sample were determined and ratios were calculated between each target gene and the reference gene. Then these normalized values were used to compare the differential gene expression in different samples. In this method, the amount of internal reference gene relative to a calibrator (fold change between two Ct values) is given by the equation [15]:

\[
\text{Fold difference} = 2^{-\Delta Ct}
\]

2.7. Serum Vitamin D₃ (Cholecalciferol) Analysis

In order to measure serum vitamin D₃ of participants, HPLC method [16] was used. Agilent 1100 machine, quaternary pump, Kinetex 5u C18 100A 250 × 4.6 mm column (Phenomenex, USA) was used in reverse-phase condition. Mobile phase was in an isocratic gradient; acetonitrile and methanol with the ratio of solvent 88:12, filtered and degassed to avoid any air bubbles and contamination. The flow rate was set at 1 mL/min and 20 µL per injection sample at 40°C. The HPLC unit was an integrated system with a UV-detector at 265 nm and data analysis was run by using ChemStation Operation System. 500 µL of plasma was added to 350 µL of methanol and 2-propanol (80:20 by volume). The tubes were mixed in a vortex mixer for 30 s. Vitamin D₃ was extracted by shaking three times (60 s each time) with 2 mL of hexane. The phases were separated by centrifugation and
the upper organic phase was transferred to a conical tube and dried under nitrogen gas. The residue was dissolved in 100 μL of water and methanol (with the ratio of 76:4). The sample was filtered by using non-sterile polyvinyl difluoride (PVDF) filter with the pore size of 0.22 µm and diameter of 13 mm (AMTEC brand, Malaysia) and eluent was collected in 1.5 mL amber vial. Calibration curves were constructed using six different concentrations of vitamin D3 (3.125, 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50 and 100 ng/mL) as a reference standard. Concentration of vitamin D of each respondents were stratified into three groups according to their plasma vitamin D levels as: Optimal (20–80 ng/mL), Deficiency (< 20 ng/mL) and Toxicity (> 80 ng/mL). Coefficient variation in this study was less than 10%. The correlation coefficient in Standard Curve Vitamin D was r2 = 0.98 while the equation gradient was y = 0.112x.

2.8. Statistical Analysis

Each experiment was performed three times. All data were expressed as means ± SE. Statistical analysis was performed by using SPSS 21.0 statistical software package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Shapiro-Wilk test was performed to normalize the data. Independent-samples t-test was applied to compare the means between two groups and threshold of significance was defined as a P < 0.05. Pearson correlation test was used as appropriate to analyze the relationships between serum vitamin D and expression level of GOIs. One-way ANOVA was used to compare differences between the groups based on duration of diabetes in diabetic group. Levene's test was used to check significant differences (P < 0.05) revealed by ANOVA.

3. Results

3.1. Analysis of mRNA Gene Expression by RT-PCR

RT-PCR method was employed and optimized to investigate the variability of IRS1, PI3K,
PDK1, AKT2, GLUT4 and PTEN gene expression as GOI (gene of interest) and two housekeeping genes (GAPDH and β-ACTIN) within non-diabetic and diabetic participants. The amplification curves and melting curves of GOIs and housekeeping genes indicated absence of contaminating products in negative control which did not have amplification curve and no peak in melting curve. The specificity of all primers was evaluated by melt curve analysis, showing a single amplified product for all genes and verifying that the primers did not generate any unspecific products, as RT-PCR system automatically records a second melting temperature if it detects any other amplified product besides the specific amplicon.

3.2. Relative Expression Levels for GOIs between Diabetic and Non-Diabetic Participants

Normalised expression levels for GOIs in both non-diabetic and diabetic participant groups are shown in Table 1 and Fig. 2.

| GOIs  | Non-diabetics (n = 50) | Diabetics (n = 50) | df | t     | P-value |
|-------|------------------------|--------------------|----|-------|---------|
| IRS1  | 0.23 ± 0.01 Mean ± SE  | 0.21 ± 0.01 Mean ± SE | 98 | -0.819| 0.415   |
| PI3K  | 0.32 ± 0.02 Mean ± SE  | 0.46 ± 0.02 Mean ± SE | 98 | 3.68  | 0.001*  |
| PDK1  | 0.70 ± 0.04 Mean ± SE  | 0.58 ± 0.03 Mean ± SE | 98 | -2.00 | 0.014*  |
| AKT2  | 0.68 ± 0.04 Mean ± SE  | 0.87 ± 0.08 Mean ± SE | 98 | 1.92  | 0.050*  |
| GLUT4 | 0.74 ± 0.05 Mean ± SE  | 0.95 ± 0.07 Mean ± SE | 98 | 2.24  | 0.028*  |
| PTEN  | 0.44 ± 0.03 Mean ± SE  | 0.42 ± 0.03 Mean ± SE | 98 | -0.436| 0.664   |

*P < 0.05

Expression levels of PI3K, AKT2, PDK1 and GLUT4 were significantly lower in Type II diabetic participants as demonstrated in Table 1. Gene expression level of IRS1 and PTEN were slightly higher in Type II diabetic participants in comparison to the non-diabetics but it was statistically insignificant.

Gene expression levels of IRS1 and PTEN were higher in Type II diabetic in comparison to non-diabetic participants but there was no significant difference between two groups, whilst the expression of PI3K, AKT2, PDK1 and GLUT4 were significantly lower in Type II diabetic participants.

Further analysis of variables was performed to find out the possible mechanisms
responsible for insulin resistance in Type II diabetic participants. Since the time is a proposed new classification system for diabetes [17], the association of duration of diabetes and gene expression level of GOIs in diabetic participants was investigated.

3.3. Target Genes Expression in Relation to the Duration of Diabetes

One-way ANOVA was used to compare differences between each of the GOIs and duration of diabetes (three groups was considered: <5, 5–10, 10 < years) as an independent variable in diabetic participants and these results are shown in Table 2.

| Gene   | Sum of Squares | df  | Mean Square | F      | Sig. |
|--------|----------------|-----|-------------|--------|------|
| IRS1   | 0.067          | 2   | 0.033       | 3.677  | 0.033*|
|        | 0.443          | 47  | 0.009       |        |      |
|        | 0.510          | 49  |             |        |      |
| PI3K   | 0.040          | 2   | 0.020       | 0.645  | 0.529 |
|        | 1.476          | 47  | 0.031       |        |      |
|        | 1.516          | 49  |             |        |      |
| PDK1   | 0.165          | 2   | 0.082       | 2.828  | 0.069 |
|        | 1.407          | 47  | 0.029       |        |      |
|        | 1.572          | 49  |             |        |      |
| AKT2   | 0.233          | 2   | 0.117       | 0.337  | 0.714 |
|        | 16.301         | 47  | 0.346       |        |      |
|        | 16.534         | 49  |             |        |      |
| GLUT4  | 0.086          | 2   | 0.043       | 0.190  | 0.827 |
|        | 10.481         | 47  | 0.223       |        |      |
|        | 10.568         | 49  |             |        |      |
| PTEN   | 0.282          | 2   | 0.141       | 4.025  | 0.024*|
|        | 1.649          | 47  | 0.035       |        |      |
|        | 1.930          | 49  |             |        |      |

PTEN and IRS1 expression level had a significant relation with duration of Diabetes which indicates that duration of diabetes is the strongest predictor for increasing of PTEN and IRS1 gene expression.

This study provides evidence that the gene expression levels of IRS1 and PTEN were preserved under the conditions in which PI3K, AKT2, PDK1 and GLUT4 expression were impaired in Type II diabetic participants. These impairments are suggested to be the primary reason for insulin resistance and duration of diabetes is proposed to be the strongest predictor for increasing of PTEN and IRS1 expression.

3.4. Assessment and Comparison of Serum Vitamin D in Relation to the
GOIs

Serum vitamin D3 (ng/mL) in diabetic participants were compared to non-diabetics. Vitamin D level was higher in non-diabetics ($33.07 \pm 0.97$ ng/ml) compared to ($30.47 \pm 1.30$ ng/ml) in diabetics but the difference was statistically insignificant ($T: -1.603$, df: 98 and $P$-value: 0.112).

Pearson correlation test was performed to show the possible relationship between expression level of GOIs and serum vitamin D which no significant correlations was observed in either group of participants (Table 3).

|                      | Non-diabetic Participants | Diabetic Participants |
|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
|                      | Pearson Correlation (r)   | P-value               | Pearson Correlation (r) | P-value |
| IRS1                 | 0.118                     | 0.431                 | -0.031                 | 0.828   |
| PI3K                 | -0.252                    | 0.083                 | -0.034                 | 0.814   |
| PDK1                 | -0.125                    | 0.399                 | -0.030                 | 0.839   |
| AKT2                 | 0.158                     | 0.283                 | -0.214                 | 0.136   |
| GLUT4                | -0.049                    | 0.743                 | -0.266                 | 0.065   |
| PTEN                 | -0.210                    | 0.162                 | 0.001                  | 0.993   |

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

4. Discussion

Although the necessity of the PI3K/AKT pathway in insulin signal transduction is documented [18], it has not attained sufficient in vivo and in vitro evidence to identify the underlying mechanisms of the pathway and contradictory findings have been reported through knockout and RNAi studies [19]-[21].

It is now commonly accepted that metabolic regulation relies on three types of control which involves; 1) Allosteric control of a key enzyme activity that triggers a metabolic pathway by binding to the activator, (mostly its substrate). 2) Posttranslational modifications such as phosphorylation, acetylation, glycosylation and proteolytic cleavage, which may affect the protein stability and/or equilibrium between active and
inactive enzyme. In these kinds of control, subsequent changes in protein-protein interaction may participate in generating the active/non-active enzymatic complex. 3) Transcriptional regulation such as DNA methylation, which affects the gene expression level of key enzymes and is considered as a longer time regulation scale. Most metabolic regulations rely on a collaboration of these various mechanisms. As the insulin signalling starts at the cell membrane and subsequent events occur via phosphorylation cascades, which mainly happen through the PI3K/AKT pathway, it is probable that a part of the insulin function results from posttranslational modifications of numerous transcription factors [1], [22]-[24]. Therefore, it is difficult to conclude what exactly happens in insulin resistance from the present study as it merely considered the gene expression regulation of PI3K/AKT pathway, also a temporal measurement of gene expression cannot be considered as a representative of the precise quantification of these gene’s expression in human.

Insulin resistance in Type II diabetes has been characterized by several defects in the insulin signalling cascade [1], [22]-[24]. All these events are related to short-term post-translational regulation of specific protein functions. In addition, the transcriptional regulation of key genes of insulin action has been investigated in Type II diabetes [25]. This hypothesis is supported by findings of altered expression of genes encoding metabolic enzymes in Type II diabetic patients [26].

In this study, there was no alteration in insulin signalling at the level of IRS1 and PTEN expression in diabetic participants despite the presence of reduced PI3K, AKT2, PDK1 and GLUT4 expression levels which it was in agreement with previous studies [27], [28] and suggesting that diminished expression levels of these genes may induce insulin resistance [8]. A contradiction in the results obtained from different investigations [1], [22]-[24] indicates several possible mechanisms of transcriptional regulation of the PI3K/AKT
pathway. For instance, in the present study, no significant changes in gene expression of IRS1 and PTEN in diabetic participants suggesting that defects in insulin signalling via IRS1 and PTEN are unlikely to be the primary cause. Another possibility is that these genes exert their main role in the PI3K/AKT pathway, which, beyond a very narrow range of their changes the homeostasis of the pathway will disappear. Thus, the insulin signalling is very sensitive to the alteration of these components. Otherwise, it should be considered that insignificantly higher level of IRS1 in this study, might be due to the collaboration of various mechanisms including signal amplification as a compensatory mechanism and convergence of other signalling pathways. However, the role of negative feedback loops cannot be neglected, as control of insulin signalling can be achieved by autoregulation whereby downstream elements inhibit upstream components [29], [30], such as AKT negatively regulates PTEN and prevents dephosphorylation of IRS1 by PTEN [31]. Therefore, it can be concluded that increased gene expression level of IRS1 could be due to the increased amount of PTEN expression as well as decreased AKT2 expression as a compensatory mechanism. Alternatively, signals from other pathways can inhibit insulin signalling. The IR and the IRS are targets for such feedback control mechanisms. Phosphorylation of IRS on Serine residues could be a key step in these feedback control processes [32]-[35]. Most of the Serine/Threonine kinases that are stimulated by insulin, are downstream effectors of IRS and serve as negative modulators of its action. The blockage of these kinases by the PI3K pathway inhibitors, indicates that these kinases are downstream of PI3K as potential IRS kinases [33]. Also, insulin resistance inducers such as cellular stress, free fatty acids and tumor necrosis factor-α use similar mechanisms which activate some IRS kinases and inhibit their function by phosphorylation of Serine residues [33], [36]. Serine phosphorylation is considered as a short-term inhibitory mechanism, while regulation of IRS expression might promote long-term insulin resistance. Also, it
should be considered that as PTEN antagonizes PI3K, it may cause the activation of a feedback loop involving IRS1 by upregulating signalling through PI3K [37]. Insulin induces PI3K-mediated activation of PDK1 and produces PIP3 that regulates AKT activity and its plasma membrane translocation. Interaction between PDK1 and PKC may be required for insulin-induced phosphorylation of AKT [38]. Since PDK1 is required for phosphorylation and activation of AKT, the parameters affecting the modification of AKT and PDK1 are considered to be similar.

It has been revealed that insulin's signal being mediated by protein phosphatases such as PTEN and SHIP. Knockout and RNAi studies can induce diabetes by up-regulating PTEN. These phosphatases which have different biological functions in vivo, can induce insulin resistance through attenuating the PI3K/AKT pathway [39]. Overexpression of PTEN decreases insulin-stimulated PI3K/AKT pathway, GLUT4 translocation and glucose uptake into the cells [40], [41]. Microinjection of anti-PTEN antibody increases insulin-stimulated GLUT4 translocation to the cell membrane and glucose uptake [40]. Therefore, PTEN reduces insulin sensitivity [42], as it is increased by inhibition of PTEN [43]-[45]. Although numerous phosphatases could be considered to be significant player in insulin signal transduction, only PTEN has been considered in this study. Changes in the abundance of PTPases and their collaboration or interaction may be involved in the pathogenesis of insulin resistance. Therefore, further ex vivo studies are required to assess the underlying mechanisms of PTEN function as well as other phosphatases and differentiate their roles, interaction and collaboration in antagonizing PI3K/AKT pathway and induction of diabetes. Understanding of mechanisms underlying the regulation of PTEN is important to identify its roles in diabetes. Regulation of PTEN is controlled at three steps; transcriptional regulation, post-translational mechanisms and membrane recruitment [18], [46], [47]. Initially it was assumed that PTEN expression is constitutively until numerous transcription
factors have been shown to bind directly to the PTEN promoter and regulate its expression [46], [48], [49]. Localization of PTEN plays an important role in the regulation of its activity in order to dephosphorylate PIP3 back to PIP2 at the cell membrane [47], [50], [51]. Since, PTEN acts as the main antagonist of the PI3K/AKT signalling pathway by converting PIP3 into PIP2 [52], directly reversing the effects of PI3K and deactivating/dephosphorylating AKT through a decrease in PIP3 levels [53], [54]. Reduced concentration of cellular PIP3 has been reported in Type II diabetic participants [55]. Hence, PTEN inactivation leads to PIP3 accumulation and consequently, to the hyper activation of AKT, which leads to a decrease in serum glucose level [6]. Therefore, the intracellular concentration of PIP3 and PIP2 is regulated by the PI3K/PTEN equilibrium and dysregulation of PI3K/AKT pathway or no equilibrium between the PI3K and PTEN concentration have been implicated in several human diseases, including diabetes [56].

The findings of the present study showed reduced expression level of PI3K, AKT2, PDK1 and GLUT4 in diabetic participants compared to non-diabetics, confirming previous studies [27], [28] but there was no significant alteration in gene expression level of PTEN and IRS1 in diabetic participants and it was in consistent with the findings of some studies [57]-[59]. This may lead one to the hypothesis that localization of PTEN plays an important role in the regulation of its activity [60]-[63]. It means that the main role of PTEN in the regulation of insulin function is performed by dephosphorylating the active form (insulin-stimulated) of the insulin receptor and also by modulating post-receptor signalling through antagonizing PI3K/AKT pathway [19]-[21] and indicating that PTEN’s transmembrane function is probably more imperative than its intracellular function in insulin signal attenuation.

Nevertheless, significant positive correlation between PTEN expression level and duration of diabetes in diabetic participants was observed in this study which suggesting that PTEN
expression increases by the years of having diabetes in diabetic participants, as the time is a proposed new classification system for diabetes [17]. From another aspect it can be concluded that, although the PTEN level was higher in diabetic participants than in non-diabetics, the difference was not enough to be statistically significant while it was enough to affect GLUT4 expression. It means that insulin sensitivity is impaired by reduced expression of components that amplify the insulin signalling such as PI3K and AKT [64]-[70]. Though presence of bistable response has not been proved in insulin signalling pathway and we are waiting for more verification of this property, there are indications that this pathway includes the required components to exhibit bistable behaviour [64]-[70]. Bistability can be generated due to the non-linearity in positive feedback loops or double negative feedback loops [71]. The non-linearity is due to the ultrasensitive response that is usually obtained through enzyme cascades [72]. Bistable systems display hysteresis, which means that the signalling system switches between two separate steady states without resting in a transitional state and the required amount of stimulatory input for transition from one state to another is completely different from that required for the reverse transition [73]. The insulin signalling pathway includes multiple feedback loops [9], such as phosphorylated/activated AKT phosphorylates and negatively regulates PTEN. This phosphorylation impairs the function of PTEN to dephosphorylate IR and IRS1 [10] and reveals a positive feedback loop (AKT inhibits signal attenuation of PTEN hence it inhibits dephosphorylation of IR and IRS) that consists of a double negative feedback loop (phosphorylated AKT negatively regulates the PTEN that in turn dephosphorylates AKT). In except of this positive feedback loops considered in PI3K/AKT pathway, it is also known that many feedback loops have not been entirely characterized [9]. Thus, this pathway has the potential to convert stimulatory inputs into bistable responses. Therefore we cannot neglect the hypothesis that bistablity
might exist in insulin-induced glucose absorption due to the ultrasensitivity of GLUT4 expression level in response to the PTEN expression at this study. Our findings indicates that the PI3K/AKT pathway losses bistability beyond a very narrow range of PTEN levels in addition to impaired insulin sensitivity by reduced expression of components that amplify the insulin signalling such as PI3K and AKT. These results are in accord with the literature on the existence of bistability in insulin signal transduction [64]-[70]. Consequently, PTEN/PI3K could be a phosphatase-kinase couple that controls the transition of the signalling molecule between two phosphorylation states.

According to the several studies [74], vitamin D is required for normal insulin function. A number of studies [75], [76] revealed that vitamin D level is positively correlated with insulin sensitivity and lower risk of impaired glucose tolerance and T2DM. The modulatory action of vitamin D in insulin receptor gene expression and insulin secretion may point to its role in the pathogenesis and development of T2DM [77]. Vitamin D deficiency causes reduced insulin secretion in rats and humans, whereas its replenishment increases glucose tolerance through improvements in β-cell function [78]. In addition, certain allelic variations in the vitamin D-binding protein (DBP) and vitamin D receptor (VDR) might affect glucose tolerance and insulin secretion [77], [79] thus contributing to the occurrence of T2DM. Furthermore, vitamin D has been reported to contribute to the normalization of extracellular calcium which determines the normal intracellular calcium pool. Increased intracellular calcium impairs phosphorylation of insulin receptors leading to decreased GLUT4 activity and impaired insulin signal transduction [80], [81]. Also, it has been documented that vitamin D deficiency and obesity in adult C57BL/6 mice entailed hyperinsulinemia and impaired expression level of the PI3K/AKT pathway components which caused impaired glucose homeostasis and insulin resistance [12]. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that vitamin D-induced activation of PI3K/AKT
pathway is through PTEN down regulation [13]. Similarly, vitamin D down regulated the expression of PTEN and subsequently up regulated the expression of AKT [13]. Since insulin controls glucose and lipid metabolism through the PI3K/AKT pathway and PTEN is a negative regulator of this pathway, down-regulation of PTEN enhances the metabolic effects of insulin [82], [83] and reverses insulin resistance [84], [85]. Nevertheless, it remains to be elucidated whether alterations in insulin signalling gene expression in T2DM might be influenced by the regulatory transcriptional properties of vitamin D. Since the active form of vitamin D, 1,25-dehydroxyvitamin D$_3$, influences the expression of various genes [86], [87] which were also addressed in current study, the relationship between vitamin D and gene expression level of insulin signal transduction components were investigated.

In this study, there was no significant correlation between serum vitamin D concentration and gene expression level of GOIs in either group of participants. Data presented in current report is not in agreement with previous study on vitamin D-induced activation of PI3K/AKT pathway by down regulation of PTEN in mice [13]. Also, in our previous in vitro study, vitamins D increased the expression level of IR, PI3K and GLUT4 and phosphorylation level of AKT which caused increased glucose uptake on insulin-resistant model of neuronal cells [14]. This could be due to the non-linearity relationship, as the Pearson correlation test shows a linear correlation while insulin signal transduction is a cascade with amplifying properties [64]–[70]. Also, it should be considered that Pearson correlation test does not reveal the cause and effect relationships. Furthermore, in this study, only five diabetic participants were vitamin D deficient which it was impossible to compare gene expression level of GOIs based on vitamin D status.

5. Conclusion
The focus of current study was to ascertain the plausible mechanisms that could regulate the gene expression level of insulin signalling in humans through the alterations of PI3K/AKT pathway components in diabetic individuals. Most reported studies of insulin resistance are based on cell lines and animal models. The present ex vivo study has provided novel findings and insights to the insulin signalling pathways in humans. Although other investigators have previously reported an increased expression of PTEN in diabetic participants [88]-[92], the findings of this study would emphasize that the primary reason for insulin resistance and Type II diabetes is due to the imbalance between the components of the pathway [93]-[101] as well as dysregulation of feedback loops [29], [30]. Also, post-translational modifications [46], [48], [49] which were not considered in this study, may affect non-significant result of the study and insulin resistance is not through an alteration in PTEN expression. Albeit, in this study, there was no significant difference in PTEN expression level between two groups, involvement of PTEN in insulin resistance condition in Type II diabetes is not rejected but the up-regulation of PTEN by increasing the years of having diabetes is proposed. PTEN may not be the primary cause of the reduced gene expression level of PI3K/AKT pathway and it might be the effect of that. This hypothesis certainly requires further investigations to verify at the protein and kinase/phosphatase activity levels.

Vitamin D is involved in insulin resistance through genomic and non-genomic molecular actions related to insulin signaling as well as reduction of oxidative stress, inflammation and regulation of gene expression. In this study, no significant correlations between serum vitamin D concentration and gene expression level of GOIs were observed in either group of participants. This result could be due to the non-linearity relationship as the Pearson correlation test shows linear correlations and it does not reveal the cause and effect relationships. Therefore, the role of vitamin D in maintenance of insulin sensitivity
or pathogenesis of insulin resistance is not rejected through this study.
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