Ultralow RF Signal Loss in Aerosol Jet Printed Silver Microstrip Lines up to 18 GHz
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ABSTRACT Printed radio frequency (RF) electronic components are often prohibitively lossy due to the materials challenges involved in additively manufacturing metals and dielectrics. We use aerosol jet printing of reactive silver inks to fabricate microstrip transmission lines onto commercial RF boards and subsequently extract the insertion loss of the printed silver through bisect de-embedding of the transmission lines. We directly compare the performance of our printed silver microstrips to conventional copper-clad microstrips to benchmark the efficacy of additive manufacturing against traditional processing methods. With an insertion loss nearing that of conventional copper, reactive silver ink printed traces offer dense continuous metals that can reliably act as conductors for RF applications. In addition to the morphological effects on loss from the printed metal itself, we also observe that the effect of substrate surface texture contributes to unexpected loss that may be mitigated by smoothing the surface or aligning the print direction to minimize these effects. Metallizing passive RF components using reactive inks offers a practical approach which will allow RF designers to take advantage of three-dimensional space. This is possible without sacrificing the necessary high conductivity and low loss needed to produce high performance devices for use within aerospace and communications.

INDEX TERMS 3D printing, additive manufacturing, microstrip line, printed circuit board.

I. INTRODUCTION
Additive manufacturing (AM) has rapidly expanded three-dimensional design space to enable radio frequency (RF) device designs that cannot be produced using conventional manufacturing. This additional degree of processing freedom has been used to additively manufacture a diverse array of RF structures from simple transmission lines \cite{1}–\cite{3}, waveguides \cite{4}, \cite{5}, and patch antennas \cite{6}–\cite{8} to more complex three-dimensional RF filters \cite{9}–\cite{11} and antennas exhibiting unique characteristics \cite{12}–\cite{16} that cannot be achieved in planar configurations alone. Compared to conventional techniques, in which the signal and ground metal must be rolled-on or electrodosed and subsequently etched, AM uses direct metal deposition to pattern features in a single step. Besides being significantly faster and more efficient than traditional processing, AM is also crucial to the manufacture of structures that are not compatible with conventional methods, such as flexible or curved components. AM methods encompass a variety of techniques, but inkjet printing and aerosol jet printing are the most common forms of direct metal deposition. However, most printable metals used for conductive traces are typically nanoparticle inks that must be sintered to form porous monoliths, and exhibit poor transport properties due to microstructural defects and tortuosity, grain boundaries, impurities, and topological surface roughness \cite{17}–\cite{20}. For DC and low frequency operation, these defects manifest as a reduction in the effective conductivity and increase the Ohmic losses of current carrying traces. As the operating frequencies increase into the RF bands, the parasitic impedances induced by reflection at interfaces, increased scattering from rough surfaces, and the morphological tortuosity that interrupts wave propagation combine to inhibit electronic conduction in nanoparticle-based traces that is difficult to replicate and can be orders of magnitude below plated metals and other conventionally fabricated RF circuits \cite{21}. This deficiency in material properties has relegated many additive manufacturing efforts to rapid prototyping
applications, particularly when operating in the RF regime, where the benefits of three-dimensional architectures are negated by the significant degradation in material properties compared to their conventional counterparts. Recently, Cai et al. [22] have characterized the insertion loss of printed nanoparticle inks at frequencies as high as 40 GHz. This demonstration underlies the immense potential for printed metals systems within RF, but represents only one part of the toolbox of materials available to designers and engineers. An alternate to nanoparticle inks comes in the form of reactive metal inks, which have been developed in large part to mitigate many of the fundamental materials challenges inherent to particle-based compositions [23]–[30]. This is achieved by producing solid, interface-free metals with densities approaching unity and DC conductivities that can exceed 70% of the bulk metal value. We have previously shown near-bulk DC conductivity using reactive metal inks and have furthermore shown that these traces are capable of carrying RF signals [31]. Here we investigate the use of one method of additive manufacturing, aerosol jet printing, to print RF signal traces and measure the transmission and insertion losses of reactive metal microstrips on conventional RF substrates. By exploring the intersection of an emerging materials system with a novel processing technique, we seek to provide a broader understanding of signal conduction in printed metals. The dense metals resulting from reactive inks reduce the number of scattering sites and other impediments that would otherwise produce prohibitively large insertion losses, and provide a viable means towards achieving fully 3D printed microwave passive circuit elements that show no deviation from conventional metals yet retain the benefits of 3D fabrication.

II. DEVICE FABRICATION AND TESTING

We use a reactive silver ink to print RF microstrip transmission lines on a conventional circuit board substrate – FR4 laminate (thickness t = 0.5mm, dielectric constant $\varepsilon_r = 4.3$) – as shown in Fig. 1. Two copies of each microstrip are produced to directly compare the RF properties of metals fabricated via conventional processing against the more novel AM approach. In each set, one device under test (DUT) is entirely fabricated using conventional chemical etching of copper cladding as a representative baseline. The second DUT in each set has only the launches, connector feeds, and ground planes fabricated from patterned copper cladding such that the signal traces connecting the two launches can be aerosol jet-printed with reactive silver. This design ensures that the reference plane for our measurement is at the edge of the printed silver trace, thereby ensuring that only the printed area will be under test in a side-by-side comparison to copper cladding.

![Fig. 1. Board design, dimensions, and model approach used in this study. (a) Schematic and (b) photograph of microstrip elements on FR4 substrate, showing the three pairs of copper cladding and printed silver microstrips. (c) Microscope images of the copper clad and printed silver traces. The silver microstrips are created by overlapping adjacent sub-traces until the desired microstrip width is achieved. (d) The data reduction scheme uses a computational model to extract the unknown properties of the board, including the insertion loss and complex permittivity components.](image-url)
effect, which for the lowest frequency of 2 GHz is estimated
to be only 1.4 μm.

We measure the devices at radio frequencies by testing all
microstrips using a portable network analyzer (PNA) from 20
MHz to 20 GHz with a two-port configuration. We focus on
the frequency range 2-18 GHz, which encompasses the S (2-
4 GHz), C (4-8 GHz), X (8-12 GHz), and Ku (12-18 GHz)
bands, as these bands are highly utilized across a variety of
applications including telecommunications, radar, satellite
communications, and WiFi. The PNA cables are calibrated
using a standard Short-Open-Load-Through (SOLT)
calibration toolkit, in which we isolate the insertion loss of
the transmission line segment by removing contributions
from the launch, connectors, and cables. We extract the
insertion loss of the metal conductor using the two-line
THRU and LINE standard method with microstrips of
different lengths (6 inch, 8 inch, and 10 inch). While this
method requires only one pair of microstrips, we print three
different lengths to ensure that we have multiple DUTs
available for the extraction. After collecting the S-parameter
data, we use CST Microwave Studio to model the expected
behavior from known device design parameters and to
extract the insertion loss and complex permittivity from the
measured data. The complex permittivity encompasses the
real component of the substrate dielectric constant as well as
the loss tangent, and we compare these extracted values
against the known data sheet values to validate the fit of our
insertion loss simulation.

The board material also has an effect on the overall loss
present in the RF structure. FR4 is a relatively lossy substrate
(tanδ = 0.025), so we also print similar DUTs onto a lower-
loss circuit board material, Taconic TLX-9 (thickness t =
0.25 mm, dielectric constant εᵣ = 2.5, tanδ = 0.0019 [34]),
to demonstrate the utility of this technique for high performance
circuit components. This material is a woven PTFE
composite that also presents unique challenges regarding the
nonuniform surface finish, as discussed in the subsequent
sections.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. FR4 BOARD

The measured S-parameter reflection (S11) and transmission
(S12) characteristics are presented in Fig. 2. Comparing
the printed silver traces to the conventional etched copper, we
observe an identical and consistent slope offset of roughly
0.2 dB/GHz between each silver vs copper device length
pair. This offset corresponds to a discrepancy in the raw
measured data that includes contributions from fixturing
effects. We also observe similar rippling in each length pair
of silver vs copper DUTs. This behavior originates from a
combination of the inherently lossy substrate material as well
as the fixturing effects. Since there is a uniform shift across
each pair of S21 curves, we conclude that the printed silver
itself is not introducing any more loss than the copper and is
in fact behaving exactly as a conventional metal would. To
remove fixturing effects and extract the inherent insertion
loss of each metal, we use the two-line method to extrapolate
the insertion loss from two microstrip transmission lines of
known length. We use THRU bisect de-embedding [35], [36]
to remove any signal beyond the reference plane and ensure
that we are isolating the contribution from the printed silver
from edge effects at the launches and connectors. The bisect
de-embedding method makes use of transmission line
mathematics to divide a two-port THRU line into mirrored
halves. The mirrored portions can then be eliminated from
each port, thereby returning only the transmission properties
of the embedded DUT. By measuring at least two lengths of
the same material, we can de-embed the transmission line
properties. We use CST Microwave Studio to extract the
complex permittivity from the measured and simulated S-
parameters by comparing the data against known properties
such as the substrate dielectric constant and loss tangent. The
use of CST Microwave Studio is necessary to model our
printed silver DUTs, as the dissimilar ground and top plane
metals require 3D electromagnetic simulation. Lower
complexity RF tools and analytical models would not be able
to properly capture the physical effects of our DUT. The
model takes in the measured S-parameter data and the fixed
geometric device parameters listed in Table 1 and uses a 3D
finite element approach to simulate the behavior of the
fundamental line structure, i.e. the two-inch difference in
length between the THRU and LINE microstrips. The
extracted dielectric constant and loss tangent of the substrate
are compared to the known data sheet values to assess the
quality of the fit.
Table 1. Board Properties and Device Dimensions

| Quantity                      | FR4     | TLX-9    |
|-------------------------------|---------|----------|
| Dielectric constant, $\varepsilon_r$ | 4.3     | 2.5      |
| Loss tangent, $\delta$       | 0.025   | 0.0019   |
| Board thickness, $h$ [mm]    | 0.5     | 0.25     |
| Microstrip width, $w$ [mm]   | 1.0     | 0.76     |
| Cu microstrip thickness, $t$ [µm] | 35.6   | 35.6    |
| Ag microstrip thickness, $t$ [µm] | 3.0   | 3.0, 6.0 |
| Ground thickness, $g$ [µm]   | 35.6    | 35.6     |
| Microstrip lengths, $L$ [mm] | 152, 203, 354 | 152, 203, 254 |
| Cu microstrip roughness [µm] | 1.54    | 1.54     |
| Ground roughness [µm]        | 1.54    | 1.54     |
| Cu conductivity [S/m]        | $5.8 \times 10^7$ | $5.8 \times 10^7$ |

Fig. 2. Measured RF S-parameter data of the FR4 board DUTs. S11 is the reflection and S12 is the transmission behavior of the microstrips. For each set of microstrip lengths, the printed silver exhibits a 0.2 dB/GHz slope offset compared to the etched copper for the raw measured signal, which includes fixturing effects.

Additionally, we perform a parameter sweep of the unknown variables (microstrip conductivity and surface roughness) in our simulation and plot the results against the measured 6 inch microstrip data. This is done to ensure that the resulting model fit is representative of realistic device properties. Fig. 3 shows the 6 inch silver microstrip data overlaid on top of colored bands created by performing the simulation with different microstrip conductivities and surface roughness values. From this parameter sweep, we observe that the measured data cleanly lines up within the band corresponding to $15 \pm 2.5$ µm (0.6 ± 0.1 mil) root mean square surface roughness. The measured data is not confined to one particular conductivity percentage in this band, indicating that at radio frequencies the printed metal system is heavily dominated by losses due to surface effects more so than the inherent conductivity of the metal. While it may be possible to deconvolute these two parameters [37], the practical applications of this finding suggest that we can reasonably assume our printed silver to show effectively no deviation from a conventional bulk metal strictly in terms of conductivity up to 18 GHz. This has exciting implications for RF electronics processing, as this method of fabrication presents a viable means to realize complex device architectures that perform at the level expected from conventional metallization. By assuming a surface roughness of 15 µm along with a conductivity of 100% bulk silver into the model, we see a nearly-overlapping fit for both pairs of microstrips (i.e. 6 and 8 inch, and 8 and 10 inch).

The simulation output is shown in Fig. 4, where we plot the insertion loss of the fundamental 2 inch de-embedded structure as a function of frequency as well as the extracted dielectric constant, $\varepsilon_r$, and loss tangent, tan$\delta$. Across the entire frequency range tested, the dielectric constant extracted from the simulation is at most 3% different than the known data sheet value of 4.3, indicating that we have reached good agreement between the model and our physical
DUT. Similarly, the extracted dielectric loss $\tan\delta$ is reasonably close to the known value of 0.025. The extracted loss tangent deviates from the reference data to a greater extent at lower frequencies, and this is because the length of the traces may be too short to accurately account for dielectric losses. Since attenuation is reduced at lower frequencies, we are therefore more sensitive to measurement noise at lower frequencies and may be close to the measurement error floor. Notably, we observe that the model fit for a 2 inch microstrip is clearly aligned to the extracted 2 inch difference between the THRU and LINE data that was measured. This figure therefore displays the insertion loss in decibels per unit length of our printed reactive silver at any frequency between 2-18 GHz. At 10 GHz, the insertion loss is $0.038 \pm 0.007$ dB/mm (0.96 ± 0.2 dB/inch). The slight discrepancy between the two silver extractions can be explained by the positioning of the printed silver traces over the copper launches. Since the precise physical alignment of the traces was not identical, this results in two slightly different ripple patterns in each measured $S_{21}$ curve. This minor difference in ripple behavior is what causes each extraction to have a marginally different extracted value. However we observe that both slopes are the same, which indicates that this offset is not a feature of the printed trace itself beyond the fixture effects. One other thing to note is that the oscillatory effect observed at high frequencies in the 10-8 inch extraction is due to the differences between the $S_{21}$ data for each microstrip. The additional loss in the 10 inch line results in a less pronounced ripple effect than in the 8 inch data, and this mismatch during the extraction manifests in the behavior observed here. This could potentially be smoothed by performing time domain gating on the data.

Performing the insertion loss extraction on the copper clad data set yields an identical amount of loss across frequencies to that observed in the printed silver data set. The de-embedding process removes any loss contributions outside of the center portion of the trace, which means that the inherent insertion loss within the printed silver trace is equal with conventional copper cladding. Plotting the unwrapped phase of each microstrip pair validates that the lengths are identical, so the excess loss observed in the raw data must exclusively be a result of the test fixture. This can come from several factors. First, any geometric effects arising from dissimilar top and ground plane metals can increase the loss observed in the DUT by a small amount. Second, the inhomogeneity of the launch interface (i.e. the abrupt transition from copper cladding to printed silver) results in a scattering site that manifests as an offset loss which is consistent for each DUT length pair. This could be mitigated by improving the transition design to reduce the loss added as a result of the test fixture. This could also be addressed by using a TRL calibration which would place the reference planes inside the copper launches.

![Fig. 4](image.png) Properties extracted from the CST Studio simulation. The FR4 substrate dielectric constant and loss tangent are simulated across the frequency band and fitted against the known properties from the dielectric substrate data sheet. The resulting fit takes into account these properties and returns the material insertion loss across frequencies.

B. TACONIC TLX-9 BOARD

In addition to printing on a standard RF circuit board, we also print similar DUTs onto a much lower loss RF substrate. While FR4 is a smooth laminate with a uniform and flat top surface, TLX-9 is composed of woven fibers that manifest in distinct peaks and valleys across the circuit board. Because of this weave pattern, we observe greatly exaggerated loss originating from the substrate texturing in addition to the inherent conductor loss originating from the morphology of the printed metal. A typical metal should exhibit behavior in which the microstrip loss scales proportionately with line length. However, for the printed silver microstrips on the TLX-9 board, we did not observe this phenomenon. In fact, as shown in Fig. 5, the 10 inch microstrip had the lowest loss of the three. Notably, the etched copper cladding microstrips on this board did not
display this behavior, which indicates that this phenomenon is representative of morphological effects present only in the silver traces that arise from the challenging surface texture of the board.

The woven texture of the TLX9 board is observed to have a distinct impact on the morphology of the printed line, including the introduction of line edge roughness and undulation in thickness, which collectively vary with the spatial frequency of the weave. Due to this texture, the 6 inch and 8 inch lines each exhibit notable periodic features across the length of the trace. These features line up with the texture of the board (see Fig. 6) and suggest that the weave pattern of the board is causing the ink to well up in certain regions and shear in others during printing. After solidification, the resulting metal contains edge defects as well as areas of varying thickness. This periodic change in metal thickness combined with the nonuniformity of the printed trace should understandably increase the number of scattering sites along the trace and could contribute to the inverted loss behavior that was observed. Notably, these features were not seen on the FR4 board, which is a more homogeneous substrate. While the printed traces are still capable of carrying RF signal, it is clear that surface texturing poses a significant challenge to achieving interface-free printed metals that perform at a level commensurate to those printed on flatter substrates. The 10 inch line shows the most uniform morphology and exhibits the lowest loss overall, while the 6 and 8 inch microstrips each contain defects (notches and ripples, respectively) that inhibit the signal carrying capacity of the trace and manifest in high attenuation due to conductor losses. The print...
process for each microstrip was identical, but it was likely their positioning on the weave pattern of the board that caused these defects.

Due to this unexpected loss behavior, we also evaluate the role of metal thickness, as determined by the total number of printing passes, on the RF transmission properties. We use the same Taconic TLX-9 board as before and add an additional 10 passes (~3 μm, for a total thickness of ~6 μm) of printed silver onto the existing traces and remeasure the S-parameters. By printing thicker metal, we attempt to increase the uniformity of the trace by planarizing the periodic effects present in the first set of microstrips. After adding the additional silver layers, all DUTs exhibited approximately the same amount of loss (see Fig. 5) despite being three different lengths and consisting of different morphologies. While this is still atypical behavior for metal microstrips, the change in relative performance indicates that it may be possible to overcome the role of the substrate surface by introducing additional thickness into the printed line. In this case, the additional metal thickness was not enough to fully overcome the periodic perturbations originating from the textured board, but it does appear that by printing thicker we are able to mitigate some of these effects. For nonuniform substrates, it will therefore be necessary to build up enough thickness to properly conformally-coat the surface and avoid any unintended scattering centers along the length of the metallized part.

RF performance in additively manufactured devices does depend on material quality for transport, but there is also a significant contribution from the surface properties. As new processes emerge for manufacturing printed substrates, consideration must be given to their surface finish and texturing for successful integration with printed metals. This will become an area of great importance as the field of printed RF electronics moves toward newer and more interesting substrate materials.

IV. CONCLUSION
This work represents a critical path forward in moving additive manufacturing out of the rapid prototyping niche and into scaled manufacturing of high-performance RF devices. By illuminating the fundamental material requirements and identifying that surface roughness presents a key barrier to high conductivity printed metals, we show that RF elements can be printed with comparable performance to conventionally manufactured boards. As RF designers begin to move into the three-dimensional design space, this approach can now be used to realize new additively manufactured RF architectures that retain conventional material properties but enable unique device performance that is unattainable by planar processes. A similar approach is now required for printable low-loss dielectric materials which, when combined with reactive metal inks, can be used in high-performance, fully-additively manufactured RF electronics.
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