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SUMMARY

A new program (Multiple Motif Scanning) was developed to scan the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* proteome for Class I S-adenosylmethionine-dependent methyltransferases. Conserved Motifs I, Post I, II and III were identified and expanded in known methyltransferases by primary sequence and secondary structural analysis through HMM profiling of both a yeast reference database and a reference database of methyltransferases with solved three dimensional structures. The roles of the conserved amino acids in the four motifs of the methyltransferase structure and function were then analyzed to expand the previously defined motifs. Fisher-based negative-log statistical matrix sets were developed from the prevalence of amino acids in the motifs. Multiple Motif Scanning is able to scan the proteome and score different combinations of the top fitting sequences for each motif. In addition, the program takes into account the conserved number of amino acids between the motifs. The output of the program is a ranked list of proteins that can be used to identify new methyltransferases and to reevaluate the assignment of previously identified putative methyltransferases. The Multiple Motif Scanning program can be used to develop a putative list of enzymes for any type of protein that has one or more motifs conserved at variable spacings and is freely available at [http://www.chem.ucla.edu/files/MotifSetup.Zip](http://www.chem.ucla.edu/files/MotifSetup.Zip). Finally, HMM profile clustering analysis was used to subgroup Class I methyltransferases into groups that reflect their methyl-accepting substrate specificity.
Enzymes that catalyze the transfer of a methyl group from S-adenosylmethionine to protein, nucleic acid, lipid, and small molecule substrates are widely distributed in nature and function in a variety of biological pathways including metabolic regulation, gene expression, the repair of aging biomolecules, and biosynthesis (1). There are several classes of AdoMet-dependent methyltransferases: Class I enzymes are the most abundant and share a common three-dimensional structural core that includes a seven-strand twisted β-sheet (2-5). It has been estimated that about 0.6 to 1.6% of genes in organisms ranging from Escherichia coli, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Caenorhabditis elegans, Drosophila melanogaster, Arabidopsis thaliana, and humans encode Class I methyltransferases (6). These results suggest that there are some 50 species in yeast and some 300 species in humans. However, most of these assignments have not been confirmed and only a relatively small fraction of them have been functionally identified. Previous bioinformatic studies on Class I methyltransferases have taken advantage of the fact that the structure of the AdoMet binding site is conserved in the primary sequences of four short signature motifs designated Motifs I, Post I, II, and III (6-8). These motifs are present with variable, but often conserved, spacing in the primary sequences. Initial identifications of Class I methyltransferases in the yeast proteome were based on searches with a “Motifs in Protein Data Bases” program with individual motifs to generate a list of putative methyltransferases (8). More recently, Katz et al. performed a MAST search with a MEME-generated combined Motifs I/Post I as well as PSI BLAST searches to identify methyltransferases in both yeast and a variety of other organisms (6). However, the success of these methods was limited by difficulties in identifying these motifs in the known methyltransferases. In fact, it was not possible to take advantage of the information content of Motifs II and III in the latter study (6).
In recent years, two developments have provided new windows to improve the screening of proteomes to identify the complete cast of Class I methyltransferases in various organisms. In the first place, three-dimensional structures are now known for a large number of Class I methyltransferases. Since the motifs are closely linked to structural features (2-4), their identification is unambiguous. Secondly, secondary structure prediction algorithms can now be used as independent confirmations of the structure-linked sequence motifs in methyltransferases whose structures have not been determined. We wanted to take advantage of the improved motif identification by developing advanced software for search proteomes for multiple motifs at varying, but partially conserved, spacing. We have now used secondary structure prediction to obtain the motifs of a reference group of 32 known yeast methyltransferases and a search of the RCSB Protein Data Base to identify the motifs of a second reference group of 33 distinct types of Class I methyltransferases with three-dimensional structures. We describe a method for generating search matrices for each of these reference groups and a program “Multiple Motif Scanning” to score these matrices in the yeast proteome. This approach has not only identified new methyltransferases but has allowed us to reject some of the previously described candidate methyltransferases. Additionally, we have used HMM profile-clustering analysis to extract more information about the possible substrates of these putative methyltransferases (9).
EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Motif Identification using Two Reference Groups of Known Methyltransferases—In order to search for putative methyltransferases, two reference groups of known methyltransferases were complied to refine the consensus sequence at each motif. The first reference group included the 32 known Class I methyltransferases from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Supplemental Table I). *S. cerevisiae* is a good model organism for this analysis due to the low redundancy of proteins and the high degree of their characterization. Sequences were aligned using HHpred with HHsearch 1.5 which utilizes advanced HMM profile algorithms to align proteins based on both primary and predicted secondary structures (9). The HMM profile comparisons and the secondary sequences were used to objectively identify Motifs I, Post I, II and III (Fig. 1; Supplemental Table I) and generate the “yeast matrix” for scoring in the Multiple Motif Scanning program.

The second reference group included known non-yeast Class I methyltransferases where the three-dimensional crystal structures is known (Supplemental Table II). The RCSB Protein Data Base (www.pdb.org) was searched by the 2.1.1.x EC number corresponding to methyltransferases. Proteins of Class I AdoMet-dependent methyltransferases were selected, with special consideration to eliminate duplicate proteins of different EC numbers due to nomenclature confusion. Motifs were identified structurally: Motif I consists of the first conserved beta strand (β1) and the following loop. Motif Post I corresponds to the second beta strand (β2). Motif II describes the fourth beta strand (β4), and Motif III includes the fifth beta strand (β5) and the alpha helix preceding it (2-8) (Fig. 2). Preference for using a particular structure from the list of homologous proteins include, in descending order of importance:
crystallization with AdoHcy or AdoMet, minimal number of mutations, and derivation from a higher organism. This reference group was used to generate the “crystal matrix” for scoring in the Multiple Motif Scanning program.

**Scoring Matrix for Methyltransferase Motif Sequences**- The scoring matrix for motif sequences was compiled using statistical analysis from the two known reference databases of methyltransferases described above. The number of each amino acid residue at each position of each motif was compiled and compared to the expected value that was calculated from the amino acid translation frequencies found in the www.pseudogenes.org database. P-values from chi square tests were obtained by comparing the actual count of each amino acid to the expected count. P-values were then converted to the absolute value of the scores by taking the negative log; scores were designated with a negative value if the actual amino acid count was less than the expected (Fig. 3; Supplemental Table III). This Fisher-based scoring method works well for smaller data sets due to the fact that it eliminates the needs for pseudo-counts that would heavily misrepresent the composition of amino acids. We used a maximum value for the negative log of 20 to limit the contribution of individual residues present at high frequencies.

**Scoring Matrix for Conserved Spacing between Motifs**- A score for the spacing between motifs was determined as the number of proteins in the combined yeast and crystal structure reference set with that spacing (Fig. 4). For spacing values that were greater than 22 residues for Motif I-Post I or 53 residues for Motif II-Motif III, a negative value of -1 points was used per additional amino acid residue present.
Multiple Motif Scanning Program to Identify New Methyltransferases-The “Multiple Motif Scanning” program was developed to scan the proteome for novel methyltransferases taking advantage of all four motifs and the spacing between Motifs I and Post I and between Motifs II and III. The yeast and crystal structure sequence matrices were independently used to scan the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* proteome with the combined spacing matrix. The program is designed to first recognize the top five matches for the first sequence motif (here Motif I) in the amino acid sequences of the entire yeast or human proteomes (10). For each of these Motif I matches, the program then searches each protein for the best five matches, considering both sequence and spacing, for the second sequence motif (here Motif Post-I). For each of these twenty-five combinations of the first two motifs, the program then searches for the best five third sequence motif (here Motif II). Here only sequence information was utilized, although it is possible to also use spacing as a criterion. For the 125 combinations of the three motifs in each protein, the program searched for the last motif in the sequence (here Motif III) by both sequence and spacing. The final 625 combinations were then scored.

Secondary Screen of Ranked Putative Methyltransferases by HMM analysis- The top proteins outputted from the Multiple Motif Scanning program as well as other suspected putative methyltransferases underwent an additional HMM profile analysis. HMM primary sequence and predicted secondary structural profiles were created for these proteins with HHpred (9) to evaluate whether an unknown sequence matches the profiles of known methyltransferases in the *S. cerevisiae* proteome and the HMM Database “SUPERFAMILY”. The putative methyltransferase designation was confirmed if the best-known match was to a verified methyltransferase. On the other hand, if there was no match to a verified methyltransferase in
the output, the protein was designated a false positive. If there was a verified methyltransferase in the output, but a known non-methyltransferase had a higher score, we designated the protein as an unconfirmed putative methyltransferase.

*Cluster Analysis*-HMM profile-profile searches for all-versus-all-yeast-proteins comparisons of yeast methyltransferases were performed (9). Profiles were built to detect relationships of proteins at the family level, resulting in "thinner" and more informative scores compared to analysis at the superfamily level or PSI-BLAST searching. Negative log p-values with a cut-off of 20 were used to compile cluster groups using the program Biolayout (11).
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The presence of conserved short sequence motifs at similar spacings in Class I methyltransferases can allow for the identification of new enzymes from the proteomes of various organisms. We wanted to take advantage of recent developments that promised to enhance the identification of individual motifs, and to take into account the spacing of the motifs in new algorithms for reiterative searches of the proteome.

Assignment of Methyltransferase Motifs from the Analysis of Known Methyltransferases-
To more clearly define Class I methyltransferase motifs, the 32 known yeast methyltransferases were aligned by HHpred which run HMM profile-profile searches using both primary sequences and secondary structural predictions. Motifs I, Post I, II and III of each known methyltransferase were compiled from these alignments. All motifs were identified by this method with the exception of Motif III in Ppm2/YOL141W (Supplemental Table I). The secondary structural predictions in and near the four motifs are highly accurate as evidenced by comparison to the known secondary structure for the four yeast proteins whose structures have been determined by crystallography (Fig. 1). A second reference set of methyltransferases was compiled from non-redundant, non-yeast methyltransferases with a solved crystal structure (Supplemental Table II). Here, all four motifs could be identified directly from the structure (Fig. 2).

Developing Scoring Matrices for Motif Sequences and Spacing – Scoring matrices for each of the four motifs was developed by statistical analysis of the frequency of each amino acid compared to the expected value from the overall amino acid abundance as described in the “Experimental Procedures” section and Fig. 3. Similar, although not identical, results were
found in the yeast reference set and the crystal reference set (Supplemental Tables III and IV). The statistical analysis revealed additional significance of certain residues adjacent to the known motifs. We utilized the expanded number of methyltransferases with known three-dimensional structures to determine if additional residues could be added to the motifs.

Motif I has been described as the first beta strand (β1) followed by the signature GXGXG sequence that makes up an extended turn. Our secondary structure prediction indicated that the amino acid immediately before Motif I is also part of the beta sheet in all of the members of the yeast methyltransferase reference set. This residue is generally aliphatic or basic, and interacts with residues directly preceding β4 of Motif II, aiding in the alignment of the methyltransferase domain (Fig. 2, Fig. 5). This conserved amino acid should therefore be considered part of Motif I. Additionally, we find statistical significance in the residues following the GXGXG sequence of Motif I. These residues are in the helix that binds to the methionine carbonyl of AdoMet (Fig. 5). The helix is amphipathic, containing a hydrophobic side that comprises part of the hydrophobic pocket formed by the side chains of the four beta strands of the motifs (Fig. 2, Fig. 5).

Motif Post I contains the amino acid sequence which makes up the second beta strand. Although not conserved in primary structure, the amino acid immediately preceding Motif Post I is predicted to be the first amino acid of β2 in 30 of the 32 yeast methyltransferases. However, the third residue preceding the strand has a statistically-significant abundance of proline or glycine residues that may be important for the turn at that position. The amino acid position preceding this position is enhanced with basic amino acids that are involved with charge interactions with residues on the N-terminal side of β1, β4, and β5 (Fig. 5). Finally, we find a statistical significance for the presence of an isoleucine or tyrosine residue immediately
following Motif Post I. This residue is positioned to interact with the adenine ring of AdoMet (Fig. 5).

Motif II consists of amino acids preceding and including β4. The first two amino acids are responsible for interacting with the N-terminus of β1 and β5 (Fig. 4). The three aliphatic amino acids at the end of Motif II starts β4. After Motif II, a short coil sequence interacts with not only the methyl group in AdoMet that is to be transferred, but also the substrate. Therefore, the specific amino acids in these sequences reflect the substrate of methylation (3). A conserved [D/N]PPY sequence is present in nucleotide and glutamine N-methyltransferases (12-15). The tyrosine residue is replaced by a cysteine residue in C5-cytosine methyltransferases (16). Other N-methyltransferases, such as the arginine methyltransferases, have a glutamic acid residue in this region (17). The CheR glutamic O-methyltransferase has a conserved arginine residue here (2). These Post Motif II residues can help situate the substrate and stabilize charge to promote the methyltransferase reaction. Following the coil, a helix exists whose N-terminal residue can form a π interaction with the adenine ring of AdoMet (Fig. 5).

The coil before Motif III is also involved in the formation of the hydrophobic pocket close to the methyl group of AdoMet. Motif III consists of a coil that is integral in forming the U-turn that aligns the rest of the residues in the motif into β5, adjacent to β4. Once again, the C-terminal residues forming the beta strand are hydrophobic.

These results justify an expansion of the originally described four motifs (Fig. 6). Our analysis of the amino acids’ significance values in addition to their position and chemistry observed in the collection of crystal structures allows for the redefinition of the motif consensus sequences as well as the expansion of the motifs (Fig. 6). This expansion permits additional
searching power when the motifs are used to scan the proteome and should result in a more accurate listing of putative methyltransferases.

Because the number of amino acids between Motifs I and Post I and between Motifs II and III are conserved (Fig. 4), separate matrices were developed to describe additional scoring parameters based on how closely the spacing between these motifs in candidate methyltransferases fit those of known methyltransferases. Here, we combined data from the yeast and crystal reference sets since we observed no large differences between them (Fig. 4). The “gap” region between Motif I and Post I consists of a conserved secondary structure of a small helix followed by a random coil (Supplemental Table I; Fig. 5). Although there is slight variance between the number of amino acids which comprise these structures, there was no correlation found between the number of helix amino acids and the number of coil amino acids (data not shown).

Multiple Motif Scanning Output of Putative Methyltransferases- Matrices generated from the newly defined methyltransferase motifs and the spacing matrices were used to scan the proteome to develop a putative list of methyltransferases. The computer program Multiple Motif Scanning was developed to perform this search based on the similarity of the primary amino acid sequence. Multiple Motif Scanning allows the user to choose the number of motifs to search, the number of residues in each motif, the score for each amino acid at each position of the motif, and the expected spacing between the motifs (Fig. 7). This program returns individual scores for each of the motifs and for each of the two spacing criteria; these scores are then combined to give a total score. We performed this search independently using matrices developed for both the yeast and the crystal structure methyltransferase data sets using the yeast proteome (10)
We validated the usefulness of this approach by asking how well the output described the motifs and spacings of known methyltransferases. Multiple Motif Scanning scoring with the yeast matrices resulted in the correct identification of all thirty-two HMM-predicted Motif I sequences as the top score (Fig. 8). In addition, all of the Motif Post I sequences are found correctly at the top score in each protein with the exception of Rrp8/YDR083C, which was found within the top 10 scores. However, for the top sequences matches, Motif II was misidentified in seven proteins and Motif III was misidentified in eight proteins. However, when the top 10 scores are considered, only three Motif II and two Motif III sequences were not predicted. When the matrices derived from the crystal reference methyltransferases were used, the predicted motifs were less successfully identified. Here, the motifs of Trm1, Hsl7, and Mtq1 were completely misidentified, and a total of ten Motif II and eleven Motif III sequences were missed in the top ranked score. The differences seen here highlight the importance of formulating the best matrices for the Multiple Motif Scanning program. Because Motifs II and III have not been well characterized previously, the ability to identify Motifs II and III with such accuracy is beneficial for providing supplemental information in the identification of novel methyltransferases.

In these analyses, we weighted the spacing scores arbitrarily. In initial studies, we found that a lower weighting of the spacing scores reduced the ability to correctly identify Post Motif I and Motif II; using a higher weighting resulted in known methyltransferases such as Trm11 having low scores because of large loops between the motifs.
The Multiple Motif Scanning program can be used to search for other classes of proteins containing one or more conserved motif(s). Similar to the MAST program, the user is able to enter any sequence matrix that can be designed for specificity to search for the protein family of choice (18, 19). However, the Multiple Motif Scanning program has two major advantages. In the first place, it can evaluate different combinations of multiple motifs. Secondly, it can determine conserved spacing elements between the motifs. These features are beneficial for correctly identifying smaller motifs that follow a motif by a conserved number of amino acids, such as Motif Post I. This program reduces the identification of false motifs that may be identified downstream due to the similarity of primary sequence despite their incorrect position.

Identification and Validations of Putative Yeast Methyltransferases- The yeast proteome was scanned separately by the “yeast matrix” and “crystal matrix” to generate a new list of enzymes ranked by their similarity to the model methyltransferase motifs (Supplemental Tables V, VI). 94% of the known methyltransferase (30 of 32) were found within the top 2.0% of the proteome scored using the yeast matrices (Table I). Katz et al. predicted that 0.8% of the yeast genome consists of methyltransferases (6). Therefore, proteins that score highly that are not already designated as known methyltransferases can be marked as putative methyltransferases. Several of putative methyltransferases identified previously (6)—for example YHR209W, YNL092W, YIL064W among others—ranked highly by both the analyses with the yeast reference and crystal reference matrices (Table I; Supplemental Tables V and VI). Interestingly, some proteins scored significantly higher by the crystal matrix than yeast matrix. YBR141C, which is already designated as a putative methyltransferase (6), scored in the bottom half of the proteome by the yeast matrix, but as number 29 according to the crystal matrix. Further analysis
reveals that most of the possible motifs found between the yeast and crystal matrix sets were different. This result points out the limits of these methods.

Previously, Katz et al. identified eighty yeast proteins that were not known methyltransferases as scoring highly for a search based on Motif I and Motif Post I (6). Because forty two of these proteins, including seven that ranked above the 75 “percent correct” criterion (6), rank below the top 4.8 % of yeast proteins in both reference sets (Supplemental Tables V and VI), we can now focus on the remaining proteins that have also been identified in our searches. Three yeast proteins, previously not identified with possible methyltransferase or AdoMet binding, rank highly on both the yeast reference and crystal structure reference Multiple Motif Scanning searches (Supplemental Tables V and VI). These include YMR209C, YOL060C/Mam3, and YLR063W. YOL060C, designated Mam3, has an apparent CBS domain that may bind AdoMet (20), but was not verified as a methyltransferase by our HMM analysis (see below).

Some of the proteins ranked in the top 2.0% of the proteome are known not to be methyltransferases. Many of these known “false positive” are enzymes that utilize adenosyl nucleosides and nucleotides such as dehydrogenases due to the similarity of their consensus sequence to the methyltransferase motifs (4). However, the possibility exists that some enzymes have more than one activity: CysG found in some bacteria catalyzes two methyltransferase reactions, a dehydrogenase and a ferrochelation reaction (21). Therefore, these “false positive” methyltransferases could be multifunctional enzymes. It is also important to note that some of the putative methyltransferases described here may instead transfer aminopropyl or aminobutryl groups from AdoMet; for example Spe3, Spe4, and Trm12 all score highly by Multiple Motif
Scanning (Table I). However, Spe3 and Spe4 bind decarboxylated AdoMet for aminopropyltransfer (22, 23), while Trm12 appears to bind AdoMet for aminobutyryltransfer (24).

To better distinguish the false positives proteins from undiscovered methyltransferases, we utilized HMM methods to provide a secondary check on each of the top scoring proteins in the ranked lists. This methodology uses predicted secondary structure as well as the primary sequence to ask whether a putative methyltransferase matches the profiles of known methyltransferases. Of the top 65 ranked proteins in Table I, 32 are known AdoMet-utilizing methyltransferases or aminopropyl/aminobutyryltransferases and 11 are HMM-validated putative methyltransferases for a total of 43 validated species. The HMM methodology used suggests that 11 of the remaining 22 species clearly are not seven beta-strand methyltransferases (indicated by strikethrough in Table I) and that the other 11 species are less related to the known methyltransferases than are the putatives (indicated by italics in Table I). Thus, the addition of the HMM test allows one to confirm the putative assignments and to eliminate the apparent false positives.

When the HMM analysis was extended through the 199th ranked species, a group that includes 31 of the 32 known methyltransferases, three additional species (YMR209C, YGL050W, and YNL024C) were found that passed the HMM muster. We also verified two species that scored high by the crystal matrix (YLR063W and YBR141C) as methyltransferases, but did not confirm YOL060C as a methyltransferase. Importantly, this analysis also did not verify HMM methyltransferase profiles for any of the other species in the 66th through 199th positions. This result suggests that our methodology can now identify almost all of the known methyltransferases, while limiting the number of putative enzymes.
In reviewing at the importance of the expanded Motif I, 84.4% of all known methyltransferase would be scored in the top 2.0% of the proteome using only the subscore from the expanded Motif I alone. Scoring with only the subscore of the expanded Motif Post I alone generated 50.0% of the known methyltransferases in the top 2.0% of the proteome. Surprisingly, scoring using only the refined Motifs II and III together resulted in 68.8% of known methyltransferases ranked amongst the top 2.0% of the proteome. These statistics show that each motif does hold a portion of the methyltransferase character, however, a combination of all these motifs together best characterizes a methyltransferase.

**HHM Profile Clustering Analysis Reveals Putative Substrates** - Additional sequences

commonalities are present in methyltransferases with similar substrates (3, 5). In order to discover information about the substrates of the putative methyltransferases, known and putative methyltransferases were clustered together by HMM profile-profile searches based on p-values. A recent study by Ansari et al. grouped putative methyltransferases proteins into nitrogen-, carbon-, and oxygen-methyltransferases (25). However, we found that our clustering analysis grouped the proteins into substrate specificity rather than the nucleophile of the methyltransferases reaction.

At a p-value of $10^{-20}$ or below, groups of known and putative methyltransferases form seven sets of pairs, two sets of triads, one family of six, and one family of eight (Fig. 9). Although 17 methyltransferases did not cluster under these conditions, the clusters that form appear to be biologically relevant. Four pairs that include known enzymes with similar functions: protein arginine methyltransferases (Group B), protein glutamine methyltransferases (Group C), wybutosine-forming transferases (Group D), and the spermidine/spermine synthases
(Group E). One set of triads consists of three known 2'-O-ribose methyltransferases (Group H). Another triad set contains two cytosine 5-methyltransferases and one unknown member, suggesting that YNL022C may be a new cytosine 5-methyltransferase (Group I). The family with six members (Group J) has one known protein, nicotinamide $N$-methyltransferase. The five unknowns could possibility be small molecule methyltransferases or $N$-methyltransferases. The family with eight members (Group K) has five known methyltransferases and three unknowns. The known enzymes also include small molecule (Tmt1) as well as lipid methyltransferases (Coq3, Coq5, Erg6). These may cluster together due to similar N-terminal additions as well as several residues inserted between β6 and β7 (13, 26).

Although the clustering analysis did not exclusively divide the proteins based on the type of nucleophile attacking the methyl group of AdoMet, additional information about the nucleophile can be extracted by our analysis. For example, Oms1/YDR316W is most likely a lipid C-methyltransferase since it is closely surrounded by C-methyltransferases Coq5/YML110C, Erg6/YML008C, and Trm9/YML014W in Group K. In fact, tRNA methyltransferase Trm9 also clusters into the lipid/small molecule Group K, perhaps because it catalyzes a carboxyl methylation reaction similar to Tmt1 (27). Alternative clustering procedures using PSI-BLAST E-values created uninformative relationships, highlighting the computational power of HMM profiling.
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Table I

Multiple Motif Scanning ranking of the yeast proteome

Proteins are listed as known methyltransferases, those with unknown function, and those with a known non-methyltransferase function. MT, methyltransferase. Other confirmed putative methyltransferases not on this list include YBR141C, YMR209C, YGL050W, YNL024C, and YLR063W (see text).

| Rank | Score   | Protein | Known MT Function | Known Non-MT Function | Description of Non-MT Function |
|------|---------|---------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1    | 390.63  | ERG6    |                   |                       |                               |
| 2    | 386.09  | HMT1    |                   |                       |                               |
| 3    | 369.61  | COQ3    |                   |                       |                               |
| 4    | 355.56  | ABD1    |                   |                       |                               |
| 5    | 354.60  | TGS1    |                   |                       |                               |
| 6    | 340.97  | BUD23   |                   |                       |                               |
| 7    | 338.95  | TRM11   |                   |                       |                               |
| 8    | 333.69  | MRM2    |                   |                       |                               |
| 9    | 332.26  | SPB1    |                   |                       |                               |
| 10   | 331.61  | NCL1    |                   |                       |                               |
| 11   | 327.60  | YHR209W |                   |                       |                               |
| 12   | 326.08  | DIM1    |                   |                       |                               |
| 13   | 324.92  | TRM7    |                   |                       |                               |
| 14   | 320.21  | TMT1    |                   |                       |                               |
| 15   | 318.55  | MTQ2    |                   |                       |                               |
| 16   | 317.13  | TRM9    |                   |                       |                               |
| 17   | 304.22  | TRM5    |                   |                       |                               |
| 18   | 300.76  | YNL092W |                   |                       |                               |
| 19   | 297.53  | COQ5    |                   |                       |                               |
| 20   | 292.94  | YIL064W |                   |                       |                               |
| 21   | 292.64  | NOP2    |                   |                       |                               |
| 22   | 288.28  | TRM8    |                   |                       |                               |
| 23   | 287.33  | YDR316W |                   |                       |                               |
| 24   | 287.12  | NNT1    |                   |                       |                               |
| 25   | 286.08  | NOP1    |                   |                       |                               |
| 26   | 284.36  | YOR239W |                   |                       |                               |
| 27   | 278.58  | TRM2    |                   |                       |                               |
| 28   | 277.39  | YKL155C |                   |                       |                               |
| 29   | 275.48  | YBR271W |                   |                       |                               |
| 30   | 273.65  | PHO8    | Repressible alkaline phosphatase | | |
| 31   | 272.61  | TRM44   |                   |                       |                               |
| 32   | 266.75  | SPE4    | Spermine synthase (uses decarboxylated AdoMet) | | |
| 33   | 262.85  | PPM2    |                   |                       |                               |
|   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|
| 34 | 261.45 | ARN1 | Transporter of ferrirubin, ferrirhodin and other ferrichromes |
| 35 | 261.01 | AIF1 | Apoptosis-Inducing Factor |
| 36 | 260.58 | YPL017C |   |
| 37 | 260.18 | FAS1 | Fatty-acyl-CoA synthase, beta chain
| 38 | 259.03 | YMR228W | RNA polymerase specific factor, mitochondrial (methyltransferase-like crystal structure) |
| 39 | 258.47 | PCM1 | Phosphoacetylglucosamine mutase |
| 40 | 258.20 | FOX2 | Hydratase-dehydrogenase-epimerase |
| 41 | 258.01 | RRP8 |   |
| 42 | 256.52 | SPE3 | Spermidine synthase (uses decarboxylated AdoMet) |
| 43 | 256.40 | ADH7 | NAD(P)H-dependent alcohol dehydrogenase |
| 44 | 254.27 | SOR1 | Sorbitol dehydrogenase |
| 45 | 254.27 | SOR2 | Sorbitol dehydrogenase homolog |
| 46 | 253.09 | GDH3 | NADP-glutamate dehydrogenase |
| 47 | 252.75 | PRC1 | Carboxypeptidase y, serine-type protease |
| 48 | 252.43 | ADH6 | NADPH-dependent alcohol dehydrogenase |
| 49 | 252.20 | ALG13 | Catalytic component of UDP-GlcNAc transferase |
| 50 | 250.42 | MAE1 | Malic enzyme |
| 51 | 250.23 | YJR129C |   |
| 52 | 250.19 | TRM1 |   |
| 53 | 250.01 | GDH1 | Glutamate dehydrogenase (NADP+) |
| 54 | 249.90 | RMT2 |   |
| 55 | 249.86 | YBR261C |   |
| 56 | 249.81 | POL2 | DNA-directed DNA polymerase epsilon, catalytic subunit A |
| 57 | 249.07 | TRM12 | Required for the synthesis of the hypermodified nucleoside wybutosine in tRNA (uses AdoMet?) |
| 58 | 248.72 | ADE5,7 | Phosphoribosylamine-glycine and phosphoribosylformylglycinamidine cyclo-ligase |
| 59 | 248.59 | SEN4 | Positive effector of tRNA-splicing endonuclease |
| 60 | 248.31 | MIS1 | C1-tetrahydrofolate synthase precursor |
| 61 | 246.84 | SEC27 | Coatamer complex beta` chain (beta`-cop) of secretory pathway vesicles |
| 62 | 246.80 | MTQ1 |   |
| 63 | 246.53 | URA8 | CTP synthase 2 |
| 64 | 246.27 | GAP1 | General amino acid permease |
| 65 | 246.01 | DOT1 |   |

|   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|
| 129 | 236.85 | PAN2 | Component of Pab1p-stimulated poly(A) ribonuclease |
| 130 | 236.79 | YBR235W |   |
| 131 | 236.71 | HSL7 |   |
| 132 | 236.51 | NUS1 | Putative prenyltransferase |
| 133 | 236.50 | TOM1 | E3 ubiquitin ligase required for G2/M transition |
|   |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| 195 | 232.20 | **RSC2** | Member of RSC complex |
| 196 | 232.14 | **NDH** | NADH-ubiquinone-6 oxidoreductase |
| 197 | 232.13 | **PPM1** |
| 198 | 232.02 | **LPD1** | Dihydrolipoamide dehydrogenase precursor |
| 199 | 232.00 | **RGP1** | Subunit of a Golgi membrane exchange factor |
| 682 | 218.14 | **AIP1** | Actin cytoskeleton component |
| 683 | 218.14 | **RPM2** | Ribonuclease P precursor, mitochondrial |
| 684 | 218.12 | **GCD14** |
| 685 | 218.12 | **SEC1** | Protein transport protein |
| 686 | 218.12 | **ISW1** | ATPase component of a four subunit chromatin remodeling complex |

*Proteins with a strikethrough were not confirmed by the secondary HMM profile sequence check as described in “Experimental Procedures”. Proteins that are italicized exhibit loose primary and secondary sequence homology to known methyltransferases and are considered unconfirmed putative methyltransferases. The remaining proteins are HMM-validated methyltransferases as described in “Experimental Procedures”.

bWhile the mammalian fatty acid synthase has a methyltransferase domain (28), this domain is not conserved in the yeast enzyme and the motifs identified here do not correspond with those of the mammalian enzyme.
FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. The four signature motifs of the Class I methyltransferases shown in their primary, predicted secondary, and actual secondary structure in three known methyltransferase proteins in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. The motifs were identified using HHpred with HHsearch v.1.5 which utilizes HMM profile vs. profile searches to align the proteins. HHpred also generates secondary structural predictions (seen here) that also aids in the alignment and identification of the motifs, denoted C for random coil, E for beta-sheet, and H for helical structures. Because the crystal structures have been solved for Dot1, Hmt1, and Ppm1 proteins, the secondary structures of the crystals have been used as comparison to that predicted by HHpred. Although a crystal structure is known for the Mtf1/YMR228w, the reaction that it catalyzes is unknown. As seen here, predicted secondary structures are very similar to the actual secondary structures, especially in the motif regions.

Figure 2. Crystal structure of Dot1 (top) and cartoon (bottom) which depicts the secondary structures present in Class I methyltransferases. Class I methyltransferases are distinguished by a common three-dimensional structural core, which includes a seven-strand twisted beta-sheet that provides the major binding interactions for AdoMet. Beta strands are in yellow, helices are in red, and non-strand/non-helices are shown in green. The AdoHcy molecule in the crystal structure is depicted in the stick model. (PDB ID: 1U2Z, Ref. 29)

Figure 3. Development of a Fisher-based sequence scoring matrix used for Multiple Motif Scanning analysis. The scoring matrix for each amino acid was complied using statistical analysis from the two known databases of methyltransferases. The number of each amino acid
residue at each position of each motif was tallied. P-values from chi square tests were obtained by comparing the actual count of each amino acid to the “expected” which was calculated from frequencies found in the www.pseudogenes.org database. Values were then converted to the absolute value of the scores by taking the negative log, and scores were designated with a negative value if the actual amino acid count was less than the expected.

**Figure 4. Conservation of spacing between motifs.** The number of amino acids between the extended Motifs I and Post I, and Motifs II and III for known methyltransferases are depicted. Scores for spacing was based on the frequency of yeast and structural database combined, with penalties for excessive gap distance as described in “Experimental Procedures”.

**Figure 5. Conserved amino acid residues in and adjacent to the four methyltransferase motifs.** The motifs are arranged in as they occur in the beta sheet. Conserved amino acids along with the chi square p-values from the yeast data set are shown in boxes. The residues that composed the originally described motifs are highlighted in bold print with a gray background. The secondary structure as determined from both the yeast and crystal data sets is denoted by an arrow (beta strand), purple box (helix), or line (non-helix or strand). Dotted representations indicate structures that are not present in all methyltransferases. Residues involved in turns are also shown. The chemical interactions of key amino acids residues within the protein (blue lines) and/or with cofactor AdoMet (*) are shown. The thick black lines between each of the beta strand indicate amino acids contributing to two hydrophobic pockets created by side chains of residues coming into (solid) and out of (dashed) the plane of the beta sheet. Side chains from
helical regions contributing to these hydrophobic pockets are indicated similarly by solid and dashed circles and ovals.

**Figure 6. Extended Motifs I, Post I, II, and III used for Multiple Motif Scanning analysis.** The amino acid frequency amongst known yeast methyltransferases is depicted using WebLogo (30) for the expanded Motifs I, Post I, II, and III determined in this work. Larger number of bits for each letter designates the importance of each amino acid in the motif.

**Figure 7. Multiple Motif Scanning software.** The “Multiple Motif Scanning” program was developed to scan the proteome for novel methyltransferases to resolve the problems encountered by Katz et al. (6). Yeast matrix and crystal structure matrix were independently used to scan the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* proteome. “Multiple Motif Scanning” recognizes the top 5 best matches for the first motif entered and subsequently identifies the top 5 plausible second motifs for each of the matches of the first motifs. The program continues to find the top 5 sequences which fit the motif for every previous combination to produce $5^n$ matches for n number of motifs. All combinations are scored and the top 10 combinations are saved. Proteins are ranked amongst each other based on the top score. The extended Motifs I, Post I, II and III were used for analysis with gap considerations between motifs.

**Figure 8. Accuracy of Multiple Motif Scanning.** Motifs found by the Multiple Motif Scanning program using the yeast matrix was compared to the HMM profile alignments to calculate the percentage inaccuracy in identifying the motifs. The program outputs the overall
top score calculated from the combination of sequence-fitting motifs and spacing between them combined, along with the next 9 top scoring combinations.

Figure 9. HMM profile clustering to determine putative substrates of potential methyltransferases. HMM profile vs. profile clustering was utilized to find the calculated homology between proteins. Proteins clustered in several groups (A-K) which display similarity in type of substrate as well as the substrate’s atomic nucleophile in the methyltransferase reaction (carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, etc.). Known methyltransferases are depicted in black, known non-methyltransferases are depicted in magenta, and unknown ORFs are depicted in green.
| Motif I | Motif Post I | Motif II | Motif III |
|--------|-------------|----------|-----------|
| DO1    | GDTFMDLG5S6AVKQQV4A6L6C6CSF6C6EM6DA | 1<sup>6</sup> | IPQCDVILSNFLDENK6K1QITA6KV6C6K6ISL6K6L |
| ydr440w| CEEEEECC6CC6HH6HH6HH6H6H6C6CE6EE6C6HH | 2<sup>6</sup> predicted | CCCCC6EE6E6C6C6CH6HH6HH6HH6H6H6C6CE6EE6C6C6CC |
|        | CCCCC6EE6E6C6C6CH6HH6HH6HH6H6H6C6CE6EE6C6C6CC |
| HMT1   | D6K1Y6L6V6C6G6T6G6I6S6MFA6A6KH6A6G6K6R6V6I6G6M6S6S6I61 | 1<sup>6</sup> | PPKVD6II6S6E6W6G6Y6F6L6Y6E6S6M6D6T6V6Y6A6R6H6Y6L6P6G6GL6I6F6P6D6K6C6S |
| ybr036c| CEEEEECC6CC6HH6HH6HH6H6H6C6CE6EE6C6HH | 2<sup>6</sup> predicted | CCCCC6EE6E6C6C6CH6HH6HH6HH6H6H6C6CE6EE6C6C6CC |
|        | CCCCC6EE6E6C6C6CH6HH6HH6HH6H6H6C6CE6EE6C6C6CC |
| PFM1   | KV6V6N6L6G6CS6D6L6M6L6P6M6F6L6A6Y6D6Y6I6N6S6V62 | 1<sup>6</sup> | REI6TT6VI6S6E6C6L6C6Y6M6H6N6E6S6Q6L6I6NT6IM6K6F6S6H6G6L6M6I6Y6D6P6I6G |
| ydr436c| CEEEEECC6CC6HH6HH6HH6H6H6C6CE6EE6C6HH | 2<sup>6</sup> predicted | CCCCC6EE6E6C6C6CH6HH6HH6HH6H6H6C6CE6EE6C6C6CC |
|        | CCCCC6EE6E6C6C6CH6HH6HH6HH6H6H6C6CE6EE6C6C6CC |
| MTF1   | EL6K6V6L6D6L6Y6P6G6V6Q6S6A6I6F6Y6K6C6P6R6Q6Y6S6L6R6K6S6S6L6 | 1<sup>6</sup> | ND6K6F6L6T6V6A6N6V6T6G6E6G6S6R6G6L6M6I6Q6W6L6S6C6I6G6R6K6N6L6Y6K6P6F6G6K6Y6V6K6L6M6I6F6P6T6T |
| ymr228 | CEEEEECC6CC6HH6HH6HH6H6H6C6CE6EE6C6HH | 2<sup>6</sup> predicted | CCCCC6EE6E6C6C6CH6HH6HH6HH6H6H6C6CE6EE6C6C6CC |
|        | CCCCC6EE6E6C6C6CH6HH6HH6HH6H6H6C6CE6EE6C6C6CC |

**Figure 1**
### Amino Acid Frequency

| Motif 1st position | Motif 2nd position |
|--------------------|--------------------|
| V                  | 16                 |
| M                  | 1                  |
| L                  | 2                  |
| I                  | 10                 |
| A                  | 0                  |

### P value

| Motif 1st position | Motif 2nd position |
|--------------------|--------------------|
| V                  | 7.80E-29           |
| M                  | 0.662              |
| L                  | 0.563              |
| I                  | 4.89E-07           |
| A                  | 0.179              |

### Scoring Matrix

| Motif 1st position | Motif 2nd position |
|--------------------|--------------------|
| V                  | 20.00              |
| M                  | 0.18               |
| L                  | -0.25              |
| I                  | 6.31               |
| A                  | -0.75              |
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Figure 4

Motifs I and Post I

- Crystal Structure
- Known Yeast

Motifs II and III

- Crystal Structure
- Known Yeast
Figure 5

Motif III
(includes β5)

Motif II
(includes β4)

Motif I
(includes β1)

Motif Post I
(includes β2)
Figure 7

-- Diagram --

Motif I  Spacing  Motif Post I

SDKRVDLGCGPGEYRILNKDETYSVIDEDP...

Scan  →  Best Motif I  →  Best Motif Post I  →  Best Motif II

3rd Best

4th Best

5th Best

2nd Best Motif I

2nd Best Motif Post I

3rd Best

4th Best

5th Best

Continues to find 5th different combinations!
Figure 8
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| Motif | Misidentified (%) |
|-------|-------------------|
| Motif I | 0.0% |
| Motif Post I | 1.0% |
| Motif II | 20.0% |
| Motif III | 25.0% |
