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ABSTRACT
A novel approach for the determination of Enrofloxacin (ENR) in its pure form and pharmaceutical formulation is presented. Two ion selective electrode sensors were designed for determination of enrofloxacin namely; ENR-PVC sensor and ENR-Coated graphite sensor. The sensors are based on the ion association complexes of ENR cation with sodium tetra phenyl borate (ENR-TPB) counter anions as ion exchange sites using dioctylphthalate (DOP) as plasticizer. The sensors are used for determination of ENR, in its pure form and in pharmaceutical preparation. Validation of the method shows suitability of the proposed sensors for use in the quality control assessment of ENR and for its routine analysis. The results obtained were statistically compared to reference method and there were no significant difference between the proposed methods and the reference methods regarding the accuracy and precision. The proposed method was validated according to ICH guidelines and the results were satisfactory.

Conclusion: The method was found to be accurate, precise time and cost saving unlike HPLC procedures and successfully applied for determination of enrofloxacin in pure and dosage form.
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INTRODUCTION
The ion-selective electrode is defined as an electrode that is capable of generating a difference in electrical potential between itself and a reference electrode, the output potential is proportional to the amount or concentration of the selected ion in the solution.  

Modern ion-selective electrodes are based on membranes, across which material transport occurs, this material transport, includes both neutral and charged complex species or simple ions and electrons and leads to electrostatic potential differences across membranes. These so called membrane potentials reflect both composition and activities of ions in the exterior phase. The ion-selective electrode is capable of measuring selectivity and activity of a given ion regardless of other ions present in solution.  

Ion-selective electrodes can be classified according to the type and composition of the responsive membrane into glass electrodes, solid-state electrodes, liquid membrane electrodes, coated wire electrodes, gas sensing electrodes and enzyme substrate electrodes. Potentiometric detection based on ion selective electrodes offers the advantages of speed and ease of preparation, fast response time, reasonable selectivity, wide linear dynamic range, online measurement and low cost.  

The most widely used solid membrane electrodes are the plasticized poly (vinyl chloride), (PVC) electrodes which are based on the formation of ion-associates between drugs and counter ions, then the formed ion-associate was used together with PVC and a suitable plasticizer in preparation of the membrane electrode. On the other hand, liquid membranes are formed from immiscible liquids that selectively bond certain ions.

The liquid ion-exchanger may be retained in a porous inert solid support which separates the liquid electrode inner solution from the test solution.

Coated graphite sensor, in which the membrane is cast onto solid-like graphite, can be used as long as the matrix of the membrane which does not react with the internal wire. In the classical coated graphite design, a conductor is directly coated with an appropriate ion selective polymer membrane usually poly (vinyl chloride), poly (vinyl benzyl chloride) or poly (acrylic acid) to form an electrode system that is sensitive to
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Enrofloxacin is second generation fluoroquinolone antibacterial drugs that act by inhibition of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) gyrase, thus inhibiting both DNA and ribonucleic acid (RNA) synthesis. It has activity against some Gram-negative organisms such as mycoplasma, and chlamydia. It has been used in the treatment of osteomyelitis, sinus infections, otitis, difficult soft tissue infections, peritonitis, and pleuritis or pneumonia.

The literature review revealed that, several analytical methods have been reported for determination of ENR in bulk powder and pharmaceutical preparation. So the main aim of the present work is to design and prepare two ion selective electrodes, ENR-PVC sensor and ENR-Coated graphite sensor for determination of ENR in bulk powder and pharmaceutical preparation.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Materials**

- Pure sample: Pure enrofloxacin (100.41%) was kindly supplied by Eva Vet Pharma Company, Al-Mahalla Al-Kubra, Al-Gharbia, Egypt.
- Pharmaceutical preparation: Enro-flox 10%® sterile solution for veterinary injection; labelled to contain 100 mg mL⁻¹; B. No. (1/910/14) manufactured by Eva Vet Pharma company for manufacturing and production of veterinary drugs, Al-Mahalla Al-Kubra, Al-Gharbia, Egypt. It was purchased from local veterinary drug store.
- Methanol, tetrahydrofuran, dioctylphthalate (DOP), and poly (vinyl chloride) (PVC) of high relative molecular weight (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany).
- Sodium tetraphenylborate (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany), prepared as 10⁻² M aqueous solution.
- Glucose, glycine, sucrose, urea, potassium chloride, calcium chloride, magnesium chloride, sodium chloride and nickel chloride (El-Nasr Company, Egypt), prepared as 10⁻³ M aqueous solution.
- Sodium hydroxide (El-Nasr Company, Egypt), prepared as 0.1 N aqueous solution.
- Hydrochloric acid (El-Nasr Company, Egypt), prepared as 0.1 N aqueous solution.
- Monobasic potassium phosphate, potassium chloride, boric acid, glacial acetic acid and sodium acetate tri-hydrate (El-Nasr Company, Egypt).
- The water used throughout the procedures was double distilled.
- Buffers of different pH values prepared as prescribed in US pharmacopeia: ⁴¹
  - Hydrochloric acid buffer, pH 2.
  - Acetate buffer pH range from 4 to 5.5.
  - Phosphate buffer pH range from 6 to 8.
  - Alkaline borate buffer pH range from 8 to 10.

**Apparatus**

- Jenway pH meter 3510 (USA) with Ag/AgCl reference electrode no 924017-L03-Q11C.
- Bandelin sonorox, Rx 510 S, magnetic stirrer (Hungarian).

**Standard Solutions**

A stock standard solution of ENR (10⁻² M) was prepared by dissolving 0.359 g of the drug powder in 1mL HCl then add49 mL of water and completed to 100 mL with water. Different working solutions of varying strengths ranging from (10⁻⁶ to 10⁻³ M) were prepared by suitable dilution from the stock standard solution with water.
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Pharmaceutical Sample Preparation

Content of one vial (100 mL) of Enro-flox 10%® sterile solution for veterinary injection; labelled to contain 100 mg mL⁻¹ were transferred to 100 mL volumetric flask. A volume equivalent to 0.359gm of ENR were transferred into 100-ml volumetric flask and completed to volume with the water to obtain a solution labelled to contain 10⁻² M of ENR.

PROCEDURES

- Preparation of ENR-PVC membrane sensor

Preparation of the Ion Association Complex

The ion association complex, (ENR-TPB) was prepared by mixing of 50 ml of 10⁻² M of both ENR and sodium tetraphenylborate solutions. The resulting precipitate was left in contact with their mother liquor for 6h, then the precipitate was filtered and washed thoroughly with distilled water and left to dry at room temperature for 24h.

Preparation of the Membrane

In a glass petri dish (5-cm diameter), 90 mg of DOP was thoroughly mixed with 90 mg of PVC and 20mg of ENR-TPB. The mixture was dissolved in 7 ml of tetrahydrofuran and homogenized thoroughly. The solvent was slowly evaporated at room temperature until oily concentrated mixture was obtained.

Electrode Assembly

It was prepared using commercial graphite bar (2.5 cm length an. 3 mm diameter). One end of the bar was used for connection, while the other was dipped in the electro active membrane mixture. The process was repeated several times until a layer of a proper thickness were formed covering the terminal end of graphite bar. The electrode was left standing at room temperature to dry. The uncoated end of the graphite rod was sealed in a poly tetra ethylene tube; the tube was filled with metallic mercury into which a copper wire was dipped. The prepared sensor was preconditioned by soaking in 10⁻² M drug solution for 6 h. When not in use, the sensor was stored in air.

- Potential measurement conditions of the proposed sensors

The electrochemical system can be represented as following:

- For ENR-PVC membrane sensor: internal reference electrode/internal filling solution/ PVC membrane/ test solution/ external reference electrode.
- For sofosbuvir coated graphite: reference electrode / test solution / graphite electrode.
- pH range: 2-8 for both membrane sensor.
- Soaking time: 4 h for ENR-PVC membrane sensor and 6h for ENR-Coated graphite sensor.
- Response time: 40 s for ENR-PVC membrane sensor and 50 s for ENR-Coated graphite sensor.

Sensors calibration

The conditioned sensors were immersed in conjunction with Ag/AgCl reference electrode in the solutions of ENR in the range of 10⁻⁶ to 10⁻² M. They were allowed to equilibrate while stirring until achieving constant reading of the potentiometer. Then, the electromotive force values were recorded within ± 1 mV. Calibration graphs were plotted that related the recorded electrode potential values versus the negative logarithmic value of the drug concentration.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Electrochemical techniques are powerful and versatile analytical techniques that offer high sensitivity, accuracy, and precision as well as a large linear dynamic range, with relatively low-cost instrumentation.²

In the present study two types of ion selective membrane electrodes, PVC membrane and coated graphite sensors have been constructed for determination of ENR. The methods are based on the fact that, ENR behave as a cation with an anionic type of ion exchanger such as tetraphenylborate to prepare water insoluble association complex using precipitation based technique. The resulting precipitates have low solubility product, suitable grain size and physically compatible with the matrix.

**Table 1. The performance characteristics of the proposed described sensors**

| Parameter                        | Coated graphite sensor | PVC sensor |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| - Regression equation            | \( y^a = b^x + a \)    | \( y^a = b^x + a \) |
| - Intercept (a)                  | 213.44                 | 255.19     |
| Coefficient of determination \( r^2 \) | 0.9995                 | 0.9996     |
| Linearity range (M)              | \( 10^{-5} \) to \( 10^{-2} \) | \( 10^{-5} \) to \( 10^{-2} \) |
| Working pH range                 | 2.8                    | 2.8        |
| Response time (s)                | 40                     | 50         |
| LOD (M)                          | \( 8.2 \times 10^{-5} \) | 2          |
| Stability (weeks)                | 4                      | 8.8 \times 10^{6} |
| Accuracy (%R)                   | 98.07                  | 98.61      |
| Precision (%RSD)                 | 0.518                  | 0.438      |
| Repeatability                   | 0.824                  | 0.733      |
| Intermediate precision           |                        |            |

\( y^a \) is the recorded sensor potential.

\( x^a \) is the molar concentration of the drug.

\(^c\) Values for 3 determinations of 3 different concentrations.

Performance Characteristics of the Developed Sensors

The electrochemical performance of the investigated sensors was evaluated according to IUPAC recommendation data.⁴ Calibrations were carried out by immersing the developed sensors in conjunction with Ag/AgCl reference electrode in solutions of ENR in the concentration range of \( 10^{-6} \) to \( 10^{-2} \) M. The potential displayed by the proposed sensors for constructive measurements of the standard drug solutions in the same day and from day to day did not vary by more than ± 1 mV. Calibration slopes did not change by more than ± 1 mV/decade concentration over a period of 2 weeks. The performance characteristics of the proposed sensors were summarized in Table (1).

The profile of the potential in mV versus negative logarithmic molar concentration of ENR for the investigated sensors was plotted.

Optimization of the Sensors Composition

Effect of Ion Association Complex Percentage

The ion association complex is the most important part of an ion selective sensor. It is the electro active ingredient which is responsible for the selective recognition of the ion in the developed sensor.

**ENR-PVC membrane sensor**

The main components of a PVC membrane sensor are ion association complex, PVC and plasticizer.

For the preparation of the membrane, the ion association complex, ENR-TPB was prepared and tested as a modifier for the proposed sensor. It was studied by varying the percentages of the ion association complex, while keeping the percentages of the PVC and the plasticizer equal 1:1 as shown in Table (2). The sensor made of 10% (w/w) of ENR-TPB exhibited the exhibited a near Nernstian slope of 58.09 mV/decade.

**ENR-Coated graphite sensor**

The ion association complex, ENR-TPB, was prepared and tested as a modifier for the proposed sensor. It was studied by varying the percentages of the ion association complex, while keeping the percentages of the PVC and the plasticizer equal 1:1 as shown in Table (2). The sensor made of 10% (w/w) of ENR-TPB exhibited a near Nernstian slope of 57.88 mV/decade.
Potentiometric Determination of Enrofloxacin Using PVC and Coated Graphite Sensors

Table 2. Optimization of the membrane composition (w/w %) of the PVC membrane sensor and coated graphite sensor

| Sensor                     | Composition % (w/w) | Linearity range (M)          | Slope (mV/decade) | \( r^2 \) |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| PVC membrane sensor       | ENR-TPB PVC DOP    | \( 1 \times 10^{-2} - 1 \times 10^{-4} \) | \(-54.31\)        | 0.9984    |
|                           | 4 48 48            |                             | -54.42            | 0.9991    |
|                           | 8 46 46            | \( 1 \times 10^{-2} - 1 \times 10^{-4} \) | \(-58.09\)        | 0.9995    |
|                           | 10 45 45           | \( 1 \times 10^{-2} - 1 \times 10^{-4} \) | \(-56.77\)        | 0.9995    |
|                           | 12 44 44           |                             | -54.31            | 0.9984    |
| Coated graphite sensor    | ENR-TPB PVC DOP    | \( 1 \times 10^{-2} - 1 \times 10^{-4} \) | \(-53.68\)        | 0.9989    |
|                           | 4 48 48            |                             | -55.05            | 0.9993    |
|                           | 8 46 46            | \( 1 \times 10^{-2} - 1 \times 10^{-4} \) | \(-57.88\)        | 0.9996    |
|                           | 10 45 45           | \( 1 \times 10^{-2} - 1 \times 10^{-4} \) | \(-56.07\)        | 0.9994    |

Effect of Soaking Time

Freshly prepared sensors must be soaked to activate the surface of the membrane to form an infinitesimally thin gel layer at which ion exchange occurs. The investigated sensors were soaked in \( 10^{-2} \) M solution of the corresponding drug. Calibration graphs were constructed for the sensor after different time intervals (0, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 12 h) till the slope of the calibration graph deviated largely from the Nernstian value and the sensor. The results indicated that the optimum soaking time was 4 h for ENR-PVC membrane sensor and 6 h for the ENR-Coated graphite sensor as shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Effect of soaking time on the described sensors

| Soaking time/hour | PVC sensor | Coated graphite sensor |
|-------------------|------------|------------------------|
| 0                 | -48.19     | -47.89                 |
| 2                 | -53.62     | -49.14                 |
| 4                 | -58.09     | -52.26                 |
| 6                 | -56.13     | -57.88                 |
| 8                 | -54.38     | -55.43                 |
| 10                | -52.11     | -53.77                 |
| 12                | -50.87     | -52.06                 |

Effect of pH

The stability of the potential readings was investigated over a wide pH range (2-12) to determine the working pH range of the proposed sensors. The investigations were performed in \( 10^{-2} \) and \( 10^{-3} \) M of ENR solutions. The potential obtained at each pH value was recorded. Representative curves for the effect of pH on the proposed sensors are shown in Figure 2. For ENR-PVC membrane sensor the potential remained constant in the pH range (2-10) whereas in the case of ENR-Coated graphite sensor the potential remained unchanged in the range (2-8).

Sensors Selectivity

The influence of the related interfering compounds on the response of the investigated sensors towards the drug was investigated. The separate solution method (SSM) was applied based on measuring the potential of \( 10^{-3} \) M
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solution of each drug and the interfering ions separately. Then the selectivity coefficients were calculated by applying the following equation:

\[ K_{D_{\text{Drug,1}}^{\text{P}}}=\frac{E_2-E_1}{S} + \log [\text{Drug}] - \log [J^{\text{z}}] \frac{1}{2} \]

Where \(E_1\) and \(E_2\) are the electrode potential of \(10^{-3}\) M solution of each of investigated drug and interfering ion \([J^{z}]\), respectively, and \(S\) is the slope of calibration curve. The interfering compounds were; potassium chloride, calcium chloride, magnesium chloride, sodium chloride, nickel chloride, glucose, urea, glycine and sucrose.

The results of the calculated selectivity coefficients indicated that the proposed sensors were highly selective towards the studied drugs as shown in Table (4).

| Interferent            | -log \(K_{D_{\text{Drug,1}}^{\text{P}}}[\text{PVC membrane sensor}]\) | -log \(K_{D_{\text{Drug,1}}^{\text{C}}}[\text{coated graphite sensor}]\) |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Calcium chloride       | 1.004                           | 1.059                           |
| Magnesium chloride     | 1.002                           | 1.019                           |
| Sodium chloride        | 1.007                           | 1.091                           |
| Nickel chloride        | 1.014                           | 1.068                           |
| Glucose                | 1.029                           | 1.081                           |
| Urea                   | 1.088                           | 1.077                           |
| Glycine                | 1.062                           | 1.039                           |
| Sucrose                | 1.084                           | 1.053                           |

Response Time of the Proposed Sensors

For analytical applications, the response time of the prepared sensor is of critical importance. The average time required for the electrode to reach a steady potential response within \(\pm 1\) mV of the final equilibrium value after successive immersion of a series of the drug solutions, each having a 10-fold difference in concentration, was investigated. Stable responses were achieved within 40 s for ENR-PVC membrane sensor and 50 s for ENR-Coated graphite sensor.

Methods Validation

Under the described experimental conditions, the calibration graph for each sensor was constructed by plotting the recorded sensor potential versus negative logarithmic value of the drug concentration. The regression plots were found to be linear over the range of \(10^{-5}-10^{-2}\) M for both sensors, as shown in Figure (3).

Limit of detection (LOD): Measured by interception of the extrapolated arms of Figure(3). It was found to be \(8.2 \times 10^{-6}\) M for PVC membrane sensor and \(8.8 \times 10^{-6}\) M for coated graphite sensor. The small values of LOD indicate good sensitivity of the described sensors. The results are given in Table (1).

Fig3. Profile of the potential in mV/Log molar concentration of enrofloxacin using PVC and coated graphite membrane sensors.
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Accuracy and Precision: Accuracy of the

described methods, calculated as the mean

percent recovery (%R), was assessed by

applying the described procedure for triplicate
determination of three concentration levels

covering the linearity range of each drug (10⁻², 10⁻³ and 10⁻⁴M). The results in Table (1)

indicated the accuracy of the proposed method.

Precision of the methods, calculated as the

percent of relative standard deviation (%RSD),

was assessed by triplicate determination of three

concentration levels covering the linearity range

of each drug (10⁻², 10⁻³ and 10⁻⁴M) within one
day for repeatability and on three successive
days for Intermediate precision. The small

values of %RSD indicated high precision of the

method as shown in Table (1).

Table5. Determination of enrofloxacin in Enro-Flox® 10% vial by the described sensors and reported methods

| Parameters                  | Sensor                  | Reported method (84) |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
|                            | PVC                     | Coated graphite      |                         |
| N                           | 5                       | 5                    |                         |
| Mean                        | 98.73                   | 99.08                | 100.68                  |
| Variance                    | 0.506                   | 0.419                | 0.595                   |
| %RSD                        | 0.702                   | 0.641                | 0.766                   |
| Student’s t-test (2.306)²   | 1.481                   | 0.977                | —                       |
| F-value (6.39)⁸             | 1.177                   | 1.421                | —                       |

a Number of measurements

b The values in parenthesis are tabulated values of “t” and “F” at (P = 0.05).
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