Synergy and Anti-Synergy between Palladium and Gold in Nanoparticles Dispersed on a Reducible Support

James H. Carter,† Sultan Althahban,‡ Ewa Nowicka,† Simon J. Freakley,† David J. Morgan,† Parag M. Shah,† Stanislaw Golunski,† Christopher J. Kiely,‡ and Graham J. Hutchings‡†

†Cardiff Catalysis Institute, School of Chemistry, Cardiff University, Main Building, Park Place, Cardiff CF10 3AT, U.K.
‡Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Lehigh University, 5 East Packer Avenue, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18015-3195, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Highly active and stable bimetallic Au–Pd catalysts have been extensively studied for several liquid-phase oxidation reactions in recent years, but there are far fewer reports on the use of these catalysts for low-temperature gas-phase reactions. Here we initially established the presence of a synergistic effect in a range of bimetallic Au–Pd/CeZrO₄ catalysts, by measuring their activity for selective oxidation of benzyl alcohol. The catalysts were then evaluated for low-temperature WGS, CO oxidation, and formic acid decomposition, all of which are believed to be mechanistically related. A strong anti-synergy between Au and Pd was observed for these reactions, whereby the introduction of Pd to a monometallic Au catalyst resulted in a significant decrease in catalytic activity. Furthermore, monometallic Pd was more active than Pd-rich bimetallic catalysts. The nature of the anti-synergy was probed by several ex situ techniques, which all indicated a growth in metal nanoparticle size with Pd addition. However, the most definitive information was provided by in situ CO-DRIFTS, in which CO adsorption associated with interfacial sites was found to vary with the molar ratio of the metals and could be correlated with the catalytic activity of each reaction. As a similar correlation was observed between activity and the presence of Au⁰ (as detected by XPS), it is proposed that peripheral Au⁰ species form part of the active centers in the most active catalysts for the three gas-phase reactions. In contrast, the active sites for the selective oxidation of benzyl alcohol are generally thought to be electronically modified gold atoms at the surface of the nanoparticles.
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INTRODUCTION

Since the early reports of the high activity of gold as a catalyst for the hydrochlorination of acetylene and low-temperature CO oxidation, this precious metal has been shown to catalyze a wide range of reactions, including the low-temperature water-gas shift (WGS) reaction, the selective hydrogenation of nitro arenes, and the upgrading of hydrocarbons. The addition of Pd to Au has been shown to yield materials that are significantly more reactive and stable than their equivalent monometallic catalysts. This synergistic effect has been observed in several systems, including the direct synthesis of hydrogen peroxide, benzyl alcohol oxidation, glycerol oxidation, and vinyl acetate synthesis. For CO oxidation and low-temperature WGS there are few publications relating to supported Au–Pd systems, which is surprising given their successful application in other oxidation reactions.

There has been a renewed interest in the low-temperature WGS reaction because of its potential application in CO removal from reformate in the context of fuel cell technology. Conventional WGS catalysts based on Cu/ZnO systems are not intrinsically active enough, require prereduction steps, and are pyrophoric once activated. Alternative noncopper catalysts are being developed, most notably by Hardacre and co-workers, who discovered the remarkable activity of Au supported on CeZrO₄. However, rapid deactivation of this catalyst was observed under reaction conditions and, although Au dispersed on ceria-based supports have been widely investigated, very few Au-containing bimetallic catalysts have been considered as candidates for this reaction.

The sparse experimental reports of Au–Pd catalysts for CO oxidation have often focused on nonreducible supports such as SiO₂ which consequently makes direct comparisons with reducible supports such as CeZrO₄ difficult, as it has been shown that the support identity is a critical parameter in
catalytic activity for CO oxidation.\textsuperscript{22,23} However, Chen and co-workers recently screened bimetallic Au–Pd/\text{Ce}_{0.65}\text{Zr}_{0.35}\text{O}_{2} catalysts for CO oxidation and showed that the addition of Pd leads to a decrease in the catalytic activity as a result of partial active site blocking.\textsuperscript{24} Venezia et al. studied a range of \text{SiO}_{2}–supported Au–Pd catalysts and found that, for a nonreducible support such as \text{SiO}_{2}, pure Pd gives the most active catalyst.\textsuperscript{25} The authors attributed the inactivity of Au-containing catalysts to the larger size of Au nanoparticles in comparison to Pd, although the ability of Pd to activate O\textsubscript{2} should also be considered significant. As O\textsubscript{2} activation does not occur on pure Au, it is unsurprising that it is inactive for CO oxidation, a finding also reported by Huang and co-workers.\textsuperscript{26} For \text{TiO}_{2}–supported Au–Pd catalysts, Beck et al. used a selection of preparation methods to achieve different nanostructured bimetallic catalysts. No significant synergy was observed in those catalysts, regardless of the preparation method, indicating that the presence of core–shell structures does not adversely or positively affect the catalytic activity for CO oxidation.\textsuperscript{27} One possible explanation of this effect is the segregation of Au and Pd alloys that has been noted in Au–Pd alloys under various atmospheres, especially under CO exposure, whereby the strong affinity of CO for Pd causes it to preferentially migrate to the surface.\textsuperscript{27}

However, this structure insensitivity was also investigated by Lopez-Sanchez et al. using Au–Pd/\text{TiO}_{2} materials prepared by colloidal methods. They studied CO oxidation and concluded that the introduction of Pd to Au was not beneficial for the catalysis but did not explain the underlying reasons for the observation.\textsuperscript{28} Recently, a number of theoretical and model catalyst studies\textsuperscript{29,30} have predicted that Au–Pd alloy catalysts should be active for CO oxidation. In a density functional theory study, Ham et al. attempted to rationalize Au–Pd interactions with CO and O\textsubscript{2} on Au–Pd(111) surfaces. Their calculations predicted that “partially-poisoned” Pd ensembles would facilitate the activation of O\textsubscript{2} and subsequent reaction with CO, making Au–Pd alloys potentially highly active for CO oxidation.\textsuperscript{31} The importance of Pd in dissociating O\textsubscript{2} has also been reported by Gao et al. in an investigation of model Au–Pd(100) surfaces.\textsuperscript{32} Saqlain et al. conducted a DFT study which predicted that Au and Pd should be highly active for the WGS reaction, due to the low energy barriers associated with H\textsubscript{2}O dissociation and CO oxidation,\textsuperscript{33} but there have been no reported experimental studies to confirm this.

Bond recently examined the mechanisms of the WGS reaction, citing the carbonyl mechanism as a promising candidate.\textsuperscript{34} In doing so, he also identified similarities with formic acid decomposition (FAD), which proceeds through the same intermediate and is catalyzed by similar gold-supported systems. Bond suggested that FAD could be a useful test reaction for WGS catalysts, and he also proposed that gold alloys such as Au–Pd should be investigated, given their successful application in similar areas.

Overall there is a body of literature that predicts Au–Pd catalysts to be highly active for WGS, FAD, and CO oxidation, but this has not been matched or verified by the existing experimental reports. The current work aims at investigating the effect of introducing Pd into a highly active Au/\text{CeZrO}_{4} catalyst for these reactions and to compare their catalytic activity. As it is well documented that Au–Pd catalysts display synergy for the selective oxidation of benzyl alcohol,\textsuperscript{35–38} a selection of Au–Pd/\text{CeZrO}_{4} catalysts was screened for this reaction to confirm the presence of the synergistic effect, before proceeding to the WGS, CO oxidation, and FAD studies.

\section{Experimental Section}

All catalysts were prepared by a deposition–precipitation (DP) method. Aqueous solutions of HAuCl\textsubscript{4} (0.067 M) and PdCl\textsubscript{2} (0.072 M) were used as the metal precursors. Ceria–zirconia (supplied by Solvay as CeZrO\textsubscript{4}) was used as the support. Typically, deionized water (200 cm\textsuperscript{3}) was stirred at 60 °C before the addition of the appropriate metal precursor(s) to give total target metal loadings of 2 wt %. The appropriate quantity of support was added to the solution for 15 min before the dropwise addition of Na\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} (0.05 M) to increase the pH to 8. The reaction mixture was then stirred for 1 h before being filtered and washed with deionized water (800 cm\textsuperscript{3}). The catalysts were then dried at 110 °C for 5 h.

The metal loadings of the catalysts were determined using an Agilent 4100 MP-AES spectrometer equipped with a nitrogen plasma. A sample of each catalyst (50 mg) was digested in aqua regia (4 cm\textsuperscript{3}) at ambient temperature for 16 h, before dilution in deionized water up to a total volume of 50 cm\textsuperscript{3}. Any remaining solids were filtered before analyzing the final solution. The measured precious-metal loadings of each catalyst were invariably lower than the theoretical nominal values, which is typical for highly dispersed nanoparticle catalysts prepared by deposition–precipitation. The catalysts are denoted in the Results and Discussion and figures as Au/Pd/\text{CeZrO}_{x}, where x and y are the molar percentages of each metal.

XPS was performed on a Kratos Axis Ultra-DLD photoelectron spectrometer, using monochromated Al K\textalpha\, radiation, at 144 W (12 mA \times 12 kV) power. High-resolution and survey scans were performed at pass energies of 40 and 160 eV, respectively. Magnetically confined charge compensation was used to minimize sample charging, and the resulting spectra were calibrated to the C(1s) line at 284.8 eV.

Samples for examination by STEM were prepared by dry-dispersing the catalyst powder onto a holey carbon film supported by a 300 mesh copper TEM grid. Bright field (BF) and high angle annular dark field (HAADF) STEM images were taken using a JEOL ARM-200CF microscope operating at 200 kV. This instrument was also equipped with a JEOL Centurio silicon drift detector for X-ray energy dispersive spectroscopy (XEDS). Particle size distribution analysis was performed from analysis of the HAADF electron micrographs using ImageJ.

TPR analysis was carried out on a Thermo TPD/R/O 1100 series instrument equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). The catalyst (50 mg) was heated to 800 °C under an atmosphere of 10% H\textsubscript{2}/Ar (5 °C min\textsuperscript{-1}) after pretreatment at 110 °C in Ar for 45 min. The instrument was calibrated for quantitative measurements of hydrogen consumption using different known masses of CuO wires. These were heated in an atmosphere of 10% H\textsubscript{2}/Ar to 600 °C (15 °C min\textsuperscript{-1}).

DRIFTS measurements were taken on a Bruker Tensor 27 spectrometer fitted with a mercury cadmium telluride (MCT) detector. A sample was loaded into the Praying Mantis high-temperature (HVC-DRP-4) in situ cell before exposure to N\textsubscript{2} and then 1% CO/N\textsubscript{2} at a flow rate of 50 cm\textsuperscript{3} min\textsuperscript{-1}. A background spectrum was obtained using KBr, and measurements were recorded every 1 min at room temperature. Once the CO adsorption bands in the DRIFT spectra ceased to increase in size, the gas feed was changed back to N\textsubscript{2} and...
measurements were repeated until no change in subsequent spectra was observed.

Nitrogen physisorption was performed at −196 °C on a Quantachrome Quadrasorb SI instrument after the sample was evacuated for 2 h at 120 °C. The surface area was calculated using Brunauer−Emmet−Teller (BET) theory over the range $P/P_0 = 0.05−0.2$.

Benzy alcohol (BzOH) oxidation was carried out at 120 °C in a 50 cm$^3$ glass stirred reactor. In a typical reaction, 0.02 g of catalyst and 1 g of substrate were charged into the reactor, which was then purged with oxygen three times before closing, and the pressure was maintained at 2 atm. The reactor with the reaction mixture was kept in a heating block, which was preheated to the reaction temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at 1000 rpm using a magnetic bar inside the reactor. After 1 h of reaction, the stirring was stopped and the reactor was rapidly cooled in an ice bath. After cooling, the reactor was opened slowly and the contents were centrifuged. An aliquot of the clear supernatant reaction mixture (0.5 cm$^3$) was diluted with mesitylene (0.5 cm$^3$, external standard for GC analysis). For the analysis of the products and calculation of the mass balance, a GC (Varian Star 3800 with a 30 m CP-Wax 52 CB column) fitted with a flame ionization detector (FID) was employed.

CO oxidation was performed in a fixed-bed flow reactor by passing a gas stream of 5000 ppm of CO in synthetic air over the catalyst (20 mg) at a flow rate of 20 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$. The catalyst bed was held at 35 °C using a silicone oil bath, and the CO conversion was measured using an online Varian 3800 gas chromatography instrument equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD).

Water-gas shift reactions were performed in a fixed-bed flow reactor equipped with online Gasmet FT-IR. The catalyst (150 mg) was heated under N$_2$ up to 150 °C at a ramp rate of 8 °C min$^{-1}$ before switching to a feed of 2% CO, 2% CO$_2$, 7.5% H$_2$O, 8.1% H$_2$, and N$_2$ to balance. The total flow rate chosen was 100 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$, which corresponded to a GHSV of 52000 h$^{-1}$. An IR spectrum was measured every 1 min, and conversion values were calculated on the basis of the measured consumption of CO.

Formic acid decomposition was carried out using the same fixed-bed flow reactor as used for the WGS catalystic testing. A Dreschler bottle containing an aqueous solution of formic acid (10 wt %) was cooled to 7 °C. N$_2$ was passed through the bottle at a rate of 100 mL min$^{-1}$, which gave a concentration of 1700 ppm of formic acid with approximately 0.15% H$_2$O in the gas phase. Reactions were carried out at 85 °C, and 0.05 g of catalyst was used. Gaseous products were analyzed using an online FTIR system.

To account for the variations in metal loadings between catalyst samples, the catalytic activity is expressed throughout this paper as moles of reactant converted per hour per total moles of metal on the catalyst: e.g., M$_{\text{CO converted}}$ h$^{-1}$ M$_{\text{metal}}$.$^{-1}$

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Catalysts were tested for their activity for CO oxidation, WGS, FAD, and benzyl alcohol oxidation under the conditions described above. A full list of the catalysts prepared and the characterization methods carried out on each sample is presented in Table S1 in the Supporting Information. The activity measurements across the range of Au–Pd molar compositions showed significant differences, which gave rise to two different trends (Figure 1). For the gas-phase reactions (WGS, CO oxidation, and FAD), the monometallic Au catalyst was the most active catalyst tested. The introduction of even small quantities of Pd resulted in a large decrease in catalytic activity. The least active catalysts tested were Pd-rich bimetallic catalysts with a composition of Au$_{88}$Pd$_{12}$. Significantly, the monometallic Pd catalyst was always more active than the Pd-rich alloy catalysts, forming an inverted-volcano plot across the range of bimetallic catalyst compositions tested.
In contrast, the characteristic volcano plot expected for these materials was observed for the liquid-phase selective oxidation of benzyl alcohol (Figure 1). This confirmed the presence of the known synergistic effect and showed that these supported Au–Pd catalysts were comparable with those previously reported for selective oxidation reactions.\(^\text{36}\)

The observation that WGS, CO oxidation, and FAD have the same activity trend, across the range of Au–Pd catalyst compositions tested, is remarkable and suggests an underlying similarity between the reaction pathways. FAD has been studied for decades, and the mechanism is well understood in comparison to that of the WGS reaction. It has been widely accepted that this reaction proceeds through an adsorbed formate, \(\text{HCOO}^\text{−}\), species.\(^\text{39−42}\) Comparisons have been made previously between WGS and FAD, notably by Davis and coworkers who studied the two reactions over Pt/CeO\(_2\) catalysts using isotope exchange experiments and in situ IR spectroscopy.\(^\text{43}\) They concluded that a common reaction intermediate and analogous mechanism existed for the two reactions. Iglesia et al. compared WGS, FAD, and CO oxidation on Au/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) and with the aid of electron microscopy stated that, while WGS and FAD both require atoms or clusters of Au that were below the imaging resolution of the microscope used in their work, CO oxidation took place on larger, resolvable particles.\(^\text{44}\) Our current study, in contradiction to the previous report, shows that all three reactions vary in the same manner between the different formulations of Au–Pd bimetallic catalysts, which implies that the same types of active sites are required for each reaction. One possible explanation for the discrepancies in the literature involves the support. In the report by Iglesia et al., Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) was used as the support but this does not have the redox and \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\)-activation properties of CeZrO\(_2\). In order to rationalize the catalytic activity trends, the catalysts were characterized using in situ CO-DRIFTS, TPR, and XPS. Aberration-corrected STEM was also carried out on a small subset of the CeZrO\(_2\)-supported catalysts to measure the particle size distributions of the supported metals and to monitor any structural variations occurring as a function of changing metal composition.

The CO-DRIFTS spectrum of each catalyst was measured in the 1800−2200 cm\(^{-1}\) range, which contains the stretching modes of CO adsorbed on Au and Pd. This technique has been used extensively to probe the surface of supported precious-metal catalysts.\(^\text{47−49}\) The CO-DRIFTS data for a range of Au\(_\text{Pd}\) catalysts are presented in Figure 2.

The higher wavenumber region of the spectra (2200−2000 cm\(^{-1}\)) has previously been assigned to linearly adsorbed carbonyl species, while features at lower wavenumbers (2000−1800 cm\(^{-1}\)) have been assigned to bridged or 3-fold adsorption of CO on Pd or Au–Pd.\(^\text{50}\) The monometallic Au catalyst exhibits just one band at 2112 cm\(^{-1}\), caused by the linear adsorption of CO on Au, consistent with previous findings.\(^\text{51}\) The monometallic Pd catalyst gives rise to two distinct features: first, there is an intense band at 2098 cm\(^{-1}\), which corresponds to the linear adsorption of CO at the corner or edge sites of Pd particles. Second, there is a broad feature beginning at 1960 cm\(^{-1}\), where 2-fold and 3-fold adsorption of CO on Pd occurs.\(^\text{47,52}\) It has been experimentally demonstrated that the linear modes of CO adsorption on Pd are due to the adsorption of CO molecules at the edge of the nanoparticle, on undercoordinated Pd species.\(^\text{57,52}\) The active site of such supported catalysts is generally accepted to be at the metal–support interface for WGS\(^\text{50}\) and CO oxidation;\(^\text{53}\) therefore, these adsorption modes are mechanistically significant for these reactions. The nonlinear adsorption modes are typically due to molecules adsorbing on extended metal surfaces such as the
Figure 3. Relationship between the catalytic activity of Au₉Pdₓ/CeZrO₄ catalysts and the linear/nonlinear adsorption ratio determined from CO–DRIFTS analysis: (a) WGS reaction; (b) CO oxidation. Au is not shown, as the CO-adsorption spectrum is dominated by linear CO-Au species.

The adsorption ratio was calculated from the integrated area of each spectral feature. The higher the value, the higher the contribution of interfacial sites in the sample. Therefore, it would be expected that samples with smaller particle sizes, but identical metal loadings, should exhibit higher adsorption ratios. Ouyang et al. implemented this approach to show that the dilution of Pd by Au was beneficial for the direct synthesis of hydrogen peroxide. As Figure 3 demonstrates, our most active Au₉Pdₓ catalysts for the gas-phase reactions had the smallest contribution from nonlinear adsorption of CO. This is clearly consistent with the active sites for these reactions being located at the metal–support interface, so that those catalysts that possess the highest relative population of periphery sites at the exposed particle/support interface (i.e., smaller particles) should be the most active. Significantly, the monometallic Pd sample had a higher adsorption ratio than any of the Pd-rich bimetallic catalysts, an observation that has been reported previously. Redina et al. examined the CO-DRIFTS spectra of 0.5 wt % Pd/TiO₂ and 0.05 wt % Au–0.5 wt % Pd/TiO₂ catalysts and found that the introduction of Au resulted in an increase in the nonlinear adsorption band in comparison with the linear band, consistent with these findings and indicative of a larger mean nanoparticle size. The increase in nonlinear adsorption bands for their bimetallic samples was thought to be due to bridged adsorption of CO on Au–Pd species. Ouyang et al. also measured the ratio of the linear and nonlinear adsorption bands and found that the ratio decreases with increasing Pd content, all the way up to monometallic Pd, although those catalysts were prepared by incipient-wetness impregnation and were calcined and reduced prior to analysis. Zhu et al. conducted a combined DFT and DRIFTS study that investigated the mobility and stability of Pd in Au–Pd nanoclusters in the presence of CO. Their findings showed that Pd preferentially occupies undercoordinated edge sites and it is these Pd species that strongly adsorb CO. Therefore, the presence of Pd at the surface causes CO to preferentially adsorb on Pd, rather than on Au. This explains why the introduction of Pd leads to such a rapid decrease in activity for CO oxidation and water–gas shift reactions: first, more surface Pd atoms means that there are fewer “highly active” Au sites onto which the CO can adsorb, and second, the stronger affinity of CO for Pd means that the CO preferentially adsorbs onto a Pd site rather than an Au site. This is illustrated in Figure 2, which shows the DRIFT spectrum of Au₉Pdₓ/CeZrO₄ which is dominated by CO–Pd adsorption modes, indicative of a Pd-rich catalyst surface.

As the reducibility of both ceria and ceria–zirconia have been extensively studied in the past, H₂-TPR was used to probe the redox properties of the catalysts, as shown in Figure 4. Our bare CeZrO₄ support exhibits a low-temperature reduction peak at ~350 °C and another peak at 550 °C, which correspond to the surface and bulk reduction of the metal oxide, respectively, which is consistent with previous literature data. The lowest-temperature feature on the bare support material occurs at ~125 °C and is most likely a contribution from a surface-adsorbed species such as a carbonate. The addition of Au and/or Pd facilitates the surface reduction of the CeO₂ support with peaks appearing at 167 and 97 °C for the monometallic Au- and Pd-supported catalysts, respectively. This phenomenon has been attributed either to spillover of dissociated hydrogen from the precious metal to the support or to an electronic metal–support interaction that promotes the reducibility of the support. The series of bimetallic Au–Pd catalysts exhibits a low-temperature reduction peak closer to that of pure Pd, even for the Au₈Pd₁₄ catalyst, suggesting that even a small quantity of Pd results in an enhancement in catalyst support reducibility. No correlation could be found in our systematic sample set between the temperature of reduction and catalytic activity displayed for the WGS reaction. This suggests that the mechanistic steps that are facilitated by the redox properties of the support, such as the activation of H₂O, are not rate-limiting for these catalysts. This finding is consistent with previous work which has shown that on a CeO₂ support having a surface area above 90 m² g⁻¹ there are sufficient H₂O activation sites to make CO activation more rate controlling. The surface area of the CeZrO₄ used in this work as measured using N₂ physisorption was 130 m² g⁻¹. It should be noted, however, that the H₂-TPR does show a correlation
between the amount of hydrogen consumed by the catalysts and the catalytic activity for CO oxidation and WGS (Figure 5). With the exception of the supported monometallic Pd catalyst, samples that consumed more hydrogen exhibited a higher catalytic activity. This observation could simply reflect the differences in the metal dispersion of each catalyst, such that the larger interface (as detected by the CO adsorption ratio) resulted in more hydrogen spillover or a higher degree of electronic metal-support interaction. However, as discussed below, this explanation does not take into account the metal composition at the periphery of the nanoparticles. The low activity of the Pd-only catalyst, despite its high consumption of hydrogen, again is consistent with the strong binding strength of CO on Pd.

XPS analysis of the Au,Pd, catalysts, summarized in Table 1, was used to gain information primarily on the oxidation state of the Au. It should be noted that the binding energy of the Au 4f7/2 signal in each catalyst varied according to the Au:Pd ratio, whereby increasing Pd content resulted in a lower Au 4f7/2 binding energy, with the most pronounced shift occurring in the Au86Pd14 catalyst, which exhibited a peak at 83.6 eV in comparison to 84.1 eV in the monometallic Au catalyst. This Au 4f7/2 shift is due to the electronic modification of the Au species by Pd and indicates a close interaction between the Au and the Pd.25 Similar trends have been observed on SiO2-supported Au−Pd catalysts, although the magnitudes of such binding energy shifts were different.25 The magnitude and direction of the binding energy shift are dependent on both initial and final state effects, the former of which is affected by the catalyst support;63 therefore, quantitative comparisons between Au−Pd catalysts on different supports are not meaningful. Analogous shifts in the binding energy of the Pd species were also observed and are shown in Table 1.

Figure 6 shows a montage of the peak-fitted Au 4f XP spectra. Fitting of the spectra revealed that the oxidation states of Au varied significantly across the range of Au,Pd, catalysts, as shown in Table 1. A summary of the relative proportions of different Au species present are also summarized in Table 1. In the Au and AuPd catalysts, there was evidence of Au0 and Au3+ species which correspond to peaks with binding energies of 84.1 and 86.6 eV, respectively, for the Au catalyst.54−56 In addition, there was evidence of an additional species at 85.1 eV, labeled Au0*. When the concentrations of Au0, Au0*, and Au3+ are compared with the catalytic activity in WGS and CO oxidation (Figure 7), a strong correlation emerges: catalysts

| catalyst | Au0 (%) | Au0* (%) | Au3+ (%) | Au0 (%) | Au0* (%) | Au3+ (%) |
|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|
| Au       | 65      | 27       | 8        | 84.1    | 85.2     | 86.6     |
| Au86Pd14 | 76      | 16       | 8        | 83.9    | 85.1     | 86.5     | 337.9 |
| Au67Pd33 | 82      | 12       | 5        | 83.9    | 85.1     | 86.2     | 337.7 |
| Au64Pd36 | 82      | 12       | 6        | 83.8    | 84.9     | 86.2     | 337.6 |
| Au44Pd56 | 77      | 15       | 8        | 84.1    | 85.2     | 86.6     | 337.7 |
| Au44Pd56 | 89      | 15       | 8        | 83.8    | 85.1     | 86.6     | 337.5 |
| Au67Pd33 | 72      | 18       | 11       | 83.8    | 84.9     | 86.5     | 337.6 |
| Au86Pd14 | 75      | 13       | 12       | 83.6    | 84.7     | 86.3     | 337.6 |
| Pd       | 75      | 13       | 12       | 83.6    | 84.7     | 86.3     | 337.6 |
with higher concentrations of Au\textsuperscript{0*} are much more active than those with a smaller proportion of Au\textsuperscript{0*}. The assignment of the feature at 85.1 eV remains controversial. Some previous investigations have assigned this feature to ionic gold,\textsuperscript{67} while many others have interpreted this species to be due to small gold nanoparticles,\textsuperscript{68−73} with Radnik et al. revealing that significant shifts in the binding energy are observed in gold particles below 10 nm.\textsuperscript{74} The STEM-HAADF images and particle size distributions obtained for the samples in this work (Figures 8−12) in fact show an abundance of small nanoparticles, and thus the assignment of the peak at 85.1 eV, labeled as Au\textsuperscript{0*}, as being due to the presence of small nanoparticles appears to be more plausible. The monometallic Au exhibited the highest proportion of Au\textsuperscript{0*} in the sample, with 27% of the detected gold originating from this species. As the Pd content increased, a significant decrease in the proportion of Au\textsuperscript{0*} was observed, which could indicate larger particle sizes. The XPS data presented here are consistent with the TPR data described earlier, providing further evidence to suggest that significant variations in metal particle size occur across this range of Au\textsubscript{x}Pd\textsubscript{y} catalysts. It should be noted that the same catalysts that consumed large quantities of hydrogen in the TPR experiments were also observed to have a large amount of Au\textsuperscript{0*} present according to XPS analysis.

Figure 8 shows representative STEM images of the dispersion and nature of the metal nanoparticles in the unused monometallic Au catalyst.
The primarily cuboctahedral Au particles tend to exhibit distinct \{111\} and \{200\} -type surface facets and form planar interfaces with the mixed oxide support grains (Figure 8b–d). A particle size histogram derived from HAADF image measurements on several hundred Au particles is presented in Figure 12a and shows that the Au particles have a mean size of \(~4.45\) nm.

Figures 9 and 10 show corresponding electron microscopy data from the unused bimetallic Au\textsubscript{93}Pd\textsubscript{7} and Au\textsubscript{45}Pd\textsubscript{45} samples, respectively. The supported metal nanoparticles in both cases were a mixture of cuboctahedral and icosahedral morphologies. Furthermore, the metal particles in both samples were confirmed by XEDS analysis to be random alloys of Au and Pd. They also showed evidence of distinct surface faceting and extended flat interfaces with the CeZrO\textsubscript{4} support grains. It is apparent that the addition of Pd to Au causes a significant increase in mean particle size. The addition of only 5\% Pd to Au increases the mean particle size from 4.45 to 6.15 nm (Figure 12b). Increasing the Pd fraction up to 45\% in the alloy causes a small additional increase in mean size to 6.45 nm (Figure 12c).

Figure 11 shows some representative STEM images of the unused monometallic Pd catalyst. In this case, the supported particles were much harder to visualize, as they were much smaller than the corresponding Au or Au–Pd particles and exhibited poorer mass contrast against the CeZrO\textsubscript{4} support grains. In fact, as no lattice fringes were visible, it is impossible to ascertain if they were Pd or PdO\textsubscript{x}. Careful measurement of particle size from HAADF-STEM images showed them to have a mean size of only 1.28 nm (Figure 12d). Overall, the particle size distributions measured using HAADF-STEM are entirely consistent with the spectroscopic and TPR data presented earlier, which indicate that the average particle size of the bimetallic catalysts is larger than that of the monometallic catalysts.

\section*{CONCLUSIONS}

We have shown that the introduction of Pd into Au catalysts—intended to enhance activity and therefore allow the catalysts to be operated at lower temperatures that favor increased stability—is very detrimental to the catalytic activity for WGS, FAD, and CO oxidation. Instead of the volcano plot observed for benzyl alcohol oxidation to benzaldehyde, each of the gas-phase reactions showed an inverse dependence on Pd content up to about 30 mol \%, before the activity stabilized. Although this anti-synergy showed a dependence on nanoparticle size, as revealed by the ex situ techniques, in situ CO-DRIFTS appears to detect the relative populations of the
metal–support periphery adsorption sites and the adsorption sites on top of the metal particles. This technique proved to be predictive for catalytic activity for WGS, FAD, and CO oxidation, which not only supports the notion that the interfacial sites at the metal–support periphery sites are the most active but is also consistent with the notion that each of these three reactions require similar active sites. XPS analysis showed that those samples that exhibited a high proportion of Au⁰* species also exhibited a high activity for the three anti-synergistic reactions.

The electronic interaction between Pd and Au, which is used to explain the synergy observed for selective oxidation reactions, clearly does not have a significant role in WGS, FAD, and CO oxidation under low-temperature gas-phase conditions. Instead, the metal species at the periphery of the supported nanoparticles are implicated in these reactions. Rather than describe these species as the active sites, it is probably more accurate to consider them as components of active centers that are comprised of metal atoms (at the periphery of the nanoparticles) in close proximity to adsorption sites on the support material. Thus in the case of the WGS reaction, for example, CO adsorbed on peripheral metal sites can interact with adjacent hydroxyl species formed by the activation of H₂O on the support. In this way, the reaction proceeds via a Langmuir–Hinshelwood mechanism across the metal–support interface. Maximizing and preserving this interface are, therefore, key objectives in the design of more active and durable catalysts for WGS and the other related reactions, which could be integral in catalytic systems for delivering uncontaminated hydrogen to low-temperature fuel cells. The use of Pd to stabilize ultrasmall metallic gold particles on CeZrO₄, and hence maximize the interface between Au⁰* and the support, is not effective in this role, however. The addition of Pd during preparation of the catalysts results both in the formation of larger metal nanoparticles and in the less-active Pd sites predominating at the metal–support interface.
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