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**Abstract:** A chemo-anti-inflammatory strategy is of interest for the treatment of aggressive cancers. The platinum (IV) prodrug with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) as axial ligands is designed to efficiently enter tumor cells due to high lipophilicity and release the cytotoxic metabolite and NSAID intracellularly, thereby reducing side effects and increasing the therapeutic efficacy of platinum chemotherapy. Over the last 7 years, a number of publications have been devoted to the design of such Pt(IV) prodrugs in combination with anti-inflammatory chemotherapy, with high therapeutic efficacy in vitro and in vivo. In this review, we summarize the studies devoted to the development of Pt(IV) prodrugs with NSAIDs as axial ligands, the study of the mechanism of their cytotoxic action and anti-inflammatory activity, the structure–activity ratio, and therapeutic efficacy.
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**1. Introduction**

Platinum-containing antineoplastic agents are effective anticancer drugs that are used in 50% of all chemotherapy regimens in clinical practice [1]. Three FDA-approved platinum-containing anticancer drugs have been applied around the world for decades: cisplatin, carboplatin, and oxaliplatin [2]. Also, a second generation drug, nedaplatin, is approved in Japan for the treatment of lung, esophageal, and head and neck cancers; lobaplatin is approved in China for the treatment of inoperable metastatic breast cancer and small-cell lung cancer [3]; and heptaplatin is approved in Korea for the treatment of stomach cancer [4].

Pt(II) coordination compounds easily undergo non-selective ligand substitution on the way to the tumor site, while approximately 90% of the administered cisplatin is deactivated in the bloodstream due to irreversible binding to albumin and other plasma proteins, with only 1% (or less) binding with the intended target (nuclear DNA) [5]. Protein binding to cisplatin leads to the formation of toxic metabolites that cause serious side effects such as kidney damage, nerve damage, and hearing loss [6]. Cisplatin was also reported to cause severe delayed emesis after 24 hours of administration [7]. The second-generation platinum anticancer drugs, carboplatin and oxaliplatin, are less toxic than cisplatin but show no improvement in potency or selectivity [8,9]. Various strategies have been suggested to improve the efficiency of platinum drugs [10]. One of the approaches to overcome these and the other well-known disadvantages of Pt(II)-based drugs, such as low bioavailability and rapidly emerging resistance, is to use Pt(IV) complexes as prodrugs. Pt(IV) have a low-spin octahedral $d_0$ geometry and have the potential to overcome the disadvantages associated with platinum-containing chemotherapeutic agents [11]. The oxidation state of Pt(IV) provides an increased inertness of the coordination compounds compared to the Pt(II) complexes, and the conjugation of additional ligands in the axial position allows for both...
adjusting the lipophilicity and increasing the selectivity of the coordination compounds to tumor cells [12].

Chronic inflammation is an important therapeutic target in both the treatment of malignant neoplasms and drug development [13]. Various isoforms of cyclooxygenase (COX), such as COX-1 and COX-2, are markers of chronic inflammation, catalyzing the rate-limiting stage of prostaglandin formation, which plays a key role in the formation of the immune response [14]. Since COX-2 and prostaglandin-2 are involved in the inflammatory response, the suppression of apoptosis, and the formation of drug resistance, the inhibition of COX-2 can reduce inflammation and, as a result, reduce the rate of metastasis of malignant neoplasms [15]. Drug resistance is also associated with epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT), which is the transdifferentiation of epithelial cells into motile mesenchymal cells [16]. EMT-activated cells release matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs); the increased expression of MMPs contributes to the development of malignant neoplasms [17]. In addition, EMT transition is accompanied by the overexpression of COX-2, which can lead to immune evasion and tumor drug resistance [18].

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are capable of inhibiting various isoforms of cyclooxygenase, which makes them a promising addition to existing chemotherapy regimens, both in view of their anti-inflammatory and analgesic effects, but also their ability to reduce the rate of metastasis and mount an immune response [19]. The development of Pt(IV) prodrugs with COX inhibitors in the axial position is a promising therapeutic approach due to the fact that the Pt(IV) prodrug’s ability to bind COX-2 will increase the selectivity of prodrugs for tumor cells in comparison with classical Pt(II) drugs. Also, an increase in the lipophilicity of the Pt(IV) prodrug compared to the initial Pt(II) drugs significantly increases drug intracellular accumulation, thereby increasing its effectiveness and reducing side effects due to a decrease in the effective dose. Thus, the design and synthesis of Pt(IV) prodrugs modified with NSAID moieties will make it possible to obtain effective drugs with increased selectivity for tumor cells, higher efficacy compared to classical platinum-containing drugs, as well as an additional anti-inflammatory effect that provides a synergistic effect with chemotherapy and reduces side effects.

Since 2014, after the report of the cisplatin-based Pt(IV) prodrug with aspirin as the axial ligand, asplatin, which is capable of simultaneously releasing the NSAID aspirin and cisplatin by Pathak et al. [20], lots of publications have been devoted to the design of Pt(IV) prodrugs based on different platinum(II) drugs with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs as axial ligands (Figure 1), and most of the prodrugs developed so far have demonstrated high therapeutic efficiency in vitro and in vivo. In this review, we have summarized the results obtained over the years, and examined the data obtained, the mechanisms of cytotoxic action, and the data from in vivo studies.

![Figure 1](image_url). General structures of cisplatin-based, oxaliplatin-based, carboplatin-based, and kiteplatin-based Pt(IV) prodrugs with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) as axial ligand(s).

In the first part of this review, we summarize dual-action Pt(IV) prodrugs with one or two NSAID moieties as axial ligand(s) (Table 1). In the second part of this review, we summarize unsymmetrical triple action Pt(IV) prodrugs with different bioactive axial ligands, including a study of the mechanism of their cytotoxic action, anti-inflammatory activity, the structure–activity ratio, and therapeutic efficacy.
Table 1. Dual action platinum(IV) prodrugs with NSAIDs as axial ligand(s). DU145—androgen independent human prostate adenocarcinoma cells; HNSCC—Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; MDA-MB-231—triple negative human breast adenocarcinoma; HCT-116—human colon carcinoma; BEL7404—human hepatoma cell line; A2780—human ovarian carcinoma; MCF-7—human breast adenocarcinoma; CT-26—murine colorectal carcinoma.

| Compound | Pt(II) Drug | NSAID | R (Second Axial Position) | IC₅₀ (Tumor Cell Type) | In Vivo Studies (Tumor, Dose, Tumor Growth Suppression, Day of Treatment) | Reference |
|----------|-------------|-------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1        | Cisplatin   | Aspirin| Hydroxyl                 | 8 ± 3 (DU145)         | -                                                                         | [20]      |
| 2        | Cisplatin   | Indometacin | Indometacin             | 0.69 (1483 HNSCC)    | -                                                                         | [21]      |
| 3        | Cisplatin   | Ibuprofen  | Ibuprofen              | 0.045 (1483 HNSCC)   | -                                                                         |           |
| 4        | Oxaliplatin | Indometacin | Indometacin             | 0.55 (MDA-MB-231)    | -                                                                         | [22]      |
| 5        | Oxaliplatin | Ibuprofen  | Ibuprofen              | 0.33 (MDA-MB-231)    | -                                                                         |           |
| 6        | Kiteplatin  | Ibuprofen  | Ibuprofen              | 0.26 ± 0.03 (HCT116) | -                                                                         | [23]      |
| 7        | Cisplatin   | Flurbiprofen | Flurbiprofen          | 1.4 ± 1.1 (BEL7404) | -                                                                         | [24]      |
| 8        | Cisplatin   | Ketoprofen | Acetyl                 | 0.063 ± 0.033 (A2780) | -                                                                         | [25]      |
| 9        | Cisplatin   | Naproxen   | Acetyl                 | 0.045 ± 0.033 (A2780) | -                                                                         |           |
| 10       | Cisplatin   | Naproxen   | Hydroxyl               | 10.40 ± 0.79 (MCF-7) | -                                                                         |           |
| 11       | Carboplatin | Naproxen   | Hydroxyl               | 9.12 ± 0.63 (MCF-7)  | -                                                                         | [26]      |
| 12       | Oxaliplatin | Naproxen   | Hydroxyl               | 9.47 ± 0.75 (MCF-7)  | -                                                                         |           |
| 13       | Cisplatin   | Naproxen   | Benzoic acid           | 3.92 ± 0.42 (MCF-7)  | -                                                                         |           |
| 14       | Cisplatin   | Naproxen   | Succinic acid          | 7.65 ± 0.84 (MCF-7)  | -                                                                         |           |
| 15       | Cisplatin   | Naproxen   | Glutaric acid          | 8.73 ± 0.89 (MCF-7)  | -                                                                         |           |
| 16       | Cisplatin   | Naproxen   | Cl                      | 0.2 ± 0.1 (CT-26)    | 17: CT-26                                                                 |           |
| 17       | Oxaliplatin | Naproxen   | Cl                      | 2.9 ± 0.7 (CT-26)    | CT-26                                                                     |           |
| 18       | Carboplatin | Naproxen   | Cl                      | 26.1 ± 8.6 (CT-26)   | 4 mg/kg 82.5%                                                            | [27]      |
| 19       | Oxaliplatin | Naproxen   | Naproxen               | 8.2 ± 0.6 (CT-26)    | 15 days                                                                   |           |
| 20       | Carboplatin | Naproxen   | Naproxen               | 27.3 ± 5.7 (A549)    | 15 days                                                                   |           |
| 21       | Cisplatin   | Naproxen   | Naproxen               | 0.16 ± 0.01 (MDA-MB-231) | 21: MDA-MB-231; 1.5 mg/kg 92.8% 15 days                                |           |
| 10       | Cisplatin   | Naproxen   | Hydroxyl               | 0.40 ± 0.10 (MCF-7)  | -                                                                         | [28]      |
| 22       | Cisplatin   | Etozolac   | Etozolac               | 0.17 ± 0.04 (MCF-7)  | -                                                                         | [29]      |
| 23       | Cisplatin   | Carprofen  | Carprofen              | 0.95 ± 0.04 (MCF-7)  | -                                                                         |           |
| 24       | Cisplatin   | Sulindac   | Sulindac               | 2.68 ± 1.09 (MCF-7)  | -                                                                         |           |

2. Dual-Action Pt(IV) Prodrugs with NSAID Axial Ligands

2.1. Aspirin

In 2014, Pathak et al. designed a conjugate of one aspirin moiety with a platinum core named asplatin (or Platin-A) 1, which was the first reported Pt(IV) prodrug with an NSAID as an axial ligand [20] (Figure 2). Acetylsalicylic acid (aspirin) is able to bind irreversibly with COX-1 and COX-2 and reduce the severity of cisplatin therapy side effects such as ototoxicity and nephrotoxicity [30,31]. The synthesis of asplatin 1 was performed by the acylation of oxoplatin by aspirin anhydride.
Figure 2. Synthesis of Platin A (asplatin) 1, the first cisplatin-based Pt(IV) prodrug with a NSAID as an axial ligand. DMSO—dimethylsulfoxide; r.t.—room temperature.

The ability of asplatin 1 to reduce was measured using cyclic voltammetry (CV) under two pH values. The change of pH value from 7.5 to a more acidic value of 6.4 was followed by E(Pt+4/Pt+2) reduction potential increase by 42 mV, thus allowing the authors to assume that prodrug 1 will be easily reduced in tumor tissues. In model conditions that mimic intracellular reduction, Platin-A 1 was incubated with sodium ascorbate and 2′-deoxyguanosine 5′-monophosphate sodium salt hydrate (5′-GMP). Analysis of the reaction products by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization (MALDI) mass-spectrometry (MS) showed the formation of Pt-GMP2 bis adduct, [Pt(NH3)2(5′-GMP)2], thus proving the ability of Platin-A 1 reduction products to interact with guanosine bases in DNA [20].

The release of aspirin upon reduction of the prodrug was proved by HPLC; upon the reduction of prodrug 1 in the presence of sodium ascorbate, an increasing amount of aspirin and salicylic acid release from the prodrug was detected. This proves that platinum (IV) prodrugs are able to release active platinum(II) moieties and axial ligands in either pristine or metabolite form upon reduction [20].

A cytotoxicity assay demonstrated that the antiproliferative ability of asplatin was comparable to that of cisplatin (Table 2).

Table 2. Antiproliferative activity of cisplatin, asplatin 1, and an equimolar mixture of cisplatin + aspirin on the prostate tumor cell lines PC3 (androgen independent human prostate adenocarcinoma cells), DU145 (androgen independent human prostate adenocarcinoma cells), and LNCaP (androgen-sensitive human prostate adenocarcinoma cells).

| Compound/Cell Line          | IC50, µM |
|----------------------------|----------|
|                            | PC3      | DU145    | LNCaP    |
| Cisplatin                  | 14 ± 4   | 5 ± 2    | 15 ± 1   |
| Asplatin 1                 | 15 ± 5   | 8 ± 3    | 12 ± 1   |
| Aspirin + Cisplatin (1:1)  | 14 ± 6   | 4 ± 1    | 18 ± 1   |

Asplatin 1 showed a similar ability to cisplatin to induce early apoptosis in tumor cells. Moreover, the ability of prodrug 1 to inhibit COX-1 and COX-2 was close to that of aspirin, which proves that prodrug 1 possesses both cytotoxic and anti-inflammatory properties. Asplatin 1 also suppressed tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) and interleukin (IL)-6, which causes an inflammatory response, while at the same time induced the secretion of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10. Thus, the conjugation of the NSAID aspirin with cisplatin resulted in a potent dual-action chemo-anti-inflammatory Pt(IV) prodrug capable of the simultaneous release of both anti-inflammatory and anticancer drugs, along with increased efficacy and reduced side effects, as compared to classical platinum chemotherapy [20].
2.2. Ibuprofen

Neumann et al. designed two Pt(IV) prodrugs with two moieties of indomethacin or ibuprofen in the axial position [21] (Figure 3). Prodrugs 2 and 3 were synthesized by reacting the corresponding acyl chloride with oxoplatin in acetone in the presence of pyridine.

![Synthesis of platinum(IV) prodrugs 2 and 3 with indomethacin and ibuprofen as the axial ligands.](image)

Figure 3. Synthesis of platinum(IV) prodrugs 2 and 3 with indomethacin and ibuprofen as the axial ligands.

The cyclic voltammetry assay showed a great difference between the reduction potentials of prodrugs 2 and 3 (−0.36 V and −0.68 V, respectively), which Neumann et al. suggested is probably due to the strong electron-withdrawing indole system and increased steric bulk of indomethacin. Thus, a weakened bond could probably facilitate ligand dissociation, resulting in poor stability. The stability of prodrugs 2 and 3 in a reducing environment was studied in the presence of ascorbic acid over three days. The release of axial ligands was detected by 1H NMR. The release of ibuprofen and prodrug decay was observed as additional peaks in 1H NMR and appeared in the −CH3 group region at 1.38 ppm and the −CH2− group region at 2.9 ppm. Approximately 40% of each drug was reduced during the experiment, which was evaluated by the authors as comparable with the clearance rate of platinum-based drugs from the body [21].

The COX-inhibiting ability of prodrugs 2 and 3 towards purified COX-1 and COX-2 isoforms demonstrated that complex 2 was a highly selective inhibitor of COX-2, while complex 3 did not demonstrate any significant inhibiting activity on either isoform.

The antiproliferative activity of prodrugs 2 and 3 with cisplatin as a control was assessed on the colon cancer cell line HCT-116, the ovarian cancer cell line OVCAR3, the triple negative breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231, and the head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cell line 1483 HNSCC via (3–(4,5–dimethylthiazol–2–yl)–2,5–diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay (Table 3). Prodrugs 2 and 3 both showed antiproliferative activity surpassing that of cisplatin. It is also worth noting the extremely high activity of 3 towards MDA-MB-231 cells (cisplatin-resistant triple negative breast tumor cell line), where it was about 400-fold more toxic than cisplatin [21].
Table 3. Antiproliferative activity of cisplatin and platinum(IV) prodrugs 2 and 3 on HCT-116 (colorectal carcinoma), OVCAR3 (ovarian cancer), MDA-MB-231 (triple negative breast cancer), and 1483 HNSCC (head and neck squamous cell carcinoma) cell lines. The ability of cells to express cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) is indicated by “−” (non-expressing), “+” (expressing), “++” (strongly expressing).

| Compound/Cell Line | COX-2 expression | HCT-116 | OVCAR3 | MDA-MB-231 | 1483 HNSCC |
|--------------------|------------------|---------|--------|------------|------------|
| Cisplatin          | −                 | 12      | 2.07   | 20         | 2          |
| 2                  | +                 | 1.1     | 2.2    | 1.65       | 0.69       |
| 3                  | +                 | 0.065   | 0.13   | 0.05       | 0.045      |

Neumann et al. also designed oxaliplatin-based prodrugs 4 and 5 with indomethacin and ibuprofen as axial ligands [22]. Prodrugs 4 and 5 were obtained following the procedure utilized for synthesis of coordination compounds 2 and 3, by the reaction of oxaliplatin with the corresponding acid chloride [21] (Figure 4).

![Synthesis of oxaliplatin-based platinum(IV) prodrugs 4 and 5](image)

**Figure 4.** Synthesis of oxaliplatin-based platinum(IV) prodrugs 4 and 5 with indomethacin and ibuprofen as axial ligands.

Cyclic voltammetry was used to evaluate how easily prodrugs 4 and 5 could be reduced, since both too high and too low reduction potentials are detrimental to the activity of the complexes [32,33]. The reduction potentials obtained by CV experiments were compared to those obtained for cisplatin-based prodrugs 2 and 3 [21]. Contrary to the reduction potentials of prodrugs 2 and 3, in the case of prodrugs 4 and 5, no significant difference in reduction potential was observed (−0.52 V and −0.56 V, respectively).

The ability of prodrugs 4 and 5 to inhibit cyclooxygenases was studied on purified isoforms of ovine COX-1 and murine COX-2. Neither of the isoforms were inhibited by ibuprofen-containing complexes 3 or 5. However, while prodrug 2 with indomethacin in the axial position acts as a selective COX-2 inhibitor, its oxaliplatin analogue 4 demonstrated...
selectivity towards COX-1 and no activity against COX-2. Docking studies for prodrugs 2 and 4 were carried out to gain insight into the differences in binding of prodrugs 2 and 4 to COX-2. The results suggest that compound 4 cannot bind to COX-2 due to the constricted entrance in the COX-2 active site, which prevents the bulky oxaliplatin equatorial ligands of 4 from accessing the enzyme. The high inhibitory activity of prodrug 2 towards the COX-2 isoform is attributed to the additional activity of the second indomethacin moiety, while only one moiety of indomethacin in prodrug 4 interacts with the enzyme active site [22].

The cytotoxicity of complexes 4 and 5 was evaluated by MTT assay on HCT 116 (colorectal adenocarcinoma) and MDA-MB-231 (triple negative breast adenocarcinoma) cells (Table 4). Both prodrugs 4 and 5 showed sub-micromolar activity towards MDA-MB-23 cells, while prodrug 5 demonstrated much lower IC₅₀ values than prodrug 4 on HCT-116 cells (0.31 µM and 1.3 µM, respectively). However, both complexes 4 and 5 were less potent than cisplatin-based prodrug 3 with ibuprofen axial ligands. Cellular accumulation of prodrugs 2–5 was studied via ICP-MS on HCT-116 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines (Table 5). Interestingly, no direct correlation between cytotoxicity and intracellular accumulation of prodrugs 2–5 was found, as intracellular platinum accumulation in both HCT-116 and MDA-MB-231 cells for the most potent drug (3) was lower than for its less potent oxaliplatin analogue (5) and the cisplatin–indomethacin complex (2). The trend in platinum accumulation cannot be solely attributed to the lipophilicity of prodrugs 2–5; indomethacin is more lipophilic than ibuprofen (logP values are 4.27 and 3.5, respectively), however, no correlation between axial ligands and cellular uptake of prodrugs 2–5 was observed [22].

Table 4. Antiproliferative activity of oxaliplatin-based platinum(IV) prodrugs 4 and 5 and cisplatin on HCT-116 (colorectal carcinoma) and MDA-MB-231 (triple negative breast adenocarcinoma) cell lines. The ability of cells to express cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) is indicated by “−” (non-expressing), “+” (expressing).

| Compound/Cell Line | IC₅₀, µM | HCT-116 | MDA-MB-231 |
|--------------------|---------|---------|------------|
| COX-2 expression   | -       | +       |            |
| Cisplatin          | 12      | 20      |            |
| 4                  | 1.3     | 0.55    |            |
| 5                  | 0.31    | 0.33    |            |

Table 5. Cellular accumulation of prodrugs 2–5 and cisplatin (µg Pt/10⁶ cells) on HCT-116 (colorectal carcinoma) and MDA-MB-231 (triple negative adenocarcinoma) cell lines.

| Cellular Uptake, µg Pt/10⁶ Cells |
|----------------------------------|
| Compound/Cell line               | HCT-116 | MDA-MB-231 |
|----------------------------------|---------|------------|
| Cisplatin                        | 0.008 ± 0.001 | 0.013 ± 0.009 |
| 2                                | 0.26 ± 0.04  | 0.4 ± 0.1  |
| 3                                | 0.096 ± 0.007 | 0.17 ± 0.03 |
| 4                                | 0.014 ± 0.001 | 0.033 ± 0.009 |
| 5                                | 0.18 ± 0.01  | 0.27 ± 0.03 |

Curci et al. designed kiteplatin-based Pt(IV) prodrug 6 with two ibuprofen moieties as axial ligands [23]. The prodrug was obtained by the acylation of oxidized kiteplatin by the acid chloride of ibuprofen (Figure 5). The structure of the resulting complex was confirmed by thorough analysis of multiple NMR experiments, including 2D COSY spectrum and [¹H-¹³C]-HSQC 2D NMR. ¹⁹⁵Pt NMR showed the presence a single peak, confirming the formation of only one platinum complex.
Figure 5. Synthesis of kiteplatin-based platinum(IV) prodrug 6 with two ibuprofen moieties as axial ligands.

To determine the stability of prodrug 6, the CV electrochemical curves were recorded on a glassy carbon electrode. The determined reduction potential was found to be $-0.93 \text{ V}$ and is compatible to the potentials of similar platinum(IV) coordination compounds [34].

The cytotoxicity of the compound 6 was studied on non-COX-expressing colorectal carcinoma cell lines. Prodrug 6 showed submicromolar IC$_{50}$ values, which was up to 42-fold higher than platinum(II) drugs in the assay (Table 6).

Table 6. Antiproliferative activity of kiteplatin, cisplatin, ibuprofen, and platinum prodrug 6 on HCT15 (human colon carcinoma) and HCT116 (colon adenocarcinoma) cells.

| Compound/Cell Line | HCT15       | HCT116      |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|
| 6                  | 0.45 ± 0.04 | 0.26 ± 0.03 |
| Kiteplatin         | 11 ± 1      | 7 ± 1       |
| Cisplatin          | 17 ± 2      | 11 ± 1      |
| Ibuprofen          | >800        | 708 ± 8     |

2.3. Flurbiprofen

Tan et al. designed a cisplatin-based prodrug 7 with two flurbiprofen moieties in axial positions [24]. Synthesis of the compound was performed by the reaction of oxoplatin with flurbiprofen acid chloride in tetrahydrofuran (THF) (Figure 6). The formation of the complex was confirmed by observing the shift of the –CH$_3$ methyl group from 1.41 ppm in free flurbiprofen to 1.38 ppm in the $^1$H NMR spectrum of prodrug 7. The reduction potential obtained by CV was $-0.68 \text{ V}$ at pH 7.4, which allowed the authors to assume that prodrug 7 is capable of reduction in a cellular environment.

The stability of prodrug 7 in the reducing environment was assessed in the presence of ascorbic acid as a reductant and showed complete decay of the complex within 48 hours, as determined by HPLC. To establish whether the Pt(IV) prodrug can release the Pt(II) DNA-binding moiety, compound 7 was incubated with ascorbic acid in the presence of 2′-deoxyguanosine 5′-monophosphate sodium salt hydrate (5-GMP), a nucleotide base of DNA. Analysis of the products by ESI-MS showed the formation of bifunctional Pt(II)/GMP adduct [Pt(NH$_3$)$_2$(5′-GMP)$_2$]$^+$ with the observed m/z of 922.46. Thus, platinum(IV) prodrug 7 is capable of releasing cisplatin in reducing environments, which then binds to DNA [34].
Table 7. Antiproliferative activity of Pt(IV) prodrug 7 with two flurbiprofen moieties as axial ligands.

| Compound/Cell Line | IC50, µM |
|--------------------|----------|
|                    | SW480    | PC-3     | PANC-1   | A549     | A549-DDP | BEL7404 | BEL7404-CP20 |
| Cisplatin          | 49 ± 1.1 | 21.2 ± 1.1 | 14.4 ± 1.1 | 7.4 ± 1.0 | 20.03 ± 1.1 | 14.7 ± 1.1 | >50       |
| Cisplatin–Flurbiprofen (1:2) 7 | 29.6 ± 1.0 | 22.4 ± 1.1 | 11.1 ± 1.1 | 7.1 ± 1.0 | 21.1 ± 1.2 | 18.1 ± 1.0 | >50       |

An opalescence and the Tyndall effect of prodrug 7 stock solution was observed; thus, dynamic light scattering (DLS) analysis was conducted which showed that in solution, prodrug 7 formed nanoparticles with a diameter of 115.3 nm. The formation of nanoparticles was further proved by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), transition electron microscopy (TEM) and atomic force microscopy (AFM). The obtained results led the authors to the assumption that the difference in cellular uptake between prodrug 7 and cisplatin is due to nanoparticle formation [23].

2.4. Naproxen

Ravera et al. synthesized platinum(IV) prodrugs 8 and 9 based on cisplatin with two NSAIDs, naproxen and ketoprofen, in the axial positions (Figure 7) [25]. As a reference compound, asplatin 1 was chosen and synthesized as well. Asplatin 1 was prepared as described by Pathak et al. [20]. Prodrugs 8 and 9 were prepared by the acylation of [Pt(NH3)2(Cl)2(OH)(OAc)] by the corresponding acid chloride.
Table 8. Antiproliferative activity of cisplatin-based prodrugs 1, 8 and 9 on A549 (lung carcinoma), HT-29 (colon cancer), HCT 116 (colon adenocarcinoma), SW480 (colorectal cancer), A2780 (ovarian cancer), and MSTO-21 (malignant pleural mesothelioma) cell lines.

| Compound/Cell Line | IC<sub>50</sub> μM |
|--------------------|-----------------|
|                    | A549 | HT-29 | HCT 116 | MSTO-211H | SW480 | A2780 |
|                    | Log k' | COX Expression | + | ++ | + | - | - | - |
| Cisplatin          | −0.5 | 3.60 ± 0.90 | 2.72 ± 0.39 | 3.05 ± 0.28 | 1.33 ± 0.35 | 2.27 ± 0.12 | 0.46 ± 0.11 |
| Oxaliplatin        | −0.28 | 0.74 ± 0.25 | 0.92 ± 0.08 | 1.16 ± 0.09 | 1.01 ± 0.55 | 0.48 ± 0.02 | 0.171 ± 0.008 |
| 1                  | −0.32 | 6.40 ± 2.7 | 4.42 ± 0.21 | 1.50 ± 0.083 | 1.74 ± 0.21 | 0.217 ± 0.07 | 0.552 ± 0.123 |
| 8                  | 0.14 | 0.825 ± 0.388 | 0.486 ± 0.235 | 0.184 ± 0.088 | 0.198 ± 0.035 | 0.0948 ± 0.023 | 0.063 ± 0.033 |
| 9                  | 0.18 | 0.486 ± 0.075 | 0.313 ± 0.186 | 0.149 ± 0.076 | 0.161 ± 0.040 | 0.0844 ± 0.0287 | 0.045 ± 0.016 |

The cytotoxicity of compounds 1, 8 and 9 was evaluated via MTT assay using both cyclooxygenase (COX)-expressing and non-COX-expressing cell lines (Table 8).

The results of the MTT assay demonstrated the significant antiproliferative activity of coordination compounds 8 and 9, which were up to 13-fold more toxic than asplatin 1 and up to 20-fold more toxic than cisplatin. No correlation between COX-2 expression and cytotoxicity was found. Based on the results of the MTT assay, lipophilicity can be assumed to be the main factor for prodrug cytotoxic activity, as the most lipophilic complex 9 is the most toxic, while the least lipophilic compound (cisplatin) has the highest IC<sub>50</sub> values on nearly all cell lines [25].

In order to confirm the relationship between cytotoxicity and lipophilicity, the accumulation ratio (AR) was evaluated as the ratio between intra- and extracellular platinum concentration. Cells were incubated with 10 μM of cisplatin and prodrugs 1, 8 and 9 for 4 h. The most lipophilic prodrugs 8 and 9 demonstrated higher ARs than the less lipophilic cisplatin and asplatin 1 with AR values of 14. AR values of platinum(IV) prodrugs 8 and 9 were 14 and 12, respectively, while cisplatin and Platin-A 1 demonstrated AR values of 2 and 1, respectively. The presence of lipophilic moieties in axial positions enhances the ability to penetrate the cellular membrane, leading to increased platinum uptake and, consequently, antiproliferative activity [36].
To confirm the assumption that the mechanism of the toxicity of prodrugs 8 and 9 is COX-independent, a RT-qPCR analysis was carried out. Two cell lines, one with high COX-2 expression (A-549) and one with low COX-2 expression (HCT-116), were chosen. Five genes were chosen to study the influence of prodrugs 8, 9, cisplatin, naproxen, and ketoprofen: Bcl-2 family genes that regulate apoptosis, COX-2, and NSAID-activated gene NAG-1. The pro-apoptotic proteins BAD (BCL2 associated agonist of cell death) and BAX (Bcl-2-associated X protein) were upregulated in the presence of all three platinum compounds in both cell lines, while the anti-apoptotic gene BCL-2 was downregulated. There is evidence that BAX upregulation promotes apoptotic activity through caspase activation [37]. COX-2 expression was upregulated by all compounds (1, 8, 9, cisplatin, naproxen and ketoprofen) on the low COX-expressing cells HCT-116. The NAG-1 gene, which is involved in antiproliferative activity [38], was expressed by prodrugs 8, 9 and cisplatin on both cell lines, including HCT-116, in which little to no COX-2 activity was observed.

To sum up, the antiproliferative activity of platinum(IV) prodrugs 8 and 9 was shown to occur through a COX-independent pathway, with lipophilicity being the key factor determining the efficiency of these compounds.

In another study devoted to the synthesis of Pt(IV) prodrugs with naproxen in axial positions, Tolan et al. designed six platinum–naproxen prodrugs derived from three Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved platinum(II) drugs [26] (Figure 8). Prodrugs 10–12 with a cisplatin, carboplatin and oxaliplatin core, respectively, were obtained by the reaction of oxoplatin with the N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS)-ester of naproxen. Platinum prodrugs 13–16 with benzoic acid, succinic acid, glutaric acid and moieties, respectively, were synthesized by the reaction of complex 10 with the anhydride of the corresponding acid. Such an approach to the design of unsymmetrical platinum(IV) prodrugs allowed these authors to finely tune the properties of the resulting complex [39]. The second axial ligand of the platinum(IV) prodrugs 13–15 was varied to alter the resulting lipophilicities of the platinum(IV) prodrugs.

![Figure 8. Naproxen-containing mono-carboxylated platinum(IV) prodrugs based on cisplatin 10, carboplatin 11 and oxaliplatin 12 and di-carboxylated 13–15.](image-url)
The antiproliferative activity of prodrugs 10–15 was evaluated by MTT assay on the breast cancer cell line MCF-7 and the triple negative breast adenocarcinoma cell line MDA-MB-231 (Table 8). The cytotoxicity of all prodrugs on the MCF-7 cell line was 1.5- to 2-fold higher than that of cisplatin. The results of the MTT assay indicate an 11- to 30-fold difference between the IC₅₀ values of cisplatin and compounds 10–15. It is worth noting that prodrug 13, with the most lipophilic benzoate ligand in the axial position, showed the lowest IC₅₀ values among all prodrugs tested (10–15) [26].

Cell death mode was assessed by fluorescent staining of MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells incubated with the most active Pt(IV) prodrug 13. The results obtained indicate that prodrug 13 induces necrosis and apoptosis (early and late, combined) of 30.56% and 22.68% cells, respectively, in MCF-7 cells, and 12.98% and 36.21%, respectively, in MDA-MB-231 cells.

Another series of naproxen-containing platinum(IV) prodrugs was obtained by Chen et al. [27] (Figure 9). The focus of this study was the combined action of naproxen as an inhibitor of both cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) and matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and the impact of COX and MMP inhibition on the cytotoxic activity of Pt(IV) prodrugs.

The antiproliferative activity of complexes 16–20 was evaluated by MTT assay on four malignant cell lines: A549, A549R (cisplatin-sensitive and cisplatin-resistant lung carcinoma, respectively), SKOV-3 (ovarian cancer), and CT-26 (colon cancer) in comparison with LO-2 (a human normal liver cell line) (Table 9). Prodrugs 19 and 20, with two naproxen moieties in axial positions, showed lesser activity than the corresponding mono-substituted complexes 17 and 18, respectively. The selectivity index (SI) was defined as the ratio of IC₅₀ values obtained on the normal cell line LO-2 to the average of the IC₅₀ values obtained on tumor cells. Cisplatin and cisplatin-based prodrug 16 showed the worst SIs of 0.5 and 0.2, respectively, while the best SI values of 1.0 and 1.5 were demonstrated by the dual-naproxen complexes 18 and 19, respectively. The most potent compound, 17, demonstrated higher activity than cisplatin on the cisplatin-resistant cell line A549R [27].

Figure 9. Naproxen-containing platinum(IV) prodrugs based on cisplatin 16, carboplatin 17 and oxaliplatin 18 and di-carboxylated complexes based on oxaliplatin 19 and carboplatin 20.
Table 9. Antiproliferative activity of cisplatin-, carboplatin-, oxaliplatin-based and platinum(IV) prodrugs 16–20 on A549, A549R (cisplatin-sensitive and cisplatin-resistant lung carcinoma, respectively), SKOV-3 (ovarian cancer), CT-26 (colon cancer), and LO-2 (human normal liver) cell lines. Selectivity index (SI) = IC_{50}(LO-2)/average IC_{50} values.

| Compound/Cell Line | IC_{50}, μM |
|--------------------|------------|
|                    | A549       | A549R      | SKOV-3    | CT-26     | LO-2      | SI^2     |
| Cisplatin          | 4.8 ± 0.6  | 15.1 ± 1.1 | 2.5 ± 0.4 | 0.3 ± 0.1 | 3.0 ± 0.7 | 0.5      |
| Oxaliplatin        | 8.4 ± 2.2  | 7.3 ± 1.7  | 9.4 ± 2.3 | 2.3 ± 0.3 | 3.6 ± 0.5 | 0.5      |
| Carboplatin        | 79.6 ± 18.4| 60.6 ± 14.7| 38.1 ± 9.6| 46.2 ± 11.4| 70.7 ± 16.3| -        |
| 16                 | 2.2 ± 0.3  | 19.7 ± 2.5 | 14.4 ± 0.7| 0.2 ± 0.1 | 1.9 ± 0.4 | 0.2      |
| 17                 | 5.2 ± 0.5  | 4.8 ± 0.3  | 8.5 ± 0.16| 2.9 ± 0.7 | 4.8 ± 0.8 | 0.9      |
| 18                 | 47.2 ± 6.9 | 62.2 ± 16.7| 26.0 ± 5.5| 26.1 ± 8.6| 39.9 ± 9.8| 1.5      |
| 19                 | 10.2 ± 1.0 | 12.0 ± 0.4 | 11.3 ± 0.8| 8.2 ± 0.6 | 15.3 ± 2.5| 0.5      |
| 20                 | 27.3 ± 5.7 | 83.0 ± 16.4| 48.9 ± 7.5| 48.9 ± 8.4| 26.3 ± 4.7| 0.8      |

Overexpressed levels of matrix metallopeptidase-9 (MMP-9) are associated with cancer invasion, metastasis, and inflammation In vivo [40]. The MMP-9 inhibitory activity of prodrug 17 was evaluated by immunohistochemical staining using slices of CT-26 mice tumors in comparison with the effect of oxaliplatin and saline. Compound 17 showed significant inhibition of MMP-9 expression (6.8%), while oxaliplatin inhibition level was 8.1%, in accordance with the literature data [41]. The observed difference in inhibitory activity is associated with the presence of the naproxen moiety in prodrug 17. However, despite high inhibitory activity towards MMP-9 expression, prodrug 17 showed low COX-inhibiting ability.

The therapeutic efficacy of prodrug 17 was assessed In vivo using a CT-26 (colon cancer) tumor model. The inhibition of tumor growth after treatment with prodrug 17 was at the level of cisplatin and oxaliplatin (317 ± 119 mm³, 390 ± 162 mm³, and 477 ± 223 mm³, respectively).

Recently, Jin et al. described two cisplatin-based naproxen-containing platinum(IV) prodrugs [28]. Two highly potent cisplatin prodrugs with one (NP, 10) or two (DNP, 21) naproxen moieties in the axial position were obtained (Figure 10). Prodrug 10 was already described by Tolan et al. [26] and synthesized following a similar procedure, while compound 21 was prepared by the reaction of oxoplatin with an excess of naproxen in the presence of 2-(1H-benzotriazole-1-yl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethylammonium tetrafluoroborate (TBTU) and Et_3N in N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF).

![Figure 10. Synthesis of cisplatin-based monocarboxylated platinum(IV) prodrug 10 and dicarboxylated platinum(IV) prodrug 21 with one or two moieties of naproxen in axial positions, respectively.](image-url)
Both prodrugs 10 and 21 demonstrated outstanding antiproliferative activity towards the breast cancer cell lines MCF-7, MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-435 after 48 hours of incubation (Table 10). For prodrug 21, IC_{50} values varied from 0.34 to 0.17 µM, which is up to 187-fold more than that of cisplatin, while prodrug 10 showed IC_{50} values from 1.11 to 0.4 µM. It is worth noting that the IC_{50} values obtained by Jin et al. for prodrug 10 differ significantly from the data reported by Tolan et al.; in particular, for the MCF-7 breast cancer cell line, IC_{50} values for prodrug 10 in the study by Jin et al. are 26-fold higher, and for the MDA-MB-231 triple negative breast adenocarcinoma cell line, IC_{50} values are 21-fold higher than in the paper by Tolan et al. (Table 8) [28].

Table 10. Antiproliferative activity of cisplatin, equimolar mixtures of cisplatin and naproxen, and platinum(IV) prodrugs 21 and 10 on MCF-7 (breast cancer), MDA-MB-231 (triple negative breast adenocarcinoma), and MDA-MB-435 (triple negative breast adenocarcinoma) cell lines.

| Compound/Cell Line | IC_{50}, µM       |
|--------------------|------------------|
|                    | MCF-7 | MDA-MB-231 | MDA-MB-435 |
| 21                 | 0.17 ± 0.04    | 0.16 ± 0.01 | 0.34 ± 0.09 |
| 10                 | 0.40 ± 0.10    | 0.81 ± 0.02 | 1.11 ± 0.06 |
| Cisplatin          | 4.00 ± 1.00    | 29.98 ± 1.10| 8.34 ± 0.49 |
| Cisplatin + Naproxen| 7.00 ± 2.00   | >64        | >32         |
| Cisplatin + 2 Naproxen| 5.18 ± 2.80 | >64        | >32         |
| Naproxen           | >64         | >64        | >64         |

The intracellular accumulation/distribution of prodrugs 10, 21, and cisplatin was assessed by ICP-MS assay in MCF-7 cells after incubation with 0.2 µM of each complex for 24 hours. The overall platinum content was 65- and 11-fold higher for cells incubated with 21 and 10, respectively, than for cells incubated with cisplatin. However, less than 5% of platinum accumulated in the nucleus of cells incubated with both prodrugs, as most of the platinum was found in the cytosol and cell membrane.

The stability of prodrugs 10 and 21 in a reducing environment was assessed in a model solution in the presence of ascorbic acid or glutathione. While complex 10 showed complete degradation after 3 hours, complex 21 was still stable after 72 hours of incubation. An additional experiment in solution in the presence of 5′-GMP was conducted to verify the ability of prodrug 21 to form covalent adducts with DNA bases; no Pt-GMP or Pt-GMP₂ were detected by ESI-MS after 6 days of incubation. This led Jin et al. to the assumption that complex 21 acts not as a prodrug, but as a whole complex that binds to DNA in a non-covalent manner [28].

Cell cycle arrest, as studied by flow cytometry, showed that prodrug 21 arrested the cell cycle of MCF-7 cells mostly in the S phase (87% at 0.2 µM incubation), while prodrug 10 arrested the cell cycle at the G2 and S phases. Further studies of prodrug 21’s influence on the inflammatory response showed that it can greatly suppress COX-2 and programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression in breast cancer cells, thus leading to suppressed tumor evasion from the immune system [42]. The expression of interleukins IL-1β and IL-6, which are crucial for the formation of an inflammatory response [43], was also greatly reduced by prodrug 21.

The antitumor activity of both prodrugs was studied in vivo using mouse xenografts bearing MDA-MB-231 tumor cells. After therapy with 1.5 mg/kg of prodrug 21 for 15 days, the tumor volume was only 66.35 ± 26.07 mm³ (92.8% tumor growth inhibition), while after treatment with the same dose of cisplatin and saline, this value was 660 ± 68 and 926 ± 71 mm³, respectively. Prodrug 10 was less efficient than prodrug 21 (75.5% tumor growth inhibition), but still inhibited tumor growth better than cisplatin (227 ± 71 and 660 ± 68 mm³ tumor volume, respectively). No significant change in body weight was observed for the mice in all series [28].

Thus, 21 is a highly potent platinum(IV) prodrug capable of significantly suppressing tumor growth and inflammatory response.
2.5. Etodolac, Sulindac, and Carprofen

Three cisplatin-based platinum(IV) prodrugs with the FDA-approved NSAIDs etodolac, sulindac, and carprofen (22–24) were designed by Song et al. (Figure 11) [29]. Compounds were synthesized by the reaction of oxoplatin with the corresponding NSAID in the presence of TBTU and triethylamine in DMF. The cytotoxicity of prodrugs 22–24 was assessed by MTT assay. IC₅₀ values of prodrug 22 were lower than the values of cisplatin on malignant cell lines MCF-7, A549 and HeLa, while being less toxic than cisplatin on the normal cell line MRC-5 (Table 11). Complex 24 showed lipophilicities lower than optimum, while prodrug 22 was 14-fold more active than cisplatin. Cellular accumulation in MCF-7 cells after 3 hours of incubation was assessed by ICP-MS assay, which indicated that the trend in antiproliferative activity correlated with the cellular uptake level, with prodrug 22 showing the highest level of platinum content.

![Figure 11. Platinum(IV) prodrugs with etodolac 22, carprofen 23 and sulindac 24 moieties as axial ligands.](image)

Table 11. Antiproliferative activity of cisplatin and prodrugs 22–24 on MCF-7 (breast cancer), A549 (lung carcinoma), HeLa (cervical cancer), and MCR-5 (embryo fibroblast) cell lines. LogP values and cellular uptake in MCF-7 cells are also shown.

| Compound/Cell Line | IC₅₀, μM | LogP | Cellular Uptake, ng Pt/10⁶ Cells |
|-------------------|---------|------|-------------------------------|
|                   | MCF-7   | A549 | HeLa | MRC-5 |                  |                  |
| Cisplatin         | 13.31 ± 2.90 | 11.29 ± 0.21 | 9.82 ± 0.52 | 4.92 ± 0.13 | −4.86 | 200 |
| 22                | 0.95 ± 0.42 | 2.78 ± 0.28 | 3.59 ± 0.17 | 6.24 ± 1.10 | 2.56 | 7000 |
| 23                | 2.68 ± 1.09 | 3.87 ± 1.03 | 2.99 ± 0.07 | 7.06 ± 1.10 | 3.92 | 2100 |
| 24                | 9.02 ± 2.83 | 10.64 ± 0.06 | 42.33 ± 7.52 | 14.04 ± 3.04 | −0.42 | 1900 |

Lipophilicity is considered the crucial factor for drug activity, so the logP values were obtained for cisplatin and the cisplatin-based prodrugs 22–24. The established optimum logP values for maximum drug bioavailability is reported to be in the range of 0–3 [44,45]. Among all three prodrugs (22–24), only one logP value was in the optimum range (prodrug 22) (Table 11). Cisplatin and prodrug 24 showed lipophilicities lower than optimum, while prodrug 23 had a logP value higher than optimum. Thus, the obtained lipophilicity values correlate with trend in cytotoxicity and cellular accumulation.

Western blot analysis conducted on MCF-7 cells incubated with prodrug 22 showed the ability of the compound to downregulate the expression of COX-2 and MDM-2 enzymes in MCF-7 cells while inducing the expression of the pro-apoptotic genes Bax and p53.
Wound healing and invasion assays were conducted to assess whether prodrug 22 is able to suppress the metastasis and invasion of tumor cells. The migration rate of MCF-7 cells incubated with complex 22 was significantly lower than the rate of control and cisplatin-treated cells (18.1%, 47.7%, and 39.9%, respectively) [29].

The in vivo study of prodrug 22 on xenograft mice bearing MCF-7 tumors revealed the low systemic toxicity of prodrug 22, and its ability to suppress tumor growth was slightly stronger than cisplatin after 14 days of treatment (457 mm$^3$ and 570 mm$^3$, respectively). It is notable that the suppression of tumor growth in the case of prodrug 22 was accompanied with slightly reduced platinum content in the heart, liver, and lung than in the case of cisplatin, as assessed by ICP-MS analysis of harvested organs. The body weight of mice treated with prodrug 22 remained almost unchanged, while treatment with cisplatin led to a decrease in body weight by 2 g on average, from 19 to 17 g. Thus, despite suppressing tumor growth to a higher extent than cisplatin, prodrug 22 also induced significantly fewer toxic effects [29].

3. Triple Action Asymmetric Pt(IV) Prodrugs with NSAIDs and Other Biologically Active Axial Ligands

The prodrug approach allows us to combine several bioactive moieties in a single molecule, which opens the way for the fine tuning of the coordination compound’s anti-tumor activity. The possibility of the step-by-step conjugation of axial ligands to obtain unsymmetrical Pt(IV) prodrugs opens the way for the preparation of triple action Pt(IV) prodrugs. Several papers demonstrate that upon release, all bioactive moieties exhibit their properties, leading to the increased antitumor efficiency of platinum-based drugs (Table 12) [46–48].

| Compound | COX-Inhibitor | Second Axial Ligand | Confirmation of Second Bioactive Ligand Action | Reference |
|----------|--------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 26       | Indomethacin | Biotin (biotin receptors targeting) | Selectivity to tumor cells is attributed to increased amount of biotin receptors SMVT | [49] |
| 27       | Aspirin      | Dichloroacetate (DCA) (PDK inhibitor) | MMP depolarization |             |
| 28       | Ibuprofen    | Phenylbutyrate (PhB) (HDAC inhibitor) | HDAC inhibition | [50] |
| 29       | Aspirin      | Valproate (Val) (HDAC inhibitor) | HDAC inhibition |             |
| 30       | Ibuprofen    | HDAC inhibition |             |             |
| 31       | Aspirin      | Estramustine (tubulin inhibitor) | Increase in cell population arrested in G2/M phase compared to cisplatin. Free estramustine is known to arrest the cell cycle in G2/M | [51] |

3.1. Indometacin/Biotin

Cisplatin-based prodrug 26, with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug indomethacin, and biotin (vitamin H) with an increased affinity for tumor cells as a second axial ligand was designed by Hu et al. [49] (Figure 12). Prodrug 26 was synthesized by the reaction of oxoplatin with TBTU-activated indomethacin, followed by the reaction of the resulting complex 26a with TBTU-activated biotin. Cisplatin-based prodrug 25, with one indomethacin axial ligand and chlorine as the second axial ligand, was synthesized and used as a control.

The cytotoxicity of both complexes, 25 and 26, and cisplatin as a control, were evaluated by MTT assay on HCT-116 (colorectal cancer), HepG-2 (hepatocellular carcinoma), PC-3 (prostate carcinoma), LO-2 (normal liver), EA.hy926 (umbilical vein endothelial cell), SGC7901 (gastric cancer), and SGC7901/CDDP (cisplatin-resistant gastric cancer) cell lines (Table 13). Complex 25 without the biotin moiety was the most toxic towards nearly all cell lines, while complex 26 showed lesser activity than cisplatin on nearly all malignant
The intracellular accumulation/distribution of prodrugs 25 and 26 was evaluated using ICP-MS assay on PC-3, SGC7901, and SGC7901/CDDP cell lines after 12 hours of incubation (Table 14). A high level of platinum accumulation was observed for prodrug 26 in various cancer cells, surpassing the accumulation of both prodrug 25 and cisplatin. At the same time, platinum uptake level in a normal cell line (LO-2) for complex 26 was nearly two-fold lower than for cancer cells, and was similar to complex 25. This is probably due to the higher level of biotin receptors in PC-3, SGC7901, and SGC7901/CDDP cells than in normal cells (LO-2) [49].
Table 14. Cellular uptake in PC-3 (prostate carcinoma), SGC7901 (gastric cancer), SGC7901/CDDP (cisplatin-resistant gastric cancer), and LO-2 (normal liver) cell lines preincubated with prodrugs 25, 26, and cisplatin after 12 hours of incubation (ng/10⁶ cells).

| Compound/Cell Line | PC-3 | SGC7901 | SGC7901/CDDP | LO-2 |
|--------------------|------|---------|--------------|------|
| Cisplatin          | 133  | 120     | 28           | 141  |
| 25                 | 276  | 451     | 269          | 372  |
| 26                 | 839  | 790     | 757          | 403  |

Both cisplatin and prodrug 25 arrested the cell cycle mainly in the G0/G1 phase, while for prodrug 26, an increase in the cell population at the G2/M phase was observed after 72 hours of incubation in PC-3 cells. Apoptosis studies on PC-3 cells after 72 hours of incubation showed that prodrug 26 induced 30.68% and 25.01% of early and late apoptosis, respectively. Contrary to complex 26, apoptosis induced by prodrug 25 was slightly lower (25.4% and 23.35% of early and late apoptotic cells, respectively). Also, the expression of pro- and anti-apoptotic proteins of the Bcl-2 family in PC-3 cells was studied to evaluate their role in the mechanism of cell death induced by the Pt(IV) prodrugs 25 and 26 [52]. Western blot analysis of PC-3 cells after 12 hours of incubation showed a clear increase in the expression of Bax pro-apoptotic proteins for cisplatin and prodrugs 25 and 26, while the level of anti-apoptotic protein Bcl-2 was downregulated by both prodrugs 25 and 26 and cisplatin, with 26 showing the greatest reduction in Bcl-2 protein level.

As indomethacin is a potent non-selective COX inhibitor [53], the ability of Pt(IV) prodrugs 25 and 26 to inhibit COX-1 and COX-2 was studied in vitro via enzyme immunoassay. Both prodrugs 25 and 26 showed concentration-dependent inhibition of both COX-1 and COX-2. Interestingly, while free indomethacin showed a stronger inhibition of COX-1 than prodrugs 25 and 26, both complexes surpassed free NSAID in terms of COX-2 inhibition. This fact is attributed to the esters of indomethacin, which have been reported to show COX-2 selectivity [54]. The authors assumed that indomethacin was not released from the prodrugs and that the Pt(IV) prodrugs 25 and 26 were able to inhibit COX-1 and COX-2 despite indomethacin not being released from the complex.

3.2. Ibuprofen, Aspirin/PDK, PhB, Val, and HDAC Inhibitors

In recent work published by Petruzella et al. [50], eight triple action Pt(IV) prodrugs bearing ligands with different bioactive properties were obtained. Aspirin and ibuprofen were selected as COX-2 inhibitors, dichloroacetate was chosen as a potent pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase (PDK) inhibitor, and phenylbutyrate (PhB) or valproate (Val) as histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors. PDK inhibits the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (PDHC), which plays a key role in cellular respiration [55]. In tumor cells, PDHC is inhibited, and thus cellular metabolism is shifted from glucose oxidation to glycolysis (Warburg effect) [56]. The inhibition of PDK reverses that process, leading to cell death. Inhibition of HDAC was shown to lead to chromatin de-condensation, thus making cellular DNA more sensitive to platination [57]. The synergy between HDAC inhibition and anti PD-L1 immune checkpoint blockade has been reported in several ovarian cancers [58].

Synthesis of the prodrugs 27–32 (Figure 13) was performed by two consecutive carboxylations of oxoplatin by the corresponding acid anhydride.

Stability of the prodrugs 27–32 in phosphate buffer was monitored by HPLC. Prodrugs 28 and 31 were stable throughout the experiment, but after the first day, prodrugs 29, 30 and 32 showed 10%, 25% and 20% degradation, respectively. Prodrug 27 was found to be the least stable, with about half the complex degraded in the first day and the rest of the compound in the following days. The general trend was that aspirin prodrugs were less stable than ibuprofen ones [50].
Two-dimensional cellular cultures are simplistic models that cannot imitate complex tumor cells processes, and thus they cannot predict the In vivo activity of a certain drug. On the other hand, 3D cell cultures possess important features of real tumors, such as intercellular interactions, hypoxia gradients, and the ability to model drug penetration and resistance [59]. These properties make cellular spheroids a relatively close model of In vivo tumors [60]. A series of triple action prodrugs (27–32) was tested against PSN-1 spheroids of pancreatic cancer. Cisplatin was used as a control. Prodrugs 31 and 32 with a valproate moiety showed sub-micromolar toxicities and were more than 50-fold more active than cisplatin. Other prodrugs in the assay were up to 15-fold more cytotoxic than cisplatin.

The absence of selectivity towards malignant cells is the core problem of platinum-based therapeutics and overcoming this issue is crucial for new anticancer drugs [61]. Thus, triple action compounds were screened on non-cancerous HEK293 cells and their selectivity index as ratio of IC_{50} (HEK293) to the IC_{50} values of cell lines that were the most sensitive to the complexes was calculated (Table 16). Prodrug 32, with valproate and aspirin moieties, showed high selectivity against BCAP cells (SI = 59); prodrug 31, with valproate and ibuprofen moieties, was moderately selective on LoVo cells (SI = 13.8); while the other prodrugs did not show high selectivity towards any of the tumor cells (ranging from 0.1 to 2).

Figure 13. Cisplatin-based triple action prodrugs 27–32 with ibuprofen (28, 30, 31), aspirin (27, 29, 32), dichloroacetate (27, 28), phenylbutyrate (29, 30) and valproate (31, 32) moieties in axial positions.

Table 15. Antiproliferative activity of cisplatin and platinum(IV) prodrugs 27–32 on HCT-15 (human colon carcinoma), BCAP (thyroid cancer), PSN-1 (pancreatic cancer), LoVo (colon cancer), 2008 (ovarian adenocarcinoma), and C13* (cisplatin-resistant ovarian adenocarcinoma) cell lines. Resistance factor (RF) = IC_{50}(C13*)/IC_{50}(2008).

| Class          | Compound/Cell Line | HCT-15  | BCPAP  | PSN-1  | LoVo   | 2008  | C13*  | RF  |
|----------------|--------------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-----|
|                |                    | IC_{50}, μM |
| PDK1, COXi     | 27                 | 1.03 ± 0.25 | 0.06 ± 0.005 | 0.06 ± 0.008 | 0.755 ± 0.06 | 0.61 ± 0.19 | 1.66 ± 0.09 | 2.7 |
|                | 28                 | 0.65 ± 0.17 | 0.14 ± 0.04 | 0.08 ± 0.01 | 0.285 ± 0.02 | 0.32 ± 0.09 | 0.97 ± 0.22 | 3.0 |
|                | 29                 | 1.86 ± 0.41 | 0.06 ± 0.004 | 0.09 ± 0.02 | 0.055 ± 0.01 | 0.29 ± 0.11 | 0.43 ± 0.12 | 1.5 |
|                | 30                 | 4.98 ± 1.25 | 0.08 ± 0.01 | 0.92 ± 0.2 | 0.211 ± 0.08 | 0.89 ± 0.19 | 1.65 ± 0.11 | 1.9 |
|                | 31                 | 3.98 ± 0.89 | 0.68 ± 0.08 | 0.07 ± 0.01 | 0.034 ± 0.03 | 1.35 ± 0.29 | 1.61 ± 0.42 | 1.2 |
|                | 32                 | 4.51 ± 0.85 | 0.01 ± 0.003 | 0.13 ± 0.04 | 0.97 ± 0.08 | 0.69 ± 0.08 | 0.77 ± 0.04 | 1.1 |
| Reference      | Cisplatin          | 15.28 ± 2.63 | 7.38 ± 1.53 | 18.25 ± 3.11 | 9.15 ± 2.07 | 2.22 ± 1.02 | 22.52 ± 3.35 | 10.10 |
|                | Oxaliplatin        | 1.15 ± 0.43 | 4.37 ± 1.07 | 8.25 ± 3.42 | 1.01 ± 0.34 | 1.53 ± 0.88 | 3.06 ± 1.00 | 2.00 |
| Class     | Compound/Cell Line | $\text{IC}_{50}$, µM HEK293 | PSN-1 | BCPAP | LoVo |
|-----------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------|-------|------|
| PDKi, COXi| 27                 | 0.09 ± 0.02                 | 1.5   | 1.5   | 0.1  |
|           | 28                 | 0.07 ± 0.03                 | 0.9   | 0.5   | 0.2  |
|           | 29                 | 0.11 ± 0.01                 | 1.2   | 1.8   | 2    |
|           | 30                 | 0.13 ± 0.03                 | 0.1   | 1.6   | 0.6  |
|           | 31                 | 0.47 ± 0.08                 | 6.7   | 0.7   | 13.8 |
|           | 32                 | 0.59 ± 0.21                 | 4.5   | 59    | 0.6  |
| Reference | Cisplatin          | 19.62 ± 2.33                |       |       |      |

Platinum drugs exhibit their cytototoxic action through DNA platination; thus, platinum cellular accumulation and DNA platination are key parameters that define the efficiency of platinum drugs. To investigate whether the increased cytotoxicity of prodrugs 27–32 is due to increased platinum uptake and better cisplatin binding to DNA, cellular uptake and DNA platination were evaluated on PSN-1 cells after 24 hours of incubation with 1 µM of each prodrug (27–32) and cisplatin (Table 17). The results indicate that there is no correlation between cellular uptake and cytotoxic activity. The most potent prodrug, 27, showed the lowest level of platinum in PSN-1 cells, while prodrugs with similar IC$_{50}$ values (28 and 31) showed the highest values of platinum uptake. No correlation between toxicity and nuclear DNA platination was observed either. Prodrug 30 showed the highest platination level; however, it is the least active prodrug in the series [50].

| Class     | Compound | Cellular Uptake, ng Pt/10⁶ Cells | DNA platination, ng Pt/µg DNA | HDAC Inhibition, % | COX-2 Inhibition, % | Cells with Depleted Mitochondrial Potential, % |
|-----------|----------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| PDKi, COXi| 27       | 5                               | 0.3                         | <1                | 9                  | 15                                           |
|           | 28       | 20                              | 1.5                         | <1                | 9                  | 28                                           |
|           | 29       | 13                              | 0.8                         | 8                 | 6.1                | 39                                           |
|           | 30       | 6                               | 2.3                         | 9                 | 7.9                | 29                                           |
|           | 31       | 17                              | 0.75                        | 7.5               | 11.2               | 32                                           |
|           | 32       | 7.5                             | 0.2                         | 8                 | 4.1                | 25                                           |
| Cisplatin | 3        | 1.2                             | 1                           | 3                 | 3                  |                                               |

The activity of prodrugs 27–32 to inhibit HDAC was evaluated in PSN-1 cells (Table 16). Prodrugs 29–32 with PhB and Val in the axial positions showed high inhibitory activities towards HDAC; however, no correlation between the inhibition of HDAC and toxicity was observed.

The COX-2 inhibition ability of prodrugs 27–32 on the PSN-1 cell line was studied as well. Surprisingly, ibuprofen-containing prodrugs 28, 30, and 31 showed high inhibitory activity, contrary to the results in previous reports (Section 2.1) with the ibuprofen–platinum(IV) prodrugs 3 and 5. Furthermore, prodrug 31 turned out to be the most potent COX inhibitor in the series (11% inhibition), while aspirin-containing prodrug 32 was the least active [50].

Dichloroacetate is reported to cause imbalances in redox homeostasis, leading to reactive oxygen species (ROS) production and damage to mitochondria [62]. Thus, the activity of the dichloroacetate moiety in prodrugs 27 and 28 was assessed by measuring intracellular ROS level and changes in mitochondrial membrane potential. Val-containing prodrugs 31 and 32 caused significant increases in intracellular ROS level in PSN-1 cells despite the absence of a dichloroacetate (DCA) ligand, while PSN-1 cells incubated with...
the DCA-containing prodrugs 27 and 28 demonstrated a lower level of ROS in PSN-1 cells (Table 16). An increase in intracellular ROS level leads to hypopolarization of the mitochondrial membrane [63]. Thus, a change in the membrane mitochondrial potential was evaluated in PSN-1 cells incubated with prodrugs 27–32. All triple action prodrugs showed a higher mitochondrial membrane depolarization ability than cisplatin, with prodrugs 29 and 31 causing the highest hypopolarization (25–40%) (Table 16). Interestingly, complexes 29–32 with no mitochondria-active moieties showed significant mitochondrial depolarization activity as well.

Thus, no simple correlation between a certain toxicity mechanism and the biological activity of triple action prodrugs 27–32 was revealed. More likely, a complex synergistic effect based on specific cellular interactions of each of the components is taking place [50].

3.3. Aspirin/Estramustine

A large class of potent anticancer drugs exhibit their antiproliferative action by disrupting mitotic spindle assembly [64]. Paclitaxel and docetaxel act as microtubule-targeting agents (MTAs), inhibiting tubulin activity which leads to the disruption of intracellular transport and inhibition of cell proliferation [65]. A number platinum(IV) prodrugs with MTAs have been already described [66,67]. Recently, Karmakar et al. [51] have reported on a series of platinum(IV) prodrugs with estramustine, an FDA-approved MTA for the palliative treatment of metastatic prostate carcinoma. The designed series consist of unsymmetrical prodrugs with estramustine and various other inhibitors, such as HDAC inhibitors, PDK inhibitors, and COX inhibitors, in axial positions. For the scope of this review, only aspirin-containing Pt(IV) prodrug 33 is considered (Figure 14).

![Cisplatin-based platinum(IV) prodrugs 33a, 33, and 34 with an estramustine moiety in the axial position. Prodrug 33 contains an aspirin moiety in the axial position.](image-url)
Prodrug 33 was synthesized via several steps. First, estramustine was reacted with succinic anhydride to obtain estramustine succinate, which was then activated by N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS). The estramustine-cisplatin conjugate 33a was obtained by reacting oxoplatin with the NHS-ester of estramustine succinate. Prodrug 33 was synthesized by the carboxylation of the 33a by aspirin anhydride. Prodrug 33 was found to be stable in phosphate buffer with a half-life of several days. The analysis of prodrug 33 reduction in phosphate buffer by 10 equivalents of ascorbic acid determined that half of the complex is reduced in 4.2 hours. [51].

The cytotoxicity of 33 was assessed by MTT assay, with cisplatin, free estramustine, and prodrug 33a as controls (Table 18). While cisplatin and the free ligand showed IC₅₀ values in the range of 6–26 µM, for prodrug 33a, IC₅₀ values were in the sub-micromolar range (0.19–0.9 µM). The triple action drug 33 showed much more toxic on all cell lines compared to cisplatin and prodrug 33a, with toxicities ranging from 0.09 to 0.45 µM. The IC₅₀ values were also determined for the non-cancerous cell line MCR-5 pd30 to assess the selectivity of the prodrug. The selectivity index was determined against LNCaP and DU145 prostate cancer cells. Prodrug 33 showed high selectivity towards malignant cells. The selectivity index of prodrug 33 was more than 18, while for control compounds (cisplatin and free estramustine), it did not surpass 3 (although for 33a, the SI was close to that of 33 (17.7 and 18.3, respectively)) [51].

Table 18. Antiproliferative activity of prodrugs 33a, 33 and 34 on LNCaP (prostate cancer), DU145 (prostate cancer), MDA-MB-231 (triple negative breast adenocarcinoma), MCF-7 (breast cancer), and HCT-116 (colon cancer) cell lines. MCR-5 pd30 (fetal lung normal cell line) was used as a reference. Selectivity index (SI) IC₅₀ MCR-5 pd30/average IC₅₀ (LNCaP and DU145).

| Compound/Cell Line | LNCaP IC₅₀, µM | DU145 IC₅₀, µM | MDA-MB-231 IC₅₀, µM | MCF-7 IC₅₀, µM | HCT116 IC₅₀, µM | MCR-5 pd30 IC₅₀, µM | SI |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|----|
| Estramustine       | 0.09 ± 0.02    | 0.15 ± 0.03    | 0.18 ± 0.01         | 0.45 ± 0.06    | 0.32 ± 0.08    | 2.2 ± 0.3           | 18.3 |
| Cisplatin          | 0.24 ± 0.06    | 0.19 ± 0.04    | 0.54 ± 0.06         | 0.7 ± 0.1      | 0.9 ± 0.1      | 3.8 ± 0.6           | 17.7 |
| 33                 | 0.26 ± 0.03    | 0.27 ± 0.01    | 0.6 ± 0.1           | 0.8 ± 0.1      | 1.0 ± 0.2      | 3.6 ± 0.2           | 13.6 |
| 33a                | 6 ± 1          | 11 ± 1         | 5.5 ± 0.9           | 12.6 ± 0.9     | 26 ± 2         | 25 ± 3              | 2.9  |
| 34                 | 2.4 ± 0.2      | 21 ± 3         | 14.8 ± 0.9          | 9 ± 1          | 2.4 ± 0.2      | 9 ± 2               | 2.6  |

The intracellular accumulation of prodrug 33 in LNCaP cells was evaluated by ICP-MS assay. Compared to cisplatin, intracellular platinum level for prodrug 33 was 46-fold higher after 6 hours of incubation with 10 µM of the compound (Table 19).

Table 19. Cellular uptake in LNCaP cells preincubated with 10 µM of prodrugs 33, 34 and cisplatin for 6 hours and their corresponding logP values.

| Compound | Cellular Uptake, ng Pt/10⁶ Cells | LogP     |
|----------|---------------------------------|----------|
| 33       | 172 ± 37                        | 0.18 ± 0.04 |
| 34       | 139 ± 16                        | -0.01 ± 0.08 |
| Cisplatin| 3.7 ± 0.8                       | -2.3 ± 0.4 |

LogP values of cisplatin and 33 were determined by the shake-flask method. When the lipophilicities of other prodrugs in the series had been considered, a clear correlation between lipophilicity and platinum uptake was observed. Based on these results, the authors assumed that the prodrugs were able to enter the cells intact without being reduced in the extracellular medium [51].

The study of the cell cycle arrest caused by prodrug 33 in LNCaP cells was utilized to determine whether both estramustine and cisplatin contribute to the mechanism of action of prodrug 33. Estramustine was found to stop the cell cycle mainly in the G2/M phase due to its antitubulin activity, while cisplatin mainly arrested the cell cycle in the S phase.
(and partly in the G1 phase). For prodrug 33, the cell cycle was arrested mainly in the G1 phase, with a significant share of the S and G2/M phases. Thus, the effect of both bioactive moieties on cell cycle arrest was clearly shown [51].

Autophagy is a process used by cells to degrade damaged or unneeded organelles. NSAIDs, notably aspirin, are capable of inducing autophagy in HCT116 human colon cancer cells [68]. Thus, the ability of prodrug 33 to induce autophagy was investigated by Western blot analysis of the protein extracts from LNCaP cells. Prodrug 33 showed an ability to induce autophagy in LNCaP cells in a concentration-dependent manner, which was seen from the expression levels of p62, which was efficiently degraded by autophagy. On the other hand, the estramustine–cisplatin prodrug 34, with acetyl as the second axial ligand, did not induce any changes in p62 expression.

Thus, a highly potent triple action platinum(IV) prodrug 33 with estramustine and aspirin as axial ligands was obtained. The prodrug 33 was found to be up to 110-fold more cytotoxic than cisplatin and up to 80-fold more cytotoxic than estramustine, suggesting a synergetic effect. At the same time, the prodrug showed high selectivity toward prostate cancer, as it was 18-fold less toxic on MRC-5 pd30 normal cells than on LNCaP and DU145 on average. This selectivity was not observed for either estramustine or cisplatin. A study on the mechanism of action of prodrug 33 determined that it acts as a multiple-action prodrug, with all three ligands affecting multiple targets in cancer cells: both cisplatin and estramustine moieties act as antiproliferative agents, while aspirin acts as an autophagy-inducing agent [51].

4. Conclusions

Platinum(IV) prodrugs are one of the most promising classes of novel platinum anticancer agents. Octahedral Pt(IV) complexes are considered to be kinetically inert, while the axial position can be easily modified. Thus, Pt(IV) prodrugs can be finely tuned, which facilitates the development of antitumor agents with the desired mode of action. In this review, platinum(IV) prodrugs with NSAIDs in the axial position are considered.

The design of platinum(IV) prodrugs with FDA-approved agents in the axial position is a promising approach due to the well-known pharmacological profile and biocompatibility of anti-inflammatory agents. The conjugation of hydrophilic cisplatin with lipophilic NSAIDs results in a high-lipophilic Pt(IV) prodrug capable of effective cellular penetration and ideally, of slow release of the NSAID and the cytotoxic agent intracellularly. A significant increase in intracellular penetration of Pt(IV) prodrugs compared to the initial Pt(II) drugs expectedly leads to impressive increases in antiproliferative activity; most of the prodrugs considered in this review demonstrated IC_{50} values more than 10-fold lower than cisplatin, with prodrugs 3, 21, and 27–32 being from 180- to 300-fold more potent than cisplatin. LogP values obtained for several prodrugs demonstrate a clear correlation between intracellular accumulation, lipophilicity, and antiproliferative activity; thus, lipophilicity seems to be a key factor that determines the efficiency of Pt(IV) prodrugs. The trend between lipophilicity and antiproliferative activity, as demonstrated by Ravera et al. for prodrugs 8 and 9, as well as by Song et al. for prodrugs 22–24 and by Karmakar et al. for prodrug 33, support this assumption.

COX inhibition activity has little to no influence on cytotoxicity; the extremely potent prodrug 3 shows no inhibition activity on either COX isoform, and no correlation between COX expression and cytotoxicity is observed for prodrugs 8 and 9. However, several Pt(IV) prodrugs considered in this review, such as 1, 2, 21 and 31, act as potent anti-inflammatory agents and can probably reduce the inflammation-induced side effects of platinum chemotherapy; i.e., act as true double-acting drugs.

The step-by-step carboxylation of oxoplatin with different bioactive moieties opens the way for the design of multi-action platinum (IV) prodrugs. Several triple action Pt(IV) prodrugs with NSAIDs and other bioactive moieties in the axial position are considered in this review. Biochemical assays for prodrugs 26–33 confirmed that each prodrug acts as a triple action compound, possessing biological properties of each of its moieties. In addition,
the resulting antiproliferative activity of such prodrugs was up to 300-fold more than the antiproliferative activity of cisplatin. Contrary to dual action prodrugs with NSAIDs 1–24, no simple correlation between cytotoxicity and one single parameter was observed for triple action prodrugs 27–32. More likely, a complex synergetic effect of all moieties acting simultaneously is taking place. The design of triple-action Pt(IV) prodrugs is a new, promising approach which opens up new possibilities for the use of Pt(IV) prodrugs as chemotherapeutic agents; the lack of data on the therapeutic efficacy of such derivatives in vivo is disappointing.

The results of the in vivo antitumor efficiency of Pt(IV) prodrugs with NSAIDs as axial ligands published to date (namely 17, 21 and 22) do not allow us to derive exact conclusions. Prodrugs 17 and 22 showed tumor growth inhibition values close to cisplatin on cisplatin-sensitive CT-26 and MCF-7 tumor models, respectively, while prodrug 21 was 10-fold more active in vivo than cisplatin in a cisplatin-resistant MDA-MB-231 tumor model. However, a common trend was observed for all three prodrugs (17, 21 and 22) tested in vivo; the body weight of mice treated with the prodrugs decreased little to none, while the conventional platinum(II) drugs cisplatin and oxaliplatin caused significant body weight loss. Thus, although the general therapeutic efficiency of NSAID-containing platinum(IV) prodrugs is still unclear, it is undoubtful that platinum(IV) prodrugs reduce the side effects and general toxicity of platinum chemotherapy.
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**Abbreviations**

| Acronym | Description |
|---------|-------------|
| NSAID   | Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug |
| FDA     | Food and Drug Administration |
| CML     | Chronic myelogenous leukemia |
| COX     | Cyclooxygenase |
| EMT     | Epithelial–mesenchymal transition |
| MMP     | Matrix metalloproteinase |
| IC50    | Half-maximal inhibitory concentrations |
| 5′-GMP  | 2′-deoxyguanosine 5′-monophosphate sodium salt hydrate |
| CDDP    | cis-Diaminedichloroplatinum |
| TNF-α   | Tumor necrosis factor-α |
| NMR     | Nuclear magnetic resonance |
| MTT     | 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide |
| CV      | Cyclic voltammetry |
| RP-HPLC | Reverse phase–high performance liquid chromatography |
| AR      | Accumulation ratio |
| RT-Qpcr | Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction |
| NHS     | N-hydroxysucinimide |
| SI      | Selectivity index |
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