Adhesive capsulitis: MRI correlation with clinical stages and proposal of MRI staging
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Abstract
Objective: The purpose of this study was to correlate the magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) findings of adhesive capsulitis with clinical stages and thereby propose a MR staging system. Materials and Methods: This study consisted of 74 patients with clinically diagnosed adhesive capsulitis. The edema of the inferior glenohumeral ligament (IGHL), pericapsular edema, thickness of anterior band of IGHL, axillary pouch, thickness of coracohumeral ligament, and obliteration of fat in the subcoracoid triangle were evaluated by MRI. Results: Thickening of the anterior band of IGHL showed most significant correlation with the clinical stages. The distribution of edema of IGHL and pericapsular edema also showed significant correlation with the clinical stages of adhesive capsulitis. Pericapsular edema and IGHL edema was not observed in stage IV. Conclusion: MR is a useful tool for evaluation and prediction of clinical stage of adhesive capsulitis.
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Introduction
Adhesive capsulitis, also called frozen shoulder,[1,2] is a clinical syndrome of pain and severely decreased joint motion caused by thickening and contraction of the joint capsule and synovium.[2,3] The risk factors are female sex, patients aged >40 years, trauma, diabetes, prolonged immobilization, thyroid disease, stroke, myocardial infarction, and autoimmune disease.

The diagnosis is by clinical criteria.[4] There are four clinical stages of adhesive capsulitis.[5] The clinical manifestations of adhesive capsulitis usually resemble other shoulder pathologies which makes the clinical diagnosis of the condition difficult. So, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) can serve as an adjunct to the clinical diagnosis.

To our knowledge, studies about MRI staging of adhesive capsulitis have not yet been published in the literature. MRI can be used to diagnose all the stages even when the clinical findings are subtle like in stage I, so that appropriate treatment can be initiated. The treatment protocol is dependent on the clinical staging, but since the clinical manifestations overlap various other shoulder pathologies, MR aids in accurate and early diagnosis and thereby shortens the clinical course. The purpose of this study was to correlate the MR findings with the clinical stages of adhesive capsulitis.
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stages of adhesive capsulitis and propose a staging system.

**Materials and Methods**

This was a single institutional prospective analysis of patients with clinically diagnosed adhesive capsulitis. All patients who were clinically diagnosed as adhesive capsulitis between August 2013 to May 2017 and underwent MRI either for diagnosis or for follow up were included. Patients with symptoms of unilateral adhesive capsulitis referred from the orthopedics department of our institution were included in this study. We had a total of 74 patients, of which 43 were males and 31 were females. The age group ranged from 37 to 75 years with mean age of 53 years.

**Inclusion criteria**

Patients with presumptive clinical diagnosis of adhesive capsulitis with detailed clinical information and history which permitted clinical staging of adhesive capsulitis and patients with normal range of motion (ROM) in the opposite shoulder were included.

**Exclusion criteria**

Patients who have received treatment for adhesive capsulitis, patients with other shoulder pathologies, rotator cuff injuries, patients with active infection, patients with history of trauma, and patients with a history of surgery related to onset of adhesive capsulitis were excluded from the study.

**Clinical assessment**

The patients were assessed in the orthopedics department of our institution based on the duration of the symptoms, active and passive movements of the shoulder, movements associated with pain, restriction of range of movements, and clinical stage was given to each patient based on the clinical staging system adapted from Hannafin and Chiaia[5] [Table 1].

**MRI protocol**

MRI examinations were done in 1.5 T system (Siemens, MAGNETOM AERA, Germany). The sequences obtained were proton density (PD) fat suppressed: coronal oblique, sagittal, and axial. Fast spin echo T1-weighted sagittal images.

The parameters used were: coronal fat-suppressed PD imaging – TR-2710, TE-37; slice thickness – 3.5 mm; FOV-17 cm, axial fat-suppressed PD imaging – TR-3080, TE-37; slice thickness – 3.5 mm; FOV-17 cm, sagittal fat-suppressed PD imaging – TR/TE, 2710–37; slice thickness – 3.5 mm; FOV-17 cm, sagittal T1-weighted imaging – TR-708, TE-11; slice thickness – 3.5 mm; FOV-16 cm.

The MRI examinations were read by two experienced radiologists who were blinded to the clinical stages of the patients. Edema of the inferior glenohumeral ligament (IGHL) in the humeral and glenoid portions of the axillary pouch, anterior and posterior pericapsular edema, fluid around the biceps tendon, bone marrow edema, obliteration of the fat in the subcoracoid triangle, thickness of the humeral and glenoid portions of the anterior band of IGHL, thickness of the humeral and glenoid portions of the capsule at the axillary pouch, thickness of the coracohumeral ligament, and height and width of the axillary pouch were evaluated.

Edema of the IGHL was defined as high-signal intensity on coronal fat-suppressed PD images of the humeral and glenoid portions of axillary pouch [Figure 1A]. Edema of the IGHL was categorized as either present/absent/mild. Anterior and posterior pericapsular edema was defined as high-signal intensity on sagittal fat-suppressed PD images [Figure 1B]. Fluid around the biceps tendon was considered significant when the fluid depth was >2 mm on axial fat-suppressed PD images at the level of humeral neck [Figure 1C]. Amount of fluid around the biceps tendon sheath and in the axillary pouch was compared. Obliteration of the subcoracoid fat was defined as low-signal intensity in the triangular area formed by the coracoid process, coracohumeral ligament, and the humeral head seen on sagittal T1-weighted images in comparison to the subcutaneous fat [Figure 1D]. Obliteration of the fat outside this triangle anterior to the coracohumeral ligament was assessed similarly. The maximum thickness of the anterior band of IGHL on the humeral and glenoid aspect was

| Clinical stage | Duration of symptoms (months) | Pain | Range of motion | Pathology |
|----------------|-------------------------------|------|----------------|----------|
| I              | 0-3                           | Pain with active and passive movements | Normal or minimal loss of ROM | Hypertrophic, hypervascular synovitis |
| II             | 3-9                           | Chronic pain with active and passive movements | Significant limitation of forward flexion, abduction, internal rotation, external rotation | Hypertrophic, hypervascular synovitis with fibrosis, scar formation |
| III            | 9-15                          | Minimal pain except at end of ROM | Significant limitation of ROM with rigid end feel | No significant hypertrophy or hypervascularity, Dense scar formation of the capsule |
| IV             | 15-24                         | Minimal pain | Progressive improvement in ROM | |

*Clinical staging is adapted from Hannafin, Chiaia (2000) Adhesive capsulitis: A treatment approach. ROM = range of motion*
measured on axial fat-suppressed PD images [Figure 2A]. The maximal thickness of the humeral and glenoid portions of the IGHL was measured at the mid-axillary pouch at the level of glenohumeral joint on coronal PD fat-suppressed images [Figure 2B]. The maximal height and width of the axillary pouch was measured at the same level on coronal PD fat-suppressed images [Figure 2D]. The maximal thickness of the coracohumeral ligament was measured on sagittal T1-weighted images [Figure 2C].

**Observation and Results**

The collected data were analyzed with the IBM SPSS statistics software 23.0 Version. To describe about the data descriptive statistics, frequency analysis and percentage analysis were used for categorical variables and the mean and standard deviation were used for continuous variables. For the multivariate analysis the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the Tukey’s post-hoc test was used. To find the significance in categorical data the Chi-square test was used. In both the above statistical tools the probability value ($P$) 0.05 is considered as significant level.

Correlations between the MRI findings and the clinical stages are shown in Table 2. Edema on the humeral aspect was present in 100% of the patients in stage I adhesive capsulitis, 88.5% in stage II capsulitis, 5% in stage III capsulitis, and 0% in stage IV. Mild edema was present in 14.3% in stage III and 7.7% in stage IV. The distribution of edema in the different clinical stages was significant ($P$ 0.001). Edema on the glenoid aspect was found in 88.9% in stage I, 69.5% in stage II, 9.5% in stage III, and 0% in stage IV. Mild edema was found in 11.5% in stage II and 9.5% in stage III. The distribution of this finding in the different clinical stages was significant ($P$ 0.001). The incidence of edema was more common in stages I and II which progressively decreases in stages III and IV. The pericapsular edema on both anterior and posterior aspect was found in 44.4% in stage I, 61.5% in stage II, 9.5% in stage III, and 0% in stage IV. The distribution of this finding in the different clinical stages was significant ($P$ 0.001). Highest incidence was found in stages I and II which progressively decreases in stages III and IV.

Effusion around the biceps tendon was found in 100% in stage I, 96.2% in stage II, 81% in stage III, and 61.5% in stage IV. The highest incidence was found in stage I, than in stage II, then decreases in stages III and IV. This distribution was statistically significant ($P$ 0.016). Effusion around the biceps tendon was more than the fluid in the axillary pouch which also showed similar distribution with statistical significance of $P$ 0.016.

![Figure 1(A-D):](image1) Edema of inferior glenohumeral ligament on both the humeral and glenoid aspects (arrows). Anterior pericapsular edema (arrow). Fluid around the biceps tendon (arrow). Obliteration of fat in the subcoracoid triangle (asterisk).

![Figure 2(A-D):](image2) Axial proton density (PD) fat-suppressed image shows the measurement of maximal thickness of the humeral (solid arrow) and glenoid portions (line arrow) of the anterior band of inferior glenohumeral ligament (IGHL). Coronal PD fat-suppressed image shows the measurement of maximal thickness of the humeral (solid arrow) and glenoid portions (open arrow) of the IGHL at the midaxillary pouch at the level of glenohumeral joint. Sagittal T1-weighted image shows obliteration of fat in the subcoracoid triangle (asterisk). Coronal PD fat-suppressed image shows the measurement of maximal height and width of the axillary pouch.
Obliteration of the fat in the subcoracoid triangle was present in 44.4% in stage I, 46.2% in stage II, 90.5% in stage III, and 84.6% in stage IV. This distribution was significant (P = 0.005). Highest incidence was found in stage III than in stage IV. Obliteration of the fat outside the subcoracoid triangle was found in 11.1% in stage I, 15.4% in stage II, 42.9% in stage III, and 69.2% in stage IV. This was significantly more common in stages III and IV (P = 0.010). The obliteration of fat in the subcoracoid triangle showed more statistical significance than the obliteration of fat outside the subcoracoid triangle.

The thickness of the anterior band of the IGHL differed significantly (P = 0.001) between stage I (4.5 ± 0.9 mm), stage II (7.6 ± 1.9 mm), stage III (6.4 ± 1.9 mm), and stage IV (5.2 ± 1.3 mm) on the humeral aspect and stage I (4.5 ± 0.9 mm), stage II (6.7 ± 1.3 mm), stage III (5.4 ± 1.5 mm), and stage IV (4.9 ± 1.2 mm) on the glenoid aspect (P = 0.001). The thickness on the humeral side showed more significant correlation with the clinical stage than on the glenoid side. The thickness of the IGHL at the axillary pouch on the humeral aspect also showed significant (P = 0.001) variation between the stage I (4.1 ± 0.8 mm), stage II (5.2 ± 1.2 mm), stage III (4.4 ± 0.8 mm), and stage IV (4.3 ± 0.9 mm). The thickness of the anterior band on the humeral side showed more significant variation with the clinical stages than the thickness of the axillary pouch. On comparison of the thickness of anterior band on humeral side between the stages [Table 3], there was a significant variation between stages I and II (P = 0.001), stages III and stage IV (P = 0.005).

The age of the patient, thickness of the axillary pouch on the glenoid aspect, height and width of the axillary pouch, and thickness of the coracohumeral ligament did not show any significant variation between the stages.

### Discussion

Adhesive capsulitis is characterized clinically by pain and significant loss of ROM in the shoulder. There are two types: primary adhesive capsulitis and secondary adhesive capsulitis. Primary adhesive capsulitis is an idiopathic condition. It is common in females >40 years of age. Risk factors include thyroid disease and diabetes. Causes for

### Table 2: Correlation between MRI parameters and clinical staging in patients with adhesive capsulitis

| MRI parameter | Stage 1 | Stage 2 | Stage 3 | Stage 4 | P (ANOVA test) |
|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------------|
| Edema of IGHL |         |         |         |         |                |
| Humeral aspect| 9/100   | 23/88.5 | 5/23.8  | 0/0     | 0.001          |
| Glenoid aspect| 8/88.9  | 18/69.2 | 2/9.5   | 0/0     | 0.001          |
| Pericapsular edema |     |         |         |         |                |
| Anterior and posterior | 4/44.4 | 16/61.5 | 2/9.5   | 0/0     | 0.001          |
| Anterior      | 4/44.4  | 7/26.9  | 10/47.6 | 1/7.7   | 0.001          |
| Effusion around the biceps tendon | 9/100  | 25/96.2 | 17/81  | 8/61.5 | 0.016          |
| Fluid around biceps tendon > fluid in axillary pouch | 9/100  | 25/96.2 | 17/81  | 8/61.5 | 0.016          |
| Obliteration of fat in the subcoracoid triangle | 4/44.4 | 12/46.2 | 19/90.5 | 11/85.6 | 0.005          |
| Obliteration of fat outside the subcoracoid triangle | 1/11.1 | 4/15.4 | 9/42.9 | 69.2 | 0.010          |
| Qualitative data |        |         |         |         | P (Chi-square test) |
| Thickness of anterior band of IGHL |         |         |         |         |                |
| Humeral aspect | 4.5±0.91 | 7.6±1.92 | 6.4±1.91 | 5.2±1.30 | 0.001          |
| Glenoid aspect | 4.5±0.98 | 6.7±1.34 | 5.4±1.51 | 4.9±1.22 | 0.001          |
| Thickness of axillary pouch               |         |         |         |         |                |
| Humeral aspect | 4.1±0.82 | 6.1±1.60 | 5.2±1.66 | 4.6±0.94 | 0.001          |
| Glenoid aspect | 4.1±0.81 | 6.2±1.47 | 4.4±0.84 | 4.3±0.99 | 0.031          |
| Height of the axillary pouch | 6.8±2.04 | 6.6±2.19 | 6.4±2.69 | 7.7±2.55 | 0.218          |
| Width of the axillary pouch | 1.6±0.80 | 2.1±0.78 | 2.0±1.17 | 2.7±2.19 | 0.484          |
| Thickness of the coracohumeral ligament | 1.6±0.49 | 2±0.69 | 2.1±0.92 | 1.8±0.84 | 0.405          |

*P<0.05 is considered as significant. IGHL = inferior glenohumeral ligament; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; ANOVA = analysis of variance.

### Table 3: Interstage comparison of significant continuous variables

| MRI parameter | Stage 1 | Stage 2 | Stage 3 | Stage 4 | P | Tukey’s post-hoc test |
|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---|----------------------|
| Thickness of anterior band on humeral aspect | 0.001 | 0.079 | 0.833 | 0.001 | 0.232 | 0.005 | 0.079 | 0.232 | 0.312 | 0.833 | 0.005 | 0.312 |
| Thickness of anterior band on glenoid aspect | 0.002 | 0.421 | 0.913 | 0.002 | 0.035 | 0.006 | 0.421 | 0.035 | 0.783 | 0.913 | 0.006 | 0.783 |
| Thickness of axillary pouch on humeral aspect | 0.003 | 0.221 | 0.857 | 0.003 | 0.165 | 0.016 | 0.221 | 0.165 | 0.618 | 0.857 | 0.016 | 0.618 |
primary adhesive capsulitis include trauma and previous surgeries. The pathophysiological mechanism of adhesive capsulitis is not clear. During the early stages, there is considerable pain caused by hypertrophic hypervascular synovitis with ongoing process of fibrosis. During the later stages, the inflammation decreases and the fibrosis of the joint capsule reaches its peak.

The treatment protocol for adhesive capsulitis depends on the clinical stage. Therefore, accurate diagnosis and identification of the various stages of adhesive capsulitis affects the treatment and ultimately shortens the clinical course.

Many studies have been previously done on correlation between the MR findings and clinical findings of adhesive capsulitis which only compare the MR findings with the clinical findings. In our study, we have aimed to propose an imaging-based staging system.

Gondim Teixeira et al. reported that edema at the axillary recess is a good diagnostic tool for adhesive capsulitis. Park et al. have studied the correlation between MR findings and clinical findings of adhesive capsulitis and reported that anterior extracapsular edema and joint capsule edema in the humeral portion of the axillary recess are related to ROM. However, the anterior band thickness was not considered. In our study, we found that incidence of edema was significantly more common in stages I and II which progressively decreases in stages III and IV.

Sofka et al. reported that joint capsule thickness in the axillary recess correlates with clinical stage and that joint capsule edema in the axillary recess is common in stage 2. In our study, there is a significant correlation between the degree of thickness of the anterior band of IGHL on the humeral aspect and the clinical stage. The normal range was determined to be 2.9 mm or less. The mean range in stage I patients is 4.5 ± 0.9 mm. This increases to 7.6 ± 1.9 mm in stage II, reflecting the hypertrophic synovitis which is most predominant at this stage. It again decreases to 6.4 ± 1.9 mm which corresponds to the decrease in inflammatory process and initiation of the fibrotic process in stage III. In stage IV, it comes down to 5.2 ± 1.3 mm which reflects the capsular fibrosis and scar formation in stage IV. The thickness of the anterior band of IGHL on the glenoid aspect and thickness of IGHL at the axillary pouch showed similar variations with the clinical stages but the thickness of the anterior band of IGHL on the humeral aspect showed most significant variation between the stages I and II and stages III and IV. Effusion around the biceps tendon was more than the fluid in the axillary pouch in all the patients with the highest incidence seen in stages I and II.

Ahn et al. reported that the thickness of the joint capsule in the axillary pouch correlates with pain and ROM in patients with adhesive capsulitis. Lee et al. found no correlation between the capsule thickness and ROM. This may be due to the difference in the measurement methods. In both these studies, only the thickness at the axillary pouch was measured. In our study, thickness of anterior band of the IGHL was measured which showed more significant correlation with the clinical stages than the thickness of the axillary pouch by Ahn et al.

Lee et al. and Ahn et al. reported no association between obliteration of the fat in the subcoracoid triangle and clinical impairment. However, we found that this finding was more common in stages III and IV which is related to the fibrosis in the late stages of capsulitis. We believe that this difference was mainly because in previous studies, signal changes in the subcoracoid fat triangle on PD fat-suppressed images were taken as significant. In our study, obliteration of fat on T1 images was assessed which reflected the true fibrosis. Obliteration of fat outside the subcoracoid triangle was also found more frequently in stages III and IV which relates to the ongoing fibrosis and scar formation.

Li et al. reported that thickened coracohumeral ligament is highly suggestive of adhesive capsulitis. Kerimoglu et al. reported that coracohumeral ligament thickness did not correlate with the restriction of ROM in patients with adhesive capsulitis. In accordance with that, we found that thickness of the coracohumeral ligament did not show any significant variation between the different clinical stages of capsulitis.

In the previous studies, only the thickening of the axillary pouch was described in cases of adhesive capsulitis for assessment of capsule thickness. In our study, the findings which showed significant correlation with clinical staging are:

The thickness of anterior band of IGHL on humeral side which is more in early stages (stage II > stage I) and decreased in late stages (stage III > stage IV). There is a significant difference of thickness between stage I vs. stage II (P 0.001) and stage III vs. stage IV (P 0.005).

Obliteration of fat in the subcoracoid triangle which was observed in stages III and IV. Distribution of edema of the IGHL and anterior and posterior pericapsular edema (more in stages I and II, less in stage III, and nil in stage IV) also significantly correlated with the clinical stages.

Based on the correlation between MR findings and clinical staging, we propose a MR staging of adhesive capsulitis [Table 4]. The thickness of anterior band of IGHL on humeral side in range of 4.5 ± 0.9 mm with no obliteration of fat in the subcoracoid triangle seen in
Table 4: Proposed MR staging of adhesive capsulitis

| Stage | MRI parameters |
|-------|----------------|
| Stage 1 | Thickness of anterior band of IGHL — 4.5 ± 0.9 mm  
Edema of IGHL on humeral and glenoid aspect  
Pericapsular edema on anterior and posterior aspect  
No significant obliteration of fat in the subcoracoid triangle |
| Stage 2 | Thickness of anterior band of IGHL — 7.6 ± 1.9 mm  
Edema of IGHL on humeral and glenoid aspect  
Pericapsular edema on anterior and posterior aspect  
No significant obliteration of fat in the subcoracoid triangle |
| Stage 3 | Thickness of anterior band of IGHL — 6.4 ± 1.9 mm  
Mild edema of IGHL on humeral and glenoid aspect  
Pericapsular edema on anterior and posterior aspect  
Obliteration of fat in the subcoracoid triangle |
| Stage 4 | Thickness of anterior band of IGHL — 5.2 ± 1.3 mm  
No edema of IGHL on humeral and glenoid aspect  
No pericapsular edema on anterior and posterior aspect  
Obliteration of fat in the subcoracoid triangle |

Limitation of our study is that the progression of the disease in all patients could not be followed up due to ongoing treatment.

**Conclusion**

MRI is a useful tool for evaluation and prediction of clinical stage of adhesive capsulitis. We believe that imaging-based grading system can aid in the diagnosis of various stages of the disease even when the clinical manifestations are subtle. This helps in the initiation of appropriate treatment to halt the disease progression, prevent the complications, and avoid invasive treatment procedures.
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