1 Introduction

Health financing is central to the functioning of health systems and the attainment of health-related sustainable development goals, including universal health coverage (UHC). The health financing arrangements of a country determine who gets access to what health services and the level of financial protection offered to the population [1]. Often the financing arrangements are influenced by the historical, social, political and economic development of the country [2, 3]. In general, health financing covers three basic functions of revenue collection, risk pooling and purchasing of health services [4, 5]. Revenue collection deals with raising of funds from different sources (taxes, social security/insurance systems, fees, grants, loans, etc.) to finance the health system [4]. Risk pooling involves combining and managing revenue in such a way that individuals in a pool share collective health risk and, in so doing, protect members of the pool from payment of applicable health expenditures. Purchasing is the transfer of pooled funds to health service providers to provide health services to the population [4, 6]. Regardless of income level, all countries face challenges in financing healthcare. However, these challenges are more acute in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), where the need for healthcare is greatest and resources are most scarce [7].

Global health spending has grown significantly in the last few decades, with total spending rising from $US3.5 trillion in 1995 to $US8.0 trillion in 2016 [8]. This represents about 4% annual growth over the past two decades. Significant disparities exist, with per capita health spending in LMICs lagging far behind that of high-income countries (HICs). For example, health spending per capita in low-income countries (LICs) averaged about $US110 in 2015 compared with $US555 in HICs [8]. The disparities between countries are more profound for government health spending than for other spending sources. On average, less than 30% of total health expenditure in LICs comes from government sources compared with nearly 80% in HICs [9]. Government health spending in countries such as Myanmar, Nepal and Haiti accounted for less than 20% of total health expenditure in 2015 [8]. As a consequence of low government health spending, many LMICs rely heavily on out-of-pocket (OOP) payments, which prevent millions of people from accessing health services and push millions into poverty [10].

Besides the need to increase the resource envelope for health services, efficient allocation and use of available resources are paramount to expanding access to quality health services. However, many LMICs are unable to allocate and/or use resources efficiently to maximise health gains [7, 11]. One key source of inefficiency is the significant proportion of health budget allocated to hospital services at the expense of primary healthcare, which is fundamental to improving population health outcomes [12]. This skewed allocation of resources in favour of hospitals exacerbates inequalities in health as hospitals in LMICs are traditionally urban based and serve the more affluent segments of the population more than the poorer ones [12].

2 Health Financing in Sub-Saharan Africa

The need for a robust health financing system is crucial in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), a region that accounts for a disproportionate share of the global disease burden [13] but allocates the least amount of resources to healthcare [10].
Health spending per capita in Africa averaged $US80 in 2016 compared with $US4003 in Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development countries [8, 14]. Generally, health financing systems in SSA are characterised by low government spending, under-developed insurance schemes, high OOP payments, and high dependence on external (donor) funding [15, 16].

Domestic government health spending as a proportion of gross domestic product in the World Health Organization Africa region averaged 1.9% in 2017 compared with the global average of 3.3% [17]. In recognition of the centrality of healthcare to economic development, African governments in 2001 committed to the target set in the Abuja Declaration to devote at least 15% of their annual national budget to the health sector [18]. Nearly 20 years on, only a few countries in the region have reached this target. Average government health spending as a proportion of general government spending hovers around 7.2%, less than half of the target set in Abuja [19]. With low government spending, OOP payments by households have become a prominent source of finance for health systems in the region. In countries such as Cameroon, Equatorial Guinea, Nigeria and Sudan, OOP health spending exceeded 70% of current health expenditure (CHE) in 2017 [20]. In general, OOP health spending averages around 36% of CHE in SSA, the second highest in the world behind South Asia [21]. Donor funding has traditionally played a key role in health financing in SSA, but its influence is waning. Donor funding presently accounts for less than 20% of CHE in many SSA countries [8]. However, few countries in the region still rely heavily on donor funding to finance their health sector. In Malawi and Mozambique, for example, donor funding accounts for more than 60% of CHE [8]. The bulk of donor funding is allocated to specific health programmes in the fields of HIV/AIDS, malaria, tuberculosis, and—more recently—maternal and child health [22].

### 3 Content of this Special Issue

In recognition of the numerous health financing challenges facing countries in SSA, the African Economic Research Consortium (AERC) commissioned research to provide rigorous evaluative frameworks to assess different aspects of health financing on the continent. This special issue contains eight papers that emerged from the AERC research, covering different issues. These papers examine health financing in SSA from three different perspectives. The first three papers [23–25] propose frameworks for analysing health financing equity and sustainability. Ataguba et al. [23] advance a framework for assessing the impact of health financing on changes in income inequalities (i.e. the redistributive effect) between and within population groups. This framework extends the current approaches to decomposing the redistributive effect of health financing by shifting the emphasis from income inequalities in the entire population to inequalities between and within population groups. They use data from Nigeria to illustrate how the framework can be applied. They report that OOP payments for health services that contribute to increasing income inequalities, especially within the geopolitical zones in Nigeria, should be minimised.

Asante et al. [24] focus on the evaluation of health financing equity using benefit incidence analysis (BIA) and propose a framework for accounting for quality of care, an aspect yet to be explored in the literature. They argue that the current BIA approach fails to account for variations in the quality of health services and could potentially lead to under/over-estimation of healthcare benefits (the amount of public subsidy captured by individuals through their use of health services) received by different socioeconomic groups. They use data from Cambodia to illustrate how quality scores may be generated and used to conduct BIA that accounts for the quality of healthcare. They note that incorporating quality scores into BIA assessment substantially affects the amount of benefit obtained by different socioeconomic groups. The last framework paper deals with analysing the financial sustainability of user fee removal policies. Mathonnat et al. [25] present a methodological framework for a rapid first assessment of the financial sustainability of user fee removal policies. Although the authors use data from Burkina Faso to demonstrate the applicability of the framework under different scenarios, they note its universal applicability.

The next batch of papers (three in total) undertakes empirical analyses of specific health financing issues in SSA. Ssewanyana and Kasiirye [26] estimate catastrophic health expenditures from household surveys in five countries: Ethiopia, Malawi, Nigeria, Tanzania and Uganda. They note that the burden of catastrophic health expenditures remains substantial in SSA and call for renewed attention to the expansion of public revenues to finance health expenditures. Atim et al. [27] analyse domestic financing for reproductive, maternal, neonatal and child health (RMNCH), highlighting the potential gains from increased domestic financing and the fiscal space available for financing RMNCH in SSA. Their results point to strong gains from domestic public health financing for RMNCH. They also find potential fiscal space for RMNCH from improving public financial management, particularly the efficiency and effectiveness of tax collection. Jacobs et al. [28] explore the role of performance-based financing (PBF) within health financing policies with specific reference to user fees or exemption policies, basic packages of health services and benefit packages in three fragile and conflict-affected settings—Central African Republic, the South Kivu province in eastern Democratic Republic of Congo, and Adamawa State in northern Nigeria. They report that, where national leadership is stronger, PBF
is better integrated and more in line with the health financing regulations and can provide structure and organisation to the system in times of acute crisis.

The final two papers review the health financing literature to highlight critical issues relating to strategic purchasing and health insurance coverage. Honda and Obse [29] examine private healthcare purchasing under publicly financed health systems in LMICs. They argue that the payment methods and rates applied to private and public health providers need careful attention to ensure equity, efficiency and quality in healthcare provision. They highlight *inter alia* the need for transparent mechanisms to establish payment rates and for a sound legal framework to ensure that payment arrangements assist public purchasers to strategically purchase private healthcare under the public system. Degroote et al. [30] conduct a scoping review of the methods used to evaluate the impact of health insurance. They observe that the evidence for the impact of health insurance in SSA is derived primarily from observational studies that cannot discern causal relationships but only highlight an association between the outcome of interest and insurance exposure. They note, however, that the number of experimental and quasi-experimental studies is increasing, and this could substantially expand and improve the evidence base on the impacts of health insurance on the continent.

## 4 Concluding Remarks

Together, these papers highlight the diverse health financing challenges confronting SSA countries and the opportunities to address some of them using new methodological frameworks. High OOP payments, low government health spending, and lack of policy clarity around purchasing of healthcare from private providers by public purchasers are among the challenges identified in the papers in this special issue. The persistently high OOP expenditures in SSA raise concerns about the prospect of achieving the goal of UHC in the region, especially given that it is primarily the poor who make these catastrophic payments [26]. It highlights the extent of financial protection across the continent and the need to do more to protect the most vulnerable. Closely related to the high OOP payments is the issue of low government health spending. Most of the papers in this issue note the need to expand public expenditure on healthcare in SSA. This is becoming more critical as donor funding for health is set to fall considering the slowdown in the global economy fuelled by the COVID-19 pandemic [31], among other things. Finally, countries in SSA that have introduced health insurance to make healthcare more accessible to their populations face several design challenges. Some of these insurance systems lack a sound legal framework that ensures payment arrangements assist public purchasers to strategically purchase services from private providers [29]. With budgets stretched in many countries in SSA, such a legal framework will ensure that appropriate laws are enacted to hold actors in the insurance sector accountable. This will reduce financial malpractice and help maximise available resources. Opportunities exist to tackle some of the challenges highlighted in the papers contained in this special issue, especially those related to equity and sustainability of health financing in SSA. The frameworks proposed in the first three papers [23–25], for instance, will provide an avenue to scrutinise health financing systems in Africa, and we look forward to future empirical applications of these frameworks as we move towards ensuring UHC in SSA.
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