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Abstract
The Dolbeault complex of a quantized compact Hermitian symmetric space is expressed in terms of the Koszul complex of a braided symmetric algebra of Berenstein and Zwicknagl. This defines a spectral triple quantizing the Dolbeault–Dirac operator associated to the canonical spin$^c$ structure.

1. Introduction
The aim of this paper is to connect the noncommutative geometry of the quantized compact Hermitian symmetric spaces (see, for example, [18–20, 31, 32, 42, 44, 46, 51]) and the theory of the braided symmetric and exterior algebras of Berenstein and Zwicknagl [6, 56].

Recall that the compact homogeneous Kähler manifolds are the quotients $G/P$ of a complex semisimple Lie group $G$ by a parabolic subgroup $P$ (see [4, Section 6.4] or [31]) and that the compact Hermitian symmetric spaces form a subclass; see Section 7.1 and the references therein.

The theory of quantum groups leads to a quantization of these that is described by a right coideal subalgebra $A$ of the quantized function algebra $C_q[G]$ [23, 45]. Furthermore, Connes’ spectral triples [16, 17] provide a framework for quantizing the Kähler metric. Concretely, this requires one to deform, in addition to the algebra of functions, the spinor fields with respect to the canonical spin$^c$ structure as well as the Dolbeault–Dirac operator $\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*$ acting on them.

Generalizing the pioneering work of [18] on $\mathbb{CP}^1$, the existence of such a spectral triple was established in [42], and it was shown that this provides a representation of the covariant differential calculus over $A$ (in the sense of Woronowicz) defined and studied by Heckenberger and Kolb in [31, 32].

However, the implicit nature of the construction of $\bar{\partial}$ in [42] prevented both a computation of the spectrum of $D$ and a rigorous proof that it defines a nontrivial equivariant K-homology class over $A$. In the classical case, Parthasarathy’s formula allows one to compute the spectral properties of $D$ [47]; see also [1]. The present paper is a first step toward a quantum analog of this formula.

More precisely, we construct in Definition 5.4 an element

$$D = \bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^* \in U_q(g) \otimes Cl_q$$
that we refer to as the Dolbeault–Dirac operator. This terminology is analogous to Kostant’s use of the term ‘Dirac operator’ in [41]: $D$ is an algebraic object that induces the corresponding elliptic first-order differential operator in the classical setting. Here $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is the compact real form of the quantized universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra of $G$ and $\text{Cl}_q$ is a quantized Clifford algebra. The main result of our paper is to prove that the element $\bar{\theta}$ squares to zero so that one has the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** The Dolbeault–Dirac operator satisfies $D^2 = \partial \bar{\theta}^* + \bar{\theta}^* \bar{\theta}$.

The connection to the work of Berenstein and Zwicknagl arises in the construction of $\text{Cl}_q$. Classically, the typical fiber of the canonical spin$^c$ structure on $G/P$ is the exterior algebra $\Lambda(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p})$, so the classical (complex) Clifford algebra can be identified with $\text{End}_\mathbb{C}(\Lambda(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}))$; see Section 5.1. In Section 5.2, we construct the quantized Clifford algebra $\text{Cl}_q$ analogously, using creation and annihilation operators on braided exterior algebras. A general theory of these algebras was developed in [6]. In [56], Zwicknagl classified the simple representations of semisimple Lie algebras whose associated braided symmetric (and hence exterior) algebras are flat deformations of the classical ones. These are precisely the braided exterior algebras $\Lambda_q(u_-)$ that we consider below.

Furthermore, the quadratic dual of $\Lambda_q(u_-)$ is the braided symmetric algebra $S_q(u_+)$ of the nilradical of $\mathfrak{p}$ (recall that $G/P$ is a symmetric space if and only if $u_+$ is an abelian Lie algebra, so that $S(u_+) = U(u_+)$; see Proposition 2.1). Zwicknagl [56, Section 5] has embedded these into $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ as what he calls the twisted quantum Schubert cells. In this way, $\bar{\theta}$ becomes identified with the differential in the Koszul complex of the Koszul algebra $S_q(u_+)$, and this leads to Theorem 1.1.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 contains background material and notation on semisimple Lie algebras, parabolic Lie subalgebras, their quantizations and representations. All this material is standard knowledge, except maybe the discussion of the coboundary structure on the category of Type 1 representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ in Section 2.7. Section 3 recalls the relevant parts of the work of Berenstein and Zwicknagl. The subsequent Section 4 is devoted to a proof that the quantum exterior algebras are Frobenius, as this is used in the construction of the quantized Clifford algebra and the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Section 5. In Section 6, we present some details of these constructions in the case when the homogeneous space in question is the Grassmann manifold $\text{Gr}(2, 4)$. Section 7 explains the geometric background as well as the connection of this paper with the results of [42].

2. **Notation and preliminaries**

Here we set notation and recall the facts, we will need about quantum enveloping algebras and their attendant infrastructure. We follow the conventions of [34] for the most part.

2.1. **Simple Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$**

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional complex simple Lie algebra with a fixed Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ and corresponding root system $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$. Let $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g})$ be a choice of positive roots and denote $\Delta^-(\mathfrak{g}) = -\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}) = \Delta(\mathfrak{g}) \setminus \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r\}$ be the corresponding set of simple roots, $\mathcal{B}$ be the integral root lattice, and $\mathcal{B}^+ \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ be the cone of nonnegative linear combinations of simple roots. The Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}$ is nondegenerate on $\mathfrak{h}$ and thus induces a symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathfrak{h}^*$. The Cartan matrix of $\mathfrak{g}$ is $(a_{ij})$, where

$$ (\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = d_i a_{ij} \quad \text{with} \quad d_i = \frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}{2}. $$
Let \( \{ \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_r \} \) be the corresponding set of fundamental weights, determined by the conditions \((\alpha_i, \omega_j) = \delta_{ij}d_i\). Then we denote by \( \mathcal{P} \) the integral weight lattice, and by \( \mathcal{P}^+ \subseteq \mathcal{P} \) the cone of dominant integral weights.

There is a natural partial order on \( \mathcal{P} \) given by \( \mu \leq \nu \) if \( \nu - \mu \in \mathcal{Q}^+ \). We write \( \mu \prec \nu \) if \( \mu \preceq \nu \) and \( \mu \neq \nu \).

2.2. Parabolic subalgebras \( \mathfrak{p} \)

Given a subset \( \mathcal{S} \subseteq \Pi \) of simple roots, define two sets of roots by
\[
\Delta(l) := \text{span}(\mathcal{S}) \cap \Delta(g), \quad \Delta(u_+) := \Delta^+(g) \setminus \Delta(l),
\]
and set
\[
\mathfrak{l} := \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta(l)} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \quad \mathfrak{u}_\pm := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta(u_\pm)} \mathfrak{g}_{\pm\alpha}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{p} := \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_+.
\]

Then \( \mathfrak{l} \) and \( \mathfrak{u}_+ \) are Lie subalgebras of \( g \), and we have \( [\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{u}_+] \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_+ \). One calls \( \mathfrak{p} \) the standard parabolic subalgebra associated to \( \mathcal{S} \). The subalgebra \( \mathfrak{l} \) is reductive, and is called the Levi factor of \( \mathfrak{p} \), while \( \mathfrak{u}_+ \) is a nilpotent ideal of \( \mathfrak{p} \), called the nilradical. We refer to the roots in \( \Delta(u_+) \) as the radical roots. We also denote the semisimple part of \( \mathfrak{l} \) by \( \mathfrak{k} := [\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}] \) and put \( \mathfrak{u} := \mathfrak{u}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{u}_- \).

The adjoint action of \( \mathfrak{p} \) on \( g \) descends to an action on \( g/\mathfrak{p} \), and the decomposition \( g = \mathfrak{u}_- \oplus \mathfrak{p} \) is a splitting as \( \mathfrak{l} \)-modules, so that \( g/\mathfrak{p} \cong \mathfrak{u}_- \) as \( \mathfrak{l} \)-modules. With respect to the Killing form of \( g \), both \( \mathfrak{u}_+ \) and \( \mathfrak{u}_- \) are isotropic, and we have \( \mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{u}_- \). Furthermore, the pairing \( \mathfrak{u}_+ \times \mathfrak{u}_- \to \mathbb{C} \) coming from the Killing form is nondegenerate, and hence \( \mathfrak{u}_- \) and \( \mathfrak{u}_+ \) are mutually dual as \( \mathfrak{l} \)-modules.

2.3. The cominuscule case

Throughout this paper, we will only deal with a special type of parabolic subalgebra, which is characterized by the next result.

PROPOSITION 2.1. For a standard parabolic subalgebra \( \mathfrak{p} \) of a complex simple Lie algebra \( g \), the following conditions are equivalent:

(a) \( g/\mathfrak{p} \) is a simple \( \mathfrak{p} \)-module;
(b) \( \mathfrak{u}_- \) is a simple \( \mathfrak{l} \)-module;
(c) \( \mathfrak{u}_- \) is an abelian Lie algebra;
(d) \( \mathfrak{u}_+ \) is a simple \( \mathfrak{l} \)-module;
(e) \( \mathfrak{u}_+ \) is an abelian Lie algebra;
(f) \( \mathfrak{p} \) is maximal, that is, \( \mathcal{S} = \Pi \setminus \{ \alpha_t \} \) for some \( 1 \leq t \leq r \), and moreover \( \alpha_t \) has coefficient 1 in the highest root of \( g \);
(g) \( [\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}] \subseteq \mathfrak{l} \);
(h) \( (g, \mathfrak{l}) \) is a symmetric pair, that is, there is an involutive Lie algebra automorphism \( \sigma \) of \( g \) such that \( \mathfrak{l} = g^\sigma \).

CONVENTION 2.2. We assume for the rest of this paper that the conditions of Proposition 2.1 are satisfied. We say that a parabolic subalgebra satisfying these is of cominuscule type.

Condition (f) allows for a classification of all cominuscule parabolics in terms of Cartan data. The complete list is given in Table 1. Therein, the simple root \( \alpha_t \) omitted in \( \mathcal{S} = \Pi \setminus \{ \alpha_t \} \) is indicated by crossing out the corresponding node in the Dynkin diagram of \( g \).
Table 1. Dynkin diagrams for cominuscule parabolic subalgebras

|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $A_r$ |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| $B_r$ |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| $C_r$ |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| $D_r$ |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| $E_6$ |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| $E_7$ |   |   |   |   |   |   |

third diagram missing for type $D_r$, but it can be obtained from the second one for type $D_r$ by the nontrivial automorphism of the Dynkin diagram.) See, for example, [4, Example 3.1.10] or [39, Section 7.3] for more information.

The next result describes the cominuscule situation in more detail.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let $p \subseteq g$ be a parabolic subalgebra of cominuscule type. Then the following conditions hold:

(a) The radical roots $\beta \in \Delta(u_+)$ are the roots of the form $\beta = \alpha_t + \xi$, where $\xi$ is a sum of positive roots of $g$ not involving $\alpha_t$ (equivalently $(\xi, \omega_t) = 0$).

(b) The weight spaces of the $\mathfrak{t}$-modules $u_{\pm}$ are one-dimensional.

(c) The element $H_{\omega_t}$ spans the center of $l$ and acts as the scalar $d_t$ in $u_+$.

(d) The highest weight of $u_-$ (respectively, lowest weight of $u_+$) is the restriction to the Cartan subalgebra of $l$ of $-\alpha_t$ (respectively, $\alpha_t$).

**2.4. The quantized enveloping algebra $U_q(g)$**

Fix a real deformation parameter $q > 1$. Let $U_q(g)$ be the quantized enveloping algebra in the form denoted by $U_q(g, \mathcal{P})$ in [34, Section 4.5]. In particular, there are generators $E_j, F_j, K_\lambda$ for $j = 1, \ldots, r$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$, and we set $K_j := K_{\alpha_j}$. We refer the reader to [34, Sections 4.3 and 4.5] for the explicit relations. There is a Hopf algebra structure on $U_q(g)$ such that the $K_\lambda$ are grouplike and the coproducts of the generators $E_j, F_j$ are given by

$$\Delta(E_j) = E_j \otimes 1 + K_j \otimes E_j, \quad \Delta(F_j) = F_j \otimes K_j^{-1} + 1 \otimes F_j.$$  

This uniquely determines the counit and antipode. We give $U_q(g)$ the $*$-structure known as the compact real form, given on the generators by

$$E_j^* = K_j F_j, \quad F_j^* = E_j K_j^{-1}, \quad K_\lambda^* = K_\lambda.$$

See [37, Section 6.1.7] for a discussion of real forms. (The original reference for the classification of real forms is [53]. Note that the two sources use opposite coproducts (the one in [53] is the same as ours) but the $*$-structures are nevertheless identical.)
We denote by $U_q(l)$ the Hopf $*$-subalgebra generated by all $K_\lambda$ for $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ together with the $E_j, F_j$ for $j \neq t$. Note that $K_{\omega_t}$ is central in $U_q(l)$.

2.5. **Type 1 representations**

For each $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^+$, we denote by $V_\lambda$ the finite-dimensional irreducible Type 1 representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ of highest weight $\lambda$, and we fix a highest weight vector $v_\lambda$ in this representation. Whenever we speak of representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ or $U_q(l)$, we will always implicitly mean representations of Type 1. By slight abuse of notation, we also denote by $V_\lambda$ the irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ with highest weight $\lambda$. Following [6], we denote by $\mathcal{O}_f$ the category of finite-dimensional Type 1 $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules. For $V \in \mathcal{O}_f$, there is a decomposition $V \cong \bigoplus_j V_\lambda$.

For each $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^+$, there is a unique positive-definite Hermitian inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $V_\lambda$, conjugate-linear in the first variable, that satisfies $\langle v_\lambda, v_\lambda \rangle = 1$ and that is invariant under the action of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ in the sense that

$$\langle x \triangleright v, w \rangle = \langle v, x^* \triangleright w \rangle$$

for all $v, w \in V_\lambda$. Decomposing an arbitrary $V \in \mathcal{O}_f$ into simple submodules induces an inner product on $V$, and furthermore choosing inner products on $V, W \in \mathcal{O}_f$ induces inner products on $V \otimes W$ and $W \otimes V$.

2.6. **Braidings**

The category $\mathcal{O}_f$ is a braided monoidal category [37, Chapter 8]. For $V, W \in \mathcal{O}_f$, we denote by $\hat{R}_{VW} : V \otimes W \to W \otimes V$ the standard braiding. The braiding is uniquely determined by the fact that, for weight vectors $v \in V$ and $w \in W$, we have

$$\hat{R}_{VW}(v \otimes w) = q^{\text{wt}(v) \cdot \text{wt}(w)} w \otimes v + \sum_i w_i \otimes v_i,$$

where $\text{wt}(w_i) \succ \text{wt}(w)$ and $\text{wt}(v_i) \prec \text{wt}(v)$ for all $i$.

The braidings $\hat{R}_{V,V}$ are diagonalizable, and all eigenvalues are of the form $\pm q^{a_j}$ for certain $a_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ [37, Corollary 8.23]. Moreover, the braidings $\hat{R}_{V,W}$ are well-behaved with respect to duality in the sense that $(\hat{R}_{V,W})^{*\ast} = \hat{R}_{W,V}$, where the superscript $\ast$ denotes the dual map (or transpose), and we have identified $(V \otimes W)^\ast \cong W^\ast \otimes V^\ast$ as representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$.

Similarly, fixing invariant inner products on $V$ and $W$ as in Section 2.5, the braidings are well-behaved with respect to adjoint: one can show that $(\hat{R}_{V,W})^\ast = \hat{R}_{W,V}$ by noting that both are module maps and that they agree on highest weight vectors.

2.7. **Coboundary structure**

The notion of a coboundary structure on a monoidal category, introduced by Drinfeld in [24, Section 3], is similar to a braiding in that it provides a natural isomorphism $V \otimes W \xrightarrow{\sigma} W \otimes V$ for each ordered pair of objects in the category. While the axioms are less familiar than those for the braided structure, the coboundary structure allows for a cleaner definition of the quantum symmetric and exterior algebras.

**Definition 2.4.** A **coboundary category** is a monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ together with a natural isomorphism $\sigma_{V,W} : V \otimes W \to W \otimes V$ for all $V, W \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfying

(i) (symmetry axiom) $\sigma_{W,V} \sigma_{V,W} = \text{id}_{V \otimes W}$;
(ii) (cactus axiom) for all \( U, V, W \in \mathcal{O} \), the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
U \otimes V \otimes W & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{UV} \otimes \text{id}} & V \otimes U \otimes W \\
\text{id} \otimes \sigma_{VW} & \downarrow & \downarrow \sigma_{V \otimes U, W} \\
U \otimes W \otimes V & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{VW \otimes V}} & W \otimes V \otimes U
\end{array}
\]

(2.1)

Following the terminology of [36], we refer to the maps \( \sigma_{VW} \) as commutors.

Polar decomposition of the braidings leads to a coboundary structure on \( \mathcal{O}_f \). In [36], the authors develop this at the level of suitable completions of \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \) and its tensor square. We work here just at the level of representations. For \( V, W \in \mathcal{O}_f \), let

\[
\hat{R}_{VW} = \sigma_{VW}((\hat{R}_{VW})^* \hat{R}_{VW})^{1/2}
\]

(2.2)

be the polar decomposition. Each \( \sigma_{VW} \) is unitary since \( \hat{R}_{VW} \) is invertible. We record here the properties of the maps \( \sigma_{VW} \) that we will require:

**Proposition 2.5.** The maps \( (\sigma_{VW})_{V, W \in \mathcal{O}_f} \) form a coboundary structure on \( \mathcal{O}_f \). Moreover, we have the following conditions.

(a) The diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(W \otimes V)^* & \xrightarrow{(\sigma_{VW})^*} & (V \otimes W)^* \\
\cong & \downarrow & \cong \\
V^* \otimes W^* & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{VW}^*:} & W^* \otimes V^*
\end{array}
\]

commutes, where the vertical arrows are the natural isomorphisms \((X \otimes Y)^* \cong Y^* \otimes X^*\) of finite-dimensional \( U_q(\mathfrak{g})\)-modules and \((\sigma_{VW})^*\) is the transpose of the commutor \( \sigma_{VW} \).

(b) Each \( \sigma_{VW} \) is unitary by construction, and \( (\sigma_{VW})^* = \sigma_{WV} \).

(c) In particular, \( \sigma_{VV} \) is a self-adjoint unitary operator with the same eigenspaces as \( \hat{R}_{VV} \), and \( \sigma_{VV} \) has eigenvalue \( \pm 1 \) on an eigenspace according as \( \hat{R}_{VV} \) has eigenvalue \( \pm q^a \) for some \( a \in \mathbb{Q} \).

### 3. Quantum symmetric and exterior algebras

In this section, we discuss some properties of the quantum symmetric and exterior algebras of the representations of \( U_q(\mathfrak{l}) \) whose classical limits are the nilradical \( u_+ \) and its dual \( u_- \). These algebras were introduced by Berenstein and Zwicknagl in [6] and studied further in [14, 56].

Zwicknagl has shown [56, Main Theorem 5.6] that the quantum symmetric algebra \( S_q(u_+) \) coincides, as a \( U_q(\mathfrak{l})\)-module algebra, with a certain ‘twisted’ quantum Schubert cell inside \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \). This embedding into the ambient quantized enveloping algebra will give us more precise information about the relations in the quantum symmetric algebra. In Section 4, we will then transfer this information to the quantum exterior algebra \( \Lambda_q(u_-) \) by Koszul duality.

As [56, Section 5] is not entirely consistent in its notation, in Section 3.2 we go into some detail concerning quantum Schubert cells \( U(w) \) associated to arbitrary elements \( w \) of the Weyl group \( W \) of \( \mathfrak{g} \). In Section 3.6, we define a particular element \( w_l \in W \) associated to a cominuscule parabolic and examine \( U(w_l) \), along with its twisted version \( U'(w_l) \). This turns out to be isomorphic to \( S_q(u_+) \) as a \( U_q(\mathfrak{l})\)-module algebra, where \( U_q(\mathfrak{l}) \) acts on \( U'(w_l) \) via the adjoint action of \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \).
3.1. Quantization of the nilradical

Since \( u_{\pm} \) are finite-dimensional irreducible representations of \( I \), there are corresponding irreducible representations of \( U_q(I) \), which by abuse of notation we denote also by \( u_{\pm} \). As \( I \) is reductive rather than semisimple, in addition to the highest weight we must also specify the action of the central generator \( K_\omega \) of \( U_q(I) \): \( K_\omega \) acts as \( q^{\pm d_i} \) in \( u_{\pm} \), respectively. We fix a nondegenerate \( U_q(I) \)-invariant pairing \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : u_- \otimes u_+ \to \mathbb{C} \), which defines an isomorphism of \( U_q(I) \)-modules \( u_- \cong u_+^* \).

3.2. The algebras \( S_q(u_+) \) and \( \Lambda_q(u_+) \)

Following [6, Definition 2.7], the quantum (or braided) symmetric algebra of \( u_+ \) is defined as

\[
S_q(u_+) = T(u_+)/\langle \ker(\sigma_{u_+,u_+} + \text{id}) \rangle,
\]

where \( \sigma_{u_+,u_+} \) is the commutor coming from the coboundary structure on the category of finite-dimensional \( U_q(I) \)-modules. Similarly, the quantum exterior algebra of \( u_+ \) is given by

\[
\Lambda_q(u_+) = T(u_+)/\langle \ker(\sigma_{u_+,u_+} - \text{id}) \rangle.
\]

By definition, both \( S_q(u_+) \) and \( \Lambda_q(u_+) \) are quadratic algebras. We denote their graded components by \( S_q^l(u_+) \) and \( \Lambda_q^l(u_+) \) for \( l \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \), respectively. As \( \sigma_{u_+,u_+} \) is a module map, both \( \ker(\sigma_{u_+,u_+} \pm \text{id}) \) are in fact \( U_q(I) \)-submodules of \( u_+ \otimes u_+ \), and hence \( S_q^l(u_+) \) and \( \Lambda_q^l(u_+) \) are \( U_q(I) \)-module algebras. We denote multiplication in \( S_q(u_+) \) and \( \Lambda_q(u_+) \) by juxtaposition and by the symbol \( \wedge \), respectively.

**Remark 3.1.** According to Proposition 2.5(c), \( \ker(\sigma_{u_+,u_+} - \text{id}) \) is the span of the eigenspaces of the braiding \( R_{u_+,u_+} \) for positive eigenvalues, that is, those of the form \( q^a \), while \( \ker(\sigma_{u_+,u_+} + \text{id}) \) is the span of the eigenspaces for negative eigenvalues, that is, those of the form \( -q^a \). Thus, speaking informally, the definitions of the quantum symmetric and exterior algebras become the classical ones when \( q = 1 \).

One can define quantum symmetric and exterior algebras analogously for arbitrary finite-dimensional representations of quantized enveloping algebras; the ones defined above are particular cases of this construction. Zwicknagl has shown that the quantum symmetric and exterior algebras associated to the abelian nilradicals \( u_{\pm} \) are much better behaved than those for arbitrary representations:

**Proposition 3.2.** With all notation as above, the following conditions hold:

(a) The Hilbert series of the quantum symmetric algebra \( S_q(u_+) \) is the same as that of the ordinary symmetric algebra \( S(u_+) \).

(b) If \( \{ x_1, \ldots, x_N \} \) is any basis for \( u_+ \), then the ordered monomials in these generators form a PBW basis for \( S_q(u_+) \).

(c) The quantum symmetric algebra \( S_q(u_+) \) is a Koszul algebra.

**Proof.** Part (a) is a combination of [56, Theorems 3.14 and 4.23]. In view of (a), part (b) follows from [6, Proposition 2.28]. Part (c) holds because any PBW algebra is Koszul [49, Chapter 4, Theorem 3.1].

3.3. Quadratic duality

One can make the analogous definitions of \( S_q(u_-) \) and \( \Lambda_q(u_-) \). Since \( u_+ \) and \( u_- \) are duals of one another, we have by Proposition 2.5(a) together with the involutivity of the commutors...
that
\[ S_q(u_+)^1 \cong \Lambda_q(u_-) \]  
(3.3)
(see [6, Proposition 2.11(c)]), where \( A^1 \) denotes the quadratic dual (or Koszul dual) of a quadratic algebra \( A \).

**Remark 3.3.** We note that the definition of the quadratic dual algebra in [49, Chapter 1, Section 2] must be modified slightly in our context so that the quadratic dual of a \( U_q(1) \)-module algebra is again a module algebra. In particular, we identify \( u_- \otimes u_- \) with \( (u_+ \otimes u_+)^* \) via the pairing
\[ \langle y \otimes y', x \otimes x' \rangle = \langle y', x \rangle \langle y, x' \rangle \]  
(3.4)
for \( x, x' \in u_+ \) and \( y, y' \in u_- \); it is this pairing which allows us to identify \( \sigma u_- u_- \) with the dual map of \( \sigma u_+, u_+ \) as in Proposition 2.5. The usual definition of quadratic dual algebra uses the pairing
\[ \langle y \otimes y', x \otimes x' \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle \langle y', x' \rangle \]  
rather than (3.4). The consequence of this choice is that \( A^1 \) as defined using our convention is the opposite algebra of the one defined in [49].

**Corollary 3.4.** The quantum exterior algebra \( \Lambda_q(u_-) \) is a Koszul algebra, and has the same Hilbert series as the ordinary exterior algebra \( \Lambda(u_-) \).

**Proof.** The quadratic dual of a Koszul algebra is again Koszul by [49, Corollary 3.3, Chapter 2]. The Hilbert series of a Koszul algebra is determined by that of its quadratic dual algebra according to [49, Corollary 2.2, Chapter 2]. Since the Hilbert series of \( S_q(u_+) \) coincides with that of \( S(u_+) \), the Hilbert series of \( \Lambda_q(u_-) \) coincides with that of the quadratic dual \( \Lambda(u_-) \) of \( S(u_+) \).

### 3.4. Linear duality

Now we show that \( \Lambda_q(u_-) \) can be identified with the graded dual of \( \Lambda_q(u_+) \). As in the classical setting, this can be done by embedding \( \Lambda_q(u_+) \) and \( \Lambda_q(u_-) \) into the tensor algebras of \( u_+ \) and \( u_- \), respectively.

**Definition 3.5** [6, Definition 2.1]. The spaces of quantum symmetric tensors \( S^n_q u_+ \subseteq u_+^{\otimes n} \) and quantum antisymmetric tensors \( \Lambda^n_q u_+ \subseteq u_+^{\otimes n} \) are defined by
\[ S^n_q u_+ := \bigcap_{j=1}^{n-1} \ker(\sigma_i - \text{id}) , \quad \Lambda^n_q u_+ := \bigcap_{j=1}^{n-1} \ker(\sigma_i + \text{id}) , \]  
(3.5)
respectively, where \( \sigma_i \) is the operator on \( u_+^{\otimes n} \) given by \( \sigma_{u_+ u_+} \) in the \((i, i + 1)\) tensor factors and the identity in all others. The spaces \( S^n_q u_- \), \( \Lambda^n_q u_- \subseteq u_-^{\otimes n} \) are defined analogously.

It was shown in [14, Proposition 3.2] that one has the decompositions of \( U_q(1) \)-modules
\[ u_+^{\otimes n} = \Lambda^n_q u_+ \oplus \langle S^2_q u_+ \rangle_n , \quad u_-^{\otimes n} = \Lambda^n_q u_- \oplus \langle S^2_q u_- \rangle_n , \]  
(3.6)
as \( S^2_q u_\pm \) are the spaces of relations in the quantum exterior algebras \( \Lambda_q(u_\pm) \), respectively, the restrictions of the quotient maps from \( u_\pm^{\otimes n} \) to \( \Lambda^n_q(u_\pm) \) lead to isomorphisms
\[ \pi^n_\pm : \Lambda^n_q u_\pm \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda^n_q u_\pm . \]  
(3.7)
The dual pairing of \( u_- \) with \( u_+ \) extends to a nondegenerate \( U_q(1) \)-invariant pairing \( \langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle : u_-^{\otimes n} \otimes u_+^{\otimes n} \to \mathbb{C} \) generalizing (3.4). With this notation, we have the following proposition.
Proposition 3.6. For each $n$, define $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \Lambda_q^n(u_-) \otimes \Lambda_q^n(u_+) \to \mathbb{C}$ by
\[
\langle y, x \rangle := \langle (\pi_n^-)^{-1}(y), (\pi_n^+)^{-1}(x) \rangle,
\]
for $y \in \Lambda_q(u_-)$, $x \in \Lambda_q(u_+)$, where the right-hand side is the pairing of $u_-^\otimes n$ with $u_+^\otimes n$ introduced above. Then (3.8) is nondegenerate and $U_q(l)$-invariant, and hence induces an isomorphism $\Lambda_q^\ast(u_-) \cong \Lambda_q^\ast(u_+)^\ast$.

Proof. The pairing is $U_q(l)$-invariant because it is a composition of $U_q(l)$-module maps with a $U_q(l)$-invariant pairing. In view of (3.7), it suffices to show that $(\Lambda_q^n u_-)^\perp = (S_q^n u_+)_n$, where $\perp$ denotes the annihilator with respect to the dual pairing of $u_-^\otimes n$ with $u_+^\otimes n$. The cases when $n = 0, 1$ are clear.

For $n = 2$, as $\sigma_{u_+, u_+}$ is involutive we have
\[
\Lambda_q^2 u_+ = \ker(\sigma_{u_+, u_+} + \text{id}) = \text{im}(\sigma_{u_-, u_+} - \text{id}).
\]
Then using Proposition 2.5(a), we obtain
\[
(\Lambda_q^2 u_+)^\perp = \text{im}(\sigma_{u_+, u_+} - \text{id})^\perp = \ker(\sigma_{u_-, u_-} - \text{id}) = S_q^2 u_+.
\]

For $n > 2$, note that
\[
\ker(\sigma_i + \text{id}) = u_+^\otimes i-1 \otimes \Lambda_q^2 u_+ \otimes u_-^\otimes n-i-1,
\]
and hence
\[
\ker(\sigma_i + \text{id})^\perp = u_-^\otimes i-1 \otimes S_q^2 u_- \otimes u_+^\otimes n-i-1.
\]
Finally, this gives
\[
(\Lambda_q^n u_+)^\perp = \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker(\sigma_i + \text{id})\right)^\perp = \sum_{i=1}^n \ker(\sigma_i + \text{id})^\perp = (S_q^2 u_+)_n.
\]
This completes the proof.

3.5. The algebra $U(w)$

We now recall some definitions and results concerning Weyl groups and parabolic subgroups. Let $W$ be the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$, and let $s_i \in W$ be the reflection corresponding to the simple root $\alpha_i$.

Definition 3.7. For any word $w \in W$, define $\Phi(w) \subseteq \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g})$ by
\[
\Phi(w) := \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}) \cap w(\Delta^-(\mathfrak{g})),
\]
so that $\Phi(w)$ is the set of positive roots $\beta$ such that $w^{-1}(\beta)$ is negative.

The following result can be found, for example, in [33, Section 1.7]. Note, however, that our $\Phi(w)$ is $\Pi(w^{-1})$ in Humphreys’ notation.

Lemma 3.8. Given any reduced expression $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_m}$ for $w$, the sequence
\[
s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}}(\alpha_{i_k}), \quad 1 \leq k \leq m
\]
consists of $m$ distinct positive roots, and exhausts $\Phi(w)$. In particular, $\ell(w) = |\Phi(w)|$, where $\ell$ is the word-length function of the Weyl group with respect to the generators $\{s_i\}$.

Definition 3.9. Retaining the notation from Lemma 3.8, the quantum Schubert cell $U(w)$ is defined to be the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by the elements
\[
T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_{k-1}}(E_{i_k}), \quad 1 \leq k \leq m,
\]
where the $T_i$ are the automorphisms of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ given by the action of the braid group $B_q$; we use the conventions of [34, Chapter 8] here.

**Remark 3.10.** Although the generators (3.11) depend on the choice of reduced expression for $w$, the algebra $U(w)$ is independent of this choice (see [22, Section 9.3], for example). The quantum Schubert cells were introduced in [21] and are hence often called De Concini–Kac–Procesi algebras. Zwicknagl shows in [56, Section 5] that $U(w)$ can also be defined as

$$U(w) = T_w(U_q(b_-)) \cap U_q(n_+)$$

(although note that the $w^{-1}$ appearing in [56, Definition 5.2] must be changed to $w$, and Theorem 5.21(a) should say $U'(w)$ rather than $U(w)$).

### 3.6. The algebra $U'(w)$

Now we recall Zwicknagl’s definition of the twisted quantum Schubert cell that we alluded to above.

**Definition 3.11.** For an arbitrary element $w \in W$, the twisted quantum Schubert cell $U'(w)$ is defined by

$$U'(w) = T_{w_0w^{-1}} U(w).$$

(Recall from [34, Section 8.18] that $T_{uv} = T_u T_v$ if $\ell(uv) = \ell(u) + \ell(v)$, but this is not the case in general.)

We now examine a particular case of this construction. Let $\mathfrak{l}$ be the Levi factor of a cominuscule parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$, and let $W_1$ be the parabolic subgroup of the Weyl group $W$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by the simple reflections $s_j$ for $j \neq t$. We refer to $W_1$ as the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{l}$, although properly speaking it is the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{t}$ (see [33, Section 5.5]). Let $w_{0,1}$ be the longest word of $W_1$, and define $w_1 = w_{0,1} w_0$, where $w_0$ is the longest word of $W$; we refer to $w_1$ as the parabolic element.

**Lemma 3.12.** With the parabolic element $w_1$ defined as above, we have:

$$w_0 = w_{0,1} w_1,$$

and moreover

(a) $\ell(w_1) + \ell(w_{0,1}) = \ell(w_0)$, where $\ell$ is the word-length function on $W$;

(b) the set $\Delta(u_+)$ is invariant under the action of $W_1$;

(c) the set of positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ mapped by $w_1^{-1}$ to negative roots is precisely the set of radical roots, that is, $\Phi(w_1) = \Delta(u_+)$. 

**Proof.** Since $w_{0,1}$ is the longest word of a Weyl group, it is involutive, and hence (3.14) follows immediately from the definition of $w_1$. Part (a) is [33, Equation (2) in Section 1.8].

Part (b) follows from the fact that $u_+^{-1}$ is invariant under the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{l}$.

To prove part (c), let $\beta \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g})$. By definition, we have $w_1^{-1}(\beta) = w_0(w_{0,1}(\beta))$. On the one hand, if $\beta \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{l})$, then $w_{0,1}(\beta)$ is a negative root of $\mathfrak{l}$ since $w_{0,1}$ is the longest word of $W_1$, and hence $w_0(w_{0,1}(\beta))$ is a positive root of $\mathfrak{g}$. On the other hand, if $\beta \in \Delta(u_+)$, then $w_{0,1}(\beta) \in \Delta(u_+)$ by part (b), so $w_0(w_{0,1}(\beta))$ is a negative root of $\mathfrak{g}$. Hence $\Phi(w_1) = \Delta(u_+)$. 

**Convention 3.13.** We fix a reduced expression for the longest word

$$w_0 = s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_M} s_{j_{M+1}} \cdots s_{j_{M+N}},$$

(3.15)
which is compatible with (3.14) in the sense that \( w_{0,1} = s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_M} \) and \( w_l = s_{j_{M+1}} \cdots s_{j_{M+N}} \) for some \( M \geq 0 \) and \( N \geq 1 \). (The case \( M = 0 \) arises when \( p \) is a Borel subalgebra of \( sl_2 \).) The expressions for \( w_{0,1} \) and \( w_l \) are both reduced since they are intervals in the reduced expression (3.15).

**Definition 3.14.** For \( 1 \leq k \leq N \), define a root \( \xi_k \) of \( g \) by

\[
\xi_k := s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_M} s_{j_{M+1}} \cdots s_{j_{M+k-1}} (\alpha_{j_{M+k}}) = w_{0,1} s_{j_{M+1}} \cdots s_{j_{M+k-1}} (\alpha_{j_{M+k}}).
\]

(3.16)

The last result we need about the parabolic element is the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.15.** The set \( \{ \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_N \} \) is precisely the set \( \Delta(u_+) \) of radical roots.

**Proof.** Since \( w_l = s_{j_{M+1}} \cdots s_{j_{M+N}} \), according to Lemma 3.8 the roots of the form \( s_{j_{M+1}} \cdots s_{j_{M+k-1}} (\alpha_{j_{M+k}}) \) for \( 1 \leq k \leq N \) exhaust \( \Phi(w_l) \). By Lemma 3.12(c), this set is exactly \( \Delta(u_+) \). By Lemma 3.12(b), the element \( w_{0,1} \) permutes \( \Delta(u_+) \), which completes the proof. \( \square \)

Using the reduced expression (3.15) for \( w_0 \) and the action of the braid group on \( U_q(g) \), the quantum root vectors of \( U_q(g) \) are defined as follows. For \( \beta \in \Delta^+(g) \), the element \( E_\beta \) is given by

\[
E_\beta := T_{j_1} \cdots T_{j_{k-1}} (E_{j_k}),
\]

(3.17)

where \( k \) is the unique index for which \( \beta = s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_{k-1}} (\alpha_{j_k}) \). We have \( E_{\alpha_j} = E_j \) for the simple roots \( \alpha_j \) by [34, Lemma 8.19]. Similarly, \( F_\beta \) is defined by replacing \( E_{j_k} \) with \( F_{j_k} \) in (3.17). The quantum root vectors \( \{ E_\beta \} \) give rise to a PBW basis of \( U_q(n_+) \), and similarly, the \( \{ F_\beta \} \) give a PBW basis of \( U_q(n_-) \) [34, Theorem 8.24]. The quantum root vectors depend on the choice of reduced decomposition of \( w_0 \); we always use (3.15).

**Remark 3.16.** Using the reduced expression \( w_l = s_{j_{M+1}} \cdots s_{j_{M+N}} \), the quantum Schubert cell \( U(w_l) \) is, by definition, generated by the elements

\[
X_k := T_{j_{M+1}} \cdots T_{j_{M+k-1}} (E_{j_{M+k}}), \quad 1 \leq k \leq N.
\]

(3.18)

Note that these generators are not the quantum root vectors associated to the roots \( \{ \xi_k \} \). However, according to the definition (3.17) of the quantum root vectors and the definition (3.16) of the \( \xi_k \), we do have

\[
E_{\xi_k} = T_{j_1} \cdots T_{j_M} T_{j_{M+1}} \cdots T_{j_{M+k-1}} (E_{j_{M+k}}) = T_{w_0,l} (X_k).
\]

(3.19)

Thus the twisted quantum Schubert cell \( U'(w_l) := T_{w_0,l} U(w_l) \) is generated by the quantum root vectors \( \{ E_{\xi_1}, \ldots, E_{\xi_N} \} \).

Since \( U_q(g) \) is a Hopf algebra, it is a left module algebra over itself via the adjoint action \( \text{ad}(a)x = a_{(1)} x S(a_{(2)}) \) (using Sweedler’s notation for the coproduct of \( a \)). The reason for introducing the twisted quantum Schubert cell is the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.17 [56, Theorem 5.6].** With all notation as above, the following conditions hold:

(a) The twisted quantum Schubert cell \( U'(w_l) \) is a quadratic algebra with the generators \( \{ E_{\xi_i} \} \) in degree one and relations of the form

\[
E_{\xi_k} E_{\xi_l} - q^{-\langle \xi_k, \xi_l \rangle} E_{\xi_l} E_{\xi_k} = \sum_{k < i \leq j < l} \epsilon_{i,j}^{k,l} E_{\xi_i} E_{\xi_j}
\]

(3.20)

for \( k < l \), where \( \epsilon_{i,j}^{k,l} \in \mathbb{Q}[q^{-1}] \).
(b) $U'(w_l)$ is invariant under the adjoint action of $U_q(l)$.
(c) $U'(w_l)$ is isomorphic to the quantum symmetric algebra $S_q(u_+)$ as a graded $U_q(l)$-module algebra.

**Remark 3.18.** Note that $U(w_l)$ is in general not invariant under $U_q(l)$, which is the reason for introducing $U'(w_l)$. However, since $U'(w_l)$ is obtained from $U(w_l)$ by acting with the automorphism $T_{w_0}$, the two algebras are isomorphic. The fact that $U'(w_l)$ is quadratic follows from the commutation relations [22, Theorem 9.3] for the quantum root vectors; for any $k < l$, the $q$-commutator $E_{\xi_k}E_{\xi_l} - q^{-1}(\xi_k, \xi_l)E_{\xi_l}E_{\xi_k}$ can be expressed as a linear combination of products $E_{\xi_j} \cdots E_{\xi_m}$ with $k < j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_m < l$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m \xi_{j_i} = \xi_k + \xi_l$. In the cominuscule situation all $\xi_j$ contain $\alpha_l$ with coefficient one, so we must have $m = 2$. Then the PBW theorem for $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ shows that these are all of the relations between the generators for $U'(w_l)$.

4. The quantum exterior algebras are Frobenius algebras

This section contains the technical proof that the quantum exterior algebra $\Lambda_q(u_-)$ is a Frobenius algebra. We prove this by introducing a one-generated filtration of $S_q(u_+)$ by a graded ordered semigroup in the sense of [49, Chapter 4, Section 7]. Using the theory developed therein, we transfer this filtration to the quadratic dual algebra $\Lambda_q(u_-)$. It is straightforward to prove that the associated graded algebra is Frobenius, and then we lift the result to $\Lambda_q(u_-)$ itself.

4.1. A filtration of the quantum symmetric algebra

We begin by introducing a distinguished generating set for $S_q(u_+)$. 

**Convention 4.1.** We fix a weight basis $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^N$ for the $U_q(l)$-module $u_+$ such that $x_j$ corresponds to the quantum root vector $E_{\xi_j}$ under the isomorphism $S_q(u_+) \cong U'(w_l)$ from Proposition 3.17(c). Thus from (3.20), we get the relations

$$x_1x_k - q^{-1}(\xi_k, \xi_l)x_kx_l = \sum_{k < l \leq j < l} c^{ij}_{kl}x_i x_j, \quad k < l \quad (4.1)$$

among the generators of the quantum symmetric algebra. For $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$, we define the monomial

$$x_k := x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_N^{k_N}. \quad (4.2)$$

Then according to Proposition 3.2(b), the set $\{x_k\}$ is a PBW basis for $S_q(u_+)$. 

**Definition 4.2.** Let $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_+^N$, and denote by $\delta_j$ the element with a 1 in the $j$th position and zeros elsewhere. Define a semigroup homomorphism $g : \Gamma \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ by

$$g(k_1, \ldots, k_N) := \sum_j k_j. \quad (4.3)$$

For $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, denote $\Gamma_l = g^{-1}(l)$. We give each $\Gamma_l$ the lexicographic order, that is, we say that $(k_1, \ldots, k_N) < (k'_1, \ldots, k'_N)$ if there is an index $j$ such that $k_i = k'_i$ for $i < j$ and $k_j < k'_j$. (Note that $\delta_1 > \cdots > \delta_N$ in this ordering.) For $k \in \Gamma_l$, we define a subspace $\mathcal{F}_k = \mathcal{F}_k S_q(u_+) \subseteq S_q^l(u_+)$ by

$$\mathcal{F}_k = \mathcal{F}_k S_q(u_+) := \text{span}\{x_{k'} \mid k' \in \Gamma_l \text{ and } k' \leq k\} \subseteq S_q^l(u_+). \quad (4.3)$$
LEMMA 4.3. The set \( \{ \mathcal{F}_k \mid k \in \Gamma \} \) is a \( \Gamma \)-valued filtration of \( S_q(u_+) \), that is, the following conditions hold:

(a) \( \mathcal{F}_j \subseteq \mathcal{F}_k \) when \( j \leq k \in \Gamma \);
(b) \( \mathcal{F}_{(l,0,\ldots,0)} = S^l_q(u_+) \) (note that \( (l,0,\ldots,0) \) is the maximal element in \( \Gamma \));
(c) \( \mathcal{F}_k \mathcal{F}_{k'} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{k+k'} \).

Moreover, the filtration is one-generated, that is, for every \( k \in \Gamma \) we have

\[
\mathcal{F}_k = \sum_{\delta_i_1 + \cdots + \delta_i_l \leq k} \mathcal{F}_{\delta_i_1} \cdots \mathcal{F}_{\delta_i_l}.
\] (4.4)

Proof. Parts (a) and (b) follow immediately from the definitions. Part (c) follows from the commutation relations (4.1). Finally, the filtration is one-generated because the \( x_j \) generate \( S_q(u_+) \).

The associated \( \Gamma \)-graded algebra \( gr^F S_q(u_+) \) is also \( \mathbb{Z}_+ \)-graded via the homomorphism \( g : \Gamma \to \mathbb{Z}_+ \). Its relations are particularly simple.

PROPOSITION 4.4. The associated \( \Gamma \)-graded algebra \( gr^F S_q(u_+) \) is generated by the elements \( \{ \bar{x}_j \}^N_{j=1} \) subject to the defining relations

\[
\bar{x}_l \bar{x}_k - q^{-(\xi_k,\xi_l)} \bar{x}_k \bar{x}_l = 0, \quad k < l,
\] (4.5)

where \( \bar{x}_k \) is the image of \( x_k \) in \( \mathcal{F}_{\delta_k} / \mathcal{F}_{\delta_{k+1}} \).

Proof. The elements \( \{ \bar{x}_k \} \) generate the associated graded algebra because the filtration is one-generated. In the relations (4.1), the terms on the right-hand side have lower filtration degree than those on the left-hand side, and thus vanish in the associated graded algebra. The relations (4.5) follow. These are the only relations in \( gr^F S_q(u_+) \) because the \( x_k \) for \( k \in \Gamma \) form a PBW basis of \( S_q(u_+) \).

4.2. The dual filtration of the quantum exterior algebra

As \( \Lambda_q(u_-) \) is the quadratic dual of \( S_q(u_+) \), it comes with a dual filtration:

DEFINITION 4.5. Let \( \Gamma^\circ := \mathbb{Z}_+^N \) denote the same semigroup as \( \Gamma \), but with the opposite ordering on each fiber \( \Gamma^\circ_l := g^{-1}(l) \), so that \( \delta_1 < \cdots < \delta_N \). Let \( \{ y_j \}^N_{j=1} \) be the basis for \( u_- \) dual to \( \{ x_j \}^N_{j=1} \), that is,

\[
\langle y_i, x_j \rangle = \delta_{ij},
\] (4.6)

where \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) is the pairing from Section 3.1. We give \( \Lambda_q(u_-) = S_q(u_+)^\circ \) the one-generated \( \Gamma^\circ \)-valued filtration \( \mathcal{F}^\circ \) determined by

\[
\mathcal{F}^\circ_{\delta_k} := \text{span}\{ y_j \mid j \leq k \}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N.
\] (4.7)

Then for arbitrary \( k \in \Gamma^\circ_l \), the subspace \( \mathcal{F}^\circ_k = \mathcal{F}^\circ_k \Lambda_q(u_-) \subseteq \Lambda^\circ_q(u_-) \) is defined by the analogous formula to (4.4), keeping in mind that we use the ordering of \( \Gamma^\circ \).

PROPOSITION 4.6. The associated \( \Gamma^\circ \)-graded algebra \( gr^F \Lambda_q(u_-) \) is generated by the elements \( \{ \bar{y}_j \}^N_{j=1} \), subject to the defining relations

\[
\bar{y}_l \wedge \bar{y}_k + q^{-(\xi_k,\xi_l)} \bar{y}_k \wedge \bar{y}_l = 0, \quad k \leq l,
\] (4.8)

where \( \bar{y}_k \) is the image of \( y_k \) in \( \mathcal{F}^\circ_{\delta_k} / \mathcal{F}^\circ_{\delta_{k-1}} \).
Proof. The elements \( \{ \tilde{y}_k \} \) generate the associated graded algebra because the filtration \( \mathcal{F}^\circ \) is one-generated. From Proposition 4.4, we can see that \( \text{gr}^\mathcal{F} S_q(u_+) \) is PBW, and hence Koszul. Then according to [49, Corollary 7.3 in Chapter 4], \( \text{gr}^\mathcal{F} \Lambda_q(u_-) \) is Koszul, and we have
\[
\text{gr}^\mathcal{F} \Lambda_q(u_-) = \text{gr}^\mathcal{F} (S_q(u_+)) \cong (\text{gr}^\mathcal{F} S_q(u_+))^l.
\]
It is straightforward to show that the relations dual to (4.5) are exactly (4.8). \( \Box \)

Remark 4.7. Proposition 4.6 was proved by different methods in [32, Proposition 3.7].

Definition 4.8. For any subset \( J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, N\} \) with \( |J| = l \), we define elements \( y_J \in \Lambda_q^{J}(u_-) \) and \( x_J \in \Lambda_q(u_+) \) by
\[
y_J := y_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge y_{j_l}, \quad x_J := x_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{j_l},
\]
where \( J = \{j_1, \ldots, j_l\} \) and \( j_1 < \cdots < j_l \). We denote \( [N] := \{1, \ldots, N\} \).

Corollary 4.9. The elements \( y_J \) with \( |J| = l \) form a basis for \( \Lambda_q^{J}(u_-) \).

Proof. It follows from (4.8) that the elements \( y_J \) with \( |J| = l \) span \( \Lambda_q^{J}(u_-) \). Corollary 3.4 implies that the dimension of \( \Lambda_q^{J}(u_-) \) is \( \binom{N}{l} \), so these \( y_J \) are linearly independent, and hence form a basis. \( \Box \)

4.3. The Frobenius property

Proposition 4.6 implies that \( \text{gr}^\mathcal{F} \Lambda_q(u_-) \) is a Frobenius algebra with Frobenius functional given by projection onto \( \tilde{y}_{[N]} \).

Lemma 4.10. If \( A \) is a finite-dimensional algebra with a \( \Gamma \)-valued filtration \( \mathcal{F} \) such that \( \text{gr}^\mathcal{F} A \) is a Frobenius algebra, then \( A \) is also a Frobenius algebra.

Proof. See [9, Theorem 2], where the case \( \Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_+ \) is treated. The proof for an arbitrary graded ordered semigroup is a straightforward generalization. \( \Box \)

Proposition 4.11. (a) The quantum exterior algebras \( \Lambda_q(u_+) \) and \( \Lambda_q(u_-) \) are Frobenius algebras.

(b) Frobenius functionals for \( \Lambda_q(u_+) \) and \( \Lambda_q(u_-) \) are given by projection onto \( x_{[N]} \) and projection onto \( y_{[N]} \), respectively.

Proof. From the relations (4.8), we see that projection onto \( \tilde{y}_{[N]} \) is a Frobenius functional for \( \text{gr}^\mathcal{F} \Lambda_q(u_-) \). Then Lemma 4.10 implies that \( \Lambda_q(u_-) \) itself is a Frobenius algebra. The analogous arguments apply to \( \Lambda_q(u_+) \). \( \Box \)

Remark 4.12. Although the quantum exterior algebra \( \Lambda_q(u_-) \) is both a Frobenius algebra and a \( U_q(l) \)-module algebra, it is not a Frobenius algebra in the category of \( U_q(l) \)-modules. The reason is that the Frobenius functional is not equivariant for the action of \( K_{\omega_1} \). Indeed, \( K_{\omega_1} \) acts as the scalar \( q^{-Nd_i} \) on \( \mathbb{C}y_{[N]} \), but as the identity on \( \mathbb{C} \). The analogous remark applies to \( \Lambda_q(u_+) \) as well.

5. Quantum Clifford algebras and the Dolbeault–Dirac operator

We now introduce the quantum Clifford algebra \( Cl_q \) via its spinor representation. In Section 5.1, we recall the realization of the Clifford algebra of a hyperbolic quadratic space \( V \oplus V^* \) by
creation and annihilation operators. This amounts to factorizing $\text{End}_C(\Lambda(V))$ as a product of two subalgebras isomorphic to $\Lambda(V)$ and $\Lambda(V^*)$. In Section 5.2, we generalize this factorization to the quantum setting. Finally, we use $\text{Cl}_q$ to define an algebraic analog $D \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{Cl}_q$ of the Dolbeault–Dirac operator. The key point is that $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{Cl}_q$ is an extension of $S_q(\mathfrak{u}_+)^{\text{op}} \otimes \Lambda_q(\mathfrak{u}_-)$ to a $*$-algebra, and $D$ is obtained as the sum of the Koszul boundary map of $S_q(\mathfrak{u}_+)$ plus its formal adjoint.

5.1. The classical Clifford algebra

Let $V$ be a finite-dimensional complex vector space with dual space $V^*$. Then $V \oplus V^*$ carries the canonical symmetric bilinear form, which gives rise to the Clifford algebra $\text{Cl}(V \oplus V^*)$. The exterior algebra $\Lambda(V)$ can be used as a model for the space $\mathcal{S}$ of spinors. More precisely, as $V$ and $V^*$ are isotropic, the exterior algebras $\Lambda(V)$ and $\Lambda(V^*)$ embed as subalgebras into the Clifford algebra, and the multiplication map of the Clifford algebra is an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\Lambda(V^*) \otimes \Lambda(V) \xrightarrow{=\pi} \text{Cl}(V \oplus V^*).\quad (5.1)$$

The representation of $\text{Cl}(V \oplus V^*)$ on $\mathcal{S} = \Lambda(V)$ restricts to the regular representation of $\Lambda(V)$ and to the dual of the regular representation of $\Lambda(V^*)$, respectively. In this way, we obtain a factorization

$$\gamma : \Lambda(V^*) \otimes \Lambda(V) \xrightarrow{=\pi} \text{End}_C(\mathcal{S})\quad (5.2)$$

of the endomorphism algebra of $\mathcal{S}$ into the product of two subalgebras. For further details, see [3, 13].

5.2. The quantum Clifford algebra

Taking $V = \mathfrak{u}_+$ and $V^* = \mathfrak{u}_-$, we now construct an analog of the isomorphism (5.2), replacing $\Lambda(\mathfrak{u}_\pm)$ with $\Lambda_q(\mathfrak{u}_\pm)$, respectively. In fact, we will carry out this construction $U_q(\mathfrak{l})$-equivariantly, so we must keep track of left and right duals.

Let $\gamma_+$ denote the left regular representation of $\Lambda_q(\mathfrak{u}_+)$ on itself, so that

$$\gamma_+(x)z = x \wedge z$$

for $x, z \in \Lambda_q(\mathfrak{u}_+)$. The resulting algebra map $\gamma_+ : \Lambda_q(\mathfrak{u}_+) \to \text{End}_C(\Lambda_q(\mathfrak{u}_+))$ is $U_q(\mathfrak{l})$-equivariant because $\Lambda_q(\mathfrak{u}_+)$ is a $U_q(\mathfrak{l})$-module algebra. The operators $\gamma_+(x)$ are the quantum creation operators.

Now we define the quantum annihilation operators as follows. In Proposition 3.6, we identified $\Lambda_q(\mathfrak{u}_-) \cong \Lambda_q(\mathfrak{u}_+)^*$, and hence we have $\Lambda_q(\mathfrak{u}_+) \cong \Lambda_q(\mathfrak{u}_-)$ as left $U_q(\mathfrak{l})$-modules. Thus $\Lambda_q(\mathfrak{u}_+)$ is a left $\Lambda_q(\mathfrak{u}_-)$-module, identified with the dual of the right regular representation. We denote this action of $\Lambda_q(\mathfrak{u}_-)$ on $\Lambda_q(\mathfrak{u}_+)$ by $\gamma_-$. It is given explicitly by

$$\langle w, \gamma_-(y)x \rangle = \langle w \wedge y, x \rangle$$

for $x \in \Lambda_q(\mathfrak{u}_+)$ and $w, y \in \Lambda_q(\mathfrak{u}_-)$. The algebra map $\gamma_- : \Lambda_q(\mathfrak{u}_-) \to \text{End}_C(\Lambda_q(\mathfrak{u}_+))$ is $U_q(\mathfrak{l})$-equivariant because the pairing is invariant and because $\Lambda_q(\mathfrak{u}_-)$ is a $U_q(\mathfrak{l})$-module algebra.

With the actions $\gamma_\pm$ of $\Lambda_q(\mathfrak{u}_\pm)$ on $\Lambda_q(\mathfrak{u}_+)$ as above, we obtain a $U_q(\mathfrak{l})$-equivariant map

$$\gamma : \Lambda_q(\mathfrak{u}_-) \otimes \Lambda_q(\mathfrak{u}_+) \to \text{End}_C(\Lambda_q(\mathfrak{u}_+)), \quad y \otimes x \mapsto \gamma_-(y)\gamma_+(x).\quad (5.3)$$

This map is a quantization of (5.2). That it is an isomorphism of $U_q(\mathfrak{l})$-modules follows from the fact that the two factors are Frobenius algebras.

**Theorem 5.1.** The map $\gamma$ is an isomorphism.
Proof. We show that $\gamma$ is injective, and hence for dimension reasons is an isomorphism. Indeed, assume that

$$c = \sum_{I \subseteq [N]} c_I \otimes x_I$$

lies in the kernel of $\gamma$ for some elements $c_I \in \Lambda_q(u_-)$. Here $\{x_I\}$ is the basis of $\Lambda_q(u_+)$ introduced in Definition 4.8. By Proposition 4.11(b), there is a unique ‘dual basis’ $\{z_J\}_{J \subseteq [N]}$ for $\Lambda_q(u_+)$, with $\deg z_J = N - |J|$, which satisfies

$$x_I \wedge z_J = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |I| > |J|, \\ \delta_{IJ} x_{[N]} & \text{if } |I| = |J|, \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

while if $|I| < |J|$, then $x_I \wedge z_J$ lies in $\Lambda^\leq|N|(u_+)$. Applying $\gamma(c) = \sum_I \gamma_-(c_I) \gamma_+(x_I)$ to $z_J$ and using (5.4), we obtain

$$0 = \sum_{I \subseteq [N]} \gamma_-(c_I) x_I \wedge z_J = \gamma_-(c_I) x_{[N]} + \sum_{|I| < |J|} \gamma_-(c_I) x_I \wedge z_J. \quad (5.5)$$

Now we claim that if $\gamma_-(y)x_{[N]} = 0$ for some $y \in \Lambda_q(u_-)$, then $y = 0$. Indeed, if $\gamma_-(y)x_{[N]} = 0$, then for any $w \in \Lambda_q(u_-)$ we have

$$0 = \langle w, \gamma_-(y)x_{[N]} \rangle := \langle w \wedge y, x_{[N]} \rangle.$$

However, as $\Lambda_q(u_-)$ is a graded Frobenius algebra, and in light of Proposition 4.11(b), if $y \neq 0$, then there is an element $w \in \Lambda_q(u_-)$ such that $w \wedge y = y_{[N]}$, so we get $\langle y_{[N]}, x_{[N]} \rangle = 0$. This contradicts Proposition 3.6; hence we must have $y = 0$.

Applying this claim together with induction on $|J|$ to (5.5), we conclude that $c_J = 0$ for all $J$, and hence $c = 0$. Thus $\gamma$ is injective.

DEFINITION 5.2. We define the quantum Clifford algebra to be $\Cl_q := \text{End}_\mathbb{C}(\Lambda_q(u_+))$ together with the factorization $\gamma : \Lambda_q(u_-) \otimes \Lambda_q(u_+) \to \Cl_q$ from Theorem 5.1.

REMARK 5.3. Several authors have considered quantum Clifford algebras previously, for example, [5, 10, 25, 26, 29, 30]. In these approaches, the Clifford algebras were defined explicitly by generators and relations, in contrast to our Definition 5.2. Classically, the Clifford algebra of $u_+ \oplus u_-$ is defined abstractly as a quotient of the tensor algebra. The isomorphism (5.2) is then easily established just using the cross-relations between the creation and annihilation operators,

$$\gamma_+(x) \gamma_-(y) + \gamma_-(y) \gamma_+(x) = \langle x, y \rangle, \quad y \in u_-, \ x \in u_+.$$  

While the existence of such a presentation of $\Cl_q$ can be deduced from the factorization $\gamma$, the cross-relations are in general more complicated and involve terms of higher order.

5.3. The Dolbeault operator $\partial$

We will now embed the Koszul differential for $S_q(u_+)$ into $U_q(g) \otimes \Cl_q$. Recall that this is the canonical element in $u_+ \otimes u_-$, viewed as an element in $S_q(u_+)^{op} \otimes \Lambda_q(u_-)$.

DEFINITION 5.4. We define

$$\partial := \sum_{i=1}^N x_i \otimes y_i \in S_q(u_+)^{op} \otimes \Lambda_q(u_-),$$

where $\{x_i\}$ and $\{y_i\}$ are the bases for $u_+$ and $u_-$ defined in Section 4.
As \( \{x_i\} \) and \( \{y_i\} \) are dual bases, the element \( \tilde{\sigma} \) is independent of their choice. The following result is well known.

**Proposition 5.5.** With respect to the ordinary tensor product algebra structure on \( S_q(u_+)^{\text{op}} \otimes \Lambda_q(u_-) \), we have \( \tilde{\sigma}^2 = 0 \).

**Proof.** See, for example, [49, Chapter 2, Section 3]. Recall from Remark 3.3 that the quadratic dual algebra in our conventions is the opposite of the one defined there. That is, when we consider the Koszul complex providing a resolution of \( \mathbb{C} \) as a left \( S_q(u_+) \)-module, then \( \tilde{\sigma} \) acts as

\[
\tilde{\sigma}(a \otimes f) = \sum_{i=1}^N ax_i \otimes y_i f, \quad a \otimes f \in S_q(u_+) \otimes \Lambda_q(u_-)^*.
\]

Next we embed \( \tilde{\sigma} \) into \( U_q(g) \otimes \text{Cl}_q \). Recall that the quantum root vectors \( E_{\xi_i} \) are the generators of the twisted quantum Schubert cell \( U'(w_l) \) as in Section 3.6.

**Lemma 5.6.** The assignment

\[
x_i \otimes y \mapsto S^{-1}(E_{\xi_i}) \otimes \gamma_-(y), \quad y \in \Lambda_q(u_-)
\]

extends to an algebra embedding \( \iota : S_q(u_+)^{\text{op}} \otimes \Lambda_q(u_-) \to U_q(g) \otimes \text{Cl}_q \).

**Proof.** By construction, the linear map \( x_i \mapsto E_{\xi_i} \) extends to an algebra isomorphism from \( S_q(u_+) \) to \( U'(w_l) \subseteq U_q(g) \); see Convention 4.1. The inverse of the antipode is an anti-automorphism of \( U_q(g) \). Finally, \( \gamma_- \) is an algebra homomorphism by definition.

By slight abuse of notation, we denote \( \iota(\tilde{\sigma}) \) also by \( \tilde{\sigma} \).

### 5.4. \( \ast \)-Structures and Dirac operators

Recall from Section 2.4 that \( U_q(g) \) has a \( \ast \)-structure called the compact real form. Choosing any \( \ast \)-structure on \( \text{Cl}_q \) leads to the following definition.

**Definition 5.7.** We define the Dolbeault–Dirac operator

\[
D := \tilde{\sigma} + \tilde{\sigma}^* \in U_q(g) \otimes \text{Cl}_q.
\]

The relation to the classical Dolbeault–Dirac operator from complex geometry will be explained in Section 7.1. With this definition, Theorem 1.1 follows immediately from Proposition 5.5 and Lemma 5.6.

**Remark 5.8.** The element \( \tilde{\sigma}^* \) and hence \( D \) depend on the choice of the \( \ast \)-structure on \( \text{Cl}_q \). Theorem 1.1 holds regardless of this choice, but the choice matters in the potential applications that we outline in Section 7.

For these, the \( \ast \)-structure must arise from a \( U_q(l) \)-invariant Hermitian inner product on \( \Lambda_q(u_+) \). On \( u_+ \), this is unique up to a positive scalar factor, as \( u_+ \) is irreducible. This extends canonically to each tensor power \( u_+^\otimes k \) by

\[
\langle x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k, z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_k \rangle = \langle x_1, z_1 \rangle \cdots \langle x_k, z_k \rangle,
\]
and then we can restrict this inner product to the submodule $\Lambda^k u_+$. According to [14, Proposition 3.2], the quotient map $\otimes^k u_+ \to \Lambda^k u_+$ restricts to an isomorphism $\Lambda^k u_+ \cong \Lambda^k(u_+)$ of $U_q(l)$-modules; this gives us an invariant inner product on each $\Lambda^k u_+$ and hence on $\Lambda^k u_+$.

Among the $U_q(l)$-equivariant $*$-structures on $Cl_q$, the ones constructed in this manner are distinguished by the fact that $\gamma_+(x_i)^* = \gamma_-(y_i)$ as long as the $x_i$ are orthonormal with respect to the chosen inner product on $u_+$.

6. An example: $Gr(2,4)$

In this section, we present the details of the preceding constructions in the case when the Dynkin diagram with crossed node is $\bullet \times \bullet$. This means that $g = sl_4$ and $p$ consists of all matrices in $g$ of the form

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
* & * & * & * \\
* & * & * & * \\
0 & 0 & * & * \\
0 & 0 & * & *
\end{pmatrix}
$$

The associated irreducible flag manifold is the Grassmann manifold $Gr(2,4)$ of all two-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^4$. This example is interesting for its role in Yang–Mills theory (see, for example, [2, 4] and the references therein), and since the quantum Dolbeault–Dirac operator (see also the final section below) has not been constructed explicitly in the literature yet.

6.1. Lie algebras and root data

Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ be the simple roots of $g = sl_4$ (using the conventions of [33, Section 11.4]), and take $s = 2$, so that $S = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_3\}$. The Levi factor $l$ of $p$ is given by

$$l \cong sl_2 \times gl_2 = \{(X, Y) \in gl_2 \times gl_2 \mid \text{tr} X + \text{tr} Y = 0\},$$

so $l \cong sl_2 \times sl_2$, and the nilradical $u_+$ of $p$ is an abelian Lie algebra, embedded as the upper-right $2 \times 2$ block of $p$, so the decomposition $sl_4 \cong u_- \oplus l \oplus u_+$, in $2 \times 2$ block form, is given by

$$sl_4 = \begin{pmatrix} l & u_+ \\ u_- & l \end{pmatrix},$$

and the action of $l$ on $u_+$ is given by

$$(X, Y) \cdot Z = XZ - ZY,$$

where $X, Y, Z$ are all $2 \times 2$ matrices, viewed as embedded in $sl_4$ as the upper-left, lower-right, and upper-right blocks, respectively.

The Weyl group of $sl_4$ is $W \cong S_4$, the permutation group on four letters, and we choose the reduced decomposition

$$w_0 = w_{0,1} w_1 = (s_1 s_3)(s_2 s_3 s_1 s_2)$$

of the longest word. In the notation of Section 3.5, we have $W_1 = \langle s_1, s_3 \rangle \cong Z_2 \times Z_2$, $w_{0,1} = s_1 s_3$, and the parabolic element $w_1$ is given by

$$w_1 = w_{0,1} w_0 = s_2 s_3 s_1 s_2.$$
6.2. The quantized enveloping algebra

The sets \( \{E_1, F_1, K_1\} \) and \( \{E_3, F_3, K_3\} \) generate commuting copies of \( U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \) inside \( U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_4) \), and we denote

\[
U_q(\mathfrak{g}) = \langle E_1, F_1, K_1, E_3, F_3, K_3 \rangle \cong U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \subset U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_4).
\]

6.3. The quantized representation \( \mathfrak{u}_+ \)

Let \( V = \text{span}\{v_1, v_2\} \) be the two-dimensional irreducible representation of \( U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \), where \( v_1 \) is a highest weight vector. The generators \( E, F, K \) of \( U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \) act in \( V \) via the matrices

\[
e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad k = \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

The representation \( \mathfrak{u}_+ \) of \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \) is given by

\[
\mathfrak{u}_+ \cong V \otimes V
\]

with respect to the decomposition (6.1). This means that the first copy of \( U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \) acts in \( \mathfrak{u}_+ \) via

\[
E_1 \mapsto e \otimes \text{id}_V, \quad F_1 \mapsto f \otimes \text{id}_V, \quad K_1 \mapsto k \otimes \text{id}_V,
\]

while the second copy acts via

\[
E_3 \mapsto \text{id}_V \otimes e, \quad F_3 \mapsto \text{id}_V \otimes f, \quad K_3 \mapsto \text{id}_V \otimes k.
\]

6.4. The coboundary structure

The commutor \( \sigma_{VV} : V \otimes V \to V \otimes V \) for the two-dimensional representation of \( U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \) is given by

\[
\sigma_{VV} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & q^2 - 1 & 2q & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{1 + q^2} & \frac{1}{1 + q^2} & 0 \\
0 & 2q & 1 - q^2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

with respect to the (lexicographically ordered) tensor product basis

\[
x_1 := v_1 \otimes v_1, \quad x_2 := v_1 \otimes v_2, \quad x_3 := v_2 \otimes v_1, \quad x_4 := v_2 \otimes v_2
\]

for \( V \otimes V \). The commutor for \( \mathfrak{u}_+ = V \otimes V \) is then given by

\[
\sigma_{\mathfrak{u}_+ \mathfrak{u}_+} = \tau_{23} \circ (\sigma_{VV} \otimes \sigma_{VV}) \circ \tau_{23} : \mathfrak{u}_+ \otimes \mathfrak{u}_+ \to \mathfrak{u}_+ \otimes \mathfrak{u}_+,
\]

where \( \tau_{23} \) is the tensor flip in the second and third components when we make the identification \( \mathfrak{u}_+ \otimes \mathfrak{u}_+ \cong V \otimes V \).

6.5. The quantum symmetric and exterior algebras

Diagonalizing \( \sigma_{\mathfrak{u}_+ \mathfrak{u}_+} \), we find that the space of quantum antisymmetric 2-tensors \( \Lambda^2_q \mathfrak{u}_+ = \ker(\sigma_{\mathfrak{u}_+ \mathfrak{u}_+} + \text{id}) \), that is, the space of relations for the quantum symmetric algebra, is the span of

\[
x_1 \otimes x_2 - qx_2 \otimes x_1, \quad x_1 \otimes x_3 - qx_3 \otimes x_1, \\
x_2 \otimes x_4 - qx_4 \otimes x_2, \quad x_3 \otimes x_4 - qx_4 \otimes x_3, \\
x_2 \otimes x_3 - x_3 \otimes x_2, \quad x_1 \otimes x_4 - x_4 \otimes x_1 - (q - q^{-1})x_2 \otimes x_3.
\]
The corresponding relations are
\[
\begin{align*}
x_1x_2 &= qx_2x_1, \\
x_1x_3 &= qx_3x_1, \\
x_2x_4 &= qx_4x_2, \\
x_3x_4 &= qx_4x_3, \\
x_2x_3 &= x_3x_2, \\
x_1x_4 - x_4x_1 &= (q - q^{-1})x_2x_3.
\end{align*}
\]
Hence, the quantum symmetric algebra \( S_q(\mathfrak{u}_+) \) is the familiar algebra of \( 2 \times 2 \) quantum matrices.

The quantum exterior algebra \( \Lambda_q(\mathfrak{u}_-) \) is the quadratic dual of \( S_q(\mathfrak{u}_+) \) (keeping in mind Remark 3.3). Denoting the dual basis to \( \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\} \) by \( \{y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4\} \) and the multiplication in \( \Lambda_q(\mathfrak{u}_-) \) by \( \wedge \), we find that the relations are
\[
\begin{align*}
y_1 \wedge y_1 &= y_2 \wedge y_2 = y_3 \wedge y_3 = y_4 \wedge y_4 = 0, \\
y_2 \wedge y_1 &= -q^{-1}y_1 \wedge y_2, \\
y_3 \wedge y_1 &= -q^{-1}y_1 \wedge y_3, \\
y_4 \wedge y_2 &= -q^{-1}y_2 \wedge y_4, \\
y_4 \wedge y_3 &= -q^{-1}y_3 \wedge y_4, \\
y_4 \wedge y_1 &= -y_1 \wedge y_4, \\
y_2 \wedge y_3 + y_3 \wedge y_2 &= (q - q^{-1})y_1 \wedge y_4. 
\end{align*}
\]

6.6. The twisted quantum Schubert cell

The radical roots are
\[
\begin{align*}
\xi_1 &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \\
\xi_2 &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \\
\xi_3 &= \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \\
\xi_4 &= \alpha_2,
\end{align*}
\]
and the corresponding quantum root vectors, defined with respect to the expression for \( w_0 \) given above, that generate the twisted quantum Schubert cell are
\[
\begin{align*}
E_{\xi_1} &= E_3(E_1E_2 - q^{-1}E_2E_1) - q^{-1}(E_1E_2 - q^{-1}E_2E_1)E_3, \\
E_{\xi_2} &= E_1E_2 - q^{-1}E_2E_1, \\
E_{\xi_3} &= E_3E_2 - q^{-1}E_2E_3, \\
E_{\xi_4} &= E_2.
\end{align*}
\]
Proposition 3.17 can now be verified directly for this example using the quantum Serre relations; that is, the elements \( E_{\xi_1}, E_{\xi_2}, E_{\xi_3}, E_{\xi_4} \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \) indeed satisfy the same relations as \( x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \) and transform in the same way as them under the adjoint action of \( U_q(\mathfrak{i}) \).

Although the span of the \( E_{\xi_i} \) is invariant under the adjoint action of \( U_q(\mathfrak{i}) \), it is not in the locally finite part of \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \), that is, its orbit under the adjoint action of all of \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \) is infinite-dimensional (see [35, Section 7.1.3] for more information). If one multiplies the \( E_{\xi_i} \) on the right by \( K_{-2m_\alpha} \), then the span of the resulting elements is in the locally finite part of \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \). These elements coincide with the \( X^i \) defined in [42, Section 4].

7. A motivation

This final section aims at explaining our motivation for this paper, which was to further study the spectral triples on the quantized compact Hermitian symmetric spaces from [42]. Before we discuss this application of the results, we explain the classical picture, that is, how the counterparts of our algebraic structures relate for \( q = 1 \) to the geometry of the compact Hermitian symmetric spaces.

7.1. The classical geometric picture

First of all, view a pair of a complex semisimple Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \) and a parabolic Lie subalgebra \( \mathfrak{p} \) as an infinitesimal description of the complex manifold \( G/P \), where \( G \) is the (connected, simply connected) Lie group corresponding to \( \mathfrak{g} \) and \( P \) is the parabolic subgroup having Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{p} \). These spaces are referred to as the generalized flag manifolds, and (with respect to a Hermitian metric induced by the Killing form of \( \mathfrak{g} \)) they exhaust the compact homogeneous
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Kähler manifolds [54] as well as the coadjoint orbits of the compact semisimple Lie groups. This leads to a wealth of applications in geometry, physics, and representation theory; see, for example, [4; 8, Chapter 8; 15]. The case in which \( p \) is of cominuscule type as in Proposition 2.1 corresponds to \( G/P \) being a symmetric space; see, for example, [40, Proposition 8.2]. This symmetric space is irreducible precisely when \( g \) is simple, so the pairs \((g, p)\) that we consider throughout the paper correspond to the irreducible compact Hermitian symmetric spaces. A general compact Hermitian symmetric space is just a product of irreducible ones.

As a real manifold, \( G/P \) is diffeomorphic to \( G_0/L_0 \), where \( G_0 \) is the compact real form of \( G \) and \( L_0 = L \cap G_0 \) is the compact real form of \( L \) [4, Section 6.4]. If \( P \) is the parabolic subgroup of \( G \) with Lie algebra \( q = \{ \oplus u_- \} \), then \( G/Q \) is also diffeomorphic to \( G_0/L_0 \) and hence to \( G/P \). However, the two induced complex structures on \( G_0/L_0 \) are inverse to each other.

Our next aim is to describe the Dolbeault complex \((\Omega^{(0, \bullet)}, \bar{\partial})\) of the complex manifold \( G/Q \). To this end, identify \( g/q \) with the holomorphic tangent space of \( G/Q \) at the identity coset. This identifies the adjoint representation of \( q \) on \( g/q \) with the isotropy representation. As representations of \( L_0 \), we have \( g/q \cong u_+ \). Hence the smooth sections of the holomorphic tangent bundle \( T^{(1,0)} \) can be identified with the \( L_0 \)-equivariant smooth functions

\[
\psi: G_0 \rightarrow u_+, \quad \psi(gh) = h^{-1}\psi(g) \quad \text{for all } g \in G_0, h \in L_0, \tag{7.1}
\]

as \( T^{(1,0)} \) is associated to the \( L_0 \)-principal fiber bundle \( G_0 \rightarrow G_0/L_0 \) by the isotropy representation. We fix an \( L_0 \)-invariant Hermitian inner product \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) on \( u_+ \), which induces an isomorphism of complex vector bundles \( T^{(1,0)} \cong \Omega^{(0,1)} \). Hence from now on, we view functions \( \psi \) as in (7.1) as smooth \((0, 1)\)-forms on \( G/Q \). Similarly, \((0, n)\)-forms are identified with \( L_0 \)-equivariant smooth functions from \( G_0 \) to \( \Lambda^n(u_+) \). Finally, the Dolbeault operator

\[
\bar{\partial}: \Omega^{(0, n)} \rightarrow \Omega^{(0, n+1)} \tag{7.2}
\]

acting on these functions is obtained by taking Cartan’s differential \( d \) of a \((0, n)\)-form and projecting onto \( \Omega^{(0, n+1)} \).

In order to construct the Hilbert space of square-integrable sections of the bundle \( \Omega^{(0, \bullet)} \), one embeds \( \Lambda^n(u_+) \) into \( u_+^{\otimes n} \) and extends the inner product on \( u_+ \) to one on \( \Lambda^n(u_+) \), for each \( n \), as in Remark 5.8. Then one obtains an inner product on smooth sections of \( \Omega^{(0, \bullet)} \), defined for \( L_0 \)-equivariant functions \( \phi, \psi : G_0 \rightarrow \Lambda(u_+) \) by

\[
(\phi, \psi) := \int_{G_0} \langle \phi(g), \psi(g) \rangle dg, \tag{7.3}
\]

where we integrate with respect to the Haar measure of \( G_0 \). We denote by \( \mathcal{H} \) the Hilbert space completion of \( \Omega^{(0, \bullet)} \) with respect to this inner product.

The universal enveloping algebra \( U(\mathfrak{g}) \) acts on the algebra \( C^\infty(G_0) \) of smooth complex-valued functions on \( G_0 \) by extending the action of \( U(\mathfrak{g}_0) \) by differential operators. If \( Cl \) is the Clifford algebra of \( u_+ \otimes u_- \) with respect to the canonical symmetric bilinear form, then \( Cl \) acts naturally on \( \Lambda(u_+) \) via the representation constructed in Section 5.1. Hence

\[
U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes Cl \]

acts on the algebra \( C^\infty(G_0) \otimes \Lambda(u_+) \) of all smooth functions from \( G_0 \) to \( \Lambda(u_+) \). Under this action, the classical analog of our \( \bar{\partial} \) is easily seen to leave the subalgebra of \( L_0 \)-equivariant functions invariant, and we have

\[
(\bar{\partial}\phi, \psi) = (\phi, \bar{\partial}\psi) \tag{7.4}
\]

for smooth sections \( \phi, \psi \) of \( \Omega^{(0, \bullet)} \).
The choice of compact real form of $G$ induces a $*$-structure on $U(q)$, and the Hermitian inner product on $\Lambda(u_+)$ induces a $*$-structure on $\mathcal{C}$. By (7.4), the element

$$D := \partial + \partial^* \in U(q) \otimes \mathcal{C}$$

acts, up to a scalar, as the Dolbeault–Dirac operator on $G/Q$ formed with respect to the canonical spin$^c$-structure; see [27, Section 3.4] or [7, Section 3.6]. Analogously, $D$ implements the Dolbeault–Dirac operator of $G/P$ formed with respect to the anti-canonical spin$^c$-structure.

The point of the algebraic description of the Dolbeault–Dirac operator is that it leads to a computation of its square and of its spectrum, based on the celebrated Parthasarathy formula that expresses $D^2$ as a linear combination of Casimir elements in $U(q), U(l)$ and constants; see [1, 41, 47] and also [38, Lemma 12.12] for this algebraic approach to Dirac operators and the Parthasarathy formula, [11, 12, 50, 52] for the construction of spinors on symmetric spaces and the application of Parthasarathy’s formula in explicit computations of spectra. As we will explain next, the present article is meant as a step toward a quantum analog of these results.

7.2. Spectral triples on quantized $G/P$

Recall that the matrix coefficients of the Type 1 representations of $U_q(g)$ generate a Hopf $*$-algebra $\mathbb{C}_q[G]$ that deforms the complex coordinate ring of the real affine algebraic group $G_0$. The universal $C^*$-completion of $\mathbb{C}_q[G]$ is the fundamental example of a compact quantum group in the sense of Woronowicz [55]. Together with $G_0$, one can quantize $L_0$ in the form of a quotient Hopf $*$-algebra $\mathbb{C}_q[L]$, and also $G_0/L_0$ in the form of a right coideal subalgebra $A$ of $\mathbb{C}_q[G]$ [23, 43, 45]. Associated vector bundles such as $\Omega^{0,\bullet}$ can be quantized in the form of finitely generated projective $A$-modules, which admit Hilbert space completions $\mathcal{H}$ using the Haar measure of the $C^*$-completion of $\mathbb{C}_q[G]$. See, for example, [28, 42] and the references therein for these topics. The paradigmatic example of such a quantized symmetric space is the standard Podleś quantum sphere [48].

These structures all arise naturally from quantum group theory. An obvious question to ask is whether there is also a Dirac-type operator $D$ on a quantized spinor module that produces a spectral triple $(A, \mathcal{H}, D)$ in the sense of Connes. This would provide a quantization of the metric structure of $G/P$.

Dąbrowski and Sitarz constructed such a spectral triple over the Podleś sphere by deforming the Dirac operator with respect to the standard spin structure and Levi-Civita connection [18]. In [42], an abstract argument was given that a quantization of the Dolbeault–Dirac operator on all symmetric $G/P$ exists. It was shown that the commutators $[D, a]$ between algebra elements $a \in A$ and the Dirac operator are given by bounded operators, which is the first axiom of a spectral triple. However, the implicit nature of the construction meant that it was not possible to compute the spectrum of $D$, nor even to prove that $D$ had compact resolvent. The latter is the second axiom for a spectral triple, and is a key condition for it to define a K-homology class for the $C^*$-algebra completion of the quantization $A$ of $G_0/L_0$. Up to now, the only cases in which this has been carried out are the projective spaces; see [20]. The approach is by direct computation, and relies on the Hecke condition for the relevant braidings, so it seems difficult to generalize these methods to arbitrary $G/P$.

The main motivation for us is that our new approach to the construction of $\text{Cl}_q$, and hence a quantization of the Dolbeault–Dirac operator in terms of the algebras of Berenstein and Zwicknagl, might lead to new techniques for its study and ultimately to a quantum version of the Parthasarathy formula.

Another natural problem that arises is whether one can construct nonstandard quantized enveloping algebras and symmetric spaces also for other deformations of polynomial rings, such as the Jordan plane or the Sklyanin algebras.
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