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A B S T R A C T
We introduce some braided varieties – braided orbits – by considering quotients of the so-called Reflection Equation Algebras associated with Hecke symmetries (i.e. special type solutions of the quantum Yang–Baxter equation). Such a braided variety is called regular if there exists a projective module on it, which is a counterpart of the cotangent bundle on a generic orbit $\Theta \in gl(m)^*$ in the framework of the Serre approach. We give a criterion of regularity of a braided orbit in terms of roots of the Cayley–Hamilton identity valid for the generating matrix of the Reflection Equation Algebra in question. By specializing our general construction we get super-orbits in $gl(m|n)^*$ and a criterion of their regularity.
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1. Introduction

Let $L \in gl(m, C)^*$ be a generic matrix, that is its eigenvalues $\mu_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, are pairwise distinct. As is well known, its orbit $O \subset gl(m, C)^*$ under the coadjoint action of the group $GL(m, C)$ is a regular affine algebraic variety. Namely, any such orbit $O$ can be defined by the following system of polynomial equations:

$$\text{Tr}^k - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i^k = 0, \quad k = 1, \ldots, m.$$  (1.1)

The main objective of the present paper is to give an explicit description of generic orbits in $gl(m|n)^*$ and their braided counterparts. These braided generic orbits constitute a subclass of braided varieties which are quotients of the so-called Reflection Equation Algebra of the $GL(m|n)$ type. Let us recall its definition.

Let $V$ be a finite dimensional vector space. A braiding $R \in \text{End}(V^\otimes 2)$ is a solution of the quantum Yang–Baxter equation

$$R_{12}R_{23}R_{12} = R_{23}R_{12}R_{23}, \quad \text{where} \quad R_{12} = R \otimes I, \quad R_{23} = I \otimes R.$$

A braiding $R$ is called a Hecke symmetry if it satisfies the following second degree equation

$$(qI - R)(q^{-1}I + R) = 0,$$

where $q \in C^\times$ is generic. If $q = 1$ (this value is not forbidden) the corresponding braiding is called an involutive symmetry.
Given a Hecke symmetry \( R \), consider a unital associative algebra generated by elements \( \ell^i_j \) subject to the system

\[
RL_1RL_1 - RL_1R_1R = 0, \tag{1.2}
\]

where \( L_1 = L \otimes I \) and \( L = \| \ell^i_j \|, 1 \leq i, j \leq \text{dim } V \), is a matrix with entries \( \ell^i_j \). This algebra is denoted \( \mathcal{L}(R) \) and called the Reflection Equation Algebra (REA) corresponding to the given Hecke symmetry \( R \), the matrix \( L \) is called the generating matrix of the algebra \( \mathcal{L}(R) \).

We say that an algebra \( \mathcal{L}(R) \) is of \( GL(m\vert n) \) type if the degree of the numerator (resp., denominator) of the Hilbert-Poincaré series \( P_-(r) \) (see Section 2), which is always a rational function, equals \( m \) (resp., \( n \)). A popular example is provided by the algebra \( \mathcal{L}(R) \) corresponding to a Hecke symmetry \( R = R(q) \) which is a deformation of a super-flip \( \sigma = R(1) \). The operator \( \sigma \) acts in the space \( V^{\otimes 2} \) where \( V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \) is a super-space with the even component \( V_0 \) and odd component \( V_1 \), such that \( \text{dim } V_0 = m \) and \( \text{dim } V_1 = n \) (the ordered couple \( (m\vert n) \) is usually called the super-dimension\(^2\) of the space \( V \)). In this case the algebra \( \mathcal{L}(R) \) is a deformation of \( C[gl(m\vert n)^+] \cong \text{Sym}(gl(m\vert n)) \) and turns into the latter algebra as \( q \to 1 \). In particular, if the space \( V \) is even (i.e. \( n = 0 \)), the algebra \( \mathcal{L}(R) \) is a deformation of the algebra \( C[gl(m)^+] \). As an example we mention the REA corresponding to the Hecke symmetry coming from the Quantum Group \( U_q(sl(m)) \).

For a more detailed treatment of the \( GL(m\vert n) \) type REA we refer the reader to [1–3]. Here we reproduce one of the basic properties of this algebra: its center \( Z(\mathcal{L}(R)) \) is similar to that of the enveloping algebra \( U(gl(m\vert n)) \). In particular, the elements

\[
p_k(L) := \text{Tr}_R L^k, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

called the power sums in analogy with the classical case, belong to the center \( Z(\mathcal{L}(R)) \). Hereafter, \( \text{Tr}_R \) stands for the so-called braided (quantum or \( R \)-) trace which can be associated with any skew-invertible (see Section 2) braiding \( R \). Let us emphasize that this trace is one of the main features of the braided geometry.\(^3\)

Since the elements \( \text{Tr}_R L^k \) are central in the algebra \( \mathcal{L}(R) \), it is natural to consider the quotient \( \mathcal{L}(R)/\langle l \rangle \) where \( \langle l \rangle \) is the two-sided ideal generated by the set \( I \subseteq \mathcal{L}(R) \) consisting of the elements coming in the left hand side of (1.1) but with \( \text{Tr}_R \) instead of the usual trace. Also, the sums \( \sum_{k=1}^m \mu_k \) must be modified in an appropriate way. Such a quotient \( \mathcal{L}(R)/\langle l \rangle \) can be treated as a braided analog of (the coordinate rings of) an algebraic variety, which is a generic coadjoint orbit provided all \( \mu_i \) are pairwise distinct. However, proceeding in this way, we have to answer the following questions.

1. What are the “braided” analogs of the eigenvalues \( \mu_i \)?
2. How many equations are there in the braided case, i.e. which number must replace the index \( m \) in (1.1)?
3. For which values of the braided eigenvalues the corresponding quotient can be treated as a regular braided variety (and consequently, a braided generic orbit)?

Note that even if \( R \) is a super-flip and therefore \( \mathcal{L}(R) \cong \text{Sym}(gl(m\vert n)) \), these questions are still meaningful.

The problem of diagonalization of a super-matrix was studied in [6]. Let us point out that we do not consider such a diagonalization. We define the eigenvalues of a super-matrix as the roots of the Cayley–Hamilton (CH) identity satisfied by this super-matrix. The various forms of the CH identity for super-matrices (including the one convenient for our aims) has been given in [7]. In [1] a CH identity was presented for the generating matrix \( L \) of the REA \( \mathcal{L}(R) \) associated with any skew-invertible Hecke symmetry \( R \) of the \( GL(m\vert n) \) type. The corresponding CH identity has the form

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{m+n} c_k(L) L^k = 0, \tag{1.3}
\]

where \( c_k(L) \) are non-trivial central elements of the algebra \( \mathcal{L}(R) \).

Let \( \{\mu_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq m+n} \) be the roots of the equation

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{m+n} c_k(L) \mu^k = 0
\]

considered as elements of the algebraic extension of the localization

\[
Z(\mathcal{L}(R))_{\text{loc}} := S^{-1}Z(\mathcal{L}(R))
\]

\(^2\) In general, we call the couple \( (m\vert n) \) the bi-rank. Also, note that in the notation \( \text{Sym}(gl(m\vert n)) \) below the symmetric algebra is understood in the sense of the super-theory.

\(^3\) Note that the trace \( \text{Tr}_R \) maps the matrix \( L \) (or its powers) into the algebra \( \mathcal{L}(R) \). This trace differs from the “numerical quantum trace” defined on objects \( \text{End}(U) \) in a monoidal category. The latter trace is usually introduced via a ribbon Hopf algebra structure. A direct way of introducing this trace without any Hopf algebra is exhibited in [4]. The reader is referred to [5] for a comparison of these two forms of the quantum trace.

Another important feature of the braided geometry is a modification of the notions of Lie algebras, vector fields, differential operators. All these notions are coordinated with the initial Hecke symmetry \( R \). Thus, if \( R \) is a super-flip, \( \text{Tr}_R \) turns into a (super-)trace and the corresponding “braided Lie bracket” \( [\,] \) turns into a super-Lie one.

\(^4\) Note, that we do not speak about the CH identity and “eigenvalues” of an arbitrary matrix with entries from \( \mathcal{L}(R) \). We are dealing with a very special matrix \( L \) in a sense, it arises from the central element \( \text{Tr}_R L \) as explained in [5].

Also, note that the algebra \( \mathcal{L}(R) \) is a particular case of the so-called Quantum Matrix Algebras (QMA) which are associated with a couple of compatible braidings \( (R, F) \) (see [1]). The generating matrix \( L \) of a QMA satisfies a CH identity as well, but its form differs from the classical one. Besides, in general, the coefficients of such a CH identity are not central in the corresponding algebra. This obstacle does not allow us to consider similar “orbits” in other QMA.
of $Z(\mathcal{L}(R))$ by the set $S = \{c_{m+n}^k(L), \ k = 1, 2, \ldots\}$. These roots are called quantum eigenvalues of the matrix $L$. We assume them to be central in the algebra $\mathcal{L}(R)$. They play the role of usual eigenvalues in the analysis below. This is the answer to question 1 from the above list. If $n = 0$, the leading coefficient $c_{m+n}(L)$ equals 1 and the mentioned localization does not affect the algebra $Z(\mathcal{L}(R))$. However, in general, $c_{m+n}(L)$ is not a number.

Also, in the general case (i.e. if $n \neq 0$) the set of all eigenvalues splits into two subsets: even eigenvalues and odd ones. This splitting stems from the factorization of the CH identity discovered in [1]. In what follows we denote the odd eigenvalues $\nu_i$ and keep the notation $\mu_i$ for even ones.

The key point of our method is a parametrization of the power sums $\text{Tr}_k L^k$ in terms of the quantum eigenvalues. Let $p_k(\mu, \nu)$ be such a parametrization. Then we define a braided variety $C_q[O_{\mu, \nu}]$ by the following system of the polynomial equations

$$\text{Tr}_k L^k - p_k(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}) = 0, \ k = 1, 2, \ldots, m + n, \ \bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu} \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{1.4}$$

Here we pass to a specialization $\mu \mapsto \bar{\mu}$ and $\nu \mapsto \bar{\nu}$ of the elements from $Z(\mathcal{L}(R))_{\text{loc}}$ to complex numbers. In what follows we omit the bar over the letters keeping the notations $\mu$ and $\nu$ for numeric values of roots. In each case the meaning of a symbol is clear from the context.

Thus, the braided variety $C_q[O_{\mu, \nu}]$ is a quotient algebra

$$C_q[O_{\mu, \nu}] = \mathcal{L}(R)/\langle \text{Tr}_k L - p_1(\mu, \nu), \ldots, \text{Tr}_k L^{m+n} - p_{m+n}(\mu, \nu) \rangle.$$ 

By this we give an answer to question 2 from the above list: the number of defining equations in the system (1.4) equals $m + n$. By abusing the language we call this braided variety a braided orbit.

As for question 3, it can be now reformulated in the following way. For which values of $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_m)$ and $\nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n)$ can the quotient $C_q[O_{\mu, \nu}]$ be considered as a regular braided variety? Our answer to this question is based on the following observation. According to the famous Serre result [8] the space of sections of a vector bundle on a regular affine algebraic variety $\mathcal{M}$ is a finitely generated projective module over the coordinate algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}]$. On quantizing this algebra, it is possible to simultaneously quantize such a module. In the framework of the formal quantization scheme such a quantization is ensured by the construction in the paper [9].

We do not use this deformation quantization scheme. By contrast, for certain braided orbits $C_q[O_{\mu, \nu}]$ we explicitly construct projective modules which play the role of the cotangent bundles over generic orbits in $gl(m)^*$ in the framework of the Serre approach. We call these modules cotangent. Also, we call a quotient $C_q[O_{\mu, \nu}]$ for which this module exists a regular braided orbit or a braided generic orbit. Specializing our general construction to the case when $R$ is a super-flip, we get cotangent modules over super-orbits in $gl(m|n)^*$.

In order to construct these cotangent modules we employ the differential calculus on the algebra $\mathcal{L}(R)$ developed in [10]. In this calculus we do not use any form of the Leibnitz rule which is usually employed in “quantum differential calculus”. Instead, we are dealing with a Koszul type complex (see Section 3). This approach enables us to compute the differentials of the functions $\text{Tr}_k L^k$, $k = 1, \ldots, m + n$, and to explicitly construct the mentioned cotangent module provided the eigenvalues $\mu$ and $\nu$ do not belong to an exceptional set $\mathcal{E}$ which is defined by zeros of a determinant. So, all quotients $C_q[O_{\mu, \nu}]$ corresponding to the eigenvalues $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{C}^{\oplus(m+n)} \setminus \mathcal{E}$ are considered to be braided generic orbits.

Furthermore, our construction can be extended to appropriate quotients of the so-called modified REA, which are in a sense braided analogs of the enveloping algebras $U(gl(m|n))$. We call these modules braided non-commutative (NC) orbits. In Section 5 we present a criterium (similar to that mentioned above) which ensures regularity of such an orbit. Considering a particular case when $R$ is a super-flip, we get a description of regular or generic NC super-orbits. Note that certain braided deformations of generic super-orbits give rise to some Poisson pencils on these super-orbits which will be considered in the subsequent paper [11].

By completing the Introduction we would like to make some comments. For the first time the Reflection Equation (with a parameter) was introduced in [12] in connection with scattering of particles on a boundary. The corresponding algebra (called lately the REA) was studied by S. Majid under the name of braided matrix algebra (see [13] and the references therein). In particular, S. Majid discovered braided Hopf algebra structure in its appropriate quotients. This result as well as our construction of the REA representation theory [3] and of the CH identity for the matrix $L$ [1] belong to “braided linear algebra”. Whereas in the present paper we develop some aspects of “braided affine algebraic geometry”, namely, we are dealing with analogs of affine algebraic varieties.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we present a short review of the REA and its properties used in the sequel. In Section 3 we present some aspects of the braided differential calculus. It helps us to formulate a criterium of regularity of algebras we are dealing with. In Section 4 we present this criterium in terms of the quantum eigenvalues. In Section 5 we extend these results to the braided NC orbits.

5 In the super-case this parametrization reads

$$p_k(\mu, \nu) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i^k - \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i^k.$$

6 Note that in the classical case such a variety is not an orbit but rather a collection of them if eigenvalues of the matrix $L$ are not pairwise distinct.
2. Reflection equation algebra: CH identity and other properties

Let \( V \) be a finite dimensional vector space and \( R \in \text{End}(V^\otimes 2) \) be a Hecke symmetry. We associate with \( R \) two quadratic algebras—quotients of the free tensor algebra \( T(V) \) generated by the space \( V \):

\[
\text{Sym}_k(V) = T(V)/\langle \text{Im}(qI - R) \rangle, \quad \bigwedge_k(V) = T(V)/\langle \text{Im}(q^{-1}I + R) \rangle.
\]

These are \( R \)-analogues of the usual symmetric and skew-symmetric algebras respectively. Denote \( \text{Sym}_k^R(V) \) and \( \bigwedge_k^R(V) \) the \( k \)-th degree homogeneous component of the algebras in question and introduce the corresponding Hilbert-Poincaré series

\[
P_+(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} t^k \dim \text{Sym}_k^R(V), \quad P_-(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} t^k \dim \bigwedge_k^R(V).
\]

As was shown in [14], these series are always rational functions, and, therefore, each of them can be presented as a ratio of two coprime polynomials. Denote \( m \) (resp., \( n \)) the degree of the numerator (resp., denominator) of the rational function \( P_-(t) \). Let us call the ordered pair \( (m|n) \) bi-rank of the space \( V \) or the corresponding Hecke symmetry \( R \). It is an analog of the super-dimension of the space \( V \) and coincides with it when \( R \) is a super-flip or its deformation. In this case \( m + n = N = \dim V \). However, in general it is not so. By using results of [15] it is possible to construct Hecke symmetries of the bi-rank \( (m|n) \) such that \( m + n < N \).

In what follows we assume the symmetry \( R \) to be skew-invertible. This means that there exists an operator \( \Psi \in \text{End}(V^\otimes 2) \) such that

\[
\text{Tr}_2 R_{12} \Psi_{23} = \sigma_{13}, \quad \text{(2.1)}
\]

where the (usual) trace is applied to the operator product \( R_{12} \Psi_{23} \in \text{End}(V^\otimes 3) \) in the second space and \( \sigma \) is the usual flip. Consider two operators \( B : V \to V \) and \( C : V \to V \) defined as follows

\[
B = \text{Tr}_1 \Psi, \quad C = \text{Tr}_2 \Psi. \quad \text{(2.2)}
\]

These operators play a crucial role in defining \( R \)-traces mentioned in the Introduction. Thus, the operator \( C \) comes in the following way in the formulae for the power sums

\[
p_k(L) = \text{Tr}_k L^k := \text{Tr}(L^k C), \quad k \geq 1
\]

(besides, we put \( p_0(L) := 1 \)). As we noticed above these elements belong to the center of the algebra \( \mathcal{L}(R) \).

Another family generating the center \( Z(\mathcal{L}(R)) \) is formed by the so-called Schur functions (moreover, this family spans \( Z(\mathcal{L}(R)) \) as a vector space). Any such a function \( s_\lambda(L) \) is associated with a partition \( \lambda \) of a non-negative integer \( k \)

\[
\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots), \quad 0 \leq \lambda_{i+1} \leq \lambda_i, \quad \sum \lambda_i = k.
\]

We refer the reader to [12] for detailed definition and properties of the Schur functions for any QMA (see footnote 4). Observe that in general the Schur functions are not central, but span a commutative subalgebra (called characteristic) of the QMA in question.

It is worth noticing that in any QMA the Schur functions are polynomials in the algebra generators and satisfy the following multiplication rule

\[
s_\lambda(L) s_\mu(L) = \sum_v C^{\nu}_{\lambda,\mu} s_\nu(L)
\]

where \( C^{\nu}_{\lambda,\mu} \) are the Littlewood–Richardson coefficients.

The Schur functions corresponding to single-column and single-row partitions \( \lambda = (1^k) \) and \( \lambda = (k) \) respectively, \( k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), are of special interest. We denote them \( a_k(L) \) and \( s_k(L) \) respectively. The interrelations among the functions from the sets \( \{a_k\}, \{s_k\} \) and \( \{p_k\} \) are described by the following formulae (below \( k \geq 1 \))

\[
(-1)^k k_q a_k(M) + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} (-q)^r a_r(M) p_{k-r}(M) = 0, \quad \text{(2.3)}
\]

\[
k_q s_k(M) - \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} q^{-r} s_r(M) p_{k-r}(M) = 0, \quad \text{(2.4)}
\]

\[
\sum_{r=0}^{k} (-1)^r a_r(M) s_{k-r}(M) = 0, \quad \text{(2.5)}
\]

---

In the sequel we do not need the operator \( B \), it plays an analogous role in constructions related to another version of the REA:

\[
RL_2 R_{12} - L_2 R_{12} R = 0.
\]
where as usual
\[ k_q := \frac{q^k - q^{-k}}{q - q^{-1}}. \]

The relations (2.3) and (2.4) are called quantum Newton relations. They differ from the classical versions by factors depending on \( q \). By contrary, the relation (2.5) (called Wronskian one) does not depend on \( q \) and coincides completely with its classical counterpart.

In what follows a distinguished role is played by the following partitions for which we introduce a special notation
\[
[m|n] := \binom{n^m}{m}
\]
\[
[m|n]_r := \binom{n^m}{m, r}
\]
\[
[m|n]^k := \binom{(n + 1)^k, n^{m-k}}{k}
\]
\[
[m|n]_k := \binom{(n + 1)^k, n^{m-k}, r}{k}
\]

To visualize the structure of these partitions we give a graphical image (the Young diagram) of the partition \([m|n]^k\):
\[
\text{n boxes}
\]

\[
\text{m boxes}
\]

\[
\text{k boxes}
\]

\[
\text{r boxes}
\]

In this notation the CH identity for the generating matrix \( L \) of the algebra \( \mathcal{L}(R) \) reads [1]
\[
\sum_{i=0}^{m+n} \left( \sum_{k=\max(0,i-n)}^{\min(i,m)} (-1)^k q^{2k-i} s_{[m|n]^k} \right) L^{m+n-i} = 0.
\] (2.6)

Multiplying this identity by \( s_{[m|n]}(L) \) and taking into account the following quadratic relations among the Schur functions
\[
s_{[m|n]}(L)s_{[m|n]}(L) = s_{[m|n]}(L)s_{[m|n]}^k(L)
\] (2.7)
we can rewrite the CH identity (2.6) in a factorized form
\[
\left( \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-q^k s_{[m|n]}(L) L^{m-k} \right) \left( \sum_{r=0}^{n} q^{-r} s_{[m|n]}(L) L^{n-r} \right) = 0.
\] (2.8)

This form of the CH identity enables us to introduce the notions of even and odd eigenvalues of the matrix \( L \). Namely, the roots of the first (resp., second) factor in (2.8) are called even (resp., odd) eigenvalues and are denoted \( \mu_i, 1 \leq i \leq m \), (resp., \( \nu_i, 1 \leq i \leq n \)). Since all coefficients coming in the factors of the product (2.8) belong to the center \( Z(\mathcal{L}(R)) \) of the algebra \( \mathcal{L}(R) \), the eigenvalues \( \mu_i \) and \( \nu_i \) are treated to be elements of an algebraic extension of the localization \( Z(\mathcal{L}(R))_{\text{loc}} = S^{-1} Z(\mathcal{L}(R)) \) of the center \( Z(\mathcal{L}(R)) \) by the set \( S = \{s_{[m|n]}(L)^k, k = 1, 2, \ldots \} \).

It turns out that all Schur functions can be expressed via the eigenvalues \( \mu_i \) and \( \nu_i \). Thus, for the Schur function \( s_{[m|n]}(L) \) we have (see [2])
\[
s_{[m|n]}(L) \mapsto s_{[m|n]}(\mu, \nu) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (q^{-1} \mu_i - q \nu_j).
\] (2.9)

In a similar manner we can parameterize the power sums \( p_k(L) = \text{Tr}_\mu L^k \) (see [16]) :
\[
p_k(L) \mapsto p_k(\mu, \nu) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} d_i \mu_i^k + \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_j \nu_j^k \quad \forall k \geq 0,
\] (2.10)
where the coefficients \( d_i \) and \( d_j \) (called quantum dimensions) have the form
\[
d_i = q^{-1} \prod_{p=1}^{m} \mu_i - q^{-2} \mu_p \prod_{j=1}^{n} \mu_i - \mu_j, \quad d_j = -q \prod_{i=1}^{m} \nu_j - q^{-2} \nu_i \prod_{j=1}^{n} \nu_i - \nu_p.
\] (2.11)

**Example.** Let us consider an example: \( m = 3, n = 2 \). In particular, this example covers the case related to the quantum group \( U_q(3|2) \). (As was mentioned in the Introduction, in this case \( \dim V = 3 + 2 = 5 \)).
The CH identity (2.6) becomes
\[ s_{3[2]} L^5 + \left( q^{-1} s_{3[2]} - q s_{5[3]} \right) L^4 + \left( q^{-2} s_{3[2]} - s_{3[2]}^2 + q^2 s_{3[2]}^2 \right) L^3 + \left( -q^{-1} s_{3[2]}^2 + q s_{3[2]}^3 - q^3 s_{3[2]} \right) L^2 + \left( s_{3[2]}^3 - q^2 s_{3[2]} \right) L - q s_{3[2]} I = 0. \]

The bilinear relations (2.7) read
\[
\begin{align*}
    s_{3[2]} s_{3[2]}^2 &= s_{3[2]} s_{3[2]}^1, \\
    s_{3[2]}^2 s_{3[2]} &= s_{3[2]}^1 s_{3[2]}^2, \\
    s_{3[2]}^2 s_{3[2]}^2 &= s_{3[2]}^2 s_{3[2]}^2.
\end{align*}
\]

(2.12)

Then multiplying the above CH identity by \( s_{3[2]} \) and employing these bilinear relations we arrive to the factorized form of the CH identity:
\[ (s_{3[2]} L^3 - q s_{3[2]}^1) L^2 + q^2 s_{3[2]}^2 L - q^3 s_{3[2]} I) (s_{3[2]} L^2 + q^{-1} s_{3[2]} I) (s_{3[2]} L + q^{-2} s_{3[2]} I) = 0. \]

Thus, we have three even eigenvalues \( \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \) and two odd ones \( v_1, v_2 \). In virtue of the Vieta formula we have
\[ q s_{3[2]}^i = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3, \quad q^2 s_{3[2]}^i = \mu_1 \mu_2 + \mu_1 \mu_3 + \mu_2 \mu_3, \quad q^3 s_{3[2]}^i = \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3. \]

\[ -q^{-1} s_{3[2]} = v_1 + v_2, \quad -q^{-2} s_{3[2]} = v_1 v_2. \]

So, we can rewrite the CH identity as follows
\[ s_{3[2]} (L - \mu_1)(L - \mu_2)(L - \mu_3)(L - v_1)(L - v_2) = 0. \]

Besides, we have the following parametrization of the Schur function \( s_{3[2]} \):
\[ s_{3[2]} = \prod_{i=1}^{3} \prod_{j=1}^{2} (q^{-1} \mu_i - q v_j). \]

The above parametrization enables us to find a parametrization of all other Schur functions (see [2] for more detail). For example, we have
\[ s_{3[2]} = q^3 \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \prod_{i=1}^{3} \prod_{j=1}^{2} (q^{-1} \mu_i - q v_j), \quad s_{3[2]} = q^2 v_1 v_2 \prod_{i=1}^{3} \prod_{j=1}^{2} (q^{-1} \mu_i - q v_j). \]

Returning to the general case, we note that in order to realize the localization of the center \( Z(L(R)) \) by the the set \( S \) we should assume that the element \( S_{[m,n]} \) does not vanish. In virtue of (2.9), this requirement entails that \( \mu_i \neq q^2 v_j \) for all couples \( (i, j) \). In the next section we shall give a full description of the exceptional set \( E \) mentioned in the Introduction which includes all families \( \mu, v \) such that \( \mu_i = q^2 v_j \) at least for one pair \( \mu_i, v_j \). Thus, on the complementary set \( C^{m+n} \setminus E \) the element \( S_{[m,n]} \) is invertible and consequently the localization \( Z(L(R))_{loc} = S^{-1} Z(L(R)) \) is well defined.

3. Elements of braided differential calculus

In this section we introduce certain elements of differential calculus on the algebras \( C_q(\mathcal{O}_{\mu,v}) \) based on the approach suggested in [3] (see also the references therein). In this approach we use the Koszul type complexes whose terms are defined via a series of braided symmetrization and skew-symmetrization projectors. These projectors act in spaces \( L^{\otimes k} \) where \( \mathcal{L} = \text{span}_C(\mathcal{I}) \) is a vector space generating the algebra \( L(R) \). Without going into detail we describe some aspects of this method.

Let \( L_- \subset L^{\otimes 2} \) be the subspace of \( L^{\otimes 2} \) spanned by the left hand side of (1.2). In a sense it is a braided analog of the usual skew-symmetric subspace. For this reason the algebra \( L(R) = T(\mathcal{L})/(L_-) \) will be also denoted \( \text{Sym}_q(\mathcal{L}) \).

Furthermore, in the space \( L^{\otimes 2} \) there exists another subspace
\[ L_+ = \text{span}_C(R L_1 R L_1 + L_1 R L_1 R^{-1}), \]
which can be considered as an analog of the usual symmetric subspace. Then the algebra \( \wedge_q(\mathcal{L}) = T(\mathcal{L})/(L_+) \) is an analog of the usual skew-symmetric algebra. The basic property of the subspaces \( I_+ \subset L^{\otimes 2} \) is that they are complementary, i.e.
\[ I_+ \cap L_- = \{0\}, \quad I_+ + L_- = L^{\otimes 2}. \]

(3.1)
Let us suppose that any \( k \)-th order homogeneous element \( f \in \text{Sym}_q^k(L) = \mathcal{L}^k(R) \) (respectively \( f \in \bigwedge_q^k(L) \)) can be presented in the complete "symmetric" (respectively "skew-symmetric") form, i.e. as an element of the subspace

\[
I_{+}^{(k)} = I_{+} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{k-2} \bigcup \mathcal{L} \otimes I_{+} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{k-3} \bigcup \cdots \bigcup \mathcal{L}^{k-2} \otimes I_{+}
\]

(respectively, \( I_{-}^{(k)} = I_{-} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{k-2} \bigcup \mathcal{L} \otimes I_{-} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{k-3} \bigcup \cdots \bigcup \mathcal{L}^{k-2} \otimes I_{-} \)).

We call this form canonical. This presentation of homogeneous elements can be naturally realized via (skew)symmetrization projectors

\[
p_{+}^{(k)} : \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k} \rightarrow I_{+}^{(k)}
\]

with the natural property \( p_{+}^{(k)} p_{\pm}^{(i)} = p_{\pm}^{(k)} \) where \( i + j \leq k + 1 \) and \( p_{\pm}^{(i)} \) stands for the projector \( p_{\pm}^{(i)} \) acting in the product \( \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k} \) on the terms with numbers \( j, j+1, \ldots, j+i-1 \).

In [3] such projectors have been constructed for \( k = 2, 3 \) (also, see formulae (3.4) and (3.5) below). In general, the problem of their explicit construction is still open.

Consider a family of complexes labelled by positive integers \( r \)

\[
d : \bigwedge_q^k(L) \otimes \text{Sym}_q^p(L) \rightarrow \bigwedge_q^{k+1}(L) \otimes \text{Sym}_q^{p-1}(L), \quad k + p = r
\]

\[
d((y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_k) \otimes (x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_p)) = p(y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_k \cdot x_1) \otimes (x_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_p).
\]

Here we assume that the elements \( y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_k \in \bigwedge_q^k(L) \) and \( x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_p \in \text{Sym}_q^p(L) = \mathcal{L}^p(R) \) are written in the canonical form. This prevents us from the necessity of using any form of the Leibnitz rule. Note that we have put the factor \( p \) in the above formula for the differential \( d \) by analogy with the classical case but in principle it can be replaced by any non-trivial factor.

The complexes above can be put together in one complex

\[
d : \bigwedge_q^k(L) \otimes \mathcal{L}(R) \rightarrow \bigwedge_q^{k+1}(L) \otimes \mathcal{L}(R). \quad (3.2)
\]

We leave to the reader checking that \( d^2 = 0 \). Recall, however, that before the second application of the differential \( d \) we have to represent the element \( y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_k \cdot x_1 \) in the canonical form by means of the projector \( p_{+}^{(k+1)} \).

Let us point out that we do not use any transposition between elements from \( \mathcal{L}(R) \) and those from \( \bigwedge_q(L) \). So, we consider the terms of the complex (3.2) to be one-sided (namely, right) \( \mathcal{L}(R) \)-modules. Note that an attempt to introduce such a transposition is not compatible with the restriction of the space of differential form to braided orbits (see [17] where this problem is discussed on the example of a quantum sphere (hyperboloid)).

Now, we define the space of first order differentials on the algebras \( C_q(\Theta_{\mu, \nu}) \). First, we apply the differential \( d \) to the elements \( \text{Tr}_R L^k \). According to our scheme, before applying the differential \( d \) to a homogeneous element \( \text{Tr}_R L^k \) we have to present it in the canonical form by means of the symmetrizer \( p_{+}^{(k)} \).

**Conjecture 1.** For the elements \( \text{Tr}_R L^k \in \mathcal{L}^k(R) \) the following relation is valid

\[
p_{+}^{(k)} \text{Tr}_R L^k = p_{+}^{(k-1)} \text{Tr}_R L^k.
\]

**Proposition 2.** Conjecture 1 is true for the involutive symmetry \( R \).

Without going into detail we only note that the proof is based on the fact that the element \( \text{Tr}_R L^k \) is invariant with respect to the operator \( R_{12}R_{23} \ldots R_{k-1,k} \).

We consider a more interesting and more complicated case when \( R \) is not involutive. For the reader’s convenience we reproduce here the explicit formulae for the projectors \( P_{+}^{(2)} \) and \( P_{+}^{(3)} \) from [3].

We introduce a linear operator \( Q : \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \) defining its action on the basis vectors as follows:

\[
Q(L_1 \otimes L_2) = R_{12}L_1 \otimes L_2 R_{12}^{-1}.
\]

Here \( L_2 = R_{12}L_1 R_{12}^{-1} \), and the notation \( \otimes \) stands for the usual matrix product of \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) but their matrix elements are tensorized in the resulting matrix instead of being multiplied. The matrix elements of \( L_1 \otimes L_2 \) form a basis of the space \( \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \).

Explicitly, this basis is as follows

\[
(L_1 \otimes L_2)^{i_1j_2}_{i_1j_2} = \delta^{i_1i_2} \delta^{j_1j_2} R_{a_1b_2}^{-1} c_{a_1b_2}.
\]
For an arbitrary Hecke type symmetry $R$ the formula (3.4) is valid for $k = 2$ and $k = 3$.

**Proof.** For $k = 2$ the claim means that the element $\text{Tr}_R L^2 \in \text{Sym}_2^1(\mathcal{L})$, i.e. it is already symmetrized. To verify this we calculate the action of $P^{(3)}_+$ on the element $\text{Tr}_R L^2$. For this purpose we use the following identity which can be easily proved with the use of (2.1) and (2.2)

$$\text{Tr}_R L^2 \equiv \text{Tr}_{R(12)}(L_1L_2R_{12}).$$

Using the definition of $Q^{\pm 1}$ and the cyclic property of the $R$-trace

$$\text{Tr}_{R(12...k)}(R_{i+1}^{11}M_{12...k}) = \text{Tr}_{R(12...k)}(M_{12...k}R_{i+1}^{11}), \quad \forall M, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k - 1,$$

we get:

$$P^{(2)}_+ (\text{Tr}_R L^2) = 2q^{-2} \text{Tr}_{R(12)}((q^2 + q^{-2} + 2)L_1L_2R_{12}) = \text{Tr}_R L^2.$$  

Therefore, $\text{Tr}_R L^2 \in \text{Im}(P^{(2)}_+) = \text{Sym}_2^2(\mathcal{L}).$

Turn now to the element $\text{Tr}_R L^3$. Below we use the shorthand notation $R_i := R_{i+1}$. Using the identity

$$\text{Tr}_R L^3 \equiv \text{Tr}_{R(123)}(L_1L_2L_3R_1R_2R_3),$$

we first find

$$P^{(2)}_+ (\text{Tr}_R L^3) = 2q^{-2} \text{Tr}_{R(123)}(L_1L_2L_3(2(R_1R_2 + R_2R_1) - \xi R_1 + \xi R_1 R_2 R_3)), \quad (3.6)$$

where $\xi := q - q^{-1}$. To obtain the above result we used the cyclic property of $R$-trace and the following relation

$$R_iL_k = L_kR_i, \quad \forall i, k : \ i \neq k - 1, k.$$

Now we shall calculate the action $P^{(3)}_+(\text{Tr}_R L^3)$ and compare it with (3.6). As can be seen from the structure of $P^{(3)}_+$ given in the second line of (3.5), we actually have to calculate the action of $P^{(2)}_+ P^{(2)}_+$ and of its square $(P^{(2)}_+ P^{(2)}_+)^2$ on the right hand side of (3.6). These actions lead to transformation of $R$-matrix multipliers at $L_1L_2L_3$ under the sign of $R$-trace. We shall not reproduce the calculations in full detail constraining ourselves by writing down some key intermediate results. First of all we note that the matrix structures

$$I_A := R_1R_2R_3 + R_1 + R_2, \quad I_B := R_1R_2 + R_2R_1 - \xi (R_1 + R_2)$$

are invariant with respect to the action of $P^{(2)}_+ P^{(2)}_+$ which precisely means that

$$P^{(2)}_{i+1,2} (\text{Tr}_{R(123)}(L_1L_2L_3 \cdot I_{A,B})) = \text{Tr}_{R(123)}(L_1L_2L_3 \cdot I_{A,B}). \quad (3.6)$$
In terms of these invariants the transformation of matrix structures under the action of the operator $P_{+1}^{(2)}$ reads:

\[
P_{+1}^{(2)} : R_1 \to R_1
\]
\[
R_2 \rightarrow 2^{-2}_q(2I_a - \xi I_b - (\xi^2 + 2)R_1)
\]
\[
R_1 R_2 R_1 \rightarrow 2^{-2}_q((\xi^2 + 2)I_a + \xi I_b - 2R_1)
\]
\[
R_1 R_2 + R_2 R_1 \rightarrow 2^{-2}_q(2\xi I_a + 4I_b + 2\xi R_1).
\]

The action of $P_{+2}^{(2)}$ are obtained from the above formulae by changing $R_1 \leftrightarrow R_2$.

Representing the matrix structure in (3.6) in the form

\[
2(R_1 R_2 + R_2 R_1) - \xi R_1 + 2R_1 R_2 R_1 = 2I_a + \xi R_2
\]

we find

\[
P_{+2}^{(2)} P_{+1}^{(2)} : \xi I_a + 2I_b + \xi R_2 \rightarrow \frac{2^4 + 4}{2^4_q} \xi I_a + \frac{(2^4 - \xi^2)}{2^4_q} 2I_b + \frac{(2^2 - 2)^2}{2^4_q} R_2.
\]

The action of $(P_{+2}^{(2)} P_{+1}^{(2)})^2$ leads to a more cumbersome expression:

\[
(P_{+2}^{(2)} P_{+1}^{(2)})^2 : \xi I_a + 2I_b + \xi R_2 \rightarrow \left(1 + \frac{2^4(2 + 2^2(2^2 - 2))}{2^8_q}\right) \xi I_a + \left(1 - \frac{2^4(2 + 2^2(2^2 - 2))}{2^8_q}\right) 2I_b + \frac{(2^2 - 2)^4}{2^8_q} R_2.
\]

To get the action of the operator $P_{+1}^{(2)}$ we should compose the linear combination in accordance with (3.5):

\[
P_{+1}^{(2)} (\text{Tr}_R L^3) = \frac{2^6_q}{4 \cdot 3^2_q} ((P_{+2}^{(2)} P_{+1}^{(2)}) - a P_{+2}^{(2)} P_{+1}^{(2)} + b) P_{+2}^{(2)} (\text{Tr}_R L^3).
\]

On taking into account (3.6), the above result for the action of powers of $P_{+2}^{(2)} P_{+1}^{(2)}$ together with the values of coefficients $a$ and $b$, we come to the formula (3.3).

Note that in order to prove the conjecture for $k \geq 4$ we need the explicit form of the projectors $P_{+i}^{(k)}$.

As follows from the conjecture above the result of applying $d$ to the element $\text{Tr}_R L^k$ equals $k P_{+2}^{(k-1)} d_1(\text{Tr}_R L^k)$ where $d_1$ stands for the differential (3.2) applied to the first factor. Whereas the other factors are assumed to be symmetrized via the projector $P_{+2}^{(k-1)}$. However, this projector commutes with $d_1$. Therefore, we can apply the operator $d_1$ first and then apply the symmetrizer $P_{+2}^{(k-1)}$ to the result.

Upon writing $\text{Tr}_R L^k$ in the explicit form

\[
\text{Tr}_R L^k = \bigotimes_{p_1 p_2 \ldots p_k} C_{p_1}^{p_2} \ldots C_{p_{k-1}}^{p_k} C_{p_k}^{p_1}
\]

we have

\[
d_1(\text{Tr}_R L^k) = d(\bigotimes_{p_1}^{p_2} \bigotimes_{p_2}^{p_3} \ldots C_{p_{k-1}}^{p_k} \bigotimes_{p_k}^{p_1}).
\]

(Here all indices run from 1 till $N = \dim V$.) Though in this writing we do not apply the projector $P_{+2}^{(k-1)}$, it does not affect the element $d_1(\text{Tr}_R L^k)$ but only its presentation.

Now, we are able to introduce the space $\Omega^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mu, \nu})$ of differential 1-forms on the algebra $\mathbb{C}_q[\mathcal{O}_{\mu, \nu}]$ as the quotient

\[
\Omega^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mu, \nu}) = \bigwedge^1_q (\mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathbb{C}_q[\mathcal{O}_{\mu, \nu}]/(d(\text{Tr}_R L^1), \ldots, d(\text{Tr}_R L^{m+n}))
\]

where the denominator is treated to be a submodule of the right $\mathbb{C}_q[\mathcal{O}_{\mu, \nu}]$-module $\bigwedge^1_q (\mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathbb{C}_q[\mathcal{O}_{\mu, \nu}]$.

Though we do not need spaces of higher order differential forms, we want to mention their construction. Thus, we define the space $\mathcal{O}^k(\mathcal{O}_{\mu, \nu})$ to be the quotient of the right $\mathbb{C}_q[\mathcal{O}_{\mu, \nu}]$-module $\bigwedge^1_q (\mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathbb{C}_q[\mathcal{O}_{\mu, \nu}]$ over submodule generated by elements $\omega \cdot d(\text{Tr}_R L^i), i = 1, \ldots, m + n$ where $\omega$ runs over the space $\bigwedge^q_q (\mathcal{L})$. Note that the first $k$ factors of the elements $\omega \cdot d(\text{Tr}_R L^i)$ must be presented in the canonical form, i.e. skew-symmetrized.

4. Cotangent modules over braided orbits

In the classical case all spaces $\Omega^k(\mathcal{O}_{\mu, \nu})$ constructed in the previous section are vector bundles provided that the corresponding orbit is generic. In what follows we restrict ourselves to the vector bundle of 1-forms and describe (the space of sections of) this bundle in the spirit of the Serre approach as a projective module over the corresponding coordinate ring. We shall call it the cotangent module. More precisely, we construct such a module over the algebra $\mathbb{C}_q[\mathcal{O}_{\mu, \nu}]$ for generic $\mu$ and $\nu$. The values of these parameters for which the cotangent module does not exist will be included in an exceptional set $\mathcal{E}$. 
Let us set
\[ a_j^i(1) = C_j^i, \quad a_j^i(k) = \delta_j^i p_1^{p_2^{\cdots p_{k-2}^{p_{k-1}}}}, \quad k \geq 2, \ 1 \leq i, j \leq N. \]

Then we have
\[ d(\text{Tr}_k L^k) = (d L^k) \otimes a_j^i(k). \]

Consider a matrix
\[ A = (a(1), a(2), \ldots, a(m+n)) \]
where \( a(k), \ 1 \leq k \leq m+n \) is the column
\[ (a_1^1(k), a_1^2(k), \ldots, a_1^n(k), a_2^1(k), a_2^2(k), \ldots, a_2^n(k), \ldots, a_N^1, a_N^2, \ldots, a_N^n)^t. \]
Hereafter \( t \) stands for the transposition. The column \( a(k) \) is treated to be the “gradient” of the power sum \( \text{Tr}_k L^k \). Thus, the size of the matrix \( A \) is \( N^2 \times (m+n) \).

Consider another matrix \( B \) of the size \((m+n) \times N^2 \) defined as follows
\[ B = (b(1), b(2), \ldots, b(m+n))^t \]
where the row \( b(k) \) reads
\[ b(k) = (b_1^1(k), b_1^2(k), \ldots, b_1^n(k), b_2^1(k), b_2^2(k), \ldots, b_2^n(k), \ldots, b_N^1, b_N^2, \ldots, b_N^n) \]
and
\[ b_1^i(1) = \delta_1^i, \quad b_j^i(k) = \delta_j^i p_1^{p_2^{\cdots p_{k-2}^{p_{k-1}}}}, \quad k \geq 2, \ 1 \leq i, j \leq N. \]

Now, we calculate the matrix product \( B \cdot A \):
\[
B \cdot A = \begin{pmatrix}
\text{Tr}_k L^k & \text{Tr}_k L^k & \ldots & \text{Tr}_k L^{m+n-1} \\
\text{Tr}_k L^k & \text{Tr}_k L^k & \ldots & \text{Tr}_k L^{m+n} \\
\text{Tr}_k L^k & \text{Tr}_k L^k & \ldots & \text{Tr}_k L^{m+n+1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\text{Tr}_k L^{m+n-1} & \text{Tr}_k L^{m+n} & \ldots & \text{Tr}_k L^{2(m+n-1)}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Formula (1.4) allows us to express the entries of this matrix via the eigenvalues \( \mu \) and \( v \)
\[
B \cdot A = \begin{pmatrix}
p_0(\mu, v) & p_1(\mu, v) & \ldots & p_{m+n-1}(\mu, v) \\
p_1(\mu, v) & p_2(\mu, v) & \ldots & p_{m+n}(\mu, v) \\
p_2(\mu, v) & p_3(\mu, v) & \ldots & p_{2(m+n-1)}(\mu, v) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
p_{m-n+1}(\mu, v) & p_{m+n}(\mu, v) & \ldots & p_{3(m+n-1)}(\mu, v)
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (4.1)

Now, we are going to calculate the determinant of the matrix (4.1) and to find the conditions under which this matrix is invertible. Using relations (2.10) we can factorize this matrix into the product of two square \((m+n) \times (m+n)\) matrices
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
d_1 & \ldots & d_m \\
d_1 \mu_1 & \ldots & d_m \mu_m \\
d_1 \mu_1^2 & \ldots & d_m \mu_m^2 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
d_1 \mu_1^{m+n-1} & \ldots & d_m \mu_m^{m+n-1} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & \mu_1 & \mu_1^2 & \ldots & \mu_1^{m+n-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & \nu_1 & \nu_1^2 & \ldots & \nu_1^{m+n-1} \\
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Now, on taking out the factors \( d_i \) and \( d_i' \) from the determinant of the first matrix we get that the determinant of the matrix (4.1) equals
\[
\det(B \cdot A) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} d_i \prod_{j=1}^{n} d_j' \left( \prod_{i<j} (\mu_i - \mu_j) \prod_{i=j} (\mu_i - \nu_j) \prod_{i<j} (\nu_i - \nu_j) \right)^2.
\]

Here we used the formula for the determinant of a Wronskian matrix.

Again, by means of formulae (2.11), we conclude that the matrix (4.1) is invertible iff
\[
\mu_i \neq q^2 \mu_j, \quad \nu_i \neq q^2 \nu_j, \quad \mu_i \neq q^2 \nu_j \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, \ 1 \leq j \leq n.
\] (4.2)

Let us unite all values of \((\mu, v)\) which do not satisfy this condition into the set \( \delta \).
Now, for all values of the parameters which do not belong to $\mathcal{E}$ we can construct the cotangent module on the algebra $C_q(\mathcal{O}_{\mu,v})$. Let us denote $C = (B \cdot A)^{-1}$ and consider the $N^2 \times N^2$ matrix $\widetilde{e} = A \cdot C \cdot B$. It can be easily seen that the matrix $\widetilde{e}$ is an idempotent: $\widetilde{e}^2 = \widetilde{e}$. Thus, the right $C_q(\mathcal{O}_{\mu,v})$-module

$$M = \widetilde{e} C_q(\mathcal{O}_{\mu,v}) \oplus N^2$$

is projective. It is generated by the “gradients” of the power sums $Tr_k L^k$, $1 \leq k \leq m + n$. The complementary module

$$M = e C_q(\mathcal{O}_{\mu,v}) \oplus N^2, \quad \text{where } e = I - \widetilde{e}$$

gives an explicit realization of the space $\Omega^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mu,v})$ as a projective module.

Concluding this section, we want to make the following observation. Any generic orbit in $gl(m)^*$ can be given via different (but equivalent) systems of equations. Thus, instead of the system (1.1) we can consider that obtained by fixing the values of the coefficients of the CH identity (namely, the elementary symmetric polynomials in eigenvalues). In a general case we have a similar situation: fixing values of the eigenvalues of the generating matrix $L$ is equivalent to using the system (1.4).

In order to show this equivalence we first express all coefficients of the CH polynomial (the leading coefficient included) via the power sums $Tr_k L^k$, $1 \leq k \leq m + n$. To this end we express the elementary symmetric functions $a_i(L)$, $0 \leq r \leq m + n$ via these sums by employing formulae (2.3). Then by using the Jacobi–Trudy formulae (see [18]) we can express the coefficients $[m \mid n]^k$ and $[m \mid n]$, of the factorized CH identity (2.8) via the functions $a_i(L)$. Thus, all coefficients of the CH identity (2.6) can be realized as some polynomial expressions in the power sums in question. It remains to note that any elementary symmetric (in the usual sense) polynomial in the roots of the CH identity can be presented as the corresponding coefficient of the CH polynomial divided by $s_{(m\mid n)}$ and consequently, as a rational function in the power sums $Tr_k L^k$, $1 \leq k \leq m + n$.

5. Extension to braided NC orbits

Besides the REA $\mathcal{L}(R)$ there are known other quantum matrix algebras with similar properties of generating matrix $L$. For these algebras certain quotients looking like braided orbits can also be defined. The well known example is the algebra $U(gl(m\mid n))$. Its generating matrix satisfies a CH identity with central coefficients. Also, a formula analogous to (2.10) is valid. Thus, the technique developed in the previous section can be applied for definition of analogs of generic orbits in $U(gl(m\mid n))$.

The simplest way to realize this program is to pass to the so-called modified Reflection Equation Algebra (mREA). The defining relations of $mREA \mathcal{L}(R, h)$ are similar to that of $\mathcal{L}(R)$ (1.2) but with linear terms in the right hand side:

$$R \hat{L}_1 R \hat{L}_1 - \hat{L}_1 R \hat{L}_1 R = h(R \hat{L}_1 - \hat{L}_1 R), \quad (5.1)$$

where $\hat{L} = [L]_i$, $1 \leq i, j \leq \dim V$ and $\hat{L}_1 = \hat{L} \otimes 1$. All objects related to the mREA $\mathcal{L}(R, h)$ will be denoted by hatted letters.

We introduced a parameter $h$ in the definition of the mREA in order to present this algebra as a deformation of $\mathcal{L}(R)$ in the case when the Hecke symmetry $R = R(q)$ is a deformation of the super-flip $R(1) \in End (V \otimes V)$ where $V$ is a super-space of super-dimension $(m \mid n)$. In this case the mREA turns into the algebra $U(gl(m \mid n)_h)$ as $q \rightarrow 1$ (the subscript $h$ means that we have introduced the parameter $h$ in the Lie bracket of the super-Lie algebra $gl(m \mid n)$). For this reason we treat the algebra $\mathcal{L}(R, h)$ to be a braided analog of the enveloping algebra $U(gl(m \mid n)_h)$.

Observe that for $q \neq 1$ the algebras $\mathcal{L}(R)$ and $\mathcal{L}(R, h)$ are isomorphic to each other (though it is not so for the algebras $Sym (gl(m\mid n))$ and $U(gl(m\mid n)_h)$). In order to construct their isomorphism we put

$$L = \hat{L} - \frac{h}{\xi}, \quad \text{where } \xi = q - q^{-1}. \quad (5.2)$$

Then, the system (1.2) turns into that (5.1). However, this isomorphism fails as $q \rightarrow 1$.

Now we state that the matrix $L$ obeys the CH identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m+n} c_k (\hat{L})^k = 0, \quad (5.3)$$

with central coefficients: $\hat{c}_k \in Z(\mathcal{L}(R, h))$. In order to find the corresponding CH polynomial we should make the shift (5.2) in the CH (1.3) and reduce the resulting expression

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m+n} c_k \left( \hat{L} - \frac{h}{\xi} \right)^k \left( \hat{L} - \frac{h}{\xi} \right)^k = 0$$

to the form (5.3).

By straightforward but tedious computations it is possible to show that the coefficients $\hat{c}_k (\hat{L})$ of the polynomial in (5.3) have a finite limit as $q \rightarrow 1$. (Note that in the case $n = 0$ this property was proven in [19].) Thus, by passing to the limit $q \rightarrow 1$ we get the CH identity for the matrix $\hat{L}$ generating the algebra $U(gl(m\mid n)_h)$ such that the coefficients of this identity are central polynomials in the generators of the algebra in question.
Denote $\hat{\mu}_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, and $\hat{\nu}_j$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, the roots of the equation

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m+n} \hat{c}_k(\hat{L})t^k = 0 \quad (5.4)$$

corresponding respectively to $\mu_k$ and $\nu_k$. Namely, we have $\hat{\mu}_k = \mu_k + \frac{h}{\ell}$, $\hat{\nu}_k = \nu_k + \frac{h}{\ell}$. The roots $\hat{\mu}_k$ and $\hat{\nu}_k$ are called respectively even and odd eigenvalues of the matrix $\hat{L}$.

Expressing the power sums $\hat{p}_k(\hat{L}) = \text{Tr}_{\hat{R}}L^k$ via these eigenvalues we get the formula analogous to (2.10) but with different expressions for quantum dimensions:

$$\hat{d}_i = q^{-1} \sum_{p=1}^{m} \frac{\hat{\mu}_i - q^{-2}\hat{\mu}_p - q^{-1}h}{\hat{\mu}_i - \hat{\mu}_p} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\hat{\mu}_i - q^{-2}\hat{\nu}_j + qh}{\hat{\mu}_i - \hat{\nu}_j},$$

$$\hat{d}_j = -q \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\hat{\nu}_j - q^{-2}\hat{\mu}_i - q^{-1}h}{\hat{\nu}_j - \hat{\mu}_i} \sum_{p=1}^{n} \frac{\hat{\nu}_j - q^{-2}\hat{\nu}_p + qh}{\hat{\nu}_j - \hat{\nu}_p}.$$  

(5.5)

In order to prove these formulae it suffices to observe that

$$\text{Tr}_{\hat{R}}f(\hat{L}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} f(\hat{\mu}_i)d_i + \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(\hat{\nu}_j)d'_j,$$

where $d_i$ and $d'_j$ are defined by (2.11) and $f(t)$ is an arbitrary polynomial.

Taking the limit $q \to 1$ in the CH (5.4), we get a formula for the power sums in the algebra $U(gl(m|n))$. Namely, we obtain that in this algebra the quantum dimensions are

$$\hat{d}_i = \prod_{p=1, p \neq i}^{m} \frac{\hat{\mu}_i - \hat{\mu}_p - h}{\hat{\mu}_i - \hat{\mu}_p} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\hat{\mu}_i - \hat{\nu}_j + h}{\hat{\mu}_i - \hat{\nu}_j},$$

$$\hat{d}'_j = -\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\hat{\nu}_j - \hat{\nu}_i - h}{\hat{\nu}_j - \hat{\nu}_i} \prod_{p=1}^{n} \frac{\hat{\nu}_j - \hat{\nu}_p + h}{\hat{\nu}_j - \hat{\nu}_p}.$$  

(5.6)

Going back to the general case we consider the following quotients of the algebras $\mathcal{L}(R, h)$

$$\mathcal{C}_q[\hat{\Theta}_{\mu, \nu}] = \mathcal{L}(R, h)/\langle \mathcal{R} - \hat{p}_1(\mu, v), \ldots, \mathcal{R}^{m+n} - \hat{p}_{m+n}(\mu, v) \rangle,$$

where the functions $\hat{p}_k(\mu, v)$ are defined by (2.10) but with quantum dimensions given by (5.5) and (5.6). These quotients are called braided NC orbits.

Let us define the projective module $\hat{M}_{\mu, \nu}$ similar to $M_{\mu, \nu}$. We set $\hat{M}_{\mu, \nu} = \hat{e} \mathcal{C}_q[\hat{\Theta}_{\mu, \nu}]^{\hat{\Theta} = \mathbb{N}^2}$ where $\hat{e}$ is defined by a formula similar to that for $e$. The only modification consists in defining the exceptional set $\hat{\Theta}$ of the values $\hat{\mu}$, $\hat{\nu}$ for which construction of the module $\hat{M}_{\mu, \nu}$ fails. The set $\hat{\Theta}$ contains all parameters $\hat{\mu}$, $\hat{\nu}$ for which at least one of the following conditions fails is not fulfilled

$$\hat{\mu}_i - q^{-2}\hat{\mu}_j - q^{-1}h \neq 0, \quad \hat{\nu}_j - q^{-2}\hat{\nu}_j + qh \neq 0, \quad \hat{\mu}_i - q^{-2}\hat{\nu}_j + qh \neq 0.$$

By analogy with the previous case, the $\mathcal{C}_q[\hat{\Theta}_{\mu, \nu}]$-module $\hat{M}_{\mu, \nu}$ is called cotangent one. Upon taking the limit $q \to 1$ we get the cotangent module over a NC super-orbit. The corresponding exceptional set is a specialization of $\hat{\Theta}$ where we put $q = 1$.

In conclusion we would like to emphasize that the family of regular orbits in a classical (or super-) case is bigger than in the case of a braided deformation. For instance, compare this family for the classical case $gl(2)$ and that for its braided (NC) counterpart. If in the former case the only restriction on the eigenvalues is $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ in the latter case there are two restrictions $\hat{\mu}_1 \neq q^2\hat{\mu}_2 + q^{-1}h$ and $\hat{\mu}_2 \neq q^2\hat{\mu}_1 + q^{-1}h$. In general, they coincide with each other iff $q = 1$ and $h = 0$.
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