Spinal Accessory Nerve Duplication – A Rare Anatomical Consternation During Neck Dissection

Rohit Jindal¹, Kamal Kishor Lakhera¹, Pinakin Patel¹, Suresh Singh¹, Ravinder Singh Gothwal², Raj Govind Sharma¹, Krishan Gopal Sharma²

¹Department of Surgical Oncology, Sawai Man Singh Medical College and Hospital, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India. ²Department of Radiation Oncology, Sawai Man Singh, Medical College and Hospital, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India.

Abstract
Prevention of the spinal accessory nerve (SAN) is an indispensable aspect of the functional neck dissection surgery to avoid highly disabling shoulder syndrome postoperatively. This requires comprehensive knowledge of the anatomy of SAN and its variations. Rare anatomical variations like SAN duplication can result in an inadvertent injury to the SAN. We report a case of duplication of SAN, which was encountered while doing a functional neck dissection surgery for oral squamous cell carcinoma. No iatrogenic injury occurred during the surgery and neither there was any SAN dysfunction post-operatively. Meticulous dissection and consistent identification of SAN, along with vast anatomical knowledge is the key to the preservation of the nerve during the surgery. This report aims to broaden our anatomical knowledge of SAN and also discuss the clinical implications and literature pertaining to the duplication of SAN.
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Introduction
In the management of head and neck cancers, neck dissection forms an essential part of the surgery. In more than a century, neck dissection surgery has evolved from the classical radical neck dissection (RND) as described by Crile [1] in 1906 to more conservative approaches like functional neck dissection (FND) and selective neck dissection (SND). While SAN was sacrificed in the RND procedure, it was Dargent and Papillon, who first proposed the preservation of SAN [2]. The preservation of SAN get its importance from the fact that iatrogenic injury to the nerve results in “Shoulder Syndrome” which is characterized by shoulder pain, impaired abduction of the shoulder and winging of scapula, thus adding to significant long term morbidity. Shoulder dysfunction has been demonstrated in up to 42.5% of patients who undergo SND [3]. Even after meticulous dissection, an iatrogenic injury to SAN can occur, especially when rare unrecognized anatomical variations like duplication are present. Knowledge of the course of SAN in the neck and its anatomical variation is imperative for the neck surgeons in order to avoid any inadvertent injury to the nerve [4]. We report a case of SAN duplication encountered during FND in a patient of oral cavity cancer.

Case Report
A 45-year-old male presented to the Department of Surgical Oncology with a 6-month history of a painless and gradually progressive lesion over right buccal mucosa of the oral cavity. The past history and medical history were unremarkable. The patient was a regular tobacco chewer since past 25 years. On clinical examination, an ulceropro-p Martial lesion was found to involve right buccal mucosa, extending from the right canine region to the right retromolar trigone posteriorly (Figure 1). The overlying skin and underlying bone were not involved. Palpable ipsilateral cervical lymphadenopathy included level Ib, II lymph nodes. Contrast-enhanced computed tomography scan reaffirmed the findings of a 6x4 cm
heterogeneous lesion over the right buccal mucosa with multiple enlarged ipsilateral level Ib, II lymph nodes (Figure 2).

Subsequently, a punch biopsy of the lesion revealed moderately differentiated squamous cell carcinoma and the patient thereafter underwent wide local excision along with modified radical neck dissection type III followed by a nasolabial flap reconstruction.

During the dissection of level IIb nodes, duplication of SAN was observed (Figure 3A), which was even more discernible during the dissection in the posterior triangle (Figure 3B). Both the nerves were seen penetrating sternocleidomastoid (SCM) muscle, then exiting SCM posteriorly before finally entering trapezius muscle. Twitching of the trapezius muscle was evident while working close to both the nerves, further elucidating the rare anatomical variation of SAN duplication. Subsequent to surgery, he recovered gradually and was discharged in a healthy condition without any shoulder dysfunction.

Discussion

SAN is the second most commonly affected peripheral nerve (18%) after median nerve (21.3%) during surgery [5]. Iatrogenic damage to the SAN is a major source of malpractice litigation [6]. Careful and meticulous dissection is the only way to preserve SAN [7] and deep knowledge of the nerve’s anatomy and its variations is thus imperative to preserve it.

After its exit from the jugular foramen, SAN descends backwards and passes deep to the posterior belly of the digastric muscle to reach the upper part of the SCM, which it innervates. It then exits SCM at its lateral border at the Erb’s point and traverses the posterior triangle obliquely downwards and backwards before it terminates in the trapezius muscle. The safest identification of the SAN is at the Erb’s point [3].

Duplication of the SAN is an extremely rare variation. SAN duplication has been reported in 2 cases out of 56 (1.8%) in a cadaveric study, one intracranially and one extracranially [8]. After an extensive review of the literature using MEDLINE, PubMed databases with a combination of following terms ‘spinal accessory nerve’; ‘dual’; ‘duplication’; ‘anatomical variation’, we conclude that ours is the third case in the literature so far. The first case was reported in 2018 when SAN duplication was identified during neck dissection in a patient oral of cavity cancer [9]. The second case was also reported only recently, in 2020, in a patient of oral cavity cancer who underwent SND [4]. There wasn’t any iatrogenic injury to the nerve in either of the cases. Similarly, our case also had SAN duplication identified intraoperatively while doing neck dissection for oral cavity cancer.

To conclude, it is imperative to keep in mind the possible anatomical variations of SAN to avoid any
inadvertent injury during neck dissection. Rare anatomical variations like duplication of SAN are mostly incidental findings encountered intraoperatively. Meticulous dissection and consistent identification of SAN along with extensive anatomical knowledge is the key to preserve the nerve during neck dissection surgery.
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