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ABSTRACT

Objective: This research aims to know the best affinity and the best chemical conformation of anticancer compounds from alkaloid groups that have closed direction to Glioma-associated oncogene using protein-ligand ant system (PLANTS). The interaction energy and hydrogen bond are included as evaluated targets.

Methods: In this research, 27 ligands with root mean square deviation score at 1.614 Å and cyclopamine as native ligand are used. Meanwhile, staurosporinone acts as gliomas directed-binding-site-internal-control. Each ligand is docked in GLI with Protein Data Bank code 2GLI using two methods, GLI contains water and without water.

Results: PLANTS score for native ligand in the first and the second method is −73.9002 and −73.2700, respectively. Pancracristine, homoharringtonine, and sanguinarine showed PLANTS score closed to the cyclopamine score result, but their hydrogen bond interaction differed from native ligand interaction. Evodiamine ligand has a good score and hydrogen bond to the same amino acid of protein GLI which are GLU 175 and THR 173. This result indicated that evodiamine has the same identical mechanism as staurosporinone.

Conclusion: The evodiamine is determined to have the same working mechanism as a GLI inhibitor.
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INTRODUCTION

Alkaloids are a class of organic compounds most found in nature. Almost all compounds of alkaloids come from plants and are widespread in different types of plants. All alkaloids contain at least one commonly alkaline nitrogen atom and in most of these nitrogen atoms are part of the heterocyclic ring [1].

Docking is currently the most widely used and developed molecular modeling method. Moreover, docking proved to be particularly useful in the selection of compound guides for further development. Docking has three main objectives of predicting the binding of the active side of a ligand, identifying new ligands using virtual screening, and predicting the affinity bond between the compound and the active part of a known ligand. One of the docking apps is protein-ligand ant system (PLANTS) which is a free app that has a quality equivalent to other paid docking apps. In addition, the practical advantages of PLANTS are simple and easy. However, PLANTS does not provide protein preparation, ligand, or visualization functions so that additional applications (6.8) are required.

Studies of anticancer compounds from alkaloid natural substances against the current GLI protein are lacking. In the discovery of new drugs today, the computational method is the development of more efficient modern medicine and the time required more quickly. Increasingly exponential computing capabilities are an opportunity for development and calculations in drug design. The computer offers a method known as in silico which is a complement of the in vitro and in vivo methods commonly used in the drug discovery process [2-4].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

The device used in this study is hardware in the form of a set of computers capable of molecular modeling and computational chemical calculations with specifications: Intel® Core™ two Duo processor CPU E7500 2.93 GHz, 1.024 GB RAM, and 140 GB hard drive, as well as Linux operating system.

Protein data collection

To support the implementation of the research, data on the structure of compounds of the alkaloid species of natural substance have been optimized, as shown in Table 1. In addition, GLI protein structure data from previous research results [5] obtained by Protein Data Bank (PDB) with GDP ID: 2GLI, as shown in Fig. 1.

In this study, the target protein structure data were collected through PDB with GDP ID: 2GLI. These data are the result of X-ray crystallography and crystallization of protein glioma (GLI) which includes structures with active sides and sequences. The molecular model is stored in a mol2 file.

Molecular docking simulation

Docking is done by directing the optimized ligand molecule model (compounds of alkaloid) on the active GLI side. Ligands as well as the active side binding are activated by protonation, test ligands are docked on the GLI-binding sides. Then, a calculation of binding of ligands and GLI is applied to various poses that will appear as scores.

Binding visualization between anticancer compounds of natural products and GLI is presented with a software viewer Molegro. Data analysis of the docking values on the sides of the binding pocket was performed. Molecules with the lowest scores indicate the good stability affinity.

In this study, we tested several anticancer compounds of alkaloids natural ingredients to determine their interaction with glioma protein (GLI) which has been studied its activity as an anticancer in vitro and
to determine the best orientation of one compound to another relative compound. In this study, the targeted compound is glioma protein (GLI).

### Data analysis

Data from the target or GLI compound should be prepared before docking. After GLI data are processed, the data are displayed in YASARA window where two preparation treatments are done in the environment where the water element remains GLI and the water element is removed. The goal is to see the stability of the interaction of the original test compound with the target compound affected by the presence or absence of water elements. The data are then stored in the form (.mol2).

Next is redocking of native ligands (active compound) on GLI is done. However, the active compound for GLI is not yet known. Therefore, we used cyclopamine-based compound which is the Smo inhibitor (Smoothened) as the native ligand. In the redocking of the active compound on the binding site docking method validation, which obtained the value root mean square deviation (RMSD) of 1.614 Å. The RMSD value obtained states that the method has a high or low validity value (RMSD value < 2 indicates a high validity value) meaning that the ligand copy position is similar to the active compound (Table 2).

The process of the active compounds redocking on GLI can be used to identify the binding site of the protein. This site binding is determined by determining the coordinates where the active compound is located at a radius of 5 Å with the coordinates x = -20.5409, y = 5.60607, and z = -0.587999 and on the bond radius = 40.195 PHE211, ALA214, THR215, ASP216, ARG217, LYS219, HIS220, THR224, LYS229, LYS230, TYR242, THR243, ASP244, SER245, SER246, SER247, ARG249, LYS250. In this study, the targeted compound is glioma protein (GLI).

### Table 1: List of compounds in the alkaloid group

| Compound                   | Plants                        |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Boldine                    | Peumus boldus [6]             |
| Evodiamine                 | Evodia rataecarpa [7]         |
| Amphimedine                | Amphimedon sp. [8]            |
| Vinblastine                | Catharanthus roseus [9]       |
| Vincristine                | Catharanthus roseus [9]       |
| Homoharringtonine          | Cephalexin harringtonia [9]   |
| Tylophoridine A            | Tylophora ovata [10]         |
| Camptothecin               | Camptotheca acuminata [11]    |
| Cephalotaxine              | Cephalotaxus harringtonia [12]|
| Eupolauramine              | Anaxagorea dolichocarpa [13]  |
| Samapungine                | Anaxagorea dolichocarpa [13]  |
| Narcicasline               | Narcissus incomparabilis Mill. Var. Helios [13] |
| Pancratistatin             | Hymenocalis littoralis [13]   |
| Lycoicidicne               | Hymenocalis littoralis [13]   |
| Sanguinarine               | Sanguinaria Camadensis [13]   |
| Lycoicne                   | Amaryllidaceae [14]           |
| Ellipticine                | Bleckeria vitiensis [15]      |
| Epipodophyllotoxin         | Podophyllum emodi [16]        |
| Rohitukine                 | Dysoxylum binecarinum [16]    |
| Cyclophamine               | Veratum californicum [17]     |
| Berbamine                  | Berberis amurensis [16]       |
| Chelidonine                | Chelidonium majus [16]        |
| Colchicine                 | Colchicum luteum [16]         |
| Matrine                    | Sophora alopecuroides L. [16] |
| Pelttorine                 | Piper nigrum [18]             |
| Piperine                   | Piper nigrum [18]             |
| Solanine                   | Solanum tuberosum L. [16]    |
| Tetrandra                  | Stephania tetrandra [16]      |
| Staurosporinone            | Synthetic Compound [16]       |

### Table 2: Docking results of alkaloid groups against GLI using PLANTS

| Sample                  | Conformation number | The best conformation | Scoring of PLANTS<sub>SMOONPLP</sub> <sup>®</sup> |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                         |                     |                       | Without water | With water                               |
| Cyclophamine            | 10                  | 10                    | -73.2700      | -73.9002                                 |
| Staurosporine           | 4                   | 4                     | -70.7966      | -70.8912                                 |
| Amphiimedine            | 10                  | 10                    | -56.1999      | -56.4464                                 |
| Berbamine               | 10                  | 10                    | -51.9760      | -51.9570                                 |
| Boldine                 | 10                  | 10                    | -72.3414      | -73.5372                                 |
| Camptothecin            | 10                  | 10                    | -64.6274      | -64.2058                                 |
| Cephalotaxine           | 10                  | 10                    | -66.4341      | -77.0578                                 |
| Chelidonine             | 10                  | 10                    | -33.5994      | -31.0033                                 |
| Colchicine              | 10                  | 10                    | -65.1740      | -63.4189                                 |
| Ellipticine             | 10                  | 10                    | -46.775       | -46.8651                                 |
| Epipodophyllotoxin      | 10                  | 10                    | -50.1766      | -49.4534                                 |
| Eupolauramine           | 10                  | 10                    | -71.3117      | -71.5565                                 |
| Evodiamine              | 10                  | 10                    | -74.0450      | -73.9727                                 |
| Pelttorine              | 10                  | 10                    | -63.9105      | -63.5353                                 |
| Piperine                | 10                  | 10                    | -72.2331      | -72.3553                                 |
| Rohitukine              | 10                  | 10                    | -64.1821      | -63.4189                                 |
| Sampangine              | 5                   | 5                     | -66.3461      | -64.9847                                 |
| Sanguinarine            | 10                  | 10                    | -71.3858      | -71.2605                                 |
| Tetrandra               | 10                  | 10                    | -73.1619      | -68.0347                                 |

In the interaction of amino acid residues and ligands, there are also some compounds that do not interact or have no hydrogen bonds such as amphimidine, camptothecin, colchicine, epipodophyllotoxin, eupolauramine, and sanguinarine. It is possible that these compounds have bonds to others but not a hydrogen bonding.

---

**in vivo** by researchers from various references. Molecular docking simulation is a testing method used in studying the interactions of some of these compounds. A docking procedure is used as a reference.
CONCLUSION
Camptothecin, evodiamine, homoharringtonine, pancracristine, sanguinarine, and vinblastine have a PLANTS score close to cyclopamine in both aqueous and non-aqueous environments. Therefore, these compounds are predicted in silico having an affinity identical to the affinity of cyclopamine to the glioma protein. The evodiamine compound has an interaction of the same glioma protein with staurosporinone of GLU 175 and THR 173 so it is predicted to have the same working mechanism as a GLI inhibitor.
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