Evolution of cosmological perturbations in Bose-Einstein condensate dark matter
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ABSTRACT
We consider the global cosmological evolution and the evolution of the density contrast in the Bose-Einstein condensate dark matter model, in the framework of a Post-Newtonian cosmological approach. In the Bose-Einstein model, dark matter can be described as a non-relativistic, Newtonian gravitational condensate, whose density and pressure are related by a barotropic equation of state. For a condensate with quartic non-linearity, the equation of state is polytropic with index \( n = 1 \). The basic equation describing the evolution of the perturbations of the Bose-Einstein condensate is obtained, and its solution is studied by using both analytical and numerical methods. The global cosmological evolution as well as the evolution of the perturbations of the condensate dark matter shows significant differences with respect to the pressureless dark matter model, considered in the framework of standard cosmology. Therefore the presence of condensate dark matter could have modified drastically the cosmological evolution of the early universe, as well as the large scale structure formation process.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Cosmological observations provide compelling evidence that about 95% of the content of the Universe resides in two unknown forms of energy that we call dark matter and dark energy: the first residing in bound objects as non-luminous matter (Persic et al. 1996; Boriello and Salucci 2001; Binney & Tremaine 2008), the latter in the form of a zero-point energy that pervades the whole Universe (Peebles & Ratra 2003; Padmanabhan 2003). The dark matter is thought to be composed of cold neutral weakly interacting massive particles, beyond those existing in the Standard Model of Particle Physics, and not yet detected in accelerators or in dedicated direct and indirect searches. There are many possible candidates for dark matter, the most popular ones being the axions and the weakly interacting massive particles (WIMP) (for a review of the particle physics aspects of dark matter, see Overduin & Wesson 2004). Their interaction cross section with normal baryonic matter, while extremely small, are expected to be non-zero and we may expect to detect them directly. It has also been suggested that the dark matter in the Universe might be composed of superheavy particles, with mass \( \geq 10^{10} \) GeV (Chung et al. 1998, 2005, Kolb et al. 2007, Chuzhov & Kolb 2009). But observational results show the dark matter can be composed of superheavy particles only if these interact weakly with normal matter or if their mass is above \( 10^{15} \) GeV (Albuquerque & Baudis 2003). Scalar fields or other long range coherent fields coupled to gravity have also intensively been used to model galactic dark matter (Lee & Koh 1998, Nucamendi et al. 2000, Matos & Guzmán 2001, Mielke & Schunk 2002, Arbel et al. 2003, Fuchs & Mielke 2004, Hernández et al. 2004, Giannios 2005, Khlopov et al. 2005, Bernal & Guzmán 2006, Arbel 2006, 2008, Briscoe 2011). Alternative theoretical models to explain the galactic rotation curves have also been elaborated recently (Milgrom 1983, Mannheim 1993, Bekenstein 2004, Mak & Harko 2004, Brownstein & Moffat 2006, Harko & Cheng 2006, Bertolami et al. 2007, Boehmer & Harko 2007, 2008, 2009, Capozziello et al. 2009).

In order to explain the recent observational data, the ΛCDM (Λ Cold Dark Matter) model was developed (Peebles & Ratra 2003; Padmanabhan 2003). The ΛCDM model successfully describes the accelerated expansion of the Universe, the observed temperature fluctuations in the cosmic microwave background radiation, the large scale matter distribution, and the main aspects of the formation and the evolution of virialized cosmological objects. Despite these important achievements, at galactic scales...
~ 10 kpc, the ΛCDM model meets with severe difficulties in explaining the observed distribution of the invisible matter around the luminous one. In fact, N-body simulations, performed in this scenario, predict that bound halos surrounding galaxies must have very characteristic density profiles that feature a well pronounced central cusp, $\rho_{NFW}(r) = \rho_s/(r/r_s)(1 + r/r_s)^2$ (Navarro et al. 1997), where $r_s$ is a scale radius and $\rho_s$ is a characteristic density. On the observational side, high-resolution rotation curves show, instead, that the actual distribution of dark matter is much shallower than the above, and it presents a nearly constant density at the border of atomic, statistical and condensed matter physics (Dalfovo et al. 1999; Cornell & Wieman 2002; Ketterle 2002; Pitaevskii & Stringari 2003; Duine & Stoof 2004; Chen et al. 2005; Pethick & Smith 2008).

The possibility that dark matter could be in the form of a Bose-Einstein condensate was considered in Sin (1994) and Li & Sin (1994). The condensate was described by the non-relativistic Gross-Pitaevskii equation, and its solution was obtained numerically. An alternative approach was developed in Boehmer & Harko (2007). By introducing the Madelung representation of the wave function, the dynamics of the system can be formulated in terms of the continuity equation and of the hydrodynamic Euler equations. Hence dark matter can be described as a non-relativistic, Newtonian Bose-Einstein gravitational condensate gas, whose density and pressure are related by a barotropic equation of state. For a system consisting of a large number of particles, the calculation of the ground state of the system with the direct use of the Hamiltonian is impracticable, due to the high computational cost. However, the use of some approximate methods can lead to a significant simplification of the formalism. One such approach is the mean field description of the condensate, which is based on the idea of separating out the condensate contribution to the bosonic field operator. We also assume that in a medium composed of scalar particles with non-zero mass, when the medium...

2 DARK MATTER AS A BOSE-EINSTEIN CONDENSATE

In a quantum system of $N$ interacting condensed bosons most of the bosons lie in the same single-particle quantum state. For a system consisting of a large number of particles, the calculation of the ground state of the system with the direct use of the Hamiltonian is impracticable, due to the high computational cost. However, the use of some approximate methods can lead to a significant simplification of the formalism. One such approach is the mean field description of the condensate, which is based on the idea of separating out the condensate contribution to the bosonic field operator. We also assume that in a medium composed of scalar particles with non-zero mass, when the medium...

...
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makes a transition to a Bose-Einstein condensed phase, the range of Van der Waals-type scalar mediated interactions among particles becomes infinite.

2.1 The Gross-Pitaevskii equation

The many-body Hamiltonian describing the interacting bosons confined by an external potential $V_{\text{ext}}$ is given, in the second quantization, by

$$\hat{H} = \int d\vec{r} \hat{\Phi}^+ (\vec{r}) \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V_{\text{ext}} (\vec{r}) + V_{\text{ext}} (\vec{r}) \right] \hat{\Phi} (\vec{r}) + \int \frac{1}{2} d\vec{r} d\vec{r}' \hat{\Phi}^+ (\vec{r}) \hat{\Phi}^+ (\vec{r}') V (\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \hat{\Phi} (\vec{r}) \hat{\Phi} (\vec{r}') ,$$

where $\hat{\Phi} (\vec{r})$ and $\hat{\Phi}^+ (\vec{r})$ are the boson field operators that annihilate and create a particle at the position $\vec{r}$, respectively, and $V (\vec{r} - \vec{r}')$ is the two-body interatomic potential. In the general case of a non-uniform and time-dependent configuration the field operator in the Heisenberg representation is given by $\hat{\Phi} (\vec{r}, t) = \psi (\vec{r}, t) + \hat{\Phi}^+ (\vec{r}, t)$, where $\psi (\vec{r}, t)$, also called the condensate wave function, is the expectation value of the field operator, $\psi (\vec{r}, t) = \langle \hat{\Phi} (\vec{r}, t) \rangle$. It is a classical field, and its absolute value fixes the number of the condensate $N$. The normalization condition is $N = \int \rho (\vec{r}, t) d^3 \vec{r}$, where $N$ is the total number of particles in the condensate.

The equation of motion for the condensate wave function is given by the Heisenberg equation corresponding to the many-body Hamiltonian given by Eq. (1).

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi (\vec{r}, t) = \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V_{\text{ext}} (\vec{r}) + V_{\text{ext}} (\vec{r}) \right] \psi (\vec{r}, t) + \int d\vec{r}' \hat{\Phi}^+ (\vec{r}', t) V (\vec{r}' - \vec{r}) \hat{\Phi} (\vec{r}', t) ,$$

where we denoted $g' = dg/dp$. As for $V_{\text{ext}} (\vec{r})$, we assume that it is the gravitational potential $V_{\text{ext}} = V$, and it satisfies the Poisson equation $\nabla^2 V = 4\pi G \rho_m$, (4)

where $\rho_m = m \rho = m |\psi (\vec{r}, t)|^2$ is the mass density inside the Bose-Einstein condensate.

2.2 The hydrodynamical representation

The physical properties of a Bose-Einstein condensate described by the generalized Gross-Pitaevskii equation given by Eq. (3) can be understood much easily by using the so-called Madelung representation of the wave function, which consist in writing $\psi (\vec{r}, t)$ in the form

$$\psi (\vec{r}, t) = \sqrt{\rho (\vec{r}, t)} \exp \left[ \frac{i}{\hbar} S (\vec{r}, t) \right] ,$$

where the function $S (\vec{r}, t)$ has the dimensions of an action. By substituting the above expression of $\psi (\vec{r}, t)$ into Eq. (3), it decouples into a system of two differential equations for the real functions $\rho_m$ and $\vec{v}$, given by

$$\frac{\partial \rho_m}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_m \vec{v}) = 0 ,$$

$$\rho_m \left[ \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{v} \right] = - \nabla P \left( \frac{\rho_m}{m} \right) - \rho_m \nabla \left( \frac{V_{\text{ext}}}{m} \right) - \nabla V_Q ,$$

where we have introduced the quantum potential

$$V_Q = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \sqrt{\rho_m} ,$$

and the velocity of the quantum fluid

$$\vec{v} = \nabla S ,$$

respectively, and we have denoted

$$P \left( \frac{\rho_m}{m} \right) = g' \left( \frac{\rho_m}{m} \right) \frac{\rho_m}{m} - g \left( \frac{\rho_m}{m} \right) .$$

From its definition it follows that the velocity field is irrotational, satisfying the condition $\nabla \times \vec{v} = 0$. Therefore the equations of motion of the gravitational ideal Bose-Einstein
condensate take the form of the equation of continuity and of
the hydrodynamic Euler equations. The Bose-Einstein gravita-
tional condensate can be described as a gas whose density and pressure are related by a barotropic equation of state
(Pethick & Smith 2008). The explicit form of this equation
depends on the form of the non-linearity term g.

When the number of particles in the gravitationally
bounded Bose-Einstein condensate becomes large enough,
the Thomas-Fermi approximation becomes exact. This
approximation also corresponds to the classical limit of the
finite, the Thomas-Fermi approximation becomes exact. This
Einstein condensates (Dalfovo et al. 1999; Chen et al. 2005).
which has been extensively used for the study of the Bose-
Einstein condensate dark matter can generally be described as fluid satisfying a polytropic equation of state of
index n.

In the following we will consider only the case of the
condensate with quartic non-linearity. In this case the phy-
sical properties of the condensate are relatively well known
from laboratory experiments, and its properties can be de-
scribed in terms of only two free parameters, the mass m
of the condensate particle, and the scattering length l_a, re-
spectively.

2.3 Dark matter as a Bose-Einstein condensate

In the case of a static Bose-Einstein condensate, all physical
quantities are independent of time. Moreover, in the first ap-
proximation we can also neglect the rotation of the conden-
sate, by taking V_{rot} = 0. Therefore the equations describing
the static Bose-Einstein condensate in a gravitational field
with potential V take the form
\[ \nabla P \left( \frac{\rho_{BE}}{m} \right) = -\rho_{BE} \nabla \left( \frac{V}{m} \right), \]
\[ \nabla^2 V = 4\pi G \rho_{BE}. \]

These equations must be integrated together with the
equation of state P = P(\rho_{BE}) = U(\rho_{BE}), and some appro-
priately chosen boundary conditions. The density distribu-
tion \rho_{BE} of the static gravitationally bounded single com-
ponent dark matter Bose-Einstein condensate is given by
Boehmer & Harko (2007)
\[ \rho_{BE}(r) = \rho_{BE}^{(c)} \frac{\sin kr}{kr}, \]
where \( k = \sqrt{\frac{3}{G m^2 l_a}} \)
and \( \rho_{BE}^{(c)} \) is the central density of the condensate, \( \rho_{BE}^{(c)} = \rho_{BE}(0) \).
The mass profile \( m_{BE}(r) = 4\pi \int_0^r \rho_{BE}(r') r'^2\,dr \) of the Bose-Einstein condensate galactic halo is
\[ m_{BE}(r) = 4\pi \rho_{BE}^{(c)} \left( \frac{\sin kr}{kr} - \cos kr \right), \]
with a boundary radius \( R_{BE}. \) At the boundary of the
dark matter distribution \( \rho_{BE}(R_{BE}) = 0 \), giving the condition
\( kR_{BE} = \pi \), which fixes the radius of the condensate dark
matter halo as \( R_{BE} = \pi \sqrt{\frac{l_a}{Gm^2}}. \) The tangential ve-
cocity of a test particle moving in the condensed dark halo can
be represented as \( \frac{V_{BE}}{r} \approx \frac{4\pi G \rho_{BE}^{(c)}}{k^2} \left( \frac{\sin kr}{kr} - \cos kr \right) \).

The mass of the particle in the condensate can be ob-
tained from the radius of the dark matter halo in the form
Boehmer & Harko (2007)
\[ m = \left( \frac{\pi^2 h^2 l_a}{G R_{BE}^2} \right)^{1/3} \approx \left( \frac{\pi^2 h^2 l_a}{G R_{BE}^2} \right)^{1/3} \approx 2.58 \times 10^{-30} \left( \frac{R_{BE}}{kpc} \right)^{2/3} \text{g} \approx \]
\[ 6.73 \times 10^{-2} \left( \frac{l_a}{\text{fm}} \right)^{1/3} \left( \frac{R_{BE}}{kpc} \right)^{-2/3} \text{eV}. \]
From this equation it follows that \( m \) is of the order of eV. For \( l_a \approx 1 \) fm and \( R_{DB} \approx 10 \) kpc, the mass is of the order of \( m \approx 14 \) meV. For values of \( l_a \) of the order of \( l_a \approx 10^6 \) fm, corresponding to the values of \( l_a \) observed in terrestrial laboratory experiments, \( m \approx 1.44 \) eV. These values are perfectly consistent with the limit \( m < 1.87 \) eV obtained for the mass of the condensate particle from cosmological considerations (Fukuyama et al., 2008).

3 POST-NEWTONIAN HYDRODYNAMICS OF THE BOSE-EINSTEIN CONDENSATES

In order to study gravitational effects on the evolution of Bose-Einstein condensate dark halos a full general relativistic treatment is needed. The equations of motion of the condensate are obtained from the conservation of the energy-momentum tensor, \( T_{\mu\nu} = 0 \), with \( \mu \) denoting the covariant derivative with respect to the metric \( g_{\mu\nu} \), and

\[
T_{\nu}^\mu = \left( \rho_m c^2 + P \right) u_\nu u^\mu - P \delta_{\nu}^\mu,
\]

where \( u^\mu \) is the four-velocity of the fluid, satisfying the conditions \( u_\mu u^\mu = 1 \) and \( u_\mu^\nu u^\nu = 0 \), respectively. By taking the covariant divergence of \( T_{\nu}^\mu \) we obtain the equation

\[
\left( \rho_m c^2 + P \right) u_\mu u^\nu + \left( \rho_m c^2 + P \right) u_{\nu,\mu} u^\mu + \left( \rho_m c^2 + P \right) u_{\mu} u^\nu u^\mu = P_{\nu,\nu},
\]

where a comma denotes the ordinary derivative with respect to the coordinate \( x^\mu \). By contracting Eq. (24) with \( u^\mu \), we obtain

\[
\left( \rho_m c^2 + P \right) u_{\mu} u^\mu + \left( \rho_m c^2 + P \right) u_{\mu} u^\mu = P_{\nu,\nu}.
\]

In the Newtonian limit of small condensate velocities the four-velocity is given by \( u^\mu = (1, \vec{v}/c) \), where \( \vec{v} \) is the three-velocity of the condensate. The four-divergence of the four-velocity is given by \( u_\nu^\mu = (1/\sqrt{-g}) \partial (\sqrt{-g} u^\mu) / \partial x^\nu \), where \( -g \) is the determinant of the metric tensor. In the Newtonian limit we assume that \( \sqrt{-g} \approx 1 \), that is, the deviations from the Minkowski type geometry are small. Under these assumptions, from Eq. (22) we obtain the equation of continuity of the Bose-Einstein condensate as

\[
\left( \frac{\partial \rho_m}{\partial t} \right)_\nu + \nabla_\nu \cdot (\rho_m \vec{v}) + \frac{P}{c^2} \nabla_\nu \cdot \vec{v} = 0,
\]

where all differential operations are considered with respect to the physical coordinate \( \vec{r} \). By contracting Eq. (21) with the projection operator \( h^\mu_\nu = \delta^\mu_\nu - u_\nu u^\mu \), with the property \( h^\mu_\nu u^\nu = 0 \), we obtain the relativistic Euler equation of motion as

\[
\left( \rho_m c^2 + P \right) u_{\alpha,\mu} u^\mu = P_{,\alpha} - P_{,\nu} u^\nu u_\alpha.
\]

In the Newtonian approximation the generalized Euler equation of motion becomes

\[
\left( \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} \right)_\nu + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla_\nu) \vec{v} = -\nabla_\nu V - \frac{c^2 \nabla_\nu P + \vec{P} \vec{v}}{\rho_m c^2 + P}.
\]

The gravitational potential \( V \) satisfies the generalized Poisson equation,

\[
\nabla^2 V = 4\pi G \left( \rho_m + \frac{3 P}{c^2} \right).
\]

Eqs. (23), (25) and (26) represent the basic equations describing the dynamics of a gravitationally bounded Bose-Einstein condensate in the first Post-Newtonian approximation (McCrea [1951], Harrison [1963], Lima et al. [1997], Reis 2002; Abramo et al. 2007; Pace et al. 2010).

4 COSMOLOGICAL DYNAMICS OF BOSE-EINSTEIN CONDENSATES

The Bose-Einstein condensation takes place when particles (bosons) become correlated with each other. This happens when their wavelengths overlap, that is, the thermal wavelength \( \lambda_T = \sqrt{2\pi\hbar/mk_B T} \) is greater than the mean inter-particle distance \( a \), \( \lambda_T > a \). The critical temperature for the condensation to take place is \( T_c < 2\pi\hbar^2 n^{2/3}/mk_B \) (Dalfovo et al. [1999]). On the other hand, cosmic evolution has the same temperature dependence, since in an adiabatic expansion process the density of a matter dominated Universe evolves as \( \rho \propto T^{3/2} \) (Fukuyama & Morikawa 2000). Therefore, if the boson temperature is equal, for example, to the radiation temperature at \( z = 1000 \), the critical temperature for the Bose-Einstein condensation is at present \( T_c = 0.0027K \) (Fukuyama & Morikawa 2000). Since the matter temperature \( T_m \) varies as \( T_m \propto a^{-2} \), where \( a \) is the scale factor of the Universe, it follows that during an adiabatic evolution the ratio of the photon temperature \( T_b \) and of the matter temperature evolves as \( T_b/T_m \propto a \). Using for the present day energy density of the Universe the value \( \rho_c = 9.44 \times 10^{-30} \) g/cm\(^3\), BEC takes place provided that the boson mass satisfies the restriction \( m < 1.87 \) eV (Fukuyama et al. 2008). Thus, once the temperature \( T_m \) of the boson is less than the critical temperature, BEC can always take place at some moment during the cosmological evolution of the Universe. On the other hand, we expect that the Universe is always under critical temperature, if it is at the present time (Fukuyama & Morikawa 2000). Another cosmological bound on the mass of the condensate particle can be obtained as \( m < 2.696 (\rho_d/g) (T_d/T_c)^3 \) eV (Bovanovski et al. 2008), where \( g \) is the number of internal degrees of freedom of the particle before decoupling, \( g_s \) is the number of internal degrees of freedom of the particle at the decoupling, and \( T_d \) is the decoupling temperature. In the Bose condensed case \( T_d/T_c < 1 \), and it follows that the BEC particle should be light, unless it decouples very early on, at high temperature and with a large \( g_s \). Therefore, depending on the relation between the critical and the decoupling temperatures, in order for a BEC light relic to act as cold dark matter, the decoupling scale must be higher than the electroweak scale (Bovanovski et al. 2008).

The set of equations Eqs. (23), (25) and (26) admits a homogeneous and isotropic cosmological background solution with \( \rho_m = \rho_0(t) \) and \( P = P_0(t) \). In this case the fluid’s velocity is given by

\[
\vec{v}_b = \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} \vec{r},
\]

and the evolution of the scale factor \( a \) is determined by the Friedmann equations,

\[
3 \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = 3H^2 = 8\pi G \rho_0,
\]

and
\[ \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3} \left( \rho_b + \frac{P_b}{c^2} \right), \]
respectively, where we have denoted \( H = \dot{a}/a \). The continuity equation Eq. (23) reduces to
\[ \frac{d\rho_b}{dt} + 3H \left( \rho_b + \frac{P_b}{c^2} \right) = 0. \] (30)

In the case of the Bose-Einstein condensates the equation of state is given by \( P_b = \rho_b \), and Eq. (30) can be integrated immediately to obtain
\[ \rho_b(a) = \frac{C}{a^3 - \rho_b/c^2}, \] (31)
where \( C \) is an arbitrary constant of integration. By assuming that the present-day density of the Bose-Einstein condensate, \( \rho_{b,0} \), is obtained for a value \( a = a_0 \) of the scale factor, we obtain \( C = \rho_{b,0} a_0^3 / (1 + \rho_{b,0} U_0/c^2) \), and the background cosmological density of the condensate can be written as
\[ \rho_b(a) = \frac{c^2}{U_0 (a/a_0)^3 - \rho_b}, \] (32)
where we have denoted
\[ \rho_0 = \frac{\rho_{b,0} U_0/c^2}{1 + \rho_{b,0} U_0/c^2}. \] (33)

The energy density of the Bose-Einstein condensate diverges as \( a \to a_0^{1/3} \). The equation determining the time evolution of the scale factor is given by
\[ \frac{da}{dt} = H_0 \sqrt{\Omega_{BE}} \frac{a}{\sqrt{(a/a_0)^3 - \rho_0}}, \] (34)
where we have denoted
\[ \Omega_{BE} = \frac{\Omega_{BE,0}}{1 + \rho_{b,0} U_0/c^2} = \frac{\Omega_{BE,0}}{1 + \Omega_{BE,0} \rho_{cr,0} U_0/c^2}, \] (35)
where \( \Omega_{BE,0} = \rho_{b,0}/\rho_{cr,0} \) is the present day density parameter of the Bose-Einstein condensate, \( \rho_{cr,0} = 3H_0^2/8\pi G \) is the present day critical density of the Universe, and \( H_0 \) is the present day value of the Hubble parameter, respectively.

Eq. (34) can be integrated immediately to give the time evolution of the scale factor of the Bose-Einstein condensate as
\[ \sqrt{\Omega_{BE}} H_0 (t - C_1) = \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{\left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^3 - \rho_0} - \frac{2}{3} \rho_0 \arctan \left[ \sqrt{\frac{(a/a_0)^3 - \rho_0}{\rho_0}} \right], \] (36)
where \( C_1 \) is an arbitrary constant of integration. The constant \( C_1 \) can be determined from the condition \( t = 0 \) when \( (a/a_0)^3 = \rho_0 \), thus obtaining \( C_1 = 0 \). Therefore the time evolution of the scale factor is described by the equation
\[ \frac{t}{t_H} = \frac{2}{3 \sqrt{\Omega_{BE}}} \left\{ \sqrt{\left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^3 - \rho_0} - \sqrt{\rho_0} \arctan \left[ \sqrt{\frac{(a/a_0)^3 - \rho_0}{\rho_0}} \right] \right\}, \] (37)
where we denoted \( t_H = 1/H_0 \).

In the case of the standard dark matter models, dark matter is assumed to be a pressureless fluid, and the background cosmological evolution is described by the Einstein-de Sitter model, with the scale factor given by \( a/a_0 = (9\Omega_{DM,0}/4)^{1/3} \) \( (t/t_H)^{2/3} \), where \( \Omega_{DM,0} \) is the present day density parameter of the dark matter, and we have assumed that \( a = 0 \). In the following for the Hubble constant we adopt the value \( H_0 = 70 \text{ km/s/Mpc} = 2.273 \times 10^{-18} \text{ s}^{-1} \) \cite{Hinshaw:2009}, giving for the critical density a value of \( \rho_{cr,0} = 9.248 \times 10^{-30} \text{ g/cm}^3 \). The constant \( \rho_0 \) can be represented as
\[ \rho_0 = \frac{1.266 \times \Omega_{BE,0} \times (m/1 \text{ meV})^{-3} \times (l_a/10^9 \text{ fm})}{1 + 1.266 \times \Omega_{BE,0} \times (m/1 \text{ meV})^{-3} \times (l_a/10^9 \text{ fm})}, \] (38)
while for \( \Omega_{BE} \) we obtain
\[ \Omega_{BE} = \frac{\Omega_{BE,0}}{1 + 1.266 \times \Omega_{BE,0} \times (m/1 \text{ meV})^{-3} \times (l_a/10^9 \text{ fm})}. \] (39)

The two parameters \( \rho_0 \) and \( \Omega_{BE} \), describing the global properties of the condensate, are related by the relation \( \Omega_{BE} = \Omega_{DM,0} \times (1 - \rho_0) \).

By assuming that the entire existing dark matter is in the form of a Bose-Einstein condensate, it follows that \( \Omega_{BE,0} \approx \Omega_{DM,0} \approx 0.228 \) \cite{Hinshaw:2009}. By assuming that \( m = 1 \text{ meV} \) and \( l_a = 10^{10} \text{ fm} \), we obtain \( \rho_0 = 0.7426 \), while \( \Omega_{BE} = 0.05866 \). For these values of the physical parameters of the condensate the energy density of the dark matter diverges for \( a/a_0 \to 0.9056 \). The time evolution of the scale factor \( a \) for the Bose-Einstein condensate dark matter, for different values of the parameters \( m \) and \( l_a \), and for the pressureless dark matter, are represented in Fig. 1 respectively. The cosmological dynamics of the condensate dark matter shows significant differences as compared to the standard pressureless dark matter model, with the condensate expanding much faster than the cosmological fluid of the standard ΛCDM model, with the speed of expansion increasing with increasing \( \rho_0 \).

In the case of a Universe filled with dark energy, radiation, baryonic matter with negligible pressure, and Bose-
Einstein condensed dark matter, respectively, the time evolution of the scale factor is given by

\[
\frac{1}{a} \frac{da}{dt} = H_0 \sqrt{\frac{\Omega_{B,0} (a/a_0)^3 + \Omega_{\Lambda,0} + \Omega_{\text{rad},0} + \Omega_{\text{BE}}}{a/a_0} + \Omega_{\Lambda}},
\]

where \(\Omega_{B,0}, \Omega_{\text{rad},0}, \) and \(\Omega_{\Lambda}\) are the present day values of the density parameters of the baryonic matter, radiation, and dark energy, respectively. For \(\Omega_{B,0}, \Omega_{\text{rad},0}, \) and \(\Omega_{\Lambda}\) we adopt the numerical values \(\Omega_{B,0} = 0.0456, \Omega_{\text{rad},0} = 8.24 \times 10^{-5}\) and \(\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.726\) (Hinshaw et al. 2009). In the case of the standard ΛCDM cosmological model, the Friedmann equation describing the evolution of the Universe containing baryons, pressureless dark matter, radiation, and dark energy, is given by

\[
\frac{1}{a} \frac{da}{dt} = H_0 \sqrt{\frac{\Omega_{B,0} + \Omega_{\text{rad},0} + \Omega_{\Lambda}}{a/a_0} + \Omega_{\Lambda}}.
\]

The time evolutions of the scale factors for Universes containing BEC dark matter and standard pressureless dark matter are represented, for different values of the BEC parameter \(\rho_0\), in Fig. 2. The presence of the condensate dark matter changes the global cosmological dynamics of the Universe, and the magnitude of the changes increases with the increase of the BEC parameter \(\rho_0\).

5 COSMOLOGICAL PERTURBATIONS OF AN EXPANDING BOSE-EINSTEIN CONDENSATE

In the gravitationally bounded Bose-Einstein condensate we assume small perturbations of the physical quantities around the homogeneous background of the form

\[
\rho_m \left( \vec{r}, t \right) = \rho_0 \left( t \right) + \delta \rho \left( \vec{r}, t \right),
\]

\[
P \left( \vec{r}, t \right) = P_0 \left( t \right) + \delta P \left( \vec{r}, t \right),
\]

\[
V \left( \vec{r}, t \right) = V_0 + \varphi \left( \vec{r}, t \right),
\]

\[
\vec{v} \left( \vec{r}, t \right) = \vec{v}_0 + \vec{u} \left( \vec{r}, t \right).
\]

In Eqs. (12)-(15) the index \(b\) denotes the background quantities. Substituting these equations into the continuity equation Eq. (23) we obtain

\[
\frac{\partial \delta \rho}{\partial t} + \left( \rho_0 + \frac{P_0}{c_s^2} \right) \nabla \cdot \vec{u} + \nabla \cdot \left( \delta P \vec{v}_0 + \frac{\delta P}{c_s^2} \nabla \vec{v}_0 \right) = 0.
\]

The variation of the equation of motion Eq. (24) gives

\[
\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + (\vec{v}_0 \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{v}_0 = -\nabla \varphi - \nabla \delta \rho \vec{v}_0 - \frac{\delta P \vec{u}}{c_s^2} + \vec{P}_0 \vec{v}_0.
\]

The Poisson equations for the perturbation of the gravitational potential, obtained by perturbing Eq. (26), can be written as

\[
\nabla^2 \varphi = 4\pi G \left( \delta \rho + \frac{3 \delta P}{c_s^2} \right).
\]

In order to describe the cosmological evolution we make a change to the comoving coordinate system, so that \(\vec{r} = a \vec{q}\), \(\nabla_q = \nabla = a \nabla_r\), and

\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)_q = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)_r + \frac{\dot{a}}{a} (\vec{q} \cdot \nabla_q),
\]

respectively. To simplify the notation we define the parametrization potential, obtained by perturbing Eq. (26), by using the perturbed equation at \(t = 0\) of the background pressure is related to the speed of sound of the background condensate \(c_s^2 = \partial P_b / \partial \rho_b\) by the relation

\[
\dot{P}_b = -3 \frac{\dot{a}}{a^2} c_s^2 \rho_b (1 + w).
\]

Therefore the perturbation equations Eqs. (43)-(45) can be written as

\[
\ddot{\delta} + 3H \left( \frac{c_{sff}^2}{c_s^2} - w \right) \delta + \frac{1 + w}{a} \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \left( 1 - \frac{3 c_{sff}^2}{c_s^2} \right) \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \vec{u} + \frac{1}{a} \nabla \varphi + \frac{c_{sff}^2}{c_s^2} \frac{1}{a} \frac{1}{1 + w} \nabla \cdot \delta = 0,
\]

\[
\nabla^2 \varphi = 4\pi G a^2 \rho_b \left( 1 + 3 \frac{c_{sff}^2}{c_s^2} \right) \delta.
\]

In the following we denote

\[
\alpha_{sff} = \frac{c_{sff}^2}{c_s^2} - w,
\]

and

\[
\alpha_s = \frac{c_s^2}{c_s^2} - w,
\]

respectively. \(\alpha_s\) is related to the time derivative of \(w\) by the relation

\[
\frac{\dot{w}}{1 + w} = -3H \alpha_s.
\]

By taking the time derivative of Eq. (53), the divergence of Eq. (52), by eliminating \(\nabla \cdot \vec{u}\) by using the perturbed equation of continuity, and with the use of Eq. (53), we obtain the equation giving the evolution of the density contrast as

\[
\ddot{\delta} + 3H \left( \frac{c_{sff}^2}{c_s^2} - 2w + \frac{2}{3} \right) \delta + \frac{3}{2} H^2 \times
\]
respectively. The reason is that we have included in our analysis the term $\delta P / \delta a = \rho H^2 / \delta a$ and $\delta^2 / \delta a^2 = \rho H^2 / \delta a^2 - [(1 + 3\omega)\rho H^2 / 2] \partial / \partial a$, we obtain the evolution equation in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
9w^2 - 2 - 2(1 + 6\omega) \frac{c_s^2 H}{c^2} + 2 \frac{d}{H \partial t} \alpha_{eff} - 1 \right) \delta = \\
c_s^2 H \frac{1}{c^2} \Delta \delta.
\end{align*}
$$

(57)

Changing the independent variable from the time $t$ to the scale factor $a$ using the relations $\partial / \partial t = aH / \partial / \partial a$ and $\partial^2 / \partial a^2 = a^2 H^2 / \partial / \partial a^2 - [(1 + 3\omega)\rho H^2 / 2] \partial / \partial a$, we obtain the evolution equation in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
a^2 \frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial a^2} + 3a \left( \frac{c_s^2 H}{c^2} - \frac{5}{2} w + 1 \right) \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial a} + \frac{3}{2} \times
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
9w^2 - 2 - 2(1 + 6\omega) \frac{c_s^2 H}{c^2} + 2a \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \alpha_{eff} - 1 \right) \delta = \\
c_s^2 H \frac{1}{c^2} \Delta \delta.
\end{align*}
$$

(58)

Eq. (57) is different from the perturbation equation obtained in the Newtonian cosmology with pressure by Lima et al. (1997), Reis (2003), and Abram & Broadway (2007), respectively. The reason is that we have included in our analysis the term $\delta P / \delta a$, which was neglected in the previous studies. This term generates the new term $-\left[ (3c_s^2 / c^2) \bar{H} \bar{a}^2 \right]$ in the left hand side of Eq. (52), which modifies the final perturbation equation. On the other hand, in the present approach the term $\delta P / (\bar{r}, \bar{t}) / c^2$ was neglected.

In order to numerically integrate Eq. (57) or Eq. (58), we have to choose some physically appropriate initial conditions. In the current standard model for structure formation in the Universe, it is supposed that quantum fluctuations were generated during an initial period of inflation. These fluctuations inflated up to super-horizon scales, producing a near scale-invariant, and near Gaussian, set of primordial potential fluctuations. At the end of inflation, the Universe is reheated, and particles and radiation are produced. In this hot early phase, cold thermal relics (dark matter) are also formed (Peelbee & Ratra 2003; Padmanabhan 2003). Dark matter particles interact gravitationally, and possibly through the weak interaction. Therefore in order to obtain some physically realistic initial conditions for the state of the Universe during large scale structure formation, one needs to evolve cosmological perturbations, starting from initial conditions, deep inside the radiation epoch, and far outside the Hubble radius. Initial conditions for photons, neutrinos, cold dark matter and baryons have been obtained, in the framework of the standard ΛCDM cosmological model, in both the synchronous and Newtonian gauges, by Ma & Bertschinger (1995). In the conventional method, the power spectrum of the matter fluctuations in the Universe is computed by numerically solving the Boltzmann equation. The power spectrum is usually obtained in the linear theory, and then extrapolated to the present epoch. In the standard cosmology, in first-order Eulerian perturbation theory, all modes evolve independently, and the power spectrum can be scaled back to the initial epoch via the growth function (Ma & Bertschinger 1993). Moreover, the effect of the dark matter pressure is generally ignored in the conventional methods of generating initial conditions. On the other hand, the exact moment in the history of the Universe when the Bose-Einstein condensation occurred is not known. That’s why obtaining the rigorous and physically well motivated initial conditions for the density contrast $\delta$ and for its derivative for BEC dark matter requires the full investigation of the cosmological dynamics from the reheating era, by taking into account the dark matter condensation and pressure effects.

6 COSMOLOGICAL EVOLUTION OF SMALL PERTURBATIONS IN A BOSE-EINSTEIN CONDENSATE

By taking into account the equation of state of the Bose-Einstein condensate, we immediately obtain $w = P_b / \rho c^2 = (U_0 / c^2) \rho_b = \rho_0 / \left[(\alpha / a_0)^3 - \rho_0 \right]$, and $c_s^2 / c^2 = c_s^2 / c^2 = 2w$, respectively. The conditions $c_s^2 / c^2 \lesssim 1$ and $c_s^2 / c^2 \lesssim 1$ impose the constraint $(\alpha / a_0)^3 \lesssim 3 \rho_0$, and in the following we will consider that the model considered in the present paper is valid only for this range of values of the scale factor. Hence for the time evolution of the linear density perturbations of the Bose-Einstein condensate dark matter we obtain successively

$$
\begin{align*}
a^2 \frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial a^2} + \frac{3}{2} \alpha \left[ 1 - \frac{\rho_0}{\alpha^3 \rho_0} \right] \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial a} + \frac{3}{2} \times
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
1 + \frac{6 \rho_0}{\alpha^3 - \rho_0} + \frac{15 \rho_0^2}{\rho_0 (\alpha^3 - \rho_0)^2} + \frac{6 \rho_0 \alpha^3}{(\alpha^3 - \rho_0)^3}
\end{align*}
$$

(59)

and

$$
\begin{align*}
a^2 \frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial a^2} + \frac{3}{2} \alpha \left[ 1 - \frac{\rho_0}{\alpha^3 \rho_0} \right] \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial a} + \frac{3}{2} \times
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
1 - \frac{\rho_0}{\alpha^3 - \rho_0} - \frac{15 \rho_0^2}{\rho_0 (\alpha^3 - \rho_0)^2} - \frac{6 \rho_0 \alpha^3}{(\alpha^3 - \rho_0)^3}
\end{align*}
$$

(60)

respectively, where we have denoted $\alpha = \alpha / a_0$. In the limit of large $\alpha$, when $(\alpha / a_0)^3 \gg \rho_0$, $w \rightarrow 0$, and Eq. (60) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
a^2 \frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial a^2} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial a} - \frac{3}{2} \delta = 0,
\end{align*}
$$

(61)

with the solution

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta (a) \approx C_1 \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{-3/2} + C_2 \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{-3/2},
\end{align*}
$$

(62)

where $C_1$ and $C_2$ are arbitrary constants of integration. Hence in the limit of a pressureless fluid we recover the standard general relativistic limit. In the limit of small $\alpha$, so that $\alpha^3 \rightarrow 3 \rho_0$, we can approximate Eq. (60) as

$$
\begin{align*}
a^2 \frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial a^2} + \frac{3}{4} \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial a} - \frac{147}{8} \delta = 0,
\end{align*}
$$

(63)

with the solution

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta (a) \approx C_1' \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{(1 + \sqrt{117})/8} + C_2' \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{(1 - \sqrt{117})/8},
\end{align*}
$$

(64)

where $C_1'$ and $C_2'$ are two arbitrary constants of integration. By introducing $w = \rho_0 / (\alpha^3 \rho_0)$ as a new independent variable, Eq. (60) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
3w^2 (1 + w)^2 \frac{d^2 \delta}{dw^2} + \frac{5}{2} w (1 + w) (1 + 3w) \frac{d \delta}{dw} - \\
\frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + 12w + 21w^2 \right) \delta = 0.
\end{align*}
$$

(65)

By representing the density contrast $\delta$ as $\delta (w) = w^{-1/3} (1 + w)^{-5/3} u (w)$, it follows that the new function $u (w)$ satisfies the equation
The evolution of the density perturbations $\delta(a/a_0)$ as a function of $a/a_0$ of the pressureless dark matter, described by the Einstein-de Sitter metric (solid curve), and of the Bose-Einstein condensate dark matter, for different values of $\rho_0$: $\rho_0 = 10^{-3}$ (dotted curve), $\rho_0 = 2 \times 10^{-3}$ (dashed curve), $\rho_0 = 3 \times 10^{-3}$ (long-dashed curve), and $\rho_0 = 5 \times 10^{-3}$ (ultra-long dashed curve). In all cases the initial conditions are $\delta(3\rho_0)^{1/3} = 10^{-5}$ and $\delta'(3\rho_0)^{1/3} = 10^{-5}$, respectively.

Near the initial state $w = 1/2$, the density contrast can be approximated as

$$
\delta(w) \approx \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1.33}{1.33} - 1 \right) \approx 0.16667 \times \left( \frac{1.33}{1.33} - 1 \right)
$$

(68)

For the initial perturbation $\delta(a_0/a_0)$, occurring for a value $a = a_0$ of the scale factor, satisfies the condition $\delta(a_0/a_0) << 1$. Since the equation describing the perturbations is a second order differential equation, two initial values have to be given, one for the initial perturbation $\delta(a_1/a_0)$, and one for the initial rate of evolution of the perturbation, $\delta'(a_1/a_0)$. We consider two cases, namely, the case of a perturbation with an initial low evolution rate, of the order of $\delta'(a_1/a_0) = 10^{-5}$, and the case of a perturbation with a very high initial evolution rate, $\delta'(a_1/a_0) = 1.5$, respectively. The comparison between the evolution of the density perturbations for pressureless dark matter in an expanding Einstein-de Sitter cosmological background, and the evolution of the density perturbations in a Bose-Einstein condensate dark matter dominated Universe is presented in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively.

As one can see from Figs. 3 and 4, for all initial conditions, in the case of the Bose-Einstein, for a given $\delta$, the
amplitude of the density contrast is higher as compared to the case of the standard dark matter model. The condensate enters more rapidly in the non-linear phase ($\delta >> 1$) than the pressureless dark matter. Thus the presence of the Bose-Einstein condensate dark matter can significantly accelerate the process of cosmic structure formation.

7 DISCUSSIONS AND FINAL REMARKS

In the present paper we have considered the global cosmological evolution and the evolution of the small cosmological perturbation in a Bose-Einstein dark matter condensate. The basic equation describing the evolution of the small perturbations in the Post-Newtonian regime was obtained, and its solutions have been studied by using both analytical and numerical methods. The evolution of the density perturbations of the condensate has been compared to the evolution of the small cosmological perturbations in a pressureless fluid evolving in an Einstein-de Sitter cosmological background. Depending on the numerical values of the physical parameters describing the condensate (the mass of the particle and the scattering length, respectively), significant differences could appear in the evolution of the Bose-Einstein condensate dark matter halos, as compared to the standard pressureless dark matter models. These differences appear at both the level of the global cosmological evolution, and of the behavior of the small perturbations in the dark matter fluid, and they could have fundamental implications for the formation of the large-scale structure in the Universe.

One of the most important problems present day cosmology faces is the problem of the galaxy formation. To explain galaxy formation the evolution of the linear density and temperature perturbations in a Universe with dark matter, baryons, and radiation must be computed. For pressureless dark matter the evolution of the perturbations of all cosmic components, from cosmic recombination until the epoch of the first galaxies, was obtained in Naaz & Barkana (2005). The evolution of sub-horizon linear perturbations can be described by two coupled, second-order differential equations, with the pressureless dark matter interacting gravitationally with itself, and with the baryons, while the baryons experience both gravity and pressure. Starting from very low values on sub-horizon scales, the baryon density perturbations gradually approach those in the dark matter, and the temperature perturbations approach the value expected for an adiabatic gas. The presence of the baryons does not modify significantly the evolution of the dark matter perturbations. By including the effect of the BEC pressure in the perturbations equations for baryons and dark matter, a more general (and realistic) description of the galaxy formation process can be obtained. The presence of the BEC modifies the dynamical evolution of the baryons, and the growth of linear perturbations, which provide the initial conditions for the formation of galaxies. In the BEC condensate model the dark matter perturbations grow more rapidly than in the standard cosmology, and therefore this could lead to a much faster growth rate of the baryonic perturbations, accelerating the galaxy formation process.

A major recent experimental advance in the study of the Bose-Einstein condensation processes was the observation of the collapse and subsequent explosion of the condensates (Rybin et al., 2004). A dynamical study of an attractive $^{85}$Rb BEC in an axially symmetric trap was done, where the interatomic interaction was manipulated by changing the external magnetic field, thus exploiting a nearby Feshbach resonance. In the vicinity of a Feshbach resonance the atomic scattering length $a$ can be varied over a huge range, by adjusting an external magnetic field. Consequently, the sign of the scattering length is changed, thus transforming a repulsive condensate of $^{85}$Rb atoms into an attractive one, which naturally evolves into a collapsing and exploding condensate. From a simple physical point of view the collapse of the Bose-Einstein condensates can be described as follows. When the number of particles becomes sufficiently large, so that $N > N_c$, where $N_c$ is a critical number, the attractive inter-particle energy overcomes the quantum pressure, and the condensate implodes. In the course of the implosion stage, the density of particles increases in the small vicinity of the trap center. When it approaches a certain critical value, a fraction of the particles gets expelled. In a time period of an order of few milliseconds, the condensate again stabilizes. There are two observable components at the final stage of the collapse: remnant and burst particles. The remnant particles are those which remain in the condensate. The burst particles have an energy much larger than that of the condensed particles. There is also a fraction of particles, which is not observable. This fraction is usually referred to as the missing particles.

The scattering length $l_a$ is defined as the zero-energy limit of the scattering amplitude $f$ (Dalfovo et al., 1999). Depending on the spin dependence of the underlying particle interaction, the scattering length may in general be also spin dependent. The spin independent part of the quantity is referred to as the coherent scattering length $l_a$. The scattering lengths can be obtained for some systems in the laboratory, but for dark matter it is unknown. Another essential parameter is the mass $m$ of the condensate particle, which, due to the lack of information about the physical nature of the dark matter, is a free parameter, which must be constrained by observations. Due to the lack of any physical information about the numerical values of these two fundamental parameters, in the numerical estimations performed in the present paper we have given different numerical values to a combination of these two basic quantities.

Since Bose-Einstein condensates are less stable with respect to perturbations than usual non-condensate matter, we expect that in such a condensate evolution of the perturbations and the subsequent collapse could take place much faster than in the usual non-condensed matter. This would strongly affect the formation of the large scale structure in the early Universe. In this paper we have provided some basic theoretical tools necessary for the in depth comparison of the predictions of the condensate model and of the observational results.
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