Scrambler therapy for the treatment of neuropathic pain related to leukemia in a pediatric patient
A case report
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Abstract
Rationale: Cancer-related neuropathic pain often responds poorly to standard pain treatments. Scrambler therapy has relieved refractory chronic pain in several uncontrolled clinical trials.

Patient concerns: An 11-year-old female patient was suffering from left groin and medial thigh pain after irradiation to the knee. The girl was diagnosed with precursor B-cell lymphoblastic leukemia 2 years ago. Extramedullary relapse of leukemia developed 1 month ago and pain had started. She was treated with oral medications, but she was continuously complaining of severe pain.

Diagnosis: Neuropathic pain caused by obturator nerve involvement in leukemia.

Intervention: Scrambler therapy.

Outcome: Pain reduction.

Lessons: Scrambler therapy is noninvasive, is not associated with any complications, causes minimal discomfort during treatment, and is very effective in a pediatric patient with cancer-related neuropathic pain.

Abbreviations: PNB = peripheral nerve block, SCS = spinal cord stimulator, ST = scrambler therapy, TENS = transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation.
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1. Introduction
Among the various types of cancer pain, cancer-related neuropathic pain occurs at a prevalence of at least 35% to 40% in patients.[1] Also, cancer-related neuropathic pain often responds poorly to standard pain treatments, since the pain origin and mechanism are combined to produce a mixed mechanism pain, which may differ from classical neuropathic pain.[2] Poor pain management is a common problem in children with cancer, although a growing body of research deals with pain and analgesia in children. Several reasons have been identified for the under treatment of pain in children with cancer, including the impression that children are less sensitive to pain than adults, or that infants do not experience pain,[3] and the myth that the use of potent opioids will lead to a high risk of addiction in children.[4,5]

Scrambler therapy (ST) is an Food and Drug Administration-cleared treatment for neuropathic pain supported by multiple trials.[6] Although the mechanism of ST is not yet clear, it may work by “scrambling” afferent pain signals and replacing them with synthetic “no-pain” information via the cutaneous nerves by the application of surface electrodes around the surface of painful areas in a noninvasive manner. ST has relieved refractory chronic pain in several uncontrolled clinical trials: in 11 cancer patients with abdominal pain[7]; in 226 patients with neuropathic pain including those with failed back surgery syndrome, brachial plexus neuropathy, and others[8]; refractory, chemotherapy-induced neuropathic pain[9]; a wide spectrum of cancer-related pain[10]; and postherpetic neuropathy,[11] spinal cord stenosis, and failed back syndrome.[12]

We recently treated a pediatric patient who was suffering from neuropathic pain caused by obturator nerve involvement in leukemia and the patient experienced pain relief. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first reported case of a pediatric patient with neuropathic pain treated by ST.

2. Case presentation
An 11-year-old female patient with a height of 136 cm and a weight of 33 kg was referred from the pediatric unit for left groin pain...
and medial thigh pain with irradiation to the knee. The girl was diagnosed with precursor B-cell lymphoblastic leukemia 2 years ago and had received chemotherapy. Extramedullary relapse of leukemia developed 1 month ago and pain had started to cause interruption of normal activities. The pain was described as numbness, throbbing, and tightness. The pain was accentuated by palpation of adductor muscles. At the time of referral, her pain intensity was 8/10 (numerical rating scale pain score).

The pelvic magnetic resonance imaging showed a tubular structure with increased diameter in the posterior aspect of the left psoas muscle at the L3–4 intervertebral disc level and the posterior aspect of the right psoas muscle at the L4–S1 intervertebral disc level. These lesions were thought to be connected to the part considered the obturator nerve. The enhancement was present toward the peripheral perineural sheath and around the perineural fat plane. Subtle enhancement was also seen in the adjacent psoas muscle. It was accompanied by perifascicular enhancement. These findings suggested a lesion involving the obturator nerve and the possibility of involvement due to acute leukemia in the extramedullary pattern (Fig. 1).

Before visiting the pain clinic, the girl had been treated with oral gabapentin 300 mg/d, fentanyl patch 12 mcg/h, and naproxen (correct dosage for age); however, the girl was continuously complaining of severe pain. The pediatric unit requested us to perform the obturator nerve block, but both the patient and the caregiver were afraid of injections. Hence, ST was planned. Because the patient’s pain was located in the groin area, medial thigh, and knee, the scrambler electrodes were attached to 3 normal sensory areas around the painful area for treatment (Fig. 2). We set up a 45-min daily treatment session for 4 consecutive days, at the same time and provided by the same physician.

During treatment, the NRS score decreased from 8/10 to 3/10 after the first session. Subsequent sessions were followed by marked improvement of pain: after 3 treatment sessions, NRS score was 0/10. Following pain reduction, drugs were progressively reduced and then prescribed at need. No other treatment sessions were performed. No adverse events were observed. We evaluated her pain control by phone. Pain intensity was investigated 1 and 4 weeks after completion of treatment: the patient referred to no pain.

3. Discussion
In the above case, ST was demonstrated to be an effective treatment in patients complaining of severe cancer-related neuropathic pain who were unable to experience relief from medication therapy. To the best of our knowledge, no data are available in the literature about the use of ST in treating neuropathic pain in children.

Cancer-related pain results from the disease and/or from the consequences of antineoplastic treatments. Neuropathic pain caused by the neuropathic mechanisms to produce a mixed mechanism pain,[13] which may be different from the classical neuropathic pain, is more difficult to manage. Previous studies of ST including cancer patients only confirmed a lower reduction in the pain score compared with the studies including patients with noncancer pain.[9,14] All studies on ST for managing cancer-related pain have been conducted in patients with chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy,[9,10,14] except for 1 case report which showed that ST was effective in managing metastatic bone pain in 2 patients.[15] However, all studies on ST for managing cancer-related pain have been conducted in adult patients. Our case showed that ST is very effective in pediatric patients with cancer-related neuropathic pain.

The mechanism of ST is not clear, but Marineo et al.[16] suggested that electrical stimulus by electrodes gives “no-pain” information to peripheral receptors; C-fibers and Aδ fibers lead the stimulus to the central nervous system that receives it and reduces the pain symptoms. During ST, patients can refer no-pain sensations in the pain area, such as pressure and itching. The
channels of the synapses, which become the main target for pain in the peripheral and central nervous systems or the calcium nerves. ST is very similar to existing TENS as it treats pain by applying an electrical stimulus and the treatment effect. First, TENS positions the electrodes on the area of pain, while ST positions the electrodes on normal sensory areas surrounding the area of pain. Second, TENS conveys unchanging on-off biphasic electric waves, whereas ST provides 16 nonlinear waveforms that change continuously.

Peripheral nerve block (PNB) is now commonly incorporated into neuropathic pain management and the consequences of expanded use of PNB include improvement in pain relief and opioid requirements. Studies about major complications of PNB in pediatric patients have not been reported and adverse events were detected in 1% of cases who received lower extremity blocks. Despite these advances, it has introduced a new set of technical difficulties, patient education needs, and complications. Potential risks of PNB include vascular puncture and bleeding, nerve damage, and local anesthetic systemic toxicity. The limitation of single PNB is the short duration of action of most local anesthetics. In our case, the girl not only had fear of a nerve block, but long analgesic duration was also needed. Therefore, we thought that ST can be a good alternative method.

We report that a pediatric patient with cancer-related neuropathic pain was effectively treated by ST. ST is noninvasive, is not associated with any complications, causes minimal discomfort during treatment, and is similar or superior to the other existing treatments in terms of effect and duration. However, there is no research regarding the effect of ST on cancer-related pain in a pediatric patient. Therefore, validation is needed. Also, more research is needed for assessing the factors that influence the response to treatment.
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