Frequency Doubling Technology vs Standard Automated Perimetry in Ocular Hypertensive Patients

Italo Giuffrè*

Medical School, University of Roma “Tor Vergata”, Roma, Italy

Abstract: Background: Frequency doubling technology (FDT) perimetry measures contrast sensitivity. The magnocellular component of ganglion cells in human retina is isolated as a whole by the FDT stimulus. The aim of this study is to investigate the role of Humphrey Matrix threshold testing in the detection of early functional retinal impairment in ocular hypertensive patients compared to standard automated perimetry (SAP).

Methodology: Forty hypertensive patients were enrolled in this longitudinal observational clinical study. Functional testing included randomly Humphrey Matrix perimetry and white-on-white Humphrey visual field perimetry. Ibopamine test was performed in all forty patients. The cut-off of 3 mmHg was considered positive for this provocative test.

Results: Out of 40 patients, we included 21 in ibopamine positive group and 19 in ibopamine negative group. These two groups are sex- and age-matched. In ibopamine positive group the mean increase in IOP is 4.6 mmHg (ranging from 3 to 10 mmHg). Statistics showed that correlation between FDT and SAP was statistically significant in ibopamine negative group and not statistically significant in ibopamine positive group. Only one patient, coming from IBO + group, converted from ocular hypertension to glaucoma. All the other subjects remained stable in both groups without any therapy and visual field abnormalities.

Conclusions: FDT showed to be more sensitive and specific than SAP mostly in detection of early visual field impairment in ocular hypertensive patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Frequency doubling technology (FDT) perimetry measures contrast sensitivity. This test is based on an illusion occurring when a sinusoidal grating of low spatial frequency undergoes counterphase flickering at a high temporal frequency [1-3]. Recent evidences suggest that the magnocellular (MGC) pathway in human retina is isolated as a whole by the FDT stimulus. The variability of FDT is independent of visual field loss [2, 3]. FDT seems to be a useful clinical tool to detect mostly early manifest glaucoma. The Humphrey Matrix was commercially introduced in 2003 with the following tests: macula 10-2, N-30-F, 24-2 and 30-2 (Fig. 1). The Glaucoma Hemifield Test (GHT) is available for the FDT 24-2 and 30-2 tests. Recent papers studied the learning effect of Matrix FDT and the Authors stressed that it may affect only the first test [4] rather than standard automated perimetry (SAP). Some years ago, the Ocular Hypertension Treatment Study (OHTS) started in the U.S.A. to evaluate the safety and efficacy of topical ocular hypotensive medication in preventing or delaying the onset of visual field loss and/or optic nerve damage in subjects affected by ocular hypertension at moderate risk for developing open-angle glaucoma (OAG) [5]. In U.S.A. it is estimated that 3 to 6 million people, including 4% to 7% of the population older than age 40 years, have elevated intraocular pressure (IOP) without detectable glaucomatous damage using current clinical tests [6-13]. Also the pattern electroretinogram was evaluated in glaucoma and ocular hypertensive patients [14]. The aim of this study is to investigate the role of Humphrey Matrix threshold testing in the detection of early functional retinal impairment in ocular hypertensive patients compared to standard automated perimetry.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Forty hypertensive subjects (19 male and 21 female), mean age 62.92 (SD 12.23) years were enrolled in this longitudinal observational clinical study. All the study participants gave their informed consent. Inclusion criteria were: age > 18 years, clear lens and ocular hypertension (OH). OH was defined as: central corneal thickness-adjusted intraocular pressure (IOP) > 21 mmHg [15], under diurnal tonometric curve, open angle gonioscopy, no visual field damage and no systemic and/or topical hypotensive therapy. Exclusion criteria were: spherical refractive error > ± 6 diopters; astigmatism > ± 3 diopters; active or previous retinal pathologies; diagnosis of glaucoma; opacities of dioptric media that could bias functional and structural retinal testing; history of ocular surgery; any fluorangiographic sign of retinal vasculopathy due to diabetes; or other local or systemic disease. All subjects underwent a complete ophthalmological examination including: best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA), Goldmann applanation tonometry, ibopamine test according to Virno et al. [16], slit-lamp examination and indirect opthalmoscopy. Functional testing included Humphrey Matrix perimetry and white-on-white 30-2 SITA standard Humphrey visual field perimetry (HFA; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Dublin,
Ca., U.S.A.). All enrolled subjects performed at least two Humphrey visual field perimetry and Matrix visual field tests within two weeks using the 30-2 threshold program in order to assess the test-retest variability and to rule out a relevant learning curve. In all cases the first test was not considered [4]. Reliability criteria were defined as less than 33% fixation errors, false-positives and false-negatives. Pattern standard deviation (PSD) values were considered for the analysis. Corneal pachymetry from limbus to limbus was performed by the high resolution (HR) Oculus Pentacam. It is a rotating Scheimpflug camera which generates images from the anterior surface of the cornea to the posterior surface of lens. It acquires 50 Scheimpflug images in two seconds. The software generates a three-dimensional model of each surface as a basis for corneal topographic and chamber analysis. The rotating camera offers anterior segment images in three dimensions, creating a movable three-dimensional presentation of the anterior chamber. The centre of the cornea is measured very precisely because of this rotating imaging process.

The 2% ibopamine test was performed according to Virno et al. [16-18]. 2% ibopamine (Trazyl®, Angelini Acraf, Pomezia, Rome, Italy) stimulates α-adrenergic receptors and D1-dopaminergic receptors in the eye. This pharmacological and provocative test is positive if, after 45 minutes after the instillation of ibopamine eye drops, IOP increases by at least 3 mmHg. All the ibopamine tests were performed at the same time, even if the increase of the IOP is absolutely independent of the time of day and of the baseline IOP (IOP measured before the instillation of ibopamine eye drops). This provocative test is widely used in Italy and sometimes may be more useful than others such as the water-drinking test [19]. All hypertensive patients were divided in two groups: ibopamine positive (IBO+) and ibopamine negative (IBO-). All patients were classified by Scoring Tool for Assessing Risk (S.T.A.R. I and II version) [20]. According to the Ocular Hypertension Treatment Study and the European Glaucoma Prevention Study (E.G.P.S.) these predictive parameters were identified as: age, IOP, central corneal thickness (CCT), vertical cup-to-disc ratio, and Humphrey visual field pattern standard deviation. This method, in both versions I and II, gives a percentage of probability of conversion from OH to glaucoma. In this way, it gives some information that the clinician may use to make a decision about diagnosis and mostly about therapy and follow-up. Statistical analysis was performed by descriptive statistics, analysis of variance (ANOVA), Bland-Altman method and Spearman analysis.

RESULTS

Out of 40 patients, according to the ibopamine test we included 13 males and 8 females in IBO + group. In this group both eyes were positive to this test. The mean age of this group is 60.38 (SD 12.71) years. The remaining 19 subjects (6 males and 13 females) were included in the IBO – group. The mean age of the second group is 64.53 (SD 11.27) years. By chance it happened that the two groups are sex- and age-matched (p=0.284). Pachymetrical data are in Fig. (2). The mean follow-up in IBO + group is 17.48 months, in IBO – group is 17.5 months. The follow-up of both groups is homogeneous (p=0.997). In ibopamine positive group the mean IOP increase is 4.6 mmHg (ranging from 3 to 10 mmHg). S.T.A.R. I vs S.T.A.R. II measurements in both IBO + and IBO – groups are in Figs. (3-5), according to Bland-Altman method. Spearman correlation ranking between FDT and SAP visual field tests was statisti-
cally significant in IBO – group (p<0.02, Fig. 6) but not statistically significant in IBO + group (p>0.05, Fig. 7). In the whole population studied, only one patient (I.C., male, 45 years old), belonging to the IBO + group, had a conversion from ocular hypertension to open-angle glaucoma during follow-up and started a monotherapy by beta-blocker in both eyes. All the other subjects from both groups (IBO + and IBO -) remained stable during follow-up without any therapy and visual field abnormalities.

Fig. (2). Pachymetrical data (μ) on y-axis and n. of patients on x-axis.

Fig. (3). STAR I (x-axis) vs STAR II (y-axis) in all patients.

Fig. (4). STAR I (x-axis) vs STAR II (y-axis) in IBO + group.

Fig. (5). STAR I (x-axis) vs STAR II (y-axis) in IBO – group.

Fig. (6). SAP (x-axis) vs FDT (y-axis) in IBO – group.

Fig. (7). SAP (x-axis) vs FDT (y-axis) in IBO + group.
DISCUSSION

We studied a small but homogeneous cohort of hypertensive patients. This cohort of subjects is sex- and age-matched. No statistical difference was evaluated as for pachymetry, S.T.A.R. I and II scores. The HR Pentacam is a very useful tool in evaluating pachymetry very precisely either in normal population, in OH and glaucoma subjects and in refractive surgery patients. Only in ibopamine negative group we found a statistical significant correlation between FDT and SAP visual field testing. This new pharmacological and provocative test (ibopamine test) is very interesting and useful in evaluating the possibility to convert from OH to glaucoma, also in relatives of glaucoma patients [21]. It is very easy to use and allows a very important non-cycloplegic mydriasis, useful also in diagnostic testing of outflow impairment. It is safe. In literature there are no significant side-effects described. In OH subjects, such as in normal tension glaucoma, its positivity is about 52%. We can speculate that, as in Weinreb’s glaucoma continuum [22] and from genetical point of view, OH is just a step towards open-angle glaucoma and like a “relative” of normal tension glaucoma. As we know that Humphrey Matrix threshold testing selectively stimulates the magno-cellular component of ganglion cells (3), we underline that this diagnostic tool, associated with ibopamine test, can be useful for the identification of early visual field impairment in ocular hypertensive subjects, suggesting a possible conversion from OH to open-angle glaucoma [23]. It may be also useful in the follow-up of glaucoma patients even if SAP remains the gold standard of our public and private offices.
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