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Extraction and Isolation of Cellulose Nanofibers from Carpet Wastes Using Supercritical Carbon Dioxide Approach
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Abstract: Cellulose nanofibers (CNFs) are the most advanced bio-nanomaterial utilized in various applications due to their unique physical and structural properties, renewability, biodegradability, and biocompatibility. It has been isolated from diverse sources including plants as well as textile wastes using different isolation techniques, such as acid hydrolysis, high-intensity ultrasonication, and steam explosion process. Here, we planned to extract and isolate CNFs from carpet wastes using a supercritical carbon dioxide (Sc.CO 2 ) treatment approach. The mechanism of defibrillation and defragmentation caused by Sc.CO 2 treatment was also explained. The morphological analysis of bleached fibers showed that Sc.CO 2 treatment induced several longitudinal fractions along with each fiber due to the supercritical condition of temperature and pressure. Such conditions removed the fiber’s impurities and produced more fragile fibers compared to untreated samples. The particle size analysis and Transmission Electron Microscopes (TEM) confirm the effect of Sc.CO 2 treatment. The average fiber length and diameter of Sc.CO 2 treated CNFs were 53.72 and 7.14 nm, respectively. In comparison, untreated samples had longer fiber length and diameter (302.87 and 97.93 nm). The Sc.CO 2 -treated CNFs also had significantly higher thermal stability by more than 27% and zeta potential value of −38.9 ± 5.1 mV, compared to untreated CNFs (−33.1 ± 3.0 mV). The vibrational band frequency and chemical composition analysis data confirm the presence of cellulose function groups without any contamination with lignin and hemicellulose. The Sc.CO 2 treatment method is a green approach for enhancing the isolation yield of CNFs from carpet wastes and produce better quality nanocellulose for advanced applications.
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1. Introduction

The production of nanocellulose has attracted tremendous attention in the past few years due to its suitability for a wide range of applications in medical and other fields [1,2]. Cellulose nano fibers (CNFs) have excellent mechanical properties, thermal conductivity, and electrical conductivity, making these nanomaterials imparted to various matrices such as thermoplastics and elastomers, thermosets, ceramics, and even metals [3–6]. In addition, the significant biological properties of CNFs, such as biocompatibility, biodegradability, non-toxicity, and non-immunogenicity accompanied by eco-friendly nature, are added advantages and highly extend their application in the biomedical fields such as drug delivery, tissue engineering and biosensing [7–9]. The past few years witnessed utilization of different industrial and agricultural wastes including textile wastes as a source of predominant compounds they contain such as nanocellulose and recyclable nylon [10].
Natural fiber carpets and mats wastes are one of textile wastes that mostly end up in landfill due to the high costs of their recyclability compared to their original price [11,12]. With the increase in carpet production, carpet wastes are continuously increasing, giving the need for safer utilization of these wastes. Natural fiber carpets are mainly composed of natural fibers; in Malaysia, carpets and mats are highly produced from *Hibiscus cannabinus* L. natural fibers, which contain high cellulose content and can be an excellent source of nanocellulose instead of ending up in landfill [13].

New methods for producing high-quality cellulose nanofibers from natural fibers, agricultural and textile wastes are highly desired and some of them are very popular such as blending electrospinning [14], coaxial electrospinning [15], and tri-axial electrospinning [16–18]. These techniques have been reported to produce CNFs with slightly different properties depending on the extraction conditions as well as used chemical agents. High-intensity ultrasonication, cryo crushing, high-pressure homogenization, grinding, steam explosion process, electrospinning, high-speed blending, and 2,2,6,6-Tetramethylpiperidin-1-yl)oxyl oxidation method are the most used techniques for CNFs isolation [19–22]. However, many of these techniques either use toxic chemicals or expensive approaches, which eventually raise the production costs and/or reduce the quality of CNFs due to the possible chemical contamination. Supercritical carbon dioxide (Sc.CO$_2$) is an environmentally friendly, inexpensive, and essentially nontoxic technique that has attracted significant attention in the past few years in extraction and isolation applications, which use relatively moderate critical temperature (31.1 °C) and pressure (73.8 bar) [23,24].

The interactions of supercritical fluids carbon dioxide with lignocellulosic fibers have been extensively investigated by researchers. Atiqah et al. [25] used Sc.CO$_2$ explosions with a low temperature followed by mild oxalic acid hydrolysis to extract CNFs. Li and Kiran [26] studied the extent of dissolutions in wood species and reported that the isolation in CO$_2$, ethylene, n-butane, and nitrous oxide was not significant. Schmidt et al. [27] reported severe damage in cellulose fabrics (viscose and cotton) after Sc.CO$_2$ treatment. However, the exact role and the mechanism of Sc.CO$_2$ in CNFs isolation is not yet thoroughly investigated. Many researchers have reported using Sc.CO$_2$ approach with natural fibers without comparing the outcomes and highlighting the effect of Sc.CO$_2$ on the isolated fibers or trying such technique in textile wastes. This research aimed to isolate cellulose nano fibers from traditional natural fiber carpet wastes and investigate the role of Sc.CO$_2$ treatment in enhancing the properties of CNFs. Experiments were performed to compare the properties of CNFs isolated with and without Sc.CO$_2$ approach.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Natural fiber carpet wastes were obtained from NVD carpets Sdn. Bhd. (Penang, Malaysia). Carbon dioxide for the supercritical process was procured from ZARM Scientific & Supplies Sdn. Bhd. (Penang, Malaysia). All the chemicals in this study were used without further purification and were of analytical grade, purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (Schnelldorf, Germany); sodium hydroxide (99%), sodium chlorite (80%), Hydrogen peroxide (35%), oxalic acid (98%) and glacial acetic acid (99.5%).

2.2. Isolation of CNFs from Natural Fiber Carpet Wastes

Natural fiber carpet wastes (300 g) were cut into small pieces (2–3 cm long) and washed several times with hot water and then placed in a digester (Pulping) with 26% sodium hydroxide (NaOH). The ratio of cooking was 1:7 and cooked at temperature 170 °C for 90 min. The fiber pulp was then washed and purified through 3-stage bleaching described in Table 1.
Table 1. The stages of bleaching condition for CNFs isolation from pulped carpet wastes.

| Bleaching Stage | Chemical Charge         | Reaction Time (min) | Temperature (°C) | Consistency (%) |
|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| D₁              | 2% NaClO₂ + 3% CH₃COOH | 120                 | 70               | 10              |
| E₂              | 1.5% NaOH + 1% H₂O₂     | 90                  | 70               | 10              |
| D₂              | 1% NaClO₂ + 3% CH₃COOH  | 90                  | 60               | 10              |

Bleached pulp was then divided into two samples; one undergoes Sc.CO₂ treatment with 50 MPa at 60 °C for 2 h followed by mild hydrolysis, while the other one undergoes only mild hydrolysis without Sc.CO₂ treatment. The mild hydrolysis was done using 5% oxalic acid, and the washed fiber suspension was eventually homogenized for 6 h in an OV5 homogenizer (VELP SCIENTIFICA, Usmate Velate MB, Italy) to get CNFs. Figure 1 presents the overall process of CNFs preparation from natural fiber carpet wastes.

2.3. Determination of the Fiber Yield and Chemical Analysis

The fibers were oven-dried and weighed before and after each stage of the isolation process. The calculation was repeated three times, and the average of three replications was considered as the result of the fiber yield. Standard TAPPI methods were used for determining the chemical composition of the samples. The cellulose content was assessed...
using TAPPI Test Method 203 om-93, while TAPPI Test Method T222 om-88 was used to evaluate hemicellulose and lignin percentage [28].

2.4. Microscopic Analysis
The morphology of bleached fibers before and after Sc.CO₂ treatment was characterized by using SEM model Leo Supra, 50 VP, Carl Zeiss, SMT (Carl Zeiss Group, Oberkochen, Germany) with high resolution. TEM model PHILIPS CM12 electron microscope equipped with Docu Verison 3.2 (Hamburg, Germany) was used to measure the dimension of the isolated CNFs.

2.5. Particle Size Distribution and Surface Charge
A laser diffraction analyzer was used to determine the size distribution and charge of all prepared CNFs using Nano-ZS90, Malvern, UK analysis machine. A 0.1 nm and 10 µm size range was used for analyzing the CNFs particles using a suspension of 0.01% CNFs consistency, which was dispersed with ultrasound for 15 min at 100% power. The results were the average of three replicated measurements.

2.6. Fourier Transform-Infrared (FTIR) Spectroscopy
FT-IR spectroscopy (Thermo Scientific model Nicolet I S10 spectrometer, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) was used to investigate the functional groups present in the isolated CNFs, using a Perkin Elmer spectrum 1000 for obtaining the spectrum. The CNFs suspension was freeze-dried before the analysis.

2.7. Crystallinity Analysis
Structural and crystallinity of the CNFs samples were determined using an X-ray diffractometer (XRD) test, Model Bruker D8 Advance with CuKα radiation. The Ni-filter was used to filter the CuKα radiation. A 2θ angle range from 0° to 50° in reflection mode was scanned at 2°/min. Crystallinity index for both samples was calculated using Origin software by using the following equation:

\[
\text{Crystallinity \%} = \frac{\text{Area of crystalline peaks}}{\text{Area of all peaks}} \times 100
\]

2.8. Thermo-Gravimetric Analysis (TGA)
A thermogravimetric analyzer (TGA/SDTA 851e, Brand Mettler Toledo, Mettler-Toledo International Inc., Columbus, OH, USA) was used to characterize all TGA curves for all the samples. The samples were heated from 25 °C to 600 °C at 10 °C/min⁻¹.

3. Results
3.1. Fiber Morphology
The microscopic analysis of the bleached fibers is presented in Figure 2, which can be observed the effect of Sc.CO₂ on the lignocellulosic fibers compared with untreated ones. It can be seen the differences of fractions among supercritical treated fibers (Figure 2a) as highlighted with the red arrows compared with untreated fibers (Figure 2b), which still seemed to be in contact without clear fractions. The combination of mild heat, supercritical carbon dioxide, and pressure could cause cellulosic fibers through acetal bonds [29]. The mechanism of Sc.CO₂ treatment in facilitating the acid hydrolysis process and generating nano cellulose fibers can be explained by the induction of several longitudinal fractions along with each fiber, which removed the impurities and resulted in cleaner and more fragile fibers (Figure 2c). The fragile fibers that contain the longitudinal fractions permit the acid to integrate within the fibers and thus facilitate their cleavage. The fibers undergo pressure and mild temperature, which increased their fragility and broke into smaller particle sizes, facilitating the acid hydrolysis process and generating smaller CNFs.
Figure 2. SEM images of bleached carpet pulp: (a) Sc.CO₂ treated fibers, (b) untreated bleached fibers, and (c) schematic drawing of the possible supercritical fraction mechanism on the carpet fibers.
The Sc.CO$_2$ destroyed and hydrolyzed the remaining lignin structure in the fibers, causing a plasticization effect in the supercritical state and higher crystallinity index. Multiple centrifugations were required to naturalize the acidic pH of CNF after the acid hydrolysis process, which was caused due to trapping the acid within the nano fraction of cellulosic fibers. In a previous study, it was reported a significant delignification effect of Sc.CO$_2$ on lignocellulosic rice husk without any reductions in enzymatic digestibility and crystallinity [18]. In a similar study, Atiqah et al. [25] used supercritical CO$_2$ in the acid hydrolysis process to produce CNFs from Kenaf bast and reported that supercritical facilitated the fibers fraction. In this study, results comparing the surface of Sc.CO$_2$ treated and untreated fibers can be observed with slight impurities and longitudinal fractions, confirm the finding. The ability of Sc.CO$_2$ treatment in endorsing such modifications in the carpet fibers, was furtherly confirmed with chemical composition and crystallinity analysis.

### 3.2. Fibers Yield and Chemical Composition

Table 2 presents the yield, fiber length, and chemical composition of each stage of the CNFs isolation process. The weight reduction after each step of CNF isolation with increasing the cellulose content and elimination of other undesired materials, such as hemicellulose, lignin, and ash. This study achieved a CNF yield of 32% and 31.5% from the overall biomass fiber for Sc.CO$_2$ and non-Sc.CO$_2$. The cellulose content of raw fibers was found to be 63.2%, which ended up with 94.6 and 93.8% for Sc.CO$_2$ and non-Sc.CO$_2$ approaches, respectively. The cellulose content was higher than that obtained by Karimi et al. [30], who obtained CNFs from raw kenaf fiber with a cellulose content of 92.0 ± 0.5% and 91.8 ± 0.9%, respectively. Moreover, the CNFs yield obtained in this study was higher than the previous study, which used slightly different approaches. Lignin content slightly decreased after supercritical treatment from 0.8 in the non-treated approach to 0.6% in Sc.CO$_2$ samples. In this regard, François et al. [31] reported a similar finding, with a 24.5% decrease in lignin content after Sc.CO$_2$ treatment of hemp fibers. A slight difference in chemical composition and fiber yield was recorded among Sc.CO$_2$ and non-Sc.CO$_2$. However, the fiber length was significantly different, ranging from 100 nm to 120 nm in Sc.CO$_2$ approach compared with non-Sc.CO$_2$ approach, which was higher than 2000 nm.

#### Table 2. The fiber yield, fiber length, and chemical analysis after each stage of CNF preparation.

| Biomass Stage          | Fiber Yield | Fiber Length | Cellulose ± | Hemicellulose ± | Lignin ± |
|------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|----------|
| Raw carpet fibers      | 100%        | 2.0–3.0 cm   | 63.2 ± 0.7  | 18.3 ± 1.2      | 11.6 ± 0.5 |
| Pulped fibers          | 91%         | 2.0–3.0 cm   | 65.4 ± 0.9  | 18.9 ± 1.4      | 12.1 ± 0.8 |
| Bleached pulps         | 58%         | 0.1–1.1 cm   | 92.3 ± 0.6  | 3.8 ± 0.3       | 0.9 ± 0.2 |
| Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs| 32%         | 97.0 nm      | 94.6 ± 0.4  | 3.1 ± 0.1       | 0.6 ± 0.2 |
| Non-Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs | 31.5% | 302 nm      | 93.8 ± 0.6  | 3.5 ± 0.3       | 0.8 ± 0.2 |

#### 3.3. Surface Functional Group and Thermal Analysis

Figure 3 compares the results of surface functional groups of Sc.CO$_2$ and non-Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs to assess the variations of any possible chemical structure changes. From FTIR spectra, it can be seen the little variation even in the dominant peaks between the two samples. However, the –OH stretches in both Sc.CO$_2$ and non-Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs are observed in the 3800–3000 cm$^{-1}$ range with a broad peak and great intensity. Non-Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs showed some tiny shoulders in the 3700–3800 cm$^{-1}$ range, which could be due to extractive or other impurities present in the fibers. Bigger CH-stretching can be observed in Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs, and tiny shaft stretching vibrations could be due to the CO$_2$ supercritical treatment caused slight shifts for the characteristic bands [23].
The peak intensity in non-supercritical obtained CNFs more sharpens than supercritical ones, attributing to the high OH concentration, which could be produced from hydrogen bonds breakage in cellulose hydroxyl groups [32]—the peak at 1720 cm$^{-1}$ in Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs correspond to carbonyl shoulder (C–O), also found in lignin and hemicellulose. Sc.CO$_2$ treatment of bleached fibers could affect the functional groups at this spectrum, resulting in the removal of some carboxylic groups and thus resulting in higher intensity. This can also be observed among the peaks of each alkane (CH$_2$) ether and carbonyl(C–O) group; the Sc.CO$_2$ that obtained CNFs appeared in greater intensity. The decreasing intensity of the peaks at 1225–1250 cm$^{-1}$ suggests the effective removal of lignin and hemicellulose, as this peak attributes to –CO stretching and syringyl ring [33]. Table 3 summarizes the peak location, shape, and size of all detected CNFs chemical functional groups.

| Wavenumber (cm$^{-1}$) | Band Assignments            | Peak Shape/Size | Remarks                                      |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------|
| 3800–3000              | Hydroxyl group (OH)         | Very broad      | Sc.CO$_2$ had greater intensity              |
| 2900–2700              | Methyl group (CH)           | Small           | A larger peak in Sc.CO$_2$                   |
| 2350                   | Carbon dioxide (COO)        | Very small      | Similar in both samples                      |
| 1720                   | Carbonyl Shoulder (C–O)     | Very small      | Only in Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs             |
| 1641–1639              | Aldehyde group (C=O)        | Broad and sharp | Sharper in non-Sc.CO$_2$                     |
| 1427–1425              | Alkane group (CH$_2$)       | Tiny peak       | The greater intensity in Sc.CO$_2$           |
| 1382                   | Alkane group (C–H)          | Tiny peak       | Only in non-Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs         |
| 1163–1161              | Ether group (C–O–C)         | Small and sharp | The greater intensity in Sc.CO$_2$           |
| 1060–1031              | Carbonyl group (C–O)        | Medium and wide | Wider and greater in Sc.CO$_2$               |
| 891                    | Methyl group (C–H)          | Very tiny shoulder | Similar in both samples                       |
| 584–574                | Carboxyl group (C–OH)       | Small and wide  | Wider and greater in non-Sc.CO$_2$           |
Cellulosic materials are known for their thermal sensitivity, which degrades at low to moderate temperatures [34]. Figure 4 presents the thermal degradation of Sc.CO$_2$ and non-Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs. Generally, Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs had better thermal stability than non-Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs; it showed a $T_{\text{onset}}$ and $T_{\text{max}}$ of 312.68 and 343.33 °C, respectively, compared with non-Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs (285.19 and 319.83 °C). At a low-temperature range of below 100 °C (phase I), evaporation of moisture, physisorbed water, and volatile compounds occurs, resulting in a slight weight loss of approximately 10% [35]. At this stage, the mass loss of non-Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs was 7% higher than the Sc.CO$_2$ treated CNFs. However, with the increase in temperature (150–500 °C) (phase II) and the primary degradation of cellulosic fiber, major weight loss happened in both samples, with a significant difference; the mass loss of Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs was lower by more than 27% than in non-treated fibers. The results suggest that supercritical could induce architecture changes in cellulose, making them more stable at such temperatures [36]. Phase III occurred at a higher temperature range (500 °C to 800 °C), decomposition of carbonaceous materials in the fiber sample occurs, leading to minor weight loss. The thermo-gravimetric analysis confirms the chemical composition results, as Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs contain less lignin than non-Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs. In general, lignin is characterized by slow weight loss over a broader temperature than cellulose and hemicellulose [37]. In derivative thermo-gravimetric curve of non-Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs, a low temperature a shoulder can be seen, which could be attributed to higher hemicellulose content compared with Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs [38,39].

Figure 4. Thermogravimetric analysis of obtained CNFs (a) TGA, (b) DTG, and decomposition temperature and mass loss data of the CNFs.

| CNFs           | Decomposition temperature (°C) | Mass loss (%) |
|----------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
|                | $T_{\text{onset}}$ | $T_{\text{max}}$ | 100 °C | 300 °C | 500 °C | 800 °C |
| Non-Sc.CO$_2$ CNF | 285.19             | 319.83         | 6.67    | 29.25  | 77.40   | 82.44 |
| Sc.CO$_2$ CNF   | 312.68             | 343.33         | 5.68    | 13.33  | 74.67   | 90.62 |
| Average        | 298.94             | 331.58         | 6.18    | 21.29  | 76.04   | 86.53 |
| Difference     |                   |                | 7%      | 27%    | 2%      | 5%    |
3.4. Particle Size Distribution and Surface Charge Analysis

The results of particle size distributions, surface charge analysis, and TEM images of Sc.CO$_2$ and non-Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs are presented in Figure 5. Upon calculating the particle size, the Malvern Nano-ZS90 is known to assume spherical particles, which affected the general diameter of both samples. The average fiber length and diameter of Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs was 53.72 and 7.14 nm, respectively, compared with non-Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs, which had longer fiber length and diameter (302.87 and 97.93 nm). The uniform particle size of Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs suggests the effectiveness of Sc.CO$_2$ treatment in defragmentation and breaking the fibers into smaller pieces. Furthermore, Ss.CO$_2$-assisted acid hydrolysis process to extract nano-size fibers from the bleached raw fibers. The lignocellulosic structure during the supercritical conditions may undergo chemical decomposition, as reported earlier [40]. Plant cellulose is often surrounded by a plethora of hemicellulose and lignin fibers, making them less susceptible to acid hydrolysis [30]. However, this study’s morphological and particle size results confirm the effectiveness of supercritical in assisting the hydrolysis process.

Zeta potential test was used to estimate the surface charge for Sc.CO$_2$ and non-Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs by tracking the rising rate of charged particles (positively or negatively charged) across an electric field. It can be seen in Figure 4g,h, that the high negative charge of Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs $-38.9 \pm 5.11$ mV compared with non-Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs that had a negative value of $-33.1 \pm 2.99$ mV. The higher negative value of supercritical treated fibers came from the oxalate groups formed during the acid hydrolysis. Due to the presence of hydroxyl and carboxyl functional groups, cellulosic surfaces possess a bipolar character with a predominant acidic contribution [41]. Hence, Sc.CO$_2$ assesses the hydrolysis by increasing the susceptibility of the fibers. Thus, treated fibers recorded higher negative zeta potential values. The absolute value of the zeta potential for both samples was higher than $-25$ mV, which refers to their stability, as reported by Abraham et al. [41].

3.5. Crystallinity

X-ray diffractometry (XRD) was used to determine the crystallinity of Sc.CO$_2$ and non-Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs as presented in Figure 6. Generally, material crystallinity is an essential factor that determines its thermal and mechanical properties [42]. However, the intermolecular hydrogen bonding among the hydroxyl groups within the CNFs appears as a perfect crystalline packing. The excellent mechanical properties of CNF were due to hydrogen bonding within the CNFs [43]. From Figure 6, it can be seen that the crystallinity index between the Sc.CO$_2$ and non-Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs was slightly different. However, the two samples showed similar diffraction peaks at $2\theta = 16.1^\circ$ and $2\theta = 16.3^\circ$ for Sc.CO$_2$ and non-Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs, respectively. It represents the typical diffraction peaks of cellulose type I [40]. The crystallinity index of Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs in the present study was also found to be 80.5%, which was higher than that of non-Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs (75.5%). It is well known that CNFs typically consist of two regions: amorphous region and crystalline region. However, it has been reported that crystallinity of CNFs ranging from 40 to 80%, depending on the source of cellulose and the isolation approach [44]. The infiltration of Sc.CO$_2$ into the fibers caused swelling, leading to re-arrangements and recrystallization of molecular chains and shifting the characteristic bands. The Sc.CO$_2$ breaks the remaining lignin structure in the fibers through hydrolysis, as confirmed by chemical composition and molecular bond vibration analysis. The breakdown of lignin caused a plasticization effect in the supercritical state of the CNFs. The increased crystallinity index of Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs resulted from the increasing mobility of macro-molecular chains, which can induce their re-arrangement under supercritical conditions compared to non-supercritical conditions.
Figure 5. Particle size distribution and Surface charge analysis for non-Sc.CO$_2$ and Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs respectively: (a,b) TEM micrograph, (c,d) fiber diameter, (e,f) fiber length, (g,h) zeta potential distribution.
4. Conclusions

Cellulose nano fibers were successfully isolated from natural fiber carpet wastes using Sc.CO$_2$ and non-Sc.CO$_2$ approaches. Comparing the two approaches, it can be observed that the quality of CNFs isolated from the Sc.CO$_2$ approach was better than non-Sc.CO$_2$ approaches. The Sc.CO$_2$ treatment significantly enhanced their fragmentation and generated smaller particles than untreated fibers. The combination of mild heat, CO$_2$, and pressure caused depolymerization of cellulosic fibers due to cleavage of acetal bonds, which facilitated the acid hydrolysis process and generating smaller CNFs. The Sc.CO$_2$ helps in the cleavage of the remaining lignin structure in the fibers through hydrolysis, causing a plasticization effect in the supercritical state and a higher crystallinity index. The Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs possess more thermal stability, better chemical composition and higher zeta potential value. The fiber diameter and length of Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs was smaller than it in non-Sc.CO$_2$ obtained CNFs. The Sc.CO$_2$ has the potential to be used as a green approach for enhancing the isolation of CNFs and producing better quality nanocellulose for advanced applications that require high performance materials.
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