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Abstract

Physical education has an important role in helping students develop quality skills. The Teaching Games for Understanding (TGFU) approach is a learning model that focuses on developing abilities through the form of basic playing concepts. TGFU is considered to have an influence in improving learning skills, especially in the domain of cognitive abilities such as decision making. This study describes the effectsthe TGFU model of cognitive abilities. The design of this writing is study literature review. The results of several studies indicate an increase in cognitive abilities in students who apply the TGFU learning model in the implementation of sports and games.
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INTRODUCTION

Physical education is a subject that has a vital position in the development of human resources (HR) (Rink, 2013). Physical education in schools does not only provide understanding for students about the process of physical or movement activities, and also physical education at school is expected to deliver / equip students in quality development that includes other important elements such as cognitive competence (Lind et al., 2017). Cognitive ability is one of the objectives of learning outcomes expected from the learning process and to measure the extent to which students achieve the specified results.

Cognitive abilities This is expected to affect the quality of students, which in turn will have an impact on the quality of humans throughout their lives, because Cognitive approach focuses on students' thinking and reflective thinking (Liu, Mcbride, Xiang, & Scarmardo-rhodes, 2017). There are things that are used as a cognitive basis when students think critically, namely thinking about how to combine information that has been collected from various sources and different perceptions, to make rational decisions that can later be explained and defended (Abdullah, Badiei, Sulaiman, & Baki, 2014).

The learning model is a step used in learning activities as a reference for educational actors in achieving one goal (Rodríguez-negro & Yanci, 2019). Each learning model includes different components, one of which is Teaching Game For Understanding (TGFU) with cognitive domain priority through decision-making abilities in game concepts (Gurvitch & Metzler, 2013). TGFU is a learning approach model designed to prioritize a tactical approach regardless of the technique used, developing creativity to play, and the accuracy of decision making in games (Johnson & Walker, 2016). An important element of TGFU is to provide opportunities in students to develop thinking such as critical thinking, making decisions and solving problems (Harvey & Jarrett, 2014).

The TGFU learning model strategy is considered appropriate for various school levels and in line with physical education programs that aim to develop cognitive abilities in students. Recent studies have shown a beneficial effect of physical activity on cognitive function. So that the learning approach with TGFU is expected to improve the quality of physical education that focuses on cognitive abilities.

METHOD

The design of this writing is a memorial literature review study to make reference from members with keywords in 2 languages, namely Indonesian and English and using the PRISMA guideline literature search flowchart (Moher et al., 2009). With the publication time of the last 10 years, namely 2010-2020. The search was conducted with keywords in the form of "model approach," "Teaching Game For Understanding (TGFU)," "Cognitive abilities," "decision making," "TGFU in Physical Education." The references used are international and national journals published including on Google Scholar, Science Direct, Elsevier, Taylor and Francis, Pubmed, and Springerlink. Then extracted with inclusion criteria, which include 1) Publication year 2010-2020; 2) Full access text; 3) National indexed (SINTA) or international (Schimagojr). The search results obtained 9 articles of the original type of research with mixed-methods quasi-experimental pre-test and post-test
(1), qualitative: discourse analysis (1), quantitative (3), experiment: factorial design (2) mixed: quantitative (1) quasi-experimental (1).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Of the nine studies obtained, eight studies showed significant results in improving the cognitive performance domain in the form of decision making, while one other study showed different results, namely that there was no significant difference between the two models compared in the study. This study is more aimed at applying the TGFU model in physical education learning in the context of primary and secondary education schools.

Studies in Spain show an increase in the decision making of the TGFU study group. These results are seen from two main aspects, namely psychological aspects and interviews in the game of attack (invasion). The pre-test score of $M = 1.54$ and the post-test $M = 7.50$ ($P < 0.05$)(Morales-Belando, Calderón, & Arias-Estero, 2018). In line with this study, the TGFU learning model also showed an increase in cognitive abilities in the critical thinking aspect seen from interactions in solving problems in motion situations in invasion games ($P < 0.05$)(Ikbal, Yudiana, & Juliantine, 2019).

Studies in Greece show a cognitive improvement seen from the aspect of meta-cognitive behavior in elementary school students. The results were seen from the pre-intervention test via think-aloud protocols, the results showed that the pre-intervention value was 5.63% and the post-intervention value was 22.5%. These results indicate the TGFU learning model is effective in improving students' cognitive abilities in volleyball games(Chatzipanteli, Digelidis, & Karatzoglidis, 2015). Another study in Spain showed that there was a better effect in the TGFU group when making decisions on passing and throwing skills in basketball games. Results are average post-test experimental group 900 (SD = 0.74) and average post-test control group 823 (SD108) 95% CI, (P = 0.026) (Gil, Alvarez, Pizarro, & Dominguez, 2019). Still in Spain, but with a different focus on the game, it is said that there is an increase in the cognitive abilities of students in the TGFU group through the observation results of the Game Performance Evaluation Tool (GPET) in soccer games. The data showed that the
pre-test average result was 43.42 lower than the post-test 66.15 (P = 0.050) (Pizarro, García-González, Cortés, Perla Moreno Arroyo, & Domínguez, 2016).

A study in Malaysia showed that there was no significant difference in the comparison of the two group models, namely the TGFU group and the SDT group, seen from the results of learning movement skills in the decision-making process in badminton. Where the average post-test result of the TGFU model is 12.75 (SD = 4.52) and the average SDT model is 12.62 (SD = 4.34) (P> 0.05) (Nathan, 2016). A study in Canada states that the results of the comparison of the TGFU learning model approach have the same knowledge structure pattern as the activity framework created by Mohan (1986) and is commonly used in science learning. These results are evidenced by the cognitive abilities of students in making decisions in physical education learning. So it can be concluded that the TGFU learning model is considered positive and has an influence on the cognitive enhancement of students in physical education (Slater & Butler, 2015).

A study in Spain showed a significant difference in cognitive abilities in the aspects of decision making in the TGFU learning model compared to the Direct Instruction (DI) model. The average score of the post-test results of the TGFU model students was 9.00 (SD = 0.74) and the mean score of the post-test results of the students in the direct learning model was 0.25 (SD = 0.57) (P<0.001) (Sierra-Ríos, Clemente, Rey, & González-Villora, 2020). In Scotland, there is a significant difference in the TGFU learning model compared to the direct learning model that focuses on techniques in the game of movement with the ball and without the ball. The average score of movement with the ball in the TGFU model was higher, namely 17.00 (SD = 7.03), while the average score of movement with the ball in the Direct Instruction (DI) model was 9.25 (SD = 6.71) (P<0.05) and the average score of movement without the ball in the TGFU model is also higher, namely 39.12 (SD = 5.46) compared to the average score without the ball in the Direct Instruction (DI) model 17.87 (SD = 4.85) (P<0.001) (Gray & Sproule, 2011).

CONCLUSION

Of the nine studies, it shows that the TGFU learning model is considered to have a significant influence in improving students' cognitive abilities in the aspects of decision making in primary and secondary school physical education. In addition, the TGFU model can also have an influence through various types of sports media and games in physical education. Decision making is one of the basic pillars of developing a game, so it is suggested that the TGFU model be applied from the beginning of elementary school. In addition, the development of cognitive abilities will also have an effect on increasing the knowledge of tactical-technical skills needed in every physical education game. With that, the TGFU learning model that focuses on improving cognitive abilities in the aspect of decision-making abilities is expected to be oriented towards comprehensive learning, giving students the opportunity to make their own decisions.
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