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Organisms possess photoperiodic timing mechanisms to detect variations in day length and temperature as the seasons progress. The nature of the molecular mechanisms interpreting and signaling these environmental changes to elicit downstream neuroendocrine and physiological responses are just starting to emerge. Here, we demonstrate that, in Drosophila melanogaster, EYES ABSENT (EYA) acts as a seasonal sensor by interpreting photoperiodic and temperature changes to trigger appropriate physiological responses. We observed that tissue-specific genetic manipulation of eya expression is sufficient to disrupt the ability of flies to sense seasonal cues, thereby altering the extent of female reproductive dormancy. Specifically, we observed that EYA proteins, which peak at night in short photoperiod and accumulate at higher levels in the cold, promote reproductive dormancy in female D. melanogaster. Furthermore, we provide evidence indicating that the role of EYA in photoperiodism and temperature sensing is aided by the stabilizing action of the light-sensitive circadian clock protein TIMELESS (TIM). We postulate that increased stability and level of TIM at night under short photoperiod together with the production of cold-induced and light-insensitive TIM isoforms facilitate EYA accumulation in winter conditions. This is supported by our observations that tim null mutants exhibit reduced incidence of reproductive dormancy in simulated winter conditions, while flies overexpressing tim show an increased incidence of reproductive dormancy even in long photoperiod.

Significance

Extracting information regarding calendar time from seasonal changes in photoperiod and temperature is critical for organisms to maintain annual cycles in physiology and behavior. Here we found that, in flies, EYES ABSENT (EYA) protein acts as a seasonal sensor by adjusting its abundance and phase in response to changes in photoperiod and temperature. We showed that the manipulation of EYA levels is sufficient to impair the ability of female Drosophila to regulate seasonal variation in reproductive dormancy. Finally, our results suggest an important role for the circadian clock protein TIMELESS (TIM) in modulating EYA level through its ability to measure night length, linking the circadian clock to seasonal timing.
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We are referring to the phenomenon of ovary growth arrest in *D. melanogaster* as reproductive dormancy rather than diapause, due to its reliance on temperature in addition to photoperiodic cues.

Finally, we present results suggesting that the function of EYA in seasonal adaptation is aided by cold temperature-dependent induction of light-insensitive TIMELESS (TIM) isoforms, which contribute to EYA stabilization in winter condition. Our results directly link a key circadian clock protein to insect seasonal timing.

**Results**

**Reproductive Dormancy Is a Robust Phenotypic Readout for Winter Physiology in *D. melanogaster***. To verify that reproductive dormancy (i.e., ovary size) in *D. melanogaster* can serve as a robust phenotypic readout for long photoperiod (LP 16L:8D) versus short photoperiod (SP 8L:16D), we subjected wild-type (WT) (w^{1118}r) flies to a reproductive dormancy assay in which they were reared for 28 d at 10 °C in either LP or SP. Under SP, flies showed significantly smaller ovary size when compared to flies maintained in LP (Fig. 1B). This provides a suitable readout to investigate the effect of *eya* genetic manipulation in the photoperiodic control of seasonal physiology. As shown in previous studies (18), we found that temperature above 10 °C to 12 °C was not sufficient to induce *D. melanogaster* reproductive dormancy in SP.

To ensure that the observed differences in reproductive dormancy are not primarily driven by photoperiod length and not heavily dependent on minor thermal variations associated with duration of light period in LP vs. SP, we reduced temperature variations between light and dark periods to ±0.25 °C by adjusting incubator temperature settings, and evaluated reproductive dormancy in WT flies (SI Appendix, Fig. S1A). A previous study found that even temperature cycling of ±0.3 °C for 12 d can generate an apparent LP vs. SP effect on reproductive dormancy (18). In LP, WT females exhibited significantly smaller ovaries when temperature variations between light and dark periods were minimized (SI Appendix, Fig. S1B). Most importantly, WT ovaries in SP with reduced temperature variations between light and dark periods were still significantly smaller than the corresponding ovaries in LP. Since we were unable to completely abolish the temperature variations between light and dark periods, we cannot rule out the possibility that thermal differences contributed to the larger ovaries we observed in LP. Nevertheless, it should be noted that there is no light without heat in nature.

**eya Mediates Photoperiodic Regulation of Reproductive Dormancy.** To lay the groundwork for tissue-specific manipulation of *eya* expression, we performed immunostaining in adult fly brains by driving green fluorescent protein (GFP) expression under *eya* promoter. We observed GFP expression in the optic lobes at ZT8 (LD12:12 at 25 °C) (SI Appendix, Fig. S2). We also observed GFP expression in insulin-producing cells (IPCs) within the *pars intercerebralis* (PI) and dorsal lateral peptidergic (DLPs) neurons located in the *pars lateralis* (PL). Expression pattern of *eya* correlates with its confirmed role in eye development as well as its potential role in regulating neuroendocrinology of seasonality. To confirm EYA expression in IPCs, we performed EYA and GFP double staining in *dilp2-Gal4 > UAS-GFP* by brains and compared EYA levels between LP and SP (ZT16) at 10 °C. The choice of ZT16 was justified by EYA protein analysis described later in this study. Our results clearly indicated the presence of EYA in IPCs. In addition, we observed significantly higher EYA signal in *dilp2*-expressing neurons under SP (Fig. 1B and C), consistent with the potential role of EYA in promoting reproductive dormancy under SP.

We first tested the requirement of *eya* expression in the PI for reproductive dormancy, as it has been identified as an important brain region for neuroendocrine control of insect physiology. In particular, insulin signaling has been shown to be an important regulator of seasonal adaptation (23–27). *Dilp2-GS* gene-switch Gal4 driver (28) was used to drive the expression of *eya* double-stranded RNAs (dsRNAs) in the IPCs within the PI at the adult stage to knock down *eya* in a time- and tissue-specific manner to limit pleiotropic and developmental effects (Fig. 1D). Reduction in *eya* expression has no effect on ovary size in LP at 10 °C but led to a significant increase in ovary size in SP at 10 °C when compared to all control groups. Conversely, when we overexpressed *eya* in *dilp2*-expressing neurons at the adult stage, females showed significant decrease in ovary size when compared to parental controls and vehicle control in LP at 10 °C (Fig. 1E). The ovaries of *eya* overexpressors in LP were comparable to that observed in flies reared in SP.

We also tested the importance of *eya* expression in *gmr*-expressing cells in the visual system (SI Appendix, Figs. S3A and B), since we observed prominent *eya* expression in the optic lobes (SI Appendix, Fig. S2). Knockdown of *eya* using *gmr-GS* gene-switch driver (29) at the adult stage similarly resulted in significant increase in ovary size specifically in SP at 10 °C (SI Appendix, Fig. S3A). On the other hand, *eya* overexpression in *gmr*-expressing cells led to significant decrease in ovary size even in LP (SI Appendix, Fig. S3B). These observations are consistent with our results using *eya-Gal4* driver to broadly overexpress *eya* (SI Appendix, Figs. S1C and S3C). Our results provide functional evidence supporting the involvement of *eya* in the regulation of reproductive dormancy in arthropods.

**EYA Senses Both Photoperiod and Temperature.** Since photoperiodic signal constitutes a major predictable cue to anticipate seasonal progression, we hypothesize that *eya* may interpret photoperiod length and modulate its expression at transcriptional and/or protein level to regulate seasonal physiology. To test this hypothesis, we evaluated daily *eya* messenger RNA (mRNA) and EYA protein expression from head extracts of WT (w^{1118}r) flies before and after application of a photoperiodic shift, by rearing flies for 3 d in LP followed by 7 d in SP (Fig. 2A). Temperature was set at 10 °C throughout the entire experiment after fly emergence, in order to maintain conditions necessary for reproductive dormancy and specifically assess the contribution of photoperiod. Samples were collected at days 3, 5, and 10 (LP3, SP5, and SP10, respectively) and assayed by qPCR and Western blotting (Fig. 2B–G). The *eya* mRNA and proteins were analyzed at multiple time points over a 24-h light–dark cycle, as mammalian *Eya3* mRNA was shown to be clock regulated (30). Analysis of EYA proteins on LP3, SP5, and SP10 showed robust oscillation over the daily cycle in all three groups. A striking effect of the photoperiodic shift was an 8-h delay in peak phase at the protein level between LP (ZT8) and SP (ZT16). This corresponds precisely to the difference in day length between the respective photoperiodic regimes. Unlike EYA proteins, the phase of *eya* mRNA oscillations remains unchanged between LP and SP conditions (Fig. 2 E–G). This observation suggests a possible role of EYA in sensing day length at the protein level, specifically, peaking in the dark phase in SP. The delay in protein relative to the mRNA peak suggests that post-transcriptional mechanisms may be important in shaping EYA protein profile.

Interestingly, we observed a significant increase between SP5 and SP10 in peak *eya* mRNA expression at ZT12 (1.51-fold change) and EYA protein at ZT16 (1.67-fold change), respectively (Fig. 2; *P* < 0.05, two-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey’s honestly significant difference [HSD] tests). This led to the hypothesis that a prolonged exposure of SP, perhaps in combination with low temperature, might be responsible for the higher level of *eya* mRNA and EYA protein on SP10. To test this hypothesis, flies were reared in light–dark cycle (LD 12L:12D) for 3 d at 25 °C (3D25) before being transferred to either 10 °C (10D10) or kept at 25 °C (10D25) for another 7 d (Fig. 3A). As expected, we observed an increase between 10D25 and 10D10 with a 1.70- and 1.44-fold change) and EYA protein at ZT16 (1.67-fold change), respectively (Fig. 2A, B, and C). These results provide functional evidence supporting the involvement of *eya* in the regulation of reproductive dormancy in arthropods.
Fig. 1. Genetic manipulation ofeya in the PI impacts reproductive dormancy. (A) Levels of reproductive dormancy determined by ovary size measurement (in pixels) in WT (w1118) females reared for 28 d at 10 °C in long photoperiod (LP 16L:8D) and short photoperiod (SP 8L:16D). The whisker caps represent the minimum and maximum values; different letters indicate significant differences in ovary size between groups. All error bars indicate SEM. Mann–Whitney test, P < 0.0001, n = 40. (Scale bar: 1,000 μm.) (B) Comparison of EYA levels in dilp2-Ga4 > UAS-cd8-GFP brains between LP and SP at ZT16 (10 °C). White arrows denote EYA-positive cells. (Magnification: 355×.) (C) Quantification of EYA staining in IPCs. Five or six neurons per brain were imaged for eight or nine brains. ***P < 0.001, Mann–Whitney test. Ovary size of females (D) expressing eya dsRNAs (dilp2-GS > eyaRNAiKK in the presence of RU486) or (E) over-expressing eya (dilp2-GS > UAS-eya in the presence of RU486) in dilp2 neurons at adult stage as compared to parental controls and vehicle control (EtOH) in LP and SP. Kruskall–Wallis test with Dunn’s multiple comparison test, P < 0.001, n = 30 to 40 per group.
post hoc Tukey’s HSD tests) and EYA protein at ZT16 (P < 0.001), respectively (Fig. 3 B–G). This supports a model in whicheya is a cold-induced gene in D. melanogaster and the cold induction of EYA protein can at least be partially attributed to induction at the transcriptional level.

**EYA Rhythmic Expression Is Not Directly Controlled by the Circadian Clock.** To determine whethereya daily oscillation is regulated by the circadian clock, we examined its expression in WT (w^{1118}) flies in free-running condition and in Clk mutants (clk^{−/−}) using qPCR. Althougheya mRNA is rhythmic in LD cycles, these oscillations do not persist in constant darkness (DD) (Fig. 4A). This suggests thateya rhythmic expression may be regulated through a light-dependent pathway rather than being clock controlled. This result is supported by the fact that no significant change in eya mRNA expression and oscillation was observed in clk^{−/−} mutants (Fig. 4B).

To further examine the role of light in the regulation ofeya mRNA, we compared expression ofeya in WT flies in LD to those in constant light condition (LL1 to LL2) (Fig. 4 C and D). Theeya daily mRNA expression remains unaffected in LL1 compared to LD4 after 3 d of LD entrainment (Fig. 4C). However, when comparing LD5 and LL2, eya mRNA abundance was significantly reduced in LL2 at ZT8, ZT12, and ZT16, and no oscillation was detected. Interestingly, EYA protein level was dramatically reduced even on LL1 as compared to LD4, and the oscillation was dampened (Fig. 4 E and F and SI Appendix, Fig. S4A). The effect of light on EYA protein stability shows striking similarities with the well-characterized light-mediated TIM degradation (31–33) (Fig. 4 E, Middle). One difference between EYA and TIM expression in LD is that EYA appears to accumulate at earlier time points compared to TIM, which shows an expression pattern restricted to the dark phase only.

**Genetic Manipulation oftim Alters EYA Stability and Reproductive Dormancy.** Since EYA protein exhibits a pattern similar to TIM protein under LL, we decided to examine its expression level in CLK mutant flies to investigate whether TIM is involved in stabilizing EYA. Genetic variations in tim have previously been linked to latitudinal clines in diapause incidence in Drosophila (34, 35). By Western blotting, we observed that EYA abundance is markedly reduced in tim null mutants (yw; tim^{−/−}) (Fig. 5 A and B and SI Appendix, Fig. S4B) and starts to accumulate after lights-off. Conversely, an increase in EYA was detected in tim-overexpressing flies (yw; p{tim(WT)}) (Fig. 5 A and B and SI Appendix, Fig. S4C). Interestingly, no significant change in eya mRNA expression was detected when comparing WT to tim null mutants or to tim overexpressors at all time points (Fig. 5 E and F), suggesting the role of TIM in stabilizing EYA at the protein level.

We then proceeded to test the effect oftim genetic manipulations on female reproductive dormancy. We found that, when tim null mutants were reared in SP at 10 °C, their ability to enter into reproductive dormancy was significantly impaired as compared to...
productive dormancy in response to photoperiodic signals. With the role of TIM in supporting EYA in the regulation of reproductive dormancy, the proportion of individuals in reproductive dormancy was significantly higher as compared to WT, even in LP (Fig. 5B). In a reciprocal experiment, we evaluated ovary size in WT and tim-overexpressing flies was also significant in SP but much smaller (Fig. 5A). The difference in ovary size between WT and tim-overexpressing flies was also significant in SP but much smaller (Fig. 5H). Our results are consistent with the role of TIM in supporting EYA in the regulation of reproductive dormancy in response to photoperiodic signals.

**TIM Mediates both Photoperiodic and Temperature Signals to Impact EYA Expression.** Although analysis of tim mutants supports the hypothesis that TIM may promote EYA expression, the low level of canonical TIM expression at low temperature (36) and its absence during the light phase (31–33) suggest that other TIM isoforms could be involved. We therefore evaluated whether the expression profiles of different TIM isoforms are affected by temperature shift in a fashion similar to EYA. At 10 °C, canonical TIM was barely detectable using our TIM antibody when compared to TIM levels at 25 °C, and no other isoforms were detected. Concomitantly, recent studies revealed that a-EYA10H6 (top isoform was used for quantification). a-HSP70 was used to indicate equal loading and for normalization. (E-G) Comparison of eya mRNA expression in heads of w1118 flies between 3 d at 25 °C, 10 d at 25 °C and 10 d at 10 °C normalized to cbp20 (peak phase: all at ZT12, P < 0.05 for all conditions, JTK-CYCLE). The gray shading in each graph indicates when lights were off during each sampling period. Data are mean ± SEM of n = 4 for mRNA analysis (two technical replicates for each of the two biological replicates) and n = 3 biological replicates for protein analysis.

WT (Fig. 5G). In a reciprocal experiment, we evaluated ovary size of tim-overexpressing flies in LP and SP and observed that the antibody we used to detect TIM was generated using a C-terminal antigen that is absent in the shorter TIM-SC isoform. In order to detect TIM-SC, we used a TIM antibody generated against a N-terminal antigen (32) and repeated the analysis. This time, we observed a drastic switch between the canonical TIM-L and the cold-induced TIM-SC isoform between 25 °C and 10 °C (Fig. 6A and SI Appendix, Fig. S4D). TIM-L abundance and its cycling amplitude were notably reduced at 10 °C as compared to that observed at 25 °C (Fig. 6B). Conversely, TIM-SC isoforms showed an opposite trend, with a substantial increase in expression at 10 °C, whereas almost no signal was detected at 25 °C (Fig. 6C). TIM-SC was expressed at high levels at 10 °C and exhibited a weak oscillation; however, this isoform failed to reach significance for Jonckheere–Terpstra–Kendall (JTK) cycling statistics, likely due to its stability in the presence of light.

The evaluation of the mRNA levels for different tim isoforms confirmed that both tim-cold and tim-sc are induced at 10 °C compared to 25 °C (Fig. 6F and G), while tim-M and tim-L+M are drastically reduced in the cold (Fig. 6D and E). All together, these results support the hypothesis that tim thermosensitive alternative splicing plays an important role in stabilizing EYA in cold temperature.

We also investigated whether expression of different tim isoforms are modulated by photoperiodic signals when temperature was kept at 10 °C. At the transcriptional level, we observed a
significant reduction in tim-sc mRNA between ZT16 and ZT20 in response to photoperiodic shift from LP to SP at 10 °C (LP3 vs. SP10), whereas no significant changes were detected for the longer tim isoforms (tim-L+M, tim-M, and tim-cold) (SI Appendix, Fig. S5 A–D). In addition, we observed a 4-h phase advance in tim-sc expression peak as flies transitioned from LP to SP condition (SI Appendix, Fig. S5 D). At the protein level, consistent with the effect of low temperature on canonical TIM protein, we observed relatively low levels of TIM-L across LP and SP conditions at 10 °C (SI Appendix, Figs. S4E and S5E). In SP5 and, even more so, in SP10, higher levels of TIM-L were observed at ZT0 and from ZT16 to ZT20 as compared to TIM-L at LP3 (SI Appendix, Fig. S5F). Robust daily cycling of TIM-L was detected in SP5 and, even more so, in SP10, at 10 °C, but not in LP3. Despite the effect of low temperature in diminishing TIM abundance, SP may still play a role in increasing TIM-L accumulation during nighttime, likely due to increased duration of the dark phase.

With regard to TIM-SC, no change in peak phase was observed between LP and SP, although we detected a higher level of TIM-SC at ZT16 and ZT20 in SP10 as compared to LP3 (SI Appendix, Fig. S5G). Combining the results of temperature and photoperiod shift experiments, SP in conjunction with low temperature provide the ideal condition for higher TIM-L and TIM-SC isoforms at night as well as elevated abundance of TIM-SC during the day. Both isoforms may function to stabilize EYA and promote reproductive dormancy. This is consistent with more abundant EYA and increased incidence of reproductive dormancy in flies overexpressing tim.

Finally, to test the potential of TIM in stabilizing EYA through protein interactions, we evaluated the ability of TIM-L and TIM-SC isoforms to bind EYA by expressing them in Dro sophila S2 cells. Reciprocal coimmunoprecipitations suggested that both TIM isoforms can interact with EYA (Fig. 7A), although the affinity of TIM-SC to EYA is significantly higher as compared to TIM-L.
compared to TIM-L (Fig. 7B). To test whether EYA and TIM could colocalize in specific brain regions involved in photoperiodic sensing, we expressed GFP under the control of eya promoter and stained for TIM using the antibody that recognizes both TIM-L and TIM-SC isoforms. While we cannot rule out the possibility that we are observing some expression for TIM-L, it is reasonable to believe that most of the TIM signal observed corresponds to TIM-SC expression at 10 °C. We performed our analysis at ZT16 on SP5 since TIM and EYA expression was shown to be abundant by Western blot analysis. Analysis of whole-brain immunostaining revealed colocalization of eya and TIM in the optic lobes. While we detected signal for both eya and TIM in the PI, we did not find evidence for colocalization (Fig. 7 C–E).

Fig. 5. Genetic manipulation of tim affects EYA protein stability and incidence of reproductive dormancy. (A and C) Western blots comparing EYA expression profiles in tim null mutant (yw; tim0) and tim overexpressor (w1118; p{tim(WT)}) to WT (yw or w1118) controls. Flies were entrained in LD (12:12) at 25 °C for 3 d and collected at six time points on LD4. (B and D) Quantification of Western blots shown in A and C and expressed as relative expression (highest value = 1). Second replicates of protein analyses are shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S4 B and C (n = 2). (E and F) Daily eya mRNA expression in tim null mutant (yw; tim0) and tim overexpressor (w1118; p{tim(WT)}) as compared to respective WT controls. Data are mean ± SEM of n = 4 (two technical replicates for each of the two biological replicates). Two-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey’s HSD tests. (G and H) Ovary size of female tim null (yw; tim0) and tim overexpressor (w; p{tim(WT)}) as compared to WT (yw or w1118) in reproductive dormancy assay. The whisker caps represent the minimum and maximum values; different letters indicate significant differences in ovary size between groups with P < 0.001, except for difference between b and c in H, P < 0.05, n = 40 females per group, one-way ANOVA followed by post hoc Tukey test.
In this study, we provide converging evidence supporting a central role of *eya* in seasonal adaptation in *D. melanogaster* (SI Appendix, Fig. S6). This includes immunocytochemical detection of EYA in areas within the fly brain regulating diapause and insulin signaling and the capacity of *eya* mRNA and protein to track temperature and photoperiod shifts through modulation of their expression. Perhaps the strongest evidence is our finding that spatiotemporal genetic manipulation of *eya* can alter seasonal physiology in the context of female reproductive dormancy. Our results support a
Negative control coIPs were performed using α-cells. Proteins extracted from S2 cells were either immunoprecipitated with α-FLAG to pull down EYA or with α-HA to pull down either TIM-L or TIM-SC. Immunocomplexes were subjected to Western blotting to detect the bait protein or protein interactions. Input for the coIP assay was indicated (Lys). Values for binding of interacting proteins were normalized to amount of bait detected in the respective IPs. Error bars indicate ±SEM (*P < 0.05, two-tailed Student’s t-test). (C-E) The eya-gal4>UAS-cd8-GFP brains from flies collected on SPS (Z16) at 10 °C were stained for (C) GFP and (D) TIM, OL: optic lobes; PI: pars intercerebralis. (E) Merged images showing colocalization of GFP (eya) and TIM in the OL but not in the PI. Eight or nine brains were imaged. Scale bars for whole brain and OL represent 100 nm and scale bar for PI represents 10 nm.
Another advantage of establishing robust conditions to induce reproductive dormancy in flies was the ability to track \( \text{eya} \) mRNA and protein expression in physiologically relevant conditions and investigate whether \( \text{eya} \) can “sense” photoperiodic and temperature shifts that ultimately result in different outcomes in reproductive dormancy. The mammalian ortholog \( \text{Eya3} \) has been proposed to play an important role in photoperiodism (11, 14, 15). In sheep, \( \text{Eya3} \) is a clock-controlled gene whose rhythmic expression is set by the phase of evening melatonin onset. This represents a classic example of external coincidence where light-dependent stimulus interacts with circadian oscillator to trigger seasonal phenotype (50, 51). We asked the question whether \( \text{eya} \) mRNA or protein can similarly interpret photoperiodic cues in insects. We observed that the phase of EYA oscillation is strongly influenced by photoperiod, while that for \( \text{eya} \) mRNA is not affected. Indeed, in LP, EYA peak expression is detected during the daytime, and transferring the flies into SP induces an 8-h shift in EYA peak phase, restricting its accumulation during the nighttime. In contrast, Dardente et al. (11) observed \( \text{Eya3} \) photoperiodic shift occurring at the transcriptional level with an accumulation during daytime in LP, consistent with \( \text{Eya3} \)-dependent induction of a summer phenotype in sheep.

In mammals, the effect of changing day length on endocrine rhythm is mediated by nocturnal secretion of melatonin by supressing the expression of a range of E box-controlled gene, including \( \text{Eya3} \) (52). Melatonin has been implicated in photoperiodism in some insect species (53, 54); however, its involvement in \( \text{Drosophila} \) is largely debated. This could explain why we did not observe any significant variation in \( \text{eya} \) mRNA oscillation in response to changing photoperiods.

How \( \text{eya} \) is regulated at the transcriptional level in flies has not been resolved in this study. Despite the presence of E-boxes upstream of \( \text{eya} \) coding sequence (SI Appendix, Fig. S7), \( \text{eya} \) expression was unaltered in \( \text{clk}^{\text{cox}} \) mutant. In addition, daily cycling of \( \text{eya} \) mRNA was abolished in free-running condition, suggesting that \( \text{eya} \) transcription is not clock controlled. This is consistent with recent findings suggesting that photoperiodic sensing appears to be independent of robust circadian clocks (55). Among the potential pathways involved in regulating \( \text{eya} \) transcription, Pigment Dispersing Factor (PDF) signaling represents a strong candidate. PDF is well characterized for its role in photoperiodic sensing (56–58). However, there is accumulating evidence supporting its involvement in reproductive dormancy by conveying day length signal to the PI (63, 64). We speculate that PDF could regulate \( \text{eya} \) expression in a cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP)-dependent manner, perhaps in response to light signal. This is supported by the presence of CREB element in the \( \text{eya} \) promoter (SI Appendix, Fig. S7). Similarly in mammals, \( \text{Eya3} \) transcription is regulated by cAMP (14).

Independent of the effect of light on \( \text{eya} \) mRNA expression, the abundance of \( \text{EYA} \) protein is significantly reduced under constant light, similar to the effect of light on TIM. To test our hypothesis that the light response of \( \text{EYA} \) is mediated by the light sensitivity of TIM and that TIM may stabilize \( \text{EYA} \) at the protein level, we evaluated \( \text{EYA} \) mRNA expression in \( \text{tim} \) mutants. As anticipated, we observed a correlation between EYA and TIM proteins with significantly higher \( \text{EYA} \) when TIM is abundant, and vice versa. In particular, under photoperiodic shift, we found that all TIM isoforms (TIM-L/TIM-Cold and TIM-SC) accumulate during the nighttime in SP10, matching the increase in \( \text{EYA} \) levels from ZT12 to ZT16 in the same conditions. Since this experiment was conducted at 10 °C, it is likely that the residual expression of the long TIM isoforms (TIM-L/TIM-Cold) we detected is, in most part, due to the induction of the TIM-Cold isoform. Nonetheless, due to their similarity in size, TIM-L and TIM-Cold could not be clearly differentiated by Western blot.

Synergism between SP and low temperature is key to trigger appropriate seasonal response in \( \text{D. melanogaster} \). Here we found that low temperature has an enhancing effect on \( \text{eya} \) amplitude at both transcriptional and protein levels. This agrees with the transcriptomic analysis of a recent publication that reveals an up-regulation of \( \text{eya} \) in flies exposed to short photoperiod (10 L:14D) at 11 °C for 3 wk when compared to reproductively active condition (25 °C, 12 L:12D) (65). In light of the recent evidence on \( \text{tim} \) thermosensitive alternative splicing (37, 38, 66), we were able to confirm the induction of the \( \text{tim}-\text{se} \) mRNA and protein at 10 °C. Consistent with our model, our results support the prevalent role of the cold-induced TIM-SC isoforms in stabilizing \( \text{EYA} \) under low temperature and suggest that TIM thermosensitive splicing mechanism plays a role in regulating seasonal physiology. The ability of TIM-SC to stabilize \( \text{EYA} \) appears to be due to its increased light insensitivity and affinity to \( \text{EYA} \) as compared to canonical TIM isoforms.

The model of TIM and EYA collaboration in PPTM is strongly supported by the effect of \( \text{tim} \) genetic manipulation on reproductive dormancy. Indeed, \( \text{tim}^{\text{de}} \) flies in SP have significantly larger ovaries compared to control individuals, whereas flies overexpressing \( \text{tim} \) undergo reproductive dormancy even in LP. All together, these results suggest that the effect of light on \( \text{EYA} \) protein stability is mediated through TIM. We should point out that our results are contrary to previous findings (34) showing that \( \text{tim}^{\text{de}} \) flies exhibit higher incidence of reproductive dormancy than WT flies (Canton-S). We reasoned that this apparent disparity could be due to differences in experimental conditions used to assess reproductive dormancy, genetic backgrounds of flies, or phenotypic changes due to selection in laboratory environment (see discussion below on natural \( \text{tim} \) alleles). If TIM indeed stabilizes \( \text{EYA} \) to promote reproductive dormancy, an obvious question would be regarding the nature of the cell types in which this interaction takes place. We attempted to address this question and showed that TIM-EYA interactions primarily take place in the optic lobes, which have been shown to transmit photic signals to the circadian clock neuronal network and subsequently to the PI. The fact that we did not observe TIM–EYA colocalization in the PI suggests that the mechanisms by which \( \text{eya} \) regulates seasonal physiology may differ in these two cell types.

Finally, the involvement of TIM in reproductive dormancy is supported by the findings that natural alleles of the \( \text{tim} \) loci first uncovered in Europe, \( \text{ls-tim} \) and \( \text{s-tim} \), significantly affect the incidence of reproductive dormancy (34, 67). The derived allele \( \text{ls}^{\text{dl}} \) has been associated with the long-spectrum \( \text{ls-tim} \) isoform, whereas \( \text{s-tim} \) allele only produces the latter (35). Interaction between CRY and TIM-L is weaker than with TIM-S, resulting in reduced CRY-dependent TIM degradation (35, 68) and enhanced reproductive arrest in \( \text{ls-tim} \) females (34). This is in agreement with our findings that TIM stabilizes \( \text{EYA} \) and promotes reproductive dormancy. All strains used in this study were therefore genotyped in order to identify the \( \text{tim} \) allele present in their genome and rule out \( \text{tim} \) allelic effect (SI Appendix, Table S1). With the exception of \( \text{tim}\)-overexpressing flies (\( \text{w}^{+} \)\( \text{p(tim(WT)-3XFLAG)} \)), no correlation was found between \( \text{tim} \) allelic variation and reproductive dormancy in other strains. This indicates that the effect of \( \text{eya} \) genetic manipulation and \( \text{tim}^{\text{de}} \) on reproductive dormancy could not be attributed to differences in genetic background for the \( \text{tim} \) locus in those flies.

In summary, we propose that the role of \( \text{EYA} \) in seasonal adaptation in flies could rely on a combination of both internal and external coincidence through its interaction with TIM where short photoperiod restricts EYA peak to nighttime. The reliance on cold temperature by \( \text{D. melanogaster} \) to induce reproductive dormancy, a feature not necessarily shared by other insects, can be attributed to the cold induction of \( \text{eya} \) mRNA as well as \( \text{EYA} \) protein via TIM leading to increase in \( \text{EYA} \) above a specific threshold. This study provides insights to further decipher the complex mechanisms by which the circadian clock or clock-regulated proteins could inform the photoperiodic timer and allow organisms to anticipate environmental changes as seasons progress.
Material and Methods

Detailed materials and methods are provided in SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods.

Fly Stocks. UAS/Gal4 lines used for immunocytochemistry (ICC) and eye genetic manipulation as well as generation of tim overexpressor are described in SI Appendix.

Reproductive Dormancy Assay. Reproductive dormancy assays are described in SI Appendix.

Whole-Mount Brain ICC. Fly entrainment conditions and ICC procedures are described in SI Appendix.

Western Blotting and Antibodies. Protein extraction from fly heads and Western blotting procedures were performed as described in ref. 69. Antibodies and dilutions are described in SI Appendix.

Analysis of Circadian/Daily Gene Expression by Real-Time PCR. RNA extraction was performed as previously described (68). Primers used and real-time PCR procedures are described in SI Appendix.

Data Availability Statement. All relevant data are within the manuscript and its SI Appendix.
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