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A B S T R A C T

A field experiment was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design with 27 genotypes of tomato in three replications. The present investigation is to find out the genetic diversity among tomato genotypes for fruit yield and its attributing characters. Mahalanobis distance (*D*2) was used to estimate the genetic distance between pair of clusters. Estimates of cluster analysis revealed that the twenty seven genotypes were grouped into eight distinct clusters. Genetic distance between any pair of clusters showed highly significant difference. Cluster I had maximum number of genotypes (9) followed by cluster II (8), cluster III (4), cluster V (2), while as clusters IV, VI, VII, VIII were having only one genotype in each cluster. Maximum inter-cluster distances was observed in cluster IV and cluster VI (7021.27), cluster II and VI (5628.93) whereas the maximum intra-cluster distance was observed in cluster III (770.46) and cluster I (721.11). Highest contribution to divergence observed in lycopene content (mg/100g), fruit yield (q/ha) and ascorbic acid content (mg/100g) and days to red ripen fruit stage. The crosses between the genotypes of cluster VI with IV and Cluster VI with II are likely to exhibit high heterosis and could produce recombinants with desired traits in segregating generations.
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**Introduction**

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) is an important member of Solanaceae family having chromosome number of 2n=2x=24. The probable ancestor of tomato is *Solanum lycopersicum var. cerasiforme* Bailey. It is originated in wild form in the Peru Equador region of Andes (South America) and it is grown in almost every corner of the world (Robertson and Labate, 2007). It is typically day neutral plant and is mainly self-pollinated, but a certain percentage of cross pollination also occurs (Depra et al., 2014). Tomato is universally treated as “Protective food” (Thamburaj and Singh, 2013). It is a very good source of income to small and marginal farmers and has a great nutritional value. Tomato is a rich source of minerals, vitamins and organic acids. It is a reservoir of
diverse antioxidant like ascorbic acid, vitamin C, carotenoids, flavonoids and phenolic acids. Ascorbic acid content in tomato is 31 mg/100 g. Red colour of tomato is due to the presence of pigment ‘lycopene’ and it is 20-50 mg/100 g in edible portion. Tomato is the world’s largest vegetable crop after potato and sweet potato. The major tomato producing states in the country are Madhya Pradesh, Odisha, Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Gujarat, West Bengal, Maharashtra, Uttar Pradesh, Chattisgarh, Haryana, Tamil Nadu and Telangana. These states contribute about 91% of the total production of the country. Tomato is having the an area of about 0.81 million hectares and with a production of 20.51 Million metric tonnes respectively (Horticulture Statistics Division, Department of Agriculture, 2018-19).

Information on the extent of genetic diversity among genotypes is very important in crosses between groups with maximum genetic divergence that would be more responsive for improvement since they are likely to produce desirable recombination and segregation in their progenies after hybridization (Norden, 1980; Reddy, 1988). To have this type of knowledge, research on genetic diversity is very essential. So far a number of research activities have been conducted by different research institutions and researchers in Ethiopia. Since 1969, about 300 tomato lines/cultivars of both short and tall set open-pollinated genotypes and hybrids have been introduced by Melkassa Agricultural Research Centre (MARC) from international seed companies, and from Asian Vegetable Research and Development Center (AVRDC). The lines have been tested at different research centers to identify lines having high fruit yield and good quality, resistance/tolerance to diseases as well as insect pests (Lemma, 2002). It is because of the efforts a number of varieties released for different agro ecologies. Regarding diversity studies a number of authors’ from different countries viz., Sekhar et al., (2008), Agong (2001), Naz et al., (2013) and Cebolla-Cornejo et al., (2013) studied genetic diversity in tomato genotypes. However, little information is available with respect to diversity study on tomato genotypes preserved under Ethiopian condition. Therefore, a study was conducted to estimate the genetic diversity among different tomato genotypes.

Materials and Methods

The present investigation entitled “Genetic divergence studies in Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.)” was undertaken at vegetable Experimental Farm of Division of Vegetable Science, SKUAST-Kashmir, Shalimar during summer 2018. The site is situated 34° N of latitude and 74.89° E of longitude. The climate is temperate characterized by mild summers. June and July are the hottest months while January and February are the coldest. The maximum rainfall is received during March to April. Twenty seven diverse genotypes of tomato were evaluated for various yield and yield attributing traits. The genotypes were grown in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. The details of genotypes along with their source are given in the Table-1.

The experimental field consisted of 27 treatments in each replication, such that there were 81 treatments (genotypes) in total. The spacing followed for the row to row and plant to plant distance is 60 × 35 cm. The experimental field was well prepared and standard cultural, manural and plant protection practices were followed to ensure a healthy crop. Observations recorded on twenty two characters i.e., plant height (cm), plant spread (cm), number of primary branches plant⁻¹, days to first flowering, days to 50% flowering, days to fruit set, days to red ripen fruit stage, fruit length (cm), fruit diameter (cm), average fruit weight (g),
number of locules fruit$^{-1}$, pericarp thickness (cm), 1000 seed weight (g), seed weight fruit$^{-1}$ (mg), number of fruits plant$^{-1}$, fruit yield plot$^{-1}$ (kg), fruit yield (q/ha), total soluble solids (%), ascorbic acid (mg/100g), lycopene content (mg/100g), titrable acidity (%), juice to pulp ratio were studied for various statistical and biometrical parameters. Mahalanobis (1936) generalized distance ($D^2$) was used to determine the degree of divergence and the genotype were grouped into clusters following Tocher’s method (Rao, 1952).

Results and Discussion

Genetic Divergence

Mahalanobis $D^2$ statistics helped in grouping of different genotypes of tomato into clusters. In the present investigation, 27 genotypes were grouped into eight clusters based on their $D^2$ values, presented in table-2 Cluster I (9) (Kashi Hemanth, TOLCV-16, Jawahar-99, Kashi Chayan, 2016/TODVAR-5, 2016/TODVAR-11, TOLCV-32, Kashi Amrit, Kashi Anupam) had highest number of genotypes followed by cluster II (8) (2015/TODHYB-1, 2016/TODVAR-2, Marglobe, 2015/TODHYB-4, Kashi Aman, Roma, VRT-13, Kashi Vishesh), III (4) (TOLCV-28, 2016/TODVAR-10, Sel.7, Shalimar Hybrid Tomato-1), V (2) (2016/TODVAR-3, 2016/TODVAR-1) and the clusters IV (1) (2016/TODVAR-12), VI (1) (Kashi Sharad), VII (1) (VRT-19) and VIII (1) (VRT-01) were having only one genotype in each respectively.

Similar studied based on $D^2$ statistic were also performed by Arun Kumar et al., (2016), Nalla et al., (2014) and Lekshmi and Celine (2016).

Average intra and inter cluster distances

The estimates of intra and inter-cluster distances represented by $D^2$ values are given in Table-3. Minimum intra-cluster distance was found for 0.00 (cluster IV) followed by cluster VI, VII, VIII and maximum was recorded for 770.46 (cluster III). The maximum inter cluster distance was observed between cluster IV and cluster VI (7021.27), which suggested that members of these two clusters are genetically very diverse to each other. The inter cluster distance between cluster II to VI (5628.23), cluster I to cluster VI (3942.27), cluster V to cluster VI (3867.83), cluster IV to cluster VIII (3674.16), cluster VI to cluster VIII (3545.38), cluster VI to VIII (3110.53), cluster VI to cluster VII (2653.04), cluster II to cluster IV (2570.88), cluster II to cluster III (2500.68) and cluster I to cluster VIII (2219.51) were very high. The minimum inter cluster $D^2$ values were recorded in case of cluster I to cluster V (1326.42). The higher inter-cluster distance indicated greater genetic divergence between the genotypes of those clusters, while lower inter-cluster values between the clusters suggested that the genotypes of the clusters were not much genetically diverse from each other. These results of genetic diversity study were in agreement with that of Mahesh et al., (2006), Prashanth et al., (2007), Reddy et al., (2013), Nalla et al., (2014), Lekshmi and Celine (2016), Arun Kumar et al., (2016).

Per cent contribution of the traits

The contribution percentages of traits under studied towards total divergence are tabulated in Table 4. The highest contribution in the manifestation of genetic divergence was exhibited by lycopene content (31.34%) followed by fruit yield (q/ha) (27.92%), ascorbic acid content (26.5%), days to red ripen fruit stage (6.84%), juice to pulp ratio (2.58%), days to 50% flowering (1.99%), whereas, days to fruit set (0.85%), plant height, fruit length, total soluble solids (0.57%), days to first flowering, pericarp
thickness (0.28%) had minimum contribution (0.36%) towards total divergence. The contribution of remaining trait in manifestation of genetic divergence was zero. These results are similar with the findings of Lekshmi and Celine (2016) in tomato.

**Table.1 List of genotypes of Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.)**

| S.No. | Genotype/ Variety | Source                     |
|-------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| 1.    | Kashi Hemanth     | IIVR (Varanasi)            |
| 2.    | Kashi Amrit       | IIVR (Varanasi)            |
| 3.    | Kashi Sharad      | IIVR (Varanasi)            |
| 4.    | Kashi Vishesh     | IIVR (Varanasi)            |
| 5.    | Kashi Chayan      | IIVR (Varanasi)            |
| 6.    | Kashi Aman        | IIVR (Varanasi)            |
| 7.    | Kashi Anupam      | IIVR (Varanasi)            |
| 8.    | TOLCV- 16         | IIVR (Varanasi)            |
| 9.    | TOLCV- 28         | IIVR (Varanasi)            |
| 10.   | TOLCV- 32         | IIVR (Varanasi)            |
| 11.   | VRT- 01           | IIVR (Varanasi)            |
| 12.   | VRT- 19           | IIVR (Varanasi)            |
| 13.   | VRT- 13           | IIVR (Varanasi)            |
| 14.   | Sel- 7            | IIVR (Varanasi)            |
| 15.   | Jawahar- 99       | IIVR (Varanasi)            |
| 16.   | 2016/TOVDVAR- 12  | AICRP, IIVR (Varanasi)    |
| 17.   | 2016/TOVDVAR- 11  | AICRP, IIVR (Varanasi)    |
| 18.   | 2016/TOVDVAR- 1   | AICRP, IIVR (Varanasi)    |
| 19.   | 2016/TOVDVAR- 3   | AICRP, IIVR (Varanasi)    |
| 20.   | 2016/TOVDVAR- 10  | AICRP, IIVR (Varanasi)    |
| 21.   | 2016/TOVDVAR- 5   | AICRP, IIVR (Varanasi)    |
| 22.   | 2016/TOVDVAR- 2   | AICRP, IIVR (Varanasi)    |
| 23.   | 2015/TODHYB- 4    | AICRP, IIVR (Varanasi)    |
| 24.   | 2015/TODBYB- 1    | AICRP, IIVR (Varanasi)    |
| 25.   | Roma              | SKUAST-K, Shalimar         |
| 26.   | Shalimar Hybrid Tomato-1 | SKUAST-K, Shalimar |
| 27.   | Marglobe          | SKUAST-K, Shalimar         |
### Table 2 Distribution of tomato genotypes in different clusters

| Cluster Number | Number of genotypes included | Name of genotypes |
|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| I              | 9                           | Kashi Hemanth, TOLCV-16, Jawahar-99, Kashi Chayan, 2016/TODVAR-5, 2016/TODVAR-11, TOLCV-32, Kashi Amrit, Kashi Anupam |
| II             | 8                           | 2015/TODHYB-1, 2016/TODVAR-2, Marglobe, 2015/TODHYB-4, Kashi Aman, Roma, VRT-13, Kashi Vishesh |
| III            | 4                           | TOLCV-28, 2016/TODVAR-10, Sel.7, Shalimar Hybrid Tomato-1 |
| IV             | 1                           | 2016/TODVAR-12 |
| V              | 2                           | 2016/TODVAR-3, 2016/TODVAR-1 |
| VI             | 1                           | Kashi Sharad |
| VII            | 1                           | VRT-19 |
| VIII           | 1                           | VRT-01 |

### Table 3 Average intra cluster (Diagonal) and inter cluster (Above Diagonal) distance values in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.)

| S. No. | Cluster | I   | II   | III  | IV   | V    | VI   | VII  | VIII |
|--------|---------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 1.     | I       | 721.11 | 1553.66 | 1767.13 | 1352.41 | 1326.42 | 3942.47 | 1328.15 | 2219.51 |
| 2.     | II      | 670.57 | 2500.68 | 2367.26 | 2570.88 | 5628.93 | 1774.97 | 128.758 |
| 3.     | III     | 770.46 | 3590.15 | 1995.72 | 1378.56 | 1601.37 | 1384.33 |
| 4.     | IV      | 0.00    | 1270.36 | 7021.27 | 3560.14 | 3674.16 |
| 5.     | V       | 529.63 | 3867.83 | 2514.61 | 3110.53 |
| 6.     | VI      | 0.00    | 2653.04 | 3545.38 |
| 7.     | VI      | 0.00    | 2199.06 |
| 8.     | VIII    | 0.00    |
Table 4 Percent contribution in twenty two characters towards total divergence in tomato

| Source                                           | Contribution % | Times ranked 1<sup>st</sup> |
|--------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| Plant height (cm)                                | 0.57           | 2.000                         |
| Plant spread (cm)                                | 0.00           | 0.000                         |
| Number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup>    | 0.00           | 0.000                         |
| Days to first flowering                          | 0.28           | 1.000                         |
| Days to fruit set                                | 0.85           | 3.000                         |
| Days to 50% flowering                            | 1.99           | 7.000                         |
| Days to red ripen fruit stage                    | 6.84           | 24.000                        |
| Fruit length (cm)                                | 0.57           | 2.000                         |
| Fruit diameter (cm)                              | 0.00           | 0.000                         |
| Average fruit weight (g)                         | 0.00           | 0.000                         |
| Number of locules fruit<sup>-1</sup>             | 0.00           | 0.000                         |
| Pericarp thickness (cm)                          | 0.28           | 1.000                         |
| 1000 Seed weight (g)                             | 0.00           | 0.000                         |
| Seed weight fruit<sup>-1</sup> (mg)               | 0.00           | 0.000                         |
| Number of fruits plant<sup>-1</sup>              | 0.00           | 0.000                         |
| Fruit yield plot<sup>-1</sup> (kg)                | 0.00           | 0.000                         |
| Fruit yield (q/ha)                               | 27.92          | 98.000                        |
| Total soluble solids (%)                         | 0.57           | 2.000                         |
| Lycopene content (mg/100g)                       | 31.34          | 110.000                       |
| Ascorbic acid content (mg/100g)                  | 26.5           | 93.000                        |
| Titrable acidity (%)                             | 0.00           | 0.000                         |
| Juice to pulp ratio                              | 2.28           | 8.000                         |

The 27 genotypes of tomato germplasm were grouped into 8 clusters. The crosses between members of clusters separated by high inter cluster distance are likely to produces desirable segregates. The very high inter cluster value was observed between the cluster IV and cluster VI, which suggested that members of these two clusters are genetically very diverse to each other. The inter cluster distance between cluster II to VI, cluster I to cluster VI, cluster V to cluster VI, cluster IV to cluster VIII.

Therefore the breeder choose the genotypes of clusters as parents which are showing high inter-cluster distance between them to produce recombinants and desirable segregates in crop improvement programme. Maximum percent contribution was observed for lycopene content followed by fruit yield (q/ha), ascorbic acid content, titrable acidity, days to red ripen fruit stage, juice to pulp ratio, days to 50% flowering.
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