Subclinical atrial fibrillation: when to give NAO?
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Atrial fibrillation is defined as subclinical (SAF) when occurs without symptoms and is discovered only during the interrogation of permanent or temporary cardiac implantable devices. The significant interest in this condition derives from the fact that could easily be otherwise undiagnosed, portending to a potential serious neurological and cardiovascular consequences. The diagnosis of SAF is important for both the primary form and for patients after a stroke, and an appropriate management of antithrombotic treatment becomes a central instrument of prevention. Atrial fibrillation carries a five times increase in the thromboembolic risk. The subclinical asymptomatic forms of atrial tachyarhythmias and fibrillation, diagnosed by interrogation of implantable cardiac devices, foretell a non-relevant risk of stroke, significantly higher than the one for patients without rhythm disturbances. Regardless the cause, the long-lasting asymptomatic arrhythmias, in patients with a significant risk profile, predict more important consequences and can justify anticoagulant treatment, also in primary prevention settings.

Introduction

Atrial fibrillation is the most common of the cardiac rhythm changes with pathological significance.1 The incidence of atrial fibrillation is constantly increasing due to the increase in risk factors and the age of the population.2 However, the prevalence observed in the literature of about 3% of the population, with even higher values in the elderly group (around 24% in men and 16% in women), does not include patients suffering from subclinical or silent atrial fibrillation (SAF), whose number is also constantly increasing, mainly due to the expanding use of implanted cardiac devices.1,2 The term SAF means, in fact, the finding of asymptomatic forms highlighted in the continuous recordings of temporary or permanent implantable cardiac devices for the diagnosis and control of short and long duration of cardiac rhythm.3 Various studies have shown that symptomology alone does not allow to accurately determine the presence of atrial fibrillation, given the poor correlation between symptoms and episodes of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation, not always felt by the patient.3 Subsequently, with the spread of implantable devices, for monitoring only or by stimulation, it emerged that more than 90% of atrial arrhythmias are asymptomatic and, conversely, the patient’s symptoms correspond in 20% of cases to arrhythmic episodes, legitimizing the definition of FAS as an asymptomatic form found by devices.4

Subclinical atrial fibrillation is detected in at least one-third of patients in the first years after implantation of a cardiac device and its finding entails a risk of approximately six times to develop clinically relevant atrial fibrillation later.5 The presence of atrial fibrillation leads to an increased risk of thromboembolism and stroke, but further studies are currently needed to clarify this role for SAF.5 Clinically relevant atrial fibrillation is associated on average with an increase in the risk of stroke of about five times compared to the population not affected by this arrhythmia, and the stroke from atrial fibrillation is correlated with a greater severity, a higher risk of recurrence and a higher mortality than stroke of other origin.6,7

In asymptomatic patients, atrial fibrillation may be discovered for first time following a thromboembolic ischemic event; in the absence of clinically evident stroke, SAF
Subclinical atrial fibrillation and forms of atrial tachyarrhythmias lasting $>5$ min appear to configure a higher risk of stroke than the control population without such arrhythmias. The patient’s arrhythmic load correlates with the increased risk of thromboembolism. In the event of subclinical atrial fibrillation and atrial tachyarrhythmias, the initiation of anticoagulant therapy in primary prophylaxis must be evaluated through an assessment of the risk of thromboembolism using the CHA$_2$DS$_2$-VASc score, correlating this stratification of risk with duration and the arrhythmic load of the event recorded during the monitoring.

The threshold of duration of arrhythmic episodes for stroke prediction in SAF varies considerably in the literature, passing from the 5 min of the MOST study, which showed an increase of about four times the risk of thromboembolism, to 6 min of the ASSERT study, to 1 h of the SOS-AF and at $>5.5$ h of total arrhythmic load during the day of the TRENDS study. Other studies have instead correlated the risk of stroke at longer intervals, such as episodes lasting $>24$ h, or $>12$ h in patients with heart failure enrolled in the Finnish CardioVersion Trial. Despite the aforementioned great variability of temporal thresholds, the overlapping of these thresholds in some studies has led to the validation of three main reference ranges: a range with SAF duration $<5$ min, an intermediate range with duration between 5 min and 24 h, and one with a duration $>24$ h. The two extreme intervals were related to a thromboembolic risk $<1.68\%$ for SAF $<5$ min and $>4\%$ for SAF duration $>24$ h, with an intermediate risk in the case of duration between 5 and 24 h. An inverse relationship was demonstrated between the time intervals of asymptomatic arrhythmic episodes related to thromboembolic events and the basal risk profile assessed with the CHADS$_2$ score.

Recent evidence also supports that for very short episodes of SAF, between 15 and 20 s, there is a very low risk of
stroke, such as not to require prophylaxis with anticoagulant therapy.\textsuperscript{15–17}

However, a post hoc analysis of the TRENDS and ASSERT studies showed that only 29% of cerebral ischaemic events were related to the presence of SAF within 30 days prior to the event or directly associated with the presence of SAF at the time of the event.\textsuperscript{19,20} However, in these studies an accurate distinction between cardioembolic or non-cardioembolic nature of stroke was not performed and there was no specific evaluation based on the concomitant anticoagulant therapy, as the use of the latter in patients with history of previous fibrillation, it may have selected patients with a higher relative risk of atherothrombotic stroke instead of cardioembolic stroke.

**Causality of thromboembolic events in the subclinical atrial fibrillation**

The formation of the thrombus that causes the stroke in atrial fibrillation has been classically related to the blood stasis in the left atrium and in particular of the left auricle due to the lack of an effective contraction. Cardiovascular risk factors such as advanced age, high blood pressure, and diabetes are predisposing because they cause an ‘atrial myopathy’ with alterations of atrial tissue that can lead to the development of atrial arrhythmias and consequent thromboembolism due to contractile dysfunction and stasis of blood in the cardiac chamber.\textsuperscript{21} However, regarding the timing of connection between these events, the data are controversial. It has been suggested that for an arrhythmic load of at least 5.5 h the risk of stroke is higher after 5-10 days from the arrhythmia and loses significance after 30 days.\textsuperscript{22} However, as already pointed out, in the TRENDS study 73% of patients with cerebral ischaemic events had not recorded arrhythmic events in the 30 days preceding the stroke and in the ASSERT study, where only 8% of patients who had had a stroke had recorded at least 6 min of fibrillation in the 30 days before the event.\textsuperscript{19,20} Thrombus formation mechanisms in atrial fibrillation are therefore multiple and complex, as they are also influenced by concomitant risk factors.

**Subclinical atrial fibrillation and anticoagulation**

As for patients with evidence of SAF the management of anticoagulant therapy remains controversial at present, because, as indicated above, the data on time discrimination or the length of the arrhythmic episode are not conclusive. In the absence of specific data, most of these patients currently do not receive anticoagulant therapy,\textsuperscript{23} although it is known that the risk of stroke is independent of the presence of symptoms related to atrial fibrillation. Furthermore, implantation and monitoring through implantable devices in many cases favours an observation and waiting strategy as a clinical-therapeutic choice. The introduction of oral anticoagulant therapy in primary prevention must however be evaluated with careful balance between thromboembolic risk and bleeding risk.

The randomized, open-label study, IMPACT, aimed to evaluate the net composite endpoint of thromboembolic events and bleedings in patients randomized to ICD/CRT-D with 'active home monitoring' and systematic initiation of anticoagulant therapy in case of detection of atrial fibrillation with pre-specified criteria vs. ICD/CRT-D with routine monitoring and conventional therapy in case of detection of atrial fibrillation. The trial was stopped early after a 75% analysis of the data due to the event overlap between the two treatment arms.\textsuperscript{24} We are currently awaiting the results of three studies that should lead to specific answers regarding the benefits of anticoagulant therapy in terms of protection against ischaemic events, compared to the risk of bleeding, in patients with long-term arrhythmia monitoring, also to further define the very role of this rhythm recording information. The LOOP trial is enrolling 6000 patients at risk of atrial fibrillation, of which 1500 randomized to loop recorders and 4500 randomized to a standard approach, in order to evaluate whether the remote monitoring of cardiac rhythm by the device and subsequent antithrombotic strategies in the case of atrial fibrillation diagnosis they prevent cerebral ischaemic events. The prospective ARTESIA study is randomized in double-blind patients with evidence of SAF, detected by interrogation of implanted cardiac devices, to anticoagulant therapy with apixaban or aspirin (81 mg daily) and will consider the thromboembolic and haemorrhagic ischaemic events as endpoints; in particular, the ischaemic endpoint of cerebral ischaemic events will be evaluated by magnetic resonance using the DWI technique for the search of infarct areas. Finally, the NOAH-AFNET 6 is also a multicentre randomized trial that aims to evaluate the superiority of edoxaban vs. aspirin therapy in SAF patients.

Current scientific evidence needs further results that can shed light on this issue and, pending further data, it seems reasonable that the use of anticoagulant therapy is personalized and to be preferred in the case of at least a moderate risk profile. In an attempt to give greater clarity on the subject, the consensus document of the European Heart Rhythm Association has been prepared, which indicates to stratify the risk of patients with evidence of SAF through the CHA\textsubscript{2}DS\textsubscript{2}-VASc score, the sex and the duration of the arrhythmic event, recommending a possible therapeutic approach with vitamin K antagonists or new oral anticoagulants depending on the risk profile reached by the patient\textsuperscript{25} (Table 1).

**Future prospects**

The incidence of atrial fibrillation in the coming years is expected to increase, both in the clinically evident and, probably even more, in the subclinical forms. In fact, the increasingly widespread cardiac rhythm monitoring systems, considering also those of a playful-sporting type, are expected to highlight an increasing number of asymptomatic atrial arrhythmic forms that will pose the demand for a possible prophylaxis treatment for thromboembolism. Furthermore, the further development of the technologies will allow electrocardiographic monitoring with live data transmission from implanted cardiac devices. All this can
lead to an increasingly early diagnosis in high-risk patients and to a possible improvement in primary stroke prevention related to atrial fibrillation. In addition, the trials currently underway should answer important questions, especially regarding the use of the new oral anticoagulants in these subclinical arrhythmic forms, assessing their benefit against the risk of bleeding. It is probably more necessary in these patients to seek a more accurate stratification of thromboembolic and haemorrhagic risk than the current CHA2DS2-VASc and HAS-BLED scores.

Conclusions

The presence of atrial fibrillation is associated with a five-fold increase in the risk of thromboembolism. The subclinical and asymptomatic forms of atrial fibrillation and supraventricular arrhythmias, detected by interrogation of implanted cardiac devices, correlate with a non-negligible and superior incidence of stroke compared to patients without such rhythm alterations. Regardless of the cause, long-term asymptomatic arrhythmic forms, in subjects with a significant risk profile, are associated with more serious outcomes and may justify anticoagulation even in primary prophylaxis.
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