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ABSTRACT

Spontaneous coronary artery dissection is an increasingly recognised cause of acute coronary syndromes, especially in young and middle-age women. Recognising its particularities and differences with atherosclerotic disease is central for appropriately identifying and approaching these patients. The authors review the current state of knowledge on spontaneous coronary artery dissection and provide practical recommendations for the diagnosis and management of this condition, both in the acute and convalescence phases.

INTRODUCTION

Spontaneous coronary artery dissection (SCAD) is an important non-atherosclerotic aetiology underlying acute coronary syndromes (ACS) or sudden cardiac death in young and middle-age women. Knowledge of the pathophysiology, diagnosis and management of SCAD has exponentially increased over the last years, leading to more awareness and enhanced recognition by cardiologists worldwide. This also explains the creation of dedicated working groups, alongside with e-platforms intended to gather patients with SCAD, and get them involved in research projects. Similarly, patients have initiated social networks to increase SCAD awareness and promote self-support and research.

Simultaneously to this breakthrough, cardiologists are in the phase of integrating the new evidence and familiarising with this distinct entity, from its etiopathogenesis to the patient profile and outcomes. At present, clinical approach and management of SCAD is still too heterogeneous and, as a consequence, patients perceive disinformation and helplessness. Following the two positioning papers published recently, the present review aims to put together and synthesise the current state of the knowledge in SCAD. This article is intended to provide practical tips and guidance for clinicians dealing with this condition. Through the use of patient-centred algorithms and clear messages for practical application, we hope that the present work contributes to an improved and more uniform management of SCAD.

DEFINITION

SCAD is a non-traumatic, non-iatrogenic and non-atherosclerotic coronary artery disorder that expresses clinically as an ACS or, less often, as arrhythmia or sudden cardiac death. The pathology consists in the acute and spontaneous separation of the coronary artery wall layers that leads to the generation of an intramural space (false lumen), which may or may not be communicated with the true lumen through an intimal tear (flap fenestration). In any case, the pathological hallmark is the presence of a myoendothelial tissue lamina (intimomedial flap) dividing the two vascular spaces. The initiator of this phenomenon seems to be a haemorrhage at some level within the tunica media and adventitia, although it remains unclear whether the intimal tear, when present, could be the primary event.

Myocardial ischaemia and associated symptoms are thought to develop as a result of any of the following: (i) significant bulging of the compressed intima by an intramural haematoma; (ii) extension of the dissection by antegrade coronary flow causing an obstructive false vascular lumen; (iii) thrombosis associated to disturbed haemodynamics and exposure of deep components of the vessel wall to the blood stream; and (iv) the arterial dissection itself and subsequent healing process could potentially cause chest pain in the absence of myocardial ischaemia.

SCAD aetiology is not fully understood but is frequently explained as a combination of patient susceptibility and a variety of triggering factors. As per the modern
definition of SCAD, external high-energy traumasisms (eg, traffic accident), direct vessel instrumentation or complicated atherosclerosis should be excluded. The latter deserves further clarification as the presence of atherosclerosis is not an exclusion criterion itself; rather, the cause of the dissection cannot be attributable to a complicated atherosclerotic plaque that initiates the dissection (atherosclerotic plaque erosion, disruption or rupture). This differential diagnosis may be troublesome and usually involves intracoronary imaging (ICI), which is discussed in a specific section. Last but not least, when acute aortic dissection (Stanford type A) is simultaneously presented with coronary dissection, the latter is usually a secondary diagnosis in the context of the former.

**PATIENT PROFILE**

**Epidemiology**

Although the true incidence of SCAD is still uncertain, in modern series it has been reported to represent 1.1%–4% of all ACS cases and has been identified as the cause of 15%–35% of ACS in women under 50 years. Moreover, it has been suggested to underlie 0.5% of sudden cardiac deaths. SCAD is believed to be underdiagnosed at patient level (misdiagnosis of ACS in young otherwise healthy women) and in angiographic studies (oversight of angiographic subtle signs). However, with the advent of high-sensitivity troponin, the readiness of invasive angiography and availability of ICI, a higher number of SCAD cases can now be detected. All these advances have also permitted a better depiction of recognisable angiographic patterns that can raise the suspicion and, in many cases, establish the diagnosis of SCAD.

Table I summarises modern (from 2012) well-described SCAD series/cohorts (n=1004). This entity predominately affects female gender, which represent 81%–100% (weighed average 91.6%). Mean age ranges from 45 to 56 (weighed average 50+/9.8) years presenting normal distributions within series, where cases <30 or >80 years are rare. Caucasian or white race is reported in US and Canadian series as much as 76%–82% of the patients, though this is likely influenced by local demographics and referral bias. Cardiovascular risk factors, although not necessarily absent, are less prevalent in the SCAD population when compared with ACS population with similar age and sex.

**Associated conditions and precipitating factors**

An array of conditions frequently coexists with SCAD; however, it is not fully understood whether they act as preconditions, precipitators or are just bystanders. Of note, a considerable number of patients do not present with these conditions and are sometimes labelled as idiopathic SCAD.

**Pregnancy and delivery**

SCAD is the leading cause of pregnancy-related acute myocardial infarction (MI), prevailing over all other aetiologies such as atherosclerosis, thromboembolism or coronary spasm. It is estimated that 40% of MIs during pregnancy are caused by SCAD. A population-based cohort study conducted in North America showed that SCAD occurred in 1.8/100 000 pregnancies, though this figure is likely underestimated. All this might have contributed to the perception that SCAD was a pregnancy-associated condition. However, in contemporary series only 2.4%–9% of SCAD cases occur during pregnancy or puerperium.

The diagnosis of pregnancy-associated SCAD (P-SCAD) is based on the temporal association with delivery. Tweet et al proposed P-SCAD as that occurring during pregnancy and within the first three months post partum. This definition is based on the peak incidence of SCAD events within that period alongside the marked shifts in haemodynamics and hormonal exposure happening soon after delivery. Alternatively, Saw et al proposed a more inclusive definition, considering up to 24 months post partum on the grounds of the persistent hormonal exposure in breastfeeding women.

The temporal limit to designate P-SCAD remains unclear. The importance of labelling an association with pregnancy resides in recent data that indicate a higher risk profile for these patients. Patients with P-SCAD present with more extensive coronary involvement (more left main, proximal and multivessel affection) and larger ischaemic myocardium, which leads to more frequent revascularisation and mechanical support, ultimately resulting in worse outcomes.

A possible explanation for the higher risk of P-SCAD is the deleterious combination of hormone-mediated arterial wall weakening (substrate) and pregnancy-related haemodynamic and neurohormonal stressors (triggers). Data suggest that, in case of multigravidity and/or multiparity, each pregnancy/delivery might act as additive exposures, increasing the risk of presenting P-SCAD in the short and long term. These exposures may also increase the risk of developing non-pregnancy-associated SCAD in a lifetime, eventually behaving as a risk factor for any type of SCAD. Therefore, it could be hypothesised that pregnancies (and deliveries) prepare the substrate, and the superimposition of a certain trigger ultimately leads to SCAD. When this trigger is related to pregnancy, delivery or puerperium, SCAD develops on top of an extraordinary vulnerable situation that derives into this more aggressive clinical manifestation that is P-SCAD.
Table 1  Published spontaneous coronary artery dissection (SCAD) cohorts’ demographics

| Country, Year | N     | Age (% | Female (%) | Smoker (%) | HTN (%) | DLP (%) | DM (%) | FH (%) |
|---------------|-------|--------|------------|------------|---------|---------|--------|--------|
| Portugal, 2018 | 27    | 56 (11) | 82         | 19         | 56      | 44      | 0      | n.r    |
| Canada, 2017   | 327   | 52.5 (9.6) | 91         | 10         | 36      | 26      | 5      | 33     |
| France, 2017   | 55    | 50.1 (10.1) | 100        | 22         | 27      | 11      | 4      | 22     |
| Australia, 2016 | 40   | 45 (10)  | 95         | 8          | 18      | 10      | 5      | 28     |
| Japan, 2016    | 63    | 46 (10)  | 94         | 32         | 33      | 22      | 0      | 8      |
| Australia, 2016 | 21  | 53.3 (8.8) | 95         | 48         | 48      | 48      | 5      | 24     |
| Switzerland, 2015 | 64  | 53 (11.2) | 94         | 28         | 45      | 52      | 0      | 19     |
| Italy, 2015    | 134   | 52 (11)  | 81         | 34         | 51      | 33      | 2      | 25     |
| USA, 2014      | 246   | 45.3 (8.9) | 96         | 2          | 34      | 27      | 2      | n.r    |
| Spain, 2012    | 27    | 52 (10)  | 85         | 52         | 37      | 33      | 4      | n.r    |
| Total/w.a.     | 1,022 | 50 (9.8) | 91.6       | 16.4       | 37.6    | 28.2    | 2.9    | 26.6   |

Age is in described as mean years and standard deviation
DLP, dyslipidaemia; DM, diabetes mellitus; FH, family history of coronary disease; HTN, hypertension; n.r, not reported; w.a, weighed average.

Nevertheless, it is intriguing why some patients develop P-SCAD during the first puerperium, while others do after several uneventful pregnancies. It seems that pregnancies/deliveries exert different magnitudes of adverse effects on women’s vessels according to patient idiosyncratic susceptibility. This is further supported by the fact that millions of healthy women have multiple uneventful childbirths. It has been proposed that older age at pregnancy could contribute to this susceptibility.

**Hormonal therapy**

Like pregnancy, prolonged exposure to exogenous hormonal treatment could potentially increase the risk of developing SCAD in susceptible individuals, and perhaps increase the risk of recurrence in SCAD survivors. However, this hypothesis has not been confirmed in a recent study looking for recurrence predictors.

**Fibromuscular dysplasia**

Recent data showing a high prevalence of extracoronary arterial abnormalities in SCAD patients suggest that SCAD may be an organ-specific manifestation of a systemic vascular disorder or arteriopathy. Within the array of abnormalities encountered, the most frequently identified one has been the ‘string of beads’ pattern (serial stenoses), hallmark of fibromuscular dysplasia (FMD) (figure 1).

FMD is an idiopathic non-inflammatory non-atherosclerotic arteriopathy that predominantly affects medium-size arteries, especially the renal and extracranial carotid and vertebral arteries. Cardinal clinical features correlate well with the affected arterial bed: hypertension, bruits, headaches and pulsatile tinnitus. Interestingly, migraine is frequently reported by patients with SCAD (33%–43%). Although these symptoms/signs are not specific of FMD, the presence of them should raise suspicion and prompt further investigation.

The dominant histopathological substrate of FMD is medial fibroplasia that frequently present with alternating areas of degeneration and thickened muscular layers. This condition may mimic atherosclerosis, thus the distinction between these two entities can be difficult.

![Figure 1 Multimodal angiography of typical fibromuscular dysplasia pattern. Typical radiographic appearance of fibromuscular dysplasia as beading of the renal (panels 1, 2, 3) and carotid (panels 4, 5) arteries, detected with invasive angiography (1, 4), CT (2) and magnetic resonance (3, 5).](http://openheart.bmj.com/)
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fibromuscular ridges, causing a string of stenosis and dilatations (the so-called 'string of beads' or 'beading' pattern). From a diagnostic perspective, FMD may manifest not only as focal or multifocal arterial stenoses, but also as aneurysms, dissections and vessel tortuosity.30-39

In North American patients with SCAD, systematic screening with CT angiography or selective invasive angiography have revealed FMD in 45%–63% of them and, importantly, a rate of ~8% of cerebral aneurisms.9,40 Conversely, data from other cohorts have shown generally lower prevalence of FMD ranging from 13% to 37% in series from different countries.1,41 The heterogeneity in the reported figures may obey to variations in study populations, imaging modalities and protocols, and definitions for FMD.

On the other hand, SCAD is not that common in patients diagnosed with FMD: among patients with documented FMD (in any arterial territory) from the US FMD registry, any arterial dissection was diagnosed in a quarter of the patients with FMD, with the carotid arteries being the preferred territory (16% overall). Moreover, the prevalence of SCAD among patients with FMD was <3%.42 Thus, the true nature of the relationship between these two entities remains elusive.

A recent study with optical coherent tomography (OCT) in patients with SCAD and extracoronary FMD depicted a variety of coronary abnormalities other than dissections (tortuosity, stenoses, areas of patchy or diffuse intimal, medial or adventitial abnormalities with thickening/accumulation of varied reflectivity, macrophage infiltration, loss/duplication of elastic membranes and cavitation), suggesting potential mechanisms responsible of their predisposition for SCAD.43 Moreover, severe coronary tortuosity, frequently detected in SCAD, may be another manifestation of underlying FMD.44-45 Diagnostic and therapeutic considerations regarding extracoronary arteriopathy in SCAD are discussed in the convalescence management section below.

Autoimmune or connective tissue disorders
Coexisting autoimmune or connective tissue disorders such as systemic lupus erythematosus, polyarteritis nodosa, inflammatory bowel disease, polycystic kidney disease, Ehlers-Danlos or Marfan-like syndromes have been also highlighted as potential predisposing/precipitating mechanisms responsible of their predisposition for SCAD.43 Moreover, severe coronary tortuosity, frequently detected in SCAD, may be another manifestation of underlying FMD.44-45

Depression and anxiety disorders at baseline
Patients with SCAD appear to have traits of psychological disorders more often than other patients with ACS at baseline.30 This is partially explained by the fact that depression and anxiety disorders are much more frequent in women than men, and more prevalent in young ages.36 Nevertheless, in the recent study by Saw et al, depression and anxiety were present in 22.6% and 13.5%, respectively, which is a higher prevalence compared with that of Canadian women of similar age (<7% and <10%, respectively).37 Psychological repercussions following SCAD are discussed in the convalescence management section below.

Hypothyroidism might be associated with SCAD. In the series from Vancouver, 13.1% of the patients had hypothyroidism.7 In a study by Camacho-Freire et al,55 73 patients with SCAD from two referral centres were compared 1:1 to patients with ACS matched by age, sex and clinical presentation. They found a prevalence of hypothyroidism of 26% in the SCAD group, which was significantly higher compared with the 8% prevalence in the control population. Although not elucidated, the effects of the thyroid hormones in the cardiovascular system may explain the potential interplay between thyroid disorders and the occurrence of SCAD.55

Takotsubo cardiomyopathy
SCAD shares several features with takotsubo syndrome, as ACS presentation, preponderance of female gender, presence of triggers and prompt recovery of coronary and contractile function (not all SCAD cases).36,38,59 Therefore, it is important to bear in mind these two entities in the differential diagnosis. Regarding demographics, although both SCAD and takotsubo syndrome mostly occur in women (circa 90% in both), patients with SCAD are markedly younger in average (50 vs 67–70 years).60,61

Several case reports have highlighted the coexistence of these two conditions in the same patient.62-65 In this scenario, ICI may play a key role revealing concealed SCAD lesions.64,65 True coexistence of the two syndromes can only be demonstrated when the SCAD lesion is not blameable of the amount of myocardial dysfunction (ie, coronary and myocardial affection clearly disagree), which is sometimes challenging. In most cases, myocardial dysfunction or stunning resembling takotsubo syndrome can be attributed to SCAD, and then it is called pseudo-takotsubo or takotsubo-like syndrome. Interesting causal links have been proposed for this complex interplay: a common stressor could bring about both entities, or the sole stress produced by suffering one may provoke the other.63

Coronary tortuosity and myocardial bridging
Coronary tortuosity (online supplementary figure A, material 1) in patients with SCAD is fourfold more common than controls.2 Although not an idiopathic finding, this characteristic should raise the clinical suspicion for SCAD. Turbulent blood flow patterns in tortuous arteries produce areas of high shear stress where typically SCAD develop.
Patients with severe tortuosity show a trend towards higher risk of SCAD recurrence, with 80% of the repeat episodes occurring in tortuous segments. The presence of a myocardial bridge could hypothetically contribute to unleash SCAD in predisposed arteries by mechanical stress. Yet, given its high prevalence, it would be erroneous to attribute the entire responsibility of the SCAD to a myocardial bridge.

**Familial susceptibility**

Evidence suggesting a genetic predisposition of SCAD is scarce. A marginal number (~1%) of SCAD cases have been shown to present familiar aggregation. For the time being, the only genetic findings are related to connective tissue disorders and represent a minority of the cases. Although research is hampered by the rarity of the condition, genetic data collection should be sought for collaborative studies.

**SCAD triggers**

Irrespective of the presence of associated conditions, SCAD is preceded by an identifiable trigger in a considerable proportion of patients. Physical and emotional stressors are the most commonly recognised triggers, ranging widely from 25% to 77% in different series. These digits are higher than those found for physical and emotional factors preceding any type of MI in a large international series (13.6% and 14.4%, respectively). The prevalence of physical and emotional triggers seems to vary with gender. A recent report revealed that among 25 men presenting with SCAD, 11 (44%) were doing an isometric exertion and 6 (24%) reported an emotional stressor prior to the event, which significantly differed from women of the same series (16%, p=0.004% and 55%, p=0.005 respectively). Coughing, retching (Valsalva-like) or altitude sports have been reported as well as SCAD triggers, as well as the use of stimulating recreational drugs, high doses of corticosteroids or hormones. It may be hypothesised that a catecholaminergic response related to some of these stressors might lead to a hypertensive peak and increased shear stress ultimately unleashing SCAD.

A high index of suspicion is required to avoid misdiagnosis and appropriately refer the patient for coronary angiography. Although most patients with SCAD present with acute onset chest pain with raise in cardiac biomarkers, a smaller proportion (11%–16%) present with cardiogenic shock or ventricular arrhythmias. Sudden cardiac death, although likely underestimated, accounts for the least common presentation (~1%).

The proportion of ST segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) and non-STEMI varies significantly among SCAD series: STEMI 26%–87% (weighted average 37%), non-STEMI 13%–77% (weighted average 63%). This variation likely owes to the dynamic behaviour of the SCAD lesion and its translation into the ECG. Single-vessel dissection is the most common anatomical presentation, with only ~10% of SCAD cases presenting with multivessel involvement (the least in non-contiguous vessels). Principal vessels’ territories are affected in the following frequencies (not exclusive): left anterior descending (~57%), left circumflex (~32%), right coronary artery (~23%) and left main (~3%). Although not homogeneously reported, it is known that SCAD typically presents in mid to distal segments, with about 10% involving proximal vessels.

**DIAGNOSIS**

Coronary angiography remains central for SCAD diagnosis. A simplified angiographic classification was described to enhance its recognition. This classification has its primers in the insight gained from the early use of intracoronary imaging (ICI) in SCAD and it has a correspondence with the pathological substrates depicted with ICI. Nevertheless, the angiographic aspect is sometimes too ambiguous to make a firm diagnosis of SCAD, requiring confirmation through ICI or by assessing vessel restoration in repeat angiography. Considering all the available resources and its appropriate use is key to refine diagnosis and avoid overlooking SCAD, which would crucially change patient management and prognosis.

The orientation provided in this regard by the position papers recently published is vague and perhaps too simple for practical application. Adapting the existing guidance and available evidence, we here propose an up-to-date diagnostic algorithm intended to maximise the role of coronary angiography for SCAD diagnosis.

**Coronary angiography**

Classically, SCAD was recognisable as a double lumen or ‘flap’, result of an open communication of the true lumen with the dissected plane (false lumen). This corresponds to angiotype 1, in which multiple lumens, a flap, or wall contrast-staining may be seen. Although being the classic finding, angiotype 1 only accounts for 26%–43% of the cases in SCAD series. This pattern, when clearly identified, is considered diagnostic itself.

Differently, when the dissection plane (false lumen) encompasses a contained intramural haematoma that does not allow contrast to enter in it (with or...
Figure 2  Angiographic presentations of spontaneous coronary artery dissection and corresponding anatomopathological features. First row describes the anatomical lesions behind each angiographic lesion (circles). Column 1 corresponds to angiotype 1: double lumen or luminal flap. Column 2 corresponds to angiotype 2: long smooth tapering. Column 3 corresponds to angiotype 3: focal stenosis.

without intimal tear), angiography only reveals vessel narrowing or tapering. This narrowing is characteristically long with smooth borders, usually starting and ending at a bifurcation, with or without distal recovery of vessel’s diameter; this corresponds to angiotype 2 (figure 2, column 2). This pattern is the most common according to SCAD series reporting this feature, accounting for 55%–78% of the cases.6 8 9  Angiotype 2, although not considered pathognomonic, it can be diagnostic when typical and accompanied with other features. We propose a criterion whereby the combination of a clinical profile of a relatively young (<60 years) female with low cardiovascular risk burden (non-diabetic and ≤1 cardiovascular risk factor) plus either a recent pregnancy (<1 year) or an angiographic appearance of severe tortuosity would be sufficient to support SCAD diagnosis in the presence of an angiotype 2 (figure 3). Some cases present both angiotype 2 and 1 in the same vessel (online supplementary video 1, material 1). For these cases, diagnosis should be even more clear than when only one of the two patterns is recognisable.

Finally, angiotype 3 is the ambiguous pattern and is the least common angiographic presentation, although very likely is under-represented (misdiagnosed). It corresponds to a discrete, not propagated intramural haematoma that gives the appearance of a focal lesion, sometimes mimicking atherosclerosis (figure 2, column 3). Its ambiguity makes diagnostic confirmation mandatory.

When diagnosing SCAD solely on grounds of the first angiography (angiotype 1 or 2), (figure 3) one should consider the following caveats:

► Prior to consider SCAD, it is important to have excluded vasospasm by previous nitroglycerine administration.
Sometimes it is not possible to clearly distinguish a pattern due to flow impairment or when the dissected vessel has a small calibre, in such cases diagnostic confirmation is pertinent (management as angiotype 3).

The alleged SCAD lesion must be a culprit lesion. Otherwise, chronic incidental findings of these patterns or the presence of a remote culprit lesion would preclude relying on angiographic SCAD diagnosis. Furthermore, as per the current knowledge of this entity, chronic SCAD is not a reliable diagnosis because one cannot ascertain the exact aetiology of a flap (ie, it could be an unhealed SCAD, but also a recanalised occlusion, dissection originated from a plaque rupture, etc).

The presence of irregularities suggesting atherosclerosis in other vessels (online supplementary video 2, material 1) or non-dissected segments should prompt further assessment of the lesion, if feasible, with ICI to further clarify the aetiology and exclude atherosclerosis-related dissection.

Figure 3  Flowchart diagram for the diagnosis of spontaneous coronary artery dissection (SCAD). CVRF, cardiovascular risk factor; ICI, intracoronary imaging.
Diagnosis confirmation through surveillance angiography

When diagnostic confirmation is required, there are two possibilities (figure 3): (i) to gain further insight of the angiographic finding through ICI or (ii) to evaluate lesion’s evolutive behaviour overtime with scheduled surveillance angiography. One should choose the most appropriate option according to each particular SCAD scenario. Scheduled surveillance angiography should be favoured over ICI in stable patients in which the operator does not intend to treat the affected vessel, and when there is a low likelihood of success of ICI due to proximal tortuosity and/or distal or small vessel diameter. As mentioned before, SCAD affects more frequently mid and distal segments, which discourages and sometimes precludes the use of ICI.

Spontaneously dissected coronary arteries tend to spontaneously heal—a pillar for the conservative attitude in SCAD management—and thus, if the initial angiographic finding correspond to SCAD, an evolutive change is expected in repeated studies (online supplementary figure B, material 1). In a study by Saw et al, all patients that were scheduled for surveillance angiographic follow-up after 4 weeks showed some degree of vessel healing. Ad integrum restoration or conversion to angiotype 1 support a definite SCAD diagnosis, whereas other findings are less conclusive and may require further investigation with ICI to disclose the underlying aetiology or perhaps to repeat angiography after a longer period (figure 3).

There is no definite time lapse for scheduling the surveillance study, but some authors have suggested 1 month. From our experience, SCAD healing process may last beyond 1 month in a number of SCAD cases (online supplementary figure B, material 1). Therefore, in order to optimise the role of surveillance angiography for detecting significant changes, as well as to minimise the risk of iatrogenic damage, it is reasonable to allow a longer time lapse for artery healing (~3 months). Nonetheless, this can be tailored according to the size of the lesion that is, small haematoma may reabsorb within 4 weeks whereas a largest one may take up to several months.

Diagnostic confirmation with intracoronary imaging

ICI reveals such unique and genuine findings of SCAD at the vessel’s wall that it may be acknowledged as the gold standard diagnostic investigation. However, its invasiveness, increased costs and availability limits its use. Special consideration should be paid to the potential harms entailed: wiring, contrast injection and ICI catheter may jeopardise vessel patency or provoke a superimposed iatrogenic dissection. Nonetheless, the potential of ICI to clarify uncertain findings makes it central when a definite diagnosis is pursued.

ICI should be performed essentially in the following situations: (i) when percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) is required (diagnostic confirmation +procedural guidance) and (ii) for unclear angiographic lesions when a therapeutic decision depends on ICI findings (eg, not treating if it is SCAD), especially in proximal and amenable type 3 and dubious type 2 lesions. It should also be encouraged for patients with clinical suspicion and repeated episodes of ACS that have not been previously diagnosed and have been treated as atherosclerotic disease.

The hallmark of SCAD that is revealed with ICI is the myoendothelial lamina (intimomedial flap). This can present as a clear double lumen (figure 4, case 1) or as a contained intramural haematoma (figure 4, case 2). Compared with intravascular ultrasound (IVUS), optical coherence tomography (OCT) has a superior spatial resolution and provides a finer depiction of vessel’s wall, which implies a greater sensitivity to detect the extension of the dissection and subtle intimal tears. In counterpart, OCT has a limited in-depth visualisation, especially noted in the presence of large false lumen or intramural haematoma. Moreover, OCT requires a vigorous contrast injection, which carries an additional risk of hydraulic trauma. IVUS does not depend on contrast filling, which may be an advantage for assessing vessels in which anterograde flow is impaired.

Once diagnosis is established, repeating ICI for surveillance purposes should be reserved for follow-up of PCI or dubious symptoms in conservatively managed patients. In any case, it is sensible to postpone any vessel manipulation after the acute phase to allow vessel’s wall stabilisation
and avoid interfering with the ongoing vascular healing process.81

Diagnosis in occlusive SCAD

Severe flow impairment (thrombolysis in myocardial infarction (TIMI) 0–1) generally impedes making an angiography-based diagnosis. For these cases, the diagnostic approach may overlap with the management strategy. If the vessel is small and distal, one may opt for an expectant attitude and scheduling a surveillance angiography. Conversely, if the dissected segment is amenable for intervention, an initial attempt to partially restore flow by wiring may be enough to unveil angiographic features that may support diagnosis. If that turns fruitless, ICI may be used. Overall, the risks and benefits of instrumenting a dissected vessel must be weighed. As mentioned above, in cases where PCI is clearly required (eg, proximal-mid LAD occluded), ICI is useful to both confirm diagnosis and guide intervention.

Computed tomography coronary angiography

CT coronary angiography, although potentially capable of diagnosing some cases,52 does not seem a reliable tool for making a definitive diagnosis nor ruling out SCAD.83 84 Its low spatial resolution to study vessel’s wall coupled with the fact that SCAD often presents in distal segments limits its application. However, this imaging modality may have a role in some scenarios: (i) follow-up of conservatively managed patients with proximal vessel involvement85 86; (ii) monitoring of untreated post-PCI proximally-propagated dissections; (iii) follow-up of complex PCI procedures or those involving coronary ostia; and (iv) follow-up of patients treated with bioresorbable stents.87

► Anatomically, SCAD has a preference for mid to distal coronary segments, and left anterior descending is the most commonly vessel involved, followed by circumflex and right coronary arteries. Multivessel affection is rare.

► Angiographic features, along with the clinical profile, are usually enough to support the diagnosis of SCAD.

► In dubious scenarios, use of intracoronary imaging may disclose the pathological substrate. Alternatively, repeat angiography can be performed to document healing and confirm the diagnosis of SCAD.

► The advantages of intracoronary imaging should be carefully weighed against the potential harm of instrumenting a dissected vessel.

ACUTE MANAGEMENT

In contrast to the common atherosclerotic ACS, it is now widely acknowledged that conservative management is the preferred strategy for patients with SCAD whenever clinically possible (ie, preserved epicardial flow and clinical stability);13 14 78 88 yet, no direct randomised comparison between revascularisation and conservative strategy has been undertaken.

The preference of conservative management resides in the natural history of spontaneous healing in SCAD36 coupled with the challenging scenario that the dissected coronary vessels means for PCI (fragile vessels, often mid-distal location) and the consequent high rates of failure and/or complications.3 11 20 As a matter of fact, conservative management is generally associated with favourable outcomes and low rates of early urgent revascularisation (<10%),20 22 35 whereas revascularisation subgroups have consistently shown poor clinical outcomes.1 3 11 36 88 90 As stated above, this is not randomised comparisons and consequently there is a potential confusion bias. In fact, patients selected for revascularisation present from the beginning with high-risk prognostic-modifying features such as impaired TIMI flow at presentation, extensive ischaemia and haemodynamic instability.311

The real-world practice reflected in the series published in the last years shows revascularisation rates varying from 12% to 56%.1–4 6–9 However, the paradigm shift appears to be taking place, as a recent national temporal trend analysis has revealed a decrease in in-hospital mortality in SCAD patients parallel to a decline in PCI rates.90 Nevertheless, in some cases, SCAD leads to extensive ongoing myocardial ischaemia that jeopardises a significant amount of myocardium, particularly where SCAD affects more proximal coronary segments. These patients may benefit from revascularisation with PCI or emergent coronary artery bypass graft surgery (CABG).

PCI is usually attempted as the first revascularisation method given its readiness and less invasiveness, whereas CABG is generally deemed auxiliary or reserved for cases where PCI is deemed too complex. CABG has shown acceptable clinical outcome in SCAD series, though graft failure has been reported as high as two thirds in the follow-up, likely consequence of the spontaneous healing of the native vessel.311 A firm recommendation cannot be issued for selecting patients with SCAD for CABG, but rather this should be dictated by site expertise.

Considering all these aspects, a simplified practical algorithm for decision-making in the acute management of SCAD is proposed in figure 5. This algorithm primarily considers patient clinical status for decision-making, but also encompasses anatomical features such as size of the dissected vessel and degree of flow impairment.

Clinically driven repeat angiography

After either conservative or revascularisation management, it is imperative to monitor the patient closely for early detection of ischaemic relapses. Recurrent atypical chest pain episodes are common after the SCAD event and can last long after discharge in some patients.21 91 92 After-event chest pain is frequently not accompanied by new angiographic findings. Thus, when returning a SCAD patient to the catheterisation laboratory for chest pain, one should consider the possibility of spurious linking between symptoms and angiographic findings, but also bear in mind the dynamic behaviour of SCAD lesions. Precisely, a particular phenomenon that may occur in SCAD is the delayed longitudinal propagation of the dissection plane to a different segment or vessel. In the event of a relapse with objective ischaemia and worsening clinical status, the decision to
revascularise should not be very different to that recommended for initial presentation, particularly avoiding PCI if thrombolysis in myocardial infarction (TIMI) coronary flow is preserved (figure 5).

**PCI challenges and strategies**

PCI is risky and technically challenging in the setting of SCAD, and there is not a preferred strategy that has shown superior. See box 1 for caveats and suggestions regarding PCI in SCAD. Note that the general approach applies for conservative management too (ie, diagnostic procedures). Given the propensity for iatrogenic damage that coronary arteries have in patients with SCAD, a meticulous technique is required in all steps.

Balloon-only angioplasty is usually attempted upfront to restore flow; however, it is unlikely to achieve a durable result as a sole treatment because the dynamic behaviour of this entity may lead to early vessel reocclusion. Moreover, any dilatation does carry a risk of dissection propagation, especially in focal compressing haematoma. Lettieri et al reported 7 of 51 patients with SCAD treated with PCI received balloon-only angioplasty, though they did not report the specific outcome for this group of patients. The use of a cutting or scoring balloon to fenestrate the intimal-medial flap in order to decompress intramural haematomas is an interesting concept, though not free of risk. A few isolated published cases have reported a favourable outcome; however, this kind of evidence is susceptible to selection and/or publication bias.

Stents prevent vessel reocclusion and are the standard of treatment in SCAD PCI. However, instead of pursuing a complete coverage of the diseased segment—standard practice in atherosclerotic disease—the main objective of stenting should be to relieve the critical stenosis and recover vessel’s patency. Thus, post-PCI residual lesions should be approached conservatively, and only treat them if clearly severe or causing clinical ischaemia.

Both drug-eluting or bare-metal stents have been indiscriminately used in SCAD. Both devices were compared in a recent retrospective study based on a large international SCAD series (n=258). A total of 108 patients with SCAD

---

**Figure 5** Flowchart diagram for acute management of SCAD. CABG, coronary artery bypass graft surgery; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; SCAD, spontaneous coronary artery dissection; TIMI, thrombolysis in myocardial infarction.
received PCI (45%), 48 treated with drug-eluting stents and 60 with bare-metal stents. After a median follow-up time of 3.3 years (IQR 1.5–7.6), there was a non-significant trend of lower MACE occurrence in the drug-eluting stents group (17% vs 31%, p=0.11), mainly driven by target-vessel revascularisation (4% vs 18%, p=0.08). The study was underpowered but the findings were clinically important.95

3.3 years (IQR 1.5–7.6), there was a non-significant trend of lower MACE occurrence in the drug-eluting stents group.95

A hybrid approach with cutting balloon prior to stent implantation could be useful to evacuate the contained haematoma and prevent late malapposition after the haematoma is resorbed.102 Alternatively, others have proposed staging stent optimisation with poststilatation after certain time.103 Or the use of self-expanding platforms, that may accommodate to haematoma displacement and vessel remodelling and ultimately achieve a better late apposition in these cases.104

Stent malapposition or underdeployment are frequent findings in routine surveillance angiographies or autopsy.105,106 However, since an increased incidence of stent thrombosis in the setting of SCAD has not been confirmed, the clinical implication of these findings remains uncertain.

ICG guidance is paramount for ensuring true lumen access and avoiding stents deployed in the false lumen, which causes obliteration of the true lumen and pathological remodelling of the vessel.106 ICG also allows a fine depiction of the lesion and its extension, accurate dimensioning of the vessel and proper stent selection. In this regard, IVUS may be better for accurately sizing of the vessel and provide a more detailed characterisation of the false lumen, especially in cases where the true lumen is severely distorted and contrast filling is insufficient for OCT.20

Dissection propagation, another issue derived from PCI in SCAD, frequently leads to further stenting of a longer artery segment. This can occur either by simple wiring or following dilatation that provokes blood displacement through the false lumen plane. Avoiding a constant target of 1:1 vessel:stent and optimal apposition, as well as being gentle with dilatation pressure, may help to prevent this complication.

CONVALESCENCE MANAGEMENT

Special considerations for management of patients with SCAD after clinical stabilisation are summarised in Box 2. In-hospital management is similar to that of any other patient with ACS, with extra consideration regarding the appearance of ischaemic relapses (including late propagation). Most cases can be safely discharged after a few days of convalescence, yet this should be individualised to each case. Ventricular function should be monitored during hospitalisation and later after discharge since left ventricular systolic impairment at baseline has shown to improve significantly in the short term.58 This has implications for tailoring medical treatment and, especially, for appropriately indicating primary prevention of sudden cardiac death in those patients with severe ventricular disfunction.59 If left ventricular function is severely depressed, until reassessment, the role of wearable defibrillators for this relatively young population is appealing.

Pharmacologic treatments

No firm recommendations can be made regarding medical treatment in SCAD given the lack of evidence-based therapies and the current limited knowledge about the pathophysiological mechanisms underlying this condition. Potential risks, adverse effects and theoretical benefits should be

**Box 1 Technical considerations for percutaneous coronary intervention in spontaneous coronary artery dissection**

**General approach:**
- Careful catheter manipulation and coronary engagement to avoid iatrogenic dissection
- Low threshold for femoral access
- Gentle contrast injections

**Approach for PCI:**
- Low threshold for wiring side branches beforehand
- Most operators prefer non-hydrophilic wires (to prevent from further dissecting and improve tactile feedback)
- If TIMI 0, non-hydrophilic wire ± use small-size balloon to try to restore vessel flow
- Intracoronary imaging guidance for distinguishing true and false lumens and assess accurately vessel dimension is strongly recommended
- Do not aim always for a 1:1 vessel:stent sizing, especially in the presence of compressing haematoma or big false lumen with vessel enlargement
- Gentle stent dilations; do not target optimal strut apposition; best if guided by ICI
- The main goal is to restore TIMI flow 2–3 by stenting preferably the most proximal segment (avoid sealing all the dissected segments)

For highly-compressive contained intramural haematoma consider alternative approaches:
- Cutting balloon ± stent to fenestrate the intimomedial flap
- Self-expandable stent to accommodate subsequent vessel remodeling
- Staged postdilatation to allow haematoma resorption/vessel restoration

- Whenever clinically possible, conservative management is the preferred strategy in SCAD.
- Because SCAD is a treacherous scenario for percutaneous coronary intervention, every precaution must be taken to prevent specific complications (see Box 1).
Box 2  Summary of recommendations for spontaneous coronary artery dissection (SCAD) survivors

- **Reassessment of left ventricular function (life vest if necessary until then)**
- **Differential diagnosis of chest pain after SCAD should distinguish non-ischaemic chest pain from less common serious causes: late propagation (days, weeks) or de novo SCAD recurrence (months, years), which may occur regardless of the treatment received**
- **Medications:**
  - Tailor heart failure therapy in accordance with left ventricular function that should be monitored to evaluate recovery
  - Dual antiplatelet therapy only for patients having stents implanted
  - Consider long-term beta-blocker if well tolerated (nebivolol for co-existing migraine)
  - Statins only for dyslipidaemia or evidence of atherosclerosis
  - For patients with fibromuscular dysplasia: aspirin, beta-blocker and ACE inhibitor or ARB
- **Systematic screening of extracoronary arteriopathy, preferably with a magnetic resonance angiography-based protocol**
- **Genetic testing only if a connective tissue syndrome or familiar aggregation is suspected**
- **Discharge counselling:**
  - Control of cardiovascular risk factors, with special emphasis in smoking and blood pressure
  - Encourage physical activity but avoid extenuating or isometric exertion (weight lifting)
  - Avoid recognisable emotional stressors
  - Preferential use of non-hormonal over hormonal therapies
  - Eventual pregnancies should be closely monitored by a multidisciplinary team

weighed when deciding the pharmacologic treatment for patients with.

While some concerns have been raised regarding the potential deleterious effect of antithrombotic therapy in enhancing intramural bleeding and facilitating haemato-roma propagation,13 14 22 no evidence supports this theoretical hazard. It is relatively straightforward that in those receiving stents dual antiplatelet therapy should be maintained for 12 months in keeping with current guidelines.108 However, for conservatively managed patients no benefit is expected. Most common practice is to maintain lifelong low-dose aspirin and to stop the second antiplatelet agent early after the acute event.20 22 We do encourage to stop the second antiplatelet agent soon after diagnosis of SCAD has been made. Heparin is given as a standard for ACS, but since there is no foreseeable benefit for this condition and there is a theoretical potential harm, early discontinuation is also encouraged.13 44

In the presence of any intolerance or bleeding (beware of uterine bleeding), even stopping aspirin should be considered given the lack of evidence for the SCAD population. Uterine bleeding can be a problem in these patients, and cardiologists may not be well acquainted with it. Stopping the antithrombotic agent is generally possible; though, if not (eg, stented SCAD), a high-dose levonorgestrel intrauterine coil can be prescribed to reduce significant bleeding.14

Beta-blockers, ACE inhibitors, angiotensin and mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists should be administered according to ACS guidelines.109 110 However, given the trend towards prompt recovery of left ventricular ejection fraction,38 the duration of these medications should be appropriately tailored. On the other hand, data from a multivariate analysis in a large SCAD cohort in Canada suggest a long-term benefit in maintaining beta-blockers for preventing recurrences,9 though these data still need to be reproduced in other cohorts. Their antiadrenergic effect (to buffer physical/emotional stressors) or the reduction of arterial wall shear stress are plausible mechanisms for this alleged benefit. Renin–angiotensin antagonists should be used with caution in women in childbearing age given their potential teratogenicity. Statins are not considered beneficial in these patients; what is more, a previous observation suggested potential harm.111 Statins should only be prescribed if there is evidence of atherosclerosis or hyperlipidaemia, as commonly for primary or primordial prevention for cardiovascular disease.

As previously mentioned, chest pain with non-demonstrable ischaemia may appear, persist or be cyclic, even related to menstruation (catamenial chest pain)21 91 92 This can result very disconcerting and frustrating for patients. A trial switching beta-blockers for nitrates, calcium channel blockers or even more modern antianginal drugs can be attempted. For refractory catamenial chest pain in premenstrual women, local or systemic hormonal contraception to stabilise the menstrual cycle may be considered after failure of antianginal drugs.142

Regarding hormonal treatment in patients with SCAD, no compelling evidence conveys contraindication of these therapies. However, it seems reasonable to avoid them if an alternative is available (contraception barrier methods, local or non-hormonal treatment for menopausal symptoms), as well as using progestin-only-based methods over the combination with oestrogen.

**Screening for extracoronary arteriopathy**

Although no clinical benefit has been yet demonstrated for screening extracoronary arteriopathy in patients with SCAD, it is recommended by experts in both SCAD and FMD.20 22 38 39 112 The main reasons are (i) high prevalence of FMD in some of the largest SCAD studies were systematic investigation was conducted9 40; (ii) twofold higher prevalence of intracranial aneurysms in patients with FMD compared with general population113; and (iii) potential benefit from interventional treatments in patients with significant stenosis due to FMD: 38% of the patients in the US FMD registry underwent an intervention.12

Among the array of available imaging modalities to screen extracoronary arteriopathy (figure 1), the use of magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) as a standard protocolised investigation for patients with SCAD is a sensible option. Its non-invasiveness and absence of ionising radiation are of special importance for a screening technique applied in.
relatively young patients with a longer potential lifespan and more prone to undergo additional imaging testing.\(^{41}\)\(^{114}\)\(^{115}\) Despite the sensitivity of MRA may not be as high as CT, it has enough spatial resolution for detecting clinically relevant findings that would benefit of treatment or further surveillance.\(^{116}\)\(^{118}\) Plus, MRA is endorsed as a diagnostic technique by expert consensus on FMD from Europe and the USA.\(^{38}\)\(^{39}\) The acquisition protocol may vary but should always include abdominal-femoral and cervical-branial arterial beds since these are the most affected territories.\(^{60}\)

Should vascular abnormalities or FMD are found, a multidisciplinary approach is pertinent. Appropriate referrals according to clinical manifestations must be arranged with neurologists (history of cerebrovascular events, migraines and tinnitus + cervical-cranial FMD), nephrologists (hypertension + renal FMD) and vascular surgeons (for concerning interventions). Intracranial aneurysms should be managed following corresponding guidance.\(^ {117}\)

From a medical viewpoint, general principles of cardiovascular health and secondary prevention apply similarly to the patient with FMD, with special attention to blood pressure control and smoking cessation. The latter is associated with an aggressive course of the disease.\(^ {119}\) Although there is no evidence-based pharmacological treatment, an antiplatelet drug and antihypertensive therapy is recommended.\(^ {38}\)\(^ {39}\) For the reasons discussed above, the first agent to use would be a beta-blocker, followed by an ACE inhibitor or ARB (deemed potentially beneficial for their effects in the transforming growth factor-beta pathway). Additionally, liposoluble beta-blockers as nebivolol may also be useful for concomitant treatment of migraine in these patients. More specific aspects regarding management of FMD are outside the focus of this paper and can be reviewed elsewhere.\(^ {38}\)\(^ {39}\)\(^ {120}\)

Genetic screening

As discussed in a previous section, genetic testing has a low yield, and thus, routine screening is not recommended. However, it is advised to conduct a three-generation pedigree and an anamnesis and examination directed to seek features such as those pertaining to Marfan or Ehlers-Danlos syndromes.\(^ {14}\) Screening first-degree family members is not indicated unless an heritable disorder has been diagnosed in the proband.

Cardiac rehabilitation and psychological disorders

Patients generally experience the SCAD event as highly stressful and are rendered fearful thereafter. Cardiac rehabilitation is especially important for these young otherwise healthy patients, rather sensitive to suffer psychological repercussions after the event.\(^ {18}\)\(^ {19}\) Cardiac rehabilitation has shown to be safe an effective to improve exercise capacity, recurrent chest pain and mental health.\(^ {121}\)\(^ {122}\) A module of 3–6 months is reasonable after the event. Moreover, even patients with history of SCAD that did not undergo cardiac rehabilitation at that time may benefit from being included at present, especially if they show apprehension towards their cardiac condition. Mental health disorders such as anxiety, depression or post-traumatic stress disorder should be actively addressed and appropriately referred to a specialist if necessary.

Discharge counselling

In addition to joining cardiac rehabilitation programme, SCAD survivors must receive appropriate counselling before discharge to understand that theirs is a condition different to conventional ischaemic heart disease, and therefore not every recommendation given for the latter is equally valid for them. They should nonetheless be encouraged to follow a healthy lifestyle, particularly quitting smoking and controlling blood pressure.

Some concerns have been raised regarding pregnancy after SCAD and some authors recommend against or deter patients from becoming pregnant.\(^ {20}\)\(^ {22}\) Nevertheless, the evidence is very scarce, with only one small observation reporting one P-SCAD in eight women with history of SCAD (four were P-SCAD).\(^ {123}\) The paucity of data prevents from systematically discouraging pregnancy in SCAD survivors. In any case, it is reasonable to address these pregnancies as of high risk and provide close surveillance and an individual assessment by a multidisciplinary team.

| ► Available evidence on pharmacologic intervention in SCAD is limited. |
| ► Screening extracoronary arteriopathy appears reasonable for ruling out clinically relevant extracoronary arteriopathy. |
| ► Cardiac rehabilitation programs are beneficial and should be offered. |
| ► SCAD survivors may suffer disinformation and helplessness. Social and professional networks are potential tools to address these issues. A dedicated and personalised counselling is recommended. |

CLINICAL OUTCOMES AND PROGNOSIS

In-hospital mortality is reported low, 0% in many series and no higher than 3%.\(^ {36}\) In-hospital MACE was reported in 8.8% of the recently presented Canadian registry (\(n=750\)), with about half of them being reinfarctions.\(^ {35}\) P-SCAD, TIMI flow 0–1, proximal dissections and STEMI are characteristics that associate a worse in-hospital outcome.\(^ {31}\)\(^ {15}\)

Reported long-term MACE of patients with SCAD vary from 6% to 28% in the different series, and this is not explained by different follow-up times.\(^ {4}\)\(^ {6}\)\(^ {7}\)\(^ {9}\)\(^ {11}\) Long-term mortality rate is very low across the series (0%–5% with follow-up medians of 3–6 years).\(^ {34}\)\(^ {16}\)\(^ {9}\) Long-term MACE are mainly driven by recurrent SCAD and MI in these patients, and revascularisation does not prevent it, nor prevents late target vessel revascularisation.\(^ {11}\)\(^ {38}\) The wide variability observed in the rates of MACE is likely due to a heterogeneous criteria for adjudicating SCAD recurrence. The latter should be distinguished from late propagation, which is the early relapse that tends to occur within first days (weeks the latest) after the index event. A true recurrence, also called de novo recurrence, is a new event occurring in the mid to long term and consists in a new SCAD that appears to invariably involve a distinct coronary segment (and vessel in most cases) from the initially dissected and subsequently healed
one. To date, apart from coronary tortuosity, no other predictors for recurrence have been identified and, as above-mentioned, no evidence-based therapies effectively modify prognosis. However, for the time being, the recommendations presented in this review, which are essentially in keeping with those from expert consensus from Europe and North America, are considered the best standard of care for patients with SCAD, and are assumed to provide the better outcomes.

**CONCLUSION**

In clinical practice, the diagnosis and management of patients with SCD can be improved by incorporating available knowledge of this condition. Awareness of its high prevalence in middle-age women presenting with ACS in combination with diagnostic workflow algorithms will ultimately aid to deliver an individualised treatment. Patients with SCAD also benefit from personalised counselling and assessment of their concomitant medical disorders.
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