Chemotherapy-Induced Central Retinal Artery Occlusion in Gestational Trophoblastic Neoplasia: Case Report
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Abstract: The use of anticancer chemotherapy (ACC) has resulted in longer patient survival but has also increased drug-related adverse effects. A 22-year-old female receiving cisplatin-based intravenous chemotherapy for high risk variant of gestational trophoblastic neoplasia (GTN) presented with complaints of sudden painless loss of vision in her right eye for a duration of 4 hours. Ocular findings were suggestive of central retinal artery occlusion (CRAO). After exclusion of other potential aetiological risk factors, the patient was diagnosed with CRAO associated with cisplatin. Cancer patients are prone to thromboembolic events (TEE) not only due to primary disease but also due to underlying comorbidities and treatment modalities. The high incidence of TEE in patients under cisplatin therapy mandates a high degree of suspicion among the treating physicians. This rare possibility of irreversible visual toxicity should also be considered among the patients under cisplatin chemotherapy.
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Introduction

Central retinal artery occlusion (CRAO) is considered to be an acute ischemic stroke of the eye that leads to painless and profound visual loss. CRAO occurs due to occlusion of the central retinal artery usually by a fibrin-platelet thrombus or embolus with resultant hypo-perfusion of the retina and optic nerve head which is frequently irreversible.1 Cerebrovascular and cardiovascular diseases are usually associated with acute retinal arterial occlusion.2

The increased use of chemotherapeutic agents in cancer patients has resulted in longer patient survival rate. Consequently adverse ocular side effects secondary to these anticancer agents are also reported.3 Moreover, neoplasm itself is an important risk factor for venous and arterial thromboembolic events (TEE). Cancer patients are prone to TEE due to primary disease, underlying comorbidities, and treatment modalities.4 The ocular toxicity induced by anticancer chemotherapy (ACC) includes a broad spectrum of disorders which signifies the unique anatomical, physiological and biochemical features of the eye.5 Chemotherapeutic agents may result in vascular complications such as veno-occlusive disease, venous thrombosis, and vascular ischemia.6

Pharmacological agents such as platinum-based compounds, vinca alkaloids, bleomycin, and tamoxifen are considered to be independent risk factors for vascular
events and cause vaso-occlusive complications. However, retinal artery occlusions following ACC is a rare complication and is reported only in a few instances.

We describe a rare encounter of CRAO, which developed in a patient while undergoing treatment for gestational trophoblastic neoplasia (GTN) with intravenous ACC.

Case Description
A 22-year-old female presented to the ocular emergency department with complaints of sudden painless loss of vision in her right eye for a duration of 4 hours. She was a diagnosed case of GTN and had been under a chemotherapeutic regimen for 12 months. There was no other significant history and she was a non-smoker and does not consume alcohol. Her vision at presentation was perception of light (PL) OD and 6/6 OS. Ocular examination OD revealed RAPD and dilated fundus examination showed presence of peripapillary axoplasmic ring with few peripapillary hemorrhages, white ischemic retina, attenuated arterioles and central cherry red spot. However, no thrombus or emboli was visible in the retinal vascular arcades (Figure 1). The anterior and posterior segment findings of the fellow eye were within normal limits.

Immediately following the ocular findings, possible measures recommended for CRAO were applied. Digital ocular massage along with carbogen inhalation therapy in a plastic bag was initiated and continued. Tablet acetazolamide 500mg and tablet ecospirin 75 mg stat. dose was also administered orally. Anterior chamber paracentesis was performed under aseptic precaution, but these efforts were to no avail. Internist consultation was advised to rule out other possible etiology leading to CRAO.

Her complete blood count, renal-hepatic functions, acute phase reactants and lipid work up were normal. Fibrinogen, antiphospholipid antibodies, prothrombin time, activated thromboplastin time and serum protein screened for coagulopathies were also within normal limits. Echocardiography and neuro-imaging revealed no abnormality. However, carotid doppler ultrasonography revealed echogenic non-occluding thrombus in bilateral proximal common carotid artery without significant stenosis.

The past medical history of the patient was acquired as well. She was primigravida (G1) when she presented with a complaint of per vaginal bleeding at third month of her pregnancy. She underwent manual vacuum aspiration for high beta human chorionic gonadotropin hormone (β-HCG) level of 90,630 mIU/ml and the histopathological report confirmed complete hydatiform mole. She was started on a single agent methotrexate. Despite six doses of methotrexate, her β-HCG level was not regulated. Subsequently, combination chemotherapy including etoposide, methotrexate, actinomycin-D, cyclophosphamide and vincristine (EMA-CO) regimen was administered. She received six cycles of EMA-CO regimen and was stopped after three consecutive normal β-HCG levels. However, her β-HCG level started to peak again after 5 months of completion of her previous combination chemotherapeutic regimen and without pregnancy. Then she was started on etoposide, cisplatin, methotrexate, actinomycin-D (EMA-EP) regimen. During the course of EMA-EP regimen after the completion of her second cycle, she lost her vision in the right eye and presented to us on the same day 4 hours later. The regimen was stopped after consultation with the oncology department. She was then started on an alternative regimen consisting of paclitaxel.

She was under constant follow-up with the oncology department of the cancer hospital located in Bharatpur and under periodic follow-up for her ocular condition. Within a span of 1 year, her vision was hand movement OD and 6/6 OS. Ocular findings OD revealed RAPD and dilated fundus examination showed pale optic disc suggestive of optic atrophy (Figures 2 and 3). Gonioscopy was performed which revealed open angles in all the quadrants without any evidence of angle neovascularization.

Discussion
Gestational trophoblastic neoplasia (GTN) arises from abnormal proliferation of placental trophoblasts and includes
a group of interrelated lesions. GTN are generally highly responsive to ACC. The composite regimen of chemotherapeutic agents in our case unambiguously point towards the high risk and resistant variant of GTN.

TEE are frequent complications of either primary disease and/or treatment modalities in oncology practice. The association between malignancy and thrombosis was first described in the 1860s and was termed as Trousseau syndrome. ACC has been associated with the development of several vascular complications in patients undergoing treatment. Moreover, chemotherapy itself is considered as an independent but significant risk factors for thrombosis. The possible mechanism of vascular toxicity by chemotherapeutic agents is due to endothelium damage, disequilibrium between pro-coagulant and anticoagulant molecules and induction of tumor/endothelial apoptosis. The endothelial damage is due to the release of cytokines like tumor necrosis factor (TNF) α, interleukins (IL)-1, and IL-6. Similarly, activation of platelets and factors XII and X due to interaction between tumor cells and macrophages leads to thrombin generation and thrombosis.

There are numerous agents associated with increased risk of TEE in patients under chemotherapy. The patient in our scenario also received single agent methotrexate followed by EMA-CO and EMA-EP regimen when previous combination chemotherapeutic agents were not effective. There is no clear consensus on which specific drug led to the vision deteriorating CRAO. Though the history of the patient itself suggests that the event occurred only after administration of the combination regimen consisting of cisplatin. There are abundant literature that supports the evidence of arterial thromboembolism after combination therapeutic regimen inclusive of cisplatin. Furthermore, there was no

Figure 2 Fundus photograph of both eyes at the end of 1 year follow up. Optic atrophy with attenuated vessels is evident in the right eye whereas the fundus is within normal limits in the left eye.

Figure 3 Optical coherence tomography (OCT) at the end of 1 year follow-up. (A) Gross retinal atrophy is visible in the right eye. (B) Normal OCT in the left eye.
other associated arteriopathic risk factors (history of smoking, hypertension or diabetes mellitus) in our case. Hence the authors believe that cisplatin probably contributed to CRAO in this particular patient.

Cisplatin is one of the widely used anticancer chemotherapy agents which is effective against several human malignancies.\textsuperscript{18} The pathogenesis of thrombosis induced by cisplatin is obscure, although few possible mechanisms are suggested. Cisplatin induces endothelial injury and exposes the sub endothelial structures releasing the procoagulant micro particles. It also activates the platelets and upregulates the prothrombotic von Willebrand factor.\textsuperscript{19} Hypomagnesemia and autonomic dysfunction leading to vasospasm has also been implicated to thrombogenicity.\textsuperscript{20} This pathway involves both venous as well as arterial systems, contrary to which the majority of thrombotic risk factors affects venous compartments only.\textsuperscript{17} Furthermore, a histopathological examination of the blood vessel after intravenous administration of cisplatin showed vascular intimal edema, pyknosis of the endothelial cells and thrombus formation.\textsuperscript{20} The incidence of thromboembolic phenomenon was found to be higher (1.92%) in patients treated with cisplatin-based chemotherapy compared to those treated with non cisplatin-based regimens (0.79%). Similarly, patients under cisplatin-based chemotherapy had a significant risk of venous thrombosis with a relative risk of 1.67.\textsuperscript{21}

Though limited, a few other reported ophthalmic complications of cisplatin chemotherapy include retinal pigment abnormality, retinal ischemia with neovascularization, retinal microvascular complications, subclinical retinal dysfunction, cone dysfunction, optic neuropathy, and cortical blindness.\textsuperscript{22,23} The stated timing of thromboembolic event tends to occur with a median interval of 52 days,\textsuperscript{24} or during the first two courses of cisplatin therapy.\textsuperscript{25} In accordance, the circumstance of ocular morbidity was similar in our case which occurred after the completion of the second cycle during the course of EMA-EP regimen.

The discontinuation of cisplatin therapy has even resulted in reversal of visual symptoms in a case of branch retinal artery occlusion.\textsuperscript{22} Nonetheless, the prime determinant in visual recovery in CRAO is considered to be the time factor. The longer the duration of ischemia, the more extensive the damage. The retina can withstand ischemia without detectable damage up to 97 minutes. But CRAO lasting for approximately 240 minutes suffers irreversible damage.\textsuperscript{26} The patient in our report presented 4 hours after the ocular event, and even with our best efforts the vision was not recovered and the sequelae of retinal ischemia was evident with optic and retinal atrophy. There is a high chance of development of ocular neovascularization following CRAO. The reported prevalence is 18.2\% with an average duration of 8.5 weeks.\textsuperscript{27} The reason behind our patient without ocular neovascularization after a follow-up of 1 year, might be because of timely abolishment of thrombogenic trigger after the occurrence of CRAO and absence of other arteriopathic risk factors.

To the best of our knowledge, this could be the first case of CRAO in GTN under cisplatin therapy. The ocular complications induced by ACC are often underestimated and neglected, which is due to the priority given to other life-threatening adverse events. But deterioration of vision ultimately affects the quality of life in cancer patients.\textsuperscript{28} The high incidence of TEE in patients under cisplatin therapy mandates a high degree of suspicion among the treating physicians. Clinicians acquainted with the administration of cisplatin should be aware of this rare complication, and should work in co-ordination with ophthalmologists to prevent irreversible visual toxicities induced by chemotherapeutic agents.
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