Non-polio enteroviruses among healthy children in the Philippines
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Abstract

Background Enteroviruses are most commonly associated with either mild or asymptomatic infections, however, the presence of silent carriers in the community has been proven to play a crucial role in the spread of diseases such as HFMD that records high incidence in Asia Pacific region. In the Philippines, limited information is available on the etiology and prevalence of enterovirus outside the Acute Flaccid Paralysis (AFP) surveillance. Methods Duplicate stool samples were collected from 360 healthy children. Virus isolation and polymerase chain reaction were performed to identify enteroviruses present in the samples. To determine if the results of the study are comparable to the AFP surveillance data, the results of the study were compared to the prevalence and isolation rate among AFP cases of the similar cases collected the same year. Results Prevalence of enteroviruses among healthy children was found to be at 24.7%. Comparing the NPEV rates from the study and AFP surveillance of similar age and the same year of collection, there was no significant difference in NPEV case prevalence. The study identified a total of 19 different enterovirus serotypes with majority belonging to species Enterovirus B (EV-B).

Conclusion The study was able to establish a baseline NPEV case prevalence of 24.7% among healthy children aged under 6 years old in three major urban sites in the Philippines. The high isolation of NPEV among healthy children signifies continuous fecal-oral transmission of enteroviruses in the community. Surveillance of other diseases caused by EVs, such as HFMD and meningitis is necessary in order to complete the picture of EV circulation in the Philippines.
Introduction

Enteroviruses of the Picornaviridae family are clustered into species EV-A to EV-L including Rhinovirus A, B and C, of which, four are isolated exclusively in humans (EV-A to EV-D) [1, 2]. Enterovirus infections are most commonly associated with either mild or asymptomatic infections. Poliovirus, despite being highly contagious, has ratios of asymptomatic to paralytic cases that ranges from 50:1 to 1000:1 [3]. Despite this, enteroviruses are still associated with outbreaks of more serious diseases, such as hand, foot, and mouth disease (HFMD) and aseptic meningitis which results in considerable morbidity and mortality [4]. They are spread mainly through fecal-oral route with highest risk among children due to poor hygiene and low immunity levels.

It has been proven that asymptomatic carriers excreting enteroviruses play a crucial role in the spread of poliovirus [5] and HFMD and their silent presences help perpetuate enterovirus circulation in their community. [6] Evidence also suggests association between enterovirus subtypes, particularly, Coxsackievirus B virus and chronic illnesses.

In the Philippines, the only information available about the epidemiology of enteroviruses in the country is limited to cases reported under the Acute Flaccid Paralysis (AFP) surveillance [7]. The main importance of the isolation of enteroviruses in AFP cases has been limited to its usefulness in assessing proper handling and transportation of AFP stool samples [8].

To address this gap in knowledge, this study aimed to establish a baseline prevalence of NPEV among healthy children under six years old in three selected sites in the Philippines in 2015 and to identify the serotypes of the circulating
enterovirus in the community.

Methodology

Study design

A descriptive, cross-sectional study was designed to determine the prevalence of NPEV among healthy children under six years old in three major urban cities in the Philippines (Figure 1). Specifically, the study was conducted in urban areas in three different regions: (1) National Capital Region (NCR) in Barangay Addition Hills, Mandaluyong, Metro Manila; (2) Region VII in Barangay Carreta, Cebu City; and (3) Region XI in Barangay Buhangin, Davao City, Davao Philippines. These sites were purposively selected as they were near the Department of Health-Regional Offices and had a barangay health center with sufficient cold storage equipment to store the collected stool samples. The minimum sample size computed for this study was 292. This was based on a 10.6% NPEV prevalence from a similar study conducted in China [9], 95% level of confidence, 5% precision estimate, design effect of 2. Additional 68 children were added to compensate for possible non-response, thus, a total of 360 healthy children (120 per site) under six years old were randomly selected from a sampling frame gathered through a survey of the health workers in their assignment areas. Only one child per household was permitted to join the study and the current health status of the participants was established to allow only healthy children to participate. “Healthy child” was defined as a child who, by clinical history and physical examination, did not present with symptoms that may be associated with enteroviral infections such as acute flaccid paralysis, diarrhea, fever, cough, colds, conjunctivitis, and hand, foot and mouth disease.
The study protocol was submitted to and approved by the RITM- Institutional Review Board and was conducted in compliance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Since the study participants are children under six years old, written informed consent was sought from the children’s parent or legal guardian. After the completion of viral testing, parents and guardians were informed of their ward’s results and those found to be positive for enteroviruses were advised to visit their health center physician for proper clinical management.

Study Procedures

Stool survey

The stool survey was performed on February to May 2015. Standard physical examination and history taking were performed by the study physician to assess the child’s health status. Signs and symptoms that may indicate current enteroviral infection, such as acute flaccid paralysis, gastroenteritis, influenza-like illness, encephalitis, myocarditis, hand, foot, and mouth disease, and conjunctivitis were evaluated. If the child was found healthy, the parents or guardians were instructed to collect two stool samples from their child, at least 24 hours apart. Two stool samples were required to compensate for the intermittent shedding of the virus [10, 11]. To ensure that cold chain is maintained, parents and guardians were provided appropriate sample containers, ice pack, and a detailed instruction on proper sample collection. After collection, they were advised to forward samples to the barangay health center. From there, the samples were shipped by the Regional Epidemiology and Surveillance Unit staff to the NRL Polio and other Enteroviruses-RITM for testing.

Virus isolation

Stool samples were labeled with the study identification numbers prior to sample
processing and testing. Virus isolation was performed at the NRL Polio and other Enteroviruses-RITM, following the WHO standard procedures for poliovirus isolation. Briefly, all stool samples were treated with chloroform and antibiotics, then, 200 μL of the stool extracts was inoculated into two rhabdomyosarcoma (RD-A) RD-A and two L20B cell lines. Enterovirus are very diverse; thus, no single cell line is susceptible to all enterovirus species. L20B is specific for polioviruses while RD-A can grow most enterovirus species. These tubes were observed for a total of 10-14 days and the infected tissue culture fluids (ITCF) of tubes showing the characteristic cytopathic effect (CPE) of enterovirus (rounding necrosis) were harvested and stored for subsequent testing [8].

**Sequencing**

EV positive ITCFs were selected and sent to the National Institute for Health- Korea Center for Disease Control and Prevention (NIH-KCDC) for partial VP1 gene sequencing according to the institute’s protocol. VP1 was targeted since it is the best region for phylogeny-based classification. If case both stool samples of the child yielded NPEVs, only the first stool isolate was included for sequencing. In brief, viral ribonucleic acid (RNA) was extracted using Tecan Freedom Evo™ (Tecan Group Ltd, Männedorf, Switzerland). Enterovirus gene was amplified through polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using iNtRON iNNOPLEX™ Enterovirus VP1 detection kit (Bulldog Bio, Inc., Portsmouth, New Hampshire). Amplified products were then sent to Macrogen Korea and to Cosmogenetech Korea for Sanger sequencing. Sequencing results were cleaned and aligned using DNA Star™ software (DNASTAR, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin) and MEGA software v.7 A BLAST search was then conducted to identify the enterovirus serotype [12]. Sequence generated from the study were submitted to GenBank with accession numbers: MK959771 to
MK959836 and MK977636 to MK977640.

**Statistical Analysis**

All questionnaires and signed informed consent forms were checked in the field for completeness. Data entry was performed using Epi Info v. 3.5.3 (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia). Comparison of sex, age group and location with the NPEV prevalence as well as comparison in between groups was analyzed by using Chi-square test, and p-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. The data gathered from the study were also compared to AFP surveillance cases under 6 years old.

**Results**

**Prevalence of NPEV**

A total of 360 healthy children from three major urban sites (120 per site) in the Philippines were enrolled in the study in order to determine baseline NPEV prevalence in their area. The mean age of the participants is 2.4 and an almost equal proportion of males and females, 51% and 49%, respectively.

Of the 720 total collected stool samples from 360 children, NPEVs were isolated in 129 (17.9 %) samples from 89 cases. Of these 129 samples, 126 were single isolates of enteroviruses while three were mixed with a poliovirus type 3, Sabin-like strain. NPEVs were isolated in 89 children (89/360) or 24.7%. Among these positive cases, 50.6% (45/89) are females and 60.7% (54/89) belong to the 1 to 3 years old age group. Among the three study sites, Region VII had the highest detected case prevalence with 51 out of the 120 study participants (42.5%) yielded at least 1 NPEV from their stool samples. NPEV case prevalence was different among the study sites ($X^2=32.81$, p-value=$<0.001$, df=2). Likewise, the NPEV case prevalence
in Region VII was highest followed by NCR and Region XI, respectively. Analysis showed also that there was no significant difference in NPEV case prevalence among males and females and also between age groups in the study [Table 1].

Table 1. Demographic information of participants, 2015

| Characteristics       | NPEV Positive | NPEV Negative | X² test |
|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|---------|
|                       | No. | %     | No.  | %     |        |
| Sex                   |     |       |      |       |        |
| Males                 | 44  | 23.78 | 141  | 76.22 | 0.09   |
| Females               | 45  | 25.71 | 130  | 74.29 |        |
| Age Group             |     |       |      |       |        |
| <1 year               | 13  | 21.67 | 47   | 78.33 | 3.71   |
| 1-3 years             | 54  | 28.88 | 133  | 71.12 |        |
| 4-5 years             | 22  | 19.47 | 91   | 80.53 |        |
| Geographic Location   |     |       |      |       |        |
| NCR                   | 24  | 20.00 | 96   | 80.00 | 32.81  |
| Region VII            | 51  | 42.50 | 69   | 57.50 |        |
| Region XI             | 14  | 11.67 | 106  | 88.33 |        |

Comparative analysis on the NPEV case prevalence and isolation rate [Table 2] reported by the study with those reported AFP cases under six years old in 2015 was also done. There was no significant difference in both NPEV case prevalence and NPEV isolation rate except for Region XI where there was a difference in terms of NPEV isolation rate but among cases (p-value 0.046) [Table 2].

Molecular Sequencing Result

The study identified a total of 19 different enterovirus serotypes with majority belonging to species Enterovirus B (EV-B) with 11 different serotypes detected. The predominant circulation pattern of the EV-B species was seen in all sites – NCR at 57%, Region VII at 63% and Region XI at 34%. No subtype or serotype under EV-D was detected. Isolation from group A (16%) was higher than EV-C (9%).

Coxsackievirus B1 is the most common as it comprised 29.9% of the NPEVs identified. In this study, due to the limitation of the method used, only 81% of the NPEVs isolated were characterized. The remaining 19% of NPEVs did not produce clean sequences and thus, were termed as untypable EVs (uEVs).

Table 2. Comparison of NPEV case prevalence and isolation rate among healthy
children and AFP cases under 6 old, 2015

| Isolati on | NPEV Positive | NPEV Negative | \( \chi^2 \) test p-value | Case  | NPEV Positive | NPEV Negative | \( \chi^2 \) test p-value |
|------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------------|-------|---------------|---------------|----------------------------|
|            | No. | %       | No. | %       |               | No. | %       |               |       |               | No. | %       |               |       |               |
| NCR        |     |         |     |         |               |     |         |               |       |               |     |         |               |       |               |
| HC AFP <6  | 20  | 8.3     | 22  | 91.7    | *0.59         | 14  | 11.7    | 7.4          |       |               | 106 | 91.7    | 8.3          |       |               |
| Region VI  | 77  | 11.1    | 11  | 13.7    | 0.01          | 51  | 12.8    | 3.8          |       |               | 69  | 57.5    | 42.5         |       |               |
| Region XI  | 32  | 10.0    | 20  | 86.7    | 3.9           | 24  | 17.0    | 8.1          |       |               | 9   | 5.5     | 94.5         |       |               |
| All sites/Philippines | 12 | 19.6  | 59 | 80.4 | <0.01 | 89 | 75.3 | 24.7 |       | 2 | 11.1 | 88.9 |       |               | 0.33 | 0.67 |

*Fisher exact test p-value

Discussion

The study aims to determine the baseline NPEV rate among healthy Filipino children under six years old. In this study, the case prevalence is shown to be 24.7%, as NPEVs were isolated in the stool sample of 89 out of 360 enrolled participants. In contrast with the studies done in Indonesia and South-Western India [13, 14], the notion that males are more likely to contract EV infection was not established in this study. The study revealed that NPEV case prevalence among these age brackets (<1, 1-3 and 4-5) were almost equal indicating that the chances of NPEV infection
among these age groups, from infancy to preschool age, is comparable.

The study was able to establish the predominant circulation EV-B species, particularly, CVB1 which accounted for around 30% of all EV species isolated. The isolation of CV-B1 in all sites is consistent with the previous study where CV-B was classified as one of the EV serotypes with endemic circulation in the Philippines [15]. This enterovirus species has been known to be the most common viral cause of human heart infections [16]. There was also an isolation of CVB4 from a child enrolled in the study and this subtype is known to be an environmental risk factor in the non-genetic causes of type 1 diabetes mellitus [17].

Previous study on the characterization of enterovirus isolates from AFP cases in the Philippines showed that the circulating isolates are, in decreasing order, species B, followed by C then by A species [7]. This circulation pattern is also seen in other studies from Asian countries [18, 19]. This pattern was in contrast with the results of this study wherein a minor difference in the proportion was seen for EV-A and EV-C species. While all sites followed the isolation pattern of EV-B > EV-A > EV-C, there is a variation in the proportion of species detected per region especially in NCR where EV-A has almost the same as EV-B isolation. All of the detected EV serotypes among healthy children mirror the EV serotypes isolated from AFP cases and environmental samples in previous reports except for one isolate which is CV-A22. The detection of CV-A22 in the Philippines was first identified and documented in this study [7, 20].

The study was able to detect EV-A71 and CV-A16 in healthy children and this finding is significant as these are usually associated with hand, foot and mouth disease [21-23]. This finding, however, is not exclusive to this study, as a similar result was seen in the survey of healthy children conducted in Shenzhen and Yunnan Province,
China [6, 9]. These enterovirus types were also detected in previous studies on NPEVs among AFP cases and environmental samples in the Philippines, which may be suggestive of indigenous circulation of these pathogens in the country [7, 20]. Further analysis indicated that the EV-A71 in this study belonged to genogroup C, specifically the C2 cluster which is genetically homologous to the EV-A71 C2 cluster reported among AFP cases [15]. While neighboring countries in the Asia Pacific region revealed high mortality rates caused by EV-A71 of the genogroup C like in China, [24] the Philippines has yet to report any fatal case. Data from a comprehensive and longitudinal hand, foot and mouth disease study on the overall EV-A71 epidemiology is crucial to conclude that C2 cluster of EV-A71 found in the Philippines is only causing mild disease or even asymptomatic infection.

The age range of all AFP cases is children under 15 years old but the study decided to compare the results of the study from AFP cases of the same age because a study conducted in Sweden from 2003 to 2007 has shown that the peak of EV isolation is among 18 month-old participants [25] and several other studies suggest that enteroviral infection is greater among younger children [13, 26, 27]. The fact that the study participants’ ages (0-5 years old) do not match those of the AFP surveillance cases (0-15 years old) might be a factor for the difference in NPEV case prevalence and isolation rate.

**Conclusion**

The study was able to establish a baseline NPEV case prevalence of 24.7% among healthy children aged under 6 years old in three major urban sites in the Philippines and was able to determine EV-B as the most prevalent type. From this study, the high isolation of NPEV among healthy children signifies
continuous fecal-oral transmission and given the multitude of diseases NPEV is causing, a routine surveillance targeting diseases or syndromes caused by EVs, such as HFMD, acute encephalitis and aseptic meningitis, is needed.

**Limitation of the study**

The study accepts the limitation brought about by using only two cell lines to detect enteroviruses. Several studies conducted showed that the best cell line to use is the MRC5 cells as it yield the best result [28, 29]. Unfortunately, the laboratory personnel from the National Poliovirus Laboratory are proficient on finding CPEs only on RD-A and L20B cell lines, thus, these were the cell lines chosen. Despite this, the study was able to isolate Coxsackievirus A22. CVA22 was first isolated from a healthy person from Chulman, Russia [30] and this type is rarely seen because of its difficulty to be isolated through tissue culture techniques [31]. In this study, the isolate came from a three years old child from Region XI.

The molecular method used in the study limits the detection of enteroviruses as uEVs accounts for 19% of the overall EV isolation. The gene targeted by the assay is the VP1 region since it is the best region for phylogeny based classification.[32, 33]

For a more comprehensive picture on diversity of EVs among healthy children, a more sensitive method that could identify all possible EV serotypes should be used for subsequent studies. Nonetheless, the method was able to detect newer enteroviruses like EV99.
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Figures
Figure 1

NPEV isolates among healthy children, Philippines, 2015