Changes in cytoarchitecture and mobility in B16F1 melanoma cells induced by 5-Br-2′-dU coincide with Rock2, miRNAs 138-5p and 455-3p reciprocal expressions
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ABSTRACT

ROCK2 is a protein involved in the restructuring of the cytoskeleton in cell adhesion and contractibility processes. miR-138-5p and miR-455-3p regulate Rock2 expression, cell proliferation, migration, and invasion in different experimental cell models. However, their participation in the cytoarchitecture and mobility of B16F1 melanoma cells exposed to 5-Br-2′-dU is partially known. This work aimed to analyze ROCK2 and miR 138-5p and 455-3p expression associated with morphological and mobility changes of B16F1 mouse melanoma cells exposed to the thymidine analog 5-Bromo-2′-deoxyuridine (5-Br-2′-dU). We observed an increase (2.2X n = 3, p < 0.05) in the cell area, coinciding with an increase in cell diameter (1.27X n = 3, p < 0.05), as well as greater cell granularity, capacity for circularization, adhesion, which was associated with more significant polymerization of F-actin, collapsed in the intermediate filaments of vimentin (VIM), and coinciding with a decrease in migration (87%). Changes coincided with a decrease in Rock2 mRNA expression (2.88X n = 3, p < 0.05), increased vimentin and a reciprocal decrease in miR-138-5p (1.8X), and an increase in miR-455-3p (2.39X). The Rock2 kinase inhibitor Y27632 partially rescued these changes. These results suggest ROCK2 and VIM regulate the morphological and mobility changes of B16 melanoma cells after exposure to 5-Br-2′-dU, and its expression may be reciprocally regulated, at least in part, by miR-138-5p and miR-455-3p.

1. Introduction

Cutaneous melanoma is a highly invasive and metastatic cancer with a low survival rate in advanced stages [1,2]. In in vitro models, melanoma cells can restructure their cytoskeleton, alter their adhesion and contractibility, increasing their migration and invasion capacity. In vitro and in vivo, the inhibition of the activity of the Rho-associated protein kinase 2 (ROCK2) decreases the volume of the tumor mass in mice and the migration and metastasis in melanoma cells [3,4]. Furthermore, ROCK2 regulates cytoarchitecture through the collapse of intermediate filaments Vimentin phosphorylation (VIM) [5] and the formation of actin stress fibers by phosphorylation of the myosin light chain 2 (MLC2) and the inhibition of the phosphatase activity of the myosin light chain kinase (MLCK), which inhibits MLC2 [6,7]. However, in cells induced to senescence, there is not enough knowledge about ROCK2 involvement and regulation.

Senescent cells change various physiological aspects, including reorganizing the cytoskeleton and differential kinases expression [8,9]. There is a decrease in ROCK1 expression [9], while knockout of both ROCK1 and ROCK2 is associated with cell cycle arrest and senescence, possibly due to decreased expression CyclinA, CKS1, and CDK1 [10].

The thymidine analog, 5-Bromo-2′-deoxyuridine (5-Br-2′-dU), incorporates into the DNA, generates instability, and has been widely used in different types of cancer [11,12]. Although its mechanism of action is unknown, its exposure in B16 mouse melanoma cells induces a senescent phenotype together with changes in their extracellular matrix glycoproteins, cell attachment, adhesion-related glycoproteins to tumor cell-cell interaction and actin expression, as well as a reduction in...
2. Materials and methods

2.1. Culture of the cell line B16F1 and viability assays

The mouse melanoma line B16F1 from the American Type Culture Collection® (CRL-6322™, Virginia, USA) was cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), penicillin (100 U/mL), and streptomycin (100 µg/mL) and incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO₂ and 98% humidity. We exposed an average of 1.5 × 10⁵ B16F1 cells seeded in complete DMEM for 72 h to 5-Br-2'-dU (2.5 µg/mL) final concentration (Sigma-Aldrich). For all the assays for the inhibition of the activity of the mROCK2 protein, including cell viability, the inhibitor Y27632 ((R) - (+) - trans - 4 - (1 - Aminoethyl) cyclohexane carboxamide dihydrochloride) (Calbiochem, La Jolla, California, USA) [10 µM] final concentration for 1 h.

2.2. Analysis of cell morphology

We incubated, on average, 2.0 × 10⁵ B16F1 cells adhered to cover-slips in the presence or absence of 5-Br-2'-dU. After 72 h, we took phase-contrast images in a 20x Nikon Eclipse Ti inverted light microscope (Kobe, Japan). The apparent cell area was quantified in the NIS-Elements-Nikon program by automatic cell counter for 100 cells per replica. The values presented as an average ± SD with a significance level alpha = 0.05. For the diameter of cells in suspension, after exposure to 5-Br-2'-dU, the average cell size function was assessed with the Tali™ Image-based Cytometer.

2.3. Cell complexity and size analysis by flow cytometry

We analyzed 1.0 × 10⁴ cells on a BD FACSaria II™ flow cytometer (New Jersey, USA), and the degree of diffraction (FSC-A) and scattering (SSC-A) of light associated with size and granularity with the FlowJo™ program. Statistical significance was determined for n = 3, by multiple t-tests without correction, with an alpha = 0.05.

2.4. Wound healing test

B16F1 cells exposed to 5-Br-2'-dU, seeded in 24-well boxes up to 80% confluence and incubated for 1 h in the Y27632 inhibitor’s presence, were wounded in the monolayer with a micropipette tip. Detached cells were removed with PBS and supplemented with incomplete DMEM (iDMEM). We calculated the percentage decrease in the area generated by the wound in photographs obtained in phase contrast of the Nikon Eclipse Ti microscope at 20x, at times 0 h, 3 h, 6 h, 12 h, 18 h, and 24 h with the NIS-Elements program. Statistical significance was determined for n = 3, by multiple t-tests without correction, with an alpha = 0.05.

2.5. Cell shedding dynamics

Cell circularity dynamics were carried out following previous reports [20,21], but adjusted for 1.0 × 10⁵ B16F1 cells exposed or not to 5-Br-2'-dU and Y27632. In summary, cells washed with PBS were exposed to 50 µL per sheet of Trypsin-EDTA 0.25% (m/v), preheated to 37 °C, added, and captured in a Nikon Eclipse Ti microscope every 30 s, until the 600 s. We assessed the cell area variation from the microphotographs and Boltzmann normalization using the Prism-GraphPad program (https://www.graphpad.com/scientific-software/prism/). We counted the number of detached cells in each case determined by obtaining the non-adhered cells after the addition of trypsin-EDTA and quantification assessed on the Tali™ Image-based Cytometer.

2.6. Cell migration in the Boyden-type chamber

B16F1 cells previously exposed to 5-Br-2'-dU and Y27632 incubated for 12 h in DMEM. In the upper compartment of the Transwell™ chamber (Corning™), 100 µL of iDMEM seeded per well, containing, on average, 1.0 × 10⁵ cells; we added 600 µL of complete DMEM in the lower compartment of the chamber as a chemo-attractant. After 24 h, we recovered from the upper chamber cells that did not penetrate the membrane with a cotton swab. We added 0.25% (m/v) Trypsin-EDTA to quantify the cells that crossed the membrane to both compartments and the collected cell suspension counted in Tali™ image-based cytometer.

2.7. Immunocitofluorescence

Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (m/v) in PBS and permeabilized with Triton™ X-100 0.3% (v/v) (Sigma-Aldrich). Anti-ROCK2 (sc-1851) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc, Dallas, USA) and exposed to anti-Vimentin (SAB4400676 Sigma-Aldrich) polyclonal antibodies as primary antibodies. In all cases, the final concentration of primary antibody was 0.4 µg/mL. As secondary antibodies, we used a Texas Red-labeled (sc-3923) or CLE-647 (sc-362292) at 0.15 µg/mL. For F-actin staining, we incubated with 50 µg/mL of Falodin conjugated with Fluorescein Isothiocyanate (FITC) (Sigma – Aldrich P5282) for 40 min at room temperature, and nuclei labeled with DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-fenilindol) (Sigma-Aldrich). We obtained the images by using an inverted Nikon Eclipse Ti microscope. For quantifying the mean fluorescence intensities (MFI), we used the NIS-Elements program for n = 3 and an average of 100 cells per replicate and sample.

2.8. Western blot

We used 30 µg of protein per sample and fractionated in a 10% SDS-PAGE electrophoresis under denaturing conditions. The transferred proteins to a PVDF membrane (Millipore-Merck) in a Novex® Semi-Dry Blotter system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the manufacturer’s recommendations were blocked with a solution of polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP-40) (Sigma-Aldrich) at 1% (m/v) in PBS-Tween 20 (Sigma- Aldrich) [22] and incubated with the antibodies used in IF for mROCK2, mVIM or Lamin-B1 (sc-6216). As secondary anti-goat (PI-9500) or anti-rabbit (P1-1000) antibody, labeled with HRP (VECTOR, Burlingame-California, USA). The detection was carried out by the chemiluminescent method ECL-Western blotting system (Amer sham, Boston, USA) following the manufacturer’s recommendations and the...
2.9. Total RNA extraction and small RNA enrichment

Based on the method described [23,24], we extract total RNA following the organic extraction protocol with TRIzol-chloroform. For the enriched fractions of small RNAs ≤200 nt, we used the miRVana™ miRNA Isolation Kit (Ambion, Austin, USA) following the manufacturer’s recommendations and quantified on a Nanodrop 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

2.10. RT-qPCR of mRNAs

For retro-transcription (RT), we used 2 ng of total RNA, oligo dT, and Superscript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen), following the manufacturer’s recommendations: 50 min at 42 °C and then 15 min at 70 °C in a thermal cycler (BIO-RAD Hercules, California, USA). We used Real-time PCR (qPCR) in a BIO-RAD Chromo 4™ System thermocycler to analyze differential expression. In brief, each reaction contained 600 ng of cDNA as a template and primers corresponding to each gene evaluated (Supplementary Table 1) and the DyNAmo HS SYBR Green Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The amplification conditions were one cycle of 95 °C 15 min, 36 cycles of 10 s at 96 °C, 30 s of annealing temperature according to each set of primers, and 30 s at 72 °C. We calculated the relative expression radius (rER) normalized by the expression of the housekeeping gene GAPDH [25].

2.11. RT-qPCR-stem-loop of miRNAs

The expression of miR-138-5p and miR-455-3p was evaluated by RT-qPCR stem-loop using miRVana™ microRNA Detection Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) under the manufacturer’s recommendations. We used 65 ng of enriched RNA in Reverse transcriptions for 30 min at 16 °C, 30 min at 42 °C, and 5 min at 85 °C. For the qPCR, we used 2.5 μg of the cDNA, 3 min 95 °C, 40 cycles 15x 95 °C, 1 min 60 °C, 1 min at 72 °C, with a final extension of 10 min at 72 °C. We calculated the relative expression radius (rER) normalized by the expression of the housekeeping gene GAPDH [26].

2.12. Statistic analysis

The number of independent replications used in the statistical analyses was three, the values reported as a mean ± S.D. and the results were considered non-significant (ns) with p > 0.05, significant (*) with p < 0.05, very significant (**) with p < 0.01, highly significant (***) with p < 0.001 and very highly significant (****) with p < 0.0001. Using the software GraphPad Prism® (Graphpad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). We tested significant differences between each exposure and control using an unpaired Student t-test. In contrast, for multiple tests, the level of significance (we performed two-tailed multiple t-tests *) and differences were considered statistically significant for a p < 0.05 value using the Holm-Sidak method.

3. Results

3.1. Area and size of B16F1 cells after exposure to 5-Br-2’-dU

We performed an analysis of the apparent cell area to identify variations in the morphology of B16F1 cells exposed to 5-Br-2’-dU. Compared with the control (non-exposed cells), cells exposed to 5-Br-2’-dU showed a more extended and flattened morphology, fewer extensions, and an apparent decrease in the number of cells and pigmentation [27–29]. The apparent cell area increased by 2.2X (3.2 ± 1.0 X 10^2 EXP3 μm [2] and % CV 6.15) (Fig. 1B).

On the other hand, cells in suspension exposed to 5-Br-2’-dU increased their cell diameter by 1.27X, going from 12.0 ± 1.0 μm to 15.3 ± 0.57 μm (Fig. 1C). These results coincided with analyzing the population classification of suspended cells by light scattering (FSC-A). Light diffraction (SSC-A), in which the exposed cells showed a

Fig. 1. Changes in the morphology and size of B16F1 cells after exposure for 72 h to 5-Br-2’-dU. A. Representative photographs of B16F1 cells exposed or not exposed to 5-Br-2’-dU 2.5 μg/mL. B. Measurement of Apparent cell area (μm [2]) determined from the contour of adhering cells. C. Average diameter (μm) of cells in suspension exposed or not to 5-Br-2’-dU. D. Representative diagrams obtained by a cytometry flow rate of the number of cells distributed by quartiles (Q) as a function of SSC-A and FSC-A and their percentages (Q1, Q2, Q3, and Q4) for three independent replicas with a total of 10,000 events each.
displacement towards the Q1 quadrants (of 5.2 ± 0.3% to 7.9 ± 0.6%), Q2 (from 3.4 ± 0.4% to 6.0 ± 0.8%) and Q3 (from 3.4 ± 0.4% to 9 ± 1.0%), decreasing the percentage of cells in the control population (Q4) from 87, 83 ± 1.0% to 73.33 ± 0.5% (Fig. 1D). In the Q3 quadrant representing larger cells, a higher % CV (14.14) for control vs. exposed cells to 5-Br-2’dU (10.7) suggested a more homogeneous pattern for the latter. Changes were associated with increased cellular organelles and the cytoskeleton’s restructuring, which altered cell proliferation [30, 31].

3.2. ROCK2 and VIM proteins expression involved in the cytoarchitecture of B16F1 cells exposed to 5-Br-2’-dU

Morphological changes induced by exposure to 5-Br-2’-dU coincided with mRNA expression changes [32,33] and β-actin cytoskeletal protein [34]. Therefore, we evaluated the eventual variation of ROCK2, VIM, and the polymerization of F-actin in this cellular model. When quantifying the mean fluorescence intensity (Fig. 2 A-B) of the ROCK2 protein, we found a 3X decrease in cells exposed to 5-Br-2’-dU compared to the control correlated with the Western blot results where the value was reduced 0.79X (Fig. 2C).

On the other hand, in control cells, the distribution of F-actin labeled with Faloidin-FITC showed a perinuclear localization, in contrast to the F-actin observed in 5-Br-2’-dU exposed cells, where the distribution of F-actin showed positivity throughout the entire cytoplasm, more defined fibers, and an apparent more significant number of these were visualized (Fig. 2D). The addition of the ROCK2 inhibitor Y27632 in

control cells showed no apparent changes in F-actin. In contrast, cells exposed to 5-Br-2’-dU shifted in shape and distribution, suggesting that 5-Br-2’-dU may induce downregulation of ROCK2 in B16F1. The high affinity of Y27632 for the ROCK family of kinases [35], actin fibers distributed throughout the cytoplasm with more stable adherent structures [36], and ROCK2 decrease in its expression, upon exposure to 5-Br-2’-dU, allow us to propose that ROCK2 are involved in the stability of polymerized F-actin.

We found a 2.02X increase in the expression level of VIM by Western Blot (Fig. 2F.) and distributed peri-nuclearly (Fig. 2E), a phenomenon also previously reported in cells with greater adhesion capacity. Possibly due to the binding of VIM to focal adhesion complexes [37]. These results are consistent with mechanisms that may facilitate efficient remodeling F-actin polymerization and ameboid-type mobility, as has already been described in melanoma cells [38]. ROCK2 and VIM proteins expression and the polymerization of F-actin suggested possible changes in the mobility of B16F1 cells after exposure to 5-Br-2’-dU.

3.3. Modifications in the cellular mobility of B16F1 exposed to 5-Br-2’-dU

We evaluated the phenomena of circularity, adhesion, and migration of B16F1 cells exposed to 5-Br-2’-dU and the Rock2 inhibitor Y27632. As visualized in Fig. 3A, the cells exposed to 5-Br-2’-dU presented a greater circularity concerning the control cells; and while, in exposed cells, the addition of Y27632 did not generate further modification, the cells exposed to 5-Br-2’-dU did show an apparent increase in their circularity.

Fig. 2. Variation in mROCK2 and mVIM expression in B16F1 cells after exposure to 5-Br-2’-dU. Representative photographs of the location and distribution by immunofluorescence (IF) of the mROCK2 (A.) and mVIM (E.) proteins marked in red and quantified in arbitrary units of medium fluorescence intensity (MFI) of mROCK2 (B.). D. Microphotographs of F-actin marking with phalloidin-FITC (green) in B16F1 cells exposed to 5-Br-2’-dU and inhibitor Y27632. In A, D, and E against nuclear staining with DAPI (blue). Western blot and densitometry of mROCK2 in C. and mVIM in F; as load control, we used the nuclear protein Lamin-B1. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
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These variations were quantified and represented as a normalized area as a function of time, which allowed the generation of sigmoid curves that suggested greater speed due to the shift to the left (Control + Y27632 and 5-Br-2'-dU 2.5 μg/mL) (Fig. 3B). We confirmed these observations by quantifying the constants $\tau_1$, $\tau_2$, and $\tau_1 + \tau_2$ after Boltzmann normalization (Fig. 3C-E). The cells exposed to 5-Br-2'-dU presented shorter circularization times in all cases ($\tau_1 = 123.04 \pm 27.9$; $\tau_2 = 30.5 \pm 4.9$ and total time = 153.3 ± 28.3) compared to the circularization times for unexposed cells ($\tau_1 = 272.3 \pm 6.4$; $\tau_2 = 75.8 \pm 28.8$ and total time = 384.1 ± 72.2). The addition of Y27632 in the control cells showed a reduction in the circularization times ($\tau_1 = 117.9 \pm 17.2$; $\tau_2 = 34.3 \pm 1.3$, and total time = 152.2 ± 20.9), while in cells previously exposed to 5-Br-2'-dU, a slight increase in constants ($\tau_1 = 182.7 \pm 9.5$; $\tau_2 = 56.4 \pm 8.2$, and total time = 233.2 ± 13.2) (Fig. 3C-E). Decreased ROCK2 expression associated with increases in the circularization capacity of B16F1 cells due to the exposure to 5-Br-2'-dU identified possible modifications in cell mobility by exposure to 5-Br-2'-dU and the participation of ROCK2. Also, since the inhibitor’s presence, the cells previously exposed to 5-Br-2’-dU do not completely circularize. It is possible that the low expression of ROCK2, added to its inhibition, activates other mechanisms that promote greater stability of the cell cytoskeleton.

When evaluating the differences in the percentage of cells shed from the substrate after the addition of Trypsin-EDTA, we found that the cells exposed to 5-Br-2’-dU maintained a lower percentage of shedding compared to the control cells (5.7% and 14.7%, respectively); This value increased by 43.6%, after the addition of Y27632, for control cells and not significantly for cells in the presence of 5-Br-2’-dU. These results suggest that shedding in exposed 5-Br-2’-dU cells would not be dependent on circularization but rather implies a decrease in the expression of ROCK2, redistribution adhesion, a phenomenon reported in different cell models [29,39–41] and associated with greater expression of integrins [42].

Regarding alterations in cell mobility, we evaluate Boyden chamber migration and wound healing tests for cells exposed to 5-Br-2’-dU and Y27632. Cells exposed to 5-Br-2’-dU with the ability to cross the membrane in the Boyden-type chamber decreased by 86.6% vs. cells without 5-Br-2’-dU (Fig. 3G). After the addition of Y27632, a reduction of 60%, while in cells exposed to 5-Br-2’-dU, there were no statistically significant changes. In the wound healing test, the exposure to 5-Br-2’-dU produced a more significant closure of the wound starting from 3 h vs. the control cells, and independent of the exposure to 5-Br-2’-dU, the addition of Y27632 increased the closing capacity, starting at 18 h (Fig. 3H-I).

The evidence presented here suggests favoring different mobility...
types to the differential expression of ROCK2 and VIM proteins. It was necessary to determine whether these expression levels coincided with changes in the expression of the corresponding mRNAs and the miR-138-5p and miR-455-3p, which by predictors we described as potential regulators.

3.4. Variation in the expression of Rock2 and Vim mRNAs and miRNAs 138-5p and 455-3p in B16F1 cells exposed to 5-Br-2'-dU

To establish whether cells exposed to 5-Br-2'-dU showed changes in the expression of miR-138-5p and miR-455-3p and of the Rock2 mRNA, we used RT-qPCR assays. Compared with unexposed cells, the expression levels of Rock2 and miR-138-5p showed a decrease of 2.88X and 2.39X, respectively (Fig. 4A, D); meanwhile, miR-455-3p showed a 1.8X magnification (Fig. 4C). miR-138-5p and miR-455-3p had the potential to reduce the luciferase reporter gene expression through 3'UTR sequences of Rock2, suggesting Rock2 as a molecular target of these miRs [17,18,43]. Interestingly, humans and mice fully conserve these 3'UTR sequences' content (Fig. 4E), which suggests that in B16F1 cells, these two microRNAs would also have a regulatory potential on Rock2. These results would indicate that miR-455-3p would have greater participation than miR-138-5p in the downward regulation of the Rock2 level, which would coincide with that observed in the protein product (Fig. 2A-C). As for Vim’s mRNA, its expression level showed an increase of 3.64X (Fig. 4B), coinciding with the increase in protein levels. Vim’s increased expression may be a consequence of the under-expression found here for miR-138-5p (Fig. 4E), as Vim is a molecular target of miR-138-5p [44]. These results suggest that these and other miRNAs would regulate the variations in Vim and Rock 2 expression observed after exposure to 5-Br-2'-dU and that these variations would, in turn, have significant repercussions on mobility phenomena.

4. Discussion

Cancer cells in melanoma reorganize their cellular skeleton favoring migratory and invasive processes [45-47]. Exposure to the thymidine analog 5-Br-2'-dU generates a senescent phenotype in different cellular models [27,29,30], which implies the restructurering of the cellular cytoskeleton and variations in the expression of mRNAs and miRNAs involved in melanogenesis, cell cycle control, senescence in melanoma [15,16,33,34]. However, the association between structural variations and mobility of B16F1 cells is still unknown, as well as the involvement of ROCK2 and miRNAs mediate regulation would be related to mobility in other tumor models [47-51]. This article reports an increase in the apparent cell area, the diameter of cells in suspension, and cellular granularity (Fig. 1). These changes are consistent with other in vitro cell models, including fibroblasts [27], embryonic retinal pigment cells [28], lung cancer cells [29], and melanoma cells [30,52]. These changes could be associated with an increase in the cell complexity due to the number of organelles, for example, lysosomes, coinciding with the senescent phenotype [30,31]. Although the apparent cell area and the B16F1 cells’ diameter exposed to 5-Br-2'-dU vs. control coincided in their upward trend, the rate of change between apparent area and diameter (1.74X). This variation suggests that the area’s increase did not depend entirely on the increase in its cell size. One potential explanation could imply a greater capacity for an extension by these cells; in which case, there would be possible changes at the level of the reorganization of the cellular cytoskeleton and the expression of structural and regulator cytoskeletal proteins such as ROCK2 kinase, one of the central regulators of cytoskeletal restructuring [7,38].

In this regard, the B16F1 mouse melanoma cells exposed to 5-Br-2'-dU for 72 h show a decreased expression of ROCK2 (Fig. 2A-C), a decrease in the polymerization of actin stress fibers (Fig. 2D), and a greater expression of the main component of the intermediate filaments VIM (Fig. 2E-F), both processes regulated by ROCK2 [5,6], previously reported for cells with a senescent phenotype [53,54] and that are related to migration [7,38], metastasis [55,56], and ameboid-type invasion in melanoma [57,58]. Although further work on variations in the phosphorylation of MLC or Cofilin, direct effectors of F-actin regulation, in the presence of Y27632, is necessary to confirm the involvement of ROCK2. The alterations in the cytoskeleton reported so far have not been quantified in other cells exposed to 5-Br-2'-dU and could suggest variations in the tumor mobility and mechanisms that regulate the expression of these genes, such as microRNAs.

Therefore, we evaluated the phenomena of cell adhesion, contractility, and migration in B16F1 cells exposed to 5-Br-2'-dU and ROCK2 inhibitor Y7632. There was an increase in the adhesion, circularization capacity, and wound closure capacity and a decrease in its Boyden chamber migration capacity (Fig. 3). Since the wound healing assay

Fig. 4. Variation in Rock2, Vim, and miR-455-3p and miR-138-5p mRNA expression in B16F1 cells exposed to 5-Br-2'-dU. The radius of differential expression (ΔER) by RT-qPCR for Rock2 (A) and Vim (B) mRNAs normalized with the expression of the constitutive gene GAPDH. ΔER for miRNAs miR-455-3p (C) and miR-138-5p (D) determined by RT-qPCR stem-loop and normalized with the expression of snRNA U6. E. Scheme summarizing the possible regulation of miRNAs miR-455-3p and miR-138-5p on ROCK2 and Vim expression and their association with mobility phenomena.
allows the evaluation of collective cell migration, favored by cell polarity [59] and also related to the perinuclear location of VIM [37] (Fig. 2E), these results are consistent with the reorganization of the cytoskeleton favoring adherence and this type of migration in B16F1 cells, possibly through the use of pseudopods and independent of ROCK2 regulation [60,61]. In contrast, control cells migrate 86.6% more in the Boyden chamber assay (Fig. 3G), consistent with a reorganization perinuclear F-actin (Fig. 2D), a critical role for ROCK2 associated with ameboid-like mobility [62,63].

The participation of F-actin regulators such as Cdc42/MRCK [53] and VIM intermediate filaments such as caspases [64], the use of Y27632, and its high affinity for ROCK kinases [35], suggests some involvement of this kinase in the variation of the motility phenomena evaluated here, however, knockout or silencing assays with RNA interference are still necessary.

The results observed in migration phenomena coincide with the decrease in Rock2 mRNA expression (Fig. 4A) and the differential expression of two miRs (138-5p downward and 455-3p upward) (Fig. 4. BC). This result is consistent with whose variations have recently linked expression of two miRs (138-5p downward and 455-3p upward) (Fig. 4. BC). This result is consistent with whose variations have recently linked expression of two miRs (138-5p downward and 455-3p upward) (Fig. 4. BC).

The authors thank the Instituto Nacional de Colombia (INS), COLCIENCIAS, and the Universidad Nacional de Colombia (UNAL). The authors thank the Instituto Nacional de Colombia (INS), COLCIENCIAS, and the Universidad Nacional de Colombia (UNAL).

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrep.2021.101027.

**References**

[1] G.P. Guy, C.C. Thomas, T. Thompson, M. Watson, G.M. Masetti, L.C. Richardson, Vital signs: melanoma incidence and mortality trends and projections — United States, 1982–2030, MMWR Mortal. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 64 (21) (2015) 591–596. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC458771/.

[2] A. Esteve, B. Kuprel, R.A. Novoa, et al., Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks, Nature 542 (2017) 115, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21056.

[3] M. Nakajima, K. Hayashi, Y. Egi, et al., Effect of WE-536, a novel ROCK inhibitor, against metastasis of B16 melanoma, Cane. Chemother. Pharmacol. 52 (4) (2003) 319–324, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0228-0466(05)6115-8.

[4] A. Rouchier, M. Astuccio, D. Legrand, et al., Pharmacological inhibition of Rho-kinase signaling with Y27632 blocks melanoma tumor growth, Oncol. Rep. 23 (3) (2010) 861–867, https://doi.org/10.3892/or.00000708.

[5] W.C. Sin, X.Q. Chen, T. Leung, L. Lim, RhoA-binding kinase alpha translocation is facilitated by the collapse of the vimentin intermediate filament network, Mol. Cell. Biol. 18 (11) (1998) 625–6339, https://doi.org/10.1128/mcb.18.11.6225.

[6] M. Amano, M. Ito, K. Kanimura, et al., Phosphorylation and activation of myosin by a ROCK-associated kinase (Rho-kinase), J. Biol. Chem. 271 (34) (1996) 20264–20269, https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.271.34.20264.

[7] G. Totsuka, Y. Yamakita, S. Yamashita, D.J. Hartshorne, Y. Sasaki, F. Matsumura, Distinct roles of ROCK (Rho-kinase) and MLCK in spatial regulation of MLC phosphorylation for assembly of stress fibers and focal adhesions in 3T3 fibroblasts, J. Cell Biol. 150 (4) (2000) 797–806. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10953004.

[8] C. López-Otín, M.A. Blasco, L. Partridge, M. Serrano, G. Kroemer, The hallmarks of aging, Cell 153 (6) (2013) 1194–1217, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.05.039.

[9] O. Mosujber, F. Fishbein, N. Omara, et al., Cellular senescence is associated with reorganization of the microtubule cytoskeleton, Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 76 (6) (2019) 1169–1183, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-019-2999-1.

[10] M. Kaczorowski, P. Biecek, P. Donizy, M. Pieniak, Cdc42/MRCK: a clinicopathological perspective, Anticancer Res. 40 (4) (2020) 1931–1942, https://doi.org/10.21873/anticancer.14148.

[11] B. Björdevic, W. Szybalski, Genetics of human cell lines. III. Incorporation of 5-deoxyuridine, Int. J. Cancer 153 (6) (2013) 1194–1217, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-018-2999-1.

[12] K. Totsuka, Y. Yamakita, S. Yamashita, D.J. Hartshorne, Y. Sasaki, F. Matsumura, Distinct roles of ROCK (Rho-kinase) and MLCK in spatial regulation of MLC phosphorylation for assembly of stress fibers and focal adhesions in 3T3 fibroblasts, J. Cell Biol. 150 (4) (2000) 797–806. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10953004.

[13] B. Djordjevic, W. Szybalski, Genetics of human cell lines. III. Incorporation of 5-deoxyuridine, Int. J. Cancer 153 (6) (2013) 1194–1217, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-018-2999-1.

[14] M.D. Prados, W. Seiferheld, H.M. Sandler, et al., Phase III randomized study of radiotherapy plus procarbazine, lomustine, and vincristine with or without BUdR for treatment of anaplastic astrocytoma: final report of RTOG 9404, Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys. 58 (4) (2001) 1147–1152, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2003.08.024.

[15] L. Gómez, M. Rieber, M. Rieber, PCR-mediated differential display and cloning of a melanocyte gene decreased in malignant melanoma and up-regulated with sensitization to DNA damage, DNA Cell Biol. 15 (5) (1996) 423–427, https://doi.org/10.1089/dna.1996.15.423.

[16] L.M. Eschbar, Expresion diferenciael del gen Rock alfa e inhibicion del crecimiento de celulas de melanoma humano y musiro inducido por la Genisteina y la LA- Tirosina en vitro, 2001. Published online.

[17] O.R. Florez, A. Lázaro, M. Alarcón, et al., Downregulation of the Rho GTPase signaling pathway is involved in the microRNA-138-mediated inhibition of cell migration and invasion in tongue squamous cell carcinoma, Int J cancer 127 (3) (2010) 505–512, https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.25200.

[18] J. Wu, J. Liu, Y. Ding, et al., MR-455-3p suppresses renal fibrosis through repression of ROCK2 expression in diabetic nephropathy, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 503 (2) (2018) 977–983, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2018.06.105.
[19] L.N. Penalosa Nino, Expresión del gen PRL-1, en células de melanoma murino B-16, inducida a supresión del crecimiento con el sensibilizador a la radiación ultravioleta bromodeoxiuridina TT - expresión del PRL-1 gene in B-16 murine melanoma cells induce to growth suppr, Published online, https://pesquisa.bvsalud.org/portal/resource/es/II/1278179, 2000.

[25] J.R. Wrathall, C. Oliver, S. Silagi, E. Essner, Suppression of pigmentation in mouse melanocytes, Oncotarget 8 (13) (2017). Published online, https://www.oncotarget.com/article/15248.

[33] P.M. Price, The effect of 5-bromodeoxyuridine on messenger RNA production in cultured cells, Anticancer Drugs 18 (9) (2007) 1053–1068, https://doi.org/10.1097/01.CAD.0b013e3282520986.

[51] Y. Peng, Z. Chen, Y. Chen, et al., ROCK isoforms differentially modulate cancer cell migration by mechanosensing. Evidence for an effector role of ROCK2. -58, https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.71.2.341.

[58] D. Vigil, T.Y. Kim, A. Plachco, et al., ROCK1 and ROCK2 are required for non-small cell lung cancer anchorage-independent growth and invasion, Cancer Res. 69 (22) (2009) 8742–8751, https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-2121.

[63] J.B. Wyckoff, S.E. Pinner, S. Gschmeissner, J.S. Condeelis, E. Sahai, ROCK- and Cdc42-dependent protrusion in MTLn3 carcinoma cells, Exp. Cell Res. 362 (2012) 2914–2924, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yexcr.2015.09.054.

[65] F. Peng, J. Jiang, Y. Yu, et al., Direct targeting of SUZ12/ROCK2 by miR-200b/c inhibits cholangiocarcinoma tumourigenesis and metastasis, Br. J. Canc. 109 (12) (2013) 2294–2304, https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2013.655.

[69] X. Li, J. Li, M. Shao, et al., Downregulation of miR-218-5p promotes invasion of oral squamous cell carcinoma cells via activation of CD44-ROCK signaling, Biochem. Pharmacol. 106 (2018) 646–654, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2018.03.023.

[72] H. Zheng, D. Ramannairiga, B.A. Anderson, E. Tycksen, R. Nunley, A. McLainden, MicroRNA-138 inhibits osteogenic differentiation and mineralization of human dermal fibroblasts by regulating ROCK and vimentin expression, JBMJRplus 3 (2018) 1, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.e10071.00971.01027.

[88] Y. Byun, F. Chen, R. Chang, M. Trivedi, K.J. Green, V.L. Cyran, Caspase cleavage of vimentin disrupts intermediate filaments and promotes apoptosis, Mol. Cancer 25 (22) (2015) 2899–2914, https://doi.org/10.1038/jbc.2015.09.054.

[91] J.L. Orgaz, E. Crosas-Molist, A. Sadok, et al., Myosin II reactivation and vimentin disrupts intermediate filaments and promotes apoptosis, Cell Death Dis. 135, https://doi.org/10.1038/cdmd.2016.141.

[92] C.D. Nobes, A. Hall, Small GTP-binding protein rho regulates the assembly of focal adhesions and actin stress fibers in response to growth factors, Cell 70 (3) (1992) 389–399, https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(92)90163-7.

[93] E. Teraiig, G. Coeneno, Z. Navajag, et al., Vimentin levels and senile 71 phosphorylation in the control of cell-matrix adhesions, migration speed, and shape of transformed human fibroblasts, Cells 6 (1) (2017), https://doi.org/10.3390/cells6010002.

[94] V. Sanz-Moreno, C. Gaggiolo, M. Yes, et al., ROCK and JAK1 signaling cooperate to control actomyosin organization in tumor cells, Can. Cell 20 (2) (2011) 229–245, https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.26101.

[95] M. Rieber, M. Rieber, Decrease in actin gene expression in melanoma cells following - 159, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cancert.1989.08.049.

[96] J. Abbott, H. Holtzer, The loss of phenotypic traits by differentiated cells, V. The effect of 5-bromodeoxyuridine on cloned chondrocytes, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 159 (4) (1968) 1144–1151, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.54.4.1144.

[97] P. Meleady, M. Cypriano de Abravanel, Expression of transcriptional (α2 subunit) and post-transcriptional (j1 subunit) levels, and alters the adhesive properties of two human lung tumor cell lines, Cell Commun. Adhes. 8 (2001) 45–59.