The HIV-1 Tat protein modulates CD4 expression in human T cells through the induction of miR-222
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Introduction

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are important post-transcriptional negative regulators, which are able to fine-tune the expression of more than half of all gene transcripts. It is now apparent that miRNAs play a key role in many aspects of normal cellular development and function, and moreover, that dysregulation of their expression or function is associated with numerous disease states. MiRNAs work in RNA silencing by driving the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) to inhibit translation or destroy target mRNAs. Especially important for miRNA targeting is the "seed" sequence (nucleotide 2–8 of the mature miRNA), which generally binds with perfect Watson-Crick base pairing to target mRNAs. The role of the "seed" sequence suggests that any given miRNA can bind to a broad spectrum of different mRNAs, and so holds a vast regulatory potential.1

Several cellular microRNAs show substantial changes in expression during HIV-1 infection and their active role in the viral life cycle is progressively emerging. In the present study, we found that HIV-1 infection of Jurkat T cells significantly induces the expression of miR-222. We show that this induction depends on HIV-1 Tat protein, which is able to increase the transcriptional activity of NFkB on miR-222 promoter. Moreover, we demonstrate that miR-222 directly targets CD4, a key receptor for HIV-1, thus reducing its expression. We propose that Tat, by inducing miR-222 expression, complements the CD4 downregulation activity exerted by other viral proteins (i.e., Nef, Vpu, and Env), and we suggest that this represents a novel mechanism through which HIV-1 efficiently suppresses CD4 expression in infected cells.

Results and Discussion

To determine the effect of HIV-1 infection on cellular miR-222 expression, we infected the Jurkat lymphoblastoma T cell line with HIV-1 (NL4-3 strain) and then measured miR-222 levels by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) (Fig. 1A). Productively infected cells appeared at day 1 post-infection (p.i.), and reached a maximum at day 2 p.i., as determined by flow cytometric analysis of intracellular p24 Gag capsid antigen accumulation (Fig. 1A, data not shown). Concomitantly, we observed a progressive upregulation of cellular miR-222 that was induced up to 20-folds at day 2 p.i. (Fig. 1B).

In order to decipher the molecular mechanisms of miR-222 upregulation induced by HIV-1, we investigated the role of the Tat viral protein, based on two previously reported lines of evidence. First, in glioblastoma and prostate cancer, miR-222 is activated by NFkB and AP-1,16 two very well-characterized factors that regulate HIV-1 transcription. Second, a recent paper reported that Tat associates with the p65 subunit of NFkB and increases both the DNA-binding affinity and the transcriptional activity of p65.17 Thus, we transduced HeLa cells with a Flag-tagged suppressors such as p27, p57, PTEN, and apoptotic factors.18,19 However, miR-222 involvement in viral infection is much less investigated. In the present study, we provide a new role for miR-222 and take a further step in the comprehension of how HIV-1 affects the host miRNA pathway.
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Tat protein lacking the binding site for p65 (Flag-TatCA)\textsuperscript{12} did upregulation of miR-222. Of note, the expression of a mutated NFkB complex and behave as a transcriptional enhancer.\textsuperscript{11} To sequence we previously described to bind the p65 subunit of communication). Notably, this region matches a genomic the miR-222 transcriptional unit (Kurdistani SK, personal cells,\textsuperscript{13} showing that Tat binds a region located upstream of induces miR-222 in a NFkB-dependent manner. we previously described to bind the p65 subunit of communication). Notably, this region matches a genomic the miR-222 transcriptional unit (Kurdistani SK, personal cells,\textsuperscript{13} showing that Tat binds a region located upstream of induces miR-222 in a NFkB-dependent manner. To test whether miR-222 actually represses CD4 expression, we generated Jurkat cells stably expressing miR-222 under a Doxycycline (DOX)-inducible promoter (Jurkat miR-222). TOX treatment of Jurkat miR-222 cells increased the levels of the miRNA as compared with the untreated cells or with Jurkat cells transformed with the empty vector (Jurkat C) treated or not with DOX (Fig. 3B). Concomitantly, DOX treatment resulted in significantly reduced CD4 expression on negative control,\textsuperscript{14} and to Mip1\textalpha\textsuperscript{ promoter used as a positive control. As shown in Figure 2B, Tat binding was remarkably enriched in the miR-222 enhancer region. The ChIP assay also showed that the Flag-TatCA mutant does not bind the same region, indicating that the Tat binding affinity is mediated by p65 (Fig. 2B). This latter observation was confirmed by experiments of functional knockdown, in which the Flag-Tat construct was co-trafficked with pCMV-IkB\alpha\textsubscript{M}, a plasmid-encoding a dominant-negative mutant of IkB\alpha\textsuperscript{ that blocks the NFkB pathway. pCMV-IkB\alpha\textsubscript{M} efficiency was measured in nuclear protein extracts of transduced cell by the TransAM NFkB p65 kit, an ELISA-based method designed to specifically detect and quantify NFkB p65 subunit activation (Fig. 2C). As expected, NFkB blocking decreased Tat enrichment on miR-222 enhancer region (Fig. 2B, dark gray columns). Altogether, these results indicate that Tat has the capacity to induce the p65-dependent activation of miR-222 expression through the occupancy of the miR-222 enhancer region.

To study the possible biological effects of miR-222 on host cellular gene expression during HIV-1 infection, we searched for potential miR-222 targets by bioinformatics prediction algorithm analysis, with a special attention toward miRNAs coding for cellular proteins involved in the HIV-1 cell cycle. We found potential binding site for miR-222 within the 3′-UTR of the CD4 glycoprotein, as predicted by microRNA.org (www.microRNA.org). CD4 acts as primary receptor for HIV-1 on target cells but, soon after viral particles entry, CD4 levels are progressively reduced on the surface of infected cells due to the activity of three viral proteins: Nef, Vpu, and Env.\textsuperscript{10} To experimentally validate that the here-identified matching site in the 3′-UTR of CD4 mRNA indeed interacts with miR-222, we employed a dual luciferase reporter assay. We cloned the whole 3′-UTR region downstream of the luciferase open reading frame (p3UTR-CD4), and we used this reporter construct to transfect HeLa cells that exhibit constitutively low levels of miR-222, with or without a plasmid-expressing miR-222. These results confirmed the presence of miR-222 strongly affected luciferase expression based on relative luciferase activity (~50% inhibition). On the contrary, when we used a reporter plasmid harboring the 3′-UTR of CD4 mRNA where the binding site for miR-222 has been inactivated by site-directed mutagenesis (p3UTRmut-CD4), we observed no changes in luciferase activity with or without miR-222 overexpression (Fig. 3A). These results confirmed the bioinformatic prediction indicating the 3′-UTR of CD4 mRNA as a bona fide target for miR-222. Moreover, results denote that the here-identified matching site in the 3′-UTR of CD4 mRNA strongly contributes to the association with miRNA-222, an interaction that should predictably result in the post-transcriptional inhibition of CD4 expression.

Tat-expressing construct and measured the levels of miR-222 by qRT-PCR. The levels of Mip-1\textalpha\textsuperscript{, a NFkB responsive gene activated by Tat,\textsuperscript{12} were analyzed as a positive control. Figure 2A indicates that the ectopic expression of Tat resulted in a ~5-fold upregulation of miR-222. Of note, the expression of a mutated Tat protein lacking the binding site for p65 (Flag-TatCA)\textsuperscript{12} did not significantly modify miR-222 levels, indicating that Tat induces miR-222 in a NFkB-dependent manner.

To further dissect the interplay between Tat, NFkB, and the miR-222 enhancer region, we transduced HeLa cells with a Flag- Tat construct and performed a ChIP assay. Isolated chromatin was immunoprecipitated with anti-Flag antibody or control IgG, followed by qPCR analysis using primers targeted to the enhancer region, to a region on chromosome 1 that served as

\[\text{Relative miR-222 level in mock} \times \frac{1}{\text{Relative miR-222 level in Tat-expressing construct}}\]

Figure 3. HIV-1 infection induces miR-222 expression. Jurkat cells were infected with HIV-1 by standard spin-infection method or not infected (mock) and analyzed at day 1 and 2 post-infection (p.i.) by flow cytometry (A) and qRT-PCR (B). (A) Histograms show the fluorescence intensity (MFI) values and the percentage of p24+ cells are indicated. Dashed line represents labeled mock-infected cells. (B) qRT-PCR was used to measure endogenous miR-222 expression level. Relative miR-222 level in mock Jurkat cells was set to 1. Error bars are SD of one experiment performed in triplicate. One representative experiment out of three is shown.
the membrane of Jurkat miR-222 cells (Fig. 3C and D). A non-specific effect of DOX on the expression of cell-surface molecules not related to miR-222 (e.g., HLA-I) or on cell viability could be excluded (Fig. 3C, data not shown). In addition, CD4 downregulation by DOX was dependent on miR-222 overexpression because it did not occur in DOX-treated parental Jurkat cells (Fig. 3C).

Finally, DOX-induced CD4 downregulation on the membrane of Jurkat miR-222 cells was associated with a dramatic decrease of overall CD4 protein and mRNA levels, as determined by western blotting and qRT-PCR, respectively (Fig. 3E and F). We also observed a decrease in CD4 mRNA level in HIV-1-infected Jurkat cells at day 2 p.i. (data not shown), when miR-222 is strongly upregulated (Fig. 1B). This is in agreement with other transcriptome-based studies reporting reduced CD4 mRNA levels following HIV-1 infection.14,15

Our results indicate that CD4 is a direct target of miR-222, and suggest that miR-222, by promoting CD4 mRNA degradation, and thus, preventing CD4 translation, can contribute to the well-known dramatic reduction of CD4 expression on the surface of HIV-1-infected cells. In fact, once infection has occurred, the presence of CD4 poses problems for the virus life cycle, such as the possibility of super-infection that would be toxic to cells, or inhibition of assembly, release, and infectivity of progeny viral particles.16-17 Soon after infection, the early viral Nef protein efficiently downregulates cell-surface CD4 by increasing the rate of CD4 internalization and targeting internalized molecules toward lysosomal compartments for degradation.16 Then, the late proteins Vpu and Env interfere with the anterograde transport of newly synthesized molecules to the cell surface.17,18 We propose that Tat, by inducing miR-222 expression, complements the function of Nef, Vpu, and Env on CD4 downregulation by acting at a different level, that is degradation of CD4 transcripts. The identification of an additional mechanism developed by HIV-1 to eliminate CD4 being a serious threat that the virus must circumvent.

Materials and Methods

Plasmid construction

p3′UTRmut-CD4: four nucleotides of 3′UTR of CD4, which are perfect binding sites for miR-222 seed sequence, were mutated from AUGUAGC to AUcacaC by site-directed PCR mutagenesis.

p3′FLAG-CMV-Tat and p3′FLAG-CMV-Tat CA were previously described.15

Figure 2. Tat increases miR-222 levels in a NfκB-dependent manner. (A) HeLa cells were transfected with the empty vector (C), Flag-Tat or Flag-Tat CA expression constructs. Forty-eight hours post-transfection, total RNA was collected, and expression of miR-222 was analyzed by qRT-PCR. As a control, we checked the expression of MIP-1α, a NFκB-dependent gene that was shown to be sensitive to Tat.15 Results shown represent the average of three independent experiments, all performed in triplicate. (*) C/NP assay of chromatin isolated from HeLa cells transfected with empty plasmid (C), Flag Tat, Flag-TatCA, or Flag-Tat plus pCMV-Libam plasmids (Flag-Tat+Lib), and immunoprecipitated by anti-Flag or control IgGs, followed by qPCR analysis with primers targeted either to miR-222 enhancer region, or to a sequence on chromosome 1 used as the negative control,12 or to Mip-1α promoter used as positive control.17 The data show occupancy relative to control IgGs and represent mean ± SD of three independent experiments. (B) Nuclear protein extracts from HeLa cells transfected with empty plasmid (C), Flag Tat, Flag-Tat plus pCMV-Libam plasmids (Flag-Tat+Lib), were harvested and p65 NFκB activity was measured by transAM p65 protein kit. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01 by Student’s t test.
Figure 3. CO4 mRNA is a target of miR-222. (A) p3UTR-CO4 or p3UTRmut-CO4 luciferase constructs containing a wild-type (black column) or a mutated (gray column) CD4 3′UTR were co-transfected into HeLa cells with empty vector (C) or plasmid expressing miR-222 (p-222). Luciferase activity was determined 48 h after transfection. The ratio of normalized sensor to control luciferase activity is shown. Error bars represent SD and were obtained from three independent experiments. (B) Jurkat miR-222 and Jurkat C cells treated or not with DOX for 48 h, were analyzed by qRT-PCR to measure miR-222 expression level. Relative miR-222 level in Jurkat miR-222 cells not induced by DOX was set to 1. Error bars are SD of one experiment performed in triplicate. (C–F) Jurkat miR-222 cells treated as described in (B) were analyzed by flow cytometry (C and D), western blotting (E), and qRT-PCR (F). (C) Histograms show the fluorescence distribution of cells labeled with PE-conjugated anti-CD4 (left and right panels) or anti-HLA-I (middle panel) mAbs. Also, parental Jurkat cells with and without DOX were analyzed for cell-surface CD4 expression (right panel). Solid lines and filled gray histograms represent cells with and without DOX, respectively. Staining with control IgG is also shown (thin line). (D) The cell-surface CD4 mfi ± SEM obtained in three independent experiments like the one in panel (A) is shown. (E) Equal amounts of total cell lysates (20 μg) were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting with anti-CD4 and anti-GAPDH antibodies. Molecular weight standards are indicated (kDa). The CD4 levels were measured by densitometry, normalized by GAPDH, and expressed by setting at 1 the value found in untreated Jurkat miR-222 cells. (F) qRT-PCR was used to assess CD4 mRNA expression. Relative mRNA level in untreated Jurkat miR-222 cells was set at 1. Error bars are SD of an experiment performed in triplicate. Results shown in panels (C–F) are representative of three independent experiments. *P < 0.05 by Student’s t-test.

epB-Puro-miR-222: the sequence corresponding to pri-miR-222 was PCR-amplified from human genomic DNA and cloned into epB-Puro-TT derived plasmids.22

Cell lines, treatments, and transduction
HeLa and Jurkat cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s and RPMI 1640 medium, respectively, supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin-streptomycin (Euroclone and Gibco-BRL). Transfections were performed by Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) as recommended by the manufacturer. For the generation of stable Jurkat cells expressing miR-222, upon co-transfection of plasmid epB-Puro-miR-222 and epiggyBac transposase vector, cells were selected by Puromycin (1 μg/ml) treatment and the expression of the microRNA was induced by adding Dox (0.2 μg/ml) to the culture medium. NFkB activity was measured in nuclear protein extracts (15 μg) by the TransAM™ NFkB p65 protein assay (Active Motif).

HIV-1 infection
Stocks of VSV-G-pseudotyped HIV-1 (NL4-3 strain) were prepared and used to infect Jurkat cells with 50 ng of p24/10⁶ cells as described previously.23

Flow cytometry
All procedures were performed at 4 °C in PBS containing 0.5% BSA and 0.1% sodium azide. HIV-1-infected or uninfected cells (2 × 10⁵) were incubated with specific mAbs: phycoerythrin (PE)-conjugated anti-CD4, anti-HLA-I, or isotype control IgG (BD Biosciences). For detection of intracellular p24, cells were fixed and permeabilized with BD Biosciences reagents and incubated at room temperature with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) anti-p24 mAb (KC57; Beckman Coulter). Finally, cells were washed, fixed in 1% paraformaldehyde (PFA), and analyzed (FACSCanto, BD Biosciences).

Western blot analysis
Total lysates of Jurkat cells were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE and analyzed by standard immunoblotting analysis with appropriate primary
8. Using the comparative threshold method, the relative occupancy of the immunoprecipitated factor at a locus was estimated by qPCR.

9. For luciferase reporter assay, HeLa cells were co-transfected with p-222 plasmid and psiCHECK-2-vector (Promega).
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pl-luc-CD4-WT, pl-luc-CD4-Mut. After 48 h infection, cells were lysed, and luciferase activity was measured using a dual-luciferase reporter assay system (catalog no.E1960, Promega) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Transfection efficiency was normalized to thymidine kinase-driven Renilla luciferase activity. All experiments were performed in triplicate at least.
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