Objective: To verify the type of milk consumed by children under one year of age and identify variables associated with non-maternal milk consumption (formula or cow milk).

Methods: Cross-sectional study developed during the 2012 National Vaccination Campaign against Poliomyelitis. The companions of 935 children under one year of age answered a structured questionnaire on the child’s diet in the last 24 hours. The estimates are presented by points, with 95%CI. F-statistics were used to check for differences in the proportion of the types of milk consumption according to the children’s age range (<6 months and 6–11 months) and the association between non-maternal milk consumption and the study variables.

Results: The consumption of maternal milk and child formula was higher for children under six months of age — corresponding to 82.8% (95%CI 78.5–86.3) and 70.4% (95%CI 61.4–78.0), respectively —, whereas the consumption of cow milk was higher among children between 6 and 11 months of age — 74.2% (95%CI 66.5–80.6) —, with differences in the consumption proportions (p<0.0001). The variables associated with higher cow milk consumption were lower maternal education (p<0.0001), the fact that the mother does not have a paid occupation (p=0.0015), child doctor’s appointment in the public health network (p<0.0001) and participation in the Child’s Milk Program (p<0.0001).

Conclusions: The infants received cow’s milk early (before the first year of life), especially children from families with lower socioeconomic levels and children who took part in a specific social program for milk distribution.
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INTRODUCTION

Dietary practices in childhood are extremely important and influence the nutritional status of children, requiring their evaluation and monitoring.¹ Exclusive maternal breastfeeding (EBF) is recommended until the age of 6 months, and, from that moment on, an additional diet should be initiated, maintaining the breastfeeding until the age of two or more.² ⁴

If EBF is not possible, the indication is that the child receives modified milk (formula). The use of whole cow’s milk (CM) until the age of 12 months is contraindicated due to its allergenic potential, excess protein content and for being considered a risk factor for iron-deficiency anemia.⁵ ⁷

Despite these recommendations, studies indicate that children aged less than 12 months receive non-maternal milk (NMM), and some of these studies showed the consumption of CM.⁸ ¹⁴ One literature review pointed out that the determinants of unmodified CM consumption are low maternal schooling and low socioeconomic status.¹⁵

The Child’s Milk Program (PLC) has been practiced in the state of Paraná since 2003, providing one liter of milk a day to children aged between 6 and 36 months of age, belonging to families with per capita income equal to or lower than half a regional minimum wage. The referred program aims at fighting infant malnutrition and encouraging family agriculture. The milk provided is fluid, pasteurized, with minimum 3% fat content and enriched with iron and vitamins A and D.¹⁶ ¹⁷

There are few studies about the consumption of types of NMM offered to children aged less than one year, and the variables associated with the consumption of this milk. Therefore, the objectives of this study were to assess the type of milk consumed by children aged less than one year and to identify the variables associated with the intake of NMM (formula or CM).

METHOD

Cross-sectional study conducted during the 2012 National Vaccination Campaign against Poliomyelitis in Guarapuava, Paraná, Brazil. The study population was a group of children aged less than one year who attended the vaccination posts in the urban and rural areas of the city with their parents or guardians.

With information about the population of children aged less than 1 year, vaccinated in the first stage of the 2011 campaign, the sample size was calculated using the prevalence of EBF in children aged less than 6 months, with an estimation of 40% being aged between 2 and 3 months, according to a local study,¹⁸ and sampling error of 9%. The sample size estimations were obtained by applying the algebraic expression by Lwanga and Lemeshow¹⁹ and, afterwards, the 5% non-response adjustment and the design effect (deff)²⁰ of 1.4. The final sample size resulted in 1,005 children.

The study used the two-stage cluster sampling.²⁰ That is, considering that the children were not distributed evenly in the vaccination posts (clusters), the two-stage selection was adopted, and the probability was proportional to the cluster size. The first stage involved the selection of vaccination posts, and the second stage systematically selected the children waiting in line for the vaccination in each post. Thirty-two vaccination posts were selected, and for each of them we estimated the selection fraction necessary to interview the people in charge of approximately 35 children.

Data collection was conducted between June 11 and 29, 2012, by 118 volunteer students in the courses of Nutrition and Nursing at the local university, who were trained for 4 hours. The data collection instrument was a questionnaire with 67 questions, based on that used in vaccination campaigns from the Breastfeeding and Cities project, from the Health Institute of the Health Secretariat of São Paulo,²¹ adopted by the Ministry of Health to conduct the II Research of Breastfeeding Prevalence in Brazilian Capitals and the Federal District (II PPAM/Capitals and FD), in 2008.²² The questions about diet were based on all probable foods — maternal milk (MM), water, tea, NMM, types of NMM, number of times NMM was consumed, porridge, fruit juice, fruit, salty food (cooked, mush or soup) — that the child consumed the day before the interview (24-hour recall) and were given to the guardians of children before vaccination. The other questions referred to the child, the mother and the health service.

The variables used in this study were: NMM consumption (formula or CM), maternal age (≤19, 20–34 or ≥35 years), primiparity (yes or no), maternal schooling (<8, 8–11 or >11 schooling years), maternal occupation (yes or no), living with the child’s father (yes or no), area of residence (urban or rural), health service the child attends (public network or private/insurance) and participating (yes or no) of the Children’s milk state program.

The descriptive analysis included the calculation of proportions and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the types of milk consumed by the children. F-statistics was used to identify the variables associated with the intake of NMM and the differences of proportions between the types of milk consumed, using a 5% significance level. To calculate the probability of consumption of the types of milk (breastfeeding (BF), formula, CM and milk from PLC), the logit analysis was used and estimated, by statistical modelling, the probability of the event in relation to the children’s age in days.²² All estimations considered the design effect (survey module).
The data analysis was processed in Stata, version 11.1 (Stata Corp., College Station, Texas, USA).

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee from the Nursing School in Ribeirão Preto, at Universidade de São Paulo (CEP-EERP/USP), report nº 34.613, from June 11, 2012. This study did not require an informed consent form because it consisted of a fast questionnaire applied in the waiting line of the vaccination post. Therefore, the parents or guardians were asked for a verbal consent, in order to not disrupt the vaccination campaign. This procedure was established in the research of the Breastfeeding and Cities project from the Health Institute of the Secretariat of Health in São Paulo and in the II PPAM/Capitals and FD.21,22

RESULTS

The participants eligible for the study accounted for 1,118, however, 18 children were excluded for not living in Guarapuava. Sixteen questionnaires were excluded due to inconsistencies in the child’s age, and there were 149 refusals.

Of the 935 children who participated in the study, 459 (49.1%) were aged less than 6 months, and 476 (50.9%) were aged 6 months or more; 470 (50.3%) were female, 476 (50.9%) were born from vaginal delivery, and 853 (91.2%) presented with weight at birth \( \geq \) 2,500 grams. Of the total number of children, 86.7% were accompanied by their mothers at the time of the interview. Of the mothers accompanying their children, 45.8% were primiparous; 57.8% were aged between 20 and 24 years; 50.4% had between 8 and 11 schooling years; 61.5% did not work outside the household; and 65.3% took their children to be cared for in the public health network, as described in Table 1.

Table 2 shows the proportions of milk consumption by the children. There were higher levels of consumption of BF and formula by children aged less than 6 months of age, and CM and PLC milk by children aged from 6 to 11 months, with different proportions in consumption for all types of milk analyzed. The frequency of daily consumption of NMM (formula and/or CM) was one for 13.7% (95%CI 10.6–17.6) of the children, two for 15.6% (95%CI 12.4–19.3) and three or more for 70.7% (95%CI 65.8–75.1).

Figure 1 shows that BF is high in the first days of life (90%), decreasing to 70% at the age of 6 months and falling to 40% at the end of the first year of life. The consumption of PLC milk increases after the age of 6 months (13%), reaching 50% at the age of 12 months.

The variables associated with higher consumption of CM were: lower maternal schooling — with tendency to increase the consumption with the reduction of maternal schooling —, the fact that the mother did not work outside the household, the child being assisted in the public health network and participating in PLC (Table 3).

Table 1 Sociodemographic characteristics of mothers and children aged less than one year participating in the study in Guarapuava (PR), Brazil, 2012.

| Characteristic                                      | n  | %   |
|----------------------------------------------------|----|-----|
| Maternal age (years)                               |    |     |
| ≤19                                                | 195| 20.8|
| 20–34                                              | 540| 57.8|
| ≥35                                                | 93 | 10.0|
| Not informed                                       | 107| 11.4|
| First child                                        |    |     |
| Yes                                                | 428| 45.8|
| No                                                 | 403| 43.1|
| Not informed                                       | 104| 11.1|
| Maternal schooling (years)                         |    |     |
| <8                                                 | 234| 25.0|
| 8–11                                               | 471| 50.4|
| >11                                                | 126| 13.5|
| Not informed                                       | 104| 11.1|
| Maternal occupation                                |    |     |
| Works outside the household                        | 255| 27.3|
| Does not work outside the household                | 575| 61.5|
| Not informed                                       | 105| 11.2|
| Living with the child’s father                     |    |     |
| Yes                                                | 715| 76.5|
| No                                                 | 116| 12.4|
| Not informed                                       | 104| 11.1|
| Area of residence                                  |    |     |
| Urban                                               | 839| 89.7|
| Rural                                               | 96 | 10.3|
| Health service                                     |    |     |
| Public                                             | 611| 65.3|
| Private/insurance                                   | 301| 32.2|
| Not informed                                       | 23 | 2.5 |

*Data collected when the children were with their mothers. †Data not answered by the children’s tutor.
DISCUSSION

Regarding the research design, it is important to mention that the conduction of surveys in vaccination campaigns has been used as a strategy to obtain data of populations in a short period of time, at low cost.\(^\text{21,23}\) The external validity of the study can be assessed by the high coverage of the 2012 Vaccination Campaign against Poliomyelitis in the city, which reached 100% of the children aged less than one year, and by the similar profile of the sample analyzed with the data from the Live Birth Information System (SINASC) in 2011 for the city.\(^\text{24,25}\) Of the children studied, 50.9% were born from vaginal delivery, and 91.2% weighed $\geq 2,500$ grams \textit{versus} 50.5% and 90.9% of the population of reference (SINASC 2011), respectively.\(^\text{25}\)

Regarding the maternal data, 63.9% of the mothers had 8 or more schooling years \textit{versus} 60.2% of the population of reference (SINASC 2011).\(^\text{25}\)

This study showed that BF (82.8%) and the consumption of formula (70.4%) was higher among children aged

### Table 2

| Types of milk | % of consumption and 95% CI | p-value |
|---------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Maternal milk | 82.8 (78.5–86.3)             | <0.0001 |
| Non maternal milk\(^\text{a}\) | 37.3 (31.8–43.3)             | <0.0001 |
| Maternal milk + non maternal milk\(^\text{a}\) | 55.0 (44.9–64.7)             | 0.0133  |
| Formula | 70.4 (61.4–78.0)             | <0.0001 |
| Cow’s milk\(^\text{b}\) | 29.6 (22.0–38.6)             | <0.0001 |
| Children’s Milk Program\(^\text{c}\) | 1.2 (0.3–5.1)                | <0.0001 |

\(^\text{a}\)Formula and cow’s milk. \(^\text{b}\)Pasteurized milk, long-life type, milk from the Children’s Milk Program and powdered milk. \(^\text{c}\)Milk provided by the government of the State of Paraná to families with per capita income lower than half a regional minimum wage. 95% CI: 95% confidence interval.

![Figure 1](image_url) Probability of milk consumption by children aged less than one year in Guarapuava (PR), Brazil, 2012.
less than 6 months, whereas CM (74.2%) and PLC milk (33.7%) were more consumed by children aged between 6 and 11 months. The frequency of the daily consumption of NMM (formula and/or CM) was three times or more for 70.7% of the children analyzed. The intake of CM was high among the studied children, which is opposite to the recommendation of national and international institutions, which do not indicate the intake of this type of milk before the 12 months of life due to its allergenic potential, the protein overload and the high chances of the child developing iron deficiency anemia.6,7,26

Table 3 Proportion of consumption of milk according to the variables analyzed in Guarapuava (PR), Brazil, 2012.

| Maternal age (years) | % consumption | p-value |
|----------------------|---------------|---------|
|                       | Formula       | Cow's milk<sup>a</sup> |         |
| ≤19                  | 33.0          | 67.0    | 0.1643  |
| 20–34                | 43.1          | 56.9    |         |
| ≥35                  | 46.0          | 54.0    |         |
| First child          |               |         |         |
| Yes                  | 45.7          | 54.3    | 0.1143  |
| No                   | 36.1          | 63.9    |         |
| Maternal Schooling (years) |           |         |         |
| <8                   | 29.0          | 71.0    | <0.0001<sup>b</sup> |
| 8–11                 | 38.1          | 61.9    |         |
| >11                  | 71.0          | 29.0    |         |
| Maternal Occupation |               |         |         |
| Yes                  | 50.6          | 49.4    | 0.0015  |
| No                   | 35.3          | 64.7    |         |
| Living with the child’s father |        |         |         |
| Yes                  | 41.8          | 58.2    | 0.6499  |
| No                   | 38.1          | 61.9    |         |
| Area of residence    |               |         |         |
| Urban                | 40.7          | 59.3    | 0.8604  |
| Rural                | 39.6          | 60.4    |         |
| Health service       |               |         |         |
| Public               | 30.9          | 69.1    | <0.0001 |
| Private/Insurance    | 57.3          | 42.7    |         |
| Participating in PLC |               |         |         |
| Yes                  | 9.9           | 90.1    | <0.0001 |
| No                   | 52.3          | 47.7    |         |

<sup>a</sup>Pasteurized, long life milk, in natura, milk from the Children’s Milk Program and powdered milk.<sup>b</sup> Statistically significant linear tendency test. PLC: Children’s Milk Program.

It is important to mention that the PLC milk offered to children aged from 6 to 36 months, belonging to families with per capita income lower than half a minimum wage, is the whole CM enriched with iron and vitamins A and D.17 Therefore, the government of the State of Paraná is going against the recommendations for the consumption of CM, so this state program could be revised and discussed with academic nutrition and pediatrics institutions regarding the provision of CM, which is recommended only after the child is 1 year old, given the implications to the children’s health. Such aspects suggest that this milk may be influencing the non-continuity of BF, since only 39% of the children breastfed at the end of their first year of life.

The suggestion is to conduct a review in the age group of the infant audience to be impacted by PLC, from 6 to 36 months of age to 12 to 36 months of age, besides state and municipal actions defending BF, in order to continue this practice in the first years of life of the children. The relevance of PLC for the rural development and for small and medium-sized producers of the state is recognized and is not being discussed in this paper. However, it is important to think about the implications of CM consumption before the 12 months of life. Another aspect that should be further discussed in this program concerns one of the objectives that elucidates the fight against infant malnutrition, but nowadays the reality points to increasing excess weight among children.

A cross-sectional study that analyzed the consumption of milk among Brazilian children aged less than 60 months found that 91.8% of the ones aged less than 6 months were breastfeeding, and 23% used formula; 74.6% of the children aged from 6 to 12 months received CM, and, in the South region, in this age group, 70% consumed more than two milky meals.8 These findings are similar as to the intake of CM and the frequency of milky meals, however, they are different regarding the formula. In a prospective study that assessed the dietary practices of children aged from 4 to 12 months in three Brazilian capitals, the intake of CM among children aged from 6 to 11 months was 77.7%,<sup>11</sup> similar to that reported in this study. The use of formula among those aged less than 6 months was 12%,<sup>11</sup> which is below our finding. A cross-sectional study conducted in Acrelandia (AC), Brazil, with children aged from 6 to 24 months, showed that the intake of CM was 70.4% and 77.4% for children aged 6 to 8 months and 9 to 11 months, respectively,<sup>10</sup> and these values are similar to the ones in this study.

The probability of children being breastfed and receiving formula in the first days of life was high (around 90%), however, the intake of these types of milk decreases until the
end of the first year of life, being more expressive for formula (12%). The chances of CM consumption is inverse, so 50% of the children consumed this milk at the age of 6 months. The consumption of PLC milk increased after the age of 6 months, since after this period the children enrolled in the program start receiving the benefit. This aspect suggests that the consumption of this type of milk before that age can be related to the fact that other children in the family received the benefit, so the mother uses the milk for all children, regardless of age.

A cross-sectional study that analyzed the dietary practices of children aged from 6 to 12 months from 136 cities in the State of São Paulo found that, at the age of 6 months, the probability of a child receiving other types of milk was 70%, and, at the age of 12 months, 83%. However, there is no difference between the types of milk consumed.

This study showed that the socioeconomic level influenced the type of milk consumed by the children, since the variables associated with the higher consumption of CM were lower maternal schooling, the fact that the mother did not work outside the household and participating in PLC. There was also a tendency for the increasing consumption of CM with the reduced maternal schooling. The children who attended public health services also consumed more CM. The findings in this study corroborate those of a review article that points out the need for the use of unmodified CM. Even though the children's family income was not investigated in this study, maternal schooling was used as a proxy for the socioeconomic status of the families. A cohort study with children aged less than six months accompanied in the first, fourth and sixth months of life in Viçosa (MG), Brazil, showed that the low income was a risk factor for the consumption of CM in the sixth month of life.

Another study observed that mother with less than eight schooling years, who worked outside the household, had more chances of offering foods from the milk group, including for children aged less than six months. An investigation showed that the consumption of milky meals (NMM and porridge) was associated with the fact that the mother worked outside the household and had more than eight schooling years. Another study verified that the introduction of NMM was delayed for mothers who did not work outside the household. The main findings in these investigations are opposite to those in this study, however, it is worth to mention that the type of NMM consumed was not investigated in the two last studies analyzed.

In our study, the fact that the mother did not work outside the household could mean lower income, suggesting that the family with lower per capita income participates in the PLC.

Even though the literature does not show the role of the health service interfering in the type of milk consumed by the children, in this study, the children participating in the PLC should be accompanied every month (weight verification) in the health united as a condition of the program. This suggests an explanation for the fact that the higher intake of CM took place among children who attended the public health network. However, it is worth to mention that despite the association found between the intake of CM and the public health service, this result should be interpreted carefully. A cross-sectional study about the prevalence of breastfeeding in children aged less than two years in Campinas (SP), Brazil, showed that the public health service can have a positive impact on early weaning when compared to the private health system. A study that accompanied children aged less than six months in the first, fourth, and sixth months of life found that the lower number of prenatal appointments was a risk factor for the intake of CM in all months assessed. The authors conclude that this finding can be owed to the lower access of mothers to information about adequate dietary practices, usually approached in groups addressed to pregnant women in health units.

The importance of BF is consensus in the literature, and children in families with lower socioeconomic status can benefit more from BF, given the impossibility of acquiring formula due to its high cost. The Ministry of Health recommends that if the possibilities of relactation to maintain BF are finished, and at the financial impossibility to acquire formula, professionals should advise mothers as to the adequate and safe use of CM.

Some limitations of this study are the non-investigation of how the types of milk consumed were prepared, that is, if they were offered pure, diluted or with the addition of other foods; and the fact that the data were obtained in a single 24-hour recall. The strong aspect of this study highlights the sampling technique used. Further studies are required to verify the impact of the milk offered in the PLC on the nutritional status of the children who receive this benefit, and the influence of the consumption of this milk in the continuity of BF.

In this study, the children received CM before the first year of life, especially those from families with lower socioeconomic status inserted in the social program to receive milk.
Funding
Paula Chuproski Saldan had a scholarship from Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) for a Sandwich PhD program in the country (Process n. 300996/2013-6). Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP), regular research support (Process n. 2014/11953-1).

Conflict of interests
The authors declare no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

1. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Secretaria de Atenção à Saúde. Departamento de Atenção Básica. Orientações para avaliação de marcadores de consumo alimentar na atenção básica [homepage on the Internet]. Brasília: Ministério da Saúde; 2015 [cited 2015 May 27]. Available from: http://bvsms.saude.gov.br/bvs/publicacoes/marcadores_consumo_alimentar_atencao_basica.pdf

2. Kramer MS, Kakuma R. The optimal duration of exclusive breastfeeding: a systematic review. Geneva: WHO; 2002.

3. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Secretaria de Atenção à Saúde. Departamento de Atenção Básica. Saúde da criança: nutrição infantil: aleitamento materno e alimentação complementar. 2nd ed. Brasília: Ministério da Saúde; 2015.

4. Victora CG, Bahl R, Barros AJ, França GV, Horton S, Krasevec J, et al. Breastfeeding in the 21st century: epidemiology, mechanisms, and lifelong effect. Lancet. 2016;387:475-90.

5. Oliveira MA, Osório MM, Raposo MC. Socioeconomic and dietary risk factors for anemia in children aged 6 to 59 months. J Pediatr (Rio J). 2007;83:39-46.

6. Agostoni C, Decci T, Frewtall M, Goulou O, Kolacek S, Krzyzto B, et al. Complementary feeding: a commentary by the ESPGHAN Committee on Nutrition. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2008;46:99-110.

7. No-referred authorship. Manual de orientação para a alimentação do lactente, do pré-escolar, do escolar, do adolescente e na escola. 3rd ed. Rio de Janeiro: SBP; 2012.

8. Bortolini GA, Vitolo MR, Gubert MB, Santos LM. Early cow’s milk consumption among Brazilian children: results of a national survey. J Pediatr (Rio J). 2013;89:608-13.

9. Saldiva SR, Venancio SI, Gouveia AG, Castro AL, Escuder MM, Giugliani ER. Regional influence on early consumption of foods other than breast milk in infants less than 6 months of age in Brazilian State capitals and the Federal District. Cad Saúde Pública. 2011;27:2253-62.

10. Garcia MT, Granado FS, Cardoso MA. Complementary feeding and nutritional status of 6-24-month-old children in Acrelândia, Acre State, Western Brazilian Amazon. Cad Saúde Pública. 2011;27:305-16.

11. Caetano MC, Orzi TT, Silva SG, Souza FI, Sarni RO. Complementary feeding: inappropriate practices in infants. J Pediatr (Rio J). 2010;86:196-201.

12. Corrêa EN, Corso AC, Moreira EA, Kazapi IA. Complementary feeding and maternal characteristics of children younger than two years old in Florianópolis, Santa Catarina, Brazil. Rev Paul Pediatr. 2009;27:258-64.

13. Bernardi JL, Jordão RE, Barros Filho AA. Supplementary feeding of infants in a developed city within the context of a developing country. Rev Panam Salud Publica. 2009;26:405-11.

14. Oliveira LP, Assis AM, Pinheiro SM, Prado MS, Barreto ML. Complementary feeding in the first two years of life. Rev Nutr. 2005;18:459-69.

15. Wijndaele K, Lakshman R, Landsbaugh JR, Ong KK, Ogilvie D. Determinants of early weaning and use of unmodified cow’s milk in infants: a systematic review. J Am Diet Assoc. 2009;109:2017-28.

16. Bazotti A, Conti IL, Finikiet M. Programa Leite das Crianças: uma política pública de incentivo à produção local e à segurança alimentar e nutricional. Proceedings of the 2nd Jornada da Questão Agrária e Desenvolvimento; 2013 Nov 06-07; Curitiba, PR, Brazil.

17. Governo do Estado do Paraná. Secretaria do Trabalho e Economia Solidária [homepage on the Internet]. Programa Leite das Crianças: apresentação [cited 2015 Feb 21]. Available from: http://www.leitedascrianças.pr.gov.br/modules/contenue/contenue.php?conteudo=1

18. Brecaio MK, Corso AC, Almeida CC, Schmitz BA. Factors associated with exclusive breastfeeding in Guarapuava, Paraná, Brazil. Rev Nutr. 2010;23:553-63.

19. Lwanga SK, Lemeshow S. Sample size determination in health studies: a practical manual. Geneva: WHO; 1991.

20. Silva NN. Amostragem probabilística: um curso introdutório. São Paulo: EDUSP; 1998.

21. Venancio SI, Escuder MM, Kitoko P, Rea MF, Monteiro CA. Frequency and determinants of breastfeeding in the State of São Paulo, Brazil. Rev Saúde Pública. 2002;36:313-8.

22. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Secretaria de Atenção à Saúde. Departamento de Ações Programáticas e Estratégicas. II Pesquisa de Prevalência de Aleitamento Materno nas Capitais Brasileiras e Distrito Federal. Brasília: Ministério da Saúde; 2009.

23. Santos LM, Paes-Sousa R, Silva Junior JB, Victora CG. National Immunization Day: a strategy to monitor health and nutrition indicators. Bull World Health Organ. 2008;86:474-9.

24. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Sistema de Informação do Programa Nacional de Imunizações. Campanha nacional de vacinação contra poliomielite 2012 [homepage on the Internet] [cited 2012 Aug 22]. Available from: http://pni.datasus.gov.br/consultapoli/12_selecao.asp?enviar=ok&sel=vacinometro&faixa=todos&grupo=todos&uf=PR&munici=410940
25. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde – DATASUS Informações de saúde. Nascidos vivos – Paraná [homepage on the Internet] [cited 2013 Nov 11]. Available from: http://tabnet.datasus.gov.br/cgi/tabcgi.exe?sinasc/cnv/nvpr.def

26. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Secretaria de Atenção à Saúde. Departamento de Atenção Básica. Dez passos para uma alimentação saudável: Guia alimentar para crianças menores de dois anos: um guia para o profissional da saúde na atenção básica. 2nd ed. Brasília: Ministério da Saúde; 2013.

27. Saldiva SR, Escuder MM, Mondini L, Levy RB, Venancio SI. Feeding habits of children aged 6 to 12 months and associated maternal factors. J Pediatr (Rio J). 2007;83:53‑8.

28. Carvalho CA, Fonsêca PC, Nobre LN, Silva MA, Pessoa MC, Ribeiro AQ, et al. Sociodemographic, perinatal and behavioral factors associated to types of milk consumed in six months children under: Birth cohort. Ciênc Saúde Coletiva [serial on the Internet]. 2016 Abril. [cited 2012 Aug 22]. Available from: http://www.cienciaesaudecoletiva.com.br/artigos/fatores‑sociodemograficos‑perinatais‑e‑comportamentais‑associados‑aos‑tipos‑de‑leite‑consumidos‑por‑criancas‑menores‑de‑seis‑meses‑coorte‑de‑nascimento/15586?id=15586&id=15586&id=15586&id=15586&id=15586&id=15586&id=15586

29. Simon VG, Souza JM, Souza SB. Introduction of complementary foods and its relation with demographic and socioeconomic variables during the first year of life of children born in a University Hospital in the city of São Paulo. Rev Bras Epidemiol. 2003;6:29‑38.

30. Camilo DF, Carvalho RV, Oliveira EF, Moura EC. Breastfeeding prevalence among children less than two years old immunized in primary health care school services. Rev Nutr. 2004;17:29‑36.