Increased Risk of Diabetes Development in Subjects with the Hypertriglyceridemic Waist Phenotype: A 4-Year Longitudinal Study
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Background: The hypertriglyceridemic waist (HTGW) phenotype is a simple and inexpensive screening parameter to identify people at increased risk of cardiovascular disease. We evaluated whether the HTGW phenotype predicts diabetes in urban Korean adults.

Methods: A total of 2,900 nondiabetic subjects (mean age 44.3 years), comprising 2,078 males (71.7%) and 822 females (28.3%) who underwent annual medical check-ups at our center between January 2005 and December 2009, were recruited. The subjects were divided into four groups according to baseline serum triglyceride (TG) level and waist circumference (WC): normal WC-normal TG (NWNT) level, normal WC-high TG level, enlarged WC-normal TG level, and enlarged WC-high TG (EWHT) level. High serum TG level was defined as $\geq 150$ mg/dL and enlarged WC was defined as $\geq 90$ cm for men and $\geq 85$ cm for women. New cases of diabetes were determined according to questionnaires filled in by participants and the diagnostic criteria of the American Diabetes Association. Cox proportional hazards model analysis was used to assess the association of HTGW phenotype with the incidence of diabetes.

Results: A total of 101 (3.5%) new diabetes cases were diagnosed during the study period. The EWHT group had a higher incidence of diabetes (8.3%) compared with the NWNT group (2.2%). The adjusted hazard ratio for diabetes for subjects with the EWHT phenotype at baseline was 4.113 (95% confidence interval [CI], 2.397 to 7.059) after adjustment for age, and 2.429 (95% CI, 1.370 to 4.307) after adjustment for age, sex, total cholesterol, systolic blood pressure, and alcohol drinking history. It was attenuated by inclusion of baseline fasting glucose level in the model.

Conclusion: Subjects with the HTGW phenotype showed the highest risk of incident diabetes. This tool could be useful for identifying individuals at high risk of diabetes.
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INTRODUCTION

Diabetes has become one of the major public-health challenges worldwide, owing to a westernized lifestyle [1]. Diabetes and its complications have become a major cause of morbidity and mortality in most developing and developed countries. Recently, the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) estimated that in 2011 there were 366 million people worldwide with diabetes, and predicted that this figure will rise to 552 million by 2030 [1]. As diabetes becomes more prevalent, its social and economic costs will become one of the major problems for patients and society [2,3]. To slow the markedly increasing rate of diabetes, it is important to identify and treat risk factors for diabetes.

The pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is heterogeneous, and both genetic factors affecting insulin release and responsiveness and environmental factors, such as obesity, are important for its development. In 1988, Reaven [4] proposed that insulin resistance is a fundamental disorder associated with a cluster of diabetogenic, atherogenic, prothrombotic, and inflammatory metabolic abnormalities now called metabolic syndrome (MetS). Recently, the criteria for MetS from the National Cholesterol Education Program-Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP-ATP III) [5] and IDF [6] are most widely used as screening tools to identify subjects with features of MetS. The MetS definition proposed by the IDF acknowledges the importance of central obesity in MetS by making it a required component for clinical diagnosis.

As waist circumference (WC) cannot fully discriminate visceral adiposity from subcutaneous abdominal adiposity, elevated triglyceride (TG) levels have been adopted as a marker of dysfunctional visceral adipose tissue [7-9]. Lemieux et al. [9] were the first group to recognize that the hypertriglyceridemia plus large WC (hypertriglyceridemic waist, HTGW) phenotype is associated with increased cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk. In particular, the HTGW is associated with the atherogenic triad of hyperinsulinemia, elevated concentrations of apolipoprotein B and small, dense low density lipoprotein cholesterol (sdLDL-C) particles. Lemieux et al. [9] also suggested that visceral obesity and the HTGW phenotype are the central components of MetS. Recent evidence now indicates that MetS begins with excess central adiposity [10]. Therefore, the HTGW phenotype could be used as a simple and inexpensive screening tool to identify people at increased risk of MetS, T2DM, and CVD [9,11-14].

As most of previous studies were performed in only Caucasians, we designed this study to compare the risk for diabetes development among the groups divided by HTGW phenotypes with a median follow-up of 48.7 months in a large cohort of nondiabetic Korean subjects who participated in a medical check-up program.

METHODS

Subjects

This was a retrospective study, and the subjects were participants in the Kangbuk Samsung Health Study, a large database of participants in a medical health check-up program at the Health Promotion Center of Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea. The purpose of the medical health check-up program is to promote the health of employees through regular health check-ups and to enhance early detection of existing diseases. Most of the examinees are employees of various industrial companies from all around the country and their family members. The costs of the medical examinations are largely paid for by their employers, and a considerable proportion of the examinees undergo examinations annually or biannually.

Initial data were obtained from 10,868 subjects in whom annual health check-ups were performed for 5 consecutive years between January 2005 and December 2009. Among these subjects, 7,968 were excluded due to the presence of diabetes or missing data, especially for WC and lipid profiles. The final analyses were performed in 2,900 subjects (2,078 men [71.7%] and 822 women [28.3%]) with a mean age of 44.3 years.

The participants provided their written informed consent for the usage of the medical check-up data for the research. The design, protocol and the consent procedure of this study were reviewed and approved by Institutional Review Board of Kangbuk Samsung Hospital (KBS12089) and is in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975.

Anthropometric and laboratory measurements

Height and weight were measured twice and then averaged. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing the weight (kg) by the square of the height (m). Blood pressure (BP) was measured using a standardized sphygmomanometer after 5 minutes of rest. The WC was measured in the standing position, at the middle point between anterior iliac crest and the lower border of the ribs by a single examiner. Values for WC were only available for 2,900 subjects due to inconsistency of the measurement method.

All of the subjects were examined after an overnight fast. The
hexokinase method was used to measure fasting glucose concentrations (Hitachi Modular D2400, Roche, Tokyo, Japan). Fasting serum insulin concentrations were determined by electrochemiluminescence immunoassay (Hitachi Modular E170, Roche). An enzymatic caloriemetric test was used to measure serum total cholesterol (TC) and TG concentrations. The selective inhibition method was used to measure the level of high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), and a homogeneous enzymatic caloriemetric test was used to measure the level of LDL-C. HbA1c was measured by immunoturbidimetric assay with a Cobra Integra 800 automatic analyzer (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland) with a reference value of 4.4% to 6.4%. The methodology was aligned with the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial and National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program (NGSP) standards [15]. The intra-assay coefficient of variation (CV) was 2.3% and the interassay CV was 2.4%; both were within the NGSP acceptable limits [16].

Subjects with underlying diabetes at baseline were excluded from the study. The presence of diabetes mellitus was determined according to questionnaires filled in by participants and the diagnostic criteria of the American Diabetes Association [17]. Development of diabetes was assessed in each year’s examination with the same diagnostic criteria for diabetes mellitus. HOMA-IR was calculated as follows: HOMA-IR = [fasting insulin (IU/mL) × fasting glucose (mmol/L)]/22.5 [18].

The presence of hypertension was defined by criteria recommended by the seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure (JNC 7) [19]: BP ≥140/90 mm Hg or current antihypertensive medication use. Alcohol drinking was defined by drinking more than three times a week in the self-administered questionnaire.

Diagnosis of MetS was based on two criteria:

1) Modified NCEP-ATP III [5]: three or more of the following components: (1) abdominal obesity: WC ≥90 cm in men, ≥85 cm in women [20]; (2) elevated blood pressure: systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥130 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥85 mm Hg, or treatment for previously diagnosed hypertension; (3) elevated fasting plasma glucose: ≥100 mg/dL (≥5.6 mmol/L) or previously diagnosed type 2 diabetes; (4) hypertriglyceridemia: TG ≥150 mg/dL (≥1.7 mmol/L); (4) reduced HDL-C: <40 mg/dL (<1.03 mmol/L) in men and <50 mg/dL (<1.29 mmol/L) in women.

HTGW was diagnosed using anthropometric criteria for the Korean population [19] (WC: ≥90 cm for men and ≥85 cm for women) and fasting plasma TG ≥150 mg/dL.

According to the above criteria, participants were divided into four groups: 1) normal WC, normal TG (NWNT): WC <90 cm in men, WC <85 cm in women, and TG <150 mg/dL; 2) normal WC, high TG (NWHT): WC <90 cm in men, WC <85 cm in women, and TG ≥150 mg/dL; 3) enlarged WC, normal TG (EWNW): WC ≥90 cm in men, WC ≥85 cm in women, and TG <150 mg/dL; 4) enlarged WC, high TG (EWHT): WC ≥90 cm in men, WC ≥85 cm in women, and TG ≥150 mg/dL.

**Statistical analysis**

All data were analyzed using SPSS version 18.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA). Comparisons of the variables among the four groups divided by baseline TG level and WC were performed by one-way analysis of variance. Data that did not follow a normal distribution were analyzed after logarithmic transformation. Comparisons of adjusted hazard ratios (aHRs) for incident diabetes in the four groups divided by baseline TG level and WC were analyzed by Cox proportional hazards model analysis after adjustment for confounding baseline variables. Kaplan-Meier survival analyses were performed for incident diabetes for 4 years according to baseline TG level and WC. Statistical significance was defined as P<0.05.

**RESULTS**

**Study population and baseline characteristics**

The mean age of the participants was 44.3 years (Table 1). At baseline, 1,790 subjects (61.7%) were in the NWNT group, 615 (21.2%) in the NWHT group, 255 (8.8%) in the EWNW group, and 240 (8.3%) in the EWHT group.

Anthropometric characteristics and metabolic risk profiles of subjects with or without MetS defined by NCEP-ATP III criteria, IDF criteria, and HTGW phenotype are presented in Table 2. The prevalence of MetS defined by NCEP-ATP III criteria, IDF criteria, and HTGW phenotype was 21.0%, 10.9%, and 8.3%, respectively. Values for adiposity indices (BMI and WC)
Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Participants in the Four Groups Divided by Waist Circumference and Serum Triglyceride Levels (n=2,900)

| Characteristic       | All (n=6,615) | NWNT (n=1,790) | NWHT (n=2,078) | EWNT (n=2,928) | EWH (n=2,928) | P value |
|----------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Age, yr              | 44.3±6.5      | 44.3±6.6       | 44.1±5.7       | 45.5±7.9       | 43.8±5.7       | <0.01   |
| Male sex             | 2,078 (71.7)  | 1,106 (61.8)   | 531 (86.3)     | 209 (82.0)     | 232 (96.7)     | <0.01   |
| BMI, kg/m²           | 23.8±2.9      | 22.6±2.4       | 24.1±2.1       | 27.0±2.1       | 27.6±2.2       | <0.01   |
| Body weight, kg      | 67.4±11.0     | 63.2±9.4       | 69.2±8.4       | 78.6±8.6       | 81.9±7.9       | <0.01   |
| WC, cm               | 80.9±9.0      | 76.9±7.5       | 82.3±5.5       | 93.1±4.0       | 940.3±3.9      | <0.01   |
| SBP, mm Hg           | 112.7±14.6    | 110.6±14.1     | 114.8±14.2     | 116.4±15.0     | 118.5±15.3     | <0.01   |
| DBP, mm Hg           | 76.5±10.3     | 74.6±10.1      | 78.6±9.7       | 79.4±10.2      | 81.9±10.3      | <0.01   |
| FBS, mg/dL           | 95.7±8.7      | 94.4±8.5       | 96.8±8.5       | 98.0±8.5       | 998.9±3.9      | <0.01   |
| TC, mg/dL            | 194.5±33.3    | 188.3±30.3     | 206.8±36.9     | 193.3±30.0     | 210.6±34.7     | <0.01   |
| TGs, mg/dL           | 133.1±84.1    | 91.0±28.2      | 224.1±91.0     | 108.3±25.3     | 240.3±25.3     | <0.01   |
| HDL-C, mg/dL         | 53.0±12.0     | 56.1±12.3      | 47.6±9.3       | 50.3±10.0      | 46.4±8.4       | <0.01   |
| LDL-C, mg/dL         | 112.2±27.6    | 109.2±25.4     | 115.6±31.9     | 118.2±26.7     | 119.6±29.2     | <0.01   |
| HbA1c, %             | 5.43±0.3      | 5.40±0.3       | 5.44±0.3       | 5.47±0.3       | 5.50±0.3       | <0.01   |
| Fasting insulin, μIU/mL | 8.80±3.4    | 8.0±2.8        | 9.4±3.7        | 10.3±3.3       | 11.8±3.8       | <0.01   |
| HOMA-IR              | 2.10±0.89     | 1.87±0.71      | 2.25±0.97      | 2.51±0.86      | 2.94±1.10      | <0.01   |
| No. of subjects who developed diabetes | 101 (3.5) | 39 (2.2) | 25 (4.1) | 17 (6.7) | 20 (8.3) | <0.01 |

Values are expressed as mean±SD or number (%).
NWNT, normal waist with normal plasma triglyceride level; NWHT, normal waist with hypertriglyceridemia; EWNT, enlarged waist with normal plasma triglyceride level; EWH, enlarged waist with hypertriglyceridemia; BMI, body mass index; WC, waist circumference; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FBS, fasting blood glucose; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; HDL-C, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein cholesterol; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment-insulin resistance.

were higher in subjects satisfying the MetS criteria compared with subjects with the HTGW phenotype, irrespective of the definition used (P<0.01). Subjects with the HTGW phenotype displayed worse lipid profiles, including lower HDL-C levels, higher TG levels, and higher fasting glucose concentrations, compared with subjects without this phenotype (P<0.05).

Similar results were shown in subjects with MetS defined by NCEP-ATP III and IDF criteria (P<0.01). The lipid profiles showed similar findings among subjects who met the NCEP-ATP III and IDF criteria and who had the HTGW phenotype.

Hazard ratios for diabetes according to the groups divided by baseline WC and TG level
During a median follow-up of 48.7 months, 101 subjects (3.5%) developed diabetes. Incidence rates for diabetes were 2.2%, 4.1%, 6.7%, and 8.3% in the NWNT, NWHT, EWNT, and EWH groups, respectively (Table 1).

In a Cox proportional hazards model with diabetes development as the dependent variable, EWHT subjects had an aHR of 4.113 (95% confidence interval [CI], 2.397 to 7.059), EWH

Fig. 1. Cumulative disease-free survival for diabetes in the four groups divided by waist circumference and serum triglyceride level. NWNT, normal waist, normal triglyceride level; NWHT, normal waist, hypertriglyceridemia; EWNT, enlarged waist, normal triglyceride level; EWH, enlarged waist, hypertriglyceridemia.
subjects an aHR of 2.866 (95% CI, 1.616 to 5.083), and NWHT subjects an aHR of 1.942 (95% CI, 1.175 to 3.211) for diabetes after adjustment for age, with the NWNT group as the reference group (Table 3). Similar results were observed in model 2 (adjusted for age, sex, TC, SBP, and alcohol drinking history). In model 2, the aHR for diabetes was 2.429 (95% CI, 1.370 to 4.307) in the EWHT group, 2.390 (95% CI, 1.346 to 4.245) in the EWNT group and 1.328 (95% CI, 0.783 to 2.251) in the NWHT group, with the NWNT group as the reference group. When baseline fasting glucose level was also included in the model (model 3), the risks were attenuated, with an aHR of 2.251 in the EWNT group and 1.569 in the EWHT group (Table 3). There was no interaction between serum TG level and waist circumference when baseline fasting glucose level was included.

In a Kaplan-Meier disease-free survival analysis, the EWHT group showed the lowest disease-free survival for diabetes.

### Table 2. Comparisons of Metabolic Parameters between Groups without or with Metabolic Syndrome and HTGW Criteria (n=2,900)

| Parameter | NCEP-ATP III | IDF | HTGW phenotype |
|-----------|--------------|-----|----------------|
|           | Without (n=2,292) | With (n=608) | P value | Without (n=2,584) | With (n=316) | P value | Without (n=2,660) | With (n=240) | P value |
| Age, yr   | 44.2±6.5 | 44.7±6.6 | 0.075 | 44.3±6.5 | 44.5±6.9 | 0.697 | 44.4±6.6 | 43.8±5.7 | 0.160 |
| Male sex  | 1,511 (65.9) | 567 (93.3) | <0.01 | 1,782 (69.0) | 296 (93.7) | <0.01 | 1,846 (69.4) | 232 (96.7) | <0.01 |
| BMI, kg/m² | 23.1±2.5 | 26.3±2.6 | <0.01 | 23.3±2.5 | 27.7±2.3 | <0.01 | 23.4±2.6 | 27.6±2.2 | <0.01 |
| Body weight, kg | 64.9±10.0 | 76.7±9.4 | <0.01 | 65.6±10.0 | 81.7±8.1 | <0.01 | 66.1±10.3 | 81.9±7.9 | <0.01 |
| WC, cm    | 78.7±8.3 | 88.8±6.9 | <0.01 | 79.3±8.1 | 94.0±3.9 | <0.01 | 79.7±8.4 | 94.0±3.9 | <0.01 |
| SBP, mm Hg | 110.4±13.7 | 121.2±14.8 | <0.01 | 111.7±14.2 | 120.7±15.5 | <0.01 | 112.1±14.4 | 118.5±15.3 | <0.01 |
| DBP, mm Hg | 74.7±9.6 | 83.4±10.0 | <0.01 | 75.7±10.0 | 83.0±10.5 | <0.01 | 76.0±10.2 | 81.9±10.3 | <0.01 |
| FBS, mg/dL | 94.0±7.9 | 102.2±8.7 | <0.01 | 95.0±8.5 | 101.1±9.1 | <0.01 | 95.3±8.6 | 99.8±9.3 | <0.01 |
| TC, mg/dL | 192.4±32.3 | 202.1±35.7 | <0.01 | 193.4±33.0 | 202.9±34.4 | <0.01 | 193.0±32.8 | 210.6±34.7 | <0.01 |
| TGs, mg/dL | 112.4±60.0 | 211.3±111.3 | <0.01 | 124.4±76.3 | 203.9±108.1 | <0.01 | 123.4±74.7 | 240.3±104.6 | <0.01 |
| HDL-C, mg/dL | 55.0±12.0 | 45.3±8.1 | <0.01 | 53.9±12.1 | 45.7±8.1 | <0.01 | 53.6±12.1 | 46.4±8.4 | <0.01 |
| LDL-C, mg/dL | 111.3±27.0 | 115.7±29.7 | <0.01 | 111.5±27.5 | 117.8±28.2 | <0.01 | 111.5±27.4 | 119.6±29.2 | <0.01 |
| HbA1c, % | 5.41±0.3 | 5.5±0.3 | <0.01 | 5.41±0.3 | 5.52±0.4 | <0.01 | 5.42±0.3 | 5.52±0.3 | <0.01 |
| HOMA-IR | 1.92±0.75 | 2.77±1.04 | <0.01 | 1.99±0.80 | 2.97±1.10 | <0.01 | 2.02±0.83 | 2.94±1.10 | <0.01 |
| Fasting insulin, μIU/mL | 8.2±3.0 | 10.9±3.8 | <0.01 | 8.4±3.1 | 11.8±3.8 | <0.01 | 8.5±3.2 | 11.8±3.8 | <0.01 |

Values are expressed as mean±SD or number (%).

NCEP-ATP III, National Cholesterol Education Program-Adult Treatment Panel III; IDF, International Diabetes Federation; HTGW, hypertriglyceridemic waist; BMI, body mass index; WC, waist circumference; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FBS, fasting blood glucose; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; HDL-C, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein cholesterol; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment-insulin resistance.

### Table 3. Adjusted Hazard Ratios for Diabetes among the Four Groups Divided by Waist Circumference and Serum Triglyceride Level

| Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 |
|---------|---------|---------|
| NWNT    | 1.00    | 1.328 (0.783–2.251) | 1.392 (0.765–2.228) |
| NWHT    | 1.942 (1.175–3.211) | 2.390 (1.346–4.245) | 2.251 (1.195–3.815) |
| EWNT    | 2.866 (1.161–5.083) | 2.429 (1.370–4.307) | 1.569 (0.817–2.602) |
| EWHT    | 4.113 (2.397–7.059) | 2.429 (1.370–4.307) | 1.569 (0.817–2.602) |

Model 1 was adjusted for age. Model 2 was adjusted for age, sex, total cholesterol, systolic blood pressure, and alcohol drinking history. Model 3 was adjusted for the factors adjusted for in model 2 plus fasting glucose level at baseline.

NWNT, normal waist, normal triglyceride level; NWHT, normal waist, hypertriglyceridemia; EWNT, enlarged waist, normal triglyceride level; EWHT, enlarged waist, hypertriglyceridemia.
DISCUSSION

In this study, the EWHT group showed a significantly increased risk of diabetes development compared with the NWNT group during a median of 48.7 months of follow-up. In a Cox proportional hazards model, the EWHT group showed a significantly higher aHR for diabetes compared with the NWNT group, with a 4.1-fold increased risk in model 1 and a 2.4-fold increased risk in model 2. However, when baseline fasting glucose was included in the model, these effects were attenuated, suggesting the importance of fasting glucose in the development of diabetes. High TG levels and enlarged WC could have affected diabetes development through development of insulin resistance.

It is known that adipose tissue is not only an accumulation of fat cells but is also an active endocrine organ that secretes various adipocytokines that influence energy expenditure and metabolism in our body [21]. Furthermore, where adipose tissue is deposited affects metabolic function more than the actual amount. For example, visceral fat is the starting point for insulin resistance as it is more prone to lipolysis and releases free fatty acids into the circulation, whereas subcutaneous fat is known to protect against insulin resistance and obesity [22].

MetS is a cluster of various risk factors for CVDs [23]. Although multiple risk factors are included in the definition of MetS, the concept of MetS stems from insulin resistance and abdominal obesity. However, obesity is remarkably heterogeneous. Some very obese patients have a fairly normal metabolic risk profile, despite their obesity. On the other hand, some moderately overweight individuals have a whole cluster of atherogenic and diabetogenic metabolic abnormalities. The recent concept of ‘metabolically healthy obesity’ refers to the subgroup of obese subjects who are metabolically healthy and protected from CVDs [24-26].

In 2000, Lemieux et al. [8] suggested the concept of the ‘HTGW’ phenotype as the simultaneous presence of an elevated fasting TG level and an enlarged WC. They found that a moderately or substantially enlarged WC (WC ≥90 cm but <100 cm and WC >100 cm) in the absence of an elevated TG level was not enough to adequately discriminate men with the metabolic triad (i.e., elevated fasting insulin and apo B concentrations and sdLDL-C particles). Therefore, they concluded that an enlarged WC alone is not enough to identify men with the atherogenic metabolic triad and that considering the presence of a hypertriglyceridemia could further improve the screening procedure. They suggested that the identification of subjects with the HTGW phenotype improves the selection of subjects at high risk of metabolic disturbances, insulin resistance and subsequent CVD [9]. However, we found that high TG level and enlarged WC independently increased the risk of diabetes, since there was no interaction between those two factors for the development of diabetes.

Few studies have investigated the relationship between diabetes development and the HTGW phenotype in Asian populations. In a very recent retrospective study performed in 687 Chinese who were followed up for 15 years, the HWHT phenotype was associated with a 4.1-fold increased risk of diabetes, and presence of MetS was associated with a 3.7-fold increased risk of diabetes. In addition, for the population without elevated fasting plasma glucose levels, a multivariate analysis showed that the HTGW phenotype was associated with a 3.9-fold increased risk of diabetes and that presence of MetS was associated with a 3.7-fold increased risk of diabetes [27]. This is in line with our study results in that subjects with high WCs and hypertriglyceridemia showed a higher risk of diabetes compared with subjects with normal WCs and normal serum TG levels, suggesting the importance of visceral obesity and insulin resistance assessed by this simple and inexpensive method. However, when fasting glucose was included in the model, the risks were attenuated. As the previous study performed in Chinese did not analyze the results with adjustment for fasting blood glucose, our result is more reliable regarding the association between the HTGW phenotype and diabetes development.

Our study has limitations. As it is observational, the precise mechanism for the results could not be fully explained. The lack of post-challenge glucose levels in the diagnosis of diabetes could have biased the true proportion of diabetes patients. However, as we included HbA1c ≥6.5% and history of diabetes medication use in the definition of diabetes, we had sufficient power to exclude subjects with diabetes [28]. Despite these limitations, the study is meaningful in that it is the first retrospective study regarding this issue performed in a large Korean urban population.

In conclusion, the risk of diabetes development was higher in EWHT subjects compared with NWNT subjects. We should make efforts to improve the metabolic health of these high-risk subjects, and to initiate early intensive lifestyle modification in...
these subjects, as simple weight loss might not be the optimal solution for them.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

REFERENCES

1. Whiting DR, Guariguata L, Weil C, Shaw J. IDF diabetes atlas: global estimates of the prevalence of diabetes for 2011 and 2030. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2011;94:311-21.
2. Lee KW. Costs of diabetes mellitus in Korea. Diabetes Metab J 2011;35:567-70.
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2011 National diabetes fact sheet [Internet]. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2011 [updated 2014 Jul 7; cited 2014 Aug 26]. Available from: http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/pubs/factsheet11.htm.
4. Reaven GM. Banting lecture 1988. Role of insulin resistance in human disease. Diabetes 1988;37:1595-607.
5. Assmann G, Guerra R, Fox G, Cullen P, Schulte H, Willett D, Grundy SM. Harmonizing the definition of the metabolic syndrome: comparison of the criteria of the Adult Treatment Panel III and the International Diabetes Federation in United States American and European populations. Am J Cardiol 2007;99:541-8.
6. Alberti KG, Zimmet P, Shaw J; IDF Epidemiology Task Force Consensus Group. The metabolic syndrome: a new worldwide definition. Lancet 2005;366:1059-62.
7. Sam S, Haffner S, Davidson MH, D’Agostino RB Sr, Feinstein S, Kondos G, Perez A, Mazzone T. Hypertriglyceridemic waist phenotype predicts increased visceral fat in subjects with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2009;32:1916-20.
8. Lemieux I, Poirier P, Bergeron J, Despres JP. Prevalence of ‘hypertriglyceridemic waist’ in men who participated in the Quebec Health Survey: association with atherogenic and diabetogenic metabolic risk factors. Can J Cardiol 2002;18:725-32.
9. NGSP. List of NGSP certified methods [Internet]. [place unknown]: NGSP; c2010 [cited 2012 Nov 26]. Available from: http://www.ngsp.org/docs/methods.pdf.
10. Schwartz KL, Monsur JC, Bartoces MG, West PA, Neale AV. Correlation of same-visit HbA1c test with laboratory-based measurements: a MetroNet study. BMC Fam Pract 2005;6:28.
11. Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo JL Jr, Jones DW, Materson BJ, Oparil S, Wright JT Jr, Roccella EJ; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure; National High Blood Pressure Education Program Coordinating Committee. The Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure: the JNC 7 report. JAMA 2003;289:2560-72.
DY, Kwon HS, Kim SR, Lee CB, Oh SJ, Park CY, Yoo HJ. Appropriate waist circumference cutoff points for central obesity in Korean adults. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2007;75:72-80.

21. Galic S, Oakhill JS, Steinberg GR. Adipose tissue as an endocrine organ. Mol Cell Endocrinol 2010;316:129-39.

22. Despres JP, Lemieux I. Abdominal obesity and metabolic syndrome. Nature 2006;444:881-7.

23. Samson SL, Garber AJ. Metabolic syndrome. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am 2014;43:1-23.

24. Bluher M. Are there still healthy obese patients? Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes 2012;19:341-6.

25. Hamer M, Stamatakis E. Metabolically healthy obesity and risk of all-cause and cardiovascular disease mortality. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2012;97:2482-8.

26. Rhee EJ, Seo MH, Kim JD, Jeon WS, Park SE, Park CY, Oh KW, Park SW, Lee WY. Metabolic health is more closely associated with coronary artery calcification than obesity. PLoS One 2013;8:e74564.

27. He S, Zheng Y, Shu Y, He J, Wang Y, Chen X. Hypertriglyceridemic waist might be an alternative to metabolic syndrome for predicting future diabetes mellitus. PLoS One 2013;8:e73292.

28. Alqahtani N, Khan WA, Alhumaidi MH, Ahmed YA. Use of glycated hemoglobin in the diagnosis of diabetes mellitus and pre-diabetes and role of fasting plasma glucose, oral glucose tolerance test. Int J Prev Med 2013;4:1025-9.