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1 Introduction

Let \( S = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\} \) be a set of distinct positive integers, and let \( f \) be an arithmetical function. Let \((S)_f\) denote the \( n \times n \) matrix having \( f((x_i, x_j)) \), the image of the greatest common divisor of \( x_i \) and \( x_j \), as its \( ij \) entry. Analogously, let \([S]_f\) denote the \( n \times n \) matrix having \( f([x_i, x_j]) \), the image of the least common multiple of \( x_i \) and \( x_j \), as its \( ij \) entry. That is, \((S)_f = (f((x_i, x_j)))\) and \([S]_f = (f([x_i, x_j]))\). The matrices \((S)_f\) and \([S]_f\) are referred to as the GCD and LCM matrices on \( S \) associated with \( f \), respectively. The set \( S \) is said to be GCD-closed if \((x_i, x_j) \in S\) whenever \( x_i, x_j \in S \), and the set \( S \) is said to be factor-closed if it contains every divisor of \( x \) for any \( x \in S \). Clearly every factor-closed set is GCD-closed but the converse does not hold.

In 1875 Smith \[30\] calculated \( \det(S)_f \) when \( S \) is factor-closed and \( \det([S]_f) \) in a more special case. Since then a large number of results on GCD and LCM matrices have been presented in the literature. See, for example \[2, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 22\]. Haukkanen \[8\] generalized the concept of a GCD matrix into a meet matrix and later Korkee and Haukkanen \[18\] did the same with the concepts of LCM and join matrices. These generalizations happen as follows.

Let \((P, \preceq)\) be a locally finite lattice, let \( S = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\} \) be a subset of \( P \) and let \( f \) be a complex-valued function on \( P \). The \( n \times n \) matrix \((S)_f = (f(x_i \wedge x_j))\) is called the meet matrix on \( S \) associated with \( f \) and the \( n \times n \) matrix \([S]_f = (f(x_i \vee x_j))\) is called the join matrix on \( S \) associated with \( f \). If \((P, \preceq) = (\mathbb{Z}^+, \mid)\), then meet and join matrices become respectively ordinary GCD and LCM matrices. However, some additional assumptions regarding the lattice \((P, \preceq)\) are still needed and we analyse these in Section 2.

The properties of meet and join matrices have been studied by many authors (see, e.g., \[3, 8, 10, 13, 15, 18, 20, 21, 23, 25, 27, 28\]). Haukkanen \[8\] calculated the determinant of \((S)_f\) on an arbitrary set \( S \) and obtained the inverse of \((S)_f\) on a lower-closed set \( S \) and Korkee and Haukkanen \[17\] obtained the inverse of \((S)_f\) on a meet-closed set \( S \). Korkee and Haukkanen \[18\] present, among others, formulas for the determinant and inverse of \([S]_f\) on meet-closed, join-closed, lower-closed and upper-closed sets \( S \).

Most recently, Altinisik, Tuglu and Haukkanen \[4\] generalized the concepts of meet and join matrices and defined meet and join matrices on two sets. Later these matrices were also treated in \[19\]. Next we present the same definitions.

Let \( X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\} \) and \( Y = \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n\} \) be two subsets of \( P \). We define the meet matrix on \( X \) and \( Y \) with respect to \( f \) as \((X,Y)_f = (f(x_i \wedge y_j))\). In particular, when \( S = X = Y = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\} \), we have \((S,S)_f = (S)_f\). Analogously, we define the join matrix on \( X \) and \( Y \) with respect to \( f \) as \([X,Y]_f = (f(x_i \vee y_j))\). In particular, \([S,S]_f = [S]_f\).
In [4] the authors presented formulas for the determinant and the inverse of the matrix \((X, Y)_f\). Applying these formulas they derived similar formulas for the matrix \([X, Y]_f\) with respect to semimultiplicative functions \(f\) with \(f(x) \neq 0\) for all \(x \in P\). The cases when \(f\) is not semimultiplicative or \(f(x) = 0\) for some \(x \in P\), however, were excluded from the examination. In this paper we give formulas that can also be used in these circumstances. We go through the same examinations presented in [4] but this time dually from the point of view of the matrix \([X, Y]_f\). That is we present expressions for the determinant and the inverse of \([X, Y]_f\) on arbitrary sets \(X\) and \(Y\). In the case when \(X = Y = S\) we obtain a determinant formula for \([S]_f\) and a formula for the inverse of \([S]_f\) on arbitrary set \(S\). We also derive formulas for the special cases when \(S\) is join-closed and upper-closed up to \(\vee S\). Similar kind of determinant formulas for \((S)_f\) and \([S]_f\) have already been presented in [18], although they were obtained and presented by a different approach.

By setting \((P, \preceq) = (\mathbb{Z}^+, \mid)\) we obtain corollaries for LCM matrices, and as another special case we also consider MAX and MIN matrices. In case when \((P, \preceq) = (\mathbb{Z}, \leq)\), where \(\leq\) is the natural ordering of the integers, the MAX and MIN matrices of the set \(S\) are the matrices \([S]_f\) and \((S)_f\) respectively. MAX and MIN matrices have not been addressed before in this context.

2 Preliminaries

In the preceding section we defined the concept of GCD-closed set. Similarly, the set \(S\) is said to be LCM-closed if \([x_i, x_j] \subseteq S\) whenever \(x_i, x_j \in S\). Since the lattice \((\mathbb{Z}^+, \mid)\) does not have a greatest element, we need to define the dual concept for factor-closed set in a more special manner.

**Definition 2.1.** Let \(\text{lcm } S = [x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]\), the least common multiple of all the elements in \(S\). The set \(S\) is said to be multiple-closed up to lcm \(S\) if \(x \in S\) whenever \(y \in S\) and \(x \mid y \mid \text{lcm } S\). In addition, let

\[
M_S = \{y \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid y \mid \text{lcm } S \text{ and } x_i \mid y \text{ for some } x_i \in S\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} [x_i, \text{lcm } S],
\]

where

\[
[x_i, \text{lcm } S] = \{y \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid x_i \mid y \text{ and } y \mid \text{lcm } S\}.
\]

Again, if \(S\) is multiple-closed up to lcm \(S\), then it is also LCM-closed, but an LCM-closed set is not necessarily multiple-closed up to lcm \(S\). Obviously the set \(M_S\) is multiple-closed up to lcm \(S\), but the semilattice \((M_S, \mid)\) also has the advantage of having the greatest element over the lattice \((\mathbb{Z}^+, \mid)\). Next we need corresponding definitions for a more general case.

Let \((P, \preceq)\) be a lattice. The set \(S \subseteq P\) is said to be lower-closed (resp. upper-closed) if for every \(x, y \in P\) with \(x \in S\) and \(y \preceq x\) (resp. \(x \preceq y\),
we have \( y \in S \). The set \( S \) is said to be meet-closed (resp. join-closed) if for every \( x, y \in S \), we have \( x \wedge y \in S \) (resp. \( x \vee y \in S \)).

If every principal order filter of the lattice \((P, \preceq)\) is finite, the methods presented in the following sections can be applied to the lattice \((P, \preceq)\) directly. If the lattice \((P, \preceq)\) does not satisfy this property (which is the case when, for example, \( P = \mathbb{Z}^+ \) and \( \preceq = |\)), it is always possible to carry out the following procedures in an appropriate subsemilattice of \((P, \preceq)\). The most straightforward method is to generalize Definition 2.1, which is done as follows.

**Definition 2.2.** Let \( \forall S = x_1 \vee x_2 \vee \cdots \vee x_n \). The set \( S \) is said to be upper-closed up to \( \forall S \) if \( x \in S \) whenever \( y \in S \), \( y \preceq x \) and \( x \preceq \forall S \). In addition, let

\[
P_S = \{ y \in L \mid y \preceq \forall S \text{ and } x_i \preceq y \text{ for some } x_i \in S \} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} [x_i, \forall S],
\]

where

\[
[x_i, \forall S] = \{ y \in L \mid x_i \preceq y \text{ and } y \preceq \forall S \}.
\]

Another possibility would be to restrict our consideration to \((\langle S \rangle, \preceq)\), the join-subsemilattice of \((P, \preceq)\) generated by the set \( S \). Usually this would also reduce the number of computations needed. For example, the values of the Möbius function of \((\langle S \rangle, \preceq)\) are often much easier to calculate than the values of the Möbius function of \((P_S, \preceq)\) (see [1, Section IV.1]). And if we consider \( S \) as a subset of the meet-subsemilattice generated by itself, the set \( S \) is meet-closed iff it is lower-closed. Similarly, the terms join-closed and upper-closed coincide in the join-subsemilattice \((\langle S \rangle, \preceq)\). This is another benefit of restricting to \((\langle S \rangle, \preceq)\). This method is not, however, very convenient when considering the lattice \((\mathbb{Z}^+, |)\). The Möbius function of \((\langle S \rangle, \preceq)\), where \( S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+ \), has often very little in common with the number-theoretic Möbius function, which would likely cause confusion. For this reason we give our formulas in a form that fits both for the types of lattices defined in **Definition 2.2** and for the lattice \((\langle S \rangle, \preceq)\).

Let \((P, \preceq)\) be a locally finite lattice, and let \( f \) be a complex-valued function on \( P \). Let \( X = \{ x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \} \) and \( Y = \{ y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n \} \) be two subsets of \( P \). Let the elements of \( X \) and \( Y \) be arranged so that \( x_i \preceq x_j \Rightarrow i \leq j \). Let \( D = \{ d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_m \} \) be any subset of \( P \) containing the elements \( x_i \vee y_j \), \( i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \). Let the elements of \( D \) be arranged so that \( d_i \preceq d_j \Rightarrow i \leq j \). Then we define the function \( \Psi_{D,f} \) on \( D \) inductively as

\[
\Psi_{D,f}(d_k) = f(d_k) - \sum_{d_k < d_v} \Psi_{D,f}(d_v) \quad (2.1)
\]

or equivalently

\[
f(d_k) = \sum_{d_k \preceq d_v} \Psi_{D,f}(d_v). \quad (2.2)
\]
Then
\[ \Psi_{D,f}(d_k) = \sum_{d_k \preceq d_v} f(d_v) \mu_D(d_k, d_v), \] (2.3)
where \( \mu_D \) is the Möbius function of the poset \((D, \preceq)\) (see \[31, 3.7.2 \text{Proposition}\]).

If \( D \) is join-closed, then
\[ \Psi_{D,f}(d_k) = \sum_{d_k \preceq z} \sum_{z \preceq w \preceq s} f(w) \mu_{P_D}(z, w), \] (2.4)
where \( \mu_{P_D} \) is the Möbius function of \((P_D, \preceq)\), and if \( D \) is upper-closed up to \( \lor D \), then
\[ \Psi_{D,f}(d_k) = \sum_{d_k \preceq d_v} f(d_v) \mu_{P_D}(d_k, d_v), \] (2.5)
where \( \mu_{P_D} \) is the Möbius function of \((P_D, \preceq)\). The proofs of formulas (2.4) and (2.5) are dual to the proofs presented in \[8\].

**Remark 2.1.** If \( D \) is join-closed, then \( D = \langle D \rangle \) and \( D \) is trivially upper-closed subset of \( \langle D \rangle \) in \( \langle \langle D \rangle \rangle \). Thus in this case we could also replace the \( \mu_D \) in (2.3) and the \( \mu_{P_D} \) in (2.5) by \( \mu_{\langle D \rangle} \).

If \((P, \preceq) = (\mathbb{Z}^+, |)\) and \( D \) is multiple-closed up to lcm \( D \), then \( \mu_D(d_k, d_v) = \mu(d_v/d_k) \) (see \[24, \text{Chapter 7}\]), where \( \mu \) is the number-theoretic Möbius function. In addition, for every \( a \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \) and arithmetical function \( f \) we may define another arithmetical function \( f_a \), where
\[ f_a(n) = f(an) \]
for every \( n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \). Now from (2.3) we get
\[ \Psi_{D,f}(d_k) = \sum_{d_k | d_v} f(d_v) \left( \frac{d_v}{d_k} \right) = \sum_{a | \frac{\text{lcm } D}{d_k}} f(d_k a) \mu(a) \]
\[ = \sum_{a | \frac{\text{lcm } D}{d_k}} (f_{d_k \mu})(a) \right[ \zeta \ast \left( f_{d_k \mu} \right) \left( \frac{\text{lcm } D}{d_k} \right), \] (2.6)
where \( * \) is the Dirichlet convolution of arithmetical functions.

Let \( E(X) = (e_{ij}(X)) \) and \( E(Y) = (e_{ij}(Y)) \) denote the \( n \times m \) matrices defined by
\[ e_{ij}(X) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_i \preceq d_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \] (2.7)
and
\[ e_{ij}(Y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y_i \preceq d_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \] (2.8)
respectively. Clearly \( E(X) \) and \( E(Y) \) also depend on \( D \) but for the sake of brevity \( D \) is omitted from the notation. We also denote
\[ \Lambda_{D,f} = \text{diag}(\Psi_{D,f}(d_1), \Psi_{D,f}(d_2), \ldots, \Psi_{D,f}(d_m)). \] (2.9)
3 A structure theorem

In this section we give a factorization of the matrix \([X, Y]_f = (f(x_i \lor y_j))\). A large number of similar factorizations is presented in the literature, for example in [16] the matrix \([S]_f\) is factorized in case when \(S\) is join-closed. The idea of this kind of factorization may be considered to or iginate from Pólya and Szegő [26].

Theorem 3.1.

\[ [X, Y]_f = E(X)\Lambda_{D,f}^{}E(Y)^T. \]

**Proof.** By (2.2) the \(ij\) entry of \([X, Y]_f\) is

\[ f(x_i \lor y_j) = \sum_{x_i \lor y_j \leq d_v} \Psi_{D,f}(d_v). \]

Now, applying (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9) to (3.2) we obtain Theorem 3.1. \(\square\)

**Remark 3.1.** The sets \(X\) and \(Y\) could be allowed to have distinct cardinalities in Theorems 3.1 and 6.1. However, in other results we must assume that these cardinalities coincide.

4 Determinant formulas

In this section we derive formulas for determinants of join matrices. In Theorem 4.1 we present an expression for \(\det[X, Y]_f\) on arbitrary sets \(X\) and \(Y\). Taking \(X = Y = S = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}\) in Theorem 4.1 we obtain a formula for the determinant of usual join matrices \([S]_f\) on arbitrary set \(S\), and further taking \((P, \preceq) = (\mathbb{Z}^+, |)\) we obtain a formula for the determinant of LCM matrices on arbitrary set \(S\). In Theorems 4.2 and 4.3 respectively, we calculate \(\det[S]_f\) when \(S\) is join-closed and upper-closed up to \(\lor S\). Formulas similar to Theorems 4.2 and 4.3 but by different approach and notations are given in [18].

**Theorem 4.1.** (i) If \(n > m\), then \(\det[X, Y]_f = 0\).

(ii) If \(n \leq m\), then

\[
\det[X, Y]_f = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_n \leq m} \det E(X)_{(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n)} \det E(Y)_{(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n)} \times \Psi_{D,f}(d_{k_1}) \Psi_{D,f}(d_{k_2}) \cdots \Psi_{D,f}(d_{k_n}).
\]

**Proof.** By Theorem 3.1

\[ \det[X, Y]_f = \det \left( E(X)\Lambda_{D,f}^{}E(Y)^T \right). \]

Thus by the Cauchy-Binet formula we obtain Theorem 4.1. \(\square\)
Theorem 4.2. If $S$ is join-closed, then
\[
\det[S]_f = \prod_{v=1}^{n} \Psi_{S,f}(x_v) = \prod_{v=1}^{n} \sum_{x_v \leq x_t} f(x_t) \mu_S(x_v, x_t)
\]
\[
= \prod_{v=1}^{n} \sum_{x_v \leq x_t} \sum_{z \leq w \leq \forall S} f(w) \mu_{p_S}(z, w).
\]

Proof. We take $X = Y = S$ in Theorem 4.1. Since $S$ is join-closed, we may further take $\langle D \rangle = D = S$. Then $m = n$ and $\det E(S)_{(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n)} = \det E(S)_{(1, 2, \ldots, n)} = 1$ and so we obtain the first equality in (4.3). The second equality follows from Remark 2.1 and the third from (2.4). \hfill \Box

Remark 4.1. Theorem 4.2 can also be proved by taking $X = Y = S$ and $D = S$ in Theorem 3.1.

Example 4.1. Let $(P, \preceq) = (\mathbb{Z}, \leq)$, where $\leq$ is the natural ordering of the set of integers, and let $S = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$, where $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n$. Let $t \in \mathbb{C}$ and $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$ be such function that $f(k) = k + t$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since the lattice $(\mathbb{Z}, \leq)$ is a chain, the set $S$ is trivially both meet and join-closed. Now it follows from Theorem 4.2 that the determinant of the MAX matrix $[S]_f$ is
\[
\det[S]_f = \prod_{v=1}^{n} \sum_{x_v \leq x_t} f(x_t) \mu_S(x_v, x_t)
\]
\[
= (f(x_1) - f(x_2))(f(x_2) - f(x_3)) \cdots (f(x_{n-1}) - f(x_n)) f(x_n)
\]
\[
= (x_1 - x_2)(x_2 - x_3) \cdots (x_{n-1} - x_n)(x_n + t).
\]

Theorem 4.3. If $S$ is upper-closed up to $\vee S$, then
\[
\det[S]_f = \prod_{v=1}^{n} \Psi_{S,f}(x_v) = \prod_{v=1}^{n} \sum_{x_v \leq x_u} f(x_u) \mu(x_v, x_u).
\]

Proof. The first equality in (4.5) follows from (4.3). The second equality follows from (2.5). \hfill \Box

Example 4.2. Let $(P, \preceq)$, $S$ and $f$ be as in Example 4.1 and let $x_i = x_1 + (i - 1)$ for every $x_i \in S$. Now the set $S$ is clearly upper-closed up to $x_n = x_1 + (n - 1)$ and from Theorem 4.3 we get the determinant of the MAX matrix $[S]_f$ as
\[
\det[S]_f = \prod_{v=1}^{n} \sum_{x_v \leq x_t} f(x_t) \mu(x_v, x_t)
\]
\[
= (f(x_1) - f(x_2))(f(x_2) - f(x_3)) \cdots (f(x_{n-1}) - f(x_n)) f(x_n)
\]
\[
= (-1)^{n-1}(x_n + t).
\]
Corollary 4.1. Let \((P, \preceq) = (\mathbb{Z}^+, |)\), let \(S\) be an LCM-closed set of distinct positive integers, and let \(f\) be an arithmetical function. Then the determinant of the LCM matrix \([S]_f\) is
\[
\det[S]_f = \prod_{v=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{Z} \cap \text{lcm } S \setminus \{x\} \in S \setminus \{x\}}} \left[ \zeta \ast (f, \mu) \right] \left( \frac{\text{lcm } S}{x} \right).
\] (4.7)

Corollary 4.2. Let \((P, \preceq) = (\mathbb{Z}^+, |)\), let \(S\) be a set of distinct positive integers which is multiple-closed up to \(\text{lcm } S\), and let \(f\) be an arithmetical function. Then
\[
\det[S]_f = \prod_{v=1}^{n} \left[ \zeta \ast (f, \mu) \right] \left( \frac{\text{lcm } S}{x_v} \right).
\] (4.8)

5 Inverse formulas

In this section we derive formulas for inverses of join matrices. In Theorem 5.1 we present an expression for the inverse of \([X, Y]_f\) on arbitrary sets \(X\) and \(Y\), and in Theorem 5.2 we present an expression for the inverse of \([S]_f\) on arbitrary set \(S\). Taking \((P, \preceq) = (\mathbb{Z}^+, |)\) we obtain a formula for the inverse of LCM matrices on arbitrary set \(S\). Such formulas for the inverse of join or LCM matrices on an arbitrary set have not previously been presented in the literature. In Theorem 5.3 we calculate the inverse of \([S]_f\) on join-closed set \(S\) and in Theorem 5.4 we cover the case in which \(S\) is upper-closed up to \(\lor \).

Theorem 5.1. Let \(X_i = X \setminus \{x_i\}\) and \(Y_i = Y \setminus \{y_i\}\) for \(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\). If \([X, Y]_f\) is invertible, then the inverse of \([X, Y]_f\) is the \(n \times n\) matrix \(B = (b_{ij})\), where
\[
b_{ij} = \frac{(-1)^{i+j}}{\det[X, Y]_f} \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \ldots < k_{n-1} \leq m} \det E(X_j)_{(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{n-1})} \det E(Y_i)_{(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{n-1})} \times \Psi_{D, f}(d_{k_1}) \Psi_{D, f}(d_{k_2}) \cdots \Psi_{D, f}(d_{k_{n-1}}). \tag{5.1}
\]

Proof. It is well known that
\[
b_{ij} = \frac{\alpha_{ji}}{\det[X, Y]_f}, \tag{5.2}
\]
where \(\alpha_{ji}\) is the cofactor of the \(ji\)-entry of \([X, Y]_f\). It is easy to see that \(\alpha_{ji} = (-1)^{i+j} \det[X_j, Y_i]_f\). By Theorem 4.1 we see that
\[
\det[X_j, Y_i]_f = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \ldots < k_{n-1} \leq m} \det E(X_j)_{(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{n-1})} \det E(Y_i)_{(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{n-1})} \times \Psi_{D, f}(d_{k_1}) \Psi_{D, f}(d_{k_2}) \cdots \Psi_{D, f}(d_{k_{n-1}}). \tag{5.3}
\]

Combining the above equations we obtain Theorem 5.1. \(\square\)
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Theorem 5.2. Let $S_i = S \setminus \{x_i\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. If $[S]_f$ is invertible, then the inverse of $[S]_f$ is the $n \times n$ matrix $B = (b_{ij})$, where

$$b_{ij} = \frac{(-1)^{i+j}}{\det[S]_f} \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_{n-1} \leq m} \det E(S)_f(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{n-1}) \det E(S)_f(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{n-1})$$

$$\times \Psi_{D,f}(d_{k_1}) \Psi_{D,f}(d_{k_2}) \cdots \Psi_{D,f}(d_{k_{n-1}}). \quad (5.4)$$

Proof. Taking $X = Y = S$ in Theorem 5.1 we obtain Theorem 5.2. \Box

Theorem 5.3. Suppose that $S$ is join-closed. If $[S]_f$ is invertible, then the inverse of $[S]_f$ is the $n \times n$ matrix $B = (b_{ij})$, where

$$b_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{i+j}}{\Psi_{S,f}(x_k)} \det E(S_k^i) \det E(S_k^j), \quad (5.5)$$

where $E(S_k^i)$ is the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ submatrix of $E(S)$ obtained by deleting the $i$th row and the $k$th column of $E(S)$, or

$$b_{ij} = \sum_{x_k \prec x_i, x_j} \frac{\mu_S(x_k, x_i) \mu_S(x_k, x_j)}{\Psi_{S,f}(x_k)}, \quad (5.6)$$

where $\mu_S$ is the Möbius function of the poset $(S, \preceq)$.

Proof. Since $S$ is join-closed, we may take $D = S$. Then $E(S)$ is a square matrix with $\det E(S) = 1$. Further, $E(S)$ is the matrix associated with the zeta function of the finite poset $(S, \preceq)$. Thus the inverse of $E(S)$ is the matrix associated with the Möbius function of $(S, \preceq)$, that is, if $U = (u_{ij})$ is the inverse of $E(S)$, then $u_{ij} = \mu_S(x_i, x_j)$, see [1] p. 139. On the other hand, $u_{ij} = \beta_{ij}/\det E(S) = \beta_{ij}$, where $\beta_{ij}$ is the cofactor of the $ij$-entry of $E(S)$. Here $\beta_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det E(S^i_j)$. Thus

$$(-1)^{i+j} \det E(S^i_j) = \mu_S(x_i, x_j). \quad (5.7)$$

Now we apply Theorem 5.2 with $D = S$. Then $m = n$, and using formulas (5.4) and (5.7) we obtain Theorem 5.3. \Box

Remark 5.1. Equation (5.6) can also be proved by taking $X = Y = S$ and $D = S$ in Theorem 5.1 and then applying the formula $[S]_f^{-1} = (E(S)^T)^{-1} A_S^{-1} E(S)^{-1}$.

Example 5.1. Let $(P, \preceq)$, $f$ and $S$ be as in Example 4.1. If $t \neq -x_n$, then the matrix $[S]_f$ is invertible and the inverse of $[S]_f$ is the $n \times n$ tridiagonal matrix $B = (b_{ij})$, where

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } |i-j| > 1, \\
\frac{1}{x_i-x_j} & \text{if } i=j=1, \\
\frac{1}{x_{i-1}-x_i} + \frac{1}{x_i-x_{i+1}} & \text{if } 1<i=j<n, \\
\frac{1}{x_{n-1}-x_n} + \frac{1}{x_n+x+1} & \text{if } i=j=n, \\
\frac{1}{x_i-x_j} & \text{if } |i-j| = 1.
\end{cases}$$
Theorem 5.4. Suppose that \( S \) is upper-closed up to \( \lor S \). If \([S]_f\) is invertible, then the inverse of \([S]_f\) is the \( n \times n \) matrix \( B = (b_{ij}) \) with

\[
b_{ij} = \sum_{x_k \leq x_i \land x_j} \frac{\mu(x_k, x_i)\mu(x_k, x_j)}{\Psi_{S,f}(x_k)},
\]

(5.8)

where \( \mu \) is the Möbius function of \((P, \preceq)\).

Proof. Since \( S \) is upper-closed up to \( \lor S \), we have \( \mu_S = \mu \) on \( S \), (apply [1, Proposition 4.6]). Thus Theorem 5.4 follows from Theorem 5.3. \( \square \)

Example 5.2. Let \((P, \preceq)\), \( f \) and \( S \) be as in Example 4.2. If \( t \neq -x_n \), then the matrix \([S]_f\) is invertible and the inverse of \([S]_f\) is the \( n \times n \) tridiagonal matrix \( B = (b_{ij}) \), where

\[
b_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } |i - j| > 1, \\
-1 & \text{if } i = j = 1, \\
-2 & \text{if } 1 < i = j < n, \\
-1 + \frac{1}{x_n + t} & \text{if } i = j = n, \\
1 & \text{if } |i - j| = 1.
\end{cases}
\]

Corollary 5.1. Let \( S \) be a set of distinct positive integers which is multiple-closed up to \( \text{lcm} S \), and let \( f \) be an arithmetical function. If the LCM matrix \([S]_f\) is invertible, then its inverse is the \( n \times n \) matrix \( B = (b_{ij}) \), where

\[
b_{ij} = \sum_{x_k \mid x_i, x_j} \frac{\mu(x_i/x_k)\mu(x_j/x_k)}{\zeta_* (f_{x_k}\mu)(\text{lcm} S/x_k)}.
\]

(5.9)

Here \( \mu \) is the number-theoretic Möbius function.

6 Formulas for meet matrices

Let \( f \) be a complex-valued function on \( P \). We say that \( f \) is a semimultiplicative function if

\[
f(x)f(y) = f(x \land y)f(x \lor y)
\]

(6.1)

for all \( x, y \in P \) (see [18]).

The notion of a semimultiplicative function arises from the theory of arithmetical functions. Namely, an arithmetical function \( f \) is said to be semimultiplicative if \( f(r)f(s) = f((r, s))f([r, s]) \) for all \( r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \). For semimultiplicative arithmetical functions reference is made to the book by Sivaramakrishnan [29], see also [9]. Note that a semimultiplicative arithmetical function \( f \) with \( f(1) \neq 0 \) is referred to as a quasimultiplicative arithmetical function. Quasimultiplicative arithmetical functions with \( f(1) = 1 \) are the usual multiplicative arithmetical functions.
In this section we show that meet matrices \((X, Y)_f\) with respect to semimultiplicative functions \(f\) possess properties similar to those given for join matrices \([X, Y]_f\) with respect to arbitrary functions \(f\) in Sections 3, 4 and 5. Since there already are several formulas for the determinant and the inverse of the matrix \((X, Y)_f\) (see \([4]\) and \([19]\)), the motivation in deriving new formulas probably needs clarification. The formulas of this section are especially useful when considering the matrix \((S)_f\), where the set \(S\) is either join-closed or upper closed up to \(\lor S\). That is, because in this case the formulas of this section result in shorter and simpler calculations. Throughout this section \(f\) is a semimultiplicative function on \(P\) such that \(f(x) \neq 0\) for all \(x \in P\).

**Theorem 6.1.**

\[
(X, Y)_f = \Delta_{X,f}[X, Y]_1/f \Delta_{Y,f} \tag{6.2}
\]

or

\[
(X, Y)_f = \Delta_{X,f}E(X)\Lambda_{D,1/f}E(Y)^T \Delta_{Y,f}, \tag{6.3}
\]

where

\[
\Delta_{X,f} = \text{diag}(f(x_1), f(x_2), \ldots, f(x_n)) \tag{6.4}
\]

and

\[
\Delta_{Y,f} = \text{diag}(f(y_1), f(y_2), \ldots, f(y_n)). \tag{6.5}
\]

**Proof.** By (6.1) the \(ij\)-entry of \((X, Y)_f\) is

\[
f(x_i \land y_j) = f(x_i) \frac{1}{f(x_i \lor y_j)} f(y_j). \tag{6.6}
\]

We thus obtain (6.2), and applying Theorem 3.1 we obtain (6.3). \(\square\)

From (6.2) we obtain

\[
det((X, Y)_f) = \left( \prod_{v=1}^n f(x_v) f(y_v) \right) \det([X, Y]_1/f) \tag{6.7}
\]

and

\[
(X, Y)_f^{-1} = \Delta_{Y,f}^{-1} [X, Y]_1/f \Delta_{X,f}^{-1}. \tag{6.8}
\]

Now, using (6.7), (6.8) and the formulas of Sections 4 and 5 we obtain formulas for meet matrices.

We first present formulas for the determinant of meet matrices. In Theorem 6.2 we give a formula for \(det((X, Y)_f)\) on arbitrary sets \(X\) and \(Y\). This is an alternative expression that given in \([4]\). In Theorems 6.3 and 6.4 respectively, we calculate \(det(S)_f\) when \(S\) is join-closed and upper-closed up to \(\lor S\).
Theorem 6.2. (i) If \( n > m \), then \( \det(X, Y)_f = 0 \).
(ii) If \( n \leq m \), then
\[
\det(X, Y)_f = \left( \prod_{v=1}^{n} f(x_v)f(y_v) \right) \left( \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \ldots < k_n \leq m} \det E(X)_{(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n)} \det E(Y)_{(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n)} \right)
\times \Psi_{D, 1/f}(d_{k_1}) \Psi_{D, 1/f}(d_{k_2}) \cdots \Psi_{D, 1/f}(d_{k_n})
\tag{6.9}
\]

Theorem 6.3. If \( S \) is join-closed, then
\[
\det(S)_f = \prod_{v=1}^{n} f(x_v)^2 \Psi_{S, 1/f}(x_v) = \prod_{v=1}^{n} f(x_v)^2 \sum_{x_v \leq x_u} \frac{\mu_S(x_v, x_u)}{f(x_u)}
\]
\[
= \prod_{v=1}^{n} f(x_v)^2 \sum_{x_v \leq z} \sum_{x_u \leq S} \frac{\mu(z, w)}{f(w)}
\tag{6.10}
\]

Example 6.1. Let \((P, \preceq) = (\mathbb{Z}, \leq)\), \( t \in \mathbb{C} \) a complex number such that \( t \neq -x_i \) for all \( x_i \in S \) and \( f(x_i) = x_i + t \) for all \( x_i \in S \). Since \((\mathbb{Z}, \leq)\) is a chain, the function \( f \) is trivially semimultiplicative. Now from Theorem 6.3 we get
\[
\det(S)_f = \prod_{v=1}^{n} f(x_v)^2 \sum_{x_v \leq x_u} \frac{\mu_S(x_v, x_u)}{f(x_u)} = \prod_{v=1}^{n} f(x_v)^2 \left( \frac{1}{f(x_v)} - \frac{1}{f(x_{v+1})} \right)
\]
\[
= \prod_{v=1}^{n} f(x_v)^2 \frac{f(x_{v+1}) - f(x_v)}{f(x_v)f(x_{v+1})}
\]
\[
= f(x_1)(f(x_2) - f(x_1))(f(x_3) - f(x_2)) \cdots (f(x_n) - f(x_{n-1}))
\]
\[
= (x_1 + t)(x_2 - x_1)(x_3 - x_2) \cdots (x_n - x_{n-1}).
\]

Theorem 6.4. If \( S \) is upper-closed up to \( \vee S \), then
\[
\det(S)_f = \prod_{v=1}^{n} f(x_v)^2 \Psi_{S, 1/f}(x_v) = \prod_{v=1}^{n} f(x_v)^2 \sum_{x_v \leq x_u} \frac{\mu(x_v, x_u)}{f(x_u)}
\tag{6.11}
\]

Example 6.2. Let \((P, \preceq) = (\mathbb{Z}, \leq)\), \( S = \{x_1, x_1 + 1, x_1 + 2, \ldots, x_1 + n - 1\} \), \( t \in \mathbb{C} \) a complex number such that \( t \neq -x_i \) for all \( x_i \in S \) and \( f(x_i) = x_i + t \) for all \( x_i \in S \). Now it follows from Theorem 6.3 that
\[
\det(S)_f = \prod_{v=1}^{n} f(x_v)^2 \sum_{x_v \leq x_u} \frac{\mu(x_v, x_u)}{f(x_u)} = \prod_{v=1}^{n} f(x_v)^2 \left( \frac{1}{f(x_v)} - \frac{1}{f(x_{v+1})} \right)
\]
\[
= \prod_{v=1}^{n} f(x_v)^2 \frac{f(x_{v+1}) - f(x_v)}{f(x_v)f(x_{v+1})}
\]
\[
= f(x_1)(f(x_2) - f(x_1))(f(x_3) - f(x_2)) \cdots (f(x_n) - f(x_{n-1}))
\]
\[
= (x_1 + t)(-1)^{n-1}.
\]
Corollary 6.1. Let $S$ be an LCM-closed set of distinct positive integers, and let $f$ be a quasimultiplicative arithmetical function such that $f(r) \neq 0$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then
\[
\det(S)_f = \prod_{v=1}^{n} f(x_v)^2 \sum_{x_v | z \mid \lcm S \atop x_v \leq t} \left[ \zeta \left( \frac{\mu}{f(x_v)} \right) \left( \frac{\lcm S}{x_v} \right) \right]. \tag{6.12}
\]

Corollary 6.2. Let $S$ be a set of distinct positive integers which is multiple-closed up to lcm $S$, and let $f$ be a quasimultiplicative arithmetical function such that $f(r) \neq 0$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then
\[
\det(S)_f = \prod_{v=1}^{n} f(x_v)^2 \left[ \zeta \left( \frac{\mu}{f(x_v)} \right) \left( \frac{\lcm S}{x_v} \right) \right]. \tag{6.13}
\]

We next derive formulas for inverses of meet matrices. In Theorem 6.5 we give an expression for the inverse of $(X, Y)_f$ on arbitrary sets $X$ and $Y$, and in Theorem 6.6 we give an expression for the inverse of $(S)_f$ on arbitrary set $S$. Taking $(P, \leq) = (\mathbb{Z}^+, |)$ we could obtain a formula for the inverse of GCD matrices on arbitrary set $S$. In Theorems 6.7 and 6.8 respectively, we calculate the inverse of $(S)_f$ in cases when $S$ is join-closed and upper-closed up to $\forall S$. Formulas similar to Theorems 6.7 and 6.8, although with stronger assumptions, have been presented earlier in [18].

Theorem 6.5. Let $X_i = X \setminus \{x_i\}$ and $Y_i = Y \setminus \{y_i\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. If $[X, Y]_f$ is invertible, then the inverse of $(X, Y)_f$ is the $n \times n$ matrix $B = (b_{ij})$ with
\[
b_{ij} = \frac{(-1)^{i+j}}{f(x_j)f(y_i) \det(X, Y)_f} \left( \prod_{v=1}^{n} f(x_v)f(y_v) \right) \times \left( \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \ldots < k_{n-1} \leq m} \det E(X_j)(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{n-1}) \det E(Y_i)(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{n-1}) \right) \times \Psi_{D, 1/f}(d_{k_1}) \Psi_{D, 1/f}(d_{k_2}) \cdots \Psi_{D, 1/f}(d_{k_{n-1}}). \tag{6.14}
\]

Theorem 6.6. Let $S_i = S \setminus \{x_i\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. If $(S)_f$ is invertible, then the inverse of $(S)_f$ is the $n \times n$ matrix $B = (b_{ij})$ with
\[
b_{ij} = \frac{(-1)^{i+j}}{f(x_i)f(x_j) \det(S)_f} \left( \prod_{v=1}^{n} f(x_v)^2 \right) \times \left( \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \ldots < k_{n-1} \leq m} \det E(S_i)(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{n-1}) \det E(S_j)(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{n-1}) \right) \times \Psi_{D, 1/f}(d_{k_1}) \Psi_{D, 1/f}(d_{k_2}) \cdots \Psi_{D, 1/f}(d_{k_{n-1}}). \tag{6.15}
\]
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Theorem 6.7. Suppose that $S$ is join-closed. If $(S)_f$ is invertible, then the inverse of $(S)_f$ is the $n \times n$ matrix $B = (b_{ij})$ with

$$b_{ij} = \frac{1}{f(x_i)f(x_j)} \sum_{x_k \leq x_i \land x_j} \frac{\mu_S(x_k, x_i) \mu_S(x_k, x_j)}{\Psi_{S,1/f}(x_k)}. \quad (6.16)$$

Here $\mu_S$ is the Möbius function of the poset $(S, \preceq)$.

Example 6.3. By Theorem 6.7 the inverse of the MIN matrix $(S)_f$ in Example 6.1 is the $n \times n$ tridiagonal matrix $B = (b_{ij})$ with

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } |i - j| > 1, \\
\frac{1}{x_2 - x_1} \frac{x_1 + t}{x_1 + 1} + \frac{x_1 + t}{x_1 + 1} & \text{if } i = j = 1, \\
\frac{1}{x_1 + t} \frac{x_1 - x_1}{x_1 + 1} + 1 & \text{if } 1 < i = j < n, \\
\frac{1}{x_n + 1} \frac{x_n - x_{n-1} - 1}{x_n - x_{n-1}} & \text{if } i = j = n, \\
\frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|} & \text{if } |i - j| = 1.
\end{cases}$$

Theorem 6.8. Suppose that $S$ is upper-closed up to $\lor S$. If $(S)_f$ is invertible, then the inverse of $(S)_f$ is the $n \times n$ matrix $B = (b_{ij})$, where

$$b_{ij} = \frac{1}{f(x_i)f(x_j)} \sum_{x_k \preceq x_i \lor x_j} \frac{\mu(x_k, x_i) \mu(x_k, x_j)}{\Psi_{S,1/f}(x_k)}. \quad (6.17)$$

Here $\mu$ is the Möbius function of $(P, \preceq)$.

Example 6.4. By Theorem 6.8 the inverse of the MIN matrix $(S)_f$ in Example 6.2 is the $n \times n$ tridiagonal matrix $B = (b_{ij})$, where

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } |i - j| > 0, \\
\frac{x_1 + 1 + t}{x_1 + t} & \text{if } i = j = 1, \\
2 & \text{if } 1 < i = j < n, \\
1 & \text{if } i = j = n, \\
-1 & \text{if } |i - j| = 1.
\end{cases}$$

Corollary 6.3. Let $S$ be a set of distinct positive integers which is multiple-closed up to lcm $S$, and let $f$ be a quasimultiplicative arithmetical function such that $f(r) \neq 0$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. If the GCD matrix $(S)_f$ is invertible, then its inverse is the $n \times n$ matrix $B = (b_{ij})$, where

$$b_{ij} = \frac{1}{f(x_i)f(x_j)} \sum_{x_k | (x_i, x_j)} \frac{\mu(x_i/x_k) \mu(x_j/x_k)}{\zeta \left( \frac{\mu}{x_k} \right) \left( \frac{\text{lcm}S}{x_k} \right)}. \quad (6.18)$$

Here $\mu$ is the number-theoretic Möbius function.
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