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We have previously shown that E-cadherin regulates the naive pluripotent state of mouse embryonic stem cells (mESCs) by enabling LIF-dependent STAT3 phosphorylation, with E-cadherin null mESCs exhibiting over 3000 gene transcript alterations and a switch to Activin/Nodal-dependent pluripotency. However, elucidation of the exact mechanisms associated with E-cadherin function in mESCs is compounded by the difficulty in delineating the structural and signalling functions of this protein. Here we show that mESCs treated with the E-cadherin neutralising antibody DECMA-1 or the E-cadherin binding peptide H-SWELYYPLRANL-NH₂ (Epep) exhibit discrete profiles for pluripotent transcripts and NANOG protein expression, demonstrating that the type of E-cadherin inhibitor employed dictates the cellular phenotype of mESCs. Alanine scanning mutation of Epep revealed residues critical for Tbx3, Klf4 and Esrrb transcript repression, cell-cell contact abrogation, cell survival in suspension, STAT3 phosphorylation and water solubility. STAT3 phosphorylation was found to be independent of loss of cell-cell contact and Activin/Nodal-dependent pluripotency and a peptide is described that enhances STAT3 phosphorylation and Nanog transcript and protein expression in mESCs. These peptides represent a useful resource for deciphering the structural and signalling functions of E-cadherin and demonstrate that complete absence of E-cadherin protein is likely required for hierarchical signalling pathway alterations in mESCs.

E-cadherin is a single-pass transmembrane glycoprotein which functions to facilitate calcium-dependent homotypic cell adhesion in epithelial tissues. E-cadherin maintains cytoskeletal dynamics through linkage of the cytoplasmic domain to the actin cytoskeleton via β-catenin. E-cadherin is critical for mammalian development as mice lacking the protein fail to develop beyond the blastocyst stage, reflecting loss of epithelial integrity in both the trophectoderm and inner cell mass. The cytoplasmic region of E-cadherin binds to β-catenin, allowing interaction with the actin cytoskeleton via intermediate proteins, such as α-catenin. In addition, p120ctn binds to the juxta-membrane region of the E-cadherin cytoplasmic domain and contributes to stabilisation of the cadherin–catenin complex by preventing clathrin-mediated endocytosis. E-cadherin-mediated cell-cell contact can respond to "outside-in" and "inside-out" cues that reflect a range of cellular functions, demonstrating the complex and critical role of this protein in epithelial tissue homeostasis. Loss of cell surface E-cadherin is also defining characteristic of epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT), which is required for ingestion of epiblast cells within the primitive streak during early embryonic development and is associated with tumour cell metastasis.

Mouse embryonic stem cells (mESCs) are isolated from the inner cell mass (ICM) of blastocysts and can maintain pluripotency in vitro by culture in the presence of serum (containing bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs)) and the cytokine Leukaemia Inhibitory Factor (LIF) by activation of STAT3 and SMAD1/5/8 signalling. We have previously shown that E-cadherin null (Ecad⁻/⁻) mESCs exhibit a significantly altered transcriptome compared to wild type (wt) ESCs, including downregulation of transcripts associated with the naive pluripotency regulatory network. However, elucidation of the exact mechanisms associated with E-cadherin function in mESCs is compounded by the difficulty in delineating the structural and signalling functions of this protein. For example,
abrogation of E-cadherin in mESCs leads to a more polarized actin cytoskeleton organisation which is associated with Ecad−/− mESCs switching from LIF/BMP- to Activin/Nodal-dependent pluripotency. However, the exact mechanism associated with this switch is not clear: it may reflect altered E-cadherin signalling via STAT3 phosphorylation which directly influences the pluripotent phenotype, or it may be an indirect effect due to the altered actin cytoskeleton activating/inhibiting unknown proteins/pathways. Therefore, at present it remains unknown whether the transcriptional and post-translational modifications associated with loss of E-cadherin are a result of direct or indirect (or both) regulation via E-cadherin.

E-cadherin provides an attractive target to manipulate ESCs in culture since cell signalling mediated through this protein has significant effects on both ESC pluripotent states and survival. We have previously shown that abrogation of E-cadherin-mediated cellular aggregation allows culture of mESCs in shake flask bioreactors whilst retaining pluripotency, either through gene knockout or an inhibitory antibody DECMA-1. However, utilisation of E-cadherin neutralising Abs for ESC culture is expensive and more cost-effective E-cadherin inhibitors are required before this technique becomes common practise. Devemy and Blaschuk have previously reported the generation of a dual E/N-cadherin binding peptide, referred to here as ’Epep’, which induces reversible loss of cell-cell contact via trans-homophilic cadherin binding inhibition. The Epep sequence SWELYPLRANL was identified by phage display library generation and the Tryptophan (TRP) residue at position 2 is believed to mimic the binding activity of a conserved TRP residue at amino acid position 2 within the ectodomain of the mature E-cadherin protein. Epep has been shown to inhibit E-cadherin mediated cell-cell contact in MCF-7 and mESC cell lines, and was able to abrogate phosphorylation of STAT3 in the latter. In addition, we have shown that Ecad−/− mESCs cultured in clonal grade medium (LIF/BMP) re-establish cell-cell contact and STAT3 phosphorylation via N-cadherin and treatment with Epep abolished cell-cell contact and STAT3 phosphorylation.

In this study we have used mutational analysis of Epep to determine regions responsible for solubility, cell-cell contact inhibition, cell survival in suspension, STAT3 phosphorylation inhibition and transcriptional regulation of the naïve-associated genes Nanog, Klf4, Tbx3, Esr rb, Nr0b1 and Nr5a2. We show that Epep exhibits distinct functional properties compared to the E-cadherin neutralising antibody DECMA-1, demonstrating that the type of E-cadherin inhibitor employed dictates the transcriptional phenotype observed in mESCs. Furthermore, this suggests that different regions of E-cadherin regulate different aspects of mESC pluripotency. A minimal sequence required for Epep function is shown and alanine scanning mutational analysis of Epep enabled identification of residues responsible for solubility, inhibition of cell-cell contact and STAT3 phosphorylation, and identification of Nanog, Klf4, Esrrb and Tbx3 transcripts. Overall, our data demonstrates that the structural and signalling functions of E-cadherin can be demarcated using a range of peptides based on the Epep sequence, which will allow further analysis of the function of this protein in mESC pluripotency to be investigated.

Results
Abrogation of E-cadherin mediated cell-cell contacts in mESCs using Epep leads to repression of pluripotency associated transcripts and STAT3 phosphorylation. RT-PCR analysis in wild-type (wt)D3 and Ecad−/− ESCs demonstrated absence or decreased expression of Tbx3, Nanog, Tet1, Klf4, Nr0b1, Nr5a2 and Esrrb transcripts in the latter (Fig. 1a). wtD3 ESCs treated with Epep exhibited loss of cell-cell contact within 24 h (Fig. 1b) and statistically significant decreased expression of Nanog, Klf4, Tbx3, Esrrb, Nr0b1, Nr5a2 and Tet1 transcripts compared to control treated cells (Fig. 1c; all p < 0.05). Furthermore, Epep-treated wtD3 ESCs exhibited significantly decreased phosphorylation of STAT3 compared to control treated cells (Fig. 1d). Therefore, treatment of wtD3 ESCs with Epep results in a similar phenotype to that observed in Ecad−/− ESCs for Nanog, Klf4, Tbx3, Esrrb, Nr0b1, Nr5a2 and Tet1 transcript expression and STAT3 phosphorylation. Biacore Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR) analysis was performed using mouse E-cadherin-Fc recombinant chimaera protein capture (Fig. 1e) and equilibrium analysis using affinity capture showed the Kd for Epep to be 3.4 μM (Fig. 1f and g). To further confirm the specific binding of Epep to E-cadherin a fluorescein-5(6)-isothiocyanate label was conjugated to the NH2-terminus of the peptide (Epep-F) separated by a Nova linker molecule to prevent the fluorescent label interfering with the specific binding function. Treatment of wtD3 ESCs with Epep-F resulted in loss of cell-cell contact (Fig. 1h), preferential binding to wtD3 ESCs compared to Ecad−/− cells, as evidenced by fluorescence microscopy analysis (Fig. 1i), and statistically significant increased binding to wtD3 over Ecad−/− ESCs, as determined by fluorescence flow cytometry analysis (Fig. 1k; p < 0.0001 unpaired t-test).

Epep and DECMA-1 induced inhibition of E-cadherin mediated cell-cell contacts in ESCs result in differential NANOG expression, gene transcript alterations and cellular viability. We next compared the transcriptional alterations associated with Epep treatment of mESCs to that of the E-cadherin neutralising antibody DECMA-1, which bind the EC1 and EC5 extracellular domains of E-cadherin respectively. Both Epep- and DECMA-1-treatment of wtD3 ESCs resulted in loss of cell-cell contact after 24 h (Fig. 2a) and qPCR analysis demonstrated statistically significant downregulation of Klf4, Nr0b1 and Nr5a2 transcripts in both treatments, whilst Nanog, Esrrb, Tbx3 and Tet1 transcripts were decreased only upon Epep treatment (Fig. 2b). Epep treated mESCs exhibited decreased NANOG protein expression (Fig. 2c; 96 h shown) whilst both Epep and DECMA-1 treatment decreased STAT3 phosphorylation within 24 h (Fig. 2d). Removal of the E-cadherin antagonists from wtD3 ESCs shown in Fig. 2b led to restoration of cell-cell contact (Fig. 2e), however, Klf4, Nr0b1 and Nr5a2 transcripts remained significantly decreased at 24 h following removal of both Epep and DECMA-1 (Fig. 2f). To determine the signalling pathways associated with transcript expression following treatment of wtD3 ESCs with Epep or DECMA-1, we cultured mESCs with the E-cadherin antagonists in the presence of small molecule inhibitors of the TGFβ3, MEK and AKT pathways (Figure S1a). The Akt pathway was implicated in the inhibition of Esrrb, Tbx3 and Nr5a2 transcripts and the TGFβ3 pathway was associated with repression of Klf4 and Nr5a2 transcripts in Epep-treated cells (Figure S1c). In DECMA-1-treated mESCs, TGFβ3 pathway inhibition had no effect on any transcript expression levels, whereas, Akt inhibition led to decreased Tbx3 transcripts and MEK/ERK
inhibition resulted in decreased *Esrrb* transcripts (Figure S1c). This data shows that different E-cadherin inhibitors exhibit different signalling pathway effects on pluripotency transcripts in mESCs. To determine the effect of Epep and DECMA-1 on the viability of mESCs, we assessed apoptosis in the cell populations following treatment with the E-cadherin antagonists for 24 h using Annexin V and propidium iodide fluorescence flow cytometry analysis (Fig. 2g). The lower left box of the flow cytometry plots shows the viable population, the lower right box the early apoptotic cells and the top right box the late apoptotic/necrotic cells. DECMA-1 treated mESCs exhibited decreased early apoptotic cells and increased viable cells compared to control treated mESCs (Fig. 2g; DECMA-1) whilst Epep-treated cells exhibited no statistically significant changes in early apoptotic cell numbers but showed decreased late apoptotic/necrotic cells compared to control treated mESCs. Therefore, exogenous abrogation of E-cadherin using DECMA-1 or Epep increases cell viability compared to control-treated cells, although the mechanisms for this increased survival appear to be distinct.

**Truncated and W2R mutant peptides exhibit differential activity compared to Epep.** Within the Epep sequence a tryptophan residue at position 2 is believed to mimic the action of *trans* homotypic binding...
by inserting into a hydrophobic pocket within the E-cadherin EC1 domain. A peptide with the Trp-2 residue replaced with arginine in the Epep sequence (EpepW2R; Fig. 3a) was synthesised to assess the influence of the Trp residue on peptide binding to E-cadherin and activity. In addition, a truncated version of Epep (EpepΔCtm) was assessed to determine the minimal number of residues required for peptide activity (Fig. 3a). Peptides smaller than EpepΔCtm were insoluble in water and were not tested further. Treatment of mESCs with EpepW2R did not induce loss of cell-cell contact after 24 h (Fig. 3b; D3 + EpepW2R) whereas EpepΔCtm-treated cells exhibited loss of cell-cell contact similar to that observed for Epep (Fig. 3b; D3 + EpepΔCtm). This shows that the tryptophan residue at position 2 in Epep is required for loss of cell-cell contact, as described by Devemy and Blaschuk for MCF7 cells, and that the five terminal amino acid residues are redundant for abrogation of E-cadherin mediated cell-cell contact. The binding affinity of peptides EpepW2R and EpepΔCtm were assessed using Biacore SPR analysis (Fig. 3c). Sensorgram analysis of Epep, EpepW2R and EpepΔCtm peptides (Fig. 3d) showed increased binding to Fc-E-cadherin of EpepΔCtm over EpepW2R with the steady state response curve (Fig. 3e) predicting a KD of 2.7 μM for EpepΔCtm. EpepW2R did not produce a strong enough response to perform equilibrium analysis, although later analysis of a EpepW2A variant exhibited a KD of 55 μM. Whilst the KD for EpepΔCtm is lower than Epep (KD = 3.4 μM) the response is proportional to the molecular weight therefore smaller molecules...
give lower molar response. Therefore, the binding efficiency of Epep and EpepΔCtm was not considered to be significantly different.

EpepΔCtm-treated mESCs exhibited significantly decreased phosphorylation of STAT3 (Fig. 3f) whilst this was unaffected in EpepW2R-treated cells. qPCR analysis of Nanog, Klf4, Esrrb, Tbx3, Nr0b1 and Nr5a2 transcript expression was assessed in wtD3 ESCs treated with Epep, EpepW2R or EpepΔCtm (Fig. 3g). EpepΔCtm-treated mESCs exhibited similar alterations in transcript expression compared to Epep except for Tbx3, which was unchanged, suggesting that the 5 terminal residues of Epep are required for repression of Tbx3 transcripts. Surprisingly, EpepW2R-treated mESCs exhibited a statistically significant decrease in Nanog, Klf4, Esrrb transcripts, whilst Tbx3 and Nr0b1 transcripts were unchanged. EpepW2R binds specifically to E-cadherin as evidenced by immunofluorescence microscopy analysis of binding of a fluorescent-tagged EpepW2R peptide to wtD3 and Ecad−/− ESCs (Fig. 3h and j; p < 0.0001 unpaired t-test). Analysis of NANOG protein expression in mESCs treated with EpepW2R or EpepΔCtm revealed decreased levels of protein with both peptide treatments after 4 days (Fig. 4a and b). Annexin V/PI apoptosis analysis of EpepW2R-treated mESCs revealed a significant decrease in viable cell number and an increase in late apoptotic/necrotic cells (Fig. 4c; EpepW2R) compared to untreated cells (Fig. 2g). EpepΔCtm-treated mESCs exhibited a significant decrease in early apoptotic cell numbers and increased viable cells (Fig. 4c; EpepΔCtm) compared to both untreated and Epep-treated mESCs (Fig. 2j), although late apoptotic/necrotic cell numbers were increased compared to Epep.

We have previously shown that Ecad−/− mESCs maintain pluripotency via the Activin/Nodal pathways and self-renewal via the FGFR1 pathway14. To determine whether peptide-treated mESCs exhibited a switch from LIF/BMP to Activin/Nodal and FGFR1 signalling we exposed the peptide-treated mESCs to small molecule inhibitors14,15 and assessed expression of OCT4 protein after 5 days. mESCs treated with the Activin/Nodal peptide EpepΔCtm treated mESCs exhibited similar alterations in transcript expression compared to Epep except for Tbx3, which was unchanged, suggesting that the 5 terminal residues of Epep are required for repression of Tbx3 transcripts. Surprisingly, EpepW2R-treated mESCs exhibited a statistically significant decrease in Nanog, Klf4, Esrrb, Tbx3, Nr0b1 and Nr5a2 transcript expression, whilst Klf4 and Esrrb transcripts were unchanged. EpepW2R binds specifically to E-cadherin as evidenced by immunofluorescence microscopy analysis of binding of a fluorescent-tagged EpepW2R peptide to wtD3 and Ecad−/− ESCs (Fig. 3h and j; p < 0.0001 unpaired t-test). Analysis of NANOG protein expression in mESCs treated with EpepW2R or EpepΔCtm revealed decreased levels of protein with both peptide treatments after 4 days (Fig. 4a and b). Annexin V/PI apoptosis analysis of EpepW2R-treated mESCs revealed a significant decrease in viable cell number and an increase in late apoptotic/necrotic cells (Fig. 4c; EpepW2R) compared to untreated cells (Fig. 2g). EpepΔCtm-treated mESCs exhibited a significant decrease in early apoptotic cell numbers and increased viable cells (Fig. 4c; EpepΔCtm) compared to both untreated and Epep-treated mESCs (Fig. 2j), although late apoptotic/necrotic cell numbers were increased compared to Epep.

We have previously shown that Ecad−/− mESCs maintain pluripotency via the Activin/Nodal pathways and self-renewal via the FGFR1 pathway14. To determine whether peptide-treated mESCs exhibited a switch from LIF/BMP to Activin/Nodal and FGFR1 signalling we exposed the peptide-treated mESCs to small molecule inhibitors14,15 and assessed expression of OCT4 protein after 5 days. mESCs treated with the Activin/Nodal
inhibitor SB431542 or the FGFR1 inhibitor SU5402 maintained expression of OCT4 protein (Fig. 4d(i) and (v)). Similarly, wtESCs treated with Epep (Fig. 4d(ii)) or EpepΔCtm (Fig. 4d(iii)) maintained expression of OCT4 protein in the presence of SB431542 or SU5402 (Fig. 4d(v)). EpepW2R-treated wtD3 mESCs (Fig. 4d(iv) and (v)) exhibited similar results to control cells in the presence of the Activin/Nodal inhibitor SB431542 but showed increased cell death and statistically significant loss of OCT protein expression when exposed to the FGFR1 inhibitor SU5402. To determine whether EpepW2R exhibited an effect on downstream signalling targets of FGFR1, we assessed phosphorylation of ERK1/2 proteins in wtD3 mESCs treated with control vehicle (D3), Epep or EpepW2R. (ii) Graph showing the ratio of pERK1/2 and α-tubulin protein levels from the western blot in e(i) using ImageJ densitometry analysis. Epep – SWELYYPLRANL; EpepW2R – SRELYYPLRANL; EpepΔctm – SWELYYP.

The proline-7 residue is required for water solubility of Epep. Devemy and Blaschuk17 described a conserved proline residue at position 7 in all seven acid eluted peptide sequences and seven out of nine sequences of EDTA elutions in the phage display screening analysis, suggesting this residue may have an important functional role. Analysis of a peptide with the Pro-7 residue replaced with Arg, EpepP7R, revealed that this peptide

Figure 4. Epep treatment of mESCs does not induce maintenance of pluripotency via the Activin/Nodal signalling pathways. (a) Immunofluorescence microscopy analysis of NANOG protein expression in wtD3 mESCs treated with vehicle control (Control), EpepW2R or EpepΔctm. Nuclei are stained with DAPI (Blue). (b) Quantification of NANOG protein expression in the images shown in (a) using ImageJ analysis. Data shows the mean fluorescence intensity and standard deviation. (c) Apoptosis analysis using Annexin V and propidium iodide fluorescence flow cytometry in wtD3 mESCs treated with EpepW2R or EpepΔctm. The lower left box of the flow cytometry plots shows the viable population, the lower right box the early apoptotic cells and the top right box the late apoptotic or necrotic cells. (d) Immunofluorescence microscopy analysis of OCT4 protein expression in wtD3 mESCs treated with the Activin/Nodal inhibitor SB431542 or the FGFR1 inhibitor SU5402 and (i) vehicle control, (ii) Epep, (iii) EpepΔCtm and (iv) EpepW2R. (v) Relative fluorescence intensity of OCT4 protein expression in (i)-(iv) assessed using ImageJ analysis. (e) (i) Western blot analysis of phosphorylated ERK1/2 (pERK1/2), total ERK1/2 and α-tubulin proteins in wtD3 mESCs treated with control vehicle (D3), Epep or EpepW2R. (ii) Graph showing the ratio of pERK1/2 and α-tubulin protein levels from the western blot in e(i) using ImageJ densitometry analysis. Epep – SWELYYPLRANL; EpepW2R – SRELYYPLRANL; EpepΔctm – SWELYYP.
was insoluble at 100 mM in water and required 10% v/v DMSO/H2O for complete solubilization. The binding affinity of EpepP7R was assessed using Biacore SPR analysis (Fig. 5a) revealing a KD of 3.87 μM (Fig. 5b), which was slightly higher than that of Epep dissolved in 10% v/v DMSO/H2O (1.15 μM; data not shown). Abrogation of E-cadherin mediated cell-cell contact was observed with EpepP7R (Fig. 5c and Figure S1b), although cell dissociation was reduced compared to Epep. Therefore, the Pro-7 residue within Epep is required for water solubility of the peptide.

Alanine scanning mutational analysis of Epep reveals discrete residues associated with transcript repression and solubility. (a) Biacore SPR equilibrium binding analysis using affinity capture of EpepP7R at 0.3, 1, 3, 5, 10 μM. (b) Concentration curve of EpepP7R binding to recombinant E-cadherin-Fc chimaera protein at various concentrations to enable K_D calculation. (c) Phase contrast images of wtD3 mESCs treated with vehicle control (10% v/v DMSO in H2O), Epep and EpepP7R. (d) The alanine scanning mutations synthesised based on the sequence of Epep. (e) Biacore SPR equilibrium binding analysis using affinity capture of EpepS1A, EpepW2A, EpepE3A, EpepL4A, EpepY5A and EpepY6A. (f) K_D values for EpepS1A, EpepW2A, EpepE3A, EpepL4A, EpepY5A and EpepY6A calculated using Biacore SPR equilibrium affinity capture analysis. (g) Phase contrast images of wtD3 mESCs treated with vehicle control (wtD3), Epep, EpepS1A, EpepW2A, EpepE3A, EpepL4A, EpepY5A and EpepY6A at 10 μM. (h) qPCR analysis of Nanog, Klf4, Tbx3, Esrrb, Nr0b1 and Nr5a2 transcript expression in mESCs treated with vehicle control (D3v), Epep, EpepS1A, EpepW2A, EpepE3A, EpepL4A, EpepY5A and EpepY6A at 10 μM. Epep - SWELYYPLRANL; EpepS1A – AWELYYPLRANL; EpepW2A – SAELYYPLRANL; EpepE3A – SWLYYPRLANL; EpepL4A – SWEAYYPLRANL; EpepY5A – SWELAYPLRANL; EpepY6A – SWELAYPLRANL; EpepP7R – SWELYYRLRANL.
to abrogate cell-cell contact in mESCs appears to be a direct function of their binding affinity for E-cadherin. Fluorescent-tagged EpepS1A, EpepW2A, EpepE3A, EpepL4A, EpepY5A and EpepY6A bind specifically to E-cadherin as evidenced by immunofluorescence microscopy analysis of binding to wtD3 and Ecad−/−ESCs (Figure S2; all p < 0.0001 unpaired t-test).

qPCR analysis of Nanog, Klf4, Esrrb, Tbx3, Nr0b1 and Nr5a2 transcript expression in D3 mESCs after 24 h treatment with the alanine scanned peptide variants showed that all induced down-regulation of Tbx3, Nr0b1 and Nr5a2 transcripts (Figure 5h). Downregulation of Nanog, Klf4 and Esrrb transcripts was found to be dictated by tyrosine residue 6 of Epep, with Klf4 and Esrrb also dependent upon the Trp-2 residue, whilst Klf4 alone was abrogated by the Ser-1 residue. EpepE3A, EpepL4A and EpepY5A inhibited all gene transcripts assessed, showing that loss of cell-cell contact alone is not responsible for the transcriptional effects of Epep. Nr0b1 and Nr5a2 transcripts were downregulated by all of the peptides assessed, suggesting that regulation of these transcripts may be independent of the core pluripotency factor network. All peptides except EpepW2R, EpepW2A and EpepY6A inhibited phosphorylation of STAT3 protein (Figure 6a). Figure 6a summarises the data obtained from all peptides assessed and DECMA-1 neutralising antibody in mESCs. EpepL4A exhibits a similar function and Kd to Epep, suggesting a structural, rather than functional, role for this residue within the peptide. EpepW2R and EpepW2A impart a similar phenotype to mESCs, showing this residue is critical for loss of cell-cell contact and inhibition of STAT3 phosphorylation. EpepE3A is of particular interest as this peptide did not induce loss of cell-cell contact yet inhibited STAT3 phosphorylation (Fig. 6b), exhibited a higher proportion of cells with decreased NANOG protein expression (Fig. 6c) and led to down-regulation of Nanog, Klf4, Esrrb, Tbx3, Nr0b1 and Nr5a2 transcripts (Fig. 6a). This suggests that E-cadherin signalling is likely to regulate STAT3 phosphorylation independently of...
cell-cell contact. EpepY6A is also of interest as mESCs treated with this peptide exhibited elevated levels of Nanog transcripts (Fig. 5h) and protein (Fig. 6c and Figure S1d) and increased pSTAT3 (1.4-fold increase; p < 0.05) compared to control cells, although Tbx3, Nr0b1 and Nr5a2 transcripts were all reduced. A summary of the structure-function of Epep is shown in Fig. 6d.

Discussion
Genetic knockout of the Cdh1 gene in mESCs results in over 3000 gene transcript alterations associated with a broad range of biological processes12. A recent comprehensive proteomics study to map protein interactions involved in cell-cell adhesion revealed a complex E-cadherin interactome network far beyond the well characterized cadherin-catenin complex, with over 400 novel E-cadherin interactions identified19. E-cadherin is now considered to be a master regulator of stem cell fate20,21, a key mediator in the transition between naïve and primed-like pluripotent states22 and required for efficient reprogramming of somatic cells to iPSCs23. In this study we have described novel peptides based upon the sequence of Epep that can be used to manipulate mESC pluripotency transcripts and phosphorylation of STAT3 protein via both cell-cell contact-dependent and -independent mechanisms. These peptides will provide a valuable resource for decoupling the signalling and structural roles of E-cadherin in regulating pathways in mESCs and other cell types. Further manipulation of the amino acid residues within Epep (e.g. multiple residue substitution) may allow the development of further novel peptides with defined biological function. Whilst we cannot eliminate off-target effects associated with the peptides, all lacked binding to α4β1 control protein, exhibited μM affinity for E-cadherin (both assessed by biacore analysis) and bound wtD3 mESCs but not E-cadherin null mESCs (as assessed by immunofluorescence microscopy). We consider this significant evidence for peptide binding specificity for E-cadherin.

Comparison of the Epep-treated mESC phenotype with that of DECMA-1 treated mESCs revealed significant differences between the two treatments. DECMA-1 treatment of mESCs did not inhibit Nanog, Esrrb or Tbx3 transcripts even though STAT3 phosphorylation was abolished. This demonstrates that the method of E-cadherin inhibition dictates the cellular phenotype observed, which further complicates the elucidation of the function of this protein in mESC pluripotency. This result suggests that specific regions of E-cadherin exhibit discrete functions in mESCs. We have previously reported that phosphorylation of AKT, which has been shown to induce Tbx3 and Nanog transcript expression, is increased in Ecad−/− mESCs, however, no changes in Akt phosphorylation were observed in mESCs treated with DECMA-1 or Epep (data not shown). Addition of inhibitors of the PI3K/AKT, TGFβ and MEK/ERK pathways to Epep- and DECMA-1-treated cells identified several pathways associated with transcript expression/repression. In Epep-treated cells, Klf4 transcripts are repressed via the TGFβ pathway, Esrrb transcripts are repressed via the PI3K/AKT pathway and Nr5a2 repressed via the TGFβ and PI3K/AKT pathways. In DECMA-1 treated cells, inhibition of Esrrb transcripts could be induced by abrogation of the MEK/ERK pathway and Tbx3 transcripts inhibited by abrogation of the PI3K/AKT pathway. Therefore, alterations in signalling pathways upon loss of E-cadherin mediated cell-cell contact in mESCs are dependent upon the type of E-cadherin inhibitor employed. To date, only regions of E-cadherin that facilitate cell-cell contact, or compounds that can induce this loss, have been investigated in detail and it is possible that other regions of E-cadherin may also function to regulate signalling pathways in mESCs. Elucidating the function of these pathways alterations may provide critical insight into the role of E-cadherin function in stem cell and epithelial cell biology.

We have previously shown that pluripotency is maintained in Ecad−/− mESCs and ENPS cells (mESCs exhibiting de novo methylation of the E-cadherin promoter) through the TGFβ signalling pathway14,24, specifically via Activin/Nodal, and that self-renewal is regulated via the FGF1R1 pathway. However, mESCs treated with Epep or DECMA-1 did not induce Activin/Nodal-dependent pluripotency or FGF1R-dependent regulation of self-renewal despite ablation of STAT3 phosphorylation with both E-cadherin inhibitor treatments. Guo et al.19 have observed that disruption of E-cadherin mediated cell-cell contact does not influence many intracellular protein interactions with E-cadherin and concluded that most of these interactions are independent of cell-cell adhesion19. Therefore, it is possible that Epep and DECMA-1 inhibition of E-cadherin in mESCs does not disrupt cytoplasmic E-cadherin-protein interactions sufficiently for the switch to Activin/Nodal-dependent pluripotency and FGF1R-dependent self-renewal observed in Ecad−/− mESCs and ENPS cells14,24. Alternatively, this may reflect the activation of unknown signalling pathways capable of maintaining pluripotency and self-renewal in these cells.

Treatment of mESCs with Epep, EpepActm or DECMA-1 resulted in increased viable cells within the population compared to control- and EpepW2R-treated cells. The AnnexinV/PI staining protocol requires a single cell suspension to perform the analysis, therefore, these results reflect the viability of single cells in suspension rather than in monolayer culture conditions. For example, no significant difference in cell viability was observed in mESCs treated with Epep or EpepW2R in monolayer culture (data not shown). Therefore, inhibition of E-cadherin in mESCs enhances single cell survival in suspension. We have previously shown that mESCs can be successfully cultured as near-single cell suspensions in shake flask culture using DECMA-1 treatment, demonstrating the usefulness of E-cadherin inhibition in bioreactor culture methods. However, the mechanisms for increased mESC viability in suspension appear to be distinct for Epep, EpepActm and DECMA-1 treatments, again suggesting that specific regions of E-cadherin exhibit discrete cell survival functions in mESCs.

We show that abrogation of cell-cell contact by Epep was reversible and that Nanog, Esrrb, Tbx3 and Tet1 transcript expression was restored to control levels 24 h post-removal of the peptide inhibitor treatment. However, Klf4, Nr0b1 and Nr5a2 transcripts remained inhibited following removal of the peptide and this was also observed in DECMA-1 treated mESCs. Our previous analysis of Ecad−/− mESCs has demonstrated over 3000 transcripts alterations compared to wtD3 mESCs, showing that significant changes in transcriptional regulation and, presumably, epigenetic alterations are induced following loss of E-cadherin in these cells. The failure of Klf4, Nr0b1 and Nr5a2 transcripts to be restored to control levels following removal of the E-cadherin inhibitors suggests non-reversible epigenetic alterations may be occurring following inhibition of this protein. It would be interesting
to assess the effect of multiple treatment and removal regimens of E-cadherin inhibitors to determine any additive effects on the transcriptome of mESCs, and other cell types. As such, the observation of potential non-reversible epigenetic effects upon E-cadherin inhibition may have relevance to inducing novel mESC lines exhibiting distinct transcriptomes and in the function of E-cadherin protein in tumorigenesis and cancer cell metastasis.

**Materials and Methods**

**mESC culture and peptide treatment.** Unless otherwise stated, mESC lines were grown on gelatinised tissue culture grade 6-well plates at 37°C/5% CO₂; as previously described⁴, mESCs were treated with 10μM peptide in mESC culture medium which was replenished every 24 h. Inhibition of specific pathways in mESCs was achieved by incubation with 10μM LY294002 (PI3K inhibitor), PD9805 (MEK inhibitor) and SB431542 (TGFβ1 R inhibitor).

**Peptide synthesis.** All peptides were synthesised by Severn Biotech Ltd (UK) as a lyophilized powder. Peptides were solubilised to a stock solution of 100 mM in ddH₂O, unless otherwise stated. Peptides were tagged with a Fluorescin-5(6) molecule at the NH₂-terminus, separated by a Nova linker molecule, and assessed for activity prior to use (inhibition of cell-cell contact).

**Fluorescence flow cytometry analysis.** D3 and Ecad⁻/⁻ mESCs were treated with Epep-F or EpepW2R-F at concentrations of 0 (control), 5, 10, 25, 50 and 500μM in culture medium as a monolayer on ice. Ice cold conditions were utilised to minimise endocytosis of fluorescent tagged peptide. Cells were harvested using cell dissection buffer, centrifuged and washed with PBS and fixed in 500μl 1% w/v paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS for analysis using a FACSCalibur (BD Biosciences, California, USA). Viable cells were gated using forward scatter and side scatter and all data shown represents quantification of the fluorescence emitted by this population.

**Annexin V/PI assays.** Cell viability was quantified using an Alexa Fluor 488 Annexin V/Dead Cell Apoptosis Kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

**Quantitative (q)PCR analysis.** Primers for qPCR were designed using the Roche Applied science Universal Probe Library software and BLAST screened for sequence specificity. Only primers producing a single dissociation peak were used. RNA was extracted from mESCs and cDNA at a concentration of 2 ng/μl was used for analysis in a MicroAmp Optical 96-well Reaction Plate (Applied Biosystems) using SYBR green Jumpstart Taq ReadyMix (Applied Biosystems). Primer sequences: 18srRNA Forward (F) GAGCAAAGTCGAGTGGG; Reverse (R) CCACTGCAATCGGTAGATTCC; ESR8B F-GGCCGTCTTCATCAGAGAACA, R-ATCTGCTCCCCCAGGTGTCAG; KL4 F-GCTCTCTTACAGCCGGAGATC, R-ATGTCCCGCAAGCTTGAAG; NANO G-ACACCAACCATGCTGTTT, R-ACCCCTCAACTCTTGCTCT; NR081 F-TGCACACTTCGAGATGATGAG, R-ATCTGCCGTCCTTCACACTG; NR5A2 F-AAGTGCACGACAATGACCAA, R-TGAGACATGCTCCACAGCTTT; TBX3 F-AGGAGCGTGCTCAGCTAGGTT, R-GCCATTACCCTCCCAATTTT; TET1 F-GTTACGGAAGAGCCTGAG, R-TGCCAGGTCCGTCTTTTGGTG.

**Western blot analysis.** Cells were harvested using cell dissection buffer, centrifuged and washed with PBS prior to lysis using Radiolnunoprecipitation (RIPA) buffer (Sigma) containing protease inhibitor cocktail tablets (1 tablet per 10 ml RIPA buffer) (Roche Applied Science) at a concentration of 2 µg/ml. Lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE and electrotransferred onto nitrocellulose membrane as previously described²⁴. Western blot analysis using a FACSCalibur (BD Biosciences, California, USA). Viable cells were gated using forward scatter and side scatter and all data shown represents quantification of the fluorescence emitted by this population.

**Immunofluorescence microscopy analysis.** Monolayer cultured mESCs were fixed in situ in 4% w/v PFA in PBS for 30 minutes at room temperature, washed twice with PBS and blocked with 1% goat serum in 0.1% w/v BSA, 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 30 minutes. Cells were incubated in the appropriate primary antibody in goat blocking buffer for two hours at RT. Cells were washed three times PBS and incubated in the appropriate Alexa Fluor 488 or −546 conjugated secondary antibody at RT for 1 hour in the dark. Cells were washed three times with PBS, mounted in 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Vector Laboratories) and visualized using a Leica DM6000B fluorescence microscope (Leica Microsystems) and processed using Leica LAS X Core software (Leica Microsystems).

**Fluorescence analysis using ImageJ.** Images were imported into ImageJ and fluorescence intensity measured using the Analyse > Measure function on areas selected using the rectangular or oval selection icons.
Biacore Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR) analysis. Biacore SPR analysis was used to measure the interaction between peptides and recombinant mouse E-Cadherin Fc Chimera (R&D Systems). Analytes were passed over an HTE chip with surface bound His-tagged Recombinant Mouse E-Cadherin Fc Chimera (R&D Systems). The kinetics of interaction, i.e. the rates of complex formation (ka) and dissociation (kd), were determined and Integrin αvβ3 protein was used as a control. Analysis was performed by the Biomolecular Analysis Core Facility (The University of Manchester, UK) on a Proteon XPR-36 protein interaction array system (Bio-Rad) using a HTE chip for high capacity His-tagged protein capture (Bio-Rad). Equilibrium analysis runs were performed three times and an average KD was calculated. Running buffer: HBS/0.02%, Tween/2 mM, CaCl2/1 mM MgCl2.
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