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HIGHLIGHTS

• Performance and emissions for engine speeds of 3000 and 3600 rpm and torque levels of 3 and 7 Nm.
• H2 for diesel substitution was generated in an in-house designed and built alkaline cell.
• Brake Fuel Conversion Efficiency decreases, the impact depending on engine speed and torque.
• Markedly lower CO and CO2 emissions than neat diesel for the same power output but higher NOx.

ABSTRACT

The combustion of pure H2 in engines is still troublesome, needing further research and development. Using H2 and diesel in a dual-fuel compression ignition engine appears as a more feasible approach. Here we report an experimental assessment of performance and emissions for a single-cylinder, four-stroke, air-cooled compression ignition engine operating with neat diesel and H2-diesel dual-fuel. Previous studies typically show the performance and emissions for a specific operation condition (i.e., a fixed engine speed and torque) or a limited operating range. Our experiments covered engine speeds of 3000 and 3600 rpm and torque levels of 3 and 7 Nm. An in-house designed and built alkaline cell generated the H2 used for the partial substitution of diesel. Compared with neat diesel, the results indicate that adding H2 decreased the air-fuel equivalence ratio and the Brake Specific Diesel Fuel Consumption Efficiency by around 14–29 % and 4–31 %. In contrast, adding H2 increased the Brake Fuel Conversion Efficiency by around 3–36 %. In addition, the Brake Thermal Efficiency increased in the presence of H2 in the range of 3–37 % for the lower engine speed and 27–43 % for the higher engine speed compared with neat diesel. The dual-fuel mode resulted in lower CO and CO2 emissions for the same power output. The emissions of hydrocarbons decreased with H2 addition, except for the lower engine speed and the higher torque. However, the dual-fuel operation resulted in higher NOx emissions than neat diesel, with 2–6 % and 19–48 % increments for the lower and higher engine speeds. H2 emerges as a versatile energy carrier with the potential to tackle current energy and emissions challenges; however, the dual-fuel strategy requires careful management of NOx emissions.
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1. Introduction

Although essential to the industry, energy, and transportation sectors, fossil fuels such as natural gas, oil, and coal contribute to a vast portion of the worldwide CO₂ emissions. The impacts of excessive CO₂ and other pollutants emissions are widely recognized: global warming [1], glaciers retreat [1], higher sea levels [2], and pollution-related public health problems [3]. Therefore, international treaties promote policies and mitigation strategies to reduce greenhouse emissions. For instance, the standard ISO 50001 sets the basis for incorporating low carbon sources and renewable energy systems [4]. Thus, both researchers and practitioners focus on exploring alternative energy sources [5] and carriers with reduced pollutant emissions [6] while also promoting the decarbonization of energy systems [7].

Fossil fuels account for around 80 % of the current energy demand [8], which shows the imminent necessity for adjusting the conventional structure of energy production and consumption [9].

Hydrogen (H₂) is a flammable, colorless, and odorless gaseous energy carrier in its molecular form. Therefore, H₂ represents a potential solution to energy storage and surplus energy utilization [10]. The absence of carbon atoms in H₂ results in CO₂- and CO₂-free combustion within a wide range of flammability limits [11]. In addition, H₂ features a high energy content per unit mass, the energy content of 1.0 kg of H₂ being equivalent to that of 2.6 kg of gasoline [12, 13]. Currently, H₂ production is still dominated (>46 %) by natural gas reforming [14]. The so-called green H₂ originates, for example, from renewable energy-based water electrolysis [15]. Nowadays, more reliable cells and electrochemical mechanisms optimize the overall performance, such as low-temperature electrolysis [16]. This method circulates a direct current through two electrodes in a solution, resulting in the fragmentation of H₂O into H₂ and O₂ [17].

The world has around 1.2 billion passenger vehicles and 380 million commercial vehicles, and these numbers continue to increase. Transport is carried out almost entirely (>99%), with internal combustion engines that most likely use liquid fuels derived from petroleum [18]. Internal combustion engines have a wide range of applications, and all their parameters must be assessed to reveal their influence on performance and greenhouse gas emissions [19, 20]. Different technologies aim to increase the efficiency of these engines via waste heat recovery from exhaust gases. For example, thermoelectric generators [21, 22] represent a mature technology to improve fuel utilization. Likewise, evaluating different biofuels is a promising avenue to reduce pollutants emission and fuel consumption rates [23].

Published studies explore the effects of adding H₂ to internal combustion diesel engines. Experimental research assessed the impacts of adding H₂ to the inlet stream of compression-ignition (CI) engines. Marked variations in engine efficiency resulted from changing H₂ flow. The vehicle drivability did not show significant differences; however, exhaust gas temperature increased with H₂ addition [24]. Test results on a four-cylinder direct-injection turbocharged diesel engine operating with diesel and H₂ showed that the highest reduction in CO₂ emissions was around 46 %. On the other hand, the addition of H₂ to the engine increased NOx emissions at high loads [25].

Katheri et al. [26] investigated the performance of a single-cylinder, four-stroke compression-ignition engine after adding H₂ and biojars to diesel. They reported a 71 % reduction in Brake-Specific Diesel Fuel Consumption (BSDFC) and a 12 % reduction in Brake-Specific Energy Consumption (BSEC). In addition, H₂, CO, and NOx emissions decreased by 5.7 %, 88 %, and 83 %, respectively. Similarly, Rajak et al. [27] performed tests contrasting diesel with H₂-diesel in a diesel engine. Smoke and CO₂ emissions and fuel consumption decreased at all loads. Notably, NOx emissions increased at high loads depending on the diesel replacement. For example, with the addition of 15 % H₂ at high loads, the specific fuel consumption decreased by 18 %, while NOx emissions increased by 21 %.

On the other hand, a computational study explored the H₂-diesel dual-fuel operation of a four-stroke direct injection compression ignition engine. The results showed that advancing the injection timing decreases the CO₂, soot, and HC emissions and increases NOx emissions [28]. Furthermore, experiments in light-duty and heavy-duty diesel engines showed that the addition of H₂ increased NOx emissions in the former case. However, NOx emissions slightly decreased in the heavy-duty engine while CO and particulate emissions decreased in both engines [29]. Finally, a study assessed the influence of Hydrogen Energy Share (HES) in a diesel engine. The results show that the heat release increased by around 46% and the efficiency by about 17%. Additionally, Soot, CO, and CO₂ decreased, while HC and NOx increased [30]. Overall, the literature shows the performance and emissions of H₂-diesel dual-fuel engines, but for a specific operation condition (i.e. a fixed engine speed and torque) or a limited operating range. Therefore, the present research covers a broader range of engine speed and torque to gain further insights into engine performance and emissions. This work contributes to a picture of engine performance and emissions for relevant engine speed and torque combinations, thus closing the knowledge gap towards implementing H₂ as a robust energy carrier.

In what follows, section 2 describes the experimental setup, operational procedures, and measurements. Then, engine performance and emissions are dealt with in Section 3. Engine performance includes Brake Specific Diesel Fuel Consumption (BSDFC), Brake Fuel Conversion Efficiency (BFCE), Brake Thermal Efficiency (BTE), and Volumetric Efficiency (VE). The studied gas emissions are CO, CO₂, HC, O₂, NOx, and SO₂. Finally, the last section concludes with the final remarks on this research contribution.

2. Materials and methods

In what follows, we describe the main sections of the experimental setup and fuel properties. The section also includes the experimental design and the analysis of measurement uncertainty.

2.1. Experimental setup

The main sections of the setup are the engine-generator set, H₂ generator, fuel supply, load bank, and exhaust gas analyzers, as shown in Figure 1.

2.1.1. Engine-generator set

The set consists of a single-cylinder, four-stroke, air-cooled compression ignition engine (model SK-MDF300, Sokan®) coupled to a generator (model SK-GD4000C, Sokan®). Table 1 lists the specifications of the engine-generator set.

The data acquisition system includes sensors that provide real-time measurements of the variables listed in Table 2.

2.1.2. H₂ generator

An in-house designed and built monopolar alkaline cell generates H₂ starting from a solution of distilled water and potassium hydroxide. Figure 2 depicts an assembled view of the alkaline cell and a closer view of the cathodic chamber. Table 3 summarizes the specifications of the monopolar alkaline cell designed and built in this study.

The electrolyzer incorporates two electrolyte storage tanks connected to the H₂ generation cell, as shown in Figure 3. The power source for the electrolyzer is alternating current at 110 V and 60 Hz. The H₂ and O₂ evolving from the electrodes pass through the electrolyte before passing through bubblers and reaching the storage tanks. H₂ and O₂ are temporarily stored in their respective tanks until they reach sufficient pressure to overcome the resistance imposed by the bubblers. The bubblers clean the gases by trapping water drops and vapor and enable a one-way flow by acting as a check valve. We installed two safety valves for each gas storage for safety purposes, thus avoiding uncontrolled explosions of the stored gas due to flashbacks during the burning or injection of the fuel gas. The hydrogen injected into the engine is regulated using a flow meter.
2.1.3. Fuel supply system and load bank

A needle valve regulates the flow of diesel fuel from a 10 L storage tank to the engine. The admission system allows controlling injection timing and duration. Diesel and H₂ flow separately through the intake manifold, thus avoiding backfire. The load bank incorporates power blocks of 335 W and 660 W, has an upper power limit of 3.5 kW and enables engine speed control.

2.1.4. Exhaust gas analyzer

A centrifugal fan extracts the exhaust gases through a 20 cm diameter circular duct. Table 4 summarizes the measurements carried out with two exhaust gas analyzers (model PCA 400, Bacharach®, and model AGS-688, Brain Bee®).

2.2. Fuel properties

Table 5 lists the properties of the fuels used in this study.
2.3. Experimental design

The input variables were engine speed, torque, and hydrogen volumetric flow rate. The engine performance parameters include Brake Specific Diesel Fuel Consumption (BSDFC), Brake Fuel Conversion Efficiency (BFCE), Brake Thermal Efficiency (BTE), and Volumetric Efficiency. On the other hand, emissions include O2 and CO2, CO, NO, NOx, SO2, and HC. Figure 4 illustrates the input variables’ levels and the engine characteristic curve. The experiments with H2 used a volumetric flow rate of 20 L min⁻¹.

The study consists of 24 experimental runs, with three runs at each experimental condition. Statgraphics Centurion XVI.I software (Statgraphics Technologies, Inc) allowed randomizing the experiments to minimize unwanted effects caused by uncontrolled ambient factors. A typical experiment started by setting the engine parameters and initializing the analyzer software. The following steps consisted of opening valves and setting the flowmeter parameters. Then, we selected an operation mode, either neat diesel or H2-diesel dual fuel. The acquired data included engine performance parameters and emissions. At the

---

**Table 3. Technical specifications of the monopolar alkaline cell.**

| Specification                | Value/type                                      |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| Electrolyte                 | KOH solution 20 % vol                          |
| Electrolyte capacity        | 10 L                                           |
| Hydrogen flow               | 20 L min⁻¹                                      |
| Solution temperature        | 40-50 °C                                       |
| Body Material               | PMMA                                           |
| Electrode material          | Stainless steel, 0.8 mm                        |
| Electrode orientation       | Vertical                                       |
| Active electrode area       | 3200 mm²                                       |
| Electrode spacing           | 3 mm                                           |
| Number of electrodes in the cathodic chamber | 18                      |
| Number of electrodes in the anodic chamber | 18                      |
| Supply voltage              | 13.45 V                                        |
| Cell voltage                | 13.45 V                                        |
| Cell current                | 15.1 A                                         |

---

*Figure 2. Assembled view of the monopolar alkaline cell.*
beginning of the campaign, we measured output power as a function of engine speed and torque for the operation with neat diesel and H2-diesel dual fuel. The relative error based on the standard deviation (over three experiments) was within 2%, indicating excellent repeatability at each experimental condition.

2.4. Uncertainty analysis

Physical measurements in experimental tests are exposed to different sources of error, such as environmental conditions, calibration, and type of instrument, among others. Due to the above, it is necessary to carry out an uncertainty analysis to guarantee the reliability of the results obtained.
experimentally. Type A evaluation method was used to calculate uncertainty based on a statistical analysis of a set of measurements. The best estimate ($\overline{y}$) of a series of measurements ($y_1, y_2, y_3, \ldots, y_n$) was calculated from Eq. (1).

$$\overline{y} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i}{n}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $n$ represents the number of records of each measured variable. The standard deviation ($\sigma$) of the set of measurements was calculated via Eq. (2).

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \overline{y})^2}{n - 1}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The uncertainty calculation ($u$) used the standard deviation, as indicated in Eq. (3).

$$u(y) = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

In the case of variables that present different sources of uncertainty, a combined uncertainty ($u_c$) was calculated, as shown in Eq. (4).

$$u_c = \sqrt{u_{x_1}^2 + u_{x_2}^2 + \ldots + u_{x_k}^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Table 6 shows the uncertainty of the parameters measured in the experimental tests.

The uncertainty associated with the instrumentation (Type B) is 10% of the expanded uncertainty. Therefore Type A is the most representative measurement uncertainty.

3. Results and discussion

This section presents and discusses the experimental results, starting with the engine performance parameters and then covering engine emissions.

3.1. Engine performance parameters

Eq. (5) gives the air-fuel ratio ($AF$). On the other hand, the air-fuel equivalence ratio ($\phi$), given by Eq. (6), indicates whether the mixture is lean ($\phi < 1$), rich ($\phi > 1$), or stoichiometric ($\phi = 1$). The symbol $m$ represents mass, subscripts $a$ and $f$ refers to air and fuel, and subscript $s$ indicates the stoichiometric condition.

$$AF = \left( \frac{m_a}{m_f} \right)_s$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$\phi = \frac{AF}{AF_s}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Figure 5 shows that adding $H_2$ leads to lower $\phi$ for all the evaluated engine speed and torque levels. Note that $AF_s$ for diesel amounts to 14.7, which is less than half the corresponding value for $H_2$. Therefore, even relatively low hydrogen amounts could significantly influence $\phi$. This result is consistent with a moderate increase in the air mass flow rate ($m_a$) and a decrease in the diesel mass flow rate ($m_d$), leading to higher AF in all cases.

3.1.1. Hydrogen energy share (HES)

Eq. (7) gives $HES$, which provides the energy contribution of $H_2$ in the dual-fuel operation. In Eq. (3), $LHV$ indicates the Low Heating Value of the fuel.

$$HES = \frac{m_{H2} \cdot LHV_{H2}}{m_{H2} \cdot LHV_{H2} + m_d \cdot LHV_d} \cdot 100\%$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

In this work, $HES$ varied in the range of 18–37%, with the highest $HES$ value corresponding to the lower levels of engine speed and torque. Increasing engine speed at constant torque decreased $HES$, and a similar trend resulted from increasing torque at constant engine speed.

3.1.2. Brake Specific Diesel Fuel Consumption (BSDFC) and Brake Fuel Conversion Efficiency (BFCE)

Eq. (8) gives BSDFC, the ratio of the diesel mass flow rate ($m_d$) and the engine Brake Power ($BP$).

$$BSDFC = \frac{m_d}{BP}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Figure 6a reveals a consistent decrease in BSDFC when comparing the dual-fuel with the neat diesel operation. BSDFC dropped by around 27% and 4% for the lower engine speed and the higher and lower torque levels, respectively. The corresponding results for the higher engine speed were 21 and 31%.

Eq. (9) gives BFCE, which relates $BP$ to the fuel chemical energy.

$$BFCE = \frac{BP}{m_d \cdot LHV_{H2} + m_{H2} \cdot LHV_{H2}} \cdot 100\%$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Figure 6b reveals that the dual-fuel operation increased BFCE compared to the neat diesel operation. Some effects that could contribute to this outcome are the additional water vapor from $H_2$ combustion, the

| Parameter               | Uncertainty [%] |
|-------------------------|-----------------|
| Temperature             | ±0.5            |
| Pressure                | ±1.3            |
| Fuel mass flow rate     | ±1.2            |
| Air mass flow rate      | ±1.0            |
| Engine speed            | ±0.1            |
| $O_2$                   | ±1.3            |
| CO                      | ±0.9            |
| $CO_2$                  | ±2.0            |
| NOx                     | ±0.7            |
| SOx                     | ±0.6            |
| HC                      | ±1.5            |

Figure 5. Influence of fuel type on the equivalence ratio for different levels of engine speed and torque.
duration of diesel fuel injection, and fluctuations in diesel ignition delay [31, 32].

3.1.3. Brake Thermal Efficiency (BTE) and volumetric efficiency (VE)

Eq. (10) gives $BTE$, which relates $BP$ to the fuel chemical energy of the operation with neat diesel.

$$BTE = \frac{BP}{m_{d_{100%}} \cdot LHV_d} \cdot 100\%$$ (10)

Figure 7a reveals a consistent increase in $BTE$ when comparing the dual-fuel operation with only diesel. This result indicates enhanced mixing, lower losses, faster combustion, and reduced pumping work for diesel [33]. $BTE$ increased by around 37 and 4 % for the lower engine speed and the higher and lower torque levels, respectively. The corresponding increments for the higher engine speed were 27 and 43 %.

Eq. (11) gives the Volumetric Efficiency (VE), the ratio between the actual and the maximum air mass flow rate flowing through the engine. In Eq. (6), $\rho$ represents density, $V$ volume, $N$ engine speed, and $DV$ displaced volume. Additionally, $n_r$ represents the number of crankshaft rotations for a complete engine cycle, which equals two for a 4-stroke engine.

$$VE = \frac{m_a \cdot n_r}{\rho_a \cdot DV \cdot N} \cdot 100\%$$ (11)

Figure 7b shows that, compared with the neat diesel operation, $VE$ increased for all the load conditions except for the higher engine speed and torque. $VE$ increased by approximately 3.5-11 % in the first three operation modes because the throttle is fully open. However, at an engine speed of 3600 rpm and a 7 Nm torque, H$_2$ displaces air, which decreases VE by around 6.7 % [34]. The addition of H$_2$ resulted in a higher air-fuel equivalence ratio ($\phi$) for both torque levels at an engine speed of 3000 rpm. On the other hand, there was a reduction in $\phi$ for both torque levels at 3600 rpm. HES presented a variation between 18-37 %, evidencing the highest value of HES at 3000 rpm and a torque of 3 Nm. HES decreased with increasing engine speed at constant torque, as it did when the torque increased at constant engine speed. BSDFC decreased by about 27 and 4 % for the lowest engine speed at the highest and lowest torque levels. In addition, corresponding results were obtained for the highest engine speed of 21% and 31%.

$BTE$ increased by about 37 and 4 % for the lowest engine speed at the highest and lowest torque levels. The corresponding results for the highest engine speed were 27% and 43%, and the VE increased for all load conditions; this was approximately between 3.5 and 11 % in the first three operating modes. However, at a higher engine speed equal to 3600 rpm and torque of 7 Nm, VE decreases by about 6.7 %.

3.2. Emissions

The emissions measured in our experiments were CO, O$_2$, CO$_2$, HC, NO$_x$, and SO$_2$. In what follows, we discuss each of them in the same order.

3.2.1. CO emission

Figure 8a shows a slight decrease in CO emission (from 0.06 to 0.04 v/v %) for the neat diesel operation when increasing torque from 3 to 7 Nm at the lower engine speed. The corresponding results at the higher engine speed...
speed feature the opposite trend in CO emission with a 10-fold increase. Likewise, increasing engine speed at the lower torque resulted in similar CO emission, but there was a striking 16-fold increase in CO emission at the higher torque. The increments in CO emission are consistent with increments in $\phi$ because of the richer mixture, which agrees with a published emission map for CO as a function of engine speed and torque [35]. Figure 8a also shows similar CO emission for the dual-fuel operation when increasing torque from 3 to 7 Nm at the lower engine speed and the lower engine speed and higher torque. There was also a notable 6-fold increase in CO emission at the higher engine speed and torque. Finally, compared with the only-diesel mode, the dual-fuel mode always resulted in lower CO emission, especially at the higher engine speed and torque, with corresponding results of 0.65 and 0.19 v/v %.

Previous experimental studies on H$_2$-diesel dual-fuel operation disclose findings consistent with our results. Pavlos et al. revealed a reduction of 94% with a 98% of hydrogen energy ratio, around 34%–95% and 16%–65% in three different studies, respectively [36, 37, 38]. Koten et al. showed a maximum decrease of 12.09% in a four-stroke diesel with a direct injection engine [39]. CO emissions dropped by around 25–71% in the dual-fuel mode, and the effect was most evident at the higher engine speed and torque. The equivalence ratio decreased with H$_2$ addition, reducing CO emissions [40].

![Figure 8](image-url)

**Figure 8.** Pollutant emissions for neat diesel and H$_2$-diesel dual fuel: a) CO; b) O$_2$; c) CO$_2$; d) HC; e) NO$_x$; f) SO$_2$. 
in-cylinder temperature characterizes the dual-fuel mode, and this condition reduces CO emission by favoring its conversion into CO$_2$ [41].

### 3.2.2. O$_2$ emission

The dependence of O$_2$ emission on both engine speed and torque shows an inverse relation for the operation with neat diesel [35]. Our results for O$_2$ emission agree with those findings, as shown in Figure 8b. For neat diesel, increasing torque from 3 to 7Nm at an engine speed of 3000 rpm decreases O$_2$ emission by around 12%. A similar increase in torque at 3600 rpm also reduces O$_2$ emission by about 36%. Conversely, increasing engine speed from 3000 rpm to 3600 rpm for the lower and higher torque resulted in respective drops in O$_2$ emission of about 5 and 32%. The dual-fuel operation only shows minor differences in O$_2$ emission (<2%) compared with the operation with neat diesel, consistent with the moderate HES in our study. Studies reporting O$_2$ emissions for dual-fuel operation are scarce, although assessing O$_2$ emissions provides valuable insight into combustion quality.

### 3.2.3. CO$_2$ emission

The results show a direct proportion for the dependence of the CO$_2$ emission on both engine speed and torque when operating with neat diesel [35]. Figure 8c indicates that increasing torque from 3 to 7Nm at 3000 rpm raised CO$_2$ emission by around 48% when operating with pure diesel. For the same fuel and torque change, but at 3600 rpm, CO$_2$ emission increased by approximately 122%. Additionally, increasing engine speed from 3000 rpm to 3600 rpm for the lower and higher torque resulted in respective increments in CO$_2$ emission of about 17% and 73%. For an engine speed of 3000 rpm and dual fuel operation, Figure 8c indicates that increasing torque from 3 to 7 Nm raised CO$_2$ emission by about 65%. Likewise, the same torque change at an engine speed of 3600 rpm increased CO$_2$ by around 25% for the lower torque and 127% for the higher torque. Lastly, the dual-fuel mode always resulted in lower CO emission than the neat diesel mode, although this difference decreased considerably at the higher engine speed and torque.

H$_2$ is a carbon-free molecule, so its addition to the intake air reduces the emission of CO$_2$ for given output power. Published studies on H$_2$-diesel dual-fuel engines also report similar results, where it could be noted maximum reductions of 45.7%, 11.5%, and 39.0% with different engines and hydrogen contribution percentages [25, 39, 40]. A common rail direct injection diesel engine featured a CO$_2$ maximum reduction of 14% [42]. Other studies showed a maximum reduction of 17% in a single-cylinder diesel engine with a higher capacity [43]. Our results show a drop in CO$_2$ emission of about 5–34%, the lower result corresponding to the higher engine speed and torque.

### 3.2.4. HC emission

The incomplete combustion of hydrocarbons in the fuel causes HC emissions. Figure 8d reveals that increasing torque from 3 to 7Nm at an engine speed of 3000 rpm raises HC emissions by around 33% for neat diesel operation. A similar increment in torque at 3600 rpm shows the opposite trend in HC emissions, with a drop of about 41%. Furthermore, increasing engine speed from 3000 to 3600 rpm at a torque of 3 Nm raised HC emissions by around 83%. Again, the same increment in engine speed at the higher torque level increased HC emissions by approximately 19%. The dual-fuel operation features HC emissions trends similar to those described above for pure diesel. Additionally, increasing torque at the lower engine speed and increasing engine speed at the lower torque raised HC emissions by around 75% and 125%, respectively. Conversely, increasing torque at the higher engine speed and increasing engine speed at the higher torque diminished HC emissions by 50 and 36%, respectively.

The addition of H$_2$ to the air intake port could result in fast combustion, high in-cylinder temperature, and lower carbon in the fuel per output power unit. The expected output is a reduction in HC emissions. However, Figure 8d contradicts this expectation at the lower engine speed and higher torque.

Several studies report significant reductions in HC emissions for the dual-fuel operation compared with neat diesel [44, 45]. On the other hand, in their review, Roshra et al. [40] list some studies in which HC emissions increased under dual-fuel operation compared with neat diesel. Other researchers also report an increment in HC emissions for a specific load and HES (hydrogen energy sharing) level [43, 46]. These contrasting results suggest that, at certain operation conditions, the addition of H$_2$ could result in reduced combustion efficiency.

### 3.2.5. NO$_x$ emission

NO$_x$, composed of NO and NO$_2$, is one of the main challenges for internal combustion engines, especially under dual-fuel operation. According to Figure 8e, NO$_x$ emissions for neat diesel increased by around 124% when torque increased from 3 Nm to 7 Nm at an engine speed of 3000 rpm. Under an engine speed of 3600 rpm, the same increase in torque raised NO$_x$ emissions by around 108%. For the lower and higher torque levels, increasing engine speed from 3000 rpm to 3600 rpm raised NO$_x$ emissions by about 7 and 14%, respectively. On the other hand, for a dual-fuel operation, results indicate that increasing torque from 3 to 7 Nm boosts NO$_x$ emissions by around 133 and 159% at respective engine speeds of 3000 rpm and 3600 rpm. Likewise, increasing engine speed from 3000 rpm to 3600 rpm under dual-fuel operation raised NO$_x$ emissions by 7 and 19% for the lower and higher torque levels, respectively. A direct proportion characterizes NO$_x$ emissions dependence on torque, while NO$_x$ emissions are almost independent of engine speed for the operation with neat diesel [35]. The general behavior demonstrated that rising the engine power causes an increase in the combustion chamber temperature, thus exacerbating NO$_x$ emissions. H$_2$ has a relatively high heating value, which induces higher combustion temperatures, as highlighted by Jamrozik et al. [47].

### 3.2.6. SO$_2$ emission

For neat diesel and an engine speed of 3000 rpm, Figure 8f indicates that increasing torque from 3 to 7 Nm decreased SO$_2$ emission by about 11%. Contrarily, the same torque change at an engine speed of 3600 rpm increased SO$_2$ emission by around 508%. When engine speed was raised from 3000 rpm to 3600 rpm, SO$_2$ emission increased by approximately 168% for the lower torque and 1724% for the higher torque. On the other hand, increasing torque from 3 to 7 Nm at an engine speed of 3000 rpm decreased SO$_2$ emission by 56% for dual-fuel operation. A similar increment in torque at 3600 rpm shows the opposite trend in SO$_2$ emission, which increased by around 31%.

Furthermore, increasing engine speed from 3000 to 3600 rpm at a torque of 3 Nm raised SO$_2$ emission by around 42%. Contrarily, the same increment in engine speed at the higher torque level increased SO$_2$ emissions by about 210%. Comparing the neat diesel and dual-fuel operations shows that starting from 3000 rpm, adding H$_2$ increased SO$_2$ emission at the exhaust for both torque values. Furthermore, adding H$_2$ also increased SO$_2$ emission for the operation at 3600 rpm and 3 Nm. Lastly, SO$_2$ emissions decreased significantly under dual-fuel operation in the most demanding condition.

SO$_2$ depends on fuel sulfur content, excess air, and burned fuel [48]. Therefore, adding H$_2$ favors the formation of SO$_2$ in the first three modes of operation because the throttle was always opened, and the air consumption was higher in the dual-fuel mode. In contrast, there was a decrease in SO$_2$ emissions in the most demanding mode of operation since the air consumption decreased compared with neat diesel. In addition, the decrease in air consumption favors the decrease in SO$_2$ emissions because H$_2$ displaces the oxygen in the air, which leads to a lower formation of SO$_2$, which is consistent with published results [47, 49].

A first indication of the economic viability of the technical proposal presented in this research results from analyzing the influence of adding
H₂ on engine economy. The cost rate of output power (\( \dot{C}_{\text{w}} \), USD kWh\(^{-1}\)) was determined via Eq. (12).

\[
\dot{C}_{\text{w}} = \frac{108600p_i \cdot \dot{m}_f}{\pi T \cdot \omega} \quad (12)
\]

where \( p_i \) (USD kg\(^{-1}\)) is the price of diesel fuel, \( \dot{m}_f \) (kg s\(^{-1}\)) the mass flow of diesel fuel, \( T \) (kNm) the torque, and \( \omega \) (rev min\(^{-1}\)) the rotational speed. To determine \( p_i \), a diesel fuel price of 1.11 USD per liter was considered [47], with a density of 860 kg m\(^{-3}\) (see Table 5), which resulted in 1.29 USD kg\(^{-1}\).

Adding H₂ could reduce the cost of the generated power, depending on the cost of both H₂ and diesel. For a low load condition (a torque of 3 Nm), H₂ could enable a 23% reduction in the economic cost of power output. In the case of high load conditions (7 Nm), a cost reduction of 16% could be reached. On average, under the engine test conditions, a \( \dot{C}_{\text{w}} \) of 0.87 USD kWh\(^{-1}\) and 0.69 USD kWh\(^{-1}\) were obtained for diesel and hydrogen-diesel mixture, respectively. This result implies that if the cost of H₂ generation is less than 0.18 USD kWh\(^{-1}\), a net economic benefit would be achieved with the partial replacement of diesel with H₂. The H₂ generation cost will be determined by the renewable electricity source and the electrolyzer technology (PEM or alkaline) [51]. In addition, there will be other benefits related to the dual H₂-diesel operation, specifically the reduction of polluting emissions and the potential access to economic benefits due to support policies [47].

4. Conclusions and future outlook

This work presents an experimental assessment of performance and emissions for a single-cylinder, four-stroke, air-cooled compression ignition engine with neat diesel and H₂-diesel as fuels. Previous studies typically show the performance and emissions of H₂-diesel dual-fuel engines for a specific operation condition (i.e. a fixed engine speed and torque) or a limited operating range. In contrast, our experiments covered engine speed levels of 3000 and 3600 rpm, and torque levels of 3 and 7 Nm. In addition, an in-house designed alkaline cell generated the H₂ for partial diesel substitution.

Adding H₂ decreased the air-fuel equivalence ratio because H₂ displaced air in the engine. The extent of the decrease in Brake Specific Diesel Fuel Consumption under dual-fuel operation depended on engine speed and torque, and the best result corresponded to the most demanding operation mode. The dual-fuel operation mode also decreased the Brake Fuel Conversion Efficiency, consistent with the effect of water vapor from H₂ combustion. On the other hand, the Volumetric Efficiency, i.e., the ratio between the actual and the maximum air mass flow rate, increased with H₂ addition for all the load conditions except at the highest power output.

The H₂-diesel dual-fuel mode resulted in markedly lower CO and CO₂ emissions than the operation with neat diesel for the same output power. The emissions of hydrocarbons decreased with H₂ addition, except for the lower engine speed and the higher torque. This result indicates that, at certain operation conditions, adding H₂ could result in reduced combustion efficiency. The dual-fuel operation showed minor changes in O₂ emissions than neat diesel, consistent with the relatively low Hydrogen Energy Sharing. The hydrogen-diesel dual-fuel mode resulted in higher NOx emissions than the operation with neat diesel, while the difference in SO₂ emissions depended on the engine speed and torque levels.

Although fossil fuels are still the predominant energy source for internal combustion engines, H₂ enrichment represents a robust alternative to tackle greenhouse emissions. The integration of green H₂ production systems, namely electrolyzers, demonstrated versatility to operate simultaneously in internal combustion engines, reinforcing its implementation. The dual-fuel strategy can increase combustion stability and thermal efficiency while decreasing fuel consumption and CO₂, CO, and unburned hydrocarbons emissions. H₂ emerges as a versatile energy carrier with the potential to tackle current energy and emissions challenges, and the H₂-diesel dual strategy is a suitable alternative for compression ignition engines. However, the results show that relatively high NOx emissions are a significant drawback. Besides, there is still room for enhancing power output within a wide range of engine speeds and torque using direct injection. The engine’s durability and reliability are vital concerns to be tackled via dedicated tests.

The present study is limited to the analysis of the effects of H₂ in compression-ignition internal combustion engines, used mainly for power generation. Additionally, the investigation used a fixed hydrogen mass flow rate and did not measure soot emission. Future efforts should investigate the sustainability features of the results obtained using sustainability assessment tools such as life cycle assessment, energy and exergy analyses, and their combination [50]. Other research needs are further clarifying the effect of exhaust gas recirculation in the entire load range [51], diesel injection strategies to accomplish stable combustion [51], oxygenate additives [52], and knocking at high H₂ energy share [53].
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