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ABSTRACT

The Euler method is a first-order numerical procedure for solving Ordinary Differential Equation (ODEs) problems. It is an effective and easy method to solve initial value problems. Although Euler provides simple procedure for solving ODEs, there have been issues such as complexity, time of processing and accuracy that compelled the use of other, more complex, methods. Improvements to the Euler method have attracted much attention resulting in numerous modified Euler methods. This paper proposes Cube Arithmetic, a modified Euler method with improved accuracy. The efficiency of Cube Arithmetic was compared with Euler Arithmetic and tested using SCILAB against exact solutions. Results indicate that not only Cube Arithmetic provided solutions that are similar to exact solutions at small step size, but also at higher step size, hence producing more accurate results.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Numerical method is widely used in computer science. It helps researchers, engineers, and students to approximate the solution of complicated problems so that the final solution consists of only addition, subtraction and multiplication operations. Numerical method forms an important part of solving Initial Value Problems (IVPs) in Ordinary Differential Equations (ODEs), especially in cases where the exact solution is difficult to obtain or in the absence of closed form analytic formula.

The Euler method is a first-order numerical procedure for solving ODEs with a given initial value. It is an effective [1] and the easiest method [2] to solve IVPs in ODEs. Euler method has been used in variety field such as in communication and security to get better solution. Regarding [3], Euler method has better performance in modeling uncertainties. It is supported by [4] where as experimental results indicate that it is much simpler and faster, more sensitive and robust. Although Euler provides simple procedure for solving ODEs, there are issues that have compelled the use of other, more complex methods such as Runge Kutta. One of them is “accuracy” as reported by [5-6]. Improvements to the Euler method have attracted much attention including [1-2], [5-7], resulting in modified Euler methods.

This paper is aim to discover a new algorithm as accurate as possible with exact solution by using average concept. The new algorithm proposed in this paper name it as Cube Arithmetic. This idea to develop this new algorithm is by enhance other algorithm proposed by Qureshi [7] and Nurhafizah [8]. Qureshi used average of arithmetic mean for two points at coordinate \( y = \frac{f(x_n, y_n)+f(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})}{2} \). The equation is referring as Euler Arithmetic shows improvement in accuracy and speed compared to Euler Method. [8] uses concept of Qureshi [7] but choose Harmonic mean and Contraharmonic mean in the equation.
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Thus, this paper proposes a modified Euler method using the Cube mean concept. The method was constructed by extracting the Euler Arithmetic (EA) method in [9] and using Cube mean in the equation. The accuracy of the proposed method is demonstrated by the comparing error results with step sizes of h 0.1, 0.01 and 0.001.

2. PROPOSED ALGORITHM

The algorithm is based on the Cube Mean in Euler Arithmetic. Euler Arithmetic (EA) is a well-known technique for improving the Euler method. Euler methods used in [1] and [2] were extracted as basis for the algorithm. Cube mean within two coordinate points of function were used to improve the methods. Equation (1) is a basic formula of the Euler method.

\[ y_{n+1} = y_n + \Delta f(t_0, y_0) \]  

Equation (2) by [1] was modified using the average concept.

\[ y_{n+1} = y_n + hf(x_n + \frac{h}{2}, y_n + \frac{h}{2}(f(x_n, y_n) + f(x_n + h, y_n + hf(x_n, y_n))) \]  

The proposed average in cube mean for the two midpoints is written as Equation (3)

\[ y_{n+1} = y_n + hf(x_n + \frac{h}{2}, y_n + \frac{h}{2}(f(x_n, y_n)^3 + (f(x_n + h, y_n + hf(x_n, y_n))^3) \]  

Modifications to the slope of the function at estimated midpoints of \((x_0, y_0)\) and \((x_1, y_1)\) would improve the stability and accuracy of Euler.

3. RESEARCH METHOD

There are a few processes to present a mathematical model. First, it can be presented by mathematical software and algorithm development. Before using the mathematical software, algorithm development must be done first. Algorithm refers as instructions for solving problem using simple language [10-11]. According to [12], construction process in mathematical software includes as follows.

a) The design and analysis algorithms  
b) Algorithm coding  
c) Testing  
d) Details documentation  
e) Distribution and maintenance of the software

Algorithm that has been developed will be converting to the programming language. In this study, the code program is written in SCILAB 6.0 to test the effectiveness of the algorithm. The effectiveness of the algorithm will be identifying by taking it accuracy and time of taken to process the algorithm. This paper will focus on accuracy by comparing the exact solution and solution between proposed method known as Cube Euler Arithmetic and modified Euler naming as Arithmetic Euler.

Cube Arithmetic (CA), the proposed algorithm in Equation (3), was represented using SCILAB 6.0. SCILAB is a powerful, low-cost [13-14] and flexible tool for researchers, engineers, and students to use in mathematical computer application. Scilab was developed by the French National Institute for Informatics and Automation Research (INRIA) [15]. The effectiveness of CA was determined through the comparison of both CA and modified EA solutions, focusing on accuracy and processing time.

4. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Results of three first order ODEs were recorded. These results were obtained by testing CA with three sets of linear ODEs using three different step sizes: 0.1, 0.01 and 0.001. These sets were tested for efficiency using the minimum and maximum error for the whole cycle. Table 1 illustrates the exact solutions
for the ODEs. Table 2 illustrates the comparison results between EA and CA methods. Relative error based on [17] was calculated as:

$$\text{Error} = \left| \frac{E_{x} - Ev}{Ex} \right|$$,  
where $Ex$=Exact_value and $Ev$=Euler’s_modified_value

| Equation | Exact Solution | Initial Values | Interval of Integration | Source |
|----------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------|--------|
| $y'=-0.5y$ | $e^{(-0.5x)}$ | $y(0)=0$ | $0 \leq x \leq 20$ | [16] |
| $y'=y$ | $e^{x}$ | $y(0)=1$ | $0 \leq x \leq 20$ | [16] |
| $y'=10y$ | $e^{(10x)}$ | $y(0)=1$ | $0 \leq x \leq 20$ | [16] |

Table 2. Results of Errors Using Various Step Size $h$

| Method | Euler Arithmetic | Cube Arithmetic |
|--------|------------------|-----------------|
| Step Size | $h=0.001$ | $h=0.01$ | $h=0.1$ | $h=0.001$ | $h=0.01$ | $h=0.1$ |
| Problem 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.000083 | 0 | 0.000001 | 0.000076 |
| Problem 2 | 0 | 0.000003 | 0.000355 | 0 | 0.000003 | 0.00302 |
| Problem 3 | 0 | 0.000401 | 0.117900 | 0 | 0.000300 | 0.029020 |

The results for each method were compared to the exact solution using maximum error. It is evident from Table 2 that the proposed CA method provided a more accurate result than EA at higher $h$ sizes. Smaller step size produced similar results for both methods.

Problem 1 demonstrated that the results of the CA method is better than EA at $h=0.1$ with a maximum error of 0.000076 and 0.000083 respectively. Figure 1 illustrates the differences between the two methods. Results at $h=0.01$ showed that CA scored 0.000001 compared to EA at 0. Both methods scored the same at $h=0.001$. Problem 2 demonstrated that the results for both CA and EA methods are almost the same at $h=0.1$, 0.01 and 0.001, as shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 1. Differences between the two methods](image1)

![Figure 1. The results for both CA and EA methods](image2)
Problem 3 demonstrated that the CA method scored better results compared to EA at each step size. At $h=0.1$, CA scored 0.029020 whilst EA scored 0.117900, and at $h=0.01$, CA scored 0.000300 whilst EA scored 0.000400, as shown in Figure 3.
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**Figure 2.** The results for both CA and EA methods

It can be summarized that the proposed CA method provides a more accurate result than EA in solving ODEs at higher step size.

5. **CONCLUSION**

This paper has proposed Cube Arithmetic, a new method using modified Euler as finding of this study. The feasibility of the proposed algorithm was compared with Euler Arithmetic and tested using SCILAB. Subsequently, the Cube Arithmetic compared with Euler Arithmetic scheme with the exact solution. Usually, the ordinary Euler method using a small step size gives the solution almost to the exact solution. However, in this study has been demonstrated that Cube Arithmetic provided solutions that are similar to exact solutions at small step size and also at higher step size. The benefits of using small step size will give a higher accuracy. Therefore a higher step size would reduce complexity and processing time. As a conclusion, Cube Arithmetic can be used as an alternative algorithm to solve ODE problems.
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