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Abstract

Background: Although mentorship can bring many benefits to medical education, mentors’ need for professional development is typically ignored. This study aims to acquire insight into the development of pharmaceutical mentors’ competence by comparing differences between mentors’ and postgraduates’ perspectives.

Methods: We used ANOVAs, independent sample T-tests and paired-sample T-tests to analyze data collected via an anonymous survey including a prepared questionnaire completed by 118 pharmaceutical mentors and 118 pharmaceutical postgraduates from 8 Chinese universities and colleges.

Results: 1. Research competence, professional knowledge, and communication competence exhibited the highest means. 2. Research competence was highly correlated with communication competence and moderately correlated with professional knowledge, educational competence, academic achievement and supportive competence. 3. Mentors’ educational competence was significantly more important to mentors than to postgraduates, and mentors’ supportive competence was significantly more important to postgraduates than to mentors. 4. Educational competence, supportive competence and academic achievement were significantly more important to mentors with a bachelor’s degree than to mentors with a master’s or doctoral degree. 5. Research competence, educational competence and communication competence were significantly more important to female students than male students.

Conclusions: Good mentors should possess three core competencies: research competence, professional knowledge and communication competence. They are related rather than independent. The construction of a harmonious mentoring relationship should take full account of the student’s characteristics and expectations because graduate students care more about supportive competence and female students assign greater importance to mentors’ competence than male students. There should be more development opportunities for less educated mentors, as they have a greater need to increase their competence than more qualified mentors.

Background

Mentoring has always been regarded as the most effective way to enhance the professionalism of pharmacy students. A systematic review concluded that mentoring has an important influence on pharmaceutical students’ professional development, career orientation, choice of career and research productivity, including success in publishing scientific articles and obtaining research grants [1–3]. Mentoring is also beneficial to mentors, as they may experience more job satisfaction and self-esteem by sharing knowledge with young students and having the opportunity to learn from mentees [4, 5]. Therefore, mentorship is widely applied in higher pharmaceutical education, and mentors’ competence has a substantial influence on student performance through various factors, both personal and environmental [5–7]. Although mentorship can bring many benefits to graduate education, most universities and educational research remain focused only on newly qualified teachers. As a result, relatively little is known about mentors’ professional knowledge and needs [8]. Therefore, mentor education needs to be developed and studied under certain circumstances to work effectively, as it is difficult to adopt a model for mentor education from another context [8]. There is no universal definition of mentoring, and mentoring is a contested practice [9] in which different concepts, such as mentoring, supervision, and coaching, are used [10]. Mentoring can be performed in many contexts, with a variety of purposes and theoretical approaches [11], and under different circumstances in a variety of ways with different durations and intensities [12]. For example, in many countries, the terms ‘mentor’ and ‘mentor education’ are often used in the context of ‘pre-service education’ and focus on initial teacher training, student teachers and their mentors [8, 13, 14]. In this study, a mentor is defined as an experienced teacher who supports assigned postgraduates...
in their learning and is responsible for teaching, guiding and assessing students in professional practice. Therefore, the nature of the mentor-mentee relationship is an extension of the teacher-student relationship, and it is deeper and longer lasting in medicine than in business [15]. Many factors affect the success of the mentor-mentee relationship, and it is difficult to construct a universal model suitable for any context [5]. Obviously, however, the development of a mentor’s professional competence is important and is the best guarantee of future success in mentorship [16].

The most common understanding of competence includes ‘knowledge’, ‘skills’, ‘attitudes’, and also complex combinations of these dimensions to help people perform intelligently in specific situations [17]. The professional competences of health science teachers are multi-dimensional, such as leadership and management competence, evidence-based education competence, subject competence, and ethical competence [18]. However, there is no agreement on the important competences required of health science teachers in different countries, even within the specific discipline of healthcare education [19]. Mentor programs on pharmacy education have usually been presented in detail [20], but there is little knowledge about how mentors should prepare themselves for the successful implementation of these projects.

Pharmacy education is considered workplace-based because at least 5% of the curriculum or a minimum of 300 hours of introductory pharmacy practice experience are required according to the Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education Professional Degree Program Standards 2007 [21]. The mentoring takes place not only in the classroom but also in a variety of practice environments, such as in the community, institutions, pharmacies and other practice settings. The Accreditation Council of Pharmacy Education Guidelines list the behavior, qualities, and values suggested for preceptors, including behaving ethically and showing compassion for patients; accepting personal responsibility for patient outcomes; having professional training, experience, and competence commensurate with their position; and using clinical and scientific publications in clinical care decision making and evidence-based practice [22]. At present, clinical pharmacists and academic researchers are the two main types of pharmaceutical postgraduate education cultivated in China. Whether in a clinical or an academic setting, mentorship refers to a complex and multidimensional process [23] that integrates individual and organizational aspects and environmental, collegial, pedagogical and clinical attributes [24]. However, a focus on mentors’ competences is pivotal as they are the main role models and experts guiding students [25].

In our previous study, we built the theoretical framework for Chinese pharmaceutical mentors’ competence, including research competence, educational competence, supportive competence, communication competence, academic achievement, and professional knowledge [26]. This study explored the relationship among six competences further through investigating and analyzing differences between the perspectives of mentors and postgraduates regarding pharmaceutical mentors’ competences in China. The study aimed to identify the profiles of good mentors’ competences from mentor and student expectations to promote understanding and cultivation of mentors’ professional competence.

**Methods**

**Participants and data collection**

This pilot survey involved a general sample of 118 pharmaceutical mentors and 118 pharmaceutical postgraduates from eight Chinese universities and colleges. The pharmaceutical professional mentors and postgraduates were all volunteers.

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at Army Medical University. All experiments were performed in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.
Instruments and measurements

(1) The demographic information collected for the mentors included age, sex, professional title, educational background, teaching experience and units, and the demographics collected for the postgraduate students included sex, grade and units. Detailed information was presented in our earlier study [26].

(2) A questionnaire called 'Research on the competence of pharmaceutical professional mentors in Chinese universities' was developed in our earlier study [26]. The questionnaire consisted of 37 items in 6 categories: research competence, educational competence, supportive competence, communication competence, academic achievement and professional knowledge. We used a 7-point Likert scale (the score for each item ranged from 1 to 7, 1 = not important, 7 = very important) [27]. A high score indicated strong competence. The questionnaire's overall reliability and all reliability dimensions of the self-rating scale were good, with an overall Cronbach's alpha coefficient of 0.957, and the alpha values ranged from 0.831 to 0.921 for the six subscales.

Procedures

The investigative procedure was described in our earlier study [26]. Briefly, we conducted an anonymous survey with the prepared questionnaire and polled 118 pharmaceutical mentors and 118 pharmaceutical postgraduates from 8 Chinese universities and colleges in Xian, Chongqing, Kunming and Chengdu cities. A total of 225 questionnaires were returned for a response rate of 95%. Any questionnaire with less than 95% completion or with the same score for each option was excluded, and nine unqualified questionnaires were eliminated. Therefore, the effective sampling number was 216, with an effective rate of 91%.

Data analysis

The scores for the items in each category were summed to generate a score for the category, such as research competence, educational competence, supportive competence, communication competence, academic achievement and professional knowledge. The total score for each mentor was aggregated from the scores for all six categories. The data were analyzed using SPSS 13.0. The demographic data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, and product-moment correlation analysis was used to check the relationships among the six scales. ANOVAs were used on the six scales and the overall score to test for differences between the mentors and postgraduates based on their characteristics. Independent sample T-tests and paired-sample T-tests were used on the six scales to test for differences in mentors’ competences, and p < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

Results

The correlations and differences among the six competences

The results of the product-moment correlation analysis (Table 1) showed that there were significant positive correlations among the six competences (p < 0.01). Research competence was highly correlated with communication competence (r = 0.734, p = 0.000), indicating that the interviewees thought that the stronger the communication ability of the mentor was, the stronger his research ability was. Research competence was also moderately associated with professional knowledge, educational competence, academic achievement and supportive competence (0.4 ≤ r ≤ 0.7, p < 0.01).
Table 1

Correlation matrix of the 6 competences.

|                           | Research competence | Educational competence | Supportive competence | Communication competence | Academic achievement | Professional knowledge |
|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Research competence       | 1                   |                        |                       |                          |                      |                        |
| Educational competence    | 0.622** (R² = 0.387) | 1                      |                       |                          |                      |                        |
| Supportive competence     | 0.446** (R² = 0.199) | 0.538** (R² = 0.289)   | 1                     |                          |                      |                        |
| Communication competence  | 0.734** (R² = 0.538) | 0.589** (R² = 0.347)   | 0.462** (R² = 0.213)  | 1                        |                      |                        |
| Academic achievement      | 0.558** (R² = 0.311) | 0.636** (R² = 0.404)   | 0.514** (R² = 0.264)  | 0.518** (R² = 0.268)     | 1                    |                        |
| Professional knowledge    | 0.659** (R² = 0.434) | 0.576** (R² = 0.332)   | 0.467** (R² = 0.218)  | 0.592** (R² = 0.350)     | 0.587** (R² = 0.345) | 1                      |

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)

The highest mean was found for research competence (m = 5.78, sd = 0.87), followed by professional knowledge (m = 5.72, sd = 1.01), communication competence (m = 5.65, sd = 0.96), supportive competence (m = 5.20, sd = 1.19), educational competence (m = 4.92, sd = 1.12), and academic achievement (m = 4.77, sd = 1.21). The results of the paired-sample T-tests (Table 2) showed that there were no significant differences between research competence and professional knowledge or between professional knowledge and communication competence. There were significant differences between communication competence and supportive competence (P = 0.000), supportive competence and educational competence (P = 0.000), and educational competence and academic achievement (P = 0.023).

Table 2

Paired samples T-test for the 6 competences.

|                           | Mean ± SD   | Std.Error Mean | t     | df  | P   |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------|-----|-----|
| Pair1 Research competence & Professional knowledge | 0.058 ± 0.785 | 0.053          | 1.096 | 216 | 0.274 |
| Pair2 Communication competence & Professional knowledge | -0.073 ± 0.887 | 0.060          | -1.210 | 216 | 0.228 |
| Pair3 Supportive competence & Communication competence | -0.447 ± 1.132 | 0.077          | -5.816 | 216 | 0.000 |
| Pair4 Educational competence & Supportive competence | -0.280 ± 1.114 | 0.076          | -3.706 | 216 | 0.000 |
| Pair5 Educational competence & Academic achievement | 0.155 ± 0.997 | 0.068          | 2.289  | 216 | 0.023 |
Evaluation of the differences between mentors and postgraduates

Mentors and postgraduates had significantly different views about educational competence and supportive competence (Table 3). The educational competence of mentors was significantly more important to mentors than to postgraduates, while the supportive competence of mentors was significantly more important to postgraduates than to mentors. There were no significant differences for research competence, communication competence, academic achievement or professional knowledge.

Table 3
Differences between mentors and postgraduates regarding pharmaceutical mentors’ competence.

| Subscale               | Mentors (n = 108) | Postgraduates (n = 108) | t     | p     |
|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|
| Research competence    | 64.796 ± 7.101    | 62.376 ± 11.424         | 1.876 | 0.062 |
| Educational competence | 30.815 ± 5.554    | 28.257 ± 7.536          | 2.848 | 0.005 |
| Supportive competence  | 24.639 ± 5.623    | 27.367 ± 6.003          | -3.454| 0.001 |
| Communication competence| 28.630 ± 3.909    | 27.862 ± 5.492          | 1.186 | 0.237 |
| Academic achievement   | 23.833 ± 5.666    | 23.835 ± 6.404          | -0.002| 0.999 |
| Professional knowledge | 28.769 ± 4.765    | 28.450 ± 5.301          | 0.466 | 0.642 |

Values are expressed as the means of three replicates with standard deviations (Mean ± SD)

There were significant differences among mentors with different backgrounds regarding the importance of educational competence, supportive competence and academic achievement (Table 4). Mentors’ educational competence, supportive competence and academic achievement were significantly more important for mentors with a bachelor’s degree than for mentors with a master’s degree and mentors with a doctoral degree. As mentors’ educational background increased, the sense of the importance of the three abilities gradually decreased (Fig. 1). There were no significant differences in the importance of research competence, educational competence, supportive competence, communication competence, academic achievement, professional knowledge, supportive competence, academic achievement of professional knowledge for mentors of different sexes, ages, teaching experience and professional titles.

Table 4
Importance of pharmaceutical mentors’ competence for mentors from different educational backgrounds.

| Subscale               | Mentors’ educational background | F     | P     |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|-------|
|                        | Bachelor’s(n = 4) | Master’s(n = 14) | Doctorate(n = 90) |
| Research competence    | 70.000 ± 8.756    | 64.710 ± 7.237   | 64.580 ± 7.009    | 1.120 | 0.330 |
| Educational competence | 37.250 ± 2.754    | 32.714 ± 6.650   | 30.233 ± 5.253    | 4.239 | 0.017 |
| Supportive competence  | 31.750 ± 2.630    | 26.429 ± 7.046   | 24.044 ± 5.234    | 4.717 | 0.011 |
| Communication competence| 31.500 ± 2.380    | 28.714 ± 3.384   | 28.489 ± 4.015    | 1.143 | 0.323 |
| Academic achievement   | 32.250 ± 1.708    | 25.214 ± 6.015   | 23.244 ± 5.420    | 5.791 | 0.004 |
| Professional knowledge | 31.750 ± 1.500    | 28.714 ± 5.150   | 28.644 ± 4.788    | 0.812 | 0.447 |

Values are expressed as the means of three replicates with standard deviations (Mean ± SD)
Postgraduates had significantly different views about research competence, educational competence and communication competence according to sex (Table 5). The importance of these competences was significantly higher for female students than for male students. There were no significant differences for supportive competence, academic achievement, or professional knowledge.

### Table 5

| Subscale                | Postgraduates’ sex | t     | p   |
|-------------------------|--------------------|-------|-----|
|                         | Male (n = 41)      | Female (n = 67) |
| Research competence     | 58.83 ± 15.42      | 64.51 ± 7.490 | -2.209 | 0.032 |
| Educational competence  | 26.244 ± 8.532     | 29.471 ± 6.641 | -2.204 | 0.030 |
| Supportive competence   | 26.707 ± 6.112     | 27.765 ± 5.945 | -0.890 | 0.375 |
| Communication competence| 26.317 ± 6.904     | 28.794 ± 4.220 | -2.075 | 0.042 |
| Academic achievement    | 23.537 ± 7.078     | 24.015 ± 6.009 | -0.376 | 0.708 |
| Professional knowledge  | 27.293 ± 6.396     | 29.147 ± 4.423 | -1.636 | 0.107 |

Values are expressed as the means of three replicates with standard deviations (Mean ± SD)

### Discussion

Research competence, professional knowledge and communication competence were recognized as the most important competences of pharmaceutical mentors. Academic research is an important part of postgraduate training. The Accreditation Council of Pharmacy Education Guidelines (Guidelines 23.4) state, ‘colleges and schools should implement strategies and programs to broaden the professional horizons of students in areas such as scientific inquiry, scholarly concern for the profession, and the relevance and value of research’ [22]. Therefore, research competence is considered the core competence of health science teachers [16], and the quantity and quality of science papers published and national research topics are important evaluation indices for induction and on-the-job evaluations of mentors in Chinese universities, such as Tsinghua University and Peking University [28]. Mentors should strengthen their comprehensive abilities, especially their research ability, to carry out postgraduate education [29]. Meanwhile, research competence could stimulate the self-education and professional development of teachers and their ability to comprehend new ideas to be implemented for educational purposes [30]. In the study, mentors’ research competence was significantly positively correlated with educational competence (r = 0.622, p < 0.05). Like Burke-Smalley et al. [31] argued that the ability to successfully integrate research and teaching is the essence of a university professor.

Teachers’ subject matter knowledge and pedagogical content knowledge have been argued to be essential for achieving educational quality [32, 33]. The transmission of knowledge and information from the mentor to the mentee is an integral part of mentoring [5]. Pharmaceutics is a highly professional discipline based on chemistry, biology, and medicine that has a very complex knowledge system [34]. The study indicated professional knowledge was positively related with research competence, educational competence, supportive competence, communication competence and academic achievement. Among them, the correlation with research competence was the highest (r = 0.659, p < 0.01). According to the componential theory of creativity, professional knowledge is an indispensable component of creativity [35, 36], which is the basis for mentors’ scientific research. Therefore, mentors should continue to acquire
professional knowledge, such as pharmaceutics, clinical medicine, biology, chemistry, new experimental technology, and database search methods, to provide better guidance.

Teacher communication skills are necessary for improving student learning. Mainhard et al. [37] reported that teachers can be most effective when they convey relatively high levels of interpersonal agency and communion in class. Aspfors and Fransson [8] reported that trusting, comfortable, supportive and stimulating relations among participants in mentor education are crucial for professional learning. Bargar and Duncan [38] advised academic supervisors of postgraduates to follow several principles to promote students’ creative dissertation work, including building a friendly and equal relationship between teachers and students, thereby facilitating good communication. Furthermore, we found that mentors’ research competence and communication competence were highly correlated \( r = 0.734, p < 0.01 \), and communication competence explained 53.8% of the total variance in research competence. Communication led to higher levels of team cooperation [39] and more support and resources [40, 41], which play important roles in research ability. Therefore, we should strengthen mentors’ communication skills training through lab meetings, teaching discussions, speech contests and language expression training courses to improve mentors’ professional development and students’ learning.

The perceptions of postgraduates and mentors with regard to mentors’ competence differed. Mentors thought educational competence was more important to mentors’ competence development and were not concerned about educational competence to the same degree as postgraduates. Mentors’ educational competence determines the quality of classroom teaching and their effectiveness in guiding students. The core content of this competence is to foster effective teaching behavior, such as creating a safe and stimulating learning climate, employing efficient classroom management strategies, providing clear instruction, activating learning, and adapting teaching and teaching-learning strategies [42–44]. Mentors want opportunities to participate in professional development programs to improve their teaching ability and become better prepared for mentoring. However, postgraduates may lack a comprehensive understanding of mentors’ competence and may think that increasing mentors’ educational competence does not help postgraduates’ personal growth, especially with regard to earning a graduate degree. The findings suggest that postgraduates placed more importance on supportive competence than did mentors and that mentors cared more about postgraduates’ academic performance than their personal growth. The postgraduates wanted mentors to provide greater assistance in terms of their career path and development opportunities, which are insufficient in the existing professional relationship. The results validate previous studies indicating that mentors should play a proactive role in supporting postgraduates and helping them to achieve both academic goals and personal and professional aspirations [6]. Successful mentorship includes not only addressing curricular issues but also providing career opportunities for students [8].

Teachers’ characteristics are highly correlated with students’ academic achievement [45]. However, no evidence supports that a postgraduate academic or professional credential raises the quality of teaching [46]. Teachers rely on their postgraduate education to promote their personal development and professional career and to build their academic credentials [47]. The mentors accepted in postgraduate education had undergone long-term, formal professional training, could adequately apply innovations to the classroom environment, recognized different points of view on the education system, discuss education applications with colleagues, and exhibited self-confidence in the workplace [47]. At the same time, postgraduate education enabled mentors to become experts in their subject, and they were more likely to be academically successful and popular with students. In contrast, mentors with bachelor’s degrees improved their personal abilities through self-learning. A lack of professional guidance makes mentors’ development more difficult. These mentors were eager to improve their educational competence, supportive competence and academic achievement in order to build good relationships with their students. The literature regarding the relationship between students’ perceptions of good teaching and good instructors and their background
characteristics is not extensive. Regarding sex, Anderson and Ingram [48], in their study among doctoral students, found that compared to men, women were more likely to endorse the traits of professional, expert and student-centered as characteristics of good instructors. Lavin et al. [49]; Korte et al. [50] found that female students tended to assign a higher rank to traits related to effective teaching than male students. Our study is consistent with results reported in previous studies. Sex differences were found regarding mentors’ research competence, educational competence and communication competence, whereby female students assigned greater importance to all of these dimensions than did male students. However, no concrete explanation was given for this result. More research is needed to understand the reasons for these differences.

Conclusions

We can draw the following conclusions: good mentors should possess three core competencies: research competence, professional knowledge and communication competence. These competencies are related rather than independent. The construction of a harmonious mentoring relationship should take full account of the student’s characteristics and expectations because graduate students care more about supportive competence and female students assign greater importance to mentors’ competence than male students. There should be more development opportunities for less educated mentors, as they have a greater need to increase their competence than more qualified mentors.

This study also has some limitations that should be considered. The study was limited to the Chinese system of pharmaceutical education, and the conclusions drawn from 8 universities may be enhanced by more participants and a longer study duration.
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Figure 1

Means of three different competences of mentors with different educational backgrounds.
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