The Effect of Contents for Instruction in Physical Education on Cognitive and Psychomotor Development in Tunisian Students Aged from 9 Until 11 Years

Souhir Ezzedini¹, Yousri Elghoul¹*, Fatma Bahri¹, Liwa Masmoudi¹, Adene Gharbi¹, Mohamed Frikha², Nizar Souissi³

¹High Institute of Sport and Physical Education, Sfax University, Sfax, Tunisia
²King Faisal University, Department of Physical Education, Al Hufuf, KSA
³National Observatory on Sport, Tunis, Tunisia

Email address: elghoul@yousri@yahoo.fr (Y. Elghoul)
*Corresponding author

To cite this article:
Souhir Ezzedini, Yousri Elghoul, Fatma Bahri, Liwa Masmoudi, Adene Gharbi, Mohamed Frikha, Nizar Souissi. The Effect of Contents for Instruction in Physical Education on Cognitive and Psychomotor Development in Tunisian Students Aged from 9 Until 11 Years. International Journal of Sports Science and Physical Education. Vol. 2, No. 2, 2017, pp. 20-28. doi: 10.11648/j.ijsspe.20170202.11

Received: May 18, 2017; Accepted: June 5, 2017; Published: July 18, 2017

Abstract: The aim of this study was to examine the effect of three months of teaching physical education on cognitive and psychomotor development in 9–11 year-old boys. 48 healthy students of both sexes (Age = 10, 8±0.8 years; Height = 1, 45±0.09cm; Body mass = 37.9±9.1kg) performed 4 cognitive tasks: cognitive flexibility (TMT A and B), inhibition (Flanker Test), selective attention (Barrage Test) and reaction time (computer task) and four psychomotor tasks: psychomotor mobilization (Skipping Rope Jump Test), eye-hand coordination (Basketball Wall Pass & Dart-throwing) and eye-leg coordination (Soccer Ball Wall Volley) were applied in the field and the lab. The sample was divided in three groups: group 1 (10 students), group 2 (22 students) and control group (16 students). The equipment and the measurements were taken immediately before and after three months of physical education program. The contents for instruction in physical education didn’t allow an improvement in flanker test in G2. G1 undergone physical education and did physical activities outside of school shows a significant improvement in cognitive flexibility and inhibition. It has brought improvement for the G2 to the level of selective attention. CG has experienced an improvement in the reaction time, it allowed again a development of eye-leg coordination; of eye-arm coordination was determined in CG higher than the tow other groups. A correlation links the cognitive and the psychomotor skills. The results demonstrated that contents for instruction allowed a relative cognitive and psychomotor development, the updating of contents for instruction remains legitimate and urgent given all the changes in Tunisia.
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1. Introduction

Physical education is a field which puts in evidence an approach of the global development of the man, an approach which considers that the spirit and the body are only one entity and all it touches one will have obviousness on the other. Physical teachers estimate that “the child is a unit” that comes to the school to study what requires a mental and physical formation. Thus, the physical and mental process has a particular importance in the school system [1]. They provide a regular and structured participation physical-activity [2]. The world is worried about the lack of physical activity among children [3]; this inactivity is considered the fourth leading cause of mortality worldwide [4]. There is a general lack of awareness of the importance of the potential contribution of physical education as a mechanism to increase physical activity and therefore improve overall health and academic performance [5], according to study [6], structured physical education is important for psychomotor development in preschool children. The benefits of physical
activity in children are well-documented [7]; it is beneficial for their health and essentially at the cognitive level [7-8]. Cognitive and psychomotor development in children are a very important topic in the field of scientific research; they represent the basis of learning [9-10]; as well as the two processes are correlates in a classroom [11], a recent reviews showed again a positive relations between physical education and academic achievement such as study [12].

The choice of the present study was due to several reasons; the examination of the specificity of the learning of children at the age of 9 to 11 years is essential to understand the learning processes as a theoretical object. The first years (6 to 12 years) of the child's school age are characterized by the transition to primary school in which the child learns [13]. A many recent studies encourage young researchers to measure motor and cognitive abilities, and to unravel the processes responsible for motor performance, motor coordination, executive functions and visuo-spatial competences in children which are strongly linked academic achievement; become more important and still remain a topic research [10].

The literature examines the relationship between physical activity and cognitive function and focuses primarily on older populations [14]. The psychomotor current broke with the standard conceptions of learning and established a new description of learning which focus on cognitive and motor phases [15]. The study [16] put in evidence the importance and the need of an active intervention in physical education sessions because physical education is the only program that provides moderate and vigorous physical activity that it represents the public health priority [5].

Based on these facts, this study aimed (i) to study the effect of physical education on cognitive development (selective attention and reaction time) and on the development of executive functions (cognitive flexibility and inhibition). (ii) To study the effect of physical education on psychomotor development (eye-hand coordination, eye-leg and psychomotor mobilization). (iii) To Study the relationship between the different parameters (cognitive and psychomotor functions).

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

A total of 48 right-handed and typically developing children aged 9–11 volunteered to participate in this study. The study was conducted according to the Ethic Committee of the National Centre of Medicine and Science of Sports of Tunis; most children came from similar socioeconomic backgrounds. The sample is composed of three groups. Group 1 (G1): they practice physical education at school (2 sessions per week for 1 hour session), and they have outside school physical activities (3 sessions per week for 1 hour and half in their civilian teams), group 2 (G2): they practice only physical education at school (2 sessions per week for 1 hour session), control group (CG): They did not have any physical activities at school and outside school. The students have no previous experience of the tasks they were asked to perform.

2.2 Procedures

Subjects performed a battery of psychomotor and cognitive tests in two experimental sessions separated by three months. They were divided in 2 groups; the time that the first one did cognitive assessment the second one did the psychomotor assessment. The score of the tests in which students used papers and pencils, was calculated when the papers were corrected. After each computer task, the score was automatically recorded and the score of the psychomotor tests was directly recorded too after every attempt.

2.3. Cognitive Assessment

Cognitive flexibility represents the ability of changing attention and switching rapidly between response and the Trail Making Test (TMT) is the way to measure it. Trail Making Test (TMT) is a task that needs a paper and pencil and it contains two parts. In Trail Making part A, the subject must connect circles in numerical order (1, 2, 3…), while in part B the subject must alternate between the two order numerical and alphabetical (i.e. 1-A-2-B-3-C, etc.). The subject must draw a line from one point to the next as quickly as possible [17]. Each part of this test needs three minutes. The TMT measures visual and visuo-motor abilities. TMT part A is seen as measuring a visual search, motor function and attention, whereas TMT part B purportedly measure a executive functions, visual search, speed of attention, and motor function [18-19-20]. The measures of both tasks included the time of completion and number of errors committed [20].

Inhibition is the Ability to Control Attention by Focusing on One Task While Inhibiting Other Stimuli [21]. The task of Flanker Erikson is one of the most used tests to measure inhibitory control in children. It requires selective attention; the child identifies the direction of the arrow in a central position (eg <<<< = congruent or <<<< >>>> not congruent) as quickly and accurately as possible. The subject responds by the direction opposite to the direction of the arrow presented in the centre and reacts very slowly because of the need to exercise control [22].

The reaction time is a task that the subject realizes with the computer, as previously described by the studies [23-24] the subject has a colored geometric shape (the target). With the appearance of the target, he must click on the button in the computer, and it will automatically calculate the speed of the reaction. Each subject had 20 targets presented, the score is calculated in (ms) and the highest score reflects the poorest performance.

Selective attention is the ability to focus on one task to the detriment of other tasks [25]. It is measured through the barrage test. The barrage test is a selection measure of visual negligence with a rapid visualization of the spatial distribution of the targets and their quantification to visual negligence the subject must circle all the targets (bells). A
total of 35 targets (bells) were distributed equally in seven columns. In each column, there was the same number of targets. All symbols are in black, the evaluation includes the number of crossed and unbarred bells it test lasts 3 minutes and the best performance corresponds to the higher number of crossed bells [26].

3. Statistical Methods

All statistical tests were processed using STATISTICA Software (StatSoft, Paris, France). The results were expressed by the mean ± standard deviation. The normality of the distribution was verified by the Shapiro-Wilk test and all variables were verified by Gaussian's normal distribution. After the normality check, the parametric tests were realized. The analysis of the data was performed by ANOVA analysis of two factors. 3 groups (G1, G2, and CG) realized 2 measurements (repeated; before vs after). If the variables were significant, a post hoc test was applied. A correlation was applied to identify the relationship between the various variables. The probability threshold is set to p <0.05.

4. Results

4.1. Cognitive Performance

For the time TMT part, the mean and standard deviation showed that the results of the test are significantly lower than the pre-test values in G1, a significant inter-group difference between CG and G1; the values of the pre-test are significantly lower in the CG. G1 showed an improvement in the time spent during the TMT task (part A) (table 1).

A positive correlation was observed in the G1 between the TMT time (part A) and the congruent time of the Flanker test (r = 0.7, p <0.05) and also between the time variable and the congruent time of the test Flanker (r = 0.43, p <0.05). Similarly, a positive correlation was observed in the CG between the time variable and the mean reaction time (r = 0.5, p <0.05). A positive correlation links the three groups together to the time level and errors committed TMT (part A) (r = 0.62; p <0.001); A positive correlation between the time of the TMT test (part A) and the congruent time of the flanker test (r = 0.44; p <0.01) and between the time of the TMT test (part A) and the time average of reaction (r = 0.3, p <0.05).

For the committed errors TMT (part A); a significant intra-group difference (G1) whose number of errors committed is significantly lower compared to the pre-test (table1). In the G1 the TMT errors committed (part A) are positively correlated to the congruent time of the Flanker test (r = 0.76, p <0.05) and to the average reaction time (R = 0.76, p <0.05). In the three groups together a significant correlation correlates the errors committed in TMT (part A) to the congruent time of the Flanker test (r = 0.37; p <0.05).

For the Corrected errors TMT (part A) the corrected errors variable was noticed include some correlations; in the G1 a positive correlation with the non-congruent time of Flanker test (r = 0.71, p <0.05). In the CG, corrected errors are negatively correlated to the Flanker test (r = -0.77, p <0.01); which gives a correlation between the cognitive and psychomotor processes. Finally, a positive correlation in the three groups together that correlates the corrected errors to the time non-congruent of Flanker test (r = 0.31, p <0.05).

About the Time TMT (part B) the result showed a
significant intra-group difference (G1, G2 & CG) was determined; the post-test mean values were significantly lower than the pre-test values. The three groups recognized a development, but the best improvement was recorded in the G1 with a difference percentage of (-33.3%). A significant inter-group difference G2 and CG concerning post-test which G2 regressed than the CG in the number of errors committed (table 1). The errors committed TMT (part B) was noticed include some correlations: in the G1 a positive correlations with the crossed bells of the barrage test was determined (r = 0.64, p <0.05), a negative correlation with unbarred bells (r = -0.64, p <0.05). And a negative correlation with the Basket Ball pass (r = -0.68, p <0.05).

For the errors committed TMT part B; a significant difference intra-group in the three groups, values are significantly lower than those of the pre-test and more specifically in the G2 with a difference percentage of (-74.3%). A significant inter-group difference G2 and CG concerning post-test which G2 regressed than the CG in the number of errors committed (table 1). The errors committed TMT (part B) was noticed include some correlations: In the G1 it is correlated to the number of errors of the reaction time test (r = 0.65, p <0.05) and a negative correlation with the dart-throwing distance D2 (r = -0.77, p <0.01). In the G2, it is negatively correlated to the test of the Soccer Ball Wall Volley (-0.43, p <0.05) and in the three groups, there is a negatively correlated to the crossed bells of the barrage test (-0.32; p <0.05).

For the corrected errors TMT (part B); the corrected errors have some correlations: in the G2 it correlates with the crossed bells (r = 0.66, p <0.01); A positive correlation with the crossed bells (r = 0.54, p <0.001) and a positive correlation with the Basket Ball Pass (r = 0.31, p <0.05).

The results showed again in the congruent time variable of Flanker test a significant intra-group difference in CG and G1. The post-test values are lower than those of the pre-test with a better improvement in the G1 with a difference percentage of (-36.2%); A reduction in the time spent by the subjects (table 1). The congruent time is correlated to some variables: In the G1; it is positively correlated to the average reaction time (r = 0.77, p <0.01), and is negatively correlated to Basket Ball Pass Test (r = -0.64 P <0.05); In the G2 it is also negatively correlated to the percentage of success of the same task too (r = -0.6, p <0.01). In the CG, it is positively correlated to the Skipping Rope Jump Test (r = -0.64, p <0.05); and in the three groups it is negatively correlated to the percent of success (r = -0.3, p <0.05).

For the incongruent time, the post-test values are significantly lower than the pre-test level in G1 which put in evidence the role played by extracurricular activity in improving inhibitory control in children (table1). Incongruent time is negatively correlated with the percentage of success in the G1 (r = -0.71, p <0.05).

Finally percent of success, the percent of success is correlated to certain variables: In the G2, it is positively correlated to the dart-throwing distance 1 (r = 0.48, p <0.05); in the CG, it is positively correlated to the number of errors reaction time (r = 0.8, p <0.001).

For unbarred balls of barrage test a significant inter-group difference (G1 and G2) was determined, which the level of visual negligence is initially higher in G2 than G1 included. The post-test values in G2 are lower than the pre-test (table 1). In the G2, the unbarred balls are positively correlated to the congruent time of Flanker Test (r = 0.45, p <0.05). In the CG the unbarred bells are negatively correlated to the congruent time of Flanker test (r = -0.54, p <0.05).

**Table 1.** Means, standard deviation and delta change of cognitive abilities in the pre-test and post-test for the three groups (G1 & G2 & CG); (N = 48).

| Variables       | G1                | G2                | CG                |
|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Time TMT        | Before 70,4±45,2  | 55,2±30,5         | 49,7±10,8#        |
| part A          | After 46±8,6*     | 45,8±26,8         | 35±9,2           |
| Δ (%Δ)          | -24,4 (-34,7%)    | -9,4 (-17,1%)     | -14,7 (-29,6%)   |
| Errors committed| Before 0,8±2,5*   | 0±0#              | 0±0#             |
| TMT part A      | After 0±0*        | 0±0,2             | 0±0              |
| Δ (%Δ)          | -0.8 (-100%)      | 0% (0%)           | 0% (0%)          |
| Corrected errors| Before 0,1±0,3*   | 0±0               | 0,1±0,3          |
| TMT part        | After 0,1±0,3     | 0±0,2             | 0,1±0,3          |
| Δ (%Δ)          | 0 (0%)            | 0% (0%)           | 0% (0%)          |
| Time TMT part B | Before 70,4±45,2  | 55,2±30,5         | 49,7±10,8#       |
|                 | After 46±8,6*     | 45,8±26,8         | 35±9,2           |
| Δ (%Δ)          | -24,4 (-34,7%)    | -9,4 (-17,1%)     | -14,7 (-29,6%)   |
| Committed errors| Before 8,3±5,5    | 8,7±6,4           | 9,3±6,9          |
| TMT part B      | After 4±4,3*      | 2,2±3,8*          | 5,9±5,7*#        |
| Δ (%Δ)          | -4,3 (-51,8%)     | -6,5 (-74,3%)     | -3,4 (-36,5%)    |
| Corrected errors| Before 0,3±0,2    | 0,3±1,1           | 0,2±0,5          |
| TMT part B      | After 0,1±0,3     | 0,2±0,7           | 0,2±0,5          |
| Δ (%Δ)          | -0,2 (-66,7%)     | -0,1 (-28,6%)     | 0,2 (0%)         |
| Crossed bells   | Before 30,6±1,7   | 28±4,7            | 31,8±2,2$        |
|                 | After 31,5±2,3    | 27,9±8,3          | 30,6±3,1         |
| Δ (%Δ)          | 0,9 (2,9%)        | -0,2 (-0,6%)      | -1,2 (-3,7%)     |
| Unbared bells   | Before 4,4±1,7    | 7±4,7#            | 3±2,2$           |
|                 | After 3,5±2,3     | 4,4±3,7*          | 3,1±2,5          |
| Δ (%Δ)          | -0,9 (-20,5%)     | -2,5 (-36,6%)     | -1 (-2%)         |
| Congruent time  | Before 1297,9±497,3 | 1133,1±517,9    | 1296,4±647,9     |
|                 | After 828,1±259,9 | 983,5±258,9       | 833±292,5$       |
| Δ (%Δ)          | -469,8 (-36,2%)  | -149,6 (-13,2%)   | -463,4 (-35,7%)  |
4.2. Psychomotor Performance

For the Skipping Rope Jump test a significant difference was determined; there is a development in the number of cycles performed during the 30 sec in G2 (table 2). The Skipping Rope Jump Test is correlated to some variables: in the G1 it is positively correlated to the Soccer Ball Wall Volley Test (r = 0.77, p <0.01); in the G2 it is negatively correlated to weight (r = -0.53, p <0.05); in the three groups together it is also positively correlated to the TMT (part A). The discussion of this idea is very limited in the literature. This significantly greater than the pre-test with a better improvement in the G2 with a difference percent of (190.5%) and a significant inter-group difference (G2), (CG) and (G1) (table 2).

For the Basket ball pass test in the CG a difference significantly greater than the post-test level compared to the pre-test was observed, the finding showed the existence of a significant inter-group difference. The CG is the most developed group in this skill, unlike the other two groups in physical education (table 2).

Finally, for the Dart-throwing test a significant inter-group difference G2 values are significantly lower than G1 & CG in dart-throwing D2, while, any significant difference in the test dart-throwing D1 (table 2).

Table 2. Means, standard deviation and delta change of psychomotor abilities in the pre-test and post-test for the three groups (G1 & G2 & CG); (N = 48).

| Variables          | G1               | G2               | CG               |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Skipping rope      |                  |                  |                  |
| Before             | 10.9±11.3        | 8.8±9.3          | 11.4±11.5        |
| After              | 14.6±9           | 12.8±8.1*        | 8.9±10.8         |
| Δ (Δ%)             | 3.7 (33.9%)      | 4 (44.8%)        | -2.5 (-22%)$     |
| Soccer Ball Wall  |                  |                  |                  |
| Before             | 1.8±2.4          | 1±0.8            | 1.3±0.5          |
| After              | 4.1±2.4*         | 2.8±2*#          | 1.8±0.9$         |
| Δ (Δ%)             | 2.3 (127.8%)     | 1.8 (190.5%)     | 0.4 (33.3%)      |
| Basket ball pass   |                  |                  |                  |
| Before             | 20.7±8.9         | 16.8±9.9         | 11.4±11.5        |
| After              | 16.6±10          | 2.8±2*#          | 21.2±10.5*       |
| Δ (Δ%)             | -4.1 (-19.8%)    | 3.1 (18.4%)      | 12.3 (138.7%)#$  |
| Dart-throwing D1   |                  |                  |                  |
| Before             | 3±4±1.6          | 3±4±1.7          | 2.4±2.6          |
| After              | 2.5±1.4          | 2.6±1.5          | 2.9±2.2          |
| Δ (Δ%)             | -0.9 (-26.8%)    | -0.8 (-23.1%)    | 0.5 (20.4%)      |
| Dart-throwing D2   |                  |                  |                  |
| Before             | 3±4±1.3          | 2.2±1.8          | 2.8±2.3          |
| After              | 3±1.8            | 1.6±1.1#         | 2±1.3            |
| Δ (Δ%)             | -0.5 (-13.6%)    | -0.6 (-27%)      | -0.8 (-27.3%)    |

*: Significant difference compared to pre-test at p < 0.05.
#: Significant difference compared to G1 at p < 0.05.
$: Significant difference compared to G1 at G2 at p < 0.05.
D1: distance 1.
D2: distance 2.

5. Discussion

5.1. The Effect of Physical Education on Cognitive Development (Cognitive Flexibility, Inhibition, Reaction Time and Selective Attention)

The results have shown that physical activity allowed the development of cognitive flexibility is consistent with some studies [34-35]. The contents for instruction in physical education didn’t allow an improvement in flanker test in G2. However, G1 were undergone physical education and outside school activities showed a significant improvement in congruent time, non-congruent time and TMT (part A). The discussion of this idea is very limited in the literature. This
result does not line up with previous studies that have shown cognitive flexibility development in a group that makes physical education despite being categorized as the third executive function based on cognitive inhibition [36-37]. This could be explained by the inefficiency of the content in the physical education sessions or the goals pursued. Thus, there is an association between physical activity and cognitive performance [38-39-40-41-42-43].

Although the results are not significant, a variety of explanations can be considered; the way in which physical activity is manipulated varies in form, intensity and duration. The form varies according to the cycles, depending on the training programs; intensity is generally defined as the maximum percent of individual burden and duration is defined by two types of physical activity interventions: short-term and long-term [40-44-45].

Physical education has brought improvement for the G2 to the level of selective attention this has been developed by a decrease in the number of unbarred bells in the completion of the task which reflects a decrease in neglect visual disorders, visio-spatial disorders and attention-related spatial disorders. In this case, this study partly confirms the hypothesis about the effect of the contents for instruction in physical education on selective attention. This confirms the finding in an earlier study in children aged 8-9 years who participated in 9 months of physical activity and had an improvement in the attention task [46].

The content didn’t allow the development of the reaction time whereas the CG has experienced an improvement which is manifested in the reduction of the numbers of errors during the execution of the task computer. Indeed, the idea according to the study [47] considers that daily physical activities can also have effects on cognition.

However, some studies have found contradictory evidence regarding the link between physical activity and cognition [48], this evidence may be due to the fact that academic performance is arbitrarily assessed by academic and cognitive measures [45], according to the proposed conceptualization [48] cognitive performance is mainly related to psycho-physiological evaluation in cerebral function. Physiological mechanisms have been proposed to link physical activity and executive functions; these mechanisms imply a certain threshold of activation of the physiological processes and allowed the improvement of the executive function [40]. The study [49] found that motor coordination was significantly associated to attention and performance in a TMT task, a task that involves cognitive control as well as the working memory outcome which showed that there is a positive correlation between time and errors corrected in TMT (part B) and barred bells in the G1. The executive functions are essential for tasks with a high level of difficulty. In order for the student to manage a change imposed by a task, executive functions play a key role in correcting and adjusting motor programs, allowing mental change of ideas by taking time to think before reacting to concentration tasks away from all automatic actions [21-50]. The results confirm those of [10-51] who consider that new learning requires the executive functions that help children focus, change attention, manipulating information and inhibiting any responses not suited to the objectives.

5.2. The Effect of Physical Education on Psychomotor Mobilization and Fine Coordination (Eye-Hand and Eye-Leg)

Children who do more physical education showed acceleration in their psychomotor development which could provide an accelerated academic learning mechanism [52]. Three months of physical education didn’t allow the performance of darts-throwing. The practice of dart-throwing is a very sensitive strategy for synchronization errors; position and angular variability are a limiting factor of coordination, the existence of a correlation between the position of the darts and the position of the hand at the release of the darts [53]. Difficulties in coordination are manifested in temporal anomalies between the processing of information and the execution of the motor act, errors in the non-synchronization of individual movements, control errors (inversions or substitutions of movements) or Commutative errors (persevering with previous movement, interference between movements) [54].

In view of the result of the reaction time, the period of three months of physical education didn’t improve the reaction time. There is a logical explanation for the non-significance of the results of the eye-hand and eye-leg coordination on the one hand, and motor actions are in agreement with the requirements of the environment, the level of coordination of movements is influenced by disruptive factors. The difficulties of coordination are manifested in the temporal differences between the processing of information and the execution of the motor act, accuracy and speed, and on the other hand, motor coordination is a complex attitude which relies on other psychomotor attitudes [54], which opens up perspectives on the consideration of other aspects of psychomotor development.

The motors and cognitive abilities are the responsible processes for motor performance, motor coordination, executive functions, and visio-spatial skills that are strongly related to academic results [10]. These finding can be interpreted as an additional evidence for an impact of individual’s abilities to control coding and selection of responses in all new situations [55]. There are also tests on developing children indicate that motor performance and executive functions have several common underlying processes that are related to planning, monitoring, detecting and correcting errors. All The processes involve long-term planning, response inhibition and working memory [56- 49- 57-58-55]. A finding that agrees with the negative correlation found in the present study in the G2 between committed errors TMT (part B) and the Soccer Ball Wall Volley.

The study [58] reports a significant relationship between motor performance and inhibition of response. Thus, the idea of [59], which established an overlap between motor coordination and executive functions, resulting in important
practical implications, the implication of the shared mechanisms, underlies the relationship between these areas including possible involvement of the cerebral function?

In the past, motor and cognitive development have been studied and discussed separately in most cases, but today there is a growing consensus that these two concepts can be fundamentally interdependent [60]. The study [49] found that motor capacity varies according to the speed of task execution and there is an overlap between the processes that are affected in children with motor coordination or attention problems as manifested in the correlations are as following: a negative correlation between the Basketball Wall Pass Test and the selective attention and the correlation between the number of error times of the reaction time test and dart-throwing distance 2.

5.3. The Update of Contents for Instruction Is the Solution

Updating of contents for instruction remains legitimate and urgent given the political and scientific changes in Tunisia; taking the example of the case of physical education in France: Programs of physical education which are based mainly on sporting activities are a factor in the inequalities in terms of success, which is explained by little consideration for differences between pupils at the social and gender level. In the year 1960, an increase in inequalities was noticed because of the importance given to the knowledge to be taught and to the tools in the education of young people [61]. The contents for instruction in Tunisia are based on the different sports activities (team sports and individual sports); the type of sport exercised by the subject also contributes to psychomotor performance; the subjects who practice combat sports (e.g karate or taekwondo) are better than subjects who practice team sports (e.g handball and football) in terms of creativity and psychomotor coordination, better psychomotor coordination [54].

In Germany, [62] have noticed that playing racquet sports in schools (e.g softball, badminton, cricket and baseball, curling…) have a general capacity to lead to invasion; to improve tactics and it creates solutions. In general, conceptual approaches to teaching games related to sport in schools are always about "what to do" and "how to do" in complex game situations: The "what" Type allow the exploration of complex and easily recyclable tactics; the "how" of type questions embrace discussions of methodological principles for teaching tactical skills and the attempt to empirically validate and ensure effectiveness and sustainability in physical education [63]. Physical and psychomotor skills can be developed in situations of high intensity displacement occurring in games [64]. A contemporary thinking in public health argues that physical activity can influence childhood health as well as throughout life, stresses the importance of a lifestyle based on regular physical activity during childhood and adolescence which continues into adulthood [65], perhaps it is time to introduce new physical activities, one may also note the example of American schools; they are the leaders to tackle the challenges of public health.

6. Conclusion

This study demonstrated that the effectiveness of physical education program on cognitive and psychomotor development is relative by comparing with the group that were undergone physical education and outside school activities and the control group. Therefore, the updating of contents for instruction remains legitimate and urgent given all the political and scientific changes in Tunisia. Moreover, a correlation links the cognitive and psychomotor skills was established.

7. Perspectives

In view of the results, the perspectives are more focused on experiments that seek to find other explanations complementary to these observations. The first perspective would be to evaluate the effects of time of day on students learning, and a second perspective would be to study the effect of regulation (related to the interventions of the teacher and the interactions with learners) on the improvement of the cognitive functions and the psychomotor skills (effectiveness of the regulations).
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