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Abstract. It is shown that for any family of probability measures in Ornstein type constructions the corresponding transformatio n has almost surely a singular spectrum. This is a new generalization of Bourgain’s theorem [7], the same result is proved for Rudolph’s construction [20].

1. Introduction

In this note we investigate the spectral analysis of a generalized class of Ornstein transformations. There are several generalizations of Ornstein transformations. Here we are concerned with arbitrary product probability space associated to random construction of the family of rank one transformations. Namely, in the Ornstein’s construction, the probability space is equipped with the infinite product of uniform probability measures on some finite subsets of \( \mathbb{Z} \). Here, the probability space is equipped with the infinite product of probability measures \( (\xi_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \) on a family \( (X_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \) of finite subsets of \( \mathbb{Z} \). We establish that for any choice of the family \( (\xi_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \) the associated Ornstein transformations has almost surely singular spectrum.

Let us recall that Ornstein introduced these transformations in 1967 in [15] and proved that the mixing property occurs almost surely. Until 1991, these transformations which have simple spectrum appeared as a candidate for an affirmative
answer to Banach’s well-known problem whether a dynamical system $(X, B, \mu)$ may have simple Lebesgue spectrum. But, in 1991, J. Bourgain in [7], using Riesz products techniques, proved that Ornstein transformations have almost surely singular spectrum. Subsequently, I. Klemes [18], I. Klemes & K. Reinhold [19] obtain that the spectrum of the mixing subclass of staircase transformations of T. Adams [5] and T. Adams & N. Friedman [6] have singular spectrum. They conjectured that rank one transformations always have singular spectrum.

In this paper, using the techniques of J. Bourgain generalized in [1], we extend Bourgain’s theorem to the generalized Ornstein transformations associated to a large family of random constructions.

Firstly, we shall recall some basic facts from spectral theory. A nice account can be found in the appendix of [16]. We shall assume that the reader is familiar with the method of cutting and stacking for constructing rank one transformations.

Given $T : (X, B, \mu) \to (X, B, \mu)$ a measure preserving invertible transformation and denoting by $U_T f(x) = f(T^{-1}x)$ on $L^2(X, B, \mu)$, recall that to any $f \in L^2(X)$ there corresponds a positive measure $\sigma_f$ on $T$, the unit circle, defined by $\hat{\sigma}_f(n) = \langle U^n_T f, f \rangle$. 

Definition 1.1. The maximal spectral type of $T$ is the equivalence class of Borel measures $\sigma$ on $T$ (under the equivalence relation $\mu_1 \sim \mu_2$ if and only if $\mu_1 << \mu_2$ and $\mu_2 << \mu_1$), such that $\sigma_f << \sigma$ for all $f \in L^2(X)$ and if $\nu$ is another measure for which $\sigma_f << \nu$ for all $f \in L^2(X)$ then $\sigma << \nu$.

There exists a Borel measure $\sigma = \sigma_f$ for some $f \in L^2(X)$, such that $\sigma$ is in the equivalence class defining the maximal spectral type of $T$. By abuse of notation, we will call this measure the maximal spectral type measure. The reduced maximal type $\sigma_0$ is the maximal spectral type of $U_T$ on $L^2_0(X) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{ f \in L^2(X) : \int f \, d\mu = 0 \}$. The spectrum of $T$ is said to be discrete (resp. continuous, resp. singular, resp. absolutely continuous, resp. Lebesgue) if $\sigma_0$ is discrete (resp. continuous, resp. singular, resp. absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure or equivalent to the Lebesgue measure). We write $Z(h) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \text{span}\{ U^n_T h, n \in \mathbb{Z} \}$. $T$ is said to have simple spectrum, if there exists $h \in L^2(X)$ such that $Z(h) = L^2(X)$.

2. Rank One Transformation by Construction

Using the cutting and stacking method described in [12], [13], one defines inductively a family of measure preserving transformations, called rank one transformations, as follows

Let $B_0$ be the unit interval equipped with the Lebesgue measure. At stage one we divide $B_0$ into $p_0$ equal parts, add spacers and form a stack of height $h_1$ in the usual fashion. At the $k^{th}$ stage we divide the stack obtained at the $(k - 1)^{th}$ stage
into \(p_{k-1}\) equal columns, add spacers and obtain a new stack of height \(h_k\). If during the \(k\)th stage of our construction the number of spacers put above the \(j\)th column of the \((k-1)\)th stack is \(a_j^{(k-1)}\), \(0 \leq a_j^{(k-1)} < \infty\), \(1 \leq j \leq p_k\), then we have

\[
\begin{align*}
  h_k &= p_{k-1} h_{k-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{p_{k-1}} a_j^{(k-1)}, \quad \forall k \geq 1, \\
  h_0 &= 1.
\end{align*}
\]

\[\text{Figure 1: } k\text{th–tower.}\]

Proceeding in this way we get a rank one transformation \(T\) on a certain measure space \((X, \mathcal{B}, \nu)\) which may be finite or \(\sigma\)-finite depending on the number of spacers added.

The construction of any rank one transformation thus needs two parameters \((p_k)_{k=0}^\infty\) (cutting parameter) and \((\{a_j^{(k)}\}_{j=1}^{p_k})_{k=0}^\infty\) (spacers parameter). We put

\[
T \overset{\text{def}}{=} T_{(p_k, (a_j^{(k)})_{j=1}^{p_k})_{k=0}^\infty}
\]

In \([7],[9]\) and \([19]\) it is proved that up to some discret measure, the spectral type of this transformation is given by

\[
d\sigma = W^* \lim_{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n} |P_k|^2 \, d\lambda.
\]

where \(P_k(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_k}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{p_k-1} z^{-(j h_k + \sum_{i=1}^{j} a_i^{(k)})} \right)\)

\(\lambda\) denotes the normalized Lebesgue measure on torus \(\mathbb{T}\). 

\(W^*\) denotes weak convergence on the space of bounded Borel measures on \(\mathbb{T}\).
The polynomials $P_k$ appear naturally from the induction relation between the bases $B_k$. Indeed

$$B_k = B_{k+1} \cup T^{h_k+s_k(1)}B_{k+1} \cup \ldots \cup T^{(p_k-1)h_k+s_k(p_k-1)}B_{k+1},$$

$$\nu(B_k) = p_k\nu(B_{k+1}),$$

where $s_k(n) = a_{1}^{(k)} + \ldots + a_{n}^{(k)}$ and $s_k(0) = 0$.

Put $f_k = \sqrt{\nu(B_k)} \chi_{B_k}$, that is the indicator function of the $k$th-base normalized in the $L^2$-norm. So

$$f_k = P_k(U_T)f_{k+1},$$

where $U_T : L^2(X) \longrightarrow L^2(X)$ is defined by $U_T(f)(x) = f(T^{-1}x)$. Iterating this relation, we have

$$d\sigma_k = |P_k|^2d\sigma_{k+1} = \ldots = \prod_{j=0}^{m-1}|P_{k+j}|^2d\sigma_{k+m},$$

Where $\sigma_p$ is the spectral measure of $f_p$, $p \geq 0$.

3. Generalized Ornstein’s Class of Transformations

In Ornstein’s construction, the $p_k$’s are rapidly increasing, and the number of spacers, $a_i^{(k)}$, $1 \leq i \leq p_k - 1$, are chosen randomly. This may be organized in differently ways as pointed by J. Bourgain in [7]. Here, We suppose given $(t_k)$, $(p_k)$ a sequences of positive integers and $(\xi_k)$ a sequence of probability measure such that the support of each $\xi_k$ is a subset of $X_k = \{-t_k/2, \ldots, t_k/2\}$. We choose now independently, according to $\xi_k$ the numbers $(x_{k,i})_{i=1}^{p_k-1}$, and $x_{k,p_k}$ is chosen deterministically in $\mathbb{N}$. We put, for $1 \leq i \leq p_k$,

$$a_i^{(k)} = t_k + x_{k,i} - x_{k,i-1}, \text{ with } x_{k,0} = 0.$$  

It follows

$$h_{k+1} = p_k(h_k + t_k) + x_{k,p_k}.$$  

So the deterministic sequences of positive integers $(p_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$, $(t_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ and $(x_{k,p_k})_{k=0}^{\infty}$ determine completely the sequence of heights $(h_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$. The total measure of the resulting measure space is finite if

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t_k}{p_k} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x_{k,p_k}}{p_k h_k} < \infty.$$  

(3.1)

We will assume that this requirement is satisfied.

We thus have a probability space of Ornstein transformations $\Omega = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} X_{p_k}^{p_k-1}$ equipped with the natural probability measure $\mathbb{P} = \otimes_{k=1}^{\infty} P_k$, where $P_k = \otimes_{j=1}^{p_k-1} \xi_j$; $\xi_j$ is the probability measure on $X_j$. We denote this space by $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. So $x_{k,i}, 1 \leq
ORNSTEIN TRANSFORMATIONS

\[ i \leq p_k - 1, \text{ is the projection from } \Omega \text{ onto the } i^{th} \text{ co-ordinate space of } \Omega_k \overset{\text{def}}{=} X^p_{k-1}, \]

\[ 1 \leq i \leq p_k - 1. \] Naturally each point \( \omega = (\omega_k = (x_{k,i}(\omega))_{i=1}^{p_k-1})_{k=0}^{\infty} \) in \( \Omega \) defines the spacers and therefore a rank one transformation \( T_{\omega,x} \), where \( x = (x_{k,p_k}) \).

The definition above gives a more general definition of random construction due to Ornstein. In the particular case of Ornstein’s transformations constructed in [15], \( t_k = h_{k-1}, \xi_k \) is uniform distribution and \( p_k \gg h_{k-1} \).

We recall that Ornstein in [15] proved that there exist a sequence \((p_k, x_{k,p_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\) such that, \( T_{\omega,x} \) is almost surely mixing. Later in [17], Prikhod’ko obtained the same result for some special choice of the sequence of the distribution \((\xi_m)\) and recently, using the idea of D. Creutz and C. E. Silva [10] one can extend this result to a large class of the family of the probability measure associated to Ornstein construction.

In our general construction, according to (2.1) the spectral type of each \( T_{\omega} \), up to a discrete measure, is given by

\[ \sigma_{T_{\omega}} = \sigma_{\chi_{X_{m_0}}} = \sigma(\omega) = W^\ast \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{p_l} \left| \sum_{p=0}^{p_l-1} z^{p(h_l+t_l)+x_{l,p}} \right|^2 d\lambda. \]

With the above notation, we state our main result

**Theorem 3.1.** For every choice of \((p_k), (t_k), (x_{k,p_k})\) and for any family of probability measures \( \xi_m \) on finite subset \( X_m \) of \( \mathbb{Z} \), \( m \in \mathbb{N}^\ast \). The associated generalized Ornstein transformations has almost surely singular spectrum. i.e.

\[ P \{ \omega : \sigma(\omega) \perp \lambda \} = 1. \]

Where \( P \overset{\text{def}}{=} \otimes_{l=0}^{\infty} \otimes_{j=1}^{p_l-1} \xi_l \); is the probability measure on \( \Omega = \prod_{l=0}^{\infty} X_l^{p_l-1}, \) \( X_l \) is finite subset of \( \mathbb{Z}. \)

Before proceeding to the proof, we remark that it is an easy exercise to see that the spectrum of Ornstein’s transformation is always singular if the cutting parameter \( p_k \) is bounded. In fact, Klemes-Reinhold proved moreover that if \( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_k^2} = \infty \) then the associated rank one transformation is singular. Henceforth, we assume that the series \( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_k^2} \) converges.

We shall adapt Bourgain’s proof. For that, we need a local version of the singularity criterion used by Bourgain. Let \( F \) be a Borel set then with the above notations, we will state local singularity criterion in the following form

**Theorem 3.2. (Local Singularity Criterion (LSC))** The following are equivalent

(i) \( \sigma_F \perp \lambda \), where \( \sigma_F = \chi_F.d\sigma \), \( \chi_F \) is a indicator function of \( F \).

(ii) \( \int_F \prod_{l=1}^{n} |P_l(z)| d\lambda \overset{n \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0. \)
(iii) \( \inf \left\{ \int_F \prod_{l=1}^k |P_{n_l}(z)| \, d\lambda, \ k \in \mathbb{N}, \ n_1 < n_2 < \ldots < n_k \right\} = 0. \)

One can adapt the proof of theorem 4.3 in [19], or in [1], [14], in the more general setting.

Now, using Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem and the LSC, we obtain

**Proposition 3.3.** The following are equivalent

(i) \( \sigma^{(\omega)} \perp \lambda \quad \mathbb{P} \text{ a.s.} \)

(ii) \( \int_F \prod_{l=1}^n |P_l(z)| \, d\lambda \mathbb{P} \to 0 \quad n \to \infty \)

(iii) \( \inf \left\{ \int \int_F \prod_{l=1}^k |P_{n_l}(z)| \, d\lambda d\mathbb{P}, \ k \in \mathbb{N}, \ n_1 < n_2 < \ldots < n_k \right\} = 0. \)

Fix some subsequence \( \mathcal{N} = \{n_1 < n_2 < \ldots < n_k\}, \ k \in \mathbb{N}, \ m > n_k \) and put

\[ Q(z) = \prod_{i=1}^k |P_{n_i}(z)|. \]

Following [8] (see also [18] or in the more general setting [3]), we have.

**Lemma 3.4.**

\[ \int_F Q \, |P_m| \, d\lambda \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_F Q \, d\lambda + \int_F Q \, |P_m|^2 \, d\lambda \right) - \frac{1}{8} \left( \int_F Q \, |P_m|^2 - 1 \, d\lambda \right)^2. \]

Now, we assume that \( F \) is closed set, it follows

**Lemma 3.5.** \( \limsup_{m \to \infty} \int_F Q \, |P_m(z)|^2 \, d\lambda(z) \leq \int_F Q \, d\lambda(z). \)

**Proof:** Observe that the sequence of probability measures \( |P_m(z)|^2 \, d\lambda(z) \) converges weakly to the Lebesgue measure. Then the lemma follows from the classical portmanteau theorem \(^1\) and the proof is complete.

From the lemmas 3.4 and 3.5 we get the following

**Lemma 3.6.**

\[ \liminf \left( \right) \int_F Q \, |P_m| \, d\lambda d\mathbb{P} \leq \int_F Q \, d\lambda d\mathbb{P} - \frac{1}{8} \left( \limsup \left( \right) \int_F Q \, |P_m|^2 - 1 \, d\lambda d\mathbb{P} \right)^2. \]

Clearly, we need to estimate the quantity

\[ (3.2) \quad \int \int_F Q \, |P_m(z)|^2 \, d\lambda(z) d\mathbb{P}. \]

For that, following Bourgain we shall prove the following

\(^1\)see for example [11]. We note that the space \( \Omega \) is equiped with the standard product topology.
Proposition 3.7. There exists an absolute constant $K > 0$ such that
\[
\limsup \int_F \int_I |P_m|^2 - 1 \, d\lambda dP \geq K(\int \int_I Q d\lambda dP - \liminf \int \int_F Q(z)\phi_m(z) d\lambda dP),
\]
where $\phi_m(z) = \left| \sum_{p=-\frac{tm}{2}}^{\frac{tm}{2}} \xi_m(p)z^p \right|^2$, $z \in \mathbb{T}$.

We shall give the proof of proposition 3.7 in the following section.

4. Khintchine-Bonami inequality

Fix $z \in \mathbb{T}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Define $\tau$ and $\tau_p$ $p=1$ by:
\[
\tau : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}, \quad s \mapsto z^s.
\]

$\tau_p$ is given by $\tau_p = \tau \circ x_{m,p}$, where $x_{m,p}$ is the $p^{th}$ projection on $\Omega_m = X_m^m$. So
\[
|P_m(z)|^2 - 1 = \sum_{p \neq q} a_{pq} \tau_p(\omega) \tau_q(\omega), \quad \text{where} \quad a_{pq} = \frac{z^{(p-q)(h_m+t_m)}}{p_m}.
\]

The random variables $(\tau_p)$ are independent. Put
\[
\tau_p^o = \int \tau_p \, d\lambda_p, \quad p = 1, \ldots, p_m - 1.
\]

and write
\[
\sum a_{pq} \left( \int \tau_p \, \tau_q + \int \tau_p^o \, \tau_q^o \right) + \sum a_{pq} \tau_p^o \tau_q^o.
\]

Now, using the same arguments as J. Bourgain, let us consider a random sign $\varepsilon = \{\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{p_m-1}\} \subset \{-1,1\}^{p_m-1}$, and the probability space
\[
Z_m = \Omega_m \times \{-1,1\}^{p_m-1}, \quad \text{where} \quad \Omega_m = \left\{ \left( \frac{t_m}{2}, \ldots, \frac{t_m}{2} \right) \right\}^{p_m-1}.
\]

Taking the conditional expectation of the following quantity
\[
\sum a_{pq} \left( \int \tau_p \, \tau_q + \int \tau_p^o \, \tau_q^o \right) + \sum a_{pq} \tau_p^o \tau_q^o
\]

with respect to the $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{B}_e$ given by the cylinders sets $A(I, x)$ where $I \subset \{1, \ldots, p_m - 1\}$, $x \in \Omega_m$ and
\[
A(I, x) = \bigcap_{i \in I} \{x_i\} \times \left( \left\{ \frac{t_m}{2}, \ldots, \frac{t_m}{2} \right\} \right)^{|I|} \times \{1\}^{|J|} \times \{-1\}^{|J^c|}.
\]

($I$ corresponds to $\varepsilon_i = 1$, $\forall i \in I$ and $\varepsilon_i = -1$, $\forall i \notin I$). In other words, taking conditional expectation with respect to the random variables $\tau_p$ for which $\varepsilon_p = 1$, one finds the following polynomial expression in $\varepsilon$ of degree 2
\[
\sum a_{pq} \left( \int \tau_p \, \tau_q + \int \tau_p^o \, \tau_q^o \right) + \sum a_{pq} \tau_p^o \tau_q^o.
\]
So

\[
\int |P_m(z)|^2 - 1\, d\mathbb{P} = \int \int \mathbb{E}|P_m(z)|^2 - 1\, |_{|B_z}\, d\mathbb{P} \, d\varepsilon
\]

\[
\geq \int \int \mathbb{E}(|P_m(z)|^2 - 1\, |_{|B_z}) \, d\mathbb{P} \, d\varepsilon.
\]

It follows, by the Khintchine-Bonami inequality, \(^2\mathbb{E}\), that there exists a positive constant \(K\) such that

\[
\int \int |\mathbb{E}(|P_m(z)|^2 - 1\, |_{|B_z})| \, d\mathbb{P} \, d\varepsilon \\
\geq K \int \left( \int \mathbb{E}(|P_m(z)|^2 - 1\, |_{|B_z})^2 \, d\varepsilon \right)^{1/2} d\mathbb{P}.
\]

But all these random variables are bounded by 2. Hence

\[
\int \int |P_m(z)|^2 - 1 \, d\mathbb{P} \\
\geq K' \int \frac{1}{p_m} \sum \left( \int |\tau_0^p(z)|^2 \, d\mathbb{P} \right)^2 \\
= K' \frac{1}{p_m} \sum \left( \int |\tau_1^p(z)|^2 \, d\mathbb{P} \right)^2.
\]

Since

\[
\int |\tau_0^p(z)|^2 \, d\mathbb{P} = var(\tau_1(z)) \\
= 1 - \left| \sum_{s=-\frac{1}{p_m}}^{\frac{1}{p_m}} \xi_m(s)z^s \right|^2.
\]

Now, combined (4.6) with (4.7) to obtain

\[
\int |P_m(z)|^2 - 1 \, d\mathbb{P} \\
\geq K' \frac{(p_m - 1)(p_m - 2)}{p_m^2} \left(1 - \left| \sum_{s=-\frac{1}{p_m}}^{\frac{1}{p_m}} \xi_m(s)z^s \right|^2 \right)^2.
\]

Finally, Multiply (4.8) by

\[
\int \prod_{\gamma \in \mathcal{N}} |P_\gamma(z)| \, d\mathbb{P}.
\]

\(^2\)One can extend easily this inequality to bounded sequences of independent real random variables, with vanishing expectation.
Using the independence of (4.9) and \(1 - |P_m(z)|^2\). Integrating over \(F\) with respect to the Lebesgue measure to get

\[
\int \int_Q |P_m(z)|^2 - 1\ d\lambda dP \geq K'(\int \int_Q (1 - \phi_m(z))^2 d\lambda dP)
\]

where \(\phi_m(z) = \sum_{s=-\frac{m}{2}}^{\frac{m}{2}} \xi_m(s) z^s\). Apply Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to obtain

\[
\int \int_Q (1 - \phi_m(z))^2 d\lambda dP \leq \left( \int \int_Q Q d\lambda dP \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int \int_Q (1 - \phi_m(z))^2 d\lambda dP \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

Combined (4.10) and (4.11) and take liminf to finish the proof of the proposition 3.7.

Now, passing to a subsequence we may assume that \(\phi_m\) converge weakly in \(L^2(\lambda)\) to some function \(\phi\) in \(L^2(\lambda)\). Then,

\[
\hat{\phi}(n) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \hat{\phi}_m(n) \geq 0, \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{Z},
\]

and

\[
\sum_n \hat{\phi}(n) \leq 1.
\]

Hence, the Fourier series of \(\phi\) converge absolutely and we may assume

\[
\phi(z) = \sum_n \hat{\phi}(n) z^n,
\]

In particular \(\phi\) is a continuous function. We deduce that the set \(\{\phi(z) = 1\}\) is either the torus or a finite subgroup of the torus.

Remark 4.1. It is any easy exercise to see that if the set \(\{\phi = 1\}\) is not a null set with respect to Lebesgue measure then, for any \(z \in \mathbb{T}\),

\[
\phi(z) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{s \in X_m} \xi_m(s) \to 1.
\]

We shall, now, prove, our main result in the following sections.

5. **On the Ornstein Probability Space for which \(\lim_{m \to \infty} \max_{s \in X_m} \xi_m(s) < 1\)**

In this section, we assume that \(\lim_{m \to \infty} \max_{s \in X_m} \xi_m(s) < 1\). So, we may choose \(\phi\) the weak limite of subsequence of \(\phi_m\) so that \(\hat{\phi}(0) < 1\) and \(\{\phi = 1\}\) is a finite. Let \(\varepsilon > 0\), put

\[
F_\varepsilon \overset{d}{=} \{ z \in \mathbb{T} : 1 - \phi(z) \geq \varepsilon \}.
\]

We get easily that \(F_\varepsilon\) is a closed set and we have also the following proposition
Proposition 5.1. There exists an absolute constant $K > 0$ such that

$$\lim \iint_{F_\varepsilon} Q \left| P_m(z) \right|^2 - 1 \, d\lambda d\mu \geq K\varepsilon^2 \left( \iint_{F_\varepsilon} Q \, d\lambda \right)^2.$$

Proof: Apply the proposition 3.7 to get that there exists a constant $K > 0$ for which we have

$$\lim \inf \iint_{F_\varepsilon} Q \left| P_m(z) \right|^2 - 1 \, d\lambda d\mu \geq K \left( \iint_{F_\varepsilon} Q \, d\lambda d\mu - \frac{1}{8} K^2 \varepsilon^4 \left( \iint_{F_\varepsilon} Q \, d\lambda d\mu \right)^4 \right)^2.$$

The proof of the proposition is complete.

Proof of the theorem 3.1. in the case of $\lim \max_{s \in X_m} \xi_m(s) < 1$

First, for fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, let us choose the good subsequence $N \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{ n_k, k \geq 0 \}$. Observe that from the propositions 3.6. and 5.1. one can write

$$\lim \iint_{F_\varepsilon} Q \left| P_m(z) \right| d\lambda d\mu \leq \iint_{F_\varepsilon} Q \left( 1 - \frac{1}{8} K^2 \varepsilon^4 \left( \iint_{F_\varepsilon} Q \, d\lambda d\mu \right)^4 \right),$$

and from this last inequality we shall construct $N$. In fact, suppose we have chosen the $k$ first elements of the subsequence $N$. We wish to define the $(k+1)$th element. Let $m > n_k$ such that

$$\iint_{F_\varepsilon} Q \left| P_m(z) \right| d\lambda d\mu \leq \iint_{F_\varepsilon} Q \, d\lambda d\mu - \frac{1}{8} K^2 \varepsilon^4 \left( \iint_{F_\varepsilon} Q \, d\lambda d\mu \right)^4,$$

and put $n_{k+1} = m$. It follows that the elements of the subsequence $N$ verify

$$\iint_{F_\varepsilon} \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} |P_{n_i}(z)| d\lambda d\mu \leq \iint_{F_\varepsilon} \prod_{i=1}^{k} |P_{n_i}(z)| d\lambda d\mu - \frac{1}{8} K^2 \varepsilon^4 \left( \iint_{F_\varepsilon} \prod_{i=1}^{k} |P_{n_i}(z)| d\lambda d\mu \right)^4.$$

We deduce that the sequence $\left( \iint_{F_\varepsilon} \prod_{i=1}^{k} |P_{n_i}(z)| d\lambda d\mu \right)_{k \geq 1}$ is decreasing and converges to the limit $l_\varepsilon$ which verifies

$$l_\varepsilon \leq l_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{8} K^2 \varepsilon^4 l_\varepsilon^4,$$

and this implies that $l_\varepsilon = 0$. Hence, $\sigma_{F_\varepsilon}^{(N)}$ is singular. But,

$$\bigcup_{\varepsilon > 0, \varepsilon \in Q} \{ 1 - \phi \geq \varepsilon \} = \{ 1 - \phi \neq 0 \},$$
and by our assumption \( \lim \max_{s \in X_m} \xi_m(s) < 1 \) we choose \( \phi \) such that \( \{1 - \phi(z) = 0\} \) is a null set with respect to the Lebesgue measure. This complete the proof of theorem 3.1. when \( \lim \max_{s \in X_m} \xi_m(s) < 1 \).

6. ON THE ORNSTEIN PROBABILITY SPACE FOR WHICH \( \lim \max_{s \in X_m} \xi_m(s) = 1 \)

Using the same ideas as in the previous section, we have the following

**Lemma 6.1.** \( \limsup_{m \to \infty} \iint \|P_m\|^2 - 1 \, d\lambda d\mathbb{P} \geq \iint \mathbb{Q} d\lambda d\mathbb{P} \).

**Proof:** We have

\[
(6.1) \quad \iint Q \, \|P_m\|^2 - 1 \, d\lambda d\mathbb{P} \geq \iint \left( \mathbb{Q} (\|P_m\|^2 - 1) \right) \, d\lambda,
\]

But, from (4.2)

\[
(6.2) \quad \iint (\|P_m\|^2 - 1) \, d\mathbb{P} = 2\text{Re}\left\{ (G_{p_m}(z^{h_m + t_m})) \left( \int \mathbb{Q} \, d\mathbb{P} \right) \right\} + \left| F_{p_m}(z^{h_m + t_m}) - \frac{p_m - 1}{p_m} \right| \phi_m(z).
\]

Where, \( F_p \) and \( G_p \) is define, for any \( p \in \mathbb{N}^* \), by

\[
F_p(z) = \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} z^k \right|^2,
\]

\[
G_p(z) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} z^k.
\]

\( \text{Re}(z) \) is a real part of the complex number \( z \). Combined (6.1) and (6.2) to obtain

\[
(6.3) \quad \iint Q \, \|P_m\|^2 - 1 \, d\lambda d\mathbb{P} \geq \iint \mathbb{Q} \left( F_{p_m}(z^{h_m + t_m}) - \frac{p_m - 1}{p_m} \right) \phi_m(z) \, d\lambda d\mathbb{P} - 2 \iint Q \, \|G_{p_m}(z^{h_m + t_m})\| \, \int d\mathbb{P} - \iint \mathbb{Q} \, d\lambda d\mathbb{P}.
\]

But, on one hand, we have

\[
\int Q \, \|G_{p_m}(z^{h_m + t_m})\| \, \int \mathbb{Q} \, d\lambda \leq \left( \int |G_{p_m}(z^{h_m + t_m})|^2 \, d\lambda \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int Q^2 \, d\lambda \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_m}} \to 0.
\]

On the other hand, since \( |X_m| \leq t_m \), \( \sum_{k \in X_m} (\xi_m(k))^2 \to 1 \) and for any \( f \in L^1 \), we have

\[
\hat{f}(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is not divisible by } m \\ \hat{f} \left( \frac{n}{m} \right) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]
Where \( f(m)(z) = f(z^m) \), we get that

\[
\left| \sum_{k \in X_m} \xi_m(k) z^k \right|^2 \rightarrow 0
\]

converge to \( K \lambda \), with \( K \geq 1 \). In fact

\[
\int \left| \sum_{k \in X_m} \xi_m(k) z^k \right|^2 d\lambda
\]

and the proposition follows from (6.1).

Proof of the theorem 3.1. in the case of

\[
\lim_{m \to \infty} \max_{s \in X_m} \xi_m(s) = 1
\]

As in the case of \( \lim_{m \to \infty} \max_{s \in X_m} \xi_m(s) < 1 \), we use the lemma (6.1) to establish that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int \prod_{k=1}^n |p_k(z)|d\lambda d\mu = 0.
\]

and the proof of the theorem 3.1. is complete.

Remark 6.2. We note that Rudolph construction in [20] is strictly included in the theory of generalized random Ornstein construction.
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