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Abstract
The densely populated foothill zone of western Himalaya between the Beas river and the Chakki stream in and around Kangra is being critically examined by us considering the seismo-tectonic destructive potential of the 1905 (Mw 7.8) Kangra earthquake which has not been evaluated so far. We use Resourcesat 1: LISS III Ortho satellite imageries and field studies to quantitatively and qualitatively assess the active tectonic setup of the terrain. Quantitative morphotectonic analysis viz. hypsometric integral, drainage basin asymmetry, stream sinuosity index, ratio of valley floor width to valley height, stream length gradient index, basin shape index and mountain front sinuosity index are being evaluated on high resolution digital elevation model. The five sub-drainage basins of the Gandhiri stream, the Sukar stream and the Duhg stream of Kangra district of Himachal Pradesh, India are being morphotectonically analyzed. The value of hypsometric integral, drainage basin asymmetry, stream sinuosity index, ratio of valley floor width to valley height, stream length gradient index, basin shape index and mountain front sinuosity index reveal that the terrain is tectonically active. An active dextral strike slip fault with significant oblique slip component has been inferred and is being named as the Gandhiri Fault. This fault crosses all the five sub-drainage basins and results in multiple stream offsets. The Index of Relative Tectonic Activity (IRAT) has been established for different sub-drainage basins. The study reveals that the terrain near Gandhiri in Kangra district of Himachal Pradesh is seismo-tectonically active and proper building codes should be followed in construction activity.
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1. Introduction

The Kangra (1905, Mw 7.8) earthquake, along with the Bihar-Nepal earthquake (1934, Mw 8.1), the Assam (1950, Mw 8.4) quake and other recent earthquakes has highlighted the importance of identification of active faults and their characterization so as to comprehend the quantum of seismic vulnerability of the densely populated Himalayan foothill region. As per media reports 20,000 lives were lost in Kangra quake; 12,000 lives were lost in the Bihar-Nepal earthquake and around 5000 people were killed in the Assam earthquake. As per 2011 census of India, the population of Kangra district is more than 1.5 million. There is an urgent need to characterize the tectonic nature of the populated foothill region and to identify the active faults in the terrain which can pose a serious seismic threat. The tectonic activity in the Himalaya is due to the continuous movement of the Indian plate in the northward direction, at an average rate of 50 mm per year [1]. This has made the foothill terrain a high stress and strain zone. Morphotectonic analysis is being increasingly used to assess the relative impact of tectonic forces and the surface stability in different parts of the terrain spread over a broad region [2]-[16]. The use of geomorphic indices such as the stream length gradient index [17], drainage basin asymmetry [18], hypsometric integral [19], ratio of valley floor width to valley height [20], drainage basin shape [20] [21] and mountain front sinuosity index [20] in active tectonic studies has been successfully demonstrated by numerous researchers including [4] [5] [20] [22] [23] [24] and others. The study area (Figure 1) lies in the frontal Siwalik terrain between the Beas river and the Chakki stream. The NW-SE trending Gandhiri stream drains the frontal Siwalik terrain and runs for the 23 km. The Gandhiri stream crosses the Soan Thrust, where the Quaternary sediments are overlain by the Middle Siwalik subgroup, joins the Beas river in the foothill region. In this study, we attempt to closely examine the terrain near Gandhiri in Kangra district of Himachal Pradesh to identify the active fault present in the region which could facilitate the possible damages in the event of a large magnitude earthquake striking the region. The current study would help built up relevant data required for a comprehensive evaluation of the seismo-tectonics of the region. The main sections in this paper are geological setup, materials and methods, results comprising drainage basin, morphotectonic indices viz. hypsometric integral, drainage basin asymmetry, stream length gradient index, mountain front sinuosity index, stream sinuosity index, basin shape index, valley floor width to valley height ratio and field investigation followed by discussion and conclusion.

2. Geological Setup

In the Kangra reentrant, rocks of the Mid-Miocene-Mid-Pleistocene Siwalik foreland group are exposed in the study area. The Middle and Upper Siwalik subgroups are better exposed. The lithostratigraphy of the Siwalik group exposed in the study area is depicted in Table 1. The active tectonic setup of the terrain
Figure 1. Location map of the study area.

Table 1. Generalized lithostratigraphic succession of the Siwalik Group (modified after [37] [38]).

| Group              | Subgroup                                      | Lithology                                                                 |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Siwalik Group      | Upper Siwalik Subgroup                        | Conglomerates, poorly indurated sandstones and pale-grey and pale-brown sandy clays |
|                    | (Tatrot, Pinjor and Boulder                    |                                                                           |
|                    | Conglomerate formations)                      |                                                                           |
|                    | (600 m - 2400 m)                              |                                                                           |
|                    | Middle Siwalik Subgroup                       | Moderately indurated sandstones                                           |
|                    | (Nagri and Dhokpathan formations)             | and chocolate coloured clays                                              |
|                    | (1400 m - 2000 m)                             |                                                                           |
|                    | Lower Siwalik Subgroup                        | Alternating sequence of well indurated sandstones and purple maroon clays |
|                    | (Kamlial and Chinji formations)               |                                                                           |
|                    | (1300 m - 1900 m)                             |                                                                           |
between the Beas river and the Chakki stream is poorly understood. In this study, we attempt to quantitatively analyzed the morphotectonic setup of the terrain on the basis of the active tectonic indices viz. stream length gradient index [17], drainage basin asymmetry [18], hypsometric integral [19], ratio of valley floor width to valley height [20], drainage basin shape [20] [21] and mountain front sinuosity [20]. The Bharwain anticline and the Dhinosar syncline comprise the major structural features of the study area. The northward dipping forelimb of the Dhinosar syncline forms a thrust junction with the Quaternary deposits. This thrust has been named as Soan Thrust. The Gandhiri stream (locally termed as Khad) drains the Bharwain anticline. This anticline has numerous minor faults which have developed parallel to sub-parallel to the major thrusting zone of the Himalaya by high oblique convergence rate along the Soan Thrust. The tectonic activity in the Kangra region has been documented by [25]-[36] and others.

The Bharwain anticline and the Dhinosar syncline form the major regional structural features in the area under investigation. The forelimb of the Dhinosar syncline dips towards North direction and is thrusted over the Quaternary deposits in the South. Crustal shortening of the foothill thrust at a rate of 14 ± 2 mm/yr [39] contributes to the tectonics in the region. Numerous minor faults have developed in parallel to sub-parallel orientation with the major thrusting zones of the Himalaya. In the study area, Middle Siwalik subgroup is represented by 5 - 7 m thick massive horizontal beds of sandstone, siltstone, clays and inter-bedded pebbly beds. The outer Himalayan range consists of the Siwalik sequence which is folded and faulted due to fault propagation folding [40]. A shortening about 23 km since 1.9 to 1.5 Ma, across the Siwalik sequence in the Kangra reentrant has been reported [41]. Along the Soan Thrust, crustal shortening rate of 3 ± 0.3 mm/yr and slip rate of 3.3 ± 0.3 mm/yr is observed [33]. This in turn has resulted in accumulation of stress and strain in the terrain surrounding Gandhiri lineament zone. Geological map (Figure 2) has been prepared by field traverse which supports the previous literature and different geological investigations.

3. Materials and Methods

The frontal terrain between the Beas river and the Chakki stream is thickly covered by vegetation. Resourcesat 1: LISS III Ortho Satellite Imagery (24 m) is being used for lineament extraction by visual interpretation and by study of digital elevation model. Band combination and image enhancement tools such as band sharpening, band rationing, edge enhancement, contrast and brightness enhancement etc. have been used for critical terrain evaluation. The Shuttle Radar Topographic Mission (SRTM) based digital elevation model with 30 m resolution is being used for delineating drainage network and sub-drainage basin with the help of ARCGIS 10.3.1 Software. A terrain model has been prepared in which Resourcesat 1: LISS III satellite imagery is draped over the digital elevation
Figure 2. Geological map of the study area (modified after [39] [42] [43] [44]); ST: Soan Thrust; BA: Bharwain Anticline; DS: Dhinosar Syncline.

model. The 3-D model of topographic features reveals the presence of a 3.2 km long strike slip active fault in the Gandhiri Stream. The fault has a significant oblique slip component. Shutter ridges and offset streams have been observed in the field. Quantitative morphotectonic analysis viz. drainage basin asymmetry, hypsometric integral, valley floor width to valley height ratio, stream length gradient index, stream sinuosity index and mountain front sinuosity index are being evaluated on digital elevation model for five parallel sub-drainage basins to determine the terrain characteristics.

4. Results

Resourcesat 1: LISS III false colour composite and true colour Google Earth satellite imagery (Figure 3) of the frontal Himalayan terrain between the Beas river and the Chakki stream indicates the presence of different geomorphic and structural features. A raster layer has been generated by draping satellite imagery over the digital elevation model for the characterization of geomorphic as well as structural lineaments [45]. One of the major lineaments is observed trending in NW-SE direction. Along the lineament a dextral strike slip movement with significant oblique slip component has been recorded. The sinuous Gandhiri stream crosses this lineament at three locations L1, L2 and L3. The stream is offset at all these three locations. The length of stream offset are 330 m, 140 m and 535 m (Figures 4(a)-(f)). At the site of offsets shutter ridges are very well developed.
Figure 3. Google Earth satellite imagery showing the true colour 3-D image and location of the investigated stream in relation to nearby localities.

Figure 4. (a, c, e) The shutter ridges L1, L2 and L3; (b, d, f) field photographs of the corresponding shutter ridges.
These three shutter ridges are 4 to 7 m in height and 158 m, 111 m and 108 m in length. In order to comprehend the active tectonic nature of the structural element, the different morphotectonic indices have evaluated. Shuttle Radar Topographic Mission based digital elevation model is being used to extract the drainage of the Gandhiri stream and the Duhg stream. These stream exhibits parallel drainage pattern. Hydro tool of ArcGIS is used to extract sub-drainage basins of the Gandhiri stream. The five sub-drainage basins have been identified (Figure 5). The characteristics of the various morphotectonic indices of the five sub-drainage basins are described here. Highly dissected hills of Middle Siwalik subgroup consisting of vertical scarp faces about 5 m in height are frequently observed. The drainage pattern has been extracted from Shuttle Radar Topography Mission based digital elevation model and compared with standard drainage pattern (Figure 6). Parallel Gandhiri stream and Duhg stream show parallel drainage pattern.

5. Morphotectonic Evidence of the Gandhiri Fault

5.1. Hypsometric Curve and Integral (HI)

Hypsometric analysis expresses the relative area distribution from one drainage basin to the surrounding terrain [19]. Hypsometric integral was calculated for each sub-drainage basin. The curves related to 5 sub-drainage basins have been plotted between relative area and relative height (Figure 7). The degree of convexity and concavity of the curve is used to differentiate between younger and the mature landscapes. The calculation procedure used is

![Figure 5. Sub-drainage basin map of Gandhiri stream showing the orientation of Gandhiri Fault.](image-url)
Figure 6. Drainage map of the study area.

Figure 7. Hypsometric curve of the sub-drainage basins of the Gandhiri stream.

HI = (Mean elevation – Minimum elevation)/
(Maximum elevation – Minimum elevation)

The hypsometric curve has been prepared by data extracted from digital ele-
vation model of each sub-drainage basin. The raster layer of each sub-drainage basins has been reclassified with an equal elevation interval. The range of hypsometric integral value varies from 0.38 to 0.52. Different classes have been assigned to the sub-drainage basins after the evaluation of hypsometric integral such as, convex shaped curve—highly active (>0.45); convex to concave shaped curve—moderately active (0.3 - 0.45) and concave shaped curve—slightly active (<0.3). All sub-drainage basins lie in Class 1 and Class 2, which reveals their youthful stage and that the possibility of active faulting is present in this region (Table 2).

5.2. Drainage Basin Asymmetry (AF)

Asymmetry factor is a successful parameter for evaluating the active tectonic tilting in basins in the possible seismic potential zone by envisioning neotectonic structures [24] [46]. The asymmetry factor (AF) can distinguish tilting on basin scales and is sensitive to tilting in directions opposite to the course of the main channel [18]. It can also distinguish tectonic tilting of small scale basin or on mega scale. The asymmetry factor (AF) is related to the trends of the main channel and is sensitive to both the sides of the basin. A scenario in which the left or right side of the basin has larger tributaries than the opposite side indicates the tectonically rising of that segment. In such a case, the tributaries do headward erosion, and lengthening of channel takes place.

It is calculated by the formula:

$$AF = 100\left(\frac{A_r}{A_t}\right)$$

where, $A_r$ is the Area of the right side of sub-drainage basin (towards downstream) and $A_t$ represents the basin area. AF values vary from 42.74 to 55.70. These were grouped into three classes: Class 1 (>10), Class 2 (5 to 10) and Class 3 (<5) as shown in Table 3.

The results indicate that the five sub-drainage basins of the Gandhiri stream are tilted towards East. The first sub-drainage basin exhibits the highest order of tilting.

5.3. Stream Length Gradient Index (SL)

Stream Length Gradient Index (SL) [17] reveals the gradient anomaly in channel

| Sub-drainage basins | Mean Elevation (m) | Max. Elevation (m) | Min. Elevation (m) | HI    | Class |
|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|-------|
| 1                   | 335.71             | 496.67             | 234.79             | 0.38  | 2     |
| 2                   | 457.18             | 602.03             | 295.05             | 0.52  | 1     |
| 3                   | 402.38             | 545.68             | 295.05             | 0.42  | 2     |
| 4                   | 412.16             | 521.65             | 308.05             | 0.48  | 1     |
| 5                   | 414.78             | 524.66             | 305.05             | 0.49  | 1     |
Table 3. Calculation of drainage basin asymmetry factor (AF) of 5 sub-drainage basins of the Gandhiri stream.

| Sub-drainage basins | $A_r$ (km$^2$) | $A_t$ (km$^2$) | AF   | Class |
|----------------------|----------------|----------------|-------|-------|
| 1                    | 7.16           | 16.75          | 42.74 | 2     |
| 2                    | 9.53           | 19.96          | 47.74 | 3     |
| 3                    | 3.49           | 07.01          | 49.78 | 3     |
| 4                    | 3.37           | 6.05           | 55.70 | 2     |
| 5                    | 4.06           | 8.52           | 47.65 | 3     |

Slope caused by the tectonic deformations. The rock resistance, topography, and tectonic activity are mutually expressed in this index. In general, resistant rocks exhibit high values of stream length gradient index (SL) while soft and fragile rocks show lower stream length gradient index. In tectonically active regions, the values of stream length gradient index will be higher.

The stream length gradient index (SL) is quantitatively computed evaluated by the following formula:

$$SL = \frac{\Delta H}{\Delta L} \times L$$

where $\Delta H/\Delta L$ is the gradient for the respective nick points, and $L$ is the length from the most extended reach to the midpoint of the nick points.

Each sub-drainage basin has significant channels that are divided into equal segments of 500 m, and the stream length gradient index (SL) values are calculated for each segment using a digital elevation model, and its average value is taken for each sub-drainage basin for assigning the class relative to the tectonic activity. The average value of this index in the five sub-drainage basins ranges from 47.05 to 101.58. Different classes [3] have been assigned to sub-drainage basins: 1 ($SL \geq 100$), 2 ($51 \leq SL > 100$) and 3 ($SL \leq 50$). This lineament crosses the Gandhiri stream at three locations, so the values of stream length gradient index (SL) along the nick point of Gandhiri Stream are 74, 0.28 and 132.5, which suggests that the segments (L1 & L2) of Gandhiri Fault govern the strike slip movement along the Gandhiri stream. During field observation, well developed shutter ridges indicating active strike slip movement are observed in the sub-drainage basins. The distribution of stream length gradient index (SL) over the Gandhiri stream and their basins is depicted along the lineament (Figure 8). The variation in stream length gradient index (SL) reflects the tectonic activity. Stream profile along with the stream length gradient index (SL) has been plotted for evaluating the channel slope anomalies (Figures 9(a)-(e)). High peaks of stream length gradient index (SL) depict the steep gradient of the channel segment. The low peak may indicate the strike slip movement along the affected segments of the lineament.

5.4. Mountain Front Sinuosity Index ($S_{mf}$)

Mountain front sinuosity index depicts the resultant between two opposing forces, one which develops embayment in mountain front and the other which tends to produce a straight mountain fronts. A strong tectonic influence results
Figure 8. Distribution of stream length gradient index of sub-drainage basins of the Gandhiri stream.
5.5. Basin Shape Index ($B_s$)

A circular basin shape represents tectonic stability while an elongation of the basin reflects the influence of tectonic forces which tend to alter the shape. The elongated shape of the drainage basin in the faulted region reflects the active tectonic settings [3] [20] [21].

The basin shape ($B_s$) is calculated by the formula:

$$B_s = B_l/B_w$$

where $B_l$ is the sub-drainage basins length taken from its outlet to the mouth, $B_w$ is the width at the widest points of the basin. In this study, $B_s$ is calculated from digital elevation model and it is divided into three classes: elongated shape ($B_s \geq 4$); semi-circular ($3 \leq B_s < 4$), and circular ($B_s < 3$), as shown in Table 5.
Table 4. Mountain Front Sinuosity ($S_{mf}$) calculated for the Gandhiri stream sub-drainage basin.

| Sub-drainage basins | $S_{mf}$ No. | $L_{mf}$ (m) | $L_s$ (m) | $S_{mf}$ | Mean $S_{mf}$ | Class |
|---------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|----------|---------------|-------|
| 1                   | 1            | 3024         | 2629      | 1.150    | 1.22          | 2     |
| 1                   | 2            | 987          | 756       | 1.30     |               | 1     |
| 2                   | 3            | 218          | 206       | 1.05     |               | 2     |
| 2                   | 4            | 220          | 182       | 1.20     | 1.10          | 1     |
| 2                   | 5            | 528          | 500       | 1.05     |               | 2     |

Table 5. Drainage basin shape indices of sub-drainage basins of the Gandhiri stream.

| Sub-drainage basins | $B_l$ (m) | $B_w$ (m) | $B_s$ | Class |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------|
| 1                   | 9.89      | 2.88      | 3.4   | 2     |
| 2                   | 6.171     | 1.46      | 4.2   | 1     |
| 3                   | 8.59      | 3.04      | 2.8   | 3     |
| 4                   | 5.72      | 1.54      | 3.7   | 2     |
| 5                   | 6.73      | 1.64      | 4.1   | 1     |

5.6. Stream Sinuosity Index ($S$)

Stream sinuosity is a delicate parameter that records tectonic changes in the landscape. Strike slip movement and tectonic uplift are recorded in stream sinuosity indices wherein streams modify their water velocity in conjunction with gradient modification. Stream sinuosity [48] [49] values were determined for those streams which cross the lineament and are apparently affected. The sinuosity values range from 1.28 to 1.44, demonstrating their sinuous character (Channel sinuous if $S < 1.5$) and presence of tectonically active terrain.

\[ S = \frac{\text{Channel length (C)}}{\text{Valley length (V)}} \]

Sinuosity indicates a quantitative index of stream meandering and is a distinctive property of channel pattern. It relates to the morphological, sedimentological and hydraulic characteristics of stream channels. The results of the indices in different sub-drainage basins of the Gandhiri stream represents in Table 6.

5.7. Valley Floor Width to Valley Height ($V_f$) Ratio

Valley floor width to valley height ratio ($V_f$) [47] is a geomorphic index used to separate $V$ and $U$ shaped valleys. The $V$ shaped valley is formed by the high upliftment rate and maintenance of the equilibrium channel slope by a corresponding increase in incision rate of the channel. $U$ shaped valley develops due to side cutting erosion and processes of streams in relatively stable tectonic settings. However, the presence of strike slip fault changes the landscape of the valley and terraces rise as sediments accumulate.

\[ V_f = \frac{2V_{fr}}{\left[ (E_{fr} - E_{mr}) + (E_{mf} - E_{mr}) \right]} \]
Table 6. Stream sinuosity (S) indices of the Gandhiri stream.

| Sub-drainage basin | C (km) | V (km) | S   | Class |
|--------------------|--------|--------|------|-------|
| 1                  | 8.72   | 6.56   | 1.32 | 1     |
| 2                  | 5.7    | 3.94   | 1.44 | 1     |
| 3                  | 2.69   | 2.1    | 1.28 | 1     |
| 4                  | 2.37   | 1.74   | 1.36 | 1     |
| 5                  | 1      | 0.74   | 1.35 | 1     |

where “Vfw” is the width of the valley floor; “Eld” and “Erd” are the rises of the left and right valley partitions individually, and “Esc” is the rise of the valley floor. Location of Vf of the sub-drainage basins of the Gandhiri stream is shown in Figure 10. The range of Vf for different sub-drainage basins of the Gandhiri stream vary from 0.16 to 0.94. The Vf values were grouped into three classes as Class 1 (0.1 - 0.5), Class 2 (0.5 - 1.0) and Class 3 (1.0 - 1.1) as shown in Table 7. Vf is generally low for V shaped valleys, and high for U shaped valleys. Low values of Vf are related to higher tectonic activity. Vf values of less than 1 are associated with direct dynamic down cutting streams, while Vf values more than 1 suggest a flat or broad valleys (U-shaped) with prominent side cutting erosion and relative tectonic stability.

6. Field Investigations

Field investigation carried out in the different sub-drainage basins of the Gandhiri stream reveals the presence of offset streams, shutter ridges, pressure ridges along the trace of the lineament which has been identified on the satellite imagery. The presence of offset streams, shutter ridges, pressure ridges and seismites like pear drop structures, flame structures, sand dykes and soft sediment deformation features reveals that significant displacement has taken place in a dextral manner (Figure 11(c)). This lineament represents a strike slip dextral fault with significant oblique slip component. It is being named as Gandhiri Fault after the Gandhiri stream. This Fault has a surface expression of 3.2 km and appears to have a significant hidden segment. The tectonic activity along this fault has resulted in progressive accumulation of stress (Figure 11(a), Figure 11(b)). Fault signature such as tilted trees have been observed along the shutter ridges (Figure 11(b)). The pear drop structures have developed as a consequence of tectonic movement. The values of tectonic morphometric indices support the presence of an active segmented dextral strike slip fault with significant oblique slip component. Perched terraces are well developed on Middle Siwalik sandstone at a height of more than four meter in the different sub-drainage basins of the Gandhiri stream (Figure 12).

7. Discussion

Geomorphological indices have been used for classifying faults into different tectonic
Figure 10. Location of \(V_f\) of the sub-basins of the Gandhiri stream.

### Table 7. Calculation of indices of valley floor width to valley height ratio (\(V_f\)) for different sub drainage basins of the Gandhiri stream.

| Sub-drainage basin | Vf No. | \(V_{fw}\) (m) | \(E_{ld}\) (m) | \(E_{rd}\) (m) | \(E_{sc}\) (m) | \(V_{f}\) | Mean \(V_{f}\) | Class |
|--------------------|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|------------|-------|
| 1                  | 1      | 20             | 440            | 469            | 345            | 0.182  | 1          |       |
| 2                  | 2.1    | 18.07          | 461            | 478            | 434            | 0.509  | 1          |       |
| 2                  | 2.2    | 59.90          | 465            | 463            | 414            | 1.198  | 0.941      | 2     |
| 2                  | 2.3    | 91.00          | 458            | 469            | 382            | 1.116  |            |       |
| 3                  | 3      | 13.66          | 479            | 481            | 431            | 0.278  | 1          |       |
| 4                  | 4      | 7.60           | 476            | 481            | 431            | 0.160  | 1          |       |
| 5                  | 5      | 8.20           | 499            | 502            | 457            | 0.188  | 1          |       |

Activity classes. The index of relative tectonic activity based on tectonomorphic indices like drainage basin asymmetry, hypsometry integral, valley floor width to valley height ratio, stream length gradient index, stream sinuosity index and mountain front sinuosity index have been used in the five sub drainage basin of the Gandhiri stream for the classification into different IRAT classes. Three classes (Table 8) have been designated for grouping the tectonic activity namely Class 1: very high tectonic activity; Class 2: moderate tectonic activity and Class 3: low tectonic activity. The \(S/n\) values are worked out by calculating the mean of different geomorphic indices. IRAT Class 1 is having \(S/n\) values ≤ 1.5; Class 2 has \(S/n\) values 1.51 to 1.70 and Class 3 has \(S/n\) values > 1.70. The sub-drainage basin 1 and 3 of the Gandhiri stream fall in IRAT Class 3, sub drainage basin 5 in Class 2 while sub-drainage basin 2 and 4 fall in most tectonically active classes.
Figure 11. (a) Near vertical linear fault controlled hill face along the Gandhiri fault. The fault activity has resulted in a barren hill face; (b) Tilted trees along the active Gandhiri fault; (c) Seismite—Pear drop structures.

Table 8. Index of Relative Tectonic Activity (IRAT) calculated by the mean of all geomorphic indices ($S/n$).

| Sub-drainage basin No. | SL | AF | HI | $V_f$ | $B_r$ | $S_{mf}$ | $S$ | $Sn$ | IRAT | Class |
|------------------------|----|----|----|-------|-------|----------|-----|------|-------|-------|
| 1                      | 2  | 2  | 2  | 1     | 2     | 2        | 1   | 1.71 | 3     | 3     |
| 2                      | 1  | 3  | 1  | 2     | 1     | 1        | 1   | 1.42 | 1     | 1     |
| 3                      | 2  | 3  | 2  | 1     | 3     | -        | 1   | 2.00 | 3     | 3     |
| 4                      | 2  | 2  | 1  | 1     | 2     | -        | 1   | 1.50 | 1     | 1     |
| 5                      | 3  | 3  | 1  | 1     | 1     | -        | 1   | 1.66 | 2     | 2     |
8. Conclusion

The present study has been carried out in the Kangra district of Himachal Pradesh where maximum damage was caused due to 1905 Kangra earthquake. Numerous scenario earthquake damage studies have been initiated to assess the present day possible damages in the event of large magnitude earthquake striking the region. The present study which attempts to fill in this gap regarding the seismo-tectonic character of the terrain in and around Gandhiri is significant. The results of the quantitative morphotectonic analysis reveal that the terrain is tectonically active and an active dextral strike slip fault which has been identified and named as the Gandhiri fault is a significant tectonic element. The index of relative tectonic activity reveals a very high activity for the sub-drainage basins 1 and 3 and relatively low tectonic activity for sub-drainage basin 2, 4 and 5. The data generated and the results thereof suggest that proper seismic codes be incorporated in all the planned constructions and retrofitting of the existing masonry structures.
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