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Abstract: The scholarship on gender-based violence (GBV) against refugee youth has succeeded in highlighting the significance of micro social psychological or situational analyses. Missing, however, are analyses that incorporate structural approaches, especially as informed by critical feminist and critical race theories. This review not only suggests ways in which structural analyses may proceed by further recommending the conceptual utility of integration and dislocation as key concepts in refugee studies, GBV and analyses of youth. These concepts mediate the relationships between two fundamental and prevailing units in the social theorizing – micro and macro-analyses. By incorporating more holistic, relational and critical foci regarding systems of domination (misogyny, racism, youth discrimination, homophobia) within the political economy and culture and their embedded institutions, more systemic and long term remedies are recommended.
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Introduction: Conceptual contexts

The scholarship on gender-based violence (GBV) directed against refugee youth is informed by a rich empirical history drawing from an array of theoretical perspectives that highlight situational, socio-psychological and micro dimensions. Often overlooked in etiological studies of GBV are structural or systemic explanations. Macro accounts maintain that GBV is structurally situated and efforts to combat this insidious practice warrant more macro, system-wide and long term solutions. The omission in mainstream empirical inquiries may be due to a reluctance to move beyond the realm of the situated self. I suggest that a more coordinated and coherent analysis of the GBV’s structural embeddedness (Crocco, 2001; Walby & Towers, 2017) is required.

Moreover, I argue that structural features of GBV are overshadowed by processual or micro analyses which...
consistently understate such structures as the political economy and the structural foundations of misogyny, racism and youth discrimination. The voluminous scholarship from leading feminists, critical theorists, critical race analysts and progressive human rights activists continues to urge the incorporation of structured intersectionalities of violence (Connell, 1998; Walby, 2005; Connell, 2011).

Given the ongoing debate in the social sciences regarding the relationship of the self (micro) and the social structure (the macro), I ask, how does one avoid this “either/or” binary and move towards a more holistic appreciation of both the self and the social? One such approach is to incorporate the idea of mediation, a basic concept in theorizing and in conducting empirical research. Mediation is the practice of taking the contributions of both macro- and micro analyses in an effort to provide a more comprehensive explanation. Van Hear (1998) notes that in addressing micro–macro linkages one should “deal simultaneously with these levels from individual to macro though integrative strategies”. That is, one ought to consider explanations from both these levels of analysis (Visano, 2015). Mediation refers to those ideas that come between, ideas that mitigate, intervene in the relationship between self and structure.

In relation to GBV against refugee youth, what then mediates the relationships between agency (self, interactions, situations) and structure (systems, history and cultures of capitalism, misogyny, racism, ageism, homophobia)? In this paper, I maintain that the idea of relationality (the relatedness of units of analysis-micro, mezzo, macro) provides a formidable substantive and theoretical site for: investigating issues of gender-based violence; unravelling the connectedness of concepts and applied practices; and, questioning dominant modes of thinking about GBV. Specifically, in this paper, I would like to provide a long overdue appreciation of the agency (self) – process (structure) linkages as mediated by the concepts of dislocation and integration of refugee youth afflicted with gender-based violence. Dislocation and integration refer to levels of attachment to social values and social institutions. The concepts of youth dislocation and integration are refreshingly interconnected and appropriately counter-hegemonic in the traditions of critical feminist and critical race scholarship. These two concepts enjoy a rich history of sociological scholarship (Durkheim, 1965; Giddens, 1976).

**Intersectionalities and structural violence**

Patricia Hill Collins (2000) cautions that there is an overarching system of ideas that governs the logic and structure of social, political and economic domination. Structures of domination become formulated around relationships of difference (gender, race, age, ethnicity, sexual orientation, ability, nationality and creed) to construct relationships of power and domination (racism, sexism, classism, ableism, homophobia, ageism, migration status, etc.). Feminist perspectives, judged to be insufficiently represented and accommodated within mainstream disciplines (Oakley, 1981; Simpson, 1989). These theoretical perspectives contribute to a profound theoretical awareness of the past and present constructions of women's experiences (Keohane and Gelpi, 1982). Eichler (1985) explains that feminist approaches provide a critical attitude towards research, replacing its inherent bias with an intersectional and critical standpoint. Harding (1987,1991) argues that there is evidence of repression in scientific “objective science” (knowledge) in the name of theories and methods that speak strictly in a male voice. The strength of feminist research then is its attempt to address the lingering questions concerning the role of the dominant culture in creating conditions that shape the collection and analysis of empirical data.

Similarly, critical race theoretical approaches provide a critique of characteristics of the Canadian culture and class inequalities in order to improve social conditions, rather than reproduce existing structures. By drawing attention to the treatment GBV of refugee youth, critical race theory invites interdisciplinary orientations that challenge the more single-minded dominant ideologies and encourage a more authentic
commitment to social justice. In theorizing about this violence, critical theory highlights the contexts and consequences of the culture of racism that reproduces the pernicious white privilege (Galtung, 1990). Clearly, race and gender cannot be treated separately (Moghissi, 1994) given that the dominant culture penalizes "women of colour". In reference to GBV, race and gender have played significant roles in the responses of the legal system and in the available support services (Couto & Visano, 2012). I would add that is important to highlight the importance of understanding how intersectional factors contribute to the existing vulnerabilities of refugee youth, their challenges with their self-agency and their responses to the impact of the systemic structures.

Structural embeddedness and the institutionalizing of structures: Theoretical refinements

The foundations of competing interests and complementing intersections and their linkages are needed for a more comprehensive analysis of GBV among refugee youth. The foundations include deeply rooted misogyny, well entrenched racism and traditions of anti-youth bias.

Accordingly, structures of law, history, political economy, media and culture impact on how everyday institutions (Bourdieu, 2011) deal with refugee youth. From the family to service providing agencies, values are reflective of tradition, culture and the political economy, all of which need to be incorporated theoretically in addressing the determinants of gender-based violence. An understanding of GBV is not related solely to structures of thinking but also benefits from experimental and experiential examination. GBV is not related solely to social psychology but to the racist discrimination, language barriers, lack of educational and employment opportunities and poverty. Indeed, it is important to consider the existential experiences of refugee youths which render them vulnerable to victimization (Totten, 2000) and further dislocation. Institutions dealing with gendered refugee youth defer to structures of dominance (Sivan, Koch, Baier & Adiga, 1999). This is most acute with the health delivery service and barriers that prevent refugee youth from receiving mental health services (Ellis, Miller, Baldwin & Abdi, 2011). For instance, Berthold (2000) documents war traumas and associated PTSD, community violence and the absence of well-being among Khmer refugee.

I would like to argue given the children’s rights discourse, academics, politicians and service providers need to work collaboratively to respect and enhance the voices of victims who continue to direct attention to much ignored structural factors such as poverty, health determinants, racism and misogyny (Barbulescu & Grugel, 2016; Otto, 2019; Lems 2019). Witness, for example, how the research on sexual exploitation of children and human trafficking have paid little attention to much needed structural analyses. Empirical studies have succeeded in making the argument empirically that research on refugee youth has been characterized by contradictory policies and services that impact negatively on the well-being of these youths (Bierwirth, 2005; Bhabha 2008; Fong & Cardoso, 2010; Freedman, 2016; Lems, Oester & Strasser, 2019).

Conclusion: An agenda for future research

A critical theoretical set of interrelated perspectives serves to complement situational, social psychological or institutional analyses. What emerges from such a critical inquiry of gender-based violence among refugee youths are the concepts of dislocation and integration. I highly recommend the importance of studying these two concepts together in order to understand the challenges facing refugees. Structural factors highlight both the dislocation and integration felt by this marginalized population who are “in” but not “of” the host country. My paper suggest that these two concepts introduce notions of nearness and remoteness socially, politically, physically and psychologically that warrant further research. To be able to fully understand GBV, we have to conceptually understand the importance of looking at agency and structure in relation to dislocation and integration. An agenda for future research and policy implications
is a consideration of how refugee youth integrate their respective cultural traditional with western values and how institutions accommodate to their sense of location and belongingness. In other words, how do youths and institutions negotiate integration in light of structural barriers given multiple factors that influence refugee adolescent mental health (Filler, 2018:12)?

Interestingly, there have been recent scholarship that contributes immeasurably to implicating and incorporating systems approaches in examining resilience and power (Khanlou N, Bender A, Mill C, Vazquez L & Rojas L, 2018). In my understanding, Dr. Khanlou’s scholarly work further enhances an appreciation of dislocation and integration and its relation to agency and structure by using a different lens.

The concept of “structured agency”, that is, the social self, assists in understanding GBV. The idea of structured agency incorporates youth values (intrinsic to being, emotions, unconscious, instincts) and imposed values (subcultural, institutional). Theoretical and more applied scholarship, past and present, will indicate how GBV is recognized and represented within the contexts of integration and as an outcome of the refugee migration process.
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