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ABSTRACT

Background: The development of children in the first 1000 days is influential in the long term. Therefore, it requires education at an early age to achieve optimal development. This study aimed to analyze the contextual effect of early childhood education on the development of children under five at Surabaya.

Subjects and Method: This was a cross-sectional study conducted 25 preschools in Wonokromo, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia. The study population was all children aged 2-5 years. A sample of 200 children aged 2-5 years from 25 preschools was selected randomly. The dependent variable was child development. The independent variables were the history of infectious disease, exclusive breastfeeding, number of children, maternal education, maternal occupation, family income, nutritional status, mother tongue, birth weight, and preschool level. The data were analyzed using a multilevel multiple logistic regression run on STATA 13.

Results: Child development disorders in children aged 2-5 years increased with history of infectious disease (b= 1.35; 95% CI= 0.39 to 2.31; p= 0.005), poor nutrition status (b= 1.07; 95% CI=0.06 to 2.08; p= 0.036), low family income (b= 1.89; 95% CI= 0.91 to 2.86; p<0.001), mothers who work outside (b= 1.06; 95% CI= 0.19 to 1.94; p= 0.017), low maternal education (b= 1.21; 95% CI= 0.20 to 2.22; p= 0.018), and non-exclusive breastfeeding (b= 1.48; 95% CI= 0.52 to 2.44; p= 0.002). Preschools had weak contextual effect on the development of children under five with ICC= 9.4%.

Conclusion: Child development disorders in children aged 2-5 years increases with history of infectious disease, poor nutrition status, low family income, mothers who work outside, low maternal education, and non-exclusive breastfeeding. Preschools have weak contextual effect on the development of children under five.
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BACKGROUND

In 2030, the government is committed to achieving the Sustainable Development Goals’s (SDG) targets specifically concerning children’s development. According to the Indonesian Children’s Profile (2018), a variety of strategies at the national and regional levels have been developed to achieve the specified targets. Targets to be achieved include eliminating child poverty; no more children are malnourished and die of treatable diseases; creating a child-friendly environment; meet the needs of children’s education, especially education at an early age; and other targets.
The first five years of life is a time that is very sensitive to the environment and this period lasts very short and cannot be repeated anymore, so the toddler period is called a "golden period", "window of opportunity", and "critical period".

The Statistics Indonesia (BPS) in the Profile of Indonesian Children (2018), projects that 30.5 percent or 79.6 million of Indonesia’s population in 2017 are children aged 0-17 years, meaning that almost one in three Indonesians are children.

Indonesia Health Profile 2018 stated that only 67.48% of children under five in Indonesia are weighed every month and as many as 80.92% of children under five are declared thin and get extra food. A total of 1,496 children aged 0-59 months were never weighed in the last 12 months in the province of East Java (Health Office of East Java, 2018). These things indicate that there is still a lack of awareness of parents in monitoring children’s growth and development.

The Department of Health in collaboration with the Indonesian Pediatric Society (IDAI) has compiled various instruments for stimulation, detection, and early intervention of growth and development for children aged three months to 72 months, namely the developmental pre-screening questionnaire (KPSP).

This instrument is intended not only for health workers in the Public health center and its staff (doctors, midwives, nurses, nutritionists, public health counselors, and other health workers who care for children) but also for other sector staff in carrying out the task of stimulating and detecting premature deviation of child growth and development. Caregivers or Early Childhood Education (PAUD) teachers are one of the partners of health workers in conducting stimulation and early detection of deviations of child development (Wati, 2017). One of the interventions is the Predevelopment pre-screening questionnaire (KPSP) which can be used to see a child’s development.

Factors that affect development are seen from the social environment, parenting, language use, and early childhood education (Wijayanti et al., 2018). Another study also mentions one of the factors that influence development, which is an infection or disease, which is mentioned in the study of Pinkerton (2016). There is an effect between the history of breastfeeding and weaning food on the development of children aged 12-24 months (Suryana et al., 2018). Also, external factors can affect the development of toddlers family income (Lolita et al., 2019), number of children (Blumenfeld et al., 2018), birth weight (Kusumasari et al., 2016), stimulation (Ulfah et al., 2018), nutritional status of under-fives lacking influence on growth and if it occurs continuously will have an impact on the development of under-fives (Indriyani et al., 2018).

According to the Minister of Health Regulation of the Republic of Indonesia number 66 of 2014 concerning monitoring of growth, development and developmental disorders of children in article 4 paragraph 1 which reads the monitoring of growth, development and developmental disorders of children being carried out in primary health care facilities and kindergarten (Ministry of Health, 2014). According to the Ministry of Education and Culture reference data in East Java alone, there are 45,414 preschool and 2,869 in Surabaya.

Based on the background above, the causes of developmental disorders have many risks, therefore it is necessary to conduct a study at the Individual level and level II, namely analyzing the contextual effect of preschool (detection of development with KPSP and WHO z-score) in the City of Surabaya, East Java.
SUBJECTS AND METHOD

1. Study Design
This study was a cross-sectional study that was done in Wonokromo District, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia in January to February 2020.

2. Population and Sample
The source population in this study was children under five aged over 24 months to 60 months who were from 25 preschools in Wonokromo district, Surabaya. The total sample of 200 study subjects consisted of 8 children under five taken from 25 preschools. The sampling was done using Simple Random Sampling technique.

3. Study Variables
The dependent variable was children under five’s development. The independent variables were the history of infectious disease, number of children, nutritional status, exclusive breastfeeding, maternal education, maternal occupation, family income, contextual preschool.

4. Operational Definition of Variables

History of infectious disease is an infectious disease such as diarrhea (liquid bowel that was experienced by children under five with (frequency) or more in one day), ARI (cough, difficulty breathing) suffered by toddlers in the past 6 months. Categorical data scales were changed to dichotomies when analyzing data.

Number of children was the number of children owned in one family. Continuous data scales were converted into dichotomies during data analysis. Code 0: ideal <3 children, 1: ideal number ≥ 3 children.

Maternal education was the status of formal education (elementary, junior high, high school, college) that was taken by mothers based on the last diploma obtained. Categorical data scale. Code 0: further or higher education (high school, university), 1: basic education (elementary and junior high school or equivalent).

Maternal occupation was the work of mothers inside or outside the house, both formal and informal, which was done in the amount of time spent during the day. Categorical data scale. Code 0: Work inside the home, 1: work outside the home.

Family income was the amount of family income received in one month as a source of economic family for the last 6 months. Revenues could be measured by Regency or City Minimum Wages. Continuous data scales were changed to a dichotomy during data analysis, code 0 = ≥UMK = Rp 4,200,000, 1: <UMK = Rp 4,200,000.

History of exclusive breastfeeding was the period of a baby given exclusive breastfeeding for 6 full months without any food and drinks other than breast milk. Continuous data scales were converted to a dichotomy when analyzing data. Code 0: exclusive breastfeeding for 6 full months. 1: No exclusive breastfeeding for 6 full months.

Nutritional status was the ratio between body weight (Kgs) and age (month) of children under five according to Kepmenkes No. 1995 / MENKES / SK / XII / 2010 and by looking at the WHO growth chart z-score table (2005). Nutritional status studied by Weight for Age. Continuous data scales were converted to a dichotomy when analyzing data. 0: ideal nutritional status (sufficient) (-2.0 SD to 2.0 SD), 1: underweight nutritional status (<-2.0 SD).

Contextual integrated healthcare center (Posyandu) was preschool level in the form of characteristics that were differentiated based on services provided by facilities and infrastructure, teaching methods and curriculum provided. Categorical data scale. Code 0: Child Care Park (TPA), 1: Study Group, 2: Kindergarten, 3: Kindergarten Unit Similar.

5. Study Instruments
The study instrument used for data collection was a questionnaire that was tested for vali-
dity and reliability. The questionnaire was used to measure the history of infectious disease, number of children, nutritional status, maternal education, maternal occupation, family income, history of exclusive breastfeeding, and types of preschool.

6. Data Analysis
Univariate analysis was used to see the frequency distribution and percentage characteristics of subjects of the study. Bivariate analysis was conducted to examine the correlations between independent variables on child development using Chi-square. Multivariate analysis used logistic regression analysis through a multilevel approach with the Stata 13 program to find out the contextual effect of preschool on children's development.

7. Research Ethics
Ethical clearance in this study was obtained from the Health Research Ethics Commission of Moewardi Hospital Surakarta City with the number 1,287 / XII / HREC / 2019 published on December 3, 2019.

RESULTS
1. Sample Characteristics
Characteristics that were studied included the history of infectious disease, nutritional status, family income, maternal education, exclusive breastfeeding, maternal occupation, children’s development, birth weight, mother tongue and Early Childhood Education or preschool. These characteristics could be shown in Table 1 and Table 2.

Table 1. The Characteristics of Study Subjects (Continuous Data)

| Characteristic                  | N    | Mean      | SD    | Min.   | Max.   |
|---------------------------------|------|-----------|-------|--------|--------|
| Income (Rupiahs)                | 200  | 4,830,500 | 2,311,109 | 1,000,000 | 15,000,000 |
| Number of children              | 200  | 1.86      | 0.85  | 1      | 4      |
| Development                     | 200  | 8.72      | 0.90  | 7      | 10     |
| Nutritional status (WAZ)        | 200  | -1.2      | 1.43  | -3     | 3      |
| Birth weight (gram)             | 200  | 3,145.45  | 441.36| 2,300  | 4,500  |

Table 2. Characteristics of Study Subjects (Dichotomous Data)

| Characteristic                  | Frequency (n) | Percent (%) |
|---------------------------------|---------------|-------------|
| History of infectious disease   |               |             |
| Never                           | 137           | 68.5        |
| Ever                            | 63            | 31.5        |
| **Nutritional status**          |               |             |
| Good nutrition                  | 151           | 75.5        |
| Malnutrition                    | 49            | 24.5        |
| **Family Income**               |               |             |
| ≥Regional Minimum Wage          | 134           | 67.0        |
| < Regional Minimum Wage         | 66            | 33.0        |
| **Maternal education**          |               |             |
| ≥Senior High School             | 156           | 78.0        |
| < Senior High School            | 44            | 22.0        |
| **Exclusive breastfeeding**     |               |             |
| Yes                             | 118           | 59.0        |
| No                              | 82            | 41.0        |
| **Maternal occupation**         |               |             |
| Work at home                    | 106           | 53.0        |
| Work outside at home            | 94            | 47.0        |
| **Number of children**          |               |             |
| <3 children                     | 163           | 81.5        |
| ≥3 children                     | 37            | 18.5        |
2. The result of bivariate analysis

The analytical test used in the bivariate analysis was the chi-square test. Bivariate analysis based on the results of this study can be seen in Table 3. In table 3 shows that the history of infectious disease affected the child’s development. Children who had had an infection are more likely to be 3.59 more likely to experience developmental disorders (OR = 3.59; p = 0.005).

Children’s nutritional status affected the development of a child. Children with malnutrition were 2.94 times more likely to experience developmental disorders (OR= 2.94; p= 0.026).

Family income affected the child’s development. Children who live with an income family below the regional minimum wage were 6.27 times as likely to have developmental disorders (OR= 6.27; p <0.001).

Table 3. Bivariate Analysis on the Determinants of Child Development

| Independent Variable                  | Child Development |                      | OR    | p     |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------|-------|
|                                      | Good              | Poor                 |       |       |
|                                      | N   | %    | N    | %    |       |       |
| **History of Infectious Disease**    |      |      |      |      |       |       |
| Never                                | 97  | 70.8 | 40  | 29.2 | 3.59 | 0.005 |
| Ever                                 | 20  | 31.7 | 43  | 68.2 |       |       |
| **Nutritional Status**               |      |      |      |      |       |       |
| Good Nutritional Status              | 102  | 67.5 | 49  | 32.4 | 2.94 | 0.026 |
| Malnutrition                         | 15  | 30.6 | 34  | 69.4 |       |       |
| **Family Income**                    |      |      |      |      |       |       |
| ≥Regional Minimum Wage               | 96  | 71.6 | 38  | 28.4 | 6.27 | <0.001|
| < Regional Minimum Wage              | 21  | 31.8 | 45  | 68.2 |       |       |
| **Maternal Education**               |      |      |      |      |       |       |
| ≥Senior high school                  | 104  | 66.7 | 52  | 33.3 | 3.14 | 0.020 |
| < Senior high school                 | 13  | 29.5 | 31  | 70.4 |       |       |
| **Exclusive breastfeeding**          |      |      |      |      |       |       |
| Yes                                  | 88  | 74.8 | 30  | 25.4 | 4.32 | 0.002 |
| No                                   | 29  | 35.3 | 53  | 64.6 |       |       |
| **Maternal Occupation**              |      |      |      |      |       |       |
| Work at home                         | 69  | 65.1 | 37  | 34.9 | 2.64 | 0.019 |
| Work from outside the home           | 48  | 51   | 46  | 48.9 |       |       |
| **Number of Children**               |      |      |      |      |       |       |
| <3 children                          | 103 | 63.2 | 60  | 36.8 | 3.24 | 0.029 |
| ≥3 children                          | 14  | 37.8 | 23  | 62.2 |       |       |

Maternal education affected the development of children. Children from mothers with low education level were 3.14 times more likely to experience developmental disorders (OR= 3.14; p= 0.020).

The history of exclusive breastfeeding affected the child’s development. Children with a history of no exclusive breastfeeding were 4.32 times more likely to have developmental disorders (OR= 4.32; p= 0.002).

Maternal occupation affected the development of children. Children with mothers who work outside the home were 2.64 times more likely to experience developmental disorders (OR= 2.6; p= 0.019). The number of children in the family affected the development of the child. The number of children who were not ideal in a family is 3.24 times more likely to experience developmental disorders (OR= 3.24; p= 0.029).
3. The result of multilevel analysis

The multivariate analysis explained the effect of more than one independent variable (history of infectious disease, nutritional status, maternal education, maternal occupation, exclusive breastfeeding, family income, mother tongue, birth weight, and number of children) with the dependent variable namely the development of children. The following are results of multivariate analysis of children’s development using multiple logistic regression with a multilevel approach that can be seen on Table 4.

### a. The Effect of History Infectious Disease on Children’s Development

Table 4 shows the effect of the history of infectious disease on the development of children under five. Children under five who had a history of infectious disease had a possibility (log odd) to experience developmental disorders 1.35 units higher than children under five who had never had a history of infectious disease (b = 1.35; 95% CI = 0.39 to 2.31; p = 0.005).

### b. The Effect of Nutritional Status on Children’s Development

There was an effect of nutritional status on the development of children under five. Children under five with malnutrition status had the possibility (log odd) to experience development disorders of 1.07 units higher than those with good nutritional status (b = 1.07; 95% CI = 0.06 to 2.08; p = 0.036).

### c. The Effects of Family Income on Children’s Development

There was an effect of family income on the development of children under five. Children under five with low family income had the possibility (log odd) to experience developmental disorders 1.89 units greater than children with high income family (b = 1.89; 95% CI = 0.91 to 2.86; p < 0.001).

### d. The Effect of Maternal Education on Children’s Development

There was an effect of maternal education on the development of children under five. Children under five from mothers with low education levels had the possibility (log odd) to experience developmental disorders 1.21 units higher than those who had mothers with high education (b = 1.21; 95% CI = 0.20 to 2.22; p = 0.018).

### e. The Effect of History of Exclusive Breastfeeding on Children

There was an effect of exclusive breastfeeding on the development of children under five.

---

**Table 4. Multilevel Multiple Logistic Regression Analysis of Children’s Development**

| Independent variable                           | Regression coefficient (b) | 95% CI Lower limit | 95% CI Upper limit | p       |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|
| **Fixed effect**                              |                           |                    |                    |         |
| History of infectious disease (yes)           | 1.35                      | 0.39               | 2.31               | 0.005   |
| Nutritional Status (malnutrition)            | 1.07                      | 0.06               | 2.08               | 0.036   |
| Income (low)                                  | 1.89                      | 0.91               | 2.86               | <0.001  |
| Maternal occupation (outside)                 | 1.06                      | 0.19               | 1.94               | 0.017   |
| Maternal education (low)                      | 1.21                      | 0.20               | 2.22               | 0.018   |
| Exclusive breastfeeding (no)                  | 1.48                      | 0.52               | 2.44               | 0.002   |
| Number of children (≥3 children)              | 1.21                      | 0.10               | 2.32               | 0.032   |
| Constant                                      | -3.18                     |                    |                    |         |
| **Random Effect**                             |                           |                    |                    |         |
| Var (Constant)                                | 0.342                     |                    |                    |         |
| N observation= 200                            |                           |                    |                    |         |
| N group= 25                                   |                           |                    |                    |         |
| Log Likelihood=−85.74                         |                           |                    |                    | p<0.001 |
| Group average= 8                              |                           |                    |                    |         |
| Var (Constant)                                | 0.342                     |                    |                    |         |
| N observation= 200                            |                           |                    |                    |         |
| N group= 25                                   |                           |                    |                    |         |
| Log Likelihood=−85.74                         |                           |                    |                    | p<0.001 |
| Group average= 8                              |                           |                    |                    |         |

---
Children under five with incomplete exclusive breastfeeding had a possibility (log odd) to experience developmental disorders 1.48 units higher than those with exclusive breastfeeding ($b = 1.48; 95\% CI = 0.52 \text{ to } 2.44; p = 0.002$).

### f. The Effect of Maternal Occupation on Children’s Development

There was an effect of maternal occupation on the development of children under five. Children under five who live with mothers who work outside the home had the possibility (log odd) to experience developmental disorders 1.06 units greater than mothers who work at home ($b = 1.06; 95\% CI = 0.19 \text{ to } 1.94; p = 0.017$).

### g. The Effect of Number of Children on Children’s Development

There was an effect of the number of children in the family on the development of children under five. Children under five who live in families with less than the ideal number of children had a (log odd) chance of experiencing developmental disorders 1.21 units greater than children under five living in families with the ideal number of children ($b = 1.21; 95\% CI = 0.10 \text{ to } 2.32; p = 0.032$).

### h. The Contextual Effect of Preschool on Children’s Development

There was a contextual effect of preschool seen in the value of the ICC. The value of the ICC in this study amounting to 9.4% indicating that in accordance with thumb rule 8-10%. Early childhood development of each preschool was different so it was an important factor.

---

**DISCUSSION**

1. **The Effect of History of Infectious Disease on Children’s Development**

   This study shows the results that there is an effect of a history of infectious disease on children’s development. The results of the analysis note that children under five who have had a history of infectious disease can cause developmental disorders.

   A study conducted by Yadika (2019), showed that a history of infectious disease can cause a child to become stunted and this disrupts motor, cognitive and verbal development. In the stunting condition, disruption in the process of brain neuron maturation and changes in brain structure and function can occur that can cause permanent damage to cognitive development. This condition causes the child’s ability to think and learn to be disrupted and ultimately reduces the level of attendance and learning achievement.

   According to Mokomane et al. (2018), infectious diseases, such as diarrhea can disrupt children’s development. The diarrheal disease can cause not only short-term death but also for impaired cognitive development, lack of schooling, lack of economic productivity in adulthood, and can lead to a tendency to develop metabolic diseases in adulthood and reduce childbirth weight (Khalil et al., 2016).

   In infectious diseases, there is an inflammatory process in the body, this process will be able to affect a toddler in its development. It was mentioned in a study conducted by Jensen et al. (2019) that inflammation can affect a child's development through different pathways including the direct effect of inflammation on growth and nerve function, disease behavior in children which might delay learning, and interactions with other biological processes in the body.

2. **The Effects of Nutritional Status on Children’s Development**

   The results of this study indicate that there is an effect between nutritional status on children’s development and is statistically significant. The results of the analysis note that toddlers with malnutrition status are more at risk of developing developmental disorders. Malnutrition can also have a direct effect on the central nervous system of children. This
complex correlation makes it difficult to separate the right mechanism from the relationship between deficits in growth and poor mental development (Onis, 2017).

Another study also shows that nutritional status affects early childhood development. Children with good nutritional status have appropriate development compared to children with malnutrition ($p = 0.008$). There is a positive effect between nutritional status and early childhood development. Good nutritional status improves early childhood development (Hardilla et al., 2020).

Food intake affects the development and function of the brain in all age groups, starting from pregnancy in terms of cognitive processes, moods, and brain performance. Therefore, nutritional deficiencies can cause a large number of clinical symptoms that depend on age, which affects the functioning of the central nervous system (Lambregts, 2017).

Another study conducted by Cyr (2016), also mentioned that nutritional deficiencies in early life can have permanent effects into adulthood. Nutritional deficiencies early in life affect the number of cells produced in the brain and body, while childhood deficiencies affect the size and complexity of cells, determining how productive and functional they are in the body. The effects of nutritional deficiencies can have severe and permanent effects on children if the deficiency is experienced very early in life and for a long period. The younger a child is, and the longer they lack essential nutrients, the more likely they are to experience severe, lasting cognitive, emotional and physical effects.

Micronutrient deficiency can cause dramatic disruptions in a child’s development. Nutrition is calculated as an environmental factor. Iron and iodine deficiency both affect cognitive development. A study shows how malnutrition can interfere with the development of neurons in the human brain (Krämer, 2017).

3. The Effects of Family Income on Children’s Development

The results of this study indicate that there is an effect of family income on children’s development and is statistically significant. The results of the analysis note that children under five who live in families with low income are more at risk of developmental disorders.

Low family income is synonymous with poverty, which is one factor of developmental disorders in children. Poverty, socio-cultural factors, and psychosocial and biological risk factors all work together to affect child development and long-term adult productivity (Fernald, 2017).

This is following a study conducted by Black et al. (2017) which stated that difficulties of a child’s early life can affect life development, especially when there are many difficulties such as poverty, malnutrition, high crime community, and low-quality resources. In addition to differences in vocabulary, the parental income also plays a role in facilities that play a role in the child’s developmental period. One of these facilities can be in the form of the ability to check progress in a health facility and intervene as early as possible if there is a delay (Urke, 2018).

Economic status affects children’s language and speech development. The analysis used stated that high economic status will make it easier for children to get access to learning because of the availability of funds owned by parents. Access to toddler learning can be both formal and informal. Formal means involving toddlers in early childhood (PAUD) and informal playgrounds can be done at home by providing children with play facilities that can hone their children’s language and speech development abilities (Pace et al., 2017).
Studies conducted by the Institute of Nutrition of Central America and Panama (INCAP), have monitored growth and development over the past 50 years showing that environmental factors related to poverty have a greater impact on a child's growth and functional development than genetic factors (Piper et al., 2017).

4. The Effects of Maternal Education on Children's Development
The results of this study indicate that there is an effect between maternal education on child development and is statistically significant. The results of the analysis note that toddlers with mothers with low education levels are more at risk of developing developmental disorders.

Previous study conducted by Jeong et al. (2017) state that more highly educated mothers have shown greater knowledge about child development, use more complex language and vocabulary with their children, invest more in the health of their children, have more books at home, and also have higher educational expectations for their children.

Regarding nurturing a child's development, mothers with higher education can create a more friendly and healthier home environment for child development, such as having more roles in economic matters, better parenting behavior, greater information processing capacity, and efficiency higher investment in human development (Cui et al., 2019).

Mothers play a more important role in the child's development process. Therefore, low maternal education will affect the health of children at an early age which can result in developmental disorders (Keats, 2018).

A study conducted by Farooq et al. (2019) showed that the education of elementary and middle/high school mothers was significantly recorded as a more protective measure to avoid toddlers who avoid poor growth and development compared to mothers without education. The impact of good maternal education will affect a child's health outcomes in the long run. Thus, this study estimates that the impact of maternal school can affect a child's chronic malnutrition (Slemping, 2017; Rachmi, 2016).

5. The Effect of Maternal Occupation on Children's Development
The results of this study indicate that there is an effect between maternal occupation on children's development and is statistically significant. The results of the analysis note that toddlers with mothers who work outside the home are more at risk of developing developmental disorders.

Other studies have also shown the same results, namely the possibility that stress or fatigue associated with work can negatively affect the interaction of mothers with children. It can be said, the work of mothers outside the home weakens family bonding, which includes the frequency of interaction and the strength of the bond developed between parents and children. The participation of maternal labor outside the home is considered to contribute to reducing the time spent with children, limiting the role of the family in the socialization process and therefore potentially leading to behavioral problems or negative outcomes during childhood development (Vikram et al., 2018).

The same thing was conveyed in previous studies that mothers can work but must pay attention to the time when leaving the child. The ideal time to work is 8 hours/day. This is because mothers need time to do bonding or quality time with their children to obtain optimal development at an early age (Handayani et al., 2017).

6. The Effect of a History of Exclusive Breastfeeding on Children's Development
The results of this study indicate that there is an effect between the history of exclusive
breastfeeding on children's development and is statistically significant. The results of the analysis note that toddlers with incomplete exclusive breastfeeding history are more at risk of developing developmental disorders. Breastfeeding has also been linked to improved health outcomes in childhood, including improvements in children's neurocognitive development and lower rates of chronic diseases such as diabetes. The World Health Organization recommends giving babies 6 months of exclusive breastfeeding to receive maximum health benefits (Heymann et al., 2017).

Other studies have shown that breastfeeding can protect children from various diseases such as otitis media, malocclusion, dental caries, obesity and type 2 diabetes (Horta et al., 2015) and have been consistently associated with increased cognitive development in a child (Horta et al., 2015; Pérez et al., 2016).

This is the same as a study conducted by Boucher et al. (2017) which stated that recent study has supported a correlation between duration of breastfeeding and children's cognition beyond parental and socio-environmental factors.

The results of several studies show a positive correlation between breastfeeding and children's neurological development and show that a longer duration of breastfeeding is beneficial for children's psychomotor development (Stelmach et al., 2019).

The same thing is shown in a study conducted by Victora et al. (2016). The nutritional benefits of breastfeeding and its protection against infection are well known. The findings from epidemiological and biological studies reinforce the fact that the decision not to breastfeed a child has a large long-term effect on children's health, nutrition, and development.

According to Grace et al. (2016) giving exclusive breastfeeding for 6 full months has been linked to some positive development outcomes including optimal neurodevelopment and early brain development, increased immunity, mental health, language skills, cognitive function, and academic achievement.

7. The Effect of Number of Children on Children's Development

The results of this study indicate that there is an effect between the number of children in an exclusive breastfeeding family on child development and is statistically significant. The results of the analysis note that toddlers who live with the number of children in families that are not ideal are more at risk of developing developmental disorders.

'Homeschooling' as a term of character learning at home, represents the impact of family education on children. On the other hand, the family as an institution must create conditions for the development of a positive correlation to work, which is thus a tendency towards the establishment of a better realistic approach to the development of children's better personalities (Ceka and Murati, 2016).

According to Listyaningsih. (2015) the ideal number of children in a family is 2 children. With the ideal number of children, the mother can focus more on taking care of her child and the sibling can play a role as a friend of interaction so that your presence is needed for the child to hone the ability to interact and develop (Sang and Nelson, 2017).

A previous study has shown similar results that a comfortable and safe home environment plays an important role in the development process of a child. Uncomfortable environments and the many conflicts that exist in a home can cause children's development is not optimal. A large number of children in a family can trigger frequent conflicts that will occur between siblings. Conflicts that occur within a family will be able to have
a negative impact on the child's social-emotional development (Lucas and George, 2017). The number of children who are less than ideal can also affect the closeness or bonding of a child with parents, especially mothers. The need for love will affect the child's social-emotional development which will have an impact on the child's social interaction in his environment (Steenbakkers et al., 2018).

8. The Contextual Effect of Preschool on Child's Development
The results of this study indicate that there is a contextual effect on the level of early childhood education on child development. The value of the effect of early childhood education at the second level is indicated by the ICC value of 9.4%.

This is supported by a similar study which stated that the duration of teaching early childhood education or early education is positively affected by children's cognitive development and has a maximum effect on children aged 31 months or more. Whereas language development and literacy will maximally develop in children aged 19-31 months (Rao et al., 2019).

The demand for high-quality early childhood education, demonstrating the importance of the social, emotional, and cognitive development of early childhood has resulted in increased interest from educators, researchers, program designers, and the government in the provision of early childhood education led by effective educators. This intervention was carried out to support children's social and emotional growth. Therefore, this proves that the level of early childhood education can affect a child's development (O'Connor et al., 2018).
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