Abstract: During the progression from hepatitis to fibrosis, cirrhosis, and liver failure, the accumulation of stressed/damaged hepatocyte elements associated with liver inflammation is critical. The causes of hepatocyte injuries include viral hepatitis infections, alcoholic hepatitis, and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatocyte-derived extracellular vesicles (Hep-EVs) released from stressed/damaged hepatocytes are partly responsible for liver disease progression and liver damage because they activate non-parenchymal cells and infiltrate inflammatory cells within the liver, which are in turn an important source of EVs. This cell-to-cell signaling is prevalent during inflammation in many liver diseases. Accordingly, special emphasis should be placed on liquid biopsy methods for the long-term monitoring of chronic liver diseases. In the present review, we have highlighted various aspects of current liquid biopsy research into chronic liver diseases. We have also reviewed recent progress on liquid biopsies that focus on cell-free DNA (cfDNA), long non-coding RNA (lncRNA), and the proteins in EVs as potential diagnostic tools and novel therapeutic targets in patients with viral hepatitis, fatty liver steatosis, and alcoholic liver diseases.
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1. Introduction

Chronic liver disease is a major public health issue globally. It includes chronic viral hepatitis, fatty liver disease, among other causes, and accounts for approximately 3.5% of deaths [1]. Viral hepatitis is a persistent state of liver inflammation caused by various viruses. It increases the risk of cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Chronic hepatitis B virus (CHB) and chronic hepatitis C virus (CHC) infections are major risk factors for HCC, and the association has ranged from 45 to 80% in various regional epidemics. In recent decades, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and alcohol-associated liver disease have emerged as urgent etiologies associated with an increasingly westernized global lifestyle. It is worth noting that the increase in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) associated with obesity and metabolic syndrome may have led, directly or indirectly, to an increase in...
the incidence of HCC [2]. NAFLD-associated HCC presents as mild stage fibrosis but it is linked to advanced HCC stage and poor overall survival [3,4]. Because most NAFLD patients are asymptomatic, a feasible follow-up schedule and an HCC surveillance strategy remain elusive owing to the potential risk of cirrhosis, HCC, and liver failure in certain patients [5].

Liver biopsy remains the gold standard for the diagnosis of various chronic liver diseases, and for determining the patterns and severity of liver injury, inflammation, and fibrosis stage. However, liver biopsy is invasive, and is prone to sampling errors during the investigation of fat deposition in hepatocytes. It also carries the potential risk of bleeding. Moreover, despite intensive research, currently available non-invasive blood tests are not sufficiently sensitive or specific and are therefore of limited use. Various imaging modalities—including magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-based and ultrasound-based elastography—are used for the assessment of liver steatosis and fibrosis, but there is much room for improvement with regard to diagnostic accuracy, especially in the early stages of fibrosis [6]. Biomarkers that can redress these shortcomings might provide significant advances in the diagnosis and monitoring of disease progression and regression in clinical settings. Liquid biopsy has potential as a less invasive alternative to tissue biopsy. It addresses several unmet clinical needs, including sensitivity, specificity, the determination of prognoses, and the prediction of therapeutic responses.

Liquid biological specimens comprising elements such as cell-free DNA (cfDNA) from intact blood, extracellular vesicles (EVs), and long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) are potential tools for monitoring tumor dynamics in terms of the early detection of de novo growth and recurrence [7]. EVs are microscopic capsules bound by membranes that comprising variable of contains by organs [8]. The three main types of EVs are microparticles/microvesicles (MPs/MVs), exosomes, and apoptotic bodies, which are released from disintegrating or activated cells. They are generated in different ways and vary in size and composition. In general, MPs/MVs are 100–1000 nm in diameter and are directly generated from the plasma membrane by a budding process [9]. Apoptotic bodies are up to 500 nm in diameter and contain cellular fragments [10]. Exocytosis is a cellular process that involves the release of multiple vesicles (40–150 nm in diameter) known as exosomes, which are formed by the fusion of multivesicular bodies with the plasma membrane [11]. EVs contain various cellular components including proteins, DNA molecules (double-stranded DNA (dsDNA), single-stranded DNA (ssDNA), and mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA)), RNA molecules (messenger RNA (mRNA), microRNA (miRNA), and lncRNA), and lipids [12].

Some of the protein cargoes delivered by EVs from hepatocytes are implicated in various pathways, including those involving detoxification, endosomes, cytosolic proteins, apolipoproteins, and coagulation-associated proteins. MPs/MVs have been found to contain typical markers such as actinin-4, mitofilin, and phosphatidylserine. Exosomes contain a rich variety of components, such as heat shock proteins (HSP60, HSP70, HSP90), tetraspanins (CD9, CD10, CD26, CD63, CD81, CD82), and integrins [8]. Sphingosine kinase 2 (SK2) is delivered by exosomes that are formed by hepatocytes and target other hepatocytes; it catalyzes the formation of sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P), implying that it is a disease-specific cargo of hepatocyte-derived exosomes [13]. EVs are formed by various types of liver cells, such as hepatocytes, hepatic stellate cells (HSCs), endothelial cells, Kupffer cells, and natural killer cells. They act as essential intracellular communication regulators, and are associated with various vital biological processes, including inflammation and tissue remodeling. EVs are expelled into the extracellular space by various types of liver cells and are thereby introduced into the circulation. Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs)—which regulate biological and genetic functions—have been identified in EVs. IncRNAs have been implicated in numerous biological disorders because they regulate developmental processes. As wells as secreting the components described above into the circulating blood, cells can also export the precursors produced by pathogens such as viruses during their replication. One example of this phenomenon is provided by the detection of covalently closed circular DNA (cccDNA) and pre-genomic RNA (pgRNA) formed during the replication of hepatitis B virus (HBV). The assembly of proteins and nucleic acids originating from EVs also can change
in response to various stimulations. Therefore, EVs derived from cells or organs under stress or affected by disease are potential biomarkers as they are different from those secreted during healthy homeostasis [12,14]. Liquid biopsy methods are now used widely to detect many aspects of chronic liver diseases. They are informative and provide in-depth information about the diverse spectrum of disease progression.

2. Liquid Biopsies for Viral Hepatitis

2.1. Liquid Biopsies Associated Viral Hepatitis Infections

Viral hepatitis is an inflammation of the liver associated with infection by a hepatitis virus. Researchers have debated whether viruses have infection strategies that integrate EV-regulated communication pathways [15]. For example, enveloped viruses use the phosphatidylinerse receptor to identify and enter target cells [16,17]. Phosphatidylserine groups have been identified on the surfaces of exosomes in numerous studies. This suggests that hepatitis viruses may incorporate themselves into EVs during biogenesis to enhance viral spread, as indicted by cell models and serum samples [18–20].

Hepatitis A—which is a picornavirus—uses the host’s EV machinery to propagate enveloped hepatitis A (eHAV); it comprises of two components: a nonenveloped form and a virus-like particle structure derived from the host membrane that is similar to an exosome. eHAV virions are further implicated in the ESCRT (endosomal sorting complexes required for transport) pathway, which promotes the biogenesis of multivesicular bodies (MVBs) [21]. Study found that eHAV virions resemble enveloped viruses and are infectious; they may be transported via an exosome-like system in which the HAV capsids are packaged as cargo into MVBs, and eventually enter the blood [22]. This indicates that encapsulated viral vesicles, like exosomes, are protected from antibody-mediated neutralization [21].

HBV depends on the endosomal mechanisms of the host cell to produce intraluminal vesicles (ILVs) and MVBs [23]. EV fragments are transported and delivered by MVBs. Simultaneously, machinery perturbation arrests viral maturation by blocking the encapsulation of viral envelope proteins derived from solvent-insoluble membranes. This may hinder viral propagation. As with EVs, hepatitis C virus (HCV) targets are determined by multiple factors. The HCV viral entry mechanism has evolved considerably and requires numerous molecules including Heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPG), liver/lymph node-specific intracellular adhesion molecules-3 grabbing non-integrin (L-SIGN), Dendritic cell–specific ICAM-3 grabbing-nonintegrin (DC-SIGN), low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDL-R), Transferrin Receptor (TfR1), NPC1 Like Intracellular Cholesterol Transporter 1 (NPC1L1), Cluster of Differentiation 81 (CD81), Claudin 1 (CLDN-1), Scavenger receptor class B type 1 (SR-B1), and Occludin (OCLN), which illustrates the intricacy of the EV entry mechanism [24]. It has recently been shown that CD81-rich exosomes derived from HCV-exposed cells can trans-infect naive human hepatoma cells among neutralizing antibodies [25]. In vivo studies have also confirmed that anti-CD81 antibodies can suppress viral infection [26,27]. Moreover, EVs isolated from the sera of CHC patients not only contain HCV RNA and independently regulate HCV replication via viral receptors, but are also correlated with HSP90, Argonaute RISC Catalytic Component 2 (Ago2), and miR-122, which increase HCV replication by EV-regulated HCV transmission to naive cells [25]. GB virus C (GBV-C), formally known as hepatitis G virus (HGV), is a member of the Flaviviridae family. It has been shown that GB virus C particles inhibit T cell activation via envelope E2 protein-mediated inhibition of T-cell receptor (TCR) signaling [28]. These findings suggest that EVs play various functions in the pathogenesis of viral hepatitis as shown in Figure 1.
with advanced liver disease and may be identified by microparticle markers of cell type: T cells by CD4+/8+; monocytes by CD14+; neutrophils by CD15+; and invariant natural killer T (iNKT) cells by Valpha24/Vbeta11 [32]. CHC patients can be identified among chronic hepatitis patients by cell-derived EV biomarkers. The authors of another study found that liver cells exposed to IFN-α confer resistance to HBV replication via cell-to-cell communication through EVs in infected liver cells [33]. The immune cells are implicated in liver inflammation and liver-related diseases by host immune system regulation and alteration of the microenvironment [34].

2.3. Biomarkers of Liquid Biopsies Associated Viral Hepatitis

Nucleic acids and viral hepatitis-associated proteins have been identified in EVs from the sera of patients with chronic viral infections [35]. Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) can affect the regulation of gene expression and have an impact on many different cellular processes. Xu et al. demonstrated significantly higher levels of exosomal HNRNPH1 in HBV-associated HCC patients with vascular invasion and lymph node metastasis than those in non-HCC patients [36]. A positive correlation among lncRNA-HULC, lncRNA-HEIH, and hepatitis B X-interacting protein (HBXIP) indicated that hepatitis B X protein (HBx) may alter lncRNA expression, which in turn may promote HCC development [37].
lncRNA-HULC modulates microRNA-539 (miR-539), which downregulates APOBEC3B, thereby promoting HBV replication [38]. Both serum and exosomal lncRNA-HEIH expression levels increase in HCV-related HCC patients, whereas serum lncRNA-HEIH expression levels are significantly lower than exosomal lncRNA-HEIH expression levels in CHC patients [39]. These data suggest that lncRNA is a potential liquid biotarget for HBV-related HCC. MHC class I chain-related A (MICA) is another HCV-associated liquid biopsy target. High levels of soluble MICA (sMICA) have been identified in the sera of HCV-induced HCC patients bearing the G allele as opposed to the A allele as a result of the single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) rs2596538 [40]. There was an increase in the risk of liver fibrosis in the CHC patients with the G allele, whereas the levels of sMICA were elevated in the HCV-associated HCC patients following viral eradication [41,42]. A genome-wide association study (GWAS) demonstrated that elevated serum levels of MICA and soluble MICA (sMICA)—encoded by the G allele resulting from SNP rs2596542—increased the risk of HBV-associated HCC [43].

Viral amplification and replication could be used in target detection. Chronic HBV infection is characterized by a persistent episomal viral genome, namely covalently closed circular DNA (cccDNA). cccDNA constitutes a stable mini-chromosome within the infected hepatocyte nucleus [44]. The persistence of cccDNA and the inability of the immune system to eradicate the virus contribute to the failure of viral clearance and to relapse, even after treatment goals have been achieved. Therefore, true HBV clearance or functional cure is generally defined as the complete elimination of cccDNA from infected hepatocytes [44]. Theoretically, even if one molecule of HBV cccDNA with replication potential remains in a liver cell, there is still a risk of virological recurrence after ceasing antiviral therapy. The presence of pre-genomic RNA (pgRNA) in mature HBV viral particle nucleocapsids is linked to the persistence of viral infection and the risk of virological recurrence. Lin et al. demonstrated the use of real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) to determine HBV pgRNA levels in the sera of HBV-infected patients, and to make a better assessment of changes in the sustained viral response (SVR) [45]. Regarding pathogenic cell-free genomics, Tokuhisa et al. were the first to demonstrate that the levels of circulating cfDNA increase in the sera of patients with HCV-related HCC [46]. Iida et al. also reported an association between the level of cfDNA in the serum and the metastatic capability of HCV-related HCC, which suggests the potential of cfDNA as an active metastasis biomarker following curative surgery [47]. Patients with CHC-related HCC have 3–4 times higher levels of cfDNA in their sera or plasma than their non-HCC CHC counterparts, and the level is 20 times higher than in healthy controls [48]. The viral hepatitis-related liquid biomarkers are listed in Table 1.
### Table 1. Liquid biomarkers of viral hepatitis liver diseases.

| References                      | Biomarker               | Type of Liquid Biopsy | Application | Disease | Source | Sample Type       |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------|--------|-------------------|
| McKnight, Xie et al. [22]      | MVB                     | Exosomes              | Viral infection | HAV     | Infected cells | Serum             |
| J Gen Virol 2014, Nagashima S. et al. [23] | MVB                     | Exosomes              | Viral infection | HEV     | Infected cells | Cell supernatants |
| PLoS Pathog 2014, Bukong TN. et al. [25] | HSP90, Ago2, and mir-122 | Exosomes              | Viral infection | HCV     | Infected cells | Serum/Cell supernatants |
| Clin Chem Lab Med. 2018, Xu H. et al. [36] | Exosome-hnRNPH1         | Exosomes              | Biomarker    | HBV     | Hepatocyte     | Serum             |
| Gastroenterol. Res. Pract. 2018, Ruan, L. et al. [37] | IncRNA-HEIH & IncRNA-HULC | -                    | Biomarker    | HCV     | Hepatocyte     | Peripheral blood   |
| Cancer Biomark 2018, Zhang C. et al. [39] | IncRNA-HEIH             | Exosomal              | Biomarker    | HCV     | Hepatocyte     | Serum             |
| J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019, Huang CF. et al. [41] | sMICA                   | Protein               | Biomarker    | HCV     | Infected cells | Serum             |
| PLoS One. 2012, Kumar V. et al. [43] | sMICA                   | protein               | Biomarker    | HBV     | Hepatocyte     | Serum             |
| Viruses. 2017, Allweiss L. et al. [44] | cccDNA                  | Viral DNA             | Diagnosis    | HBV     | Virus          | Serum/Cell supernatants |
| Journal of Clinical Microbiology 2019, Lin N. et al. [45] | pgRNA                   | Viral RNA             | Diagnosis    | HBV     | Virus          | Serum             |
| Br J Cancer 2007, Tokuhisa Y. et al. [46] | cfDNA                   | HCC DNA               | Biomarker    | HCV     | Hepatocyte     | Serum/plasma       |
| Gastroenterology 2012, Kornek M. et al. [32] | Levels                  | Microparticles        | Hepatic inflammation | HCV | - | Serum/plasma |

Multivesicular body (MVB); Cell-free DNA (cfDNA); covalently closed circular DNA (cccDNA); extracellular vesicle (EV); hepatitis A virus (HAV); hepatitis B virus (HBV); hepatitis C virus (HCV); hepatitis G virus (HGV); human hepatocyte-derived carcinoma cells (HuH); intraluminal vesicle (ILV); long non-coding RNA (lncRNA); MHC class I chain-related A (MICA); multivesicular body (MVB); peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC); pre-genomic RNA (pgRNA); single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP); soluble MICA (sMICA).
3. Liquid Biopsies for the Fatty Liver Disease

3.1. Biomarkers Associated Non-Alcoholic Steatohepatitis/Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NASH/NAFLD)

NAFLD is a well-known liver disorder, with 25% prevalence across the globe. It is broadly distributed in diverse populations and regions. NASH is the severe form of NAFLD, which is characterized by lobular inflammation or the chronic low-grade proinflammatory state of hepatocytes with or without fibrosis. NAFLD is currently the most prevalent cause of liver transplantation [49]. The prevalence of NAFLD has increased rapidly over the past few decades in the Asia–Pacific region, at a comparable rate to westernization. NAFLD is an intermediate stage in various progressive diseases that are not associated with high alcohol intake. Clinical manifestations include confined intrahepatic triglyceride accumulation (exceeding 5%) and necroinflammation of the hepatocytes, with some patients progressing to fibrosis, cirrhosis, and HCC. Lipotoxicity develops during the progression of NAFLD owing to the accumulation of toxic lipids and stressed/damaged hepatocytes; it is linked to metabolic disorders including dyslipidemia, type 2 diabetes, and obesity [50].

Various mechanisms contribute to the intrahepatic accumulation of fat. These include fluctuations in the level of fatty acids, increased lipogenesis, the secretion of very-low-density lipoprotein (VLDL), and suppressed clearance through β-oxidation [51]. NASH is characterized by an increase in the level of serum triacylglycerols (TAGs), and a positive hepatic histological index distinguishes NASH in NAFLD patients [52]. Minimal TAG levels may be hepato-protective, whereas prolonged lipid storage may escalate into inflammation and metabolic dysfunction [53]. The accumulation of TAGs—which occur predominantly as macrovesicular lipid droplets in hepatocytes—is associated with liver injury or necroinflammation [54]. One study using plasma samples demonstrated that peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ) DNA methylation is associated with the fibrosis index and may be used as a severity predictor in NAFLD diagnoses [55]. The authors of another study found that homocysteine (Hcy) serum concentrations are significantly higher in NAFLD patients and are not influenced by chronic hepatitis [56]. Furthermore, there is a positive correlation between plasma Hcy levels and the severity of steatosis; therefore, plasma Hcy levels could be used to discriminate between NASH and simple steatosis [57]. Glutamine, glycine and serine which are precursors of antioxidants such as glutathione (GSH) were demonstrated to be decreases in the NAFLD patients compared to healthy individuals [58]. Proline and hydroxyproline, the elements of collagen protein, also observed to be elevated in serum of patients with NAFLD compared to healthy individuals [59]. Another study indicated that a rate-limiting enzyme Acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC) increased expression in NAFLD animal models [60]. Indeed, Alkaline phosphatase (ALKP) elevated levels are associated with hepatic fibrosis in steatohepatitis patients. ALKP serum level are notably higher in NASH patients compared to those without NASH [61].

3.2. Hepatocyte-Derived Vesicles Associated Non-Alcoholic Steatohepatitis/Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NASH/NAFLD)

With regards to NAFLD, EVs play a key role in the mechanism of liver damage and disease progression through the accumulation of lipotoxic lipids in hepatocytes. In turn, hepatocyte-derived extracellular vesicles (Hep-EVs)—which are discharged from damaged or stressed hepatic cells—exacerbate the progression of liver disease through the stimulation of non-parenchymal cells [62]. In mouse hepatocytes and human hepatocyte-derived carcinoma cell (HuH) models, palmitate and lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC) increase the release of EVs, demonstrating the conservation of toxic-lipids and cytochrome P450 2E1 (CYP2E1) within serum EVs. LPC-induced hepatic lipotoxicity is triggered by chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 10 (CXCL10), which is enriched in hepatocyte-derived vesicles, and promotes macrophage chemotaxis [63]. This suggests that hepatocyte-derived EVs are potential biomarkers of NASH [64]. In addition, lipotoxic EVs from hepatocytes stimulate pro-fibrogenesis in hepatic stellate cells [59]. With regard to NASH, lipids facilitate the release of EVs through the tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily member 10B (TNFRSF10B) signaling pathway.
in human and mouse hepatocytes [65]. The study demonstrated that the upregulation of toll-like receptor 9 (TLR9) pathway was activated by the high levels of hepatocyte mtDNA in the MPs of mouse plasma to induces lipotoxicity and inflammation in NASH patients [66].

3.3. Liquid Biopsies Associated Chemoattraction in Non-Alcoholic Steatohepatitis/Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NASH/NAFLD)

EVs play a role in cell-to-cell communication by transferring biologically active molecules into target cells while regulating NASH pathogenesis and progression. The fatty liver disease-related liquid biomarkers are listed in Table 2. Macrophage activation and influx in the liver are important for the progression of NAFLD because hepatic macrophages promote NASH development via cytokines IL-1, IL-6, and TNFα [67]. A model comprising Mlk3−/− mice fed a diet that was high in fats and carbohydrates exhibited suppressed cytokine expression and macrophage infiltration compared to wild-type (WT) mice [68]. This indicates that non-parenchymal cells and infiltrated inflammatory cells are optional yet important sources of EVs that perpetuate liver injury. The TLR9 is facilitated by the chemotaxis of neutrophils and M1 macrophages—proves that TLR9 is a pro-inflammatory activator in NASH [69]. The production of Hep-EVs increases in hepatocytes treated with palmitic acid (PA), and hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) are stimulated when treated with exosomes from PA-treated hepatocytes in a cell culture model [70]. Exosomes released by healthy or compromised hepatocytes and Hep-EVs are crucial in cell-to-cell communication, especially in hepatocytes and hepatocyte-to-HSC signaling. EVs derived from hepatocytes containing excessive levels of lipids promote liver fibrosis through pro-inflammation, which directly affects immune cells. Kornek et al. reported increased numbers of EVs derived from invariant natural killer T cells and CD14+ monocytes—which are associated with alanine transaminase (ALT)—in plasma samples from NAFLD patients [32]. There is an increase in inflammatory cell-derived Hep-EVs in NAFLD patients and in patients with either or both of alcohol-related cirrhosis and chronic hepatitis C-related cirrhosis [32,71]. Immature myeloid cells (CD11b+, Ly6C^{hi}, Ly6G−) have also been detected in a high-fat diet mouse model of NASH [72]. Furthermore, mesenchymal stem cell (MSC)-derived EVs are linked to liver inflammation and NASH progress, and are associated with increased proinflammatory markers (TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-12) [73]. Mouse obesity models have been used to demonstrate that levels of circulating adipocyte-derived microparticles are high in stressed adipocytes, which secrete chemoattraction “find-me” signals to recruit macrophages and monocytes [74]. Notably, palmitate-stimulated EVs are enriched in ceramide (C16:0). EVs chemoattract macrophages via ceramide-derived sphingosine 1 phosphate (SIP), which leads to macrophage activation [75]. This result also indicated that the amino acid metabolomics also can be detected by the liquid biopsies and significantly associated with the progression of liver fibrosis [76]. To date, the literature indicates that the EVs secreted by various cells play a crucial role in inflammatory regulation in advanced steatosis. However, the major components of EVs that are involved in cell or cytokine activation require further investigation. Figure 2 is an illustration of the NAFLD/NASH effects on the liver driven by lipid accumulation and their effects.
Table 2. Liquid biomarkers of NASH diseases.

| References [ref]                                                                 | Biomarker                          | Type of Liquid Biopsy | Application                                | Disease | Source        | Sample Type               |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------|---------------|---------------------------|
| Hepatology. 2016, Ibrahim, S.H. et al. [63]                                     | Toxic-Lipids and CYP2E1            | EVs                   | Prognostic Biomarker                       | NASH    | Hepatocyte    | Cell Supernatants/Mouse Model Serum |
| Clin Sci (Lond) 2017, Mridha, Haczeyni et al. [69]                               | TLR9                               | EVs                   | Diagnostic & prognostic biomarker          | NASH    | Hepatocytes   | Cell supernatants         |
| J Lipid Res 2016, Kakazu E. et al. [75]                                          | C16:0 ceramide and S1P             | EVs                   | Diagnosis & monitoring biomarker           | NASH    | Hepatocytes   | Mouse model Serum         |
| Gastroenterology 2012, Kornek M. et al. [32]                                     | Levels                             | Microparticles        | Hepatic inflammation                       | NAFLD   | -             | Serum/plasma               |
| J Clin Invest 2016, Garcia-Martinez, Santoro et al. [66]                         | mtDNA                              | Microparticles        | Diagnostic & prognostic biomarkers         | NASH    | Hepatocytes   | Plasma                    |
| Sci Rep. 2017, Yang RX. et al. [52]                                              | Triacylglycerol                    | Protein               | Diagnosis biomarker                        | NAFLD   | -             | Serum                     |
| J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2005, Gulsen, M. et al. [57]                            | Hcy                                | Protein               | Predictor biomarkers                       | NAFLD   | Hepatocytes   | plasma                    |
| Gut. 2017, Hardy T. et al. [55]                                                  | PPARγ                              | DNA methylation       | Prognostic biomarker                       | NAFLD   | -             | Plasma                    |

Extracellular vesicles (EVs); cytochrome P450 2E1 (CYP2E1); mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA); non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD); non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH); peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ); toll-like receptor 9 (TLR9); sphingosine 1 phosphate (S1P); homocysteine (Hcy); cytochrome P450 2E1 (CYP2E1); mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA); non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD); non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH); peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ); toll-like receptor 9 (TLR9).
Figure 2. Summary of the liquid biopsies activating chemoattraction signal pathways for recruiting immune cells in NASH/NAFLD. Palmitate and lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC)-induced hepatic lipotoxicity is triggered by CXCL10 with hepatocyte-derived CYP2E1 vesicles enrichment; Palmitate-stimulated EVs are enriched in ceramide (C16:0)-derived sphingosine 1 phosphate (S1P) to chemoattract macrophages. Increased numbers of EVs derived from invariant natural killer T cells and CD14+ monocytes are associated with alanine transaminase (ALT) level in serum. Mlk3−/− mice fed a diet that was high in fats and carbohydrates exhibited suppressed cytokine expression and macrophage infiltration compared to wild-type (WT) mice. Lipid-induced TNFRSF10B signaling causes release of inflammatory extracellular vesicles from hepatocytes. High levels of hepatocyte mtDNA in the microparticles (MPs) activated toll-like receptor 9 (TLR9) pathway for chemotaxis of neutrophils and M1 macrophages.

4. Liquid Biopsies for Alcoholic Liver Disease (ALD)

4.1. Biomarkers Associated Alcoholic Liver Disease (ALD)

Alcoholic liver disease (ALD) foreshadows a wide variety of liver pathologies from basic steatosis to advanced liver injuries such as steatohepatitis and fibrosis/cirrhosis [77]. Steatosis almost always occurs in the hepatocytes of people that consume excessive amounts of alcohol. In 20–40% of heavy drinkers there is progression to steatohepatitis through neutrophil infiltration and related inflammation [78]. Excessive alcohol consumption promotes the production of prooxidants and strengthens the antioxidant mechanism, which leads to hepatocyte injury accompanied by inflammation; this eventually results in liver failure or cancer [79]. ALD hepatitis is directly related to the toxic effects of alcohol. It is indirectly caused by fecal infection or adipose tissue impairment resulting from bacteria-related endotoxins, including lipopolysaccharides (LPSs). It is also caused by elevated levels of free fatty acids, and by proinflammatory adipokines, which are found in the blood but also regulate liver function. Several authors have reported changes in the levels of ALD-correlated proteins in the serum. TG accumulation in the liver is the first histological change due to alcohol, and is reversible. Trinchet et al. (1994) reported that elevated levels of primary bile acids are associated with alcoholic hepatitis (AH) [80]. Moratti et al. reported that increased plasma levels of protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor type gamma (PTPRG) isoform sPTPRG in EVs excreted from the human hepatocellular carcinoma cell line HepG2 are associated with alcohol-related hepatic injury [81]. FSP27/CIDE (fat-specific protein 27/cell death-inducing DNA fragmentation factor alpha-like effector c)—which promotes alcohol-stimulated
liver damage—was highly expressed in the hepatocytes of a mice model following chronic and binge alcohol consumption, and in alcoholic hepatitis (AH) patients [82]. The summary of the liquid factors associated with liver inflammation in ALD as shown in Figure 3 in advance.

4. Liver Biopsies for Alcoholic Liver Disease (ALD)

4.1. Biomarkers Associated with Alcoholic Liver Disease (ALD)

Alcoholic liver disease (ALD) foreshadows a wide variety of liver pathologies from basic steatosis to advanced liver injuries such as steatohepatitis and fibrosis/cirrhosis [77]. Steatosis almost always occurs in the hepatocytes of people that consume excessive amounts of alcohol. In 20–40% of heavy drinkers there is progression to steatohepatitis through neutrophil infiltration and related inflammation [78]. Excessive alcohol consumption promotes the production of prooxidants and strengthens the antioxidant mechanism, which leads to hepatocyte injury accompanied by inflammation; this eventually results in liver failure or cancer [79]. ALD hepatitis is directly related to the toxic effects of alcohol. It is indirectly caused by fecal infection or adipose tissue impairment resulting from bacteria-related endotoxins, including lipopolysaccharides (LPSs). It is also caused by elevated levels of free fatty acids, and by proinflammatory adipokines, which are found in the blood but also regulate liver function. Several authors have reported changes in the levels of ALD-correlated proteins in the serum. TG accumulation in the liver is the first histological change due to alcohol, and is reversible. Trinchet et al. (1994) reported that elevated levels of primary bile acids are associated with alcoholic hepatitis (AH) [80]. Moratti et al. reported that increased plasma levels of protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor type gamma (PTPRG) isoforms in EVs excreted from the human hepatocellular carcinoma cell line HepG2 are associated with alcohol-related hepatic injury [81]. FSP27/CIDEC (fat-specific protein 27/cell death-inducing DNA fragmentation factor alpha-like effector c)—which promotes alcohol-stimulated liver damage—was highly expressed in the hepatocytes of a mice model following chronic and binge alcohol consumption, and in alcoholic hepatitis (AH) patients [82]. The summary of the liquid factors associated with liver inflammation in ALD as shown in Figure 3 in advance.

![Figure 3. The summary of the liquid factors associated with liver inflammation in Alcoholic liver disease (ALD).](image_url)

4.2. Hepatocyte-Derived Vesicles Associated with Alcoholic Liver Disease (ALD)

Investigations into EVs as biomarkers for alcoholic hepatitis in humans have revealed increased levels of EVs in alcoholic hepatitis patients and patients who consume excessive amounts of alcohol [83]. A study involving alcohol-fed rodents and human patients who consumed alcohol revealed elevated levels of cytochrome P450-2E1 and cytochrome P450 isoforms in exosomes. The authors further reported an increase in the number of EVs involving CYP2E1 and P450 isoforms that was associated with an increase in oxidative stress in the endoplasmic reticulum [84]. Such endotoxins in the circulation increase the likelihood of progression to advanced-stage disease through fecal infection [85]. EV levels are elevated in patients with a history of alcohol abuse compared to those that consume extreme levels of alcohol and those that do not drink. EV levels are positively associated with ALT serum levels, mtDNA serum levels, and circulating neutrophil levels [86]. The injection of heat shock protein 90-containing EVs—which were isolated from the sera of alcohol-consuming mice—into naive mice demonstrated the internalization of injected EVs in hepatocytes; this resulted in elevated CCL2 expression [87], which indicates that alcohol activates an innate immune response through hepatocyte-derived EVs.

Hepatocytes secrete a variety of EV proteins including exosomal marker proteins (tumor Susceptibility 101 (Tsg101), Cd63, and Cd81); hepat-specific proteins such as asialoglycoprotein receptor (ASGP-R); and disease-related proteins such as annexin A2 (ANXA2), paraoxonase-1 (PON1), apolipoprotein-E (APOE), catechol O-methyltransferase (COMT), and insulin receptor substrate (IRS). Exosome membrane proteins contain functional features that stimulate the expression of non-exosome proteins in exosomes [12]. In a proteomic analysis of DGAL- or LPS-exposed rats, the serum EVs had a distinct protein composition associated with liver toxicity, which suggested their potential use as liver injury biomarkers [88]. EV albumin protein concentrations are correlated with APAP dosage...
and exposure during serum ALT alterations. Therefore, EV proteins may be ideal biomarkers for drug-induced liver injury [89]. Using patient cohorts, Bissonnette et al. demonstrated increased cytokeratin-18 (CK-18) fragments in hepatocyte-derived cytokeratin-18 (CK-18) fragments M30 and M65 in EVs [90]. The severity of alcoholic hepatitis is linked to EVs containing CD34+ and ASGPR+ [91].

4.3. Liquid Biopsies of Markers Associated with Inflammation in Alcoholic Liver Disease (ALD)

The accumulation of hepatic macrophages has been observed in the portal tracts of ALD patients. EVs stimulate the activation of macrophage activation and the induction of inflammatory cytokines, as demonstrated in an unbiased microarray-based antibody neutralization experiment [92]. Alcohol-exposed, monocyte-derived EVs activate naive monocytes to polarize M2 macrophages by increasing the expression of surface receptors CD68, CD206, and CD163, and the secretion of IL-10 and TGFβ, which elevates phagocytic activity [89]. Furthermore, the levels of CD40L-rich EVs were elevated in the sera of AH patients. The proteins detected within EVs during macrophage activation suggests that CD40L may stimulate the activation of macrophages in experimental alcoholic hepatitis models [92]. Chemotaxis is the initiating step in neutrophil recruitment, and requires chemokines such as IL-8, which is essential for neutrophil recruitment [93]. IL-8 levels are extremely elevated in both circulating and hepatic neutrophils, which are associated with alcoholic hepatitis and disease aggression [94–96]. CXCL1 is an IL-8 homologue that has been demonstrated to promote the recruitment of neutrophils in a mouse model. Studies in a mouse model of ALD have shown that elevated levels of CXCL1 in the serum and hepatocytes exacerbate liver injury [58,97]. In endothelial cell-derived extracellular vesicles, ethanol stimulates the upregulation of lncRNAs HOTAIR and MALAT1, which facilitates the pro-angiogenic effects of endothelial-derived vesicles [98]. The alcoholic hepatitis-related liquid biomarkers are listed in Table 3.

### Table 3. Liquid biomarkers of alcoholic liver diseases.

| References [ref] | Biomarker | Type of Liquid Biopsy | Application | Disease | Source | Sample Type |
|------------------|-----------|------------------------|-------------|---------|--------|-------------|
| Hepatol 1994, [ref] Trinchet JC. et al. [80] | Bile acids | Protein | Diagnosis biomarker | ASH | - | Serum |
| PLoS One 2015, Moratti, Vezzalini et al. [81] | sPTPRG | Protein | Prognosis biomarker | AH | - | Plasma |
| J Transl Med 2015, Momen-Heravi, Saha et al. [83] | miR-192 and miR-30a | Exosomes | Predictor biomarker | AH | - | Serum |
| JCI Insight 2017, Cai, Xu et al. [86] | miR15a | Microparticles | Prognosis biomarker | AH | - | Serum |
| Hepatology 2018, Saha, Momen-Heravi et al. [87] | CCL2 | EVs | Prognosis biomarker | AH | Hepatocytes | Serum |
| PLoS One 2017, Cho, Im et al. [89] | Liver-specific proteins | EVs | Prognosis biomarker | AH | Haematopoietic stem-cells, hepatocytes. | Serum |
| Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2018, Sukritti, Maras et al. [91] | CD34+ and ASGPR+ | MVs | Prognosis biomarker | AH | Hepatocytes | Serum |
| Journal of Hepatology 2016, Verma VK, et al. [92] | CD40L | EVs | Prognosis biomarker | AH | Hepatocytes | Serum |
| Sci Rep 2017, Lamichhane, Leung et al. [96] | lncRNA-HOTAIR | EVs | Prognosis biomarker | AH | Endothelial cells | Mouse model |
| Hepatology 2015, Chang, Xu et al. [88] | CXCL1 | - | Prognosis biomarker | ALD | - | Serum |

Protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor gamma extracellular domain (sPTPRG); Alanine transaminase (ALT); alcoholic hepatitis (AH); alcoholic liver disease (ALD); alcoholic steatohepatitis (ASH); chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2 (CCL2); chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 1 (CXCL1); extracellular vesicle (EV); Microvesicles (MVs); long non-coding RNA (lncRNA); microRNA (miR); mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA).
5. Conclusions

In the present review, we have highlighted the use of virus-related cfDNA, proteins and lncRNA in EVs/EPs and exosomes for liquid biopsies for the diagnosis of chronic liver diseases (Figure 4). Numerous studies have demonstrated that EVs affect the chronic inflammatory response in the progression of liver diseases. EVs released from stressed hepatocytes are fundamental modulators of these responses and take effect through various actions in different target cells including HSCs, MSCs, and macrophages; they are implicated in complex networks of cell-to-cell communication in liver disease development and progression. Some studies have presented analyses of EVs as carriers of specific proteins or genes involved in the biogenesis of diseases which can provide therapeutic targets in the future. This indicates the potential of the liquid biopsy as a non-invasive and accurate approach to the diagnosis and monitoring of chronic liver disease.

Figure 4. The potential of the liquid biopsy as a non-invasive and accurate approach to the diagnosis and monitoring of chronic liver disease by disease associated markers from EVs, cfDNA, and serum protein. Biomarks have potential for further gene allele and mutation study to design the genomic testing depends on the gene expression and sequencing result for personalized therapeutic strategy. The biomarks expression testing level not only to monitor the disease process, also can apply for the drug design, drug testing and therapeutic efficiency in the future.
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AH alcoholic hepatitis
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CHB chronic hepatitis B virus
CHC chronic hepatitis C virus
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dsDNA double-stranded DNA
ESCRT endosomal sorting complexes required for transport
EV extracellular vesicle
GWAS genome-wide association study
HSC hepatic stellate cell
HAV hepatitis A virus
HBV hepatitis B virus
HCV hepatitis C virus
HGV hepatitis G virus
HCC hepatocellular carcinoma
Hcy homocysteine
HuH human hepatocyte-derived carcinoma cell
ILV intraluminal vesicle
lncRNA long non-coding RNA
LPC lysophosphatidylcholine
MSC mesenchymal stem cell
mRNA messenger RNA
MICA MHC class I chain-related A
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miRNA microRNA
mtDNA mitochondrial DNA
MVB multivesicular body
NAFLD non-alcoholic fatty liver disease
NASH non-alcoholic steatohepatitis
PA palmitic acid
PBMC peripheral blood mononuclear cell
PPARγ peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma
pgRNA pre-genomic RNA
SNP single nucleotide polymorphism
ssDNA single-stranded DNA
sMICA soluble MICA
S1P sphingosine 1 phosphate
SK2 sphingosine kinase 2
TLR9 toll-like receptor 9
TAG triacylglycerol
TG triacylglycerol
TNFRSF10B tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily member 10B
VLDL very-low-density lipoprotein
HSPG heparan sulfate proteoglycans
L-SIGN liver/lymph node-specific intracellular adhesion molecules-3 grabbing non-integrin
DC-SIGN dendritic cell–specific ICAM-3 grabbing-nonintegrin
LDL-R low-density lipoprotein receptor
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TfR1  transferrin receptor
NPC1L1  NPC1-like intracellular cholesterol transporter 1
CD81  cluster of differentiation 81
CLDN-1  claudin 1
SR-B1  scavenger receptor class B type 1
OCLN  occludin
Ago2  argonaute RISC catalytic component 2
ACC  acetyl-CoA carboxylase
ALKP  alkaline phosphatase
TNFα  tumor necrosis factor alpha
Tsg101  tumor susceptibility 101
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