Discovery of double helix of screw dislocations: a perspective
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ABSTRACT
We discuss the conversion of a pure screw dislocation into a helical (single helix) dislocation through absorption of vacancies. These helical dislocations having some edge and mostly screw character can pair up through attractive interaction to form a double helix. This attractive interaction between two helices is derived through the edge component of mixed dislocations and from the presence of vacancy jogs. Recent results on the growth of GeS [1] and PbS [3] nanowires with Eshelby twist showed large disagreements between experimental and theoretical Burgers vectors for these crystal structures, which can be resolved by introducing the double helix of screw dislocations.

IMPACT STATEMENT
Single helix and double helix of screw dislocations provide continuous growth steps for all crystalline structures. Thus, nanostructures materials can be grown without any need for catalysts. These dislocations also introduce Eshelby twist and create novel nanostructured materials with unique properties.

1. Introduction
Screw dislocations play a critical role in crystal growth by providing continuous growth steps. This self-seeding mechanism of crystal growth can overcome the use of catalysts in the growth of nanostructures, such as nanowires, and minimize impurity contamination. The catalysts often lead to undesirable impurity contamination, where a few atoms can lead to large local concentrations and changes in properties. Recent results on the growth of GeS nanowires [1] have been interpreted invoking Eshelby twist [2] with single screw dislocations along the axes of nanowires. However, there is a large disagreement between experimental (1.75 nm) and theoretical (1.04 nm) Burgers vectors. This disagreement is similar to earlier results on the growth of PbS nanowires with Eshelby twist [3]. These discrepancies are now resolved by introducing a double helix of screw dislocations, where both single and double-helix dislocations are involved in the nanowire growth with Eshelby twist.

Screw dislocations during growth can absorb point defects such as vacancies and become helical. These helical dislocations are of mixed character with a constant angle of the helix (θ) with the screw axis and provide growth steps corresponding to the screw (b cos θ) component, where b is the Burgers vector of the dislocation. As more vacancies are absorbed, the angle and radius of the helix increase, and edge component (b sin θ) increases. A continued absorption of vacancies can result in a stack of prismatic edge dislocation loops [4].

There is an interesting aspect to the formation of helical dislocations, which involves the pairing of two helical dislocations to produce a double helix of screw dislocations. There is a repulsive interaction between two pure screw dislocations. However, helical dislocations are of the mixed character, where the edge component grows with vacancy concentration. There is an attractive interaction through the edge component. Moreover, vacancies lead to the formation of jogs, which generate additional attractive interaction between the two helical dislocations.

During crystal growth, a coaxial screw dislocation in a finite cylinder with end surfaces produces counterclockwise shear stresses, which produce a torque and a twist, known as Eshelby twist [2,5]. Since the Eshelby twist is inversely proportional to the square of the cylinder radius, it did not play as a significant role in large-size crystals. However, in screw-dislocation-aided growth of nanostructures, such as nanowires, Eshelby’s twist can lead to interesting helically twisted nanostructures [1,3].

We discuss the mechanism of formation of the double helix of screw dislocations, and their importance in...
bulk crystal growth and nanowires without involving any catalyst. Two parallel screw dislocations have a repulsive interaction and are unstable; therefore, they cannot influence the growth of nanowires. However, a pure screw dislocation can be converted into a helix or a helical dislocation through absorption of defects such as vacancies during the growth process. These mixed helical dislocations are mostly screw with a small parallel edge components, which have attractive interactions through their stress fields and jogs in both helical dislocations. This attractive interaction can lead to the formation of a double helix involving two nearly screw dislocations. These double-helix dislocations play a critical role in the growth single-crystal nanowires. Recent results on the growth of GeS ($c = 1.04$ nm with orthorhombic crystal structure) [1] and PbS (with $a = 0.594$ nm, NaCl structure) [3] nanowires show that their experimental results can be interpreted satisfactorily now by invoking double-helix dislocations.

2. Results and discussions

2.1. Formation of single helix dislocation

A pure screw dislocation can acquire helicity through dislocation climb by absorbing point defects during the growth process. It should be noted that the interaction between hydrostatic stresses of vacancies and shear stresses of screw dislocations is very weak; however, local jogs and thermal stress fluctuations can introduce edge components which can interact with hydrostatic stresses of vacancies. The attractive interaction energy between two jogs ($E_i$) can be estimated by [4]

$$E_i = \mu b^2 a^2 / [8\pi L(1 - \nu)],$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\mu$ is the shear modulus, $b$ is the magnitude of the Burgers vector, $a$ is the length of the jog, $L$ is the spacing between jogs, and $\nu$ is the Poisson’s ratio. As the edge component grows, the vacancy interaction becomes stronger and helicity grows to a critical value to balance out the increase in length of the dislocation, as discussed below.

The number of vacancies absorbed per unit length $N$ is given by

$$N = \pi r_h^2 b / (\lambda \Omega),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $r_h$ is the radius of the helix, $\lambda$ is the pitch of the helix, and $\Omega$ is the volume of a vacancy [4]. The helix angle $\theta$ (as shown in Figure 1(a)), which is constant along the entire length of the dislocation, is given by $\theta = \tan^{-1}(2\pi r_h/\lambda)$.

The relation between supersaturation of vacancies ($c/c_0$), radius, and pitch for the formation of a helix is given by [4]

$$kT \ln (c/c_0) = 2\pi \Omega \tau / [b(2\pi r_h)^2 + \lambda^2]^{0.5} \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

where the sample is quenched from a higher concentration $c$ to lower $c_0$.

Here, $\tau$ (dislocation line energy) in the helical configuration is

$$\tau = \mu b^2 (4\pi)^{-1}(1 + \nu)(1 - \nu)^{-1}(\ln (\lambda/3b)) \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

From Equation (3), we find $(2\pi r_h)^2 + \lambda^2$ to be a constant for a given supersaturation of vacancies, which implies that as $r_h$ decreases $\lambda$ must increase. Thus, for very small $r_h$, as expected in for the growth of nanostructures, the critical pitch length $\lambda^*$ is given by

$$(\lambda^*)^2 = 2\pi \Omega \tau \left[bkT \ln (c/c_0)\right]^{-1} \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

If the pitch $\lambda > \lambda^*$, the free energy of the helix decreases with increasing $r_h$ and helix grows by absorbing vacancies and turning into the stack of vacancy loops eventually, as discussed in Figure 2. However, if $\lambda < \lambda^*$, thermal activation and diffusion of vacancies are needed to achieve the critical value of $\lambda^*$. It should be pointed out that once a helix starts to form, it is unstable with respect to radial growth. Assuming $\Omega \sim b^3/4$ for GeS (orthorhombic) and
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From Figure 2 (S2), pitch of the helix is determined to be 100 nm and \( r_h = 10 \text{ nm} \). This gives the helix angle of 32°, and vacancy supersaturation \( c/c_0 \) of 2.5 during annealing at 1000°C, assuming \( \Omega \sim b^3 \) and \( \tau = 20 \text{ eV/nm} \) [6].

### 2.2. Formation of double helix of screw dislocations

Two parallel screw dislocations are unstable as they have repulsive interaction, where force \( (F) \) is given by

\[
F = \mu b^2/(2\pi r),
\]

where \( r \) is the separation between two dislocations. In the following, we discuss the stability of two helical screw dislocations, leading to the formation of the double helix of screw dislocations. The jogs created by the absorption of vacancies in a helical dislocation interact with those in other helical dislocation. This attractive interaction is a primary source for pairing two helical dislocations and generating a double helix of screw dislocations. In addition, there is attractive interaction between two helical dislocations derived through edge components acquired through vacancy absorption. This attractive interaction force \( (F_A) \) for two parallel edge dislocations can be estimated by

\[
F_A = \mu b^2 \sin^2 \theta \cdot x \cdot (x^2 - y^2)/[2\pi (1 - \nu) \cdot (x^2 + y^2)^2],
\]

where \( \theta \) is the helix angle, and \( x \) and \( y \) coordinates of second dislocation with respect to the first one. Figure 1(b) shows the formation of a double helix, where there is a relative shift by \( \lambda/4 \) and for the shift by \( \lambda/2 \), the double helix is shown in Figure 1(c). Two helical dislocations may adjust their relative positions depending upon their origin and growth conditions. It is interesting to note the similarity between single helical screw dislocation (Figure 1(a)) and single-strand ribonucleic acid (RNA), and double-helix dislocations (Figure 1(c)) and deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) structures with two strands. The DNA is a molecule composed of two polynucleotide chains that coil around each other to form a double helix carrying genetic instructions for the development, functioning, growth and reproduction of all known organisms and many viruses. The two DNA strands are bonded by hydrogen bonds to make double helix, analogous to vacancy jogs in helical dislocations.

### 2.3. Eshelby twist

A coaxial screw dislocation in a finite cylinder generates a torque at the ends, which produces a twist, known as Eshelby twist [2,5,9]. According to Eshelby’s twist model, twist per unit length \( (\alpha) \) is given by

\[
\alpha = -nb(\pi R^2)^{-1},
\]

where \( b \) is the magnitude of the Burgers vector of screw dislocation, and \( R \) is the radius of the nanowire cylinder. It should be noted that Eshelby’s formula is not valid
at the ends of the cylinder. The \( n = 1 \) case corresponds to original Eshelby’s model with a single screw dislocation. The \( n = 2 \) case was ignored so far as two parallel screw dislocations are unstable with a repulsive interaction. In this work, we show that \( n = 2 \) case is possible if two screw dislocations acquire a small edge component through absorption of vacancies and become helical.

We argue that crystal growth can occur either with a single-helix (single screw) dislocations \( (n=1) \) or a double-helix dislocations \( (n=2) \) dislocations depending upon the growth conditions and role of point defects. The growth may also combine the two growth processes, where it may start with a single screw dislocation, and a defect may initiate a second dislocation, which can be stabilized by absorption of vacancies. In the case of a helical dislocation, only the screw component \( (b \cos \theta) \) contributes to crystal growth, where \( \theta \) is the angle of the helix which stays constant along the axis. Therefore, \( nb \) in Eshelby’s formula is replaced by \( nb \cos \theta \) for helical dislocations. As \( R \) increases the twist \( \alpha \) decreases rapidly, because it is inversely proportional to the square of the cylinder radius. Therefore, Eshelby twist did not play as a significant role in large-size crystals. However, in screw-dislocation-aided growth of nanostructures, such as nanowires, Eshelby’s twist can lead to interesting helical nanostructures, particularly in two-dimensional materials [1,3].

The primary focus of this paper is on \( n = 2 \) case, corresponding to two helical dislocations or the formation of the double helix of screw dislocations. We argue that crystal growth with both single screw dislocations \( (n=1) \) and double helix dislocations \( (n=2) \) can occur depending upon the growth conditions and role of defects. The growth may start with a single screw dislocation, and a defect may initiate a second dislocation, which can be stabilized by absorption of defects. Figure 1 shows the formation of single and double helical dislocations; here, helicity has been exaggerated for clarity. There is an attractive interaction for parallel mixed dislocations through the edge component and presence of jogs along the helical dislocations.

Recent interesting work by Liu et al. on the growth of GeS \( (c = 1.04 \text{ nm with orthorhombic crystal structure}) \) nanowires showed that axial screw dislocations produced a discretized crystallographic twist along the \( c \)-axis [1]. These results in helically twisted nanowire structures were interpreted using Eshelby’s twist model [2]. However, the effective burger vector \( (b = 1.75 \text{ nm}) \) was found to be fat higher than \( b = 1.04 \text{ nm for [0001]} \) dislocation, expected from Eshelby’s model. Similarly, earlier work by Bierman et al. on screw-dislocation nanowire growth in PbS \( (a = 0.594 \text{ nm, NaCl structure}) \) reported effective Burgers vector \( (0.6 \pm 0.2 \text{ nm}) \), which is also far higher than \( (0.42 \text{ nm for } b = a/2 < 110 >) \) [3]. In the following, we show that the results from both experiments can be rationalized by invoking growth with single as well as double screw dislocations having helical configurations. Since only the screw component \( (b \cos \theta) \) of the helical dislocation takes part in the growth process, the effective Burgers vector of the double helix contributing to the growth process is \( 2b \cos \theta \), which is slightly smaller than \( 2b \). When both single and double helix dislocations participate in the growth process, we can write

\[
2b \cos \theta + (1-\delta)b \cos \theta = b_e.
\]

Where \( \delta \) is the fraction of double helix, \( (1-\delta) \) is the fraction of single helix, \( \theta \) is the angle of the helix, and \( b_e \) is experimentally observed average value of the Burgers vector. In the case GeS growth [1] with \( b = 1.04 \text{ nm} \) and \( b_e = 1.75 \text{ nm} \), \( \delta \) is calculated to be 71% and 69% for helix angles of 10 and 5 degrees, respectively. Similarly for PbS [3] with \( b = 0.42 \text{ nm} \) and \( b_e = 0.6 \text{ nm} \), \( \delta \) is calculated to be 45% and 43% for helix angles of 10 and 5 degrees, respectively. To explain a higher percentage of double helix in GeS than that in PbS, it is pointed out that GeS has orthorhombic (pseudo-hexagonal) structure with dominant dislocations taking part in the growth process being c-dislocations. The screw dislocations can minimize greatly the energy by growing in directions [6]. On the other hand, PbS has cubic NaCl structure as in MgO, where there are no dominant Burgers vectors for screw dislocations. In fact, the growth in PbS [3] occurs along direction with mixed \( a/2 \) dislocations.

In conclusion, double-helix screw dislocations play a very important role along with single screw dislocations in the growth of bulk as well as nanostructures. A screw dislocation becomes helical by absorption of point defects during crystal growth due to the supply of vacancies from the free surfaces in close proximity in nanostructures. Two helical dislocations can lead to the formation of a double helix of dislocations and play a critical role in the growth of one-dimensional nanostructures with Eshelby’s twist for a variety of applications [10,11].
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