Impaired Cognitive Executive Dysfunction in Adult Treated Hypertensives with a Confirmed Diagnosis of Poorly Controlled Blood Pressure
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Abstract

Background. High blood pressure (BP) poses a major risk for cognitive decline. Aim of the study was to highlight the relationship between cognitive assessment scores and an effective therapeutic BP control.

Methods. By medical visit and ambulatory BP monitoring (ABPM), we studied 302 treated hypertensives, subdivided according to office/daytime BP values into 120 with good (GC) and 98 poor (PC) BP control, 40 with “white coat hypertension” (WCH) and 44 a “masked-hypertension” phenomenon (MH). Patients underwent neuropsychological assessment to evaluate global cognitive scores at the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) and Frontal Assessment Battery (FAB) and attention/executive functions (Delayed Recall, Digit Span Forwards, Digit Span Backwards, Selective Attention, Verbal Fluency, Stroop Test and Clock Drawing). Carotid intima-media thickness (IMT) served as the index of vascular damage.

Results. There were no differences among the groups in terms of gender, age, education, metabolic assessment, clinical history and hypertension treatment. GC presented lower office and ambulatory BP values and IMT. PC performed worse than GC on global executive and attention functions, especially executive functions. In PC, office systolic BP (SBP) was significantly associated to the MMSE and FAB scores and, in particular, to Verbal Fluency, Stroop Errors and Clock Drawing tests. Office diastolic BP (DBP) was associated to Selective attention, nocturnal SBP to Digit Span Backwards and Verbal Fluency. Worse cognitive assessment scores were obtained in WCH than GC.

Conclusions. The findings showed that in adult treated hypertensives, a poor BP control, as both doctor’s office and daytime scores, is associated to impaired global cognitive and especially executive/attention functions.
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Introduction

In recent years many studies have been focused on delineating the relationship between blood pressure (BP) and cognitive function, in view of the evidence that hypertension (HTN) is a risk factor for cognitive decline [1]. The findings are consistent for both vascular dementia and Alzheimer's disease [2] but, in particular, for the wider category of mild cognitive impairment [3]. The association between BP
values and cognitive performances, in both adult hypertensives [4] and normotensives [5], is demonstrated by the progressive pathophysiological role of normal-to-high BP in worsening cognitive abilities. Nevertheless, the description of cognitive patterns associated with high BP is still controversial and largely debated. In fact, the cognitive tests employed and differences in patients’ selection in the diverse studies may account for the heterogeneity of results [4-6].

According to a Cochrane review [6], the HYVET-COG study [7] and the SCOPE study [8] do not provide convincing evidence that pharmacological BP reduction can prevent the development of dementia in hypertensives without a prior diagnosis of cerebrovascular disease. Interestingly, only few studies have explored the different domains of cognitive functions that may be improved by effective BP control [9] but no study has compared cognitive scores with the hypertensive state findings during the medical visit and ambulatory BP monitoring (ABPM).

The aim of the study was to investigate the effect of BP control, both in the doctor’s office and during daily activities, on cognitive functions in adults treated for hypertension. To assess cognitive function, we used specific tests that explore different cognitive domains.

Methods

Subjects. After obtaining approval for the study from the local Ethics Committee, between May 2009 and January 2012 we recruited 302 consecutive patients referred to the Cerebrovascular Prevention and Hypertension Clinic of the University of Bari Medical School.

The inclusion criteria were: a) age ≤ 69 years, b) a history of primary hypertension, c) use of antihypertensive medications for at least six months, d) willingness to undergo a battery of neuropsychological tests and to give informed consent, e) Italian as native language, f) no previous history of psychiatric or neurological disorders including neuropsychological deficits, such as dementia, stroke or transient ischemic attack, g) no previous history of other cardiovascular diseases such as angina or myocardial ischemia, h) no history of episodes suggestive of cerebrovascular impairment, i) no atherothrombotic disease; l) no history of diabetes and similar metabolic assessment. The "history of HTN" was defined as referred BP values, when available, and as estimated duration of the hypertensive condition in months. Patients in all four groups were taking antihypertensive treatment.

Study Protocol. Within one week of enrolment, patients presented at the clinic, between 8.00 and 9.00 a.m., after 12 hours fasting, for a routine medical visit, blood tests and electrocardiogram, to confirm the hypertensive state and to reduce the effect of anxiety on the BP measurement. Systolic (SBP) and diastolic (DBP) blood pressure and heart rate (HR) were considered as the mean of three measurements made using a standard sphygmomanometer (AND UM-101, A&D Medical Ltd., Oxford, U.K), at 2 min intervals and at both arms, after the subject had been sitting comfortably for 10 min. The patients underwent carotid ultrasonography, ambulatory blood pressure monitoring and cognitive assessment.

Carotid Ultrasonography. On the following day, carotid ultrasonography was performed, by echodoppler (Toshiba Aplio XV) with a multi-frequency 6.2-8.4 MHz pulse wave probe, to check in both common carotid arteries for signs of atherosclerosis and measure the intima-media thickness (IMT), which served as the index of preclinical vascular damage, according to the Mannheim IMT Consensus [10].

Ambulatory Blood Pressure Monitoring. Within four days, the patients underwent twenty-four-hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) to evaluate the BP state during awake and sleeping hours (AND TM 2430, A&D Instruments Ltd., Oxford, U.K), with the tailored cuff fastened on the non-dominant arm. Because all of them had already previously undergone the test, it is reasonable to assume that the technique did not arouse any particular distress. Measures were taken every 20’ throughout the test. Awake and sleeping time were based on diary card entries and interview. Eight patients underwent a repeat ABPM because of an insufficient number (<80%) of measurements [11].

Following the ESC-ESH 2007 guidelines, based upon both the diagnosis of hypertension in the clinic (SBP/DBP > 140/90 mmHg) and during awake hours by ABPM (SBP/DBP > 135/85 mmHg) [11,12], the patients were subdivided into four groups: a) 120 with good (GC) and b) 98 with poor BP control (PC), both with a confirmed diagnosis of a BP condition in the office and during awake hours, and, c) 40 hypertensives with a positive office-day ABPM BP change, corresponding to the “white-coat hypertension” phenomenon, better defined as “isolated clinic hypertension” (WCH) and d) 44 with a negative office-day ABPM BP change, corresponding to the “masked hypertension” phenomenon (MH), both with an inconsistent diagnosis of a BP condition between office and daytime awake scores.

Cognitive Assessment. The next day, the patients underwent neuropsychological assessment by trained psychologists under the supervision of a clinical neuropsychologist. The test battery was designed to assess a full range of cognitive functions, standardized for the Italian population, and consisted of relia-
ble and sensitive analytical tests for cognitive impairment, validated by the scientific literature and generally associated to a specific cerebral area. In particular, memory functions are under the control of the temporal lobes, whereas executive and attention functions are controlled by the prefrontal cerebral regions. The examination included measures of global cognitive and frontal functions, by the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) [13] and Frontal Assessment Battery (FAB) tests [14]. The MMSE consists of a brief 30-point questionnaire that is used to screen the general cognitive index, while the FAB consists of a rapid tool including six subtests that can be used to discriminate between a frontal dys-executive phenotype and Alzheimer’s Type dementia. Memory was assessed by the Prose Memory Immediate and Delayed Recall [15] and Digit Span Forwards [16] tests, used to investigate verbal long-term memory and verbal short-term memory, respectively. The cognitive domains of attention and executive functions were measured by the Digit Span Backwards test [16] that examines verbal working memory. The Selective Attention Test was used to measure the ability to focus on specific stimuli excluding those that are not relevant to the properties of the target [16], and the Verbal Fluency test to analyze the speed of verbal production [17]. The Stroop Test investigates the ability, time (T) and errors made (E), when asked to inhibit and suppress automatic responses [18] and the Clock Drawing Test is used to assess visual-constructive abilities and mental planning [19], requiring the involvement of executive functions. The tests were not limited by a ceiling or floor effect and were, therefore, sensitive to subtle changes in cognitive performance in the range of BP values in all patients. Raw scores were adjusted for age and education. The neuropsychological assessment lasted about 45’.

Statistical Analysis. The findings were analyzed by the SPSS 18.0 statistical package assuming a p<0.05 limit of significance. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. The chi-squared test was used to explore differences between two groups on gender. Between-group analyses of BP, metabolic and neuropsychological variables were operated by independent t-test in the patients with a confirmed (GC vs PC) or unconfirmed office high BP condition (PC vs WCH and GC vs MH) by ABPM. The Mann-Whitney U-test was applied for not normally distributed data. To highlight further peculiarities, ANOVA, followed by Bonferroni post hoc test (p<0.05) were also performed. Partial Pearson test, adjusted for IMT, blood glucose, LDL and HDL cholesterol, triglycerides, waist circumference and history of hypertension, as potential confounders, was used to explore the relationships between neuropsychological variables and BP values.

Results

To examine the results in patients with a confirmed diagnosis of a BP condition, both in the doctor’s office and during daily hours, we compared GC to PC. By contrast, to evaluate the results in patients with inconsistent hypertensive scores, we compared WCH to PC, who showed higher office BP values, and MH to GC, who presented lower office BP values. There were no differences among the groups in terms of gender, level of education and estimated history of hypertension and referred first-diagnosed SBP/DBP values. Only the WCH were older and had received less education than the PC. As expected, during the medical visit, the PC group presented significantly higher SBP/DBP values than the GC. (Table 1a). There were no significant differences in the number of pills taken (GC 1.83±0.76, PC 1.80±0.70, WCH 1.85±0.71 and MH 1.81±0.74, p:n.s.), nor in the dosages and anti-hypertensive drugs, such as ACE-inhibitors, AT1-antagonists, calcium-entry blockers, beta-blockers, and diuretics, employed at the time of the study (data not shown). The metabolic assessment was also similar among the patients although the WCH group showed a lower BMI and abdominal circumference than the PC who, as expected, showed higher microalbuminuria and IMT than the GC (Table 1b).

Daytime SBP/DBP was higher in the PC (144±12/87±7 mmHg) than in the GC (125±8/78±6 mmHg; p<0.001) while the WCH (123±8/76±5 mmHg) and MH (141±7/87±5 mmHg) groups presented lower (p<0.001) and higher (p<0.001) values than the PC and GC groups, respectively. The PC group showed higher nocturnal SBP/DBP (125±15/72±8 mmHg) than the GC group (104±11/64±8 mmHg; p<0.001), while the WCH (107±14/64±9 mmHg) and MH (117±11/71±8 mmHg) showed lower (p<0.001) and higher (p<0.001) values than the PC and GC, respectively (Fig.1).

The nocturnal SBP decrease was lower in the PC (13.65±7.99%) than in the GC (16.40±7.59%; p<0.05). The SBP change during the medical visit in the PC (1.08±8.16%) was higher than in the GC (1.08±9.33% ; p<0.001) and the SBP office-daytime changes in the WCH (14.65±6.35%) and MH (-13.58±7.50%) were, as expected, higher (p<0.001) and lower (p<0.001) than in the PC (-2.60±9.33%) and GC (1.08±7.91%), respectively.

Interestingly, the GC and PC groups, who had a confirmed diagnosis of hypertension in the doctor’s office and during awake hours, showed crucial differences in cognitive assessment scores. In fact, the PC performed significantly worse than the GC on global
cognitive and frontal tests (MMSE: 27.9±2 vs 28.5±1.6; p<0.05 and FAB: 15.4±2.2 vs 16.2±1.7; p<0.05), on attention function (Selective Attention Test: 46.5±7.1 vs 48.2±5.6; p<0.01), on executive functions (Verbal Fluency Test: 28.7±10.7 vs 32.4±9.9; p<0.01 and Stroop Test –Time: 21.6±9.8 vs 19.2±10.1; p<0.05.). No further significant differences in cognitive tests emerged: Digit Span backwards (3.5±0.7 vs 3.5±0.9; n.s.), Stroop Test–Errors (0.4±1.8 vs 0.5±1.8; n.s.) and Clock Drawing Test (9.2±1.7 vs 8.8±2.3; n.s.) (Fig.2).

Table 1. Patients characteristics at enrollment

| A                         | GC             | PC             | WCH            | MH             |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| **M/F (n)**               | 53/67          | 44/54          | 18/22          | 20/24          |
| **Age (years)**           | 56.3±9.61      | 56.7±11.02     | 61.7±9.93**    | 55.6±10.48    |
| **Education (years)**     | 10.9±4.49      | 11.3±4.10      | 9.6±4.6**°     | 11.6±3.97°    |
| **HTN history (months)**  | 14.5±33.91     | 15.3±20.83     | 26.6±39.54     | 6.08±4.09     |
| **SBP history (mmHg)**    | 161±14         | 163±11         | 161±10         | 163±10        |
| **DBP history (mmHg)**    | 97±6           | 96±8           | 96±6           | 96±7          |
| **SBP office (mmHg)**     | 12±4           | 14±14**°°°     | 145±10         | 124±8         |
| **DBP office (mmHg)**     | 80±6           | 90±8**°°°      | 89±7           | 80±6          |
| **HR office (bpm)**       | 71±7           | 71±9           | 70±8           | 71±8          |

**GC:** treated hypertensives with a confirmed satisfactorily controlled blood pressure both in the office and during awake hours; **PC:** treated hypertensives with a confirmed insufficiently controlled blood pressure both in the office and during awake hours; **WCH:** treated hypertensives with a confirmed diagnosis of high BP, both in the doctor’s office and during daily hours; **MH:** treated hypertensives with an inconsistent hypertension (“isolated clinic hypertension/white-coat hypertension effect” with BP>140/90 mmHg in the office but diurnal BP>135/85 mmHg; **MH:** treated hypertensives with an inconsistent hypertension state (“masked hypertension effect”) with BP<140/90 mmHg in the office but diurnal BP>135/85 mmHg awake hours; **SBP:** systolic blood pressure; **DBP:** diastolic blood pressure; **day:** awake hours; **night:** sleeping hours (**:** p<0.05 vs GC; **°:** p<0.01 vs GC).

**Table 1.**

**Figure 1.** Ambulatory blood pressure monitoring characteristics. **GC:** treated hypertensives with a confirmed satisfactorily controlled blood pressure both in the office and during awake hours; **PC:** treated hypertensives with a confirmed insufficiently controlled blood pressure both in the office and during awake hours; **WCH:** treated hypertensives with a confirmed diagnosis of high BP, both in the doctor’s office and during daily hours; **MH:** treated hypertensives with an inconsistent hypertension state (“isolated clinic hypertension/white-coat hypertension effect” with BP>140/90 mmHg in the office but diurnal BP>135/85 mmHg during awake hours; **SBP:** systolic blood pressure; **DBP:** diastolic blood pressure; **day:** awake hours; **night:** sleeping hours (**:** p<0.05 vs GC; **°:** p<0.01 vs GC).
Figure 2. Neuropsychological assessment in treated hypertensives with a confirmed BP state. GC: treated hypertensives with a confirmed satisfactorily controlled blood pressure both in the office and during awake hours; PC: treated hypertensives with a confirmed insufficiently controlled blood pressure both in the office and during awake hours (*: p<0.05; **: p<0.01 vs WCH).

On the contrary, patients with a fluctuating and inconsistent BP condition exhibited very few differences at the cognitive evaluations. In fact, the WCH performed significantly worse than the PC only on the frontal test examined by the Digit Span Backwards (Table 2). No significant differences emerged between the WCH and MH on neuropsychological variables (Table 3).

All the patients completed the neuropsychological assessment and no participants were shown to have dementia or mild cognitive impairment. Although the major focus of the study was the office BP condition, in order to compare patients with a confirmed or no confirmed diagnosis of hypertension, we also performed one-way ANOVA, corrected by the Bonferroni test. This confirmed that the PC performed significantly worse than the GC on attention/executive tests. Interestingly, the WCH performed worse than the GC on the FAB (-1.356; p=0.002), Digit Span backwards (-0.468; p=0.016), Verbal Fluency (-.4.688; p=0.037) and Clock Drawing test (-1.123; p=0.028).

Pearson analysis, controlled for age, metabolic variables, history of HTN and first-diagnosed BP values as potential confounders, highlighted significant associations between cognitive test scores and BP values. The GC showed associations between nocturnal DBP and Digit Span forwards (-0.210; p<0.05) and Digit Span backwards (-0.201; p<0.05) and between nocturnal SBP and Stroop test Errors (0.235; p<0.05), Stroop test Errors (0.356; p<0.001) and Clock Drawing test (-0.372; p<0.001), between office DBP and Selective attention (-0.210; p<0.05) and between nocturnal SBP (-0.211; p<0.05) and Verbal Fluency (0.210; p<0.05). Among the patients with no confirmed diagnosis of hypertension in the office and during awake hours, only the WCH showed a significant association between office DBP and Selective Attention (-0.356; p<0.05) whereas MH did not show any correlation. Interestingly, IMT was associated to frontal capacities, such as selective attention (-0.525; p<0.05) only in the PC group.

### Table 2. Cognitive assessment in PC and in WCH patients. Cognitive assessment, as scores adjusted for age and education, in treated hypertensives.

|                              | PC        | WCH       |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| **Global abilities**         |           |           |
| MMSE                         | 27.9 ± 2  | 27.9 ± 2  |
| FAB                          | 15.4 ± 2.4| 14.9 ± 2  |
| **Memory**                   |           |           |
| Prose memory immediate       | 5.2 ± 2   | 4.9 ± 1.7 |
| Prose memory delayed         | 4.7 ± 2.1 | 4.6 ± 1.9 |
| Digit Span forwards          | 4.5 ± 0.8 | 4.3 ± 0.7 |
| **Attention and executive functions** | | |
| Digit Span backwards         | 3.5 ± 0.9 | 3.0 ± 0.8 *** |
| Selective attention          | 46.5 ± 7.1| 48.0 ± 6  |
| Verbal fluency               | 28.7 ± 10.7| 27.7 ± 8.4|
| Stroop Test -Time            | 21.4 ± 9.9| 23.3 ± 15.3|
| Stroop Test - Errors         | 0.5 ± 1.8 | 0.3 ± 0.8 |
| Clock Drawing Test           | 8.8 ± 2.3 | 8.1 ± 3   |

PC: treated hypertensive patients with a confirmed insufficiently controlled blood pressure both in the office and during awake hours; WCH: treated hypertensive patients with an inconsistent hypertension state ("white-coat hypertension effect" with BP>140/90 mmHg in the office but diurnal BP<135/85 mmHg during awake hours (*: p<0.05; **: p<0.01; ***: p<0.001).
Discussion

In the present study, adult treated hypertensives with a similar history of hypertension, metabolic assessment and treatment were evaluated to probe the effect of BP control, measured both in the doctor’s office and during daily activities, on global cognitive functions and more definite attention-executive abilities evaluated by trained neuropsychologists.

The findings highlighted that the GC group showed a more effective cognitive performance than the PC. In particular, GC had better performances on global and frontal cognitive indexes, selective attention, and verbal fluency and Stroop time tests. The results suggest that an efficient and consistent BP reduction might be associated to a protective/beneficial effect on the preservation of cognitive functions.

The PC patients exhibited both a greater preclinical vascular damage, in terms of a higher IMT and increased microalbuminuria and the most extensive and strongest association between multiple BP measurements and reduced performances at global and attention/executive cognitive tests.

The findings suggest that the diagnosis of a limited BP control should be extended outside the doctor’s office and validated by ABPM, crucial likewise to diagnose isolated clinical or masked hypertension and to highlight the risk for functional and structural cerebro-cardiovascular damage [20]. WCH, with similar office BP but lower awake BP values, showed a limited association with the cognitive assessments and worse cognitive scores than GC. The findings confirm that “white –coat” hypertension is not a harmless condition, and is associated to a greater emotional cardiovascular reactivity [21] and enhanced cardiovascular risk [22]. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that treated hypertensives who exhibit a “white-coat phenomenon” may also feature more impaired cognitive performances [23, 24].

Antihypertensive medications seem to reduce the risk for both the development and progression of dementia [25] and to improve cognitive functions in hypertensives without dementia [26]. Nevertheless, no relationship between a pharmacological BP reduction and the onset of cognitive impairment was found in elderly and very old hypertensives without prior cerebrovascular disease [6]. Indeed, some authors have hypothesized the existence of an age-dependent relation between BP and cognitive function, which would limit the benefits of an efficient BP control only to middle-aged patients but not the elderly [27]. Our findings, focusing on similarly treated adult hypertensives, support the hypothesis that a poor cognitive function in old age may be the result of a long-term exposure to vascular risks, such as hypertension, spanning more than 2 to 3 decades [28].

The role of antihypertensive treatment has been widely investigated in the last years with the intent of highlighting the efficacy of specific pharmacological subclasses on hypertension-dependent cognitive impairment [26] and demonstrating an additional “neuro-protective” effect of some molecules [27]. Our findings, on the contrary, seem to suggest that regardless of the active agent employed, the treatment may have a true influence on cognitive functions only when the BP control is effectively achieved both inside and outside the doctor’s office. The “neuro-protection” should be, therefore, ascribed more to the BP level reached than to any particular antihypertensive drug used.

The previous studies were focused largely on global neuropsychological functions and only few papers combined an evaluation of impairments of the prefrontal functions such as executive function and working memory [29-32]. In our study, PC patients showed lower performances not only in terms of global executive capacities but, in particular, of selective attention and verbal fluency, suggesting that high BP values may have an early negative effect on frontal functions.

The relationship between hypertension and cognitive decline, according to the most widely accepted hypothesis, is mediated by cerebral vascular damage such as white matter injuries, detectable as white matter hyperintensities [33, 34]. Indeed, the disruption of white matter tracts that connect the frontal lobes to other cortical and subcortical structures [35] may affect attention/executive functions and speed processing [36, 37].

Antihypertensive treatment has been demonstrated to restrain the evolution of white matter le-
sions [38]. It is reasonable, therefore, to hypothesize that a protective effect on deep subcortical white matter pathways, exerted by a proper BP control, might reduce the influence of hypertension on cognition, by improving the cerebral blood flow. The strict relationship between vascular damage and cognitive decline has been proven also by the evidence that the carotid IMT may predict cognitive decline among individuals lacking any vascular or neurological disease [39]. Likewise, we found that the IMT was inversely associated to attention functions in the PC but not in the other patients.

Some authors have proposed an additional restorative effect of a BP decrease within normal values on cognitive functions, especially on the attention/executive domain [40] but this was not confirmed by others who, on the contrary, reported poorer cognitive functions in treated mild hypertensives with an effective office BP control [41]. The discrepancy may be ascribed to the different consequences of hypertension on the vasculature and on the cerebral blood flow at different ages. This might be attributed to a dysfunction of the cerebral blood flow caused by the thickness and rarefaction of the perforating arterioles. Indeed, adult hypertensives affected by the metabolic syndrome showed a structural microvascular skin rarefaction, strictly associated to an impaired cerebrovascular arterial dilatation [42]. As compared to normotensives, hypertensives present a progressive decrease in cerebral blood flow mainly to the hippocampus, anterior cingulate gyrus and prefrontal cortex, areas considered to be involved in memory, executive function and attention [43]. Accordingly, the IMT was higher in the PC group despite the similar treatment, age, metabolic assessment and estimated histories of hypertension.

In middle-aged patients, antihypertensive treatment shows a reparative effect on brain perfusion with a major improvement in attention and psychomotor speed [44]. Then, in later life, the failure of the cerebral blood flow regulation, due to established endothelial and parietal arterial damage, triggers a compensating counter-regulatory mechanism, i.e. a higher BP state to maintain an optimal cerebral blood flow.

Our findings strongly suggest that a strict control, including ambulatory blood pressure monitoring, of the efficacy of antihypertensive treatments should be exerted to restrain cerebrovascular impairment from the very early stages of hypertension also in adult patients.

We are aware that our study suffers from some limitations. First of all, although we estimated the histories of hypertension and BP values, we cannot exclude that the PC group might have been affected by a more severe hypertension with secondary detrimental consequences or, alternatively, they could have been exposed to a prolonged and/or misdiagnosed resistant hypertension.

We cannot identify a causal effect among the range of variables and, because of the cross-sectional nature of the study, the effect of the estimated history of hypertension and BP levels, as well as the restorative effects exerted by different antihypertensive agents on cognitive function, cannot be estimated. Moreover, we were unable to obtain imaging data for all subjects. Then, the pathophysiology underlying the association of hypertension and attention/executive neuropsychological impairment can only be speculative, based on the most widely accepted hypotheses [33, 34, 45].

To the best of our knowledge, the present study is one of the very few to determine the outcomes of BP values obtained in the office and during the daily activities, on cognitive functions in an adult population. Whereas most of the authors investigated mainly global cognitive functions in older patients [46, 47], the present study was performed by trained psychologists who applied a range of cognitive assessments through a specific and extensive neuropsychological tools. The ABPM and multiple cognitive tools administered by neuropsychologists made it possible to ensure an effective diagnosis of the BP condition and proper testing procedures.

In conclusion, adult treated hypertensives with an insufficient BP control might suffer from an accelerated deterioration of cognitive performances, mainly in the functions regulated by frontal-subcortical circuits. The findings also highlight the critical role of ABPM to obtain a more reliable diagnosis of hypertension, to recognize the individual BP load, the benefit of treatment and any office-daytime BP difference. Further studies are needed to explore the effect of BP control on both peculiar cognitive functions and potential mechanisms whereby hypertension is associated with neuropsychological impairments.
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