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Abstract

IMPORTANCE Bariatric surgery effectively treats severe obesity and metabolic diseases. However, individual outcomes vary depending on sustainable lifestyle change. Little is known about lifestyle patterns after bariatric surgery among the US population.

OBJECTIVE To compare the level of physical activity and eating behavior among postbariatric surgery patients, individuals eligible for surgery, and those with normal weight.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS A cross-sectional study using nationally representative survey data from National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2015-2018. Respondents included for analysis were age 18 years or older, and categorized by individuals with normal weight, individuals who received bariatric surgery, and individuals clinically eligible for bariatric surgery. Analyses were performed from February to October 2021.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES Self-reported measures were used to assess physical activity (moderate-to-vigorous physical activity [MVPA], sedentary activity, and whether PA guidelines were met) and eating behaviors (total energy intake and Healthy Eating Index [HEI]–2015 diet quality scores).

RESULTS Of 4659 study participants (mean [SD] age, 46.1 [18.6] years; 2638 [weighted percentage, 58.8%] women; 1114 [weighted percentage, 12.7%] Black, 1570 [weighted percentage, 68.6%] White), 132 (3.7%) reported that they had undergone any bariatric surgery. Median (IQR) time since surgery was 7 (3-10) years. After propensity-score weighting, individuals who underwent bariatric surgery reported more time spent in MVPA than those eligible for surgery (147.9 min/wk vs 97.4 min/wk). Among respondents with normal weight, 45.6% (95% CI, 40.8% to 52.4%) reported meeting PA guidelines, almost 2 times higher than those eligible for surgery (23.1%; 95% CI, 13.8% to 32.4%) or in the surgery-eligible group (20.3%; 95% CI, 15.6% to 25.1%). Propensity-score weighted overall HEI was higher for individuals with normal weight (54.4; 95% CI, 53.0 to 55.9) than those who underwent bariatric surgery (50.0; 95% CI, 47.2 to 52.9) or were eligible for the surgery (48.0; 95% CI, 46.0 to 50.0). Across all HEI components, mean scores were similar between the bariatric surgery and surgery-eligible groups. Total energy intake was the lowest among those who underwent bariatric surgery (1746 kcal/d; 95% CI, 1554 to 1937 kcal/d), followed by those with normal weight (1943 kcal/d; 95% CI, 1873 to 2013 kcal/d) and those eligible for bariatric surgery (2040 kcal/d; 1953 to 2128 kcal/d).

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE In this cross-sectional study, individuals who underwent bariatric surgery had beneficial lifestyle patterns compared with those eligible for surgery; however, these
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improvements seemed suboptimal based on the current guidelines. Efforts are needed to incorporate benefits of physical activity and a healthy, balanced diet in postbariatric care.

Introduction

Obesity is a well-established risk factor for multiple chronic conditions, including metabolic syndrome, cardiovascular disease (CVD), and some cancers; thus, the growing prevalence of obesity poses a serious public health concern. As of 2017-2018, obesity affects more than 42% of the US population, with 9% of adults having severe obesity (defined as BMI ≥40; calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared). It has been estimated that nearly $210 billion can be attributable to obesity alone, and when considering obesity-associated chronic diseases, obesity accounts for an estimated $530 billion of US health care spending.

Bariatric surgery is the most effective treatment for severe and complex obesity and metabolic diseases such as type 2 diabetes. However, a growing body of the literature suggests that long-term maintenance of health benefits requires long-term adherence to behavioral changes in physical activity and diet following surgery. According to the American Society for Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery (ASMB), more than 200,000 individuals undergo bariatric surgery in the US each year, and this number is expected to grow. However, to date there is little known regarding physical activity and dietary patterns among individuals receiving bariatric surgery at the population level. Many patients receiving bariatric surgery maintain weight loss; however, about half of patients experience weight regain within 2 years and regain a range of 8 to 10 kg within 60 months compared with their lowest weight after the surgery overall. While regaining lost weight is not necessarily harmful, a deeper understanding of postoperative lifestyle patterns is needed to help inform preoperative education programs and postsurgical interventions to maximize the clinical benefits of bariatric surgery.

Using a nationally representative sample of the US population, we examined and compared physical activity level, healthy eating behaviors, and total energy expenditure among US adults who underwent bariatric surgery relative to those who were eligible for, but did not receive, bariatric surgery and those with normal weight. We included the normal-weight group in addition to the nonbariatric surgery group in the study population to see if the bariatric surgery group had similar outcomes with the normal weight group (as a test for equivalency or normalization) and significantly different outcomes with the nonsurgery group.

Methods

Data Source

We conducted a cross-sectional study using data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) for the years 2015 through 2018. The NHANES is a nationally representative survey that is conducted in 2-year cycles by the National Center for Health Statistics to assess the health and nutrition status of the US population. We combined 2 NHANES survey cycles (2015-2016 and 2017-2018) given the availability of information on bariatric surgery. More details about the sampling frame and data structure of NHANES have been documented elsewhere. In brief, NHANES data were gathered using household surveys, medical examinations, and laboratory tests. NHANES oversampled individuals aged 60 years or older, African American respondents, and Hispanic respondents and uses a multilevel weighting system to account for complex survey design and nonresponse. We adjusted for survey weights and followed recommended analysis strategy to generate nationally representative population estimates for the US. This study was deemed
exempt from review by the University of Florida institutional review board because we used
deidentified and publicly available data. To report our findings, we followed the Strengthening the
Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) reporting guideline.

**Study Population**

Our initial sample included 11,848 participants aged 18 years or older. We categorized the individuals
into 3 mutually exclusive groups: (1) individuals with normal weight (BMI range, 18.5-24.9), (2)
individuals who received bariatric surgery (self-reported to have received bariatric surgery), and (3)
individuals clinically eligible for bariatric surgery but reporting no receipt of bariatric surgery.

Eligibility for bariatric surgery was identified according to the ASMBS recommendation of having a
BMI of 40 or higher (severe obesity) or a BMI of 35 or higher with at least 1 obesity-related
comorbidity (including hypertension, diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [COPD], liver
disease, hyperlipidemia, and any CVD). Those who did not fall in these groups (those with
underweight BMI below 18.5, 188 respondents; did not meet the surgery eligibility, 6339
respondents) or with missing data on key study variables (662 respondents) were excluded (Table 1).

The final study sample included 4659 participants.

**Outcome Variables**

Our primary outcomes were physical activity levels, diet quality, and total energy intake. Self-
reported physical activity measure was divided into 3 levels—moderate-to-vigorous physical activity
(MVPA), sedentary activity, and meeting physical activity guidelines. We calculated the minutes
per week of vigorous physical activity by multiplying the number of days of vigorous physical activity
in a week by minutes of vigorous physical activity in a day. Likewise, we calculated the minutes per
week of moderate physical activity by multiplying the number of days of moderate physical activity in
a week by minutes of moderate physical activity in a day. Total MVPA was calculated by summing the
minutes per week of vigorous physical activity and moderate physical activity. Self-reported
sedentary activity was recorded in minutes per day. Compliance with physical activity guidelines was
defined as engaging in at least 150 minutes a week of moderate-intensity or 75 minutes a week of
vigorous-intensity aerobic physical activity.

To analyze diet quality, we merged 24-hour dietary recall data collected in NHANES with the
USDA Food Patterns Equivalents database for years 2015-2016 and 2017-2018 and calculated
Healthy Eating Index-2015 (HEI) scores. This measure included 13 dietary components; 9 were
adequacy components (total vegetables, greens and beans, total fruits, whole fruits, whole grains,
dairy, total protein foods, seafood and plant proteins, and saturated fatty acids) and 4 were
moderation components (sodium, refined grain, saturated fat, and added sugar). Higher intake of
adequacy components resulted in higher HEI scores, which corresponded to a healthier diet.

Conversely, higher intake of moderation components resulted in lower scores, corresponding to a
less healthy diet. An overall HEI score ranging from 0 to 100, with higher scores indicating better diet
quality, was calculated by adding the 13 component scores. More details on the HEI scoring standards
can be found in Reedy et al. We considered a 3-point or more difference in overall HEI score as a
clinically meaningful difference, consistent with previous studies. Total energy intake
(kilocalories per day) was calculated using NHANES dietary interview data. In brief, all variables
labeled energy (kilocalories) in the total nutrient intakes files were summed to estimate total energy
expenditure, including nutrients obtained from foods, beverages, and water (tap and bottled
water).

**Matching Variables**

Considering disparities in access to bariatric surgery (eg, by race and ethnicity and other
socioeconomic status), we used propensity score weighting to address selection bias and
confounding between receipt of bariatric surgery and outcomes of interest while retaining all study
participants in the analysis.29,30 Covariates used in adjustments included participants’ age, self-reported race and ethnicity (non-Hispanic Black, non-Hispanic White, or other [Asian, Hispanic, and multiple races]), education (high school or less, some college, college 4 years or more), family income (low, below 100% of federal poverty level [FPL]; middle, 100%-200% of FPL; high, above 200% of FPL), health insurance (private, public, uninsured), general health status (excellent or good, fair or poor), current smoking status, mobility limitation (difficulty walking), diagnosis of cardiovascular disease (CVD), cancer, diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and COPD. We aggregated self-identified Hispanic, Asian, or multiracial participants into other race and ethnicity group because of small sample size. To create a propensity score for receiving bariatric surgery, we used a survey design–adjusted logistic regression procedure.

### Table 1. Characteristics of Study Participants

| Characteristics                  | Respondents, No. (weighted %) | Propensity-score weighted respondents, No. (weighted %) | P value |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------|
|                                 | Normal weight (n = 2906)      | Had bariatric surgery (n = 132) | Eligible but no surgery (n = 1621) | Normal weight (n = 2403) | Had bariatric surgery (n = 121) | Eligible but no surgery (n = 1496) | P value | P value bariatric vs eligible group |
| BMI, mean (SD)                   | 22.4 (2)                      | 35.6 (7)                                   | 41.9 (6)                                   | <.001 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Mean (SD) age, y                 | 43.8 (20)                     | 52.2 (12)                                  | 49.6 (16)                                  | <.001 | 51.8 (19) | 52.8 (12) | 53.9 (16) | .18 | .45 |
| Sex                              |                               |                                           |                                           |       | 1266 (78.4) | 96 (77.5) | 915 (76.5) | .95 | .85 |
| Men                              | 1370 (42.8)                   | 27 (21.5)                                  | 624 (40.2)                                 |       | 1137 (21.5) | 25 (22.5) | 581 (23.5) | .45 | .32 |
| Race                             |                               |                                           |                                           |       | 472 (8.9) | 36 (12.7) | 456 (18.1) |       |       |
| Non-Hispanic Black              | 576 (10.3)                    | 40 (12.7)                                  | 498 (16.2)                                 | <.001 | 860 (71.5) | 48 (69.6) | 525 (66.3) | .17 | .32 |
| Non-Hispanic White              | 969 (64.7)                    | 51 (69.3)                                  | 550 (62.2)                                 | <.001 | 1071 (19.6) | 37 (17.7) | 515 (15.6) |       |       |
| Otherc                          | 1361 (25.0)                   | 41 (18.0)                                  | 573 (21.5)                                 |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Education                       |                               |                                           |                                           |       | 990 (21.9) | 37 (26.2) | 664 (31.1) | .09 | .30 |
| High school or less             | 1106 (32.8)                   | 40 (25.2)                                  | 704 (37.9)                                 | <.001 | 661 (23.9) | 48 (29.1) | 573 (34.9) |       |       |
| Some college                    | 721 (28.2)                    | 53 (28.2)                                  | 601 (38.0)                                 | <.001 | 523 (18.8) | 18 (16.8) | 254 (14.4) |       |       |
| College ≥4 y                    | 831 (39.0)                    | 39 (46.6)                                  | 282 (24.1)                                 |       | 752 (54.2) | 36 (44.7) | 259 (34.0) |       |       |
| Family income                       |                               |                                           |                                           |       | 683 (14.3) | 27 (16.7) | 472 (19.3) |       |       |
| Low                             | 866 (22.9)                    | 29 (15.1)                                  | 529 (23.8)                                 | <.08  | 568 (10.8) | 23 (11.5) | 391 (12.4) | .85 | .85 |
| Middle                          | 680 (18.3)                    | 26 (11.7)                                  | 422 (20.0)                                 |       | 376 (9.3)  | 8 (9.4)   | 213 (9.6)  |       |       |
| High                            | 1340 (58.8)                   | 77 (73.2)                                  | 670 (56.2)                                 |       | 1152 (74.9) | 71 (71.8) | 633 (68.2) |       |       |
| Health insurance                |                               |                                           |                                           |       | 977 (53.6) | 57 (51.4) | 532 (48.9) | .98 | .95 |
| Private                         | 1196 (51.0)                   | 65 (55.6)                                  | 557 (44.6)                                 | <.06  | 1050 (37.1) | 56 (39.2) | 751 (41.5) |       |       |
| Public                          | 1247 (35.0)                   | 58 (35.7)                                  | 829 (43.2)                                 |       | 457 (14.0) | 9 (8.7)   | 232 (12.2) |       |       |
| Uninsured                       | 457 (14.0)                    | 9 (8.7)                                    | 232 (12.2)                                 |       | 384 (11.2) | 33 (23.3) | 648 (33.7) | .001 | .11 |
| Self-reported fair/poor general health | 406 (10.8)                   | 33 (22.2)                                  | 686 (36.2)                                 | <.01  | 225 (9.7)  | 33 (26.4) | 455 (28.1) | .007 | .84 |
| Mobility limitation             | 251 (6.4)                     | 36 (26.2)                                  | 486 (23.9)                                 | <.01  | 251 (9.5)  | 15 (15.5) | 156 (11.6) | .24 | .17 |
| Cancer                          | 204 (9.5)                     | 15 (15.5)                                  | 156 (11.6)                                 | <.01  | 204 (11.3) | 17 (12.7) | 224 (16.5) | .46 | .37 |
| Diabetes                        | 203 (4.0)                     | 37 (23.9)                                  | 471 (24.0)                                 | <.01  | 185 (7.6)  | 37 (25.7) | 448 (33.7) | <.01 | .10 |
| Hypertension                    | 633 (17.2)                    | 83 (56.3)                                  | 993 (58.3)                                 | <.01  | 560 (31.7) | 77 (59.5) | 927 (70.1) | <.01 | .14 |
| Hyperlipidemia                  | 693 (22.7)                    | 56 (48.9)                                  | 744 (45.2)                                 | <.01  | 628 (46.0) | 52 (51.2) | 701 (60.8) | .16 | .19 |
| COPD                            | 103 (3.1)                     | 5 (7.1)                                    | 107 (5.7)                                  | <.07  | 97 (6.8)   | 5 (7.8)   | 100 (7.4)  | .96 | .92 |

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared); COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CVD, cardiovascular disease; NA, not applicable.

* Percentages weighted to be nationally representative and account for nonresponse.

* Propensity-score weighted estimates, adjusted for all characteristics listed in the Table except BMI.

* Includes Asian, Hispanic, and multiple races.

* Based on Federal Poverty Level: low income, Federal Poverty Level <200%; middle, Federal Poverty Level 200%-399%; high, Federal Poverty Level >399%.
Statistical Analysis
To compare baseline characteristics across surgery and BMI groups, we used first-order Rao-Scott $\chi^2$ tests. We fit multiple general linear models to examine the mean of physical activity levels (minutes per week of MVPA, minutes per day of sedentary activity, and proportion of meeting physical activity guidelines), HEI scores, and total energy intake between the 3 study groups. Each study outcome was examined in a separate model. SAS PROC SURVEY procedures were used for population estimation, which included weight, cluster, and strata statements and incorporated sampling weights. We present both unweighted and propensity-weighted analysis results for the study groups; percentages reflect weighted estimates (either for sample weight or propensity weight). Statistical significance was estimated at $P < .05$ in 2-sided tests, and all analyses were conducted using SAS software, version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc).

Results
Sample Characteristics
Of 4659 study participants (mean [SD] age, 46.1 [18.6] years old; 2638 [58.8%] women; 1114 [12.7%] Black, 1570 [68.6%] White), 132 (3.7%, representing 3.6 million US adults) reported that they had undergone any type of bariatric surgery. Median (IQR) time since the surgery was 7 (3-10) years. Compared with individuals eligible for bariatric surgery but who did not undergo surgery, individuals who underwent bariatric surgery tended to be older, female, or identified as White (Table 1). Compared with those with normal weight, those eligible for or who underwent bariatric surgery were more likely to report fair or poor general health (33 of 132 [22.2%] vs 406 of 2906 [10.8%]), current smoking (18 [24.3%] vs 586 [18.1%]), mobility limitations (36 [26.2%] vs 251 [6.4%]), or more chronic conditions (diabetes, 37 [23.9%] vs 203 [4.0%]; CVD, 19 [11.8%] vs 224 [5.5%]). After applying propensity score-weighting, the differences between the groups were largely mitigated except for general health status and some chronic conditions (diabetes and hypertension). All standardized differences between the surgery group and the surgery-eligible group were minimal.

Physical and Sedentary Activity
After propensity-score weighting, individuals who underwent bariatric surgery reported more time spent in MVPA than those eligible for surgery (147.9 min/wk; 95% CI, 101.6 to 194.4 min/wk vs 97.4 min/wk; 95% CI, 82.6 to 112.2 min/wk) (Table 2). For sedentary activity, there was no significant difference between individuals in the bariatric surgery group and those in the surgery-eligible group. Of those with normal weight, 45.6% (95% CI, 40.8% to 52.4%) were estimated to meet PA guidelines, which was almost 2 times higher than those in the bariatric surgery (23.1%; 95% CI, 13.8% to 32.4%) or in the surgery-eligible group (20.3%; 95% CI, 15.6% to 25.1%).

Healthy Eating and Total Energy Intake
Propensity-score weighted overall HEI score was higher for individuals with normal weight (54.4; 95% CI, 53.0 to 55.9) than those who underwent bariatric surgery (50.0; 95% CI, 47.2 to 52.9; $P = .01$) or were eligible for the surgery (48.0; 95% CI, 46.0 to 50.0; $P < .001$) (Table 3). Across all HEI components, mean scores were similar between the bariatric surgery and surgery-eligible groups. Total energy intake was the lowest among those who underwent bariatric surgery (1746 kcal/d; 95% CI, 1554 to 1937 kcal/d), followed by those with normal weight (1943 kcal/d; 95% CI, 1873 to 2013 kcal/d) and those eligible for bariatric surgery (2040 kcal/d; 95% CI, 1953 to 2128 kcal/d).

Discussion
Using a nationally representative sample of US adults, we found that individuals who underwent bariatric surgery reported more time spent in physical activity (36 minutes more in a typical week)
| Outcomes                        | Normal weight | Had bariatric surgery | Eligible but no surgery | Global P value | Normal weight | Had bariatric surgery | Eligible but no surgery | Global P value |
|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|}
| MVPA, min/wk                   | 225.1 (201.0 to 249.3) | 130.6 (92.5 to 168.7) | 113.2 (99.4 to 127.1)   | <.001          | 191.1 (166.7 to 215.5) | 147.9 (101.6 to 194.4) | 97.4 (82.6 to 112.2) | <.001          |
| Differencea                    | -94.6 (-134.9 to -54.2) | -111.9 (-139.6 to -84.2) | NA                       | NA             | -43.1 (-94.4 to 8.2)   | -93.7 (-122.2 to -65.2) | NA             | NA             |
| P valueb                       | NA            | <.001                 | NA                       | NA             | NA             | .14                   | <.001                    | NA             |
| Sedentary activity, min/d      | 349.7 (335.9 to 363.4) | 412.9 (351.9 to 474.0) | 423.8 (406.6 to 441.0)  | <.001          | 350.7 (313.7 to 368.9) | 404.3 (332.1 to 476.4) | 433.2 (412.6 to 453.8) | <.001          |
| Differencec                    | 63.3 (4.5 to 122.0)   | 74.1 (54.3 to 93.9)   | NA                       | NA             | 53.6 (-18.1 to 125.4)  | 82.5 (56.2 to 108.9)   | NA             | NA             |
| P valuec                       | NA            | .01                   | NA                       | NA             | NA             | .22                   | <.001                    | NA             |
| Meeting PA guidelines,%        | 44.8 (40.3 to 49.3)  | 25.9 (16.1 to 35.8)   | 22.9 (18.8 to 26.9)     | <.001          | 45.6 (40.8 to 52.4)   | 23.1 (13.8 to 32.4)    | 20.3 (15.6 to 25.1)    | <.001          |
| Differencec                    | -18.8 (-26.9 to -10.9) | -21.9 (-28.3 to -15.6) | NA                       | NA             | -23.5 (-33.3 to -13.7) | -26.2 (-34.4 to -18.0) | NA             | NA             |
| P valuec                       | NA            | <.001                 | NA                       | NA             | NA             | <.001                 | <.001                    | NA             |
| Differencec                    | 21.9 (15.6 to 28.3)  | 3.1 (-14.6 to 8.4)    | [Reference]              | NA             | 26.2 (18.0 to 34.4)   | 2.8 (-13.9 to 8.5)     | [Reference]              | NA             |
| P valuec                       | <.001         | .71                   | NA                       | NA             | <.001         | .73                   | NA                       | NA             |

**Abbreviations:** MVPA, moderate-to-vigorous physical activity; NA, not applicable; PA, physical activity.

- Post hoc between-group comparisons.
- Significant difference from the reference group.
- Percentages weighted to be nationally representative and account for nonresponse.
- Defined as meeting guidelines if engaged in at least 150 minutes a week of moderate-intensity or 75 minutes a week of vigorous-intensity aerobic physical activity.

Table 2. Comparison of PA Levels Between Normal Weight, Bariatric Surgery, and Surgery-Eligible Groups.
and had lower total energy intake (294 kcal/d lower) than those who were surgery-eligible but did not receive surgery. However, further analysis showed that receipt of bariatric surgery was not associated with meeting PA guidelines or higher dietary quality (as measured by HEI). Taken together, our findings suggest that long-term weight loss achieved through bariatric surgery is unlikely to result in individuals meeting the current minimum recommended levels of MVPA (over 150 min/wk) or diet quality recommendations and likely reflect significant physiological changes and reductions in energy intake. Further intervention from health care professionals may be needed to support higher levels of physical activity and diet quality in postoperative bariatric patients.

Change in physical activity and sedentary behavior after bariatric surgery is a growing area of interest. Although earlier studies focused on postsurgery physical activity in the short-term, a novelty and strength of our study was that it captured physical activity in varying time frames (median 7 years) after bariatric surgery in the general population. More in-depth understanding of these lifestyle behaviors seems to be needed to maximize the long-term health benefits of surgical weight reduction. Our findings appear to concur with previous studies that show improvements in overall HEI, Healthy Eating Index; NA, not applicable.

![Table 3. Comparison of HEI Component and Total Energy Expenditure Between Normal Weight, Bariatric Surgery, and Surgery Eligible Groups](https://jamanetwork.com/)

| Outcomes                  | HEI, Max Score | Estimate (95% CI) | Propensity-score weighted estimate (95% CI) |
|---------------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------------------------------|
|                           |                | Normal weight    | Had bariatric surgery | Eligible but no surgery | Normal weight | Had bariatric surgery | Eligible but no surgery | Global P value |
| Total vegetables          | 5              | 3.1 (2.9 to 3.3) | 2.7 (2.4 to 3.0)      | 2.9 (2.8 to 3.1)       | .03           | 3.4 (3.2 to 3.5)      | 2.8 (2.5 to 3.1)       | <.001          |
| Greens and beans          | 5              | 1.8 (1.6 to 1.9) | 1.6 (0.6 to 2.4)      | 1.5 (1.3 to 1.7)       | .03           | 2.0 (1.7 to 2.2)      | 1.4 (0.5 to 2.4)       | .04            |
| Total fruits              | 5              | 2.2 (2.0 to 2.4) | 1.8 (1.1 to 2.5)      | 1.7 (1.5 to 1.8)       | <.001         | 2.3 (2.1 to 2.6)      | 1.8 (1.1 to 2.4)       | .008           |
| Whole fruits              | 5              | 2.3 (2.1 to 2.5) | 1.6 (1.0 to 2.2)      | 1.8 (1.6 to 2.0)       | <.001         | 2.5 (2.2 to 2.8)      | 1.6 (1.0 to 2.1)       | .005           |
| Whole grains              | 10             | 2.7 (2.4 to 3.0) | 2.9 (1.7 to 4.1)      | 2.1 (1.9 to 2.4)       | .02           | 3.0 (2.6 to 3.4)      | 3.0 (1.8 to 4.2)       | .03            |
| Dairv                     | 10             | 4.8 (4.6 to 5.1) | 4.1 (3.0 to 5.3)      | 4.3 (4.3 to 4.8)       | .08           | 4.9 (4.5 to 5.2)      | 4.3 (3.3 to 5.4)       | .21            |
| Total protein foods       | 5              | 4.2 (4.1 to 4.3) | 4.1 (3.7 to 4.5)      | 4.3 (4.2 to 4.3)       | .34           | 4.2 (4.1 to 4.3)      | 4.2 (3.9 to 4.5)       | .70            |
| Seafood and plant proteins| 5              | 2.6 (2.5 to 2.8) | 2.2 (1.4 to 3.1)      | 2.2 (1.9 to 2.4)       | .003          | 2.8 (2.6 to 3.0)      | 2.1 (1.1 to 2.9)       | .007           |
| Fatty acids               | 10             | 5.1 (4.8 to 5.4) | 6.0 (5.3 to 6.7)      | 4.7 (4.4 to 5.1)       | .01           | 5.1 (4.7 to 5.5)      | 5.9 (5.2 to 6.5)       | .03            |
| Sodium                    | 10             | 4.5 (4.3 to 4.7) | 3.9 (3.0 to 4.8)      | 3.9 (3.6 to 4.2)       | .002          | 4.6 (4.3 to 4.9)      | 4.0 (3.1 to 4.8)       | .04            |
| Refined grain             | 10             | 6.5 (6.2 to 6.7) | 6.6 (5.3 to 7.9)      | 5.8 (5.5 to 6.2)       | .01           | 7.0 (6.7 to 7.3)      | 6.3 (5.1 to 7.6)       | .02            |
| Saturated fat             | 10             | 5.8 (5.6 to 6.0) | 6.0 (5.2 to 6.8)      | 5.0 (4.7 to 5.3)       | <.001         | 5.6 (5.3 to 5.9)      | 5.8 (5.0 to 6.0)       | .01            |
| Added sugar               | 10             | 7.0 (6.7 to 7.2) | 7.0 (6.0 to 8.0)      | 6.7 (6.4 to 7.0)       | .25           | 7.1 (6.7 to 7.5)      | 6.8 (5.8 to 7.9)       | .44            |
| Overall HEI               | 100            | 52.5 (51.0 to 53.9) | 50.4 (47.6 to 53.3) | 47.1 (45.7 to 48.5) | <.001 | 54.4 (53.0 to 55.9) | 50.0 (47.2 to 52.9) | .48 | 48.0 (46.0 to 50.0) | .001 |
| Difference*               | NA             | NA               | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| P value*                  | NA             | NA               | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Difference*               | NA             | 5.4 (4.1 to 6.6)b | 3.3 (0.5 to 6.6)b | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| P value*                  | NA             | <.001            | <.001 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Total energy intake kcal/d| NA             | 2122 (2054 to 2190) | 1687 (1486 to 1888) | 145 (2083 to 2207) | <.001 | 1943 (1873 to 2013) | 1746 (1554 to 1937) | .002 |
| Difference*               | NA             | NA               | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| P value*                  | NA             | NA               | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Difference*               | NA             | -23 (-106 to 59) | -458 (-643 to -273)b | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| P value*                  | NA             | .57               | <.001 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

Abbreviations: HEI, Healthy Eating Index; NA, not applicable.

* Due to inadequate sample size issue, post hoc comparison was conducted only for overall HEI not each dietary component.

b Significant difference from the reference group.

c Post hoc between-group comparisons.
Surgical recovery may impede engagement in MVPA while the body is healing and the patient is adjusting to new eating patterns. A longitudinal study conducted in bariatric surgery patients found that 47% of postoperative bariatric patients were sedentary or somewhat active, while 14% were active and 6% were highly active. In those studies, participants mainly reported participating in physical activity through activities in daily living (eg, playing with children, gardening) rather than structured physical activity regimens, suggesting the importance of assessing daily activities as a proxy for physical activities in patients who have undergone bariatric surgery. For example, measuring total physical activity volume throughout the day, like step counts, may be a more useful indicator of activity in this patient population given the focus of recent physical activity guidelines.

There are a few factors that may explain lower physical activity rates in bariatric surgery patients compared with patients with normal weight. First and foremost, patients with obesity are more likely to be stigmatized while participating in physical activity. Second, a recent study suggested that physical activity recommendations applicable to the general population may not be realizable for postbariatric surgery patients because of persistent physical and mental health challenges that remain after bariatric surgery. Additional support for setting realistic goals and achieving behavioral change within the domain of physical activity (eg, incorporating lessons on SMART [Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, and Time-bound] goals) may be beneficial components for both preoperative and postoperative education programs. More substantive, direct, intentional work is necessary to promote dietary and lifestyle behaviors among postbariatric patients.

Although not statistically significant, we showed a potentially meaningful difference in sedentary behavior between those who underwent surgery and those who were eligible but did not, which may show a decrease in sedentary behavior (36 minutes less per day) and a corresponding increase in light intensity physical activity for those who underwent surgery. Vatier and colleagues also found a decrease in sedentary behavior after bariatric surgery independent of differences in physical activity, and suggested that this may be a result of favorable changes in body composition. The latest PA guidelines suggest “a strong relationship between time in sedentary behavior and the risk of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular disease mortality in adults.” Because the risk related to sedentary behavior is not dependent on the duration of MVPA, a decrease in prolonged periods of sedentary behavior would be an important goal for the postbariatric population. Future research studying activity behavior in persons who underwent bariatric surgery should measure sedentary behavior time, physical activity achieved through daily activities, bouts of prolonged sedentary time, and light-intensity physical activity, as these may be more relevant indicators of behavior change for this population. Future research should also investigate telemonitoring and use of mobile health lifestyle applications (known as mHealth) as interventions to increase physical activity and weight maintenance after bariatric surgery.

Our findings also indicated the lowest daily energy intake among bariatric surgery patients compared with respondents with normal weight and surgery-eligible respondents. However, overall HEI scores of patients undergoing bariatric surgery were lower than respondents with normal weight. Although studies have documented misreporting or underreporting of dietary consumption among individuals with obesity, changes in energy intake and diet quality after bariatric surgery may be because of alterations in the gut following bariatric surgery, which may result in physiological changes (such as “dumping syndrome” or feeling ill after consuming foods high in fat or sugar) that affect food consumption or adherence to certain dietary patterns. Additionally, the eating index is based on achieving recommended levels of portion sizes for specific food groups, which may be challenging to consume given the physiological changes to the gut that limit food consumption. This also results in overall energy intake being lower because all bariatric procedures have a restrictive component. In the long term, however, these changes could revert to presurgical levels and possibly cause an increase in energy intake over time. Taken together, our findings suggest that postsurgical patients may need more continual support for engaging in healthy lifestyle behaviors to maintain the effects of the surgery.
Strengths and Limitations

The primary strength of this study was that we used data from a recent nationally representative sample from the NHANES (representing 100 million US adults), which included validated measures of diet and physical activity-related assessments. Nevertheless, this study has several limitations. First, we were not able to run analyses that incorporated time since the surgery date due to the manner in which this would limit the sample size and generate unreliable estimates. Given that most weight regain occurs between 2 and 5 years after surgery, additional studies with a greater sample size are needed to further understand lifestyle patterns during early postsurgery period and long-term health outcomes. Second, information on the type of surgery (eg, gastric sleeve, gastric bypass, duodenal switch) was not available in the NHANES data. Third, since we used self-reported data, our findings may be subject to recall bias. For example, self-reported MVPA data often have a right-skewed distribution, resulting in overreporting of MVPA. Thus, average minutes of physical activity reported should be interpreted with caution. Moreover, we relied on self-reported 24-hour dietary recall data to assess energy intake. Although NHANES dietary data are validated and considered reliable, it may be inadequate to assess total usual energy intake because of the timeframe of measure (eg, seasonal variation) and variations in individual intake. Fourth, this was a cross-sectional study, which limited causal inference of study findings. Lastly, we used the propensity score-weighting approach to minimize the selection bias of receipt of bariatric surgery, however, this was based on available data, and we could not adjust for unobserved factors (eg, insurance coverage, provider information). Future studies with longitudinal data are warranted to confirm findings in this study.

Conclusions

The current study examined physical activity and eating behavior in a representative sample of US adults who either were normal weight with no history of bariatric surgery, underwent bariatric surgery, or were eligible for bariatric surgery but had not undergone the procedure. Results demonstrated that individuals who underwent bariatric surgery showed higher engagement in physical activity and lower total energy intake compared with those eligible for the surgery. However, these improvements were not aligned with the current physical activity recommendations. By incorporating behavior change strategies that focus on reducing sedentary behavior and increasing total physical activity volume, bariatric programs could achieve better long-term benefits for this unique population.
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