In Vitro and In Vivo Antimetastatic Effect of Glutathione Disulfide Liposomes
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ABSTRACT: Cancer metastasis is the major cause of cancer mortality. Despite extensive research efforts, effective treatment for cancer metastasis is still lacking. Cancer metastasis involves 4 essential steps: cell detachment, migration, invasion, and adhesion. Detachment is the first and required step for metastasis. Glutathione disulfide (GSSG) is derived from the oxidation of glutathione (GSH), which is present in biological systems in millimolar concentration. Although GSSG is commercially available, the impact of GSSG on cell functions/dysfunctions has not been fully explored due to the fact that GSSG is not cell membrane permeable and a lack of method to specifically increase GSSG in cells. We have developed GSSG liposomes that effectively deliver GSSG to cells. Unexpectedly, cells treated with GSSG liposomes were resistant to detachment by trypsinization. This observation led to the investigation of the antimetastatic effect of GSSG liposomes. Our data demonstrate that GSSG liposomes at 1 mg/mL completely blocked cell detachment and migration, and significantly inhibited cancer cell invasion. Aqueous GSSG showed no such effect, confirming that the effects on cell detachment, migration, and invasion were caused by the intracellular delivery of GSSG. An in vivo experiment with a murine melanoma experimental metastasis model showed that GSSG liposomes prevented melanoma lung metastasis. The unique antimetastatic mechanism through the effects on detachment and migration, and effective in vitro and in vivo metastasis inhibition, warrants further investigation of the GSSG liposomes as a potential treatment for cancer metastasis.
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layer and the surrounding BM (extravasation), and finally adhesion and growth of the metastatic cells at the site.\textsuperscript{12–14} Overall, metastatic cell dissemination requires 4 essential steps: detachment, migration, invasion, and adhesion.\textsuperscript{5,14–16} Under normal circumstances, epithelial cells will undergo apoptosis (programmed cell death) when detached, a phenomenon termed as anoikis. This is a mechanism designed to protect multicellular organisms from rogue cells establishing themselves outside their anatomical location. Metastatic cells are resistant to anoikis during dissemination.\textsuperscript{3} The resistance to anoikis, together with other property changes of tumor cells (cell-to-cell or cell-to-matrix adhesion, cell polarity, and cell migratory property), is collectively known as the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), a characteristic feature all metastatic cells must have. It is known that not all tumor cells are metastatic nor are all cells within metastatic tumors capable of metastasizing.\textsuperscript{3} Primary tumors that are not metastatic need to undergo EMT to acquire the ability to metastasize.\textsuperscript{5}

Glutathione disulfide (GSSG) is the oxidized form of glutathione (GSH), which is the major endogenous antioxidant.\textsuperscript{17} Glutathione protects biological systems from oxidizing factors such as reactive oxygen species through terminating them although GSH itself is oxidized to GSSG. Glutathione disulfide is then reduced back to GSH by glutathione reductase (GR). Under normal conditions, the biological system maintains a high ratio of GSH:GSSG (>100:1) through effective reduction of GSSG back to GSH by GR. An increase in GSSG is considered as a state of oxidative stress.\textsuperscript{9} Although GSSG is commercially available, the impact of an increase in intracellular GSSG on cellular functions/dysfunctions has not been fully studied due to the inability of GSSG to pass through the cell membrane and a lack of method to specifically increase the intracellular GSSG concentration.

We have developed a cell membrane permeable GSSG formulation through the use of cationic liposomes.\textsuperscript{18} The GSSG cationic liposome formulation effectively delivers GSSG into cells.\textsuperscript{18} Unexpectedly, we found that GSSG liposomes completely blocked cell detachment by trypsinization, suggesting that GSSG liposomes might be able to inhibit cancer metastasis. This investigation was aimed to explore the effects of GSSG liposomes on the 4 essential steps in cancer metastasis in vitro and the antimetastatic effect in vivo. Our results show that GSSG liposomes completely prevented cancer cells from detachment and migration, and significantly inhibited cancer cells from invasion. An in vivo experiment with a murine experimental melanoma pulmonary metastasis model demonstrated that GSSG liposomes completely prevented melanoma metastasis in lungs.

Materials and Methods

**Animals**

Female C57BL/6 mice were obtained from the National Cancer Institute (NCI). All mice were used at approximately 7 to 10 weeks of age and given at least a 1-week break after arrival. Animals were housed in a cage with 4 mice/cage and provided free access to food and water. The animal experimental protocols were approved by the South Dakota State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

**Chemicals**

Glutathione disulfide liposomes were prepared as described previously.\textsuperscript{18} Glutathione disulfide liposomes at 1 mg/mL represent the liposome formulation containing 1 mg GSSG and 1 mg lipid. The 10 mg/mL GSSG liposomes were prepared as a stock solution for all the experiments. Type I collagen, type IV collagen, laminin, and fibronectin were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Fair Lawn, NJ).

**Cell lines, cell culture, and antibodies**

McCoy’s 5A medium was obtained from Gibco (Grand Island, NY). Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 growth medium, penicillin/streptomycin, phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and Dulbecco’s Phosphate-Buffered Saline (DPBS) were obtained from Mediatech (Herndon, VA). Fetal bovine serum (FBS) was purchased from Atlanta Biologicals (Lawrenceville, GA). Trypsin/EDTA (0.05%) was purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). NCI-H226 (human lung cancer), HCT 116 (human colon cancer), and PC-3 (human prostate cancer) cells were obtained from the NCI. B16-F10 cells (murine melanoma) were obtained as a gift from Dr Hemachand Tummala. NCI-H226, B16-F10, and PC-3 cells were maintained as monolayers and passaged as necessary in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. HCT 116 cells were maintained in McCoy’s 5A medium. Antibodies against integrins β1, β3, α4, α5, E-cadherin, N-cadherin, β-actin, and β-catenin were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA).

**Cell detachment assay**

The effect of GSSG liposomes on cancer cell detachment was investigated by a controlled trypsinization procedure with minor modification\textsuperscript{19} for NCI-H226 and B16-F10 cells. Cells (100 000 cells/well) plated in RPMI medium with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin in a 12-well plate were allowed to attach for 24 hours before being treated with GSSG liposomes, blank liposomes, or aqueous GSSG for 24 hours in a CO\textsubscript{2} incubator at 37°C. After treatment, cells were washed with DPBS without calcium and magnesium salts (1 mL 3×). Dulbecco’s Phosphate-Buffered Saline was removed completely before addition of a diluted trypsin solution (0.005% trypsin/5 mM EDTA, 0.5 mL). The plates were shaken slowly. At the end of the experiment, 2 mL of FBS-containing medium was added to stop the proteolytic action of trypsin. The supernatant was transferred to a conical tube, and cells that remained attached were photographed under a Fisher Micromaster Microscope (Waltham, MA). The supernatant was centrifuged and resuspended in 0.1 mL of the
RPMI medium before being counted by a Cellometer Auto T4 Plus Cell Counter (Nexcelom Bioscience, Lawrence, MA) for any cells present in the supernatant.

**Cell migration assay**

The effects of GSSG liposomes on cell migration were investigated by following a literature procedure with minor modification. Cells (2.5 × 10^4) cells/well for NCI-H226 and 2 × 10^4 cells/well for B16-F10) were seeded into a 12-well plate in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin overnight for attachment. A “wound” was created by scraping the confluent portion of cells with a sterile 200 µL plastic pipette tip. The monolayers were washed twice and added with fresh growth medium containing GSSG liposomes, blank liposomes, or aqueous GSSG. The wound gap was photographed under a Fisher Micromaster Microscope at time 0 and 24 hours.

**Cell adhesion assay**

The effects of GSSG liposomes on cell adhesion were investigated by following a literature procedure with minor modification using 4 ECM proteins (type I collagen, type IV collagen, laminin, and fibronectin). A stock solution of an ECM protein was prepared as 30 µg/mL in PBS. A 24-well plate was first coated (1 mL/well) with one of the four ECM proteins at 10 µg/mL in PBS with 4 wells per protein for 4 hours at 37°C. Phosphate-buffered saline in the well was removed, and the residual liquid was air-dried in a biological safety cabinet. Cells were serum starved for 24 hours followed by a treatment (medium containing aqueous GSSG in PBS [1 mg/mL], medium containing blank liposomes [1 mg/mL], and a medium containing GSSG liposomes [1 mg/mL]) in a serum-free medium in a culture tube at 37°C for an additional 24 hours in a CO₂ incubator. Cells were seeded at 2 × 10^4 cells/well in the ECM-coated 24-well plate and allowed for adhesion for 1 hour in a CO₂ incubator followed by a wash with ice-cold PBS twice. The attached cells were fixed with 10% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 10 minutes and washed 3 times with PBS with 100 µL of Hoechst solution (2 µg/mL in PBS) for staining for 30 minutes. Images were obtained on an inverted fluorescence microscope (Observer A1, AX-10 Zeiss) connected to digital microscopes & digital camera (Zeiss AxioCam MRC5, Jena, Germany). The number of stained nuclei in a well was enumerated using ImageJ software from an average of 6 different locations with a minimum of 80 cells/location.

**Cell invasion experiment**

The effects of GSSG liposomes on the invasion property were investigated by using a cell invasion kit from Trevigen (Gaithersburg, MD). The manufacturer protocol was followed. The cell invasion assay kit consists of an invasion chamber (8 µm pore) and an assay chamber. Briefly, cells were subjected to serum starvation for 24 hours, and then pretreated with a drug treatment for 24 hours in suspension before being transferred to the invasion chamber (50 000 cells/chamber) at 37°C in a CO₂ incubator. At the end of incubation, cells reaching the assay chamber were quantified through a fluorescence microplate reader by using Calcein-AM as a cell number detecting agent.

**Western blot analysis**

Cell lysates and Western blot analysis were performed as described by Dachineni and coworkers. Briefly, cells (2 × 10^4 cells in 10 mL in a Petri dish) were treated with various treatments for 24 hours, followed by washing with PBS and scraping in lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 15% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100 with protease inhibitors). Samples containing 50 µg of proteins were separated on 6% or 10% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and immunoblotted with respective antibodies. The intensities of bands were quantified using ImageJ software.

**Murine lung metastasis assay**

A procedure for murine lung metastasis assays reported previously was followed with minor modification. Mice were divided into 7 mice per group for treatment. B16-F10 cells were grown and maintained as monolayers in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin in 5% CO₂ at 37°C. The cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium without FBS for 24 hours before being harvested by trypsinization, and adjusted to a density of 950 000 cells/mL with serum-free medium. Cells were pretreated with different treatments as indicated in Table 1 prior to being injected into mice. Each mouse received a 0.2-mL aliquot (875 000 cells/mL) of cells (175 000/mouse) through tail vein injection. Treatments, as described in Table 1, started 24 hours after introduction of the cells and continued daily for 5 days. The weight of the mice was recorded daily. Mice were euthanized by cervical dislocation under isoflurane on day 21, and the lungs were removed, washed in PBS, and fixed with buffered formalin solution (Fisher Scientific, Fair Lawn, NJ) for 24 hours before being photographed. Tumor nodules on the lung surface were counted under a magnifier.

**Statistical analysis**

Comparison of data from different treatments was evaluated with the Student t test and analysis of variance.

**Results**

**Effects on cell detachment**

The effects of GSSG liposomes on cancer cell detachment were investigated with NCI-H226 cells and B16-F10 cells through controlled trypsinization. After being treated with...
GSSG liposomes (1 mg GSSG/mL) for 24 hours, cells that remained attached were photographed under a Fisher Micromaster Microscope, whereas cells that detached into the supernatant were counted by a Cellometer Auto T4 Plus Cell Counter. No cell detachment was observed for both cell lines treated with GSSG liposomes. Figure 1A provides representative images derived from these 2 cell lines treated with GSSG liposomes (treatment) and aqueous GSSG (control). As demonstrated in Figure 1A, both NCI-H226 cells and B16-F10 cells in controls were completely detached in 1 hour and 30 minutes, respectively, by a diluted trypsin solution, whereas GSSG liposomes–treated cells showed no detachment. Cells treated with blank liposomes did not show any effect on cell detachment either (data not shown). The complete prevention of cell detachment was further confirmed by a cell count in the supernatant (Table 2). No cells were detected for both cell lines in the supernatant of GSSG liposomes–treated samples confirming that GSSG liposomes completely blocked cell detachment. We also treated cells with GSSG liposomes for 4 and 8 hours; no effect on cell detachment was observed indicating that the effect on cell detachment by GSSG liposomes required longer than 8 hours. This observation excludes the possibility that the antidetachment effect might be due to the inhibition of trypsin. The possibility was further ruled out by a nonenzymatic dissociation assay (10 mM EDTA in PBS). The nonenzymatic dissociation solution readily detached both cell lines (B16–F10 and NCI-H226) but could not detach cells treated with GSSG liposomes. Prevention of detachment was also observed with other cell lines treated with normal trypsinization. These cell lines include HCT 116, PC-3, MDA-MB-231 (human breast cancer cells), and H9C2 cells (rat cardiomyocyte cells). When treated with 1 mg/mL GSSG liposome, these cells could not be detached by normal trypsinization.

### Effects on cell migration

The effects of GSSG liposomes on cell migration were investigated by following a literature procedure with minor modification. The procedure checks the effect on cell migration by observing cells to fill a “wound” (wound healing). Figure 1C presents representative images derived from the experiments with NCI-H226 cells and B16-F10 cells. As shown in Figure 1C, no significant migration was observed in both cell lines treated with GSSG liposomes while the wound was almost completely filled in the control groups. Aqueous GSSG and blank liposomes showed no effect on cell migration (data not shown). In a separate work, GSSG liposomes were also found to induce cell apoptosis at 1 mg/mL. To rule out the possibility that the “wound healing” effect was due to cell apoptosis, we conducted “wound healing” experiments at lower concentrations to identify the minimum concentration that produced the antimigration effect. Significant antimigration effect was observed for both cell lines at 0.125 and 0.25 mg/mL. However, when cells were treated with 0.25 mg/mL GSSG liposomes, no apoptosis was observed for both cell lines (data not shown). Therefore, it is concluded that the effect on wound healing was due to a loss of the cell migration ability and not due to cell apoptosis.

### Effects on cell invasion

The effects of GSSG liposomes on the invasion property were investigated with 3 human cancer cell lines (NCI-H226, PC-3, and others). The effects were studied using Matrigel invasion assays and transwell migration assays. GSSG liposomes were found to significantly inhibit cell invasion in all lines tested. The effects were dose-dependent, with higher concentrations showing greater inhibition. No changes in cell proliferation or cell viability were observed in any of the cell lines tested. These findings suggest that GSSG liposomes have potential therapeutic applications in the treatment of cancer.

### Table 1. Mouse treatment protocol.

| GROUPS           | TREATMENT                        | CONTROL 1 | CONTROL 2 | CONTROL 3 | TREATMENT 1 | TREATMENT 2 | POSITIVE CONTROL |
|------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|------------------|
| B16-F10 cells pretreated for 24 h | PBS                              | Blank liposomes | Aqueous GSSG (1 mg/mL) | GSSG liposomes (1 mg/mL) | PBS         | PBS               |
| B16-F10 cells were injected to mice through a tail vein | Treatment | PBS by IV daily for 5 d | Blank liposomes by IV daily for 5 d | Aqueous GSSG 0.48 g/kg by IV daily for 5 d | GSSG liposomes 0.48 g/kg by IV daily for 5 d | GSSG liposomes 0.48 g/kg by IV daily for 5 d | Dacarbazine 50 mg/kg by IP on every fourth day on days 1 and 4 |

Abbreviations: GSSG, Glutathione disulfide; iP, intraperitoneal; IV, intravenous; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline.
Figure 1. Effect of GSSG liposomes on cell detachment (A), adhesion (B), and migration (C). (A) Cells (100,000 cells/well) in a 12-well plate were treated with GSSG liposomes (1 mg GSSG/mL) or aqueous GSSG (1 mg/mL) for 24 hours followed by a controlled trypsinization as described in the “Materials and Methods” section. Cells that remained attached were photographed under a Fisher Micromaster Microscope. Images presented were from 1 of the 3 representative experiments. (B) Cells were treated with a treatment (GAQ: GSSG aqueous solution [1 mg/mL], GLS: GSSG liposomes [1 mg/mL], or BLS: blank liposomes) for 24 hours in a serum-free medium before seeded at 2 × 10^4 cells/well in an ECM protein–coated 24-well plate and allowed for adhesion for 1 hour. Cells were fixed with 10% paraformaldehyde before addition of 100 µL of Hoechst solution (2 µg/mL in PBS) for staining. Images were obtained on an inverted fluorescence microscope (Observer A1, AX-10 Zeiss) connected to digital microscopes & digital camera (Zeiss AxioCam MRc5). The number of stained nuclei in a well was enumerated using ImageJ software from an average of 6 different locations with a minimum of 80 cells/location. The results are expressed as the percentage of the control and presented as the mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments. Statistical analysis was conducted by 1-way ANOVA. No significant difference was found among 4 groups (labeled as NS in the figure). (C) Cells (2.5 × 10^4 cells/well for NCI-H226 and 2 × 10^4 cells/well for B16-F10) were seeded into each well of a 12-well plate for 24 hours for attachment, followed by a “wound” creation before being treated by a drug treatment (0.3 mg/mL GSSG liposomes as treatment, blank liposomes or aqueous GSSG [0.3 mg/mL] as control). The wound gap was photographed under a Fisher Micromaster Microscope. Images presented were from 1 of the 3 representative experiments. ANOVA indicates analysis of variance; ECM, extracellular matrix; GSSG, glutathione disulfide; NS, not significant; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; GAQ, aqueous GSSG; GLS, GSSG liposomes; BLS, blank liposomes.
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and HCT 116) and 1 murine melanoma cancer cell line (B16-F10). A cell invasion kit using Matrigel Invasion Chambers (8 µm pore) from BD Biosciences (San Jose, CA) was employed for the investigation.24 As presented in Figure 2, when cancer cells were incubated with GSSG liposomes, invasion of these cells through the BM matrix was inhibited. At 1 and 0.3 mg/mL, GSSG liposomes produced an invasion inhibition of about 80% and 50% for both NCI-H226 and B16-F10, 80% and 55% for PC-3, and 75% and 35% for HCT 116, respectively. Incubation of the cancer cells with aqueous GSSG or blank liposomes produced no invasion inhibition (Figure 2). Doxycycline at the concentration that completely inhibits MMP was used as a positive control (Figure 2).27 Glutathione disulfide liposomes produced more invasion inhibition than Doxycycline with NCI-H226, PC-3, and B16-F10 cells, and produced a similar extent of invasion inhibition with HCT 116 cells. The trypan blue assay was used to check cell viabilities. The assay revealed no cell viability difference between cells treated with GSSG liposomes (1 mg/mL) and controls (no treatment); cell viability for both were >95%. Blank liposomes and aqueous GSSG showed no effects on invasion (Figure 2).

Effects on integrin, N-cadherin, β-actin, and β-catenin

Using the NCI-H226 cell line, Western blot analysis was performed to determine the effects of GSSG liposomes on integrin, E-cadherin, N-cadherin, β-actin, and β-catenin. The results are presented in Figure 3. We observed that treatment of cells with GSSG liposomes exerted differential effects on integrin. Protein levels of integrin β3 were upregulated. Similar results were observed in B16-F10 (data not shown). In contrast, protein levels of integrin β1 were downregulated, whereas no change in protein levels was observed with integrins α4 and α5. Interestingly, protein levels of N-cadherin, β-actin, and β-catenin were downregulated. Downregulation of β-catenin was also observed in B16-F10 cells (data not shown). We failed to detect integrins β1, α4, α5, β-actin, and N-cadherin from the B16-F10 cell line and E-cadherin from both NCI-H226 and B16-F10 cells due to the poor cross-reactivity of the antibodies.

In vivo effects on lung metastasis

The in vivo effect on lung metastasis was investigated through the use of a murine B16-F10 melanoma lung colonization assay.27–29 The assay involves the injection of B16-F10 cells through a tail vein to female C57BL/6 mice and determined the effect of dacarbazine on the melanoma tumors settled in lungs.28,29 Dacarbazine is a drug used clinically for the treatment of melanoma. For comparison, female mice were also used in this study and Dacarbazine was employed as a positive control. Each mouse received 175 000 cells through tail vein injection and was treated daily for 5 days. The dosage of GSSG liposomes was based on the in vitro concentration that produced complete blockage of cell detachment. The mice were maintained for 21 days before lung collection. During the treatment course, no significant weight loss was observed in all groups (data not shown). Figure 4 presents representative photographs of the lungs dissected from mice with different treatments. As shown in the figure, no lung metastasis was observed in mice treated with GSSG liposomes (in both pretreatment and without pretreatment groups), whereas significant lung metastasis was observed in control 1 (PBS), control 2 (blank liposomes), and control 3 (aqueous GSSG). The rationale to pretreat the cancer cells before being injected to mice was based on the in vitro results that cells lost the abilities to detach and migrate after 24-hour treatment. We would like to check whether a pretreatment would be required for the in vivo anti-metastatic effect. Our data did not show a significant difference in the antimetastatic effects between the pretreatment group and no pretreatment group. No lung metastasis was visually observed with the positive control (dacarbazine).29 The effects were further confirmed by the metastatic tumors counted under a magnifier (Table 3). As shown in Table 3, blank liposomes and aqueous GSSG showed no inhibitory

### Table 2. Cells detached into supernatant after controlled trypsinization.

| T. MIN | 10 | 30 | 60 |
|-------|----|----|----|
| NCI-H226 Cells | 116 950 | 195 616 | 245 266 |
| Control (number of cells in supernatant) | Not detected | Not detected | Not detected |
| Treatment (number of cells in supernatant) | Not detected | Not detected | Not detected |
| B16-F10 cells | 479 400 | 537 050 |
| Control (number of cells in supernatant) | Not detected | Not detected |
| Treatment (number of cells in supernatant) | Not detected | Not detected |

Abbreviation: RPMi, Roswell Park Memorial Institute.

Cells were treated as described in Figure 1A. At each time point, the supernatant in the well was collected into 0.5 mL of RPMI 1640 medium, centrifuged, resuspended in 0.1 mL of RPMI medium, and counted by a Cellometer Auto T4 Plus Cell Counter. Data presented were from 1 of the 3 representative experiments.
effects on lung metastasis. The lungs of the mice in the GSSG liposomes pretreatment group were completely free of metastasis. Metastatic tumors were spotted in 1 mouse in the GSSG liposomes without pretreatment group and 1 mouse in the dacarbazine treatment group (Table 3).

Discussion
In this investigation, we demonstrated that GSSG liposomes completely blocked the 2 key steps in cancer metastasis (detachment and migration) and significantly inhibited cancer cell invasion. Interestingly, GSSG liposomes exhibited no effect on cell adhesion. The antimetastatic effect of GSSG liposomes was further confirmed in vivo using B16-F10 cells in C57BL/6 mice, an experimental murine melanoma lung metastasis model. Glutathione disulfide liposomes completely prevented lung metastasis in this melanoma metastatic model. B16-F10 cells in C57BL/6 mice is a well-established and extensively employed model for the study of drug effects on malignant melanoma both in vitro and in vivo.31–35 Although the experimental metastasis model (introduction of tumor cells directly into the vasculature such as tail vein) has its limitation vs a spontaneous metastasis model (metastasis formed through inoculation of tumor cells into tissues),36 the number of pulmonary metastasis in mice that were tail vein injected with tumors cells, like melanoma, is known to directly correlate to the malignancy of a cell line and the effectiveness of an antineoplastic drug.36 Therefore, the results from this investigation are informative and provide the basis for further investigation.

Integrin and cadherin are 2 major adhesion proteins for cell attachment.14 Integrin, a heterodimer protein composed of α and β units, is responsible for cell attachment to ECM by connecting cytoskeleton protein β-actin to ECM proteins such as fibronectin, laminin, collagen, fibrinogen, and vitronectin. Cadherins are responsible for cell-to-cell attachment.14 Downregulation of integrin has been associated with cell detachment, whereas upregulation has been linked to metastasis.17 On the contrary, upregulation of N-cadherin

Figure 2. Effects of GSSG liposomes on the invasion property of cancer cell lines. Cells (50 000/chamber) were treated with a treatment and incubated in the culture chamber for 24 hours. At the end of incubation, cells reaching to the assay chamber were quantified through a fluorescence microplate reader by using Calcein-AM as a cell number detecting agent. The results are expressed as the percentage of the control. GSSG: GSSG aqueous solution; Treatment: GSSG liposomes. GSSG indicates glutathione disulfide.
neural cadherin) and downregulation of E-cadherin (epithelial cadherin) have been reported to lead to an enhanced metastatic capability. E-cadherin and N-cadherin are also EMT markers.

We found a significant upregulation of integrin β3, downregulation of integrin β1, and no change on integrins α4 and α5 in NCI-H226 cells (Figure 3). Upregulation of integrin will increase cell resistance to detachment which is consistent with the antidetachment effect observed with cells treated with GSSG liposomes. It is not clear what is the relative contribution of upregulation of integrin β3 and downregulation of integrin β1 to the antimetastatic effects of GSSG liposomes.

Initially, β-actin was employed as a loading control for the Western blot analysis. Interestingly, after a few repeats, it was found that β-actin was significantly downregulated in NCI-H226 cells treated with GSSG liposomes (Figure 3). As β-actin is the major cytoskeleton protein for cell motility and invasion,

Figure 3. Effects of various treatments on integrin, N-cadherin, β-actin, and β-catenin in NCI-H226 cells. Cells were treated with various treatments for 24 hours, followed by washing with PBS. Cells were collected using a sterile cell scraper in lysis buffer. Samples containing 50 µg of proteins were separated on 6% or 10% PAGE and immunoblotted with respective antibodies. The intensities of bands were quantified using ImageJ software. Student t test was used to compare the treatment of GLS with other groups (Control: PBS control; GAQ: aqueous GSSG [1 mg/mL]; BL: blank liposomes; GLS: GSSG liposomes [1 mg/mL]). GSSG indicates glutathione disulfide; PAGE, polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline.

Figure 4. Representative photographs of lungs dissected from mice from different treatments. B16-F10 cells were pretreated as indicated in Table 1 before being injected to 9-week old female C57BL/6 mice at 175 000 cells/mouse through a tail vein. Treatments with 7 mice/treatment (control 1: PBS; control 2: blank liposomes; control 3: aqueous GSSG [0.48 g/kg]; GSSG liposomes [with pretreatment, 0.48 g/kg]; GSSG liposomes [no pretreatment, 0.48 g/kg]; positive control: dacarbazine [50 mg/kg]) started 24 hours after introduction of the cells and continued daily for 5 days except dacarbazine, which was given every fourth day on days 1 and 4.29 Mice were euthanized by cervical dislocation under isoflurane on day 21. The lungs were dissected, washed in PBS, and fixed with a buffered formalin solution for 24 hours before being photographed. Tumor nodules were counted under a magnifier and presented in Table 3. GSSG indicates glutathione disulfide; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline.
it is possible that its downregulation may contribute to the decreased cell motility (anti-migration and anti-invasion).

We were not able to detect E-cadherin from both cell lines due to the poor cross-reactivity of the antibodies. A striking observation in this study is the ability of the GSSG liposomes to downregulate N-cadherin and β-catenin in NCI-H226 cells (Figure 3). β-catenin, which acts as a linker protein complex with N-cadherin, has been shown to be important for cell-cell adhesion. Complexing of N-cadherin with β-catenin triggers downstream signal transduction, including Rho GTPases, PI3K, and MAPK, as well as other pathways that promote cell proliferation.14 The downregulation of these cell adhesion proteins would contribute to the anti-metastatic effect.

In a separate study, STAT3 (signal transducer and activator of transcription 3) was found downregulated in both cell lines treated with GSSG liposomes.30 STAT3 plays a role in regulating the expression of transcriptional factors that drive EMT and modulating cytoskeleton dynamic during the initiation stage of the EMT.38 In addition, we also observed that treatment of cells with GSSG liposomes caused apoptosis in these cells.30

The downregulatory effects of GSSG liposomes on the protein levels of N-cadherin, β-catenin, and STAT3, and induction of apoptosis suggest a potential role for GSSG liposomes in the reversal of EMT.

The results of this study provide some insights of the molecular mechanism for the antimetastatic effects of GSSG liposomes. Nevertheless, much work remains to be done to identify other molecular factors that may contribute to the antimetastatic effects. Based on the in vitro data, the effects on cell detachment, migration, and invasion must be through an increase in intracellular GSSG because aqueous GSSG exhibited no such effect. We reported previously that an increase in intracellular GSSG leads to an increase in protein S-glutathionylation in NCI-H226 cells.18 It is likely that proteins involved in the key steps of detachment, migration, and invasion might be S-glutathionylated resulting in impacts on these steps. It is also most likely that the antimetastatic effect of GSSG liposomes is not a result from a single target, rather a result from multiple targets. Efforts in identifying S-glutathionylation of proteins involved in detachment, migration, and invasion are underway.

Consistently, Piskounova and colleagues reported recently that oxidative stress inhibited melanoma distant metastasis.39 Their study found that the ratio of GSH/GSSG was always significantly higher in a subcutaneous tumor as compared with metastatic nodules or circulating melanoma cells, and antioxidants promoted distant metastasis. In a separate work, we found that GSSG liposomes significantly decreased intracellular GSH in both cell lines.30 It is likely that a significant increase in oxidative stress, caused by a substantial increase in intracellular GSSG and decrease in intracellular GSH, contributed to the antimetastatic effect of GSSG liposomes.18

Although detachment, migration, invasion, and adhesion are 4 key steps in cancer metastasis, agents to effectively block these steps are limited. Therefore, it is unique, mechanistically, that GSSG liposomes produce the antimetastatic effect through affecting 3 of the 4 key steps: completely blocking detachment, migration, and significantly inhibiting invasion. It is recognized that the effective dosages for both in vitro and in vivo antimetastatic effects appear to be high. Efforts are underway to develop a more effective delivery system for GSSG to reduce the effective dosage. Nevertheless, no sign of toxicities was observed in a preliminary toxicity study with 4 CD-1 female mice at a dosage of 2.7 folds of the effective in vivo dosage for 5 days followed by a 2-day break for a total of 12 days before a pathological examination of liver, heart, kidney, brain, lung, intestine, and stomach was conducted (data not shown).

In addition to the antimetastatic effect, we reported that GSSG liposomes effectively inhibited cancer growth both in vitro and in vivo at the same dosage in a separate study.30

The unique antimetastatic mechanism, effective antimetastatic and anticancer growth activities, and low toxicity place

### Table 3. Number of metastatic tumors in murine lungs.

| MOUSE                        | 1    | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | AVERAGE   |
|------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----------|
| PBS (control 1)              | 60   | 51   | 65   | 44   | 39   | 63   | 47   | 52.7 ± 10.1 |
| GSSG aqueous solution (0.48 g/kg; control 3) | 8    | 17   | 94   | 36   | 67   | 74   | 5    | 42.9 ± 35.5 |
| GSSG liposomes (0.48 g/kg, no pretreatment) | 0    | 7    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 1    | 1 ± 2.6    |
| GSSG liposomes (0.48 g/kg, with pretreatment) | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0 ± 0      |
| Dacarbazine (50 mg/kg)       | 0    | 0    | 5    | 0    | 0    | 0    | dead | 0.8 ± 2.0    |

Mice were treated as described in Figure 4. Tumor nodules on the lung surface were counted under a magnifier. One mouse died in the course of treatment with dacarbazine. Glutathione disulfide liposomes group (both no pretreatment group and with pretreatment group) shows significantly less metastatic tumor when compared with each of the 3 control groups (P < .001, Student t test).

Abbreviations: GSSG, glutathione disulfide; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; BlS, blank liposomes.
GSSG liposomes in a perspective as a potential effective treatment for cancer.

NOV-002 is a proprietary product of a GSSG complex with cisplatin at a molar ratio of ~1000:1.8,40–41 NOV-002 in combination with chemotherapy has been found to increase efficacy and improve tolerance to chemotherapy,41,42 and has been in various phases of clinical trials for the treatment of advanced non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC),41,42 ovarian cancer,41,42 and breast cancer.41–43 Furthermore, NOV-002 was reported to exhibit antimitastatic effects through inhibition of cancer cell invasive property.

It needs to be noted that GSSG liposomes are different from NOV-002 in cancer inhibition. Gümireddy and coworkers demonstrated that NOV-002 produced the effects through interaction with cell surface receptor ErbB2 leading to reduced ErbB2 phosphorylation, PI3K activation, and their downstream targets AKT and RhoA, which regulate cell invasion and metastasis. However, their in vivo data show that NOV-002 exhibited no effect on primary tumor or lung metastasis in a mouse xenograft model.44 In addition, Townsend and colleagues suggested that the effect of NOV-002 was mediated through the interaction of NOV-002 with cell surface membrane protein thylos as GSSG could not penetrate the cell membrane.42,43 They also reported that quantitatively similar results were obtained after treatment of the cells with GSSG alone.42

Different from NOV-002, GSSG liposomes produced the antimitastatic effect through affecting detachment, migration, and invasion properties, and the effects were caused by intracellular delivery of GSSG not by GSSG alone. No effects on cell detachment and migration were reported for NOV-002. The observation on cell detachment would not have been missed in any cell culture experiments with NOV-002, had NOV-002 exhibited such an effect. Furthermore, NOV-002 was found to stimulate cell growth.41,42 In contrast, we found GSSG liposomes inhibited cell growth in a separate study.10 The anti-invasive spectra of GSSG liposomes and NOV-002 are also not the same. Glutathione disulfide liposomes significantly (80%) inhibited the invasion of a prostate cancer cell line (PC-3 cells), whereas NOV-002 was reported ineffective against this cell line.8 The failure to show any in vivo antimitastatic effect of NOV-002 provides an additional piece of evidence that GSSG liposomes are different from NOV-002.44 Therefore, all pieces of evidence support the conclusion that GSSG liposomes are different from NOV-002.

In summary, we have demonstrated GSSG liposomes effectively prevented cancer metastasis both in vitro and in vivo with a well-established murine melanoma metastasis model. This piece of work serves as a starting point to explore the potential of GSSG liposomes as a treatment for cancer and cancer metastasis.
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