INTRODUCTION

The first fiberoptic endoscope was based on inventions by Larry Curtiss and the application of knowledge by Basil Hirschowitz in the year 1957AD. Endoscopy has been modernized and précised since then for diagnosis as well as therapeutic purposes. It has revolutionized the diagnosis and management of upper gastrointestinal (UGI) pathologies. Nowadays, narrow beam imaging (NBI), confocal endoscopy, chromoendoscopy are common tools used for diagnostic purposes in upper gastrointestinal (UGI) Pathology. Similarly, endoscopic procedures for Barrettes Esophagus and Achalasia Cardia are well developed and accepted. Endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS), endoscopic pancreatic drainage and baby scope in the biliary tract are modern methods of upper GI Endoscopy. Moreover, being modern they are very much safe and accurate.

Lumbini Provincial Hospital is a tertiary care Hospital for province number 5 of Federal Nepal. This region consists of Hilly areas as well as Terai. Major parts of this region have difficulties in access to tertiary care. Most of the OPD patients come with gastrointestinal problems and UGI endoscopy is one of the cornerstone investigations to them. Although there were articles on upper UGI symptoms from hospitals located in Kathmandu, there were not many articles from this part of Nepal.

The study aimed to evaluate the spectrum of disease conditions of the patients with UGI problems and advised for UGI endoscopy

METHODS

This retrospective study was conducted at the Department of medicine, Lumbini Provincial Hospital Butwal. After approval from the Institutional Review Board (IRB), data were retrieved retrospectively from medical records from August 3rd, 2017 to February 6th, 2018. All endoscopies were done by two consultant doctors and indications of endoscopy were determined by outpatient department (OPD) physicians, emergency consulting doctors, and consultants taking rounds in wards. Consecutive 200 cases were reviewed in this period. Data were analyzed with descriptive statistics using SPSS 20.

RESULTS
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In total data of 200 patients were analyzed in which 90 (45%) were males and 110 (55%) were females (Table 1).

| Gender | No. of cases (%) |
|--------|-----------------|
| Male   | 90 (45)         |
| Female | 110 (55)        |
| Total  | 200 (100)       |

The mean age of the study population was 46.3±17.52 (Table 2).

| Gender | Mean age±S.D.* |
|--------|----------------|
| Male   | 51.29±19.444   |
| Female | 42.23±15.144   |
| Study population | 46.3±17.752 |

* S.D. - Standard Deviation

Most common indication was pain abdomen (83%) and second being UGI bleeding (11%) (Table 3).

| Indications for UGI endoscopy | No. of cases (%) |
|------------------------------|-----------------|
| Pain abdomen                 | 166 (83)        |
| UGI Bleeding                 | 22 (11)         |
| Dysphagia                    | 2 (1)           |
| Recurrent vomiting           | 1 (0.5)         |
| H/O Esophageal Varices       | 2 (1)           |
| H/O duodenal ulcer           | 2 (1)           |
| H/O CLD without Varices      | 1 (0.5)         |
| Anemia                       | 2 (1)           |
| H/O erosive gastritis        | 2 (1)           |
| Total                        | 200 (100)       |

Endoscopic findings first divided to normal and positive findings with normal 4 cases (2%). Then, positive endoscopic findings were divided further into benign 189 (94.5%) or malignant 7 (3.5%). Among malignant cases, 5 (2.5%) were carcinoma stomach and 2 (1%) were esophageal carcinoma. Benign findings were also divided to ulcerative 72 (36%) and nonulcerative 117 (58.5%) lesions which were further divided based on organ of involvement. Among the organs involved, stomach was the most common organ of involvement in both ulcerative (Table 4) and non-ulcerative lesion (Table 5).

| Table 1: Gender distribution of patients |
|----------------------------------------|
| Gender | No. of cases (%) |
|--------|-----------------|
| Male   | 90 (45)         |
| Female | 110 (55)        |
| Total  | 200 (100)       |

Nonulcerative lesions were further divided based on organ of involvement.

| Table 5: Distribution of nonulcerative lesion based on organs |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| Organ                                                        | No of cases (%) |
| Esophagus                                                    | 18 (9)          |
| Stomach                                                      | 52 (26)         |
| Duodenum                                                     | 2 (1)           |
| Combined (more than one organ involvement with different benign findings) | 45 (22.5) |
| Total                                                        | 117 (58.5)      |

Table 6 showed the nonulcerative esophageal lesion and reflux esophagitis is most common esophageal nonulcerative lesion (4%).

| Table 6: Non-ulcerative esophageal lesion |
|------------------------------------------|
| Lesions                                  | No of cases (%) |
| Erosions                                 | 8 (4)           |
| Hiatal hernia                            | 4 (2)           |
| Varices                                  | 3 (1.5)         |
| Candidiasis                              | 2 (1)           |
| External compression                     | 1 (0.5)         |
| Total                                    | 18 (9)          |

Table 7 showed the distribution of nonulcerative stomach lesion and it showed erosive gastritis as most common finding 36 (18%).

| Table 7: Nonulcerative stomach lesions |
|---------------------------------------|
| Findings                              | No of cases (%) |
| Erosions                              | 36 (18)         |
| Polyps                                | 6 (3)           |
| Bile reflux gastritis                 | 4 (2)           |
| Atrophic gastritis                    | 2 (1)           |
| Congestive gastropathy                | 2 (1)           |
| Ectopic pancreatic tissue             | 1 (0.5)         |
| Vascular anomalies                    | 1 (0.5)         |
| Total                                 | 52 (26)         |

Antral gastritis with duodenitis is the most common combination diagnosis involving two organs (Table 8).

| Table 8: Nonulcerative lesions involving different organs |
|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Diagnosis                                               | No of cases (%) |
| Antral gastritis with duodenitis                        | 24 (12)         |
| Esophage gastroduodenitis                               | 5 (2.5)         |
| Reflux esophagitis with bile reflux gastritis           | 6 (3)           |
| Lax Lower esophageal sphincter with antral erosions     | 3 (1.5)         |
| Other                                                   | 7 (3.5)         |
| Total                                                   | 45 (22.5)       |
DISCUSSION

The study was aimed to observe the spectrum of disease conditions of the patients with UGI problems and advised for UGI endoscopy. For this, the medical records of 200 patients were analyzed.

Among them 90 (45%) were males and 110 (55%) were females. This was in accordance with the results reported by Nkrumah and Khurram. In contrast to our findings, few studies have shown a predominance of males. However, Mehmood et al conducted at Peshawar showed almost equal numbers of males and females.

The mean age of the patients was 46.3±17.752 yrs. This is similar to other studies. In our study which was higher than reported by Taye et al (60%). Taye et al. reported only 60 % of cases had a history of dyspepsia. UGI Bleeding was the second most common indication for UGI endoscopy (22 cases, 11%) in our study, while it was 18% in the study by Taye et al.

In our study, normal UGI endoscopic finding was seen in 4 cases (2%) which is very low than reported by Chhreti et al (38%). This may be due to better selection of cases in our study.

Non-ulcerative lesions were most common in our study consisting of 117 cases (58.5%) vs ulcerative lesion 72 (36%) comparable to other study done in Nepal. Inflammatory lesions in stomach solely with the diagnosis of erosions, bile reflux gastritis, and atrophic gastritis or with the involvement of other organs are most common findings 80 cases (40% of total). This result was comparable to reported by Shrestha et al. (41.66%) and Chhreti et al. (48.4%). This was also in concordance with similar studies reported in a different part of the world. Nkrumah et al in Saudi Arabia reported gastritis in 31% while Khan et al at Chhattisgarh, India which reported gastritis in 25.1%.

There were altogether 7 cases (3.5%) of malignancies in our study. It was higher in the study reported by Khan et al (8.7%) and Shah et al (10%). Overall malignancy was 2.2% in Kandem et al. Among malignancies gastric carcinoma was seen in 5 cases (2.5%) which was similar to the one reported by Chhreti et al. (2.6%). Other studies also reported comparable results. Taye et al showed 1.3%., Khalid et al showed 1.17% and Inayatullah et al showed 2%. But it was higher in Khan et al and Agbakwuru et al. Similarly it was higher in other studies from different parts of Africa and world. It was in higher in Inayatullah et al which was 6.7% and Ndububa et al which was 6.2%.

Our study found esophageal carcinoma in 2 cases (1%) comparable to Emmanuele et al (1.7%), Taye et al (2.8%) and Inayatullah et al (2%). It was reported higher (3.7%) in Khan et al.

In our study, we didn’t study H. pylori status, the personal habit of patients, and the history of drug intake. Further Prospective studies are needed addressing these issues.

CONCLUSION

This study outlines the most common indications for upper GI endoscopy in our set up and disease patterns in those patients. This study will be helpful for an epidemiological study.
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