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OBJECTIVE  It is estimated that nearly 47 million preventable deaths occur annually due to the current worldwide deficit in surgical care; subsequently, the World Health Organization resolved unanimously to endorse a decree to address this deficit. Neurosurgeons from industrialized nations can help address the needs of underserved regions. Exposure during training is critical for young neurosurgeons to gain experience in international work and to cultivate career-long interest. Here, the authors explore the opinions of current residents and interest in global neurosurgery as well as the current state of international involvement, opportunities, and barriers in North American residency training.

METHODS  An internet-based questionnaire was developed using the authors’ university’s REDCap database and distributed to neurosurgical residents from US ACGME (Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education)–approved programs. Questions focused on the resident’s program’s involvement and logistics regarding international rotations and the resident’s interest level in pursuing these opportunities.

RESULTS  A 15% response rate was obtained from a broad range of training locations. Twenty-nine percent of respondents reported that their residency program offered elective training opportunities in developing countries, and 7.6% reported having participated in these programs. This cohort unanimously felt that the international rotation was a beneficial experience and agreed that they would do it again. Of those who had not participated, 81.3% reported interest or strong interest in international rotations.

CONCLUSIONS  The authors’ results indicate that, despite a high level of desire for involvement in international rotations, there is limited opportunity for residents to become involved. Barriers such as funding and rotation approval were recognized. It is the authors’ hope that governing organizations and residency programs will work to break down these barriers and help establish rotations for trainees to learn abroad and begin to join the cause of meeting global surgical needs. To meet overarching international neurosurgical needs, neurosurgeons of the future must be trained in global neurosurgery.
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In 2015 The Lancet Commission on Global Surgery and the World Bank emphasized essential surgical care as a basic necessity for humankind. It is estimated that nearly 47 million preventable deaths occur annually due to the current deficit in surgical care. Subsequently, the World Health Organization resolved unanimously to endorse a decree to address this deficit. Neurosurgeons from industrialized nations can help address the needs of underserved regions. Exposure during training is critical for young neurosurgeons to gain experience in international work and to cultivate career-long interest. Here, the authors explore the opinions of current residents and interest in global neurosurgery as well as the current state of international involvement, opportunities, and barriers in North American residency training.
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and to cultivate a career-long interest. Beyond the benefits for underserved regions, global health electives offer neurosurgical trainees the opportunity to expand their cultural awareness, increase their knowledge of medical cost, and refine their clinical decision-making skills. In turn, the residents who trained internationally will be better equipped to return to international sites and provide education to local providers throughout their career, thus allowing for a consistent and longitudinal workforce to address underserved populations.

The implementation or expansion of international educational programs in neurological surgery requires a strong resident interest and the availability of international work during residency training. Anecdotal experience has suggested that there is growing interest in this field, but many younger neurosurgeons report difficulty engaging in this activity. Therefore, a key step in developing an international educational infrastructure is to understand the current resident opinions and interest in global neurosurgery as well as the current state of international involvement, opportunities, and barriers in US residency training.

Methods

This study was reviewed and qualified for exempt status by the University of Kansas institutional review board. An anonymous internet-based questionnaire was developed and distributed using our university’s REDCap database. The survey was distributed to current neurosurgical residents at Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME)–approved programs throughout the US, who were identified using the AANS database. Nonresponders were contacted a total of 4 times, and the survey was open from February 4, 2019, through March 18, 2019. Baseline demographic information regarding the resident’s residency program was collected and included region, number of residents, and number of faculty. No specific information was asked that could match a specific resident with their responses.

Questions focused on the residents’ programs. The residents were asked about their involvement in international trips in binary (yes/no) fashion, onto which questions were built to expand based on the different responses (Fig. 1). Based on how the residents responded, the total number of questions ranged from 12 to 18 (Supplemental Resident Survey).

Questions regarding a resident’s interest level in pursuing these opportunities were queried using a 5-point Likert scale, where 1 indicates strongly interested; 2, interested; 3, neither interested nor uninterested; 4, uninterested; and 5, strongly uninterested. This scale was also used to assess a resident’s willingness to pay out of pocket and use vacation time for international rotations.

Those who had participated in international trips were asked questions about their experience and the logistics of their rotation using a multiple-choice format in which multiple answers and type-in comments were allowed. These questions included information regarding funding, time allotment, and ACGME approval. They were also asked using a Likert scale if they felt the rotation was beneficial (1, strongly agree; 2, agree; 3, neither agree nor disagree; 4, disagree; 5, strongly disagree). They were asked in yes/no format if they would do another rotation in the future and if they planned to travel to serve internationally in the future. Space for additional comments was provided to fully explore responses. All data were analyzed with descriptive statistics using IBM SPSS (version 25, IBM Corp.).

Results

Baseline Demographics

A 15% (210/1397) response rate was obtained from residents training in 88 different programs in locations representing every major region in the US. Data collected represented a broad range of programs with regard to size in terms of resident complement and number of attending staff (Table 1). Residents from all levels of training responded, with the most frequent responses coming from PGY (postgraduate year) 4 and 5 residents (82/210).

Current Rotation Abroad Status

Over half (123/210) of responding residents reported having a faculty member who traveled abroad to practice neurosurgery in developing countries. However, only 29% (61/210) reported that their residency program offered elective training opportunities in developing countries. Of the 29% of residents with established programs at their institutions, 26.2% (16/61) reported participation in these programs. The majority of international rotation participants were PGY 4–6 residents. Locations visited represented a wide range of sites, including countries throughout Africa, Central America, and Asia. Sixteen respondents provided locations of rotations in an open-comment field on the survey. Some reported multiple locations, resulting in 28 reported locations. Thirty-nine percent (11/28) of the locations were in low-income countries as defined by the 2019 World Bank classification system based on country economies. Fourteen percent (4/28) were located in similarly defined low- to middle-income countries.

This cohort unanimously felt that the international rotation was a beneficial experience and agreed they would do it again; 93.8% (15/16) reported that they planned to travel abroad in the future to serve internationally. Additionally, in the open-response section, 2 residents reported using vacation time to participate in an international rotation, as their program did not offer one. Both endorsed the value of their experiences.

Of those residents who participated in their institution’s established program, 37.5% (6/16) reported that their rotation was ACGME approved. Among residents whose institution offered a rotation but they did not participate, 20% (9/45) reported having ACGME-approved rotations available at their institutions.

The majority reported a desire for clinical and surgical experiences, which matched the electives most commonly offered. Residents also expressed a desire to learn more about health systems administration and local professional policy during their rotation.

Resident Interest and Barriers

For residents who have not yet participated, 81.3%
(156/192) reported interest in international rotations (Fig. 2). Among those without offered rotations, 57% (85/149) reported that they would be willing to use vacation time to complete an international rotation (Fig. 2). At institutions that offer rotations, 34.4% (21/61) reported that time was most often allotted during research rotations, while 21% (13/61) reported using vacation time to complete their rotation.

Regarding financial support, funding was most often provided by the resident’s training department or through fundraising. If asked to pay out of pocket, 47.7% (71/149) of residents responded that they were unwilling to do so (Fig. 3). Other sources of funding included grants and mission group funding.

**Discussion**

**Need for Surgical Care**

Five billion people lack access to safe surgical care. As a result, 140 million surgical cases go untreated, resulting in 47 million deaths due to preventable causes had there been adequate access to surgical care.\(^1,6,16,23\) Neurosurgery is key to meeting the deficits of surgical care, and head and spine trauma are a primary cause of mortality that require neurosurgical care.\(^3\) Meeting these needs will require work far beyond short-term humanitarian trips. The ultimate goal is to build programs with consistent support that foster local site independence and growth of a sustainable workforce abroad. In order to achieve these goals, part of the workforce of the future, today’s current neurosurgical trainees, will need to become engaged and prepared to work on a global level.

**Interest and Value**

Addressing the neurosurgical needs of underserved nations is a complicated problem. Neurosurgeons traveling to nonindustrialized nations to function as educators, mentors, and laborers are one way to help the issue. However, for this to be effective, the aid must be consistent, and

---

**TABLE 1. Demographic information of the 210 residents who replied to the survey**

| Current PGY Level | No. of Residents (%) |
|-------------------|----------------------|
| PGY 1             | 6 (2.9)              |
| PGY 2             | 20 (9.5)             |
| PGY 3             | 32 (15.2)            |
| PGY 4             | 45 (21.4)            |
| PGY 5             | 40 (19)              |
| PGY 6             | 37 (17.6)            |
| PGY 7             | 30 (14.2)            |
| **Total**         | **210**              |

| Current resident complement | No. of Residents (%) |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| 1–7                         | 20 (9.5)             |
| 8–13                        | 66 (31.4)            |
| 14–20                       | 90 (42.9)            |
| ≥21                         | 34 (16.2)            |
| **Total**                   | **210**              |

| Clinical faculty in program | No. of Residents (%) |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| 1–10                        | 69 (32.9)            |
| 11–20                       | 109 (51.9)           |
| ≥21                         | 32 (15.2)            |

| Program location | No. of Residents (%) |
|------------------|----------------------|
| Northeast        | 54 (25.7)            |
| Southeast        | 34 (16.2)            |
| South            | 25 (11.9)            |
| Midwest          | 67 (31.9)            |
| Northwest        | 8 (3.8)              |
| Southwest        | 22 (10.5)            |
those providing the assistance must be keenly aware of the nuances of medical practice at the local sites. The earlier in a career this understanding can be developed, the greater the length of time in a career a given surgeon can be effective at a local site.\(^7\,11\) There is great value in international experiences for building a foundation for future practice as well as enhancing current training. Furthermore, fostering an interest in global neurosurgery may help diversify an individual’s practice into their career, thereby potentially mitigating career fatigue. For international training to start as early as residency, a basic but necessary component is resident interest.

Outside of neurosurgery, a national survey of surgical residents found that 73% would participate in an international rotation even if the cases they performed would not be counted for graduation requirements.\(^19\) Forty-two percent even reported a willingness to add an additional year to their training to solely focus on international work, possibly combined with research.\(^19\)

A recent survey of pediatric neurosurgeons found that of those who had taught or performed neurosurgery in a developing country, 71% agreed that their international work improved their overall surgical practice, and 31% agreed that international neurosurgical experiences should be a part of neurosurgical residency or fellowship.\(^4\)

Neurosurgery residents join residents in other specialties with regard to interest and increasing involvement abroad. Our data agrees that among neurosurgical residents who have not yet participated, 81.3% (156/192) are interested in pursuing these opportunities.

Our survey showed that neurosurgical residents who had participated unanimously felt that their global rotation was a beneficial experience and agreed they would do it again. They expanded on their feelings in open-text responses:

I think this would be a good educational experience to learn how problems are solved in settings that are extremely different than seen in the US. I feel like these types of experiences foster an innovative learning experience.

I believe this to be essential. It is very easy to overlook the privileges we have here in the US. Seeing other countries doesn’t only help the resident provide healthcare to a community, it also helps residents see different pathologies.

I learned about a people, their culture, beliefs and the health adversities they face. Residency training in impoverished regions is very important to provide self-supportive health systems.

The data from this survey demonstrate a strong resident interest in international education and training. Furthermore, those residents who have participated in international opportunities overwhelmingly plan to continue international work in their career. In this way, international electives during residency represent a potent opportunity to develop an experienced international workforce that could provide long-term aid to underserved regions.

**Barriers**

Resident involvement provides an intriguing opportunity for advancement in international work; however, there are a number of barriers to their involvement. The barriers are multimodal, including home residency logistics, funding, accreditation board approval, and ethical implications.\(^7\,12\,14\,21\,22\) Our survey results demonstrated that there are barriers regarding funding and ACGME approval. A high percentage of residents (47.7% [71/149]) responded that they were unwilling to pay out of pocket for international rotations.

The AANS offers scholarships for international providers seeking the opportunity to observe in the US as well as money toward a fellowship focusing on international education and training to already developed countries.
However, no easily discoverable scholarships or grants are offered specifically for neurosurgical global education and training.

A few universities offer special funding for those interested in global surgery as well as larger organizations that do not specifically focus on one specialty but global surgery as a whole (e.g., The Paul Farmer Global Surgery Fellowship).11

Most surgical residency review committees (RRCs) do not recognize time spent abroad toward clinical training or allow for procedures to count toward accreditation requirements. A call has been made for accreditation organizations to develop core competencies and training guidelines with regard to international rotations in order to work toward residents receiving guidance and recognition for time spent abroad.7

Currently, neurosurgery approval in the US requires full supervision by a core faculty physician, a minimum rotation length of 1 month, and demonstration of an ongoing relationship with the global site. These requirements are not within the means of many neurosurgical training sites. ACGME-approved rotations appear to be uncommon among neurosurgical training sites. Of those residents who had available rotations, only 24.6% (15/61) reported that they were ACGME approved. Developing a rotation outside of ACGME regulations creates a work-around to the barrier of approval, but it leaves residents vulnerable to international electives with low educational value and safety.

Understanding and addressing these barriers is important not only for resident international work but also to help the neurosurgeons who continue to travel throughout their career. Open comments further elaborated on frustrations with these barriers:

There is a strong desire among residents for international rotations but we are hampered by RRC requirements in many cases. If a policy could be outlined by the RRC for these rotations (i.e., have them count toward clinical or research time) this would be most helpful.

One of the most important things I did during my training. Wish I could have done more . . . despite the fact that I did over 100 cases internationally during my residency. I had an interest, and so I sought out these opportunities. My department was supportive. However, I also paid out of pocket, and used my own vacation time for some of these experiences.

It was incredibly challenging to set up and organize this elective despite the fact that my faculty travel to international locations frequently but do not allow opportunities for residents to participate with them.

I took vacation time during my research year to do an international rotation. While my department was supportive of me, there was no direct support or help in setting up the rotation. If given the opportunity, I would love to do more, and think it is an invaluable experience for all levels of residents.

Prior surveys of internationally traveling surgeons have recognized and discussed barriers. Respondents felt that involvement would be significantly easier had they been exposed to global surgery during their training.9 They recognized that current structural limitations exist on how training programs are incentivized to involve residents in these programs, despite their immense value.

Ethics Concerns

The ethical implications of global outreach should be considered with any international effort. Prior publications have discussed ethics concerns regarding placing residents in unsafe or ethically compromising situations or asking residents to function above their current training or level of comfort.7 First-time exposure to low- and low-middle-income countries must also be considered, as residents may benefit from preparation and counseling prior to rotations. Through the open-comment portion of our survey, residents discussed other ethical issues, including pitfalls and the responsibility of global neurosurgical outreach:

I don’t believe that programs emphasize the ethical considerations at stake when planning medical missions to other countries (esp. developing nations). Many seem to be motivated by personal gain (i.e., vacation, heroism). Most developing nations don’t need a visiting surgeon but rather need resources, training opportunities for local providers, and creative solutions to healthcare delivery barriers.

Fostering and developing an intimate understanding of ethics concerns during residency is crucial for creating an effective generation of global neurosurgeons.

Limitations

We recognize that a respondent bias may be present in our survey data. Those who are interested in or who have participated in international rotations would be more likely to respond to a survey on this topic. With regard to knowledge of the exact logistics (e.g., ACGME approval, funding), those who had actually participated in a rotation would likely have more accurate knowledge of approval status and exact funding sources. Thus, the results from nonparticipants may be skewed due to lack of detailed understanding. Although our response rate may seem small at 15%, in general a 10%–15% response rate for external surveys is considered average, and, given the busy schedules of neurosurgical residents, we feel that our response rate was adequate. We also feel that our data represented a broad range of geographic regions and programs.

Conclusions

Our results indicate that, despite a high level of desire for involvement in international rotations, there is limited opportunity for residents to become involved. Barriers such as funding and rotation approval are recognized. Our hope is that governing organizations, foundations (such as FIENS [Foundation for International Education in Neurosurgery], and residency programs will work to break down these barriers in order to help establish rotations for trainees to learn abroad and begin to join the cause of meeting global surgical needs. We propose the possibility of a future centralized program or credentialing process that would streamline global education and training as well as promote and manage the development of travel grants and scholarships. To meet overarching international neurosurgical needs, we must train the neurosurgeons of the future in global neurosurgery.
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