Association of Noise Annoyance with Measured Renal Hemodynamic Changes
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Abstract

Background: Chronic mental stress is recognized as a modifiable risk factor for cardiovascular disease. The aim of this study was to demonstrate that noise annoyance-induced stress is associated with changes in renal hemodynamics.

Methods: Renal hemodynamic parameters were measured using steady-state input clearance with infusion of para-aminomellitic acid and inulin in individuals with normal, high normal, and elevated blood pressure. All individuals ranked subjective annoyance due to noise in everyday life on a 7-grade Likert scale. The median of all rankings was used as a cutoff point to divide the group into noise-annoyed and non-noise-annoyed individuals. Different renal hemodynamic parameters were calculated based on the Gomez equation.

Results: Noise-annoyed individuals (n = 58) showed lower renal plasma flow (599 ± 106 vs. 663 ± 124 mL/min, p = 0.009), lower renal blood flow (1,068 ± 203 vs. 1,172 ± 225 mL/min, p = 0.047), higher filtration fraction (22.7 ± 3.3 vs. 21.3 ± 3.0, p = 0.012), higher renal vascular resistance (88.9 ± 25.6 vs. 75.8 ± 22.9 mm Hg/mL/min, p = 0.002), and higher resistance of afferent arteriole (2,439.5 ± 1,253.4 vs. 1,849.9 ± 1,242.0 dyn s⁻¹ cm⁻⁵, p = 0.001) compared to non-noise-annoyed individuals (n = 55). There was no difference in measured glomerular filtration rate (133 ± 11.8 vs. 138 ± 15 mL/min, p = 0.181), resistance of efferent arteriole (2,419.4 ± 472.2 vs. 2,245.8 ± 370.3 dyn s⁻¹ cm⁻⁵, p = 0.060), and intraglomerular pressure (64.0 ± 3.1 vs. 64.6 ± 3.5 mm Hg, p = 0.298) between the groups. After adjusting for age, renal plasma flow, renal blood flow, and renal vascular resistance remained significantly different between the groups, with a trend in increased afferent arteriolar resistance and filtration fraction.

Conclusion: In this study, noise annoyance was associated with reduced renal perfusion attributed to increased renal vascular resistance predominantly at the afferent site. Long-term consequences of this renal hemodynamic pattern due to noise annoyance need to be investigated.
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Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

Introduction

Chronic mental stress is recognized as a modifiable risk factor for cardiovascular disease (CVD) [1–3]. Cardiovascular morbidity in adulthood has been found to be attributed to stressors of early life [4]. The INTERHEART study demonstrated that exposure to chronic mental stress is strongly associated with the risk of coronary heart
disease consistently across different geographic regions independent of conventional risk factors [5]. This association was as strong as that for cigarette smoking, elevated blood pressure (BP), and cholesterol. Similar associations have been noticed in animal experiments, especially in monkeys [6, 7]. Coronary artery disease was found to be more profound in monkeys in a more stressful social environment compared to control animals without exposure to stress. Interestingly, exacerbated coronary artery disease was not attributed to corresponding alterations in serum lipids, BP, or fasting glucose concentration, pointing towards other possible mechanisms than classic mechanisms involved in the development of arteriosclerosis [8].

Noise annoyance is widely recognized as a form of mental stress [9]. A representative study of the German Environment Agency shows that 75% of the German population feel annoyed by road traffic noise from their living environment [10]. WHO Europe described annoyance to be the second major health effect of environmental noise after sleep disturbance [11]. It has been estimated that disability-adjusted life years lost from environmental noise are 61,000 years for CVD and 654,000 years for annoyance in Western European countries. Noise annoyance has been shown to be linked with increased risk of CVD, and the evidence of this association has increased over years [12, 13]. The activation of the autonomic and endocrine system by noise annoyance is proposed to lead to pathophysiologic changes of vessels contributing to initiation and progression of CVD [14, 15]. A pathologic relationship between chronic mental stress and kidneys has been suggested [16, 17], since sympathetic nerves innervate all segments of the kidney, and neural mechanisms regulate sodium and water retention [18]. However, this area of research is widely unexplored.

We therefore analyzed in a cross-sectional study the influence of noise annoyance on renal hemodynamics, that is, whether annoyance-induced stress is associated with changes in renal hemodynamics. If so, this environmental stressor may act as a risk factor for the development or progression of chronic kidney disease (CKD) and CVD, along with known risk factors such as diabetes mellitus and arterial hypertension.

**Methods**

**Study Design**

This is a cross-sectional, single-center clinical study conducted from March 2016 till January 2018. The primary objective was to compare the renal hemodynamic pattern between individuals annoyed and not annoyed to noise. This study was performed at the Clinical Research Unit of the Department of Nephrology and Hypertension, University of Erlangen, Germany (www.clinicaltrials.gov: NCT02783456). The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University Erlangen (Application No. 68_16B) and performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the principles of Good Clinical Practice guidelines. Individuals were recruited by advertising in local newspapers in the area of Erlangen-Nürnberg, Germany, or by referral of the primary care physician to our outpatient clinic. All individuals provided written informed consent prior to inclusion in the study.

**Study Population**

One hundred thirteen nonsmoking, male Caucasian individuals with normal, high normal, and elevated BP were included in the study. In individuals with high BP, the antihypertensive medication (no more than one antihypertensive substance of either ACE inhibitor, ARB, or calcium-channel antagonist) at the screening visit underwent a washout period of 2 weeks before study inclusion. Office BP was taken in a standardized fashion according to guideline recommendations [19]. Main exclusion criteria were secondary hypertension, severe primary hypertension (systolic BP ≥180 mm Hg and/or diastolic BP ≥110 mm Hg), history of hypertensive encephalopathy or intracerebral hemorrhage, diabetes mellitus, myocardial infarction, unstable angina pectoris, percutaneous coronary intervention, or heart failure within the previous 6 months. Patients with any other significant disease were also excluded.

**Assessment of Noise Annoyance**

All individuals ranked subjective annoyance due to noise in everyday life on a 7-grade Likert scale. The median of all rankings was used as a cutoff point to divide the group into noise-annoyed and non-noise-annoyed individuals.

**Assessment of Renal Hemodynamics**

The assessment of renal hemodynamic parameters has been described in detail in former studies [20–25]. Briefly, glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and renal plasma flow (RPF) were assessed using the constant-infusion input clearance technique with infusion of inulin (Sinistrin, Inutest® 25% Amp; Fresenius Kabi, Austria) and para-aminohippuric acid (Sodium-para-aminohippurate Injection® 10%; Daidichi-Sankyo, Tokyo, Japan), respectively. After bolus infusion of para-aminohippurate and inulin over 15 min and a subsequent constant infusion over 105 min, a steady state between input and renal excretion of the tracer substances is achieved. Duplicate blood samples were collected for the assessment of RPF and GFR. Filtration fraction was calculated as GFR/RPF × 100. Renal blood flow was calculated as RPF/(1 – hematocrit). Renal vascular resistance was calculated as mean arterial BP/renal blood flow × 1,000. Intraglomerular pressure and resistances of the afferent and efferent arterioles were calculated based on the Gomez equation [26], which has been applied in previous studies [21, 23, 25, 27].

**Statistical Methods**

Data are given as mean with standard deviation. Normal distribution of data was confirmed by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and histogram before further analysis. Normally distributed data were compared by unpaired Student t tests. For not normally dis-
tributed parameters, the nonparametric test (Mann-Whitney U test) was used for further analysis. Covariance analyses were performed using univariate linear analysis. Adjustments were made for age since significant difference between the groups were noted. Two-tailed values of \( p < 0.05 \) were considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 22 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

### Results

**Study Population**

The clinical characteristics of individuals annoyed and not annoyed to noise are summarized in Table 1. Noise annoyance was graded from 1 to 7, and the median of all rankings was 4 (interquartile range 1). The noise-annoyed study cohort comprised 58 male individuals aged 34 ± 9.7 years, and the non-noise-annoyed study cohort comprised 55 male individuals aged 29.9 ± 9.5 years. Both systolic and diastolic office BP were not significantly different between the groups. The estimated GFR based on creatinine and cystatin C was similar in both groups.

**Renal Hemodynamic Parameters**

Noise-annoyed individuals showed lower RPF (599 ± 106 vs. 663 ± 124 mL/min, \( p = 0.009 \); Fig. 1), lower renal blood flow (1,068 ± 203 vs. 1,172 ± 225 mL/min, \( p = 0.047 \)), higher filtration fraction (22.7 ± 3.3 vs. 21.3 ± 3.0, \( p = 0.012 \)), higher renal vascular resistance (88.9 ± 25.6 vs. 75.8 ± 22.9 mm Hg/[mL/min], \( p = 0.002 \); Fig. 2), and

### Table 1. Clinical characteristics of study populations

|                      | Non-noise-annoyed individuals (n = 55) | Noise-annoyed individuals (n = 58) | \( p \) value |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|
| Age, years           | 29.9±9.5                              | 34±9.7                             | 0.023        |
| BMI, kg/m²           | 24.3±3.9                              | 25.3±3.5                           | 0.078        |
| Systolic BP, mm Hg   | 129.4±12.2                            | 132.8±13.2                         | 0.154        |
| Diastolic BP, mm Hg  | 77.2±10.7                             | 79.9±10.8                          | 0.178        |
| Mean BP, mm Hg       | 94.6±10.3                             | 97.5±10.2                          | 0.125        |
| HR, bpm              | 66.8±9.2                              | 70.6±10.5                          | 0.039        |
| Serum creatinine, mg/dL | 0.95±0.12                          | 0.93±0.12                          | 0.404        |
| eGFR (CKD-EPI), mL/min per 1.73 m² | 106.2±14.4                      | 105.2±14.6                         | 0.700        |
| Serum cystatin C, mg/L | 0.77±0.07                          | 0.77±0.14                          | 0.513        |
| eGFR (cystatin C), mL/min per 1.73 m² | 109.5±12.5                      | 105.8±15                           | 0.161        |
| BUN, mg/dL           | 33±8.7                                | 32.3±7                             | 0.632        |
| Serum potassium, mmol/L | 4.2±0.4                             | 4.2±0.3                            | 0.815        |
| Serum sodium, mmol/L | 138.4±1.4                             | 138.4±1.4                          | 0.852        |
| Serum total cholesterol, mg/dL | 185.1±40.1                      | 191.9±48.6                         | 0.419        |
| Serum LDL-cholesterol, mg/dL | 119.5±31.7                       | 126.2±39.5                         | 0.321        |
| Serum HDL-cholesterol, mg/dL | 52.3±11.6                          | 49.9±10.5                          | 0.260        |
| HbA1c, %             | 5.2±0.3                               | 5.2±0.3                            | 0.437        |
| Uric acid, mg/dL     | 6.1±0.9                               | 6.0±1.2                            | 0.593        |
| Hematocrit, %        | 44±2.4                                | 44±2.6                             | 0.931        |

Data are given as mean ± SD. BP, blood pressure; HR, heart rate; bpm, beats per minute; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; LDL, low-density lipids; HDL, high-density lipids.

![Fig. 1. Comparison of RPF between non-noise-annoyed and noise-annoyed individuals. The first boxplot shows RPF of individuals not annoyed to noise, and the second boxplot shows RPF of individuals annoyed to noise. Raw \( p \) value = 0.009; age-adjusted \( p \) value = 0.020. RPF, renal plasma flow.](image-url)
higher resistance of afferent arteriole (2,439.5 ± 1,253.4 vs. 1,849.9 ± 1,242.0 dyn s⁻¹ cm⁻⁵, p = 0.001) compared to non-noise-annoyed individuals. There was no difference in GFR (133 ± 12 vs. 138 ± 15 mL/min, p = 0.181), resistance of efferent arteriole (2,419.4 ± 472.2 vs. 2,245.8 ± 370.3 dyn s⁻¹ cm⁻⁵, p = 0.060), and intraglomerular pressure (64.0 ± 3.1 vs. 64.6 ± 3.5 mm Hg, p = 0.298) between noise-annoyed and non-noise-annoyed individuals.

After adjusting for age (Table 2), RPF (adjusted p = 0.020), renal blood flow (adjusted p = 0.045), and renal vascular resistance (adjusted p = 0.037) remained significantly different between the groups. A trend in increase of resistance of afferent arteriole (adjusted p = 0.097) and filtration fraction (adjusted p = 0.060) was detected. There was no difference with respect to resistance of efferent arteriole (adjusted p = 0.128).

We found a correlation between RPF (r = 0.188, p = 0.046) and subjective grading of noise annoyance for the entire cohort. Similar correlations have been observed with respect to filtration fraction (r = −0.202, p = 0.032), renal vascular resistance (r = −0.247, p = 0.009; Fig. 3), and resistance of afferent arteriole (r = −0.287, p = 0.002; Fig. 3). This correlation has not been found for GFR, renal blood flow, intraglomerular pressure, and efferent renal resistance.

Discussion

The burden of noise on health is well described [11]. However, data regarding the effect of noise annoyance on health, in particular on the kidneys, are scarce. To the best of our knowledge, no data are available analyzing the renal hemodynamic profile in detail in individuals annoyed to noise. We measured renal perfusion and glomerular function by applying the steady-state input clearance technique, considered to be the gold standard. The main novel finding of this study is that noise annoyance is associated with changes in renal hemodynamics (Fig. 4).

We found a significant difference in RPF and renal blood flow without any change in GFR between individuals annoyed to noise. This difference in flow may be attributed to higher renal vascular resistance predominantly at the afferent site, since renal vascular resistance and renal afferent resistance correlated closely with the level of noise annoyance.

Shih-Ho Lue et al. [28] observed a lower estimated GFR in patients living closer to a major roadway than in patients living farther away. The renal function decreased almost exponentially with increasing residential proximity to the major roadway. The study population comprised patients hospitalized with acute ischemic stroke. We found no reduction in measured GFR in our individuals annoyed to noise. The difference in study population might account for this discrepancy. Our study cohort comprised younger individuals with a mean age of 34 years without any evidence of severe end-organ damage. In accordance with our results, another study described a lack of association between global noise annoyance and estimated GFR in patients with CVD [29]. A reduction in RPF is noticed at early stages of various CVDs, including arterial hypertension [30, 31] with consequent reduction in GFR in the course of the disease [32]. We therefore hypothesize that noise annoyance in the early stage leads to increased renal afferent resistance and reduced renal perfusion, as evidenced in our cross-sectional study that translates to CKD at later stages of regular noise annoyance [33]. This should be verified in future longitudinal studies.

Renal autoregulatory mechanisms, including myogenic response of smooth muscles in response to stretching force, play a crucial role to maintain intraglomerular filtration pressure and to keep glomerular injury at minimum in CVDs [34]. This mechanism might not explain the reduced RPF in our noise-annoyed individuals, since both systolic and diastolic BP were not different between the groups. Increased activity of the sympathetic nervous system might be another mechanism by which noise-induced stress triggers renal hemodynamic changes. A
A number of studies have described increased sympathetic nerve activity responsiveness to stress in humans [35]. In rabbits exposed to noise stress, an increase in renal sympathetic nerve activity associated with a significant mean reduction in renal blood flow has been observed [36]. In support to the involvement of this pathophysiological mechanism, heart rate, sometimes used as a surrogate marker of sympathetic overdrive, was found to be elevated in our individuals annoyed to noise [37]. Similarly, higher heart rate response and extensive coronary arteriosclerosis could be demonstrated in monkeys exposed to a stressful situation [38].

Stress-induced sympathetic activation escalates renin-angiotensin-aldosterone-system activity of the juxtaglomerular apparatus in the kidneys [39]. Noise-induced increase in angiotensin II levels has been described in mice [40]. Renal actions of angiotensin II mediated by the AT1 receptor include increased afferent and efferent arteriolar vasoconstriction, with increased effect reported on efferent arterioles, constriction of other renal vessels, including the arcuate and interlobular arteries and vasa recta, and then leading to reduced renal blood flow, which are extensively described [41, 42]. However, in microcirculatory experiments, renal sympathetic stimulation did not

### Table 2. Renal hemodynamic parameters

| Renal parameters | Non-noise-annoyed individuals | Noise-annoyed individuals | Age-adjusted p value |
|------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
| RPF, mL/min      | 663±124                       | 599±106                    | 0.020                |
| Renal blood flow, mL/min | 1,172±225                   | 1,068±203                   | 0.045                |
| GFR, mL/min      | 138±15                        | 133±12                      | 0.122                |
| GFR, mL/min per 1.73 m² | 120±19                       | 114±16                      | 0.209                |
| Filtration fraction | 21.3±3.0                     | 22.7±3.3                   | 0.060                |
| Renal vascular resistance, mm Hg/(mL/min) | 75.8±22.9                   | 88.9±25.6                   | 0.037                |
| RA, dyn s⁻¹ cm⁻⁵ | 1,849.9±1,242                 | 2,439.5±1,253.4             | 0.097                |
| RE, dyn s⁻¹ cm⁻⁵ | 2,245.8±370.3                 | 2,419.4±472.2               | 0.128                |
| Intraglomerular pressure, mm Hg | 64.6±3.5                    | 64.0±3.1                   | 0.486                |

Data are given as mean ± SD. RPF, renal plasma flow; GFR, glomerular filtration rate (measured by inulin clearance); RA, resistance of afferent arteriole; RE, resistance of efferent arteriole.

### Fig. 3. Relationship between renal vascular resistance, resistance of afferent arteriole, and perceived noise annoyance ranked on a 7-grade Likert scale (1 = very much annoyed, 2 = much annoyed, 3 = clearly annoyed, 4 = moderately annoyed, 5 = a little annoyed, 6 = very little annoyed, and 7 = not annoyed). Renal vascular resistance: \( r = −0.247, p \text{ value} = 0.009 \); resistance of afferent arteriole: \( r = −0.287, p \text{ value} = 0.002 \).
induce any constriction of efferent renal arterioles [43]. Similarly, we found no difference in renal efferent resistance between the groups. The higher renal vascular resistance found in the noise-annoyed individuals of our study is attributed to some extent to the higher renal afferent resistance and renal vessels other than the efferent arteriole. Angiotensin II-stimulated increase of endothelium-derived nitric oxide might have possibly buffered the vasoconstrictor actions of angiotensin II on renal afferent arterioles [44].

A country-wide meta-analysis showed that perceived stress is associated with daily smoking [45]. In a controlled study, Ritz et al. [46] demonstrated the effect of smoking on autonomous nervous system and renal hemodynamics. Smoking increased heart rate and renal vascular resistance in smokers similar to our individuals annoyed to noise. Similarly, an increase in renal sympathetic nerve activity and a reduction in renal blood flow have been demonstrated in rabbits by administration of cigarette smoke [36]. However, the entire cohort of our study were nonsmokers, and thus smoking was not a confounder of our study results.

One of the limitations of the study is that we did not measure the level of stress hormones in our study cohort. Besides the activation of the autonomic nervous system, the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis is another prominent feature of a stress response [14]. Measurement of stress hormones such as cortisol might have helped us further to explain the mechanisms behind the renal hemodynamic changes [47]. However, it has been demonstrated that intrarenal administration of cortisol had no effect on renal blood flow [48]. Another limitation is that we analyzed noise annoyance using a 7-grade Likert scale. The analysis of chronic mental stress is complex and difficult. The use of a more complex questionnaire including frequency of annoyed noise exposure, source of annoyed noise, noise level causing annoyance, and analysis of other environmental and nonenvironmental stress factors causing annoyance might have helped to better characterize the perceived burden of stress in our cohort. Thirdly, the parameters intraglomerular pressure and resistance of the afferent and efferent arteriole were not measured, but calculated based on the Gomez equations, since the human renal microcirculation cannot be assessed directly. However, the Gomez calculations have been repeatedly applied and appear to be reliable in humans with and without renal disease [22, 27]. Finally, the observational nature of our study does not allow us to definitely establish the direction of the link between noise annoyance and renal hemodynamic changes.

**Conclusion**

In this study, noise annoyance was associated with reduced renal perfusion attributed to increased renal vascular resistance. This prompts speculations if this novel mechanistic insight would determine environmental stressors as causative agents for many CKDs of unknown origin. However, it should be investigated in future longitudinal clinical trials, if this pathophysiological change in the kidneys progresses to CKD. Our study might raise the awareness to...
environmental stressors, promote further research in this field, and consequently lead to the development of different measures to reduce the extent of noise pollution.
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