Introgression of the SbASR-1 Gene Cloned from a Halophyte *Salicornia brachiata* Enhances Salinity and Drought Endurance in Transgenic Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) and Acts as a Transcription Factor
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Abstract

The SbASR-1 gene, cloned from a halophyte *Salicornia brachiata*, encodes a plant-specific hydrophilic and stress responsive protein. The genome of *S. brachiata* has two paralogs of the SbASR-1 gene (2549 bp), which is comprised of a single intron of 1611 bp, the largest intron of the abscisic acid stress ripening [ASR] gene family yet reported. *In silico* analysis of the 843-bp putative promoter revealed the presence of ABA, biotic stress, dehydration, phytohormone, salinity, and sugar responsive *cis*-regulatory motifs. The SbASR-1 protein belongs to Group 7 LEA protein family with different amino acid composition compared to their glycophytic homologs. Bipartite Nuclear Localization Signal (NLS) was found on the C-terminal end of protein and localization study confirmed that SbASR-1 is a nuclear protein.

Furthermore, transgenic groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) plants over-expressing the SbASR-1 gene constitutively showed enhanced salinity and drought stress tolerance in the T1 generation. Leaves of transgenic lines exhibited higher chlorophyll and relative water contents and lower electrolyte leakage, malondialdehyde content, proline, sugars, and starch accumulation under stress treatments than wild-type (Wt) plants. Also, lower accumulation of H₂O₂ and O₂⁻⁻ radicals was detected in transgenic lines compared to Wt plants under stress conditions. Transcript expression of APX (ascorbate peroxidase) and CAT (catalase) genes were higher in Wt plants, whereas the SOD (superoxide dismutase) transcripts were higher in transgenic lines under stress. Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) confirmed that the SbASR-1 protein binds at the consensus sequence (C/G/A)/(G/T)CC(C/G)(C/G/A)/(A/T). Based on results of the present study, it may be concluded that SbASR-1 enhances the salinity and drought stress tolerance in transgenic groundnut by functioning as a LEA (late embryogenesis abundant) protein and a transcription factor.
Introduction

Abscisic acid stress ripening-1 (ASR-1) genes are stress and developmentally regulated plant-specific genes, first reported in tomato [1]. There are a number of paralogs of the ASR gene family in different plant groups [2]. The maize ASR gene family is the largest family found so far, and includes nine members, among which ZmASR-1 is the most abundantly expressed gene [3]. ASR-1 gene transcript expression has been reported to be induced by several abiotic and biotic factors [4]. The VvMSA (grape ASR protein) is involved in the regulation of the cellular glucose level through ABA and the glucose signaling pathway via the VvHXK1 and SnRK1 proteins [5]. The role of the ASR-1 protein in the regulation of expression of sugar transporters and in the mobilization of sugar from the leaf to non-photosynthetic organs has been unveiled recently [4]. A separate group of LEA proteins—Group 7—was suggested exclusively for ASR proteins, based on conserved motifs present in the primary amino acid sequence of the protein [6]. The ASR-1 protein is found in an unstructured form in the cytosol and protects cytosolic proteins [7], whereas grape VvMSA and SlASR-1 proteins were reported to function as a transcription factor when localized to the nucleus [8–9]. Also, a substantial antioxidant property was shown by in vitro studies of GmASR and OsASR-1 proteins [10–11]. Overexpression of ASR-1 genes in different plant species showed increased tolerance to oxidative, salinity and dehydration stress [12–14]. These reports suggest that ASR-1 genes are potential candidates for genetic engineering of crops for better stress tolerance.

Halophytes are able to survive in the extreme environmental conditions due to their unique adaptation of tissue tolerance mechanisms. They complete their life cycle optimally at NaCl concentration of 200 mM in the soil and have ability to tolerate many fold higher concentration [15]. Halophytes, Thellungiella halophila and Porteresia coarctata displayed higher constitutive expression of several stress responsive genes and lower metabolic disturbances compared to their glycophytic relatives Arabidopsis thaliana and rice, respectively in a comparative proteomic analysis [16]. Ion transporters are more efficient in sequestration or extrusion of toxic ions than that of glycophytes. Moreover, toxic Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions are used as energetically cheap osmoticum to avoid diversion of extra energy to synthesize any osmolyte for maintaining the cellular osmotic balance with surrounding environments under moderately saline conditions [17]. Halophytes developed different composition of lipids, amino acid residues in proteins and free amino acids than that of glycophytes. The tonoplast of Suaeda maritima is abundant with highly saturated fatty acids and accumulated 30% cholesterol to avoid leakage of sequestered ions from vacuole to cytoplasm [17]. The negatively charged acidic amino acids glutamate and aspartate are most abundant in halophytes of Chenopodiaceae and Aizoaceae family. These amino acids are known to be accumulated in salinity stress conditions and act as osmoregulator [18].

Halophytes belong to half of the higher plant families, but contribute only 1% of the total plants species. The Chenopodiaceae or Amaranthaceae family constitutes highest number (total 281) of halophyte species and Salicornia brachiata Roxb (Amaranthaceae) is a leafless succulent annual halophyte that grows along coastal marshes. The plant has the capability to grow in a wide range of salt concentrations (0.1–2.0 M), accumulates salt up to 40% of its dry weight, and requires NaCl essentially for its in vitro regeneration [19]. Furthermore, the plants contain sulfur-rich seed storage proteins [20], unique oligosaccharides, and metabolites [21–22], and have proven to be a source of potential stress responsive genes for developing stress-tolerant transgenic plants [23–30]. Previously, the SbASR-1 gene was cloned from S. brachiata and characterized by overexpressing in transgenic tobacco under salinity stress [12]. Groundnut or peanut (Arachis hypogaea) is an important cash crop with high nutritional value and many industrial uses. Groundnut is cultivated in the arid and semiarid region, and its
productivity is challenged by numerous abiotic stresses [31]. Among these abiotic stresses, salinity, drought, and heat are the major factors, limiting the productivity of groundnut [32]. High salinity adversely affects the groundnut productivity by reducing the seed germination, growth, dry matter synthesis, and mineral uptake [33]. Drought stress alone contributes to approximately 70% loss of peanut productivity worldwide [34]. It has been estimated that the annual loss in groundnut production due to drought stress was over US $520 million [35].

Genetic engineering approaches for crop improvement are comparatively faster than the classical breeding program, including cloning of genes responsible for important traits and introgression into crop plants to develop transgenics [36–40]. However, an efficient regeneration and genetic transformation protocol is a key step for the biotechnological program of any crop [41–43]. In recent years, there have been several reports on genetic engineering of groundnut for the improvement of tolerance against several stresses. Genetic transformation of groundnut with AtAVP1, AtNHX1, PDH45, and SbpAPX genes improved the biomass production, photosynthetic rates, and tolerance towards salinity and drought stress [28, 44–46]. An improved yield potential was observed in transgenic groundnut overexpressing the AtNAC2 gene compared to wild-type (Wt) plants under drought and salinity stress conditions [47]. Similarly, it has been reported that overexpression of the IPT gene under the control of a maturation- and stress-inducible promoter, improves the drought tolerance, and produces 51–65% higher yield of groundnut in field conditions without affecting the oil quality [48].

In the present study, the cis-regulatory motifs were identified in the promoter region of the SbASR-1 gene. The genomic organization of the SbASR-1 gene and the copy number were determined. A local groundnut cultivar GG-20 was transformed with the SbASR-1 gene to enhance the salinity and drought stress tolerance of the plant. This study is the first report on the cis-regulation, genomic organization, and copy number of a halophytic ASR-1 gene and the functional characterization in a crop plant. Analysis of the T1 transgenic lines confirmed the enhanced tolerance of plants against salinity and drought stress.

**Materials and Methods**

**Isolation of the SbASR-1 promoter region and in silico analysis**

The gene-specific primers (S1 Table) were designed from the cDNA sequence of the SbASR-1 gene (accession no.: EU746399), and the promoter region was isolated using the genome-walking technique [25]. The putative promoter was cloned and sequenced (accession no.: KM462537). In silico analysis was performed for the presence of cis-regulatory elements using the online program PLACE [49].

Disorder promoting amino acids were identified [7] and their percent composition in SbASR-1 protein was determined by BioEdit software (ver. 7.0.7.1). The N-myristoylation sites and characteristic conserved domain of ASR family were predicted through online server PROSCAN (PROSITE SCAN) and InterPro (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/pfa/iprscan/), respectively.

**Genomic organization and copy number of SbASR-1**

The SbASR-1 gene was amplified using genomic DNA (100 ng) as the template and the primer pair ARSR-ASRR (S1 Table). The amplicons were cloned, sequenced, and submitted to NCBI (accession no.: KM462537). The exon and intron regions were detected by comparing the genomic sequence of the gene with the cDNA sequence (EU746399). The copy number of the SbASR gene was determined by Southern hybridization. About 25 μg of genomic DNA was digested with EcoRI, HindIII, or XbaI, then separated on a 0.7% (w/v) agarose gel, and blotted onto a Hybond (N+) membrane (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA).
Hybridization was performed with an ASR gene probe of 368 bp, which was prepared from the cDNA sequence of *SbASR-1* using the PCR DIG Probe Synthesis kit (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). The detection of hybridized DNA was performed using the CDP-Star detection kit (Roche, Switzerland). The developed X-ray films were scanned with a Densitometer (model GS-800, Bio-Rad, Hercules, California, USA).

**Heterologous expression of SbASR-1 protein**

The coding sequence of the *SbASR-1* gene was cloned into the pET28a expression vector using the primer pair PARF-PARR ([S1 Table](#)), and was confirmed by sequencing. The cloned plasmid was transformed into *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) cells. Recombinant protein expression was induced by adding 1 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) when the culture turbidity reached 0.6 OD at 600 nm. The optimal time required for a sufficient level of protein expression after induction was determined on sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). The *SbASR-1* protein was purified using QIAexpress Ni-NTA Fast Start kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following manufacturer’s protocol. The purity and size of the protein was evaluated by 12.5% SDS-PAGE.

**Subcellular localization of a SbASR-1:RFP translational fusion protein**

The full length *SbASR-1* cDNA was amplified with gene specific primers ASRLoF-ASRLoR ([S1 Table](#)) using *Pfx* DNA polymerase. The blunt end PCR product (*SbASR-1* gene) was cloned into *attL*-containing pENTER/D-TOPO entry vector (Invitrogen, USA) and confirmed by sequencing. The recombinant vector *pENTER:SbASR-1* was subjected for the LR recombination with *attR*-containing destination vector pSITE-4CA using LR Clonase II enzyme mix (Invitrogen, USA). Reading frame of fusion gene construct (*RFP:SbASR-1*) was confirmed by sequencing, thereafter transformed into onion epidermal cells by particle bombardment (PDS-1000/He Biolistic, Biorad, USA). The pSITE-4CA vector containing RFP was used as control. Transformed onion epidermal cells were stained with DAPI (for nuclear staining) after incubating for 24 h on MS medium. Sub-cellular localization analysis was performed by observing the site of transient expression of RFP under different filters using an epifluorescence microscope (Axio Imager, Carl Zeiss AG, Germany).

**SbASR-1 protein binding with DNA probe**

The DNA-binding property of the *SbASR-1* protein was studied using the electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA). Eight DNA probes (ARBS-1 to ARBS-8; [S1 Fig](#)) were designed from small fragments of DNA probes, as used by Rom *et al.* [50] and and Ricardi *et al.* [9], with a modified consensus binding site. ARBS-1 to ARBS-4 had consensus binding sites as reported by Rom *et al.* [50], whereas the remaining four probes (ARBS-5 to ARBS-8) had consensus binding sites as suggested by Ricardi *et al.* [9]. The probes were prepared by 3’-end-labeling using the DIG-11-ddUTP labeling kit (Roche, Switzerland). The binding was performed at room temperature for 30 min in HEPES-KOH buffer (20 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.6), 30 mM KCl, 1% (v/v) Tween-20, 10 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.01 mg/ml BSA, 10% glycerol, and 5 mM ZnCl₂. The DNA/protein complexes were electrophoresed on 5% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gels at 100 V for 1.5 h at 4°C. The running buffer (0.5X TBE buffer) also contained the same concentration of glycerol and BSA. The complex was transferred onto Nylon Hybond+ membrane by electroblotting and detected using the CDP-Star detection kit (Roche, Switzerland).
Genetic transformation and regeneration of transgenic groundnut plants

The SbASR-1 gene was genetically transformed to the groundnut using SbASR-1:pCAMBIA1301 plant expression gene construct (Fig 1). De-embryonated cotyledon explants were prepared and transformed in different batches (each batch containing approximately 1000 explants) using the Agrobacterium-mediated transformation method [51]. Transformation efficiency was calculated by histochemical GUS assay. Regenerated putative transformed shoot buds were elongated and grafted onto non-transformed stocks [51]. After 3 weeks, new leaves emerged, and plants were acclimatized and transferred to a greenhouse for further growth and seed development.

Confirmation of the transgene integration

The transgene integration into the groundnut genome was confirmed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and Southern hybridization. Total genomic DNA from the hardened T0 transgenic lines and Wt plants were isolated from expanded leaves. The PCR reactions were set to screen for the presence of the SbASR-1 gene using specific primer pairs ASRF-ASRR (S1 Table). Each PCR reaction was performed in 25 μl of the reaction volume with 1x reaction buffer supplemented with 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 5 pmol of each primer, 1.25 units of Taq DNA polymerase, and 200 ng of plant genomic DNA. The amplicons were electrophoresed on a 1.0% agarose gel, detected by ethidium bromide, and photographed using a Gel Doc system (Bio-Rad, USA).

For Southern blot hybridization analysis, about 10 μg of genomic DNA was digested with HindIII. Digested DNA fragments were blotted and hybridized with DIG-11-dUTP-labeled ASR-1-specific DNA probes, which was synthesized using gene specific primers ASRF-ASRR (S1 Table). Blastn was performed for the probe sequence to check any similarity with the endogenous ASR-1 gene sequence of Arachis hypogaea. The hybridized membrane was developed using CDP-Star as the substrate (Roche, Switzerland), and signals were visualized on X-ray film. The developed X-ray films were scanned with a Densitometer (Bio-Rad, USA).

Transgene expression analysis in the transgenic lines

Total RNA was isolated from leaf tissues of transgenic lines and Wt plants using the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany). The cDNA were prepared using reverse transcriptase (ImProm-II Reverse Transcriptase, Promega, Madison, Wisconsin, USA) and used for PCR containing 100 ng cDNA, 10 pmol of ASR-1 primers ASRF–ASRR or Ah-actin primers AhACTF–AhACTR (S1 Table), 200 μM dNTPs, and 2.5 U Taq DNA polymerase in a 50-μl reaction. The PCR products were analyzed on a 1.5% (w/v) agarose gel.

Transient GUS expression in de-embryonated cotyledon explants (just after co-cultivation) and stable expression in leaves were assessed by using β-Glucuronidase Reporter Gene Staining Kit (Sigma, St. Louis, Missouri, USA). Transformed explants after co-cultivation and leaves of hardened putative transgenic plants were washed with water, blotted, and dipped into the
staining buffer overnight at 37°C in the dark. The tissues were de-stained using 70% (v/v) ethanol, and leaves were photographed.

**Analysis of transgenic plants under stress conditions**

Seeds of transgenic lines and Wt plants were surface sterilized, germinated on wet filter papers for 3 days in the dark, and then transferred to Soilrite (Keltech Energy Ltd., Bengaluru, India). Leaves from all five T1 transgenic lines and Wt plants were screened for GUS expression, and three lines that gave the best expression were selected for further analysis. Leaf discs of Wt plants and three transgenic lines (A1, A3, and A4) of the T1 generation were immersed in ½ MS (Murashige and Skoog) salt solution supplemented with or without 250 mM NaCl or 15% (w/v) PEG-6000 in a 16/8-hour light/dark photoperiod for 1 week in a culture room. Seedlings (7 days old) were used for salinity and drought stress treatments. For salinity stress, 250 mM NaCl solution in water was used for irrigation every other day (for 2 weeks), whereas for drought stress, irrigation was stopped for 2 weeks.

**Leaf senescence and estimation of photosynthetic pigments**

Leaf discs of 5-mm diameter were excised from detached leaves, and six discs of each line in duplicate were used in a 24-well culture plate. The leaf discs were kept under a photoperiod of 16-h white lights (35μmol m-2 s-1) and 8-h dark at 25°C ± 2°C for 7 days. The fresh weight of air-dried leaf discs was recorded, and then the discs were homogenized in 2 ml of chilled N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) on ice in the dark. The homogenates were spun at 3000 g for 10 min, and quantitative estimation of chlorophylls (Chl a, Chl b, and total Chl) and carotenoids was carried out [52–53].

**Relative water content, lipid peroxidation, and electrolyte leakage**

Relative water content (RWC) and electrolyte leakages (EL) were measured using leaf discs (2 cm²) of transgenic lines and Wt plants [12, 54]. Lipid peroxidation was studied by estimating the total malondialdehyde (MDA) content [55].

**Estimation of free proline, and total soluble sugar, reducing sugar, and starch**

Free proline contents were estimated using 100 mg of leaf tissue of transgenic lines and Wt plants. Tissues were homogenized in 3% (w/v) sulfosalicylic acid, spun at 10,000 g for 10 min at 4°C, and the proline content was estimated using ninhydrin reagent [56]. Total soluble sugars and starch contents were estimated using anthrone reagent [57], while reducing sugar was estimated by the DNS method [58].

**In vivo localization of peroxide and superoxide radicals**

Superoxide radicals were detected by immersing leaf samples in NBT solution (1 mg/ml in 10 mM phosphate buffer; pH 7.8), whereas for *in vitro* localization of H₂O₂ leaves were incubated in DAB solution (1 mg/ml in 10 mM phosphate buffer; pH 3.8) at room temperature for 2 h and 6 h, respectively in the dark. Thereafter, samples were exposed to light for the appearance of spots. Pigments were bleached by treating leaf samples with destaining solution (ethanol: acetic acid: glycerol; 3:1:1 v/v) for 15 min at 95°C, and then samples were documented.
Transcript expression analysis of genes encoding antioxidative enzymes

Total RNA of Wt plant and transgenic line A3 was isolated, and cDNAs were prepared using reverse transcriptase. Changes in the transcript expression of APX (ascorbate peroxidase), CAT (catalase), and SOD (superoxide dismutase) genes were studied by quantitative PCR (qPCR) using the QuantiFast SYBR Green PCR reaction kit (Qiagen, Germany). The reaction mixture included 100 ng of cDNA, 0.16 μM of primers in 25 μl of 1X QuantiFast SYBR Green PCR mix. Reactions were run in a Real-Time iQ5 Cycler (Bio-Rad, USA). Along with previously reported primers for APX and SOD [59], new primers were designed for CAT (S1 Table). At the end of the qPCR cycles, the products were put through a melting-curve analysis to determine the specificity of amplification. The fold changes of transcripts were determined by the comparative $2^{-ΔΔCt}$ method [60], and stress-treated plants (transgenic and Wt) were compared with controls (unstressed plants). The Ah-actin gene was used as an internal control to normalize the qPCR reactions.

Statistical analyses

All the experiments were carried out twice with three biological replicates. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed, and significance was determined at $P < 0.05$. Mean values that were significantly different within a treatment from each other are indicated by different letters. The standard deviation (SD) was calculated to show the variation in the replicates, and bars represent the mean values ± SD.

Results

The SbASR-1 gene promoter

The 949-bp upstream region of the SbASR-1 gene was isolated from S. brachiata genomic DNA using the genome-walking method. After alignment with the gene sequence, a fragment of 843 bp upstream from the putative transcription start site (TSS) was identified as the promoter region of the SbASR-1 gene (Fig 2). In silico analysis of the promoter sequences identified a TATA box at 32 bp upstream to the putative TSS, which further confirmed the TSS site. The putative cis-regulatory motifs of the gene were categorized into seven different categories: ABA and dehydration responsive; light responsive; metal responsive; phytohormone responsive; pollen and embryo specific; tissue/organelles specific; and pathogen responsive (Table 1). One identified motif, ABRELATERD1 (ACGTG), is involved in ABA-responsive expression of genes under abiotic stress conditions. The early response to dehydration (erd) motif, ACGTATERD1 (ACGT), was identified at three sites on both strands. The MYB1AT motif, known for the binding of MYB2 and MYC2 transcription factors, was identified at three sites on the plus strand and two sites on the complementary strand. Core binding sites for MYB1 and MYB2 (MYBCORE) were detected at four sites (three on the plus strand, and one on the complementary strand). Four MYB2CONSENSUSAT motifs were also identified in the promoter region. A pathogen- and salt stress-inducible GT-1 motif was identified on the complementary strand. A number of light-responsive motifs, including CIACADIANLELHC (3 repeats), EBOXBNNAPA (8 repeats), GATABOX (8 repeats), GT1CONSENSUS (6 repeats), GT1CORE (2 repeats), IBOXCORE (3 repeats), PRECONSCRHSP70A (1 repeat), SORLIP2AT (8 repeats), and TBOXATGAPB (2 repeats), were found on both plus and complementary strands. Two copper-responsive motifs (CURECORECR) and one zinc-inducible motif WBOXPCWRKY1 were also detected. Numerous embryo-specific (CANBNNAPA, SEF4MOTIFGM7S) and pollen-specific (GTGANTG10, POLLEN1LELAT52) motifs were found in the putative promoter.
It seems that, apart from ABA, other phytohormones also play a crucial role in the regulation of expression of the \textit{SbASR-1} gene. Motifs inducible to phytohormones, such as auxin, cytokinin, gibberellic acid (GA), and salicylic acid (SA), were found in abundance in the \textit{SbASR-1} gene promoter (Table 1). Two A-box motifs were identified, one on each strand. The A-box motif is reported to be involved in sugar metabolism of plants, specifically during sugar repression.

**Genomic organization and copy number of the \textit{SbASR-1} gene**

The \textit{SbASR-1} gene (2549 bp) was comprised of a 5'-UTR (106 bp), an intron of 1611 bp that was flanked by exon I (414 bp) and exon II (195 bp), followed by a 224 bp 3'-UTR region (Fig 2). In Southern blotting, EcoRI-digested DNA gave two intense bands and two light bands (shown in S2 Fig with arrows). Similarly, four intense bands were detected with XbaI-digested DNA. The genomic organization of the \textit{SbASR-1} gene is shown in Fig 2.
DNA; however, the HindIII-digested DNA sample gave only two bands (S2 Fig). Thus, Southern analysis revealed the presence of at least two paralogs of SbASR-1 gene.

Table 1. Different cis-regulatory motifs identified on SbASR-1 promoter by PLACE online program.

| Category                        | Motif                          | Consensus Sequence | Function                                                                 | Number of motifs |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| ABA and dehydration responsive | ABRELATERD1                    | ACGTG              | ABA-responsive element                                                   | 1 (+)            |
|                                 | AGTATERD1                      | AGCT               | Etiolation-induced early responsive to dehydration                      | 3 (+), 3 (-)     |
|                                 | BOXLCOREDCPAPL                | ACCWCC             | Elicitor and UV induced MYB1 binding site                                | 1 (+)            |
|                                 | CCAATBOX1                      | CCAAT              | Heat responsive                                                          | 1 (+)            |
|                                 | GT1GMSCAM4                     | GAAAAAA            | Pathogen and salt inducible GT-1 motif                                   | 1 (-)            |
|                                 | MYB1AT                         | WAACCA             | Drought responsive MYB2 and MYC2 binding site                            | 3 (+), 2 (-)     |
|                                 | MYB2CONSENSUSUSAT              | YAACKG             | Drought responsive MYB2 and MYC2 binding consensus sequence             | 1 (+), 3 (-)     |
|                                 | MYBCORE                        | CNGTTR             | MYB1 and MYB2 protein involved in dehydration and flavonoid biosynthetic pathway responsive | 3 (+), 1 (-)     |
|                                 | MYCCONSENSUSUSAT               | CANNTG             | Binding site of AIMYC2                                                   | 4 (+), 4 (-)     |
|                                 | CIACADIANELHC                  | CAANNNNATC         | Circadian expression, light responsive                                   | 1 (+), 2 (-)     |
|                                 | EBOX2NNAPA                     | CANNTG             | Light and tissue specific expression                                    | 4 (+), 4 (-)     |
|                                 | GATABOX                        | GATA               | Light and tissue specific expression                                    | 6 (+), 2 (-)     |
|                                 | GT1CONSENSUSUS                  | GRWAAW             | Light responsive                                                         | 3 (+), 3 (-)     |
|                                 | GT1CORE                        | GGTGTA             | Light responsive                                                         | 2 (+)            |
|                                 | IBOXCORE                       | GATAAA             | Light responsive                                                         | 2 (+), 1 (-)     |
|                                 | PRECONSCRHSP70A                | SCGA(AY)N(N)15HD   | Plastid response element, light and MgProto inducible                    | 1 (+)            |
|                                 | SORLIP2AT                      | GGGGCC             | Light and phytochromeA inducible gene expression                         | 2 (+), 6 (-)     |
|                                 | TBOXATGATPB                    | ACTTTG             | T-BOX, light inducible                                                   | 2 (-)            |
| Light responsive                | CURECORECR                     | GTACT              | Copper responsive elements                                               | 1 (+), 1 (-)     |
|                                 | WBOXPCWRKY1                     | TTTGACY            | Elicitor and zinc dependent WRKY binding sites                           | 1 (-)            |
|                                 | ARR1AT                         | NGATT              | Binding site of cytokinin regulated transcription factor ARR1            | 4 (+), 4 (-)     |
|                                 | ASF1MOTIFCAMV                   | TGACG              | binding site of ASF-1, transcriptional activation in auxin and salicylic acid responses | 1 (-)            |
| Metal responsive                | CACCGAATGMGH3                  | CACGCAAT           | Auxin responsive, constitutive expression                                | 1 (+)            |
|                                 | CATATGGMSAUR                   | CATATG             | Auxin inducible expression                                               | 1 (+), 1 (-)     |
|                                 | WBOXATNPR1                     | TTGAC              | W-box, Salicylic acid inducible binding of WRKY                          | 2 (-)            |
|                                 | WRKY71OS                       | TGAC               | W-box core sequence, binding site of WRKY71, transcriptional repressor of gibberellin signalling pathway | 1 (+), 2 (-)     |
| Phytohormone responsive         | CANBNNAPA                      | CNAACAC            | Embryo and endosperm specific expression                                 | 1 (-)            |
|                                 | GTGANTG10                      | GTGA               | Late pollen gene specific GTGA motif                                     | 2 (-)            |
|                                 | POLLEN1LELAT52                 | AGAAA              | Pollen specific expression                                               | 6 (+)            |
|                                 | SEF4MOTIFGM75S                 | RTTTTTR            | Soybean embryo factor (SEF-4) binding site                              | 2 (+), 2 (-)     |
|                                 | BOXIINTPATPB                   | ATAGAA             | Box II elements found in few plastid gene promoters                     | 1 (+)            |
| Pollen and embryos specific     | CANBNNAPA                      | CNAACAC            | Embryo and endosperm specific expression                                 | 1 (-)            |
|                                 | GTGANTG10                      | GTGA               | Late pollen gene specific GTGA motif                                     | 2 (-)            |
|                                 | POLLEN1LELAT52                 | AGAAA              | Pollen specific expression                                               | 6 (+)            |
|                                 | SEF4MOTIFGM75S                 | RTTTTTR            | Soybean embryo factor (SEF-4) binding site                              | 2 (+), 2 (-)     |
| Tissue/ organelles specific     | CACTFPPCA                      | YACT               | Mesophyll specific expression in C4 plants                               | 7 (+), 10 (-)    |
| expression                      | L1BOXATPDF1                    | TAAATGYA           | HDZip and epidermal layer specific MYB binding site                      | 1 (-)            |
|                                 | TAAAGSTKST1                    | TAAAG              | Guard cell specific gene expression of Dof1                              | 4 (+), 1(-)      |
| Sugar responsive                | AGTABOX                        | TACGTA             | A-Box, responsible for sugar repression                                  | 1 (+), 1 (-)     |
| Pathogen responsive            | BIHD1OS                        | TGTCGA             | binding site for bell homeodomain transcription factor, in disease resistance response | 1 (-)            |
In silico analysis of SbASR-1 protein sequence

A special Group 7 of LEA protein was proposed exclusively for ASR-1 proteins based on conserved motifs. Out of five conserved motifs (m-1 to m-5) described for this group, the SbASR-1 contains four motifs, m-1 to m-3, and m-5 arranged in an order of 3-1-2-5 (S3 Fig). However, only first three motifs (1, 2, and 3) are essential for membership of this group. Presence of these motifs suggests that SbASR-1 is a Group 7 LEA protein. Comparative in silico analysis of the primary amino acid sequence of SbASR-1 and other selected ASR-1 proteins exhibited variation in amino acid composition of SbASR-1. The SbASR-1 protein has higher percent composition of glycine residue (25.25%), percent of disorder promoting amino acid residues (66.3%) and predicted N-myristoylation sites (29 sites) than that of other glycophyte ASR-1 proteins (Table 2). However SbASR-1 protein did not show much variation with another halophytic SlASR-1 (Suaeda liaotungensis) protein.

Table 2. Comparative analysis of SbASR-1 protein primary amino acid sequence with its homologs.

| ASR-1            | Protein accession | No. of amino acids | Disorder promoting amino acids (%) | Glycine content (%) | N-terminal His-rich domain | N-Myristoylationsite |
|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Salicornia brachiata | ACI15208          | 202                | 66.3                              | 25.25              | No                        | 29                   |
| Suaeda liaotungensis | AGZ20206          | 237                | 70.89                             | 24.47              | No                        | 26                   |
| Oryza sativa     | AAB96681          | 138                | 49.2                              | 9.42               | Yes                       | 2                    |
| Citrus maxima    | AAA82741          | 98                 | 64.28                             | 11.22              | No                        | 4                    |
| Solanum lycopersicum | AAY97998         | 110                | 59.09                             | 8.18               | Yes                       | 1                    |
| Vitis vinifera   | AAZ93634          | 149                | 54.36                             | 6.04               | Yes                       | 1                    |
| Solanum lycopersicum | AAB64185         | 115                | 58.26                             | 6.96               | Yes                       | 1                    |
| Lilium longiflorum | AAMS1877         | 142                | 54.93                             | 7.75               | Yes                       | 2                    |
| Solanum tuberosum | AAD00254         | 109                | 59.63                             | 8.26               | Yes                       | 1                    |
| Cucumis melo     | AAL27560          | 112                | 58.03                             | 7.14               | Yes                       | 1                    |
| Ricinus communis | XP_002524296     | 141                | 58.86                             | 5.67               | Yes                       | 1                    |
| Solanum cheesmaniae | AAY97997         | 111                | 58.56                             | 8.11               | Yes                       | 1                    |
| Solanum lycopersicum | AAA34137         | 115                | 58.26                             | 6.96               | Yes                       | 1                    |
| Solanum chilense | AAY98001          | 110                | 59.01                             | 8.18               | Yes                       | 1                    |
| Solanum cornelioiemi | AAY98002        | 110                | 59.09                             | 8.18               | Yes                       | 1                    |
| Lycopersicon peruvianum | AAY98000  | 110                | 59.09                             | 8.18               | Yes                       | 1                    |
| Solanum habrochaites | AAY97999         | 110                | 59.09                             | 8.18               | Yes                       | 1                    |
| Ginkgo biloba    | AAR23420          | 181                | 55.25                             | 12.71              | Yes                       | 7                    |
| Vitis pseudoreticulata | ABC86744      | 149                | 54.36                             | 6.71               | Yes                       | 1                    |
| Musa acuminata   | ACL68147          | 146                | 60.27                             | 6.85               | Yes                       | 2                    |
| Hevea brasiliensis | AAP46155         | 108                | 61.11                             | 9.26               | Yes                       | 1                    |
| Musa acuminata   | ACZ60128          | 143                | 58.74                             | 6.99               | Yes                       | 1                    |
| Prunus armeniaca | AAB97140          | 200                | 64.5                              | 21.5               | Yes                       | 1                    |
| Prunus mume      | BA194530          | 205                | 64.39                             | 22.44              | Yes                       | 14                   |
| Prunus persica   | AAL26889          | 193                | 63.73                             | 19.17              | Yes                       | 10                   |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0131567.t002
Heterologous expression of the SbASR-1 protein

SbASR-1 protein expression in the E. coli BL21 strain was induced by addition of 1 mM IPTG to the bacterial culture at the log-phase stage. The optimum time required for an efficient level of expression was found to be 6 h after induction (S4 Fig). Following optimization, protein was expressed in a 250-ml culture, and was purified using a Ni-NTA column (Qiagen, Germany). The purified protein had a size of approximately 32–34 kDa (S5 Fig), which is greater than the theoretical molecular mass of the SbASR-1 protein (21.01 kDa). However, elute 1 and elute 2 (E1 and E2) had several non-specific bands, which subsequently disappeared in E3 to E5.

Subcellular localization of RFP:SbASR-1 fusion protein

In silico analysis of SbASR-1 protein sequence showed the presence of a putative bipartite nuclear localization signal at C-terminal region. Furthermore, the subcellular localization study, performed with RFP:SbASR-1 fusion construct confirmed that SbASR-1 is a nuclear protein (Fig 3). Transient RFP:SbASR-1 expression was aligned with nucleus of transformed onion epidermal cells. In contrast, evenly distributed red fluorescence signals were observed in the entire cell region of the onion cell transformed with RFP alone.

Binding of SbASR-1 to DNA

All eight DNA probes (ARBS-1 to ARBS-8) used in DNA/protein interaction screening study (S1 Fig), showed clear shifting of band (S6 Fig). Further, EMSA was reported with a probe ARBS-8 in the presence of non-labeled specific competitors, which confirmed the specificity of the band shifting, appeared due to binding of SbASR-1 with probe (Fig 4). Binding of SbASR-1...
with the ARBS-8 probe suggests that SbASR-1 acts as a transcription factor and binds with a consensus (C/G/A)(G/T)CC(C/G)(C/G/A)(A/T) sequence (Fig 4; S1 and S6 Figs).

**Genetic transformation and regeneration of transgenic plants**

After transformation, explants were regenerated (14.65±1.06% efficiency) on SM-3 media (Fig 5A–5C). After three rounds of selection on SLM-3 media, 3.82±0.6% explants survived (Fig 5D and 5E), whereas non-transformed explants died after the 2nd sub-culturing. Finally, an average of 37.92±1.5% hygromycin-resistant shoots was obtained relative to regenerated explants. Elongated shoots (Fig 5F) of 2–3 cm were then grafted onto 1-week-old non-transformed stocks, and after 21 days, new leaves emerged from the grafted scions (Fig 5G–5J). Putative transgenic plants were acclimatized under laboratory conditions and subjected to molecular confirmation. Positive transgenic lines were transferred to a greenhouse for further growth, and seeds were harvested after maturation (Fig 5K–5Q).

**Molecular confirmation and transgene overexpression**

Acclimatized putative transgenic lines were confirmed for the stable integration of the transgene into the groundnut genome by PCR and Southern hybridization. Amplification of the partial length of the SbASR-1 gene (368 bp) was observed in all five transgenic lines and the positive control, while amplification was not detected in Wt plants (S7 Fig).
Stable integration of the transgene into the genome of all five transgenic lines was confirmed by Southern hybridization using a SbASR-1 gene-specific probe (S7 Fig). All five transgenic lines showed a band of more than 4.36 kb, confirming single-copy integration of T-DNA into the groundnut genome (S7 Fig). Line A1 and A2 showed a hybridization signal at same position. Similarly, lines A4 and A5 also showed bands at the same position (as each other). The DNA from the Wt plants did not show any hybridization. Blastn analysis of SbASR-1 probe sequence used in Southern hybridization experiment did not show any similarity with the Arachis hypogaea (S2 Table). It confirmed that the bands appeared in the Southern hybridization experiment is due to hybridization of probe with the transgene integrated into the genome.

Normal expression of the transgene was confirmed by reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR) in transgenic lines at the transcript level (Fig 6A), and at the protein level by GUS histochemical assay (Fig 6B). PCR amplification of the SbASR-1 gene using cDNA as a template amplified a 368-bp product in all transgenic lines, but there was no such product in Wt plants. Stringency of the reaction was checked by amplifying the Ah-actin gene using the same cDNA and PCR conditions. An amplicon of 174 bp was obtained in all transgenic lines and the Wt plants (Fig 6A). RT-PCR further confirms the stable integration and expression of the transgene.

Analysis of T1 transgenic lines

Seeds from the T0 transgenic lines were harvested from the greenhouse, sterilized, and germinated under laboratory conditions along with the Wt seeds. The transgenic lines were screened
using the histochemical GUS assay, and three lines (A1, A3, and A4) showing the highest expression (Fig 6B) were selected for further analysis. After 15 days of salinity- and drought-stress treatment, phenotypically better tolerance was observed in the transgenic lines compared to Wt plants (S8 Fig).

Leaf senescence and chlorophyll contents

After 1 week of incubation in NaCl and PEG solution, leaf discs of transgenic lines showed comparatively lower degradation of chloroplasts and stayed greener than Wt plants leaf discs (Fig 7A). There was no significant difference in the Chl a content between Wt plants and transgenic lines under control conditions. The Chl a content in Wt plant discs was reduced to approximately one-third of those under control conditions during NaCl stress and to one-half during osmotic stress, whereas the degree of reduction was lower in transgenic lines (Fig 7B). Transgenic line A3 showed better protection of Chl a from stress conditions than the other two lines. In the case of Chl b, similar results were obtained, showing a two-thirds reduction in Chl b content in Wt plants under both stress treatments, but transgenic lines showed less deterioration (Fig 7C). While estimating the total chlorophyll content, Wt plants showed an approximately 50% reduction under salinity and drought stress compared to the control condition. Transgenic lines A1, A3, and A4 showed only a 20%, 4%, and 40% reduction under salinity (NaCl) stress, respectively, and a 15%, 3%, and 6% reduction under osmotic (PEG) stress, respectively (Fig 7D). Total carotenoid contents also showed the same trend as chlorophyll contents (Fig 7E).

Relative water content, lipid peroxidation, and electrolyte leakage

Water retention ability of the transgenic and Wt plant leaves was compared under control and stress-treatment conditions. Relative water content (RWC) of all transgenic lines was higher under stress conditions, but only A3 and A4 showed significantly higher water content compared to Wt plants leaves during salinity stress. In the case of drought stress, only line A4 showed significantly higher RWC (Fig 8A). Lipid peroxidation of Wt and transgenic lines were compared in leaf tissues by estimating the MDA content—which is produced after lipid peroxidation—that accumulated in leaves. The MDA content was increased abruptly in the Wt plants upon stress treatment relative to the control conditions. It increased by three- and two-fold in salinity and drought stress relative to the control condition. The MDA content in the transgenic lines also increased slightly, but the increase was not comparable to the Wt plants (Fig 8B). Electrolyte leakage (in %) from leaves of Wt plants and transgenic lines were non-significantly different, and was about 30% in control conditions. Under stress conditions, the leakage in Wt plants increased by 3- and 2.5-fold that of the control in salinity and drought stress conditions, respectively. The electrolyte leakage in transgenic lines was also increased upon stress treatment, but it was significantly lower than that of Wt plants (Fig 8C).

Estimation of proline content

Under control conditions, no significant difference in the proline content was observed between Wt and transgenic lines. Proline content was increased by approximately 17-fold under salinity stress and 7-fold under drought stress conditions in Wt plants, while transgenic lines A1, A3, and A4 showed 12-, 4-, and 2-fold increases in proline content, respectively, under salinity stress. Drought stress-treated transgenic lines showed a slight increase in proline content, in contrast to Wt plants in which it increased by about seven-fold (Fig 8D).
Fig 7. Leaf disc assay and estimation of photosynthetic pigments. Leaf disc assay of transgenic groundnut for salt and drought tolerance (a). Leaf discs of Wt, A1, A3 and A4 transgenic lines respectively were floated in 0 mM, 250 mM NaCl and 15% (w/v) PEG-6000 solution in 0.5x MS-salts for 7-days.
Total soluble sugar, reducing sugar, and starch content

Total soluble sugars were increased by seven- and three-fold, respectively, under salinity and drought stress conditions in Wt plants as compared to the control condition, but only a slight change was observed in transgenic lines (Fig 9A). The reducing sugar level in Wt plants was increased by 5- and 1.5-fold, respectively, under salinity and drought stress conditions. The transgenic lines also showed an about 1.5-fold increase under stress conditions (Fig 9B). The starch content of the Wt plants increased by three- and two-fold, respectively, under salinity and drought stress conditions compared to control. In contrast, transgenic lines A3 and A4 showed a reduction in starch content under stress. Transgenic line A1 revealed a different result from that of the A3 and A4 lines, and starch content increased slightly under stress (Fig 9C).

In vivo localization of peroxide and superoxide radicals

There were no differences in peroxide localization and free radicals between leaves of Wt plants and transgenic lines under control conditions after staining with DAB and NBT. In contrast,
Fig 9. Estimation of Sugar contents. Total soluble sugar (a), reducing sugar (b) and starch content (c) of Wt plants and transgenic lines under control and stress conditions. Bars represent means ± SD and values with similar letter are non-significant at $P<0.05$.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0131567.g009
Wt plants leaves exhibited higher levels of brown and blue-colored insoluble precipitate formation than that of transgenic lines under salinity and drought stress (Fig 10). This result demonstrates that Wt plants leaves accumulated more \( \text{O}_2^\cdot \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) than that of transgenic lines under stress, confirming that SbASR-1 helps to minimize stress-induced oxidative stress in situ.

**Transcript expression analysis of antioxidative enzyme genes**

Three genes encoding antioxidative enzymes—ascorbate peroxidase (APX), catalase (CAT), and superoxide dismutase (SOD)—were studied in Wt plants and transgenic line A3 under control and stress conditions (S9 Fig). The expression of APX was upregulated by 5.77- and 5.38-fold under salt and drought stress in Wt plants, respectively, while in transgenic line A3, 3.5- and 5.72-fold upregulation was observed under salt and drought stress, respectively. The catalase gene transcript was upregulated by 2.74- and 1.41-fold under salinity and drought stresses, respectively, in Wt plants, but there were inconsistent changes in the expression of CAT in the transgenic line. The transcript was downregulated under salinity stress, but upregulated in drought stress conditions. The SOD transcript showed slight upregulation under salinity stress, and was downregulated in the drought stress condition in Wt plants. However, there was a uniform trend of upregulation in the transgenic line under both stress conditions.

**Discussion**

Groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) is an important oilseed crop that is used for edible oil and as a nutritive supplement. Salinity and drought are the two major abiotic constraints causing significant loss of groundnut productivity worldwide [61]. The traditional breeding program could not be employed efficiently for quality improvement of groundnut due to the tetraploid nature and conserved genome of the cultivated groundnut [34]. Recently, a transgenic approach was used for engineering salt-tolerant groundnut plant by transforming the SbpAPX gene, which was cloned from a halophyte [28]. Thus, genetic engineering is one of the comparatively easier approaches to be explored for groundnut quality improvement.

More than 20 years have passed since the discovery of the ASR-1 gene from tomato and it has been characterized from several glycophytic plants [2], but still the complete functional analysis of any halophytic ASR-1 has not been reported. Halophytes developed unique ability not only at the morphological, anatomical or physiological level, but they also have different amino acid composition of their protein at molecular level for better adaptation in extreme conditions. They always tend to have high cellular concentration of free amino acids like glutamate, aspartate, proline, glycine etc. which are assisting them in acquiring stress tolerance [18]. The halophytic SbASR-1 is upregulated in salinity stress conditions, and conferred salt tolerance in transgenic tobacco lines [12]. In the present study, the SbASR-1 gene was characterized at the genomic and protein function level, and was genetically transformed into a local cultivar of groundnut, GG-20. The salinity and drought stress tolerance of transgenic groundnut was studied in the T1 generation.

The putative promoter region of the SbASR-1 gene contained important abiotic stress-inducible cis-regulatory motifs (Fig 2). The expression of this gene is regulated by an ABA-mediated signaling cascade, which may involve ABRE and MYB binding elements (Table 1). Analysis of VvMSA promoter region also showed the presence of several ABA-inducible and DRE motifs [5]. Apart from the stress-inducible motifs, WRKY-binding consensus sequences, pathogen stress-inducible bell-like homeodomain transcription factors, and cis-acting motifs involved in sugar repression and phytohormones (such as cytokinin, auxin, GA and SA) regulated pathway were also identified in promoter region of the SbASR-1 gene (Table 1). The functionality of these motifs was confirmed by previous reports, which demonstrated that the
Fig 10. *In-vivo localisation of peroxide and superoxide free radicals*. DAB (a) and NBT (b) staining of Wt plants leaves and transgenic lines leaves. Scale bar represents 5 mm of length.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0131567.g010
expression of ASR gene transcripts was induced by ABA, salt, drought, PEG-6000, cold, injury, 
\(H_2O_2\), fruit ripening, Al toxicity, and some biotic factors [2, 4]. ASR-1 also plays a crucial 
role in regulation of leaf sugar level and mobilization of sugars in other organs and plant growth by 
regulating GA biosynthesis [4, 8]. This report also supports the presence of A-box and GA-
inducible motifs, identified in the SbASR-1 promoter region.

The genomic organization of the SbASR-1 gene revealed that only one intron was present in 
the gene, separating two exons (Fig 2). The intron region is 1611 bp long, which is the largest 
intron sequence in ASR gene family yet reported. The genomic structure of the ASR gene family 
has been reported from the banana, maize, and rice genome. All genes of this family contain 
either a single intron or are intronless [3, 62–63], which is in agreement with our results. In the 
case of the SbASR-1 gene, the intron is several times longer than those in these reports, which 
might be due to evolutionary adaptation of the gene in *S. brachiata* to cope with harsh environ-
mental conditions, and further study is required to decipher the reason behind it.

At least two paralogs of the SbASR gene are present in the *S. brachiata* genome as revealed 
by Southern hybridization (S2 Fig). The lanes containing DNA digested with *EcoRI* and *XbaI* 
showed four bands. The ASR-1 probe sequence shared some part from both the exon (exon I 
and exon II; Fig 2). It is possible that the presence of a restriction site of these enzymes in the 
intron region may result in partial hybridization of both the separated exons. The DNA sample 
digested with *HindIII* showed only two bands, suggesting the presence of two copies of the 
gene in this family (S2 Fig). The copy number of the ASR gene varies in different glycophytic 
plants. The numbers of paralogs present in the different monocot and dicot plants were 
reviewed extensively and it was summarized that maize genome contains the highest number 
of paralogs (nine), banana (four), and tomato (four), whereas the rice genome contains six 
members [2–3, 62–64]. In contrast, grape ASR, also known as VvMSA, is present as a single 
copy in the grape genome [8]. The intrinsically disordered proteins (IDPs), due to presence of 
high content of disorder promoting amino acid residues ((E, K, R, G, Q, S, P, and A), do not 
have any fixed conformation. IDPs can undergo extensive post-translational modifications, 
allowing easier protein–protein interaction for modulation of biological functions because of 
their structural flexibility [65]. These adaptations of IDPs are for the protection of other cellular 
proteins under stress conditions and help them in transcriptional regulation of other genes 
[12]. The SbASR-1 protein exhibited higher content of disorder promoting amino acid residues 
(Table 2), confirming that SbASR-1 is also an IDP. It is supported by the SbASR-1, which 
showed a high similarity with SbASR-1 and protects several proteins from heat induced dena-
turation and freeze-thaw cycle under in-vitro experiments [66]. Dehydration stress responsive 
dehydrins, ERD10 and ERD14 having high content of these residues, are able to avert the heat-
induced aggregation and/or inactivation cellular proteins and enzymes [67]. Glycine residues 
form zwitterion in solution state, and its abundance in SbASR-1 protein increased the protein 
solubility [18] which may assist in better water retention and ROS scavenging. Some of the 
IDPs of dehydrin family exposed the available side chain H or R of their constituent amino 
cid residues on the protein surface, which interacts directly with ROS and metal ions to pro-
tect cellular proteins from oxidative damage [68]. Role of rice ASR-1 protein as a \(H_2O_2\) scavenger 
in *in vitro* condition has been experimentally proved [10]. The GmASR protein was found 
to chelate the \(Fe^{3+}\) ions, and thus may control the hydroxyl radical generation that causes dam-
age to the nucleic acids [11]. Presence of higher glycine content in SbASR-1 protein may also 
function in similar way, in which H of the glycine residues interact directly with ROS and met-
als to prevent cellular damage. Post-translation myristolation of the SOS3 protein is essential 
for salt stress adaptation through SOS pathway and mutation in the myristoylation sites makes 
plant hypersensitive to salt stress [69–70]. The highest number of predicted post-translational 
myristoylation site of SbASR-1 protein may help in better protein–protein interaction and in
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regulating signalling pathways during stress conditions in a better way than that of glycophyte ASR-1.

Heterologously expressed SbASR-1 protein was found to be approximately 33–35 kDa (S5 Fig), which is higher than the expected theoretical size (21.01 kDa). This abnormal behavior of protein size solely depends on the net charge of protein molecules [7]. To confirm the DNA-binding property of SbASR-1 protein, which may function as a transcription factor, in vitro binding of the protein with DNA probe was tested. The SbASR-1 protein showed affinity for all the eight DNA probe used in the study and the derived consensus binding sequence is (C/G/A) (G/T)CC(C/G)(C/G/A)/(A/T) (Fig 4; S1 and S6 Figs). Earlier DNA binding property of tomato ASR-1 protein with recognition sequences C2−3(C/G)A [71] and TCCCCA [50], and rice ASR-1 with (A/T)(A/G)GCCCA consensus-binding site [9] were reported. A similar type of recognition site, GGCCCA (T/A), of the OsASR-5 protein was shown on the promoter region of STAR1 and STAR2 genes, which are involved in aluminum tolerance [72]. The binding affinity of SbASR-1 with a consensus sequence revealed its ability to bind with all the recognition sites reported earlier for different glycophytic ASR-1. It enables SbASR-1 to regulate large number of stress responsive genes having these sequences in their regulatory region. The function of SbASR-1 protein as a transcription factor was further supported by subcellular localization study. Bioinformatics analysis revealed that SbASR-1 protein sequence contained a nuclear targeting signal similar to Suaeda liaotungensis ASR protein [73]. Transient RFP:SbASR-1 expression analysis clearly support the functionality of the studied NLS sequence and SbASR-1 was preferentially compartmentalized in the nucleus (Fig 3). Present study confirmed that SbASR-1 is a nuclear protein which is in line with previous studies on ASR protein of Suaeda and wheat [13, 73].

The SbASR-1 gene was transformed and transgenic groundnut plants were developed (Fig 5). The successful integration of the transgene was confirmed by PCR and Southern hybridization (S7 Fig), and RT-PCR (Fig 6A) showed efficient expression of the transgene. Three transgenic lines (A1, A3, and A4) were selected for further analysis based on higher histochemical GUS expression (Fig 6B). Expression level of transgene in transgenic host plants depends on the site of integration. The Wt plant did not show any expression of the transgene while among transgenic lines, A3 showed higher level of transgene transcript expression than that of others even though similar quantity of cDNA were used in the experiment (Fig 6A).

Chlorophyll content was studied as one of the markers of cellular stress, and it decreases in plants under stress. Previously it was reported that during stress conditions, ROS-scavenging mechanisms failed to cope with the higher rate of ROS generation in the chloroplast, which inhibits the PSII repair system and synthesis of D1 proteins in chloroplasts, and this condition resulted in the loss or degradation of chlorophyll [74]. In the present study, Chl a, Chl b, total chlorophyll, and carotenoid contents were significantly reduced in Wt plants compared to transgenic plants under salinity or drought stress (Fig 7). Similar to this, transgenic tobacco plants overexpressing TaASR1 and SbASR-1 exhibited higher chlorophyll content in oxidative stress conditions induced by methyl viologen and salinity stress, respectively [12–13]. Recently, it was observed that rice ASR-1 protein acted as a non-enzymatic antioxidant [10]. Some of the ASR-1 proteins were reported to enhance the expression level of several antioxidative enzymes [11, 13]. Based on these facts, it is presumed that overexpressed SbASR-1 may have protected the chlorophylls from oxidative damage, and thus transgenic lines maintain higher chlorophyll contents. Carotenoids are reported to be involved in the protection of the photosynthetic machinery by stabilizing thylakoid phospholipids and quenching the excited triplet state of chlorophyll and singlet oxygen under salinity and drought conditions [75]. This may also contribute to the protection of chlorophyll from oxidative damages.
Based on conserved motifs proposed for a special Group 7 of LEA protein family, SbASR-1 is categorized as a Group 7 LEA protein (S3 Fig). LEA proteins are highly hydrophilic in nature and have strong water-retention ability [6]. The comparative RWC analysis of Wt plants and transgenic groundnut lines exhibited higher relative water content in the transgenic lines than Wt plants under salinity and drought stress (Fig 8A). Similar results were displayed by SbASR-1-overexpressing transgenic tobacco lines in salt-treated conditions [12]. Transgenic tobacco plants overexpressing tomato ASR-1 gene showed a lower rate of water loss than that of Wt plants during NaCl stress [76].

MDA accumulation and electrolyte leakage are common stress markers that measure the degree of injury caused by stress in plants. Stress-induced ROS are responsible for these leakages and MDA formation. MDA is the product of lipid peroxidation caused by ROS [77], whereas electrolyte leakage is efflux of K⁺ through ROS-activated cation channels and its counter-ions Cl⁻, HPO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻, citrate, and malate [78]. The present study showed higher MDA content and electrolyte leakage in Wt plants than SbASR-1-overexpressing transgenic lines under both salinity and drought stress conditions (Fig 8B and 8C). However, under control conditions, transgenic lines and Wt plants exhibited a similar level of MDA and electrolyte leakage. Similar to our findings, transgenic Arabidopsis lines overexpressing the banana ASR-1 gene also showed lower MDA accumulation and electrolyte leakage than Wt plants [79]. The transgenic line A3 showed higher chlorophyll, carotenoid, relative water, MDA content and lower electrolyte leakage, which is directly correlated with the higher level of transgene expression and further conferred better protection of the line than that of other lines under stress conditions.

Proline is a cellular stress marker that accumulates during osmotic stress to maintain the osmotic balance across the membrane. In the present study, the transgenic lines showed lower accumulation of free proline than Wt plants under salinity and drought stress conditions (Fig 8D). Similar results were observed in transgenic tobacco lines overexpressing tomato ASR-1 and SbASR-1 grown under saline conditions [12, 76]. Lower proline accumulation in leaf tissues of transgenic lines under saline and drought conditions may be due to lower accumulation of Na⁺ than that of Wt plants, and potential ROS-scavenging ability of ASR-1 protein, respectively [10, 12, 76].

Total soluble sugar, reducing sugar, and starch content were found to be significantly lower in the transgenic groundnut lines overexpressing SbASR-1 gene compared to that of Wt plants grown under salinity and drought stress (Fig 9). Silencing of the ASR-1 gene in tobacco showed higher accumulation of starch, sucrose, and glucose in leaves than Wt tobacco leaves, and revealed the role of ASR-1 in sugar metabolism [4]. Tobacco ASR-1 enhanced the transcript expression of sugar transporters, i.e., hexose transporter, sucrose transporter, and vacuolar glucose transporter proteins [4]. These transporters are involved in the retrieval of glucose and sucrose from leaves to the phloem for mobilization of these nutrients to other organs. Based on our experimental results, we postulate that SbASR-1 behaves like a transcription factor, may regulates the expression of several sugar transporter proteins, and enhances the tolerance in transgenic plants through better mobilization of nutrients to the root or other non-photosynthetic organs.

In vivo localization of peroxide and superoxide free radicals was carried out, and leaves from Wt and transgenic plants grown under control conditions showed a negligible amount of free radicals (insoluble brown and blue-colored precipitate). In contrast, the leaves from the Wt plants grown under salt- and drought-treated plants showed a higher level of brown and blue-colored precipitate after DAB and NBT staining (Fig 10). This observation indicates the higher level of peroxide and superoxide free radical formation in Wt plants under stress conditions. In agreement with our findings, transgenic tobacco lines overexpressing TaASR-1 also
showed lower accumulation of H$_2$O$_2$ and O$_2$ free radicals in seedlings grown under mannitol [13]. Hu et al. [13] have shown that TaASR-1 protein enhanced transcript expression and activity of the antioxidative enzymes, such as catalase and SOD. A Litchi ASR-1 (LcASR-1) overexpressing transgenic Arabidopsis lines also exhibited higher transcript expression of CAT, SOD, APX, and glutathione reductase enzyme genes, and thus participated in better ROS scavenging in transgenic plants [14]. In an in vitro experiment, activity of rice ASR-1 protein was found comparable to an antioxidant catalase to scavenge H$_2$O$_2$ under stress condition [10]. These reports are consistent with the findings of the present study, and enable an assumption to be made that SbASR-1 may also be involved in ROS scavenging activity directly as a non-enzymatic antioxidant or indirectly by enhancing the expression of antioxidative enzymes.

To confirm ROS scavenging or transcription factor activity of the SbASR-1 protein, transcript expression of APX, CAT, and SOD genes were performed in Wt plants and transgenic lines subjected to control or salinity and drought stress (S9 Fig). The relative fold-increase of APX, CAT, and SOD transcript expression was higher under salinity stress conditions in Wt plants, whereas it was found to be higher in transgenic lines under drought stress conditions. The major role played by APX and CAT is to detoxify the H$_2$O$_2$ into water molecules. Rice ASR-1 could reduce H$_2$O$_2$ in water, similar to catalase, in an in vitro study [10]. Similar results were found in transgenic tobacco lines overexpressing TaASR-1 [13]. The ASR-1 protein behaves as a transcription factor, binding the promoter region of several genes directly or by interacting with another transcription factor [8–9, 80]. This function leads to an assumption that SbASR-1 may act as a transcription factor and regulates the expression of the SOD gene to convert superoxide radicals into peroxide, which is further detoxified by SbASR-1.

**Conclusions**

The SbASR-1 gene is 2549 bp in length with a single intron of 1611 bp, which is the largest intron of this family reported so far. There are two copies of this gene in the S. brachiata genome. Promoter analyses suggest that the SbASR-1 gene is stress (abiotic and biotic) and phytohormone inducible. The SbASR-1 protein has a number of disorder promoting amino acids, glycine residues and N-myristoylation sites, which enable its function more efficiently compared to glycophytic ASR-1 proteins. The SbASR-1 protein recognizes and binds with the consensus sequence (C/G/A)(G/T)CC(C/G)(C/G/A)(A/T), which are recognized by tomato ASR-1, rice ASR-1 and rice ASR-5 proteins. Biochemical analysis of T1 transgenic groundnut lines showed that overexpressing the SbASR-1 gene enhances salinity and drought stress tolerance. Increases in transcript expressions of APX, CAT, and SOD were higher in Wt plants under salinity stress, whereas transgenic lines under drought stress showed higher expression of transcripts than Wt plants. It may be concluded that SbASR-1 enhanced the salinity and drought tolerance of transgenic groundnut significantly by functioning as a transcription factor and LEA protein, and utilizes different pathways of stress tolerance in each type of stress.
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