Screw-Dislocation-Driven Growth Mode in Two Dimensional GaSe on GaAs(001) Substrates Grown by Molecular Beam Epitaxy
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Regardless of the dissimilarity in the crystal symmetry, the two-dimensional GaSe materials grown on GaAs(001) substrates by molecular beam epitaxy reveal a screw-dislocation-driven growth mechanism. The spiral-pyramidal structure of GaSe multi-layers was typically observed with the majority in ε-phase. Comprehensive investigations on temperature-dependent photoluminescence, Raman scattering, and X-ray diffraction indicated that the structure has been suffered an amount of strain, resulted from the screw-dislocation-driven growth mechanism as well as the stacking disorders between monolayer at the boundaries of the GaSe nanoflakes. In addition, Raman spectra under various wavelength laser excitations explored that the common ε-phase of 2D GaSe grown directly on GaAs can be transformed into the β-phase by introducing a Se-pretreatment period at the initial growth process. This work provides an understanding of molecular beam epitaxy growth of 2D materials on three-dimensional substrates and paves the way to realize future electronic and optoelectronic heterogeneous integrated technology as well as second harmonic generation applications.

Two-dimensional (2D) group IIIA-monochalcogenide family has become a rising star in the field of condensed matter physics in recent years, offering opportunities for engineering novel optical and electrical device applications. In particular, gallium selenide (GaSe), a typical 2D semiconductor, is widely recognized as a potential candidate for electronics, nonlinear optics, terahertz generation, especially photo-detection applications with low dark current, high photoresponsivity, and ultra-fast response time⁴–⁵. The layers of bulk GaSe crystals are weakly coupled to each other by van der Waals force while atoms in each layer connected via covalent bonds. As a member of 2D material family, the feature of crystal structure of bulk GaSe is classified into four different polytypes (phases) as ε-(2R), β-(2H), γ-(3R), and δ-(4H) based on staking sequence between adjacent layers, resulting in a variety of electronic and optical properties⁶,⁷. Experimentally, ε-GaSe and β-GaSe are the most observable phases; both consist of two layers in their unit cell. However, the symmetric group of ε-GaSe phase belongs to D³h while that of the latter one is D⁴h, corresponding to distinctions in optical behaviors between them⁸. Indeed, it is well-known that bulk ε-GaSe possess two-order nonlinear-optical property. Bilayer ε-GaSe phase exhibits a strong second harmonic generation (SHG) signal while there is no SHG behavior observed on β-GaSe phase⁹. Therefore, the synthesis and the phase controllability of large-scale 2D GaSe have attracted more and more attention. Several fabrication approaches have been proposed such as exfoliation, chemical and physical vapor deposition (CVD and PVD), molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) and so on¹⁰–¹². Among them, MBE is an ideal method to achieve high single-crystalline quality and free-contamination 2D GaSe materials on 3D-crystal substrates. Recently, a variety of 3D-substrates that has the same six-fold surface symmetry with GaSe such as GaAs(111)²,³, Si(111)⁴,⁵,⁶, c-sapphire⁷, and GaN⁸ was chosen to realize single-crystalline 2D GaSe using MBE. However, phase formation and growth mechanism of GaSe have been not considered comprehensively in most
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of these reports. Moreover, lack of studies on quasi-van der Waals epitaxy (vdWE) of GaSe grown on commercial substrates that have inequality of surface symmetry to GaSe such as Si(001) and GaAs(001) results in inflexibility in controlling crystal quality, area, especially, phase of the materials.

In this study, we report the epitaxial growth of the 2D-3D hybrid structure of 2D GaSe grown on 3D GaAs(001) substrates by MBE. Large-scale spiral-pyramidal structure of GaSe multilayers was observed from atomic force microscopy (AFM) images as a result of screw-dislocation-driven (SDD) growth mode. The comprehensive analyses including high-resolution X-ray diffraction (HR-XRD), Raman scattering, temperature-dependent photoluminescence (PL), and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM) exposed that the \( \varepsilon \)-phase dominance and the strains of the GaSe multi-layers were originated from the SDD growth mode. Moreover, we found that the dominant \( \varepsilon \)-phase of GaSe thin films can be tuned to \( \beta \)-phase as a specific Se-pretreatment flux applied at the GaSe/GaAs interface. The results presented in this study are imperative in term of providing information about epitaxial MBE growth technique of 2D/3D hybrid structures and exploring phase controllability of the GaSe material.

Results and Discussion

Spotty RHEED patterns of the substrate surface taken with the incident electron beam parallel to the [110] and [100] substrate directions after the completion of thermal oxide desorption process were observed as shown in Fig. S2(a,b), respectively. The spotty pattern of the rough GaAs surface results from the very top layer desorption, where the existence of Ga-droplets on the surface was ruled out by our AFM study\(^{18,19}\). For non-Se-pretreated process, within a minute from starting GaSe deposition, the spotty pattern in Fig. S2(a) was turning quickly into blurry long streaks and became sharp-streaky pattern without any polycrystalline rings when the growth time increased as can be seen in Fig. S2(c). This streaky pattern repeated in every 60° in-plane substrate rotating and was preserved until the growth finishing, indicating the six-fold symmetry of the high-crystalline GaSe layer. Fig. S2(e) notices that the streak intervals in Fig. S2(d) taken after 30° azimuthally rotating from Fig. S2(c)'s position are \( \sqrt{3} \) times shorter than that in Fig. S2(c). In other words, the RHEED patterns shown in Fig. S2(c,d) are attributed to those taken from the [1100] and [1210] azimuths of the single-crystalline GaSe layer, respectively\(^{13,18,20} \). These RHEED patterns remained unchanged until the growth finishing. The analyzed RHEED results demonstrated that the single-crystalline GaSe(0001) were directly deposited on the (001) oriented GaAs substrates with its [1100] axis parallel to the [110] axis of GaAs substrate as illustrated in Fig. S2(f).

Surface morphological evolution of the GaSe samples in case of non-Se-pretreated approach with various growth times is shown in Fig. 1. For 30 min. growth, the GaSe layer was consisted of a large number of small-size GaSe flakes with random shape and orientation as can be easily observed in Fig. 1(a), where its average lateral size was around 120 nm with a surface coverage of \( \sim 95\% \). As increasing the growth time to 60 min., these flakes developed laterally in size and coalesced each other, resulting in a drastic increase in the size of GaSe flakes with an average size of \( \sim 500 \) nm as shown in Fig. 1(b). Figure 1(d,e), the higher magnified AFM image of these...
samples, showed clearly the spiral configuration of the GaSe surface. The lateral coalescence of GaSe flakes had strongly progressed as further deposition, leading to form a large number of spiral pyramid-like shapes on the surface with the lateral dimension of their triangular based planes ~750 nm for 120 min. growth as can be seen in Fig. 1(c,f). The specific morphology displayed in Fig. 1(f) is a fascinating feature as observed in other 2D materials driven by screw-dislocation mechanism21–24. At the initial nucleation of the growth, a large number of screw defects acted as dislocation centers was nucleated due to the rough and high-density of dangling bonds GaAs surface during thermal-desorption as well as the dissimilar symmetry between GaAs and GaSe nanoseeds, promoting the formation of spiral nanoflakes at random size and shape as well. As further extending growth time, the effect of the substrate surface was gradually released, these nanoflakes were enlarged and merged together, resulting in the emergence of multiple slip planes, and finally initiating the construction of typical spiral pyramid-like morphology25–27. The appearance of truncated pyramids observed in Fig. 1(f) has not been clearly understood. Overall, these pyramids are usually composed of several GaSe monolayer stacked spirally together in 0° alignment, where a monolayer thickness was confirmed to be ~0.8 nm as shown in the inset of Fig. 1(f). This morphological characteristic implies that the GaSe stacking orders is AA stacking configuration, which is different from the noncentrosymmetric D3h group and is usually observed in other 2D materials driven by SDD growth mode22,23,25,27. The signatures presented here claim that the phase of GaSe layers could be ε-phase.

To study more detail on the optical properties as well as the bandgap energy of GaSe grown on GaAs substrates, temperature-dependent PL spectra of sample C were studied. PL spectra at different temperature of sample C exhibited a strong broad peak centered at around 1.75 eV, as shown in Fig. 3(a). The peak near 1.5 eV is attributed to the near band edge emission of the GaAs substrate32,33. The main peak at 1.75 eV is composed of two peaks (denoted as peak I and II) with an energy separation of about 40 meV between them, as illustrated in Fig. 3(b). Interestingly, its Raman spectrum under 633 nm laser excitation appeared an additional strong peak located ~253.0 cm\(^{-1}\) with a shoulder at ~246.0 cm\(^{-1}\) which was undetectable as excited by both 488 nm and 514 nm laser wavelengths. It is claimed that the 253.0 cm\(^{-1}\) line comes from LO-TO splitting of E\(^1\) mode which only observed in the D\(_{3d}\)-symmetric GaSe structure (ε-phase). Moreover, the previous literature also stated that the appearance of usual Raman active modes at ~246.0 cm\(^{-1}\) and ~253.0 cm\(^{-1}\) were due to near-resonant effect in Raman scattering when using the laser excitation which energy approached closely to the electronic transition energy of the sample\(^{30,31}\). Thus, it suggests that the emergence of a strong 253.0 cm\(^{-1}\) peak with a shoulder around 246.0 cm\(^{-1}\) in the Raman spectrum of sample C under 633 nm wavelength excitation are attributed to the near-resonant Raman peaks. The features expressed above should be extensive evidence to prove that the ε-phase is dominant in our GaSe epitaxial layers1,32,33.

To study more detail on the optical properties as well as the bandgap energy of GaSe grown on GaAs substrates, temperature-dependent PL spectra of sample C were studied. PL spectra at different temperature of sample C exhibited a strong broad peak centered at around 1.75 eV, as shown in Fig. 3(a). The peak near 1.5 eV is attributed to the near band edge emission of the GaAs substrate32,33. The main peak at 1.75 eV is composed of two peaks (denoted as peak I and II) with an energy separation of about 40 meV between them, as illustrated in Fig. 3(b), were attributed to the free exciton and bound exciton\(^{32}\). In Fig. 3(a), an expected energy redshift of the optical features (about 0.35 meV/K) and degradation of PL intensity as increasing the temperature was observed, in agreement with the temperature dependence of bandgap of GaSe and other semiconductors reported in the literature32–35. The good agreement between experimental data points and the Varshni-fitting curve shown in Fig. 3(c) demonstrated that the bandgap energy of the GaSe thin film grown on GaAs should be around 1.75 eV, ~270 meV smaller than that of the bulk (~2.02 eV) and other exfoliated GaSe thin films reported recently34,38,39. This drastic decrease in bandgap was also observed in the intentionally strained (wrinkled) GaSe\(^{30}\). Furthermore, nano-scale strain-induced bandgap variation was also investigated in the mono-layer WSe\(_2\), grown on the intentionally fabricated μm hole by cathode-luminescence\(^{40}\). In our study, the spiral-pyramidal morphology of GaSe multi-layers is a result of SDD growth mechanism, recognizing as a major contributor to the strain-induced
abnormal bandgap. The SDD growth mode is a climbed-up process starting from the screw dislocation cores, which requires the presence of slipped planes (screw defects) in the initial layer, if not an in-plane growth mode will be introduced. Because of the large strain at the dislocation core, an amount of lattice strain could be presented in our GaSe thin films. Herein, the possibility of strain induced by the lattice mismatch between GaSe layer and the GaAs substrate is ignorable because of the similarity in either 3D-spiral-pyramidal morphology or Raman spectrum or PL emission between GaSe on GaAs and GaSe on GaN/sapphire as further investigated in Fig. S3. Moreover, this lattice-mismatched strain could be relaxed due to the van der Waals epitaxy, as reported in previous papers by Keiji Ueno et al. for GaSe on GaAs or X. Yuan et al. for GaSe on mica. Thus, we argue that the strong redshift in PL peak was the result of SDD growth. Cross-sectional HR-TEM micrographs shown in Fig. 4 exposed that the GaSe thin film is composed of the GaSe multi-flakes, where stacking disorder between layers at the flake boundaries is easily noticed in Fig. 4(b). Moreover, the separation between atoms in an atomic layer was estimated around 0.34 nm in agreement with other previous reports, while the average monolayer thickness of 0.778 nm observed from the HR-TEM image in Fig. 4(c) is slightly smaller than the theoretical bulk value. It means that the GaSe film might be suffering an out-of-plane compressive strain. HR-XRD scans were carried out carefully in order to identify the position of the (004) diffraction peak as can be seen in Fig. S4. The expected shift of (004) GaSe film peak to the higher 2θ-angle was observed, demonstrating an estimation of the excessive out-of-plane compressive strain of ~0.25% in our GaSe thin film. A comparison of the Raman spectrum of GaSe bulk sample and sample C was also performed as showed in Fig. S5. The result exhibited the strong redshift (~6 cm\(^{-1}\)) of in-plane vibration E\(_{2g}\) mode in the GaSe film as referring to the bulk sample, indicating an existence of the overall substantial in-plane tensile strain in our thin film. Consequently, our samples were simultaneously suffering both out-of-plane compressive strain and in-plane tensile strain with different magnitudes as a result of the SDD growth mechanism, leading to the observation of the strong redshift PL emission.

The effect of Se-pretreatment on the morphology is demonstrated in Fig. 5. The typical spiral-pyramidal morphology of Se-pretreated GaSe thin films has an average step height of ~0.8 nm in sample C-Se, which corresponds to the monolayer stacking configurations. Sample C-Se exhibited a slightly increase in surface roughness as compared to that of sample C (Fig. 1(c)) due to the increase in the GaSe pyramid density, where its lateral size statistically slightly enlarged to 850 nm (Fig. 5(b)). Moreover, the appearance of the blurry extra lines in between spotty lines on the RHEED pattern (Fig. S6(a)) of the Se-pretreated GaAs surface is attributed to the existence of a Se-passivated layer on GaAs surface. Figure 5(d) showed the simultaneous presence of single, double, triple and multi-spiral outlines, this is presumably due to the mixed phase between \(\varepsilon\)-GaSe and \(\beta\)-GaSe in the layer. Raman spectrum of sample C-Se was carried out by using 633-nm laser excitation as can be seen in Fig. 6(a). There is no shifting relatively in the position of all Raman active modes between with and without Se-pretreated sample. However, it is interesting that the Raman intensity of the main 253.0 cm\(^{-1}\) E\(_{2g}\) mode of...
sample C-Se displayed a significant reduction of ~4 times as compared to that of sample C, while the rest of the common Raman modes ($A_{1g}^1$, $E_{2g}^2$, and $A_{2g}^1$) of sample C-Se was relatively enhanced. As mentioned above, the principal difference between the $\beta$-GaSe and $\varepsilon$-GaSe Raman spectra is the appearance of an additional mode at approximately 253.0 cm$^{-1}$\textsuperscript{144}. The evolution in Raman intensity of sample C-Se suggests that the growth of GaSe preferred $\beta$-phase to $\varepsilon$-phase. This behavior seems likely an incomplete transition from $\varepsilon$- to $\beta$-phase when the Se-pretreatment process was applied and leads to a mixture of phases in the layer. PL spectrum of sample C-Se

Figure 4. (a) HR-TEM image of GaSe thin film grown on GaAs(001). High-magnified TEM image exhibited (b) the stacking disorders between layers and (c) the layered-structure of GaSe with the average thickness of monolayer is ~0.778 nm.

Figure 5. AFM images of Se-pretreated GaSe samples grown at 60 min. and 120 min.
measured at 10 K reveals a multiple-line PL emission at the same energy range in comparison to that of sample C (Fig. 6(b)). However, the relative intensity of each emission line between sample C and C-Se is drastically changed. Further PL investigations should be addressed to clarify whether this behavior corresponds to the dominance of β-GaSe phase in sample C-Se.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the MBE epitaxial growth of 2D GaSe on GaAs(001) substrates even though there is an extreme dissimilarity in their crystal symmetry. The evolution of GaSe morphology with growth time and in-situ RHEED monitoring provides an understanding of the screw-dislocation-driven growth mechanism of the materials. The studies on Raman spectra figured out the majority of ε-phase GaSe in our samples, which was verified by the appearance of the Raman active mode $E_{1g}^\text{GaSe}$ (~253.0 cm$^{-1}$) under only 633 nm laser excitation and has high potential in the field of SHG applications. A strong redshift bandgap energy ~1.75 eV observed in the PL spectra of the GaSe multi-layers are results of strains, originated from screw-dislocation-driven growth mode. The results also reveal that the Se-pretreatment process could be the pathway to transform the phase of GaSe grown on GaAs from ε-GaSe into β-GaSe phase.

**Methods**

The growth of 2D layered GaSe on (001) oriented GaAs substrate was carried out in an SVTA-MBE system operated at a base pressure of $1.0 \times 10^{-10}$ torr with standard Knudsen cells to evaporate high-purity (6N) gallium (Ga) and selenium (Se) elements. The GaAs substrate was cleaned by acetone, rinsed with de-ionized water and dried with nitrogen gas in sequence prior to loading into the MBE chamber through a load-lock component. Firstly, the substrate was heated up to 150 °C and kept at this temperature in 30 minutes for baking. The chamber temperature was then ramped up to 600 °C under an ultra-high vacuum (UHV) of ~7.0 $\times 10^{-9}$ torr to ensure surface native oxides removing completely which was monitoring by the in-situ reflective high-energy electron diffraction (RHEED). In the next step, the growth was performed into two different approaches including without and with introducing a Se-pretreatment process for 15 min. under a Se partial pressure of $7.76 \times 10^{-7}$ torr as illustrated in Fig. S1. All growth conditions of GaSe thin films were kept the same in both approaches. The Ga and Se source shutters were released simultaneously where their beam equivalent pressure (BEP) during the material growth were $1.39 \times 10^{-7}$ and $7.76 \times 10^{-7}$ torr, respectively, corresponding to a Se/Ga flux ratio of 5.6. The GaSe deposition was terminated by closing both of source shutters and the sample was then cooled down to 100°C prior to loading out of the chamber. A set of three non-Se-pretreatment samples was deposited with different growth times including 30 min. (denoted as sample A), 60 min. (B), and 120 min. (C). For Se-pretreatment approaches, B-Se and C-Se were assigned to the sample grown with 60 min. and 120 min., respectively. All the growth parameters of GaSe epitaxial layers are addressed in Table 1.

![Figure 6](https://example.com/figure6.png)

**Table 1.** MBE growth parameters of 2D layered GaSe materials on GaAs (001) substrates.

| Sample ID | A | B | C | B-Se | C-Se |
|-----------|---|---|---|------|------|
| Se-pretreatment | — | — | — | 15 min. at 600°C | 15 min. at 600°C |
| Growth time | 30 min. | 60 min. | 120 min. | 60 min. | 120 min. |
| Growth temperature | 425 °C | | | | |
| Ga partial pressure | 1.39 $\times 10^{-7}$ torr | | | | |
| Se partial pressure | 7.76 $\times 10^{-7}$ torr | | | | |
| V/I ratio | 5.6 | | | | |
LabRam iHR550 HORIBA spectrometer at three different laser excitation wavelengths (488, 514, and 633 nm) as well as temperature and power-dependent PL using 325-nm continuous wavelength (CW) laser excitation were acquired to investigate comprehensively the structural and optical characteristics of GaSe thin films.

Received: 19 August 2019; Accepted: 13 November 2019;
Published online: 28 November 2019

References
1. Yuan, X. et al. Arrayed van der Waals vertical heterostructures based on 2D GaSe grown by molecular beam epitaxy. Nano letters 15, 3571–3577 (2015).
2. Ko, P. J., Abderrahmane, A., Takamura, T., Kim, N.-H. & Sandhu, A. Thickness dependence on the optoelectronic properties of multilayered GaSe based photodetector. Nanotechnology 27, 325202 (2016).
3. Tommori, P. et al. Single-photon emitters in GaSe. 2D Materials 4, 021010 (2017).
4. Huang, H. et al. Highly sensitive phototransistor based on GaSe nanosheets. Applied Physics Letters 107, 143112 (2015).
5. Tommori, P. et al. On-chip waveguiding coupling of a layered semiconductor single-photon source. Nano letters 17, 5446–5451 (2017).
6. Li, X. et al. Revealing the Preferred Interlayer Orientations and Stackings of Two-Dimensional Bilayer Gallium Selenide Crystals. Angewandte Chemie International Edition 54, 2712–2717 (2015).
7. Li, X. et al. Van der Waals epitaxial growth of two-dimensional single-crystalline GaSe domains on graphene. ACS nano 9, 8078–8088 (2015).
8. Lee, C. H. et al. Molecular beam epitaxy of 2D-layered gallium selenide on GaN substrates. Journal of Applied Physics 121, 094302 (2017).
9. Zhou, X. et al. Strong second-harmonic generation in atomic layered GaSe. Journal of the American Chemical Society 137, 7994–7997 (2015).
10. Chong, S. K. et al. Selective Growth of Two-Dimensional Heterostructures of Gallium Selenide on Monolayer Graphene and the Thickness Dependent P and N-Type Nature. ACS Applied Nano Materials 1, 3293–3302 (2018).
11. Li, X. et al. Controlled vapor phase growth of single crystalline, two-dimensional GaSe crystals with high photoresponse. Scientific reports 4, 53497 (2014).
12. Chang, C.-C. et al. Fabrication of single-phase GaSe films on Si (100) substrate by metal organic chemical vapor deposition. Thin Solid Films 542, 119–122 (2013).
13. Ueno, K., Abe, H., Sakii, K. & Koma, A. Heteroepitaxy of layered semiconductor GaSe on a GaAs (111) B surface. Japanese journal of applied physics 30, L1352 (1991).
14. Chen, M.-W. et al. Large-grain MBE-grown GaSe on GaAs with a Mexican hat-like valence band dispersion. npj 2D Materials and Applications 2, 2 (2018).
15. Jurca, H. et al. Highly oriented star-like patterns observed on GaSe epilayers grown on Si (111). Thin Solid Films 515, 1470–1474 (2006).
16. Vinh, L. T. et al. The van der Waals epitaxial growth of GaSe on Si (111). Journal of Applied Physics 81, 7289–7294 (1997).
17. Wu, C.-H. et al. Epitaxial single-crystal of GaSe epilayers grown on ac-sapphire substrate by molecular beam epitaxy: physica status solidi (a) 212, 2201–2204 (2015).
18. Dai, Z., Chegwidden, S., Rumaner, L. & Ohuchi, F. Microstructure evolution of GaSe thin films grown on GaAs (100) by molecular beam epitaxy. Journal of applied physics 85, 2603–2608 (1999).
19. Lopez-Lopez, M., Guillen-Cervantes, A., Rivera-Alvarez, Z. & Hernandez-Calderon, I. Hillocks formation during the molecular beam epitaxial growth of ZnSe on GaAs substrates. Journal of crystal growth 193, 528–534 (1998).
20. Abe, H., Ueno, K., Sakii, K. & Koma, A. Heteroepitaxial growth of layered GaSe films on GaAs (001) surfaces. Japanese journal of applied physics 32, L1444 (1993).
21. Zhuang, A. et al. Screw-Dislocation-Driven Bidirectional Spiral Growth of Bi2Se3 Nanoplates. Angewandte Chemie International Edition 54, 6425–6429 (2015).
22. Zhang, L. et al. Three-dimensional spirals of atomic layered MoS2. Nano letters 14, 6418–6423 (2014).
23. Kumar, P. & Viswanath, B. Effect of sulfur evaporation rate on screw dislocation driven growth of MoS2 with high atomic step density. Crystal Growth & Design 16, 7145–7154 (2016).
24. Ly, T. H. et al. Vertically conductive MoS2 spiral pyramid. Advanced Materials 28, 7723–7728 (2016).
25. Fan, X. et al. Controllable growth and formation mechanisms of dislocated WS2 spirals. Nano letters 18, 3885–3892 (2018).
26. Xia, J. et al. Large-Scale Growth of Two-Dimensional SnS2 Crystals Driven by Screw Dislocations and Application to Photodetectors. Advanced Functional Materials 25, 4255–4261 (2015).
27. Nie, Y. et al. Dislocation driven spiral and non-spiral growth in layered chalcogenides. Nanoscale 10, 15023–15034 (2018).
28. Zhang, X., Tan, Q.-H., Wu, J.-B., Shi, W. & Tan, P.-H. Review on the Raman spectroscopy of different types of layered materials. Nanoscale 8, 6435–6450 (2016).
29. Lei, S. et al. Synthesis and photoresponse of large GaSe atomic layers. Nano letters 13, 2777–2781 (2013).
30. Irwin, J., Hoff, R., Clayman, B. & Bromley, R. Long wavelength lattice vibrations in GaSe and GaSe. Solid State Communications 13, 1531–1536 (1973).
31. Hoff, R. & Irwin, J. Resonant Raman scattering in GaSe. Physical Review B 10, 3464 (1974).
32. Gao, X. et al. Investigation of localized states in GaSe6B8 epilayers grown by molecular beam epitaxy. Scientific reports 6, 29112 (2016).
33. Cerisola, V. F., Capezzuto, P., Bruno, G., Ferroni, G. & Capozzi, V. N 2–H 2 remote plasma nitridation on GaAs surfaces passivation. Applied Physics Letters 81, 16–18 (2002).
34. Wei, C. et al. Bound exciton and free exciton states in GaSe thin slab. Scientific reports 6, 33890 (2016).
35. Desrat, W. et al. Superconducting GaSe layers grown by van der Waals epitaxy. Materials Research Express 5, 045901 (2018).
36. Yang, C. et al. Optical properties of self-assembled ZnTe quantum dots grown by molecular-beam epitaxy. Journal of Applied Physics 97, 033514 (2005).
37. Zhang, D., Jia, T., Dong, R. & Chen, D. Temperature-dependent photoluminescence emission from unstrained and strained GaSe nanosheets. Materials 10, 1282 (2017).
38. Del Pozo-Zamudio, O. et al. Photoluminescence of two-dimensional GaTe and GaSe films. 2D Materials 2, 035010 (2015).
39. Andres-Penares, D., Cros, A., Martinez-Pastor, J. P. & Sanchez-Royo, J. F. Quantum size confinement in gallium selenide nanosheets: band gap tunability versus stability limitation. Nanotechnology 28, 175701 (2017).
40. Zheng, S. et al. Giant enhancement of cathodoluminescence of monolayer transitional metal dichalcogenide nanosheets. Nano letters 17, 6475–6480 (2017).
41. Koma, A., Sunouchi, K. & Miyajima, T. Fabrication of ictritthin heterostructures with van der Waals epitaxy, AVS, (1985).
42. Cai, H. et al. Band engineering by controlling vdW epitaxy growth mode in 2D gallium chalcogenides. Advanced Materials 28, 7375–7382 (2016).
43. Wu, Y. et al. Simultaneous large continuous band gap tunability and photoluminescence enhancement in GaSe nanosheets via elastic strain engineering. Nano Energy 32, 157–164 (2017).
44. Hoff, R. M., Irwin, J. & Lieth, R. Raman scattering in GaSe. Canadian Journal of Physics 53, 1606–1614 (1975).
Acknowledgements
This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan, under grant No. MOST 107-2112-M-009-004.

Author contributions
N.Q.D. conceived and designed the experiments, performed epitaxial growth of the material, and wrote the manuscript. N.Q.D., C.W.L. and S.K.W. performed optical characterizations. S.H.H. and E.Y.C. supported and performed structural characterizations. W.C.C. provided the idea and supervised the experiments. All authors analyzed the data, discussed the results, and revised the manuscript.

Competing interests
The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information
Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-54406-5.
Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to W.-C.C.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.
Publisher’s note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019