Glycolysis Metabolites and Risk of Atrial Fibrillation and Heart Failure in the PREDIMED Trial
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Abstract: The increased prevalence of atrial fibrillation (AF) and heart failure (HF) highlights the need to better understand the mechanisms underlying these cardiovascular diseases (CVDs). In the present study, we aimed to evaluate the association between glycolysis-related metabolites and the risk of AF and HF in a Mediterranean population at high risk of CVD. We used two case-control studies nested within the PREDIMED trial. A total of 512 incident AF cases matched to 734 controls,
and 334 incident HF cases matched to 508 controls, were included. Plasma metabolites were quantified by using hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography coupled with high-resolution negative ion mode MS detection. Conditional logistic regression analyses were performed. The results showed no association between baseline plasma glycolysis intermediates and other related metabolites with AF. Only phosphoglycerate was associated with a higher risk of HF (OR for 1 SD increase: 1.28; 95% CI: 1.06, 1.53). The present findings do not support a role of the glycolysis pathway in the pathogenesis of AF. However, the increased risk of HF associated with phosphoglycerate requires further studies.
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### 1. Introduction

Heart failure (HF) and atrial fibrillation (AF)—the most common type of arrhythmia—have emerged as major cardiac public health problems. The increasing prevalence of both conditions is associated with both increased morbidity and mortality [1,2]. HF and AF often coexist, and the two dysfunctions share many common risk factors including age, obesity, type 2 diabetes (T2D), and hypertension [3]. However, the traditional risk factors do not completely explain all AF and HF cases, and a deeper knowledge of the pathophysiology of HF and AF is needed [4,5]. In this sense, metabolomics could enhance our understanding of their pathogenic pathways, and help develop preventive strategies through the identification of novel risk biomarkers for these complex diseases.

In patients with AF and HF, perturbations of the glycolysis metabolism have been detected [6,7]. Nevertheless, it is still debated whether altered glycolysis metabolism happens and is implicated before the development of AF and HF, or whether it is a consequence of these diseases [8].

So far, prospective metabolomic studies on AF and HF have focused on a broad range of metabolites involved in various pathways, and just a few have also included glycolysis-related metabolites. In the Framingham Heart Study, sucrose, pyruvate, glucose/fructose/galactose, phosphoglycerate, α-glycerophosphate, phosphoenolpyruvate, and lactate intermediate glycolysis metabolites were not significantly associated with an increased risk of AF [9]. Similarly, in the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study, no association was observed between glycerol 3-phosphate, lactate, and AF incidence [10]. Regarding HF, the evidence is even more limited. Only the ARIC study has evaluated the association between plasma lactate and incident HF, showing an increased risk in those individuals located in the highest quartile compared to those in the lowest [11]. As a result, current knowledge about the association between intermediate metabolites of the glycolysis pathway and the development of HF and AF is scarce and inconclusive.

Our aim was to examine associations between baseline glycolysis intermediates (3-phosphoglycerate, hexose monophosphate, fructose/glucose/galactose, lactate, sucrose, α-glycerophosphate, phosphoenolpyruvate, and the ratio of phosphoenolpyruvate to lactate) and other related metabolites (hexose monophosphate and sucrose) and the risk of incident AF and HF in the PREDIMED study.

### 2. Results

Table 1 depicts the baseline characteristics of the study population for the two case-control studies. AF cases were more likely to have hypertension, HF cases were more likely to present diabetes, and both had higher BMI compared with controls.
Table 1. Baseline participant characteristics of heart failure (HF) and atrial fibrillation (AF) incident cases and controls.

| Variables                        | Atrial Fibrillation Controls (n = 545) | Atrial Fibrillation Cases (n = 512) | Heart Failure Controls (n = 408) | Heart Failure Cases (n = 334) | p-Value |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Age (years)                      | 68.39 (6.21)                           | 68.28 (6.07)                        | 70.12 (5.92)                    | 70.34 (5.90)                  | 0.615   |
| Women (%)                        | 271 (49.7)                             | 255 (49.8)                          | 1                               | 224 (54.9)                    | 0.337   |
| BMI (kg/m²)                      | 29.71 (3.7)                            | 30.67 (3.8)                         | <0.001                          | 29.24 (3.6)                   | <0.001  |
| LTFA (METs-min/d)                | 231.2 (223.0)                          | 228.1 (216.6)                       | 0.823                           | 212.9 (218.6)                 | 0.837   |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)   | 146 (19)                               | 149 (22)                            | 0.239                           | 145 (20)                      | 0.009   |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)  | 82 (10)                                | 82 (12)                             | 0.765                           | 80 (10)                       | 0.812   |
| Fasting glucose (mg/dL)          | 120.5 (39.0)                           | 121.8 (44.3)                        | 0.631                           | 121 (40)                      | 0.011   |
| LDL cholesterol (mg/dL)          | 130.5 (31.5)                           | 128.1 (34.4)                        | 0.255                           | 128.6 (34.4)                  | 0.591   |
| Hypertension (%)                 | 447 (82.0)                             | 452 (88.3)                          | 0.006                           | 335 (82.1)                    | 0.045   |
| Dyslipidemia (%)                 | 382 (70.1)                             | 332 (64.8)                          | 0.079                           | 281 (68.9)                    | 0.193   |
| T2D (%)                          | 278 (51.0)                             | 245 (47.9)                          | 0.335                           | 212 (52.0)                    | 0.066   |
| Family history of premature CHD | 110 (20.2)                             | 98 (19.1)                           | 0.727                           | 0.46 (1.54)                   | 0.645   |
| Oral hypoglycemic agents (%)     | 117 (32.5)                             | 156 (30.5)                          | 0.525                           | 134 (32.8)                    | 0.048   |
| Lipid-lowering agents (%)        | 225 (41.3)                             | 207 (40.4)                          | 0.826                           | 166 (40.7)                    | 0.870   |
| Antihypertensive agents (%)      | 110 (20.2)                             | 143 (27.9)                          | 0.004                           | 96 (23.5)                     | 0.603   |
| Other medication use (%)         | 137 (25.1)                             | 152 (29.7)                          | 0.112                           | 109 (26.7)                    | <0.001  |
| Smoking (%)                      | 76 (13.9)                              | 73 (14.3)                           | 46 (11.3)                       | 48 (14.4)                     | 0.442   |
| Current smoker                   | 154 (28.3)                             | 138 (27.0)                          | 109 (26.7)                      | 84 (25.1)                     |         |
| Never smoker                     | 315 (57.8)                             | 301 (58.8)                          | 253 (62.0)                      | 202 (60.5)                    |         |
| Intervention group (%)           | 188 (34.5)                             | 189 (36.9)                          | 148 (36.3)                      | 122 (36.5)                    | 0.059   |
| Control                          | 120/177                                | 122/179                             | 131/182                         | 129/182                       | 512/734 |
| MedDiet plus EVOO                | 201/369                                | 163/318                             | 156/382                         | 104/311                       |         |
| MedDiet plus nuts                | 156/286                                | 160/312                             | 104/25.5                        | 108/32.3                      |         |

Data are shown as mean (SD) or N (%), as appropriate. Abbreviations: LTFA: leisure time physical activity; T2D: type 2 diabetes; CHD: coronary heart disease; MedDiet: Mediterranean diet; EVOO: extra-virgin olive oil; MET, metabolic equivalent of task. Duplicate controls, and those controls that were also cases during the follow-up, were removed.

2.1. Baseline Glycolysis Intermediate Metabolites, Other Related Metabolites, and Risk of AF

Table 2 shows the association between baseline plasma metabolites and the risk of AF incidence. Overall, no significant associations were observed between any of the metabolites analyzed and AF.

No significant interactions were observed except for the association between α-glycerophosphate and AF, according to the intervention groups. The matched OR and 95% CI per 1-SD increment in the control group was 1.48 (1.11, 1.99), whereas no significant association was observed in the intervention (MedDiet+EVOO and MedDiet+mixed nuts combined) group.

Table 2. Association between baseline plasma glycolysis species or other related metabolites and incident atrial fibrillation.

| Metabolite                        | Q1   | Q2   | Q3   | Q4   | P-Trend | Per 1-SD |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|------|---------|----------|
| Phosphoglycerate                  | 122/188 | 141/182 | 132/179 | 117/185 | 512/734 |
| Crude model                       | 1 (Ref) | 1.21 (0.86, 1.71) | 1.06 (0.74, 1.52) | 0.89 (0.62, 1.3) | 0.4212  | 0.98 (0.86, 1.11) |
| Multivariate model                | 1 (Ref) | 1.21 (0.85, 1.73) | 1.05 (0.72, 1.52) | 0.87 (0.59, 1.28) | 0.3796  | 0.96 (0.84, 1.1)  |
| Hexose monophosphate              | 135/177 | 120/177 | 122/191 | 131/182 | 508/727 |
| Crude model                       | 1 (Ref) | 0.88 (0.62, 1.24) | 0.8 (0.57, 1.14) | 0.92 (0.65, 1.3) | 0.6319  | 0.95 (0.84, 1.07) |
| Multivariate model                | 1 (Ref) | 0.87 (0.61, 1.24) | 0.79 (0.55, 1.15) | 0.94 (0.65, 1.36) | 0.7371  | 0.94 (0.82, 1.07) |
| Fructose/glucose/galactose        | 144/188 | 122/184 | 117/180 | 129/182 | 512/734 |
| Crude model                       | 1 (Ref) | 0.84 (0.61, 1.17) | 0.79 (0.57, 1.11) | 0.9 (0.65, 1.25) | 0.4974  | 1.00 (0.89, 1.13) |
| Model 2                           | 1 (Ref) | 0.83 (0.59, 1.18) | 0.75 (0.51, 1.09) | 0.94 (0.63, 1.42) | 0.6463  | 1.03 (0.89, 1.19) |
2.2. Baseline Glycolysis Intermediate Metabolites, Other Related Metabolites, and Risk of HF

Associations between baseline plasma metabolites and HF risk are shown in Table 3. In the fully adjusted model, we only observed a significant association per one SD increment in levels of phosphoglycerate (OR for 1 SD increase: 1.28; 95% CI: 1.06, 1.53), whereas no association was observed when this metabolite was modeled as quartiles. Fructose/glucose/galactose metabolites were associated with an increased risk of HF in the crude model (adjusted for age, sex, and recruitment center by the matching approach of controls) when modeled as continuous (OR for 1 SD increase: 1.17; 95% CI: 1.01, 1.35), but this association did not reach statistical significance after multivariate adjustment. Similarly, sucrose was significantly associated with higher risk of HF when modeled as both quartiles (OR: 1.92; 95% CI: 1.26, 2.94 for Q4 vs. Q1) and continuous (OR for 1 SD increase: 1.26; 95% CI: 1.08, 1.47) in the raw analysis, but the association disappeared in the multivariate model.

We found a significant statistical interaction (p-value < 0.05) between the intervention group (MedDiet groups merged vs. control group) and phosphoglycerate and sucrose when modeled as continuous. The risk of AF was higher in participants allocated to the control group (OR for 1 SD increase: 1.67; 95% CI: 1.21, 2.30, and OR for 1 SD increase: 1.48; 95% CI: 1.11, 1.99 for phosphoglycerate and sucrose, respectively). We also observed a significant statistical interaction with T2D for phosphoglycerate, showing a higher risk of AF in those individuals with T2D (OR for 1 SD increase: 1.57; 95% CI: 1.24, 1.98). No other interactions were observed between baseline metabolites, the intervention group, and T2D.

### Table 3. Association between baseline plasma glycolysis species and other related metabolites and incident heart failure.

| Metabolite | Q1     | Q2     | Q3     | Q4     | P-Trend | Per 1-SD |
|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|----------|
| Phosphoglycerate | 72/122 | 82/130 | 84/119 | 95/136 | 333/507 |
| Crude model  | 1 (Ref) | 1.08 (0.72, 1.63) | 1.30 (0.83, 2.03) | 1.25 (0.81, 1.93) | 0.2808 | **1.17 (1.00, 1.38)** |
| Multivariate model | 1 (Ref) | 1.30 (0.81, 2.1) | 1.43 (0.86, 2.38) | 1.54 (0.94, 2.54) | 0.0975 | **1.28 (1.06, 1.53)** |
| Hexose monophosphate | 88/133 | 73/126 | 72/123 | 96/122 | 329/504 |
| Crude model  | 1 (Ref) | 0.87 (0.57, 1.35) | 0.89 (0.58, 1.36) | 1.24 (0.8, 1.9) | 0.3519 | **1.08 (0.92, 1.27)** |
| Multivariate model | 1 (Ref) | 0.93 (0.57, 1.53) | 0.86 (0.54, 1.39) | 1.16 (0.71, 1.88) | 0.6258 | **1.05 (0.87, 1.25)** |
### Fructose/glucose/galactose \(^1\)

| Metabolite          | Without Diabetes | With Diabetes | Without Diabetes | With Diabetes |
|---------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| Phosphoglycerate    | 0.89 (0.73, 1.07)| 1.03 (0.87, 1.23)| 0.95 (0.72, 1.25)| 1.57 (1.24, 1.98)|
| Hexose monophosphate| 0.90 (0.75, 1.09)| 0.97 (0.81, 1.16)| 0.83 (0.63, 1.1)| 1.19 (0.95, 1.48)|
| Fructose/glucose/galactose| 1.12 (0.88, 1.43)| 0.99 (0.82, 1.19)| 1.04 (0.73, 1.47)| 1.01 (0.79, 1.28)|
| Lactate             | 1.01 (0.85, 1.21)| 1.10 (0.92, 1.31)| 1.01 (0.77, 1.32)| 1.01 (0.8, 1.27)|
| Sucrose             | 1.05 (0.88, 1.25)| 0.97 (0.81, 1.17)| 1.11 (0.87, 1.41)| 1.18 (0.94, 1.48)|
| α-glycerophosphate  | 1.18 (0.97, 1.43)| 1.04 (0.86, 1.26)| 1.15 (0.87, 1.52)| 0.95 (0.76, 1.18)|
| PEP                 | 1.13 (0.93, 1.37)| 1.11 (0.89, 1.38)| 1.00 (0.76, 1.31)| 1.10 (0.86, 1.4)|
| Ratio PEP:lactate   | 1.12 (0.92, 1.37)| 1.05 (0.85, 1.29)| 1.01 (0.76, 1.34)| 1.09 (0.85, 1.39)|

Matched odds ratios are described (95% CI). Multivariate models were adjusted for the intervention group (Mediterranean diet vs. control group), body mass index (kg/m²), smoking (current, former, or never), leisure time physical activity (metabolic equivalent tasks in min/d), prevalent chronic conditions at baseline (dyslipidemia, hypertension, and type 2 diabetes), family history of coronary heart disease, education level (primary or lower/secondary or higher), and medication for dyslipidemia, hypertension, and type 2 diabetes. \(^1\) case-controls are described for each metabolite. Abbreviations: PEP: Phosphoenolpyruvate; Ref: reference. Bold font indicates statistical significance.

### 2.3. Baseline Glycolysis Intermediate Metabolites, Other Related Metabolites, and Risk of AF and HF By Diabetes Status

Associations between baseline plasma metabolites and AF and HF risk by diabetes status are shown in Table 4. No associations were observed between a 1-SD increase in any of the metabolites and AF by diabetic status. Regarding HF risk, only a significant positive association was observed between a 1-SD increase in phosphoglycerate in participants with diabetes (OR for 1 SD increase: 1.57; 95% CI: 1.24, 1.99). No other significant associations were observed.

**Table 4.** Association between baseline plasma glycolysis species (per 1-SD increase) and other related metabolites, and incident atrial fibrillation and heart failure, by diabetes status.
3. Discussion

The results of the present analysis, which included two case-control studies nested within the PREDIMED trial, showed no association between plasma glycolysis and related metabolites and AF risk. Phosphoglycerate was the only metabolite associated with a higher risk of HF when modeled as a continuous variable. Of note, interactions between phosphoglycerate and the intervention group and T2D status were found, showing that the adverse effect of this metabolite on HF was limited to the control group and individuals with T2D.

Previous studies have reported glucose oxidation impairment and increased glycolysis activity in patients with HF, which is reflected by higher levels of pyruvate and/or lactate compared to healthy controls [8,12–14]. It has been suggested that these changes in myocardial metabolism may precede cardiac anatomical modifications that lead to heart failure [15]. However, it is still unclear if altered glycolysis metabolic profiling could help to identify those patients at high risk for AF and HF.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study specifically conducted to evaluate the association between baseline glycolysis intermediates and other related metabolites and the risk of AF and HF. In agreement with our findings, in the Framingham Heart Study, plasma sucrose, phosphoglycerate, α-glycerophosphate, PEP, pyruvate, glucose/fructose/galactose, and lactate were unassociated with AF development [9]. Similarly, no associations between serum glycerol 3-phosphate, lactate, and glucose identified through a non-targeted metabolomic approach and the risk of AF were reported in the ARIC study [10]. Although these results suggest that glycolysis intermediate metabolites do not influence the development of AF, the paucity of studies in this field does not allow us to draw solid conclusions.

Regarding HF, studies are even scarcer. To the best of our knowledge, only one metabolomic study, conducted in the framework of the ARIC study, has analyzed the association between plasma lactate and incident HF, showing that individuals in the highest quartile had a 35% increased risk of HF development compared to those in the lowest quartile [11]. The discrepancies in our results could be due to the method used to analyze lactate. In the ARIC study plasma lactate was analyzed using an enzymatic reaction to convert lactate to pyruvate. However, in the present study, lactate was measured using hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography, coupled with high-resolution negative ion mode mass spectrometry detection. Of note, the higher risk of HF associated with phosphoglycerate observed in the present analysis deserves further study since, as far as we know, this is the first time that this association has been reported. Importantly, serum uric acid—a putative modulator of carbohydrate and lipid metabolism [16]—has been recently found to be an independent risk factor for both HF and fatal HF in an Italian cohort study of more than 20,000 subjects [17].

The present study has some limitations that should be considered. First, the study participants comprised elderly individuals at high risk of CVD, making the generalization of the findings difficult. Second, we do not have information for pyruvate, the end product of glycolysis, because it was one of the metabolites most susceptible to column degradation during the HILIC-neg analyses and did not pass the quality control process. However, to overcome this important limitation, we calculated the PEP to lactate ratio, so as to have an indirect measure of pyruvate. Third, we did not adjust our analyses for HF for the use of diuretics, which is common in these patients. Fourth, although metabolomics have the advantage of detecting a broad range of metabolites that can have important clinical utility in relation to disease prediction, blood and urine metabolites cannot fully inform us about the metabolic changes occurring only in the myocardium or any particular organ since, for this purpose, the analysis of changes in metabolites across the organ must be assessed [18]. Participants included in the current study presented other comorbidities, such as obesity or type 2 diabetes, which are diseases accompanied by pathological changes in multiple systems and organs, and previously associated with glycolysis-related metabolites [19]. This fact could contribute to the plasma metabolomics profile.
obscuring the true association between glycolysis intermediate metabolites and AF and HF risk.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Study Design and Participants

The PREDED trial (ISRCTN35739639) was a randomized, multicenter, parallel-group clinical trial conducted in Spain to evaluate the effectiveness of two Mediterranean diets (one supplemented with extra virgin olive oil and the other with mixed nuts) on the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease (CVD), compared to a low-fat control diet. The design and methods of the PREDED study can be found elsewhere (PREDES website: http://www.premed.es (accessed on 1 April 2021), and [20]). Briefly, 7447 elderly participants aged 55–80 years at high risk of CVD were recruited, from 2003 to 2009, and allocated to one of the three possible intervention groups: MedDiet supplemented with EVOO, MedDiet supplemented with mixed nuts, and low-fat control group. Eligible participants were men and women free from CVD at baseline who reported either T2D or at least three major risk factors including smoking, elevated LDL cholesterol levels, low HDL cholesterol levels, hypertension, overweight or obesity, or a family history of premature coronary heart disease.

For the present analysis, we used two case-control studies nested within the PREDED trial. Figure 1 shows the flowchart for both case-control studies. A total of 512 and 334 incident cases of AF and HF were ascertained, respectively, after excluding prevalent cases, incident cases without plasma samples, and cases without HILIC-negative metabolites. We selected matched controls by using the incidence density sampling with replacement [21]. This method involves randomly matching each case to a sample of all of those participants who are at risk at the time of the occurrence of the incident case. Selected controls could be sampled again as a control for future cases, and may later become cases themselves [22]. One to three controls per case were matched by year of birth (±5 years), sex, and recruitment center. The number of controls was 734 and 508 for AF and HF, respectively (Figure 1).

![Flowchart of the study subjects](Image)

Figure 1. Flowchart of the study subjects. * Incidence density sampling with replacement was used as the control sampling method. † Case-control sets matched by age, sex, and recruitment center. Abbreviations: AF: atrial fibrillation; HF: heart failure; HILIC: hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography; PREDIMED: Prevención con Dieta Mediterránea.
4.2. Sample Collection and Metabolomic Analysis

Participants provided fasting blood samples at their baseline visits, which were processed to obtain plasma and stored at ~80 °C at each recruitment center until analysis. In order to reduce bias and inter-assay variability, samples from case–control pairs were randomly sorted and analyzed in the same batch. Intermediate glycolysis metabolites—phosphoglycerate (HMDB0000807), fructose/glucose/galactose (HMDB0000122), lactate (HMDB0000190), α-glycerophosphate (HMDB0000126), and phosphoenolpyruvate (HMDB0000263)—and other related metabolites—hexose monophosphate (HMDB0000124) and sucrose (HMDB0000258)—were measured using hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography coupled with high-resolution negative ion mode mass spectrometry detection (HILIC-neg), as previously described [23,24]. Specifically, HILIC analyses of water-soluble metabolites in the HILIC-neg mode were conducted using an LC-MS system composed of an ACQUITY UPLC system (Waters) and a QTRAP 5500 mass spectrometer (SCIEX). Plasma samples (30 μL) were prepared via protein precipitation, with the addition of 4 volumes of 80% methanol containing inosine-15N4, thymine-d4, and glycocholate-d4 internal standards (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories). Samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 9000×g, maintaining a stable temperature of 4 °C, and the supernatants were injected directly into a 150 × 2.0 mm Luna NH2 column (Phenomenex). The column was eluted at a flow rate of 400 μL/min with initial conditions of 10% mobile phase A (20 mmol/L ammonium acetate and 20 mmol/L ammonium hydroxide in water) and 90% mobile phase B (10 mmol/L ammonium hydroxide in 75:25 v/v acetonitrile/methanol), followed by a 10-min linear gradient to 100% mobile phase A. MS analyses were performed using electrospray ionization and selective multiple reaction monitoring scans in the negative ion mode. To enable assessment of data quality, and to facilitate data standardization across the analytical queue and sample batches, pairs of pooled plasma reference samples were analyzed at intervals of 20 study samples. One sample from each pair of pooled references served as a passive quality control sample to evaluate the analytical reproducibility for measurement of each metabolite, while the other pooled sample was used to standardize using a “nearest neighbor” approach, as previously described [25]. Standardized values were calculated using the ratio of the value in each sample over the nearest pooled plasma reference multiplied by the median value measured across the pooled references.

Pyruvate (HMDB0000243) was one of the metabolites most susceptible to column degradation during the HILIC-neg analyses, and did not pass the quality control process. Therefore, information about this metabolite was not included in the present analyses. Similarly, hexose diphosphate (HMDB0001058) was not analyzed because of the high amount of missing values (>60%). We also calculated the PEP to lactate ratio. All metabolomic analyses were performed at the Broad Institute of MIT & Harvard.

4.3. Outcome Assessment

AF and HF were considered to be secondary endpoints in the PREDIMED trial protocol (a composite of non-fatal myocardial infarction, stroke, and cardiovascular disease death being the primary endpoint). In the present analysis we considered all of the incident cases diagnosed between 2003 and December 2017. Participants from one recruitment center were censored at December 2014 to be selected as controls, since in this center the follow-up was stopped prematurely.

Physicians blinded to the intervention group collected information related to these outcomes from continuous contact with participants and primary health care physicians, yearly follow-up visits, and annual ad hoc reviews of medical charts and consultation of the National Death Index. When a clinical diagnosis of AF or HF was made, the corresponding clinical records of hospital discharge, outpatient clinics, and family physicians’ records were collected. The medical charts were codified with an alphanumeric code and sent anonymously to the clinical endpoint adjudication committee, which adjudicated the
events according to prespecified criteria. All relevant documents were independently appraised by two cardiologists, and any disagreement on the classification of the event was solved by contacting a third cardiologist (the committee’s chair). In some cases, further data were demanded in order to complete the adjudication.

AF cases were identified from an annual review of all medical records of each participant, and annual electrocardiograms (ECG) performed at yearly follow-up visits. When AF was present in the ECG or quoted anywhere in the medical reports, the relevant documents were sent to the clinical endpoint committee for their evaluation. We did not include AF events associated with myocardial infarction or cardiac surgeries.

HF diagnosis was made in accordance with the 2005 guidelines of the European Society of Cardiology [26].

4.4. Covariates Assessment

At baseline, a general questionnaire about lifestyle, medical history, educational level, medication use, and previous history of diseases was administered to all participants. The Spanish version of the Minnesota Leisure Time Physical Activity Questionnaire [27] was used to assess physical activity. Trained study personnel took anthropometric measurements, and BMI was estimated as weight divided by height squared (kg/m²).

4.5. Statistical Analysis

We normalized and scaled all individual metabolites in multiples of 1 SD using Blom’s inverse normal transformation [28]. The baseline characteristics of the study population were presented for cases and controls expressed as mean ± SD for quantitative traits and n (%) for categorical variables. The participants were divided into quartiles of intermediate glycolysis metabolites, with cut-off points estimated according to the distribution of metabolites among the controls (participants who did not develop HF or AF during the follow-up). Matched odds ratios (OR) and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs), considering the first quartile as the reference category, were calculated using conditional logistic models, which considered the matching between cases and controls. We also calculated the matched ORs for 1-SD increments of each metabolite, including them as continuous variables. We performed crude models (matched by sex, age, and recruitment center) and multivariate models adjusted for the intervention group (MedDiet vs. control group), body mass index (kg/m²), smoking (current, former, or never), leisure time physical activity (metabolic equivalent tasks in min/d), prevalent chronic conditions at baseline (dyslipidemia, hypertension, and T2D), family history of coronary heart disease, education level (primary or lower/secondary or higher), and medication for dyslipidemia, hypertension, and T2D. The test for interactions between individual metabolites as continuous (per 1-SD increment) and the intervention group (both MedDiet groups combined vs. the control group) or T2D prevalence was performed by means of likelihood ratio tests.

All statistical procedures were performed using R v. 3.6.3 statistical software, and a two-sided P-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, J.S.-S., P.H.-A., N.B.-T., and M.R.-C.; methodology, K.P., C.B.C., N.B.-T., and P.H.-A.; software, P.H.-A. and N.B.-T.; validation, N.B.-T., M.R.-C., P.H.-A., M.B., J.L., M.G.-F., E.T., C.B.C., R.E., E.R., M.F., C.-H.L., K.P., F.A., L.S.-M., L.L., C.R., E.G.-G., M.A.M.-G., F.B.H., D.C., and J.S.-S.; formal analysis, N.B.-T., M.R.-C., P.H.-A., and J.S.-S.; investigation, N.B.-T., M.R.-C., P.H.-A., M.B., J.L., M.G.-F., E.T., C.B.C., R.E., E.R., M.F., C.-H.L., K.P., F.A., L.S.-M., L.L., C.R., E.G.-G., M.A.M.-G., F.B.H., D.C., and J.S.-S.; resources, J.S.-S., F.B.H., and M.A.M.-G.; data curation, P.H.-A. and N.B.-T.; writing—original draft preparation, N.B.-T., M.R.-C., P.H.-A., and J.S.-S.; writing—review and editing, N.B.-T., P.H.-A., and J.S.-S.; visualization, N.B.-T., M.R.-C., P.H.-A., M.B., J.L., M.G.-F., E.T., C.B.C., R.E., E.R., M.F., C.-H.L., K.P., F.A., L.S.-M., L.L., C.R., E.G.-G., M.A.M.-G., F.B.H., D.C., and J.S.-S.; supervision, J.S.-S., D.C., and P.H.-A.; project administration, N.B.-T., M.R.-C., P.H.-A., M.B., J.L., M.G.-F., E.T., C.B.C., R.E., E.R., M.F., C.-H.L., K.P., F.A., L.S.-M., L.L., C.R., E.G.-G., M.A.M.-G., F.B.H., D.C., and J.S.-S.; funding acquisition, J.S.-S., F.B.H., and M.A.M.-G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.
**Funding:** This research was funded by The National Institutes of Health (R01HL118264), the Spanish Ministry of Health (Instituto de Salud Carlos III), and the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad-Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (Projects CNIC-06/2007, RTIC G03/140, CIBER 06/03, PI06-1326, PI07-0954, PI11/02505, SAF2016-80532, SAF2009-12304 and AGL2010-22319-C03-03), and by the Generalitat Valenciana (PROMETEO 17/2017, ACOMP2010-181, AP111/10, AP-042/11, ACOMP2011/145, ACOMP/2012/190, ACOMP/2013/159 and ACOMP/213/165), and CIBER Obesidad y Nutrición, Madrid, Spain, Fondo de Investigación Sanitaria, Madrid, Spain. THEMATIC NETWORK “PREDIMED”, RD 06/0045, coordinated by MAM-G, funded by ISCIII 2006-2013. N. Becerra-Tomás, a postdoctoral fellowship (Juan de la Cierva Formación, FJCD2018-036016-1); P. Hernández-Alonso, a postdoctoral fellowship (Juan de la Cierva Formación, FJCI-2017-32205); M. Guasch-Ferré, American Diabetes Association grant #1-18-PMF-029; E. Ros, grants for research through his institution from the California Walnut Commission (CWC); J. Salas-Salvadó (senior author of this work), partially supported by ICREA under the ICREA Academia programme, grants/research support from the American Pistachio Growers and International Nut and Dried Fruit Foundation through his Institution.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The study was conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Navarra University (protocol 2017.154_2012.104, date 24 July 2017).

**Informed Consent Statement:** Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data described in the manuscript, code book, and analytic code will not be made publicly available. PREDIMED data can be requested by signing a data sharing agreement as approved by the relevant research ethics committees and the steering committee of the PREDIMED trial (www.predimed.es (accessed on 1 April 2021)).

**Acknowledgments:** The authors thank all of the PREDIMED participants for their collaboration, and all of the dietitians, nurses, and affiliated primary care centers’ personnel for making the PREDIMED trial possible.

**Conflicts of Interest:** MAM-G received the C. Marti-Henneberg Award to his scientific trajectory in nutrition in 2021, funded by the Danone Institute, and he donated these funds (17,000 € after taxes) to a charity. ER and RE report grants, personal fees, non-financial support, and other support from the California Walnut Commission, during the conduct of the study; grants, personal fees, non-financial support, and other support from Alcion; personal fees, non-financial support, and other support from Ferrer International and Danone; and personal fees and other support from Amarin, outside of the submitted work. JS-S is a nonpaid member of the Scientific Committee of the International Nut and Dried Fruit Foundation, has received grants/research support from the American Pistachio Growers and International Nut and Dried Fruit Foundation through his institution; has received honoraria from Nuts for Life, Danone, and Eroski; reports personal fees from Danone; and is a member of the executive committee of Instituto Danone Spain and a member of the Danone Institute International. All other authors report no conflicts of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study, in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data, in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

**References**

1. Braunwald, E. Cardiovascular Medicine at the Turn of the Millennium: Triumphs, Concerns, and Opportunities. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 1997, 1360–1369, doi:10.1056/nejm199711063371906.
2. Farmakis, D.; Chrysohoou, C.; Giamouzis, G.; Giannakoulas, G.; Hamilos, M.; Naka, K.; Tzeis, S.; Xydonas, S.; Karavidas, A.; Parissis, J. The management of atrial fibrillation in heart failure: An expert panel consensus. *Heart Fail. Rev.* 2020, 1–14, doi:10.1007/s10741-020-09978-0.
3. Anter, E.; Jessup, M.; Callans, D.J. Atrial fibrillation and heart failure: Treatment considerations for a dual epidemic. *Circulation 2009, 119*, 2516–2525, doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.821306.
4. Zannad, F. Rising incidence of heart failure demands action. *Lancet 2018, 391*, 518–519, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32873-8.
5. Rienstra, M.; McManus, D.D.; Benjamin, E.J. Novel risk factors for atrial fibrillation: Useful for risk prediction and clinical decision making? *Circulation 2012, 125*, e941–e946, doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.112920.
6. Diakos, N.A.; Navankassatosas, S.; Abel, E.D.; Rutter, J.; McCleath, L.; Ferrin, P.; McKellar, S.H.; Miller, D.V.; Park, S.Y.; Richardson, R.S.; et al. Evidence of Glycolysis Up-Regulation and Pyruvate Mitochondrial Oxidation Mismatch During Mechanical Unloading of the Failing Human Heart: Implications for Cardiac Reloading and Conditioning. *JACC Basic Transl. Sci.* 2016, 1, 432–444, doi:10.1016/j.jaccs.2016.06.009.
7. Kourliouros, A.; Yin, X.; Didangelos, A.; Hosseini, M.T.; Valencia, O.; Mayr, M.; Jahangiri, M. Substrate modifications precede the development of atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery: A proteomic study. *Ann. Thorac. Surg.* 2011, 92, 104–110, doi:10.1016/j.athorsur.2011.03.071.

8. Qiu, J.; Hu, H.; Zhou, S.; Liu, Q. Alteration of myocardium glucose metabolism in atrial fibrillation: Cause or effect? *Int. J. Cardiol.* 2016, 203, 722–723, doi:10.1016/j.ijcard.2015.11.015.

9. Ko, D.; Riles, E.M.; Marcos, E.G.; Magnani, J.W.; Lubitz, S.A.; Lin, H.; Long, M.T.; Schnabel, R.B.; McManus, D.D.; Ellinor, P.T.; et al. Metabolomic Profiling in Relation to New-Onset Atrial Fibrillation (from the Framingham Heart Study). *Am. J. Cardiol.* 2016, 118, 1493–1496, doi:10.1016/j.amjcard.2016.08.010.

10. Alonso, A.; Yu, B.; Sun, Y.V.; Chen, L.Y.; Loehr, L.R.; O’Neal, W.T.; Soliman, E.Z.; Boerwinkle, E. Serum Metabolomics and Incidence of Atrial Fibrillation in the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study. *Am. J. Cardiol.* 2019, 123, 1955–1961, doi:10.1016/j.amjcard.2019.03.017.

11. Matsushita, K.; Williams, E.K.; Mongraw-Chaffin, M.L.; Coresh, J.; Schmidt, M.I.; Brancati, F.L.; Hoogeveen, R.C.; Ballantyne, C.M.; Young, J.H. The association of plasma lactate with incident cardiovascular outcomes. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 2013, 178, 401–409, doi:10.1093/aje/kwt002.

12. Tenori, L.; Hu, X.; Pantaleo, P.; Alterini, B.; Castelli, G.; Olivotto, I.; Bertini, I.; Luchinat, C.; Gensini, G.F. Metabolomic fingerprint of heart failure in humans: A nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy analysis. *Int. J. Cardiol.* 2013, 168, e113–e115, doi:10.1016/j.ijcard.2013.08.042.

13. Wang, J.; Li, Z.; Chen; J.; Zhao, H.; Luo, L.; Chen, C.; Xu, X.; Zhang, W.; Gao, K.; Li, B.; et al. Metabolomic identification of diagnostic plasma biomarkers in humans with chronic heart failure. *Mol. Biosyst.* 2013, 9, 2618–2626, doi:10.1039/c3mb20227h.

14. Alexander, D.; Lombardi, R.; Rodriguez, G.; Mitchell, M.M.; Marian, A.J. Metabolomic distinction and insights into the pathogenesis of human primary dilated cardiomyopathy. *Eur. J. Clin. Investig.* 2011, 41, 527–538, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2632.2010.04411.x.

15. Van Bilsen, M.; Van Nieuwenhoven, F.A.; Van Der Vusse, G.J. Metabolic remodelling of the failing heart: Beneficial or detrimental? *Cardiovasc. Res.* 2009, 81, 420–428, doi:10.1093/cvr/cvn282.

16. Lima, W.G.; Martins-Santos, M.E.S.; Chaves, V.C. Uric acid as a modulator of glucose and lipid metabolism. *Biochimie* 2015, 116, 17–23.

17. Muiesan, M.L.; Salvetti, M.; Virdis, A.; Masi, S.; Casiglia, E.; Tikhonoff, V.; Barbagallo, C.M.; Bombelli, M.; Cicero, A.F.G.; Cirillo, M.; et al. Serum uric acid predicts heart failure in a large Italian cohort: Search for a cut-off value the URic acid Right for heArt Health study. *J. Hypertens.* 2021, 39, 62–69, doi:10.1097/HJH.0000000000002589.

18. Ussher, J.R.; Elmariah, S.; Gerszten, R.E.; Dyck, J.R.B. The Emerging Role of Metabolomics in the Diagnosis and Prognosis of Cardiovascular Disease. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2016, 68, 2850–2870, doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2016.09.972.

19. Guasch-Ferré, M.; Santos, J.L.; Martínez-González, M.A.; Clích, C.B.; Razquin, C.; Wang, D.; Liang, L.; Li, J.; Dennis, C.; Corella, D.; et al. Glycolysis/gluconeogenesis- and tricarboxylic acid cycle-related metabolites, Mediterranean diet, and type 2 diabetes. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2020, 111, 835–844, doi:10.1093/ajcn/nqaa016.

20. Martínez-González, M.A.; Corella, D.; Salas-Salvadó, J.; Ros, E.; Covas, M.I.; Fiol, M.; Wärnberg, J.; Arós, F.; Ruiz-Gutiérrez, V.; Lamuela-Raventós, R.M.; et al. Horizon profile: Design and methods of the PREMEDIM study. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 2012, 41, 377–385, doi:10.1093/ije/dys250.

21. Lubin, J.H.; Gail, M.H. Biased Selection of Controls for Case-Control Analyses of Cohort Studies. *Biometrics* 1984, 40, 63–75, doi:10.2307/2530744.

22. Wang, M.H.; Shugart, Y.Y.; Cole, S.R.; Platz, E.A. A simulation study of control sampling methods for nested case-control studies of genetic and molecular biomarkers and prostate cancer progression. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev.* 2009, 18, 706–711, doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-08-0839.

23. Paynter, N.P.; Balasubramanian, R.; Giulianini, F.; Wang, D.D.; Tinker, L.F.; Gopal, S.; Deik, A.A.; Bullock, K.; Pierce, K.A.; Scott, J.; et al. Metabolic predictors of incident coronary heart disease in women. *Circulation* 2018, 137, 841–853, doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.029468.

24. Wang, T.J.; Ngo, D.; Psychogios, N.; Dejam, A.; Larson, M.G.; Vasan, R.S.; Ghorbani, A.; O’Sullivan, J.; Cheng, S.; Reeve, E.P.; et al. 2-Aminoadipic acid is a biomarker for diabetes risk. *J. Clin. Investig.* 2013, 123, 4309–4317, doi:10.1172/JCI64801.

25. Meyers, J.R.; Wu, C.; Chish, C.B.; Kraft, P.; Torrence, M.E.; Fiske, B.P.; Yuan, C.; Bao, Y.; Townsend, M.K.; Tworoger, S.S.; et al. Elevation of circulating branched-chain amino acids is an early event in human pancreatic adenocarcinoma development. *Nat. Med.* 2014, 20, 1193–1198, doi:10.1038/nm.3686.

26. Swedberg, K.; Cleland, J.; Dargie, H.; Drexler, H.; Follath, F.; Komajda, M.; Tavazzi, L.; Smiseth, O.A.; Gavazzi, A.; Haverich, A.; et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of chronic heart failure: Executive summary (update 2005). *Eur. Heart J.* 2005, 1115–1140, doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehi204.

27. Elousa, R.; Marrugat, J.; Molina, L.; Pons, S.; Pujol, E. Validation of the Minnesota Leisure Time Physical Activity Questionnaire in Spanish men. The MARATHOM Investigators. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 1994, 139, 1197–1209.

28. Blom, G. *Statistical Estimates and Transformed Beta-Variables*: John Wiley & Sons A/S: New York, NY, USA, 1958.