Coordinated Intervention of Microglial and Müller Cells in Light-Induced Retinal Degeneration
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LIGHT is necessary for vision but, paradoxically, can also damage the retina, an effect known as phototoxicity.1–4 Light exposure causes well-documented apoptotic cellular death, mostly to the outer retinal layers.2,5,6 Furthermore, light is also a known risk factor for some retinal degenerations.1–4,11,13,15–17 This model has several advantages to study the mechanisms of cell death in retinal degenerations.

Rods,18 is essential for the initiation of the death signal of light-induced retinal degeneration,6 suggesting that rods are primarily affected in this degeneration. Why cones become vulnerable and die following rod degeneration is still a source of discussion, and there are several theories that try to explain this cone-rod survival dependence.10,19–21 We have previously documented in rats that light exposure causes rapid photoreceptor death7 that proceeds with the appearance of ring-shaped areas lacking rods13 and cones.22 These rings of photoreceptor degeneration have also been observed in animal models of inherited retinal degeneration such as the P23H-1–23,24 or the S334ter-line-325–30 rat strains. These rings have been proposed to be caused by the Müller cell glial seal30 (see the following section). Because rods are lost at earlier ages in the degenerations,15,28,29,31 the reorganization of the surviving cones in rings could be related to a rod-cone dependent survival mechanism,22,23 but it has also been proposed to depend on other factors such as the influence of the retinal gliast32–34 and specifically to the activity and expression of zonula occludens by Müller cells.30

Purpose. To analyze the role of microglial and Müller cells in the formation of rings of photoreceptor degeneration caused by phototoxicity.

Methods. Two-month-old Sprague-Dawley rats were exposed to light and processed 1, 2, or 3 months later. Retinas were dissected as whole-mounts, immunodetected for microglial cells, Müller cells, and S- and L/M-cones and analyzed using fluorescence, thunder imaging, and confocal microscopy. Cone populations were automatically counted and isodensity maps constructed to document cone topography.

Results. Phototoxicity causes a significant progressive loss of S- and L/M-cones of up to 68% and 44%, respectively, at 3 months after light exposure (ALE). One month ALE, we observed rings of cone degeneration in the photosensitive area of the superior retina. Two and 3 months ALE, these rings had extended to the central and inferior retina. Within the rings of cone degeneration, there were degenerating cones, often activated microglial cells, and numerous radially oriented processes of Müller cells that showed increased expression of intermediate filaments. Between 1 and 3 months ALE, the rings coalesced, and at the same time the microglial cells resumed a mosaic-like distribution, and there was a decrease of Müller cell gliosis at the areas devoid of cones.

Conclusions. Light-induced photoreceptor degeneration proceeds with rings of cone degeneration, as observed in inherited retinal degenerations in which cone death is secondary to rod degeneration. The spatiotemporal relationship of cone death microglial cell activation and Müller cell gliosis within the rings of cone degeneration suggests that, although both glial cells are involved in the formation of the rings, they may have coordinated actions and, while microglial cells may be more involved in photoreceptor phagocytosis, Müller cells may be more involved in cone and microglial cell migration, retinal remodeling and glial seal formation.
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The mammalian retina contains three types of glial cells: astrocytes, Müller cells, and microglial cells, and the last two may be involved in the pattern of photoreceptor death in photoreceptor degenerations. The Müller cells, neuron-supporting macroglial cells, span the complete thickness of the retina, thus being potentially in close contact with all retinal neurons. These cells can play an active role in the process of retinal regeneration, in the survival and death of neurons, and in the regulation of synaptic transmission and the extracellular space volume. During mammalian retinal degenerations, Müller cells suffer reactive gliosis, leading to the formation of a glial seal or glial scar at the level of the outer limiting membrane. Gliotic rings of photoreceptor degeneration. To our knowledge, this has been described only in an attempt to isolate the intact part of the central nervous system, usually around the lesion site probably in an attempt to isolate the intact part of the central nervous system. The glial seal is observed also in other retinal diseases and its role is not clear but it has been proposed to have a mechanic function, filling the spaces left by the dead neurons and conferring the retina some stability. Müller cells may also contribute to photoreceptor death because they phagocytose apoptotic cell bodies during development. Recently, it has been shown also that Müller cells phagocytose dead photoreceptors in the healthy rodent retina, in a rodent model of inherited photoreceptor degeneration, and after light damage in the teleost retina. The role of microglial cells in photoreceptor degenerations is still poorly understood: it can be both neuroprotective and neurodestructive. In any case, previous works have shown that microglial cells become activated and travel through the retina in animal models of retinal degeneration, and are also present in the center of the rings of rod-cone degeneration. There is also evidence that there is an interaction between microglial and Müller cells that affects trophic factor release by Müller cells and therefore this interplay may also be important to regulate the responses of Müller cells in different scenarios, such as the regenerating zebrafish retina, in animal models of retinal degenerations, and in cocultures of activated microglia and Müller cells.

In this study, we have used our previously described model of light-induced retinal degeneration, and immunodetection of cones, Müller and microglial cells to investigate the role of the macro- and microglial cells in the rings of photoreceptor degeneration. To our knowledge, this is the first time that the relationship between cone degeneration, and microglial and macroglial cell activity has been analyzed in this model. We document a striking spatiotemporal relationship between cone degeneration, microglial activation, and Müller cell gliosis that suggests a coordinated intervention of these cells in the progression of disease.

**METHODS**

**Animal Handling**

Two-month-old albino Sprague-Dawley female rats (150–180 g body weight) were obtained from the University of Murcia breeding colony (n = 24) and exposed to 3000 lux of cold fluorescent white light continuously for 48 hours following previously described methods. Another group of animals (n = 8) was used as control group. Experimental animals were processed 1, 2, or 3 months after light exposure (ALE). Although we know from previous studies of our group that the numbers of S- and L/M- cones per retina remained unaltered in control animals for up to 6 months, we used control animals age-matched with the experimental group that survived longer (5 months).

All animals were housed in an environmentally controlled room with a 12-hour:12-hour light-dark cycle and had food and water ad libitum. The light intensity within the cages in our animal care facilities ranged from 5 to 30 lux. All experiments were carried out in accordance with the Spanish and the European Community Council Directives (86/609/EEC), and with the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research, and were previously approved by the Ethics and Animal Studies Committee of the University of Murcia.

**Tissue Processing and Immunofluorescence**

Tissue fixation was performed by transcardial perfusion of the rats, first with saline and then with 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), following standard protocols of our laboratory. The retinas were then dissected as whole mounts and double immunodetection was performed following previously described methods.

Primary antibodies were used to immunodetect different retinal cell populations: (1) microglial cells (rabbit anti-Iba1; Ionized Calcium-Binding Adapter Molecule 1; AB_839504; 1:1000, 019-19741; Wako Chemicals, Neuss, Germany), (2) astrocytes and Müller cells (goat anti-GFAP; Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein; AB_641021; 1:250, C-19, sc-6170; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Heidelberg, Germany, or Guinea Pig anti-GFAP; AB_10641162; 173-004 Syaptic System, Goettingen, Germany), (3) Müller cells (goat anti-vimentin; AB_793998; 1:100, C-20, sc-7557; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), (4) L/M-cones (rabbit anti-L/M-opsin; AB_177456; 1:1200; Chemicon-Millipore Iberica, Madrid, Spain), and (5) S-cones (goat anti-S-opsin; N-20; anti-OPNISW; AB_2158332; 1:1000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology).

Secondary detection was performed using four different antibodies: donkey anti-goat Alexa 488 (AB_2534102), donkey anti-goat Alexa 594 (AB_142540), donkey anti-rabbit Alexa 594 (AB_141637), and goat anti-Guinea Pig Alexa 647 (AB_2535867), all from Molecular Probes (Invitrogen, ThermoFisher, Madrid, Spain) and diluted at 1:500. The retinas were washed in phosphate-buffered saline, mounted on subbed slides, and covered with antifading mounting media (Vectorshield mounting medium; Vector Laboratories, P alex Medical, Barcelona, Spain).

**Retinal Image Analysis, Quantification, and Distribution of Retinal Populations**

Retinal multiframe acquisitions (generally 154 frames per retina) of the whole retinas were acquired using an epifluorescence microscope (Axioskop 2 Plus; Zeiss Mikroskopie, Jena, Germany) equipped with a digital high-resolution camera (ProgRes C10; Jenoptik), and a computer-driven motorized stage (ProScan H128 Series; Prior Scientific Instruments, Cambridge, UK) controlled by the software Image-Pro Plus (IPP 5.1 for Windows; Media Cybernetics, Silver Spring, MD) following previously described methods. Acquired frames were tiled using IPP to create retinal photomontages. Reconstructed images were further processed using Adobe Photoshop CC 2018 (Adobe Systems, Inc., San Jose, CA) when needed.
The total numbers of S- and L/M- opsin+ cones per frame were automatically quantified using standard routines previously develop by our group using IPP macro language. Briefly, IPP applied a sequence of filters and transformations to each individual frame to clarify cell boundaries and separate individual cells, thus allowing automatic cell counting. Later, every frame was divided into 25 sampling areas and the number of S- or L/M- opsin+ cones in each of those areas were converted into cell densities that were used to represent S- or L/M-cone topography in isodensity maps using the software Sigmaplot (Systat Software, Inc., Hounslow, London, UK).

Some magnifications were also taken with a confocal microscope Leica SP8 (Spectral confocal and multiphoton system Leica TCS SP2; Leica, Jena, Germany) and a Leica DM6 B (Leica) microscope equipped with a Thunder imaging system that allowed us to acquire blur-free images.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data are shown as the mean ± standard deviation. Statistical analysis was performed using SigmaStat 3.1 for Windows (3.11 version, Systat Software, Inc.). The analysis of variance (ANOVA) test followed by Tukey post hoc test was used. Differences were considered significant when \( P < 0.05 \).

**RESULTS**

**Analysis of the Whole Population of S- and L/M-cones**

In the control group (naïve), the mean numbers ± standard deviations of S- and L/M- opsin+ cones were 41,998 ± 2,151 (n = 8; Fig. 1) and 228,314 ± 10,957 (n = 8; Fig. 1), respectively. One month ALE, the population of S- and L/M- opsin+ cones had decreased significantly by 18% and 15%, respectively, when compared with naïve retinas (Fig. 1; n = 8 each group; \( P < 0.001 \); one-way ANOVA, Tukey test). Cone degeneration progressed further with time, and by 2 months ALE there was a significant loss of 44% and 24% of S- and L/M- opsin+ cones, respectively, and by 3 months ALE this loss increased even further to 68% and 44%, respectively (Fig. 1; n = 8 each group; \( P < 0.001 \); one-way ANOVA, Tukey test). Thus, our data show that light exposure causes a significant progressive loss of cones during at least 3 months.

**Topography of Cone Loss After Light Exposure**

Although S and L/M cone loss started at 1 month ALE in the superior retina (named the “arciform photosensitive area”, see next paragraph) and later spread to the central retina (Figs. 1 and 2; see next paragraph), at 1 month, the cone isodensity maps showed a larger decrease of L/M-cones in the superior retina, and of S-cones in the central and equatorial retina (Figs. 1B,F). Two and 3 months ALE, only cold colors (i.e., lower densities) could be seen in the cone isodensity maps (Figs. 1D,H), showing that both cone populations were greatly diminished all throughout the retina (Fig. 1).

In addition, when we analyzed qualitatively cone distribution in these retinas, we observed that the normal, more or less homogeneous, photoreceptor mosaic was altered by the appearance of rings devoid of cones, henceforward rings of cone degeneration (Fig. 2). One month ALE, these rings of cone degeneration appeared in a distinctive area of the superior or sometimes superotemporal retina (Figs. 2A, A). The area in the superior retina in which the rings first appeared coincided with the “arciform photosensitive area” of the rat retina (Figs. 2A,C). However, with time, the rings of the superior retina spread through the central and inferior retina and at the same time increased in size and merged (Figs. 2–4). Two months ALE, the size of area occupied by the rings of cone degeneration as well as the size of the rings increased (Figs. 3 3A-C; 4A,C) and by 3 months ALE, there were rings also in the inferior retina up to the retinal periphery (Figs. 2B,D). The coalescence of the rings of cone degeneration caused with time the disappearance of the rings and thus of both cone populations in large retinal areas. By 2 months, the superior retina was largely devoid of both cone populations (Figs. 2, 4). By 3 months, there was a large area devoid of both types of cones that varied between animals and occupied the superior and sometimes the temporal and the central peripapillary retina (Figs. 2B,D; 4A).

Within the rings of cone degeneration, the surviving cones displayed morphological changes that included shortened outer segments and redistribution of both S and L/M opsins to the inner segments, somas and axons (Figs. 2 B′, C′). The surviving cones showed polarity, their inner segments being directed toward the center of the rings and their outer segments toward the periphery. These changes were more evident in the central part of the rings probably because in this region only a few cones were present and their processes could be better visualized.

**Macro- and Microglial Cell Implication in Photoreceptor Degeneration After Light Exposure**

In control animals, GFAP expression was only found in astrocytes, in the innermost retinal layers. One month ALE, we found Müller cell gliosis: intense GFAP immunoreactivity in the processes of the Müller cells that were at this time point radially distributed, forming rosettes that occupied the center of the rings of cone degeneration, thus presumably filling the space left by the dead cones (Figs. 3 and 5). Two months ALE, when the rings began to increase in size and coalesce with other rings, the immunoreactive processes of the Müller cells lost in part their radial distribution and their intermediate filament expression was lost at the center of the rings (Figs. 4 D, E and 5 B′′, see the following section). When the rings coalesced and formed large areas devoid of cones in the superior retina (the “arciform photosensitive area”), the increased GFAP expression in the Müller cells processes was thus more marked in the boundaries of this area (Fig. 4E).

The radial GFAP immunoreactive processes found within the rings of cone degeneration were also Vimentin immunoreactive and therefore unequivocally correspond to processes of Müller cells (Figs. 5 C-C′ and 6 A-B′). Moreover, confocal microscopy revealed that these radial GFAP immunoreactive processes were located in the outer retina at the level of the photoreceptor outer segment layer (Figs. 5 C′′ and D′′), but that they originated in more internal retinal layers where they ran vertically and became more horizontal in the most outer layers. All of these features indicate that these radial processes correspond to the outer processes of the Müller cells that form the outer glial seal.

In control animals, microglial cells were inactive and homogeneously distributed in the different retinal layers,
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FIGURE 1. Survival of S and L/M-opsin+ cones. Representative isodensity maps of (A–D) S- and (E–H) L/M-opsin+ cones in (A, E) naïve animals and in experimental animals at (B, F) 1, (C, G) 2, and (D, H) 3 months ALE. Progressive death of both cone populations can be observed in experimental animals throughout the retina. Color scale of S-opsin+ cones/mm²: 0 (purple) to ≥1300 (red). Color scale of L/M-opsin+ cones/mm²: 0 (purple) to ≥6500 (red). (I, J) Graphs showing the mean number of (I; white bars) S-opsin+ cones and (J; black bars) L/M-opsin+ cones in naïve and experimental animals at increasing times ALE. Light exposure causes a significant progressive death of both cone populations (One-way ANOVA, Tukey test).

as described.54,69,70 One month ALE, we found many activated microglial cells at the level of the photoreceptor outer segment layer. These cells were mainly located in the periphery of the rings of cone degeneration although there were also some at the center of the rings (Figs. 5 A–A′ and 7 A–A′). These cells showed an enlarged soma, and thickening and retraction of their processes, the typical characteristics of their activated state. Confocal microscopy confirmed that these activated microglial cells of the outer retinal layers were at the level of the photoreceptor layers (Figs. 8 C, D). Two or more months ALE, most of the activated microglial cells had migrated toward the periphery of the rings of cone degeneration (Figs. 8 C, D). At the same time as microglial cells were migrating from the center to the periphery of the rings, (from 1 to 2 months ALE in the superior retina, but later in the inferior retina) we observed a change in Müller cell immunoreactivity. At one month ALE, the outer processes were of Müller cells showed increased GFAP and vimentin expression and an orderly radial distribution in the center of the rings. Two and 3 months ALE when the rings fused, the outer processes of Müller cells of the rings lost GFAP and vimentin immunoreactivity especially at the center of the rings, whereas the processes at the periphery of the rings were still evident in the superior retina, in the named “arciform photosensitive area” (Fig. 8B), where they showed again an homogeneous distribution and in this region there were increased densities of activated microglial cells (Figs. 5 and 8B).
FIGURE 2. Light exposure causes a disruption of the normal photoreceptor mosaic and morphological changes in the surviving photoreceptors. S- (left two columns, green) and L/M- (right two columns, red) cone immunodetection in whole mounts of the (A, B) left and (C, D) right retinas of four representative experimental animals, (A, C) 1 and (B, D) 3 months ALE. In the whole-mounted retinas, the dashed yellow lines surround, at 1 month ALE, the area of the superotemporal retina where the rings of (A) S- and (C) L/M-cone degeneration first appear and, at 3 months ALE (B, D), the areas devoid of S- and L/M cones. (A-D) Insets are shown at a higher power in A′-D′, respectively, to show the characteristic rings of photoreceptor degeneration. The insets in B′ and C′ are shown at higher power in B′′ and C′′ to illustrate the degenerating (B′″) S and (C′″) L/M cones at the periphery of the rings. Degenerating cones show opsin expression in the outer segments (blue arrow), somas (yellow arrow), inner segments (white arrow), axons and terminals (purple arrow), and their outer segments are located in the periphery of the rings, whereas their axons are directed towards the center of the rings.

immunoreactive and became intermingled with the processes of the Müller cells in the neighboring rings (Figs. 5 B′-C′).

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we have quantified for the first time the progression of S- and L/M-cone loss and the behavior of macro and microglial cells in a model of light-induced photoreceptor degeneration. We suggest a plausible coordination between microglial and Müller cells in the course of photoreceptor degeneration.

Cone Loss in Light-induced Retinal Degeneration

Our results show that light exposure causes a progressive loss of S- and L/M-cones that is presumably influenced by the primary loss of rods, that occurs before cone loss in this rat model, as it happens in other nocturnal animals with rod-dominated retinas15,71 (see next paragraphs). Interestingly, although the densities of L/M-cones are higher in control retinas than the densities of S-cones, the loss of S-cones ALE is more extensive, suggesting that this cone population may be more sensitive to white light, which is in accordance with previous studies of light induced retinal degeneration.13,15,17 We and others have previously proposed that this fact could be due to S-cone sensitivity to the blue component of light,17,71-74 which is the most phototoxic or to differential sensitivity of the S cones to oxidative stress.13,17,74,75

Previous studies from our laboratory have shown that photoreceptor loss ALE follows a spatiotemporal pattern that begins in the superior or superotemporal retina and spreads with time to the other retinal regions.2,13,15,16 We have observed some variability in the location of the area were photoreceptor loss starts, because sometimes sits in the superior retina and sometimes extends also to the temporal retina.2,13,15,16 Here we show that in the superior retina, where the phototoxic injury commences, the loss of S- and L/M-cones proceeds with the appearance of rings of cone degeneration that appear delayed to rod loss in this area.15 These rings of cone degeneration have been previously described both for rods and cones in this model of light exposure13,15 but also in several rat models of inherited retinal degeneration in which cone loss is secondary to rod degeneration.23-30,32,76 Although in this study we did not perform a double immunostaining of both cone populations in the same retinas, we know from previous works in our laboratory that these rings of cone degeneration lack both
types of cones. In this model, these rings devoid of cones follow a similar, but delayed, spatiotemporal pattern than that observed for rods. One month ALE, rings devoid of cones are mainly distributed in the superior or superotemporal retina, whereas rods have been lost all throughout the retina. Interestingly, in the previously mentioned rat models of inherited photoreceptor degeneration, the rings of cone degeneration are found in the equatorial retina of the four retinal quadrants, although with a tendency to be larger and more abundant in the superior retina. Thus, it seems that there are spatiotemporal differences in the appearance of rings of cone degeneration related to the cause of the degeneration, because when the primary cause of the degeneration is light exposure they appear first in the superior (superotemporal) retina, the light-sensitive area of the rat retina.

Our group has documented previously that light exposure causes a blood-retinal breakdown and maximal photoreceptor death in an “arciform” area situated in the superotemporal area of the rat retina. This is the area where the rings of cone degeneration first appear ALE. Other authors have found also that in normal rats and in rats with inherited retinal degeneration light exposure causes maximal photoreceptor loss in the superior retina and therefore have named this area the “photosensitive area” of the rat retina. Furthermore, photoreceptor death is also maximal in this area in various rat models of retinitis pigmentosa. Our group has also documented that the superior retina, where the rings of cone degeneration first appear, shows the highest densities of L/M-cones and retinal ganglion cells, and other authors have also documented increased densities of retinal ganglion cells in the superotemporal retina and longer rod outer segments in the superior retina. Thus, the characteristics of the superior or superotemporal retina suggest that it could be the most specialized area of the rat retina for vision.
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FIGURE 4. L/M-cone loss and GFAP expression 2 months ALE. Photomontages of the same representative retinal whole mount of an animal processed two months ALE and immunoreacted for (A, red) L/M opsin and (B, green) GFAP showing that the superior "photosensitive area" of the rat retina is at this time point devoid of (A) L/M-cones, whereas there is an increased expression of (B) GFAP in this area and specially in its boundaries. (A, B) Insets are shown at higher power in (C) and (D), respectively, and (E) is a merged image of (C) and (D) to show the cone loss and increased GFAP immunoreactivity at the limits of the photosensitive area.

and maybe the equivalent to the visual streak in the rat retina.64

The exact cause of the reorganization of the cone mosaic in empty rings in various models of photoreceptor degeneration is still a matter of debate. Initially, it was not clear whether the rings of cone degeneration were due to cone migration25,32 or cone loss.28 Because the rings become larger with time ALE and because the numbers of cones decrease also with time ALE, it is clear from this and other studies that they are the result of cone loss13,15–17 that seems to propagate from the center to the periphery of the rings and at the same time the ring size increases.32 Interestingly, we have not been able to find rings devoid of photoreceptors in an animal model of retinal degeneration induced by taurine depletion that causes primary loss of cones,13 and it is tempting to suggest that these rings may be related to a rod-cone dependent survival mechanism.10,19–21,25,32,42,43,87,88–91

The rings in our model and in other animal models23 contain degenerating cones whose inner segments are directed towards the center of the rings. These degenerating cones show a redistribution of the opsin expression to their inner segment, soma, axons, and synaptic terminals.23,25,27 Redistribution of opsin to the cone soma and inner segment has been also observed in other animal models of inherited retinal degeneration,23,25,32 in human diseases such as retinitis pigmentosa92 and age-related macular degeneration,93 and in experimental retinal detachment.94 Therefore, opsin redistribution may be a feature of degenerating cones.

Involvement of Retinal Glia in Light-induced Photoreceptor Degeneration

Both Müller and microglial cells respond to retinal cell damage and are known to phagocytose under pathological conditions. Although the exact role of gliosis in retinal degenerations remains still unknown,54,35,46,57,69,70,95 it is known to contribute to early retinal remodeling45,48 and disrupts the normal retinal morphology. Müller cells are the major contributors of gliosis46,48 and the first glial cells that respond to photoreceptor stress,45,48,96 showing a hypertrophy45,81,97 and increased GFAP expression.44,46,47,48,96,97 Thus, Müller cell reaction could have an important role in the appearance of rings during photoreceptor degeneration.32

In this study, we show that when photoreceptor loss begins ALE, Müller cells hypertrophy, show increased GFAP and vimentin expression and extend their external processes horizontally and radially within the rings of cone
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FIGURE 5. Microglial and Müller cell involvement during cone S degeneration. Magnifications of the same regions from the superior retina of representative animals processed (A-A′) 1, (B-B′) 2, and (C-C′) 3 months ALE and immunoreacted for S-opsin and Iba-1 (left column), GFAP (purple, central column), or merged images (right column). One month ALE, GFAP-labeled processes of Müller cells with a radial distribution were seen inside the (A-A′) rings and activated microglial cells were usually seen in the borders of the rings, but there were also some in the center of some rings. Two months ALE, the rings merged, and GFAP immunoreactivity decreased at the center of the rings, whereas at their periphery, the GFAP-positive processes lost in part their radial distribution (B′). Three months ALE, the areas completely devoid of cones (left part of C-C′) were filled by activated microglia that had resumed a mosaic distribution (C, C′) and GFAP-positive processes that were radially oriented only at the boundaries of the cone degenerated area (C′, C″). Arrows point to activated microglial cells inside of a ring of cone degeneration.

degeneration, probably in an attempt to form the glial seal (see next paragraph). A previous work has indicated that these clusters appear “firework-like structures” and in addition, it has been proposed that cones may migrate through the processes of Müller cells from the center to the periphery of the rings. With time ALE, the rings increase in size and merge, and the increased expression of the intermediate filaments in the processes of Müller cells ceases at the center of the rings while it continues in the periphery of the rings and, when the rings merge, at the boundaries of the “photosensitive area.” This is in accordance with previous works that have shown Müller cell hyperreactivity ALE in the superior retina. Also when the rings merge the radial distribution of the outer processes of Müller cells at the periphery of the rings is somehow lost because they intermingle with the processes of the cells in the neighboring rings.

Although Müller cells may participate in inherited and light-induced retinal degenerations through photoreceptor phagocytosis, it seems likely that these cells have other functions in cone ring formation, because in a model of inherited retinal degeneration the disruption of Müller cell metabolism through the intravitreal injection of alpha aminoadipic acid eliminates the rings of cone degeneration. In inherited retinal degenerations, it has also been shown that retinal remodeling courses with hypertrophy of the processes of Müller cells in the outer retina that form a glial sealing to isolate the remnant of the neural retina.
FIGURE 6. GFAP-positive radial processes within the rings of cone degeneration correspond to the external processes of Müller cells. Confocal photomicrographs of representative rings of cone degeneration in retinal whole mounts 2 (A-A”) or 3 (B-B”) months ALE immunoreacted for Vimentin (A, B, A”, B”) and GFAP (A’, B’, A”, B”, C-F). The GFAP radial immunoreactive processes observed in the center of the rings, 2 (first row) or 3 (second row) months ALE are also Vimentin (A”, B”) positive, and thus correspond to the outer processes of Müller cells. Confocal three-dimensional reconstructions of the GFAP immunoreactivity in a ring of cone degeneration using different tiling degrees (C-E), to show GFAP immunoreactivity through the retina. The inner and outer retina have been indicated in the z axis. There are GFAP-labeled Müller cell processes in the inner retina that run vertically and become horizontal and radially oriented in the
most external retinal layers (C–E) and GFAP-positive processes in the most inner retinal layers that correspond to the Müller cell end feet and the astrocytes of the nerve fiber layer (see a representative microphotograph of the inner GFAP immunoreactive layer, shown also in the upper part of D, in F).

Figure 7. Microglial cells and the rings of cone degeneration. Magnifications of the same representative retinal regions immunolabeled for S-opsin (left column), Iba-1 (center column), or merged images (right column) of animals processed at 1 (first row), 2 (second row), and 3 (third row) months ALE to show the rings of S-cone degeneration. Iba-1 immunoreactive microglial cells were observed surrounding the rings (A, B, A′, B′), but also inside the rings A′–C′. The interrupted line in C–C′ separates the photosensitive area devoid of cones (upper part) from the less degenerated retina (lower part) where a ring devoid of S-cones but containing microglial cells is close to it.

From the RPE and choroid, indeed, as photoreceptor degeneration progresses, the glial seal is more evident, covering the entire outer retina at late stages. This sequence of events suggests that Müller cells hypertrophy in the early phases of photoreceptor degeneration and participate in the phagocytosis of the dead rods and cones. Later, the external processes of Müller cells form the glial seal, and the surviving cones may migrate through them radially to produce the rings of cone degeneration. The exact function of the glial seal is not known but it may have a mechanic function that confers the retina some stability in the course of the degeneration, but also a protective function, because in the late stages of retinal remodeling, the focal gaps in the glial seal may allow the migration of retinal pigment epithelium cells to the inner retina, which triggers a series of progressive events that, ultimately, cause retinal ganglion cell death.

The retinal microglial cells may also have an important role in the inherited retinal degenerations, because these cells become activated and migrate to the outer retinal layers where they phagocyte the dead photoreceptors. We have recently shown, using two different rat models...
FIGURE 8. S-cone loss and microglial cell reactivity 2 months ALE. Photomontages of the same representative retinal whole mounts of an animal processed 2 months ALE and immunoreacted for S-cones (A, green) and for Iba-1 (B, red) showing an area of the superior retina (dashed line) devoid of S-cones (A) and filled by activated microglial cells (B). Magnifications (A’-B’’) of the border of the cone death area. The insets in A’ and B’ are shown at higher power in A” and B”, to show that at the limit the S-cone morphological changes and the microglial cell activation are more marked. Three-dimensional confocal reconstruction of the same area shown in A” and B” to document the location within the retina of the activated microglial cells (0 μm in the z axis corresponds to the photoreceptor outer segment layer).
of inherited retinal degeneration with different etiologies, that microglial cell activation begins at the same time as photoreceptor death, but that the Müller cell reaction is somewhat delayed, and that microglial cell inhibition reduces photoreceptor loss. In this study, we show that 1 month ALE, there are high numbers of activated microglial cells and/or macrophages in the photoreceptor layers at the center and periphery of the rings of cone degeneration, suggesting that these cells may be involved in the phagocytosis of the dead photoreceptors. Other authors have also found migration of microglia and macrophages to the outer retina in other models of light-induced retinal degeneration and activated microglial cells at the center of the rings of photoreceptor degeneration in a rat model of inherited retinal degeneration, before the occupation of the rings by processes of Müller cells. Microglial cells and Müller cells might act coordinately to phagocytose photoreceptor debris during retinal degeneration; Müller cells might act coordinately to phagocytose photoreceptor debris during retinal degeneration; Müller cells may play several roles at different stages of the degeneration, such as cone phagocytosis, cone and microglial cell migration, and formation of the glial seal. Microglial cells may also influence the behavior of Müller cells. A better understanding of the role of the retinal micro- and macroglia in photoreceptor degeneration may allow us to intervene in the disease.
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