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ABSTRACT

Background: In December 2014, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare of India launched Mission Indradhanush with the aim to ensure that all children are fully vaccinated against seven vaccine-preventable diseases before they reach an age of two years.

Methods: A cross sectional, community based study was conducted among parents attending Indradhanush Vaccination Centre (IVC) along with their partial and unimmunized children aged 0-23 months. Study was conducted during Mission Indradhanush (MI) 2nd Phase from November 2015 to January 2016. Data consisting of information regarding the various demographic variables, immunization history, and reasons for partial and unimmunization was collected by interviewing the parents through a pre-tested, structured questionnaire.

Results: A total of 153 children were studied involving 63 session sites. 50 (32.7%) children were residing in low coverage areas, 4 (2.6%) children in villages with migratory population, 4(2.6%) children in areas with missed session and 87(56.9%) children residing in village with vacant sub centre. Common reasons for not vaccination were, child was sick on the day of vaccination (32%), child migrated to other place (27.5%), Fear of AEFI (11.8%), ignorance (11.1%). Only 92 (60.1%) children were having MCP card.

Conclusions: The reasons for partial and un immunization were mainly lack of awareness of parents, sickness of children, fear of AEFI and migration to other places which would be solved by taking all efforts to raise the awareness of community about need of immunization along with providing complete information about the immunization services being provided to them.
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INTRODUCTION

India’s universal immunization programme (UIP) launched in 1985, is one of the largest health programmes of its kind in the world catering to a birth cohort of 2.7 crore children annually. The programme provides vaccination against seven life-threatening diseases (diphtheria, whooping cough, tetanus, polio, tuberculosis, measles and hepatitis B) in the entire country. In addition, vaccination against haemophilus influenzae type B (Hib) and Japanese encephalitis (JE) is provided in selected districts/states of the country. Despite being operational for the past more than 30 years, only 65% children in India receive all vaccines during their first year of life. It is estimated that annually, more than 89 lakh children in the country do not receive all vaccines under the UIP—the highest number compared with any other country in the world.1

The extremely poor often lack resources, which are essential for preventing or treating diseases. They lack access to basic health services, lack awareness of the
importance of their timely use, lack the time and money needed to use health services, and often need to address other more pressing issues. Worldwide every year 130 million children are being born, of which 91 million are in the developing countries. However, around 10 million children under the age of five years die each year and over 27 million infants globally do not get complete immunization. Childhood vaccination is the most cost-effective public health interventions to prevent vaccine preventable diseases.

The government of India in December 2014, launched Mission Indradhanush with the aim to vaccinate all pregnant women against tetanus and ensure that all children are fully vaccinated against seven vaccine-preventable diseases before they reach an age of two years. Reduction of mortality and morbidities from vaccine preventable diseases in developing countries involve successfully implementing strategies that ensure high coverage and minimize drop-outs and missed opportunities.

Government identified 6 high focused districts in Karnataka includes Gulbarga, Yadgir, Raichur, Bellari, Koppal and Bangalore Urban. Launched Mission Indradhanush 1st Phase from April 2015 to July 2015. Government Launched 2nd phase of Mission indradhanush from October 2015 to January 2016 in remaining high focused districts. It also identified Yadgir as high focused district due to high percentages of partial and unimmunized children and again launched the second phase to improve the immunization coverage. For the success of the programme it is also essential to know the reason for partial and unimmunization so that they can be better tackled. But there is no component in programme to identify the reasons behind the high percentages of partial and unimmunization status. Therefore this study was taken with the objective to identify the reasons for partial and unimmunization among children aged 0-23 months attending Mission Indradhanush vaccination in Yadgir district.

METHODS

A cross sectional, community based study was conducted among parents attending Indradhanush Vaccination Centre (IVC) along with their partial and unimmunized children aged 0-23 months. Study was conducted after institutional ethical clearance. Study was conducted during Mission Indradhanush (MI) 2nd Phase from November 2015 to January 2016. During these three months three rounds of MI vaccination sessions were held in Yadgir district and each round lasting for 7 days. On these days of MI vaccination, three IVC sites were selected every day randomly (total of 63 IVC sites) and all children attended to those selected sites on that day with partial or un immunization and aged 0-23 months were included in the study. Those parents who were not willing to participate in the study were excluded from the study. Data consisting of information regarding the various demographic variables, immunization history, and reasons for partial and unimmunization was collected by interviewing the parents through a pre-tested, structured questionnaire. All participants were briefed about objective of the study and were assured confidentiality in collection of personal data. All data was analyzed using statistical Epi info package. Chi square test applied to find the association between demographic factors, risk factors and partial immunization and unimmunization.

RESULTS

A total of 153 children were studied involving 63 session sites. In that 50 (32.7%) children were residing in low vaccination coverage areas, 4 (2.6%) children in villages with migratory population, 4 (2.6%) children in areas with missed session and 87 (56.9%) children residing in village with vacant sub centre.

Among the children studied 113 (73.9%) were aged less than 1 year and 40 (26.1%) were aged between 1 to 2 years. 76 (49.7%) children were male and 77 (50.3%) were female. Majority (82.4%) of the children were Hindu and 17.6% were Muslim. 58.8% of study children were residing at rural area and 41.2% were residing at urban area. 48.4% of children were staying in joint family and 51.6% were staying in nuclear family. 42.5% were of the birth order 1, 46.4% were birth order two, 10.5% were birth order three and 1 child was birth order four (Table 1).

Table 1: Distribution of children based on socio-demographic profile.

| Socio-demographic variables | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|----------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Age | <1 year | 113 | 73.9 |
|     | 1–2 year | 40 | 26.1 |
| Gender | Male | 76 | 49.7 |
|      | Female | 77 | 50.3 |
| Religion | Hindu | 126 | 82.4 |
|         | Muslim | 27 | 17.6 |
| Place of stay | Rural | 90 | 58.8 |
|              | Urban | 63 | 41.2 |
| Type of family | Joint | 74 | 48.4 |
|                 | Nuclear | 79 | 51.6 |
| Birth order | 1 | 65 | 42.5 |
|             | 2 | 71 | 46.4 |
|              | 3 | 16 | 10.5 |
|              | 4 | 1 | 0.7 |

35.9% children were due for LPV 3 and OPV 3, 28.1% children were due for LPV 2 OPV 2 and 12.4% children were due for Measles vaccine, 7.8% children were due for LPV 1 and OPV 1, 9.8% children were not vaccinated at all, 3.9% children were due for DPT booster, 1.3% children were due for LPV 3 and Measles. One child was due for BCG (Table 2).
Most common reason for non-vaccination was, child was sick on the day of vaccination (32%). Next common reason for non-vaccination was child migrated to other place (27.5%). 11.8% of children were not vaccinated because of fear for AEFI, 11.1% children were not vaccinated because of ignorance towards vaccination.10.5% parents said they didn’t know where to go for vaccination. 3.9% children were mothers place on the vaccination day, 1 parent said child was not vaccinated because no session was held. Among 4 others, 1 child was travelling, 1 was taken late to session (session was closed), 1 non availability of card, 1 non availability of vaccine (Table 3).

There was not much difference for reason for unimmunization or partial immunization with respect to the various socio-demographic characteristics (Table 4). 13 (8.5%) said one or more of their family members have advised them not to vaccinate. 7 (4.6%) said father, 1 (0.3%) said mother, 2 (1.3%) said both father and mother, 3 (2%) denial by grandparents. 83 (54.2%) parents said ASHA worker informed them about vaccination, 62 (40.5%) said AWW informed them about vaccination, 3 (2%) said both ANM and ASHA informed and 5 (3.3%) said ANM has informed.

| Vaccine          | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|------------------|-----------|----------------|
| BCG              | 1         | 0.7            |
| DPT booster      | 6         | 3.9            |
| LPV1 & OPV 1     | 12        | 7.8            |
| LPV2 & OPV 2     | 43        | 28.1           |
| LPV3 & OPV 3     | 55        | 35.9           |
| LPV3 & Measles   | 2         | 1.3            |
| Measles          | 19        | 12.4           |
| Unvaccinated     | 15        | 9.8            |
| Total            | 153       | 100.0          |

Table 2: Distribution of children based on the due vaccine.

| Reason for non-vaccination | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|----------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Child was sick             | 49        | 32             |
| Didn’t know where to go    | 16        | 10.5           |
| Migrated to other place    | 42        | 27.5           |
| Child went to mother’s place | 06       | 3.9            |
| No sessions held           | 01        | 0.7            |
| Ignorance for vaccination  | 17        | 11.1           |
| Fear for AEFI              | 18        | 11.8           |
| Others                     | 04        | 2.6            |
| Total                      | 153       | 100.0          |

Table 3: Distribution of children based on reason for non-vaccination.

| Socio-demographic characters | Don’t know where to go | Child was sick | Parents migrated | Child was in mother’s place | No session held | Ignorance | Fear of AEFI | Others | Total |
|------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|--------|-------|
| Place of stay                |                         |                |                 |                             |                |           |             |        |       |
| Urban (%)                    | 6 (9.5)                 | 24 (38.1)      | 15 (23.8)       | 0 (0)                       | 0 (0)          | 9 (14.3)  | 8 (12.7)    | 1 (1.6) | 63 (100) |
| Rural (%)                    | 10 (11.1)               | 25 (27.8)      | 27 (30.0)       | 6 (6.7)                     | 1 (1.1)        | 8 (8.9)   | 10 (11.1)   | 3 (3.3) | 90 (100) |
| Religion                     |                         |                |                 |                             |                |           |             |        |       |
| Hindu (%)                    | 14 (11.1)               | 38 (30.2)      | 34 (27)         | 6 (4.8)                     | 1 (0.8)        | 14 (11.1) | 15 (11.9)   | 4 (3.2) | 126 (100) |
| Muslim (%)                   | 2 (7.4)                 | 11 (40.7)      | 8 (29.6)        | 0 (0)                       | 0 (0)          | 3 (11.1)  | 3 (11.1)    | 0 (0)  | 27 (100) |
| Gender                       |                         |                |                 |                             |                |           |             |        |       |
| Female (%)                   | 9 (11.8)                | 25 (32.9)      | 21 (27.6)       | 3 (3.9)                     | 0 (0)          | 7 (9.2)   | 9 (11.8)    | 2 (2.6) | 76 (100) |
| Male (%)                     | 7 (9.1)                 | 24 (31.2)      | 21 (27.3)       | 3 (3.9)                     | 1 (1.3)        | 10 (13)   | 9 (11.7)    | 2 (2.6) | 77 (100) |
| Type of family               |                         |                |                 |                             |                |           |             |        |       |
| Joint (%)                    | 9 (12.2)                | 27 (36.5)      | 15 (20.3)       | 2 (2.7)                     | 1 (1.4)        | 10 (13.5) | 8 (10.8)    | 2 (2.7) | 74 (100) |
| Nuclear (%)                  | 7 (8.9)                 | 22 (27.8)      | 27 (34.2)       | 4 (5.1)                     | 0 (0)          | 7 (8.9)   | 10 (12.7)   | 2 (2.5) | 79 (100) |

Table 4: Socio-demographic characters vs. reason for unimmunization.

Among the children studied only 92 (60.1%) children were having Mother and child protection (MCP) card and 61 (39.9%) were not having MCP card. There was no difference in the presence of MCP card with respect to the gender of child, religion, place of stay, type of family literacy of father and mother (Table 5).
DISCUSSION
As government of India launched mission Indradhanush to increase the immunization coverage by 2020 by immunizing all un immunized and partially immunized children, we have tried to bring out the reasons for the partial and un immunization among the children attending these Mission Indradhanush vaccination centres in Yadgir district. In present study it was observed that 87 (56.9%) children residing in village with vacant sub centre. A vacant post of ANM will lead to decreased vaccination coverage because parents will not be educated regarding vaccination, no vaccination sessions held etc.

In the present study 76 (49.7%) children were male and 77 (50.3%) were female which is similar to study done by Dindod et al Routine immunization. (49% were males and 51% were females).7 Majority (82.4%) of the children were Hindu and 17.6% were Muslim. This findings were in line with the population distribution and these findings were comparable to the study done by Duraimurugan et al (Out of 210 study population, 115 (55%) children were from Hindu community, 47 (22%) Muslims and 48 (23%) Christians).8 In the present study 35.9% children were due for LPV 3 and OPV 3, 28.1% children were due for LPV 2 OPV 2, 7.8% children were due for LPV 1 and OPV 1. These findings were similar to the findings of Kurane et al.9 Birth to DPT 1ST dose overall has 42% drop out. So, progressive increase in the missing the dose of LPV2 and LPV3 is because of unawarness of subsequent doses, Fear of AEFI and sickness status of the child. Hence it is very important to give a heavy emphasis on importance of follow up visits for subsequent vaccines by health worker or vaccinator.

In our study most common reason for not vaccination was, child was sick on the day of vaccination (32%). Next common reason for non-vaccination was child migrated to other place (27.5%). 11.8% of children were not vaccinated because of fear for AEFI, similar to the findings of Rajeev et al study on immunization status of anganwadi children in a rural area of north Kerala, India.10

In the present study 11.1% children were not vaccinated because of ignorance towards vaccination. 10.5% parents said they didn’t know where to go for vaccination. 3.9% children were in mothers place on the vaccination day, 1 parent said child was not vaccinated because no session was held. Present study findings are similar to the study done Rahman et al. Reasons for dropout of immunization in children in slum areas.11

Regarding the source of information about immunization in the present study 83 (54.2%) parents said ASHA worker informed them about vaccination, 62 (40.5%) said AWW informed them about vaccination, 3 (2%) said both ANM and ASHA informed and 5 (3.3%) said ANM has informed. Similar to the study done by Sharma et al in which 13.6 % of ANM, 16% of ASHA and 50% of AWW informed the parents about the immunization.12

Among the children studied only 92 (60.1%) children were having MCP card and 61 (39.9%) were not having MCP card. Various socio-demographic characters were not having any influence on having the MCP card. Vaccination was not denied because of absence of MCP card.

To conclude the major reasons for partial and unimmunization were, vacant sub centers, child being sick, parents migrated to other places and fear of AEFI which would be solved by filling of the vacant Sub centre posts, taking all efforts to raise the awareness of community about need of immunization along with providing complete information about the immunization services being provided to them and communicating and alleviating the fear regarding AEFI. Socio-demographic characters were not influential in partial and unimmunization.

| Socio-demographic characters | MCP card | P value |
|-----------------------------|----------|---------|
|                             | Present  | Absent  |
| Gender of child             |          |         |
| Female                      | 47 (61.8%) | 29 (38.2%) | 0.668 |
| Male                        | 45 (58.4%) | 32 (41.6%) |
| Religion                    |          |         |
| Hindu                       | 79 (62.7%) | 47 (37.3%) | 0.161 |
| Muslim                      | 13 (48.1%) | 14 (51.9%) |
| Place of stay               |          |         |
| Urban                       | 35 (55.6%) | 28 (44.4%) | 0.334 |
| Rural                       | 57 (63.3%) | 33 (36.7%) |
| Type of family              |          |         |
| Joint                       | 42 (56.8%) | 32 (43.2%) | 0.409 |
| Nuclear                     | 50 (63.3%) | 29 (36.7%) |
| Literacy of father          |          |         |
| Illiterate                  | 39 (56.5%) | 30 (43.5%) | 0.409 |
| Literate                    | 53 (63.1%) | 31 (36.9%) |
| Literacy of mother          |          |         |
| Illiterate                  | 62 (60.2%) | 41 (39.8%) | 0.982 |
| Literate                    | 30 (60.0%) | 20 (40.0%) |
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