SPECTRAL APPROXIMATION ON THE UNIT BALL

HUIYUAN LI AND YUAN XU

Abstract. Spectral approximation by polynomials on the unit ball is studied in the frame of the Sobolev spaces $W^s_p(B^d)$, $1 < p < \infty$. The main results give sharp estimates on the order of approximation by polynomials in the Sobolev spaces and explicit construction of approximating polynomials. One major effort lies in understanding the structure of orthogonal polynomials with respect to an inner product of the Sobolev space $W^2_2(B^d)$. As an application, a direct and efficient spectral-Galerkin method based on our orthogonal polynomials is proposed for the second and the fourth order elliptic equations on the unit ball, its optimal error estimates are explicitly derived for both procedures in the Sobolev spaces and, finally, numerical examples are presented to illustrate the theoretic results.

1. Introduction

Spectral methods have been used recently for solving partial differential equations on the unit disk, unit ball, or other domains with cylindrical or spherical geometry. Their increasing popularity on these domains lies partially in various applications in earth sciences, disk or sphere shaped mirrors and lenses, fluid flow in a pipe or rotating cylinder, accretion disks in planetary astronomy, to name a few.

In [6], Poisson equation on an axisymmetric domain is transformed into a system of two-dimensional problems by the polar transformation, and the axisymmetric problems are then approximated by an appropriate spectral-Galerkin method. Fast spectral-Galerkin methods for Helmholtz equations on a disk or a cylinder are proposed in [23, 25], using the polar transformation with essential pole conditions and the Chebyshev or Legendre polynomial bases in the radial direction. Subsequently, these types of spectral–Galerkin methods have been extended to other domains with spherical geometries, including the 3-dimensional ball [5, 24, 26]. Meanwhile, mixed Jacobi-Fourier spectral method are presented for elliptic equations on a disk [20, 30] and a mixed Jacobi-harmonic spectral approximation is proposed in [15] for a Navier-Stokes equation in a ball. See [7, 8, 9] for a comprehensive review of spectral methods and their special treatments in polar/spherical coordinates. Moreover, an alternative approach for solving differential equations in a smooth domain is to map the domain into the unit ball and then apply a spectral method [2, 3, 4].

One of the challenging problems in the spectral methods on the unit ball is to measure and estimate the errors of approximation in genuine, instead of anisotropic, Sobolev norms. Such estimates were established for the product domain in [9, 10] but has been lacking in most of the works on the unit disk or the unit ball. The problem of characterizing best approximation by the smoothness of functions is intensively studied in approximation theory. The two problems are closely related but not exactly the same as we shall explain below. The purpose of this paper is to conduct a comprehensive study for the spectral approximation on the unit ball $B^d$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$, making use of recent advances in both approximation theory, orthogonal polynomials, and spectral methods.
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Spectral approximation for solving an elliptic equation on $\mathbb{B}^d$ looks for approximate solutions that are polynomials written in terms of certain orthogonal basis on the ball and their coefficients are determined by the Galerkin method. To understand the convergence of such an approximation process, it is necessary to study polynomial approximation in the Sobolev space $W^s_2(\mathbb{B}^d)$, where $s$ is a positive integer, that consists of functions whose derivatives up to $s$-th order are all in $L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)$. In some literatures, the space $W^s_2(\mathbb{B}^d)$ is called $H^s(\mathbb{B}^d)$. For $f \in W^s_2(\mathbb{B}^d)$, let $S_n^{-s} f$ denote its best polynomial approximation of degree at most $n$. For spectral approximation, the desired estimate for $f \in W^s_2(\mathbb{B}^d), r \geq s$ is of the form

$$
\|f - S_n^{-s} f\|_{W^s_2(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq c n^{-r+k} \|f\|_{W^s_2(\mathbb{B}^d)}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq s,
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{W^s_2(\mathbb{B}^d)}$ denotes the norm of $W^s_2(\mathbb{B}^d)$ defined by

$$
\|f\|_{W^s_2(\mathbb{B}^d)} := \left( \sum_{|\alpha| \leq r} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)}^2 \right)^{1/2}.
$$

One of the main results of this paper is to establish this estimate and, more generally, establish its analogue in the space $W^s_p(\mathbb{B}^d)$ for $1 < p < \infty$.

The difficulty of quantifying the error of polynomial approximation on the unit ball lies in the strong influence of the boundary of the ball on the approximation behavior. This is well documented for approximation on a closed interval on the real line. A complete characterization of best approximation on the unit ball is only carried out recently. In [14], two moduli of smoothness and their equivalent $K$-functionals were introduced and used to establish both direct and inverse theorems that characterize the behavior of best approximation on the unit ball. In [12], approximation in the Sobolev space was studied and estimate (1.1) for $s = 0$ was established, more generally for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ([12, Corollary 5.4]), and the derivative estimates were established for angular derivatives, which however do not imply (1.1). What we can prove relatively effortlessly (see Theorem 2.22 below) is the following estimate

$$
\left\| \phi^{[s]} (\partial^\alpha f - \partial^\alpha S_n^s f) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq c n^{-s} \|f\|_{W^s_2(\mathbb{B}^d)}, \quad |\alpha| \leq s - 1, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d_0,
$$

where $\phi(x) = 1 - \|x\|^2$ vanishes on the boundary sphere $S^{d-1}$ of $\mathbb{B}^d$ and $S_n^0$ is the partial sum of the Fourier orthogonal expansion in $L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)$. This estimate, however, is weaker than (1.1) because of the power of $\phi(x)$ in its left hand side.

It turns out that what we need for proving (1.1) is the orthogonal structure of the Sobolev space $W^s_2(\mathbb{B}^d)$, not the orthogonal structure of $L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)$. An essential step in our study is to study orthogonal polynomials for with respect to the inner product

$$
\langle f, g \rangle_{-s} := \langle \nabla^s f, \nabla^s g \rangle_{\mathbb{B}^d} + \sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{s}{2}]-1} \langle \Delta^k f, \Delta^k g \rangle_{\partial \mathbb{B}^{d-1}}
$$

of $W^s_2(\mathbb{B}^d)$, which we call the Sobolev orthogonal polynomials. Initially motivated by direct and efficient spectral method of Atkinson and his collaborators that uses orthogonal polynomials to solve linear elliptic equations on the disk [2,3,4], the Sobolev orthogonal polynomials on the ball with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{-1}$ were studied in [32] and those with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{-2}$ were studied in [22,31]. In these works, Sobolev orthogonal bases were constructed in terms of the orthogonal polynomials for $L^2(\mathbb{S}_\mu, \mathbb{B}^d)$ with $\mu = 1$ and 2, respectively, where the weight function $\omega_\mu(x) := (1 - \|x\|^2)^\mu$, which are given explicitly in terms of spherical harmonics and the Jacobi polynomials $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)$ that are orthogonal polynomials with respect to $(1 - t)^\alpha (1 + t)^\beta$ on $[-1,1]$. For larger $s$, however, the orthogonal structure is more complicated, and we need to extend the orthogonal basis for $L^2(\mathbb{S}_\mu, \mathbb{B}^d)$ to allow $\mu$ to be negative integers, which in turn requires us to use extensions of the Jacobi polynomials with negative indexes. This is prompted by the realization that the Sobolev orthogonal polynomials for $s = -1$ and $s = -2$
in [31, 32] can be expressed in terms of orthogonal polynomials for $L^2(\omega_\mu, \mathbb{B}^d)$ with $\mu = -1$ and $-2$, and, heuristically, the negative weight could cancel out the $\phi^{\alpha/2}$ term in [12]. The Jacobi polynomials with negative indexes have been used in spectral approximation on other domains in [16, 17, 19, 27]. One of our main results is an explicitly constructed mutually orthogonal polynomial basis for $L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)$ equipped with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{-\eta}$, which can be expressed explicitly in terms of the mutually orthogonal polynomials that we constructed. For the $W_p^r(\mathbb{B}^d)$ with $p \neq 2$, the best approximating polynomial is not explicitly known, but we are able to show that a near-best approximating polynomial, denoted by $S_n^{-s}$, and defined via a smooth cut-off function $\eta$, satisfies our sharp estimate in $W_p^r(\mathbb{B}^d)$. Both $S_n^{-s}$ and $S_n^{-s}$ are given by explicit formulas that can be easily computed numerically (see Section 4). Our main result on approximation in the Sobolev space is the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $s, r = 1, 2, \ldots$. For any $f \in W_p^r(\mathbb{B}^d)$, $r \geq s$, $1 < p < \infty$, there is a constant $c$ independent of $f$ and $n$, such that

$$
\|f - S_n^{-s}f\|_{W_p^r(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq cn^{-s+k}\|f\|_{W_p^r(\mathbb{B}^d)}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, s,
$$

where $S_n^{-s}$ can be taken as $S_n^{-s}$ for $p = 2$.

More precise results of this nature are stated in Section 4.1 below. To illustrate the application of this result in the spectral approximation, we will consider two examples, the Helmholtz equation and the biharmonic equation on the unit ball, and demonstrate how our results on approximation in the Sobolev space can be used to error estimates in the spectral-Galerkin method. Furthermore, we provide numerical examples for these equations for $d = 2$ and $d = 3$, which further illustrate our findings.

The paper is written with readers in both approximation theory community and spectral method community in mind. The problem of (1.1) is originated and studied in the spectral method, which is closely tied to the problem of characterizing best approximation that has been a central theme and studied intensely in approximation theory. Our approach uses a mixed bag of tools, developed in both approximation theory and spectral methods. It is our hope that this paper will stimulate further collaboration between the two communities.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we present background materials, orthogonal polynomials on the unit ball, Fourier orthogonal expansions, and recent results on approximation on the unit ball. The orthogonal structure of the Sobolev space is developed in Section 3. The main results on approximation by polynomials in the Sobolev space are stated and proved in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5, we discuss applications of our main results in the spectral-Galerkin methods and present our numerical examples. To keep the presentation fluent, we leave technical details of extending orthogonal bases to negative indexes and proving equivalence of norms in the Sobolev space to Appendix A and Appendix B, respectively.

## 2. Preliminary and Background

For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we use the usual notation of $\|x\|$ and $\langle x, y \rangle$ to denote the Euclidean norm of $x$ and the dot product of $x, y$. The unit ball and the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^d$ are denoted, respectively, by

$$
\mathbb{B}^d := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| \leq 1 \} \quad \text{and} \quad S^{d-1} := \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|\xi\| = 1 \}.
$$

Throughout this paper, we let $\partial_i$ denote the $i$-th partial derivative operator, let $\nabla = (\nabla_1, \ldots, \nabla_d)$ be the gradient and let $\Delta = \partial_1^2 + \ldots + \partial_d^2$ be the usual Laplace operator. We denote by $c$ a
constant that depends only on \( p, s \) or other fixed parameters, its value may change from line to line.

2.1. Spherical harmonics. We follow the notation in [13]. Let \( \mathcal{P}_n^d \) denote the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree \( n \) in \( d \) variables. It is known that

\[
\dim \mathcal{P}_n^d = \binom{n + d - 1}{n}.
\]

Harmonic polynomials of \( d \)-variables are polynomials in \( \mathcal{P}_n^d \) that satisfy the Laplace equation \( \Delta Y = 0 \). Spherical harmonics are the restriction of harmonic polynomials on the unit sphere. Let \( \mathcal{H}_n^d \) denote the space of spherical harmonic polynomials of degree \( n \). It is well–known that

\[
a_n^d := \dim \mathcal{H}_n^d = \binom{n + d - 1}{n} - \binom{n + d - 3}{n - 2}.
\]

If \( Y \in \mathcal{H}_n^d \), then \( Y(x) = \rho^n Y(\xi) \) in spherical–polar coordinates \( x = \rho \xi \). We call \( Y(x) \) a solid spherical harmonic. Evidently, \( Y \) is uniquely determined by its restriction on the sphere. We shall also use \( \mathcal{H}_n^d \) to denote the space of solid spherical harmonics.

The spherical harmonics of different degrees are orthogonal with respect to the inner product

\[
\langle f, g \rangle_{S^{d-1}} := \frac{1}{\omega_d} \int_{S^{d-1}} f(\xi) g(\xi) d\sigma(\xi),
\]

where \( d\sigma \) is the surface measure and \( \omega_d = 2\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}/\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}) \) is the surface area; the inner product is normalized so that \( \langle 1, 1 \rangle_{S^{d-1}} = 1 \).

In spherical polar coordinates, the Laplace operator can be written as

\[
\Delta = \frac{d^2}{d\rho^2} + \frac{d - 1}{\rho} \frac{d}{d\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \Delta_0,
\]

where \( \rho = \|x\| \) and \( \Delta_0 \), the spherical part of \( \Delta \), is the Laplace-Beltrami operator that has spherical harmonics as eigenfunctions; more precisely, for \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \),

\[
\Delta_0 Y = -n(n + d - 2)Y, \quad Y \in \mathcal{H}_n^d.
\]

Let \( f \in L^2(S^{d-1}) \) and let \( \{Y_n^\ell : 1 \leq \ell \leq a_n^d\} \) be an orthonormal basis of \( \mathcal{H}_n^d \) such that \( \langle Y_n^\ell, Y_n^\ell \rangle_{S^{d-1}} = \delta_{\ell,n} \). The spherical harmonic expansion of \( f \) is defined by

\[
f(\xi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{a_n^d} \widehat{f}_n^\ell Y_n^\ell(\xi), \quad \widehat{f}_n^\ell = \langle f, Y_n^\ell \rangle_{S^{d-1}}.
\]

We define the partial sum of the harmonic expansion and the projection operator \( \text{proj}_n^H : L^2(S^{d-1}) \mapsto \mathcal{H}_n^d \) by

\[
S_n^H f(\xi) := \sum_{m=0}^{n} \text{proj}_m^H f(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{proj}_n^H f(\xi) := \sum_{\ell=1}^{a_n^d} \widehat{f}_n^\ell Y_n^\ell(\xi),
\]

respectively. The projection operator is independent of the choice of orthonormal basis of \( \mathcal{H}_n^d \). Furthermore, since \( \text{proj}_n^H f \) is homogeneous, we can extend its definition to the unit ball by \( \text{proj}_n^H f(x) = \rho^n \text{proj}_n^H f(\xi) \) for \( x = \rho \xi \in \mathbb{B}^d \). We extend \( S_n^H f \) accordingly. If \( h \) is a harmonic function on the unit ball, then \( S_n^H h \) is the best approximation to \( h \) in \( L^2(\mathbb{B}^d) \).
2.2. Orthogonal structure on the unit ball. Our basic reference in this section is [14]. For \( \mu \in \mathbb{R} \), let \( \varpi_\mu \) be the weight function defined by
\[
\varpi_\mu(x) = (1 - \|x\|^2)\mu, \quad \|x\| < 1.
\]
The classical orthogonal polynomials on the unit ball are orthogonal with respect to the inner product
\[
\langle f, g \rangle_\mu = \frac{1}{b_d^\mu} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} f(x) g(x) \varpi_\mu(x) \, dx, \quad \mu > -1.
\]
where \( b_d^\mu = \frac{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma(\mu+1)}{\Gamma(\mu+\frac{d}{2}+1)} \) is the normalization constant such that \( \langle 1, 1 \rangle_\mu = 1 \). For clarity, we write \( \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} = \langle f, g \rangle_0 \).

Let \( \Pi^d_n \) denote the space of polynomials in \( d \) real variables. For \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), let \( \Pi^d_n \) denote the linear space of polynomials in \( d \) variables of (total) degree at most \( n \). A polynomial \( P \in \Pi^d_n \) is called orthogonal with respect to \( \varpi_\mu \) on the ball if \( \langle P, Q \rangle_\mu = 0 \) for all \( Q \in \Pi^d_{n-1} \). Let \( \mathcal{V}^d_n(\varpi_\mu) \) denote the space of orthogonal polynomials of total degree \( n \) with respect to \( \varpi_\mu \).

It is well-known that
\[
\dim \Pi^d_n = \binom{n + d}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \dim \mathcal{V}^d_n(\varpi_\mu) = \binom{n + d - 1}{n}.
\]
The space of \( \mathcal{V}^d_n(\varpi_\mu) \) has many different bases. Let \( \{P^m_{\alpha}(x) : |\alpha| = n\} \) denote a basis of \( \mathcal{V}^d_n(\varpi_\mu) \), then \( \langle P^m_{\alpha}, P^p_{\beta} \rangle_\mu = 0 \) if \( n \neq m \). The basis is called mutually orthogonal if \( \langle P^m_{\alpha}, P^p_{\beta} \rangle_\mu = 0 \) whenever \( \alpha \neq \beta \), and it is called orthonormal if \( \langle P^m_{\alpha}, P^p_{\alpha} \rangle_\mu = 1 \) in addition. Let \((a)_{n} := a(a+1)\ldots(a+n−1)\) be the Pochhammer symbol. We use the standard multi-index notation that, for \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d_0 \),
\[
\alpha! = \alpha_1!\cdots\alpha_d!, \quad \text{and} \quad (\alpha)_{\gamma} = (\alpha_1)_{\gamma_1}\cdots(\alpha_d)_{\gamma_d}.
\]

One basis of \( \mathcal{V}^d_n(\varpi_\mu) \) is given in terms of the Jacobi polynomials and spherical harmonics. Let \( P^\mu_{\ell,\ell}(t) \) denote the usual Jacobi orthogonal polynomial of degree \( j \) with respect to weight function \((1 - t)^\mu(1 + t)^\nu\) on \([-1, 1]\).

**Proposition 2.1.** For \( n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and \( 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n}{2} \), let \( \{\mathcal{Y}^{n−2j}_\ell : 1 \leq \ell \leq a_d^{n−2j}\} \) be an orthonormal basis for \( \mathcal{H}^d_{n−2j} \). Define
\[
P^\mu_{j,\ell}(x) := \frac{(n - j + \frac{d}{2})_j}{(n - j + \frac{d}{2} + \mu)_j} P^{j−2j+\frac{d}{2}}_j(2\|x\|^2 - 1) \mathcal{Y}^{n−2j}_\ell(x).
\]

Then the set \( \{P^\mu_{\ell,\ell}(x) : 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n}{2}, 1 \leq \ell \leq a_d^{n−2j}\} \) is a mutually orthogonal basis of \( \mathcal{V}^d_n(\varpi_\mu) \) whenever \( \mu > -1 \). More precisely,
\[
\langle P^\mu_{j,\ell}, P^\mu_{k,\ell} \rangle_\mu = h^\mu_{j,\ell,k,\ell} \delta_{j,k} \delta_{\ell,\ell},
\]
where \( h^\mu_{j,\ell,k,\ell} \) is given by
\[
h^\mu_{j,\ell,k,\ell} := \frac{(\mu + 1)_j(1 - n - \frac{d}{2})_j(\frac{d}{2})_n}{j!(1 - n - \frac{d}{2} - \mu)_j(\frac{d}{2} + \mu + 1)_n}.
\]

This is a standard mutually orthogonal basis on the unit ball; see [14] p. 39]. We include a constant in the definition of \( P^\mu_{j,\ell}(x) \) in order to extend this definition to the case of \( \mu \leq -1 \), which is explained in Appendix A.

It is known that orthogonal polynomials with respect to \( \varpi_\mu \) are eigenfunctions of a second order differential operator \( \mathcal{D}_\mu \). More precisely, we have
\[
\mathcal{D}_\mu P = -(n + d)(n + 2\mu)P, \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{V}^d_n(\varpi_\mu),
\]
Definition 2.2. Let \( \Pi \) be a Fourier orthogonal expansion and approximation. 

For \( f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \), we shall write 

\[
S_k f := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{0 \leq j < n/2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{a_{n-2j}^d} \hat{f}_{j,\ell} P_{j,\ell}^{\mu,n}, \quad \text{where } \hat{f}_{j,\ell} := \frac{1}{B_{j,n}^{\mu}} \langle f, P_{j,\ell}^{\mu,n} \rangle_{\mu}.
\]

We define the partial sum of the orthogonal expansion and the projection operator \( \text{proj}^{\mu}_{n} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow V^d_{\mu} \) by 

\[
S_n^\mu f(x) := \sum_{m=0}^{n} \text{proj}^{\mu}_{m} f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{proj}^{\mu}_{n} f(x) := \sum_{0 \leq j < n/2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{a_{n-2j}^d} \hat{f}_{j,\ell} P_{j,\ell}^{\mu,n},
\]

respectively. By definition, \( S_n^\mu f \) is the orthogonal projection of \( f \) onto \( \Pi_n^{\mu} \); that is, \( S_n^\mu f = f \) if \( f \in \Pi_n^{\mu} \). 

\[
\langle S_n^\mu f - f, v \rangle_{\mu} = 0, \quad \forall v \in \Pi_n^{\mu}.
\]

2.3. Fourier orthogonal expansions and approximation. For \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), let \( \| f \|_{p,\mathbb{R}^d} \) denote the \( L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \) norm 

\[
\| f \|_{p,\mathbb{R}^d} := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(\xi)|^p \, d\sigma(\xi) \right)^{1/p},
\]

and let \( \| f \|_{\infty,\mathbb{R}^d} = \| f \|_{\infty} \) be the uniform norm for \( f \in C(\mathbb{R}^d) \). Furthermore, for \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), let \( \| f \|_{p,\mu} \) denote the \( L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \) norm 

\[
\| f \|_{p,\mu} := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^p \sigma_d(\mu \{ x \}) \, dx \right)^{1/p},
\]

and let \( \| f \|_{\infty,\mu} = \| f \|_{\infty} \) be the uniform norm for \( f \in C(\mathbb{B}^d) \). In the case of \( \mu = 0 \), we shall denote the norm by \( \| f \|_{p,\mathbb{B}^d} := \| f \|_{p,0} \).

In the remaining of this subsection, we write \( L^p (\mathbb{R}^d) \) (resp. \( L^p(\mathbb{R}^{d-1}) \)) for either \( L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \) or \( L^p(\mathbb{B}^d) \) (resp. \( L^p(\mathbb{R}^{d-1}) \)).

The standard Hilbert space theory shows that \( S_n f \) is the best \( L^2 \) approximation to \( f \); that is, 

\[
E_n(f)_2 = \| f - S_n f \|_2.
\]

For \( p \neq 2 \), we no longer know the polynomial of best approximation explicitly, but a near best approximation is known (see, for example, [3 p. 284]).
Definition 2.3. A $C^\infty$-function $\eta$ on $[0, \infty)$ is called an admissible cut-off function if $\eta(t) = 1$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $\eta(t) = 0$ for $t \geq 2$. If $\eta$ is such a function, define

$$S_{n,\eta} f(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \eta\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \text{proj}_n f(x).$$

When proj$_n$ is specified, we will write $S^{H}_{n,\eta} f$ and $S^o_{n,\eta} f$ accordingly.

Since $\eta$ is supported on $[0, 2)$, the summation in $S_{n,\eta} f$ can be terminated at $k = 2n - 1$, so that $S_{n,\eta} f$ is a polynomial of degree at most $2n - 1$. It approximates $f$ as well as the best approximation polynomial of degree $n$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $f \in L^p$ if $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f \in C$ if $p = \infty$. Then

1. $S_{n,\eta} f \in \Pi^d_{2n-1}$ and $S_{n,\eta} f = f$ for $f \in \Pi^d_n$.
2. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|S_{n,\eta} f\|_p \leq c\|f\|_p$.
3. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a constant $c > 0$, independent of $f$, such that

$$\|f - S_{n,\eta} f\|_p \leq (1 + c) E_n(f)_p.$$

The quantity $E_n(f)_{p,\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}$ and $E_n(f)_{p,\mu}$ can be characterized by the smoothness of the function $f$; see [11, 12] and Section 4 below.

2.4. Best approximation on the unit sphere. We recall result on the characterization of best approximation by polynomials in $L^p(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ in terms of the smoothness of the functions. In approximation theory, smoothness of a function is usually measured by the modulus of smoothness and its equivalent $K$-functional. Since we are primarily interested in functions in Sobolev spaces, we shall state the result only in terms of $K$-functional.

For $s = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, we define the Sobolev space $W^s_p(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ to be the space of functions whose spherical/angular derivatives up to $s$-th order are all in $L^p(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$. For $p = \infty$, we replace $L^p$ space by the space $C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ of continuous functions on $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. The norm and semi-norm of $W^s_p(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ can be defined by

$$\|f\|_{W^s_p(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} := \|f\|_{p,\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} + \|f\|_{W^s_p(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}, \quad |f|^s_{W^s_p(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} := \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} \|D^s_{ij} g\|_{p,\mathbb{S}^{d-1}},$$

where $D_{i,j} := x_i \partial_j - x_j \partial_i$, $1 \leq i < j \leq d$ are angular differential operators. In polar coordinates on the plane $(x_1, x_j) = r_{i,j}(\cos \theta_{i,j}, \sin \theta_{i,j})$, $D_{i,j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{i,j}}$, which explains their name; see [13] Section 1.8 for further properties of these operators.

Definition 2.5. Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ if $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ if $p = \infty$. For $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $t \geq 0$, define the $K$-functional

$$K_s(f, t)_{p,\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} := \inf_{g \in W^s_p(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} \left\{ \|f - g\|_{p,\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} + t^s |g|_{W^s_p(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} \right\}.$$ 

This definition and the characterization of best approximation below were established in [11], where an equivalent modulus of smoothness was also defined.

Theorem 2.6. Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ if $1 \leq p < \infty$, and $f \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ if $p = \infty$. Then

$$E_n(f)_{p,\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \leq c K_s(f, n^{-1})_{p,\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}$$

and

$$K_s(f, n^{-1})_{p,\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \leq c n^{-s} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{s-1} E_k(f)_{p,\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}.$$
The estimate (2.14) is usually called direct, or Jackson, inequality, while (2.15) is usually called inverse inequality. If \( f \in W_p^s(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}) \), then we can choose \( g = f \) in the infimum of \( K \)-functional, which gives the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.7.** Let \( s \in \mathbb{N} \) and let \( f \in W_p^s(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}) \) if \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), and \( f \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}) \) if \( p = \infty \). Then

\[
E_n(f)_{p,\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \leq c n^{-s} \| f \|^p_{W_p^s(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} \leq c n^{-s} \| f \|_{W_p^{s+1}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}.
\]

We will also need an estimate in the fractional order Sobolev space \( W_p^{r,\theta}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}) \), where \( 0 < \theta < 1 \), which is defined as the interpolation space \( (W_p^0(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}), W_p^{s+1}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}))_{\theta,p} \); see Appendix B.

**Theorem 2.8.** If \( f \in W_p^{r,\theta}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}) \) for \( r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), \( 0 \leq \theta < 1 \) and \( 1 < p < \infty \), then

\[
\| f - S^H_{n,\theta} f \|_{W_p^{r,\theta}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} \leq c n^{-r+\theta} \| f \|_{W_p^{r,\theta}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}, \quad s = 0, 1, \ldots, r.
\]

**Proof.** Since \( D_{i,j} \) maps \( \mathcal{H}^d_n \) to itself for \( 1 \leq i < j \leq d \) [Lemma 1.8.3], it follows readily that \( D_{i,j} \propto H_{n} = \propto H_{i,j} \). As a result, \( D_{i,j}^* S^H_{n} f = S^H_{n} D_{i,j} f \) and \( D_{i,j}^* S_{n,\theta} f = S_{n,\theta} D_{i,j} f \).

Thus by (2.11) and (2.16),

\[
\| D_{i,j}^* (S_{n,\theta} f - f) \|_{p,\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \leq c E_n(D_{i,j}^* f)_{p,\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \leq c n^{s-r} \| D_{i,j}^* f \|_{W_p^{r,\theta}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})},
\]

for \( s = 0, 1, \ldots, r \), which gives (2.17) for \( \theta = 0 \). Consequently, it follows that

\[
\| S^H_{n,\theta} f - f \|_{W_p^{r,\theta}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} \leq c n^{s-r} \| f \|_{W_p^{r,\theta}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})},
\]

which implies that \( \| S^H_{n,\theta} f - f \|_{L(W_p^{r,\theta}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}), W_p^{r,\theta}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}))} \leq cn^{s-r} \) for any \( r \geq s \), where \( \| \cdot \|_{L(X,Y)} \) denotes the norm of the operator from \( X \rightarrow Y \). It then follows from (B.4) that

\[
\| S^H_{n,\theta} f - f \|_{L(W_p^{r,\theta}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}), W_p^{r,\theta}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}))} \leq c n^{s-r}.
\]

This completes the proof of (2.17).

\( \square \)

2.5. **Best approximation on the unit ball.** We recall result on best approximation by polynomials in \( L^p(\mathbb{B}^d) \). We define the Sobolev space \( W_p^s(\varpi_\mu, \mathbb{B}^d) \) to be the space of functions whose derivatives up to the \( s \)-th order are all in \( L^p(\varpi_\mu, \mathbb{B}^d) \). For \( p = \infty \), we replace \( L^p \) space by the space \( C(\mathbb{B}^d) \) of continuous functions on \( \mathbb{B}^d \). The norm of \( W_p^s(\varpi_\mu, \mathbb{B}^d) \) is defined by

\[
\| f \|_{W_p^s(\varpi_\mu, \mathbb{B}^d)} := \left( \sum_{|\alpha| \leq s} \| \partial^\alpha f \|^p_{L_p} \right)^{1/p}.
\]

When \( \mu = 0 \), we write \( \| f \|_{W_p^s(\mathbb{B}^d)} := \| f \|_{W_p^s(\varpi_0, \mathbb{B}^d)} \).

A \( K \)-functional on the unit ball (and its equivalent modulus of smoothness) is defined in [11] and used to characterize the best approximation in \( L^p(\varpi_\mu, \mathbb{B}^d) \). Throughout this paper, we define

\[
\varphi(x) := \sqrt{1 - \| x \|^2}.
\]

**Definition 2.9.** Let \( f \in L^p(\varpi_\mu, \mathbb{B}^d) \) if \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) and \( f \in C(\mathbb{B}^d) \) if \( p = \infty \). For \( s \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( t > 0 \), define

\[
K_{s,\varphi}(f, t)_{p,\mu} := \inf_{g \in W_p^s(\varpi_\mu, \mathbb{B}^d)} \left\{ \| f - g \|_{p,\mu} + t^s \| g \|^p_{W_p^s(\varpi_\mu, \mathbb{B}^d)} \right\}.
\]

where

\[
\| g \|^p_{W_p^s(\varpi_\mu, \mathbb{B}^d)} := \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} \| D_{i,j} g \|_{L_p} + \sum_{i=1}^d \| \varphi \partial_i g \|_{L_p}.
\]
Both direct and inverse theorems were established for \( E_n(f)_{\mu,p} \) in [11] Theorem 6.6. They are analogues of Theorem 2.6. We will only state the corollary that is an analogue of Corollary 2.10 and only for \( \mu = 0 \), where we write \( |f|_W^{0}(B^d) = |f|_{W^{0}_p(\pi_{0},B^d)} \).

**Corollary 2.10.** Let \( s \in \mathbb{N} \) and let \( f \in W^s_p(B^d) \) if \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), and \( f \in C(B^d) \) if \( p = \infty \). Then

\[
E_n(f)_{p,B^d} \leq cn^{-s}|f|_{W^{s}_p(B^d)} \leq cn^{-s}\|f\|_{W^{s}_p(B^d)}.
\]

It should be mentioned that [11] contains another \( K \)-functional that differs from \( K_{s,t}(f,t)_{p,\mu} \) in its last term, which can also be used to estimate \( E_n(f)_{p,\mu} \). Several results on approximation in the Sobolev spaces and Lipschitz spaces were established in [12], which contains, for example, the estimates

\[
\|D_{i,j}^m(f - S_{n,j}^\mu f)\|_{p,\mu} \leq cE_n(D_{i,j}^m f)_{p,\mu} \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq d.
\]

For the spectral approximation, however, we are more interested in the derivatives \( \partial^\alpha \) instead of the angular derivatives. One result in this direction can be derived with the help of the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.11.** For \( \mu > -1 \) and \( 1 \leq i \leq d \),

\[
\partial_i S_n^\mu f = S_n^{\mu+1}(\partial_i f) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_i S_n^\mu f = S_n^{\mu+1}(\partial_i f).
\]

**Proof.** By the definition of Fourier orthogonal expansion, \( f - S_n^\mu f = \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \text{proj}_m f \) and \( \text{proj}_m f \in \mathcal{H}_m^n(\pi_\mu) \). By Lemma A.3, \( \partial_i \text{proj}_m f \in \mathcal{H}_m^{n-1}(\pi_{\mu+1}) \). It follows that \( \langle \partial_i (f - S_n^\mu f), P_{\mu+1} \rangle = 0 \) for all \( P \in \Pi^{n-1}_\mu \). Consequently, \( S_n^{\mu+1}(\partial_i f - \partial_i S_n^\mu f) = 0 \). Since \( S_n^{\mu+1} \) reproduces polynomials of degree at most \( n - 1 \), \( S_n^{\mu+1}(\partial_i f - \partial_i S_n^\mu f) = \partial_i S_n^\mu f \), which implies that

\[
0 = S_n^{\mu+1}(\partial_i f - \partial_i S_n^\mu f) = S_n^{\mu+1}(\partial_i f) - \partial_i S_n^\mu f.
\]

This proves the first identity in (2.21). Since \( \text{proj}_m = S_m - S_{m-1} \), it follows that \( \text{proj}_m^{\mu+1}(\partial_i f) = \partial_i \text{proj}_m^\mu f \), from which the second identity in (2.21) follows immediately. \( \square \)

**Theorem 2.12.** If \( f \in W^s_p(\pi_\mu,B^d) \) for \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), or \( f \in C^s(B^d) \) for \( p = \infty \), then for \( |\alpha| = s \),

\[
\|\partial^\alpha f - \partial^\alpha S_n^\mu f\|_{p,|\alpha|} \leq cE_n(|\alpha|)(\partial^\alpha f)_{p,\mu} \leq cn^{-s}\|f\|_{W^{s}_p(\pi_{\mu},B^d)}.
\]

**Proof.** This follows immediately from Lemma 2.11 and Corollary 2.10. \( \square \)

As explained in the introduction, the estimate with \( \mu = 0 \) and \( p = 2 \) is weaker than the desired estimate (1.1) because of the factor \( (1 - |x|^2)^{|\alpha|} \) that appears in its left hand side.

3. **Orthogonal structure in the Sobolev space**

In this section, we consider orthogonal structure in the Sobolev space \( W^s_p(B^d) \). Let \( \nabla^{2m} := \Delta^m \) and \( \nabla^{2m+1} := \nabla \Delta^m \), \( m = 1,2,\ldots \).

**Definition 3.1.** For \( s = 1,2,\ldots \), we define a bilinear form on the space \( W^s_2(B^d) \) by

\[
\langle f,g \rangle := \langle \nabla^s f, \nabla^s g \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} + \sum_{k=0}^{|\frac{s}{2}|-1} \lambda_k \langle \Delta^k f, \Delta^k g \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}},
\]

where \( \lambda_k, k = 0,1,\ldots, \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor - 1 \), are positive constants.
It is easy to see that this defines an inner product for $W^2_{d}(B^{d})$. We denote the space of orthogonal polynomials of degree $n$ with respect to this inner product by $V^d_n(\omega_{-1})$. The reason that we use the negative index to denote such an inner product will become clear momentarily.

For our purpose, we need to extend the definition of orthogonal polynomials $P^\mu_{j,\ell}^n$ defined in (2.3) so that $\mu$ can be negative. The extension is carried out in Appendix A. Below we shall use $P_{j,\ell}^{-s,n}$ for $s = 1, 2, \ldots$, and what we essentially need is the following lemma proved in Appendix A.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then for $1 \leq \ell \leq a_{n-s-2j}^d$,

\begin{equation}
\tag{3.2}
P_{j,\ell}^{-s,n}(x) = \frac{(1 - n - \frac{d}{2})_j}{(-j)_s(1 - n - \frac{d}{2} + 2s)_j} (||x||^2 - 1)^s P_{j-\ell}^{s,n-2s}(x), \quad s \leq j \leq \frac{n}{2}.
\end{equation}

Furthermore, make the convention $P_{j,\ell}^{-s,n}(x) = 0$ if $j < 0$ or $j > \frac{n}{2}$, and define $j_0 = \psi(j)$ if $\psi(j) = s + j - n - \frac{d}{2}/2 + 1 \in \{1, 2, \ldots, j\}$, and $j_0 = 0$ otherwise. Then for $0 \leq j \leq \frac{n}{2}$,

\begin{equation}
\tag{3.3}
\Delta^k P_{j,\ell}^{-s,n}(x) = 4^k(n + \frac{d}{2} - 2k)_{2k} P_{j-k,\ell}^{-2s-2s,n-2k}(x) + q(\|x\|^2) Y_{\ell}^{n-2j}(x),
\end{equation}

where $q \in \Pi_{j_0-k-1}$. In particular, $q = 0$ if $s + k \geq j$.

For $s = 1$, the inner product (3.1) becomes

$$
\langle f, g \rangle_{-1} = \langle \nabla f, \nabla g \rangle_{B^{d}} + \lambda_0 \langle f, g \rangle_{B^{d-1}}.
$$

**Theorem 3.3.** A mutually orthogonal basis of $V^d_n(\omega_{-1})$ is given by $\{P_{j,\ell}^{-1,n}(x) : 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n}{2}, 0 \leq \ell \leq a_{n-s-2j}^d\}$ with

\begin{equation}
\tag{3.4}
h_{j,\ell}^{-1,n} = \langle P_{j-1,\ell}^{-1,n}, P_{j-\ell,\ell}^{-1,n} \rangle_{-1} = 2d(n + \frac{d}{2} - 1)(1 - \delta_{j,0}) + (\lambda_0 + dn)\delta_{j,0}.
\end{equation}

In particular, the space $V^d_n(\omega_{-1})$ can be decomposed as

$$
V^d_n(\omega_{-1}) = (1 - \|x\|^2)V^d_{n-2}(\omega_{1}) \oplus \mathcal{H}^d_n.
$$

This theorem was first established in [22], where the polynomials $P_{j,\ell}^{-1,n}(x)$ for $j \geq 1$ are written in the form

\begin{equation}
\tag{3.5}
P_{j,\ell}^{-1,n}(x) = \frac{(2n + d - 2)(2n + d - 4)}{2j(2n - 2j + d - 2)} (\|x\|^2 - 1) P_{j-1,\ell}^{1,n-2}(x), \quad 1 \leq j \leq \frac{n}{2},
\end{equation}

which follows from (3.2). Recall that polynomials in $V^d_n(\omega_{\mu})$ are eigenfunctions of a second order differential operator $D_{\mu}$ for $\mu > -1$. It turns out that polynomials in $V^d_n(\omega_{-1})$ are eigenfunctions of $D_{-1}$, which explains our notation of $\omega_{-1}$. For $s \geq 2$, however, $V^d_n(\omega_{-2})$ is closely related, but not exactly, the space of eigenfunctions of $D_{-2}$; see the discussion in [22].

In the case of $s = 2$, the inner product becomes

$$
\langle f, g \rangle_{-2} = \langle \Delta f, \Delta g \rangle_{B^{d}} + \lambda_0 \langle f, g \rangle_{B^{d-1}}.
$$

**Theorem 3.4.** A mutually orthogonal basis of mutually orthogonal basis for $V^d_n(\omega_{-2})$ is given by

\begin{equation}
Q_{0,\ell}^{n}(x) = Y_{\ell}^{n}(x), \quad Q_{j,\ell}^{n}(x) = (1 - \|x\|^2)Y_{\ell}^{n-2j}(x),
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
Q_{j,\ell}^{n}(x) = (1 - \|x\|^2)^2 P_{j-\ell+2j+1,\ell}^{n-2j+1,n-2j}(x), \quad 2 \leq j \leq \frac{n}{2},
\end{equation}

where where $\{Y_{\ell}^{n-2j} : 1 \leq \nu \leq a_{n-2j}^d\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}^d_{n-2j}$. In particular, the space $V^d_n(\omega_{-2})$ satisfies a decomposition

\begin{equation}
\tag{3.6}
V^d_n(\omega_{-2}) = (1 - \|x\|^2)^2 V^d_{n-4}(\omega_{2}) \oplus (1 - \|x\|^2)\mathcal{H}^d_{n-2} \oplus \mathcal{H}^d_n.
\end{equation}
The decomposition in the theorem is established in [22], from which the mutually orthogonal basis follows from results in [31]. The polynomials $Q_{j,\ell}^n$ are closely related to $P_{j,\ell}^{2,n}$ as can be seen by (3.2). Indeed, $P_{j,\ell}^{2,n}(x) = cQ_{j,\ell}^n(x)$ except when $j = 1$, in which case

$$P_{1,\ell}^{2,n}(x) = c_{1,\ell}Q_{1,\ell}^n(x) - \frac{n + \frac{d}{2} - 1}{n + \frac{d}{2} - 3} Y_{\ell}^{n-3}(x), \quad 2n + d > 6$$

and $P_{1,\ell}^{2,n}(x) = c_{1,\ell}Q_{1,\ell}^n(x) + 2$ for $n = d = 2$, where $c_{j,\ell}$ is a constant that can be obtained by comparing the leading coefficients.

The orthogonal structure of $W_2^n(S^d)$ for $s \geq 3$ is more complicated. As we shall see below, the analogue of (3.6) no longer holds if $s > 2$. We start with a lemma.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let $k$ and $n$ be nonnegative integers. For $Y \in H_n^d$,

$$\Delta^k[(1 - \|x\|^2)^jY(x)]_{x=\xi} = 4^k(-j)_k(-k)_j \frac{(n + \frac{d}{2})_k}{(n + \frac{d}{2})_j} Y(\xi). \tag{3.7}$$

**Proof.** It suffices to prove (3.7) for all $Y(x) = Y_n^\ell(x)$, $\ell = 0, 1, \ldots, a_n^d$. By (A.8), (A.3), (3.2) and (3.3), we obtain

$$\Delta^k[(1 - \|x\|^2)^jY_n^\ell(x)] = \Delta^k[(1 - \|x\|^2)^jP_{0,\ell}^{j,n}(x)] = \frac{(-j)_j}{(n + j + \frac{d}{2})_j} \Delta^k P_{j,\ell}^{j,n+2j-2k}(x)$$

$$= 4^k(-j)_j \frac{(n + j + \frac{d}{2} - 2k)_j}{(n + j + \frac{d}{2})_j} P_{2k-j,n+2j-2k}^{2k-j,n+2j-2k}(x),$$

which is equal to zero if $0 \leq j \leq k - 1$ and its restriction on $S^{d-1}$ is zero if $j \geq 2k + 1$ by (3.2). It is easy to see that the right hand side of (3.7) is also zero for $j$ in these ranges. In the remaining case $k \leq j \leq 2k$, we use (A.8) and (A.5) to derive

$$P_{j-k,n}^{2k-j,n+2j-2k}(\xi) = \frac{(n + j - k + \frac{d}{2})_{j-k} (2k - j + 1)_{j-k} (n + k + \frac{d}{2})_{j-k}}{(j-k)! (n + k + \frac{d}{2})_{j-k}} Y_n^\ell(\xi),$$

and simplify the constant by

$$\frac{(-j)_j}{(n + j + \frac{d}{2})_j} \frac{(n + j - k + \frac{d}{2})_{j-k} (2k - j + 1)_{j-k}}{(j-k)! (n + k + \frac{d}{2})_{j-k}} = (-j)_j (-k)_{j-k} \frac{(n + \frac{d}{2})_{j-k}}{(n + \frac{d}{2})_j}.$$

Then (3.7) is established. \hfill \Box

For $i = 0, 1, \ldots, j$, consider the system of linear equations

$$4^k \sum_{i=k}^j (-i)_k (-k)_{i-k} \frac{(n + d/2)_{i-k}}{(n + d/2)_{i-k}} c_i = \delta_{k,j}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq j. \tag{3.8}$$

The system has a unique solution, since the matrix of the system is tridiagonal with nonzero diagonal elements. In fact, $c_0 = \delta_{j,0}$, $c_j = (-1)^j4^{-j}/(j!(n + d/2)_j)$ and the rest $c_i$ can be deduced recursively starting from $c_j$.

**Lemma 3.6.** For any $n, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $c_i^{n,j}$, $0 \leq i \leq j$, be the unique solution of the linear system (3.8). If $j < 0$, define $Y_n^{\ell,n-j}(x) := 0$ and, if $j \geq 0$, define

$$Y_n^{\ell,n-j}(x) := \sum_{i=0}^j c_i^{n,j}(1 - \|x\|^2)^i Y_n^\ell(x), \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq a_n^d.$$

Then for $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $x \in S^d$ and $\xi \in S^{d-1}$,

$$\Delta^s Y_n^{\ell,n-j}(x) = Y_n^{\ell,n-j-s}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta^s Y_n^{\ell,n-j}(\xi) = \delta_{s,j} Y_n^\ell(\xi). \tag{3.9}$$
Proof. The second identity of (3.9) follows directly from the definition of \(c_{n,j}^n\), and the Lemma 3.5. To prove the first identity, we use the spherical-polar coordinates \(x = \rho \xi\) and derive from (2.1) and (2.2) that
\[
\Delta[q(\|x\|^2)Y^n(x)] = q(\rho^2)\rho^{n-2}\Delta_0 Y^n(\xi) + (\partial^2_\rho + \frac{d-1}{n}\partial_\rho)q(\rho^2)\rho^n Y^n(\xi)
\]
\[
= Dq(\|x\|^2)Y^n(x),
\]
where \(Dq\) is defined by
\[
Dq(t) := 4[tq''(t) + (n + \frac{d}{2})q'(t)].
\]
If \(q\) is a polynomial of degree \(j\), then \(Dq\) is a polynomial of degree \(j - 1\). In particular, this shows that \(\Delta^{j+1} Y_{\ell}^{n,j}(x) = 0\). Consequently, each \(Y_{\ell}^{n,j}(x)\) is the solution of the following elliptic equation
\[
\begin{cases}
\Delta^{j+1} u = 0 & \text{in } B^d, \\
\Delta^k u = \delta_{j,k} Y^n_{\ell} & \text{on } S^{d-1}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, j,
\end{cases}
\]
which admits a unique solution by the posedness and regularity theory of the elliptic equation [29, Theorem 5.5.2, pp. 390-391]. On the other hand, it is easy to see that \(\Delta^{j} Y_{\ell}^{n,j+s}(x)\) is also a solution of (3.11). By the uniqueness of the solution, we must have \(\Delta^{s} Y_{\ell}^{n,j+s}(x) = Y_{\ell}^{n,j}(x)\), which completes the proof.  

\[\square\]

We did not find a closed-form formula for \(c_{n,j}^n\). Here are the first three \(Y_{\ell}^{n,j}\):
\[
Y_{\ell}^{n,0}(x) = Y^n_{\ell}(x), \quad Y_{\ell}^{n,1}(x) = \frac{1 - \|x\|^2}{n + \frac{d}{2}} Y^n_{\ell}(x), \quad Y_{\ell}^{n,2}(x) = \frac{(n + \frac{d}{2})(1 - \|x\|^2)^2 + 2(1 - \|x\|^2)^2}{2(n + \frac{d}{2})(n + \frac{d}{2})^2} Y^n_{\ell}(x).
\]

With the help of \(Y_{\ell}^{n,j}\), we can now define a mutually orthogonal basis for \(V_n^d(\omega_{-\omega})\).

Lemma 3.7. For \(s \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, 0 \leq j \leq n - \frac{d}{2}\) and \(1 \leq \ell \leq a_{n-2,j}^d\), define
\[
Q_{j,\ell}^{-s,n}(x) = \begin{cases}
P_{j,\ell}^{-s,n}(x), & j \geq s, \\
P_{j,\ell}^{s,n}(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{s}{2}]-1} \frac{\Delta^k P_{j,\ell}^{-s,n}(\xi)}{Y_{\ell}^{-n-2j}(\xi)} Y_{\ell}^{-n-2j,k}(x), & \left[\frac{s}{2}\right] \leq j \leq s - 1, \\
0, & 0 \leq j \leq \left[\frac{s}{2}\right] - 1,
\end{cases}
\]
where \(\xi \in S^{d-1}\). Then, for \(0 \leq j \leq \frac{n}{2}\) and \(1 \leq \ell \leq a_{n-2,j}^d\),
1. \(\Delta^k Q_{j,\ell}^{-s,n}(\xi) = \delta_{j,k} Y_{\ell}^{-n-2j}(\xi)\) for \(0 \leq k \leq \left[\frac{s}{2}\right] - 1\);
2. If \(s\) is even, then \(\Delta^s Q_{j,\ell}^{-s,n}(x) = 2^s(n + \frac{d}{2} - s) P_{j-\frac{s}{2},\ell}^{s,n}(x)\);
3. If \(s\) is odd, then \(\Delta^{\frac{s}{2}} Q_{j,\ell}^{-s,n}(x) = 2^{s-1}(n + \frac{d}{2} - s + 1) s^{-1} P_{j-\frac{s}{2},\ell}^{-1,n-s+1}(x)\) for \(j \neq \left[\frac{s}{2}\right]\) and \(\Delta^{\frac{s}{2}} Q_{j,\ell}^{-s,n}(x) = Y_{\ell}^{-n-2j}(\xi) = P_{j-\frac{s}{2},\ell}^{s-1,n-s+1}(x)\).

Proof. For \(j \geq s\), it follows from (3.3) that \(\Delta^k P_{j,\ell}^{-s,n}(x) = 4^k(n + \frac{d}{2} - 2k) P_{j-2k,\ell}^{2k-s,n-2k}(x)\), which instantly gives item 2 and item 3 for \(s \leq j \leq \frac{n}{2}\). Further, for \(0 \leq k \leq \left[\frac{s}{2}\right] - 1\), one derives from (3.2) that \(\Delta^k P_{j,\ell}^{-s,n}(\xi) = 0\) owing to \(j - k \geq s - 2k \geq 1\). Hence, item 1 follows if \(s \leq j \leq \frac{n}{2}\).

A combination of (3.3) and (A.8) implies that \(\frac{\Delta^k P_{j,\ell}^{-s,n}(\xi)}{Y_{\ell}^{-n-2j}(\xi)}\) is a constant independent of \(\xi\). Hence, \(Q_{j,\ell}^{-s,n}\) is defined in such a way that \(\Delta^k Q_{j,\ell}^{-s,n}(\xi) = 0\) for \(\left[\frac{s}{2}\right] \leq j \leq s - 1\), as can be
From item 3 of Lemma 3.7, we obtain that

\[ \Delta \{ \frac{j}{2} \} P_{j,\ell}^{s,n}(x) - \delta_{\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor,1} \{ \frac{j}{2} \} Y_{\ell}^{n-2} \{ \frac{j}{2} \} = \]

\[ = 4 \{ \frac{j}{2} \} (n + \frac{d}{2} - 2 \{ \frac{j}{2} \}) \{ \frac{j}{2} \} (P_{j,\ell}^{s,n-2} \{ \frac{j}{2} \} - \delta_{\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor,1} \{ \frac{j}{2} \} Y_{\ell}^{n-2} \{ \frac{j}{2} \}) . \]

By (3.2) and (3.3), we obtain

\[ \Delta \{ \frac{j}{2} \} | \frac{s}{2} - n - 2 \{ \frac{j}{2} \} (x) = \delta_{\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor,1} \{ \frac{j}{2} \} Y_{\ell}^{n-2} \{ \frac{j}{2} \} . \]

Finally, if 0 ≤ j ≤ [s/2] − 1, all three items follow directly from (3.9).

**Theorem 3.8.** The polynomials in \( \{ Q^{s,n}_{j,\ell}(x) : 0 ≤ j ≤ \frac{n}{2}; 1 ≤ \ell ≤ \alpha^d_{n-2} \} \) form a mutually orthogonal basis of \( V_n^d(\pi_{-}) \). More precisely,

\[ \langle Q^{s,n}_{j,\ell}, Q^{s,n}_{j',\ell'} \rangle_{-s} = h_{j,n} \delta_{n,n'} \delta_{j,j'} \delta_{\ell,\ell'} \]

for \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_s \) defined in (3.1), where

\[ h_{j,n} := \begin{cases} 2^{s-1}(n + \frac{d}{2} - s)(n + \frac{d}{2} - s + 1)_{s-1}, & j ≥ \left[ \frac{s}{2} \right] \\ d(n - 2j) + \lambda_j, & j = \frac{s}{2} - 1 \\ \lambda_j, & 0 ≤ j < \frac{s}{2} - 1. \end{cases} \]

**Proof.** From item 1 of Lemma 3.7 and the orthonormality of \( \{ Y_{\ell}^n : 1 ≤ \ell ≤ \alpha^d_n \} \), it follows immediately that

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor - 1} \lambda_k \Delta^{k} Q^{s,n}_{j,\ell}, Q^{s,n}_{j',\ell'} \rangle_{g^{s-1}} = \lambda_j \delta_{n,n'} \delta_{j,j'} \delta_{\ell,\ell'}, \quad 0 ≤ j, j' ≤ \left[ \frac{s}{2} \right] - 1 \]

and the left hand side is equal to zero if \( j ≥ \left[ \frac{s}{2} \right] \) or \( j' ≥ \left[ \frac{s}{2} \right] \). Thus, we remain to consider \( J := \langle \nabla^s Q^{s,n}_{j,\ell}, \nabla^s Q^{s,n}_{j',\ell'} \rangle_{g^{s-1}} \).

If \( s \) is odd, we temporarily denote \( c_{j,n} = 2^{s-1}(n + \frac{d}{2} - s + 1)_{s-1} \) if \( j ≠ \frac{s+1}{2} \) and \( c_{\frac{s}{2}-1,n} = 1 \).

From item 3 of Lemma 3.7, we obtain that

\[ J = c_{j,n}c_{j',n'} \langle \nabla^{s-1} P_{j,\ell}^{n,s-1}, \nabla^{s-1} P_{j',\ell'}^{n',s-1} \rangle_{g^{s-1}}, \]

which is equal to zero if \( j ≤ \frac{s-3}{2} \) or \( j' ≤ \frac{s-3}{2} \), whereas it can be seen from (3.4) with \( \lambda_0 = 0 \) that

\[ J = [d(n - s + 1)\delta_{\frac{s-1}{2},j} + 2d(n + \frac{d}{2} - s)(c_{j,n})^2(1 - \delta_{\frac{s-1}{2},j})] \delta_{n,n'} \delta_{j,j'} \delta_{\ell,\ell'}, \]

for \( j, j' ≥ \frac{s-1}{2} \). As a result, this completes the proof of (3.13) for odd \( s \).

If \( s \) is even, we obtain from item 2 of Lemma 3.7 that

\[ J = 4^s(n + \frac{d}{2} - s)_s(n' + \frac{d}{2} - s)_s \{ P_{j,\ell}^{0,s-1}, P_{j',\ell'}^{0,s-1} \}_{g^{s-1}}. \]

It is obvious that \( J = 0 \) if \( j ≤ \frac{s}{2} - 1 \) or \( j' ≤ \frac{s}{2} - 1 \). For \( j, j' ≥ \frac{s}{2} \), it follows from (2.6) that

\[ J = \frac{d^2[2^s(n + \frac{d}{2} - s)]_2^2}{n + \frac{d}{2} - s} \delta_{n,n'} \delta_{j,j'} \delta_{\ell,\ell'}, \]

which proves (3.13) for even \( s \). The proof is completed.
Just as the projection operator defined for \( \mu > -1 \), we define the orthogonal projection operator 
\( \text{proj}^s_n : W^s_2(\mathbb{B}^d) \to Y^s_n(\mathbb{B}^d) \), where \( s \) is a positive integer, by

\[
(3.14) \quad \text{proj}^s_n f(x) := \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n} \frac{1}{h_{j,n}^s} \sum_{\ell \leq 1} \langle f, Q^{-s,n}_{j,\ell} \rangle \cdots Q^{-s,n}_{j,\ell} (x),
\]

**Lemma 3.9.** Let \( f \in W^s_p(\mathbb{B}^d) \) and \( s \in \mathbb{N} \). For \( k = 0, 1, \ldots \left\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor - 1 \),

\[
(3.15) \quad \Delta^k \text{proj}^{-s}_n f(\xi) = \text{proj}^{H-2k}_n \Delta^k f(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{B}^{d-1},
\]

**Proof.** Form Lemma 3.7 and Theorem 3.8 and the orthonormality of \( \{Y^s_n\} \), it follows that

\[
\Delta^k \text{proj}^{-s}_n f(\xi) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{h_{j,n}^s} \sum_{\ell \leq 1} \langle f, Q^{-s,n}_{j,\ell} \rangle \cdots \delta_j k Y^{n-2j}_\ell (x)
\]

\[
= \sum_{\ell \leq 1} \langle \Delta^k f, Y^{n-2k}_\ell \rangle_{B^{d-1}} Y^{n-2k}_\ell (\xi) = \text{proj}^{H-2k}_n \Delta^k f(\xi),
\]

for \( 0 \leq k < \frac{s-1}{2} \). In the remaining case of \( s \) is odd and \( k = \frac{s-1}{2} \), it follows from Lemma 3.7 and (3.4) that

\[
\Delta^k \text{proj}^{-s}_n f(\xi) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{h_{j,n}^s} \sum_{\ell \leq 1} \langle f, Q^{-s,n}_{j,\ell} \rangle \cdots \delta_j k Y^{n-2j}_\ell (x)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{d(n-2k) + \lambda_k} \sum_{\ell \leq 1} \left[ (\nabla \Delta^k f, \nabla Y^{n-2k}_\ell)_{B^d} + \lambda_k \langle \Delta^k f, Y^{n-2k}_\ell \rangle_{B^{d-1}} \right] Y^{n-2k}_\ell (\xi)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{d(n-2k) + \lambda_k} \sum_{\ell \leq 1} \left[ d(\Delta^k f, \partial Y^{n-2k}_\ell)_{B^{d-1}} + \lambda_k \langle \Delta^k f, Y^{n-2k}_\ell \rangle_{B^{d-1}} \right] Y^{n-2k}_\ell (\xi)
\]

\[
= \sum_{\ell \leq 1} \langle \Delta^k f, Y^{n-2k}_\ell \rangle_{B^{d-1}} Y^{n-2k}_\ell (\xi) = \text{proj}^{H-2k}_n \Delta^k f(\xi),
\]

where the third equality sign is derived using Green’s formula. \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.10.** Assume that \( f \in W^s_p(\mathbb{B}^d) \) satisfies \( f(x) = (1-\|x\|^2)^s g(x) \). Then for \( s = 1, 2, \ldots \),

\[
(3.16) \quad \text{proj}^s_n f(x) = (1-\|x\|^2)^s \text{proj}^{s-2}_n g(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{B}^d.
\]

**Proof.** The fact of \( (1-\|x\|^2)^s \) in \( f \) implies immediately from (3.10) that \( \Delta^k f(\xi) = 0 \) if \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, \left\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor - 1 \), so that \( \langle f, Q^{-s,n}_{j,\ell} \rangle _{-s} = \langle \nabla^s f, \nabla Q^{-s,n}_{j,\ell} \rangle_{B^d} \). By (3.12) and (3.9), \( \Delta^s Q^{-s,n}_{j,\ell} = \Delta^s P^{-s,n}_{j,\ell} \) for \( 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n}{2} \). Applying Green’s identity repeatedly and using (3.3), we then obtain

\[
\langle f, Q^{-s,n}_{j,\ell} \rangle _{-s} = (-1)^s \langle f, \Delta^s Q^{-s,n}_{j,\ell} \rangle_{B^d} = (-1)^s \langle f, \Delta^s P^{-s,n}_{j,\ell} \rangle_{B^d}
\]

\[
=(-4)^s (n + \frac{d}{2} - 2s)_{2s} (f, P^{-s,n-2s}_{j-s,\ell})_{B^d} = (-4)^s (n + \frac{d}{2} - 2s)_{2s} \langle g, P^{-s,n-2s}_{j-s,\ell} \rangle_{B^d},
\]

which is zero if \( j < s \); while for \( j \geq s \), it follows from (3.12), (3.13), (3.2) and (2.6) that

\[
\frac{\langle f, Q^{-s,n}_{j,\ell} \rangle _{-s} - \langle Q^{-s,n}_{j,\ell} \rangle _{-s}}{h_{j,n}^s} = \frac{\langle g, P^{-s,n-2s}_{j-s,\ell} \rangle_{B^d}}{h_{j,n}^s},
\]

which finally proves (3.16). \( \square \)
4. Approximation by polynomials on the ball

This section contains our main results on approximation in the Sobolev space on the ball and their proofs. To facilitate readers who are mainly interested in the results, we state our main theorems in the first subsection and give their proofs in subsequent subsections.

4.1. Main results. Let $\eta \in C^\infty_0 [0, \infty)$ be an admissible cut-off function. With respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_s$ of $W^s_p (\mathbb{B}^d)$, we define

\begin{equation}
S_n^{-s} f(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n} \text{proj}_k^{-s} f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad S_{n,\eta}^{-s} f(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \eta \left( \frac{k}{n} \right) \text{proj}_k^{-s} f(x).
\end{equation}

By definition, $S_n^{-s} f \in \Pi^d_2$ and $S_{n,\eta}^{-s} f \in \Pi^d_{2n-1}$, and it is obvious that

\begin{equation}
\langle f - S_n^{-s} f, v \rangle_s = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle f - S_{n,\eta}^{-s} f, v \rangle_s = 0, \quad \forall v \in \Pi^d_n.
\end{equation}

Our first result is on approximation in the space of $W^1_p (\mathbb{B}^d)$.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. If $f \in W^r_p (\mathbb{B}^d)$ and $1 < p < \infty$, then

\begin{equation}
\| f - S_{n,\eta}^{-s} f \|_{p,\mathbb{B}^d} \leq cn^{-r} \sum_{i=1}^{d} E_n-1(\partial_i f)_{p,\mathbb{B}^d} \leq cn^{-r} \| f \|_{W^r_p (\mathbb{B}^d)},
\end{equation}

where $S_{n,\eta}^{-s} f$ can be replaced by $S_n^{-s} f$ if $p = 2$.

For $W^s_p (\mathbb{B}^d)$, $s = 2, 3, \ldots$, the errors are not directly bounded by $E_n(f)_{p,\mathbb{B}^d}$ but we still have the order of convergence.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \geq s$. If $f \in W^r_p (\mathbb{B}^d)$ and $1 < p < \infty$, then, for $n \geq s$,

\begin{equation}
\| f - S_{n,\eta}^{-s} f \|_{W^s_p (\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq cn^{-r+k} \| f \|_{W^s_p (\mathbb{B}^d)}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, s,
\end{equation}

where $S_{n,\eta}^{-s} f$ can be replaced by $S_n^{-s} f$ if $p = 2$.

We denote by $\tilde{W}^s_p (\mathbb{B}^d)$ the subspace of $W^s_p (\mathbb{B}^d)$ defined by

\begin{equation}
\tilde{W}^s_p (\mathbb{B}^d) := \{ f \in W^s_p (\mathbb{B}^d) : \partial_{n} f|_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} = 0, \partial_{k} f|_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} = 0, k = 0, 1, \ldots, s - 1 \},
\end{equation}

where $\partial_{n}$ denote the normal derivative of $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. For $s = 1, 2, \ldots$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we define a semi-norm of $W^s_p (\mathbb{B}^d)$ by

\begin{equation}
| f |_{W^s_p (\mathbb{B}^d)} := \left( \sum_{|\alpha| = s} \| \partial^\alpha f \|_{p,\mathbb{B}^d}^p \right)^{1/p}.
\end{equation}

If $f \in \tilde{W}^s_p (\mathbb{B}^d)$, then it can be shown (see the end of Appendix B) that, for $1 < p < \infty$,

\begin{equation}
\| f \|_{W_p^r (\mathbb{B}^d)} \sim | f |_{W_p^s (\mathbb{B}^d)} \sim \| \nabla^s f \|_{p,\mathbb{B}^d} \sim \sum_{i=1}^{d} \| \partial_i^s f \|_{p,\mathbb{B}^d}.
\end{equation}

**Theorem 4.3.** Let $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If $f \in \tilde{W}^s_p (\mathbb{B}^d) \cap W^r_p (\mathbb{B}^d)$ with $r \geq s$ and $1 < p < \infty$, then, for $n \geq s$,

\begin{equation}
\| f - S_{n,\eta}^{-s} f \|_{W^s_p (\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq cn^{-r+k} \sum_{|\alpha| = s} \| \partial^\alpha f \|_{W^s_p (\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq cn^{-r+k} \| f \|_{W^s_p (\mathbb{B}^d)},
\end{equation}

where $S_{n,\eta}^{-s} f$ can be replaced by $S_n^{-s} f$ if $p = 2$. 
It should be pointed out that if \( f \in \hat{W}_p^s(\mathbb{B}^d) \), then \( f(x) = (1 - \|x\|^2)^s g(x) \) for some \( g \in W_p^s(\mathbb{B}^d) \) and, by Lemma 3.10,

\[
S_{n,q}^{-s} f(x) = (1 - \|x\|^2)^s S_{n-2s,q} g(x).
\]

These results will be proved in the following subsections, where the following observation will be useful. For \( f \in W_p^s(\mathbb{B}^d) \), it follows immediately from applying the Hölder inequality on \( \mathbb{B}^d \) and \( \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \) that

\[
|\langle f, g \rangle_{-s}| \leq \left( \|\nabla^s f\|_{p,\mathbb{B}^d} + \sum_{k=0}^{[s]} \sqrt{k} \|\Delta^k f\|_{p,\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \right) \left( \|\nabla^s g\|_{p,\mathbb{B}^d} + \sum_{k=0}^{[s]} \sqrt{k} \|\Delta^k g\|_{p,\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \right).
\]

Using Lemma B.3 and the inequality (B.3), we obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.4.** For \( f \in W_p^s(\mathbb{B}^d) \) and \( g \in W_q^s(\mathbb{B}^d) \), with \( \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \) and \( 1 < p < \infty \),

\[
|\langle f, g \rangle_{-s}| \leq c \|f\|_{W_p^s(\mathbb{B}^d)} \|g\|_{W_q^s(\mathbb{B}^d)}.
\]

**4.2. Approximation by polynomials in \( W_p^r(\mathbb{B}^d) \).** According to Theorem 3.3 if \( f \in W_p^r(\mathbb{B}^d) \), then we can decompose \( f \) into two parts

\[
f(x) = (1 - \|x\|^2) g(x) + h(x), \quad \text{where} \quad \Delta h = 0.
\]

Then it is readily checked by Lemma 3.10 that

\[
\text{proj}^1_n f(x) = (1 - \|x\|^2) \text{proj}^1_{n-2} g(x) + \text{proj}^H_n h(x).
\]

For \( f \in W_p^r(\mathbb{B}^d) \), let \( S_n^{-1} f \) be defined as in (4.1). The following lemma is essential for the proof of Theorem 4.1.

**Theorem 4.5.** For \( 1 \leq i \leq d \) and \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \),

\[
\partial_i S_n^{-1} f = S_n^{-1}(\partial_i f) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_i S_n^{-1} f = S_{n-1, \eta}(\partial_i f).
\]

**Proof.** Since \( \partial_i S_n^{-1} f \in \Pi_n^{-1, \eta} \), it suffices to prove

\[
|\partial_i S_n^{-1} f - \partial_i f, v\rangle_{\mathbb{B}^d} = 0, \quad \forall v \in \Pi_n^{-1, \eta},
\]

for the first identity of (4.11). From the Fourier expansion of \( f \),

\[
f = \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m/2} a_{m-j}^{d-2j} \sum_{\ell=1} \tilde{f}_{j,\ell}^{-1, m} P_{j,\ell}^{-1, m}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{f}_{j,\ell}^{-1, m} = (h_{j,\ell}^{-1, m})^{-1}(f, P_{j,\ell}^{-1, m})_{-1},
\]

it follows that

\[
\partial_i f - \partial_i S_n^{-1} f = \sum_{m \geq n+1} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m/2} a_{m-j}^{d-2j} \sum_{\ell=1} \tilde{f}_{j,\ell}^{-1, m} \partial_i P_{j,\ell}^{-1, m}.
\]

If \( j \geq 1 \), by integration by part and (4.2), we obtain that, for \( m \geq n+1 \),

\[
|\langle \partial_i P_{j,\ell}^{-1, m}, v\rangle_{\mathbb{B}^d} = c \langle (1 - \|x\|^2) P_{j,\ell}^{-1, m-2}, \partial_i v\rangle_{\mathbb{B}^d} = 0, \quad \forall v \in \Pi_n^{-1, \eta}.
\]

If \( j = 0 \), then \( P_{0,\ell}^{-1, m}(x) = c Y_{\ell}^m(x) \). Since \( \partial_i Y_{\ell}^m \) is a homogenous polynomial of degree \( m-1 \), and \( \Delta \partial_i Y_{\ell}^m = 0 \), it follows that \( \partial_i Y_{\ell}^m \in H_{m-1}^{d-1} \subseteq V_{m-1}(x_0) \), so that

\[
|\langle \partial_i P_{0,\ell}^{-1, m}, v\rangle_{\mathbb{B}^d} = 0, \quad m \geq n+1, \quad \forall v \in \Pi_n^{-1, \eta}.
\]

As a result, (4.12) holds, which proves the first identity of (4.11).

Since \( \partial_i \text{proj}^i_n f = \partial_i (S_{n-1} f - S_{n-1} f) = S_{n-1} \partial_i f - S_{n-2} \partial_i f = \text{proj}^i_{n-1} \partial_i f \), the second identity of (4.11) follows readily. \( \Box \)
Proof of Theorem 4.1. For $1 \leq i \leq d$, by (4.11), Theorem 2.4 and (2.20), we obtain
\[ \| \partial_i f - \partial_i S_n^{-1} f \|_{p,B^d} = \| \partial_i f - S_n^{0} (\partial_i f) \|_{p,B^d} \leq cE_n-1 (\partial_i f)_{p,B^d} \leq cn^{-r+1} \| \partial_i f \|_{W^{r-1}_p (\mathbb{S}^d)}. \]
which proves (4.4). Furthermore, by (3.15) and (2.16),
\[ \| f - S_n^{-1} f \|_{p,\mathbb{S}^d - 1} = \| f - S_n^{0} f \|_{p,\mathbb{S}^d - 1} \leq cn^{-r+1} \| f \|_{W^{r-1}_p (\mathbb{S}^d - 1)}. \]
Putting these together then applying Lemma 3.2 with $s = 1$ and $\mathcal{F}(f) = \| f \|_{p,\mathbb{S}^d - 1}$, Lemma 4.2 with $s = r$, and $\mathcal{F}(f) = \| f \|_{W^{r-1}_p (\mathbb{S}^d - 1)}$, we obtain
\begin{equation}
\| f - S_n^{-1} f \|_{W^r_p (\mathbb{S}^d)} \leq cn^{-r+1} \left[ \| \nabla f \|_{W^{r-1}_p (\mathbb{S}^d - 1)} + \| f \|_{W^{r-1}_p (\mathbb{S}^d - 1)} \right] \leq cn^{-r+1} \| f \|_{W^r_p (\mathbb{S}^d)}.
\end{equation}

To prove (4.3), we use the Aubin-Nitsche duality argument. We define
\[ g = f - S_n^{-1} f \quad \text{and} \quad g^* = \begin{cases} |g|^{p-1} \text{sign}(g), & g \neq 0, \\ 0, & g = 0. \end{cases} \]
Then $g \in L^p(\mathbb{B}^d)$, $g^* \in L^q(\mathbb{B}^d)$ and $\| g \|_{p,\mathbb{B}^d} \| g^* \|_{q,\mathbb{B}^d} = (g^*, g)_{\mathbb{B}^d} = \| g \|_{p,\mathbb{B}^d} = \| g^* \|_{q,\mathbb{B}^d}$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Consider the following auxiliary elliptic boundary value problem
\[ -\Delta u = g^* \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{B}^d, \quad \partial_{\nu} u + u = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{S}^d - 1. \]
It admits a unique solution $u \in W^2_q(\mathbb{B}^d)$ such that $\| u \|_{W^2_q} \leq C\| g^* \|_q$ (Theorem 5.5.2, pp. 390-391). Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{-1}$ denote the inner product (3.1) with $\lambda_0 = d$. The equivalent variational form reads
\begin{equation}
\langle u, v \rangle_{-1} = \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle_{\mathbb{B}^d} + d(u, v)_{\mathbb{S}^d - 1} - \langle g^*, v \rangle_{\mathbb{B}^d} \quad \forall v \in W^1_p(\mathbb{B}^d).
\end{equation}
Since $S_n^{-1} u$ reproduces polynomials of degree $n$, it follows that
\[ \langle S_n^{-1} u, g \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^d} = \langle S_n^{-1} u, g \rangle_{-1} = \langle S_n^{-1} u, g \rangle_{-1} = 0, \]
Consequently, by (4.14) with $v = g$, the H"older inequality (4.10), and (4.13) with $r = 2$, we obtain
\[ \langle g^*, g \rangle_{\mathbb{B}^d} = \langle u, g \rangle_{-1} = \| u - S_n^{-1} u, g \rangle_{-1} \leq \| u - S_n^{-1} u \|_{W^1_p(\mathbb{B}^d)} \| g \|_{W^1_p(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq cn^{-1} \| u \|_{W^2_q(\mathbb{B}^d)} \| g \|_{W^1_p(\mathbb{B}^d)}. \]
We then apply $\| u \|_{W^2_q} \leq C\| g^* \|_q$ and (4.13) again to obtain
\[ \| g \|_{p,\mathbb{B}^d} = \| g^* \|_{q,\mathbb{B}^d} \leq cn^{-1} \| g \|_{W^1_p(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq cn^{-r} \| f \|_{W^r_p(\mathbb{S}^d)}. \]
This completes the proof of (4.3). \qed

4.3. Approximation by polynomials in $W^r_p(\mathbb{B}^d)$. By definition, $S_n^{-s} f$ is a polynomial in $\Pi_n^d$ and $S_{n-s} f$ is a polynomial in $\Pi_{n-s}^d$. We need an analogue of Theorem 4.5.

Theorem 4.6. For $n, s \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $n \geq s$,
\begin{equation}
\Delta^{\frac{s}{2}} S_n^{-s} f = S_{n-\frac{s}{2}}^{-s} \Delta^{\frac{s}{2}} f, \quad \Delta^{\frac{s}{2}} S_{n,s} f = S_{n-s}^{\frac{s}{2}} \Delta^{\frac{s}{2}} f.
\end{equation}

Proof. By the definition (3.14), we recall that
\[ \text{proj}_{-s} f(x) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n/2} \sum_{1=t}^{a_{n-2t}} \hat{f}_{j,t}^{-s,n} Q^{-s,n}_{j,t}(x), \quad \hat{f}_{j,t}^{-s,n} = \frac{1}{b_{j,n}} \langle f, Q^{-s,n}_{j,t} \rangle_{s}. \]
We first assume $s = 2m$. By item 2 of Lemma 3.7, it follows that
\[ \Delta^n Q^{-s,n}_{j,t}(x) = 2^n (n + \frac{d}{2} - s)_s P_{j-m,t}^{n-s}(x). \]
which is equal to zero if \( j \leq m - 1 \). By item 1 of Lemma 3.7, \( \Delta^k Q_{j,\ell}^s(n)(\xi) = 0 \) holds for \( j \geq m \) and \( 0 \leq k \leq m - 1 \), it then follows from (3.11), (3.13) and (2.10) that

\[
\hat{f}_{j,\ell}^s \Delta^m Q_{j,\ell}^s(x) = \frac{\Delta^m Q_{j,\ell}^s(x)}{h_{j,n}^s} = \frac{(\Delta^m f, \Delta^m Q_{j,\ell}^s)_{B^d}}{h_{j,m-n-s}^0} = \frac{(\Delta^m f, P_{j-m,\ell}^{\beta_0,\alpha_0} B^d)}{h_{j,m-n-s}^0} P_{j-m,\ell}^0(x),
\]

for any \( j \geq m \). Since \( \{ P_{j-m,\ell}^{\beta_0,\alpha_0} : m \leq j \leq \frac{a}{2}, 1 \leq \ell \leq a_n \} \) is a mutually orthogonal basis of \( V_{n-s}(\omega_0) \), we conclude that

\[
\Delta^m \text{proj}_{n-s}^0 f(x) = \text{proj}_{n-s}^0 \Delta^m f(x),
\]

which leads to (4.15) for even \( s \).

Now we assume \( s = 2m + 1 \). The same argument of using Lemma 3.7, 3.13 and 3.4 shows that \( \Delta^m Q_{j,\ell}^s(n)(x) = 0 \) for \( j \leq m - 1 \) and

\[
\hat{f}_{j,\ell}^s \Delta^m Q_{j,\ell}^s(x) = \frac{\Delta^m Q_{j,\ell}^s(x)}{h_{j,n}^s} [ (\nabla \Delta^m f, \nabla \Delta^m Q_{j,\ell}^s)_{B^d} + \lambda_m (\Delta^m f, \Delta^m Q_{j,\ell}^s)_{B^d} ] = \frac{(\Delta^m f, P_{j-m,\ell}^{1-n-2m})_{\alpha_0}}{h_{j,m-n-s}^0} P_{j-m,\ell}^0(x), \quad j \geq m.
\]

This implies

\[
\Delta^m \text{proj}_{n-s}^1 f(x) = \text{proj}_{n-s}^0 \Delta^m f(x)
\]

by Theorem 3.3. Thus, (4.15) also holds for odd \( s \). \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 4.2.** If \( s = 2m \), it follows from Theorem 4.6, Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 2.10 that

\[
\| \nabla^s f - \nabla^s S_{n,\eta} f \|_{p, B^d} = \| \Delta^m f - S_{n-2m,\eta}^0(\Delta^m f) \|_{p, B^d} \leq c E_{n-s}(\Delta^m f)_{p, B^d} \leq c n^{-r+s} \sum_{|\alpha| = s} \| \partial^\alpha f \|_{W_{p}^{r-1}(B^d)},
\]

whereas, if \( s = 2m + 1 \), we obtain from Theorem 4.6, Theorem 4.11 and Corollary 2.10 that

\[
\| \nabla^s f - \nabla^s S_{n,\eta} f \|_{p, B^d} = \| \nabla \Delta^{m-1} f - \nabla S_{n-s-1,\eta}^0(\Delta^{m-1} f) \|_{p, B^d} \leq c \sum_{i=1}^d E_{n-s}(\partial_i \Delta^{m-1} f)_{p, B^d} \leq c n^{-r+s} \sum_{|\alpha| = s} \| \partial^\alpha f \|_{W_{p}^{r-1}(B^d)}.
\]

Moreover, for \( 0 \leq k \leq \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil - 1 \), we obtain, by (3.15) and (2.17),

\[
\| \Delta^k (f - S_{n,\eta} f) \|_{W_{p}^{r-2k-1/p}(\mathbb{S}^d-1)} = \| \Delta^k f - S_{n-2k,\eta}^0(\Delta^k f) \|_{W_{p}^{r-2k-1/p}(\mathbb{S}^d-1)} \leq c n^{-r+s} \| \Delta^k f \|_{W_{p}^{r-2k-1/p}(\mathbb{S}^d-1)}.
\]

Putting these together and applying Lemma 3.3 and 3.2, we obtain

\[
(4.16) \quad \| f - S_{n,\eta} f \|_{W_{p}^{r}(B^d)} \leq c n^{-r+s} \left[ \| \nabla^s f \|_{W_{p}^{r-1}(B^d)} + \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor - 1} \| \Delta^k f \|_{W_{p}^{r-2k-1/p}(\mathbb{S}^d-1)} \right] \leq c n^{-r+s} \| f \|_{W_{p}^{r}(B^d)},
\]

which establishes (4.5) for the case \( k = s \).
We then apply follows from Lemma B.1. \[ \square \]

As a result, we derive from (4.19) with \( u_0 \) of (4.18) has a unique solution\[ (4.17) \]

Let \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_s \) be defined as in (3.1) with all \( \lambda_k = d \). Using (4.17) with \( f = u \) shows that \[ (4.19) \]

for \( g \in W^s_q(\mathbb{B}^d) \), where \( \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} = 1 \) for \( 1 < p < \infty \). If \( v = 0 \), then (4.19) with \( u = g \) shows that \( \| u \|_{-s} := \langle u, u \rangle_{-s} = 0 \), which implies that \( u \equiv 0 \). This shows that the homogenous problem of (4.18) has a unique solution \( u = 0 \). Hence, by Theorem 5.4.4/2 and Theorem 5.5.1 in [29], \( \Delta^s \) is an isomorphic mapping from the space \( \mathcal{U}^2_q(\mathbb{B}^d) \) onto \( L^q(\mathbb{B}^d) \), where\[ \mathcal{U}^2_q(\mathbb{B}^d) := \left\{ u \in W^2_q(\mathbb{B}^d) : \Delta^{s-1-k} u = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{S}^{d-1}, k = 0, 1, \ldots, \left\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \right\rfloor - 1, \right\} \]

As in the proof of Theorem 4.1 we use duality arguments and define\[ g = f - S^{-s}_{n,\eta} f \quad \text{and} \quad g^* = \begin{cases} |g|^{p-1}\text{sign}(g), & g \neq 0, \\ 0, & g = 0. \end{cases} \]

By the isomorphism property of \( \Delta^s \), [4.18] with \( v = g^* \) admits a unique solution that satisfies \( \|u\|_{W^s_q(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq \|g^*\|_{q,\mathbb{B}^d} \) [29] Theorem 5.5.1.

Since \( S^{-s}_{n,\eta} \) reproduces polynomials of degree \( n \), it follows that \[ \langle g, S^{-s}_{n,\eta} u \rangle_{-s} = \langle f - S^{-s}_{n,\eta} u, S^{-s}_{n,\eta} u \rangle_{-s} = 0. \]

As a result, we derive from (4.19) with \( v = g^* \), (4.10) and (4.16) with \( r = s \) that \[ \langle g^*, g \rangle_{\mathbb{B}^d} = \langle u, g \rangle_{-s} = \|u - S^{-s}_{n,\eta} u, g \rangle_{-s} \leq \|u - S^{-s}_{n,\eta} u\|_{W^s_q(\mathbb{B}^d)} \|g\|_{W^s_q(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq cn^{-s}\|u\|_{W^s_q(\mathbb{B}^d)} \|g\|_{W^s_q(\mathbb{B}^d)}. \]

We then apply \( \|u\|_{W^s_q(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq c\|g\|_{q,\mathbb{B}^d} \) and obtain, using (4.16) again, that \[ \|g\|_{p,\mathbb{B}^d} = \|g^*\|_{q,\mathbb{B}^d} \leq cn^{-s}\|g\|_{W^s_q(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq cn^{-r}\|f\|_{W^r_p}. \]

By the definition of \( g \), this establishes (4.5) for \( k = 0 \). Finally, the case \( 0 < k < s \) of (4.5) follows from Lemma B.1.
4.4. Proof of Theorem 4.3. If \( f \in \hat{W}(\mathbb{B}^d) \) or if \( f \) is a radial function, then \( \langle f, g \rangle_{-s} = \langle \nabla^s f, \nabla^s g \rangle_{\mathbb{B}^d} \). As a result, by Corollary 2.10, (4.16) can be replaced by

\[
\|f - S_{n,s}f\|_{W^{2s}_p(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq cn^{-r+s} \sum_{|\alpha| = s} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{W^{2s-\alpha}_p(\mathbb{B}^d)}.
\]

The proof of the first inequality of (4.9) follows exactly the proof of Theorem 4.2. The second inequality of (4.9) follows from

\[
\|\partial^\alpha f\|_{W^{2-s}_p(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq c\|f\|_{W^{2-s}_p(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq c\|f\|_{W^{2}_p(\mathbb{B}^d)},
\]

which completes the proof.

\[\square\]

5. Applications and numerical examples

To illustrate our results, we consider numerical solutions of two elliptic equations of the second and fourth order, respectively, on the unit ball, and we choose the spectral-Galerkin method using orthogonal polynomials on the ball. We will carry out a convergence analyses of the approximation scheme in the Hilbert space and present numerical examples that illustrate our theorems.

5.1. Second order equation. We consider the non-homogenous boundary problem of the Helmholtz equation on the unit ball,

\[
-\Delta u + \lambda u = f \text{ in } \mathbb{B}^d, \quad \partial_n u + \eta u = g \text{ on } \partial \mathbb{B}^{d-1},
\]

where the constant \( \lambda \geq 0, \eta \geq 0 \) and \( \lambda + \eta > 0 \). Let

\[
A_1(u, v) := \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle_{\mathbb{B}^d} + d\eta \langle u, v \rangle_{\mathbb{B}^{d-1}} + \lambda \langle u, v \rangle_{\mathbb{B}^d} = \langle u, v \rangle_{-1} + \lambda \langle u, v \rangle_{\mathbb{B}^d}
\]

In the variational formulation, solving (5.1) is equivalent to find \( u \in W^1_2(\mathbb{B}^d) \) such that

\[
A_1(u, v) = \langle f, v \rangle_{\mathbb{B}^d} + d\eta \langle g, v \rangle_{\mathbb{B}^{d-1}}, \quad v \in W^1_2(\mathbb{B}^d),
\]

which, by the Lax-Milgram lemma [13], admits a unique solution that satisfies

\[
\|\nabla u\|^2_{2,\mathbb{B}^d} + \lambda \|u\|^2_{2,\mathbb{B}^d} + d\eta \|u\|^2_{2,\partial\mathbb{B}^{d-1}} \leq c(\|f\|^2_{2,\mathbb{B}^d} + d\|g\|^2_{2,\partial\mathbb{B}^{d-1}}).
\]

The spectral-Galerkin approximation to (5.1) amounts to find \( u_n \in \Pi^d_n \) such that

\[
A_1(u_n, v) = \langle f, v \rangle_{\mathbb{B}^d} + \lambda \langle u, v \rangle_{\mathbb{B}^{d-1}}, \quad v \in \Pi^d_n,
\]

which has a unique solution that satisfies (5.3) with \( u_n \) in place of \( u \).

By Theorem 3.3, the orthogonal expansions of \( u_n \in \Pi^d_n \) can be written as

\[
u_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n/2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{a_{n-k}} \hat{u}_{j,\ell} P_{j,\ell}^{-1,k}.
\]

Substituting this expression into (5.4) and setting \( v = P_{j,\ell}^{-1,k} \), we obtain a linear system of equations on \( \{\hat{u}_{j,\ell}\} \). The matrix \( [A_1(P_{j,\ell}^{-1,k}, P_{j',\ell'}^{-1,k'})] \) contains two parts. The first part, called stiff matrix, has been computed in (3.4). To evaluate the second part, called the mass matrix, we use the definition of \( P_{j,\ell}^{-1,k} \) given in (A.8). By (A.3) and (A.8), it is not difficult to check that

\[
P_{0,\ell}^{-1,n} = Y_{\ell}^{n} \quad \text{and} \quad P_{j,\ell}^{-1,n} = P_{j,\ell}^{0,n} - P_{j-1,\ell}^{0,n-2}, \quad j \geq 1.
\]
Hence, using (2.6), we obtain that
\[
\langle P_{j,l}^{-1,n}, P_{j',l'}^{-1,n'} \rangle_{\mathcal{B}_d} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{d}{2n+d}(2 - \delta_{j,0}), & n = n', j = j', l = l', \\
-\frac{d}{2n+d}, & n = n' + 2, j = j' + 1, l = l', \\
-\frac{d}{2n+d}, & n = n' + 2, j = j' - 1, l = l', \\
0, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

Thus, the stiffness matrix is diagonal and the mass matrix is tridiagonal when the coefficients are arranged appropriately.

The convergence of this approximation scheme is given in the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let \( u \) and \( u_n \) be the solutions of (5.1) and (5.4), respectively. If \( u \in W_2^s(\mathbb{B}^d) \) with \( s \geq 1 \), then
\[
\|u - u_n\|_{W_2^s(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq c_n^{-s+k}\|u\|_{W_2^s(\mathbb{B}^d)}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 1 \leq s.
\]

**Proof.** Recall that \( S_n^{-1} \) denote the \( n \)th partial sum of orthogonal expansion with respect to \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{-1} \). By (4.2), (5.2) and (5.4),
\[
A_1(u_n - S_n^{-1}u, v) = \langle u_n - S_n^{-1}u, v \rangle_{-1} + \lambda(u_n - S_n^{-1}u, v)_{\mathbb{B}^d}
\]
\[
= \langle u_n, v \rangle_{-1} + \lambda(u_n - u, v)_{\mathbb{B}^d} + \lambda(u - S_n^{-1}u, v)_{\mathbb{B}^d}
\]
\[
= \lambda(u - S_n^{-1}u, v)_{\mathbb{B}^d}, \quad v \in \Pi_n^d.
\]
Taking \( v = u_n - S_n^{-1}u \in \Pi_n^d \), we obtain
\[
A_1(u_n - S_n^{-1}u, u_n - S_n^{-1}u) \leq \lambda\|u - S_n^{-1}u\|_{2,\mathbb{B}^d}\|u_n - S_n^{-1}u\|_{2,\mathbb{B}^d}
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{2}\lambda\|u - S_n^{-1}u\|_{2,\mathbb{B}^d}^2 + \frac{1}{2}A_1(u_n - S_n^{-1}u, u_n - S_n^{-1}u),
\]
which implies that
\[
\|\nabla(u_n - S_n^{-1}u)\|_{2,\mathbb{B}^d} + \sqrt{\overline{d}}\|u_n - S_n^{-1}u\|_{2,\mathbb{B}^d-1} + \sqrt{\lambda}\|u_n - S_n^{-1}u\|_{2,\mathbb{B}^d} \leq \sqrt{3\lambda}\|u - S_n^{-1}u\|_{2,\mathbb{B}^d}.
\]
Thus, by Lemma 4.3 and (4.4), for \( s = 1, 2, \ldots \),
\[
\|u_n - u\|_{W_2^s(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq c\|u - S_n^{-1}u\|_{W_2^s(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq c\lambda^{-s+1}\|u\|_{W_2^s(\mathbb{B}^d)}.
\]
Furthermore, by a standard Aubin-Nitsche argument, we also have
\[
\|u_n - u\|_{2,\mathbb{B}^d} \leq c\|u - S_n^{-1}u\|_{W_2^1(\mathbb{B}^d)},
\]
where we omit the details. Together, the last two displayed inequalities complete the proof. \( \square \)

**5.2. Fourth order equation.** We consider the following fourth order elliptic equation on the unit ball,
\[
\Delta^2 u - \lambda_1 \Delta u + \lambda_0 u = f, \quad \text{in} \ \mathbb{B}^d, \quad u = \partial_n u = 0, \quad \text{on} \ \partial\mathbb{B}^d.
\]
where the constants \( \lambda_1, \lambda_0 \geq 0 \) and, for simplicity, we consider homogeneous boundary. In the variational formulation, solving (5.5) is equivalent to finding \( u \in \tilde{W}_2^2(\mathbb{B}^d) \) such that
\[
A_2(u, v) := \langle \Delta u, \Delta v \rangle_{\mathbb{B}^d} + \lambda_1 \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle_{\mathbb{B}^d} + \lambda_0 \langle u, v \rangle_{\mathbb{B}^d} = \langle f, v \rangle_{\mathbb{B}^d}, \quad v \in \tilde{W}_2^2(\mathbb{B}^d).
\]
Let the approximation space be \( \tilde{\Pi}_n^d := \Pi_n^d \cap \tilde{W}_2^2(\mathbb{B}^d) \). The spectral Galerkin approximation scheme for (5.5) amounts to find \( u_n \in \tilde{\Pi}_n^d \) such that
\[
A_2(u_n, v) = \langle f, v \rangle_{\mathbb{B}^d}, \quad v \in \tilde{\Pi}_n^d,
\]
which has a unique and stable solution by the Lax-Milgram lemma [18].

The convergence of this approximation scheme is given in the following theorem.
Theorem 5.2. Let $u$ and $u_n$ be the solutions of (5.5) and (5.7), respectively. If $u \in W^s_2(\mathbb{B}^d)$ with $s \geq 2$, then

$$
\|u - u_n\|_{W^k_2(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq c n^{-s+k} \sum_{|\alpha| = 2} \|\partial^\alpha u\|_{W^{s-2}_2(\mathbb{B}^d)}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 2 \leq s.
$$

Proof. From (5.6) and (5.7), it follows that $A_2(u - u_n, v) = 0$. Since $S_n^{-2}u \in \Pi_n^d$, by (4.2), we obtain

$$
A_2(S_n^{-2}u - u_n, v) = \langle S_n^{-2}u - u_n, v \rangle_{2, \mathbb{B}^d} + \lambda_1 \langle \nabla(S_n^{-2}u - u_n)\rangle_{2, \mathbb{B}^d} + \lambda_0 \langle S_n^{-2}u - u_n, v \rangle_{2, \mathbb{B}^d}.
$$

Taking $v = S_n^{-2}u - u_n \in \Pi_n^d$ in (5.6), the above inequality shows that

$$
\|\Delta(u_n - S_n^{-2}u)\|_{2, \mathbb{B}^d} + \lambda_1 \|\nabla(u_n - S_n^{-2}u)\|_{2, \mathbb{B}^d} + \lambda_0 \|u_n - S_n^{-2}u\|_{2, \mathbb{B}^d} \leq \lambda_1 \|\nabla(u - S_n^{-2}u)\|_{2, \mathbb{B}^d} + \lambda_0 \|u_n - S_n^{-2}u\|_{2, \mathbb{B}^d},
$$

which leads to, by (5.5),

$$
\|u - u_n\|_{W^k_2(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq \|u - S_n^{-2}u\|_{W^k_2(\mathbb{B}^d)} + \|u_n - S_n^{-2}u\|_{W^k_2(\mathbb{B}^d)}
$$

$$
\leq \|u - S_n^{-2}u\|_{W^k_2(\mathbb{B}^d)} + c \|\Delta(u_n - S_n^{-2}u)\|_{2, \mathbb{B}^d} \leq c \|u - S_n^{-2}u\|_{W^k_2(\mathbb{B}^d)}.
$$

Consequently, the estimate for $k = 2$ of (5.8) follows from (4.9) in Theorem 4.3.

A standard dual argument can then be used to derive the error estimate in the case of $k = 0$ an $k = 1$ of (5.8), we omit details. \hfill \Box

5.3. Numerical results. We consider examples for the equations (5.1) and (5.5).

Example 5.3. For the Helmholtz equation (5.1), we give numerical results in two and three dimensions with $\lambda = 1$ in the following settings:

(a) $d = 2$, $f(x) = x_1(11 - x_1^2 - x_2^2)$ and $g(\xi) = 2\eta\xi_1$ such that $u(x) = 3x_1 - (x_1^2 + y_1^2)x_1$;

(b) $d = 3$, $f(x) = \frac{4 - x_1^3 - x_2^3}{4 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 4x_1}$ and $g(\xi) = \frac{4(1 - \xi_1^3)(\xi_1^2 - 4\xi_1)}{(5 - \xi_1^2 - 4\xi_1)^2} + \frac{\eta(3 + \xi_1^3)}{5 - \xi_1^2 - 4\xi_1}$ such that $u = f$.

Let $\{t_i^{(\beta)}, \omega^{(\beta)}_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$ be the zeros and the corresponding Christoffel numbers of the Jacobi polynomials $P_n^{(0, \beta)}(t)$. Set $\rho_i = \sqrt{(t_i^{(d/2-1)} + 1)/2}$, $\theta_i = \arccos t_i^{(0)}$ for $i = 0, \ldots, n$ and $\phi_j = \frac{2\pi}{2n+1}$ for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, 2n$. We report the discrete maximum error $e_M(u - u_n)$ and the discrete $L^2$-error $e_{L^2}(u - u_n)$, defined by

$$
e_M(f) = \max_{0 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq n} \left|f(x_k)\right|, \quad e_{L^2}(f) = \sum_{0 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq n} \left|f(x_k)\right|^2 \frac{\pi \omega^{(0)}_k}{2(2n+1)},$$

with the measuring points $x_k = (\rho_{k_1} \cos(\phi_{k_2}), \rho_{k_1} \sin(\phi_{k_2}))$ in two dimensions and by

$$
e_M(f) = \max_{0 \leq k_1, k_2, k_3 \leq n} \left|f(x_k)\right|, \quad e_{L^2}(f) = \sum_{0 \leq k_1, k_2, k_3 \leq n} \left|f(x_k)\right|^2 \frac{\pi \omega^{(0)}_k \rho^{(1/2)}_k \omega^{(0)}_{k_2}}{2\sqrt{2}(2n+1)},$$

with $x_k = (\rho_{k_1} \cos(\phi_{k_2}), \rho_{k_1} \sin(\theta_{k_2}) \cos(\phi_{k_3}), \rho_{k_1} \sin(\theta_{k_2}) \sin(\phi_{k_3}))$ in three dimensions.

Theoretically, the spectral-Galerkin approximation (5.4) with any $n \geq 5$ recover the exact solution of Example 5.3 (a). Figure 1(a) shows the maximum and the $L^2$-errors between the exact solution and the approximation solution of (5.4). It is easy to see from Figure 1(a) that all the errors plotted are close to the machine precision, and an exponential order of convergence is found in Figure 1(b). These conclusions match our theoretical results.
Example 5.4. For the biharmonic equation (5.5), we consider an example with $\lambda_1 = \lambda_0 = 1$, $d = 2$ and the exact solution $u = \cos(2\pi(x^2 + y^2)) - 1$. The function $f$ in the right hand side is determined by (5.5).

Figure 2 shows the maximum and the $L^2$- errors of the approximation scheme (5.7). An exponential order of convergence is observed in this plot, which is in agreement with Theorem 5.2.

Appendix A. Generalized orthogonal polynomials on the unit ball

For $\alpha, \beta > -1$, the Jacobi polynomials are defined by

$$P_j^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) = \frac{(a + 1)_j}{j!} \, _2F_1 \left( -j, j + \alpha + \beta + a; \alpha + 1; \frac{1-t}{2} \right).$$
which are orthogonal to each other with respect to the weight function \( w^{\alpha,\beta}(t) := (1-t)^{\alpha}(1+t)^{\beta} \) on \([-1, 1]\).

\[
(A.1) \quad \int_{-1}^{1} P_j^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)P_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)w^{\alpha,\beta}(t)dt = \frac{2^{\alpha+\beta+1}\delta_{j,k}}{2j+\alpha+\beta+1} \Gamma(j+\alpha+1)\Gamma(j+\beta+1).
\]

As it is shown in [28], writing the \( 3\F_1 \) in the following explicit form

\[
(A.2) \quad P_j^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{j} \frac{(k+\alpha+1)_{j-k}}{(j-k)!k!} \left( \frac{t-1}{2} \right)^k,
\]

extends the definition of \( P_j^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) \) for all negative values of \( \alpha \) and/or \( \beta \) in literature. However, if \(-j-\alpha-\beta \in \{1, 2, \ldots, j\}\), then a reduction of the degree of \( P_j^{(\alpha,\beta)} \) occurs. To avoid the degree reduction, we define the generalized Jacobi polynomials by

\[
(A.3) \quad \hat{P}_j^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) := \sum_{k=j_0}^{j} \frac{(k+\alpha+1)_{j-k}}{(j-k)!k!} \left( \frac{t-1}{2} \right)^k, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_0,
\]

where \( j_0 = j_0^{(\alpha,\beta)}(j) = \max\{0, -j-\alpha-\beta\} \) if \(-j-\alpha-\beta \in \{1, 2, \ldots, j\}\) and \( j_0 = 0 \) otherwise. We also define that \( \hat{P}_j^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) = \hat{P}_j^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) = 0 \) whenever \( j \) is a negative integer. By the definition, it is evident that

\[
(A.4) \quad \hat{P}_j^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) := \frac{1}{(j+\alpha+\beta+1)_j} P_j^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t), \quad \text{if } j_0 = 0.
\]

The lemma below contains several properties of these polynomials, which are well–known properties of the Jacobi polynomials if \( j_0 = 0 \).

**Lemma A.1.** For \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \),

\[
(A.5) \quad \hat{P}_j^{(\alpha,\beta)}(1) = \frac{(\alpha+1)_j}{j!} \left( \frac{1-1}{2} \right)^{\alpha} \hat{P}_j^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t), \quad j \geq -\alpha \in \mathbb{N},
\]

\[
(A.6) \quad \hat{P}_j^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) = \frac{1}{(j+\alpha+1)_{-\alpha}} \left( \frac{t-1}{2} \right)^{-\alpha} \hat{P}_j^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t), \quad j \geq -\alpha \in \mathbb{N},
\]

\[
(A.7) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \hat{P}_j^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \hat{P}_{j-1}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(t), \quad j \geq 0.
\]

By comparison of the corresponding powers of \( t-1 \), both \( A.6 \) and \( A.7 \) follow from \( A.4 \), and \( A.5 \) is an immediate consequences of \( A.4 \).

We now extend the definition of the orthogonal polynomials \( (2.5) \) on the unit ball to negative \( \mu \),

**Definition A.2.** Let \( \mu \in \mathbb{R} \). For \( n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and \( 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n}{2} \), let \( \{Y^{n-2j}_\ell : 1 \leq \ell \leq a^d_{n-2j}\} \) be an orthonormal basis for \( H^d_{n-2j} \). Define

\[
(A.8) \quad P^{\mu,n}_{j,\ell}(x) := (n-j+\frac{d}{2})_j \hat{P}^{(\mu,n-2j+\frac{d}{2}-1)}_{j}\left(2\|x\|^2-1\right)Y^{n-2j}_\ell(x).
\]

We now prove Lemma A.2 which we restate below.

**Lemma 3.2** Let \( s \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( k,n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \). Then for \( 1 \leq \ell \leq a^d_{n-2j} \),

\[
(3.2) \quad P^{-s,n}_{j,\ell}(x) = \frac{1-n-\frac{d}{2}}{(s)_{(1-n-\frac{d}{2}+2k-\ell-j)_s}}(\|x\|^2-1)^s P^{s,n-2s}_{j-s,\ell}(x), \quad s \leq j \leq \frac{n}{2},
\]

\[
(3.3) \quad \Delta^h P^{-s,n}_{j,\ell}(x) = 4^h(n+\frac{d}{2}-2k)_{2k} P^{2h-2s-2n}_{j-k,\ell}(x) + p(\|x\|^2)Y^{n-2j}_\ell(x), \quad 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n}{2},
\]

where \( p \in \Pi_{j-k-1}^1 \), \( j_0 = j_0^{-s,n-2j+\frac{d}{2}-1}(j) \) and \( P^{\mu,n}_{j,\ell}(x) = 0 \) if \( j < 0 \) or \( j > \frac{n}{2} \). In particular, \( p = 0 \) if \( j + k \geq s \).
Proof. The identity (3.2) is an immediate consequence of (A.6) and (A.8). Let $q$ be a polynomial of degree $j$. Recall that, by (3.10),
$$\Delta [q(||x||^2)Y_n^\nu(x)] = Dq(||x||^2)Y_n^\nu(x)$$
with $Dq(u) := 4\left[ uq''(u) + (n + \frac{d}{2})q'(u) \right]$. Let $\beta = n - 2j + \frac{d}{2} - 1$, $q(u) = \tilde{P}_j^{(-s,\beta)}(2u - 1)$ and $t = 2n - 1$. It follows from (A.3) and (A.6) that
$$Dq(u) = 8\left[ (\beta + 1)\partial_t + (1 + t)\partial_t^2 \right] \tilde{P}_j^{(-s,\beta)}(t)$$
where $p_{j_0} - 2 \in \Pi_{j_0 - 2}$, and the last equal sign is derived using the fact that $j_0 = j_0^{(-s,\beta)}(j) = j_0^{(-s+2,\beta+1)}(j) + 1$ if $j_0 \geq 1$. Putting above computations together, it follows that
$$\begin{align*}
\Delta P_{j,\ell}^{(-s,n)}(x) &= (n - j + \frac{d}{2})_j \Delta \tilde{P}_j^{(-s,n-2j)}(2\|x\|^2 - 1)Y_n^{n-2j}(x) \\
&= 4(n - j + \frac{d}{2} - 1)\tilde{P}_j^{(-s+2,n-2j+\frac{d}{2} - 1)}(2\|x\|^2 - 1)Y_n^{n-2j}(x) + Q_{j_0-2}(x) \\
&= 4(n + \frac{d}{2} - 1)(n + \frac{d}{2} - 2)P_{j-1,\ell}^{(-s+2,n-2j+1)}(x) + Q_{j_0-2}(x),
\end{align*}$$
where $Q_{j_0-2}(x) = (n - j + \frac{d}{2})_j p_{j_0-2}(2\|x\|^2 - 1)Y_{\ell}^{n-2j}(x)$. Using this identity recursively, we derive
$$\Delta^{k} P_{j,\ell}^{(-s,n)}(x) = 4^k(n + \frac{d}{2} - 2k)2kP_{j-k,\ell}^{(-s+2,n-2j+2k)}(x) + Q_{j_0-k-1}(x),$$
where $Q_{j_0-k-1}(x) = p(2\|x\|^2 - 1)Y_{\ell}^{n-2j}(x)$ for certain $p \in \Pi_{j_0-k-1}$. Specifically, $s = (n - 2j + \frac{d}{2} - 1) - j = s + j - n - \frac{d}{2} + 1 \leq k$ if $j + k \geq s$, in return, $j_0 \leq k$ and $\Pi_{j_0-k-1} \ni p = 0$. This completes the proof.

Monic orthogonal polynomials in $\mathcal{V}_n^\mu(\varpi_n)$ are defined by (cf. [14, p. 42])
$$V_\alpha^\mu(x) = \sum_{\gamma} \frac{(-\alpha)^{2\gamma}}{\Gamma(1 - \mu - \frac{d}{2} - |\alpha|)|\gamma|!} 2^{-2|\gamma|} x^{2\gamma}.$$  
Since $(-\alpha)^{2\gamma} = 0$ if $2\gamma_n > \alpha$, $V_\alpha^\mu$ is a polynomial of degree $|\alpha|$; in fact, $V_\alpha^\mu(x) - x^\alpha \in \Pi_{|\alpha| - 1}^d$. Moreover, $\{V_\alpha^\mu : |\alpha| = 0, 1, \ldots, |\alpha| \}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{V}_n^\mu(\varpi_n)$. Moreover, $V_\alpha^\mu$ is well defined if and only if $(1 - \mu - \frac{d}{2} - |\alpha|)|\gamma| \neq 0$ for all $\gamma \leq [\alpha/2]$. If there exists $\gamma_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ such that $(1 - \mu - \frac{d}{2} - |\alpha|)|\gamma_0| = 0$ and $2\gamma_0 \leq \alpha$, we use a truncated series for $V_\alpha^\mu(x)$,
$$V_\alpha^\mu(x) = \sum_{|\gamma| \leq \mu + \frac{d}{2} + |\alpha| - 1} \frac{(-\alpha)^{2\gamma}}{(1 - \mu - |\alpha| - \frac{d}{2})|\gamma|!} 2^{-2|\gamma|} x^{2\gamma},$$
which removes the lower order terms in $V_\alpha^\mu(x)$.

Lemma A.3. For $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\mu + \frac{d}{2} + |\alpha| \notin \{1, 2, \ldots, |\frac{d}{2}|\}$,
$$\partial^\beta V_\alpha^\mu(x) = (-1)^{|\beta|}(-\alpha)^{\beta} V_{\alpha+\beta}^{\mu+|\beta|}(x), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d,$$
Proof. Taking derivative $\partial_i$ on (A.9) or (A.10), and using the fact that $(-\alpha_i)_{2\gamma_i}(\alpha_i - 2\gamma_i) = \alpha_i(1 - \alpha_i)_{2\gamma_i}$, it is easy to see that

$$\partial_i V^\mu_\alpha(x) = \sum_\gamma \frac{(-\alpha)_{2\gamma}}{(1 - \mu - \frac{d}{2} - |\alpha|)|\gamma|!} 2^{-2|\gamma|}(\alpha_i - 2\gamma_i)x^{\alpha_i - 2\gamma_i} = \alpha_i V_{\alpha_i - \epsilon_i}^{\mu + 1}(x),$$

which, when used recursively, leads to (A.11).

\appendix
\section*{APPENDIX B. SOBOLEV SPACES}

In this appendix we discuss equivalent norms of the Sobolev space $W^s_p(\mathbb{B}^d)$. Several results that we shall need hold for fairly general domain $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$. We state only their simplified version for $\Omega = \mathbb{B}^d$ and/or $\Omega = S^{d-1}$. In this appendix, we adopt the convention that $A \sim B$ means $c_1 A \leq B \leq c_2 A$ for some constants $c_2 > c_1 > 0$.

For $s = 1, 2, \ldots$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we define a semi-norm of $W^s_p(\mathbb{B}^d)$ by

$$|f|_{W^s_p(\mathbb{B}^d)} := \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d_0, |\alpha| = s} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{B}^d)}^p \right)^{1/p}.$$  

\begin{lemma} \cite[Theorem 5.12, p.143]{1}. Let $0 < \varepsilon_0 < \infty$, let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and let $j$ and $s$ be integers with $0 < j < s - 1$. There exists a constant $K = K(\varepsilon, s, p, d)$ such that for every $f \in W^s_p(\mathbb{B}^d)$,

$$|f|_{W^s_p(\mathbb{B}^d)} \sim K \varepsilon |f|_{W^s_p(\mathbb{B}^d)} + K \varepsilon^{-j/(s-j)} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{B}^d)}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0.$$ \end{lemma}

As a consequence of this lemma, it follows that

$$\|f\|_{W^s_p(\mathbb{B}^d)} \sim \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{B}^d)} + |f|_{W^s_p(\mathbb{B}^d)} \sim \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{B}^d)} + \sum_{i=1}^d \|\partial_i f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{B}^d)},$$

where the last equivalence signs are derived from \cite[Theorem 4.2.4, p.316]{29}.

We need another lemma on equivalent norms in $W^s_p(\mathbb{B}^d)$.

\begin{lemma} \cite[Theorem 1.1.16]{21}. Let $s = 1, 2, \ldots$ and let $\mathcal{F}(f)$ be a continuous seminorm in $W^s_p(\mathbb{B}^d)$ such that $\mathcal{F}(P_{s-1}) \neq 0$ for any nonzero polynomial $P_{s-1} \in \Pi_{s-1}$. Then

$$\sum_{|\alpha| = s} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{B}^d)} + \mathcal{F}(f) \sim \|f\|_{W^s_p(\mathbb{B}^d)}.$$ \end{lemma}

A combination of (B.1) with Lemma B.2 leads to

$$\|f\|_{W^{m+s}_p(\mathbb{B}^d)} \sim \sum_{|\beta| = m+s} \|\partial^\beta f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{B}^d)} + \left[ \sum_{|\alpha| = s} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{B}^d)} + \mathcal{F}(f) \right]$$

$$(B.2) \quad \sim \sum_{|\alpha| = s} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{W^m_p(\mathbb{B}^d)} + \mathcal{F}(f).$$

We need the fractional order Sobolev space on $S^{d-1}$, which is defined by the interpolation space. For $f \in W^s_p(S^{d-1}) + W^{s+1}_p(S^{d-1})$ with $s_0, s_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we define the $K$-functional

$$K_{s_0, s_1}(f, t)_p, S^{d-1} := \inf_{f = f_0 + f_1} \left\{ \|f_0\|_{W^{s_0}_p(S^{d-1})} + t \|f_1\|_{W^{s_1}_p(S^{d-1})} \right\}.$$  

For $0 < \theta < 1$ and $0 < \theta < 1$, the fractional order Sobolev space $W^{s+\theta}_p(S^{d-1})$ is defined as the interpolation space $(W^s_p(S^{d-1}), W^{s+1}_p(S^{d-1}))_{\theta, p}$ via the $K$-functional \cite[§1.3]{29},

$$W^{s+\theta}_p(S^{d-1}) := \left\{ f \in W^s_p(S^{d-1}) + W^{s+1}_p(S^{d-1}) : \|f\|_{W^{s+\theta}_p(S^{d-1})} < \infty \right\}.$$
where the norm is defined by

\[ \|f\|_{W^{s+\theta}_p(S^{d-1})} := \left( \int_0^\infty \left[ t^{-\theta} K_{s,s+1}(f, t)_{p,S^{d-1}} \right]^p \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/p}. \]

It follows that \( W^{s+\theta}_p(S^{d-1}) \subset W^{s+\theta}_r(S^{d-1}) \subset W^s_p(S^{d-1}) \) and, furthermore \( [29] \) §1.3,

\begin{equation}
\|f\|_{W^s_p(S^{d-1})} \leq c\|f\|_{W^{s+\theta}_p(S^{d-1})}, \quad f \in W^{s+\theta}_p(S^{d-1}).
\end{equation}

(B.3)

It is worth to point out that the interpolator \((\cdot, \cdot)_{\theta,p}\) is of type \(\theta\). Let \(X_\theta := W^{r+\theta}_p(S^d)\), \(Y_\theta := W^{s+\theta}_p(S^{d-1})\) for \(0 \leq \theta \leq 1\) and assume \(T \in \mathcal{L}(X_i, Y_i), i = 0, 1\). It follows then that \(T \in \mathcal{L}(X_\theta, Y_\theta)\) and

\begin{equation}
\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_\theta, Y_\theta)} \leq \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_{\frac{\theta}{2}}, Y_{\frac{\theta}{2}})} \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_{\frac{1-\theta}{2}}, Y_{\frac{1-\theta}{2}})}^{1-\theta} \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_{\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{\frac{1}{2}})}^\theta.
\end{equation}

(B.4)

Recall that \(\nabla^{2m} = \Delta^m\) and \(\nabla^{2m+1} = \Delta^m \nabla\). We define \(\|\nabla f\|_{p,B^d} := |f|_{W^1_p}\) and define \(\|\nabla^{2m+1} f\|_{p,B^d}\) using \(\Delta^m \nabla\) accordingly. The following lemma is needed in the proof of the main theorems.

**Lemma B.3.** For \(f \in W^r_p(B^d)\) and \(r \geq 1\),

\begin{equation}
\|\nabla^r f\|_{L^p(B^d)} + \sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \right\rfloor - 1} \|\Delta^k f\|_{W^{r-2k-1/p}(S^{d-1})} \sim \|f\|_{W^r_p(B^d)}.
\end{equation}

(B.5)

**Proof.** By Theorem 5.5.2 in [29] p. 391, \(\{\Delta, I_{S^{d-1}}\}\) is an isomorphic mapping from \(W^2_p(B^d) \times W^{2s-1/p}_p(S^{d-1})\), which means that

\(\|f\|_{W^r_p(B^d)} \sim \|\nabla f\|_{W^r_p(B^d)} + \|\nabla f\|_{W^{r-1/p}(S^{d-1})}, \quad \forall f \in W^r_p(B^d).\)

It then follows from recursive reduction that, for any \(f \in W^r_p(B^d)\),

\(\|f\|_{W^r_p(B^d)} \sim \|\Delta^m f\|_{W^{r-2m/(p-1)}(B^d)} + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \|\Delta^k f\|_{W^{r-2k-1/p}(S^{d-1})},\)

which proves (B.5) for \(r = 2m\). Furthermore, assuming \(r = 2m + 1\) and taking \(\mathcal{F}(f) = \|f\|_{W^{r-1/p}(S^{d-1})}\) and \(s = 1\) in Lemma B.2, we can then deduce that

\(\|f\|_{W^r_p(B^d)} \sim \|\nabla^m f\|_{L^p(B^d)} + \sum_{k=0}^{m} \|\Delta^k f\|_{W^{r-2k-1/p}(S^{d-1})},\)

which proves (B.5) for \(r = 2m + 1\). The proof is completed. \(\Box\)

In particular, for \(f \in W^r_p(B^d)\), (B.5) together with the inequality \(\|\partial_i \partial_j f\|_{L^p(B^d)} \leq c\|f\|_{L^p(B^d)}\) for \(1 < p < \infty\) imply the inequality (4.8).
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