Isolation and characterization of microsatellite loci from blue-footed boobies (Sula nebouxii)
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Abstract Blue-footed boobies (Sula nebouxii) are socially monogamous, colonial seabirds exhibiting intra-specific nest parasitism and extra-pair copulations. Prior DNA fingerprinting assays failed to detect extra-pair offspring in the nests of congeners, and the rate of intra-specific nest parasitism has not been estimated using molecular techniques. We describe the development and characterization of 11 microsatellite DNA loci, tested using 31 individuals collected on Isla Isabel, Nayarit, México. The number of alleles per locus ranged from three to 22, averaging seven; total exclusionary power of the microsatellite panel was 0.99; no loci deviated from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium; and we did not detect linkage disequilibrium following Bonferroni correction. This microsatellite panel will facilitate future studies of nest parasitism and extra-pair paternity in blue-footed boobies.
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Blue-footed boobies (Sula nebouxii) are colonial seabirds that nest annually on small Pacific Ocean islands (Nelson 1978). They reproduce several times during their lifetime of up to 20 years, forming pair bonds lasting 1–5 years (Torres and Drummond, unpublished data). Female boobies lay clutches of 1–3 eggs and provide parental care jointly with males until chicks fledge at approximately 90 days. Though socially monogamous, both sexes perform consensual, extrapair copulations that peak in frequency during the female’s presumed fertile period (Osorio-Beristain and Drummond 1998; Perez-Staples and Drummond 2005) and some females lay eggs in the nests of neighbors [intraspecific nest parasitism (INP)]. Females also lay eggs in the nests of their male extra-pair partners [quasi nest parasitism (QNP)]. Observation revealed consistently hostile responses by incubating (host) females to INP and flexible responses by males to QNP-ranging from egg destruction to acceptance (Osorio-Beristain et al. 2005).

While the occurrence of extrapair and unrelated chicks in S. nebouxii nests is plausible, it remains unproven. Doubts arise because fingerprinting analyses failed to detect extrapair chicks in all congeners tested: nazca (Sula granti, Anderson and Boag 2006), masked (Sula dactylatra) and brown (Sula leucogaster) boobies (Baumgarten et al. 2001).

Understanding the evolution of extra-pair mating, mate-guarding and nest parasitism in blue-footed boobies, as well as violent conflict between broodmates (Drummond et al. 1986; Gonzalez-Voyer et al. 2007), requires assignment of genetic parentage to and estimation of relatedness between brood mates. Mendelian inheritance, combined with high levels of polymorphism, makes microsatellite loci ideal for these purposes and for analyses of small and isolated populations, like blue-footed boobies (Hedrick 1999; Selkoe...
and Toonen 2006). In this manuscript, we describe the isolation and characterization of 11 microsatellite loci, which we tested using 31 S. nebouxii. These are the first microsatellites characterized in members of the genus Sula.

We developed a double-enriched microsatellite library following Glenn and Schable (2005) using DNA purified (5-Prime ArchivePure Blood Kit) from blood collected from a female blue-footed booby on Isla Isabel, Nayarit, México. We modified the enrichment protocol of Glenn and Schable (2005) using MyOne C1 streptavidin beads (Invitrogen) with 2X (10 μM Tris HCl, pH 7.5; 1 μM EDTA; 2 M NaCl; 0.2% Tween 20) and 1X B&W Buffer (Invitrogen) during the enrichment steps. From this library, we selected 285 positive (white) colonies using the β-galactosidase gene and bi-directionally sequenced colony PCR products of 500–1,200 base pairs using 1/16th BigDye [v3.1, Applied Biosystems (ABI)] sequencing reactions and an ABI PRISM 3730xl sequencer. We aligned and edited sequences and assembled 179 contigs using Sequencher 4.2 (Gene Codes Corp.). Prior to primer design, we tested for sequence homology using BLAST (Altschul et al. 1990).

Using MSATCOMMANDER (Faircloth 2008), we located microsatellite repeat arrays within 68 contigs, designed 45 primers, and applied 5’-tags (CAG or M13R) to primer pairs for subsequent polymorphism testing (Boutin-Ganache et al. 2001; Glenn and Schable 2005). We reviewed contigs containing repeats for which the automated software indicated primer design errors, and we manually designed primers for these regions (CAG or M13R or M13-reverse (M13R) tagged primer), 0.45 μM dye labeled tag (Dye + CAG or M13R), 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM dNTPs, 0.5 U AmpliTaq Gold DNA Polymerase (ABI), and 20 ng DNA. We labeled M13R and CAG universal primers with VIC, NED, FAM, or PET fluorescent dyes (ABI). We performed reactions using a touchdown thermal cycling program (Don et al. 1991), and we evaluated each primer at a starting annealing temperature of 60°C. We used the following cycling parameters: 1 cycle of 95°C for 5 m; followed by 20 cycles of 95°C for 20 s, 60°C for 30 s, 72°C for 90 s; and a final extension period of 10 m at 72°C. We scored each amplicon using an ABI Prism 3730xl DNA Sequencer in combination with LIZ600 fluorescent size standard (ABI), GeneMapper 4.0 Software (ABI) and the Local Southern size calling method.

Based on the performance of primers during the initial test, we selected 18 primer pairs for subsequent optimization and polymorphism testing. The primers we did not select for additional testing were monomorphic (N = 25, 78%) or failed to amplify (N = 7, 22%) during the initial test. We optimized and screened primers using phenol-chloroform purified DNA collected from 31 individuals sampled on Isla Isabel and amplification conditions identical to those presented above. When visual assessment of resulting peak morphologies indicated that increased annealing temperatures might increase amplification quality, we also genotyped and scored loci using a starting annealing temperature of 65°C (−0.5°C step). We selected the starting annealing temperature resulting in the most precise peaks without inducing allelic dropout, and we removed any loci from the candidate set yielding ambiguous peaks or inconsistent results.

We calculated observed (H₀) and expected (Hₑ) heterozygosity and polymorphic information content (PIC) using Cervus 3.0 (Marshall et al. 1998; Kalinowski et al. 2007), and we tested for deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) and evaluated genotypic linkage disequilibrium (LD) using Genepop (Raymond and Rousset 1995). We conducted a posteriori Bonferroni correction (Rice 1989) for each analysis consisting of multiple, concurrent statistical tests (HWE and LD).

Table 1 presents the characteristics of 11 primer pairs amplifying microsatellite loci in blue-footed boobies. The number of alleles ranged from three to 22, averaging seven. No loci deviated from HWE, and we did not detect LD following Bonferroni correction. Total exclusionary power with both parents unknown was 0.99.

Incorporation of the MyOne C1 Streptavidin beads to the process did not appear to increase the efficiency of enrichment for fragments containing microsatellite repeats (38%) when compared to the older M-280 beads (≈50–60%, Crawford et al. 2009, BC Faircloth unpublished data). This observation may be species-specific or a general indication that the M-280 beads are more efficient for the purpose of microsatellite enrichment. Others (S Lance and T Glenn, University of Georgia, personal communication) have observed reduced enrichment efficiencies using MyOne C1 beads and B&W hybridization buffer.

The microsatellite loci identified here will serve as a useful resource for future studies of blue-footed boobies requiring a panel of polymorphic DNA markers.
| Locus      | Sequence                                      | Accession | Anneal | N | A | Range     | Repeat           | HO  | HE  | PIC  |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|--------|---|---|----------|------------------|-----|-----|------|
| BOOB-RM2-F07 U | GTTTGGCTAATCCCTGGTGTGCAAGFG                  | FJ587350  | 60     | 30| 3 | 389–397  | (CT)^8           | 0.60| 0.59| 0.50 |
| BOOB-RM2-F07 L | GGAAAACAGCTATGGACCATACATCCGGTGTGCTCAATTTGTTG |           |        |   |   |          |                  |     |     |      |
| BOOB-RM3-D07 U | GTTTGGCCTACTGGCATCCAGGG                     | FJ587394  | 60     | 15| 15| 325–399  | (AAAG)^35...(AAAG)^25 | 0.87| 0.90| 0.87 |
| BOOB-RM3-D07 L | CAGTCGGGCGTCACTCAATGCTCATAAACAGGCGGTGATAC  | FJ587411  | 60     | 22| 15| 281–420  | (CTTTT)^41       | 0.97| 0.95| 0.94 |
| BOOB-RM3-F11 U | GTTTAGAGGGTGTTAGCAGAGTAGAAAATG           |           |        |   |   |          |                  |     |     |      |
| BOOB-RM3-F11 L | CAGTCGGGCGTCACTCAATGCTCATAAACAGGCGGTGATAC |           |        |   |   |          |                  |     |     |      |
| BOOB-RM4-A08 U | GTTTCCCTGAAAGTCTTTTATTTGGTGGGAA             | FJ587430  | 65     | 3  | 3  | 398–406  | (AG)^6...(AGAT)^7...(ACAG)^6 | 0.58| 0.54| 0.42 |
| BOOB-RM4-A08 L | CAGTCGGGCGTCACTCAATGCTCATAAACAGGCGGTGATAC |           |        |   |   |          |                  |     |     |      |
| BOOB-RM4-B03 U | CAGTCGGGCGTCACTCAATGCTCATAAACAGGCGGTGATAC | FJ587372  | 65     | 3  | 3  | 385–389  | (AT)^5           | 0.29| 0.37| 0.32 |
| BOOB-RM4-B03 L | GTTTAGGCTGAGTGCTGGTG                        |           |        |   |   |          |                  |     |     |      |
| BOOB-RM4-C03 U | CAGTCGGGCGTCACTCAATGCTCATAAACAGGCGGTGATAC | FJ587442  | 65     | 11 | 11| 336–432  | (AAAG)^29         | 0.84| 0.80| 0.77 |
| BOOB-RM4-C03 L | GTTTCCAGTACAAACACACAGACATGCCAGGG            |           |        |   |   |          |                  |     |     |      |
| BOOB-RM4-D07 U | CAGTCGGGCGTCACTCAATGCTCATAAACAGGCGGTGATAC | FJ587311  | 65     | 3  | 3  | 213–239  | (GGAT)^13        | 0.52| 0.45| 0.36 |
| BOOB-RM4-D07 L | GTTTCCCTGAAAGTCTTTTATTTGGTGGGAA             |           |        |   |   |          |                  |     |     |      |
| BOOB-RM4-E03 U | CAGTCGGGCGTCACTCAATGCTCATAAACAGGCGGTGATAC | FJ587457  | 65     | 3  | 3  | 313–317  | (ATCT)^7...(CT)^10...(ATCC)^4 | 0.39| 0.36| 0.33 |
| BOOB-RM4-E03 L | GTTTAGGCTGAGTGCTGGTG                        |           |        |   |   |          |                  |     |     |      |
| BOOB-RM4-E10 U | CAGTCGGGCGTCACTCAATGCTCATAAACAGGCGGTGATAC | FJ587461  | 65     | 5  | 5  | 251–267  | (ATCT)^5...(ATCT)^4 | 0.65| 0.62| 0.53 |
| BOOB-RM4-E10 L | GTTTCCCTGAAAGTCTTTTATTTGGTGGGAA             |           |        |   |   |          |                  |     |     |      |
| BOOB-RM4-F11 U | GTTTCTGTCAGGACATGCGATCC                    | FJ587469  | 65     | 3  | 3  | 287–307  | (AATAG)^12       | 0.61| 0.61| 0.54 |
| BOOB-RM4-F11 L | CAGTCGGGCGTCACTCAATGCTCATAAACAGGCGGTGATAC |           |        |   |   |          |                  |     |     |      |
| BOOB-RM4-G03 U | CAGTCGGGCGTCACTCAATGCTCATAAACAGGCGGTGATAC | FJ587472  | 65     | 5  | 5  | 167–197  | (ATCT)^11        | 0.67| 0.64| 0.56 |
| BOOB-RM4-G03 L | GTTTCTGTCAGGACATGCGATCC                    |           |        |   |   |          |                  |     |     |      |

Sequences used to introduce sites for the universal, fluorescent tags are in bold italics. Underlined bases indicate sharing of nucleotides between the CAG tag (5'-CAGTCGGGCGTCACTCA-3'), the M13R tag (5'-GGAAAACAGCTATGGACCATACATCCGCTCAATTTGTTG-3'), or the locus-specific primer binding site and the GTTT "pigtail". U upper primer; L lower primer; N number of individuals successfully genotyped at each locus; A number of alleles; HO observed heterozygosity; HE expected heterozygosity; PIC polymorphic information content.
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