miR-16 targets SALL4 to repress the proliferation and migration of gastric cancer
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Abstract. There is increasing evidence that microRNAs (miRNAs) play important roles in tumor progression and development by targeting different genes, including gastric cancer (GC). However, the role of miR-16 in GC is so far unclear. Herein, we examined the function and potential mechanism of miR-16 in GC. Reverse transcription-quantitative PCR found that miR-16 expression was prominently lower in GC tissues while SALL4 expression was frequently higher than normal tissues. Re-expression of miR-16 could suppress GC cell proliferation and migration by MTT and Transwell assay. We confirmed that miR-16 directly targeted SALL4 in regulating GC by luciferase assay. Knockdown of SALL4 inhibited cell proliferation and migration. Furthermore, SALL4 could counteract the inhibition-effect of miR-16 in GC. In conclusion, for the first time we demonstrated that miR-16 played inhibitory effect through targeting SALL4 in GC cell proliferation and migration. Our study revealed that miR-16/SALL4 axis was critical in regulating the GC development, indicating a new prospect to regulate GC cell progression and development.

Introduction

Gastric cancer (GC) is one of the most common malignant diseases originating from the mucosal epithelium of the stomach. GC has high morbidity and mortality in China, which seriously affects the health of patients (1,2). GC is more aggressive but is hard to find in early stage, so most GC patients are diagnosed at an advanced stage (3). Although clinically significant progress has been made in treatment, the clinical outcomes of patients with advanced GC have not had a significant impact. Therefore, studies to explore the underlying mechanisms of the GC development are necessary, as they could provide novel therapeutic targets for GC treatment (4).

Increasing evidence has been reported that microRNAs (miRNAs) could function as tumor inhibitors or tumor promoters in the GC development by targeting several mRNA genes, including proliferation, migration and invasion (5,6). For example, Ahn et al (7) showed that miR-200 acted as an oncopgene in modulating GC progression via inhibiting CDH1. However, miR-22 was proved to suppress GC metastasis and invasion via regulating MMP14 and Snail (8). So far, the miRNAs that were found to participate in GC development are still relatively limited, and their roles and potential mechanisms need to be further studied.

Many previous studies showed that miR-16 is involved in cell proliferation, invasion and metastasis of various cancers. miR-16 was proven to function as a tumor suppressor in regulating glioma cell proliferation, invasion and promoted apoptosis through targeting Wip1 (9). A previous study also showed that the effect of miR-127 on non-small cell lung cancer proliferation was inhibition (10). In addition, one study stated that miR-127 acted as a tumor promoter in regulating of the progression of colorectal adenocarcinoma (11). However, there are very few studies on the biological mechanism of miR-127 in GC.

Sal-like protein 4 (SALL4) is a zincfinger transcription factor encoded by a member of the SALL gene family (12). Previous studies showed that the role SALL4 played in early embryo development, organ formation and the proliferation and pluripotency of embryonic stem cells was very important (13-16). Recently, SALL4 was shown to be involved in modulating various solid tumors. For instance, SALL4 expression was upregulated in liver, lung, breast and colorectal cancer (17-20). Furthermore, SALL4 could promote the migratory and invasive ability of breast cancer (21) and cell viability of endometrial cancer (22). Therefore, to deeply understand the mechanism of SALL4 in cancers would help researchers to find a new target for cancer diagnosis and treatment (23).

Our study examined miR-16 in GC development and its biological mechanism in regulation of GC cell proliferation and migration. We found that miR-16 showed inhibitory effect in GC. miR-16 overexpression could suppress GC cell viability and migration and make SALL4 expression lower, while...
knockdown of miR-16 had the opposite effect. Furthermore, we demonstrated that the relationship between miR-16 and SALL4 expression was negatively correlated in GC tissues. Therefore, our results indicated that the miR-16/SALL4 axis provided a therapeutic target for treating GC.

Materials and methods

Samples and cell culture. Forty paired GC tissues and adjacent normal tissues were obtained from GC patients who underwent surgery at the China-Japan Union Hospital, Jilin University (Changchun, China). All tissue specimens were confirmed by pathological diagnoses and no patients received radiotherapy or chemotherapy before surgery. All corrected tissues were immediately frozen in -80°C refrigerator. All contents about this study were approved by the Ethics Committee of China-Japan Union Hospital, Jilin University. Each GC patient involved in this study signed the informed consent.

The gastric epithelium cell line GES-1 and four GC cell lines (SGC-7901, HGC-27, MKN45 and MGC-803) were obtained from Shanghai Institute of Cell Biology of the Chinese Academy of Sciences. The cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum, and then cultured in an incubator at 37°C under 5% CO₂.

Cell transfection. miR-16 mimic and inhibitor were provided by the company of GenePharma (Shanghai, China). miR-16 mimic and miR-16 inhibitor (50 nM) were transfected into SGC-7901 and HGC-27 cells respectively in parallel to overexpress or suppress miR-16 and SALL4 small interfering RNA (siRNA) to silence SALL4. All the cells were plated in 24-well plates 24 h before transfection and the transfections were performed using Lipofectamine 3000 reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) the next day. The transfected cells were divided into several groups.

RT-qPCR assays. TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) was used to isolate total RNA from the GC tissues and cells. NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), was used to quantify the RNA. The sequences of the primers were: miR-16, TAGCAG CACGTAAATATTGGCG (forward) and TGCGTGTCGTGGAGTC (reverse); for SALL4, TGACCTGCTGATCCCACCCACA (forward) and TCATGCTTAGTCCACTGTCTGT (reverse); for U6, GCTTCGGCAGCACATATACTA AAAT (forward) and CGCTTCACGAATTTGCGTGTCAT (reverse); for...
GAPDH, AGAAGGCTGGGGCTCATTTG (forward) and AGGGGCCATCCACAGTCTTC (reverse). U6 and GAPDH were used as internal controls. The $2^{-\Delta\Delta Cq}$ method was used to detect the relative expression of miR-16 and SALL4.

**Cell proliferation assay.** MTT assay was used to detect cell viability. SGC-7901 and HGC-27 cells were seeded and RPMI-1640 medium was subsequently added into 96-well plates and incubated for 24, 48, and 72 h at 37˚C with 5% CO$_2$. MTT solution was added to each well for incubation for 4 h. After centrifugation, at room temperature, 1,000 x g for 10 min, the culture medium was removed and DMSO (100 µl) was added into the plates to dissolve the crystals. The absorbance value of each well was measured at the OD490 nm using enzyme-linked immunoassay.

**Cell migration assay.** Cell migratory ability was performed using Transwell assay. The Transwell chamber with 8 µm pore size polycarbonate membrane (Costar; Corning Incorporated, Corning, NY, USA) was placed into the 24-well plates to separate the top and the lower chambers. GC cells (1x10$^5$) with different transfection were seeded into the top chamber, and RPMI-1640 medium containing 20% fetal bovine serum was added into the lower chambers as an attractant and then incubated for 24 h at 37˚C. The cells in upper chambers subsequently migrated into the lower chamber. Then the migratory cells were stained with 0.1% crystal violet for 30 min. Images of the migration cells were photographed under a microscope (SZ61; Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

**Western blot analysis.** Total protein was extracted from the GC cells or tissues after transfection for 48 h, and the protein concentration was measured. Then, 50 µg protein samples in each group were subjected to 10% SDS-PAGE to separate the protein samples. Then, they were electrophoretically transferred to NC membrane (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Subsequently, skim milk (5-10%) dissolved by 0.1% tris buffered saline with Tween-20 (TBST) was added to block the membranes for 2 h at room temperature. Firstly, the membranes were incubated with the primary antibody rabbit monoclonal anti-SALL4 (cat. no. 5850S; 1:1,000, Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Danvers, MA, USA) at 4˚C overnight, after washed with 1xTBST (pH 7.4) three times later; the secondary antibodies goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP...
(cat. no. sc-2004; 1:3,000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. Santa Cruz, CA, USA) were added and incubated at room temperature for 2 h. Finally, the enhanced chemiluminescence kit (ECL; EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) was used to detect the signals. GAPDH primary antibody (cat. no. 70699; 1:5,000; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) was chosen as the internal reference.

**Dual-luciferase assay.** The wild-type and mut-type miR-16 putative targets on SALL4 3'UTR were synthesized and inserted into the pMIR-reporter luciferase vector. We used Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) to transfect HGC-27 cells with control mimic and miR-16 mimic. The One-Glo luciferase assay instrument (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA) was then used to measure the luciferase activity values.

**Statistical analysis.** All results are presented as the mean ± SD of three experiments. Differences between groups were evaluated by Student's t-test or Tukey's post hoc test after ANOVA in SPSS. The difference between groups was significant at P-value <0.05. SPSS v.19.0 software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used to perform statistical analyses and GraphPad Prism 5.02 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) to complete graph presentation.

**Results**

**Increase of miR-16 and decrease of CRKL in GC.** First, we examined miR-16 expression in forty pairs of GC tissues. RT-qPCR showed that miR-16 average expression was markedly decreased in GC tissues (Fig. 1A). Next, we assessed the correlation of miR-16 expression level and the stage of cancer. The results indicated that miR-16 showed higher expression in stage I/II (early stage) GC tissues than in stage III/IV (late stage) (Fig. 1B). Subsequently, we detected miR-16 mRNA expression in GC cell lines. Compared with the normal GES-1 cells, miR-16 expression was reduced significantly in GC cell lines (Fig. 1C).

**Inhibition effect of miR-16 on GC cell proliferation and migration.** We overexpressed or silenced miR-16 by transfection of
miR-16 mimic or inhibitor into SGC-7901 and HGC-27 cells. The efficiency of the miR-16 transfection was assessed by RT-qPCR and found that miR-16 expression was obviously higher in both GC cells after overexpression of miR-16 but was decreased after silencing miR-16 compared with the control (Fig. 2A and B). We used MTT assay to measure miR-16 effect on GC cell proliferation. As Fig. 2C and D show, re-expression of miR-16 made cell viability reduced in both GC cell lines, while, inhibiting miR-16 significantly raised cell viability. Next, we used Transwell assay to examine miR-16's effect on GC cell migration. As seen in Fig. 2E and F, miR-16 re-expression significantly reduced the migration cells in both GC cell lines, whereas, miR-16 silencing increased the migration of cells remarkably.

SALL4 silencing inhibits GC cell viability and migration. SALL4 siRNA was performed to knock down SALL4 expression to examine SALL4 function in GC progression. Relative SALL4 expression was detected by western blot analysis and RT-qPCR in SGC-7901 and HGC-27 cell lines after transfected with small interfering RNA, respectively, as shown in Fig. 3A and B, the corresponding SALL4 protein expression and mRNA expression was significantly reduced by downregulation of SALL4 in SGC-7901 and HGC-27 cell lines. Then, we used MTT assay to investigate the cell viability in GC cell lines to explore SALL4 effect on GC cell proliferation. We found that si-SALL4 suppressed cell viability in both GC cell lines (Fig. 3C). Transwell assay revealed that si-SALL4 curbed cell migration also in the GC cell lines (Fig. 3D).

**SALL4 is a specific target of miR-16 in GC development.** We used TargetScan algorithms to look for possible targets of miR-16. Based on its important role in the process of cell proliferation and migration, we selected SALL4 for further study. To corroborate the hypothesis that SALL4 was a novel target of miR-16 in GC progression, dual-luciferase reporter assay was carried out to check the luciferase activity of HGC-27 cells treated with miR-16 mimic. The results indicated that miR-16 mimic significantly reduced the relative SALL4 luciferase activity in wild-type, however, there were no changed in mut-type (Fig. 4A and B). We then explored the connection between miR-16 and SALL4 expression. RT-qPCR and immunoblotting were carried out to detect SALL4 expression in the GC cell lines by re-expression or knockdown of miR-16. As seen in Fig. 4C and D, the relative SALL4 mRNA and protein expression was reduced observably in miR-16 mimic group, while increased in miR-16 inhibitor group.

**The reversal of SALL4 in miR-16 suppression effect in GC.** We carried out MTT and Transwell assay to examine SALL4 function in GC cell proliferation and migration regulated by miR-16. As proved above, the miR-16 mimic group showed decreased cell viability. However, re-expression of both miR-16 and
SALL4 showed higher cell viability than cell overexpression of miR-16 alone (Fig. 5A and B), suggesting that SALL4 attenuated the inhibition effect of miR-16 on GC cell proliferation. In addition, Fig. 5C and D results showed that the relative cell migration in GC cells was decreased in miR-16 mimic group. However, re-expression of both miR-16 and SALL4 showed higher migration than cell overexpression of miR-16 alone, suggesting that SALL4 attenuated miR-16 inhibition effect on GC cell migration. In conclusion, miR-16 could inhibit GC cell proliferation and migration by targeting SALL4.

Discussion

Previous studies have shown that expression of several miRNAs was abnormal in gastric cancer (GC) which in turn induced the changed cell proliferation, invasion and apoptosis (27). Thus, these miRNAs could be used as biomarkers to predict the prognosis of GC and search for a specific miRNA and its target gene is critical.

miR-16 had been proved to be expressed abnormally in a variety of human cancers. It was reported that miR-16 was obviously reduced in pituitary tumors (28). Moreover, miR-16 was reported to be decreased in chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells and targeted Bcl-2 to induce cell apoptosis (29). Recently a study showed that miR-16 was expressed abnormally in GC development and progression (3,30). Our study stated an observably reduced miR-16 expression in GC, and miR-16 mimic suppressed GC cell proliferation and migration, while miR-127 inhibitor facilitated it. It was in line with the recent studies that miR-16 was downregulated in GC and it could inhibit GC cell progression (31,32).

SALL4 is well known to be involved in progression of many human cancers, including colorectal cancer, breast cancer, liver cancer and lung cancer (20,33-35), by regulating cell growth,
metastasis and invasion. SALL4 expression detected in our experiment was obviously higher in GC consistent with reports that SALL4 was upregulated in GC (24,36). A previous study also showed that SALL4 promoted cell proliferation and metastasis regulated by the miR-33b, and miR-33b exhibited significant inverse correlation with SALL4 in hepatocellular carcinoma cells (37). Zhou et al (38) found that SALL4 expression was directly regulated by miR-16 in glioma cell proliferation, migration and invasion. Our present study indicated that SALL4 expression increased in GC and silencing SALL4 could inhibit GC cell viability and migratory ability.

Colletively, miR-16 expression was downregulated while SALL4 was upregulated in GC. The relationship between miR-16 and SALL4 expression was negatively correlated. We first proved that SALL4 was a directly target of miR-16 in regulation of the progress of GC and SALL4 could partially reverse the suppression effect of miR-16 in GC, indicating miR-16/SALL4 axis to have a potential application clue in GC diagnosis and therapy.
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