CURVATURE AND INTEGRABILITY OF ALMOST COMPLEX STRUCTURES

JIANMING WAN

ABSTRACT. Based on previous work, this note is concerned in connections between curvature and the integrability of almost complex structures. The main motivation is to provide an attempt to a fundamental problem in geometry: Determining the complex structures on an almost complex manifold.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Let $M$ be an almost complex manifold. This means that there exists a smooth section $J \in \Gamma(T^*M \otimes TM) = \Gamma(\text{hom}(TM, TM))$ satisfying

$$J^2 = -\text{id}. \quad (1.1)$$

The $J$ is called almost complex structure of $M$. An almost complex manifold must be oriented and has even dimension. Determining an almost complex structure on a manifold is a purely topological problem (equivalent to the structure groups of tangent bundle $GL(2n, \mathbb{R})$ can reduce to $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$) and has been studied well [4]. In principle, we always can determine whether a given manifold has an almost complex structure (though the procedure may be very complex). In dimension 4 we have a fundamentally topological criterion of Wen-tsun Wu to determining the almost complex structure [6].

An almost complex structure $J$ on $M$ is said to be integrable, if it can induce a complex (manifold) structure. By the famous Newlander-Nirenberg theorem [3], $J$ is integrable if and only if the Nijenhuis tensor vanishes, i.e.

$$N(J)(X, Y) = J[JX, Y] + J[X, JY] + [X, Y] - [JX, JY] \equiv 0, \quad (1.2)$$
for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. A fundamental problem in geometry is to determine the complex structures on an almost complex manifold. The case of 2-dimension is classical. Every surface is a complex manifold, which is called Riemann surface. In dimension 4, by combining Wu’s criterion with some results in algebraic geometry we can construct many compact almost complex manifolds without any complex structure (c.f. [1] page 167). For instance, $S^1 \times S^3 \times S^1 \times S^2 \times S^2$ and $S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1 \sharp S^1 \sharp P^3$. However, up to now, we do not find a single higher dimensional manifold with almost complex structures but no complex structure. The higher dimensional examples seem to be existent undoubtedly. But another opinion of Yau (c.f. [9] problem 52) asserts that every compact almost complex manifold admits a complex structure. As well known, one can construct an almost complex structure on $S^6$ by using quaternions. But this almost complex structure is not integrable. It is an outstanding problem to determine the complex structures on $S^6$. $S^6$ is a touchstone to understand the complex structures of higher dimensional manifolds.

To deal with the fundamental problem, there are two folds: 1) To show the existence of complex structures, we should find some effective conditions or obstructions should be involved in the geometry or topology of manifolds.

We are mainly concerned in the connections between complex structures and curvature of manifolds. Let $(M, J)$ be an almost complex manifold. We give a Riemannian metric $g$ on $M$. Then we can define the Hodge-Laplace operator $\Delta$ acting on tangent bundle-valued differential forms. Since $J$ can be seen as a tangent bundle-valued 1-forms, we may consider the action of $\Delta$ on $J$. When the manifold is compact, in [5] the author observed that

\[(1.3) \quad \Delta J = 0\]

implies $J$ is integrable. On the other hand, the Bochner formula of $\Delta J$ contains curvature terms. So we can connect the integrability of almost complex structures with the geometry (curvature) of manifolds. In a sense this provides a probability for studying of existence of complex structures.

2. Bochner techniques for tangent bundle-valued differential forms

The materials in this section are standard, which can be found in many literatures. For example [7].

2.1. Hodge-Laplace operator. Notations. \{$e_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$\}: local orthonormal frame field; $X, X_0, X_1, \cdots, X_p$: smooth sections of tangent bundle $TM$; $\omega, \theta$: smooth sections of $\wedge^p T^* M \otimes TM$.

Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold. Let $\nabla$ be the Levi-Civita connection associated with $g$. $\nabla$ can be extended canonically to $\Gamma(\wedge^p T^* M \otimes TM)$ by

\[
(\nabla_X \omega)(X_1, \cdots, X_p) = \nabla_X(\omega(X_1, \cdots, X_p)) - \sum_{k=1}^{p} \omega(X_1, \cdots, \nabla_X X_k, \cdots, X_p).
\]

We can define the differential operator $d : \Gamma(\wedge^p T^* M \otimes TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^{p+1} T^* M \otimes TM)$,

\[
(2.1) \quad d\omega(X_0, \cdots, X_p) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} (-1)^k (\nabla_{X_k} \omega)(X_0, \cdots, \hat{X}_k, \cdots, X_p),
\]
where $\hat{X}_k$ denotes removing $X_k$. The co-differential operator $\delta : \Gamma(\wedge^p T^*M \otimes TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^{p-1} T^*M \otimes TM)$ is given by

$$\delta \omega(X_1, \ldots, X_{p-1}) = -\sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{e_i} \omega)(e_i, X_1, \ldots, X_{p-1}).$$

The Hodge-Laplace operator is defined by

$$\Delta \equiv d\delta + \delta d.$$

For any $\omega, \theta \in \Gamma(\wedge^p T^*M \otimes TM)$, we have the induced inner product

$$\langle \omega, \theta \rangle \equiv \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_p \leq n} \langle \omega(e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_p), \theta(e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_p) \rangle,$$

If $M$ is compact, we have the global inner product

$$\langle \omega, \theta \rangle \equiv \int_M \langle \omega, \theta \rangle dv.$$

By the self-adjoint property of $\Delta$, we have

$$\langle \Delta \omega, \omega \rangle = \langle d\omega, d\omega \rangle + \langle \delta \omega, \delta \omega \rangle \geq 0.$$

So $\Delta \omega = 0$ if and only if $d\omega = 0$ and $\delta \omega = 0$.

We should mention that in general $d^2 \neq 0$. For $A \in \Gamma(T^*M \otimes TM)$, $d^2A(X_1, X_2, X_3) = R(X_3, X_2)AX_1 + R(X_1, X_3)AX_2 + R(X_2, X_1)AX_3$.

2.2. Weitzenböck formula.

**Proposition 2.1.** For any tangent bundle-valued $p$-form $\omega$, we have

$$\Delta \omega = -\nabla^2 \omega + S,$$

where $\nabla^2 \omega = \nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_j} \omega - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_i} e_j} \omega$ and $S(X_1, \cdots, X_p) = (-1)^k(R(e_i, X_k)\omega)(e_i, X_1, \cdots, \hat{X}_k, \cdots, X_p)$, for any $X_1, \cdots, X_p \in \Gamma(TM)$. $R$ is the curvature tensor $R(X, Y) = -\nabla_X \nabla_Y + \nabla_Y \nabla_X + [X,Y]$ and $\{e_i\}$ is the local orthonormal frame field.

In fact the Bochner technique can work on any Riemannian vector bundles. For our purpose we only focus on tangent bundles.

3. Harmonic complex structures

From now on we assume that $(M, J)$ is a compact almost complex manifold. We give a Riemannian metric $g$ on $M$. $J$ needs not be compatible with $g$. The author introduced the following concept in [5].

**Definition 3.1.** We say that $J$ is a harmonic complex structure if $\Delta J = 0$.

By the self-adjoint property, $\Delta J = 0$ if and only if $dJ = 0$ and $\delta J = 0$. Recall that a Kähler structure means an almost complex structure $J$ compatible with $g$ and satisfying $\nabla J = 0$. So a Kähler structure must be a harmonic complex structure. The following observation shows the meaning of harmonic complex structure.

**Proposition 3.2.** [5] A harmonic complex structure is integrable.
Proof. We only need to show that the Nijenhuis tensor $N_{1.2}$ vanishes. By direct computation, one has

$$dJ(X, Y) - dJ(JX, JY) = ([JX, Y] + [X, JY] - J[X, Y] = -JN(J)(X, Y).$$

Since $\Delta J = 0$ implies $dJ = 0$, hence $N(J) = 0$. □

Denote $K$: Kähler structures; $H$: harmonic complex structures; $C$: complex structures. We have the inclusion relation $K \subset H \subset C$.

Let $e(J) = \frac{1}{2} \left| Je_i \right|^2$ denote the energy density of $J$. Applying proposition 2.1 to $J$, we can obtain the Bochner type formula.

**Theorem 3.3.**

(3.1) $\Delta e(J) + \left< \Delta J, J \right> = |\nabla J|^2 + \left< JR(e_i, e_j)e_i, Je_j \right> - \left< R(e_i, e_j)Je_i, Je_j \right>$,

where $|\nabla J|^2 = |\nabla_e J(e_i)|^2$.

**Proof.** Following the notations in proposition 2.1, we can check that

$$\left< S, J \right> = \left< JR(e_i, e_j)e_i, Je_j \right> - \left< R(e_i, e_j)Je_i, Je_j \right>$$

and

$$\left< \nabla^2 J, J \right> = \Delta e(J) - |\nabla J|^2.$$

Then the theorem is straightforward from formula 2.7. □

The below table gives the comparative relations.

**Table 1.**

| Kahler structure         | harmonic complex structure |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| totally geodesic map     | harmonic map               |
| totally geodesic submanifold | minimal submanifold        |

4. SOME APPLICATIONS OF HARMONIC COMPLEX STRUCTURES

From theorem 3.3 we have

$$(dJ, dJ) + (\delta J, \delta J) = (\Delta J, J) = \int_M (|\nabla J|^2 + \left< JR(e_i, e_j)e_i, Je_j \right> - \left< R(e_i, e_j)Je_i, Je_j \right>)dv \geq 0.$$ 

So $J$ is a harmonic complex structure if and only if

$$\int_M (|\nabla J|^2 + \left< JR(e_i, e_j)e_i, Je_j \right> - \left< R(e_i, e_j)Je_i, Je_j \right>)dv = 0.$$ 

Combining proposition 3.2, we obtain a geometric sufficient condition of integrability of an almost complex structure.
Theorem 4.1. If

\[(4.1) \quad \int_M (|\nabla J|^2 + \langle JR(e_i, e_j)e_i, J e_j \rangle - \langle R(e_i, e_j)e_i, J e_j \rangle) dv = 0, \]

then \(J\) is integrable.

Though we do not know whether the 6-sphere \(S^6\) has a complex structure, as an application of theorem 3.3 we have

**Theorem 4.2.** \([5]\) \(S^6\) with standard metric (or with small perturbation) can not admit any harmonic complex structure.

**Proof.** We only need to show that the right of formula (3.1) is positive. Under standard metric, the sectional curvature is equal to 1. One can easily to show that \(\langle JR(e_i, e_j)e_i, J e_j \rangle = 10e(J) \geq 30\) and \(\langle R(e_i, e_j)e_i, J e_j \rangle = 6.\)

A well-known result of LeBrun \([2]\) states that \(S^6\) has no complex structure compatible with the standard metric. Xiaokui Yang \([8]\) proved that \(S^6\) can not admit a complex structure compatible with a metric such that the sectional curvature lies in \((\frac{1}{4}, 1]\). In our result the compatible condition is removed. But geometric restriction is increased.

We also can get a Kähler criterion for a harmonic complex structure.

**Theorem 4.3.** \([5]\) Let \(J\) be an Hermitian harmonic complex structure. Then the scale curvature \(S \leq \langle R(e_i, e_j)e_i, J e_j \rangle\). The equal holds if and only if \(J\) is a Kähler structure.

**Proof.** Since \(J\) is an Hermitian harmonic complex structure, \(e(J) = \text{constant}\). The left of formula (3.1) equals to zero. So \(S - \langle R(e_i, e_j)e_i, J e_j \rangle = -|\nabla J|^2 \leq 0\). The equal holds implies \(\nabla J = 0\). Namely \(J\) is a Kähler structure.

\[\square\]

5. Almost-Hermitian case

We know that \(\Delta J = 0\) if and only if both \(dJ\) and \(\delta J\) are equal to zero. Since we mainly are concerned in the integrability of almost complex structures, only the \(dJ = 0\) is useful for our purpose. We should remove the condition \(\delta J = 0\). When \(J\) is compatible with the Riemannian metric, i.e. \(M\) is an almost-Hermitian manifold, we can do it. Our main observation is

**Proposition 5.1.** Let \(M\) be an almost-Hermitian manifold. Then \(dJ = 0\) implies \(\delta J = 0\).

More precisely, for any \(X \in \Gamma(TM)\) we have

i) \(\langle JX, \delta J \rangle + \langle dJ(X, e_i), J e_i \rangle \equiv 0,\)

ii) \(\langle X, \delta J \rangle + \langle dJ(X, e_i), e_i \rangle \equiv 0.\)

**Proof.** We choose the normal frame field (i.e. \(\nabla_{e_i}e_j|_p = 0\) for a fixed point \(p\)). Then \(dJ(X, e_i) = \nabla_X J e_i - \nabla_{J e_i} X + J \nabla_{e_i} X\). Hence

\[
\langle dJ(X, e_i), J e_i \rangle = \langle \nabla_X J e_i, J e_i \rangle - \langle \nabla_{e_i} J X, J e_i \rangle + \langle J \nabla_{e_i} X, J e_i \rangle
\]

\[
= -\langle \nabla_{e_i} J X, e_i \rangle + \langle \nabla_{e_i} X, e_i \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle J X, \nabla_{e_i} e_i \rangle - e_i(X, e_i) + div X
\]

\[
= -\langle J X, \delta J \rangle - div X + div X = -\langle J X, \delta J \rangle
\]
where $S$ denotes the scale curvature.

Proof. \thmref{5.3} Once we find such a relation, if a suitable geometric condition can leads to a vanishing result related to Nijenhuis tensor. This may be known elsewhere.

Now we explain why $dJ = 0$ can imply $\delta J = 0$. Let $J_0$ be a family of almost complex structures. $J_0$ is compatible with the Riemannian metric. Then the energy density $e(J_0) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} |J_0 e_i|^2 = n$. And

$$e(J) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i |J_i e_i|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (J_i^j)^2 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} (J_i^j)^2 + (J_j^i)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} 1 = n = e(J_0),$$

where $J_i e_i = J_i^j e_j$. So the energy $E(J_0) = \int_M e(J_0) dv$ is minimal. Let us compare with harmonic maps. Let $f$ be a smooth map between two Riemannian manifolds $M$ and $N$. If the energy $E(f) = \int_M e(f) dv$ is minimal, then $f$ is a harmonic map and the trace $\delta(df) = 0$. So intuitively we should has $\delta J = 0$.

Combining theorem \thmref{5.3} and proposition \propref{5.1} we immediately have

\thmref{5.2}. Let $M$ be an almost-Hermitian manifold. Then $dJ = 0$ if and only if

\begin{equation}
\int_M (\|\nabla J\|^2 + S - \langle R(e_i, e_j) J e_i, J e_j \rangle) dv = 0,
\end{equation}

where $S$ denotes the scale curvature.

We use proposition \propref{5.1} to give a vanishing result related to Nijenhuis tensor. This may be known elsewhere.

\thmref{5.3}. Let $M$ be an almost-Hermitian manifold. Then for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ we have $\langle N(J)(X, e_i), e_i \rangle = 0$.

Proof. By proposition \propref{5.1}

$$\langle N(J)(X, e_i), e_i \rangle = \langle JN(J)(X, e_i), J e_i \rangle = \langle dJ(JX, J e_i), J e_i \rangle - \langle dJ(X, e_i), J e_i \rangle = -\langle JX, \delta J \rangle + \langle JX, \delta J \rangle = 0.$$

\thmref{5.3} Once we find such a relation, if a suitable geometric condition can leads to $C(J) > 0(< 0)$ for any $J$, we can claim that the manifold does not admit a complex structure. Theorem \thmref{4.1} only gives a sufficient condition for Nijenhuis tensor vanishing.

\section{Some remarks}

Our main purpose is to find some geometric obstructions or sufficient conditions to existence of complex structures. The obstructive problem is try to find a necessary and sufficient condition for Nijenhuis tensor vanishing. Namely

$$N(J) = 0 \iff C(J) = 0.$$

Here $C(J)$ is a global curvature expression related to $J$, which is similar to formula \thmref{4.1} or \thmref{5.1}. Once we find such a relation, if a suitable geometric condition can leads to $C(J) > 0(< 0)$ for any $J$, we can claim that the manifold does not admit a complex structure. Theorem \thmref{4.1} only gives a sufficient condition for Nijenhuis tensor vanishing.
To find an effective sufficient condition, professor Kefeng Liu suggests that we should use Proposition 3.1 to construct a suitable flow of almost complex structures. Under some suitable geometric condition, the flow converges to an integrable one. In this case we must deal with the problem of how the evolution equation keeps the almost complex structures.
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