In Silico Testing of Some Protected Galactopyranose as SARS-CoV-2 Main Protease Inhibitors
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Abstract

An outbreak of novel Coronavirus disease (COVID-19 or 2019-nCoV) due to the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) has already demonstrated a fatal death toll all over the world. To cure this viral infection, a number of compounds of different categories have been investigated in silico. Some of the compounds showed better binding energy with COVID-19-related proteins. However, until now there is no appropriate drug except a vaccine. It was found that many antifungal drugs are used for COVID-19 patients in hospitals. Many monosaccharide esters have been reported to have antifungal potential. Thus, in the present study, some protected galactopyranose esters are chosen for molecular docking with SARS-CoV-2 main proteases (PDB id: 7BQY and 6LU7). A docking study revealed that galactopyranose esters 5-8 have very good docking scores (-8.4 to -6.5 kcal/mol) compared to the standard drugs azithromycin, remdesivir, and hydroxychloroquine. To explain such good scores interaction between amino acid residues of proteins and compounds in their docked complexes are calculated and duly discussed in this study.
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1. Introduction

It is now established that severe respiratory problems, pneumonia, and fever symptoms containing death threatening disease caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) is known as novel Coronavirus disease (COVID-19 or 2019-nCoV) [1-4]. After its outbreak in Wuhan, Hubei province, China in 2019, the world has been suffering huge death tolls, and was heading step by step crisis due to its severe symptoms with lethal complications, which needed hospitalization [5-7]. Many studies showed that patients with type 2 diabetes, obesity, heart disease, and high blood pressure enhanced health/death risk for COVID-19 patients in hospitals [8]. COVID-19 composed of a diverse group of viruses and has the ability to infect many different animals including triggering cytokine storm syndrome [9,10]. It is different from the previously reported
coronaviruses and this new virus has earnest evidence compared to Middle East respiratory syndrome (11,12). Many literatures described genomic characteristics, receptor use, and the basic virology of COVID-19, which helped to identify the mortality risk factors. Lack of proper medication enhanced death tolls and posed fatal health problems to every nation of the world. Consequently, every sector of social, economic, educational, developmental, and tourism growth have been hampered (13).

Although vaccines are now available and have demonstrated high efficacy in decreasing the severity of COVID-19 infections to reduce hospitalization severity and deaths, they are not able to prevent this virus transmission (14). The identification, discovery, and implementation of active antiviral drugs against this virus are thus important to fight this pathogen (15). In this respect, many researchers showed potentiality of a different kinds of drugs in silico against COVID-19. For example, phytochemicals present in herbal green tea (polyphenols like epicatechingallate, epigallocatechin gallate, and gallocalechin-3-gallate, etc.) have the ability to interact with the important residues of major protease (Mpro) of SARS-CoV-2 (16). These polyphenolic compounds showed binding affinity with Mpro (−7.1 to −9.0 kcal/mol). Again, several antibiotic such as nucleoside related Molnupiravir (1a, Figure 1) when applied to COVID-19 patients (among 202 treated participants) are found to reduce the risk of death for moderate symptom containing patients (17). Compounds having monosaccharide moiety (thio glucopyranoside) such as Auranofin (2; Figure 1) inhibits SARS-COV-2 replication in human cells at very low concentration (against Huh-7 cell line) (18) although different result was reported for other cell line (Human epithelial lung cancer Calu-3) (19).

Recently (2022), Lee et al. (20) reported that 6-sulfo N-acetyllactosamine (3) can inhibit the binding of the spike protein S1 subunit with blood group A RBCs. Thus, it reduces the interactions between the spike protein S1 subunit and ACE2. Many nucleosides are well-reported for their effectiveness against dengue virus, HIV, HBV, yellow fever virus, and Zika virus, etc. (21,22). In an another positive approach, 16 nucleoside analogs are found to be highly potential against SARS-CoV-2 virus (23). The carbohydrate-nucleosides include well-known antiviral drugs molnupiravir (1a) and remdesivir (1b). Moreover, some nucleosides have potentiality against resistant strains of pathogenic microorganisms (24). Many monosaccharides with ester groups (known as sugar ester, SEs) possess...
biological potentialities [25-30]. These SEs have many positive physicochemical, ADMET, and drug-likeness properties [31-36]. SEs can be synthesized using various methods under different conditions providing different positional selectivity [37-42]. With ester moieties, many of them showed in silico binding and hence inhibition against COVID-19 virus [43-48].

Although a plethora of different classes of compounds were tested and some can positively prevent COVID-19, no effective drugs are established for the treatment stage. Due to fatal risks of this viral infections, researchers are forced to search for new antiviral formulations. Based on biological potentiality of monosaccharides and related works [49-51], especially galactose esters [52], we aim to extend their investigation dealing with antiviral studies in the present pandemic situation.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Galactopyranoside acyl esters

For anti-COVID-19 potentiality study, four acyl esters 5-8 of galactopyranose 4 were selected and their structures are presented in Figure 2. These compounds were already synthesized from D-galactose via 1,2:3,4-di-O-isopropylidene-α-D-galactopyranose (4) and characterized by our research group [53]. In all these esters 5-8, C-6 OH is substituted by an acyl group like pivaloyl, benzoyl, 2-chlorobenzoyl, and 4-chlorobenzoyl. Also, isopropylidene protection is present 2,3- and 4,5-positions of the pyranose ring.

![Figure 2. Protected galactopyranose 4-based sugar esters 5-8.](image)

2.2. DFT based optimization

The original 2D and 3D geometry of galactopyranose was collected from PubChem (PubChem CID 6036). It was then opened in GaussView software and structures 4-8 were drawn keeping geometry intact [54]. After saving all the compounds separately, these were subjected for structural optimization. In this respect, the B3LYP hybrid density functional method in DFT (density functional theory) was used [55]. The basis set was 6-31G+ where optimization took several days in a core i3
laptop. These optimized structures of the compounds were saved and duly used during molecular docking. It should be noted that the optimized structures will show more accurate binding scores than the non-optimized molecules.

2.3. Method for molecular docking

In the last forty years, several molecular docking programs have been established. Many of the popular programs are in use to predict the binding conformations and interactions between compounds/ligands and protein binding sites [56]. Optimized structures of 4-8 (mentioned in section 2.1) in the sdf format were used for docking. In addition, three standard antibiotics sdf files were collected from PubChem. Two main proteases such as 7BQY and 6LU7 were also downloaded from the RCSB protein data bank (PDB). Unnecessary heteroatoms and water from 7BQY and 6LU7 were removed and saved as pdb file format. Swisspdb software was used for energy minimization of all the ligands. After energy minimization ligands were saved in pdbqt format.

Having all the ligands and proteins in useable formats, we used PyRx autodock vina for their molecular docking. After loading ligands and proteins, box size was fixed in the maximum dimension level (a command available in the software) and proceeded for auto docking. Docked complexes were opened in the DiscoveryStudio 4.5 (client), where necessary non-bond interactions were calculated.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Optimized structures of the galactose esters

Conformational structures are important for carbohydrates [57] as molecular size and shape influences their binding with proteins. Thus, we checked the conformations of galactopyranose molecules from their optimized structures and these are presented in Figure 3. Due to the fusion of two five-membered acetonide rings to six-membered galactopyranose ring (4-8), the pyranose ring deviated from the regular chair conformation (Figure 3).
3.2. Molecular docking: Binding affinity with SARS-CoV-2 main proteases

Several protective measures have been reported for the control of COVID-19 situation along with the administration of antiviral drugs [58]. It was observed that several SEs showed antifungal properties [59,60] and antifungal agents were reported to have antiviral efficacy, especially they were checked for anti SARS-CoV-19 tests in vitro [61]. Encouraged by these results, four galactopyranose esters 5-8 were selected for molecular docking with main proteases (7BQY and 6LU7). These compounds are protected at C-1,C-2 and C-3,C-4 positions as isopropylidene rings, which imposed some ring distortion in the original pyranose ring (section 3.1). Thus, esters 5-8 might have some special interaction with main proteases used. The molecular binding energy with the selected proteases are presented in Table 1 and Table 3.

Table 1. Molecular docking score (binding energy) with 7BQY.

| Ligand/Drug   | Binding energy (kcal/mol) | No. of H bond | No. of Hydrophobic bond | No. of van der Waal/ES bond | Total bonds |
|---------------|---------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| 4             | -6.2                      | 5             | 3                       | 0                         | 8           |
| 5             | -7.1                      | 5             | 6                       | 0                         | 11          |
| 6             | -7.4                      | 7             | 3                       | 0                         | 10          |
| 7             | -8.4                      | 2             | 0                       | 0                         | 2           |
| 8             | -7.3                      | 2             | 8                       | 0                         | 10          |
| Azithromycin  | -6.7                      | 6             | 1                       | 0                         | 7           |
| Hydroxychloroquine | -6.4                  | 6             | 3                       | 1                         | 10          |
| Remdesivir    | -7.5                      | 7             | 1                       | 0                         | 8           |

ES = electrostatic; -6.0 kcal/mol is considered standard docking score.

Initial docking of the compounds with M^{pro} 7BQY showed that protected galactopyranose 4 has binding energy -6.2 kcal/mol, which increased upon esterification at the C-6 position of 4. Pivaloyl ester 5, benzoyl ester 6, 2-chlorobenzoyl ester 7, and 4-chlorobenzoyl ester 8 showed binding energy -7.1, -7.4, -8.4, and -7.3 kcal/mol, respectively. These results are found to be comparable with standard drugs used for the COVID-19 hospitalized patients such as azithromycin (-6.7 kcal/mol), hydroxychloroquine (-6.4 kcal/mol) and remdesivir (-7.5 kcal/mol). The highest binding energy was observed for the 2-chlorobenzoate 7, which was better than all the standard drugs tested here (Table 1). It was observed that the binding energy is related to different interaction of the compounds (here termed as ligands) with the amino acid residues of the 7BQY (Figure 4 and Table 2). Interestingly, the best-docked compound 7 formed two H-bonds with THR111, and ILE152, which are similar to standard drug remdesivir (Table 2).
Figure 4. Non-bond interactions of 7BQY with ligands - (a) 4; (b) 5; (c) 6; (d) 7; and (e) 8.

Table 2. Interaction of compounds with amino acid (AA) residues in compounds-7BQY complex.

| Drug | Hydrogen bond | Hydrophobic bond | Van der Waals bond |
|------|---------------|------------------|--------------------|
|      | Interacting residue of amino acid | Distance (Å) | Interacting residue of amino acid | Distance (Å) |                       |
| 4    | THR111        | 2.08191          | ARG298             | 4.54082       | Absent                |
|      | ASN151        | 2.42152          | PHE8               | 4.97707       |                       |
|      | ASN151        | 2.87417          | PHE294             | 4.14713       |                       |
|      | GLN110        | 2.73666          |                    |               |                       |
|      | ASP295        | 2.8025           |                    |               |                       |
| 5    | MET165        | 2.62542          | MET165             | 4.1241        | Absent                |
|      | GLN189        | 2.54258          | MET49              | 3.94271       |                       |
|      | HIS164        | 2.92302          | CYS145             | 4.37724       |                       |
|      | HIS164        | 2.70614          | HIS41              | 4.9025        |                       |
|      | GLN189        | 2.63827          | HIS163             | 4.65468       |                       |
|      |                |                  | HIS172             | 5.27312       |                       |
| 6    | LYS137        | 2.5559           | LEU286             | 4.8677        | Absent                |
|      | LYS137        | 2.36167          | LEU286             | 5.44459       |                       |
|      | LYS137        | 2.30771          | LEU287             | 4.21717       |                       |
|      | THR199        | 2.19358          |                    |               |                       |
### Table 3

| Compound | Residue | Binding Energy (kcal/mol) | Note |
|----------|---------|--------------------------|------|
| Azithromycin | LEU287 | 2.3419 | Absent |
| | GLY275 | 2.65918 |  |
| | ASP289 | 2.62422 |  |
| | THR199 | 2.64481 |  |
| | LEU286 | 5.28191 | Absent |
| HCQ | ASP187 | 2.16221 |  |
| | MET49 | 2.7717 |  |
| | HIS164 | 2.60035 |  |
| | MET49 | 2.99932 |  |
| | LEU141 | 2.84044 |  |
| | HIS163 | 2.38572 |  |
| Remdesivir | TYR154 | 2.24905 |  |
| | ILE152 | 2.76088 |  |
| | THR111 | 2.86186 |  |
| | ASP153 | 2.23244 |  |
| | THR111 | 2.51048 |  |
| | ASP153 | 2.54508 |  |
| | ASP295 | 2.87869 |  |

**Note:** TRP = Tryptophan, ASP = Aspartic acid, GLU = Glutamic acid, LEU = Leucine, THR = Threonine, ASN = Asparagine, GLN = Glutamine, PHE = Phenylalanine, ILE = Isoleucine, ARG = Arginine, VAL = Valine, SER = Serine, PRO = Proline, GLY = Glycine, HIS = Histidine, LYS = Lysine, TRP = TRPosine, CYS = Cysteine, MET = Methionine.

In the next stage, galactopyranose esters were docked with another M\(^{pro}\) 6LU7 and resulted binding energies are presented in Table 3. Here, ester compounds 6-8 have better binding energy (-6.9 to -7.5 kcal/mol) than the non-ester 4 (-6.3 kcal/mol) and standard antibiotics (-5.4 to -6.7 kcal/mol). The binding affinity of different esters 5-8 with 6LU7 are due to different non-bond interactions, which are shown in Figure 5 and Table 4. However, the binding energies for 6LU7 are slightly lower than the other M\(^{pro}\) 7BQY. In this case, also, the best-docked 7 formed three H-bonds with THR199, LEU287, and LEU287 which are in conformity with the standard drug remdesivir. Thus, these compounds should be valuable for future COVID-19 therapy [62,63].
Table 3. Molecular docking score (binding energy) with 6LU7.

| Ligand/Drug   | Binding energy (kcal/mol) | No. of H bond | No. of Hydrophobic bond | No. of van der Waal bond | Total bonds |
|---------------|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| 4             | -6.3                     | 3             | 6                       | 0                        | 9           |
| 5             | -6.5                     | 6             | 2                       | 0                        | 8           |
| 6             | -7.1                     | 2             | 1                       | 0                        | 3           |
| 7             | -7.5                     | 3             | 1                       | 0                        | 4           |
| 8             | -6.9                     | 3             | 3                       | 0                        | 6           |
| Azithromycin  | -6.7                     | 1             | 0                       | 0                        | 1           |
| HCQ           | -5.4                     | 3             | 4                       | 1                        | 8           |
| Remdesivir    | -6.5                     | 6             | 4                       | 0                        | 10          |

*For rigorous validation three standard drugs/antibiotics are also docked with 6LU7; HCQ = hydroxychloroquine.

Figure 5. Non-bond interactions of 6LU7 with ligands - (a) 4; (b) 5; (c) 6; (d) 7; and (e) 8.
Table 4. Interaction of compounds with amino acid (AA) residues in compounds-6LU7 complex.

| Drug    | Hydrogen bond | Hydrophobic bond | Van der Waals bond |
|---------|---------------|------------------|--------------------|
|         | Interacting residue of amino acid | Distance (Å) | Interacting residue of amino acid | Distance (Å) |                     |
| 4       | GLN110        | 2.77255          | VAL104             | 3.8145          |
|         | THR111        | 2.60789          | ILE106             | 5.48623         |
|         | THR111        | 2.06335          | VAL104             | 4.04544         |
|         | PHE8          | 2.01111          | PHE294             | 5.30905         |
|         | PHE294        | 2.58858          | Absent             | 3.74456         |
| 5       | LYS137        | 2.8773           | LEU272             | 5.12811         |
|         | LYS137        | 2.15306          | TYR239             | 5.11663         |
| 6       | GLN110        | 2.01111          | PHE294             | 3.74456         |
|         | THR111        | 2.62796          | LEU272             | 5.36564         |
|         | LYS137        | 2.89776          | Absent             | 5.36564         |
| 7       | THR199        | 2.88975          | LEU272             | 3.73107         |
|         | LEU287        | 2.28916          | LEU287             | 4.51593         |
|         | LEU287        | 2.84925          | Absent             | 5.00409         |
| 8       | ASN151        | 2.77404          | PHE294             | 3.74456         |
|         | SER158        | 2.91259          | VAL104             | 5.36564         |
|         | THR111        | 2.13413          | Absent             | 5.36564         |
| Azithromycin | ASP197     | 2.58858          |                       |                  |
| HCQ     | LYS137        | 2.03862          | LEU287             | 5.14494         |
|         | TYR237        | 2.73424          | TYR239             | 5.2597          |
|         | LEU287        | 3.58823          | LEU272             | 5.08956         |
|         | LEU287        | 5.4991           | Absent             |                  |
| Remdesivir | THR199     | 2.55616          | MET276             | 4.3123          |
|         | LEU287        | 2.29238          | LEU286             | 5.37822         |
|         | TYR237        | 2.68334          | LEU287             | 4.4486          |
|         | ASP197        | 2.15456          | LEU272             | 4.9742          |
|         | LEU287        | 2.43253          | Absent             |                  |
|         | TYR237        | 2.83745          |                       |                  |

*Note: TRP = Tryptophan, ASP = Aspartic acid, GLU = Glutamic acid, LEU = Leucine, THR = Threonine, ASN = Asparagine, GLN = Glutamine, PHE = Phenylalanine, ILE = Isoleucine, ARG = Arginine, VAL = Valine, SER = Serine, PRO = Proline, GLY = Glycine, HIS = Histidine, LYS = Lysine, TRP = TRPosine, CYS = Cysteine, MET = Methionine.*
4. Conclusion

Researchers found that administration of an antiviral drugs along with other protective measures (quarantine, isolation, etc.) allowed to control or decrease COVID-19 epidemic. While a limited number drugs are found effective to control the situation. More research and novel antiviral drugs are essential to control the COVID-19 situation. The present study clearly indicated that protected galactopyranose esters 5-8 have better binding effects with SARS-CoV-2 main proteases (7BQY and 6LU7). 2-Chlorobenzoate ester 7 possess better binding with both 7BQY and 6LU7 than the other esters (5-6, 8), non-ester galactopyranose 4, and standard drugs tested. For the efficient management of COVID-19 situation, more therapeutics that is effective is essential in addition to vaccine. The results present herein might help to establish carbohydrate-based antiviral drugs. However, more in vitro and in vivo analyses are necessary to establish these compounds as antiviral agents.
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