Response of optical hydrogen lines to beam heating: I. Electron beams
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ABSTRACT

Context. Observations of hydrogen Balmer lines in solar flares remain an important source of information on flare processes in the chromosphere during the impulsive phase of flares. The intensity profiles of optically thick hydrogen lines are determined by the temperature, density, and ionisation structure of the flaring atmosphere, by the plasma velocities and by the velocity distribution of particles in the line formation regions.

Aims. We investigate the role of non-thermal electrons in the formation regions of Hα, Hγ, and Hβ lines in order to unfold their influence on the formation of these lines. We concentrate on pulse-beam heating varying on a subsecond timescale. Furthermore, we theoretically explore possibility that a new diagnostic tool exists indicating the presence of non-thermal electrons in the flaring chromosphere based on observations of optical hydrogen lines.

Methods. To model the evolution of the flaring atmosphere and the time-dependent hydrogen excitation and ionisation, we used a 1-D radiative hydrodynamic code combined with a test-particle code that simulates the propagation, scattering, and thermalisation of a power-law electron beam in order to obtain the flare heating and the non-thermal collisional rates due to the interaction of the beam with the hydrogen atoms. To not bias the results by other effects, we calculate only short time evolutions of the flaring atmosphere and neglect the plasma velocities in the radiative transfer.

Results. All calculated models have shown a time-correlated response of the modelled Balmer line intensities on a subsecond timescale, with a subsecond time lag behind the beam flux. Depending on the beam parameters, both line centres and wings can show pronounced intensity variations. The non-thermal collisional rates generally result in an increased emission from a secondary region formed in the chromosphere.

Conclusions. Despite the clear influence of the non-thermal electron beams on the Balmer line intensity profiles, we were not able on the basis of our simulations to produce any unambiguous diagnostic of non-thermal electrons in the line-emitting region, which would be based on comparison of individual Balmer line intensity profiles. However, fast line intensity variations, well-correlated with the beam flux variations, represent an indirect indication of pulsating beams.
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presence of the non-thermal particles in the line formation regions?

Due to the complexity of simultaneously treating non-LTE radiative transfer in deep layers of the solar atmosphere and the hydrodynamics (radiative hydrodynamics), only a few attempts have been made to model the optical emission of flares. First models of pulse-beam heating were developed by Canfield et al. (1984) and Fisher et al. (1985). Recently, Abbett & Hawley (1999) and Allred et al. (2005) studied emission in several lines and continua using complex radiative hydrodynamic simulations of electron beam heating on a time scale up to several tens of seconds.

In this paper we concentrate on fast time variations on a subsecond time scale. In previous works on this topic, plasma dynamics was neglected. Simplified time-dependent non-LTE simulations of H\textalpha line were then performed e.g. by using a prescribed time evolution of a flare atmosphere from independent hydrodynamic simulations of pulse-beam heating (Heinzel 1991) or by solving approximate energy equation (Ding et al. 2001). Both results showed significant H\textalpha line response to pulse-beam heating on subsecond time scales. Here, we solve 1-D radiative hydrodynamics of a solar atmosphere subjected to a subsecond electron beam heating and study emissions in the H\textalpha, H\beta, and H\gamma lines.

The paper is organised as follows: Section 2 describes the numerical code and models of beam heating. Results of simulations concerning flare atmosphere dynamics and Balmer line emission are presented in Section 3. There, we also analyse several proposed diagnostic methods for recognising the presence of electron beams in line formation regions. Section 4 summarises our results.

2. Model

The model covers three important classes of processes whose importance was identified in flares:

1. Propagation of charged, high-energy particle beams with power-law spectra and time-dependent energy flux downwards through the solar atmosphere and their gradual thermalisation due to the Coulomb collisions with the ambient plasma in the solar atmosphere (Bai 1982, Emslie 1978).

2. The hydrodynamic response of low-\beta solar plasma corresponding to the energy deposited by the beam.

3. Time evolution of the ionisation structure and formation of optical emission in the chromosphere and photosphere where non-LTE conditions apply.

The individual classes of flare processes are modelled using three computer codes, each modelling one class of the processes identified above. The codes have been integrated into one radiative hydrodynamic code.

2.1. Flare heating

The flare heating caused by an electron beam propagating from the top of the loop located in the corona (s = 9.5 \times 10^7 km corresponding to T = 1 MK) downwards is calculated using a test-particle code (TPC) based on Karlický & Hénoux (1992). We assume an electron beam with a power-law electron flux spectrum \[ F(E, t) = g(t)F(E) = g(t)(E - 2)F_{\text{max}}(E/E_0)^{-\delta} \] (Nagai & Emslie 1984)

\[ E_{\text{tot}} = F_{\text{max}} \int_0^{t_1} g(t) \, dt, \]

where \delta is the power-law index, \( g(t) \in (0, 1) \) is a function describing the time modulation of the beam flux, \( F_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum energy flux, i.e. energy flux of electrons with \( E \geq E_0 \) at \( g(t) = 1 \). In order to model the electron spectrum by the test particles the beam electron energy is limited by a low and a high-energy cutoff, \( E_0 = 20 \text{ keV} \) and \( E_1 = 150 \text{ keV} \), respectively. We present results for two types of time modulation \( g(t) \): three symmetric triangular peaks and a trapezoidal modulation (see Fig. 1) and two total deposited energies \( E_{\text{tot}} \) (see Table 1).

![Fig. 1. Time modulations of the beam flux. Solid lines show \( g(t) \), dotted lines denote \( \int_0^t g(t') \, dt' = E_{\text{tot}}(t)/F_{\text{max}} \), see Eqs. (1) and (2).](image)

| Table 1. Model parameters |
|---------------------------|
| Model       | \( E_{\text{tot}} \) [erg cm\(^{-2}\)] | \( g(t) \) | \( F_{\text{max}} \) [erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)] | \( \delta \) |
|--------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|------|
| H\_TP\_D3   | \( 9 \times 10^{10} \) | trapezoid | \( 4.5 \times 10^{10} \) | 5    |
| H\_TP\_D5   | \( 9 \times 10^{10} \) | trapezoid | \( 4.5 \times 10^{10} \) | 3    |
| H\_3T\_D3   | \( 9 \times 10^{10} \) | 3 triangles | \( 6 \times 10^{10} \) | 3    |
| L\_3T\_D5   | \( 9 \times 10^{10} \) | 3 triangles | \( 6 \times 10^{10} \) | 5    |
| L\_TP\_D3   | \( 1.5 \times 10^{10} \) | trapezoid | \( 0.75 \times 10^{10} \) | 3    |
| L\_TP\_D5   | \( 1.5 \times 10^{10} \) | trapezoid | \( 0.75 \times 10^{10} \) | 5    |
| L\_3T\_D3   | \( 1.5 \times 10^{10} \) | 3 triangles | \( 1 \times 10^{10} \) | 3    |
| L\_3T\_D5   | \( 1.5 \times 10^{10} \) | 3 triangles | \( 1 \times 10^{10} \) | 5    |
The TPC simulates the propagation, scattering and energy loss of an electron beam with a specified energy flux and power-law index as it propagates through partly ionised hydrogen plasma in the solar atmosphere. The losses of the beam electron kinetic energy caused by Coulomb collisions due to electron and neutral (hydrogen) components of solar plasma are given by Emslie (1978)

\[ \Delta E_{ee} = -\frac{2\pi e^4}{E} \Lambda(x + \varepsilon)n_{H1}v_{e}\Delta B_{f}, \]  
\[ \Delta E_{en} = -\frac{2\pi e^4}{E} \Lambda'(1 - x)n_{H1}v_{e}\Delta B_{f}, \]  

where \( E \) is the kinetic energy of the non-thermal electron, \( v_{e} \) is the non-thermal velocity, \( n_{H1} = n_{p} + n_{a} \) is the number density of equivalent hydrogen atoms, \( n_{p} \) and \( n_{a} \) are the proton and neutral hydrogen number densities, \( x \equiv n_{p}/n_{H1} \) is the hydrogen ionisation degree and \( \varepsilon = 1.4 \times 10^{-4} \) accounts for the contribution from the metals to the plasma electron density (Heinzel & Karlický 1992). The metal contribution to electron densities is critical around the temperature minimum where the hydrogen is almost neutral. We account for it in this approximate way. On the other hand, we neglect the helium contribution which can reach maximum 20% of total electron density in higher altitudes. The Coulomb logarithms \( \Lambda \) and \( \Lambda' \) are given by Emslie (1978) and \( \Delta B_{f} \) is a constant TPC timestep which has to be chosen to satisfy the condition that the total beam electron energy loss \( \Delta E = \Delta E_{ee} + \Delta E_{en} \) per a timestep is negligible relative to its kinetic energy, i.e. \( \Delta E/E \ll 1 \).

The scattering of the beam due to Coulomb collisions is taken into account using a Monte-Carlo method combined with the analytical expressions for the cumulative effects described by Bai (1982). The relation between the mean square of the beam electron deflection angle \( \langle \theta^2 \rangle \) and the corresponding energy loss \( \Delta E \) (which holds if \( \langle \theta^2 \rangle \ll 1 \) or equivalently if \( \Delta E/E \ll 1 \)) is given by formula

\[ \langle \theta^2 \rangle = \left( \frac{\Delta E}{E} \right) \left( \frac{4}{\gamma_{L} + 1} \right), \]  

where \( \gamma_{L} = 1/\sqrt{1 - v_{L}^2/c^2} \) is the Lorentz factor. The new electron pitch angle \( \theta_{0} + \Delta \theta \) is given by

\[ \cos(\theta_{0} + \Delta \theta) = \cos \theta_{0} \cos \phi + \sin \theta_{0} \sin \phi \cos \phi, \]  

where \( \theta_{0} \) is the original pitch angle at the beginning of the time step, \( \phi \) is given by equation \([5]\) and using a 2-D Gaussian distribution. The distribution of the azimuthal angle \( \phi \) is uniform, \( \phi \in (0, 2\pi) \).

The TPC in principle follows the motion of statistically important number of test particles representing clusters of electrons in the time varying atmosphere which responses through the 1-D HD code and the non-LTE radiative transfer code to the flare heating by TPC. The test particles with a time-dependent power-law spectra are generated in the corona at the loop-top and at each timestep the positions, energies and pitch angles of the particle clusters are calculated. The macroscopic energy deposits into the electron \( \mathcal{E}_{ee} \) and neutral hydrogen \( \mathcal{E}_{en} \) component of solar plasma are obtained by summing the energy losses (\( \Delta E_{ee} \) and \( \Delta E_{en} \)) of a huge number of particle clusters for each position in the atmosphere using a fine equidistant grid. This approach allows not only to calculate the total flare heating

\[ \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{E}_{ee} + \mathcal{E}_{en} \]

but also to distinguish between the beam energy deposited into the electron and hydrogen component of solar plasma and therefore to calculate the non-thermal contribution to the transition rates in hydrogen atoms which is the crucial point for the present study. The test-particle approach used here naturally takes into account propagation effects of the beam and time evolution of ionisation structure of the atmosphere. This leads us to a more realistic description of beam energy losses as compared to the approach of Abbett & Hawley (1999) or Allred et al. (2005) who used an analytic heating function corresponding to a stationary solution of beam propagation through the atmosphere (Hawley & Fisher 1994).

2.2. 1-D plasma dynamics

The state and time evolution of originally hydrostatic low-\( \beta \) plasma along magnetic field lines is calculated using a 1-D hydrodynamic code. The temperature, density and ionisation profiles of the initial atmosphere correspond to the VAL 3C atmosphere (Vernazza et al. 1981) with a hydrostatic extension into the corona. The half-length of the loop is 10 Mm. The time evolution of the atmosphere is initiated by the energy deposited by the beam. The main processes that determine plasma evolution in flare loops are: convection and conduction (both in 1-D due to the magnetic field), radiative losses and indeed the dominant factor is the flare heating here calculated by the TPC. The evolution of plasma in the flare loop can be described by a system of hydrodynamic conservation laws

\[ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho u)}{\partial s} = 0, \]  
\[ \frac{\partial \rho u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho u^2)}{\partial s} = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial s} + F_{g}, \]  
\[ \frac{\partial \rho E}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho uE)}{\partial s} = -\frac{\partial (\rho P)}{\partial s} - \frac{\partial F_{c}}{\partial s} + S, \]

where \( s \) is the position and \( u \) the macroscopic plasma velocity along the magnetic field line and \( \rho \) is the plasma density. The gas pressure and the total plasma energy are

\[ P = n_{H}(1 + x + \varepsilon)k_{B}T, \quad E = \frac{P}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{1}{2} \rho u^2, \]

where \( \gamma \equiv c_{p}/c_{v} = 5/3 \) is the specific heats ratio, \( k_{B} \) the Boltzmann constant. The hydrogen ionisation degrees \( x \) in the photosphere and chromosphere is calculated at each timestep by the time-dependent non-LTE radiative transfer code. In the transition region and corona we assume \( x \equiv 1 \). The source terms on the right hand sides of the system of equations are: \( F_{g} \) the parallel component of the gravity force in respect to the semicircular field line, \( F_{c} \) the heat flux, calculated using the Spitzer’s classical formula, and

\[ S = \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{R} + \mathcal{Q} \]

includes all other considered energy sources and sinks, i.e. the dominant flare heating \( \mathcal{H} \) which drives the time evolution of the atmosphere, the quiet heating \( \mathcal{Q} \) assuring the stability of the initial quiescent unperturbed (hydrostatic) atmosphere and the radiative losses \( \mathcal{R} \). The radiative losses are calculated according to Rosner et al. (1978) for optically thin regions and according to Peres et al. (1982) for optically thick regions.

The 1-D gas dynamics is treated using the explicit LCPCFCT solver (Oran & Boris 1987), the Crank-Nicolson algorithm for the heat transfer and the time step splitting technique to couple the individual source terms of the energy equation with hydrodynamics (Oran & Boris 2000).
2.3. Time-dependent non-LTE radiative transfer

Using the instant values of $T$, $n_t$, and $E_{\text{en}}$ obtained by the hydrodynamic and test-particle codes, a time-dependent non-LTE radiative transfer for hydrogen is solved in lower part of the loop in a 1-D plan-parallel approximation. The hydrogen atom is approximated by a five level plus continuum atomic model.

The level populations $n_i$ are determined by the solution of a time-dependent system of equations of statistical equilibrium (ESE)

$$\frac{\partial n_i}{\partial t} = \sum_{j \neq i} n_j P_{ji} - n_i \sum_{j \neq i} P_{ij},$$

(11)

where $P_{ji}$ contain sums of thermal collisional rates $C_{ij}$ and radiative rates $R_{ij}$, and $R_{ij}$ are preconditioned in the frame of MALI method (Rybicki & Hummer [1991]). The excitation and ionisation of hydrogen by the non-thermal electrons from the beam are also included into $P_{ij}$ using the non-thermal collisional rates $C_{ij}^{\text{nt}}$ following the approach of Fang et al. ([1993]).

$$C_{1c}^{\text{nt}} = 1.73 \times 10^{10} \frac{E_{\text{en}}}{n_t}, \quad C_{12}^{\text{nt}} = 2.94 \times 10^{10} \frac{E_{\text{en}}}{n_t},$$

$$C_{13}^{\text{nt}} = 5.35 \times 10^{9} \frac{E_{\text{en}}}{n_t}, \quad C_{14}^{\text{nt}} = 1.91 \times 10^{9} \frac{E_{\text{en}}}{n_t}.$$  

(12)

For transitions from the ground level we thus get

$$P_{1j} = R_{1j} + C_{1j} + C_{1j}^{\text{nt}}.$$  

(13)

Non-thermal collisional rates from excited levels as well as three-body recombination rates are not considered here since Karlický et al. ([2004]) and Štěpán et al. ([2007]) found them to be negligible compared to thermal ones.

In order not to bias the effects of the non-thermal collisional processes by effects caused by macroscopic plasma velocities, we excluded the advection term $\partial (n_j u_j)/\partial s$ from Eq. (11). The omission of the advection term can be justified by small velocities ($\sim 10$ km s$^{-1}$) in the Balmer line formation regions (Nejezchleba [1998]) attained during the first few seconds of the flare atmosphere evolution. On the other hand, the benefit is a significant simplification of the radiative transfer code. The system of ESE (11) is closed by charge and particle conservation equations

$$n_e = n_p + E n_{\text{H}_1}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{5} n_j + n_p = n_{\text{H}_1},$$

(14)

where $n_e$ is the electron density. The contribution of helium to ionisation is neglected. Because the electron density is not known in advance, the system of preconditioned ESE is non-linear due to products of atomic level populations (including protons) with $n_e$ or $n_p$. Therefore, the ESE and conservation conditions (14) are linearised with respect to the level populations and electron density. The complete system of equations is then solved using the Crank-Nicolson algorithm and Newton-Raphson iterative method (Heinzel [1995]; Kašparová et al. [2003]).

The non-LTE transfer is solved on the shortest time step given by the time step splitting technique in the hydrodynamic part, see Section 2.2. Resulting electron density (ionisation) is then fed back to the hydrodynamic equations and the TPC.

3. Results of flare simulations

We computed the atmosphere dynamics and time evolution of the Hα, Hβ, and Hγ lines profiles resulting from a time-dependent electron beam heating of an initially hydrostatic VAL C atmosphere.

3.1. Flare dynamics

Figures 2 and 3 show the time evolution of temperature, hydrogen ionisation, energy deposit $\mathcal{H}$, and energy deposit to neutral hydrogen $E_{\text{en}}$ of models specified in Table 1. Shortly after the beam injection at the loop top at $t = 0$ s, the chromosphere is at $t \sim 0.25$ s heated mainly at heights between $\sim 1000$ – $2000$ km. The temperature rise is higher for models with triangular peak modulation (3T) than for the trapezoidal one (TP) due to the higher energy flux injected into the atmosphere (compare the time evolution of total injected energy in Fig. 1). Since the low-energy electrons are stopped higher in the atmosphere, the total energy deposit for steeper beams (higher $\delta$) is larger than for flatter beams at these heights (compare $\mathcal{H}$ at $t = 0.25$ s in Figs. 2 and 5). The temperature rise is most significant for models L$_3$T, D$_5$ and H$_3$T, D$_5$, see the first panel in Figs. 2 and 3 respectively. This is generally true for all times during the heating; the temperature above $s \sim 1000$ km is larger for larger $\delta$ when comparing models with the same time modulation $g(t)$ and $F_{\text{max}}$. On the contrary, at lower heights $s < 1000$ km due to the larger heating for flatter beams, see Figs. 2 and 5 the temperature at those atmospheric layers rises more for models with $\delta = 3$ than with $\delta = 5$.

In the low-flux models the heating leads to a gradual increase of temperature above $\sim 1000$ km. The steep rise of temperature from chromospheric to coronal values is shifted by about $200$ km from the prefllare height to $s \sim 1900$ km, see Fig. 4. Heating by a higher flux results in a secondary region of a steep temperature rise at $s \sim 1400$ km which is formed at $t \sim 1$ s, see Fig. 5. Due to the locally efficient radiative losses, the temperature structure in all models up to $s \sim 2000$ km follows the time modulation of the beam flux; i.e. it rises and drops – compare the temperature structure e.g. at $t = 1.5$ s and $t = 2$ s for 3T models and TP models in Figs. 2 and 3 and the time evolution of temperature at two selected heights in Fig. 4.

Similarly to the temperature evolution, ionisation increases above $s \sim 1000$ km shortly after the beam injection, see the middle panel in Figs. 2 and 3. Again, due to beam flux modulation and the dependence of the energy deposit on $\delta$, the increase of ionisation at those layers is most significant for 3T models with $\delta = 5$. As the heating continues, the layers above $\sim 1200$ km become completely ionised (at $t \sim 2$ s and $t \sim 1$ s for low and high flux models, respectively – see thick lines in Figs. 2 and 3) and ionisation does not change during further heating – see also Fig. 4.

On the contrary, lower heights, below $s \sim 1000$ km, exhibit a most significant increase of ionisation for models with $\delta = 3$. The ionisation at these layers reacts to the beam flux time modulation more for the flatter beams – see Fig. 4 which also demonstrates that the relaxation of ionisation to preheating values lags behind the time evolution of temperature.

This effect previously shown by Heinzel [1991] and Heinzel & Karlický [1992] is due to time evolution of the ratio of the number of recombinations to photoionisations. Detailed behaviour of photoionisations followed by photorecombinations was discussed by Abbett & Hawley [1999] Section 4.
Fig. 2. Temperature, ionisation, and energy deposit corresponding to the low-flux models (L) and their evolution in time (from top to bottom). Different line styles denote four low-flux models. Thin solid line shows initial VAL C temperature and ionisation structure. Left: Temperature. Middle: Ionisation. Thick lines denote the models with \( C_{\text{int}} \), thin lines without \( C_{\text{int}} \). Right: Total energy deposit \( \mathcal{H} \) (thick lines) and energy deposit to hydrogen \( \mathcal{E}_{\text{en}} \) (thin lines). Only deposits corresponding to the triangular modulation models (3T) are displayed.
Fig. 3. Temperature, ionisation, and energy deposit corresponding to the high-flux models (H) and their evolution in time (from top to bottom). The notation is the same as in Fig. 2.
3.2. Influence of non-thermal collisional rates

To evaluate the influence of the non-thermal collisional rates, two separate runs with and without $C_{nt}$ (Eq. 12) were made for each model in Table 1.

Taking into account $C_{nt}$ leads only to marginal changes of temperature and density structure of the atmosphere (up to 17%). On the contrary, hydrogen ionisation and emission in Balmer lines may significantly differ in models with and without $C_{nt}$. Generally, the effect of $C_{nt}$ is stronger for models with larger $F_{\text{max}}$ or lower $\delta$. In the low-flux models, $C_{nt}$ significantly modify the time evolution of ionisation structure. They lead to a faster complete ionisation of layers above $s \sim 1200$ km and cause an increase of ionisation in the layers below, compare thin and thick lines in Fig. 2. The influence of $C_{nt}$ in the high-flux models is localised mainly in the layers below $s \sim 1000$ km where the flatter beams increase the ionisation. The upper parts of the atmosphere are affected by $C_{nt}$ only temporarily, till $t \sim 0.5$ s, when they contribute to the fast ionisation of those layers – see Fig. 3.

Since $C_{nt}$ are directly proportional to the energy deposit on hydrogen $E_{\text{en}}$ (Eq. [12]), their influence is strongly linked to the $E_{\text{en}}$ as a function of height. Consequently, $C_{nt}$ affect Balmer line intensities according to their formation heights. That can be understood in terms of the contribution function $CF$ to the outgoing intensity $I_A$.

$$I_A = \int_{s_{\text{min}}}^{s_{\text{max}}} CF_A \, ds = \int_{s_{\text{min}}}^{s_{\text{max}}} \eta_A(s) e^{-\tau_A(s)} \, ds , \quad (15)$$

where $\eta_A$ is the emissivity and $\tau_A$ is the optical depth. Figure 5 demonstrates the effect of $C_{nt}$ on the H$\alpha$ line for a low and high-flux model. In high-flux models, $C_{nt}$ affect mainly the line wings. A new wing formation region appears at heights of maximum of energy deposit on hydrogen, lower $\delta$ producing a stronger contribution to $CF$. In the low-flux models, $C_{nt}$ influence also the line centre due to change of ionisation of upper layers where e.g. the H$\alpha$ line centre is formed. The optical depth in the line centre at these heights is decreased and the H$\alpha$ line centre formation region is shifted deeper. Similarly as in the high-flux models, a new region of wing formation region again appears at the height of the $E_{\text{en}}$ maximum, however the dominant part of the wing emission still comes from the photospheric layers, i.e. from $s \sim 100$ km – see Fig. 5.

3.3. Time variation of line intensities

The intensity variations depend on the maximum beam flux. The low beam flux results in gradual increase of intensities (models L), whereas high beam flux (models H) causes rapid heating of the atmosphere and hence fast and larger increase of line intensities – see Fig. 6 which compares time variations of H$\alpha$, H$\beta$, and
Fig. 5. $H\alpha$ contribution functions for high (top) and low (bottom) flux models for trapezoidal beam flux modulation. Black solid curves indicate the total energy deposit, white dashed curves denote the energy deposit on hydrogen. Gray lines display contours of optical depth $\tau = 0.1, 1, 10$. Top left: Model $H_{TP,D3}$ with $C^m$. Top centre: Model $H_{TP,D5}$ with $C^m$. Top right: Model $H_{TP,D3}$ without $C^m$. Bottom left: Model $L_{TP,D3}$ with $C^m$. Bottom centre: Model $L_{TP,D5}$ with $C^m$. Bottom right: Model $L_{TP,D3}$ without $C^m$. Colour scale denotes log $CF$. All plots correspond to $t = 1.8$ s – maxima of line intensities, see Fig. 6.

Hy for models $L_{3T,D3}$, $L_{3T,D5}$, $H_{3T,D3}$, and $H_{3T,D5}$. (Owing to use of the five level plus continuum atomic model, results concerning $H\gamma$ line should be regarded only qualitatively.) Since larger $\delta$ results in larger heating of the upper parts of the atmosphere, it leads to higher line centre intensities of $H\alpha$ and $H\beta$ which are formed in the upper parts of the atmosphere. On the other hand, the whole $H\gamma$ line and $H\alpha$ and $H\beta$ wings are formed in deeper layers, therefore they show more prominent intensity variations for flatter beams ($\delta = 3$) – compare D3 and D5 models in Fig. 6.

Figure 7 demonstrates the time variation and changes induced by $C^m$ of formation regions of the $H\alpha$ line centre ($\Delta \lambda = 0$ Å), line wing $\Delta \lambda = 1$ Å, $H\gamma$ line centre ($\Delta \lambda = 0$ Å), and $H\gamma$ line wing ($\Delta \lambda = 0.6$ Å) for $L_{3T,D3}$ model. Shortly after the first beam injection into the VAL C atmosphere, at $t \sim 0.1$ s, line intensities decrease by a factor of ~2 and more – see Fig. 6. Such a dip appears due to a temporal increase in optical depth, $\tau$, which is caused by $C^m$. A similar decrease appearing later, at $t \sim 0.4$ s is present also in the models without $C^m$ – compare $CF$ with and without $C^m$ in Fig. 7. That dip is a result of relative importance of the thermal collisional rates $C_{1j}$. This behaviour was explained by [Heinzel 1991] for the case of a 3-level model of hydrogen as a consequence of steeper rise of second level population, $n_2$, in comparison to $n_3$ with time. Figure 7 also shows that a region of secondary $H\alpha$ wing emission is formed shortly after the beam injection and its intensity slowly increases in time. In the case of the high-flux model, the emission from the secondary formation region dominates and photospheric contribution to the wing intensities diminishes; this behaviour is typical for all studied Balmer lines. As regards $H\gamma$ line, formation of the $H\gamma$ line centre is due to $C^m$ completely moved from the photosphere to the layers above $s \sim 1200$ km. Contrary to the model without $C^m$, no emission from the photosphere contributes to the outgoing intensity. Furthermore, $C^m$ again lead to the prominent secondary wing formation region at $s \sim 1000$ km which occurs in the model without $C^m$ at much later time $t \sim 2$ s – see last panel in Fig. 7.

Intensities of all three studied lines show a good correlation with the beam flux on a time scale of the beam flux variation, i.e. on a subsecond time scale. Depending on the amount of heating, time variations are caused by the time-dependent temperature.
structure, e.g. Hα line centre in the high-flux models, and influence of C^m. Line intensities which are affected by C^m (line centres in the low-flux models and line wings in the high-flux models) show more significant time variations. Maxima of line intensities lag behind the beam flux maxima, the time lags are generally larger for line wing intensities than for line centre intensities. Such a time lag is not a beam propagation effect but it is related to different time variations of electron density at different heights. We are aware of the fact that velocities would cause asymmetry of lines and modify the line intensities. Here we concentrate on the beam influence on line formation. For detailed comparison with observed Balmer lines, velocity should be considered in calculating the line intensities.

3.4. Diagnostic tools

Having obtained the time evolution of Balmer line profiles for various electron beam parameters, we can search for observable signatures that could provide a method for diagnostic of the electron beam presence in the Balmer line formation regions. In order to distinguish between the flare energy transport by the non-thermal electrons and other agents (e. g. Alfvén waves – Fletcher & Hudson 2008), we consider a method suitable for non-thermal electrons and other agents (e. g. Alfvén waves – Fletcher & Hudson 2008), we consider a method suitable for such a diagnostics only if C^m lead to significant and systematic differences in measured quantities.

3.4.1. Intensity ratios

Recently, Kashapova et al. (2008) reported on so-called sidelobes in Hα/Hβ intensity ratio (i.e. increased value of Hα/Hβ at Δλ ~ 0.5 Å with respect to other wavelength positions) observed in flare kernels associated with radio and hard X-ray bursts. They attributed the appearance of such sidelobes to the effects of non-thermal electrons.

Using our simulations we are able to check whether the observed sidelobes are a feature related to the electron beams. An example of a sidelobe is shown in Fig. 8 (left). It appears as a local maximum at Δλ ≈ 0.6 Å and varies on a timescale similar to the beam flux modulation. Due to velocities, such sidelobes could be asymmetric with respect to the line centre. On the other hand, there are observations of almost symmetric Balmer lines and Hα/Hβ ratios in the flare kernels associated with hard X-ray emission (Kotrč et al. 2008), thus our models which do not consider velocities in radiative transfer can be applied to such situations.

Figure 9 shows the time evolution of Hα/Hβ at several Δλ, R_{αβ}(Δλ, t) (indicated also in Fig. 8), for all considered models of the beam heating (see Table 1). In these plots, a sidelobe at a certain Δλ would appear as an increased line above the others, varying according to the beam time modulation.

To consider a sidelobe being caused by the electron beam, the sidelobe should correspond to a simulation with C^m included. For some beam parameters R_{αβ}(0.5 Å, t) exhibits the reported sidelobe behaviour with the exception of the high-flux models with δ = 3 - see Fig. 8 (right) or the corresponding third panel in the top and bottom row in Fig. 9 - where R_{αβ}(0.5 Å, t) rapidly drops below R_{αβ}(Δλ > 0.5 Å, t) and no sidelobe exists in R_{αβ} on a beam timescale. Hα/Hβ ratio shows a similar behaviour to R_{αβ} whereas Hβ/Hγ ratio is much weakly sensitive to beam parameters.

On the other hand, neglecting the effect of C^m, i.e. assuming other agents than electron beams for the flare energy transport, sidelobes at Δλ = 0.5 Å are present at all models. Thus, the observed sidelobes cannot be considered as a unique signature of beam presence in the atmosphere but they are probably related to an impulsive heating.

Note that the maximal sidelobes from our simulations may appear, for some models, at wavelength positions slightly different from Δλ ~ 0.5 Å.

Furthermore, other kinds of intensity ratios, e.g. a relative line intensity with respect to the line centre value such as R_{αβ}(Δλ, t) = I(Δλ, t)/I(0 Å, t), do not show any systematic difference between the models with and without C^m either. Therefore, the intensity ratios do not provide a reliable diagnostic tool suitable for analysing the presence of the electron beams in the Balmer line formation regions.

3.4.2. Wavelength-integrated intensity

Wavelength-integrated intensity I_{tot} (proportional to equivalent width) was recently proposed by Cheng et al. (2006) as a tool for diagnostics of the non-thermal effects in the solar flares. On the basis of static semiempirical models, they propose to judge the
Fig. 6. Time evolution of the Hα, Hβ, and Hγ line intensities in three selected wavelengths for each line. Solid lines denote models with $C_{\text{int}}$, dashed without $C_{\text{int}}$. The black solid line shows the beam flux time modulation in relative units. From top to bottom: L_{3T,D3}, L_{3T,D5}, H_{3T,D5}, and H_{3T,D5} model.
relative importance of thermal and non-thermal heating in flares by analysis of $I_{\text{tot}}(\text{H}\alpha)$ and $I_{\text{tot}}(\text{CaII} 8542\text{Å})$ which show significantly different sensitivity to $C^{\text{nt}}$. Using this idea, we analyse in detail the wavelength-integrated intensity

$$I_{\text{tot}} = k \int_{-\Delta \lambda_{\text{max}}}^{+\Delta \lambda_{\text{max}}} [I(\lambda, t) - I(\lambda, t = 0)] \, d\lambda$$

(16)
of the studied Balmer lines for more general radiative hydrodynamic models. $k$ is a normalisation to scale intensities, here we use line centre intensity at $t = 0$. 

From our simulations it follows that maximum reached values of $I_{\text{tot}}$ are predominantly given by the total deposited energy $E_{\text{tot}}$ (see Eq. 2 and Fig. 10). Detailed time evolution of the beam flux is reflected as “loopy structures” in $I_{\text{tot}}$ - $I_{\text{tot}}$ plots – see the top row of Fig. 11 – and the time variation of $I_{\text{tot}}$ correlates with the beam flux variation, see Fig. 10. However, there is no unique dependence of Balmer line $I_{\text{tot}}$ on $F_{\text{max}}$, see the right panel of Fig. 10 which shows gradual increase of $I_{\text{tot}}$ with local minima and maxima corresponding to the time modulation of the beam flux. Moreover, in the case of the high-flux models, $I_{\text{tot}}$ depend also on $\delta$. As a consequence of increased wing emission for lower $\delta$, see Fig. 6 $I_{\text{tot}}$ of all studied Balmer lines reach large values for flatter electron spectra (compare centre and bottom panel in Fig. 11).

Taking $C^\text{nt}$ into account leads to a significant increase of $I_{\text{tot}}$ - see Figs. 10 and 11, but similar increase can be caused by stronger heating by other mechanisms than electron beams. Due to these reasons, neither wavelength-integrated intensities of Balmer lines are good indicators of electron beam presence in the Balmer line formation regions.

4. Conclusions

Presented radiative hydrodynamic simulations revealed the complexity of the response of hydrogen Balmer lines to the electron beam heating. At the same time, they proved to be a very useful tool to obtain answers to questions raised in the Introduction.

1. We showed that the Balmer line intensities do vary on beam flux variation time scales, i.e. on a subsecond time scale. The time variations are caused by time evolution of the temperature structure, electron density and influence of the non-thermal collisional rates. Depending on the amount of the beam flux, time evolution of line intensities may also exhibit both fast (pulse like), and gradual (e.g. an increase of intensity on a time scale larger than the beam flux time variation components, see e.g. the case of model $L_{\text{3T}}$ in Fig. 6) such behaviour is known for the H$\alpha$ line from observations (Trottet et al. 2000). Therefore, we conclude that the fast pulse-like variations seem to be a good indicator of the particle beams, namely when correlated with HXR or radio pulsations.

2. Influence of the non-thermal rates on the Balmer lines depends on the beam parameters, both the energy flux and power-law index. $C^\text{nt}$ significantly alter the ionisation structure, leading to a modification of the line formation regions which are not ionised due to the heating. Depending on the beam parameters, $C^\text{nt}$ can affect line centres, wings or both, but generally $C^\text{nt}$ result in an increased emission from a secondary formation region in the chromosphere.

3. Concerning the diagnostic tools based on Balmer lines, except for the close correlation of the time variation of the beam flux and the line intensities, we did not find any systematic behaviour that would uniquely indicate the presence of the non-thermal electrons in the atmosphere solely from observations of Balmer lines. Complementary information such as hard X-ray emission or spectral lines having different sensitivity to $C^\text{nt}$, e.g. Ca II (8542 Å), are needed to assess the presence of the non-thermal particles.

In this model study, we analysed the influence of the beam heating and the non-thermal collisional rates on hydrogen Balmer lines in the case of prescribed fast beam flux modulation. The next step is to compare the observed line emission with the simulated one using the non-LTE RHD models for beam parameters inferred from hard X-ray or radio emission. In this way, the role of different flare energy transport mechanisms e.g. such as alternative heating of the chromosphere by Alfvén waves recently proposed by Fletcher & Hudson (2008) can be adequately addressed. We plan to apply our code to fast time variations of H$\alpha$ and hard X-ray emissions observed during solar flares (e.g. Radziszewski et al. 2007).

Fig. 11. Time evolution of $I_{\text{tot}}$(H$\alpha$), $I_{\text{tot}}$(H$\beta$), and $I_{\text{tot}}$(H$\gamma$). The colour corresponds to the beam flux value – see also colouring in Fig. 10. Arrows indicate the direction of time evolution. Solid lines denote models with $C^\text{nt}$, dashed lines the models without $C^\text{nt}$. Top: $H_{\text{3T}}$D3 model. Centre: $H_{\text{TP}}$D3 model. Bottom: $H_{\text{TP}}$D5 model. Total deposited energy $E_{\text{tot}}$ is the same for all panels.
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