Introduction
Breast reconstruction (BR) not only brings shape to a breast it also restores a woman's identity, attractiveness and sexual desirability, and hence affects their quality of life (1). Following the procedure, patients experience several complications; nonetheless, rates of breast cancer recurrence appear not to be a direct consequence of breast reconstruction. The multivariate mechanisms that would essentially elucidate recurrence in vitro seem not to translate clinically (2). Thus, breast cancer patients, who have undergone a mastectomy, should have a BR recommendation for maximal management of patients (3).

There are, however, predictors that affect the rates of BR postmastectomy (1,4). The reasons stated for getting a BR are physician-associated, patient-associated, and healthcare financing (4,5). Data is limited on postmastectomy breast reconstruction (PMBR) from the African countries. In developing countries, the procedure is unpopular in the full rehabilitation of the patient. Further accreditation may arise from few plastic surgeons and heavy workload, and not many patients know about their rehabilitation options postmastectomy (1).

In Kenya, no data has been published describing the limitations and rates of breast reconstruction following mastectomy. In this study, we aimed to determine the predictors for breast reconstruction.

Materials and Methods
This retrospective hospital-based, case-control study made use of the resources of the Kenyatta National Hospital database. The population for this study targeted opt-in female patients 18 years and above and postmastectomy patients diagnosed with breast cancer between 2014 to 2018. The cases and controls matched because they underwent a mastectomy procedure; the cases had breast reconstruction while the controls did not. The primary outcome was breast reconstruction defined by these codes for implant-based reconstruction (IBR) and autologous reconstruction (AR).

We investigated the following patient predictor variables: age, ethnicity, medical comorbidities, insurance status, income, marital status, religion, level of education, postoperative need for radiotherapy and chemotherapy, and contralateral prophylactic mastectomy. We entered all information in a computer and analysed it using SPSS version 25 (Inc, Chicago, IL, USA) for Windows and MacOS. We obtained consent for the study from...
Kenyatta National Hospital University of Nairobi Ethics and Research Committee (UP600/08/2018).

Results

Sample characteristics

Mastectomy patients. The mean age of patients at the time of mastectomy was 46.79 (20.71–72.87) years, and the modal age 40 years. Most patients (58.9%) were married, 26% were single, 8% widowed, 7% separated, and 1% divorced. Only 2.74% of patients had a bilateral mastectomy. In our study, 98.2% of these mastectomy patients were Christians, and only 1.8% Muslims. Most mastectomy patients (84.4%) were Bantus, 14.2% Nilotes, and 1.4% Cushites. The highest level of education for most patients (43.8%) was secondary education, next was primary (30.1%), tertiary (19.2%) and 6.8% had no formal education. We looked at the 10-year survival rate of the patients using the Charlson comorbidity index. Most patients (48.4%) had a 90% probability of surviving (Fig. 1).

Almost 90% of patients had insurance: most (96.9%) could afford paying premiums for the National Health Insurance Fund (NHIF). Notably, most of the patients who underwent mastectomy (68%) had another mode of payment besides the insurance.

The modal breast size was 40D (27.9%). Modified radical mastectomy (MRM) was the most common at 72.6%. Most patients needed further treatment: 55.3% needed radiotherapy, while 89% had to undergo chemotherapy. A few patients (2.7%) underwent contralateral prophylactic mastectomy. We included all mastectomy patients in the study.

Breast reconstruction patients. The cases included nine female patients with a mean age of 34.33 (16.00–52.66) years; modal age was 35, and all were Christians. Eight of these patients were married and Bantu. The highest level of education for most patients (43.8%) was secondary school. For physical characteristics, the modal breast size was 40D (three patients). Using the Charlson comorbidity index, seven patients had a 90% probability of surviving, one a probability of 77% and one a 0% probability. Eight patients had insurance cover: six patients could afford the NHIF and two had private insurance. For the breast reconstruction patients, six patients had no other mode of payment other than insurance cover, one patient was financed by the community, and one paid out of pocket.

Trend in breast reconstruction. The KNH database yielded data for 312 women with codes for mastectomy. In this group, nine women had breast reconstruction after mastectomy, for an overall reconstruction rate of 2.88%. The rate increased annually over the study period, reaching a peak of 10.81% in 2017 and then sharply dropping to 1.41% in 2018, coinciding with an increase in the number of mastectomies from 37 in 2017 to 71 in 2018. The average number of mastectomies per year was 57. Figure 2 shows the five-year trend using a polynomial trend line, which is used when data fluctuates in linear regression (R²=1, the perfect fit). All breast reconstructions were autologous (latissimus flap). Figure 3 shows the pattern of immediate versus delayed breast reconstruction and includes polynomial trendlines to demonstrate a progressive increase in immediate breast reconstructions over time compared with a predicted decline delayed breast reconstruction (R²=1, the perfect fit).

Figure 1. The Charlson comorbidity index for mastectomy patients
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Figure 2. Postmastectomy breast reconstruction rate by fiscal year of mastectomy.
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Figure 3. Number of immediate and delayed breast reconstructions by fiscal year of mastectomy
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Binary logistic regression. In the binary logistic regression, breast reconstruction was the primary dependent variable and it had a dichotomous response (No or Yes). The best-fitted model showed that insurance coverage and age (Table 1) accounted for 52.3% of the variance of having undergone breast reconstruction. Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test was greater than 0.05 (at 0.972), showing that the fitted model had a good fit.

Age. Age had a negative beta coefficient of –0.102, meaning that undergoing breast reconstruction becomes less likely as the predictor age increases. We coded age as a binary variable: below 50 years and 50 and above years. WHO defines old age arbitrarily in Africa as 50 years, therefore in our study we used 50 years as the lower age threshold for considering older patients, and anyone below 50 was considered “young” (6). Among the young women, the modal cohort was 26 to 35 years of age at 44% (Fig. 4).

Insurance. Insurance coverage had a negative beta coefficient of –4.836, indicative of a negative association. Therefore, breast reconstruction following mastectomy becomes less likely for patients whose insurance does not cover breast reconstruction following mastectomy (Table 1).

Table 1. Binary logistic regression analysis of factors affecting incidence of post-mastectomy breast reconstruction

| Factor                | Odds ratio (95% CI) | p value |
|-----------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Age                   | 0.175 (0.004–0.345) | <0.001  |
| Insurance coverage    | 0.008 (0.001–0.061) | <0.001  |

Discussion

From our hospital-based study, 2.88% of postmastectomy patients underwent BR in five years. This rate is substantially lower than in Canadian hospital-based studies on BR that range from 3.8% to 21%. The same holds for tertiary care centers in the United States, whereby the rates of BR go up to 42% (4,7). In Asia, a similar study showed a rate of 24.3% while in China the rate remains stable at 3.5–4.5% over the past 15 years (8,9). Our study focused on a tertiary public hospital; a comparative study in a private hospital in Kenya has yet to be done.

We demonstrate that the proportion of unilateral mastectomy is high, whereas bilateral mastectomy remains low. This proportional difference is unique as trends show the rate of bilateral mastectomy is increasing, whereas unilateral mastectomy rates are decreasing (10). Our five-year trend shows a gradual increase in the rate of BR as in the case in Canada, USA, China, and Singapore (7–9). This finding may suggest a rise in the awareness of BR. With time, more women have increased access to information about BR from the internet.

All breast reconstructions were autologous (latissimus flap). This trend is unique to other countries where both implant and autologous based surgery utility is present. The overall trend is an increase in implant-based BR since most patients are opting for immediate breast reconstruction. We state that there is a progressive increase in immediate breast reconstructions over time compared to a predicted decline delayed BR in our tertiary center similar to other hospital-based studies (7–9,11). Further research into the determinants of timing of the BR needs to be explored, as our study design limited the ability to capture the influences of the trend at our hospital.

Age and insurance coverage of the patient were the only significant factors affecting BR in our setup. Age appears to be a persistent factor that affects the likelihood of undergoing BR in this and other studies. Younger patients are more likely to have the procedure done. Young patients are less likely to undergo autologous reconstruction due to more scar formation at the donor site. Patients older than fifty have more complications; however, reconstruction is not a contraindication in this age group (4,5,7).

Insurance coverage and higher socioeconomic status of the community likely facilitate access to BR, as seen in our set up (5,12). Yang et al. stated that the possibility that patients with non-private health insurance are more likely to undertake the delayed BR; in the USA, insurance status is strongly associated with receipt of immediate BR especially for those with privately bought insurance (13). The NHIF covers all surgical procedures of therapeutic and preventive value (14). Surgeons do not recommend palliative reconstructive surgery as
part of the management of breast cancer patients (3).
Moreover, there is no documentation of whether NHIF
covers BR as a cosmetic or a therapeutic procedure.
Income did not appear as a significant factor in BR in our
patients. Our finding contradicts the expected as several
studies predict household income as an influencer of the
rate of PMBR. In a non-universal health care system,
women with higher income background were more
likely to have had PMBR (12,15).
We found no association between the breast size of a
patient before mastectomy and the likelihood of getting
breast reconstruction. The role of preoperative breast
size in choosing the aptest BR option would have led
to the conclusion that there would be an association
(16,17).
This study found no association between the breast size
of a patient before mastectomy and the likelihood of
getting breast reconstruction. The role of preoperative
breast size in choosing the most suitable BR option
would have led to the conclusion that there would be an
association (16,17).
Based on our study, the frequency of breast cancer from
highest to lowest among the black Kenyan tribes is Bantus,
Nilotes, and Cushites (18). The ethnic background of
the patient affects the presentation and time of diagnosis
disease. Furthermore, ethnicity tended to determine
the procedure performed. African American women had
increased rates of bilateral implant-based reconstruction
while unilateral flap-based reconstructions rates were
common among Hispanic patients (19). In our study,
we established no association between the ethnic group
and BR despite most of our study population being
Bantu women.
Majority of our breast reconstructed patients have
a tertiary education. Higher levels of educations are
associated with an increased ability to comprehend the
procedure use and outcomes preoperatively as offered by
the involved surgical stakeholders. This comprehension
has been shown to lead to increased odds of undergoing
BR (12,20). However, we did not find any association in
our current study.
In a review of literature, researchers include marital
status in the analysis of hinderances of BR (12).
However, other studies have shown that marital status
is not an explicit predictor for PMBR (15,21). The later
was replicated in our study.
We found no association between the comorbidities
and BR with the aid of the Charlson comorbidity index
(CCI). In a study looking at the relationship between
comorbidities and quality of life, the authors established
that comorbidities did not influence the choice of surgery with or without BR (22). In terms of shorter
overall survival, prognostic factors such as lymph node
metastasis (the most significant), the grade of disease,
size of tumor and multifocality of the disease have yet to
be correlated (23).
Further therapy is a hindrance to PMBR where
radiotherapy is associated with AR (4,24). In our
hospital, we found no associations between the need for
chemotherapy and radiotherapy as negative predictors
to PMBR.

Conclusion
In this hospital-based study, the rate of post-mastectomy
breast reconstruction is low in the tertiary referral
health center in Kenya despite the procedure being an
essential component of breast cancer care. Effort must
be increased to enhance awareness among surgical
stakeholders and the general population on the surgery.
Age and insurance coverage are predictors for breast
reconstruction.
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