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Optimization of Bromelain Treatment pH with Wool for Antifelting and Reduced Pilling Behaviour: Objective Assessment Approach
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Wool fabric possesses unique properties like resiliency, warmth, wide aesthetic qualities, and comfort. However, felting—shrinkage and pilling tendency of wool after wear and repeated launderings are its inherent limitations. Proteolytic enzymes are extensively used as biocatalysts for eco-friendly wool antifelting treatments. However, uncontrolled wool protein hydrolysis by protease may lead to excessive weight loss leading to weakening of fiber and reduction in its tensile value, which further have detrimental effect on the pilling propensity of protease treated wool during use of woolen textiles. Optimum selection of protease treatment parameters like concentration, pH, temperature, and so forth can help in controlled reaction to achieve the desired effect, which generally involves cumbersome sampling and analysis. Optimization for pH of bromelain (proteolytic enzyme having activity in acidic conditions) treatment with wool has been done while aiming at the desired areawise shrinkage value, minimum weight loss, and reduced pilling by using standard methods and objective fast Fourier transformation technique for pilling evaluation specifically.

1. Introduction

Wool constitutes a minor yet important segment of total textile fibers produced globally in the commodity as well as luxury apparel range [1]. The remarkable properties like resiliency, cool in summer, warm in winter, and wide range of available weight and thickness makes wool suitable for traditional as well as contemporary apparels. Chemically, wool is composed mainly of proteins and lipids within heterogeneous morphological parts: the cuticle and cortex structure [2]. Cuticles are composed of overlapping cells (scales) and surround the cortex. With numerous advantages, felting arising due to scaly structure of wool is the limiting behaviour of woolen textiles that affects the consumer acceptability after repetitive wear and launderings. Many commercial treatments like chlorination, resin application, and combined methods for example, chlorine-Hercosett and so forth, have been developed to reduce wool felting as well as subsequent pilling [3]. Conventional antifelting methods have serious environment hazards, for example, release of absorbable organic halides (AOX), excess water consumption, harm to aquatic life, and so forth [4]. Use of proteolytic enzymes as biocatalysts for protein hydrolysis is a promising alternative in view of eco-friendly wool antifelting treatments. Antifelting property, improvement in fabric handle with reduction in fiber bending stiffness through structural protein degradation, reduced pilling, and improved dyeability are major claimed developments of protease treatments [5–11]. However, uncontrolled protein hydrolysis at the interior of fiber cortex by protease leads to excessive weight loss of wool leading to weakening of fiber and reduction in its tensile value. It has been observed that subsequent wear and launderings lead to additional abrasion or wear, and wool fabric becomes even thinner; previously formed pills break off and eventually lead to the formation of holes [12]. Hence weight loss due to proteolytic treatment may have detrimental effect on the pilling propensity of protease treated wool.

Felting tendency of wool fabric is expressed in terms of area-shrinkage % (Woolmark specification AW-1: flat woven, pile woven, and pressed felt apparel products). According to
this, after standard washing methods if wool fabric possesses maximum total shrinkage as 3% each in both warp and weft directions (area shrinkage comes out to ~6%), then wool may be termed as machine washable. For pilling measurement, basically subjective, objective, and descriptive rating tests methods are applied depending on the severity of pilling after going through standard abrasion technique which may not correlate with the intended end-use [13]. Moreover balance between initial rate of pill formation and rate of loss of pills by breaking-off affects the density of pills [14]. For wool particularly, because of large number of possible variations like diet, breed, health of the sheep, climate, and so forth, physical properties like diameter, length, crimp, and their chemical composition vary. These factors in turn lead to even higher uncertainty in pilling grades obtained by replicate fabric specimen with respect to actual grades in controlled laboratory tests. Moreover, characterization of pill size from small to large and corresponding grade rating are not comparable [15]. Limitation of lesser validity of subjective pilling evaluation due to wide grade variability by judges has been also mentioned [16]. Other methods suggesting searing off pills and calculating mean pill mass, number, and total weight indicated a good correlation between weight of pills and ratings given by subjective visual assessment but had limitations like laborious, time consuming, and skill requirement for searing off pills delicately [17, 18]. Many image processing based pilling evaluation methods are based on segmenting pills from background while considering density and spatial domains [19, 20].

Objective measurement of pilling evaluation has been topic of many research publications. Laser triangulation method [21], image synthesis based on the Karhunen–Loève transform [22], digital image analysis [23], two-dimensional Gaussian fit theory to train pill template while using actual pill images for template matching [24], frequency domain analysis algorithm [25], light projection image analysis [26], noncontact three-dimensional measurement system using slit laser beam projector and CCD camera [27], wavelet reconstruction scheme using discrete wavelet transform [28], and fast Fourier transformation technique [13, 29] are important to mention in this regard.

Desired antifelting effect and observed weight loss is affected by number of factors like protease concentration, activity, time, temperature, pH, rate of enzyme diffusion, and so forth during treatment. Accordingly, establishing correlated behaviour between antifelting effect, weight loss, and pilling tendency arising from weakening of wool is time consuming and cumbersome. The aim of the present study is to optimize the treatment pH by using objective fast Fourier transformation technique for pilling evaluation specifically and areawise shrinkage as well as weight loss of protease treated pilled wool fabric.

### 2. Materials and Methods

Woven bleached wool fabric (warp: 2/52 Nm, weft: 1/30 Nm, epi: 84, ppi: 54, gsm: 224) was used in the study. Proteolytic enzyme bromelain was procured from Excellent Biotechnologies, Bangalore, India. Nonionic surfactant Sandoclean PCJ (Clariant) and other analytical grade chemicals (SDFCL, India) were used. Wool samples were cut according to Martindale test specimen cutting template (38 mm diameter) and conditioned in standard atmosphere. Samples were used in triplicate for a specific process and were tested to calculate the standard mean and error.

#### 2.1. Primary Optimization of Pilling Cycle Threshold

For selecting running cycle threshold, pilling test (Woolmark test method TM 196) of bleached wool samples was repeated on Martindale abrasion tester (Prolific Engineering) with respect to increasing number of cycles, that is, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, and 180. Pilled images were taken with the help of USB Optical Microscope 800x (Micro-measure) and image analysis for average pill number and pixel area was done by subjective visual assessment but had limitations like laborious, time consuming, and skill requirement for searing off pills delicately [17, 18]. Many image processing based pilling evaluation methods are based on segmenting pills from background while considering density and spatial domains [19, 20].

Objective measurement of pilling evaluation has been topic of many research publications. Laser triangulation method [21], image synthesis based on the Karhunen–Loève transform [22], digital image analysis [23], two-dimensional Gaussian fit theory to train pill template while using actual pill images for template matching [24], frequency domain analysis algorithm [25], light projection image analysis [26], noncontact three-dimensional measurement system using slit laser beam projector and CCD camera [27], wavelet reconstruction scheme using discrete wavelet transform [28], and fast Fourier transformation technique [13, 29] are important to mention in this regard.

Desired antifelting effect and observed weight loss is affected by number of factors like protease concentration, activity, time, temperature, pH, rate of enzyme diffusion, and so forth during treatment. Accordingly, establishing correlated behaviour between antifelting effect, weight loss, and pilling tendency arising from weakening of wool is time consuming and cumbersome. The aim of the present study is to optimize the treatment pH by using objective fast Fourier transformation technique for pilling evaluation specifically and areawise shrinkage as well as weight loss of protease treated pilled wool fabric.

#### 3. Results and Discussions

#### 3.1. Primary Optimization of Pilling Cycle Threshold

Pilled and bleached wool samples, after passing through different cycles were assessed for average pill number and pixel area in MATLAB software. Obtained trend with standard error bars is plotted in Figure 1.

It was observed that with rise in cycle till 90, pill number increased slightly and then started to decrease with little reduction in pills. Generally with increase in abrasion, fabric becomes thinner and previously formed pills break off and may be attributed to observed reduction in pill number. However, the abraded area under the pills increased which
Table 1: Effect of pH on average areawise shrinkage (%), weight loss (%), pill numbers, and area of bromelain treated and bromelain treated-pilled wool.

| pH | Area-wise shrinkage (%) | Average weight loss (%) | Subjective visual pill rating ($P_v$) | Average pill rating ($P_i$) | Average pill area | Average pill numbers | Average weight loss (%) |
|----|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| 4  | 31.6 (1.22)             | 0.12 (0.77)              | 1.32 (0.55)                  | 1.47 (0.31)                | 167.33             | 38.33                 | 0.77 (0.765)           |
| 4.5| 25.6 (1.22)             | 0.53 (0.88)              | 1.5 (0.70)                   | 1.52 (0.16)                | 156.00             | 33.00                 | 1.53 (0.876)           |
| 5  | 22.3 (0.90)             | 1.341 (0.74)             | 1.82 (0.82)                  | 2.01 (0.35)                | 121.67             | 21.00                 | 2.45 (0.739)           |
| 5.5| 5.67 (0.85)             | 4.710 (0.35)             | 4.33 (0.92)                  | 4.23 (0.58)                | 63.00              | 7.67                  | 6.88 (0.347)           |
| 6  | 5.1 (0.62)              | 5.156 (0.70)             | 3.92 (0.69)                  | 3.88 (0.23)                | 69.67              | 7.33                  | 6.94 (0.70)            |
| 6.5| 5.4 (0.63)              | 5.713 (0.34)             | 3.56 (0.41)                  | 3.72 (0.33)                | 71.00              | 8.67                  | 7.74 (0.34)            |
| 7  | 5.9 (0.82)              | 7.767 (0.55)             | 3.01 (0.35)                  | 3.23 (0.15)                | 81.67              | 12.33                 | 11.12 (0.55)           |
| 7.5| 7.9 (0.95)              | 9.610 (1.08)             | 2.01 (1.04)                  | 1.78 (0.23)                | 99.33              | 15.67                 | 15.24 (1.08)           |
| 8  | 8.8 (0.85)              | 11.810 (0.80)            | 2.78 (0.72)                  | 2.9 (0.30)                 | 100.67             | 20.67                 | 17.23 (0.80)           |
| 8.5| 18.7 (1.02)             | 13.63 (1.48)             | 2.08 (0.92)                  | 1.9 (0.36)                 | 133.67             | 23.67                 | 19.83 (1.48)           |
| 9  | 21.9 (1.12)             | 14.810 (1.47)            | 2.23 (1.11)                  | 1.98 (0.69)                | 122.33             | 21.67                 | 22.87 (1.47)           |

Figure 1: Average pill numbers and area for bleached wool with varying pilling cycle.

Figure 2: Variation in areawise shrinkage (%) and weight loss (%) with varying pH (standard errors of mean < 1.5).

3.2. Treatment of Wool with Bromelain at Different pH Values. Results obtained with respect to only bromelain as well as bromelain treated-pilled wool for shrinkage, weight loss, and pill analyses with respective standard errors of mean (data in parenthesis in respective columns) are shown in Table 1.

may be due to multiple splitting with increase in pilling cycles. As no significant increase in pill number was observed after the first cycle count, hence 30 cycles were assumed to be primarily responsible for the pill generation and were selected for further pills analysis.
3.2.1. Areawise Shrinkage (%). To obtain desirable machine washable wool, pH of proteolytic treatment should provide less than 6% areawise shrinkage (Woolmark specification AW-1). However, among experimental range of pH, higher values have been obtained for pH $\sim$ 4–5.5 (22–32%) as well as for pH $\sim$ 7.5–9 (8–22%). Only for pH ranging from $\sim$ 5.5 to 7.0, desirable values were obtained (Figure 2).

Negative Pearson correlation ($p = -0.345$) was observed for area shrinkage values with change in pH. Observed high area shrinkage value in corresponding range may appear due to negligible or very substantial action of bromelain on wool leading to either ineffective or damaging effect, respectively, while affecting the weight loss too. Hence it may be assumed that relative weight loss observed during bromelain treatment may indicate either the amount of complete fiber protein hydrolysis or focused surface effect only. However, while studying the correlated behavior, only moderate negative relationship ($p_{Pearson} = -0.298$) was observed with in areawise shrinkage and weight loss (Figure 3). Very strong positive relationship ($p = 0.991$) was observed for weight loss with change in treatment pH. Scanning electron microscopy indicating the changes induced at the fiber surface was carried out to understand the above experiential trend with varying pH.

3.2.2. Weight Loss (%). Proposed assumption for observed bromelain action was ascertained by assessing the trend of relative weight loss after proteolytic treatment and compared after pilling too. As observed from Figure 4, higher weight loss values were obtained for pilled samples as expected due to abrasion on fabric surface, though obtained trend was highly correlated too with changing pH ($p = -0.996$).

Considering the effect within pH, almost similar trend has been obtained in case of bromelain treated and bromelain treated-pilled wool for pH $\sim$ 4–6.5, and after that the gap between the observed values widened for pH $\sim$ 7–9. Very less weight loss values have been obtained for pH $\sim$ 4–4.5 for both wool samples, suggesting very less or almost negligible action of bromelain in this pH range.

While comparing SEM image, scales were almost present on pilled wool treated with bromelain at pH 4.5 (Figure 5(b)) as visible on bleached wool itself (Figure 5(a)). Only lifting of scale and wear due to pilling was found on the fiber surface. Similarly slight reduction in pill number and area was observed indicating almost similar pilling behavior in this pH.

From pH 5.5 to 6.5, rise in weight loss was observed that ascertained the rise in activity of bromelain in this range leading to protein hydrolysis and subsequent weight loss.
Figure 4: Scatter plot for Pearson correlation between weight loss of bromelain treated and bromelain treated-pilled wool with varying pH.

Figure 5: SEM (a) bleached wool, (b) bromelain treated and pilled wool at pH ∼ 4.5, (c) bromelain treated and pilled wool at pH ∼ 6.0, and (d) bromelain treated and pilled wool at pH ∼ 9.0.
Fiber surface abraded due to pilling, but significant removal of scales for wool treated at pH ∼ 6.0 suggested desirable action of bromelain at this pH (Figure 5(c)).

High weight loss was observed for bromelain treated wool in pH ∼ 7–9 suggesting intense action of bromelain at this pH leading to excessive protein hydrolysis and subsequent thinning of wool fabric. However, as observed in Figure 5(d), only partially removed scales lifted on the surface of wool were found with significant wear at pH ∼ 9. Hence, it may be proposed that bromelain at this pH might have exhibited reduced proteolytic action; still alkaline treatment condition may have led to increased damage to wool. Inherent general stability of wool in acidic conditions as compared to alkaline also ascertained the observed trend [31]. Increase in the comparative difference between weight losses in this range also assisted the assumption as thinning or weakening of fabric may lead to higher abrasion as well as weight loss after pilling, according to observation.

In view of areawise shrinkage effect, pH ∼ 5.5–7.0 has been obtained as optimum pH range. But apparent weight loss and probable damage to wool beyond pH 6.5, suggested narrowing down optimum range to ∼ 5.5 to 6.5.

3.2.3. Pill Analysis. Pill number and area followed “U” trend while showing initial less values indicating almost similar pilling behavior at pH 4–5.5 (Figure 6). Optimum level of pill reduction has been obtained at pH ∼ 5.5–6.5 suggesting removal of short protruding wool fibres during bromelain treatment resulting in smooth surface and generation of lesser number of pills.

Significant lifting of scales and wear was observed in all pilled samples in comparison to raw wool, though higher wear was observed for bromelain treated below as well as beyond optimum pH 5.5–6.5 (Figure 5). Observed behavior is in agreement with appearance of high number of pills and pill area for the respective pH range too.

For validation of objective evaluation method, regression analysis was performed comparing ratings given by the image analyser by MATLAB software with the average ratings given by expert according to standard subjective method and shown in Figure 7 (average root mean square difference = 4.62 and p; Regression coefficient = 0.970).

4. Conclusion

Optimized bromelain treatment with bleached wool at pH ∼ 5.5–6.5 range resulted in desirable area shrinkage effect (≤6%), weight loss (4.7–5.7%), and optimum level of pill reduction (average pill number 7–9; pill rating 3.7–4.2). Proposed fast Fourier transform technique can be preferably applied for objective evaluation of pilling behavior of treated wool in terms of pill number, area, and rating. Strong positive correlation between standard subjective test method and objective test method ascertained the utility of proposed quicker and easier method.
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