Aortic and mitral valve surgery for infective endocarditis with reconstruction of the intervalvular fibrous body: an analysis of clinical outcomes
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Background: Reconstruction of the aorto-mitral curtain (AMC) for invasive double-valve infective endocarditis (IE) is a rare and challenging procedure. This study presents the short- and mid-term results of reconstruction of AMC in a single center.

Methods: From 2016 to 2019, 14 patients with invasive double-valve underwent surgical reconstruction of the AMC, along with either double valve replacement or aortic valve replacement with mitral valve repair. Two patients were diagnosed as Behcet’s disease. Bicuspid aortic valve was detected in six patients. Mean follow up was 18.9±12.2 months.

Results: Positive blood culture was found in 10 (71.4%) patients: 3 of Abiotrophia defective (21.4%). The mean cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) time was 154.5±25.9 minutes and the mean cross-clamp time was 116.8±18.2 minutes. One patient died of multiple organ failure (7.1%) 60 days after surgery. There was 1 (7.1%) case of stroke, 1 (7.1%) of atrioventricular block with pacemaker implantation, 1 (7.1%) of reoperation for bleeding. There was no late death during follow-up. The survival at 3 years was 92.9%. Freedom from reoperation at 1, 2, and 3 years was 100%, 100%, and 100% during follow-up, respectively.

Conclusions: The double-valve replacement and AMC reconstruction (the Commando procedure) is an effective technique in complex heart valve disease. The short- and mid-term results with this technique are optimal, with a very low in-hospital mortality and nearly 100% of long-term survival during follow-up.
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Introduction

Infective endocarditis is defined by infection of a heart valve, the endocardial surface or an indwelling cardiac device (1,2). This disease is rare, with an incidence of 3–10 per 100,000 people per year (3). Involvement of the intervalvular fibrous body [aorto-mitral curtain (AMC)] is rarer in patients with endocarditis. Reconstruction of the AMC during double-valve surgery may be the only alternative for treating patients with infective endocarditis with abscess or vegetations, inadequate intervalvular fibrous tissue to secure a prosthesis valve. The procedure becomes more complicated. The mortality rate of this operation was reported as 20–30% (4,5). This procedure has been reported in several centers in the US and Europe (6). However, the clinical outcome of China’s reconstruction of AMC remains unknown. We want to share our experience and analyze the clinical outcomes of reconstruction of AMC during aortic and mitral valve surgery in a single center in China.
Table 1 Clinical characteristics

| Baseline characteristics                     | No. (%) or mean ± SD |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Age (years)                                  | 45.5±13.0            |
| Male sex                                     | 9 (64.3)             |
| Blood culture                                |                      |
| Negative                                     | 4 (28.6)             |
| *Streptococcus mitis*                        | 2 (14.3)             |
| Abiotrophia defectiva                        | 3 (21.4)             |
| *Streptococcus oralis*                       | 1 (7.1)              |
| *Streptococcus viridans*                     | 1 (7.1)              |
| *Streptococcus sanguis*                      | 1 (7.1)              |
| *Streptococcus anginosus*                    | 1 (7.1)              |
| *Corynebacterium kutscheri*                  | 1 (7.1)              |
| Comorbid conditions                          |                      |
| Diabetes mellitus                            | 3 (21.4)             |
| Hypertension                                 | 3 (21.4)             |
| Chronic obstructive lung disease             | 1 (7.1)              |
| Behcet's disease                             | 2 (14.3)             |
| Syphilitic aortitis                          | 1 (7.1)              |
| New York Heart Association class             |                      |
| I                                            | 0 (7.1)              |
| II                                           | 1 (7.1)              |
| III                                          | 3 (21.4)             |
| IV                                           | 10 (71.4)            |
| Preoperative rhythm                          |                      |
| Sinus rhythm                                 | 13 (92.9)            |
| Atrial fibrillation                          | 1 (7.1)              |
| Endocarditis                                 |                      |
| Native                                       | 13 (92.9)            |
| Prosthetic                                   | 1 (7.1)              |
| Previous cardiac surgery                     | 1 (7.1)              |
| Left ventricular ejection fraction           |                      |
| 20–39%                                       | 1 (7.1)              |
| 40–59%                                       | 7 (50.0)             |
| >60%                                         | 6 (42.9)             |

Table 1 (Continued)

| Baseline characteristics                     | No. (%) or mean ± SD |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Timing of operation                          |                      |
| Elective                                     | 1 (7.1)              |
| Urgent/emergency                             | 13 (92.9)            |
| Aortic valve pathology                       |                      |
| Bicuspid                                     | 6 (42.9)             |
| Prosthetic disfunction                       | 1 (7.1)              |
| Calcific degeneration                        | 1 (7.1)              |
| LVEDD (mm)                                   | 61.1±8.0             |
| Diameter of aorta (mm)                       | 38.7±8.3             |

LVEDD, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter.

Methods

A total of 14 patients underwent reconstruction of the AMC with replacement or repair of the mitral and aortic valves by a single surgeon between 2016 and 2019. The informed consent of the patients was obtained and the study was approved by our Institutional Ethics Committee. As shown in Table 1, most patients were male (9, 64.3%). The mean age was 45.5±13 years (range, 21–66 years). Two patients (14.3%) were diagnosed as Behcet's disease. Bicuspid aortic valve was detected in 6 patients (42.9%). Three patients had paravalvular abscesses (21.4%). One patient (7.1%) had undergone previous aortic valve replacement. The left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was greater than 60% in 6 (42.9%) patients, 41% to 59% in 7 (50%) patients, 20% to 40% in 1 (7.1%). One patient (7.1%) was operated electively, 13 (92.9%) urgently.

Operations

The operative technique has previously been described in many articles (7,8). The method we use in our department is shown in Figures 1-3. Echocardiogram was used to detect the abscesses or vegetations (see Figure 4). A typical huge vegetation of AMC was provided in Figure 5. All surgeries were performed by the same experienced doctor. After a median sternotomy, the patients were connected to cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) and the nasopharynx.
temperature was lowered to 32 °C. The antegrade and continuous retrograde cardioplegia was used during the time-consuming operation (9). The operation consisted of extending the aortotomy into the noncoronary aortic sinus, into the AMC and the dome of the left atrium. The left ventricular inflow and outflow tracts were exposed. The extensiveness of resection and reconstruction depended on the infection. After the resection of all infected and abnormal tissues, circumferential reconstruction of the mitral annulus is required in the event of anterior annular destruction due to an abscess or huge vegetation in the mitral annulus. If the posterior mitral annulus was not infected, the prosthetic mitral valve was secured to the native posterior mitral annulus. If the majority of the mitral valve was free of infection, the mitral repair was the best choice for young adults with an artificial ring. It was simple to perform an annuloplasty procedure to stabilize the annulus as the AMC needed to be debrided and patched.

In order to ensure the best effect of mitral valve repair and the match between the prosthetic valve and the heart, atrial septum incision should be performed. The suture of the interatrial septum incision was not time-consuming. Sometimes the aortic root was infected severely, the Bentall procedure was performed. A single-folded triangular bovine pericardial patch was sutured to the lateral and medial fibrous trigones, and to the superior aspect of the prosthetic mitral valve. One layer of the patch is used to close the left atrium and the other layer is used to reconstruct the aortic root. An aortic prosthetic valve or valved conduit was implanted in the aortic position by securing it to the native aortic annulus and the patch. Postoperative antibiotics were used for 6 weeks. Table 2 shows the perioperative data.

Follow-up

The cardiac surgery database and patients’ records were
reviewed for demographics, operative, perioperative, and outcome data. Follow-up was obtained through telephone interviews and outpatient chart review. The follow-up was 2–40 months (mean of 18.9±12.2 months), and follow-up was 100% complete.

Statistics
All data analyses were performed using SPSS 19.0 software package (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). Categoric variables are expressed as percentages and continuous variables are reported as mean ± standard deviation in text and tables. Late outcomes and survival were analyzed with the Kaplan-Meier method. Operative mortality was defined as death within 90 days of the operation (10).

Results
Fourteen patients had endocarditis involving the aortic valve, mitral valve, and the intervalvular fibrous body. Positive blood culture was found in 10 (71.4%) patients: 3 of *Abiotrophia defectiva* (21.4%), 2 of *Streptococcus mitis* (14.3%), 1 of *Streptococcus oralis* (7.1%), 1 of *Streptococcus viridans* (7.1%), 1 of *Streptococcus sanguis* (7.1%), 1 of *Streptococcus anginosus* (7.1%), and 1 of *Corynebacterium kutscheri* (7.1%).

Operative mortality and morbidity
One patient died of multiple organ failure (7.1%). As shown in Table 2, 8 (57.1%) patients underwent mitral valve replacement and 6 (42.9%) patients underwent mitral
valve repair. Three (21.4%) patients underwent the Bentall procedure and 2 (14.3%) patients underwent the Wheat procedure. The mean operation time was 337.4±82 minutes. The mean CPB time was 154.5±25.9 minutes and the mean cross-clamp time was 116.8±18.2 minutes.

The mean stay in the intensive care unit was 130.7±105.1 hours, with mean ventilation times of 81.6±97.5 hours. The mean hospital stay was 50.3±14 days. The volume of blood transfusion was 9.7±10.7 units. Postoperative data are summarized in Table 2. There was 1 (7.1%) case of stroke, 1 (7.1%) of atrioventricular block with pacemaker implantation, 1 (7.1%) of reoperation for bleeding, 1 (7.1%) of low output syndrome. There was no case of dialysis, deep sternal wound infection or readmission.

Late mortality and morbidity

There was no late death during follow-up. The survival at 1, 2, and 3 years was 92.9%, 92.9%, and 92.9%, respectively. No patient had prosthetic valve endocarditis develop. Freedom from reoperation at 1, 2, and 3 years was 100%, 100%, and 100% during follow-up.

Discussion

It is still a clinical and logistical challenge to manage patients with IE. Early decision-making has the potential to improve clinical outcomes. In developing countries, it was sometimes difficult to operate in time due to economic
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Figure 4 Echocardiogram of double-valve endocarditis. (A) Blue arrow, paravalvular abscesses. (B) Red arrow, aortic valve vegetation. (C) Green arrow, infected thickened aortic-mitral curtain. (D) Red arrow, mitral valve vegetation. (E,F) Red arrow, vegetation of AMC. Ao, aorta; LA, left atrium; RA, right atrium; RVOT, right ventricular outflow tract; LV, left ventricle; AMC, aorto-mitral curtain.

reasons and inadequate medical equipment. Delaying surgery may permit a longer duration of antibiotic therapy or hemodynamic stabilization but increase the risk of disease progression with valve destruction, heart block, abscess or vegetation formation, the destruction of intervalvular fibrous body, and embolic complications. In real-world situations, many patients with a guideline indication for intervention still do not undergo surgery word-wide. Many kinds of techniques were used. Surgery was performed in 50% to 60% of patients and 6-month survival was >80% (11,12). Operations for active IE present high risk with an overall in-hospital mortality of 20%. The optimal timing of surgery is still in debate. Urgent surgery (within a few days) is indicated for patients who have moderate to severe heart failure, uncontrolled infection, high risk of embolic complication and large vegetations associated with severe valvular disease. Surgery should be delayed for 2–4 weeks in patients with large cerebral infarction and at least 4 weeks in those with intracerebral haemorrhage if possible (13). Current series researches have shown that very low mortality could be achieved in centers of excellence with high-level experience of the management of complex patients and concentrated expertise in cardiology, microbiology, and surgery. In our study, we are surprised to see there were no cases of infection due to *Staphylococcus* from blood culture. The organisms identified in the series were largely dental in origin and tend to present with a subacute clinical course. For example, Abiotrophia defectiva, although difficult to eradicate with antibiotics, tends to present subacutely with large vegetation but does not have a fulminant course of aggressive destruction like *Staphylococcus*.

There have been several studies that have examined surgical outcomes of reconstruction of AMC (14,15). However, there have, to date, been no reports on the short-term and mid-term outcomes of patients in whom the aortic valve, mitral valve, and AMC underwent surgical intervention in China.

Reconstruction of the AMC is a very rare and challenging surgery. The development of a paravalvular
Figure 5 Infective endocarditis with AMC vegetation. (A) Bicuspid aortic valve with vegetation; (B) infected AMC; (C) infected vegetation of AMC and anterior mitral valve; (D) resection of part of AMC. Green arrow, vegetations. AMC, aorto-mitral curtain.

abscess or destruction due to infective endocarditis is the main indication for this technique. Bruce Lytle, who pioneered the technique at the Cleveland Clinic, named it “Commando” for its complication, adopted from head and neck surgery for tongue cancer (16). Tirone David also described a similar technique for AMC reconstruction with double-valve replacement around the same time (17). Davierwala et al. (4) reported their clinical outcome of 25 patients underwent double valve replacement and reconstruction of the AMC. The 30-days mortality was 32%, the 2- and 5-year survival were only 37% and 24.6%, respectively. Kim et al. (18) reported results in 22 patients after similar procedures with 2 early (6.7%) and 5 late (16.7%) deaths. In the Commando operation, adequate exposure is necessary for effective debridement and reconstruction. In order to avoid the mismatch between the prosthetic mitral valve and the base of the heart, we strongly recommend performing mitral valve surgery through an incision of the atrial septum rather than the vertical aortotomy. We placed interrupted, pledged mattress sutures around the posterior mitral annulus for the mitral valve replacement, or the interrupted sutures for the mitral valve repair. The prosthetic mitral valve was implanted in the posterior annulus, after which the interregional aortic-mitral junction was reconstructed. This maneuver facilitates orientation and allows for proper fit of the prosthesis. Also, a single-folded pericardial patch rather than a double patch simplified closure of the dome of left atrium and improved hemostasis (19). According to our experience, debridement of infected tissues should be careful, radical, and large enough, just like treating malignant tumors—the best or nothing. It is more important than the type of patch material or valve used in the operation. There was no recurrent infection in our study.
Table 2 Operative and postoperative data

| Perioperative data                        | No. (%) or mean ± SD |
|------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| **Operative data**                       |                      |
| Mitral valve repair                      | 6 (42.9)             |
| Mitral valve replacement                 | 8 (57.1)             |
| Mitral valve prosthesis used             |                      |
| Mechanical                               | 7 (50.0)             |
| Bioprosthesis                            | 1 (7.1)              |
| Repair ring/band                         | 6 (42.9)             |
| Aortic root operation                    |                      |
| Bentall operation                        | 3 (21.4)             |
| Wheat operation                          | 2 (14.2)             |
| Aortic valve prosthesis used             |                      |
| Mechanical                               | 13 (92.9)            |
| Bioprosthesis                            | 1 (7.1)              |
| Tricuspid valve repair                   | 1 (7.1)              |
| Aortic root abscess                      | 3 (21.4)             |
| Operation time (min)                     | 337.4±82             |
| Cardiopulmonary bypass time (min)        | 154.5±25.9           |
| Cross-clamp time (min)                   | 116.8±18.2           |
| **Postoperative data**                   |                      |
| Mean intensive care unit stay (hours)    | 130.7±105.1          |
| Length of ventilation (hours)            | 81.6±97.5            |
| Mean hospital stay (days)                | 50.3±14.0            |
| Troponin I (ng/mL)                       | 9.6±8.4              |
| CK-MB (ng/mL)                            | 16.7±19.7            |
| Creatinine level (μmol/L)                | 131.2±80.8           |
| BUN (mmol/L)                             | 10.1±7.1             |
| Blood transfusion                        |                      |
| RBC transfusion (units)                  | 9.7±10.7             |
| Plasma transfusion (mL)                  | 382.1±363.5          |
| Postoperative LVEDD (mm)                 | 53.1±5.2             |
| Postoperative LVEF                       | 57.4±5.3             |
| Stroke                                   | 0                    |
| Atrio-ventricular block                  | 1 (7.1)              |
| Pacemaker implantation                   | 1 (7.1)              |
| Reoperation for bleeding                 | 1 (7.1)              |

Table 2 (Continued)

| Perioperative data                        | No. or mean ± SD |
|------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Postoperative dialysis                   | 0                |
| Low output syndrome                      | 1 (7.1)          |
| Mortality                                | 1 (7.1)          |
| Hospital readmission                     | 0                |

CK-MB, creatine kinase-myocardial isoenzyme; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; LVEF, left ventricle ejection fraction; LVEDD, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter.

It must be highlighted that the Commando procedure generally refers only to mitro-aortic valve replacement with AMC reconstruction and that in the present study 42.9% (6 patients) of the patients underwent AVR and mitral valve repair. It is called hemi-Commando procedure. The rectangular resection of the anterior mitral valve leaflet was used commonly. A native pericardial patch was used in 1 patient for reconstruction of the resected tissue in the anterior mitral valve leaflet. Actually, the majority of the mitral valve was free of infection, so we could perform repair procedures easily. The water test showed the excellent coaptation of the mitral valve. Patients in the hemi-Commando group had better long-term survival in other researches (14).

As expected, the Commando procedure was significantly associated with early morbidity and mortality. The major complications were reported as bleeding, sepsis, renal failure, neurological events, and heart conduction block. In our study, only 1 patient received a pacemaker implantation. There was no stroke development or renal failure. With high in-hospital mortality (ranged from 9% to 32.2%) (18,19), the operation should be performed by surgeons with experience in treating invasive IE diseases at tertiary cardiac centers. We speculate that this is why we can get satisfactory results. There was no late death until now in our cohort. The late survival was 100%. The aortic allograft was considered to have a theoretical advantage of infection resistance. However, the valved conduit was preferred in our department. The durability is important for young patients.

Other indications for the Commando procedure are calcified of the aortic annulus extending into the AMC in double valve replacement, enlargement of the small aortic annulus, or lack of enough fibrous tissue to secure prosthetic valves. The results were better in these patients.
compared to IE patients (20).

Limitation

Several limitations of our study have to be defined. This is a single-center retrospective study of patients with endocarditis undergoing surgical treatment. The patients received operation were heterogeneous. Two Behcet’s diseased patients and 6 bicuspid valved patients were enrolled. The results may be biased by disparities in medical and surgical management. The sample size was small. The number of patients was not much. The longest follow-up time is 40 months, we still need to continue to find the long-term result.

Conclusions

The double-valve replacement and AMC reconstruction (the Commando procedure) is an effective technique in complex heart valve disease. The short-term and mid-term results with this technique are optimal, with a very low in-hospital mortality and 100% of survival during follow-up. Good freedom from reoperation and recurrent infective endocarditis are seen. In order to get long-term outcomes, continuous surveillance of these patients is required because of late patch or valve dehiscence.
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