Tillage practices and straw-returning methods affect topsoil bacterial community and organic C under a rice-wheat cropping system in central China
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The objective of this study was to investigate how the relationships between bacterial communities and organic C (SOC) in topsoil (0–5 cm) are affected by tillage practices [conventional intensive tillage (CT) or no-tillage (NT)] and straw-returning methods [crop straw returning (S) or removal (NS)] under a rice-wheat rotation in central China. Soil bacterial communities were determined by high-throughput sequencing technology. After two cycles of annual rice-wheat rotation, compared with CT treatments, NT treatments generally had significantly more bacterial genera and monounsaturated fatty acids/saturated fatty acids (MUFA/STFA), but a decreased gram-positive bacteria/gram-negative bacteria ratio (G+/G−). S treatments had significantly more bacterial genera and MUFA/STFA, but had decreased G+/G− compared with NS treatments. Multivariate analysis revealed that Gemmatimonas, Rudaea, Spingomonas, Pseudomonas, Dyella, Burkholderia, Clostridium, Pseudolabrys, Arcicella and Bacillus were correlated with SOC, and cellulolytic bacteria (Burkholderia, Pseudomonas, Clostridium, Rudaea and Bacillus) and Gemmatimonas explained 55.3% and 12.4% of the variance in SOC, respectively. Structural equation modeling further indicated that tillage and residue managements affected SOC directly and indirectly through these cellulolytic bacteria and Gemmatimonas. Our results suggest that Burkholderia, Pseudomonas, Clostridium, Rudaea, Bacillus and Gemmatimonas help to regulate SOC sequestration in topsoil under tillage and residue systems.

Soil organic C (SOC) is the main source of energy for soil microorganisms1,2 and SOC content profoundly affects soil properties, including aggregate stability, soil moisture and nutrient cycling. Thus, SOC plays an important role in maintaining long-term sustainability of agro-ecosystems and global biogeochemical cycles4. SOC is regulated by many factors, such as tillage practices1, residue management5, soil aggregate sizes6, and microbial functional diversity2. Optimizing agricultural management can reduce SOC loss, or even increase the content of SOC7. Intensive and continuous soil tilling has been practiced for thousands of years in China8. Frequent soil disturbance by intensive conventional tillage (CT) reduces soil aggregate sizes, thereby accelerating SOC oxidation8 and decreasing SOC content. Moreover, crop residue burning or removing, a common farming practice, reduces the amount of organic substances retained in the soil and the water storage capacity of the entire soil9, and decreases soil microbial biomass and functional diversity2. In contrast, no-tillage (NT) and straw returning (S) may enhance SOC content in agricultural ecosystems and facilitate sustainable agricultural production5,7.

The abundance, diversity and composition of soil microbial communities and their interactions with environment factors have great impacts on SOC dynamics6,10–12. In agricultural ecosystems, bacteria and fungi are the main drivers of soil processes including nutrient cycling and the decomposition of soil organic matter, such
as crop residues\textsuperscript{13–15}. Tillage practices and straw-returning methods affect the activity and community structure of soil microorganisms by changing the habitat characteristics for soil microorganisms such as soil porosity, soil moisture and the substrates for soil microorganisms\textsuperscript{16}, thus affecting SOC dynamics in soil ecosystem. Although many studies have shown that soil fungi are a major factor influencing soil carbon content, these studies were focused on upland ecosystems, such as forest ecosystems\textsuperscript{17,18}. In rice-wheat system, the field is long-term flooded and is mostly under anaerobic conditions during rice growing period\textsuperscript{19}, which thus inhibits the growth of fungi and reduces the contributions of fungi to SOC. Some studies reported that bacteria are dominant in rice-wheat system\textsuperscript{7}, which may be due to their ability to break down labile carbon sources more efficiently than other microorganisms, such as fungi\textsuperscript{20}, thus contributing to the increase of SOC concentration through the binding of fresh and labile pools of organic matter with microaggregates to form macroaggregates\textsuperscript{21}. Therefore, bacteria may have greater contributions to SOC concentration than fungi in the rice-wheat system.

Most of previous studies focused on the effects of tillage practices and straw-returning methods on soil bacterial abundance, and consistently showed that both NT and S practices can increase soil bacterial abundance\textsuperscript{22,23}. For example, Guo et al.\textsuperscript{7} reported higher soil bacterial abundance under NT and S treatments than under CT and NS treatments, respectively, possibly because both NT and S practices provide more favorable environmental conditions for soil microorganisms\textsuperscript{22}. Zhang et al.\textsuperscript{22} also reported that NT significantly increased bacterial biomass compared with CT. However, these studies ignored the relationships between soil bacterial communities and SOC. Zhang et al.\textsuperscript{24} investigated the contribution of soil biota (including bacteria) to C sequestration under different tillage practices, and showed that microbial communities controlled C storage both directly and indirectly through MBC and soil bacteria contributed to C sequestration both in $<1$ mm and $>1$ mm soil aggregates. Guo et al.\textsuperscript{7} reported the relationships between microbial metabolic characteristics and SOC within aggregates under different tillage practices and straw-returning methods and indicated that the increased SOC in aggregates in the topsoil under NT and S practices was possibly due to the improvement of microbial metabolic activities. Nevertheless, the mechanism by which different functional genera of soil bacteria are linked to SOC sequestration under different tillage practices and their relative contributions remain unknown. Therefore, further investigation is needed to understand the relative contributions of soil bacterial communities to SOC and how these relationships may vary under different tillage practices.

Rice-wheat cropping system, which occupies a total area of 4.5 million ha in China, possesses important functions in food security in the world\textsuperscript{24}. However, the sustainability of rice-wheat cropping system is negatively affected by issues such as soil degradation, air pollution\textsuperscript{25} and the long-term use of conventional management practices, such as crop residue removing or burning, or intensive soil tilling\textsuperscript{7}. Rice-wheat cropping system is the most important cropping system in central China\textsuperscript{26}, occupying about 20% of the total sown area in central China and accounting for about 22% of the national grain yield for these two crops in 2011\textsuperscript{27}. The effects of tillage practices and straw-returning methods on soil physical-chemical properties, soil nutrient and crop yield under rice-wheat cropping system in this region have been well elucidated\textsuperscript{23,26}. However, little attention has been paid to the relationships between bacterial communities and SOC under different tillage practices and straw-returning methods in this region. The objective of this study was to assess the effects of tillage practices (i.e. NT and CT) and straw returning methods (i.e. crop residue removal (S) and returning (NS)) on topsoil bacterial communities and their relationships with SOC under rice-wheat cropping system in central China. We hypothesized that (1) NT and S practices can improve soil bacterial abundance mainly due to the improvement of soil nutrition condition in the topsoil layer, and (2) the bacterial communities can have positive effects on SOC under NT and S practices. Structural equation modeling (SEM) was used to detect the potential associations among tillage systems/straw systems, bacterial communities and SOC.

**Results**

**Phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) analysis.** After a 2-year cropping cycle, NT and S practices significantly affected the composition of soil microbial communities in the 0–5 cm soil layer. Compared with CT and NS treatments, NT and S treatments had significantly higher total PLFAs, bacterial PLFA, gram-positive bacterial PLFA, gram-negative bacterial PLFA and MUFA/STFA, and significantly lower $G^+$/G$^-$ (Table 1 and Supplementary information).

**Relationships between PLFA and SOC fractions.** Redundancy analysis showed that the coordinates from the first two ordination axes explained 89.4% (the first axis 89.3% and the second 0.1%) of the variances (Fig. 1). A Monte Carlo permutation test showed that SOC fractions (including SOC) were significantly correlated with the differences in the composition of the soil microbial community ($P < 0.05$). Moreover, SOC was the most closely related to $G^+$/G$^-$ and MUFA/STFA. Overall, a clear separation was found between treatments (Fig. 1).

**Soil bacterial community structure.** In general, NT treatments had significantly greater bacterial abundance compared with CT treatments, and S treatments had significantly higher bacterial abundance of 11 main soil genera compared with NS treatments (Fig. 2, Table 2 and Supplementary information). Compared with CT treatments, NT treatments had significantly greater abundance of Gemmatimonas, Rudaea, Sphingomonas, Caulobacter, Dokdonella, Telmatosporillum, Pseudomonas, Burkholderia, Pseudolabrys, Blastoclibloris, and Bacillus. Compared with NS treatments, S treatments had significantly higher abundance of Gemmatimonas, Rudaea, Sphingomonas, Dokdonella, Rhodanobacter, Mycobacterium, Nitrospira, Gemmata, Schlesneria, Pseudomonas, Pirellula, Burkholderia, Clostridium, Pseudolabrys, Blastoclibloris, Arcicella and Bacillus.

**Relationship between soil bacterial communities and SOC fractions.** Redundancy analysis showed that the coordinates from the first two ordination axes explained 82.9% (the first axis 69.6% and the second
This study investigated the effects of tillage practices and straw-returning methods on soil bacterial communities and their relation to SOC after a 2-year rice-wheat cropping cycle in central China. The results supported our hypotheses that NT and S practices increase the abundance of bacterial genera in the topsoil bacterial community, and that the composition of the bacterial community is correlated with SOC.

**Links between soil bacterial communities and SOC.** SEM revealed that the predictors explained 75.0–84.0% of the variances in SOC (Fig. 5). In Fig. 5a1,a2, tillage and straw systems had different levels of MUFA/STFA and G⁺/G⁻, and thus likely affected SOC directly and indirectly through the presence of 5 kinds of cellulytic bacteria (Pseudomonas, Rudaea, Bacillus, Burkholderia and Clostridium) and Gemmatimonas.

**Discussion**

This study investigated the effects of tillage practices and straw-returning methods on soil bacterial communities and their relation to SOC after a 2-year rice-wheat cropping cycle in central China. The results supported our hypotheses that NT and S practices increase the abundance of bacterial genera in the topsoil bacterial communities, and that the composition of the bacterial community is correlated with SOC.

**Effects of tillage practices and straw returning methods on soil bacterial community.** NT and S practices generally increased the abundance, activity and diversity of soil microbial communities in the topsoil layer, probably because NT minimizes soil disturbance and S contributes to greater accumulation of crop residues on the soil surface, thus improving soil nutrition condition for soil microbial communities. Soil nutrition condition can be indicated by G⁺/G⁻ ratio. Lower G⁺/G⁻ ratio under NT treatments compared with under CT treatments (Table 1) suggests that nutrients are rich in the topsoil layer under NT treatments, which demonstrates the improvement of soil environment for microorganisms under NT.

**Table 1. Characteristics of soil microbial communities under different treatments.** Different letters denote significant differences among treatments. **P < 0.01; *P < 0.05; ns, not significant. CTNS, conventional intensive tillage with straw removal; CTS, conventional intensive tillage with straw returning; NTNS, no-tillage with straw removal; NTS, no-tillage with straw returning, T, tillage; S, straw; G⁺/G⁻, gram-positive bacteria/gram negative bacteria; MUFA/STFA, monounsaturated fatty acids/saturated fatty acids. Values are mean ± standard errors.
Figure 2. Relative abundance (n = 3) of top 23 OTUs of bacteria genera under different treatments revealed by pyrosequencing. CTNS, conventional intensive tillage with straw removal; CTS, conventional intensive tillage with straw returning; NTNS, no-tillage with straw removal; tillage; NTS, no-tillage with straw returning.

Table 2. Taxonomy of soil bacterial communities determined in the present study. Different letters denote significant differences among treatments. ** P < 0.01; * P < 0.05; ns, not significant. T, tillage; S, straw; Values are mean ± standard errors.
Figure 3. Redundancy analysis of soil bacterial communities and SOC fractions under different treatments. CTNS (▵), conventional intensive tillage with straw removal; CTS (▴), conventional intensive tillage with straw return; NTNS (◽), no-tillage with straw removal; NTS (●), no-tillage with straw returning; SOC, soil organic C; MBC, microbial biomass C; DOC, dissolved organic C.
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Figure 4. Relative importance analysis of the soil bacterial genera to SOC by R (relative importance package) based on the results of stepwise regression analysis.

![Relative importance analysis of the soil bacterial genera to SOC](image)

Table 3. Relationships between SOC and bacterial genera based on stepwise regression analysis. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01.

| Constant and dependent variables | Coefficient of regression estimate | P value | Significance |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|--------------|
| Intercept                        | 15.284                            | **     | R² = 0.99    |
| Gemmatimonas                     | 0.001                             | *      |              |
| Rudaea                           | 0.004                             | **     |              |
| Sphingomonas                     | 0.009                             | *      |              |
| Pseudomonas                      | 0.089                             | **     |              |
| Dyella                           | 0.069                             | **     |              |
| Burkholderia                     | −0.093                            | **     |              |
| Clostridum                       | 0.009                             | *      |              |
| Pseudolabrys                     | −0.018                            | **     |              |
| Arcicella                        | −0.015                            | *      |              |
| Bacillus                         | 0.111                             | **     |              |
reported that NT could significantly increase soil N, organic C and SOC fractions compared with CT, whereas CT could negatively affect soil microbial biomass and SOC. Moreover, a greater MUFA/STFA ratio in NT treatments compared with in CT treatments (Table 1) suggests that NT treatments may improve soil gas permeability as suggested by Bossio et al.32, because of the accumulation of crop residues on the soil surface under NT8.

Straw returning, as an input of organic residues to improve soil nutrition condition, can increase soil surface residue C and SOC 7 and provide energy sources for soil microbes, thus enhancing soil microbial biomass 2,7. Residue amendment improves soil moisture and temperature and promotes soil aggregation, thus boosting microbial growth20, which is supported by the result of lower G+/G− under S treatments than under NS treatments (Table 1). However, in the study of the impacts of residue management on soil properties and soil microbial community structure, Wang et al.33 did not find significant differences in bacterial abundance between S and NS treatments. In addition, S treatments had a higher MUFA/STFA ratio compared with NS treatments (Table 1). This result indicates that soils under S treatments may have greater gas permeability 32, possibly because straw returning decreases the sensitivity to surface sealing34 and increases the porosity of the top soil layer35. Good soil gas permeability and enrichment of organic matter in soil surface under NT and S practices7,8 also promote the decomposition of exogenous crop straw, thus improving soil nutrition condition. Therefore, NT and S practices improve soil nutrition, leading to the increase of soil bacterial abundance in the topsoil layer.

Relationships between soil bacterial communities and SOC. Our results showed that there were seven predominant bacterial genera (Gemmatimonas, Rudaea, Caulobacter, Sphingomonas, Dokdonella, Rhodanobacter and Mycobacterium) in the 0–5 cm soil layer, which accounted for 67.7% of total bacterial abundance (Fig. 2). Multiple analysis results showed that soil bacterial communities were closely related to SOC, and Pseudomonas, Rudaea, Bacillus, Gemmatimonas, Burkholderia and Clostridium greatly contributed to SOC, together explaining 75.6% of the variances in SOC. Pseudomonas, Rudaea, Bacillus, Clostridium, Burkholderia and Dyella belong to cellulytic bacteria32, and together explained 66.3% of the variances in SOC, suggesting that SOC is mainly regulated by these six cellulytic bacteria. Cellulose is unavailable to most soil microorganisms because the crystallinity of cellulose is extremely recalcitrant for enzymatic degradation36. Some studies have suggested that cellulytic bacteria help to regulate the C cycle37 because they play an important role in the degradation of plant residues in the soil ecosystem36.

In this study, most of the cellulytic bacteria screened by stepwise regression analysis are aerobic microorganisms (Table 3), which can be attributed to the high permeability in the 0–5 cm soil layer35. Generally, cellulose is mainly degraded in aerobic environments, while up to 5–10% of cellulose is degraded by physiologically diverse bacteria under anaerobic conditions37. Many studies have indicated that Clostridium, one of important cellulytic anaerobic bacterial genera38, is highly efficient in degrading cellulose36,39, because it excretes several kinds of enzymes including cellulyase and hemicellulase40. In the present study, NT and S treatments had significantly greater Clostridium abundance (Fig. 2). Multiple analyses suggested that Clostridium may play important roles in SOC (Figs 1, 2, 3 and 4). Clostridium is negatively affected by greater oxygen availability in the soil and soil
disturbance. Hence, its high abundance under NT and S treatments was not unexpected as it is likely that the higher residue mulching under S practice and/or less soil disturbance under NT create anaerobic zones in the surface soil.

Gemmatimonas (22.6%, 245 OTUs) is the most abundant bacterial genera in this study (Fig. 2), and explained 12.4% of the variances in SOC (Fig. 4). The SEM also showed the key function of Gemmatimonas to SOC sequestration under NT and S practices in this study (Fig. 5). The reason may be that Gemmatimonas can use the metabolic products as sole C sources, such as acetate and propionate, but most of other bacterial genera, such as Bellilinea and Sphingomonas (Fig. 2), cannot or can only weakly use the metabolic products of cellulose. Thus, it is likely that Gemmatimonas has greater ability to use available C sources compared with other soil microorganisms. The SEM further showed that Gemmatimonas plays an important role in SOC dynamics (Fig. 5), which can be attributed to the fact that Gemmatimonas can reduce the metabolic products of cellulose and thus indirectly promotes the degrading process of cellulose.

Tillage practices and straw returning methods affect the activity and structure of soil microorganisms by changing the habitat characteristics for soil microorganisms such as soil gas permeability and the substrates for soil microorganisms, thus affecting SOC. Both NT and S practices promote the accumulation of straw on the soil surface, in which the major component is cellulose, thus improving soil physical conditions and also providing C sources (specifically cellulose) for cellulolytic bacteria. Therefore, NT and S practices promoted the growth of cellulolytic bacteria (Fig. 2), thus increasing the decomposition of cellulose and subsequently the SOC (Figs 2, 3, 4 and 5 and Table 3). Decomposition of exogenous crop straw provides C sources for other soil microorganisms, and therefore increases soil microbial biomass, which contributes to the developing and increasing of soil organic matter. However, exogenous organic matter from broken down cellulose promotes C sequestration in soil aggregates, especially in >250 μm aggregates because soil broken down exogenous organic matter could be bound to the walls of the mineral particles that surround them. Yin et al. also reported that bacteria play critical roles in the production of soil aggregates and the conversion of plant residue to soil organic matter. The results of this study suggest that tillage changes the habitats for Pseudomonas, Rudaea, Bacillus, Burkholderia, Della and Clostridium, and then changes the decomposition process of residue, thus affecting SOC in the 0–5 cm soil layer.

This study indicates that after two cycles of rice-wheat rotation, NT and S practices promote SOC in the 0–5 cm soil layer presumably by increasing the abundance of bacterial genera. Redundancy analysis showed a close relationship between SOC levels and the abundance of specific bacterial genera in the soil community. Stepwise regression analysis and relative influence analysis indicated that Gemmatimonas, Rudaea, Spingomonas, Pseudomonas, Dyella, Burkholderia, Clostridium, Pseudolabrys, Arcicella and Bacillus are positively correlated with SOC. SEM results further suggested that NT and S practices specifically increase the abundance of 5 kinds of cellulolytic bacteria (Burkholderia, Pseudomonas, Clostridium, Rudaea, and Bacillus) and Gemmatimonas in the upper soil layer, likely promoting SOC levels. However, the mediation of bacterial communities on SOC under long-term NT and S practices in the rice-wheat cropping system should be further discussed. Long-term (5+ years) NT and S practices may change SOC in the whole plough layer (0–20 cm); however, the ability of bacterial communities to regulate these effects remains unclear. Therefore, further studies should be conducted to reveal the mechanism of the effects of long-term NT and S practices on soil bacterial communities and their contributions to SOC in the whole plow layer.

Methods
Experimental site. The study site was located at an experimental farm of Huazhong Agricultural University Research (29°51′N, 115°33′E) in the town of Huajiao Town, Wuxue City, Hubei Province, China, which has been described by Guo et al.2. The soil is a silty clay loam classified by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) as a Gleysol. The experimental soil (0–20 cm depth) has a pH of 4.79, an organic C content of 16.89 g kg−1, a total nitrogen (N) content of 2.20 g kg−1, a total phosphorus (P) content of 0.45 g kg−1, and a bulk density of 1.21 g cm−3. The cropping regime was dominated by two crops: summer rice (HHZ, Oryza sativa L.) and winter wheat (ZM9023, Triticum aestivum L.).

Experimental design. The detailed experimental design was described by Guo et al.2. In brief, field treatments followed a split-plot design of a randomized complete block with tillage practices (conventional intensive tillage, CT; no tillage, NT) as the main plots and straw returning methods (crop straw removal (NS) and crop straw return (S)) as the subplots. The experiment involved four treatments: CTNS, CTS, NTNS and NTS, with each replicated for three times. For CTNS and NTNS treatments, crop residues were removed and not returned to the field. For CTS and NTS treatments, residues were chopped into pieces 5–7 cm in length and returned to the field. The chopped straw was mulched in NT soil and tilled into CT soil. For CT treatments, the soil was moldboard ploughed twice to a 20 cm depth before throwing of rice seedlings and once before sowing of wheat. The soil was not disturbed for NT treatments. Commercial compound fertilizer (15% N, 15% P2O5, and 15% K2O), urea (46% N), single superphosphate (12% P2O5), and potassium chloride (60% K2O) were used to provide 180 kg N ha−1, 90 kg P2O5 ha−1, and 180 kg K2O ha−1 during the rice-growing seasons, and 144 kg N ha−1, 72 kg P2O5 ha−1, and 144 kg K2O ha−1 during the wheat-growing season. P and K fertilizers were only applied as basal fertilizers, and N fertilizers were used with 50%, 20%, 12%, and 18% at the seedling, tillering, jointing, and earing stages of rice-growing seasons, and with 50%, 30%, and 20% at the seedling, tillering, and boosting stages of wheat-growing seasons, respectively. The plots were irrigated to a depth of 8 cm whenever the water depth above soil surface decreased for 1–2 cm during the rice growing season, and were drained in the tillering and maturing stages. We did not irrigate during the wheat-growing season.
Soil sampling. Soil samples were collected from the topsoil (0–5 cm depth) using a soil sampler (7 cm diameter) immediately after wheat harvest in June 2013 at eight random points in each plot. After sampling, visible plant residues and stones were removed, and large soil clods were gently broken by hand. Soils were sieved through a 5 mm screen for uniformity, and stored at −20 °C, and all determinations were finished within two weeks.

The SOC and its fractions (microbial biomass C (MBC) and dissolved organic C (DOC)) in the 0–5 cm soil layer were reported previously by Guo et al.2.

Phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) analysis. PLFA analysis was conducted to measure the composition of soil microbial communities according to the methods of Blair et al.50 and Bossio et al.52 and detailed measurements were performed as described by Guo et al.7. Briefly, lipids were extracted in a single-phase chloroform-methanol-citrate (1:2:0.8) buffer system. Polar lipids were separated from neutral lipids and glycolipids on solid phase extraction columns (Supelco Inc, Bellefonte, PA, USA) by eluting with CHCl₃, acetone, and methanol. The phosholipid fractions were saponified and methylelated to fatty acid methyl esters (FAME). Nonadecanoic acid methyl ester was used as internal standard and was added to calculate the absolute amounts of FAMEs before measurements. PLFAs were analyzed as FAMEs on a gas chromatograph/mass spectrometry system (6890–5973N series GC/MS Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA).

DNA extraction, PCR amplification, 16S rDNA gene amplification and 454 pyrosequencing. High-throughput sequencing technology, a common method for identifying bacterial communities in various habitats and environmental samples, was used to identify bacteria in the soil samples51. Total soil DNA was extracted using a FastDNA® Kit for soil (MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The 280/260 of the soil DNA were measured by a NanoDrop ND-2000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop, Wilmington, DE, USA). The DNA of each sample was diluted 50-fold and stored at −20 °C, and all determinations were completed within two weeks.

An aliquot (10 ng) of purified DNA from each sample (one biological replicate) was used as template for amplification. The primer 357F (5′-CCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG-3′), which was modified with the addition of the 454 FLX-titanium adaptor “B” sequence (5′-CCTATCCCCCTGTGGCTCCTGGAGTCGTCTCAG-3′), was used to amplify the V3, V4 and V5 hypervariable regions of the bacterial 16S rDNA52, and 926R: 5′-CGTCAATTCMTTTRAGT-3′ was modified with the addition of unique 6–8 nucleotide barcode sequences and the 454 FLX-titanium adaptor “A” sequence (5′-CCATCTCATCCCTGGGTGCTCGCGACTCA-3′)52. Each sample was amplified in triplicate in a 25 μl reaction and the program was as follows: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 4 min, followed by 25 cycles of denaturation (94 °C for 30 s), annealing (55 °C for 45 s), extension (72 °C for 1 min), and a final elongation step for 8 min at 72 °C. PCR Purification Kit (Axygen, Union City, CA, USA) was used to purify the PCR products. The amplicons of each sample were then pooled in equimolar concentrations into a single tube prior to 454 pyrosequencing. Pyrosequencing was performed on a 454 GS-FLX Titanium System (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) by Shanghai Personal Biotechnology Co., Ltd. Quality filtering of data was conducted following Fierer et al.53 using the Quantitative Insights into Microbial Ecology (QIME) pipeline (http://qiime.sourceforge.net)54. In brief, sequences with an average quality score of less than 25, sequences with lengths less than 200 nt or greater than 1,000 nt, with ambiguous bases greater than 1, with homopolymer lengths greater than 6, or with maximum primer mismatches greater than 0 were removed from the dataset. And chimeric sequences were removed using the uchime algorithm in mothur (Version 1.21.2, http://www.mothur.org)55. Sequences were clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) using the QIIME implementation of cd-hit with a threshold of 97% pairwise identity. The longest sequences were extracted and taken as representatives for taxonomic identification by BLAST searches against the non-redundant GenBank sequence database. In order to study the function of soil bacterial communities in the SOC dynamics, the relative abundance of soil bacterial genera (OTUs) was used for multiple analysis in this study.

Statistical analyses. General linear model analysis of variance with SAS 9.0 designed for split plot with tillage practice and straw returning methods as fixed factors and replicates as random factors was conducted to test the main effects and interactions of tillage and straw returning. The least significant difference (LSD) test was used determine the significance of the effects of tillage, straw returning or their interactions. Only the means statistically different at P ≤ 0.05 were considered. Detrended correspondence analysis performed by CANOCO software showed that the data of characteristics of soil microbial communities and bacterial abundance were fitted with the linear model. Thus, redundancy analysis was performed using CANOCO software to explain the relationships between SOC fractions and bacterial communities. Monte Carlo permutation test performed by CANOCO 4.5 was used to assess the statistical significance of explanatory variables. Stepwise regression analysis (SAS 9.0) was performed to determine the relationships between SOC and bacterial genera. The contributions of bacterial genera to SOC were estimated by the relative importance analysis, using the "relaimpo" package in R56. Structural equation modeling (SEM), a multivariate statistical method that enables hypothesis testing of complex path-relation networks57, was used to evaluate whether bacterial communities mediate the change of SOC in response to the conversion of CT to NT, or NS to S. We constructed an a priori model according to a literature review and our knowledge of how these predators are related. The initial model comprised eight predictors: tillage systems (Tillage), straw systems (Straw), monounsaturated fatty acids/saturated fatty acids (MUFA/STFA), gram-positive bacteria/gram-negative bacteria (G⁺/G⁻), dissolved organic carbon (DOC), microbial biomass carbon (MBC), soil organic carbon (SOC), and key genera of the soil bacterial communities (Pseudomonas, Rudaeae, Bacillies, Burkholderia, Clostridium, and Gemmatimonas) picked by stepwise regression analyses, which greatly contributed to the SOC. A ‘robust’ maximum likelihood estimation procedure of AMOS 7.0 software was conducted for the analysis. χ²-test, comparative fit index (CFI), goodness-of-fit (GFI) and root square mean error of approximation (RMSEA) were performed to evaluate model fit.
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