A comparison of the diagnostic utility of the sFlt-1/PIGF ratio versus PIGF alone for the detection of preeclampsia/HELLP syndrome
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\section*{ABSTRACT}
Objective: The Elecsys\textsuperscript{®} immunoassay sFlt-1/PIGF ratio and the Triage\textsuperscript{®} PIGF assay were compared (in a prospective, multicenter, case-control study) for diagnosis of preeclampsia/hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, low platelets (HELLP) syndrome. Methods: Women in European perinatal care centers with singleton pregnancies were enrolled: 178 cases had confirmed preeclampsia and 391 controls had normal outcome. Patients in the preeclampsia/HELLP syndrome group were matched pairwise by gestational week to healthy controls (1:2). Maternal blood samples were analyzed using (a) fully automated Elecsys PlGF and Elecsys sFlt-1 immunoassays with two cutoffs (early-onset [≤33 weeks] ≥33, ≥85; late-onset [≥34 weeks] ≤33, ≥110), and (b) Triage PIGF immunoassay (single cutoff). Diagnostic performance and utility were assessed. Results: Respectively, 83 and 95 women had early-onset or late-onset preeclampsia/HELLP syndrome. The overall diagnostic performance of the Elecsys immunoassay sFlt-1/PIGF ratio (area under the curve [AUC] 0.941) was higher than for Triage PIGF (AUC 0.917). The Elecsys immunoassay sFlt-1/PIGF ratio sensitivity and specificity was: 94.0% (95% confidence interval [CI] 86.5–98.0) and 99.4% (95% CI: 96.8–99.9) for early-onset preeclampsia; and 89.5% (95% CI: 81.5–94.8) and 95.4% (95% CI: 91.7–97.8) for late-onset preeclampsia. The Triage assay sensitivity and specificity was: 96.4% (95% CI: 89.8–99.3) and 88.5% (95% CI: 82.8–92.8) (early-onset); and 90.5% (95% CI: 83–96) and 64.5% (95% CI: 57.8–70.9) (late onset). Conclusions: The fully automated Elecsys immunoassay sFlt-1/PIGF ratio provides improved diagnostic utility over the Triage PIGF assay with improved specificity for the clinical management of pregnant women with suspected preeclampsia/HELLP syndrome.

\section*{Introduction}
Preeclampsia continues to be a leading cause of maternal and fetal morbidity and mortality worldwide with an incidence of 3%–5% (1–4). At least 42% of all maternal deaths annually, and 15% of all preterm deliveries, are due to preeclampsia (5,6).
heterogeneity of preeclampsia clinical presentation often complicates timely and accurate diagnosis and atypical cases continue to remain a daily challenge for the practicing obstetrician (7).

The diagnosis of preeclampsia typically relies on the measurement of maternal blood pressure and proteinuria (8). However, these two parameters are imprecise and have a low predictive value for disease progression and associated adverse outcomes. Furthermore, standardization of these methods can be difficult (9,10).

An imbalance of circulating angiogenic factors plays a central role in preeclampsia (11,12). Pregnant women who develop preeclampsia have an increased ratio of soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase-1 (sFlt-1) to placental growth factor (PlGF), resulting from increased sFlt-1 and decreased PlGF levels (11,13–15). The marked increase in the circulating sFlt-1 concentration commences 5 weeks prior to the preeclampsia onset, accompanied by decreasing PI GF and vascular-endothelial growth factor levels (14). Levels of circulating angiogenic factors may act as biomarkers for preeclampsia diagnosis.

Immunoassays to detect circulating angiogenic factors are available for clinical use in the diagnosis of preeclampsia. Two are compared in this study: the fully automated Elecsys® immunoassay sFlt/PlGF ratio on the cobas electrochemiluminescence immunoassay platform (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) and the Triage® PlGF assay (Alere, San Diego, CA).

The Triage PlGF assay (with a cutoff value of <36 pg/mL to confirm a preeclampsia diagnosis) has previously demonstrated comparable sensitivity and specificity in the diagnosis of early-onset preeclampsia to the Elecsys immunoassay sFlt-1/PlGF ratio assay (using fixed cutoff values) (16). Recent evidence suggested that the sFlt-1/PlGF ratio cutoff of 85, although optimized for high specificity in preeclampsia diagnosis, was not optimal in terms of sensitivity to rule out preeclampsia (17–19). With accumulating evidence for the importance of the sFlt-1/PlGF ratio as a diagnostic and prognostic marker, different cutoffs for the Elecsys immunoassay sFlt-1/PlGF ratio have been defined to allow an assessment of early-onset (≤33, ≥85) and late-onset (≤33, ≥110) disease, and better reflect the putatively different pathophysiologies of early-onset and late-onset preeclampsia (13). The sFlt-1/PlGF ratio can also predict the occurrence of preeclampsia-related adverse maternal and fetal outcomes, irrespective of the presence of a diagnosis of preeclampsia according to current standard diagnostic criteria (20). Rana et al. demonstrated a significantly improved prediction rate of adverse outcomes among preeclampsia patients presenting at <34 weeks’ gestation (20).

The aim of the current study was to compare the Elecsys immunoassay sFlt-1/PlGF ratio and the Triage PlGF assay with a focus on their diagnostic utility with regard to preeclampsia and HELLP (hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, low platelets) syndrome, using a modified cutoff model in early and late phases of gestation.

Material and methods

Study population

Samples (n = 569) from singleton pregnancies in a previously reported multicenter case-control study from nine European perinatal care centers were used (the local ethics committees and institutional review boards approved the study, and all subjects gave their written informed consent before participation) (13). The original study was
conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice. The present study comprised 178 cases with confirmed preeclampsia and 391 controls with a normal pregnancy outcome: the only selection criteria for the present study was that cases/controls had both plasma and serum samples available, to enable comparison of the diagnostic performance of the Elecsys sFlt-1/PlGF immunoassay (which requires serum) and the Triage PlGF assay (which requires plasma samples). For the preeclampsia cases, blood samples were only taken at the visit when preeclampsia was diagnosed (21). Preeclampsia was defined according to the National High Blood Pressure Education Program Working Group on High Blood Pressure in Pregnancy (22). HELLP syndrome was defined as previously published (21). The pregnancy outcome was defined as normal if the mother was not diagnosed with any form of preeclampsia or HELLP syndrome and if the infant was not diagnosed with intrauterine growth restriction (as per local guidelines). Early and late gestational phases were defined as <34 weeks and ≥34 weeks, respectively.

Patients with any form of preeclampsia or HELLP syndrome were combined into one group for overall analysis. Patients in the preeclampsia/HELLP syndrome group with a negative or eventful outcome were matched pairwise by gestational week to a healthy control in a 1:2 manner, resulting in a double sample size of the control group. For each case subject, two control subjects were matched by gestational age: the only requirements for the matching were similar gestational age (<2 weeks’ difference), similar gestational phase (early or late), and the requirement that each subject must not contribute more than one visit. Therefore, a control patient only contributed samples either to the early-onset or the late-onset preeclampsia group, and no repeated samples were used in the case-control cohort, as per the methodology previously described (13).

Samples and immunoassays
Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) plasma samples from maternal blood were analyzed on Alere Triage MetroPro point-of-care analyzers at Bioscientia Labor Ingelheim, Germany, using the Triage PlGF immunoassay (Alere, San Diego, CA) according to the manufacturers’ instructions. The published cutoff value of <36 pg/mL was used (16). The Elecsys immunoassay sFlt/PlGF ratio assay (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) was used to quantify the sFlt/PlGF ratio, as previously described (13). Single measurements in serum were performed for sFlt-1 and PlGF using the fully automated Elecsys PlGF and Elecsys sFlt-1 immunoassays. Both assays are sandwich immunoassays based on electrochemiluminescence technology (23). In the present study, an sFlt-1/PlGF ratio with a cutoff of ≤33 (“rule out”) and ≥85 (“rule in”) was used for the early gestational phase (before gestational week 34), and ≤33 (“rule out”) and ≥110 (“rule in”) for the late gestational phase (at or after gestational week 34), as previously described (13). Triage PlGF values and Elecsys immunoassay sFlt-1/PlGF ratios were measured in the same subjects in different sample aliquots.

Statistics
In a receiver operator characteristic (ROC) analysis (24), the overall area under the curve (AUC) of the Elecsys immunoassay sFlt-1/PlGF ratio curve and the Triage PlGF assay curve were assessed, as previously described (13). In addition, the AUCs for the two
gestational phases were also assessed. Sensitivity and specificity, plus 95% confidence interval (CI), for the two assays were assessed for all cutoffs mentioned above. 95% CIs were calculated according to DeLong et al. (25).

**Results**

**Cases and control patient populations**

In total, 83 women presented with early-onset preeclampsia/HELLP (<34 weeks) and 95 with late-onset preeclampsia/HELLP syndrome (≥34 weeks) (Table 1). There were no significant differences in age, height, and weight between patients with early-onset or late-onset preeclampsia and their gestational age-matched controls. Also, the overall characteristics of the selected cases (based on availability of both a plasma and serum sample for analysis) were similar to the overall cohort of 569 cases from the initial study (13).

**Diagnostic performance**

The diagnostic performances of the Elecsys immunoassay sFlt-1/PlGF ratio and Triage PlGF assay were similar. In the ROC analysis, the overall AUC of the Elecsys immunoassay sFlt-1/PlGF ratio curve (0.941; 95% CI: 0.918–0.963) was higher than that obtained by the Triage PlGF assay (0.917; 95% CI: 0.891–0.942) (Figure 1). The AUCs for the two gestational phases were comparable between the two assays, however, with discriminatory power appearing to be lower for both assays in the diagnosis of late-onset preeclampsia (Figure 2). The AUCs for the early gestational phase were 0.982 (95% CI: 0.965–0.999) with Elecsys immunoassay and 0.974 (95% CI: 0.955–0.993) with Triage, while for the late gestational phase these values were 0.888 (95% CI: 0.846–0.930; Elecsys immunoassay) and 0.855 (95% CI: 0.809–0.901; Triage).

**Diagnostic utility**

Sensitivity and specificity data for preeclampsia detection (defined as single cutoff with Triage and two cutoffs with Elecsys immunoassay) are shown in Table 2. The specificity was higher using the Elecsys immunoassay sFlt-1/PlGF ratio for both early-stage and late-stage gestation, in particular for the late gestational phase (≥34 weeks of gestation; 95.4% specificity using the Elecsys immunoassay ratio at cutoff 110 vs. 64.5% using the Triage PlGF assay). Sensitivity was comparable between the Triage PlGF assay and the Elecsys immunoassay sFlt-1/PlGF ratio for both early-stage and late-stage gestation. sFlt-1/PlGF ratio and PlGF results for cases and controls by preeclampsia/HELLP status, along with

---

**Table 1. Demographics of the control subjects and patients.**

|                     | Age distribution, median (range) years | Total | Early gestation n = 257 | Late gestation n = 312 |
|---------------------|----------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Controls            | 31 (20–39)                             | 391   | 174                     | 217                    |
| Preeclampsia/HELLP  | 31 (20–39)                             | 178*  | 83                      | 95                     |

*Including 14 cases of HELLP syndrome (visit status only): 10 cases in early gestation group and four cases in the late gestation group. HELLP = hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, low platelets.
the cutoffs applied for both systems, are shown in Figure 3. Of note, the equivocal zone between the lower and upper sFlt-1/PlGF cutoffs contains a mixture of cases and controls that could not be accurately separated from each other using either system. The results for the sFlt-1/PlGF ratio and PlGF results for cases and controls by gestational age are shown in Figure 4.

**Conclusion**

The aim of the present study was to compare the Elecsys immunoassay sFlt-1/PlGF ratio and Triage PlGF assay with a focus on their diagnostic utility in preeclampsia and HELLP syndrome. Previous studies have consistently demonstrated that the automated measurement of sFlt-1, PlGF, and the calculation of the sFlt-1/PlGF ratio are reliable tools for the diagnosis of preeclampsia (19,21,26,27). This study suggests that the fully automated Elecsys immunoassay sFlt-1/PlGF ratio, utilizing different cutoffs for early and late gestation, provides improved diagnostic utility for the clinical management of pregnant women with suspected preeclampsia/HELLP syndrome over the Triage PlGF assay. The Elecsys immunoassay sFlt1/PlGF ratio demonstrated considerably greater specificity compared with the Triage PlGF assay while having comparable sensitivity, underlining its usefulness in ruling in and ruling out preeclampsia for at-risk pregnant women. Nevertheless, ROC analyses suggested that both assays appeared to have comparable diagnostic accuracy. In addition, diagnostic performance of both the Elecsys sFlt-1/PlGF ratio immunoassay and the Triage PlGF assay in diagnosing preeclampsia/HELLP syndrome appeared better in early gestation compared with late gestation.
The overall performance of the Triage PI GF assay in confirming a diagnosis of preeclampsia has been previously published (16). For the Elecsys immunoassay sFlt-1/PI GF ratio different cutoffs have been proposed for aid in diagnosis of preeclampsia/HELP syndrome (19,21,26). The different cutoff values for early-onset (≤33, ≥85) and

---

**Figure 2.** ROC curves for Elecsys immunoassay sFlt-1/PI GF ratio versus Triage PI GF assay in (A) early and (B) late gestational phases. The AUCs for early gestational phase were 0.9822 (95% CI: 0.9651–0.9993) (Elecsys immunoassay ratio) and 0.9744 (95% CI: 0.9551–0.9937) (Triage assay), and for late gestational phase were 0.8884 (95% CI: 0.8461–0.9307) (Elecsys immunoassay ratio) and 0.8552 (95% CI: 0.8091–0.9013) (Triage assay).

AUC = area under the curve; CI = confidence interval; PI GF = placental growth factor; ROC = receiver operator characteristic; sFlt-1 = soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase-1.
late-onset preeclampsia disease (≤33, ≥110) used in the Elecsys sFlt-1/PlGF immunoassay in this study increase the diagnostic accuracy of the test. The range of values between the lower and upper cutoff levels also identifies patients whom preeclampsia cannot be

| Table 2. Sensitivity and specificity of Elecsys immunoassay sFlt-1/PlGF ratio and Triage PlGF assays in the positive diagnosis of preeclampsia. |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Assay**                        | **Early gestation** (≤34 weeks) | **Late gestation** (≥34 weeks) |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Elecsys immunoassay sFlt-1/PlGF ratio | Sensitivity 94.0% (86.5–98.0) | 89.5% (81.5–94.8) |
|                                  | Specificity 99.4% (96.8–99.9) | 95.4% (91.7–97.8) |
| Triage PlGF [cutoff 36 pg/ml]    | Sensitivity 96.4% (89.8–99.3) | 90.5% (83–96) |
|                                  | Specificity 88.5% (82.8–92.8) | 64.5% (57.8–70.9) |

95% confidence intervals are shown. PlGF = placental growth factor; sFlt-1 = soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase-1.

**Figure 3.** Scatterplot showing Elecsys immunoassay sFlt-1/PlGF ratio and Triage PlGF results for cases and controls. The horizontal dashed lines illustrate the Elecsys immunoassay ratio cutoffs, the vertical dashed line represents the Triage assay cutoff. Both the vertical and the horizontal scale are shown in logarithmic scale.

HELLP = hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, low platelets; PlGF = placental growth factor; sFlt-1 = soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase-1.
confidently ruled in or ruled out, and for whom repeated monitoring would be clinically appropriate. At the current time, there are no formal guidelines regarding the use of PlGF or the sFlt-1/PlGF ratio; however, consensus statements have been developed by international experts on the clinical use of the Elecsys immunoassay sFlt-1/PlGF ratio (and consequent patient management based on the result) in cases of suspected preeclampsia or in women at high risk of the syndrome (28).

The increased diagnostic specificity obtained by the use of Elecsys immunoassay sFlt-1/PlGF ratio led to fewer false-positive results than the Triage PlGF assay alone. This is a clinically relevant finding, which should support more appropriate clinical decisions and reduce the number of patients undergoing inappropriate lengthy hospitalization for suspected preeclampsia or to rule out other secondary diagnoses (27). This hypothesis is supported by Figure 3, which shows the higher diagnostic specificity of the sFlt-1/PlGF ratio >85 for early-onset or >110 for late-onset preeclampsia/HELLP syndrome compared with the PlGF assay (with the cutoff of <36 pg/mL, many control samples were flagged as being at risk). Given that

![Figure 4](image-url)

**Figure 4.** Boxplots showing (A) sFlt-1/PIGF ratio and (B) PlGF result for cases and controls by gestational age with cutoffs for each system. The box represents the median and first and third quartiles (the 25th and 75th percentiles). The upper whisker extends from the box to the highest value that is within 1.5 × interquartile range (IQR). The lower whisker extends from the box to the lowest value within 1.5 × IQR. Data beyond the end of the whiskers are outliers and plotted as points. Early and late gestational phases were defined as <34 and ≥34 weeks, respectively. The vertical scales in (A) and (B) are shown in logarithmic scale. The cutoffs for each assay system are illustrated by dashed horizontal lines.

HELLP = hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, low platelets; PlGF = placental growth factor; sFlt-1 = soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase-1.
preeclampsia is associated with a shorter time to delivery, patients identified by the Elecsys immunoassay sFlt-1/PlGF ratio as being particularly at risk would be immediately (and appropriately) hospitalized. In addition, the high sensitivity and specificity when using the two gestational-age cutoffs defined for the sFlt-1/PlGF ratio offer physicians a greater degree of confidence to “rule in” or “rule out” preeclampsia. Therefore, while the PlGF and sFlt-1/PlGF ratio assays have comparable technical performance, the application of the Elecsys immunoassay sFlt-1/PlGF ratio appears to offer a greater degree of diagnostic utility in the clinic.

In women with suspected preeclampsia presenting at <34 weeks, the circulating sFlt-1/PlGF ratio predicted adverse outcomes (including iatrogenic delivery, small for gestational age birth weight, fetal/neonatal death) occurring within 2 weeks (20). Lai et al. also demonstrated that testing by PlGF and sFlt-1 at 30–33 weeks, they could identify all pregnancies developing preeclampsia and requiring delivery within the subsequent 4 weeks (29). Serial measurements of angiogenic factors allow dynamics in serum values to be assessed and permit a risk-adapted prenatal care of these patients.

Limitations of the present study include the retrospective case-control study design. In addition, the Elecsys immunoassay sFlt-1/PlGF ratio cutoffs and the Triage PlGF cutoff have only been validated for these immunoassays. Optimal cutoffs for sFlt-1/PlGF and PlGF alone, respectively, may be different using assays from other manufacturers. A randomized controlled study using the dual cutoff Elecsys sFlt-1/PlGF ratio immunoassay is warranted in a study population at high risk of preeclampsia to support the findings from the present analysis.

In summary, data from the present study suggest that the Elecsys immunoassay sFlt-1/PlGF ratio, combined with appropriate gestational age-related cutoff values, could better help identify individuals with high risk of developing preeclampsia, compared with the PlGF measurement alone, thus allowing the optimization of their care.
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