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Abstract

The crystal structures of 2-(1H-indol-3-yl)-4-phenyl-5H-indeno[1,2,1-b]pyridine-3-carbonitrile (Ia) and 2-(1H-indol-3-yl)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5H-indeno[1,2,1-b]pyridine-3-carbonitrile (Ib) were determined using single crystal X-ray diffraction. Both the compounds belong to the triclinic system with the P-1 space group. The azafluorene ring system in both the compounds is effectively planar. The intermolecular interactions present in the compounds are discussed using Hirshfeld surface analysis, QTAIM and NCI. Compound Ib formed a strong interaction (−24.174 kJ/mol) with the solvent molecule. Both the compounds were geometry optimized using DFT/B3LYP level of theory. The compound's drug-like behaviors were studied using HOMO-LUMO analysis. The homology modeling of SARS CoV-2 RdRp was done utilizing the PDB 6NUR_A as a template. The model showed above 99% similarity with its precedent SARS CoV. The molecular docking analysis of the synthesized compounds was carried out along with some suggested drugs for COVID-19 and some phytochemicals. The docking results were then analyzed. The binding free energy of the complexes were calculated using MM-PB(GB)SA and ADMET properties of Ia and Ib were also predicted. Some suggestions are given from this analysis.

© 2020 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Coronaviruses (CoV) in humans can cause the common cold to Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS). At the beginning of the 21st century, the CoV outbreak was identified in the southern part of China called SARS, spread more than 25 countries with the lethal rate of 10% [1,2]. Next broke out of the corona was happened on 2012 in Saudi Arabia, named Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) having a fatality rate of 3.5% [3,4]. A new public life threat was identified at the end of 2019, named as novel coronavirus -2019, and originally emerged from the city Wuhan, China [5]. The World Health Organization (WHO) announced a CoV outbreak on 30th January 2020. On February 11, 2020 the disease was named as COVID-19 (Corona Virus Disease-19) by WHO. According to the situation report-84 released by WHO on April 13, 2020, more than 185 countries and territories were affected by this virus with the number of confirmed cases of 17,73,084 and 1,11,652 deaths. From the situation report-46 released on March 06, 2020, the mortality rate is 3–4%, which is greater than the influenza virus. To date, there are no dedicated drugs or vaccines are approved for treating such CoV’s. Therefore, CoV’s now become a global life threat to humans.

Basically, there are four types of CoV’s namely α, β, γ and δ were identified. Among the four γ and δ affect birds, whereas, α and β affect mammals [6]. This SARS CoV-2 is belonging to β-CoV with four proteins, namely, spike (S) protein (binds with the host cell ACE2 receptor), membrane (M) protein (act as an organizer of CoV assembly), envelope (E) protein (interacts with membrane protein to form an envelope) and nucleocapsid (N) protein (viral RNA genome replication) [7].

Treating such CoV includes, increase the native human immune system and inhibit the replication process of CoV inside the human body. The replication process can be inhibited by targeting one of the proteins, mainly, the nucleocapsid protein. RNA dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) is one of the enzymes, which catalyze the RNA replication and transcription process.
drugs like Remdesivir, galidesivir target this RdRp and interrupt as a
nucleotide in the process of replication. These nucleotide analogous
drugs were used as an anti-viral drugs against some diseases
caused by RNA viruses like Ebola, HIV and Zika virus [8–11]. Anti-
viral drugs such as Lopinavir and Ritonavir showed inhibitory ac-
tion against SARS CoV-2 [12]. Chloroquine and Hydroxychloroquine
are also suggested for the COVID-19 treatment. Chloroquine and
Remdesivir showed inhibition activity against SARS CoV-2 in vitro
[13].

Azafuorenes have attracted researchers towards its side by
showing some moderate to good biological activities. The alkaloid
extracted from the plant Polyalithia debilis contains 4-azafuorene
derivatives showed anti-microbial, anti-malarial and cytotoxic ac-
tivities [14]. Naturally obtained onychine showed anti-fungal ac-
tivity against candida albicans [15] also anti-microbial activity
against Staphylococcus aureus [16]. Further, these derivatives act as
an adenosine A2A receptor antagonist [17]. These derivatives are
effective for neurodegenerative diseases [18] by having anti-
depressant property [19]. Some derivatives are reported to have
Phosphodiesterase inhibitory [20], cystotoxic [21], anti-
-inflammatory [22], anti-oxidant [23] and anti-histamine [24,25]
properties. Girgis and co-workers showed the vasodilating and
bronchodilating properties of azafuorene derivatives for the
treatment of asthma [26].

The pyridine skeleton attracts more importance among chem-
ists and biologists because of its abundance in nature. The naturally
occurring pyridine containing compounds having vast applications
in pharmaceutics. The organic compounds containing pyridine are
an important class of HIV drugs, which inhibit RNA dependent DNA
polymerase, and hence act as reverse transcriptase inhibitors. Some
ruthenium complexes of pyridine show anti-cancer, anti-tumor and
anti-viral activities [27]. Pyridine fused with indole compounds
were screened for their anti-tumor activities are also shown
moderate to good anti-bacterial activity against Staphylococcus
aureus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa [28].

Vincristine, Vinblastine, Vinorelbine and Vindesine are vinca al-
aloids used as intravenous drugs (anti-mitotic drugs) prescribed for
the treatment of various types of cancer, such as, lung cancer,
breast cancer, leukaemia, melanoma and lymphoma [29,30]. These
drugs bind to the β-tubulin subunit and changes the conformation
of tubulin, thus they control the cell mitotic process (cell division)
[31].

The repurposing of approved drugs were already done by many
research groups [32] and which are still in the trials. Therefore, this
work demonstrates the synthesis, structural and packing analysis of
two indole containing azafuorene derivatives. The intermolecular
hydrogen bonding interactions are qualified and quantified using
Hirshfeld surface analysis, QTAIM (Quantum Topological Atoms In
Molecules) and NCI (Non-Covalent Interaction) analyses. Also, the
homology modeling of the structure of RNA dependent RNA poly-
merase (RdRp) of SARS CoV-2 is discussed due to the unavailability
of three dimensional PDB (Protein Data Bank) structure. Finally, the
drugs to treat COVID-19 are suggested based on the results of in-
silico molecular docking analysis.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Synthesis

Compounds C_{27}H_{17}N_{3} (Ia) and C_{28}H_{19}N_{3}O, C_{3}H_{6}O_{5} (lb) were
synthesized using the following procedure.

2.1.1. 2-(1H-indol-3-yl)-4-phenyl-5H-indeno [1,2-b]pyridine-3-
carbonitrile (Ia)

A mixture of 2-(1H-indol-3-yl)-3-oxopropanenitrile (0.1 g,
0.543 mM), benzaldehyde (0.543 mM), ammonium acetate (0.1 g,
1.1 mM) and 2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-one (0.543 mM) was dis-
solved in ethanol (10 ml) and heated to reflux on a heating mantle
for 2 h. After completion of the reaction as evident from TLC, the
reaction mixture was set aside at ambient temperature for 6–7 h.
The precipitate formed was filtered and dried to get a pure product.
The crystals were obtained from the slow evaporation technique by
dissolving the product in DMSO. (Yield: 81%; m. p: 267–268 °C
(Fig. S1).

2.1.2. 2-(1H-indol-3-yl)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5H-indeno [1,2-b]
pyridine-3-carbonitrile (lb)

A mixture of 3-(1H-indol-3-yl)-3-oxopropanenitrile (0.1 g,
0.543 mM), 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (0.543 mM), ammonium ac-
etate (0.1 g, 1.1 mM) and 2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-one (0.543 mM)
was dissolved in ethanol (10 ml) and heated to reflux on a heating
mantle for 2 h. After completion of the reaction as evident from TLC,
the reaction mixture was set aside at ambient temperature for
6–7 h. The precipitate formed was filtered and dried to get a pure
product. The crystals were obtained from the slow evaporation
technique by dissolving the product in DMSO. (Yield: 77%; m. p:
271–272 °C (Fig. S1).

2.2. Single crystal X-ray diffraction (SXRD)

A good quality optically clear 0.21 × 0.2 × 0.18 mm³ sized crystal
was selected for the intensity data collection using Bruker kappa
APEX II diffractometer using the MoKα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å)
source. The intensity data were collected at 20 °C. Absorption
correction was carried out using the SADABS program with multi-
scan method. Full-matrix least-squares refinement procedure was
used for solving structures using SHELXL [33]. All the non-hydrogen
atoms were refined anisotropically and hydrogen atoms were
positioned from the difference fourier maps and refined isotropi-
cally. Hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions, with
C–H = 0.93–0.98 Å and N–H = 0.86 Å, and allowed to ride on their
respective carrier atoms, U_{eq}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C) for CH₂, CH and NH
groups.

Initial structural solution of lb showed co-crystallized completely
disordered solvent molecule (DMSO) which was modeled and refined
using PART command along with a free vari-
able. The solvent molecule is disordered over two sets of sites in a
0.515(2):0.485(3) ratio. The final refined structure was validated
using PLATON [34] and CheckCIF routine from Iucr. Thermal ellip-
soidal image and molecular packing diagrams were generated using
ORTEP [35] and Mercury [36]. CCDC 1997850 (Ia) and CCDC
1997851 (lb) contain the supplementary crystallographic data. The
data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystal-
lographic Data Center via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures.
The crystallographic data and refinement parameters were listed in
Table 1.

2.3. DFT and intermolecular interaction analysis

Theoretical DFT calculations were done using the computational
package ORCA 3.0.3 [37]. To obtain optimized geometry, the input
file for ORCA was generated from experimental crystal data as
initial coordinates with the DFT B3LYP level of theory with 6–31G
(d,p) [38] as a basis set. The frontier molecular orbital analysis was
carried out using this optimized geometry. Hirshfeld surface (HS)
along with the fingerprint plot analysis has been done using the
software CrystalExplorer 3.1 [39]. For the analysis of QTAIM
(Quantum Theory of Atoms In Molecules) and NCI (Non-Covalent
Interaction), the Single Point energy calculation was done using the
single crystal X-ray geometry as the input. The output wavefunction
file was used for the analysis. For QTAIM analysis Multiwfn [40] software was used and for NCI analysis, NCIPILOT [41] program was used. The outputs were combined and visualized through VMD [42] software.

The principles behind the Hirshfeld surface analysis, QTAIM and NCI can be found somewhere else [43].

2.4. Homology modeling SARS CoV-2 RdRp and molecular docking

2.4.1. Template protein identification

The nucleotide sequence of RdRp of the novel SARS CoV (QIQQ8767.1) was first made available on march 24, 2020 at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein/QIQQ8767.1). The template protein structure containing this similar sequence was searched using Basic Local Alignment Search Tool program (BLAST) [44,45].

A database containing more than 500 molecules including suggested drugs for COVID-19 treatment (www.drugbank.ca),

2.4.2. Homology modeling of RdRp of SARS CoV-2

The three dimensional models of the RdRp of SARS CoV-2 were produced using the homology modeling software MODELLER 9.23 [47]. As stated earlier, the target sequence has 99.11% identical with the template; the coordinates from the template to the Structurally Variable Regions (SVR), Structurally Conserved Regions (SCR), C-termini and N-termini were allotted on the basis of the fulfillment of the spatial restraints. Ten models were produced, out of which the model having lowest energy was chosen for the next step of energy minimization. This step is essential to eliminate the geometrical errors occurred during the modeling stage. This process utilizes the software GROMACS-5.1.2 [48].

2.4.3. Validation and active site prediction of SARS CoV-2

The RdRp of SARS CoV-2 model is validated using PROCHECK [50], PROVE [51], ERRAT [52], Verify 3D [53] and along with Ramachandran plot [54]. Since it is a new virus, its active sites can be predicted using some web servers. Here 3DLigandSite [55] web server (http://www.sbg.bio.imperial.ac.uk/3dligandsite/) was used to predict the binding sites.

2.4.4. Preparation of ligands and its docking

A database containing more than 500 molecules including suggested drugs for COVID-19 treatment (www.drugbank.ca),

Table 1

| Empirical formula | C2H17N3 | C28H19N3O, C27H17N3O2S |
|-------------------|---------|-------------------------|
| Molecular weight  | 383.43  | 491.59                  |
| Temperature (K)   | 293 (2) | 293 (2)                 |
| Wavelength (Å)    | 0.71073 | 0.71073                 |
| Crystal system    | Triclinic| Triclinic               |
| Space group       | P - 1   | P - 1                   |
| a (Å)             | 9.5662 (5) | 9.9760 (6)             |
| b (Å)             | 10.4295 (5) | 11.0573 (5)          |
| c (Å)             | 11.4163 (6) | 12.9317 (7)           |
| α (°)             | 90.300 (3) | 90.300 (3)            |
| β (°)             | 105.005 (3) | 115.950 (3)          |
| γ (°)             | 115.950 (3) | 78.469 (3)           |
| Volume (Å³)       | 980.00 (9) | 1283.17 (12)         |
| Z                 | 2       | 2                      |
| Density (calculated) (mg/m³) | 1.299 | 1.272 |
| Absorption coefficient (mm⁻¹) | 0.078 | 0.158 |
| F(000)            | 400     | 516                    |
| Crystal size (mm³)| 0.3 x 0.25 x 0.2 | 0.15 x 0.15 x 0.1 |
| Theta range for data collection (°) | 2.192 to 29.514 | 2.209 to 27.267 |
| Index ranges      | -13 ≤ l≤-13, -14 ≤ k≤-14, -15 ≤ l≤-15 | -12 ≤ l≤-12, -14 ≤ k≤-14, -16 ≤ l≤-16 |
| Reflections collected | 26957 | 26682 |
| Independent reflections | 5451 [R (int) = 0.0537] | 5689 [R (int) = 0.0574] |
| Completeness to theta = 29.514° | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on F² | Full-matrix least-squares on F² |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 5451/0/271 | 5689/0/367 |
| Goodness-of-fit on F² | 1.000 | 1.006 |
| Final R indices [I > 2σ(σ(I))] | R₁ = 0.0510, wR₂ = 0.1081 | R₁ = 0.0499, wR₂ = 0.1105 |
| R indices (all data) | R₁ = 0.1146, wR₂ = 0.1366 | R₁ = 0.1264, wR₂ = 0.1513 |
| Extinction coefficient | n/a | 0.0091 (18) |
| Largest diff. peak and hole (eÅ⁻³) | 0.174 and -0.246 | 0.193 and -0.196 |
some phytochemicals (https://phytochem.nal.usda.gov/; https://cb.imsc.res.in/impat/) and some modeled derivatives of azafluorene. All the ligand molecules were energy minimized using Steepest descent algorithm employed in Avogadro. The water molecules were removed, if any, from the protein molecule. A grid box with the size of 22.3508 x 27.3405 x 27.5145 was used. The box was fixed on the active site having the coordinates (x,y,z) = 148.032, 140.6072, 157.7467. The docking Lamarckian Genetic Algorithm (LGA) was allowed to produce 10 docked positions for each ligand. Molecular docking studies were performed using AutoDock vina [56] in PyRx [57] software. The final results were analyzed and visualized on the basis of docking scores using Chimera [58] and PyMol [59] software.

2.4.5. Pharmacophore modeling and ADME analysis

Pharmacognost [60] webserver was used to generate pharmacophore model with the training set includes the suggested inhibitors of COVID-19 [61]. The ADME (Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism, Excretion and Toxicity) properties of the compounds Ia and Ib were then predicted using SwissADME server [62], admetSAR [63] and OSIRIS property explorer [64].

2.4.6. Binding free energy calculation using MM-PB(GB)SA

The binding free energy was calculated using farPPI server [65]. The input files were generated using Chimera [58]. The lowest binding energy, protein-ligand complex from the docking analysis was selected. The partial charges of the ligands were calculated using the AM1-BCC method [66] using the DockPrep tool in Chimera. The force fields, GAFF2 [67] and ff14SB [68] were used for ligand and protein respectively.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Single crystal X-ray diffraction studies

The two compounds differ by the substituent at fourth position of azafuorene ring. This substitution does not affect the crystal system. The ORTEP diagrams with thermal ellipsoids at 30% probability with an atom numbering scheme for la and Ib are shown in Figs. 1 and 2. In both the compounds, the azafuorene ring is essentially planar with the deviation of 0.0198 (2) Å in la and 0.019 (2) Å in Ib with the fitted atoms. The bond lengths and angles of the azafluorene ring system are very much similar to the reported compounds ([26,69–72] and CSD ref. code: CCDC 1481137, 1448345, 1470253, 1459303) and gives the strongest proof for the aromatic type delocalization within the pyridine moiety and the fused aryl ring. The bond lengths of C4 – C41 [1.507 (2) Å in la & 1.504 (3) Å in Ib], C41 – C42 [1.508 (2) Å in la & 1.508 (2) Å in Ib] and C47 – C51 [1.462 (2) Å in la & 1.469 (3) Å in Ib] are relatively longer indicates the lack of π delocalization within the cyclopentane ring [71,73,74]. The shortening of the bond lengths C4 – C5 [1.401 (2) Å in la and 1.396 (3) Å in Ib], C42 – C47 [1.393 (2) Å in la (i) and 1.391 (3) Å in Ib (ii)] and reduction in the angle around C41 [102.63 (13)° and 102.03 (19)°] are the key indicators of the fusion of phenyl and pyridine rings with the cyclopentane.

The C2 – C21 bond length in la and Ib are 1.434 (2) Å and 1.438 (3) Å, which signifies the aromatic type bond length, the bond distance N2 – C21 is 1.146 (2) Å in la (i) and 1.148 (3) Å in Ib (ii) indicates the triple bond nature [28]. The angle around C21 [179.6 (2)° in la (i) and 179.9 (3)° in Ib (ii)] defines the linearity of the nitrile group. The deviation of C21 and N2 atoms from the mean plane of pyridine 0.007 (2) Å & 0.02 (3) Å in (la) and 0.092 (3) Å & 0.166 (4) Å in (Ib) exemplifies the coplanarity. These deviations are due to the nearby substitutions in the pyridine ring.

The indole ring (N3/C11–C18) in both the compounds is planar with the r.m.s deviation of 0.0078 (1) Å in (la) and 0.0068 (2) Å in (Ib) with the fitted atoms. The sum of the angles around N3 is 360 (1)° in both the compounds, which indicates the sp² hybridization of nitrogen. The indole ring in both the compounds are in (+) syn-periplanar conformation with the pyridine ring evidenced from the torsion N1–C1–C11–C12 [20.8 (2)° in (la) and 20.2 (3)° in (Ib)]. The indole in all the compounds are nearly coplanar with the pyridine which may be seen from the dihedral angle [23.68 (2)° and 23.48 (3)° in la and Ib respectively]. The endocyclic angles at C12 and C16 are contracted, while at C15 and C17 are expanded in la and Ib. This may due to the fusion of the pyrrole ring with the phenyl ring, with the strain taken up by angular distortion rather than by bond length distortions [75].

The bond lengths and angles of phenyl [69] and anisole [70] rings of la and Ib are consistent with the similar structures. From the torsion C2–C3–C3–C4 the aryl substituent at C3 in compound la [53.4 (2)°] and in Ib [66.5 (2)°] are (+) syn-clinal.

The molecular structure of compound Ia is stabilized through an intermolecular interaction N3–H3···O2 (Table 2 and Fig. 3). The interaction from indole (N3–H3) to cyano nitrogen (N2) [symmetry code: (i)-2–x, -y, -z] connects the inversely related molecules thus forming an R2[16] motif lying along the (110) plane. This motif is a characteristic motif of indole and nitrile containing compounds [76–78].

In Ib, the host and guest molecules are forming an intermolecular interaction thus stabilizing the crystal. Here the characteristic ring motif was not found because of the strong interaction with the solvent (DMSO) molecule. The atoms N3 and C3 act as donors and O2 in DMSO act as an acceptor (Table 3). The two interactions N3–H3···O2 [symmetry code: (i)-x, 1-y, 1-z] and C35–H35···O2a [symmetry code: (ii)-x+1, y, 1-z] forming a chain motif of C2(12) (Fig. 4).

3.2. Hirshfeld surface, QTAIM and NCI analysis

Hirshfeld surface of compounds la and Ib are mapped over dnorm surface shown in Figs. S2 and S3 respectively. Table 4 shows the individual contributions. The colour coded NCI plot along with QTAIM critical points of la and Ib are shown in Figs. S4 and S5 and the values of QTAIM descriptors are shown in Tables 5 and 6.

In both the structures, apparently short contacts seem to influence H–H interaction (Table 4). Other significant contributions are from C–H, N–H and O–H interactions. These arise due to the intermolecular interactions present in the compounds. Further contributions are may be due to van der Waals interactions. Hirshfeld surface shows two and four red spots for la and Ib respectively (Figs. S2 and S3).

In compound la, for N–H···N interaction, d1 + d2 ≈ 2.0 Å which is less than the sum of the van der Waals radii 2.74 Å [79,80] indicated as bright red spots (Fig. S2). This interaction is colour coded as blue in NCI index indicating strong interactions (Fig. S4). The energy of this interaction from QTAIM descriptors is found to be −14.856 kJ/mol. The ‘sign (λ2)'p value is −0.0177 a. u.

In compound Ib, for N···O interaction, d1 + d2 ≈ 1.7 Å which is less than the sum of the van der Waals radii 2.61 Å. The energy of this interaction is −24.174 kJ/mol with the ‘sign (λ2)'p value of −0.023 a. u. and there is an additional C–H···O interaction, which is indicated as a light red spot in Hirshfeld surface and in NCI index it is green (Figs. S3 and S5). For the C···O interactions, d1 + d2 ≈ 1.8 Å with the energy of −6.783 kJ/mol.

From this analysis, it can be concluded that the compound Ib forms a stronger interaction (N3–H3···O2) with the solvent molecule.
Fig. 1. ORTEP diagram of 1a showing 30% probability and atom-numbering scheme.

Fig. 2. ORTEP diagram of 1b showing 30% probability and atom-numbering scheme.
3.3. HOMO-LUMO analysis

The studies on frontier molecular orbital reveal the chemical reactivity, chemical hardness or softness of the molecule and kinetic stability [81]. All the calculated energy parameters along with a partition coefficient (log P) and dipole moment are given in Table 7. It can be seen from Fig. 5 that the HOMO orbitals are located on both cyanopyridine and indole moieties while LUMO orbitals are located on azafuorene ring, nitrile group and substituted aryl rings. From the molecular orbital analysis, the substitution has an influence on the electron accepting ability of the compounds. The intra-

molecular charge transfer interactions of both the compounds are nearly the same as evidenced from their energy gaps.

The compound Ia is more reactive than Ib. This is evident from low chemical hardness (\(\eta\)) and high softness (\(S\)) for Ia than in Ib. Based on the values of electronegativity (\(\chi\)) and chemical potential (\(\mu\)) Ia has more electron attracting ability than Ib and this is supplemented by a high electrophilicity index (\(\omega\)) of Ia. The cell membrane permeability of Ib is higher than Ia indicated by log P values. The value of dipole moment which tells about the ligand-protein interaction on the basis of electrostatic interaction is higher in Ib than Ia. Both the compounds are reducers based on the negative HOMO and LUMO values and may undergo oxidative reactions with cytochrome P450 enzyme [82].
Table 4
Contribution of individual interactions to Hirshfeld surface.

| Compound | H—H (%) | C—H/H—C (%) | N—H/H—N (%) | O—H/H—O (%) | C—C (%) | C—N/N—C (%) |
|----------|---------|-------------|--------------|-------------|---------|--------------|
| la       | 44.4    | 35.8        | 11.2         | —           | 5.6     | 3.0          |
| lb       | 46.2    | 29.4        | 10.0         | 9.1         | 2.7     | —            |

Table 5
QTAIM descriptors for la.

| Interaction | ρ a.u. | V²ρ a.u. | G(r) a.u. | V(r) a.u. | H(r) a.u. | | Ebind kJ.mol⁻¹ |
|-------------|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---|----------------|
| N3—H3—N2 #1| 0.0177 | 0.0569   | 0.0126   | −0.011   | 0.0016   | 0.873        | −14.856        |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: #1 2−x, y, 1−z.

Table 6
QTAIM descriptors for lb.

| Interaction | ρ a.u. | V²ρ a.u. | G(r) a.u. | V(r) a.u. | H(r) a.u. | | Ebind kJ.mol⁻¹ |
|-------------|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---|----------------|
| N3—H3—O2 #1| 0.0231 | 0.0928   | 0.0206   | −0.0179  | 0.0026   | 0.869 | −24.174 |
| C35—H35—O2 #2 | 0.0069 | 0.0233   | 0.0053   | −0.005  | 0.0006   | 0.943 | −6.783 |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: #1 -x, 1−y, 1−z #2 −x+1,y+1,z.

Table 7
Calculated energy values by B3LYP/6-311G (d,p) level.

| Parameters                          | la     | lb     |
|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|
| E_HOMO (eV)                         | −4.502 | −4.45  |
| E_LUMO (eV)                         | −2.162 | −2.078 |
| Ionization potential (I) (I − E_HOMO) (eV) | 4.502 | 4.45   |
| Electron affinity (A) (A − E_LUMO) (eV) | 2.162 | 2.078  |
| Energy gap (ΔE) (eV)                | 2.34   | 2.372  |
| Electronegativity (χ) (χ = (I + A)/2) (eV) | 3.332 | 3.264  |
| Chemical potential (µ) (µ = (I + A)/2) (eV) | −3.332 | −3.264 |
| Chemical hardness (η) (η = (I − A)/2) (eV) | 1.17   | 1.186  |
| Chemical softness (S) (S = −1/2η) (eV) | 0.427  | 0.422  |
| Electrophilicity index (ω) (ω = µ²/2η) (eV) | 4.745  | 4.491  |
| log P                               | 3.68   | 3.29   |
| Dipole moment (debye)               | 1.863  | 1.982  |

3.4. Pharmacophore mapping and drug-likeness properties

The best model given by the PharmaGIST revealed four pharmacophoric features includes two hydrogen bonding acceptors and two aromatic rings (Fig. 6). Further, the Drug-likeness properties like physicochemical properties, lipophilicity, pharmacokinetics and toxicity were predicted for compounds la and lb and given in Table 8. Both the compounds do not violate the Lipinski’s rule of 5 [83] inferred from the physico-chemical and lipophilicity properties. Both the compounds do not show mutagenicity, tumorigenicity, irritating and reproductive effects. Also, these compounds have no Blood-Brain Barrier permeation ability. However, these compounds have CYP450 inhibition effects except CYP3A4 and 2D6.

3.5. Molecular docking analysis

The final minimized potential energy of RdRp model is −418539 kJ/mol. The root mean square deviation between the template (6NUR_A) and model is 0.0016 Å. The superimposed figure of template and model is shown in Fig. S6. The final protein model contains thirty six α-helices, fifteen β-strands and fifty one coils (Fig. 7).

Table S1 shows the values obtained from ProtParam analysis. This revealed that this protein contains 803 amino acids with a molecular weight of 91796.61 Da. Also the instability index was computed as 29.14 and a GRAYV index of −0.18 indicates that this protein is highly stable, hydrophilic molecule with hydrogen bonding capability. From the ERRAT calculation, the quality factor of the model was found as 94.9871. This is a very good indication of an acceptable 3D profile. The model passed the Verify 3D and PROCHECK evaluations. The Ramachandran plot was shown in Fig. S7. From this plot it is inferred that, 91.7% residues are in allowed regions, 8.0% are in additionally allowed regions and 0.3% are in generally allowed regions. There is no residues are situated outside of the allowed regions. This clearly indicates that the model is highly reliable for further analysis. The amino acids within the predicted binding site are LYS-429, LYS-435, ARG-437, THR-440, VAL-441, ASP-502, LYS-505, CYS-506, ASP-507, SER-566, ASP-644, ASP-645 and LYS-682 (Fig. 8). This active site is used for the docking analysis with ligands.

The novel corona virus is a beta-corona virus having single positive strand RNA [84]. The multiplication/repllication and transcription from an RNA template of this virus is facilitated by multi-subunit non-structural proteins (nsp7, 8 & 12). The co-factors nsp7 and 8 accelerates nsp12, which is a core catalytic unit of the RdRp, increases the activity of template binding and processing [85]. The study around the structural features of RdRp is a key to design a drug that can interact with this protein and hence to suppress its activity of replication. This nsp12 resembles a cupped structure having fingers domain (amino acids (a.a) 180−465 & 512−564), a palm domain (a.a 466−511 & 565−699) and a thumb domain (a.a 700−803) (Fig. S8) [46]. The enzymatic activity of this polymerase is highly dependent on the conserved active site SER643-ASP644-ASP645 residues located at the palm domain [85]. The residues in the finger domain LYS429 and ARG439 arrange the incoming NTP (Nucleotide Tri Phosphate) in a perfect manner and provide a gateway to the catalytic center and LYS384 and SER385 arrange themselves to hold the template RNA strand [86]. At the
Fig. 5. Frontier molecular orbitals and energies of the HOMO, LUMO.
catalytic center, the base of the NTP binds with the template through the 2’ and 3’ hydroxyl groups form hydrogen bonds with the residues THR564, ASN575 and ASP507. Also, VAL441 stacks the +1 template RNA base to support the base pairing of NTPs [46]. Therefore, targeting the above mentioned residues may greatly affect the catalytic activity and processivity of the RdRp. The docked poses of compounds Ia and Ib are shown in Fig. 9.

Among the approved drugs, *Lopinavir* has the lowest binding energy (B.E) of −9.4 kcal/mol but no interactions with the mentioned important residues. *Favipiravir, Calidesivir, Hydroxychloroquine and Ritonavir* showed at least one interaction with the mentioned residues (Table S2). Moreover, *Ritonavir* (−8.3 kcal/mol) can bind tightly with the polymerase and interact with one of the catalytic residues ASP644 (Table S2). Therefore, these drugs can directly interfere with the enzymatic activity, thus preventing the replication. The similar interaction is observed in some vinca alkaloids like, *vindesine* (−8.7 kcal/mol), *vincatathine* (−7.9 kcal/mol), *vincristine* (−7.8 kcal/mol), *vinblastine* (−7.2 kcal/mol) and *vinidoline* (−6.8 kcal/mol) (Table S3). The modeled compounds M −1 and M −3 have interactions with ASP644 and ASP645 (Table S4). Thus, these compounds may control the catalytic activity.

*Calidesivir* (−7.6 kcal/mol), *Hydroxychloroquine* (−6.3 kcal/mol), and *Favipiravir* (−5.8 kcal/mol), make one or more hydrogen bonds with 2’ and 3’ hydroxyl group of NTP interacting residues, thus obstructing the incoming NTP (Table S2). The similar behavior is observed in compound Ib having the binding energy of −7.8 kcal/mol (Table 9; Fig. 10). The binding free energy of this complex using MM-PB (GB)SA is −39.42 kcal/mol. Also, this compound Ib does not violate the Lipinski’s rule of 5 [83], suggests the drug-likeness property. Further, log P and dipole moment values of Ib confirmed the drug-like activity. The phytochemicals possess the same interaction type are *vindesine, vincristine, quercetin* and *vincamine* with B.E of −8.7, −7.8, −7.8 and −6.6 respectively.

The proper orientation of NTP is maintained by the residues LYS429 and ARG439. Compound Ia interacts with the residues that are nearby the above mentioned residues (Table 9; Fig. 10). Therefore, this compound may block the space for the NTP molecules to stay. The binding energy and binding free energy of this complex is −8.3 kcal/mol and −11.04 kcal/mol respectively. The decrease in binding free energy of Ib is may be due to the comparatively weaker interactions with the residues as that of Ib. *Vincathine* (−7.9 kcal/mol), *quercetin* (−7.8 kcal/mol) and *vincaleukoblastine* (−7.3 kcal/mol) also exhibits the same interactions.

### 4. Conclusion

In this work, two azafluorene derivatives having different substituents at fourth position were synthesized and structural parameters were analyzed using SXRD. The change in substituent
Fig. 7. Top: The secondary structural elements such as α-helices (Red), β-strands (Green) and coils (Blue). Bottom: The amino acid sequence colour coded as corresponding secondary structural elements.
brought changes in physicochemical properties. The intermolecular interactions were analyzed qualitatively and quantitatively using Hirshfeld surface analysis, QTAIM and NCI index. The results suggest that, compound Ib forms strong interaction (−24.174 kJ/mol) with the solvent. Both the compounds were geometry optimized using DFT/B3LYP methods and its frontier molecular orbital analysis was done. The energy gap and other properties related to molecular interacting abilities were predicted. From docking analysis, the drugs, Lopinavir, Favipiravir, Galidesivir, Hydroxychloroquine and Ritonavir may be used against COVID-19. The mentioned phytochemicals showed good binding affinities with the target indicating its potential efficacy. The compounds Ia, Ib and modeled derivatives (M/C0-1 and M/C0-3) interact with the RdRp indicating its potential activity. However, further in-vitro and in-vivo analyses around these mentioned compounds are required to ascertain these suggestions.

Fig. 8. Colour coded active site residues of the RdRp model.

Fig. 9. The docked pose of Ia (purple) and Ib (green) within the active pocket. The black solid line indicates the interaction.

Table 9

| Compound | Hydrogen bond (docking) | Distance (Å) | B.E (kcal/mol) |
|----------|-------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Ia       | N–H–O (ASP-502)         | 2.5          | −8.3           |
|          | N–H–O (TYR-503)         | 2.9          |                |
|          | N–H–N (LYS-682)         | 3.1          |                |
| Ib       | N–H–O (ASP-502)         | 2.4          | −7.8           |
Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molstruc.2020.128741.
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