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Abstract

Cytokinins (CKs) regulate plant development and growth via a two-component signaling pathway. By forward genetic screening, we isolated an Arabidopsis mutant named grow fast on cytokinins 1 (gfc1), whose seedlings grew larger aerial parts on MS medium with CK. gfc1 is allelic to a previously reported cutin mutant defective in cuticular ridges (dcr). GFC1/DCR encodes a soluble BAHD acyltransferase (a name based on the first four enzymes characterized in this family: Benzylalcohol O-acetyltransferase, Anthocyanin O-hydroxycinnamoyltransferase, anthranilate N-hydroxycinnamoyl/benzoyltransferase and Deacetylvindoline 4-O-acetyltransferase) with diacylglycerol acyltransferase (DGAT) activity in vitro and is necessary for normal cuticle formation on epidermis in vivo. Here we show that gfc1 was a CK-insensitive mutant, as revealed by its low regeneration frequency in vitro and resistance to CK in adventitious root formation and dark-grown hypocotyl inhibition assays. In addition, gfc1 had de-etiolated phenotypes in darkness and was therefore defective in skotomorphogenesis. The background expression levels of most type-A Arabidopsis Response Regulator (ARR) genes were higher in the gfc1 mutant. The gfc1-associated phenotypes were also observed in the cutin-deficient glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase 4/8 (gpat4/8) double mutant [defective in glycerol-3-phosphate (G3P) acyltransferase enzymes GPAT4 and GPAT8, which redundantly catalyze the acylation of G3P by hydroxyl fatty acid (OH-FA)], but not in the cutin-deficient mutant cytochrome p450, family 86, subfamily A, polypeptide 2/aberrant induction of type three 1 (cyp86A2/att1), which affects the biosynthesis of some OH-FAs. Our results indicate that some acyltransferases associated with cutin formation are involved in CK responses and skotomorphogenesis in Arabidopsis.
Introduction

Cytokinins (CKs) are N6-substituted adenine derivatives that play essential roles in regulating plant growth and development, including shoot initiation and growth, leaf senescence, and photomorphogenesis [1–4]. The predominant CKs in higher plants are isopentenyladenine (iP), trans-zeatin (tZ) and dihydrozeatin (DHZ) [5]. In planta CK homeostasis is regulated by a balance between biosynthesis and catabolism: the rate-limiting step of CK biosynthesis is catalyzed by enzymes encoded by the ATP/ADP-isopentenyltransferase (IPT) gene family [6], and its degradation is regulated by the activity of seven CK oxidase/dehydrogenases (CKX1–7) [7].

Plants respond to CKs through a multi-step phosphorelay system, consisting of sensor histidine kinases (HK), histidine phosphotransfer proteins (HP), and effector response regulators (RR) (two-component system) [2,3,8–10]. So far three CK receptors, AHK2, AHK3 and AHK4/CYTOKININ RESPONSE 1 (CRE1)/WOODEN LEG (WOL) have been identified [3,11–14] with overlapping as well as specific functions in regulation of shoot, root, and embryo growth and of senescence [15]. In Arabidopsis, the phosphorelay initiated from the receptors incorporates both AHPs and ARRs. AHPs mediate the transfer of phosphoryl groups from AHKs to type-B ARRs [16], which function as transcription factors [17–19]. Among the transcriptional targets of the type-B ARRs are type-A ARRs, the negative regulators of the CK signal pathway [20–22]. Both type-A and type-B ARRs are partially redundant regulators in CK signaling [20,23–27]. A third family, called type-C ARRs, have similar structures to those of the type-A ARRs, but their expression is not induced by CK [28,29]. The role of the type-C ARRs in CK signaling remain unclear [28].

There is extensive crosstalk between CK and other plant hormone signaling [15]. The antagonistic interaction between CK and auxin to control shoot and root development is well-known. Intricate crosstalk between CK and ethylene regulates hypocotyl elongation, in which a major portion of CK action is through ethylene, mainly by stimulating its biosynthesis at the post-transcriptional level [30,31].

In lipid metabolism, acylation is necessary for synthesizing various storage/structural lipids, such as triacylglycerol (TAG) and membrane lipids [32]. Acylation is also required for synthesizing many kinds of secondary metabolites and extracellular matrix materials in plants [33], such as cutin for the epidermal cuticle layer, which is synthesized through a complex process that consists of fatty acid (FA) synthesis, FA activation into acyl-coenzyme A (CoA), ω- and/or in-chain oxygenation, sn-2 monoacylglycerol (MAG) synthesis, monomer/oligomer transport out of the cell to the surface and polymerization into cutin polyester [34]. There is also some evidence that acylation plays roles in regulating hormone levels or activity, either through direct modification [35] or the acylation of amino acids [36]. However, roles of acyltransferases in CK action have not yet been reported.

In the process of screening CK mutants in Arabidopsis, we isolated a CK-insensitive mutant named gfc1, which also had some photomorphogenic phenotypes in darkness. gfc1 is allelic to the previously reported cuticle mutant dcr. The GFC1/DCR gene encodes a soluble enzyme of the BAHD acyltransferase family that is necessary for normal cuticle formation on epidermis in vivo [37] and has diacylglycerol acyltransferase (DGAT) activity in vitro [38]. We showed that the cuticle-defective, double mutant of the genes encoding GPAT4 and GPAT8, but not a mutant of the FA ω-hydroxylase CYP86A2 gene, also led to gfc1-associated phenotypes. Our results indicate that some acyltransferases involved in cutin assembly are important for CK responses and skotomorphogenesis in Arabidopsis.
Materials and Methods

Plant material and growth conditions

Approximately 40,000 Arabidopsis (Col-0) T-DNA insertion lines (stock numbers CS76502, CS76504, CS76506 and CS76508) were purchased from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (http://abrc.osu.edu/). For all lines except ahk3–1 (Ws-2), Arabidopsis accession Col-0 was used as WT. Mutant and transgenic lines used in this study have been described previously: pCYCB1:GUS marker line [39], ARR-OX lines (homozygous transgenic plants in [40]), ahk3–1 (N6562), gfc1–2/dcr–2 (salk_128228c), gpat4 (salk_106893), gpat8 (salk_035914), cyp86A2 (salk_128714c), phyA (N6223, phyA-211) and phyB (N6217, phyB-9) were purchased from ABRC.

Seeds were treated at 4°C for 2 days in water. Before inoculation on MS, seeds were sterilized with 0.1% w/v HgCl2. For growth under long day condition, germination and plant growth took place with a 16-h light (60–70 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) / 8-h dark cycle. For growth in darkness, germination took place under white light (60–70 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) at 22°C for 3 h. Seeds were plated on MS (pH 5.8) with agar at either 1.0% (w/v) (vertical plate) or 0.8% (w/v) (horizontal plate) and 1% (w/v) sucrose and grown at 22°C.

To introduce a reporter gene into the mutant, pCYCB1:GUS was crossed with gfc1–1 and double homozygotes were identified in the F₃ generation.

For DIC, 5 DAG seedlings were grown on vertical plate under long day condition.

For adventitious root formation assays and callus induction assays, 11 DAG seedlings grown on horizontal plate under long day condition were used.

For Toluidine blue-O staining, 3-week-old plants grown in soil under long day condition were used. Relative humidity was approximately 60%.

Gene cloning and sequence analysis

Inverse-PCR (I-PCR) was adopted to clone the mutant gene. Genomic DNA was digested completely with HindIII and ligated with T4 DNA ligase. Two rounds of PCR were performed with two sets of nested primers, LBb₁ / Z₄ and LBb₁ / Z₃ (S1 Appendix). The PCR fragments were subcloned and sequenced. The downstream flanking sequence was amplified by PCR with LBb₁3 and 3362-F primers (S2 and S3 Appendix). Flanking sequences (S1 Appendix) were used for designing gene-specific primers to determine hetero-/homozygosity and co-segregation (S3 Appendix).

Phenotype characterization

For DIC, roots were cleared by the chloral hydrate method as described by Inagaki et al. [41]. To measure root length and fresh weight of shoots, seedlings were germinated and grown on vertical MS plates under long day condition with different concentrations of tZ (Sigma, http://www.sigmaaldrich.com), thidiazuron (TDZ, Sigma), isopentenyladenine (iP, Sigma), 6-benzyladenine (6-BA, Sigma) or kinetin (KT, Sangon, http://www.sangon.com) at 7 DAG.

For hypocotyl inhibition assays, seedlings were germinated and grown on vertical MS plates in darkness with different concentrations of CKs, ACC (Sigma), IAA (Sigma) and GA3 (Sigma) at 5 DAG.

For adventitious root formation assays and callus induction assays, the methods were performed according to [42]. For light-induced analysis, all experiments involving blue, red, or far-red light illumination were performed under 16-hr L/8-hr D light conditions in an E-30 LED growth chamber (Percival, Boone, IA, http://www.percival-scientific.com) with the blue (k_max 469 nm,
PPFD = 50 μmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\), red (\(k_{\text{max}}\) 680 nm, PPFD = 50 μmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)), or the far-red (\(k_{\text{max}}\) 730 nm, PPFD = 50 μmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) diodes at 22 °C.

For Toluidine blue-O staining, plants were immersed for 5 min in 0.05% Toluidine blue-O (Sigma) and rinsed with water at room temperature.

**Molecular complementation and \(pGFC1:GUS\) transgenic plants**

A 2.2-kb promoter sequence was amplified using PGFC1-F2 / PGFC1-R primers (S2 Appendix) and sub-cloned into a modified pCAMBIA1300 binary vector harboring a GUS gene to generate a promoter:GUS reporter gene construct.

The 1.6-kb full-length cDNA fragment of \(GFC1\) gene was amplified by RT-PCR using GFC1-F / GFC1-R primers (S2 Appendix) and ligated downstream of the 2.2-kb \(GFC1\) promoter to construct \(pGFC1:GFC1\) in a pCAMBIA1300 vector. All amplified DNA fragments were confirmed by sequencing, and the constructed binary vectors were introduced into either WT plants (for \(pGFC1:GUS\)) or \(gfc1–1\) plants (for \(pGFC1:GFC1\)) by an Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated (strain GV3101) floral-dip transformation method [43]. Primary transformants were isolated on MS containing 25mg/L hygromycin (Sigma) and transferred to soil to grow to maturity.

**Histochemical GUS assay**

Seedlings containing \(GUS\) marker were subjected to various treatments and then were incubated in 1 mmol/L X-gluc (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-glucuronide) and 50 mmol/L potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.5, with 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100 for GUS staining as described by Jefferson [44].

**RNA preparation and expression analysis**

*Arabidopsis* seedlings were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80 °C. RNA was isolated using Trizol (Sangon) and reverse-transcribed using a reverse transcription kit (DRR047A) (Takara, http://www.takara-bio.com/). Quantitative RT-PCR was performed in a Bio-Rad CFX96 Real-time System (Bio-Rad, http://www.bio-rad.com) using Power SYBR green chemistry (DRR081A) (Takara). Primer sequences used are listed in S2 Appendix.

For CK-induced type-A \(ARR\) expression, seeds were germinated on MS plates and grown for 7 days. Treatments were carried out by incubating seedlings in liquid 1/2 MS culture medium containing 1% sucrose and supplemented with 10 μM tZ for 30 min [45].

For CK-induced \(GFC1\) expression, we treated seedlings with 1 μM tZ for 30 min.

**Endogenous CK measurement**

Endogenous levels of CKs were determined by LC-MS/MS methods according to [46] with modifications. Briefly, ice-cold modified Bieleski buffer (methanol/water/formic acid, 15/4/1, v/v/v; [47]) and two SPE columns (C18 column—500 mg/Applied Separations, and MCX column—30 mg/Waters; [48]) were used to extract 100 mg seedlings (7 DAG). To each extract the stable isotope-labeled CK internal standards (0.5 pmol of CK bases, ribosides, N-glucosides, 1 pmol of O-glucosides and nucleotides) were further added as a reference. Analytes were eluted by two-step elution using a 0.35 M NH\(_4\)OH aqueous solution and 0.35 M NH\(_4\)OH in 60% (v/v) MeOH solution. All samples were then evaporated under vacuum at 37°C to dryness.

Purified samples were analyzed by the LC-MS/MS system consisting of an ACQUITY UPLC System (Waters) and Xevo TQ-S (Waters) triple quadrupole mass spectrometer. Quantification was obtained using a multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode of selected
precursor ions and the appropriate product ion. The linear range was over at least five orders of magnitude with a correlation coefficient of 0.9989–0.9998. For each mutant, four independent biological replicates were performed.

Measurement of ethylene production
Ethylene level was measured by gas chromatography as described [49]. Arabidopsis seedlings (5 DAG) grown on MS or MS with 10 μM tZ in darkness were used. Seedlings (100 mg) were placed in a 2 ml vial (Agilent Technologies, http://www.agilent.com) and sealed. After 2 h, 0.5 ml samples of the air inside the vials were used for the determination of ethylene production (Varian 450-GC, http://www.varian.com). For each treatment, four independent ethylene replicates were performed.

Results
Mutant isolation and molecular identification of GFC1 gene
To isolate genes potentially involved in CK responses, we used a forward genetics approach. Approximately 40,000 T-DNA insertion lines [50] were screened to isolate mutants with altered responses to CK in terms of root/shoot growth. The gfc1–1 mutant was identified by the significantly large aerial components of seedlings grown on MS containing 10 μM tZ (grow fast on cytokinins 1, gfc1, Fig. 1A). In darkness, gfc1–1 mutants had short hypocotyls, which were insensitive to exogenous tZ, an opened apical hook, and over-grown cotyledons—the so-called de-etiolated-like phenotypes (Fig. 1B, details see below). Furthermore, gfc1–1 mutant had higher percentage of non-germinating seeds than WT (S2 Fig.).

To clone the GFC1 gene, we first back crossed the gfc1–1 mutant into WT for two generations. F1 lines showed a WT-like phenotype and F2 lines segregated for WT and gfc1 phenotypes in a 3:1 ratio (588:189), indicating that gfc1 is a single recessive mutation. Inverse PCR amplification of T-DNA flanking sequences (S1 Appendix) identified a T-DNA insertion in the only intron (1289 bp downstream of ATG) of the gene At5g23940, which has been reported

![Fig 1. gfc1 mutants and molecular complementation.](source_url)

(A, B) Phenotypes of WT, gfc1 mutant lines, and pGFC1::GFC1 / gfc1–1 under long day conditions (A) or in darkness (B). (C) RT-PCR analysis (30 cycles) of the GFC1 transcript in pGFC1::GFC1 / gfc1–1, gfc1, and ACT-2 was used as a control. (D) GFC1 gene structure and location of T-DNA insertions. p1, p2, p3 are primers for RT-PCR (S2 Appendix).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0121943.g001
to encode a soluble BAHD acyltransferase essential for normal cuticle formation on epidermis
[37]. Additional sequence analysis of regions surrounding the T-DNA insertion site indicated
no sequence alterations in the adjacent regions (S1 Appendix). Co-segregation analysis of the
F2 backcross progeny showed a linkage between the gfc1 mutant phenotype and the insertion
in the GFC1 gene.

In order to verify that the phenotype of the gfc1–1 mutant indeed originated from a lesion
in the At5g23940 gene, we identified an additional mutant allele, salk_128228c, with the
T-DNA insertion at the second exon (2904 bp downstream of ATG) (Fig. 1D). Homozygous
salk_128228c seedlings displayed the same gfc1 phenotypes (Fig. 1A–C) and this allele was
named gfc1–2, (dcr-2 in [37]). We also observed the cutin-associated phenotypes in gfc1 mu-
tants (see below). When the homozygous gfc1–1 plants were transformed with the GFC1 geno-
mic sequence under the control of the GFC1 promoter (pGFC1:GFC1), its mutant phenotypes
were fully rescued when observed in T2 homozygous transgenic plants (Fig. 1A–C).

**gfc1 mutant exhibited increased root meristematic activity**

When grown on vertical MS plates, gfc1 seedlings showed a variety of phenotypes. The primary
root length of gfc1 seedlings was significantly longer than that of WT (Fig. 2A and 2B). The
fresh weight of shoots was also significantly increased in the two mutants (Fig. 2C).

To determine whether the increased primary root growth in gfc1 mutants is a result of in-
creased root meristematic activity or not, we compared the root structures of gfc1 mutants with
WT. DIC images showed that the root meristem zone length (MZ, extending from the quies-
cent center (QC) to the first elongated cell) [41] was significantly increased in gfc1 when com-
pared with that in WT (Fig. 2D and 2E). We introduced pCYCB1:GUS, a cell cycle marker for

![Fig 2. Comparison of phenotypes between WT and gfc1 mutants under long day conditions. (A-C)
Seedlings 7 days after germination (DAG) (A), and measurement of primary root length (A, B) and fresh
weight of shoots (C). (D, E) Meristematic Zone (MZ) of roots 5 DAG. (F) Expression pattern of pCYCB1:GUS
in seedlings 7 DAG. All the data are mean of three biological replicates, n = 50 (B, C), n = 25 (E). Error bars
indicate standard deviation (SD). *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01 (Student’s t-test).](doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0121943.g002)
G2/M transition [51,52] into the gfc1–1 mutant. Consistent with meristem phenotype, the expression of pCYCB1:GUS gene was greatly increased in gfc1–1 seedlings (Fig. 2F).

**gfc1 seedlings have altered responses to CKs**

We examined the sensitivity of gfc1 to CK in several assays, focusing on CK-mediated growth and development. External application of CK significantly inhibits the growth of WT seedlings. When treated with tZ, root elongation of the gfc1 seedlings was normally inhibited (Fig. 3A and 3B). While tZ inhibited WT shoot growth in terms of fresh weight (Fig. 3C), it strikingly induced significant fresh weight increases in the gfc1 shoots (Fig. 3A and 3C). Other CKs had similar effects on gfc1–1 seedlings (S3 Fig.). However, unlike CKs, other hormones or their biosynthetic precursors, including the auxin indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), gibberellic acid (GA3), and the ethylene precursor 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC), failed to induce significantly differential responses between gfc1 and WT (S4 Fig.).

**Callus culture and adventitious root formation**

CKs are used to stimulate cell division and greening/shoot initiation of callus tissue [53]. On media containing 0.05 μM 2,4-D with different concentrations of tZ, the CK-induced cell division and greening of hypocotyl-derived calli were reduced in both ahk3–1 and gfc1–1 mutants when compared to WT, with ahk3–1 showing the least response (Fig. 4A).

CKs normally inhibit adventitious root formation near the cut end of hypocotyls [54]. The gfc1 mutants were less sensitive to CKs in the adventitious root formation assay, similar to the ahk3–1 mutant (Fig. 4B).

---

**Fig 3.** Seedlings were grown on MS with different concentrations of tZ at 7 DAG. (A) tZ-induced phenotypes. Data in (B, C) are mean of three biological replicates, n = 25 (B), 50 (C). Error bars indicate SD. Asterisks indicate statistically significant difference in the mutant lines versus the WT in a student’s t-test (*P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0121943.g003

G2/M transition [51,52] into the gfc1–1 mutant. Consistent with meristem phenotype, the expression of pCYCB1:GUS gene was greatly increased in gfc1–1 seedlings (Fig. 2F).
Skotomorphogenesis and CK treatment of gfc1 seedlings in darkness

CK can induce dark-grown seedlings to develop some photomorphogenic or de-etiolation characteristics, including reduced hypocotyl growth, apical hook opening, cotyledon expansion, and the induction of leaf development (Fig. 5A) [55–57]. The gfc1 mutant was observed to have those obvious de-etiolation-like phenotypes without CK (Fig. 5A). However, gfc1

Fig 4. CK sensitivity of callus in vitro. (A) Callus formation and greening/shoot initiation from hypocotyl segments of WT, gfc1–1 and ahk3–1. (B) CK inhibition of adventitious root formation. All the data are mean of three biological replicates, n = 30–40. Error bars indicate standard deviation (SD). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences in the mutant lines versus the WT in a student’s t-test (*P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0121943.g004

Fig 5. The dark-grown phenotypes of gfc1–1 seedlings were grown on MS with different hormones. Data in (C-F) are mean of three biological replicates with 5 DAG seedlings, n = 25. Error bars indicate SD. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between the mutant lines and the WT in a student’s t-test (*P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0121943.g005
mutant seedlings displayed completely normal photomorphogenic responses to red light (Rc), blue light (Bc) and far red light (FRc) (S5 Fig.), suggesting that gfc1 is defective only in skotomorphogenesis.

In darkness, the gfc1 mutant exhibited short hypocotyls compared with WT (Fig. 5A and 5B). They showed overgrown cotyledons and formed the first true leaves 14 days after germination (Fig. 5A). When treated with CKs, the hypocotyl length in dark-grown WT seedlings decreased significantly, while there was no significant decrease in hypocotyl length in gfc1 mutant (Fig. 5A-C and S3 Fig.). However, gfc1 showed WT-like responses to the ethylene biosynthesis precursor ACC (Fig. 5D) and to IAA (Fig. 5F), both of which suppress the hypocotyl elongation of both gfc1 and WT seedlings in darkness [58]. GA3 slowly stimulates rather than inhibits hypocotyl elongation in darkness. The gfc1–1 mutant showed a WT-like response to application of GA3 (Fig. 5E).

CK-response genes: Elevated basal expression and reduced CK induction

CKs induce the transcription of type-A ARRs in Arabidopsis [22]. To determine whether the induction levels of these primary CK response genes were compromised in gfc1–1, real-time quantitative PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis was performed on RNA prepared from WT and gfc1–1 mutants. Exogenous CK treatment of gfc1–1 did up-regulate the transcription of type-A ARRs, although the relative induction levels were lower than those in WT (Fig. 6), indicating that the mutant has a reduced CK response.

Fig 6. Type-A ARR gene expression levels after 30 min treatment with exogenous tZ. WT and gfc1–1 seedlings were grown under long day condition (A) or in darkness (B) for 7 days. Expression levels were normalized to the ACT-8 transcripts. Mock treated WT or gfc1–1 were used as controls. Relative expression values = Treatment/Mock. Data are mean of three biological replicates, n = 3. Error bars indicate SD. *P <0.05 and **P <0.01 (Student’s t-test) indicate significant between ‘WT treated with 10 μM tZ’ and ‘gfc1–1 treated with 10 μM tZ’.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0121943.g006
Comparison of the basal expression levels of these ARR genes in WT and gfc1–1 on MS without CK showed that most type-A ARRs displayed significantly higher levels in gfc1–1 (Fig. 7A and 7C). Overexpression of type-A ARRs is reported to lead to decreased CK sensitivity [27,40]. In ARR15-OX plant, the CK induction levels of ARR4 and ARR7 were significantly decreased [27]. Basal up-regulation of some type-A ARRs and their relatively reduced responses to CK may be only part of the reason for gfc1 CK-insensitivity (Fig. 8). Unlike type-A ARRs, the expressions of type-B ARRs (ARR1, 10 and 12) were not significantly different between gfc1–1 and WT (Fig. 7B and 7D).

Defective GPATs for cutin biosynthesis can phenocopy gfc1

GFC1/DCR has been shown to be essential for the assembly of cutin polyesters [37] and encodes a soluble BAHD acyltransferase that can catalyze the incorporation of dicarboxylic fatty acids (DFA) or OH-FAs into diacylglycerol to form TAG in vitro [38]. This raised the question of whether other enzymes in the cutin biosynthesis pathway, such as GPATs for sn-2 MAG synthesis and some P450 monooxygenases for FAs hydroxylation [34], have the same or similar roles in CK response.

It has been reported that GPAT4 and GPAT8 are functionally redundant and that a gpat4/8 double mutant is defective in cutin biosynthesis [59]. Strikingly, we found that the gpat4/8 double mutant had the same or similar dark-grown and CK-induced phenotypes as gfc1 (Fig. 9A-B).
and S6A-D Fig.). Significantly, the phenotypes were more severe in the gfc1–1/gpat4/8 triple mutants (Fig. 9A-B and S6A-D Fig.).

Several cytochrome P450 monooxygenases, such as CYP86As, can catalyze the ω-hydroxylation of fatty acyl chains, which are the major cutin monomers. Similar to gfc1 and gpat4/8, the cyp86A2/att1 mutant has epidermal cuticle defects with increased permeability as revealed by toluidine blue-O staining (Fig. 9C) [59,60]. In contrast to gfc1 and gpat4/8, however, when treated with CK or grown in darkness, the cyp86A2/att1 mutant failed to show gfc1-like phenotypes (Fig. 9A-B and S6A-D Fig.), suggesting that the gfc1 phenotypes were not necessarily related to general cuticle defects in epidermis.

Tissue distribution of GFC1 expression

The expression of GFC1 was followed by introducing a GFC1 promoter-GUS reporter fusion (pGFC1:GUS) into WT. Staining of the GUS activity in independent transgenic lines in T4 progenies showed that the GFC1 promoter was highly active in cotyledon and the apical hook (Fig. 10A-B and 10K) in seedlings. In mature plants, strong GUS staining was observed in the upper stem, inflorescences, and siliques (Fig. 10C-J), results which are similar to those reported for DCR in [37]. Notably, the expression level of GFC1 is not elevated by exogenous tZ (Fig. 10L). These results are consistent with the gene expression data retrieved from the GENEVESTIGATOR using Meta-Analyzer.
Endogenous CKs in \textit{gfc1–1} and \textit{gpat4/8} mutants

In \textit{Arabidopsis}, CKs can induce de-etiolation in WT plants \cite{55, 57, 61, 62}. To know if the de-etiolation and altered CK-response phenotypes in \textit{gfc1} and \textit{gpat4/8} mutants were associated with any changes in CK metabolism, we compared the levels of various endogenous CKs between 7DAG seedlings of WT and the mutants by LC-MS/MS. The results showed no significant differences between the two mutants and the WT in either total CK content or each of the total tZ/cZ/DHZ/iP types (Table 1). Although both mutants had higher levels of active tZ-type cytokinins than WT, they showed reduced levels in free iP but increased levels in iPRMP. Some of these differences were not statistically significant. iPR was significantly decreased in \textit{gfc1–1}, but increased in \textit{gpat4/8}. Because of the strong and very similar CK-associated \textit{gfc1} phenotypes between these two mutants, the CK quantification results revealed no obvious link between CK homeostasis and the \textit{gfc1} phenotype.

**Discussion**

Cutin biosynthetic \textit{GFC1} and \textit{GPAT}s are essential for normal seedling development and CK responses

Our present results reveal that \textit{GFC1}/\textit{DCR} and at least two \textit{GPAT}s, genes encoding acyltransferases that catalyze incorporation of OH-FA\s into cutin monomers or polymer \cite{63},
significantly influence CK responses and plant development, including skotomorphogenesis in darkness. Previously, DCR was identified as a candidate gene whose expression is closely associated with cutin metabolism. Mutation of DCR resulted in many typical phenotypes associated with defective cuticle (Fig. 9C), such as altered epidermal cell differentiation and post genital organ fusion, as well as sensitivity to saline, osmotic, and water stress conditions [37], which we also observed in the T-DNA gfc1 mutants.

In our present study, we found that in darkness gfc1 had the de-etiolated phenotypes of short hypocotyls, early opening apical hooks, and overgrown cotyledons (Fig. 5A). Despite its defect in skotomorphogenesis, gfc1 responded normally to FRc, Rc and Bc light (S5 Fig.), indicating that GFC1/DCR is important for skotomorphogenesis in darkness but dispensable for photomorphogenesis under light. Under normal growth conditions, gfc1 mutant seedlings exhibited stronger staining of the cell cycle reporter pCYCB1:GUS in root and shoot apexes (Fig. 2F), longer primary root length (Fig. 2A and 2B) and higher fresh weight of shoots (Fig. 2C), suggesting functional roles of GFC1/DCR in controlling cell division and differentiation. Pleiotropic mutants with alterations to not only epidermal cuticle integrity but also non-epidermal cell division and differentiation have also been observed in other cuticle mutants [64–66].

gfc1 was isolated as a CK response mutant in our present study by a forward genetic screen. While the primary roots of gfc1 responded normally to exogenous CK treatment (Fig. 3A and 3B), the shoots were completely insensitive, even becoming larger in size when treated with CKs (Fig. 3A and 3C). The gfc1 mutant also showed full insensitivity to CK in darkness, as indicated by hypocotyl length (Fig. 5A–C). Notably, such strong differential responses between gfc1

---

Fig 10. Expression analysis of GFC1. Expression pattern of GUS reporter gene in pGFC1:GUS transgenic Arabidopsis (A-H, K). (A) 1 DAG; (B) 5 DAG; (C) Rosette leaf; (D) Inflorescence; (E) Flower; (F) Stamen; (G) Sepal and (H) Silique. (K) Seedlings were grown under long day conditions or in darkness. (I, L) Expression analysis of GFC1 by qRT-PCR, ACT-8 was used as an internal control. Data are means of three biological replicates, n = 3. Error bars indicate SD. (J) Expression analysis of GFC1 by RT-PCR (30 cycles). ACT-2 was used as a control.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0121943.g010
Table 1. CK levels in 1g of extracted tissue (pmol/g, Mean±SD).

| Sample   | tZ   | tZR  | tZOG | tZROG | tZ7G | tZ9G | tZMP | Total tZ-type CKs |
|----------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|-------------------|
| Col-0    | 0.37±0.04 | 0.53±0.08 | 5.45±0.74 | 0.67±0.11 | 14.54±1.24 | 5.72±0.45 | 1.29±0.22 | 28.57±2.30 |
| gpat4/8  | 0.50±0.05* | 0.79±0.11* | 7.34±0.62* | 1.07±0.19* | 13.55±1.85 | 5.05±0.23 | 2.43±0.57* | 30.73±2.97 |
| gfc1−1   | 0.42±0.05 | 0.64±0.10 | 7.17±1.50 | 1.22±0.21** | 14.32±0.93 | 6.09±0.94 | 2.71±0.64* | 32.57±2.79 |

| Sample   | cZ   | cZR  | cZOG | cZROG | cZ7G | cZ9G | cZMP | Total cZ-type CKs |
|----------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|-------------------|
| Col-0    | 0.08±0.01 | 1.24±0.26 | 1.46±0.17 | 4.19±0.48 | 0.21±0.03 | 7.02±1.24 | 14.21±1.75 |
| gpat4/8  | 0.10±0.01 | 0.81±0.12* | 2.84±0.19*** | 5.39±0.33* | 0.27±0.02** | 7.15±0.53 | 16.56±0.61 |
| gfc1−1   | 0.13±0.02* | 0.56±0.06** | 1.07±0.10* | 3.44±0.54 | 0.22±0.03 | 8.23±1.10 | 13.66±1.47 |

| Sample   | DHZ | DHZR | DHZOG | DHZRROG | DHZ7G | DHZ9G | DHZRMP | Total DHZ-type CKs |
|----------|-----|------|-------|----------|-------|-------|--------|-------------------|
| Col-0    | <LOD | 0.09±0.02 | 0.26±0.05 | 0.11±0.02 | 3.26±0.40 | 0.10±0.01 | <LOD | 3.82±0.45 |
| gpat4/8  | <LOD | 0.08±0.00 | 0.40±0.06* | 0.16±0.02* | 3.88±0.65 | 0.12±0.02 | <LOD | 4.62±0.73 |
| gfc1−1   | <LOD | 0.09±0.02 | 0.29±0.03 | 0.25±0.04** | 3.58±0.04 | 0.13±0.02 | <LOD | 4.33±0.09 |

| Sample   | iP   | iPR  | iP7G  | iP9G  | iPRM  | Total iP-CKs |
|----------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|---------------|
| Col-0    | 4.97±0.62 | 1.87±0.20 | 21.97±2.58 | 2.29±0.03 | 6.72±1.22 | 37.83±4.05 |
| gpat4/8  | 3.54±0.83 | 2.44±0.22* | 25.11±1.75 | 2.73±0.23* | 10.72±1.98* | 44.53±2.48 |
| gfc1−1   | 1.80±0.30*** | 1.13±0.25** | 20.76±1.08 | 2.10±0.22 | 9.70±2.38 | 35.49±3.91 |

Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between the mutant lines (gpat4/8 or gfc1−1) and the wild type (Col-0) in an ANOVA analysis. Data are mean of four replicates (*, **, and *** correspond to P-values of 0.05 > P > 0.01, 0.01 > P > 0.001, and P < 0.001, respectively). (tZ, cZ, DHZ, iP) R: (tZ, cZ, DHZ, iP) riboside; (tZ, cZ, DHZ) OG: (tZ, cZ, DHZ) O-glucoside; (tZ, cZ, DHZ) ROG: (tZ, cZ, DHZ) riboside O-glucoside; (tZ, DHZ, iP) 7G: (tZ, DHZ, iP) 7-glucoside; (tZ, cZ, DHZ, iP) 9G: (tZ, cZ, DHZ, iP) 9-glucoside; (tZ, cZ, DHZ, iP) RMP: (tZ, cZ, DHZ, iP) riboside-5’-monophosphate; LOD: limit of detection.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0121943.t001

and WT were not observed after treatment with IAA, ACC or GA3 (Fig. 5D-F), indicating that these gfc1 phenotypes are CK-specific. Similar to the CK signaling mutant ahk3, gfc1 mutant was less sensitive to CK in adventitious root formation than WT (Fig. 4B). It should be noted that the effect of gfc1 mutation on its responses to CK in adventitious root production (Fig. 4) was weaker than those on shoots (Fig. 3) or dark-grown hypocotyls (Fig. 5), implying that either GFC1/DCR plays limited roles in those processes or its functional loss can be compensated by other related processes.

Although the photomorphogenic phenotype of gfc1 in darkness (Fig. 5A) implies that the plant should have high endogenous CK levels [55,57,61,62], the mutant did lack other typical high CK phenotypes, such as a bushy appearance. Paradoxically, gfc1 had longer primary roots with an enlarged MZ, which has been associated with reduced endogenous CK level or signaling [67]. These conflicting phenotypes between gfc1 and mutants affecting CK levels indicate that the gfc1 phenotypes are not likely linked to changes in general CK homeostasis. This conclusion is consistent with our CK quantification results (Table 1), in which no causal link was found between levels of active CKs and the gfc1-like phenotypes in gfc1 and gpat4/8 mutants. Taken together, these results suggest that the gfc1 mutation leads to defects in CK responses.
In the CK signaling pathway, the type-B ARRs positively regulate CK responses by activating the transcription of their downstream targets, including the type-A ARRs. Type-A ARRs are rapidly activated in response to exogenous CK and then down-regulate the CK responses through a negative-feedback loop [10]. Consistent with that, multiple loss-of-function mutants in type-A ARRs are hypersensitive to CK in various assays, including inhibition of root elongation, lateral root initiation and callus formation, while over-expression of type-A ARRs can lead to decreased CK sensitivity [20,40]. Our present results show that the basal expression levels of most type-A ARRs were higher in gfc1, but that their relative induction levels by exogenous CK were lower than those in WT (Figs. 6, 7A and 7C). Like gfc1, ARR-OX lines show decreased CK-sensitivity (Fig. 8), which indicates that up-regulation of type-A ARRs in gfc1 and their reduced responses to CK is only part of the reason for the insensitivity of gfc1 to CK.

Interaction between CK and ethylene in hypocotyl elongation is disrupted in gfc1

There is strong cross talk between CK and ethylene in plant growth and development. The application of CK to dark-grown plants can result in the 'triple response' that is characteristic of ethylene, and a major part of the effect of CK on root and hypocotyl growth has been reported to be mediated by ethylene [68]. In this process, CK post-transcriptionally increases the activity of the ethylene biosynthesis gene ACS5, leading to an elevated level of ethylene biosynthesis [30,31]. We showed that gfc1 hypocotyls are fully insensitive to CK but positively respond to the ethylene precursor ACC (Fig. 5C and 5D). Consideration of the CK-ethylene cross-talk suggests that signaling from CK to ethylene biosynthesis is disrupted in the gfc1 mutant. Consistent with that, tZ failed to significantly increase the production of ethylene in dark-grown gfc1–1 seedlings (Fig. 11).

Concurrence of gfc1 phenotypes and blocked acyl-transfer in cutin biosynthesis

Biochemical/molecular mechanisms of their effects on CK responses and skotomorphogenesis, which were not reported when the other cuticle mutants were isolated, remain elusive. The gfc1/dcr, gpat4/8, and cyp86A2/att1 mutants presented similarly defective cuticles, in terms of decreased cutin monomer loads and increased permeability to solutes (Fig. 9C), but cyp86A2/att1 had normal CK responses and skotomorphogenesis (Fig. 9A and 9B), indicating that the phenotypes of gfc1 and gpat4/8 are not simply due to the lack of an intact cuticle layer in the epidermis. Cuticle mutants often display pleiotropic phenotypes, some of which appear to be not directly linked to their primary effects on cuticle integrity, such as alteration to non-epidermal cell development [37,64–66]. Another example is the sensitivity to pathogen infection. Xiao et al. (2004) reported that Arabidopsis CYP86A2/ATT1 is required for cuticle development and can represses Pseudomonas syringae type III genes and that cyp86A2/att1, but not wax2 mutation, could lead to enhanced avrPto-luc expression. Both mutants had similar cuticle defects, but cyp86A2/att1 had an additional gene-specific but not cuticle-specific effect. They suggested that certain cutin-related FAs synthesized by CYP86A2 may repress bacterial type III gene expression [69].
GPAT4/8 catalyzes the formation of sn2-OH-MAG, one of the major monomers of cutin, by sn-2-specific G3P: acyl-CoA acyltransferase as well as phosphatase activities [70]. Although the natural substrate and product of GFC1/DCR in vivo is still unknown [34], it has an in vitro diacylglycerol acyltransferase activity [38]. GFC1/DCR may function in acyltransfer of cutin monomers to form precursor intermediates or oligomeric structures [37]. With free —OH group, tZ is likely to be a substrate of these acyltransferases. However, such hypothesis conflicts with the similar effects of tZ and other CKs without free —OH group on gfc1 and gpat4/8 (S3 Fig.). As a P450 monooxgenase, CYP86A2/ATT1 has been shown to be associated with the \( \omega \)-hydroxylation of FA [69] and the production of \( \alpha,\omega \)-dicarboxylic acid (DCA) [71]. Therefore, gfc1 phenotypes are produced by blocking the GPATs or/and GFC1/DCR catalyzed acyl transfer but not by preventing the CYP86A2-mediated FA \( \omega \)-hydroxylation steps in the cutin biosynthesis pathway.

Hydroxylation of FAs by CYP86As, transfer of the OH-FA to acylate G3P by GPATs, and probably their further acylation into cutin polymer by GFC1/DCR are closely linked in the core reaction of cutin biosynthesis [34], making it likely that cyp86A2/att1 has decreased

Fig 11. Relative ethylene production. Seedlings were grown in darkness at 5 DAG. Mock-treated seedlings (WT or gfc1–1 grown on MS) were used as controls. Relative production values = 10\( \mu \)M tZ (WT or gfc1–1 grown on MS with 10\( \mu \)M tZ) / Mock. Data are mean of four biological replicates. Error bars indicate SD * \( P \) < 0.05 and ** \( P \) < 0.01 (Student’s t-test) indicate significance between ‘Mock-treated’ and ‘10 \( \mu \)M tZ-treated’.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0121943.g011
OH-FAs levels and gfc1/dcr and gpat4/8 have increased OH-FAs. The involvement of OH-FAs as constituents of membrane lipids, and as biosynthetic precursors for biologically active compounds such as jasmonates, and the existence of OH-FA-dependent signaling in plant cells, have been noted previously in the literature [64].

Cutin biosynthesis is complex and its organization and regulation remain largely uncertain. It is unknown how gfc1 and gpat4/8 link lipid metabolism or signaling pathways, cutin-associated acyltransferase, and CK response and skotomorphogenesis. One possibility is that the accumulation of OH-FAs may perturb cell membranes and affect CK responses. More studies at the biochemical, molecular, physiological and genetic levels are needed to uncover the mechanisms underlying the roles of acyltransferases in CK responses and skotomorphogenesis.

Supporting Information

S1 Appendix. T-DNA flanking sequence in gfc1–1.
(DOC)

S2 Appendix. PCR primer sequences.
(DOC)

S3 Appendix. Genotyping primers for mutant lines.
(DOC)

S1 Fig. Gene structures, mutations, and RT-PCR analysis of GFC1/DCR, GPAT4, GPAT8 and CYP86A2. (A) Gene structures and mutations. The primers in figure were used for RT-PCR. (B) RT-PCR analysis (30 cycles) of gene transcripts. The ACT-2 was used as a control.
(TIF)

S2 Fig. Percentage of non-germinating seeds of WT and gfc1 on MS plates 5 DAG. Data are means of three biological replicates, n>80. Error bars indicate SD. *P <0.05 and **P <0.01 (Student’s t-test) indicate significant differences between ‘WT’ and ‘gfc1–1’ or ‘gfc1–2’.
(TIF)

S3 Fig. Phenotypes of WT and gfc1–1 seedlings grown on MS plates supplemented with different CKs. (A-C) Long day conditions, 7 DAG. (D-E) Darkness, 5 DAG. (B, C, E) All the data are means of three biological replicates, n = 25. Error bars indicate SD. *P <0.05 and **P <0.01 (Student’s t-test) indicating significant difference between ‘WT’ and ‘gfc1–1’.
(TIF)

S4 Fig. Phenotypes of WT and gfc1–1 seedlings grown on MS plates supplemented with different hormones. (A-C) Long day conditions, 7 DAG. (B, C) All the data are mean of three biological replicates, n = 25. Error bars indicate SD. *P <0.05 and **P <0.01 (Student’s t-test) indicating significant differences between ‘WT’ and ‘gfc1–1’.
(TIF)

S5 Fig. Responses of WT, gfc1–1, phyA, and phyB seeds to FRc, Rc and Bc light. (A) 5 DAG. (B-C) Absolute (B) and relative (C) hypocotyl length. WT was used as a control. Data are means of three biological replicates, n>20. Error bars indicate SD. *P <0.05 and **P <0.01 (Student’s t-test) indicating significant differences between ‘WT’ and ‘mutants’.
(TIF)

S6 Fig. Comparison of CK-induced phenotypes in WT, gpat4, gpat8, gfc1–1, gpat4/8 and gfc1–1/gpat4/8. (A, C) Darkness, 5 DAG. (B, D) Long day conditions, 7 DAG. (C, D) WT was used as a control. Data are means of three biological replicates, n>20. Error bars indicate SD.
"P <0.05 and "P <0.01 (Student’s t-test) indicating significant differences between 'WT' and 'mutants'.

S7 Fig. Comparison of CK-induced phenotypes in WT, gfc1–1 and cyp86A2. (A, C) Darkness, 5 DAG. (B, D) Long day conditions, 7 DAG. (C, D) WT was used as a control. Data are means of three biological replicates, n>20. Error bars indicate SD. "P <0.05 and "P <0.01 (Student’s t-test) indicating significant differences between 'WT' and 'mutants'.
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