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Synergistic assembly of human pre-spliceosomes across introns and exons
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Abstract Most human genes contain multiple introns, necessitating mechanisms to effectively define exons and ensure their proper connection by spliceosomes. Human spliceosome assembly involves both cross-intron and cross-exon interactions, but how these work together is unclear. We examined in human nuclear extracts dynamic interactions of single pre-mRNA molecules with individual fluorescently tagged spliceosomal subcomplexes to investigate how cross-intron and cross-exon processes jointly promote pre-spliceosome assembly. U1 subcomplex bound to the 5' splice site of an intron acts jointly with U1 bound to the 5' splice site of the next intron to dramatically increase the rate and efficiency by which U2 subcomplex is recruited to the branch site/3' splice site of the upstream intron. The flanking 5' splice sites have greater than additive effects implying distinct mechanisms facilitating U2 recruitment. This synergy of 5' splice sites across introns and exons is likely important in promoting correct and efficient splicing of multi-intron pre-mRNAs.
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Introduction Spliceosomes consist of the U1, U2, and U4/U6.U5 small nuclear ribonucleoproteins (snRNPs) and multiprotein Prp19-complex as major building blocks, plus many transiently interacting splicing factors (Wahl et al., 2009). This machinery recognizes and assembles stepwise at splice sites (SS) (U1 at 5'SS and U2 at the 3'SS/branch site) to form pre-spliceosomes, which are subsequently remodeled into catalytically active spliceosomes. Pre-spliceosomes can form on multi-intron pre-mRNAs through at least two different pathways. An intron can be recognized a) via cross-intron interactions leading directly to a catalytically active spliceosome, or b) via cross-exon interactions where the exons flanking an intron are first defined, after which cross-intron interactions between adjacent cross-exon complexes lead to spliceosome assembly (Moldón and Query, 2010). On human pre-mRNAs, which characteristically harbor multiple long introns and short exons, exon definition predominates (Berget, 1995; Fox-Walsh et al., 2005). Indeed, splicing is greatly enhanced when a 5'SS is present across the exon downstream of an intron, highlighting the importance of exon definition in humans (Talerico and Berget, 1990; Yue and Akusjärvi, 1999). Cross-exon pre-spliceosomes can transition into cross-intron pre-spliceosomes, each having a distinct protein composition; the latter can then productively splice the pre-mRNA (Chiara and Reed, 1995; Schneider et al., 2010). However, the mechanisms by which cross-intron and cross-exon pre-spliceosomes work together to facilitate pre-mRNA splicing remain unclear. In S. cerevisiae, where the cross-intron pathway predominates, single-molecule approaches have proven invaluable for elucidating the kinetic pathways and subcomplex dynamics involved in spliceosome assembly (Semlow et al., 2016; Warnaasoriya and Rueda, 2014). Here we developed the tools necessary to implement colocalization single-molecule spectroscopy (CoSMoS) in human cell extracts and used this system to investigate the dynamic mechanism of cross-intron and cross-exon cooperation in human pre-spliceosome assembly.
**Results**

**Single-molecule visualization of spliceosome assembly and function in human cell extract**

We began by investigating whether human nuclear extracts can assemble catalytically-competent spliceosomes on surface-tethered pre-mRNA molecules. To do this, we utilized the pre-mRNA model substrate PIP85A (Moore and Sharp, 1992) (Figure 1A, Table 1). We refer to this RNA here as ‘5i3’ to indicate that, reading in the 5’-to-3’ direction, it contains a partial exon, a 5’S5 (‘5’), an intron (i), a 3’S3 (‘3’), and another partial exon. For this pre-mRNA 20 ± 2% (s.d.) was converted to spliced products after 40 mins in human cell line HEK293 nuclear extract (Figure 1B). No spliced products were observed in the absence of ATP, which is required for spliceosome assembly. To monitor splicing of individual 5i3 molecules, we incorporated a green-excited dye into the 5’ exon, a red-excited dye into the intron, and biotin at the end of the 3’ exon (Figure 1C). We sparsely deposited this pre-mRNA onto a streptavidin-functionalized glass surface, added nuclear extract and followed green and red fluorescence from single pre-mRNA molecules over time. To exclude pre-mRNAs that lost intron signal due to RNA degradation, we selected only those molecules retaining 5’ exon (green) fluorescence at the end of the 40 min experiment. Of these, 1.1 ± 0.7% (s.e.) lost intron (red) fluorescence in a control conducted in the absence of ATP (likely due to photobleaching) whereas 18 ± 4% (s.e.) lost intron fluorescence in the presence of ATP. This single-molecule assay specifically monitors intron release, while the bulk assay measures the second splicing step. Intron release requires an ATP-dependent structural rearrangement of the splicing machinery after the second splicing step, so as expected the lag phase was somewhat longer in the single-molecule assay (Figure 1C) than in the bulk splicing assay (Figure 1B). However, surface-tethered 5i3 pre-mRNA molecules were spliced with a similar efficiency (17%) to what is observed in solution (20%).

To enable single-molecule visualization of individual spliceosomal subcomplexes, we generated three HEK293 cell lines, each stably expressing C-terminally fSNAP-tagged U1-70K, U2B’, or Snu114 at a level comparable to the endogenous protein (Figure 1—figure supplement 1). Co-immunoprecipitation experiments confirmed efficient incorporation of the tagged protein into U1, U2, or U5 respectively (Figure 1—figure supplement 2). Treatment of nuclear extracts with a green-excited dye-benzylguanine conjugate resulted in highly specific labeling of the fSNAP-tagged proteins.
Because spliceosomal subcomplex concentrations in human nuclear extracts exceed the low dye concentrations optimal for single-molecule fluorescence, we labeled the tagged proteins using a limiting dye concentration (200 nM). Under these conditions, 30%, 60%, and 50% of total (tagged plus untagged) U1-70K, U2B', and Snu114 were labeled (Figure 1—figure supplement 4). Importantly, all tagged and dye-labeled extracts exhibited bulk splicing efficiencies comparable to extracts from the untagged parental cell line (Figure 1—figure supplement 5).

Assembly of cross-intron and cross-exon pre-spliceosomes

We next used the labeled extracts in CoSMoS experiments in which we compared subcomplex dynamics on individual RNAs designed to promote assembly of cross-intron or cross-exon pre-spliceosomes (Figure 2A). The cross-intron RNA (5i3, Figure 2B) was identical to that in Figure 1 except that it contained a single red-excited dye adjacent to the biotin tether at the end of the 3' exon. An identically-labeled cross-exon RNA (3e5, Figure 2B) was constructed by swapping the 5' and 3' halves of 5i3. Thus, 5i3 and 3e5 consist of identical sequence segments, and differ only by whether the 5' and 3' SS are separated by an intron (i) or an exon (e). Simultaneous presence of the two RNA species at distinct, known locations on the slide surface allowed us to compare their
### Table 1. RNAs.
5 N-U, 5-aminoallyluridine. U-DY547, Dylight 547 dye conjugated to C5 of U through a six-carbon linker. Small letters indicate mutated bases in the mutant sequence variants. The cryptic 5’S5 in Xi3e5 (see Figure 4B) is underlined.

| RNA name | RNA sequence (5’ to 3’) |
|----------|-------------------------|
| 5i3 (cross-intron, PIP85A) | GGGCGAAUUCGAGCUACCUCUCUCUUCGCAUCUGCUGUCGCG AGGGUACCUCUACGGAGUGAUAGUACUCUCUCUAAAGGGGGG CAUCAGCUCAGAGGCUAGGUCCAGGUGCUGUUCCUGGC UCAGCUACUCUCUCUAGGCUACUACAUCACAAUAGCCAGCAAA ACUCCUUCGGGCUCCUGUCAAGCA |
| Xi3 (cross-intron, 5’S5 mutant) | GGGCGAAUUCGAGCUACCUCUCUCUUCGCAUCUGCUGUCGCG AGGGUACCUCUACGGAGUGAUAGUACUCUCUCUAAAGGGGGG CAUCAGCUCAGAGGCUAGGUCCAGGUGCUGUUCCUGGC UCAGCUACUCUCUCUAGGCUACUACAUCACAAUAGCCAGCAAA ACUCCUUCGGGCUCCUGUCAAGCA |
| 5iX (cross-intron, polypyrimidine tract and 3’S5 mutant) | GGGCGAAUUCGAGCUACCUCUCUCUUCGCAUCUGCUGUCGCG AGGGUACCUCUACGGAGUGAUAGUACUCUCUCUAAAGGGGGG CAUCAGCUCAGAGGCUAGGUCCAGGUGCUGUUCCUGGC UCAGCUACUCUCUCUAGGCUACUACAUCACAAUAGCCAGCAAA ACUCCUUCGGGCUCCUGUCAAGCA |
| 3e5 (cross-exon) | GGGCGAAUUCGAGCUACCUCUCUCUUCGCAUCUGCUGUCGCG AGGGUACCUCUACGGAGUGAUAGUACUCUCUCUAAAGGGGGG CAUCAGCUCAGAGGCUAGGUCCAGGUGCUGUUCCUGGC UCAGCUACUCUCUCUAGGCUACUACAUCACAAUAGCCAGCAAA ACUCCUUCGGGCUCCUGUCAAGCA |
| 3eX (cross-exon, 5’S5 mutant) | GGGCGAAUUCGAGCUACCUCUCUCUUCGCAUCUGCUGUCGCG AGGGUACCUCUACGGAGUGAUAGUACUCUCUCUAAAGGGGGG CAUCAGCUCAGAGGCUAGGUCCAGGUGCUGUUCCUGGC UCAGCUACUCUCUCUAGGCUACUACAUCACAAUAGCCAGCAAA ACUCCUUCGGGCUCCUGUCAAGCA |
| Xe5 (cross-exon, polypyrimidine tract and 3’S5 mutant) | GGGCGAAUUCGAGCUACCUCUCUCUUCGCAUCUGCUGUCGCG AGGGUACCUCUACGGAGUGAUAGUACUCUCUCUAAAGGGGGG CAUCAGCUCAGAGGCUAGGUCCAGGUGCUGUUCCUGGC UCAGCUACUCUCUCUAGGCUACUACAUCACAAUAGCCAGCAAA ACUCCUUCGGGCUCCUGUCAAGCA |
| 5i3e5 | GGGCGAAUUCGAGCUACCUCUCUCUUCGCAUCUGCUGUCGCG AGGGUACCUCUACGGAGUGAUAGUACUCUCUCUAAAGGGGGG CAUCAGCUCAGAGGCUAGGUCCAGGUGCUGUUCCUGGC UCAGCUACUCUCUCUAGGCUACUACAUCACAAUAGCCAGCAAA ACUCCUUCGGGCUCCUGUCAAGCA |
| 5i3eX | GGGCGAAUUCGAGCUACCUCUCUCUUCGCAUCUGCUGUCGCG AGGGUACCUCUACGGAGUGAUAGUACUCUCUCUAAAGGGGGG CAUCAGCUCAGAGGCUAGGUCCAGGUGCUGUUCCUGGC UCAGCUACUCUCUCUAGGCUACUACAUCACAAUAGCCAGCAAA ACUCCUUCGGGCUCCUGUCAAGCA |
behavior under identical experimental conditions within a single reaction chamber (Figure 2C). After introducing extract containing dye-labeled U1, we monitored the binding and dissociation of labeled U1 to individual RNA molecules and to control locations that had no RNA (e.g., Figure 2D). Similar experiments were performed using U2- and U5-labeled extracts.

To quantitatively characterize subcomplex binding to the surface tethered RNAs, we measured both the frequency of RNA-specific subcomplex binding events and the steady-state fractional occupancy RNA molecules by the subcomplex. U1 binding to $5i3$ RNA was highly dynamic with many arrivals and departures per active RNA molecule (Figure 2E–G) and a high frequency of short (<50 s) binding events (Figure 2F and H). In comparison, progressively fewer $5i3$ molecules bound U2 and U5 and the average occupancy of RNAs by these subcomplexes was also progressively lower (Figure 2F and I). Observed binding events were almost entirely RNA-specific; fewer and only short-duration binding events were observed at control 'no RNA' locations (Figure 2—figure supplement 1). Overall, the kinetics of human spliceosomal subcomplex binding and dissociation on the cross-intron $5i3$ substrate were similar to those observed in CoSMoS experiments on cross-intron RNAs in S. cerevisiae extracts (Hoskins et al., 2011; Scherbakova et al., 2013).

Spliceosomal subcomplex interactions with the cross-exon 3e5 RNA were quantitatively different from interactions with the cross-intron 5i3 RNA. 3e5 RNA molecules exhibited more frequent binding events (Figure 2F and G) and higher mean occupancy (Figure 2I) for all subcomplexes than 5i3 molecules. These differences might be partially explained by the inability of the cross-exon pre-mRNA to form a catalytically active spliceosome (Figure 2—figure supplement 1), resulting in the greater accumulation of inactive spliceosome assembly intermediates at steady state. Consistent with this idea, U1, U2 and U5 exhibited a higher frequency of long-lived (>50 s) binding events on the splicing-inactive 3e5 RNA than on the spliceable cross-intron 5i3 RNA (Figure 2H). In addition, possible differences between 5i3 and 3e5 three-dimensional structures could also alter the kinetics of their interactions with snRNPs.

We next investigated the effects of SS consensus sequence mutations on U1 and U2 binding dynamics. We restricted alterations to short consensus subcomplex binding sequences to reduce the
Figure 2. CoSMoS monitoring of spliceosomal subcomplex interactions with individual cross-intron (5i3) and cross-exon (3e5) pre-mRNA molecules in human nuclear extracts. (A) Schematic of a CoSMoS experiment in which green dye-labeled U1 is observed binding to red dye-labeled, surface-tethered RNAs. Introns and exons are schematized as blue and magenta lines and rectangles, respectively, with A indicating the branchpoint. Dyes (stars) linked to tethered RNAs were visualized using total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy using alternating red and green laser excitation.

Figure 2 continued on next page
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(arrows); dye-labeled molecules in solution are not detectable. (B) Schematic of 5i3 and 3e5 RNAs, with features indicated as in (A). See Table 1 for RNA sequences. (C) Protocol: 5i3 (blue) and 3e5 (magenta) RNAs were sequentially deposited and located (squares) under red laser excitation. Non-overlapping control ‘no RNA’ locations (gray) were selected. Then, extract was introduced and spliceosomal subcomplex (e.g., U1) binding to individual RNA molecules was visualized under green laser excitation. Images (grayscale) are a small portion (2.6 μm x 2.6 μm) of the microscope field of view recorded at each stage of the process. See Figure 2—figure supplement 3 for complete field of view. (D) Time series images (1 s per frame; 1.3 μm x 1.3 μm) of U1 fluorescence from example surface locations containing a single 5i3 RNA (top), a single 3e5 RNA (middle) or no detected RNA (bottom). Images with fluorescence spots (highlighted) indicate U1 binding. See Figure 2—figure supplement 4 for additional traces and detected events. (E) Rastergrams aggregating U1, U2, and U5 binding time courses from random samples of 50 individual 5i3 and 3e5 RNA molecules over 2,400 s. Each row in these plots contains data from a single RNA molecule; color indicates presence and white indicates absence of bound spliceosomal subcomplex. In each panel, RNA molecules are sorted by the time of first subcomplex binding (latest to earliest); the percentage (±s.e.) of N observed RNA molecules that exhibited subcomplex binding during the experiment is indicated. Rastergrams for ‘no RNA’ control locations are shown in Figure 2—figure supplement 1. (F) Cumulative distributions of U1, U2, and U5 dwell times on N observed 5i3 and 3e5 RNAs or control ‘no RNA’ locations. Data show the mean frequency per RNA molecule (or per ‘no RNA’ location) of subcomplex binding events with durations greater than or equal to the indicated dwell time. All frequencies on RNAs are substantially higher than the non-specific binding seen at ‘no RNA’ locations (note logarithmic scale). (G) Total frequencies (±s.e.) per RNA molecule of RNA-specific subcomplex binding. These RNA-specific binding frequencies correspond to the RNA minus the no RNA vertical axis intercepts of the curves in (F); they represent the total rate of subcomplex-RNA binding throughout the 2,400 s experiment averaged over all observed RNA molecules. (H) Frequencies (±s.e.) per RNA molecule of the subsets of RNA-specific subcomplex binding events shorter or longer than 50 s. (I) Specific occupancy (±s.e.), corresponding to the fraction of RNA molecules bound by the indicated fluorescent subcomplex averaged over the duration of the experiment. Numbers of RNA molecules observed in (G–I) are the same as those reported in (F). The specific occupancy values are calculated as described (see Materials and methods) to correct for the small amount of binding observed at ‘no RNA’ locations. Source data for Figure 2: SourceDataFigure2.zip.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37751.009

The following source data and figure supplements are available for figure 2:

**Source data 1.** Data from the single-molecule experiments.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37751.012

**Figure supplement 1.** Rastergrams of each subcomplex binding to 50 randomly selected ‘no RNA’ control locations.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37751.010

**Figure supplement 2.** Bulk assay detects no splicing of 3e5 pre-mRNA.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37751.011

**Figure supplement 3.** Complete microscope field of view (48 μm x 49 μm, grayscale) containing the region shown in Figure 2C (yellow box).

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37751.013

**Figure supplement 4.** Sample fluorescence intensity traces and detected U1 binding events on 5i3 and 3e5 RNA molecules and at no RNA control locations (see Figure 2).

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37751.014

possibility of the mutations causing large-scale changes in the three-dimensional structures of the RNAs. Functional U2 association with pre-mRNA depends on a polypyrimidine tract and the 3’S SS AG (Ruskin et al., 1988). As expected, multiple pyrimidine to purine substitutions within the polypyrimidine tract combined with a 3’S SS AG to GG mutation (Figure 3A) greatly decreased the frequencies of RNA-specific U2 binding events, reducing binding to near-background levels (Figure 3—figure supplement 1). U1 binding, however, was largely unaffected by these mutations, with U1 specific association frequencies and dwell time distributions on 5iX and 3eX RNAs indistinguishable from those on 5i3 and 3e5, respectively (Figure 3B and Figure 3—figure supplement 2). Thus, consistent with previous cross-intron data in yeast (Séraphin and Rosbash, 1991), human U1 binding is independent of U2 binding in both cross-intron and cross-exon contexts.

Functional U1 association is blocked by mutation of the 5’S SS consensus from AG/GU to CCCC (Roca et al., 2013). As expected, this mutation (Figure 3C) decreased the U1 association rate and eliminated RNA-specific long-duration (>60 s) U1 binding events in both cross-intron and cross-exon contexts (Figure 3—figure supplement 3). Thus long-duration U1 binding events reflect its association with the canonical 5’S SS, as reported previously in S. cerevisiae extract (Larson and Hoskins, 2017). In contrast, short duration U1 binding events are still present (although reduced in frequency) after 5’S SS mutation and may reflect sequence non-specific interactions with the RNA.

Surprisingly, the 5’S SS mutations affected U2 binding even more strongly than U1 binding. In both the cross-intron and cross-exon contexts, elimination of the canonical 5’S SS decreased U2 binding frequency to background within experimental uncertainty (Figure 3D and Figure 3—figure
Figure 3. Interdependence of U1 and U2 binding to cross-intron and cross-exon RNAs. (A) Schematics of 5i3 and 3e5 RNAs without or with polypurine tract and 3'SS mutations (X). See Table 1 for RNA sequences. (B) Dynamics of dye labeled U1 binding to the RNAs depicted in (A), in a CoSMoS experiment in which all four RNAs were simultaneously present. Cumulative dwell time distributions and frequencies of RNA-specific binding were measured and plotted as in Figure 2F and G. For clarity, pairs of distributions are plotted in separate left and right panels and the no RNA data from the experiment is shown in both. Corresponding rastergrams are shown in Figure 3—figure supplement 2. (C) Schematics of 5i3 and 3e5 RNAs without or with 5'SS mutations (X). (D) Dynamics of dye labeled U2 binding in two separate CoSMoS experiments, one with 5i3 and Xi3 RNAs (left) and one with 3e5 and 3eX (right). Corresponding rastergrams are shown in Figure 3—figure supplement 4. Dependence of U1 binding on 5'SS mutations and dependence of U2 binding on polypurine tract and 3'SS mutations are shown in Figure 3—figure supplement 1 and Figure 3—figure supplement 3 respectively. Source data for the single-molecule experiments in Figure 3, Figure 3—figure supplement 1, Figure 3—figure supplement 3, Figure 3—figure supplement 4, Figure 3—figure supplement 5, and Figure 3—figure supplement 6. SourceDataFigure3.zip, SourceDataFigure3S1.zip, SourceDataFigure3S3.zip, SourceDataFigure3S5.zip, SourceDataFigure3S6.zip, SourceDataFigure3S3.zip, SourceDataFigure3S5.zip, and SourceDataFigure3S6.zip.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37751.015

The following source data and figure supplements are available for figure 3:

Source data 1. Data from the single-molecule experiments.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37751.022

Figure supplement 1. U2 binding events to pre-mRNA depend on the polypurine tract and 3'SS.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37751.016

Figure supplement 1—source data 1. Data from the single-molecule experiments.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37751.023

Figure supplement 2. Rastergrams showing U1 binding to 50 randomly selected 5i3, 5iX, 3e5, and Xe5 pre-mRNA molecules and 'no RNA' control locations over the course of 2,400 s, sorted by the time of the first binding event.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37751.017

Figure supplement 3. Long-duration U1 binding to pre-mRNA depends on a canonical 5'SS.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37751.018

Figure supplement 3—source data 2. Data from the single-molecule experiments.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37751.024

Figure supplement 4. Rastergrams showing U2 binding data on 50 randomly selected individual 5i3, Xi3, 3e5, and 3eX pre-mRNA molecules and control 'no RNA' locations over the course of 2,400 s, sorted by the time of the first binding event to each RNA or location.

Figure 3 continued on next page
supplement 4). Absence of U5 binding to these RNAs (Figure 3—figure supplement 5) confirmed that the mutations abolished the formation of functional pre-spliceosomes. These observations could indicate that observable U2 binding requires the U1 binding to a 5’S5 positioned either cross-exon or cross-intron. Alternatively the results could also be explained if the 5’S5 mutation indirectly affects U2 binding by affecting the pre-mRNA secondary structure. To exclude the latter possibility, we demonstrated U2 binding to the 5i3 and 3e5 was also eliminated in experiments (Figure 3—figure supplement 6) in which U1 interaction with the 5’S5 was blocked by the addition of a morpholino oligonucleotide antisense to the U1 snRNA (Kaida et al., 2010). We conclude that U2 binding to the 3’S5 is strongly dependent on U1 binding to a 5’S5 either upstream (cross-intron) or downstream (cross-exon). This suggests an ordered human pre-spliceosome assembly pathway in which stable U2 association in the presence of ATP requires prior U1 binding, in contrast to the branched pathway observed in S. cerevisiae (Shcherbakova et al., 2013).

Synergistic effects of cross-intron and cross-exon 5’S5 on pre-spliceosome assembly

In multi-intron pre-mRNAs, internal exons have 5’S5 both upstream and downstream, either or both of which could bind U1 and act to recruit U2 to the 3’S5. In principle, the two U1s could act either independently or synergistically. If there is only one means by which U1 can recruit U2 (e.g., via binding to a single site on U2), the combined effect of two U1s on U2 binding would be at most the sum of their individual actions (Herschlag and Johnson, 1993). Such is the case for the activities of multiple SR proteins on splicing efficiency (Graveley et al., 1998). In contrast, if the upstream and downstream U1s can interact with U2 simultaneously, or if they accelerate different steps in the overall U2 recruitment process, their combined effect could be larger, that is, synergistic. Such synergy was previously observed between two distinct sequence elements within a regulated splicing enhancer (Lynch and Maniatis, 1995).

To determine whether the upstream and downstream 5’S5 independently or synergistically promote U2 binding, we constructed a pre-mRNA with 5’S5 both upstream and downstream of the 3’S5 (5i3e5, Figure 4A) as well as RNAs with mutations in either one 5’S5 (Xi3e5 and 5i3eX) or both (Xi3eX). In these constructs, the sequences flanking both 5’S5 were identical, minimizing potential sequence context effects. Consistent with the concept of exon definition (Talerico and Berget, 1990), splicing of 5i3e5 was 5-fold more efficient than 5i3eX in ensemble splicing reactions (Figure 4B). In fact, the effect of adding the downstream 5’S5 was strong enough to activate an otherwise dormant cryptic 5’S5 in the Xi3e5 construct. As expected, no splicing was observed on Xi3eX RNA.

We next performed single-molecule observations of U2 binding to these same four RNAs, all tethered and observed in a single reaction chamber to facilitate their direct comparison. Consistent with the observation that U2 binding in the cross-intron and cross-exon contexts depends on a 5’S5 (Figure 3C and D), no U2 binding above background levels was observed for the RNA with no 5’S5 (Xi3eX) (Figure 4C and Figure 4—figure supplement 1). Additionally, the shapes of the U2 dwell time distributions on 5i3eX and Xi3e5 (Figure 4C) were similar to those of the shorter 5i3 cross-intron and 3e5 cross-exon constructs (Figure 2F). Thus, the 5’S5 dependence of U2 binding seen with the longer RNAs was qualitatively similar to that observed on the previously characterized shorter RNAs.
Striking differences were apparent, however, when we compared the single 5’SS RNAs (Xi3e5 and 5i3eX) to the double 5’SS RNA (5i3e5) (Figure 4C and Figure 4—figure supplement 1). The presence of flanking 5’SS both upstream and downstream of the 3’SS dramatically increased U2 recruitment, with the RNA-specific U2 binding event frequency being more than 2.5 times the sum of the frequencies observed when either the upstream or downstream 5’SS was present alone.
similar effect was seen in the U2 occupancy measurements (Figure 4C), although the occupancy data is more difficult to interpret due to splicing via the cryptic splice site in Xi3e5. A much greater than additive effect was also seen when we compared the distributions of the time to the first U2 binding observed on each RNA (Figure 4—figure supplement 2), a metric that is comparatively immune to artefacts from spot detection dropouts (Friedman and Gelles, 2015). Taken together, these data indicate that the upstream and downstream 5'SS act synergistically to accelerate the rate of stable U2 recruitment to the 3'SS.

Discussion

Here we establish methods for observing the dynamics of spliceosomal subcomplexes on and the splicing of individual pre-mRNA molecules in human cell extract. The experiments reveal that the dynamics of U1 binding to the 5'SS are largely unaffected by the presence of U2 binding sites positioned either downstream (cross-exon) or upstream (cross-intron) of the 5'SS. In contrast, stable U2 binding to the 3'SS is accelerated by a 5'SS situated across either the adjacent intron or adjacent exon. Strikingly, when functional 5'SS are present together at both cross-intron and cross-exon locations, they synergistically promote U2 recruitment.

The more than additive effect of the flanking 5'SS indicates that U1 employs different molecular mechanisms/interactions across introns and across exons to accelerate U2 recruitment. A simple model that would explain this observed synergy of cross-exon and cross-intron 5'SS on U2 recruitment rate is that cross-exon U1 binding assists in recruiting the splicing factors that form a platform for U2 binding to the upstream 3'SS region, whereas the cross-intron U1-U2 interaction favors a U2 conformation capable of productive branch site engagement (Figure 5, left). Across exons, U1 is known to recruit U2AF (U2 auxiliary factor), which recognizes the polypyrimidine tract and 3'SS AG, and physically interacts with other proteins (e.g., SF1, p14, SF3B and SR proteins) required for stable U2 binding (Black, 2003). Across introns, U1 and U2 can interact via the DEAD-box protein Prp5, the ATPase activity of which promotes a structural change in U2 that makes the branch site recognition sequence more solvent accessible (Abu Dayyeh et al., 2002; O’Day et al., 1996; Xu et al., 2004). A different and not necessarily mutually exclusive model is that cross-exon and cross-intron
U1 interactions could both help form the binding platform for U2, but by interacting with different proteins (e.g., the upstream U1 stabilizes SF1 and the downstream U1 stabilizes U2AF) (Black, 2003). This arrangement would increase the likelihood of U2 encountering a fully assembled binding platform when in a conformation capable of stable branch site engagement (Figure 5, right). Other mechanisms for synergy, such as those mediated by effects on RNA secondary structure, are also possible.

Models of the type presented in Figure 5 assume that once a functional U1-U2 pre-spliceosome forms across the intron, interactions with U1 bound to the downstream 5'SS provide no additional U2 binding stabilization or stimulation of subsequent steps of spliceosome assembly (Figure 5, bottom). Such models predict that the presence of a downstream U1 will not affect the lifetimes of stable U2 complexes once formed. The data in Figure 4C are consistent with this prediction: both the overall shape of dwell time distributions and the ratios of binding frequency to occupancy are similar for the RNAs with \( (5\text{i}3\text{e}5: 1.0 \pm 0.2 \text{ s}^{-1}) \) or without \( (5\text{i}3\text{e}X: 1.3 \pm 0.9 \text{ s}^{-1}) \) the downstream site. Taken together, these results suggest that the downstream U1 does not significantly affect the stability of U2 complexes. This supports the hypothesis that the downstream U1 stabilizes SF1 and the downstream U1 stabilizes U2AF, rather than directly participating in the U2 binding platform.

### Table 2. Oligonucleotides and antisense morpholino oligonucleotides (AMO).

| Oligonucleotide name | Oligonucleotide sequence (5' to 3') |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| fSNAP-F              | AGAGATAAGCTTTCCAGCGGTACCGGATC     |
| fSNAP-R              | ACAGATCTCGAGCTAACCAGCAGTTCAGGTC  |
| U1-70K-F             | AGAGATGGTACCAGGCCAGTTCCTGCGGCA   |
| U1-70K-R             | ACAGATGCGCCGCACTCCGGCGAGCTCATC   |
| U2B'-F               | AGAGATGCTATTCCGAGGTATGAGCGATG    |
| U2B'-R               | ACAGATGCCGGATTTCTTCGATGCAGGAT    |
| Snu114-F             | AGAGATGGTTACAGGGTACCATGTGATG     |
| Snu114-R             | ACAGATGCGCCGAGCTTCGGGCGAGCGAAG  |
| ligation splint      | ACATCGTCGAGCGAGACCTCCGGAGAATTC   |
| S1-T7-F              | TAATACGACTCAATATAGGGGGAATTCAG    |
| S4-T7-F              | TAATAGCTATACATATAGGGTCCCGGAGG    |
| S1-R                 | mAGACGAGCATGCGGAA               |
| S4-R                 | mUGCATGAGAGACCGAGA               |
| 2-color RNA Klenow splint | GGTCTCCGAGAGACGACGAG   |
| 5i3 template-F       | TAATACGACTCAATATAGGGGGAATTCAG    |
| 5i3 template-R       | mUGCATGAGAGACGAGA               |
| 5i3 Klenow splint    | GGTCTCCGAGAGACGAGA               |
| 5i3 Klenow capture   | CTCTCCGAGAGACGAGA               |
| 3e5 template-F       | TAATACGACTCAATATAGGGGGAATTCAG    |
| 3e5 template-R       | mUGCATGAGAGACGAGA               |
| 3e5 Klenow splint    | GGTCTCCGAGAGACGAGA               |
| 3e5 Klenow capture   | CTCTCCGAGAGACGAGA               |
| 5i3e5 template-F     | TAATACGACTCAATATAGGGGGAATTCAG    |
| 5i3e5 template-R     | mUGCATGAGAGACGAGA               |
| 5i3e5 Klenow splint  | GGTCTCCGAGAGACGAGA               |
| 5i3e5 Klenow capture | CTCTCCGAGAGACGAGA               |
| control-AMO          | CCTCTACCTCAAGATTTA               |
| anti-U1-AMO          | GGTATCTCCCCGAGAGTA               |

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37751.034
together, our data suggest that the synergistic stimulatory effect of the downstream 5'SS on splicing is exerted at the U2 recruitment step and the processes that enable it, not at the subsequent steps of spliceosome assembly and splicing.

Inappropriate skipping of otherwise constitutive internal exons can occur with exceptionally low frequency (~1 in 10^5 splicing events) (Fox-Walsh and Hertel, 2009). But the molecular mechanisms contributing to this remarkable accuracy were previously unclear. By implementing CoSMoS in extracts from human cells expressing genetically-tagged proteins, we here show that a major contributor to exon inclusion is collaboration between flanking 5'SS. This collaboration dramatically increases the rate of stable U2 recruitment during pre-spliceosome formation. Cross-intron and cross-exon 5'SS synergy on U2 recruitment rate explains how U1 can have such a strong effect on U2 recruitment despite its association with pre-mRNA being much more dynamic than U2. This mechanism is likely crucial for rapid definition of internal exons in multi-intron RNAs, enabling the human splicing machinery to avoid inappropriate exon skipping.

**Materials and methods**

**Nuclear extract preparation**

Stable HEK293 Tet-On Flip-In cell lines were generated to express fSNAP fusions of U1-70K, U2B’’, and Snu114 at near endogenous levels as previously described (Singh et al., 2012). Stable HEK293 Tet-On Flip-In cell lines were generated from the Flp-In T-REx—293 Cell Line (Invitrogen, R78007). The cells were purchased from Invitrogen and their resistance to Zeocin and their Flip-In competence were confirmed. No further authentication or mycoplasma contamination testing were performed. Plasmid pcDNA5-FRT-TetO-fSNAPc was generated by amplifying the open reading frame of fSNAP using PCR primers fSNAP-F and fSNAP-R (Table 2) and inserting into pcDNA5-FRT-TetO (Invitrogen) using the HindIII and XhoI restriction sites. Plasmids containing spliceosomal subcomplex protein-

### Table 3. Plasmids.

| Plasmid name                        | Description                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| pcDNA5-FRT-TetO                     | Singh et al. (2012)                                                          |
| pcDNA5-FRT-TetO-fSNAPc              | open reading frame of fSNAP inserted into pcDNA5-FRT-TetO using the HindIII and XhoI restriction sites |
| pcDNA5-FRT-TetO-U1-70K-fSNAPc       | open reading frame of U1-70K inserted into pcDNA5-FRT-TetO-fSNAPc using the Kpnl and NotI restriction sites |
| pcDNA5-FRT-TetO-U2B''-fSNAPc        | open reading frame of U2B'' inserted into pcDNA5-FRT-TetO-fSNAPc using the Kpnl and NotI restriction sites |
| pcDNA5-FRT-TetO-Snu114-fSNAPc       | open reading frame of Snu114 inserted into pcDNA5-FRT-TetO-fSNAPc using the Kpnl and NotI restriction sites |
| PIP85.A (=T7-5i3)                   | Moore and Sharp (1992), T7 transcription template for 5i3                   |
| T7-3e5                              | T7 transcription template for 3e5                                            |
| T7-5iX                              | T7 transcription template for 5iX                                            |
| T7-Xi3                              | T7 transcription template for Xi3                                            |
| T7-3eX                              | T7 transcription template for 3eX                                            |
| T7-Xe5                              | T7 transcription template for Xe5                                            |
| T7-5i3e5                            | T7 transcription template for 5i3e5                                         |
| T7-3i3eX                            | T7 transcription template for 3i3eX                                         |
| T7-Xi3e5                            | T7 transcription template for Xi3e5                                         |
| T7-Xi3eX                            | T7 transcription template for Xi3eX                                         |

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37751.033
fSNAP fusions (Table 3) were generated by PCR amplification from HEK293 cDNA for U1-70K and U2B′′, and from Kazusa DNA Research Institute cDNA clone ORK00375 (Nomura et al., 1994) for Snu114 using the specified primers (Table 2) and cloning the products into pcDNAs-TetO-fSNAPc using the KpnI and NotI restriction sites. Expression levels of the fSNAP fusion proteins were adjusted to endogenous level by inducing the U1-70K-fSNAP, U2B′′-fSNAP, and Snu114-fSNAP Flip-In cell lines with 6 ng/ml, 3 ng/ml, and 3 ng/ml Doxycycline (BD Biosciences, 631311), respectively. Parental cells were not induced. Nuclear extracts were prepared as previously described (Lee et al., 1988). In brief, HEK293 cells were grown at 37°C 5% CO2 in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% FBS. Cells from 10 confluent 15 cm dishes were harvested and washed with ice cold PBS. Cells (900 μl) were resuspended in 900 μl Buffer A [10 mM Tris, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 10 mM KCl and 0.5 mM DTT, pH 7.9 at 4°C, supplemented with complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, 04693159001)], transferred to a 2 ml Eppendorf tube, incubated for on ice 15 min and then disrupted by 10 passages through a 25 gauge needle. After centrifuging the lysate for 20 s at 12,000 x g, the nuclear pellet was resuspended in 450 μl Buffer C (20 mM Tris, 25% (v/v) glycerol, 0.42 M NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM EDTA and 0.5 mM DTT, pH 7.9 at 4°C, supplemented with complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, 04693159001)) and rapidly stirred in a 2 ml round-bottom microcentrifuge tube with a 12.7 x 3 mm stir bar for 30 min. After clarifying the lysate by centrifuging for 10 min at 12,000 x g, the SNAP-Surface 549 dye-benzylguanine conjugate (New England BioLabs, S9112S) was added to the supernatant at a final concentration of 200 nM and incubated for 30 min at 30°C. After labeling, the supernatant was dialyzed 2 times for 2 hr each against Buffer E (20 mM Tris, 20% (v/v) glycerol, 0.1 M KCl, 0.2 mM EDTA and 0.5 mM DTT, pH 7.9 at 4°C). The dialysate (1 ml) was spun again for 10 min at 16,000 x g and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Typical total protein concentration was 8.5 mg/ml. For negative control experiments, extracts were depleted of ATP using Centri-Sep spin columns (Princeton Separations, CS-901) (Anderson and Moore, 2000). A single preparation of each of the three extracts was used in all reported experiments.

RNA preparation
Radioactively labeled pre-mRNA substrate PIP85A (Table 1) was synthesized by in vitro transcription as previously described with a m7G(5′)ppp(5′)G 5′ cap and [α-32P]UTP (Moore and Sharp, 1992). Two color pre-mRNAs carrying a 3′ biotin (Figure 1C) were prepared by splinted ligation as previously described (Crawford et al., 2013; Shcherbakova et al., 2013) using DNA oligonucleotides and RNA segments listed in Tables 1 and 2. Specifically, RNA segments S1 (with a m7G(5′)ppp(5′)G 5′ cap) and S4 were produced in vitro by transcription by T7 RNA polymerase of templates generated by PCR from the plasmid PIP85A using the PCR primers S1-T7-F and S1-R or S4-T7-F and S4-R respectively. S2 and S3 were purchased from Dharmacon. Prior to the ligation 5′ ends of S2, S3 and S4 were phosphorylated and S3 was labeled with AlexaFluor 647 NHS ester (Thermo Scientific, A20006) as previously described (Crawford et al., 2013; Shcherbakova et al., 2013). For the final splinted ligation S1, S2, S3, S4 RNA segments and the ligation splint oligonucleotide were annealed. The ligation resulted in an RNA where the 5′ exon was labeled with a single green-excited dye (DY547) at position −7 relative to the 5′SS, the intron was labeled with on average two red-excited dyes (AlexaFluor 647) at positions 18, 30 and/or 42 relative to the 5′SS, and a single biotin was added to the 3′ end by Klenow extension with biotin-dCTP (Trilink Bio Technologies Inc, N5002) (Braun and Serebrov, 2017; Shcherbakova et al., 2013).

One-color pre-mRNAs (Figures 2, 3 and 4) were labeled at the 3′ end by Klenow extension with both AlexaFluor 647 dUTP (Life Technologies, A32763) and biotin dCTP, resulting in one (S1I3 and S3e5) or two (S1I3e5) AlexaFluor 647 dyes and one biotin per pre-mRNA molecule. All oligonucleotides used for Klenow extensions are listed in Tables 1 and 2.

Western blotting
Protein samples were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to a 0.45 μm pore size nitrocellulose membrane (Whatman, PROTRAN BA 85, 10 401 196). Proteins were detected using the indicated antibodies and an Odyssey CLx Imager (LI-COR) according to manufacturer’s instructions.
Co-immunoprecipitation
Cells (one 15 cm dish per condition) were lysed in 3 ml Buffer 1 (10 mM Tris pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM MgCl$_2$) supplemented with 40 µg/ml digitonin. Nuclei were collected by pelleting at 2,000 x g for 10 min and resuspended in 3 ml Buffer 1 supplemented with 0.1% Triton X-100 and complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, 04693159001). The suspension was sonicated (Branson Digital Sonifier-250) for 8 s in bursts of 2 s and the NaCl concentration adjusted to 150 mM. This nuclear lysate was clarified by centrifugation at 15,000 x g for 10 min and an input sample taken. Dynabeads Protein A (Life Technologies, 10002D) or Protein G (Life Technologies, 10001D) pre-incubated with respective antibodies were added and nutated for 2 hr. After four washes with Buffer 2 (20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% NP-40), bound proteins were eluted with SDS loading dye and analyzed by Western blotting as described above.

Fluorescence and Coomassie gels
Protein samples were separated by denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). Gels were fixed in 25% isopropanol and 10% acetic acid, and fluorescence was imaged using a Typhoon scanner (GE Healthcare). Gels were subsequently stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue dye R-250 (Thermo Scientific, 20278) to visualize total protein.

Bulk in vitro splicing assays
Splicing reactions were performed at 30°C in 20 µl of 40% HEK 293 nuclear extract in final concentrations of 60 mM K+ -MOPS pH 7.3, 2 mM ATP, 0.5 mM DTT, 2 mM MgOAc$_2$, 20 mM potassium glutamate, 5 mM creatine phosphate, and 0.1 mg/ml E.coli tRNA with 20 fmol radioactively labeled pre-mRNA substrate. To make conditions correspond to those in the CoSMoS experiments, the bulk assays also included 0.9 U/ml B. cepacia protocatechuate dioxygenase (Sigma P8279; 5 U/mg; 9 mg/ml) and 5 mM protocatechuate (Sigma 37580, recrystallized from hot water before use) as an O$_2$ scavenging system and 1 mM Trolox (6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid, Aldrich, 23,881–3) as a triplet quencher (Hoskins et al., 2011). Where indicated, anti-U1 AMO or control AMO (Gene Tools, sequences are described in Table 2) were added at 10 µM final concentration as previously described (Kaida et al., 2010) and the splicing reaction was 20 min pre-incubated at 30°C prior to the addition of pre-mRNA substrate. After incubating at 30°C for times indicated, splicing reactions were stopped by adding 10 volumes of Stop Buffer (100 mM Tris-Cl, 10 mM EDTA, 1% SDS, 150 mM NaCl, and 300 mM sodium acetate, pH 7.5). RNAs were extracted and separated by denaturing polyacrylamide (15%) gel electrophoresis. The dried gel was phosphorimaged with a Typhoon PhosphorImager and RNAs quantified using ImageQuant with signal intensities being normalized to their U content. Splicing efficiencies were calculated as the ratio of spliced RNA product (i.e., ligated exons) to pre-mRNA starting material at time zero.

Single molecule in vitro splicing assays
Glass slides and cover slips were prepared as described previously (Friedman et al., 2006) except that PEGylation was only allowed to proceed for 3 hr at room temperature after which slides and coverslips were washed with 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.4, dried with N$_2$ gas and stored at −80°C until use. After assembly of reaction chambers with vacuum grease (up to five lanes per slide with a volume of ~25 µl each), individual lanes were rehydrated immediately before use with 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.4.

Single-molecule fluorescence imaging used a micro-mirror total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscope with automatic focus (Friedman et al., 2006; Hoskins et al., 2011). Sample temperature was maintained at 30°C using a custom-built temperature control system (Paramanathan et al., 2014). Streptavidin-conjugated fluorescent beads (Life Technologies, T10711) were tethered to the surface (multiple beads per field of view) and were used as reference for stage drift correction. RNAs were tethered on the slide surface at a total density of ~0.2–0.5 fluorescent spots per µm$^2$. When multiple different RNA species were tethered sequentially, a microscope image was taken after each round of deposition to individually identify the molecules of each RNA species. Splicing reactions (60 µl) were assembled as described for the bulk assays above (but without pre-mRNA) and introduced into individual slide lanes by capillary action and wicking; imaging was initiated immediately after reaction loading. For experiments with the two color pre-mRNA we
acquired a 1 s duration frame every 5 min with 150 μW 633 nm (red) excitation except at the beginning and end of the experiment when we acquired one frame per second with 300 μW 532 nm (green) excitation. For experiments with the one color pre-mRNAs and labeled nuclear extracts we acquired sequences of 100 one-second duration frames with 300 μW 532 nm excitation alternating with a single one-second frame with 150 μW 633 nm excitation. All excitation powers are measured incident to the input micro-mirror.

**Single molecule data analysis**

Data analysis was performed using custom software ([https://github.com/gelles-brandeis/CoSMoS_Analysis;](https://github.com/gelles-brandeis/CoSMoS_Analysis) copy archived at [https://github.com/elifesciences-publications/CoSMoS_Analysis](https://github.com/elifesciences-publications/CoSMoS_Analysis)) implemented in MATLAB (MathWorks) as previously described (Friedman and Gelles, 2015; Hoskins et al., 2011); locations of fluorescent spots were identified by image analysis using the spot-picker algorithm (Friedman and Gelles, 2015). Locations of tethered RNA molecules and control locations were determined in drift-corrected, color-aligned images. For spliceosomal subcomplex detection, images were averaged with a five frame sliding window before spot picking. Binding frequencies were calculated as described (Friedman and Gelles, 2015). The provided source data files are ‘intervals’ files readable by imscroll ([https://github.com/gelles-brandeis/CoSMoS_Analysis](https://github.com/gelles-brandeis/CoSMoS_Analysis)). The time-averaged specific occupancy of RNA molecules by a spliceosomal subcomplex (i.e., the fraction of time the RNA a fluorescently labeled subcomplex is bound to the RNA) was calculated as \((f_m - f_c) / (1 - f_c)\) where the subscripts m and c refer to RNA and no RNA control locations, respectively, and \(f\) represents the fraction of time that a fluorescent spot was present, averaged over all locations measured for each type. Note that this value underestimates the subcomplex occupancy since only a fraction of each subcomplex is labeled (Figure 1—figure supplement 4). Standard error of the fractional occupancy was determined by bootstrapping (2,000 random samples). Distributions of time to first binding event were fit to background-corrected single-exponential models as described (Friedman and Gelles, 2015).
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