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Abstract. The LeBrun-Mason twistor correspondences for $S^1$-invariant self-dual Zollfrei metrics are explicitly established. The correspondence is described by using explicit formulas for solutions of the wave equation and the monopole equation on the de Sitter three-space, and these formulas are explicitly given by using Radon-type integral transforms. We also obtain a critical condition for the LeBrun-Mason twistor spaces, and show that the twistor correspondence does not work well for twistor spaces which do not satisfy this condition.

1. Introduction

The twistor theory concerning holomorphic disks, developed by C. LeBrun and L. J. Mason, is now progressing steadily (see [17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24]). In general, LeBrun-Mason type twistor correspondence is characterized in the following way:

- the twistor space is given by the pair of a complex manifold $Z$ and a totally real submanifold $P$ in $Z$,
- corresponding objects to the ‘twistor lines’ in the ordinary twistor theory [11, 12, 26] are given by the holomorphic disks on $Z$ with boundaries lying on $P$,
- a natural differential geometric structure is induced on the parameter space $M$ of the family of holomorphic disks,
- the induced structure is of low regularity in general, and satisfies some global conditions which give a strong restriction on the topology on $M$, and
- conversely, the twistor space $(Z, P)$ is obtained from such a differential geometric structure.

In this article, we mainly deal with the non-rigid case of the LeBrun-Mason correspondence for self-dual conformal structures [19]. In this case, the twistor space is a pair $(\mathbb{CP}^3, P)$ where $P$ is an embedded $\mathbb{RP}^3$ sufficiently close to the standard one, and the corresponding geometry is a self-dual indefinite conformal structure $[g]$ on $S^2 \times S^2$ of signature $(- - + +)$. In this case, the required global condition for $[g]$ is the Zollfrei condition, that is, every maximal null geodesic of $[g]$ is closed (cf. [25]). LeBrun and Mason showed that any self-dual indefinite conformal structure on $S^2 \times S^2$ sufficiently close to the standard one is automatically Zollfrei, and that
such conformal structures correspond one-to-one with the twistor spaces \((\mathbb{CP}^3, P)\) in the above sense.

On the other hand, before LeBrun and Mason developed the above theory, infinitely many examples of self-dual indefinite metrics on \(S^2 \times S^2\) were obtained by K. P. Tod \[27\], and independently by H. Kamada \[14\]. Tod constructed \(S^3\)-invariant self-dual indefinite metrics on \(S^2 \times S^2\) via a method analogous to what is called LeBrun’s hyperbolic ansatz \[16\]. Kamada investigated compact scalar-flat indefinite Kähler surfaces with Hamiltonian \(S^1\)-symmetry. It is known that such a surface is automatically self-dual, and Kamada proved that such a structure is admitted only on \(\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1\). Kamada also constructed infinitely many examples of such structures containing Tod’s examples. Since Tod’s and Kamada’s examples contain the self-dual metrics sufficiently close to the standard one, at least some of them must be Zollfrei by the above results by LeBrun and Mason. So the natural question is the following:

- Are the metrics constructed by Tod and Kamada all Zollfrei?
- If they are Zollfrei, can we establish the LeBrun-Mason correspondences for them?

We show that these problems are settled positively, which is the main theorem in this article (Theorem 7.1).

To attack the above problems, we start from the study of the wave equation and the monopole equation on the three-dimensional de Sitter space \(S^3_1\). We introduce a couple of Radon-type integral transforms from functions on \(S^2\) to functions on \(S^3_1\), and show that general solutions of the wave equation and the monopole equation satisfying a certain convergence condition are all obtained from functions on \(S^2\) by making use of the integral transforms. We note that examples of abelian and non-abelian monopoles on \(S^3_1\) are obtained via the twistor method, which was given by V. Kotecha and R. S. Ward \[15\] before the LeBrun-Mason theory was established. In this article, we deal with abelian and topologically trivial monopoles on \(S^3_1\). We see that all the solutions satisfying the convergence are obtained by the twistor method, and that these solutions are described explicitly and simply by using the introduced integral transforms.

The results in this article are considered as the LeBrun-Mason theory version of the Jones-Tod reduction theory \[12\]. In contrast, in \[22, 23\], the author studied the LeBrun-Mason theory version of the Dunajski-West reduction theory \[3, 5\]. Particularly in \[22\], we obtain infinitely many self-dual indefinite Zollfrei conformal structures on \(S^2 \times S^2\) with singularity, and their LeBrun-Mason correspondences are established by making use of the Radon transform on \(\mathbb{R}^2\). Though it seems that there are no direct relations between these previous works and the results in this article, these results seem to insist on the significance of the Radon transform as a tool in the study of LeBrun-Mason theory. Here we note that there has been a certain amount of work on the relation between integral geometric transforms and the Penrose transform in the context of split signature twistor correspondences (see, for example, \[2\]).

The organization of this paper is as follows. We first study the wave equation on the de Sitter space \(S^3_1\) in Sections 2 and 3. We introduce a couple of Radon-type integral transforms, and show that any solution of the wave equation on \(S^3_1\) satisfying a certain convergence condition at infinity is obtained from a function on \(S^2\) by applying one of the transforms (Theorem 3.1). As a consequence, we see...
that any solution of the wave equation on $S^3_1$ satisfying the convergency carries a symmetry which we call the oddness.

We next study the monopole equation on $S^3_1$ in Section 4.2. We introduce the notion of a monopole potential and show that any gauge equivalent class of monopole solutions corresponds one-to-one with a monopole potential. Further, based on the above results for the wave equation, we show that the gauge equivalent classes of monopole solutions satisfying the convergency correspond one-to-one with functions on $S^2$ which we call generating functions (Theorem 4.5).

Following Kamada’s formulation, we can construct self-dual indefinite metrics on $S^2 \times S^2$ from monopole solutions on $S^3_1$ satisfying some extra conditions (Proposition 4.2). In light of this construction, we introduce the notion of admissible monopoles by which we obtain the self-dual metrics on $S^2 \times S^2$. We see that the self-dual metrics on $S^2 \times S^2$ obtained from admissible monopoles are all Zollfrei. We also study the non-admissible case, and in this article we show that their examples essentially cover all the admissible monopoles.

In the latter half of this article (Sections 5, 6, and 7), we establish the LeBrun-Mason correspondence for the above-obtained self-dual metrics on $S^2 \times S^2$. We set a twistor space $(\mathbb{CP}^3, P_h)$ for each function $h$ on $S^2$, and we establish the LeBrun-Mason correspondence between the twistor space $(\mathbb{CP}^3, P_h)$ and the self-dual conformal structure on $S^2 \times S^2$. We see that the self-dual metrics on $S^2 \times S^2$ obtained from admissible monopoles are all Zollfrei. We also study the non-admissible case, and show that the twistor space $(\mathbb{CP}^3, P_h)$ carries an unexpected property for holomorphic disks in this case (Proposition 7.3). This means that if the twistor space is non-admissible, the twistor correspondence does not work well at least in the sense so far.

2. Wave equation on the de Sitter 3-space

In this section, we introduce the wave equation on the de Sitter 3-space. Then we introduce integral transforms and show that we can get solutions of the wave equation by these transforms.

The space of small circles. Let $S^2 = \{(u_1, u_2, u_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_3^2 = 1\}$ be the unit sphere equipped with the standard metric and let $(S^3_1, g_{S^3_1})$ be the de Sitter 3-space defined by
\[
S^3_1 := \{(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid -x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1\},
g_{S^3_1} := (-dx_0^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2)|_{S^3_1}.
\]
We identify $S^3_1$ with $\mathbb{R} \times S^2$ via the diffeomorphism $\mathbb{R} \times S^2 \rightarrow S^3_1$ given by
\[
(t, y) \mapsto (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = (\sinh t, \cosh t (y_1, y_2, y_3)).
\]
For each $(t, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times S^2 \cong S^3_1$, we define
\[
\Omega_{(t, y)} := \{u \in S^2 \mid u \cdot y > \tanh t\},
\]
which is an open set on $S^2$ bounded by a small circle. By the correspondence $(t, y) \leftrightarrow \partial \Omega_{(t, y)}$, we identify the de Sitter space $S^3_1$ with the space of oriented small circles in $S^2$. Notice that the subset $S^3_1 := \{(t, y) \in S^3_1 \mid t = 0\}$, which is called the neck sphere, corresponds to the space of big circles on $S^2$. Now let us fix $(t, y) \in S^3_1$
and take vectors $y_1^+, y_2^- \in \mathbb{S}^2$ so that $\{y_1^+, y_2^-, y\}$ gives an oriented frame of $\mathbb{R}^3$ with compatible orientation. We define a map $\gamma(t,y) : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ by

$$
\gamma(t,y)(\phi) = \frac{\cos \phi}{\cosh t} y_1^+ + \frac{\sin \phi}{\cosh t} y_2^- + \tanh t \; y,
$$

which gives a parametrization of the small circle $\partial \Omega_{(t,y)}$. We will see later in Section 3 that the above identification between $\mathbb{S}^3_1$ and the space of the oriented small circles on $\mathbb{S}^2$ naturally arises from the LeBrun-Mason correspondence for an Einstein-Weyl 3-fold.

**The wave equation.** The wave equation on the de Sitter space $\mathbb{S}^3_1$ is given by

$$
\Box V := *d * dV = 0,
$$

where $V$ is a smooth function on $\mathbb{S}^3_1$ and $*$ is the Hodge operator on $\mathbb{S}^3_1$ with respect to the indefinite metric $g_{\mathbb{S}^3_1}$ and the natural orientation on $\mathbb{S}^3_1$ $\simeq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^2$.

We fix the notation for the operators on $\mathbb{S}^2$ as follows: let $\hat{\ast}$ be the Hodge operator, $\hat{d}$ be the exterior derivative and $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}$ be the Laplace operator. We also use the same notation $\hat{\ast}$, $\hat{d}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}$ for the fiberwise operators on $\mathbb{S}^3_1$ as an $\mathbb{S}^2$-bundle $\mathbb{S}^3_1 = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$. For any one-form $\eta$ on $\mathbb{S}^3_1$ satisfying $\eta(\hat{\partial}_i) = 0$ (where $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$), we obtain

$$
* \eta = -dt \wedge (\hat{\ast} \eta) \quad \text{and} \quad (dt \wedge \eta) = -\ast \eta.
$$

If we denote the volume form on $\mathbb{S}^2$ by $\omega_{\mathbb{S}^2}$, then we have $* dt = -\cosh^2 t \; \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2}$. For a smooth function $V$ on $\mathbb{S}^3_1$,

$$
dV = V_t dt + \hat{d} V,
$$

$$
* dV = -V_t \cosh^2 t \; \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2} - dt \wedge (\hat{\ast} \; dV),
$$

$$
d * dV = -(V_t \cosh^2 t)_t \; dt \wedge \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2} + dt \wedge \hat{d} \; \hat{\ast} \; dV,
$$

where $V_t = \partial_t V$, and so on. Hence the wave equation (2.2) is written as

$$
\left( -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - 2 \tanh t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (\cosh t)^{-2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \right) V = 0.
$$

**Function spaces.** Let us denote the antipodal map on $\mathbb{S}^2$ by $\alpha$. We also define an involution on $\mathbb{S}^3_1$ by $\sigma : (t, y) \mapsto (-t, -y)$. If we identify $\mathbb{S}^3_1$ with the space of oriented small circles on $\mathbb{S}^2$, $\sigma$ corresponds to the orientation-reversing operation for each oriented small circle. Let us denote by $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^2)$ and by $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^3_1)$ the space of real-valued smooth functions on $\mathbb{S}^2$ and on $\mathbb{S}^3_1$ respectively. We set

$$
C^\infty_{\text{even}}(\mathbb{S}^2) := \{ h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^2) \mid h = h \circ \alpha \},
$$

$$
C^\infty_{\text{even}}(\mathbb{S}^3_1) := \{ F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^3_1) \mid F = F \circ \sigma \},
$$

$$
C^\infty_{\text{odd}}(\mathbb{S}^2) := \{ h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^2) \mid h = -h \circ \alpha \},
$$

$$
C^\infty_{\text{odd}}(\mathbb{S}^3_1) := \{ F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^3_1) \mid F = -F \circ \sigma \}.
$$

We call $h \in C^\infty_{\text{even}}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ an even function, and so on. We define the maps $\pi : C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^2) \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\imath : C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^3_1) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\pi(h) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} h \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2} \quad \text{for} \ h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^2),
$$

$$
\imath(F) = \int_{v \in \mathbb{S}^2} F(0,v) \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2} \quad \text{for} \ F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^3_1),
$$

respectively.
where $\omega_2$ is the volume form on $S^2$. We set

\[
C_\infty^\ast(S^2) := \{ h \in C_\infty(S^2) \mid m(h) = 0 \}, \\
C_\infty^\ast(S_3^1) := \{ F \in C_\infty(S_3^1) \mid m(F) = 0 \}, \\
C_\text{even}^\ast(S^2) := \{ h \in C_\text{even}(S^2) \mid m(h) = 0 \}, \\
C_\text{even}^\ast(S_3^1) := \{ F \in C_\text{even}(S_3^1) \mid m(F) = 0 \}.
\]

Let us denote the space of real-valued constant functions by $\mathbb{R}$. Then we obtain the natural decompositions

\[ C_\infty^\ast(S^2) = \mathbb{R} \oplus C_\text{even}^\ast(S^2) \oplus C_\text{odd}^\ast(S^2) \quad \text{and} \quad C_\infty^\ast(S_3^1) = \mathbb{R} \oplus C_\text{even}^\ast(S_3^1) \oplus C_\text{odd}^\ast(S_3^1) \]

given by $h = m(h) + \left( \frac{1}{2}(h + h \cdot \alpha) - m(h) \right) + \frac{1}{2}(h - h \cdot \alpha)$, and so on.

### Transforms

We define linear transforms $R, Q : C_\infty^\ast(S^2) \to C_\infty^\ast(S_3^1)$ by

\[
R(h)(t,y) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} h(\gamma(t,y)(\phi))d\phi, \\
Q(h)(t,y) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Omega(t,y)} h(u)\omega_2,
\]

where $\gamma(t,y)(\phi)$ is given by (2.11). Of course, $R$ is well-defined by (2.6) without depending on the choice of vectors $\{y^+_1, y^+_2\}$. By definition we obtain

\[
R(C_\infty^\ast(S^2)) \subset C_\text{even}^\ast(S_3^1), \quad Q(C_\infty^\ast(S^2)) \subset C_\text{odd}^\ast(S_3^1).
\]

Restricting $R$ and $Q$ on the neck sphere $S_0^2 \cong S^2$, we also define linear transforms $R, Q : C_\infty^\ast(S^2) \to C_\infty^\ast(S^2)$ by

\[
R_h(y) := R(h,0,y) \quad \text{and} \quad Q_h(y) := Q(h,0,y).
\]

The transform $R$ is called the Funk transform (cf. [6]) or the spherical Radon transform. See [7, 9] for the details of the (spherical) Radon transform and the related topics. The inverse problem for the (spherical) Radon transform is a classical problem, and there are a number of works on this subject. On the other hand, the transform $Q$ seems to be paid little attention. We will study the inverse problem for the transform $Q$ in the next section and in Appendix A.

**Lemma 2.1.** For any smooth function $h$ on $S^2$, the following equation holds:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} R_h(t,y) = -Q \Delta_{S^2} h(t,y).
\]

**Proof.** Since we can vary $t$ fixing the frame $\{y^+_1, y^+_2, y\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^3$, we obtain

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\gamma(t,y)(\phi)) = -(\cosh t)^{-1}\nu(\phi),
\]

where $\nu(\phi)$ is the unit normal vector field along $\gamma(t,y)(\phi)$ directed outside of the domain $\Omega(t,y)$. Let $dm$ be the measure on $\partial\Omega(t,y)$ induced by the standard metric on $S^2$. Then we have $dm = (\cosh t)^{-1}d\phi$. Hence we obtain

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} R_h(t,y) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega(t,y)} (\nabla h) \cdot \nu \, dm = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Omega(t,y)} (\Delta_{S^2} h) \omega_2 = -Q \Delta_{S^2} h(t,y)
\]

by the divergence formula. \qed
Lemma 2.2. For any smooth function $h$ on $\mathbb{S}^2$, the following equation holds:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} Qh(t, y) = -(\cosh t)^{-2} Rh(t, y).$$

Proof. We fix $y \in \mathbb{S}^2$ and take vectors $y^+_1, y^+_2 \in \mathbb{S}^2$ so that $\{y^+_1, y^+_2, y\}$ gives an oriented orthonormal basis on $\mathbb{R}^3$. We use a spherical coordinate $(\theta, \phi) \in (0, \pi) \times (0, 2\pi)$ on $\mathbb{S}^2$ defined by

$$(\theta, \phi) \mapsto u(\theta, \phi) := \sin \theta \cos \phi \ y^+_1 + \sin \theta \sin \phi \ y^+_2 + \cos \theta \ y.$$

Then we have $\Omega_{(t, y)} = \{(\theta, \phi) \in \mathbb{S}^2 \mid 0 \leq \theta \leq \alpha\}$, where $\alpha$ is the real variable defined by $\cos \alpha = \tanh t$. In this coordinate, noticing $\omega_{\mathbb{S}^2} = \sin \theta \, d\theta \wedge d\phi$,

$$Qh(t, y) = \int_{\Omega_{(t, y)}} h(u(\theta, \phi)) \sin \theta \, d\theta \wedge d\phi = \int_0^{2\pi} \left[ \int_0^\alpha h(u(\theta, \phi)) \sin \theta \, d\theta \right] d\phi.$$

Since $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = -(\cosh t)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}$, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} Qh(t, y) = \frac{-1}{\cosh t} \int_0^{2\pi} h(u(\alpha, \phi)) \sin \alpha \, d\phi$$

$$= \frac{-1}{\cosh^2 t} \int_0^{2\pi} h(u(\alpha, \phi)) d\phi = - \frac{Rh(t, y)}{\cosh^2 t}$$

as required. \hfill \Box

Remark 2.3. We can check $Q(1)(t, y) = \text{Area}(\Omega_{(t, y)}) = 1 - \tanh t$ by using the above coordinate $(\theta, \phi)$.

Proposition 2.4. For any smooth function $h$ on $\mathbb{S}^2$, the induced function $f := Rh$ on $\mathbb{S}^3$ solves the following hyperbolic partial differential equation:

$$Lf := \left( -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + (\cosh t)^{-2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \right) f = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

Proof. First we claim that $R$ commutes with $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}$. Actually, if we fix $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the transform $M^t : h \mapsto Rh(t, \cdot)$ is $SO(3)$-equivariant; hence $M^t$ commutes with $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}$ by Theorem A.1 in Appendix A. Thus $R$ commutes with $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}$. Then, by the above lemmas,

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} Rh(t, y) = - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} Q\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} h(t, y) = (\cosh t)^{-2} R\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} h(t, y) = (\cosh t)^{-2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} Rh(t, y)$$

for any smooth function $h$ on $\mathbb{S}^2$. Hence $f := Rh$ solves (2.9). \hfill \Box

Lemma 2.5. Let $f$ be a smooth function on $\mathbb{S}^3_1$ satisfying the equation $Lf = 0$. If we put $V := f_t$, then $V$ satisfies the wave equation $\Box V = 0$.

Proof. Applying $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ on the equation $(\cosh t)^2 Lf = 0$, we obtain the equation (2.5). \hfill \Box

Proposition 2.6. Let $h$ be a smooth function on $\mathbb{S}^2$ satisfying $n(h) = 0$. Then $V := Qh$ solves the wave equation $\Box V = 0$.

Proof. Since $n(h) = 0$, there exists a smooth function $\hat{h}$ on $\mathbb{S}^2$ satisfying $h = -\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \hat{h}$. If we put $f := Rh$, then $V = -Q\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \hat{h} = f_t$ by Lemma 2.4. On the other hand, $Lf = 0$ by Proposition 2.4 so $\Box V = \Box f_t = 0$ by Lemma 2.5. \hfill \Box

Remark 2.7. We call a function $h \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{S}^2)$ a generating function in the sense that $h$ induces a solution of $\Box V = 0$ or $Lf = 0$. 
3. Oddness and the inverse problem

In this section, we investigate the inverse problem for the transforms $R$ and $Q$. The goal is the following.

**Theorem 3.1.**

1. Let $V$ be a smooth function on $S^3$ which solves the wave equation $\Box V = 0$. Suppose that $V \to 0$ and $V_t \to 0$ as $t \to \pm \infty$ uniformly for $y \in S^2$. Then $V$ is odd, and there exists a unique smooth function $h \in C_\infty^*(S^3)$ satisfying $V = Qh$.

2. Let $f$ be a smooth function on $S^3$ which solves the equation $L f = 0$. Suppose that there exist $h_{\pm}(y) \in C_\infty(S^2)$ and that $f(t, y) \to h_{\pm}(y)$ and $f_t, f_{tt} \to 0$ as $t \to \pm \infty$ uniformly for $y \in S^2$. Then $f$ is even, and $f = Rh_+$ holds. Moreover, if $f \in C_\infty^*(S^3)$, then $h_{\pm} \in C_\infty^*(S^2)$.

**Inverse problem for $R$ and $Q$.** First, we study the transforms $R$ and $Q$. By definition, $R$ and $Q$ are the identities on the constant functions $\mathbb{R} \subset C_\infty(S^2)$. We also have $R(C_{\text{odd}}(S^2)) = Q(C_{\text{even}^*}(S^2)) = 0$. The following bijectivity is, however, rather non-trivial.

**Proposition 3.2.** Both of the following transforms are bijective:

1. $R : C_\infty^*_{\text{even}^*}(S^2) \to C_\infty^*_{\text{even}^*}(S^2)$,
2. $Q : C_\infty^*_{\text{odd}}(S^2) \to C_\infty^*_{\text{odd}}(S^2)$.

Hence we obtain

$$
\ker\{R : C_\infty^*_{\text{odd}}(S^2) \to C_\infty^*(S^2)\} = C_\infty^*_{\text{odd}}(S^2),
$$

$$
\ker\{Q : C_\infty^*(S^2) \to C_\infty^*(S^2)\} = C_\infty^*_{\text{even}^*}(S^2).
$$

The above bijectivity of $R$ on $C_\infty^*_{\text{odd}}(S^2)$ was first noticed by P. Funk [4]. There is an explicit inversion formula of $R$ or its generalization, which we can find in the textbook by S. Helgason [9]. On the other hand, just for the purpose of verifying the bijectivity of $R$, V. Guillemin’s method is reasonable (Appendix A of [7]). We give the proof of the bijectivity of $Q$ on $C_\infty^*_{\text{odd}}(S^2)$ in Appendix A by a similar argument as Guillemin’s.

**Key lemma.** The key to proving Theorem 3.1 is to verify the oddness and evenness for the initial values $V|_{t=0}$ and $V_t|_{t=0}$, which will be shown in Lemma 3.3. Before this, we first notice the following.

**Lemma 3.3.** For each function $V \in C_\infty^*(S^3)$, we define a function $I(\tau)$ on $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ by

\begin{equation}
I(\tau) := \cosh^2 \tau \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^2} (V|_{t=\tau}) \omega_{S^2}.
\end{equation}

If $V$ solves the wave equation $\Box V = 0$, then $I(\tau)$ is independent with $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$.

**Proof.** Let $p : S^3 = \mathbb{R} \times S^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be the projection, and let $t_1$ and $t_2$ be real numbers such that $t_1 < t_2$. If $V$ satisfies $\Box V = *d*dV = 0$, then we obtain

$$
0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{p^{-1}(t_1, t_2)} d*dV = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{p^{-1}(t_2)-p^{-1}(t_1)} V_t \cosh^2 t \omega_{S^2} = -I(t_2) + I(t_1).
$$

Hence $I(\tau)$ does not depend on $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. □

**Lemma 3.4.** Let $V$ be a smooth function on $S^3$ which solves the wave equation $\Box V = 0$. Suppose that $V \to 0$ and $V_t \to 0$ as $t \to \pm \infty$ uniformly for $y \in S^2$. Let $\psi(y) := V(0, y)$ and $\xi(y) := V_t(0, y)$. Then $\psi \in C_\infty^*_{\text{odd}}(S^2)$ and $\xi \in C_\infty^*_{\text{even}^*}(S^2)$.
Proof: We fix \( y \in S^2 \) and take a coordinate \((\theta, \phi)\) on \( S^2 \) similarly as in the proof of Lemma 2.3. We use the coordinate \((t, \theta, \phi)\) on \( \mathbb{R} \times S^2 \cong S^3 \), and we put

\[
\Omega_{(t,y)} := \{ (t) \times \Omega_{(t,y)} \} \subset S^3,
\]

\[
\mathcal{M}_{y}(t_1, t_2) := \{ (t, \theta, \phi) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | t_1 < t < t_2, \cos \theta > \tanh t \} = \bigcup_{t \in (t_1, t_2)} \Omega_{(t,y)},
\]

\[
\Sigma_{y}(t_1, t_2) := \{ (t, \theta, \phi) \in S^3 | t_1 < t < t_2, \cos \theta = \tanh t \} = \bigcup_{t \in (t_1, t_2)} \partial \Omega_{(t,y)}.
\]

Notice that

\[
\partial \mathcal{M}_{y}(t_1, t_2) = \Sigma_{y}(t_1, t_2) \cup \Omega'_{(t_2,y)} \cup (-\partial \Omega'_{(t_1,y)}),
\]

\[
\partial \Sigma_{y}(t_1, t_2) = \partial \Omega'_{(t_2,y)} \cup (-\partial \Omega'_{(t_1,y)}).
\]

Now let \( V \) be a function on \( S^3 \) as in the statement and \( \tau \) be a positive real variable. Since \( \Box V = *d *d V = 0 \), integrating on \( \mathcal{M}_{y}(t_1, t_2) \), we obtain

\[
0 = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{y}(t_1, t_2)} *d *d V = \int_{\Sigma_{y}(t_1, t_2) + \Omega'_{(t_2,y)} - \Omega_{(t_1,y)}} *d V.
\]

For \( i = 1, 2 \), let \( \alpha_i \in (0, \pi) \) be the real variable defined by \( \cos \alpha_i = \tanh t_i \).

To calculate the integral over \( \Sigma_{y}(t_1, t_2) \), we introduce a real coordinate \((a, b) \in (\alpha_2, \alpha_1) \times (0, 2\pi) \) on \( \Sigma_{y}(t_1, t_2) \) by the embedding \( j : (\alpha_2, \alpha_1) \times (0, 2\pi) \to \Sigma_{y}(t_1, t_2) \) defined by \( (t, \theta, \phi) = (t(a), a, b) \), where \( \cos a = \tanh t(a) \). Then we obtain

\[
*d V = -V_1 \cosh^2 t \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2} - dt \wedge *d V = -(\sin a)^{-1} V_1 + V_0 \) da \wedge db = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial a} da \wedge db = -d(V db).
\]

Hence

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{y}(t_1, t_2)} *d V = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{y}(t_1, t_2)} d(V db) = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial \Omega'_{(t_2,y)} - \partial \Omega'_{(t_1,y)}} V db \phi
\]

\[
= -R(V|_{t=t_2})(t_2, y) + R(V|_{t=t_1})(t_1, y).
\]

On the other hand, for each \( \tau \in \mathbb{R} \) we have

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Omega_{(\tau,y)}} *d V = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \int_{\Omega_{(\tau,y)}} V_1 \cosh^2 t \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2} = -\cosh^2 \tau Q(V|_{t=\tau})(\tau, y).
\]

Hence by (3.2), we see that the quantity

\[
(3.3) \quad E(y) := R(V|_{t=\tau})(\tau, y) + \cosh^2 \tau Q(V|_{t=\tau})(\tau, y)
\]

does not depend on \( \tau \in \mathbb{R} \).

Now we claim \( E(y) \equiv 0 \). Notice that

\[
(3.4) \quad \cosh^2 \tau \left| \int_{\Omega_{(\tau,y)}} V_1 \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2} \right| \leq \cosh^2 \tau \text{Area}(\Omega_{(\tau,y)}) \cdot \max_{u \in \Omega_{(\tau,y)}} |V_1(u)| \leq \max_{u \in \Omega_{(\tau,y)}} |V_1(u)|
\]

since \( \text{Area}(\Omega_{(\tau,y)}) = 1 - \tanh \tau \). Hence we obtain \( \lim_{\tau \to \pm \infty} [\cosh^2 \tau Q(V|_{t=\tau})(\tau, y)] = 0 \) by the convergence of \( V \). On the other hand, by the convergence of \( V \), we also have \( \lim_{\tau \to -\infty} R(V|_{t=\tau})(\tau, y) = 0 \). Thus, by taking the limit \( \tau \to +\infty \) on (3.3), we obtain \( E(y) \equiv 0 \) as required.
Next notice that
\begin{equation}
I = \cosh^2 \tau \, Q(V_{|t=\tau})(\tau, y) + \cosh^2 \tau \, Q(V_{|t=-\tau})(-\tau, -y),
\end{equation}
where $I$ is the quantity defined in (3.1). If we take the limit $\tau \to -\infty$, then the second term of the right-hand side of (3.5) vanishes by an argument similar to the above. Hence we obtain
\begin{equation}
I = \lim_{\tau \to -\infty} \left[ \cosh^2 \tau \, Q(V_{|t=\tau})(\tau, y) \right].
\end{equation}
Thus, by taking the limit $\tau \to -\infty$ on (3.5), we obtain $I = 0$. This means $V_{|t=\tau} \in C^\infty(S^2)$ for any $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$.

Finally, evaluating $\tau = 0$ to (3.5), we obtain $\mathcal{R}\psi + \mathcal{Q}\xi = 0$. Recall that $\mathcal{R}\psi \in C^\infty_{\text{even}}(S^2)$ and $\mathcal{Q}\xi \in \mathbb{R} \oplus C^\infty_{\text{odd}}(S^2)$ by Proposition 3.2. Further, since $\xi = V_{|t=0} \in C^\infty_{\text{odd}}(S^2)$ by the above argument, we have $\mathcal{Q}\xi \in C^\infty_{\text{odd}}(S^2)$. Thus we obtain $\mathcal{R}\psi = \mathcal{Q}\xi = 0$. Hence $\psi \in C^\infty_{\text{even}}(S^2)$ and $\xi \in C^\infty_{\text{even}}(S^2)$ by Proposition 3.2.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Let $V$ be as in statement (1), and let $\psi(y) := V(0, y)$ and $\xi(y) := V_t(0, y)$. By Lemma 3.3, we have $\psi \in C^\infty_{\text{even}}(S^2)$ and $\xi \in C^\infty_{\text{odd}}(S^2)$. Then by Proposition 3.2, there exist smooth functions $h_{\text{odd}} \in C^\infty_{\text{odd}}(S^2)$ and $h_{\text{even}} \in C^\infty_{\text{even}}(S^2)$ satisfying
\begin{equation}
\psi = \mathcal{Q}h_{\text{odd}}, \quad \xi = -\mathcal{R}h_{\text{even}}.
\end{equation}

Now let us put $h := h_{\text{even}} + h_{\text{odd}}$ and $\tilde{V} := Qh$. Since $h \in C^\infty_{\text{even}}(S^2)$, $\tilde{V}$ is a solution of $\square\tilde{V} = 0$ by Proposition 2.6. Moreover by construction
\begin{equation}
\tilde{V}(0, y) = Qh(y) = \psi(y), \quad \tilde{V}_t(0, y) = \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} Qh(t, y) \right]_{t=0} = -\mathcal{R}h(y) = \xi(y).
\end{equation}
Hence $V$ and $\tilde{V}$ satisfy the same initial condition, so by the uniqueness theorem for the initial value problem of hyperbolic partial differential equations (see [31]), we obtain $V = \tilde{V}$. Hence $V = Qh$ and $V$ turns out to be odd. The uniqueness of $h$ is obvious by relation (3.6).

Next let $f$ be as in statement (2). If we put $V := f_t$, then $V$ satisfies the conditions in statement (1). Hence $V$ is odd. If we decompose $f$ as $f = f_{\text{even}} + f_{\text{odd}}$ so that $f_{\text{even}} \in C^\infty_{\text{even}}(S^2_1)$ and $f_{\text{odd}} \in C^\infty_{\text{odd}}(S^2_1)$, then $V_{|t=0} = (f_{\text{odd}})_t + (f_{\text{even}})_t$ gives the decomposition of $V$ satisfying $(f_{\text{odd}})_t \in C^\infty_{\text{even}}(S^2_1)$ and $(f_{\text{even}})_t \in C^\infty_{\text{odd}}(S^2_1)$. Since $V$ is odd, we obtain $(f_{\text{odd}})_t = 0$. Hence $f_{\text{odd}} = 0$ and $f$ is even.

Let us put $\varphi(y) := f(0, y)$ and $\psi(y) := f_t(0, y)$. Then, similar to the above argument, there is a unique smooth function $\tilde{h}$ on $S^2$ which satisfies
\begin{equation}
\varphi(y) = \mathcal{R}\tilde{h}(y), \quad \psi(y) = -\mathcal{Q}\Delta_{S^2}\tilde{h}(y).
\end{equation}

For this function $\tilde{h}$, we obtain $f = \mathcal{R}\tilde{h}$. By the definition of $R$,
\begin{equation}
h_+(y) = \lim_{t \to \infty} f(t, y) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \mathcal{R}\tilde{h}(t, y) = \tilde{h}(y).
\end{equation}
Hence $f = \mathcal{R}h_+$ as required. If $f \in C^\infty_{\text{even}}(S^2_1)$, then $\varphi \in C^\infty_{\text{even}}(S^2)$ and we obtain $h_+ = \tilde{h} \in C^\infty_{\text{even}}(S^2)$ by the construction. Since $f$ is even, $h_-(y) = h_+(-y) \in C^\infty_{\text{even}}(S^2)$.

**Convergency at infinity.** By Theorem 3.1 and its proof, we can paraphrase the condition of the convergency at infinity for $V$ in the following way.
Corollary 3.5. Let \( V \in C^\infty(S^3_1) \) be a solution of the wave equation \( \Box V = 0 \). Then the following conditions are equivalent:
1. \( V(t,y) \to 0 \) and \( V_t(t,y) \to 0 \) as \( t \to \pm \infty \) uniformly for \( y \in S^2 \),
2. \( V \) is odd and \( I = 0 \), and
3. \( \psi(y) := V(0,y) \in C^\infty_{\text{odd}}(S^2) \) and \( \xi(y) := V_t(0,y) \in C^\infty_{\text{even}}(S^2) \).

Proof. Statement (1) \( \Rightarrow \) (2) follows from Theorem 3.1 and (2) \( \Rightarrow \) (3) is obvious. Now let us assume (3). As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have \( V = Qh \) for \( h = h_{\text{even}} + h_{\text{odd}} \) where \( h_{\text{even}} \in C^\infty_{\text{even}}(S^2) \) and \( h_{\text{odd}} \in C^\infty_{\text{odd}}(S^2) \) are defined by (3.6). Then we can check that \( V = Qh \) and \( V_t = -(\cosh t)^{-2}Rh \) uniformly converge to zero as \( t \to \pm \infty \). Thus (3) \( \Rightarrow \) (1) holds.

Similarly, we obtain the following result of which the proof is omitted.

Corollary 3.6. Let \( f \in C^\infty(S^3_1) \) be a solution of the equation \( Lf = 0 \). Then the following conditions are equivalent:
1. \( f \) is even and \( f_t \to 0 \) as \( t \to \pm \infty \) uniformly for \( y \in S^2 \),
2. \( f \) is odd, and
3. \( \varphi(y) := f(0,y) \) is even and \( \psi(y) := f_t(0,y) \) is odd.

Rigidity theorem. Let \( S^3_1/\mathbb{Z}_2 \) be the quotient space of \( S^3_1 \) by the involution \( \sigma \). Notice that \( S^3_1/\mathbb{Z}_2 \) is not space-time orientable. Since the operator \( \Box \) on \( S^3_1 \) is \( \sigma \)-invariant, we can define the wave equation \( \Box V = 0 \) on \( S^3_1/\mathbb{Z}_2 \). Now let us use the coordinate \( \{(t,y) \in \mathbb{R} \times S^2 \mid t > 0\} \) on the open set \( \{[t,y] \in S^3_1/\mathbb{Z}_2 \mid t \neq 0\} \). Then, as a trivial consequence of Theorem 3.1 we obtain the following rigidity theorem.

Corollary 3.7. Let \( V \) be a solution of the wave equation \( \Box V = 0 \) on \( S^3_1/\mathbb{Z}_2 \). Suppose \( V, V_t \to 0 \) as \( t \to \infty \) uniformly for \( y \). Then \( V \equiv 0 \).
convergence condition at infinity. Further, we introduce the notion of \textit{admissible monopoles} by which we can construct \(S^1\)-invariant self-dual metrics on \(S^2 \times S^2\).

**Tod-Kamada ansatz.** Here we review the construction of self-dual metrics on \(S^2 \times S^2\) given by Tod and Kamada, following Kamada’s formulation.

The basic construction is the following.

**Proposition 4.1** (Kamada [14]). Let \(V\) be a smooth positive function on \(S^3\) such that \(|dV|\) is a closed two-form on \(S^3\) determining an integral class in \(H^2(S^3; \mathbb{R})\). Let \(M \to S^3\) denote an \(S^3\)-bundle with connection one-form \(\Theta\) with curvature form given by

\[
d\Theta = *dV.
\]

Then \(g_{V,\Theta} := -V^{-1}\Theta \otimes \Theta + V g_{S^3}\) is a self-dual metric on \(M\) of signature \((- - + +)\) with respect to a suitable orientation on \(M\).

Now we study the case when \(|dV|\) is exact, i.e. when the \(S^3\)-bundle \(M \to S^3\) is trivial. In this case, we write \(M \simeq S^1 \times S^3\) where \(S^1\) is the fiber coordinate and \(S^3 = \{(t, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times S^2\}\). The total space \(M\) is naturally compactified to \(\tilde{M} := S^2 \times S^2\) by the embedding \(\tilde{M} \to S^2 \times S^2 : (s, t, y) \mapsto (x, y)\), where

\[
x^1 = \frac{\cos s}{\cosh t}, \quad x^2 = \frac{\sin s}{\cosh t}, \quad x^3 = \tanh t.
\]

In other words, \(M\) is obtained as the free part of the \(S^1\)-action on \(S^2 \times S^2\) defined by

\[
\alpha \cdot (x, y) = (R(\alpha)x, y), \quad \text{where } \alpha \in S^1 \text{ and } R(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha & 0 \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

If we put \(\varepsilon := (0, 0, 1) \in S^2\) and \(S_{\pm} := \{\pm \varepsilon\} \times S^2 \subset S^2 \times S^2\), then the disjoint union \(S_{\pm} \sqcup S_{-}\) coincides to the fixed point set of the above \(S^1\)-action, and we have \(\tilde{M} = (S^2 \times S^2) \setminus (S_{\pm} \sqcup S_{-})\). Let us introduce variables \(r := e^t\) and \(q := e^{-t}\). Then \((s, r)\) and \((s, q)\) give the polar coordinates on the open neighborhoods of \(-\varepsilon \in S^2\) and \(\varepsilon \in S^2\) respectively.

**Proposition 4.2** (Kamada [14]). Let \((V, \Theta)\) be a smooth solution of (4.1) such that \(V > 0\) and \(|dV|\) is an exact two-form. Then the metric \(g_{V,\Theta} := (\cosh t)^{-2}g_{V,\Theta}\) on \(M\) extends smoothly to the compactification \(\tilde{M} = S^2 \times S^2\) if and only if there exist smooth functions \(F_+\) and \(F_-\) on \(\mathbb{R} \times S^2\) in variables \(r^2, q^2\) and \(y\) such that

\[
V = 1 + r^2 F_- (r^2, y) \quad \text{and} \quad V = 1 + q^2 F_+ (q^2, y),
\]

as \(r \to +0\) and \(q \to +0\) respectively.

If \(|dV|\) is exact, \(\Theta\) is written as \(\Theta = ds + A\) using a one-form \(A\) on \(S^3\). Then the equation (4.1) is written as

\[
dA = *dV,
\]

which we call the \textit{monopole equation}. We call a solution \((V, A)\) of (4.5) a \textit{monopole solution} or simply a \textit{monopole}. We write \(\tilde{g}_{V, A} = \tilde{g}_{V, \Theta}\), where \(\Theta = ds + A\), and we also use the notation \(\tilde{g}_{V, \tilde{A}}\) for its compactification. Notice that if \((V, A)\) is a monopole, then \(V\) satisfies the wave equation \(\Box V = *d *dV = 0\).

The simplest solution of the monopole equation satisfying the condition (4.4) is given by \((V, A) = (1, 0)\), which we call the \textit{trivial monopole}. In this case, the
Proof. Let \( g_t = \pi_t^* h - \pi_t^* h, \) where \( \pi_t : S^2 \times S^2 \to S^2 \) is the \( t \)-th projection and \( h \) is the standard metric on \( S^2 \).

Tod’s or Kamada’s examples of self-dual indefinite metrics are obtained by constructing explicit solutions of (4.5). We deal with these examples in the last part of this section.

**Monopole potential.** Now we show that any solution of the monopole equation (4.5) essentially arises from a function \( f \in C^\infty(S^3_1) \) satisfying \( Lf = 0 \), where \( L \) is the partial differential operator defined in (2.9). We call such an \( f \) the monopole potential.

For each real-valued function \( \phi \in C^\infty(S^3_1) \), the transform of monopoles

\[
(V, A) \mapsto (V, A + d\phi)
\]

is called the gauge transform. Notice that \( \Phi^* g_{V,A} = \tilde{g}_{V,A + d\phi} \), where \( \Phi = e^{i\phi} : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \) is the gauge transform on the \( S^1 \)-bundle \( \mathcal{M} \to S^3_1 \).

**Proposition 4.3.** Let \((V, A)\) be any monopole on \( S^3_1 \). Then, changing \((V, A)\) by a gauge transform, we can assume (1°) \( A(\partial_t) = 0 \) and (2°) \( \tilde{d} \star A = 0 \), where \( \tilde{d} \) is the fiberwise exterior derivative and \( \star \) is the fiberwise Hodge operator on the \( S^2 \)-bundle \( S^3_1 \to \mathbb{R} \). Furthermore such a \((V, A)\) is unique in the gauge equivalence class.

Proof. Let \((V, A)\) be any monopole on \( S^3_1 \). Let us write \( A = A_1 dt + A_1 \) so that \( A_1 \) is a one-form without \( dt \)-part. If we put \( \phi_1 = -\int_0^t A_1 dt \), then the one-form \( A + d\phi_1 \) does not have a \( dt \)-part. Hence we can assume that \( A \) satisfies the condition (1°) from the beginning.

By the monopole equation (4.5), \( A = A_1 \) satisfies \( \tilde{d} \star dA = 0 \). Since \( dA = dt \wedge \partial A + dA \), we can write

\[
0 = d \star dA \equiv d \star \left( dt \wedge \partial A \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (d \star (dt \wedge A)) \equiv - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\tilde{d} \star A) \mod dt,
\]

where we applied the relation \( *(dt \wedge \eta) = -\eta \) which holds for any one-form \( \eta \) on \( S^3_1 \) without \( dt \)-part. Thus the function \( \tilde{d} \star A := \tilde{d} \star dA \) does not depend on \( t \).

Considering \( \tilde{d} \star A \) as a function on \( S^2 \), we can take a smooth function \( \tilde{\phi} \) on \( S^2 \) satisfying \( \Delta_{S^2} \tilde{\phi} = -\tilde{d} \star A \) since \( \tilde{d} \star A = \tilde{d} \star dA = \tilde{d} \star A \) is exact. If we define a smooth function \( \phi \) as the pull-back of \( \tilde{\phi} \) by the projection \( S^3_1 \cong S^2 \times \mathbb{R} \to S^2 \), then we obtain \( \tilde{d} \star (A + d\phi) = 0 \). Hence \( A' = A + d\phi \) satisfies the conditions (1°) and (2°).

Now we prove the uniqueness. Suppose that there is a function \( \phi \) on \( S^3_1 \) such that both \((V, A)\) and \((V, A + d\phi)\) are the monopoles satisfying (1°) and (2°). Then the monopole \((0, d\phi)\) also satisfies (1°) and (2°). By condition (1°), \( \phi \) is independent of \( t \). Hence \( d\phi = d\phi \). Together with condition (2°), we obtain \( d\phi = 0 \). So the uniqueness follows. □

**Proposition 4.4.** Let \((V, A)\) be a monopole on \( S^3_1 \). Suppose that \( A \) satisfies (1°) \( A(\partial_t) = 0 \) and (2°) \( \tilde{d} \star A = 0 \). Then there exists a unique function \( f \in C^\infty(S^3_1) \) satisfying (i) \( V = \partial_t f \) and (ii) \( A = -\tilde{d} f \). Moreover \( f \) satisfies the equation \( Lf = 0 \), where \( L \) is the partial differential operator defined in (2.9).

Proof. Let \((V, A)\) be a monopole on \( S^3_1 \) satisfying (1°) and (2°). We first claim that there is a smooth function \( F \) on \( S^3_1 \) such that \( A = -\tilde{d} F \). Such a function
is obtained, for example, by putting $F(t, y) := f_{(t, 0)}^{(t, y)} \ast A$, where $o \in S^2$ is a fixed point and the integral path is taken on the sphere $\{t\} \times S^2 \subset S^3$. Since $S^2$ is simply connected, and by the condition $(2')$, $F(t, y)$ is a well-defined smooth function. By construction, the condition $A = -\ast \dd F$ holds.

Next we claim that $d(V - \partial F) = 0$. Actually,
\[
\dd (V - \partial F) = dV - V dt - \dd F, \quad \quad \ast \dd (V - \partial F) = *dV + V_i \omega_{2t} + dt \wedge \ast \dd F = \dd A + V_i \omega_{2t},
\]
and $\dd A + V_i \omega_{2t} = 0$ by the monopole equation. Hence $d(V - \partial F) = 0$ as required, and this means that $G(t) := V(t, y) - \partial F(t, y)$ does not depend on $y \in S^2$. Thus, if we put $f(t, y) = F(t, y) + \int_0^t G(t) dt$, conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied. The uniqueness of $f$ is obvious since conditions (i) and (ii) characterize $f$ up to constant.

The rest of the statement directly follows from the monopole equation. Indeed,
\[
* dV = * (f_t dt + \dd f_t) = -f_{tt} \cosh^2 t \omega_{2t} - dt \wedge \ast \dd f_t,
\]
\[
dA = -d \ast \dd f = -dt \wedge (\ast \dd f), \quad \quad \dd f = -dt \wedge (\ast \dd f) - (\Delta_{\omega_{2t}} f) \omega_{2t};
\]

hence $0 = * dV - dA = (L f) \cosh^2 t \omega_{2t}$. \hfill \Box

For monopoles that converge at infinity, we obtain the following correspondence.

**Theorem 4.5.** There is a natural one-to-one correspondence between the following objects:

1. [**[generating functions]**] smooth functions $h \in C^\infty(S^2)$,
2. [**[monopole potentials]**] smooth functions $f \in C^\infty(S^3)$ satisfying $L f = 0$ such that $f(t, y) \to h_{\pm}(v) \in C^\infty(S^2)$ and $f_t, f_{tt} \to 0$ as $t \to \pm \infty$ uniformly for $y$,
3. [**[equivalence classes of monopoles]**] gauge equivalence classes of monopoles $[(V, A)]$ such that $V(t, y), V_i(t, y) \to 0$ as $t \to \pm \infty$ uniformly for $y$.

**Proof.** By Theorem 4.5 the correspondence $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ is obtained by putting $f := Rh$ or $h := h_+$. On the other hand, $2 \Rightarrow 3$ is obtained by putting
\[
(4.6) \quad V = \partial f, \quad A = -\ast \dd f.
\]

Now we show $3 \Rightarrow 2$. For any $[(V, A)]$ we can take an element $(V, A)$ in this class satisfying conditions $(1')$ and $(2')$ in Proposition 4.3. Then by Proposition 4.4 we get a unique $f \in C^\infty(S^3)$ satisfying (4.6) and $L f = 0$. \hfill \Box

**Remark 4.6.** In the notation in Theorem 4.5 the evenness $f \in C^{\text{even}}(S^3)$ and the oddness $V \in C^{\text{odd}}(S^3)$ automatically hold by Theorem 4.5.

**Admissible monopoles.** To apply Theorem 4.5 to the study of self-dual metrics, we need to assume additional conditions for $(V, A)$, that is, $V$ is positive and $V$ is written as in (4.6). Now we introduce the following notion.

**Definition 4.7.** Let $(V, A)$ be a monopole on $S^3$. Then $(V, A)$ is called admissible if and only if the following conditions hold: (1') $A(\partial_t) = 0$, (2') $\dd \ast A = 0$, and (3') $V > 0$ and $V$ satisfies the convergence $V(t, y) \to 1$ and $V_i(t, y) \to 0$ as $t \to \pm \infty$ uniformly for $y$. 
The following corollary is obviously deduced from Theorem 4.5 and its proof.

**Corollary 4.8.** There is a natural one-to-one correspondence between

- smooth functions \( h \in C^\infty(S^2) \) satisfying \( |\partial_t Rh(t,y)| < 1 \), and
- admissible monopoles \((V, A)\),

related by \( V = 1 + \partial_t Rh \) and \( A = -\tilde{A}(Rh) \).

For condition (4.4), the following hold.

**Proposition 4.9.** Let \((V, A)\) be an admissible monopole. Then condition (4.4) in Proposition 4.2 is always satisfied. Thus any admissible monopole \((V, A)\) defines a self-dual metric \( \bar{g}_{V,A} \) on \( M = S^2 \times S^2 \) with respect to a suitable orientation.

**Proof.** Let \((V, A)\) be an admissible monopole. If we put \( \bar{V} := V - 1 \), then by Theorem 4.5 there exists a generating function \( h \in C^\infty(S^2) \) such that \( \bar{V} = \partial_t Rh = -Q\Delta_{S^2} h \). Since \( \bar{V} \) is odd, it is enough to check the case of \( t \to +\infty \). Using the same spherical coordinate \((\theta, \phi)\) as in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we can write

\[
\bar{V}(t_0, y) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{(t_0, y)} \Delta_{S^2} h \omega_{S^2} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\alpha \left[ \int_0^{2\pi} \Delta_{S^2} h(u(\theta, \phi)) d\phi \right] \sin \theta d\theta,
\]

where \( \alpha \) is defined by \( \cos \alpha = \tanh t_0 \). Since the parameter \( \theta \) is defined by \( \cos \theta = \tanh t \), \( \theta \) depends only on \( \kappa := e^{-2t} \). So we can put

\[
\bar{F}(\kappa, y) := \int_0^{2\pi} \Delta_{S^2} h(u(\theta(\kappa), \phi)) d\phi.
\]

Then we obtain

\[
\bar{V}(t_0, y) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{q_0}^{q_0} \frac{\bar{F}(\kappa, y)}{(1 + \kappa)^2} d\kappa,
\]

where \( q_0 = e^{-t_0} \). Hence \( \bar{V}(t, y) \) is a smooth function depending only on \( y \) and \( q^2 = e^{-2t} \) and satisfies \( \lim_{t \to +\infty} \bar{V}(t, y) = 0 \). Therefore \( V \) is written as in (4.4). \( \Box \)

Later (Corollary 7.10), we will prove the self-duality of the metric \( \bar{g}_{V,A} \) on \( S^2 \times S^2 \) in a different way from Tod’s or Kamada’s method. (See [13] or the positive definite case [10] for their method.) By our method, we can determine the ‘orientation’, that is, we fix a certain orientation on \( S^2 \times S^2 \) and show that \( \bar{g}_{V,A} \) is *anti-self-dual* with respect to this orientation. Moreover, we will see in Corollary 7.10 that this metric \( \bar{g}_{V,A} \) is Zollfrei.

**Example.** Finally in this section, we deal with examples of monopole solutions obtained by Tod [27] and Kamada [14]. Let \( \{Y_{m,l}(y)\}_{|m| \leq l} \) be the basis of the eigenspace of \( \Delta_{S^2} \) with the eigenvalue \(-l(l+1)\) (i.e. \( Y_{m,l}(y) \in C^\infty(S^2) \) can be taken as the spherical harmonics). Introducing the variable \( z = \tanh t \), let \( P_l(z) \) be the Legendre polynomial of degree \( l \), and put \( Z_l(z) := \partial_z P_l(z) \). In this notation, Tod’s monopole solution \((V, A)\) is given by

\[
V = 1 + \sum_{l \geq 1} \sum_{|m| \leq l} c_{lm} Z_l(z) Y_{m,l}(y), \quad A = -\sum_{l \geq 1} \sum_{|m| \leq l} c_{lm} P_l(z) \tilde{A} Y_{m,l}(y),
\]

where \( \{c_{lm}\} \) is a finite collection of real constants with sufficiently small \(|c_{lm}|\). We remark that the above solution \( V \) was first obtained by Tod, and later Kamada obtained the above \( V \) again with the description of \( A \). This monopole solution
(V, A) is admissible, and the corresponding monopole potential \( f \in C^\infty(S^3_1) \) and
the generating function \( h \in C^\infty(S^2) \) are given by
\[
(4.7) \quad f = \sum_{l \geq 1} \sum_{|m| \leq l} c_{lm} P_l(z) Y^l_m(y), \quad h = \sum_{l \geq 1} \sum_{|m| \leq l} c_{lm} Y^l_m(y).
\]

On the other hand, Kamada constructed another type of monopole solution parametrized by the space of probability measures on the hyperboloids \( H^+ \sqcup H^- \) in the Minkowski space \( \mathbb{R}^4_1 \). However, Theorem 4.5 insists that this type of solution should be gauge equivalent to the above Tod-type admissible monopole at least asymptotically. Actually, Tod’s example densely covers the space of admissible monopoles since any generating function \( h \in C^\infty(S^2) \) can be expanded as in the form (4.7).

5. Local reduction theory

To construct the twistor correspondence for the self-dual metric \( \tilde{g}_{V,A} \) on \( S^2 \times S^2 \) obtained from an admissible monopole \((V, A)\), in this section we study the \( S^3 \)-bundle \( \varpi : M^4 \rightarrow X^3 \) and integrable structures on \( X \) and \( M \).

**Einstein-Weyl 3-space.** Though we only need the integrable property for the Einstein manifold \((V, A)\), we briefly recall the integrability theorem for general three-dimensional torsion-free Einstein-Weyl structures since there are no differences between the general case and the special case of \( S^3 \) so far as studying local theory. For the definition of an Einstein-Weyl structure, see [11] [20] [24]. Here we only need the fact that \((S^3, [g_{S^3}], \nabla_{S^3})\) is Einstein-Weyl, where \( \nabla^{S^3} \) is the Levi-Civita connection of the indefinite metric \( g_{S^3} \).

Let \( X \) be a real 3-manifold and let \( [g_X] \) be a conformal structure on \( X \) of signature \((- + +)\). We fix a metric \( g_X \in [g_X] \) and a frame \( \{E_1, E_2, E_3\} \) of \( TX \) on an open set \( U \subset X \) so that
\[
(5.1) \quad g_X(E_j, E_k) = \begin{cases} -1 & j = k = 1, \\ 1 & j = k = 2 \text{ or } 3, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

Let \( \nabla^X \) be a torsion-free connection on \( TX \), and \( \omega \) be its connection form with respect to the above frame. Suppose that \( \nabla^X \) is compatible with \([g_X]\), that is, \( \omega \) is written as
\[
(5.2) \quad \omega = \begin{pmatrix} \phi & \omega_1^1 & \omega_1^2 \\ \omega_2^1 & \phi & \omega_2^2 \\ \omega_3^1 & \omega_3^2 & \phi \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{cases} \omega_1^1 = \omega_2^1, \\ \omega_1^2 = \omega_3^1, \\ \omega_3^2 = -\omega_2^2, \end{cases}
\]

A tangent two-plane \( V \subset T_xX \) \((x \in X)\) is called a **null plane** iff \( g_X \) degenerates on \( V \), or equivalently, iff \( V \) is tangent to the null cone of \( g_X \). We put \( V(\zeta) := \text{Span} \langle m_1(\zeta), m_2(\zeta) \rangle \) for each \( \zeta \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\} = \mathbb{R}P^1 \), where
\[
(5.3) \quad m_1(\zeta) := -E_1 + E_2 + \zeta E_3, \quad m_2(\zeta) := \zeta E_1 + E_2 - E_3.
\]

Then \( V(\zeta) \) is a null plane, and any null plane is written in this form.

Now let us define the ‘bundle of null planes’ on \( X \) by
\[
\mathcal{W}_R := \{ [a] \in \mathbb{P}(T^*X) \mid g_X(a, a) = 0 \}.
\]
Notice that, for each \( [a] \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}} \), the tangent plane \( \ker a \subset T_x X \) is a null plane. If we define a one-form \( \alpha(\zeta) \) by
\[
\alpha(\zeta) := (1 + \zeta^2)E_1^1 + (1 - \zeta^2)E_2^2 + 2\zeta E_3^3
\]
using the dual frame \( \{ E_i^1 \} \) of \( \{ E_i \} \), then we obtain \( \nabla(\zeta) = \ker \alpha(\zeta) \). Hence the map \( \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{R} P^1 \to \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}|_U : (x; \zeta) \mapsto [\alpha(\zeta)]_x \) gives a local trivialization of \( \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}} \). If we introduce coordinates \( \theta \in S^1 \) by \( \zeta = \tan \frac{\theta}{2} \) and \( \omega = e^{i\theta} \in U(1) \), then we obtain the trivializations
\[
(5.4) \quad U \times S^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}|_U : (x; \theta) \mapsto [\alpha]_x = [E_1^1 + \cos \theta E_2^2 + \sin \theta E_3^3]_x,
\]
\[
(5.5) \quad U \times U(1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}|_U : (x; \omega) \mapsto [\alpha]_x = [2\omega E_1^1 + (1 + \omega^2) E_2^2 + i(1 - \omega^2) E_3^3]_x.
\]
Let us take an open covering \( \{ U_a \} \) of \( X \) and the trivializations of \( \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}} \) on each \( U_a \) in the form of \((5.4),(5.5)\). Then the transition functions are given by the maps \( F_{a\beta} : U_a \cap U_\beta \to \text{Aut}(U(1)) \), where \( \text{Aut}(U(1)) \) is the Möbius transform on \( U(1) \). If \( (X,[g_X]) \) is space-time orientable, these transition functions can be taken so that \( F_{a\beta} : U_a \cap U_\beta \to \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D}) \), where
\[
\mathbb{D} := \{ \omega \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\omega| \leq 1 \}
\]
and \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D}) \) is the holomorphic automorphism on \( \mathbb{D} \). Hence if \( (X,[g_X]) \) is space-time orientable, we can define the \( \mathbb{D} \)-bundle \( \mathcal{W}_+ \to X \) associated with the \( U(1) \)-bundle \( \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}} \to X \). Notice that we obtain a local trivialization \( U \times \mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{W}_+|_U \) by the same equation as \((5.5)\) considering \( \omega \in \mathbb{D} \). We remark that \( \mathcal{W}_+ \) is also defined intrinsically as the bundle of complex null planes satisfying an orientation compatibility condition (see [24]). We note that the fiber coordinates \( \zeta \) and \( \omega \) are related by \( \zeta = i\frac{1-\omega}{1+\omega} \), and the disk \( \mathbb{D} = \{ |\omega| \leq 1 \} \) corresponds to the upper half plane \( \{ \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im} \zeta \geq 0 \} \).

Since the connection \( \nabla^X \) is compatible with \( g_X \), \( \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}} \) is equipped with a natural connection which we also denote by \( \nabla^X \). Let \( \tilde{\nabla} \in T_{(x;\zeta)} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}} \) be the horizontal lift of a vector \( v \in T_x X \) with respect to \( \nabla^X \). Then by a direct calculation, we obtain the following lifting formula:
\[
(5.6) \quad \tilde{v} = v + \frac{1}{2} \left( (1 + \zeta^2)\omega_1^2 + (1 - \zeta^2)\omega_2^1 - 2\zeta\omega_2^1 \right) (v) \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}.
\]
Let \( \tilde{m}_j \) (\( j = 1,2 \)) be the tautological lift of \( m_j \) on \( \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}} \), i.e. \( \tilde{m}_j(x;\zeta) = (m_j(\zeta),\zeta) \), where \( (\cdot,\cdot) \) is the horizontal lift given by \((5.6)\). We define a two-plane distribution on \( \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}} \) by \( \mathcal{D} := \text{Span}(\tilde{m}_1,\tilde{m}_2) \). The integrability of the Einstein-Weyl condition is stated as follows.

**Proposition 5.1.** The pair \( ([g_X],\nabla^X) \) is Einstein-Weyl iff the two-plane distribution \( \mathcal{D} \) is Frobenius integrable.

See [24] (Proposition 3.9) for the proof.

**Indefinite anti-self-dual 4-space.** Next we summarize the integrable property for a 4-dimensional anti-self-dual conformal structure of indefinite signature. Let \( M \) be a real 4-manifold and \( [g_M] \) be a conformal structure on \( M \) of signature \((-+++\)). We fix \( g_M \in [g_M] \) and a frame \( \{ E_0, E_1, E_2, E_3 \} \) of \( TM \) on an open set \( U \subset M \) so
that

\[ g_M(E_j, E_k) = \begin{cases} 
-1 & j = k = 0 \text{ or } 1, \\
1 & j = k = 2 \text{ or } 3, \\
0 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases} \tag{5.7} \]

The connection form \( \omega \) of the Levi-Civita connection \( \nabla \) of \( g_M \) with respect to the above frame is written as

\[ \omega = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \omega_0^1 & \omega_0^2 & \omega_0^3 \\
\omega_0^1 & 0 & \omega_2^2 & \omega_3^2 \\
\omega_0^2 & 0 & \omega_2^3 & 0 \\
\omega_0^3 & \omega_1^2 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \]

\[ \begin{cases}
\omega_0^1 = -\omega_1^1, \\
\omega_0^2 = \omega_2^2, \\
\omega_0^3 = \omega_3^3, \\
\omega_1^2 = \omega_2^2, \omega_3^2 = -\omega_3^3.
\end{cases} \tag{5.8} \]

We have the eigenspace decomposition \( \wedge^2TM = \wedge^+ \oplus \wedge^- \) with respect to the Hodge operator on \( M \), where \( \wedge^\pm \) is the \( \pm 1 \)-eigenspace. Using the above frame \( \{E_j\} \), we can write

\[ \wedge^+ = \text{Span} \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3\}, \]

\[ \begin{cases}
\sqrt{2} \varphi_1 = E_0 \wedge E_1 + E_2 \wedge E_3, \\
\sqrt{2} \varphi_2 = E_0 \wedge E_2 + E_1 \wedge E_3, \\
\sqrt{2} \varphi_3 = E_0 \wedge E_3 - E_1 \wedge E_2.
\end{cases} \]

Similarly, we have the decomposition \( \wedge^2^*M = \wedge^+ \oplus \wedge^- \), and we can take a frame \( \{\varphi^1, \varphi^2, \varphi^3\} \) of \( \wedge^+ \) so that \( \{\varphi_j\} \) and \( \{\varphi^j\} \) are dual to each other.

The Levi-Civita connection \( \nabla \) induces a connection on \( \wedge^+ \), which is also denoted by \( \nabla \), and its connection form is written as

\[ \eta = \begin{pmatrix} 
\eta_0^1 & \eta_0^2 & \eta_0^3 \\
\eta_1^2 & 0 & \eta_3^2 \\
\eta_1^3 & \eta_2^3 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \]

\[ \begin{cases}
\eta_0^1 = \eta_2^2 = \omega_2^2 - \omega_3^3, \\
\eta_0^2 = \eta_3^3 = \omega_1^1 + \omega_2^2, \\
\eta_0^3 = \eta_1^3 = \omega_3^3 - \omega_1^1.
\end{cases} \tag{5.9} \]

A tangent two-plane \( V \subset T_xM \) is called an \( \alpha \)-plane iff \( g_M(V, V) = \{0\} \) (i.e., \( V \) is contained in the null cone of \( g_M \)) and \( \wedge^2V \subset \wedge^+ \). We put \( V(\zeta) = \text{Span}(m_1(\zeta), m_2(\zeta)) \) for each \( \zeta \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\} = \mathbb{RP}^1 \), where

\[ m_1(\zeta) := -\zeta E_0 - E_1 + E_2 + \zeta E_3, \quad m_2(\zeta) := -E_0 + \zeta E_1 + \zeta E_2 - E_3. \tag{5.10} \]

Then \( V(\zeta) \) is an \( \alpha \)-plane, and each \( \alpha \)-plane is written in this form.

We define the ‘bundle of \( \alpha \)-planes’ on \( M \) by

\[ Z_R = \{ [\varphi] \in \mathbb{P}(\wedge^+) \mid g(\varphi, \varphi) = 0 \}. \]

Notice that for each \( [\varphi] \in \mathbb{P}(\wedge^+) \), the tangent plane \( \ker \varphi := \{ v \in T_xM \mid i(v)\varphi = 0 \} \) is an \( \alpha \)-plane. If we define \( a(\zeta) \in \wedge^+ \) by

\[ a(\zeta) = -((1 + \zeta^2)\varphi^1 - (1 - \zeta^2)\varphi^2 - 2\zeta\varphi^3), \tag{5.11} \]

then we obtain \( V(\zeta) = \ker a(\zeta) \). Hence the map \( U \times \mathbb{RP}^1 \rightarrow Z_R | U : (x; \zeta) \rightarrow [a(\zeta)]_x \) gives a local trivialization of \( Z_R \). Moreover, if \( M \) is space-time orientable, we can define the associated disk bundle \( Z_+ 

The connection \( \nabla \) induces a connection on \( Z_+ \), which is also denoted by \( \nabla \). Let \( \tilde{v} \in T_{(x, \zeta)}Z_R \) be the horizontal lift of a vector \( v \in T_xM \) with respect to \( \nabla \). Then

\[ \tilde{v} = v + \frac{1}{2} \left((1 + \zeta^2)\eta_0^2 + (1 - \zeta^2)\eta_1^1 - 2\zeta\eta_2^1\right)(v) \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}. \tag{5.12} \]
Let $\mathfrak{m}_j$ $(j = 1,2)$ be the tautological lift of $\mathfrak{m}_j$ on $\mathbb{R}$, i.e. $(\mathfrak{m}_j)(x,\zeta) = (\mathfrak{m}_j(\zeta))x$, where $(\cdot)'$ is the horizontal lift given by (5.12). We define a two-plane distribution on $\mathbb{R}$ by $\mathcal{D} := \text{Span}(\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_2)$. We can extend $\mathfrak{m}_1$ and $\mathfrak{m}_2$ to complex vector fields on $\mathbb{R}$ so that they are holomorphic in $\zeta$. We define a complex three-plane distribution $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ by $\mathcal{E} := \text{Span}(\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_2, \partial_\zeta)$. Then we obtain $\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{E} = \{0\}$ on $\mathbb{R}$; hence $\mathcal{E}$ defines an almost complex structure on $\mathbb{R}$ so that $\mathcal{E}$ gives the $(0,1)$-vectors.

**Proposition 5.2.** The following conditions are equivalent:

- the conformal structure $[g]$ is anti-self-dual,
- the two-plane distribution $\mathcal{D}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ is Frobenius integrable,
- the almost complex structure on $\mathbb{R}$ defined by $\mathcal{E}$ is integrable.

See [19] (Proposition 3.5 and 7.1) for the proof.

**$S^1$-fibration.** Let $(X, g_X)$ be a pseudo-Riemannian 3-manifold of signature $(- + +)$ and apply the above argument for $(X, [g_X], \nabla^X)$, where $\nabla^X$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $g_X$. We put $M := S^1 \times X$ and let $\varpi : M \to X$ be the projection. We fix a solution $(V,A)$ of the monopole equation $*dV = dA$ on $X$, where $V$ is a positive function and $A$ is a one-form on $X$. Then $\Theta = ds + A$ defines a connection on the $S^1$-bundle $\varpi : M \to X$, where $s \in S^1$ is the fiber coordinate. We study the following metric on $M$:

$$g_M := -V^{-2}\Theta \otimes \Theta + g_X.$$  

Notice that $g_M$ is conformally equivalent to the metric $g_{V,A} = -V^{-1}\Theta \otimes \Theta + Vg_X$.

Let us take a local frame $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ of $TM$ on an open set $U \subset X$ so that it satisfies the orthonormal condition (5.1) for $g_{V,A}$. We write $A = A_1E^1 + A_2E^2 + A_3E^3$. We define a local frame $\{E_0, E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ of $TM$ on $U$ by

$$E_0 = V \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \quad E_1 = E_1 - A_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \quad E_2 = E_2 - A_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \quad E_3 = E_3 - A_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial s}.$$  

Then $\{E_j\}$ satisfies the orthonormal condition (5.7) for $g_M$. Notice that the dual frame $\{E^j\}$ of $\{E_j\}$ is given by

$$E^0 = V^{-1}\Theta, \quad E^1 = \varpi^*E^1, \quad E^2 = \varpi^*E^2, \quad E^3 = \varpi^*E^3.$$  

Now let us use the same notation as above: $\omega, \varpi, \mathfrak{m}_j, \mathfrak{m}_j$, and so on.

**Lemma 5.3.** In the above notation, we obtain the following formulas:

$$\begin{align*}
\omega_0 &= -\nu_1 E^0 + \frac{1}{2}\nu_2 E^2 - \frac{1}{2}\nu_2 E^3, \\
\omega_1 &= \varpi^*\omega_1 - \frac{1}{2}\nu_1 E^0, \\
\omega_2 &= -\nu_2 E^0 - \frac{1}{2}\nu_3 E^1 - \frac{1}{2}\nu_1 E^3, \\
\omega_3 &= \varpi^*\omega_3 + \frac{1}{2}\nu_2 E^0, \\
\omega_3 &= -\nu_3 E^0 + \frac{1}{2}\nu_2 E^2 - \frac{1}{2}\nu_1 E^3,
\end{align*}$$

where $\nu_j := V^{-1}E_jV = V^{-1}E_jV$ $(j = 1,2,3)$.

**Proof.** By the equation $*dV = dA$, we obtain

$$\begin{align*}
dE^0 &= d(V^{-1}\Theta) = -V^{-2}dV \wedge \Theta + V^{-1}dA = (V^{-1}\Theta) \wedge (V^{-1}dV) + V^{-1}dA = E^0 \wedge (\nu_1 E^1 + \nu_2 E^2 + \nu_3 E^3) + (-\nu_1 E^2 \wedge E^3 - \nu_2 E^1 \wedge E^3 + \nu_3 E^1 \wedge E^2) \\
&= \sqrt{2}(\nu_1 \varphi^1 + \nu_2 \varphi^2 + \nu_3 \varphi^3).
\end{align*}$$

Then the required formulas are deduced by a direct calculation so that $\omega$ satisfies the torsion-free condition $dE^j + \sum \omega_k \wedge E^k = 0$ and the symmetry (5.8). \qed
Proposition 5.4. In the above notation, we obtain

\begin{equation}
\dot{m}_1 = \ddot{m}_1 - (V' + A(m_1)) \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \quad \dot{m}_2 = \ddot{m}_2 - (V + A(m_2)) \frac{\partial}{\partial s}.
\end{equation}

Proof. The proof is given by a direct calculation. Here we sketch the proof of the first formula. We have \( m_1 = -\zeta E_0 - E_1 + E_2 + \zeta E_3 = m_1 - \zeta E_0 - A(m_1) \partial_s \) by definition. By the lifting formula (5.12), we obtain

\[ \dot{m}_1 = m_1 + \frac{1}{2} \left( (1 + \zeta^2) \eta_3^2 + (1 - \zeta^2) \eta_3^1 - 2 \zeta \eta_2^1 \right) (m_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}. \]

Evaluating (5.9) and (5.15), and by the lifting formula (5.6), we obtain \( \dot{m}_1 = \ddot{m}_1 - \zeta E_0 - A(m_1) \partial_s = \ddot{m}_1 - (V' + A(m_1)) \partial_s \), as required. \qed

Remark 5.5. By the result of P. E. Jones and K. P. Tod [12], it is natural to expect that, in the above situation, the distribution \( D = \text{Span} \langle \dot{m}_1, \ddot{m}_2 \rangle \) is integrable if and only if \( D = \text{Span} \langle \dot{m}_1, \ddot{m}_2 \rangle \) is integrable, or equivalently, \( g_M \) is anti-self-dual if and only if \( \langle [g_M], \nabla X \rangle \) is Einstein-Weyl. To check this claim directly is, however, very hard. In the special case of \((S^3_1, g_{S^3_1})\), we prove the integrability of \( D \) by constructing all the integral surfaces of \( D \) (Proposition 7.4).

Finally we see that the projection \( \varpi : M \to X \) induces a map \( \Pi : (Z_+, \mathcal{Z}_R) \to (W_+, \mathcal{W}_R) \) if \( X \) is space-time orientable (then \( M = S^1 \times X \) is also space-time orientable). For this, notice that \( \varpi \) maps each \( \alpha \)-plane to a null plane since \( \varpi_\alpha(m_j) = m_j \) for \( j = 1, 2 \). Recall that \( \mathcal{Z}_R \) and \( \mathcal{W}_R \) are the spaces of \( \alpha \)-planes and null planes respectively; hence the natural map \( \Pi : \mathcal{Z}_R \to \mathcal{W}_R \) is induced. By taking local trivializations as above, \( \Pi \) is locally described as

\[ Z_R|_U \simeq U \times \mathbb{R}P^1 \longrightarrow W_R|_U \simeq U \times \mathbb{R}P^1 : (s, x; \zeta) \mapsto (x; \zeta). \]

Hence the map \( \Pi \) naturally extends to a map \( Z_+ \to W_+ \). By the formula (5.10), we obtain \( \Pi_\alpha(Z_i) = \ddot{m}_i \); hence \( \Pi_* D = D \).

6. Standard Model

In this section we study the twistor correspondence for the standard case, that is, the case obtained from the trivial monopole \((V, A) = (1, 0)\).

Twistor correspondence for \( S^3_1 \). Recall that we identify the de Sitter space \((S^3_1, g_{S^3_1})\) with the space of oriented small circles on \( S^2 \). This identification naturally arises from the LeBrun-Mason correspondence for Einstein-Weyl 3-manifolds [20, 21]. Here we describe this correspondence.

Let us define submanifolds \( \Sigma_u \subset S^3_1 \) for each \( u \in S^2 \) by

\begin{equation}
\Sigma_u := \{ (t, y) \in S^3_1 \mid u \in \partial \Omega(t, y) \} = \{ (t, y) \in S^3_1 \mid u \cdot y = \tan t \}.
\end{equation}

Then \( \Sigma_u \) gives a null surface, i.e., \( \Sigma_u \) is tangent to a null plane at any point on \( \Sigma_u \). By the correspondence \( \Sigma_u \leftrightarrow u \), the sphere \( S^2 \) is identified with the space of these null surfaces on \( S^3_1 \).

Let us introduce the affine coordinates \( \lambda, \eta \in \mathbb{C}P^1 \) related with \( y, u \in S^2 \) by the stereographic projection

\begin{equation}
\lambda = \frac{y_2 + iy_3}{1 + y_1}, \quad \eta = \frac{u_2 + iu_3}{1 + u_1}.
\end{equation}
Proposition 6.1. For each \( \eta \) \((6.4) \) \( \Sigma \) and that the null surface \((6.1) \) is written as
\[(6.6) \]
Then the pair \((t, \lambda) \) \(2 \times \mathbb{CP}^1 \) can be used as the coordinates on \( S^3_1 \). We can check by a direct calculation that
\[(6.3) \]
and that the null surface \((6.4) \) is written as
\[(6.4) \]
To adapt the formulation in Section 5 we set the frame \( \{ E_j \} \) of \( TS^3_1 \) on the open set \( U := \{(t, \lambda) \in S^3_1 \mid \lambda \neq \infty \} \) by
\[(6.5) \]
Notice that \( \{ E_j \} \) satisfies the orthonormal condition \((5.1) \) for the metric \( g_{S^3_1} \). Then the dual frame \( \{ E^j \} \) is given by
\[(6.6) \]
and the trivialization \((5.4) \) is written as
\[(6.7) \]
Recall that each point \([a] \) \( \in W_R|_x = P(T_x S^3_1) \) corresponds to the null plane \(( \ker a \) \( \subset T_x S^3_1 \).

**Proposition 6.1.** For each \( [a] = (t, \lambda; \theta) \in U \times S^1 \simeq W_R|_U \), the corresponding null plane \( \ker a \) is tangent to the null surface \( \Sigma_\eta \) if and only if
\[(6.8) \]
**Proof.** If we put
\[ F := \eta - \lambda^2 e^{2t} - |\bar{\lambda} \eta + 1|^2, \]
then we can write \( \Sigma_\eta = \{(t, \lambda) \in S^3_1 \mid F = 0 \} \). Suppose \( (t, \lambda) \in \Sigma_\eta \). Then the tangent plane \( T_{(t,\lambda)} \Sigma_\eta \) is given by \(( \ker dF \) \( \subset T_{(t,\lambda)} S^3_1 \), and by a direct calculation we obtain
\[ dF = 2 |\bar{\lambda} \eta + 1|^2 \cdot \left[ dt - \frac{1 + |\eta|^2}{(\eta - \bar{\lambda} \eta + 1)} d\lambda - \frac{1 + |\eta|^2}{(\bar{\eta} - \lambda) (\lambda \eta + 1)} d\bar{\lambda} \right]. \]
Comparing with \((6.7) \), we see that the coincidence \(( \ker a = ( \ker dF ) \) occurs if and only if \((6.8) \) holds. \( \square \)

We put \( \mathbb{D} := \{ \omega \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\omega| \leq 1 \} \). Since \( S^3_1 \) is space-time orientable, we can define the \( \mathbb{D} \)-bundle \( W_R \) associated with \( W_R \), and \( (t, \lambda; \omega) \in U \times \mathbb{D} \) gives a local coordinate on \( W_R|_U \). We define a smooth map \( \tilde{f} : W_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1 \) by
\[(6.9) \]
\[ \tilde{f} : (t, \lambda; \omega) \mapsto (\eta_1, \eta_2) = \left( \frac{-\omega + \lambda e^t}{\lambda \omega + e^t}, \frac{\lambda + e^t \omega}{-1 + \lambda e^t \omega} \right) \]
on $W_+|_U$. Then we obtain the double fibration

\begin{equation}
(W_+, W_\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{p} S^3 \xrightarrow{f} (W, W_\mathbb{R}),
\end{equation}

where $(W, W_\mathbb{R}) = (\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \mathbb{CP}^1)$ and $W_\mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow W$ is given by $\eta \mapsto (\eta, \bar{\eta}^{-1})$. By construction, $\Sigma_\eta = \varphi(f^{-1}(\eta))$ for each $\eta \in W_\mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{CP}^1$. Notice that if we put $D_{(t,\lambda)} := f(p^{-1}(t, \lambda))$, then $\{D_{(t,\lambda)}\}_{(t,\lambda) \in S^3}$ gives a family of holomorphic disks on $W$ with boundary on $W_\mathbb{R}$. Further, by the result in \cite{20, 24}, the pair $([g_{\Sigma}], \nabla_{S^3})$ is the unique torsion-free Einstein-Weyl structure such that $\{\Sigma_\eta\}_{\eta \in W_\mathbb{R}}$ gives the family of totally geodesic null surfaces on $S^3$.

As easily seen from \eqref{6.3}, the domain $\Omega_{(t,\lambda)} \subset S^2$ coincides with the image of the map

\[ \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{CP}^1 : \omega \mapsto \eta_1(t, \lambda; \omega) = -\omega + \lambda e^t \omega \]

under the identification $S^2 \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ via stereographic projection. In particular, the oriented small circle $\partial \Omega_{(t,\lambda)}$ coincides with the boundary circle $\partial D_{(t,\lambda)} \subset W_\mathbb{R}$.

**Quaternionic description of $S^2 \times S^2$.** Let $\{e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ be the standard orthonormal basis of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^4$ and identify $\mathbb{R}^4$ with the quaternion field $\mathbb{H}$ by

\[ a e_0 + b e_1 + c e_2 + d e_3 \leftrightarrow a + b i + c j + d k \in \mathbb{H}. \]

Let $\wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^4 = \wedge_+ \mathbb{R}^4 \oplus \wedge_- \mathbb{R}^4$ be the eigenspace decomposition for the Hodge operator on $\mathbb{R}^4$. The basis of $\wedge_\pm \mathbb{R}^4$ is given by

\begin{align}
\psi^+_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (e_0 \wedge e_1 \pm e_2 \wedge e_3), \\
\psi^+_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (e_0 \wedge e_2 \mp e_1 \wedge e_3), \\
\psi^+_3 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (e_0 \wedge e_3 \pm e_1 \wedge e_2).
\end{align}

Under the identification $\mathbb{H} \simeq \mathbb{R}^4$, we obtain for each $q \in \mathbb{R}^4$,

\begin{align}
\sqrt{2} \ast (q \wedge \psi^+_1) &= q i, & \sqrt{2} \ast (q \wedge \psi^+_1) &= -iq, \\
\sqrt{2} \ast (q \wedge \psi^+_2) &= q j, & \sqrt{2} \ast (q \wedge \psi^+_2) &= -jq, \\
\sqrt{2} \ast (q \wedge \psi^+_3) &= q k, & \sqrt{2} \ast (q \wedge \psi^+_3) &= -kq,
\end{align}

where $\ast : \wedge^3 \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^4$ is the Hodge operator.

We define a bilinear form $h$ on $\wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^4$ so that it satisfies

\begin{equation}
\xi_1 \wedge \xi_2 = h(\xi_1, \xi_2) e_0 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3
\end{equation}

for any $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^4$. Then the basis $\{\psi^-_1, \psi^-_2, \psi^-_3, \psi^+_1, \psi^+_2, \psi^+_3\}$ gives an orthonormal frame for $h$ of signature $(- - + + +)$. Let us define

\[ \mathcal{N} := \{ \psi \in \wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^4 | h(\psi, \psi) = 0 \text{ (i.e. } \psi \wedge \psi = 0) \}, \]

\begin{equation}
Q_\mathbb{R} := \mathcal{N}/\mathbb{R}_+ \simeq \left\{ \sum x^1 \psi^-_1 + \sum y^1 \psi^+_1 | x, y \in S^2 \right\},
\end{equation}

\[ Q_\mathbb{C} := Q_\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} \simeq \left\{ \sum x^1 \psi^-_1 + \sum y^1 \psi^+_1 | x, y \in S^2 \right\}. \]
where the positive real numbers $\mathbb{R}_+$ act on $\mathcal{N}$ by a scalar multiplication. Then $Q_\mathbb{R}$ is diffeomorphic to $S^2 \times S^2$ and $h$ induces an indefinite conformal structure on $Q_\mathbb{R}$ of signature $(- - + +)$ which is denoted by $[h]$. If we define

\begin{equation}
\mathcal{S}_q := \{ \psi \in Q_\mathbb{R} \mid q \wedge \psi = 0 \}
\end{equation}

for each $q \in S^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4$, then $\mathcal{S}_q$ gives an $\alpha$-surface on $(Q_\mathbb{R}, [h])$ with respect to the natural orientation on $Q_\mathbb{R} \simeq S^2 \times S^2$. Since $\mathcal{S}_q = \mathcal{S}_{-q}$, the $\alpha$-surface $\mathcal{S}_q$ is determined only on $[q] \in \mathbb{RP}^3$, so we also write $\mathcal{S}_{[q]} = \mathcal{S}_q$.

By the formula (6.12), we can write

\begin{equation}
\mathcal{S}_q = \{(x, y) \in S(\text{Im } \mathbb{H}) \times S(\text{Im } \mathbb{H}) \mid x = \bar{q} y \}
\end{equation}

under the identification $q \in S^3 \simeq \text{Sp}(1)$ and $x, y \in S^2 \simeq S(\text{Im } \mathbb{H}) = \{ \xi \in \text{Im } \mathbb{H} \mid \xi \xi = 1 \}$. If we put $q = a + bi + cj + dk$, then the transform $\text{Im } \mathbb{H} \to \text{Im } \mathbb{H} : y \mapsto \bar{q} y$ is represented by the matrix

\begin{equation}
\forall (\bar{q}) := \begin{pmatrix}
a^2 + b^2 - c^2 - d^2 & 2(a d + b c) & 2(a c - b d) \\
-2(a d - b c) & a^2 - b^2 + c^2 - d^2 & 2(a b + c d) \\
2(a c + b d) & -2(a b - c d) & a^2 - b^2 - c^2 + d^2
\end{pmatrix}
\end{equation}

with respect to the basis $\{i, j, k\} \in \text{Im } \mathbb{H}$. Then we can write $\mathcal{S}_q = \{(x, y) \in S^2 \times S^2 \mid x = \forall (\bar{q}) y \}$. We remark that $\forall : \text{Sp}(1) \to \text{SO}(3)$ gives a natural double cover. By this expression, we see that $\mathcal{S}_q$ is also an $\alpha$-surface for the standard indefinite metric $g_0$ on $S^2 \times S^2$, so we obtain $[h] = [g_0]$.

The bundle of $\alpha$-planes $\hat{Z}_\mathbb{R} \to Q_\mathbb{R}$ is naturally given by

$$
\hat{Z}_\mathbb{R} = \{(x, y; [q]) \in Q_\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{RP}^3 \mid (x, y) \in \mathcal{S}_{[q]} \} \quad \text{(i.e. } x = \forall (\bar{q}) y \text{)}.
$$

Since $(Q_\mathbb{R}, [g_0])$ is space-time orientable, we can define the disk bundle $\mathcal{Z}_+ \subset \hat{Z}_\mathbb{R}$. We will see later (Proposition 6.2) that the projection $\hat{\imath} : \hat{Z}_\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{RP}^3$ naturally extends to a fiberwise holomorphic map $\hat{\imath} : \hat{Z}_+ \to \mathbb{CP}^3$. Then we obtain the following double fibration (see also [19]):

\begin{equation}
\require{AMScd}
\begin{CD}
\hat{\imath} & \Downarrow \hat{\imath} \\
\hat{Z}_+ \subset \hat{Z}_\mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{CP}^3, \mathbb{RP}^3).
\end{CD}
\end{equation}

By construction, we have $\hat{\imath}(\hat{\imath}^{-1}([q])) = \mathcal{S}_{[q]}$ for each $[q] \in \mathbb{RP}^3$. In this way we obtain the LeBrun-Mason twistor space $(\mathbb{CP}^3, \mathbb{RP}^3)$ corresponding to the anti-self-dual 4-manifold $(Q_\mathbb{R}, [g_0])$. Here, the two-plane distribution $\mathcal{D}$ on $\hat{Z}_\mathbb{R}$ is given by the tangent distribution of each fiber of $\hat{\imath} : \hat{Z}_\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{RP}^3$.

**$S^1$-action.** Next we study the $S^1$-action on $Q_\mathbb{R} \simeq S^2 \times S^2$ defined by \((1.3)\). Recall the notation $S_\pm := \{ \pm z \} \times S^2 \subset S^2 \times S^2$, $\mathcal{M} := (S^2 \times S^2) \setminus (S_+ \cup S_-)$, and so on. We use the coordinate $(s, t, y) \in S^1 \times \mathbb{R} \times S^2$ on $\mathcal{M}$ as in (4.12). Notice that $\mathcal{M}/S^1 = \mathbb{R} \times S^2 \cong S^1$, and the quotient map $\varpi : \mathcal{M} \to S^1_\mathbb{R}$ is given by $(s, t, y) \mapsto (t, y)$. As already mentioned, the standard metric $g_0$ on $Q_\mathbb{R} \simeq S^2 \times S^2$ is conformally equivalent to the metric $g_{1,0} = -ds^2 + g_{S^2_1}$ induced from the trivial monopole $(V, A) = (1, 0)$.

Now we define the disk bundle $p : (\mathcal{Z}_+, \hat{Z}_\mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{M}$ as the restriction of $\hat{p} : (\hat{Z}_+, \hat{Z}_\mathbb{R}) \to Q_\mathbb{R}$ on $\mathcal{M}$. By the argument in the previous section, $\varpi : \mathcal{M} \to S^1_\mathbb{R}$ induces the natural map $\Pi : (\mathcal{Z}_+, \mathcal{Z}_\mathbb{R}) \to (\mathcal{W}_+, \mathcal{W}_\mathbb{R})$. 


Let \( \{E_1, E_2, E_3\} \) be the frame of \( TS^3 \) on \( U = \{(t, \lambda) \mid \lambda \neq \infty\} \) defined in (6.5). We introduce a frame \( \{E_0, E_1, E_2, E_3\} \) of \( TM \) on \( U = \omega^{-1}(U) = \{(s, t, \lambda) \mid \lambda \neq \infty\} \) by (5.14), that is,  
\begin{equation}
E_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \quad E_1 = E_1, \quad E_2 = E_2, \quad E_3 = E_3.
\end{equation}

If we define \( m_1, m_2 \) and so on similarly as in Section 5, we obtain the trivializations \( U \times \mathbb{D} \cong W^+_U \) and \( U \times \mathbb{D} \cong Z^+_U \). Hence we can use coordinates \((t, \lambda; \omega)\) on \( W^+_U \) and \((s, t, \lambda; \omega)\) on \( Z^+_U \). In these coordinates, \( \Pi : Z^+ \rightarrow W^+ \) is written as \((s, t, \lambda; \omega) \mapsto (t, \lambda; \omega)\).

The projection \( \omega \) induces a map between the twistor spaces in the following way. As in (6.16), each \( \alpha \)-surface \( \mathcal{S}_q \) is defined by the equation \( x = \mathcal{A}(\bar{q})y \) for each \( q \in S^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4 \). In the coordinate \((s, t, y) \in S^1 \times \mathbb{R} \times S^2 \cong \mathcal{M} \), this equation is equivalent to the following system:

\begin{align}
\frac{e^{is}}{\cosh t} &= (1, i, 0) \mathcal{A}(\bar{q}) \cdot y, \\
\tanh t &= (0, 0, 1) \mathcal{A}(\bar{q}) \cdot y.
\end{align}

Comparing (6.21) with (6.1), we see that the projection \( \omega \) maps each \( \alpha \)-surface \( \mathcal{S}_q \) to the null surface \( \Sigma_u \), where \( u = (0, 0, 1) \cdot \mathcal{A}(\bar{q}) \). Hence we obtain the natural map
\begin{equation}
\pi : \mathbb{R}P^3 \longrightarrow S^3 \cong \mathbb{C}P^1 : [q] \mapsto u = (0, 0, 1) \cdot \mathcal{A}(\bar{q})
\end{equation}

between the real twistor spaces. We will soon see that \( \pi \) extends to the map between complex twistor spaces and obtain the following commutative diagram:

\begin{equation}
\begin{array}{ccc}
(Z^+, Z_R) & \xymatrix{ \mathcal{M} \ar[d]^{\Pi} \ar[r]^p & (W^+_R, W_R) \ar[d]^{\pi} \ar[r]^f & (Z, Z_R) \ar[d]^{\pi} } \\
S^3 & \xymatrix{ & (W, W_R) } 
\end{array}
\end{equation}

Here \( Z_R = \mathbb{R}P^3 \subset \mathbb{C}P^3 \) is the standard real submanifold, and \( Z \subset \mathbb{C}P^3 \) is an open set to be defined later.

**Explicit description of the double fibration.** We set an embedding \( \mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^4 \) by
\begin{equation}
q = a + bi + cj + dk \mapsto (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3), \quad \sqrt{2} z_0 = a - ib + c - id, \quad \sqrt{2} z_1 = ia - b - ic + d, \quad \sqrt{2} z_2 = -ia - b + ic + d, \quad \sqrt{2} z_3 = a + ib + c + id.
\end{equation}

Notice that the image of the above embedding is \( \{z_3 = \bar{z}_0, z_2 = \bar{z}_1\} \), and the image of \( \text{Sp}(1) = \{q \in \mathbb{H} \mid q \bar{q} = 1\} \) is the set of \((z_i)\) satisfying
\begin{equation}
z_3 = \bar{z}_0, \quad z_2 = \bar{z}_1, \quad |z_0|^2 + |z_1|^2 = |z_2|^2 + |z_3|^2 = 1.
\end{equation}

The above embedding induces the standard embedding \( \mathbb{R}P^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^3 \), and we denote its image by \( Z_{\mathbb{R}} := \{[z_i] \in \mathbb{C}P^3 \mid z_3 = \bar{z}_0, z_2 = \bar{z}_1\} \). Using this notation, the map \( f \) is explicitly described in the following way.
Proposition 6.2. In the above coordinates, the map \( f: \mathcal{Z}_\mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{Z}_\mathbb{R}: (s, t, \lambda, \omega) \mapsto [z_i] \) is written as
\[
(s, t, \lambda; \omega) \mapsto [z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3] = \left[ e^{is} \Phi : e^{is} \Phi \eta : \bar{\eta} : 1 \right] (\omega = e^{i\theta}),
\]
where \( \eta = -\omega + \lambda e^t, \quad \Phi = -i \lambda \omega + e^t \lambda + e^t \omega. \)

Moreover, the extension \( \hat{f}: \mathcal{Z}_+ \to \mathbb{CP}^3 \) is written as
\[
(s, t, \lambda, \omega) \mapsto [z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3] = \left[ e^{is} \Phi : e^{is} \Phi \eta_1 : \eta_2^{-1} : 1 \right] (|\omega| \leq 1),
\]
where \( \eta_1 \) and \( \eta_2 \) are defined as in (6.9).

We remark that (6.27) can also be written as
\[
(s, t, \lambda; \omega) \mapsto \left[ -ie^{is}(\bar{\lambda} \omega + e^t) : -ie^{is}(-\omega + \lambda e^t) : -1 + \bar{\lambda} e^t \omega : \lambda + e^t \omega \right].
\]

We can check by the description (6.27) or (6.28) that the map \( \hat{f} : \mathcal{Z}_+ \to \mathbb{CP}^3 \) naturally extends to a smooth map \( f: \mathcal{Z}_+ \to \mathbb{CP}^3 \). (The explicit description of \( \hat{f} \) near \( S_\pm = Q_R \setminus M \) is given in the proof of Proposition 6.3.)

Proof of 6.2. Recall that the map \( \hat{f}: \mathcal{W}_\mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{W}_\mathbb{R}: (t, \lambda; \omega) \mapsto \eta \) is written as
\[
\eta = \eta(t, \lambda; \omega) = -\omega + \lambda e^t (\omega = e^{i\theta}),
\]
as in Proposition 6.1. Also recall that the map \( \pi: \mathbb{RP}^3 \cong \mathcal{Z}_\mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{W}_\mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{S}^2: [\hat{q}] \mapsto u \) is given by \( u = (0, 0, 1)\omega/(\bar{\omega}) \). Since \( \eta \in \mathbb{CP}^1 \) and \( u \in \mathbb{S}^2 \) are related by stereographic projection, we obtain
\[
\eta = u_2 + iu_3 = \frac{i a - b - ic + d}{a - ib + c - id} = \frac{z_1}{z_0},
\]
where \( (z_i) \in \mathbb{C}^4 \) is the image of \( q \in \text{Sp}(1) \). On the other hand, from equation (6.20), we obtain
\[
\frac{e^{is}}{\cosh t} = i \frac{(z_0 + \bar{\lambda} z_1)(\lambda z_0 - z_1)}{2(1 + |\lambda|^2)}. \tag{6.30}
\]

By conditions (6.25) and (6.29), there exists \( c \in \mathbb{S}^1 \) satisfying
\[
(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1 + |\eta|^2}} \left( e^{\frac{i}{2} \bar{\eta}}, e^{\frac{i}{2} \eta}, e^{-\frac{i}{2} \bar{\eta}}, e^{-\frac{i}{2} \eta} \right). \tag{6.31}
\]
Evaluating (6.31) to (6.30), we obtain \( e^{ic} = e^{is} \Phi \). Evaluating this to (6.31) again, we obtain the required description (6.26) of \( f: \mathcal{Z}_\mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{Z}_\mathbb{R} \).

The description (6.27) is soon obtained so that the extended map \( \hat{f}: \mathcal{Z}_+ \to \mathcal{Z} \) is holomorphic in \( \omega \in \mathbb{D} \). \hfill \Box

We need the following lemma in Section 4.

Lemma 6.3. Considering \( \Phi = \Phi(t, \lambda; \omega) \) as a function on \( \mathcal{W}_+ \) or on \( \mathcal{W}_\mathbb{R} \), we obtain \( \hat{m}_1 \Phi = i \xi \Phi \) and \( \hat{m}_2 \Phi = i \Phi \).

Proof. It is enough to check on \( \mathcal{W}_\mathbb{R} \). Recall that the distribution \( \mathcal{D} = \text{Span} \langle \hat{m}_1, \hat{m}_2 \rangle \) on \( \mathcal{Z}_\mathbb{R} \) is tangent to each fiber of \( \hat{f}: \mathcal{Z}_\mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{Z}_\mathbb{R} \). Thus we obtain \( \hat{m}_j(e^{is} \Phi) = 0 \) for \( j = 1, 2 \) by the explicit description (6.26) of \( \hat{f} \). Then by the formula (5.10), we obtain the required equations since we are now studying the case of the trivial monopole \( (V, A) = (1, 0) \). \hfill \Box
We remark that, since the distribution $\mathcal{D} = \text{Span} \{ \bar{m}_1, \bar{m}_2 \}$ on $W_{\mathbb{R}}$ is tangent to each fiber of $f : W_{\mathbb{R}} \to W_{\mathbb{R}}$, we obtain $\bar{m}_j \eta = \bar{m}_j \bar{q} = 0$ for $j = 1, 2$ on $W_{\mathbb{R}}$, where $\eta = \eta(t, \lambda; \omega)$ is defined above. Extending holomorphically, we also obtain $\bar{m}_j \eta_k = 0$ for $j = 1, 2$ and $k = 1, 2$ on $W_{+}$.

**The twistor space.** Now let us define an open set $Z \subset \mathbb{CP}^3$ by

$$Z := \mathbb{CP}^3 \setminus (L_+ \sqcup L_-),$$

where

$$L_+ = \{ [z_i] \in \mathbb{CP}^3 \mid z_2 = z_3 = 0 \},$$

$$L_- = \{ [z_i] \in \mathbb{CP}^3 \mid z_0 = z_1 = 0 \}.$$

Further, let us define the holomorphic map $\pi : Z \to W = \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ by

$$\pi : [z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3] \mapsto (\eta_1, \eta_2) = \left( \frac{z_1}{z_0}, \frac{z_3}{z_2} \right).$$

Recall that we defined $Z_\mathbb{R} := \{ [z_i] \in \mathbb{CP}^3 \mid z_3 = \bar{z}_0, \bar{z}_2 = \bar{z}_1 \}$. Since $\pi(Z_\mathbb{R}) = \{(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in W \mid \eta_2 = \bar{\eta}_1^{-1}\} = W_\mathbb{R}$, we obtain the map $\pi : (Z, Z_\mathbb{R}) \to (W, W_\mathbb{R})$. Notice that this definition of $\pi$ agrees with the above definition of $\pi : Z_\mathbb{R} \to W_\mathbb{R}$ in (6.22) or (6.29).

The set $Z$ is also obtained in the following way. Recall that the $S^3$-action on $M$ is written as $\alpha : (s, t, \lambda) \mapsto (s + \alpha, t, \lambda)$. Then by (6.26), the natural $S^3$-action on $Z_\mathbb{R}$ is induced and is written as $\alpha : [z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3] \mapsto e^{i \alpha z_0} e^{i \alpha z_1} : z_2 : z_3]$. This $S^3$-action naturally extends to the holomorphic $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\mathbb{CP}^3$ given by

$$(6.32) \quad \mu : [z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3] \mapsto [\mu z_0 : \mu z_1 : z_2 : z_3] \quad (\mu \in \mathbb{C}^*).$$

Then $L_+ \sqcup L_-$ is just the fixed point set and $Z$ is the free part of this action. Notice that the map $\pi : Z \to W$ is nothing but the quotient map of the above $\mathbb{C}^*$-action.

By the description (6.23), we find that the image of $f : Z_+ \to \mathbb{CP}^3$ is contained in $Z$. In this way we have obtained the commutative diagram (6.23).

**Holomorphic disks.** We have already defined the holomorphic disk $D_{(t, \lambda)} := \hat{f}(p^{-1}(t, \lambda))$ for each $(t, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{CP}^1 \simeq S^3$. Similarly, on the diagram (6.18) we put

$$D_\xi := \hat{f}(p^{-1}(\xi))$$

for each $\xi \in Q_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then $\{ D_\xi \mid \xi \in Q_{\mathbb{R}} \}$ gives a family of holomorphic disks on $\mathbb{CP}^3$ with boundaries on $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$. Recall that we defined $Z := \mathbb{CP}^3 \setminus (L_+ \sqcup L_-)$.

**Proposition 6.4.** The point $\xi \in Q_{\mathbb{R}}$ is contained in $M$ if and only if $D_\xi \subset Z$. Further, if $\xi \in M$, then $\pi(D_\xi) = D_{\pi(\xi)}$.

**Proof.** We change the variable $(s, t, \lambda) \in S^1 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{CP}^1 \cong M$ to $(\alpha, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ by setting $\alpha = e^{i \epsilon + i s}$. Then $(\alpha, \lambda)$ gives a coordinate on an open neighborhood $O$ of $S_-$, where $S_{\pm} = \{ \pm \epsilon \} \times S^2$. Notice that $S_- = \{ (\alpha, \lambda) \in O \mid \alpha = 0 \}$ and $(\alpha, \lambda) = (0, \lambda)$ corresponds to the point $(-\epsilon, \lambda)$.

Now recall that $f : Z_+ \to Z$ is explicitly written as (6.27). Let us introduce a variable $\omega' := e^{i \epsilon} \Phi(t, \lambda; \omega)$. If $e^{i \epsilon} < |\lambda|$, then $\omega \mapsto \Phi(t, \lambda; \omega)$ defines an automorphism on $\mathbb{D}$. Hence we can assume that $\omega' \in \mathbb{D}$ on $O$ by shrinking $O$ if needed. Then the triple $(\alpha, \lambda; \omega')$ gives a local coordinate on $\hat{Z}_+ | O$. We obtain that the map $\hat{f} : Z_+ | O \to \mathbb{CP}^3$ is written as $(\alpha, \lambda; \omega') \mapsto [\omega' : \omega' \eta_1 : \eta_2^{-1} : 1]$, where

$$(6.33) \quad \eta_1 = \frac{(1 + |\alpha|^2) \omega' + i(1 + |\lambda|^2) \alpha}{(|\alpha|^2 - |\lambda|^2) \omega'}, \quad \eta_2 = \frac{|\alpha|^2 - |\lambda|^2}{-i(1 + |\lambda|^2) \alpha \omega' + (1 + |\alpha|^2) \lambda}.$$
Evaluating $\alpha = 0$, we obtain that the disk $D_{(-\epsilon,\lambda)}$ is given by
\begin{equation}
\omega' \mapsto [\omega': -\omega'\bar{\lambda}^{-1} : -\lambda^{-1} : 1] \quad (|\omega'| \leq 1).
\end{equation}

By a similar argument, the disk $D_{(\epsilon,\lambda)}$ is given by
\begin{equation}
\omega' \mapsto [1 : \lambda : \omega'\bar{\lambda} : \omega'] \quad (|\omega'| \leq 1).
\end{equation}
Hence each disk $D_{(\pm\epsilon,\lambda)}$ intersects with $L_+$ or $L_-$, so we obtain $D_{(\pm\epsilon,\lambda)} \not\subset Z$.

On the other hand, we have $D_{\xi} \subset Z$ for any $\xi \in M$ since the image of $f : Z_+ \to \mathbb{CP}^3$ is contained in $Z$. Hence $\xi \in M$ if and only if $D_{\xi} \subset Z$. The rest of the statement is obvious by the description (6.27).

**Compactification of $S^3_1$.** To study the geometry on $S^3_1$, it is convenient to consider its compactification. Such a picture is actually significant in the study of LeBrun-Mason correspondence for Einstein-Weyl 3-manifolds (see [20, 24]).

Let $\hat{S}^3_1 := Q_\mathbb{R}/S^1$ be the quotient space, and $\hat{\varphi} : Q_\mathbb{R} \to \hat{S}^3_1$ be the quotient map. Let us write $\hat{\varphi}(\pm\epsilon, y) = (\pm\epsilon, y) \in \hat{S}^3_1$. Then $\hat{S}^3_1 \simeq [-\infty, +\infty] \times S^2$ is considered as the natural compactification of $S^3_1 \simeq \mathbb{R} \times S^2$, where $[-\infty, +\infty]$ is the natural compactification of $\mathbb{R}$ with two extra points $\pm\infty$.

If we take the limit $t \to \pm\infty$ for the disks $D_{(t, \lambda)}$ on $(W, W_\mathbb{R})$, then we do not obtain a disk but a marked $\mathbb{CP}^1$. Actually by (6.34), if we put $\overline{D}_{(\pm\infty, \lambda)} := \lim_{t \to \pm\infty} D_{(t, \lambda)}$, then $\overline{D}_{(\pm\infty, \lambda)}$ is given by
\begin{equation}
\{\lambda\} \times \mathbb{CP}^1 \subset W.
\end{equation}
In this limit, the boundaries $\partial\overline{D}_{(t, \lambda)}$ shrink to the point $P_{(\pm\infty, \lambda)} := (\lambda, \bar{\lambda}^{-1}) \in W_\mathbb{R}$ which is considered as the marking point of $\overline{D}_{(\pm\infty, \lambda)}$. Similarly, $\overline{D}_{(-\infty, \lambda)} := \lim_{t \to -\infty} D_{(t, \lambda)}$ is given by
\begin{equation}
\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \{-\lambda\} \subset W
\end{equation}
equipped with the marking point at $P_{(-\infty, \lambda)} := (-\bar{\lambda}^{-1}, -\lambda)$. Notice that by (6.34) or (6.35), we obtain $\pi(\overline{\partial}(\pm\infty, \lambda) \cap Z) = P_{(\pm\infty, \lambda)}$.

Now let us define the maps $\chi_\pm : W_\mathbb{R} \to \partial\hat{S}^3_1$ by $\chi_\pm (P_{(\pm\infty, \lambda)}) = (\pm\infty, \lambda)$. Then we can check that $\hat{\Sigma}_\eta := \Sigma_\eta \sqcup \{\chi_+ (\eta, \chi_- (\eta))\}$ for each $\eta \in W_\mathbb{R}$, where $\hat{\Sigma}_\eta$ is the compactification of the null surface $\Sigma_\eta$ in $\hat{S}^3_1$. Similarly, if we put $\mathcal{C}_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)} := p(\hat{\Sigma}_1 (\eta_1, \eta_2))$ for $(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in W_\mathbb{R} \setminus W_\mathbb{R}$, then we obtain $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)} = \mathcal{C}_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)} \sqcup \{\chi_+ (\eta_1, \chi_- (\eta_2))\}$, where $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}$ is the compactification of $\mathcal{C}_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}$. We remark that $\mathcal{C}_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)} \supset \mathbb{R}$ is a time-like geodesic on $S^3_1$ (see [24]).

Finally we remark that, in the picture of the correspondence $S^3_1 \ni (t, y) \leftrightarrow \Omega_{(t, y)} \subset S^2$, the limit $\lim_{t \to \pm\infty} \Omega_{(t, y)}$ shrinks to a point $y \in S^2$ while $\lim_{t \to -\infty} \Omega_{(t, y)}$ wraps the whole $S^2$ and closes at the point $y \in S^2$.

7. **Twistor correspondence**

**Main theorem.** In Section 6, we put $Z := \mathbb{CP}^3 \setminus (L_+ \cup L_-)$ and $Z_\mathbb{R} := \{[z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3] \in \mathbb{CP}^3 \mid z_3 = z_0, z_2 = \bar{z}_1\}$, and showed the correspondence between the map $\pi : (Z, Z_\mathbb{R}) \to (W, W_\mathbb{R})$ and the $S^3$-bundle $\varpi : M \to S^3_1$ equipped with the standard metrics.

We now define the deformation of the real submanifold $Z_\mathbb{R}$ in $Z$ by
\begin{equation}
P_h := \left\{[z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3] \in Z \mid z_3 = z_0 e^{-h(z_1/z_0)}, \ z_2 = \bar{z}_1 e^{-h(z_1/z_0)}\right\},
\end{equation}
where \( h \) is a smooth function on \( \mathbb{CP}^1 \cong \mathbb{S}^2 \). Notice that \( P_h = \mathbb{Z}_\mathbb{R} \) if \( h \equiv 0 \), and that \( P_h \) is invariant under the \( U(1) \)-action on \( Z \), which is defined as the restriction of the \( \mathbb{C}^* \)-action [32]. For any real constant \( c \), the holomorphic automorphism

\[
\mathbb{CP}^3 \to \mathbb{CP}^3 : [z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3] \mapsto [z_0 : z_1 : z_2 e^c : z_3 e^c]
\]

maps \( P_h \) to \( P_{h+c} \), so \( P_h \) depends on \( h \) essentially up to a constant. So we assume \( h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^2) \). Then our goal is the following.

**Theorem 7.1.** Let \((V,A)\) be an admissible monopole, and \( h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^2) \) be the corresponding generating function. Then the self-dual metric on \( S^2 \times S^2 \) induced by \((V,A)\) is Zollfrei, and its LeBrun-Mason twistor space is given by \((\mathbb{CP}^3, P_h)\).

**Holomorphic disks.** To prove Theorem [7.1] we first construct the family of holomorphic disks, and we recover the \( S^1 \)-bundle \( \pi : \mathcal{M} \to S^3 \). Recall that for each \((t,\lambda) \in S^3 \), the corresponding holomorphic disk on \( W \) with boundary on \( W_\mathbb{R} \) is given by \( D_{(t,\lambda)} = \pi^{-1}(t,\lambda) \).

**Proposition 7.2.** There is a unique family of holomorphic disks \( \{D_{(s,t,\lambda)}\} \) on \( Z \) with boundaries on \( P_h \) smoothly parametrized by \((s,t,\lambda) \in S^1 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{CP}^1 \) and satisfying the condition: \( D_{(s,t,\lambda)} \) is mapped biholomorphically onto \( D_{(t,\lambda)} \) by \( \pi : Z \to W \).

If we put \( \mathcal{M} := S^1 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{CP}^1 \), we obtain the \( S^1 \)-bundle \( \pi : \mathcal{M} \to S^3 \) \((s,t,\lambda) \mapsto (t,\lambda)\) by the above proposition.

**Proof of Proposition 7.2.** First notice that the boundary \( \partial D_{(t,\lambda)} \) is given by the image of the map

\[
\zeta : \partial \mathbb{D} \to W_\mathbb{R} : \omega \mapsto \eta(\omega) = -\omega + \lambda e^t \overline{\omega} + e^t \quad (\omega = e^{i\theta} \in \partial \mathbb{D}).
\]

Then any smooth map \( \iota : \partial \mathbb{D} \to P_h \) satisfying \( \pi \circ \iota = \zeta \) is written as

\[
\iota : \omega \mapsto [z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3] = \left[ e^{h(\eta(\omega))} K(\omega) : e^{h(\eta(\omega))} K(\omega) \eta(\omega) : \overline{\eta(\omega)} : 1 \right]
\]

using a \( U(1) \)-valued smooth function \( K \) on \( \partial \mathbb{D} \).

Next we deduce the condition for \( K \) so that the map \( \iota \) extends to a holomorphic map on the disk \( \mathbb{D} = \{ |\omega| \leq 1 \} \). Let us put \( H(t,\lambda;\omega) := h(\eta(\omega)) \) and let

\[
H(t,\lambda;\omega) = \sum_{k=\infty}^\infty H_k(t,\lambda)\omega^k
\]

be the Fourier expansion. We put

\[
H_+(t,\lambda;\omega) := \sum_{k>0} H_k(t,\lambda)\omega^k, \quad H_-(t,\lambda;\omega) := \sum_{k<0} H_k(t,\lambda)\omega^k.
\]

If \( \iota \) extends to a holomorphic map on \( \mathbb{D} \), \( K \) must be the form

\[
K(\omega) = e^{(H_+(\omega) - H_-(\omega))} \tilde{K}(\omega),
\]

where \( \tilde{K}(\omega) \) is a holomorphic function on \( \mathbb{D} \) such that \( \tilde{K}(e^{i\theta}) \in U(1) \). Then \( \iota \) is written as

\[
\iota : \omega \mapsto [z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3] = \left[ e^{2H_+ + H_0} \tilde{K}(\omega) : e^{2H_+ + H_0} \tilde{K}(\omega) \eta_1(\omega) : (\eta_2(\omega))^{-1} : 1 \right],
\]

where

\[
\eta_1 = -\omega + \lambda e^t \overline{\omega} + e^t, \quad \eta_2 = \frac{\lambda + e^t}{1 + \lambda e^t}.
\]
If the image of $\iota$ is contained in $Z$, then (i) $\tilde{K}(\omega)$ has a unique zero on $\mathbb{D}$ exactly at the pole of $\eta_1(\omega)$, and (ii) $\tilde{K}(\omega)$ has a unique pole on $\mathbb{D}$ exactly at the pole of $\eta_2(\omega)$. Hence $\tilde{K}(\omega)$ is written as, using a constant $s \in S^1$,
\[
\tilde{K}(\omega) = e^{is} \Phi(\omega), \quad \text{where } \Phi(\omega) = -i \frac{\bar{\lambda} \omega + e^t}{\lambda + e^t}.
\]
Thus $\iota$ is written as
\[
(7.3) \quad \iota : \omega \mapsto [z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3] = \left[ e^{2H_++H_0+is} \Phi : e^{2H_++H_0+is} \Phi \eta_1 : \eta_2^{-1} : 1 \right].
\]
Let us define $\mathcal{D}_{(s,t,\lambda)}$ to be the holomorphic disk obtained by $(7.3)$. Then the statement follows since $H_+, H_0$ and $\Phi$ depend smoothly on $(t, \lambda)$, and are independent of $s$.

Recall that the boundary $\partial \mathcal{D}_{(t,\lambda)} \subset W_\mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{CP}^1$ corresponds to the oriented small circle $\partial \Omega_{(t,y)}$. Hence, in the above proof, the Fourier coefficient $H_0(t, \lambda)$ is written as
\[
(7.4) \quad H_0(t, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} H(t, \lambda; e^{i\theta}) d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} h(e^{i\theta})) d\theta = R h(t, \lambda)
\]
using the transform $R$ defined in $(2.6)$. Here we abused the notation as $R h(t, y) = R h(t, \lambda)$.

**Non-admissible deformations.** Let $\{\mathcal{D}_{\xi}\}_{\xi \in \mathcal{M}}$ be the family of holomorphic disks obtained in Proposition $(7.2)$. Let us denote the interior of the disk $\mathcal{D}_{\xi}$ by $\mathcal{D}^\circ_{\xi}$. We will see later (Proposition $(7.7)$) that the family $\{\mathcal{D}^\circ_{\xi}\}_{\xi \in \mathcal{M}}$ foliates $\pi^{-1}(W\setminus W_\mathbb{R}) \subset Z$ if the corresponding monopole $(V, A)$ is admissible, that is, if the generating function $h \in C^\infty_2(S^2)$ satisfies $|\partial_t R h(t, \lambda)| < 1$.

On the other hand, in the non-admissible case, we obtain the following.

**Proposition 7.3.** If $|\partial_t R h(t, \lambda)| > 1$ for some $(t, \lambda) \in S^1$, then the family $\{\mathcal{D}^\circ_{\xi}\}_{\xi \in \mathcal{M}}$ does not give a foliation.

**Proof.** Suppose that there exists a point $(t_0, \lambda_0) \in S^2$ such that $|\partial_t R h(t_0, \lambda_0)| > 1$. Since $R h(t, \lambda)$ is an even function on $S^2$, we can assume $\partial_t R h(t_0, \lambda_0) + 1 < 0$ by changing $(t_0, \lambda_0)$ with $(-t_0, -\lambda_0)$ if needed.

Now if we evaluate $\omega = 0$ to the description $(7.3)$ of the disk $\mathcal{D}_{(s,t,\lambda)}$, we find that the disk $\mathcal{D}_{(s,t,\lambda)}$ contains the point $[-ie^{H_0(t,\lambda_0)+t+is}: -ie^{H_0(t,\lambda_0)+t+is}\lambda : -1 : \lambda] \in Z \setminus P_h$. We claim that the map $S^1 \times \mathbb{R} \to Z \setminus P_h$ given by
\[
(7.5) \quad (s, t) \mapsto [-ie^{H_0(t,\lambda_0)+t+is} : -ie^{H_0(t,\lambda_0)+t+is}\lambda : -1 : \lambda]
\]
is not injective. If this map is injective, then the function $H_0(t, \lambda_0) + t$ must be monotonic in $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We have, however,
\[
\partial_t R h(t_0, \lambda_0) + 1 < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} (\partial_t R h(t, \lambda_0) + 1) = 1 > 0;
\]
hence the function $H_0(t, \lambda_0) + t = R h(t, \lambda_0) + t$ is not monotonic. So the map $(7.5)$ is not injective. This means that some members in $\{\mathcal{D}_{(s,t,\lambda)}\}_{(s,t) \in S^1 \times \mathbb{R}}$ intersect with each other at their interior points; hence $\{\mathcal{D}^\circ_{\xi}\}_{\xi \in \mathcal{M}}$ does not give a foliation.

**Double fibration.** Next we construct the double fibration. Let $(V, A)$ be the monopole corresponding to $h \in C^\infty_2(S^2)$, and suppose that $(V, A)$ is admissible. By Proposition $(4.9)$ we obtain an indefinite metric $\tilde{g}_{V,A}$ on $\mathcal{M} = S^2 \times S^2$. Here we
show that this metric is anti-self-dual with respect to the natural orientation on \( \mathcal{M} = S^1 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \).

Let \( (\hat{\mathcal{Z}}_+, \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_\mathbb{R}) \) be the disk bundle on \( S^2 \times S^2 \) induced from \( \hat{g}_{V,A} \) by the method explained in Section 5. Recall that \( \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_\mathbb{R} \) is equipped with the two-plane distribution \( \mathcal{D} \), which is locally written as \( \mathcal{D} = \text{Span} \langle \hat{m}_1, \hat{m}_2 \rangle \), and that \( \hat{g}_{V,A} \) is anti-self-dual if and only if \( \mathcal{D} \) is integrable.

Let \( (\mathcal{Z}_+, \mathcal{Z}_\mathbb{R}) := (\hat{\mathcal{Z}}_+|_\mathcal{M}, \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_\mathbb{R}|_\mathcal{M}) \) be the restriction on \( \mathcal{M} \). We take a local trivialization of \( (\mathcal{Z}_+, \mathcal{Z}_\mathbb{R}) \) on the open set \( U := \{(t, \lambda) \in S^2 \mid \lambda \neq \infty \} \) in the following way. We fix a frame \( \{E_j\}_{j=1,2,3} \) of \( TS^2 \) on \( U \) in the same way as in (5.5). We define the frame \( \{E_j\}_{j=0,1,2,3} \) of \( T\mathcal{M} \) on the open set \( U := \varpi^{-1}(U) = \{(s, t, \lambda) \in \mathcal{M} \mid \lambda \neq \infty \} \) of \( \mathcal{M} \) by (5.14) so that we can apply the argument in Section 5. Then we obtain the trivialization \( U \times D \cong \mathcal{Z}_+|_U \), and we can use \( (s, t, \lambda; \omega) \in U \times D \) as a local coordinate on \( \mathcal{Z}_+|_U \).

Now let \( \{\mathcal{D}_{(s,t,\lambda)}\} \) be the holomorphic disks obtained in Proposition 7.2. Noticing the explicit description (7.3) of the disk \( \mathcal{D}_{(s,t,\lambda)} \), we define the map \( (\mathcal{Z}_+|_U, \mathcal{Z}_\mathbb{R}|_U) \to (Z, P_h) \) by

\[
(s, t, \lambda; \omega) \mapsto [z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3] = [e^{2H_+ + H_0} \phi : e^{2H_+ + H_0} \phi \eta_1 : \eta_2^{-1} : 1].
\]

It is checked that this map uniquely extends to a smooth map \( \hat{f} : (\mathcal{Z}_+, \mathcal{Z}_\mathbb{R}) \to (Z, P_h) \). In this way, we obtain a similar diagram to (6.23). By construction, this diagram commutes.

**Proposition 7.4.** In the above notation, each fiber of the map \( \hat{f} : \mathcal{Z}_\mathbb{R} \to P_h \) is tangent to the distribution \( D|_{\mathcal{Z}_\mathbb{R}} \).

**Proof.** By the explicit description (7.6) of the map \( \hat{f} : \mathcal{Z}_\mathbb{R} \to P_h \), it is enough to check that the following formulas hold for \( j = 1, 2 \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{m}_j \left( e^{2H_+ + H_0} \phi \right) &= 0, \\
\hat{m}_j \eta_1 &= \hat{m}_j \eta_2 = 0,
\end{align*}
\]

on \( \mathcal{Z}_\mathbb{R}|_U \). The equation (7.8) is, however, obvious since the vectors \( \Pi_+(m_j) = \hat{m}_j \) \( (j = 1, 2) \) and the functions \( \eta_k \) \( (k = 1, 2) \) are not deformed from the standard case, so \( \hat{m}_j \eta_k = \hat{m}_j \eta_k = 0 \) for each \( j, k \). On the other hand, by Proposition 5.3 the equations (7.7) are equivalent to the following equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{m}_1 \left( e^{2H_+ + H_0} \phi \right) &= i(V \zeta + A(m_1)) \cdot e^{2H_+ + H_0} \phi, \\
\hat{m}_2 \left( e^{2H_+ + H_0} \phi \right) &= i(V + A(m_2)) \cdot e^{2H_+ + H_0} \phi,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \zeta = i \frac{\omega}{1 + \omega} \). If we apply Lemma 6.3 the wanted equation (7.7) is equivalent to

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{m}_1 (2H_+ + H_0) &= i((V - 1) \zeta + A(m_1)), \\
\hat{m}_2 (2H_+ + H_0) &= i((V - 1) + A(m_2)).
\end{align*}
\]

Now notice that for \( |\omega| = 1 \) we have

\[
\hat{m}_j H(t, \lambda; \omega) = \hat{m}_j h(\eta(\omega)) = \frac{\partial h}{\partial \eta_j} \cdot \hat{m}_j \eta_1 + \frac{\partial h}{\partial \eta_j} \cdot \hat{m}_j \eta_2 = 0.
\]

If we use the formula (B.3) in Appendix B we obtain the following equations:

\[
l_j H_k + \bar{l}_j H_{k-1} + k \delta_j H_k - (k - 1) \bar{\delta}_j H_{k-1} = 0 \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}),
\]
where $H(t, \lambda; \omega) = \sum_k H_k(t, \lambda)\omega^k$. Thus we obtain for $j = 1$,

\begin{equation}
(1 + \omega)\mathfrak{m}_1(2H_+ + H_0) = 2(l_1H_1 + \delta_1H_1)\omega + l_1H_0 + \omega l_1H_0 = l_1H_0 - \omega l_1H_0 = -(1 - \omega)E_1H_0 + (1 - \omega)E_2H_0 + i(1 + \omega)E_3H_0.
\end{equation}

On the other hand, we have $H_0(t, \lambda) = Rh(t, \lambda)$ and by the hypothesis,

\begin{equation}
V = 1 + \partial_t Rh = 1 + E_1H_0,
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
A = -i\partial_t Rh = (E_3H_0)E^2 - (E_2H_0)E^3.
\end{equation}

Hence

\begin{equation}
-(1 - \omega)(V - 1) + iA((1 + \omega)\mathfrak{m}_1) = -(1 - \omega)E_1H_0 + iA(l_1 + \omega l_1)
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
= -(1 - \omega)E_1H_0 + (1 - \omega)E_2H_0 + i(1 + \omega)E_3H_0.
\end{equation}

By (7.12) and (7.13), we obtain

\begin{equation}
(1 + \omega)\mathfrak{m}_1(2H_+ + H_0) = -(1 - \omega)(V - 1) + iA((1 + \omega)\mathfrak{m}_1),
\end{equation}
which is equivalent to the first equation of (7.9). The second equation of (7.9) is proved in a similar way.

**Corollary 7.5.** Let $(V, A)$ be an admissible monopole. Then the metric $\tilde{g}_{V, A}$ on $S^2 \times S^2$ induced from $(V, A)$ is anti-self-dual with respect to the natural orientation on $\mathcal{M} = S^1 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$.

**Proof.** Notice that the map $\mathfrak{f} : Z_\mathbb{R} \to P_\mathbb{h}$ is surjective by construction. Hence each fiber of $\mathfrak{f} : Z_\mathbb{R} \to P_\mathbb{h}$ is two-dimensional and is an integral surface of $\mathcal{D}$ by Proposition 7.4. Thus $\mathcal{D}$ is Frobenius integrable. Hence $\tilde{g}_{V, A}$ is anti-self-dual on $\mathcal{M}$ by Proposition 7.2. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is dense in $S^2 \times S^2$, $g$ is anti-self-dual on the whole of $S^2 \times S^2$. \hfill \Box

By Proposition 5.2, the complex three-plane distribution $\mathcal{E} = \text{Span}(\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_2, \partial_\omega)$ defines the complex structure on $Z_+ \setminus Z_\mathbb{R}$. Since $e^{2H_+ + H_0 + i\mathfrak{e}}\Phi$ is holomorphic in $\omega \in \mathbb{D}$, the equations (7.7) and (7.8) hold on $Z_+$. Hence the map $\mathfrak{f} : Z_+ \to Z$ is holomorphic on $Z_+ \setminus Z_\mathbb{R}$. In this way, we have obtained the following result.

**Proposition 7.6.** In the above notation, $\mathfrak{f} : (Z_+ \setminus Z_\mathbb{R}) \to (Z \setminus P_\mathbb{h})$ is holomorphic.

**Compactification.** Recall that the compactification $\mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow S^2 \times S^2$ is given by $(s, t, \lambda) \mapsto (x, y)$, where $y \mapsto \lambda$ is the stereographic projection and $(s, t) \mapsto x$ is given by (4.2). We have $(S^2 \times S^2) \setminus \mathcal{M} = S_+ \cup S_-$, where $S_\pm = \{ \pm \varepsilon \} \times S^2$. Similar to the proof of Proposition 6.4 let us introduce the variables $\alpha = e^{i\mathfrak{e}}$ and $\omega' = e^{i\mathfrak{e}}\Phi(\mathfrak{e}, \lambda, \omega)$. Then $(\alpha, \lambda)$ gives a coordinate on the small open neighborhood $O \subset S^2 \times S^2$ of $S_-$ and $(\alpha, \lambda, \omega')$ gives a local coordinate on $\tilde{Z}_+|_O$. The map $\mathfrak{f} : Z_+ \to Z$ defined in (7.6) is written as

\begin{equation}
(\alpha, \lambda, \omega') \mapsto e^{2H_+ + H_0 \omega'} : e^{2H_+ + H_0 \omega'}\eta_1 : \eta_2^{-1} : 1,
\end{equation}

where $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$ are given by (6.33). Since the function $H(t, \lambda; e^{i\mathfrak{e}}) = h(\eta_1(t, \lambda; e^{i\mathfrak{e}}))$ extends to a smooth function on $(\alpha, \lambda, \omega') \in \tilde{Z}_+|_O$, its Fourier coefficient $H_k$ extends to a smooth function on $(\alpha, \lambda) \in O$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence (7.14) extends to the
smooth map $\hat{f} : \hat{Z}_+ \mid_0 \to \mathbb{CP}^3$. By a similar argument for $S_+$, we obtain the smooth map $f : \hat{Z}_+ \to \mathbb{CP}^3$ as an extension of $\hat{f}$. So we get the double fibration

$$
(7.15) \quad \xymatrix{ S^2 \times S^2 \ar[r]^-{\hat{f}} & (\hat{Z}_+, \hat{Z}_R) \ar[r]^-{f} & (\mathbb{CP}^3, P_h). }
$$

Let us define the holomorphic disks $\{D_\xi\}_{\xi \in S^2 \times S^2}$ by $D_\xi := \hat{f}(\hat{f}^{-1}(\xi))$. Of course, this notation agrees with the previous notation of $D_\xi$ for $\xi \in M$. Since we have

$$
H_+(\alpha, \lambda; \omega')|_{\alpha=0} = \lim_{t \to -\infty} H_+(t, \lambda, \omega) = 0,
$$

$$
H_0(\alpha, \lambda; \omega')|_{\alpha=0} = \lim_{t \to -\infty} H_0(t, \lambda, \omega) = h(-\lambda^{-1}),
$$

the disk $D_{(\varepsilon, y)}$ is given by the map

$$
\omega' \mapsto \left[ e^{h(-\lambda^{-1})} \omega' : -e^{h(-\lambda^{-1})} \omega' \lambda^{-1} : -\lambda : 1 \right].
$$

Similarly, we can check that $D_{(\varepsilon, y)}$ is given by the map

$$
\omega' \mapsto \left[ e^{h(\lambda)} \omega' : e^{h(\lambda)} \lambda : \omega' : \omega' \right].
$$

The family of holomorphic disks $\{D_\xi\}$ on $(\mathbb{CP}^3, P_h)$ has the following properties.

**Proposition 7.7.** The family $\{D_\xi\}_{\xi \in S^2 \times S^2}$ satisfies the following conditions:

1. $\xi \in M$ if and only if $D_\xi \subset Z$,
2. for each disk $D_\xi$, the class $[D_\xi] \in H_2(\mathbb{CP}^3, P; \mathbb{Z})$ gives a generator, and
3. $\{D_\xi\}_{\xi \in S^2 \times S^2}$ foliates $\mathbb{CP}^3 \mid P_h$, where $D_\xi$ is the interior of $D_\xi$.

**Proof.** Statement (1) is easily deduced by the above descriptions of $D_\xi$. To check (2), it is enough to check the case $\xi = (\pm \varepsilon, y) \in S_+$ since all the disks of $\{D_\xi\}$ are homotopic in $(\mathbb{CP}^3, P_h)$ to each other, and this is obvious by the above descriptions of $D_{(\pm \varepsilon, y)}$.

To prove (3), we show

1. the family $\{D_\xi\}_{\xi \in M}$ foliates $Z^0 := \pi^{-1}(W \setminus W_R)$, and
2. the family $\{D_\xi\}_{\xi \in (S_+ \cup S_-)}$ foliates $(\mathbb{CP}^3 \setminus Z^0) \mid P_h$.

Here (2) is obviously deduced by the descriptions of $D_{(\pm \varepsilon, y)}$ since

$$
(\mathbb{CP}^3 \setminus Z^0) \mid P_h = \left\{ \left. ce^{h(\eta)} : ce^{h(\eta)} \eta : \bar{\eta} : 1 \right| c \in \mathbb{CP}^1, |c| \neq 1 \right\}.
$$

To check (1), it is enough to show that $f : (Z_+ \setminus Z_R) \to Z^0$ is bijective. For this, we only need to show that the restriction $f^{-1}(\pi^{-1}(\eta_1, \eta_2)) \mid \pi^{-1}(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ is bijective for each point $(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in W \setminus W_R$. We put $C:= f^{-1}(\pi^{-1}(\eta_1, \eta_2)) \subset Z_+$ and $\hat{C} := f^{-1}(\eta_1, \eta_2) \subset W_+$. The set $\hat{C}$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$. (In fact this is a canonical lift of the time-like geodesic $C_{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}$. See [24].) The map $\Pi_{\hat{C}} : C \to \hat{C}$ is an $S^1$-bundle with fiber coordinate $s \in S^1$. Notice that $C$ is a complex submanifold of $Z_+ \setminus Z_R$ since $f$ and $\pi$ are holomorphic.

Now we suppose $\eta_1 \neq \infty$ and $\eta_2 \neq 0$. First we check that $f\mid_C : C \to \pi^{-1}(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ is injective. In this case we can take a coordinate $C^* \cong \pi^{-1}(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ by $\mu \mapsto [\mu : \mu \eta_1 : \eta_2^{-1} : 1]$. Then $f\mid_C$ is written as $F : (s, t, \lambda, \omega) \mapsto e^{2H_t+H_0+i\phi}$ by (7.6). Notice that $\partial_s F = iF$. If we denote the complex structure on $C$ by $J$, then $(\partial_s + iJ(\partial_s))F = 0$.
since \((\partial_s + iJ(\partial_s))\) is a \((0,1)\)-vector field. Hence \(J(\partial_s)F = -F\). Here by \(\eta_1 \neq \infty\) and \(\eta_2 \neq 0\), we obtain \(F \neq 0, \infty\). So \(J(\partial_s)F = -F\) means that any two fiber-circles of \(C \rightarrow \tilde{C}\) are mapped by \(f\) to different \(U(1)\)-orbits in \(\pi^{-1}(\eta_1, \eta_2) \cong \mathbb{C}^*\). Hence \(F\) is injective.

Next we check the surjectivity of \(F\). For this, it is enough to show that \(\lim_{t \to \infty} F = 0\) and \(\lim_{t \to -\infty} F = \infty\). As explained in the last part of Section 6, \(\mathcal{C}(\eta_1, \eta_2) = p(f^{-1}(\eta_1, \eta_2))\) is a time-like geodesic connecting \(\chi_+(\eta_1)\) and \(\chi_-(\eta_2)\) in the compactification \(\tilde{S}_1^3\). Recall that \(\varpi : M \rightarrow S^3_1\) is naturally compactified to the quotient map \(\hat{\varpi} : S^2 \times S^2 \rightarrow \tilde{S}^3_1\). So the set \(\varpi^{-1}(\mathcal{C}(\eta_1, \eta_2)) \cong \mathbb{R} \times S^1\) is compactified to \(\varpi^{-1}(\mathcal{C}(\eta_1, \eta_2)) \subset S^2 \times S^2\) with two extra points \((+\varepsilon, \eta_1)\) and \((-\varepsilon, \eta_2)\). Notice that \(\mathcal{C}\) is homeomorphically mapped onto \(\varpi^{-1}(\mathcal{C}(\eta_1, \eta_2))\) by \(p\). For any path \(\gamma(t)\) in \(C\) with parameter \(t \in [0, \infty)\) such that \(\lim_{t \to -\infty} p(\gamma(t)) = (+\varepsilon, \eta_1)\), we obtain that \(\lim_{t \to -\infty} f(\gamma(t))\) is, if it exists, contained in the disk \(D_{(+\varepsilon, \eta_1)}\). On the other hand, \(\lim_{t \to -\infty} f(\gamma(t))\) is, if it exists, contained in the closure \(\pi^{-1}(\eta_1, \eta_2)\). Since the intersection \(\pi^{-1}(\eta_1, \eta_2) \cap D_{(+\varepsilon, \eta_1)}\) is one point \(z = [1 : \eta_1 : 0 : 0]\), the limit \(\lim_{t \to -\infty} f(\gamma(t))\) actually exists independently with the path \(\gamma(t)\) and the limit is above \(z\). Hence we obtain \(\lim_{t \to -\infty} F = \infty\). Similarly we can check that \(\lim_{t \to \infty} F = 0\).

In this way, we have proved that \(f|_E\) is bijective if \(\eta_1 \neq \infty\) and \(\eta_2 \neq 0\). In the case \(\eta_1 = \infty\) or \(\eta_2 = 0\), we can check the bijectivity of \(f|_C\) similarly by taking a suitable coordinate \(\mathbb{C}^* \cong \pi^{-1}(\eta_1, \eta_2)\). Thus statement (3) is proved. \(\square\)

Zollfrei condition. On the double fibration (7.15), we set

\[ \mathfrak{G}_z := \tilde{p}(\hat{f}^{-1}(z)) = \{ \xi \in S^2 \times S^2 \mid z \in \mathcal{D}_\xi \} \]

for each point \(z \in P_h\).

Proposition 7.8. The set \(\mathfrak{G}_z\) is a smoothly embedded \(S^2 \subset S^2 \times S^2\) for each point \(z \in P_h\).

Proof. We consider the null surface \(\Sigma_{\pi(z)} := p(f^{-1}(\pi(z)))\) on \(S^3_1\). By definition, for each \((t, \lambda) \in \Sigma_{\pi(z)}\) we have \(\pi(z) \in \partial \mathcal{D}_{(t, \lambda)}\). Recall that \(\{ \mathcal{D}_{(s, t, \lambda)} \}_{s \in S^1}\) gives the family of disks satisfying \(\pi(\mathcal{D}_{(s, t, \lambda)}) = \mathcal{D}_{(t, \lambda)}\). Notice that for each \((t, \lambda) \in \Sigma_{\pi(z)}\) there is a unique \(s = s(t, \lambda) \in S^1\) such that \(z \in \partial \mathcal{D}_{(s, t, \lambda)}\). Actually if we write \(z = [c_{\eta_1} : b_{\eta_1} : \eta : \tilde{\eta}]\) using \(\eta \in \mathbb{CP}^1\) and \(c \in U(1)\), then such an \(s \in S^1\) is characterized by the equation \(e^{2H(t) + H_0 + is} \Phi = c e^{b(\eta)}\), so \(s\) is unique, and \(s = s(t, \lambda)\) is smooth. Hence we obtain a smooth section \(\Sigma_{\pi(z)} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} : (t, \lambda) \rightarrow (s(t, \lambda), t, \lambda)\) of which the image is \(\mathfrak{G}_z \cap \mathcal{M}\). Hence \(\mathfrak{G}_z \cap \mathcal{M}\) is diffeomorphic to \(\Sigma_{\pi(z)} \cong \mathbb{R} \times S^1\).

Now we notice the disks \(\{ \mathcal{D}_s \}_{s \in (S_1 \cup \mathbb{R}_-)}\). Obviously, there are just two disks in this family satisfying \(z \in \partial \mathcal{D}_\xi\). Hence \(\mathfrak{G}_z\) is the natural compactification of \(\mathbb{R} \times S^1\) with two extra points, so \(\mathfrak{G}_z\) is homeomorphic to \(S^2\).

Finally we check the smoothness of \(\mathfrak{G}_z\). Let us put \(\mathfrak{G}_z := \hat{f}^{-1}(z)\). By a similar argument as above, \(\mathfrak{G}_z \cap Z_R\) is an embedded \(\mathbb{R} \times S^1\) in \(Z_R\), and \(\mathfrak{G}_z\) is the natural compactification of \(\mathfrak{G}_z \cap Z_R\) with two extra points. Recall that \(\mathfrak{G}_z \cap Z_R = \hat{f}^{-1}(z)\) is an integral surface of the distribution \(\mathcal{D}_{|Z_R}\) by Proposition 7.6. Then, the whole of \(\mathfrak{G}_z\) is an integral surface of \(\mathcal{D}\) by continuity. Since the distribution \(\mathcal{D}\) is smooth, \(\mathfrak{G}_z\) is smoothly embedded in \(S^2\). Hence \(\mathfrak{G}_z = p(\mathfrak{G}_z)\) is also smoothly embedded in \(S^2\). \(\square\)
Proposition 7.9. The map \( \hat{f} : (\hat{Z}, \hat{Z}_R) \to (\mathbb{CP}^3, P_h) \) satisfies the following conditions:

1. each fiber of \( \hat{f} : \hat{Z}_R \to P_h \) is an integral surface of the distribution \( D \),
2. \( \hat{f} : (\hat{Z} - \hat{Z}_R) \to (\mathbb{CP}^3 \setminus P_h) \) is biholomorphic.

Proof. We already showed statement (1) in the proof of Proposition 7.8. The holomorphicity of \( \hat{f} : (\hat{Z} - \hat{Z}_R) \to (\mathbb{CP}^3 \setminus P_h) \) is deduced from (1) and the fiberwise holomorphicity of \( \hat{f} \). Further, \( \hat{f} : (\hat{Z} - \hat{Z}_R) \to (\mathbb{CP}^3 \setminus P_h) \) is bijective by (3) of Proposition 7.7; hence (2) follows.

\( \square \)

Corollary 7.10. Let \((V, A)\) be an admissible monopole. Then the anti-self-dual metric on \( S^2 \times S^2 \) induced by \((V, A)\) is Zollfrei.

Proof. As proved in [19] (Theorem 5.14), an anti-self-dual 4-manifold \((S^2 \times S^2, [g])\) is Zollfrei if and only if every \( \alpha \)-surface is an embedded \( S^2 \subset S^2 \times S^2 \). (Here we are taking the opposite orientation to [19].) In our situation, every \( \alpha \)-surface is given as the image of an integral surface of \( D \) by \( p : \hat{Z}_R \to S^2 \times S^2 \). Hence every \( \alpha \)-surface is written as \( S_z = \hat{p}(\hat{f}^{-1}(z)) \) for some \( z \in P_h \) by Proposition 7.9. Since \( S_z \) is an embedded \( S^2 \subset S^2 \times S^2 \) by Proposition 7.8, the statement follows.

\( \square \)

The proof of the main theorem (Theorem 7.1) is already finished. Actually, the Zollfrei condition of the considered metric is proved in Corollary 7.10 and Propositions 7.7 and 7.9 mean that the pair \((\mathbb{CP}^3, P_h)\) is the very LeBrun-Mason twistor space.

8. Concluding remarks

Regularity. In this article, we assumed the smoothness of functions, embeddings, and so on. In the previous articles [18 24], however, we can construct the twistor correspondences of low regularities. Similar to these previous works, the argument in this article should be strengthened to that of low regularities. Actually the integral transforms \( R \) and \( Q \) are defined even for non-differentiable functions, and hyperbolic partial differential equations admit solutions of low regularities or distribution solutions in general. Thus the notion of a self-dual Zollfrei metric might be generalized to, for example, a non-differentiable class. In fact, infinitely many examples of ‘self-dual Zollfrei metrics with singularity’ are already obtained in [22].

Degeneration. We introduced the notion of admissible monopoles in Section 4 and showed that the corresponding admissible deformation \( \mathbb{R}P^3 \) in \( \mathbb{CP}^3 \) has nice properties and the LeBrun-Mason correspondence works well (Theorem 7.1). On the other hand, in the non-admissible case, the deformation of \( \mathbb{R}P^3 \) in \( \mathbb{CP}^3 \) has an unexpected property (Proposition 7.3). Even in the non-admissible case, however, we can get the family of holomorphic disks parametrized by \( S^2 \times S^2 \) (Proposition 7.2). Then the natural question is:

- Is there any natural structure on the parameter space of the holomorphic disks for the non-admissible case?

In particular, it would be interesting to study the process of the degeneration which occurs in the deformation from an admissible case to a non-admissible case.

Deformation of \( S^2 \). The argument in this article is based on the identification between the de Sitter space \( S^2 \) and the space of oriented small circles on the two-sphere \( S^2 \), which arises from the LeBrun-Mason correspondence for Einstein-Weyl
structures [20, 24]. By the result in [20, 24], if we deform the twistor space from $(W, W_{\mathbb{R}}) = (\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \mathbb{CP}^1)$ to $(W, P)$, we obtain an Einstein-Weyl structure on $\mathbb{R} \times S^2$ of indefinite signature. In this construction, $\mathbb{R} \times S^2$ is identified with the space of oriented circles embedded in $P \simeq \mathbb{CP}^1$. So it is natural to expect the generalization of our story to such deformed situations. If it is successful, we will obtain various significant objects: general solutions of the wave equations on $\mathbb{R} \times S^2$, descriptions of more general self-dual Zollfrei metrics, its LeBrun-Mason twistor spaces, and so on.

Appendix A. The bijectivity of $Q$

We give a proof of the bijectivity of the transform $Q : C^\infty_{\text{odd}}(S^2) \to C^\infty_{\text{odd}}(S^2)$ by a method similar to Guillemin’s [7]. Let $\mathcal{H}^k$ be the space of homogeneous harmonic polynomials of degree $k$ on $\mathbb{R}^3$ and let $\mathcal{H}^k = \{ P_{|S^2} \in L^2(S^2) \mid P \in \mathcal{H} \}$. We notice the following fact.

**Theorem A.1.** The group $\text{SO}(3)$ acts irreducibly on $\mathcal{H}^k$ and the representations on $\mathcal{H}^k$ and $\mathcal{H}^l$ are inequivalent if $k \neq l$. Moreover, we have the decomposition

$$L^2(S^2) \cong \bigoplus_k \mathcal{H}^k$$

as a direct sum of Hilbert spaces.

Since $Q$ maps $L^2(S^2)$ to itself and commutes with the $\text{SO}(3)$-action, so $Q$ is diagonalized with respect to the decomposition (A.1). Let us denote the eigenvalues of $Q$ on $\mathcal{H}^k$ by $c(k) \in \mathbb{R}$, that is,

$$Qh = c(k) \cdot h \quad \text{for } h \in \mathcal{H}^k.$$  

**Proposition A.2.**

$$c(k) = \begin{cases} 
1 & k = 0, \\
0 & k = 2m \quad (m = 1, 2, \ldots), \\
(-1)^m \frac{4\pi}{2m+1} \cdot \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot \ldots \cdot (2m+1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot \ldots \cdot (2m+2)} & k = 2m+1 \quad (m = 0, 1, \ldots). 
\end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Since $Q(1) = 1$ by definition, we obtain $c(0) = 1$. On the other hand, since $C^\infty_{\text{even}}(S^2)$ is annihilated by $Q$, we obtain $c(2m) = 0$ for $m > 0$.

Suppose $k = 2m + 1$. Let us choose a harmonic polynomial $P(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{H}^k$ so that it does not depend on the $z$ variable. Then $P$ is written as

$$P(x, y, z) = a_{2m+1}x^{2m+1} + a_{2m}x^{2m}y + \ldots + a_0y^{2m+1}.$$  

Since $P$ is harmonic, the equation $(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2)P = 0$ holds. Hence we obtain

$$a_{2j+1} = -\frac{(2m - 2j + 2)(2m - 2j + 1)}{(2j + 1) \cdot 2j} a_{2j-1} \quad (j = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$$

(A.2) or

$$a_{2m+1} = (-1)^m \frac{2m \cdot (2m - 1) \cdot \ldots \cdot 1}{(2m + 1) \cdot 2m \cdot \ldots \cdot 2} a_1 = (1)^m \frac{a_1}{2m+1}.$$  

(A.3)

Now we have $(QP)(1, 0, 0) = c(2m + 1)P(1, 0, 0) = c(2m + 1)a_{2m+1}$. On the other hand, by definition,

$$(QP)(1, 0, 0) = \int_\Omega P(x, y)\omega_{S^2}, \quad \text{where } \Omega = \{(x, y, z) \in S^2 \mid x > 0\}.$$
Let us use the coordinate \((\theta, \varphi)\) so that
\[
(x, y, z) = (\sin \theta \cos \varphi, \sin \theta \sin \varphi, \cos \theta), \quad \Omega = \left\{ 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi, -\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \varphi \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \right\}.
\]
Then, by \(\omega_2 = \sin \theta \, d\theta d\varphi\),
\[
(QP)(1, 0, 0) = \int_{\Omega} P(\sin \theta \cos \varphi, \sin \theta \sin \varphi) \sin \theta \, d\theta d\varphi
= \sum_{l=0}^{2m+1} a_l \left( \int_0^\pi (\sin \theta)^{2m+2} \, d\theta \right) \left( \int_{-\pi}^\pi (\cos \varphi)^l (\sin \varphi)^{2m-l+1} \, d\varphi \right)
= 2 \left( \int_0^\pi (\sin \theta)^{2m+2} \, d\theta \right) \sum_{j=0}^m a_{2j+1} \left( \int_0^\pi (\cos \varphi)^{2j+1} (\sin \varphi)^{2m-2j} \, d\varphi \right).
\]
By a usual trick, which is also explained in [7], we obtain
\[
\int_0^\pi (\sin \theta)^{2m+2} \, d\theta = 2\pi \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2m+1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdots (2m+2)}.
\]
On the other hand, if we put
\[
B(j) = \int_0^\pi (\cos \varphi)^{2j+1} (\sin \varphi)^{2m-2j} \, d\varphi,
\]
then for \(j > 0\),
\[
B(j) = \left[ (\cos \varphi)^{2j} (\sin \varphi)^{2m-2j+1} \right]_0^\pi - \int_0^\pi \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} ((\cos \varphi)^{2j}) \cdot (\sin \varphi)^{2m-2j+1} \, d\varphi
= \frac{2j}{2m-2j+1} B(j-1).
\]
Hence, combining with (A.2), we obtain
\[
a_{2j+1} B(j) = \frac{2m-2j+2}{2j+1} a_{2j-1} B(j-1)
\]
(A.6) or
\[
a_{2j+1} B(j) = \frac{2m-2j}{2m+1} a_{2j+1} B(j) - \frac{2m-2j-2}{2m+1} a_{2j-1} B(j-1).
\]
If we take a sum of (A.6) for \(j = 1, 2, \cdots, m\), then we obtain
\[
\sum_{j=1}^m a_{2j+1} B(j) = -\frac{2m}{2m+1} a_1 B(0).
\]
Thus
\[
\sum_{j=0}^m a_{2j+1} B(j) = \frac{1}{2m+1} a_1 B(0) = \frac{(-1)^m}{2m+1} a_{2m+1}.
\]
(A.7)
By (A.4) and (A.7),
\[
(QP)(1, 0, 0) = c(2m+1)a_{2m+1} = (-1)^m \frac{4\pi}{2m+1} \cdot \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2m+1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdots (2m+2)} a_{2m+1}.
\]
Since \(a_{2m+1} \neq 0\), we obtain the required formula. \(\square\)
We denote the degree $s$ Sobolev space over $\mathbb{S}^2$ by $H^s$, and put $H^s_{\text{odd}} := H^s \cap L^2_{\text{odd}}(\mathbb{S}^2)$. Let us define a norm on $H^s$ by
\[ |h|_s = k^s|h|_{L^2} \quad \text{for} \quad h \in \mathfrak{h}^k. \]
Then, as explained in [7], this norm is equivalent to the usual $H^s$ norm.

**Proposition A.3.** There exists a constant $c > 1$ independent of $s$ such that
\[ \frac{1}{c} |h|_s \leq |Qh|_{s+\frac{2}{3}} \leq c |h|_s \]
for all $s$ and $h \in H^s_{\text{odd}}$. Hence $Q$ defines a bijection $H^s_{\text{odd}} \to H^{s+\frac{2}{3}}_{\text{odd}}$.

**Proof.** Similarly to [7], we consider the formula
\[ \pi^\frac{1}{2} = \lim_{k \to \infty} k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdots 2m}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2m-1)}. \]
By Proposition A.2, we get
\[ c(2m-1) \sim (-1)^k 2\pi^\frac{1}{2} k^{-\frac{3}{2}}. \]
So the statement follows. \(\square\)

By Proposition A.3 and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we obtain the following.

**Theorem A.4.** The transform $Q : C^\infty_{\text{odd}}(\mathbb{S}^2) \to C^\infty_{\text{odd}}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ is bijective.

**Appendix B. Formulas on $S^3_1$**

In Section B, equation (6.3), we introduced a local orthonormal frame $\{E^1, E^2, E^3\}$ of the tangent bundle $TS^3_1$ on the open set $U = \{(t, \lambda) \mid \lambda \neq \infty\} \subset S^3_1$. Here we show several formulas concerning this frame. All these formulas are deduced by direct calculations.

The connection form $\omega$ of the Levi-Civita connection for $g_{S^3_1}$ and its curvature form $K$ are
\[
\omega = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \omega^1_2 & \omega^1_3 \\
\omega^2_1 & 0 & \omega^2_3 \\
\omega^3_1 & \omega^3_2 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \omega^1_2 = \tanh t \ E^2, \quad \omega^1_3 = \tanh t \ E^3,
\]
\[
\omega^2_3 = \frac{1}{\cosh t} \left(- \Im \lambda \ E^2 + \Re \lambda \ E^3\right), \quad \omega^3_1 = \frac{1}{\cosh t} \left(- \Im \lambda \ E^3 + \Re \lambda \ E^2\right).
\]
(B.1)  

\[
K = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & E^1 \wedge E^2 & E^1 \wedge E^3 \\
E^1 \wedge E^2 & 0 & E^2 \wedge E^3 \\
E^1 \wedge E^3 & E^2 \wedge E^3 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
(B.2)

Let $\mathbf{m}_1(\zeta)$ and $\mathbf{m}_2(\zeta)$ be the vector fields on $U$ defined by (5.3). By the lifting formula (5.3), the tautological lifts $\mathbf{m}_1$ and $\mathbf{m}_2$ on $\mathcal{W}_R$ (or on $\mathcal{W}_+$) are written as
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{m}_1 &= \mathbf{m}_1 + \gamma_1 \partial_t, \quad \gamma_1 = \Psi \cdot (- \Im \lambda + \zeta \Re \lambda - \zeta \sinh t), \\
\mathbf{m}_2 &= \mathbf{m}_2 + \gamma_2 \partial_t, \quad \gamma_2 = \Psi \cdot (- \zeta \Im \lambda - \Re \lambda - \sinh t),
\end{align*}
\]
(B.3)

If we change the fiber coordinate by $\zeta = \frac{1 + \zeta^2}{2 \cosh^2 t}$, we obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
(1 + \omega)\mathbf{m}_1 &= l_1 + \omega \tilde{l}_1 + (\delta_1 - \omega \delta_1) \omega \partial_\omega, \\
(1 + \omega)\mathbf{m}_2 &= l_2 + \omega \tilde{l}_2 + (\delta_2 - \omega \delta_2) \omega \partial_\omega,
\end{align*}
\]
(B.4)
WAVE EQUATIONS AND THE LEBRUN-MASON CORRESPONDENCE

where

\[
\begin{aligned}
\ell_1 &= -E_1 + E_2 + iE_3, \\
\delta_1 &= -\frac{\lambda}{\cosh t} + \tanh t, \\
\ell_2 &= iE_1 + iE_2 - E_3, \\
\delta_2 &= -\frac{i\lambda}{\cosh t} - i\tanh t.
\end{aligned}
\]
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