Bibliometric Analysis of Orthopedic Literature on Total Knee Arthroplasty in Asian Countries: A 10-year Analysis
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Purpose: We aimed to determine the quantity and quality of research output of selected Asian countries in the field of total knee arthroplasty (TKA) in the last 10 years.

Materials and Methods: Top 15 Asian countries were selected according to their gross domestic product. The Science Citation Index Expanded database was used to search for the literature published between 2004 and 2013 using ‘‘Total Knee Arthroplasty’’. The numbers of articles, journals and citations and the contribution of each country were analyzed. The articles were classified according to the type of study and the relative proportion of each type was analyzed.

Results: Asian surgeons have increasingly contributed to orthopedic literature on TKA for the past 10 years, but the dominant contribution came from only a few countries. The total number of articles published by Asian countries increased by 261%, with Japan producing most of the studies and China showing the maximum growth rate. The majority of studies were published in low impact factor journals. Korea published the highest proportion of articles in high impact factor journals. Clinical papers were most frequent.

Conclusions: Our identification of research productivity pertaining to TKA among Asian countries gives a unique insight into the level of academic research in the field of TKA in these countries. There is a need to improve the quality of research to enhance the publishing power in high impact journals as well as the need for more basic research and epidemiological studies considering the unique differences among Asian patients undergoing TKA.
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Introduction

Asia, being the largest continent in the world, is also home to the largest proportion of world population. Life expectancy is on the rise not only in the developed nations but also in many de-

devloping Asian countries. This places a huge burden of degenerative disorders on the healthcare system in those countries. Additionally, the activity levels of the elderly are also increasing. Thus, the importance of management of morbidity of knee osteoarthritis in terms of restricted mobility cannot be overemphasized. Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) has revolutionized the treatment of arthritis of the knee. It addresses the issues of pain and mobility impairment in patients suffering from arthritis. The number of TKA performed in Asian countries has been steadily increasing over the past decade.

However, Asian patients differ from their western counterparts in many aspects related to TKA including expectations, economic variables, knee anatomy, outcomes and satisfaction. Also, Asian patients tend to place higher demand on their replaced knees in the form of high flexion ground level activities. Western literature pertaining to TKA may not be representative of the
Asian population, and thus the need for Asia specific literature that identifies and addresses these issues should be satisfied. Although studies pertaining to TKA in Asian population have been published, the exact quantification has not been performed.

Annually published orthopedic articles have increased from 2,889 in 2000 to 6,909 in 2009, with an average increase of 10.2% per year\(^9\). Similarly, there has been an increase in the number and proportion of high quality studies in orthopedic literature during this period\(^10\). It parallels the shift in strategy from eminence-based to evidence-based medicine. However, there is a wide variation in research output among nations. Global and regional bibliometric analyses of English language journals have been done in various areas of research\(^11-14\). Many previous bibliometric analyses have looked at the overall orthopedic literature, regardless of research fields\(^8,15-21\). To the best of our knowledge, no study has looked specifically into the contribution of Asian countries to the field of TKA.

We undertook this study to determine the temporal pattern of quantity and quality of research pertaining to TKA from Asian countries with respect to the total number of publications and citations, with country-specific contribution. Using the impact factors of the journals they were published in, the distribution of research quality was determined. We also aimed to document the type of published research papers. We hypothesized that Asian surgeons have increasingly contributed to orthopedic literature pertaining to TKA for the past 10 years but only a few countries have made dominant contribution, and the majority of publications were made in low impact factor journals. It was also hypothesized that clinical papers were most frequent but basic research was limited in number.

Materials and Methods

Institutional Review Board approval was waived because this study did not involve human subjects.

1. Subjects and Study Design

To analyze research volume and productivity of Asian countries in the field of TKA in the last 10 years, 1,780 articles in 173 journals were reviewed. Among those, 963 articles in 122 journals were excluded for the following reasons: different themes from TKA (782 articles in 89 journals); articles by non-orthopedic surgeons (142 articles in 22 journals); and articles using data belonging to western institutions (39 articles in 11 journals). Ultimately, 817 articles in 51 journals were included in this study.

2. Data Collection

We used the Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE) database accessed through Web of Science (Thomson Reuters, Philadelphia, PA, USA) for this study. A computerized literature search was carried out using the database on December 31th, 2013. Top 15 countries in Asia were selected based on their gross domestic product (GDP) in 2012\(^22\). The selected countries were as follows: China, Japan, India, Korea, Indonesia, Turkey, Taiwan, Saudi Arabia, Iran, Thailand, United Arab Emirates, Malaysia, Singapore, Hong Kong, and Philippines. We used the following search terms: “Total Knee Arthroplasty” as topic, “Name of Country” as address, and “2004–2013” as publication year. TKA was used as a topic rather than title because some articles have TKA in their title which would otherwise be missed during the search. Thus, we tried to access all the articles related to primary TKA and revision TKA. We defined the country of origin as the country of the corresponding author who is primarily responsible for the study project and handles the pre and post publication communication, if any. As the SCIE database indexes addresses of all authors of a particular study, we manually classified the articles based on the country of origin of the corresponding author and assigned each article only to one country.

Only original articles, case reports, and review articles were included in this study. This was because only original articles and case reports are considered as source items and are most frequently cited. Letter to editor, editorial materials, proceedings paper, meeting abstracts, and notes were excluded. To filter research published by orthopedic surgeons, we selected journals in the fields of orthopedics, surgery, and sports sciences. This excluded studies published in non-surgical journals. The yielded results were manually checked for the abstract by two authors independently. Fifty randomly selected articles were reviewed by these authors to determine the accuracy of their interpretation and detect any inter-observer differences with regard to the title, abstract, study design, study type, address of corresponding author and the publication journal. The search results of these authors were analyzed for agreement at the end of search process. The selected studies were marked and their citations were generated using the SCIE function of citation analysis. We calculated the average citation per article from each country by dividing the number of citations during the ten year period by the number of articles published in a country during that period. The number of studies and citations were then converted into an Excel spreadsheet (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA, USA). To compare the proportion of Asian literature to the total world-wide literature on TKA during the same period, we searched the total number of studies.
on TKA using the same search parameters without specifying the country. The results that were extracted were checked for the title and abstract, although they were not classified according to the author’s address or type of study.

To analyze the trends in publication, we divided the 10-year study period into two equal parts of 5 years each: 2004–2008 (first period) and 2009–2013 (second period). The impact factors of each orthopedic journal indexed in SCIE were retrieved from the Web of Knowledge-Journal Citation Reports (JCR, Thomson Reuters). We divided the journals into high and low impact factor journals based on an arbitrary value of 2.5 (5-year impact factors based on the Thomson Reuters JCR database were obtained from JCR 2012). Journals having an impact factor above this value were termed as high impact journals (10 journals: Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases, Osteoarthritis and Cartilage, Rheumatology, Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Journal of Orthopaedic Research, Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research, Acta Orthopaedica, Bone and Joint Journal, Knee Surgery Sports Traumatology Arthroscopy, and Clinical and Experimental Rheumatology) and journals with an impact factor below this value were termed as low impact journals. We further analyzed the type of study published during this period from the selected Asian countries.

Studies were classified as clinical research, basic research, and epidemiological research by consensus of the two authors. Clinical research included therapeutic, prognostic, and diagnostic articles and outcome studies. Basic research included anatomical and biomechanical studies, animal experiments, cell studies, and biochemical and physiological investigations. Articles describing population-based studies were classified as epidemiological research.

3. Statistical Method

All statistical analyses were conducted with SPSS ver. 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and p-values < 0.05 were considered significant. For comparison between the two time periods, the proportion of publications based on the impact factor and the proportion of Asian studies to global studies were cross-tabulated. The statistical significance was determined using Chi-square test.

Results

1. Research Productivity

The number of articles on TKA published in the selected Asian countries increased from 36 articles in 12 journals in 2004 to 130 articles in 18 journals in 2013 (Table 1). Thus, there was an increase of 261%, an average of 26% per year. The highest growth in the number of articles was recorded in 2011. This increase in the number of articles published was consistent except in 2006, 2010, and 2013 (Fig. 1).

2. Temporal Trends in Research Production

With regard to the 5-year trends, the articles published in 2004–2008 were 250 and more than doubled to 567 in 2009–2013 (Table 2). Between the two 5-year periods, China showed the maximum growth rate in the number of articles published at 843%, followed by Thailand (650%), India (267%) and Singapore (186%); while Hong Kong, Saudi Arabia, and Philippines showed a decrease in published literature between the two periods. In comparison to the world literature on TKA during this period, Asian countries contributed 250 (18%) of the total 1,316 articles published during 2004–2008 and 567 (30%) of the total 1,885 articles during 2009–2013. Thus, Asian countries had a significant growth of contribu-

| Year | No. of articles |
|------|----------------|
| 2004 | 36             |
| 2005 | 50             |
| 2006 | 37             |
| 2007 | 58             |
| 2008 | 69             |
| 2009 | 98             |
| 2010 | 76             |
| 2011 | 125            |
| 2012 | 138            |
| 2013 | 130            |

Fig. 1. Temporal pattern of research output related to total knee arthroplasty from Asia between 2004 and 2013.
tion to the literature on TKA during this period (p<0.001).

3. Research Quality

On the analysis of citation, Malaysia had the highest average citation per article (18.5) followed by Hong Kong (11.53) and Singapore (9.44) (Table 3). However, Korea had the highest average citation among the top three publishing countries. Most of the Asian studies pertaining to TKA were published in sub-specialty specific journal followed by knee joint specific journal (Table 4). Korea published the highest proportion of papers in high impact journals (impact factor>2.5) during both time periods (Table 5). Only India, Turkey, and Thailand showed a decrease in the proportion of studies published in high impact journals between the two periods; however, the overall percentage of studies published
in high impact journals did not differ significantly between the two periods (p=0.86).

4. Type of Study

On the analysis of the type of studies, the majority of the studies were clinical research (84%) followed by basic science (15%). Epidemiological studies constituted the least proportion (1%).

Discussion

Bibliometrics is a set of methods to quantitatively analyze academic literature\(^{18,24}\). Citation analysis and content analysis are commonly used bibliometric parameters. It can be a yardstick to assess the academic achievements of a country, institutions as well as individuals and can be used as a measure for their appraisal\(^{25}\). Research dedicated to specific disease conditions in a certain geographical area can help greatly to understand the demographics, disease dynamics, and treatment options within that particular area.

The results of the present study must be viewed in the light of the following limitations. Firstly, we did not include all the Asian countries in the study. We selected certain countries according to their GDP in 2012; therefore, publications of other Asian countries excluded due to GDP were not accounted for in the present study. Secondly, we specified the fields of research as orthopedics, surgery, and sports sciences. Thus, studies published in multi-disciplinary medical journals were not included in our analysis. Lastly, we divided the journals based on their impact factors released by JCR. However, Thomson Reuters states that the primary utility of the JCR is to assist librarians and researchers in selecting and managing journal collections. It acknowledges that the use of impact factor has been extended to evaluating academic work; however, it states that whilst the impact factor may give an approximation of the prestige of a journal, it should not be used in isolation\(^{26}\). Although, the journal impact factor is commonly used in bibliometric analysis, its use for this purpose has been criticized by some authors\(^{27,28}\).

The literature on TKA from Asian countries increased almost fourfold in the last 10 years. Previously published bibliometric analyses have shown similar results\(^{8,11}\). Similarly, the number of published journals also increased by 50% in the same period. This may be attributed not only to the wider reach of Asian articles but also to the emergence of domestic journals among the Asian countries\(^{29}\). The number of articles published each year has been increasing steadily except in 2006, 2010, and 2013 in which there was a decrease in the number of articles published.

Japan, Korea, and China ranked first three in the absolute number of articles published during this period. All the selected Asian countries except Hong Kong, Malaysia, Saudi Arabia, and Philippines showed an increase in the number of articles published in the two study periods. However, China showed the maximum growth rate among all the countries. Similar results have been found on analysis of general clinical research in China\(^{30}\). The share of TKA literature of Asian countries increased from 18% in the first period to 30% in the second period. Thus, Asian countries contributed significantly to the world literature on TKA especially during the second period. This is remarkable considering none of the selected Asian countries have English as an official language except Singapore where it is one of the four official languages. The increase in the number of primary and revision TKAs, greater penetration of English language in training, and increased research funding may be responsible for this finding\(^{31}\). An analysis of global orthopedic literature published from 2000 to 2011 found Japan to be the fourth and Korea to be the tenth among all the countries in the world\(^{19}\). Citation analysis continues to be used as a measure of quality of articles as well as journals. Citation of an article implies its acknowledgement by peers and contribution to the scientific knowledge. Malaysia had the highest average citation among Asian countries; however, the total number of articles was only two. In contrast, among the top three publishing countries, Korea had the highest average citation. This coupled with higher proportion of articles published in high impact journals indicates consistently good quality, frequently cited research originating from Korea.

Although Japan leads the Asian countries in terms of the maximum number of articles published, Korea published the maximum proportion of articles in high impact journals during the both study periods. It is possible that some of the Japanese studies were published in domestic journals classified as low impact in the present study. Interestingly, in spite of China showing the maximum growth rate (843%) in the number of articles published, its relative proportion of publications in high impact journals only increased twofold during the second period.

On the analysis of the type of study, the most common type was clinical research, followed by basic research. Interestingly, epidemiological research constituted only 1% of the total research output. Thus, there is a higher need for epidemiological research on TKA from Asian countries especially considering the differences that exist in Asian population.
Conclusions

To conclude, Asian countries have contributed significantly to the TKA literature and its share has been increasing every year; both in terms of quantity and quality of research. However, there is a need to improve the quality of research to enhance the publishing power in high impact journals as well as the need for more basic research and epidemiological studies considering the unique differences among Asian patients undergoing TKA.

Conflict of Interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

References

1. Renton A, Wall M, Lintott J. Economic growth and decline in mortality in developing countries: an analysis of the World Bank development datasets. Public Health. 2012;126:551-60.
2. Fransen M, Bridgett L, March L, Hoy D, Penserga E, Brooks P. The epidemiology of osteoarthritis in Asia. Int J Rheum Dis. 2011;14:113-21.
3. Acree LS, Longfors J, Fjeldstad AS, Fjeldstad C, Schank B, Nickel KJ, Montgomery PS, Gardner AW. Physical activity is related to quality of life in older adults. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2006;4:37.
4. Koh II, Kim TK, Chang CB, Cho HJ, In Y. Trends in use of total knee arthroplasty in Korea from 2001 to 2010. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2013;471:1441-50.
5. Yan CH, Chiu KY, Ng FY. Total knee arthroplasty for primary knee osteoarthritis: changing pattern over the past 10 years. Hong Kong Med J. 2011;17:20-5.
6. Kim TK. Special considerations for TKA in Asian patients: editorial comment. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2013;471:1439-40.
7. Mulholland SJ, Wyss UP. Activities of daily living in non-Western cultures: range of motion requirements for hip and knee joint implants. Int J Rehabil Res. 2001;24:191-8.
8. Akagi M. Deep knee flexion in the Asian population. In: Bellemans J, Ries MD, Victor J, eds. Total knee arthroplasty: a guide to get better performance. Berlin: Springer; 2005. p.311-6.
9. Lee KM, Ryu MS, Chung CY, Choi IH, Kwon DG, Kim TW, Sung KH, Seo SG, Park MS. Characteristics and trends of orthopedic publications between 2000 and 2009. Clin Orthop Surg. 2011;3:225-9.
10. Cunningham BP, Harmsen S, Kweon C, Patterson J, Waldrop R, McLaren A, Mclemore R. Have levels of evidence improved the quality of orthopaedic research? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2013;471:3679-86.
11. Ahn CS, Li RJ, Ahn BS, Kuo P, Bryant J, Day CS. Hand and wrist research productivity in journals with high impact factors: a 20 year analysis. J Hand Surg Eur Vol. 2012;37:275-83.
12. Hu LH, Liao Z, Gao R, Li ZS. Scientific publications in cardiology and cardiovasology journals from Chinese authors in various parts of North Asia: 10-year survey of literature. Int J Cardiol. 2010;140:304-8.
13. Levine A. Trends in the geographic distribution of human embryonic stem-cell research. Politics Life Sci. 2004;23:40-5.
14. Mela GS, Martinoli C, Poggi E, Derchi LE. Radiological research in Europe: a bibliometric study. Eur Radiol. 2003;13:657-62.
15. Holzer LA, Holzer G. Analysis of scientific articles published in two general orthopaedic journals. Acta Ortop Bras. 2013;21:281-4.
16. Hui Z, Yi Z, Peng J. Bibliometric analysis of the orthopedic literature. Orthopedics. 2013;36:e1225-32.
17. Bosker BH, Verheyen CC. The international rank order of publications in major clinical orthopaedic journals from 2000 to 2004. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2006;88:156-8.
18. Kelly JC, Glynn RW, O’Brien DE, Felle P, McCabe JP. The 100 classic papers of orthopaedic surgery: a bibliometric analysis. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2010;92:1338-43.
19. Lefaivre KA, Shadgan B, O’Brien PJ. 100 most cited articles in orthopaedic surgery. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2011;469:1487-97.
20. Rahman M, Sakamoto J, Fukui T. Japan’s share of articles in orthopedics. J Orthop Sci. 2002;7:607-9.
21. Kennedy C, O Sullivan P, Bilal M, Walsh A. Ireland’s contribution to orthopaedic literature: a bibliometric analysis. Surgeon. 2013;11:267-71.
22. World Bank Group. World Development Indicators 2013 [Internet]. Washington, DC: World Bank Group; c2015 [cited 2013 Dec 19]. Available from: http://data.worldbank.org/data-catalog/world-development-indicators.
23. Rohrig B, du Prel JB, Wachtlin D, Blettner M. Types of study in medical research: part 3 of a series on evaluation of scientific publications. Dtsch Arztebl Int. 2009;106:262-8.
24. Similowski T, Derenne JP. Bibliometry of biomedical periodicals. Rev Mal Respir. 1995;12:543-50.
25. Luukkonen T. Bibliometrics and evaluation of research performance. Ann Med. 1990;22:145-50.
26. Thomson Reuters. The Thomson Reuters impact factor [Internet]. Philadelphia, PA: Thomson Reuters; c2015 [cited 2014 Jan 27]. Available from: http://wokinfo.com/essays/impact-factor/#ref.6.

27. Seglen PO. Why the impact factor of journals should not be used for evaluating research. BMJ. 1997;314:498-502.

28. Kurmis AP. Understanding the limitations of the journal impact factor. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2003;85:2449-54.

29. Moverley R, Rankin KS, McNamara I, Davidson DJ, Reed M, Sprowson AP. Impact factors of orthopaedic journals between 2000 and 2010: trends and comparisons with other surgical specialties. Int Orthop. 2013;37:561-7.

30. Hu Y, Huang Y, Ding J, Liu Y, Fan D, Li T, Shou C, Fan J, Wang W, Dong Z, Qin X, Fang W, Ke Y. Status of clinical research in China. Lancet. 2011;377:124-5.

31. Man JP, Weinkauf JG, Tsang M, Sin DD. Why do some countries publish more than others? An international comparison of research funding, English proficiency and publication output in highly ranked general medical journals. Eur J Epidemiol. 2004;19:811-7.