Study and Implementation of a Cost-Effective 3L-Active Rectifier for DC Collection in WECS
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Abstract. Power electronic converters are widely used in wind energy conversion system (WECS) applications. Therefore, with the increasing manufacturing capacity of multi-MW wind generators, multi-level converters, or parallel configuration of converters are becoming more attractive solutions towards DC collection from the wind generator. Among the multilevel converters, three-level full-scale neutral point diode clamped (3L-NPC) converter are using extensively for such applications in order to reduce the voltage stress on the semiconductor devices. In this article, a comparative study based on several devices used by NPC, capacitor clamped (CC) as well as Vienna rectifier has been done. Furthermore, their estimated cost comparison and complexity of control switches have been debated. By keeping in view the merits and demerits of these rectifiers, a low cost three-level active rectifier having a smaller number of active switches with a simple control scheme have been implemented. Considering a three-phase electric grid as a generated source, a 2.2KW low-cost three-level Vienna rectifier is simulated using MATLAB/Simulink. DSP (TMS320F28335) based experimental results ratify the simulated circuit with THD<5%.

1 Introduction

Nowadays, the use of wind energy is increasing with an exponential rate in the electrical field [1]. Electrical energy is produced from wind energy with the help of directly connected wind turbine through an appropriate generator along with some power electronics converter. Generator side converters have been a part of the early-stage of the wind energy conversion system. Such converters convert a generated electrical energy into direct current (DC) which can be further used as a grid-connected or standalone HVDC system [2]. There are three main sorts of rectifiers, namely: passive, active, and hybrid rectifier, which can convert alternating energy into DC. So, these rectifiers play a vital role in DC collection systems. Passive rectifiers create harmonic, and power factor problem on the input side and hybrid rectifiers also have so many drawbacks as generator side converter mentioned in [3]. Therefore they are not reflected as an efficient power conversion option. By keeping in view of power-efficient energy conversion, active rectifiers are more attractive towards generator side converter [8].

Generally, there are three commonly used direct three-phase active rectifiers named as; two-level, three-level as well as multi-level rectifiers. Among these three types, two-level full bridge rectifier is the most commonly used for low power applications [10]. But this topology does not meet the requirement of high-power modern multi-MW wind energy conversion system. In the case of multi-MW wind generator, a parallel configuration of rectifiers or multi-level rectifiers plays a vital role to reduce the voltage and current stress on the semiconductor devices [8]. Three-level rectifiers are well matured and most attractive topology for industry and high power applications. Back to back diode clamped (BBDC) converter, as shown in figure 1(a), is the most common topology of three-level rectifiers as a generator side rectifier. Additionally, another capacitor clamped three-level active rectifier, as shown in figure 1(b), which has a smaller number of diodes as compared to the diode clamped rectifier. These both types of converters have the ability for bi-directional power flow, which is not inevitable for PMSG based energy conversion system [12]. But later on, discussed topology has some demerit [9,13].

- Bulky and large in size
- Un-balanced capacitor voltage problem
- Costlier as compared to diode clamped

In view of aforementioned demerits, cost and number of devices used by diode clamped, capacitor clamped along with selected cost-effective three-level active Vienna rectifier have been studied in a good manner. From all three configurations of Vienna rectifiers, as mentioned in [10], a more efficient topology having six switches, as shown in figure 1(c) has chosen for DC collection in WECS. Such rectifier has the ability of continuous flow of current, cost-effective, compact in size, easy to control, and implement [13,14]. A detailed study about diode and capacitor clamped rectifiers have been done in section 2. Comparison of numbers of semiconductor devices used by these rectifiers and their estimated cost has been studied in section 3. Section 4 is about the Vienna rectifier and its control. Simulation and experimental results of Vienna rectifier

* Corresponding author: Luqman@sjtu.edu.cn

© The Authors, published by EDP Sciences. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).
have been discussed in section 5. The paper close with a section of conclusion.

2 Active rectifiers

As two-level active rectifier does not meet the requirement for high power WECS. Therefore, only three-level rectifiers have been chosen for under discussion as a generator side converter. From last few decades, 3L-BBDC converter are most famous as a front end of the generator side converter for energy conversion as well as to decouple the electric grid dynamics.

Additionally, by replacing the clamped diodes with capacitors, a new topology was developed, that is known as capacitor clamped converter (CCC) as shown in figure 1(b). These two types of active rectifiers are using a large number of semiconductor devices, as mentioned in Table 1, which increase the cost and complexity of the system. To design power-efficient and cost-effectice system, the most important thing is to use less number of devices. In the case of DC collection from wind energy, a unidirectional power circuit work well instead of the bidirectional circuit. Therefore, a unidirectional Vienna rectifier was selected to use it as a generator side rectifier in WECS.

3 Comparative study of 3L-active rectifiers

In this section, a comparison of the number of devices used by pre-defined three-level active rectifiers and their respective total cost have been studied. It can be seen from table 1, that NPC and CCC are using more number of devices as compared to Vienna rectifier. So, Vienna rectifier is the one that is using less number of devices as compared to all other discussed topologies. As the current ripple rejection input filter is common in all kinds of rectifiers. Therefore, during the comparative study, this circuit has been ignored. Cost comparison of three-level active rectifiers has been shown in figure 2.

| Names            | Diode Clamped | Capacitor Clamped | Vienna Rectifier |
|------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Diodes           | 18            | 12                | 12              |
| Capacitors       | 2             | 3                 | 2               |
| IGBTs            | 12            | 12                | 6               |
| IGBTs Drivers    | 12            | 12                | 6               |
| Total # of Devices | 44            | 39                | 26              |

The part number and respective cost of fundamental devices used by three phases 3-level discussed circuits have been written in table 2, while their power handling capabilities have mentioned in [4,5,7].

| Part     | Part number | Unit price ( $ ) |
|----------|-------------|------------------|
| IGBT     | IKW50N65H5  | 3.52             |
| Diode    | APT60DQ120BG| 3.3              |
| Driver   | TLP250      | 3.48             |
| Capacitor| ESMR451VSNR1MA50S | 11.5            |

By keeping in view the data mentioned in table 1 and 2, comparison of calculated estimate percentage cost of each discussed three-phase rectifiers is shown in figure 2.

4 Vienna rectifier

As discussed in the previous section three-level Vienna rectifier (VR) requires a lower number of devices which are more responsive for making it cost-effective, power-efficient and high-power density. It provides low resistance during turn-on of the switch, which leads it towards less voltage stress on the devices [11]. Because of the three-level of VR, it can perform higher energy conversion. For three-phase Vienna rectifier all the individual outputs of single-phase rectifiers are added together using two common capacitors C1 and C2. The power circuit of three-phase Vienna rectifiers is shown in figure 1(c).

The value of the inductive input filter is calculated by using "(1)" [11].

\[
L_j = \frac{V_{bus}}{\theta * F_{sw} * \Delta I_{ppmax}}
\]
Where “$L_i$” is the input inductor, $V_{bus}$ is a dc bus voltage, $F_{sw}$ is the value of switching frequency and $\Delta I_{ppmax}$ is a maximum permissible ripple current value.

The terminal voltage of the Vienna rectifier with switching state and polarity of input current can be expressed as:

$$V_{xn} = \frac{V_{DC}}{2} \text{sgn}(i_{xn})(1 - S_x)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Whereas, for three-phase

$$x = a, b, c$$

The current average control scheme consists of an outer voltage loop, and the inner current loop has been implemented for Vienna rectifier, as shown in figure 3. The output voltage $V_{dc,ref}$ is compared with sum of the two individual capacitor voltages $V_{c1} + V_{c2}$. The result of voltage controller is multiplied with the absolute value of supply voltage to get reference current for inner loop controller. Difference of capacitor voltages also included in outer loop to balance the midpoint voltages. Inductor current follow the reference current in inner loop to make the power factor true. Finally, the current controller generates pulses after compared with triangular signal to drive the switches.

![Fig. 3. The control scheme of three-phase Vienna rectifier](image)

5 Simulation and experimental results

Simulation and experimental results of Vienna rectifier using current average control method implemented by PI tuning are shown in this section. The parameters used for 2.2KW rectifier are given in table 3.

| Parameters                  | Values |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| Grid frequency, f           | 50 Hz  |
| Grid supply voltage         | 70 Vrms|
| Load resistance, R          | 20 Ohm |
| Switching frequency, Fsw    | 15 KHz |
| Input inductor              | 220 uH |
| Capacitors C1,C2            | 9020uF |
| Vdc_ref                     | 200 Volt|

5.1 Simulation results

The simulation and experimental results are having the same power rating of 2.2Kw rectifier system. The phase angle between current and line voltage is shown in figure 4(a), which shows the power factor is nearly one that means the power transfer to load is efficient.

![Fig. 3. (a) Phase angle between voltage and current, (b) load current, (c) DC-link voltages, (d) Total harmonic distortion of input current](image)

5.2 Experiment results

The complete setup of directly connected three phases Vienna rectifier with the electric grid via voltage transformer is shown in figure 4 (a), and further experiment results of 2.2kw rectifier are shown in figure 4 (b-g).
Conclusion

Comparative analysis of three-level active rectifiers based on their importance in WECS, utilization of the number of devices and their respective cost have been studied well. Among the discussed active rectifiers, a low-cost three-level Vienna rectifier having high power density and simple control scheme has been implemented. Simulation and experimental results ratify that the control of Vienna rectifier also works well with good power factor and low harmonics at input current.
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