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Abstract

Every year, tobacco industries are spending millions of dollars targeting youths and non-smokers with tactful advertisements and promotion, which has impact on early initiation, increasing overall smoking rate and further upsurge the disease and early death. The study aimed to explore the tobacco industry’s branding strategies that influences youth to early initiation of tobacco in Bangladesh. This study was a cross-sectional design with mixed method approach and implemented during March - December 2019. Survey was conducted using semi-structured questionnaire through face-to-face interview among 208 students in different educational institutions of the selected areas of Dhaka city as well as twenty-five (25) KII were conducted with different experts personnel. Descriptive and inferential analysis were performed for quantitative data. Thematic analysis was done for qualitative data. Among student respondents, 71.0% were smokers and their average age of initiation was 13.8 years. Study revealed that 21% students attended or exposed to different types of tobacco industry’s (TI) promotional programs including one-to-one/group campaign (61%), corporate programs (23%), seminar (21%), career counseling (21%) and sports event (2%). Among them, 70.0% students received free promotional items, such as T-shirt, lighter, cap, wrist belt, free cigarette, etc. at these programs and 65% students were interested in the motivational speech given by the TI representatives. Three-fourths (75%) were familiar with misleading branding terms such as light, tar, full flavor, filter and menthol. The initiation age of the smoking was associated with observing the arrangement of the smoking product in the retail shop (p <0.05), attracted to cigarette stick and packet color (p<0.05) and attracted to smell/flavor (p<0.05) and attracted to role model/celebrity’s smoking (p<0.05). Among retailers, 59.3% received TI assistance including showcase decoration with brand color, receiving model box and mounting board. Besides, 59.3% retailers mentioned promoting new brands to the non-user including students through promotional campaign, convince/persuasion, giving free samples, etc. TI is undertaking aggressive marketing and promoting brands targeting the youth around educational institutions that are ultimately contributing to early smoking initiation. A Strong monitoring system should be in place to stop direct and indirect branding and promotional activities.

Keywords: Branding- industrial tactics- early initiation- youth- digitalizing

Introduction

Tobacco is one of the most widely used addictive substances in the world, is a plant of the genus Nicotiana and native to the Americas and historically one of the half-dozen most important crops grown by American farmers as well as widely cultivated for their leaves. The tobacco industry comprises those persons and companies engaged in the growth, preparation for sale, shipment, advertisement, and distribution of tobacco and tobacco-related products which can grow in any warm, moist environment, and it can be farmed on all continents except Antarctica.

Branding of tobacco industry products is the marketing of nicotine-containing products or use. Traditionally, the tobacco industry markets cigarette smoking, but it is increasingly branding other products, such as e-cigarettes. Products are marketed through social media, stealth marketing, mass media, and sponsorship (particularly of sporting events). Expenditures on nicotine marketing are in the tens of billions a year; in the US alone, spending was over US$ 1million per hour in 2016. In 2003, per-capita marketing spending was $290 per adult smoker, or $45 per inhabitant. Nicotine marketing is increasingly regulated; some forms of nicotine advertising are banned in many countries. For that the World Health Organization recommends a complete tobacco advertising ban. The tobacco industry claims not to target children or non-smokers with their advertising and promotion. This includes with the use of branding on packaging.
In fact, the tobacco companies also claim that packaging has no role in advertising and promotion at all and that it has no impact on overall smoking rates (Bach et al., 2018).

Every year, the tobacco industry spends billions of dollars around the globe on branding, advertising, promotion and sponsorship. The tobacco industry’s manipulative marketing tactics increase consumption of its products, leading to an increase in death and disease across the world. Tobacco is responsible for 5 million deaths every year (Brand Management Promotion).

If current trends continue unchecked, it is estimated that 500 million people alive today will be killed by tobacco. Millions of the tobacco industry’s customers die from tobacco related disease or quit each year, and the industry must replace them in order to survive. Industry documents reveal that the companies carefully study the habits, tastes, and desires of their potential customers and use that research to develop products and marketing campaigns. The industry is very effective in enticing new consumers, including youth and women, to start using tobacco, since they are the “replacement smokers” so important to the industry’s success. The tobacco industry is now a truly global industry, investing huge sums of money in low- and middle income countries to increase the social acceptability of tobacco and tobacco companies among both adults and young people. In most developed countries, businesses use a broad variety of marketing techniques to increase their sales, gain market share, attract new users, and retain existing customers. These techniques include product design, packaging, pricing, distribution, product placement, branding, advertising, and a variety of promotional activities.

Tobacco companies were among the earliest companies to identify and implement effective, integrated marketing strategies, and cigarettes and other tobacco products have long been among the most heavily branded and marketed consumer products in the whole world (BMA, London, 2004).

Globally, the tobacco industry spends large sums of money on advertising, sponsorship and promotion. For example, in 2014 tobacco companies spent more than US$9 billion on advertising and promotional expenses in the United States (US) alone (Clive Bates).

As advertising restrictions have become more prominent across the globe, the industry has had to adapt and be more creative in how it advertises and branding its products, using sponsorship and different types of promotion (UNDESA, 2013). Tobacco harms the health, the treasury, and the spirit of Bangladesh. Every year, more than 161,200 of its people are killed by tobacco-caused disease. Still, more than 172,000 children (10-14 years old) and 24,880,000 adults (15+ years old) continue to use tobacco each day. Complacency in the face of the tobacco epidemic insulates the tobacco industry in Bangladesh and ensures that tobacco’s death toll will grow every year.

In 2015, there were adult smoking are using tobacco daily 39.8% where more are male smoker than on average in low, medium and high socio-economics status (Harvard School of Public Health; 2008). Therefore, in the same year almost 1.86% children smoking (10-14 years) are using tobacco daily where more boys smoke in Bangladesh than on average in medium-HDI countries. As well as 25.54% deaths caused by tobacco consumption in 2016. In 2013, 31.5% more people use smokeless tobacco in Bangladesh than on average in medium –HDI countries. The economic cost of smoking in Bangladesh amounts to 158,578 million taka. This includes direct costs related to healthcare expenditures and indirect costs related to lost productivity due to early mortality and morbidity (Marvin et al, 2006).

It is a strongly clear issue that branding, advertising and promotion influence the factors that led directly to tobacco use by adolescents, women including the initiation of cigarette smoking as well as its continuation. Tobacco companies have long argued that their marketing efforts do not increase the overall demand for tobacco products and have no impact on the initiation of tobacco use among young people; rather they argue, they are competing with other companies for market share. But as a matter of fact that tobacco companies recruit new smokers, and their advertising campaigns appeal to the aspirations of adolescents (most smokers start as adolescents or even earlier) (Perry, 2015).

For that their branding, advertising and promoting fulfills many of the aspirations of adolescents and children by effectively using themes of independence, liberation, attractiveness, adventurousness, sophistication, glamour, athleticism, social acceptability and inclusion, sexual attractiveness, thinness, popularity, rebelliousness, and being “cool”.

A 2003 systematic review of the published longitudinal studies on the impact of advertising concluded “that tobacco advertising and promotion increases the likelihood that adolescents will start to smoke”. Marketing efforts directed at young adults may also have an impact on tobacco initiation rates within the population of a country, in that the campaigns have been shown to encourage regular smoking and increase levels of consumption. This public position of the tobacco companies is contradicted by general marketing theory about the role of packaging as a medium for advertising and promotion (ACS, 2014).

It has been rejected by courts around the world examining the issue. It is also contradicted by the internal industry documents that have been disclosed through litigation. In contrast, the weight of the evidence from extensive and increasingly sophisticated research conducted over the past few decades shows that the industry’s marketing activities have been a key factor in leading young people to take up tobacco, keeping some users from quitting, and achieving greater consumption among users (Newman, 2014).

This growing evidence has helped to spur a variety of policy interventions aimed at reducing the influence of branding and marketing on tobacco initiation as well as consumption by the tobacco companies.

To see the above situation, this study aims to describe the current state of branding of the tobacco industries as well as examine the association between influence young adults to initiate tobacco consumption in different socio-economic status at the Dhaka city in Bangladesh (Philip Moris Tobacco Company; 2017).
Objectives of the study

The study aimed to explore the tobacco industry’s branding strategies and identify issues and challenges of preventing branding influences on young adult’s early initiation of tobacco in Bangladesh.

Materials and Methods

This study was a cross-sectional design with quantitative approach and implemented during March - December 2019. Survey was conducted using semi-structured questionnaire through face-to-face interview among 208 students (class 9 to university 2nd year) and 91 retailers in and around (100 yards) the educational institutions of the selected areas of Dhaka city.

Twenty-five (25) KIIs were conducted with tobacco control activists, Journalist, extracurricular advisors of educational institution, representative of youth club and event management personnel. Descriptive and inferential analysis were performed for quantitative data.

Thematic analysis was done for qualitative data. Institutional and individual ethical issues was addressed during conducting this study.

Results

Quantitative Survey

Table 1 presents the unweight sample size by selected demographic characteristics of the students and retailers including area, gender and type of educational institution.

In classifying sample distribution by area, almost equal number of both students and retailer respondents were interviewed in the three study areas.

According to the type of educational institutes, about half (51.8%) of the student respondents were from the universities, which followed by 31.3% from College and 16.8% students were from school. Whereas, 60.8% retailer respondents were from the surrounding area of the universities (100 yards), and equal proportions (19.8%) were from school and college, respectively. Among the respondents, 95.7% of the student respondents and 87.9% of the retailer respondents were male.

| Variable | Number (%)| Number (%)|
|----------|------------|------------|
| Type of institution | Student | Retailer |
| School | 35 (16.8) | 18 (19.8) |
| College | 65 (31.3) | 18 (19.8) |
| University | 108 (51.9) | 58 (60.4) |
| Gender | | |
| Male | 199 (95.7) | 80 (87.9) |
| Female | 9 (4.3) | |
| Total | 208 (100.0) | 91 (100.0) |

| Table 2. Current Tobacco Using Status | Number | Percent (%)|
|---------------------------------------|--------|------------|
| Age of initiation, Mean ± SD | 13.8 ± 2.5 | |
| Self-Income monthly (in BDT) | | |
| Having self-income | 72 | 34.6 |
| Have no Income | 59 | 28.4 |
| Undisclosed | 77 | 37 |
| Total | 136 | 100 |

| Table 3. Information about the Retailers |
|----------------------------------------|
|Weekly sale (BDT) from whole product |
|≤ 5000 | 55 | 60.4 |
|>5000 | 36 | 39.6 |
|Mean ± SD | 6505 ± 5679 |
|Weekly sale (BDT) from tobacco product only |
|≤ 5000 | 64 | 70.3 |
|>5000 | 27 | 29.7 |
|Mean ± SD | 4680 ± 3028 |
|Number of brands available, Mean ± SD | 14.6 ± 5.4 |
|Main customer | |
|Student | 54 | 59.3 |
|Others* | 37 | 40.8 |
|Others- Businessman, Day-labor | |
|Total | 91 | 100 |

Figure 1. Residence of the Students

Figure 2. Current Tobacco Using Status.
student respondents asked on their main residence. For
that table 3.4 shows that the high proportion of the student
(75%) lived with their parents or relatives in this study.
Moreover, there were one third portion (25%) students
lived in the mess and hostel or hall respectively in this
report.

The prevalence of smoking tobacco is presented by
“current status of tobacco use” and “non-user” among
the several categories of students. Overall, three fourth
(71.2%) students used tobacco by various demographic
and socioeconomic characteristics as well as 28.8% did
not use any kind of tobacco in this study.

According to the Table no 2 shows that the average
age of initiation of smoking product among the youth was
thirteen years old to find in this study. On the contrary,
several categories reported in this study were merged to
consider five exclusive self-monthly income which are
less than three thousand, three thousand to ten thousand
nine hundred ninety, more than eleven thousand and
Have no Income, Not Interested etc. Usually, the highest
proportion of 28.4% students had no self-monthly income,
37% students did not interest to share about it in this
report. Additionally, the proportion of 34.7% students’
self-monthly income were less than three thousand to
more than eleven thousand taka. Therefore, one an average
their self-monthly income was six thousand four hundred
one to find in this report.

According to the table no 3 shows that quite a few
sorts stated in this study were merged to consider two
exclusive weekly sell from the whole products and
tobacco product only. Overall, 60.4% retailer respondents
sold weekly earned less than five thousand from the whole
products in their shop. Consequently, one an average their

| Variable                        | Number | Percent |
|---------------------------------|--------|---------|
| Getting benefit for selling new product |        |         |
| Yes                             | 44     | 48%     |
| No                              | 47     | 52%     |
| Types of benefit received       |        |         |
| Tobacco product                 | 19     | 43%     |
| Cash                            | 25     | 57%     |
| Total                           | 91     | 100%    |

Table 5. Getting any Benefit from the Tobacco Company for Selling a New Product

![Figure 4. Attending Any Program Arranged by TI](image)

Yes 21%

No 79%

![Figure 5. Receiving Any Free Promotion Items from the Program](image)

Yes 70%

No 30%

Table 6. The Following Display which have in the Retail Shop

| Display in the retail shop                        | Percent (%) |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Poster                                            | 85.6%       |
| Leaflet                                           | 78.9%       |
| Product Display                                   | 76.7%       |
| Model Box                                         | 61.1%       |
| Cartoon Display                                   | 51.1%       |
| Showcase with Color                               | 45.6%       |
| Decorated with Logo and color                     | 48.9%       |
| Banner and Signboard                             | 36.7%       |
| Showcase with Logo                               | 35.6%       |
| Umbrella                                          | 23.3%       |
| Glass box                                         | 13.3%       |
| Base, n                                           | 90          |

Table 7. The Most Attracting Part of the Product (user and non-user)

| Branding terms*                              | Students’ Perception                  | Percent (%) |
|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| Filter                                       | Nicotine control, safer/ less harmful| 66.20%      |
| Ultra-light / Ultra-low Tar                  | Safer/ less harmful, less nicotine/tar, soft | 13.50%      |
| Full Flavour/ Regular                        | Deep flavor, More Nicotine            | 10.80%      |
| Lights/Mild/Low Tar                          | Light flavor, Safer/ less harmful, less nicotine/tar, soft | 9.50%       |
| Menthol                                      | Cooler/ smooth feeler, Sweetness      | 6.80%       |
| Base, n                                      |                                      | 157         |
According to this study, the table 4 showed that 79% student did not attend any program which was arranged by the tobacco company but 21% attended in the several program arrange. In the above Figure 4 showed that 14.4% students received different types of free promotional item such as T-shirt, wrist belt, caps, Bags etc. from this program as well as 30% did not received it. According to the Table number 4 showed that there were many thing to attract this programs among the students which were merged to consider four exclusive categories including industry logo, program arrangement, motivational speech, promotional materials etc. Normally, 41.9% students attracted to see their industry logo, 48.8% respondents attracted to their program arrangement, 65.1% attracted or motivated to listen their motivational speech which was the highest proportion of the study as well as 46.5% students concerned to get their promotional materials from them which was also related to make a good image about the tobacco industries among the students respondents. As stated by the figure 5 showed that 44.0% retailer respondents got target from the tobacco company for selling smoking tobacco product but 56% retailer respondents did not get target from the tobacco company for selling smoking tobacco product. According to the table number 5 showed that 48% retailer respondents got benefits from the tobacco company for selling a new product but 52% retailer respondents did not get benefits from the tobacco company for selling a new product. For that the retailers who received different types of benefit from the company for selling a new product including cash, tobacco product etc. Generally, 57% retailer respondents got cash from the tobacco company and 43.2% retailer respondents received tobacco product which was also related to make a faithful business image about the tobacco industries among the respondents. In this table represented the displaying product in the retail shop which were provide by the tobacco company directly. Generally, 35.6% retailers had showcase with logo to get from the tobacco company to set/decorate shop, 45.6% had showcase with color, 61.1% retailer had model box, 23.3% got umbrella, 13.3% received glass box, 78.9% received leaflet, 85.6% retailers got poster to show in their retail shop, 15.6% got signboard, 21.1% received banner, 51.1% got cartoon display to set/decorate shop, 22.2% retailers decorated with color in their shop, 26.7% retailer respondents decorated with logo in their shop and 76.4% retailer respondents received product display from the tobacco company to set/decorate shop and thus the tobacco company continue their evil business nearby different types the educational institutes to explore in this study.

**Product and Personal Branding [Among Students]**

According to the table no 8 showed that 36% student attracted to the brand name and logo of the tobacco company, 31% student attracted to see the packet color in the cigarettes, 30% attracted to see the packet design or size, 19% attracted to see the cigarette smell, 40% attracted on the cigarette flavor which was a great findings in the study.

According to this study the table 8 also showed that there were different familiar branding terms and knowledge about the meaning of the familiar branding

| Attractive part            | Percent |
|----------------------------|---------|
| **Base, n**                | 208     |
| **Brand Name and Logo**    | 36.00%  |
| **Color of cigarette sticks and packaging** | 30.70% |
| **Packet Design/size**     | 29.70%  |
| **Smell**                  | 19.00%  |
| **Flavor**                 | 39.70%  |
| **Base, n**                | 147     |
terms among the students. Overall, 66.2% students knew ‘Filter’ as a familiar branding terms which mean that Extra portion, Flavor, Less nicotine, More Nicotine, Safer/ less harmful’. 13.5% students knew ‘Ultra-light / Ultra-low Tar’ as a familiar branding terms which mean that Safer/ less harmful, less nicotine, less tar, soft, Define feel, Light flavor’ as well 10.8% students knew ‘Full Flavor/ Regular’ as a familiar branding terms which mean that Extra portion, Flavor, Less nicotine, More Nicotine, Safer/ less harmful’. Similarly, 9.5% students knew ‘Lights/Mild/Low Tar’ which mean that Less nicotine, less tar, soft, Average Deep flavor, More Nicotine’ as a familiar branding terms and and only 6.8% students knew ‘Menthol’ as a familiar branding terms which means that Hunger, Purity, Sweetness, cooler/ smoother feeler’ was the lowest proportion of the study to find in this study.

Discussion

The results of this present study suggested that majority of the student were smokers (71%) and 97.3% respondents consumed different types of smoking products where cigarettes were more consuming tobacco products among them and 84% took cigarettes regularly in this study as the POS were available of their educational institutes which is an important reason behind to initiate smoking. According to the study showed that 66.2% smoker students switched different types of brand due to unavailability, increased price, new flavor, cigarette stick color and uphold status etc. But 33.8% students did not switch brand due to availability, fix price, flavor, and uphold status etc.

In fact, the average age of initiation of smoking product among the youth was thirteen years old to find in this study which was also shifted from the previous study which is found in this study due to availability, affordability, and different types of promotional activities of the tobacco company. Agreeing to the study, there were an influencing issue between the initiation age of the smoking (users) by different types of educational institute where 48.6% school students started smoking when they were up to twelve years old which followed by 47.1% college students started smoking when they were thirteen to fourteen years old. Additionally, 46.2% university students started smoking when they were more than fifteen years old.

The result submitted that 21% student attended at any program which was arranged by the tobacco company where 60.5% students presented in the campaign at any place like 62.9% students joined the program which held at their own institutes and 4.6% students joined in others place which arranged by the tobacco company and 70% students received different types of free promotional item from this program and 30% did not receive them from this program in this study. Along with the study, there were a relation between the initiation age of the smoking (users) by receiving any free promotion item from this program among the students where 40% students received any free promotion item from the mentioning program on the above table at the age of eleven to sixteen years old to influence the initiation of smoking.

There were arranged various types of program of the tobacco industries for brand promotion of their smoking tobacco product in the different area in Bangladesh. Most of interviewer gave their opinions about it and also told that the supply transports of the smoking tobacco products were used to more attractive or eye catching color or color gradient like dark pink, sky blue, orange, magenta, purple etc. which are prompt and familiar easily among the customer especially youth. Moreover, there were used the unit and special motivational quotation in these transports which were responsible to influence to the initiation of the smoking tobacco among the customer especially students. Even though, these vehicle were often stood in front of the retail shop or point of sell in the Dhaka city.

They also arranged job fair and seminar in the several public and private educational institutes like university as well as tactfully promoted their company by their company logo and different types of promotional incentives and made a good image among the students according to the interviewer’s information in the qualitative findings. Moreover, the findings also showed that 30% students get t-shirt as a promotional item from the tobacco company, 20% got cap, 6.7% got wrist belt from them and similar to get bag and received ticket from them for different types of offering issues and 30% got others issues from this promotional program which was related to the initiation of the smoking among the respondents.

At the same time, 65.1% attracted or motivated to listen their motivational speech which followed by 48.8% respondents attracted to their program arrangement, 41.9% students attracted to see their industry logo, and 46.5% students concerned to get their promotional materials.

According to the study showed that 48.4% retailer respondents got benefits from the tobacco company for selling a new product but 51.6% retailer respondents did not get benefits from the tobacco company for selling a new product. For that, 56% retailer respondents got cash from the tobacco company and 43.2% retailer respondents received tobacco product which was also related to make a faithful business image and inspire to influence to the initiation of the smoking tobacco about the tobacco industries among the respondents as well as 44.0% retailer respondents got target from the tobacco company for selling smoking tobacco product where 43.9% retailers received cash from the tobacco company to fulfill the target, 46.3% got tobacco products, from the tobacco company to fulfill target and thus the tobacco company continue their successful business nearby different types the educational institutes to explore in this study.

According to the interviewer’s opinion, the tobacco company gave incentives to the customer when a new product launched or others issues as well as also gave to the retailers for several time on their performance to make a good profit. Moreover, Tobacco Company gave target sell at a specific age group through retailer to follow their industries policy which is responsible to early initiation of the smoking tobacco. They also provided poster, leaflet and placard of the different brand of smoking tobacco products with different color company name, logo and design which put in front of the customer to attract them.

In addition, the tobacco company arranged campaign and cultural events for their new/old product and business
purpose through brand representation to use an especial dress-code with tobacco company name and logo which is influenced to initiated smoking at an early age.

As stated by the report showed that 59.3% retailer respondents received any support from the company to set/decorate shop for selling smoking tobacco product and many things to get incentives for support from the tobacco company to set/decorate shop among the retailers like: Showcase, Model Box, Signboard, Banner, Cash, Decoration materials, Technical support for decoration and others etc.

According to the study showed that there were many attractive things to observe through display of the Smoking tobacco products in the retail shop where 60.0% students attracted to the cigarette stick color and packet color of the smoking tobacco product in the retail shop when their smoking initiation age was up to twelve years old which followed by 28.6% students attracted to the different types of cigarette flavor and smell of the smoking tobacco product more than fifteen years old in the retail shop.

In the meantime, 36% student attracted to the brand name and logo of the tobacco company, 31% student attracted to see the packet color in the cigarettes, 30% attracted to see the packet design or size, 19% attracted to see the cigarette smell, 40% attracted on the cigarette flavor which was a great findings in the study.

On the word of this study also showed that there were different familiar branding terms among the student which were merged to consider seven exclusive categories where overall, 66.2% students knew ‘Filter’ as a familiar branding terms which mean that Extra portion, Flavor, Less nicotine, More Nicotine, Safer/ less harmful’. 13.5% students knew ‘Ultra-light / Ultra-low Tar’ as a familiar branding terms which mean that Safer/ less harmful, less nicotine, less tar, soft, Define feel, Light flavor’ as well 10.8% students knew ‘Full Flavor/ Regular’ as a familiar branding terms which mean that Extra portion, Flavor, Less nicotine, More Nicotine, Safer/ less harmful’. Similarly, 9.5% students knew ‘Lights/Mild/Low Tar’ which mean that Less nicotine, less tar, soft, Average Deep flavor, More Nicotine’ as a familiar branding terms and only 6.8% students knew ‘Menthol’ as a familiar branding terms which means that Hunger, Purity, Sweetness, cooler/smoker feeler’ was the lowest proportion to find in this study which are directly influence to initiate tobacco among the youth.

As the state of interviewers, the tobacco company prepared lots of poster, leaflet, placard, banner, brochures with attractive color spectrum, brand name and company logo which are brought to the point of sell and retail shops to set in the shop at the eye level of the customer to attract them and related to the initiation of the customer specially youth.

Furthermore, Tobacco Company fixed the level of chemical adjustment of the cigarettes like nicotine, tar, benzene, ammonia etc. so that the customer attract and addicted to the smoking product as well as also determined the arrangement of the Pattern of the Smoking Brand on the display (Eye Level) in the retail shop and fixed target group of customer (14-15years) among the retailers surrounding the educational institutes as the state of the interviewers. For that reasons, they provided various motivational incentives among the retailers to make a good profit according to the interviewers’ opinion to find the qualitative findings.

Conclusion and Recommendation
The study indicated that almost all of the different age groups respondents had knowledge about the smoking tobacco product in different brands from point of sell and internet among all types of students (like smokers and non-smokers). As well, majority of the student were smokers and consumed different types of smoking products like cigarettes regularly in this study as the POS were available of their educational institutes which is an important reason behind to initiate smoking.

On the contrary, half of the students started their smoking when they age were less than eleven years old due to availability, affordability, and different types of promotional activities of the tobacco company.

There were another findings that the most commonly-mentioned issue was concerned about the purchasing pattern of the cigarettes among the students where majority of the students took single stick casually from the nearby POS, retail shops and others availability which is out of control to parents, educational authority, society and also community due to lack of awareness, weekly imposed law and less monitoring of the family and concerns etc.

Consequently to these reasons, the tobacco company used to lack and gaps of us and make their evil successful business to influence these youth to initiate smoking at an early age by doing different types of promotional activities like seminars, career counselling, campaigns, cultural events etc. where majority of the student be presented at these programs and the tobacco company provided them different types of promotional items to make a good image among the students about their mechanism towards involve a new customer for a long time.

In the meantime, tobacco industries prepared lots of poster, leaflet, placard, banner, brochures with attractive color spectrum, brand name and company logo which are brought to the point of sell and retail shops to set in the shop at the eye level of the customer to attract them and related to the initiation of the customer specially youth.

Furthermore, Tobacco Company fixed the level of chemical adjustment of the cigarettes like nicotine, tar, benzene, ammonia etc. so that the customer attract and addicted to the smoking product as well as also determined the arrangement of the Pattern of the Smoking Brand on the display (Eye Level) in the retail shop and fixed target group of customer (14-15years) among the retailers surrounding the educational institutes as the state of the interviewers. For that reasons, they provided various motivational incentives among the retailers to make a good profit according to the interviewers’ opinion to find the qualitative findings.

However, there were most of the retail shop and point of sell and hawkers were available surroundings to the educational institutes where the students accessed easily to buy smoking tobacco product at any age and these smoking tobacco product sold a reasonable price of different flavor in various brands which were displayed
at their eye level on the model box in the retail shop and it was directly responsible to the early initiation of the smoking tobacco among the students.

There is considerable discussion in the public health community about the role of potentially less harmful tobacco products. This issue is complex. Moreover, there is no substitute for the weight of government action when it comes to implementing these proven measures.

As young generation is more susceptible to adopt different brands of tobacco and it is likely to changes their behavior which tumbled them into early initiation of smoking which should be more concerned to the family, society, community and The government of the Bangladesh etc.

Therefore, strong policy must be initiated to prevent these young Bangladeshi generation from such addictive behavior and early initiation of tobacco.

Tobacco industry’s promotional activities, indirect advertisement, tactful advancement through social media, using other platform can be negatively influenced for increasing usage of tobacco by the youth day-by-day.

The above scenario should be controlled strictly by rules and regulations of the government in Bangladesh. So, this study results would help to document information and suggests to develop proper interventional measures to stop this kind of tactful branding of tobacco companies.
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