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Abstract

The study developed a meta-framework for the strategic planning process, which is an inter-dynamic aspect of strategic processing. Furthermore, it follows multiple case study analysis, and panel data regression analysis conducted to validate the study, the limitations and future scope of the research discussed along with the result. The asset of an organization considered as an essential element in sustainable business practices, hence the three predictors taken from asset section of financial statements of case organizations and the results discussed such as cash and cash equivalent is an important factor for practicing sustainability.
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1. Introduction

In endurance to an organization or an institution; strategic planning is a significant action that determines the organization's future goals and performances. Complexity persists not only with the organizational structure but also in terms of defining descriptions and explanations that are complex concepts. Hence strategic planning is viewed from a corporate perspective and the process involved with it. Strategic planning clarifies certain vital situations that are yet be answered or need to focus on a particular case, element or factor. Strategic planning process enhances the opportunity to maximize business performances and provides possible alternatives as decisions or route map. Thus it an independent action that offers an eagle view towards organizational progress and its heading directions that are more likely towards the chances of success. Successful strategic planning is crucial in the strategic planning process. Because every board capital aspires to the achievements and strategic design plan for smooth and successful organizational operations. Hence it is an imperative factor in achieving sustainability through the successful strategic planning process.

2. Methodology

The study reviews the literature on a strategic planning process to perceive the knowledge obtained, and there are two methodologies applied in this study; i) Multiple case study methods and ii) Panel data analysis regression and finally the goodness of fit test is conducted for the obtained two models. For the multiple case study, three firms had been taken, such as Brambles, OZ Minerals and Aramex. The firm's strategic directions and values are studied to follow its sustainability commitments. And from the three firms, the data are collected for validation the study purposes.

2.1 Data

The data are secondary data collected from the balance sheet of the respective firm's consolidated financial statements. The data collected from 2008 to 2017, and there is a thirty observation point. From the current asset cash and cash equivalent is taken that is proxy with immediate hand cash. Employee benefit expenses and goodwill –intangibles are taken non-current asset. Model one is OLS regression analysis, and Model two is Random effect model shown in Table 2 and 3.

3. Views on Strategic Planning: A Literature Review

Strategic planning requires priorities, that explains, the main focus of organization desirable strategic outcome. According to Mason (1969) Planning process that requires a unique style, and that exceedingly difficult in framing towards the expert approach. Hence strategic planning requires specific essential priorities. For instance, strategic
planning for channel enhancement, strategic planning for community development requires particular knowledge and involved factors are crucial. The priorities are narrowed one from the broader perspectives. In general, the strategic plan has the number of advantages such as gives an insight towards the strategic environment, the stumbling blocks and the understanding of organization performances (O’Regan and Ghobadian, 2002).

Some scholars explain that the lack of accountability in strategic planning is one crucial reason for weaker planning performances (King, 1983). The need for a new dimension in values, approach and process in strategic planning through the learning process was put forth by Albrechts (2004). Likewise, Heracleous (1998) says that strategic planning needs an analytical thought process and a different thought process. For the success in strategic planning, Bryson et al. (2018) state that different kinds of leadership, particularly board participation, will enhance the chances of diplomatic planning successes. In the study conducted by Noto and Noto (2019) where strategic planning is applied to identify the performance of local system linking through a stakeholder approach. Hence regulating the planning process through answering the existing vacuum will lead to the long-term sustainable planning practices (Hytönen and Ahlqvist, 2019) and thus firms do recognize the usefulness of strategic planning (Boyd, 1991).

3.1 The Importance of Strategic Planning in an Organization

Planning yield too much rigidity and organizations are obliged to deal with uncertainty. Hence a systematic approach in planning continues to remain as a problem (Miller & Cardinal, 1994) Many issues that seem to be important not examined including the roles of mission, conflicting goals, external players and activities pursued (Crittenden & Crittenden, 1997). Strategic planning requires, intervention and implementation are possible only when the management process and the analytical process are understood from the organizational perspectives (Tomlinson & Dyson 1983) however, Strategic planning provides better performance through analyzing the attribute that is related to the characterization of effectiveness (Dyson & Foster, 1983).

According to Ketokivi & Castaner (2004), strategic planning consists of a set of goals, that is further communicated adequately to the organizational units, ensuring that corporate members understood their organization planning approach. Strategic planning requires communication that insists on the importance of a structured plan in strategic planning.

3.2 Strategic Planning Leads to Sustainability Governance

According to Abbott (2012) “Many scholars view business as driving factor in Private sustainability governance, Business can dominate because of its ‘go-it-alone power’: business expertise, resources and managerial authority allow firms and industry associations to adopt and implement business-friendly standards.” Similarly, the case study on ISEAL developed by Loconto and Fouilleux (2013) shows that the firm opted for internal and external legitimating strategies to institutionalize sustainability. However, Luks and Siebenhüner (2007) say that multiple complexities and multiple actors that related to sustainability require a different approach. Rasche and Waddock (2014) explained the importance of participation, transparency and quality in sustainability governance. Authors are grave concerns about intuitionism sustainability and different approach that enhances the understanding of their practices; instead of viewing sustainability through enforcing mechanism.

4. Strategic Planning Process: An Overview

The strategic planning process is concerned about three essential aspects such as the essentials of mechanism, that explains as to how the planning process involved will tackle the raised issue? What are the objectives that are in immediate concern? Such questions related to process developing mechanism. Then comes the means, to conduct the planning process and finally, the course of action. That shown in Figure 1.
4.1 Mechanisms for the Strategic Planning Process

In this channel, the strategic planning process is involved with steps or envoy that can facilitate the strategy. In terms of sustainable business practices, the organization is committed to producing the agro-products or eco-friendly products, or creating awareness towards green products by generating supply oriented demand; that means the enterprise is aspiring towards providing environmentally friendly products to gain a sustained competitive advantage in an existing market or channel enhancement. Therefore, the organization will involve in identifying product details, the customer base (the target population), the mode of reaching and so on, such tools or types of equipment considered as a mechanism in the strategic planning process.

4.2 Means

Means are the mode of achieving the expected result that requires the governance criteria or similar factor. Such as; the sustainability practised through different channels as utilizing the certified raw materials, encouraging organic farming, initiating green supply chain management in receiving raw materials and actively engaging employees are some of the identified means in sustainable business practices. While framing the desired goal, Such identified means have to explicitly explain the significant contributing element in achieving the desired goal.

4.3 Course of Actions

Sustainable business practices can be actualizing through increasing intermediate asset, such as developing the corporations own, poultry farms, dairy farms, creating social forestry for immediate raw materials needs and the action ground have to be within the access point of board capital or working groups. Under this criterion, the strategic planning process developed. The case study applied meta-framework is shown in Figure 2.
5. Multiple Case Study Analysis

There are three case studies taken, such as Aramex, OZ Minerals and Brambles. These three case studies had carried to understand their value focus to find their sustainability commitments and their strategic directions towards sustainability. Aramex is a global leader in logistics and transportation, Brambles that are related pallets and containers known for its proper practice on circular economy and reuse policy. Similarly, OZ minerals, a mining company, is focused on employee workplace safety, and creating values across diverse operations. The case organizations sustainability practices and strategic views are shown in Table 1 and Table 2.

Table 1. Shows the social performance of case organizations

| Social Performances                        | Case Organizations |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Social impact of value chain               | Brambles           |
| Society awareness of waste                 | Brambles           |
| Providing management roles to women        | Brambles           |
| Sustaining communities                     | Aramex             |
| Resource efficiency                        | Aramex             |
| Operational safety                         | OZ minerals         |
| Maintaining community relations            | OZ minerals         |

Table 2. Shows the case organizations strategic plan towards sustainability

| Strategic plans                            | Case organizations |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Integrating sustainability into operations | Aramex             |
| Growth and value that create opportunities | Brambles           |
| Network advantage                          | Brambles           |
| Simplified governance process              | OZ Minerals         |
| Reliable mining culture and safe work      | OZ Minerals         |

All these three corporations are focused on creating value through their services. Bramble is focusing on developing a sustainability risk committee as a part of their action plan. Similarly, OZ minerals have sustainability governance framework, and Aramex has incorporated sustainability as a strategy. Hence these three case studies a taken for practical study purpose. The data collected are shown in Table 3.
From the obtained predictors, such as cash and cash equivalents, employee benefits and Pensions and thirdly goodwill and tangibles are taken for validation of the study. These three companies are incorporated sustainability through governance, strategic directions and in the planning process. Hence the asset of an organization is related to their economic value. Already they have sustainability commitments. Thus financial cost will further enhance their sustainability commitments in the long run. Therefore, cash and cash equivalent took from the current asset — likewise, employee benefit expenses and goodwill and intangibles taken from the non-current asset. The data collected from the financial statements of the respective firms. Panel data regression analysis conducted with thirty observation point over the year 2008 to 2017.

5.1 Result

Two models have been developed such as OLS regression and random effect model to understand further the goodness of fit model, LM test (Breush and Pagan test) conducted shown in Table 6 that shows that pooled OLS regression is appropriate for the study. Both the regression models are shown in Table 4 and 5.

### Table 3. Predictors for the study In Million Dollars

| Cash and Equivalent | Employee benefits and Pensions | Goodwill and intangibles | Firm ID | Year |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|------|
| 159.7               | 51.6                           | 1028.1                   | 1       | 2017 |
| 156.1               | 47.5                           | 1635.2                   | 1       | 2016 |
| 166.2               | 55                             | 1751                     | 1       | 2015 |
| 222.3               | 60.9                           | 1543.5                   | 1       | 2014 |
| 128.9               | 51.2                           | 2073.2                   | 1       | 2013 |
| 174.2               | 58.8                           | 1969.6                   | 1       | 2012 |
| 138.5               | 37.4                           | 2098                     | 1       | 2011 |
| 135.5               | 50.4                           | 765.6                    | 1       | 2010 |
| 90.1                | 50.8                           | 775.3                    | 1       | 2009 |
| 104.8               | 63.4                           | 863                      | 1       | 2008 |
| 729.4               | 56.2                           | 0                        | 2       | 2017 |
| 655.7               | 60.4                           | 284.9                    | 2       | 2016 |
| 552.5               | 63.9                           | 252.2                    | 2       | 2015 |
| 218.5               | 69.2                           | 252.2                    | 2       | 2014 |
| 364                 | 78.5                           | 252.2                    | 2       | 2013 |
| 659                 | 79.2                           | 252.2                    | 2       | 2012 |
| 886.1               | 66.6                           | 253.1                    | 2       | 2011 |
| 1334.2              | 52.5                           | 0                        | 2       | 2010 |
| 1076.2              | 60.8                           | 0                        | 2       | 2009 |
| 48.01               | 139.09                         | 3.16                     | 2       | 2008 |
| 198.1               | 38.27                          | 378.52                   | 3       | 2017 |
| 190.93              | 37.79                          | 356.8                    | 3       | 2016 |
| 192.52              | 35.27                          | 296.06                   | 3       | 2015 |
| 168.79              | 32.05                          | 305.05                   | 3       | 2014 |
| 178.86              | 28.06                          | 271.58                   | 3       | 2013 |
| 90.84               | 24.82                          | 279.34                   | 3       | 2012 |
| 85.49               | 21.69                          | 283.27                   | 3       | 2011 |
| 151.03              | 18.23                          | 237.44                   | 3       | 2010 |
| 136.63              | 16.23                          | 233.9                    | 3       | 2009 |
| 93.61               | 14.16                          | 220.04                   | 3       | 2008 |

Source: Annual report of firms (01-Brambles; 02-OZ minerals; 03- Aramex)
Table 4. Pooled OLS Regression Model (Model 1)

| Cash and Cash Equivalent                      | Coef.     | Std. Err. | t     | P>|t| | [95% conf. Interval] |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|--------|----------------------|
| Employee benefits and Pension obligations      | 2.874065  | 2.290355  | 1.25  | 0.220  | -1.825356 7.573486   |
| Goodwill and Intangibles assets                | -0.1856034| 0.0838719 | -2.21 | 0.036  | -0.3576942 -0.0135126|
| _cons                                         | 287.6278  | 140.4487  | 2.05  | 0.050  | -0.5490708 -0.0135126|

Number of Observations 30
R square 0.1981
Adjusted R square 0.1387
F value (2, 27) 3.33
Prob>F 0.0508

Table 5. GLS Random Effect Model (Model 2)

| Cash and Cash Equivalent                      | Coef.     | Std. Err. | Z     | P>|Z| | [95% conf. Interval] |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|--------|----------------------|
| Employee benefits and Pension obligations      | 2.874065  | 2.290355  | 1.25  | 0.210  | -1.614949 7.363079   |
| Goodwill and Intangibles assets                | -0.1856034| 0.0838719 | -2.21 | 0.027  | -0.3499892 -0.0212176|
| _cons                                         | 287.6278  | 140.4487  | 2.05  | 0.041  | 12.35346 562.9022    |

Number of Observations 30
R square 0.1043 0.7767 0.1981
Wald chi2 (2) 6.67
Prob> chi 2 0.0356
Sigma_u 0
Sigma_e 188.5161
rho 0

Table 6. Goodness of Fit test (LM TEST)

| Var | sd = sqrt (Var) |
|-----|-----------------|
| Cashand~t | 106108.7 | 325.7434 |
| e | 35538.32 | 188.5161 |
| u | 0 | 0 |

Test: Var (u) = 0
chibar2 (01) = 0.00
Prob>chibar2 = 1.0000

OLS regression analysis shows that spending on goodwill and intangibles are inversely explaining the cash and cash equivalents. Spending more on goodwill and intangibles will inversely affect the easy money or payment in hand, particularly in the competitive environment, where the asset is needed to be protected and enhanced for long run business activities. The cash and cash equivalents are essential for conducting successful business activities that even has a significant phenomenal contribution to the organization value system.

6. Conclusion

The strategic planning process is an inter- dynamic aspect of strategic thinking. When the active elements of strategies are evident in approach, then the inter dynamics will be facile. The meta-framework for strategic planning process developed to show how it is varying from the existing method, and it exhibits the skeleton form of the process involved. Further, the empirical analysis validated the study through panel data analysis that is significantly explaining the importance of cash and cash equivalents through its inverse relationship with goodwill and intangibles. The brands are created not by spending but rather by the efforts and constant labour of the founding fathers of the respective organizations. The name or image developed through their business skills and unique business tactics involved, hence mere spending on brand value and customer base value may not serve the purpose of sustained business activities or with the aim of channel
enhancement or searching new markets. It requires proper strategic planning and strategic directions for sustainable business practices.

7. Limitation of the Study
The study is limited to the strategic planning meta-framework analysis.

8. The Future Direction of the Study
The need for developing a tactical framework and identifying the potential factors that are contributing towards strategic planning process is the future scope of the study.
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