Anomaly detection in surveillance videos using transformer based attention model
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Abstract—Surveillance footage can catch a wide range of realistic anomalies. This research suggests using a weakly supervised strategy to avoid annotating anomalous segments in training videos, which is time consuming. In this approach only video level labels are used to obtain frame level anomaly scores. Weakly supervised video anomaly detection (WSVAD) suffers from the wrong identification of abnormal and normal instances during the training process. Therefore it is important to extract better quality features from the available videos. With this motivation, the present paper uses better quality transformer-based features named Videoswin Features followed by the attention layer based on dilated convolution and self attention to capture long and short range dependencies in temporal domain. This gives us a better understanding of available videos. The proposed framework is validated on real-world dataset i.e. ShanghaiTech Campus dataset which results in competitive performance than current state-of-the-art methods. The model and the code are available at https://github.com/kapildeshpande/Anomaly-Detection-in-Surveillance-Videos.

Index Terms—Video anomaly detection, Weakly supervised, Videoswin features, Attention layer.

I. INTRODUCTION

Video anomaly detection has gained a lot of attention due to its applications in surveillance systems. The cost of deploying surveillance systems has reduced significantly in recent years but it still requires human intervention in detecting anomalous events like fighting, abusing, stealing, etc. Considering the additional cost of human labor and the loss of productive time, the development of intelligent algorithms for video anomaly detection is required. The vague nature of anomaly and the unavailability of annotated data makes anomaly detection difficult. There are various unsupervised [3], [11] and weakly supervised [3], [21], [22] solutions present. Generally, unsupervised anomaly detection tries to learn the distribution of normal events and mark outliers as anomalies. Since it is impossible to learn all possible normal events distribution therefore this model is highly biased and fails in the case of real-world events. It tries to combat the above problem by using both normal and anomalous events while training.

Weakly supervised approaches require significantly less effort as compared to supervised learning because it requires only video-level labels instead of frame-level. However the major challenge of weakly supervised approaches is in identifying the abnormal snippets from the anomalous videos, this is because: the abnormal videos contain a large number of normal snippets and the abnormal events can have only slight differences from normal events. All these above issues can be resolved by using multiple instance learning (MIL) [26] where the training set is divided into the same numbers of abnormal and normal snippets. Two bags are created i.e. a normal bag which contains snippets from a normal video and an abnormal bag that contains snippets from an abnormal video. The snippet with the maximum anomaly score is selected from each bag and the loss is back propagated. Although this method partially addresses the previous issues but it also introduces the following problems: the highest anomaly score can be from normal bag instead of abnormal bag and when anomaly videos have multiple anomaly in the single video it fails to leverage additional anomalies because it only take the snippet with highest anomaly score from the abnormal bag.

To address all these issues the proposed solution used the Robust Temporal Feature Magnitude (RTFM) learning model inspired by Tian et al. [27]. This model relies on the temporal feature magnitude i.e. l2 norm of features for anomaly detection, where normal snippets are represented by low magnitude features, while abnormal snippets are represented by high magnitude features. This model try to maximize $\delta_{score}$ which denotes the difference between the mean of l2 norm of top K features from the abnormal and normal bag where K is the number of abnormal snippets in an abnormal video. This solves the previously discussed problem because: It’s more likely to choose anomalous snippets from abnormal videos instead of normal videos. It can utilize multiple anomalies in
the anomaly videos which will result in better utilization of training data.

It is important to extract better features from the available videos to avoid the wrong identification of abnormal and normal instances during the training process. Researchers have been inspired to employ video transformers as feature extractors to handle anomaly detection tasks as a result of their recent success with video classification tasks. Therefore the proposed model uses a transformer based features named Videoswin which have consistently outperformed the CNN based models like 13D [6], C3D [29], etc. The feature extraction is followed by an attention layer based on dilated convolution to capture most relevant long and short range dependencies [23], [24], [4], [1]. The proposed solution is validated on a real-world dataset i.e. ShanghaiTech Campus dataset which results in competitive performance than current state-of-the-art methods. The major contribution of the present research work are described below:

• To improve the understanding of given videos, a newer transformer based feature extraction model is used named videoswin transformer.
• To highlight relevant features an attention layer based on dilated convolution and self attention that captures long and short range temporal dependencies.
• A comparative study with current state-of-the-art approaches is conducted to examine the effects of the proposed model on the open source ShanghaiTech dataset. The proposed model achieved competitive performance (AUC score) than current state-of-the-art methods.

The rest of the paper is divided into various sections, where Section 2 covers the prevailing work in anomaly detection, followed by proposed methodology in Section 3. Section 4 presents the experimental analysis and finally concluding remarks are presented in Section 5.

II. RELATED WORK

Traditional video anomaly detection uses unsupervised learning [16], [35] algorithms where it tries to learn the distribution of normal events and mark outliers as anomalies. Since it is impossible to learn all possible normal events distribution therefore this model is highly biased and fails in the case of real-world events. Other methods use one-class classification [3], [31] assuming only normal labeled data is available. Some approaches rely on tracking [4], [33] to model people’s regular movement and identify deviations as anomalies. Since it is tough to acquire accurate information of tracks, numerous strategies for avoiding tracking and learning global motion patterns, such as topic modeling [9], have been used, context-driven method [12] social force models [20], histogram-based methods [7], motion patterns [25], Hidden Markov Model (HMM) on local spatiotemporal volumes [11], and mixtures of dynamic textures model [12]. These techniques learn distributions of normal motion patterns from training videos of normal behaviors and discover low likely patterns as anomalies. After the initial success of the sparse representation and dictionary learning methodologies, researchers employed sparse representation [17], [36] to learn the dictionary of patterns. Where anomalous patterns have high reconstruction errors during testing. After the initial success of deep learning in image classification, many techniques for video action classification [10], [28] have been developed. Alternatively, some approaches rely on data reconstruction utilizing generative models to learn normal sample representations by (adversarial) reducing the reconstruction error [30], [34], [83]. These methods presume that undetected abnormal videos/images can often be poorly reconstructed, and samples with large reconstruction errors are considered anomalies. These techniques may overfit the training data and fail to distinguish abnormal from normal events due to a lack of prior knowledge about anomaly.

To solve the above problems Sultani et al. [26] introduced a weakly supervised solution that can learn anomaly patterns by using both normal and anomaly videos using MIL-based models with CNN as the backbone for feature extraction. However, it fails to separate noise present in the positive bag and this can lead to normal snippets being mistaken as abnormal. In this context, to clear the noise present in the positive bag Zhong et al. [37] proposed to use a graph convolution neural network. Although it partially solved the problem, it was computationally heavy. The RTFM model [27] which solves the above problem by using the l2 norm-based ranking loss function. Although it still uses CNN as the backbone for feature extraction.

To capture consistency between successive frames, traditional attention approaches employ consecutive frames and transform them into handcrafted motion trajectories. Other methods such as stacked RNN [18], LSTM [13], convolutional LSTM [13], and GCN-based [37] can capture short-range fixed-order temporal dependencies but they either fail to capture long-range dependencies or they are computationally expensive. Following this context, the proposed attention layer uses dilated convolution based attention mechanism which captures short and long-range temporal dependencies and is computationally inexpensive as compared to other methods. The proposed method uses a more effective transformer-based model for feature extraction and a temporal attention layer for necessary feature enhancement, thus improving the model’s overall performance.

III. PROPOSED METHOD

The proposed framework is divided into 3 stages as given in Fig. 1. As the exact location or frame-level labels are not provided for learning, the proposed solution follows a weakly supervised learning where the videos of different duration are divided into a fixed number of snippets containing the same number of frames. The proposed solution assumed that snippets obtained from an anomaly video contain at least one anomaly snippet, but the snippets from normal videos contain all normal snippets. In stage 1, A pre-trained videoswin model for feature extraction of the snippets. In stage 2 an attention layer is applied to the feature to capture relevant long and short range dependencies in the temporal domain. At last in
The proposed anomaly detection model uses Robust Temporal Feature Magnitude Learning (RTFM) model, in which temporal feature magnitude i.e. l2 norm of video snippets are used for anomaly detection where normal snippets are represented by low magnitude features, while abnormal snippets are represented by high magnitude features. The proposed model assumes that anomalous snippets have a larger mean feature magnitude than normal snippets.

Let \( \|x\| \) be the feature magnitude of snippets where \( x^+ \) means abnormal snippet and \( x^- \) means normal snippet, which are obtained by normal \( (X^+) \) and abnormal \( (X^-) \) videos. Model learns by trying to maximize the \( \delta_{score}(X^+, X^-) \) which denotes the difference between the mean of l2 norm of topK features from the abnormal and normal bag where \( k \) is the number of abnormal snippets in abnormal video. To maximize the \( \delta_{score}(X^+, X^-) \), the loss function (shown in 1) is optimized during backpropagation.

\[
    L(X^+, X^-) = \max(0, m - \text{mean}(\text{topK}(\|X^+\|)) + \text{mean}(\text{topK}(\|X^-\|)))
\]

where \( m \) is a constant predefined margin.

A binary cross-entropy based loss function is applied to learn the snippet classifier as shown in 2. It trains a snippet
classifier with 0 and 1 class labels indicating normal and abnormal snippets respectively.

\[
loss = -y \log(x) + (1 - y) \log(1 - x) \tag{2}
\]

where \(x\) is the mean of l2 norm of topK features, \(x = mean(topK(\|X^+\|))\), and \(y\) is the binary value indicating actual class labels as normal or abnormal.

\[
Smoothness = \sum f(v_i) - f(v_{i+1})^2 \tag{3}
\]

Temporal smoothness is used between consecutive video snippets to vary anomaly score smoothly between video snippets.

\[
Sparsity = \sum f(v_i) \tag{4}
\]

Anomaly frequently happens over a brief period of time in real-world circumstances which leads to sparse anomaly scores of segments in the anomalous bag. To avoid this issue, a sparsity term is used.

\[
Finalloss = \lambda_1 \cdot Eq.\text{1} + \lambda_2 \cdot Eq.\text{2} + \lambda_3 \cdot Eq.\text{3} + \lambda_4 \cdot Eq.\text{4} \tag{5}
\]

where \(\lambda_1\)'s are the respective learning rates for the Eq.s.

IV. EXPERIMENTS

A. Dataset Description

This paper uses a large-scale video anomaly detection dataset called the ShanghaiTech Campus dataset [14]. It includes video from fixed angle street surveillance cameras. It has 437 videos from 12 different backgrounds, with 130 anomalous and 307 normal videos. This is a popular benchmark dataset for anomaly detection tasks that uses both anomalous and normal data. To restructure the dataset into a weakly supervised training set, Zhong et al. [37] picked a sample of anomalous testing videos and turned them into training videos so that all 13 background scenes are covered by the training and testing set. To convert the dataset into weekly supervised, this paper used the same approach as used by Zhong et al. [37] and Tian et al. [27]. Fig. 3 shows the sample normal and abnormal clips from the dataset.

![ShanghaiTech dataset normal and abnormal clips](image)

**TABLE I**

| Method       | Feature | AUC   |
|--------------|---------|-------|
| MIL          | I3D     | 92.3  |
| MIL          | Videoswin | 96.9  |
| RTFM         | I3D     | 93.0  |
| RTFM         | Videoswin | 96.4  |
| Proposed Model | I3D     | 93.7  |
| Proposed Model | Videoswin | 97.9  |

**B. Evaluation Metric**

To measure the model’s performance, this paper used frame-level receiver operating characteristics (ROC) as well as its area under the curve (AUC) score, following the previous methods [26], [37], [27]. AUC score is a measure of separability. It represents the model’s ability to discriminate between classes. An AUC score of 1 means the model can separate both classes perfectly. AUC score of 0 means the model is reciprocating the results means it predicts positive class as negative and vice-versa. AUC score of 0.5 means the model has no class separation capacity. The ROC curve is plotted at all possible classification thresholds by calculating the values of TPR and FPR at every threshold from 0 to 1.

C. Implementation Details

For feature extraction from pre-trained videoswin transformer model on Kinetics dataset, each video is divided into frames of size 224 x 224, for video is divided into \(T = 32\) temporal segments where each segment is 16 frames long, this gives us ten crop features of dimensions 32 x 1024. Cropping snippets into the four corners, center and their flipped form is referred as ten cropping.

In Eq. 1 the margin, \(m = 100\) and the value of \(k = 3\). The 3 fully connected (FC) layers in the RTFM model have 512, 128 and 1 nodes respectively where 1\(^{st}\) and 2\(^{nd}\) FC layer is followed by a ReLU activation function and the last layer is followed by sigmoid function. A dropout function is added after every layer with rate = 0.7. The model is trained using adam optimizer with weight decay of 0.005 and learning rate of 0.001 with batch size = 32 for 500 epochs. Each mini batch has 32 samples chosen at random from normal and abnormal videos. For fare comparison, this paper used the same benchmark setup used by Sultani et al. [26], Zhong et al. [37] and Tian et al. [27].

D. Result Analysis

The results are reported on the ShanghaiTech Campus dataset [14]. Where two backbone models for feature extraction are used namely I3D [6] and videoswin [15]. Comparisons with the previous weakly supervised solutions are given in Table I and visually presented in Fig. 4. Furthermore, the inference drawn is analysed with the help of ROC curves as given in Fig. 5.
Fig. 4. Comparison 13D vs Videoswin Features

The usage of videoswin features leads to better performance than I3D features because of improved video understanding. MIL model specially performed better with videoswin features, it even outperformed the RTFM model which was previously performing better than MIL with I3D features. To compare the attention layer introduced, this paper added a different attention layer to previous methods namely LSTM, CBAM [32], RTFM’s Attention Layer [27]. The results obtained are given in Table II. With the introduction of the proposed attention layer a better AUC score of around 1% is acquired. The usage of LSTM and CBAM results in decreased performance because the models fail when high dimensional feature maps are given as input [19].

V. Conclusion

In this research work, a weakly supervised strategy is proposed. It uses better quality features extracted from videoswin transformer model, followed by an attention layer to encode the long and short range dependencies in the temporal domain. The use of the robust temporal feature magnitude (RTFM) model makes this approach better than multiple instance learning (MIL) based techniques because it learns more discriminative features than the MIL model and it exploits abnormal data more easily. It is found from experiments that the use of better quality features and an improved attention layer resulted in improved performance of the model. In future, more experiments can be performed by exploring different strategies to minimize the noise present in the positive bag.
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