GENERATING MAPS ON SURFACES
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ABSTRACT. We describe procedures for generating all 2-cell embedded simple graphs with up to a fixed number of vertices on a given surface. We also modify these procedures to generate closed 2-cell embeddings and polyhedral embeddings. We give results of computer implementations of these procedures for seven surfaces: the sphere, the torus, the double torus, the projective plane, the Klein bottle, the triple cross surface, and the quadruple cross surface.

1. INTRODUCTION

A map is a simple graph embedded in a surface such that every face is simply connected. A triangulation is a map in which every face has three edges. Section 2 contains more detailed definitions. In Sections 3, 4, and 5 we describe the operations or local deformations which we apply to triangulations and maps.

The generation of triangulations and maps on surfaces such as the projective plane, the torus, and the Klein bottle has similarities to the generation of triangulations and maps on the sphere. There are also interesting differences.

Brinkmann and McKay provide procedures for generating triangulations and maps on the sphere [4, 5]. They implement these procedures in a computer program plantri [3]. We extend these techniques to non-spherical surfaces.

We know from the work of Steinitz [11] that we can generate all the triangulations of the sphere with \( n > 4 \) vertices by applying the vertex splitting operation (Figure 1 and Section 5) to the triangulations of the sphere with \( n - 1 \) vertices. The single initial triangulation for this recursive process is \( K_4 \), embedded in the sphere, the (boundary of the) tetrahedron. The inverse of the vertex splitting operation is the operation of edge contraction. To assure that the repeated application of the vertex splitting operation generates all triangulations it is required that the edge contraction operation can always be performed on any triangulation other than \( K_4 \) and that the result of this edge contraction operation is also a triangulation. For a triangulation of the sphere other than \( K_4 \) it is indeed always possible to find an edge for which the edge contraction operation can be applied [11].

Triangulations of non-spherical surfaces can be generated in the same way. However, for any surface other than the sphere there are many but a finite number of triangulations which do not have any contractible edges [1]. An edge is not contractible if an attempt to apply the edge contraction operation would produce multiple edges. We define a triangulation with no contractible edges to be an irreducible triangulation. The initial triangulations used for generating all triangulations of a surface are the irreducible triangulations of this surface. In Section 7, we provide a method for producing the class of irreducible triangulations of a surface.
We next turn to the generation of maps which might not be triangulations. Maps on the sphere can be obtained from the triangulations of the sphere by the operation of edge removal (Figure 2 and Section 4). For the repeated application of the operation of edge removal to generate all the maps on the sphere, it must always be possible to perform the inverse operation of adding an edge to a map which is not a triangulation and, in the process, obtain another map. The edge must be added in such a way that does not create multiple edges. By applying the Jordan curve theorem we can show that for the sphere the operation of adding an edge is always possible for a map which is not a triangulation.

We would like to generate maps on other surfaces in a similar way. However, there are maps which are not triangulations for which it is not possible to add an edge without producing multiple edges. In Section 5 we introduce a class of maps, which we called irreducible maps, which are analogous to irreducible triangulations for the purpose of generating maps.

The generation of the maps with $n$ vertices of a fixed surface consists of four steps:

1. Generate the irreducible triangulations of the surface (Section 7).
2. Generate the irreducible maps of the surface from the irreducible triangulations by removing vertices (Section 6).
3. Split vertices (E-expansions) of the irreducible maps to obtain face irreducible maps with $n$ vertices (Figure 1 and Section 5).
4. Remove edges (D-expansions) of the face irreducible maps while the maps remain 2-cell embeddings (Figure 2 and Section 4).

Before describing these steps in Sections 4-7 we give definitions related to graphs in Section 2 and we provide notation used when describing operations for generating maps in Section 3. The computer program surftri [13] is an implementation of the techniques for generating triangulations and maps described in this paper. Information on how this was done is contained in Section 8. In Section 9 we discuss how the steps for generating maps can be modified to generate closed 2-cell embeddings and polyhedral embeddings.

We display the irreducible maps on the projective plane and torus in Section 10.
2. Definitions

A surface is a two-dimensional compact manifold. We denote the orientable surface with genus \( g \), the sphere with \( g \) handles attached, as \( S_g \) and the nonorientable surface with genus \( g \), the sphere with \( g \) crosscaps attached, as \( N_g \).

We consider only simple graphs which are graphs with no loops and no multiple edges. Let \( G \) be a connected graph embedded on a surface \( S \). A face of \( G \) is a connected component of the complement of \( G \) in \( S \). The graph \( G \) is a map (or open 2-cell embedding) on \( S \) if every face of \( G \) is homeomorphic to an open disk. If the three edges \( v_1v_2, v_2v_3, \) and \( v_3v_1 \) are contained in the map \( G \) then the union of these three edges is a 3-cycle of \( G \) denoted as \( v_1v_2v_3 \). A map \( G \) on a surface \( S \) is a triangulation of \( S \) if the boundary of every face of \( G \) is a 3-cycle and the map is not a single 3-cycle embedded on the sphere.

Let \( F \) be a face of \( G \) with the boundary edges, in order, \( v_1v_2, v_2v_3, \ldots, v_mv_1 \). The face \( F \) is denoted by the list of vertices \( v_1v_2v_3 \ldots v_m \). These vertices do not need to be distinct. We call \( F \) an \( m \)-face and we say that \( F \) has size \( m \). If \( F \) has size \( m \geq 4 \) then \( F \) is defined to be a large face. The subscripts of the vertices of a face are modulo \( m \). We say we triangulate a large face \( F \) when we add vertices and edges to the interior of \( F \) in such a way that all the new faces formed are 3-faces.

3. Recursive Generation

The basic “isomorph-free” generation technique that is used for the Steps (1)–(4) is described in detail in [4, 5, 8]. For each generation process we specify the class \( \mathcal{C} \) which is the class of maps to be generated, the initial class \( \mathcal{C}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{C} \) which is the class of maps from which the maps in \( \mathcal{C} \) are generated, and \( F \) an expansion operation. The expansion operation \( F \) is a function from \( \mathcal{C} \) into the set of subclasses of \( \mathcal{C} \). We say \( (\mathcal{C}_0; F) \) generates \( \mathcal{C} \) if for each \( G \in \mathcal{C} \) there is a sequence \( G_0, G_1, \ldots, G_m = G \) such that \( G_0 \in \mathcal{C}_0 \) and for every \( i, 1 \leq i \leq m \) we have \( G_i \in F(G_{i-1}) \). We call the expansion operation \( F \) the \( F \)-expansion.

The inverse of the expansion operation \( F \) is the \( F \)-reduction.

Figures 1 and 2 represent expansion operations which we use to generate maps. The left side of each figure shows a part of the embedded graph before the expansion operation. The right side shows the same part of the graph after the expansion operation. Each part of the graphs shown is contained in a simply connected component of the surface. The full edges which are shown are required to be a part of the map being modified. The shorter half edges (in Figure 1) are other unchanged edges of the map. The small flattened triangles (in Figures 1 and 2) represent the location of zero or more other unchanged edges. The expansion operation replaces the subgraph on the left with the subgraph on the right. The reduction operation replaces the right subgraph with the left subgraph. Since the part of the graph being modified is contained in a simply connected component the surface remains the same.

4. Removing Edges in Corners of Large Faces

We examine the steps of the overall map generation process in reverse order to help clarify the choice of operations and initial classes which we use.

In Step 4 we generate \( \mathcal{M}_2 \), the class of all maps for a surface \( S \). We consider an arbitrary map of the type being generated and describe the reduction operation, the D-reduction. The D-expansion is the inverse of the D-reduction. Let \( \mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_2 \) be the class of those maps on \( S \) for which the D-reduction is not possible. We characterize \( \mathcal{M}_1 \) and show that \( (\mathcal{M}_1; D) \) generates \( \mathcal{M}_2 \).
Let $G$ be a map on a surface $S$. We continue to call the map $G$ even as it is modified by the $D$-reduction. The $D$-expansion which is shown in Figure 2 is the removal of an edge from a 3-face. It can only be applied if the map has a 3-face. The $D$-reduction is the addition of an edge in the “corner” of a large face. We only apply the $D$-reduction if the edge to be added does not already exist in the map.

Let $F = v_1v_2 \ldots v_m$ be a large face of $G$ and let $v_i$ be a vertex on $F$. If $v_i = v_{i+2}$ then $v_{i+1}$ has degree 1 and is not adjacent to $v_{i+3}$. The edge $v_{i+1}v_{i+3}$ can be added in the interior of $F$ dividing $F$ into a 3-face and a face of size $m-1$. Adding this edge reduces the number of vertices of degree 1. Repeated addition of edges of this type results in no faces having a vertex of degree 1.

We can now assume that the three vertices $v_i, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}$ on $F$ are distinct. If $v_i$ is not adjacent to $v_{i+2}$ then again the edge $v_i v_{i+2}$ can be added in the interior of $F$ dividing $F$ into a 3-face and a face of size $m-1$.

The addition of an edge in this way is the $D$-reduction. Even though a $D$-reduction adds an edge to the map it simplifies the map by making it more like a triangulation by increasing the number of 3-faces. The application of a $D$-reduction reduces the size of one large face and does not change the size of the other large faces. So eventually no more $D$-reductions are possible.

If $S$ is the sphere then a $D$-reduction can always be applied in a large face. The initial class $\mathcal{M}_1$ of maps on the sphere for which the $D$-reduction is not possible is the class of triangulations of the sphere.

If $S$ is a surface other than the sphere then it is possible to have a large face in which it is not possible to use a $D$-reduction. For example, $P^3$, in Figure 5, shows $K_4$ embedded in the projective plane. Opposite points on the hexagon have been identified. The map has three 4-faces. None of the faces are 3-faces and no edges can be added since the graph is complete.

A large face $F = v_1v_2 \ldots v_m$ of a map is an irreducible face if for every $i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, the vertices $v_i$ and $v_{i+2}$ are adjacent. A map is face irreducible if every face is an irreducible face or a 3-face. Trivially, every triangulation is a face irreducible map.

So the initial class $\mathcal{M}_1$ of maps on $S$ for which the $D$-reduction is not possible is the class of face irreducible maps on $S$.

5. Splitting vertices

We now consider Step 3 of the map generation process in which we generate the face irreducible maps. The class of maps to be generated is $\mathcal{M}_1$ which is used as the initial class in the previous section. The $E$-expansion shown in Figure 1 is used. Below we specify an initial class $\mathcal{M}_0$ such that $(\mathcal{M}_0; E)$ generates $\mathcal{M}_1$.

Let $G \in \mathcal{M}_1$ be a face irreducible map on the surface $S$. The $E$-reduction, edge contraction, is the inverse of the $E$-expansion. The $E$-reduction is applied only if the faces on both sides of the edge being contracted are 3-faces. Also the $E$-reduction is performed only if the resulting graph is still simple. Let $v_1$ and $v_2$ be the vertices of the edge to be contracted and let $u_1v_1v_2$ and $u_2v_1v_2$ be the 3-faces on either side of $v_1v_2$. The two ends of the contracted edge, $v_1$ and $v_2$, must not both be adjacent to any vertices other than $u_1$ and $u_2$. Otherwise, multiple edges would be produced when $v_1v_2$ is contracted. An edge is contractible if it is on exactly two 3-cycles both of which are 3-faces. To apply the edge contraction operation to an edge the edge must be contractible and the map must not be $K_4$ embedded in the sphere. An edge is noncontractible if there is at least one 3-cycle
containing the edge which is not a 3-face. An edge is essentially noncontractible if at least one 3-cycle containing the edge is an essential 3-cycle on $S$.

**Theorem 1.** Every edge on the boundary of an irreducible face is essentially noncontractible.

**Proof.** Let $F = v_1v_2 \ldots v_m$ be an irreducible face and let $v_iv_{i+1}$ be an edge on the boundary of $F$. Define $C_{F,i}$ to be the 3-cycle $v_i v_{i+1} v_{i+2}$. Assume $C_{F,i}$ is not essential, i.e. the interior of $C_{F,i}$ is simply connected. Let $D$ be the disk consisting of $C_{F,i}$ and its interior. The path $v_i v_{i+1} v_{i+2}$ is on the boundary of both $F$ and $D$. The graph consisting of the vertices and edges in $D$ is a map on the sphere so $F$ cannot be in $D$. The vertex $v_{i+3}$ must be adjacent to $v_{i+1}$ but the edge $v_{i+3} v_{i+1}$ cannot be in the interior of $F$ or in the interior of $D$. So $v_{i+3} = v_j$. Similarly, $v_{i+2} = v_{j-1}$. Thus the edge $e = v_{i+2} v_{i+3} = v_{j-1} v_j = v_{i+2} v_{i+1}$ must occur twice on $F$ and $F$ is on both sides of $e$. This is impossible since $C_{F,i}$ must be on one side of $e$. □

Contracting edges of $G$ does not change the surface $S$ in which $G$ is embedded so $C_{F,i}$ in the proof of Theorem 1 remains essential and cannot become a 3-face when edges are contracted. So an irreducible face of $G$ remains an irreducible face of $G$ with the same size when edges of $G$ are contracted. As edges of $G$ are contracted the number of irreducible faces and the their sizes remain unchanged.

We apply the $E$-reduction while contractible edges remain in $G$. Each application of the $E$-reduction reduces the number of vertices of $G$. So after a finite number of $E$-reductions there are no contractible edges.

We define a map $G$ as an irreducible map if $G$ is face irreducible and no edge in $G$ is contractible. The following property of irreducible maps is a generalization of a similar property of irreducible triangulations.

**Theorem 2.** Every edge of an irreducible map is essentially noncontractible.

**Proof.** Let $G$ be an irreducible map. An edge of $G$ is either on the boundary of an irreducible face or on two 3-faces. In the first case the edge is essentially noncontractible by Theorem 1. In the second case since the edge is not contractible it must be on a 3-cycle $C$ which is not a 3-face. We show that $C$ is essential. Assume $C$ is not essential. On the surface $C$ bounds a disk $D$. A new map $H$ on the sphere can be obtained by replacing the exterior of $D$ with a 3-face.

The map $H$ cannot contain a large face. If $H$ contains a large face $F = v_1v_2 \ldots v_m$ then at least one vertex, say $v_2$ of $F$ must be in the interior of the (assumed) non-essential 3-cycle $C$. Then the essential 3-cycle $v_1v_2v_3$ is in the disk $D$. But this is not possible.

The map $H$ contains no large face and is a triangulation of the sphere. If $H$ is $K_4$ then any interior edge of $D$ is contractible in $G$. If $H$ is not $K_4$ then there are contractible edges of $H$ which are also contractible in $G$.

The initial class $\mathcal{M}_0$ is the class of all irreducible maps on the surface $S$ and $(\mathcal{M}_0; E)$ generates $\mathcal{M}_1$, the class of all face irreducible maps on the surface $S$.

### 6. Generating Irreducible Maps

Irreducible maps which we generate in Step 2 have a very nice property which makes them easy to obtain. Each irreducible map $G$ is a “submap” of an irreducible triangulation $T$, i.e. $G$ is obtained by removing zero or more vertices and adjacent edges from $T$.

The class to be generated is the class of irreducible maps. The initial class is the class of irreducible triangulations. The expansion operation is to remove a set of vertices and
adjacent edges with the condition that the resulting embedded graph is in the class of irreducible maps. Theorem 4 shows that this expansion operation does generate all of the irreducible maps.

The following theorem proves another property of irreducible faces and provides us with the tool to prove Theorem 4.

**Theorem 3.** Let $F$ be an irreducible face of a map $G$ (which need not be irreducible). We may replace the interior of $F$ with new vertices, edges, and faces to create a new map $G'$ such that the new faces are 3-faces, the new edges are noncontractible in $G'$, and the interior of the union of the new vertices, edges, and faces is simply connected.

**Proof.** There is one special irreducible face which we handle separately. $P^3$ shown in Figure 5 is a single 3-cycle embedded in the projective plane. The dotted lines and open circles in the figure represent the edges and vertices which are added to obtain the irreducible triangulation $P^1$. So we now can assume that $F$ is not the one face of $P^3$.

Let $F = v_1v_2\ldots v_m$ be an irreducible face of $G$. We could triangulate $F$ by placing an $m$-cycle $w_1w_2\ldots w_m$ in the interior of $F$ and an additional vertex $x$ inside this $m$-cycle. Adding the edges $w_iv_i, w_{i+1}v_i, w_jx$ for $i, 1 \leq i \leq m$ would fill $F$ with 3-faces. We could then contract edges in the interior of $F$ until no more edges in the interior of $F$ are contractible. However, this map might not contain $G$. This might occur if, while contacting edges in the interior of $F$, two vertices of the boundary of $F$ are the ends of an edge which is contracted thus merging these two vertices of $G$. So triangulating of $F$ must be done with more care.

We use the $V$-reduction to add one vertex at a time to the interior of $F$ and to attach the new vertex to vertices which are on the boundary of the large face. The $V$-reduction is shown in Figure 3. This figure is similar to the previous figures showing expansions and reductions. Figure 3 shows only four vertices of the large face, more vertices may be used. The figure also shows dashed curves. Each dashed curve represents part of an edge which is not completely contained in the simply connected component of the surface represented by the figure. One requirement for the application of the $V$-reduction is that at least four edges are added joining consecutive vertices on the boundary of the face to the new vertex. With each $V$-reduction the size of the large face is reduced. We can apply the $V$-reduction only a finite number of times. Let the new vertex be $w$ and let these consecutive vertices on the boundary of the face be $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k$ with $k \geq 4$. A second requirement for the application of the $V$-reduction is that the 3-cycles $v_iv_{i+1}v_{i+2}$ be essential for $i, 1 \leq i \leq k - 2$. Since the 3-cycle $wv_iv_{i+2}$ is homeomorphic in $S$ to the essential 3-cycle $v_{i+1}v_iv_{i+2}$ for $i, 1 \leq i \leq k - 2$ each new edge $wv_i$ is essentially noncontractible for $i, 1 \leq i \leq k$.

We now describe how to add the first vertex to the interior of $F$. 

![Figure 3. Expansion for removing vertex, $k = 4$](image-url)
First assume the vertices \( v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m \) of \( F \) are distinct. This is always the case when \( m \leq 5 \) since each vertex on \( F \) is adjacent to all the other vertices on \( F \). Since \( F \) is an irreducible face and \( m \geq 4 \) we use all the vertices of \( F \) for the \( V \)-reduction. The added edges are all essentially noncontractible and all the new faces are 3-faces satisfying the necessary we relabel the vertices so that \( v_m \) is adjacent to \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \), \( v_m = v_a \) for some \( a, 3 \leq a \leq k \). Likewise, \( v_{k+1} = v_b \) for some \( b, 1 \leq b \leq k-2 \).

We assert that \( k \geq 4 \). Since \( F \) is an irreducible face \( v_i, v_{i+1}, \) and \( v_{i+2} \) are pairwise adjacent and distinct for every \( i, 1 \leq i \leq m \). So \( k \geq 3 \). Suppose that \( k = 3 \). Then for every \( i, 1 \leq i \leq m \) we have \( v_i = v_{i+3} \). The edges of \( F \) would be \( v_1 v_2, v_2 v_3, v_3 v_1, v_1 v_2, v_2 v_3, v_3 v_1, \ldots \). Since a face can occur on an edge at most twice \( F = v_1 v_2 v_3 v_1 v_2 v_3 \) and the map is \( P_3 \) contrary to our earlier assumption.

We use \( \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\} \) to apply a \( V \)-reduction and call the new vertex \( v_1 \). The resulting large face \( F_1 \) is \( v_1 v_1 v_k \ldots v_m \). We observe that the face \( F_1 \) might not be irreducible but it almost satisfies the definition. For every \( i, k \leq i \leq m-1 \), \( v_i \) is adjacent to \( v_{i+2} \). Since \( v_m = v_a \) and \( v_{k+1} = v_b \), \( v_1 \) is adjacent to \( v_m \) and \( v_{k+1} \). The only possible missing condition is that \( v_1 \) might not be adjacent to \( v_k \).

In the remainder of the proof we add additional vertices \( v_2, v_3, \ldots \), in a similar way. With each additional vertex \( v_{n+1} \) a face \( F_{n+1} \) is produced which has fewer vertices of the original face \( F \) than \( F_n \) has. When a face \( F_{n+1} \) with no vertices of the original face \( F \) is obtained then we finish triangulating \( F \) as described below.

Assume we have added \( n \) new vertices to the interior of \( F \) and we have a large face \( F_n \) with boundary \( v_1 w_1 \ldots w_n v_j \ldots v_m \) such that \( j \leq m \) and \( w_n \) is adjacent to \( v_{j+1} \) (which is \( v_1 \) if \( j = m \)). We have shown above that this assumption is true for \( n = 1 \). Starting from this assumption for \( n \) we show either (i) that we can finish triangulating \( F \) or (ii) that the assumption is true for \( n + 1 \) and the face \( F_{n+1} \) has fewer vertices of the original face \( F \) than \( F_n \) has. Thus the construction terminates in a finite number of steps.

We obtain (i) when the vertices on the boundary of \( F_n \) are distinct. In this case, the vertices for attaching \( w_{n+1} \) are \( \{w_n, v_j, \ldots, v_m, v_1, w_1\} \). Recall that when \( w_1 \) is attached \( w_1 \) is adjacent to \( v_m \). If \( n + 1 \leq 3 \) then there is no longer a large face in \( F \) and all the edges which have been added in the interior of \( F \) are essentially noncontractible. If \( n + 1 > 3 \) then there is a resulting large face \( F_{n+1} \) with boundary \( w_1 w_2 \ldots w_{n+1} \). We arbitrarily triangulate the face \( F_{n+1} \) with edges \( w_{n+1} w_i \) for \( i, 1 < i < n \). Some of these \( n - 2 \) edges might be contractible but all the other edges which have been added to the interior of \( F \) are essentially noncontractible. We repeatedly contract any contractible edge in the interior of \( F_{n+1} \) until there are no contractible edges in the interior of \( F_{n+1} \). All of the edges in the interior of \( F \) are then noncontractible and we have triangulated the face \( F \) as required.

We can show (ii) when the vertices on the boundary of \( F_n \) are not distinct. The vertices \( w_n, v_j, v_{j+1}, \) and \( v_{j+2} \) are distinct since \( w_n \) is not on the boundary of \( F \) and the vertices \( v_j, v_{j+1}, \) and \( v_{j+2} \) are pairwise adjacent. Let \( v_i \) be the vertex on the boundary of \( F_n \) such
Theorem 4. If \( G \) is an irreducible map on a surface \( S \) then there is at least one irreducible triangulation \( T \) of \( S \) from which \( G \) may be obtained by removing a set of vertices from \( T \) along with the edges containing these vertices.

**Proof.** Let \( G \) be the irreducible map. Using Theorem 3 we “irreducibly triangulate” each irreducible face of \( G \) to produce a triangulation \( T \). The edges of \( T \) which are in \( G \) are essentially noncontractible by Theorem 2. The edges of \( T \) which are not in \( G \) are noncontractible by Theorem 5. It may be possible to triangulate the irreducible faces of \( G \) in more than one way so the irreducible triangulation \( T \) may be one of many which satisfy the theorem.

7. Generating Irreducible Triangulations

Irreducible triangulations which are generated in Step 1 have been extensively studied. For any fixed surface the number of irreducible triangulations is finite [1]. Irreducible triangulations have been determined and displayed by a number of authors: the single irreducible triangulation of the sphere (\( S_0 \)) by Steinitz and Rademacher [11]; the two irreducible triangulations of the projective plane or the cross surface (\( N_1 \)) by Barnette [2]; the 21 irreducible triangulations of the torus (\( S_1 \)) by Lawrencenko [6]; and the 29 irreducible triangulations of the Klein bottle (\( N_2 \)) by Lawrencenko and Negami [7] and Sulanke [14].

The irreducible triangulations of the double torus (\( S_2 \)), the triple cross surface (\( N_3 \)), and the quadruple cross surface (\( N_4 \)) have been generated by the author using an extension of computer program surftri [13]. The counts of irreducible triangulations are shown in Table 1. The largest of these classes (\( N_4 \)) required 54 CPU days. The author estimates it would take CPU centuries for this program to generate the irreducible triangulations for \( S_3 \) or \( N_5 \).

We describe briefly how the two stage generation process for irreducible triangulations of a surface \( S \) works. In the first stage we use the vertex splitting operation to generate triangulations on slightly simpler surfaces than \( S \). We impose certain conditions necessary for the second stage on these triangulations. These conditions limit the triangulations generated to a finite number. In the second stage these triangulations are modified in such a way that new handles or crosscaps are added to produce irreducible triangulations on \( S \). Only the reduction operation for the second stage is described. More details may be found in [12].

Let \( S \) (not the sphere) be the surface for which we are generating irreducible triangulations. Let \( G \) be an irreducible triangulation of \( S \). Theorem 5 below shows that \( G \) contains many nonseparating 3-cycles. Let \( w_1 w_2 w_3 \) be a nonseparating 3-cycle of \( G \). We create a new triangulation \( G' \) of a different surface \( S' \) using the operations described below.

If the 3-cycle \( w_1 w_2 w_3 \) in \( G \) is two-sided then we cut along \( w_1 w_2 w_3 \) to produce a surface \( S' \) with a boundary consisting of two disjoint 3-cycles \( u'_1 u'_2 u'_3 \) and \( v'_1 v'_2 v'_3 \) where \( u'_i \) and \( v'_i \) come from the original vertex \( w_i \) for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \). We cap the holes with two 3-faces \( u'_1 u'_2 u'_3 \) and \( v'_1 v'_2 v'_3 \). \( G' \) is now a triangulation of \( S' \) which has an Euler genus two less than the Euler genus of \( S \).

If the 3-cycle \( w_1 w_2 w_3 \) in \( G \) is one-sided then we cut along \( w_1 w_2 w_3 \) to produce a surface \( S' \) with a boundary consisting of the 6-cycle \( u'_1 u'_2 u'_3 v'_1 v'_2 v'_3 \) where \( u'_i \) and \( v'_i \) again come from the original vertex \( w_i \) for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \). We cap the hole with a new vertex \( t' \) and six 3-faces
Irreducible triangulations is provided as input to triangulations of the surface using procedures similar those used in reducible triangulations of that surface. Vertices are split using the $D$-expansion.

Maps with irreducible maps and vertex splitting is only done if each irreducible face remains irreducible. The irreducible maps are produced the program switches to the mode of using the operation of removing edges. Edges are removed from 3-faces using the operation of removing edges.Edges are removed from 3-faces until the triangulations have an Euler genus one less than the Euler genus of $S$.

The following theorem is similar to Lemma 4 of [2] and Lemma 4 of [6]. In a triangulation the link of a vertex $v$ is the cycle which is the boundary of the union of the faces containing $v$.

**Theorem 5.** Let $G$ be an irreducible triangulation of a surface other than the sphere, let $v$ be a vertex of $G$, and let $L$ be the link of $v$. Then there are two nonseparating 3-cycles $vv_1v_k$ and $vv_jv_l$ such that $v_i$, $v_j$, $v_k$, and $v_l$ are distinct and one path from $v_i$ to $v_k$ in $L$ contains $v_j$ and the other path from $v_i$ to $v_k$ in $L$ contains $v_l$.

**Proof.** Since $G$ is irreducible, for any vertex $u$ in $L$ the edge $vu$ is on a nonfacial 3-cycle $vuw$. Pick two vertices $v_i$ and $v_k$ in $L$ for which $vv_iv_k$ is a nonfacial 3-cycle and the distance from $v_i$ to $v_k$ in $L$ is minimal. The shorter path from $v_i$ to $v_k$ in $L$ must have an interior vertex since $vv_iv_k$ is not a face. Let the vertex $v_j$ be such an interior vertex on the shorter path from $v_i$ to $v_k$ in $L$. Let $v_j$ be a vertex in $L$ such that $vv_jv_l$ is a nonfacial 3-cycle. $v_j$ is not on the path from $v_i$ to $v_k$ in $L$ containing $v_j$ since the distance from $v_j$ to $v_l$ in $L$ is at least the distance from $v_i$ to $v_k$ in $L$. Suppose $vv_jv_k$ separates the surface. Then $v_j$ and $v_l$ would be in different components but $v_jv_l$ is an edge. Therefore, $vv_jv_k$ is nonseparating and, similarly, $vv_jv_l$ is also nonseparating. \qed

8. Implementation

The computer program `surfti` [13] implements the procedures to generate maps on various surfaces. Many of the ideas and much of the code used in `surfti` are taken from the work of Brinkmann and McKay. Their program `plantri` [5] generates triangulations and maps on the sphere as well as other classes of planar graphs.

The operation of `plantri` is described in [4][5]. To obtain triangulations of the sphere with $n$ vertices `plantri` starts with the only irreducible triangulation of the sphere, $K_4$, and vertices are split using variations of the $E$-expansion until the triangulations have $n$ vertices. If maps with $n$ vertices are being generated then as each triangulation with $n$ vertices is produced the program switches to the mode of using the operation of removing edges. Edges are removed from 3-faces using the $D$-expansion.

To generate triangulations of a surface in the program `surfti` we start with the irreducible triangulations of that surface. Vertices are split using the $E$-expansion to obtain triangulations of the surface using procedures similar those used in `plantri`. The list of the irreducible triangulations is provided as input to `surfti`.

To generate maps on a surface with $n$ vertices `surfti` generates the face irreducible maps with $n$ vertices. Vertex splitting, the $E$-expansion, is again used. `surfti` starts with irreducible maps and vertex splitting is only done if each irreducible face remains irreducible. The irreducible maps are provided as input, having been pregenerated and stored on disk. As each face irreducible map with $n$ vertices is produced `surfti` switches to the mode of using the operation of removing edges. Edges are removed from 3-faces using the $D$-expansion.
The irreducible maps with at least one large face were generated using Theorem 4 rather than the construction used in its proof. For a fixed surface each irreducible triangulation was processed by removing sets of vertices and checking if the results were irreducible maps. Duplicates were removed by sorting all the irreducible maps obtained in this way. The number of irreducible triangulations of a surface is finite [1] and each irreducible map is obtained by removing vertices from an irreducible triangulation. Therefore, the number of irreducible maps on a surface is finite. We also show the counts of irreducible maps in Table 1.

9. Maps, Closed 2-Cell Embeddings, and Polyhedral Embeddings

We have described the steps listed in Section 1 for generating maps (open 2-cell embeddings). We now consider how more restricted classes of maps can be generated by modifying these steps.

The face-width of an embedded graph on a surface is the smallest number \( k \) such that there is a noncontractible closed curve on the surface that intersects the graph at \( k \) points [10].

Maps are embedded graphs which have face-width at least 1 and are 1-connected. A closed 2-cell embedding is a map for which the closure of every face is a closed 2-cell. For every face \( F \) of a closed 2-cell embedding no vertex occurs more than once on \( F \). Closed 2-cell embeddings are those maps which have face-width at least 2 and are 2-connected [9]. The D-expansion does not increase the face-width or the connectivity of a map. So in order to obtain a closed 2-cell embedding when we apply the D-expansion we must apply the operation to another closed 2-cell embedding. However, the E-expansion may increase the face-width of some maps from 1 to 2. We only modify the final step used to generate maps to obtain a procedure for generating closed 2-cell embeddings.

The generation of the closed 2-cell embeddings with \( n \) vertices of a fixed surface consists of four steps:

1. Generate the irreducible triangulations of the surface.
2. Generate the irreducible maps of the surface from the irreducible triangulations by removing vertices.
3. Split vertices (E-expansions) of the irreducible maps to obtain face irreducible maps with \( n \) vertices.
4. Remove edges (D-expansions) of the face irreducible maps while the maps remain closed 2-cell embeddings.

A polyhedral embedding is a map for which the closures of any pair of faces have exactly one vertex, exactly one edge, or no points in common. Polyhedral embeddings on a surface are those maps which have face-width at least 3 and are 3-connected [9].

We use Theorem 1 to show that any map with an irreducible face has face-width at most 2. Let \( F = v_1v_2\ldots v_m \) be an irreducible face on a surface \( S \). We construct a closed curve consisting of two segments. One segment is in the interior of \( F \) connecting \( v_1 \) and \( v_3 \). The other segment is close to the edge \( v_1v_3 \) and connects them. This closed curve is homeomorphic in \( S \) to the essential 3-cycle \( v_1v_2v_3 \) and thus is noncontractible. This closed curve intersects the graph at only 2 points, \( v_1 \) and \( v_3 \).

Again we note that the D-expansion does not increase the face-width nor the connectivity of a map. Also, the E-expansion is restricted from eliminating irreducible faces. So we do not need to use any maps with irreducible faces in the generation of polyhedral embeddings.
The generation of the polyhedral embeddings with \( n \) vertices of a fixed surface consists of only three steps:

1. Generate the irreducible triangulations of the surface.
2. Split vertices (E-expansions) of the irreducible triangulations to obtain triangulations with \( n \) vertices.
3. Remove edges (D-expansion) of the triangulations while the maps remain polyhedral embeddings.

In Table 2, we show the counts of maps, closed 2-cell embeddings, and polyhedral embeddings on the projective plane for increasing numbers of vertices. We also show the counts for irreducible maps and face irreducible maps. The surftri program produced these values. Table 3 shows the counts for maps on the torus.

We estimate that the entries in the tables which are blank would require more than 100 days of CPU time to compute on 2.4 GHz processors. We adapted the data structures used in the surftri program to store the embeddings from the data structures used in plantri. We modified these data structures to allow embeddings in non-orientable surfaces. These modified data structures require more computer operations than are used in plantri. The generation rates for surftri range from 1 to 1.4 million maps/second on a 2.4 GHz processor. When generating maps on the sphere, the rates for surftri are 0.7 to 0.95 of those rates observed using plantri.

10. IRREDUCIBLE MAPS ON THE PROJECTIVE PLANE AND THE TORUS

We provide drawings of the irreducible maps on the projective plane and torus. For each irreducible map with large faces, we indicate one of the irreducible triangulations from which it may be obtained. The vertices and edges which have been removed from the irreducible triangulation are shown as open circles and dotted lines. The irreducible triangulation chosen requires the minimum number of vertices to be removed.

Figure 4 shows the two irreducible triangulations of the projective plane. Figure 5 shows the five irreducible maps with large faces on the projective plane.

There are 21 irreducible triangulations, \( T^1 - T^{21} \), of the torus, which are shown in Figures 6 and 7. Figures 8–11 show the 47 irreducible maps, \( T^{22} - T^{68} \), with large faces on the torus.
Figure 5. Irreducible maps with large faces on the Projective Plane
Figure 6. Irreducible triangulations of the torus, $T^1 - T^{12}$.
Figure 7. Irreducible triangulations of the torus, $T^{13} - T^{21}$
Figure 8. Irreducible triangulations with large faces on the torus, $T_{22}^{22} - T_{33}^{33}$
Figure 9. Irreducible triangulations with large faces on the torus, $T^{34} - T^{45}$
Figure 10. Irreducible triangulations with large faces on the torus, $T^{46} - T^{57}$
Figure 11. Irreducible triangulations with large faces on the torus, $T^{58} - T^{68}$
| Vertices | Irreducible maps | Face irreducible maps | Maps |
|----------|------------------|-----------------------|------|
|          | closed 2-cell    | open 2-cell           | polyhedral | closed 2-cell | open 2-cell |
|          | triangular       | closed 2-cell         | open 2-cell | polyhedral    | closed 2-cell | open 2-cell |
| 3        | 0                | 0                     | 1       | 0             | 0             | 1             |
| 4        | 0                | 1                     | 2       | 0             | 1             | 6             |
| 5        | 0                | 2                     | 4       | 0             | 9             | 65            |
| 6        | 1                | 2                     | 11      | 1             | 188           | 1128          |
| 7        | 1                | 1                     | 42      | 10            | 4850          | 27041         |
| 8        | 16               | 90                    | 204     | 247           | 141255        | 741730        |
| 9        | 134              | 566                   | 1185    | 8576          | 4138394       | 21858099      |
| 10       | 1210             | 4059                  | 7768    | 263539        | 119621784     | 663735117     |
| 11       | 11719            | 31773                 | 55832   | 7290012       | 3413905527    | 20513612018   |
| 12       | 114478           | 261912                | 427171  | 185392686     | 96571642059   | 640173121863  |
| 13       | 1108826          | 2222281               | 339145  | 4448447624    | 2716506356624 | -             |
| 14       | 10606795         | 19187942              | 27792593| 102469338545  | -             | -             |
| 15       | 100352404        | 167528480             | 231869302| 2292650424419 | -             | -             |
| 16       | 940956644        | 1473754923            | 1963615254| -             | -             | -             |
| 17       | 8762227629       | 13035546948           | 16822695958| -             | -             | -             |
| 18       | 81168427279      | 115780306285          | 145453671164| -             | -             | -             |
| 19       | 748953936818     | 1031742846949         | 1267008314524| -             | -             | -             |
### Table 3. Counts by number of vertices for maps on the torus

| Vertices | Irreducible maps | Face irreducible maps | Maps |
|----------|------------------|-----------------------|------|
|          | closed 2-cell     | open 2-cell           |      |
|          | closed 2-cell     | open 2-cell           | polyhedral | closed 2-cell | open 2-cell |
|          | triangular        |                       |      |
| 4        | 0                 | 0                     | 2    | 0             | 0           | 3           |
| 5        | 0                 | 2                     | 7    | 0             | 2           | 8           | 3           | 70          |
| 6        | 0                 | 7                     | 11   | 0             | 8           | 25          | 0           | 205         | 2656        |
| 7        | 1                 | 12                    | 16   | 1             | 39          | 124         | 1           | 15958       | 126466      |
| 8        | 4                 | 15                    | 15   | 7             | 290         | 789         | 33          | 1014018     | 6070817     |
| 9        | 15                | 16                    | 16   | 112           | 2584        | 5976        | 4713        | 52587939    | 280232378   |
| 10       | 1                 | 1                     | 1    | 2109          | 25202       | 49677       | 442429      | 2376996732  | 12389481487 |
| 11       | 0                 | 0                     | 0    | 37867         | 258518      | 443088      | 28635972    | 97845502685 | 527699182180 |
| 12       | 0                 | 0                     | 0    | 605496        | 2719039     | 4145672     | 1417423218  | 3770598166962 | -           |
| 13       | 0                 | 0                     | 0    | 8778329       | 28922902    | 40158242    | 58321972887 | -           | -           |
| 14       | 0                 | 0                     | 0    | 117839254     | 308507103   | 398230859   | 2102831216406 | -           | -           |
| 15       | 0                 | 0                     | 0    | 1491505713    | 3283573624  | 4008448713  | -           | -           | -           |
| 16       | 0                 | 0                     | 0    | 18035839188   | 34773632099 | 40687327452 | -           | -           | -           |
| 17       | 0                 | 0                     | 0    | 210391127053  | 365879925813 | 414537434014 | -           | -           | -           |
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