Harnessing Production Potential of Acidic Soils: Impacts of Azolla (Azolla pinnata) Bio-fertilizer and Urea on Rice (Oryza sativa L.) Performance, Temporal Soil P Availability and Acidity Indices
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Abstract: A field experiment was conducted during kharif 2017-18 to investigate the impacts of azolla (Azolla pinnata) bio-fertilizer and urea on rice (Oryza sativa L.) yield, temporal soil P availability and improvement in soil acidity indices under low land acidic soil of Meghalaya. Six treatments viz., control (T1), fresh azolla incorporation @ 16000 kg ha⁻¹ (T2), 30 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea (T3), 60 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea (T4), 30 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea + azolla incorporation @ 16000 kg ha⁻¹ (T5) and 60 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea with azolla incorporation @ 16000 kg ha⁻¹ (T6) were tested in Randomized Block Design with four replications. Application of 60 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea along with azolla incorporation @ 16000 kg ha⁻¹ (T5) produced highest grain yield (4.2 t ha⁻¹) followed by T6 and T4. It had significantly greater available phosphorus at 30 DAT (24.13 P₂O₅ kg ha⁻¹) and at maturity (20.63 P₂O₅ kg ha⁻¹) followed by 30 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea + azolla incorporation @ 16000 kg ha⁻¹ (T5), compared to control and other treatment plots. Available phosphorus in T6 was statistically significant over T4 (60 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea) whereas T5 was significant over T5 (30 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea) with respect to advancement in crop age. The soil acidity indices reflected highest improvement with azolla bio-fertilizer incorporation @ 16000 kg ha⁻¹ (T2) over all other treatments indicating that azolla bio-fertilizer can be an alternative option for acid soil management.
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INTRODUCTION

Soil acidity is one of the major constraints in crop production throughout the world. Globally, it affects about 3.95 billion ha land area [1] which is about 30 per cent of the world’s ice-free land area. About 40.9 per cent of America, 26.4 per cent of Asia, 16.7 per cent of Africa, 9.9 per cent of Europe, and 6.1 per cent of Australia and New Zealand have acid soils [2]. These soils cover a significant part of at least 48 developing countries, especially located in the tropical areas [3].

In India, approximately one-third of the cultivated land is affected by soil acidity [4]. These soils are distributed in the Himalayan region, the eastern and the north-eastern plains, peninsular India and the coastal plains under varying agro-climatic conditions. Majority of these soils are concentrated in North-Eastern Region (NER) of India where approximately 95 per cent soils are acidic, with nearly 65 per cent soils under strong acidity with pH less than 5.5 [5].

Soil acidity influences many chemical and biological reactions that control plant nutrient availability and the toxicity of some elements [6]. Crop productivity on such soils is mostly constrained by aluminium (Al) and iron (Fe) toxicity, phosphorus (P) deficiency, low base saturation, impaired biological activity and other acidity-induced soil fertility and plant nutritional problems [7, 8]. The levels of soil acidity along with its associated impacts on soil fertility and crop productivity are expected to further intensify in a changing climate [9]. Soil acidity management and crop productivity improvement on such soils is therefore important for enhancing food security globally and regionally.
Mehalaya is an agriculturally important state in NER of India, with typically high levels of soil acidity and very high rainfall. Acidity-induced soil fertility problems coupled with traditionally minimal use of mineral fertilizers are often held responsible for low levels of crop productivity in the state. Phosphorus deficiency occurs widely in lowland soils that possess high native P-fixing capacity, especially in acidic soils. The toxicity of soil aluminum has been recognized as one of the important factors limiting the productivity of rice on acid soils with pH less than 5.5. As a result, the productivity of the acid soils of Meghalaya is very low (<1 t ha\(^{-1}\)). There are several indices used to correct soil acidity for maximizing crop yields. These indices include pH, base saturation, acidity saturation, aluminum saturation, calcium saturation, magnesium saturation, potassium saturation, Ca/Mg ratio, Ca/K ratio, and Mg/K ratio (Fageria, 2008) [10]. Quantifying optimum soil acidity indices is an important strategy for achieving maximum economic rice yield on acid soils.

Phosphorus deficiency coupled with low P-fertilizer use efficiency (P-fue), more often only 10-15 per cent has been observed to be a limiting factor for rice production in low land acidic soils. It is defined as yield increase per kg fertilizer P added, is related to P sources, environmental factors, soil, and crop management practices. To maintain an adequate supply of plant available P, the conventional practices of liming and regular application of P fertilizers are carried out. Over liming can cause P fixation by calcium, and excessive or unbalanced use of P fertilizers may result in eutrophication. Moreover, liming may also not be economical in regions where it is expensive.

Although, some information on P sorption and fixation using organic matter incorporation in acid soils exist [11, 12], there is dearth of information on the use of azolla (Azolla pinnata) to minimize P fixation in acid soils. Azolla is a floating fern having endo-symbiotic N\(_2\) fixing Cyanobacterium, Anabaena azollae. It is a multipurpose crop and can be used as bio-fertilizer also. The average N, P and K content in azolla on dry weight basis are 4.0-7.0, 0.6-0.8 and 2.0-4.0 per cent, respectively. With a doubling of biomass within two days, azolla ranks amongst the fastest-growing plants on our planet and thus can provide large bio-mass. The efficient use of azolla is still limited due to lack of insights in the P availability with time and in the corresponding mechanisms. The aim of this work was to evaluate the performance of azolla (Azolla pinnata) integrated with urea on rice (Oryza sativa L.) cv. Shasharang in low land acidic soil, temporal soil phosphorus availability and soil acidity indices.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The experiment was conducted at Research Farm of the College of Post-Graduate Studies in Agricultural Sciences (CPGS-AS), Central Agricultural University, Umiam, Ri-Bhoi district of Meghalaya located at 91°18' to 92°18' E longitude and 25°40' to 26°20' N latitude with an altitude of 950 m above the mean sea level. The experimental soil was having pH 5.1, SOC 1.75 per cent, available N, P and K as 288.62, 17.23 and 201.46 kg ha\(^{-1}\), respectively. Being acidic soil, it exhibited 2.25, 3.02, 1.3 and 7.33 cmol(p+)kg\(^{-1}\) exchangeable aluminium, exchangeable acidity, exchangeable calcium (Ca) + magnesium (Mg), and cation exchange capacity (CEC), respectively. The field experiment was conducted during kharif season of 2017 taking rice as test crop in Randomized Block Design (RBD) having six treatments and four replications viz., control (T\(_1\)), azolla incorporation @ 16000 kg ha\(^{-1}\) on fresh weight basis (T\(_2\)), 30 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) through urea (T\(_3\)), 60 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) through urea (T\(_4\)), 30 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) through urea + azolla incorporation @ 16000 kg ha\(^{-1}\) (T\(_5\)) and 60 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) through urea with azolla incorporation @ 16000 kg ha\(^{-1}\) (T\(_6\)). All the agronomic practices were followed for raising test crop. The N, P and K content in azolla on dry weight basis was 4.2, 0.6 and 1.9 per cent, respectively.

Grain and biological yield of rice were recorded after the harvest of the crop when optimum moisture content was achieved and expressed in t ha\(^{-1}\). The soil samples from each plot were collected by core sampling at 30, 60, 90 days after transplantation. The soil samples were mixed, dried, ground and passed through a 0.2 mm stainless sieve and available phosphorus was estimated by stannous chloride blue colour method [13,14]. Soil acidity indices were estimated using standard methods and calculated with the help of the following formulas:

\[
\text{CEC}(\text{cmol}_c \text{ kg}^{-1}) = \sum (\text{Ca}^{2+}, \text{Mg}^{2+}, \text{K}^+, \text{H}^+, \text{Al}^{3+})
\]

Where Ca, Mg, K, H and Al are in centimoles of positive charge per kilogram.

\[
\text{Base saturation} (\%) = \frac{\sum (\text{Ca}, \text{Mg}, \text{K}, \text{Na})}{\text{CEC}} \times 100
\]

\[
\text{Alumimun saturation} (\%) = \frac{\text{Al}}{\sum (\text{Ca}, \text{Mg}, \text{K}, \text{Al})} \times 100
\]

\[
\text{Acidity saturation} (\%) = \frac{\text{H} + \text{Al}}{\text{CEC}} \times 100
\]

\[
\text{Saturation of Ca, Mg and K} (\%) = \frac{\text{Ca}}{\text{CEC}} \times 100, \frac{\text{Mg}}{\text{CEC}} \times 100 \text{ or } \frac{\text{K}}{\text{CEC}} \times 100
\]
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Grain and biological yield (t ha⁻¹)

Grain yield of rice increased significantly with different levels of azolla bio-fertilizer and urea (Fig. 1). Grain yield varied from 2.73 to 4.2 t ha⁻¹ amongst different treatment combinations. It was found that T₅ (60 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea + azolla incorporation @ 16000 kg ha⁻¹) gave highest yield with 4.2 t ha⁻¹ which was trailed by T₃ (30 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea + azolla incorporation @ 16000 kg ha⁻¹) with 3.77 t ha⁻¹. The per cent increase in T₅ over T₃ (30 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea) was 17.81 per cent whereas, T₆ increased over T₄ (60 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea) by 17.65 per cent. There was 53.84 per cent increase in grain yield in T₅ over T₁. Further, it was observed that the grain yield obtained in T₂ was statistically at par with T₃. The values of this parameters obtained in T₄ were statistically at par with the values obtained in T₅. Among the sole treatment of azolla and urea, urea treatment showed better performance. Different treatments brought significant difference on biological yield of rice. Highest biological yield was recorded in T₆ (60 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea + azolla incorporation @ 16000 kg ha⁻¹) which was significantly superior over T₁ (control), T₂ (azolla incorporation @ 16000 kg ha⁻¹) and T₃ (30 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea) whereas statistically at par with T₄ (60 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea) and T₅ (30 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea + azolla incorporation @ 16000 kg ha⁻¹).

These results confirmed the findings of many earlier researchers. Buragohain et al. [16] reported that the incorporation of fresh or dry azolla biomass into the soil always increased grain and straw yield of rice. They also reported that azolla hybrid along with fertilizer nitrogen increased the grain yield and yield component of rice. The results obtained by Mensah et al. [17] also corroborate with this fact. Similarly, Chandel et al. [18] obtained significant increase in grain yield of rice when azolla was used along with 100 kg N ha⁻¹ as USG (Urea Super Granule). The reason for increased yield components and grain yield of rice in azolla incorporated treatments might be due to higher availability of azolla nitrogen to rice plants. When azolla is incorporated into the flooded soil, it undergoes active decomposition and the nitrogen released in ammonical form is readily absorbed by the rice plants. The low yield reported in azolla unincorporated treatments could be due to non-availability of additional azolla-N to rice plants. Ghosh et al. [19] also reported that rice plants absorbed more than 50 per cent of 15N labelled azolla-N incorporated at the time of transplanting, however when azolla was kept on the surface of water, less than 10 per cent of its N was available to the rice plants. So the efficiency of azolla bio-fertilizer could be increased by incorporating it into the rice soil, which avoids losses of nitrogen and higher yield response could be obtained from rice plants. Increased dry matter and grain yields as observed in the present study with azolla application also had been reported by Ladha et al. [20].

Temporal soil P availability

The soil available phosphorus content in all treatments was statistically significant over T₁ (control) as shown in Fig. 2. The combined application of azolla and urea exhibited a significant effect on available phosphorus in the soil. The T₅ (60 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea with azolla incorporation @ 16000 kg ha⁻¹) treated plot had significantly greater available phosphorus 24.13 P₂O₅ kg ha⁻¹ and 20.63 P₂O₅ kg ha⁻¹ at 30 DAT and in the soil samples collected at maturity, followed by T₃ (30 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea + azolla incorporation @ 16000 kg ha⁻¹) treated plots in comparison to control and other treatment plots. The T₃ and T₅ treatments registered 23.99 and 29.40 per cent higher available phosphorus than the control in the soil samples collected at maturity stage. A perusal of the data revealed that available phosphorus varied from 14.91 P₂O₅ kg ha⁻¹ under control (T₁) in the soil samples collected at maturity to 24.13 P₂O₅ kg ha⁻¹ under T₅ at 30 DAT. Further, it was also observed that available phosphorus in T₅ was statistically significant over T₄ (60 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea) whereas T₅ was significant over T₃ (30 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea) with respect to advancement in crop age. Also, T₂ (azolla incorporation @ 16000 kg ha⁻¹) showed significant increase in available phosphorus over T₁. The values of available phosphorous in T₁ were statistically at par with T₄ and T₃ respectively with respect to days after transplanting (DAT). When treatment T₂ was compared with T₄ and T₃ it was observed that there was a significant increase with respect to advancement in crop age.

The higher soil available phosphorus in combined treatments might be due to higher content of organic carbon and thus help converting the immobilized phosphorus into labile or inorganic phosphorus. The increase of available phosphorus could also be explained by better soil condition and subsequently lesser phosphorus fixation. Incorporation of azolla in combination with urea recorded higher content of phosphorus in soil may be attributed to higher addition of organic matter which helped in releasing the higher amounts of phosphorus from the soil. Organic manure enhanced the labile P in the soil through complexation of cations like Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ when it is applied in combination with urea. Generally, addition of organic manures with chemical fertilizers had the beneficial effect in increasing the phosphate availability [21-23].

Soil acidity indices

Soil reaction

A slight increase in soil pH was observed in the treatments where azolla is used whereas soil pH slightly decreased where urea is used in comparison to the initial soil pH (5.1) recorded at the time of initiation of the experiment (Fig. 3). The pH of the soil...
ranged from 4.96 to 5.35. The highest pH value (5.35) was recorded in T2 (azolla incorporation @ 16000 kg ha⁻¹) whereas the lowest value (4.96) was recorded in T4 (60 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea). The increase in soil pH might be attributed to decrease of Al³⁺ and release of basic cations during decomposition of organic manures, whereas application of nitrogenous fertilizers decreases the pH due to residual acidity of fertilizers. These results are in consonance with the findings of Zhang et al. and Yaduvanshi and Sharma [24, 25].

**Exchangeable aluminium and exchangeable acidity**

Exchangeable aluminium in soil significantly varied among the treatments (Fig. 3). The exchangeable Al in soil ranged from 1.92 to 2.37 cmol(p+) kg⁻¹. The highest value (2.37 cmol(p+) kg⁻¹) was recorded in T4 (60 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea) whereas the lowest value (1.92 cmol(p+) kg⁻¹) was recorded in T2 (azolla incorporation @ 16000 kg ha⁻¹). A slight increase in exchangeable Al was observed in the treatments where urea is used, whereas a slight decrease was observed where azolla is used in comparison to the initial exchangeable Al (2.25 cmol(p+) kg⁻¹) recorded at the time of initiation of the experiment. There was an increase of 18.99 percent in 60 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea over azolla incorporation @ 16000 kg ha⁻¹.

The exchangeable acidity content in all treatments was non-significant as presented in Fig. 3. The exchangeable acidity in soil ranged from 2.77 to 3.10 cmol(p+) kg⁻¹. The highest value (3.10 cmol(p+) kg⁻¹) was recorded in T4 (60 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea) whereas the lowest value (2.77 cmol(p+) kg⁻¹) was recorded in T2 (azolla incorporation @ 16000 kg ha⁻¹). This might be attributed to the fact that the proton consuming ability of humic materials might have reduced acidity in this case. The slow reduction of acidity might be explained by the steady formation of organic material with functional groups such as carboxyl and phenolic groups during decomposition and by the low solubility of CaCO₃. Moreover, the pH increase with azolla bio-fertilizer treatment could be attributed to the reduction of exchangeable aluminium and acidity in these acidic soils (pH 4.5–5.5). This reduction is considered to occur through aluminium and hydrogen precipitation or chelation on organic colloids or by complexation of soluble aluminium and hydrogen by organic molecules, especially organic acids [26].

**Exchangeable Ca and Mg**

The data on exchangeable Ca and Mg presented in Fig. 3 shows that there was a non-significant effect by different treatments. The exchangeable Ca and Mg of the soil ranged from 1.18 to 1.92 cmol(p+) kg⁻¹. The highest value (1.92 cmol(p+) kg⁻¹) was recorded in T2 (azolla incorporation @ 16000 kg ha⁻¹) whereas the lowest value (1.18 cmol(p+) kg⁻¹) was recorded in T4 (60 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea). An increase in exchangeable Ca and Mg was observed in the treatments where azolla is used whereas slight decrease in exchangeable Ca and Mg was recorded where urea is used as compared to the initial (1.3 cmol(p+) kg⁻¹) exchangeable Ca and Mg. However, there was an increase of 38.54 percent in azolla incorporation @ 16000 kg ha⁻¹ over 60 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea.

Azolla treatment in both sole and combined was found to be superior in maintaining higher concentration of exchangeable calcium and magnesium over sole application of urea which might be due to higher concentration of organic matter in the soil. The higher concentration of exchangeable calcium and magnesium in azolla treatments over the urea treatments and control was due to the fact that azolla additions increased the exchangeable calcium status of the soils due to increase in biomass production and its incorporation in the soil. Better soil physical conditions increased soil microbiological activity and more mineralization by the microbes from soil pool which might have increased the exchangeable calcium in the soil. Similar observations have been reported by Sharma et al. [27, 28].

**Exchangeable CEC**

The exchangeable CEC of the soil was significantly and positively correlated with different treatment combinations (Fig. 3). It ranged from 6.99 to 7.90 cmol(p+) kg⁻¹. The highest value (7.90 cmol(p+) kg⁻¹) was recorded in T2 (azolla incorporation @ 16000 kg ha⁻¹) due to higher organic carbon content whereas the lowest value (6.99 cmol(p+) kg⁻¹) was recorded in T4 (60 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea). The treatments receiving azolla bio-fertilizer were superior in maintaining exchangeable CEC due to high biomass incorporation in the soil. Similar observations were reported by Chyamweshi et al. [29].

**Base saturation percentage**

Base Saturation Percentage (BSP) in T6 (60 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea + azolla incorporation @ 16000 kg ha⁻¹) was significant over T1 as presented in Fig. 4. The base saturation percentage of the soil ranged from 16.88 to 24.3 per cent. The highest value (24.3 per cent) was recorded in T2 (azolla incorporation @ 16000 kg ha⁻¹) whereas the lowest value (16.88 per cent) was recorded in T4 (60 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea). Base saturation is the percentage of the CEC occupied by the basic cations like Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺ and K⁺. It followed the same trends as exchangeable Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ [30, 31].

**Acidity saturation percentage**

Acidity saturation is the percentage of the CEC occupied by the acidic cations like H⁺ and Al³⁺. Acidity Saturation Percentage (ASP) in soil was significant between the treatments. The acidity saturation percentage in soil ranged from 75.7 to 83.12 per cent. The highest value (83.12 per cent) was recorded in T4 (60 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea) whereas the lowest (75.7 per cent) was recorded in T2 (azolla incorporation @ 16000 kg ha⁻¹). It followed the same trends as exchangeable Al and exchangeable acidity [32]. It may be concluded from present study that application of 60 kg N ha⁻¹ through urea in combination with incorporation of azolla...
16000 kg ha⁻¹ is the best suitable option for getting optimum production of rice and sustainability of soil health in low land acid soil of Meghalaya.

**Fig-1:** Impact of azolla bio-fertilizer and urea on grain and biological yield of rice (t ha⁻¹) in low land acidic soil of Meghalaya

**Fig-2:** Temporal soil phosphorus availability (kg ha⁻¹) as affected by azolla bio-fertilizer and urea during rice cultivation in low land acidic soil of Meghalaya
Fig-3: Soil acidity indices as affected by azolla bio-fertilizer and urea after Rice harvesting in low land acidic soil of Meghalaya

Fig-4: Soil acidity (Al+H) and base saturation (%) as affected by integrated Use of urea and azolla for rice cultivation in low land acidic soil of Meghalaya
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