The conformity of H I galaxies in ALFALFA-SDSS sample
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Abstract The conformity effect, indicating the evolution of galaxies is related to its surrounding neighbor galaxies as far as a few Mpc, is an interesting phenomenon in the modeling of galaxy and evolution. Here we study the conformity effect of HI galaxies in a matched galaxy sample between SDSS DR7 and ALFALFA surveys. By checking the probability difference for the detected H I galaxies as a function of distance around a normal or an H I galaxy, we find that this effect is significant out to 5 Mpc. It also shows a dependence on the stellar mass of galaxies, with the strength the strongest in the stellar mass range of \(10^{10} < M^*/M_{\odot} < 10^{10.5}\). However, when the sample is confined to central galaxies in groups with virial radii smaller than 1 Mpc, the 1-halo conformity signal is still evident, while the 2-halo conformity signal is reduced to a very weak amplitude. Our results confirm the previous study in the optical band that the 2-halo term is possibly caused by the bias effect in the sample selection. Furthermore, we confirm the existence of the 1-halo conformity discovered in the optical and radio band in previous investigations. Our results provide another viewpoint on the conformity effect and hope to shed light on the co-evolution of the galaxies and their neighbors in the current galaxy formation models.
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1 INTRODUCTION

In recent years, an intriguing clue regarding the properties of galaxies is ‘galactic conformity’, a controversial phenomenon that the properties of galaxies are correlated with their more massive central galaxies with star-forming centers having preferentially more star-forming satellites. This intra-halo phenomenon was originally discovered by Weinmann et al. (2006), and was confirmed by several follow-up studies (Kauffmann et al. 2010; Knobel et al. 2015; Phillips et al. 2014). Remarkably, Kauffmann et al. (2013) and Kauffmann (2015) have affirmed that the conformity effect on specific star formation rate (sSFR) persists out to several Mpc, many times larger than the virial radii of the dark matter halos concerned. Generally, the intra-halo and inter-halo conformity effects have been dubbed ‘1-halo conformity’ and ‘2-halo conformity’ respectively.

While the 1-halo conformity could presumably be explained by group-scale physical processes (Hearin et al. 2015), the 2-halo conformity is however challenging the traditional halo occupation model of galaxies, in which the statistical properties of galaxies, such as the luminosity function, stellar mass function and spatial clustering of galaxies, can be solely determined by the halo mass (see Cooray & Sheth 2002 for a review). Hence, many recent efforts have been devoted to developing models of galactic conformity (e.g., Lu et al. 2015; Hearin et al. 2015; Hearin et al. 2016; Henriques et al. 2017; Pahwa & Paranjape 2017). In these models, some exotic effects such as the preheating of the gas by energy input from active galactic nuclei (AGNs) and the correlation of halo assembly history with the environment or ‘halo assembly bias’, might explain the observed data.

One important issue for these models is on what scale and to what extent do such 2-halo conformity exist. Calderon et al. (2018) investigated the conformity signal in
Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) Data Release 7 (DR7) on the color, sSFR and morphology of galaxies. Their results with marked correlation methods reveal a small, yet highly significant signal for all three properties in low mass groups and scales of $0.8\sim 4 \, h^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}$. With the stellar mass and local density, Sin et al. (2019) developed a conceptual framework and methodology to explore hidden variables which control the quenching of galaxies by investigating the residual, $\Delta$, between the observed quenched fraction of galaxies and the predicted value. After applying the analysis to a local sample of galaxies, they found $\Delta$ is correlated out to 3 Mpc, suggesting that halo-related properties need to be considered for galaxy quenching. But the significance of their result is unclear and relies on the accuracy of the group catalog they used.

However, recent studies showed that the interpretation of the conformity signal strongly depends on the methodology and the data considered in the analysis. Sin et al. (2017) pointed out that the strong large-scale conformity signal in Kauffmann et al. (2013) was a result of the combination of three bias factors in their analysis: the unequal weighting towards the over-dense regions, the misclassification of centrals and the use of median to describe the bimodal distribution of sSFR. The conformity signal reduced significantly after they made modifications to these issues. Similarly, Tinker et al. (2018) and Sun et al. (2018) conclude that the significant 2-halo conformity detected in Kauffmann et al. (2013) originates from some satellite galaxies misclassified as centrals and no new physical processes are needed to reproduce the observed results of conformity in simulations. Zu & Mandelbaum (2018) also demonstrated that their fiducial halo mass quenching model has been able to successfully explain the overall environmental dependence and the conformity of galaxy colors in the SDSS, without any galaxy assembly bias.

These results in the optical band make it murky whether the 2-halo conformity indeed exists. A parallel investigation of 2-halo conformity in radio band is promising to provide a double-check and more insights into the physical origins of it. As pioneering work in the H I band, Wang et al. (2015a) utilize data cubes from the Bluedisk project and found that the companions around H I-rich galaxies tend to be H I-rich as well. With the same data cubes, Wang et al. (2015b) also show that galaxies whose H I mass function is high relative to the standard scaling relations have an excess H I mass in the surrounding environment as well, suggesting a real conformity effect. These works have demonstrated the feasibility of investigating galactic conformity through the radio window. However, limited by the data set, they did not check the conformity for an HI-poor galaxy population and on scales larger than the virial radius of a normal halo yet. A larger data set with more sample galaxies and continuous sky coverage is needed to figure out how the strength of galactic conformity changes with stellar mass and physical scale.

In this paper, we combine SDSS DR7 with $\alpha_{70}$ (in which 70 percent of data come from the ALFALFA survey) and the group catalog of Yang et al. (2007) to perform a statistical analysis on the scale and stellar mass dependence of the 2-halo conformity. Meanwhile, if the conformity signal can be detected with the H I data, we aim to check whether the conformity signal can be explained by the bias effects found in the optical band.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we introduce the data sets used in the analysis. The results are presented in Section 3. We compare our results with previous studies in Section 4 and summarize in Section 5.

2 DATA

2.1 The Galaxy Samples

The H I data that we consider are drawn from the Arecibo Legacy Fast ALFA (ALFALFA) survey (Haynes et al. 2011, $\alpha_{70}$). The ALFALFA survey is a blind extragalactic H I survey exploiting the Arecibo telescope to conduct a census of the local H I universe over $\sim 7000 \, \text{deg}^2$ of the high Galactic latitude sky visible from Arecibo out to $z \sim 0.06$. The minimum H I mass it detected reaches $4.4 \times 10^7 \, \text{M}_\odot$ at 10 Mpc for 5$\sigma$ detection with a velocity resolution of 30 $\text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1}$. The data release, $\alpha_{70}$, covering its whole redshift range, contains 70% of its data and includes 25,535 detections.

In Figure 1, we display the footprints of SDSS DR7 (blue dots) and $\alpha_{70}$ (red dots). As can be seen, a large fraction of the footprint of $\alpha_{70}$ overlaps with that of the SDSS DR7. The majority (77%) of the detections in $\alpha_{70}$ are highly reliable with a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N)> 6.5 and the remaining cases are relatively lower signal-to-noise detections but they are also likely to be real detections. As the sample is analyzed in a statistical way, we include all these H I detections with optical counterparts (OCs) in our study.

2.2 Cross-matching Sample

$\alpha_{70}$ provides a good H I data set to study the atomic gas content in galaxies. However, a cross-matching between the $\alpha_{70}$ and optical catalogs is needed before any further analysis. The ALFALFA team has made a cross-match
between the $\alpha.70$ detections and the SDSS, and provided the coordinates of the OCs. In total, 23,881 (94%) $\text{H}_1$ detections have assigned OCs. Most of the remaining 1,654 detections have negative redshifts and are classified as High Velocity Clouds (HVCs).

In order to cross-match between the group catalog of Yang et al. (2007) and the catalog of stellar mass, we obtained the IDs in the SDSS data set by searching the nearest primary objects as their OC in SDSS DR7 SkyServer website (http://cas.sdss.org/dr7/en/tools/crossid/crossid.asp). In total, 20,438 objects are matched. The other $\text{H}_1$ detections lie outside the footprint of SDSS DR7, so no cross-matching can be performed.

To test the reliability of our matched result, we compare our result with $\alpha.40$, 40% of ALFALFA data, among which the $\text{H}_1$ detections have been matched with the SDSS DR7 data. The match of $\alpha.40$ was processed by the ALFALFA team during several years with his/her scientific judgment based on objects’ optical information so we treat it as a reliable standard. A comparison between our result and $\alpha.40$ shows that, for the galaxies included in both $\alpha.70$ and $\alpha.40$, more than 95% of objects in our matched result are consistent with the matched result of $\alpha.40$ – suggesting that our matched result is reliable for further statistical analysis.

The ALFALFA $\text{H}_1$ centroid position accuracy was to about 18 arcsec. The coordinates and redshifts of the $\text{H}_1$ detections in $\alpha.70$ have slight offsets from their OCs. We use the coordinates and redshifts of OCs when computing the distance in the following sections.

Fig. 1 The footprints of $\alpha.70$ and SDSS DR7. The blue and red regions are for $\alpha.70$ and SDSS DR7, respectively.

Fig. 2 The ratio of $N_{\text{blue}}/N_{\text{red}}$ in SDSS DR7 as a function of redshift, where $N_{\text{blue}}$ and $N_{\text{red}}$ are the numbers of red galaxies and blue galaxies in each redshift bin respectively. Two red dashed lines indicate the redshift range of our $\text{H}_1$-detected sample: $3000 \text{ km s}^{-1} \sim 18,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

2.3 Definition of $\text{H}_1$-rich, $\text{H}_1$-poor and Normal Galaxies

We now define $\text{H}_1$-rich/$\text{H}_1$-poor galaxies which are indeed redshift related because ALFALFA was flux-limited as a blind survey. We classify the galaxies in our sample into three categories: $\text{H}_1$-poor, normal and $\text{H}_1$-rich in two steps – first we divide the redshift coverage into different bins of 500 km s$^{-1}$ each. Second, the stellar mass range is divided into different $\log M_*/M_\odot$ bins of 0.25 each. In each redshift and stellar mass bin, galaxies with the top (bottom) 30 percent $M_{\text{H}_1}$ are classified as $\text{H}_1$-rich ($\text{H}_1$-poor) galaxies, and the rest are classified as normal galaxies.

For a flux limited sample, like SDSS, due to the limiting magnitude of the sample and the smaller mass-to-light ratio of blue galaxies (thus brighter at fixed mass), it is easier for a blue galaxy at low redshift to be observed than a red galaxy of similar mass. Because of this selection effect, the optical galaxy sample is biased towards blue galaxies in SDSS, especially at low redshifts. In Figure 2 we display the result of $N_{\text{blue}}/N_{\text{red}}$, defined as the number of blue galaxies over the number of red galaxies (the definition of blue and red galaxies in Yang et al. 2007 is used), as a function of redshift. As can be seen, this bias on color turns significant when $cz$ is less than 3000 km s$^{-1}$. Therefore, we excluded the galaxies with $cz < 3000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in our study. In total, our sample includes 131,076 optical galaxies among which 14,173 have been detected by ALFALFA in the redshift range we analyze ($3000 \sim 18,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$).
3 RESULTS

By the definitions of H I-poor/H I-rich and normal galaxies in Section 2.3, we compute
(1) the fraction of H I-rich galaxies around H I-rich galaxies, \( f_{rr} = \frac{N_{\text{rich}}}{N_{\text{tot}}} \),
(2) the fraction of H I-poor galaxies around H I-poor galaxies, \( f_{pp} = \frac{N_{\text{poor}}}{N_{\text{tot}}} \),
(3) the fraction of H I-rich galaxies around normal galaxies, \( f_{rn} = \frac{N_{\text{rich}}}{N_{\text{tot}}} \),
(4) the fraction of H I-poor galaxies around normal galaxies, \( f_{pn} = \frac{N_{\text{poor}}}{N_{\text{tot}}} \),
as functions of the distance to the H I-poor/H I-rich/normal galaxies, where \( N_{\text{rich}}, N_{\text{poor}} \) and \( N_{\text{tot}} \) are the number of H I-rich neighbors, the number of H I-poor neighbors and the total number of neighbors around primary galaxies, respectively. Figure 3 displays the results in four stellar mass ranges of the H I-poor/H I-rich/normal galaxies. The blue solid, red solid, blue dotted and red dotted lines indicate the results of \( f_{rr} \), \( f_{pp} \), \( f_{rn} \) and \( f_{pn} \), respectively. Error bars are the 80 confidence level computed with bootstrap resampling. At the bottom of each panel, the ratios of \( f_{rr} \)-to-\( f_{rn} \) and \( f_{pp} \)-to-\( f_{pn} \) are plotted in blue and red solid lines respectively. The horizontal black dashed lines signify the fiducial level of 1.

As shown in Figure 3, for galaxies with \( 8 < \log M_*/M_\odot < 10.5 \), the ratios of \( f_{rr} \)-to-\( f_{rn} \) and \( f_{pp} \)-to-\( f_{pn} \) are remarkably higher than 1 within 2 Mpc, and decline gradually with increasing distance, which indicates that more H I-rich (H I-poor) galaxies are found near H I-rich (H I-poor) galaxies compared to normal galaxies. This tendency is almost independent of the stellar mass but becomes much weaker in the range \( \log M_*/M_\odot > 10.5 \), and it seems to hold out to a few Mpc with the error bars however comparable with the excess of the ratios.

In order to increase the S/N, we still consider the H I galaxies as the primary galaxies, but intend to find the neighboring galaxies in a larger optical sample – the SDSS DR7 sample; or search the neighboring H I galaxies around the normal galaxies. Since the H I content of a galaxy is correlated with its color and star formation activities, we should also expect to find similar conformity signals in the excesses of the fractions of blue/red galaxies around H I-rich/H I-poor galaxies compared to normal galaxies. Therefore, we compute
(1) the fraction of red galaxies around H I-poor galaxies, \( f_{rp} = \frac{N_{\text{red}}}{N_{\text{tot}}} \);
(2) the fraction of blue galaxies around H I-rich galaxies, \( f_{bu} = \frac{N_{\text{blue}}}{N_{\text{tot}}} \);
(3) the fraction of red galaxies around normal galaxies, \( f_{ren} = \frac{N_{\text{red}}}{N_{\text{tot}}} \);
(4) the fraction of blue galaxies around normal galaxies, \( f_{ben} = \frac{N_{\text{blue}}}{N_{\text{tot}}} \);
as functions of the distance to the H I-poor/H I-rich/normal galaxies, where \( N_{\text{red}} \) and \( N_{\text{blue}} \) are the number of H I-rich and H I-poor neighbors around primary galaxies,
Figure 4 shows the results in four stellar mass ranges of the H I-poor/H I-rich/normal galaxies. The blue solid, red solid, blue dotted and red dotted lines indicate the results of \( f_{br} \), \( f_{rp} \), \( f_{bn} \) and \( f_{ren} \) respectively. At the bottom of each panel, the \( f_{br} \)-to-\( f_{bn} \) ratios and the \( f_{rp} \)-to-\( f_{ren} \) ratios are also plotted as blue and red solid lines. As can be seen, Figure 4 confirms the results of Figure 3 with smaller error bars and we can clearly see a similar signal persists out to a few Mpc, much larger than the virial radius of a dark matter halo that a normal galaxy resides in. In addition, this galactic conformity shows a clear dependence on the stellar mass of galaxies, with the strength the strongest in the stellar mass range of \( 10^{10} < M_\star / M_\odot < 10^{10.5} \). The results found in Figure 3 and Figure 4 are qualitatively consistent with the conclusion of Kauffmann et al. (2013), in favor of the 2-halo conformity extending out to several Mpc.

In our sample, even though we excluded galaxies below \( z = 0.01 \), the ratio of \( N_{\text{blue}}/N_{\text{red}} \) still depends weakly on the redshift. However, the signal demonstrating the conformity only extends to 5 Mpc, corresponding to a very shallow redshift region, and the signal is defined as the ratio between the fractions from the constrained sample and from the total sample; we do not think the above redshift dependence will affect our conclusions significantly.

To find out whether the results above are also caused by the bias of the sample found by Sin et al. (2017); Tinker et al. (2018); Sun et al. (2018); Zu & Mandelbaum (2018), we followed Sin et al. (2017) and excluded all satellite galaxies as well as central galaxies in halos with virial radii larger than 1 Mpc (the virial radii are derived from the halo mass in Yang’s catalog) and compute the ratios in Figure 3 and Figure 4. The results are depicted in Figure 5 and Figure 6, respectively.

With the removal of all satellites and centrals in halos with radii greater than 1 Mpc, the conformity signal in Figure 5 still exists, but gets weaker and extends to a smaller scale. This trend is more clear in Figure 6 when the S/N increased, especially for the bins of distance larger than 1 Mpc. For the results with distance less than 1 Mpc, the ratios decrease compared to Figure 4 but remain at a remarkable level. In addition, the conformity shows a dependence on the stellar mass, with the amplitude highest in the stellar mass range of \( 10^{10} < M_\star < 10^{10.5} \). This can be interpreted as evidence of the 1-halo conformity. While for distance larger than 1 Mpc, the conformity gets very weak, although the signal is more than 1 sigma significant between 3 Mpc and 6 Mpc on the distance for all stellar mass bins, which probably indicates that some mechanisms beyond halo mass are driving the connections of galaxy evolution on these scales. As what Sin et al. (2017) did, we also tried to exclude the satellites within 4 Mpc, 2 Mpc and 1 Mpc, and confirmed that the signal of conformity gets weaker when smaller cutting scales are applied. So, we only display the result for the option of 1 Mpc here.

4 COMPARISON WITH PREVIOUS RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Our results utilizing simple statistics for the whole H I galaxy sample confirmed the conformity signal at large scale found in the optical band. Kauffmann et al. (2013) considered a volume-limited sample of galaxies drawn from the SDSS DR7 with \( M_\star > 2 \times 10^{11} M_\odot \) to investigate the scale of conformity effect and how it changes as a function of the mass of the central galaxy. They verified the galactic conformity in terms of the sSFRs...
and the pseudo-HI gas mass fractions of satellites. For centrals with $11<\log M_*/M_\odot<11.5$, the conformity effect is confined to scales less than 1–2 Mpc while for centrals with $10<\log M_*/M_\odot<10.5$, galactic conformity persists to 4 Mpc (the largest scale they investigated). We also found the conformity extends to as far as 4 Mpc for the stellar mass bin $8<\log M_*/M_\odot<10.5$ and just goes to 1–2 Mpc for the massive bin $\log M_*/M_\odot>10.5$, but the strength also gets weaker in the most massive bin.

Furthermore, we confirmed in the radio band that the 2-halo conformity becomes weaker and extends to smaller scale when the sample is confined to centrals in halos with virial radius smaller than 1 Mpc, even in our cross-correlated sample between the radio and optical bands. This result agrees with the arguments raised in the optical band in Sin et al. (2017); Tinker et al. (2018); Sun et al. (2018); Zu & Mandelbaum (2018).

In the radio band, Wang et al. (2015a) analyzed the HI data cubes of the Bluedisk project and found that companions around HI-rich galaxies tend to be HI-rich as well. With the same data cubes, Wang et al. (2015b) ascertained that the galaxies having high HI mass function also have an excess HI mass in the surroundings within a distance of 500 kpc. Our results agree well with that work given that the conformity signal always exists in the first bin of distance in our results. Therefore, we suggest that the one-halo conformity signal is true in the radio band as well.

In this study we have not compared our results with any theoretical models, and are not sure if the current physical model is enough to explain the weak signal at scales beyond 1 Mpc in our measurements. Interestingly, Rafieferantsoa & Davé (2018) investigated the HI conformity signal in the MUFASA hydrodynamical simulation. Although too many low-mass galaxies are quenched in the simulation, their result is in accord with our results that the 2-halo conformity signal declines more quickly with distance in massive halos $(M_{\text{halo}}>10^{12} M_\odot)$. Nevertheless, massive halos manifest a stronger conformity than less massive halos within 1 Mpc in their results, which is not consistent with our results. This inconsistency is probably due to the immature gas model in their simulation given that the fraction of quenched low-mass galaxies in MUFASA is too high compared to observations. We need to check that in further study with more reliable galaxy formation simulations.

Another caveat for the reader is that the results found in this paper are based on the flux-limited sample from ALFALFA. Therefore, the results actually are based on an HI detected subsample matched to the SDSS optical sample. However, when we changed the definition of HI rich/poor sample with different top/bottom fraction of the whole sample, the results do not change very much. This indicates that our results are not so sensitive to the flux limit in this sample, but more further careful checks in a complete sample are needed in the future given that the current complete sample is too small for this check.

5 SUMMARY

In this paper, we have combined the optical galaxy sample from SDSS DR7 and the HI galaxy sample from $\alpha, 0.7$ to investigate the conformity effect in radio band and estimate the scale on which the environment starts to affect the gas content in galaxies.

If we only measure the conformity signal in the whole matched sample, in the stellar mass range we considered here, the HI galactic conformity is found to persist out to $\sim 5$ Mpc. This signal shows a dependence on the stellar mass of galaxies, with the strength the strongest in the stellar mass range of $10^{10}<M_*/M_\odot<10^{10.5}$.

We follow the consideration of the sample selection bias effect in the optical band (Sin et al. 2017), and check if the 2-halo term of conformity could be affected by this effect in the radio band as well. When all satellites and centrals in halos with virial radii greater than 1 Mpc are excluded from the sample, the 2-halo conformity signal is significantly reduced. We confirmed the arguments in the radio band that the 2-halo conformity signal is possibly caused by the bias effects in the sample. However, as Crain et al. (2017) pointed out, it is not easy to predict the HI content in each galaxy for the current galaxy formation model. There is still a long way to compare the model prediction with our results and tell if the current model is enough to explain the two halo term conformity in the radio band.

In addition, we confirmed the finding in the Bluedisk project by Wang et al. (2015a,b) with the 1-halo conformity signal in the matched sample between $\alpha, 0.7$ and SDSS DR7. Further understanding of the 1-halo conformity in the radio band maybe could provide some hints on the physical processes involved in the star formation activities in a single halo’s potential.
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