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ABSTRACT

A total of 32 weaned (3.5-4 months) of Awassi (16) and Karadi (16) lambs were randomly allocated into two equal groups to be fed either ad libitum or 3% of their body weight concentrate, and to be slaughtered at 36 and 44 kg body weight. After chilling at 4°C for 24h, the carcass was split along the vertebral column into two halves by electric saw, and the left side was separated into eight whole sale cuts and were physically dissected into lean, fat and bone and weighed. Results reveal that the overall mean of lean, fat, bone, lean: fat and lean: bone ratio were 60.31±0.25%, 17.05±0.69%, 22.63 ± 0.59%, 3.78 ±0.20 and 2.71 ± 0.06, respectively. It was noticed that Karadi lambs surpass significantly (P<0.01) Awassi lambs in the content of lean (61.32±0.29 vs. 59.30±0.21%) and bone (24.72±0.85 vs. 20.53±0.35%) and had lower content of fat (13.94±0.75 vs. 20.15±0.39%). Neither lambs fed ad libitum nor 3% concentrate had a significant effect on composition of the carcasses. Lambs slaughtered at 44 kg had significantly (P<0.01) higher content of fat and lower bone content. Awassi lambs and those slaughtered at 44 kg had significantly (P<0.01) higher total body fat than did Karadi lambs and those slaughtered at 36 kg.
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المستخلص

تم توزيع 32 حملاً مفطوماً من كل من العواسي (16) والكرادي (16) عشوائياً إلى مجموعتين لتنافذها بمراعاة حرة و الثانية على 3% من وزن جسمها وتذبح عند اوزان 36 و 44 كغم. بعد تبريد النحاس (4°C) لمدة 24 ساعة ثم قطعها إلى اثنين، ثم تقطيع الجانب الأيسر إلى ثمانية قطع تجارية ومن ثم قسم اللحم والدهن والعظم في بياض لكل قطعة ووزنها. تشير النتائج إلى أن المعدل العام للحم والدهن والعظم ونسبة اللحم:الدهن ونسبة اللحم:العظم قد بلغ 60.31±0.25% و17.05±0.69% و22.63±0.59% و3.78±0.20 و2.71±0.06 على التوالي. كما وجد تفوق الحملان الكرادية معنوياً (P<0.01) في محتوى اللحم والدهن والعظم، ونسبة اللحم:الدهن ونسبة اللحم:العظم على معنى (P<0.01) في حمالي اللحم (29.21±0.35%) والدهن (24.72±0.85%). ونسبة اللحم:الدهن (0.21±0.35%) ونسبة اللحم:العظم (0.25±0.35%) ونسبة الهام (0.75±13.94%). كما وجد بعدم وجود تأثير معنوي لمستوى التغذية في تركيب الجسم. كما ووحي بان الحملان المذبوحة عند وزن 44 كغم قد تفوقت معنويًا (P<0.01) في محتواها من الدهن والخفض محتواها من العظام مقارنة بالحملان المذبوحة بوزن 36 كغم. كما تفوقت الحملان العواسية والحملان المذبوحة بوزن 44 كغم معنويًا (P<0.01) في محتواها من الدهن والخفض محتواها من العظام مقارنة بالحملان المذبوحة بوزن 36 كغم.
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INTRODUCTION

Meat production depends on several environmental factors and management practices. Meat animal carcasses vary in composition through genetic, age and sex of animal, nutritional, and environmental effects (12). Moreover, growth and development are the basis for meat production, whereas, distribution of carcass tissues are significant in determining carcass quality. Lean muscle and to a lesser extent fat, are the major edible tissue of the carcass (18). Thus, a high proportion of muscle with a low proportion of bone and an optimum level of fat represent a superior carcass (23). It is known that the distribution of muscle, bone and fat is largely a function of maturity (25), and as animal become older and heavier the proportion of fat in their carcasses increases and the proportion of muscles and bones decreases (12). Also, it was recognized that diet have been shown to be one of the main factors affecting the carcass yield, composition and qualities in many species (33, 35). Thus the objectives of this study is to evaluate Awassi and Karadi lambs for carcass composition and tissue distribution raised under two levels of feeding and carcass slaughtered at two different weights.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and experimental design

Sixteen weaned (3.5-4 months) entire male lambs from each of Awassi and Karadi with an average initial weight of 25.62±0.57 and 25.56±0.73 kg, respectively maintained at Gdmarasha field, College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences, Salahaddin University were used in the current investigation. Full detail of management, feeding and slaughtering was described in our previous study (5). In brief, lambs from each breed were divided equally into two subgroups (8 lambs) to be fed concentrate either ad lib or 3% concentrate of their body weights, and to be slaughtered at 36 and 44 kg (4 lambs). Animals were slaughtered when each individual lamb reached its designated body weight. After chilling the carcass at 4°C for 24h, the carcass was split along the vertebral column into two halves.

Physical dissection

Eight cuts of the left half carcasses were weighed and dissected completely into lean, fat and bone. The three components were weighed separately to determine their percentages. Non-carcass fat was the sum of the omental, mesenteric, pelvic, kidney, scrotal and cardiac fat. Carcass fat including subcutaneous and intermuscular fat was separated from each cut.

Statistical analysis

General Linear Model within SAS (31) was used to study the effect of breed, feeding level and slaughter weight on studied traits. Significant difference between means were assessed using Duncan multiple range tests (9).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Tissue distribution in the carcass

The proportion of separable carcass tissue of cuts and carcass side of Karadi and Awassi lambs fed either ad lib or 3% concentrate and slaughtered at 36 and 44 kg are given in Tables (1 and 2). It seems from Table (1) that lean percentage of Karadi lambs surpass significantly (P<0.01) Awassi lambs in the leg, loin and flank cuts. On the other hand, the proportion of lean in the fore shank cut only was significantly higher (P<0.01) in Awassi. Moreover, lean percent is almost similar in rack, shoulder, neck and breast cuts. With respect to percent fat in the different cuts, results reveal that with the exception of fore shank, the proportion of fat in the remaining cuts of Awassi lambs excelled significantly (P<0.01) Karadi lambs. Also, with the exception of neck cut, the proportion of bone in all carcass cuts was significantly (P<0.01) higher in Karadi lambs as compared to Awassi carcasses. Thus as it appears from Table (2) that whole side of the carcass of Karadi lambs as compared with Awassi lambs contain significantly (P<0.01) higher proportion of lean (61.32 vs. 59.30%), bone (24.72 vs. 20.53%) and lean to fat ratio (4.61 vs. 2.96) and lower proportion of fat (13.94 vs. 20.15%) and lean to bone ratio (2.52 vs. 2.90). Similarly, Ormari et al (24) found that Awassi lambs had higher fat and lower bone content as compared with Hamdani lambs. Also, several authors found breed differences in carcass composition (6, 20, 34). Additionally, muscle content varied according to its location in the carcass. For example, the higher muscle content was in the leg (66.50%), whereas the
lowest was in the breast (54.44%) and fore shank (47.53%) in Karadi lambs. In the Awassi lambs, the highest lean content was in the shoulder (65.66%) and the lowest in the breast (54.76%) and the fore shank (53.07%) (Table 1). Such variation in muscle mass is primarily due to differences in the total number of muscle fiber. Possibly the evolutionary increases in muscle fiber size is limited by physiological status in that normal cell function is maintained only as long as certain limit in cell size is not exceeded (27). As slaughter weight increases from 36 to 44 kg, the lean proportion increased either numerically or significantly (P<0.01) in the rack, neck and fore shank cuts. However, a decrease in lean percent was noticed in the loin, breast and flank cuts (Table 1). Such result could be attributed mainly to the fact that muscle distribution is affected by the differential rate of growth in individual muscle and muscle groups on the carcass (7). With the exception of the neck cut, the fat content in all cuts in the carcass as well as the whole carcass side increased with the increases of slaughter weight from 36 to 44 kg (Table 1 & 2). It is well known that the fat is a late growing body tissue and therefore proportions in the carcass greatly changed with the progress of growth (7). A significant (P<0.01) decrease in the proportion of bone was noticed of all cuts and the whole side in lambs slaughtered at 44 kg compared to those slaughtered at 36 kg. It is well known that bone is an early maturity carcass component so it grows at slower rate during post-natal life, and consequently decreasing with increasing body weight (17, 21). In general, the proportion of lean and fat in the half carcass increased by 0.80 and 23.70%, respectively. This increase was associated in a decrease in bone content by 20.16%. Several investigators noted that as slaughter weight increased, there is mainly an increase in fat and a decrease in bone proportion of the carcass (2, 10, 24, 32). From the results given in Table (1), it appears that lambs slaughtered at 36 kg had higher lean: fat ratio (4.29±0.35 vs. 3.28±0.13) and lower lean: bone ratio (2.47±0.08 vs. 2.95±0.04) as compared with lambs slaughtered at 44 kg. Since the proportion of fat increasing and bone content is decreasing, therefore, the result is expected. Previous workers also reported decreasing carcass lean: fat ratio (16, 36) and increasing lean: bone ratios (8, 30). It appears from Tables 1 and 2 that the effect of level of feeding on carcass composition either on all cuts or the whole side was not significant except that lambs fed ad lib had lower bone proportion in the leg cut and a higher bone in the neck cut. However, it is generally agreed that animals full-fed high concentrate diet usually produce more-carcass fat, and consequently, are less efficient in converting feed to lean meat than an animals fed slightly below ad libitum energy intake, even though the ad libitum fed animals would be more efficient in total feed energy retention. This is particularly evident in the late stage of growth, as muscle and bone approach their mature size (12).

Table 1. Effect of breed, level of feeding and slaughter weight on lean, fat, and bone percentage of Karadi and Awassi lambs

| Effects                  | No. | Lean  | Total % | Lean:Fat Ratio | Lean:Bone Ratio |
|--------------------------|-----|-------|---------|----------------|-----------------|
|                          |     |       | Fat     | Bone           |                 |
| Overall mean             | 32  | 60.315±0.254 | 17.054±0.696 | 22.631±0.590 | 3.789±0.207 | 2.715±0.063 |
| Breed                    |     |       |         |                |                 |
| Karadi                   | 16  | 61.325±0.290 a | 13.949±0.751 b | 24.726±0.853 a | 4.618±0.285 a | 2.528±0.094 b |
| Awasi                    | 16  | 59.305±0.217 b | 20.159±0.391 a | 20.536±0.358 b | 2.960±0.062 b | 2.902±0.054 a |
| L. of Feeding            |     |       |         |                |                 |
| 3%                       | 16  | 60.108±0.319 a | 17.273±1.108 a | 22.619±0.951 a | 3.807±0.352 a | 2.718±0.097 a |
| ad lib                   | 16  | 60.523±0.3998 a | 16.836±0.877 a | 22.642±0.733 a | 3.771±0.229 a | 2.712±0.083 a |
| Slaughter Wt.            |     |       |         |                |                 |
| 36kg                     | 16  | 60.050±0.284 a | 15.247±1.064 b | 24.703±0.870 a | 4.295±0.352 a | 2.474±0.083 b |
| 44kg                     | 16  | 60.580±0.421 a | 18.862±0.660 a | 20.558±0.333 b | 3.283±0.137 b | 2.956±0.042 a |

Means with different letters within each column differ significantly (P<0.05) according to Duncan’s test.
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Table 2. Effect of breed, level of feeding and slaughter weight on lean, fat, and bone percentage in carcass cuts of Karadi and Awassi lambs

| Effects       | Overall mean | Lean ± | Fat ± | Bon ± | Rack ± | Shoulder ± | Neck ± | Breast ± | Flank ± |
|---------------|-------------|--------|-------|-------|--------|------------|--------|----------|--------|
| %             | 32 16 16 36kg 44kg | 16 16 16 16 | 16 16 16 16 | 16 16 16 16 | 16 16 16 16 | 16 16 16 16 | 16 16 16 16 | 16 16 16 16 | 16 16 16 16 |
| Lean          | 64.47± | 66.504± | 62.443± | 64.079± | 64.868± | 64.821± | 64.126± | 0.558± | 0.278± | 0.813± | 0.930± | 0.634± | 0.738± | 0.853± |
| Bon           | 20.86± | 22.593± | 19.129± | 21.526± | 20.197± | 23.139± | 18.58± | 0.578± | 0.818± | 0.559± | 0.890± | 0.742± | 0.700± | 0.448± |
| Rack          | 17.60± | 13.156± | 22.049± | 17.67± | 17.528± | 15.64± | 19.55± | 0.699± | 0.692± | 1.014± | 0.880± | 1.157± | 1.269± | 0.596± |
| Shoulder      | 12.16± | 9.999± | 14.325± | 12.47± | 11.84± | 11.10± | 13.21± | 0.557± | 0.591± | 0.555± | 0.969± | 0.573± | 0.869± | 0.613± |
| Neck          | 9.72± | 8.704± | 10.736± | 9.606± | 9.834± | 9.987± | 9.45± | 0.465± | 0.539± | 0.682± | 0.278± | 0.903± | 0.819± | 0.462± |
| Breast Fat    | 25.77± | 26.238± | 25.311± | 24.311± | 27.238± | 29.904± | 21.64± | 0.268± | 2.287± | 1.178± | 2.242± | 1.154± | 1.487± | 1.470± |
| Fore Shank Fat| 6.52± | 5.388± | 7.669± | 6.723± | 6.33± | 7.00± | 7.35± | 0.631± | 0.656± | 1.020± | 0.692± | 1.077± | 1.122± | 0.543± |
| Lean          | 54.68± | 54.442± | 54.930± | 54.761± | 54.611± | 56.399± | 52.97± | 0.873± | 1.234± | 1.271± | 1.231± | 1.278± | 0.640± | 1.532± |

Means with different letters within each row differ significantly (P<0.05) according to Duncan’s test

Partition of fat

It is well documented that fat is the most variable tissue in the carcass, and it varies not in its total amount but also in its distribution between the various deposits which alter markedly during growth, and the proportion and location of fat in the body are important in meat animals (19). In the present work, weight of carcass fat, non-carcass fat, fat tail and total body fat averaged 2.51±0.15, 0.77±0.04, 2.38±0.15 and 5.67±0.30 kg, respectively (Table 3). Thus, the relative contribution of
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different fat depots to the total body fat was in the order carcass fat (43.87±1.16%), fat tail (42.00±1.53%) and non-carcass fat (14.12±0.86%). Moreover, the relative contribution of subcutaneous fat and intermuscular fat are 32.60±0.86% and 11.27±0.47%, respectively, and the relative contribution of non-carcass fat are omental (5.58±0.35%), mesenteric (3.05±0.25), kidney and pelvic fat (2.90±0.68), cardiac (1.15±0.05%) and scrotal (1.42±0.09%) (Table 3). Similarly, Alkass and Kak (4) indicated that the relative contribution of carcass fat, non-carcass fat and fat tail was 46.66, 12.66 and 40.67% in Awassi and Karadi lambs. Result of the present work reveal that Awassi as compared with Karadi lambs had significantly (P<0.01) higher carcass fat (3.02 vs. 2.00 kg) and non-carcass fat (0.95 vs. 0.59 kg) but not fat tail (2.45 vs. 2.31 kg). Moreover, it seems from Table (3) that relative contribution of different fat depots differ significantly (P<0.01) between breeds. While carcass fat and fat tail contribute 47.34% and 37.28% in Awassi, whereas in Karadi the proportion are 40.40 and 46.72% respectively. This finding is similar to those noticed by Koyuncu (15), Mustafa et al (22) and Alkass and Hassan, (3). However, Kempster et al. (14) reviewed knowledge on fat partitioning in sheep and pointed out, just as it has been recorded by Hammond (11) that sheep differed considerably in the manner in which they partitioned their body fat. Such difference may be related to environmental origin of breed. It appears from Table (3) that lambs fed ad libitum as compared with those fed 3% concentrate had numerically higher total body fat (5.88 vs. 5.47 kg), carcass fat (2.53 vs. 2.49 kg) and significantly (P<0.05) higher fat tail (2.60 vs 2.17kg) and lower non-carcass fat (0.74 vs. 0.80 kg). This result was similar to those noticed by Jones and Megarry (13) and Abouheif et al (1). Lambs slaughtered at 44 kg had significantly (P<0.01) higher carcass fat, fat tail and total body fat, but not non-carcass fat compared with lambs slaughtered at 36 kg. As the animal grew up, and fat is a late maturing tissue therefor the deposition increased. These results are in agreement with those reported earlier by Santos et al (29), Rodrigues et al (28) and Rajkumar et al (26).
Table 3. Effect of breed, level of feeding and slaughter weight on fat partitioning of Karadi and Awassi lambs

| Effects          | No. | Non-Carcas s Fat | Carcas s Fat | Fat tail | Total body Fat | Non-Carcas s Fat | Carcas s Fat | Fat tail | Subcut aneous | Intermuscular | Omental | Mesenteric | Kidney and pelvic | Heard | Scrotal |
|------------------|-----|------------------|--------------|----------|----------------|------------------|--------------|----------|---------------|--------------|---------|-----------|------------------|-------|---------|
| Overall mean     | 32  | 0.777±0.048      | 2.511±0.153  | 2.387±0.159 | 5.675±0.301    | 14.120±0.865    | 43.874±1.160 | 42.005±1.531 | 32.604±0.864 | 11.272±0.471 | 5.586±0.352 | 3.053±0.257 | 2.903±0.687 | 1.152±0.058 | 1.426±0.099 |
| Breed            | 16  | 0.598±0.051b     | 2.003±0.184b | 2.315±0.216a | 4.917±0.367b   | 12.876±1.398a   | 40.401±1.730b | 46.723±2.035a | 30.357±1.341b | 10.045±0.540b | 4.484±0.351b | 2.835±0.360a | 2.925±1.310a | 1.118±0.096a | 1.512±0.166a |
| Karadi           |     |                  |              |           |                |                  |              |           |                |              |         |           |                  |       |         |
|                 | 16  | 0.955±0.050a     | 3.020±0.169a | 2.459±0.239a | 6.434±0.403a   | 15.364±0.964a   | 47.349±0.978a | 37.287±3.485a | 34.851±0.782a | 12.498±0.652a | 6.688±0.476a | 3.270±0.371a | 2.880±0.476a | 1.186±0.067a | 1.340±0.109a |
| Awasi            |     |                  |              |           |                |                  |              |           |                |              |         |           |                  |       |         |
| L. of Feedin g   | 3%  | 0.808±0.076a     | 2.493±0.228a | 2.170±0.139b | 5.471±0.385a   | 14.824±1.074a   | 44.403±1.736a | 40.773±3.252a | 32.252±0.782a | 12.152±0.683a | 6.116±0.586a | 3.149±0.246a | 2.701±0.486a | 1.283±0.077a | 1.574±0.177a |
| ad lib           | 16  | 0.746±0.058a     | 2.530±0.211a | 2.604±0.281a | 5.880±0.490a   | 13.416±1.368a   | 43.547±1.584a | 43.237±3.295a | 32.956±1.317a | 10.391±0.590b | 5.056±0.360b | 2.956±0.460a | 3.104±1.305a | 1.021±0.076b | 1.278±0.078a |
| Slaughter Wt.    | 36kg| 0.723±0.076a     | 1.870±0.147b | 1.748±0.123b | 4.341±0.225b   | 16.472±1.411a   | 42.620±1.892a | 40.908±3.532a | 30.779±1.154a | 11.843±0.747a | 6.232±0.575a | 4.044±0.347a | 3.988±1.325a | 1.028±0.081b | 1.179±0.110b |
|                 | 44kg| 0.830±0.057a     | 3.153±0.143a | 3.026±0.187a | 7.009±0.293a   | 11.768±0.603b   | 45.130±1.330a | 43.102±3.429a | 34.429±1.276a | 10.700±0.562a | 4.940±0.353b | 2.061±0.147b | 1.818±0.179a | 1.276±0.073a | 1.673±0.143a |
| Slaught er Wt.   |     |                  |              |           |                |                  |              |           |                |              |         |           |                  |       |         |
| Means with different letters within each column differ significantly (P<0.05) according to Duncan’s test.
CONCLUSION
It can be concluded that carcasses of Karadi lambs contained more lean and bone, and lower fat than did Awassi. Also, as slaughter weight increased, the fat content increased and bone content decreased.

REFERENCES
1. Abouheif, M., A. Al-Owaimer, M., Kraidees, H., Metwally and T. Shafey.. 2013. Effect of restricted feeding and realimentation on feed performance and carcass characteristics of growing lambs. R. Bras. Zootec. 42 (2) Viçosa
2. Al-Jaryan, L.J.A., J. E. Alkass and R.H. Juma. 1995. Slaughter weight, feeding level and their relation to some fattening and carcass characteristics in Awassi lambs. Beasted, 1989-8/98, AN: 971412932
3. Alkass, J.E. C.S. Hassan 2014. Growth performance and carcass composition of Karadi, Awassi and their crossbred raised under two feeding levels. Advanced Journal of Agricultural Research Vol. 2(008),: 123-130, ISSN: 2360-9354
4. Alkass, J.E. and H.F. Kak, 2015. Zeranol and breed effects on growth performance, carcass merit and body composition of lambs. Advanced Journals. 3(004)." 042-049, ISSN: 2360-9354
5- Al-Sherwany, D.A.O. and J.E.Alkass . 2020. A comparative study on growth, carcass traits and body composition of Awassi and Karadi lambs raised under two level of feeding and slaughtered at different weights: 1- Growth performance and carcass traits. (In press).
6. Brand, T.S., Der Westhuizen, E.J.van, Der Merwe.van and J.C. Hoffman 2018. Analysis of carcass characteristics and fat deposition of Merino, South African Mutton Merino and Dorper lambs housed in a feedlot. South African Journal of Animal Science, 48 (3).
7. Butterfield, R.M. 1988. New Concepts of Sheep Growth. Dept. of Veterinary Anatomy, University of Sydney.
8. Diaz, M.T.S. Velasco ., C. Perez, S. Lauzurica F., Huidobro and V. Canequ.. 2003. Physico-chemical characteristics of carcass and meat of Manchego-breed suckling lambs slaughtered at different weights. Meat Science 65: 1247–55
9. Duncan, D.B. 1955. Multiple range and multiple F tests. Biometrics, 11, 1-42
10. Galvani, D.B., C.C. Pires , F.O. Wommer, A.M.S. Bolzan and P. Francoi. 2008. Carcass traits of feedlot crossbred lambs slaughtered at different live weight. Ciencia rural, 38, (6), 1711-1717.
11. Hammond, J. 1932. Growth and Development of Mutton Qualities in the Sheep. Oliver & Boyd, London
12. Irshad, A., G. Kandepaan, S. Kumar, K.A. Ashish , M.R. Vishnuraj and V. Shukla. 2013. Factors influencing carcass composition of livestock: a Review. J Anim Prod Adv, 3(5): 177-186. ISSN: 2251-7677
13. Jones, R.J and R.G.Megarrity. 1983. Comparative toxicity responses of goats fed on Leucaena leucocephala in Australia and Hawaii. Crop and Pasture Science, .35 (6) :781-790
14. Kempster, A.J., D. Croston and D.W. Jones. , 1981. Value of conformation as an indicator of sheep carcass composition within and between breeds. Anim. Prod., 33, 39-49
15. Koyuncu, M. 2008. Growth performance and carcass quality of lambs Kivircik and Karacabey Merino breeds. Lives. Res. Rural Dev. 20:12
16. Kremer, R., G. Barbato, L. Castro., L. Rista, L., Rosés, V. Herrera and V. Neirott .. 2004. Effect of sire breed, year, sex and weight on carcass characteristics of lambs. Small Ruminant Research 53:117-124.
17. Limea, L., J. Gobardhman, G. Gravillon A., Nepo, and G. Alexandre . 2009. Growth and carcass traits of Creole goats under different pre-weaning, fattening and slaughter conditions. Tropical Animal Health and production, 41, 61-70
18. Mahgoub, O. and G. A. Lodge. 1998. A comparative study on growth, body composition and carcass tissue distribution in Omani sheep and goats. Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge, 131, 329–339.
19. Mahgoub, O., C.D. Lu.and R.J. Early. 2000. Effect of dietary energy density on feed intake, body weight gain and carcass chemical composition of Omani growing lambs, Small Rumin. Res. 37: 35-42.
20. Makaremchian, M., J.V. Whiteman, L.E., Walters and A.W. Munson . 1978.
Relationship between growth rate, dressing percentage and carcass composition in lamb. J. Anim. Sci., 46, 1610-1617
21. Marchial, A., J. Castro, M.L., Capote and A. Zamorano . 2003. Effects of live weight at slaughter (6, 10 and 25 kg) on kid carcass and meat quality. Livest. Prod. Sci., 83 :247-256
22. Mustafa, M.L., J.P. Chadwick, P. Akhtar, S. Ali, M., Lateef and J.I. Sulta . 2008. The effect of concentrate and silage based finishing diets on the growth performance and carcass characteristic of Suffolk cross and Scottish Blackface lambs. Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci. 32: 191-197
23. Oprzadek, J. E., Dymnicki, K. Oprzade, Sakowski, and Z. Reklewski. 2001. A note on the effect of breed on beef cattle carcass traits. Animal Science Paper and Reports 19: 79-89
24. Oramari, R.A., J.E. Alkass and K.L. Mahmud, . 2014. a comparative study on growth, carcass traits and tissue distribution of awassi and hamdani lambs. Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare. 14 (1) : 36. ISSN 2224-3208 (Paper) ISSN 2225-093X (Online).
25. Pesonen, M., H. Markku, and K.H. Arto, H. 2012. Effect of breed on production, carcass traits and meat quality of Aberdeen Angus, Limousin and Aberdeen AngusxLimousin bulls offered a grass silage-grain-based diet. Agricultural and food science. 21:361-369
26. Rajkumar, V., D. Gopal, K.V. Arun and K.D. Arun,. 2014. Slaughter weight effect on carcass and meat quality of Muzaffarnagar lamb in intensive production system. Indian Journal of Animal Sciences 84 (5): 569–574
27. Rehefeldt, C., K. Walther, E., Albrecht, G., Nurnberg, V. Renne and L. Banger,. 2002. Intrinsic properties of muscle satellite cells are changed in response to long–term. Selection of mice for different growth traits. Cell and tissue Res., 310: 339-348
28. Rodrigues, S., V. Cadavez and A. Teixeira,. 2006. Breed and maturity effects on Churra Galega Bragancana and Suffolk lamb carcass characteristics: Killing-out proportion and composition. Meat Science 72: 288–93
29. Santos, V.A.C., S.R. Silva., E.G. Mena, and J.M.T.Azevedo,. 2007. Live weight and sex effects on carcass and meat quality of “Borrego terrincho–PDO” suckling lambs. Meat Science 77: 654–61.
30. Santos-Silva, J., J.A. Mendes and R.J.B. Bessa,. 2002. Effect of genotype, feeding system and slaughter weight on the quality of light lambs I. Growth, carcass composition and meat quality. Livest Prod Sci, 76: 17–25
31. SAS. 2001. SAS Stat user’s guide for personal computers. Release 6.12, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, U.S.A
32. Sefdeen, S.M. and J. E. Alkass. 2009. Effect of castration and slaughter weight on some fattening performance and carcass characteristics of Karadi lambs. J. Duhok Univ., 12, 95-101
33. Webb, E.C., N. H. Casey, and L. Simela,. 2005. Goat meat quality. Small Ruminant; 60:153-66
34. Wolf, B.T. 1982. An analysis of the variation in lean tissue distribution of sheep. Anim. Prod., 34, 257-264
35. Wood, J.D., M. Enser, A.V. Fisher, G.R. Nute, P.R., Sheard, R.L. Richardson, S.I., Hughes, S.I. and F.M. Whittington. 2008. Fat deposition, fatty acid composition and meat quality: a review. Meat Sci; 78, 343-58.
36. Yakan, A. N. Ünal,. 2010. Meat production traits of a new sheep breed called Bafra in Turkey I. fattening, slaughter, and carcass characteristics of lambs. Trop Anim Health Prod, 42:751–75.