Lung Marginated and Splenic Murine Resident Neutrophils Constitute Pioneers in Tissue-Defense During Systemic E. coli Challenge
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The rapid response of neutrophils throughout the body to a systemic challenge is a critical first step in resolution of bacterial infection such as Escherichia coli (E. coli). Here we delineated the dynamics of this response, revealing novel insights into the molecular mechanisms using lung and spleen intravital microscopy and 3D ex vivo culture of living precision cut splenic slices in combination with fluorescent labelling of endogenous leukocytes. Within seconds after challenge, intravascular marginated neutrophils and lung endothelial cells (ECs) work cooperatively to capture pathogens. Neutrophils retained on lung ECs slow their velocity and aggregate in clusters that enlarge as circulating neutrophils carrying E. coli stop within the microvasculature. The absolute number of splenic neutrophils does not change following challenge; however, neutrophils increase their velocity, migrate to the marginal zone (MZ) and form clusters. Irrespective of their location all neutrophils capturing heat-inactivated E. coli take on an activated phenotype showing increasing surface CD11b. At a molecular level we show that neutralization of ICAM-1 results in splenic neutrophil redistribution to the MZ under homeostasis. Following challenge, splenic levels of CXCL12 and ICAM-1 are reduced allowing neutrophils to migrate to the MZ in a CD29-integrin dependent manner, where the enlargement of splenic neutrophil clusters is CXCR2-CXCL2 dependent. We show directly molecular mechanisms that allow tissue resident neutrophils to provide the first lines of antimicrobial defense by capturing circulating E. coli and forming clusters both in the microvessels of the lung and in the parenchyma of the spleen.
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INTRODUCTION

Neutrophils are considered the first line of host defense as they are the most abundant innate immune cell in the blood and among the first to be recruited into tissues during an infection. Parabiosis experiments reveal that even under homeostasis, neutrophils infiltrate almost all tissues (1). Their rate of infiltration and retention varies considerably between tissues. After the bone marrow, the lung and the spleen represent the two major sites of neutrophil retention (1) and evidence suggests that retained neutrophils play an important role in immune surveillance in both of these organs (2).

In the lungs, murine ‘resident’ neutrophils are retained in the microvasculature, where, under steady state, they exhibit a range of migratory behaviors such as crawling, tethering or adherent (3). It has been shown that following systemic infection, neutrophil migration speed increases as they crawl towards and phagocytose E. coli initially captured by lung microvascular endothelial cells (4).

While the spleen has traditionally been considered an important site of neutrophil clearance, recent studies have shown that this tissue also has a large population of resident neutrophils in the red pulp, that play a role in the immune response to pathogens. Two sub-populations of neutrophils have been identified under steady state conditions in the mouse spleen (5). Ly6G<sup>high</sup> neutrophils are mature and motile and Ly6G<sup>int</sup> neutrophils are immature and more static (5). Interestingly, spleen intravital microscopy (S-IVM) has shown that both these populations of neutrophils together with red pulp and marginal zone macrophages contribute to S. pneumoniae clearance (5). In the context of systemic E. coli infection the spleen also plays a critical role in host defense, as splenectomy leads to overwhelming sepsis in ~13% of patients (6–8). At a cellular level, marginal zone (MZ) macrophages have been shown to play a critical role in the capture of this pathogen (9), but the role of splenic neutrophils in this response has not been fully explored.

In this study we have used a combination of IVM, flow cytometry and precision cut spleen slices (PCSS) to explore the dynamic response of neutrophils in the blood, bone marrow, lung and spleen simultaneously both in vivo and ex vivo in the first hour following a systemic challenge of heat-inactivated E. coli gaining insight into the temporal response of neutrophils in different tissues at this critical time point. We show that the capture of pathogens in the lung is initially mediated by both intravascular ‘resident’ neutrophils and endothelial cells and followed by the accumulation of circulating neutrophils leading to the formation of large clusters of neutrophils in the microvessels. In the spleen MZ macrophages bind and retain E. coli in the MZ, while splenic neutrophils migrate from the red pulp to the MZ where they form clusters around the pathogens. During this process, splenic levels of CXCL12 and ICAM-1 are decreased, while CXCL1, CXCL2, and IL-1β are up-regulated supporting changes in neutrophil dynamics. The CD29 (β<sub>1</sub>)-integrin is critical for neutrophil migration from the red pulp to the MZ, while the CXCL2-CXCR2 chemokine axis sustains neutrophil clustering. Thus, the results of this study show that lung and spleen resident neutrophils are pioneer cells in the response to systemic E. coli challenge.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

The primary objective of this study was to define the role of tissue resident neutrophils vs circulating neutrophils during systemic E. coli challenge. In all experiments, appropriate control groups were used. 6–8 weeks-old female mice were housed under the same environmental conditions, age-matched and randomly allocated to distinct experimental groups. The number of mice in each group, as well as the number of independent replicates, is stated in each figure legend.

Mice

C57Bl/6J female mice between 6–8 weeks old were purchased from Charles River. All mice were housed in specific pathogen free conditions at Imperial College London. All experiments were carried out in accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Use of Laboratory Animals of Imperial College London. All animal procedures and care conformed strictly to the UK Home Office Guidelines under the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986, and the protocols were approved by the Home Office of Great Britain.

Reagents

1x10<sup>7</sup> Molecular Probes® Bioparticles® are fluorescently labelled with Fluorescein (FITC), Ex/Em (~494/518 nm), heat- or chemically inactivated E. coli (K-12 strain) were resuspended in 50µl of PBS and used i.v. as challenge. Blocking mAbs used were all from BioLegend: CD29-integrin blocking Ab (clone HMβ1-1), ICAM-1 (clone YN1/1.7.4). CXCR2 antagonist was from TOCRIS (SB225002); anti-CXCL1 and anti-CXCL2 and IgG were from (R&D Systems). Macrophages were depleted via i.p. injection of Standard Macrophage Depletion Kit (Clodronate®, Encapsome®) and control lymphosome from Encapsula 24h before E. coli i.v. injection. Neutrophil were depleted via i.p. injection of 100µg/mouse of anti-Ly6G mAb (clone 1A8) or 100µg/mouse of IgG2a (clone 2A3) 24h before E. coli i.v. injection.

Tissue Preparation

Blood was collected in EDTA 2mM (Thermofisher) coated syringes by cardiac puncture under terminal anesthesia with Sodium-Pentobarbital. Spleens were collected and homogenized mechanically through a 70 µm filter in RPMI medium + 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS); BM cells were harvested from the femur by flushing the bone RPMI medium + 10% FBS. RBC lysis of the blood was carried out and samples were centrifuged at 1200 RPM for 5 min at 4°C.

Flow Cytometry

Single cell suspension from blood, spleen and BM were stained with Live/Dead near-IR stain (Life Technologies) and Fc-Receptors block (using clone 93, BioLegend). Cell suspensions
were incubated with directly conjugated fluorescent antibodies for 30 min at 4°C. The following Abs were used: Ly6G (clone 1A8), CD45 (clone 30-F11), CD11b (clone M1/70), CD3e (clone 17 A2), CD19 (clone 6D5), Ter119 (clone TER-119), CD62L (clone MEL-14), CXCR4 (clone2B11), ICAM-1 (clone YN1/17.4) and CXCR2 (SA044G4) Acquisition was performed on BD Fortessa using FacsDiva software (BD Bioscience) with further analysis by FlowJo software (BD Bioscience). CD3e (clone 17 A2), CD19 (clone 6D5), Ter119 (clone TER-119) were used as a dulp gate for T cells, B cells and red blood cells respectively.

Lung Intravital Microscopy (L-IVM)
This method was first described in (3) with modifications (10). Imaging was performed on an upright Leica SP5 confocal microscope using a 25x 0.95na water immersion objective. Neutrophils were labelled with 2-4μg/mouse of anti-Ly6G (clone 1A8)-PE, the vasculature was labelled with anti-CD31 (clone 390)-488, fluorescent Abs were injected i.v. in a maximal volume of 50 μl.

Blocking Abs or antagonists were i.v. injected while imaging continued non-stop until 1h after treatment. At the end of the imaging session, mice were humanely killed by anesthetic overdose (Sodium-Pentobarbital) and blood was collected by cardiac puncture and lung and spleen were harvested. Control mice were i.v. injected with sterile PBS or IgG Abs.

Spleen Intravital Microscopy (S-IVM)
This method is described in (11) with modifications. The spleens of live mice were imaged under non-recovery, terminal anesthesia. Anesthesia was induced with medetomidine/ketamine combo i.p. and maintained with the alternating s.c. injections of either 50 mg/kg ketamine alone or in combination with 0.125 mg/kg medetomidine at predefined timepoints. Mice were placed in the right lateral decubitus position and a small section of hair was removed from the left flank. A 5-8mm abdominal incision on the left flank above the spleen was used to expose the surface of the spleen, which was mechanically stabilized with a gentle vacuum using the coverslip vacuum chamber used for lung IVM.

Imaging was performed on an upright Leica SP5 confocal microscope using a 25x 0.95na water immersion objective. Images were acquired in 3 z-slices 5 μm apart. Neutrophils were labelled with 2-4μg/mouse of anti-Ly6G (clone 1A8)-PE, the vasculature was labelled with anti-CD31 (clone 390)-488, fluorescent Abs were injected i.v. in a maximal volume of 50 μl.

Precision Cut Lung Slices (PCLS)
To enhance Ab staining, mice were i.v. injected with 2-3μg of anti-Ly6G-PE (clone 1A8) 10-15 min prior organ collection. Mice were culled by i.p. injection of Sodium-Pentobarbital, then a small incision was made in the trachea and a cannula was inserted. 1ml of 2% low-melting point agarose was instilled through the cannula. Mice were placed on ice until the agarose set, lungs were collected en-block and fixed in 4% formaldehyde (v/v in PBS) (Thermofisher, UK) overnight. Lungs were sliced at 300 μm thick sections on a vibrating microtome.

Precision Cut Spleen Splices (PCSS)
To enhance Ab staining, mice were i.v. injected with 2-3μg of anti-Ly6G (clone 1A8) and 2-3μg of anti-CD169 (clone 3D6.112) 10-15 min prior organ collection. As required, mice were i.v. injected with E.coli particles for the required time and then cycled by i.p. injection of Sodium-Pentobarbital, an incision on the left flank was made and spleen was collected and kept live in HBSS+ 5% Hepes or fixed in 4% formaldehyde (v/v in PBS) (Thermofisher, UK) O/N. Spleens were sliced at 250-200 μm thick sections respectively on a vibrating microtome, using a protocol adapted from (12). Live PCSS were cultured ex vivo in incubator at 37°C 5% CO2 for 1h and 2h in RPMI medium + 5% FBS. As internal control, one slice was not incubated and fixed.

Cell Tracking
L-IVM and S-IVM 3D time-series in.lif format were analyzed using Imaris software (Bitplane, Oxford Instruments). The videos were cropped in time to analyze neutrophil speed in 60 frames before and 60 frames after 60 min of E. coli challenge. Neutrophil tracking was performed automatically on Ly6G-positive cells segmented as spots. Neutrophil XYZ location data were exported and mean track speed was plotted.

ELISA
Supernatants from spleen, BM and blood serum were used for ELISA. The following DuoSet ELISAs (R&D Systems) were used: Mouse CXCL1 (DY493), Mouse CXCL2 (DY492), Mouse IL-1β (DY401), and Mouse CXCL12 (DY460).

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad Software, Inc). A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant: P<0.05 *, P<0.01 **, P<0.001 ***, P<0.0001 ****, NS=not significant. Statistical tests used are mentioned in the figure legends.

Data Sharing Statement
For original data, please contact k.de-filippo@imperial.ac.uk.

RESULTS
Contribution of Circulating Neutrophils in the Capture of Heat-Inactivated E. coli and Later Neutrophils Mobilization From the Bone Marrow
To investigate the effects of intravascular (i.v.) injection of heat-inactivated E. coli on neutrophil numbers, Ly6Ghigh neutrophils (Supplementary Figure 1A) were counted in the blood (Figure 1A) and bone marrow (BM) (Supplementary Figure 1B) at 5, 20 and 60 min post injection of AlexaFluor 488 conjugated E. coli bio-particles in mice. We observed a significant increase in circulating neutrophil numbers 20 min after challenge that returned to basal levels 60 min post E. coli injection (Figure 1A).
There was a concomitant decrease in bone marrow numbers of Ly6G<sup>high</sup> neutrophils that was significant 60 min post injection (Supplementary Figure 1B). Following challenge, a subpopulation of CD11b<sup>high</sup> neutrophils appeared in the blood 20 min post challenge and increased by 60 min (Figures 1B, C). Analysis of E. coli phagocytosis of CD45<sup>+</sup> cells showed that by 20 min the predominant E. coli-positive cells were neutrophils, specifically CD11b<sup>high</sup> Ly6G<sup>high</sup> subpopulation (Figures 1D, E and Supplementary Figure 1C). Concomitant with the increase of CD11b on neutrophils, the shedding of CD62-L was detectable in both CD11b<sup>+</sup> and CD11b<sup>high</sup> Ly6G<sup>high</sup> populations of neutrophils (Supplementary Figure 1D). However, it was more pronounced on Ly6G<sup>high</sup> CD11b<sup>high</sup> cells consistent with a more inflammatory phenotype (4, 13). While a substantial number of Ly6G<sup>high</sup> neutrophils remain in the bone marrow at 60 min post challenge (Supplementary Figure 1B), very few of these cells were associated with E. coli (Figure Supplementary Figure 1E). Taken together, these data indicate a specific uptake of E. coli by circulating CD11b<sup>high</sup> neutrophils from 20 min that continues to rise to 60 min post challenge. The reduction in numbers of neutrophils in the bone marrow suggests a potential source of the increased circulating neutrophils. Of note, between 20 and 60 min after E. coli challenge, the number of neutrophils in the BM continued to decrease, but this was not mirrored by an increase in neutrophils in the blood, suggesting that mobilized neutrophils were potentially accumulating in tissues.

**E. coli Is Rapidly Captured by Both the Lung Microvasculature Endothelium and Margined Neutrophils**

Applying IVM to the lung (L-IVM) we studied the *in vivo* dynamic behavior and early contribution of lung resident neutrophils and the microvasculature endothelium to E. coli recognition and capture. Within the first seconds to minutes after challenge, lung endothelial cells (ECs) and margined neutrophils captured E. coli directly from the circulation (Figure 1F blue * and video 1). Quantification revealed that of the E. coli particles retained in the lung, ~40% were initially captured by neutrophils (Ns) while ~60% were captured by ECs (Figure 1G). L-IVM also revealed that margined neutrophils subsequently migrated towards the heat-inactivated E. coli adherent to ECs and appeared to bind/or ingest them (Figure 1F white *, video 1).

Neutrophil-depletion by i.p. injection of high dose anti-Ly6G mAb revealed that while ECs were still able to capture E. coli within the first seconds post challenge (Figure 1H, video 2), they failed to retain them efficiently when neutrophils were depleted (Figure 1H, video 2). In the presence of neutrophils, ~38% ECs were able to retain the particles beyond 55 seconds, however, when neutrophils were depleted, only ~8% of ECs retained the pathogen (Figure 1I), suggesting a profound cooperation between these two cell types to efficiently retain pathogens within the lung microvasculature. These results suggest that lung margined neutrophils are pioneer cells and key contributors in the initial removal of circulating pathogens via two mechanisms: neutrophils directly recognize and capture circulating E. coli and neutrophils modulate ECs to prolong their retention of circulating pathogens.

**E. coli Positive Neutrophils Forming Clusters Within Lung Microvessels Express CD11b**

Precision cut lung slices (PCLS) harvested 5, 20 and 60 min after challenge, revealed that E. coli-positive marginated neutrophils also expressed CD11b (Figure 2A). A significant increase in the number of intravascular marginated neutrophils per field of view (FOV) was apparent at 20 min and increased further by 60 min post challenge when compared to PBS (Ct) (Figures 2A, B).

Analysis of L-IVM by comparing mean neutrophil speed in the same FOV before and 60 min after systemic E. coli revealed that intravascular ‘retained’ lung neutrophils exhibited a markedly reduced migratory speed after challenge (Figure 2C, video 3). E. coli-positive marginated neutrophils were static (yellow neutrophils, Figure 2D, video 3, Figure 2E) while E. coli-negative marginated neutrophils were motile (red neutrophils, Figure 2D magenta and white *, video 3, Figure 2E). Our data show that marginated neutrophils migrate towards E. coli particles captured by ECs and rapidly aggregate in clusters enriched in E. coli. Thus, the lungs appear to be an important site for the collection of circulating E. coli and marginated neutrophils are critical in promoting this process.

**Splenic Resident Neutrophils Are Sufficient to Build a Response to Systemic E. Coli**

While the highly vascularized nature of the lung makes it a prominent site of neutrophil interaction with pathogens, the spleen also plays a key, but distinct role in coordinating immunological responses to blood-borne pathogens (14, 15). Blood percolates through the spleen at a reduced velocity allowing pathogens present in the circulation to be filtered (14, 15). In a model of S. pneumoniae challenge, it has been shown that both red pulp macrophages and neutrophils are essential for pathogen recognition and clearance (5). In contrast, immunohistochemistry data indicated that most E. coli are captured by MZ macrophages (9).

PCSS showed that while pathogens were clearly concentrated in the MZ within the first 5 min of challenge, they were also present in the red pulp (Supplementary Figures 2A, B). In contrast to the lungs, 60 min post challenge we observed no change in the absolute number of splenic neutrophils, as measured by flow cytometry (Figure 3A) or by quantification of neutrophils/FOV in PCSS (Figure 3B). However, we noticed a substantial change in the localization of splenic neutrophils after challenge, with neutrophils relocating to the MZ (Figures 3C, D) and clustering in close proximity to CD169-positive macrophages (Figure 3D arrows). S-IVM revealed that the migratory speed of splenic neutrophils significantly increased following systemic challenge (Figure 3E) migrating towards E. coli (video 4) and forming clusters by 60 min post challenge (Figure 3D arrows), thus, following similar kinetics for cluster formation as seen in the lung microvessels.
FIGURE 1 | Lung marginated and circulating neutrophils activation and contribution to E. coli capture. (A) Total number of neutrophils by flow cytometry 5, 20 and 60 min after i.v. injection of E. coli (N=5-6 mice/timepoint), one-way ANOVA, Tukey’s multiple comparison test, three independent experiments. (B) FACS analysis of circulating neutrophils 5, 20 and 60 min after i.v. injection of E. coli, representative of two independent experiments. (C) % of Ly6G<sup>high</sup> CD11<sup>b</sup><sup>+</sup> and Ly6G<sup>high</sup>CD11<sup>b</sup><sup>high</sup> neutrophils was calculated 5, 20 and 60 min post i.v. injection of heat-inactivated E. coli, (N=4 mice/timepoint). (D) Flow cytometry analysis of E. coli-positive circulating cells 5, 20 and 60 min after i.v. injection of E. coli, representative of two independent experiments. (E) E. coli-positive Ly6G<sup>high</sup>CD11<sup>b</sup><sup>+</sup> and Ly6G<sup>high</sup>CD11<sup>b</sup><sup>high</sup> neutrophils as % of CD45<sup>+</sup> cells was calculated 5, 20 and 60 min post i.v. injection of heat-inactivated E. coli. (N=4 mice/timepoint). (F) Sequential frames from video 1, white asterisk shows neutrophil (red) migration toward heat-inactivated E. coli captured by ECs (cyan); blue asterisk shows a neutrophil that captured heat-killed E. coli (yellow) directly from the circulation. Timing represents time from start of imaging. Scale bar 20μm. (G) Quantification of EC and neutrophil (Ns) contribution in capturing E. coli from the circulation (N=3 mice). (H) Sequential frames from video 2, showing ECs inability to retain E. coli particles. Timing represents time from start of imaging. Scale bar 20μm. (I) Proportion of E. coli particles bound to ECs over number of frames in the presence or depletion of neutrophils (N=3 mice/group), one-way ANOVA, Sidak’s multiple comparison test, three independent experiments. P < 0.01 **.
To further verify that circulating neutrophils were not accumulating in the spleen post *E. coli*, we established a 3D ex vivo culture system of living spleen slices. 5 min after systemic challenge, the spleen was harvested, sliced, and incubated in a 3D ex vivo culture system for 1h or 2h (Figure 3F). Using this system, we showed the relocation of splenic red pulp neutrophils to the MZ and the formation of clusters (Figure 3F arrows and Figure 3G) that enlarged over time even in the absence of circulating neutrophils.

The uptake of *E. coli* by splenic resident neutrophils was also associated with an increase in CD11b surface expression, however, the dynamics were faster compared to circulating
Thus ~15% of Ly6G<sup>high</sup> splenic neutrophils were already CD11b<sup>high</sup> 5 min post E. coli challenge and the % increased with time (Figures 4A, B). ~6.05 ± 0.72 (N=4) % of Ly6G<sup>high</sup> splenic neutrophil were CD11b<sup>high</sup> during homeostasis (Supplementary Figure 2C). 5 min after challenge, similar % of CD11b<sup>+</sup> and CD11b<sup>high</sup> splenic neutrophils were E. coli-positive, but by 20 min already ~40% were CD11b<sup>high</sup> (Figures 4C, D). Other splenic non-neutrophil cells were able to capture E. coli (Figure 4C); marginal zone macrophages have a high phagocytic affinity for E. coli (9). PCSS clearly showed that 5 min post
challenge ~80% of pathogens were found in the MZ of the spleen (Supplementary 2B). Consistent with the activated neutrophil phenotype, the shedding of CD62-L was detectable in both CD11b+ and CD11bhigh Ly6Ghigh splenic resident neutrophils after challenge (Supplementary Figure 2D).

Ly6G<sub>int</sub> Marginally Contribute to the Capture of E. coli

Deniset et al. (5) showed that Ly6G<sub>int</sub> neutrophils present in the spleen represented an immature phenotype that could mature and contribute to S. pneumoniae uptake (5). Therefore, we
extended our analysis to assess the relative contribution of Ly6Gint and Ly6G\textsuperscript{high} neutrophils to *E. coli* capture and found that ~ 20% of splenic neutrophils capturing *E. coli* were Ly6G\textsuperscript{int} (Figure 4E). In contrast when we analyzed the circulating Ly6G\textsuperscript{int} population, we found that they did not participate in pathogen recognition and elimination from the circulation (Figure 4F). Investigating expression of CD11b on these different populations of neutrophils we saw a fast up-regulation of CD11b on splenic Ly6G\textsuperscript{int} neutrophils (Figure 4G) while the level of expression by 60 min did not increase on blood Ly6G\textsuperscript{int} neutrophils (Figure 4H). However, the upregulation of CD11b on splenic Ly6G\textsuperscript{int} (Figure 4G) was very modest compared with that on Ly6G\textsuperscript{high} CD11b\textsuperscript{high} neutrophils (Figures 4G, H). This led us to conclude that splenic immature neutrophils only marginally participate to *E. coli* clearance.

**MZ Macrophages Contribute to *E. coli* Retention Within the MZ but Play No Role in Neutrophil-*E. coli* Interaction**

The spleen harbors several subsets of macrophages with specific locations and functions (16). CD169-positive macrophages occupy the MZ and are the primary line of protection against several blood-borne pathogens including *E. coli* (9). Mice lacking CD169-positive macrophages showed a significant increase in *E. coli* growth in the spleen (17).

To investigate the interplay between MZ macrophages and red pulp resident neutrophils in the capture of heat-inactivated *E. coli*, a low dose of clodronate liposomes was used to deplete macrophages in the MZ (5). PCSS of macrophage-depleted mice, harvested 60 min post challenge, confirmed the depletion of CD169-positive macrophages and showed that, in their absence *E. coli* particles were scattered throughout the spleen (Figure 5A) thus confirming the importance of CD169-positive macrophages in the retention of pathogens within the MZ. Clodronate treatment did not significantly influence the number of splenic neutrophils/FOV (Figure 5B) and neutrophils were still found to co-localize with *E. coli* particles (Figure 5A arrows). Moreover, their ability to up-regulate CD11b (Figures 5C, D) or to phagocyte *E. coli* (Figures 5E, F) was not affected by the absence of MZ macrophages. However, in the absence of MZ macrophages the neutrophils failed to organize in clusters (Figure 5A), suggesting that macrophages drive this process by attracting neutrophils to the MZ.

Neutrophil-depletion revealed that location of *E. coli* particles within the spleen was not compromised (Figure 5G). However, depletion of splenic neutrophils was not complete (Figure 5G), in accordance with Deniset et al. (5) where the authors showed the remaining cells were Ly6G\textsuperscript{int} (5). Indeed, quantification of neutrophils/FOV revealed that 1/3 of splenic neutrophils remained after a high dose of mAb Ly6G (Figure 5H). However, in the neutrophil-depleted slices, the remaining Ly6G\textsuperscript{int} neutrophils do not form clusters in the spleen (Figure 5G). In contrast, in the lung, high dose of mAb Ly6G caused a total depletion of neutrophils (Supplementary Figure 2E). These data suggest while uptake of *E. coli* by splenic neutrophils is independent of MZ macrophages, these cells are critical in orchestrating the compartmentalization of *E. coli* in the spleen and drive neutrophil relocation and clustering.

**CXCR2-CXCL2 Axis Is Important for Cluster Enlargement**

Splenic levels of CXCL1, CXCL2, and IL-1β significantly increased post challenge, while CXCL12 levels were significantly reduced (Figure 6A). We observed a decrease in the expression level of CXCR2 on splenic Ly6G\textsuperscript{high} neutrophils treated with *E. coli* (Figure 6B). To investigate whether the CXCR2/CXCL1/CXCL2 chemokine axis played a role in the process of neutrophil migration and/or cluster formation following challenge, mice were pre-treated i.p. with a CXCR2 antagonist (18). Blocking CXCR2 had no effect on the absolute number of splenic neutrophils (Figure 6C). To examine the effect of CXCR2 blockade on neutrophil migration and clustering, spleens were harvested from mice, pre-treated with or without CXCR2 antagonist, 5 min after *E. coli* challenge and then PCSS incubated in 3D tissue culture ex vivo for up to 2h. Pre-treatment with the CXCR2 antagonist did not impaired cluster formation 1h after challenge, however the formation of larger clusters was significantly inhibited (Figures 6D, E), suggesting a role for CXCR2 in cluster enlargement. Making use of mAb CXCL1 and/or CXCL2 we identified a specific role for CXCL2, but not CXCL1, in the enlargement of neutrophil clusters (Figure 6E).

Clodronate treatment reduced CXCL1 and CXCL2 generation in the spleen in response to challenge, indicating that CD169-positive macrophages partially contributed to chemokine production (Supplementary Figure 2F). Lack of CD169-positive macrophages almost totally abrogated the generation of splenic IL-1β but had no effect on splenic CXCL12 (Supplementary Figure 2F). Neutrophil-depletion showed a modest but significant down-regulation of CXCL2 and unexpected up-regulation of CXCL1, while levels of both IL-1β and CXCL12 were unaffected (Supplementary Figure 2G). These data indicate that in response to *E. coli* splenic macrophages generate IL-1β and both splenic macrophages and neutrophils contribute to the generation of CXCL2. While the level of CXCL12 was significantly reduced after challenge, there is no evidence that neutrophils or macrophages are involved in regulating the production of this chemokine.

**CD29 (β1) Integrin Plays a Role in Neutrophil Relocation Within the Spleen Following *E. coli* Challenge**

CD29 is highly expressed by neutrophils and supports their migration within tissues and/or cluster formation (19). A specific anti-CD29 blocking mAb was used to test whether CD29 was involved in the retention of neutrophils within the red pulp of the spleen, or their migration from red pulp to the MZ and clustering in response to *E. coli*. Pre-treatment with anti-CD29 mAb followed by *E. coli* challenge for 60 min impaired the relocation of splenic neutrophils in the red pulp to the MZ (Figures 7A, B). Blocking CD29 prior to challenge did not affect
FIGURE 5 | MZ macrophages and splenic neutrophil functions during E. Coli challenge. (A) PCSS image of liposome-control (Ct) and liposome-clodronate (Clo) pre-treated mice challenged for 60 min with systemic E. coli. Scale bar 50 µm. Arrows point to some neutrophils that co-localize with E. coli. (B) Total number of neutrophils/FOV counted in PCSS of liposome-control and liposome-clodronate pre-treated mice challenged for 60 min with systemic E. coli, unpaired t-test (N=3 mice/treatment), (10 FOV/mouse). (C) Flow cytometry analysis of splenic neutrophils 5 min after i.v. injection of E. coli, representative of two independent experiments. (D) % of Ly6G<sup>hi</sup> CD11b<sup>hi</sup> neutrophils of liposome-control (Ct) and liposome-clodronate (Clo) pre-treated mice challenged for 5, 20, and 60 min with systemic E. coli, (N=4 mice/timepoint). (E) Flow cytometry analysis of E. coli-positive splenic cells 5 min after i.v. injection of E. coli, representative of two independent experiments. (F) E. coli-positive Ly6G<sup>hi</sup> CD11b<sup>hi</sup> neutrophils as % of CD45<sup>+</sup> cells were calculated 5, 20 and 60 min post i.v. injection of heat-inactivated E. coli, (N=4 mice/timepoint). (G) PCSS image of 2A3 mAb and 1A8 mAb pre-treated mice challenged for 60 min with systemic PBS or E. coli. Scale bar 50 µm. (H) Total number of neutrophils/FOV counted in PCSS of 100 µg of 2A3 mAb and 100 µg of 1A8 mAb pre-treated mice challenged for 60 min with systemic PBS or E. coli. (N=4 mice/group), (10 FOV/mouse). P < 0.0001 ****, NS, non significant.
the up-regulation of CD11b or the E. coli capture by splenic neutrophils retained within the spleen 60 min post challenge (Figure 7C), but had a partial effect on the number of retained neutrophils (Figure 7D) suggesting CD29 may be required for neutrophil retention and is essential for migration to the MZ, not for capturing E. coli, nor for neutrophil retention within the spleen.

ICAM-1, a ligand for β2 integrins, is highly expressed on splenic CD45-negative stromal cells (20, 21). 60 min after administration of anti-ICAM-1 mAb, in the absence of pathogens, splenic neutrophils showed a dramatic displacement from the red pulp to the MZ (Figures 7E, F). While the number of splenic neutrophils did not change (Figure 7G), their surface expression of CD11b and CD62-L were significantly up- and down-regulated respectively (Figure 7H).
FIGURE 7 | CD11b-, CD29-integrin and ICAM-1 role in splenic neutrophil retention, relocation, and clustering. (A) PCSS image of IgG and anti-CD29 mAb pre-treated mice challenged for 60 min with systemic E. coli. Scale bar 50 μm. Arrows point to some neutrophils that co-localize with E. coli after anti-CD29 mAb treatment. (B) % of splenic neutrophils in the MZ/FOV counted in PCSS of IgG and anti-CD29 mAb pre-treated mice challenged for 60 min with systemic E. coli, unpaired t-test, (N=3 mice/group). (C) Flow cytometry analysis of E. coli-positive splenic neutrophils pre-treatment with CD29 mAb. (D) Quantification of splenic neutrophils 60 min after i.v. injection of E. coli pre-treated with anti-CD29 mAb, two independent experiments (N=3 mice/treatment). (E) PCSS image of IgG or anti-ICAM-1 mAb treated mice. Scale bar 50 μm. (F) % of splenic neutrophils located in the MZ/FOV counted in PCSS after IgG or ICAM-1 mAb for 60 min, unpaired t-test, (N=3 mice/group). (G) Quantification of splenic neutrophils from mice treated for 60 min with i.v. IgG or ICAM-1 mAb, two independent experiments (N=5 mice/treatment). (H) MFI of anti-CD11b and anti-CD62L mAb of splenic neutrophils after anti-ICAM-1 mAb or IgG treatment expressed as fold change. (I) % of ICAM-1 expressed by CD45- splenocytes 60 min after i.v. injection of PBS or E. coli, representative of three experiments, unpaired t-test, (N=4 mice/treatment). P < 0.01 **, NS, non significant.
suggesting an activated neutrophil phenotype. The % of ICAM-1 expression on splenic CD45-negative cells was reduced following E. coli treatment (Figure 7I). Taken together our data suggest that down regulation of ICAM-1 on stromal cells following E. coli challenge, releases neutrophils allowing them to migrate via CD29-integrin towards the MZ.

DISCUSSION

Systemic infections with E. coli account for 25-50% of all blood stream infections globally with a 20% mortality rate (22, 23). In our study, we show novel findings comparing critical but distinct sites of neutrophil surveillance in the lung and in the spleen after heat-inactivated E. coli. In response to challenge, neutrophils in the lung, spleen and blood capture E. coli, albeit with different kinetics, and take on an activated phenotype (CD11bhigh, CD62-Llow). These data illustrate the dynamic nature of neutrophil responses. They support a scenario whereby pathogen stimulates the generation of cytokines or chemokines that stimulate neutrophil mobilization from the bone marrow, those neutrophils contribute to mopping up E. coli in the blood and rapidly concentrating it in the lung. Thus, the increase in circulating neutrophils is only observed transiently as these mobilized neutrophils are rapidly accumulating in the lung.

Pioneering work in 1987, by Lien et al. (23), first made use of fluorescent video microscopy to observe labelled neutrophils migrating in the sub-pleura pulmonary microcirculation through a window inserted into the chest cavity of dogs. In this study, the authors observed that under homeostasis neutrophils were making transient contacts with ECs and migrated within the pulmonary capillaries with a transit time ranging from 2 seconds to 20 min but had no engagement in arterioles or venules. Lung IVM is now a well-established technique that has been used by us and others to image murine neutrophil dynamics both under steady state and during infection (3, 4, 24). Three different neutrophil dynamic behaviors: tethering, crawling and firm adhesion, have been observed under homeostasis within the microcapillary of murine lungs (3) while 35% of neutrophils remained stationary/adherent (4). These studies suggest that there is a marginated pool of neutrophils in murine and canine lungs. It is unlikely that these marginated neutrophils are neutrophils that have become activated during tissue preparation as they are not clustering, a response we see with activated neutrophils and when the tissue is damaged.

In our study, we show that in the absence of ‘resident’ neutrophils, the lung microvasculature ECs failed to retain E. coli bio particles suggesting that neutrophil depletion does not interfere with the ability of the ECs to capture E. coli but marginated neutrophils and ECs act co-operatively to retain E. coli. It will be of interest to identify the molecular mechanism underlying this co-operative response.

In contrast to the murine IVM studies, a recent study by Summers et al. (25), using autologous radiolabeled cells showed that unprimed human neutrophils have a transit time of 14.2 s through the lung and < 5% were retained at first pass, suggesting that a marginated population does not exist in healthy human lungs (25). However, under intravascular stimulation with LPS (2ng/kg), human volunteers showed blood neutropenia and a concomitant increase of CD11b expression on circulating neutrophils (4). Moreover, 97% of ex vivo primed neutrophils were retained in the lung on first pass (25). Therefore, there is no doubt that systemic challenge with LPS in humans would cause a rapid and dramatic activation of neutrophils that would then form clusters in the lung. Whether systemic E. coli would also be captured and retained by endothelial cells in the human lung microvascular in the absence of marginated neutrophils is currently unknown.

In the context of the spleen, tissue resident neutrophils cooperate with several resident cells to ensure confinement of pathogens. During systemic E. coli challenge, we show that macrophages-depletion abolishes entrapment of E. coli in the MZ while neutrophils in the red pulp are still able to capture E. coli, they fail to relocate to the MZ and cluster. Thus, in contrast to the lung, splenic resident macrophages are critically involved in orchestrating a neutrophil response to E. coli. In a model of listeria infection, bacteria were rapidly captured by DCs present in the splenic red pulp and neutrophils were observed to converge and aggregate around the pathogens to block spread of bacteria (26). The molecular mechanism involved in neutrophil clustering is pathogen- and tissue-specific (19, 27).

The aim of our study was to examine the dynamics of the neutrophil response to E.coli in multiple tissues and investigate the molecular mechanisms. To do this we used heat-killed E. coli. However, using live E. coli K-12 strain, Smith et al. (28) showed that as early as 20 min E. coli were found in the spleen confirming similar dynamics with heat-inactivated E. coli used in this study.

Stromal cells in the spleen express ICAM-1 constitutively and are the primary source of CXCL12 (21). Interestingly we show here that following E. coli challenge there is a dramatic reduction in both ICAM-1 expression and levels of CXCL12. The functional significance of these observations is unclear. Indeed previous work (29, 30) has shown that the CXCR4 antagonist, Plerixafor, does not stimulate the release of neutrophils from the spleen (29), consistent with the fact that in these studies the number of splenic resident neutrophils remained constant despite the down regulation of CXCL12, suggesting that the CXCL12/CXCR4 pathway is not critical for the retention of splenic neutrophils. A partial role for CD29 in the retention of splenic neutrophils has been shown by Deniset et al.- We have identified a critical role for CD29 in the re-location of neutrophils from the red pulp to the MZ.

Taken together, our data show that the same subset of neutrophils, Ly6Ghigh, depending on their location, participate to the neutrophil response to E. coli in multiple tissues and investigate the molecular mechanisms. To do this we used heat-killed E. coli. However, using live E. coli K-12 strain, Smith et al. (28) showed that as early as 20 min E. coli were found in the spleen confirming similar dynamics with heat-inactivated E. coli used in this study.

Stromal cells in the spleen express ICAM-1 constitutively and are the primary source of CXCL12 (21). Interestingly we show here that following E. coli challenge there is a dramatic reduction in both ICAM-1 expression and levels of CXCL12. The functional significance of these observations is unclear. Indeed previous work (29, 30) has shown that the CXCR4 antagonist, Plerixafor, does not stimulate the release of neutrophils from the spleen (29), consistent with the fact that in these studies the number of splenic resident neutrophils remained constant despite the down regulation of CXCL12, suggesting that the CXCL12/CXCR4 pathway is not critical for the retention of splenic neutrophils. A partial role for CD29 in the retention of splenic neutrophils has been shown by Deniset et al.- We have identified a critical role for CD29 in the re-location of neutrophils from the red pulp to the MZ.
different behaviors of splenic and circulating Ly6G\textsuperscript{high} and Ly6G\textsuperscript{int} neutrophils could be due to organ “education” or priming the retained neutrophils for a quicker and more efficient response to pathogens. Moreover, mature but not activated circulating or splenic Ly6G\textsuperscript{high} CD11b\textsuperscript{+} neutrophils show no ability to recognize and capture \textit{E. coli} particles despite their higher level of maturity when compared with Ly6G\textsuperscript{int} neutrophils. Ly6G\textsuperscript{high} CD11b\textsuperscript{+} neutrophils could potentially constitute another subset of splenic neutrophils less prone to getting activated in the timeframe we studied.

There are multiple studies in the literature showing neutrophil subsets and their specific dynamics and functions in different organs (3–4). Specifically, in the spleen, Deniset et al. (5) showed that both subsets of neutrophils, such as Ly6G\textsuperscript{high} and Ly6G\textsuperscript{int} cooperate in the clearance of gram+ \textit{S. pneumoniae}. In our study we showed that Ly6G\textsuperscript{high} were the major contributors against a gram- \textit{E. coli} challenge by up-regulating CD11b and migrating from the red pulp to the MZ to cluster. We also show that to some extent splenic Ly6G\textsuperscript{int} neutrophils cooperate in the capture of \textit{E. coli} particles, while circulating Ly6G\textsuperscript{int} had a negligible contribution. However, splenic Ly6G\textsuperscript{int} failed to form clusters in the absence of splenic Ly6G\textsuperscript{high} neutrophils, showing their limited capacity, in response to this pathogen.

It is important to note for this study we have used female mice. Sexual dimorphism has been shown with more frequent and severe infectious diseases in males and a stronger immune response in females (35). Moreover, Kay et al. (36), showed that male mice have a far greater storage of splenic neutrophils despite similar spleen size. Dimorphism has also been described for human disease and hormones could possibly explain some of the differences (37). Therefore, understanding the activation, retention and trafficking of neutrophils in these different tissues in response to systemic \textit{E. coli} infection in males and females could be fundamental in the designing of tailored drug therapies.

In conclusion, by examining the kinetics of the response to \textit{E. coli}, our data suggest that tissue marginated Ly6G\textsuperscript{high} neutrophils are pioneer immune cells responsible for the initial capture of systemic pathogens within seconds while circulating Ly6G\textsuperscript{high} neutrophils only subsequently contribute to cluster enlargement and confinement of \textit{E. coli} in the lung. Splenic Ly6G\textsuperscript{int} neutrophils only marginally participate in the capture of \textit{E. coli}. Moreover, ICAM-1 is down regulated during challenge allowing splenic neutrophils to relocate from the red pulp to the MZ in a CD29-dependent migration.

**DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation to any qualified researcher by the corresponding author.

**REFERENCES**

1. Casanova-Acebes M, Nicolas-Avila JA, Li J, Garcia-Silva S, Balachander A, Rubio-Ponce A, et al. Neutrophils instruct homeostatic and pathological states in naive tissues. \textit{J Exp Med} (2018) 215(11):2778–95. doi: 10.1084/jem.20181468
2. Kubes P. The enigmatic neutrophil: what we do not know. \textit{Cell Tissue Res} (2018) 371(3):399–406. doi: 10.1007/s00441-018-2790-5

**ETHICS STATEMENT**

All animal procedures and care conformed strictly to the UK Home Office. Guidelines under the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986, and the protocols were approved by the Home Office of Great Britain.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

GJ performed flow cytometry analysis and PCLS/PCSS staining and analysis. JS, JV, JM and KF performed L-IVM, YH preformed 3D \textit{ex vivo} culture, CH established the PCLS, JH helped to establish FACS analysis, and LC established L-IVM. KF established and performed S-IVM, analyzed IVMs data and generated videos. KF and SR conceived the project. SR and KF wrote the manuscript, which was edited by all authors. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

**FUNDING**

This work was funded by Wellcome Trust (201356/Z/16/Z). JS is funded by an Imperial College London President’s PhD Scholarship. JV is funded by Emil Aaltonen Foundation, Sigrid Juselius Foundation and Jane and Aatos Erkko Foundation. JM is supported by the NHLI foundation PhD studentship, Imperial College London. CH is supported from the Leverhulme Trust (RPG-2015-226). LC is supported by core funding from Cancer Research UK (A23983 and A17196). KF is supported by funding from the Wellcome Trust (201356/Z/16/Z).

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank Edwin Chilvers and Nancy Hogg for helpful comments on the study and the manuscript and Catherine Winchester for editing. We thank the Light Microscopy (FILM) Facility at Imperial College London, especially David C. A. Gaboriau, Andreas Bruckbauer and Steve Rothery for technical support.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2021.597595/full#supplementary-material
