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Abstract
This study aims at analyzing, comparing and selecting the best predictive model based on organic waste generation at the UiTM Tapah Campus administration cafe. The data collection period begins Monday through Friday from 4th March 2019 until 20th April 2020. There are 2 bins that are labeled as Bin 1 and Bin 2 at the Administration Cafe on the UiTM Tapah Campus. Using the Risk Simulator Software, the Moving Average Model, Exponential Smoothing Model, and Holt-Winters Model were adapted and analyzed. The best model is chosen based on error comparison. There are three statistical errors in this study which are used for comparison purposes as RMSE, MSE, and MAD. As a result, the lowest error values for both Bin 1 and Bin 2 are shown on the Moving Average model. Therefore, the Moving Average Model can be concluded as the best model for predicting organic waste generation. The best model will be used in future at Administration Cafe in UiTM Tapah Campus to predict organic waste generation.
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Introduction
Solid waste management is a necessary part of the business to protect the environment and to ensure sustainability and quality community life. Therefore, solid waste management is now considered as one of the global issues because everyone is responsible for the generation of solid waste produced every day (Zulkipli, et al. 2019). Increase in population, upgrade socio-economic and lifestyle changes are a major factor in raising the country’s solid waste generation and change composition. The estimated domestic solid waste generation in 2018 capacity at Malaysia reaches 38,000 tons a day (SWCorp, 2019). This rate has been beyond the expectations of a study conducted by Japan The International Cooperation Agency (JICA) is 30,000 tons 2020. This scenario is a major issue for the country due to the increase solid waste generation will require a landfill larger one that will certainly increase the cost of waste management solid. Therefore, the public needs to learn methods of managing solid waste regularly or prospectively face the risks of environmental pollution and threats serious health in the future.

Malaysia is on the move implement effective strategies and initiatives in the aspects physical, economic and social towards a mission to be become a developed country. Accordingly, the level of
cleanliness and environmental sustainability is one of the features that needs to be given attention. To achieve a level of cleanliness and environmental sustainability around the country, Malaysia faces many challenges needs to be addressed in a strategic and effective way. Challenge which is faced with various aspects including attitude and mind society, finance, technology, human resources and enforcement.

Pursuant to the Solid Waste and Public Waste Management Act 2007 (Act 672), solid waste is defined as any unwanted scrap or other excess or rejected product arising from the use of any process (Zulkipli, et al., 2017). There are 7 categories of solid waste under Act 672, which are household waste, industrial waste, construction waste, commercial waste, institution waste, imported waste and civil solid waste. This study is focused on institution waste which is organic waste. Organic waste can be classified as any material that is biodegradable and comes from either a plant or an animal. Biodegradable waste is organic material that can be broken into carbon dioxide, methane or simple organic molecules. For example, food waste, garden waste and green waste.

Based on waste composition in Malaysia, the organic waste generation contributes 50.3%, which is the highest contribution besides 13.2 % of plastics, 12.1% of diapers and sanitary napkins and 24.4% consist of paper, glass, textile, tetra pack and others. Figure 1 shows the waste composition in Malaysia (SWCorp, 2014). In 2012 shows the amount left over the solid produced in Malaysia in 2012 is estimated at 33,130 tons per day based on a population of 28.3 million people. While the rest food is the most generated waste, accounting for 45% of the total waste generated daily.

![Figure 1. Waste Composition in Malaysia (SWCorp, 2014)](image)

In addition, the uncontrollable waste generation will lead to bigger problem in waste management as well as future sustainable environment. Based on previous study, the waste generation should be predicted in advanced (Loganathan, et al, 2010; Vignesh, et al, 2018; Jamal, et al, 2019). They used time series forecasting analysis in order to forecast the future waste generation scenario. This prediction data could help the management to see the scenario holistically. A several alternative of actions could be implemented for better decision making. Thus, this study is aim to analyse, compare and select the best forecasting model based on the organic waste generation at the administration cafe in UiTM Tapah Campus. The data collection period starts from 4th Mac 2019 until 20th April 2020 from Monday to Friday. There are 2 bins which is located at Administration Cafe
in UiTM Tapah Campus as labelled as Bin 1 and Bin 2. The forecasting model is used in order to identify the best fitted model based on data collection at Administration Cafe in UiTM Tapah Campus.

Methodology

a) Data collection
With a capacity of 200 customers, the administration cafe is located in the middle of the UiTM Tapah campus. Several stalls offer various food and drinks. There are two stalls involved for data collection in this study. The features of the stalls are the preparation of food and the cooking at the stalls. For each stable the researcher provides two bins. The owner of the stalls must separate the waste organics into the bin. Data collection period was during weekdays from 4th Mac 2019 until 20th April 2019. Every Monday to Friday, each bin will be measured using a measurement scale at 5.00PM. The generation of documented organic waste is organized in table form. Figure 2 demonstrates the data-gathering process.

b) Forecasting model
There are three prognostic or forecasting models to be implemented and evaluated in this analysis. These are the Moving Average Model, Model Exponential Smoothing and Model Holt-Winter’s. Each model's procedures are as in Table 1 (Lazim, 2013).
Table 1. Procedure of Forecasting Models

| 1. Moving Average Model |
|-------------------------|
| \[ F_t = \frac{A_{t-1} + A_{t-2} + A_{t-3} + \cdots + A_{t-n}}{n} \] |
| Where, |
| \( F_t \) = forecast for the coming period |
| \( n \) = number of periods to be averaged |
| \( A_{t-1} \) = actual occurrence in the past period for up to \( n \) periods |

| 2. Exponential Smoothing Model |
|------------------------------|
| \[ F_t = \alpha A_{t-1} + (1 - \alpha)F_{t-1} \] |
| Where, |
| \( \alpha \) = smoothing constant |
| \( F_t \) = forecast for period \( t \) |
| \( A_t \) = actual value in period \( t \) |

| 3. Holt-Winters Model |
|----------------------|
| \[ F_{t+k} = E_t + kT_t \] |
| \( E_t = wY_{t-1} + (1 - w)(E_{t-1} + T_{t-1}) \) |
| \( T_t = v(E_t - E_{t-1}) + (1 - v)T_{t-1} \) |
| Where, |
| \( F_{t+k} \) = forecast value \( k \) periods from \( t \) |
| \( Y_{t-1} \) = actual value for period \( t-1 \) |
| \( E_{t-1} \) = estimated value for period \( t-1 \) |
| \( T_t \) = trend for period \( t \) |
| \( w \) = smoothing constant for estimates |
| \( v \) = smoothing factor for trend |
| \( k \) = number of periods |

c) Residual Error of Measurement

In this analysis, the mean square error, mean square error and mean absolute deviation are three types of statistical residual error of the measurements which are source. Comparison of these three residual errors will provide the best fit model for forecasting. Table 2 displays the calculation of these statistical residual measurement errors (Lazim, 2013).
Table 2. Residual Error of Measurements

| 1. Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) |
|----------------------------------|
| \[ RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \hat{Y})^2} \] |
| Where, |
| \( Y_i \) = actual value for period \( i \) |
| \( \hat{Y} \) = predicted value for period \( i \) |
| \( n \) = number of periods |

| 2. Mean Square Error (MSE) |
|----------------------------|
| \[ MSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \hat{Y})^2 \] |
| Where, |
| \( Y_i \) = actual value for period \( i \) |
| \( \hat{Y} \) = predicted value for period \( i \) |
| \( n \) = number of periods |

| 3. Mean Absolute Deviation (MAD) |
|---------------------------------|
| \[ MAD = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} |Y_t - \hat{Y}_t| \] |
| Where, |
| \( Y_t \) = actual value for period \( i \) |
| \( \hat{Y} \) = predicted value for period \( i \) |
| \( n \) = number of periods |

Results and Discussions

a) Trend of organic waste generation

The organic waste was collected in 7 weeks or 35 days during the weekdays from 4\textsuperscript{th} Mac 2019 until 20\textsuperscript{th} April 2019. Organic waste collection is made Monday through Friday at 5.00pm. Bin 1 and Bin 2 each were calculated using the measuring scale as shown in Figure 2. The trend in the production of organic wastes is shown in Figure 3. Based on Figure 3, Bin 1 (green line) created more organic waste than Bin 2 (yellow line) did. Hence, Stall 1 owner provided numerous food varieties as compared to Stall 2 owner.
This study used Risk Simulator Software to do the analysis of the data. This software helps the researcher to overcome time constraints and carry out predictive analysis faster than conventional ones. In Figure 4 and Figure 5, the effects of Bin 1 and Bin 2 indicate where the actual data compared with the predicted data is.
b) The best forecasting model for Bin 1 and Bin 2.

Table 3. Forecasting Model Result for Bin 1

| MODEL                        | RMSE  | MSE  | MAD  |
|------------------------------|-------|------|------|
| Single Moving Average        | 1.4757| 2.1778| 1.2088|
| Double Exponential Smoothing | 1.4778| 2.1831| 1.2234|
| Single Exponential Smoothing | 1.4923| 2.2271| 1.2439|
| Holt-Winter’s Additive       | 1.5012| 2.2523| 1.2074|
| Double Moving Average        | 1.8945| 3.5893| 1.5803|

Table 3 shows the results for the Bin 1 forecasting model. The best fitted prediction model is based on a comparison of measurement residual errors that were Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), Mean Square Error (MSE), and Mean Absolute Deviation (MAD). For Bin 1 the best fitted model is single moving average (RMSE: 1.4757; MSE: 2.1778; MAD: 1.2088), followed by double exponential smoothing (RMSE: 1.4778; MSE: 2.1831; MAD: 1.2234). In addition, a single exponential smoothing (RMSE: 1.4923; MSE: 2.2271; MAD: 1.2439) and an additive for holt-winter (RMSE: 1.5012; MSE: 2.2523; MAD: 1.2074) and finally, the moving average is double (RMSE: 1.8945; MSE: 3.5893; MAD: 1.5803).
Table 4. Forecasting Model Result for Bin 2

| MODEL                        | RMSE  | MSE   | MAD   |
|------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Single Moving Average        | 0.4257| 0.1813| 0.3545|
| Double Moving Average        | 0.4631| 0.2144| 0.3858|
| Double Exponential Smoothing | 0.6641| 0.4411| 0.4981|
| Single Exponential Smoothing | 0.6756| 0.4564| 0.5221|
| Holt-Winter’s Additive       | 0.7496| 0.5620| 0.5991|

The predicted model results for Bin 2 are shown in Table 4. Single moving average is the best fitted model for Bin 2 (RMSE: 0.4257; MSE: 0.1813; MAD: 0.3545), followed by double moving average (RMSE: 0.4631; MSE: 0.2144; MAD: 0.3858). In addition, double exponential smoothing (RMSE: 0.6641; MSE: 0.4411; MAD: 0.4981) and single exponential smoothing (RMSE: 0.6756; MSE: 0.4564), followed. Finally, an additive to the holt-winter (RMSE: 0.7496; MSE: 0.5620; MAD: 0.5991). The results show that moving average model for Bin 1 and Bin 2 can be implemented to forecast future organic waste generation at Administration Cafe at UiTM Tapah Campus.

Conclusion
This study examined the component exist in time series data of organic waste generation at Administration Cafe in UiTM Tapah Campus. It aims to determine the best fitted forecasting model based on comparing the residual errors measurement using RMSE, MSE and MAD. The best model for Bin 1 is single moving average model while for Bin 2 is also single moving average model. Thus, these models used to determine the predictive organic waste generation in future. This study only focuses on univariate forecasting analysis. For future recommendation, the analysis will add more factors which influent the organic waste generation such as the population at Administration Cafe in UiTM Tapah Campus using econometric forecasting analysis.
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