From Gardner fibroma diagnosis to constitutional APC mutation detection: a one-way street
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Key Clinical Message
We report a young child without a family history of FAP, who promptly underwent APC testing after the histological confirmation of a paraspinal GAF that was not isolated. Our case report reinforces the suggestion advanced by previous authors for an APC analysis in all patients with GAF.
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Introduction
The Gardner fibroma (GAF) is a benign soft tissue lesion that most commonly locates in the back and paraspinal region [1]. This soft ill-defined, white rubbery mass is poorly circumscribed and classically contains flecks of yellow entrapped adipose tissue. Males and females are equally affected, with a predilection for the first two decades of life. Immunohistochemistry evaluation of GAF reveals diffuse reactivity for CD34 and nuclear beta-catenin [2]. The beta-catenin overexpression in GAF may result from activating somatic variants of the CTNNB1 gene or from biallelic inactivation of the APC gene, but the pathogenetic mechanisms are still unknown. Intriguingly, germline mutations of the CTNNB1 gene cause familiar desmoids syndrome [3], where GAFs could also occur. Mutations in the APC gene cause some forms of familiar desmoids syndrome [4] and GS as well as FAP.

Case Report
An 18-month-old female who had recently undergone resection of a GAF was referred to us for genetic counseling.

She was the only child of nonconsanguineous healthy parents. The patient’s father had myelinated retinal nerve fiber persistence. A paternal uncle had multiple myeloma. The paternal grandfather died from Hodgkin lymphoma at 47 years of age, whereas the maternal grandmother was diagnosed to have colonic diverticular disease and one hyperplastic intestinal polyp at 60 years of age. A paternal aunt of the patient’s mother also presented a colon cancer at 60 years.

Our patient was born after 39 weeks of gestation. From birth, asymmetric frontal and occipital bossing had been present. At 9 months of age a paraspinal, nontender, slightly mobile, and nodular lesion was noted. An ultrasound revealed that it was a 3 × 0.5-cm fusiform mass,
located in the subcutaneous adipose tissue, without any deep infiltration and vascularization. An additional subcentimetric lesion was touchable in the subcutis of the posterior neck.

A surgical excision of the paraspinal lesion was performed. The lesion was identified as a poorly circumscribed, rubbery white mass. Histologically, the lesion displayed hypocellular sheets of haphazardly arranged collagen bands with a few interspersed spindle cells (Fig. 1A–B), clear cracks between collagen fibers and rare capillary-sized vessels (Fig. 1C). An infiltrative pattern was present at the periphery and an entrapment of fat and nerve fibers. At immunohistochemistry, the spindle cells were positive for vimentin, CD34, and nuclear beta-catenin but negative for muscle specific actin, smooth muscle actin, and desmin (Fig. 1D). These observations were compatible with the diagnosis of GAF.

MRI and ultrasound examinations of the neck identified the small lesion as an additional fibroma.

At clinical examination, at the age of 18 months, the child showed a normal development in terms of growth parameters and cranium circumference. A subtle nodular lesion below the surgical scar was still noticeable. A subcutaneous elliptical lesion involving the right frontal bone, slightly mobile, was also observed. The remainder of the physical examination did not reveal any other skin lesions or clinically evident bone abnormalities. Dental and oral examinations were also normal. Finally, the retinal examination of the child showed no signs of congenital hypertrophy of the retinal pigment epithelium (CHRPE).

Given that GAF had recently been identified as a potential sentinel of familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) [5], the molecular analysis of the APC gene on constitutional DNA was performed in our patient by Sanger sequencing and by multiple ligation-dependent probe amplification (SALSA MLPA kit P043 APC, lot. C1-1212). The analysis identified the heterozygous variant c.4391_4394del, p.(Glu1464Valfs*8) of the APC gene (NM_000038.4) which is predicted to be deleterious. The mutation was subsequently excluded in her parents. Paternity testing was performed according to the ISO 17025 standard [6].

No somatic APC cytogenetic alterations or point mutations in the tumor DNA were investigated.

The recurrence risk for our patient’s parents was considered, even if low, to be greater than that of the general population because of the possibility of germinal mosaicism. Recent studies indicated the presence of mosaicism in approximately 15% of parents of apparently sporadic cases [7, 8].

**Discussion**

GAFs are rare and well-defined soft tissue tumors at immunohistochemistry. They tend to occur in childhood and early adulthood [1].

![Figure 1](image-url). Histological and immunohistochemical findings. (A and B) histologically, the lesion displays hypocellular sheets of haphazardly arranged collagen bands with few interspersed spindle cells; an infiltrative pattern was present at the periphery with entrapment of fat. (C) bland spindle cells were present between collagen fibers separated by clear cracks. (D) beta-catenin immunohistochemical reactivity is present with a nuclear pattern.
In the last few years, the potential sentinel role of these lesions in FAP has emerged [9]. In fact, much attention has been on the extracolonic manifestations of the disease that have a tendency to precede colonic lesions. Other extracolonic lesions were found among these diseases include desmoid-type and nuchal-type fibromas, cutaneous epidermoid cysts, osteomas, and Gardner-associated fibromas [10, 11].

Given that in FAP the onset of intestinal manifestations begins to develop at the age of 16 years [12], a proper and precocious diagnosis and follow-up is desirable. In the absence of a positive family history, as in our case, FAP diagnosis is made only when polyposis or other more serious FAP complications are present. In particular, it is estimated that about 15–20% of constitutional APC variants occur de novo. Especially in these cases, the presence of a clinical sentinel, such as GAF, might help geneticists in making the diagnosis of FAP. A proper histological diagnosis of GAF is clearly of great value in this context.

Wehrli et al. [10] reported the results of an APC analysis in 11 children with GAF. Only one of them presented with a GAF in the absence of a positive family history of FAP. The APC analysis in this child was negative. In all the other patients, patients who presented a GAF plus a positive family history of FAP and constitutional APC mutations were identified. Later, Vieira et al., [5] focused on the role of GAF as a sentinel of FAP. The authors described two infants with both GAF and a previously unrecognized familial history of FAP. Both patients had a biallelic inactivation of the APC gene with the constitutional variants c.4687dup, p.(Leu1563Pro) and c.5826_5829del, p.(Asp1942Glu*27), respectively. After genetic counseling, additional family members with FAP features carrying these mutations were identified. In 2016, Dahl et al. [13] instead reported the APC molecular analysis results directly performed in a cohort of seven children because of the presence of GAF. None of them had a family history of FAP. Three patients had a heterozygous constitutional mutation in the APC gene, and all of them presented with multifocal, large, or unresectable GAF. Four of the seven patients, negative at the APC test, had single resectable lesions.

For the patient described here, a genetic diagnosis of FAP was made through the molecular analysis of the APC gene from peripheral blood DNA lymphocytes performed on the sole presence of GAF, without a positive family history of FAP. The patient had an additional neck fibroma, which, nevertheless, was not biopsied.

The analysis of her constitutional DNA identified the deleterious variation c.4391_4394del, p.(Glu1464Valfs*8) in the APC gene. This is a frameshift mutation predicted to cause a premature stop codon with a strong functional effect on the APC protein. The mutation occurs in the exon 18 of the gene and has already been reported [14, 15].

In a recent study, some authors reviewed all the published literature about the diagnosis of FAP, desmoid tumors, and APC mutations. Their data emphasized that mutations in the 5’ (543–713) and 3’ (1310–2011) regions of the APC gene are associated with an increased risk of desmoid tumors [16].

In our case the APC mutation occurred in the codon 1464.

**Conclusions**

Our results, together with those from the recent literature, confirm that GAF really represents a powerful sentinel element for FAP, especially in those cases with multifocal, large, or unresectable lesions [13].

Therefore, genetic counseling is recommended in the presence of GAF, even if isolated, and in the absence of any family history of FAP. It may be advisable to look for constitutional mutations of APC in all children presenting with GAF as a first step.
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