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We present measurements of two-particle differential number correlation functions $R_2$ and transverse momentum correlation functions $P_2$, obtained from p-Pb collisions at 5.02 TeV and Pb-Pb collisions at 2.76 TeV. The results are obtained by using charged particles in the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 1.0$ and transverse momentum range $0.2 < p_T < 2.0$ GeV/c as a function of pair separation in pseudorapidity, $|\Delta\eta|$, azimuthal angle $\Delta\phi$, and for several charged-particle multiplicity classes. Measurements are carried out for like-sign and unlike-sign charged-particle pairs separately and combined to obtain charge-independent and charge-dependent correlation functions. We study the evolution of the width of the near-side peak of these correlation functions with collision centrality. Additionally, we study Fourier decompositions of the correlators in $\Delta\phi$ as a function of pair separation $|\Delta\eta|$. Significant differences in the dependence of their harmonic coefficients on multiplicity classes are found. These differences can be exploited, in theoretical models, to obtain further insight into charged-particle production and transport in heavy-ion collisions. Moreover, an upper limit of nonflow contributions to flow coefficients $v_n$ measured in Pb-Pb collisions based on the relative strength of Fourier coefficients measured in p-Pb interactions is estimated.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Measurements carried out at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) and the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) during the last decade indicate that a strongly interacting quark-gluon plasma (sQGP) is produced in heavy nuclei collisions at high beam energies [1–4]. In particular, observations of strong elliptic flow and theoretical studies based on relativistic hydrodynamics indicate that this matter behaves as a very low specific shear viscosity (shear viscosity over entropy-density ratio) fluid [5–8]. Additionally, the observed suppression of high-transverse-momentum ($p_T$) single-hadron production as well as dihadron correlations, in heavy-ion collisions, compared with elementary $pp$ interactions, showed that the produced matter is rather opaque [9–19]. Furthermore, studies of two- and multiparticle correlation functions unravelled several unanticipated correlation features [11,20–26], including a near-side correlation peak (i.e., the prominent and relatively narrow peak centered at $\Delta\phi = 0$, $|\Delta\eta| = 0$ observed in two-particle correlation functions) broadening, the appearance of a near-side elongated ridge in relative pseudorapidity, as well as a strong suppression or modification of the away-side correlation peak relative to the one observed in $pp$ collisions [10,27,28]. Extensive studies were carried out, both at RHIC and LHC energies, to fully characterize and understand the underlying causes of these features. Significant progress was achieved with the realization that fluctuations in the initial spatial configuration of colliding nuclei can greatly influence the measured correlations, most particularly the development of odd and higher harmonics in the azimuthal particle distributions (anisotropic flow) [29]. However, a quantitative assessment of the magnitude and impact of nonflow effects on measured correlations requires further investigations. Nonflow effects may arise from resonance decays or low-multiplicity hadronization processes associated with mini-jets, string fragmentation, or color tube breakup [30–34]. However, it remains unclear how these different particle-production mechanisms influence the shape and strength of correlation functions and what their relative contributions might be. It is also unclear how the surrounding environment associated with these processes can alter two- and multiparticle correlation functions. In an effort to shed light on some of these questions, we consider additional observables and types of correlation functions.

In this work, we present measurements of $R_2$, a differential two-particle number correlation function and a differential transverse-momentum correlation function, defined below, and identified as $P_2$ [35]. The two correlation functions are studied in p-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV and Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV as a function of charged-particle pair relative pseudorapidity $\Delta\eta$ and relative azimuthal angle $\Delta\phi$, as well as produced charged-particle multiplicity (corresponding to collision centrality in Pb-Pb). The observable $P_2$ features an explicit dependence on the transverse momentum of the produced particles that provides sensitivity to the correlation “hardness,” i.e., how low- and high-momentum
particles contribute to the correlation dynamics. Combined measurements of number and transverse momentum correlations provide further insight into mechanisms of particle production and transport in nucleus-nucleus collisions. The measurements presented in this work thus provide additional quantitative constraints on existing models of collision dynamics used towards the characterization of the matter produced in high-energy nucleus-nucleus collisions.

The $R_2$ and $P_2$ correlation functions are first reported independently for like-sign (LS) and unlike-sign (US) particles given that they feature distinct dependencies on particle-production mechanisms. In particular, US pair correlations are expected to be rather sensitive to neutral resonances decays. The US and LS correlations are then combined to obtain charge-independent (CI) and charge-dependent (CD) correlation functions, defined in Sec. II. At high collisional energy, one expects energy-momentum conservation to play a similar role in US and LS correlations. The CD correlations obtained by subtracting LS from US correlations are then largely contained in US and LS correlations. The CD correlations obtained by subtracting LS from US correlations are then largely driven by charge conservation. Comparison of LS, US, CI, and CD correlations thus enables a detailed characterization of the particle-production and -transport processes involved in heavy-ion collisions. The study of CD correlations, in particular, shall then provide strong constraints on particle-production models.

To obtain a detailed characterization of the $R_2$ and $P_2$ correlation functions, their shape is studied as a function of collision centrality and pair separation in pseudorapidity. The width of the correlation functions, most particularly their pseudorapidity, and azimuthal widths of the near-side peaks of the correlation functions, discussed in Sec.VII and summarized in Sec.VIII.

Two-particle correlations are determined based on normalized cumulants defined according to

$$R_2(\eta_1, \eta_2, \phi_1, \phi_2) = \frac{\rho_2(\eta_1, \eta_2, \phi_1, \phi_2)}{\rho_1(\eta_1, \eta_2) \rho_1(\eta_1, \eta_2)} - 1. \tag{2}$$

where $\rho_1$ and $\rho_2$ represent single- and two-particle densities, $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_2$ represent single- and two-particle cross sections, and $\eta$ and $\phi$ represent the pseudorapidity and azimuthal angle of produced particles.

Two-particle correlations are determined based on normalized cumulants defined according to

$$R_2(\eta_1, \eta_2, \phi_1, \phi_2) = \frac{\rho_2(\eta_1, \eta_2, \phi_1, \phi_2)}{\rho_1(\eta_1, \eta_2) \rho_1(\eta_1, \eta_2)} - 1. \tag{2}$$

Given that the primary interest lies in the correlation strength as a function of pair separation, one integrates over all coordinates taking into account experimental acceptance to obtain the correlation functions $R_2(\Delta \eta, \Delta \phi)$ according to

$$R_2(\Delta \eta, \Delta \phi) = \frac{1}{\Omega(\Delta \eta)} \int d\phi d\eta \frac{\delta(\Delta \eta - \eta)}{\delta(\Delta \phi - \phi)} R_2(\eta_1, \eta_2, \phi_1, \phi_2). \tag{3}$$

where the azimuthal angles $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$ are measured in the range $[0, 2\pi]$ whereas the pseudorapidities $\eta_1, \eta_2$ are measured in the range $[-1, 1]$. The factor $\Omega(\Delta \eta)$ represents the width of the acceptance in $\eta = (\eta_1 + \eta_2)/2$ at a given $\Delta \eta = \eta_1 - \eta_2$. The azimuthal-angle difference, $\Delta \phi = \phi_1 - \phi_2$, is shifted to fall within the range $[\pi/2, 3\pi/2]$. The integration is carried out across all values of $\phi = (\phi_1 + \phi_2)/2$.

Different observables can be defined which are sensitive to the correlation between the transverse momentum of produced particles. Integral correlations expressed in terms of inclusive and event-wise averages of the product $\Delta p_{T,i} \Delta p_{T,j}$ (where $\Delta p_{T,i} = p_{T,i} - \langle p_{T,i} \rangle$) of particle pairs $i \neq j$ have been reported [35,50–54]. A generalization to differential correlation functions with dependencies on the relative azimuthal angles and pseudorapidities of particles is straightforward when expressed in terms of inclusive averages denoted $\langle \Delta p_{T,i} \Delta p_{T,j} \rangle$. In this study, measurements of transverse momentum correlations are reported in terms of a dimensionless correlation function $P_2$ defined as a ratio of the differential correlator $\langle \Delta p_{T,i} \Delta p_{T,j} \rangle$ to the square of the average transverse momentum:

$$P_2(\Delta \phi, \Delta \eta) = \frac{\langle \Delta p_{T,i} \Delta p_{T,j} \rangle(\Delta \eta, \Delta \phi)}{\langle p_{T,i} \rangle^2} = \frac{1}{\langle p_{T,i} \rangle^2} \int_{p_{T_{i,min}}}^{p_{T_{i,max}}} \int_{p_{T_{j,min}}}^{p_{T_{j,max}}} \frac{\rho_2(P_1, P_2) \Delta p_{T,i} \Delta p_{T,j} dP_{T,i} dP_{T,j}}{\rho_1(P_1) dP_{T,i} \rho_1(P_2) dP_{T,j}}. \tag{4}$$

where $\langle P_{T,i} \rangle = \int \rho_1 p_{T,i} dP_{T,i}$ is the inclusive average momentum of produced particles in an event ensemble. Technically, in this analysis, integrals of the numerator and denominator of the above expression are first evaluated in four-dimensional space as functions of $\eta_1, \phi_1, \eta_2, \phi_2$. The ratio is calculated and subsequently averaged over all coordinates, similarly as for $R_2$, as discussed above. For the sake of simplicity, the inclusive momentum $\langle p_{T} \rangle$ is considered independent of the particle’s pseudorapidity. This approximation is justified by the limited pseudorapidity range of this analysis.
and by prior observations of the approximate invariance of \( \langle p_T \rangle \) in the central rapidity \((\eta \approx 0)\) region [55].

By construction, \( P_2 \) is a measure of two-particle transverse momentum correlations: it is positive whenever particle pairs emitted at specific azimuthal angle and pseudorapidity differences are more likely to both have transverse momenta higher (or lower) than the \( p_T \) average, and negative when a high-\( p_T \) particle (\( p_T > \langle p_T \rangle \)) is more likely to be accompanied by a low-\( p_T \) particle (\( p_T < \langle p_T \rangle \)). For instance, particles emitted within a jet typically have higher \( p_T \) than the inclusive average. Jet particles therefore contribute a large positive value to \( P_2 \). Hanbury-Brown–Twiss (HBT) correlations, determined by pairs of identical particles with \( p_{T,1} \approx p_{T,2} \) likewise contribute positively to this correlator. However, bulk correlations involving a mix of low- and high-momentum correlated particles can contribute both positively and negatively.

The \( R_2 \) and \( P_2 \) correlation functions reported in this work are determined for unidentified charged-particle pairs in the range \( 0.2 < p_T < 2.0 \text{ GeV}/c \) and are considered as untriggered correlation functions. Differential correlation functions offer multiple advantages over integral correlations because they provide more detailed information on the particle correlation structure and kinematical dependencies. They can also be corrected for instrumental effects more reliably than measurements of integral correlations. Such corrections for instrumental effects on \( R_2 \) and \( P_2 \) correlation functions are discussed in Sec. IV.

The LS and US correlation functions are additionally combined to obtain charge-independent (CI) and charge-dependent (CD) correlation functions defined according to

\[
O^{(\text{CI})} = \frac{1}{2}(O^{(\text{US})} + O^{(\text{LS})}) = \frac{1}{2}(O^{(+,-)} + O^{(-,+)} + O^{(+,+)} + O^{(-,-)}), \tag{5}
\]

\[
O^{(\text{CD})} = \frac{1}{2}(O^{(\text{US})} - O^{(\text{LS})}) = \frac{1}{4}(O^{(+,-)} + O^{(-,+)} - O^{(+,+)} - O^{(-,-)}), \tag{6}
\]

where \( O \) represents either of the observables \( R_2 \) and \( P_2 \).

Charge-independent correlators \( O^{(\text{CI})} \) measure the average correlation strength between all charged particles, whereas charge-dependent correlators \( O^{(\text{CD})} \) are sensitive to the difference between correlations of US particles and those of LS particles. At high collision energies, such as those achieved at the LHC, negatively and positively charged particles are produced in approximately equal quantities and are found to have very similar \( p_T \) spectra [56]. The impact of energy-momentum conservation on particle correlations is thus expected to be essentially the same for US and LS pairs. The \( O^{(\text{CD})} \) correlators consequently suppress the influence of energy-momentum conservation and provide particular sensitivity to unlike-sign charge pair creation and transport processes. The charge-dependent correlation function \( R_2^{(\text{CD})} \), in particular, should in fact feature similar sensitivity to charge pair \((+,−)\) creation as the charge balance function \( B \) defined according to

\[
B(\Delta \eta) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\rho_2^{(+,-)} - \rho_2^{(+,+)}}{\rho_1^{(+)}} + \frac{\rho_2^{(-,-)} - \rho_2^{(-,+)}}{\rho_1^{(-)}} \right), \tag{7}
\]

and proposed by Pratt et al. to investigate the evolution of quark production in heavy-ion collisions [36,37,57]. Several measurements and theoretical studies of the balance function have already been reported. The STAR experiment has measured balance functions in Au-Au, \( d-Au \), and \( pp \) collisions at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 130 \) and 200 GeV [58–61]. More recently, the ALICE collaboration reported observations of a narrowing of the balance function with increasing produced charged-particle multiplicity \((N_{ch})\) in Pb-Pb collisions at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \text{ TeV} \), as well as in \( p-Pb \) collisions at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV} \), and \( pp \) collisions at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 7 \text{ TeV} \) [62,63]. Measurements in Au-Au and Pb-Pb are in qualitative agreement with the scenario, proposed by Pratt et al. [36,37,57], of two-stage quark production in high-energy central heavy-ion collisions but observations of a narrowing of the balance function with increasing \( N_{ch} \) in \( p-Pb \) and \( pp \) put this simple interpretation into question. At RHIC, and even more at LHC energies, the number of positively and negatively charged particles produced in the range \(|\eta| < 1.0 \) are nearly equal. Hence, the observable \( R_2 \) and the balance function are thus related according to

\[
R_2^{(\text{CD})}(\Delta \eta) = \frac{B(\Delta \eta)}{\rho_1^{(+)} + \rho_1^{(-)}}. \tag{8}
\]

This implies that the narrowing of the balance function observed in most-central collisions, relative to peripheral collisions, is matched by a reduction of the width of the charge-dependent correlation function \( R_2^{(\text{CD})} \). Additionally, given that the observables \( R_2 \) and \( P_2 \) are both dependent on integrals of the two-particle density \( \rho_2(p_{\perp 1,2}) \), one might expect a similar longitudinal narrowing of \( P_2 \) with collision centrality. However, the explicit dependence of \( P_2 \)s on the product \( \Delta p_T \Delta p_T \) implies it might have a different sensitivity to the collision system’s radial expansion (radial flow) relative to that of \( R_2 \). A comparison of the centrality dependence of the longitudinal widths of the \( R_2 \) and \( P_2 \) correlations may then provide additional insight into the system’s evolution and particle production dynamics, as well as put new constraints on models designed to interpret the observed narrowing of the balance function and the near-side ridge [64].

### III. ALICE DETECTOR AND DATA ANALYSIS

The analysis and results reported in this paper are based on data acquired with the ALICE detector [65] during the \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \text{ TeV} \) Pb-Pb run in 2010 and the \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV} \) \( p-Pb \) run in 2013. The reported correlation functions are measured for charged particles detected within the inner tracking system (ITS) [66] and the time projection chamber (TPC) [67]. The ITS and TPC are housed within a large solenoidal magnet producing a uniform longitudinal magnetic field of 0.5 T. Together they provide charged-particle track reconstruction and momentum determination with full coverage in azimuth and in the pseudorapidity range \(|\eta| < 1.0 \). Data were acquired with a minimum bias (MB) trigger primarily based on the V0 detector, which also served for Pb-Pb collision centrality and \( p-Pb \) multiplicity class selection. This detector consists of subsystems V0A and V0C which cover the pseudorapidity ranges 2.8 < \( \eta < 5.1 \) and \(-3.7 < \eta < -1.7\), respectively. Detailed descriptions of the
ALICE detector, its subsystems, and triggers, as well as their respective performance, were reported elsewhere [65,66,68–72].

The primary vertex of a collision is reconstructed based on charged-particle tracks measured with the ITS and TPC detectors. Events were included in this analysis if at least one accepted charged-particle track contributed to the primary vertex reconstruction and if they featured only one primary vertex. The primary vertex was furthermore required to be within ±10 cm from the nominal interaction point along the beam direction to ensure a uniform η acceptance within the TPC. The fraction of pile-up events in the analysis sample is found to be negligible after applying dedicated pile-up-removal criteria [72]. Event filtering based on primary vertex selection criteria yielded samples of approximately 14 × 10^6 Pb-Pb events and 81 × 10^6 p-Pb events.

The centrality of Pb-Pb collisions is estimated from the total signal amplitude measured by the V0 detectors using a standard ALICE procedure [73,74]. Nine collision centrality classes corresponding to 0%–5% (most-central collisions), 5%–10%, 10%–20%, 20%–30%, up to 70%–80% fractions of the total cross section were used in the analysis. The most peripheral collisions, with a fractional cross section >80%, are not included in this analysis to avoid issues encountered with limited collision vertex reconstruction and trigger efficiencies. The p-Pb data are similarly analyzed in terms of multiplicity classes. An ALICE analysis reported in Ref. [75] showed that in p-Pb collisions, the produced charged-particle multiplicity is only loosely related to the collision impact parameter. So while it is appropriate to analyze the data in terms of multiplicity classes, these classes cannot be considered a direct indicator of the impact parameter in those collisions. They are representative, nonetheless, of qualitative changes in the particle production. Our analysis goal is thus to identify and document changes and trends in the shape and strength of the R_2 and P_2 correlators as a function of these multiplicity classes.

The analysis was restricted to primary particles, i.e., particles produced by strong interactions. Contamination from secondary charged particles [i.e., particles originating from weak decays such as neutral kaons (K^0_S) and lambdas (Λ^0), conversions and secondary hadronic interactions in the detector material] is suppressed with track-selection criteria based on charged-tracks’ distance of closest approach (DCA) to the primary interaction vertex of the collision. Only “bulk” charged-particle tracks measured in the transverse momentum range 0.2 < p_T < 2.0 GeV/c were selected. Particles in this momentum range constitute the dominant fraction of the produced particles and are believed to be primarily the product of nonperturbative interactions. They thus constitute the main focus of this work towards the characterization of the systems produced in p-Pb and Pb-Pb collisions.

To suppress contamination from spurious and incorrectly reconstructed tracks, charged-particle tracks were included in the analysis only if they consisted of at least 70 out of a maximum of 159 reconstructed TPC space points and featured a momentum fit with a χ^2 value per degree of freedom smaller than four. Additionally, tracks identified as candidate daughter tracks of reconstructed secondary weak-decay topologies were also rejected. The DCA of extrapolated trajectories to the primary vertex position was restricted to less than 3.2 cm along the beam direction and less than 2.4 cm in the transverse plane. These selection criteria are broad and chosen to provide a high reconstruction efficiency. As such, they are susceptible to some contamination of the primary track sample from secondary particles, such as charged hadrons produced by weak decays of K^0_S mesons and Λ^0 baryons. One verified, however, with the applications of more stringent DCA requirements, that such secondary decays have a relatively small impact on the measured correlation functions. These and other systematic effects are discussed in Sec. V.

The correlation functions R_2 and P_2 are nominally independent of detection efficiencies, bin by bin in Δη and Δφ, provided they are invariant during the data-accumulation period and independent of event characteristics and conditions [35,78]. However, particle detection efficiencies are found to exhibit a small dependence on the position of the primary vertex, v_z. This creates extraneous structures in the correlation observables R_2 and P_2 at Δη ≈ 0 and near |Δη| ≈ 2. Studies of these effects [50,79] showed they can be properly suppressed by measuring the single- and two-particle yields in narrow bins of v_z and calculating R_2 and P_2 as averages of correlations measured in each v_z bin. In this work, it is found that distortions can be reasonably well suppressed by using 0.5-cm-wide v_z bins. Given the fiducial v_z range of |v_z| < 10 cm, this suggests the analysis would have to be carried out in 40 v_z bins and thus 40 sets of histograms. Instead, one uses a weight technique in which single- and two-particle histograms are incremented with v_z-dependent weights precalculated to equalize the detection response across the entire fiducial

IV. ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

A. Two-particle correlations

The correlation functions R_2 and P_2 are nominally independent of detection efficiencies, bin by bin in Δη and Δφ, provided they are invariant during the data-accumulation period and independent of event characteristics and conditions [35,78]. However, particle detection efficiencies are found to exhibit a small dependence on the position of the primary vertex, v_z. This creates extraneous structures in the correlation observables R_2 and P_2 at Δη ≈ 0 and near |Δη| ≈ 2. Studies of these effects [50,79] showed they can be properly suppressed by measuring the single- and two-particle yields in narrow bins of v_z and calculating R_2 and P_2 as averages of correlations measured in each v_z bin. In this work, it is found that distortions can be reasonably well suppressed by using 0.5-cm-wide v_z bins. Given the fiducial v_z range of |v_z| < 10 cm, this suggests the analysis would have to be carried out in 40 v_z bins and thus 40 sets of histograms. Instead, one uses a weight technique in which single- and two-particle histograms are incremented with v_z-dependent weights precalculated to equalize the detection response across the entire fiducial
acceptance [50]. Weights \( w_{\pm}(\eta, \varphi, p_T, v_z) \), are calculated independently for positively and negatively charged particles, positive and negative magnetic-field polarities, as the inverse of raw (i.e., uncorrected) particle yields, \( N^{\pm}_{\text{avg}}(p_T) \), determined as a function of pseudorapidity \( \eta \), azimuthal angle \( \varphi \), transverse momentum \( p_T \), and the vertex position \( v_z \) of the events. The analysis reported in this work was carried out with weights calculated in 40 bins in \( v_z \), 72 bins in \( \eta \) (full azimuthal coverage), 20 bins in \( \eta \) in the range \( |\eta| < 1.0 \), and 18 bins in \( p_T \) in the range \( 0.2 < p_T < 2.0 \text{ GeV}/c \). The analysis proceeded in two stages: In the first stage, all events were processed to determine weights according to

\[
 w_{\pm}(\eta, \varphi, p_T, v_z) = \frac{N^{\pm}_{\text{avg}}(p_T)}{N^{\pm}(\eta, \varphi, p_T, v_z)}, \tag{9}
\]

where \( N^{\pm}_{\text{avg}} \) represents a \( p_T \)-dependent average of particle yields measured at all \( \eta \), \( \varphi \), and \( z \). Calculated weights were then used in the second stage to analyze all events and obtain raw number densities.

Single-particle histograms, pair histograms, and \( p_T \) histograms were incremented with weights \( w_{\pm}(\eta, \varphi, p_T, v_z) \), \( w_{\pm}(\eta_1, \varphi_1, p_T_1, v_z)w_{\pm}(\eta_2, \varphi_2, p_T_2, v_z) \), and \( p_T_1p_T_2w_{\pm}(\eta_1, \varphi_1, p_T_1, v_z)w_{\pm}(\eta_2, \varphi_2, p_T_2, v_z) \), respectively. These histograms were used to calculate the correlators according to Eqs. (2)–(4).

The correlators \( R_2 \) and \( P_2 \) were measured for the particle pair charge combinations \((+, -), (-, +), (+, +)\), and \((-,-)\) separately. For a symmetric collision system such as Pb-Pb, correlations between particles are symmetric under independent reflections \( \Delta \eta \rightarrow -\Delta \eta \) and \( \Delta \varphi \rightarrow -\Delta \varphi \). The measured pair yields were first checked for detector effects. They are indeed symmetric under reflections \( \Delta \eta \rightarrow -\Delta \eta \) and \( \Delta \varphi \rightarrow -\Delta \varphi \). The correlation functions \( R_2 \) and \( P_2 \) measured in Pb-Pb collisions are thus fully symmetrized in \( \Delta \eta \) and \( \Delta \varphi \). In the case of the \( p-\text{Pb} \) collision system, the lack of reflection symmetry \( z \rightarrow -z \) implies that only \( \Delta \varphi \) symmetry is expected. In principle, the pair correlations, much like the single-particle yields, could then feature a nonsymmetric and arbitrarily complex dependence on \( \Delta \eta \). In practice, one finds that the forward \((\Delta \eta > 0)\) and backward \((\Delta \eta < 0)\) correlation yields are equal within the statistical and systematic uncertainties of the measurement, owing, most likely, to the narrow \( \eta \) range of the detector acceptance relative to the very wide rapidity span of particles produced at LHC energies. The correlation functions \( R_2 \) and \( P_2 \) reported for \( p-\text{Pb} \) collisions are thus also fully symmetrized in \( \Delta \eta \) and \( \Delta \varphi \). Additionally, one observes that the correlation functions of \((+, +)\) and \((-,-)\) pairs are equal within statistical uncertainties. One thus does not report them independently. Overall, given the symmetry of \((+, -)\) and \((-,-)\) correlations and the observed equality of \((+, +)\) and \((-,-)\) correlations, one averages the former to obtain unlike-sign (US) and the latter to obtain like-sign (LS) \( R_2 \) and \( P_2 \) correlation functions that are fully symmetrized for both collision systems. The weight-correction procedure works very well for single-particle losses but does not address pair losses, most particularly those associated with track crossing and merging topologies for pairs with \( \Delta \eta \approx 0 \). We exploit the expected \( \Delta \varphi \) symmetry of the correlation functions by using lossless “sailor” pair topologies to correct for losses observed with “cowboy” topologies [80]. For like-sign pairs, the two topologies are distinguished, for a given magnetic-field polarity, as schematically illustrated in Fig. 1(a), by counting.
pairs based on a momentum-ordering technique: pairs featuring $p_{T,2} > p_{T,1}$ and $\Delta \varphi_{21} = \varphi_2 - \varphi_1 > 0$ are counted at $\Delta \varphi > 0$ as a pair incurring no losses, whereas pairs at $p_{T,2} > p_{T,1}$ and $\Delta \varphi_{21} = \varphi_2 - \varphi_1 < 0$ are counted at $\Delta \varphi < 0$ as a pair incurring losses. In the $\Delta \eta < 0.2$ range where such losses occur, it is thus sufficient to use pairs with $\Delta \varphi > 0$ to correct the yield of pairs with $\Delta \varphi < 0$. Projections of $R_2^{(C)}$ and $P_2^{(C)}$ distributions onto $\Delta \varphi$ from a number of $\Delta \eta$ ranges. Given that the $R_2^{(C)}$ and $P_2^{(C)}$ distributions reported in this work are symmetric by construction, the decompositions are limited to cosine terms exclusively and are further limited to include terms of orders $n = 1$ to $n = 6$:

$$f(\Delta \varphi) = b_0(\Delta \eta) + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{6} b_n(\Delta \eta) \cos(n \Delta \varphi),$$

in which $b_0$ and $b_n$ are $\Delta \eta$-dependent fit coefficients. One finds that the inclusion of $n > 6$ terms does not significantly improve the fits of the $\Delta \varphi$ projections and that these higher-order coefficients are not significant. Although the inclusion of $n = 5$, 6 terms does improve the fits, these coefficients typically have sizable uncertainties and are thus not explicitly reported in this work.

In the case of $R_2$ and $P_2$ measured in Pb-Pb distributions, one anticipates that, at large $|\Delta \eta|$, the Fourier coefficients $b_n$ are predominantly driven by flow effects determined by the collision system geometry. It is then useful to compare the Fourier coefficients $v_n$ obtained with Eq. (10) to flow coefficients obtained with the scalar-product method [81,82] briefly described in Sec. IV B. One thus defines and reports, in the following, the harmonic coefficients $v_n[R_2]$ and $v_n[P_2]$ calculated from the coefficients $b_n$ obtained from fits of projections of $R_2(\Delta \varphi)$ and $P_2(\Delta \varphi)$, respectively, according to

$$v_n[O] = \text{sgn}(b_n) \sqrt{\frac{|b_n|}{1 + b_0}},$$

where $O$ represents either of $R_2$ or $P_2$. The $\text{sgn}(b_n)$ and the absolute value are used to account for the fact that the Fourier decomposition fits yield negative coefficients in some cases, particularly in $p$-Pb collisions and for high orders $n > 4$. Flow-like behavior, with sizable $v_2$ and $v_3$ coefficients, has been observed in $p$-Pb collisions [83]. However, as discussed in Sec. VIE, Fourier decompositions carried out in this work produce negative values for coefficients $b_1$, $b_3$, and $b_5$ at large-$|\Delta \eta|$ pair separations. Results of decompositions of $R_2$ or $P_2$ measured in $p$-Pb collisions are thus reported exclusively in terms of the coefficients $b_n$.

B. Measurements of $v_n$ coefficients with the scalar-product method

The scalar-product (SP) method [81,82,84–87], a two-particle correlation method, is used to extract the $v_n$ coefficients according to

$$v_n[SP] = \sqrt{\frac{Q_{n}^{(+)} Q_{n}^{(-)}}{Q_{M}^{(+)} Q_{M}^{(-)}}},$$

where $u_{n,k} = \exp(i n \varphi_k)$ is the unit vector of the particle of interest (POI) $k$, $Q_n$ is the event flow vector, $M$ is the event multiplicity, and $n$ is the harmonic number. The full event is divided into two independent subevents $a$ and $b$ composed of tracks from different pseudorapidity intervals with flow vectors $Q_a$ and $Q_b$ and multiplicities $M_a$ and $M_b$. The angle brackets denote averages over all selected particles and events. The notation $Q^*$ represents the complex conjugate of $Q$.

The $x$ and $y$ components of the flow vector $Q_n$ are

$$Q_n,x = \sum_l \cos(n \varphi_l),$$
$$Q_n,y = \sum_l \sin(n \varphi_l),$$

where the sum is carried over all reference particles (RPs) $l$ in the relevant (sub-)event.

Unidentified charged particles from a certain $p_T$ interval are taken as POIs and correlated with RPs from the full $p_T$ range. The subevents $a$ and $b$ are defined within the pseudorapidity range $-1.0 < \eta < -0.1$ and $0.1 < \eta < 1.0$, which results in a pseudorapidity gap of $|\Delta \eta| > 0.2$ that reduces nonflow contributions. To further suppress nonflow effects, a pseudorapidity gap of $|\Delta \eta| > 0.9$ is also employed by selecting $a$ and $b$ within $-1.0 < \eta < -0.45$ and $0.45 < \eta < 1.0$. The POIs are taken from $a$ and the RPs from $b$ and vice versa. Nonuniformities in the detector azimuthal acceptance influence the $v_n$ coefficients at a level of less than 0.1%.

V. SYSTEMATIC UNCERTAINTIES

Sources of systematic effects were investigated to assess their impact on the two-dimensional correlation functions, their projections onto the $\Delta \eta$ and $\Delta \varphi$ axes, the width of the near-side peak of the CI and CD correlation functions, and the coefficients extracted from the $\Delta \eta$-dependent Fourier decompositions of $\Delta \varphi$ projections of the CD correlations, as well as on the flow coefficients extracted with the scalar-product method. Systematic effects are considered significant if the maximum span of variations obtained by varying a given parameter (or condition) exceeded the statistical uncertainties of the observable considered or if variations were observed
TABLE I. Maximum systematic uncertainties of the correlation widths, \(\langle \Delta \eta \rangle\). Values marked with a dash are too small to be measurable. Total uncertainties are obtained as sums in quadrature of individual contributions.

| Category           | Correlation function | Pb-Pb \(R_2\) | \(p\text{-Pb}P_2\) |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------------|------------------|
| Magnetic field     | \(R_2\)              | 1.6%           | -                |
| \(p\text{-Pb}\)    | \(P_2\)              | 0.3%           | -                |
| Centrality        | \(P_2\)              | 0.7%           | -                |
| Determination      | \(R_2\)              | 1.9%           | -                |
| \(z\text{-vertex}  | \(R_2\)              | 2.8%           | -                |
| Binning            | \(R_2\)              | 2.4%           | -                |
| Track selection    | \(P_2\)              | 3.4%           | -                |
| \(\Delta \eta = 0, \Delta \varphi = 0\text{ bin} \) | \(P_2\) | 3% | 3% |
| Total              | \(R_2\)              | 4.6%           | 5.8%             |
| \(\Delta \varphi = 0, \Delta \varphi = 0\text{ bin} \) | \(P_2\) | 5.1% | 6.1% |

for the same data sample. Contributions of sources yielding significant deviations were found to be uncorrelated and thus added in quadrature to obtain the total systematic uncertainties reported in Tables I–III and all plots presented in this paper.

We first consider systematic effects on the overall amplitude of the correlation functions. The \(R_2\) and \(P_2\) correlators were determined with Pb-Pb data samples collected with positive- and negative-magnetic-field configurations. Peak correlator amplitude differences obtained with the two field configurations were typically small for US and LS correlators and had maximum values of 1.4% and 1.9% for \(R_2\) and \(P_2\) correlators, respectively. These values were adopted as systematic uncertainties associated with distortions of the solenoidal magnetic field, the TPC electric field, and corrections for space-charge effects. Given that the amplitude and shape of the correlators is dependent on the produced-particle multiplicity, systematic effects associated with the collision and multiplicity selection were assessed by repeating the Pb-Pb and \(p\text{-Pb}\) analyses with alternative multiplicity estimators. In the case of Pb-Pb collisions, the SPD track multiplicity was used as an alternative centrality estimator, and it was found that the amplitude of the \(R_2\) and \(P_2\) correlation functions changed from the default analysis by at most 1.6% and 1.9%, respectively. In the case of \(p\text{-Pb}\) collisions, correlation amplitudes observed when using the V0-A and V0-C detectors for the definition of multiplicity classes were compared and one did not find statistically significant differences [88]. No systematic uncertainty is thus assigned to this contribution in \(p\text{-Pb}\) collision measurements.

Minor contributions to the systematic uncertainties arise from the selection of the \(v_3\)-vertex fiducial range. Globally, correlation functions obtained with the nominal range of \(|v_3| < 10\) cm, used in this analysis, exhibit amplitude differences smaller than 1% relative to those obtained with a more restrictive vertex-position range of \(|v_3| < 6\) cm. Additionally, it is found that increasing the vertex bin width (used in the correction weight calculation) by a factor of two yielded correlation amplitude changes of at most 4% relative to the nominal bin size reported in this work.

Systematic uncertainties also arise from the charged-particle track definition and track quality selection criteria. These uncertainties were examined by repeating the correlation analyses using track-selection criteria distinct from the nominal criteria described in Sec. III. The varied track quality criteria included the minimal number of TPC space points per track, the maximum \(\chi^2\) per degree of freedom obtained in the momentum fit, as well as the maximum track distance of closest approach (DCA) to the primary vertex (both along the beam direction and in the transverse plane). Variations of these track quality selection criteria typically have a rather small impact on the amplitude of the correlation functions (up to 0.8% for \(R_2\) and 1.2% for \(P_2\)), but nonetheless have measurable effects on the width of the near-side peak of the CI and CD correlation functions listed in Table I.

The differences between correlation functions obtained with charged-particle tracks reconstructed with only TPC hits

---

TABLE II. Systematic uncertainties on \(v_2\) from \(R_2\), \(P_2\), and SP in Pb-Pb collisions. Values marked with a dash are too small to be measurable or not applicable. Total uncertainties are obtained as sums in quadrature of individual contributions.

| Category           | Method | \(v_2\) | \(v_3\) | \(v_4\) |
|--------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Magnetic field     | \(R_2\) | 1.1%   | 0.6%   | 1.4%   |
| \(p\text{-Pb}\)    | \(P_2\) | 1.4%   | 0.9%   | 1.6%   |
| Centrality         | \(P_2\) | 0.7%   | 0.7%   | 1.1%   |
| Determination      | \(R_2\) | 0.5%   | 0.8%   | 1.6%   |
| \(z\text{-vertex}  | \(R_2\) | 1.0%   | 1.0%   | 1.0%   |
| Binning            | \(P_2\) | 1.6%   | 2.0%   | 3.2%   |
| Track selection    | \(P_2\) | 1.9%   | 2.8%   | 3.7%   |
| \(\Delta \varphi = 0, \Delta \varphi = 0\text{ bin} \) | \(P_2\) | 3.5%   | 3.2%   | 5.3%   |
| Total              | \(R_2\) | 4.9%   | 4.9%   | 6.2%   |
| Efficiency effect  | \(R_2\) | 3.0%   | 4.0%   | 4.0%   |
| \(\Delta \varphi = 0, \Delta \varphi = 0\text{ bin} \) | \(R_2\) | 3.0%   | 6.0%   | 8.0%   |
| No. of TPC clusters | \(P_2\) | 7.0%   | 11.0%  | 13.0%  |
| Comparison to Monte Carlo | \(P_2\) | 2.0%   | 2.0%   | 5.0%   |
| Total              | \(R_2\) | 5.1%   | 7.2%   | 10.3%  |
| \(\Delta \varphi = 0, \Delta \varphi = 0\text{ bin} \) | \(P_2\) | 3.0%   | 4.0%   | 5.0%   |
| Total              | \(R_2\) | 5.3%   | 6.5%   | 8.5%   |
Additionally, the effect of the incomplete efficiency corrections obtained at the event generator level were compared with TPC tracks only and TPC tracks with a primary vertex refitted to include the particlary with track quality and the track reconstruction approach were thus not included in our assessment of systematic effects associated with the track quality and the track reconstruction algorithm.

Uncertainties associated with the criteria used for rejection of electron contamination were studied by varying the selection criteria on deviations from the expected Bethe-Bloch parametrization of the specific ionization energy loss, \( dE/dx \), for electrons from 3\( \sigma \) to 5\( \sigma \). Changes in the correlation function amplitude were smaller than 1.3\% for both collision systems and all multiplicity classes.

Systematic uncertainties associated with the track-by-track efficiency and contamination corrections were studied by using simulated \( p+p \) and Pb-Pb collisions produced with the HIJING event generator [89,90] and propagated through a GEANT3 [91] model of the ALICE detector. Correlation functions obtained at the event generator level were compared with those obtained after taking full account of detector effects. Deviations are typically negligible in noncentral collisions. Maximum discrepancies of about 1.6\% were found in the most-central Pb-Pb collisions. No measurable effects were observed in the most-peripheral Pb-Pb collisions and \( p+p \) collisions.

Systematic uncertainties on the width of the near side of the CI and CD correlation functions were studied by repeating the analysis with the variations discussed earlier in this section. Additionally, the effect of the incomplete efficiency correction in the \((\Delta\eta, \Delta\varphi) = (0, 0)\) bin was studied by arbitrarily doubling the correlation yield in that bin. Such a change produces width reductions smaller than 3\%. All systematic uncertainty contributions to the near-side peak widths are listed in Table I.

Systematic effect studies pertaining specifically to the determination of the azimuthal dependence of the correlations, and most particularly the Fourier decomposition coefficients extracted from \( R_2 \) and \( P_2 \), LS, US, and CI correlation functions were also carried out. These correlation functions were initially determined with 72 bins in \( \Delta\varphi \) but rebinned to 36 bins to suppress some residual effects on the Fourier decomposition fits, particularly in the case of the \( P_2 \) correlation functions. Studies showed, however, that the coefficients extracted from \( R_2 \) are less sensitive to rebinning, within statistical uncertainties, while coefficients obtained in fits of \( P_2 \) for \( n \geq 2 \) did exhibit greater sensitivity to the rebinning. One finds that the fit coefficients are stable, with rebinning, for 0\%-50\% collision centralities (Pb-Pb), but measurable variations were observed for more peripheral bins. For central Pb-Pb collisions, systematic shifts for \( n \geq 1 \) coefficients were found to be smaller than 5\% while shifts as large as 13\% were obtained in Pb-Pb peripheral collisions. Distortions were far smaller for \( R_2 \) and \( P_2 \) correlation functions measured in \( p+p \) collisions. The systematic uncertainties associated with distortions are estimated to be less than one percent for this system.

The \( v_n \) coefficients extracted by using the scalar-product method were studied under variations of the number of the TPC space points (varied from 70 to 100), the collision centrality determination, the \( v_n \) binning, charged-particle track definition, different magnetic-field polarities, criteria for electron rejection, and various other aspects of the detector response. Systematic uncertainties inferred from these studies are presented in Table III. We also studied the impact of the detector response based on GEANT simulations of HIJING [89,90] and AMPT [92] events. We compared \( v_n \) coefficients evaluated directly from the models with those obtained from reconstructed tracks (i.e., tracks obtained from a simulation of the detector performance) and assessed maximum systematic uncertainties of 3\%, 4\%, and 5\% for \( v_2 \), \( v_3 \), and \( v_4 \), respectively.

Systematic uncertainties associated with the extraction of the average correlation function widths (\( \Delta\eta \)), discussed in Sec. VI D, are summarized in Table I, whereas typical values of systematic uncertainties of the flow harmonic \( v_n \) coefficients measured in Pb-Pb collisions, reported in Sec. VI E, are summarized in Table II. Similarly, systematic uncertainties associated with the Fourier decomposition coefficients \( b_n \) obtained for \( p+p \) collisions are summarized in Table III. Systematic uncertainty values listed in these tables correspond to maximum differences encountered for each system and across all multiplicity classes and all pseudorapidity ranges considered in this analysis.

VI. RESULTS

Measurements of the correlation functions \( R_2 \) and \( P_2 \) for LS and US particle pairs are presented in Sec. VI A while charge-independent (CI) and charge-dependent (CD) correlation functions constructed from these are presented in

| Category | Correlation function | \( b_1 \) | \( b_2 \) | \( b_3 \) | \( b_4 \) |
|----------|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| z-vertex binning | \( R_2 \) | 1.4\% | 1.2\% | 1.9\% | 2.7\% |
| | \( P_2 \) | 2.0\% | 1.7\% | 2.2\% | 3.2\% |
| Track selection | \( R_2 \) | 8.3\% | 6.4\% | 8.1\% | 8.9\% |
| | \( P_2 \) | 10.8\% | 9.3\% | 10.9\% | 11.0\% |
| Electron rejection | \( R_2 \) | 0.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.9\% |
| | \( P_2 \) | 0.7\% | 0.9\% | 0.8\% | 1.0\% |
| \( \Delta\varphi \) binning | \( R_2 \) | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 1.3\% |
| | \( P_2 \) | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 1.1\% | 2.0\% |
| Total | \( R_2 \) | 8.5\% | 6.5\% | 8.4\% | 9.4\% |
| | \( P_2 \) | 11.0\% | 9.5\% | 11.2\% | 11.7\% |

TABLE III. Maximum systematic uncertainties on \( b_n \) coefficients obtained from \( R_2 \) and \( P_2 \) in \( p+p \) collisions. Total errors are obtained as sums in quadrature of individual contributions.
A. Like-sign and unlike-sign correlation functions

The $R_2$ and $P_2$ correlation functions measured in Pb-Pb collisions are displayed in Figs. 2 and 3 for unlike- and like-sign pairs for three representative multiplicity classes corresponding to 70%–80% (peripheral collisions), 30%–40% (mid-central collisions), and 0%–5% (most-central collisions) fractions of the cross section. The corresponding correlation functions measured in $p$-Pb collisions are shown in Figs. 4 and 5 for event multiplicity classes corresponding to fractions of cross sections of 60%–100%, 20%–40%, and 0%–20%. These do not unambiguously map to distinct $p$-Pb collision impact parameters or centrality.

One observes that the $R_2(\Delta \eta, \Delta \phi)$ and $P_2(\Delta \eta, \Delta \phi)$ correlation functions measured in Pb-Pb and $p$-Pb exhibit similar trends with increasing multiplicity. Although they have quite different amplitudes, owing to the $\Delta p_T \Delta p_T$ dependence of $P_2$, one finds correlation amplitudes to be largest in peripheral Pb-Pb collisions and low-multiplicity classes in $p$-Pb. Furthermore, the amplitudes of the $R_2$ and $P_2$ correlation functions qualitatively exhibit similar decreasing trends with increasing particle multiplicity, reaching the smallest values in the 5% and 20% highest multiplicity classes in Pb-Pb and $p$-Pb collisions, respectively. A similar dependence on produced-particle multiplicity has been observed for both triggered and untriggered number correlation functions [6,20,22,26,62,93,94] but is reported for the first time, in this...
work, for the $P_2$ observable. It results in a large part from the increasing number of elementary interactions (e.g., parton-parton interactions) associated with the growing geometrical overlap of the colliding nuclei.

In addition, the $R_2$ and $P_2$ correlation functions exhibit a strong near-side peak in 70%–80% Pb-Pb collisions. This peak is noticeably narrower, along both the $\Delta \eta$ and $\Delta \phi$ axes, in the $P_2$ correlations, a feature we study quantitatively in Sec. VI.D. Both $R_2$ and $P_2$ correlations are strongly modified in higher multiplicity collisions with the emergence of strong $\Delta \phi$ modulations, known to arise from anisotropic flow in Pb-Pb collisions. Although the near-side peak remains an important feature of US correlations, in all multiplicity classes, it appears significantly overshadowed by flow-like modulations in the 5% highest multiplicity LS correlations. One additionally finds that the $R_2$ correlations are positive, although, as cumulants, they are not required to be, while the $P_2$ correlations feature $\Delta \phi$ ranges where the correlation strength is negative. Such negative values reflect $\Delta \phi$ intervals in which, on average, the $p_T$ of one particle might be found above $\langle p_T \rangle$, while the other is below $\langle p_T \rangle$, effectively yielding a negative $\Delta p_T \Delta p_T$ value. One also observes that the $P_2$ and $R_2$ away-side (i.e., for $\Delta \phi \sim \pi$) dependence on the relative pseudorapidity, $\Delta \eta$, are qualitatively different. While $R_2$ features a bowed shape, i.e., a concave dependence on $\Delta \eta$ with a minimum at $\Delta \eta = 0$, the away-side strength of the $P_2$ correlation is essentially flat, i.e., independent of $\Delta \eta$ within uncertainties. Similar concave dependencies also reported by the CMS collaboration in high-multiplicity pp collisions [95] and by the STAR collaboration in 5% central Au-Au collisions [96].

Another interesting difference between $R_2$ and $P_2$, visible in US (Fig. 2) and LS (Fig. 3) correlations involves their away-side dependence on $\Delta \phi$ in the 5% highest multiplicity collisions. One finds that the away-side of $P_2$ exhibits a broad structure extending over the full range of the measured $\Delta \eta$ acceptance and features a weak double-hump structure with a minimum at $\Delta \phi = \pi$ and side peaks located approximately at $\Delta \phi = \pi \pm \pi/3$, while the $R_2$ correlation function, in the same multiplicity class, exhibits a convex dependence on $\Delta \phi$. It is worth noting, however, that double-hump structures similar to that observed in $P_2$ have already been reported with triggered and untriggered number correlations, albeit only for A-A collision centralities in the range 0%–2% [6,97].
or after subtraction of a \(v_2\) flow background in less-central collisions [20,23,24]. These features were initially associated with conical particle emission [24,98–107] but are now understood to be caused by strong triangular flow (\(v_3\)) originating from initial-state fluctuations in A-A collisions [29]. The \(P_2\) correlation function features a double hump structure already in the 5% Pb-Pb collisions, by contrast with the more-central collisions required to identify a similar structure in \(R_2\). This suggests that \(P_2\) correlations are more sensitive to the presence of the triangular flow component [108]. We thus carry out a comparative analysis of the Fourier decompositions of the \(R_2\) and \(P_2\) correlation functions both as a function of collision centrality and pseudorapidity difference in Sec. VI E.

We contrast the near-side peaks of LS and US correlation functions and their evolution with produced-particle multiplicity. The \(R_2^{(LS)}\) and \(P_2^{(LS)}\) correlation functions feature stronger near-side peaks than the \(R_0^{(LS)}\) and \(P_0^{(LS)}\) correlation functions in equivalent multiplicity classes. Additionally, the amplitudes of the near-side peaks of the US correlation functions decrease in higher multiplicity classes but remain an essential feature of both \(R_2\) and \(P_2\). By contrast, the \(R_2^{(LS)}\) and \(P_2^{(LS)}\) near-side peaks not only weaken in amplitude, but essentially disappear in higher-multiplicity classes in Pb-Pb, leaving behind near-side structures with a complicated dependence on \(\Delta \eta\). The LS correlation functions measured at the highest multiplicities (Fig. 2) hint that \(R_2\) and \(P_2\) are sensitive to different aspects of the correlation dynamics, which we discuss in greater detail in Sec. VII.

We next focus on the US and LS correlation functions measured in p-Pb collisions, displayed in Figs. 4 and 5. We find that both the \(R_2\) and \(P_2\) correlation functions feature prominent near-side peaks similar to those observed in most-peripheral Pb-Pb collisions. Unlike Pb-Pb collisions, however, the near-side peaks of both \(R_2\) and \(P_2\) dominate the correlation functions irrespective of their multiplicity class, although the peak amplitude decreases, as expected, with increasing particle multiplicity. Flow-like \(\Delta \phi\) modulations are observed in the 20%–40% and 0%–20% multiplicity classes that are qualitatively similar to those reported by the CMS collaboration [109] in very-high-multiplicity triggered events and those observed by the ALICE collaboration for charged particles in the range \(0.2 < p_T < 3.0\) GeV/c in the same multiplicity classes [83]. The amplitude of the modulations is further examined in Sec. VI E of this article.
Furthermore, one notes that the near-side peak of US and LS $P_2$ correlation functions measured in $p$-Pb collisions is considerably narrower than those observed in $R_2$. Additionally, the shape of the near-side peaks observed in US and LS correlation functions are remarkably different. The US peaks are wider and ronder at the top, while the LS peaks are very narrow at the top but appear to fan out with relatively longer tails along both the $\Delta \eta$ and $\Delta \phi$ axes. Such differences may arise in part due to Coulomb and HBT effects. The evolution of the width of the near-side peak of the $R_2$ and $P_2$ distributions as a function of the multiplicity class are discussed in Sec. VI D.

In addition, the $R_2$ correlation functions observed in $p$-Pb feature an away-side shape and dependence on $\Delta \eta$ significantly different from those observed in Pb-Pb. The away side of $R_2$ observed in the lowest $p$-Pb multiplicity class is dominated by a structure essentially independent of $\Delta \phi$ and with a strong concave dependence on $\Delta \eta$. This structure progressively evolves, with increasing multiplicity, into an elongated, but still concave, $\Delta \eta$ distribution in the 0%–20% multiplicity class. In contrast, the away-side of $P_2$ correlations features a much smaller amplitude (relative to the near-side peak) and exhibits a weaker dependence on $\Delta \eta$ than observed in $R_2$.

Finally, at large multiplicity, one also notes the emergence of flow-like modulations in both the $R_2$ and $P_2$ correlation functions. A quantitative study of the strength of these modulations is presented in Sec. VI E.

B. Charge-independent correlations

Figures 6 and 7 present $R_2^{(CI)}$ and $P_2^{(CI)}$ correlation functions, determined according to Eq. (5), for selected multiplicity classes in Pb-Pb collisions at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \) TeV and $p$-Pb collisions at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \) TeV, respectively. The CI correlation functions constitute signatures of the particle-production dynamics and the evolution of the collision system formed in Pb-Pb and $p$-Pb interactions. As averages of the US and LS distributions, these carry essentially the same features as these correlation functions. They show the same decreasing amplitude trend as a function of collision centrality in Pb-Pb collisions and multiplicity classes in $p$-Pb collisions, as well as the emergence of strong $\Delta \phi$ modulation in mid-central Pb-Pb collisions. In absence of medium-induced effects, the
shape of these correlation functions should be independent of the collision centrality and their magnitude should scale with the inverse of the number of binary nucleon-nucleon collisions. From Figs. 6 and 7, one observes that the two correlation functions exhibit decreasing amplitude with increasing multiplicity in both Pb-Pb and p-Pb collisions. However, both $R_2$ and $P_2$ correlation functions show nonscaling behavior: their shapes, i.e., dependencies on $\Delta \eta$ and $\Delta \phi$, significantly evolve with increasing multiplicity in both p-Pb and Pb-Pb collisions. This lack of scaling indicates a different reaction dynamics and collision system evolution with produced particle multiplicity. The appearance of strong $\Delta \phi$ modulations, associated with collective flow, has been observed in several measurements of two-particle correlation functions [20,26,93,94]. We find that both the near-side and flow-like feature of $P_2$ and $R_2$ exhibit a somewhat different evolution with produced-particle multiplicity. The near-side peak of $P_2$ correlations is significantly narrower in $\Delta \eta$ and $\Delta \phi$ than that observed with $R_2$. One also notes that the away-side of $P_2$ has a significantly different evolution with collision centrality than $R_2$, featuring a dip and double-hump structure in 5% most-central Pb-Pb collisions not seen in $R_2$ correlation of the same centrality class. Clearly, the $P_2$ observable is more sensitive to the presence of higher harmonics than $R_2$. The flow components of the two observables, however, are not independent and have been reported to be closely related [108]. The harmonics coefficients $v_n$ obtained with the $P_2$ observable, for relative pseudorapidities $\Delta \eta > 0.9$ are successfully predicted by a simple formula, known as the flow ansatz [35,108]. This ansatz is based on the notion that two-particle correlations observed in Pb-Pb collisions are predominantly determined by particle emission relative to a collision’s symmetry plane. The dependencies of the harmonic flow coefficients $v_n$ on charge combination, pseudorapidity difference $\Delta \eta$, and produced-particle multiplicity are presented in more detail in Sec. VI E.

C. Charge-dependent correlations

Energy-momentum and quantum number (e.g., charge, strangeness, baryon number) conservation laws govern the production of particles and thus have a strong impact on correlation functions. Given the very-high-energy scale reached in the Pb-Pb and p-Pb interactions reported in this work, it is reasonable to assume that considerations of energy-momentum conservation may play an equally important role in the
production of LS and US charge pairs. One should then be able to remove, or at least suppress, the effect of energy-momentum conservation on particle correlations by considering charge-dependent (CD) correlation functions. The shape and strength of CD correlation functions should thus be predominantly driven by processes of creation of charge pairs, their transport, and the fact that electric charge is a conserved quantity.

The CD correlation functions $R_2^{(CD)}$ and $P_2^{(CD)}$, shown in Figs. 8 and 9, respectively, were obtained according to Eq. (6) based on US and LS correlation functions presented in Sec. VI A. In 70%–80% central Pb-Pb collisions, the $R_2^{(CD)}$ correlation function features a very strong and relatively broad near-side peak that extends to $\Delta \phi \sim \pi$ and slowly decreases in amplitude for large values of $|\Delta \eta|$. The width of the near-side peak narrows in the centrality range 30%–40% and even more in the 0%–5% range. One notes, in particular, that the away side of these two correlation functions is essentially flat and nearly vanishing, except for minor and incompletely corrected detector effects—most noticeable in the case of the $P_2^{(CD)}$ observable in Fig. 8. The low-amplitude, high-frequency modulations seen on the away-side of $P_2^{(CD)}$ in the 0%–5% collisions are due to instrumental effects near the boundaries between TPC sectors. Although these effects are very much suppressed by the weight-based analysis used in this work, they could not be completely eliminated. The presence of narrow near-side peaks as well as flat and essentially vanishing away side in $R_2^{(CD)}$ and $P_2^{(CD)}$ indicate that the US pair production on the near and away sides (seen in $R_2^{(CD)}$ and $P_2^{(CD)}$) are uncorrelated and causally disconnected. By contrast, the finite away-side amplitude observed in the charge-independent correlation functions $R_2^{(CI)}$, shown in Fig. 6, indicates that the corresponding charged-particle correlations must arise from particle-production mechanisms insensitive to charge conservation.

The narrowing of $R_2^{(CD)}$ observed with increasing produced-particle multiplicity in Figs. 8 and 9 is qualitatively similar to the narrowing of the balance function (BF) reported by the ALICE collaboration [62,63]. A quantitative comparison of the widths obtained from $R_2^{(CD)}$ correlations and those already reported for the BF is presented in Sec. VI D.

The strength of $P_2^{(CD)}$ in Pb-Pb collisions is approximately one order of magnitude weaker than that of $R_2^{(CD)}$. One finds that the away-side of $P_2^{(CD)}$ is essentially flat, i.e., independent
of $\Delta \eta$ and $\Delta \varphi$, in all centrality classes. The salient feature of $P^{(CD)}_2$ is a near-side peak significantly narrower than the near-side peak observed in $R^{(CD)}_2$. This is an interesting result given that both $R_2$ and $P_2$ are derived from the same two-particle density $\rho_1(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2)$. It provides indications that the product $\Delta p_{T,1}\Delta p_{T,2}$ has a significant dependence on $\Delta \eta$ and $\Delta \varphi$ for correlated US pairs. Also, note that, in Fig. 9, the near-side peak of $P_2$ observed in $p$-Pb collisions exhibits a circular and narrow undershoot ring at $\sqrt{|\Delta \eta|^2 + |\Delta \varphi|^2} \approx 0.75$. For larger particle separations, the product $\Delta p_{T,1}\Delta p_{T,2}$ is approximately constant and averages to a small positive value whereas, for smaller separations, it forms a clear peak. In the undershoot region, the strength of the correlation dips to zero or even below zero. The origin of the very narrow width of the $P_2$ near-side peak and the presence of the undershoot is discussed in Sec. VII.

It is interesting to compare the $R^{(CD)}_2$ and $P^{(CD)}_2$ correlation functions obtained in $p$-Pb collisions, shown in Fig. 9, with those obtained in Pb-Pb collisions discussed above. The $R^{(CD)}_2$ correlation functions feature strong and broad near-side peaks similar to that observed in the 70%-80% centrality range. However, the latter has an amplitude smaller than those featured in Fig. 9, consistent with the notion that collisions in that centrality range involve a significant geometrical overlap yielding a larger number of binary collisions, on average, than $p$-Pb collisions. One also notes that the near-side peak observed in 0%-20% collisions remains fairly broad and features an amplitude nearly half of that observed in 60%-100% collisions. Finally, one also observes that all three multiplicity classes feature finite correlation amplitudes at $\Delta \eta \approx 0$, $\Delta \varphi \approx \pi$, much like the $R^{(CD)}_2$ distribution observed in 70%-80% Pb-Pb collisions. These features have already been reported in Ref. [63]. Remarkably, all three $p$-Pb $P^{(CD)}_2$ shown in Fig. 9 exhibit essentially uniform, but nonvanishing, correlation amplitudes on the away-side. This indicates that $P_2$ correlations manifest a different sensitivity to particle production than number correlations $R_2$. Note that such a conclusion could not be readily established based on the 70%-80% centrality range in Pb-Pb collisions for the $P^{(CD)}_2$ distribution because of finite residual sector boundary effects observed in that distribution. One additionally notes that all $P^{(CD)}_2$ correlation functions measured in $p$-Pb exhibit a rather sharp and narrow near-side peak. The width of these peaks is quantified more precisely in the next section, but it is visually rather obvious that the $P^{(CD)}_2$ near-side peaks are much narrower than those observed in $R^{(CD)}_2$ correlations. It is also interesting to notice that the
amplitude of the near-side reduces by about a factor of five from 60%–100% to 0%–20% multiplicity classes, while the amplitude of the $R_2^{(CD)}$ correlation decreases by a factor of two only. Clearly, the $P_2^{(CD)}$ correlation has a rather different sensitivity to charge creation than the $R_2^{(CD)}$ correlation.

**D. Near-side peak widths**

The presence of a relatively narrow near-side peak in $R_2$ and $P_2$ correlation functions indicates that the production of two particles (or more) at small relative azimuthal angle and pseudorapidity is substantially more probable than large-angle emission. Such narrowly focused emission may in principle be produced by in-flight decays of highly boosted resonances or clusters, jet fragmentation, or string (or color tube) fragmentation [30–34,44,45,93]. However, these different production mechanisms feature distinct $p_T$ dependencies and may thus produce noticeable differences in the structures of the $R_2$ and $P_2$ correlation functions. Comprehensive particle-production models should in principle enable detailed calculations of the shape and strength of $R_2^{(CD)}$, $R_2^{(CD)}$, $P_2^{(CD)}$, and $P_2^{(CD)}$ to be compared with two-dimensional distributions presented in this work. It is interesting, nonetheless, to extract simple characterizations of these distributions and consider their multiplicity dependence in Pb-Pb and p-Pb collisions.

Measurements of the evolution of the width of the distributions with increasing multiplicity, in particular, are of interest given that variations of the widths might reflect important changes in the underlying particle-production mechanisms [36,37,40]. To enable comparisons with previous works (e.g., balance function) [59,62], we proceed to determine the longitudinal and azimuthal means as well as the rms widths of the measured correlation functions in terms of the moments $\langle \Delta \eta^k \rangle$ and $\langle \Delta \phi^k \rangle$, with $k = 1, 2$, calculated according to

$$\langle \Delta \eta^k \rangle = \frac{\sum_{\Delta \eta_{\text{min}} \rightarrow \Delta \eta_{\text{max}}} O(\Delta \eta_i, \Delta \phi_k) - O_{\text{off}} | \Delta \eta^k_i}}{\sum_{\Delta \eta_{\text{min}} \rightarrow \Delta \eta_{\text{max}}} O(\Delta \eta_i, \Delta \phi_k) - O_{\text{off}}},$$

(15)

$$\langle \Delta \phi^k \rangle = \frac{\sum_{\Delta \phi_{\text{min}} \rightarrow \Delta \phi_{\text{max}}} O(\Delta \eta_i, \Delta \phi_k) - O_{\text{off}} | \Delta \phi^k_i}}{\sum_{\Delta \phi_{\text{min}} \rightarrow \Delta \phi_{\text{max}}} O(\Delta \eta_i, \Delta \phi_k) - O_{\text{off}}},$$

(16)

where $O(\Delta \eta_i, \Delta \phi_k)$ represents values of the correlation functions $R_2^{(CD)}$, $R_2^{(CD)}$, $P_2^{(CD)}$, or $P_2^{(CD)}$ for the relative pseudorapidity bin $\Delta \eta_i$ (azimuthal angle $\Delta \phi_i$). For $k = 1$, the summations are carried out one-sided, i.e., from $\Delta \eta_{\text{min}} = 0$ ($\Delta \phi_{\text{min}} = 0$) to maximum values $\Delta \eta_{\text{max}}$ ($\Delta \phi_{\text{max}}$), while for $k = 2$, the

FIG. 9. Correlation functions $R_2^{(CD)}$ and $P_2^{(CD)}$ measured with charged particles in the range $0.2 < p_T < 2.0$ GeV/c for selected multiplicity classes in p-Pb collisions.
sumations are carried two-sided, i.e., in the range \(-\Delta \eta_{\text{max}} \leq \Delta \eta \leq \Delta \eta_{\text{max}}\) \((-\Delta \varphi_{\text{max}} \leq \Delta \varphi \leq \Delta \varphi_{\text{max}}\)). For \(\langle \Delta \eta^k \rangle\) calculations, \(\Delta \eta_{\text{max}}\) is chosen either at the edge of the acceptance or at \(\Delta \eta\) values where the correlation functions reach a plateau (most particularly in the case of CD correlations) to avoid undue accumulation of noise in the calculation of the moments. For \(\langle \Delta \varphi^k \rangle\) calculations, the upper edge of the range is set to \(\Delta \varphi_{\text{max}} = \pi\) for \(R^{(CD)}_2\) correlations and whichever values the \(\Delta \varphi\) projections reach a minimum, in the case of \(P^{(CD)}_2\) correlations. Offsets \(O_{\text{off}}\) are nominally used to eliminate trivial dependencies of the averages on the width of the experimental acceptance. For calculations of \(\langle \Delta \varphi^k \rangle\), offsets \(O_{\text{off}}\) are determined by taking a three-bin average near \(\Delta \varphi = \pi\), while for calculations of \(\langle \Delta \eta^k \rangle\), offsets \(O_{\text{off}}\) are evaluated near the edge of the acceptance \(\Delta \eta \sim 2\). However, in the case of \(R^{(CD)}_2\), since the correlation is vanishing for large \(|\Delta \eta|\) values, one uses a null offset. In this case, contributions to \(\langle \Delta \eta^k \rangle^{1/k}\) from the unobserved part of \(R^{(CD)}_2\), i.e., beyond the acceptance, are then neglected. Moments \(\langle \Delta \eta^k \rangle\) and \(\langle \Delta \varphi^k \rangle\) are determined on the basis of projections of the \(R^{(CI)}_2\), \(R^{(CD)}_2\), \(P^{(CI)}_2\), or \(P^{(CD)}_2\) correlation functions onto the \(\Delta \eta\) and \(\Delta \varphi\) axes, respectively. Projections onto \(\Delta \eta\) are calculated in the range \(|\Delta \varphi| \leq \pi\), whereas the projections onto \(\Delta \varphi\) are determined in the range \(|\Delta \eta| \leq 1.8\) for \(R_2\) correlations and \(|\Delta \eta| \leq 1\) for \(P_2\) correlations, also to suppress accumulation of statistical noise. Only \(\Delta \eta\) projections and the corresponding moments \(\langle \Delta \eta^k \rangle\) are considered in the case of \(R^{(CI)}_2\) and \(P^{(CI)}_2\) given that these correlation functions feature strong azimuthal modulations. Projections of the \(R^{(CI)}_2\), \(R^{(CD)}_2\), \(P^{(CI)}_2\), or \(P^{(CD)}_2\) correlation functions are shown in Figs. 10–15. They have been divided by the number of integrated bins and scaled for ease of comparison.

FIG. 10. Projections of \(R^{(CI)}_2\) and \(P^{(CI)}_2\) correlation functions, measured in Pb-Pb collision at \(\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76\) TeV, for selected ranges of collision centrality. Projections onto the \(\Delta \eta\) axis are calculated as averages of the two-dimensional correlations in the range \(|\Delta \varphi| \leq \pi\). Vertical bars and shaded areas represent statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively.

FIG. 11. Projections of \(R^{(CI)}_2\) and \(P^{(CI)}_2\) correlation functions, measured in p-Pb collisions at \(\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02\) TeV, for selected multiplicity classes. Projections onto the \(\Delta \eta\) axis are calculated as averages of the two-dimensional correlations in the range \(|\Delta \varphi| \leq \pi\). Vertical bars and shaded areas represent statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively.
The longitudinal widths of the near-side peaks of $R_2^{(CI)}$ and $P_2^{(CI)}$ correlation functions are presented in Figs. 16 and 17 as a function of collision centrality and multiplicity class, respectively, while the longitudinal and azimuthal widths of near-side peak of $R_2^{(CD)}$ and $P_2^{(CD)}$ are displayed in Figs. 18–21.

The widths $\langle \Delta \eta^{k} \rangle^{1/k}$ of $R_2^{(CI)}$ (Fig. 16) grow monotonically in Pb-Pb collisions from 70%–80% to 0%–5% multiplicity classes, reaching a maximum in the 5% most-central collisions. A similar monotonic increase is observed for $P_2$, except for the 70%–80% multiplicity class. By contrast, in $p$-Pb collisions (Fig. 17), the longitudinal widths of the near-side peak of $R_2^{(CI)}$ and $P_2^{(CI)}$ have rather weak dependence, if any, on multiplicity. These different dependencies may in part be attributed to diffusion processes, expected to play a larger role in the longer-lived systems created in more-central Pb-Pb collisions [46,110]. However, the formation of long-range color tubes or strings compounded with radial flow may also play an important role in the observed longitudinal broadening of the near-side peak of the $R_2^{(CI)}$ and $P_2^{(CI)}$ correlation functions [111]. Interestingly, the longitudinal widths $\langle \Delta \eta^{k} \rangle^{1/k}$ observed for $P_2^{(CI)}$ are significantly smaller than those observed for $R_2^{(CI)}$ in both Pb-Pb and $p$-Pb collisions. Charge-dependent correlation functions are expected to have a different sensitivity to particle correlations than charge-independent correlations. This is readily verified in Figs. 18–21, which display the near-side peak width of CD correlations, measured in both Pb-Pb and $p$-Pb, as a function of produced-particle multiplicity classes. One finds that, in contrast with CI correlations whose near-side peaks width increase with
produced-particle multiplicity, the widths of the near-side peak of $R_2^{(CD)}$ correlation functions monotonically decrease with increasing multiplicity.

The widths measured in this work, shown with solid blue circles for $k = 2$ (rms) and open blue circles for $k = 1$ (one-sided mean) in Figs. 18 and 20, are compared with rms values of the longitudinal and azimuthal widths, shown in red, of the balance function reported by the ALICE collaboration [62]. One observes that the rms widths, $\langle \Delta \eta^2 \rangle^{1/2}$, obtained in this work are in very good agreement with the longitudinal rms values reported for the balance function. A similar trend with collision centrality is observed for the rms width, $\langle \Delta \phi^2 \rangle^{1/2}$, albeit with a finite offset owing to differences in the rms calculation methods used in this and the prior work. In this work, an offset, evaluated at the minimum of the $\Delta \phi$ projection is used and the rms calculation is performed in the range $-\pi \leq \Delta \phi \leq \pi$, whereas the widths reported in Ref. [62] were evaluated without the use of an offset and in the range $-\pi/2 \leq \Delta \phi \leq \pi/2$.

The $R_2^{(CD)}$ distributions measured in Pb-Pb exhibit a strong reduction from peripheral to central while the widths measured in p-Pb show a weaker but nonetheless noticeable reduction with increased charged-particle production. Multiplicity class dependencies of the widths of the near-side peak of $R_2^{(CD)}$ correlations are more difficult to assess owing to larger statistical and systematic uncertainties: measurements in Pb-Pb are consistent with a modest decrease with increasing collision centrality, whereas those in p-Pb suggest a reverse trend.

The reductions of the longitudinal and azimuthal widths of the near-side peak of $R_2^{(CD)}$ observed in Pb-Pb and p-Pb collisions are in agreement with prior measurements (both

FIG. 14. Projections of $R_2^{(CD)}$ correlation functions, measured in $p$-Pb collision at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, for selected multiplicity classes. The $\Delta \eta$ and $\Delta \phi$ projections are calculated as averages of the two-dimensional correlations in the ranges $|\Delta \phi| \leq \pi$ and $|\Delta \eta| \leq 1.8$, respectively. Vertical bars and shaded areas represent statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively.

FIG. 15. Projections of $R_2^{(CD)}$ correlation functions, measured in $p$-Pb collision at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, for selected multiplicity classes. The $\Delta \eta$ and $\Delta \phi$ projections are calculated as averages of the two-dimensional correlations in the ranges $|\Delta \phi| \leq \pi$ and $|\Delta \eta| \leq 1.8$, respectively. Vertical bars and shaded areas represent statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively.
FIG. 16. Width of the near-side peak of $R_2^{(CI)}$ (left) and $P_2^{(CI)}$ (right) correlation functions along $\Delta \eta$ measured in Pb-Pb collisions as a function of the collision centrality class. Vertical bars and solid lines represent statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively.

FIG. 17. Width of the near-side peak of $R_2^{(CI)}$ (left) and $P_2^{(CI)}$ (right) correlation functions along $\Delta \eta$ measured in Pb-Pb collisions as a function of the collision centrality class. Vertical bars and solid lines represent statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively.
FIG. 18. Width of the near-side peak of $R^{(CD)}_2$ correlation functions along $\Delta \eta$ (left) and $\Delta \varphi$ (right) measured in Pb-Pb collisions as a function of collision centrality class. Vertical bars and solid lines represent statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively. Mean and rms $\Delta \varphi$ widths (right; blue circles) were computed in the range $-\pi \leq \Delta \varphi \leq \pi$ with an offset according to Eq. (16). Red symbols show rms $\Delta \eta$ and $\Delta \varphi$ widths (systematic uncertainties shown as red dashed lines) reported by a prior ALICE analysis based on measurements of balance functions [62]. The $\Delta \varphi$ widths reported in this earlier work were computed in the range $-\pi/2 \leq \Delta \varphi \leq \pi/2$.

is compatible with a naive model of the diffusion process. Indeed, the azimuthal width of the correlation peak should qualitatively grow as the power $1/2$ of the lifetime of the system, i.e., $\tau^{1/2}$, which in turn should be roughly proportional to $\Delta \eta^{1/2}$ for sufficiently large separations. However, a fit with a linear function $a' + b' \Delta \eta$ produces a $\chi^2$/dof of similar magnitude as the $\Delta \eta^{1/2}$ fit. It is thus not possible, with this measurement, to precisely assess the $\Delta \varphi$ broadening dependence on the pair separation in $\Delta \eta$. While the measured evolution of the $R^{(CD)}_2$ $\Delta \varphi$ width with pair separation might indicate the presence of diffusion processes, it might also be attributable to radial flow effects [112]. Hydrodynamic models of the evolution of heavy-ion collisions and blast-wave fits of Au-Au and Pb-Pb data reveal the presence of significant radial flow with velocity profiles dependent on the point of origin of the produced particles [113,114]. Given that balanced charged-particle pairs originate from a common production mechanism such as resonance decays or string fragmentation, the pair separation in $\Delta \eta$ and $\Delta \varphi$ is thus expected to decrease with the outward radial velocity of the source. Slow sources shall produce large pair separations in $\Delta \eta$ and $\Delta \varphi$, on average, while larger radial velocity will produce significantly smaller $\Delta \eta$ and $\Delta \varphi$ separations. In effect, differential flow profiles shall yield, overall, $\Delta \varphi$ widths that increase with the pair separation in $\Delta \eta$. The observed dependence of $\Delta \varphi$ widths on pair separation might then in part result from radial flow, diffusion, and possibly other effects [112]. A proper assessment of these contributions shall thus require model studies beyond the scope of this work.

E. Fourier decompositions of $R_2$ and $P_2$ correlation functions

Correlation analyses based on multiparticle cumulants, including the scalar-product, $Q$ distribution, Lee-Yang
Fourier decomposition of the interplay of flow and nonflow effects by carrying out (pseudo)rapidity and in small collision systems. One studies functions, particularly at small particle pair separation in nonflow effects, which might exhibit explicit dependencies near perfect agreement between LS and US Fourier coefficients obtained from projections of $Eqs. (10)$ and $11$, are reported for Pb-Pb collisions, whereas harmonic coefficients $b_n[R_2]$ and $b_n[P_2]$ are reported for $p$-Pb collisions.

Aside from weak Coulomb distortions $[57]$, one expects that two-particle correlations determined by collective behavior to be essentially independent of the charge of the particles. The near perfect agreement between LS and US Fourier coefficients of order two, three, and four is thus an indication that nonflow effects, which might exhibit explicit dependencies on charges, are rather weak for pair separations in excess of $|\Delta \eta| > 0.9$. The observed azimuthal coefficients at $|\Delta \eta| > 0.9$ are thus consistent with the dominance of collective flow effects in this range. The US and LS coefficients obtained for pairs with $0.2 \leq |\Delta \eta| \leq 0.9$, on the other hand, exhibit

FIG. 20. Width of the near-side peak of $R_2^{(CD)}$ correlation functions along $\Delta \eta$ (left) and $\Delta \phi$ (right) measured in $p$-Pb collisions as a function of produced-particle multiplicity class. Vertical bars and solid lines represent statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively. Mean and rms $\Delta \phi$ widths (right; blue circles) were computed in the range $-1/2 \leq \Delta \phi \leq 1/2$ with an offset according to $Eq. (16)$. Red symbols show rms $\Delta \eta$ and $\Delta \phi$ widths (systematic uncertainties shown as red dashed lines) reported by a prior ALICE analysis on measurements of balance functions $[62]$. The $\Delta \phi$ widths reported in this earlier work were computed in the range $-\pi/2 \leq \Delta \phi \leq \pi/2$.

zeros, and Fourier-Bessel transforms methods, have established the presence of strong collective anisotropic flow in Au-Au and Pb-Pb collisions $[1,2,4,8,81]$, and recent multi-particle correlation analyses suggest that collective behavior might also play an important role in $p$-Pb and $pp$ collisions $[83,95,115–121]$. However, noncollective particle-production mechanisms, including resonance decays, jets, and other nonflow effects, are also known to contribute to correlation functions, particularly at small particle pair separation in (pseudo)rapidity and in small collision systems. One studies the interplay of flow and nonflow effects by carrying out Fourier decomposition of the $\Delta \phi$ dependence of $R_2(\Delta \eta, \Delta \phi)$ and $P_2(\Delta \eta, \Delta \phi)$ as a function of the pair separation $|\Delta \eta|$. Flow coefficients $v_n[R_2]$ and $v_n[P_2]$, calculated according to $Eqs. (10)$ and $11$, are reported for Pb-Pb collisions, whereas harmonic coefficients $b_n[R_2]$ and $b_n[P_2]$ are reported for $p$-Pb collisions.

Figure 24 presents the $v_n$ coefficients, $n = 2, 3, 4$, (defined in Sec. IV B) plotted as a function of $P_{2(US)}$ and $P_{2(LS)}$, in the ranges $0.2 \leq |\Delta \eta| \leq 0.9$ and $0.9 \leq |\Delta \eta| \leq 1.9$. One observes that the $v_n[R_2]$ coefficients obtained from US and LS correlations are essentially identical at “large” $|\Delta \eta|$ (i.e., $|\Delta \eta| \geq 0.9$). Aside from weak Coulomb distortions $[57]$, one expects that two-particle correlations determined by collective behavior to be essentially independent of the charge of the particles. The near perfect agreement between LS and US Fourier coefficients of order two, three, and four is thus an indication that nonflow effects, which might exhibit explicit dependencies on charges, are rather weak for pair separations in excess of $|\Delta \eta| = 0.9$. The observed azimuthal coefficients at $|\Delta \eta| > 0.9$ are thus consistent with the dominance of collective flow effects in this range. The US and LS coefficients obtained for pairs with $0.2 \leq |\Delta \eta| \leq 0.9$, on the other hand, exhibit
systematic discrepancies at all collision centralities. Considering the ratio of US and LS coefficients plotted in the lower panel of Fig. 24, one observes that US $v_2$ coefficients are systematically larger than those of LS pairs. One also finds that the $v_2$ coefficients exhibit the smallest differences, while the $v_4$ coefficients have the largest. This behavior is largely driven by the presence of the stronger near-side peak observed in US $R_2$ correlations, and is thus a result of nonflow effects associated with the creation of charge particle pairs.

Figure 25 compares $v_n[R_2^{(C)}]$ coefficients, $n = 2, 3, 4$ (solid symbols), extracted from $R_2^{(C)}$ correlation functions with flow coefficients $v_2[2]$ (open symbols) obtained with the scalar-product method according to Eq. (12). The comparison is carried out in Figs. 25(a) and 25(b) for charged-particle pairs with pseudorapidity separations of $0.2 \leq |\Delta \eta| \leq 0.9$ and $0.9 \leq |\Delta \eta| \leq 1.9$, respectively. Figures 25(c) and 25(d) display the ratio of coefficients obtained with the two methods. One observes that the deviations between the $v_2[2]$ and $v_n[R_2^{(C)}]$ for $0.9 \leq |\Delta \eta| \leq 1.9$ are typically smaller than 2%, irrespective of collision centrality. Such small deviations are expected given that $v_2[2]$ coefficients were determined with a minimal $|\Delta \eta|$ of 0.9 units of pseudorapidity. The coefficients $v_n$ obtained from $R_2^{(C)}$, for pair separation in excess of 0.9, are thus equivalent to those obtained with the SP method. However, the deviations for pair separations in the range $0.2 \leq |\Delta \eta| \leq 0.9$ are finite in all centrality classes in Pb-Pb collisions. They are smallest in central-to-mid-central collisions but rise in excess of 10% in more-peripheral collisions, owing to the presence of the near-side peak that dominates the $R_2$ correlations in this collision centrality range.

Similarly to Fig. 24, Fig. 26 presents the $v_n$ coefficients, $n = 2, 3, 4$, plotted as a function of Pb-Pb collision centrality, obtained from projections of $P_2^{(US)}$ and $P_2^{(LS)}$, in ranges $0.2 \leq |\Delta \eta| \leq 0.9$ and $0.9 \leq |\Delta \eta| \leq 1.9$. In this case also, one observes that US and LS $v_n$ coefficients measured for
FIG. 24. Fourier coefficients $v_n$, with $n = 2, 3, 4$, extracted from US and LS $R_2$ correlation functions in the range $0.2 \leq |\Delta \eta| \leq 0.9$ and $0.9 \leq |\Delta \eta| \leq 1.9$ in panels (a) and (b), respectively. The ratios between US and LS $v_n$ coefficients are shown in panels (c) and (d). Vertical bars and shaded areas represent statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively.

FIG. 25. Solid symbols: coefficients $v_n$, $n = 2, 3, 4$, obtained from Fourier decompositions of charge-independent correlators, $R_2^{(CI)}$, in the ranges $0.2 \leq |\Delta \eta| \leq 0.9$ (left) and $0.9 \leq |\Delta \eta| \leq 1.9$ (right). Open symbols: flow coefficients $v_n$ obtained with the scalar-product method according to Eq. (12). (c), (d) Ratios of the coefficients $v_n$ values obtained from $R_2^{(CI)}$ to those obtained with the scalar-product method. Vertical bars and shaded areas indicate statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively.
pairs in the range $0.9 \leq |\Delta \eta| \leq 1.9$ are essentially identical, whereas coefficients for US pairs in the range $0.2 \leq |\Delta \eta| \leq 0.9$ uniformly exceed those of LS by about 5% for $n = 2, 3, 4$, and at all observed centralities.

Comparing the left and right panels of Figs. 24 and 26, one concludes that $v_n[R_2]$ and $v_n[P_2]$ coefficients exhibit a rather large dependence on the relative pseudorapidity of the pair. These deviations evidently arise because of nonflow effects manifested by the presence of the strong near-side peak centered at $\Delta \eta = 0, \Delta \phi = 0$ observed in $R_2$ and $P_2$ correlations. One expects the impact of such nonflow effects on the magnitude of the $v_n$ coefficients to weaken with pair separation.

This is explicitly verified by studying the magnitude of the coefficients as a function of pair separation, shown in Figs. 27 and 28 for 0%–5% and 70%–80% Pb–Pb collisions, respectively. One observes similar trends for $v_2[R_2]$ and $v_2[P_2]$, coefficients with $n = 2, 3$. The coefficient amplitudes are largest at $|\Delta \eta| \sim 0.2$ and decrease approximately linearly with increasing $|\Delta \eta|$ until they seemingly reach plateaus. Interestingly, one observes that the $v_n[P_2]$ coefficients reach their plateau at $|\Delta \eta| \sim 0.7$ in peripheral collisions ($|\Delta \eta| \sim 1$ in central collisions), while the $v_n[R_2]$ coefficients do not reach a plateau until $|\Delta \eta| \sim 1.2 \sim 1.3$ ($|\Delta \eta| \sim 1.5$ in central collisions). This numerical difference is evidently due to the fact that the near-side of $P_2$ distributions are significantly narrower than those of $R_2$ distributions, but it also shows that $P_2$ somehow features a smaller sensitivity to nonflow. Indeed, nonflow effects in $P_2$ appear to be limited to a narrower range of $\Delta \eta$. Were it not for the fact that high-precision analyses of $P_2$ require a larger dataset than those of $R_2$, the suppression of nonflow effects in flow studies might be better achieved by using $\Delta p_T\Delta p_T$-weighted observables rather than by using correlators simply based on the number of particles. The difference between the $P_2$ and $R_2$ coefficients evidently also provides a new perspective and tool to investigate the near-side peak of correlation functions and the nature and origin of nonflow effects.

The $R_2$ and $P_2$ correlation functions shown in Fig. 7 exhibit nontrivial structures and dependencies on $\Delta \phi$. These may be due to a number of different particle production processes including resonance decays, coalescence of constituent quarks, string fragmentation, jets, and possibly several other mechanisms. In general, transverse anisotropies associated with hydrodynamic flow and differential attenuation of high-$p_T$ particles by the anisotropic medium formed in $p$-Pb collisions are not readily expected in small collision systems such as those produced in the minimum-bias or low-multiplicity $p$-Pb collisions considered in this work. However, a number of recent works have reported evidence for collective motion in high-multiplicity $p$-Pb collisions. It is thus of interest and valuable to characterize the azimuthal dependence of the correlation $R_2$ and $P_2$ in terms of Fourier decompositions as a function of the relative pseudorapidity $|\Delta \eta|$ of measured particles. Given that nonflow effects are expected to dominate in minimum-bias $p$-Pb collisions, we report the coefficients $b_n$ calculated according to Eq. (10) rather than flow coefficients $v_n$. These are determined based on projections of the $R_2$ and $P_2$ correlation functions onto $\Delta \phi$ in several ranges of $|\Delta \eta|$. The coefficients’ dependence on $|\Delta \eta|$ obtained from fits to the $R_2$ and $P_2$ projections are displayed in Figs. 29 and 30 for three different multiplicity classes.
All in all, the coefficients $b_n$ obtained from fits to the $R_2$ and $P_2$ correlation functions measured at selected multiplicity classes exhibit different dependencies on $|\Delta\eta|$. The long range (i.e., in $|\Delta\eta|$) of these correlation functions, in particular, is of interest to understand the role of nonflow effects in measurements of flow. Nonflow contributions (e.g., those associated with resonance decays, jets, and momentum conservation) are expected to decrease with increasing large $|\Delta\eta|$ gap. This can be verified quantitatively based on the Fourier decompositions of $R_2$ and $P_2$ reported in Figs. 31 and 32, where one observes that the coefficients $b_n$ have decreasing amplitudes for increasing $|\Delta\eta|$. One notes, however, that the coefficient $b_2$ and coefficients of higher order, $b_3$ and $b_4$, exhibit qualitatively different dependencies on $|\Delta\eta|$. The higher-order coefficients decrease rapidly, within $|\Delta\eta| < 1.5(0.75)$ in $R_2^{(C1)}$ ($P_2^{(C1)}$) and become vanishingly small, within the statistical accuracy of this measurement, for larger values of $|\Delta\eta|$, whereas $b_2$ coefficients’ reduction with increasing $|\Delta\eta|$
saturates and reach a constant value beyond $|\Delta \eta| \sim 1.5$ (0.75). One compares the evolution of $b_0[R_2]$ and $b_n[P_2]$ coefficients with $|\Delta \eta|$ in more detail. The coefficients $b_1[R_2]$ measured in all three multiplicity classes, shown in Fig. 29(a), exhibit a monotonic dependence on $|\Delta \eta|$, decreasing from positive values at $|\Delta \eta| = 0.2$ to negative values at $|\Delta \eta| = 1.9$, and crossing the axis (zero amplitude) at $|\Delta \eta| = 1.0$. The positive values at $|\Delta \eta| \leq 0.9$ are determined by the presence of the strong near-side peak, whereas negative values observed at large $|\Delta \eta|$ likely result from momentum-conservation effects. The coefficients $b_1[P_2]$, shown in Fig. 30(a), exhibit similar monotonic trends as the $b_1[R_2]$ coefficients, with positive and negative values at short and large $|\Delta \eta|$ ranges, respectively, but their $|\Delta \eta|$ dependence crosses the axis and thus appear to vanish at approximately $|\Delta \eta| = 0.6$ rather than at the larger value $|\Delta \eta| = 1.0$ observed in the case of the $R_2$ correlations. The lower crossing point, $|\Delta \eta| = 0.6$, evidently results from the much narrower near-side peaks observed in $P_2$ correlations relative to those found in the $R_2$ distributions.

One next considers graphs of $b_n$, $n \geq 2$, shown in Figs. 29(b)–29(d) and 30(b)–30(d), extracted from $R_2^{(C)}$ and $P_2^{(C)}$ distributions. One finds that similarly to $b_1$ coefficients, the $b_n[R_2]$ and $b_n[P_2]$ coefficients all exhibit decreasing monotonic trends with increasing $|\Delta \eta|$. However, these coefficients remain positive in all three multiplicity classes and at all values of $|\Delta \eta|$, except for a few negative values of the $b_3$ and $b_4$ coefficients observed at large $|\Delta \eta|$, which given their statistical accuracy are consistent with positive values. One notes, additionally, that the magnitude of the $b_n[R_2]$ coefficients decreases much slower with increasing $|\Delta \eta|$ than the amplitude of the $b_n[P_2]$ coefficients. Indeed, the $b_3[R_2]$ coefficients appear to drop to a minimum value at $|\Delta \eta| = 1.5 - 1.6$ while $b_3[P_2]$ clearly reaches a plateau near $|\Delta \eta| = 0.6 - 0.7$. The third-order coefficients exhibit similar behavior, albeit, asymptotically reaching much smaller values. The coefficient...
FIG. 30. Fourier coefficients, $b_n$, $n = 1, \ldots, 4$, extracted from $P_{2}^{(CI)}$ correlation functions measured in $p$-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV using three multiplicity classes. Vertical bars and shaded areas represent statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively.

$b_3[P_2]$ clearly plateaus beyond $|\Delta \eta| = 0.6$–0.7 while $b_3[R_2]$ is not clearly plateaued at $|\Delta \eta| = 1.8$. Similar trends are qualitatively observed with the $b_4$ coefficients within statistical uncertainties.

Overall, one finds that the $|\Delta \eta|$ dependence of the $b_n$ coefficients extracted in $p$-Pb collisions for $R_2$ and $P_2$ correlation functions is rather similar to the evolution of the $v_n$ coefficients with $|\Delta \eta|$ observed in Pb-Pb collisions. Both sets of coefficients feature large values at small pair separations, decrease for increasing $|\Delta \eta|$, and tend to plateau at approximately $|\Delta \eta| \sim 0.6$–0.7 in $P_2$ and $|\Delta \eta| \sim 1.5$ in $R_2$. The nonflow component associated with the near-side peak is thus found to be suppressed in the case of $P_2$ for pair separations $0.7 < |\Delta \eta| < 1.5$, implying that $\Delta \rho_T \Delta \rho_T$ averages to zero in that range. It is worth emphasizing, also, that $b_3$ remains constant and nonvanishing in both $R_2$ and $P_2$ beyond $|\Delta \eta| \sim 1.5$ and $|\Delta \eta| \sim 0.7$, respectively, thereby supporting the notion that collective behavior might be present in $p$-Pb collisions [115–120]. Unfortunately, the measurements presented in this work do not provide sufficient accuracy on $b_3$ and $b_4$ to establish whether significant triangular and quadrangular flow components are present in high-multiplicity $p$-Pb collisions.

One further explores the long-range behavior of the $R_2$ and $P_2$ correlation functions by comparing the Fourier coefficients’ $|\Delta \eta|$ dependence of LS and US correlations presented in Figs. 31 and 32, respectively. The presentation is limited to the 0%–20% multiplicity class but we verified that correlations from lower multiplicity exhibit a similar behavior as that shown. One observes that the coefficients obtained from US distributions, most particularly $b_1$ and $b_2$, are significantly larger than those extracted from LS distributions for rapidity difference smaller than $|\Delta \eta| \sim 1.5$, as evidently expected from the prominence of the near-side US peaks observed in both $R_2$ and $P_2$ relative to the much smaller near-side structure encountered in LS distributions. One notes, however, that US and LS $R_2$ coefficients converge to essentially equal values at $|\Delta \eta| > 1.5$ and thus provide an indication that the correlation dynamics is charge agnostic at large relative pseudorapidities; a result also readily obvious from the $R_2^{(CD)}$ presented in Fig. 9. It is worth additionally noting that the
FIG. 31. Fourier coefficient, $b_n$, $n = 1, \ldots, 4$, measured in $R_2$ in 0%–20% multiplicity class in $p$-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV. Vertical bars and shaded areas represent statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively.

VII. DISCUSSION

A. Charge insensitive nonflow contributions at large $|\Delta \eta|$

Fourier decomposition analyses of $R_2$ and $P_2$ correlation functions measured in Pb-Pb collisions, shown in Figs. 26–28, reveal that beyond $|\Delta \eta| \sim 0.9$, the coefficients $v_2$, $v_3$, and $v_4$ obtained with LS and US pairs are identical within measurement uncertainties. This is confirmed also by the inspection of CD correlations, shown in Figs. 8 and 9, which exhibit nearly vanishing amplitude in mid-to-central collisions beyond $|\Delta \eta| \sim 1.4$ and on the away side, i.e., at $\Delta \phi \sim \pi$. One can then consider a two-component model of these correlations consisting of a near-side component determined chiefly by charge-dependent particle production processes [such as resonance decays, $(+,-)$ pair creation in jets or via string hadronization, etc.] and a long-range component essentially insensitive to particle charges. In mid-to-central Pb-Pb collisions, this long-range component is attributed to collective flow resulting in part from spatial anisotropy of the system and energy density and/or pressure gradients. However, the possibility of a long-range nonflow contribution, i.e., noncollective in nature, cannot be eliminated. Indeed, long-range and charge-insensitive nonflow contributions may in part arise from back-to-back jets, but they may also result from a superposition of long-range particle correlations arising in simpler collision systems such as $pp$ and $p$-Pb. The $R_2^{(CD)}$ and $P_2^{(CD)}$ distributions shown in Fig. 9 reveal that two-particle correlations in $p$-Pb collisions also feature nearly
vanishing correlation amplitude at large $|\Delta \eta|$ and on the away side of these correlation functions. Recall from Sec. VI E that the Fourier decompositions of $R_2$ and $P_2$ correlation functions of LS and US pairs feature essentially identical harmonic coefficients $b_n$ for $n = 2, 3,$ and 4 at large $|\Delta \eta|$. Correlations in $p$-Pb collisions can then, at least approximately, be considered as a superposition of short-range correlations leading to the production of the near-side peak observed in these correlations and a long-range component insensitive to the charge of particles. It is unclear whether this long-range component reflects the production of a flowing medium in $p$-Pb collisions or whether it arises from noncollective particle production and transport. It is nonetheless of interest to consider how such a component would scale in Pb-Pb collisions if nucleon-nucleon (or parton-parton) interactions taking place in these collisions were completely independent of one another and in the absence of rescattering of the particles these interactions produce. Indeed, assuming Pb-Pb collisions are such a trivial superposition of $p$-Pb collisions, the long-range component of these $p$-Pb collisions can be considered, for practical intents, as a nonflow contribution to the correlation measured in Pb-Pb. One can then use a basic property of cumulants to determine an upper bound on nonflow effects in Pb-Pb arising from a superposition of $p$-Pb subprocesses. The normalized cumulants $R_2$ and $P_2$ scale inversely with the number of identical subprocesses. The nonflow contributions to the $v_n$ coefficients should then be of the order of $\sqrt{b_n/\sqrt{m}}$, where $m$ is the average number of wounded nucleons encountered at a given collision centrality in Pb-Pb collisions. Let us thus consider, as an example, a simple evaluation of an upper limit of contributions to elliptical flow measured in Pb-Pb based on the long-range values of $b_2$ in $p$-Pb. In $p$-Pb collisions, one finds $b_2 \sim 0.004$ at $|\Delta \eta| > 1.5$. Assuming that, on average, a central Pb-Pb collision is equivalent to approximately 200 $p$-Pb collisions, the nonflow contribution to long-range $v_2$ values is thus of the order of $\sqrt{0.004/200} = 0.0045$. The measured $v_2$ for LS and US pairs in 0%–5% collision centralities amounts to $v_2 = 0.027$. Considering that...
This “nonflow” contribution adds in quadrature with the flow term in Pb-Pb, one concludes that nonflow contributions are of the order of ~1.5% of the observed $v_2$ in this centrality. This conclusion is in qualitative agreement with assessments of nonflow contributions obtained from other methods [87].

B. Charge sensitive nonflow contributions at small $|\Delta \eta|$  

The two-component model invoked in the previous section to separate the near-side short-range correlation peaks and the long-range correlations observed in this work has been commonly used in other works to subtract the long-range component as a background, and to study the features of the near-side peak. However, the near-side peaks observed in $R_2$ and $P_2$ exhibit rather different properties and one may then wonder whether a two-component model is actually appropriate. Indeed, one finds that the near-side peaks observed in $P_2$ correlations, in both Pb-Pb and $p$-Pb collisions, cover a different $|\Delta \eta|$ range than the peaks observed in $R_2$ distributions. Accordingly, one finds that the LS and US $v_0$ and $b_2$ coefficients measured in Pb-Pb and $p$-Pb collisions, respectively, reach a plateau at much smaller $|\Delta \eta|$ in $P_2$ distributions than in $R_2$ distributions. This is rather remarkable given that both observables are proportional, effectively, to integrals over the 0.2 to 2.0 GeV/c momentum range of the two-particle density $p_2(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2)$, albeit with different coefficients (unity for $R_2$ and $\Delta p_T \Delta p_T$ for $P_2$). One would thus expect the two correlation observables to feature similar near-side structures and dependence on $|\Delta \eta|$. The observed difference between the shapes, not just the strengths, must then arise from the dependence of $P_2$ on $\Delta p_T \Delta p_T$. In fact, given that this coefficient is not positive definite, correlated pairs may yield either positive or negative contributions to $P_2$. The narrower peak observed in $P_2$ implies that pairs in the range $0.5 < |\Delta \eta| < 0.9$, where $P_2$ is suppressed relative to $R_2$, and receives, on average, vanishing contributions from the $\Delta p_T \Delta p_T$ coefficient, while the range $|\Delta \eta| < 0.5$ is positive definite on average. Conceivably, the near-side might itself consist of two components: one “regular” component with nonvanishing $\langle \Delta \rho \Delta \rho \rangle$ present in both $R_2$ and $P_2$, and one component with vanishing $\langle \Delta \rho \Delta \rho \rangle$ contributing only to $R_2$. However, it is difficult to identify particle-production processes that might feature such properties. It is possible, on the other hand, that certain processes might feature vanishing $\langle \Delta \rho \Delta \rho \rangle$ over a limited range of phase space. Consider, for instance, the decay of resonances such as the $\rho^0$-meson into a pair of $\pi^+ \pi^-$ mesons. In-flight decays of $\rho^0$-mesons produce kinematically focused $\pi^+ \pi^-$ pairs, which are detected at small relative angles $\langle \Delta \phi \rangle$ and $\langle \Delta \eta \rangle$ in the laboratory frame. Correlated pions from such decays could feature positive or negative values of $\Delta p_T \Delta p_T$ depending on the orientation of the decay relative to the direction of their parent $\rho^0$ meson. Likewise, particles composing jets might also contribute differentially, with $|\Delta \eta|$, to $P_2$. The core of jets (particles emitted at small angles relative to the jet axis) typically involve large momenta, i.e., particles with momenta well in excess of the inclusive average $\langle p_T \rangle$. They would thus make a strong positive contribution to $P_2$. Particles emitted at large angles, relative to the jet axis, typically feature lower momenta. They might then contribute equally negative and positive terms to $\Delta p_T \Delta p_T$ and thus yield a vanishing average. Particles of the jet outer edges would evidently have positive contributions to $R_2$ and thus produce a near-side peak characteristic of the width of jets but their vanishing $\Delta p_T \Delta p_T$ average might effectively produce a narrower peak in $P_2$ relative to that observed in $R_2$.

One can speculate further about the role of jets in near-side correlations based on the $R_2^{(CD)}$ and $P_2^{(CD)}$ distributions shown in Figs. 8 and 9. In Pb-Pb collisions, the observed longitudinal narrowing of $R_2^{(CD)}$ distributions with increasing collision centrality may be interpreted as evidence, in part, for strong radial flow and two-stage particle emission. Indeed, correlated particles emitted from a radially boosted source are kinematically focused, i.e., emitted at smaller relative rapidity. Similarly, late-stage particle emission, after the system has cooled down, may also produce particles with smaller relative rapidity. The $R_2^{(CD)}$ correlation function is thus expected to narrow considerably under the combination of the two effects. Careful modeling of the correlation functions shall be required, however, to interpret the observed narrowing of $R_2^{(CD)}$ and disentangle the relative contributions of radial flow and late-stage emission.

Additionally, in light of the narrower width of $P_2^{(CI)}$ distributions relative to those of $R_2^{(CI)}$ and the role of jet-like contributions in these correlation functions, as discussed above, one should also examine the role of jet-like contributions to $R_2^{(CD)}$ and $P_2^{(CD)}$ distributions. It is in fact interesting that the longitudinal width of $P_2^{(CD)}$ remains essentially independent of collision centrality, thereby hinting that it might be insensitive to effects associated with radial flow and two-stage particle production. A dominance of jet-like contributions to this correlation could then be used to study the impact of the medium on jets. That would likely require, however, a much larger dataset to reduce statistical uncertainties and enable more precise corrections for instrumental effects, which currently limit the precision of the measurement reported in this work.

VIII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

Measurements of two-particle differential number-correlation functions $R_2$ and transverse momentum correlation functions $P_2$ obtained in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV and in $p$-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV were presented. Measurements were reported as a function of collision centrality and multiplicity for these two collision systems, respectively, for charged particles in the range $|\eta| < 1.0$ and $0.2 < p_T < 2.0$ GeV/c. Measurements of correlation functions for like-sign (LS) and unlike-sign (US) particle pairs were first carried out separately and combined to obtain charge-independent (CI) and charge-dependent (CD) correlation functions. The $R_2$ and $P_2$ correlators exhibit similar features; most notably a relatively strong near-side peak centered at $|\Delta \eta| \sim \Delta \phi \sim 0$, and a weaker away-side ridge (at $\Delta \phi = \pi$) with a width larger than the $\eta$ acceptance (two units) in low-multiplicity event classes. Both correlation observables also exhibit strong harmonic modulations in mid-central-to-central Pb-Pb collisions. However, there are
also interesting and revealing differences. One finds, both in Pb-Pb and p-Pb collisions, that the near-side peak of \( P_2 \) is much narrower in \( |\Delta n| \) and \( \Delta \varphi \) than observed with \( R_2 \). One also observes, in the 5% most-central Pb-Pb collisions, that the away-side of \( P_2 \) features a dip structure at \( \Delta \varphi \sim \pi \), and sideband peaks at \( \Delta \varphi \sim \pi \pm \pi/3 \) extending across \( |\Delta n| < 2 \). Such a modulated structure is not present in the 5% most-central Pb-Pb collisions measured in this work for \( R_2 \) but was observed for number correlations, similar to \( R_2 \), only in very-central collisions (0%-2%), thereby indicating that \( P_2 \) is somewhat more sensitive to the presence of a third-harmonic (triangular) flow component.

The width of the near-side peak of the \( R_2 \) and \( P_2 \) charge-independent and charge-dependent correlation functions were studied in order to better understand the relative contributions of nonflow and flow effects to particle correlations. In Pb-Pb, the longitudinal width \( \langle \Delta n \rangle^{1/3} \) of both \( R_2^{(\text{CI})} \) and \( P_2^{(\text{CI})} \) exhibits sizable growth for increasing collision centrality. However, no significant dependence of the CI correlation widths was observed in p-Pb. In contrast, one finds that the width of \( R_2^{(\text{CD})} \) correlation functions significantly narrow with increasing collision centrality in Pb-Pb, or produced-particle multiplicity in p-Pb, while only a modest decrease of the width of the near-side \( P_2^{(\text{CD})} \) peak could be ascertained within the current analysis. One furthermore observes that the \( \Delta \varphi \) width of the near-side peak of \( R_2^{(\text{CD})} \) exhibits a significant decrease with increasing produced-particle multiplicity in Pb-Pb. The decrease is more modest in p-Pb collisions for \( R_2^{(\text{CD})} \), while the observed azimuthal width of the near-side peak of \( P_2^{(\text{CD})} \) is consistent with a modest decrease with increasing multiplicity.

The narrowing of the near-side of \( R_2^{(\text{CD})} \) is consistent with the narrowing of the balance function already reported and can be interpreted, in part, as an effect of radial flow and two-stage hadronization. However, finite diffusion effects, which broaden the correlation functions, are also expected in long-lived collision systems. The observed broadening of \( R_2^{(\text{CI})} \) and \( P_2^{(\text{CI})} \), with increasing collision centrality in Pb-Pb collisions, might in part result from such diffusive effects, but other processes influencing the strength of long-range longitudinal correlations must be considered. The dependence of the \( \Delta \varphi \) width of the near-side peak of \( R_2^{(\text{CD})} \) and \( P_2^{(\text{CD})} \) were studied vs increasing pair separation in \( \Delta n \). They exhibit a nonmonotonic dependence on the pair separation, which might in part be caused by diffusion effects, although the role of differential radial flow may not be excluded without specific models of these effects. In fact, one anticipates that the observed centrality and pair-separation dependence of the width of the near-side peaks of \( R_2^{(\text{CD})} \) and \( P_2^{(\text{CD})} \) shall provide important constraints in the formulation of models of the collision dynamics, which might help to better constrain the contributions of radial flow, diffusion, and two-stage emission in Pb-Pb collisions, most particularly.

The need to better understand the roles of nonflow and flow also prompted the analysis in terms of \( |\Delta n| \) pair separation (\( \eta \) gap) dependent Fourier decompositions of the \( \Delta \varphi \) behavior of the \( R_2 \) and \( P_2 \) correlation functions. Significant differences in the dependence of the harmonic and flow coefficients between the correlator \( R_2 \) and \( P_2 \) were found, owing to the fact, most likely, that the measured \( P_2 \) correlation functions feature a much narrower near-side peak than their corresponding \( R_2 \) counterparts. Indeed, one observes that the \( h_n \) coefficients measured in \( P_2 \) correlations reach a plateau at much smaller pair separation than those observed in \( R_2 \) correlations. These differences indicate that the \( R_2 \) and \( P_2 \) correlation functions exhibit distinct sensitivities to flow and nonflow effects and could then be exploited, in theoretical models, to obtain better insight into particle-production and transport dynamics in heavy-ion collisions. Long-range nonflow effects may also exist, however, and the magnitude of the \( h_2 \) coefficients observed at large pair separation in p-Pb collisions was used to obtain an upper limit of 1.5% for nonflow contributions to \( v_2 \) in the 5% most-central Pb-Pb collisions.
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