Abstract: Chironomids show a wide distribution and can occupy several habitats due to their high adaptive capacity in different freshwater environments. The genus Polypedilum is found along a wide elevational and environmental gradient in the neotropics, and its genetic variability could help to elucidate factors determining its distribution and tolerance to the environmental changes of different species or populations. This study examines the genetic variability of Polypedilum in an important biogeographic area that acts as a geographical barrier of biodiversity at the border of the Choco and Tumbes biomes. We identified five Polypedilum morphotypes using classic taxonomic methods. We examined 68 Polypedilum individuals from eight sampling sites in El Oro Province, Ecuador, analyzing the putative molecular species using the cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 (CO1) mitochondrial gene fragment. Then, we calculated molecular diversity indices, Haplotype diversity (Hd), and θπ and θst estimators. Seven Polypedilum OTUs were determined from which a high molecular diversity was registered. A CCA was conducted to understand the population composition in relation to environmental characteristics. Results indicated that dissolved oxygen and temperature are the main environmental factors affecting Polypedilum distribution across elevational gradients and between basins.
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1. Introduction

Chironomids are vastly distributed around the world, having developed high resistance and resilience to diverse environmental stressors [1]. They are considered pioneer organisms that colonize aquatic ecosystems after natural or anthropogenic stress periods [2]. Natural disturbances such as hydrologic and discharge intensity changes, seasonality, and habitat heterogeneity can affect the distribution of chironomid community assemblages [3,4]. However, environmental quality is highlighted as one of the most important factors influencing chironomid composition and diversity [3,5]. Additionally, other biotic factors in combination with geographical isolation, physical barriers, and distinct environmental conditions can influence changes in riverine populations, limiting their dispersal capacity [6,7].

Elevation, out of all the physical barriers, has been emphasized as a determinant factor in chironomid community composition changes [5]. For instance, some chironomid clades such as Orthocladiinae, Podonominae and Diamesinae are dominant in highland Andean rivers, while others, like the Chironominae and Tanypodinae clades, are more predominant in lowland rivers [3,5,8]. However, genus distribution is also related to additional factors like environmental conditions, geographical location, and life history. Barbadocladius (Chironomidae, Orthocladiinae), mainly distributed in coldwater rivers in Central and Southern Andes, has been studied across a latitudinal gradient in the Andes [9]. These studies highlighted the importance of elevation as a geographic barrier, along with temperature and distance as important features. Nonetheless, several genera are widely distributed throughout an elevational range, such as Cricopotus, Parametriocnemus, Chironomus, Rheotanytarsus, Polypedilum. These genera’s distribution is determined by a high adaptation capacity or tolerance to environmental changes across elevation and local environmental characteristics, but it remains unclear which environmental filters act as distribution drivers at a species-level.

Polypedilum is vastly distributed worldwide and includes more than 500 described species [10]. It is mostly distributed in neotropical rivers from the lowlands [5] to Andean highlands [3,8]. Polypedilum tolerates moderately polluted waters, making it a biological indicator of environments with moderate organic matter concentrations and reduced dissolved oxygen levels [11,12]. However, there is a taxonomic and ecological knowledge gap for this genus. Factors such as taxonomic complexity and a high number of cryptic species can make genus-level identification difficult at larval stages [10,13]. Also, in neotropical species where chironomid systematics are not well developed, it is even more difficult to address ecological questions at species level.

To overcome species-level morphological identification problems, DNA barcoding has been extensively applied as a tool in taxonomy and ecology to study biodiversity and make species-level identifications feasible [14,15]. In this study, we used taxonomic and molecular characteristics, and environmental factors, to understand Polypedilum distribution and responses to environmental variations. Different approaches were used for DNA barcode sequence analysis, creating genetic clusters known as operational taxonomic units (OTUs) and putative species [16,17]. Distance-based approaches such as the Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery (ABGD) [18], and tree-based approaches like the multi-rate Poisson tree process (mPTP) [19] are commonly used in barcoding studies and have been useful in Polypedilum species identification [13,15].

This study pioneers the use of DNA barcoding in tandem with different environmental conditions to examine Polypedilum diversity, never used before in any other Chironomid study in Ecuador, or in the ecology of El Oro Province, Ecuador. Our study site was located at the beginning of the Huancabamba depression, which is an important biogeographical barrier between Northern and Central Andes. We examined putative molecular species using the cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 (CO1) mitochondrial gene fragment as the barcoding region. Our aim was to understand distribution drivers of Polypedilum OTUs across an elevational gradient in El Oro Province. We hypothesized that a local environmental characteristic, like temperature, can explain genetic variability. On the other hand, distance
can act as a biogeographical barrier for all species in this study, because in general Chironomids do not fly long distances. Therefore, distance can limit the distribution ranges to either high or low elevation zones and basins, and we hypothesized that genetic difference would increase as distance increases.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area and Specimen Collection

The present study was conducted at eight sampling sites located in El Oro Province in southwestern Ecuador. The site number is based on three elevational bands: three sites are located below 500 masl (EOP049, EOP050 and EOP005); two sites are between 501 and 1000 m a.s.l. (EOP020 and EOP001); and three sites are located above 1000 m a.s.l. (EOP003, EOP004, EOP017). These sites are located within four different basins: Arenillas (B1), Puyango (B2), Jubones (B3) and Siete River (B4) (Figure 1, site codes are included in the figure legend). These basins are characterized by three vegetation types: montane forest, foothill forest and lowland dry forest [5].

Several physicochemical variables were measured on site, including dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, conductivity, total dissolved solids (TDS), and temperature. We used a multiparameter HACH 5465060 (Loveland, CO, USA) to measure these environmental variables. We collected nine Surber samples (0.11 m² each, 1 m² total per site) per site using a 280 microns mesh size. Samples were fixed in 96% alcohol for DNA preservation.

We identified Chironomids to genus-level and separated them into morphotypes. For this, heads were slide-mounted using the Epler’s method [20], and these preparations were used to confirm morphological identification using specialized bibliography for the Polypedilum genus [20–24]. The remaining sample fragments were preserved in 96% alcohol for genetic analysis. Only third and fourth instar larvae were taxonomically determined to be able to include all the taxonomical characteristics (HC: Head capsule; AS: Antennal

Figure 1. Study sites: (a) sites in relation to South America; (b) site distribution in Ecuador; and (c) study sites in El Oro Province. B1: Arenillas basin (EOP003, EOP004, EOP005); B2: Puyango basin (EOP001, EOP017, EOP020); B3: Jubones basin (EOP049); B4: Siete river basin (EOP050).
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2.3. DNA Extraction and PCR Amplification

Micropestles were used to homogenize the tissue, and DNA extraction was carried out, following the CTAB protocol (Hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide) with a Phenol-chloroform purification [25]. DNA was eluted in 40 µL, and DNA quantity and quality were measured with a NanoDrop2000 (Thermo Scientific™, Waltham, MA, USA). Then, electrophoresis was performed. An initial PCR was performed using 2 µL of DNA and the LCO1490/HCO2198 (0.2 µM) primers [26] with a total volume of 15 µL. An annealing temperature of 45 °C was used and 45 amplification cycles were performed on this reaction. Then, a nested PCR was carried out using as template 5 µL of a 1:100 dilution of the initial PCR and the MT6/NANCY primers (0.2 µM) [27]. An annealing temperature of 45 °C was used, and 32 amplification cycles were performed. PCR amplification was confirmed by agarose electrophoresis and directly sequenced at UDLA research laboratory.

DNA isolation and PCR analysis were performed for at least 15 specimens per sampling site. Nevertheless, the number of sequences obtained was lower. Sequences were edited and aligned with MEGA X software [28–30]. Sequences were translated into amino acids to check for the absence of insertions, deletions or stop codons. Sequences were deposited in GenBank under accession number MW021054–MW021121. The code sequences indicate river basin, sampling station and specimen number.

2.4. Species Delimitation and Phylogenetic Reconstruction

To delimitate potential species (operational taxonomic units: OTUs) within the currently recognized Polypedilum larvae, we used two different approaches: Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery (ABGD) method and multi-rate Poisson tree process (mPTP). We added mtCOI Polypedilum sequences from Chile (BOLD:ACY5605; ACY5280; ACY5279; ACY5313; ACY6050) and Colombia (MN366023–MN366028) to the available alignment. The ABGD method clustered sequences into hypothetical species named OTU (Operational Taxonomic Unit). This grouping was based on differences between intra and interspecific distance variation [18]. We applied the K2P and JC69 models with a relative width of one and maximum p value (the prior maximum divergence of intraspecific diversity) of 0.25, using the online ABGD server (https://bioinfo.mnhn.fr/abi/public/abgd/, accessed on 6 August 2021).

The multi-rate Poisson tree process (mPTP) is a phylogeny-aware approach [19]. The PTP analysis used a rooted phylogenetic input tree constructed with raxmlGUI version 2.0, using 500 replicates and the GTR + G + I nucleotide substitution model [31]. The mPTP analysis was implemented into the webserver (http://mptp.h-its.org/, accessed on 6 August 2021), using a multi-rate Poisson tree process model and following default settings.

In addition to species identification, phylogenetic relationships were examined using the MEGA X software [30] and a Maximum likelihood inference with Tamura-Nei model according to the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). Barbadocladius sp. (KF386118.1) was used as outgroup.

Pairwise distances were calculated under a Kimura-2 parameter model [32] in MEGA X. Arlequin 3.5 [33] was used to calculate molecular diversity indices, Haplotype diversity (Hd), and θs and θπ estimators.

2.5. Environmental Data Analysis of El Oro Rivers as Drivers of Polypedilum Assemblage Structure

To address the environmental variability within the studied sites, we used the measured physicochemical parameters in a Principal Component Analysis (PCA). First, we conducted a correlation analysis between variables, to find a correlation between elevation and temperature and between conductivity and TDS values. Elevation and TDS were
removed from the analysis because of their collinearity with temperature and conductivity, respectively. This analysis reduced the dimensionality of multiple variables measured in rivers and allowed for pattern understanding among environmental variables [34]. Additionally, we overlapped a cluster analysis with a cluster of Euclidean distances similarity to show site groupings based on environmental variability. These analyses were carried out using Primer 6 software [35]. A Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) using CANOCO (4.5, Microcomputer Power, Ithaca, NY, USA) was performed to explore the influence of environmental characteristics on Polypedilum OTUs assemblages [36]. This analysis arranges the ecological optimum along canonical axes using the physicochemical variables [37].

3. Results

3.1. Environmental Characteristics of El Oro Watersheds

All rivers in El Oro province have shown high environmental variability, especially in conductivity measurements, showing a high variability in the Arenillas river basin ($X = 99.45$ µS/cm) and a very low in the Siete river basin (31 µS/cm). There was a low pH and temperature variation among sites. The Arenillas river had the highest pH ($X = 7.72$) specially in EOP004 (Table 1). The dissolved oxygen (DO) content was similar among all sites except for EOP017, which presented the lowest value (Table 1).

Table 1. Environmental characteristics of the studied sites.

| Code  | Basin  | pH   | Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L) | Conductivity (µS/cm) | Temperature (°C) | Altitude (m.a.s.l.) |
|-------|--------|------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| EOP003| Arenilla| 7.8  | 11.69                   | 92.6                 | 20.8             | 1260                |
| EOP004| Arenilla| 8.2  | 14.69                   | 92.6                 | 20.8             | 1015                |
| EOP005| Arenilla| 7.16 | 18.76                   | 113.2                | 24.3             | 252                 |
| EOP001| Puyango| 7.2  | 12.69                   | 92.6                 | 20.8             | 529                 |
| EOP017| Puyango| 6.75 | 8.76                    | 31.8                 | 20               | 1293                |
| EOP020| Puyango| 7.37 | 10.98                   | 58.1                 | 22.8             | 991                 |
| EOP049| Jubones| 7.1  | 11.52                   | 53.4                 | 23.2             | 121                 |
| EOP050| Siete  | 6.66 | 14.61                   | 31                   | 21.7             | 205                 |

Our PCA showed that main variables explaining the differences among sites for the X axis (52.6% of variance explained) were elevation (0.501), dissolved oxygen (−0.576) and temperature (−0.544), and for the Y axis (33.3% of variance explained) were pH (−0.685) and conductivity (−0.572). The two axes explained 85.8% of the accumulated variation.

The cluster analysis clearly defined two groups in relation to the environmental characteristics in the study sites. The first group included the EOP001, EOP003 and EOP004 sites, two sampling sites located above 1000 m.a.s.l. and one at 529 m. The second group consisted of EOP049, EOP050 and EOP020 were between 0 and 1000 m.a.s.l. (Figure 2), showing a strong response to temperature (and elevation). It is important to point out that the rivers that make up each group are geographically close. Two sites did not group with other localities, the first site showed a high DO concentration, the second site showed low DO and conductivity values.

3.2. El Oro Polypedilum Morphotypes

The morphological analysis clearly defined five Polypedilum morphotypes in El Oro sites. The characteristics that differentiated each morphotype were antennal ratio, mentum teeth configuration and paralabial plates (Table 2). The Polypedilum (s.s.) gr trigonus morphotype has a unique mentum tooth configuration with a shorter fourth tooth in relation to the third and fifth teeth (Table 2, Figure 3). In contrast, Polypedilum (s.s.) nubeculosum and Polypedilum (Tripodura) have a downward tooth configuration from the third to the fifth tooth (Table 2, Figure 3). The distinguishing characteristic between P. (s.s.) nubeculosum
and *P. (Tripodura)* is in antennal length. Another discriminating characteristic is that *P. (s.s.) nubeculosum* possesses a dark spot under the mentum (Figure 3). *Polypedilum* (s.s.) *sp1* show central teeth below the first mentum teeth (Figure 3). *Polypedilum* (*Urisipedilum*) shows paralabial plates with extended posterior lobes (Figure 3).

**Figure 2.** Principal Component Analysis (PCA) for environmental variables with overlaid average clustering from El Oro Province watersheds: B1: Arenillas, B2: Puyango, B3: Jubones, and B4: Siete River.

**Table 2.** El Oro's *Polypedilum* morphotypes measurements, mean (min-max). HC: Head capsule; AS: Antennal segment; MC: Mentum central teeth length; M1: Mentum first teeth length; PP: Paralabial plates. p-dist: Pairwise distance.

| Taxa                  | HC Width (min/max) | AS2/AS3-5 | LS3/AS3 | MC/M1 | M4/M3-5 | PP-distpost | PP Wid/Length | PP W/Intp | p-Dist  |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------|---------|--------|---------|-------------|---------------|------------|---------|
| *Polypedilum* (s.s.)  |                    |           |         |        |         |             |               |            |         |
| gr trigonus           | (309.79–349.82)    | 0.54      | >>      | 2.19   | 3 > 4 < 5 | No           | 2.28          | 2.14      | 0.0272952 |
| Polypedilum (s.s.)    | 313.93             | (0.43–0.72)| (1.98–2.67)| 1.43   | 3 > 4 > 5 | No           | (2.04–2.47)  | (2.00–2.26)|         |
| nubeculosum           | (240.41–388.73)    | 0.50      | >>      | (0.85–2.06)| 3 > 4 > 5 | No           | 2.40          | 2.30      | 0.0588167 |
| Polypedilum (s.s.)* sp1 | (301.20–550.14)  | 0.88      | >>      | 0.44   | 3 > 4 > 5 | No           | 2.29          | 2.45      | 0.0963929 |
| Polypedilum (Tripodura)| 209.24             | 0.82      | <<      | 1.79   | 3 > 4 > 5 | No           | (2.32–2.60)  | (3.97–5.13)| 0.0067183 |
| *Tripodura*            | (176.79–240.28)    | 1.79      |         | 1.76   | 3 > 4 > 5 | Si           | 1.44          | 1.55      | 0.1136894 |
| Polypedilum (s.s.)* sp1 | 329.80             | 1.26      | >>      | (1.52–1.90)| 3 > 4 > 5 | Si           | (1.28–1.58)  | (1.48–1.64)|         |
| sp Colombia            | (299.09–380.44)    | 1.13–1.34 |         |        |         |             |               |            | 0       |
| Polypedilum sp Chile   |                    |           |         |        |         |             |               |            | 0       |
| sp3 Chile              |                    |           |         |        |         |             |               |            | 0.0382833|
| sp1 Chile              |                    |           |         |        |         |             |               |            | 0.0393383|

3.3. Species Delimitation and Phylogenetic Analysis

We obtained a 474-bp-long fragment from the mtCO1 gene from 68 *Polypedilum* sp. specimens in eight sampling stations in El Oro Province (Figure 1). Sequence comparisons against databases indicated an identity match of 85% to 90% with previously entered *Polypedilum* sequences. Most of the closest matches in the databases belong to specimens unclassified at species level. We also included nine *Polypedilum* sp sequences for outgroup
comparisons. Two *Polypedilum* sequences are from Llanquihue and Petruhue in Chile and seven are from Colombia.

The ABGD method produced the same results for the JC69 and K2P models. The frequency histogram of pairwise distances indicated a clear barcode gap between 0.01 and 0.12 (Figure 4A). This method produced one initial partition and 12 OTUs ($p = 0.01$ to $0.077$), while the recursive partition generated from 25 ($p = 0.005$) to 13 ($p = 0.044$) OTUs (Figure 4B). According to the initial partition results, specimens from El Oro were grouped into seven OTUs that could be assigned to putative species. Sequences from three sampling stations located in the Chinchiná river basin in Colombia made up one species [38] and a single OTU. Sequences from the two sites in Chile were grouped into two OTUs, while recursive partitioning analysis ($p = 0.044$) yielded three separate groups. However, in the BOLD platform they were divided into four different species. Thus, DNA barcode clusters exhibited exclusive distributions, indicating some geographical influence in grouping.

The phylogenetic analysis supported the groups inferred from the ABGD method. The mPTP model produced a total of 11 clusters. In the mPTP (Figure S1) and ML analyses (Figure 5), the specimens grouped in each OTU formed a well-supported monophyletic clade except for specimen p32 that was clustered in the OTU1 clade. Nevertheless, some distant geographical populations formed nested clades. For example, Llanquihue’s (Chile) OTU with El Oro’s OTUs 3 and 5 were grouped in the same clade. DNA barcode clusters from Chile showed a high genetic dissimilarity among specimens. Chilean specimens were divided into four species in the BOLD database.

Nevertheless, there were some inconsistencies between the taxonomic study and the OTUs defined in the phylogenetic analysis of *Polypedilum* of El Oro. The specimens assigned to *Polypedilum* (s.s.) *gr trigonus* and *P. (Tripudura)* belong to different OTUs, and each one showed inconsistencies between the two approaches of identification. While the
sequences of the specimens assigned to the rest of morphotypes are grouped in the same OTU, *P. nubeculosum* is grouped in OTU 1 with *P. sp 1* and *P. (Urisipedillum)* sequences. Even some individuals assigned to sp1 and *P. (Urisipedillum)* would correspond to different putative species (OTU) according to DNA barcoding analysis. The pairwise distance within sequences of the same *Polypedilum* morphotype ranged from 0.007 (P. (Tripudura)) to 0.11 (*P. (Urisipedillum)*) while that of *Polypedilum* (s.s.) sp1 and *Polypedilum* (Urisipedillum) showed the highest distances (Table 2).
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**Figure 4.** Results generated from ABGD method: (A) pairwise Jukes Cantor distances histogram. The horizontal axis shows the pairwise JC-distance, and the vertical axis shows the number of pairwise sequence comparisons; (B) number of OTUs with prior intraspecific divergence based on DNA barcodes of *Polypedilum* specimens from Ecuador, Colombia and Chile.
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**Figure 5.** Maximum likelihood tree of *Polypedilum* specimens based on partial COI sequences and the Tamura-Nei model. *Barbadochilus* sp. appears as an outgroup. Branch numbers are 500 replicate bootstrap support (>50%); scaling represents K2P genetic distance; distinct colors represent different OTUs.
We considered seven OTUs from El Oro that had an intraspecific divergence ranging from 0.4% to 5% for further analysis (Table 3). These OTUs had a dissimilarity ranging from 17% to 30%. These results demonstrated a high Polypedilum species diversity (based on the number of OTUs) in El Oro Province. The species separation analysis indicated three abundant Polypedilum putative species that included OTUs 1, 3 and 5. Specimens from four river basins in El Oro were included in OTU1, making it the most abundant and widespread OTU. Specimens from Arrenillas and Puyango basins were grouped into OTU3 and OTU4, respectively, while individuals from the Puyango and Siete basins were included in OTU5. OTU2 and 7 had only one to three individuals collected from a single river basin (Figure 6). It is important to highlight that EOP003, EOP004 and EOP017 sites are located between 1015 and 1293 masl, while the other sites are located below 991 m.a.s.l.

Table 3. Mean distances calculated using Kimura 2 parameter model within each OTU and morphotype. N: number of specimens in each group; S.E: standard error. n/c: not calculated.

| OTUs | N  | Mean Distance | S.E.  |
|------|----|---------------|-------|
| OTU1 | 29 | 0.048         | 0.005 |
| OTU2 | 3  | 0.015         | 0.005 |
| OTU3 | 10 | 0.006         | 0.002 |
| OTU4 | 5  | 0.043         | 0.008 |
| OTU5 | 18 | 0.029         | 0.004 |
| OTU6 | 1  | n/c           | n/c   |
| OTU7 | 2  | 0.0042        | 0.003 |

3.4. Environmental Characteristics and Polypedilum OTUs Population Composition

Individuals from OTU 1, 2 and 3 were found at higher elevations, except for the EOP049 site specimens, that were found at a lower altitude (grouped in OUT 1). In contrast, individuals from OTU 5 and 7 were all found at a lower elevation, high DO concentrations.
and warmer water temperatures (Figure 7). Individuals from the EOP005 locality were grouped into OTU4 and their grouping appeared to not be influenced by any of the environmental variables measured. The OTU6 group, with only one specimen, was not used in the analysis (Figure 7).
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**Figure 7.** Canonical correspondence analysis of environmental variables and *Polypedilum* OTU abundance at el Oro Province, Ecuador (Elev: elevation, Cond: conductivity, pH: hydrogen potential, DO: dissolved oxygen): possible species determined with the ABGD analysis. B1: Arenillas river basin, B2: Puyango river basin, B3: Jubones river basin, and B4: Siete River river basin.

### 3.5. *Polypedilum* Genus Diversity Indices

We identified 228 polymorphic sites and 56 haplotypes with an overall gene diversity (Hd) of 0.998 and nucleotide diversity of 0.122. All populations were genetically diverse with a nucleotide diversity between 0.042 and 0.123 (Table 4). Specimens from the E0P049 site showed the lowest nucleotide diversity and molecular diversity estimator values among all El Oro sites. In contrast, specimens from the E0P017 site had the highest molecular diversity index values and lowest number of sequenced individuals.

| Sampling Site | Number of Sequences | Number of Segregating Site (S) | Number of Haplotype (h) | Haplotype Diversity (Hd) | Nucleotide Diversity (Pi) | Theta_S | s.d. Theta_S | Theta_pi | s.d. Theta_pi |
|---------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------|-------------|----------|-------------|
| E0P001        | 5                   | 78                             | 4                      | 0.900                   | 0.095                    | 37.440  | 18.967      | 45.200   | 27.780      |
| E0P003        | 4                   | 64                             | 4                      | 1.000                   | 0.070                    | 34.909  | 19.100      | 33.167   | 22.067      |
| E0P004        | 12                  | 113                            | 9                      | 0.945                   | 0.050                    | 32.093  | 12.918      | 23.818   | 12.815      |
| E0P005        | 3                   | 32                             | 3                      | 1.000                   | 0.046                    | 21.333  | 13.103      | 21.667   | 16.591      |
| E0P017        | 6                   | 115                            | 6                      | 1.000                   | 0.123                    | 50.364  | 23.942      | 58.400   | 34.119      |
| E0P020        | 18                  | 141                            | 14                     | 0.961                   | 0.113                    | 40.994  | 14.469      | 53.673   | 27.235      |
| E0P049        | 10                  | 73                             | 9                      | 0.978                   | 0.042                    | 25.804  | 10.728      | 19.800   | 10.837      |
| E0P050        | 10                  | 138                            | 10                     | 1.000                   | 0.115                    | 48.781  | 19.960      | 54.622   | 29.235      |
4. Discussion

Molecular data can especially help in the species identification of immature specimens from poorly known groups and specimens with underdeveloped, morphologically important characteristics [39]. Several studies [13,15,40] have pointed out the need and utility of Polypedilum species determination, given the prevalence of cryptic species within the genus. As with many other studies [10,23,41], we found that DNA barcoding identification did not agree with morphological identification, as seen in genera like Cryptochironomus, Kiefferulus, and Polypedilum. In our study, some morphotypes of Polypedilum were not grouped into a corresponding OTU. It is important to consider that morphological identifications are complicated by underdeveloped characteristics in immature larvae. Even though the sampling design included 15 Polypedilum individuals at each site, not all the larvae were in the third or fourth instar. As a result, we could not compare the morphotype identification to the OTU assignment in the molecular analysis. This highlights the importance of developing taxonomic studies that include larval, pupal, and adult stages. Nevertheless, taxonomic work on larvae might show limitations to identify at species level so the use of morphotype or morphotaxa in these initial instars, supported by DNA barcoding analysis, might be the best way [42]. Thus, taxonomic studies are especially important for groups that are not well known as chironomids.

However, molecular differences seemed to be linked to altitude. In the Andes, altitude increase is mostly related to temperature decrease. Another important ecological factor was DO. A decrease in DO concentrations could be related to pollution. However, oxygen concentrations can change throughout the day and year, and may not exert as much influence on genetic variability as temperature. Although the influence of altitude on aquatic communities [43] and Chironomids [3] is well-known, it is important to understand the impact of altitude on little-known groups. Elevation may have a greater impact on organisms that are more widely distributed than Polypedilum. However, it is important to consider the influence of altitude on the genetic variability and putative species in future ecology and taxonomic works.

Other factors besides elevation may be important, including genetic drift and a short life cycle. Genetic drift can play an important role in speciation, especially if the adult dispersion is difficult due to geographical barriers [44]. Therefore, Polypedilum adults, like other aquatic macroinvertebrates [44], can produce up to 24 generations per year, which may be possible due to high temperatures and abundant trophic resources in this area.

Our results suggest that larval morphotypes may be influenced by local environmental characteristics and genetic drift. Polypedilum larvae are also very similar and it is difficult to make species-level identifications. In general, pupal exuviae and adults may provide more information than larvae. Additionally, DNA barcoding is needed to make accurate species identifications, especially in areas with scarce taxonomic information.

The ABGD and mPTP methodologies yielded similar results. The ABGD analysis identified eight putative species in El Oro sampling stations while the tree-based approach (mPTP) identified seven. Differences in molecular species determination could be due to collection size and the Chironomids instar limitation, and it is expected that a higher sample number would reveal more cryptic species in El Oro. We considered the phylogeny-based method, i.e., the mPTP method, a more accurate method for species identification. This method takes into account evolutionary relationships to barcode match without depending on threshold settings [19]. For some Dipteran species the threshold value of 4% was set based on previous studies [15,45,46]. The intraspecific differences found within larval morphotypes are greater than those found within OTUs. These differences are not equal to those previously estimated for Polypedilum. Song et al. (2018) determined a maximum intraspecific divergence of 10.8% for Polypedilum masudai (Tokunaga) and reported large intraspecific divergences in cryptic species.

In the Neotropics, environmental variability (climate and temperature) tends to be more stable than in higher latitudes [47]; however, in the Andes a variety of environmental factors that change with elevation become important diversity drivers [5,48]. The Andes
are comprised of narrow tropical environmental gradients, isolating organisms by their ecological plasticity [5,47]. Small elevational changes in the Andes result in big environmental changes, which influence diversification of cryptic species [49]. Our results suggest that there is a high diversity level within the Polypedilum genus, and it contains a high number of cryptic species.

Therefore, environmental characteristics in El Oro Province act as drivers of chironomid community diversity. In this case, the Tumbesine dry climate, the Choco humid Bioregion, and the Huancabamba depression act as geographical barriers and drive speciation [5,9,43]. Additionally, some studies suggest that elevation could act as a principal driver of high chironomid genus-level richness [3,5,8]. The Polypedilum genus is widely distributed from Southern to Northern Ecuador from highland streams and rivers to those at sea level. This genus diversity shows an association with environmental changes across an elevational gradient, where drivers such as local environment and natural history produce high species richness at lower elevations.

5. Conclusions

Polypedilum is a complex taxonomic genus influenced by local environmental factors, such as elevation and dispersal capacity within and between basins. The adaptation process to warmer areas makes Polypedilum very diverse in low altitude areas due to the high environmental variability, and results in a high number of cryptic species. This group, as well as other little-studied groups, should be prioritized in research and conservation programs. Thus, this study shows the taxonomy and molecular complexity of the genus. Polypedilum larvae in advanced instar stages have distinctive taxonomic characteristics, allowing for morphospecies separation. However, initial larval stages are difficult to classify, making molecular analysis interpretation more challenging.

These groups are valuable due to their functional and adaptive capacity. This group’s richness is underestimated, like that of other aquatic macroinvertebrate taxa. It is very important to develop taxonomic studies to further describe and identify new species, mainly of the less studied groups like chironomids. Our study clearly pointed out that molecular tools are fundamental in characterizing the real diversity of this group. These complementary studies (morphological and molecular) are of major importance, particularly in areas with unique biogeographic characteristics as our study area.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/insects13040382/s1, Figure S1: mPTP species delimitation output tree. Red lines indicate the groups considered as a single species.
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