AIG1 and ADTRP are endogenous hydrolases of fatty acid esters of hydroxy fatty acids (FAHFAs) in mice
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Abstract
Fatty acid esters of hydroxy fatty acids (FAHFAs) are a newly discovered class of signaling lipids with anti-inflammatory and anti-diabetic properties. However, the endogenous regulation of FAHFAs remains a pressing but unanswered question. Here, using MS-based FAHFA hydrolysis assays, LC-MS-based lipidomics analyses, and activity-based protein profiling, we found that androgen-induced gene 1 (AIG1) and androgen-dependent TFPI-regulating protein (ADTRP), two threonine hydrolases, control FAHFA levels in vivo in both genetic and pharmacologic mouse models. Tissues from mice lacking ADTRP (Adtrp-KO), or both AIG1 and ADTRP (DKO) had higher concentrations of FAHFAs particularly isomers with the ester bond at the 9th carbon due to decreased FAHFA hydrolysis activity. The levels of other lipids were unaltered indicating that AIG1 and ADTRP specifically hydrolyze FAHFAs. Complementing these genetic studies, we also identified a dual AIG1/ADTRP inhibitor, ABD-110207, that is active in vivo. Acute treatment of wild-type mice with ABD-110207 resulted in elevated FAHFA levels, further supporting the notion that AIG1 and ADTRP activity control endogenous FAHFA levels. However, loss of AIG1/ADTRP did not mimic the changes associated with pharmacologically administered FAHFAs on circulating and tissue FAHFA levels, glucose tolerance or insulin sensitivity in mice, indicating that therapeutic strategies should continue to focus on FAHFA administration. Together, these findings identify AIG1 and ADTRP as the first endogenous FAHFA hydrolases identified and provide critical genetic and chemical tools for further characterization of these enzymes and endogenous FAHFAs to unravel their physiological functions and roles in health and disease.
Introduction

Fatty acid esters of hydroxy fatty acids (FAHFAs) were first identified as a new lipid class upregulated in mice overexpressing the glucose transporter type 4 (GLUT4) in adipose tissue (AG4OX) (1). Paradoxically, AG4OX mice are obese but more glucose tolerant (2,3), suggesting that FAHFAs might confer protection observed in AG4OX mice from the metabolic deterioration associated with obesity (3). There are multiple FAHFA families that are distinguished by their fatty acid composition and ester regioisomers that vary by the position of the ester linkage between the two acyl chains (1) (e.g. palmitic acid ester of 9-hydroxystearic acid, 9-PAHSA, oleic acid ester of 12-hydroxystearic acid, 12-OAHSA) (Fig. S1). In humans, PAHSA levels correlate positively with insulin sensitivity, whereas insulin-resistant individuals have lower levels in subcutaneous white adipose tissue and serum (1). The association observed in mice and humans between endogenous FAHFA levels and regulation of systemic glucose homeostasis prompted analysis of the effects of FAHFAs in the context of metabolic disorders.

Pharmacological studies with two FAHFA isomers have shown that these lipids possess anti-diabetic and anti-inflammatory properties. Oral administration of synthetic 5- and 9-PAHSA improved glucose tolerance in chow and HFD-fed mice. The effect in chow-fed mice was ascribed to the ability of these FAHFAs to induce glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) release and to directly stimulate insulin secretion (1,4) while in HFD-fed mice, the effect resulted from increased insulin sensitivity (5). PAHSA treatment also decreased expression of inflammatory cytokines in adipose tissue macrophages that accompanies obesity (1). 5- and 9-PAHSA administration was also effective in decreasing islet inflammation in nonobese diabetic (NOD) mice, a type 1 diabetes mouse model (6). Further, co-administration of 5- and 9-PAHSA ameliorated disease severity in a mouse model of colitis (7), indicating that the anti-inflammatory activity of these PAHSAs extends beyond metabolic models. Additionally, cell-based assays have shown that 9-PAHSA treatment can decrease lipopolysaccharide-induced secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines in cultured dendritic cells and monocytes (1,8), supporting the hypothesis that the anti-inflammatory effects observed from FAHFA treatments likely result from their direct influence on immune cells. Since the initial discovery of FAHFAs, hundreds of additional FAHFAs have been identified in various organisms (8-11), and two additional FAHFAs, 13-docosahexaenoic acid hydroxy linoleic acid (13-DHAHLA) and 13-linoleic acid hydroxy linoleic acid (13-LAHLA), have been shown to exert anti-inflammatory effects in cells (8,12). Together, these studies have revealed FAHFAs as a structurally novel class of endogenous lipids with metabolically beneficial and anti-inflammatory properties. They have also suggested that pharmacological inhibition of the enzymes that degrade FAHFAs may constitute an attractive approach to treat metabolic and inflammatory disorders. Implementation of this strategy, however, must await description of the enzymes that synthesize and degrade FAHFAs in vivo.

Activity-based protein profiling (ABPP) provided the first clue that two poorly characterized genes, Androgen-induced gene 1 (Aig1) and Androgen-dependent TFPI-regulating protein (Adtrp), encoded enzymes that could be involved in FAHFA degradation (13) (Fig. S1B). ABPP measures the activity of enzymes in complex proteomes using activity-based chemical probes that covalently label the active but not inactive or inhibited forms of enzymes, subsequently labeling them with reporter tags such as biotin, fluorophores, or reactive functional groups (e.g., azides and alkynes) (14-16). One class of activity-based probes feature fluorophosphonate (FP) warheads that covalently label the majority of serine hydrolases (15), a large and diverse enzyme family that use an active site serine residue to hydrolyze a variety of substrates ranging from lipids to proteins (17). Enzymatic function had not been ascribed to ADTRP or AIG1 prior to recent studies, which demonstrated that these enzymes react with FP probes (13). This observation suggested that AIG1 and ADTRP possessed a functional nucleophilic residue similar to that of serine hydrolase enzymes. Interestingly, AIG1 and ADTRP lack catalytic serines; instead, their activity depends on a threonine nucleophile (18).

ADTRP was initially identified as a regulator of tissue factor pathway inhibitor (TFPI) (19). TFPI
is an inhibitor of blood coagulation linked to bleeding and clotting disorders (20). Depletion of ADTRP in endothelial cells reduced TFPI levels, an observation that was attributed to the ability of these two proteins to interact in the plasma membrane (19). More recently, deletion of ADTRP in mice was reported to cause vascular dysfunction, though the mechanism by which this occurs is unclear (21). AIG1 is homologous to ADTRP and was discovered in human dermal papilla cells as an androgen-regulated gene (22). It is a transmembrane protein (13,23) that has been implicated in regulation of calcium mobilization and sensitivity to oxidative stress associated cell death (23).

Using a panel of putative lipid substrates, we recently described that both AIG1 and ADTRP can hydrolyze FAHFAs \textit{in vitro} (13). These substrate assays were carried out by overexpressing, knocking down, or chemically inhibiting AIG1/ADTRP in the presence of excess lipid substrates (13). These assays, however, do not account for unknown substrates, cofactors, regulation by compartmentalization, or protein modifications that might be present and critical for the ability of AIG1/ADTRP to function as FAHFA hydrolases \textit{in vivo} (24). Conclusive demonstration of the role of AIG1/ADTRP as FAHFA hydrolases requires perturbation of function \textit{in vivo} followed by lipid substrate measurements. Hence, in this study, we generated and characterized AIG1 deficient (\textit{Aig1}-KO), ADTRP deficient (\textit{Adtrp}-KO), and AIG1/ADTRP double knockout (DKO) mice. We have also used a complementary pharmacological approach to inhibit AIG1 and ADTRP in wild-type (WT) mice. Both genetic and chemical blockade of these enzymes led to increased 9-FAHFA and to a lesser extent 12/13-FAHFA levels in tissues. Furthermore, no significant changes in other metabolites by lipidomics were observed in the deficiency mouse models establishing that ADTRP and AIG1 are indeed endogenous FAHFA-degrading enzymes. The degree of upregulation of FAHFAs observed in knockout mice, however, was not sufficient to confer protection from metabolic deterioration induced by high fat diet.

Results

Generation of AIG1 and ADTRP deficient mice

Global \textit{Aig1}- and \textit{Adtrp}-KO mice were generated using CRISPR/Cas9 technology (25) to create an insertion/deletion (indel) mutation at corresponding genomic loci. Guide RNAs (gRNA) were designed to introduce an indel before the catalytic threonine of each enzyme (Fig. S2A-D). In parallel, we generated antibodies against AIG1 and ADTRP to assess protein expression in WT and mutant mice. AIG1 was detected in the brain of WT mice as a ~21 kDa protein that was absent in \textit{Aig1}-KO brain (Fig. S2C, right panel). Similarly, ADTRP in brown adipose tissue (BAT) was detected as a ~21 kDa protein absent in \textit{Adtrp}-KO BAT (Fig. S2D, right panel). \textit{Aig1}- and ADTRP-KO mice were healthy in appearance and did not exhibit developmental defects (Fig. S2E and data not shown). Pups from heterozygous crosses were born at the expected Mendelian ratios (Fig. S2F). \textit{Aig1}-KO and \textit{Adtrp}-KO mutants were intercrossed to generate AIG1/ADTRP DKO mice, which also lacked an overt phenotype (data not shown). Given the absence of confounding developmental abnormalities, we proceeded to biochemically characterize \textit{Aig1}-KO, \textit{Adtrp}-KO, and DKO mutants and their respective WT controls.

Analysis of serine hydrolase activity in AIG1 and ADTRP deficient tissues

In order to discern the sites of greatest AIG1/ADTRP expression, we determined the distribution of AIG1/ADTRP protein across tissues using our in-house generated antibodies and samples from KO mice as negative control. AIG1 was detected in every tissue examined with the exception of BAT, where a confounding signal in \textit{Aig1}-KO BAT precluded conclusive assignment (Fig. 1A). In contrast, ADTRP showed a restricted expression profile, with detectable levels found in liver, kidney, BAT, perigonadal white adipose tissue (PGWAT), subcutaneous (SQ) WAT, and duodenum (Fig. 1B).

To evaluate if deletion of AIG1 led to compensatory upregulation of ADTRP activity and vice versa, or to the upregulation of another hydrolase, we analyzed tissues from mutant mice via ABPP coupled with quantitative proteomics.
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using duplex reductive demethylation methods (ReDiMe) where WT and KO proteomes were labeled with light and heavy formaldehyde respectively (26). For this purpose, we used membrane fractions of kidney lysates since both AIG1 and ADTRP are expressed in this tissue. Deletion of AIG1 did not enhance ADTRP activity and no significant compensatory changes were seen in the activity across approximately 80 other hydrolases detected (Fig. 1C and Table S1). Similarly, Adtrp-KO kidney lysates did not reveal compensatory upregulation of AIG1 or other hydrolases detected (Fig. 1D and Table S1). These results suggest that AIG1 and ADTRP might have specific expression patterns and do not compensate for each other.

9-PAHSA hydrolysis in AIG1 and ADTRP deficient tissue lysates

To evaluate the contribution of AIG1 and ADTRP to endogenous FAHFA hydrolytic activity, we selected a panel of tissues that express AIG1, and/or ADTRP such as BAT, liver, kidney, and brain and tested the respective membrane lysates in 9-PAHSA hydrolysis assays. Quantitative liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC-MS) analysis of 9-hydroxystearic acid (9-HSA), one of the end products of 9-PAHSA hydrolysis (Fig. S1B), revealed that loss of either AIG1, ADTRP, or both significantly decreased 9-PAHSA hydrolysis (Fig. 2). Dual deficiency of AIG1 and ADTRP resulted in an additive reduction of 9-PAHSA hydrolysis in BAT and kidney (Fig. 2A, B). Decreased 9-PAHSA hydrolysis in Aig1-KO and DKO BAT lysates indicated that AIG1 is indeed expressed in BAT, which could not be definitively established by Western blot analysis alone (Fig. 1A). ADTRP was the primary FAHFA hydrolase in liver given Adtrp-KO and DKO liver hydrolytic activity was similar while the activity in Aig1-KO remained unchanged compared to WT controls (Fig. 2C). Moreover, DKO liver and kidney had significant residual hydrolytic activity (Fig. S3), hinting at the existence of additional FAHFA hydrolases in these tissues. In brain lysates, where only AIG1 is expressed, 9-PAHSA hydrolytic activity was diminished in Aig1-KO lysates and was similar to DKO lysates demonstrating that AIG1 is the primary FAHFA hydrolase in brain (Fig 2D). These data suggest that both AIG1 and ADTRP are major contributors to endogenous FAHFA hydrolytic activity.

AIG1 and ADTRP regulate endogenous FAHFA levels

To examine the extent to which AIG1 and/or ADTRP regulate FAHFA degradation in vivo, we measured levels of endogenous 9- and 12/13-PAHSAs and OAHSAs in BAT, SQWAT, PGWAT, kidney, and liver using targeted LC-MS (27). Aig1-KO tissues showed little difference in FAHFA levels relative to WT controls. In contrast, 9-FAHFA levels were robustly increased in adipose tissue (WAT and BAT) harvested from Adtrp-KO mice, with no significant differences seen in liver or kidney (Fig. 3, and Table S2). Interestingly, the changes in FAHFA levels were isomer specific: 9-PAHSA and 9-OAHSA were increased ~5-fold in all adipose depots from Adtrp-KO mice, while 12/13-PAHSA and 12/13-OAHSA levels increased only a modest ~2-fold in BAT and were unchanged in SQWAT or PGWAT (Fig. 3A-C). These data provide evidence of endogenous isomer-specific FAHFA degradation and imply the existence of multiple pathways that regulate FAHFA isomer metabolism.

Levels of 9-FAHFs detected in white adipose depots of Adtrp-KO and DKO mice were similar, indicating that AIG1 has little or no FAHFA hydrolase activity in WAT (Fig. 3B, C and Table S2). However, loss of AIG1 further increased 9-FAHFA levels in DKO BAT relative to Adtrp-KO BAT (Fig. 3A and Table S2), showing that AIG1 contributes to degradation of 9-FAHFs in BAT but only in the absence of ADTRP under the experimental conditions tested. Kidneys from DKO animals also showed increased 9-FAHFA levels, whereas these changes were not observed in Adtrp-KO mice or Aig1-KO mice, revealing that neither enzyme is the dominant FAHFA hydrolase in the kidney (Fig. 3D and Table S2). We observed minor differences in liver 9-OAHSA levels with very low changes in absolute levels (Fig. 3E and Table S2). Plasma levels of FAHFs were not altered in any of the genetic models (Fig. 3F and Table S2). These findings designate AIG1 and ADTRP as the first bona fide endogenous FAHFA hydrolases identified, with ADTRP serving as the dominant...
FAHFA hydrolase in white and brown adipose tissue.

**AIG1 and ADTRP regulate endogenous levels of TG-esterified FAHFAs in BAT**

We recently described a novel pathway for FAHFA metabolism in which FAHFAs are esterified into triglycerides (TGs) to generate FAHFA-containing TGs (FAHFA-TG or TG-esterified FAHFAs) (28). FAHFA-TGs are potentially a storage form of FAHFAs; they are >100-fold more abundant in tissues than non-esterified FAHFAs. TG-esterified FAHFAs are not expected to be direct substrates of AIG1 or ADTRP, but we hypothesized that increased FAHFA levels in tissues from Adtrp-KO and DKO mice might lead to greater abundance of TG-esterified FAHFAs. Indeed, we noted an increase in TG-esterified FAHFA levels in BAT of mutant mice (Fig. 4A and Table S3) that correlated with higher levels of non-esterified FAHFAs in this tissue (Fig. 3B). There was no change in the TG-esterified FAHFA pool in kidney or liver (Fig. 4D, E and Table S3), a finding consistent with minor changes in the non-esterified FAHFA pool in these tissues from mutant mice (Fig. 3D, E). Surprisingly, in contrast to the elevation in non-esterified FAHFAs we noted in WAT from Adtrp-KO and DKO mice (Fig. 4B, C and Table S3), these results suggest that TG-esterified FAHFA pools are dependent on AIG1 and ADTRP function in a tissue dependent manner.

**ADTRP and AIG1 do not regulate other major lipid classes**

To determine if AIG1 and ADTRP have additional substrates (24), we analyzed the global lipidome of BAT and liver from DKO mice, and brain from Aig1-KO mice (Fig. 5). No significant differences in abundance of any lipid classes detected were found in any tissue examined. For instance, quantitation of fatty acid, phosphatidylethanolamine, diacylglycerol, and triacylglycerol species showed no alterations in BAT, liver, or brain of mutant mice (Fig. 5). The absence of substantial differences in other lipid species suggests that, in vivo, ADTRP and AIG1 function primarily as FAHFA-specific hydrolases.

**Development of a dual AIG1/ADTRP inhibitor active in vivo**

To facilitate further study of the biochemical and physiological roles of AIG1 and ADTRP, we set out to develop an in vivo-active, chemical inhibitor of these enzymes. Our efforts were aided by the fact that AIG1 and ADTRP are amenable to ABPP with FP probes, and the finding that AIG1 is sensitive to electrophilic chemotypes, such as carbamates and lactones, commonly featured in serine hydrolase inhibitors (13). Thus, to identify dual AIG1/ADTRP inhibitors, a set of potent AIG1 hits identified from a serine hydrolase-directed library using ABPP-based methods in mouse brain, were screened against recombinant mouse ADTRP. Compounds with potent inhibitory activity on both AIG1 and ADTRP were further triaged based on their selectivity against serine hydrolases. Amongst candidate AIG1/ADTRP tool compounds, ABD-110207 (Fig. 6A) showed an outstanding profile (AIG1 IC50 = 12 nM and ADTRP IC50 = 5.4 nM) with little cross-reactivity to more than 20 serine hydrolases detectable in mouse brain (Fig. 6B, C). We believe ABD-110207 inhibits AIG1/ADTRP through carbamylation of the active site threonine residue (Fig. 6A) analogous to other covalent inhibitors that target fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) and monoacylglycerol lipase (MGLL) and carbamylate the active site serine of these enzymes (29,30).

To test if ABD-110207 was suitable for use in vivo, we administered ABD-110207 to WT mice at 5 and 25 mg/kg by intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection and, four hours later, collected brain, kidney, and liver to assess target engagement and selectivity across serine/threonine hydrolases. For this analysis, we used triplex ABPP-ReDiMe via labeling three groups differentially with light, medium, and heavy formaldehyde for this analysis because it offers superior coverage, sensitivity, and resolution over gel-based ABPP (Fig. 6B). ABPP-ReDiMe profiles showed that, while both doses of ABD-110207 resulted in maximal (97%) inhibition of AIG1 in brain and kidney and ADTRP in kidney and liver, the 5 mg/kg dose elicited fewer off-targets (Fig. 6D). At this dose, the only off-target
AIG1 and ADTRP deficiency are not sufficient to improve glucose metabolism in mice

Pharmacological administration of 9- and/or 5-PAHSA improves glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity in mice fed chow or HFD (1,4,5). This observation prompted us to test whether the increases we detected in endogenous 9-FAHFA levels in adipose tissue of Adtrp-KO and DKO mice are sufficient to influence glucose metabolism. Metabolic phenotyping of HFD-fed Aig1- and Adtrp-KO mice showed that deficiency of a single FAHFA hydrolase had no significant impact on body weight or glucose homeostasis via intraperitoneal (IP), oral (O) glucose tolerance tests (GTTs) or insulin tolerance tests (ITTs) (Fig. S5). Thus, to assess if the greater elevation in endogenous FAHFAs seen in DKO tissues would affect systemic glucose metabolism, we fed DKO mice HFD and evaluated their response. Initially, DKO mice gained somewhat less weight, but this effect was transient and by the end of the study their body weight was similar to that of controls (Fig. 7A). More importantly, we found no difference in glucose tolerance or insulin sensitivity in AIG1/ADTRP-null mice relative to their WT littermates (Fig. 7B-D). We conclude that the physiological increase in adipose tissue FAHFAs seen in AIG1/ADTRP mutant mice is unable to alter glucose homeostasis. Critically, circulating FAHFA levels are important since when FAHFAs are administered orally or by minipump, FAHFA levels are increased 2-6-fold (1,4). Hence, no change in plasma FAHFAs observed in AIG1/ADTRP mutant mice could contribute to the lack of phenotype in the mice.

Discussion

FAHFAs are emerging bioactive lipids that have anti-inflammatory and glucoregulatory properties, but, as newly discovered lipids, little is known about how their levels in tissues are controlled (1,31). Using ABPP, we previously identified AIG1 and ADTRP as hydrolytic enzymes; in vitro assays revealed that AIG1 and ADTRP hydrolyzed FAHFAs in vitro (13). In this study, we generated genetic and chemical tools to assess the extent to which AIG1 and ADTRP function as FAHFA hydrolases in vivo. Our data show that these enzymes degrade endogenous
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FAHFs, principally in adipose tissue, and appear to have no additional substrates.

Mice lacking AIG1, ADTRP, or both were developmentally similar to their WT littermates, and heterozygous crosses yielded pups in the expected Mendelian ratios (Fig. S2F). This finding contrasts with a recent report that described an independently-generated ADTRP-deficient mouse strain that exhibited moderately decreased viability and non-Mendelian offspring ratios (21). We suspect that differences between our knockout strategy, which removed 13-bases from the locus, and that of the reported approach, which deleted approximately 38 kb of the genomic region between exons 3 and 5, might underlie the variance. Further studies will be required to understand the basis of the disparity between these two mouse strains.

Tissue distribution of ADTRP was restricted to a few tissues compared to the ubiquitous expression pattern observed for AIG1 (Fig. 1A, B). This difference might suggest an evolutionary basis for tissue-specific function that has not been discovered yet. Whether FAHFA hydrolytic activity observed in vitro and in vivo for these enzymes has any biological relevance to tissue distribution remains to be studied.

Our results designate AIG1 and ADTRP as FAHFA-specific hydrolases that regulate FAHFA levels in adipose tissue. Unexpectedly, not all mutant tissues in which we measured a decrease in total 9-PAHSA hydrolytic activity showed a corresponding increase in endogenous FAHFA levels (Fig. 2 and 3). For instance, endogenous FAHFA levels were unchanged in liver even though lysates from this tissue hydrolyzed exogenously added 9-PAHSA at a reduced rate. This finding points to the existence of residual FAHFA hydrolytic activity in liver that compensates for the loss of AIG1 and ADTRP. It is also possible that the liver does not synthesize or store sufficient amounts of FAHFs in the basal state to show significant accumulation upon loss of AIG1/ADTRP activity. Indeed, absolute levels of FAHFs in liver are much lower than in adipose tissues (Table S2). Plasma levels of FAHFs remained unchanged in AIG1 and ADTRP deficiency suggesting the upregulation of FAHFs observed in adipose depots were not reflected in circulating FAHFs under experimental conditions tested. Furthermore, most of the FAHFA upregulation was attributed to ADTRP deficiency in vivo while AIG1 deficiency had a milder effect only in the absence of ADTRP (Fig. 3 and 4). In the brain, where only AIG1 is expressed, very low amount of FAHFs were observed (data not shown), making it difficult to assess AIG1-dependent FAHFA hydrolysis in vivo. It is possible that AIG1-dependent FAHFA regulation occurs under certain conditions or in particular cell types or subcellular locations that escape detection in the whole tissue analysis methods used in this study.

Another unexpected finding was the specific regulation of 9-FAHFA regioisomers by AIG1 and ADTRP (Fig. 3), a phenomenon that was not observed in prior in vitro assays (13). The specificity might be due to the lower abundance of 12/13-FAHFs relative to that of 9-FAHFs, or to a greater biosynthetic flux, producing 9-FAHFs over 12/13-FAHFs. Irrespective of mechanism, this observation highlights the value of tissue measurements to identify and validate endogenous substrates, since multiple factors can influence the regulation of a substrate in vivo, in this case FAHFA regioisomers. These factors include its biosynthesis (32), colocalization with an enzyme (33), its concentrations (34), and the presence of other competitive substrates (35).

AIG1 and ADTRP deficiency also modulated TG-esterified FAHFA levels indirectly, in BAT, but not in WAT (Fig. 4). A possible explanation for this tissue specificity is that the FAHFA exchange rate between the TG-esterified and nonesterified pools might be different in BAT and WAT where there is a higher rate of incorporation of nonesterified FAHFs into TGs in BAT versus WAT. Indeed, the absolute levels of TG-esterified FAHFs are higher in BAT compared to WAT depots (Table S3). TG-esterified FAHFs are depots for release of FAHFs (28). TG-esterified FAHFs are unlikely to be direct substrates of AIG1 and ADTRP because TG-esterified FAHFs and FAHFs are markedly different substrates. Instead, the regulation of FAHFs by AIG1 and ADTRP likely requires the release of FAHFs from the TGs. Adipose triglyceride lipase (ATGL) has been identified as a hydrolase that can release FAHFs from the TG pool (28,36). Hence the
activity of ATGL, and AIG1 and ADTRP might coordinate FAHFA hydrolysis and signaling.

To complement our genetic loss-of-function studies (chronic inhibition of AIG1/ADTRP), we developed a first generation dual AIG1/ADTRP small-molecule inhibitor, named ABD-110207, that demonstrated potent activity in mice. MS-based ABPP analysis of multiple tissues from ABD-110207-treated mice revealed that this compound preferentially targets AIG1 and ADTRP across greater than 90 serine hydrolases, with only FAAH and, to a lesser extent, MGLL and several carboxylesterases as off-targets. Notably, the primary off-target enzymes detected (FAAH and MGLL) have well-defined biochemical pathways and would not be expected to interfere with the analysis herein. Acute inhibition of AIG1 and ADTRP using ABD-110207 led to a reduction in 9-PAHSA hydrolitic activity and rapid accumulation of FAHFAs in BAT (Fig. 6E, F). The increase in FAHFA levels between vehicle- and inhibitor-treated samples was smaller than the difference seen between WT and KO models, which could be explained by the short duration of inhibitor treatment (4 hours). Absence of FAHFA elevation in kidney, liver, and plasma (Fig. S4) is consistent with our observations in genetic models (Fig. 3).

Furthermore, since lack of difference in kidney and liver might suggest that other serine hydrolases targeted by ABD-110207 targets other serine hydrolases in liver and kidney, (Fig. 6D and Table S4) these other enzymes do not appear to be not involved in FAHFA hydrolysis under experimental conditions tested. Repeated dosing might lead to greater buildup of FAHFAs in BAT, and perhaps other tissues.

Pharmacological administration of 5- and/or 9-PAHSA has been shown to improve glucose metabolism and decrease inflammatory responses (1,4,6,7,37). Further, the AG4OX mouse, which has dramatically elevated levels of endogenous FAHFAs, though obese is nevertheless metabolically healthy (1). Study of glucose metabolism of Aig1-KO, Adtrp-KO, and DKO mice in response to HFD failed to reveal protection from metabolic deterioration (Fig. S5 and 7), indicating that the extent of the increase in endogenous FAHFA levels in adipose tissue of Adtrp-KO and DKO mice, considerably lower than what is seen in multiple tissues of AG4OX mice, is not sufficient to affect systemic glucose homeostasis. Additionally, exogenous administration of FAHFAs can elevate FAHFA levels in multiple locations of metabolic relevance, such as liver and plasma. However, no increase in hepatic or circulating FAHFAs was detected in our mutant mice or with the inhibitor, which is a striking difference between systems and can explain the lack of a metabolic phenotype in the Aig1-KO, Adtrp-KO, and DKO mice. Furthermore, these results suggest that, at least for now, therapeutic approaches for targeting the FAHFA pathway are more likely to proceed by pharmacological intervention with FAHFAs rather than inhibition of these metabolic enzymes. Discordance between physiological and pharmacological function has been observed before. For instance, pharmacological treatment of fibroblast growth factor 21 (FGF21) improves several aspects of metabolic function in rodents while its physiological role points to regulation of starvation response, torpor and thirst (38,39). Similarly, FAHFAs might have physiological functions that are distinct from those observed during pharmacological administration of these bioactive lipids, and FAHFA hydrolase deficient mice will be valuable tools in dissecting such functions.

In summary, our genetic and chemical tools have revealed that 9-FAHFAs are the predominant metabolites regulated in vivo by AIG1 and ADTRP. Our detailed characterization of these unique tools demonstrates that they can be used to study the functional significance of modulating endogenous FAHFA levels in adipose tissue by limiting their degradation. Using pharmacological studies with FAHFAs as a guide, it will be of great interest to examine the effects of AIG1/ADTRP inhibition in the context of metabolism, immune responses, and vascular function. More generally, our results establish AIG1 and ADTRP as part of the druggable proteome and their role as endogenous FAHFA hydrolases.
Experimental Procedures

Generation and maintenance of AIG1 and/or ADTRP deficient mice

Aig1- and Adtrp-KO mice were generated using CRISPR/Cas9 mediated indel mutations. The sgRNAs were designed using an online tool (http://crispr.mit.edu/). DNA oligos corresponding to the variable sequence of sgRNAs (Table S5) were annealed and cloned into PX330 plasmid (Addgene plasmid # 42230) (40), which was used as the template to generate DNA strands that contain T7 promoter. HiScribe T7 High Yield RNA Synthesis Kit (NEB, E2040S) was used to synthesize sgRNA and the overall reaction was then purified using Mega Clear Kit (Ambion). The Transgenics core at the Salk Institute injected Cas9 mRNA and sgRNA into C57BL/6 embryos and transplanted them into donor mice to generate founder pups. Littermates were used in experiments including single deficiency mice. DKO mice were generated by crossing Aig1 and Adtrp-KO mice. WT controls were generated using common ancestor parents for DKO mice, and age-matched WT mice were used in experiments involving DKO mice. Aig1 mice were genotyped using allele specific primers for PCR (Fig. S2C and Table S5). Adtrp mice were genotyped by PCR amplification (Fig. S2C and Table S5) and subsequent EcoRI digestion. Samples were run on 2% agarose gels for visualization. For mouse genotyping, a commercial laboratory was also utilized (Transnetyx Inc., Memphis, Tennessee, USA; www.transnetyx.com). Mice were housed in 12-hour light/dark cycle and fed chow diet (PicoLab, 5053) or HFD (Open Source Diets, D12492). All animal procedures were approved by Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees of the Salk Institute, The Scripps Research Institute, and Explora Biolabs.

Western blotting

Total lysates of tissues were prepared using RIPA buffer (Pierce™, PI89901) and a homogenizer. The samples were centrifuged at 13K rpm for 15-30 min to clear debris. Supernatant was subsequently collected. Microsomal fractions were prepared by dounce homogenization of tissues in PBS and serial centrifugation steps. Homogenates were centrifuged at 800 g for 15 min at 4 °C. Supernatant was transferred and centrifuged at 6,000 g for 15 min at 4 °C. Final supernatant was ultracentrifuged at 100,000 g for 45 min at 4 °C. The resulting pellet was resuspended in PBS. 30 μg of protein was run on SDS-PAGE gels and stained for ADTRP (in-house antibody), AIG1 (in-house antibody), β-actin (Cell Signaling, 4970), or β-tubulin (Sigma-Aldrich, T8328) (1:1000 dilution for each primary antibody). Membranes were imaged using Licor Odyssey CLx Near-Infrared Fluorescence Imaging System.

ABPP-ReDiMe sample preparation

For ABPP-RediMe samples of WT and KO mouse tissues (three biological replicates per group), proteomes (1 mg/mL in 1 mL of PBS) were labeled with FP-biotin (5 μM) for 1 h at room temperature while rotating. For brain, liver and kidney samples from vehicle or ABD-110207-treated mice, higher protein (3 mg/mL in 1 mL) and FP-biotin (10 μM) amounts were used to facilitate the detection of ADTRP. After labeling, the proteomes were denatured and precipitated using 4:1 MeOH/CHCl3, resuspended in 0.5 mL of 6 M urea in PBS, reduced using tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP, 10 mM) for 30 min at 37 °C, and then alkylated using iodoacetamide (40 mM) for 30 min at room temperature in the dark. The biotinylated proteins were enriched with PBS-washed avidin-agarose beads (100 μL; Sigma-Aldrich) by rotating at room temperature for 1.5 h in PBS with 0.2% SDS (6 mL). The beads were then washed sequentially with 5 mL 0.2% SDS in PBS (3×) and 5 mL DI H2O (3×). On-bead digestion was performed using sequencing-grade trypsin (2 μg; Promega) in 2 M urea in 100 mM triethylammonium bicarbonate buffer with 2 mM CaCl2 for 12–14 h at 37 °C (200 μL). Duplex or triplex reductive dimethylation was performed as previously described (26). Briefly, for duplex RediMe of WT versus KO tissues, either 13CD2O (heavy) or CH2O (light) was added to each sample (0.15%) followed by addition of NaBH3CN (22.2 mM). Tissue samples from vehicle- or inhibitor-treated mice (in two doses of single mice) were labeled using a triplex RediMe protocol where control samples (i.e. vehicle-treated) were labeled with CH2O (light) and inhibitor-treated samples labeled with either CD2O (medium) or...
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$^{13}$CD$_2$O (heavy). Light and medium samples were then treated with NaBH$_4$CN whereas heavy samples were treated with NaBD$_4$CN. Following a 1 h incubation period at room temperature, the reaction was quenched by addition of NH$_4$OH (0.23%) and formic acid (0.5%). The samples were then combined and analyzed by LC/MS.

**ABPP-ReDiMe MS and data analysis**

MS was performed using an LTQ-Orbitrap or an Orbitrap Velos following previously described protocols (41). Peptides were eluted using a five-step multidimensional LC/MS protocol in which increasing concentrations of ammonium acetate are injected followed by a gradient of increasing acetonitrile, as previously described (42). For all samples, data were collected in data-dependent acquisition mode over a range from 400–1,800 m/z. Each full scan was followed by up to 7 or 30 fragmentation events for experiments using the LTQ and Orbitrap or Orbitrap Velos instruments, respectively. Dynamic exclusion was enabled (repeat count of 1, exclusion duration of 20 s) for all experiments. Data files (Thermo RAW) were converted into mzXML format using RawConverter (1.1.0.23) (43) with monoisotopic precursor selection selected. The data were searched using the ProLuCID algorithm (2.1.5) (44) against an in-house reverse-concatenated mouse FASTA database (43, 438 entries). This had been assembled from 1) the UniProt Mouse Reference proteome (accessed 11/09/2012), cross-referenced with Ensembl, giving preference to long, reviewed entries, and filtered to 98% protein sequence identity using CD-HIT (21, 602 forward entries) (45) and 2) common contaminant proteins (117 forward entries) from the Global Proteome Machine cRAP protein sequences (https://www.thegpm.org/crap/). ProLuCID searches specified static modifications of cysteine residues (+57.021464; iodoacetamide alkylation), allowed differential modification of methionine residues (+15.994915; oxidation), and required peptides to contain at least one tryptic terminus. Each data set was independently searched with light, medium (if applicable) and heavy parameter files. For duplex ReDiMe, the search included static modifications on lysine and N termini (+28.031300 m/z [light], +32.056407 m/z [medium], or + 36.075670 m/z [heavy]). Mass tolerances were set to 50 and 600 ppm for precursor and fragment ions respectively. The resulting peptide spectral matches were filtered using DTASelect (2.1.5) (46) with the maximum peptide false discovery rate set to the default (<5%) and enabling the following flags: use cleavage status when calculating probabilities (--trypstat), use separate models for modified peptides (--modstat), use delta mass for statistics (--dm), show all spectra for each sequence (-t 0), and remove proteins (-l keratin) with descriptions matching “keratin”. ReDiMe ratios were quantified using in-house CIMAGE software (47). Briefly, spectral matches were used to localize a 10-min retention time window for ion chromatogram extraction using ±10 ppm mass accuracy. Extracted ion chromatograms of light and heavy peptides were required to have a correlation R$^2$ (correlation score) of greater than or equal to 0.8 to be used for further analysis. Peptides detected as “singletons”, where extracted signal was present exclusively for either the m/z of the light or the heavy peptide, were given a ratio of 32 which is the maximum ReDiMe ratio reported here. Further quantitative analysis was restricted to FP-reactive serine and threonine hydrolases (see tables). Peptide ratios were log2 transformed and the median was used to represent the ReDiMe ratio of a protein. A per-sample normalization was applied, correcting protein ratios by the median ReDiMe ratio of all hydrolases. The mean and standard error of ReDiMe ratios was calculated when combining replicates. We required proteins to have at least 2 unique quantified peptides and to be detected in at least 2 replicate experiments (where available). Protein ratios were calculated as the median ratio of unique peptides and transformed into percent inhibition values using the following equation:

$$\text{Percent inhibition} = \begin{cases} 
\left(1 - \frac{\text{ratio}}{\text{ratio}}\right) \times 100\%, & \text{ratio} \geq 1 \\
0\%, & \text{ratio} < 1 
\end{cases}$$

Developed by Dr. Jane Doe at the University of XYZ.
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FAHFA hydrolysis assays and sample analysis using mass spectrometry

Tissues were dounce homogenized in PBS and sonicated. Crude membrane fractions were prepared using sequential centrifugation. Debris was cleared via centrifugation at 600 g for 10 min. Supernatant was ultracentrifuged at 100,000 g with SW41 rotor for 45 min at 4 °C. Pellet was washed with PBS and ultracentrifuged again at 100,000 g at 4 °C and final pellet was resuspended in PBS. Denatured background samples were prepared by boiling lysates at 95 °C for 5 min. and incubating on ice for 5 min for 4 times. Separately 20-40 µg of the membrane preparation was incubated with 20 nmol 9-PAHSA for 20 min at 37 °C. The reaction was stopped by adding 400 µl 2 : 1 chloroform : methanol that contains 20 pmol of 9-hydroxysteradecanoic (9-HHDA) as internal standard for quantification. Samples were vortexed and spun at 2200 g for 5 min. Lower organic layer was transferred into a new vial and dried. Samples were resuspended in 100 µl of methanol and 5-10 µl of sample was incubated with 20 nmol 9-PAHSA for 20 min at 37 °C. The reaction was stopped by adding 400 µl 2 : 1 chloroform : methanol that contains 20 pmol of 9-hydroxysteradecanoic (9-HHDA) as internal standard for quantification. Samples were vortexed and spun at 2200 g for 5 min. Lower organic layer was transferred into a new vial and dried. Samples were resuspended in 100 µl of methanol and 5-10 µl of sample was added to UPLC BEH C18 Column (Waters Acquity, 186002350). Species were resolved via 15 min gradient with water with 5mM ammonium acetate and 0.01% ammonium hydroxide (buffer A) and acetonitrile with 0.01% ammonium hydroxide (buffer B). HSA species were measured via pseudo-Multiple Reaction monitoring in negative ionization mode using Thermo Fisher TSQ Quantiva mass spectrometer (9-HSA: m/z 299.3 → m/z 299.3 (CE = 9 V), 9-HHDA: m/z 285.3 → m/z 285.3 (CE = 9 V)). 9-HSA was quantified via normalizing with internal standard 9-HHDA and subtracting the background signal obtained from the denatured samples. Hydrolysis activity was calculated per amount of protein per reaction time.

FAHFA measurements and data analysis

Tissues from ad lib fed female mice in C57/BL6J background at the age of 12-13 weeks were used for FAHFA analyses. FAHFA measurements and data analysis were performed as explained previously (28). 25-150 mg tissue was homogenized in 1:1:2 ml PBS:methanol:chloroform with internal standards (13C16-palmitic acid, and d7-cholesterol). After homogenization, samples were vortexed for 15 seconds and centrifuged at 2500 g for 6 minutes. The lower phase was transferred into a new glass vial and dried. Dried lipids were fractionated using SPE columns (28). First, columns were equilibrated with 6 ml 95:5% hexanes:ethyl acetate, and polar lipid fraction containing nonesterified FAHFAs were eluted using 4 ml ethyl acetate. Nonesterified FAHFA fractions were dried and stored at -80 °C until analysis. TG fraction was resuspended in 200 µl of EtOH, and incubated with 0.1 M LiOH at room temperature for 24 hours for saponification. The reaction was stopped with addition of 0.2 M HCl and lipids were extracted as above with addition of d31-9-PAHSA as internal standard for quantification of chemically hydrolyzed FAHFAs. Samples were passed through a second SPE and eluent containing liberated FAHFAs was collected with ethyl acetate. Samples were dried and resuspended in 60-200 µl of methanol and analyzed using LC-MS method previously described (48). 2-10 µl of sample was injected to UPLC BEH C18 Column (Waters Acquity, 186002350). FAHFA measurements were performed using Thermo TSQ mass spectrometer via Multiple Reaction Monitoring using the transitions previously reported (1). Peak areas of the samples were normalized to the peak areas of the internal standards and the tissue weight for quantification of absolute levels.

Global lipidomics and data analysis

Lipids were extracted using a modified version of the Bligh-Dyer method (49). 25 mg of tissue was homogenized in 1:1:2 ml PBS:methanol:chloroform with internal standards (13C16-palmitic acid, and d7-cholesterol). After homogenization, samples were vortexed for 15 seconds and centrifuged at 2500 g for 5 minutes. Organic (bottom) phase was transferred into a new glass vial and dried under a gentle stream of nitrogen, and reconstituted in 2:1 chloroform:methanol for LC/MS analysis.
Lipidomic analysis was performed on a Vanquish HPLC online with a Q-Exactive quadrupole-orbitrap mass spectrometer equipped with an electrospray ion source (Thermo). Data was acquired in positive and negative ionization modes. Solvent A consisted of 95:5 water:methanol, Solvent B was 60:35:5 isopropanol:methanol:water. For positive mode, solvents A and B contained 5 mM ammonium formate with 0.1% formic acid; for negative mode, solvents contained 0.028% ammonium hydroxide. A Bio-Bond (Dikma) C4 column (5 μm, 4.6 mm × 50 mm) was used. The gradient was held at 0% B between 0 and 5 min, raised to 20% B at 5.1 min, increased linearly from 20% to 100% B between 5.1 and 55 min, held at 100% B between 55 min and 63 min, returned to 0% B at 63.1 min, and held at 0% B until 70 min. Flow rate was 0.1 mL/min from 0 to 5 min, 0.4 mL/min between 5.1 min and 55 min, and 0.5 mL/min between 55 min and 70 min. Spray voltage was 3.5 kV and 2.5 kV for positive and negative ionization modes, respectively. Sheath, auxiliary, and sweep gases were 53, 14 and 3, respectively. Capillary temperature was 275°C. Data was collected in full MS/dd-MS2 (top 5). Full MS was acquired from 100 – 1500 m/z with resolution of 70,000, AGC target of 1x10^6 and a maximum injection time of 100 ms. MS2 was acquired with resolution of 17,500, a fixed first mass of 50 m/z, AGC target of 1x10^5 and a maximum injection time of 200 ms. Stepped normalized collision energies were 20, 30 and 40%. Lipid identification was performed with LipidSearch (Thermo). Data alignment, peak integration and comparison between sample conditions were performed with Dilu (github.com/bathyg/dilu). Mass accuracy, chromatography and peak integration of all LipidSearch-identified lipids were verified with Skyline (50). Skyline and Dilu-generated peak areas were used in data reporting, data were normalized using internal standards.

**Gel-based activity-based protein profiling**

Inhibitor potency against AIG1, ADTRP, FAAH and MGLL was determined using competitive gel-based ABPP using FP-Rh competition against ABD-110207. Full-length mouse ADTRP (Dharmacon) was recombinantly expressed in HEK293T cells. Cells were harvested 48 h following transfection and lysed by sonication in PBS. Brain tissue lysates were prepared from naïve mouse sagitally-sectioned brain hemispheres by homogenizing in PBS using a TissueLyser II and sonication. Cell and tissue homogenates were fractionated by ultra-centrifugation (100,000 g for 45 min at 4 °C) and membranes were resuspended in PBS. Mouse brain membrane proteomes (1 mg/mL) were mixed with mADTRP-transfected HEK293T proteomes (0.05 mg/mL) to allow simultaneous detection of AIG1 and ADTRP in a single assay. The mixed proteomes (50 µg) were treated with inhibitor or DMSO for 30 min at 37 °C and subsequently treated with FP-Rh (1.0 µM) for an additional 30 min at room temperature. Reactions were quenched with 4X SDS-PAGE loading buffer and FP-Rh-labeled enzymes were resolved by SDS-PAGE (10% acrylamide). In-gel fluorescence was visualized using a Bio-Rad ChemiDoc™ XRS imager. Fluorescence is shown in gray scale. Quantification of enzyme activities was performed by densitometric analysis using ImageJ software (NIH). Integrated peak intensities were generated for bands corresponding to AIG1, ADTRP, FAAH and MGLL. IC50 values were calculated through curve fitting semi-log-transformed data (x-axis) by non-linear regression with a four-parameter, sigmoidal dose response function (variable slope) in Prism software (GraphPad).

**Inhibitor treatments**

For measurement of 9-PAHSA hydrolytic activity and FAHFA levels in BAT upon inhibitor treatment, 2-3-month-old female C57BL/6 mice were used. For triplex ReDiMe experiments in liver, kidney, and brain, 6-8 week-old male ICR mice were used. Mice were injected intraperitoneally with vehicle (18:1:1 PBS:EtOH:Emulphor) or inhibitor (5 or 25 mg/kg) in the morning. 4 hours post injection, mice were sacrificed, and tissues were collected for hydrolytic activity, FAHFA, and ABPP-ReDiMe analyses.

**Metabolic phenotyping**

Mice were weighed weekly for monitoring the body weight in HFD-fed mice.
Insulin tolerance test. Food was withdrawn 5 hours prior to ITTs unless specified otherwise. Mice were injected intraperitoneally with indicated amount of insulin, and blood glucose was measured over time using a glucometer (Bayer, Breeze2) via sampling from tail vein.

Intraperitoneal glucose tolerance test. Food was withdrawn 5 hours prior to OGTTs unless specified otherwise. Mice were injected intraperitoneally with indicated amount of glucose and blood glucose was measured over time using a glucometer (Bayer, Breeze2) via sampling from tail vein.

Oral glucose tolerance test. Food was withdrawn 5 hours prior to OGTTs unless specified otherwise. Mice were gavaged orally with indicated amount of glucose and blood glucose was measured over time using a glucometer (Bayer, Breeze2) via sampling from tail vein.
Data Availability
The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE (51) partner repository (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/pride) with the dataset identifier PXD017539. Direct all other data inquiries to Alan Saghatelian at asaghatelian@salk.edu
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Abbreviations used: FAHFA, fatty acid esters of hydroxy fatty acids; AIG1, androgen-induced gene 1; ADTRP, androgen-dependent TFPI-regulating protein; HFD, high fat diet; AG4OX, adipose GLUT4 overexpressing; PAHSA, palmitic acid hydroxy stearic acid; 13-DHAHLA, 13-docosahexaenoic acid hydroxy linoleic acid; 13-LAHLA, 13-linoleic acid hydroxy linoleic acid; ABPP, activity-based protein profiling; FP, fluorophosphonate; ReDiMe, reductive demethylation methods; DKO, double knockout; BAT, brown adipose tissue; SQWAT, subcutaneous white adipose tissue; PGWAT, perigonadal white adipose tissue; LC-MS, liquid chromatography mass spectrometry; HSA, hydroxy stearic acid; OAHSA, oleic acid hydroxy stearic acid; TG, triglycerides; FAAH, fatty acid amide hydrolase; MGLL, monoacylglycerol lipase; IPGTT, intraperitoneal glucose tolerance test; OGTT; oral glucose tolerance test; ITT, insulin tolerance test.
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Figures

Figure 1. Tissue distribution of AIG1 and ADTRP and serine hydrolase activity analysis. (A) Tissue expression profile of AIG1 using microsomal fractions from tissues. ERp72 was used as a loading control for microsomal preparations. (B) Tissue expression profile of ADTRP using total lysates from tissues. β-Tubulin and β-Actin were used as loading controls. gMuscle: gastrocnemius muscle, sMuscle: soleus muscle. ABPP-RedMe analysis of (C) WT vs Aigl-KO and (D) WT vs Adtrp-KO kidneys. Error bars represent SD (n=3). Enzymes that were identified at least twice out of triplicates has been included. Tabulated peptide quantification data can be found in Table S1.
Endogenous FAHFA hydrolases

Figure 2. Tissue hydrolysis assays. 9-PAHSA hydrolysis assay on membrane lysates from (A) BAT, (B) kidney, (C) liver, and (D) brain from Aig1-KO, Adtrp-KO, and DKO mice represented as percent hydrolytic activity of their corresponding WT controls. Error bars represent SD (n=3 per group; *, p < 0.05 vs WT control, T-test; #, p < 0.5 vs Aig1-KO, T-test; $, p < 0.05 vs ADTRP-KO, T-Test).
Endogenous FAHFA hydrolases

Figure 3. AIG1 and ADTRP regulate non-esterified FAHFA levels in vivo in a tissue specific manner. Non-esterified 9- and 12/13-PAHSA and OAHSA measurements in (A) BAT, (B) SQWAT, (C) PGWAT, (D) kidney, (E) liver, and plasma (F) of Aig1-KO, Adtrp-KO, and DKO mice relative to the corresponding WT controls. Error bars represent SD (n=4 per group. *, p<0.05, **, p<0.01, ***, p<0.001, compared to WT. T-test; #, p<0.05, DKO compared to Adtrp-KO, T-test).
Figure 4. AIG1 and ADTRP regulate TG-esterified FAHFA levels in vivo in a tissue specific manner. TG-esterified 9- and 12/13-PAHSA and OAHSA measurements in (A) BAT, (B) SQWAT, (C) PGWAT, (D) kidney, and (E) liver of Aig1-KO, Adtrp-KO, and DKO mice compared to corresponding WT controls. Error bars represent SD (n=4 per group. *, p<0.05, **, p<0.01, ***, p<0.001, compared to WT, T-test; #, p<0.05, DKO compared to Adtrp-KO, T-test).
Figure 5. Global lipidomics analysis of BAT and liver from DKO, and brain from Aig1-KO samples. Global lipidomics analysis of various tissues did not identify any significant non-FAHFA changes in samples from DKO (BAT and liver) or Aig1-KO (brain) mice compared to corresponding WT controls. Fatty acids, phosphatidyl ethanolamine (PE), diglyceride (DG), and triglyceride (TG) lipids are shown as representative lipid classes. Error bars represent SD (n=4 per group).
Figure 6. Discovery and characterization of an in vivo-active, dual AIG1/ADTRP inhibitor. (A) Structure of ABD-110207 and proposed mechanism of AIG1/ADTRP inhibition through carbamylation of active site threonine. (B) Competitive gel-based ABPP profiles of ABD-110207 (0.001-10 µM) used to determine IC50s of each serine/threonine hydrolase target. (C) IC50 curves for ABD-110207 against AIG1, ADTRP, FAAH and MGLL as determined by competitive ABPP with FP-Rh in mouse brain membrane proteomes spiked with mADTRP-transfected HEK293T cell proteomes. (D) MS-Based ABPP profiles in brain, kidney and liver proteomes derived from mice treated with either vehicle or ABD-110207 (5 or 25 mg/kg, i.p.) for 4 hours. Red arrows highlight the intended targets of ABD-110207, AIG1 and ADTRP. Tabulated peptide quantification data can be found in Table S4. (E) 9-PAHSA hydrolytic activity in
membrane lysates of BAT from mice treated with vehicle or ABD-110207 (n=3 per group). (F) Non-esterified and (G) TG-esterified FAHFA levels in BAT from mice treated with vehicle or ABD-110207 (n=3 per group). Error bars represent SD, *p<0.05, ***, p<0.001, T-test).
Figure 7. HFD-fed DKO mice do not have improved glucose metabolism compared to WT controls. (A) Body weight of WT and DKO male mice upon HFD feeding over time. Blood glucose levels during (B) OGTT after 2 g/kg glucose administration (C) IPGTT after 1 g/kg glucose administration (D) ITT after 0.8 u/kg insulin administration in WT and DKO mice fed HFD. Error bars represent SEM, *p<0.05 (n=6-8 per group).
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