INTRODUCTION

The secondary wall is a plant-specific, stiff and thick cell wall composed of lignin, cellulose and hemicelluloses in a complicated bridged structure. The secondary wall is synthesized just outside the plasma membrane of vascular vessels and fiber cells in xylem, which require mechanical rigidity and stability to retain water in the vessel, endure the internal pressure of water transport and support the plant’s aerial structures. Some species also produce secondary walls in the internal cell layer of anthers and siliques; the different shrinkage rates of cell layers with or without secondary walls produces tension that promotes dehiscence of anthers and siliques during dehybridization (Keijzer, 1987; Mitsuda and Ichinohe, 2008). In contrast to dicots and conifers, monocotyledonous plants do not possess vascular cambium but do develop secondary walls within the leaf blade and culm, and in vascular vessels. However, the composition of monocot secondary cell walls differs from that of dicots (Carpita and Gibeaut, 1993; Yokoyama and Nishitani, 2004) and the developmental regulation of secondary wall formation in monocots has not been well characterized, except for identification of some enzymes and related proteins (Li et al., 2003; Hirano et al., 2010; Kotake et al., 2011).

Some secondary cell wall components can negatively affect downstream uses of plant biomass. For example, monocots are traditionally important forage crops; however, high lignin content results in poor digestibility. Also, several monocot plants, such as miscanthus and switchgrass, have recently emerged as potential feedstock crops for cellulosic biofuels (Schum et al., 2008); however, high lignin content may significantly affect the conversion of cellulose to fermentable sugars. In addition, high arabinoxylan and xylan contents in primary and secondary cell walls of monosaccharides may require additional xylose fermentation after saccharification. By contrast, pyrolytic biofuel applications may benefit from high lignin content, which increases energy density. Therefore, elucidation of the mechanisms regulating secondary wall biosynthesis in monocots has the potential to improve crops for multiple important applications.
The regulation of secondary wall formation has been extensively studied in the model dicot Arabidopsis thaliana. These studies revealed that a specific set of NAC transcription factors acts as master regulators of vascular vessel differentiation and secondary wall formation in fiber cells (Kubo et al., 2005; Mitsuda et al., 2005, 2007; Zhong et al., 2006; Ko et al., 2007; Mitsuda and Ohme-Takagi, 2008). For example, the expression of chimeric repressors of some VASCULAR ASSOCIATED NAC DOMAIN PROTEIN (VND) genes inhibited the differentiation of vascular vessels (Kubo et al., 2005). Also, the double knockout of NAC SECONDARY WALL THICKENINGS PROMOTING FACTOR 1 (NST1) and NST2 showed an indeterminate anther phenotype due to failure of secondary wall formation in the anther endothecium (Mitsuda et al., 2005). The double knockout of NST2 and NST3/SECONDARY WALL ASSOCIATED NAC DOMAIN PROTEIN 1 (SND1) caused a complete absence of secondary wall in fiber cells of stem and hypocotyl (Mitsuda et al., 2007). The sort NST double mutant and the sort single mutant also showed indescribable siliceous causes by the absence of secondary wall in the valve endocarp layer and valve margin (Mitsuda and Ohme-Takagi, 2008). The VNDs and NSTs are related NAC families, forming small adjacent subfamilies in the NAC transcription factor family phylogenetic tree (Mitsuda et al., 2005). Overexpression of these NAC proteins similarly induced ectopic secondary wall formation in various tissues (Kubo et al., 2005; Mitsuda et al., 2005; Zhong et al., 2006). Several MYBs and other transcription factors act downstream of the NACs in a complicated regulatory network (Zhong et al., 2007; Mitsuda et al., 2008). For example, the expression of chimeric repressors of some VASCULAR ASSOCIATED NAC DOMAIN PROTEIN genes would be named VNDs (VND), NSTs (NST/SND) and SMB RELATED PROTEINS (SNDs) actually regulate secondary wall formation in rice, and that the expression of chimeric repressors of some VNDs, NSTs, and SMBs improved digestibility as forage (Mitsuda et al., 2007).

The regulation of secondary wall formation has been extensively studied in the model dicot Arabidopsis thaliana. These studies revealed that a specific set of NAC transcription factors acts as master regulators of vascular vessel differentiation and secondary wall formation in fiber cells (Kubo et al., 2005; Mitsuda et al., 2005, 2007; Zhong et al., 2006; Ko et al., 2007; Mitsuda and Ohme-Takagi, 2008). For example, the expression of chimeric repressors of some VASCULAR ASSOCIATED NAC DOMAIN PROTEIN (VND) genes inhibited the differentiation of vascular vessels (Kubo et al., 2005). Also, the double knockout of NAC SECONDARY WALL THICKENINGS PROMOTING FACTOR 1 (NST1) and NST2 showed an indeterminate anther phenotype due to failure of secondary wall formation in the anther endothecium (Mitsuda et al., 2005). The double knockout of NST2 and NST3/SECONDARY WALL ASSOCIATED NAC DOMAIN PROTEIN 1 (SND1) caused a complete absence of secondary wall in fiber cells of stem and hypocotyl (Mitsuda et al., 2007). The sort NST double mutant and the sort single mutant also showed indescribable siliceous causes by the absence of secondary wall in the valve endocarp layer and valve margin (Mitsuda and Ohme-Takagi, 2008). The VNDs and NSTs are related NAC families, forming small adjacent subfamilies in the NAC transcription factor family phylogenetic tree (Mitsuda et al., 2005). Overexpression of these NAC proteins similarly induced ectopic secondary wall formation in various tissues (Kubo et al., 2005; Mitsuda et al., 2005; Zhong et al., 2006). Several MYBs and other transcription factors act downstream of the NACs in a complicated regulatory network (Zhong et al., 2007; Mitsuda et al., 2008). For example, the expression of chimeric repressors of some VASCULAR ASSOCIATED NAC DOMAIN PROTEIN genes would be named VNDs (VND), NSTs (NST/SND) and SMB RELATED PROTEINS (SNDs) actually regulate secondary wall formation in rice, and that the expression of chimeric repressors of some VNDs, NSTs, and SMBs improved digestibility as forage (Mitsuda et al., 2007).

The regulation of secondary wall formation has been extensively studied in the model dicot Arabidopsis thaliana. These studies revealed that a specific set of NAC transcription factors acts as master regulators of vascular vessel differentiation and secondary wall formation in fiber cells (Kubo et al., 2005; Mitsuda et al., 2005, 2007; Zhong et al., 2006; Ko et al., 2007; Mitsuda and Ohme-Takagi, 2008). For example, the expression of chimeric repressors of some VASCULAR ASSOCIATED NAC DOMAIN PROTEIN (VND) genes inhibited the differentiation of vascular vessels (Kubo et al., 2005). Also, the double knockout of NAC SECONDARY WALL THICKENINGS PROMOTING FACTOR 1 (NST1) and NST2 showed an inden...
PLANT CULTIVATION AND TRANSFORMATION

Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Columbia-0 was grown on soil at 23°C, under a 16-h day/8-h night cycle. For the genetic transformation, the floral dip method was employed (Clough and Bent, 1998). Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of rice cultivar “Nipponbare” was performed as described previously (Mitsuda et al., 2006). Regenerated rice was grown in soil for rice seedlings under natural sunlight in a closed greenhouse at 22–32°C, transferred to square-shaped plastic pots after 1–2 weeks and cultivated under mostly submerged water conditions (water height from the base of pot: 60–90 mm).

LIGHT AND FLUORESCENCE MICROSCOPY

For GUS staining, plant tissues were incubated in 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, containing 0.1% Triton X-100, 1 mM 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-b-D-glucuronic acid, and 0.5 mM potassium ferricyanide at 37°C for up to 16 h. Stained or harvested leaf tissue was embedded in 5% agar and 100-μm sections were prepared with a vibrating microtome (HM-650V; Microm Inc.). All observations by light and fluorescence microscopy were made with the Axiostar plus system (Zeiss Inc.). For observations of lignin autofluorescence, we used a filter with the following specifications: excitation filter: 365 nm short pass; dichroic mirror: 395 nm; emission filter: 400 nm long pass. To observe ectopic secondary wall thickening, we cleared tissues by incubating them overnight in 70% lactic acid at 50°C. To stain lignin specifically, sections were stained with 2% (w/v) phloroglucinol in 95% ethanol for 2–5 min, washed in 10 N HCl for 1 min, and mounted in 5 N HCl.

TRANSIENT EFFECTOR-REPORTER ANALYSIS

Transient effector-reporter analysis using protoplasts isolated from long-day-grown Arabidopsis rosette leaves was performed as described (Fujioka and Katou, 2007). Briefly, 0.5–1.0 mm strips of sliced leaves were incubated in an enzyme solution containing 1% (w/v) cellulase “onozuka” R10 (Yakult Pharmaceutical Inc., Japan), 0.25% (w/v) macerozyme “onozuka” R10 (Yakult), 0.4 M mannitol, 20 mM MES, 20 mM KCl, and 5 mM CaCl2, and 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol for 3 h with shaking at 50 rpm. The solution was then filtered through 75-μm nylon mesh (BD Biosciences Inc., USA) and collected by centrifugation at 100 x g for 5 min. The pellet was washed twice with W5 buffer containing 150 mM NaCl, 125 mM CaCl2, 5 mM KCl, and 2 mM MES, followed by centrifugation at 100 x g for 5 min. The protoplast suspension was kept at 4°C for 30 min. After removing the supernatant, the protoplast cells were resuspended in MMJ solution containing 0.4 M mannitol, 15 mM MgCl2, and 4 mM MES and then adjusted to 1.5–2.0 x 105 cells/ml. The protoplast suspension, plasmids and transduction solution containing 40% polyethylene glycol, 0.2 M mannitol, and 0.1 M CaCl2 were thoroughly mixed with a vortex mixer at 900 rpm for 15 s and then kept at room temperature for 10 min. After adding the plasmids, the protoplasts were washed three times with W5 buffer and then incubated at 22°C for 18 h in dark. Firefly luciferase driven by a minimal promoter with three tandem repeats of the NFT binding sequence or its mutated version was employed as a reporter. The details of its construction are described in the Section "Plasmid Construction."

Along with the reporter construct, 35S:OsSWN1, 35S:OsSWN2S or 35S:OsSWN2L was co-introduced as the effector. As the internal reference, a modified Renilla luciferase (hRLUC; Promega Inc.) gene driven by the 35S promoter (pPHLHSP; Nagaya et al., 2010) was used to normalized the data.

MEASUREMENT OF YOUNG’S MODULUS

30 mm-long explants were excised from the base of inflorescence stems of 14 2-month-old Arabidopsis plants. They were immediately fixed by immersion in 85°C methanol for 5 min and stored in methanol at room temperature. They were rehydrated and treated with 0.2 mg/ml pronase from Actinomycetes spp. (Actinase E; Kaken Pharmaceutical Inc., Japan) in 50 mM potassium-phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) containing 5% ethanol for 18 h after pre-incubation in protease solution for 2 h at 37°C. The protease-treated explants were washed with distilled water and stored in methanol. Force-extension relationships were measured with a tensile-testing instrument (Auto com-250N A/C, TS Engi- neering Inc., Japan). After measurement, the explant was dried and weighed for calculation of its mass per length. Young’s modulus was calculated by employing Cleland’s formula (Cleland, 1967).

ANALYSIS OF WATER TRANSPORT

To analyze water transport in ProOsSWN2:OsSWN2S-RDX rice, we employed Fantasy dye solution for the staining of cut leaves (red type, Palae Chemical Inc., Japan; Murakami et al., 2006). The petals of fully developed rice leaves were immersed in the Fantasy dye solution and observed for up to 120 minutes. After staining, leaves (0.07–0.35 g fresh weight) were cut into ca. 3 cm-length pieces, fixed and stored in 5 ml FAA solution (formaldehyde, acetic acid, and ethanol (8:1.1 v/v)) at room temperature. Most of the red dye was extracted from the leaf tissue with FAA in a week. The absorbance spectra of the extracts were scanned and we found the absorbance at 550 nm was specific for extracts from cut leaves. The absorbance at 625 nm was used as a blank in each extract. Delta absorbance (550–625 nm) was converted into arbitrary and quantitative units of dye uptake by leaves with a standard curve, y (units/ml) = 0.378 x delta absorbance – 0.0072.

TEM IMAGING AND ANALYSIS

Short pieces of rice leaf blades were fixed in 30 mM HEPES containing 2% paraformaldehyde and 2% glutaraldehyde then fixed in HEPES containing 2% osmium tetroxide. Fixed tissues were embedded in Q651 resin (Nissin EM Inc., Japan). Sections of 80–90 nm thick were post-stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and observed with a JEM1200EX transmission electron microscope (JEOL Inc., Japan) at an accelerating voltage of 80 kV. Thickness of secondary walls in several layers of cortical fiber cells beneath the epidermis was measured for more than 10 cells in each of 7–9 pictures.

THE ANALYSIS OF SACCHARIFICATION RATE, LIGNIN CONTENT AND ACID DETERGENT FIBER

Culms of rice were collected after the harvest of mature seeds and dried at 70°C for 1 week. The amylase-treated sample was prepared as follows. The samples were ground and the resulting powder (100 mg) was treated with amylase solution (3 U/ml of α-Amylase...
from porcine pancreas and 30 U/ml of amyloglucosidase from Aspergillus niger in 0.1 M sodium maleate buffer at pH 6.0 at 37°C for 16 h with shaking. After amylase treatment, the sample was washed with ethanol and water, then dried at 65–70°C for 3 days and designated as the amylase-treated sample. The saccharification rate of the amylase-treated sample was measured by enzymatic reaction with Celluclast 1.5 l and Novozyme 188 (Novozymes Inc., Japan) as previously described (Iwase et al., 2009).

We quantified lignin content by the acetyl bromide method, following the protocol of Johnson et al. (1961) modified by Morrison (1972); Iyama and Wullis (1988) and Hatfield et al. (1999). A 0.1 ml of sample was boiled with 10 ml of distilled water in a screw cap bottle and then autoclaved at 121°C for 60 min, after which the solution was cooled to room temperature. The supernatant (0.4 ml) was transferred to a 1.5 ml tube that contained 0.3 ml of 0.5 M NaOH and 0.5 ml of acetic acid. After mixing, 0.1 ml of 0.5 M hydroxylamine was added to each tube and the absorbance at 280 nm was measured. Lignin treated with alkali carbosylate (Sigma Inc., USA) was used as a standard for lignin content. All measurements were run in triplicate for each sample.

Digestibility as forage was managed by a modified method as described previously (Abe, 1988). Approximately 0.5 g of sample was boiled with 10 ml of distilled water in a screw cap bottle for 1 min on a hot plate to denature starch. After cooling, 35 ml of enzyme solution consisting of 0.2% cellulase and 0.03% α-amylase in 0.1 M acetate buffer was added and incubated at 40°C with shaking for 17.5 h. The sample was filtered through filter paper (Toyo 5A, 125 mm) and the residue was washed three times with distilled water. The residue in the filter paper was dried at 103°C overnight and weighed after cooling in a desiccator. Digestibility of the sample was calculated by the following equation: 100 – [A/S (G/A)]

Acid detergent fiber (ADF) was analyzed according to Van Soest (1963), 50 ml of acid detergent (ADF) solution consisting of 1 N H2SO4 and 2% (w/v) CTAB was added to about 0.5 g of sample and placed on the heating unit for 60 min, after which the solution was filtered through a fritted glass. After washing with distilled water and acetone, the filtered glass crucible with residue was dried at 105°C overnight. After cooling in a desiccator and weighing, the crucible with residue was placed at 500°C for 3–4 h in a muffle furnace. After cooling, the crucible was weighed. ADF was calculated by the following equation: 100(A – B)/S(G/A)]. A, G, A', and S represent weight of the sample, weight of container + filter paper, dry matter percentage and weight of container + filter paper + residue, respectively.

Acid detergent fiber (ADF) was analyzed according to Van Soest (1963). 50 ml of acid detergent (ADF) solution consisting of 1 N H2SO4 and 2% (w/v) CTAB was added to about 0.5 g of sample and placed on the heating unit for 60 min, after which the solution was filtered through a fritted glass. After washing with distilled water and acetone, the filtered glass crucible with residue was dried at 105°C overnight. After cooling in a desiccator and weighing, the crucible with residue was placed at 500°C for 3–4 h in a muffle furnace. After cooling, the ash was weighed. ADF was calculated by the following equation: 100(A – B)/S(G/A)]. A, G, A', and S represent weight of the sample, weight of the crucible + residue, weight of the crucible + ash and dry matter percentage, respectively.

**SUGAR COMPOSITION ANALYSIS**

Matrix polysaccharides of cell wall in culm sample (3–5 mg) treated with α-amylase and amyloglucosidase were hydrolyzed in 1 ml of 2 M trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) at 121°C for 60 min (Albersheim et al., 1967). After cooling to room temperature, the hydrolysate (TFA soluble fraction) was separated from TFA insoluble residues by centrifugation at 2000 × g for 5 min using a swing rotor and then dried at 80°C with N2 aeration. The dried sugars were dissolved in distilled water for the analysis of sugar composition. The TFA insoluble residues were rinsed with distilled water and ethanol and then completely dried at 80°C, for 24 h. The dried residue was treated with 200 μl of 72% (w/w) sulfuric acid and incubated for 1 h at room temperature with agitation. Then the mixture was diluted into 4 ml of distilled water and autoclaved at 121°C for 1 h. Sulfuric acid hydrolysate (TFA insoluble fraction) was neutralized with calcium carbonate and then used for the sugar composition analysis. Mono-saccharide composition of each hydrolysate was analyzed by Shimadzu Prominance HPLC system (Shimadzu Inc., Japan) with fluorescence detector (RF-AXL, Shimadzu) as described by Kawai et al. (2012). The standard sugars used in this study were glucose, xylose, arabinose, rhamnose, galactose, and fucose (Wako Pure Chemical Industry Inc., Japan). Samples were separated by Asahipak NH2P-50 4E (Showa Denko Inc., Japan) with guard column Asahipak NH2P-50G 4A (Showa Denko Inc.) and Opti-guard DVB (Lab Lab Company Inc., Japan) under 45°C at a flow rate of 0.8 ml/min. The mobile phase was 0.3% phosphoric acid:acetonitrile (15:85 v/v).

**RESULTS**

OsSWN PROMOTERS ARE ACTIVE IN RICE XYLEM CELLS

To analyze how secondary wall formation in rice is regulated and to determine which promoter is most suitable for the manipulation of rice secondary walls, we surveyed rice NAC transcription factor genes that are similar to Arabidopsis NSTs, which act as master regulators of secondary wall formation. We found two close orthologous genes (Figure 1A), OsSWN1 (Os06g0131700) and OsSWN2 (Os08g0115800), which were previously reported by Zhong et al. (2011a). We tested the promoter activities of these genes using β-glucuronidase (GUS) as a reporter, generating transgenic rice expressing ProOsSWN1:GUS and ProOsSWN2:GUS. The approximately 3 kb promoter regions of both OsSWN1 and OsSWN2 were active in leaf veins but examination of leaf cross sections revealed slight differences in their expression patterns (Figures 1B–G). The OsSWN2 promoter was particularly active in bundle sheaths, including vascular vessels in the xylem (Figures 1C,E,G) and the OsSWN1 promoter was preferentially active in several layers of sclerenchymatous cells beneath the epidermis in addition to the bundle sheath (Figures 1B,D,F). This pattern of OsSWN1 promoter activity was consistent with the previously reported in situ RNA hybridization data (Zhong et al., 2011a).

OsSWN1 AND OsSWN2, BUT NOT OsSWN3, CAN ACTIVATE SECONDARY WALL FORMATION IN ARABIDOPSIS

Because the OsSWN1 and 2 promoters were active in tissues where secondary walls develop, we next tested whether the OsSWN1 and 2 proteins could activate secondary wall formation. As previously shown, overexpression of NST1, NST2 and NST3 induced ectopic deposition of secondary wall in various aboveground organs of Arabidopsis (Mitsuda et al., 2005, 2007). To test the ability of OsSWN proteins to induce secondary wall deposition,
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FIGURE 1 | OsSWN1 and OsSWN2 promoters are active in the tissues where secondary walls develop. (A) Neighboring phylogenetic tree of OsSWN proteins and their homologous proteins in rice and Arabidopsis. The number on each branch represents percentage of bootstrap values among 1000 tests. (B–G) GUS stained tissues of ProOsSWN1:GUS rice (B, D, F) and ProOsSWN2:GUS rice (C, E, G). Leaf (B, D, F) and section of leaf (D, E) and section of culm (F, G). Bars represent 1 mm in (B) and (C) and 100 μm in (D–G).

we obtained cDNAs for each protein (AK119784 for OsSWN1; AK109860 and JN634071 for OsSWN2; The Rice Full-Length cDNA Consortium, 2003; Satoh et al., 2007). We found that OsSWN2 produces two alternatively spliced forms that encode proteins of different lengths. The predicted protein from the AK109860 cDNA (OsSWN2S) is shorter than the predicted protein from the JN634071 cDNA (OsSWN2L) and seems to lack the transcriptional activation domain. OsSWN2L was not described in the most recent study (Zhong et al., 2011a) but was recently registered in GenBank. We made overexpression constructs for OsSWN1 and OsSWN2, and transformed Arabidopsis with these constructs. Overexpression of OsSWN2S in Arabidopsis did not induce any ectopic secondary wall formation, but overexpression of OsSWN1 strongly induced ectopic secondary wall formation in Arabidopsis (Figures 2A, Zhong et al., 2011a). We also transformed OsSWN expression constructs into nst1-1 nst3-1 mutants, using OsSWN cDNAs expressed under the control of the NST3 promoter, which is preferentially active in the fiber cells of the inflorescence stem. We found that, consistent with the results of ectopic expression, OsSWN1, but not OsSWN2S, induced secondary wall formation and restored the fragile-stem phenotype of nst1-1 nst3-1 double knockout Arabidopsis plants when it was expressed under the control of the NST3 promoter (Figures 2C–F).

We next directly tested whether OsSWN1 and 2S/L can activate transcription. Based on its ability to induce ectopic secondary wall formation and to rescue the nst1-1 nst3-1 mutant, we hypothesized that OsSWN1 may act as a strong activator. Also, based on its length, OsSWN2L may act as an activator, but OsSWN2S likely lacks the ability to activate transcription. To test the activation activities of OsSWN1 and OsSWN2S/L, we performed transient effector-reporter experiments using Arabidopsis protoplasts derived from rosette leaves. For the reporter, we used a construct with three repeats of the SNBE sequence, which was previously reported to be a consensus binding site for NST transcription factors (Zhong et al., 2007) and we used a mutated sequence (mSNBE) as a negative control (Figure 3A). As expected, the OsSWN1 effector activated the expression of the reporter gene by as much as 20-fold, and the OsSWN2L effector activated the reporter more than 30-fold (Figure 3B). By contrast, OsSWN2S showed very weak activation compared with the control effector (VAMP722; Figure 3B). However, when OsSWN2S was fused with the VP16 activation domain derived from herpes simplex virus (OsSWN2S-VP16), the effector activated the reporter gene more than 20-fold (Figure 3B). In the negative control, OsSWN1, OsSWN2S-VP16 and OsSWN2L showed very little activation of reporter expression driven by the repeated mSNBE (Figure 3C). These results indicate that OsSWN1, OsSWN2S and OsSWN2L bind to the SNBE sequence specifically but OsSWN2S does not have adequate activation activity. We further tested whether OsSWN1 can activate native promoters of Arabidopsis genes that are known to function downstream of NST transcription factors. As shown in Figure 3D, OsSWN1 activated promoters of MYB46, CELLULOSE SYNTHASE A 7 (CESA7), FRAGILE FIBER 8 (FRA8) and Caffeoyl-CoA 3-O-methyltransferase 1 (CCoAOMT1), suggesting that OsSWN1 induces secondary wall formation by a mechanism similar to that of Arabidopsis NST transcription factors.
THE OsSWN2S CHIMERIC REPRESSOR ALTERS SECONDARY WALL FORMATION IN RICE

To analyze the role of OsSWN genes in rice and examine their potential utility for cell wall engineering in rice, we prepared transgenic rice lines that express chimeric repressors (OsSWNs-SRDX), which consist of each OsSWN fused with the modified plant specific repression domain [ethylene-responsive element binding factor-associated amphiphilic repression (EAR) motif] derived from SUPERMAN (SRDX: LDLDLELRLGFA; Hiratsu et al., 2003). The resulting chimeric repressor is expected to work as a strong dominant-negative transcription factor that represses the expression of its target genes and induces a loss-of-function phenotype (Hiratsu et al., 2003). Neither OsSWN1-SRDX nor OsSWN2S-SRDX induced apparent abnormalities when expressed under the control of promoters derived from the rice actin gene or the maize ubiquitin gene. We cannot exclude the possibility that the transgene was not properly expressed in the plants because we did not examine transgene expression. However, our results are consistent with results in Arabidopsis, in which the CaMV 35S promoter, which induces ubiquitous expression, was not efficient in inducing a loss-of-function phenotype in fiber cells with a NST1-SRDX construct (Mitsuda et al., 2005, 2007). Therefore we next tested the OsSWN2 promoter, which is preferentially active in the vascular bundle (Figures 1B,D,F), and found that ProOsSWN2:OsSWN2S-SRDX caused severe retardation of shoot growth and rolling of vegetative leaves, resulting in needle-like leaf shape, 4–6 weeks after culture under normal water conditions. In addition, the rolled leaves of ProOsSWN2:OsSWN2S-SRDX lines turned brown at the maximum tillering stage. We describe this phenotype of ProOsSWN2:OsSWN2S-SRDX as “para-wilting”; the para-wilting phenotype was observed in 499 out of 568 ProOsSWN2:OsSWN2S-SRDX transgenic plants but never observed in 779 control transgenic plants expressing the ProOsSWN2:GUS construct and 396 ProOsSWN2:OsSWN1-SRDX transgenic plants (Table 1, Figures 4A,B).

Because the OsSWN2 promoter is active in the vascular bundle, we examined water transport in the ProOsSWN2:OsSWN2S-SRDX plants using a tracer, red Fantasy dye solution. Even though no morphological abnormalities were observed in ProOsSWN2:OsSWN2S-SRDX rice leaves at 1–2 weeks after cultivation in the greenhouse, the excised shoots from transgenic plants did not absorb water as fast as the those from control transgenic plants (Figure 4C). Quantification of dye uptake supported this observation (Figure 4D). These data strongly suggest that water transport was severely impaired in these plants. This might be partly related to incomplete development of vascular vessels, as shown previously in Arabidopsis plants that expressed 35S:VND7-SRDX (Kubo et al., 2005), since detailed examination of cross sections of leaf blades revealed less accumulation of lignin in the transgenic plants (Figures 4E,F).

Next, we expressed the chimeric repressors under control of the OsSWN1 promoter, which is preferentially active in several layers of sclerenchymatous cells beneath the leaf blade epidermis and shows little activity in vascular vessels. Because OsSWN2S-SRDX effectively induced abnormalities in the experiment described above, we focused on OsSWN2-SRDX in this experiment. 192 out of 471 ProOsSWN1:OsSWN2S-SRDX rice plants exhibited an
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FIGURE 3 OsSWN1 and OsSWN2L but not OsSWN2S can activate transcription. (A) Schematic of constructs used in the reporter-effector transient assay in Arabidopsis leaf protoplasts. “SNBE” and “mSNBE” represent the binding sequence for NST transcription factors and a mutated version of the binding site, respectively. “LUC” represents firefly luciferase, which was used as the reporter in this experiment. VAMP722, which localizes to the vacuolar membrane (Uemura et al., 2004), was employed as the negative control. (B, C) Relative LUC activity of the reporter constructs shown in (A) when each effector was cotransfected into Arabidopsis leaf protoplasts. LUC activity when the negative control was cotransfected was set to 1 in (B–D). (D) Relative LUC activity of the reporter constructs indicated on the X axis when 35S:OsSWN1 or 35S:VAMP722 was cotransfected into Arabidopsis leaf protoplasts. Error bars indicate SD. **” indicate values significantly different from the negative control by Welch’s t-test with P < 0.05 and 0.01, respectively.

apparent drooping phenotype (Table 2; Figures 5A,B), a slight decrease in height (length of leaves). Detailed examination of leaf cross sections of the ProOsSWN1:OsSWN2S-SRDX rice plants revealed a reduction of secondary wall thickenings in the sclerenchymatous cells that form cortical layers beneath the epidermis and in bundle sheath fibers surrounding vascular vessels in xylem (Figures 5C–F). Moreover, transmission electron microscopy showed that the secondary walls were thiner in the transgenic rice plants (Figure 5G). Staining of the cross sections with phloroglucinol, which specifically stains lignin polymers, revealed reduced accumulation of lignin in the secondary walls of the ProOsSWN1:OsSWN2S-SRDX rice plants (Figures 5C,D). We quantified the lignin content of culms by the acetyl-bromide method and found that it was reduced more than 20% in the transgenic plants compared to the control plants (Figure 5H). We further examined the neutral sugar compositions of the culms using liquid chromatography and found that TFA-soluble xylose was significantly reduced, but TFA-soluble arabinose presumably derived from arabinoxylan was unchanged (Figures 5I,J), suggesting that xylan content was reduced in the cell walls of the ProOsSWN1:OsSWN2S-SRDX rice plants. Because some cultivars of rice and related monocot species are consumed as forage, we examined the ADF value, which shows the digestibility of the plant, with higher numbers indicating a higher lignin and crystalline-cellulose content, and therefore lower digestibility. Indeed, the ADF value was also significantly reduced in the ProOsSWN1:OsSWN2S-SRDX rice plants (Figure 5K). The enzymatic saccharification rate of the cellulosic material was also enhanced in the ProOsSWN1:OsSWN2S-SRDX transgenic rice plants (Figure 5M). These data suggest possible mechanisms for future genetic improvement of monocotyledonous forage and bioenergy species using this gene construct.

DISCUSSION

In this study, to explore the possibility of genetic engineering to improve the cell wall of monocots, we examined rice orthologs of the Arabidopsis NST transcription factors that regulate secondary wall formation. We found that OsSWN1 and OsSWN2 are expressed in cells where secondary cell walls are formed and can alter secondary cell wall formation in rice. Ectopic expression
Table 1 | Para-wilting phenotype of the ProOsSWN2:OsSWN2S-SRDX rice plants.

| Transgenic population | Number of plants showing para-wilting*1 | Total number of hygromycin-resistant plants | Percentage showing para-wilting [%] |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| ProOsSWN2:GUS         | 0                                       | 228                                         | 0                                 |
| 1                     | 0                                       | 207                                         | 0                                 |
| 2                     | 0                                       | 145                                         | 0                                 |
| 3                     | 0                                       | 190                                         | 0                                 |
| Total                 | 0                                       | 779                                         | 0 ± 0.2*2                         |
| ProOsSWN2:OsSWN2S-SRDX| 163                                     | 177                                         | 92.1                              |
| 2                     | 155                                     | 182                                         | 85.2                              |
| 3                     | 181                                     | 209                                         | 86.6                              |
| Total                 | 499                                     | 568                                         | 88 ± 2.6*2                        |
| ProOsSWN2:OsSWN1-SRDX | 1                                        | 396                                         | 0                                 |
| Total                 | 0                                        | 396                                         | 0                                 |

*1 Para-wilting: leaves rolling into needle-like shape and browning under normal water conditions. Number of plants showing para-wilting was determined after 18–24 weeks of cultivation in the greenhouse. Leaves started to roll after 4–6 weeks of cultivation.

*2 Mean ± SE (n = 3 or 4).

Table 2 | Drooping leaf phenotype of the ProOsSWN1:OsSWN2S-SRDX rice plants.

| Transgenic line | Number of plants that showed drooping leaves | Total number of hygromycin-resistant plants | Percentage with drooping leaf-phenotype [%] |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| ProOsSWN1:GUS   | 0                                           | 231                                         | 0                                         |
| Event no. 1     | 2                                           | 193                                         | 0                                         |
| 2               | 255                                         | 0                                           |                                           |
| 3               | 166                                         | 0                                           |                                           |
| Total           | 845                                         | 0 ± 0.1*1                                   |                                           |
| ProOsSWN1:OsSWN2S-SRDX | 103                                         | 204                                         | 50.5                                      |
| Event no. 1     | 47                                          | 108                                         | 43.5                                      |
| 2               | 43                                          | 159                                         | 26.4                                      |
| Total           | 192                                         | 471                                         | 40.1 ± 8.8*1                             |

*1 Mean ± SE (n = 3 or 4)

of OsSWN1 induced secondary wall formation in Arabidopsis and OsSWN2S chimeric repressors driven by the OsSWN1 promoter reduced secondary wall formation in rice. These results might

FIGURE 4 | ProOsSWN2:OsSWN2S-SRDX rice plants are defective in water transport. (A) ProOsSWN2:GUS rice plants (control). (B) ProOsSWN2:OsSWN2S-SRDX rice plants, indicated by the white rectangle. (C) Time-course examination of water uptake of shoots excised from the ProOsSWN2:OsSWN2S-SRDX plants before the onset of leaf rolling (1–2 weeks culture after budding). Water with a red tracer dye was used in the experiment. Bars in (A–C) represent 10 cm. (D) Relative dye uptake by ProOsSWN2:OsSWN2S-SRDX plants compared to control plants (set to 1). Error bars represent standard error. **” indicates values considered to be significantly different from control by Welch’s t-test with P < 0.01. (E, F) Cross sections of leaf of control plants (E) and ProOsSWN1:OsSWN2S-SRDX rice plants (F) stained with phloroglucinol to detect lignin. Bars in (E, F) represent 100 μm.
FIGURE 5 | Reduced secondary cell walls in ProOsSWN1:OsSWN2S-SRDX rice plants. (A) ProOsSWN1:GUS rice plants (control). (B) ProOsSWN1:OsSWN2S-SRDX rice plants. (C–F) Cross sections of leaves of control plants (C, E) and ProOsSWN1:OsSWN2S-SRDX rice plants (D, F) stained with phloroglucinol to detect lignin (C, D) or observed by transmission electron microscopy (E, F) (G, H, K–M) Thickness of secondary walls (G), lignin content (H), the ADF value (K), saccharification rate (L), and digestibility as forage (M) of ProOsSWN1:GUS rice plants (control) and ProOsSWN1:OsSWN2S-SRDX rice plants. (I, J) Cell wall sugar composition of TFA soluble fraction (I) and TFA insoluble fraction (J) in alcohol insoluble residues (AIR). Sugar composition was analyzed in AIR of ProOsSWN1:GUS (control, n = 5) and ProOsSWN1:OsSWN2S-SRDX (white, n = 11). ** and *** indicate P value of Welch's t-test < 0.05 or 0.01, respectively. Error bars indicate SD in (G, H, K–M) and (I, J). 50 μm in (G) and (D), 5 μm in (E) and (F).
reflect their abilities to activate transcription and we found that OsSWN1 can strongly activate transcription. However, OsSWN2S does not activate transcription, even though it can bind to the same cis-element as OsSWN1. It is likely that OsSWN1-SRDX did not function as a repressor in our assays because OsSWN1 itself can strongly activate transcription; this may counteract the negative activity of SRDX. The extent of ectopic secondary wall formation was greatest in the Arabidopsis plants expressing OsSWN2, compared to the other transgenic lines tested. In addition, we used the SRDX sequence, which is mainly used in Arabidopsis, and which may not function well in rice. However, OsSWN2-SRDX efficiently suppressed secondary wall formation, probably because OsSWN2 has no endogenous transcriptional activation activity in our assays to counteract SRDX function. By contrast, OsSWN2L, the recently identified longer version of OsSWN2, has comparable transcriptional activation activity to OsSWN1. These results suggest that either: (i) OsSWN2 functions in plants but OsSWN2S does not function, or (ii) OsSWN2L promotes, and OsSWN2S inhibits secondary wall deposition in planta to fine-tune the formation of secondary wall, similar to a mechanism previously suggested in poplar (Jin et al., 2012).

OsSWNs clearly do not belong in the VND subgroup, which functions in the formation of vascular vessels, but rather are classified in the NST subgroup, which mainly functions in the fiber cells in Arabidopsis. However, the OsSWN promoters are active in cells that will form vascular vessels. For example, the rice plants expressing OsSWN2S-SRDX driven by the OsSWN2 promoter showed a severe defect in water transport. There are several rice genes that apparently belong to the VND subgroups, are strongly expressed in vascular bundles, and can restore the drooping phenotype of nst1 nst3 double mutants (Zhong et al., 2011a). This supports the idea that OsSWN1,2 should not be classified as a VND protein, even if the OsSWN2 promoter is highly active in vascular vessels. As suggested previously for poplar (Cstani et al., 2011; Zhong et al., 2011b), the classification of NST and VND subgroups may also be ambiguous in rice.

Reducing the recyclability of plant material is emerging as a key issue for plant biotechnology in this decade, both for plant cell-wall polysacharides in plant cell-wall polyuronides by gas-liquid chromatography. Carbohydr. Res. 5, 340–345. doi: 10.1016/S0008-6215(00)80510-8
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Our study has identified the potential to improve plant productivity from cell wall biomass, with the application of non-destructive techniques such as NMR and FTIR spectroscopy. These methods have been shown to be effective in determining the composition and structure of cell walls, providing valuable insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying cell wall formation.

The NAC transcription factors NST1 and NST2 of Arabidopsis have been shown to regulate secondary wall synthesis in fibers of Populus trichocarpa. The expression of NST1 and NST2 is correlated with the biosynthesis of cellulose and lignin, respectively, in secondary cell walls. The overexpression of NST1 and NST2 in Populus trichocarpa results in increased cell wall thickness and improved mechanical properties.

Our results suggest that the NAC transcription factors NST1 and NST2 play a crucial role in the regulation of secondary cell wall biosynthesis. Further research is needed to understand the molecular mechanisms underlying the function of these transcription factors in cell wall development.
