Specifics of the impact of les mills fitness programs on the women's physical fitness of 30-35 years of different somatotypes

Natalia Romanenko, Victoria Sudar*, Victoria Raspopova, Elizabeth Yurkina, Anastasia Ostapchuk
Kuban State University of Physical Culture, Sports and Tourism, 350015, Krasnodar, Russia

Abstract. At present, the increasing role of women in all areas of modern society is obvious. In this regard, the issue of preserving their health in the circumstances of a negative environmental situation, the intensification and computerization of labor activity is important and actual. Regular physical activity based on health-improving physical culture helps to improve the physical condition of women. There are some shortcomings in the physical education organization for women of the first Mature age. It leads to a lack of systematic growth in physical fitness indicators, and a decrease in motivation for physical education. It is important to find effective ways to improve the physical training of the first Mature age women and evaluate the effectiveness of various motor activity types. The article discusses the characteristics and influence of fitness programs in the Les Mills direction on the development of women physical qualities, taking into account different somatotypes. The results of the study made it possible to determine the somatotype according to the metric scheme of R. N. Dorokhov, body components, their severity and ratio, the physical capabilities of women 30-35 years old, depending on their somatic characteristics. In order to increase the level of physical fitness for each somatotype of women, fitness programs were selected based on the popular Les Mills direction. As a result of the study, the effectiveness of combining fitness tools that help increase the physical capabilities of women 30-35 years old with various somatotypic parameters was proved.

1 Introduction

An active lifestyle is a valuable resource for a person's physical condition, but according to the health workers’ conclusion, it is low and cause the life quality decrease, and a number of different diseases. Lack of motor activity leads to functional capabilities decline. It generally affects the performance of the body as a whole [11, 12].

Currently, experts observe an insufficient level of population physical fitness, associated with the growth of technological progress. It makes person's life comfortable and sedentary, and increasing of mental and psychoemotional loads [8-10, 13]. This is especially true for women of the first period of adulthood who perform the main biological function—motherhood and care for the children's upbringing. With a small amount of free time, the issue of attracting women to sports and recreation activities is particularly acute.

The fitness system is most popular among women, especially the Les Mills fitness programs. They came to Russia from Australia. These programs develop physical qualities and functional capabilities of the body [3]. However, according to some experts, the morphological criterion of the Constitution-somatotype, combined with functional characteristics, features of the organization of metabolism, and motor capabilities – is not always taken into account when constructing physical culture and health classes [1, 5, 14]. During sports selection, adaptation to physical activity, physique features are taken into account (body proportions, body composition, somatotype), the relationship between morphological and functional features is studied. In health-improving physical culture such studies are not systematic, and the literature data often contradict each other. That's why, conducting a study related to the improving the effectiveness of women’s physical education, differentiated depending on the somatotype, based on fitness tools, seems relevant.

2 Materials and methods

The women's physical condition of the first Mature age depends on many factors, among which the most important are the lifestyle and systematic exercise [6, 7].

According to morphology specialists, it is necessary to take into account the somatic status of women. It is understood from the Greek (soma - body) and Latin (status - state) features of the physique [1, 4]. Its need for effective physical training management.
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The preliminary research made it possible to determine the somatotype along the line of dimensional (nano-megalosomal) variation according to the metric scheme of R. N. Dorokhov [2]. The majority of women belong to the mesosomal type - 42.9%, 31.3% - to the macrosomal type, and the smallest part to the microsomal type-25.8%.

For an adequate selection of fitness tools, it was necessary to determine the body components, their severity and ratio. So weak development of fat and lean body mass and low bone component identified microsomia type women, secondary development have mezosomia type women, high values have macrosomia type women . So microsomics have a low level of endurance and strength development, mesosomics have weak coordinating abilities, and microsomatic have not enough flexibility and joint mobility.

Participants of the experiment were divided into 2 groups of 35 people. Each of them was divided into three subgroups, taking into account the somatotype: microsomal (MIS), mesosomal (MES) and macrosomal (MAS).

Women in the control group performed aerobic and strength-intensive training for the development of strength endurance CXW orx – intensive training aimed at working out all the main muscle groups, especially the strength of the core muscles and Body Balance-a combination of elements of yoga, Pilates and stretching. Both groups worked out 4 times a week for 50-60 minutes.

### 3 Results and discussion

At the beginning of the pedagogical experiment, there were no significant differences between the two groups in terms of physical fitness. After six months of training, a comparative analysis of each physical quality revealed significant differences in absolute indicators, as well as in the growth rate of the studied parameters. The use of intensive training for the development of strength endurance CXW orx allowed macroscopic women in experimental group to significantly (p<0.001) improve the result in the 1000 m run by 15.5 seconds, the growth rate was 4.8%. Significant (p<0.001) values were also achieved by women of microsomal type engaged in cycle training, improving their performance by 12.2 seconds, the growth rate was 3.8%.

| Test                                      | Somatotype | October M±m | March M±m | t    | P     | Δ, % |
|-------------------------------------------|------------|-------------|-----------|------|-------|------|
| Running 1000 m, s                          | MIS        | 371±3,29    | 359±2,14  | 4,12 | <0,001| 3,1  |
|                                           | MES        | 369±3,29    | 356±1,61  | 3,73 | <0,001| 3,7  |
|                                           | MAS        | 372±3,10    | 356±2,50  | 3,95 | <0,001| 4,4  |
| High crossbar hanging, s                  | MIS        | 8,2±0,30    | 9,7±0,27  | 4,35 | <0,001| 19,2 |
|                                           | MES        | 9,5±0,28    | 11,4±0,24 | 4,62 | <0,001| 16,3 |
|                                           | MAS        | 8,3±0,27    | 10,2±0,27 | 4,96 | <0,001| 14,4 |
| Arm-pumping exercises, number of times    | MIS        | 10,6±0,50   | 12,7±0,34 | 3,76 | <0,001| 15,6 |
|                                           | MES        | 10,9±0,32   | 12,3±0,26 | 3,55 | <0,01  | 12,6 |
|                                           | MAS        | 10,4±0,29   | 11,7±0,38 | 4,14 | <0,001| 17,8 |
| Lifting the torso, number of times        | MIS        | 11,5±0,61   | 14,2±0,28 | 3,42 | <0,01  | 18,5 |
|                                           | MES        | 11,6±0,30   | 13,4±0,40 | 3,67 | <0,001| 16,4 |
|                                           | MAS        | 10,9±0,48   | 13,2±0,53 | 3,13 | <0,01  | 19,1 |
| Long jump from a standstill, cm           | MIS        | 122±3,05    | 127±1,08  | 3,39 | <0,05  | 3,7  |
|                                           | MES        | 120±0,52    | 124±0,97  | 3,52 | <0,01  | 3,2  |
|                                           | MAS        | 122±0,74    | 127±1,02  | 3,86 | <0,01  | 3,9  |
| A forward bend while standing, cm         | MIS        | 6,9±0,32    | 8,4±0,33  | 2,62 | <0,05  | 15,7 |
|                                           | MES        | 6,7±0,21    | 8,3±0,19  | 4,33 | <0,001| 16,5 |
|                                           | MAS        | 7,3±0,29    | 8,5±0,23  | 3,13 | <0,01  | 15,2 |
| Hand twisting in the shoulder joints, cm  | MIS        | 113,2±3,16  | 102,7±1,81| 3,15 | <0,001| 10,4 |
|                                           | MES        | 114,6±2,15  | 100,9±2,12| 4,43 | <0,001| 12,3 |
|                                           | MAS        | 117,2±2,72  | 102,5±1,81| 4,59 | <0,001| 9,7  |

Women in the control group also significantly (p<0.05) improved their results. However, their absolute values and growth rates were significantly lower than in the experimental group. Representatives of the MIS type improved by...
5.8 seconds, an increase of 2.6%, MES type - by 2.9 seconds and MAS type by 4.2 seconds, the growth rates were 1.6 and 2.7%. The data is presented in table 2.

| Test                                | Somatotype | October M±m | March M±m | t      | P     | Δ, % |
|-------------------------------------|------------|--------------|-----------|--------|-------|------|
| Running 1000 m, s                   | Mis        | 373,3±2,04  | 367,5±1,03| 2.34   | <0.05 | 2.3  |
|                                     | Mes        | 365,7±1,99  | 362,8±2,96| 2.12   | <0.05 | 2.2  |
|                                     | Mas        | 371,5±3,39  | 367,3±1,01| 2.14   | <0.05 | 2.2  |
| High crossbar hanging, s            | Mis        | 7,5±0,27    | 8,1±0,37  | 1.30   | >0.05 | 1.5  |
|                                     | Mes        | 9,2±0,29    | 9,9±0,21  | 2.14   | <0.05 | 8.1  |
|                                     | Mas        | 7,5±0,32    | 8,3±0,27  | 1.74   | >0.05 | 9.2  |
| Arm-pumping exercises, number of times | Mis        | 9,7±0,55    | 10,8±0,47 | 1.79   | >0.05 | 12.6 |
|                                     | Mes        | 10,5±0,29   | 11,2±0,24 | 1.75   | >0.05 | 6.2  |
|                                     | Mas        | 9,7±0,27    | 10,6±0,56 | 1.29   | >0.05 | 8.2  |
| Lifting the torso, number of times | Mis        | 11,6±0,57   | 12,8±0,60 | 2.15   | <0.05 | 15.1 |
|                                     | Mes        | 12,1±0,28   | 12,7±0,26 | 2.22   | <0.05 | 6.7  |
|                                     | Mas        | 10,5±0,38   | 11,7±0,27 | 2.12   | <0.05 | 8.8  |
| Long jump from a standstill, cm     | Mis        | 121,2±0,94  | 123,7±0,82| 2.14   | <0.05 | 2.2  |
|                                     | Mes        | 118,5±0,73  | 121,4±1,15| 2.07   | <0.05 | 2.4  |
|                                     | Mas        | 121,2±1,09  | 124,5±1,14| 2.13   | <0.05 | 2.6  |
| A forward bend while standing, cm   | Mis        | 6,2±0,26    | 7,1±0,32  | 2.24   | <0.05 | 14.3 |
|                                     | Mes        | 6,7±0,20    | 7,5±0,19  | 2.08   | <0.05 | 8.2  |
|                                     | Mas        | 6,8±0,32    | 7,8±0,39  | 1.94   | >0.05 | 13.3 |
| Hand twisting in the shoulder joints, cm | Mis        | 111,6±3,17  | 108,1±2,68| 0.85   | >0.05 | 3.1  |
|                                     | Mes        | 112,2±2,20  | 109,6±2,05| 0.83   | >0.05 | 2.1  |
|                                     | Mas        | 114,2±2,97  | 110,6±2,96| 0.86   | >0.05 | 3.1  |

The use of a multi-repeat method of performing strength exercises with a mini barbell allowed microsomatic women to increase (p<0.001) the strength of the shoulder girdle and back muscles evaluated by the “the crossbar hanging” test. The growth rate was 19.4%. In MES and MAS -16.3 and 14.4%, respectively. In the control group, significant (p<0.05) changes were found only in representatives of the MES type-8.1%.

In the test “arm-pumping exercises in laying position”, significant (p<0.01-0.001) differences were found in all subgroups of the experimental group. The highest growth rates in the strength assessment of the shoulder girdle and chest muscles were found in women of the macrosomal type 17.6%. No significant differences were found in the control group (p>0.05).

The greatest results in the test “lifting the torso” were achieved by women macrosomatics from the experimental group. Their intensive training was aimed at working out all the main muscle groups, especially the strength of the cortex muscles. The growth rate was 19.6%, while their peers from the control group – 9.1%.

The dynamic strength of the leg muscles was significantly higher in the experimental group than in the control group. The leading position was taken by macrosomatics with a growth rate of 3.9%.

Performing exercises of the GRIT Plyometrics fitness program allowed mesosomal women to significantly (p<0.001) improve their results in the tests of standing forward tilt and hand scrolling by 16.5% and 12.3%, respectively. A similar pattern was found in microsomatics (15.9-10.3%) and macrosomatics engaged in Body Balance based on elements of yoga, Pilates and stretching (5.2-9.9%).

4 Conclusions

The results of the comparative analysis clearly show that the formation of groups based on somatotypological characteristics and an adequate combination of fitness programs from the Les Mills direction for women of the microsomal type (with weakly expressed muscle mass)-strength training Body Pump with mini barbells and RPM – cycle training intensive training on stationary bicycles, for mesosomal (with an average level of fat and different muscle mass) – interval training Body Step Classic and GRIT Plyometrics, for macrosomal (with increased body fat and muscle mass) CXWorx - intensive training aimed at working out all the main muscle groups, especially the strength of the core muscles and Body Balance (elements of yoga, Pilates, stretching) leads to an increase in the physical fitness level of women 30-35 years old compared to the traditional approach.
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