Electrical conductivity of the quark-gluon plasma across the deconfinement transition
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A lattice calculation is presented for the electrical conductivity $\sigma$ of the QCD plasma with $2 + 1$ dynamical flavours at nonzero temperature. We employ the conserved lattice current on anisotropic lattices using a tadpole-improved clover action and study the behaviour of the conductivity over a wide range of temperatures, both below and above the deconfining transition. The conductivity is extracted from a spectral-function analysis using the Maximal Entropy Method, and a discussion of its systematics is provided. We find an increase of $\sigma/T$ across the transition.

PACS numbers: 12.38.Gc Lattice QCD calculations, 12.38.Mh Quark-gluon plasma

Introduction – Transport coefficients, such as the shear and bulk viscosity, the electrical conductivity and heavy-quark diffusion constants, can be seen as parameters in the low-energy effective theories that describe real-time evolution in the quark-gluon plasma (QGP) on the longest length and time scales, encoding the dynamics of the underlying quantum field theory, QCD. Knowledge of transport coefficients is especially relevant for understanding heavy-ion collision experiments, at the Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collider at BNL and the Large Hadron Collider at CERN, for which viscous hydrodynamics is routinely used as a tool to analyse the collisions.1 2

In the strongly coupled quark-gluon plasma, transport coefficients are not easily calculable. Although the application of holography in strongly coupled theories has provided an important stimulus, a nonperturbative computation directly in QCD is highly desirable. According to the Kubo formulas, see e.g. Ref. 1, transport coefficients can be extracted from the low-energy behaviour of appropriate current-current spectral functions. When using lattice QCD, which is formulated in euclidean space-time, the main challenge is the construction of spectral functions from euclidean correlators, i.e. the analytical continuation from imaginary to real time. Here the understanding has steadily increased and some lattice results for transport coefficients (mostly in SU(3) gauge theory, i.e. without dynamical quarks) are now available 5 6 7. We discuss this further below and refer to Refs. 1 2 13 for reviews on transport which include a discussion of lattice QCD aspects.

In this paper we present lattice results for the electrical conductivity $\sigma$ of the QCD plasma. On the phenomenological side the conductivity may play an important role in the evolution of electromagnetic fields during a heavy-ion collision 14 15 and it has recently also been suggested that experimental information on conductivity can be extracted from flow parameters in heavy-ion collisions 10. There are a number of lattice QCD computations of the conductivity, using a plasma without dynamical quarks (quenched, $N_f = 0$) 5 6 9. A recent two-flavour study at a single temperature $T$ in the QGP is available as well 10. Here we improve on these results in various significant ways. First of all, our simulations are carried out in a plasma with $N_f = 2 + 1$ quark flavours. Secondly, we consider a wide range of temperatures below and above the deconfining transition. This allows us for the first time to observe a rise of the conductivity as the temperature is increased 17. Third, we use the exactly conserved current on the lattice, whereas in all previous studies a local lattice operator, which requires renormalisation, was used. And finally, we employ anisotropic lattices with a substantially smaller lattice spacing in the time direction, allowing for more data points to be used in the analysis. Our main result is the observation of an increase of $\sigma/T$, as the plasma is heated from the confined to the deconfined phase.

Electrical conductivity – The electromagnetic (em) current is given by $j_{\mu}^{em}(x) = e \sum_f q_f j_\mu^f(x)$, where the sum is over the flavours, $e$ is the elementary charge, $q_f$ is the fractional charge of the quark (2/3 or −1/3) and $j_\mu^f$ is the vector current for each flavour. The euclidean correlator $G^{em}_{\mu\nu}$ built up from $j_{\mu}^{em}$ is related to the corresponding spectral function $\rho$ via the integral relation 11 13

$$G^{em}_{\mu\nu}(\tau, p) = \int d^3x e^{ip\cdot x} \langle j_{\mu}^{em}(\tau, x) j_{\nu}^{em}(0, 0) \rangle$$

$$= \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{\omega}{2\pi} K(\tau, \omega) \rho_{\mu\nu}^{em}(\omega, p),$$

where the temperature-dependent kernel is given by

$$K(\tau, \omega) = \frac{\cosh[\omega(\tau - 1/2T)]}{\sinh[\omega/2T]}.$$

In the rest of the paper we consider correlators at zero momentum and hence we drop the $p$ dependence. The electrical conductivity $\sigma$ can finally be determined from the slope of the spectral function at $\omega = 0$ as

$$\frac{\sigma}{T} = \frac{1}{6T} \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{\rho_{\mu\nu}^{em}(\omega)}{\omega}, \quad \rho_{\mu\nu}^{em}(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \rho_{ii}^{em}(\omega).$$
**Lattice details** – We use an anisotropic lattice of size $N^3 \times N$ with $2+1$ flavours of clover fermions [18, 21]. Using a finer lattice spacing $a_\tau$, for the time direction provides a better temporal resolution of the correlation functions without increasing the computational cost significantly. However, this choice introduces new bare parameters in the action, which have to be tuned carefully. This has been achieved in Refs. [18, 19], to which we refer for further details. The gauge action is Symanzik-improved with tree-level tadpole-improved coefficients. The Dirac operator reads [22]

$$D[U] = \hat{m}_0 + \gamma_4 \hat{W}_4 + \frac{1}{\gamma_f} \sum_i \gamma_i \hat{W}_i - \frac{c_4}{2} \sum_i \sigma_{4i} \hat{F}_{4i} - \frac{c_s}{2 \gamma_s} \sum_{i<j} \sigma_{ij} \hat{F}_{ij}. \quad (4)$$

The first three terms indicate the mass term and the usual Wilson operator $\hat{W}_\mu$, with $\gamma_\mu$ the Dirac matrices, while the last two are the clover operators, with $\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} [\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]$ and $\hat{F}_{\mu\nu}$ the lattice version of the field strength tensor. The parameters $\gamma_\mu$ and $\gamma_f$ are the bare gauge and fermion anisotropies, which have to be tuned. Following Ref. [18] we use a renormalised anisotropy $\xi \equiv a_\tau/a_\tau = 3.5$, which results from $\gamma_\eta = 4.3$ and $\gamma_f = 3.4$. The parameters in front of the timelike and spacelike clover operators, $c_4 = 0.9027$ and $c_s = 1.5893$, have been chosen according to tree-level conditions [21]. The gauge links $U_\mu$ are represented by three-dimensional stout-smeared links [23], with smearing weight $\rho = 0.14$ and $n_\rho = 2$ iterations. The light and strange quark mass parameters are chosen [19] to reproduce the physical strange quark mass and a light quark mass with $M_\tau/M_\rho = 0.446(3)$, i.e. $\hat{m}_0^{\text{light}} = -0.0840$ and $\hat{m}_0^{\text{strange}} = -0.0743$.

In Refs. [18, 19] only zero-temperature lattices were considered, with $a_\tau N_\tau \gg a_\tau N_s$ and $N_\tau = 12, 16, 24$. We have generated a number of finite-temperature ensembles, using spatial lattice extents of $N_s = 24$ and 32, and $N_\tau$ ranging from 48 to 16. Some details are given in Table I. As always, the temperature is given by $T = 1/(a_\tau N_\tau)$.

The critical temperature is estimated from the renormalised Polyakov loop inflection point [24]. Note that we have four temperatures both below and above $T_c$.

In order to compute the electromagnetic current correlator, we use the exactly conserved vector current on the lattice, whose components at lattice site $x$ read

$$V^C_\mu(x) = \kappa_\mu \left[ \bar{\psi}(x + \hat{\mu}) (1 + \gamma_\mu) U^\dagger_\mu(x) \psi(x) - \bar{\psi}(x) (1 - \gamma_\mu) U_\mu(x) \psi(x + \hat{\mu}) \right], \quad (5)$$

where $\kappa_4 = 1/2$, $\kappa_i = 1/(2 \gamma_i)$. To compute the current-current correlator as a function of the euclidean time separation $\tau$ in the zero-momentum limit, we use Wick’s contraction and neglect disconnected diagrams, as has been the case in all previous studies and is well motivated [9]: in particular their contribution is identically zero in the $N_f = 3$ case. We then find the following two contributions to the correlator,

$$
\begin{align*}
(V^C_\mu(x) V^C_\nu(y)) &= 2\kappa_\mu \kappa_\nu \Re \Tr \left[ -S(x, x + \hat{\mu}) U^\dagger_\mu(x) \Gamma^+_\mu S(x, y + \hat{\nu}) U^\dagger_\nu(y) \Gamma^-_\nu \\
&+ S(y + \hat{\nu}, x + \hat{\mu}) U^\dagger_\nu(y) \Gamma^-_\nu S(x, y) U_\mu(x) \Gamma^+_\mu \right],
\end{align*}
$$

where $x, y$ are two lattice points, $S(x, y) = (\bar{\psi}(x) \bar{\psi}(y))$ is the fermion propagator and $\Gamma^\pm_\mu = 1 \mp \gamma_\mu$, $\Gamma^\pm_\nu = 1 \mp \gamma_\nu$ with $\gamma_\mu = \gamma_4 \gamma_\tau \gamma_\nu$. Since we are using a Symanzik-improved action, the current should in principle be improved as well, by adding a total divergence of the form $a_\tau \partial_\nu \bar{\psi}(x) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi(x)$, which we have not done (for massless quarks this contribution is suppressed). To convert from lattice to continuum units on an anisotropic lattice, we note that the spatial current density $V^C_\mu(x)$ is given in units of $a_\tau^2 a_\tau$. It then follows that the correlator, projected to zero momentum, and its spectral function, are given in units of $a_\tau^2 a_\tau$ and $a_\tau^3 a_\tau$ respectively. Finally, in order to be able to compare with results obtained previously, we consider in this paper only the contribution from the two light flavours to the electromagnetic current. We can then factor out the fractional charge assignments of the quarks, via $C_{\text{em}} = e^2 \sum f a_f^2 = 5/9 e^2$, and write $\rho^{\text{em}}(\omega) = C_{\text{em}} \rho(\omega)$. The inclusion of the strange quark is in progress [25].

**Spectral function** – The inversion of the integral equation [1] relating $G(\tau)$ and $\rho(\omega)$ is an ill-posed problem, since the correlator is known numerically at a finite number of time slices only, whereas the spectral function is, in principle, a continuous function of $\omega$. To resolve this problem, one possibility is to use an Ansatz for $\rho(\omega)$ with a small number of fitting parameters and a constrained fitting procedure required for stabilisation [9, 10]. Another route is to use the Maximum Entropy Method.

| $N_s$ | $N_\tau$ | $T$ [MeV] | $T/T_c$ | $N_{\text{cfg}}$ | $N_{\text{src}}$ |
|-------|--------|----------|---------|----------------|----------------|
| 32    | 16     | 352      | 1.90    | 1059           | 4              |
| 24    | 20     | 281      | 1.52    | 1001           | 4              |
| 32    | 24     | 235      | 1.27    | 500            | 4              |
| 28    | 28     | 201      | 1.09    | 502            | 4              |
| 32    | 32     | 176      | 0.95    | 501            | 4              |
| 24    | 36     | 156      | 0.84    | 501            | 4              |
| 24    | 40     | 141      | 0.76    | 523            | 4              |
| 32    | 48     | 117      | 0.63    | 601            | 1              |
function corresponding to the $\rho$ particle. Note that the vertical line denotes $M_{\rho}$ at $T = 0$ [19]. As the temperature is increased, this peak is reduced and eventually disappears, which is interpreted as “melting”. The structures at $\omega \sim 4 - 6$ GeV are presumably lattice artefacts due to the finite size of the Brillouin zone and are not physical [29]. In the inset, we show $\rho(\omega)/\omega T$, in order to highlight the presence of an intercept at $\omega = 0$. It can be seen clearly that as the temperature is increased, a nonzero intercept emerges, indicating the presence of a temperature-dependent conductivity. Underlying this analysis is the assumption that the transport peak is not pushed to zero, due to a bias in the default model, which should be avoided. We also note a larger sensitivity to $b$ in the default model (8). The time range included in the MEM analysis is $\tau/a_\tau = 4, \ldots, N_\tau/2$ and the $\omega$ range is $0 < a_\tau \omega < 3$. The result is robust against variations of $b$, provided it is not too small.

In order to study the robustness of the MEM results, we have carried out a number of tests. By varying the time interval included in the MEM analysis, we found that the results are stable when $\tau_{\min} \leq \tau \leq a_\tau N_\tau/2$, with $\tau_{\min}/a_\tau \gtrsim 3$; the results shown here are obtained with $\tau_{\min}/a_\tau = 4$. Similarly, we varied the $\omega$ range with $0 \leq \omega \leq \omega_{\max}$ and found stability provided $a_\tau \omega_{\max} \sim 3 - 5$; here we use $a_\tau \omega_{\max} = 3$. An important test concerns the parameter $b$ in the default model, since this parameter is directly related to the intercept of $\rho(\omega)/\omega$ and hence $\sigma/T$, see Eqs. (4) [7] [8]. The $b$ dependence is shown in Fig. 2. We observe clear plateaus, provided that $b$ is not too small. In the latter case, the conductivity is unnaturally pushed to zero, due to a bias in the default model, which should be avoided. We also note a larger sensitivity to $b$ at the highest temperature, which reflects that in this case only a small number of time slices is available for the analysis.

Our results for the conductivity are shown in Fig. 3 where $C_{\text{en}}^{-1} \sigma/T$ is plotted against the temperature. We observe an increase of $\sigma/T$ as the transition to the decon-
We introduce the conductance $\sigma$ into the framework of lattice QCD, and the analysis of the electrical conductivity in the QCD plasma across the deconfinement transition. While inside the QGP phase, we have $\sigma / T / T \sim \sigma / T$, found in Ref. [5] above $T_c$, and the much smaller lower bound found in Ref. [31] from a re-analysis of the data of Ref. [9].

Conclusion – We have presented the first lattice QCD analysis of the electrical conductivity in the QCD plasma across the deconfinement transition. While inside the QGP our results are comparable with previously obtained results, we have for the first time observed an increase of $\sigma / T$, starting already in the confined phase. It would be interesting to explain this behaviour in effective QCD models or semi-analytically, both below and above $T_c$, see e.g. Refs. [32,33]. In the near future, we plan to include the contribution from the strange quark to the current. Finally, we note that this calculation only offers the QCD contribution to the conductivity and not the contribution from weakly interacting leptons.
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