GROWTH DYNAMICS OF GRASS-SHRUB COMMUNITIES DURING EARLY FORMATIVE PERIOD
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Abstract. The formation of stable grass-shrub communities is the goal of highway slope ecological protection. However, the growth dynamics of grass-shrub communities during the early formative period is poorly explored, leading to a lack of available guiding. In this study, we carried out an ecological slope protection model of grass and shrubs, the following species were selected: Cynodon dactylon and Magnolia multiflora. We examined the dynamics of plant coverage (PD), plant density (PD), and plant height (PH) of the two plants affected by topographic factors (slope gradient and slope aspect) and seed schemes (grass-shrub ratio and seed density). Moreover, we attempted to optimize the four influencing factors according to various PC, PD, and PH. The results indicated that the PD of grass-shrub experimental group was significantly better than that of pure-grass and pure-shrub experimental groups during the early formative period. Significant spatial variation of PD was also found during the first two months. The peak PD for 45° is 1.26-1.46 times higher than that for the other two slope gradients, PD of C. dactylon differed significantly with grass-shrub ratio (P< 0.05). The PH of C. dactylon and M. multiflora was significantly affected by the slope aspect. In addition, the optimum conditions for the formulation of grass-shrub communities are as follows: slope gradient of 30°-45°, slope aspect of south-facing, seed density of 20 g m⁻², grass-shrub ratio of 1: 9.
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Introduction

With the rapid development of China's economic construction, a large number of mountains have been excavated in road construction projects (Cao et al., 2009). The original natural ecosystem has been seriously damaged, forming several exposed slopes (Cohen-Fernández and Naeth, 2013; Shao et al., 2014). When there is no vegetation cover and other engineering measures on the highway slope, ecological imbalance and geological disasters will occur frequently. To prevent the collapse, debris flow, soil erosion, and other geological disasters caused by the degradation of the ecological environment, it is necessary to restore and reconstruct the ecosystem under the guidance of human beings (Fan et al., 2013). In recent years, with raising awareness of environmental protection, ecological restoration with vegetation has been increasingly emphasized by some people (Yang et al., 2016). More recently, grass-shrub seed technology is gradually the hot spot of ecological protection for highway slope (Wang, 2014).

Several researchers have reported on research of grass and shrub growth in grass-shrub community formation (Chen et al., 2019). Many meaningful and interpretable growth
parameters are often used to evaluate grass and shrub growth, such as plant coverage (PC), plant density (PD), and plant height (PH) (Allen, 1998; Gadi et al., 2019). Increasing PC can significantly control soil erosion (Fu et al., 2012). PC indicates the interception area of radial energy, which controls the evapotranspiration induced suction by leaves (Leung et al., 2015). Evapotranspiration induced suction governs the shallow stability of the vegetated slope (Liu et al., 2018; Ni et al., 2018). The PD determines competition intensity to change in community formation (Li et al., 2020). PH of grass and shrubs affects the biomass of vegetation, which affects the process of ecological restoration (Lei et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2020). PH is presumed to be a constant growth factor in previous studies (Zhu and Zhang, 2015; Gadi et al., 2018). There are growth dynamics during grass-shrub community formation, and monitoring and assessing the status during vegetation restoration is essential when evaluating the restoration success for highway slope (Hobbs and Harris, 2001).

The growth dynamics of grass-shrub community formation are influenced by topographic factors and seed schemes. Researchers have reported that the growth of grass and shrub was affected by the slope gradient and slope aspect (Fang et al., 2018). Research revealed that the shrub had both positive and negative effects on the growth parameters of grass (Cavieres and Badano, 2009). Early survival of grass-shrub seeds was influenced due to competition between grass and shrubs (Manzaneda et al., 2005). The competition of seed density and grass-shrub ratio influences the PH (Olsen et al., 2006). The different grass-shrub ratio affected the number and diversity index of grass-shrub communities (Song, 2015). The spatial patterns of individuals were related to the PH of grass and shrubs (Zhao, 2020). Grass and shrubs have different survival rates at different grass-shrub ratios and seed density (Schob et al., 2013). Topographic factors (slope gradient and slope aspect) and seed schemes (grass-shrub ratio and seed density) are often accelerated or inhibited the formation of grass-shrub communities. Therefore, it is important to know how the four influencing factors affect grass-shrub community formation, because it will determine the successful use of grass-shrub communities in restoration projects. However, the current main research is about topographical factors affecting soil microbiological indicators (Teixeira et al., 2019) and radial growth of vegetation (Kim et al., 2017), and seed scheme focusing on the yield of agricultural crops (Freudenreich and Mußhoff, 2018). Little systematic attention has been paid to the effect of topographic factors and seed schemes on the dynamics of PC, PD, and PH.

In this paper, we carried out an ecological slope protection model of selected grass and shrubs, namely Cynodon dactylon and Magnolia multiflora. The objectives of the experimental study are the followings: (1) exploring the dynamics of PC, PD, and PH affected by two topographic factors (slope gradient and slope aspect) and two seed schemes (grass-shrub ratio and seed density); (2) optimizing the formulation of topographic factors and seed schemes for grass-shrub community formation or highway slope ecological restoration.

Materials and methods

Materials

The experiment was carried out at Hubei University of Technology, Wuhan, China. In this test model, 48 cement prefabricated plates (1.5×0.5×0.05 m³) and 0.5 m³ red brick were used to make the slope models. We designed four slopes (15°, 30°, 45°, and 60°) according to the slopes of the most practical engineering. Two slope aspects (north-facing and south-facing)
were arranged (Fig. 1a). The model of each slope gradient contains 12 experimental groups, of which three slope gradients (15°, 30°, and 60°) are 6 experimental groups in the two slope aspects, and the 45° slope is north-facing (N) of 12 experimental groups. The area of each experimental group was 1.5×0.5 m². The total thickness is 150 mm, which can be divided into three layers: the bottom layer is the prefabricated plate with a thickness of 50 mm; the middle layer is the soil layer with a thickness of 90 mm; the topmost layer is the soil overburden layer with a thickness of 10 mm. After investigation of plenty of engineering slopes, the grass was chosen (C. dactylon), and the shrub was chosen (M. multiflora). The vitality of these two plants is particularly strong, suitable for slope restoration. The soil used for the tests was excavated at a depth of 10 cm~30 cm below the surface, from the bank of the Xunsi River in Wuhan city, and the soil area was 40 m². To provide initial soil fertility, the same fertilizer was added to all experimental groups.

Full-factorial experiments

In this test, the ecological slope protection model was carried out under outdoor conditions. A full-factorial experiment method was adopted to explore the optimal ratio of the four components evaluated in this study using four test levels (Table 1). These full-factorial experiments produced forty-eight different mix compositions. The test design is shown in Table 2.

| Test level | Factors | Factors |
|------------|---------|---------|
|            | Slope gradient(°) | Slope aspect | seed density (g·m⁻²) | grass-shrub ratio |
| 1          | 15      | N       | 15                  | 1:0               |
| 2          | 30      | S       | 20                  | 1:3               |
| 3          | 45      | -       | 25                  | 1:9               |
| 4          | 60      | -       | -                   | 0:1               |

The soil needed to go through three procedures (dried, crushed, and screened for 10 mm) before it was placed on the prefabricated plates. The soil thickness was identical for each experimental group. Plant seed was first weighed and soaked in distilled water for 12 h, then evenly sowed on the soil. Finally, 10 mm thick soil and a layer of non-woven fabric covered the vegetation seeds. The profile of the experimental group is shown in Fig. 1b.
Table 2. Testing program for the experimental group

| Mix | Slope gradient (°) | Slope aspect | Seed density (g·m⁻²) | Grass-shrub ratio | Mix | Slope gradient (°) | Slope aspect | Seed density (g·m⁻²) | Grass-shrub ratio |
|-----|-------------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----|-------------------|--------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 1#  | 15                | S            | 15                   | 1.0               | 25# | 45                | N            | 15                   | 1:0              |
| 2#  | 15                | S            | 15                   | 1:3               | 26# | 45                | N            | 15                   | 1:3              |
| 3#  | 15                | S            | 15                   | 1:9               | 27# | 45                | N            | 15                   | 1:9              |
| 4#  | 15                | S            | 15                   | 0:1               | 28# | 45                | N            | 15                   | 0:1              |
| 5#  | 15                | S            | 20                   | 1:0               | 29# | 45                | N            | 20                   | 1:0              |
| 6#  | 15                | S            | 20                   | 1:3               | 30# | 45                | N            | 20                   | 1:3              |
| 7#  | 15                | N            | 20                   | 1:9               | 31# | 45                | N            | 20                   | 1:9              |
| 8#  | 15                | N            | 20                   | 0:1               | 32# | 45                | N            | 20                   | 0:1              |
| 9#  | 15                | N            | 25                   | 1:0               | 33# | 45                | N            | 25                   | 1:0              |
| 10# | 15                | N            | 25                   | 1:3               | 34# | 45                | N            | 25                   | 1:3              |
| 11# | 15                | N            | 25                   | 1:9               | 35# | 45                | N            | 25                   | 1:9              |
| 12# | 15                | N            | 25                   | 0:1               | 36# | 45                | N            | 25                   | 0:1              |
| 13# | 30                | S            | 15                   | 1:0               | 37# | 60                | S            | 15                   | 1:0              |
| 14# | 30                | S            | 15                   | 1:3               | 38# | 60                | S            | 15                   | 1:3              |
| 15# | 30                | S            | 15                   | 1:9               | 39# | 60                | S            | 15                   | 1:9              |
| 16# | 30                | S            | 15                   | 0:1               | 40# | 60                | S            | 15                   | 0:1              |
| 17# | 30                | S            | 20                   | 1:0               | 41# | 60                | S            | 20                   | 1:0              |
| 18# | 30                | S            | 20                   | 1:3               | 42# | 60                | S            | 20                   | 1:3              |
| 19# | 30                | N            | 20                   | 1:9               | 43# | 60                | N            | 20                   | 1:9              |
| 20# | 30                | N            | 20                   | 0:1               | 44# | 60                | N            | 20                   | 0:1              |
| 21# | 30                | N            | 25                   | 1:0               | 45# | 60                | N            | 25                   | 1:0              |
| 22# | 30                | N            | 25                   | 1:3               | 46# | 60                | N            | 25                   | 1:3              |
| 23# | 30                | N            | 25                   | 1:9               | 47# | 60                | N            | 25                   | 1:9              |
| 24# | 30                | N            | 25                   | 0:1               | 48# | 60                | N            | 25                   | 0:1              |

Experiment monitoring design

The purpose of the test monitoring program was to quantify the dynamics of PC, PD, and PH. The monitoring period of the test was 32 months, from May 2013 to December 2015. Depending on the climate monitoring during the experiment, monthly rainfalls are shown in Fig. 2. In three years, the precipitation from January to May and August to December was less than 100 mm, in the dry period. The rainfall in June and July was more than 250 mm, which was a wet period.

The method of measuring the PC was to take photos of the test block with a SLR camera. To quantitatively analyze the spatial dynamics of PC, the green color was re-
colored into black and the rest of the colors were re-colored into white using the software IPP 6.0 (Yang et al., 2019), as shown in Fig. 3. The PC in this study is the total PC generated by the grass and shrub canopy (the sheltered area of shrub to grass is not calculated repeatedly). The calculated black area by the software was the projected area of vegetation, which was used to calculate PC according to the formula:

\[ C = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i}{A_S} \]  

(Eq.1)

where, \( C \) denotes the area PC, \( A_s \) denotes the total area, \( A_i \) denotes the projected area of a vegetation.

![Figure 3. Vegetation and their projected areas (test 2#). (a) Growth status in May 30, 2013, (b) Growth status in June 30, 2013](image)

The method of obtaining PD was to measure the number of grass and shrubs in the range of 1 dm\(^2\). PH of grass and shrubs needed to be measured with a tape. PC and PH were measured every three days for the first 20 days, every seven days for 20 days to three months, and every month after three months. PC and PH are the average of three measurements in each time monitoring.

**Data analysis**

Differences in PC, PD, and PH were determined using ANOVA with LSD test. Paired t-tests were used to test differences in PC, PD, and PH for December 2015 between the two topographic factors and two seed schemes. All statistical tests were performed using IBM SPSS statistics 23.

**Results and Discussion**

**Dynamics of vegetation cover during the monitoring period**

*Fig. 4a-f* shows the dynamics of vegetation cover in different stages. In May, the surface area covered by vegetation of the entire experimental group was relatively low (*Fig. 4a*). In June, the cover of *C. dactylon* increased rapidly, but the surface area was observed to be relatively low in pure-shrub experimental group (*Fig. 4b*). Grass-shrub experimental groups withered at a few locations, and *C. dactylon* withered more seriously than *M. multiflora*.
during July. Furthermore, we observed traces of rain erosion and exposure of the geonet in pure-shrub and pure-grass experimental groups. Unlike pure-shrub or pure-grass experimental groups, we noted relatively high resistance to erosion in grass-shrub experimental groups during heavy rain. This is probably because a combination of C. dactylon and M. multiflora. C. dactylon can slow runoff erosion. The stems and leaves of M. multiflora can play the role of rainfall interception and gravitational potential energy. In August, we observed the regrowth of C. dactylon. Changes in grass-shrub vegetation cover were relatively small from September to October. In addition, we observed that the cover area was relatively high in grass-shrub and experimental groups. This may be attributed to the relatively high water retention in grass-shrub experimental group during the monitoring period. The surface area of grass-shrub cover can avoid direct exposure to sunlight. The drought resistance of grass-shrub experimental group is stronger than that of the pure-shrub experimental group. The variation in grass-shrub experimental group cover was less during August and December, indicating that the grass-shrub communities tend to be stable. However, we observed wilting of C. dactylon from November to December (see Fig. 4c-d).

Figure 4. Changes in vegetation cover at different times (a) May 30, 2013, (b) June 30, 2013, (c) October 30, 2013, (d) November 30, 2013, (e) December 30, 2014, (f) November 30, 2015
From March to May 2014, most of the withered C. dactylon regrow, and a certain number of C. dactylon sprouted. From November 2014 to January 2015, C. dactylon and M. multiflora withered, resulting in reduced stem and leaves (see Fig. 4e). This may be due to the lack of rainfall in the two months of the year and insufficient soil moisture, which caused grass and shrub plants to wither. Most of the C. dactylon and M. multiflora began to resurrect in March 2015, and a few C. dactylon sprouted. In December 2015, a small amount of C. dactylon withered, but M. multiflora did not wither (see Fig. 4f).

**PC during the monitoring period**

PC is an important indicator to measure the condition of surface vegetation. Spatial and temporal variations of the PC are plotted in Fig. 5. From Fig. 5, we note that the PC curves exhibit two stages: (1) The rapid rise stage: in the first two months, the PC of all experimental groups increases to 48.28-100% range. Rapid change of PC indicates that the germination and initial growth of vegetation are mainly concentrated in May and June 2013. (2) Small fluctuation stage: the PC curve changes with season. In winter (October to February of the next year), the PC decreased by less than 10%, while in the winter of 2014 (October to February of the next year), the PC dropped by 15-20%. This may be because the C. dactylon and M. multiflora partially wither in winter, especially when plenty of leaves of M. multiflora fall, the PC reduces. The winter rainfall in 2014 was 30% less than that in previous years, which led to the withering of the two plantations to a certain extent and reduced the PC. In the spring and summer of 2014 and 2015 (March to August), the germination and new leaves of C. dactylon and M. multiflora increased the PC.

![Figure 5. PC of experimental groups during the monitoring period](image-url)
As can be observed in Fig. 5, the PC of grass-shrub experimental group is greater than that of pure-grass and pure-shrub experimental group, the PC of pure-grass experimental group is higher than that of pure-shrub experimental group. In December 2015, the slope gradients with the highest average PC were 30° (93.44%), followed by 45° (90.46%), 15° (87.42%), and 60° (78.41%) in grass-shrub experimental groups. According to the above analysis, the average PC first increases and then decreases with the increase of slope gradient. We use 85% PC to indicate the turfgrass establishment of the vegetated communities (Vietor et al., 2010). From July 2013 to December 2014, all grass-shrub experimental groups and some pure-grass experimental groups reached turfgrass establishment. After December 2014, only the grass-shrub experimental group reached turfgrass establishment, the PC of all pure-shrub experimental group and pure-grass experimental groups ranged from 32.18% to 83.95%, which has not reached the turfgrass establishment. Thus, we can conclude that the proper grass-shrub combination and proper slope gradient (30-45°) are beneficial to increase PC.

Next ANOVA method was applied to analyze differences in PC. PC differed insignificantly with slope gradient (P=0.233), slope aspect (P=0.09), and seed density (P=0.203). PC differed significantly with grass-shrub ratio (P<0.05).

**Dynamics of PD affected by topographic factors (slope gradient and slope aspect)**

7#, 19#, 31#, and 43# experimental groups were selected (1:9 of grass-shrub ratio, N of slope aspect, and 20g·m⁻² of seed density) to study the dynamics of PD affected by slope gradient. The curves of PD in experimental groups under different slope gradients are plotted in Fig. 6. It can be observed from Fig. 6 that the germination rate of *M. multiflora* is faster than that of *C. dactylon*. The PD curves of the two plants reach the maximum in one month and then generally decrease slowly.

As can be seen from Fig. 6a, the PD of *C. dactylon* decreased in winter (from November to February) and increased in spring (from April to July), but the variation of PD is only within 15%. We note that the PD of *C. dactylon* first increases and then decreases with the increase of the slope gradient, and the slope gradient of *C. dactylon* highest PD was 45°. Furthermore, The PD of 45° (57 N·dm⁻²) is 1.46 and 1.26 times...
higher than that of 60° (39 N·dm⁻²) and 15° (45 N·dm⁻²) on June 30, 2013. This phenomenon is mainly due to increased sunlight. At medium and low slope gradients, the energy exposed to sunlight increases with the increasing of the slope gradient. When the slope gradient is greater than 45°, the germination and growth are hindered by insufficient water retention on the slope, thereby leading to reduced PD.

From Fig. 6b, the average PD of M. multiflora fell from 7.9 to 4.5 from August to December 2013. The low early survival rate of M. multiflora is mainly due to the dry period from August to December and the lack of water for the survival of M. multiflora. A small number of re-germinated M. multiflora appeared in the spring of 2014, a few M. multiflora died in the winter of 2014, the PD of M. multiflora became stable after 2015. The PD of the 60° slope is significantly lower than that of the other three slopes, indicating that the high slope is not conducive to the growth of M. multiflora. There were insignificant differences in PD of C. dactylon (P = 0.06) and M. multiflora at different slope gradients (P = 0.12).

Next, to explore PD variation affected by the two slope aspects, we considered the 18# and 30# experimental groups (1:9 of grass-shrub ratio, 30° and 45° of slope gradient, and 20 g·m⁻² of seed density). As can be seen from Fig. 7a, the PD of C. dactylon with 18# (S) is greater than that with 18# (N), and the difference between the two experimental groups increases with time. There are significant differences in PD of C. dactylon at different slope aspects (P< 0.05). From Fig. 7b, the slope aspect has little effect on the PD of M. multiflora.

**Figure 7. Variation of PD affected by two slope aspects (a) C. dactylon, (b) M. multiflora**

**PD affected by seed schemes (seed density and grass-shrub ratio)**

Fig. 8 depicts the histogram of PD for the 45° experimental slopes (25#-36#) in December 2015. We observed from Fig. 8a that PD of C. dactylon is observed to rise with increasing seed density. Seed density for the highest average PD of C. dactylon is 25 g·m⁻² (41.67 N·dm⁻²), which is 1.59 and 1.09 times higher than that of 15 g·m⁻² (26.17 N·dm⁻²) and 20 g·m⁻² (38.07 N·dm⁻²). From Fig. 8, comparing the PD of C. dactylon and M. multiflora with 25 g·m⁻² and 20 g·m⁻², we note that the difference in average PD is very small. This result suggests that 25 g·m⁻² cannot bring more C. dactylon PD. Thus, we can conclude that from an economic point of view, 20 g·m⁻² is the best choice for the PD of C. dactylon.

![Figure 8. Histogram of PD for different seed schemes](image-url)
Meanwhile, PD of *C. dactylon* does not decrease significantly with the increasing of grass-shrub ratio, PD of *M. multiflora* increases with the increase of grass-shrub ratio. It can infer that more shrub seeds account for more total PD. PD differed significantly with grass-shrub ratio (P < 0.05).

**Dynamics of PH affected by topographic factors (slope gradient and slope aspect)**

We plot the PH curves of *C. dactylon* and *M. multiflora* obtained from 7#, 19#, 31#, and 43# experimental groups (N, 1: , and 20 g·m⁻²) in Fig. 9. From Fig. 9, *C. dactylon* grew faster before mid-May 2013, and the correlation between PH and time is linear. We found that PH of *C. dactylon* is relatively slow during mid-May and September 2013, which is only about 20 mm in three months. This result indicates that *C. dactylon* has stopped growing, which is consistent with the growth mechanism. We note that the PH of *C. dactylon* were reduced in July 2013. This is probably because the heavy rainfall seriously affected the seedling stage of the *C. dactylon*. Notably, the decrease of PH can be observed from October 2013 to February 2014, from October 2014 to February 2015, and from October 2015 to December 2015. Consequently, we can conclude that heavy rainfall and low temperature and dry climate have a great impact on the vegetation growth.

**Figure 8. Variation of average PD affected by different seed density and grass-shrub ratio (a) C. dactylon (b) M. multiflora**

**Figure 9. Variation of PH affected by different slope gradients**
From Fig. 9b, we observed that the pH curves of *M. multiflora* exhibit a four-stage nonlinear relationship: (a) the first stage is before July 2013, *M. multiflora* grows rather slowly, with a total growth of 580 mm. (b) The second stage is from August to September 2013 *M. multiflora* growing faster. Four experimental groups have grown 540 mm every month. (c) From October 2013 to February 2014 is the third stage, when *M. multiflora* growth slows down. *M. multiflora* grows 100 mm in five months. (d) The fourth stage is after May 2014, *M. multiflora* grows faster, but the growth rate is lower than that in the second stage and slows down in winter. This result indicates that the fastest growing time of *M. multiflora* is from the fifth month to the next spring/summer. In addition, the slope gradient with the highest average pH of *M. multiflora* is 15° (1064 mm) during September and December 2013, which is 1.06, 1.22, and 1.34 times higher than that of 30° (1000 mm), 45° (869 mm) and 60° (796 mm). PH of *C. dactylon* and *M. multiflora* differed insignificantly with the slope gradient (P > 0.05).

Next, to analyze the variation of pH affected by the two slope aspects, we considered the 18# and 30# experimental groups (1:9 of grass-shrub ratio, 30° and 45° of slope gradient and 20 g m⁻² of seed density). From Fig. 10, we note that the slope aspect affects the pH of plants, and the difference of PH between the north-facing and south-facing increases with time. In December 2015, the PH of *C. dactylon* were 151 mm (south-facing), which is 1.13 times higher than that of 107 mm (north-facing). The PH (south-facing) of *M. multiflora* is 1.25 times higher than that (north-facing). The PH of *C. dactylon* and *M. multiflora* differed significantly with the slope aspect (P < 0.05), which can be attributed to the positive effects of the prevailing winds from the southeast in summer (Zhou et al., 2016).

**Figure 10. Variation of PH affected by two slope aspects**

**Dynamics of PH affected by seed schemes (grass-shrub ratio and seed density)**

To understand the significance of the change in competition between grass and shrub, we considered four experimental groups (29#, 30#, 31#, and 32#) with the same factors (45°, N, 20 g m⁻²). Dynamics of PH affected by the four grass-shrub ratios are plotted in Fig. 11. We found that the pH difference between *C. dactylon* and *M. multiflora* was very small in the four experimental groups before August 2013, but the difference between the PH of pure-grass experimental group and grass-shrub experimental group increased with time after September 2013. As the time and the grass-shrub ratio increase, the pH value of *M. multiflora* also increases. We observe that the PH of *C. dactylon* for 1:3 (1:9) was
greater than 50 mm (60 mm) of 1:0 in December 2015. The PH of *M. multiflora* for 0:1 is 1.10 times that for 0:1 and 1.35 times that for 0:1. Consequently, we can conclude that the higher grass-shrub ratio is beneficial to the growth of *C. dactylon* and *M. multiflora*. PH differed insignificantly with grass-shrub ratio (P > 0.05).

We plot the PH curves of *C. dactylon* and *M. multiflora* obtained from 27#, 31#, and 35# experimental groups (45°, N, 1:9) in Fig. 12. From Fig. 12a, we observed that the relationship between PH of *C. dactylon* with seed density was not as obvious during the first two months. The PH difference of *C. dactylon* with different seed densities was not significant, and the PH difference of different seed densities of *M. multiflora* increased with time (see Fig. 12b). PH of *M. multiflora* decreased with the increasing of seed density. Seed density with the highest average growth height of *M. multiflora* is 20 g·m⁻² (2464 mm), followed by 25 g·m⁻² (2286 mm) and 15 g·m⁻² (1830 mm) in December 2015. The PH of *M. multiflora* to 20 g·m⁻² is 1.07 times higher than that to 25 g·m⁻². The results indicated that more than 20 g·m⁻² of seed density had a negative effect on the PH of *M. multiflora*. Excessive seed leads to increased competition among vegetation. The nutrients and water obtained from the soil of vegetation may be reduced. Consequently, we can conclude that excessive seed density will have a negative impact on PH. PH differed insignificantly with seed density (P > 0.05).
Discussion

It is a challenging task to stabilize and restore these damaged highway slopes by using suitable ecological restoration measures (Cao et al., 2010). The growth dynamics of different vegetation species has varying effects on community formation and may also reflect species differential responses to ecological restoration (Kadmon, 2016). PC, PD, and PH of pure-grass or pure-shrub revealed a sharp reduction, and consequently grass-shrub is beneficial to community formation. Our results showed that the PC grew very fast in a month is consistent with the conclusion of the studies on deciduous species (Gadi et al., 2019). The results indicate that the growth dynamics of C. dactylon and M. multiflora depend on the seed scheme, grass-shrub ratio has a critical influence on PC, which is consistent with the conclusion that grass and shrub significantly increased PC (Schäfer et al., 2018). It is clear from our data to reveal that shrub positively affected grass survival and the shrub had positive effects on PC, PD, and PH (He et al., 2014). First, shrub canopy has a facilitative effect on grass due to more shade, lower temperature, lower radiation, and higher soil moisture (Jankju, 2013). Second, pure-grass or pure-shrub has limited slope surface cover, unable to retain moisture. Seedlings of pure-grass or pure-shrub would be inferior to adaptation to harsh habitat conditions. Grass has advantages over deep-rooted shrubs in competition (Wang, 2014). However, grass had a negative feedback effect on the growth of the shrub and PC (Bai et al., 2018). The relationship between the shrub and the associated herbs was antagonistic. The final development is mainly herb-based or shrub-based communities, depending on the outcome of the confrontation between herbs and shrubs.

Previous studies showed that seed density played an important role in the stability and succession of ecological restoration (Bodzianczuk, 2001). The successful vegetation community establishment depends on the quality of seed density, germination conditions of seed, and growth conditions. Our results of more than 20g·m⁻² of seed density have a significant negative effect on the PH of C. dactylon and M. multiflora, which is consistent with the conclusion of high seed density producing low PD (Xu et al., 2016). Seed density has positive and negative effects on grass-shrub PH. Only a little increasing amplitude of the average total PD of C. dactylon is emerged as the high seed density of Vetiveria zizanioides (Kozovits, 2014). This dynamic was linked to competition and soil nutrition of grass-shrub. High nitrogen fertilizer provided significant increases in PD at high seed density compared to low seed density (Elfadl et al., 2009). Therefore, PD can be improved by increasing fertility and high planting density.

It was proven in this study that topographic variables may have an impact on plant growth dynamics. Results indicated that the effect of topographic factors (slope gradient and slope aspect) on PD and PH is significant, which is consistent with the conclusion of slope aspect having significant effects on the PD of all plants and slope gradient only affecting the PD of shrubs significantly (Ou et al., 2011). In addition to topographic factors affecting growth dynamics, age cannot be ignored. The topographic factors did not influence newly sprouted culms during the stable period (Niu et al., 2020). The plants we studied are all in the growth period, especially the M.multiflora has substantial growth in the second and third years, which is greatly affected by the slope aspect and slope gradient. Climate influence on PC, PD, and PH determines whether ecological restoration can be successful (Fu et al., 2012). To improve the seed germination, the sowing time was chosen at 20-25°C in May. Altitude is also a topographic factor, which has an important impact on the ecological dynamics of vegetation (Wagner and Mitschunas,
2008). Altitude is not involved in this paper, and further research in this area should be strengthened in the future.

The results highlight that it is essential to optimize the formulation of the four influencing factors of topographic factors and seed schemes in the scheme design before construction, and ensure that community formation of slope ecological restoration is quicker and economically feasible.

Conclusion

In this study, we investigated the effects of topographic factors (slope gradient and slope aspect) and seed schemes (grass-shrub ratio and seed density) on the dynamics of PC, PD, and PH of grass-shrub communities. Based on our results, we drew the following conclusions:

1. Vegetation cover increased rapidly during the first four months. The change in PC after the fifth month was small. The average PC first increases and then decreases with the increase of slope gradient. The community formation period differed significantly for grass-shrub ratio ($P < 0.05$).

2. The PD reached its maximum during the first two months and then decreased slowly. The slope gradient and seed density with peak value of C. dactylon PD are 45° (57 N·dm$^{-2}$) and 25g·m$^{-2}$ (41.67 N·dm$^{-2}$), respectively. PD differed significantly for grass-shrub ratio.

3. The slope gradient has an insignificant effect on the PH of C. dactylon, but the slope aspect has a significant effect on the PH of M. multiflora ($P < 0.05$). The seed density and grass-shrub ratio with the highest average PH are 20g·m$^{-2}$ and 1: 9, respectively.

4. The optimal conditions for the formulation of grass-shrub communities are as follows: slope gradient of 30°-45°, slope aspect of south-facing, seed density of 20g·m$^{-2}$, grass-shrub ratio of 1:9. The formulation can promote the formation of ecological restoration community of rock slope more quickly, which can be extended to practical projects.
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