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Abstract. In this study, the downscaling modeling chain for prediction of weather and atmospheric composition is described and evaluated against observations. The chain consists of interfacing models for forecasting at different spatiotemporal scales that run in a semi-operational mode. The forecasts were performed for European (EU) regional and Danish (DK) subregional-urban scales by the offline coupled numerical weather prediction HIRLAM and atmospheric chemical transport CAMx models, and for Copenhagen city-street scale by the online coupled computational fluid dynamics M2UE model.

The results showed elevated NOₓ and lowered O₃ concentrations over major urban, industrial, and transport land and water routes in both the EU and DK domain forecasts. The O₃ diurnal cycle predictions in both these domains were equally good, although O₃ values were closer to observations for Denmark. At the same time, the DK forecast of NOₓ and NO₂ levels was more biased (with a better prediction score of the diurnal cycle) than the EU forecast, indicating a necessity to adjust emission rates. Further downscaling to the street level (Copenhagen) indicated that the NOₓ pollution was 2-fold higher on weekends and more than 5 times higher during the working day with high pollution episodes. Despite high uncertainty in road traffic emissions, the street-scale model effectively captured the NOₓ and NO₂ diurnal cycles and the onset of elevated pollution episodes.

The demonstrated downscaling system could be used in future online integrated meteorology and air quality research and operational forecasting, as well as for impact assessments on environment, population, and decision making for emergency preparedness and safety measures planning.

1 Introduction

Progress in numerical weather and atmospheric composition modeling and continuously increasing supercomputing power make it possible to perform downscaling and nesting from the global to the local scales, reaching necessary horizontal and vertical resolutions for very detailed local meteorology and pollution forecasts. Global- and regional-scale atmospheric chemistry transport (ACT) modeling systems were actively developed and applied during the last decade. These were developed and applied within the frameworks of multiple EU FP6, FP7 and H2020 Monitoring of Atmospheric Composition and Climate (MACC) projects (Hollingsworth et al., 2008; Simmons, 2010; Peuch et al., 2014, 2016). Such systems mostly simulate the regional background of air pollution, for instance, in the Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service (CAMS; Grasso, 2017). These large-scale models with increased resolution have limited performance improvement for rural areas (Schaap et al., 2015) but have better modeling scores when reproducing spatiotemporal pollution gradients in and near large urban areas. As a matter of fact, urban and street air pollution is usually several times higher than the pollution at regional scale over suburbs and rural areas and is mostly associated with both local emission sources (Falasca and Curci, 2018)
and dominating urban weather conditions (González et al., 2018). Therefore, improvements in the performance of high-resolution models for urban areas are closely linked to the availability and quality of emission inventories at high spatiotemporal resolution as well as accurate simulation of urban meteorology (Mahura et al., 2008a, b; Pepe et al., 2016).

Generally, within the deterministic modeling approach, there are two options applicable to downscaling modeling. It can be performed with a single model capable of local grid refinement (Gettelman et al., 2018) or nesting of numerical grids (Petersen et al., 2005; Lauwaet et al., 2013). It can be also realized as a chain of different resolution models interfacing with each other (Temel et al., 2018; Veratti et al., 2020). In the latter case, the need for different models is justified by the fact that mesoscale models cannot capture local-scale processes accurately, since the fine-scale flow patterns should be explicitly resolved rather than parameterized (Schlünzen et al., 2011; Ching, 2013). As for the air-quality prediction systems, offline (Kukkonen et al., 2012) and online (Baklanov et al., 2014) approaches of coupling meteorological and chemical transport models have been commonly used. Each of these has its own advantages and limitations. Currently, there are several studies describing and evaluating systems capable of downscaling modeling of weather and atmospheric composition from regional to microscales. Amongst these, the study by San José et al. (2021) performed operational microscale simulations, but this model has a very basic chemistry scheme predominately applicable to cold seasons. The others did not consider an operational aspect and are unlikely to be able to run their microscale models in operational mode. The operational runtime constraints will not allow it because of the complexity of gas-phase chemistry mechanisms (Kwak et al., 2015) and because of the requirements for numerical grid resolution in large eddy simulation models (Khan et al., 2021).

The goal of this paper is to demonstrate and evaluate the applicability of the downscaling system coupled with computational fluid dynamics model on the operational modeling of an acute air pollution episode. This system consists of several models with nested numerical grids where meteorological and atmospheric composition prediction of regional and subregional-urban scales is performed. Three interfacing and coupled models – (i) numerical weather prediction (HIRLAM; Undén et al., 2002); (ii) atmospheric chemical transport (CAMx; Ramboll Environ, 2016; Amstrup et al., 2010a, b); and (iii) computational fluid dynamics (M2UE; Nuterman et al., 2010; Sabatino et al., 2011) – were used for airflow and pollution prediction at city-street scale (on example of Copenhagen, Denmark). In the Methodology section, we first describe the applied models and their configurations and, second, their interplay within a semi-operational setup of the downscaling system. The “Results and discussion” section presents the models’ evaluation of two urban observation sites (at roof and street levels) as well as recommendations about possible improvements in the downscaling system to achieve better prediction quality of forecasting at the finest scales.

2 Methodology: downscaling model system

2.1 Description of models

2.1.1 HIRLAM

The numerical weather prediction (NWP) model used in the current downscaling system is the DMI’s version of the High-Resolution Limited Area Model (HIRLAM; Undén et al., 2002; Petersen et al., 2005). It has basic components such as the hydrostatic semi-Lagrangian dynamical core, digital filtering initialization (Lynch and Huang, 1992), physical parameterization of radiation processes (Savijärvi, 1990), cloud-microphysics soft transition condensation scheme (Sass, 2002), turbulence parametrization (Cuxart et al., 2000), and the interactions between soil–biosphere–atmosphere surface scheme (ISBA; Noilhan and Planton, 1989). The ISBA scheme also includes the building effect parametrization (BEP; Martilli et al., 2002) module to account for effects associated with urban anthropogenic heat flux and roughness. The model is forced by meteorological lateral boundary conditions and 3D-VAR assimilated ground-based and satellite observation data (Gustafsson et al., 2001; Lindskog et al., 2001). The lateral boundaries are received every 6 h interval from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) and had a horizontal grid resolution of 0.15° × 0.15°.

In this study, the chosen modeling domains were used for operational numerical weather prediction at DMI. These two domains with crude (T15: 0.15° × 0.15°), and fine (S03: 0.03° × 0.03°) horizontal grids were applied for European regional-subregional-urban Denmark scale modeling (Fig. 1a), respectively. Vertically, 40 hybrid pressure levels (starting from 32 m above ground) were used in the T15 domain and 65 such levels (starting from 12 m above ground) – in the S03 domain. The upper boundary reached 10 hPa at the top of the atmosphere in both computational domains.

There are approximately 8 × 8 horizontal grids cells (each cell is about 3 × 3 km size) covering the Copenhagen metropolitan area in the S03 domain. According to Mahura et al. (2008b), the HIRLAM model with the activated BEP module must have at least 4 times more grid cells to make a significant prediction of the effect of city area on meteorology. Thus, the BEP module was disabled during operational forecasting with the S03 domain, considering the employed numerical grid as well as city size and urban characteristics of Copenhagen. The urban effects were taken into account through roughness parameter and meteorological data assimilated from urban and suburban stations.
2.1.2 CAMx

The Comprehensive Air Quality Model with Extensions (CAMx; Ramboll Environ, 2016) is the finite volume ACT model for regional and urban scales employed for air quality forecasting in our downscaling system. An updated version of carbon bond IV (CB-IV) mechanism (Gery et al., 1989) with improved isoprene chemistry (Yarwood et al., 2005) and two-mode aerosol microphysics scheme were used in the current setup. The tropospheric ultraviolet and visible radiation model (Madronich and Focke, 1999) was applied to calculate photolysis rate coefficients. Further details about gas-phase chemistry and aerosol microphysics together with wet (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016) and dry (Wesely, 1989; Slinn and Slinn, 1980) deposition schemes are described in the model’s user guide (Ramboll Environ, 2016).

For this study, two computational domains (Fig. 1a) were selected within the ACT model for modeling on regional (C20: 0.2° × 0.2°) and subregional-urban scales (C04: 0.04° × 0.04°) forced by meteorological output (with a coupling interval of 1 h) from HIRLAM-T15 and HIRLAM-S03 modeling domains, respectively. The vertical resolution of CAMx numerical grid is defined by the meteorological driver and, therefore, corresponds to 25 HIRLAM hybrid pressure levels covering the lowest 3 km of the troposphere. The chemical initial and boundary conditions for regional-scale modeling are represented by climatological mean values, and those for the subregional-urban scale modeling are obtained from the regional-scale CAMx model output.

The emission rates were calculated from emission inventory provided by TNO (Kuenen et al., 2010). The EMEP emission inventory was also available, but at a substantially lower resolution of 0.5° × 0.5°, and therefore, the TNO inventory was used. The inventory has longitude–latitude resolution of 0.125° × 0.0625° and covers all of Europe, western Russia and northern Africa. It includes gases (NOx, SO2, CO, NMVOC, NH3, CH4) and lumped primary aerosols with diameters less than 2.5 and 10 µm. The emission rates are associated with different anthropogenic sources attributed to various anthropogenic activities according to the Selected Nomenclature for sources of Air Pollution (SNAP).

2.1.3 M2UE

For the city-street scale modeling, the Microscale Model for Urban Environment (M2UE) was developed and applied. It is a computational fluid dynamics (CFD) code for airflow and pollution prediction in an urban environment (Nuterman et al., 2010), which is able to simulate a complex aerodynamics in heterogeneous urban canopy with penetrable (vegetation) and impenetrable (buildings) obstacles and traffic-induced turbulence. The model includes a three-dimensional system of Reynolds equations, \( k - \varepsilon \) (Launder and Spalding, 1974) and cubic eddy-viscosity (Craft et al., 1996) turbulence closure schemes, and “advective–diffusion” equations to simulate atmospheric chemistry transport. A numerical solution of governing equations is based on the fully implicit time advancing scheme and the finite volume method (Patankar, 1980; Ilin, 1995; van Leer, 1974). An ordinary uniform or nonuniform regular 3D grid with a fictitious domain method (Aloyan et al., 1982; Vabischevich, 1991) is used in the model. The M2UE model is coupled with a simplified atmospheric gas-phase chemistry mechanism. This mechanism is based on Stockwell and Goliff (2002) with an extra chemical reaction included: \( \text{SO}_2 + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 + \text{HO}_2 \). The full list of chemical reactions and the corresponding rate parameter for this reaction are given in Table A1, Appendix A. Such simple chemistry is dictated by the fact that the model was run in a semi-operational mode, which implies relatively short forecasting time at an available computer facility. In the
future, when more powerful supercomputers become available, the mechanism could be extended or improved with a more comprehensive one.

The computational domain (a section of Jagtvej Street and surroundings) used in the current study is explicitly defined by buildings, roads, and vegetation of the Copenhagen metropolitan (Fig. 1b) area obtained from the high-resolution Danish Elevation Model data set (Flatman et al., 2016). The horizontal and vertical extent of the computational domain is \(500 \times 500 \times 100\) m (detailed grid is shown in Fig. A2). The distance between buildings in the center of the computational domain, inlet and outlet boundaries is \(5H_{\text{max}}\), where \(H_{\text{max}} = 20\) m is the tallest building height. The distance between the tallest building and top boundary was \(4H_{\text{max}}\). Therefore, the current microscale domain extent and size is an acceptable compromise between the best practices and guidelines (Franke et al., 2007) as well as total operational runtime (should not exceed 12 h in order to satisfy modeling system requirements for the entire modeling chain). The horizontal and vertical numerical grids with local refinement of grid cells ranging from 1 m at the Jagtvej Street to 5 m near the domain’s boundaries was used. The Jagtvej Street emission inventory was derived from hourly traffic counts (Berkowicz et al., 2004) and emissions for different types of road vehicles (Chao et al., 2018). The traffic volume at the Jagtvej Street is similar to measured diurnal variations (Berkowicz et al., 2004, 2006). The emissions are given in Appendix A (see Fig. A1), where only one peak of concentration was observed during morning hours and also proven by street-level observations. Note that the emissions utilized in large- and microscale models are different. The CAMx microscale model uses annual emission inventory from TNO. It is pre-processed to account for monthly, weekly, and diurnal emission variations. M2UE uses traffic counts measured at the Jagtvej Street of Copenhagen to derive more accurate emissions (Fig. A1) and, hence, accounts for very local spatiotemporal features specific to this street.

Previously, the M2UE model contributed to the COST Action 732 evaluation exercise. This exercise was devoted to microscale model quality evaluation and assurance. Moreover, the dispersion patterns of chemical species in typical urban canyons were investigated in previous studies (Franke et al., 2007; Schatzmann et al., 2010; Nuterman et al., 2010, 2011; Sabatino et al., 2011) and would not add value to this research. Since the model’s dispersion capabilities were thoroughly tested, it seemed interesting and valuable to focus on operational aspects of the problem and, hence, to apply the CFD M2UE model using real-time weather and atmospheric composition fields. Therefore, the purpose of the current study is the concept proof (of the possibility of running the CFD type model operationally coupled with gas-phase chemistry), rather than a rigorous full-scale evaluation of the proposed downscaling chain.

2.2 Description of the downscaling model system

In the developed downscaling model system (Fig. 2), both the European regional (hereafter referred to as the EU) and the subregional-urban Denmark scale (hereafter referred to as the DK) forecasts are performed by the offline coupled HIRLAM and CAMx models. After up-to-date meteorological data assimilation and boundary conditions from ECMWF become available, the HIRLAM-T15 produces a 48 h meteorological forecast for the EU domain. This NWP model is always fully spun up, because this forecast is performed in a continuous manner four times per day (at 00:00, 06:00, 12:00 and 18:00 UTC). Afterwards, the meteorological output is postprocessed, interpolated, and adapted to drive the CAMx-C20 model with a spin-up time of 48 h and the forecast length of 24 h. The spin-up integration is the model’s cold-start run prior to the current forecasted day. The entire cycle of offline coupled HIRLAM-T15+CAMx-C20 modeling chain takes approximately 4.5 h of CPU time.

As soon as the EU scale forecast is completed, the DK scale forecast of meteorology and atmospheric composition is initiated. In general, the entire procedure of model runs and interplay is very similar to the EU modeling chain. However, the differences are in boundary conditions and the forcing and resolution of numerical grids. The HIRLAM-S03 meteorological forecast is forced by outer model lateral boundaries, while the CAMx-C04 atmospheric composition forecast is forced by meteorology from HIRLAM-S03, plus chemical boundary and initial conditions from CAMx-C20. For each integration cycle, new boundary fields are read at 1 h intervals. So, the models retrieve updated meteorological and chemical fields from the previous low-resolution outer domains. The forecast length of HIRLAM-S03 and CAMx-C04 runs is 36 and 24 h, respectively. The forecast cycle used 4 h of CPU time since the DK forecast initiation.

After the urban-scale forecast completed, the street-scale (hereafter referred to as the street) forecast is launched for a section of Jagtvej Street of Copenhagen and surroundings. For the Jagtvej Street domain inlet boundaries, the Dirichlet conditions with constant profiles of airflow characteristics (from HIRLAM-S03), averaged urban roughness, and atmospheric composition fields (from CAMx-C04) are chosen to drive the M2UE model. In particular, the output from S03 and C04 is linearly interpolated from four surrounding grid points into a grid point corresponding to the M2UE domain. The interpolated fields are used to derive the mean inlet conditions under the assumption of an equilibrium boundary layer with a power-law velocity profile and the assumption of local equilibrium for turbulent parameters (Richards and Hoxey, 1993). As for the chemical fields, the interpolated values are considered to be urban background concentrations. The outlet boundary condition is of the Neumann type with zero normal derivatives of all meteorological and chemical concentration variables corresponding to a fully developed and well-mixed flow. These above-mentioned boundary
conditions follow the COST Action 732 best practices and guidelines (Franke et al., 2007) for microscale meteorological and atmospheric composition models and ensure consistency with each other. The forecast for 24 h is performed after HIRLAM-S03+CAMx-C04 completed runs, and it takes ~1.5 h of CPU time. Since the M2UE model is very computationally expensive, the 24 h forecast is performed with 24 instant integration cycles forced by the hourly output of the HIRLAM-CAMx models. In this case, the spin-up time is just several minutes, as it is for the individual M2UE forecast. It is reasonable to approach performing the forecast in this way, because the boundary conditions and emission rates for each integration cycle are constant and only the rapid-photochemical reactions of O$_3$, NO$_x$, and NO$_2$ are of principal interest in the study. Due to the fact that the nested M2UE domain and roof-level observation site are spatially located very close to each other and within the same grid cell of the DK domain, the time series of CFD boundary conditions are identical for O$_3$, NO$_x$, and NO$_2$. Therefore, the air-flow regime was assumed to be steady during an instant integration cycle. The ratio of horizontal resolution of HIRLAM-S03+CAMx-C04 and M2UE-Jagtvej domains exceeds a permissible limit of 5 (Sokhi et al., 2018). However, the runtime of the entire modeling chain equals 10 CPU hours, and adding an intermediate domain (or chain of domains) between these three model domains will obviously lead to increased runtime over 12 h. Such large span of forecasting time is considered to be too large, taking into account the time constraints for operational tasks and cases when the models’ rerun is required (not to mention preprocessing and postprocessing the large amount of models’ input and output). Thus, such downscaling is a trade-off between acceptable runtime and permissible resolution.

### 3 Results and discussion

The example of the downscaling modeling chain realized in this study in a semi-operational mode with coupled HIRLAM+CAMx+M2UE models is described and evaluated with a focus on Denmark and Copenhagen for a specific meteorological situation.

During 4–5 September 2011 the meteorological situation was the following: the cyclonic system originated over Iceland and moved southward towards the British Islands and then eastward towards the Jutland Peninsula of Denmark with gradually changing atmospheric pressure from 990 to 1010 hPa. The cold front associated with the cyclone passed over UK and Denmark on these days. On 4 September morning, the cold front approached the northern part of the Jutland Peninsula, then propagated towards the island of Zealand, and brought up to 10 mm of accumulated (6 h) precipitation. Over Denmark, during night–morning hours on 5 September, the precipitation increased on average up to 15–20 mm (with several local maxima up to 40 mm). During these days, on a diurnal cycle the air temperature’s range was 18–24 and 12–18 °C (on 4 and 5 September, respectively) with further gradual cooling. The vertical sounding diagrams (Schleswig, Germany, 54.53° N, 9.55° E – the closest station to Denmark) showed the presence of the temperature inversion layer extended up to 1.5 km (at noon on 4 September) and reduced to 500 m altitude (at night on 5 September), and then a layer
of smaller depth remained at the top of the boundary layer at noon.

A near-surface spatial distribution of O₃ and NOₓ concentra-
tions (Fig. 3a, b) within the EU domain is shown for 5 Septem-
bre 2011, at 10:00 UTC. As seen, the elevated NOₓ concen-
tration of up to 50 µg m⁻³ was observed over urban, indus-
trial, and port areas of Denmark and neighboring coun-
tries. In addition, the North Sea oil extraction and interna-
tional shipping were other sources of elevated NOₓ levels
over the Danish territorial waters. The near-surface O₃ con-
centration varied within the range of 40–150 µg m⁻³, with
local minima over and downwind the areas of anthropogenic
NOₓ sources (Fig. 3a) where ozone was depressed due to
the NOₓ titration.

As for the nested DK domain (Fig. 3c, d), it is seen from
the near-surface wind and concentrations patterns that
the mesoscale circulation as well as the local anthropogenic
g Hermione sources were resolved in greater detail due to the
finer S03-C04 horizontal resolution. Therefore, one can
observe additional local concentration maxima of NOₓ with
higher levels up to 250 µg m⁻³ over Denmark. These were
mostly localized near the same type of anthropogenic
sources as those mentioned above in the EU domain. As a result,
there were more O₃ depression spots including those over the
northern Jutland Peninsula’s highways over the territories of
Denmark and Germany. However, the background values of
the near-surface ozone did not change significantly at large
distances from the anthropogenic NOₓ sources.

In Fig. 4, the time series of O₃, NOₓ, and NOₓ observa-
tions provided by the Danish air-quality monitoring pro-
gram (Ellerman et al., 2012) and modeled concentrations by
the downscaling system are presented. Those observations
were performed by automatic stations (Fig. 1b) at the roof
level of H. C. Ørsted Institute and at Jagtvej Street (only
NOₓ, NOₓ particulate matter, and benzene were measured
on the street; O₃ was not available for that time at this loca-
tion). As seen in Fig. 4a, the T15-C20 and S03-C04 results
showed an ability to effectively reproduce the O₃ diurnal cy-
cle (EU-Rₑ = 0.81 and DK-Rₑ = 0.8, with 𝑝 ≪ 5 %) for
both domains at regional and subregional-urban scales. How-
ever, the forecasted O₃ at the EU scale was generally overes-
timated in comparison to the modeling results at the DK scale
(EU_RMSE = 31 vs. DK_RMSE = 19). Although the NOₓ and
NOₓ levels were generally overestimated in the DK scale
forecast (Fig. 4b, c) when compared to the EU scale forecast
and observations (EU_RMSE = 23 vs. DK_RMSE = 47 for
NOₓ and EU_RMSE = 13 vs. DK_RMSE = 33 for NO₂), the
diurnal cycle at the DK scale is better captured according
to obtained Pearson correlation values (EU-Rₑ = 0.56 vs.
DK-Rₑ = 0.69 for NOₓ and EU-Rₑ = 0.64 vs. DK-Rₑ = 0.72
for NO₂). And it is particularly notable when the
NOₓ and NOₓ peaks were observed during several morning
hours (on 5 September 2011, 07:00 UTC). This was caused
by the complex unfavorable meteorological conditions over
the Copenhagen metropolitan area and high emission rates
mainly from intense morning traffic. The timing and lev-
els of NOₓ and NO₂ peaks were well captured by the DK
scale forecast in contrast to the EU one, which poorly pre-
dicted it with slightly elevated NOₓ and NO₂ values. Note
the O₃ depression at the same time, which was not captured
by the EU air-quality forecast. Since the primary sources of
atmospheric nitrogen are anthropogenic emissions, its over-
estimated concentrations are attributed to the preprocessing
treatment of emission rates. It can be related to the inter-
polation of the original gridded emissions into the meshes of
ACT model, which is apparently insufficient, and therefore,
some additional rescaling adjustments in terms of emission
rates and their time dynamics are required.

The diurnal cycles of NOₓ and NO₂ concentrations ob-
erved and modeled for the Jagtvej Street are shown in
Fig. 4d and e. Following analysis and calculated correlation
values (Rₑ = 0.66 and Rₑ = 0.45 for NOₓ and NO₂, re-
spectively), the street-scale M2UE model effectively forecasted
the observed NOₓ and NO₂ diurnal cycles, including the on-
set of an elevated air pollution episode on 5 September (see
forecast length 30–35 h). It corresponded to the local time of
8–10 h of a typical working day (Monday) when the most
intense road traffic is observed. However, the model’s quan-
titative estimate of NOₓ concentration is substantially biased
(with RMSE = 103) particularly during 5 September 2011.
In spite of the captured elevated NOₓ levels, the model pre-
dicted two peaks at 31 and 34 h of forecast length and de-
pression at 32 h, while the time series of observations showed
only one peak at 32 h, which was approximately 200 µg m⁻³
higher than the modeled peaks. Note that the model also ex-
hibited elevated NO₂ levels (with RMSE = 38) during the
high air pollution episode with the concentrations un-
derestimated.

There are several reasons why the M2UE model was able
to make a qualitatively good prediction in general but had
difficulties at capturing the elevated NOₓ and NO₂ concen-
trations. First of all, the coupling interval span of the offline
 coupled models (HIRLAM+CAMx) plays an important role
in constraining the influence of mesoscale disturbances on
the evolution of atmospheric composition (Korsholm et al.,
2011). It might give an offset in the timing and position of
the predicted concentrations. As seen in Fig. 4a, b, and c, the
effect of that at the onset of the high air pollution episode
(where its slight offset of approximately half an hour) was
observed and then inherited by the nested microscale model.
The second reason is that the M2UE forcing was provided
on an hourly basis by the HIRLAM-S03+CAMx-C04 mod-
eling chain. Such forcing is rather crude in terms of time
and horizontal resolution for the CFD model, which is ca-
pable of predicting very fine spatiotemporal turbulent flow
scales occurring within meters and seconds. The third reason
is an uncertainty in local road traffic emissions used by the
M2UE model, although some traffic count data and informa-
tion about emissions from different types of vehicles were
available (Chao et al., 2018). Despite these above-mentioned
shortcomings, the presented downscaling modeling system was able to produce good-quality atmospheric composition forecasts across different spatial and temporal scales.

4 Conclusions

In this study, the downscaling modeling system for real-time prediction of weather and atmospheric composition up to the street scale has been described and tested. It consists of several interfacing models. The forecasts were performed at the regional and subregional-urban scales by the offline coupled operational numerical weather prediction HIRLAM and atmospheric chemical transport CAMx models and at the city-street scale by the online coupled computational fluid dynamics M2UE model. The downscaling system was tested for the Europe (EU), Denmark (DK), and Copenhagen street domains in a semi-operational forecasting mode and evaluated against existing observations for a high pollution episode of 4–5 September 2011.

The results of modeling showed elevated NO\textsubscript{x} and lowered O\textsubscript{3} concentrations over major urban, industrial, and transport land and water routes in both the EU and DK scale forecasts. Comparison of these forecasts showed higher levels of NO\textsubscript{x} and slightly lower O\textsubscript{3} in the DK domain because of higher NO\textsubscript{x} emission rates and titration. The O\textsubscript{3} diurnal cycle predictions in both the EU and DK domains are equally good, although O\textsubscript{3} values were closer to observations for DK. At the same time, the DK scale forecast of NO\textsubscript{x} and NO\textsubscript{2} levels was more biased (with better prediction score of the diurnal cycle) than the EU scale forecast. Further downscaling to the street level indicated that the NO\textsubscript{x} pollution (mainly from road traffic) was 2-fold higher on weekends and more than 5 times higher during the working day with high pollution episodes. Despite high uncertainty in road traffic emissions, the M2UE model effectively captured the NO\textsubscript{x} and NO\textsubscript{2} diurnal cycles and the onset of elevated pollution episodes. However, in order to arrive at the final conclusion about the performance of individual systems’ components and the en-
Figure 4. Time series of observations vs. HIRLAM+CAMx+M2UE forecasts for (a) O$_3$, (b, d) NO$_x$ and (c, e) NO$_2$ for the European, Denmark, and Copenhagen modeling domains during Sunday–Monday 4–5 September 2011; (a, b, c) HCØ roof level observations of O$_3$, NO$_x$, and NO$_2$ vs. modeling results for EU and DK domains; (d, e) Jagtvej Street level observations of NO$_x$ and NO$_2$ vs. M2UE. Pearson correlation $R_p$ and root mean square error RMSE of modeled vs. observed concentrations for three domains.

The downscaling chain, evaluation for a more extended time period and of additional measurement sites around Denmark is needed.

A potential improvement of this downscaling system can come from employing online coupled (instead of offline coupled) mesoscale models. Such an approach could help to minimize problems associated with offline coupling interval and therefore produce a better fit of modeling results with observations, as well as better identify the timing and location of elevated pollution episodes. As for the local-scale predictions, an intermediate additional nested domain between subregional-urban and microscale runs for the Copenhagen metropolitan area could provide improvement for local-scale airflow and pollution forecasting scores. However, these will be possible once a better-quality high-resolution emission inventory becomes available. The demonstrated downscaling system with the suggested above improvements could be used in future online integrated meteorology and air quality research and operational forecasting. Such a system can be also applied for advanced planning safety measures, postaccidental analysis, emergency preparedness, health, and environment impact assessments.

Keeping in mind the main uncertainties (e.g., street-level emissions, increasing number of urban observations), there are several possibilities for improving demonstrated urban-street level forecasts using different types of observations (e.g., from remote sensing, crowd sourcing and citizen science) in urban areas. These observations and recently developing methods such as the bias correction techniques, machine learning, artificial intelligence, and neural networks can also improve air-quality forecasting (see overview, e.g., in Bai et al., 2018).
Appendix A

Chemical reactions and rate parameters are the same as in Stockwell and Goliff (2002), except chemical reaction 
\( \text{SO}_2 + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 + \text{HO}_2 \) with the rate parameter from 
(Sander et al., 2006):

\[
\begin{align*}
k_0 &= 3 \times 10^{-31} \times C_{\text{air}} \times (300/T)^{3.3}, \\
k_\infty &= 1.5 \times 10^{-12} \\
k &= \frac{k_0}{1 + k_0/k_\infty} \times 0.6^{\left(1 + [\log_{10}(k_0/k_\infty)]^3\right)}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( T \) is temperature [K] and \( C_{\text{air}} \) is air concentration [molecules/cm\(^3\)].

Table A1. List of chemical reactions included as gas-phase chemistry mechanism in M2UE model.

| Reaction 1          | Reaction 2          |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| \( \text{NO}_2 + \text{hv} \rightarrow \text{O}(^3 \text{P}) + \text{NO} \) | \( \text{RO}_2 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{HO}_2 + \text{HCHO} \) |
| \( \text{O}_3 + \text{hv} \rightarrow \text{O}(^1 \text{D}) + \text{O}_2 \) | \( \text{CO} + \text{HO} \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{CO}_2 \) |
| \( \text{HCHO} + \text{hv} \rightarrow 2\text{HO}_2 + \text{CO} \) | \( \text{HO} + \text{HC} \rightarrow \text{RO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \) |
| \( \text{HCHO} + \text{hv} \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{CO} \) | \( \text{HO} + \text{HCHO} \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \) |
| \( \text{O}(^3 \text{P}) + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}_3 \) | \( \text{HO} + \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{HNO}_3 \) |
| \( \text{O}(^1 \text{D}) + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}(^3 \text{P}) + \text{N}_2 \) | \( 2\text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2 \) |
| \( \text{O}(^1 \text{D}) + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}(^3 \text{P}) + \text{O}_2 \) | \( 2\text{HO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2 \) |
| \( \text{O}(^1 \text{D}) + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{OH} \) | \( \text{HO}_2 + \text{RO}_2 \rightarrow \text{ROOH} + \text{O}_2 \) |
| \( \text{HO}_2 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{HO} \) | \( 2\text{RO}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{HCHO} + \text{HO}_2 \) |
| \( \text{O}_3 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_2 \) | \( \text{SO}_2 + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 + \text{HO}_2 \) |

Figure A1. Time series of diurnal cycle emissions on a typical Saturday, Sunday, and working day during a week at Jagtvej Street, Copenhagen, Denmark.
Figure A2. M2UE numerical grid of Jagtvej Street, Copenhagen, Denmark.
**Code availability.** The HIRLAM model (Undén et al., 2002) source code is available under the signed HIRLAM code transfer and use agreement (http://hirlam.org, last access: 20 June 2021). The CAMx model (Ramboll Environ, 2016) source code is an open-source software (can be downloaded from https://camx-wp.azurewebsites.net/getmedia/CAMx_v6.40.src.161223.tgz, last access: 20 June 2021). The M2UE model source code is available from the authors upon request.
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