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Specific antibodies, available in unlimited quantities, have not been produced against *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*, the bacterium that causes John’s disease (JD). To fill this gap in JD research, monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) against *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* were produced from BALB/c mice immunized with a whole-cell extract of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*. A total of 10 hybridomas producing MAbs to proteins ranging from 25 to 85 kDa were obtained. All MAbs showed some degree of cross-reactivity when they were analyzed against a panel of whole-cell protein lysates comprising seven different mycobacterial species. The MAbs were characterized by several methods, which included isotype analysis, specificity analysis, epitope analysis, reactivity in immunoblot assays, and electron microscopy. The identities of the antigens that bound to two selected MAbs were determined by screening an *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* lambda phage expression library. This approach revealed that MAb 9G10 detects MAP1643 (isocitrate lyase) and that MAb 11G4 detects MAP3840 (a 70-kDa heat shock protein), two proteins present in high relative abundance in *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*. The epitopes for MAb 11G4 were mapped to the N-terminal half of MAP3840, whereas MAb 9G10 bound to the C-terminal half of MAP1643. Aptamers, nucleic acids that bind to specific protein sequences, against the hypothetical protein encoded by MAP1050c were also generated and tested for their binding to *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* as well as other mycobacteria. These detection reagents may be beneficial in many JD research applications.

The genus *Mycobacterium* comprises a diverse group of animal and human pathogens as well as saprophytes, many of which are ubiquitous in the environment. *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* is a member of the *Mycobacterium avium* complex (MAC) and an animal pathogen that is highlighted by the large financial burden that it places on the dairy industry due to John’s disease (JD). Figures extrapolated from the 1996 NAHMS dairy survey suggest that the cost of this disease was over $200 million per year (25). The growing recognition of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* infection in wildlife species is of considerable concern, since it may affect our ability to control or eradicate JD from domesticated animals (10, 11).

Despite the research difficulties and economic consequences of JD, very few reports have described specific, antigen-based detection reagents for *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*. With the exception of a single study published 10 years ago (24), the scientific literature is silent on the subject of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) and their use in JD research. Very recently, single-chain antibodies were selected by cloning heavy and light chains from sheep with JD (6). This effort has resulted in two very promising recombinant antibodies; however, the *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* proteins that these antibodies react with remain unknown. The overall lack of detection reagents for *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* is in stark contrast to the availability of detection reagents for other bacterial pathogens of cattle, such as *Brucella* or *Mycobacterium bovis*, for which scores of MAbs are available to researchers (7, 9, 19, 21, 23, 32).

Against this background, recent changes have modified the JD research landscape. Within the United States, a national consortium, entitled the John’s Disease Integrated Program (JDIP; www.jdip.org), has identified the high research priorities and the knowledge gaps necessary to combatJD. Similar JD research consortiums have also recently formed in Europe and New Zealand. One of the priorities identified by JDIP is the development of specific detection reagents such as MAbs for *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*. More than just their obvious application for the diagnosis of JD, MAbs are critical reagents in cell biology and pathogenesis studies, including studies of macrophage-pathogen interactions, studies that use Luminex and magnetic bead technologies, as well as histopathology studies. MAbs that detect specific *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* proteins are ideal for incorporation into diagnostic assays such as those already developed for *Campylobacter* (8) and *Escherichia coli* (16). Furthermore, MAbs have application in the histopathological examination of infected tissues, typically the lamina propria of the intestine, where acid-fast staining has historically been used to demonstrate the presence of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*, albeit with a low sensitivity and specificity (30).

The results of this study have identified and characterized...
novel MAbs against *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* with potential use in several JD-related research applications. We demonstrate here the specificity, subcellular location, and utility by electron microscopy for each MAb developed. In addition, we have identified the corresponding *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* proteins detected by two of these MAbs.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Mycobacterial antigens.** The National Animal Disease Center's mycobacterial culture collection served as the source of all strains used in this study (Table 1). *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* ATCC 19698 is the type strain; *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* Linda is a human isolate; and all other *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* strains tested are cattle isolates, including K-10, the sequenced strain (20). All mycobacteria were cultivated in Middlebrook 7H9 medium supplemented with oleic acid-albumin-dextrose-catalase (Hardy Diagnostics, Santa Maria, CA). For the cultivation of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*, mycobactin J (2 mg/liter; Allied Monitor, Fayette, MO) was added to the Middlebrook–oleic acid-albumin-dextrose-catalase medium. The entire reading frame or partial reading frame was amplified with AmpliTaq-Gold DNA polymerase (Applied Biosystems, Branchburg, NJ) and purified from 1% agarose gels with the Gene Clean (Bio101). Ligation of these products yielded in-frame fusions between the *malE* gene in the vector and the *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* reading frame. After overnight ligation at 16°C, the products were transformed into competent *E. coli* DH5α cells (Invitrogen). Constructs from selected transformants in each experiment were authenticated by DNA sequencing. Each fusion protein was overexpressed and purified by maltose affinity chromatography by using an amylose resin supplied by New England Biolabs. The detailed methods used for the induction and affinity purification of MBP/MAP fusion proteins have been described previously (4).

**Production of MAbs.** MAbs were produced by standard methods (13). Briefly, BALB/c mice were immunized intraperitoneally three times at 14-day intervals with a sonicated protein lysate of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* K-10 (100 µg per injection) suspended in 0.5 ml of PBS (pH 7.3). The antigen was emulsified in Freund's incomplete adjuvant for all immunizations. The humoral immune responses of each mouse were evaluated by preparative immunoblot analysis with the sonicated antigen. Cell fusions with splenic lymphocytes and myeloma cells were performed with the cells from the best responder mouse. Positive antibody-secreting hybridomas were identified by immunoblot screening with culture supernatant. Stable secreting hybridomas were immunotyped by using isotype kit I from Pierce (Rockford, IL).

**Immunoblot assay.** Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) was performed with 12% (wt/vol) polyacrylamide gels. Electrophoretic transfer of proteins onto pure nitrocellulose was accomplished with a Trans Blot Cell (Bio-Rad) with sodium phosphate buffer (25 mM, pH 7.8) at 0.8 A for 90 min. After transfer, the filters were blocked with PBS (pH 7.4) plus 2% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and 0.1% Tween 20, termed PBS-BSA. Culture supernatants or culture supernatant. Stable secreting hybridomas were immunotyped by using isotype kit I from Pierce (Rockford, IL).

**TABLE 1. Mycobacterial isolates used in this study**

| Isolate | Organism                          | Host          | Location | Reference or source |
|---------|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------|---------------------|
| K-10    | *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* | Bovine        | Feces    | 20                  |
| 19698   | *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* | Bovine        | Feces    | ATCC 19698          |
| 6100    | *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* | Human         | Ileum    | ATCC 43015          |
| 187     | *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* | Bovine        | Ileum    | Recent clinical isolate, NADC |
| 523     | *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* | Bovine        | Ileum    |                     |
| 803     | *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* | Bovine        | Ileum    |                     |
| 6009    | *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* | Bovine        | Bovine   |                     |
| 6003    | *M. avium* subsp. *silvaticum*     | Chicken       |         |                     |
| 6006    | *M. avium* subsp. *silvaticum*     | Roe deer      |         |                     |
| 6076    | *M. abscessus*                     | Bovine        | Lymph node | ATCC 19210   |
| 6081    | *M. bovis* BCG Pasteur             | Bovine        | Milk     | ATCC 35734          |
| 6010    | *M. kansasii*                      | Human         | Swine    | ATCC 12478          |
| 6083    | *M. intracellulare*                | Human         |         | ATCC 35773          |
| 6077    | *M. scrofulaceum*                  | Human         |         | ATCC 11758          |

**TABLE 2. Oligonucleotide primers used to amplify MAP1643, MAP3840, and MAP0105c**

| Primer name | Sequence<sup>a</sup> | Location within gene<sup>b</sup> |
|-------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| MAP1643F    | AGGAGCGTTTCTCGTCGGCGCTCATGTCAGC | 10 to 32                       |
| MAP1643R    | AGGAGCGTTTCTCGTCGGCGCTCATGTCAGC | 10 to 32                       |
| MAP3840F    | GCCGCCCTGAGATTCGGTGAATGCGCGGAC  | 1 to 24                        |
| MAP3840R    | GCCGCCCTGAGATTCGGTGAATGCGCGGAC  | 1 to 24                        |
| MAP3840F    | GCCGCCCTGAGATTCGGTGAATGCGCGGAC  | 1 to 24                        |
| MAP3840R    | GCCGCCCTGAGATTCGGTGAATGCGCGGAC  | 1 to 24                        |
| MAP0105b    | GCCGCCCTGAGATTCGGTGAATGCGCGGAC  | 1 to 24                        |

<sup>a</sup> Underlined nucleotides represent restriction endonuclease cut sites. The XbaI recognition sequence is TCTAGA, and AAGCTT is the HindIII recognition site.

<sup>b</sup> The numbers represent the nucleotide position within the respective gene. The gene is indicated within the primer name, except that 218-4 F, 218-4 R, 218-9 F, and 218-9 R target MAP0105c.
tein lysate (0.1 mg total) was loaded into the single, long lane and the gel was subjected to electrophoresis. Blotting was then carried out as described immediately above. The complete panel of MAbs was evaluated on preparative immunoblots placed in a slot-blot device (Bio-Rad), such that individual culture supernatants could be loaded into independent slots on the same blot. This method enabled the most direct comparison of the antigen sizes detected by the respective MAbs.

Aptamer selection against recombinant MAP0105c. An aptamer library that consisted of a randomized 40-mer DNA sequence flanked by two known 28-mer primer binding sites (5′-TTTTGCCCCTGTTATCTCTAGATGAGC-3′, where Nn represents 40 random nucleotides with equimolar amounts of A, C, G, and T) was synthesized (Integrated DNA Technology, Inc., Coralville, IA). Recombinant MBPs, MBP fusion proteins 218-4 and 218-9, which represent the N-terminal and C-terminal halves of MAP0105c, respectively, served as targets for combinatorial library selection. The aptamer library was enriched for candidates unique to each protein by use of a counter SELEX protocol and standard lateral flow chromatography methods, as described previously (29). In brief, the aptamer library was exposed to MBP prior to exposure to 218-4 or 218-9 so as to exclude any candidates that bound to the MBP affinity tag. After six rounds of the counter SELEX protocol with each protein, the aptamer library was screened for cross-reactivity. All selected candidates appeared to bind to 218-4 and 218-9 alone. However, aptamers selected against each of these molecules cross-reacted. Thus, a second round of the counter SELEX protocol was applied, whereby aptamers exposed to 218-4 were subsequently exposed to 218-9. These manipulations were expected to remove any cross-reacting aptamers. After six additional rounds of the counter SELEX protocol, 50 clones from libraries derived from the two proteins were cloned and sequenced. Several candidate aptamers were identified for specificity analysis. Aptamers with or with no cross-reactivities between the two proteins were identified and were selected for use in further studies. Dot blots with the aptamers were performed as follows. A nitrocellulose membrane strip (5 by 5 in.; PROTRAN; Schleicher & Schuell Inc., Keene, NH) was cut and marked with a pencil for orientation. Positive and negative controls consisted of a 1-μl aliquot of 10 μM any biotinylated oligonucleotide spotted on the nitrocellulose membrane strip and cross-linked under UV light for 5 min (positive control) as well as 1 μl of purified BSA (0.05 mg/ml; negative control). Test dots of 1 μl of an E. coli cell lysate suspension (E. coli negative control), 1 μl of 1 mg/ml MBP-LacZ (MBP negative control), 1 μl of 1 mg/ml MBP-218-4, and 1 μl of 1 mg/ml MBP-218-9 were also spotted on the strip and allowed to air dry. The strips were processed for immunoblot analysis as described in the preceding section.

Epitope mapping of selected recombinant proteins. The full-length proteins of MAP1643 (AceAb) and MAP3840 (DnaK) as well as the N-terminal and C-terminal halves of each were produced as a fusion with MBP by the method described under “Mycobacterial antigens” above. The primers for these truncated and full-length constructs are shown in Table 2. These recombinant proteins were used in immunoblot analysis to determine if the location of antibody binding was at the N-terminal or the C-terminal half of each protein.

Electron microscopy. All fixation and staining procedures were conducted at room temperature. Mycobacterial bacilli were fixed for 2 to 4 h with 30% ethanol for 10 min. The cells were further dehydrated with a graded series of ethanol and embedded in epoxy resin (Embed 812). Ultrathin sections were cut with an ultramicrotome and examined by transmission electron microscopy. Electron micrographs were digitized and processed using Adobe Photoshop 5.0. All images were photographed at 6500×. The bar in each micrograph represents 0.5 μm.

RESULTS

MAbs against M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis whole-cell homogenates. To obtain MAbs against M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis proteins, 6-week-old female BALB/c mice were immunized with a sonicated protein lysate of M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis K-10, as described in Materials and Methods. Ten hybridomas were identified by using this immunization regimen. By immunoblot analysis, the hybridomas reacted with a variety of antigenic proteins of different sizes from approximately 25 kDa to 85 kDa, as shown in Fig. 1. However, the MAbs designated 11F6 and 9G10 each reacted with a protein of a similar size at approximately 85 kDa (Fig. 1, lanes 1 and 7), and MAbs 13A4 and 11G4 also reacted with a similarly sized protein at approximately 66 kDa (Fig. 1, lanes 3 and 6). MAb 5A10 initially reacted with a single band in the 45-kDa region; however, a subsequent tissue culture supernatant obtained from this hybridoma showed no reactivity (Fig. 1, lane 2), indicating that this hybridoma had stopped secreting antibody. The rest of the culture supernatants reacted strongly with a single, well-defined band (Fig. 1, lanes 1, 3 to 7, and 10) or two bands (Fig. 1, lanes 8 and 9). Because MAb 5A10 was not a stably secreting hybridoma, only the remaining nine MAbs were used in further experiments. A preliminary characterization of each stable MAb was performed by defining the isotype and antigen size. The isotype specificity of each MAb is presented in Table 3, along with the estimated size of the M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis proteins to which they bind.

Identification of M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis antigens that bind to selected MAbs. As shown in Fig. 1, several MAbs were obtained from mice immunized with a whole-cell homogenate of M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis. However, the M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis antigens that react with these MAbs are unknown. Therefore, four of the MAbs were used to screen an M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis lambda phage expression library developed previously (3). No positive plaques were obtained with MAbs 12C9 and 14G3; however, positive plaques were obtained when the library was screened with MAbs 11G4 and 9G10. DNA sequencing of the subcloned lambda phage inserts revealed open reading frames for MAP3840 (dnaK) and MAP1643 (aceAb) that reacted with MAbs 11G4 and 9G10, respectively. In order to...
conclusively demonstrate that these two MAbs reacted with the identified gene products, the MAP3840 and MAP1643 coding sequences were cloned and expressed in Escherichia coli. The purified recombinant fusion proteins representing MAP3840 and MAP1643 were analyzed by immunoblotting, which showed that these gene products were detected by the MAbs (Fig. 2). AceAb is a probable isocitrate lyase enzyme that is involved in the glyoxylate cycle (14). DnaK is the 70-kDa heat shock protein (34).

Mapping multiple MAbs to MAP3840 and MAP1643. Once the identities of the antigens that reacted with MAbs 9G10 and 11G4 were discovered, further experimentation quickly revealed that additional independently isolated MAbs, 13A4 and 11F6, also detected MAP3840 and MAP1643, respectively (Table 3 and Fig. 2). One-dimensional sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)-PAGE separation of the fractionated lysates, followed by excision and mass spectroscopy of prominent Coomassie blue-stained bands, showed that both the MAP3840 and the MAP1643 gene products were present in high relative abundance in M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis (data not shown). Therefore, because of the antigenicity and high relative abundance of the MAP1643 and the MAP3840 gene products, it was not surprising to obtain multiple MAbs to these proteins. To determine if these MAbs detected the same epitopes or distinct epitopes within the same protein, the N-terminal and C-terminal halves of each protein were cloned and purified from the recombinant E. coli cells. These proteins, along with the corresponding full-length proteins, were then analyzed by immunoblotting with each of the respective MAbs. Both MAb 11F6 and MAb 9G10 bound to the C-terminal half of AceAb, as shown in Fig. 2. Furthermore, MAb 11G4 detected the N-terminal half of DnaK, but MAb 13A4 detected only the full-length protein (Fig. 2). These data suggest that MAbs 11G4 and 13A4 may bind to distinct epitopes within DnaK; however, because MAbs 11F6 and 9G10 both bound to the C-terminal half of AceAB, it remains inconclusive if the epitope is shared or distinct.

Specificities of antimycobacterial MAbs. Antibodies were next screened by immunoblotting with whole-cell lysate preparations of nine mycobacterial species and subspecies, includ-

### Table 3. Monoclonal antibodies developed in this study

| MAb     | Isotype     | M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis protein | Protein size (Da) |
|---------|-------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 11G4    | IgG1, κ     | MAP3840                                 | 66,500            |
| 13A4    | IgG2a, κ    | MAP3840                                 | 66,500            |
| 9G10    | IgG2a, κ    | MAP1643                                 | 85,200            |
| 11F6    | IgG1, κ     | MAP1643                                 | 85,200            |
| 14G11   | IgG1, κ     | Unknown                                 | 60,000            |
| 4B6     | IgG1, κ     | Unknown                                 | 42,000            |
| 14G3    | IgG2a, κ    | Unknown                                 | 28,000            |
| 12C9    | IgG1, κ     | Unknown                                 | 21,000            |
| 14D4    | IgG3, κ     | Unknown                                 | 35,000            |
| 14G11   | IgG1, κ     | Unknown                                 | 60,000            |
| 13A4    | IgG2b, κ    | MAP3840                                 | 66,500            |
| 9G10    | IgG2a, κ    | MAP1643                                 | 85,200            |
| 11F6    | IgG1, κ     | MAP1643                                 | 85,200            |
| 14G11   | IgG1, κ     | Unknown                                 | 60,000            |
| 4B6     | IgG1, κ     | Unknown                                 | 42,000            |
| 14G3    | IgG2a, κ    | Unknown                                 | 28,000            |
| 12C9    | IgG1, κ     | Unknown                                 | 21,000            |
| 14D4    | IgG3, κ     | Unknown                                 | 35,000            |

*κ, kappa chain (light chain of the antibody molecule).

**FIG. 2.** Identification of M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis proteins detected by selected MAbs and localization of epitopes to regions of the MAP1643 and MAP3840 gene products. For determination of the approximate locations of MAb epitopes in the primary sequence of these M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis proteins, purified recombinant peptides representing the full length (lane 2), N-terminal half (lane 3), and C-terminal half (lane 4) of each protein were probed by immunoblotting with selected MAbs, as indicated beneath each blot. These results show that MAbs 9G10 and 11F6 react with AceAb and that both MAbs detect an epitope on the C-terminal half of the protein. Similarly, MAbs 11G4 and 13A4 both react with DnaK; however, MAb 13A4 reacts only with the full-length protein and MAb 11G4 detects an epitope on the N-terminal half of DnaK. The blots probed with α-MBP (anti-MBP, a monoclonal antibody developed to the MBP affinity tag) detect all the proteins present and indicate their relative amounts and positions within each blot. The MBP-LacZ control protein is present in lane 5. No reactivity is observed with the protein size standards (lane 1). Kilodalton size standards are indicated in the left margin.
and the MAb used is indicated in the right margin.

**FIG. 3.** Evaluation of MAbs against whole-cell homogenates from several mycobacterial species. Immunoblot analysis shows that the reactivity of each MAb is observed with more than just several mycobacterial species. Immunoblot analysis shows that the lysates. (A) Lanes: 1, *M. silvaticum*; 2, *M. scrofaeum*; 3, *M. abscessus*; 4, *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* K-10; 5, *M. avium* (strain TMC702); 6, *M. bovis* (strain 95-1315); 7, *M. phlei*; 8, *M. bovis* BCG; 9, *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* ATCC 19698; 10, *M. avium* subsp. *avium* (strain TMC715); 11, *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* (Linda); 12, *M. intracellulare*; 13, *M. kansasii*. (B) Lanes: 1, *M. silvaticum*; 2, *M. scrofaeum*; 3, *M. abscessus*; 4, *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* K-10; 5, *M. avium* subsp. *avium* (strain TMC702); 6, *M. bovis* (strain 95-1315); 7, *M. phlei*; 8, *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* ATCC 19698; 9, *M. avium* subsp. *avium* (strain TMC715); 10, *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* (strain Linda); 11, *M. intracellulare*; 12, *M. kansasii*. Kilodalton size standards are indicated in the left margin, and the MAb used is indicated in the right margin.

for MAbs 9G10 and 14G11 was obtained by using this MAb. MAb 14D4 showed the most unexpected immunoblot reactivity, with bands of widely varying sizes detected among the different mycobacterial species (Fig. 3). Surprisingly, MAb 14D4 was also the only antibody that did not detect either of the *M. avium* subsp. *avium* isolates but reacted with the more distantly related mycobacteria, such as *M. phlei* and *M. bovis*. While all antibodies showed some degree of cross-reactivity with other mycobacterial species, MAb 12C9 showed strong reactivity with the two *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* bovine isolates (Fig. 3, lanes 5 and 9) and weaker reactivity with Crohn’s disease isolate Linda (Fig. 3, lane 11). The 4B6 antibody detected a protein that was the most conserved among the mycobacteria, as a band of similar size was observed in every species and subspecies tested (Fig. 3).

**Location of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* antigens that bind to MAbs.** Antigen localization was determined by immunoblot analysis of the MAbs against membrane-enriched and cytosol-enriched fractionated lysates, prepared as described in Materials and Methods. Note that MAbs 12C9, 14D4, 14G3, and 14G11 all bound to an unidentified *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* protein that is primarily localized in the cell membrane fraction (Fig. 4), whereas MAbs 4B6, 9G10, and 11G4 (Fig. 4) and MAbs 11F6 and 13A4 (data not shown) all detected proteins that were present predominantly in the cytoplasmic fraction. The protein detected by MAb 14G3 may form a dimer complex in the membrane-enriched fraction, as a protein band approximately twice the size of the monomer was also detected (Fig. 4).

**Detection of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* bacilli by immunoelectron microscopy with the MAbs developed in this study.** Each of the MAbs was next evaluated to determine reactivity levels with mycobacterial bacilli by immunoelectron microscopy. Of all the MAbs tested, MAbs 14D4 and 9G10 showed the most immunogold labeling, which indicates antibody binding to *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* (Fig. 5). Although MAb 4B6 reacted strongly with all mycobacteria tested by immunoblotting, no reactivity by immunoelectron microscopy was observed, suggesting that either the processing for microscopy damaged the epitope or the epitope is perhaps masked by another protein(s). None of the labeling patterns
were distinct enough to confirm the subcellular locations of the antigens to which they bind, although MAb 14D4 seemed to label mostly at the periphery of the bacilli (Fig. 5). This labeling pattern is consistent with what was observed by immunoblotting of the fractionated lysates probed with MAb 14D4 (Fig. 4).

Development of aptamers to the \( M. \ avium \) subsp. \( paratuberculosis \) hypothetical protein encoded by MAP0105c. In an attempt to obtain a more specific reagent to detect \( M. \ avium \) subsp. \( paratuberculosis \), aptamers were screened to identify those that bind to the MAP0105c gene product recombinantly produced in \( E. \ coli \). Aptamers are short nucleic acid sequences that bind to specific protein epitopes with a high affinity (27). MAP0105c is a gene reported to be unique to \( M. \ avium \) subsp. \( paratuberculosis \) (2). In that study, MAP0105c was designated gene 218, because the project was published prior to annotation of the \( M. \ avium \) subsp. \( paratuberculosis \) K-10 genome (20).

Three aptamers, designated 43, 93, and 94, were obtained following repeated screening and enrichments with the recombinant protein. Immunoblot analysis with these aptamers were confirmed in subsequent experiments. Immunoblot (A) and dot blot (B) analyses of aptamers to MAP0105c. (A) The immunoblot containing mycobacterial whole-cell sonicated extracts was exposed to aptamer 94. Lane assignments: 1, \( M. \ silvaticum \); 2, \( M. \ scrofulaceum \); 3, \( M. \ abscessus \); 4, \( M. \ avium \) subsp. \( paratuberculosis \) K-10; 5, \( M. \ avium \) subsp. \( avium \) (strain TMC702); 6, \( M. \ bovis \); 7, \( M. \ phlei \); 8, \( M. \ bovis \) BCG; 9, \( M. \ avium \) subsp. \( paratuberculosis \) ATCC 19698; 10, \( M. \ avium \) subsp. \( avium \) (strain TMC715); 11, \( M. \ avium \) subsp. \( paratuberculosis \) (strain Linda); 12, \( M. \ intracellulare \); 13, \( M. \ kansasi \). Size standards are indicated in kilodaltons in the left margin. (B) The dot blot was exposed to the three aptamers, which are indicated above the blots. Proteins spotted to the membrane are indicated in the left margin, and the state of the proteins is indicated in the right margin. Abbreviations: MBP, MBP fused to the \( \alpha \)-peptide of LacZ; 218-4, an MBP fusion containing the N-terminal half of MAP0105c; 218-9, an MBP fusion containing the C-terminal half of MAP0105c; K-10, a whole-cell lysate of \( M. \ avium \) subsp. \( paratuberculosis \) K-10; Avium, a whole-cell lysate of \( M. \ avium \) subsp. \( avium \) TMC715.
showed binding to the protein of the correct size, but reactivity was also seen in most of the mycobacteria analyzed (Fig. 6A). This cross-reactivity with other mycobacteria prompted a re-examination of MAP0105c by BLAST analysis. This analysis still suggested that the nucleotide sequence of MAP0105c is present only in *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* and not other bacteria, including mycobacteria; however, BLAST analysis with the translated sequence did show hits in *Streptomyces* (30% identity), *Frankia* (22% identity), and *Rhodococcus* (28% identity). MAP0105c was also produced as N-terminal and C-terminal halves (designated 218-4 and 218-9, respectively), and dot blot analysis with these truncated proteins shows that all three aptamers detected the N-terminal half (Fig. 6B). Furthermore, all aptamers reacted more strongly with the non-denatured recombinant protein than with the denatured recombinant protein.

**DISCUSSION**

The predominant difficulties in working with *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*—the slow growth, the underdeveloped technology for genetic modification, and the intramacrophage location during infection, combined with a lack of knowledge regarding the 1,810 *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* hypothetical and unknown proteins—present challenges that require unique approaches. The production of MAbs, such as those produced in this study, is one critical tool currently lacking in JD research. The absence of these reagents has blocked the progress of unique research approaches directed at controlling this disease.

All MAbs and aptamers produced in this study cross-reacted with one or more species of mycobacteria. This result is not surprising, given the high degree of genetic similarity that *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* shares with other members of the MAC complex (26), and highlights the challenges encountered in the development of subspecies-specific detection reagents for this pathogen. However, what is surprising is that MAb 14D4 did not react with *M. avium* subsp. *avium*, which is most closely related to *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*, and yet this same MAb reacted with the more distantly related species *M. bovis* and even *M. phlei*. These findings highlight the need to broadly test any new detection reagent across a large number of mycobacterial species. Furthermore, even if specificity is demonstrated within the mycobacterial genus, additional tests with species outside the genus may be necessary.

In an effort to obtain a more specific detection reagent for *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*, aptamers that bind to the MAP0105c gene product were obtained. Nucleic acid similarity searches and PCR surveys have previously suggested that this gene is present uniquely in *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* (2). The three aptamers identified in these studies all detected the N-terminal half of MAP0105c. However, aptamer 94 bound to nearly all mycobacteria tested (Fig. 6A), suggesting that MAP0105c has conserved epitopes. Because the aptamers clearly reacted with the E. coli-expressed fusion protein representing MAP0105c (Fig. 6B), we are confident that they bind specifically to the native MAP0105c protein produced by *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*. The unexpected cross-reactivity prompted a BLAST analysis of the nonredundant protein database with MAP0105c, which shows that the nucleic acid sequence is present uniquely in *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*; however, the translated sequence has similarity to a hypothetical protein from *Streptomyces avermitilis*, with a 30% amino acid identity, and a hypothetical protein from *Frankia* species (22% identity). Nonetheless, there is still no bioinformatic evidence to explain the cross-reactivity with other mycobacterial species observed in this study (Fig. 6A). Taken together, these results suggest that MAP0105c does have conserved epitopes and that at least sections of the gene product should not be considered *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* specific.

To identify the corresponding antigens, four MAbs were chosen and used to screen an *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* lambda phage expression library. It is interesting to note that only MAbs 11G4 and 9G10, both of which detected proteins present in the cytoplasm of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*, were identified during the screening of the expression library. The other two MAbs, 12C9 and 14D4, used in the screening experiments reacted with proteins in the mycobacterial cell membrane fraction (Fig. 4). It is likely that the membrane proteins detected by MAbs 12C9 and 14D4 are not readily cloned or expressed or are underrepresented in the lambda phage library. An alternative strategy that could be used to identify these membrane proteins is to combine affinity purification with the MAbs to capture the native *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* protein and analyze the antibody-antigen complex by tandem mass spectroscopy. This method would enable limited sequence identification of the peptides from the captured antigen, thus overcoming cloning and expression obstacles.

Heat shock proteins, which belong to families of widely conserved proteins found in prokaryotes and eukaryotes, are commonly immunodominant antigens recognized following infection with many bacterial pathogens (1, 17, 18, 35). The *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* DnaK, encoded by MAP3840, appears to be immunodominant as well, since two of nine immortalized B-cell cultures secreting antibody to this protein were obtained. While this cytoplasmically located antigen is not unique to *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*, it is present in high relative abundance in mycobacterial bacilli cultured in Middlebrook 7H9 medium, which may also account for the identification of more than one MAb. The same is also true for AceAb, encoded by MAP1643.

Although *aceAb* is considered a metabolic gene encoding the isocitrate lyase enzyme used in the glyoxylate cycle, it has been shown to be upregulated in *M. avium* subsp. *avium*-infected macrophages (28), implicating it in virulence as well. In *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv, the isocitrate lyase gene actually consists of two overlapping genes (aceAa at 1,104 bp and aceAb at 1,197 bp) that share a single base pair at the 3′ end of aceAa and the 5′ end of aceAb but is a single 2,300-bp gene in *M. tuberculosis* CDC1551 (12). In *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*, *aceAb* appears to be a single open reading frame 2,289 bp in length sandwiched by two hypothetical genes, MAP1642 and MAP1644 (20).

As an initial step in defining the antigenic structure of DnaK and AceAb, the epitopes were mapped to either the N-terminal or the C-terminal halves of the proteins. Both MAbs 11F6 and 9G10 detected the C-terminal half of AceAb, suggesting that this half may contain more B-cell epitopes or perhaps a
single dominant epitope. In contrast, MAB 11G4 detected the N-terminal half of DnaK, while MAB 13A4 did not react with either half of DnaK and detected only the full-length protein. These data suggest that MABs 11G4 and 13A4 recognize distinct epitopes. The exact reason why MAB 13A4 detects only the full-length protein is unclear; however, one possibility is that the epitope is at the center of the protein and that by producing the two halves, the epitope is no longer intact. A more likely possibility is that the MAB detects a conformational or discontinuous epitope that is disrupted when only one half of the protein is represented.

From this study, four MABs that react with proteins present in the membrane fraction of M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis were identified. Thus, these proteins may even be surface exposed and, hence, immune targets for the host. Although these potential surface proteins remain to be identified, the MABs are nonetheless useful in many applications. Pathogenesis studies should include MABs that bind to surface molecules because they may block infection of cultured epithelial cells (3) or facilitate uptake and entry into macrophages (15). The results from these studies would determine if the proteins are important in adherence or invasion of epithelial cells that line the bovine or ovine intestine. More practically, these novel MAbs may be used to purify and concentrate M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis from environmental samples, such as water or bulk milk tank samples, by immunomagnetic separation technologies (22, 33). Confident identification of M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis in tissues from Crohn’s disease patients might also be obtained with these MABs. Furthermore, the MABs developed in this study can be used to identify the potential surface proteins on M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis, making them strong candidates for subunit vaccines. Finally, they also provide a way to check the quality of fractionated protein preparations, such as membrane-enriched or cytoplasm-enriched lysates used in proteomic studies.

It is important to have MAbs against proteins that are located on the cell surface or cell membrane for ease of bacillus detection in downstream applications. While MAbs to a cytoplasmic protein may be important in studies highly focused on particular proteins, they may not be as effective at detecting bacilli in diagnostic or general research settings. Not all MAbs are used solely for detection purposes, however. Further delineation of the epitopes recognized by both antibodies as well as T cells will be important in understanding the immunopathological conditions caused by infection with M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis. The MABs described here may be extremely useful reagents for such studies.
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