Comprehensive Composition of Flavor Precursors in Kopi Luwak and Jacu Exotic Green Bioprocessed Coffees
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Exotic coffees may be defined as extravagant and unique coffees, primarily due to their production mode, including unusual bioprocessing or fermentation conditions associated with superior sensorial characteristics. The aim of the present study was to investigate the influence of bioprocessing and of growing conditions on flavor precursors of Jacu and Kopi Luwak exotic green coffees, respectively. Moreover, this is the first study to perform a detailed chemical analysis of these exotic coffees. Thirteen green Coffea arabica bean samples were obtained, five from Espírito Santo state, Brazil, and eight Kopi Luwak from different regions of Indonesia. Samples were analyzed regarding their proximate composition, chlorogenic acids (CGA), sucrose, alkaloids, triacylglycerols (TAG), diacylglycerols, free fatty acids, sterols, diterpenes and tocopherols. Scanning electron micrography confirmed bioprocessing of Jacu and Kopi Luwak coffee samples. Bioprocessing by the Jacu bird caused reductions of 69 and 28% in caffeine and CGA contents, respectively. The TAG profile of Jacu coffee was modified. TAG containing two saturated fatty acids were preferably hydrolyzed in detriment to those containing two unsaturated fatty acids. Other coffee components were not affected by the bird’s digestion of the beans. Kopi Luwak coffee samples had a chemical composition in accordance with reported ranges for non-bioprocessed green C. arabica samples, except for caffeine (0.48 g/100 g) and CGA (5.09 g/100 g), which were found in low amounts. Crop year rather than location or post-harvest processing discriminated Kopi Luwak coffee samples, suggesting that weather conditions would be the most crucial aspect for their chemical composition, especially in terms of total lipids, ashes, total CGA, sucrose and proteins.
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INTRODUCTION

Exotic coffees may be defined as extravagant and unique coffees, primarily due to their production mode, including unusual bioprocessing or fermentation conditions which lead to peculiar and superior sensory characteristics (Lee et al., 2015). Kopi Luwak is the most commercialized and well-known exotic coffee. For its production, beans cultivated in Indonesia are ingested by the Asian palm civet (Paradoxurus hermaphroditus), being digested in their gastrointestinal tract and excreted in their feces (Marcone, 2004; Lee et al., 2015; Ellis et al., 2016; Jumhawan et al., 2016; Arboleda, 2018; Hadipernata and Nugraha, 2018; Burns and Walker, 2019; Muzaifa et al., 2019; Tawali and Laga, 2019). Then, beans are cleaned and undergo the usual steps of either dry or wet post-harvest processing and roasting. Another bioprocessed exotic coffee is Jacu coffee, produced in Brazil and whose beans are ingested and excreted by the Jacu bird (Penelope superciliare), following dry post-harvest processing and roasting (Conti et al., 2013; Malacarne et al., 2017).

Exotic coffees have high selling prices (from USD 340 to USD 2,400 per kilogram), up to 100 times higher than the average, a direct consequence of its rarity and exclusiveness, but also to its complex production, which hypothetically adds a distinct and appreciated flavor to the product. The idea is that the animals select the ripest coffee cherries, which contain flavor precursors—caffeine, trigonelline, sugars, lipids, proteins, and chlorogenic acids (CGA)—that may be modified due to digestive conditions, including acid and neutral pH media (ranging from 2.7 to 6.4 for the Jacu bird and from 2.5 to 7.6 for the civet mammal), hydrolytic enzymes and microbiota, potentially impacting the volatile compounds produced during roasting (Lee et al., 2015; Ongo et al., 2015). According to Marcone (2004), bioprocessing associated with the digestion by the Asian palm civet attributes a unique flavor to Kopi Luwak coffee beans, described as earthy, musty, syrupy, smooth, and rich with both jungle and chocolate undertones.

Although some studies in the literature deal with the composition of exotic coffees, usually the approach is fragmented since limited classes of compounds are generally investigated. One of the first papers dealing with exotic coffees was published by Marcone (2004), which determined the proximate and microbiological composition of exotic civet coffees (Kopi Luwak, Nekemte Civet, and Abdela Civet), as well as of their controls (non-bioprocessed beans). Results suggested that during bioprocessing proteolytic enzymes penetrate the beans and caused proteolysis, impacting on coffee flavor. Conti et al. (2013) compared the contents of moisture, ash, protein, lipid, carbohydrate, total phenolic, 5-cafeoylquinic acid (the main CGA present in coffee), trigonelline, nicotinic acid and caffeine from roasted exotic (Kopi Luwak and Jacu), special (Gourmet and Premium) and traditional coffees. Cheong et al. (2013) analyzed the volatile composition of Asian coffees from different locations, including Kopi Luwak coffee, as well as studied the phenolic composition, sensory analysis and antioxidant activity. More recently, Ongo et al. (2015) applied the electronic nose technique to geographically distinguish samples of Kopi Luwak coffee from different regions of the Philippines. It should be highlighted that there are only two studies dealing with the effect of Kopi Luwak coffee bioprocessing on the composition of flavor precursors (Marcone, 2004; Muzaifa and Hasni, 2016), and no investigation is available concerning the effect of Jacu coffee bioprocessing. The impact of growing conditions on the coffee flavor precursors has also been poorly studied, with only one study describing the effect of geographic origin on the non-volatile composition of Asian coffees, among them Kopi Luwak (Cheong et al., 2013).

Most studies, however, pay little attention to the lipid profile, which may significantly impact the flavor of exotic coffees (Muzaifa et al., 2019). This class has been related to coffee body, creaminess, and foam formation. Other flavor precursors such as caffeine, trigonelline and chlorogenic acids have also been related to coffee aroma (Cheng et al., 2016). Caffeine seems to provide strength, body and bitterness to coffee beverage, while trigonelline is associated to the overall aromatic perception and bitterness. Finally, chlorogenic acids attribute acidity, astringency and bitterness to coffee beverage.

In this context, in addition to determining proximate composition, alkaloids, sugars, and CGA, the profile of lipids was comprehensively analyzed, aiming to investigate the influence of bioprocessing and of growing conditions on these flavor precursors of Jacu and Kopi Luwak exotic green coffees, respectively.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Standards and Chemicals

Zinc acetate and potassium hexacyanoferrate (II) were acquired from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Analytical standards of trigonelline (98.5%), caffeine (99.0%), sucrose (99.5%), and 5-cafeoylquinic acid (5-CQA) (95.0%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Analytical standards of fatty acids were purchased from Supelco (Bellefonte, PA, USA; all 99% purity). Analytical standards of sterols (campesterol, 65%; β-sitosterol, 40%; stigmasterol, 95%) and triacylglycerols (1,2,3-triheptadecanoylglycerol and 1,2-distearoyl-3-palmitoyl-rac-glycerol, ∼99%) were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Coffee diterpenes were isolated and purified (purity > 97%) from Brazilian green Arabica coffee as described in previous work (Novaes et al., 2020). HPLC solvents were purchased from Tedia (Fairfield, OH, USA). HPLC grade water (Milli-Q system, Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA) was used throughout the experiments.

Coffee Samples

Five organic green Coffea arabica samples were obtained from Camocim Organic Farm, located at Domingos Martins, Espírito Santo state, Brazil (20°23'40.39"S, 41°13'37.31"W) (Figure 1B). Two of these samples were bioprocessed by the Jacu bird (Jacu coffee), while the other three were not bioprocessed (non-Jacu coffee). Two samples were harvested in 2016: one Jacu coffee (dry-processed, dp) and one non-Jacu coffee (wet-processed, wp). Another three samples were harvested in 2017: one Jacu coffee (dp) and two non-Jacu coffees (dp and wp).
Carbohydrate content was determined in triplicate. An aliquot of 0.2 g of ground green coffee beans was determined in triplicate, according to official methods (AOAC, 2000). Carbohydrate content was estimated by difference.

**Proximate Composition**

Moisture, protein, lipid, dietary fiber, and ash contents of ground green coffee beans were determined in triplicate, according to official methods (AOAC, 2000). Carbohydrate content was estimated by difference.

**Chlorogenic Acids**

CGA extraction was performed according to Trugo and Macrae (1984) in triplicate. An aliquot of 0.2 g of ground green coffee was added to 100 mL of boiling water and shaken at 300 rpm for 15 min in a water bath at 100°C. The mixture was centrifuged for 10 min at 1,500 g, and the supernatant was filtered through a filter paper (Whatman no. 1). The extract was clarified by adding Carrez’s solutions, 0.3 M K₂Fe(CN)₆ and 1.0 M Zn(OAc)₂, and the final volume was made up with water to 100 mL. After 15 min, the colloidal suspension was filtered through a filter paper (Whatman no. 1) and kept at −20°C until HPLC-DAD analysis.

Chromatographic analysis was performed according to Perrone et al. (2008a). The LC system (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) was comprised of a LC-10ADvp quaternary pump, a 7725i manual injector (Rheodyne), with a 5 μL loop and a SPD M10A vp diode array detector (DAD) recording from 190 nm to 370 nm. The chromatographic separation was achieved by a C₃₀ reverse-phase column (150 × 2.0 mm, 5 μm, Michrom BioResources, Auburn, WA, USA) maintained at 40°C. The mobile phase used was a gradient between 0.3% aqueous formic acid (eluent A) and methanol (eluent B) at a flow rate of 0.2 mL/min. Before injection, the column was equilibrated with 17% B for 10 min. Immediately after injection, this proportion was changed to 60% in 14 min until the end of the run in 35 min. CGA were quantified by external standardization (absorbance at 325 nm) using 5-CQA standard, corrected with each molar extinction coefficient. The method was previously validated by Farah et al. (2005). The calibration curve ranged from 0.1 to 20 ppm and its coefficient of determination (R²) was 0.9993. The method is similar to that previously validated by Badmos et al. (2019).

**Sucrose**

Sucrose extraction was performed according to Perrone et al. (2008b) in triplicate. An aliquot of 0.2 g of ground green coffee was added to 60 mL of boiling water and shaken at 300 rpm for 15 min at room temperature. The mixture was filtered through a filter paper (Whatman no. 1). The extract was clarified by adding 2 mL of aqueous basic lead acetate (20%), and the final volume was made up with water to 100 mL. After 15 min, the colloidal suspension was filtered through a filter paper (Whatman no. 1) and kept at −20°C until HPLC-Evaporative Light Scattering detection (ELSD) analysis.

Chromatographic analysis was performed according to Kimball et al. (2004). The LC system (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) was comprised of a LC-20AT quaternary pump, a 7725i manual

---

**FIGURE 1** | Regions of Indonesia (A) and Brazil (B) from where Kopi Luwak and Jacu samples were obtained, respectively.
TABLE 1 | Harvest region, geographic coordinates, altitude, crop year, postharvest processing, and production mode of the green coffee samples investigated in the present study.

| Sample      | Harvest region              | Geographic coordinates | Altitude (m) | Crop year | Postharvest processing | Production mode |
|-------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------|------------------------|----------------|
| Kopi Luwak  | Sumatra Aceh Gayo, Indonesia | 4°30'11.0"N, 96°44'35.5"E | ~1,500       | 2015      | Dry-processed           | Non-organic     |
| Kopi Luwak  | Sumatra Aceh Gayo, Indonesia | 4°30'11.0"N, 96°44'35.5"E | ~1,500       | 2017      | Dry-processed           | Non-organic     |
| Kopi Luwak  | Sumatra Mandhelih, Indonesia | 2°44'37.3"N, 98°18'47.9"E | ~1,100       | 2015      | Dry-processed           | Non-organic     |
| Kopi Luwak  | Sumatra Mandhelih, Indonesia | 2°44'37.3"N, 98°18'47.9"E | ~1,100       | 2017      | Dry-processed           | Non-organic     |
| Kopi Luwak  | East Java, Indonesia        | 7°48'50.2"S, 111°45'36.0"E | ~2,500       | 2015      | Wet-processed           | Non-organic     |
| Kopi Luwak  | Central Java, Indonesia     | 7°20'21.5"S, 110°01'31.2"E | ~1,500       | 2017      | Wet-processed           | Non-organic     |
| Kopi Luwak  | Bali Kintamani, Indonesia   | 8°14'11.0"S, 115°20'19.7"E | ~1,400       | 2017      | Wet-processed           | Non-organic     |
| Kopi Luwak  | Bali Kintamani, Indonesia   | 8°14'11.0"S, 115°20'19.7"E | ~1,400       | 2017      | Wet-processed           | Non-organic     |
| Non-Jacu    | Espirito Santo, Brazil      | 20°22'00.8"S, 41°02'59.3"W | ~1,100       | 2016      | Wet-processed           | Organic         |
| Non-Jacu    | Espirito Santo, Brazil      | 20°22'00.8"S, 41°02'59.3"W | ~1,100       | 2017      | Wet-processed           | Organic         |
| Non-Jacu    | Espirito Santo, Brazil      | 20°22'00.8"S, 41°02'59.3"W | ~1,100       | 2016      | Dry-processed           | Organic         |
| Jacu        | Espirito Santo, Brazil      | 20°22'00.8"S, 41°02'59.3"W | ~1,100       | 2017      | Dry-processed           | Organic         |

injection (Rheodyne), with a 20 \( \mu \)L loop and a L20314500010 Evaporative Light Scattering Detector (ELSD), set at 40 °C, gain of 4 and nitrogen flow pressure of 350 kPa. The chromatographic separation was achieved by a C18 reverse-phase column (Zorbax NH2, 250 × 4.6 mm, 5 \( \mu \)m, Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA). An isocratic elution during 10 min with acetonitrile and water (80:20) at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min was used. Sucrose was quantified by external standardization using the commercial standard. The calibration curve ranged from 10 to 400 ppm and its coefficient of determination (R²) was 0.9999. The method was previously validated by Perrone et al. (2008b).

**Alkaloids: Caffeine and Trigonelline**

The extraction of alkaloids was performed according to Perrone et al. (2008b), in triplicate. Chromatographic analysis was performed according to Alves et al. (2006). The LC system (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) was the same as reported in item 2.5. The chromatographic separation was achieved with a C30 column (150 × 2.0 mm, 5 \( \mu \)m, Michrom BioResources, Auburn, USA) maintained at room temperature. The mobile phase used was a gradient among 0.3% aqueous formic acid (eluent A), methanol (eluent B), and acetonitrile (eluent C) with a flow rate of 0.7 mL/min. Before injection, the column was equilibrated with 95% A, 0% B, and 5% C during 40 min. Immediately after injection, this proportion was changed to 93% A, 0% B, and 7% C during 8 min and 87% A, 13% B, and 0% C until the end of the run in 10 min. Alkaloids were quantified by external standardization (absorbances at 260 nm and 272 nm for trigonelline and caffeine, respectively) using the commercial standards. The calibration curves for caffeine and trigonelline ranged from 0.5 to 20 ppm and their coefficients of determination (R²) were 0.9992 and 0.9999, respectively. The method was previously validated by Perrone et al. (2008b).

**Lipids**

The following lipid classes were analyzed according to the method described by Novaes et al. (2018), with slight modifications: triacylglycerols (TAG), diacylglycerols (DAG), free fatty acids (FFA), sterols, diterpenes, and tocopherols. Extraction of lipids was performed in triplicate by adding an aliquot of 0.5 g of ground green coffee to 2 mL of hexane. The mixture was shaken by a magnetic bar at room temperature for 30 min. The suspension was filtered through a 0.22 \( \mu \)m cellulose ester membrane (Millipore, Brazil) and kept at −20°C until the GC-MS analysis.

The GC system (GC 6890, AgilentTechnologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA) comprised an automatic injector (7683A) and a mass spectrometer (5975C). The chromatographic separation was achieved by a DB-17HT capillary column (50% phenyl and 50% methylsiloxane, 15 m × 0.25 mm i.d. × 0.15 \( \mu \)m, J&W Scientific, Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA). Helium was used as a carrier gas at a flow rate of 2 mL/min. The split ratio was 1:50, the injector was heated to 330°C, and a pressure pulse of 25 psi was applied during the initial 15 s. The oven temperature was raised from 50°C (0.25 min) to 380°C (10 min), at a heating rate of 15°C/ min. Mass spectrometer conditions were: ion source temperature at 230°C, quadrupole at 200°C, acceleration voltage of 200 eV, transfer line at 380°C, and ionization voltage of 70 eV. Mass spectra were obtained in scan mode (50–800 Da). Lipids were identified by comparison with NIST/EPA/NIH Mass Spectral Library databases (2014 version) and values ≥ 70% were accepted. When library spectra were not available, the identification was performed by mass spectral interpretation and comparison with profiles described in the literature from authentic standards, according to our previous works (Novaes et al., 2015, 2018, 2020). In order to quantify lipids, the same extracts were also analyzed in an Agilent 6850 GC instrument (Santa Clara, CA) equipped with a flame ionization detector (GC-FID), using the same chromatographic conditions described above, except for the carrier gas, which was hydrogen at a flow rate of 2 mL/min. FID was kept at 400°C. Lipids values obtained were normalized based on the total group peak areas according to Novaes et al. (2018). The method was previously validated by our group
and its performance parameters were reported by Novaes et al. (2015).

**Statistical Analysis**

Kopi Luwak coffee samples were subjected to principal component analysis (PCA) to investigate similarities among samples and possible associations of variables. PCA was performed using all individual non-volatile compounds, as well as compounds grouped according to their chemical class (i.e., DAG, TAG, FFA, diterpenes, CGA, sterols). PCA analysis was performed by PAST for Windows (version 2.17c). Differences were considered significant when \( p < 0.05 \).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Bioprocessing of Jacu and Kopi Luwak Coffee Beans Was Confirmed by Scanning Electron Micrography**

Non-Jacu (wp) coffee beans showed fewer surface irregularities than non-Jacu (dp) coffee beans (Figures 2A,B). This characteristic may be related to damage of coffee beans cell membranes during the drying process (Borém et al., 2014), which may also cause minerals to leach, possibly leading to the lower ashes contents of non-Jacu (dp) (1.9 g/100 g) in comparison to non-Jacu (wp) (2.7 g/100 g) (Table 2).

All Jacu and Kopi Luwak coffee beans showed exfoliations on their surfaces (Figures 2C–L), similar to those observed by Marcone (2004) for different types of civet bioprocessed coffees. According to the author, these exfoliations are a consequence of the peristaltic movements of the animal’s gastrointestinal tract during coffee digestion. Moreover, the acidic gastric juice and proteolytic digestive enzymes penetrate the coffee cherry endocarp leading to micro-pittings (Marcone, 2004). As a whole, micrographic results confirmed that Jacu and Kopi Luwak green coffee beans were bioprocessed.

**Bioprocessing by the Jacu Bird Decreased Caffeine and CGA Contents and Modified Lipid Profile of Green Coffee**

The main limitation of our study is the small number of samples analyzed, which is a consequence of the difficulty in obtaining coffee bioprocessed samples from reliable producers. Because of this, we did not have control over parameters such as post-harvesting processing and crop year and, consequently, could not perform statistical analyses to compare them. In that way, this study should be considered as a case study, but despite its limitations, it should be of interest for those working with coffee quality, especially bioprocessed samples, for which chemical composition data is scarce or nonexistent.

The proximate composition of both Jacu coffee samples was according to the range reported in the literature for these components in non-bioprocessed C. arabica coffees (Clarke and Macrae, 1985). Bioprocessing by Jacu bird did not affect proteins, lipids, ashes, and dietary fibers contents of coffee beans (Table 2). Marcone (2004) and Mahendradatta et al. (2012) reported a decrease in protein contents of civet coffee, associated with both proteolysis and leaching during bioprocessing. However, mammals such as the civet “cat” present a larger gut size (greater total surface area and thus absorptive capacity), longer digesta retention time (Whorter et al., 2009), and higher pepsin activity in gastric juice (Reece et al., 2011) when compared to birds, which may explain our results in comparison to those previously reported for civet coffee. To compensate, birds seem to present a higher passive (paracellular) nutrient absorption than mammals (Whorter et al., 2009). Ashes and dietary fibers contents of our Brazilian (Espirito Santo) coffees from the 2016 and 2017 crops showed differences. Considering that these samples were from the same cultivars and harvested in the same farm, these differences are probably explained by the climate conditions in these years during coffee growth. According to the meteorology coordination of Espirito Santo state, Brazil (Incapera, 2022), the region where coffee samples were grown had higher minimum and maximum temperatures in 2016 than in 2017.

Caffeine contents in non-Jacu (both dp and wp) coffee samples were in accordance with the reported range for C. arabica non-bioprocessed coffees (0.6–1.8 g/100 g) (Cheng et al., 2016). Jacu coffees presented similar contents to that reported by Nishiguchi et al. (2017) for a civet sample (0.36 g/100 g). Bioprocessing caused a trend of reducing caffeine contents by about 69%, as observed by comparing non-Jacu (1.12 g/100 g, on average) and Jacu (0.34 g/100 g, on average) coffee samples (Table 3). There is some evidence in the literature that bioprocessed coffees show lower contents of caffeine than non-bioprocessed ones (Mahendradatta et al., 2012; Conti et al., 2013; Nishiguchi et al., 2017; Ifmalinda et al., 2019). Recently, Febrina et al. (2021) reported that civet coffee samples had lower caffeine contents (determined by NMR) than regular coffee. This work, however, is the first to analyze samples of bioprocessed and non-bioprocessed coffees from the same farmer (same harvest location and cultivar), which strengthens the relevance of this finding. Since caffeine is recognized as toxic for avians (Lightfoot and Yeager, 2008), it was probably not absorbed in the digestive tract of the Jacu bird. Baumann et al. (1995) reported that under simulated gastric conditions, caffeine was not released from guaraná (Paullinia cupana) seeds. Nevertheless, some authors suggest that caffeine could be fermented by gut microbiota (Mahendradatta et al., 2012; Ifmalinda et al., 2019), and many studies indicate that *Pseudomonas* spp. isolated from soil samples are able to metabolize caffeine (Mazzafera, 2002). These bacteria may also be found in the microbiota of birds, along with *Bacteroides*, *Clostridium*, *Lactobacillus*, *Streptococcus*, and *Campylobacter* (Hird et al., 2015), possibly explaining our results. The low caffeine content in Jacu coffee may impact its flavor, reducing its perceived strength, body, and bitterness (Clarke and Macrae, 1988; Cheng et al., 2016).

Trigonelline contents were similar between non-Jacu and Jacu coffee samples and in accordance with the range reported for C. arabica (0.3–1.3 g/100 g) (Cheng et al., 2016) (Table 3), suggesting that this alkaloid was more resistant to bioprocessing than caffeine during bird digestion. Also, there were no differences between non-Jacu and Jacu coffee samples concerning sucrose contents, which were in accordance with the range reported for C. arabica (5.0–12.0 g/100 g) (Redgwell and Fischer, 2015). The lipid profile of Kopi Luwak coffee was confirmed by scanning electron microscopy.
Sucrose contents were also not affected by post-harvesting processing (dry vs. wet-processing). Still, differences were observed between 2016 and 2017 crops, possibly related to the climate conditions in these years during coffee growth. Insolation intensity, for instance, is positively correlated to sucrose biosynthesis (Cheng et al., 2016).

| Sample                                               | Proteins | Lipids | Ashes | Dietary fibers | Carbohydrates |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------|--------|-------|----------------|---------------|
| Brazilian Jacu coffees                               |          |        |       |                |               |
| Non-Jacu wet-processed crop 2016                      | 13.0     | 10.0   | 3.7   | 35.4           | 38.3          |
| Non-Jacu wet-processed crop 2017                      | 11.7     | 9.3    | 2.7   | 56.2           | 20.3          |
| Non-Jacu dry-processed crop 2017                      | 11.1     | 11.6   | 1.9   | 53.2           | 22.2          |
| Jacu dry-processed crop 2016                          | 12.1     | 11.8   | 3.8   | 45.5           | 27.3          |
| Jacu dry-processed crop 2017                          | 11.3     | 12.2   | 2.7   | 47.9           | 26.1          |
| Indonesian Kopi Luwak coffees                         |          |        |       |                |               |
| Sumatra Aceh Gayo dry-processed crop 2015             | 11.8     | 9.4    | 4.0   | 65.1           | 9.9           |
| Sumatra Aceh Gayo dry-processed crop 2017             | 13.2     | 13.7   | 5.3   | 51.4           | 16.0          |
| Sumatra Mandheling dry-processed crop 2015            | 11.9     | 10.1   | 4.0   | 59.0           | 15.0          |
| Sumatra Mandheling dry-processed crop 2017            | 12.8     | 14.3   | 5.2   | 53.4           | 14.4          |
| East Java wet-processed crop 2015                     | 13.3     | 9.7    | 4.2   | 61.4           | 11.4          |
| Central Java wet-processed crop 2017                  | 13.7     | 13.4   | 5.3   | 61.1           | 5.6           |
| Bali Kintamani wet-processed crop 2015                | 12.2     | 9.8    | 4.3   | 48.5           | 25.3          |
| Bali Kintamani wet-processed crop 2017                | 13.6     | 11.9   | 5.5   | 54.8           | 13.5          |

Notes: Results are presented as means of three analytical replicates; coefficient of variation was lower than 10% for all coffee samples.
TABLE 3 | Caffeine, trigonelline, sucrose and chlorogenic acids contents (g/100 g dry weight) in non-Jacu, Jacu and Kopi Luwak coffee samples\(^a\).

| Sample                          | Caffeine | Trigonelline | Sucrose | Chlorogenic acids |
|---------------------------------|----------|--------------|---------|-------------------|
|                                 |          |              |         | CQA   | FQA | diCQA | p-CoQA | Total |
| Brazilian Jacu coffees         |          |              |         |       |     |       |       |       |
| Non-Jacu wet-processed crop 2016 | 1.07     | 0.99         | 11.44   | 3.70  | 0.26 | 0.28  | 0.07  | 4.30  |
| Non-Jacu wet-processed crop 2017| 1.12     | 1.03         | 7.17    | 3.44  | 0.17 | 0.36  | 0.03  | 3.99  |
| Non-Jacu dry-processed crop 2017| 1.16     | 1.00         | 7.60    | 3.73  | 0.20 | 0.41  | 0.06  | 4.38  |
| Jacu dry-processed crop 2016    | 0.35     | 0.94         | 11.50   | 2.86  | 0.16 | 0.22  | 0.03  | 3.28  |
| Jacu dry-processed crop 2017    | 0.34     | 1.14         | 6.71    | 3.59  | 0.03 | 0.19  | 0.01  | 3.82  |
| Indonesian Kopi Luwak coffees   |          |              |         |       |     |       |       |       |
| Sumatra Aceh Gayo dry-processed crop 2015 | 0.47     | 1.04         | 6.42    | 2.85  | 0.18 | 0.33  | 0.1   | 3.45  |
| Sumatra Aceh Gayo dry-processed crop 2017| 0.46     | 1.05         | 10.92   | 5.67  | 0.26 | 0.71  | ND\(^b\) | 6.64  |
| Sumatra Mandheling dry-processed crop 2015 | 0.36     | 1.20         | 3.99    | 3.07  | 0.20 | 0.30  | 0.02  | 3.58  |
| Sumatra Mandheling dry-processed crop 2017| 0.53     | 1.20         | 11.43   | 5.73  | 0.26 | 0.60  | 0.005 | 6.60  |
| East Java wet-processed crop 2015 | 0.59     | 1.16         | 4.99    | 2.67  | 0.15 | 0.18  | 0.1   | 3.10  |
| Central Java wet-processed crop 2017 | 0.56     | 1.18         | 9.40    | 5.67  | 0.25 | 0.64  | 0.009 | 6.57  |
| Bali Kintamani wet-processed crop 2015 | 0.45     | 1.01         | 6.42    | 2.53  | 0.16 | 0.23  | 0.04  | 2.97  |
| Bali Kintamani wet-processed crop 2017 | 0.47     | 1.01         | 12.84   | 6.44  | 0.32 | 1.07  | 0.008 | 7.84  |

\(^a\) Results are presented as means of three analytical replicates; coefficient of variation was lower than 10% for all coffee samples. CQA, Caffeoylquinic acids; FQA, feruloylquinic acids; diCQA, dicaffeoylquinic acids; p-CoQA, coumaroylquinic acids.

\(^b\) Not detected (below 0.1 ppm for CGA).

**FIGURE 3** | Typical chromatographic separation of chlorogenic acids (CGA) released from non-Jacu, Jacu and Kopi Luwak coffee samples. CQA, Caffeoylquinic acid; FQA, feruloylquinic acid; diCQA, dicaffeoylquinic acid; p-CoQA, coumaroylquinic acid.

Eleven CGA were quantified in non-Jacu and Jacu coffee samples: 3-CQA, 4-CQA, 5-CQA, 3-CoQA, 4-CoQA, 5-CoQA, 4-FQA, 5-FQA, 3,4-diCQA, 3,5-diCQA and 4,5-diCQA (Figure 3). CQA was a major class (86.2%), followed by di-CQA (7.9%), FQA (4.9%), and p-CoQA (0.9%) (Table 3). CGA contents of non-Jacu samples (4.2 g/100 g, on average) were close to the lower limit of the reported range for C. arabica in the literature (Farah and Donangelo, 2006; Cheng et al., 2016) (4.0–8.4 g/100 g) and CGA contents of Jacu coffee samples (3.4 g/100 g, on average) were similar to that reported by Cheong et al. (2013) for Kopi Luwak coffee (3.0 g/100 g). Bioprocessed samples seem to contain less CGA than non-bioprocessed ones, and this difference could be possibly explained by the degradation and/or absorption of these compounds during the bird's digestion. When CGA classes are considered separately, similar behaviors could be noticed. Even though it is well known...
that CGA is absorbed and extensively metabolized in humans (Clifford et al., 2020) and rodents (Clifford, 2000), there are no studies investigating these processes in birds. Nevertheless, Karasov et al. (2012) reported that birds have a higher capacity of absorbing water-soluble secondary plant metabolites, such as phenolic compounds, than rodents. Moreover, Zhang et al. (2020) recently showed that CGA consumption minimizes damage to the small intestine structure of chickens, as well as improves antioxidant capacity and inhibits the transcriptional activity of inflammatory cytokines, implying CGA absorption. However, further studies with adequate statistical power must be conducted to confirm these preliminary observations.

The lipid fraction of all green coffee samples was composed of FFA, diterpenes, tocopherols, sterols, DAG, and TAG (Figure 4), as reported in the literature for C. arabica non-bioprocessed green coffees (Speer and Kölling-Speer, 2006; Farah, 2012; Novaes et al., 2018). TAG were the predominant class, representing, on average, 88.7% of lipids (Figure 5). Dipalmitoyl-linoleoyl glycerol (PPL) and palmitoyl-dilinoleoyl glycerol (PLL) were those found in the highest proportions, on average of 32.7 and 27.6%, respectively, similar to those reported by González et al. (2001). Other seven TAG were found in coffee samples at lower proportions: palmitoyl-stearoyl-linoleoyl glycerol (PSL), palmitoyl-oleoyl-linoleoyl glycerol (POL), palmitoyl-linoleoyl-arachidonoyl glycerol (PLA), stearoyl-oleoyl-linoleoyl glycerol (SOL), distearoyl-linolenyl glycerol (SSLn), oleoyl-linoleoyl-linolenyl glycerol (OLLn) and dilinoleoyl-linolenyl glycerol (LLLn). FFA accounted for an average of 1.7% of lipids (Figure 5), similar to that reported by Farah (2012). Linoleic, oleic, and palmitic acids corresponded, on average, to 38.7%, 33.1%, and 28.2% of this lipid class, respectively, in accordance with previous reports in the literature (Speer and Kölling-Speer, 2006; Oliveira et al., 2014). Campesterol, β-sitosterol, and stigmasterol were the sterols identified in the coffee samples (Figure 6), accounting for 4.8% of total lipids, in accordance with Novaes et al. (2015). β-Sitosterol was the most abundant sterol, representing on average 50.7% of this lipid fraction, similar to that reported by Farah (2012).

Kahweol and cafestol were the two diterpenes observed in all samples (Figure 6), as previously reported by the literature, in their free forms (dialcohols), these compounds together accounted for an average of 0.9% of lipids, similarly to that reported by Speer and Kölling-Speer (2006). As diterpenic fatty acids, kahweol palmitate and cafestol palmitate were identified in all samples, as previously reported by the literature (Kurzrock and Speer, 2001; Speer and Kölling-Speer, 2006; Lima et al., 2020). The most abundant was cafestol palmitate, which represented on average 66% of this class, and together these compounds accounted from 0.3 to 4.1% of lipids, on average. The literature reports a wide range for the contents of esterified diterpenes in C. arabica coffee oil: from 1.1 to 18.0% (Speer and Kölling-Speer, 2006; Novaes et al., 2015, 2020). The ratio between cafestol and kahweol, which has been suggested as an indicator of cup quality (Novaes et al., 2015), ranged from 0.5 to 2, in accordance with the literature (Gross et al., 1997; Silva et al., 2012; Oliveira et al., 2014).

Bioprocessing by the Jacu bird seems to have affected the lipid profile of coffee samples, especially the contents of TAG. While PPA, LLLn and PPL seem to have decreased, SOL, POL and OLLn seem to have increased, and PSL, PLL and SSLLn did not change. DAG contents were not modified due to bioprocessing. In avians, lipids are digested through hydrolysis by lipolytic enzymes (colipase and pancreatic lipase) followed by absorption in the small intestine (Bauer et al., 2005). Together, our results suggest that TAG containing two saturated fatty acids (PPL and PLA) were preferably hydrolyzed in detriment to those containing two unsaturated fatty acids (POL, SOL, and PLL) and that the FFA produced were absorbed in the digestive tract of the Jacu bird. Alternatively, FFA could have been degraded by the avian gut microbiota (e.g., Bacteroides, Clostridium, Lactobacillus, Streptococcus, and Campylobacte).

Bioprocessing by the Jacu bird seems to have also increased campesterol contents, possibly associated to a higher absorption of the other two sterols. Bioprocessing did not modify diterpenes proportions, consequently not changing the ratio between cafestol and kahweol, an indicator of cup quality (Novaes et al., 2015).

Post-harvest processing of the Brazilian coffee samples also affected their lipid profile. Wet-processed samples seem to have higher contents of PLA, LLLn, stigmasterol, β-sitosterol and β-tocopherol than dry-processed ones. Differently from most enzymes, which need water activities above 0.7 to catalyze reactions, lipases have a unique ability to be active at lower water activities (Wehtje and Adelicreutz, 1997). Therefore, the observed changes in lipids could be associated to different lipase activities in dry and wet-processed samples. Moreover, each post-harvest process entails a different fermentation step, with different microbiota, which may also have influenced their lipid profile.

Crop Year Rather Than Location or Post-harvest Processing Discriminated Kopi Luwak Coffee Samples

The average contents of proteins (12.8 g/100 g dwb), lipids (11.5 g/100 g dwb), ashes (4.7 g/100 g dwb) and total carbohydrates (70.7 g/100 g dwb) in Kopi Luwak coffee samples (Table 2) were similar to those previously reported in the literature (Marcone, 2004; Muzaifa and Hasni, 2016; Hadipernata and Nugraha, 2018; Muzaifa et al., 2020), with the exception of the lipid contents reported by Muzaifa and Hasni (2016) (on average 1.3 g/100 g), which was much lower than expected for green coffee beans. This study is the first to report the dietary fiber contents in Kopi Luwak coffee samples (on average 56.8 g/100 g dwb) (Table 2), which were slightly higher than the 40–50% content expected to be found in green coffee beans (Trugo, 1985), possibly due to the relative decrease of digestible nutrients. Kopi Luwak samples from the 2015 crop, independently of different harvesting regions and post-harvest processes, had lower contents of proteins (8%), lipids (27%) and ashes (23%) compared with those from the 2017 crop, probably due to different weather conditions in these years.

Kopi Luwak coffee samples had an average caffeine content of 0.48 g/100 g (dwb) (Table 3), which is similar to that reported
by Nishiguchi et al. (2017) (0.36 g/100 g) for Kopi Luwak and that found for Jacu coffees in the present study (0.34 g/100 g, on average), but lower than that reported by Muzaifa et al. (2020) (on average 1.20 g/100 g) for Kopi Luwak and that of non-bioprocessed green C. arabica samples (0.6–1.8 g/100 g) (Cheng et al., 2016). This result reinforces the previously mentioned hypothesis that bioprocessing reduces green coffee caffeine content. Trigonelline average content in Kopi Luwak coffee samples was 1.11 g/100 g (dwb) (Table 3), which is in accordance with the content reported for non-bioprocessed green C. arabica samples (0.3–1.3 g/100 g) (Cheng et al., 2016). To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first to analyze this compound in Kopi Luwak coffee. Sucrose contents showed a wide variation among Kopi Luwak coffee samples, ranging from 3.99 to 12.84 g/100 g (dwb) (Table 3), in accordance with the literature for non-bioprocessed green C. arabica (Redgwel and Fischer, 2006). While samples from the 2015 crop (on average, 5.5 g/100 g dwb) had sucrose contents similar to that reported by Muzaifa (2018) for Kopi Luwak coffees (on average, 6.3 g/100 g), samples from the 2017 crop had twice as much (on average, 11.1 g/100 g dwb) ($p < 0.0001$). This difference may be explained by insolation intensity in these years, as shading negatively affects sucrose biosynthesis (Cheng et al., 2016).

The same CGA found in non-Jacu and Jacu coffee samples were observed in Kopi Luwak coffees, with the same order of abundance for CGA classes: CQA (80.0%), di-CQA (8.7%), FQA (4.3%) and p-CoQA (1.1%) (Table 3). 5-CQA was also the most abundant, representing an average of 62.2% of the total CGA. Kopi Luwak samples had an average content of total CGA of 5.09 g/100 g (dwb), with samples from the 2017 crop showing twice as much CGA (on average, 6.91 g/100 g dwb) than those from the 2015 crop (on average, 3.28 g/100 g dwb), a behavior observed for all CGA classes. Therefore, while Kopi Luwak samples from 2015 had total CGA contents very similar to those reported by Muzaifa et al. (2020) for Kopi Luwak (on average 3.73 g/100 g), samples from 2017 were more similar with non-bioprocessed C. arabica (Farah and Donangelo, 2006; Cheng et al., 2016), which usually contains more than 5% of total CGA.

Similar to Jacu coffee samples, TAG were the predominant class of lipids in Kopi Luwak coffee samples (83.3% of lipids, on average), with PPL and PLL as the major ones (26.5 and 21.9%, respectively, on average) (Figure 5). On the other hand, Kopi Luwak coffee samples had higher FFA contents (2.69%) and lower DGA contents (0.30%) than Jacu coffee samples (1.26 and 0.54%, respectively). Kopi Luwak coffee samples also showed lower content of sterols (1.70%) and higher content of diterpenes (10.97%) when compared to Jacu coffee samples (4.57 and 2.76%, respectively) (Figure 6). These results may be related to differences in lipids digestion process of mammals and avians.

In order to understand the contribution of volatile precursors in the distinction of each Kopi Luwak coffee sample and their relationship with crop year, post-harvesting processing and growing conditions, the principal component analysis (PCA) was performed, either grouping compounds according to their chemical class (Figure 7A) or considering each individual compound as a separate variable (Figure 7B). The first three principal components accounted together for 73.7 and 66.4% of the variance in these plots, respectively. In both plots, samples harvested in 2015 are located in the left quadrants, whereas those from 2017 are in the right...
**FIGURE 5** | Profile of triacylglycerols [TAG, (A)], diacylglycerols [DAG, (B)] and free fatty acids [FFA, (C)] of coffees from Indonesia (Kopi Luwak) and Brazil (Non-jacu and Jacu). Indonesian samples were from 2015 and 2017 crops of different regions (A.G., Aceh Gayo; M., Mandheling; J., Java; K., Kintamani). Brazilian samples were from 2016 and 2017 crops. Samples were either dry processed (dp) or wet processed (wp). P, palmitic; O, oleic; L, linoleic; S, stearic; A, arachidonic; Ln, linoleic.
FIGURE 6 | Profile of sterols (A), diterpenes (B), and tocopherols (C) of coffees from Indonesia (Kopi Luwak) and Brazil (Non-jacu and Jacu). Indonesian samples were from 2015 and 2017 crops of different regions (A.G., Aceh Gayo; M., Mandheling; J., Java; K., Kintamani). Brazilian samples were from 2016 and 2017 crops. Samples were either dry processed (dp) or wet processed (wp).
ones, indicating that the crop year, in other words weather conditions, was a contributor to discriminating samples. The most important contributors for the discrimination of these samples were total lipids, ashes, total CGA, sucrose and proteins, all of which were found at higher contents in 2017 samples compared to 2015 ones. Neither the harvesting location nor the post-harvest processing have discriminated Kopi Luwak, as samples with equivalent characteristics (SG and BK, for instance) were located in opposite quadrants of the PCA plots.
CONCLUSIONS

This was the first study to perform a detailed chemical characterization of exotic coffees, with emphasis on flavor precursors. Moreover, the effect of bioprocessing on these components was evaluated for the first time, specifically for Jacu coffee. The digestion process by the Jacu bird seems to have modified the profile of several flavor precursors, namely caffeine, CGA and TAG. However, further studies with adequate statistical power are needed to confirm these preliminary observations. The crop year of Kopi Luwak affected the flavor and aroma of these exotic coffees.
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