The CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist is intended for authors of randomized trials evaluating web-based and Internet-based applications/interventions, including mobile interventions, electronic games (incl multiplayer games), social media, certain telehealth applications, and other interactive and/or networked electronic applications. Some of the items (e.g. all subitems under item 5 - description of the intervention) may also be applicable for other study designs.

The goal of the CONSORT EHEALTH checklist and guideline is to be a) a guide for reporting for authors of RCTs, b) to form a basis for appraisal of an ehealth trial (in terms of validity)

CONSORT-EHEALTH items/subitems are MANDATORY reporting items for studies published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research and other journals / scientific societies endorsing the checklist.

Items numbered 1., 2., 3., 4a., 4b etc are original CONSORT or CONSORT-NPT (non-pharmacologic treatment) items. Items with Roman numerals (i., ii, iii, iv etc.) are CONSORT-EHEALTH extensions/clarifications.

As the CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist is still considered in a formative stage, we would ask that you also RATE ON A SCALE OF 1-5 how important/useful you feel each item is FOR THE PURPOSE OF THE CHECKLIST and reporting guideline (optional).

Mandatory reporting items are marked with a red *.
In the textboxes, either copy & paste the relevant sections from your manuscript into this form - please include any quotes from your manuscript in QUOTATION MARKS, or answer directly by providing additional information not in the manuscript, or elaborating on why the item was not relevant for this study.

YOUR ANSWERS WILL BE PUBLISHED AS A SUPPLEMENTARY FILE TO YOUR PUBLICATION IN JMIR AND ARE CONSIDERED PART OF YOUR PUBLICATION (IF ACCEPTED). Please fill in these questions diligently. Information will not be copyedited, so please use proper spelling and grammar, use correct capitalization, and avoid abbreviations.

DO NOT FORGET TO SAVE AS PDF _AND_ CLICK THE SUBMIT BUTTON SO YOUR ANSWERS ARE IN OUR DATABASE !!!

Citation Suggestion (if you append the pdf as Appendix we suggest to cite this paper in the caption):
Eysenbach G, CONSORT-EHEALTH Group
CONSORT-EHEALTH: Improving and Standardizing Evaluation Reports of Web-based and
Feasibility and acceptability of a Fitbit and text messages to promote physical activity during chemotherapy for colorectal cancer: a pilot randomized controlled trial (Smart Pace II)
Name of your App/Software/Intervention *

If there is a short and a long/alternate name, write the short name first and add the long name in brackets.

Smart Pace II

Evaluated Version (if any)
e.g. "V1", "Release 2017-03-01", "Version 2.0.27913"

Your answer

Language(s) *
What language is the intervention/app in? If multiple languages are available, separate by comma (e.g. “English, French”)

English

URL of your Intervention Website or App
e.g. a direct link to the mobile app on app in appstore (itunes, Google Play), or URL of the website. If the intervention is a DVD or hardware, you can also link to an Amazon page.

n/a

URL of an image/screenshot (optional)

Your answer
Accessibility *
Can an enduser access the intervention presently?

- access is free and open
- access only for special usergroups, not open
- access is open to everyone, but requires payment/subscription/in-app purchases
- app/intervention no longer accessible
- Other:

Primary Medical Indication/Disease/Condition *
e.g. "Stress", "Diabetes", or define the target group in brackets after the condition, e.g. "Autism (Parents of children with)", "Alzheimers (Informal Caregivers of)"

Colorectal cancer

Primary Outcomes measured in trial *
comma-separated list of primary outcomes reported in the trial

Feasibility, Acceptability

Secondary/other outcomes
Are there any other outcomes the intervention is expected to affect?

Your answer
Recommended "Dose" *

What do the instructions for users say on how often the app should be used?

- Approximately Daily
- Approximately Weekly
- Approximately Monthly
- Approximately Yearly
- "as needed"
- Other:

Approx. Percentage of Users (starters) still using the app as recommended after 3 months *

- unknown / not evaluated
- 0-10%
- 11-20%
- 21-30%
- 31-40%
- 41-50%
- 51-60%
- 61-70%
- 71%-80%
- 81-90%
- 91-100%
- Other:
Overall, was the app/intervention effective? *

- yes: all primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control
- partly: SOME primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control
- no statistically significant difference between control and intervention
- potentially harmful: control was significantly better than intervention in one or more outcomes
- inconclusive: more research is needed
- Other: This was a pilot/feasibility study and was not designed to examine the

Article Preparation Status/Stage *
At which stage in your article preparation are you currently (at the time you fill in this form)

- not submitted yet - in early draft status
- not submitted yet - in late draft status, just before submission
- submitted to a journal but not reviewed yet
- submitted to a journal and after receiving initial reviewer comments
- submitted to a journal and accepted, but not published yet
- published
- Other:
Journal *
If you already know where you will submit this paper (or if it is already submitted), please provide the journal name (if it is not JMIR, provide the journal name under "other")

- not submitted yet / unclear where I will submit this
- Journal of Medical Internet Research (JMIR)
- JMIR mHealth and UHealth
- JMIR Serious Games
- JMIR Mental Health
- JMIR Public Health
- JMIR Formative Research
- Other JMIR sister journal
- Other:

Is this a full powered effectiveness trial or a pilot/feasibility trial? *

- Pilot/feasibility
- Fully powered

Manuscript tracking number *
If this is a JMIR submission, please provide the manuscript tracking number under "other" (The ms tracking number can be found in the submission acknowledgement email, or when you login as author in JMIR. If the paper is already published in JMIR, then the ms tracking number is the four-digit number at the end of the DOI, to be found at the bottom of each published article in JMIR)

- no ms number (yet) / not (yet) submitted to / published in JMIR
- Other: JC ms#31576
TITLE AND ABSTRACT

1a) TITLE: Identification as a randomized trial in the title

1a) Does your paper address CONSORT item 1a? *
I.e does the title contain the phrase "Randomized Controlled Trial"? (if not, explain the reason under "other")

☐ yes
☐ Other:

1a-i) Identify the mode of delivery in the title

Identify the mode of delivery. Preferably use “web-based” and/or “mobile” and/or “electronic game” in the title. Avoid ambiguous terms like “online”, “virtual”, “interactive”. Use “Internet-based” only if Intervention includes non-web-based Internet components (e.g. email), use “computer-based” or “electronic” only if offline products are used. Use “virtual” only in the context of “virtual reality” (3-D worlds). Use “online” only in the context of “online support groups”. Complement or substitute product names with broader terms for the class of products (such as “mobile” or “smart phone” instead of “iphone”), especially if the application runs on different platforms.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 1a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks “like this” to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Feasibility and acceptability of a physical activity tracker and text messages to promote physical activity during chemotherapy for colorectal cancer: a pilot randomized controlled trial (Smart Pace II)
1a-ii) Non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title

Mention non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title, if any (e.g., “with telephone support”).

|   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| subitem not at all important |   |   |   |   | essential |

Does your paper address subitem 1a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Feasibility and acceptability of a physical activity tracker and text messages to promote physical activity during chemotherapy for colorectal cancer: a pilot randomized controlled trial (Smart Pace II)

1a-iii) Primary condition or target group in the title

Mention primary condition or target group in the title, if any (e.g., “for children with Type I Diabetes”)

Example: A Web-based and Mobile Intervention with Telephone Support for Children with Type I Diabetes: Randomized Controlled Trial

|   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| subitem not at all important |   |   |   |   | essential |

Does your paper address subitem 1a-iii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Feasibility and acceptability of a physical activity tracker and text messages to promote physical activity during chemotherapy for colorectal cancer: a pilot randomized controlled trial (Smart Pace II)
1b) ABSTRACT: Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions
NPT extension: Description of experimental treatment, comparator, care providers, centers, and blinding status.

1b-i) Key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT
Mention key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the abstract. If possible, also mention theories and principles used for designing the site. Keep in mind the needs of systematic reviewers and indexers by including important synonyms. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-i? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Eligible patients were randomized 1:1 to a 12-week (wk) intervention (Fitbit Flex, automated text messages) vs. usual care.

1b-ii) Level of human involvement in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT
Clarify the level of human involvement in the abstract, e.g., use phrases like "fully automated" vs. "therapist/nurse/care provider/physician-assisted" (mention number and expertise of providers involved, if any). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential
Does your paper address subitem 1b-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Eligible patients were randomized 1:1 to a 12-week (wk) intervention (Fitbit Flex, automated text messages) vs. usual care.

1b-iii) Open vs. closed, web-based (self-assessment) vs. face-to-face assessments in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT
Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic or a closed online user group (closed usergroup trial), and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment). Clearly say if outcomes were self-assessed through questionnaires (as common in web-based trials). Note: In traditional offline trials, an open trial (open-label trial) is a type of clinical trial in which both the researchers and participants know which treatment is being administered. To avoid confusion, use "blinded" or "unblinded" to indicated the level of blinding instead of "open", as "open" in web-based trials usually refers to "open access" (i.e. participants can self-enrol). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important □ □ □ □ □ essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Potentially eligible CRC patients expected to receive at least 12 weeks of chemotherapy were identified in person at the University of California, San Francisco and online through advertising." AND "Participants could not be masked to their intervention arm, but people assessing the body size and 6-min walk test outcomes were masked. Primary outcomes were adherence (e.g., Fitbit wear, text response rate) and self-assessed acceptability of the intervention."
1b-iv) RESULTS section in abstract must contain use data

Report number of participants enrolled/assessed in each group, the use/uptake of the intervention (e.g., attrition/adherence metrics, use over time, number of logins etc.), in addition to primary/secondary outcomes. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

1  2  3  4  5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iv?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Follow-up at 12-weeks was 91% complete (40/44). In the intervention arm, patients wore Fitbits a median of 67 of 84 study days (80%) and responded to a median 17 out of 27 questions sent via text message (63%).

1b-v) CONCLUSIONS/DISCUSSION in abstract for negative trials

Conclusions/Discussions in abstract for negative trials: Discuss the primary outcome - if the trial is negative (primary outcome not changed), and the intervention was not used, discuss whether negative results are attributable to lack of uptake and discuss reasons. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

1  2  3  4  5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential
Does your paper address subitem 1b-v?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This pilot study demonstrated that many people receiving chemotherapy for CRC are interested in participating in digital health physical activity interventions. Fitbit adherence was high; however, participants indicated a desire for more tailored text message content. Studies with more socioeconomically diverse CRC patients are needed.

INTRODUCTION

2a) In INTRODUCTION: Scientific background and explanation of rationale

2a-i) Problem and the type of system/solution
Describe the problem and the type of system/solution that is object of the study: intended as stand-alone intervention vs. incorporated in broader health care program? Intended for a particular patient population? Goals of the intervention, e.g., being more cost-effective to other interventions, replace or complement other solutions? (Note: Details about the intervention are provided in "Methods" under 5)

Does your paper address subitem 2a-i? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Given that physical activity tends to decline during treatment [7], interventions that enable patients with colorectal cancer to maintain their physical activity levels during treatment may be important adjuncts to standard oncologic therapies."
2a-ii) Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system

Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system that is the object of the study (be sure to discuss the use of similar systems for other conditions/diagnoses, if appropriate), motivation for the study, i.e. what are the reasons for and what is the context for this specific study, from which stakeholder viewpoint is the study performed, potential impact of findings [2]. Briefly justify the choice of the comparator.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 2a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Prior Work

Digital health tools, such as physical activity trackers, text messaging, and apps offer low cost approaches to increase physical activity [10]. One study evaluated adherence to wearing a Fitbit (San Francisco, CA) in early breast cancer patients on chemotherapy and concluded that additional intervention components, such as phone calls, text messages, or other reminders, are needed to maintain adherence to wearing the Fitbit [11]. Few studies have evaluated similar intervention components in patients with colorectal cancer, especially those on chemotherapy. A review of consumer wearable health intervention studies in breast, prostate, and colorectal cancer survivors identified eight randomized controlled trials conducted in these cancers; only one of these trials (Smart Pace I), conducted by our team, focused on colorectal cancer survivors exclusively [12]. In that study, we reported that digital health tools, including a Fitbit Flex and text messages, were feasible, acceptable, and may increase physical activity among colorectal cancer survivors after completion of chemotherapy [13].

2b) In INTRODUCTION: Specific objectives or hypotheses
Methods

3a) Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3a? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This was a 2-arm (1:1) pilot randomized controlled trial.

3b) Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We initially excluded participants who owned a physical activity tracker designed to be worn all day (not just during exercise sessions), such as a Fitbit. In June 2019, we refined this criterion to exclude people who owned physical activity trackers and had worn them in the past month; people who owned trackers but were not wearing them would still be eligible. The eligibility criterion that excluded people who owned and wore a physical activity tracker was completely removed in August 2019.

3b-i) Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes

Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes: ehealth systems are often dynamic systems. A description of changes to methods therefore also includes important changes made on the intervention or comparator during the trial (e.g., major bug fixes or changes in the functionality or content) (5-iii) and other "unexpected events" that may have influenced study design such as staff changes, system failures/downtimes, etc. [2].

Does your paper address subitem 3b-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

4a) Eligibility criteria for participants
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4a? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Eligibility criteria included the expectation to receive at least 12 weeks of chemotherapy, the ability to speak and read English, access to a mobile phone with email and text messaging capabilities, ≥4 weeks since last major surgery, and provider endorsement of patient safety to participate in unsupervised moderate physical activity. Patients were excluded if they self-reported 150 minutes or more per week of moderate to vigorous physical activity (MVPA) on the modified Godin Leisure Time Exercise Questionnaire or had contraindications to exercise at the time of enrollment [14]. We initially excluded participants who owned a physical activity tracker designed to be worn all day (not just during exercise sessions), such as a Fitbit. In June 2019, we refined this criterion to exclude people who owned physical activity trackers and had worn them in the past month; people who owned trackers but were not wearing them would still be eligible. The eligibility criterion that excluded people who owned and wore a physical activity tracker was completely removed in August 2019.

4a-i) Computer / Internet literacy
Computer / Internet literacy is often an implicit "de facto" eligibility criterion - this should be explicitly clarified.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 4a-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer
4a-ii) Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments:

Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments: Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic, and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment), i.e., to what degree got the study team to know the participant. In online-only trials, clarify if participants were quasi-anonymous and whether having multiple identities was possible or whether technical or logistical measures (e.g., cookies, email confirmation, phone calls) were used to detect/prevent these.

Does your paper address subitem 4a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

"Potentially eligible participants were identified through the Gastrointestinal Oncology Clinic at the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF) as well as through public advertising online, at community events, and local oncology clinics. Potential participants at UCSF were approached in person and by email. The intervention was administered remotely, so recruitment was not restricted to individuals receiving chemotherapy at UCSF." and "The acceptability of the intervention was evaluated by an investigator-created questionnaire administered at 12-weeks online using REDCap® [15]."

4a-iii) Information giving during recruitment

Information given during recruitment. Specify how participants were briefed for recruitment and in the informed consent procedures (e.g., publish the informed consent documentation as appendix, see also item X26), as this information may have an effect on user self-selection, user expectation and may also bias results.
Does your paper address subitem 4a-iii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Your answer

4b) Settings and locations where the data were collected

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4b? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Data were collected through the Fitbit, text messages and REDCap online surveys.

4b-i) Report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires
Clearly report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires (as common in web-based trials) or otherwise.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 4b-i? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Yes: "The acceptability of the intervention was evaluated by an investigator-created questionnaire administered at 12-weeks online using REDCap® [15]."
4b-ii) Report how institutional affiliations are displayed

Report how institutional affiliations are displayed to potential participants [on ehealth media], as affiliations with prestigious hospitals or universities may affect volunteer rates, use, and reactions with regards to an intervention. (Not a required item – describe only if this may bias results)

|   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| subitem not at all important | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| essential |   |   |   |   |   |

Does your paper address subitem 4b-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Your answer

5) The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered

5-i) Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners

Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners [6] (if authors/evaluators are owners or developer of the software, this needs to be declared in a “Conflict of interest” section or mentioned elsewhere in the manuscript).

|   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| subitem not at all important | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| essential |   |   |   |   |   |
Does your paper address subitem 5-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Participants used a Fitbit Flex 2.

5-ii) Describe the history/development process

Describe the history/development process of the application and previous formative evaluations (e.g., focus groups, usability testing), as these will have an impact on adoption/use rates and help with interpreting results.

|   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Subitem Not at All Important | | | | | |
| Essential | | | | | |

Does your paper address subitem 5-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Your answer.

5-iii) Revisions and updating

Revisions and updating. Clearly mention the date and/or version number of the application/intervention (and comparator, if applicable) evaluated, or describe whether the intervention underwent major changes during the evaluation process, or whether the development and/or content was "frozen" during the trial. Describe dynamic components such as news feeds or changing content which may have an impact on the replicability of the intervention (for unexpected events see item 3b).

|   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Subitem Not at All Important | | | | | |
| Essential | | | | | |
Does your paper address subitem 5-iii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

---

5-iv) Quality assurance methods

Provide information on quality assurance methods to ensure accuracy and quality of information provided [1], if applicable.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ● ● ● ● ● essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-iv?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

---

5-v) Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used

Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used. Replicability (i.e., other researchers should in principle be able to replicate the study) is a hallmark of scientific reporting.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ● ● ● ● ● essential
Does your paper address subitem 5-v?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

5-vi) Digital preservation

Digital preservation: Provide the URL of the application, but as the intervention is likely to change or disappear over the course of the years; also make sure the intervention is archived (Internet Archive, [webcitation.org](http://webcitation.org), and/or publishing the source code or screenshots/videos alongside the article). As pages behind login screens cannot be archived, consider creating demo pages which are accessible without login.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-vi?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

5-vii) Access

Access: Describe how participants accessed the application, in what setting/context, if they had to pay (or were paid) or not, whether they had to be a member of specific group. If known, describe how participants obtained "access to the platform and Internet" [1]. To ensure access for editors/reviewers/readers, consider to provide a "backdoor" login account or demo mode for reviewers/readers to explore the application (also important for archiving purposes, see vi).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential
Participants created their own Fitbit accounts to access and use the Fitbit app.

5-viii) Mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework

Describe mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework [6] used to design them (instructional strategy [1], behaviour change techniques, persuasive features, etc., see e.g., [7, 8] for terminology). This includes an in-depth description of the content (including where it is coming from and who developed it) [1], whether [and how] it is tailored to individual circumstances and allows users to track their progress and receive feedback” [6]. This also includes a description of communication delivery channels and – if computer-mediated communication is a component – whether communication was synchronous or asynchronous [6]. It also includes information on presentation strategies [1], including page design principles, average amount of text on pages, presence of hyperlinks to other resources, etc. [1].

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important

essential
Intervention arm participants received a printed booklet about physical activity after cancer, daily interactive text messages (see Multimedia Appendix 1 for example text messages), a Fitbit Flex 2 Fitness Wristband (hereafter referred to as the Fitbit), and a list of home-based exercise apps and videos. Participants also received written instructions on how to set up the Fitbit and were asked to wear their Fitbit on their wrist every day during the 12-week study period; they were allowed to keep the Fitbit after the study. To receive the text messages automatically during the study, participants’ phone numbers were registered by a research coordinator in a custom-built Drupal website which interacted with Twilio to facilitate sending and receiving text messages. Participants were encouraged to work up to the United States Physical Activity Guidelines of 150 minutes per week of MVPA through the text messages [16]. Twenty-one text messages specifically promoted aerobic exercise; 10 specifically mentioned resistance exercise; and 2 text messages specifically encouraged flexibility exercise. Notably, four messages asked participants “Good Morning! How is your energy level today? Text back ‘H’ if you feel great, ‘M’ if you feel ok, and ‘L’ if you feel very tired.” Tailored feedback for that day’s activity was sent based on the participants’ responses. For example, if the participant said ‘L’, they received this message: “(1/2) You are going through a lot. Sometimes light exercise can help you feel better. (2/2) Walking or yoga are good options - try to do just 10 minutes today at an easy and comfortable pace and see if that helps!”

5-ix) Describe use parameters

Describe use parameters (e.g., intended “doses” and optimal timing for use). Clarify what instructions or recommendations were given to the user, e.g., regarding timing, frequency, heaviness of use, if any, or was the intervention used ad libitum.

subitem not at all important

1 2 3 4 5

essential
Does your paper address subitem 5-ix?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Your answer

5-x) Clarify the level of human involvement
Clarify the level of human involvement (care providers or health professionals, also technical assistance) in the e-intervention or as co-intervention (detail number and expertise of professionals involved, if any, as well as “type of assistance offered, the timing and frequency of the support, how it is initiated, and the medium by which the assistance is delivered”. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of human involvement required for the trial, and the level of human involvement required for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| subitem not at all important | | | | | essential |

Does your paper address subitem 5-x?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Intervention arm participants received a printed booklet about physical activity after cancer, daily fully-automated interactive text messages (see Multimedia Appendix 1 for example text messages), a Fitbit Flex 2 Fitness Wristband (hereafter referred to as the Fitbit), and a list of home-based exercise apps and videos.
5-xi) Report any prompts/reminders used

Report any prompts/reminders used: Clarify if there were prompts (letters, emails, phone calls, SMS) to use the application, what triggered them, frequency etc. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of prompts/reminders required for the trial, and the level of prompts/reminders for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-xi? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Six messages prompted the participants to wear and sync their Fitbits.

5-xii) Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support)

Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support): Clearly state any interventions that are provided in addition to the targeted eHealth intervention, as ehealth intervention may not be designed as stand-alone intervention. This includes training sessions and support [1]. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of training required for the trial, and the level of training for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-xii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The intervention was intended to be stand-alone with no human involvement.
6a) Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Study measures

Feasibility
We assessed the feasibility of the intervention by calculating the median number of days that intervention participants wore the Fitbit; the median number of text messages that asked for a reply that intervention participants responded to; and the proportion of the study participants who completed at least one 12-week follow-up survey, overall and by arm. We counted the Fitbit as worn on a given day if >1500 steps were recorded [17]. Text message adherence was calculated as the mean proportion of texts which requested a reply that each intervention participant responded to. A priori, we stated that we would consider the intervention to be feasible if we achieved at least 70% adherence on average (Fitbit worn at least 59 days out of the 84 study days; 19 or more text messages responded to out of 27 that asked for a reply) and if 80% of participants completed at least one 12-week follow-up survey.

Acceptability
The acceptability of the intervention was evaluated by an investigator-created questionnaire administered at 12-weeks online using REDCap® [15]. Intervention participants were asked to what degree they agreed with statements regarding the intervention components (e.g., text messages and Fitbit). Responses were coded according to a 5-point Likert scale (e.g., 1=strongly agree; 2=agree; 3=undecided; 4=disagree, 5=strongly disagree).

Physical activity
Participants’ physical activity was assessed as a secondary outcome. Activity was measured using GTX3+ accelerometers (ActiGraph LLC, Pensacola, FL) worn on the wrist for seven consecutive days at enrollment and 12 weeks [18]. Data were recorded and analyzed in five second epochs. A minimum of three days with at least 10 hours of valid wear time was required for inclusion in the analysis [19, 20]. To determine valid hours, non-wear time was identified using the Troiano 2007 algorithm in the ActiLife v6.13.4 software.

After the study was completed, we used the Freedson Adult 1998 cut-points to identify the average minutes per day of sedentary (0-100 counts per minute), light (101-1952 counts per minute), moderate 1953-5724 counts per minute), hard (5725-9498 counts per minute), and very hard (9499-16,000 counts per minute) physical activity [21]. We also estimated minutes per week spent in at least 10-minute bouts of MVPA. To do so, we divided the total time in Freedson 1998 bouts calculated by the Actilife software by the number of calendar days with valid wear time and multiplied by 7. These calculations were performed after the study was completed, so participants and researchers were blinded to baseline accelerometer assessed physical activity minutes per week values at the time of randomization.

6-minute walk test, body weight and blood pressure
At enrollment and 12 weeks, participants who were able to come to UCSF were given the option to complete a 6-minute walk test, a sub-maximal test correlated with peak VO2 and widely used to detect changes in exercise tolerance in adults with a wide range of healthy

https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSfZBSUp1bwOc_O1nucS64RdfIAFvnrTSkZQL2-3O8O9hrL5Ssw/viewform?hl=en_US&formkey=dGItZ2Z2Q1hNS… 29/56
widely used to detect changes in exercise tolerance in adults with a wide range of healthy conditions [22]. If the test was performed on the same day as a scheduled treatment, the 6-minute walk test was performed prior to administration of chemotherapy. Data on participants’ body weight and blood pressure were abstracted from participants’ medical records (UCSF patients) or obtained from participants’ providers (for non-UCSF patients) at baseline and 12 weeks.

Adverse events
Surveys were created by the investigator team for the purpose of collecting self-reported adverse events during the intervention period. Participants completed a brief online “health check-in” at 0-, 4-, 8-, and 12-weeks using REDCap® surveys delivered via email. The survey queried recent chemotherapy treatments, current body weight, medication use, hospitalizations, and whether the patient had experienced any of the following conditions in the past four weeks: low back pain, knee pain, shoulder pain, arthritis, chest pain, shortness of breath, fatigue, leg cramping, muscle pain, and dizziness/vertigo. If participants reported any of these conditions, they were asked to report the onset and duration of symptoms, whether any activities made it better or worse, and if they took any medication for the condition.

6a-i) Online questionnaires: describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed

If outcomes were obtained through online questionnaires, describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed [9].

Does your paper address subitem 6a-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

The acceptability of the intervention was evaluated by an investigator-created questionnaire administered at 12-weeks online using REDCap® [15]. Intervention participants were asked to what degree they agreed with statements regarding the intervention components (e.g., text messages and Fitbit). Responses were coded according to a 5-point Likert scale (e.g., 1=strongly agree; 2=agree; 3=undecided; 4=disagree, 5=strongly disagree).
6a-ii) Describe whether and how “use” (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/monitored

Describe whether and how “use” (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/monitored (logins, logfile analysis, etc.). Use/adoption metrics are important process outcomes that should be reported in any ehealth trial.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important   essential

6a-iii) Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained

Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained (e.g., through emails, feedback forms, interviews, focus groups).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important   essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

Feasibility
We assessed the feasibility of the intervention by calculating the median number of days that intervention participants wore the Fitbit; the median number of text messages that asked for a reply that intervention participants responded to; and the proportion of the study participants who completed at least one 12-week follow-up survey, overall and by arm. We counted the Fitbit as worn on a given day if >1500 steps were recorded [17]. Text message adherence was calculated as the mean proportion of texts which requested a reply that each intervention participant responded to. A priori, we stated that we would consider the intervention to be feasible if we achieved at least 70% adherence on average (Fitbit worn at least 59 days out of the 84 study days; 19 or more text messages responded to out of 27 that asked for a reply) and if 80% of participants completed at least one 12-week follow-up survey.
Does your paper address subitem 6a-iii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

The questionnaire also included two open-ended questions for other feedback on the text messages and Fitbits.

6b) Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6b? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable - no changes to outcomes after the trial commenced.

7a) How sample size was determined
NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care provides or centers was addressed

7a-i) Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size
Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size.

1  2  3  4  5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential
Our target sample size of 48 participants was based on the number of participants in prior pilot studies [13]. This number was sufficient to answer our primary objective of feasibility, quantified using Fitbit adherence (number of days that the participants wore the device) and text message response (number of replies to text messages that asked for a reply). Attrition was one of the primary feasibility endpoints, and therefore we did not increase the sample size to account for attrition.

Not applicable. This was a pilot/feasibility study.
Between 15 March 2018 to 20 March 2020, 44 participants were randomized 1:1 to intervention or control, using a computer-generated randomization scheme created by a blinded study statistician. The scheme was uploaded into REDCap and the study research coordinator used REDCap to determine a given participant's assigned intervention arm. Relevant study materials were then distributed to the participants in person or by mail by the study research coordinator.
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 9? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Between 15 March 2018 to 20 March 2020, 44 participants were randomized 1:1 to intervention or control, using a computer-generated randomization scheme created by a blinded study statistician. The scheme was uploaded into REDCap and the study research coordinator used REDCap to determine a given participant’s assigned intervention arm. Relevant study materials were then distributed to the participants in person or by mail by the study research coordinator.

10) Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 10? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Between 15 March 2018 to 20 March 2020, 44 participants were randomized 1:1 to intervention or control, using a computer-generated randomization scheme created by a blinded study statistician. The scheme was uploaded into REDCap and the study research coordinator used REDCap to determine a given participant’s assigned intervention arm. Relevant study materials were then distributed to the participants in person or by mail by the study research coordinator.

11a) If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those assessing outcomes) and how
NPT: Whether or not administering co-interventions were blinded to group assignment
### 11a-i) Specify who was blinded, and who wasn’t

Specify who was blinded, and who wasn’t. Usually, in web-based trials it is not possible to blind the participants [1, 3] (this should be clearly acknowledged), but it may be possible to blind outcome assessors, those doing data analysis or those administering co-interventions (if any).

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| subitem not at all important | | | | | essential |

Does your paper address subitem 11a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Due to the nature of the intervention, participants were not blinded to their assigned intervention arm."; "participants and researchers were blinded to baseline accelerometer assessed physical activity minutes per week values at the time of randomization."

### 11a-ii) Discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the “intervention of interest” and which one was the “comparator”

Informed consent procedures (4a-ii) can create biases and certain expectations - discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the “intervention of interest” and which one was the “comparator”.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| subitem not at all important | | | | | essential |

Does your paper address subitem 11a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer
11b) If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions
(this item is usually not relevant for ehealth trials as it refers to similarity of a placebo or sham
intervention to a active medication/intervention)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 11b? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks “like this”
to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional
information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable/relevant to this study.

12a) Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary
outcomes
NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care providers or centers was
addressed

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12a? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks “like this”
to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional
information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Statistical Analysis
Descriptive statistics, including counts, percentages, means, standard deviations, medians,
and ranges were used to describe participant characteristics and reports of adverse events.
All statistical analyses were conducted using R [23].
We conducted 1-sample Z-tests to determine whether the observed adherence was
significantly less than the a priori cut-off of 70%. We also used 1-sample Z-tests to
determine whether the proportion of the study participants (overall and by group) that
completed a 12-week follow-up survey was significantly less than the 80% or more
completion rate set a priori. A Fisher’s exact test was used to compare attrition between the
two arms. We reported participants’ responses to the feedback questionnaire using
descriptive statistics.
The secondary effects of the intervention from baseline to 12-weeks within and between the
intervention and control arms were estimated using weighted t-tests for physical activity
measures and Mann-Whitney tests for body weight, blood pressure, and 6-minute walk test.
12a-i) Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values

Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values: Not all participants will use the intervention/comparator as intended and attrition is typically high in ehealth trials. Specify how participants who did not use the application or dropped out from the trial were treated in the statistical analysis (a complete case analysis is strongly discouraged, and simple imputation techniques such as LOCF may also be problematic [4]).

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ |

subitem not at all important

Does your paper address subitem 12a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics, including counts, percentages, means, standard deviations, medians, and ranges were used to describe participant characteristics and reports of adverse events. All statistical analyses were conducted using R [23].

We conducted 1-sample Z-tests to determine whether the observed adherence was significantly less than the a priori cut-off of 70%. We also used 1-sample Z-tests to determine whether the proportion of the study participants (overall and by group) that completed a 12-week follow-up survey was significantly less than the 80% or more completion rate set a priori. A Fisher’s exact test was used to compare attrition between the two arms. We reported participants’ responses to the feedback questionnaire using descriptive statistics.

The secondary effects of the intervention from baseline to 12-weeks within and between the intervention and control arms were estimated using weighted t-tests for physical activity measures and Mann-Whitney tests for body weight, blood pressure, and 6-minute walk test.

12b) Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12b? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable/relevant for this pilot / feasibility study.

X26) REB/IRB Approval and Ethical Considerations [recommended as subheading under "Methods"] (not a CONSORT item)

X26-i) Comment on ethics committee approval

Does your paper address subitem X26-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF).

x26-ii) Outline informed consent procedures
Outline informed consent procedures e.g., if consent was obtained offline or online (how? Checkbox, etc.), and what information was provided (see 4a-ii). See [6] for some items to be included in informed consent documents.

---

https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSfZBSUlp1bwOc_OinuqC64RdfIAFvmrTSkZQL2-3089hrL5Sw/viewform?hl=en_US&formkey=dGiKd2Z2Q1lNS...
Does your paper address subitem X26-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Once participants were confirmed as eligible, informed consent was obtained either in person or electronically using DocuSign® (San Francisco, CA).

X26-iii) Safety and security procedures
Safety and security procedures, incl. privacy considerations, and any steps taken to reduce the likelihood or detection of harm (e.g., education and training, availability of a hotline)

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important  ○  ○  ○  ○  ○  essential

Does your paper address subitem X26-iii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Adverse events
A survey was created by the investigator team for the purpose of collecting self-reported adverse events during the intervention period. Participants completed a brief online “health check-in” at 0-, 4-, 8-, and 12-weeks using REDCap® surveys delivered via email. The survey queried recent chemotherapy treatments, current body weight, medication use, hospitalizations, and whether the patient had experienced any of the following conditions in the past four weeks: low back pain, knee pain, shoulder pain, arthritis, chest pain, shortness of breath, fatigue, leg cramping, muscle pain, and dizziness/vertigo. If participants reported any of these conditions, they were asked to report the onset and duration of symptoms, whether any activities made it better or worse, and if they took any medication for the condition.

RESULTS
13a) For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and were analysed for the primary outcome

NPT: The number of care providers or centers performing the intervention in each group and the number of patients treated by each care provider in each center

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We randomized 44 participants with colorectal cancer to the intervention (n=22) or control (n=22) arms (Figure 1) from March 2018 until March 2020.

13b) For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13b? (NOTE: Preferably, this is shown in a CONSORT flow diagram) *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Follow-up at 12 weeks was 90.9% (20/22) complete in both arms. In the intervention arm, one participant withdrew, reporting that the study was incompatible with the chemotherapy schedule and citing the inconvenience of charging and syncing the Fitbit. One intervention arm patient was lost to follow-up for unknown reasons. In the control arm, one patient died during the intervention phase due to cancer progression, and one participant withdrew after transferring care to another treatment facility.
13b-i) Attrition diagram
Strongly recommended: An attrition diagram (e.g., proportion of participants still logging in or using the intervention/comparator in each group plotted over time, similar to a survival curve) or other figures or tables demonstrating usage/dose/engagement.

Does your paper address subitem 13b-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript or cite the figure number if applicable (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

A Consort Flow Diagram is provided. See Figure 1.

14a) Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14a? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We randomized 44 participants with colorectal cancer to the intervention (n=22) or control (n=22) arms (Figure 1) from March 2018 until March 2020. The assigned intervention was administered for all 44 participants. Follow-up at 12-weeks was 90.9% (20/22) complete in both arms.
14a-i) Indicate if critical “secular events” fell into the study period
Indicate if critical “secular events” fell into the study period, e.g., significant changes in Internet resources available or “changes in computer hardware or Internet delivery resources”

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 14a-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

14b) Why the trial ended or was stopped (early)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14b? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We stopped the trial in March 2020, after 44 participants were accrued, due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

15) A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group
NPT: When applicable, a description of care providers (case volume, qualification, expertise, etc.) and centers (volume) in each group
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 15? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Yes, see Table 1 "Demographic characteristics and clinical factors of participants with colorectal cancer undergoing chemotherapy in a 2-arm pilot randomized controlled trial of a 12-week digital physical activity intervention (N=44)."

15-i) Report demographics associated with digital divide issues

In ehealth trials it is particularly important to report demographics associated with digital divide issues, such as age, education, gender, social-economic status, computer/Internet/ehealth literacy of the participants, if known.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important essential

Does your paper address subitem 15-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Yes, age, gender, education and self-identified race/ethnicity are reported in Table 1.

16) For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was by original assigned groups

https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSfZBSUp1bwOc_OinMcS64RdfIAPvnrTSkZQL2-3O8O9hrL5Sw/viewform?hl=en_US&formkey=dGlKd2Z2Q1lNS
### 16-i) Report multiple “denominators” and provide definitions

Report multiple “denominators” and provide definitions: Report N's (and effect sizes) “across a range of study participation [and use] thresholds” [1], e.g., N exposed, N consented, N used more than x times, N used more than y weeks, N participants “used” the intervention/comparator at specific pre-defined time points of interest (in absolute and relative numbers per group). Always clearly define “use” of the intervention.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | essential |
|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|
|  |   |   |   |   | subitem not at all important |

#### Does your paper address subitem 16-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks “like this” to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

"Participants randomized to the intervention arm wore their Fitbits a median of 67 out of 84 study days (IQR: 53-80 days)." and "Overall, intervention arm participants responded to a median of 17 of 27 text messages that asked for a reply (63%; IQR: 12-23; range: 1-26)."

### 16-ii) Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat

Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat, secondary analyses could include comparing only “users”, with the appropriate caveats that this is no longer a randomized sample (see 18-i).

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | essential |
|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|
|  |   |   |   |   | subitem not at all important |

#### Does your paper address subitem 16-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks “like this” to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

This has been done. Our primary analysis was focused on feasibility/acceptability.
17a) For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its precision (such as 95% confidence interval)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This has been done. See Results section.

17a-i) Presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use

In addition to primary/secondary (clinical) outcomes, the presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use (dose, exposure) and their operational definitions is critical. This does not only refer to metrics of attrition (13-b) (often a binary variable), but also to more continuous exposure metrics such as "average session length". These must be accompanied by a technical description how a metric like a "session" is defined (e.g., timeout after idle time) [1] (report under item 6a).

Does your paper address subitem 17a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This has been done. See Results section.

17b) For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17b? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We did not compare binary outcomes across groups for this pilot/feasibility study.

18) Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 18? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This has been done. See multimedia appendices.

18-i) Subgroup analysis of comparing only users
A subgroup analysis of comparing only users is not uncommon in ehealth trials, but if done, it must be stressed that this is a self-selected sample and no longer an unbiased sample from a randomized trial (see 16-iii).

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important

Does your paper address subitem 18-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer
19) All important harms or unintended effects in each group
(for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 19? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Adverse events were reported. See Table 3.

19-i) Include privacy breaches, technical problems

Include privacy breaches, technical problems. This does not only include physical "harm" to participants, but also incidents such as perceived or real privacy breaches [1], technical problems, and other unexpected/unintended incidents. "Unintended effects" also includes unintended positive effects [2].

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important  ○  ○  ○  ○  ○  essential

Does your paper address subitem 19-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer
19-ii) Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers
Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers, if available, on strengths and shortcomings of the application, especially if they point to unintended/unexpected effects or uses. This includes (if available) reasons for why people did or did not use the application as intended by the developers.

Does your paper address subitem 19-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

DISCUSSION

22) Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence
NPT: In addition, take into account the choice of the comparator, lack of or partial blinding, and unequal expertise of care providers or centers in each group

22-i) Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use)
Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use).

Does your paper address subitem 19-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Does your paper address subitem 19-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study
Does your paper address subitem 22-i? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

This has been done. See Discussion Section.

22-ii) Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research
Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ◯ ◯ ◯ ◯ ◯ essential

Does your paper address subitem 22-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Your answer

20) Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses

20-i) Typical limitations in ehealth trials
Typical limitations in ehealth trials: Participants in ehealth trials are rarely blinded. Ehealth trials often look at a multiplicity of outcomes, increasing risk for a Type I error. Discuss biases due to non-use of the intervention/usability issues, biases through informed consent procedures, unexpected events.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ◯ ◯ ◯ ◯ ◯ ◯ essential
21) Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings

NPT: External validity of the trial findings according to the intervention, comparators, patients, and care providers or centers involved in the trial

21-i) Generalizability to other populations

Generalizability to other populations: In particular, discuss generalizability to a general Internet population, outside of a RCT setting, and general patient population, including applicability of the study results for other organizations

| Subitem not at all important | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Essential |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|

Does your paper address subitem 21-i?*

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Potentially biases have been discussed.

Your answer
21-ii) Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting

Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting (e.g., prompts/reminders, more human involvement, training sessions or other co-interventions) and what impact the omission of these elements could have on use, adoption, or outcomes if the intervention is applied outside of a RCT setting.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 21-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

OTHER INFORMATION

23) Registration number and name of trial registry

Trial registration: clinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT03524716

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 23? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

24) Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 24? *

Cite a Multimedia Appendix, other reference, or copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Key aspects of the trial protocol can be viewed on clinicaltrials.gov. The full protocol can be requested from the study PI (erin.vanblarigan@ucsf.edu).

25) Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 25? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This research was supported by a grant from the Osher Center for Integrative Medicine at the University of California, San Francisco.

X27) Conflicts of Interest (not a CONSORT item)

X27-i) State the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated

In addition to the usual declaration of interests (financial or otherwise), also state the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated, i.e., state if the authors/evaluators are distinct from or identical with the developers/sponsors of the intervention.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| subitem not at all important | O | O | O | O | O |
| essential | | | | | |
Does your paper address subitem X27-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

The study team has no relation to Fitbit. They received Fitbit devices as a donation previously, but the devices for this study were purchased.

About the CONSORT EHEALTH checklist

As a result of using this checklist, did you make changes in your manuscript? *

- yes, major changes
- yes, minor changes
- no

What were the most important changes you made as a result of using this checklist?

Your answer

How much time did you spend on going through the checklist INCLUDING making changes in your manuscript? *

I spent approximately 1 hour.
As a result of using this checklist, do you think your manuscript has improved? *

- [ ] yes
- [ ] no
- [ ] Other:

Would you like to become involved in the CONSORT EHEALTH group?
This would involve for example becoming involved in participating in a workshop and writing an "Explanation and Elaboration" document

- [ ] yes
- [ ] no
- [ ] Other:

Any other comments or questions on CONSORT EHEALTH

Your answer
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