Emplacement of magmatic Cu-Au-Te(-Ni-PGE) sulfide blebs in alkaline mafic rocks of the Mordor Complex, Northern Territory, Australia
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Abstract
Magmatic Ni-Cu-PGE sulfide mineralisation is mostly confined to tholeiitic to komatiitic mafic-ultramafic intrusions, yet there have been an increasing number of occurrences recorded in alkaline-ultramafic, post-collisional magmatic systems, particularly in the lower and middle crust that generally display a characteristic Cu-Au-Te enrichment over more conventional Ni-Cu-(PGE) mineralisation. The Mordor Alkaline Igneous Complex, Australia, is a mid-crustal, zoned alkaline complex comprised of a syenite body with an alkaline mafic-ultramafic subcomplex containing dunites, wehrlites and shonkinites. Sulfide mineralisation is present either in thin, PGE-enriched stratiform ‘reefs’ within layered ultramafics in the centre of the subcomplex, or in thicker zones of Cu(-Au-PGE-Te) sulfide hosted by phlogopite-rich shonkinites towards the intrusion margins. This latter style comprises blebs of pyrite, chalcopyrite and minor millerite and PGE tellurides formed from the cooling of a Cu-dominant sulfide liquid. Primary igneous calcite is present in intimate association with the sulfide. We note that the circular nature of the complex, with a dunite core and shonkinite rim with chalcophile element mineralisation, is comparable to the pipe-like, intracratonic, alkaline-ultramafic Aldan Shield intrusions in Russia. As such, Mordor may have an intracratonic rather than post-collisional affinity. Nevertheless, sulfide mineralisation is typical of other alkaline-hosted occurrences, with a Cu-Au-Te-rich signature, low Ni contents and textural association with calcite, supporting models of chalcophile metal and S fluxing alongside carbonate in alkaline systems derived from low degrees of partial melting of hydrous and carbonated mantle sources. Mordor illustrates that alkaline igneous rocks are prospective for magmatic Cu-Au-PGE-Ni sulfide mineralisation, and the classic ‘marginal base metal– and sulfide-rich’ and ‘stratiform PGE-rich and sulfide-poor’ mineralisation styles may both be found in such intrusions.
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Introduction
Magmatic Ni-Cu-PGE sulfide deposits are generally located within mafic-ultramafic complexes formed from mantle-derived melts in rift and/or plume settings and can be grouped into three main categories: Ni deposits hosted by komatiites; Ni-Cu-dominant deposits in ultramafic-mafic intrusions such as chonoliths, pipes and conduits; and PGE-dominant deposits in layered ultramafic-mafic complexes (e.g. Barnes et al. 2016, 2017). Exploration for large Ni-sulfide deposits is focussed on craton margins, which have a well-established spatial control over many of the largest and most well-studied deposits (e.g. Noril’sk, Voisey’s Bay, Thompson Nickel Belt, Raglan, e.g. Begg et al. 2010), whereas the more PGE-rich bodies are generally found in intracratonic settings (e.g. Maier and Groves 2011).

However, there has been a growing recognition of magmatic sulfide deposits and occurrences in a broader range of settings, such as collisional orogenic belts, e.g. Finnish nickel
belt (e.g. Makkonen et al. 2017), Aguablanca in Spain (Piña et al. 2010), Ålgladen in Sweden (Cordier et al. 2019) and deposits of the Central Asian Orogenic Belt in central China (e.g. Huangshandong; Deng et al. 2014; Lu et al. 2019). Additionally, unconventional styles of Ni-Cu-PGE sulfide mineralisation are being increasingly recognised in more alkaline and hydrous ultramafic-mafic magmatic settings, notably those related to post-collisional extension (Holwell et al. 2019). These include lower crustal intrusions such as alkaline and hydrous ultramafic pipes in the Ivrea zone, Italy (e.g. Sessa et al. 2017), mid-crustal composite intrusions of syenites and alkaline mafic-ultramafic rocks (e.g. Coldwell Complex, Canada; Heaman and Machado 1992; Sron Garbh, Scotland; Graham et al. 2017; Loch Borralan and Loch Ailsh, Scotland; Styles et al. 2004; and Okiep, South Africa; Maier et al. 2012) and Cu in rare alkaline-phoscorite-carbonatite complexes (e.g. Phalaborwa, South Africa, and Kovdor, Russia; Rudashevsky et al. 2004).

A suite of Ni-Cu-PGE sulfide occurrences in post-collisional settings, defined by the alkaline nature of the host magmas, and a geochemical signature that is generally more enriched in Cu, Au and Te compared with most ultramafic-mafic hosted deposits, have been investigated by Holwell et al. (2019) and Blanks et al. (2020). These occurrences are typically hosted by rocks that are the product of low (<10%)-degree partial melts that appear to be linked by an intimate association between sulfide and carbonate of mantle origin, and Blanks et al. (2020) proposed that CO2 can aid the physical transport of mantle sulfides up into the lower and mid-crust. The host intrusions for these occurrences span the lithosphere and are generally small (e.g. Sron Garbh and Ivrea pipes are both tens to a few hundreds of metres in thickness), yet they can occur over significant areas, such as the Coldwell Complex, Canada, which has an area of ~350 km², although the mineralised mafic-ultramafic rim makes up only a small percentage of the complex. One of the largest examples of exposed alkaline mafic-ultramafic rocks is in the mid-crustal Mordor Alkaline Igneous Complex (MAIC), in Northern Territory, Australia (Langworthy and Black 1978; Barraclough 1981; Hoatson et al. 2005; Barnes et al. 2008). The MAIC is a zoned intrusion comprising an ultramafic-mafic portion and a slightly offset syenitic rim, covering a total area of 35 km². The ultramafic-mafic portion is host to two styles of magmatic sulfide mineralisation: disseminated stratiform PGE ‘reef’ style mineralisation in the layered alkaline-ultramafic rocks in the centre of the complex, which have been described by Barnes et al. (2008); and more voluminous blebby Cu-(Au-PGE-Ni) sulfides in mafic syenites, or ‘shonkinites’, which have never been studied in detail. Here we describe the nature of the shonkinites-hosted mineralisation for the first time, compare it with alkaline-hosted deposits elsewhere and assess the significance of this deposit in terms of the mid-crustal expression of magmatic sulfides in alkaline magmatic systems.

**Regional geology**

The Mordor Alkaline Igneous Complex, located ~65 km eastnortheast of Alice Springs in Northern Territory, Australia, was emplaced into the high-grade Arltunga granitic gneisses and granitic intrusives of the Palaeoproterozoic Arunta Block. The Arunta Block is a multiply deformed and metamorphosed Precambrian-Palaeozoic basement terrane in a belt that spans ~200,000 km² in central Australia (Fig. 1a). A number of well-exposed mafic-ultramafic intrusions occur throughout the Arunta Block and are described and dated by Hoatson et al. (2005), who identified five major tholeiitic magmatic events at ~1810, ~1780, ~1690 and ~1635 Ma, plus a much younger Palaeozoic event. An exception to this is the MAIC, which represents a more alkaline event at 1133 ± 5 Ma (U-Pb zircon SHRIMP date; Claoué-Long and Hoatson 2005), which is poorly understood, though may be part of the regional 1150–1130 Ma ‘Teapot’ thermal event (Black et al. 1983). The MAIC is situated close to the Woolanga Gravity Lineament (Fig. 1a), an inferred deep-seated NW-SE trending structure (Langworthy and Black 1978). The Neoproterozoic Amadeus Basin sediments have been thrust over the MAIC and Arunta basement rocks, such that the (~ 820 Ma) Heavitree Quartzite unconformably overlies Arltunga tonalitic gneiss host rocks and the MAIC itself. Erosion of the quartzites has led to a remarkable geomorphological feature that forms a three-sided box-shaped canyon (Fig. 1b). This inspired Bureau of Mineral Resources, Geology and Geophysics geologists to name the area Mordor Pound due to the similarity to the maps of the fictional Mordor in Lord of the Rings (Tolkien 1954). In addition, a small ultramafic hill in the centre of the MAIC was named ‘Mount Doom’ (Fig. 1b, c).

**Geology of the Mordor Alkaline Igneous Complex**

The geology and some of the mineralisation of the Mordor Alkaline Igneous Complex have been described by Langworthy and Black (1978), Barraclough (1981), Anderson and Smith (2003) and Barnes et al. (2008) and are summarised here. The complex covers an area of ~35 km², with an outer coarse grained porphyritic syenite in the northwestern part, intruded by a zoned alkaline mafic-ultramafic complex that covers ~13 km² of the total area of the complex. The mafic-ultramafic subcomplex, referred to as the Mordor mafic-ultramafic intrusion, or MMUI, by Barnes et al. (2008), comprises layered pyroxene-rich cumulates with variable amounts of other cumulus phases including phlogopite, apatite, olivine, ilmenite and K-feldspar.

The mafic-ultramafic subcomplex is largely comprised of mafic syenites referred to as ‘shonkinites’ with a number of outcropping pods of layered olivine- and pyroxene-rich ultramafic cumulates (dunites and wehrlites) that form prominent
topographic features in the central part, the highest of which is Mount Doom (Figs. 1 and 2). The northwestern and central part is largely comprised of phlogopite-rich pyroxene-syenites ('mafic shonkinites'), with a typical modal mineral assemblage of phlogopite (40–50%) + augite (30–40%) + K-feldspar (5–10%) + apatite (5–10%) + zircon + oxides (magnetite-ilmenite). A zone of characteristic 'porphyritic shonkinites,' which contain K-feldspar phenocrysts (up to 50%) in a matrix identical to the mafic shonkinites, is present around the edge of the mafic-ultramafic subcomplex and is thickest at the southeastern margin, including the ‘Braveheart’ area (Figs. 1 and 2), which includes an ironstone gossan (Fig. 2b) with underlying magmatic Cu-dominant sulfide mineralisation that we describe in this paper.

Pegmatitic veins and dykes, often comprised of carbonate and/or quartz-feldspar, cross cut the complex, commonly in a NE-SW to E-W orientation within the mafic-ultramafic subcomplex (Barraclough 1981). Four types of carbonate-rich
rocks were recognised by Langworthy and Black (1978): (1) veinlets of serpentinised apatite-phlogopite-bearing ultramafic rocks clasts in a hydrothermal calcite matrix breccia, thought to be much younger than the complex at ~ 400 Ma; (2) dyke-like bodies of phlogopite-calcite rock up to 0.5 m in thickness that resemble carbonatites, at least in hand specimen; (3) a 10-m-diameter circular plug of crystalline calcite with a barite core (Fig. 2a); and (4) dykes of quartz-chlorite-calcite. Although some of these were considered to be carbonatic in origin (Barralough 1981), Langworthy and Black (1978) argued against such an origin on the basis of trace element and Sr isotopic ratios, suggesting instead they originated from leaching of the ultramafic-mafic host rocks.

**Mineralisation and exploration history**

The MAIC has been subject to exploration activities for a number of commodities since the late 1960s, not only for magmatic Ni-Cu-PGE mineralisation but also vermiculitic phlogopite, diamonds, U and rare earth elements (REE). The most recent drilling by Tanami Gold in 2002 identified 'reef' style PGE mineralisation with fine disseminated Cu-Ni sulfides located within the layered ultramafic cumulates in the central part of the MMUI around Mount Doom and Mithril (Fig. 2a); including a 2-m intersection with 1.1 ppm Pt + Pd + Au within an 8-m zone of 0.67 ppm Pt + Pd + Au (Anderson and Smith 2003). This mineralisation was subsequently described by Barnes et al. (2008) who report Cu-Au-Pt-Pd sulfides in stratiform disseminated layers within the basal parts of cyclic units.

However, the first significant exploration, involving surface geochemistry; radiometrics, airborne EM ground magnetics and IP geophysics; and drilling, was in 1996–1997 by CRA Exploration Pty Ltd. (CRAE). CRAE drilled 5 diamond drillholes and 12 reverse circulation holes over the porphyritic shonkinites in the Braveheart area in search of sulfide mineralisation associated with a surface ironstone (McCoy...
et al. 1997; Wilkinson et al. 1998). Some of the drilling was
designed to test IP anomalies, although the majority of drilling
in the Braveheart area focussed on the ironstone (Fig. 2a, b). The
shonkinites were found to contain up to 10% blebbly sulfide
mineralisation (pyrrhotite and chalcopyrite with some pyrite)
with the best results achieved from drillhole DD96MD001
(Fig. 2b), which returned 30.4 m at 0.25% Cu, including 1 m
at 1.4% Cu, 0.3% Ni, 0.1 g/t Au and 0.4 g/t Pt + Pd (McCoy et al.
1997). An additional but weaker mineralised section was identi-
fied in another hole (DD96MD002; Fig. 2b), with a further three
holes drilled in 1997 (DD97MD003-5) that did not intersect any
significant mineralisation (Fig. 2b). No studies have thus far been
published on this mineralisation style, which forms the focus of
this paper.

Samples and methods

A total of eight quarter core samples of 20 cm in length were
taken from the mineralised intersection within
DD96MD001 at Braveheart, drilled by CRA Exploration in
1996, and now housed by the Northern Territory Geological
Survey (NTGS) Core Facility in Alice Springs. The location
of the drillholes sampled in this study is shown in Fig. 2.
Sampling was facilitated by the NTGS in November 2019.
Polished thin sections of each sample were created at the
University of Leicester. Platinum-group minerals were identi-
fied on a Zeiss SIGMA 300VP scanning electron microscope
(SEM) equipped with two Bruker XFlash 6|60 energy-
dispersive spectroscopy detectors (EDX), with 126-eV energy
resolution.

Bulk rock assays from samples drilled by CRAE, reported
in McCoy et al. (1997) from DD96MD001 and DD96MD002,
are utilised in this paper and presented in Supplementary
Table 1. Analysis was undertaken at Amdel Laboratories
Ltd. in Alice Springs, with Pt, Pd and Au by fire assay follow-
ed by atomic absorption spectrometry and other elements in-
cluding Co, Cu, Cr and Ni (used in this paper) by inductively
coupled plasma-mass spectrometry.

Petrology of the mineralised shonkinites

The shonkinites make up the majority of the mafic-ultramafic
subcomplex and are comprised of phlogopite, clinopyroxene
(augite) and K-feldspar with up to 10 modal % apatite, olivine
and accessory magnetite-ilmenite and zircon. All the rocks
within the sections sampled contain this essential mineralogy
in varying proportions (Fig. 3). Phlogopite typically makes up
20–50 modal % of the assemblage (Fig. 3a, b), and apatite is a
cumulus phase and forms euhedral crystals included in all
other phases (e.g. Fig. 3b). Calcite is occasionally present as
an interstitial phase, usually close to sulfide blebs (Fig. 3c),

Figure 4 shows the downhole variations in Cu, Ni, Co, Cr, Pt,
Pd and Au in DD96MD001 and DD96MD002 (data from
McCoy et al. 1997). The mineralised zone in DD96MD001
is clearly marked by elevated Cu (> 150 ppm) between 22 and
80 m, with the main Cu-mineralised zone between 22 and
54 m, where Cu values are consistently > 500 ppm and up to
13,900 ppm over intersections of several metres (Fig. 4a). The
Ni content of the mineralised zone is relatively low in compara-
tion, mostly < 500 ppm, with the exception of a peak
(2750 ppm) at 24 m, towards the very top of the zone (Fig.
4a) that coincides with the highest Cu value. Cobalt correlates
well with Ni, with its highest values also in the upper portion
of the mineralised zone, and whilst Cr is elevated in the
mineralised zone, it does not necessarily correlate with the
base metals (Fig. 4b). Precious metals are elevated within
the same zone with a peak at the very top but also a consist-
tently elevated zone around 45–55 m (Fig. 4c), where Au
peaks at 0.38 ppm in the interval 49.15–50.00 m within highly
porphyritic shonkinite (Fig. 3f). There is also a peak in both Pt
and Pd just below this at 53.00–54.00 m with a total Pt + Pd
grade of 0.34 ppm within a sulfide-bearing porphyritic
shonkinite (Fig. 4c). In addition, there is a Pt peak at 60–
62 m which correlates with only a relatively small Cu enrich-
ment (640 ppm).

In DD96MD002, the mineralised zone is thinner (located
between 50 and 84 m depth in the core) and with generally
lower Cu contents ~ 500–1000 ppm with a peak towards the
base at 8100 ppm (Fig. 4d). There is a small mineralised zone
at 180–183 m with Cu up to 1850 ppm. Nickel (Fig. 4d) and
Co (Fig. 4e) correlate well with Cu in this case, and Cr shows a
peak at the base of the mineralised zone that does not directly
correlate with the base metals, although there is another peak
at 180 m along with the Cu peak (Fig. 4e). Gold is consistently
the most elevated of the precious metals and correlates well
with Cu, with the highest peak (0.18 ppm) towards the base of
the mineralised zone (Fig. 4f). Palladium and Pt also correlate
well with Cu, peaking at 0.058 and 0.1 ppm, respectively, at
the base of the zone (Fig. 4f). There is an additional Au-
bearing zone which has no corresponding base or precious

metals associated with it situated a few metres beneath the base of the main mineralised zone (Fig. 4f).

**Base and precious metal characteristics**

Figure 5 illustrates a range of base and precious metal relationships from DD96MD001 and DD96MD002. Copper and Ni (Fig. 5a) show a broad but slightly inconsistent relationship, with some of the mineralised samples containing significantly more Cu than Ni and plotting to the right of the general linear trend (Fig. 5a). The mean Cu/Ni ratio in the mineralised zones is 6, though the basal 7 m of the mineralised zone in DD96MD001 displays Cu/Ni ratios that range from 11 to 25 (Supplementary Table 1). Chromium, as indicated in the downhole plots, does not show any relationship with Cu (Fig. 5b) but does show a weak correlation with Ni, with the exception of the higher Cr samples (> 200 ppm Cr) that show no relationship (Fig. 5c). It is worth noting from Fig. 4 that Cr is only elevated within and in close proximity to the mineralised zones. The best correlation within the base metals is between Co and Ni (Fig. 5d). Precious metals correlate poorly with the base metals, with the exception of Cu and Pd (Fig. 5e). Gold does not show any significant relationship with either Cu or Pd (Fig. 5f, g), and the Pt-Pd correlation is poor (Fig. 5h).

**Ore mineralogy/textural relationships of sulfides**

Sulfides are present as <3 cm anhedral blebs that can make up to 10 modal % of the shonkinites in the mineralised zones (Fig. 6a) at Braveheart. The sulfides are made up of mostly pyrite, with lesser chalcopyrite and minor millerite (Fig. 6b–f). Chalcopyrite is present both as interstitial phases to surrounding silicates and as anhedral inclusions in the pyrite (Fig. 6b, c, d) with some magnetite generally along the outer margins of the sulfide blebs, usually in contact with primary silicates and apatite (Fig. 6c). The only Ni-sulfide is millerite, which is present within the sulfide blebs as an interstitial phase to the pyrite grains (Fig. 6b, c, e, f). In addition, the sulfide aggregates contain some gangue interstitial phases which are dominated by calcite (Fig. 6e, f) and very minor barite and galena that are present as inclusions in pyrite (Fig. 6f). The drill cores were tested throughout for the presence of carbonate using HCl, which revealed that calcite...
is strongly associated with sulfide blebs and is not a consistent part of the shonkinite assemblage.

Platinum and Pd are present as telluride minerals of the moncheite (PtTe₂)-merenskyite (PdTe₂) solid solution series, along with some associated hessite (Ag₂Te). The tellurides are usually present in clusters associated with the sulfide blebs, being in contact with chalcopyrite, or in some cases magnetite that forms rims around some of the sulfides (Fig. 7a, b), or as isolated ‘satellite’ grains with minor sulfide in close proximity to large sulfide-magnetite blebs (Fig. 7c). It is worth noting that although Fig. 7a and b show the PGM in contact with magnetite, they are still intimately associated with the sulfides on a
Fig. 6  Sulfide mineralogy. a Blebby sulfides in shonkinite from drillcore DD96MD001. b and c Reflected light images of blebby sulfide with aggregates of idiomorphic pyrite (py) with interstitial and inclusions of chalcopyrite (cpy) and rarer interstitial millerite (mil) in shonkinite, with some magnetite (mt) at the margins of sulfides in contact with phlogopite (phl), apatite (ap) and hornblende (hnb). Inset shows detail of anhedral chalcopyrite inclusions. d Reflected light image of coarse-grained pyrite with chalcopyrite in shonkinite. e Reflected light image of bleb of idiomorphic pyrite with inclusions of chalcopyrite and minor interstitial millerite in shonkinite. f SEM backscattered image of area shown in e, showing interlocking, idiomorphic pyrite with inclusions of chalcopyrite and minor barite (bar) and galena (gn). Calcite (cc) is the interstitial phase (see also Fig. 3d)
The magnetite in Fig. 7 a and b appears to be replacing pyrite, with anhedral pyrite ‘islands’ present in the centre of the magnetite, with the PGM remaining on the margins of the magnetite-pyrite grain.

Discussion

The magmatic sulfide mineralisation in the Braveheart area of the MAIC has a number of key characteristics that provide evidence for the processes and controls on magmatic sulfide mineralisation in the MAIC and alkaline igneous systems in general. These are:

- Blebby sulfides dominated by pyrite, with chalcopyrite and minor Ni-sulfides and Pt and Pd tellurides
- A Cu-Au-dominant (over Ni) chalcophile metal character
- A previously unrecognised association between sulfides and primary carbonate

Here we address these features in relation to the wider subject of magmatic sulfides in unconventional settings, specifically alkaline magmatic systems, and how Mordor fits within recent models for this style of mineralisation (Holwell et al. 2019; Hou and Wang 2019; Blanks et al. 2020); and discuss the origin of the MAIC itself.

Nature of the sulfides at Braveheart, MAIC

The mineralogy of most magmatic Ni-Cu-sulfide deposits is almost exclusively comprised of pyrrhotite-pentlandite-chalcopyrite with some pyrite and magnetite (Barnes et al. 2017), which form from the fractionation and cooling of a sulfide liquid, with Pt and Pd most commonly forming tellurides, bismuthides and other semi-metal bearing minerals (Holwell and McDonald 2010). As such, the pyrite-dominant (> 50%) mineralogy at Braveheart, with an absence of pyrrhotite and pentlandite, does not conform to this classic style, though it does contain Pt-Pd-tellurides. Significant pyrite can form from the fractionation and cooling of a sulfide liquid if the S to metal ratio of the sulfide liquid is high enough (~ 40 wt% S at 600 °C), although the maximum amount of pyrite that can be accommodated by the breakdown of monosulfide solid solution at temperatures below 700 °C is around 30% (Naldrett and Kullerud 1967; Kullerud 1969), which explains the presence of some primary magmatic pyrite identified in a number of deposits (e.g. the Great Dyke; Piña et al. 2016), but is still too low to account for the amounts seen in the Braveheart mineralisation. Millerite, which is the only Ni-sulfide present at Braveheart, only forms as a primary product of mss in extremely Ni-rich systems such as komatiites (Barnes et al. 2011), which clearly the MAIC is not, given the alkaline silicate mineralogy and the low Ni contents of the sulfides (Fig. 4). Millerite is, however, more common as a low temperature alteration product of primary pentlandite in the pyrite-millerite-chalcopyrite (PMC) alteration described by Holwell et al. (2017) and references therein. Indeed, experimental constraints suggest millerite and pyrite can only co-exist at temperatures below 230 °C (Naldrett et al. 2019).

Fig. 7 Textural association of platinum-group minerals (PGM) in the Braveheart mineralisation. a Reflected light image of cluster of PGM associated with a magnetite (mt)-pyrite (py) grain within clinopyroxene (cpx) and apatite (ap) adjacent to a large pyrite-chalcopyrite (cpy) bleb. b SEM backscattered electron image of the PGM cluster shown in a, showing a large moncheite grain and several kotulskite grains along the margins of magnetite-pyrite. c Cluster of moncheite, kotulskite and hessite with chalcopyrite adjacent to a pyrite-chalcopyrite-magnetite grain.
Spatial relationships of the mineralisation

The ultramafic rocks of the MAIC have been shown by Barnes et al. (2008) to be a layered sequence of cyclic units. The Braveheart area is close to the margin of the intrusion and the sulfide mineralisation is within 40 m of the contact with the host rock gneisses (Fig. 2). As such, rather than the ‘reef’ style mineralisation in layered cumulates at Mithril and Mt Doom, Braveheart is more similar in style to a ‘contact’ style of mineralisation, though it is not in immediate contact with the country rocks. It is worth noting that drillholes DD97MD003 and DD97MD004, drilled perpendicular to the intrusive contact, but collared closer towards the centre of the intrusive complex compared with DD96MD001 and DD96MD002 (Fig. 2a), did not intersect mineralisation, indicating that the mineralisation is probably localised and associated with the margin of the intrusion, and most likely marked by the gossanous ironstone at surface (Fig. 2b).

The mineralised zone at Braveheart coincides with some Cr-enriched lithologies on a broad scale (Fig. 4), although it is worth noting that Cr does not correlate whatsoever with Cu on a fine scale (Figs. 4 and 5), indicating no close spatial relationship between sulfides and Cr-enriched lithologies. The bulk of the data do, however, show a good correlation between Cr and Ni (Fig. 5c) and Co in non-mineralised samples, which can be attributed to these elements being present as trace components in the mafic silicates such as olivine, pyroxene and phlogopite. However, where bulk Cr is above 200 ppm, it does not correlate with any of the base metals, which would be consistent with either the addition of small amounts of a phase with high Cr contents, such as chromite, or, perhaps less likely, a significant increase in the modal proportion of a phase that hosts Cr as a trace element, such as clinopyroxene. We therefore suggest that the shonkinites at Braveheart may have horizons/zones/pods with minor chromite, broadly correlating with the mineralised zone, but that Cr-rich horizons have no fine scale control over the presence or concentration of base and precious metals.

Whilst Pt, Pd and Au show a broad correlation with the base metals, particularly Pd with Cu (Fig. 5e), there is no strong relationship between Pt and Au with any of the base metals or, indeed, the other precious metals (Figs. 4 and 5). Blebby mineralisation like that at Braveheart that we show does not conform to the fine-grained disseminated sulfides in ‘offset reef’ style deposits like Skaergaard (Andersen et al. 1998; Holwell and Keays 2014), Munni Munni (Barnes et al. 1990) and Sonju Lake (Li et al. 2008), which typically display Cu-enriched zones stratigraphically above a distinct Au peak, which in turn is positioned above horizons of PGE enrichment. There is no systematic offset in the peaks in Fig. 4, but it is fair to say that the precious metals do not correlate fully with the base metals. In addition, there are some isolated PGE and/or Au peaks below the main mineralised zones. Rather than this being a magmatic
offset, it is likely that the poor correlation between the metals in general is due to fluid interaction and redistribution on a metre scale during syn- or post-magmatic fluid interaction as discussed above. This is entirely plausible given the hydrous/volatile-rich nature of the magmas as demonstrated by the presence of abundant hydrous silicates like phlogopite and calcite associated with the sulfide.

**Comparison with other mid-crustal alkaline-hosted magmatic sulfide occurrences**

Mordor contains two separate styles of magmatic sulfide mineralisation: blebby, Cu-rich mineralisation hosted by the marginal shonkinites that we describe in this paper, and the stratiform-disseminated PGE-rich style in the ultramafics at Mithril that Barnes et al. (2008) described. There are relatively few lamprophyric mafic-ultramafic intrusions with magmatic sulfide mineralisation, although one notable exception, in addition to Mordor, is the Sron Garbh intrusion, Scotland (Graham et al. 2017). This, along with a few other alkaline ultramafic intrusions in Scotland (Loch Borralan and Loch Ailsh; Gunn and Styles 2002; Styles et al. 2004), contains magmatic Cu-Ni-PGE sulfides. In addition, Sron Garbh has been used as an example of a mid-crustal alkaline intrusion in the studies on the chalcophile element ‘DNA’ of post-subduction magmatism (Holwell et al. 2019) and the link between carbonate and sulfide in magmatic systems (Blanks et al. 2020).

The mafic-ultramafic rocks of Mordor and Sron Garbh are comparable, both being plutonic lamprophyric intrusions emplaced into the mid-crust. In detail, the host rocks to the mineralisation differ a little, with the Sron Garbh host rocks being appinities, composed of amphibole cumulates with interstitial phlogopite, calcite, quartz and K-feldspar. However, the sulfide mineralisation style is highly comparable being dominated by pyrite and chalcopyrite with only minor Ni-phases that characteristically do not include pentlandite, with Ni present in gersdorffite (NiAsS) at Sron Garbh and millerite at Mordor. Texturally, the sulfide blebs are also remarkably similar, with blebby pyrite at Sron Garbh containing inclusions of chalcopyrite (Fig. 8A and B in Graham et al. 2017) identical to those seen at Braveheart in Mordor (Figs. 6b–f and 7a). In addition, the PGE are present as tellurides, which is a characteristic of Sron Garbh and a number of other alkaline igneous rock–hosted intrusions (Holwell et al. 2019).

Furthermore, the chalcophile element geochemistry of the Mordor mineralisation at both Braveheart and Mithril is similar in many ways to Sron Garbh. Primitive mantle–normalised chalcophile element profiles for Braveheart, Mithril and Sron Garbh are shown in Fig. 8 for comparison. The variability in Cu/Au ratio at Braveheart (Fig. 5f) is shown by the lack of parallelism in the profiles between Au and Cu. However, in general, the profiles show a positive trend from Pt through to Pd and from Au to Cu, with a peak at Au, or more commonly Cu. This reflects a very Cu-dominant chalcophile element character, in comparison with the more precious metal-dominant Mithril stratiform and Sron Garbh prospects that peak at Au and have a negative slope from Au to Cu. That said, all three prospects are all relatively Cu-Au-rich compared with more conventional Ni-Cu-PGE deposits that generally display arched profiles with a peak at Pt or Pd (e.g. Barnes and Ripley 2016). The steep-normalised chalcophile element patterns (and thus high Pd/Ir ratios) can be explained by incongruent melting of mantle sulfide during low-degree partial melting, which liberates Pt, Pd and Au but retains much of the Ir, Ru and Rh in residual monosulfide solid solution (Holwell et al. 2019), and as such, steep profiles like those shown in Fig. 8 for Mordor and Sron Garbh are characteristic of sulfide-bearing alkaline systems.

**The significance of carbonate in alkaline-hosted Cu-Au-Te(-PGE) sulfide mineralisation**

The association of Au- and Te-rich epithermal mineralisation associated with alkaline magmas has been recognised for some time (Jensen and Barton 2000). Richards (2009) proposed that first-stage arc magmas generate Cu-rich porphyry systems, leaving an Au-rich residue in the lower crust, and second-stage partial melting of the residual sulfide produces Au-rich magmas, with relatively high Au/Cu ratios, using this as an explanation as to why post-subduction, alkaline magmas form porphyry Cu-Au deposits. Holwell et al. (2019) proposed that the characteristic
Cu-Au-Te-rich magmatic sulfide mineralisation and the upper crustal epithermal deposits were a product of a number of factors including (1) a metasomatized mantle source; (2) partial or incongruent melting of sulfides in the mantle source (which may already have a high Au/Cu ratio in the way Richards (2009) proposed); and (3) partial sulfide dissolution in the mid to upper crust.

Further recent advances outlined in Blanks et al. (2020) also show that in volatile-rich mafic-alkaline systems, carbonate appears to be intimately associated with sulfide, particularly in the lower and mid-crust, and may play a crucial role in fluxing metal-rich sulfides from the mantle into the crust. The sulfide in these systems typically have a very Cu-Au-Te-rich metal character, hosted by rocks that possess a hydrous and carbonated mineralogy (as seen at Braveheart by the large amounts of phlogopite and carbonate). Carbonate in the shonkinites at Braveheart is strongly associated with sulfide, as interstitial phases within sulfide blebs (Fig. 6e, f) and as a part of the shonkinite host rock only close to the margins of sulfide blebs (Fig. 3c). Our identification of significant carbonate with the sulfides adds a further distinct association to the four types of carbonate occurrence identified by Langworthy and Black (1978) in the Mordor Complex and represents the most primary magmatic style. The intra-sulfide bleb textures imply that carbonate may have been included within the sulfide liquid droplets, or possibly even exsolved from the sulfide liquid on cooling. This is also seen in lower crustal alkaline ultramafic pipes at Valmaggia, NW Italy (Sessa et al. 2017; Blanks et al. 2020), and to a certain extent, in the lamprophryic Sron Garbh intrusion, Scotland (Graham et al. 2017; Blanks et al. 2020).

The model of Blanks et al. (2020) advocates that supercritical CO2 acts as a physical buoyancy aid to sulfide droplets as they ascend from the mantle source into the lower crust (seen by carbonate present with cuspate boundaries around sulfide blebs in the lower crust; Sessa et al. 2017; Blanks et al. 2020), but that this association will become weaker with ascent as the low density of the CO2 is sufficiently high to overcome the relative density contrast between the sulfide and the silicate melt. Blanks et al. (2020) suggested that during magma ascent, sulfide and carbonate will separate and the association will diminish so that in the upper crust, the association is unlikely to be preserved. How the carbonate will manifest subsequent to separation is unclear, but it is most likely that it forms late-stage carbonate-rich hydrothermal veins, or even carbonatitic intrusions. It is worth noting that some, or all, of the four occurrences of carbonate identified by Langworthy and Black (1978) may be the result of either separation or later remobilisation of mantle carbonate originally brought in with the mafic-ultramafic magmas. Perhaps most intriguing is the presence of a circular carbonate plug in the northeastern part of the mafic-ultramafic complex (Fig. 2a), which may represent a late-stage intrusion (or expulsion) of more carbonatitic fluids/melts. Its size and location, a few tens of metres outside the margin of the mafic-ultramafic complex, bears resemblance to the Loch Urigill carbonatite in Scotland, which is thought to be a late-stage derivative of the Loch Borralan alkaline complex (Young et al. 1994). Further work would be required to ascertain a similar origin for the Mordor plug, but the context now presented from the Blanks et al. (2020) model raises the possibility such features represent carbonate sinks from an initially CO2-rich alkaline mafic-ultramafic magma.

The evidence put forward for the CO2-driven physical transport by Blanks et al. (2020) involves exclusively blebbly styles of mineralisation along the margins of lower and mid-crustal intrusions. Therefore, whilst this mechanism may be a key factor in transporting the sulfide blebs into the Braveheart zone at the margins of the Mordor Complex, the genesis of the disseminated reef-style deposits in the Mithril area warrants some further discussion as Mordor appears to be unique amongst the range of alkaline intrusions with magmatic sulfide mineralisation in having both styles. According to Barnes et al. (2008), the reef mineralisation is formed from late-stage magma pulses intruded into a complex that already contained earlier formed cumulates. As such, this would place the Braveheart sulfides as an early phase, consistent with them being driven up by buoyant CO2 and crystallising along the margins of the intrusion, as they have at Sron Garbh (Graham et al. 2017), for example.

The magma composition controls the likelihood for continued saturation in CO2 on ascent from a mantle source. Low-degree, alkaline melts should remain saturated in CO2 for longer than higher degree melts like tholeiites, if saturated. This is because CO2 is highly incompatible during mantle melting (Rosenthal et al. 2015); therefore, its initial concentration in the melt would be at its highest and reduces with an increasing degree of partial melting. Thus, the more ultramafic magmas that were then injected at Mordor likely did not contain large, or even any, compound CO2-sulfide droplets upon emplacement, and either (1) were already sulfide saturated with small droplets of moderately PGE-enriched sulfides that settled in to the reefs or (2) became sulfide saturated in the Mordor chamber and were trapped in layered cumulates (Barnes et al. 2008). Therefore, there may not be a direct genetic relationship between the two styles of
mineralisation but instead, the complex records two separate mechanisms for fluxing and concentrating magmatic sulfides.

Implications for the setting and origin of the MAIC

The tectono-magmatic setting of the MAIC is currently unclear, and it has a unique emplacement date in the Arunta region, compared with other mafic-ultramafic rocks (Fig. 1a). The MAIC shares similar chalcophile element geochemistry to other alkaline-hosted magmatic sulfide deposits that are related to post-collisional extension events, which have evidence of subduction metasomatism in the source. As such, it is tempting to suggest this for the MAIC as well, although no firm hypothesis for a post-subduction setting has thus far been presented. Nelson et al. (1989) provided Sm and Nd isotopic evidence that the MAIC was generated from an enriched mantle source emplaced in an intracratonic setting, though did not expand on this to suggest the enrichment may have been from subduction metasomatism.

The timing of intrusion coincides with the regional 1150–1130 Ma ‘Teapot’ magmatic event in the southern Arunta Orogen (Collins and Shaw 1995), thought to represent a transition from extension to intraplate magmatism (Claoué-Long and Hoatson 2005). What has not been noted, but bears some consideration, is the remarkable similarity in the circular shape, and outward zonation of dunites, clinopyroxene-phlogopite cumulates, shonkinites and syenites, with the intraplate, zoned alkaline ultramafic intrusions found in the Aldan Shield in Russia, such as the Kondyor and Inagi intrusions which produce platiniferous and auriferous placers (Burg et al. 2009; Svetlitskaya et al. 2018). The genesis and setting of these zoned alkaline-ultramafic intrusions themselves is contentious, though has been suggested to represent diapirs of lithospheric mantle rocks (Burg et al. 2009).

Langworthy and Black (1978) note late-stage veinlets of calcite-rich, apatite-phlogopite-bearing serpentisened breccia and dyke-like bodies of phlogopite-calcite rock at Mordor. These may be of a similar origin to the gimmerite and ‘koswite’ (i.e. phlogopite-amphibole-apatite-carbonate and Fe-Ti-oxide clinopyroxenite) dykes in the Kondyor massif (Burg et al. 2009). Furthermore, at Kondyor, there are late-stage nepheline-syenitic and carbonatic melts generated upon differentiation of the primary lamproite melt. It may be that some of the carbonate-bearing veins and dykes that cross cut the MMUI have a similar, late-stage origin, from the differentiation of the main lamprophyric mafic-ultramafic body. The circular nature of the MAIC, zonation and layering within the MMUI and its petrology alongside the alkaline nature of the rocks and the presence of carbonate with chalcophile element mineralisation are all comparable to the pipe-like, intracratonic, alkaline-ultramafic Aldan Shield intrusions. Notwithstanding this possibility, in any case, Mordor compounds the observed association of carbonate with sulfide in mafic-ultramafic-alkaline intrusions.

Summary

Mordor is perhaps the type example of a large, mid-crustal alkaline mafic-ultramafic intrusion with magmatic sulfide mineralisation. The nature of the sulfides, as Cu-Au-rich compared with Ni and PGE, the alkaline and hydrous nature of the host rocks, and an association of the sulfide with primary carbonate, all support recent models of mantle-to-crust fluxing of chalcophile metals with S and C in relatively low-degree partial melt magmatic systems. As such, Mordor illustrates that alkaline igneous rocks are prospective for magmatic Cu-Au-PGE-Ni sulfide mineralisation and that the classic marginal, sulfide-rich and stratiform sulfide-poor mineralisation styles are possible in such intrusions. Furthermore, we propose that the Mordor Alkaline Igneous Complex may represent a Proterozoic, mid-crustal equivalent of the zoned alkaline-ultramafic pipes found in the Aldan Shield and, as such, extend the Cu-Au-Te-carbonate ‘metallogenic DNA’ signature proposed for post-subduction alkaline systems to intracratonic setting alkaline systems.
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