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Abstract

Drawing on the literature of categorization and adaptive behavior, we propose that employees who deploy IT-based knowledge in service encounters will improve their service performance. A model is developed to conceptualize the process by which employees categorize customers in service encounters and adapt to them in delivering service and offering service options. IT-based knowledge enables employees to assign the prospective customers to customers categories so as to anticipate their expectations and preferences. Accordingly, employees adjust their interpersonal behavior in their interactions with customers and customize service options to customers' preferences. With the introduction of IT-based knowledge as an antecedent to adaptive behavior, we enrich the extant literature in IT support for employees performance. Empirical findings are thus generated for performance improvement as of our investigation into service quality resultant from employees' adaptive behavior.
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Introduction

There is a continuing investment globally in IT applications like Customer Relationship Management (CRM) and Sales Force Automation (SFA). The total sales volume of CRM software is now expected to reach more than $80 billion by 2025\(^1\) with SFA\(^2\) reaching $78 billion by 2023. Such a heavy expenditure explains the growing concern in academia about what benefits business can reap from such IT applications. Scholars have undertaken intensive research into CRM and SFA from the perspectives of sales, service and information systems. Many of them agree there is a positive connection between IT applications and business performance. CRM can increase the profitability and performance of a company by creating customers satisfaction (Elkordy 2014). CRM gives service organizations a competitive advantage through its management of customer information or knowledge (Ramesh 2014). Dous et al. (2005) differentiates CRM knowledge into knowledge for, from and about customers. They conducted three case studies which provide evidence for the suggestion that CRM knowledge is supportive of such positive performance outcomes as optimized service quality, higher levels of customer satisfaction and marketing campaign success. Following such rationalizations, we believe IT-based knowledge, i.e., customer information gathered and managed via IT applications has a positive impact on business performance. This also raises our concern about how IT-based knowledge is utilized by the frontline employees in the process of selling products and offering

---

\(^1\) https://www.superoffice.com/blog/crm-software-statistics

\(^2\) https://www.alliedmarketresearch.com/sales-force-automation-software-market
services to the customers. Ahearne et al. (2008) argued that salespeople are both knowledgeable and adaptable in dealing with customers’ various demands through their use of CRM. Chen et al. (2020) conducted a survey with over 200 frontline employees in a financial institution and concluded that employees demonstrate adaptive behavior in service encounters, which in turn enhances their service performance because CRM systems enables them to assess customers information. Previous studies have attested that, with the aid of IT-based knowledge, employees are able to accommodate customers in line with their various expectations and demands, since there is no best way of satisfying all kinds of customers (Román and Iacobucci 2010). What is absent in their studies, however, is there is no direct investigation in how IT-based knowledge is tapped into and internalized by frontline employees when applying such knowledge in dealing with customers. Therefore, it is our goal to conceptualize and elaborate this process.

Our research looks closely at the process and the mechanisms frontline employees experience in their adaptive behavior by developing a conceptual model using “IT-based Knowledge”, “Adaptive Behavior” and “Service Performance” as key constructs. Theoretically, our conceptualization can enrich the extant literature in the domain of IT-support for employees performance, as we introduce IT-based knowledge as an antecedent to employees’ adaptive behavior at work. Another motivation for our theorization comes from our inquiry about the service quality perceived by the customers when frontline employees utilize IT-based knowledge. This will generate some empirical findings in respect to making improvements in service performance of frontline employees via the support from IT applications.

The theoretical foundation in this paper is the concept of categorization. Categorization is a well-accepted human cognitive mechanism, in which people “simplify perceptions of complex social stimuli by grouping or classifying people and their behaviors into already existing, contextually meaningful categories” (Binning et al. 1986, p.522). Categorization is the ability to group newly encountered others in existing categories based on past experience. Through the process of categorization, people can predict the behavior of the newly encountered and respond accordingly (Binning et al. 1986). Categorization is used to explain the adaptive behavior of knowledgeable salespeople who are capable of retrieving information from previous categories and deploy them on new customers (Román and Iacobucci 2010). In line with extant studies (Román and Iacobucci 2010), we propose that service employees categorize their customers by comparing the new customers with the previous ones, whose information is collected via IT applications such as CRM or SFA. Categorizing enables employees to demonstrate adaptive behaviors to accommodate the prospective customers, thus creating strong performance in service encounters.

Thus, the objective of this paper is to hypothesize an IT-driven process that employees undergo when they employ knowledge from IT applications in serving customers, which can exemplify how IT-support strengthens work performance. Following the introduction, we proceed with a literature review. Then we elaborate the hypotheses and illustrate the model. Lastly, we conclude the paper with theoretical as well as managerial implications.

**Literature Review**

**Service Performance**

Service performance is part of service employees’ job performance. Motowidlo and Kell (2012, p.95) define job performance as “behaviors that can make a difference to organizational goal accomplishment”. In service businesses, such as banking, medical and legislative companies, it is a common goal to maintain a high level of service quality, because service quality is antecedent to customer satisfaction and to customer purchase intention towards the service provider (Rao 2011). Creating a high level of service quality is pivotal to business success because it contributes to high levels of customer satisfaction, which can generate economic benefits for the service organization (Hsieh 2012). Service quality is perceived and evaluated by customers through two dimensions, service delivery process and service outcome. Service delivery process describes the way in which services are handled by employees whereas service outcome refers to what option is offered to the customers in the service encounter (Brady and Cronin 2001). Based on these arguments, we interpret
service performance as employees’ behaviors that affect the levels of service quality in two aspects i.e., how employees interact with their customers in delivering the service and what options employees offer to the customers as the service outcome.

**IT-based Knowledge**

IT applications such as Customer Relationship Management (CRM) and Sales Force Automation (SFA) are often mandatory for employees to use to assist them in sales or service operations. Employees can demonstrate a higher level of knowledge by tapping into the available information stored in such IT applications (Ahearne et al. 2008). SFA automates the daily activities for salespeople and offers the salespeople customer account information and transaction history (Ahearne 2004; Cascio et al. 2007). CRM generates three types of customer-related knowledge for organizations: knowledge for the customers, knowledge about the customers and knowledge from the customers (Davenport et al. 2001; Gebert et al. 2003). In line with previous investigations into knowledge via CRM and SFA, we define IT-based knowledge in this article as customer-related information accessed from these IT applications which can be directly deployed by employees in their work tasks. IT-based knowledge entails knowledge for the customers, e.g., information about product, suppliers and market (Garcia-Murillo and Annabi 2002); knowledge about the customers, e.g. customer profile, histories, purchasing activity, financial capability, needs and requirements (Davenport et al. 2001). “Knowledge from customers” is excluded from IT-based knowledge in this paper because such knowledge is “incorporated by the company for product and service innovation, idea generation as well as for the continuous improvement of its products and services” (Dous et al. 2005, p.169). Such knowledge from customers is utilized more at the organizational level than at the individual level.

**Knowledge Adoption**

Many studies in the field of knowledge management have demonstrated that employees are prone to exploit existing knowledge from their company and their access to such knowledge affects work performance positively (Cross and Cummings 2004; Teigland and Wasko 2003). When knowledge is collected and transferred from the company to employees, it is adopted by them for the sake of work efficiency (Teigland and Wasko 2009). Min (2014) hypothesized that different types of knowledge can be gained from different usage of knowledge management systems (KMS). Knowledge generated to support day-to-day operations at work will be adopted by individual employees because it adds immediate value to their daily work. In other words, knowledge has the potential to make a strong and immediate contribution to work performance. Despite the lack of studies on knowledge adoption in sales and service practices (Chirawattanakij and Vathanophas 2015), we follow the stream of research in knowledge management and conceptualize knowledge adoption as exploiting extant knowledge from IT applications such as CRM and SFA in daily operations for the sake of work effectiveness at the level of an individual employee.

**Adaptive Behavior**

Adaptive behavior occurs when an individual alters his or her behavior in response to a new task or new situation (Huang et al. 2014). There are three streams of research on employees’ adaptive behavior in the work context that are relevant to the current study:

1. Adaptive selling improves salespeople’s outcome performance and enhances customers’ evaluation of the service and products from salespeople (Rapp et al. 2008; Román and Iacobucci 2010).

2. Service employees adapt to customers’ needs and requirements in two ways. Firstly, they modify their behavior in the service delivery process. Secondly, they customize the service offering to satisfy customers (Gwinner et al. 2005). This employee adaptiveness in service encounters is referred to as “interaction personalization” (Shen and Ball 2009, p.81), “transaction outcome personalization” (Shen
and Ball 2009, p.81), “interpersonal adaptive behavior” and “service-offering adaptive behavior” (Gwinner et al. 2005, p.131).

(3) Constraints of Adaptive Behavior: Employees’ adaptive behavior is variable and subject to their knowledge, experience, personality, and idiosyncrasies (Gwinner et al 2005; Román and Iacobucci 2010). Employees with access to customer information via CRM or SFA are more likely to practice adaptive behavior (Gwinner et al. 2005; Rapp et al. 2008).

Grounded on previous theories, we conclude that adaptive behavior in service encounters comprises employees’ behavioral adjustment and customizing service options for the purpose of catering to customers’ needs and requirements. Adaptive behavior is positively related to employees’ work performance and we will use it as a bridge linking IT-based knowledge adoption and service performance in our conceptualization in the next section.

**Conceptualizing and Model Development**

*Conceptualization Theory*

“Categorization is a ubiquitous process that plays out across a wide variety of contexts” (Vergne and Wry 2014, p.58). In cognitive psychology, many scholars agree that for people to function in a complex environment, they usually generalize things and people around them and assign them to already familiar groups (McGarty 1999). The key benefit of categorizing is that people can process vast amounts of intricate information quickly and efficiently so to predict and prepare for the behaviors from a new member assigned to an existing category, even though category boundaries are not always clear-cut (Vergne and Wry 2014).

In personal selling, categorizing customers is a prerequisite for adaptive selling behavior. Categorizing customers enables salespeople to practice different strategies on different customers (Román and Iacobucci 2010). As noted by Román and Iacobucci (2010), while salespeople highlight product value when dealing with price-sensitive customers, they emphasize product benefits when selling to a low-tech customer. It is evident that categorizing customers also applies to employees interacting with their customers in a service encounter. When a service employee approaches a prospective customer, the employee relies on the cues of the customer category to predict the expectations and behaviors of the particular customer who is similar with other customers in the same category. Categorizing customers in service encounters can assist in an employee’s understanding of prospective customers, which enables the employee to know how to serve and what to serve in the service encounter.

*Conceptualizing and Hypothesizing*

Within the theoretical framework of categorization, we introduce a model conceptualizing how IT-based knowledge adoption contributes to employees’ strong performance in service encounters. We propose that when IT-based knowledge is made available through such applications as CRM and SFA, it is conducive to customer categorization. Customer categorization assists service employees in their behavioral adjustment in the interaction with prospective customers as well as in customizing service options for the customers’ choice. Such adaptive behavior is expected to positively influence customers’ perceptions and evaluations of service quality, the core of work performance in the service context. Figure 1 is an illustration of our model with key constructs in boxes, i.e., “IT-based Knowledge”, “Adaptive Behavior” and “Service Performance”. Five hypotheses will be developed. Hypothesis 1 explains the inter-relatedness between IT-based knowledge and adaptive behavior through categorizing customers. Hypotheses 2a and 2b are posited to elaborate two dimensions of adaption to customers through IT-knowledge adoption. Hypotheses 3 and 4 are developed to justify the impact of adaptive behavior on employees service performance.
Adaptive Behavior Initiated by IT-based Knowledge

When an employee retrieves customer-related knowledge, the process of classifying customers begins on his or her cognitive level of processing information. The initial means of classifying customers are through referral cues, such as age, family size, and type of employment (Evans et al. 2000). For instance, customers can be grouped into “need/product based” and “price/promotion conscious” in retail business (Sharma and Levy 1995); meanwhile, there are “advanced age” and “younger prospect” in financial services (Evans et al., 2000). As defined in this paper, IT-based knowledge entails knowledge for the customers and knowledge about the customers. Thus an employee is capable of conceptualizing customer categories upon the retrieved “knowledge about the customers”, from IT applications such as CRM and SFA, which “encompasses the customer’s present needs and requirements, future desires, connections, purchasing activity and financial capability.” (Dous et al. 2005, p.169). Customer categories contingent upon IT-based knowledge will also delimit the prospective customer type that an employee can identify and assign to in a service encounter. This is driven by the categorizing mechanism of adding new acquaintances to familiar groups in order to minimize the cognitive effort in a new set of circumstances.

Based on the above argument, we propose that IT-based knowledge is an antecedent to service employees’ adaptive behavior. IT-based knowledge assists service employees in categorizing customers. Customer categorization prepares the employees for their adaptation to the prospects in new service encounters. We thus hypothesize:

H1 IT-based knowledge initiates adaptive behavior by customer categorization.

Adapting to Customers by Adopting IT-based Knowledge

Customer knowledge generated from KMS is suitable for employees to adopt because it helps with their daily operations (Min 2014). A key difference for services, as opposed to physical products, is the inseparable nature of service, i.e., services are usually produced and consumed at the same time (Benoit 2010). This suggests IT-based customer knowledge, when adopted by employees in service encounters, can function in two ways: “knowledge about the customer” is deployed when delivering the service for the customers and “knowledge for the customer” (information about product, suppliers and market) is deployed to influence customers to accept the service options.

Regarding adaptive behavior in service encounters, Gwinner et al. (2005) asserted that service employees can adapt to customers’ needs by modifying their interpersonal behavior and by modifying the service offering to the customers. Interpersonal behavior includes the wording, the style and the
content of employees’ communication with the customers, e.g., a repeat customer doesn’t need background information about a product in the same way as a new customer (Román and Iacobucci 2010). Service offering refers to a set of “predetermined alternatives” which employees can screen for their customers, e.g., a financial adviser with a list of investment choices (Kelley 1992).

With IT-based knowledge adopted and driven by the categorization mechanism, we believe a service employee will place a prospective customer in an existing customer category formulated via IT-based knowledge. As a result, the service employee can anticipate the needs and expectations of the categorized customer, which helps the employee to adapt by adopting “knowledge about the customers” in service delivery process and “knowledge for the customers” to elicit service outcome. The adaption evolves through two dimensions: adjusting interpersonal behavior and customizing service options for the prospective customer. We thus hypothesize:

H2a Adoption of IT-based knowledge contributes to interpersonal behavior adjustment.

H2b Adoption of IT-based knowledge contributes to service option customization.

Adaptive Behavior’s Impact on Service Performance

In adaptive selling, salespeople’s adaptive behavior contributes to a strong sales performance (Robinson et al. 2002). It also increases customer satisfaction with the salespeople in the customer-employee interaction experience (Román and Iacobucci 2010). In service encounters, employees can customize their service to individual customers through adaptive behavior (Shen and Ball 2009). Service customization is conducive to a high level of customer satisfaction in service encounters (Bettencourt and Gwinner 1996). These findings lead us to conclude that employees’ adaptive behavior is positively related to their strong performance at work. Service performance, therefore, as one kind of job performance, is positively affected by adaptive behavior.

As we clarify in the literature review, service quality constitutes the core of performance for employees in service encounters. It is agreed in the service literature that service quality is measured by customers’ perception and expectation in their service experience (Purcărea et al. 2013). Perception refers to how customers feel about the customer-employee interaction. Expectation means what customers believe service providers should offer. Therefore, we propose that employees’ adaptive behavior has a dual impact on perceived service quality: in the service delivery process and in the outcome of the service encounter. In the former case, employees can adjust their interpersonal behavior so to create a satisfying experience for the customers. In the latter case, employees can customize service alternatives so to suit customers’ needs better. We thus argue:

H3 Behavior adjustment positively affects service quality in service delivery process.

H4 Service options customization positively affects service quality of the service outcome.

Conclusion

Our model is constructed to bridge the gap between IT-based knowledge and service employees performance through adaptive behavior within the theoretical framework of categorization. It provides insights into the relatedness between technology use and employees job performance. It goes beyond technology adoption and taps into the cognitive mechanism that lies behind employees’ behavior at work. Our research indicates a positive relationship between adopting knowledge from IT applications in service encounters and employees job performance. It also offers some guidance for service businesses aiming at a high level of profitability through a high level of service quality. As customers perceive quality directly through service encounters, it is necessary to gear up employees with customer related knowledge via IT applications and communicate the value and usage of such knowledge to them through service training. In service business, there is also a continuous shift from a one-size-fits-all service to customized service for individual customers. Many businesses are keen on tailoring their offerings to the market and upgrading IT to optimize customer relationships. Employees are the key actors to follow through these strategies and innovations targeted at customers’ changing needs. Therefore service employees should be encouraged to adapt to customers’ preferences based on generic knowledge about customers collected via IT applications.
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