Role of lenalidomide in the treatment of peripheral T-cell non-Hodgkin lymphomas
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Abstract

T-cell lymphomas (TCLs) represent a group of lymphoid neoplasms characterized by an aggressive clinical course, even after an anthracycline-containing regimen. Novel agents for patients with relapsed/refractory TCL are urgently needed. Lenalidomide is an oral drug with immunomodulatory, antiangiogenic and direct antineoplastic effects. These peculiar mechanisms of action make TCL an attractive target for lenalidomide. We have identified five clinical trials in which lenalidomide monotherapy was investigated to treat TCL, including cutaneous TCL (CTCL) and adult T-cell lymphoma/leukemia (ATLL). In the ATLL-002 study, the overall response rate (ORR) was 42% and median progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival were 3.8 mo and 20.3 mo, respectively. In a phase II trial for CTCL, ORR was 28% and median PFS and overall survival were 8 mo and 43 mo, respectively. For nodal peripheral TCL, ORR was between 10% and 43% in three clinical trials, with a median PFS of about 4 mo, even if some patients had a durable response. Overall toxicity is manageable and grade 3-4 events are mainly hematological and reversible. Combination strategies did not improve PFS. In conclusion, lenalidomide could represent a suitable treatment option for relapsed/refractory TCL, especially for neoplasms with a T-follicular helper origin, such as angioimmunoblastic TCL.
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Core Tip: T cell lymphoma (TCL) are rare. Lenalidomide is an oral drug with an immunomodulatory, antiangiogenic and direct antineoplastic effect. These peculiar mechanisms of action makes TCL an attractive target for lenalidomide. We have
Peripheral T-cell lymphomas (TCLs), including primary nodal, extranodal, leukemic and cutaneous TCL (CTCL), are a heterogeneous group of lymphoid neoplasms characterized by aggressive clinical course and poor prognosis, representing about 10%-20% of non-Hodgkin lymphoma cases[1-3]. Due to its rarity, the treatment approach has historically been similar to that used for aggressive B-cell neoplasms, such as diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL)[3,4]. However, except for anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK)-positive anaplastic lymphoma (ALCL), treatment efficacy is limited compared to DLBCL, disease relapse is frequent even after high-dose therapy and autologous stem-cell transplantation, and long-term responses are seldom observed[1,5-8]. Allogeneic stem-cell transplantation often remains the only curative option, but many elderly and/or relapsed/refractory (R/R) patients are not eligible, and treatment morbidity and mortality are not negligible[9]. Novel agents approved by the Food and Drug Administration and/or the European Medicines Agency for R/R TCL, as shown in Table 1, include pralatrexate, romidepsin, belinostat, brentuximab vedotin (only for ALCL and CD30-positive CTCL) and mogamulizumab [only for mycosis fungoides and Sézary syndrome (SS)][10-14]. Additional new drugs with different mechanisms of action are listed in the National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines, including bortezomib, alemtuzumab, crizotinib, cyclosporine, nivolumab and lenalidomide[15]. Interestingly, several drugs work very well on a few TCL subtypes, while their efficacy is limited for the others; this allows for speculation that disease biology could influence the therapeutic response[16].

Lenalidomide is an immunomodulatory drug initially approved as a treatment for patients with R/R multiple myeloma (MM)[17]. It was successfully used to treat myelodysplastic syndromes, chronic lymphocytic leukemia and R/R B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma, including DLBCL, mantle-cell lymphoma and follicular lymphoma[18-22]. Due to its peculiar mechanisms of action, TCL could represent an attractive target for lenalidomide; however, available data are limited[4,14]. According to this background, we would like to briefly summarize the pharmacological properties and to review the clinical efficacy and safety of lenalidomide monotherapy in previously treated TCL.

SEARCH CRITERIA FOR LITERATURE REVIEW

We performed a computerized search in MEDLINE to find full-text publications, in English, published to 2020, which focused on lenalidomide and TCL. We included nodal and extranodal peripheral TCL (PTCL), adult T-cell leukemia-lymphoma (ATLL) and CTCL. The key terms were “T-cell lymphoma OR TCL OR T-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma OR mycosis fungoides (MF) OR Sézary syndrome (SS) OR cutaneous TCL OR peripheral TCL OR adult T-cell leukemia-lymphoma AND lenalidomide OR treatment OR immunotherapy OR combined modalities”. We included prospective clinical trials, retrospective studies, letters to the editor and case reports. For each study, we extracted the following data, when available: number of patients, study design, patient population, treatment regimen, overall response rate (ORR), complete response (CR) rate, time to response (referred to as TTR), toxicity, and survival end-points, such as OS, PFS and duration of response (DOR). OS represents
Table 1 Clinical results of approved novel agents as monotherapy for relapsed/refractory T-cell lymphomas

| Ref.                | Patients, n | Study design               | Treatment          | Mechanism of action | Histology, (number of patients) | ORR total evaluable (%) | CR rate | Median PFS | Median DOR | Median OS |
|---------------------|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| O’Connor et al[10], 2011 | 111         | Multicenter phase II      | Pralatrexate       | Antifolate          | PTCL-NOS (109) ALCL (17) AITL (13) MF (12) Other (8) | 29% 11%                  | 3.5 mo 10.1 mo 14.5 mo |
| Coiffier et al [11], 2014 | 130         | Multicenter phase II      | Romidepsin         | Histone deacetylase inhibitor | Total evaluable (130) PTCL-NOS (69) ALCL ALK- (21) AITL (27) Other (13) | 25% 15%                  | 4 mo 28 mo 11.3 mo |
| O’Connor et al[12], 2015 | 129         | Multicenter phase II      | Belinostat         | Histone deacetylase inhibitor | Total evaluable (120) PTCL-NOS (77) ALCL ALK- (13) ALCL ALK+ (2) AITL (22) Other (6) | 25.80% 10.80% 1.6 mo 13.6 mo 7.9 mo |
| Pro et al [13], 2017  | 58          | Multicenter phase II      | Brentuximab vedotin | Monoclonal antibody anti-CD30 | ALCL | 86% 57% 20 mo 25.6 mo NR (estimated 5-yr OS 60%) |
| Kim et al [14], 2018  | 186         | Multicenter, randomized, phase III | Mogamulizumab | Monoclonal antibody anti C-C chemokine receptor 4 | MF or Sézary syndrome | 28% 2.70% 7.7 mo 14.1 mo NR |

AITL: Angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma; ALCL: Anaplastic T-cell lymphoma; ALK: Anaplastic lymphoma kinase; CR: Complete response; DOR: Duration of response; MF: Mycosis fungoides; NA: Not available; NR: Not reached; ORR: Overall response rate; OS: Overall survival; PFS: Progression-free survival; PTCL-NOS: Peripheral T-cell lymphomas: not otherwise specified.

the most relevant outcome; PFS could be more accurate but the PFS definition has not been the same in different studies over the years. We included abstracts extracted from the last meetings of the European Hematology Association, American Society of Hematology, and International Conference on Malignant Lymphoma.
MECHANISMS OF ACTION AND PHARMACOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF LENALIDOMIDE

Lenalidomide is administered orally and rapidly adsorbed, without marked accumulation, even after multiple courses.[23] The drug is mainly excreted unchanged by renal elimination, thus a dose reduction is recommended in patients with reduced creatinine clearance due to renal impairment. Lenalidomide is characterized by an acceptable safety profile, and clinically relevant interactions with other drugs are unlikely.[23]

The multiple mechanisms of action, as shown in Figure 1, include an immunomodulatory, antiangiogenic and direct antineoplastic effect.[24] Lenalidomide may be able to restore the function of the T-cell immune synapse and to suppress regulatory T-cells (i.e., Tregs)[25,26]. Moreover, it could activate CD8-positive T-cells and favor a shift of T-helper (i.e., Th) response towards a Th1 vs a Th2 subtype[27,28]. Moreover, it could improve natural killer (NK)-mediated immune function and NK cell activation, leading to the induction of a primarily NK-mediated tumor cells apoptosis[28,29]. Moreover, lenalidomide could inhibit the production of cytokines with a pro-inflammatory activity, such as interleukin (IL)-1, IL-6, IL-12 and tumor necrosis factor-α, while the production of anti-inflammatory molecules, such as IL-10, is increased[30].

The antiangiogenic effect is achieved by blocking the migration and adhesion of endothelial cells and by inhibiting the formation of microvessels (reduced microvessels density)[28]. In mantle-cell lymphoma mouse models, the drug could cause a depletion of immune cells associated with lymphomagenesis, such as monocytes and macrophages[31]. Moreover, a direct inhibitory effect of lenalidomide on the vascular endothelial growth factor production was demonstrated and was associated with an increased SPARC expression[32,33].

The antiproliferative effect is carried out by directly inducing G1 growth arrest of the cell cycle and apoptosis[34]. A relevant discovery was represented by the identification of cereblon, a component of a cullin-RING E3 ubiquitin ligase enzyme complex, which includes the deoxyribonucleic acid damage binding protein 1, cullin 4 and the cullin regulator 1[35,36]. After the direct binding of lenalidomide, cereblon leads to the ubiquitination and subsequent degradation of the substrate proteins Aiolos (IKZF3) and Ikaros (IKZF1), which are lymphoid transcription factors, with consequent cytotoxic and immunomodulatory effects[37,38]. In DLBCL, especially nongerminat center B-cell-like DLBCL, a direct, cereblon-dependent capability of lenalidomide to kill neoplastic cells was observed, through deregulation of interferon regulatory factor 4[39]. Remarkably, the antiproliferative effect can occur in a p53-independent manner[40].

LENALIDOMIDE IN THE TREATMENT OF TCLS

ATLL

ATLL represents an uncommon neoplasm linked to the human T-lymphotropic virus type 1 infection and characterized by an aggressive course with poor prognosis[41]. Although rare in Western countries, it represents a common TCL in Japan, where human T-lymphotropic virus type 1 is endemic[41]. ATLL is subdivided into four subtypes: smoldering; chronic (often with an indolent course); lymphoma; and acute (with a very aggressive behavior)[2,41]. Anthracyclines-containing regimens, such as cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, prednisone (CHOP), showed limited efficacy, and most patients are refractory or relapse after an initial response[42]. R/R ATLL, due to the rarity of disease, has been frequently excluded from clinical trials investigating novel agents[42]. Ogura et al[43] investigated lenalidomide in relapsed ATLL or PTCL in a phase I study, in which 14 patients were enrolled. Maximum tolerated dose was 25 mg daily given continuously; out of 9 ATLL cases, 3/9 achieved a partial response (PR), leading to the design of a phase II study (ATLL-002), in which 26 relapsed or recurrent Japanese ATLL cases were enrolled[43,44]. The patients had received a median of two previous regimens (range: 1-4). Interestingly, 2 patients received lenalidomide for more than 1 year. As represented in Table 2, ORR was 42% (11/26 cases), with 4 CR and 1 unconfirmed CR; out of 11 responders, 2 were previously treated with mogamulizumab[44]. Median TTR, median PFS and OS were 1.9 mo, 3.8 mo and 20.3 mo, respectively, with manageable toxicity. According to disease subtype, ORR was 33% for acute ATLL and 57% for lymphoma ATLL[44]. Interestingly, in another Japanese experience, 2/4 relapsed ATLL cases after allo-stem-cell
### Table 2 Clinical efficacy of lenalidomide single-agent in T-cell lymphomas

| Ref.                       | Patient, n | Study design      | Treatment                                                                 | Histology (number of patients) | ORR | CR rate | Median PFS | Median DOR | Median OS |
|----------------------------|------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----|---------|------------|------------|-----------|
| Ishida et al[44], 2016     | 26         | Multicenter phase II | 25 mg continuously until progression or unacceptable toxicity             | ATLL (26)                      | 42%| 19%     | 3.8 mo     | NR         | 20.3 mo   |
| Querfeld et al[52], 2014   | 32         | Multicenter phase II | 25 mg for 21 d of a 28-d cycle; initial dose was reduced to 10 mg, with the possibility of increasing by 5 mg every cycle, until a maximum of 25 mg, until progression or up to 2 yr for SD or PR, while patients in CR received two additional cycles |                  | 28%| /       | 8 mo       | 10 mo      | 45 mo     |
| Zinzani et al[56], 2011    | 10         | Phase II, bicentric | 25 mg for 21 d of a 28-d cycle for 4 cycles as induction phase; After the 4th cycle, patients who achieved at least a SD continued for other eight cycles as maintenance | PTCL-NOS (10)                  | 30%| 30%     | NA         | 13 mo      | NA        |
| Morschhauser et al[51], 2013 | 54         | Multicenter phase II | 25 mg on d 1-21 of a 28-d cycle, until progression or unacceptable toxicity, for a maximum of 2 yr | Total evaluable (54)           | 22%| 11%     | 2.5 mo     | 3.6 mo     | NA        |
| Morschhauser et al[51], 2013 | 54         | Multicenter phase II | 25 mg on d 1-21 of a 28-d cycle, until progression or unacceptable toxicity, for a maximum of 2 yr | Total evaluable (54)           | 22%| 11%     | 2.5 mo     | 3.6 mo     | NA        |
| Morschhauser et al[51], 2013 | 54         | Multicenter phase II | 25 mg on d 1-21 of a 28-d cycle, until progression or unacceptable toxicity, for a maximum of 2 yr | Total evaluable (54)           | 22%| 11%     | 2.5 mo     | 3.6 mo     | NA        |
| Tounmishey et al[57], 2015 | 39         | Multicenter phase II | 25 mg daily on d 1-21 of a 28-d cycle, until progression or unacceptable toxicity | Total evaluable (39)           | 26%| 7.7%    | 4 mo       | 13 mo      | 12 mo     |

AITL: Angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma; ALCL: Anaplastic T-cell lymphoma; ATLL: Adult T-cell lymphoma/leukemia; CTCL: Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma; CR: Complete response; DOR: Duration of response; NA: Not available; NK: Natural killer; NR: Not reached; OS: Overall survival; PR: Partial response; ORR: Overall response rate; PFS: Progression-free survival; PTCL-NOS: Peripheral T-cell lymphomas: Not otherwise specified; SD: Stable disease.

transplantation achieved a CR, and 1 of them showed a prolonged remission[45]. Even if these findings have not been confirmed outside Japan, lenalidomide could represent a promising option for R/R ATLL, as single-agent or in the context of combination strategies[46].

**CTCLS**

CTCLs are a heterogeneous group of TCL, and cell of origin is represented by skin-homing, mature, CD4-positive T<sub>H</sub> lymphocytes[47]. MF and SS are the most common subtypes and frequently relapse after systemic therapy; R/R MF/SS are not curable and characterized by dismal prognosis[48]. Moreover, treatment toxicity is elevated after cytotoxic therapy, thus biological agents are needed to improve therapeutic efficacy with limited toxicity[47,48]. As there is a prevalent T<sub>H</sub>2 response with a reduction of CD8-positive T-cells in MF/SS, especially in advanced-stage disease, the immunomodulatory characteristics of lenalidomide represent a strong rationale for its use in CTCL patients[49,50].
Three MF patients were included in the phase II, single-arm EXPECT trial, in which lenalidomide dose was 25 mg once daily on days 1-21 of a 28-d cycle, given until progressive disease (PD) or unacceptable toxicity, for a maximum of 2 years. Unfortunately, treatment response for MF patients was not reported[51]. As illustrated in Table 2, Querfeld et al[52] enrolled in a phase II trial 32 patients with stage IB to IVB MF (18 cases), erythrodemic MF (3 cases) and SS (11 cases), who failed at least one prior therapy (skin-directed or systemic therapy). Lenalidomide was administered at a daily dose of 25 mg for 21 d of a 28-d cycle to the first 19 patients; the protocol was amended due to fatigue and tumor-flare reaction (referred to as TFR), and initial dose was reduced to 10 mg, with the possibility of daily dose increasing by 5 mg every cycle, based on treatment tolerance, up to a maximum of 25 mg[52]. Treatment was administered until PD or up to 2 years for patients who achieved a stable disease (SD) or PR, while patients in CR received two additional cycles before discontinuation. All patients received a median of six previous therapies (range: 1-14). In an intention-to-treat analysis, ORR was 28% (9/32 cases), all were PRs, with a median DOR of 10 mo[52]. The median TTR was 4 mo and 2 mo for an initial dose of 10 mg and 25 mg, respectively. Treatment response was achieved in a significant proportion of patients with blood or lymph node involvement and/or erythrodermic disease. Median PFS and OS were 8 mo and 43 mo, respectively; the main cause of death was PD (12/18 patients)[52]. Interestingly, immunophenotypic analysis showed lenalidomide effect could be associated with a reduction in circulating CD25-positive and CD4-positive T-cell count[52].

### Nodal TCLS

The category of nodal PTCL includes angioimmunoblastic (AITL), anaplastic (ALCL) and PTCL not otherwise specified (NOS). PTCL-NOS represents the most common subtype, followed by AITL and ALCL (further divided in ALK-positive and ALK-negative ALCL)[1,2].

ALCL is characterized by a strong CD30 expression and large-cell anaplastic morphology; most ALK-positive cases occur in young adults and have a good prognosis, while ALK-negative cases are mainly reported in older population and display an aggressive behavior, with frequent disease relapse and/or chemorefractoriness[53]. AITL has peculiar pathophysiological features, such as the presence of an increased vascular proliferation and a reactive microenvironment (eosinophils, plasma
cells, epithelioid cells) in the lymph node, together with neoplastic T-cells[54]. Skin rash, B symptoms, hemolytic anemia and polyclonal hypergammaglobulinemia are frequently reported. Moreover, a deregulated T-cell response has been observed, with immune dysfunction[54]. A new category of neoplasms with derivation from CD4-positive T follicular helper (TFH) cells was defined in the last World Health Organization classification, which includes AITL, follicular TCL, and nodal lymphoma with a TFH phenotype[2]. PTCL-NOS is a heterogeneous subgroup of TCL cases, which do not fulfill the characteristics of more specific categories[1]. Due to this background, nodal PTCL, especially those with a TFH cell derivation, could represent an attractive target for lenalidomide.

To our knowledge, the first published study focused on lenalidomide for PTCL therapy is the interim report of a phase II trial by Dueck et al[55]. Both newly diagnosed (with contraindications to chemotherapy) and R/R TCL other than CTCL were enrolled from September 2006 to November 2008. The primary endpoint was ORR; patients received lenalidomide 25 mg daily on days 1-21 of a 28-d cycle, until PD or unacceptable side effects. Out of 24 patients, 20 were R/R; the diagnosis was PTCL-NOS (10 cases), AITL (7 cases), ALCL (5 cases), enteropathic-type and hepatosplenic γδ TCL (1 case each). The median number of previous regimens was 1 (range: 0-4), and 5/24 cases were refractory to last previous line. Out of 23 evaluable patients, ORR was 30% (all achieved a PR), and median PFS and OS were 96 d and 241 d, respectively (for patients with at least a SD, median PFS was 168 d)[55]. Among different histologies, ORR was 40% 29% and 33% for ALCL, AITL and PTCL-NOS, respectively. Interestingly, a trend between skin rash and treatment response was reported. When compared to the results obtained with other investigated compounds[55]. Among different histologies, ORR was 40% 29% and 33% for ALCL, AITL and PTCL-NOS, respectively. Among different histologies, ORR was 40% 29% and 33% for ALCL, AITL and PTCL-NOS, respectively. Among different histologies, ORR was 40% 29% and 33% for ALCL, AITL and PTCL-NOS, respectively.

All final reports of clinical trials focused on lenalidomide treatment for nodal TCL are illustrated in Table 2. Between November 2008 and June 2009, Zinzani et al[56] enrolled 10 R/R PTCL-NOS patients with stage II-IV disease in a prospective, single-arm, phase II trial. Lenalidomide initial dose was 25 mg for 21 d of a 28-d cycle for four cycles as induction phase. At disease restaging after the 4th cycle, patients who achieved at least a SD continued lenalidomide administration for another eight cycles with the same schedule as maintenance phase. The results after induction phase, with an ORR of 30% (3/10 cases, all CRs) and 1 SD, were encouraging, considering that most of patients were heavily pretreated (median number of 4 Lines, range of 2-7)[56]. After maintenance phase, CR was confirmed for all 3 patients, with 2/3 cases relapsed after 3 and 5 mo, respectively, while the remaining case maintained a durable CR at last follow-up after 11 mo. The patient with SD received all 12 cycles but developed PD 1 mo upon completion of the last treatment administration[56].

On 2013, the results of the above mentioned phase II EXPECT trial were published. Even if the primary end-point was met, the study was terminated early after 54 patients were enrolled, because the efficacy was considered unsatisfactory when compared to the results obtained with other investigated compounds[51]. Patients were heavily pretreated (median number of 3 prior therapies, range of 1-11), histological subtypes included AITL (26/54 cases), PTCL-NOS (20/54 cases), ALCL (3/54 cases) and 5 cases with extranodal TCL (3 MF, 1 cutaneous ALCL and 1 TCL nasal-type). The median drug exposure time was 42 d, while the median treatment duration was 176 d for patients achieving at least a SD, median PFS was 168 d[55]. The median DOR was 3.6 mo (not reached for patients in CR) and the response rate was slightly higher for younger vs older cases (27% and 18%, respectively). Median PFS for the entire cohort was 2.5 mo, while it was 4.6 mo and 1.9 mo for AITL and non-AITL cases, respectively; OS data were not shown[51].

On 2015, the final report of the above mentioned interim analysis was published by Toumishey et al[57]. Out of 39 enrolled patients (8 treatment-naïve cases), diagnosis was PTCL-NOS (14 cases), ALCL (10 cases), AITL (9 cases), lymphoblastic TCL, enteropathic-type and hepatosplenic γδ TCL (2 cases each). The median number of previous regimens was 1 (range: 0-5) and 11/39 cases were refractory to last previous line. ORR was 26% (10/39 cases), 3 patients achieved a CR. Among the different histologies, ORR was 10%, 33% and 43% for ALCL, AITL and PTCL-NOS, respectively[57]. The median PFS, DOR and OS were 4 mo, 13 mo and 12 mo, respectively. Interestingly, a trend between skin rash and treatment response was reported. When we analyzed newly diagnosed and R/R patients separately, ORR, median OS, PFS and DOR were 50% and 24%, 22 mo and 12 mo, 2 mo and 4 mo, 21 mo and 5 mo, respectively[57].

Due to the rarity of disease, we would like to mention several case reports about lenalidomide efficacy in R/R AITL. The peculiar pathophysiology of AITL makes the disease a suitable target for an immunomodulatory drug such as lenalidomide[38].
Our group administered lenalidomide to a patient with an unsatisfactory response despite three lines of chemotherapy. The patient received 12 treatment cycles (25 mg for four cycles and 15 mg for eight cycles) and maintained a durable CR after a follow-up of 30 mo[59]. In another report, a patient refractory to two previous lines received lenalidomide 15 mg continuously and achieved a CR, which was maintained after 2 years of follow-up[60]. Broccoli et al[61] administered reduced doses of lenalidomide (10 mg) to a refractory AITL patient with persistent disease and thrombocytopenia after autologous stem-cell transplantation. The patient achieved a long-lasting CR and continued therapy at an escalated dose of 15 mg for a total of 11 cycles. Finally, an 87-year-old woman with AITL and concurrent MM was refractory to multiple lines of chemotherapy and achieved a PR after four cycles of lenalidomide, with a dose escalation until 20 mg[62]. Interestingly, both AITL and MM improved after lenalidomide administration.

**SAFETY**

Lenalidomide is generally administered as an outpatient regimen and is well tolerated. As shown in Table 3, commonly observed side effects include neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, infections, skin rash and gastrointestinal disorders.

In the phase II study for R/R ATLL, the most common hematologic adverse event (AE) was thrombocytopenia (77%), while neutropenia and anemia occurred in 73% and 54% of total cases, respectively[44]. The incidence of grade 3-4 thrombocytopenia and neutropenia was 23% and 65%, respectively. The most frequent nonhematologic AEs were hypoaalbuminemia (35%), constipation, hyponatremia and hypocalcemia (all with an incidence of 31%)[44]. Serious AEs were reported in 9 cases (35%), while AEs leading to treatment discontinuation were observed in 6 patients, including neutropenia and thrombocytopenia (2 cases each), toxic skin eruption (1 case), skin rash and hepatic failure (both in the same patient). Interestingly, no second primary malignancies (SPMs) were observed[44].

In the phase II trial focused on CTCL, the most common AEs were fatigue, lower leg edema and anemia, with an incidence of 59%, 47% and 41%, respectively[52]. Mild-to moderate constipation or diarrhea was observed in 11 cases (35%), while peripheral neuropathy was uncommon (19%). Grade 3-4 toxicity included fatigue (22%), infections (9%) and leukopenia (3%). Nine cases experienced a TFR, while no SPMs were reported[52].

In the Italian study, the incidence of grade 3-4 neutropenia, thrombocytopenia and anemia was 25%, 15% and 10%, respectively[56]. In the EXPECT trial, grade 3-4 thrombocytopenia and neutropenia occurred in 20% and 15% of total cases, respectively[51]. Grade 3-4 infections and febrile neutropenia were observed in 15% and 4% of total cases, respectively; these events did not lead to treatment discontinuation. Grade 3-4 gastrointestinal disorders and TFR were experienced by 17% and 4% of total cases, respectively; only 1 patient had a treatment discontinuation due to TFR[51]. The most common AEs leading to treatment interruption or dose reduction were neutropenia and thrombocytopenia (11% of total cases each). Serious AE were reported in 54% of patients, the most frequently observed were hematological events and infections (19% of total cases each). SPMs were experienced by 3 patients, in 1 case the neoplasm was considered as therapy-related[51].

Finally, in the phase II trial by Toumishey et al[57], the most common AEs were pain (mainly considered as lymphoma-related rather than therapy-related), fatigue, gastrointestinal and hematological events[57]. The incidence of grade 3-4 anemia, neutropenia, febrile neutropenia, dyspnea, muscle weakness and dehydration was 11%, 16%, 8%, 13%, and 10%, respectively. Neutropenia was the main cause for dose reduction, while no deaths were considered as lenalidomide-related and no SPMs were reported[57].

**NEW PERSPECTIVES**

Lenalidomide monotherapy can produce a durable CR with manageable toxicity in R/R TCL, with an overall efficacy comparable to other investigated novel agents. New perspectives could be represented by combination strategies with lenalidomide in association with conventional chemotherapy and/or other novel agents, with the aim to have a place for earlier lenalidomide administration, even in a front-line regimen, especially for TCL with a TFH cell origin, such as AITL[56,57].
| Toxicity/Adverse event | Ishida et al.[44] | Querfeld et al.[52] | Morschhauser et al.[51] | Toumishey et al.[57] |
|------------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Hematological toxicity |                  |                   |                        |                     |
| Anemia total           | 54%              | 41%               | NR                     | 26%                 |
| Anemia grade 3-4       | 19.2%            | /                 | 4%                     | 11%                 |
| Leukopenia total       | 50%              | 22%               | NR                     | NR                  |
| Leukopenia grade 3-4   | 38.5%            | 3%                | 4%                     | NR                  |
| Neutropenia total      | 73%              | NR                | NR                     | 18%                 |
| Neutropenia grade 3-4  | 65.4%            | NR                | 15%                    | 16%                 |
| Thrombocytopenia total | 77%              | NR                | NR                     | 26%                 |
| Thrombocytopenia grade 3-4 | 23.1% | NR | 20% | 5% |
| Hypoalbuminemia        | 35%              | 28%               | NR                     | NR                  |
| Grade 3-4              | /                | /                 | NR                     | NR                  |
| Constipation           | 31%              | 34%\(^1\)         | 17%                    | 44%                 |
| Grade 3-4              | /                | /                 | NR                     | 3%                  |
| Nausea                 | 23.1%            | 13%               | NR                     | 28%                 |
| Grade 3-4              | 3.8%             | /                 | NR                     | /                   |
| Vomiting               | 23.1%            | NR                | NR                     | 10%                 |
| Grade 3-4              | /                | NR                | NR                     | /                   |
| Skin rash              | 23.1%            | 25%               | NR                     | 38%                 |
| Grade 3-4              | 7.6%             | /                 | 9%                     | 11%                 |
| Fatigue                | 15.4%            | 59%               | NR                     | 56%                 |
| Grade 3-4              | 3.8%             | 22%               | NR                     | 11%                 |
| Diarrhea               | NR               | NR                | NR                     | 31%                 |
| Grade 3-4              | NR               | NR                | NR                     | 8%                  |
| Pain                   | NR               | 34%               | NR                     | 64%                 |
| Grade 3-4              | NR               | /                 | NR                     | 21%                 |
| Infection              | 19.2%            | 34%               | NR                     | 26%                 |
| Grade 3-4              | 10.4%            | 9%                | 15%                    | 5%                  |
| Neuropathy             | NR               | 19%               | NR                     | NR                  |
| Grade 3-4              | NR               | /                 | NR                     | NR                  |
| Lower leg edema        | NR               | 47%               | NR                     | 28%                 |
| Grade 3-4              | NR               | /                 | NR                     | 3%                  |
| Anorexia               | NR               | 16%               | NR                     | 28%                 |
| Grade 3-4              | NR               | /                 | NR                     | 5%                  |
| Respiratory disorders  | 10.4%            | NR                | NR                     | 26%                 |
| Grade 3-4              | 7.6%             | NR                | NR                     | 13%                 |
| Pulmonary embolism     | NR               | NR                | NR                     | 10%                 |
| Grade 3-4              | NR               | NR                | NR                     | 8%                  |
| Tumor flare reaction   | NR               | 28%               | 14%                    | NR                  |
| Grade 3-4              | NR               | NR                | 4%                     | NR                  |

\(^1\)Considered together with diarrhea; Gastrointestinal disorders. NR: Not reported.

The genomic TCL landscape is being elucidated and a significant proportion of AT
The REVAIL study investigated lenalidomide in association with CHOP in newly diagnosed AITL patients. At the last International Conference on Malignant Lymphoma meeting, an ancillary study was presented in which bone marrow involvement (BMI), but no blood involvement, showed an association with reduced survival. Median PFS and OS for patients with or without BMI were 9 mo and 36 mo and 17 mo and 54 mo, respectively. The prognostic index for PTCL, including BMI, had the best power to divide the entire cohort between high-risk and low-risk cases, with a 2-year OS of 38% vs 79%. Moreover, BMI was associated with the presence of IDH-2 mutations[64].

An integrative analysis of this trial has been recently published, lenalidomide was given in association with CHOP every 21 d for eight total cycles[65]. CHOP was administered on day 1, and lenalidomide was added at a daily dose of 25 mg for 14 d every 21 d. Out of 78 patients included in the efficacy analysis, ORR was 56%, with a CR rate of 41%. The median dose intensity for lenalidomide was 81%, and 55% of total cases completed the study; the most common reasons for early treatment discontinuation were PD and toxicity[65]. After a median follow-up of 45 mo, 2-year PFS and OS were 42.1% and 59.2%, respectively; the presence of DNMT3A mutation appeared related with shorter PFS. Unfortunately, the primary end-point to improve a positron emission tomography-based CR rate from 45% to 60% was not reached, and the authors suggest the lack of benefit from adding lenalidomide to CHOP as AITL first-line therapy[65]. In 2 refractory AITL cases, lenalidomide was investigated in association with bortezomib and dexamethasone, achieving a CR and a PR with a manageable safety profile[66]. The rationale could be represented by a potential synergism between the immunomodulatory and antiangiogenic action of lenalidomide and the proteasome inhibitor activity of bortezomib.

In another phase I/II study, a combination of lenalidomide, vorinostat and dexamethasone was explored. Out of 8 enrolled R/R nodal TCL patients, 2 experienced a dose-limiting toxicity with a daily dose of 10 mg; thus, the maximum tolerated dose of lenalidomide was 5 mg/d[67]. ORR was 25% (1 CR and 1 PR), with a median PFS and OS of 2.2 and 6.7 mo, respectively. Due to these disappointing results, the authors did not find any additional benefit for this combination regimen compared to lenalidomide alone and discouraged further investigations[67].

The histone deacetylase inhibitor romidepsin showed a potential synergism with lenalidomide and could enhance tumor cell death in a TCL preclinical model[68]. This combination demonstrated a synergistic effect in the Hut-78 human TCL cell line and an additive effect in the Karpas-299 human TCL cell line; it was mainly related to the activation of a caspase-dependent pro-apoptotic pathway[68]. A phase Ib/Ia study, in which both PTCL and MM will be enrolled, is currently ongoing (NCT01755975).

Another promising strategy is represented by the use of lenalidomide as maintenance after debulking therapy, as previously published for R/R DLBCL cases[69]. Lenalidomide maintenance vs observation for advanced CTCL was investigated in a phase III, randomized trial at a daily dose of 25 mg for 21 d every 28 d[70]. Unfortunately, the trial was terminated early, following withdrawal of funding; out of 21 patients, 9/21 and 12/21 cases had been randomized to lenalidomide and observation. Median PFS was 5.3 mo and 2 mo, respectively, further suggesting a potential benefit for maintenance therapy, even if the reduced sample size did not permit a statistical comparison[70].

CONCLUSION

R/R TCL cases are characterized by poor prognosis and current guidelines showed a lack of satisfactory treatment options. Lenalidomide has been successfully used in several hematologic malignancies, such as mantle-cell lymphoma, MM, DLBCL and myelodysplastic syndromes. The multiple mechanisms of action, with immunomodulatory, antiangiogenic and direct antineoplastic properties, represent a strong rationale to investigate the drug, alone or in association, for the treatment of R/R TCL patients. Lenalidomide demonstrated a promising efficacy with manageable toxicity in the treatment of ATLL, CTCL and nodal TCL, at least comparable to licensed drugs such as mogamulizumab, pralatrexate or romidepsin, even in heavily pretreated patients. Identification of the TFH cell as the cell of origin of several TCLs, including AITL, could explain the high efficacy of lenalidomide in the treatment of R/R AITL cases. To our knowledge, combination strategies did not show an additional benefit compared to monotherapy, even if further investigations are warranted.
In conclusion, lenalidomide as a single-agent prolongs PFS in R/R TCL with an acceptable toxicity and could represent a suitable treatment option for this patient population, especially for neoplasm cases with a TFH origin.
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