A new earless species of *Poyntonophrynus* (Anura, Bufonidae) from the Serra da Neve Inselberg, Namibe Province, Angola
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Abstract

African pygmy toads of the genus *Poyntonophrynus* are some of the least known species of African toads. The genus comprises ten recognized species endemic to sub-Saharan Africa, five of which are restricted to southwestern Africa. Recent field research in Angola provided new material for three species of *Poyntonophrynus*, including a morphologically distinctive population from the Serra da Neve Inselberg. Based on a combination of external morphology, high-resolution computed tomography scanning, and molecular phylogenetic analysis, the Serra da Neve population is described as new species that is nested within the genus. The most striking character that differentiates the newly described species from its congeners is the lack of a tympanic middle ear, a condition common in the family Bufonidae, but so far not known for *Poyntonophrynus*. The description of this new species from southwestern Angola reinforces the biogeographic importance of the region and further suggests that southwestern Africa is the cradle of diversity for this genus.
Introduction

African pygmy toads of the genus *Poyntonophrynus* Frost et al., 2006, are a group of ‘true’ toads (family Bufonidae) that are endemic to sub-Saharan Africa (du Preez and Carruthers 2009, 2017). Similarities among what Poynton (1964) termed the *Bufo* ‘vertebralis group’ were recognized long before a genus was erected for them (Boulenger 1905, Mertens 1955, Poynton 1964, Tandy 1972, Poynton and Haacke 1993). Likewise, their similarity to those species today placed in the genus *Mertensophryne* has also long been acknowledged (Tandy 1972, Poynton 1996, Frost et al. 2006). However, the diversity and relationships of taxa in this group have remained contentious and confusing. Tandy (1972, Tandy and Keith 1972) revised Poynton’s (1964) ‘vertebralis group’ by excluding *Bufo taitanus* but adding both *B. lughensis* and *B. parkeri* due to similarities with *B. dombensis* and *B. fenoulheti*, respectively. The recognized diversity of this group has remained largely static since the description of *B. grandisonae* by Poynton and Haacke (1993) and the short summary by Poynton (1996).

Frost et al. (2006) recognized the genus *Poyntonophrynus* for a group of ten species that have typically been referred to as the ‘vertebralis group’ (Poynton 1964, Tandy 1972, Poynton and Broadley 1988, Poynton 1996). However, this was based solely on the results of Cunningham and Cherry (2004), who sampled three of these ten species finding that they form a clade exclusive of other African bufonids. Van Bocxlaer et al. (2010) first confirmed the long-suspected close phylogenetic relationship between the species recognized by Frost et al. (2006) as *Mertensophryne* and *Poyntonophrynus*. This result was expanded upon recently by Liedtke et al. (2017) who included new data for seven of the ten species included by Frost et al. (2006) in *Poyntonophrynus*.

There are currently ten recognized species in the genus *Poyntonophrynus*, all of which are small terrestrial toads with inconspicuous parotoid glands and lacking a tarsal fold. One East African species, however, *Poyntonophrynus lughensis* (Loveridge, 1932), was recently demonstrated to be more closely related to *Mertensophryne* (Liedtke et al. 2017). The remaining nine species are found in sub-Saharan Africa, with most of the diversity concentrated in Angola and Namibia. While the life history of the majority of these species is not well known, at least some species breed in shallow rocky pools and metamorphose relatively quickly (within ~3 weeks; Power 1926, Channing 1976, Channing and Vences 1999, Channing et al. 2012). The currently recognized species include the following: *P. beiranus* (Loveridge, 1932a), distributed in two populations, one in the coastal areas of Mozambique and another in southwestern and central Zambia; *P. damaranus* (Mertens, 1954), known from northwestern Namibia, but potentially also occurring in southwestern Angola; *P. dombensis* (Bocage, 1895), extending from southwestern Angola to central Namibia; *P. fenoulheti* (Hewitt & Methuen, 1912), with five described subspecies (*fenoulheti, obtusum, albiventris, rhodesianus* and *grindleyi*, all currently synonymized with...
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...the nominotypical form – see Frost 2017), occurring in western Mozambique, northeastern South Africa, Zimbabwe, the Caprivi Strip of Namibia, and portions of eastern and northern Botswana and southern Zambia; *P. grandisonae* (Poynton & Haacke, 1993), endemic to southwestern Angola (Namibe Province); *P. hoeschi* (Ahl, 1934), endemic to the central-western regions of Namibia; *P. kavangensis* (Poynton & Broadley, 1988), occurring in the Kavango River basin, in western Zimbabwe, northern Botswana, northern Namibia and southern Angola; *P. parkeri* (Loveridge, 1932b), restricted to northern Tanzania and southern Kenya; and *P. vertebralis* (Smith, 1848), the type species of the genus, occurring in central South Africa (Figure 1). Our current knowledge of the diversity of *Poyntonophrynus* remains limited and some species, such as *P. grandisonae* and *P. parkeri*, have not been documented since their original descriptions. Notably, five species of *Poyntonophrynus* occur in southwestern Africa, with four occurring in Namibia (*P. dombensis, P. hoeschi, P. damaranus* and *P. damaranus*).
Poyntonophrynus kavangensis; Figure 2) and other four in southern Angola (\emph{P. dombensis}, \emph{P. fenoulheti}, \emph{P. grandisonae}, and \emph{P. kavangensis}). It is possible that other species of \emph{Poyntonophrynus} also occur in Angola (Figure 2).
Despite being among the better explored regions in Angola, there are still new distributional records and undescribed species of reptiles and amphibians being discovered in the coastal lowlands of Namibe and Benguela provinces (Conradie et al. 2012, Ceríaco et al. 2016). These included the Slender Feather-tailed Gecko, *Kolekanos plumicatudus* (Haacke, 2008), the sole representative of the endemic genus *Kolekanos* Heinicke, Daza, Greenbaum, Jackman & Bauer, 2014; Haacke’s Sand Lizard, *Pedioplanis haackei* Conradie, Measey, Branch & Tolley, 2012, and Huntley’s Sand Lizard, *P. huntleyi* Conradie, Measey, Branch & Tolley, 2012; and the Kaokoveld Girdled Lizard, *Cordylus namakuiyus* Stanley, Ceríaco, Bandeira, Valério, Bates & Branch, 2016. Namibe Province also harbors four other endemic lizard species (Ansorge’s Gecko, *Afrogecko ansorgii* (Boulenger, 1907), the Angolan Thick-Toed Gecko, *Pachydactylus angolensis* Loveridge, 1944, the Angolan Namib Day Gecko, *Rhoptropus taeniostictus* Laurent, 1964, and Bogert’s Dotted Blind Dart Skink, *Typhlacontias punctatisimus bogerti* Laurent, 1964) and the poorly known endemic Grandison’s Pygmy Toad, *Poyntonophrynus grandisonae*. Some species known to occur in neighboring Namibia have also recently been found in Namibe Province, including the Large-Scaled Thick-Toed Gecko, *Pachydactylus scutatus* Hewitt, 1927, and the Damaraland Sand Frog, *Tomopterna damarensis* Dawood & Channing, 2002, previously known only from its type locality in Khorixas, Namibia (Ceríaco et al. 2016, Heinicke et al. 2017a).

During recent field research in Angola, we collected specimens representing several species of *Poyntonophrynus*. These include the second record for Angola of *P. dombensis*, the first specimens of *P. grandisonae* since the type series, and one undescribed species, with which this paper deals (Marques 2015). On Serra da Neve, an isolated inselberg in northern Namibe Province and the second highest peak in Angola (2489 m a.s.l. fide Pereira 1977), we collected specimens of a unique taxon of small toad. These specimens lack an externally visible ear, but otherwise are morphologically similar to species in the genus *Poyntonophrynus*. Despite considerable differences in size and shape, all described species of this genus have a visible tympanum, thus suggesting that the Serra da Neve population represents an unknown taxon. Based on our molecular phylogenetic and morphological studies, we describe this population from Serra da Neve as a new species of pygmy toad. We conclude by briefly discussing the biogeographic importance of the Serra da Neve Inselberg and the arid lowland areas of southwestern Angola.

### Materials and methods

#### Specimens examined

Specimens collected for this study were preserved in 10% neutral buffered formalin in the field and transferred to 70% ethanol for storage. Liver tissue was removed before formalin fixation and preserved in either 95% ethanol or RNA Later. Specimens are deposed in the Florida Museum of Natural History at the University of Florida (UF; Gainesville, USA), the California Academy of Sciences (CAS; San Francisco,
USA), the Instituto Nacional da Biodiversidade e Áreas de Conservação (INBAC; Kilamba-Kiaxi, Angola), and the Museu Nacional de História Natural e da Ciência (MUHNAC; Lisboa, Portugal). We made comparisons with specimens in the Museum of Comparative Zoology of Harvard University (MCZ; Cambridge, USA) as well as consulted data presented in the descriptions of Poyntonophrynus species and other relevant works (e.g., Poynton and Broadley 1988, Channing 2001, du Preez and Carruthers 2009, 2017).

Molecular methods

Portions of the mitochondrial 16S gene and nuclear RAG-1 gene were sequenced for newly collected specimens of Poyntonophrynus grandisonae and the undescribed samples from Serra da Neve. Methods of tissue extraction, PCR, and sequencing follow Heinicke et al. (2017b). DNA was extracted from preserved liver samples using the Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit following the manufacturer’s protocol. PCR reactions were performed for 40 cycles at an annealing temperature of 50 °C, using the primer pair 16SA (5’-CGCCTGTGTTATCAAAAAATC’ and 16SB (5’-CCGCTGAACAGCTACG) (Palumbi et al. 1996) and the primer pair RAG1-C (5’-GGAGATGTGAGAGACG) and RAG1-E (5’TCCGCTGACTTCC-CCRTGTCRCA) (Biju and Bossuyt 2003). Following PCR, DNA was purified with Axygen AxyPrep magnetic beads, and purified DNA was sequenced at the University of Michigan DNA sequencing core.

Sequence assembly was performed using BioEdit 7.0.5.3 (Hall 1999), and new sequences were deposited in GenBank (Table 1). The new sequences were then integrated into a data set consisting of all Poyntonophrynus, Mertensophryne, and Capensibulo sequences used in Liedtke et al. (2017), which include 16S and RAG-1, but also sequences of 12S, CO1, ND2, and CXCR4 (Table 1). All previously sequenced species of Poyntonophrynus and Mertensophryne were included in that particular data set. Although Liedtke et al. (2017) provided the first genetic data for several species of Poyntonophrynus, there are no voucher specimens associated with these data to compare to our specimens (J. Streicher, pers. comm.). Sequences of each gene were aligned using ClustalX (Larkin et al. 2007). Following alignment, the best performing partition scheme was identified using PartitionFinder 2.1.1 (Lanfear et al. 2012), treating each gene and each codon position of the protein-coding genes as potentially unique character sets, and performing a greedy search on the 56 commonly used models of evolution. A maximum likelihood phylogenetic analysis was performed using IQ-TREE 1.5 (Nguyen et al. 2015). Partition and model choice were based on the best-fitting scheme as determined by PartitionFinder, resulting in a scheme using the following 11 partitions and models: 12S/16S (GTR+I+G); CO1 position 1 (TrN+I+G); CO1 position 2/CXCR4 position 2 (TrN+I); CO1 position 3 (TIM+G); ND2 position 1 (GTR+G); ND2 position 2 (TIM+I); ND2 position 3 (GTR+G); RAG1 position 2/ CXCR4 position1 (HKY); CXCR4 position 3 (HKY+G); RAG1 position 1 (TVM);
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Table 1. Sample identifications and GenBank accession numbers of sequences used in phylogenetic analyses. Specimen ID acronyms: KTH – Krystal Tolley Field collection; HF – Harith Farooq field collection; MTSN – Museo Tridentino di Scienze Naturali, Trento, Italy; BM – Natural History Museum, London, United Kingdom; MCZ – Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, USA; JM – John Measey field collection; AACRG – Louis Du Preez field collection; AMB – Aaron M. Bauer field collection; BP – Anonymous field collection; VG – Miloslav Jirků field collection; UF – University of Florida, Museum of Natural History, Gainesville, USA.

| Species                  | Specimen ID | 12S     | 16S     | CO1     | ND2     | CXCR4   | RAG1    |
|--------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Capensibufo rosei       | KTH 09-335  | KF664868| KF665294| KF665706| –       | –       | KF665976| KF666159|
| Mertensophryne anotis   | HF 33       | KY555630| KY555643| KY555662| –       | –       | KY555712|
| Mertensophryne bowelli  | MTSN-T2202  | KF664964| KF665247| KF665531| –       | KF666045| KF666383|
| Mertensophryne lindneri | BM 2002.394 | KF664736| KF665426| KF665790| –       | KF665953| KF666333|
| Mertensophryne loevidgei| MCZ A-32084 | KF664924| KF665338| KF665752| KY555685| KF665947| KF666463|
| Mertensophryne micranotis| MCZ A-32087 | KF665020| KF665240| KF665579| KY555703| KF666123| KF666378|
| Mertensophryne nyikae   | MCZ A-137123| KY555631| KY555647| KY555657| –       | –       | KY555722|
| Mertensophryne sainina  | JM 773      | KF664809| KF665047| KF665612| KY555705| KF665995| KF666310|
| Mertensophryne uambarae | MTSN 9541   | KF665026| KF665336| KF665800| –       | KF666115| KF666360|
| Mertensophryne uzunguensis| BM 2002.157| KF664717| KF665170| KF665699| –       | FJ882720| KF666366|
| Poyntonophrynus beiranus| HF 30       | KY555625| KY555650| KY555665| –       | –       | KY555721|
| Poyntonophrynus damaranus| n/a         | –       | AF220905| –       | AF463793| –       | –       |
| Poyntonophrynus dombenus| n/a         | AF220857| AF220907| –       | AF463794| –       | –       |
| Poyntonophrynus fenoulheti| AACRG 1598 | KF664732| KF665265| KF665592| KY555710| KF666066| KF666249|
| Poyntonophrynus grandisonae| AMB 10337  | –       | MH469716| –       | –       | –       | MH469717|
| Poyntonophrynus hoecchi  | n/a         | AF220828| –       | –       | –       | –       | –       |
| Poyntonophrynus kasangensis| BP-001     | KY555627| KY555648| KY555658| –       | –       | –       |
| Poyntonophrynus lughensis| VG001      | KY555626| KY555641| KY555659| KY555700| KY555666| KY555723|
| Poyntonophrynus pachnodes sp. n.| UF 184184  | –       | MH469718| –       | –       | –       | MH469719|

RAG1 position 3 (TrN+G). Branch support was assessed with 1000 bootstrap replicates. The resulting tree was rooted using Capensibufo, which recent published phylogenies suggest to be closely related to but outside of a clade containing Poyntonophrynus and Mertensophryne (Liedtke et al. 2017).
Morphological methods

Osteological data were obtained from six specimens of the Serra da Neve population, 18 additional Poyntonophrynus specimens, and five specimens of Mertensophryne (see Suppl. material 1). We performed high-resolution x-ray computed tomography (CT-scanning) at the University of Florida’s Nanoscale Research Facility. We used a Phoenix v|tome|x M (GE Measurement & Control, Boston, USA) scanner with a 180 kv x-ray tube with a diamond-tungsten target and with the following settings: Voltage = 75–100 kV, Current = 200 mA, 0.2–0.3 second detector capture time, averaging three images per rotation, and voxel resolution of 17–31μm (see Suppl. material 1: Table 1 for details). Raw 2D x-ray data were processed using the datos|x software v. 2.3 with post-processing, analyses (including segmentation), and visualization conducted using VG StudioMax v. 3.1 (Volume Graphics, Heidelberg, Germany). Both tomogram stacks (in TIFF format) and surface models for the CT-scanned specimens are available in MorphoSource (see Suppl. material 1).

Measurements and external morphological data followed the standardized protocols presented by Watters et al. (2016). Measurements were taken with an electronic caliper accurate to 0.01 mm, rounded to 0.1 mm. The following measurements were taken of adults:

- **SVL** snout-vent length;
- **HW** head width, taken at the angle of the jaw;
- **HL** head length, from the posterior of the jaws to the tip of the snout;
- **ED** eye diameter, horizontally from the anterior to posterior corner of the eye;
- **IND** internarial distance, shortest distance between the inner margins of the nostrils;
- **EN** eye-nostril distance, from the anterior corner of the eye to the posterior margin of the nostril;
- **TD** tympanum diameter, greatest horizontal width of the tympanum;
- **SL** snout length, distance from the tip of the snout to the anterior corner of the eye;
- **NS** snout-nostril length, distance from the center of the external nares to the tip of the snout;
- **IOD** interorbital distance, the shortest distance between the anterior corners of the orbits;
- **UEW** upper eyelid width, greatest width of the upper eyelid margins, measured perpendicular to the anterior-posterior axis;
- **FLL** forearm length, from the flexed elbow to the base of the outer palmar tubercle;
- **HAL** hand length, from the base of the outer palmar tubercle to the tip of finger IV;
- **Fin4L** finger IV length, from the proximal edge of the palmar tubercle to the tip of finger IV;
- **TL** tibiofibula length, distance from the outer surface of the flexed knee to the heel/tibiotarsal inflection;
- **THL** thigh length, distance from the vent to the knee;
- **FL** foot length, from the base of the inner metatarsal tubercle to the tip of toe IV;
- **Toe4L** Toe IV length, from the metatarsal tubercle to the tip of toe IV.
Results

Molecular phylogenetic and morphological analyses suggest that the pygmy toads collected from the Serra da Neve represent an undescribed species of *Poyntonophrynus*. The phylogenetic analysis reveals that the Serra da Neve population is nested within the genus *Poyntonophrynus* and is most closely related to *P. fenoulbeti* (Figure 3) with weak bootstrap support (73%). As expected, since we used data from Liedtke et al. (2017), the eastern African species *P. lughensis* is more closely related to *Mertensophryne* than to its putative congeners. Within the well-supported clade (bootstrap support 80%) of other species of *Poyntonophrynus*, *P. grandisonae* is sister to remaining congeners. However, as our data do not include overlapping sequences between *P. grandisonae* and *P. hoescchi*, our result should be taken with caution.

Morphologically, the Serra da Neve taxon is typical of *Poyntonophrynus* by being a small toad, lacking a tarsal fold, and having indistinct, flattened parotoid glands. However, this population also exhibits distinct morphological characters that clearly distinguish it from all described species of the genus, most notably the lack of tympanum and a combination of several morphological and coloration characters (Table 2). Based on these differences, this population is described here as a new species.

*Poyntonophrynus pachnodes* sp. n.
http://zoobank.org/3899209A-5389-4CE4-A806-92D5CE61ACF7
Figs 4–7, Table 3

Holotype. A female, UF 184184 (field number AMB 10208), collected on Serra da Neve (-13.77704 S, 13.25905 E; datum WGS 84; 1488 m a.s.l.), 18 November 2016, by Luis M. P. Ceríaco, Suzana Bandeira and Ishan Agarwal.

Paratypes. A male UF 184183 (field number AMB 10191), a juvenile CAS 262729 (field number AMB 10207), a female CAS 262730 (field number AMB 10210), all with the same collecting data as the holotype, a male INBAC/AMB 10209, and male MUHNAC/MB04-000999 (field number AMB 10219) with same locality and collector data as the remaining type series but collected 19 November 2016.

Diagnosis. *Poyntonophrynus pachnodes* sp. n. is a small-bodied bufonid that lacks tarsal folds, a character that distinguishes it from bufonids in Angola except *Mertensophryne* and *Poyntonophrynus*. It differs from all *Mertensophryne* in having inconspicuous parotoid glands, compared to pronounced parotoid glands that form a shelf in the scapular region of *Mertensophryne*, and in lacking reduction of the phalanges (Grandison, 1981). The newly described species differs from all other members of the genus *Poyntonophrynus* in lacking a tympanum and columella.

Description of the holotype. Small (SVL 31.4 mm), robust, stout and gravid female, with moderately robust limbs (Figure 4–5; All measurements in Table 3); head triangular, wider than long; snout projecting slightly beyond upper jaw; rostral tip straight in dorsal, ventral and lateral views; eyes projecting laterally just beyond eyelids and approximately flush with margins of head in dorsal view; eye projecting about 50% above dorsal mar-
Table 2. Comparison between the *Pyntonophrynus pachnodes* sp. n. with its congeners. Data based on our observations of freshly collected material, original descriptions, and the revisionary works of Poynton and Broadley (1988), Channing (2001), and du Preez and Carruthers (2009, 2017).

|                     | *P. pachnodes* sp. n | *P. dombensis* | *P. hoesci* | *P. damaranus* | *P. vertebralis* | *P. kavangensis* | *P. beivanus* | *P. fenoulheti* | *P. parkeri* | *P. grandisonae* |
|---------------------|----------------------|---------------|-------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|
| **Maximum Snout-vent length** |                      | 31 mm         | 40 mm       | 37 mm          | 37 mm            | 36 mm            | 33 mm         | 28 mm          | 43 mm       | 30 mm           | 46 mm           |
| **Parotoid glands**  |                      | Inconspicuous, flattened | Conspicuous, flattened to completely flattened | Conspicuous, with well defined edges but flattened | Inconspicuous, flattened | Inconspicuous, of constant width, with outer edge straight and not extending below pupil | Inconspicuous to hardly discernible | Inconspicuous to hardly discernible | Inconspicuous to hardly discernible |
| **Tympanum**        |                      | Not present | Present, conspicuous, diameter smaller than internarial distance | Present, inconspicuous | Present, inconspicuous | Present, conspicuous, diameter smaller than internarial distance | Present, inconspicuous | Present, inconspicuous | Present, inconspicuous | Present, conspicuous, diameter equal or bigger than internarial distance |
| **Skin on snout**   |                      | Granular | Smooth | Smooth | Granular | Smooth | Smooth | Granular | Smooth |
| **Skin on venter**  |                      | Granular | Smooth to slightly granular | Granular | Slightly granular | Granular | Very granular | Smooth to slightly granular | Smooth to slightly granular |
| **Vertebral Line**  |                      | Not present | Usually not present | Not present | Not present | Present | Usually present | Usually not present | Not present |
| **Dorsal coloration** |                      | Dark brown with coppery to brown mottling and dark brown blotches especially in anterior regions, and a pale scapular patch | Light to dark brown, with small dark blotches and pale scapular patch | Brown to reddish-brown with light and dark marking | Olive-brown with symmetrical to irregular dark blotches, dark interorbital band | Grey to brown with orange and reddish markings, pale scapular patch, single or a pair of patches in lower back | Three pairs of dark patches with dark interorbital band, light colored scapular patch with pale projections upper eyelids | Dark grey and with a pale scapular patch | Light grey to brown, with small scattered dark blotches, pale scapular patch, sometimes with a single or a pair of patches in lower back | Light-grey to brown, with dark patches in the scapular and poster parts of the dorsum, top of the head cream |
|                       | *P. pachnodes* sp. n | *P. dombensis* | *P. hoesci* | *P. damaranus* | *P. vertebralis* | *P. kavangensis* | *P. beiranus* | *P. fenouleti* | *P. parkeri* | *P. grandisonae* |
|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|
| **Ventral coloration**| Cream-colored, juveniles whitish with distinct black blotches | Immaculate | Immaculate | Yellowish-white | Whitish with distinct black blotches | Cream-colored | Pale without marking | Immaculate, occasionally with black blotches or spots | Cream-colored | Immaculate |
| **Webbing**           | Toes without a margin of web, webbing between toes vestigial | Scanty, only reaching base of fourth toe | Toes with distinct margin of webbing | Moderately well developed, three to three and a half phalanges of longest toe free of web | Toes scantily webbed, two segments of the third toe are free of web | Toes scantily webbed with serrated margins, broad web between toes three and four | Two or three segments of the longest toe free of web | Scanty webbed | Toes without a margin of web, webbing between toes vestigial | Toes without a margin of web, webbing between toes vestigial |
| **Subarticular tubercles** | Double | Usually double | Double | Well defined, usually double | Usually double | Usually double | Usually double | Usually double | Single | Single, except distal tubercle of third finger |
| **Metatarsal tubercles** | Inner three times bigger than outer | Smaller than outer but substantially larger than other palmar tubercles. | Inner similar in size or smaller than outer | Inner bigger than the outer | Inner three times bigger than outer | Inner elongated towards the medial surface below base of first finger. Outer, rounded to triangular shape. Inner two to three times smaller than the outer | Inner not present, but a tubercle at base of first finger may be slightly larger than other tubercles. Outer markedly enlarged | Inner three times bigger than outer | Inner two to three times smaller than outer | Inner bigger than the outer |
Figure 3. Maximum likelihood tree of the genus *Poyntonophrynus*. Support values at nodes are bootstrap percentages; scale bar in substitutions per site.

gin of head in lateral view; interorbital distance 1.1 times eye diameter; pupil large and ellipsoid in life and preservative; loreal region concave; naris small, triangular, directed dorsolaterally; canthus rostralis short, eye diameter 1.2 times eye-narial distance; eye diameter 1.6 times naris to rostral tip; internarial region flat with rounded lateral margins; interorbital distance approximately 1.7 times internarial distance; tympanum and middle ear structures (tympanum, columella) absent. Marginal and vomerine teeth absent.

Skin of venter with evenly scattered miniscule asperities; skin of gular region smooth; skin of limbs, dorsal and dorsolateral surface of head and body with scattered tubercles, being larger on dorsum; inconspicuous and flattened parotoid glands, elliptical, and weakly elevated, placed dorsolaterally and extending from posterior corner of mouth to level of axilla.
Table 3. Measurements of the type series of *P. pachnodes* sp. n. For measurement abbreviations see Materials and methods section. All measurements in mm.

| Catalog number | UF 184184 | UF 184183 | CAS 262730 | CAS 262729 | MUHNAC/MB04-000999 | INBAC/AMB 10209 |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Type status    | Holotype  | Paratype  | Paratype   | Paratype   | Paratype            | Paratype         |
| SEX            | Female    | Male      | Juvenile   | Female     | Male                | Male             |
| HW             | 9.9       | 9.5       | 8.9        | 9.2        | 9.9                 | 10.3             |
| SVL            | 31.4      | 31.3      | 29.7       | 28         | 33.7                | 30.0             |
| TL             | 11.7      | 12.1      | 10.9       | 11.6       | 12.3                | 12.5             |
| IOD            | 3.2       | 3.2       | 3.2        | 3.3        | 4.5                 | 4.2              |
| HL             | 8.7       | 8.1       | 8.8        | 9.1        | 11.7                | 10.6             |
| ED             | 2.8       | 2.9       | 2.7        | 2.9        | 3.0                 | 3.0              |
| IND            | 1.9       | 2.3       | 2.2        | 1.9        | 2.5                 | 2.0              |
| EN             | 2.6       | 2.2       | 2.4        | 2.6        | 2.8                 | 2.7              |
| FL             | 11.8      | 12.0      | 11.7       | 11.7       | 12.1                | 12.5             |
| THL            | 11.6      | 11.0      | 10.7       | 11.2       | 12.0                | 13.0             |
| SL             | 3.9       | 3.7       | 4.2        | 4.5        | 3.9                 | 4.0              |
| HAL            | 7.4       | 7.1       | 6.8        | 6.9        | 7.4                 | 7.2              |
| FLL            | 7.2       | 6.0       | 6.5        | 6.6        | 6.7                 | 7.8              |
| UEW            | 2.8       | 2.2       | 2.6        | 2.9        | 2.2                 | 2.4              |
| FIN4L          | 4.6       | 4.9       | 4.0        | 4.5        | 4.7                 | 4.3              |
| TOEL4L         | 5.9       | 7.3       | 6.2        | 6.7        | 7.7                 | 6.1              |
| NS             | 1.7       | 1.8       | 1.0        | 1.2        | 1.5                 | 1.4              |

Figure 4. Ventral and dorsal view of the holotype (UF 184184) of *Poyntonophrynus pachnodes* sp. n.

Limbs and digits well-developed; digits of both manus and pes stout; tarsal fold not present; relative length of fingers: III > I > IV = II; finger tips not expanded, but with rounded tips; fingers with rounded, prominent double subarticular tubercles; two palmar
tubercles distinct and widely separated from one another, one at ventromedial surface of first finger and other at proximal plantar surface, latter being about 4 times larger than first; webbing between manual digits absent; relative length of toes IV > III > II > I = V; toe tips slightly expanded; toes with prominent, single, and subarticular tubercles; webbing between toes vestigial, not reaching first joint of first phalanx; prominent and globular inner metatarsal tubercle, length 50% of first toe length; tarsal tubercle prominent and projecting, near medial edge and positioned at distal fourth of tarsus.

**Coloration.** In life, dorsal ground color dark brown with coppery to brown mottling and dark brown blotches especially in anterior regions (Figure 6); whitish chevron extending between eyes (scapular patch), directed posteriorly (faded but visible in preservative); iris dark green with dark brown pupil (dark grey and pale gray in preservative, respectively); snout similar in color and pattern to dorsum; dorsal surface of forelimbs and fingers III and IV whitish with dark brown blotches; dorsal surface of fingers I and II white; posterior to head, distinctive white blotch at midbody; dorsum and lateral surface dark brown speckled with coppery markings, becoming faint towards venter; dorsal surface of hind limbs (thighs and crus) greyish white with distinctive dark brown markings; three dark blotches on thigh, crus and feet touching when legs flexed; base color of dorsal foot grayish, with scattered dark brown blotches, extending across toes; region surrounding cloaca cream colored. Lateralmost margin of upper jaw white interrupted by brown markings posterior and anterior to eye; throat immaculate white; ventral surface of forearm and arm whitish; ventral surface of hand

---

**Figure 5.** Ventral view of the right hand and left foot of the holotype (UF 184184) of *Poyntonophrynus pachnodes* sp. n.
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and fingers white; venter unpigmented and whitish; anterior part of thighs and ventral crus cream colored; ventral side of legs and plantar surface of pes unpigmented and whitish in appearance.

**Variation.** The coloration of the remaining paratypes do not differ in any important details from those of the holotype. Measurements of the remaining type series in Table 3.

**Osteology.** Based on CT scan of UF 184184 (holotype; gravid female; Figure 7). The skull is wider than long, incompletely ossified, and lacks ornamentation on the dermal roofing bones. The jaw joint is anterior to the otic region. The parotic plate is incompletely ossified but synostosed to the frontoparietal. The premaxillae lack teeth, and have both a robust pars dentalis and a robust alary process that is taller than wide and widely separated from the nasals. The maxillae lack teeth and are weakly concave (with apex directed labially). The quadratojugals are thin and elongate with a broad articulation with the maxillae and reaching anterior to the articulation of the maxilla and pterygoid. The pterygoids are slender, with a long medially curved anterior ramus with a broad articulation with the adjacent maxilla, a short posterior ramus approaching, but not articulating with, the cartilages of the jaw joint, and a short medial ramus approaching but not articulating with the prootic. Vomers are large and plate-like, lacking teeth. The neopalatine is a thin flat rod, not articulating with adjacent bones. The curved and triradiate septomaxillae are present at the anterior margin of the nasal capsule. The prominent sphenethmoid is coosified across the midline and visible in dorsal view be-
between the nasals and frontoparietals. The parasphenoid narrows anteriorly and exhibits a small bifurcation at its rostral extent. The squamosals are greatly reduced with only the dorsalmost otic region and a small reduced zygomatic ramus present. The prootic is poorly ossified and has a poorly defined fenestra ovalis. Neither a bony operculum nor a columella is present. The posteromedial processes of the hyoid are ossified and slender, and expanded weakly at their articulation with the cartilaginous hyoid plate.

There are eight distinct, procoelous, non-imbricating presacral vertebrae that are not synostosed. The atlas lacks transverse processes and has widely separated cotyles. The sacrum is procoelous with laterally expanded transverse processes. No sesamoid is observed at the sacroiliac joint. The urostyle is long and thin with a weakly developed dorsal ridge.

The pectoral girdle is fermisternal with widely spaced and slender coracoids. The clavicles are slender, nearly reaching one another. The scapulae are stout, directed laterally but strongly curving dorsally at their lateral extent. Neither an ossified sternum nor omosternum is present.

The pelvic girdle comprises the ilium, pubis, and ischium, which are not synostosed to one another. The acetabulum is incompletely ossified. The shaft of the ilium is long and slender, lacking a dorsal crest, and with a small prominent dorsal protuberance. The synostosed ischia form a broad posteriorly directed plate.

The radioulna is shorter than the humerus. The radiale and ulnare are large and subequal in size, though the other carpals are difficult to distinguish as they are incompletely ossified. The phalangeal formula for the manus is 2–2–3–3, and there is both a single ossified prepollex and a small palmar sesamoid. The tips of the terminal manual phalanges are weakly expanded into small knobs. The tibiofibula is slightly shorter than the femur. There are two small distal tarsals. The phalangeal formula for the pes is 2–2–3–4–3 and there is a single small ossified prehallux and a plantar sesamoid. The tips of the terminal pedal phalanges are weakly expanded as in the fingers.

**Distribution and ecology.** The species is currently only known from the Serra da Neve Inselberg (Figs 2, 8) in northern Namibe Province. Specimens were found on moist soil under rocks and leaf-litter at dusk in a semi-open miombo forest area. Grandvaux-Barbosa (1970) considered that Serra da Neve is characterized by a “sparse Miombo,” dominated by trees of the genera *Julbernardia* spp. and *Brachystegia* spp., and shrubs like *Combretum* spp. or *Annona* spp., which were observed at the site. The species was found sympatrically with the frogs *Sclerophrys gutturalis* and *Tomopterna tuberculosa*, the lizards *Agama schacki*, *Trachylepis sulcata*, *Chondrodactylus pulitzerae*, *Hemidactylus benguellensis*, *Pachydactylus angolensis*, and *Heliobolus lugubris*, and the snake *Hemirhagerrhis viperina*.

**Etymology.** The specific name *pachnodes* (Gr.) means “frosty” and is used as an adjective (Brown 1954). This is a reference to both the cool climate at the higher elevation where this species occurs (1488 m) and that Serra da Neve (Port.) translates to “mountain of snow.” We suggest “Serra da Neve Pygmy Toad” and “Sapo Pigmeu da Serra da Neve” as the English and Portuguese common names respectively.
Figure 7. High-resolution Computed Tomography reconstructions showing the skeleton of the holotype (UF 184184) of Poyntonophrynus pachnodes sp. n. in dorsal view (A), skull in dorsal (B), right lateral (C) and ventral (D) views, pelvis in right lateral view (E), pectoral girdle in ventral view (F), and right foot (G) and right hand (H) in ventral views. Selected skeletal elements are labeled based on discussion in the text. Panel A also highlights the eggs that are visible within the oviducts. Abbreviations: m – maxilla; np – neopalatine; pt – pterygoid; q – quadrate; sph – sphenethmoid; sq – squamosal; v – vomer. Scale bars in each row equal 2 mm.
Figure 8. Southern view of the base of the Serra da Neve Inselberg (A), and habitat of the type locality at about 1500 m a.s.l. (B). Photos by Luis Ceríaco.
Discussion

Despite several decades of interest in African pygmy toads (e.g., Poyton 1964, Tandy 1972, Poynton and Haacke 1993), there has been little attention paid to the systematics of the species now referred to as *Poyntonophrynus*. Until recently, there has been no serious attempt to evaluate the monophyly of this genus. The molecular phylogenetic analysis of Liedtke et al. (2017) demonstrates that *P. lughensis* is more closely allied to *Mertensophryne*, and if true then this species should be treated as part of that genus. However, as the sample used in that analysis is not associated with a voucher specimen to confirm the identification, we refrain from taxonomic changes. It still remains uncertain whether *B. parkeri*, which Tandy (1972, Tandy and Keith 1972) included in the ‘vertebralis group,’ should be included in *Poyntonophrynus*; Tandy (1972) provided no specific arguments regarding this assignment. While we have contributed new molecular genetic data for *P. grandisonae*, we still lack phylogenetic information for several species. In lieu of new genetic resources for molecular phylogenetic analyses, a thorough systematic revision based on morphological data, including osteology, is needed to evaluate the monophyly of *Poyntonophrynus* and the relationships among its species.

Unlike most African anuran taxa, the species diversity of *Poyntonophrynus* is concentrated in arid southwestern Africa. Five species (*P. damaranus*, *P. dombensis*, *P. grandisonae*, *P. hoescbi*, and *P. pachnodes* sp. n.) are strictly endemic to this region, whereas the others (*P. vertebralis*, *P. beiranus*, *P. kavangensis*, *P. fenoulheti*, and *P. parkeri*) are found in arid or mesic habitats extending across southern and eastern Africa. This pattern of species distributions, combined with the fact that *P. grandisonae* represents the earliest known diverging lineage within the genus, suggests that the origin of this group might have been in southwestern Africa. While a highly unusual pattern for an anuran, this is similar to several squamate taxa for which the arid zones of southwestern Angola and northwestern Namibina form a center of endemism and diversity (Roll et al. 2017, Marques 2015). Southwestern Angola hosts a large number of endemic species of lizards, including one endemic genus, and ongoing work reveals additional cryptic and endemic diversity among several lizard taxa (Figure 9).

In addition to *Poyntonophrynus*, the few examples of amphibians found in these xeric areas include *Phrynomantis annectens*, several species of *Sclerophrys*, and *Tomopterna* (Ceríaco et al. 2016, Heinicke et al. 2017a). Within Namibe Province, there are 91 documented species of reptiles, representing one-third of the reptile species known for Angola, but only 15 amphibian species, which represent <13% of the amphibian diversity of the country (Marques 2015). Neighboring Benguela Province has similar species diversity (102 reptiles, 36 amphibians) and contains similar habitats. The herpetofaunal diversity of these provinces mostly comprises taxa found in southern Africa (Marques 2015). Though Namibe Province is generally well explored, little is known of the diversity of its inselbergs. The biodiversity of Serra da Neve remains largely undocumented with only two expeditions to it in the last few years (Barker et
Figure 9. Bibliographic (Marques 2015), museum and new field records of the amphibian and reptile taxa endemic to the coastal arid lowlands of southwestern Angola. The blue line represents the Cunene/Kunene River.

al. 2015, this study), according to available literature. Other inselbergs and highlands found along the Angolan escarpment, including Mount Moco (Huambo Province) and the highlands of Gabela-Seles and the Kumbira Forest (Kwanza Sul Province), are known to harbor a significant number of endemics and relict populations of vari-
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ous taxa (Marx 1956, Hall 1960, Hall and Moreau 1970, Huntley 1974, Sekercioglu and Riley 2005, Maiato 2009, Mills 2010, Mills et al. 2011, Cáceres et al. 2015, Carleton et al. 2015, Gonçalves and Goyder 2016, Svensson et al. 2017). While the biogeographic affinities of these areas are not completely understood, there is a general pattern of relictual distributions for taxa otherwise found in central or eastern Africa. Yet due to its southern geographic position, surrounding arid habitats, and the limited existing data on occurring taxa, it appears that the affinities of the flora and fauna of Serra da Neve have more similarities to taxa found typically in southern Africa, as for example representatives of the gekkonid genera Rhoptropus, Chondrodactylus and Pachydactylus, or southwestern African endemics including Hemirhagerrhis viperina and Trachylepis laevis; this new species of Poyntonophrynus provides an additional example. We are, however, still far from understanding the biogeographic affinities of Serra da Neve.

The osteology of both Poyntonophrynus and its sister taxon Mertensophryne are poorly documented in comparison to other African bufonid taxa. The skeleton of P. pachnodes exhibits features characterized by Grandison (1981) as common in the vertebralis group, though she was not explicit about the species she included in that group. Those features include the reduction or loss of the shaft of the squamosal and an elongate quadratojugal that attains the articulation between the maxilla and the pterygoid at its anterior extent (Poynton 1991, Graybeal and Cannatella 1995). Similar to the condition documented by Grandison (1981) for P. vertebralis, the neopalatines are reduced in P. pachnodes (Figure 7D), in contrast to its well-developed state in Mertensophryne (Grandison 1981, Poynton 1991). All of the species that are currently recognized as Mertensophryne lack external ears (Tandy 1972, Tandy and Keith 1972, Frost et al. 2006), though it is not clear whether all of these species might also lack other structures such as the columella (Tihen 1960). Poyntonophrynus pachnodes does not exhibit reduction in the number of presacral vertebrae, a character which is present at least some Mertensophryne (e.g. Figure 10; Tihen 1960, Grandison 1978), nor does it exhibit any of the ornamentation found in the dorsal skull bones, as in Mertensophryne anotis (Poynton 1991). The newly described species represents the first known case of complete loss of the Tympanic Middle Ear (TME) within the genus Poyntonophrynus (Figure 10), though all of the species in the sister-genus Mertensophryne lack the TME (Tandy and Keith 1972). This condition is generally rare among amniotes, but it is fairly common among anurans, including the Bufonidae (Pereyra et al. 2016). In some cases, the TME is not completely lost, and some portions of the middle ear may remain. The reduction of TME structures in anurans follows a consistent pattern (Pereyra et al. 2016): the absence of more medial structures (e.g., columella) is associated with the absence of lateral structures such as the tympanic annulus and membrane, but the absence of the lateral structures are not necessarily associated with the reduction or loss of the columella. The evolutionary loss of TME and the alternative auditory mechanisms that might be used by these taxa have received substantial recent attention (Boistel et al. 2011, 2013, Thomas et al. 2014, Pereyra et al. 2016, Goutte et al. 2017, Womack
et al. 2017). Given the loss of the TME in Mertensophryne and at least once species of Poyntonophrynus, this clade may provide an opportunity for further examination of the causes of loss and reduction of the TME and other correlated features (e.g., Womack et al. 2018).

**Acknowledgements**

The Angolan Ministry of Environment and INBAC provided logistical support and necessary permits for carrying out this research. The Provincial Government of Namibe province, especially the Governor Dr. Rui Falcão, as well as the provincial office of the Ministry of Environment, provided necessary logistical and bureaucratic support during our work. We thank Álvaro ‘Varito’ Baptista for assistance in field research on Serra da Neve. Marius Burger and an anonymous reviewer present valuable comments and suggestions that led to the improvement of the manuscript. This work was funded by US National Science Foundation grants to DCB (DEB 1202609, 1556559) AMB (DEB 1019443, 1556255), and MPH (DEB 1556585) and a grant to DCB and AMB from the JRS Biodiversity Foundation. MPM is currently supported by FCT contract SFRH/BD/129924/2017.

**References**

Ahl E (1934) Über eine kleine Froschsammlung aus Deutsch Südwestafrika. Zoologischer Anzeiger 107: 333–336.

Barker N, Clark R, Neef G, Maiato F, Francisco D, Goyder D (2015) Learning the ABCs: Angolan botanical collecting – part 2: bush pilots and old volcanoes. Veld & Flora 101(3): 116–119.
Biju SD, Bossuyt F (2003) New frog family from India reveals an ancient biogeographical link with the Seychelles. Nature 425: 711–714. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02019

Bocage JVB (1895) Sur une espèce de crapaud à ajouter à faune herpétologique d’Angola. Jornal de Sciencias Mathematicas, Physicas e Naturaes, Série 2, 4: 51–53.

Boistel R, Aubin T, Cloetens P, Langer M, Gillet B, Josset P, Pollet N, Herrel A (2011) Whispering to the deaf: Communication by a frog without externa vocal sac or tympanum in noisy environments. PLoS ONE 6(7): e22080. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0022080

Boistel R, Aubin T, Cloetens P, Peyrin F, Scotti T, Herzog P, Gerlach J, Pollet N, Aubry J-F (2013) How minute sooglossid frogs hear without a middle ear. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States 110(38): 15360–15364. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1302218110

Boulenger GA (1905) A list of batrachians and reptiles collected by Dr. W. J. Ansorge in Angola, with descriptions of new species. Annals and Magazine of Natural History, Series 7, 16: 105–115. https://doi.org/10.1080/03745480509443656

Boulenger GA (1907) Descriptions of three new lizards and a frog, discovered by Dr. W.J. Ansorge in Angola. Annals and Magazine of Natural History, Series 7, 19: 212–214. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222930709487258

Brown, RW (1954) Composition of Scientific Words. Published by the author, Washington, 882 pp.

Cáceres A, Melo M, Barlow J, Cardoso P, Maiato F, Mills MSL (2015) Threatened birds of the Angolan Central Escarpment: distribution and response to habitat change at Kumbira Forest. Oryx 49(4): 727–734. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605315001415

Carleton MD, Banasiak RA, Stanley WT (2015) A new species of the rodent genus Hylomyscus from Angola, with a distribution summary of the H. anelli species group (Muridae: Murinae: Praomyni). Zootaxa 4040(2): 101–128.

Ceríaco LMP, De Sá, S AC, Bandeira S, Valério H, Stanley EL, Kuhn AL, Marques MP, Vindum JV, Blackburn DC, Bauer AM (2016) Herpetological survey of Iona National Park and Namibe Regional Natural Park, with a synoptic list of the Amphibians and Reptiles of Namibe Province, southwestern Angola. Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences, Series 4, 63: 15–61.

Channing A (1976) Life histories of frogs in the Namib Desert. Zoologica Africana 11: 299–312. https://doi.org/10.1080/00445096.1976.11447536

Channing A (2001) Amphibians of Central and Southern Africa. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, 470 pp.

Channing A, Rödel M-O, Channing J (2012) Tadpoles of Africa. Edition Chimaira, Frankfurt am Main, 402 pp.

Channing A, Vences M (1999) The advertisement call, breeding biology, description of the tadpole and taxonomic status of Bufo dombensis, a little-known dwarf toad from southern Africa. South African Journal of Zoology 34: 74–79. https://doi.org/10.1080/02541858.1999.11448491

Conradie W, Measey GJ, Branch WR, Tolley KA (2012) Revised phylogeny of African sand lizards (Pedioplanis), with the description of two new species from south-western Angola. African Journal of Herpetology 61(2): 91–112. https://doi.org/10.1080/21564574.2012.676079
Cunningham MJ, Cherry MI (2004) Molecular systematics of African 20-chromossome toads (Anura: Bufonidae). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 32: 671–685. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2004.03.003

Dawood A, Channing A (2002) Description of a new cryptic species of African sand frog, Tomopterna damarensis (Anura: Ranidae), from Namibia. African Journal of Herpetology 51(2): 129–134. https://doi.org/10.1080/21564574.2002.9635468

du Preez L, Carruthers V (2009) A Complete Guide to the Frogs of Southern Africa. Struik Nature, Cape Town, 488 pp.

du Preez L, Carruthers V (2017) Frogs of Southern Africa, A Complete Guide. Struik Nature, Cape Town, 519 pp.

Felsenstein J (1985) Phylogenies and the comparative method. The American Naturalist 125(1): 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1086/284325

Frost DR, Grant T, Faivovich J, Bain RH, Haas A, Haddad CFB, De Sá RO, Channing A, Wilkinson M, Donnellan SO, Raxworthy CJ, Campbell JA, Blotto BL, Moler P, Drewes RC, Nussbaum RA, Lynch JD, Green DM, Wheeler WC (2006) The amphibian tree of life. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History 297: 1–370. https://doi.org/10.1206/0003-0090(2006)297[0001:TATOL]2.0.CO;2

Frost DR (2017) Amphibian Species of the World: an Online Reference. Version 6.0. [5 November 2017]. http://research.amnh.org/herpetology/amphibia/index.html

Gonçalves FMP, Goyder DJ (2016) A brief botanical survey into Kumbira Forest, an isolated patch of Guineo-Congolian biome. PhytoKeys 65: 1–14. https://doi.org/10.3897/phytok-65.8679

Goutte S, Mason MJ, Christensen-Dalsgaard J, Montealegre-Z F, Chives BD, Sarria-S FA, Antoniazzi MM, Jared C, Sato LA, Toledo LF (2017) Evidence of auditory insensitivity to vocalization frequencies in two frogs. Scientific Reports 7: 12121. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-12145-5

Grandison AGC (1978) The occurrence of Nectophrynoides (Anura: Bufonidae) in Ethiopia. A new concept of the genus with a description of a new species. Monitore zoologico italiano (supplement) 11: 119–172.

Grandison AGC (1981) Morphology and phylogenetic position of the West African Didynamipus sjostedtti Andersson, 1903 (Anura: Bufonidae). Monitore Zoologico Italiano (supplement) 15: 187–215.

Grandvaux-Barbosa LA (1970) Carta fitogeográfica de Angola. Instituto de Investigação Científica de Angola, Luanda, 323 pp.

Graybeal A, Cannatella DC (1995) A new taxon of Bufonidae from Peru, with descriptions of two new species and a review of the phylogenetic status of supraspecific bufonid taxa. Herpetologica 51: 105–131.

Haacke WD (2008) A new leaf-toed gecko (Reptilia: Gekkonidae) from south-western Angola. African Journal of Herpetology 57(2): 85–92. https://doi.org/10.1080/21564574.2008.9635571

Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symposium Series 41: 95–98.
A new earless species of Poyntonophrynus (Anura, Bufonidae)...

Hall BP (1960) The faunistic importance of the scarp of Angola. Ibis 102: 420–442. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-919X.1960.tb08418.x

Hall BP, Moreau RE (1970) An atlas of speciation in African passerine birds. British Museum (Natural History), London, 421 pp.

Heinicke MP, Daza JD, Greenbaum E, Jackman TR, Bauer AM (2014) Phylogeny, taxonomy and biogeography of a circum-Indian Ocean clade of leaf-toed geckos (Reptilia: Gekkota), with a description of two new genera. Systematics and Biodiversity 12(1): 23–42. https://doi.org/10.1080/14772000.2013.877999

Heinicke MP, Ceríaco LMP, Moore IM, Bauer AM, Blackburn DC (2017a) Tomopterna dama-rensis (Anura: Pyxicephalidae) is broadly distributed in Namibia and Angola. Salamandra 53: 461–465.

Heinicke MP, Turk D, Bauer AM (2017b) Molecular phylogeny reveals strong biogeographic signal and two new species in a Cape Biodiversity Hotspot endemic mini-radiation, the pygmy geckos (Gekkonidae: Goggia). Zootaxa 4312: 449–470.

Hetherington TE, Lindquist ED (1999) Lung-based hearing in an “earless” anuran amphibian. Journal of Comparative Physiology A 184: 395–401. https://doi.org/10.1007/s003590050338

Hewitt J (1927) Further descriptions of reptiles and batrachians from South Africa. Records of the Albany Museum (Grahamstown) 3(5): 371–415.

Hewitt J, Methuen PA (1912) Descriptions of some new Batrachia and Lacertilia from South Africa. Transactions of the Royal Society of South Africa 3: 107–111. https://doi.org/10.1080/00359191309519682

Huelsenback JP, Hillis DM (1993) Success of phylogenetic methods in the four-taxon case. Systematic Biology 42: 247–264. https://doi.org/10.2307/2992463

Huntley BJ (1974) Outlines of wildlife conservation in Angola. Journal of the Southern African Wildlife Management Association 5: 157–166.

Lanfear R, Calcott B, Ho SYW, Guindon S (2012) PartitionFinder: combined selection of partitioning schemes and substitution models for phylogenetic analysis. Molecular Biology and Evolution 29: 1695–1701. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mss020

Larkin MA, Blackshields G, Brown NP, Chenna R, McGettigan PA, McWilliam H, Valentini F, Wallace JM, Wilm A, Lopez R, Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Higgins DG (2007) Clustal W and Clustal X versions 2.0. Bioinformatics 23: 2947–2948. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btm404

Laurent RF (1964) Reptiles et amphibiens de l’Angola (Troisième contribution). Publicações Culturais da Companhia de Diamantes de Angola 67: 1–165.

Liedtke HC, Müller H, Rödel M-O, Menegon M, Gonwouo NL, Barej MF, Gvoždík V, Schmitz A, Channing A, Nagel P, Loader SP (2016) No ecological opportunity signal on a continental scale? Diversification and life-history evolution of African true toads (Anura: Bufonidae). Evolution 70(8): 1717–1733. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.12985

Liedtke HC, Müller H, Hafner J, Penner P, Gower DJ, Mazuch T, Rödel M-O, Loader SP (2017) Terrestrial reproduction as an adaptation to steep terrain in African toads. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 284(1851): 20162598. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.2598
Lindquist ED, Hetherington TE (1998) Semaphoring in an earless frog: the origin of a novel visual signal. Animal Cognition 1(2): 83–87.
Loveridge A (1932a) Eight new toads of the genus *Bufo* from east and central Africa. Occasional Papers of the Boston Society of Natural History 8: 43–54.
Loveridge A (1932b) New reptiles and amphibians from Tanganyika Territory and Kenya Colony. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology 72: 375–387.
Loveridge A (1944) New geckos of the genera *Afroedura*, new genus, and *Pachydactylus* from Angola. American Museum Novitates 1254: 1–4.
Lombard RE, Straughan IR (1974) Functional aspects of anuran middle ear structures. Journal of Experimental Biology 61(1): 71–93.
Maiato F (2009) Conservação da floresta afromontana do Morro Moco: Uma abordagem baseada na comunidade local da aldeia de Kajonde. http://www.mountmoco.org/downloads/MocoMaiato.pdf
Marques MP (2015) Geographical distribution of the amphibians and reptiles of Angola. MSc thesis, Évora, Portugal: Universidade de Évora.
Marx H (1956) A new lacertid lizard from Angola. Fieldiana: Zoology 39: 5–9. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.3092
Mills MSL (2010) Angola’s central scarp forests: patterns of bird diversity and conservation threats. Biodiversity and Conservation 19: 1883–1903. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-010-9810-4
Mills MSL, Olmos F, Melo M, Dean WRJ (2011) Mount Moco: its importance to the conservation of Swierstra’s Francolin *Pternistis swierstrai* and the Afromontane avifauna of Angola. Bird Conservation International 21: 119–133. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0959270910000493
Mertens R (1954) Eine neue Kröte aus Südwestafrika. Senckenbergiana Biologica 35: 9–11.
Mertens R (1955) Die Amphibien und Reptilien Südwestafrikas. Abhandlungen der Senckenbergischen Naturforschenden Gesellschaft 490: 1–172.
Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ (2015) IQ-TREE: A fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum likelihood phylogenies. Molecular Biology and Evolution 32: 268–274. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300
Palumbi SR (1996) Nucleic acids II: the polymerase chain reaction. In: Hillis DM, Moritz C, Mable BK (Eds) Molecular Systematics (2nd edn). Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, 205–247.
Pereira E (1977) Serra da Neve (Angola) – Nota sobre a geomorfologia da região e idade das aplanações. Boletim da Sociedade Geológica de Portugal 20(3): 277–282.
Pereyra MO, Womack MC, Barrionuevo JS, Blotto BL, Baldo D, Targino M, Ospina-Sarria JJ, Guayasamin JM, Coloma LA, Hoke KL, Grant T, Faivovich J (2016) The complex evolutionary history of the tympanic middle ear in frogs and toads (Anura). Scientific Reports 6: 34130. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep34130
Posada D, Crandall KA (1998) MODELTEST: testing the model of DNA substitution. Bioinformatics 14 (9): 817–818. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/14.9.817
Power JH (1926) Notes on the habits and life histories of South African Anura with descriptions of the tapdoles. Transactions of the Royal Society of South Africa 14: 237–247. https://doi.org/10.1080/00359192609519631
A new earless species of Poyntonophrynus (Anura, Bufonidae)...

Poynton JC (1964) The Amphibia of southern Africa: a faunal study. Annals of the Natal Museum 17: 1–334.

Poynton JC (1991) Amphibians of southeastern Tanzania, with special reference to Stephosphaedes and Mertensophryne (Bufonide). Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology 152: 451–473.

Poynton JC (1996) Diversity and conservation of African bufonids (Anura): some preliminary findings. African Journal of Herpetology 45: 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1080/21564574.1996.9649958

Poynton JC, Broadley DG (1988) Amphibia Zambesiaca 4. Bufonidae. Annals of the Natal Museum 29: 447–490.

Poynton JC, Haacke WD (1993) On a collection of amphibians from Angola, including a new species of Bufo Laurenti. Annals of the Transvaal Museum 36: 1–16.

Roll U, Feldman A, Novosolov M, Allison A, Bauer A, Bernard R, Bohm M, Chirio L, Collen B, Colli GR, Dabul L, Das I, Doan T, Grismer L, Herrera FC, Hoogmoed M, Itescu Y, Kraus F, LeBreton M, Lewin A, Martins M, Maza E, Meirte D, Nagy Z, Nogueira CC, Pauwels OSG, Pinheira-Donoso D, Powney G, Sindaco R, Tallowin O, Torres-Carvajal O, Trape JF, Uetz, P, Vidan E, Wagner P, Wang YZ, Orme D, Grenyer R, Meiri S (2017) The global distribution of tetrapods reveals a need for targeted reptile conservation. Nature Ecology & Evolution 1: 1677–1682. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0332-2

Simon C, Frati F, Beckenbach A, Crespi B, Liu H, Flook P (1994) Evolution, weighting and phylogenetic utility of mitochondrial gene sequences and a compilation of conserved polymerase chain reaction primers. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 87: 651–701. https://doi.org/10.1093/aesa/87.6.651

Sekercioglu CH, Riley A (2005) A brief survey of birds in Kumbira Forest, Gabela, Angola. Ostrich 76: 3–4. https://doi.org/10.2989/00306520509485483

Smith A (1848) Illustrations of the Zoology of South Africa; Consisting Chiefly of Figures and Descriptions of the Objects of Natural History Collected during an Expedition into the Interior of South Africa, in the Years 1834, 1835, and 1836. Reptilia. Part 27. Smith, Elder, & Co., London, 16 pp.

Svensson MS, Bersacola E, Mills MS, Munds RA, Nijman V, Perkin A, Masters JC, Couette S, Nekaris KA-I, Bearder SK (2017) A giant among dwarfs: a new species of galago (Primates: Galagidae) from Angola. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 2017:1–14. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.23175

Stanley EL, Ceríaco LMP, Bandeira S, Valério H, Bates MF, Branch WR (2016) A review of Cordylus machadoi (Squamata: Cordylidae) in southwestern Angola, with the description of a new species from the Pro-Namib desert. Zootaxa 4061(3): 201–226. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4061.3.1

Stevens RA (1974) An annotated check list of the amphibians and reptiles known to occur in south-eastern Malawi. Arnoldia 30(6): 1–22.

Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S (2013) MEGA6: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 6.0. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30: 2725–2729. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst197

Tandy M (1972) The Evolution of African Bufo. PhD Thesis, University of Texas, Austin.
Tandy M, Keith R (1972) Bufo of Africa. In: Blair WF (Ed.) Evolution in the genus Bufo. University of Texas Press, Austin & London, 119–170.

Thomas A, Suyesh R, Biju SD, Bee MA (2014) Vocal behavior of the elusive Purple Frog of India (Nasikabatrachus sahyadrensis), a fossorial species endemic to the Western Ghats. PLoS ONE 9(2): e84809. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0084809

Tihen JA (1960) Two new genera of African bufonids, with remarks on the phylogeny of related genera. Copeia 1960: 225–233. https://doi.org/10.2307/1439662

Van Bocxlaer I, Loader SP, Roelants K, Biju SD, Menegon M, Bossuyt F (2010) Gradual adaptation toward a range-expansion phenotype initiated the global radiation of toads. Science 327: 679–682. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1181707

Watters JL, Cummings ST, Flanagan RL, Siler CD (2016) Review of morphometric measurements used in anuran species descriptions and recommendations for a standardized approach. Zootaxa 4072(4): 477–495. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4072.4.6

Womack MC, Christensen-Dalsgaard J, Coloma LA, Chaparro JC, Hoke KL (2017) Earless toads sense low frequencies but miss the high notes. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 284(1864): 20171670. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.1670

Womack MC, Fiero TS, Hoke KL (2018) Trait independence primes convergent trait loss. Evolution. [In press] https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.13442

Supplementary material I

CT-Scan settings
Authors: Luis M. P. Ceríaco, Mariana P. Marques, Suzana Bandeira, Ishan Agarwal, Edward L. Stanley, Aaron M. Bauer, Matthew P. Heinicke, David C. Blackburn
Data type: specimens data
Explanation note: CT-Scan settings for the different specimens used in this study.
Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.
Link: https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.780.25859.suppl1