Construction of surfaces of general type from elliptic surfaces via $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing
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Abstract We present methods to construct interesting surfaces of general type via $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing of a singular surface obtained from an elliptic surface. By applying our methods to special Enriques surfaces, we construct new examples of a minimal surface of general type with $p_g = 0$, $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and $K^2 \leq 4$.

1 Introduction

A simply connected elliptic surface $S$ with a section is called an $E(n)$ surface if $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = n > 0$ and $c_1^2(S) = 0$. Such a surface is relatively minimal as $c_1^2(S) = 0$. An $E(n)$ surface has topological Euler characteristic $c_2 = 12n$. For fixed $n$, all $E(n)$ surfaces are diffeomorphic to the fiber sum of $n$ copies of a rational elliptic surface $E(1)$ (cf. [9]). Recall that an $E(1)$ surface is obtained from $\mathbb{P}^2$ by blowing up the base points of a pencil of cubics, and an $E(2)$ surface is an elliptic K3 surface with a section.

Recently, Jongil Park and the second named author constructed a simply connected minimal surface of general type with $p_g = 0$ and $K^2 = 2$ via $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing of a singular rational surface [16]. This singular rational surface is obtained by contracting linear chains of rational curves in a blow-up of an $E(1)$ surface with singular fibers of special type. The other constructions of surfaces of general type with $p_g = 0$ via $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing...
given in [17,20–22], use different $E(1)$ surfaces, but all employ the same arguments as in [16] to prove the vanishing $H^2(T_X^0) = 0$, which is a key ingredient to guarantee the existence of a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing.

It is quite interesting to generalize the techniques in [16] to the case of $E(n)$ surfaces and to the case of elliptic surfaces without a section.

**Question** Is it possible to construct an interesting complex surface via $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing of a singular surface obtained by contracting linear chains of rational curves in a blow-up of an $E(n)$ surface with $n \geq 2$, or of an Enriques surface?

In this paper, we will treat mainly the case of Enriques surfaces. Since every pencil of elliptic curves on an Enriques surface has multiple fibres, the method of [16] for the case of an $E(1)$ surface cannot be applied directly to prove the existence of a global $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing. We overcome this difficulty by passing to the K3-cover (an $E(2)$ surface) and then by showing that the obstruction space of the corresponding singular surface has trivial invariant part under the covering involution.

Using some special Enriques surfaces, we are able to construct minimal surfaces of general type with $p_g = 0$, $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $K^2 = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Each of our examples has ample canonical class, i.e., contains no $(-2)$-curve. There have been constructed several examples of a minimal surface of general type with $p_g = 0$, $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $K^2 = 1$, by Barlow [1], by Inoue [10] and recently by Bauer and Pignatelli [3]. Each of these examples contains a $(-2)$-curve. We do not know whether our example with $K^2 = 1$ is deformation equivalent to one of these examples. As for examples with $p_g = 0$, $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $K^2 \geq 2$, the existence is known only for $K^2 = 3$. In fact, Cartwright and Steger [4] recently found examples with $p_g = 0$, $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $K^2 = 3$ by taking the minimal resolution of the quotient of a fake projective plane by an order 3 automorphism. By [11], the quotient of a fake projective plane by an order 3 automorphism has $p_g = 0$, $K^2 = 3$, and 3 singular points of type $\frac{1}{3}(1, 2)$. They computed the fundamental groups of all possible quotients to find that some quotients have $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Our example with $K^2 = 3$ is different from any of their examples, but we do not know whether ours is deformation equivalent to one of theirs. A minimal surface of general type with $p_g = 0$, $K^2 = 2$ and $H_1 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ was constructed in [17], but it is not known whether it actually has $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Table 1 of [2] gives a list of minimal surfaces of general type with $p_g = 0$ and $K^2 \leq 7$ available in the literature.

We remark that our method cannot produce minimal surfaces of general type with $p_g = 0$ and $K^2 \geq 5$. The reason is that singular surfaces $X$ appearing in our construction satisfy the vanishing $H^2(T_X^0) = 0$, which we need to ensure the existence of a global $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing. By upper semi-continuity, the condition $H^2(T_X^0) = 0$ implies $H^2(X_t, T_{X_t}) = 0$ for a general member $X_t$ of a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing. Since

$$h^1(X_t, T_{X_t}) - h^2(X_t, T_{X_t}) = 10\chi(O_{X_t}) - 2K^2_{X_t},$$

the dimension of the deformation space of $X_t$ is equal to $h^1(X_t, T_{X_t}) = 10 - 2K^2_{X_t}$, and hence there is no nontrivial deformation of $X_t$ if $K^2_{X_t} \geq 5$.

The case of $E(n)$ with $n \geq 4$ were worked out in [18] and [15]. The case of $E(3)$ will be treated in the last section. A key ingredient in the case of $E(n)$ is to show that there is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing of singular points simultaneously even if there is an obstruction to $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing for each singular point.

Throughout this paper, we follow Kodaira’s notation for singular fibers of an elliptic fibration [12], and we work over the field of complex numbers.
2 The case of elliptic K3 surfaces with a section

In this section, we give a sufficient condition for the existence of a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Gorenstein smoothing of a singular surface obtained from a K3 surface with a section. This will be used in our main construction in Sect. 3.

Let \( Y \) be a K3 surface admitting an elliptic fibration with a section whose singular fibers are either reducible or of type \( I_1 \) (nodal). Assume that it has a fibre of type \( I_1 \), and let \( F_Y \) be such a fibre. Let \( \pi : Z \to Y \) be the blow-up at the node of \( F_Y \). Let \( F \) be the proper transform of \( F_Y \) and \( E \) the exceptional curve, i.e. the total transform of \( F_Y \) is \( F + 2E \). Let \( S_1, \ldots, S_\ell \subset Z \) be the proper transform of sections in \( Y \). They are \((-2)\)-curves, not meeting \( E \). Let \( G_1, \ldots, G_k \) be \((-2)\)-curves in the union of singular fibers. Assume that the support of \( \bigcup_{i=1}^k G_i \) does not contain the support of a whole fiber, and that the sum \( S_1 + \cdots + S_\ell + G_1 + \cdots + G_k + F + E \) is a simple normal crossing divisor.

**Proposition 1** With the assumptions and the notations as above, assume further that \( S_1, \ldots, S_\ell, G_1, \ldots, G_k, F, E \) are numerically independent in the Picard group of \( Z \). Then \( H^2(Z, T_Z(-\log(S_1 + \cdots + S_\ell + G_1 + \cdots + G_k + F))) = 0. \)

**Proof** We denote by \( C \) the sum \( S_1 + \cdots + S_\ell + G_1 + \cdots + G_k \), i.e., \( C := S_1 + \cdots + S_\ell + G_1 + \cdots + G_k \). By Serre duality, it is enough to show \( H^0(Z, \Omega^1_Z(\log(C + F))(K_Z)) = 0 \). Note that the canonical divisor \( K_Z = E \), since \( K_Y = O_Y \). By an abuse of notation, we abbreviate \( O_{S_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus O_{S_\ell} \oplus O_{G_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus O_{G_k} \) to \( O_C \).

The proof uses the snake lemma applied to the following commutative diagram of exact sequences:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & \Omega^1_Z & \rightarrow & \Omega^1_Z(\log(C + F + E)) & \rightarrow & \Omega^1_Z(\log(C + F)) \otimes O_E & \rightarrow 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & \Omega^1_Z & \rightarrow & \Omega^1_Z(\log(C + F)) \otimes O_E & \rightarrow & K & \rightarrow 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & O_E & \rightarrow & O_C \oplus O_F \oplus O_E & \rightarrow & O_C \oplus O_F(E) & \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p \oplus \mathbb{C}_q & \rightarrow 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
\end{array}
\]

where \( K \) is the cokernel of the map from \( \Omega^1_Z(\log(C + F + E)) \) to \( \Omega^1_Z(\log(C + F)) \otimes O_E \) and \( p, q \) are the intersection points of \( F \) and \( E \). We have the short exact sequence

\[
0 \rightarrow O_E \rightarrow \Omega^1_Z(E) \otimes O_E \rightarrow \Omega^1_E(E) \rightarrow 0.
\]

Then by the snake lemma, we have the short exact sequence

\[
0 \rightarrow \Omega^1_E(E) \rightarrow K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p \oplus \mathbb{C}_q \rightarrow 0,
\]

and we get \( K = O_E(-1) \). Since \( H^0(Z, \Omega^1_Z) = 0 \) and the first Chern class map from \( H^0(O_C \oplus O_F \oplus O_E) \) to \( H^1(Z, \Omega^1_Z) \) is injective by the assumption of \( C \), we get the vanishing \( H^0(Z, \Omega^1_Z(\log(C + F + E))) = 0 \). And then we have the vanishing \( H^0(Z, \Omega^1_Z(\log(C + F)) \otimes O_E) = 0 \).

We can keep the vanishing of the cohomology under the process of blowing up at a point by the following standard fact: Let \( V \) be a nonsingular surface and let \( D \) be a simple normal crossing divisor in \( V \). Let \( f : V' \to V \) be the blow-up of \( V \) at a point \( p \) on \( D \). Let \( D' \) be the reduced
divisor of the total transform of $D$. Then $h^2(V', T_{V'}(-\log D')) = h^2(V, T_V(-\log D))$. Therefore, we get

**Proposition 2** With the same assumptions and notations as in Proposition 1, we denote $D_Z := \sum_{i=1}^e S_i + \sum_{j=1}^k G_j + F$. Let $t' : Z' \to Z$ be a successive blowing-up of points on $D_Z$. Let $D_{Z'}$ be the reduced divisor of the total transform of $D_Z$ or the reduced divisor of the total transform of $D_Z$ minus some $(-1)$-curves. Then $H^2(Z', T_{Z'}(-\log D_{Z'})) = 0$.

Note that an $E(2)$ surface can be constructed as a double cover of an $E(1)$ surface. By using the double covering $E(2) \to E(1)$, together with the methods developed in [16], one can produce simply connected minimal surfaces of general type with $p_g = 1$ and $q = 0$. For example, such surfaces with $1 \leq K^2 \leq 6$ are constructed in [23].

### 3 Construction of surfaces of general type with $p_g = q = 0$ and $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ from Enriques surfaces

Recall that every Enriques surface admits an elliptic fibration, and every elliptic fibration on an Enriques surface has exactly two multiple fibers, both of which have multiplicity 2. Every smooth rational curve on an Enriques surface is a $(-2)$-curve.

A singular fibre $F$ of an elliptic fibration is said to be of additive type, if the group consisting of simple points of $F$ contains the additive group $\mathbb{C}$, and of multiplicative type, if the group consisting of simple points of $F$ contains the multiplicative group $\mathbb{C}^*$. In the Kodaira’s notation for singular fibers of elliptic fibrations, a fibre of type $II$, $III$, $IV$, $IV^*$, $III^*$, $II^*$, $I_n^*(n \geq 0)$ is of additive type, and a fibre of type $I_n(n \geq 1)$ is of multiplicative type. An additive type fibre is always a non-multiple fibre, and a fibre of multiplicity $m \geq 2$ must be of type $mI_n(n \geq 0)$, i.e., its reduced structure must be of type $I_n(n \geq 0)$.

Let $W$ be an Enriques surface and $f : W \to \mathbb{P}^1$ an elliptic fibration on it. A smooth rational curve on $W$ is called a 2-section, if it intersects a fibre of $f$ with multiplicity 2. Let $V$ be the K3 cover of $W$, and $g : V \to \mathbb{P}^1$ the elliptic fibration induced by the elliptic fibration $f : W \to \mathbb{P}^1$, i.e., the normalization of the fibre product of $f : W \to \mathbb{P}^1$ and the double cover $\mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ branched at the base points of the two multiple fibres of $f$. A fibre of $f$ is non-multiple iff it splits into two fibres of $g$ of the same type. A multiple fibre of $f$ of type $2I_n$ does not split and gives a fibre of $g$ of type $2I_n$.

**Lemma 1** If an elliptic fibration on an Enriques surface has a 2-section $S$, then for each fibre $F$, $S$ passes through $F$ in two distinct smooth points, if $F$ is not a multiple fibre; one smooth point of $F_{\text{red}}$, if $F = 2F_{\text{red}}$ is a multiple fibre.

**Proof** Let $W$ be an Enriques surface and $f : W \to \mathbb{P}^1$ an elliptic fibration on it. Let $V$ be the K3 cover of $W$. Since the double cover $V \to W$ is unramified, the 2-section $S$ splits into two sections $S_1$, $S_2$ of the elliptic fibration $g : V \to \mathbb{P}^1$ induced by the elliptic fibration $f : W \to \mathbb{P}^1$. Each $S_i$ passes through each fibre of $g$ in a smooth point. This implies the result.

**Lemma 2** If an elliptic fibration on an Enriques surface has a singular fiber of type $I_9$ or $2I_9$, then it has three singular fibers of type $I_1$ or $2I_1$. In particular, the fibration always has at least one singular fiber of type $I_1$.

**Proof** Let $W$ be an Enriques surface and $f : W \to \mathbb{P}^1$ an elliptic fibration on it. Let $J(f) : J(W) \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the Jacobian fibration of $f$. This is an elliptic fibration with a section.
having singular fibres of the same type, without multiplicity, as those of $f : W \to \mathbb{P}^1$ ([5], Theorem 5.3.1). In particular, the surface $J(W)$ is an $E(1)$ surface. Now assume that $f$ has a singular fiber of type $I_0$ or $2I_0$. Then, $J(f)$ has a singular fiber of type $I_0$. The result follows from the following lemma.

**Lemma 3** If an $E(1)$ surface has a singular fiber of type $I_0$, then it has three singular fibers of type $I_1$.

**Proof** Note that an $E(1)$ surface has Picard number 10 and topological Euler characteristic $c_2 = 12$. Since the elliptic fibration has a singular fiber of type $I_0$, all other singular fibres must be irreducible. On the other hand, in this case the Mordell-Weil group, i.e., the group of sections of the elliptic fibration has order 3. The homomorphism from the Mordell-Weil group to the group consisting of simple points of every singular fibre is injective. A singular fibre contains a 3-torsion point iff it is of type $IV^*$ or $IV$ or $I_{n}(n \geq 1)$. So an irreducible singular fibre containing a 3-torsion point must be of type $I_1$. Finally, a singular fiber of type $I_n$ has Euler number $n$.

The following will be used in proving the ampleness of $K_X$ of a singular surface $X$ obtained by contracting chains of smooth rational curves on a smooth surface $Z$.

**Lemma 4** Let $Y$ be a smooth surface and $Z$ a blow-up of $Y$ at points $p_1, \ldots, p_k$, possibly infinitely near. Let $C$ be an irreducible curve on $Z$. Assume that $C$ has 1-dimensional image $C'$ in $Y$. Then $K_Z.C = K_Y.C' + \sum m_i$, where $m_i$ is the multiplicity of $C'$ at the point $p_i$. If in addition $Y$ is an Enriques surface, then $K_Z.C = \sum m_i \geq 0$.

Let $\tilde{Y}$ be an Enriques surface admitting an elliptic fibration $f : \tilde{Y} \to \mathbb{P}^1$ with a 2-section whose singular fibers are either reducible or of type $mI_1$ ($m = 1$ or 2). Let $\tilde{S}_1, \ldots, \tilde{S}_\ell$ be 2-sections. These are $(-2)$-curves. Let

$$C_{\tilde{Y}} := \tilde{S}_1 + \cdots + \tilde{S}_\ell + \tilde{G}_1 + \cdots + \tilde{G}_k,$$

where $\tilde{G}_i$ is a $(-2)$-curve contained in a non-multiple singular fiber. We assume that the support of $\bigcup_{i=1}^k \tilde{G}_i$ does not contain the support of a whole singular fiber. We also assume that the elliptic fibration $f : \tilde{Y} \to \mathbb{P}^1$ has a singular fiber $F_{\tilde{Y}}$ of type $I_1$. By Lemma 1, no component of $C_{\tilde{Y}}$ passes through the node of $F_{\tilde{Y}}$. Let

$$\pi : \tilde{Z} \to \tilde{Y}$$

be the blow-up of $\tilde{Y}$ at the node of $F_{\tilde{Y}}$. Let $\tilde{F}$ be the proper transform of $F_{\tilde{Y}}$ and $\tilde{E}$ the $(-1)$-curve. Let $C_{\tilde{Z}}$ be the proper transform of $C_{\tilde{Y}}$. Since the exceptional curve $\tilde{E}$ does not meet the locus of $C_{\tilde{Z}}$, we use the same notation $\tilde{S}_1, \ldots, \tilde{S}_\ell, \tilde{G}_1, \ldots, \tilde{G}_k$ for their proper transforms. That is,

$$C_{\tilde{Z}} = \tilde{S}_1 + \cdots + \tilde{S}_\ell + \tilde{G}_1 + \cdots + \tilde{G}_k.$$

We consider the unramified double cover

$$p : Z \to \tilde{Z}$$

induced by the line bundle $L$ of $\tilde{Z}$,

$$L = (\text{one multiple fiber})_{\text{red}} - (\text{the other multiple fiber})_{\text{red}}.$$ 

Note that $L^2 = \mathcal{O}_Z$ and $K_{\tilde{Z}} = \tilde{E} + L$. Let $Y \to \tilde{Y}$ be the K3 cover, and let $g : Y \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the elliptic fibration induced by $f$. The surface $Z$ is also obtained by blowing up $Y$ at the
nodes of the two singular fibers of type I₁ lying over $F_\tilde{\ell}$. Let $E_1, E_2$ be the two $(-1)$-curves on Z. Then

$$K_Z = p^*(K_\tilde{Z} + L) = p^*\tilde{E} = E_1 + E_2.$$ 

Let $C^1_Z, C^2_Z$ be the inverse image of $C_Z$ in Z, $F_1, F_2$ the inverse image of $\tilde{F}$, $S^1_i, S^2_i$ the inverse image of $\tilde{S}_i$, and $G^1_i, G^2_i$ the inverse image of $\tilde{G}_i$. We note that $S^1_i, S^2_i$ are sections, and for $j = 1, 2$

$$C^j = S^j_i + \cdots + S^j_\ell + G^1_j + \cdots + G^2_k.$$ 

Here we also use abuse of notation,

$$\mathcal{O}_{C_Z} := \mathcal{O}_{S^1_i} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{S^\ell_i} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{G^1_i} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{G^k_i},$$

and for $j = 1, 2$

$$\mathcal{O}_{C^j} := \mathcal{O}_{S^j_i} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{S^\ell_i} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{G^j_i} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{G^k_i}.$$ 

Assume that the divisor $C_Z + \tilde{F} + \tilde{E}$ is a simple normal crossing divisor. Then so is the divisor $C^j + F_j + E_j$ for $j = 1, 2$. We have

$$p^*(\Omega^1_Z(K_Z)) = p^*(p^*\Omega^1_Z(K_Z)) = p^*p^*(\Omega^1_Z(K_Z + L)) = \Omega^1_Z(K_Z) \oplus \Omega^1_Z(K_Z + L).$$ 

Tensoring the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \Omega^1_Z \to \Omega^1_Z(\log(C^1_Z + C^2_Z + F_1 + F_2)) \to \mathcal{O}_{C^1_Z} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{C^2_Z} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{F_1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{F_2} \to 0$$

with $K_Z$, we get the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \Omega^1_Z(K_Z) \to \Omega^1_Z(\log(C^1_Z + C^2_Z + F_1 + F_2))(K_Z) \to \mathcal{O}_{C^1_Z} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{C^2_Z} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{F_1}(E_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{F_2}(E_2) \to 0$$

because all curves in the support of $C^1_Z \cup C^2_Z$ are smooth rational curves that do not meet $E_1$ and $E_2$. Similarly, we have two exact sequences of sheaves in $\tilde{Z}$,

$$0 \to \Omega^1_{\tilde{Z}}(K_{\tilde{Z}}) \to \Omega^1_{\tilde{Z}}(\log(C_{\tilde{Z}} + \tilde{F}))(K_{\tilde{Z}}) \to \mathcal{O}_{C_{\tilde{Z}}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{F}(\tilde{E}) \to 0,$$

$$0 \to \Omega^1_{\tilde{Z}}(K_{\tilde{Z}} + L) \to \Omega^1_{\tilde{Z}}(\log(C_{\tilde{Z}} + \tilde{F}))(K_{\tilde{Z}} + L) \to \mathcal{O}_{C_{\tilde{Z}}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{F}(\tilde{E}) \to 0.$$ 

Since $p : Z \to \tilde{Z}$ is an unramified double cover, $p^*$ is an exact functor. Therefore we have

$$p^*(\Omega^1_Z(\log(C^1_Z + C^2_Z + F_1 + F_2))(K_Z)),$$

$$= \Omega^1_{\tilde{Z}}(\log(C_{\tilde{Z}} + \tilde{F}))(K_{\tilde{Z}}) \oplus \Omega^1_{\tilde{Z}}(\log(C_{\tilde{Z}} + \tilde{F}))(K_{\tilde{Z}} + L).$$

By a similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 1, we have

$$H^0(Z, \Omega^1_Z(\log(C^1_Z + C^2_Z + F_1 + F_2))(E_1 + E_2)),$$

$$= H^0(Z, \Omega^1_Z(\log(C^1_Z + C^2_Z + F_1 + F_2 + E_1 + E_2))).$$ 

The involution $\iota$ induced from the double cover $p : Z \to \tilde{Z}$ acts on

$$H^0(Z, \Omega^1_Z(\log(C^1_Z + C^2_Z + F_1 + F_2 + E_1 + E_2)))$$

and the $\iota$-invariant subspace is isomorphic to $H^0(\tilde{Z}, \Omega^1_{\tilde{Z}}(\log(C_{\tilde{Z}} + \tilde{F} + \tilde{E})))$. And the $\iota$-invariant subspace is isomorphic to $H^0(\tilde{Z}, \Omega^1_{\tilde{Z}}(\log(C_{\tilde{Z}} + \tilde{F})))(K_{\tilde{Z}}))$, because $\iota$-invariant part
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Fig. 1 Enriques surface

of the decomposition of $p_*(\Omega^1_\mathcal{Z}(\log(C_1^\mathcal{Z} + C_2^\mathcal{Z} + F_1 + F_2))(K_\mathcal{Z}))$ is $\Omega^1_\overline{\mathcal{Z}}(\log(C_\overline{\mathcal{Z}} + \overline{F}))$. Therefore, by Serre duality we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 3** We assume that
1. $\overline{S}_1, \ldots, \overline{S}_\ell, \overline{G}_1, \ldots, \overline{G}_k, \overline{F}, \overline{E}$ are numerically independent in the Picard group of $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}$.
2. The divisor $\overline{S}_1 + \cdots + \overline{S}_\ell + \overline{G}_1 + \cdots + \overline{G}_k + \overline{F} + \overline{E}$ is a simple normal crossing divisor on $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}$.
3. $\overline{G}_1, \ldots, \overline{G}_k, \overline{F}$ are disjoint from two multiple fibers of the elliptic fibration on $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}$.
4. 2-sections $\overline{S}_1, \ldots, \overline{S}_\ell$ do not meet the exceptional curve $\overline{E}$.

Then $H^2(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}, T_{\overline{\mathcal{Z}}}(-\log(\overline{S}_1 + \cdots + \overline{S}_\ell + \overline{G}_1 + \cdots + \overline{G}_k + \overline{F}))) = 0$.

By the same argument as in Sect. 2, we also get the following proposition.

**Proposition 4** With the same assumptions as in Proposition 3, we denote $D_{\overline{\mathcal{Z}}} := \sum_{i=1}^\ell \overline{S}_i + \sum_{i=1}^k \overline{G}_i + \overline{F}$. Let $\tau' : \overline{\mathcal{Z}}' \to \overline{\mathcal{Z}}$ be a successive blowing-up of points on $D_{\overline{\mathcal{Z}}}$. Let $D_{\overline{\mathcal{Z}}'}$ be the reduced divisor of the total transform of $D_{\overline{\mathcal{Z}}}$ or the reduced divisor of the total transform of $D_{\overline{\mathcal{Z}}}$ minus some $(-1)$-curves. Then $H^2(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}', T_{\overline{\mathcal{Z}}'}(-\log D_{\overline{\mathcal{Z}}'})) = 0$.

By Proposition 4, one can construct surfaces of general type with $p_g = q = 0$ and $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by using an Enriques surface admitting a special elliptic fibration and the methods developed in [16].

According to Kondo (Example II in [14]), there is an Enriques surface $\overline{Y}$ admitting an elliptic fibration with a singular fiber of type $I_0$, a singular fiber of type $I_1$, and two 2-sections $\overline{S}_1$ and $\overline{S}_2$. Indeed, we take the nine curves $F_1, F_2, F_3, F_5, F_6, F_7, F_9, F_{10}, F_{11}$ in Fig. 2.4, p. 207 in [14], which form a singular fiber of type $I_0$ (on the other hand, the curves $F_1, F_2, F_3, F_5, F_8, F_7, F_9, F_{10}, F_{11}$ form a singular fiber of type $2I_0$). Then by Lemma 2, the elliptic fibration has a singular fiber of type $I_1$. Let $F_{\overline{Y}}$ be a singular fiber of type $I_1$. By Lemma 1, every 2-section does not pass through the node of $F_{\overline{Y}}$. Finally we take the two curves $F_4$ and $F_8$ as 2-sections $\overline{S}_1$ and $\overline{S}_2$. The configuration of singular fibers and 2-sections on $\overline{Y}$ is given in Fig. 1. Here we rename the components of the $I_0$ fibre as $\overline{G}_1, \overline{G}_2, \ldots, \overline{G}_9$.

**Example 1** Construction of surfaces of general type with $p_g = 0$, $K^2 = 1$, and $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Consider the Enriques surface $\overline{Y}$ in Fig. 1. We blow up at $\overline{G}_6 \cap \overline{S}_1, \overline{G}_8 \cap \overline{S}_1, \overline{G}_2 \cap \overline{S}_2, \overline{G}_9 \cap \overline{S}_2$, and $\overline{G}_8 \cap \overline{G}_9$, to obtain a surface $\overline{Z} = \overline{Y} \# 5 \mathbb{CP}^2$ with four disjoint linear chains of

Springer
\[ \mathbb{P}^1 \text{'s as shown in Fig. 2.} \]
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It is not hard to see \( \tilde{S}_1, \tilde{S}_2, \tilde{G}_1, \tilde{G}_2, \tilde{G}_3, \tilde{G}_5, \tilde{G}_6, \tilde{G}_7, \tilde{G}_8, \tilde{G}_9 \) are numerically independent in the Picard group of \( \tilde{Z} \), the blow-up of \( \tilde{Y} \) at the node \( P_1 \) of the nodal fibre: Set \( a_1 \tilde{G}_1 + a_2 \tilde{G}_2 + a_3 \tilde{G}_3 + a_4 \tilde{G}_5 + a_5 \tilde{G}_6 + a_7 \tilde{G}_7 + a_8 \tilde{G}_9 + a_9 \tilde{S}_1 + a_{10} \tilde{S}_2 \). Intersecting with \( \tilde{G}_i, \tilde{S}_j, \tilde{F} \) gives \( a_i = 0 \).

Let \( f : \tilde{Z} \to X \) be the contraction of the four linear chains of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)’s in \( \tilde{Z} \). By applying Proposition 3, Proposition 4, and \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Gorenstein smoothing theory from [16] to the singular surface \( X \), we construct a smooth complex surface \( X_t \) of general type with \( p_g = 0 \) and \( K^2 = 1 \). It is easy to check that the fundamental group of \( X_t \) is \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) by the calculation based on Van Kampen’s theorem (see [16]): note that the index of the singular point obtained by contracting \( -\mathfrak{o}^4 \) is 2, and the index of the singular point obtained by contracting \( -\mathfrak{o}^4 - \mathfrak{o}^2 - \mathfrak{o}^3 - \mathfrak{o}^2 \) is 3. The two indices are relatively prime.

We claim that the canonical divisor \( K_X \), which is \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Cartier, is ample. To see this, we need to check \( (f^* K_X)_C > 0 \) for every irreducible curve \( C \subset \tilde{Z} \), not contracted by \( f \). The adjunction formula gives

\[
(f^* K_X)_C = K_{\tilde{Z}} C + \left( \sum D_p \right)_C,
\]

where \( D_p \) is an effective \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor supported on \( f^{-1}(p) \) for each singular point \( p \). Since \( C \) is not contracted by \( f \), \( (\sum D_p)_C \geq 0 \). If \( K_{\tilde{Z}} C > 0 \), then by the adjunction formula, \( (f^* K_X)_C > 0 \). If \( K_{\tilde{Z}} C < 0 \), then by Lemma 4, \( C \) is an exceptional curve for the blowing-up \( \tilde{Z} \to \tilde{Y} \), hence a \((-1)\)-curve. If \( K_{\tilde{Z}} C = 0 \) and \( p_a(C) \geq 1 \), then the image \( C' \) of \( C \) in the Enriques surface \( \tilde{Y} \) is 1-dimensional and irreducible. By Lemma 4, \( C' \) passes through none of \( p_i \)'s and \( p_a(C') = p_a(C) \). If \( p_a(C') \geq 2 \), then by the Hodge index theorem, \( C' \) intersects the elliptic configuration \( \tilde{S}_1 + \tilde{G}_6 + \tilde{G}_7 + \tilde{G}_8 \). If \( p_a(C') = 1 \), then \( C' \) is a fibre or a half fibre of a pencil of elliptic curves. If \( C' \) is linearly equivalent to \( \tilde{S}_1 + \tilde{G}_6 + \tilde{G}_7 + \tilde{G}_8 \) or to \( 2(\tilde{S}_1 + \tilde{G}_6 + \tilde{G}_7 + \tilde{G}_8) \), then \( C'.\tilde{G}_5 > 0 \). If not, \( C'.(\tilde{S}_1 + \tilde{G}_6 + \tilde{G}_7 + \tilde{G}_8) > 0 \). In any case, \( C \) meets at least one of the 4 chains, so \( (\sum D_p)_C > 0 \), and hence by the adjunction formula, \( (f^* K_X)_C > 0 \). It remains to check \( (f^* K_X)_C > 0 \) for every \((-1)\)-curve \( C \) and \((-2)\)-curve \( C \) not contracted by \( f \). Let \( C \) be a \((-2)\)-curve not contracted by \( f \). By Lemma 4, every \((-2)\)-curve \( C \) on \( \tilde{Z} \) comes from a \((-2)\)-curve \( C' \) on \( \tilde{Y} \), and if \( C' \) does not intersect the 10 curves \( \tilde{S}_1, \tilde{S}_2, \tilde{G}_1, \tilde{G}_2, \tilde{G}_3, \tilde{G}_5, \tilde{G}_6, \tilde{G}_7, \tilde{G}_8, \tilde{G}_9 \), then the 11 curves will be numerically
Example 2 Construction of surfaces of general type with \( p_g = 0, K^2 = 2 \), and \( \pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \).

Consider the Enriques surface \( \tilde{Y} \) again in Fig. 1. We blow up at \( P_1, P_4 \), and three times at \( P_5 \), and \( \tilde{G}_6 \cap \tilde{G}_7 \), and \( \tilde{G}_8 \cap \tilde{S}_1 \). Then we get a surface \( \tilde{Z} = \tilde{Y}#7 \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \) with three disjoint linear chains of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)'s as shown in Fig. 3.

It is not hard to see \( \tilde{S}_1, \tilde{G}_2, \tilde{G}_3, \tilde{G}_4, \tilde{G}_5, \tilde{G}_6, \tilde{G}_7, \tilde{G}_8, \tilde{F}, \tilde{E} \) are numerically independent in the Picard group of \( \tilde{Z} \), the blow-up of \( \tilde{Y} \) at the node \( P_1 \) of the nodal fibre: Set \( a_1 \tilde{S}_1 + a_2 \tilde{G}_2 + a_3 \tilde{G}_3 + a_4 \tilde{G}_4 + a_5 \tilde{G}_5 + a_6 \tilde{G}_6 + a_7 \tilde{G}_7 + a_8 \tilde{G}_8 + a_9 \tilde{F} + a_{10} \tilde{E} = 0 \). Intersecting with \( \tilde{G}_i, \tilde{S}_j, \tilde{F} \) gives \( a_i = 0 \). By applying \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Gorenstein smoothing theory to the singular surface \( X \) obtained by contracting three linear chains of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)'s in \( \tilde{Z} \), we construct a complex surface of general type with \( p_g = 0 \) and \( K^2 = 2 \). Similarly, one can check that the fundamental group of this surface is \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \): note that the curve \( \tilde{G}_9 \) in Fig. 3 meets only one end curve in a linear chain of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) which is contracted.

By the same argument as in the case of \( K^2 = 1 \), a general fiber of a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Gorenstein smoothing of \( X \) has ample canonical class. In this case, it is simpler to check the ampleness of \( K_X \), as we construct the proper transform of a fibre.

Example 3 Construction of surfaces of general type with \( p_g = 0, K^2 = 3 \), and \( \pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \).

Again consider the Enriques surface \( \tilde{Y} \) in Fig. 1. We blow up at \( P_1, P_2, P_3 \), six times at \( P_5 \), twice at \( P_4 \), and at one of the two intersection points between \( S_2 \) and the singular fiber of type \( I_9 \), to get a surface \( \tilde{Z} = \tilde{Y}#12 \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \) with three disjoint linear chains of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)'s as shown in Fig. 4.

Similarly, we see that \( \tilde{S}_1, \tilde{S}_2, \tilde{G}_2, \tilde{G}_3, \tilde{G}_4, \tilde{G}_5, \tilde{G}_6, \tilde{G}_9, \tilde{F}, \tilde{E} \) are numerically independent in the Picard group of \( \tilde{Z} \), the blow-up of \( \tilde{Y} \) at the node \( P_1 \) of the nodal fibre:
Set \(a_1\delta_1 + a_2\delta_2 + a_3\delta_2 + a_4\delta_3 + a_5\delta_4 + a_6\delta_5 + a_7\delta_6 + a_8\delta_9 + a_9\delta + a_{10}\delta = 0\).
Intersecting with \(G_7\) gives \(a_7 = 0\), then intersecting with \(G_8\) gives \(a_1 + a_8 = 0\). Intersecting with \(\tilde{G}_1\) gives \(a_3 + a_8 = 0\), and intersecting with \(\tilde{G}_6\) gives \(a_1 + a_6 = 0\) by using \(a_7 = 0\).
We have \(-2a_8 + a_2 = 0\) by intersecting with \(\tilde{G}_9\). Then intersecting with \(\tilde{G}_2\) produces \(a_2 - 2a_3 + a_4 = 0\), and intersecting with \(G_3\) gives \(a_3 - 2a_4 + a_5 = 0\). Intersecting with \(\tilde{G}_4\) gives \(a_4 - 2a_5 + a_6 = 0\). These relations give \(a_8 = a_6 = -a_1, a_3 = a_1, a_2 = -2a_1, a_4 = 4a_1, a_5 = 7a_1,\) and \(a_6 = 10a_1\). But intersecting with \(G_5\) gives \(-2a_6 + a_5 = 0\). So \(a_1 = 0\), and \(a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = a_5 = a_6 = a_8 = 0\). Finally intersecting with \(\tilde{S}_1\) gives \(a_9 = 0\), and intersecting with \(\tilde{F}\) produces \(a_{10} = 0\).

By applying \(\mathbb{Q}\)-Gorenstein smoothing theory to the singular surface \(X\) obtained by contracting three linear chains of \(\mathbb{P}^1\)'s in \(\tilde{Z}\), we construct a complex surface of general type with \(p_g = 0\) and \(K^2 = 3\). It is easy to check that the fundamental group of this surface is \(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\): note that the index of the singular point obtained by contracting \(-5,-2\) is 3, and the index of the singular point obtained by contracting \(-9,-2,-2,-2,-2\) is 7. The two indices are relatively prime.

As in the previous cases, one can show that a general fiber of a \(\mathbb{Q}\)-Gorenstein smoothing of \(X\) has ample canonical class.

**Remark 1** One can use other Enriques surfaces. For example, take the Enriques surface, Example VII in [14]. On this Enriques surface \(\tilde{Y}\), there is an elliptic fibration with a singular fiber of type \(I_0\), a singular fiber of type \(I_1\), and two 2-sections. Indeed, we take the 9 curves \(E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4, E_5, E_6, E_7, E_8, E_9\) in Fig. 7.7, p. 233 in [14]. These form a singular fiber of type \(I_0\) as it splits in the K3 cover of \(\tilde{Y}\) as we see in Fig. 7.3, p. 230. Then by Lemma 2, the elliptic fibration has a singular fiber of type \(I_1\). Let \(F_{\tilde{Y}}\) be a singular fiber of type \(I_1\). By Lemma 1, every 2-section passes through two smooth points of \(F_{\tilde{Y}}\). Finally we take the two curves \(E_{10}\) and \(E_{11}\) as 2-sections. Let \(E_{10} \cap E_{11} = \{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_5\}\), \(E_{11} \cap F_{\tilde{Y}} = \{P_1, P_2, P_3\}\). Blowing up once at \(P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_5\), the node of \(F_{\tilde{Y}}\), and 5 times at \(P_5\), we get a surface \(\tilde{Z} = \tilde{Y} \# 10 \mathbb{CP}^2\) with three disjoint linear chains of \(\mathbb{P}^1\)'s

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta &-\delta, & -9 &-\delta &-\delta &-\delta &-\delta &-\delta &-\delta &-\delta &-\delta &-\delta &-\delta &-\delta \\
\end{align*}
\]

which leads to a construction of surfaces of general type with \(p_g = 0, K^2 = 3,\) and \(\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\).
Example 4 Construction of surfaces of general type with $p_g = 0$, $K^2 = 4$, and $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

We blow up at $P_1$, $P_2$, $P_3$, $S_1 \cap \tilde{G}_6$, and three times at $P_5$, and eight times at $\tilde{G}_6 \cap \tilde{G}_7$ on the Enriques surface $\tilde{Y}$ in Fig. 1. We then get a surface $\tilde{Z} = \tilde{Y} \# 15 \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ with two disjoint linear chains of $\mathbb{P}^1$'s as shown in Fig. 5.

$$
\begin{align*}
-2 & -2 -9 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -4, \\
-2 & -2 -7 -6 -3 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -4
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we see that $\tilde{S}_1$, $\tilde{S}_2$, $\tilde{G}_2$, $\tilde{G}_3$, $\tilde{G}_4$, $\tilde{G}_5$, $\tilde{G}_6$, $\tilde{E}_7$, $\tilde{G}_8$, $\tilde{F}$, $\tilde{E}$ are numerically independent in the Picard group of $\tilde{Z}$, the blow-up of $\tilde{Y}$ at the node $P_1$ of the nodal fibre.

By applying $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing theory as in [16] to the singular surface $X$ obtained by contracting two linear chains of $\mathbb{P}^1$'s in $\tilde{Z}$, we construct a complex surface of general type with $p_g = 0$ and $K^2 = 4$. It is easy to check that the fundamental group of this surface is $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by the same method. And as in the previous cases, a general fiber of a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing of $X$ has ample canonical class.

Example 5 Construction of a symplectic 4-manifold with $b_2^+ = 1$, $K^2 = 5$, and $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

We consider the Enriques surface in Fig. 1. According to Kondō [14] the Enriques surface has two $I_1$-singular fibers as in Fig. 6. We blow up five times at the five marked points ⋄ as in Fig. 6, and three times and four times at the two marked points ⨁, respectively. We then get a surface $\tilde{Z} = \tilde{Y} \# 12 \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$; Fig. 7. It contains two disjoint linear chains of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$'s:

$$
\begin{align*}
-6 & -2 -2 \\
-5 & -8 -6 -2 -3 -2 -2 -2 -2 -3 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2
\end{align*}
$$

We now perform a rational blow-down surgery on the surface $\tilde{Z} = \tilde{Y} \# 12 \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$. The rational blow-down $Z_{151,4}$ is a symplectic 4-manifold with $b_2^+ = 1$ and $K^2 = 5$. It is easy to show that $\pi_1(Z_{151,4}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

1. One can prove that the symplectic 4-manifold $Z_{151,4}$ constructed above is minimal by using a technique in Ozsváth and Szabó [19].
2. It is an intriguing question whether the symplectic 4-manifold $Z_{151,4}$ admit a complex structure. Since the cohomology $H^2(T_X^0)$ is not zero in this case, it is hard to determine whether there exists a global $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing. We leave this question for future research.

Remark 2 A surface $X$ of general type with $p_g = 0$, $K^2 = k (1 \leq k \leq 7)$, and $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ provides an exotic structure on $3\mathbb{CP}^2\#(19 - 2k)\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$. The universal double cover $Y$ of $X$ is a simply connected surface of general type with $p_g = 1$, $c_2 = 24 - 2k$, $b_2^+ = 3$, $b_2^- = 19 - 2k$. Its index $\sigma = 16 - 2k$ is not divisible by 16, so by Rohlin’s Theorem [24] the intersection form on $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ is odd and then by Freedman’s Theorem [7] $Y$ is homeomorphic to $3\mathbb{CP}^2\#(19 - 2k)\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$. By a result of Donaldson [6] or by a result of Friedman and Qin [8], $Y$ is not diffeomorphic to $3\mathbb{CP}^2\#(19 - 2k)\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$.

4 The case of an $E(3)$ surface

In this section, we give a sufficient condition for the existence of a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothing of a singular surface obtained from an $E(3)$ surface (Proposition 5). We also show that if the singular surface is obtained by contracting two disjoint sections and other curves, then it always has non-trivial obstruction space (Proposition 6).
Let $Y$ be an $E(3)$ surface. Let $F$ be a general fiber of the elliptic fibration $f : Y \to \mathbb{P}^1$, which is a smooth elliptic curve. Let $C$ be a section (it is a $(−3)$-curve), and let $G_1, \ldots, G_k$ be $(−2)$-curves in the union of singular fibers. Assume that the support of $\cup_{i=1}^k G_i$ does not contain the support of a whole singular fiber. We note that $K_Y = F$. Set $G := G_1 + \cdots + G_k$, and $\mathcal{O}_G := \mathcal{O}_{G_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{G_k}$ for abbreviation.

**Proposition 5** With the assumptions and the notations as above, assume further that $G_1, \ldots, G_k, F, C$ are numerically independent in the Picard group of $Y$. Then $H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^1(\log(C + G))(F)) = 0$.

**Proof** The proof is also obtained by the snake lemma applied to the following commutative diagram of exact sequences:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \to & \Omega_Y^1 & \to & \Omega_Y^1(F) & \to & \Omega_Y^1(F) \otimes \mathcal{O}_F & \to & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \to & \Omega_Y^1(\log(C + G + F)) & \to & \Omega_Y^1(\log(C + G))(F) & \to & \mathcal{K} & \to & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \to & \mathcal{O}_F & \to & \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_G \oplus \mathcal{O}_F & \to & \mathcal{O}_C(F) \oplus \mathcal{O}_G(F) & \to & \mathbb{C}_p & \to & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
\end{array}
\]

where $\mathcal{K}$ is the cokernel of the map from $\Omega_Y^1(\log(C + G + F))$ to $\Omega_Y^1(\log(C + G))(F)$ and $p$ is the intersection point of $F$ and $C$.

We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_F \to \Omega_Y^1(F) \otimes \mathcal{O}_F \to \Omega_Y^1(F) \to 0,$$

and then by the snake lemma, another short exact sequence

$$0 \to \Omega_Y^1(F) \to \mathcal{K} \to \mathbb{C}_p \to 0.$$

We get $\mathcal{K} = \omega_F(p)$. So, $h^0(\mathcal{K}) = 1$. By the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 2 of [16], we get $H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^1(F)) = 0$. Therefore $H^0(F, \omega_F(F)) \cong H^0(F, \mathcal{K})$ maps injectively into $H^1(Y, \Omega_Y^1)$, and its image contains no non-zero vector of the image of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_G \oplus \mathcal{O}_F)$. It implies that $H^0(F, \mathcal{K})$ maps injectively into $H^1(Y, \Omega_Y^1(\log(C + G + F)))$.

Since $H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^1) = 0$ and the first Chern class map from $H^0(\mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_G \oplus \mathcal{O}_F)$ to $H^1(Y, \Omega_Y^1)$ is injective by the assumption, we get $H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^1(\log(C + G + F))) = 0$. And then we have the vanishing $H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^1(\log(C + G))(F)) = 0$.

By Serre duality, $H^2(Y, T_Y(−\log(C + G))) = 0$. But if we choose two disjoint sections $C_1$ and $C_2$, then $H^0(Y, T_Y(−\log(C_1 + C_2 + G))) \neq 0$. 

\[\text{Springer}\]
Construction of a surface of general type with space has trivial invariant part. And we get

$$K = \text{cokernel of the map from } \Omega^1_Y(\log(C_1 + C_2 + F)) \text{ to } \Omega^1_Y(\log(C_1 + C_2))(F)$$

and we impose the vanishing of the obstruction space of $p_g = 2$ and $q = 0$. Then by the snake lemma, we have another short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^1_F(F) \rightarrow K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p \oplus \mathbb{C}_q \rightarrow 0,$$

and we get $K = \omega_F(p + q)$. Then the 1-dimensional subspace of $H^0(F, K)$, induced by the kernel of the map from $H^0(\mathbb{C}_p, q)$ to $H^1(\mathbb{C}_p, q)(F)$, maps to 0 in $H^1(Y, \Omega^1_Y(\log(C_1 + C_2 + F)))$. Therefore, $H^0(\Omega^1_Y(\log(C_1 + C_2))(F))$ is a 1-dimensional space.

By Proposition 6, we cannot obtain from an $E(3)$ surface a singular surface $X$ with $K_X$ big, if we impose the vanishing of the obstruction space of $X$. Thus, to construct a surface of general type with $p_g = 2$ and $q = 0$, one cannot impose the vanishing of the obstruction space, and need to find a singular surface with an automorphism such that the obstruction space has trivial invariant part.

**Example 6** Construction of a surface of general type with $p_g = 2$ and $q = 0$.

Let $D_1, D_2$ be two smooth conics in $\mathbb{P}^2$ such that $D_1$ and $D_2$ meet transversally at four points $p_1, \ldots, p_4$. Let $T$ be a smooth plane curve of degree 4 meeting $D_1, D_2$ transversally at four points $p_1, \ldots, p_4$. Let $V$ be a $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$-cover of $\mathbb{P}^2$ branched over $D_1, D_2$, and $T$. Then $V$ has four $1_4(1, 1)$ singularities over $p_1, \ldots, p_4$, and $p_g(V) = 2, \chi(O_V) = 3, K_V = 4$. Its minimal resolution is an $E(3)$ surface with an elliptic fibration induced by the double cover of the pencil of conics $D_t$ generated by $D_1$ and $D_2$ branched over the four intersection points of $D_t$ and $T$ away from the four points $p_1, \ldots, p_4$. Then by the same argument as in [15] one can construct minimal surfaces of general type with $p_g = 2, q = 0$, and $1 \leq K^2 \leq 4$.
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