**11-Methoxytabersonine Induces Necroptosis with Autophagy through AMPK/mTOR and JNK Pathways in Human Lung Cancer Cells**
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Aspidosperma alkaloids, a subclass of monoterpenoid indole alkaloids rich in the Apocynaceae plants, possess remarkable antitumor activities, but the underlying mechanisms have rarely been reported. In the current project, 11-methoxytabersonine (11-MT), an aspidosperma-type alkaloid isolated from Tabernaemontana bovina, significantly inhibited the viability of two human lung cancer cell lines A549 and H157, and the molecular mechanisms were thus investigated. The results showed that 11-MT killed lung cancer cells via induction of necroptosis in an apoptosis-independent manner. In addition, 11-MT strongly induced autophagy in the two cell lines, which played a protective role against 11-MT-induced necroptosis. Finally, the autophagy caused by 11-MT was found to be via activation of the AMP activated protein kinase (AMPK)/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) and the c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) signaling pathways in both cells. Taken together, 11-MT exhibited an antitumor mechanism different from that of previously reported analogues and could have the potential to serve as a lead compound for the development of new chemotherapeutic agents for lung cancer.
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**Introduction**

Lung cancer is gaining more and more attention in recent years for the rapidly increasing incidence and mortality. Investigators have been searching for new chemotherapies including those of natural origin that could interfere with the development and progress of lung cancer.1) A considerable portion of clinical anticancer drugs in the market are natural products (NPs) or are developed from NP pharmacophores.2,3) Monoterpenoid indole alkaloids (MIAs) are a very important family of NPs that have been well known for their anticancer activities. For example, vincristine has long been used as a first-line antitumor drug.

MIAs are a major subclass of MIAs produced mainly by plants of the Apocynaceae family. Previous reports have indicated that these alkaloids possess significant cytotoxicity against different types of cancer cells, including human leukemia, lung, colon and breast cancer cells.4–6) In our recent phytochemical investigation on the roots of Tabernaemontana bovina (Supplementary Materials (SM)), 11 MIAs were isolated and identified. By screening the pharmacological inhibitor or genetic ablation of apoptosis related genes, necroptosis was activated as an alternative cell death for apoptotic pathway, making it promising target in apoptotic resistance cells.7,8) Although 11-MT differed from the former two alkaloids only in the absence of 10-OH group, a preliminary study by us on the potential mechanism of 11-MT toward A549 and H157 cells suggested a different mode of action.

Another type of regulated cell death is necroptosis, and in contrast to apoptosis, necroptosis is independent of caspase activity. Recent studies have suggested that necroptosis can be invoked in certain cancer cells by chemotherapeutics,9) such as obatoclax mesylate, quercetin and Smac mimetics. Furthermore, some studies demonstrated that under the condition of the pharmacological inhibitor or genetic ablation of apoptosis related genes, necroptosis was activated as an alternative cell death for apoptotic pathway, making it promising target in apoptotic resistance cells.10,11)

Macroautophagy (from hereafter referred to as autophagy) is a self-degradation process, in which unnecessary or damaged material are sequestered in double-membrane vesicles termed autophagosomes and delivered to lysosome. There, the sequestered cargoes in autophagosomes are degraded and recycled. Thus, autophagy is crucial for maintaining cellular homeostasis and plays a prosurvival role.12,13) In other cases, autophagy can induce a prodeath signal pathway. Therefore, autophagy can determine cell fate.

Our investigations showed that 11-MT induced necroptosis instead of apoptosis in the two cell lines, while it simultaneously caused autophagy which mediated a cytoprotective effect. Further work on the mechanism of autophagy induction revealed that 11-MT promoted both AMP activated protein kinase (AMPK) and c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) activity resulting in mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) inhibition and AMPK/mTOR and JNK signaling pathways in both cells. Details of these studies
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were described in this paper.

Results

Two human non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cell lines, A549 and H157, were used to examine the effects of the 11 natural MIAs on cell survival by the SRB (sulforhodamine B) method. The cancer cells were first incubated with the tested alkaloids (Fig. S1, SM) at 5 µM for 48 h. The assay results showed that 11-MT (4) significantly inhibited A549 and H157 cell growth while other compounds did not exhibit obvious inhibition against both cell lines (Fig. S1a, SM). 11-MT showed that 11-MT (4) significantly inhibited A549 and H157 cell growth while other compounds did not exhibit obvious inhibition against both cell lines (Fig. S1a, SM). 11-MT (%) significantly inhibited A549 and H157 cell growth while other compounds did not exhibit obvious inhibition against both cell lines (Fig. S1a, SM). 11-MT (%) significantly inhibited A549 and H157 cell growth while other compounds did not exhibit obvious inhibition against both cell lines (Fig. S1a, SM). 11-MT (%) significantly inhibited A549 and H157 cell growth while other compounds did not exhibit obvious inhibition against both cell lines (Fig. S1a, SM). 11-MT (%) significantly inhibited A549 and H157 cell growth while other compounds did not exhibit obvious inhibition against both cell lines (Fig. S1a, SM). 11-MT (%) significantly inhibited A549 and H157 cell growth while other compounds did not exhibit obvious inhibition against both cell lines (Fig. S1a, SM). 11-MT (%) significantly inhibited A549 and H157 cell growth while other compounds did not exhibit obvious inhibition against both cell lines (Fig. S1a, SM). 11-MT (%) significantly inhibited A549 and H157 cell growth while other compounds did not exhibit obvious inhibition against both cell lines (Fig. S1a, SM). 11-MT (%) significantly inhibited A549 and H157 cell growth while other compounds did not exhibit obvious inhibition against both cell lines (Fig. S1a, SM). 11-MT (%) significantly inhibited A549 and H157 cell growth while other compounds did not exhibit obvious inhibition against both cell lines (Fig. S1a, SM). 11-MT (%) significantly inhibited A549 and H157 cell growth while other compounds did not exhibit obvious inhibition against both cell lines (Fig. S1a, SM). 11-MT (%) significantly inhibited A549 and H157 cell growth while other compounds did not exhibit obvious inhibition against both cell lines (Fig. S1a, SM). 11-MT (%) significantly inhibited A549 and H157 cell growth while other compounds did not exhibit obvious inhibition against both cell lines (Fig. S1a, SM). 11-MT (%) significantly inhibited A549 and H157 cell growth while other compounds did not exhibit obvious inhibition against both cell lines (Fig. S1a, SM). 11-MT (%) significantly inhibited A549 and H157 cell growth while other compounds did not exhibit obvious inhibition against both cell lines (Fig. S1a, SM). 11-MT (%) significantly inhibited A549 and H157 cell growth while other compounds did not exhibit obvious inhibition against both cell lines (Fig. S1a, SM).

As apoptosis is one of the major mechanisms involved in chemotherapy-induced cytotoxicity, a series of experiments detecting apoptosis were subsequently conducted. Interestingly, we found that the cell death induced by 11-MT was not via apoptosis. The evidences included no detectable activation of caspase 3 (Fig. 1a), as well as no induction of both early and late apoptosis by Annexin V-PE/7-Aminoactinomycin D (7-AAD) staining and flow cytometry analysis (Fig. 1b). In addition, apoptosis blocking by the pan-caspase inhibitor z-VAD-FMK had no suppression on 11-MT-induced cell death, whereas suberylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA) induced cytotoxicity was significantly suppressed (Fig. 1c, SAHA as a positive control here). Since 11-MT-induced cell death was mainly non-apoptotic, we further examined the effect of 11-MT on lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) release. The results demonstrated that 11-MT promoted the release of cellular LDH in both A549 and H157 cells (Figs. 2a, b). Moreover, 11-MT-induced cytotoxicity was effectively prevented by the receptor-interacting serine/threonine kinase 1 (RIP1) inhibitor necrostatin-1 (Nec-1) (Figs. 2c, d). Thus, cell death resulting from 11-MT was RIP1-dependent. The formation of necrosome, which is controlled by phosphorylation and assembly of the RIP1–RIP3 complex, is an important hallmark of activation of necroptosis. We thus further investigated the effect of 11-MT on the interaction of RIP1 with RIP3 using immunoprecipitation method. As shown in Figs. 2e and f, 11-MT enhanced the interactions of RIP1 with RIP3 in both A549 and H157 cells. Our results indicated that the formation of necrosome was increased by treatment with 11-MT. Therefore, the cytotoxicity of 11-MT toward NSCLS cells was through necroptosis.

We next investigated the effect of 11-MT on autophagy in NSCLC cells. Acridine orange (AO) staining was first performed. In the control group, cells displayed green fluorescence in both cytoplasm and nucleus, while those treated with 11-MT displayed bright red fluorescent dots by AO staining (Figs. 3a, b), suggesting that 11-MT induced accumulation of acidic vesicular organelles (AVOs) in the cytoplasm. The combination of the soluble LC3-I with phosphatidyl ethanolamine to form a non-soluble LC3-II is a hallmark of autophagy. Thus, we examined the expression of LC3-II formation. After treatment with 11-MT at indicated concentrations for 24 h or at fixed concentration (2.5 µM) for indicated period of time,
LC3-II levels increased in both cell lines (Figs. 3c–f). We further investigated the effect of 11-MT on autophagy flux. As p62 was reported to be involved in the formation of autophagosomes through specific binding with LC3 and was degraded by the autophagy pathway, it was then examined in the current work. The results revealed that 11-MT promoted the degradation of p62 in a dose-dependent manner (Figs. 3c, d). Bafilomycin A1 could block the fusion of autophagosomes with lysosomes by inhibiting the lysosome H^+\text{-ATPase}, hence blocking the autophagy flux. We then co-treated the two cell lines with 11-MT and bafilomycin A1. The results of Western blot showed that there was a significantly aggravated accumulation of LC3-II (Figs. 3g, h). These observations suggested that 11-MT promoted an autophagy flux in A549 and H157 cells.

As autophagy is a mediator regulating cell fates and has dual effects in determining cell survival and cell death, we further studied the role of autophagy in 11-MT-induced cell death. To determine whether 11-MT-induced autophagy promotes or attenuates cytotoxicity, we used 3-methyladenine (3-MA, which block the upstream steps of autophagy) and chloroquine (CQ, which block the downstream steps of autophagy) to inhibit autophagy or rapamycin to promote autophagy in A549 cells, and then treated the cells with indicated concentrations of 11-MT for another 48 h. The combination of 3-MA or CQ with 11-MT further decreased the cell viability compared with mere 11-MT treatment while co-treatment of
rapamycin with 11-MT increased the cell viability (Fig. 4a). Subsequently, we examined the effect of autophagy on necroptosis. The results showed that the LDH release and the interaction of RIP1 with RIP3 were both promoted after co-treatment with 3-MA and 11-MT, compared with 11-MT treated group (Figs. 4b–d). Based on these observations, we concluded that autophagy played a protective role in 11-MT-treated NSCLC cells.

Since mTOR plays a central role in autophagy induction,22) we thus investigated whether 11-MT induced autophagy via mTOR inhibition in the two NSCLC cell lines. We detected the phosphorylation levels of mTOR (Ser 2448 site), eukaryote initiation factor 4E binding protein 1 (4EBP1) and 70-kDa ribosomal protein S6 kinase (p70S6K), the latter two being substrates of mTOR. The two cell lines were treated with 11-MT at the indicated time, and the levels of p-mTOR, p-4EBP1 and p-p70S6K were then measured by Western blot. It was observed that the levels of p-mTOR, p-4EBP1 and p-p70S6K proteins decreased significantly after 11-MT treatment (Figs. 5a–c), suggesting that the autophagy was induced by 11-MT via inhibition of mTOR.

It is widely known that Akt1 is one of the main regulators of mTOR.23) We then detected the effect of 11-MT on Akt1 in the two NSCLC cell lines. Unexpectedly, the upregulation of p-Akt1 occurred at all indicated time in both cells (Fig. 6a), with vinorelbine as a positive control. Thus, Akt1 was not involved in suppression of mTOR activity in 11-MT induced autophagy. Another major candidate in mTOR activity regulation is AMPK, a key energy-sensing protein kinase in cells. By phosphorylating the Thr172 site of its catalytic α subunit, AMPK could be activated, and it then phosphorylates tuberous sclerosis complex 2 (TSC2) and regulatory associated protein of mammalian target of rapamycin (RAPTOR), resulting in inhibition of mTORC1 activity.24) Thus, we measured the activity of AMPK. The results demonstrated that 11-MT could upregulate the phosphorylation of AMPK (Thr172) time-dependently (Fig. 6b). Therefore, our data suggested that the autophagy was initiated by 11-MT via AMPK-mTOR inhibition rather than Akt1-mTOR inhibition in both NSCLC cells.

JNK, known as a stress-activated protein kinase, could be activated by a number of environmental challenges including toxins, UV irradiation and cytokines.25) Therefore, we first investigated whether JNK was activated by 11-MT. As expected,

![Fig. 5. 11-MT Induces mTOR-Dependent Autophagy in NSCLC Cells](image)

A549 and H157 cells were treated with 2.5 µM 11-MT for indicated times. (a) Levels of protein expression were detected by Western blot using antibodies against p-mTOR, mTOR and β-actin. The bar graphs show the ratio of p-mTOR to mTOR, with data for the non-treated group set to 1. (b) Levels of protein expression were detected by Western blot using antibodies against p-4EBP1, 4EBP1, p-p70S6K, p70S6K and β-actin. (c) Densitometry results of ratio of p-4EBP1 to 4EBP1 and p-p70S6K to p70S6K in A549 cells. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, n = 3.

![Fig. 6. 11-MT Induces Akt1 and AMPK Activation in NSCLC Cells](image)

A549 and H157 cells were treated with 2.5 µM 11-MT for indicated times. (a) Levels of protein expression were detected by Western blot using antibodies against p-Akt1, Akt1 and β-actin. Vinorelbine was used as positive control in H157 cells. The bar graphs show the relative levels of p-Akt1 to Akt1 in A549 cells (11-MT treated) and H157 cells (Vinorelbine treated), respectively. (b) Levels of protein expression were detected by Western blot using antibodies against p-AMPK, AMPK and β-actin, with data for the non-treated group set to 1. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, n = 3.

![Fig. 7. Autophagy Induced by 11-MT Is JNK-Dependent](image)

(A) A549 and H157 cells were treated with 2.5 µM 11-MT for indicated times. Levels of protein expression were detected by Western blot using antibodies against p-JNK, JNK and β-actin. The bar graphs show the relative levels of p-JNK to JNK in A549 cells, with data for the non-treated group set to 1. (b) A549 and H157 cells were pretreated with 10 µM SP600125 for 1h, and then coincubation with 2.5 µM 11-MT for another 12h. Levels of protein expression were detected by Western blot using antibodies against LC3-II and β-actin. The bar graphs show the relative levels of LC3-II to β-actin in A549 cells. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, n = 3.
11-MT significantly upregulated phosphorylation of JNK at 3, 6 and 12 h (Fig. 7a), which indicated that JNK was involved in the signal transduction induced by 11-MT. We next used SP600125 to inhibit JNK activity, and examined the role of JNK activity in autophagy. There was a pronounced decrease of the LC3-II level in SP600125 and 11-MT co-treated group compared with sole 11-MT treated group (Fig. 7b). Hence, 11-MT induced autophagy via phosphorylation of JNK.

Discussion

The current work has provided evidences that necroptosis pathway can be activated by 11-MT in human lung cancer A549 and H157 cell lines. In summary, 11-MT induced non-apoptotic cell death that was suppressed by blockage of the key necroptosis pathway member RIP1; 11-MT strongly induced autophagy through AMPK–mTOR and JNK signaling pathways; and suppression of autophagy effectively promoted 11-MT-induced necrosis formation and necrotic cell death. Literature reports showed that two aspidosperma alkaloids caused significant apoptotic in several human cancer cells. Jerantine A induced pro-apoptotic effect in human colorectal, breast and lung carcinomas, while jerantine B induced dose-dependent activation of caspase-3 and -7 and also cleaved-poly(ADP-ribose)polymerase (PARP) accumulation in A549, MCF-7 and HCT-116 cells. Unexpectedly, our present work revealed that 11-MT did not promote any apoptotic cell death in NSCLC cells. Currently, induction of apoptosis is involved in the anticancer activity of many chemotherapeutics, which is the main mechanism to directly kill cancer cells. However, numerous cancer cells are defective in apoptosis induction or have acquired apoptosis resistance, leading to chemoresistance. Recently, some natural products have been found to induce an alternative non-apoptotic death in cancer cells. For example, shikonin is the first natural compound reported to induce necroptosis in osteosarcoma, glioma and multiple myeloma cells. Curcumin induces extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) or p38/JNK phosphorylation followed by production of abundant reactive oxygen species (ROS) that promote necroptosis in prostate cancer cells. In this study, 11-MT induced necrotic cell death in lung cancer cells. Such role of natural compounds in regulating necroptosis will hopefully provide prospective strategies to manipulate tumor cell death.

Autophagy is an essential process that degrades and recycles harmful and damaged cellular materials to mediate metabolic adaptation, as well as maintain energy homeostasis under the stress response. In this study, we demonstrated that 11-MT could induce autophagy in human lung cancer cells. The role of autophagy in regulating cell death is complex, making the caution in modulation of autophagy for cancer therapy. In some cases, autophagy-associated cell death is accompanied with necrosis or apoptosis. In other cases, autophagy is often induced to protect cancer cells against chemotherapy. Indeed, neoalbaconol-induced autophagy provides a survival role in nasopharyngeal carcinoma C666-1 cells, while apoptosis and necroptosis are responsible for neoalbaconol-induced cell death. In NSCLC cells, shikonin-induced necroptosis is promoted by the inhibition of autophagy. In this study, 11-MT independently induced necroptosis in lung cancer cells, and autophagy promoted by 11-MT mediated a protective effect against necroptosis. Therefore, combination therapy of autophagy inhibitors and these potential anticaner drugs could be an effective therapeutic strategy for killing cancer cells.

In response to a broad range of stresses, AMPK, a sensor of cellular energy status, is activated, and then it could induce multiple mechanisms including autophagy to mediate the metabolic flexibility to help cell survive. In addition, AMPK phosphorylates TSC2, which plays a key role in regulation of the mTOR pathway of autophagy; AMPK phosphorylates raptor that contributes to the inhibition of mTOR, suggesting that the mTOR activity is regulated by AMPK. Our current investigation revealed that 11-MT downregulated the activity of mTOR while promoted AMPK activity, indicating that 11-MT promoted autophagy dependent of AMPK–mTOR signaling pathway. Recent studies indicate that AMPK plays a dual roles and have pro- or anti-tumorigenic effects in cancer progress depending on context. We here demonstrated that autophagy stimulated by AMPK–mTOR signaling was involved in promoting cell survival, which suggested that AMPK activation exerted a positive effect on lung cancer cell survival as response to 11-MT treatment.

JNKs, initially identified as the stress-activated protein kinases, participate in autophagy in response to multiple stimulations, including tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, endoplasmic reticulum stress and insulin-like growth factor-1. Under the deprivation of amino acids or stresses stimulation, the complicated signaling network converges on JNK. The activated JNK regulates autophagy via multiple mechanisms. Firstly, when activated, JNK phosphorylates Bcl-2, which dissociates Bcl-2 with Beclin1 and contributes to the Beclin1-related phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) complexes and then regulates autophagy. Secondly, the activated JNK leads to c-Jun/c-Fos activation, enhancing its transcription activity on Beclin 1. Thirdly, activated JNK promotes FoxOs nuclear localization, and promotes autophagy via FoxO-dependent transcription of ATG genes. In our study, 11-MT could upregulate JNK phosphorylation in a time-dependent manner in human NSCLC cells. Furthermore, upon treatment of JNK inhibitor in combination with 11-MT, the level of LC3-II was downregulated. We thus confirmed that JNK was the crucial mediator of 11-MT-induced autophagy. That which downstream signaling of JNK is involved in 11-MT-induced autophagy remains a work in due course.

Taken together, our studies established a different mechanism of 11-MT for killing cancer cells from that of previously reported aspidosperma alkaloids. During this process, 11-MT also promoted autophagy, which played a protective role against necroptosis. Therefore, 11-MT in combination with autophagy inhibitors could be more effective to kill lung cancer cells. In addition, this natural indole alkaloid could be potentiated to kill cancer cells that are resistant to other apoptosis-inducing chemotherapeutics. Further study is warranted to examine the efficacy and possible side effects of this molecule for anticancer chemotherapy.

Experimental

Reagents and Antibodies Antibodies against RIP3 (13526), LC3B (2775), p-mTOR (2971), mTOR (2972), p-4EBP1 (9456), 4EBP1 (9452), p-p70S6K (9206), p70S6K (9202), p-Akt1 (9018), Akt1 (9272), p-AMPK (2531), AMPK...
(2532), p-JNK (9251), JNK (9252), PARP1 (9542), and caspase 3 (9662) were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, U.S.A.). Anti-RIP1 (610458) and anti-p62 (610833) were bought from BD Biosciences (San Diego, CA, U.S.A.). Anti-glyceralddehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) (sc-47724) and β-actin (sc-47778) were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, U.S.A.). The secondary antibodies HRP-conjugated anti-mouse immunoglobulin G (IgG) (sc-2371) and anti-rabbit IgG (sc-2004) were also purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. The JNK inhibitor SP600125 was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Bafilomycin A1, 3-MA and z-VAD-FMK were bought from Selleck (Shanghai, China). Necrostatin-1 was from Santa Cruz Biotechnology.

Cell Lines and Cell Culture
Cell lines A549 and H157 used in this study were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection. The cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (Sigma-Aldrich, R6504) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (HyClone, SV30087.02) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO2.

Acridine Orange Assay for Acidic Vesicular Organelles
The volume of the AVOs was determined by staining with the lysosomotropic agent AO (Sigma-Aldrich, 235474). After treatment with 11-MT, the cells were incubated with AO (Sigma-Aldrich, 235474). After treatment with 11-MT, the cells were incubated with AO (5 µg/mL) at 37°C for 1 min. After washed with PBS, the cells were observed with fluorescence microscopy (Leica, Germany). The nucleus and cytoplasm of the stained cells glow bright green fluorescence, while the AVOs fluoresced bright red.

Cell Viability Assay
Cell viability assay was conducted as previously described. In brief, cells were seeded in 96-well cell culture plates and cultured overnight. Then the cells were treated with the tested alkaloids at indicated concentrations. After another 48 h, the cells were fixed by glacial acetic acid and subjected to measuring the cell number with the sulforhodamine B (Sigma-Aldrich, 341738) assay.

LDH Release Assay
When cells cultured in 24-well cell culture plate reached subconfluence, they were treated with indicated concentrations of 11-MT or 3-MA. After treatment for certain time, the LDH release was measured using LDH cytotoxicity detection kit (TaKaRa, MK401).

Cell Apoptosis Assay
Apoptosis was examined using the ANXA5-PE/7-AAD apoptosis detection kit from BD Biosciences (11774425001) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Caspase activation and PARP1 cleavage were detected by Western blot.

Western Blot Analysis
After treatment, the cells were lysed by lysis buffer with 25 mM Tris–HCl (pH 6.8), 2% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 1% 2-mercaptoethanol, 6% glycerol, 2 mM Phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) and a protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich, P8340) at 4°C. Then the whole-cell protein lysates was added with 0.02% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 1% 2-mercaptoethanol, 6% glycerol, 2 mM Phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) and a protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich, P8340) at 4°C. Then the whole-cell protein lysates was added with 0.02% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 1% 2-mercaptoethanol, 6% glycerol, 2 mM Phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) and a protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich, P8340) at 4°C. Then the whole-cell protein lysates was added with 0.02% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 1% 2-mercaptoethanol, 6% glycerol, 2 mM Phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) and a protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich, P8340) at 4°C.

Statistical Analyses
All experiments were performed at least three times independently. Data were expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (S.E.M.) The differences between groups were analyzed by Student’s t-test. Differences were considered statistically significant when the p < 0.05. Adobe Photoshop CS4 (Adobe, San Jose, U.S.A.) was used to process all images. The relative quantity of the protein bands was analyzed using the Image J software (National Institutes of Health, U.S.A.).
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