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SUMMARY
DNA G-quadruplex is a non-canonical secondary structure that could epigenetically regulate gene expression. To investigate the regulating role of G-quadruplex, we devised an integrating method to perform the algorithm profiling and genome-wide analysis for the dynamic change of genomic G-quadruplex and RNA profiles in rat nucleus pulposus cells by inducing G-quadruplex folding with multiple stabilizers. A group of genes potentially regulated by G-quadruplex and involved in the inflammation process has been identified. We found that G-quadruplex folding triggers inflammation response by upregulating inflammatory cytokines, which could promote G-quadruplex folding in a manner of positive feedback loop. Moreover, we confirmed that G-quadruplex is a marker indicating elevated inflammatory status and G-quadruplex folding facilitates the development of inflammatory diseases using in vivo intervertebral disc degeneration models. The crosstalk between G-quadruplex and inflammatory cytokines plays a vital role in regulating inflammation-derived diseases, which may provide new insights into the blocking target.

INTRODUCTION
Inflammation is a defense mechanism that protects higher organisms from infection and injury, whereas aberrant and uncontrolled inflammation triggers multiple diseases (Feehan and Gilroy, 2019; Medzhitov, 2008; Strowig et al., 2012). Because of the similar inflammation-dependent nature, inflammation has played a vital role in inflammatory conditions such as cancer, severe COVID-19, Alzheimer’s disease, cardiovascular disease, and osteoarthritis (Crusz and Balkwill, 2015; Feehan and Gilroy, 2019; Sun et al., 2022). The inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-1β have been shown to play a key role in multiple diseases by regulating inflammatory response, including cancers, chronic liver disease, Parkinson’s disease, and intervertebral disc degeneration (IVDD) (Carelli et al., 2018; Cubero et al., 2019; Risbud and Shapiro, 2014; Wang et al., 2020).

It has been demonstrated that the inflammatory cytokines could be regulated by multiple epigenetic mechanisms, such as the genomic secondary structure and modification and transcription factor-targeting regulation (Markopoulos et al., 2019; Tarnowski et al., 2021). DNA G-Quadruplex (G4) is a non-canonical four-stranded secondary structure that may appear in guanine-rich nucleotide sequences (Sen and Gilbert, 1988; Kwok and Merrick, 2017). A potential G4 sequence (PQS) is featured by two or more G-tetrads (Hoogsteen hydrogen bonding of four guanines) stacked on top of each other (Sen and Gilbert, 1988; Lane et al., 2008). PQS is abundant in the genome of humans, chimpanzees, mice, rats, bacteria, etc (Marsico et al., 2019; Sengupta et al., 2021), and it is enriched in gene promoters and telomeres. G4 has been visualized and detected in the human and rat genomic region with PQS by using chemical and immunological methods (Hansel-Hertsch et al., 2018; Varshney et al., 2020). Moreover, G4 has been recognized to play an important role in multiple key biological processes that involve replication, transcription, translation, genome instability, and telomere maintenance across multiple species (Verma et al., 2008; Kwok and Merrick, 2017; Sengupta et al., 2021). In transcription regulation, G4 was found to facilitate the adherence of transcription initiation complex, increase promoter-proximal Pol II pausing, control CpG island methylation and interact with transcription factors (Lago et al., 2021; Li et al., 2021; Lyu et al., 2021; Mao et al., 2018). Huang et al. demonstrated that custom-made small molecule compounds could act with DNA to
stabilize G4 with multiple conformations in different PQS that may participate in the epigenetic regulation of mammal genes, but the validation with in vitro and in vivo models is lacking (Huang et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2021).

In this study, we devised a method to identify the potential G4-regulating genes (PQRGs) by integrating the genomic PQS profiled by the algorithm and differentially expressed genes (DEGs) after stabilizing genomic G4. By using this method in the inflammatory disease models, we identified a group of PQRGs that were associated with the inflammation process. In addition, we found that PQS was abundant in the genes expressing inflammation cytokines. Therefore, we speculated that the genomic G4 folding may play a vital role in inflammation-derived diseases by regulating the expression of inflammatory cytokines. Based on our findings, the crosstalk between the genomic G4 folding and the inflammation process was proposed. We demonstrated that the crosstalk plays a vital role in regulating the development of inflammatory diseases using in vivo animal models, which may provide new insights into the understanding of inflammatory diseases and the development of blocking targets for the therapeutics.

RESULTS

Genome-wide analysis identifies the potential G4-regulating genes that involve in the inflammation process

To decipher the roles of G4 in inflammation regulation, we predefined a group of PQRGs that are subjected to the regulation of G4 folding. We devised a method to identify PQRGs by integrating the genomic PQS profiled by the algorithm and differentially expressed genes (DEGs) after stabilizing genomic G4. By using this method in the inflammatory disease models, we identified a group of PQRGs that were associated with the inflammation process. In addition, we found that PQS was abundant in the genes expressing inflammation cytokines. Therefore, we speculated that the genomic G4 folding may play a vital role in inflammation-derived diseases by regulating the expression of inflammatory cytokines. Based on our findings, the crosstalk between the genomic G4 folding and the inflammation process was proposed. We demonstrated that the crosstalk plays a vital role in regulating the development of inflammatory diseases using in vivo animal models, which may provide new insights into the understanding of inflammatory diseases and the development of blocking targets for the therapeutics.

G4 stabilization upregulates inflammatory cytokines

To investigate the regulatory role of G4 folding in the expression of inflammatory cytokines, we treated the NP cells with PDS, Braco-19, and Phen-DC3, respectively, to facilitate genomic G4 stabilization. We found
that G4 stabilization could promote mRNA expression of Il6 in NP cells in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 2 A, B). Of interest, G4 stabilization could further increase the expression of multiple inflammatory cytokines following the treatment of TNF-α or IL-1β, indicating that the inflammation process could be aggravated by the potential crosstalk between inflammatory cytokines and G4 folding in inflammation-related genes. Finally, we conducted ELISA and Western blot assays to verify that the upregulated protein expression subjected to G4 folding was consistent with the mRNA expression change (Figures 2C–2F).

**Inflammatory cytokines facilitate genomic G4 stabilization**

To explore the role of inflammatory cytokines in G4 folding, NP cells were incubated for 24h with TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6 ranging from 10-100 ng/mL, respectively. Immunological assays with anti-G4 antibodies were used to detect the genomic G4 folding. In the immunofluorescence assay, folded G4 increased gradually from the treatment of inflammatory cytokines at 10 ng/mL and exhibited a dramatic increase at 100 ng/mL (Figure 3A). Furthermore, more folded G4 was found in the groups treated by TNF-α, IL-1β, or IL-6 combined with G4 stabilizers compared with the groups treated by TNF-α, IL-1β, or IL-6 alone (Figure 3B). The dot blot assay also confirmed that TNF-α, IL-1β, or IL-6 could promote G4 folding in a dose-dependent manner (Figures 3C–3F). In summary, inflammatory cytokines could promote genomic G4 folding in a manner of positive feedback loop.

**G4 stabilization increases apoptosis in NP cells**

First, we observed that G4 stabilization achieved with PDS, Braco-19, and Phen-DC3 treatment suppressed NP cell viability in a dose-dependent manner, respectively (Figure 4A). To elucidate the mechanism

---

**Figure 2. G4 stabilization induces an inflammation response by upregulating inflammatory cytokine**

(A and B) mRNA expression of Il6 after the single or combined treatment of PDS, Braco-19, Phen-DC3 and IL-1β (10 ng/mL). (C–F) ELISA and western blot assays for the expression of IL-6 and TNF-α after the single or combined treatment of PDS, Braco-19, Phen-DC3, TNF-α (20 ng/mL) and IL-1β (10 ng/mL).

G4, G-Quadruplex; NP, nucleus pulposus; CTR, control. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 3); *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001, compared to the control group. #p < 0.05, ##p < 0.01, ###p < 0.001, ####p < 0.0001, compared to the TNF-α or IL-1β group.
underlying the cell death after G4 stabilization, we used propidium iodide (PI) staining and Annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) assay to evaluate whether G4 stabilization could induce apoptosis in NP cells. As a result, G4 stabilization increased the number of PI-positive cells. Importantly, the apoptosis rate was higher in the group treated with G4 stabilizers and cytokines (Figure 4B and 4C). Then, we found that the expression of apoptotic proteins, including cleaved caspase-3 and Bax, increased under the treatment of G4 stabilizers in a dose-dependent manner (Figures 4D–4I). Importantly, the expression of these proteins increased significantly more under the co-treatment with G4 stabilizers and inflammatory cytokines compared with the treatment of G4 stabilizers or inflammatory cytokines alone, indicating a potential synergistic effect of G4 stabilizers and inflammatory cytokines on apoptosis (Figures 4J–4L). Taken together, genomic G4 stabilization could increase apoptosis in NP cells.

**Genomic G4 stabilization aggravates the progression of IVDD in vivo**

To investigate the role of G4 folding in the development of inflammatory diseases, we stabilized the genomic G4 in the mice’s intervertebral discs and observed the in vivo progression of IVDD. The PBS, PDS, and Braco-19 were injected into the intervertebral discs (IVD) of mice, and IVDD mouse models were generated with the annulus fibrosus (AF) needle puncture. All mice underwent MRI scans 4 weeks after surgery, and we found the G4-stabilized groups displayed significantly lower signal intensity than the control group (Figures 5A–5C). Next, the HE staining and Safranin O-Fast Green staining of the IVD slice were performed (Figures 5E and 5F). Compared to the sham group, the G4-stabilized groups demonstrated classic histopathological features of IVDD, including the decreased amount of gelatinous NP tissue and the disturbed border between the NP and AF. The histological scores of the G4-stabilized groups treated with the PDS or Braco-19 were significantly higher compared with the control group (Figure 5D). Finally, the IHC staining and western blot assays for Collagen II, Aggrecan, and MMP-3 were conducted to investigate the extracellular matrix (ECM) remodeling of IVD. We found that the expression of Collagen II (Figure 5H and 5K) and Aggrecan (Figures 5I and 5L) were significantly decreased in the G4-stabilized groups, whereas the expression of MMP-3 was significantly increased (Figure 5G and 5J). Therefore, genomic G4 stabilization could significantly disturb the structural integrity of IVD and promote IVDD by regulating the inflammatory process. In summary, we found that genomic G4 folding plays a critical role in the progression of inflammation-derived diseases.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we treated NP cells with G4 stabilizers and found several genes potentially regulated by G4, and these genes were involved in the inflammation process, which was performed by an algorithm profiling and genome-wide analysis. We found that G4 folding could promote the production of inflammatory cytokines and induce apoptosis in rat NP cells. Of interest, we also revealed that inflammatory cytokines could promote G4 folding. These results were confirmed with in vivo experiments in a mouse IVDD model.

Inflammation, including acute and chronic phases, is involved in the pathogenesis and course of numerous diseases. Chronic inflammation was necessary for tumor development, and inflammation damaged DNA and promoted mutations that initiated cancer, such as liver, breast, bowel, urinary bladder, prostate, and others (Kay et al., 2019; Khandia and Munjal, 2020). Furthermore, damaged DNA could induce cytotoxicity and increase inflammatory response, which formed a positive feedback loop (Kay et al., 2019). Alzheimer’s disease is a neurodegenerative disorder with pathological hallmarks of amyloid-β plaques and neurofibrillary tangles in the brain, in which several studies have proved that inflammation may play an important role, and IL-1β and TNF-α displayed worse short-term memory functions in a mouse model (Holmes, 2013; Wang et al., 2021). Inflammation could also trigger chronic and multiple organ diseases, such as chronic kidney disease and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (Steven et al., 2019). Importantly, the close crosstalk of inflammation with oxidative stress may contribute to cardiovascular diseases (Steven et al., 2019; Wenzel et al., 2017). Rheumatoid arthritis, a chronic inflammation-derived disorder, is characterized by generalized inflammation in synovial joints (Bedaiwi et al., 2021). Previous study has revealed that inflammation, especially triggered by IL-1β and TNF-α, exerts a vital role in the progression of inflammatory diseases.
Figure 4. Genomic G4 folding promotes NP cell apoptosis

(A) Cell viability was analyzed using a CCK-8 kit under the G4 stabilizer treatment for 12 h, 24 h, and 48 h.

(B and C) Flow cytometry assay for the cell apoptosis under the treatment of PDS, Braco-19, and Phen-DC3.

(D–I) Western blot assay for c-caspase3 and Bax under the treatment of each G4 stabilizer alone.
IVDD is the most common cause of low back pain that has been recognized as a global medical problem (Hartvigsen et al., 2018). The pathology of IVDD is mainly characterized by the production of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, degeneration of the ECM consisting predominantly of type II collagen and proteoglycans, increased cell death and senescence, altered cell phenotype, and decreased NP cell abundance (Piyadarshani et al., 2016). Inflammatory response, exacerbated by inflammatory cytokines, particularly TNF-α and IL-1β, plays a key role during IVDD development (Risbud and Shapiro, 2014; Vergroesen et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2020). Previous studies have illustrated that TNF-α stimulation promotes IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, IL-17, substance P, NO, COX-2, and PGE2 production (Koerner et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2020), whereas IL-1β may act as a key promoter of inflammatory cascade events by inducing IL-6, IL-8, and IL-17 production. Moreover, IL-1β induces CCL2/3/5/7 overexpression (Risbud and Shapiro, 2014; Wang et al., 2013). Taken together, the crosstalk we revealed between G4 and these well-characterized inflammatory cytokines further verified the importance of inflammation in driving the development of IVDD.

G4 has been proved to regulate replication, transcription, and translation, and the previous investigations predominately focused on cancer and neurological diseases (Guo and Bartel, 2016; Zimmer et al., 2016), which are inflammation-derived disorders. Recent research, using bioinformatics methods to identify PQS within promoter regions and complete gene sequences of cytokines and chemokines, find genes including IL-6, IL-12, IL-17, TNF, and TGF-β have high PQS frequencies, particularly in their promoters (Bi for the fold change, 2021). After stabilizing G4, we found changes in genes and signaling pathways related to inflammation, immune, and apoptosis through RNA sequencing. Furthermore, we verified that G4 stabilization could upregulate TNF-α and IL-6, and these cytokines could promote G4 stabilization in cells. We speculate that the folded G4 may regulate the expression of inflammatory genes by the direct interaction with DNA binding proteins such as transcription factors, modulating CpG methylation and shaping chromatin to produce an inflammatory transcriptome (Lago et al., 2021; Li et al., 2021; Lyu et al., 2021; Tian et al., 2018). Therefore, we proposed that the crosstalk of G4 and inflammation may play a vital role in inflammation-derived diseases (Figure 6). Previous studies presented that the epigenetic mechanism underlying the crosstalk between molecular events participates in multiple diseases (Enuka et al., 2017), which is mechanically similar to our proposed model. Collectively, we think G4 stabilization could act as a hallmark of inflammation-derived diseases and the “G4-inflammation-G4” positive feedback loop may exert an important role in pathological processes of inflammation-derived diseases.

Here, we elucidate for the first time that the crosstalk between G4 and inflammatory cytokines plays a vital role in regulating IVDD development, which may provide new insights into the blocking target for the therapeutics of IVDD. Moreover, current studies have linked G4 formation with cancer and other human diseases. G4 stabilization could induce DNA damage and cell-cycle arrest in human breast cancer cells and reduced the viability of BRCA1/2 deficiency cancer cells (Zimmer et al., 2016). In addition, G4 regulated senescence and apoptosis of tumor cells through activating the caspases-3/7 and ATM/autophagy pathways (Beauvarlet et al., 2019; Maiti et al., 2018). Therefore, the crosstalk between G4 and inflammatory cytokines may also contribute to cancer, neurological disorders, and other inflammatory disorders, which provides therapeutic strategies and targets in the future.

**Conclusion**

This study unearthed the vital function of the crosstalk of G4 and inflammation in the progression of inflammatory diseases. We identified a group of genes that were potentially regulated by G4 and involved in the...
We further revealed that G4 stabilization upregulated inflammatory cytokines and apoptosis in NP cells which are well recognized as the hallmarks of disc degeneration, and inflammatory cytokines facilitate the folding of genomic G4. Therefore, the genomic fold of G4 could be recognized as a hallmark in NP cells of the degenerated disc. The crosstalk between G4 and inflammatory cytokines may provide new insights into the therapeutics for IVDD and other inflammation-derived diseases.

Limitations of the study

There are a few limitations in this study. We were unable to generate a patient cohort with samples to validate the G4 as a biomarker for IVDD or other inflammation diseases. It is of significance to collect patient or animal samples in a longitudinal cohort to explore the dynamic change of G4 folding and inflammation cytokines during disease development in the future. A further limitation is that the specific mechanism underlying the regulating role of genomic G4 in cytokine expression remains to be elucidated. Although we showed the systematic interaction between them in this study, it needs further investigation on each cytokine to understand how G4 and its interaction with DNA binding proteins modulate gene transcription in future studies. In addition, because of the absence of drugs for unfolding G4, we were unable to obtain the direct evidence that G4 could be served as a blocking target for IVDD treatment in animal models. However, our findings imply that the custom-made compounds and adapters that could destabilize G4 or block its interaction with DNA binding proteins may serve as a promising approach for future therapeutics.
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Figure 6. Schematic illustration of the crosstalk between G4 and inflammation response in the progression of inflammation diseases

Genomic G4 folding results in an increased inflammatory cytokines expression, and cytokines and other G4 stabilizers such as custom-made small molecules could facilitate genomic G4 folding. The progress was accompanied by cell cytokines and ECM imbalance in the IVDD mice model. G4, G-Quadruplex; ECM, extracellular matrix; IVDD, intervertebral disc degeneration.
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# STAR★METHODS

## KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **Antibodies**      |        |            |
| anti-1H6            | Millipore | Cat#MABE1126; RRID:AB_2924428 |
| anti-BG4            | Millipore | Cat#MABE917; RRID:AB_2750936 |
| anti-TNF-α          | BioVision | Cat#3052R-100; RRID:AB_2203941 |
| anti-IL-6           | Zen BioScience | Cat#500286; RRID:AB_2924431 |
| anti-aggrecan       | Abcam | Cat#ab3778; RRID:AB_304071 |
| anti-collagen II    | Abcam | Cat#ab34712; RRID:AB_731688 |
| anti-cleaved caspase-3 | Zen BioScience | Cat#341034; RRID:AB_2924432 |
| anti-Bcl-2          | Zen BioScience | Cat#381702; RRID:AB_2924433 |
| anti-Bax            | Zen BioScience | Cat#380709; RRID:AB_2924434 |
| **Biological samples** |        |            |
| Sprague-Dawley rats | Laboratory Animal Center of Sun Yat-Sen University | N/A |
| C57BL/6 mice        | GemPharmatech Co., Ltd. | N/A |
| **Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins** |        |            |
| Recombinant human TNF-α | Peprotech | Cat#300-01A |
| Recombinant human IL-1β | Peprotech | Cat#200-01B |
| Recombinant human IL-6 | Peprotech | Cat#200-06 |
| Pyridostatin (PDS)  | MedChemExpress | Cat#551487 |
| Braco-19            | MedChemExpress | Cat#555687 |
| Phen-DC3            | MedChemExpress | Cat#562479 |
| Cell Counting Kit   | APExBIO | Cat#K1018-500T |
| SYBR Green Mix      | Roche | Cat#4713860 |
| ELISA kits          | MultiSciences | Cat#EK382HS-96; Cat#EK301B/3-96; Cat#EK306/3-96 |
| Annexin V-FITC, PI apoptosis kit | MultiSciences | Cat#70-AP101-100 |
| **Deposited data**  |        |            |
| RNA-seq             | GEO | GSE194015 |
| **Oligonucleotides** |        |            |
| β-actin             | Tianyi Huiyuan | F 5’-ATCATTGCTCCTCCTGAGCG-3’ |
|                     |         | R 5’-AGCTCAGTAACAGTCCGCC-3’ |
| Tnfa                | Tianyi Huiyuan | F 5’-GATCGGTCCCAACAAGGAGG-3’ |
|                     |         | R 5’-GCTTGGGTGGTTGTGCTACGAC-3’ |
| Il1b                | Tianyi Huiyuan | F 5’-GACTTCAACCATGGAACCGCT-3’ |
|                     |         | R 5’-CAGGGAGGGAAACACACGT-3’ |
| Il6                 | Tianyi Huiyuan | F 5’-ACAAGTCGGGAGAGGAGACT-3’ |
|                     |         | R 5’-ACAGTGCATCATCGCTGTC-3’ |
| **Software and algorithms** |        |            |
| GraphPad Prism 7    | GraphPad Software | N/A |
| FlowJo_V10          | FlowJo Software | N/A |
| R (4.1.2)           | The R foundation | https://www.r-project.org/ |
| RStudio             | RStudio Team | https://www.rstudio.com/ |
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RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact
Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Jianru Wang (wangjru@mail.sysu.edu.cn).

Materials availability
This study did not generate new unique reagents.

Data and code availability
Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this article is available from the lead contact on request. The RNA-seq profiles have been deposited on GEO with the identifier of GSE194015. The datasets used and analyzed in the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Isolation and culture of primary rat NP cells
The mature male Sprague–Dawley rats aged at 6 weeks and weighing 200–300g were obtained from the Laboratory Animal Center of Sun Yat-sen University, China. Rat NP cells were isolated from the lumbar discs of Sprague-Dawley rats and cultured in vitro (Long et al., 2019). The details of the procedure are as follows. After being euthanized using pentobarbital sodium (100 mg/kg), the spinal columns of Sprague-Dawley rats were removed and discs were separated. The NP tissues were cut into pieces, digested, and then cultured in DMEM (Invitrogen, CA, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum and 2% penicillin-streptomycin antibiotics at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO2. About 7 days later, the NP cells migrated out and cells were passaged using trypsin. NP cells within 3–7 generations were used in this study.

Animal model and MRI
We constructed the IVDD animal model with AF needle puncture using WT C57BL/6 mice (Chen et al., 2020). Male C57BL/6 mice were obtained from GemPharmatech Co., Ltd. In brief, after anesthesia and a small longitudinal incision of the abdominal wall was performed in the supine posture, we found the L4/5 intervertebral disc at the level of renal vein. Thirty mice were randomly divided into six groups (5 mice per group), including the normal group not operated, the IVDD group, the sham group injected with 0.9% normal saline using a syringe needle through AF, the PDS group injected with PDS, the Braco-19 group injected with Braco-19. After the L4/5 IVD was exposed under a microscope, the discs were punctured and injected with 2μL PBS, G4 stabilizers by a 31G syringe needle inserted 1.5 mm into the discs, respectively. At 4 weeks after surgery, all mice underwent MRI scans under anesthesia, and the T2 mapping MRI sequence was used to evaluate the degree of IVDD.

Ethical approval
The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Sun Yat-sen University. The animal experiments were approved by the Animal Experimentation Committee of Medical Ethics Committee of The Sixth Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University (IACUC-2020120102).

REAGENT or RESOURCE SOURCE IDENTIFIER

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| DESeq2              | R-Bioconductor | [https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/DESeq2.html](https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/DESeq2.html) |
| clusterProfiler     | R-Bioconductor | [https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/clusterProfiler.html](https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/clusterProfiler.html) |
| QGRS Mapper         | [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkl253](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkl253) | [https://bioinformatics.ramapo.edu/QGRS/index.php](https://bioinformatics.ramapo.edu/QGRS/index.php) |
| G4 Hunter           | [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw006](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw006) | [https://bioinformatics.ibp.cz/#/analyse/quadruplex](https://bioinformatics.ibp.cz/#/analyse/quadruplex) |
METHOD DETAILS

RNA-seq analysis for potential G4-regulating genes

We used the ‘DESeq2’ R package to identify DEGs (Love et al., 2014). The genes with FDR of 0.05 and absolute fold change of 1.5 in the DEG analysis were obtained for downstream analysis. The algorithm-predicted PQS of Rattus norvegicus was obtained from an online database (http://tubic.tju.edu.cn/greglist) (Zhang et al., 2008). PQS is the motif featured by G3+N1−7G3+N1−7G3+N1−7G3+ (Zhang et al., 2008). A total of 7,013 genes were predicted to contain PQS in Rattus norvegicus. By analyzing the G4-stabilization-specific DEGs and algorithm-predicted PQS, we identified and defined the PQRGs. We used the ‘cluster profile’ R package to perform the GO enrichment and KEGG enrichment analysis for PQRGs.

Analysis of inflammation-related genes promoter PQS

A total of 96 inflammation related genes were collected from prior research (Bidula, 2021) and their orthologous Rattus norvegicus genes were used in the current study. By analyzing the algorithm-predicted PQS and inflammation-related genes, we identified 23 potential G4-regulating inflammation-related genes. The distribution of PQS within different genomic regions was analyzed. Promoter regions of inflammation-related PQRGs were obtained from an online database (Eukaryotic Promoter Database, EPD, http://epd.vital-it.ch) (Dreos et al., 2015), and the genomic regions from −900 to +300 base pairs concerning the transcription start site were retrieved.

Cell viability assay

NP cells viability was examined following exposure to small molecular compounds for 0, 12, 24, 36, 48, or 72 h, and counted using a Cell Counting Kit (CCK-8) as the manufacturer’s protocol. Using a microplate reader (Thermo Fisher Scientific), we assessed cell viability through absorbance detection at 450 nm. According to treatment, NP cells were segmented into four groups, control (DMSO only), PDS treated, Braco-19 treated, and Phen-DC3 treated groups and every group was divided into different subgroups by doses. Cells were seeded in 96-well culture plates at the density of 1 × 10³ per well with 100 μL of complete culture medium. The cells were then cultured for another 12, 24, 36, 48, and 72 h treated with G4 stabilizers after 24 h. 10 μL CCK-8 solution was applied at specific time points, then cells were incubated in the dark for 2-4 h at 37°C.

Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR)

Total RNA was isolated with cells using a reagent, and cDNA was reversed as directed by the manufacturer. RT-qPCR was performed using SYBR Green PCR Kit and was run on QuantStudio 5 Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific). All primers were synthesized by Tianyi Huiyuan Biotech Co., Ltd. Total RNA was isolated with cells using a reagent, and cDNA was reversed as directed by the manufacturer. RT-qPCR was performed using SYBR Green PCR Kit and was run on QuantStudio 7 Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific). β-actin was used to normalize the expression levels of target genes. All primers were synthesized by Tianyi Huiyuan Biotech Co., Ltd. The oligos used in the RT-qPCR assays were as follows: β-actin F 5’-ATCATTGCTCTCTGCGAGCG-3’, R 5’-AGCTCAGAACAGTCCGACG-3’; Tnfa F 5’-GATCGGTCCCCCAAAAGGAGG-3’, R 5’-GCTTGTTGGGTTTGTACGCAG-3’; Il1b F 5’-GACTTCAACATGATGAGCACTC-3’, R 5’-CAAGGGAGGACCACAGTT-3’; Il6 F 5’-ACAAAGTCGGAGAGGAGACT-3’, R 5’-ACAGTGCATCATCGCTGTC-3’. RT-qPCR analysis was carried out with a cycle setup of 3min at 95°C, 40 cycles of 5s at 95°C and 20s at 60°C.

Western blot analysis

The proteins from extracted cell lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE gel electrophoresis followed by transfer and immunoblotting (Chen et al., 2020). The process was as follows. The protein was extracted and the concentration was measured by a BCA Kit (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL, USA). After electrophoretic transfer, the membranes were blocked in 5% skim milk powder and incubated with primary antibodies targeting cleaved-caspase3, Bax, and β-actin. After washing with PBS, the membranes were incubated with HRP-linked anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG; 1:1000, Cell Signaling Technology) or HRP-linked anti-mouse IgG (1:1000, Cell Signaling Technology). Finally, the Western blot bands were tested.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

NP cells grown up to confluence were treated with G4 stabilizers or cytokines for 24 h. Cell supernatants were collected and analyzed for TNF-α and IL-6 using specific ELISA kits (MultiSciences, EK382HS-96, EK301B/3-96, EK306/3-96) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.
**Immunofluorescence analyses**

NP cells were fixed for 15 min with formalin and permeabilized for 10 min with Triton X-100, followed by blocking for 1 h with 10% goat serum. Cells were then incubated with G4 antibody 1H6 (1:200) and a second antibody DAPI was used to stain the nuclei of the cells. As the manufacturer’s introduction, the fluorescence was captured by a confocal laser scanning microscopy (Leica).

**Dot blot analysis for genomic G4**

G4 stabilizers or cytokines were used to treat NP cells. Then, they were cross-linked in 1% formalin for 10 min at 37°C and stopped by 125 mM glycine solution for 5 min. Next, washed NP cells were lysed for protein extraction. Samples were divided into two 50 μL parts separately. One was collected and saved at −80°C for dot blot analysis, and the other one was carried out for quantitation and normalized relative to the control group. Subsequently, each sample was diluted into 100 ng/μL in intracellular salt solution (25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 10.5 mM NaCl, 110 mM KCl, 130 mM CaCl2, 1 mM MgCl2). 5 μL different nucleic acid samples were pipetted and dotted on a nylon membrane. Membranes were dried in the air and nucleic acids were immobilized to the membrane for 5 to 15 min by UV light (254 nm) cross-linking at room temperature (RT). Next, the membrane was incubated for 2h at RT or overnight at 4°C in G4 blocking buffer (1% BSA in an intracellular salt solution) and washed with G4 washing buffer (10 mM Tris pH 7.4, 100 mM KCl, 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20) three times. After that, these membranes were incubated with G4 structure antibodies BG4 (1:500 in G4 blocking buffer) overnight at 4°C. After washing three times by G4 washing buffer, the membranes (1:1000 in G4 blocking buffer) were incubated with secondary antibodies and visualized by an ECL system like Western blot analysis.

**FCM for apoptosis detection**

Apoptosis was evaluated by staining cultured primary NP cells with both FITC and PI according to the manufacturer’s introduction (MultiSciences, 70-AP101-100) and then analyzed with FCM (Beckman Coulter, CA, USA).

**IHC evaluation**

After MRI scanning, the spines were removed and fixed with 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde, followed by decalcification in EDTA solution. According to the standard procedures, the histological slides were deparaffinized and rehydrated, followed by staining with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), Safranin O-Fast Green. IHC was performed as we described previously (Chen et al., 2020). Briefly, anti-G4 (1H6, 1:200), anti-aggrecan, anti-collagen II, and anti-MMP3 were used to incubate sections overnight at 4°C and then incubated with a secondary antibody. Nuclear was under staining by hematoxylin. Finally, a slides canner was performed to observe and capture digital photos of these samples. The histologic scores were evaluated from five categories of IVDD changes, including the cellularity, morphology and border between AF and NP, and a scale with scores ranging from 5 points (a normal disc) to 15 points (a severely degenerated disc) was used.

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism statistical software (GraphPad Software Inc) and R software (R Core Team). The two-sided Student’s t-test was used for comparing two groups and the one-way ANOVA test was used for comparing 3 or more groups. Significance was considered for p < 0.05. Data were represented as mean (SEM).