Exposure to 50 Hz magnetic field modulates GABA_A currents in cerebellar granule neurons through an EP receptor-mediated PKC pathway
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Abstract

Previous work from both our lab and others have indicated that exposure to 50 Hz magnetic fields (ELF-MF) was able to modify ion channel functions. However, very few studies have investigated the effects of MF on γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) type A receptors (GABA_ARs) channel functioning, which are fundamental to overall neuronal excitability. Here, our major goal is to reveal the potential effects of ELF-MF on GABA_ARs activity in rat cerebellar granule neurons (CGNs). Our results indicated that exposing CGNs to 1 mT ELF-MF for 60 min. significantly increased GABA_AR currents without modifying sensitivity to GABA. However, activation of PKA by db-cAMP failed to do so, but led to a slight decrease instead. On the other hand, PKC activation or inhibition by PMA or Bis and Docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) mimicked or eliminated the field-induced-increase of GABA_AR currents. Western blot analysis indicated that the intracellular levels of phosphorylated PKC (pPKC) were significantly elevated after 60 min. of ELF-MF exposure, which was subsequently blocked by application of DHA or EP1 receptor-specific (prostaglandin E receptor 1) antagonist (SC19220), but not by EP2-EP4 receptor-specific antagonists. SC19220 also significantly inhibited the ELF-MF-induced elevation on GABA_AR currents. Together, these data obviously demonstrated for the first time that neuronal GABA_A currents are significantly increased by ELF-MF exposure, and also suggest that these effects are mediated via an EP1 receptor-mediated PKC pathway. Future work will focus on a more comprehensive analysis of the physiological and/or pathological consequences of these effects.
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Introduction

Electromagnetic fields in the extremely low frequency (ELF) range is ubiquitously present in various environments in everyday life. The major sources of 50 Hz magnetic fields (ELF-MF) pertaining to the general public are in-house installations, household appliances and powerlines [1]. A number of studies in vitro have noted that exposure to ELF-MF has multiple biological effects, including changes in gene expression, regulation of cell survival and promotion of cell differentiation [2, 3]. Recent studies have demonstrated that exposure to ELF-MF can produce higher order effects. For example, investigation by Salunke et al. (2014) indicated that long-term exposure to ELF-MF significantly increased anxiety without affecting locomotion, and there was a significant elevation of both GABA and glutamate levels in the hippocampus and hypothalamus of mice exposed [4]. Furthermore, ELF-MF exposure can change dendritic spine density and morphology in the entorhinal cortical neurons, and had caused a long-lasting increase in the excitatory state of the neurons in the cortex and hippocampus [5, 6]. Although the effect of ELF-MFs on the activity of neuronal excitability controlling channels including Ca²⁺-active potassium channels and Na⁺ channels have previous investigated, very few studies have investigated the effects of ELF-MF on ligand-gated channels, particularly γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) type A receptors (GABA_ARs).

It is well-known that inhibitory neurotransmission is largely mediated by GABA acting through GABA_ARs. These receptors are hetero-pancermic, ligand-gated chloride channels that belong to the Cys-loop ligand-gated ion channel superfamily [7]. GABA_ARs are expressed ubiquitously in neurons along the entire neuraxis and their activity is important for animal development and neuronal differentiation [8, 9]. They are also critical for the structural and functional maturation of neurons.
Moreover, deficits in GABA<sub>A</sub>R-mediated neurotransmission have been implicated in various pathophysiological disorders, such as anxiety, epilepsy and schizophrenia [13–15]. Given the importance of GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, studying the effects of ELF-MFs is required for an understanding of the possible causes of exposure-induced effects on learning and memory. However, there is currently little information as to whether ELF-MF can modulate GABA<sub>A</sub>R activity.

Cerebellar granule neurons (CGNs) constitute the largest, homogeneous neuronal population within the mammalian brain. Due to their postnatal generation and well-defined developmental pathway, CGNs have been established as an accurate in vitro model for studying neuronal development and maturation [16]. Furthermore, in vitro CGNs cultures have also long been a model for studying GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors [17, 18] as well as a model for neuronal cell development and apoptosis [19–21]. We have previously shown that exposure of CGNs to 10–60 min. of ELF-MF significantly increased Na<sup>+</sup> currents (I<sub>Na</sub>) by 30–125% with a significant shift of their steady-state activation curve in both a time- and intensity-dependent manner [22]. Since this phenomenon is similar to the effects seen with intracellular application of arachidonic acid (AA) and prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> (PGE<sub>2</sub>) on the I<sub>Na</sub> in CGNs [23], a later mechanistic study revealed that the ELF-MF increase in neuronal I<sub>Na</sub> occurs via a PKA-dependent pathway (cPLA<sub>2</sub> → AA → PGE<sub>2</sub> → EP receptors → PKA).

Therefore, the objective of this study was (i) to determine whether exposure to ELF-MF affected GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor currents and (ii) whether a PKA-dependent mechanism might be involved. Our results demonstrate for the first time that GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor currents are significantly increased by ELF-MF exposure via an EP receptor-mediated PKC signalling pathway.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

This study was carried out in strict accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health. The protocol was approved by the Committee on the Ethics of Animal Experiments of Fudan University (Permit Number: 20090614-001). All surgeries were performed under sodium pentobarbital anaesthesia and all efforts were made to minimize animal suffering.

Cell culture

Cells were derived from the cerebellum of 7–8-day-old Sprague–Dawley rat pups as previously described [24]. Isolated cells were plated onto 35 mm diameter Petri dishes coated with poly-L-lysine (10 μg/ml) at a density of 10<sup>5</sup> cells/ml. Cultured cells were incubated at 37°C under 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in DMEM supplemented with 10% foetal calf serum, glutamine (5 mM), insulin (5 μg/ml), KCl (25 mM) and 1% antibiotic–antimycotic solution (25 μg Streptomycin, 10,000 μg Amphotericin B, 10,000 UI Penicillin). All experiments were carried out using primary CGNs after 5–7 days in culture.

ELF-MF exposure system

We used the same system (I-ONE, Shanghai, China) for magnetic field exposure of cerebellar GCs as has been used in previous studies [25–28]. Briefly, a 50 Hz magnetic field was generated by a pair of horizontal Helmholtz coils (20 cm in height, and 20 cm in radius, each plate consists of 150 turns of copper wire) placed parallel to each other. The coils were powered by a generator system, which consists with a signal generator and an amplifier, that produced the input voltage of the pulse, and resulting magnetic flux densities could be regulated within the range 0–1.0 mT. Both the ELF-MF frequency and flux density were monitored by a MF sensor that was connected to a digital multimeter. The geometry of the system assured a uniform field in the area of a central cylinder (10 cm in height and 6 cm in radius) for the exposed cultured cells. The surfaces of the culture plates were perpendicular to the force lines of the alternating magnetic field in the sole-noid. Air and culture medium temperatures were continuously monitored for the duration of all experiments [22]. The incubator was kept closed throughout the ELF-MF or non-MF experiments to make sure that the conditions remained stable. Non-MF groups (sham) were incubated in the same incubator in which the conditions were the same as for the exposed groups, but MF exposure system was off.

GABA<sub>A</sub>R current recordings

Whole-cell currents from granule neurons were recorded with a patch-clamp technique. Prior to GABA<sub>A</sub>R current recordings, the culture medium was replaced with a bath solution containing the following: NaCl 145 mM, KCl 2.5 mM, HEPES (4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid) 10 mM, MgCl<sub>2</sub> 1 mM and glucose 10 mM (pH adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH). Soft-glass pipettes (BR749321 BRAND® micro haematocrit capillary, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) were filled with an internal solution containing the following: KCl 145 mM, HEPES 10 mM, CaCl<sub>2</sub> 1 mM, MgCl<sub>2</sub> 1 mM, ethylene glycol tetraacetic acid (EGTA) 10 mM and ATP 1 mM (pH adjusted to 7.2 with KOH). The pipette resistance was 5–7 MΩ after filling with the internal solution. The recordings were performed at 23–25°C. GABA<sub>A</sub> currents were recorded while the membrane potential was held at −70 mV. 100 μM GABA was given for 3 sec. using a gravity perfusion system to induce an inward Cl<sup>−</sup> current. There was a 40 sec. interval between each GABA perfusion [29, 30]. In the protocol to study the concentration-response relationship of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors, we used a 20 sec. interval between GABA applications instead of the 40 sec. interval. All currents were recorded using an Axopatch 700B amplifier (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA, USA) operated in voltage-clamp mode using a computer connected to the recording equipment via a Digidata 1440A analog-to-digital (A/D) interface. Current was digitally sampled at 100 μsec. (10 kHz). Current signals were filtered by a 1 kHz, three-pole Bessel filter. Data acquisition and analysis were performed with pClamp 10.2 software (Axon Instruments) and/or Origin8.0 (Microcal Analysis Software, Northampton, MA, USA).

Western blotting

The cells were lysed in HEPES-NP40 lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% NP-40, 10% glycerol, 2 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.5% Nonidet P40). Lysates were subjected to sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were probed with antibodies specific to GABA<sub>A</sub> subunits. Signals were visualized using an enhanced chemiluminescence detection system.
raacetic acid, 100 μM Na₃VO₄, 50 mM NaF, pH 7.5 and 1% proteinase inhibitor cocktail) on ice for 30 min. After centrifugation, the supernatant was mixed with 2× SDS loading buffer and boiled for 5 min. Proteins were separated on a 10% polyacrylamide gel, transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA), blocked with 10% non-fat milk and incubated at 4°C overnight with either a rabbit polyclonal antibody against phosphorylated PKC (pPKC) PAN (#9371; Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA, USA) or a mouse monoclonal antibody against Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) (KC-5G4; KangChen Bio-tech, Shanghai, China). After extensive washing with TBST (Tris-Based Saline with Tween-20), the membrane was incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse or anti-rabbit IgG (1:10,000; KangChen Bio-Tech) for 2 hrs at room temperature. Chemiluminescent signals were generated using a SuperSignal West Pico trial kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) and detected using a ChemiDoc XRS System (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA, USA). All measured protein bands were normalized to GAPDH and sham/GAPDH was set to 1.0.

**Chemicals**

AH23848 hemicalcium salt (A8227), AH6809 (A1221), bisindolylmaleimide (Bis, B9391), cis-4,7,10,13,16,19-Docosahexaenoic acid (DHA, D2534), dibutyryl cyclic AMP (db-cAMP, D0627), Ethylene glycol-bis(2-aminoethyl)-N,N,N′,N′-tetraacetic acid (EGTA, E4378), 4-(2-Hydroxyethyl)piperazine-1-ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES, H3375), Insulin (I4011), Phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA, P8139), poly-L-lysine (P2636), Prostaglandin E2 (PGE₂, P5640) and SC 19220 (S3065) were all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). L-798, 106 (cat. no. 3342) was from Tocris Bioscience (Bristol, UK). DMEM (12100-046), Fetal calf serum (10099-141), and the antibiotic–antimycotic (15240-062) solution were all purchased from Gibco Life Technologies (Grand Island, NY, USA).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using a Student’s t-test with either a non-paired or paired comparison, as relevant. Values are given as the means ± SEM, with n representing the number of cells tested. A value of P < 0.05 was considered a statistically significant difference between groups. When multiple comparisons were made, the data were analysed using a one-way ANOVA followed by post hoc analysis with Tukey and Fisher LSD tests for samples of more than two. All analyses were performed using Origin Pro software (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, MA, USA).

**Results**

**ELF-MF exposure increased GABAₐ receptor currents without modifying their sensitivity to GABA**

To investigate whether ELF-MF exposure modified GABAₐ receptor current amplitudes in CGNs, CGNs were exposed to ELF-MF (1 mT) for 60 min., the amplitude of the GABAₐ currents increased by approximately 21.5% ± 8.4% as compared to cells that had received no ELF-MF exposure (Fig. 1A, n = 10, P < 0.05). When ELF-MF exposure was shorter than 60 min., GABAₐ currents were not significantly increased (Fig. 1B).

We also tested the effects of low-intensity ELF-MF (0.2 mT) on GABAₐ currents. As shown in Figure 1C, when CGNs were exposed to ELF-MF (0.2 mT) for 2 hr, GABAₐ currents were increased by 11.5% ± 6.9% (n = 7, P > 0.05) when CGNs were exposed to ELF-MF (0.2 mT).
for 1 hr. When the ELF-MF (0.2 mT) exposure time was increased to 2 hrs, the GABA AR current amplitude was increased by 22.8% (Fig. 1D, n = 14, P < 0.05). However, the mean capacitance of the recorded cells in the sham group (8.85 ± 0.55 pF, n = 15) and for the ELF-MF treatment group (9.47 ± 0.41 pF, n = 14) showed no significant difference (P > 0.05). This similarity in capacitance indicates that the ELF-MF-induced increase in current amplitude was not due to differences or abnormalities in cell morphology.

Previous work has indicated that long-term cellular ELF-MF exposure may alter levels of protein expression [31], leading to potential difficulties in identifying the primary factor involved in our observed ELF-MF-induced increases in GABAAR currents. Thus, we chose to focus on the mechanism by which a relatively short-term exposure to a 1 mT ELF-MF (60 min.) induces increases in GABAAR currents.

The increase in GABAAR receptor current amplitude could also be due to an increase in the sensitivity of receptors to GABA. To test this hypothesis, we applied the GABA concentration-dependent experiment for CGNs with or without ELF-MF exposure. Currents were recorded while the membrane potential was held at −70 mV. Different concentrations of GABA (100 nM to 1 mM, beginning with the lower range) were given to induce GABAAR receptor current in CGNs with 20 sec. intervals between each concentration (Fig. 2A). The data were then fitted with the Hill equation: $I = I_{\text{max}}/(1 + (EC_{50}/[GABA])^n_H)$, where the GABA-induced current $I$ is a function of the GABA concentration, $EC_{50}$ is the GABA concentration required for inducing a half-maximal current, $n_H$ is the Hill coefficient, and $I_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum current. The maximum current was then used to normalize the concentration-response curve for each individual trace. The average of the normalized currents for each GABA concentration was used to plot the data. Our results showed that the GABAAR receptor current was significantly increased with ELF-MF exposure (Fig. 2B). However, the GABAAR receptor concentration-response relationship was not significantly changed by ELF-MF exposure when compared to the non-ELF-MF exposed controls (Fig. 2C). Fitting the normalized current amplitude as a function of GABA concentration using the Hill formula indicates that the Hill regression parameters of sham or ELF-MF exposure have no significant difference (Table 1). Collectively, these results indicate that the ELF-MF-induced increases of GABAAR receptor currents is not due to an increase in receptor sensitivity to GABA.

**ELF-MF exposure increased GABAAR receptor currents by activation of a PKC-dependent pathway**

Previous studies have indicated that GABAAR receptor activity can be modulated by the activation of protein kinase A [32]. As such, db-cAMP (a cAMP analogue) was added to the bath solution and CGNs were then incubated for 1 hr to elucidate whether a PKA-dependent pathway was involved in effects of ELF-MF exposure. However, 20 μM db-cAMP was unable to mimic ELF-MF exposure-induced increases in GABAAR currents. Interestingly, it

---

**Table 1** Hill regression parameters of Concentration-response relationship of GABAAR current with sham or ELF-MF exposure (n = 6–7)

|          | Sham       | ELF-MF    |
|----------|------------|-----------|
| Normalized $I_{\text{max}}$ | 1.00 ± 0.01 | 1.02 ± 0.05 |
| $EC_{50}$ | 11.80 ± 2.04 | 15.73 ± 3.76 |
| $n_H$    | 1.04 ± 0.04 | 0.82 ± 0.13 |
| $K_d = EC_{50}^n \cdot n_H$ | 13.02 | 9.67 |

---

© 2015 The Authors. Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Foundation for Cellular and Molecular Medicine.
reduced the current amplitude by 28.6 ± 6.1% (n = 8; Fig. 3A), suggesting that a PKA pathway was not involved in the effects of ELF-MF on GABA<sub>A</sub>-R currents increase. Since a previous study had reported that GABA<sub>A</sub>-R currents were modified in a PKC-dependent manner [33, 34], we thus identified whether a PKC pathway was involved in the ELF-MF exposure-induced increases of GABA<sub>A</sub>-R currents. Incubating CGNs with 100 nM PMA (a PKC activator) in the bath solution for 1 hr was able to partly mimic the enhancement effects of ELF-MF exposure on GABA<sub>A</sub>-R currents (Fig. 3B), increasing GABA<sub>A</sub>-R current amplitude by 20.4 ± 7.2% (n = 28, P < 0.05).

Moreover, the effects of ELF-MF exposure-induced increase of GABA<sub>A</sub>-R currents were attenuated upon application of both Bis and DHA, two PKC inhibitors (Fig. 4A and B). ELF-MF exposure slightly

**Fig. 3** Effects of PKA or PKC pathway on CGNs GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor currents. (A) Control currents and those following the application of 20 μM db-cAMP for 1 hr. (B) Statistical analysis of the effects of 1-hr application of db-cAMP on GABA<sub>A</sub>-R currents. (C) Control currents and currents following the application of 100 nM PMA for 1 hr. (D) Statistical analysis of the effects of PKC activation on GABA<sub>A</sub>-R currents. Data are means ± SEM. *P < 0.05 by two-sample t-test for two groups connected by a straight line.

**Fig. 4** Effects of activation of PKC pathway on ELF-MF-induced increases of GABA<sub>A</sub> currents. (A) Current traces in the presence of 10 μM Bis-indolylmaleimide (Bis) in sham or 1 mT 1 hr MF exposure-treated groups (upper panel), and statistical analysis of the effects of Bis in ELF-MF-induced increases of GABA<sub>A</sub>-R currents (lower panel). (B) Current traces in the present of 10 μM DHA in sham or ELF-MF exposure-treated groups (upper panel), and statistical analysis of the effects of DHA on ELF-MF-induced increases of GABA<sub>A</sub>-R currents (lower panel). (C) Western blot and statistical analysis of the effects of DHA on pPKC levels in sham or ELF-MF exposure-treated groups. Data are means ± SEM. *P < 0.05 by two-sample t-test for two groups connected by a straight line.
decreased GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor currents by 9.6 ± 7.3% in the present of Bis (P > 0.05, when compared to Bis with sham, n = 15). In the present of DHA, ELF-MF exposure did not result in an increase of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor currents, but rather a slight decrease in current amplitude by 15.0 ± 11.2% (P > 0.05, when compared to DHA with sham). Taken together, these data suggest that a PKC pathway may be involved in the increases in current amplitude seen upon ELF-MF exposure.

To confirm the involvement of a PKC-dependent pathway in the ELF-MF exposed increases of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor currents, we used Western blotting in conjunction with a phospho-specific antibody to measure levels of pPKC in response to ELF-MF exposure. As shown in Figure 4C, there was a significant increase in pPKC levels (30.8 ± 14.9%, P < 0.05) after a 1 hr exposure of CGNs to ELF-MF. Moreover, the inhibition of PKC activity by DHA effectively eliminated the ELF-MF exposure-induced increases of pPKC (Fig. 4C).

**EP1 receptor activation was associated with ELF-MF exposure increases in GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor currents**

Our previous study indicated that there was an increase in intracellular AA and PGE<sub>2</sub> levels following ELF-MF exposure in CGNs. Furthermore, that ELF-MF exposure significantly increased neuronal I<sub>Na</sub> through PGE<sub>2</sub> receptor activation [22]. We therefore used Prostanoid EP receptor antagonists to examine whether EP receptors mediated the observed increases in GABA<sub>A</sub> current and pPKC levels as a result of ELF-MF exposure. In the presence of 20 μM SC19220 (an EP1 receptor-specific antagonist) the effects of ELF-MF increase of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor currents were reduced to 3.7 ± 13.2% (Fig. 5A, n = 17, P > 0.05 when compared to SC19220 with sham). Similarly, SC19220 also eliminated the effects of ELF-MF on pPKC levels. In the

---

**Fig. 5** Effects of EP1 antagonists on ELF-MF-induced increases of GABA<sub>A</sub> currents. (A) Current traces in the present of 20 μM EP1 receptor antagonist SC19220 with or without 1 mT MF exposure for 1 hr (upper panel), and statistical analysis of the effects of SC19220 on ELF-MF-induced increases of GABA<sub>A</sub> currents by two-sample t-test (lower panel). (B–D) Western blot and statistical analysis of the effects of AH6809 (AH68), AH23848 (AH23), L-798,106, and SC19220 (SC19) on pPKC levels of CGNs with or without ELF-MF exposure. Data are means ± SEM. *P < 0.05 by one-way ANOVA for two groups connected by a straight line.
presence of SC19220, ELF-MF mildly increased pPKC levels by 0.4 ± 9.7% (Fig. 5D, n = 5, P > 0.05 when compared to sham). Importantly, AH23848 (an EP2 receptor specific-antagonist), L-798, 106 (an EP3 receptor specific-antagonist), and AH6809 (an EP4 specific-antagonist) were all unable to reduce or ablate the effects of MF-induced increases in pPKC levels (Fig. 5B and C, n = 10). Taken together, results suggest that a PKC pathway activated by EP1 receptors mediates the MF-induced increases in GABAAR current (Fig. 6).

**Discussion**

Although ELF-MF exposure has been previously reported to modulate the activity of voltage-gated ion channels, including sodium and calcium channels [22, 35], few studies to date measured the effects of MF exposure on neuronal, ligand-gated ion channels. For the first time, we report here that ELF-MF exposure enhances GABAAR receptor currents in cerebellar GCs. In particular, neuronal exposure to ELF-MF influences EP receptor-mediated activity of a PKC-dependent pathway and accounts for the induction of GABAAR receptor currents.

Our previous study revealed that intracellular AA and PGE2 levels were increased following ELF-MF exposure by enhancing cPLA2 activity in cerebellar GCs. Increased PGE2 activated a PKA pathway via EP receptors, which then enhanced neuronal hNa [22]. In this study, our results indicated that ELF-MF-induced increases of PGE2 not only modified neuronal hNa, but also modified neuronal GABAAR receptor currents. Interestingly, we noted that although this ELF-MF exposure-induced response is modulated by induction of PGE2, the PKA signaling pathway that induced enhancement of hNa did not associate with ELF-MF-induced increase of GABAAR currents. Although PKA has been previously shown to be a modulator of GABAAR receptors, thereby enhancing or reducing the function of neuronal GABAAR receptors by acute reduction in channel opening frequency or chlorine increases in gene expression [32, 36]. However, the activation of a PKC signalling pathway was shown to underlie the effects seen in our study. This might result from the differential activation of PGE2 receptor. A higher level of PGE2 might be produced by ELF-MF exposure since our previous study identified that all of four types EP receptors are expressed in CGNs [23].

Numerous studies have indicated that PGE2 is mediated by the family of EP receptors, which consists of four isoforms: EP1-EP4 [37,
brane domain 3 and 4 of the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor are variable and/or contradictory. The neuronal effects of ELF-MF have been extensively studied in various organisms [50, 51]. Although the reported results are variable and/or contradictory, this is due in part to differences in experimental conditions and in the flux density and/or duration of MF exposure. However, MF has recently been reported to modulate neuronal excitability and neurogenesis [51–53]. Coincidently, GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor activity not only serves to regulate the excitability of neural circuits, but also plays a role in neuronal development and differentiation [8, 9]. Thus, our <em>in vitro</em> findings on the effects of ELF-MF exposure on GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors may provide evidence and mechanistic insight as to the effects of MF on neuronal excitation and neurogenesis in the central nervous system (CNS). Moreover, deficits in GABA<sub>A</sub>R-mediated neurotransmission are known to associate with pathophysiological disorders, including anxiety disorders, epilepsy and schizophrenia [13–15]. Therefore, further exploration is required to comprehensively analyse the physiological and/or pathological effects of ELF-MF exposure on GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors. Moreover, whether ELF-MF-induced enhancement of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors may be relevant for (i) the treatment of brain disorders associated with deficits in GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor functioning or (ii) as a potential therapeutic approach for disorders associated with neurogenesis. In addition, we did not address the mechanism by which ELF-MF triggered the bio-effect pathway (cPLA2 → AA → PGE<sub>2</sub> → EP receptors) in the present study. Combining our current study with our previous data, we speculate that magnetic field may induce an electrophoretic effect of cell surface protein molecules and change the charge distribution of the cell membrane surface, which then enhances cPLA2 activity and increased intracellular AA and PGE<sub>2</sub> levels in CGNs. Finally, since 1 mT of exposure is not encountered in the daily lives of the general public and seldom in occupational settings, the significance of our work is more applicable to biological mechanisms than population health risk assessments.
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