Prevalence, predictors, and clinical relevance of \( \alpha \)-gal sensitization in patients with chronic urticaria

**Abstract**

**Background:** Little is known about \( \alpha \)-gal (galactose-\( \alpha \)-1,3-galactose) sensitization in patients with chronic urticaria (CU). The aim of this study was to examine the prevalence, predictors and clinical relevance of \( \alpha \)-gal sensitization in patients with CU.

**Methods:** Two consecutive cohorts of newly referred patients with CU from a primary care allergology practice and a tertiary hospital dermatology department, plus a control group with allergic disease, but not CU, from the allergology practice, were interviewed and screened for \( \alpha \)-gal sensitization (serum specific-IgE \( \geq 0.35 \) KU/L).

**Results:** Of 733 patients included, 21 (5.6%) and 11 (3.9%) of CU patients from private practice and hospital, respectively, were \( \alpha \)-gal sensitized. In total, 8 patients (38.1% of sensitized patients, and 2.1% of all CU patients) from private practice, and 2 patients (18.2% of sensitized patients, and 0.7% of all CU patients) from hospital, had clinically relevant \( \alpha \)-gal allergy. In private practice, male sex (47.6 vs. 24.7%), \( p = 0.020 \), obesity (33.3 vs. 23.6%), \( p = 0.302 \), and frequency of angioedema (61.9 vs. 51.4%), \( p = 0.350 \); and in hospital, male sex (72.7 vs. 27.9%), \( p = 0.003 \), and high total immunoglobulin E (median 168 vs. 70.5 KU/L), \( p = 0.022 \) were associated with \( \alpha \)-gal sensitization.

**Conclusion:** \( \alpha \)-gal sensitization is observed in a small fraction of CU patients with only few patients experiencing clinically relevant sensitization. Certain patients, particularly from primary care, may constitute a relevant population for aimed testing.

To the Editor,

Chronic urticaria (CU) is a severely itching skin disease characterized by wheals, angioedema or both for more than 6 weeks. Although the symptoms of CU are thought to be caused, at least in part, by autoallergic mechanisms mediated by formation of immunoglobulin E (IgE), for example, against thyroid peroxidase or IL-24, \( ^1 \) sensitization and/or allergy to external triggering factors are possibly not causative in CU. Still, allergic diseases such as asthma and hay fever, \( ^2 \) and sensitization to aeroallergens, \( ^3 \) are more prevalent in patients with CU, and the exact implications of this for patients with CU are not completely understood.

The oligosaccharide \( \alpha \)-gal (galactose-\( \alpha \)-1,3-galactose) is commonly expressed on non-primate mammalian proteins and is capable of inducing delayed anaphylaxis, angioedema and urticaria after ingestion of red meat in patients with IgE specific for \( \alpha \)-gal. \( ^4-^6 \)

Little is known about \( \alpha \)-gal sensitization in patients with CU. Therefore, we examined two consecutive cohorts of newly referred patients with CU from: (1) a primary care allergology practice \( (n = 373) \) and (2) a tertiary hospital dermatology department \( (n = 283) \) plus a control group with allergic disease, but not CU, from the allergology practice \( (n = 77) \) for the prevalence, predictors and relevance of \( \alpha \)-gal sensitization. Patients were interviewed and screened for \( \alpha \)-gal sensitization (serum specific-IgE \( \geq 0.35 \) KU/L) using the ImmunoCAP™ (ThermoFisher).

In total, 21 (5.6%) and 11 (3.9%) of CU patients from private practice and hospital, respectively, were \( \alpha \)-gal sensitized, that is, they had specific IgE \( \geq 0.35 \) KU/L, \( p = 0.362 \) for difference between private practice and hospital. Based on, respectively, a class 2 (IgE \( \geq 0.70 \) KU/L), class 3 (IgE \( \geq 3.50 \) KU/L) and class 4 (IgE \( \geq 17.50 \) KU/L) positivity-criterion, the prevalence of \( \alpha \)-gal sensitization was 4.8%, 2.4% and 1.9% in private practice, and 2.8%, 1.4% and 0.4% in hospital. In total, 8 patients (38.1% of sensitized patients, and 2.1% of all CU patients) from private practice, and 2 patients (18.2% of sensitized patients, and 0.7% of all CU patients) from hospital, had relevant \( \alpha \)-gal allergy, respectively, judged on whether they had
experienced systemic anaphylaxis, urticaria and/or angioedema up to 12 h after consumption of red meat. Levels of α-gal-IgE were numerically higher, but not statistically significantly different, in patients with relevant allergy compared to non-allergic sensitized patients (median 36.95 vs. 1.81 KU/L, p = 0.108 in private practice; and 26.85 vs. 1.47 KU/L, p = 0.111 in hospital) and the fraction of relevant α-gal sensitized patients was not higher in patients with higher IgE positivity classes. Among control patients from private

---

**TABLE 1** Characteristics of chronic urticaria and non-urticaria patients from private allergology practice and tertiary dermatology hospital department according to α-gal sensitization status

|                          | Private allergology practice, n = 450 | Dermatology hospital department, n = 283 |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
|                          | Chronic urticaria, n = 373            | Non-urticaria, n = 77                   | Chronic urticaria, n = 283 |
|                          | α-gal sensitized, n = 21 (5.6%)       | α-gal non-sensitized, n = 352 (94.4%)  | α-gal non-sensitized, n = 272 (96.1%) |
|                          | α-gal sensitized, n = 4 (5.2%)        | α-gal non-sensitized, n = 73 (96.1%)    | α-gal non-sensitized, n = 11 (3.9%) |
| Sex, n (%)               |                                      |                                         |                             |
| Female                   | 11 (52.4)                            | 2 (50.0)                               | 3 (27.3)                   |
| Male                     | 10 (47.6)                            | 2 (50.0)                               | 8 (72.7)                   |
| Age (years), mean (SD)   | 54.0 (16.1)                          | 68.2 (16.8)                            | 33.8 (16.2)                |
| Obesity (BMI ≥30 kg/m²), n (%) | 7 (33.3)                            | 0 (0)                                  | N/A                       |
| Ever smoking, n (%)      | 9 (42.9)                             | 2 (50.0)                               | 5 (45.5)                   |
| Family history of CU, n (%) | N/A                                  | N/A                                    | N/A                       |
| Urticaria subtype, n (%) |                                      |                                         | N/A                       |
| CSU                      | N/A                                  | N/A                                    | N/A                       |
| CINDU                    |                                      |                                         | N/A                       |
| CSU + CINDU              |                                      |                                         | N/A                       |
| Age at onset, mean (SD)  | 46.9 (19.8)                          | N/A                                    | 29.9 (16.2)                |
| Angioedema, n (%)        | 13 (61.9)                            | 0 (0)                                  | 5 (45.5)                   |
| PRO-score, mean (SD)     |                                      |                                         | N/A                       |
| UAS7                     | N/A                                  | N/A                                    | N/A                       |
| UCT                      |                                      |                                         | N/A                       |
| DLQI                     | 4.3 (3.9)                            | 6.0 (4.2)                              | 6.7 (2.8)                 |
| VAS                      | 6.3 (3.5)                            | 6.0 (2.8)                              |                           |
| Laboratory tests, median (min-max) | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Eosinophils (E9/L)       | 0.20 (0.07–8.13)                     | 0.32 (0.17–0.72)                      | 0.13 (0.06–0.33)          |
| Basophils (E9/L)         | 0.04 (0.00–0.10)                     | 0.06 (0.03–0.07)                      | 0.04 (0.01–0.06)          |
| Neutrophils (E9/L)       | 3.90 (2.50–6.50)                     | 3.77 (3.50–5.00)                      | 2.69 (2.00–7.51)          |
| CRP (mg/L)               | 1 (1.00–23.00)                       | 1 (1.00–100)                          | 1.00 (1.00–11.00)         |
| Total IgE (KU/L)         | 233 (28–840)                         | 103 (103–103)                         | 168 (15–1280)             |
| TSH (E-3 IU/L)           | 1.73 (0.75–3.42)                     | 1.15 (1.08–1.21)                      | 1.18 (0.31–4.01)          |
| Positive BHRA, n (%)     | N/A                                  | N/A                                    | 1 (9.1)                   |
| Clinically relevant, n (%) |                                      |                                         | N/A                       |
| Yes                      | 8 (38.1)                             | 0                                      | 2 (18.2)                  |
| No                       | 6 (28.6)                             | 3 (75.0)                               | 7 (63.6)                  |
| Information unavailable  | 7 (33.3)                             | 1 (25.0)                               | 2 (18.2)                  |

Note: Numbers are calculated from available data. Categorical variables are number (percentage) and continuous variables are mean (standard deviation, SD). Criterion for α-gal sensitization is serum specific-IgE >0.34 KU/L.
Abbreviations: BHRA, basophil histamine-release assay; BMI, body mass index; CINDU, chronic inducible urticaria; CRP, C-reactive protein; CSU, chronic spontaneous urticaria; CU, chronic urticaria; DLQI, dermatology life quality index; TSH, thyroid stimulating hormone; UAS7, urticaria activity score over 7 days; UCT, urticaria control test; VAS, visual analogue scale.
practice the prevalence of α-gal sensitization was 5.2% (n = 4) and none of these were relevant, \( p = 0.879 \) for difference from CU patients.

The following factors were the most evident discriminators between α-gal sensitized and non-sensitized patients from, respectively, private practice: male sex (47.6 vs. 24.7%), \( p = 0.020 \), obesity (33.3 vs. 23.6%), \( p = 0.302 \), and frequency of angioedema (61.9 vs. 51.4%), \( p = 0.350 \); and from hospital: male sex (72.7 vs. 27.9%), \( p = 0.003 \), and high total IgE (median 168 vs. 70.5 KU/L), \( p = 0.022 \) (Table 1).

Among α-gal sensitized CU patients from hospital with available data on specific IgE against meat (n = 8), 4 (50%) were also sensitized to beef, 4 (50%) were also sensitized pork, and 3 (37.5%) were also sensitized to lamb, whereas 4 (50%) were sensitized to at least one of these. Furthermore, 6 patients (54.5%) had a history of tick bite but none had ever been diagnosed or suspected infected with borrelia, and none had elevated IgG against borrelia.

Maurer et al.\(^7\) reported a prevalence of α-gal sensitization of 2.4% in 83 patients with CU and no association between ingestion of red meat and development of subsequent delayed urticaria symptoms. In contrast, Pollack et al.\(^8\) found that 9 (60%) of 15 α-gal sensitized CU patients had remission of urticaria symptoms due to avoidance of red meat or other mammalian-derived food products. We have previously found that the prevalence of α-gal sensitization (specific IgE ≥0.35 KU/L) in the general population from Copenhagen was 1.8%, and with higher age, cat ownership, positive skin prick test to common Aero-allergens, and previous history of tick bite as statistically significant predictors in multivariable analysis for α-gal positivity.\(^9\) Further, male sex was also a statistically significant predictor when the threshold for α-gal positivity was lowered to IgE ≥0.10 KU/L.

In conclusion, we found that the prevalence of α-gal sensitization among CU patients in private allergology practice and tertiary hospital dermatology department was low; 5.6% and 3.9%, respectively, with only few patients experiencing clinically relevant sensitization with allergic symptoms upon ingestion of red meat, respectively. Male sex and high total IgE levels were significant predictors of α-gal sensitization. Particularly, the number of CU patients needed to screen to detect one case of clinically relevant α-gal allergy was 46 (373/8) and 141 (283/2) in private practice and hospital, respectively. These results suggest that routine screening for α-gal-sensitization in patients with CU is relatively resource-demanding and that only a small subgroup of patients, particularly from primary care, with certain characteristics, may constitute a relevant population for aimed testing. A potential role of known allergy to external triggers as a driver of auto-allergy in CU needs further elucidation.
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