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Abstract: Oxygen surface exchange and oxygen chemical diffusion coefficients of LaNi$_{0.4}$Fe$_{0.6}$O$_{3-\delta}$ ceramics are determined via conductivity relaxation method after stepwise change of temperature in the range of 700–950 $^\circ$C in air and Ar/O$_2$ gas flow at oxygen partial pressures ($p_{O_2}$) of 4 Pa, 18 Pa, 37 Pa, 47 Pa and 59 Pa. The highest conductivity (about 160 S·cm$^{-1}$) is found at 950 $^\circ$C in air. No oxygen exchange ($\delta=0$) below 700 $^\circ$C is observed in the investigated $p_{O_2}$ range. The oxygen exchange coefficients determined in reduction mode are higher than those determined in oxidation mode. This is explained by clusterization of oxygen vacancies on the surface of the sample investigated in oxidation mode. The opposite tendency is found for chemical diffusion coefficients. Unlike surface, the oxygen vacancies of the volume region are probably not clustered and have predetermined the higher oxygen diffusion mobility of the sample treated in oxidation mode.
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1 Introduction

The development of intermediate temperature solid state fuel cell (IT-SOFC) is now gathering much attention as it offers several advantages over conventional power generation systems, notably, the ability to use carbon monoxide as a fuel and the possibility of cogeneration with gas turbine power systems to achieve optimal efficiency. An important issue for the development of SOFC is the selection of appropriate electrode materials [1]. The cathode materials are the key factor to improve the performance of SOFC. LaNi$_{1-x}$Fe$_x$O$_{3-\delta}$ materials satisfy the important requirements for SOFC cathode [2]. Zhen et al. [3] reported that LaNi$_{0.6}$Fe$_{0.4}$O$_{3-\delta}$ cathode has high tolerance to chromium poisoning in SOFC. Orui et al. [4] developed a SOFC stack with LaNi$_{0.8}$Fe$_{0.2}$O$_{3-\delta}$ as cathode material. LaNi$_{0.6}$Fe$_{0.4}$O$_{3-\delta}$ composition has low reactivity to yttria-stabilised zirconia [5,6] and the highest electronic conductivity in LaNi$_{1-x}$Fe$_x$O$_{3-\delta}$ series. As cathode material it shows one of the lowest cell over-potentials at 800 $^\circ$C [7–9].

To create high performance electrode, mixed conductors with high ionic and electronic conductivities should be utilized [10]. Important features of these materials (mixed conductors) are their ability to absorb or desorb oxygen reversibly without...
change in crystal structure and their high oxygen diffusivity. Reports on the oxygen diffusion and surface exchange coefficients obtained with mixed conductors have been made by different researchers [11–13]. The rate of absorption/desorption is governed by bulk oxygen anion and electron transport, as well as catalytic reduction/oxidation of oxygen at the surface [14]. The necessary requirements for the application of mixed ionic and electronic conducting materials in SOFC are the rapid incorporation of oxygen from gas phase and its fast transport in the bulk of the materials to the phase boundary with the solid electrolyte. Then understanding the transport mechanism of oxygen through the electrode materials and the experimental parameters, such as oxygen surface exchange and chemical diffusion coefficients, provides useful information for selecting new promising electrode materials [15]. Unfortunately, no data of oxygen mobility and oxygen exchange kinetics is published for LaNi$_{1-x}$Fe$_x$O$_{3-\delta}$ compositions until now.

This article aims to investigate the relation between oxygen non-stoichiometry and electrical conductivity and to determine the oxygen surface exchange and chemical diffusion coefficients for LaNi$_{0.4}$Fe$_{0.6}$O$_{3-\delta}$ ceramics, as one of the poorly investigated compositions in LaNi$_{1-x}$Fe$_x$O$_{3-\delta}$ series.

2 Experimental

2.1 Sample preparation and diffraction studies

LaNi$_{0.4}$Fe$_{0.6}$O$_{3-\delta}$ powder was prepared by conventional solid state reaction method. La$_2$O$_3$ (> 99.9%), NiO (> 99%) and Fe$_2$O$_3$ (> 99%) powder with appropriate ratio was ground in a planetary mill in ethanol for 24 h and then sintered at 1250 °C for 24 h in air to produce LaNi$_{0.4}$Fe$_{0.6}$O$_{3-\delta}$ powder.

The dense ceramic sample (size of 8.5 mm × 2.5 mm × 1.3 mm, density of 94%) with four Pt wires (0.1 mm in diameter) for investigation of oxygen diffusion mobility and oxygen surface exchange was sintered in air at 1600 °C for 17 h. No decomposition of the compound by X-ray diffraction (XRD) method was registered.

In situ structural studies on LaNi$_{0.4}$Fe$_{0.6}$O$_{3-\delta}$ sample were performed at the synchrotron facility HASYLAB/DESY (Hamburg, Germany) with the powder diffractometer at beam-line B2 [16]. The sample was ground in the agate mortar and sieved through a mesh. The 0.3 mm quartz capillary was filled under air atmosphere with powdered sample and sealed. Subsequently the capillary was mounted inside a STOE furnace in Debye–Scherrer geometry, equipped with a Eurotherm temperature controller and a capillary spinner. The furnace temperature was measured by TYPE–N thermocouple. A wavelength of 0.65125 Å was selected using a Si(111) double flat-crystal monochromator from the direct white synchrotron beam. The X-ray wavelength was determined from eight reflection positions of LaB$_6$ reference material (NIST SRM 660a) measured by scintillation single counter detector. The 10 diffraction patterns were collected (9–12 min per pattern in dependence on the synchrotron current) at fixed temperatures in the range of 298–1173 K (2 min for temperature stabilization) during the heating cycles using an image-plate detector (2θ range of 8°–60°). One additional check-pattern was taken at ambient temperature after the heat treatment. All diffraction patterns were analyzed by full-profile Rietveld refinements using the program package WinPLOTR/FullProf.

2.2 Conductivity and oxygen content measurement

Oxygen content was investigated on the powder, and electrical conductivity was measured on dense sample by a solid electrolyte measurement complex ZiroxSystem (Zirox, Greifswald, Germany), which is analogous to OXYLYT complex described elsewhere [17] (Fig. 1). The concept of a combined coulometric–potentiometric arrangement for investigation of the interactions between solid and the

---

![Fig. 1 Scheme of a solid electrolyte measurement complex ZiroxSystem (Greifswald, Germany).](image-url)
A four-point direct current method was used for conductivity measurements in air and in Ar/O₂ gas flow at oxygen partial pressure of 4–59 Pa and temperature of 700–950 °C. The conductivity was recalculated for theoretical density of samples using the equation:

\[ \sigma_\delta = \sigma_p \times \frac{1 + p / 2}{(1 - p)^{3/2}} \]  

where \( p \) is the relative porosity; \( \sigma_\delta \) and \( \sigma_p \) are the conductivities of dense and porous samples, respectively [19].

### 2.3 Oxygen diffusion mobility and oxygen surface exchange

Oxygen chemical diffusion and oxygen surface exchange coefficients were determined by conductivity measurements of the dense sample after 50 K upward (reduction) and downward (oxidation) temperature jumps from one equilibrium state to another at the temperature rate of 40 K·min⁻¹. Relaxation measurements were carried out in Ar/O₂ gas flow at the oxygen partial pressures of 4 Pa, 18 Pa, 37 Pa, 47 Pa and 59 Pa.

The analysis of the results of relaxation measurements was carried out using the solution of Fick’s second law for a rectangular sample with the dimensions of \( 2h \times 2w \times 2l \) by the following equation [20,21]:

\[
\frac{\sigma_x - \sigma_0}{\sigma_x - \sigma_\infty} = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{n=1}^{n} \frac{2L_i^2 \exp(-\beta_i^2 \tilde{D}_i / h^2)}{\beta_i^2 (\beta_i^2 + L_i^2 + L_i^2)} 
\times \frac{2L_x^2 \exp(-\gamma_m^2 \tilde{D}_m / w^2)}{\gamma_m^2 (\gamma_m^2 + L_m^2 + L_m^2)} 
\times \frac{2L_z^2 \exp(-\delta_n^2 \tilde{D}_n / l^2)}{\delta_n^2 (\delta_n^2 + L_n^2 + L_n^2)}
\]  

where \( t \) is the diffusion time; \( \sigma_0 \), \( \sigma_\infty \) and \( \sigma_i \) denote the conductivities at \( t=0 \) (initial), \( t=\infty \) (after reaching a new equilibrium state) and time \( t \) (in the course of a relaxation), respectively; and \( \tilde{D}_i \) is the chemical diffusion coefficient. The dimensionless parameters \( L_i, L_x \) and \( L_z \) are defined using the linear oxygen exchange rate constant (\( \alpha \)) and the chemical diffusion coefficient (\( \tilde{D}_0 \)):

\[
L_i = h \alpha / \tilde{D}_0
\]

\[
L_x = w \alpha / \tilde{D}_0
\]

\[
L_z = l \alpha / \tilde{D}_0
\]

which serve as an appropriate measure of the significance of the surface reaction. Large and small \( L \) values correspond to diffusion-controlled and surface-reaction-controlled processes, respectively. \( \beta_i \), \( \gamma_m \) and \( \delta_n \) are the \( m \)th roots of Eqs. (6–8), respectively; \( 2h, 2w \) and \( 2l \) are thickness, width and length of the sample with rectangular geometry, respectively.

This model of calculation is based on the following restrictions [21]:

(I) At small changes of oxygen nonstoichiometry (approximately 0.01 of the oxygen index), the diffusion parameters are assumed to be independent with composition, i.e., the sample is assumed to maintain its composition within the whole volume before and after the step.

(II) The mobility of the charge carrier can be approximated as constant during the course of relaxation.

(III) A linear relation should be assumed between the changes of the electrical conductivity and the concentration of the lattice oxygen or the oxygen vacancies.

(IV) The surface reaction kinetics is linear with respect to the oxygen concentration at the surface.

(V) The polycrystalline sample is assumed to be isotropic.

(VI) The value of the diffusion coefficient is related to the final temperature after each step.

The accuracy of the relaxation measurements is limited by (1) the errors in the measurement of the geometrical dimensions of the sample; (2) the different ceramic microstructure of sample as a result of difference in preparation of ceramic powder and pressing and sintering conditions; and (3) the experimental uncertainty of a “dead time” after the temperature leap caught up by the sample.

### 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 High temperature structure behavior

The inspection of systematic absences of Bragg reflections in the synchrotron powder diffraction patterns of perovskite structured LaNi₀.₄Fe₀.₆O₃₋₋₀ at
room temperature (RT) reveals orthorhombic symmetry based on the \( \text{Pbnm} \) space group. The compound undergoes \( \text{Pbnm} \leftrightarrow 3R\overline{3}c \) transformation at 200–300 °C. The observed transition is abrupt with a large volume change and occurs through two phase (\( \text{Pbnm} + 3R\overline{3}c \)) regions, which is an evidence for the 1st order transition. Figure 2 illustrates the results of Rietveld refinements for the powder with nominal composition \( \text{LaNi}_{0.4}\text{Fe}_{0.6}\text{O}_{3-\delta} \) at room temperature and 1173 K. Temperature dependencies of normalized lattice parameters of \( \text{LaNi}_{0.4}\text{Fe}_{0.6}\text{O}_{3-\delta} \) are presented in Fig. 3, and the structural parameters are summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

One additional diffraction check-pattern was taken at room temperature after the heat treatment. The difference in volume after and before heating lies only within estimated standard deviation which indicates that the sample returns to its initial state. Thus, the reversibility of \( \text{Pbnm} \leftrightarrow 3R\overline{3}c \) transition in \( \text{LaNi}_{0.4}\text{Fe}_{0.6}\text{O}_{3-\delta} \) and the stability of the sample in the temperature range of 298–1173 K are proved. Any phase transitions in the temperature range of 700–900 °C in air are not observed, and the lattice parameters of the compound have increased linearly with temperature.

Another distinct feature of \( \text{LaNi}_{0.4}\text{Fe}_{0.6}\text{O}_{3-\delta} \) is highly anisotropic thermal expansion, particularly in the highest conductivity rhombohedral phase, with lattice \( c \) parameter showing larger temperature response than \( a \) parameter. Such anisotropy might lead to different expansion of neighboring grains within ceramic sample and, consequently, to stresses at the grain boundaries. Therefore, thermal expansion anisotropy and the 1st order \( \text{Pbnm} \leftrightarrow 3R\overline{3}c \) phase transition should be accounted at the design of SOFC cathode.

3.2 Conductivity and oxygen content measurement

Influence of stepwise temperature change on time dependencies of titration current in coulometric cell 2 of a ZiroxSystem device and electrical conductivity of the dense \( \text{LaNi}_{0.4}\text{Fe}_{0.6}\text{O}_{3-\delta} \) ceramic sample at \( p_{O_2} = 47 \) Pa is presented in Fig. 4(a), whereas Fig. 4(b) presents the analogous time dependencies for titration current and oxygen content of the powder sample at \( p_{O_2} = 59 \) Pa. A coincidence of conductivity of sample for the same temperatures at heating and cooling processes is observed in \( \text{Ar/O}_2 \) gas flow at \( p_{O_2} = 47 \) Pa.

Fig. 2 Results of Rietveld refinements for \( \text{LaNi}_{0.4}\text{Fe}_{0.6}\text{O}_{3-\delta} \) at (a) room temperature (\( \text{Pbnm} \) symmetry) and (b) 1173 K (\( 3R\overline{3}c \) symmetry). Dots are experimental data, the lines through the dots are calculated profiles, and the lower plots their difference. Ticks show the calculated positions of Bragg reflections. Upper and lower rows of reflection marks in the room temperature pattern belong to \( \text{LaNi}_{0.4}\text{Fe}_{0.6}\text{O}_{3-\delta} \) (\( \text{Pbnm} \))–99.4% and \( \text{NiO} \) (\( 3R\overline{3}m \))–0.6%, respectively (because of such negligible amount of \( \text{NiO} \) impurity, we do not include this phase into refinements of high temperature diffraction data).

Fig. 3 Temperature dependencies of lattice parameters in \( \text{LaNi}_{0.4}\text{Fe}_{0.6}\text{O}_{3-\delta} \). Cell dimensions of orthorhombic phase and rhombohedral polymorphs are normalized as \( a_p = a/2^{0.5} \), \( b_p = b/2^{0.5} \), \( c_p = c/2 \), \( V_p = V/4 \) and \( a_p = a/2^{0.5} \), \( c_p = c/12^{0.5} \), \( V_p = V/6 \), respectively.
in the temperature range of 700–950 °C. This conductivity behavior corroborates stability of the sample in this temperature range found by diffraction data (Fig. 3).

Figure 5 presents oxygen content of LaNi_{0.4}Fe_{0.6}O_{3–δ} composition depending on temperature (700–950 °C) and oxygen partial pressure (18–21000 Pa). The highest oxygen non-stoichiometry (δ = 0.016) is observed at the highest temperature 950 °C and the lowest oxygen partial pressure 18 Pa. The slopes of the linear data versus log(PO_{2}) increase with temperature.

The conductivity of dense sample recalculated to theoretical density after Eq. (1) is shown in Fig. 6 as a function of oxygen partial pressure at different temperatures (a) and as a function of reciprocal absolute temperature at different oxygen partial pressures (b). The highest conductivity of about 160 S·cm\(^{-1}\) is found at 950 °C in air. At temperatures below 800 °C, no influence of oxygen partial pressure on the conductivity is found (Figs. 6(a) and 6(b)). At temperatures above 800 °C, the conductivity increases with oxygen partial pressure, which is typically for p-type oxide semiconductors.

The temperature dependences of conductivity have maxima, which are moved to higher temperatures with increasing oxygen partial pressure from 750 °C at 4 Pa.

### Table 1  Structural parameters of LaNi_{0.4}Fe_{0.6}O_{3–δ} at selected temperatures

| Temperature (K) | 298 | 573 | 873 | 1173 |
|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|------|
| Symmetry        | Pbnm| Pbnm| R\textsuperscript{3}c | R\textsuperscript{3}c |
| Fraction (%)    | 100 | 67(1)| 33(1) | 100  |
| a (Å)           | 5.5294(1) | 5.4972(1) | 5.7873(2) | 5.7873(2) |
| b (Å)           | 5.4972(1) | 5.4972(1) | 7.8117(3) | 7.8117(3) |
| c (Å)           | 236.71(1) | 238.89(2) | 356.61(2) | 361.02(1) |
| V (Å\(^3\))     |      |      |      |      |
| La at 4(c)      | x/a | 0.0029(5) | 0.0027(8) | 0.0027(8) |
|                 | y/b | 0.0209(1) | 0.0178(3) | 0.0178(3) |
|                 | z/c | ¼ | ¼ | ¼ |
|                 | U_{iso} (Å\(^2\)) | 0.0168(2) | 0.0236(4) | 0.0236(4) |
| Fe/Ni at 4(b)   | x/a | ½ | ½ | ½ |
|                 | y/b | 0   | 0   | 0   |
|                 | z/c | ¼ | ¼ | ¼ |
|                 | U_{iso} (Å\(^2\)) | 0.0144(3) | 0.0185(5) | 0.0185(5) |
| O1 at 4(c)      | x/a | 0.568(3) | 0.588(4) | 0.546 |
|                 | y/b | -0.004(2) | 0.011(3) | 0.011(3) |
|                 | z/c | ¼ | ¼ | ¼ |
|                 | U_{iso} (Å\(^2\)) | 0.006(1) | 0.008(2) | 0.008(2) |
| O2 at 8(d)      | x/a | 0.781(2) | 0.785(3) | 0.785(3) |
|                 | y/b | 0.216(2) | 0.223(3) | 0.223(3) |
|                 | z/c | -0.040(1) | -0.035(2) | -0.035(2) |
|                 | U_{iso} (Å\(^2\)) | 0.006(1) | 0.008(2) | 0.008(2) |

### Table 2  Absolute cell dimensions of LaNi_{0.4}Fe_{0.6}O_{3–δ}

| Temperature (K) | a (Å) | b (Å) | c (Å) | V (Å\(^3\)) |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------------|
| 298             | 5.5294(1) | 5.4972(1) | 7.7873(2) | 236.71(1) |
| 573             | 5.5307(1) | 5.4972(1) | 7.7919(2) | 237.16(1) |
| 873             | 5.5307(1) | 5.4972(1) | 7.8004(2) | 237.89(1) |
| 1173            | 5.5307(1) | 5.4972(1) | 13.321(2) | 354.15(8) |
| 298*            | 5.5307(1) | 5.4972(1) | 7.7873(2) | 236.71(1) |
| 573             | 5.5307(1) | 5.4972(1) | 7.7919(2) | 237.16(1) |
| 873             | 5.5307(1) | 5.4972(1) | 7.8004(2) | 237.89(1) |
| 1173            | 5.5307(1) | 5.4972(1) | 13.321(2) | 354.15(8) |

Occupancy was fixed corresponding to the sample’s stoichiometry. Diffraction pattern of the sample revealed traces of rhombohedral NiO (less than 1%) which were neglected in the final analysis.

Data was collected immediately after high temperature diffraction.

in the temperature range of 700–950 °C. This conductivity behavior corroborates stability of the sample in this temperature range found by diffraction data (Fig. 3).
The transformation of the conductivity from semi-conducting to metallic type observed with increasing temperature above 700–850 °C could be understood with oxygen release from the sample, which increases with decreasing oxygen partial pressure and increasing temperature (Fig. 4). The conductivity along the (–O–Fe(Ni)–O–Fe(Ni)–) chains in perovskite-type structure decreases due to the decrease of the concentration of charge carriers [22–24]. The carrier concentration decreases by charge compensation, if oxygen vacancies are formed.

3.3 Oxygen surface exchange and chemical diffusion coefficients

Figure 7 shows the experimental and fitted data for normalized conductivity for the LaNi$_{0.4}$Fe$_{0.6}$O$_{3-\delta}$ gas dense sample after a temperature jump from 850 °C to 900 °C at 59 Pa. Clearly, good fit of the experimental data has been observed. This means that the model of relaxation of the sample after temperature jumps, described in Section 2.3, is reasonable for the investigated sample at the described experimental conditions, and the calculated values of oxygen surface exchange and chemical diffusion coefficients.
exchange rate and chemical diffusion coefficients could be considered as reliable.

Temperature dependences of oxygen surface exchange and chemical diffusion coefficients are shown in Fig. 8. It is difficult to explain these data, because the dependences are not monotonous. Especially at 750–850 °C, some anomalies in the coefficient values are observed. The measured weak temperature dependences of conductivity at 750–850 °C (maxima in Fig. 6(b)) are the possible reasons for the deviation of coefficients from the real values. It can be additionally considered that at temperature jumps in this temperature range, the conductivity maxima are situated between the initial and end temperatures of the sample. If this is the case, the relaxation model (Eq. (2)) can not provide absolutely correct values of oxygen chemical diffusion and surface exchange coefficients [25].

Despite of that, in the complete measured temperature range, the oxygen surface exchange coefficients determined by reduction modes are higher than those determined by oxidation modes and vary between 4×10⁻⁶ cm·s⁻¹ and 0.01 cm·s⁻¹ (Figs. 8(a)–8(e)). In contrast to that, the chemical diffusion coefficients calculated at reduction modes are lower in comparison to those determined at oxidation modes, especially at high temperatures. It is possible that the lower oxygen vacancy concentration on the surface of the sample during reduction modes (jumps up from the lower temperatures) in comparison with oxidation modes (jumps down from the higher temperatures) serves as the reason for accelerated oxygen exchange kinetics.

These data disagree with the results determined for La₁₋ₓSrₓMn₁₋ᵧCoₓO₃₋δ by isotope exchange depth profile method at nominal oxygen pressure 1 atm. Our measurements were carried out at more low oxygen concentration in gas phase and our sample had surely higher oxygen vacancy concentration. Maybe the high oxygen vacancy concentration in near-surface region of the sample results in the formation of neutral defect clusters of the type (−B−VO−B−). Such clusters, as shown by Van Roosmalen and Cordfunke [26], are not suitable for transport of oxygen ions, and thus reduce the concentration of “free” vacancies available for oxygen transport and exchange.

The chemical diffusion coefficients are varied in the range of 10⁻⁷–10⁻⁴ cm²·s⁻¹ (Figs. 8(f)–8(j)) and, in comparison with oxygen exchange coefficients, changed in the opposite direction depending on temperature jump modes. That can be understood by increasing oxygen diffusion mobility in LaNi₀.₄Fe₀.₆O₃₋δ composition with increasing oxygen vacancy concentration. The different behavior between the near-surface and volume regions of ceramic sample may be caused by different compositions of these regions, which are not analysed in this work. Sintering of mixed oxide ceramics can be accompanied by enriching of the near-surface regions of ceramics by separate cations and that can be the reason of different k and D values found for La₀.₆Sr₀.₄Co₀.₂Fe₀.₈O₃₋δ in different laboratories [27]. Our samples were sintered at 1600 °C and not polished after sintering before relaxation measurements.

The dependencies of oxygen exchange and diffusion parameters on temperature jump modes found for LaNi₀.₄Fe₀.₆O₃₋δ disagree with the results determined for analogous praseodymium-contained composition PrNi₀.₄Fe₀.₆O₃₋δ investigated previously [28]. The higher oxygen diffusion mobility in PrNi₀.₄Fe₀.₆O₃₋δ was observed during reduction processes and has been explained by the already known formation of high mentioned neutral defect clusters of the type (−B−VO−B−). Different chemical nature of lanthanum and praseodymium and also different crystal structures of PrNi₀.₄Fe₀.₆O₃₋δ (Pbnm) and LaNi₀.₄Fe₀.₆O₃₋δ (R₃c) at comparable experimental conditions are evidently the reasons for different behavior of transport properties of these compounds depending of direction of temperature jumps.

4 Conclusions

LaNi₀.₄Fe₀.₆O₃₋δ shows phase stability in the
Fig. 8  Temperature dependences of (a)–(e) oxygen surface exchange and (f)–(j) chemical diffusion coefficients measured at different oxygen partial pressures.
temperature range of 700–950 °C at oxygen partial pressures of 4–21000 Pa. The reversible phase transformation ($Pbnm\leftrightarrow R3\bar{c}$) occurs at 200–300 °C in air. Conductivity, oxygen content and relaxation parameters in region of existence of compound are investigated. The highest conductivity of about $160 \text{ S} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$ is found at 950 °C in air. The oxygen surface exchange coefficients depending on temperature, oxygen partial pressure and temperature jump modes are varied between $4\times10^{-6}$ cm$^2$·s$^{-1}$ and 0.01 cm$^2$·s$^{-1}$ and are higher for reduction processes in comparison with those determined at oxidation processes at the same temperatures. The chemical diffusion coefficients are varied in the range of $10^{-7}$–$10^{-4}$ cm$^2$·s$^{-1}$ and in comparison with oxygen exchange coefficients are changed in opposite direction depending on temperature jump directions. In comparison with $\text{PrNi}_{0.4}\text{Fe}_{0.6}\text{O}_3$ the different influence of treatment modes (oxidation/reduction) at the same temperatures on oxygen exchange and chemical diffusion coefficients is found.
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