Fruit and Vegetable Dietary Patterns and Mental Health in Women: A Systematic Review
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Context: Mental health may be influenced by some dietary patterns. Among common elements of beneficial patterns is high fruit and vegetable intake. However, no systematic review has been conducted to date, to our knowledge, that has assessed the influence of fruit and vegetable dietary patterns on a broad spectrum of mental health. Objective: We conducted a systematic review, using the PRISMA guidelines, of the observational studies analyzing the association between the dietary pattern of fruit and vegetables and the broad aspects of mental health in adult women. Data sources: The databases PubMed and Web of Science were searched, and additional manual search for observational peer-reviewed studies was conducted for studies published until June 2019. Data extraction: A total of 5911 studies were extracted and verified based on title and abstract for the inclusion criteria. All procedures were conducted independently by 2 researchers. The final number of included studies was 30. The review was structured around the type of observed outcome. Data analysis: The included studies had defined habitual intake associated with dietary patterns with the intake of specific fruit and/or vegetables, and/or fruit or vegetable products (eg, juices), as well as any aspect of the broad spectrum of general mental health. The Newcastle–Ottawa Scale was used to assess bias. The observed association was not stated in all the included studies; some of them revealed a reverse relationship, but only for the vegetarian/vegan diet. A vegetarian diet may be characterized by high consumption of fruits and vegetables, but it sometimes may not be properly balanced, due to excluded products. This may be the reason of observed situation. Conclusions: A general positive influence was observed for the dietary patterns characterized by high consumption of fruit and vegetables and of fruit or vegetable products by women. Systematic review registration: PROSPERO registration no. CRD42019138148.

INTRODUCTION

Dietary patterns are defined as the specified quantity, proportion, and variety of food products consumed and the resultant quantity and proportion of nutrients in the habitual diet, including its multidimensional and dynamic characteristics. Because identifying the optimal dietary food-based recommendations for the
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prevention of chronic diseases is currently considered a public health priority, studies on dietary patterns are becoming vital. In recent years, recommendations and studies focusing on dietary patterns have been perceived as more practical than those focusing on nutrient intake, because the former allow promoting the consumption of healthy diets among individuals and population groups while providing specific information on food products that should be consumed.

An increasing number of diseases are being proven to be prevented by adopting specific dietary patterns, and this has also been indicated in meta-analyses on the risk of cardiovascular diseases, chronic kidney disease, cancers (e.g., colorectal, lung, endometrial, breast), frailty, neurodegenerative diseases, and attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder, among others. Moreover, specific dietary patterns have been attributed to a lower risk of cardiometabolic diseases and preterm birth, and a lower level of C-reactive protein.

Among the conditions associated with mental health, depressive symptoms and depression were analyzed in a few studies and perinatal anxiety in 2 studies, but to our knowledge, none has been conducted thus far that assessed the influence of dietary patterns on a broad spectrum of mental health. In their systematic review and meta-analysis of studies conducted with community-dwelling adults, Lai et al showed that a diet high in fruit, vegetables, fish, and whole grains may be associated with a reduced risk of depression. Similarly, in another meta-analysis, Li et al indicated that a diet high in fruit, vegetables, whole grains, fish, olive oil, low-fat dairy products, and antioxidants, as well as low in animal foods, may be associated with a reduced risk of depression. In addition, in their systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies, Nicolaou et al and Lassale et al compared the effects of some apparently healthy dietary patterns, based on Mediterranean Diet Score, the Healthy Eating Index, Alternative Healthy Eating Index, Dietary Inflammatory Index, and Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension diet, and stated that following those diets may lower the risk of development of depressive symptoms. Similar observations were reported by Quirk et al in their systematic review analyzing the effect of Mediterranean and traditional Norwegian diets in lowering the risk of depression; by Rahe et al in their study of the effect of the Mediterranean diet in lowering the risk of the onset of depression; and by Silva et al and Baskin et al in their systematic reviews on the effect of the dietary patterns interpreted as healthy in lowering the risk of perinatal anxiety and depression.

Analyzing the systematic reviews conducted so far on depression or depressive symptoms, it may be hypothesized that fruit and vegetables are among the main health-promoting components of the dietary patterns concluded to be beneficial and proven protective against depression. However, the only comprehensive study that analyzed a broad area of mental health in adults, conducted by Tarelho et al, was published just as an abstract of the 24th European Congress of Psychiatry, with no full paper provided, which indicates that more studies are necessary.

Taking this into account, as well as given the lack of studies on the general mental health of adults, we conducted a systematic review, using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines, of the observational studies analyzing the association between the dietary pattern of fruit and vegetables and the broad aspects of mental health in adult women. The systematic review verified whether the dietary pattern high in fruit and vegetables is associated with better mental health outcomes compared to other dietary patterns. The study was planned to be conducted specifically with women because the World Health Organization has indicated women are a vulnerable population due to a number of sex-related mental health disparities and has stated that there is a need for strategies dedicated especially to women.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study design**

The search for the studies was conducted based on the PRISMA guidelines. The systematic review was registered in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews database (registration no. CRD42019138148) for assessment of fruit and vegetables intake (already published), as well as assessment of the fruit and vegetables dietary patterns.

The basic search strategy applied for the systematic review was the exclusion of the studies that (1) were not conducted in human adult populations, (2) assessed the intake of fruit and vegetables but did not include a defined dietary pattern, and (3) did not present the results observed for female participants separately from the male participants. The databases PubMed and Web of Science were searched for peer-reviewed observational studies published in English until June 2019, and an additional manual search was performed of the references of the studies that were found from these database searches.

**Inclusion/exclusion criteria**

Studies were selected for inclusion and exclusion criteria, as presented for patient, intervention/exposure, comparator, outcome, and study design (PICOS) criteria (Table 1). The fruit and vegetable dietary patterns
were indicated as a supposed reason and the broad aspects of mental health as a supposed consequence, so the included studies either specified such a causal nature or did not specify any reason and consequence, and just presented the coexisting intake and mental health; those studies that specified a reverse association (ie, mental health as a reason and dietary pattern as a consequence) were not included. All studies including information about dietary patterns including nonprocessed fruits or vegetables were included. The only required condition was the assessment of the intake of fruit, vegetables, and/or fruit or vegetable products (eg, juices) as a factor to differentiate populations and to define the dietary pattern. However, highly processed fruit and vegetable products (eg, ketchup, jam) were allowed as an element of the dietary pattern only if they were accompanied by nonprocessed fruit and/or vegetables. The assessment of intake had to have been associated with the documented habitual intake (with intervention studies excluded), but it was allowed to be conducted using any method, and the intake may have been specified either in grams or as a frequency of consumption (eg, number of servings), depending on the method applied by the authors of the study.

The aspects of mental health that were allowed for the given studies were to be associated with any area of the broad spectrum of general mental health, among both healthy women and those with a physical disorder or disease. They had to have involved either subjective individual assessment (eg, participants’ own declarations) or assessment based on medical diagnosis. However, to provide reliable data, the following populations were excluded: (1) patients diagnosed with any intellectual disabilities, (2) patients diagnosed with any type of dementia assessed for cognitive function (as analyzed in the systematic review by Aridi et al28), and (3) patients diagnosed with any eating disorders. No additional criteria associated with country, location, ethnicity, or economic characteristics were required to be met by the studies for inclusion in the systematic review.

**Search strategy**

The databases PubMed and Web of Science were searched for the relevant studies published until June 2019 (Table S1 in the Supporting Information online). An additional manual search of the references of the studies that were found in the databases was conducted to increase the possibility of including all the most important studies from the studied area. The search procedure applied for the systematic review and the flow of studies through each stage are presented in Figure 1.

The study extraction procedure was carried out independently by 2 researchers. The studies were screened independently by the researchers in 2 stages: (1) screening based on the title only and (2) screening based on the abstract (if the study was included on the basis of the title). If there was any disagreement between the researchers on any specific study, it was resolved by a discussion between themselves, or if needed, with a third researcher.

After the extraction procedure, the studies were qualified as potentially eligible. The full texts were retrieved

---

**Table 1** PICOS criteria for inclusion and exclusion of studies

| Parameter          | Inclusion criteria                                                                 | Exclusion criteria                                                                 |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Population         | Adult women                                                                       | Adult men, children, adolescents, patients diagnosed with any intellectual disabilities, patients diagnosed with any type of dementia, patients diagnosed with any eating disorders |
| Intervention/exposure | Participants characterized by defined habitual dietary patterns described as high in fruit and vegetable intake | No defined habitual dietary patterns described as high in fruit and vegetable intake |
| Comparison         | Participants characterized by other defined habitual dietary patterns described as low to moderate in fruit and vegetable intake | Lack of compared group with defined habitual dietary patterns |
| Outcome            | The aspects of mental health associated with any area of the broad spectrum of general mental health, among both healthy women and those with a physical disorder or disease | Patients assessed for cognitive function |
| Study design       | Peer-reviewed articles published in English, including randomized controlled trials, randomized crossover trials, cohort studies, case-control studies, and cross-sectional studies | Articles not published in English; reviews, meta-analyses, expert opinions, letters to the editor, comments, studies in animal models, methodological articles, case reports, conference reports |
from academic or other internet databases, or, if needed, from the corresponding authors through e-mail. To verify if the studies were potentially eligible to be included, an assessment of eligibility was carried out independently by 2 researchers. Similar to the previous stage, if there was any disagreement between the researchers on any specific study, it was resolved by a discussion between themselves, or if needed, with a third researcher.

Data extraction

The data extraction procedure was carried out independently by 2 researchers. Similar to the previous stage, if there was any disagreement between the researchers on any specific study or data, it was resolved by a discussion between themselves or, if needed, with a third researcher. If any data were missing, the researchers contacted the corresponding authors to obtain them (in such situations, the data are presented in this systematic review as unpublished data provided by the authors of the study). The following data were extracted from the included studies: authors, study design, country/ location, study group, time, number of participants, age, inclusion criteria, exclusion criteria, method of assessment of intake, characteristics of defined dietary patterns, outcome assessment, psychological measure, observations, and conclusions.

As indicated by the Cochrane recommendations for the tools for assessing the methodological quality or the risk of bias in nonrandomized studies,29 the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS)30 was chosen to be applied because it is commonly used.31 The studies were assessed for the following criteria: case–control studies: selection (scale: 0–4), comparability (scale: 0–2), and exposure (scale: 0–3); and cohort studies: selection (scale: 0–4), comparability (scale: 0–2), and outcome (scale: 0–3). After scoring, the results for each study were interpreted to be within the following categories: very high risk of bias (NOS points: 0–3), high risk of bias (NOS points: 4–6), and low risk of bias (NOS points: 7–9).32

The review was prepared on the basis of the data extracted and the assessment of the studies’ quality. The review was structured around the following types of outcomes: well-being, quality of life, positive and
negative affect, self-esteem, anxiety, distress, depressive symptoms, depression, and suicide. Numerous various outcomes were included, with sometimes only a single study attributed to a defined outcome; therefore, it was not possible to summarize the results in the form of meta-analysis, which requires including comparable studies only (for 1 type of outcome). At the same time, if not only outcomes but also exposure (namely, dietary pattern), studied populations, and settings were not comparable, the included studies may not have been treated as sufficiently similar to reanalyze the data in the form of a meta-analysis. However, in the future, a meta-analysis would be valuable to include, but it should be conducted for various outcomes, not only for the depression/depressive symptoms, as it has been so far. As a result, the included studies were used to elaborate a synthesis of the findings structured around the type of outcome.

**RESULTS**

The characteristics of studies of association between fruit or vegetable dietary pattern and mental health included to the systematic review are presented in Table 2. The characteristics of groups of women analyzed in the studies of association between fruit or vegetable dietary pattern and mental health included in this systematic review are presented in Table S2 in the Supporting Information online. The fruit or vegetable dietary patterns and mental health assessed in the studies included in this systematic review are presented in Tables 3 and 4 respectively. The detailed description of compared dietary patterns in the studies included in this systematic review is presented in Table S3 in the Supporting Information online.

The observations and conclusions defined for the studies of association between fruit or vegetable dietary patterns and mental health included in this systematic review are presented in Table S4 in the Supporting Information online. The NOS scores for the categories of selection, comparability, and exposure/outcome for the assessment of the quality of included studies are presented in Table S5 in the Supporting Information online. The summary of observations and conclusions for the studies of association between fruit or vegetable dietary patterns and mental health included in this systematic review accompanied by the total NOS score are presented in Table 5.

**DISCUSSION**

The conducted systematic review revealed a number of studies that assessed various dietary patterns, which were characterized by a high proportion of fruit and vegetables, as well as fruit and vegetable products. A majority of the included studies presented a pattern defined as a healthy or prudent diet, or as a diet based on specific dietary guidelines and recommendations, and characterized by a high intake of fruit and vegetables, either alone or in combination with cereals and whole grains, fish, or other products. In some studies, specific groups of fruit and vegetables were defined for this healthy pattern, such as dark green/green vegetables, yellow or orange vegetables, white vegetables, tomatoes, roots and tubers, soy, legumes, juices or salads, or they were defined as both cooked and raw. Another important group of studies presented the Mediterranean dietary pattern, in which specific fruit and vegetables, such as garlic, peppers, salad greens, nonstarchy vegetables, and legumes were included, whereas potatoes and soy were excluded. The subsequent studies presented vegetarian/vegan dietary patterns whereas some studies emphasized specific fruit and vegetables as included (e.g., nonflatulent or flatulent vegetables, tomatoes, citrus fruits) within the pattern. Some studies also presented dietary patterns defined as traditional ones, for example, a traditional Japanese diet, including fruit, vegetables, seaweed, and soy products; a traditional Norwegian diet, including fruit, vegetables, legumes, and numerous other products; and just a traditional diet, being high in intake of fruit and fish, or fruit, vegetables, and some other products. One study presented a pattern called modern, which was high in intake of fruit and salads.

The other group of included studies presented patterns focusing only on vegetables, fruit, or plant products. These patterns involved frequent consumption of vegetables, cooked vegetables (including cauliflower, cabbage, brussels sprouts, broccoli, and green beans), fruit (including strawberries, pineapple, melon, apricots, and mango), or fruit, vegetables, and whole grains. One study presented a dietary pattern described as varied, which included the intake of fruit, vegetables, tubers, and other products; and another study presented a pattern called the “soup, vegetables and fruits” diet, which is high in the listed products. All the presented dietary patterns are characterized by a higher intake of fruit, vegetables, or fruit and vegetable products, compared with a typical diet. Following these patterns was linked with some common consequences for mental health in the vast majority of studies. Among the 30 studies included, 25 stated a positive influence of fruit and vegetable dietary pattern on mental health in women, independent of the assessed psychological outcome. These were the studies analyzing
Table 2: The characteristics of studies of associations between fruit or vegetable dietary patterns and mental health included in this systematic review

| Reference        | Country/Location                        | Study design                                                                 | Study group                                      | Study period                           |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Boldt et al (2018) | Switzerland, Austria, Germany            | Cross-sectional study within the Nutrition and Running High Mileage Study Step 2 | Recreational runners                             | February–December 2015                  |
| Forestell and Nezlek (2018) | United States                          | Cross-sectional study                                                        | Students in introductory psychology classes      | Not specified                          |
| Gomes et al (2018) | Brazil (Pelotas)                        | Cross-sectional, population-based study comprising a research consortium of Master’s degree students | Adults aged ≥ 60 years                           | 2014                                   |
| Li et al (2018)   | China (West Anhui)                      | Cross-sectional study within the Cohort of Elderly Health and Environment Controllable Factors | Adults aged ≥ 60 years                           | June–September 2016                    |
| Miyake et al (2018) | Japan (Kyushu island, Okinawa prefecture) | Cross-sectional study within the cohort of Kyushu Okinawa Maternal and Child Health Study | Pregnant women                                   | April 2007 to March 2008                |
| Teo et al (2018)  | Singapore                                | Cross-sectional study within Growing Up in Singapore Towards Healthy Outcomes Study | Postpartum women                                 | Baseline: June 2009 to September 2010 (<13 weeks of pregnancy), followed up for 3 months postpartum |
| Adjibade et al (2018) | France                                  | Longitudinal, cross-sectional, population-based study within the Supplémentation en Vitamines et Minéraux Antioxydants study | Adults                                            | 1996–1997, 2007–2009                    |
| Baskin et al (2017) | Australia                               | Cross-sectional study                                                        | Pregnant and early postpartum                    | February 2010 to December 2011          |
| Paskulin et al (2017) | Southern Brazil                      | Cross-sectional study within Estudo do Consumo e do Comportamento Alimentar em Gestantes (Study of Food Intake and Eating Behaviors in Pregnancy) | Pregnant women                                   | 2006–2007                              |
| Sakai et al (2017) | Japan                                   | Cross-sectional population-based study within the Three-Generation Study of Women on Diets and Health | Women                                             | April 2011, April 2012                  |
| Huddy et al (2016) | Australia (Geelong, Melbourne)           | Cross-sectional, population-based study within Melbourne Infant Feeding, Activity, and Nutrition Trial Extend Program | Mothers                                           | 2010–2011                              |
| Kim et al (2016)  | United States                           | Cross-sectional, population-based study within the National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys | Adults aged 20–79 years                           | 2007–2010                              |
| Liu et al (2016)  | Hong Kong                               | Cross-sectional study within the Soy Protein Study and the Whole Soy Study     | Postmenopausal women aged 48–65 years            | November 2007 to April 2008, December 2010 to January 2012 |

(continued)
the influence on quality of life, positive and negative affect, self-esteem, anxiety, distress, depressive symptoms, and suicide. Only 3 studies did not show such influence; in 2 of the 3, the results did not support a clear association between the dietary patterns and the risk of depression, and the third, conducted among endurance
runners, revealed that the study group had a high quality of life regardless of the diet choice. Furthermore, 2 studies showed a reverse relationship, in which the dietary pattern including a high intake of fruit and vegetables was associated with worse mental health than the other patterns. It was observed that vegetarians and

Table 3 The fruit or vegetable dietary pattern in the studies included in this systematic review

| Reference | Assessment | Fruit and vegetable dietary pattern |
|-----------|------------|------------------------------------|
| Boldt et al (2018) | Question about the preferred diet | Vegetarian/vegan diet |
| Forestell and Nezlek (2018) | General Eating Habits scale | Vegan; lacto-vegetarian; lacto-ovo-vegetarian; pesco-vegetarian; semi-vegetarian |
| Gomes et al (2018) | EDQ-I | Healthy diet based on EDQ-I for higher consumption of healthy food groups |
| Li et al (2018) | FFQ | Vegetable-based diet |
| Miyake et al (2018) | Semiquantitative, comprehensive DHQ with 150 food items | Healthy dietary pattern |
| Teo et al (2018) | 3-Day dietary records | Soup, vegetables and fruits diet |
| Adjibade et al (2018) | Repeated 24-h dietary records | Adherence to Mediterranean diet defined on the basis of relative Mediterranean diet score for high consumption of the desirable components |
| Baskin et al (2017) | Cancer Council Victoria FFQ | Healthy dietary pattern |
| Paskulin et al (2017) | FFQ with 88 food items | Varied dietary pattern |
| Sakai et al (2017) | Comprehensive DHQ | Adherence to healthy diet defined on the basis of diet quality score, calculated on the basis of the intake of components recommended in the Japanese Food Guide Spinning Top, and sodium from seasonings |
| Huddy et al (2016) | Cancer Council Victoria FFQ (Dietary Questionnaire for Epidemiological Studies, version 3.1) | Adherence to the 2013 Australian Dietary Guidelines assessed using the Dietary Guideline Index |
| Kim et al (2016) | 24-h dietary recall | Healthy dietary pattern |
| Liu et al (2016) | FFQ with 85 food items | Whole-plant foods dietary pattern |
| Hosseinzadeh et al (2016) | Dish-based semiquantitative FFQ with 106 food items | Lacto-vegetarian dietary pattern |
| Vilela et al (2015) | FFQ with 82 food items | Healthy prepregnancy dietary pattern |
| Akbaraly et al (2013) | FFQ with 127 food items | Adherence to healthy diet defined on the basis of the Alternative Healthy Eating Index score |
| Chocano-Bedoya et al (2013) | FFQs with 61 and 131 food items | Prudent dietary pattern |
| Ford et al (2013) | FFQ with >200 food items | Diet including Mediterranean foods |
| Nanri et al (2013) | FFQ with 147 food items | Japanese dietary pattern |
| Rashidkhani et al (2013) | FFQ with 125 food items | Healthy dietary pattern |
| Rienks et al (2013) | FFQ with 101 food items | Cooked vegetables dietary pattern, fruit dietary pattern, Mediterranean style dietary pattern |
| Le Port et al (2012) | FFQ with 35 food items | Healthy dietary pattern, traditional dietary pattern |
| Chatzi et al (2011) | Rhea FFQ with 250 food items | Health conscious dietary pattern |
| Jacka et al (2011) | FFQ with 169 food items | Healthy dietary pattern, traditional (Norwegian) dietary pattern |
| Okubo et al (2011) | Comprehensive DHQ with 150 food items | (1) Traditional dietary pattern, Modern dietary pattern; (2) adherence to healthy diet defined on the basis of on diet quality score, calculated on the basis of the Australian national guidelines |
| Jacka et al (2010) | FFQ (Cancer Council Victoria dietary questionnaire) with 80 food items | Adherence to healthy diet defined on the basis of 2005 USDA Healthy Eating Index |
| Beydoun et al (2009) | Two 24-h recalls | Adherence to healthy diet defined based on Mediterranean diet score |
| Muñoz et al (2008) | FFQ with 165 food items | Adherence to Mediterranean diet defined based on Mediterranean diet score |
| Samieri et al (2008) | FFQ | Healthy dietary pattern cluster |
| Yannakoulia et al (2008) | EPIC-Greek semiquantitative FFQ with 156 food items | Healthful dietary pattern, vegetarian dietary pattern |

Abbreviations: DHQ, Diet History Questionnaire; EDQ-I, Elderly Dietary Quality Index; FFQ, food frequency questionnaire.
semi-vegetarians were more open to new experiences, but at the same time they exhibited more symptoms of neuroses and depression than did omnivores. In addition, it was found that in an elderly Chinese population, vegetarian diets may pose a greater risk of depressive symptoms than the other diets. It must be emphasized that the results mentioned for the indicated studies were formulated for specific population groups that follow not only a diet high in fruit and vegetables but also a vegetarian diet. This consequence of following a vegetarian diet was confirmed by the results of the study by Matta et al.

| Table 4 The mental health assessed in the studies included in this systematic review |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Reference                        | Assessment                        | Psychological measure          |
| Boldt et al (2018)              | Psychological well-being (ie, body image and appearance, negative feelings, positive feelings, self-esteem, spirituality/religion/personal beliefs, thinking, learning, memory and concentration) | World Health Organization Quality-of-Life Assessment- brief |
| Forestell and Nezlek (2018)     | (1) Depressive symptoms; (2) extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, openness, and neuroticism | (1) CESD-20; (2) Big Five Inventory |
| Gomes et al (2018)              | (1) Depression; (2) anxiety       | (1) Brazilian version of the GDS; (2) ICD-10, DSM-IV |
| Li et al (2018)                 | (1) Depression                   | 30-item Chinese revision of the GDS |
| Miyake et al (2018)             | Depression level                 | CESD-20                         |
| Teo et al (2018)                | (1) Depression; (2) anxiety      | (1) Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale; (2) State-Trait Anxiety Inventory |
| Adjibade et al (2018)           | Depressive symptoms              | CESD-20, French version         |
| Baskin et al (2017)             | Depressive symptom               | Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale |
| Paskulin et al (2017)           | Major depressive disorder, major depressive disorder in partial remission, dysthymia, panic disorder, generalized anxiety disorder, and bulimia nervosa | Patient Health Questionnaire from Primary Care Evaluation of Mental Disorders |
| Sakai et al (2017)              | Depressive symptoms              | CESD-20, Japanese version       |
| Huddy et al (2016)              | Depressive symptom               | CESD-10                         |
| Kim et al (2016)                | Symptoms of depression           | Patient Health Questionnaire-9  |
| Liu et al (2016)                | (1) Depression; (2) nonspecific perceived stress; (3) self-esteem | (1) CES-D; (2) Perceived Stress Scale; (3) 10-item Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale |
| Hosseinzadeh et al (2016)       | (1) Anxiety and depression; (2) psychological distress | (1) Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, Iranian version; (2) General Health Questionnaire |
| Vilela et al (2015)             | Anxiety symptoms                 | State-Trait Anxiety Inventory   |
| Akbaraly et al (2013)           | Depressive symptoms              | CESD-20                         |
| Chocano-Bedoya et al (2013)     | Psychological stress compared with well-being; depressive symptoms | 5-item Mental Health Inventory scale |
| Ford et al (2013)               | Positive and negative affect     | Positive and Negative Affect Schedule |
| Nanri et al (2013)              | Death from suicide               | Death certificates with causes of death defined according to the ICD-10 (codes X60 to X84) |
| Rashidkhani et al (2013)        | Major depression                 | Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders |
| Rienks et al (2013)             | Depressive symptoms              | CESD-10                         |
| Le Port et al (2012)            | Depressive symptoms              | CESD-20                         |
| Chatzi et al (2011)             | Postpartum depression            | Edinburg Postpartum Depression Scale |
| Jacka et al (2011)              | Depressive and anxiety symptoms  | Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, Japanese version |
| Okubo et al (2011)              | Postpartum depression            | Edinburg Postpartum Depression Scale, Japanese version |
| Jacka et al (2010)              | (1) Major depressive disorder, dysthymia, anxiety disorders; (2) Psychological symptoms | (1) Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV-TR Research Version, Non-Patient Edition; (2) 12-item General Health Questionnaire |
| Beydoun et al (2009)            | Depressive symptoms              | CESD-20                         |
| Munoz et al (2008)              | Health-related quality of life   | The 12-item Short Form Health Survey |
| Samieri et al (2008)            | Depressive symptoms              | CES-D                           |
| Yannakoulia et al (2008)        | Levels of anxiety symptomatology | 20-Item State-Trait Anxiety Inventory |

Abbreviations: CESD-20, Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression scale; DSM-IV, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Revision; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale; ICD-10: International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision.
Table 5 Summary of observations and conclusions for the studies of association between fruit or vegetable dietary patterns and mental health included in this systematic review accompanied by the total Newcastle-Ottawa Scale score

| Reference                                      | Conclusions in terms of supporting fruit and vegetable dietary pattern recommendations instead of other patterns | Study quality |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| **Association**                                |                                                                                                 |               |
| Supporting/not supporting/inconclusive**       |                                                                                                 |               |
| Boldt et al (2018)                              | Vegetarian or vegan diet: appropriate and equal alternative to an omnivorous diet                   | Inconclusive 3 |
| Forestell and Nezlek (2018)                     | Vegetarian or semi-vegetarian diet: higher level of openness to new experiences, higher risk of neurosis and depression | Not supporting 5 |
| Gomes et al (2018)                              | Low-quality diet: higher risk of depressive symptoms in elderly                                    | Supporting 7  |
| Li et al (2018)                                 | Vegetarian diets: higher risk of depressive symptoms                                              | Not supporting 7 |
| Miyake et al (2018)                             | Healthy and Japanese dietary patterns: lower risk of depressive symptoms during pregnancy          | Supporting 7  |
| Teo et al (2018)                                | Traditional-Indian-Confinement diet and soup-vegetables-fruits diet: lower risk of postpartum depression and postpartum anxiety symptoms | Supporting 7  |
| Adjibade et al (2018)                           | Mediterranean Diet: lower risk of incident depressive symptoms at midlife                         | Supporting 8  |
| Baskin et al (2017)                             | Poor diet quality: higher risk of depressive symptoms                                             | Supporting 7  |
| Paskulin et al (2017)                           | Low consumption of fruits (common Brazilian dietary pattern): higher risk of mental disorders during pregnancy | Supporting 7  |
| Sakai et al (2017)                              | High diet-quality score: lower risk of depressive symptoms in young and middle-aged Japanese women | Supporting 7  |
| Huddy et al (2016)                              | Adherence to the Australian Dietary Guidelines: lower risk of mental health problems in first-time mothers | Supporting 7  |
| Kim et al (2016)                                | Healthy diet: lower risk of depression in women                                                    | Supporting 5  |
| Liu et al (2016)                                | Low intake of processed foods and/or a high intake of whole-plant foods: lower risk of depression and perceived stress | Supporting 6  |
| Hosseinzadeh et al (2016)                       | Increased intake of fruits, citrus fruits, vegetables, tomato: lower risk of psychological disorders | Supporting 7  |
| Vilela et al (2015)                             | Common Brazilian or healthy patterns: lower risk of anxiety symptoms from midpregnancy to early postpartum in Brazilian women | Supporting 6  |
| Akbaraly et al (2013)                           | Poor diet: higher risk of depression in women                                                       | Supporting 9  |
| Chocano-Bedoya et al (2013)                     | No clear association between dietary patterns and depression risk                                 | Inconclusive 8 |
| Ford et al (2013)                               | Mediterranean diet: lower risk of negative affect in women                                         | Supporting 5  |
| Nanri et al (2013)                              | Prudent dietary pattern: lower risk of suicide                                                     | Supporting 8  |
| Rashidkhani et al (2013)                        | Healthy dietary pattern: lower risk of depression in women                                         | Supporting 6  |
| Rienks et al (2013)                             | Mediterranean-style dietary pattern: lower risk of depressive symptoms in mid-aged women          | Supporting 9  |

(continued)
CONSTANCES cohort, among participants following various vegetarian diets. The study revealed that the development of depressive symptoms was associated with the exclusion of any food group from the diet, including animal products. Taking this into account, the indicated results should not be interpreted as a consequence of high fruit and vegetable intake but rather as a consequence of excluding some products from the diet.

The studies included in this systematic review were interpreted to be within the various categories of the risk of bias, based on the NOS score; therefore, it should be indicated that some studies were defined as having a low risk of bias or even a very low risk of bias, indicated by a maximum NOS score) and their results should be considered as the most prominent. The risk of bias is associated with the likelihood that the design or conduct of the study will give rise to misleading results and, therefore, the studies with the lowest risk of bias should be the basis of the conclusions. It should be mentioned that in the present study, the results of all included references are quite similar. However, the observations from the studies with a higher risk of bias may be interfered and potentially may not provide accurate conclusions. Within the studies with the lowest risk of bias, it was observed that a high-quality, healthy, and properly balanced diet with a large amount of fruit and vegetables may be associated with a reduced risk of anxiety, mental disorders, and depressive symptoms in women, and of their consequences, such as suicide. The indicated conclusions were similar, independent of the age groups, and were also observable among pregnant or postpartum women. It should be emphasized that the indicated associations were stated for the habitual diet, because dietary patterns undergo dynamic changes. However, the observations for dietary patterns associated with fruit and vegetable intake were confirmed by the observations made for the intake of fruit and vegetables itself (not within the broader pattern), among adults, children, and adolescents.

The agreement between the results of the studies analyzing a dietary pattern associated only with fruit and vegetable intake and those analyzing a broader pattern, including within various components the increased intake of fruit and vegetables, may allow us to conclude that fruit and vegetable intake may be responsible for the beneficial impact on mental health. However, the mechanism behind the observed influence is unknown, because a number of potential factors associated with fruit and vegetables could contribute to this effect (eg, they have a high amount of nutrients that have been linked to psychological health).

| Reference       | Associations                                                                 | Study qualityb | Supporting/not supporting/inconclusivea |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------------|
| Le Port et al (2012)54 | Fruit/vegetable dietary patterns: lower risk of depressive symptoms          | Supporting     | 8                                         |
| Chatzi et al (2011)55 | Healthy diet during pregnancy: lower risk for postpartum depression          | Supporting     | 9                                         |
| Jacka et al (2011)56 | Better-quality diets: lower risk of depression                              | Supporting     | 6                                         |
| Okubo et al (2011)57 | No clear association between dietary patterns and postpartum depression risk | Inconclusive   | 7                                         |
| Jacka et al (2010)58 | High quality diet: lower risk of mental disorders                           | Supporting     | 7                                         |
| Beydoun et al (2009)59 | Unhealthy eating: higher risk of depression                                | Supporting     | 5                                         |
| Muñoz et al (2008)60 | Mediterranean diet: higher scoring for self-perceived health                | Supporting     | 6                                         |
| Samieri et al (2008)61 | Fruit and vegetable dietary patterns: lower risk of depressive symptoms and better perceived health in older people | Supporting     | 8                                         |
| Yannakoulia et al (2008)62 | Fruit and vegetable dietary patterns: lower risk of anxiety                  | Supporting     | 6                                         |

aSupporting: fruit and vegetable dietary patterns were associated with lower risk of mental health problems; not supporting: fruit and vegetable dietary patterns were associated with higher risk of mental health problems; inconclusive: no clear association between fruit and vegetable dietary patterns and risk of mental health problems.
bTotal score for the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) is based on the following categories: very high risk of bias (0–3 NOS points), high risk of bias (4–6 NOS points), and low risk of bias (7–9 NOS points).
by many authors. Some of these nutrients are vitamins, including vitamin C, B vitamins, vitamins A and K, as well as minerals, including potassium, calcium, magnesium, and iron. In addition, other compounds of fruit and vegetables, including polyphenols, such as flavonoids, or fiber, may also influence mental health. As a result, for the time being, it is impossible to indicate a single characteristic of fruit and vegetables to which can be attributed their positive influence on mental health. Moreover, as indicated by Angelino et al, other potential explanations, directly associated with the assessed pattern, can also be given, namely that the dietary pattern characterized by a high intake of fruit and vegetables is commonly associated with a low energy value and a low intake of unhealthy food products.

Some studies specify that the reverse causality cannot be ruled out as an explanation of the observed association. Therefore, not only the increased intake of fruit and vegetables may promote better mental health but better mental health may also influence the dietary pattern changes associated with increased consumption of those products. It may be related to the fact that mental health problems are indicated to decrease diet quality and modify eating patterns, as highlighted in the meta-analysis of the dietary intake of individuals with mental illness by Teasdale et al. However, based on the presented studies, some of them being prospective, the influence of fruit and vegetables on mental health should be concluded. It is in agreement with the observations presented by Angelino et al. in their umbrella review of observational studies indicating a broad positive effect of fruit and vegetable intake on various health outcomes, including depression. Taking this into account, and emphasizing the general health outcomes associated with the intake of fruit and vegetables, these foods should be recommended as a potential dietary component to improve the general well-being.

CONCLUSION

A general positive influence was observed for the dietary patterns characterized by high consumption of fruit and vegetables and of fruit or vegetable products. The observed association was not stated in all the included studies; some of them revealed a reverse relationship, but only for the vegetarian/vegan diet. Therefore, when comparing studies that focused on a specific pattern for fruit and vegetables only with studies that focused on broader patterns, fruit and vegetable intake may be confirmed as beneficial for mental health. The studies with the lowest risk of bias indicated that a diet with a large proportion of fruit and vegetables may be associated in women with a reduced risk of anxiety, mental disorders, and depressive symptoms, as well as their consequences, such as suicide. Prospective and/or intervention studies are needed to rule out the reverse causality, but it may be concluded that fruit and vegetables should be included as an element of a properly balanced diet to improve the mental health of women.
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