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Turbulence modulation by inertial-range-size, neutrally buoyant particles is investigated experimentally in a von Kármán flow. Increasing the particle volume fraction $\Phi_v$, maintaining constant impellers Reynolds number attenuates the fluid turbulence. The inertial-range energy transfer rate decreases as $\propto \Phi_v^{2/3}$, suggesting that only particles located on a surface affect the flow. Small-scale turbulent properties, such as structure functions or acceleration distribution, are unchanged. Finally, measurements hint at the existence of a transition between two different regimes occurring when the average distance between large particles is of the order of the thickness of their boundary layers. © 2015 AIP Publishing LLC.

Introducing impurities in a developed turbulent flow has drastic effects on energy transfer and dissipation. A minute amount of polymers causes for instance drag reduction. 1 In such visco-elastic fluids, the coupling between the local flow and the polymers stretching can be modeled to quantify the exchanges between kinetic and elastic energies and to interpret drag reduction as a suppression of large velocity gradients. 2 The mechanisms at play in suspensions of finite-size spherical particles are much more intricate, and turbulence can be enhanced or suppressed. 3 In wall flows, transition to turbulence can be either hindered or facilitated depending on the size and volume fraction of particles. 4, 5 In the case of developed turbulence, depending on the size of impurities, on their density ratio, and volume fraction, both turbulent kinetic energy and dissipation rate can either increase or decrease. 6, 7

There is no thorough understanding of turbulence modulation by finite-size particles. It is only in the asymptotics of very small and very dilute particles that one can satisfactorily model the energy budget between the fluid and the dispersed phase. Away from these limits, either finite-size effects preclude an explicit formulation of the forces exerted on the particles or large concentrations require the understanding of possible collective effects.

Finite-size effects are usually accounted for in terms of Faxén corrections to the Stokes equation governing point-particle dynamics. 8, 9 However, it was observed 10 that this approximation works only for particles with diameters below $\approx 4\eta$, where $\eta$ designates the turbulent Kolmogorov dissipative scale. The finiteness of the particle Reynolds number becomes important at larger sizes and explicit models would require solving the full nonlinear Navier–Stokes equation with the proper boundary conditions at the particle surface. A first step in tackling finite-size effects consisted in characterizing the statistics of various dynamical quantities, such as velocity, acceleration, rotation rate, for large neutrally buoyant particles in developed turbulent flows. 11, 12 One of the main findings is that average particle dynamical properties can be predicted from usual turbulent dimensional analysis at scales of the order of the particle diameter. More recently, much effort has been devoted to the characterization of the fluid flow in the vicinity of a single particle. 13–15 In particular, it was found that it is surrounded by a boundary layer whose average thickness is of the order of its diameter. At larger distances, the influence of the particle onto the carrier fluid is negligible. Also, kinetic energy dissipation is...
strongly increased at the particle surface (in its viscous boundary sublayer) and weakly diminished in its wake.\textsuperscript{14,16}

All these observations for neutrally spherical particles were obtained in the limit where they are very dilute, so that individual disturbances of the carrier never pile up nor interfere. At higher concentrations, large particles interact together through either collisions or perturbations of the carrier flow. This “four-way coupling” occurs when particles are at distances less than the thickness of their boundary layer. In principle, if the particles are uniformly distributed in the flow with a concentration, large particles interact together through collisions or perturbations of the carrier flow. If the particles are very dilute, so that individual disturbances of the carrier never pile up nor interfere. At higher concentrations, large particles interact together through either collisions or perturbations of the carrier flow. It is thus probable that local particle density has strong fluctuations. Second, large particles that approach very close to each other might spend some time together. This is suggested for instance in the simulations of Ref. 20 and could be due to strong dissipative mechanisms occurring when particles meet. This effect could lead to the creation of particle clumps, as for instance observed for heavy small-size elastic particles.\textsuperscript{21} Such collective phenomena, if exist, might be crucial in the understanding of turbulence modulation by finite-size particles.

We present here experimental results on the influence of finite-size, neutrally buoyant particles on a turbulent von Kármán flow. We find that the most noticeable effects induced by the dispersed phase is a continuous decrease of the turbulent kinetic energy and of the average inertial-range transfer rate when increasing the particle volume fraction while keeping constant the rotation speed of the impellers. We also obtain evidence that the small-scale turbulent properties of the fluid flow are unchanged by the presence of the particles. In particular, our results show surprisingly that in the presence of particles, second-order statistics of velocity increments match those of unladen flows. Finally, our results support the existence of a transition between two different regimes which occurs when the average distance between large particles is of the order of the thickness of their boundary layers.

We consider a von Kármán water flow maintained in a developed turbulent state (with $R_t \approx 300$) by two impellers of diameter $d = 28$ cm that counter-rotate at a constant torque ($\pm 3\%$) for a given particle load $\Phi_v$. Specifically, we adjust the input power of the motors at the beginning of each experiment in order to assert the same rotational frequency of the impellers for all measurements ($f_{imp} = 0.79$ Hz $\pm 1.5\%$). The enclosure has an octagonal cylindrical shape with dimensions $40 \times 38 \times 38$ cm. The flow field is analyzed by tracking the temporal evolution of fluorescent tracer particles ($D = 107$ $\mu$m) using three cameras (Phantom V10, manufactured by Vision Research Inc., USA) at 2900 fps. The measurement volume of approximate diameter 8 cm in the center of the tank is illuminated by a 100 W laser beam. We use the weighted averaging algorithm for two-dimensional tracer finding and a “three-frames minimum acceleration” for tracking their three-dimensional trajectories.\textsuperscript{22} The finite-size particles are super-absorbent polymer spheres whose optical index and mass density match those of water. These particles have diameters of the order of $D_p \approx 90 \eta \approx L/9 \approx 1$ cm, where $\eta$ and $L$ are the dissipative and integral length scales of the flow without particles. They were first used in similar conditions in Refs. 15 and 23 where it is demonstrated that the forces (shear and pressure) applied by the fluid onto them did not imply any deformation. Therefore, these gel-particle can be considered as solid for the purpose of this study. The Reynolds number associated to their motion, using their typical size and the velocity fluctuations of the flow $Re_p = D_p \nu_{rms}/\nu$, is of the order 800. Two thin grids situated at 10 cm from the impellers prevent particles from colliding with them. This setup has been already used in Refs. 15 and 23 and more details can be found therein. We study here the fluid flow characteristics varying the volume fraction of large particles from $\Phi_v = 0\%$ to 10\%. Each time the particle load is changed, the power of the impellers is adjusted to maintain constant their rotation frequency, and thus the associated Reynolds number at $Re = d^2 f_{imp} / \nu \approx 62000$. The various setups are summarized in Table I.

We start by considering the effect of the large particles on the intensity of turbulent fluctuations. The Eulerian mean velocity profile $\langle u(x) \rangle$ is obtained for the different values of $\Phi_v$ by binning positions and averaging velocities over all movies. We then define velocity fluctuations as instantaneous deviations of the tracers velocity from this average field. Figure 1(a) represents the
TABLE I. Characteristics of the various experiments. $\Phi_v$, volume fraction of large particles; $f_{imp}$ average impeller frequency; $u_{rms}$ root-mean square velocity; $\varepsilon$ energy transfer rate (measured from second-order structure function; see text); $\eta = v^{3/4}/\nu^{1/4}$ Kolmogorov dissipative scale; $\tau_\eta = v^{1/2}/\nu^{1/2}$ associated turnover time; $R_\lambda$ Taylor-microscale Reynolds number.

| $\Phi_v$ | 0% | 0.1% | 0.3% | 0.8% | 2%  | 4%  | 10% |
|----------|----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| $f_{imp}$ (Hz) | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 |
| $u_{rms}$ (m s$^{-1}$) | 0.0796 | 0.0797 | 0.0771 | 0.0752 | 0.0766 | 0.0578 | 0.0466 |
| $\eta$ (mm) | 0.0058 | 0.0055 | 0.0053 | 0.0048 | 0.0051 | 0.0032 | 0.0012 |
| $\varepsilon$ (m$^2$ s$^{-3}$) | 0.0058 | 0.0055 | 0.0053 | 0.0048 | 0.0051 | 0.0032 | 0.0012 |
| $\tau_\eta$ (ms) | 0.0796 | 0.0797 | 0.0771 | 0.0752 | 0.0766 | 0.0578 | 0.0466 |
| $R_\lambda$ | 115 | 117 | 118 | 121 | 119 | 133 | 183 |
| $S_{imp}$ | 13 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 18 | 33 |
| $N_p S_p$ | 321 | 335 | 319 | 319 | 316 | 228 | 143 |

variance $u_{rms}^2$ of the axial (along the axis of symmetry) and transverse components of these turbulent velocity fluctuations as a function of the volume fraction of large particles. One clearly observes that the turbulent kinetic energy decreases when the particle load increases, as already observed in a different turbulent flow. Data show anisotropy, which persists for all $\Phi_v$; the root-mean square values of axial velocity components are around 60%-70% of the transverse ones. Measurements are in good agreement with $u_{rms}^2(\Phi_v) \approx u_{rms}^2(0)/(1 - 3.75 \Phi_v^{2/3})$. For transverse components, one point, corresponding to $\Phi_v \approx 2\%$ clearly deviates from this form. As we will see later, this could be due to difficulties in estimating the mean flow in that case. The fitting form predicts that $u_{rms} = 0$ for $\Phi_v \geq 14\%$. This could correspond to a critical volume fraction above which turbulence is completely extinguished by the particles.

The kinetic energy transfer rate $\varepsilon$ follows a similar trend. Figure 1(b) shows that the discrepancy $\Delta \varepsilon = 1 - \varepsilon(\Phi_v)/\varepsilon(0)$ is also proportional to $\Phi_v^{2/3}$. Even at small volume fractions, the attenuation of turbulence does not show a linear behavior $\propto \Phi_v$ (proportional to the total number $N_p$ of particles) that is expected if the effect of particles consists in the superposition of individual perturbations. The particles interact with the flow only through their surface $S_p = \pi D_p^2$. If their individual effects were independent from each other and homogeneously distributed in the domain, the attenuation would be $\propto N_p S_p \propto \Phi_v$. A growth of the perturbation slower than $N_p$ indicates that only a fraction of particles have important impacts on the flow. Furthermore, the observed law $\propto \Phi_v^{2/3} \propto N_p^{2/3} S_p$ suggests that these active particles are located on a surface rather than in the full volume. Several heuristic scenario can lead to such a law. First, we can imagine that particles form a given number of aggregates where all fluid flow perturbations occur in the boundary layers of the outer edge. We did not detect such aggregates in the observation volume but cannot exclude that they are in regions of the flow that we do not monitor (e.g., close to the grids near the impellers). Recent work found that particles are likely to cluster far from the center of the von Kármán flow. A more likely scenario
relies on a kind of shielding effect where the kinetic energy is prevented from reaching the center of the flow by the particles situated at the periphery. A full discrimination between these possibilities requires systematically scanning the entire experiment in order to measure the inhomogeneities in both particle distribution and fluid turbulence characteristics.

We next move to small-scale turbulent properties. A striking result is that they seem unaffected by the presence of the particles once scaled with the corresponding transfer rate $\varepsilon$, large-scale kinetic energy content $u_{rms}^2$, and associated Reynolds number $R_v$. Figure 2(a) shows the second-order longitudinal Eulerian structure function for the various particle loadings. All curves collapse in the inertial and sub-inertial ranges once represented in dissipative-scale units. In particular, there are no noticeable effects (up to noise) at separations of the order of the particles diameter for $\Phi_v$ up to 10%. These results seem to contradict the kinetic energy spectra obtained in numerical simulations\(^{20,24}\) that display an enhancement of the energy contained at scales smaller than the particle size and a depletion at larger scales. These spectra are obtained from a Fourier transform of the full domain encompassing both the fluid and the particles. There are still questions whether such effects on the spectrum are inherent to the perturbed fluid flow or come from the particle velocity field. In our case, the use of Lagrangian tracers to perform Eulerian measurements isolates the fluid statistics from such pitfalls and suggests that there are no intrinsic modifications of the distribution of turbulent velocity increments by the large particles.

Statistics along tracer trajectories seem also rather insensitive to the presence of large particles. Figure 2(b) shows measurements of the Lagrangian structure functions $S_{lag}^\tau = \langle (u_i(t + \tau) - u_i(t))^p \rangle$, where $u_i$ are components of the tracer velocity and the average is over the ensemble of trajectories. One observes that for $p = 2$, the collapse is not as good as for Eulerian statistics. However, Lagrangian structure functions are known to display very intermittent properties and the observed discrepancies are comparable to those obtained when comparing unladen flows at different Reynolds numbers\(^{25}\). We see from Table I that our Reynolds number decreases when the particle volume fraction increases: $R_v$ varies by more than a factor two when $\Phi_v$ goes from 0% to 10%. This lack of universality is not present when considering only the scaling properties through the logarithmic derivatives of the Lagrangian structure functions. For that reason, we follow Ref. 25 by showing in the inset of Fig. 2(b) the quantity $(d \log S_{lag}^\tau)/\langle d \log S_{lag}^\tau \rangle$. Results from different volume fractions collapse to the same universal curve.

Acceleration statistics seem also not affected by large particles. Figure 3(a) shows the probability densities of the acceleration components $a_i$ normalized by their respective second-order moments. Up to possible slight variations in the tails that could correspond to the change in Reynolds number at varying the fraction of large particles, the different curves seem to collapse reasonably well. It is known that the moments of these distributions do not reflect the actual value of the acceleration variance because of data filtering. To measure $\langle a_i^2 \rangle$, we follow the method of Voth et al.\(^{26}\) consisting in extrapolating the variance when decreasing the filter size to zero. The resulting values of

![Figure 2](http://scitation.aip.org/termsconditions)
$a_0 = \langle a_i^2 \rangle^{1/2}/\varepsilon^{3/2}$ are shown in the inset of Fig. 3(b) as a function of the Reynolds number of the flow. One clearly observes that the decrease of $a_0$ when $\Phi_v$ increases relates to the change in Reynolds number. Finally, the acceleration autocorrelations represented in the main panel of Fig. 3(b) are not much affected by the particle presence. The slight variations are again due to the change in Reynolds number induced by the particles.

All the quantities considered above are either reduced as $\Phi_v^{2/3}$ or not affected by the large particles. They relate to the turbulent fluctuations from which we have removed the mean flow. We actually find that the mean flow itself is strongly affected by the large-particle load. To quantify its variations as a function of the particle volume fraction, we have computed its kinetic energy $E(\Phi_v) = \int |\langle u(x) \rangle|^2 \, d^3x$. Figure 4(a) shows that $E(\Phi_v)$ remains almost constant up to $\Phi_v \approx 3\%$ where it suddenly drops down. Above this critical value, the mean flow is in a different state that contains approximately twice less energy. When assuming that the particles are uniformly distributed in the domain, one can estimate that this transition occurs roughly when the typical distance between them becomes less than their diameter. Above this critical value, all particles necessarily feel the presence of their neighbors through the flow modifications. This apparently has a drastic impact on the average motions as can be seen when comparing Figs. 4(b) and 4(c). They represent the variations of the energy and of the $(x, z)$ components of the mean flow in the same slice of the measurement volume for $\Phi_v = 0.8\%$ (b) and 4% (c). Not only is the energy content strongly modified but also
the fine structures and the directions of the mean flow. Panel (b) is representative of all volume fractions below 0.8% while panel (c) is also representative of $\Phi_v = 10\%$. For $\Phi_v = 2\%$, the mean flow obtained when time-averaging is very different from these two states. We however suspect it to be nonstationary because several movies show extremely strong deviations from the mean. Unfortunately, our experiment was conceived to focus on small-scale turbulent properties and not designed to accurately measure large scale variations. A better understanding of what is happening for this volume fraction requires revisiting our setup in order to focus on the large scales. This would also allow us a more accurate study of a possible phase transition for $\Phi_v$ of the order of a few percents.

To conclude, let us stress again our main findings on the modification of a turbulent von Kármán flow by finite-size neutrally buoyant spherical particles. The most surprising result is that inertial-range and small-scale turbulent features are unchanged in the presence of particles for volume fractions up to $\Phi_v = 10\%$. There is no signature of the particle size and its associated timescale neither on the scaling properties of the fluid turbulent velocity fluctuations nor on the statistics of the acceleration. The only noticeable effects are on global quantities. In our specific setup and when the impeller rotation rate is maintained, the turbulent kinetic energy and the inertial-range transfer rate of the bulk flow are continuously decreasing when the particle volume fraction is increased. However, our setup does not monitor the energy injection rate. We are thus unable to discriminate between a decrease of the power needed to maintain the impellers rotation speed (i.e., a sort of drag reduction) and a redistribution of the energy dissipation to the regions closer to the impellers (outside of our observation volume). A better understanding would require a more accurate handling of the impeller torque and thorough measurements of the fluid velocity in the full experimental domain. Such measures could clarify the possible phase transition at $\Phi_v \approx 3\%$. In particular, they would allow us to draw differences between what is due to the specificities of von Kármán inhomogeneous flow and a possible universal change at such volume fractions in the coupling between the turbulent flow and the particles.
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