Randomized controlled trial of medium cut-off versus high-flux dialyzers on quality of life outcomes in maintenance hemodialysis patients
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Medium cut-off (MCO) dialyzers help remove larger middle molecules associated with symptoms related to the accumulation of uremic retention solutes. We investigated the effect of an MCO dialyzer on the improvement of quality of life (QOL) in maintenance hemodialysis (HD) patients. Forty-nine HD patients with high-flux dialysis were randomly assigned to either an MCO (Theranova 400, Baxter) or a high-flux (FX CorDiax 80 or 60, Fresenius Medical Care) dialyzer and completed the study. QOL was assessed at baseline and after 12 weeks of treatment using the Kidney Disease Quality of Life Short Form-36, and pruritus was assessed using a questionnaire and visual analog scale. The reduction ratios of middle molecules were also evaluated. Laboratory markers, including serum albumin, did not differ between the two groups after 12 weeks. Removals of kappa and lambda free light chains were greater for MCO dialyzer than high-flux dialyzer. The MCO group had higher scores than the high-flux group in the domains of physical functioning and physical role (75.2 ± 20.8 vs. 59.8 ± 30.1, P = 0.042; 61.5 ± 37.6 vs. 39.0 ± 39.6, P = 0.047, respectively), and the MCO group had lower mean scores for morning pruritus distribution and the frequency of scratching during sleep (1.29 ± 0.46 vs. 1.64 ± 0.64, P = 0.034; 0.25 ± 0.53 vs. 1.00 ± 1.47, P = 0.023, respectively). MCO dialyzers may improve patient-reported outcomes, particularly the physical components of QOL and uremic pruritus, in patients with high-flux dialyzers.

Patients on maintenance dialysis suffer variable symptoms such as fatigue, generalized weakness, and pruritus. These subjective conditions are assumed to be related to middle molecules that are not cleared by conventional hemodialysis (HD)1. Middle molecules have molecular weights (MWs) ranging between 500 and 60,000 daltons, and their size is a barrier to removal with dialyzers2,3. The accumulation of middle molecules is associated with specific complications such as amyloidosis, inflammatory reactions, oxidative stress, and endothelial dysfunction3–5. Consequently, middle molecules contribute to morbidity and mortality and poor quality of life (QOL) in end-stage renal disease (ESRD) patients6,7.

High-flux dialysis, which has benefits for middle molecule clearance, has not displayed a clear mortality advantage compared with low-flux dialysis; the survival benefits of high-flux dialysis were only observed in patients with hypoalbuminemia and diabetes8,9. Another dialytic modality, hemodiafiltration (HDF) with increased convection, has a higher efficiency for reducing middle-sized solutes than high-flux dialysis10,11. Large randomized trials comparing HDF and high-flux dialysis have shown conflicting patient outcome results12–15; however, recent results have consistently shown that HDF with a high convection volume improves patient survival16–18.

Medium cut-off (MCO) dialyzers are characterized by a more even distribution of larger pore sizes and a higher number of pores. MCO dialyzers have a higher permeability and have increased convective transport because of their significant amount of internal filtration19, so they can potentially improve the removal of middle
molecules compared with high-flux dialyzers and even compared with HDF\textsuperscript{20}. However, clinical data about the effect of MCO dialyzers on patient-reported outcomes are lacking. The aim of this study was to investigate whether MCO dialyzers could improve QOL in maintenance HD patients treated with a high-flux dialyzer. We also evaluated the effect of MCO dialyzers on pre-dialysis plasma concentrations and the removal of middle molecules.

Results

Patient characteristics. A total of 50 patients were enrolled in this study, and one patient withdrew consent (Fig. 1). Among the 49 patients who completed the study, 24 were in the MCO group and 25 were in the high-flux group. The baseline characteristics did not differ between the two groups (Table 1). The mean age of patients in the MCO group was 62.2 \pm 13.7 years, and 75.0% were men. The high-flux group patients had a similar mean age and percentage of male participants (63.8 \pm 15.2 years and 60.0%, respectively). Body mass index, dry weight, daily urine volume, vascular access, baseline dialyzer, and dialysis vintage did not differ between the groups.

Comparison of laboratory data, ultrafiltration volume, and dialysis adequacy. Table 2 displays the laboratory data, including levels of serum hemoglobin, albumin, calcium, creatinine, phosphate, blood urea nitrogen, total cholesterol, and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; the ultrafiltration volume; and the single-pool Kt/V; at baseline and 12 weeks after randomization. The MCO and high-flux groups did not show any differences in biochemical markers including serum albumin, and the ultrafiltration volume and dialysis adequacy were also similar at baseline and at 12 weeks.

Comparison of middle molecule removal. The serum levels and reduction ratios (RRs) of three middle-sized solutes were evaluated and compared between the groups at baseline and at 12 weeks. The pre-dialysis and post-dialysis levels of the three middle molecules (β\textsubscript{2}-microglobulin, kappa free light chain [κFLC], and lambda free light chain [λFLC]) did not differ between the two groups (Table 3). However, the MCO dialyzer displayed better removal of κFLC and λFLC compared with the high-flux dialyzer (κFLC: 55.8 \pm 13.7% vs. 44.6 \pm 18.9%, \(P = 0.022\); λFLC: 56.1 \pm 11.4% vs. 40.9 \pm 9.0%, \(P < 0.001\); Table 4 and Fig. 2). Compared with the patients who used FX CorDiax 80 at baseline, the MCO group also showed a better RR of κFLC and λFLC (both \(P < 0.05\), and among patients who used FX CorDiax 60 at baseline, the MCO group showed a better RR of λFLC (\(P = 0.038\)) (Fig. 2). After 12 weeks of dialysis with an MCO dialyzer, the pre-dialysis levels of κFLC and λFLC were significantly decreased compared to the pre-dialysis levels at baseline (both \(P < 0.05\), Fig. 3), but there were no changes in κFLC and λFLC in the high-flux group between baseline and at 12 weeks. Compared with the patients who used FX CorDiax 80 at baseline, the MCO group also showed a significant decrease in the pre-dialysis levels of κFLC and λFLC (both \(P < 0.05\), and among patients who used FX CorDiax 60 at baseline, the MCO group showed a significant decrease in the pre-dialysis levels of κFLC (\(P = 0.028\)) and a decreasing tendency of the pre-dialysis levels of λFLC (\(P = 0.063\)) (Fig. 3).

Comparison of QOL scores. The baseline perceptions of QOL assessed by the Kidney Disease Quality of Life-Short Form (KDQOL-SF) were similar in both groups (Table 5). QOL was reassessed after the 12-week study period. Among the 26 categories included in the QOL questionnaire, the physical function domain score was better in the MCO group than in the high-flux group (75.2 \pm 20.8 vs. 59.8 \pm 30.1, \(P = 0.042\), and the role-physical
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Figure 1.
The domain score was also higher in the MCO group (61.5 ± 37.6 vs. 39.0 ± 39.6, P = 0.047). The Short Form 36 score tended to be higher in the MCO group than in the high-flux group (61.5 ± 17.7 vs. 51.0 ± 24.1, P = 0.088). The kidney disease composite summary (KDCS) and the related detailed item scores did not differ before and after the 12-week treatment in the two groups.

**Comparison of pruritus scores.** The clinical characteristics of uremic pruritus at baseline and after 12 weeks of the study treatment are shown (Table 6). At baseline, the morning pruritus intensity was worse in the MCO group than in the high-flux group (1.92 ± 1.06 vs. 1.40 ± 0.50, P = 0.033); however, this difference was not observed after 12 weeks (1.54 ± 0.72 vs. 1.64 ± 0.86, P = 0.667). The pruritus distribution in the morning was smaller in the MCO group after 12 weeks (1.29 ± 0.46 vs. 1.64 ± 0.64, P = 0.034). The MCO group also experienced less frequent sleep disturbances caused by pruritus-related scratching (0.25 ± 0.53 vs. 1.00 ± 1.47, P = 0.023). The visual analog scale (VAS) scores tended to be higher in the MCO group, but the differences were not significant.
on conventional HD22,23, but other studies showed no difference in QOL between HDF and HD groups 10,24,25. Despite these inconsistent results, some studies have shown that patients on HDF had better QOL than patients on HD10,24,25. These differences in QOL can be attributed to the more effective removal of middle molecules. This is most evident in the physical domains of the QOL questionnaire, where improvements in the removal of middle molecules correspond to improvements in QOL26,27. An observational study also showed that HD patients had better QOL than HDF patients in the mental and emotional domains26,27. However, the baseline characteristics of the MCO and high-flux groups did not differ, and the effect of the MCO dialyzer on QOL is likely related to the better removal rate of middle molecules compared to high-flux dialyzers. The improvements in the physical components of the QOL questionnaire over a relatively short exposure period (12 weeks) of MCO dialyzer use are attributed to improvements in the removal rates of middle molecules that occurred concurrently with the change of the dialyzer. Previous studies that compared QOL between HDF and conventional HD have also shown improvements in QOL in short periods of one month and three months after changing to HDF, which may have been caused by increased convection25,28. In addition, confounding factors are unlikely because the baseline characteristics of the MCO and high-flux groups did not differ, and the effect of the MCO dialyzer on the physical component domains remained significant after the linear regression analysis. Further long-term studies of the sustainability of QOL improvement are necessary in the near future.

### Correlation of dialyzer to quality of life scores and to pruritus scores

Table 7 shows the factors associated with the physical component domains of the QOL questionnaire and pruritus status at 12 weeks. In the multivariate linear regression analysis, the MCO dialyzer displayed positive associations with physical component domains such as physical functioning and physical role at 12 weeks after randomization (physical functioning: unstandardized regression coefficient \( B \), 16.67 [95% confidence interval (CI), 3.44, 29.90], \( P = 0.015 \); role-physical: \( B \), 25.32 [95% CI, 3.43, 47.20], \( P = 0.024 \). In addition, the MCO dialyzer showed an inverse correlation with the morning pruritus distribution and frequency of scratching during sleep (morning pruritus distribution: \( B \), −0.40 [95% CI, −0.73, −0.06], \( P = 0.022 \); frequency of scratching during sleep: \( B \), −0.92 [95% CI, −1.57, −0.28], \( P = 0.006 \).

### Adverse events

Throughout the 12 weeks of the study, there were no serious adverse events including cardiovascular events, patient death, or a decline of blood pressure that required dialyzer changes.

### Discussion

This randomized controlled trial demonstrated the beneficial effects of MCO dialyzers on patient-reported outcomes in chronic HD patients. Patients in the MCO group had better KDQOL-SF physical domain scores than patients in the high-flux group. Our results indicate that HD with an MCO dialyzer reduced the distribution and sleep disturbances caused by uremic pruritus better than HD with a high-flux dialyzer. We speculate that the improvement in the physical domain of QOL was due to the more effective removal of middle molecules. This is the first randomized controlled study to evaluate the effects of a new MCO dialyzer on the QOL of HD patients.

Previous studies have identified the detrimental effects of middle-sized solutes on various aspects of health in patients with ESRD, including QOL21. Although researchers have attempted to identify associations between middle molecule clearance and QOL, studies that compared the effects of the dialysis method or dialyzer on QOL have not shown consistent results. Some studies have shown that patients on HDF had better QOL than patients on conventional HD22,23, but other studies showed no difference in QOL between HDF and HD groups10,24,25. Among the various domains of QOL, the physical domains of maintenance HD patients have been reported to decline over time, whereas the mental and emotional domains remained relatively stable26,27. An observational study also showed that physical components, in particular, could be improved after HD with a different dialysis method22; this study reported that the differences in QOL questionnaire scores based on the dialysis methods (HDF vs. high-flux or low-flux HD) were most pronounced in physical domains. Our results were consistent with previous studies showing that HD with an MCO dialyzer was associated with higher physical functioning and role-physical scores on the KDQOL-SF physical composite summary (PCS). The effect of the MCO dialyzer on QOL is likely related to the better removal rate of middle molecules compared to high-flux dialyzers. The improvements in the physical components of the QOL questionnaire over a relatively short exposure period (12 weeks) of MCO dialyzer use are attributed to improvements in the removal rates of middle molecules that occurred concurrently with the change of the dialyzer. Previous studies that compared QOL between HDF and conventional HD have also shown improvements in QOL in short periods of one month and three months after changing to HDF, which may have been caused by increased convection25,28. In addition, confounding factors are unlikely because the baseline characteristics of the MCO and high-flux groups did not differ, and the effect of the MCO dialyzer on the physical component domains remained significant after the linear regression analysis. Further long-term studies of the sustainability of QOL improvement are necessary in the near future.

### Table 3. Pre- and post-dialysis middle molecule concentrations in the MCO and high-flux hemodialysis groups

| Reduction ratio (%) | Baseline | High-flux | 12 weeks |
|---------------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| MCO                 |          |           |          |
| Pre-dialysis        |          |           |          |
| Post-dialysis       |          |           |          |
| P for pre-dialysis  |          |           |          |
| P for post-dialysis |          |           |          |
| 32-κ-light chain     | 34.0 ± 13.4 | 6.7 ± 3.8 | 47.1 ± 31.8 | 8.3 ± 2.3 | 0.091 | 0.106 |
| 32-κ-light chain     | 54.6 ± 18.0 | 35.9 ± 19.0 | 53.6 ± 19.9 | 37.3 ± 22.7 | 0.861 | 0.822 |
| 32-λ-light chain     | 51.5 ± 18.5 | 30.6 ± 12.5 | 43.3 ± 23.9 | 26.9 ± 19.4 | 0.187 | 0.434 |
| 12 weeks            |          |           |          |
| MCO                 |          |           |          |
| Pre-dialysis        |          |           |          |
| Post-dialysis       |          |           |          |
| P for pre-dialysis  |          |           |          |
| P for post-dialysis |          |           |          |
| 32-κ-light chain     | 32.9 ± 12.4 | 7.1 ± 4.0 | 47.9 ± 38.4 | 15.9 ± 27.8 | 0.086 | 0.141 |
| 32-κ-light chain     | 52.2 ± 18.7 | 28.7 ± 17.2 | 51.9 ± 21.5 | 35.9 ± 21.8 | 0.963 | 0.206 |
| 32-λ-light chain     | 47.1 ± 19.4 | 23.5 ± 9.5 | 39.9 ± 21.4 | 28.4 ± 18.3 | 0.229 | 0.245 |

Correlation of dialyzer to quality of life scores and to pruritus scores. Table 7 shows the factors associated with the physical component domains of the QOL questionnaire and pruritus status at 12 weeks. In the multivariate linear regression analysis, the MCO dialyzer displayed positive associations with physical component domains such as physical functioning and physical role at 12 weeks after randomization (physical functioning: unstandardized regression coefficient \( B \), 16.67 [95% confidence interval (CI), 3.44, 29.90], \( P = 0.015 \); role-physical: \( B \), 25.32 [95% CI, 3.43, 47.20], \( P = 0.024 \). In addition, the MCO dialyzer showed an inverse correlation with the morning pruritus distribution and frequency of scratching during sleep (morning pruritus distribution: \( B \), −0.40 [95% CI, −0.73, −0.06], \( P = 0.022 \); frequency of scratching during sleep: \( B \), −0.92 [95% CI, −1.57, −0.28], \( P = 0.006 \).
A previous large study showed that approximately 42% of patients with HD experience moderate to extreme pruritus. Severe uremic pruritus may cause deteriorating QOL and poor clinical outcomes in chronic HD patients. Reasons for the occurrence of pruritus remain unknown, but the possibility of an association of uremic pruritus with middle molecules such as \( \beta_2 \)-microglobulin has been reported; therefore, the increased clearance of middle molecules may help reduce uremic pruritus. Goeksel et al. also reported that the improved removal of high-molecular weight uremic retention solutes using a highly permeable polymethylmethacrylate dialyzer with absorption capacity improves uremic pruritus. At baseline, uremic pruritus was worse in the MCO group, but after 12 weeks of HD with an MCO dialyzer, uremic pruritus status improved more in the MCO group than in the high-flux group. However, there is a limit to the generalizability of the results because many patients had mild to moderate pruritus. Further study is necessary to determine whether this effect is maintained long-term and whether it is effective in patients with severe pruritus.

To accurately compare the difference in the clearance of middle molecules according to size between the different dialyzers, we tested the removal rates of three middle molecules according to MW. Among them, \( \beta_2 \)-microglobulin, a small middle molecule, manifested similar rates of removal; however, larger middle molecules such as \( \kappa \) and \( \lambda \) FLC had higher rates of removal with the MCO dialyzer. This finding agrees with the results of a previous study reported by Kirsch et al., which has been cited in the data sheet of the MCO dialyzer manufacturer; this study shows a greater RR of a wide range of middle molecules including \( \beta_2 \)-microglobulin, \( \kappa \) FLC, and \( \lambda \) FLC with the MCO dialyzer than with the high-flux dialyzer. These investigators also reported that small solute clearance did not differ between the MCO and high-flux groups. Compared with the results of Kirsch et al., the RRs obtained in our study were slightly lower, and the RRs of smaller middle molecules (\( \beta_2 \)-microglobulin) did not differ compared to that of high-flux HD. This difference might be attributable to the lower blood flow rates (shown in Table 1) usually applied to Asian dialysis populations and the lower mean dry weight in our subjects compared with those of Kirsch et al. Although directly comparing the RRs of specific molecules to the previous study is difficult, the overall removal of larger middle molecules by the MCO dialyzer was superior than that of the high-flux dialyzer. Our study suggests that the MCO dialyzer offers an opportunity for the better removal of larger middle molecules in maintenance HD patients than conventional high-flux dialyzers, and long-term studies are necessary to clearly determine the effects on serum concentration.

Given that larger middle molecule clearance was only achieved by using new dialyzers with a modified sieving profile, this technology, called expanded HD, could be used in patients who are intolerant of high convection volume for HDF or in centers without the infrastructures and specialized monitors for HDF. According to the multi-dimensional membrane classification, various factors can be used to evaluate the dialyzer class, such as composition, sieving for middle molecules, molecular weight retention onset (MWRO) for different MW solutes, fiber diameter, and thickness. Thus, the MCO dialyzer has a smaller surface area than conventional high-flux dialyzers, but it can obtain greater convection and shows the effective removal of middle molecules. Although the MCO dialyzer was not compared with HDF in our study, we speculate that use of the MCO dialyzer could improve QOL in patients treated with HDF because the MCO dialyzer has displayed better removal of larger middle molecules than HDF.
One of the major concerns of the MCO dialyzer is the risk of albumin loss through the more permeable membrane. Kirsch et al. reported that the MCO dialyzer removed more albumin than high-flux HD and HDF. By contrast, Garcia-Prieto et al. showed that albumin loss was much lower with an MCO dialyzer compared to online HDF. Although the mean ranges of albumin loss in the two studies ranged widely from 0.03 to 4.9 g/session, the amounts were comparable with the range reported for HDF with high-flux dialyzers. In several randomized studies on HDF, this amount of albumin removal did not result in any significant change in serum albumin levels in the patients treated with HDF. In our study, the serum albumin concentration after three months of using the MCO dialyzer decreased by a mean of 0.13 ± 0.23 mg/dL from baseline; however, the serum albumin concentrations did not differ between the two groups. This suggests that HD with an MCO dialyzer might initially cause a small but non-significant decrease in serum albumin levels. Further studies should investigate whether the decrease could be compensated for or could affect serum albumin concentrations after longer use of an MCO dialyzer.

This study has several limitations. First, the sample size was small, and the study duration was insufficient to evaluate definite effects of the MCO membrane. Nevertheless, we demonstrated significant interval changes in QOL and pruritus after treatment with an MCO dialyzer. Our results of an initial period of MCO dialyzer use provide information about adverse events and changes in laboratory findings that may be helpful for identifying candidates for novel therapies. Second, the Theranova 500 dialyzer, which has a greater surface area (2.0 m²) than the Theranova 400 dialyzer (1.7 m²), was not applied in the MCO group because the Theranova 500 has not yet been introduced in Korea; however, it has been reported that the surface area of the MCO dialyzer was not correlated with depurative effectiveness in middle molecules. Third, we could not estimate the actual extent of solute removal or prove the exact pathophysiologic correlations between middle molecules and the physical components of QOL and uremic pruritus. However, the strengths of our study include that it is the first randomized controlled prospective trial comparing the QOL of maintenance HD patients treated with MCO and high-flux dialyzers. This study will lead to larger and longer subsequent studies involving HDF, which is a more convective method than high-flux dialysis.

In conclusion, compared to a high-flux dialyzer, the new MCO dialyzer may improve self-reported outcomes, particularly the physical domains of QOL and uremic pruritus, in stable maintenance HD patients who use permanent dialysis access. The MCO dialyzer also had a non-significant effect on the serum albumin concentration over 12 weeks of treatment.
Table 5. Quality of life questionnaire scores at baseline and 12 weeks. Values are shown as the mean ± standard deviation. Abbreviations: PCS, physical composite summary; MCS, mental composite summary.

|                         | Baseline          | 12 weeks         | P          | Baseline          | 12 weeks         | P          |
|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------|-------------------|------------------|------------|
|                         | MCO (n = 24)      | High-flux (n = 25) | P          | MCO (n = 24)      | High-flux (n = 25) | P          |
| Total score             | 63.7 ± 13.8       | 57.0 ± 16.4      | 0.134      | 63.9 ± 14.4       | 59.0 ± 17.3      | 0.283      |
| Kidney disease targeted items | 67.9 ± 11.4       | 62.9 ± 12.3      | 0.142      | 66.2 ± 13.3       | 66.2 ± 12.9      | 0.995      |
| Symptoms                | 81.9 ± 13.8       | 75.4 ± 14.0      | 0.107      | 81.3 ± 14.9       | 78.3 ± 14.6      | 0.471      |
| Effects of kidney disease | 67.6 ± 14.9       | 60.7 ± 18.9      | 0.163      | 65.1 ± 20.3       | 67.6 ± 18.9      | 0.654      |
| Burden of kidney disease | 40.9 ± 24.4       | 31.5 ± 26.1      | 0.200      | 39.3 ± 27.2       | 30.8 ± 23.5      | 0.244      |
| Work status             | 14.6 ± 27.5       | 14.0 ± 30.7      | 0.945      | 12.5 ± 26.6       | 18.0 ± 35.0      | 0.540      |
| Cognitive function      | 82.5 ± 19.0       | 83.7 ± 13.6      | 0.795      | 78.1 ± 24.1       | 84.0 ± 17.6      | 0.328      |
| Quality of social interaction | 67.8 ± 18.3       | 60.5 ± 15.0      | 0.136      | 68.1 ± 22.7       | 67.5 ± 20.3      | 0.927      |
| Sexual function         | 57.5 ± 28.8       | 40.6 ± 42.5      | 0.500      | 45.8 ± 35.9       | 50.0 ± 70.7      | 0.911      |
| Sleep                   | 64.1 ± 19.3       | 60.9 ± 17.7      | 0.553      | 62.6 ± 15.1       | 61.6 ± 18.6      | 0.837      |
| Social support          | 66.0 ± 22.2       | 66.0 ± 23.3      | 0.997      | 61.8 ± 23.3       | 73.3 ± 22.1      | 0.082      |
| VAS scoring system      |                   |                  |            |                   |                  |            |
| Frequency of waking from sleep | 0.83 ± 1.05  | 0.68 ± 1.28 | 0.650 | 0.75 ± 0.85 | 1.32 ± 1.60 | 0.126 |
| Frequency of scratching during sleep | 0.38 ± 0.92 | 0.24 ± 0.72 | 0.571 | 0.25 ± 0.53 | 1.00 ± 1.47 | 0.023 |
| Total score by measuring system | 8.58 ± 7.74 | 7.20 ± 7.58 | 0.530 | 6.92 ± 5.98 | 9.92 ± 8.23 | 0.152 |

Table 6. Assessment of uremic pruritus at baseline and 12 weeks. Abbreviations: MCO, medium cut-off; VAS, visual analog scale.
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Methods

Study design. This study is a randomized, prospective, controlled, open-label, phase 4 trial in patients receiving maintenance HD. Patients treated with maintenance HD at the Kyungpook National University Hospital were enrolled and randomized starting in July 2018, and the study was completed in January 2019. This study was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, and the Institutional Review Board of Kyungpook National University Hospital approved the study protocol (KNUH 2017-11-024). This study was registered with the Clinical Research Information Service (CRiS) at the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (KCT0003026; registration date: 25/07/2018).

The detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria are provided at CRiS (http://cris.nih.go.kr). Briefly, a total of 50 patients receiving maintenance high-flux membrane HD for more than three months were enrolled. Other inclusion criteria were as follows: older than 18 years, vascular access by arteriovenous fistula/graft, adequate dialysis (Kt/V > 1.2), and agreement to participate in the clinical study. The exclusion criteria were as follows: low-flux HD, vascular access for dialysis by temporary catheter, scheduled kidney transplantation, admission within three months, hematologic malignancy, monoclonal gammapathy, solid organ malignancy, active infection, human immunodeficiency virus infection, and enrollment in other clinical trials within three months. All patients provided written informed consent.

After enrollment, all patients were randomly divided into MCO and high-flux groups at a 1:1 ratio according to the random number table method with a random number table provided by a statistician who was not involved in the study. The random assignment results were immediately reported to the participants and physicians. The patients and physicians were unblinded to the assignment. The MCO group patients switched from a high-flux membrane (FX CorDiax 80 or 60; Fresenius Medical Care Deutschland, Bad Homburg, Germany) to a Theranova 400 dialyzer (Baxter International Inc., Hechingen, Germany), and the high-flux group continued HD with a high-flux membrane. The detailed characteristics of the dialyzers are listed in Supplementary Table S1 and are described briefly as follows (dialyzer [membrane polymer, inner diameter, wall thickness, effective surface area]): Theranova 400 (polyarylether sulfone-polyvinylpyrrolidone [PVP], 180 μ, 35 μ, 1.7 m2); FX CorDiax 80 (polysulfone-PVP, 185 μ, 35 μ, 1.8 m2); FX CorDiax 60 (polysulfone-PVP, 185 μ, 35 μ, 1.4 m2), respectively. The dialysis treatment details including dialysis time per session, dialysis frequency per week, blood flow, and dialysate flow did not change during the study period. The recommended dialysis prescriptions are as follows: dialysis time: 4 hours, dialysate flow rate: 500 mL/min, blood flow rate: 200–300 mL/min, and target Kt/V > 1.2. Basic demographics, dialysis information, including dialysis adequacy, and biochemical data were collected at baseline. After 12 weeks of treatment, dialysis information, and biochemical data were reassessed. Questionnaires about QOL and pruritus were completed at baseline and at 12 weeks. Blood samples to identify middle molecule levels were obtained before and at the end of dialysis.

Data collection and analysis. QOL. The patients completed the KDQOL-SF43 questionnaire at the beginning of the treatment period and after 12 weeks of treatment. The KDQOL-SF consists of 80 detailed items in 19 domains. The KDCS category was the sum of kidney disease targeted items. The Short Form 36 was classified into two groups: a PCS that reflects the patients’ physical condition, pain, and general health status; and a mental composite summary that reflects the patients’ emotional and social condition.

| Physical functioning | Role-physical | Pruritus distribution, morning | Sleep disturbance, Frequency of scratching during sleep |
|----------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| B (95% CI) | β | P | B (95% CI) | β | P | B (95% CI) | β | P |
| Dialyzer type | 16.67 (3.44, 29.90) | 0.31 | 0.015 | 25.32 (3.43, 47.20) | 0.32 | 0.024 | −0.40 (−0.73, −0.06) | −0.34 | 0.022 | −0.92 (−1.57, −0.28) | −0.40 | 0.006 |
| Age | −0.17 (−0.68, 0.33) | −0.09 | 0.495 | −0.24 (−1.08, 0.59) | 0.56 | 0.560 | 0.01 (−0.01, 0.02) | 0.17 | 0.274 | −0.02 (−0.04, 0.01) | −0.21 | 0.173 |
| Gender | −3.79 (−20.30, 12.72) | −0.07 | 0.645 | 8.00 (−19.31, 35.30) | 0.56 | 0.557 | −0.25 (−0.66, 0.17) | −0.20 | 0.242 | −0.46 (−1.26, 0.35) | −0.19 | 0.256 |
| Diabetes | −14.33 (−27.81, −0.86) | −0.27 | 0.038 | 26.66 (−48.55, 4.38) | −0.34 | 0.020 | 0.07 (−0.27, 0.41) | 0.06 | 0.681 | −0.33 (−0.32, 0.99) | 0.14 | 0.313 |
| Hemoglobin | −2.4 (−10.08, 5.21) | −0.08 | 0.523 | −2.99 (−15.63, 9.66) | −0.07 | 0.636 | 0.15 (−0.05, 0.34) | 0.23 | 0.135 | 0.21 (−0.17, 0.58) | 0.16 | 0.269 |
| Albumin | 40.43 (15.58, 65.27) | 0.45 | 0.002 | 28.44 (−12.65, 69.53) | 0.21 | 0.170 | −0.32 (−0.95, 0.31) | −0.16 | 0.307 | −1.65 (−2.85, −0.44) | −0.42 | 0.009 |
| Total cholesterol | −0.09 (−0.35, 0.16) | −0.11 | 0.458 | −0.33 (−0.75, 0.09) | −0.27 | 0.088 | 0.003 (−0.003, 0.010) | 0.18 | 0.310 | 0.002 (−0.011, 0.014) | 0.04 | 0.805 |

Table 7. Multivariate linear regression analysis of factors associated with physical component domains on quality of life questionnaires and pruritus status at 12 weeks. Adjusted R-squared is 0.343 in the physical functioning domain, 0.186 in the role-physical domain, 0.100 for the morning pruritus distribution, and 0.178 for the frequency of scratching during sleep. The reference dialyzer is a high-flux dialyzer, and the reference gender is male. Abbreviations: B, unstandardized regression coefficient; CI, confidence interval; β, standardized coefficient.
Uremic pruritus. Uremic pruritus was assessed using the scoring system modified by Pauli-Magnus. The pruritus scoring questionnaire was composed of severity, distribution, and sleep disturbance categories. Severity. Scores of 1, 2, 4, and 5 points were recorded for a slight itchy sensation without scratching, the need to scratch without excoriations, scratching with excoriations, and pruritus with total restlessness, respectively.

Distribution. Itching at less than two sites, more than two sites, and generalized itching received 1, 2, and 3 points, respectively. The scores of severity and distribution were assessed in the morning and the afternoon. The two scores were multiplied separately to achieve a maximum of 30 points.

Sleep disturbance. Each waking episode from itching received 2 points (maximum 10 points). Each nighttime scratching episode causing excoriations received 1 point (maximum 5 points). The final score was calculated as the addition of the sleep disturbance score and the severity-distribution product (maximum of 45 points).

The VAS was used to evaluate the subjective intensity of pruritus. The patients reported their itching intensity on a 10-point VAS with 0 indicating no pruritus and 10 indicating unbearable pruritus.

Sampling and analysis. Blood samples were collected before and after the dialysis sessions at baseline and 12 weeks after the treatment. Post-dialysis samples were obtained using the slow-flow method. At the time of the baseline examination, all patients underwent HD using a high-flux membrane dialyzer. The samples were collected in tubes containing a serum-separating agent and then centrifuged for 10 minutes at 3,000 rpm and 4 °C. Then, the serum samples were immediately frozen and stored at −80 °C until analysis. The middle molecule concentrations were measured using commercially available ELISA kits: β2-microglobulin (MW, 11.8 kDa) with the Beta-2 Microglobulin Human SimpleStep ELISA kit (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) and κ-FLC (monomeric MW, 22.5 kDa) and λ-FLC (dimeric MW, 45.0 kDa) with the Human Immunoglobulin Free Light Chains Kappa and Lambda ELISA kit (BioVendor-Laboratorni medicina a.s., Brno, Czech Republic). All assays were performed in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions.

Calculations. The RRs of each middle molecule were calculated based on the pre- and post-dialysis plasma concentrations of the corresponding molecules. To compensate for hemoconcentration during HD, the RRs of the middle molecules were calculated using the Bergstrom and Wehle formula. RR was calculated as:

\[ \text{RR} = \frac{1 - C_{\text{Post}}/C_{\text{Pre}}}{C_{\text{Pre}}/C_{\text{Post}}} \times 100 \]

in which \( C_{\text{Pre}} \) and \( C_{\text{Post}} \) are the measured plasma concentrations of the molecule before and at the end of HD, respectively. \( C_{\text{Post}} \) was further corrected for ultrafiltration (\( C_{\text{Post-corr}} \)) as follows:

\[ C_{\text{Post-corr}} = C_{\text{Post}}/\left[1 + (\text{BW}_{\text{Pre}}/\text{BW}_{\text{Post}})/(0.2 \times \text{BW}_{\text{Post}})\right] \]

in which \( \text{BW}_{\text{Pre}} \) and \( \text{BW}_{\text{Post}} \) are the patient body weight before and at the end of HD, respectively.

Study outcomes. The primary outcome was the KDQOL-SF and pruritus assessment, which were evaluated using the questionnaire and VAS, respectively. The secondary outcomes were pre-dialysis plasma concentrations and RRs of middle molecules assessed at baseline and 12 weeks after randomization, which were regarded as factors potentially associated with QOL and pruritus.

Statistical analysis. There have been no previous studies on the effect of the MCO membrane on QOL, but we determined the sample size based on the results of a previous study that compared QOL among patients undergoing HDF, high-flux HD, and low-flux HD. For the primary endpoint, we assumed that the power would be 80% with a two-sided type 1 error rate of 5%, and the standard deviation for the difference in QOL would be 1.5. We also predicted a 10% dropout rate for both groups. Thus, to identify a minimum difference of 12 in the KDQOL-SF domains, 254 patients were required in each arm (MCO and control groups). All patients who completed the questionnaires at least once after enrollment were included in the analysis. All variables were expressed as means ± SD or number (percentage, %), depending on the nature of the variables. The Student’s t-test was applied to determine differences between continuous variables, and Pearson’s chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test was used to determine the differences in categorical variables. A multiple linear regression analysis was used to identify the factors associated with physical component domains on the QOL questionnaires. The statistical analyses were performed with SPSS version 20.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). A P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Data availability. The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
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