Effects of Redispersible Polymer Powder on Mechanical and Durability Properties of Preplaced Aggregate Concrete with Recycled Railway Ballast
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Abstract
The rapid-hardening method employing the injection of calcium sulfoaluminate (CSA) cement mortar into voids between preplaced ballast aggregates has recently emerged as a promising approach for the renovation of existing ballasted railway tracks to concrete tracks. This method typically involves the use of a redispersible polymer powder to enhance the durability of the resulting recycled aggregate concrete. However, the effects of the amount of polymer on the mechanical and durability properties of recycled ballast aggregate concrete were not clearly understood. In addition, the effects of the cleanness condition of ballast aggregates were never examined. This study aimed at investigating these two aspects through compression and flexure tests, shrinkage tests, freezing–thawing resistance tests, and optical microscopy. The results revealed that an increase in the amount of polymer generally decreased the compressive strength at the curing age of 28 days. However, the use of a higher polymer ratio enhanced the modulus of rupture, freezing–thawing resistance, and shrinkage resistance, likely because it improved the microstructure of the interfacial transition zones between recycled ballast aggregates and injected mortar. In addition, a higher cleanness level of ballast aggregates generally improved the mechanical and durability qualities of concrete.
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1 Background
In modern times, the enhancement of the traveling speed and capacity of trains have been among the main issues facing public transportation systems around the world. These needs have been met by the continuous advancement of the classical railway track system, which consists of ballasted tracks, along with the development of train technologies (Bezin et al. 2010).

Despite many modifications over its history, the ballasted track system continues to present considerable problems, such as changes in the track geometry, fast deteriorations of track components, and rising maintenance and repair costs due to increasing train speed and transport capacity (Miura et al. 1998; Bezin et al. 2010). Accordingly, the need for non-ballasted railway tracks with higher durability has gradually increased worldwide (Galvin et al. 2010; Lee et al. 2016). For example, the percentage of slab tracks for Japanese high-speed lines has greatly increased since the introduction of the Sanyo Shinkansen line in 1972 (Miura et al. 1998; Paixão et al. 2009). The use of slab tracks has also risen in many European countries (Paixão et al. 2009).

Recently, an effective method for upgrading existing ballasted tracks to non-ballasted tracks has been
developed in Japan (Higuchi et al. 1978) and South Korea (Lee et al. 2016). This method, so-called the rapid-hardening method, is used to transform a ballasted track into a concrete track by injecting calcium sulfoaluminate (CSA) cement mortar into voids between preplaced ballast aggregates and solidifying the whole composite as preplaced aggregate concrete (PAC), as illustrated in Figs. 1 and 2 (Murao and Aihara 2005; Jang et al. 2013). This method may take less than 5 h to produce a concrete track with a sufficient strength, so that it may not require any interruption of train operations. In South Korea, an early version of the rapid-hardening method was applied to some urban railway lines (Jang et al. 2013), and a more advanced method and materials for use in high-speed railway lines are being developed by our research group (Korean Railroad Research Institute 2014).

Coarse aggregates in existing ballasted tracks typically have fine particles and pollutants attached to their surfaces. Such alien materials are likely to impact on the properties of the resulting concrete by weakening the interfacial transition zones (ITZs) between the aggregates and mortar (Muñoz et al. 2005; Norvell et al. 2007; McNeil and Kang 2013; Kim et al. 2013; Kang et al. 2014; Choi et al. 2016a). Furthermore, the strength and durability of PAC are more greatly influenced by the properties of coarse aggregates than those of cast-in-place concrete, because of their higher volumetric ratio in PAC (ACI Committee 304 2000; Najjar et al. 2014). Indeed, previous studies reported that PAC produced from recycled ballast aggregates showed inferior freezing–thawing resistance due to interfacial failures between the aggregates and mortar as shown in Fig. 3 (Korean Railroad Research Institute 2014).
Institute 2015; Yoo et al. 2016). Therefore, the quality of the ITZs between ballast aggregates and mortar is considered a critical factor for the strength and durability of the PAC resulting from the rapid-hardening method.

Several researchers attempted to use a polymer to enhance the microstructure of ITZs in concrete (Ohama 1995; Su 1995; Kardon 1997; Kim and Robertson 1998). Some studies suggested that the polymer formed continuous films or membranes in the microstructure of concrete (Ohama 1995; Su 1995). They proposed that the polymer films bound cement hydrates to one another and to aggregates, and filled pores in the concrete, as shown in Fig. 4 (Su 1995). Thus, the polymer helped to create a denser microstructure by reducing the volume of porous transition zones and to strengthen the binding of aggregates to the cement-based matrix (Shaker et al. 1997; Choi et al. 2016b; Liu et al. 2018; Qudoos et al. 2018).

This was considered capable of improving the durability of concrete as a consequence (Ohama 1995; Su 1995; Kardon 1997; Shaker et al. 1997; Rossignolo and Agnesini 2004; Aggarwal et al. 2007). However, the properties of concrete are likely influenced by the type and amount of polymer (Ohama 1995), which has not been fully understood to date.

Another way of improving the microstructure of ITZs in the resulting concrete would be to remove pollutants from the surfaces of ballast aggregates. However, the cleaning process requires additional time and cost, and it produces environmental pollutants such as dust and noise (Lee 2010). Hence, the effects of different cleanness levels of ballast aggregates need to be examined to minimize the construction time and cost while meeting the strength and durability requirements of concrete.

Given the aforementioned concerns, the effects of the amount of polymer and the cleanness level of aggregates on the mechanical and durability properties of PAC produced from recycled ballast aggregates were investigated in this study. Compression and flexure tests, shrinkage tests, freezing–thawing resistance tests, and optical microscopy were conducted by varying the amount of a dispersible polymer powder and the cleanness level of ballast aggregates.

2 Materials and Specimens

2.1 Materials

The cement used is calcium sulfoaluminate (CSA) cement containing a higher portion of aluminum oxide than Portland cement, as indicated by the chemical oxide composition in Table 1. The Blaine fineness and LOI (loss on ignition) of the cement are 4800 cm²/g and 1.6% respectively. The polymer used is acrylic redispersible polymer powder (Fig. 5a), and its chemical oxide composition and other properties are summarized in Table 2. The particle size distribution of the polymer powder is plotted in Fig. 6. Two different set retarders were used: citric acid and zinc acetate. Citric acid functions as both a set retarder and water reducer, and zinc acetate functions as a set retarder. The set retarders were used to ensure a
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sufficient workable time because the CSA cement starts to harden quickly right after water is mixed.

The ballast aggregates used in this study were acquired from a real ballasted railway track. The recycled ballast aggregates were prepared at three different levels of surface cleanness (i.e., H-, M-, or L-level) as shown in Fig. 7. H-level aggregates represented the highest level of cleanness among the three categories; they were dry-cleaned by the blasting method for 30 s. In contrast, L-level aggregates represented the lowest level of cleanness; no cleaning treatment was applied to them.

The physical and chemical properties of the ballast aggregates with three different cleanness levels are presented in Table 3. For the H-, M-, and L-level aggregates, the fine materials passing through a 0.08-mm sieve are 0.1, 0.06, and 0.04%, respectively, and the abrasion test results are 6.7, 6.5, and 6.4%, respectively. The qualities of the recycled ballast aggregates were considerably higher than the standard requirements for recycled coarse aggregate in KS F 2573 (Kim et al. 2013).

The particle size distributions of fine and ballast aggregates are plotted in Fig. 8. Because the maximum size of ballast aggregates was slightly larger than 60 mm (Fig. 7), only ballast aggregates passing through a 40-mm sieve were used for the concrete tests of this study, as specified in KS F 2403 (Korean Standards Association 2014a, b). Note that ASTM C192 (2016) specifies that the sample should be created by wet sieving through a 50-mm sieve when the maximum size of coarse aggregate is larger than 50 mm. In this study, however, a 40-mm sieve was used, considering that the freeze–thaw tests were conducted on 100 × 100 × 400 mm specimens according to ASTM C666 (2016).

### 2.2 Test Variables and Mix Proportions

In this study, the main test variables were the amount of polymer and the cleanness level of recycled ballast aggregates. The polymer ratio was varied as 0, 2, 6, and 10% of the total weight of cement. The cleanness level of ballast aggregates was classified as one of the three categories (H-, M-, or L-level) according to the amount of alien particles remaining on the aggregate surface, as described in the previous section.

Table 4 summarizes the proportions of a total of sixteen different mix cases; four mortar samples and twelve concrete samples were tested. The W/C ratio, in which W and C signify water and cement, respectively, was fixed at 39.3% in all sixteen mix cases. The contents of the retarders A and B, which are citric acid and zinc acetate respectively, were fixed at 0.16% and 0.12% of the weight of cement, respectively.
Fig. 7 Recycled ballast aggregates with three different cleaniness levels.

Table 3 Physical and chemical properties of fine and ballast aggregates.

| Property                        | Fine aggregate | Ballast aggregates |
|---------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|
|                                |                | L-level | M-level | H-level |
| Absorption (%)                 | 1.53           | 0.52    | 0.47    | 0.48    |
| Abrasion (%)                   | –              | 6.7     | 6.5     | 6.4     |
| 0.08 mm sieve passing (%)      | 2.2            | 0.1     | 0.06    | 0.04    |
| Alkali aggregate reaction      | –              | Harmless| Harmless| Harmless|
| Stability (%)                  | 2.4            | 3.1     | 1.9     | 2.0     |
| Absolute dry density (g/cm³)   | 2.55           | 2.60    | 2.60    | 2.61    |

Fig. 8 Particle size distributions of fine and ballast aggregates.
Table 4 Mix proportions of four mortar samples and twelve concrete samples.

| Group   | Sample | W/C  | Unit weight (kg/m³) | W | C | Fine aggregate | Ballast aggregate | Polymer | Retarder |
|---------|--------|------|---------------------|---|---|----------------|-------------------|---------|----------|
| Mortar  | C-0    | 39.3%|                     | 339| 862| 923            | –                 | 1.43    | 1.07     |
|         | C-2    |      |                     | 339| 862| 923            | –                 | 17.9    | 1.43     |
|         | C-6    |      |                     | 339| 862| 923            | –                 | 53.6    | 1.43     |
|         | C-10   |      |                     | 339| 862| 923            | –                 | 89.3    | 1.43     |
| Concrete| C-H-0  |      |                     | 186| 473| 507            | 1446              | 0       | 0.78     |
|         | C-H-2  |      |                     | 186| 473| 507            | 1446              | 9.80    | 0.78     |
|         | C-H-6  |      |                     | 186| 473| 507            | 1446              | 29.4    | 0.78     |
|         | C-H-10 |      |                     | 186| 473| 507            | 1446              | 49.0    | 0.78     |
|         | C-M-0  |      |                     | 186| 473| 507            | 1446              | 0       | 0.78     |
|         | C-M-2  |      |                     | 186| 473| 507            | 1446              | 9.80    | 0.78     |
|         | C-M-6  |      |                     | 186| 473| 507            | 1446              | 29.4    | 0.78     |
|         | C-M-10 |      |                     | 186| 473| 507            | 1446              | 49.0    | 0.78     |
|         | C-L-0  |      |                     | 186| 473| 507            | 1446              | 0       | 0.78     |
|         | C-L-2  |      |                     | 186| 473| 507            | 1446              | 9.80    | 0.78     |
|         | C-L-6  |      |                     | 186| 473| 507            | 1446              | 29.4    | 0.78     |
|         | C-L-10 |      |                     | 186| 473| 507            | 1446              | 49.0    | 0.78     |

2.3 Mixing, Casting, and Curing Procedures

The procedure according to which PAC specimens were prepared is shown in Fig. 9. Firstly, specimen molds were filled with ballast aggregates, and were shaken on a vibrating table to pack the aggregates densely. Next, mortar (a mixture of cement and fine aggregate) and polymer were dry-mixed by a mechanical mixer. Then, the dry-mixed mortar and polymer were poured into the water in which the retarders were dissolved. Then, all materials were blended together at a paddle speed of about 100 rpm. Lastly, the fresh plastic mortar was inserted into the specimen molds as the sides of the molds were lightly tapped with a rubber mallet to ensure even distribution of the plastic mortar in the voids between ballast aggregates and to remove air from inside the mortar. For mortar samples with no ballast aggregates, the first step (packing aggregates into specimen molds) was omitted.

For shrinkage tests, one strain gauge and one thermocouple were embedded within each specimen to measure the specimen shrinkage and temperature (Fig. 10). First, the mold was filled with ballast aggregates up to mid-height and was subjected to vibration on a vibrating table. On the placed ballast aggregates, a strain gauge (EGP-5-120, with a gauge length of 100 mm and outer body size of 130 × 17 × 10 mm) and a thermocouple were installed in the longitudinal direction of the mold as shown in Fig. 10. Next, the top half of the mold was carefully filled with ballast aggregates, and the mold was vibrated again to pack the aggregates densely. Finally, fresh mortar was inserted into the mold while tapping the sides of the mold lightly with a rubber mallet.

After the molds were removed, the hardened specimens were dry-cured in an air-conditioned room at a temperature of 20 ± 3 °C and relative humidity of 60% until the tests were conducted.

![Fig. 9 Procedure for the fabrication of tested PAC specimens: a sieving, b packing aggregates in a mold, c mixing mortar, d filling the mold with mortar, and e curing.](image-url)
3 Test Methods

3.1 Compression Test

Compression tests for mortar samples with no ballast aggregates (Table 4) were carried out on 50-mm long cube specimens according to ASTM C109 (2016). For concrete samples containing ballast aggregates (Table 4), cylindrical specimens 150 mm in diameter and 300 mm in height were used according to ASTM C39 (2016). The compression tests were conducted at 28 days of curing, as well as at the early hours of curing noted in Table 5, and three specimens were tested for each case. In particular, the compression tests at the early hours of curing were conducted to investigate the effect of the polymer on the early strength development. For the cylindrical specimens, three LVDTs were installed to estimate the axial strain, as shown in Fig. 11a.

3.2 Flexure Test

The effects of the test variables on the tensile capacity of PAC with recycled ballast aggregates were investigated by modulus-of-rupture tests in accordance with ASTM C78 (2016). The flexure tests were conducted using third-point loading on prism specimens with the dimensions of 150 × 150 × 550 mm. For each concrete sample in Table 4, three specimens were tested after 28 days of curing. The vertical displacement of a specimen was measured by installing two LVDTs at the midspan of the specimen as shown in Fig. 11b, and the average of the two values was used as the deflection of the specimen.

3.3 Shrinkage Test

For each concrete sample in Table 4, shrinkage tests were conducted on three prism specimens with a size of 150 × 150 × 550 mm. The shrinkage test specimens were cured in an air-conditioned room under controlled temperature of 20 ± 3 °C and relative humidity of 60% during the entire test period. The embedded strain gauge and

Table 5 Compressive strength and modulus of elasticity.

| Sample | Sample | Compressive strength (MPa) | Curing time | Modulus of elasticity (GPa) |
|--------|--------|----------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|
|        |        |                            | 2 h         | Average | St. dev. | Average | St. dev. | 4 h         | Average | St. dev. | 28 days | Average | St. dev. | ACI Committee 318 (2014) | ASTM C469 (2014) |
|        |        |                            | 3 h         | Average | St. dev. | Average | St. dev. | 4 h         | Average | St. dev. | 28 days | Average | St. dev. |                        |                      |
| C-0    |        |                            | 3 h         | Average | St. dev. | Average | St. dev. | 4 h         | Average | St. dev. | 28 days | Average | St. dev. |                        |                      |
| C-2    |        |                            | 3 h         | Average | St. dev. | Average | St. dev. | 4 h         | Average | St. dev. | 28 days | Average | St. dev. |                        |                      |
| C-6    |        |                            | 3 h         | Average | St. dev. | Average | St. dev. | 4 h         | Average | St. dev. | 28 days | Average | St. dev. |                        |                      |
| C-10   |        |                            | 3 h         | Average | St. dev. | Average | St. dev. | 4 h         | Average | St. dev. | 28 days | Average | St. dev. |                        |                      |
| C-H-0  |        |                            | 3 h         | Average | St. dev. | Average | St. dev. | 4 h         | Average | St. dev. | 28 days | Average | St. dev. |                        |                      |
| C-H-2  |        |                            | 3 h         | Average | St. dev. | Average | St. dev. | 4 h         | Average | St. dev. | 28 days | Average | St. dev. |                        |                      |
| C-H-6  |        |                            | 3 h         | Average | St. dev. | Average | St. dev. | 4 h         | Average | St. dev. | 28 days | Average | St. dev. |                        |                      |
| C-H-10 |        |                            | 3 h         | Average | St. dev. | Average | St. dev. | 4 h         | Average | St. dev. | 28 days | Average | St. dev. |                        |                      |
| C-M-0  |        |                            | 3 h         | Average | St. dev. | Average | St. dev. | 4 h         | Average | St. dev. | 28 days | Average | St. dev. |                        |                      |
| C-M-2  |        |                            | 3 h         | Average | St. dev. | Average | St. dev. | 4 h         | Average | St. dev. | 28 days | Average | St. dev. |                        |                      |
| C-M-6  |        |                            | 3 h         | Average | St. dev. | Average | St. dev. | 4 h         | Average | St. dev. | 28 days | Average | St. dev. |                        |                      |
| C-M-10 |        |                            | 3 h         | Average | St. dev. | Average | St. dev. | 4 h         | Average | St. dev. | 28 days | Average | St. dev. |                        |                      |
| C-L-0  |        |                            | 3 h         | Average | St. dev. | Average | St. dev. | 4 h         | Average | St. dev. | 28 days | Average | St. dev. |                        |                      |
| C-L-2  |        |                            | 3 h         | Average | St. dev. | Average | St. dev. | 4 h         | Average | St. dev. | 28 days | Average | St. dev. |                        |                      |
| C-L-6  |        |                            | 3 h         | Average | St. dev. | Average | St. dev. | 4 h         | Average | St. dev. | 28 days | Average | St. dev. |                        |                      |
| C-L-10 |        |                            | 3 h         | Average | St. dev. | Average | St. dev. | 4 h         | Average | St. dev. | 28 days | Average | St. dev. |                        |                      |
thermocouple (Fig. 10) were connected to a data logger (TDS-530) after 3 h of curing, and the change in length and temperature of each specimen were measured and recorded for 91 days. After the tests, the effect of temperature on the shrinkage strain was compensated for, based on the measured temperature data of the specimen (Yang and Joo 2011).

3.4 Freezing–Thawing Resistance Test
Freezing–thawing resistance tests were performed for concrete samples by using prism specimens with a size of 100 × 100 × 400 mm according to ASTM C666 (2016); three specimens were tested for each sample. The initial fundamental transverse frequency and mass of each specimen were measured at 14 days of curing under a controlled temperature of 20 ± 3 °C and relative humidity of 60%. Then, the specimens were placed in the test apparatus filled with water, and were subjected to temperature cycles between −18 °C and 4 °C, which were controlled by monitoring the interior temperature of a control specimen, as shown in Fig. 12.

At about 36 cycle intervals, the fundamental transverse frequency and mass were measured, and the relative dynamic modulus of elasticity (RDM) was calculated by:

\[
P_c = \left( \frac{n^2_c}{n^2_0} \right) \times 100
\]

Fig. 11 Test setups: a compression test, and b third-point flexure test.

Here, \(P_c\) is the relative dynamic modulus of elasticity (%), \(n_c\) is the fundamental transverse frequency after \(c\) cycles, and \(n_0\) is the fundamental transverse frequency at the beginning of the test (at 14 days of curing). The test was discontinued when \(P_c\) was reduced to below 60% of the initial modulus or after 300 cycles, whichever occurred first.
Based on the freezing–thawing test results, the durability factor of concrete was calculated by:

\[ DF = \frac{P_N(N/300)}{N} \] (2)

Here, \( DF \) is the durability factor of the test specimen, \( P_N \) is the relative dynamic modulus of elasticity after \( N \) cycles (%), \( N \) is the number of cycles at which \( P_N \) reaches the specified minimum value for discontinuing the test (i.e., 60%) or the specified number of cycles at which the exposure is to be terminated (i.e., 300), whichever is less. After the tests, all the specimens were cut at a transverse section, and the ITZs between ballast aggregates and mortar in the cut section were observed by using an optical microscope.

4 Test Results
4.1 Compressive Strength and Modulus of Elasticity
The test results of compressive strength and elastic modulus for all mortar and concrete samples are summarized in Table 5; at least three specimens were tested for each sample.

The compressive strength tests at 2, 3 or 4 h of curing were conducted right after the surfaces of the specimens solidified. The initial setting time was delayed as the amount of polymer increased under the condition that the same W/C ratio and retarders were used in all mix samples. Thus, the specimens containing 0% or 2% polymer were tested at about 2 h of curing, the specimens with 6% polymer were tested at about 3 h of curing, and the specimens with 10% polymer were tested at about 4 h of curing. This delay in the setting time was likely attributed to the films the polymer particles produced around cement grains, as these films would inhibit the reaction between cement and water (i.e., cement hydration) (Su 1995; Wang et al. 2006, 2016).

The compressive stress–strain relationships of all twelve concrete samples (Table 4) acquired at 28 days of curing are presented in Figs. 13, 14 and 15. Note that there are only two plots in Fig. 15a, since one specimen of the sample (C-L-0) improperly failed during the test. The compressive strengths of all mortar and concrete samples at 28 days of curing are compared in Fig. 16. For a given polymer ratio, the mortar samples had a 50–175% higher compressive strength on average than the concrete samples. This implies that the compressive strength of PAC with recycled ballast aggregates was greatly affected by
the ITZs between the mortar and aggregates (Najjar et al. 2014).

In both mortar and concrete cases, the compressive strength generally decreased as the polymer ratio increased (Fig. 16). With regard to the mortar samples, C-2, C-6, and C-10 had approximately 5.4, 8.2, and 24% lower compressive strengths than C-0, respectively. The reduction in strength was moderate up to a polymer ratio of 6%, but it was substantial at a ratio of 10%. For the concrete samples with H-level aggregates, C-H-2, C-H-6, and C-H-10 showed a compressive strength approximately 8.8, 16, and 23% lower than C-H-0. With a similar trend, C-M-10 and C-L-10 presented compressive strengths approximately 23 and 33% lower than C-M-0 and C-L-0, respectively. A lower compressive strength from a higher polymer ratio was likely attributed to the following: (1) the polymer particles hindered the cement from reacting with water, so that the strength development was delayed (Su et al. 1991; Su 1995; Jun et al. 2003). (2) Due to the low strength of the polymer itself, the polymer films had a similar effect to pores in the hardened concrete (Sakai and Sugita 1995).

Figure 17 plots the moduli of elasticity of all concrete samples, determined by ACI Committee 318 (2014) (see Table 5). The modulus of elasticity presented a tendency to decrease with increasing polymer ratio; the moduli of C-H-2, C-H-6, and C-H-10 were roughly 4.4, 8.5, and 12.2% smaller than that of C-H-0. Similarly, the moduli of C-M-10 and C-L-10 were 12.5 and 32.5% smaller than those of C-M-0 and C-L-0, respectively. This suggests that an increase in the polymer ratio generally caused a reduction in both the compressive strength and elastic modulus of PAC made from recycled ballast aggregates.

Regarding the cleanliness level of ballast aggregates, the specimens with H-level aggregates generally showed higher compressive strengths than those with L- and M-level aggregates for a given polymer ratio (Fig. 16). The trend of a higher strength with H-level aggregates was particularly distinct at 10% polymer ratio; the compressive strength of C-H-10 was roughly 18% and 26% higher than those of C-M-10 and C-L-10, respectively. A possible reason for this result is that denser ITZs between the mortar and aggregates were created using H-level aggregates with smaller amounts of dusty particles. However,
the effect of the aggregate cleanness level was not apparent for polymer ratios of 2 and 6%.

4.2 Modulus of Rupture

Figure 18 compares the moduli of rupture (i.e., tensile strengths) of all concrete samples listed in Table 4. With regard to the effect of the polymer, the specimens with a polymer ratio of 10% generally displayed the largest tensile strengths; the moduli of rupture of C-H-10 and C-L-10 were about 13.1 and 5.4% larger than those of C-H-0 and C-L-0, respectively.

In addition, the tensile-to-compressive strength (M/C) ratios are presented in Fig. 16; the ratios ranged from approximately 0.75–0.14. Although the samples containing 2 and 6% polymer had slightly smaller moduli of rupture than those containing no polymer, their M/C ratios were similar to or larger than those with no polymer. Furthermore, the M/C ratio increased sharply at 10% polymer ratio (except for M-level aggregate concrete). Therefore, it can be concluded that the use of polymer enhanced the ITZs between the ballast aggregates and mortar, and consequently improved the tensile strength.

As regards the effect of the cleanness level of ballast aggregates, the concrete samples of H-level aggregates showed higher tensile strengths than those of M- or L-level aggregates (Fig. 18). The modulus of rupture of C-H-10 was 24.3 and 11.3% higher than that of C-M-10 and C-L-10, respectively. However, the M-level aggregate
concrete samples had rather smaller moduli of rupture than the L-level aggregate concrete samples; i.e., the moduli of rupture of C-M-10 and C-M-0 were approximately 14.6 and 6.0% smaller than those of C-L-10 and C-L-0, respectively. This suggests that partial aggregate cleaning might cause a reduction in the tensile strength of PAC with recycled ballast aggregates.

4.3 Shrinkage Strain
The results of the shrinkage tests for the concrete samples are presented in Fig. 19. Overall, the shrinkage strain rapidly increased at the early curing stage, but after about 15–20 days, the change in shrinkage strain became insignificant.

The concrete samples with 2% polymer ratio exhibited a significant increase in shrinkage strain during a few hours immediately after the beginning of the strain measurement (Fig. 19). In contrast, early expansion, signified by positive strain values, was observed for the samples with higher polymer ratios. In particular, it was the greatest in the samples with 10% polymer ratio (Fig. 19). The difference in early expansion in relation to the polymer ratio was possibly due to the following reasons: (1) re-emulsified polymer particles inhibited the reaction between cement and water (as discussed for the early compressive strength results), and consequently delayed the shrinkage. (2) At the same time, re-emulsified polymer particles might enlarge in volume to cause the expansion of concrete under the unconstrained condition (Ohama 1995).

The ultimate shrinkage strain of concrete gradually decreased as the polymer ratio increased; for example, C-H-6 and C-L-6 underwent more than 30% smaller peak strains compared with C-H-2 and C-L-2, respectively (Fig. 19). The reduction in the ultimate shrinkage strain with a higher polymer ratio was likely because continuous polymer films occupying micro-pores in the concrete hindered water evaporation (Su 1995; Persson 2001; Zhang and Kong 2014). Furthermore, it was possibly in part because re-emulsified polymer particles might enlarge in volume to offset the shrinkage.

With regard to the effect of the cleanness level of ballast aggregates, C-L-2 and C-L-6 underwent a smaller shrinkage strain than C-H-2 and C-H-6, respectively. This could be possibly because the fine particles attached to ballast aggregates filled the micro-pores of concrete and consequently reduced the shrinkage strain (Li and Yao 2001). However, the effect of the aggregate cleanness on the shrinkage strain of concrete was not as significant as that of the polymer ratio.

4.4 Freezing–Thawing Resistance
The results of the freezing–thawing resistance tests for the concrete samples are presented in Fig. 20. The test results are generally in accordance with the flexure test results. The freezing–thawing resistance of PAC with
Fig. 20 Relative dynamic moduli of elasticity (RDMs) of concrete samples with a H-level, b M-level, and c L-level aggregates.
recycled ballast aggregates was not notably enhanced by the addition of 2 or 6% polymer. However, the concretes with a polymer ratio of 10% (C-H-10, C-M-10, and C-L-10) exhibited substantially higher freezing–thawing resistance. Among the H-level aggregate concretes, C-H-2 firstly reached an RDM value below 60% near the 150th cycle, and C-H-0 and C-H-6 followed later in turn. However, the RDM of C-H-10 remained larger than 80% after 300 cycles (Fig. 20). Similarly, the durability factor of the samples with 10% polymer was roughly 2.7–3.0 times larger than those with no polymer, whereas the factor was not increased by the use of 2 or 6% polymer (Table 6).

At a given polymer ratio, the concretes with H-level aggregates showed higher freezing–thawing resistance than those with L- or M-level aggregates (Fig. 20). Moreover, the durability factor of concrete was the highest with H-level aggregates for every polymer ratio (Table 6). This was likely in part because polymer played a more pronounced role in the ITZs of H-level aggregates with fewer alien particles, which would have hindered the formation of polymer films.

The mass of each specimen did not change much in all samples throughout the freezing–thawing tests (Fig. 20); only small mortar parts peeled from the surfaces of the specimens. This implies that the degradation of the freezing–thawing resistance of PAC with recycled ballast aggregates originated mainly from interfacial failures between the aggregates and mortar. The freezing–thawing test results were clearly visualized in the specimen sections that were cut after the completion of testing (Figs. 21 and 22): (1) the concretes with 10% polymer displayed the least damage in the ITZs, regardless of the aggregate cleanness level (Figs. 21d and 22d). (2) The concretes with L-level aggregates (Fig. 22) experienced more extensive damage in the ITZs than those with H-level aggregates (Fig. 21).

Figures 23 and 24 show the magnified images of damaged ITZs in H- and L-level aggregate concretes, respectively, taken by an optical microscope with a magnification factor of 160 after the freeze–thaw tests. The concretes with low RDM and DM values clearly experienced severe crack damages in the ITZs; the crack width in C-L-2 and C-L-6 was approximately of the order of

| Polymer ratio (%) | Durability factor (%) determined by Eq. (2) |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------|
|                  | H-level | M-level | L-level |
| 0                | 32.4    | 21.1    | 26.8    |
| 2                | 28.4    | 16.6    | 20.5    |
| 6                | 38.9    | 20.7    | 19.6    |
| 10               | 88.1    | 62.5    | 77.6    |

Fig. 21 Specimen sections with H-level aggregates after freeze–thaw testing: a C-H-0, b C-H-2, c C-H-6, and d C-H-10.

Fig. 22 Specimen sections with L-level aggregates after freeze–thaw testing: a C-L-0, b C-L-2, c C-L-6, and d C-L-10.
Fig. 23 Damaged ITZs with H-level aggregates after freeze–thaw testing: a C-H-0, b C-H-2, c C-H-6, and d C-H-10 [magnification factor: 160].

Fig. 24 Damaged ITZs with L-level aggregates after freeze–thaw testing: a C-L-0, b C-L-2, c C-L-6, and d C-L-10 [magnification factor: 160].
0.3–1.4 mm. In contrast, considerable damages were not found in the ITZs of the concretes with the highest RDM and DF values (e.g., C-H-10).

5 Conclusion

The experimental results obtained in this study led to the following findings and conclusions:

1. The 28-day compressive strength of both mortar and concrete produced from recycled ballast aggregates generally decreased as the polymer ratio increased. The increase in the polymer ratio also caused a reduction in the modulus of elasticity of concrete.

2. In contrast, the addition of redispersible polymer powder generally increased the tensile strength of the concrete. This was likely owing to the improvement of ITZs between the aggregates and mortar.

3. The ultimate shrinkage strain of the concrete decreased gradually as the polymer ratio increased. In the concrete samples with 2% polymer ratio, the shrinkage strain drastically increased during a few hours at the early curing stage. In contrast, early expansion was observed in the concretes with higher polymer ratios.

4. The freezing–thawing resistance of the concrete was significantly increased at a polymer ratio of 10%, whereas it was not notably enhanced by the use of 2 or 6% polymer. From the microscope images, it is evident that the degradation of freezing–thawing resistance originated mainly from the failure of the ITZs.

5. The concrete samples with H-level aggregates generally showed higher compressive strength, tensile strength, and freezing–thawing resistance than those with L- and M-level aggregates.

6. The shrinkage strain of the concrete generally decreased as the aggregates were cleaned to a lesser extent, but the effect of the aggregate cleanliness was not as significant as that of the polymer ratio.

7. The setting and early strength development of the concrete was delayed as the amount of polymer increased. This delay likely occurred because the polymer particles produced films around the cement grains to inhibit the reaction between cement and water. Further microstructural analyses are underway to investigate the effect of the polymer on the microstructure and strength development of CSA cement systems at short-term as well as long-term curing periods.
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