Intrauterine growth restriction: Recent developments, definitions and future research
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Abstract
The subject of intrauterine growth restriction has and continues to be in confusion because academic journals continue to publish articles that define the outcome of this disease as low birthweight or small for gestational age. This fault has been recently addressed with the recent publication of *Fetal-Placental Growth Restriction*: a series of 28 articles in 7 sections by 56 recognised authors. But even in this publication no alternative to low birthweight is clearly defined as an alternative to describe the outcome of this disease. As the name of this publication implies, this aberrant fetal growth has its origin in the maldevelopment of a normal, low resistance, uteroplacental circulation. It is therefore not surprising the many these cases have been detected preclinically using mid-pregnancy uteroplacental Doppler flow studies.

Previous studies have shown that an improvement in outcome definition is achieved when it address the asymmetry of growth these infant have. This review concludes that a ratio of birthweight to head circumference would be the simplest and most appropriate definition.

Introduction
Many infants with a low birthweight (BWt) are healthy and many infants with a normal BWt have suffered with intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) that puts them at increased risk of peri-partum morbidity and mortality [1,2]. The term *Placental-Fetal Growth Restriction* (PFGR) was recently conceived by the editors of the book of the same name [3]. These editors are cognisant of the inadequacy and hence the inaccuracy of the perception of small for gestational age (SGA) as describing clinically relevant IUGR. From our decades old works on asymmetrical IUGR [2,4], we endorse the theory and terminology of PFGR as the most relevant to this clinical entity. PFGR has its origin in uteroplacental insufficiency [3].

Body of review
It is over twenty five years since, we published our original study on asymmetrical versus symmetrical IUGR in a population of 2,500 consecutive births [2]. We found in term infants, Ponderal index (PI) to be a better measure of infants with intrauterine growth problems than birth-weight centiles with higher rates of Caesarean section delivery and fetal distress / stillbirths.

\[
PI = \frac{BWt (g)}{\text{Crown-heel length}^3} \times 100
\]

In a similar study of 3,540 births, published just before ours, Villar et al. [1] showed that the highest rates of perinatal distress at term occurred in the subgroup with a normal birthweight but a low Ponderal index. They also found that the rates of perinatal distress were all higher in the low Ponderal index subgroups than in the low birthweight (SGA) subgroups (Figure 1). We subsequently went on to publish the review ‘Categories of intrauterine growth retardation’ [4].

In *Placental-Fetal Growth Restriction* [3] no alternative to SGA is put forward as an outcome definition of PFGR. The only oblique reference is: "a fetus growth restricted secondary to placental insufficiency is said to exhibit an asymmetrical pattern of growth restriction, but mixed patterns of fetal growth are possible. In very early FGR, symmetrical fetal growth is seen” [5].

We recently [6] were thus not surprised that Parry et al. [7] in their analysis of the data they collected in the Nulliparous Pregnancy Outcomes Study: Monitoring Mothers-to-be (nuMoM2b) [8], did not find second-trimester uterine artery Doppler studies to be a clinically useful test for predicting SGA babies.

*Correspondence to: Roger A McMaster Fay, PO Box 82, Emu Plains, NSW 2750, Australia; Tel: +61 438 354 900; E-mail: roger@fay.com.au

Received: September 30, 2019; Accepted: October 15, 2019; Published: October 18, 2019
More recently, the ratio of BWt to head circumference has been propose as a measure of asymmetric growth retardation (AGR) [9,10]. Bocca-Tjertes et al. [9], in a study of 810 preterm infants, defined AGR as any newborn infant with a BWt z-score more than 1SD than its corresponding HC z-score. Using this formula 11% of in infants born between 35 and 32 weeks and 10% between 31 and 25 weeks gestation had AGR.

Goncalves et al. [10] used a BWt/HC ratio of ≥0.9 to disproportionate (asymmetric) fetal growth in a study of 915 term infants. They found these infants had other indicators of fetal wasting on measuring their chest circumference, arm circumference and triceps skinfold thickness.

We propose an analysis of the nuMoM2b data to calculate the predictive ability of this BWt/HC ratio for PFGR [11].

[Despite the above publications [1,2,4] there is little if any academic comment, criticism or further studies of this or any other measures of this clinically relevant asymmetrical form of IUGR. Unfortunately, none of these publications are mentioned in Placental-Fetal Growth Restriction [3].]

These FPGF infants are ‘skinny’ (look waisted) close to term because of vascular shunting away from fat, muscle and visceral tissue in favour of brain and heart – ‘brain sparing’. Further away from term, before the development of muscle and viscera and the laying down of fat, growth restriction is more general and hence the more symmetric (rather than the asymmetric).

Uteroplacental vascular insufficiency is the cause of PFGR [3] and as such is one of the Uteroplacental Vascular Syndromes (UPVS) [11].

DeWolf et al. [12] found that the same vascular disease of the placental bed that is seen in pre-eclampsia occurring in pregnancies with IUGR without pre-eclampsia. Steel et al. [13] found that patients with an abnormal uterine artery Doppler flow velocity waveform at 24 weeks gestation had an increased risk of delivering an infant with asymmetrical IUGR. In our study of mid-trimester UA Doppler in predicting abnormal pregnancy outcome, we found that at 18 weeks the test performed poorly at predicting SGA alone but well at predicting asymmetrical IUGR.

With improved analysis of the uterine artery flow velocity waveforms, by combining the parameters of peak systolic and end diastolic flow with presence and depth of the early diastolic notch [14], it is thought that the test will become more sensitive. We again plan to test this hypothesis on the nuMoM2b data when it becomes available. This is data base of over 8,000 nulliparous pregnancies.

Thus, in more cases this vascular abnormality of a maldeveloped uteroplacental circulation should be detectable many months before the infant seriously suffers from the problem, in the mid-trimester.

A measure of asymmetry of growth

In adult medicine we have a widely used measure of asymmetry of growth in the Body Mass Index (BMI):

\[
BMI = \frac{Wt \ (kg)}{Height \ (m)^2}
\]

Infant Ponderal Index is likewise a measure of weight for height:

\[
PI = \frac{BWt \ (g)}{\text{[Crown-heel length]}} \times 100
\]

PI has been the probably the most commonly used measure of asymmetry of growth of infants and the measure that we used [1,2,4]. So, both BMI and PI use length as the indicator of growth potential against which weight is the measure of growth attained.

Perhaps there is another infant biometric measure that is easier to obtain and probably a better measure of growth potential especially in regard to this ‘brain sparing’ [4,9] form of IUGR. We suggest that in newborn infants a more reliable parameter of growth potential would be head circumference (HC) and we thus propose that an index of BWt (g) divided by HC (cm) be the measure of IUGR and it be called the Cephalic Index (CI).

\[
CI = \frac{Weight \ (g)}{HC \ (cm)}
\]

Bocca-Tjertes et al. [9] set a precedent for using BWt and head circumference for defining asymmetric growth restriction. They used it in the analysis of a population of preterm infants.

Goncalves et al. used BWt / HC ratio to be an important indicator of fetal growth. They found that these infants with a low BWt / HC also had other indicators of asymmetric growth restriction [10]. We plan to test the Cephalic Index on the nuMoM2b [7] infant population data.

Conclusions

SGA should no longer be seen as synonymous with IUGR. IUGR should now be replaced with PFGR. A new measurement of outcome for PFGR is urgently needed to replace SGA. A measure of asymmetry of growth would be best in the term and late preterm infants. PFGR is one of the uteroplacental vascular syndromes (UPVS) and more work on uteroplacental Doppler studies in mid-pregnancy needs to be done to further clarify its early detection.

With new large data bases becoming available, the above projects are now possible in the near future.
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