Effect Methanol, Ethanol, Butanol on the Emissions Characteristics of Gasoline Engine
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Abstract

The increasing volume of motorized vehicles leads to an increase in dependence on fossil fuels and an increase in air pollution. The problem can be reduced by utilizing renewable alcohol fuels such as methanol, ethanol, and butanol. The high number of octane and oxygen content is the main reason. Therefore, this study aims to observe the exhaust emissions of the 160 cc gasoline engine with a mixture of methanol, ethanol, and butanol. The percentage of alcohol used is 0% to 30% by volume. The test was carried out in 2000, 3000, and 4000 rpm. The results of the study explained that the use of methanol, ethanol, butanol in the fuel mixture was proven to reduce exhaust emissions. CO and HC emissions decreased as the percentage of alcohol in the fuel increased. The highest reduction in CO and HC emission in methanol blended fuel was 30%, 94.55% and 82.71%, respectively. Meanwhile, CO2 emissions increased by 34.88% at 2000 rpm engine speed. Based on this test, the addition of methanol to fuel can reduce exhaust emissions better than ethanol and butanol.
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1. Introduction

Air pollution continuously increases every year. Increasing the volume of motorized vehicles is the main cause of this problem. This is endangered human health [1] and other living things, especially during the Covid-19 pandemic. The Ministry of Environment and Forestry explained in 2019 majority of all regions in Indonesia were categorized as unhealthy and very unhealthy. This was very possible due to the factor of increasing the volume of motor vehicles [2].
According to the Central Statistics Agency (BPS), the volume of motorized vehicles in Indonesia increased by 14.63% compared to 2015. Vehicles with gasoline motorcycles became the largest contributor to the increase in vehicle volume. This is in line with actual conditions in the field that the characteristics of motorbikes that are flexible and able to adapt to the terrain cause interest to continue to increase. Also, during the Covid-19 Pandemic, the motorbike was successful in making physical distancing efforts instead of using public transportation.

The use of motorbikes has been regulated by the government through the Ministry of Environment of the Republic of Indonesia, including the resulting exhaust emissions. In the Minister of Environment Regulation number 23 of 2012, the exhaust emissions of L3 motor vehicles such as CO, HC, and NOx are not allowed to exceed the specified value threshold. Carbon Monoxide and Hydro Carbon emissions on motorbikes usually arise from incomplete engine combustion. This event usually occurs as a result of a poor quality oxidation process [3]. Poor fuel quality is also a factor in the incidence of incomplete engine combustion. Also, low heating values and octane numbers lead to increased fuel consumption and promote incomplete combustion [4]. The long duration of the ignition delay also supports the formation of CO and HC emissions [5].

According to Rakopolus [6], the development of motorized vehicles such as motorbike is more directed at large capacities and collides with regulations on motor vehicle emission thresholds. Several attempts were made to control vehicle exhaust emissions, such as using alcohol as an alternative fuel [7, 8]. The use of alcohol ethanol, methanol, and butanol has been proven to reduce exhaust emissions such as CO and HC. Alcohol groups such as ethanol, methanol have properties of the octane number and concentration of oxygen which are proven to replace gasoline fuel [9]. According to Yussof [10], the use of high-quality alcohol does not need machine adjustments. The use of methanol alcohol, ethanol, and butanol results in high engine efficiency and torque [11, 12]. The concentration of alcohol in the fuel increases the oxygen content in the fuel and improves the quality of combustion, thereby reducing CO and HC emissions of gasoline engines [13, 14, 15]. Also, the addition of alcohol reduces the ignition delay time thereby reducing the production of carbon monoxide and hydrocarbons increasing the fuel efficiency of gasoline engines [16].

Ethanol, methanol, and butanol have the properties of an octane number and a high oxygen content. These properties are believed to improve combustion quality. This is the basis of this study to determine the exhaust emissions produced by the GL 160 gasoline engine. The concentration of alcohol used is 5-30 % volume-based.

2. Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out using a conventional single-cylinder GL 160 gasoline engine. The engine was set according to production standards with a cylinder capacity of 160cc, maximum power of 13.3 kW at 8500 rpm, and a maximum torque of 1.3 N.m at 6500 pm. **Table 1** are the complete parameters of the engine.

The engine is operated at 2000 (n1), 3000 (n2), and 4000 (n3) rounds using a mixture of gasoline, alcohol, methanol, ethanol, and butanol. The percentage of alcohol is 0%, 5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, 25%, and 30% of the total volume of fuel. **Table 2** are the properties of the fuel used:

In order for the fuel to mix homogeneously, a fuel mixer is used instead of the fuel tank. The fuel from the tank is passed to the burette so that the volume can be adjusted to 100 ml per test. The fuel supply cable is manually adjusted so that the engine speed settings are easy to adjust. To get exhaust gas emission data, the engine exhaust pipe is installed with a stick gas analyzer. The concentrations of CO, HC, and CO2 that are read on the display are printed and used as analysis material. To get valid data, the test was carried out 3 times. The test scheme is presented in **Figure 1**.

**Table 1.** Parameters of the engine

| Parameter               | Specification          |
|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Engine capacity         | 156.6 cc               |
| Type engine             | 4 Stroke, OHC          |
| Bore x Stroke           | 63.5 x 49.5 mm         |
| Compression ratio       | 9.0 : 1                |
| Maximum power           | 13.3 kW @8500 rpm      |
| Maximum torque          | 1.3 N.m @6500 rpm      |
| Fuel system             | Carburator 24"         |
| Cooling system          | Air cooling            |
| Clutch system           | Manual, Wet Clutch     |
| Ignition system         | Spark NGK DP8EA-9      |
Table 2. Fuel properties

| Parameters                        | Fuel                  |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
|                                  | Gasoline[17] | Methanol[18] | Ethanol[19] | Butanol[20] |
| Octane number                    | 90          | 99.28        | 116         | 98.3        |
| Lower heating value (MJ/kg)      | 44.14       | 20           | 26.8        | 33.1        |
| Density (kg/m³)                  | 770         | 792          | 790         | 813         |
| Oxygen content (wt.%)            | -           | 50           | 21.6        | 34.8        |
| Flash point (°C)                 | -10         | 11           | 21.1        | 28          |
| Kinematic viscosity at 25 °C     | 0.4         | 0.6          | 1.3         | 2.5         |
| Water content (wt.%)             | -           | 0.05         | 0.05        | 0.05        |

3. Result and Discussion

3.1. Carbon monoxide (CO) emission

Figure 2 describing the results of testing CO emissions on a GL 160 gasoline engine using a fuel mixture of gasoline methanol, ethanol, and butanol. This test was carried out at the engine speed of 2000, 3000, and 4000 rpm. The test results show that the CO emission decreased along with the increase in alcohol processing in the fuel and the increase in engine speed. Increasing the percentage of alcohol in the fuel causes a learning effect. Effect Leaning is an increase in oxygen levels in the fuel so that the combustion process is more complete and reduces CO emissions [20, 21]. Also, the addition of alcohol to fuel increases the oxidation process which makes CO more react to become CO₂ so that CO emissions decrease [22]. The highest reduction in CO emission occurred in fuel with an alcohol content of methanol 30% by 94.55% at 2000 rpm engine speed. While the lowest reduction in CO emission occurred in fuels with 5% ethanol content of 7.74% at 3000 rpm engine speed than pure gasoline.
3.2. Hydrocarbon (HC) emission

This test was carried out at the engine speed of 2000, 3000, and 4000 rpm. Figure 3 presents the test results show that HC emission decreases with increasing alcohol processing in the fuel and increasing engine speed. The addition of alcohol increases the oxygen content in the fuel which triggers the reaction of hydrogen with oxygen more than hydrogen with carbon, resulting in lower HC emissions [22]. In addition, the high oxygen content in the alcohol increased the propagation of the combustion process in the combustion chamber so that the fuel mixture burns evenly. This reduces HC emissions [23]. The highest reduction in HC emission occurred at the engine speed of 2000 rpm using a mixture of 30% methanol as much as 82.71%. Meanwhile, the lowest decrease occurred in the 5% methanol fuel mixture of 8.28% compared to pure gasoline.

3.3. Carbon dioxide (CO2) emission

Figure 4 presents the CO2 emissions from testing the GL 160 gasoline engine using a mixture of alcohol, methanol, ethanol, and butanol. Machine testing was carried out at the engine speed of 2000, 3000, and 4000. The test results showed that CO2 emissions increased with the increase in the percentage of alcohol and engine speed. The high oxygen concentration in alcohol was linked to unburned carbon atoms during the combustion process so that the formation of CO2 increases [24], [11]. In addition, alcohol has a high octane lift so that the combustion process is was more optimal and results in higher CO2 emissions [25]. The highest increasing in CO2 emissions occurred in fuels with an alcohol content of 30% methanol by 34.88% at 2000 rpm engine speed. While the lowest increase in CO2 emissions occurred in fuels with an alcohol content of 5% ethanol by 0.70% at 4000 rpm rather than pure gasoline.

4. Conclusion

The use of alcohol, methanol, ethanol, butanol in the fuel mixture shown to reduce exhaust emissions. CO and HC emissions decrease as the percentage of alcohol in the fuel increases. The highest reduction in CO and HC emission in methanol blended fuel was 30%, respectively 94.55% and 82.71%. Meanwhile, CO2 emissions increased by 34.88% at 2000 rpm engine speed. Based on this test, the addition of methanol to fuel can reduce exhaust emissions better than ethanol and butanol.

Author's Declaration
Authors’ contributions and responsibilities

The authors made substantial contributions to the conception and design of the study. The authors took responsibility for data analysis, interpretation and discussion of results. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

No funding information from the authors.

Availability of data and materials
All data are available from the authors.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interest.
Additional information
No additional information from the authors.

References

[1] Y. Huang, N. C. Surawski, B. Organ, J. L. Zhou, O. H. H. Tang, and E. F. C. Chan, “Science of the Total Environment Fuel consumption and emissions performance under real driving: Comparison between hybrid and conventional vehicles,” Science of the Total Environment, vol. 659, pp. 275–282, 2019.

[2] N. C. Kresnanto, “Model Pertumbuhan Sepeda Motor Berdasarkan Produk Dosmetik Regional Bruto (PRDB) Perkapita (Studi Kasus Pulau Jawa),” Media Komunikasi Tenik Sipil, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 107–114, 2019.

[3] E. Suryono, I. Henry, A. Nagoro, D. Yoga, S. Wicaksana, and A. Info, “Analisis Temperatur Bahan Bakar pada Reaktor Hydrocarbon Crack System Terhadap Hasil Emisi Engine 4A-FE,” Automotive Experiences, vol. 1, no. 03, pp. 58–63, 2018.

[4] K. Nithyanandan et al., “Improved SI engine efficiency using Acetone – Butanol – Ethanol (ABE),” Fuel, vol. 174, pp. 333–343, 2016.

[5] Y. Li, Z. Ning, C. F. Lee, J. Yan, and T. H. Lee, “Effect of Acetone-Butanol-Ethanol (ABE)-gasoline blends on regulated and unregulated emissions in spark-ignition engine,” Energy, vol. 168, pp. 1157–1167, 2018.

[6] C. D. Rakopoulos, D. C. Rakopoulos, G. M. Kosmadakis, and R. G. Papagiannakis, “Experimental comparative assessment of butanol or ethanol diesel-fuel extenders impact on combustion features, cyclic irregularity, and regulated emissions balance in heavy-duty diesel engine,” Energy, vol. 174, pp. 1145–1157, 2019.

[7] M. Wahyu, H. Rahmad, and G. J. Gotama, “Effect of Cassava Biogasoline on Fuel Consumption and CO Exhaust Emissions,” Automotive Experiences, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 97–103, 2019.

[8] H. Liu, G. Ma, B. Hu, Z. Zheng, and M. Yao, “Effects of Port Injection of Hydrous Ethanol on Combustion and Emission Characteristics in Dual-fuel Reactivity Controlled Compression Ignition (RCCI) Mode,” Energy, vol. 145, pp. 592–602, 2017.

[9] S. Syarifudin, S. Syaiful, “Performance and soot emissions from direct injection diesel engine fueled by diesel-jatropha-butanol-blended diesel fuel,” Journal of Physics: Conference Series, vol. 1517, pp. 1–8, 2020.

[10] M. N. A. M. Yusof et al., “Performance and emission characteristics of a spark ignition engine fuelled with butanol isomer-gasoline blends,” Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, vol. 57, pp. 23–38, 2017.

[11] A. Elfasakhany, “Experimental investigation on SI engine using gasoline and a hybrid iso-butanol / gasoline fuel,” Energy Conversion and Management, vol. 95, pp. 398–405, 2015.

[12] A. Elfasakhany, “Experimental study of dual n-butanol and iso-butanol additives on spark-ignition engine performance and emissions,” Fuel, vol. 163, pp. 166–174, 2016.

[13] H. Huang, Z. Li, W. Teng, R. Huang, Q. Liu, and Y. Wang, “Effects of EGR rates on combustion and emission characteristics in a diesel engine with n-butanol/PODE3-4/diesel blends,” Applied Thermal Engineering, vol. 146, pp. 212–222, 2018.

[14] R. Feng, J. Fu, J. Yang, Y. Wang, Y. Li, and B. Deng, “Combustion and emissions study on motorcycle engine fueled with butanol-gasoline blend,” Renewable Energy, vol. 81, pp. 113–122, 2015.

[15] H. Sharudin, N. Rosli, G. Najafi, R. Mamat, and H. H. Masjuki, “Investigation of the effects of iso-butanol additives on spark ignition engine fueled with methanol-gasoline blends,” Applied Thermal Engineering, vol. 114, pp. 593–600, 2017.

[16] K. Nithyanandan and C. Lee, “Investigating the Impact of Acetone on the Performance and Emissions of Acetone-Butanol-Ethanol (ABE ) and Gasoline Blends in an SI Engine,” SAE Technical Paper Series, 2015-01-0909, 2015.

[17] A. H. Sebayang, H. Ibrahim, S. Dharna, A. S. Silitonga, B. Ginting, and N. Damanik, “Pengaruh Campuran Bahan Bakar Biji Sorghum pada Mesin Bensin,” Jurnal Teknosains, vol. 9, no. 02, pp. 91–104, 2020.

[18] A. Syarifuddin, et al., “Pengaruh Methanol Kadar Tinggi Terhadap Performa dan Emisi Gas Buang Mesin Bensin dengan Sistem EGR,” Prosiding Semnastek, pp. 1-11, 2015.

[19] A. M. Elzahaby et al., “Kinetic modeling and
experimental study on the combustion, performance and emission characteristics of a PCCI engine fueled with ethanol-diesel blends,” *Egyptian Journal of Petroleum*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 927–937, 2018.

[20] F. L. Sanjaya and N. Sinaga, “Effect of Premium-Butanol Blends on Fuel Consumption and Emissions on Gasoline Engine with Cold EGR System,” *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 1373, pp. 11–17, 2019.

[21] Y. Li et al., “Effect of water-containing acetone – butanol – ethanol gasoline blends on combustion, performance, and emissions characteristics of a spark-ignition engine,” *Energy Conversion and Management*, vol. 117, pp. 21–30, 2016.

[22] Y. Li, Y. Chen, G. Wu, C. F. Lee, and J. Liu, “Experimental comparison of acetone-n-butanol-ethanol (ABE) and isopropanol-n-butanol-ethanol (IBE) as fuel candidate in spark-ignition engine,” *Applied Thermal Engineering*, vol. 133, pp. 179–187, 2018.

[23] M. Billah, M. H. Hassan, A. Kalam, and P. Sarker, “Effect of alcohol-gasoline blends optimization on fuel properties and their effect on SI engine performance and emission,” *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 86, pp. 230-237, 2015.

[24] M. S. M. Zaharin, N. R. Abdullah, H. H. Masjuki, O. M. Ali, G. Najafi, and T. Yusaf, “Evaluation on physicochemical properties of iso-butanol additives in ethanol-gasoline blend on performance and emission characteristics of a spark-ignition engine,” *Applied Thermal Engineering*, vol. 144, pp. 960-971, 2018.

[25] M. Amirabedi, S. Jafarmadar, and S. Khalilarya, “Experimental investigation the effect of Mn2O3 nanoparticle on the performance and emission of SI gasoline fueled with mixture of ethanol and gasoline,” *Applied Thermal Engineering*, vol. 149, pp. 512–519, 2019.