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Abstract

The dynamical process following the breaking of Weyl geometry to Riemannian geometry is considered by studying the motion of de Sitter bubbles in a Weyl vacuum. The bubbles are given in terms of an exact, spherically symmetric thin shell solution to the Einstein equations in a Weyl-Dirac theory with a time-dependent scalar field of the form $\beta = f(t)/r$. The dynamical solutions obtained lead to a number of possible applications. An important feature of the thin shell model is the manner in which $\beta$ provides a connection between the interior and exterior geometries since information about the exterior geometry is contained in the boundary conditions for $\beta$.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The success of models like the Glashow-Weinberg-Salam electroweak model have provided strong motivation for believing that symmetries of nature that were once manifest are no longer directly observable; one must extrapolate backwards from our broken symmetry state to the symmetric state that is described by the Lagrangian. The ultimate success of the standard model is contingent upon the existence of the Higgs particle—unless an additional degree of symmetry is broken [1]. This latter possibility, that involves the breaking of Weyl’s scale invariance, has recently received further consideration [2]. While the topic of breaking Weyl geometry to Riemannian geometry has a relatively long history (see, e.g., [3]), the analysis of the dynamics of thin shells that form in this process has been neglected. This problem is considered here by studying the dynamical properties of spherically symmetric thin-shell solutions to Einstein’s equations with Weyl’s scale invariance broken in the interior of the bubble [4].

Shortly after Einstein presented his general theory of relativity, Weyl [5] attempted to unify the electromagnetic and gravitational fields within a geometrical framework. Einstein had shown that the rotation of a vector under parallel transport was related to the gravitational field; Weyl argued that the electromagnetic field would acquire a similar geometric status if the length of the vector was also allowed to vary according to $\delta \ell = \ell \kappa \delta x^\mu$. The covector field $\kappa_\mu$, together with the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ that is defined modulo an equivalence class, comprised the fundamental fields of the new geometry. Weyl’s geometry was rejected at the time because it didn’t permit an atomic standard of length. In more recent years, Weyl’s theory has been reconsidered from several points of view (see, e.g. [4,6–11]). Of particular interest here is the suggestion that atomic standards of length are introduced into a pure Weyl geometry through a symmetry breaking process.

The possibility that regions of spacetime with broken scale invariance can coexist within regions of unbroken scale invariance has been demonstrated [4] by applying the Gauss-Mainardi-Codazzi (GMC) formalism in Weyl geometry to a Weyl-Dirac theory with a real
The surface tension of the resulting static, spherically symmetric bubble arose from the boundary conditions imposed on the normal component of the derivative of the field $\beta$. In this way, a stable “particle” was constructed entirely from the fields $g_{\mu\nu}$, $\kappa_{\mu}$, and $\beta$. By setting $\kappa_{\mu} = 0$ and $\beta = \text{constant}$ in the interior space, the conformal invariance is broken and an “atomic” scale can be introduced into the theory. Generalizations of this model have been considered [9–11], including the development of a geometric formulation of the causal interpretation of quantum mechanics [10] where $\beta$ is a complex scalar field.

In the present work, $\beta$ is taken to be real and of the form $\beta(r, t) = f(t)/r$ in the exterior space $V^E$. This choice is useful in focussing on the dynamical properties of bubbles after they emerge in the ambient Weyl geometry.

The absence of a generalized Birkhoff theorem in Weyl space allows dynamical solutions to exist in the spherically symmetric case in the model under consideration. The analysis of exact, time-dependent solutions to the radial equation of motion shows that spherically symmetric bubbles that form in the ambient Weyl vacuum may, depending on the circumstances, collapse, remain at a fixed radius, oscillate indefinitely, or diverge to infinity. The spherically symmetric solution to the Einstein equations for the ansatz $\beta(r, t) = f(t)/r$ is presented in Sec. 2. The analysis of the radial motion of the thin shell is provided in Sec. 3 and some concluding remarks are given in Sec. 4.

II. THE SPHERICALLY SYMMETRIC SOLUTION

The GMC formalism is here applied to the Weyl-Dirac theory given by

$$I_D = \int \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} f_{\mu\nu} f^{\mu\nu} + \beta^2 R + 6 \beta_{,\mu} \beta^{,\mu} - \lambda \beta^4 \right\} \sqrt{-g} d^4 x,$$

(2.1)

where $f_{\mu\nu} = \kappa_{\nu,\mu} - \kappa_{\mu,\nu}$. This action was introduced by Dirac [8] in order to study his Large Numbers hypothesis within the context of a theory of gravitation. Dirac’s eloquent reasoning led him to propose a two-metric view that served to revive interest in Weyl’s geometry. Generalizations of Dirac’s action were subsequently proposed (see, e.g., [7]) which
accommodated Weyl’s geometric interpretation of the electromagnetic field within a single-metric theory. This latter view is also maintained in the present paper.

The field equations that follow from (2.1) are the Maxwell and Einstein equations

\[ \Box f^{\mu\nu} = 0, \]  

(2.2)

and

\[ G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2\beta^2} E_{\mu\nu} + I_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda g_{\mu\nu} \beta^2 \equiv T_{\mu\nu}, \]  

(2.3)

where \( E_{\mu\nu} \) is the usual Maxwell tensor and \( \Box \) is the Riemannian covariant derivative,

\[ I_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{\beta} (\Box \beta - g_{\mu\nu} \Box \beta) - \frac{1}{\beta^2} (4 \beta_{\mu\nu} \beta - g_{\mu\nu} \beta_{\alpha\beta\alpha}), \]  

(2.4)

and the field equation for \( \beta \) is identically the trace of (2.3).

For simplicity, the GMC formalism is applied to a spherically symmetric shell. The most general line element is then given by \[ 12 \]

\[ ds^2 = -e^{\nu(r,t)} dt^2 + e^{\mu(r,t)} dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2). \]  

(2.5)

The exterior and interior geometries are distinguished by writing \( t_{E,I}, \nu_{E,I} \) and \( \mu_{E,I} \) in the exterior and interior spacetimes \( V^{E,I} \), respectively. It will be assumed here that the bubbles which form in the symmetry breaking process have interior geometries with \( \kappa_\mu = 0 \) (which establishes length integrability in the region of spacetime occupied by the bubble) and \( \beta = \beta_0 \) (which breaks the conformal invariance and fixes the scale in \( V^I \) according to the value of the constant \( \beta_0 \)). Due to their continuity properties, \( \kappa_\mu = 0 \) and \( \beta = \beta_0 \) on the thin shell \( \Sigma \) as well \[ 4 \]. With the Maxwell tensor and \( I_{\mu\nu} \) vanishing in \( V^I \), the interior stress-energy tensor reduces to

\[ T^I_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda g_{\mu\nu} \beta_0^2. \]  

(2.6)

In what follows, \( \lambda \) will be taken to be negative. For this choice, and assuming no additional matter sources in \( V^I \), the tensor \( 2.6 \) represents a de Sitter space and the interior metric is given by
\[ e^{-\mu_I} = 1 + \frac{1}{6} \lambda \beta_0^2 r^2 = e^{\nu_I}, \] 

(2.7)

with a horizon at \( r = \beta_0^{-1} \sqrt{6/|\lambda|} \equiv r_h'. \)

In the exterior geometry \( V^E \), \( \beta \) is expressed in the form

\[ \beta(r, t) = f(t)/r, \] 

(2.8)

where \( f(t) \) is an arbitrary dimensionless function of \( t \), and where \( t \equiv t_E \) here and henceforth. Normally, one would proceed in applying the GMC formalism by solving the Einstein equations in \( V^E \) for the ansatz (2.8) and then using the resulting solution to obtain the equation of motion for the thin shell. In the present model a number of possible exterior metrics are known to exist. However, the condition that \( \beta = \beta_0 \) in \( V^I \) together with the boundary condition that \( \beta \) be continuous across \( \Sigma \) for all \( t \) requires that \( \beta \) also be a constant with respect to the intrinsic time \( \tau \) of the thin shell defined at \( r = R(\tau) \). That is,

\[ \frac{d\beta}{d\tau}|_{r=R} = \beta_{,\tau} X_E + \beta' \dot{R} = 0, \] 

(2.9)

where \( X_E \equiv dt/d\tau \) and the prime and dot denote differentiation with respect to \( r \) and \( \tau \), respectively. The resulting condition,

\[ X_E = -\frac{\beta'}{\beta_{,\tau}} \dot{R}, \] 

(2.10)

leads to a restriction on the set of possible solutions to (2.3) in \( V^E \). In effect, the condition (2.10) establishes a relationship between the radial and time derivatives of \( \beta \) at \( \Sigma \) that constrains the exterior metric since it is a functional of \( \beta \). This constraint is satisfied when the exterior metric takes the form (see the Appendix)

\[ e^{\nu_E} = -\frac{\beta_{,\tau}^2}{\beta^2(1 + \frac{1}{6} \lambda \beta^2 r^2)}, \] 

(2.11)

and

\[ e^{\mu_E} = -\frac{1 + \frac{1}{6} \lambda \beta^2 r^2}{\gamma^2 \beta^2 r^4}, \] 

(2.12)
where $\gamma$ is an arbitrary constant with dimension $(\text{length})^{-1}$. If one assumes that the sign of the constant $\lambda$ does not change during the formation of the bubble, then it must be taken to be negative to ensure the correct signature of the metric (see [4] for the conventions used here). For this choice, the signature $(-, +, +, +)$ is preserved for $r^2 < (r_{ih})^2$ in $V^I$ and for $r^2 > (r_{ih})^2 \beta_0^2/\beta^2$ in $V^E$. For the ansatz (2.8), this latter condition becomes $f^2(t) > (r_{ih})^2 \beta_0^2$, so that the possibility of a time-dependent change of signature exists.

It is interesting to note that, in the spherically symmetric case with $\beta$ of the form given in (2.8), the Einstein equations (2.3) also support the time-dependent solution

$$e^\nu_E = -\frac{\beta_0^2}{\beta^2 (1 + \frac{1}{6} \lambda \beta^2 r^2 + \frac{q^2}{4 \beta^2 r^2})} \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$e^\mu_E = -\frac{1 + \frac{1}{6} \lambda \beta^2 r^2 + \frac{q^2}{4 \beta^2 r^2}}{\gamma^2 \beta^2 r^4}. \tag{2.14}$$

However, when the exterior metric is matched onto the thin shell via the field $\beta$, the boundary conditions lead to the requirement that $q = 0$. This suggests that, in the time-dependent, spherically symmetric case, a charged particle solution is not allowed. In contrast, the surface charge was an integral component of the static solution presented in [4].

**III. THE MOTION OF THE THIN SHELL**

With the interior and exterior metrics in hand, it is now possible to study the motion of the thin shell. In the GMC formalism, the equation of motion follows from the jump in the $\theta \theta$-component of the extrinsic curvature $K_{\mu \nu}$ across $\Sigma$. In terms of the interior metric (2.7) together with the ansatz (2.8), this equation can be expressed in the form (see (A12))

$$1 + \dot{R}^2 + \frac{1}{6} \lambda \beta^2 \dot{R}^2 = e^{(\mu-\nu)_E} \frac{\dot{R}_E^4}{X^2_E}. \tag{3.1}$$

Substituting (A8) for $X^2_E$ into (3.1) yields

$$1 + \dot{R}^2 + \frac{1}{6} \lambda \beta_0^2 \dot{R}^2 = \frac{\dot{R}_E^4}{R^2 + e^{-\mu_E}}. \tag{3.2}$$
Using (2.12) at \( r = R \) (with \( \beta = \beta_0 \)) and solving for \( \dot{R}^2 \), one finds

\[
\dot{R}^2 = \frac{a^2 \left( 1 - \frac{R^2}{R_{eq}^2} \right)}{\left( \frac{R_{eq}^2}{R^2} - 1 \right)^2 - a^2},
\]

(3.3)

where \( a^2 = \gamma^2 \beta_0^2 R_{eq}^4 \) and

\[
R_{eq} = \frac{1}{\beta_0} \sqrt{\frac{6}{|\lambda|}} = r_h^f
\]

(3.4)

results when \( \dot{R} = 0 \). It is possible to obtain an analytical description of the radial motion from (3.3). The analysis of the motion of the thin shell is facilitated by breaking the problem into the following two cases.

**A. Case 1: \( R < R_{eq} \)**

The situation considered here applies to the case where a bubble of Riemannian geometry with \( R < R_{eq} \) forms in the ambient Weyl space. In this case, the substitution of variables given by

\[
x \equiv \frac{R_{eq}^2}{R^2} - 1
\]

(3.5)

allows (3.3) to be transformed into the integral form

\[
I_1 \equiv \int \frac{1}{1 + x} \sqrt{\frac{x^2 - a^2}{x}} \, dx = \pm 2\gamma \beta_0 R_{eq} \tau.
\]

(3.6)

Setting \( x = \cosh p \equiv \cosh p \), the integral \( I_1 \) becomes

\[
I_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \left[ \int \frac{(\cosh p - 1)}{\cosh p} \, dp + (1 - a^2) \left( \int \frac{dp}{\sqrt{\cosh p}} - a \int \frac{\sqrt{\cosh p}}{\cosh p + 1} \, dp \right) \right].
\]

(3.7)

Expressing the three integrals in (3.7) in terms of elliptic integrals (see eqs. (2.464.9), (2.464.10) and (2.464.15) in [13]), one has

\[
I_1 = \sqrt{2a} \left\{ \sqrt{2} \frac{\sinh p}{\sqrt{\cosh p}} - 2 \, E \left( \alpha, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + (1 - a^2) \left[ F \left( \alpha, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) - \Pi \left( \alpha, \frac{1}{a + 1}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right] \right\},
\]

(3.8)

where \( p \neq 0 \), \( \sinh p \equiv \sinh p \) and
\[ \alpha \equiv \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{\text{ch}p - 1}{\text{ch}p}} = \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{R_{eq}^2 - 2R^2}{R_{eq}^2 - R^2}}. \quad (3.9) \]

The case \( a = 1 \) can be investigated easily because it involves only the elliptic integral of the second kind \( E(\alpha, 1/\sqrt{2}) \). This choice does not represent a serious limitation due to the arbitrariness of the parameters \( \gamma \) and \( \beta_0 \) that appear in \( a \). With this choice, Eq. (3.6) becomes

\[ -\sqrt{2}E\left(\alpha, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) + \frac{\text{sh}p}{\sqrt{\text{ch}p}} = \pm \frac{\tau}{R_{eq}}, \quad (3.10) \]

which is valid for \( 0 < R < R_{eq}/\sqrt{2} \). For \( R = 0 \) (\( \alpha = \pi/2 \), \( \text{ch}p = \infty \)) the second term in (3.10) diverges, while \( R = R_{eq}/\sqrt{2} \) leads to \( \alpha = 0 \) and \( \text{ch}p = 1 \) that invalidate the integrations leading to (3.8). While \( R \) cannot be set equal to the endpoints of the interval over which it is defined (for \( a = 1 \)), it is of interest to consider the properties of the thin shell in the neighborhood of these points by means of expansions. To this end, it is useful to first invert (3.3), so that

\[ \frac{R}{R_{eq}} = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \sin^2\alpha}{2 - \sin^2\alpha}}. \quad (3.11) \]

and to choose units for which \( R_{eq} = 1 \).

1. **Upper limit:** \( R \approx R_{eq}/2^{1/2} \)

This limit corresponds to \( \alpha \approx 0 \). The expansion of (3.10) to third order in \( \alpha \) yields

\[ \pm \frac{\tau}{2} - \frac{\alpha^3}{3} \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2^{3/2}} \right) = 0 \quad (3.12) \]

which has the solutions \( \alpha = \pm 1.52042\tau^{1/3} \). Substituting this result into (3.11), one obtains an oscillatory motion with a period that increases with \( \tau \) and that has a maximum amplitude \( R \sim R_{eq}/\sqrt{2} \).
2. Lower limit: $R \approx 0$

This limit corresponds to $\alpha \approx \pi/2$. Expanding (3.10) to second order in $\alpha - \pi/2$ for the $+$ sign on the right-hand-side, one obtains

$$-\frac{1}{\alpha - \pi/2} + \tau E\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) + \left(\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} - \frac{1}{3(2)^{3/2}}\right) \left(\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = 0,$$

where $E(1/\sqrt{2})$ is the complete elliptic integral of the second type. The two solutions of (3.13), which are given by

$$\alpha = 0.109314 - 0.835143 \tau \pm 0.835143 \sqrt{5.45723 + 3.4996 \tau + \tau^2},$$

also lead to oscillatory motion when they are substituted into (3.11).

The expansion for the $-$ sign on the right-hand-side of (3.10) leads to the two solutions

$$\alpha = 0.109314 + 0.835143 \tau \pm 1.67249 \sqrt{1.36071 - 0.872685 \tau + 0.249342 \tau^2}.$$

Of these, the one with the $+$ sign in front of the square root yields oscillatory motion. The other solution, on the contrary, expands from $R \sim 0.4 R_{eq}$ at $\tau = 0$ to the maximum $R \sim R_{eq}$ at $\tau \sim 1.5$, and then decreases asymptotically to $R = 0$.

In summary, it is has been shown that bubbles which are created in the Weyl vacuum with initial radii near either of the endpoints of the interval $0 < R < R_{eq}/\sqrt{2}$ exist indefinitely with a finite radius or else collapse to $R = 0$.

**B. Case 2: $R > R_{eq}$**

The situation considered here applies to the case where a bubble of Riemannian geometry with $R > R_{eq}$ is formed in the ambient Weyl vacuum. The substitution of variables given by

$$y \equiv 1 - \frac{R_{eq}^2}{R^2},$$

leads to the equation

$$I_2 \equiv \int \frac{1}{1 - y} \sqrt{\frac{a^2 - y^2}{y}} \, dy = \mp \frac{2a}{R_{eq}} \tau.$$ 

(3.15)
1. Upper limit: $R^2 \gg R_{eq}^2$

This limit corresponds to $y \sim 1$. Expanding the integrand of $I_2$ about this upper limit to order $(y - 1)^{-1}$ one obtains

$$I_2 \sim \int \frac{a^2 + 1}{2\sqrt{a^2 - 1} - \frac{\sqrt{a^2 - 1}}{y - 1}} \, dy = \mp \frac{2a}{R_{eq}} \tau. \quad (3.16)$$

Integration of (3.16) yields the result

$$y = 1 + \exp\left[\frac{a^2 + 1}{2\sqrt{a^2 - 1}} y \pm \frac{2a\tau}{R_{eq}\sqrt{a^2 - 1}}\right]. \quad (3.17)$$

For sufficiently large values of $\tau$, the result with the $+$ sign leads to values of $y \gg 1$ (for $a^2 \neq 1$) which invalidates the approximation, whereas $y \to 1$ ($R \to \infty$) exponentially as $\tau \to \infty$ for the $-$ sign in (3.17).

2. Lower limit: $R \approx R_{eq}$

By expanding the integrand of $I_2$ in the neighborhood of $y = 0$ to lowest order and integrating, one obtains

$$y^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{y}{3}\right) \approx \mp \frac{\tau}{R_{eq}}. \quad (3.18)$$

This equation has the solutions

$$y = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad y = -3 \pm 3 \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\tau}{R_{eq}}\right)^2}. \quad (3.19)$$

The case $y = 0$ is trivial: if the bubble is created at $\tau = 0$ with $R = R_{eq}$, it will remain at the stable radius forever. The solution with the lower negative sign requires $y < 0$ and is unphysical in the present case ($0 < y < 1$). The remaining solution leads to

$$\frac{R_{eq}^2}{R^2} = 4 - 3 \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\tau}{R_{eq}}\right)^2}. \quad (3.20)$$

In this case, a bubble that has a radius $R \gtrsim R_{eq}$ at $\tau = 0$ will expand to $R = \infty$ in a time $\tau = \sqrt{7/9}R_{eq}$. 
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3. Special case: \( a = 1 \)

In this case \( I_2 \) can be integrated exactly and yields (see eq. (2.618.2) in \[13\])

\[
I_2 = -2\sqrt{2}E\left(\alpha, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = \mp \frac{2\tau}{R_{eq}},
\]

(3.21)

where \( \sqrt{2}\sin \varphi/2 = R_{eq}/R \), \( 0 < \varphi \leq \pi/2 \) (\( 0 < R_{eq}/R \leq 1 \)) and \( \alpha = \arcsin(\sqrt{2}\sin \varphi/2) \). To second order in \( \alpha \), eq. (3.21) becomes

\[
\alpha = \mp \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{2}R_{eq}} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{R}{R_{eq}} = \mp \frac{1}{\sin \left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{2}R_{eq}}\right)}.
\]

In this case, \( R \) diverges whenever \( \tau = n\pi \sqrt{2}R_{eq} \), and has minima of \( R = R_{eq} \) for \( \tau = (2n + 1)\pi^2/\sqrt{2} \).

In summary, bubbles that are created in the Weyl vacuum with an initial radius greater than \( R_{eq} \) appear to be unstable in all cases, except the trivial case of \( R = R_{eq} \).

IV. DISCUSSION

It has been suggested, in the spirit of the electroweak model, that Weyl geometry should be considered a more fundamental geometry than Riemannian geometry since the latter represents a state of broken scale invariance. The feasibility of this proposal has been explored here by considering a Weyl-Dirac theory that supports a dynamical thin-shell solution to the Einstein equations. The fields that occur in the conformally-invariant action are the source of the spherically symmetric bubbles that are posited; the geometric fields are the fabric from which the “particles” are constructed. The conformal symmetry is broken in the interior de Sitter geometry, and the surface tension is provided by the scalar field \( \beta \).

In principle, the bubbles could be associated with microscopic particles (see \[10\]), perhaps born in a transition phase in the early universe, or they could be viewed on the cosmological scale. In the latter case, one could consider a single bubble of Riemannian geometry as representing our observable universe. In either case, the extrapolation backwards from
our present state of broken scale invariance to the pre-particle Weyl vacuum lies within the
domain of cosmology.

A common feature of the most successful cosmological theories is the presence of an
inflationary epoch. An outstanding problem in such theories concerns the period of transition
from the initial inflationary stage to the final stage of decelerating Friedmann-Robertson-
Walker expansion. This transition is often described in terms of a first-order phase transition
of the vacuum, wherein true vacuum bubbles are created and expand, leaving isolated regions
of false vacuum. However, the precise physical process involved in the transition remains
poorly understood. Kodama et al. [14] provided one of the earliest models of the transition
phase in which an infinite number of true vacuum bubbles are nucleated simultaneously on a
sphere, forming a shell-like true vacuum region around a de Sitter bubble. They showed that
the trapped false vacuum domain either disappears leaving a black hole, or else remains as
an ever-expanding domain connected with the outer region through a wormhole. However,
it has been shown that the formation of bubbles in this manner is highly improbable [15].
Furthermore, Linde has pointed out [16] that the constant vacuum energy of de Sitter space,
which has traditionally been viewed as necessarily leading to inflation (see, e.g., [17]), can
support other interpretations depending upon one’s choice of coordinates. In the context
of the present model, it is of interest to note that a variety of radial motions are possible,
including an exponentially expanding solution. However, it remains an open question as to
what interpretation should be given with regard to the identification of “true” and “false”
vacua in the present model. As well, the precise conditions that precipitate the symmetry
breaking process requires further study.

In recent years, it has become popular to consider inflationary scenarios within the con-
text of conformally invariant scalar field theories. In this paper, a theory of this type has
been used to study the dynamical properties of a bubble once it has formed in the Weyl vac-
uum. The development of the bubble model in the time-dependent case places a significant
constraint on the set of possible spherically symmetric solutions to Einstein’s equations. This
constraint strongly links the properties of the thin shell to those of the exterior geometry.
In the microscopic interpretation, a similar link has been shown [10] to lead to the guiding force postulated in the causal interpretation of quantum mechanics. In the cosmological interpretation, such a link is of interest because it allows for the possibility that information about the external metric may be available to an internal observer. This could prove to be of significance in the problem of boundary conditions of the universe.

The analysis of the radial equation of motion of the thin shell revealed the following results. Bubbles that emerge in the Weyl vacuum with $R > R_{eq}$ are unstable (except the trivial static case of $R = R_{eq}$) as they variously diverge to $R = \infty$. For bubbles with $R < R_{eq}$ initially, a number of possibilities exist. Of particular interest are those oscillatory solutions for which the radius remains finite. The freedom allowed by the parameters in the theory permit the scale associated with these bubbles to take on any value from the microscopic to the cosmological. In the first case, the results obtained here may prove to be of interest in the geometric causal interpretation of Ref. [10]. In the latter case, one may find the present model useful in addressing some of the unresolved issues in inflationary cosmology. Indeed, the intimate relationship between particle physics and cosmology suggests that we should be considering theories of the very early universe that find application at any scale.
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APPENDIX A: THE TIME-DEPENDENT MODEL

A time-dependent, spherically symmetric solution to the Einstein field equations (2.3) is, in general, obtained by solving the three equations

$$\frac{d}{dr}(re^{-\mu}) = 1 + r^2 \left[ - \frac{q^2}{4\beta^2 r^4} - e^{-\mu} \left( \frac{\beta''}{\beta} - \frac{\beta'^2}{\beta^2} - \mu'\frac{\beta'}{\beta} + 4\frac{\beta'}{r \beta} \right) \right]$$
\[ e^{-\nu} \left( \frac{\mu t \beta_t}{\beta} + 3 \frac{\beta_t^2}{\beta^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \beta^2 \], \quad (A1)

\[ \frac{d}{dr}(\mu + \nu) = r \left[ 2 \frac{\beta''}{\beta} - 4 \frac{\beta'^2}{\beta^2} - (\mu' + \nu') \frac{\beta'}{\beta} \right] + r e^{\nu} \left[ 2 \frac{\beta_{tt}}{\beta} - 4 \frac{\beta_t^2}{\beta^2} - (\mu + \nu) \frac{\beta_t}{\beta} \right], \quad (A2) \]

and

\[ \frac{d}{dt}(e^{-\mu}) = -r e^{-\mu} \left( 2 \frac{\beta'_t}{\beta} - \nu' \frac{\beta_t}{\beta} - \mu \frac{\beta'}{\beta} - 4 \frac{\beta'_t}{\beta} \right). \quad (A3) \]

The solutions to these equations in the interior and exterior spaces are then generally used in determining the equation of motion \( r = R(\tau) \) for the thin shell in the frame comoving with the thin shell with proper time \( \tau \). In the GMC formalism, one introduces the spherically symmetric intrinsic metric

\[ ds^2_{\Sigma} = -d\tau^2 + R^2(\tau)(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \quad (A4) \]

and the extrinsic curvature \[4\]

\[ K_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} n^\alpha h_{\mu\nu,\alpha}, \quad (A5) \]

where \( n^\mu \) is the unit spacelike vector field normal to \( \Sigma \) and \( h_{\mu\nu} \) are the coefficients of the intrinsic metric on \( \Sigma \). The equation of motion of the thin shell can be obtained from the jump in the \( \theta\theta \)-component of the extrinsic curvature \[4\]:

\[ (K^\theta_\theta)^E - (K^\theta_\theta)^I = \sigma, \quad (A6) \]

where \( 2\sigma \) is the surface energy density of the thin shell,

\[ (K^\theta_\theta)^{E,I} = -\frac{1}{R} e^{-\mu_{E,I}} X_{E,I}, \quad (A7) \]

and
The surface energy \( \sigma \) is generally taken to be an arbitrary parameter in models involving domain walls. However, by identifying this surface energy with the discontinuity in the normal derivative of the field \( \beta \) across \( \Sigma \), the “particle” proposed in Ref. [4] was shown to be an entity that is derived entirely from the fields in the theory.

In the time-dependent, spherically symmetric theory under consideration, the scalar field \( \beta \) establishes an intimate link between \( V^I \), the thin shell, and \( V^E \). The dynamical implications of this link can be seen as follows. In the time-dependent case,

\[
n^\mu = e^{(\mu+\nu)/2}(e^{-\nu}\dot{R}, e^{-\mu}X, 0, 0)
\]

and

\[
\sigma = e^{-(\mu+\nu)/2} \frac{\omega}{X_E},
\]

where \( \omega \equiv (\beta'/\beta)|_{r=R} \). Eq. (A10) provides a correction to (4.3) in [11] where \( n^\mu \) was not normalized to unity. Using (A9) and (A11), the equation of motion (A6) for the thin shell becomes

\[
e^{(\nu-\mu)/2}X_I = e^{(\nu-\mu)/2}X_E + e^{-\mu/2} \omega R X_E.
\]

For \( \beta(t,r) = f(t)/r \), it follows that \( \omega R = -1 \). Using this, together with the interior metric (2.7), the square of (A11) becomes

\[
1 + \dot{R}^2 + \frac{1}{6} \lambda \beta_0^2 R^2 = e^{(\mu-\nu)} \frac{\dot{R}^4}{X_E^2}.
\]

Now, there are two distinct expressions for \( X^2_E \) in the present model. First, there is (A8) that follows from the form of \( dt/d\tau \) in the spherically symmetric case. Then there is (2.11) that follows from the choice of boundary conditions for \( \beta \). In general, there is no guarantee that the two equations of motion that result when these two expressions for \( X^2_E \) are substituted into (A12) will be consistent with each other. Of course, the form of the equation of motion also depends on the form of the solutions for \( e^{\mu E} \) and \( e^{\nu E} \). Clearly then, this model is rather
tightly constrained. Indeed, the equation of motion of the thin shell could be viewed as being somewhat prescriptive of the nature of the exterior geometry. In other words, the intimate link between the thin shell and the exterior geometry suggests that the properties of the thin shell may provide information regarding properties of the exterior geometry. This in fact is the case. Equating the two expressions for $X^2_E$ given in (A8) and (2.10) one finds
\[
e^{(\mu-\nu)E} \dot{R}^2 + e^{-\nu E} = \frac{\beta^2}{\beta^2_0} \dot{R}^2.
\] (A13)

Substitution of $e^{(\mu-\nu)E} \dot{R}^2$ from (A13) into the equation of motion (A12) leads to the result
\[
e^{\nu E} = -\frac{\beta_0^2}{\beta^2(1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \lambda \beta^2_0 R^2)}.
\] (A14)

Hence, the equation of motion, which applies only at $r = R$, leads to an algebraic expression for $e^{\nu E}|_{r=R}$. One may view (A14) as a necessary condition for the projection of $e^{\nu E}(r)$ onto $\Sigma$ in order for the present model to be internally consistent. Adopting this viewpoint, the most obvious form for $e^{\nu E}(r)$ to satisfy this condition is
\[
e^{\nu E} = -\frac{\beta_0^2}{\beta^2(1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \lambda \beta^2_0 r^2)}.
\] (A15)

For this choice, the Einstein equations (A1)–(A3) are satisfied when
\[
e^{\mu E} = -\frac{1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \lambda \beta^2 r^2}{\gamma^2 \beta^2 r^4},
\] (A16)

where $\gamma$ is an arbitrary constant with dimensions (length)$^{-1}$. In the present model of a spherically symmetric region of Riemannian space in an exterior Weyl geometry, the equations of the dynamical model will be self-consistent provided the exterior metric is of the form given in (A15) and (A16).

\footnote{The time-dependent solution presented in \cite{[11]} satisfies this condition for $c_1 = -c_2^2$ and $q = 0$. However, the oscillatory nature of the thin shell solution given in that paper is superceded by the results contained herein.}
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