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ABSTRACT

Critical illness is hypothesized to associate with loss of “health-promoting” commensal microbes and overgrowth of pathogenic bacteria (dysbiosis). This dysbiosis is believed to increase susceptibility to nosocomial infections, sepsis, and organ failure. A trial with prospective monitoring of the intensive care unit (ICU) patient microbiome using culture-independent techniques to confirm and characterize this dysbiosis is thus urgently needed. Characterizing ICU patient microbiome changes may provide first steps toward the development of diagnostic and therapeutic interventions using microbiome signatures. To characterize the ICU patient microbiome, we collected fecal, oral, and skin samples from 115 mixed ICU patients across four centers in the United States and Canada. Samples were collected at two time points: within 48 h of ICU admission, and at ICU discharge or on ICU day 10. Sample collection and processing were performed according to Earth Microbiome Project protocols. We applied SourceTracker to assess the source composition of ICU patient samples by using Qiita, including samples from the American Gut Project (AGP), mammalian corpse decomposition samples, childhood (Global Gut study), and house surfaces. Our results demonstrate that critical illness leads to significant and rapid dysbiosis. Many taxons significantly depleted from ICU patients versus AGP healthy controls are key “health-promoting” organisms, and overgrowth of known pathogens was frequent. Source compositions of ICU patient samples are largely uncharacteristic of the expected community type. Between time points and within a patient, the source composition changed dramatically. Our initial results show great promise for microbiome signatures as diagnostic markers and guides to therapeutic interventions in the ICU to repopulate the normal, “health-promoting” microbiome and thereby improve patient outcomes.

IMPORTANCE

Critical illness may be associated with the loss of normal, “health-promoting” bacteria, allowing overgrowth of disease-promoting pathogenic bacteria (dysbiosis), which, in turn, makes patients susceptible to hospital-acquired infections, sepsis, and organ failure. This has significant world health implications, because sepsis is becoming a leading cause of death worldwide, and hospital-acquired infections contribute to significant illness and increased costs. Thus, a trial that monitors the ICU patient microbiome to confirm and characterize this hypothesis is urgently needed. Our study analyzed the microbiomes of 115 critically ill subjects and demonstrated rapid dysbiosis from unexpected environmental sources following ICU admission. These data may provide the first steps toward defining targeted therapies that correct potentially “illness-promoting” dysbiosis with probiotics or with targeted, multimicrobe synthetic “stool pills” that restore a healthy microbiome in the ICU setting to improve patient outcomes.
What constitutes a healthy microbiome is poorly understood, as “healthy” likely depends on host genetics, environment, nutrition, age, and lifestyle (1). Although what is “healthy” is not yet well defined, identifying large-scale dysbiosis of the microbiome is increasingly feasible (2, 3). Critical illness leads to the admission of >5.7 million patients annually to intensive care units (ICUs) in the United States for intensive or invasive monitoring. These admissions consume ~20% of U.S. hospital costs, with death rates from critical illness increasing at a rate greater than that of any other common cause of death worldwide (4). Small, culture-based studies suggest that critical illness may be associated with loss of commensal microbes and overgrowth of potentially pathogenic and inflammatory bacteria. This dysbiosis is believed to lead to high susceptibility to hospital-acquired infections (HAIs), sepsis, and multiorgan dysfunction syndrome (MODS) (5–7); sepsis alone is becoming a leading cause of death worldwide (5), while HAIs in general contribute significantly to patient morbidity and increased costs. Pilot (<15 patients) microbiome studies of ICU patients have been undertaken (8, 9), and the results of these explorations suggest the urgent need for larger prospective studies that monitor the microbiome of critical-care patients by using culture-independent techniques to test the long-held dysbiosis hypothesis (10).

To address this, we set out to characterize the microbiomes of 115 critically ill (ICU) patients at two time points. The novelties of this study relative to prior investigations are that we applied a probabilistic framework, SourceTracker (11), to assess whether the microbial communities appear to source from expected compositions; assessed differential taxonomic abundances with ANCOM (12) relative to the American Gut Project (AGP); and performed a co-occurrence analysis of the ICU patient samples by using SparCC (13). The results of this study show that, regardless of the reason for admission, the microbiome of many critical-care patients is drawn from unexpected sources (i.e., fecal not resembling fecal), the individuals differ substantially from a healthy population, the disruption of the microbial community appears to be greater at a second time point later in the ICU stay, and a set of concerning inflammatory taxons co-occur. This study suggests that interventions focused on improving the microbiome in critical-care patients should be undertaken.

All ICU patient microbiome samples were assayed for community source proportions by using SourceTracker (11). Source samples were obtained from Qiita (https://qiita.ucsd.edu/) (14) and included samples from self-reportedly healthy individuals (15), healthy children (median age of 1.3 years) (16), dust from built-environment surfaces (17), and skin from decomposing human bodies and the soil surrounding the bodies (18). Source proportions for patients, where samples at both time points were viable, are shown in Fig. 1A and B for fecal and oral communities, respectively. Notably, at admission (first row in Fig. 1A and B), many of the samples appear to be of unexpected composition (e.g., an adult fecal sample resembling decomposing corpses). At discharge, a similar observation can be made, and the source proportions within an individual generally changed dramatically during this interval.

For fecal samples, the phylogenetic diversity (19) at discharge is significantly lower than at admission (nonparametric two-sample t test with 9,999 permutations, \( P = 0.045 \), Bonferroni corrected). Unweighted UniFrac (20) distance distributions of within-time-point distances significantly differ (Fig. 1D) by Kruskal-Wallis test for both fecal (\( k = 126.79, P = 1.24e^{-28} \), Bonferroni corrected) and oral communities (\( k = 323.2, P = 1.75e^{-71} \), Bonferroni corrected); however, a difference was not observed with skin, possibly because of the low-biomass nature of the samples and susceptibility to transient organisms (i.e., possible environmental normalization). Unexpectedly, fecal and oral samples obtained at discharge are more similar to each other than to samples obtained at admission, implying that the length of stay in an ICU is associated with community disruption.
Principal-coordinate analysis of UniFrac distances of the ICU patient samples and oral samples in isolation relative to the healthy subset of the AGP samples (Fig. 2A and B) (15) shows strong separation. It is unlikely that this is a study effect, as the ICU patient samples were processed and run in parallel with AGP samples. These differences are further characterized by phylum-level taxonomy, where fecal samples from ICU patients tend to have a lower relative abundance of \textit{Firmicutes} and \textit{Bacteroidetes} and an increased relative abundance of \textit{Proteobacteria} (Fig. 2C; see Fig. S5, oral and skin, in the supplemental material). We also observed large depletions of organisms previously thought to confer anti-inflammatory benefits, such as \textit{Faecalibacterium} (21). Conversely, many of the taxa that increased contain well-recognized pathogens such as \textit{Enterobacter} and \textit{Staphylococcus} (see Tables S1 to S3 in the supplemental material). As previously observed in 14 ICU patients by Zaborin et al. (8), this disruption of the microbial community appears to generally associate with individual operational taxonomic units (OTUs) dominating a community, as observed at all of the body sites assayed (Fig. 2D).

Interestingly, we observed inflammatory taxons appearing to co-occur when using SparCC (13) (co-occurrence analysis method as suggested in reference 22), including various members of the \textit{Enterobacteriaceae} family, such as \textit{Salmonella}, \textit{Enterobacter}, \textit{Citrobacter}, \textit{Erwinia}, \textit{Serratia}, and \textit{Pantoea}. This observation was true at both time points and for both oral and fecal communities (see Fig. S6 in the supplemental material), suggesting a model of HAIs analogous to an unruly person looting vulnerable shops after a natural disaster, as unruly individuals tend to bring their unruly friends.

In summary, this study tested the hypothesis that critical illness is associated with a loss of health-promoting commensal microbes and the occurrence of dysbiosis, which has previously been shown to be associated with high susceptibility to HAI, sepsis, and
MODS (5, 6). Our analysis of microbiome data from 115 subjects indicates that the composition of the microbiome in many ICU patients is derived from unexpected sources and differs significantly from that of a healthy population and that the magnitude of this dysbiosis appears to increase between time points. Limitations of this study are described in the supplemental material; notably, the ubiquitous use of antibiotics precludes differentiating the effect of antibiotic pressure from the effect of critical illness. As >70% of ICU patients worldwide receive antibiotics (23), antibiotic pressure should be considered both a treatment and a fundamental pathophysiologic “insult” with likely negative effects on beneficial organisms. Irrespective of the cause, the evidence suggests that critical-care patients may benefit from therapeutics focused on improvement of the microbiome.

Previous research has shown that restoration of commensal “healthy microbes” following illness via interventions such as probiotics may exert their beneficial effects via multiple pathways, including suppression of dysbiosis or pathogenic microbes by inducing host cell antimicrobial peptides, release of antimicrobial factors, modulation of immune cell proliferation, stimulation of mucus and IgA production, inhibition of inflammatory epithelial cell nuclear factor kappa B activation, induction of mucin secretion, and other potentially vital gut epithelial barrier protective effects (24–26). As the gut is hypothesized to play a central role in the progression of critical illness, sepsis, and MODS (27), restoration of a healthy gut microbiome may be important.
for improving outcomes in critically ill patients. In support of this, a recent single case report of successful treatment of refractory severe sepsis and diarrhea with fecal transplantation has been published (28). Consistent with our findings, this ICU patient also demonstrated depleted *Firmicutes* and increased *Proteobacteria*, which was ameliorated by fecal transplantation, followed by rapid recovery of the patient (28).

In brief, methods for this study included fecal, oral, and skin sample collection by trained hospital personal from 115 mixed ICU patients >18 years of age who were mechanically ventilated within 48 h of ICU admission and were expected to remain in the ICU >72 h at five different ICUs. Patients were not excluded on the basis of health status. Samples were collected within 72 h of admission to the ICU and at discharge or on ICU day 10, where possible. Samples were then processed and sequenced by using Earth Microbiome Project (29) DNA protocols targeting the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene (30) on the Illumina MiSeq platform. Sequence data were processed in QIIME 1.9.1 (31) by using Greengenes 13_8 (32). SourceTracker (11) was applied by using data sets sourced from Qiita (14). ANCOM (12) was applied to assess differential taxa between the ICU patient and AGP samples. For further details about the methods used, see Text S1 in the supplemental material.

**Accession numbers.** The ICU patient sequence data and deidentified patient metadata are available in the Qiita database (https://qiita.ucsd.edu/) (accession no. 2136) and in the European Bioinformatics Institute, European Nucleotide Archive (accession no. ERP012810).

**SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL**

Supplemental material for this article may be found at [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00199-16](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00199-16).

Text S1, DOCX file, 0.05 MB.

Table S1, XLSX file, 0.1 MB.

Table S2, DOCX file, 0.1 MB.

Table S3, XLSX file, 0.1 MB.

Figure S1, PDF file, 0.1 MB.

Figure S2, PDF file, 0.1 MB.

Figure S3, PDF file, 0.1 MB.

Figure S4, PDF file, 0.1 MB.

Figure S5, PDF file, 0.3 MB.

Figure S6, PDF file, 2.1 MB.

**FUNDING INFORMATION**

This work, including the efforts of Daniel McDonald, was funded by NSF (IGERT 1144807).

**REFERENCES**

1. Human Microbiome Project Consortium. 2012. Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. Nature 486:207–214. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature11234](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature11234).

2. Gevers D, Kugathasan S, Denson L, Vázquez-Baeza Y, Van Treuren W, Ren B, Schwager E, Knights D, Song S, Yassour M, Morgan X, Kostic A, Luo C, González A, McDonald D, Haberman Y, Walters T, Baker S, Rosh J, Stephens M, Heyman M, Markowitz J, Baldassano R, Griffiths A, Sylvester F, Mack D, Kim S, Crandall W, Hyams J, Huttenhower C, Knight R, Xavier RJ. 2014. The treatment-naive microbiome in new-onset Crohn’s disease. Cell Host Microbe 15:382–392. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2014.02.005](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2014.02.005).

3. Weingarden A, González A, Vázquez-Baeza Y, Weiss S, Humphry G, Berg-Lyons D, Knights D, Unno T, Bobr A, Kang J, Khoruts A, Knight R, Sadowsky MJ. 2015. Dynamic changes in short- and long-term bacterial composition following fecal microbiota transplantation for recurrent *Clostridium difficile* infection. Microbiome 3:10. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s40168-015-0070-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s40168-015-0070-0).

4. Milbrandt EB, Kersten A, Rahim MT, Dremsizov TT, Clermont G, Cooper LM, Angus DC, Linde-Zwirble WT. 2008. Growth of intensive care unit resource use and its estimated cost in Medicare. Crit Care Med 36:2504–2510. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e318183ef84](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e318183ef84).

5. Alverdy JC, Chang EB. 2008. The re-emerging role of the intestinal microflora in critical illness and inflammation: why the gut hypothesis of sepsis syndrome will not go away. J Leukoc Biol 83:461–466. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0607372](http://dx.doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0607372).

6. Latorre M, Krishnareddy S, Freedberg D. 2015. Microbiome as mediator: does systemic infection start in the gut? World J Gastroenterol 21:10487–10492. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v21.i37.10487](http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v21.i37.10487).

7. Klingensmith NJ, Coopersmith CM. 2016. The gut as the motor of multiple organ dysfunction in critical illness. Crit Care Clin 32:203–212. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccc.2015.11.004](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccc.2015.11.004).

8. Zaborin A, Smith D, Garfield K, Quensen J, Shaksheer B, Kade M, Tirrell M, Tiedje J, Gilbert JA, Zaborina O, Alverdy JC. 2014. Membership and behavior of ultra-low-diversity pathogen communities present in the gut of humans during prolonged critical illness. mBio 5:1–10. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01361-14](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01361-14).
15. American Gut Project. 2015. Human food project: anthropology of microbes. http://humanfoodproject.com/americangut/.

16. Yatsunenko T, Rey FE, Manary MJ, Trehan I, Dominguez-Bello MG, Contreras M, Magris M, Hidalgo G, Baldassano RN, Anokhin AP, Heath AC, Warner B, Reeder J, Kuczynski J, Caporaso JG, Lozupone CA, Lauber C, Clemente JC, Knights D, Knight R, Gordon JI. 2012. Human gut microbiome viewed across age and geography. Nature 486:222–227. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature11053.

17. Lax S, Smith DP, Hampton-Marcell J, Owens SM, Handley KM, Scott NM, Gibbons SM, Larsen P, Shogan BD, Weiss S, Metcalf JL, UrSELL LK, Vazquez-Baeza Y, Van Treuren W, Hasan NA, Gibson MK, Colwell R, Dantas G, Knight R, Gilbert JA. 2014. Longitudinal analysis of microbial interaction between humans and the indoor environment. Science 345:1045–1052. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1254529.

18. Metcalf JL, Xu ZZ, Weiss S, Lax S, Van Treuren W, Hyde ER, Song SJ, Amir A, Larsen P, Sangwan N, Haarmann D, Humphrey GC, Ackermann M, Thompson LR, Lauber C, Bibat A, Nicholas C, Gebert MJ, Petrosyno JF, Reed SC, Gilbert JA, LYNNE AM, Bucheli SR, Carter DO, Knight R. 2016. Microbial community assembly and metabolic function during mammalian corpse decomposition. Science 351:158–162. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aad2646.

19. Faith DP. 1992. Conservation evaluation and phylogenetic diversity. Biol Conserv 61:1–10. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0006-3207(92)91201-3.

20. Lozupone C, Knight R. 2005. UniFrac: a new phylogenetic metric for comparing microbial communities. Appl Environ Microbiol 71: 8228–8235. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.12.8228-8235.2005.

21. Sokol H, Pigneur B, Watterlot L, Lakhdari O, Bermudez-Human LG, Gratadoux JJ, Blugeon S, Briddoneau C, Furet JP, Corthier G, Grangeotte C, Vasquez N, Pochart P, Trugnan G, Thomas G, Blottiere HM, Dore J, Marteau P, Seksik P, Langella P, Dore J, Marteau P, Seksik P, Langella P, Dore J, Marteau P, Seksik P, Langella P, Dore J, Marteau P, Seksik P, Langella P, Dore J, Marteau P, Seksik P, Langella P. 2008. Faecalibacterium prausnitzii is an anti-inflammatory commensal bacterium identified by gut microbiota analysis of Crohn disease patients. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105:16731–16736. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0804812105.

22. Weiss S, Van Treuren W, Lozupone C, Faust K, Friedman J, Deng Y, Xia LC, Xu ZZ, UrSELL L, ALm EJ, Birmingham A, CRAM JA, Fuhrman JA, Raes F, Zhou J, Knight R. 2016. Correlation detection strategies in microbial data sets vary widely in sensitivity and precision. ISME J 10:1669–1681. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2015.235.

23. Vincent J, Marshall J, ANzueto A, Martin CD, Gomersall C. 2009. International study of the prevalence and outcomes of infection in intensive care units. JAMA 302:2323–2329. http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.2009.1754.

24. Lyser MD, Buurman WA, Hadfoune M, Speelmans G, Knol J, Jacobs JA, Dejong CHC, Vriesema AJM, Greve JWM. 2005. Strain-specific effects of probiotics on gut barrier integrity following hemorrhagic shock. Infect Immun 73:3686–3692. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI.73.6.3686-3692.2005.

25. Tok D, Ilgul O, Bengmark S, Aydede H, Erhan Y, Taneli F, Ulman C, Vatansever S, Kose C, Ok G. 2007. Pretreatment with pro- and symbiotics reduces peritonitis-induced acute lung injury in rats. J Trauma 62:880–885. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.ta.0000236019.00650.00.

26. Corr SC, Li Y, Riedel CU, O’Toole PW, Hill C, Gahan CGM. 2007. Bacteriocin production as a mechanism for the antiinfective activity of Lactobacillus salivarius UCC118. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104: 7617–7621. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0700440104.

27. Mittal R, Coopersmith CM. 2014. Redefining the gut as the motor of critical illness. Trends Mol Med 20:214–223. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molmed.2013.08.004.

28. Li Q, Wang C, Tang C, He Q, Zhao X, Li N, Li J. 2015. Successful treatment of severe sepsis and diarrhea after vagotomy utilizing fecal microbiota transplantation: a case report. Crit Care 19:37. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13054-015-0738-7.

29. Gilbert JA, Jansson JK, Knight R. 2014. The Earth Microbiome Project: successes and aspirations. BMC Biol 12:69. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12915-014-0069-1.

30. Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Berg-Lyons D, Huntley J, Fierer N, Owens SM, Betley J, Fraser L, Bauer M, Gormley N, Gilbert JA, Smith G, Knight R. 2012. Ultra-high-throughput microbial community analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq platforms. ISME J 6:1621–1624. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.8.

31. Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK, Fierer N, Peña AG, Goodrich JK, Gordon JI, Knight R, Dejong CHC, Vriesema AJM, Greve JWM. 2007. Strain-specific microbiota transplantation: a case report. Crit Care 19:37. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13054-015-0738-7.

32. McDonald D, Price MN, Goodrich J, Nawrocki EP, DeSantis TZ, Probst A, Andersen GL, Knight R, Hugenholtz P. 2012. An improved Greengenes taxonomy with explicit ranks for ecological and evolutionary analyses of bacteria and archaea. ISME J 6:510–518. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2011.139.