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Around the world the emergency medical services (EMS) profession has made tremendous strides over recent decades. We have become more professional, have a rapidly growing proportion of paramedics who are university qualified, our practice has become more evidence-based, and a growing number of paramedics are completing graduate-level programs and going on to conduct their own research. In some countries, such as South Africa, the UK, and currently in Australia, this rise in professionalisation has resulted in paramedics becoming independently registered health care practitioners. As a profession we have much to celebrate when we consider these accomplishments.

These trends are, to some extent, related to the changing demand in terms of the patient case mix, itself a consequence of changes in population profile, epidemiology and, in some instances, related to the health care systems EMS operates within. They also reflect the broader roles that EMS is creating and accepting; these roles require more from paramedics in relation to clinical decision making, inter-professional working, intellectual understanding, technical skills, research and much more.

We have seen other professions develop along similar pathways. A century ago physicians were trained in hospital basements and practiced procedures that had little evidence to ensure their quality or effectiveness. A half century ago nurses were largely trained in hospital basements and again had little research to justify their practices. Members of those professions recognized that the only way out of the basement was through the university. Members of those and other health professions took it upon themselves to make a university degree a requirement to enter practice. They recognized that a university degree was a key requirement of professionalism. Today we could not imagine a physician without a university degree and almost all new nurses and many allied health professionals are now graduates of university programs.

These professions also recognize the necessity of conducting their own research as an essential cornerstone of their professional status and identity. Physicians do not rely solely on biologists, chemists or physicists to conduct medical research. Instead, physicians, with the support and encouragement of their profession, are expected to pursue graduate research degrees and then work in university environments to conduct the research needed by their profession. In fact, over the past few decades it has become even more discipline-specific. Emergency medicine physicians, for example, no longer rely on cardiologists or surgeons to conduct emergency medicine related research.

Alongside professionalism comes the recognition of the necessity to take more research responsibility and there is a particular need to do so in EMS where the research base was once described as ‘scanty’ by Callaham.(1) Over the past few years there have been some local efforts to develop and pursue EMS-specific research agendas. In Australia for instance, paramedic academics are pursuing the development of a national paramedic research agenda and associated priorities to “assess the progress of paramedicine research in Australia and New Zealand; map the research capacity of the paramedicine discipline, paramedic services, universities and professional organisations; identify current strengths and opportunities; make recommendations to capitalize on opportunities; and, identify research priorities.” (2)

In the U.S., the National Highway Traffic Safety Agency (the lead EMS agency in the U.S.) commissioned a project to develop EMS research priorities. Their recommendations include: develop a large cadre of career EMS investigators; create EMS research Centers of Excellence; lobby federal agencies to commit to EMS research; encourage states, corporations, and charitable foundations to support EMS research; connect research and operations so that research results can be applied; as a profession recognize that our procedures should be evidence based; create standardizations in data collection; and, develop EMS-specific ethics strategies.(3)

In the Netherlands, a similar process identified the leading EMS research priorities as: “Non-conveyance to the hospital (ranked highest); Performance measures for quality of care; Hand over/registration/exchange of patient data; Care and task substitution; Triage; Assessment of acute neurologic signs & symptoms; Protocols and protocol adherence; Immobilisation; and Open/secure airway.”(4)

In 2006, Canadian EMS leaders recognized that “EMS does not currently possess the research base and data collection capability required to systematically evaluate and provide guidance for the improvement of overall levels of care. They found that EMS research continues to be under-funded and neglected. It is constrained by funding considerations, lack of a central data repository, and underdeveloped technology infrastructure.” They went on to note that objective standards and protocols “is a critical success factor in the provision of higher quality care.”(5) A presumably more recent, but undated, document from the Paramedic Chiefs of Canada notes their priorities as including: “Strengthen research partnerships between EMS...
focused research agenda is required to solve the main challenges facing the delivery of optimal patient care in Irish EDs”.(10) So it is with EMS. We must form strong research consortiums if we are to hope to conduct research that will inform and create an increasingly professional EMS profession and that, at the same time, will support and encourage the long term sustainability of EMS researchers.

As a profession we must: develop systems for the development of national and international research agendas that will meet the needs of EMS agencies, EMS researchers, patients and local governments; create support mechanisms for paramedics to pursue research higher degrees; and, develop partnerships between university EMS programs and EMS agencies that facilitate funding and resources to support research.

Working together we can form international EMS research consortiums that will help both address the needs of today and enable us to anticipate and prepare for the needs of tomorrow.
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