Nanomedicine strategies for treatment of secondary spinal cord injury
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Abstract: Neurological injury, such as spinal cord injury, has a secondary injury associated with it. The secondary injury results from the biological cascade after the primary injury and affects previous uninjured, healthy tissue. Therefore, the mitigation of such a cascade would benefit patients suffering a primary injury and allow the body to recover more quickly. Unfortunately, the delivery of effective therapeutics is quite limited. Due to the inefficient delivery of therapeutic drugs, nanoparticles have become a major field of exploration for medical applications. Based on their material properties, they can help treat disease by delivering drugs to specific tissues, enhancing detection methods, or a mixture of both. Incorporating nanomedicine into the treatment of neuronal injury and disease would likely push nanomedicine into a new light. This review highlights the various pathological issues involved in secondary spinal cord injury, current treatment options, and the improvements that could be made using a nanomedical approach.
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Introduction

Spinal cord injury

Neurological injury often results from biological damage, such as multiple sclerosis, or mechanical damage, such as compression in spinal cord injury (SCI). Regardless of the affliction, a patient’s quality of life is likely reduced due to the loss of motor, sensory, or cognitive function. Motor vehicle accidents most heavily contribute to the occurrence of central nervous system (CNS) injuries. Since young adults and teens are most likely to be involved in these types of accidents, their age group is significantly affected.1 Aside from possible mortality, injury usually results in impaired motor capabilities (paralysis), impaired sensory capabilities (hypersensitivity and hypo-sensitivity), and/or neurologically-based pain. This can drastically reduce a patient’s quality of life and place a large burden on society, both in health care costs and lost productivity. Regardless of how the CNS is damaged, it has adverse effects on a patient. Neuronal injury is a major field of exploration, but this review will focus on aspects of treatment after SCI. Various therapies have been explored, but as discussed later, the treatments may have little effect or adverse side effects, which calls for a new approach to treating such trauma.

It is well established that physical impact is not solely responsible for the severe tissue damage resulting from SCI. Rather, mechanical trauma induces a cascade of chemical reactions leading to a delayed secondary neurological injury that amplifies the effects of the initial injury and expands the damage throughout the cord.2,3 Due to the delayed nature of this pathology, which offers a window of intervention, the inhibition of secondary injury processes has emerged as an important therapeutic strategy to...
deter further degeneration and promote functional recovery.\textsuperscript{3} Therefore, ascertaining the secondary injury and identifying key therapeutic targets is warranted.

Nanomedicine: a new medical approach
Nanotechnology holds promise in aiding the treatment of chronic disease and medical conditions. In biology and medicine, the field has developed rapidly in the last decade to create a new application of nanotechnology in medicine known as nanomedicine (for reviews, see Haglund et al\textsuperscript{4} Seale-Goldsmith and Leary,\textsuperscript{5} and Leary\textsuperscript{6}). Major goals in the field involve the improvement of targeted drug delivery, improved diagnostic or imaging techniques, and simultaneous therapeutics and diagnostics (“theranosis”), which is a combination of both therapeutics and diagnostics in the same nanomedical drug/device. Nanomedicine gives rise to potential therapies that can be tailored to diagnose and treat for specific disease states while greatly diminishing the side effects of traditional medicine through both the targeting process and greatly lowered total systemic doses due to that targeting process, and also longer circulation times created by stealth layers on the nanomedical device that prevent opsonification and decrease uptake by the kidneys and liver. Additionally, as the field broadens, it expands to include nanopharmacology and nanotoxicity for exploration of how the body reacts to the new nanosized structures. While sometimes criticized as offering as yet unfulfilled promise, much of the delay is due to uncertainties in the evaluation process on the part of regulatory agencies such as the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA), which is still coming to terms with nanotechnology.\textsuperscript{7} Pharmaceutical firms worry about the twin hurdles of FDA approval as a “combo” device, since nanomedicine involves both a nanodevice and a drug. However, early and simpler forms of nanomedical systems have been approved by the FDA, and more are in the approval process pipeline.

Composition of nanoparticles
The composition of nanoparticles is extremely diverse. They have been synthesized using a wide range of materials, including metal (eg, gold, silver, cadmium), metal oxides (iron oxide, titanium oxide, zinc oxide), silica, polymers, and biological molecules (peptides and DNA), among others.\textsuperscript{8–11} As the field has progressed, more nanoparticles are being made from mixtures of these materials, which provide new benefits and functions for the various applications that a single material will not possess. Many times, the amalgamation allows both the diagnostic and therapeutic effects to occur either simultaneously or in a time-dependent manner. This is achieved by making nanoparticles one layer at a time, called layer-by-layer synthesis. Each layer changes the characteristics of the nanoparticle and adds functionality, essentially making the nanoparticle programmable. Such multifunctional nanoparticles become a unique nanomedical system used to address a biological problem.

Neurological disease pathology: overview
Neurological degeneration in SCI
Although it can recover somewhat, generally the CNS recovers very little after injury. On the other hand, the peripheral nervous system recovers more efficiently. The enzymatic and molecular composition of the neurons involved contributes heavily to the differences in their regeneration. The CNS myelin, composed of oligodendrocytes, contains direct inhibitors of axonal growth, such as the aptly named Nogo receptor, that interact with myelin proteins.\textsuperscript{12–16} In the peripheral nervous system, protective Schwann cells form a scaffold that allows the renewed growth of injured axons.\textsuperscript{17–19} Injury to the CNS also affects a patient more critically. The brain and spinal cord are the control centers for the body’s many functions. When messages cannot be transported back and forth, the brain cannot relay appropriate responses to incoming sensory information, or it does not receive the information at all. In the peripheral nervous system, although the loss of information may be detrimental, it is usually both locally concentrated and less critical than the copious amounts of information the brain and spinal cord both send and receive. In the CNS, the axons of the neurons can be quite long, and once damaged or destroyed, the information from a large part of the body is lost.

In places in and around the injury site, cells often suffer from membrane and myelin damage.\textsuperscript{20} Neuronal membrane integrity is critical to cellular function. The membrane not only retains chemicals and proteins but also prevents them from entering. The function of a neuron depends on specific ion concentration gradients between the extracellular and intracellular spaces. Extracellularly, sodium and calcium ions are abundant in comparison with those in the cytosol. Intracellularly, the potassium ion concentration is much higher inside the cell. In a normal cell, the differences in concentration create a voltage potential across the membrane and polarize the cell. When the membrane is compromised, allowing free movement of ions, the gradients diminish or disappear. The cell depolarizes, and the leaky membrane prevents it from repolarizing, thereby destroying its ability to generate an action potential.\textsuperscript{21} Beyond that, other chemicals, such as
reactive species and proteins, can invade and damage the cell, generating secondary injury and necrosis in a cycle. The cycle of stress and death impedes the ability of cells to recover from the inundation of toxins and return to homeostasis.

In the CNS, oligodendrocytes are responsible for myelinating neuronal axons. The oligodendrocytes form segments of sheaths around the axons. Spaces between the segments are known as the nodes of Ranvier. The sheaths allow action potentials to "jump" quickly from node to node. Further, the junctions contain distinct enzymes and signaling molecules to keep different ion channels segregated. The nodes of Ranvier contain potassium channels, and the sodium channels congregate in the adjacent juxtanodal regions. The channels open and close at different points during an action potential, and the separation of the ion channels not only allows movement of the action potential, but also facilitates faster depolarization along the axon. When the ion channels do not remain separated, neuronal function is impaired. Therefore, after demyelination, when the ion channels are desegregated, the ability of the neuron to transmit action potentials is hindered or destroyed. Beyond SCI, demyelination is a large issue in the progression of other neurodegenerative diseases.

At a deeper level, initial SCI results in a concentrated site of necrotic cell death, membrane damage, and, often, myelin damage. In contrast with apoptosis, where cell contents are packaged and recycled before cell death, the contents of necrotic cells are released haphazardly into their environment. Normally, the body uses many of the molecules that are released during necrosis for various mechanisms, including signaling, degradation, and synthesis. Hydrogen peroxide and nitric oxide, both potent oxidative species, are secondary messengers for different cellular pathways. For instance, nitric oxide is a secondary messenger that results in vasodilation of smooth muscle, among other functions. To help destroy invading pathogens, hydrogen peroxide is secreted by cells responsible for immunity. The superoxide anion is produced in the mitochondria during the electron transport chain. The combination of superoxide and nitric oxide results in peroxynitrite; its formation and sequestration by uric acid after SCI was studied and found to ameliorate some effects of peroxynitrite formation, but the major mechanism seemed to stem from attenuating the inflammatory response from immune cells. Vitamin B₉ works specifically through a radical reaction with cobalt, creating upstream products for DNA synthesis. Upon uncontrolled release, though, many of these molecules and enzymes, specifically reactive oxygen species and reactive nitrogen species (Figure 1), travel to neighboring cells, including healthy ones, and cause secondary damage through effects such as lipid peroxidation and inflammation.

**Biochemical targets of SCI**

In SCI, inflammation plays a role in the health and progression of CNS neurons. In vitro, activated microglial cells induce oxidative stress when cultured with neuronal cells. Although the response is natural, the oxidative stress can be detrimental to cells that are already undergoing stress. Figure 2 highlights the role inflammation can have in neuronal injury.

Acrolein (Figure 3), a reactive oxygen species, has been implicated in secondary injury in neuronal tissues. Both studies found increased lipid peroxidation specifically caused by acrolein. Luo et al monitored the protein adducts of acrolein after SCI in vivo. They found that acrolein levels peaked 24 hours after injury, but stayed elevated for up to

**Figure 1** Common reactive oxygen species and reactive nitrogen species. The formation of such species is normally highly controlled for specific signaling mechanisms (nitric oxide), respiration (superoxide), or defense (hydrogen peroxide). When cells are damaged, radicals can freely form and travel to uninjured, healthy cells. Environmental factors may also contribute to the formation of oxidative stress.

**Figure 2** Progression of primary injury and secondary injury. After primary injury, the biochemical cascade that follows is secondary injury. The secondary injury can cause damage to tissue that was previously unharmed, perpetuating a cycle of oxidative stress and injury.

**Abbreviation:** ROS, reactive oxygen species.
Acrolein is a reactive oxygen species implicated in secondary injury after spinal cord injury. The π-bond reacts with proteins and lipids, altering the function of proteins or causing lipid peroxidation. The carbonyl of acrolein is still free for other reactions, such as those used for scavenging.

Acrolein is a reactive oxygen species implicated in secondary injury after spinal cord injury. The π-bond reacts with proteins and lipids, altering the function of proteins or causing lipid peroxidation. The carbonyl of acrolein is still free for other reactions, such as those used for scavenging.

Later studies explored the various biochemical changes caused by acrolein in neuronal tissues, including lipid peroxidation, myelin damage, and mitochondrial damage. The primary carbon of acrolein can undergo nucleophilic (“nucleus-loving”) attack, but contributing to its destructive nature, the electrophilic (“electron-loving” or electron-deficient) carbons of the π-bond (second bond of C=C) can undergo attack by nucleophiles in the cell. Specifically, acrolein reacts with amine-containing lysine residues, histidines, and electrophilic unsaturated fatty acids. Changes to protein residues upon reacting with acrolein can cause functional and conformational detriments in proteins. When acrolein attacks fatty acids, more acrolein is generated in a cycle of uncontrolled lipid peroxidation. Environmentally, acrolein is a byproduct of the burning of plastics, overheated cooking oil, and cigarette smoke, which makes it an important environmental source of oxidative stress that can adversely affect the body.

When acrolein reacts with lipids, such as those in the cell membrane, the membrane is compromised, and more acrolein is released to attack other tissue in a feed-forward process. It creates a cascade of oxidative stress to a particular area of injury. In this way, acrolein can increase stress and damage healthy tissues surrounding the injury site. The large amount of oxidative stress overwhelms the body’s natural antioxidant, glutathione. Normally, glutathione is oxidized, which stops reactive oxygen species and reactive nitrogen species from oxidizing proteins and structures in the cell. It then would be reduced to its original form by glutathione reductase. In a large area of oxidation, glutathione cannot be reduced rapidly enough to account for the overwhelming, cyclic, and unchecked production of acrolein.

Acrolein is a small molecule target in SCI, but inherent biological systems also play a role in SCI. Calpain, a calcium-dependent cysteine protease, cleaves various proteins associated with the cell membrane. The protease is strongly conserved across different species. In humans, it has two main isoforms, calpain-1 and calpain-2, also referred to as μ-calpain and m-calpain, respectively, where the latter notation refers to the concentration of calcium (micromolar and millimolar) necessary for optimal activation. Cysteine proteases use a nucleophilic thiol group of the cysteine residue to cleave peptide bonds. Peptide bonds contain an electrophilic carbonyl where cleavage takes place, breaking the bond between the carboxylic acid portion of one amino acid and the amine of the neighboring residue. The reaction requires water, and the cysteine of the active site only catalyzes the break, thereby being recycled. Because calpain cleaves numerous proteins with seemingly little order, various studies have attempted to deduce and deconstruct substrate cleavage sites. For example, Betts et al constructed a series of synthetic peptides and tested calpain activity against known substrates for the purpose of analyzing, residue by residue, the construction of the inherent inhibitor, calpastatin.

Calpain expression has been implicated in different disease states and become a therapeutic target because of its role in disease states, apoptosis, and, mainly, necrosis. In damaged neuronal tissue, large influxes of calcium can cause widespread activation of calpains. In these states, its natural inhibitor, calpastatin, is underexpressed, leading to an imbalance of calpain activity. Additionally, calpastatin specifically inhibits calpain. Various efforts have been made to create new calpain inhibitors, but they often target other proteases as well. Calpeptin, a short peptide, for example, targets both forms of calpain very well, but it also inhibits papain, although at higher concentrations.

In 1989, Maki et al determined the domain of calpastatin involved in the inhibition of calpain. It consists of a 27-mer portion of the protein known as B-peptide, with the sequence DPMSSTYIEELGKREVTIPPKYRELLA. Later, it became known as the calpastatin B-27 peptide, based on its sequence location in exon 1B. Since then, the crystal structure of the two proteins has been published and discussed. Calpastatin’s α-helix in the B-peptide turns away from the reactive cysteine, while the other portions of the protein facilitate binding of the B-peptide. Modeling and analysis has been performed to determine the important morphology of the calpain-calpastatin system and to provide insight for designing new targets.

Although other biological factors may play a role in SCI, such as various molecular pathways, inflammation, calpain, and acrolein are targets that the current clinical therapies (namely methyprednisolone) do not sufficiently address. In particular, the persistence of acrolein in vivo leads to more oxidative stress that can travel to healthy tissues, causing the...
injury site to grow beyond the initial trauma point. Further, unlike other oxidative species, its levels remain high, which hinders the ability of tissues to recover effectively. Specifically, both acrolein and inflammation can lead to necrosis, which negatively impacts not only the dying tissue, but also the surrounding tissue. In a sensitive area like the spinal cord, necrosis and damage can affect the entire body, not just a concentrated area.

**Therapeutic explorations in treating SCI**

**Immunosuppression**

Although methylprednisolone, a steroid, is the most common drug used for SCI, it has been the subject of criticism. Hugenholtz et al concluded that the drug is too expensive to prescribe with the current clinical data that are scattered and inconclusive. Bracken et al recommend that the drug be given within the first 8 hours post-injury to be effective. Regardless, the drug aims to suppress the immune system, reducing inflammation around the injury site. Although it may attenuate oxidative stress and cytokines from immune cells, it does not address the process of stress from dead or dying cells. Methylprednisolone is the only treatment used clinically, but its mechanism of action and questionable efficacy warrants re-evaluation and decidedly better alternatives. On the other hand, a new and better vehicle may help to mitigate its negatives, but its ability to stop secondary injury is focused on preventing stress from one of the many sources of stress. Therefore, more work needs to be done to find new and more effective therapies for SCI.

**Glutathione**

A seemingly easy approach for improving the body’s stores of antioxidants includes glutathione supplementation (Figure 5). The molecule is made from cysteine, glycine, and glutamate, and its antioxidant properties stem from the nucleophilic thiol group of the cysteine. Oxidative...
species are electrophilic and the extra valence electrons of the thiol group reduce the oxidative species and create water in the process. In other words, the antioxidant is oxidized rather than allowing essential parts of the cell to be oxidized. Unfortunately, orally administered glutathione itself is poorly absorbed. Studies of glutathione levels have found that it forms an equilibrium with its amino acid components through synthesis of glutathione and uptake of cysteine. To increase glutathione levels, rather than absorbing glutathione, increasing the uptake of its amino acid components shifts the equilibrium toward synthesis of glutathione.

Due to its limited bioavailability, effectively delivering glutathione directly to the site of injury could help increase the antioxidant capabilities of cells suffering from secondary injury. Since glutathione is the cell’s primary defense, its efficacy is likely to be higher with fewer side effects. Cells already contain a system to process, use, and dispose of glutathione effectively. Using such a treatment would act as a supplement to the process already in place.

**Calpain inhibition**

Based on its role in neurological injury, some groups have used calpain inhibitors to target the apoptosis and necrosis of neurons in various disease models. In a notable in vivo study that used both intravenous and intraperitoneal injections, Yu and Geddes found that only when the two types of injections were combined (intravenously after injury and then intraperitoneally daily for a week after the injury) did the pathology of the injury change significantly. Otherwise, they found that the lesion increased and tissues died similar to the levels of the control injury. The authors admit that their results could be improved with better delivery and availability of the inhibitor, which is less of an issue in vitro. In in vitro systems, the cells of interest can be studied directly without numerous other factors that may affect the delivery of therapeutic molecules in vivo. Although the group had positive therapeutic results in vivo, the increase in the lesion site was likely due to other secondary injury factors not addressed by the calpain inhibitor.

One group attempted to address the issue by combining methylprednisolone and calpeptin. Although this approach is creative, it does not target the cascade of secondary injury caused by inherent oxidative stress. The animals were sacrificed after 48 hours, their motor capacities were not reported, and pathology was not examined. If the study had continued and the pathology was determined, it would have given better insight into the mechanisms of SCI that the authors were attempting to study.

Calpain inhibition is a critical aspect of secondary injury in need of address. Calpain activation is likely to affect living, but compromised, cells. Preventing their necrotic death could temper the spread of the injury site to previously uninjured tissues. Like most therapeutics, specificity is difficult to obtain in small molecules, and bioinspired therapeutics generally require protection from degradation. Like the study described above, a more effective treatment will likely require a combination therapy technique.

**Hydralazine as an acrolein scavenger**

The drug hydralazine (Figure 6) has been found to “scavenge” for acrolein and other oxidative species. In particular, the drug will scavenge and react with acrolein that has reacted with proteins. As mentioned earlier, the carbonyl group in acrolein is free for nucleophilic attack. Once acrolein reacts with a protein, the carbonyl is free, and hydralazine can attack it. The reaction results in a Schiff-base and imine (C=N bond) formation, which the body uses regularly for amino acid synthesis. When the drug reacts with free acrolein, it reduces the amount of acrolein that can induce lipid peroxidation, arresting the feed-forward production of reactive oxygen species. The body naturally controls the production and reduction of glutathione from its oxidized state. In cases of severe oxidative stress, the reduction occurs too slowly for cells to overcome the assault of reactive oxygen species or reactive nitrogen species.

**Figure 6** Hydralazine (left) and the imine product of acrolein and hydralazine (right). After the reaction with acrolein, the Schiff base on the right is the product of hydralazine scavenging acrolein. If acrolein has already reacted with proteins, hydralazine can still react with acrolein for removal.
Polymeric therapeutic molecules

Long-chain polymers have been also studied for SCI. Poly(ethylene) glycol (PEG) and chitosan have both been studied for their effects in treating SCI and other neurological diseases.3,45,77,80 The polymers first plug the damaged membrane by associating with the holes. Interaction of the polymers then causes the two separated parts of the membrane to associate with each other, effectively closing the hole and stopping the invasion of unwanted ions and molecules.45,80

The two polymers have a few distinct differences. The molecular weight of PEG ranges from very small (molecular weight 250) to very large (molecular weight 500,000), depending on the application. It also comes with a range of functional groups for different modifications or can be modified for functionality. The applications and functionalities of PEG are discussed in the next section. Chitosan, on the other hand, is derived from the exoskeletons of shell fish, such as crabs and shrimp. Chitin, the polymeric material from the shells, is deacetylated in various degrees to create chitosan. Chitosan alone is not water-soluble, so must be modified to become water-soluble.69 The properties of PEG/chitosan blends have also been studied to combine the biodegradation and biocompatibility effects of both polymers.82–84 De Campos et al compared polymeric particles coated with PEG and those coated with chitosan for therapeutic delivery to the cornea. They found that PEG had better delivery, but chitosan had a better drug retention effect.85

Polymers such as PEG and chitosan could play an important role in SCI therapy. PEG is a stealth polymer used to extend the half-life of therapeutics (discussed later in this review). Membrane sealing is a primary step in helping cells to achieve homeostasis and stopping an onslaught of unwanted extracellular material, which would ideally allow cells to eventually obtain a membrane potential. Membrane sealing alone will not stop the spread of damage, and only addresses a small aspect of the secondary injury problem.

Table 1 Summary of explored molecularly-based therapies for spinal cord injury

| Type                  | Biological action       | Biological aspects                                      | References |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Glutathione           | Peptide-like molecule   | Natural antioxidant                                    | 46,68,70,86|
|                       | Steroid                 | Immunosuppressant                                      | 67,87      |
| Methylprednisolone    |                         | Increases with cysteine uptake                         |            |
|                       |                         | Must be taken directly after injury; reduces inflammation|            |
| Hydralazine           | Small molecule          | Oxidant scavenger; guanylate cyclase ligand            | 75,78,79   |
| Calpain inhibitors    | Peptide or synthetic    | Inhibits protease; activity of calpain                 | 59–61,63,74|
|                       | molecule                |                                                        |            |
| PEG                   | Polymer                 | Membrane sealant                                       | 38,45,77,88|
|                       |                         | Biocompatible; comes in many sizes and types of functional groups |          |
| Chitosan              | Polymer found in shell  | Membrane sealant                                       | 10,80,89,90|
|                       | fish                    |                                                        |            |

Notes: The various therapies referenced include traditional synthetic molecules and biologically-based molecules. Each molecule may be used to treat a different, but limited, aspect of secondary spinal cord injury.

Abbreviation: PEG, poly(ethylene) glycol.
them would be a large step in helping SCI patients. Granted, axonal regeneration is another factor after SCI, but oxidative stress and proteolysis are direct pathways to apoptosis and necrosis. Therefore, controlling and preventing cell death is extremely important before the tissues can repair themselves. Additionally, the major problem in inflammation is induction of oxidative stress through cytokines. Rather than affecting a patient’s entire immune system, it is more beneficial to control local inflammation and oxidative stress.

**Nanomedicine strategies**

Due to current therapeutic limitations, a nanomedical approach may help to ameliorate poor drug availability and the biochemical effects of secondary injury. In current therapies, drugs are administered and, hopefully, arrive at their intended location. Nanoparticles can act as carriers and increase the circulation time of a therapy, which is critical for hydrophobic and short-lived therapies, thereby improving the therapy’s chance of reaching the cells of interest. Further, biomolecules (natural or mimetic) can be added to the nanoparticle assemblies to help with cell-uptake specificity. After circulation and accumulation, the biomolecule hopefully increases the likelihood of nanoparticles being internalized by the intended cells.\(^9\) Peptides, DNA, RNA, and receptor ligands have been used for their affinity for a particular biomarker or characteristic, such as an increase in folate receptors on cancer cells.\(^9\)–\(^9\) Unfortunately, the disease state must have a studied and reliable biomarker to target, which may not always be the case. Without these moieties, nanoparticles may accumulate in different areas, for instance, by changing their shape or size.\(^9\)–\(^9\) Different clearance organs, such as the spleen, liver, and kidneys, will filter out particles based on their size. He et al specifically found that smaller particles (<200 nm) were less likely to accumulate in the spleen and liver compared with larger particles.\(^9\)

Table 2 summarizes many of the common materials used to make nanoparticles. The list includes inorganic material (silica and iron oxide), transition metals (gold and quantum dots), and organic materials (chitosan, DNA, PEG). Each material harbors certain advantages and disadvantages versus the others. Efforts have also explored hybrid particles, merging the advantages of the different materials.

Table 2 indicates that both silica and polymeric nanoparticles provide essential features to ameliorate the limitations of current SCI therapies, most notably they have an ability to adsorb and encapsulate drugs. The distinct and overwhelming advantage that silica nanoparticles provide is the ability to form a mesoporous network. This porosity makes them especially useful for various medical applications, including drug and gene delivery. In particular, the tunable mesoporous structure of silica networks gives them qualities of zero-order drug release. The drug is released at a constant rate, rather than at an exponential or logarithmic rate, which could prevent side effects from high drug doses and decrease the amount of drug necessary for effective delivery. The silica encapsulates the drug until reaching the

### Table 2 Comparison of nanoparticle characteristics

| Core material          | Chemistry                                                                 | Toxicity                           | Therapeutic delivery       | Imaging                              | References        |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| **Silica**             | Stöber method; template in microemulsion; commercially available          | Size-dependent                      | Adsorption; tethering      | Must add imaging agent               | 76,99–102        |
| **Gold**               | Thiol-based; easy, but expensive; commercially available                  | Surface modification-dependent      | Tethering                 | Surface plasmon resonance            | 9,103–107        |
| **Quantum dots**       | Difficult, requires heavy metals; expensive; commercially available in range of size and colors | Mixed; heavy metal leaching is toxic | Tethering                 | Fluorescence                         | 108–113          |
| **Polymeric (PLGA, chitosan)** | Self-assembly; usually requires modification for assembly | Low | Encapsulation; tethering | Must add imaging agent | 83,89,114–116 |
| **Combination particles** |                                                        |                                    |                           |                                      |                  |
| Silica/iron oxide      | Iron oxide coated with silica                                            | Low                                | Adsorption; tethering      | MRI; imaging agent                   | 11,117–120       |
| Polymeric/iron oxide   | Iron oxide encapsulated with polymer; iron oxide requires coating due to hydrophobicity | Low                                | Encapsulation; tethering   | MRI; imaging agent                   | 93,121–126       |

**Notes:** Nanoparticles are generally classified under “inorganic” or “organic” materials, which gives them various properties.

**Abbreviations:** MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PLGA, poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid).
cell or cells of interest. The method can be applied to potent drugs, such as chemotherapy agents, or environmentally-sensitive agents.

In polymeric particles, drugs are usually encapsulated via self-assembly. Polymeric particles are usually created via sonication or mixing, which causes them to assemble into nanostructures. When the nanoparticles disassemble in biological systems, the drugs are released in a burst, which is usually the cause of adverse side effects. When therapies are released rapidly, a high local concentration can be toxic to cells, especially when delivering very potent drugs (eg, chemotherapy agents). Avgoustakis et al synthesized polymeric nanoparticles, and in initial release, about 20% of the anticancer drug cisplatin was released. Zhang and Feng also reported an initial burst of the anticancer therapy paclitaxel of about 20%. In the other types of nanoparticles, the therapies are usually added by a form of conjugation method, which can be limiting when needing to deliver a small molecule.

Various examples of using silica to deliver therapeutic molecules have recently been demonstrated. Chauhan et al have used silica hydrogels to load hydrophobic drugs and monitor their release profile from contact lenses used for glaucoma. They found ways to change the release profile and induce release for weeks or months. The therapeutic agents can be loaded by incubating them with the porous silica. Molecular interactions, generally Van der Waal’s forces, cause the drug to adsorb onto the surface of the silica. Yu et al successfully coated iron oxide nanoparticles with silica for the purpose of protein adsorption.

Silica nanoparticles can be prepared and functionalized in various ways. The starting material, or precursor, is generally a small silicate, such as tetramethylorthosilicate or tetraethylorthosilicate. Upon addition of an acid or base, the precursor hydrolyzes and eventually condenses to form a silica network at room temperature (Figure 7). Although many reaction types exist, many of these stem from the Stöber method published in 1968. The method requires use of a light alcohol (methanol or ethanol) and ammonium hydroxide for catalysis. A common variation on this method includes the water-in-oil microemulsion, which uses a nonmiscible solvent (an alkane) and a surfactant. Other reagents explored include an array of bases, solvents, and even templates for silica nucleation. The templates are usually an organic salt, such as cetyltrimethylammonium bromide, which creates a surfactant layer for nanoparticle nucleation in the two-solvent system. In a later step, though, the template must be removed to create the silica shell structure. Because the template is usually a salt, it is removed using strongly acidified alcohol, which adds an additional step to the overall synthesis. A similar method for nucleation has been employed to coat iron oxide nanoparticles with silica. Depending on the various reaction conditions, the pore size, surface area, size, and shape of the particles will change. Starting material concentration, catalyst concentration, and the solvent system also play an important role in the silica formation.

Different functional groups can be introduced on the surface of the silica by adding an additional precursor with a functional group of interest, which is known as the cocondensation method. Using this method, the functionality and application of silica nanoparticles has greatly improved. Organosiloxane is introduced into the original mixture or grafted onto the particles in a later reaction. In grafting reactions, it is possible that the functional groups will become part of the silica network or nucleate, creating new nanoparticles. Therefore, not only does grafting create a separate step in synthesis of the nanoparticles, but it may also create diverse populations of nanoparticles in one mixture. This adds both time and cost to the overall process if the particles must fit within a defined range of criteria. Otherwise, organosiloxanes come with a variety of groups, such as carboxylic acids, amines, and polymers, which are especially useful for biological tethering.

PEG is a biocompatible polymer that is commonly used for a “stealth” layer on nanoparticles. PEG prevents protein adsorption on the surface of nanoparticles during circulation (opsonization), which “hides” the nanoparticles from attack by immune cells. It can be combined with silica to improve aqueous stability and retention, and to reduce toxicity both in vivo and in vitro. Similar to other reaction conditions, even the amount of PEG seems to affect the size of silica nanoparticles. Like organosiloxanes, PEG is available in numerous sizes and may have a number of functional moieties for various applications. Further, PEG provides additional targeting for both localization and therapy for SCI applications. Local injection of PEG helped to alleviate systems of oxidative stress in neuronal cells by aiding in the repair of damage to the membrane.

![Figure 7: Formation of silica network with tetramethyl orthosilicate precursor. Tetramethyl orthosilicate undergoes hydrolysis in the presence of an acidic or basic catalyst followed by condensation with another silica molecule. The formation and size of silica nanoparticles is dependent on controlling the rate of both steps.](image-url)
Cytotoxicity has been a concern with silica nanoparticles. Studies using these nanoparticles have found that both very small and very large particles tend to create toxicity problems. On the other hand, particles in the submicron range (50–300 nm) show less toxicity. In vivo studies, there are mixed results. Table 3 shows a few often-cited studies on the toxicity of silica nanoparticles. For comparison purposes, this table only highlights single-dose toxicity studies in mice. Hudson et al found their particles to be the most toxic using both intraperitoneal and intravenous injections. Based on particle characterization, their results seem to be attributed to the dose and size of their particles. Although all the studies used both dynamic light scattering (DLS) and electron microscopy to confirm and corroborate their size results, Hudson et al found disparities in the size of nanoparticles achieved using each method.

DLS derives the radius a particle appears to have in solution. Components of a solvent or buffer form a layer that moves with the nanoparticle, forming the hydrodynamic radius. As a particle moves through solution (Brownian motion), it scatters light that can be collected. A large particle moves more slowly and scatters less light, while a smaller particle moves more quickly in comparison. Obviously, since the particles are moving in a fluid, the solvent properties, specifically viscosity, change their motion in the fluid system. On electron microscopy, the particles appear dried and may be sputtered before being imaged, which can cause aggregation. Therefore, DLS is likely a truer measurement of how silica nanoparticles behave in a fluid biological system. Hudson et al had very large particles (470±252 nm) based on DLS measurements, while scanning electron microscopy showed particles of only 100–150 nm. In their preparation for DLS, they reported that the samples were sonicated and vortexed for 2 hours prior to measurement, which is a good indication that their particles were probably both large and aggregated since such forces were needed to separate them. Further, they mentioned that, even after preparation, the particles would fall out of suspension. The size of the particles, coupled with the large dose, likely contributed to the erratic behavior and mortality of the mice used in their study. Granted, both Hudson et al and Liu et al found that high doses (>1,000 mg/kg) of the nanoparticles had some toxic effects.

### Integrating neurological disease and nanomedicine

#### Methylprednisolone and inflammation

Because methylprednisolone is already used to treat SCI, various groups have attempted to improve its local delivery with the goal of circumventing its side effects and improving efficacy. An early study used poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid)-based nanoparticles and observed 65% encapsulation efficiency. The study showed improvements over methylprednisolone alone in vivo through reduction of the lesion site, but the large nanoparticles (200–700 nm) exhibited a burst release and stopped releasing after 4 days in a saline-based experiment. Another group used smaller (~109 nm) carboxymethylchitosan/polyamidoamine dendrimer nanoparticles and were able to observe release for 14 days after an initial burst within the first 24 hours. The study’s in vivo results showed an improved locomotor score with the methylprednisolone-loaded nanoparticles that was not seen with methylprednisolone alone.

In models of multiple sclerosis and ocular inflammation, researchers constructed liposomal nanoparticles using a lipid-conjugated PEG, also conjugated with glutathione, and phosphatidyl choline to encapsulate a methylprednisolone prodrug. The approach uses both the delivery capability

### Table 3 In vivo studies of silica nanoparticle toxicity

| Type                | Size (nm)                  | Dose             | Toxicity                                      |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| He et al⁹⁷          | TEM: 80, 120, 200, and 360| 20 mg/kg IV      | No toxicity; no pathological changes          |
| He et al⁹⁷          | TEM: 80, 120, 200, and 360| 20 mg/kg IV      | No toxicity; no pathological changes          |
| Hudson et al⁴⁹      | SEM: 100–150, 470          | 30 mg IP (1,200 mg/kg) | Died within 24 hours                         |
| Hudson et al⁴⁹      | SEM: 100–150, 470          | 6 mg IV          | Died within 15 minutes                        |
| Liu et al¹⁰⁰        | DLS and TEM: 110           | 40, 160, 500, 1,000, 1,280 mg/kg IV | No death until 1,000 mg/kg; some pathological changes |

Note: Various studies have explored the toxicity of silica nanoparticles with varying results.

Abbreviations: DLS, dynamic light scattering; IP, intraperitoneal injection; IV, intravenous injection; PEG, poly(ethylene) glycol; SEM, scanning electron microscopy; TEM, transmission electron microscopy.
of the nanoparticles and their ability to reduce inflammation. Glutathione serves as a target and antioxidant, and the authors found improved crossing across the blood-brain barrier when glutathione was conjugated to the liposomes compared with lipoposomes without glutathione when administered intravenously.\textsuperscript{153} In both studies, the group found an improvement in the in vivo inflammation models.

Antioxidants

Many antioxidants show promising results in vitro, but their translation in vivo is limited by short half-lives, limited efficacy, and metabolism. One of the major drives of nanomedicine is improving the delivery of compounds that already demonstrate efficacy but have limited capabilities. Ideally, nanomedicine could turn the “scraping” of a promising therapeutic into “repackaging” a promising therapeutic, and this is particularly true for natural products and their synthetic derivatives.

Oxidative stress is a common pathology in various conditions. Therefore, the amount of natural and derived antioxidants being studied for various uses is quite extensive, including compounds from grapefruit, strawberries, and green tea.\textsuperscript{35,155–157} Dube et al packaged epigallocatechin gallate, a natural product in green tea, into chitosan nanoparticles to improve its bioavailability, which is known to be quite low.\textsuperscript{158} Another group attempted to improve bioavailability via lipid-based nanoparticles for use in Alzheimer’s disease, and found a two-fold increase in bioavailability.\textsuperscript{159} Others have encapsulated epigallocatechin gallate and other polyphenols to study how the loaded nanoparticles affect their antitumor effects.\textsuperscript{160–164}

The potential of both synthetic and natural antioxidants has been studied, but they are hindered by poor stability, availability, and/or delivery. The field of nanomedicine has great potential to improve these products beyond their current stage. Further, many of them are GRAS (“generally regarded as safe”) products, which removes the hurdle of needing a compound to be approved by regulatory agencies.

Targeting acrolein

Although antioxidants may help reduce oxidative stress, some researchers have focused particularly on massively destructive aldehydes, such as acrolein. Cho et al synthesized silica nanoparticles to deliver hydralazine to healthy cells that had been exposed to acrolein.\textsuperscript{76} They used a template-based synthesis of their silica nanoparticles, grafted an additional silica layer, and attached a PEG layer. In the end, the particles were around 126 nm. They were able to deliver hydralazine to PC-12 cells (rat neurons) over a period of 5 days in vitro but not in a linear fashion. The bulk of the drug was released in the first few hours. Based on numerous cell assays that measure cell viability and therapeutic activity, they concluded that their nanoparticles were able to rescue the neurons without inducing further toxicity. In a separate study, they used similar nanoparticles ex vivo after crushing the spinal cord.\textsuperscript{165} The particles did not contain hydralazine for scavenging of acrolein and appeared to recover their ability to conduct action potentials nearly to the precrush level. They speculate that the recovery originated from the PEG coating on the outside of the nanoparticles.

Later, Cho et al attempted to use chitosan-based nanoparticles to study acrolein scavenging. Hydralazine was encapsulated by the assembled nanoparticles, which had a diameter of around 350 nm. They found that the chitosan nanoparticles had lower encapsulation efficiency, but they were still able to decrease the death of healthy cells exposed to acrolein.\textsuperscript{99} Tysseling-Matticce et al used self-assembling peptides to try to promote axonal regeneration after SCI. Their mice improved in motor capabilities and they found decreased apoptosis in and around the injury site.\textsuperscript{166}

Conclusion

Upon devising an appropriate strategy for treating SCI with nanomedicine, the first method of delivery will likely require direct administration near a patient’s site of injury, which is the current standard for methylprednisolone. Using nanomaterials that cross the blood–brain barrier without compromising its integrity would be an ideal strategy. Such an approach may then prove useful for other neural injuries and disease without a major overhaul of the nanomedical system. Further, increasing the bioavailability of a therapeutic in general has direct benefits for many products with the same limitation. Knowing the pathology of SCI leads to integrating stress sensors or switches into nanoparticles to ensure they deliver their payload to the appropriate cells. Unlike many biological traumas, cells damaged in SCI cannot easily keep nanoparticles out of a cell, but they do not necessarily contain clear biological markers for targeting, as in other conditions.

Using nanoparticles to address some of the secondary injury problems demonstrates the potential of using a nanomedical approach, but both the delivery systems and therapeutics require improvement. In terms of secondary SCI, many of the problems have been identified, but the optimal treatment has yet to be determined, and methylprednisolone, even with its problems and side effects, is the only treatment in clinical use specifically for SCI. Therefore, attempting to mitigate its side effects using nanomedicine has a distinct
advantage in terms of pushing both the treatment of SCI and nanomedicine forward. On the other hand, the need for better treatments is self-evident, but those treatments are waylaid by a lack of clinical results. The research route of administering an unapproved treatment via nanomedicine is decidedly difficult but likely promising in clinical translation.

Finally, scientists have much work in convincing government agencies, the pharmaceutical industry, and the public regarding the toxicity of nanotechnology in a general sense. Beyond that, regulatory agencies and industry must then be convinced that nanomedical systems are beneficial to expand the current research efforts and drive improvements in the technology through research.
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