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Abstract
The recent COVID-19 pandemic has been a major shock, affecting various macroeconomic indicators, including the environmental quality. The question of how the pandemics-related uncertainty will affect the environment is of paramount importance. The study analyzes the asymmetric impact of pandemic uncertainty on CO₂ emissions in top-10 polluted economies (China, USA, India, Russia, Germany, Japan, Iran, South Korea, Indonesia, and Saudi Arabia). Taking panel data from 1996 to 2018, a unique technique, 'Quantile-on-Quantile (QQ)', is employed. CO₂ emissions are used as an indicator of environmental quality. The outcomes define how the quantiles of pandemic uncertainty impact the quantiles of carbon emissions asymmetrically by providing an effective paradigm for comprehending the overall dependence framework. The outcomes reveal that pandemic uncertainty promotes environmental quality by lowering CO₂ emissions in our sample countries at various quantiles. However, Japan shows mixed findings. The effect of PUN on CO₂ is substantially larger in India, Germany, and South Korea and lower in Russia and Saudi Arabia. Furthermore, the magnitude of asymmetry in the pandemic uncertainty-CO₂ emissions association differs by economy, emphasizing that government must pay particular caution and prudence when adopting pandemics-related uncertainty and environmental quality policies.
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1 Introduction
Over the previous several decades, six major pandemics have brushed the world: SARS in 2003, Swine flu in 2009–10, Avian flu in 2003–09, Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) in 2012, Ebola (2014–2016), and the Zika virus in 2015–16. The recent coronavirus (COVID-19) is claimed to have created more controversy and confusion with compare to past pandemics. Global transmissions, frequent emergence, gradual effect on sensitive population groups, infections and mortalities among health-care personnel, and a large number of deaths are the key concerns for the current COVID-19 pandemic (Tian, An, Chen, & Tian 2021). To control COVID-19, countries have recently enacted lockdown rules and even restricted activities such as transportation, airlines, trades, and education (Chowdhuri et al. 2020; Pal et al. 2021a; Saha et al. 2021). Moreover, oil consumption of each country has been dramatically decreased due to the shutdown of local transport and social activities, resulting in a reduction in carbon dioxide emissions (CO₂) (Khan, Saxena, & Shukla 2021). Every year, 4.6 million people die as a result of poor environmental quality (ENQ). In addition, poor air quality has been associated with 25% of fatalities from obstructive pulmonary diseases, 26% of deaths from respiratory...
problems, and around 16% deaths from coronary heart disease and stroke (WHO 2020).

During the industrial revolution, pandemics were linked with historically low CO2 (Gherhes et al. 2021). For example, during 14th and 16th centuries, the plague outbreak spread in the European region and the smallpox that Spanish occupiers brought to Latin American countries had minor effects on the levels of CO2. This situation is also confirmed by the microscopic examination of the tiny fizzles retained in the oldest ice core samples (Bastos et al. 2020). In recent decades, increased air pollution has been caused by continuous urbanization and industry practices (Anser et al. 2021). However, pandemics and pandemic uncertainty (PUN) have triggered various abrupt changes in production and consumption, social connections, working conditions, travel patterns, and a variety of other factors, resulting in enhanced ENQ by reducing CO2 (Nguyen, Hoang, Ölc, & Huynh 2021).

The examination of the PUN-CO2 nexus involves a number of fundamental problems. Does the PUN degrade or improve ENQ in most polluted nations? Is there an asymmetrical relationship between PUN and CO2? How do PUN and CO2 trends differ by country along with data distributional points? What are the government measurements of using PUN as a CO2 mitigation tool? An analysis of the past studies reveals that these are obstacles that seem to have gained less attention, and to the best of our knowledge, there is no existing literature that addresses the concerns indicated above. The contribution of this study to earlier research can be described in three modes: First, numerous studies on the relationship between pandemics and CO2 have been undertaken. However, the research on the link between PUN and CO2 using top-polluted economies is scarce. Although some studies have looked into the impact of pandemics on the environment (Tian et al. 2021), no empirical studies have been conducted to determine if there is a link between pandemic uncertainties and CO2. The PUN is the source of various societal transformations, but its effect on the environment is unknown. The perception how excessive behavioral disturbances caused by PUN impact environment will give critical knowledge regarding its connection with ENQ. According to our understanding, it is a first study to use the unique World Pandemic Uncertainty Index (WPUI) developed by Ahir et al. (2018) to regress the effects of PUN on CO2 in the top ten polluted countries1 of the world. Rather than taking the aggregate or overall uncertainty created by all economic, political, and social circumstances, simply the uncertainty caused by health pandemics is used. As a result, distinguishing the influence of PUN on CO2 from aggregate uncertainty may have significant policy implications for economic recovery after pandemics like COVID-19. The majority of past research relied on panel data methodologies, ignoring the fact that some countries have no indication of this type of relationship on their own. However, this work employs the Quantile-on-Quantile (QQ) method to provide world yet country-specific insight into the PUN-ENQ nexus. The QQ approach can analyze the time-series dependency in every nation one-by-one. The PUN-environment nexus has a number of properties that build it challenging to assess using traditional econometric methods (such as OLS and quantile regression). Traditional parameter estimates are susceptible to outliers and may allow little room for heterogeneous slopes (Shahzad et al. 2020). As a result, assessing the impact of PUN on CO2 needs a strong econometric technique, like QQ, which can consider heterogeneous slopes and resilient to outliers. Furthermore, earlier studies estimated individual parameters (negative, positive, or indifferent) over their corresponding entire data distributions. On the other contrary, this research argues that distinct signs (inverse or positive) can be gained at various quantiles for the distribution of data.

The environmental impact of PUN may be different when the economy is in a recession versus when it is booming. In the same way, the environmental effects of higher levels of PUN may vary from those of low ones. As a result, we suggest the asymmetric PUN- CO2 nexus because dispersion features cause economic variables to follow asymmetric or non-linear trends (Xu et al. 2021). We may also identify major causalities (co-movements) of both PUN and CO2 at distinct data distribution quantiles (i.e., tops, tails, and median). As a result, we anticipate that study’s findings will provide a thorough depiction of the essential PUN-ENQ nexus, which would be unachievable using typical econometric approaches. Because the PUN-GHG nexus changes significantly, the single-country technique of our study can give critical country-specific ramifications for governments and policymakers making political and economic judgments at various levels of pandemics-related uncertainty and pollution. Finally, this work will open up avenues for additional research into the PUN-CO2 association and its consequences for other countries. It will be extremely valuable to policymakers in formulating and projecting the effects of pandemics-related uncertainty and air pollution control strategies.

The rest of the research is outlined as follows: Sect. 2 gives the empirical review of related studies. Section 3 presents the data and method. The outcomes of the research are presented in Sect. 4. The last section finalizes the study with a few policy implications.

---

1 China, the USA, India, Russia, Japan, Germany, Iran, South Korea, Saudi Arabia, and Indonesia.
2 Literature review

Because climate change is the most pressing matter in many countries worldwide, a plethora of literature about the determinants that affect ENQ has been developed (e.g., Dogan and Turkekul 2016; Shahbaz et al. 2018; Ali et al. 2020). Previous empirical studies, however, have neglected the significance of PUN, which is intimately related to environmental sustainability.

Zscheischler et al. (2017) found that people acquired new practices that could stick with them even after the end of the epidemic, such as bio-waste reduction owing to low stock and limiting transportation, which curtailed the GHG in the atmosphere. Earth Observatory report of NASA found that N₂O concentration in Eastern and Central China in the atmosphere. Watts & Kommenda (2020) discovered a betterment in ENQ during the period of COVID-19 in Barcelona (Spain); however significant variances were detected among the contaminants. The largest declines were reported in black carbon and N₂O emissions, with PM10² having the smallest decline. Tian et al. (2021) discovered that COVID-19 reduced CO₂ emissions in Canada while having no effect on SO₂ emissions. Furthermore, a rise in ozone levels has also been noted. Khan et al. (2021) analyzed the influence of lockdown during COVID-19 on the heavy metal concentrations in River Gomti (India). The quantities of heavy metals (Cd, As, Fe, Cr, Pb, and Mn) were clearly reduced. Moreover, the heavy metal pollution index (HPI) was also reduced. Yazdani et al. (2021) analyzed the influence of the COVID-19 on the ENQ in Tehran (Iran) and realized that COVID-19 positively affected ENQ. For India, Chakrabortty et al. (2021), Pal et al. (2021b), Pal et al. (2022), and Chowdhri et al. (2022) explored the impact of COVID-19 lockdown on ENQ and temperature level. The studies found that due to lockdown period, the level of temperature and pollutants have significantly decreased. Similarly, Cheval et al. (2020), Hartono et al. (2021), and Ghershe et al. (2021) also found the better ENQ due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

On the contrary, some empirical studies have discovered that pandemic outbreaks have detrimental impacts on ENQ. Robert (2020; Cheval et al. 2020; Zuo 2020), for example, stated that all environmental implications were not beneficial. Pandemic outbreaks affected the ENQ by enhancing the non-recyclable waste, generating massive volumes of organic wastes due to low levels of agricultural and seafood exports, and posing difficulty in maintaining and monitoring natural ecosystems. Various studies observed the economic policy uncertainty-pollution nexus in G7 countries for the year 1997–2015. It was realized that economic policy uncertainty increased pollution. Zuo (2020) investigated the influence of COVID-19 on biomedical waste in China during the pandemic’s peak. It was discovered that due to increasing health activities, around 245 tons of hospital waste were produced every day, which was 600% more than the normal amount. According to the studies of Robert (2020) the uses of personal protective equipments (PPEs) and plastic-based face masks during COVID-19 were the main sources of environmental deterioration because these items produced waste and marine pollution and could not be destroyed in nature.

In conclusion, the current literature provides a plethora of knowledge on the consequences of several pandemics on ENQ, such as Ebola, SARS, MERS-Cov, and Covid-19. There hasn’t been a single study that looks at the influence of PUN on GHG. Previous research has revealed that PUN has a significant impact on economic growth (Salisu, Gupta, and Demirer, 2020; Song & Zhou 2020), investment (Sharma et al. 2020), energy consumption (Qin et al. 2020), and the stock market (Sharif, Aloui, and Yarovaya, 2020).

² European Space Agency.
³ National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

4 The particulate matter having diameter of 10 µm or less.
but the impact of PUN on GHG has been overlooked. In this situation, by examining the aforementioned relationship, our study will fill a gap in the empirical literature.

3 Data and its description

The data set consists of two variables. Pandemic uncertainty (PUN) is treated as an independent variable. Our dependent variable is carbon dioxide emission (CO₂), which represents the proxy of ENQ. We explore the association between PUN and CO₂ for the top-10 polluted countries. According to the data availability, the study period covers from 1996 to 2018. The data for CO₂ is acquired from the website of World Bank (https://databank.worldbank.org/) while the data for PUN is obtained from (https://worlduncertaintyindex.com/) formulated by Ahir et al. (2018). The nomenclature for the abbreviations and symbols practiced in this research is provided in Table 1.

In our study, CO₂ is used as ENQ proxy since it accounts for a considerable portion of overall GHG emissions, along with CH₄ and N₂O. CO₂ is primarily caused by energy consumption, industrial production, and transportation (Xu et al. 2021). CO₂ as a proxy for ENQ is used in various empirical studies like Dogan and Turkekul (2016), Myllyvirta (2020), Tian et al. (2021), and Brzezinski (2021). To assess the influence of PUN on ENQ, the World Pandemic Uncertainty Index (WPUI) is utilized, which is acquired from the World Uncertainty Index (WUI) of Ahir et al. (2018). According to theoretical foundation and meaning, the WPUI differs from the WUI. Although both indices have been created for 143 economies worldwide since 1996, the WUI analyses overall uncertainties (economic, political, and social uncertainty), whereas the WPUI calculates the uncertainty related to pandemics (Ahir et al. 2018; WPUI 2020; WUI 2020). The WPUI counts the number of times the word “uncertainty” appears in official Economist Intelligence Unit (EIU) reports about pandemics (Ahir et al. 2018; WPUI 2020).

The WPUI specifically measures the uncertainties’ levels produced by various global pandemics like Avian flu, SARS, Ebola, and recent COVID-19. There is no previous study which has used PUN as an indicator of ENQ. However various studies used COVID-19 as a determinant of ENQ (Tobias et al. 2020; Menut et al. 2020; Khan et al. 2021; Pal et al. 2022, Chowdhri et al. 2022). The WPUI trend from 1996Q1 to 2021Q3 is depicted in Fig. 1. The trend line demonstrates that WPUI changes over time and reaches its peak in 2021Q1 owing to the COVID-19.

4 Econometric method

4.1 Quantile cointegration (QC) test

QQ is built on a bivariate framework, which may result in endogeneity problems due to omitted variables. Because of the exclusion of additional control variables, the error term is connected with the independent variable, which may produce an endogeneity problem. To address this issue, the quantile cointegration (QC) test introduced by Xiao (2009) is used to better understand the nature of cointegration among variables.

If β(τ) denotes the constant vector, then the cointegration model’s special version is expressed as follows:

\[ Y_t = \alpha + \beta Z_t + \sum_{j=-k}^{k} \Delta Z_{t-j} \Pi_j + \mu_t \]  

(1)

Here, \( Y_t \) represents time series. After including the quadratic factor of the explanatory variable in the cointegration equation, it can be expressed as follows:

\[ Q_t^{\tau}(Y_t / P_t, P_t^2) = \alpha(\tau) + \beta(\tau) Z_t + \gamma(\tau) Z_t^2 + \sum_{j=-k}^{k} \Delta Z_{t-j} \Pi_j \]

\[ + \sum_{j=-k}^{k} \Delta Z_{t-j} \Gamma_j F_{-1}(\tau) \]

(2)

Here, \( \beta(\tau) \) represents a drift term. \( F_{-1}(\tau) \) indicates the error terms for different conditional distribution quantiles. The time series data consists of past information, denoted by \( I_t^\tau \). Suppose \( F(\cdot | I_t^\tau) \) is the conditional distribution function of \( Y_t \) given \( I_t^\tau \). Hence, \( Q_t^{\tau}(\cdot | I_t^\tau) \) is the \( \tau \)-th quantile for \( F(\cdot | I_t^\tau) \). \( H_0: \beta(\tau) = \beta \) is supposed to be the null hypothesis for the QC test. We can obtain the cointegration coefficients from Eq. (2). Our null hypothesis \( \tilde{\gamma}_n(\tau) = [\tilde{\beta}(\tau) - \beta] \) is also expressed as the supremum rule “\( \text{Sup}_{\tau} |\tilde{\gamma}_n(\tau)| \)” for the absolute value of difference, which is utilized as a statistical value for QC test in this research across all quantile-based distribution. The critical values for these suprernorm values are acquired by using the 1000-Monte Carlo simulation.

4.2 Quantile-on-quantile approach

The current work explores the relationship between PUN and ENQ for a specific country using Quantile-on-Quantile

---

5 China, the USA, India, Russia, Japan, Germany, Iran, South Korea, Saudi Arabia, and Indonesia.
(QQ) proposed by Sim and Zhou (2015). This technique analyzes the influence of quantiles of the independent variable (PUN) on the quantiles of dependent variable (CO2). This unique method combines nonparametric method and quantile regression (QR). The traditional QR model investigates the impacts of PUN on various CO2 quantiles. The QQ technique, on the other hand, incorporates these traditional methods to describe the relationship between quantiles of both PUN and CO2. In comparison to other previously employed approaches, such as Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) and QR, the QQ technique aids in gaining a clearer understanding of the relationship between the variables under examination. The QQ technique is used in this research to explore the impact of different quantiles of PUN on various quantiles of CO2.

Following the empirical studies of Khan et al. (2021) and Anser et al. (2021), we can apply the below nonparametric quantile regression equation to develop our model in its initial version.

\[
CO_2t = \gamma^\theta(PUN_t) + \mu^\theta_t + \mu^0_t \]

where, \(PUN_t\) and \(CO_2t\) are pandemic uncertainty and carbon dioxide emissions in time \(t\), respectively. \(\gamma^\theta\) explains the \(\theta^{th}\) quantile for the \(CO_2\) distribution. As we know nothing about the linkage between \(PUN\) and \(CO_2\), the load element \(\alpha^0(\cdot)\) is considered an unknown term. The quantile error is represented by \(\mu^0_t\) with \(\theta^{th}\) quantile.

In the vicinity of \(PUN^\tau\), we use following regression to explore Eq. 3 as:

\[
\alpha^\theta(PUN_t) \approx \alpha^\theta(PUN^\tau) + \alpha^\theta(PUN^\tau)(PUN_t - PUN^\tau) \]

In Eq. (4), the derivative of \(\alpha^\theta(PUN_t)\) in terms of \(PUN_t\) is denoted by \(\alpha^\theta(\cdot)\), which is called partial effect or partial derivative. \(\alpha^\theta(PUN^\tau)\) and \(\alpha^\theta(PUN^\tau)\) are called the functions of \(\theta\) and \(\tau\). \(\alpha^\theta(PUN^\tau)\) is explained by \(\alpha_0(\theta, \tau)\) while \(\alpha^\theta(PUN^\tau)\) is shown by \(\alpha_0(\theta, \tau)\). As a consequence, the modified version of Eq. 4 can be written as:

\[
\alpha^\theta(PUN_t) \approx \alpha_0(\theta, \tau) + \alpha_t(\theta, \tau)(PUN_t - PUN^\tau) \]
The values of $\alpha$ where,

$$CO_{2t} = \frac{\alpha_0(\theta, \tau) + \alpha_1(\theta, \tau)(PUN_t - PUN^t)}{\sigma^2} + \eta_t^\theta$$ (6)

The functional framework of the QQ method is depicted in Eq. 6. It shows the relationship between $\theta$th PUN quantiles and $\tau$th CO 2 quantiles. The part (*) expresses the $\theta$th conditional quantile of the dependent variable (CO 2). The relationship between PUN and CO 2 is indexed by the parameters $\alpha_0$ and $\alpha_1$, which are dual-indexed by $\theta$ and $\tau$. The values of $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_0$ can be changed on the basis of the quantiles of our variables. By connecting their respective distributions, Eq. 6 establishes the general structure of dependence between PUN and CO 2.

Although QQ is known as a bivariate methodology that cannot incorporate extra variables into the model in addition to PUN, it surpasses other typical time-series approaches. It estimates the association between the independent variable (PUN) and dependent variable (CO 2) at the higher and lower quantiles, producing more detailed and reliable results than other traditional approaches. (Shahzad et al. 2020).

The choice of bandwidth (h) is critical in the QQ model. We have used bandwidth in the following minimization equation, which indicates the influence of PUN on CO 2.

$$\text{Min}_{h_1, h_2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \rho_{\phi}(CO_{2t} - \delta_0 - \delta_1(PUN_t - PUN^t)) \right]$$

$$L\left[ \frac{X_1}{h} \right]$$ (7)

where, $\rho_{\phi}$ shows the quantile-based loss function. L (.) implies the Gaussian function, which serves as a weight standard for the estimation strength by assigning different weights to data in the PUN neighborhood. The Gaussian kernel’s weighting parameters are negatively linked to the gap between the values of the distribution function that relates to the quantile of PUN, and the empirical distribution function of PUN, $h$ and $I$ show the bandwidth parameter and the usual indicator function, respectively.

A kernel regression’s bandwidth is called a smoothing indicator as it decreases bias and variation in the outcomes. A high bandwidth produces skewed estimations, whereas a small bandwidth produces higher variance values (Sim and Zhou 2015). Finding a middle ground between variance and bias is vital. Consequently, we select $5\%$ ($h=0.05$) as bandwidth, according to the studies of Sim and Zhou (2015) and Shahbaz et al. (2018).6

4.3 Robustness of QQ method

The QQ model can generate the standard QR estimation by authorizing precise estimates for different explanatory variable quantiles. For this investigation, the QR regression can assess the influence of the $\theta$th quantile of PUN on CO 2, whereas quantile-based PUN parameters can only be indexed by $\theta$. In contrast to the QR method, the QQ technique examines the influence of the $\theta$th quantile of PUN on the $\tau$th quantile of CO 2 through indexing the quantile-based parameters by both $\theta$ and $\tau$, giving in the more disintegrating data.

As a consequence, the parameters of QR can be calculated by obtaining the simple average of the QQ parameters along $\tau$. The slope of the coefficient for the QR model is indicated by $\gamma_1(\theta)$, which calculates the effect of PUN on distinct GHG quantiles, can be written as follows:

$$\gamma_1(\theta) = \tilde{z}_{1} = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{s=1}^{s} \tilde{z}_{1}(\theta, \tau)$$ (8)

where, $\tau= [0.05, 0.10, \ldots, 0.95]$ and $s$ indicates the number of quantiles ($s=19$).

In this scenario, we can test the robustness of QQ regression by matching the estimated QR coefficients to the $\tau$-averaged estimates of QQ.

5 Estimations and discussion

Now, we present the preliminary and key findings of this research and full discussion of the findings.

5.1 Preliminary results

Table 2 illustrates a descriptive statistics of PUN and GHG in different countries in our sample.

* and ** indicate the level of significance at 1% and 5%, respectively.

With a mean value of PUN (9.51) ranging from 0.01 to 227.67, China has the highest value for pandemic uncertainty. Indonesia places second with a mean value of PUN (6.86), ranging from 0.00 to 104.12. South Korea is third, accompanied by Japan, Saudi Arabia, and India. China is extremely polluted, with an average CO 2 level of (5,754,676.87) kt spanning from 2,585,790.43 to 10,381,826.76. The United States is placed second, with a mean CO 2 of (5,357,918.87) kt fluctuating from 4,820,876.70 to 5,788,726.75. India and Russia are ranked third and fourth, with mean CO 2 levels of 1,546,990 and 1,330,406.78 kt, respectively.

The especially significant Jarque–Bera test results demonstrate that PUN and CO 2 do not have normal data distributions in our chosen economies, with the exception

6 A number of various values of bandwidth have also been tested, but the estimates are qualitatively the same.
of Indonesia for PUN. The non-normal distribution aspect of our data permits us to use the QQ method, which delivers robust results in such kinds of data (Xu et al. 2021). According to the ADF test, most of the variables are non-stationary at the level but become stationary at their first differences. We use stationary series through transformation of PUN and CO₂ into their first difference, as proposed by Shahzad et al. (2020).7

Table 3 demonstrates that the correlation coefficients of the variables (PUN and CO₂) are strongly correlated across all selected countries. Both China and Russia show the largest correlation value of (−0.84), followed by Germany (−0.79), Saudi Arabia (−0.76), and South Korea (−0.75). With the exception of Turkey, PUN and CO₂ are found to be adversely related in all countries.

5.2 Main Results

Table 4 displays the outcomes of the QC test for each selected economy. τ indicates the τth quantiles of PUN. The stability of parameters is described by the coefficients of supremum norm (α and δ) taken from Eq. (3).

The results of the QC test indicate that the long-run linkage between PUN and CO₂ changes along with the quantile-based distribution of data for each nation. At all levels of significance, α and δ (coefficients) have larger supremum norm estimates compared to critical bounds, demonstrating a vigorous asymmetric long-run connection between PUN and CO₂ in all the countries. Figure 2 depicts

---

Table 2 Descriptive statistics for pandemic uncertainty (PUN) and CO₂

| Variable | Mean | Maximum | Minimum | Std. Dev | J-B Stats | ADF (Level) | ADF(Δ) |
|----------|------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| Panel A: Pandemic Uncertainty (PUN) | | | | | | | |
| China | 9.51 | 227.67 | 0.01 | 31.41 | 3,473.22* | −1.48 | −4.33* |
| USA | 0.72 | 13.78 | 0.00 | 2.52 | 850.08* | −1.97 | −5.80* |
| India | 2.32 | 65.05 | 0.00 | 10.53 | 2,715.74* | −1.76 | −5.82* |
| Russia | 0.71 | 15.73 | 0.00 | 2.68 | 1,097.87* | −1.68 | −5.05* |
| Japan | 4.02 | 115.88 | 0.00 | 18.51 | 2,900.06* | −1.38 | −5.87* |
| Germany | 0.28 | 20.21 | 0.00 | 2.18 | 2,743.67* | −1.54 | −5.62* |
| Iran | 0.28 | 18.71 | 0.00 | 2.09 | 17,338.90* | −0.93 | −6.78* |
| South Korea | 4.19 | 108.76 | 0.00 | 15.03 | 3,657.06* | −2.76* | −5.56** |
| Saudi Arabia | 3.52 | 119.60 | 0.00 | 15.57 | 55.40* | −1.49 | −4.62* |
| Indonesia | 6.86 | 104.12 | 0.00 | 19.58 | 12.81 | −1.71 | −4.82** |

Panel B: CO₂ Emissions (kt)

| Variable | Mean | Maximum | Minimum | Std. Dev | J-B Stats | ADF (Level) | ADF(Δ) |
|----------|------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| China | 5,754,676.87 | 10,381,826.76 | 2,585,790.43 | 2,892,261.20 | 12.56* | −1.72 | −5.65* |
| USA | 5,357,918.87 | 5,788,726.75 | 4,820,876.70 | 292,487.86 | 18.06* | −5.36* | −6.25* |
| India | 1,546,990 | 1,666,860 | 1,418,510 | 68,524.78 | 15.08* | −1.98 | −3.97* |
| Russia | 1,330,406.78 | 2,407,672.45 | 658,189.46 | 543,189.90 | 10.10* | −1.82 | −4.15* |
| Japan | 795,133.05 | 902,750.87 | 709,540.87 | 52,022.65 | 5.90* | −1.90 | −3.75** |
| Germany | 516,625.87 | 630,870.72 | 365,676.90 | 80,013.76 | 2.39* | −1.91 | −3.75** |
| South Korea | 476,432.76 | 629,290.65 | 420,240.76 | 121,975.75 | 2.36* | −1.68 | −4.48* |
| Saudi Arabia | 386,495.54 | 583,110.76 | 234,480.75 | 99,261.76 | 1.70* | −1.67 | −4.47* |
| Indonesia | 374,211.98 | 561,140.87 | 214,930.86 | 123,345.87 | 4.40* | −4.32* | −6.75* |

*indicate the level of significance at 1% and 5%, respectively.

Table 3 Correlation between pandemic uncertainty (PUN) and CO₂

| Country | Correlation | t-Statistics | p-value |
|---------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| China | −0.84 | −10.72* | 0.00 |
| USA | −0.68 | −8.61* | 0.00 |
| India | −0.67 | −7.82* | 0.00 |
| Russia | −0.84 | −11.29* | 0.00 |
| Japan | −0.73 | −7.43* | 0.00 |
| Germany | −0.79 | −25.31* | 0.00 |
| Iran | −0.52 | −2.80* | 0.00 |
| South Korea | −0.75 | −4.06* | 0.00 |
| Saudi Arabia | −0.76 | −5.34* | 0.00 |
| Indonesia | −0.73 | −4.16* | 0.00 |

** indicates the level of significance at 1%
the slope estimations for the top ten polluted economies, illustrating the relationship among the \( \theta \)th quantile of PUN and the \( \tau \)th quantiles of CO\(_2\) for various values of \( \theta \) and \( \tau \).

For China and USA, a vigorous and inverse linkage between PUN and CO\(_2\) exists between the large numbers of quantiles of both variables. It explains that PUN upgrades ENQ in these countries at different levels of PUN and CO\(_2\). The outcomes align with the empirical study of Myllyvirta (2020), who observed that COVID-19 reduced CO\(_2\) in China. However, such negative linkage becomes insignificant among the regions, which integrates the low quantiles of PUN (0.05–0.30) with the total quantiles of CO\(_2\) in the USA. This relationship turns into a strong positive association among the areas, which connects the middle quantiles of PUN with all CO\(_2\) quantiles in both countries. This pattern recommends that pandemic-related uncertainty in China and USA deteriorates ENQ during moderate levels of PUN. In Iran, the negative effect of PUN on CO\(_2\) is dominant. The strong negative linkage between PUN and CO\(_2\) is present in the area, which joins the lower-middle to upper-middle quantiles of PUN (0.45–0.80) with all the quantiles of CO\(_2\) (0.05–0.95). This explicitly strong inverse association in periods of healthy growth of PUN implies that the PUN improves the ENQ by minimizing the amount of CO\(_2\) during moderate levels of PUN. The study is consistent with the outcomes of Yazdani et al. (2021), who observed the same outcomes in Iran that COVID-19 improved ENQ. However, this relation becomes strong and positive between the small zones, which merge the low quantiles of PUN (0.05–0.20) with entire CO\(_2\) quantiles. It shows that CO\(_2\) is significantly enhanced when pandemic-related uncertainty is low. Moreover, the PUN-CO\(_2\) relationship becomes weak and negative among the zones, which unite the mid–low and high quantiles of PUN (0.25–0.35 & 0.85–0.95) with all quantiles of CO\(_2\).

In Indonesia, a substantial proportion of quantiles of PUN and CO\(_2\) show an inverse association with each other. A strong negative association is found among the areas, which join the low to upper-middle quantiles of PUN (0.05–0.65) with overall CO\(_2\) quantiles (0.05–0.95). According to the findings, PUN seems to be a cause of improved environment by reducing CO\(_2\), particularly during periods of healthy PUN. The low to medium–low quantiles of CO\(_2\) exhibit a feeble inverse relation with the moderate–high quantiles of PUN (0.70–0.90). Hartono et al. (2021) also observed the same findings about Indonesia that COVID-19 pandemic increased ENQ. Furthermore, the top quantiles of PUN (0.90–0.95) are positively and strongly linked with bottom quantiles of CO\(_2\) (0.05–0.15), indicating that PUN deteriorates ENQ when

| Countries | Coefficients | Sup, \(|V_{d}(\tau)|\) | CV1 | CV5 | CV10 |
|-----------|--------------|-------------------------|-----|-----|------|
| China PUN vs. CO\(_2\) | \( \alpha \) | 68,590.87 | 58,350.36 | 57,319.27 | 54,881.72 |
| | \( \delta \) | 2455.69 | 1497.21 | 1431.10 |
| USA PUN vs. CO\(_2\) | \( \alpha \) | 8319.21 | 5281.23 | 3138.08 | 2534.30 |
| | \( \delta \) | 176.63 | 105.46 | 52.83 | 39.36 |
| India PUN vs. CO\(_2\) | \( \alpha \) | 9381.06 | 7205.07 | 5907.70 | 2624.08 |
| | \( \delta \) | 252.60 | 166.45 | 129.58 | 99.15 |
| Russia PUN vs. CO\(_2\) | \( \alpha \) | 1243.78 | 938.70 | 206.70 |
| | \( \delta \) | 785.80 | 587.91 | 499.90 | 379.73 |
| Japan PUN vs. CO\(_2\) | \( \alpha \) | 8754.56 | 6711.19 | 499.90 | 379.73 |
| | \( \delta \) | 398.16 | 202.68 | 103.07 | 99.18 |
| Germany PUN vs. CO\(_2\) | \( \alpha \) | 7118.35 | 3493.13 | 2228.30 |
| | \( \delta \) | 607.41 | 302.86 | 119.13 |
| Iran PUN vs. CO\(_2\) | \( \alpha \) | 539.45 | 326.35 | 238.57 |
| | \( \delta \) | 287.90 | 197.84 | 99.37 |
| South Korea PUN vs. CO\(_2\) | \( \alpha \) | 6238.61 | 5680.90 | 3788.70 |
| | \( \delta \) | 3270.90 | 2186.70 | 1584.41 |
| Saudi Arabia PUN vs. CO\(_2\) | \( \alpha \) | 1836.73 | 1541.76 | 999.83 |
| | \( \delta \) | 946.77 | 491.75 | 346.88 |
| Indonesia PUN vs. CO\(_2\) | \( \alpha \) | 3932.98 | 3767.24 | 203.95 |
| | \( \delta \) | 161.78 | 48.09 | 47.76 |

The t-statistics for QC are computed using an evenly spaced grid of 19 quantiles (0.05–0.95). The parameters’ supremum norm estimations, as well as the critical values at the 1%, 5%, and 10% levels, are also provided, marked by CV1, CV5, and CV10, respectively.
Fig. 2 Quantile-on-Quantile (QQ) estimations of the slope coefficient $\alpha_1(\theta, \tau)$ Impact of Pandemic Uncertainty (PUN) on CO$_2$ Emissions (CO$_2$)

| i) China | ii). USA |
|---|---|
| ![Graph](image1) | ![Graph](image2) |
| iii). India | iv). Russia |
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| v). Japan | vi). Germany |
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| vii). Iran | viii). South Korea |
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| ix). Saudi Arabia | x). Indonesia |
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Note: The slope coefficient estimations $\alpha_1(\theta, \tau)$ are plotted on the z-axis versus the quantiles of PUN on the x-axis and the quantiles of CO$_2$ on the y-axis.
there is a shallow level of pandemic-related uncertainty. For India, a vigorous but inverse association is found among the segments, which join the mid to high quantiles of PUN (0.50–0.95) with lower-middle to top quantiles of CO2 (0.40–0.95). It indicates that PUN improves ENQ by mitigating CO2 during rising PUN and CO2. The results align with Khan et al. (2021), who also found a positive pandemic-ENQ association for India. Moreover, a positive relationship is also detected among the localities, which unit the lower quantiles of both PUN and CO2 (0.05–0.40). This direct association between PUN and CO2 suggests that pandemic-related uncertainty deteriorates ENQ during periods of low PUN and CO2.

In Russia and South Korea, the negative impact prevails among the substantial quantiles of both PUN and CO2. A negative and strong correlation between the quantiles of both the variables is found, which connects all the quantiles of PUN with lower to medium quantiles of CO2 (0.05–0.50) in Russia and low to lower-middle quantiles of CO2 in South Korea (0.05–0.40). This very apparent negative association shows that PUN causes a marked decline in CO2 in Russia and South Korea for the duration of lower PUN. A weak negative PUN-CO2 correlation is also detected among the localities that integrate entire quantiles of PUN with moderate to higher CO2 quantiles (0.55–0.95) in Russia and lower-middle to high CO2 quantiles (0.45–0.95) in South Korea, implying that PUN has an insignificant or weak correlation with CO2 during the periods of rising CO2. The dominance of the positive influence of PUN on ENQ is persistent with the works of Khan et al. (2021) and Anser et al. (2021).

In Saudi Arabia, the localities that unite the lower to mid quantiles of PUN (0.05–0.50) with the linkage across the overall CO2 quantiles imply a powerful and negative association between PUN and CO2. This very apparent inverse relationship shows that PUN produces a marked decline in CO2 during periods of low to moderate PUN. A weak negative PUN-CO2 correlation is also detected among the localities that join the medium to top quantiles of PUN (0.50–0.95) with all CO2 quantiles, implying that PUN possesses an insignificant linkage with CO2 in the rising times of PUN. In Germany, the inverse effect prevails among the substantial quantiles of both PUN and CO2. It shows that PUN increases ENQ in these countries at different levels of PUN and CO2. However, this negative link turns into a positive among the regions, which unites the upper-middle to top quantiles of PUN (0.70–0.95) with medium–low to medium quantiles of CO2 (0.30–0.50). It implies that pandemic-related uncertainty degrades ENQ when PUN levels are high and CO2 levels are low.

Contrary to the above results, Japan shows a mixed linkage between PUN and CO2. There is a strong positive relation between the sections connecting the overall PUN quantiles and the bottom to moderate CO2 quantiles (0.05–0.60). The finding suggests that when pollution rises from the bottom to the top, the pandemic-related uncertainty harms the environment by increasing CO2. The positive pandemic-CO2 emissions association complies with the study of Zand & Heir (2021). However, a highly negative linkage between PUN and CO2 is also found in places where the overall PUN quantiles are combined with the medium–high CO2 quantiles (0.65–0.95). It displays that PUN is reducing pollution in Japan during high CO2 levels. The negative PUN-CO2 relation is also confirmed by the previous empirical works of Watts & Kommenda (2020) and Muhammad et al. (2020).

The results shown in Fig. 2 are summarised in Table 5, which shows the linkage among various quantiles of PUN and CO2 for our economies. A strong negative correlation between PUN and CO2 is predominant in all economies except Japan, which shows a mixed relationship between PUN and CO2.

The QQ estimations can be matched to the QR estimations to check if they are equivalent. Figure 3 verifies the preceding conclusions of the QQ method. The graphs illustrate that for all sample economies, the average QQ estimations of the slope coefficients follow the same trend as the QR estimates.

Figure 3 depicts the heterogeneity of PUN and CO2 in the sample countries. The sizes of coefficients show that the effect of PUN on CO2 is substantially larger in India, Germany, and South Korea and lower in Russia and Saudi Arabia.

5.3 Discussion of results

Overall, the results express a positive correlation between PUN and ENQ in the majority of the sample economies. Our study’s empirical findings support our hypothesis, as do other studies such as Anser et al. (2021), which suggest that pandemics have a favorable impact on ENQ. The discovery of substantial and negative PUN coefficients provides support to earlier policy pronouncements relating to the COP21 energy day, emphasizing the importance of reducing GHG emissions. Our findings can be compared to early estimates of how the COVID-19 pandemic affected CO2, as well as stringent regulations enacted by governments in reaction to the pandemic. Estimates based on near-real-time monitoring data compiled by the Carbon Monitor initiatives (https://carbonmonitor.org/) reveal that global emissions fell by 6.2% in 2020, with significant country-specific heterogeneity (Liu et al. 2020). The findings are also endorsed by the empirical works of Watts and Kommenda (2020) and Muhammad et al. (2020). The

8 See Brzezinski (2021), Tian et al. (2021), and Gherheș et al. (2021)
findings are partially consonant with those of Khan et al. (2021) for India, Hartono et al. (2021) for Indonesia, and similarly Myllyvirta (2020) for China, who contend that PUN improves ENQ. The findings also support the theory that pandemic-related CO₂ reductions are caused by a contraction in economic activities, which is either caused by the health crisis themselves or by government interventions that restrict industrial and human activities in order to combat the pandemic.

However, in Japan, we found a mixed relationship between PUN and ENQ in a substantial proportion of quantiles, which could be attributed to unique characteristics such as population, growth tendencies, technological progress, and trade cycles. This is in line with the outcomes of Brzezinski (2021). Overall, current estimates of the impact of pandemic-related uncertainty on CO₂ are very similar to those derived from prior pandemics. The cumulative drop in GHG emissions connected with COVID-19 is anticipated to be short and will disappear in 2022 or 2023, whereas pandemic-related uncertainty is likely to have a somewhat bigger coverage than previous pandemics considered on an individual basis. It is also worth noting that our estimations are extremely close to those obtained for the impact of pandemics (i.e. COVID-19) on economic growth (Salisu et al. 2020; Song & Zhou 2020), energy use (Qin et al. 2020), and the stock market (Sharif et al. 2020). The variations in the PUN effect between sample economies may be expressed by our sample countries’ varying economic circumstances. South Korea and China, for instance, stand out from the other sample economies in terms of population, technology, and growth tendencies. Overlooking such a type of heterogeneity in an economy may result in incorrect results. The slope coefficients of PUN and CO₂ differ among countries, indicating that the PUN-Environment link is not consistent across discrete lower and higher quantiles and related to the volumes and indications of

Table 5 Summary of Findings (Association b/w Various Quantiles of PUN and CO₂)

| Countries | Quantiles of PUN | Quantiles of CO₂ | Association b/w quantiles | Dominant association |
|-----------|------------------|------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| China     | Significant number of quantiles | Significant number of quantiles | Strong and negative | Strong and negative |
|           | Middle quantiles | Overall quantiles  | Strong and positive | Strong and negative |
| USA       | Significant number of quantiles | Significant number of quantiles | Strong and negative | Strong and negative |
|           | Middle quantiles | Overall quantiles  | Strong and positive | Strong and negative |
|           | Lower quantiles | Entire quantiles   | Weak and negative | Strong and negative |
| India     | Middle to high quantiles | Lower-middle to top quantiles | Strong and negative | Strong and negative |
|           | Lower quantiles | Lower quantiles    | Strong and positive | Strong and negative |
| Russia    | Entire quantiles | Lower to middle quantiles | Strong and negative | Strong and negative |
|           | Entire quantiles | Middle to top quantiles | Weak and negative | Strong and negative |
| Japan     | Overall quantiles | Lower to upper-middle quantiles | Strong and positive | Mixed |
|           | Overall quantiles | Upper-middle to higher quantiles | Strong and positive | Strong and negative |
| Germany   | A significant number of quantiles | A significant number of quantiles | Strong and negative | Strong and negative |
|           | Upper-middle to top quantiles | Lower-middle to moderate quantiles | Weak and negative | Strong and negative |
| Iran      | Lower-middle to upper-middle quantiles | Overall quantiles | Strong and negative | Strong and negative |
|           | Lower quantiles | Entire quantiles    | Strong and positive | Strong and negative |
|           | Lower-middle quantiles | Entire quantiles    | Weak and negative | Strong and negative |
| South Korea | Entire quantiles | Low to lower-mid quantiles | Strong and negative | Strong and negative |
|           | Entire quantiles | medium–low to higher quantiles | Weak and negative |
| Saudi Arabia | Medium to top quantiles | Entire quantiles | Strong and negative | Strong and negative |
| Indonesia | Medium–high to high quantiles | Entire quantiles | Weak and negative | Strong and negative |
|           | Low to upper-middle quantiles | Entire quantiles | Strong and negative | Strong and negative |
|           | Medium–high quantiles | Lower to lower-middle quantiles | Weak and negative | Strong and positive |
|           | Top quantiles | Bottom quantiles    | Strong and positive | Strong and positive |
Checking the Robustness of the QQ Approach by Comparing QR and QQ Regression Estimations

i). China

iii). India

v). Japan

vii). Iran

ix). Saudi Arabia

ii). USA

iv). Russia

vi). Germany

viii). South Korea

x). Indonesia

Note: The estimations of the conventional parameters of QR and the averaged parameters of QQ are indicated against different CO₂ quantiles.
The 2030 Agenda includes a number of SDG targets to address poverty and ensure sustainable development by 2030. The COVID-19, we believe, will have an instant influence on the bulk of these goals, which are intimately tied to metropolitan areas and health. As a result, the Paris Agreement and the SDGs connected to the sustainability of the environment must be implemented in accordance with vulnerabilities and resistance to global PUN. Industrial and human activities that are harmful to environmental sustainability should be reduced. The governments should establish stringent environmental regulations to restrict the generation of GHG from industrial activities. Fines and levies should be placed on firms that damage the environment the most, and the income from these penalties should be used to fund public pollution-control activities.

National leaders and multilateral agencies are being urged to take action to halt the rising trend in global CO2 and disconnect the linkage between CO2 and growth. There are a growing number of new options for the development of cost-effective renewable energies’ techniques. As people are isolated in their homes as a result of the present pandemic, typical everyday activities have certainly taken online, transforming face-to-face business meetings and classroom-based education becomes fully virtual. Hence, many educational institutes of the world have shifted to online learning, and businesses and organizations have turned to online meetings and remote working. Furthermore, there are reasons to expect that remote working, e-learning, teleconference, and other types of distance learning will be more popular as people grow more comfortable with these practices in the post-COVID-19 world. As long as people share the same planet, no one can be fully safe from the indirect consequences of such calamities in other regions of the world. In the meanwhile, no country is safe from the devastating implications of climate change until immediate concerted action is adopted.

International exchanges and collaboration are critical for resolving the crisis produced by pandemics such as COVID-19. Different countries actively respond to pandemics based on their own national circumstances. Developed and developing countries must share and exchange knowledge, learn from one another, and complement one another’s advantages. Under-developed countries can use rich countries’ technology advantages to assist scientists, policymakers, and health professionals in better understanding the changes in environmental conditions during pandemics. Countries can benefit from one another’s expertise and resources in pandemics and environmental research in order to develop a stable and scientific research cooperation team capable of responding to pandemic concerns and promoting environmental sustainability. To encourage sustainable growth, policymakers should focus more on designing policies with multilateral...
environmental restrictions while also focusing on R&D investment and implementing rigorous environmental regulations.

To summarize, we agree with Hale and Leduc (2020) that major and continuous changes in human activities that generate a rise in CO₂ may make it impossible to minimize pollution in the long run considerably. However, the lesson acquired from the COVID-19 pandemic can assist politicians and communities take suitable steps to reduce CO₂ in the long run. Utilizing the insights learned during the COVID-19 epidemic, there are chances to apply solutions to reduce pollution. We must recognize that this is not the first or last pandemic to which humans will be exposed as a result of undermining environmental and wildlife protection. To avoid future pandemics, a sustainable environment must be achieved, and the lessons learned from COVID-19 on GHG emissions should help guide this effort.

Finally, there are a few limitations to this study that will pave the path for future research in this field. We excluded many GHG emissions (such as N₂O, SO₂, and CH₄) and ecological footprints due to the missing data set. Future studies can use these indicators to see how the outcomes alter across various environmental indicators. Furthermore, the effect of other types of uncertainties, such as overall uncertainty, policy uncertainty and trade uncertainty, on CO₂ can be studied in future research. Another significant limitation of this investigation is the use of the QQ approach, which does not allow for the inclusion of extra control variables that affect the impact of PUN on ENQ. As a result, in the future study, our empirical model could be improved by using multivariate methodologies (such as Quantile ARDL) to better grasp the nexus with the more independent variables.
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