Contribution of gas adsorption–desorption in Marcellus shale for different fractured well configurations
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Abstract
Gas adsorption onto the shale system carries significant importance in accurately forecasting gas production and estimating underground reserves. For the Marcellus shale system, the adsorption of gas also plays a critical role in ultimate recovery and overall reserves quantification. Yet, the effect of different adsorption characteristics in different Marcellus shale regions is not systematically analyzed together. In this study, the contribution of different gas adsorption phenomena in Marcellus shale for different fractured well configurations is investigated. The objective is to understand the reservoir phenomena under various adsorption characteristics and well design. For this analysis, a mechanistic Marcellus shale model under confining stresses is numerically simulated with the available literature data. After that, six samples containing adsorption characteristics of different Marcellus shale regions are taken from the literature and specified in the model for accurately defining the adsorption physics in the shale system. In the end, two different well configurations including the fractured vertical and horizontal well are specified in the model separately to analyze the impact of gas desorption on production response. The analysis indicates that the gas desorption improves the overall gas production by a maximum of 5% in a single-stage multi-clustered fractured horizontal well. In addition, the effect of desorption is found to be minimal during initial flow periods, and considerable at longer flow periods. Additionally, the gas desorption is found to be more responsive towards high surface area and large fracture networks. Finally, it is determined that a fractured horizontal well is a viable option that allows high gas desorption in Marcellus shale. This study, hence, aids widely in deciding better production strategies based on adsorption characteristics for producing Marcellus shale.
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Introduction
The shale reservoirs are gaining significant attention over the past few decades because of their large hydrocarbon resources worldwide. Such reservoirs have a prominent characteristic of behaving both as a reservoir and source rock (Gomaa et al. 2014; Yu and Sepehrnoori 2014a). Out of many shale resources, Marcellus shale (a Devonian black shale) is one of the significant gas producers in the United States (refer to Fig. 1). It is located in the Appalachian Basin and spread across Pennsylvania, Ohio, New York, Maryland, Virginia, and West Virginia (Bartuska et al. 2012; Yildirim et al. 2019; Yu and Sepehrnoori 2014b). This resource has a total area of 100,000 miles², the thickness in the range of 50–200 ft, and the depth is around 4000–8500 ft. The total organic content (TOC) of this resource is between 5 and 30% with the average porosity in the range of 6–8% (Glorioso and Rattia 2012; Yu and Sepehrnoori 2014b). In addition, the reservoir temperature of Marcellus shale is about 140 °F with bottom-hole pressure extending up to 6000 psi. The original gas in place (OGIP) and the technically recoverable gas of this resource are about 1500 TCF and 141 TCF respectively (Yu and Sepehrnoori 2014b).

Geologically, the shale gas in the rock matrix exists as the:

a. Adsorbed gas onto the kerogen and rock surface
b. Free gas in the natural fractures and rock structure

This adsorbed and free gas highly contribute to the recovery potential of these resources (Holditch et al. 2007). Generally,
in a shale matrix, there are four types of pores media i.e. inorganic matrix, organic matrix, hydraulic fractures, and naturally existing fractures (Wang and Reed 2009). In addition, it has ultra-low permeability and low porosity with different other mechanisms such as gas adsorption, gas absorption, gas slippage, and non-Darcy flow, etc. All these parameters significantly affect the gas production and underground reserves evaluation in a variety of ways (Eshkalak et al. 2013, 2014; Lin et al. 2020; Lin and Zhao 2021; Syed et al. 2020a, b, 2021b; Wang et al. 2019; Zhang et al. 2019; Memon et al. 2020, 2021).

Due to the complex matrix structure with low permeabilities and porosities, the shale gas is trapped inside the nano-pores and hence the flow is highly restricted. To produce gas from such a complex system, an interconnected fracture system with extended wells is required (Ayers et al. 2012; Sprunger et al. 2021; Syed et al. 2021a; Zhang et al. 2020). Horizontal drilling, enhanced oil recovery, and hydraulic fracturing are some of the technologies implemented to recover unconventional hydrocarbon reservoirs (Muther et al. 2021, 2022; Syed et al. 2011, 2021a; Yue et al. 2020). Specifically, the horizontal well coupled with multi-staged hydraulic fractures has been used quite extensively in enhancing the permeabilities of ultra-tight Marcellus shale matrix (Yu and Sepehrnoori 2014b). Without the horizontal wells and hydraulic fractures, the production of shale gas is economically not justifiable because of not achieving economically feasible production (Bajus 2014; Mengal and Wattenbarger 2011; Muther et al. 2020a, b; Syed et al. 2022).

As shale resources have such a complex system, therefore, the success of any shale gas project relies heavily on defining the appropriate physics. As mentioned earlier, various mechanisms control the gas flow in the shale matrix. However, out of those mechanisms, the study of gas adsorption is very critical because of its high impact on production forecasting and reserve evaluation. Therefore, the adsorption value must be estimated accurately through the experiments (Eshkalak et al. 2013, 2014). Generally, the adsorption is defined through Langmuir isotherm as a function of gas reservoir pressure. The adsorbed gas in terms of Langmuir correlation can be written as:

$$G_s = \frac{V_L P}{P + P_L}$$  

(1)

Here $G_s$ represents the gas content (scf/ton), $V_L$ and $P_L$ represent Langmuir volume (scf/ton) and Langmuir pressure (psi) respectively, while $P$ represents the reservoir pressure (psi). The Langmuir volume is defined as the total or maximum gas adsorbed volume by the shale matrix at infinite pressure. In addition, the Langmuir pressure (also termed as critical desorption pressure) is the pressure measured at which half of the $V_L$ can be adsorbed. Generally, higher $P_L$ releases more adsorbed gas and higher $V_L$ represents high adsorbed gas. Also, the gas is desorbed from the shale matrix with decreasing reservoir pressure (Tiab and Donaldson 2016; Yu and Sepehrnoori 2013, 2014a).

Different literature studies analyzed the gas adsorption impact on shale gas recovery. For instance, Cipolla et al. (2009) studied the adsorption contribution in Marcellus and Barnett shale gas recovery for 30 years and concluded an increase of 5–15% of recovery due to adsorption. In addition, they also concluded that the desorption contributes to later periods of production depending on fracture spacing, reservoir permeability, and flowing bottom hole pressure. Similarly, Thompson et al. (2011) reported an increase in 17% ultimate gas recovery over 30 years due to gas desorption in the Marcellus shale with a well consisting of 12 stages of hydraulic fractures. In another work, Yu and Sepehrnoori (2014a) evaluated five US shale producers i.e. Barnett, Eagle Ford, New Albany, Marcellus, and Haynesville, and concluded an increase of over 20% EUR in Marcellus shale due to the gas desorption. The producer well in the study had 70 clusters of fractures. Moreover, Yu and Sepehrnoori (2014b), studied another Marcellus shale region having a well with 18 stages of hydraulic fractures and observed an increase in cumulative gas production (around 10%) due to the gas desorption. Likewise, Seales et al. (2016) observed an increase in shale gas production in the range of 1.5–5.5% in Marcellus shale over 20 years due to gas desorption. Nevertheless, most of the aforementioned work studied the gas adsorption–desorption contribution on a single Marcellus shale rock isotherm value with no discussion on other Marcellus shale regions. Additionally, the considered well in those works include multi-clustered fractures with horizontal well. Therefore, a comprehensive analysis of different Marcellus shale regions along with other different well configurations is also required.

This study focuses on understanding the contribution of different gas adsorption phenomena in Marcellus shale for different fractured well configurations. To achieve this
objective, a mechanistic Marcellus shale model is numerically generated with the available literature data. In addition, various adsorption characteristics (obtained through different experiments conducted in literature) of Marcellus shale are collected and the comparison of Marcellus shale production based on those adsorption characteristics is performed. Additionally, two different well configurations including the fractured vertical well and multi-fractured horizontal well are considered to study the impact of gas desorption on production performance.

Methodology

The objective of this work is to analyze the impact of adsorption on the Marcellus shale reservoir with different well configurations. A mechanistic model of the Marcellus shale reservoir is numerically generated for the aforementioned objective. The methodology of the presented work (refer to Fig. 2) is summarized into following portions:

a. Model Generation
   i. Specifying the reservoir features including the rock properties and geometry
   ii. Specifying the SCAL and PVT information
   iii. Specifying different adsorption characteristics
   iv. Defining the well features including hydraulic fractures

b. Model Prediction
   i. Estimation and comparison of shale gas production performance with different adsorption characteristics
   ii. Assessment of production responses of fractured horizontal and vertical well

Reservoir features

An area of around 8.6 acres with 130 ft of reservoir height, 1500 ft width, and 250 ft length of the Marcellus shale portion was numerically generated. The considered well in the base model is horizontal with four fracture clusters in a single stage. In addition, the reservoir has two layers with different porosities i.e. the upper layer is 30 ft with 7.1% of porosity, while, the lower layer is 100 ft with 14.1% porosity. Additionally, a few null blocks are taken in the reservoir grid for accurate reserve determination. The null blocks were considered based on the pressure transient reaching the boundaries. Furthermore, several other reservoir characteristics are presented in Table 1. Moreover, the mechanistic model is shown in Fig. 3.

It is recognized that the depletion of reservoir pressure results in a significant increase in net stresses. This mechanism causes a reduction in reservoir rock permeability and porosity. Such effect is modeled in this mechanistic model through permeability and porosity multipliers with changing pore pressure. The permeability multiplier for this study
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is collected from a recent study (El Sgher et al. 2018). The behavior of the defined permeabilities under stress versus the pore pressure is shown in Fig. 4. In addition, the porosities are determined through the permeabilities values through Kozeny-Carman (KC) model (Lala 2018). The KC model can be written as:

\[
k = 10^9 \frac{d^2 \phi^3}{727 \phi^3 (1-\phi)^2}
\]

where \(d\) represents average grain size (diameter) in mm, \(k\) represents permeability (md), \(\phi\) represents porosity.

The determined porosities are then normalized to be input in the mechanistic model. The calculated porosities with their multipliers versus pore pressures are plotted in Fig. 5.

### PVT and rock-fluid features

In this study, the PVT properties are determined through the gas-specific gravity value. The gas-specific gravity is considered to be around 0.58 with 130°F of reservoir temperature (Yu et al. 2016). In addition, the gas–water PVT model is considered to develop fluid properties under various pressure conditions. The calculated values of gas viscosity (\(\mu_g\))

| Properties             | Values          |
|------------------------|-----------------|
| Reservoir porosity     | 7.1–14.1%       |
| Reservoir permeability | 65 nD           |
| Initial water saturation | 10%          |
| Total compressibility  | $3 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| Reservoir pressure     | 5100 psi        |

Table 1 Reservoir Characteristics (El Sgher et al. 2018; Jia et al. 2017; Yu et al. 2016)
and gas expansion factor ($E_g$) are plotted in Figs. 6 and 7 respectively. In addition, the rock-fluid properties i.e. capillary pressure and gas–water relative permeability curve are taken from the literature (Seales et al. 2016) and are plotted in Figs. 8 and 9 respectively. In this Marcellus shale model, the low initial water saturation is assumed and therefore the impact of capillary pressure will be negligible.

**Adsorption curves**

To incorporate the adsorption–desorption behavior in the mechanistic model, the Langmuir isotherm curves are used in this study. To investigate the adsorption effect for the samples of different Marcellus shale regions, various laboratory-measured isotherms are taken from the literature. A summary of adsorption characteristics is presented in Table 2. Based on these characteristics, the adsorption curves are generated through Eq. 1 and plotted in Fig. 10.

**Well features**

The base well model, in this study, consists of a horizontal well which is placed in the lower portion of the mechanistic
The well includes 4 evenly spaced fracture clusters in a single fracturing stage (refer to Fig. 11). In addition, due to the transient flow near the wellbore and the fractures, the local grid refinement (LGR) with logarithmic cell spacings is utilized. Also, non-Darcy flow is specified in the fractures based on the Forchheimer number and its weighting factor. A summary of different well features is provided in Table 3.

For fractured-vertical well cases, similar fracture properties are considered. In addition, a total of five fractures, each with 20 ft height (a cumulative height of 100 ft) is developed to replicate the 100 ft height in the lower region of the mechanistic model (refer to Fig. 12).

**Cases description**

After specifying the mechanistic reservoir model properties, a detailed analysis for understanding the adsorption behavior of samples taken from different Marcellus shale regions has been conducted. The analysis has been performed on the following responses in order to develop a detailed insight into the adsorption mechanism:

i. Analysis on pressure change
ii. Analysis on gas saturation variation
iii. Comparison of adsorbed gas volumes
iv. Assessment of gas rates
v. Assessment of cumulative gas production
vi. Assessment of gas recovery factor

In addition, the fractured vertical well response is also analyzed so that the desorption contribution to such well configuration can be understood.

**Results and discussion**

In order to analyze the influence of adsorption–desorption, the reservoir was produced at the sand face pressure limit of 1000 psi for 30 years. In addition, the gas rate is constrained at 10 MMSCF/D. The behavior of the reservoir is analyzed on the basis of pressure change response, gas production
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![Fig. 10](image-url) **Langmuir isotherms based on adsorption characteristics**

| Case | $V_L$ (Scf/ton) | $P_L$ (psi) | Reference |
|------|----------------|-------------|-----------|
| 1    | 28.3           | 741.5       | Jia et al. (2017) |
| 2    | 54             | 240         | El Sgher et al. (2021) |
| 3    | 115            | 673         | Seales et al. (2016) |
| 4    | 196.4          | 535         | Yu et al. (2016) |
| 5    | 160.3          | 1240        |           |
| 6    | 100.6          | 1144        |           |
response including the gas recovery, gas rates, and cumulative production, and adsorbed volume change over time. Furthermore, a comparison of adsorption cases with no adsorption case has been made. It should be noted that the results presented from “Analysis of pressure change” through “Assessment of gas recovery factor” sections include a horizontal well containing 4-clusters single-stage fracture.

**Analysis of pressure change**

The depletion of reservoir pressure of all the cases over time is presented in Fig. 13. The figure shows that the pressure depletions are approximately similar in all cases representing the effect of ultra-low permeability in the Marcellus shale reservoir. Additionally, the behavior of pressure change over the spatial region for 1, 5, and 30 years is shown in Fig. 14. As seen in Fig. 14i, the pressure transient has concentrated around the fractures and not reached the boundaries of the reservoir indicating that the reservoir is in the transient flow regime. As the reservoir is produced for 5 years, the flow regime is changed to apparent boundary dominated flow (transitional flow regime) with the top and bottom reservoir boundaries reached by the pressure transient (refer to Fig. 14ii). At the end of 30 years, all the defined reservoir boundaries are contacted by the pressure transient (refer to Fig. 14iii). This concludes that the pressure transient reaches the reservoir boundaries after long flow periods in Marcellus shale, however, producing such reservoir for such a long period is neither economically nor physically justifiable. It should be noted that few of the reservoir grid blocks are changed to null blocks to estimate the reserves accurately. Otherwise, the left and right reservoir boundaries are geologically bigger.

**Analysis on gas saturation variation**

In addition to the pressure response, the gas saturation variation for all the cases within the time domain of 1, 5, and 30 years is shown in Fig. 15. Initially, the gas saturation in the reservoir was about 90%. As seen in Fig. 15, most of the reservoir portion remains unswept with a small gas recovery near the fractured area at the end of 1st year of production. After 5 years of production, the gas saturation is significantly decreased. Similarly, after 30 years, the saturation of gas is decreased further, and this saturation effect is reached to the defined reservoir boundaries. This response is similar to the pressure depletion response of the reservoir, where the reservoir boundaries are reached by the pressure transient.

| Table 3 Well and fracture properties (Yu et al. 2016) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Properties                                      | Values |
| Fracture half-length                           | 400 ft  |
| Fracture conductivity                          | 3.5 md.ft|
| Fracture width                                 | 0.1 inches|
| Fracture height                                | 100 ft   |
| Number of clusters                             | 4        |
| Cluster spacing                                | 50 ft    |
| BHP limit                                      | 1000 psi |
Comparison of adsorbed gas volumes

After defining the adsorption characteristics into the mechanistic model, it has been evaluated that the reservoir gas volumes are increased significantly due to the adsorption of the gas in the shale matrix. Before adsorption, the gas volume was estimated to be 1.3 BSCF that was increased based on different adsorption characteristics of Marcellus shale regions. The different gas adsorbed volume depending on the defined adsorption characteristics is provided in Table 4.

From the details provided in Table 4, it is observed that Case 4 with relatively high adsorbed gas content ($V_L$) has the highest adsorbed gas volume, whereas, Case 1 with low $V_L$ has relatively lower adsorbed gas in the shale matrix. This comparison shows that the $V_L$ is the main controlling factor of adsorbed gas volume in the shale system. Now, since all the cases contain significant volumes of adsorbed gas, therefore, the pressure depletion over time will contribute a significant amount to the production of gas. This behavior is presented in Fig. 16, which indicates that the adsorbed volume decreases over time resulting in the desorption and production of gas.
Assessment of gas rates

The simulated gas rates comparison of all the cases including the no-adsorption and adsorption are presented in Fig. 17 (linear scale) and Fig. 18 (semi-log scale). Similar to the pressure change behavior in the earlier section, the gas rates are also found to be relatively closer to each other. From the zoomed portion in the plots, however, it can be seen that the gas rates of Case 4, Case 5, and Case 6 are slightly higher than the other cases. In general, it can be identified from the gas rate plots that the rates are significantly dropped during the initial production periods from around 10 MMSCF/D to 0.3 MMSCF/D indicating the reservoir is flowing under a transient flow regime. The flow regime is then changed to a pseudo-state regime after one year of production due to the communication of pressure transient with the reservoir boundaries. After reservoir production of over 30 years, the well has been producing in the range of 0.0135–0.015 MMSCF/D. It should be noted that even with moderate numbers of fractures with high fracture half-lengths, the behavior will still be similar i.e. the rates will decline abruptly during

### Table 4 Summary of adsorbed gas volumes for different Marcellus shale adsorption characteristics

| Case | $V_L$ (Scf/ton) | $P_L$ (psi) | Adsorbed gas volume (ft$^3$) | Initial gas volume (ft$^3$) |
|------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1    | 28.3           | 741.5       | 4.82E+07                    | 1.30E+09                    |
| 2    | 54             | 240         | 1.01E+08                    |                             |
| 3    | 115            | 673         | 1.99E+08                    |                             |
| 4    | 196.4          | 535         | 3.49E+08                    |                             |
| 5    | 160.3          | 1240        | 2.52E+08                    |                             |
| 6    | 100.6          | 1144        | 1.62E+08                    |                             |
**Fig. 16** Comparison of adsorbed gas volumes depletion over time

**Fig. 17** Comparison of gas rates of specified adsorption cases (linear scale)

**Fig. 18** Comparison of gas rates of specified adsorption cases (semi-log scale)
the initial years and flow at lower rates later. This behavior is due to the intricate characteristics including the complex physics and nano-pores of the Marcellus shale.

**Assessment of gas cumulative production**

After defining all the complex physics in the mechanistic model of Marcellus shale, the simulation has been carried out to assess the gas cumulative production for 30 years of all the specified cases (refer to Fig. 19). It can be analyzed from the cumulative production curves that the gas adsorption contribution is insignificant i.e. in the range of around 0.2–3% production increase in comparison with the no-adsorption case for the first 5 years. For higher production periods i.e. up to 30 years, the increase in production observed is around 0.4–5% (refer to Fig. 20).

It should be noted that because of the different adsorption characteristics, including the different combinations of volume and pressure, of the samples taken from various Marcellus shale regions, the comprehensive discussion over their comparison and contribution to the production is difficult. In general, although, a conclusion can be established that the gas desorption from the Marcellus shale can contribute around 0.4–5% production increase over 30 years with the specified hydraulically fractured horizontal well configuration.

**Assessment of gas recovery factor**

With the cumulative gas production, the gas recovery factor response is also simulated and presented in Fig. 21. The plot shows that the gas recovery factor of the no-adsorption case is higher than all the adsorption cases. The reason for such a response is that the total gas volume in the shale system gets increased because of the adsorption. Hence, even though the gas production is higher in all the adsorption cases, the recovery factor is lower because of the high total gas in place in the shale system due to the gas adsorption.

**Analysis on fractured vertical well configuration**

Apart from horizontal well configuration, the vertical well with fractures response on gas desorption is also investigated. The simulated results of the production performances i.e. gas production rates, cumulative production, and recovery factors at specified adsorption scenarios are presented in Figs. 22, 23, and 24 respectively. It can be observed from Fig. 23 that the gas desorption from the shale is minimal resulting in low production increments in 30 years i.e. only 2.7% in Case 5, which is the maximum
out of other cases. Case 5 has cumulative production of approximately 0.321 BSCF in comparison to the cumulative production of 0.312 BSCF for the case having no adsorption component. Such observed increment is fairly low, i.e. less than a half, compared to the single-stage hydraulically fractured horizontal well. In addition, the cumulative gas production of a fractured horizontal well, i.e. over 0.76 BSCF, is relatively higher than the fractured vertical configuration, i.e. around 0.321 BSCF. This represents that around 2.35 times higher gas production is obtained through the multi-fractured horizontal well. On the other hand, the gas recovery physics for the fractured vertical well configuration is similar to the case defined earlier i.e. the recovery factor is lower for the cases with adsorption because the gas adsorption increase the total gas in place.

There are multiple reasons for this low gas production including the lower pressure depletion (refer to Fig. 25), and minimal gas drainage (refer to Fig. 26) from the shale system. To obtain the gas production comparable of fractured horizontal well configuration, a total of 4 vertical wells are required to be drilled and fractured that is economically not feasible. The analysis also shows that gas desorption and gas production is higher in large fracture networks (as in the case of single-stage four clusters fractured horizontal well) compared to smaller networks (multi-fractured vertical well). Hence, the multi-clustered horizontal well configuration is most suited in the Marcellus shale that increases the gas production significantly and is also economically viable.
Conclusions

This study focuses on understanding the contribution of different gas adsorption characteristics (rock types) in Marcellus shale for different fractured well configurations. To achieve this objective, a mechanistic Marcellus shale model is numerically generated with the available literature data. In addition, various adsorption characteristics (literature-based experimental results) of Marcellus shale are collected for accurately defining the physics of this shale system. Moreover, the Marcellus shale production based on those adsorption characteristics is assessed. Additionally, two different well configurations including the multi-fractured vertical and horizontal well are considered separately to study the impact of gas desorption on the Marcellus shale production performance. From the numerical analysis, the following conclusions have been made:

1. Gas adsorption–desorption highly affects the gas production and reserves evaluation of the Marcellus shale. Ignoring the gas adsorption–desorption effect during numerical simulation causes the underestimation of gas production and shale reserves estimations.
2. The flow regime in the Marcellus shale includes the transient flow during the initial flow periods which then changes to transitional flow for the rest of the reservoir production life. In addition, the pressure transient is not concentrated to fracture only and reaches the specified
boundaries opposite to the fracture propagation direction.

3. The desorption impact during the initial production periods is insignificant with 0.2–3% production increment in 5 years for different gas adsorption characteristics. After 30 years of production through a single-stage fractured horizontal well, a maximum of 5% production increment is observed because of gas desorption. This concludes that the desorption impact is higher when the reservoir is produced over longer periods which provides sufficient time for the gas to desorb from the complex shale system.

4. Despite the increase in cumulative gas production because of desorption, the gas recovery factor is lower than the no-adsorption case because a significant portion of the gas is still adsorbed onto the shale matrix contributing to overall reserves. In general, with the increase of adsorbed gas reserves due to high Langmuir volume, the recovery factor decreases.

5. The gas production responses are different based on the different gas adsorption characteristics, including the different combinations of volume and pressure, of the samples taken from various Marcellus shale regions. Nevertheless, the general effect of adsorption–desorption is similar, i.e. it primarily increases gas production.

6. In the fractured vertical well configuration, the impact of desorption is marginal, i.e. a maximum of 2.7% increment in cumulative gas production is observed over 30 years in one of the adsorption cases of the Marcellus shale. Comparatively, around 2.35 times higher cumulative gas production is obtained through the single-stage multi-fractured horizontal well. This concludes that the desorption of gas requires a significant surface area and
large fracture networks to contribute highly towards production.
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