Targeting the complexity of Src signalling in the tumour microenvironment of pancreatic cancer: from mechanism to therapy
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Pancreatic cancer, a disease with extremely poor prognosis, has been notoriously resistant to virtually all forms of treatment. The dynamic crosstalk that occurs between tumour cells and the surrounding stroma, frequently mediated by intricate Src/FAK signalling, is increasingly recognised as a key player in pancreatic tumorigenesis, disease progression and therapeutic resistance. These important cues are fundamental for defining the invasive potential of pancreatic tumours, and several components of the Src and downstream effector signalling have been proposed as potent anticancer therapeutic targets. Consequently, numerous agents that block this complex network are being extensively investigated as potential antiinvasive and antimetastatic therapeutic agents for this disease. In this review, we will discuss the latest evidence of Src signalling in PDAC progression, fibrotic response and resistance to therapy. We will examine future opportunities for the development and implementation of more effective combination regimens, targeting key components of the oncogenic Src signalling axis, and in the context of a precision medicine-guided approach.
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Introduction

Our definition of ‘cancer’ is constantly being revised, with the traditional definition of a malignancy derived from epithelial cells now being inapplicable [1]. It is now well recognised that carcinomas are not simply collections of individual clonal tumour cells, but rather comprise a complex environment of distinct cell types including molecularly diverse malignant cells and supporting nontransformed components that promote cancer development, spread and therapeutic resistance [2]. These include resident cancer-associated fibroblasts, pericytes, endothelial cells, adipocytes, nerves and infiltrating immune cells, which through dynamic communication with tumour cells, collectively regulate tumour growth and progression [2].

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is a highly lethal malignancy with a dismal 5-year survival of < 8%, and this statistic has remained largely unchanged for the past 50 years [3,4]. PDAC is the third leading cause of all cancer deaths and is predicted to become the second by 2030 [3], representing a significant burden in the Western society [3–5]. Combination of chemotherapy agents, fluorouracil [5-FU], leucovorin, irinotecan and oxaliplatin (FOLFIRINOX) or gemcitabine and nanoparticle albumin-bound paclitaxel (Abraxane) represent current first-line treatments for advanced PDAC [6–8]. As most recent data indicate, their efficacy may also be of significant benefit in both adjuvant [9] and neoadjuvant settings [10]. However, due to the toxicity associated with multiagent chemotherapy, there is a discernible need for novel, more tailored treatment combinations, as well as the identification of biomarkers to help rationalise treatment selection [5].

PDAC has a high molecular heterogeneity despite being morphologically indistinguishable [11,12]. Characterisation of this complex molecular landscape has revealed key insights into the biology of tumours [11,13,14], enabling us to build upon the traditional anatomical definition of cancer and further includes molecular subtyping or ‘omic’ stratification as a foundation for developing approaches for early detection and improved treatment options [11,15,16], as well as identification of mechanisms of therapeutic resistance [11,12,17]. With new advances in sequencing and analytical methodologies, PDAC has been genomically and transcriptomically characterised to an incredible depth, as reviewed recently [14]. Building on early studies which have identified the 12 key pathways and oncogenes genetically altered in most pancreatic cancers [18], this disease has since been stratified into distinct molecular subtypes using gene expression profiling [17], and comprehensive whole genome sequencing (WGS) approaches [11,12,19]. For example, these analyses have led to the identification of a PDAC subtype characterised by high structural variation (> 200 structural rearrangements per tumour), that may be preferentially sensitive to DNA-damaging agents, including PARP inhibitors and cisplatin [11]. Subsequent integrative analysis of genomic and transcriptomic signatures has further characterised an ‘immunogenic’ subtype in PDAC [12], associated with a significant immune infiltrate, with predominant expression profiles related to infiltrating B and T cells, upregulation of CTLA4 and PD1 immunosuppressive pathways, suggesting that a proportion of PDAC tumours may potentially be targeted with immune-modulating agents. Further work by Connor et al. [19] has described an interesting correlation between signatures that define double-stranded DNA break repair and mismatch repair deficiencies and specific immune profiles in pancreatic cancer, highlighting that similar to other solid cancers [20], a subset of pancreatic cancers with a high mutation burden may present a viable target for immune-modulating combination therapies.

Moreover, comprehensive genomic and transcriptomic studies in more frequently occurring cancers, such as breast cancer, have not only transformed and improved our understanding of the tumour landscape, but have been utilised to refine breast cancer classification, assess prognosis and response to therapy [21,22]. These examples demonstrate how the identification of key mutations can clearly benefit a larger number of selected cancer patients, and illustrate the need to include a molecular taxonomy when establishing effective treatment plans.

In addition to the novel approaches to cancer treatment developed from the genomic characterisation of cancer cells within tumours, the equally complex and dynamic tumour microenvironment (TME) has been shown to play a significant role in promoting cancer development, progression and treatment failure. Of note, PDAC is characterised by a hypoxic, immunosuppressive and highly fibrotic environment, with stromal components outnumbering pancreatic cancer cells [23,24]. Intricate communication between pancreatic cancer cells and their surrounding environment, driven by a dynamic signalling network of cellular and matrix remodelling enzymes, cytokines, chemokines and growth factors, collectively promotes tumour growth and treatment resistance [25–28].

A key pathway that regulates the tumour microenvironment is the Src signalling network. The c-Src non-receptor tyrosine kinase is frequently overexpressed in
numerous human malignancies, including PDAC [29], where it has been shown to promote tumour development and progression to distant metastases, leading to poor patient survival. Moreover, Src kinase is a mediator of integrin signalling in pancreatic cancer cells [30], and plays an important role in the regulation of several proteins that are frequently deregulated in cancer including focal adhesion kinase (FAK), epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), Akt/PI 3-kinase, and Rho/ROCK signalling. These pathways directly drive tumour-cell to stromal-cell crosstalk, [31–35] and play a prominent role in regulating pancreatic tumour cell survival, adhesion, migration and invasion [29]. In this review, we summarise and discuss the current understanding of the diverse and complex roles of aberrant Src signalling in the complex niche of a rapidly developing and metastasising pancreatic tumour, highlighting challenges with and new avenues for the utilisation of inhibitors that target this dynamic network.

The Src signalling axis promotes pancreatic cancer progression

The proto-oncogene tyrosine-protein kinase Src or cellular Src (c-Src) belongs to a family of nine nonreceptor tyrosine kinases that share similar structure and function [36]. Src kinase localises at cell–matrix adhesions, and is readily activated by positive migratory growth factor signalling, including, but not limited to, epidermal growth factor (EGF), hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and integrin [37] and Eph receptor (EphA2) activation [38]. In turn, Src can phosphorylate substrates from numerous molecular pathways and consequently promotes tumour cell survival, proliferation, cell adhesion, migration, invasion and angiogenesis, key hallmarks of cancer (Fig. 1) [29,30,39–44]. The roles of Src in tumourigenesis and metastasis are well established, with constitutive activation of Src being observed in a...
variety of cancers including breast, lung, colon, prostate and pancreas [29,42,45].

Src modulates integrin adhesions, cadherin-mediated cell–cell adhesions and metalloproteinase expression, and it is this disruption of intercellular adhesion that results in the detachment of tumour cells from the tumour mass, allowing them to invade through the extracellular matrix (ECM), penetrate the blood vessels and metastasize to other sites [43]. Furthermore, Src kinase activity is required for mesenchymal invasion (involving integrin and protease-dependent stromal remodelling) as it controls the turnover of integrin-based adhesions [46]. In addition, Src has been suggested as a mechanistic link between inflammation and cancer [47]. Specifically, Src activation in tumour-associated macrophages, leads to their increased motility and infiltration into the tumour, a process which is driven by the secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines within the tumour microenvironment [47–49]. Src also plays a role in the metabolic reprogramming of cancers by promoting the Warburg effect. This involves activation of hexokinases and upregulation of glycolysis, which in turn promotes tumourigenesis [45].

The significance of Src in PDAC tumourigenesis is also well established [29,48,50]. Src kinase expression and activity is upregulated in PDAC, increased further during progression to invasive and metastatic (advanced) PDAC and is associated with poor survival [29,50,51]. Src also plays a role in the progression of pancreatitis, an inflammatory condition that presents a risk for development of pancreatic cancer [52]. Similar to other cancers, Src inhibition has been shown to reduce proliferation, migration and invasion in PDAC cell lines, as well as inhibits tumour progression and metastasis in vivo [43,53–57]. Src can also promote the progression of PDAC by reducing tumour response to gemcitabine, one of the current standards of care chemotherapies for this cancer [58].

In addition to SRC, the integrin–focal adhesion signalling-mediated modulation of ECM mechanics and cytoskeleton stability involves several important sensor proteins that are also frequently deregulated in cancer, including integrins, FAK and downstream Akt/PI 3-kinase, LIM kinase, and Rho/ROCK activation [59–62] (Fig. 1). Integrins are composed of two noncovalently associated transmembrane glycoprotein subunits, and can be divided into several subtypes [63]. These molecules can signal bidirectionally: through the recruitment of adaptor proteins the integrin receptor becomes activated and has a high affinity for ECM ligands, which in turn leads to the recruitment of signalling proteins and the assembly of focal adhesions [63]. Integrins bind to, and remodel ECM components such as vitronectin, laminin, fibronectin and collagen, thereby providing the traction required for tumour cell motility and invasion. Increased deposition and cross-linking of ECM proteins can also further promote tumour progression via mechanical force-induced clustering of integrin receptors [64].

The crosstalk between integrins, growth factor receptors and SRC oncogene is readily exploited by cancer cells during both tumour initiation and disease progression [59]. Furthermore, integrins also play a role in angiogenesis, by providing a docking site for several cell types, including endothelial cells, endothelial stem cells and inflammatory cells, at the site of angiogenesis [65]. Upregulation of αvβ6-integrins occurs in a variety of tumours, including PDAC, where it has been shown to activate TGF-β, stimulating tumour cell epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and stromal myofibroblast differentiation [66], which has in turn been shown to either promote [67] or restrict tumour growth and progression [68]. The association between αvβ6-integrins and increased migration, invasion and cell survival is partly due to the regulation of proteases (MMPs), and urokinastetype plasminogen activator (uPA) [63,66,69–71]. In PDAC specifically, overexpression of integrin αvβ3/ αvβ6 has been previously shown to associate with poor survival of patients as well as lymph node metastasis [59,72], and recent findings indicate that the stromal localisation and levels of active α5β1-integrin and FAK can identify two readily distinguishable desmoplastic phenotypes in pancreatic cancer. Tumours with high stromal pSMAD2/3 levels were found to be prognostic of poor outcome, whilst increased stromal levels of active α,β-integrin constituted a patient-protective PDAC-associated desmoplastic phenotype [73]. In addition, integrins also play a role in regulating cancer stem cell properties leading to metastasis as well as resistance to tyrosine kinase inhibitors in PDAC [74].

Focal adhesion kinase (FAK) is a ubiquitously expressed nonreceptor tyrosine kinase that regulates integrin-mediated cell-ECM signalling, and its phosphorylation and activation is dependent on Src. The Src-FAK multiprotein complex localises at cell–matrix attachment sites and influences several downstream pathways including cell motility, migration, invasion, survival, immunosuppression and apoptosis [25,29,75,76]. The mechanisms involved are complex but often include the regulation of downstream effectors, including TGFβ, as well as regulators of ERK, Jun kinase (JNK) and Rho signalling pathways [34,35,42,77–79]. FAK is overexpressed in a variety of cancers including PDAC, and overexpression is associated with poor prognosis [76,80]. It has recently been shown that FAK plays an important role in regulating
pro-inflammatory pathway activation and cytokine production during wound healing [25,44,80–83]. In PDAC specifically, FAK activity has been shown to correlate with high levels of fibrosis and poor CD8+ cytotoxic T-cell infiltration, making it a promising target to overcome the highly fibrotic and immunosuppressive nature of PDAC [25,84].

Src-family kinases (SFKs) not only promote cell-matrix adhesion turnover through FAK, but also regulate the Rho family of small GTPases, in particular RhoA and Rac1 activation [85,86]. Rho GTPases are often hijacked by cancers because they regulate diverse cellular processes that are important for tumour growth and metastasis including cytoskeletal dynamics, motility, contractility, cell polarity, membrane transport, gene transcription, as well as regulating the interaction between stromal cells and cancer cells [87–93]. SFKs control the regulatory molecules of Rho GTPases (guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs), GTPase-activating proteins (GAPs) and guanine dissociation inhibitors (GDIls)), and it is the tight regulation and control of the regulatory molecules of Rho GTPases that switch between invasive and migratory phenotypes [94–96]. We have recently reviewed the role of Rho-associated kinase signalling in cancers including PDAC [87,88].

PI 3-kinase (PI3K) signalling is another relevant, tumour-promoting and potentially druggable effector network activated through FAK/SFK [97–99]. Activated PI3K phosphorylates phosphatidylinositol 4,5-biphosphate (PIP2) to produce PIP3, and this process is negatively regulated by PTEN [100]. Activation of PI3K can then further activate Akt (Akt activation occurs in ~59% PDAC samples [101]) and additional downstream targets such as Bel-2, Mdm2, GSK3beta, NF-kappaB and mTOR [97,102], ultimately promoting cancer cell survival, growth, and motility and inhibiting apoptosis [97,100,103,104]. The PI3K-Akt-mTOR pathway is also responsible for controlling cellular metabolism. Oncogenic K-Ras can enhance the activity of the metabolic enzyme ATP citrate lyase in an Akt-dependent manner leading to histone acetylation and alteration of the acetyl-CoA pool, subsequently leading to changes in gene expression, DNA damage response and DNA replication [105]. The PI3K/Akt pathway can also inhibit glucose metabolism by blocking glycogen synthase kinase 3β and can alter glucose uptake by mediating expression of glucose transporters such as GLUT1 [105,106]. Furthermore, Akt signalling is present in preneoplastic lesions during pancreatic carcinogenesis induced by mutated Kras, and is associated with progression towards higher grade tumours and poorer patient survival [99,107–109].

Molecular and genomic aberrations of the Src signalling axis in Pancreatic Cancer: Implications for therapeutic targeting

Historically, the documented cases of activating Src mutations are rare, with only one major study in colon cancer documenting 12% of cases with a truncating mutation at codon 531 [110], which when functionally validated, was shown to lead to increased Src specificity and transformation of NIH 3T3 cells. Despite this, other studies using larger colon cancer populations document no such mutations [111,112]. In addition, no such mutations have been documented for Src-implicated cancers, such as haematological malignancies [113]. In PDAC specifically, examination of multidimensional publically available cancer genomics datasets (TCGA, PanCan Atlas and QCMG cohorts) revealed that Src mutations occur at a frequency of less than 2% (Fig. 2B) [114,115], indicating that aberrant intratumoural Src activity occurs through constitutive activation of Src, or by changes in the levels of regulators of Src and amplification of downstream signalling pathways [113,116–118].

Integrins are key regulators of Src signalling, and are also deregulated in cancers, but are rarely mutated. Several cancers, including glioblastoma, show modifications of the integrin pattern to be associated with tumour progression and poor patient survival, including α6β4, α6β1, αvβ6 and αvβ3 [119]. An early sequencing study demonstrated a positive association between mutations in subunit α7 (encoded by ITGA7 gene), identified in 57% of prostate cancers, and increased cancer recurrence [120]. The mutation also occurred in 21% of hepatocellular carcinomas and 83% of glioblastomas, as well as leiomyosarcomas [120]. Decreased integrin expression has also been correlated with cancer progression. In mesothelioma, reduced expression of ITGA7 was associated with increased cell motility and invasion, as well as with increased cell proliferation [121]. Similar results have also been seen with CD44 in breast cancer, and α6β4/α6β1 in oesophageal carcinoma [59]. In PDAC, early sequencing studies identified genetic alterations in the integrin signalling pathway (ITGA4, ITGA9, ITGA11, LAMA1, LAMA4, LAMA5, FN1 and ILK) in 67% of tumours [18]. However, these alterations appear less frequent (67% versus 13%) when compared to the findings of the TCGA, UTSW, ICGC and QCMG [114,115,123] (Fig. 2A). This inconsistency may be explained through the study design of Jones et al. [124], where only small cohorts derived from cell lines
commercial and patient-derived; \( n = 24 \); and xeno-graft models \( (n = 90) \) were used to analyse the mutational cancer landscape. Recent findings suggest that molecular landscapes of patient-derived models may diverge from their parental tumours during long-term propagation. More recently, the integrin \( \beta_4 \) subunit was found to be commonly overexpressed in PDAC and is an adverse prognostic marker; however, it is not commonly mutated [125]. An alternate mechanism involving a mutation in \( TP53 \) is thought to promote integrin \( \alpha_6 \beta_4 \)-mediated tumour cell survival [125].

In addition, recent large-scale, pan-cancer proteogenomic studies have identified molecular alterations in several Src effector networks including PI3K/Akt/mTOR and FAK [80,126–128]. Of >7000 tumours examined, 63% harboured nonsilent somatic mutations or copy number alterations within the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway [127]. In PDAC specifically, ~17% of tumours carried alterations, the majority of which involved gene amplification, and this finding is consistent across multiple cohorts [114,115] (Fig. 2D). The \( PI3KCA \) gene mutations present in 3–5% of pancreatic cancer patients can act as activating mutations initiating pancreatic tumour formation [129]. Further, inactivating aberrations in PTEN (negative regulator of PI3K/PI3K pathway) occur in up to 70% of human PDAC, and have been shown to activate the tumour-promoting stromal and immune cell components that shape the PDAC TME [130]. FAK is also frequently overexpressed and deregulated in PDAC, with genomics alterations occurring at a frequency of ~6%, the majority of which are gene amplifications (Fig. 2C) [114,115]. FAK inhibitor monotherapy has shown mixed clinical efficacy in mesothelioma tumours that harbour loss of specific tumour suppressive signals, such as Merlin (encoded by \( NF2 \) gene; [131–133]).

Although mutations at the \( NF2 \) locus are rare (~10%) in human PDAC [12,19], Merlin expression is lost in >40% of PDAC and is negatively correlated with tumour stage, regional lymph node metastasis and differentiation [134]. Assessment into the efficacy of FAK inhibition in the context of Merlin loss, and combined with additional biomarkers, in PDAC may be of interest.

A personalised treatment strategy using pharmacological inhibition of Src, Src-associated regulators or
downstream targets, in tumour subtypes carrying these aberrations, could be beneficial and remains to be examined. Currently there are no FDA-approved prognostic or predictive biomarkers for PDAC [7]. Importantly, moving forward, the integration of DNA copy-number alterations, methylome, mRNA and protein, metabolomics and clinical information may help to further delineate the extent of Src signalling deregulation in pancreatic and other cancers, and could potentially lay the foundation for more accurate and rapid implementation of therapeutic inhibitors of Src as personalised cancer therapeutics.

**Targeting Src kinase in pancreatic cancer**

Recognising the established role of Src in cancer initiation and progression led to the rapid development of several small molecule inhibitors (Table 1) [135]. Inhibitors including bosutinib, saracatinib and dasatinib have shown measurable antitumour activity in several in vitro and in vivo models of cancer [47,53,56,136–138]. Dasatinib is a potent adenosine triphosphate-competitive inhibitor of Src and Abl kinases, as well as c-KIT, PDGFR and ephrin-A2, which works by competitive inhibition of the ATP binding site. Its activity results in inhibition of cell proliferation (causing G0/G1 arrest), as well as inhibition of cell adhesion, migration, invasion and tumour metastasis [44,53,139–143]. These results were particularly promising in models of advanced PDAC, presenting dasatinib as an encouraging antimetastatic agent for this disease [29,56,144]. Despite the encouraging clinical results for the use of dasatinib as a standalone therapy in CML, clinical findings with dasatinib or alternative Src/ABL-kinase inhibitors (saracatinib, bosutinib) [145,146] in PDAC were predominately negative, partially due to poor drug tolerance, but also due to the highly aggressive and adaptable nature of this disease to single-agent targeted therapies and rapid onset of resistance [53,138,147–156]. Moreover, the presumption that these biologic agents would significantly improve survival in nonstratified cohorts, particularly in PDAC, is inconsistent with prior preclinical data, which suggests that therapeutic response may correlate with biological markers. For example, Saracatinib effectively inhibited the growth of three patient-derived pancreatic xenografts characterised by decreased FAK, paxillin and STAT3 signalling [136]. In addition Bosutinib sensitivity was shown to correlate with caveolin 1 expression [138], and clinical trial data indicate that selected individuals experienced durable and sustained responses to dasatinib treatment [102,150,151]. Collectively, these data highlight the need for further investigation into the biological ‘omics’ of patients prior to treatment in order to identify the mechanistic rationale that can predict which patients may most optimally respond to Src-based therapies.

Given that in pancreatic (and other) cancers, multiple mechanisms often work in synchrony to lead to chemoresistance, considering more tailored treatment combinations that involve inhibition of Src, other molecular targets, plus tumour-debulking cytotoxic agents may present a more effective approach. The rationale behind this includes the finding that Src is associated with increased chemoresistance in PDAC, and that inhibition of Src can overcome resistance to gemcitabine [58,137,143]. Furthermore, Src inhibition is associated with decreased thymidylate synthase, which in turn is associated with the reversal of 5-fluorouracil resistance [137]. Src inhibition can also increase oxaliplatin activity, and inhibit oxaliplatin-induced Src activation [137]. When dasatinib was combined with gemcitabine in locally advanced pancreatic cancer, there was no improvement in progression-free or overall survival (NCT01395017) (Table 1) [157]. However, newer combination chemotherapy regimens, such as FOLFIRINOX [6], lead to significantly higher response rates and disease control in patients with metastatic disease. Hence, a potentially more appropriate future study design may involve sequential administration of dasatinib as ‘maintenance’ therapy, after optimal disease control is achieved with this highly active chemotherapy regimen (similar to successful previous studies utilising sunitinib [152]), or alternatively a ‘priming regimen’ could be applied [92], thus limiting toxicity associated with chronic dosing.

The Src signalling network is also known to play an important role in the movement and infiltration of immune cells into the tumour. In addition Src activation is mediated by inflammatory cytokines within the tumour microenvironment, whilst also being involved in intercellular communication [47]. Although there is minimal evidence in pancreatic cancer, research into other solid cancers including melanoma, sarcoma, colon and breast cancer demonstrates that Src-inhibitors such as dasatinib have potent immunomodulatory functions [158], and consequently may present a promising adjunct to immunotherapy. Dasatinib may enhance cellular immunity through a number of mechanisms including T-cell immunomodulation, whereby treatment has been shown to reduce the number of intratumoural regulatory T cells, in various solid tumour mouse models and haematological malignancies, promoting natural killer (NK) cell expansion and differentiation [158–160]. In chronic myeloid leukaemia (CML) cancer models,


| Signalling pathway | Agent | Molecular target | Cancer type | Phase | Combination therapy | Findings/status | Protocol ID | Reference |
|--------------------|-------|------------------|-------------|-------|---------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------|
| Src                | Dasatinib | Src, Abl, PDGFR  | Metastatic pancreatic cancer | II (single arm) | Monotherapy | Completed: no significant clinical activity measured (n = 34); 1 durable sustained response on therapy (> 20 months), plus 6 long-term survivors noted (> 20 months) | NCT00474812 | [150] |
| Src                | Metastatic pancreatic cancer | II (single arm) | Monotherapy | Terminated: Due to toxicity (n = 7) | NCT00544908 |
| Src                | Molecular analysis for therapy choice (MATCH) | II (personalised) | Monotherapy-targeted against DDR2 mutations | Recruiting | NCT02465060 |
| Src                | Metastatic pancreatic cancer | I | Gemcitabine | Terminated: Due to low accrual | NCT00598091 |
| Src                | Locally advanced pancreatic cancer | II (randomised) | Gemcitabine | Recruiting | NCT01234935 |
| Src                | Resected pancreatic cancer (adjuvant) | I (randomised) | Gemcitabine | Terminating | NCT01025570 |
| Src                | Advanced pancreatic cancer | I | Erlotinib + gemcitabine | Active, not recruiting. Well tolerated. Early clinical activity with reported OS 8 months and disease control rate 69% vs historical control OS 5.9 months and 58% respectively. Small patient cohort (n = 19) | NCT01660971 | [185] |
| Src                | Metastatic pancreatic cancer | II (single arm) | mFOLFOX6 | Active, not recruiting (n = 38) | NCT01652976 | [137] |
| Src                | Advanced solid cancers (incl pancreatic) | I | Monotherapy | Completed: MTD determined; no significant efficacy observed | NCT00195260 | [154] |
| Src                | Resected pancreatic cancer | I | Gemcitabine | Terminated: Due to slow accrual | NCT01025570 |
| Src                | Locally advanced/metastatic solid cancers (incl pancreatic) | I/M | Capecitabine | Terminated: Tolerated, limited efficacy overall (n = 5 pancreatic cancer patients) | NCT00959946 | [156] |
| Src                | Recurrent metastatic pancreatic cancer | II (single arm) | Monotherapy | Completed: no objective response observed in unselected cohort (n = 19) | NCT00735917 | [138] |
| Src                | Advanced pancreatic cancer | I/I (Single Arm) | Gemcitabine | Completed: well tolerated but no improvement in efficacy over Gemcitabine alone | NCT00265876 | [153] |
| Src                | Advanced solid cancers (incl pancreatic) | I | Cediranib (VEGFR1 inhibitor) | Completed: tolerated. Demonstrated stable disease as best response in 22/35 evaluable patients | NCT00475956 | [256] |
| TNO155             | SHP-2 | Advanced solid cancers | I | Monotherapy | Recruiting | NCT03114319 |
| RMC-4630           | Advanced refractory solid cancers | I | Monotherapy | Recruiting | NCT03634962 |
dasatinib may increase the number of Granzyme B (GrB) expressing memory CD4+ T cells (GrB+CD4+ T-cells) and promote their differentiation into Th1-type T-cells, which in turn produce interferon-gamma, a powerful tumour-suppressive cytokine [161]. Moreover, in CML and head and neck cancers, dasatinib has been shown to reduce the number of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), and induce anti-inflammatory macrophages (defined by increased production of IL-10, decreased production of IL6, IL-12p40 and TNF-alpha, and high expression of LIGHT, SPHK1 and arginase 1), via the inhibition of salt-inducible kinases [160,162,163]. Surprisingly, the potential in combining the immunomodulatory effects of Src-inhibitors with other immunomodulatory therapies has not been extensively studied. Preclinical data in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) showed inhibition of tumour growth, suggesting that combining dasatinib with anti-CTLA4 immunotherapy may be a viable treatment approach [164]. However in a clinical study of gastrointestinal stromal tumours (GIST), dasatinib and anti-CTLA4 antibody ipilimumab were well tolerated yet the combination was not synergistic, potentially due to the lack of a biomarker-driven approach [165]. At present there is only one phase II trial underway examining the combination of dasatinib and anti-PD-1 therapy nivolumab in nonsmall cell lung cancer (NCT 02750514). However due to the strong immunomodulatory effects of Src inhibition seen in vivo, assessment of synergistic combinatorial therapies including dasatinib and other immunomodulatory drugs is warranted. This could be particularly relevant in pancreatic cancer where immunotherapy provides no therapeutic benefit as a result of the immunosuppressive microenvironment that defines these tumours [166].

Combining Src inhibition with additional targeted therapies is another potentially beneficial approach aimed at enhancing antitumour efficacy, while minimising inherent and acquired resistance. This strategy has already shown promise in several cancers [167]. Almost 30 years ago, Src tyrosine kinase and EGFR were found to synergistically stimulate EGF-induced mitogenic cellular responses in fibroblast cultures [168]. Since then, Src has been shown to directly phosphorylate EGFR and may also mediate transactivation of EGFR by other receptor signalling pathways [37,169,170]. The EGF-mediated RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK pathway (Fig. 1) is one of the major players in the regulation of tumour growth, survival, proliferation, inhibition of apoptosis and autophagy [171,172], with deregulated activation associated with poor prognosis in solid tumours [173], including PDAC [174].

Targeting this key pro-tumourigenic molecular pathway has been explored in PDAC with the combination of standard therapy gemcitabine and small molecule EGFR inhibitor erlotinib revealing a modest but significant improvement in patient survival in advanced disease [175–177]. However, significance was lost when this combination was trialled in all-comers in the adjuvant setting [178]. Further analyses revealed that therapeutic benefit of combined gemcitabine/EGFR inhibition associated with KRAS wild-type tumour status [179,180] or development of skin rash in patients, which represents another measure of EGFR inhibitor activity [181]. Dasatinib has been combined with the EGFR inhibitor, erlotinib in NSCLC, resulting in two partial responses, and a disease control rate of 63% [182]. Collectively, these studies highlight the potential utility of this treatment combination when applied in small, but potentially well-defined subgroups of patients with pancreatic cancer. Moreover, the combination of dasatinib, erlotinib and gemcitabine showed significant synergy in preclinical studies, with potent inhibition of cancer cell proliferation, viability and xenograft tumour growth [183]. The triple combination was also shown to overcome constitutive activation of STAT3-mediated signalling, a key player in PDAC chemoresistance [27,55,183,184], and was shown to be well tolerated, with promising preliminary clinical activity in advanced pancreatic cancer [185]. The potential of this therapeutic combination also provides support for the development of a novel multi-kinase inhibitor (SKLB261) that potently inhibits EGFR, Src and VEGFR2 kinases. In the context of PDAC, this inhibitor effectively inhibited cancer cell proliferation, migration, invasion and induced apoptosis in vitro, and demonstrated potent antiangiogenic effects in pancreatic cancer xenografts, with stronger antitumour activity when compared to dasatinib, erlotinib and gemcitabine monotherapies [186].

Dual Src/MEK blockade using saracatinib/selumetinib presents another interesting therapeutic strategy shown to induce apoptosis of dormant cancer cells and limit tumour recurrence in breast cancer models [187] that may potentially be applied to other solid cancers, including PDAC. Dual targeting of Src and the protein tyrosine phosphatase SHP-2, required for full activation of the RAS/ERK1/2 pathway, has also shown promise in in vitro and in vivo models of pancreatic cancer. Combined Src/SHP-2 inhibition resulted in a supra-additive loss of phosphorylation of Akt and ERK-1/2, and led to an increase in apoptotic marker expression in L3.6pl and PANC-1 pancreatic cancer cells. The combination also led to a reduction in cell viability, adhesion, migration and invasion in vitro and
reduction in pancreatic tumour formation in vivo, using the L3.6pl orthotopic model [188]. The central role for SHP-2 in oncogenic KRAS-driven tumours has been therapeutically exploited in other contexts, with most recent data demonstrating potent synergistic antitumour effects of combined SHP-2 and MEK inhibition in multiple cancer types [189], including genetically engineered models of KRAS-mutant lung and pancreatic cancer [190]. Further exploration of these targeted therapeutic combinations, particularly in molecularly enriched patient subsets, is warranted, with early dose-finding clinical studies underway (NCT03114319, NCT03634982; Table 1).

Modulation of the upstream and downstream Src signalling components in pancreatic cancer

Modulation of the downstream mediators and interacting partners of Src represents another potentially viable therapeutic approach that is increasingly being investigated (Table 2). Inhibition of FAK decreased PDAC cell growth and migration in vitro [191,192], and limited pancreatic tumour progression in vivo, doubling the survival in the p48-Cre;LSL-KrasG12D; Trp53flx/+ (KPC) mouse model of PDAC [25,193,194]. FAK inhibitor VS-4718 treatment further reduced tumour fibrosis and numbers of infiltrating immunosuppressive populations of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), tumour-associated macrophages (TAMs) and regulatory T-cells, sensitising the KPC mouse model to checkpoint immunotherapy [25]. As a result, several trials are now focused on combining FAK inhibition with immunotherapies such as trametinib, and pembrolizumab in PDAC (NCT02428270 [195], NCT02758587) (Table 2). In addition, FAK inhibitors such as PF-00562271 are well tolerated and hence show significant promise for the treatment of PDAC [131,196]. Promising preclinical data in malignant pleural mesothelioma, ovarian and other solid tumours suggest that therapeutic responsiveness to FAK inhibition may be guided by Merlin loss [197,198] or E-cadherin levels [199]. This is supported by positive data from two phase I studies (NCT01138033, NCT01938443) in advanced solid tumours, where improved response to the FAK inhibitor GSK2256098 was observed in Merlin-negative mesothelioma [131,133]. However, findings of a recent prospective phase II trial in malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM; COMMAND study), has since failed to confirm Merlin expression as a predictive biomarker of efficacy to a different FAK inhibitor, defactinib [132]. The observed discordance in the findings of these studies could potentially be due to a substantial difference in the cut-off's utilised to define Merlin-negative or Merlin-low tumour status, with the Soria et al. [131] and Mak et al. [133] trials more stringent defining Merlin-negative cancers. These studies also differ in terms of their patient selection and cohort size, with the larger COMMAND trial [132] being a prospective study examining defactinib efficacy as a maintenance therapy in chemo-responsive advanced MPM, whereas the smaller phase I and Ib studies of the GSK2256098 compound examined efficacy in advanced chemo-resistant solid tumours, including mesothelioma. Moreover, as defactinib targets both FAK and Pyk2 [200] while GSK2256098 is selective for FAK alone, this difference in target selectivity between the two compounds may potentially lead to divergent antitumour activity, and mechanism of action on tumour cells, as well as the distinct components of the tumour microenvironment. Further assessment into the efficacy of FAK inhibition in the context of Merlin loss may still be of interest, particularly in pancreatic cancer where it has yet to be examined. Future trials would however need to consider standardisation of the biomarker analysis and interpretation of Merlin loss, sampling of multiple tumour areas where possible to account for potential intratumoural heterogeneity of molecular marker(s) of interest and incorporation of additional promising biomarkers to aid identification of clinical responders to FAK inhibitor-based treatment regimens.

Several inhibitors that target Rho GTPase or its downstream effectors including Rho-associated kinases (ROCK) have shown antitumour activity in preclinical models, which we have reviewed previously [87,88]. Most recently, fasudil, an inexpensive, off-patent ROCK inhibitor, may present a promising new treatment approach for PDAC. It has recently been shown that using a short-term ‘priming’ treatment approach to inhibit ROCK signalling can reduce tissue stiffness, improve vascular patency, increase tumour perfusion, decrease in vivo primary tumour growth, metastasis and improve response to standard of care therapy [23,92], similar to chronic fasudil treatment [89]. Newer ROCK inhibitors (such as ripasudil, CCT129254 or AT13148), are currently being trialled, and utilise a similar ‘priming’ [92,93] or intermittent regime [201]. The rationale behind this novel treatment scheduling involves modulating or ‘loosening’ the ECM, via ROCK inhibition, prior to chemotherapy administration in order to improve chemotherapy drug perfusion and reduce toxicity [92]. Potentially, this regime could be applied for the use of other stromal-based therapies in PDAC as well as other stromal-driven cancers.

Furthermore, there has been significant research dedicated to targeting the PI3K/AKT signalling...
### Table 2. Clinical trials in pancreatic cancer associated with targeting downstream mediators and interacting partners of Src kinase.

| Signalling pathway | Agent     | Molecular target | Cancer type                  | Phase | Combination therapy | Findings/status                                                                                     | Protocol ID      | Reference               |
|--------------------|-----------|------------------|------------------------------|-------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| EGFR               | Erlotinib | EGFR             | Advanced pancreatic cancer   | III    | Gemcitabine        | Completed: modest significant improvement in OS (0.33 months) (n = 569). Association between rash and a better outcome was observed. | NCT0028338      | [175]                   |
|                    |           |                  | Locally advanced pancreatic cancer | III   | Gemcitabine       | Completed: no significant improvement in OS in combination arm (1.7 months; P = 0.09; n = 449) | NCT00684725    | [257]                   |
|                    |           |                  | Advanced pancreatic cancer    | II (Single Arm) | Gemcitabine       | Completed: well tolerated, no significant improvement in PFS as primary measure in unselected cohort (n = 30) | NCT00810719    | [258]                   |
|                    |           |                  | Advanced pancreatic cancer    | III   | Gemcitabine        | Completed: well tolerated, comparable efficacy between the two Erlotinib-based regimens (n = 274). KRAS wild-type status was associated with an improved overall survival (HR 1.68, P = 0.005) | NCT00440167    | [176, 177]              |
|                    |           |                  | Resected pancreatic cancer (adjuvant) | III (open label) | Gemcitabine       | Completed: no improvement in patient survival observed (n = 436) and occurrence of rash was not associated with response | CONKO-005       | [178]                   |
|                    |           |                  | Metastatic pancreatic cancer  | II (single arm) | Gemcitabine       | Completed: improved survival in rash-positive patients, comparable 1% survival rate to FOLFIRINOX | NCT0172948     | [181]                   |
| Catuximab          | Chimeric monoclonal IgG1 antibody against extracellular III domain of EGFR | Advanced pancreatic cancer   | III    | Gemcitabine       | Completed: no significant improvement in survival (n = 745) and no association with EGFR IHC | NCT0075686      | [259]                   |
| Nimotuzumab        | Humanised IgG2 mAb against extracellular III domain of EGFR | Advanced pancreatic cancer   | IIb (randomised) | Gemcitabine       | Completed: safe and well tolerated. One-year OS and PFS were significantly improved (n = 192). Particularly of benefit in KRAS wild-type patients | NCT00561990    | [180]                   |
| FAK                | PF-00562271 | FAK              | Advanced solid cancers        | I      | Monotherapy        | Completed: tolerated, MTD established. (n = 99; 14% pancreatic) | NCT00666926    | [196]                   |
|                    | VS-4718   | FAK              | Advanced pancreatic cancer    | I      | Gemcitabine/ Nab-paclitaxel Monotherapy-targeted against NF2 inactivation | Terminated: Company de-prioritised drug development | NCT02651727    |                        |
|                    | Defactinib |                  | Molecular analysis for therapy choice (MATCH), multiple solid cancers (incl metastatic/ recurrent pancreatic cancer) | II (personalised) | Gemcitabine       | Recruiting                                                                                         | NCT02465060    |                        |
|                    |           |                  | Advanced solid cancers (incl pancreatic) | I      | Pembrolizumab (anti-PD1) | Recruiting                                                                                         | NCT02758387    |                        |
|                    |           |                  | Advanced solid cancers (incl pancreatic) | I      | Pembrolizumab and Gemcitabine | Phase I Completed (n = 17). Well tolerated. Recruiting: Expansion cohort | NCT02548531    | [260]                   |
| Signalling pathway | Agent | Molecular target | Cancer type | Phase | Combination therapy | Findings/status | Protocol ID | Reference |
|--------------------|-------|------------------|-------------|-------|---------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------|
|                                   | GSK2256098 | Recurrent pancreatic cancer | II (Single Arm) | Trametinib (MEK1/2 inhibitor) | Completed: no objective response measured in unselected cohort (n = 16). 1 patient with KRAS amplification showed stable disease for 5 months after rapid progression on first-line FOLFIRINOX. Correlative biomarker studies ongoing from collected material | NCT02428270 | [195] |
|                                   | Cilengitide | Cyclic peptide inhibitor of αvβ3/αvβ5 integrins | Advanced pancreatic cancer | II (randomised, open label) | Gemcitabine | Completed: well tolerated, no improvements in OS, PFS and response rate in unselected cohort (n = 89) | EMD 121974 | [233] |
|                                   | Volociximab (M201) | Chimeric mAb against human αvβ1 integrin | Metastatic pancreatic cancer | II (single arm, open label) | Gemcitabine | Completed: well tolerated, awaiting further results | NCT00401570 | [236] |
|                                   | IMGN388 | Human γ6δ1 anti-integrin Ab conjugated to maytansinoid (DM4) | Advanced solid cancers | I | Monotherapy | Completed: well tolerated, safety data reported on 26 patients; awaiting final results | NCT00721669 | [261] |
|                                   | Hyaluronan | PEGPH20 | Metastatic pancreatic cancer | Ib/II (randomised) | Gemcitabine | Completed: tolerated combination therapy, with promising early clinical activity, particularly in patients with HA-high tumours (HCC). Phase II terminated due to change in standard-of-care chemotherapy treatment | NCT01453153 | [262] |
|                                   | Hyaluronan | PEGPH20 | Metastatic pancreatic cancer | II (randomised, open label) | Gemcitabine/Nab-paclitaxel | Completed: improved PFS as primary endpoint in the overall cohort (n = 278), with the greatest improvement in PFS observed in patients with HA-high tumours (prevalence of 34%) | NCT01839487 | [248] |
|                                   | Advanced pancreatic cancer | NA (non-randomised, open label) | Gemcitabine/Nab-paclitaxel | Recruiting: Interim results indicate adding Rivaroxaban is safe and effectively controls thromboembolic events, with PEGPH20-combination therapy showing encouraging early responses (n = 28) | NCT02921022 | [252] |
|                                   | Borderline resectable pancreatic cancer (neoadjuvant) | II (single arm, open label) | Gemcitabine/Nab-paclitaxel | Recruiting | NCT02487277 | [263] |
|                                   | Metastatic pancreatic cancer | III (randomised) | Gemcitabine/Nab-paclitaxel | Recruiting | NCT02715804 | |
|                                   | Locally advanced pancreatic cancer | II (single arm, open label) | Gemcitabine and radiation modified (m) FOLFIRINOX | No longer recruiting, no results posted | NCT02910882 | |
|                                   | Metastatic pancreatic cancer | IV | Gemcitabine and radiation modified (m) FOLFIRINOX | Phase II closed as PEGPH20 with mFFOX caused significantly increased toxicity and decreased treatment duration compared to mFFOX alone | NCT01959139 | [253] |
| Signalling pathway | Agent | Molecular target | Cancer type | Phase | Combination therapy | Findings/status | Protocol ID | Reference |
|-------------------|-------|-----------------|------------|-------|---------------------|----------------|------------|-----------|
| Rho/ROCK          | AT13148 | AGC Kinase      | Advanced solid cancers | I     | Monotherapy         | Completed: tolerable, dose escalation ongoing (n = 30), awaiting final results | NCT01985701 | [201] |
| PI3K/Akt Pathway  | MK2206  | Akt (pan)       | Advanced pancreatic cancer | I     | Dinaciclib (CDK inhibitor) | Completed: results pending | NCT01783171 |
|                   |         |                 | Recurrent metastatic pancreatic cancer | I     | Selumetinib (MEK1/2 inhibitor) | Completed: No improvement in OS, and increased rate of adverse events in experimental arm, compared to mFOLFOX standard therapy (n = 137) | NCT01689433 | [223] |
|                   | Afuresertib (GSK2110183) | Akt (pan) | Advanced solid cancers (incl pancreatic) | III (open label) | Trametinib (MEK1/2 inhibitor) | Completed: Poor tolerability with daily dosing. Potential for intermittent administration discussed within study | NCT01476137 | [224] |
|                   | Uprosertib (GSK2141795) | Akt (pan) | Advanced solid cancers (incl pancreatic) | I     | Trametinib (MEK1/2 inhibitor) | Completed: results pending | NCT0138065 |
| Oleandrin (PBI-05204) | Akt (pan) | Metastatic pancreatic cancer | II (single arm, open label) | Monotherapy | Active, not recruiting | NCT02329717 |
| AZD6363           | Akt (pan) | Molecular analysis for therapy choice (MATCH), multiple solid cancers (incl metastatic/recurrent pancreatic cancer) | II (personalised) | Monotherapy | Recruiting | NCT02465060 |
| Perifosine        | Akt (pan) | Advanced pancreatic cancer | II (single arm, open label) | Monotherapy | Completed: no results posted | NCT000533924 |
| Alpelisib (BYL719) | P3Kα    | Advanced solid cancers (incl pancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms) | Ib | Everolimus (mTOR) + Exemestane (Aromatase) | Active, not recruiting | NCT02079333 |
|                   |         | Advanced pancreatic cancer | II (single arm, open label) | Gemcitabine/Nab-paclitaxel | Active, not recruiting | NCT02155088 |
| Signalling pathway | Agent | Molecular target | Cancer type | Phase | Combination therapy | Findings/status | Protocol ID | Reference |
|--------------------|-------|------------------|-------------|-------|---------------------|----------------|------------|-----------|
| Buparlisib (BKM120) | PI3K (pan) | PI3K (pan) | Metastatic pancreatic cancer | I (single arm, open label) | mFOLFOX6 | Completed: results pending | NCT01571024 | [222] |
| Buparlisib (BKM120) | PI3K (pan) | Advanced solid cancers (incl: pancreatic) | | | Teamtinib (MEK1/2 inhibitor) | Completed: long-term tolerability of the combination was challenging, with promising efficacy in select tumour types (ovarian) (n = 113; 47 patients in the expansion cohort) | NCT01155453 |
| Sirolimus (Rapamycin) | mTORC1 | Advanced solid cancers (incl: pancreatic) | | | MEK162 (MEK1/2 inhibitor) | Completed: results pending | NCT01363232 | [204] |
| Sirolimus (Rapamycin) | mTORC1 | Advanced (gemcitabine-resistant) pancreatic cancer | | | Monotherapy | Completed: well tolerated, marginal efficacy, examined biomarker (p70S6K IHC) did not correlate with activity (n = 31) | NCT00499486 |
| Sirolimus (Rapamycin) | mTORC1 | Advanced pancreatic cancer | I | | Vismodegib (Hedgehog inhibitor) | Suspended: results pending | NCT01537107 |
| Sirolimus (Rapamycin) | mTORC1 | Advanced solid cancers (incl: pancreatic ductal and acinar adenocarcinoma) | | | Sunitinib (RTK inhibitor) | Completed: results pending | NCT00530063 |
| Sirolimus (Rapamycin) | mTORC1 | Advanced solid cancers | | | Sorafenib (Raf, VEGFR inhibitor) | Completed: results pending | NCT00489280 |
| Metformin | | Metformin | Metastatic pancreatic cancer | II (randomised, open label) | | Active, not recruiting | NCT02048384 |
| SM-88 Combination: metyrosine-derivative + low-dose sirolimus, phenytoin + methoxsalen | | Advanced solid cancers (metastatic chemotherapy-resistant) pancreatic cancer | | | Monotherapy | Recruiting: Preliminary results are promising, with therapy well tolerated (n = 28), with a median of 4.3 months of follow-up after treatment initiation; 67.8% still alive (trial ongoing); promising compared with historical data | NCT03512756 [213] |
| Temsirolimus | mTORC1 | Metastatic pancreatic cancer | | | Gemcitabine | Terminated | NCT00530008 |
| Temsirolimus | mTORC1 | Advanced solid cancers (incl: pancreatic) | | | Nivolumab | Terminated: Investigator no longer at site to enrol patients or write up data | NCT02421364 |
| Temsirolimus | mTORC1 | Advanced pancreatic cancer | | | Monotherapy | Terminated: Study closed due to significant treatment-related toxicity (n = 5), Disease progression noted in 2 patients | NCT0075647 [266] |
| Signalling pathway | Agent | Molecular target | Cancer type | Phase | Combination therapy | Findings/status | Protocol ID | Reference |
|--------------------|-------|------------------|-------------|-------|---------------------|----------------|------------|----------|
| mTORC1            | Everolimus (RAD001) | mTORC1 Advanced or metastatic pancreatic cancer | II (single arm, open label) | Erlotinib | Terminated: Study closed due to significant treatment-related toxicity (n = 15). Lack of objective responses noted. Study suggests activation of negative feedback loops following mTOR inhibition may explain lack of efficacy, and which may require simultaneous inhibition of multiple PI3K pathway components to elicit response. | NCT00640978 | [266] |
| mTORC1/2          | Vistusertib | mTORC1/2 Advanced solid cancers (incl pancreatic) | II (personalised, single arm) | Monotherapy | Completed: awaiting results | NCT00361162 |
| PI3K/mTOR         | Dactolisib | PI3K/mTOR Advanced solid cancers (incl pancreatic) | II (personalised, single arm) | Monotherapy | Not yet recruiting | NCT03166176 |
| PI3K/mTOR         | Gedatalisib | PI3K/mTOR Advanced solid cancers (incl pancreatic) | II (personalised, single arm) | Palbociclib | Recruiting | NCT03065062 |
| Advanced or metastatic pancreatic cancer | Metastatic (gemcitabine-resistant) pancreatic cancer | II (single arm, open label) | Monotherapy | Completed: well tolerated, minimal clinical activity as monotherapy in unselected cohort (n = 33) | NCT00409292 | [267] |
| Advanced or metastatic pancreatic cancer | Metastatic pancreatic cancer | II (randomised, open label) | Iritinang and Cetuximab | Terminated: emergence of FOLFIRINOX and slow recruitment. Triple combination showed similar PFS but increased OS compared to Capecitabine + Oxaliplatin (7.7 vs 4.5 months P = 0.04) (n = 26) | NCT01042028 | [268] |
| Advanced or metastatic pancreatic cancer | Metastatic (gemcitabine-refractory) pancreatic cancer | II (single arm, open label) | Sorafenib | Completed: MTD determined; partial response documented in 2 patients (6.5%), and 5 (16.1%) had stable disease. Considerable epidermal and mucosal toxicities. | NCT0177866 | [269] |
| Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumours | Advanced GI neuroendocrine tumours (incl pancreatic) | II (open label) | X82 (VEGFR/PDGFR inhibitor) | Active, not recruiting. Prolonged stable disease (3-23 months) (n = 10) | NCT01648465 | [272] |
| Advanced solid cancers (incl pancreatic) | Advanced solid cancers (incl pancreatic) | II (personalised, single arm) | Monotherapy | Not yet recruiting | NCT03166904 |
| Advanced solid cancers (incl pancreatic) | Advanced solid cancers (incl pancreatic) | II (personalised, single arm) | Monotherapy-targeted against RICTOR amplifications | Not yet recruiting | NCT03166176 |
| Advanced solid cancers (incl pancreatic) | Advanced solid cancers (incl pancreatic) | II (open label) | MEK162 (MEK1/2 inhibitor) | Completed: results pending | NCT01337765 | [271] |
pathway in PDAC due to its role in cell metabolism, cell cycle, protein synthesis and apoptosis [202]. Rapamycin, an mTORC1 inhibitor, showed promising preclinical results in PDAC, significantly halting disease progression in PI3K/AKT-activated tumours [203]. However clinical data failed to demonstrate a benefit, particularly when administered as monotherapy (Table 2) [204]. This may further be explained by mTORC1 being involved in complex negative feedback loops that restrain upstream signalling. For example, inhibition of mTORC1 drives activation of PI3K-, AKT- or ERK pathways [205], which in turn limits the efficacy of mTORC-inhibitors as targeted therapies [206]. More recently developed dual ATP-competitive agents that target mTORC1/mTORC2 have shown favourable results [207,208] with AZD2014 effectively inhibiting PDAC cell division (G1 arrest), proliferation, and invasion in vitro [158,160] and prolonging survival in the KPC mouse model of PDAC [109,208,209]. However there is still some debate as to whether blocking mTORC1/2 leads to the adaptive activation of the PI3K-AKT pathway [209], and consequently whether multiple targeting of this network is required to effectively interfere with both branches of adaptive signalling and to elicit a durable therapeutic response. The combination of Cyclin-dependent Kinase (CDK) inhibitors with PI3K pathway inhibition has been shown to inhibit tumour growth and metastasis in a variety of cancers including PDAC [210,211], with a need for molecular stratification into responsive subtypes [212]. Furthermore, multitarget, unique formulations, including SM-88, a combination of a tyrosine derivative (D,L-alpha-metyrosine), mTOR inhibitor (sirolimus), CYP3a4 inducer (phenytoin) and oxidative stress catalyst (methoxsalen), are showing encouraging efficacy in early stage trials, particularly in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer (Table 2) [213], who have frequently exhausted all options. There is also ample evidence supporting the combination of PI3K/AKT/mTOR inhibitors with tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs). Cancers with active/overexpressed TKIs often display resistance to TKIs through PI3K signalling [214]. In addition, targeting RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK pathway in combination with PI3K/AKT/mTOR inhibitors is another promising strategy because there is significant stimulatory crosstalk [214]. Synergy has previously been shown between a MEK-inhibitor and PI3K/mTOR inhibitor in a lung cancer model, where inhibition of MEK/ERK was shown to stabilise BIM, and PI3K/AKT inhibition upregulated PUMA via FOXO, all of which are key mediators of apoptosis [215,216]. Inhibition of the MAPK pathway has also been shown to associate with increased PI3K pathway activity [217,218]. This therapeutic combination could also be beneficial in PDAC, as an alternative approach for inhibiting oncogenic Kras, which is located upstream of MEK/ERK and PI3K. Thus far, attempts at targeting the most frequently mutated protein in PDAC, KRAS, have been unsuccessful [14,219]. Whilst the combination of MEK inhibitors with alternative pathway inhibitors such as PI3K or Src has shown early promise [218,220,221], the combinations, including addition of chemotherapies, may require an alternative, intermittent dosing regimen design due to issues with chronic administration [222–224], and are yet to be systematically examined in PDAC. Preclinical data suggest that therapeutic efficacy may be dependent on PDAC subtype, as well as MEK activity and expression [225], with further investigation, including determination of biologically effective dose(s) of targeted therapies, testing and implementation of alternative dosing regimens, warranted. Given the importance of the integrin/Src/FAK signalling in diverse cancer types, significant research has also gone into targeting molecules upstream of Src, including integrins, which critically modulates ECM mechanics and cytoskeleton stability, stellate cell activation [226], cancer cell survival and angiogenesis [59] and most recently, production of tumour-promoting cytokines and chemokines [227]. With each integrin comprising an α and β transmembrane subunit, most studies have focused on testing αvβ1, αvβ3, αvβ5 integrin antagonists, the most promising of which is cilengitide. Cilengitide is an RGD (arginine-glycine-aspartic acid) peptide which is selective against αvβ3, αvβ5 integrins [228]. Cilengitide was shown to have antitumour activity in recurrent and newly diagnosed glioblastoma [229–232]; however, further phase III studies showed no significant differences in median overall survival [231], with similar negative findings in PDAC when examined in all-comers [233]. In contrast, results from a phase I study suggest promising early signals of activity with cilengitide and chemoradiotherapy combination in advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer [234]. Clinical trials of further integrin antagonists, including intetumumab, volociximab, ATN-161 (Ac-PHSCN-NH2 peptide), abituzumab and etaracizumab, all of which are antibodies or peptide mimetics, have largely yielded no improvements in patient progression-free or overall survival (Table 2) [235,236]; however, specific studies in colon cancer suggest that their antitumour activity may be linked to the presence of a biomarker [237], and, alternatively, may specifically inhibit the progression of bone-associated metastases in prostate cancer [238]. Adding to the complexity, anti-integrin
compounds may increase intratumoral hypoxia, leading to increased tumour growth, metastasis and chemoresistance in certain settings [239,240], process that is dose- and/or tumour type-dependent [65,241]. Reynolds et al. [241] showed that in fact, low (nanomolar) concentrations of avβ3, avβ5 inhibitors can paradoxically promote VEGF-mediated angiogenesis by altering avβ3 integrin and VEGFR-2 trafficking, stimulating cancer growth.

Hence, more recent research efforts have focussed on utilising these agents as part of ‘vascular normalisation’, whereby improved tumour blood flow increases drug delivery [242]. However as this approach is highly time- and dose-dependent, its clinical implementation may be challenging [243]. Specifically, in pancreatic cancer, cilengitide has been effectively applied in combination with chemotherapy using a strategy called ‘vascular promotion’, aimed at improving delivery of chemotherapy to the tumour [244]. Although the combination has yet to be trialled in the clinic, preclinical evidence is positive. Co-administration of low-dose therapy regimen of cilengitide and verapamil increased tumour blood flow and perfusion, promoted gemcitabine delivery inside growing pancreatic tumours, ultimately leading to reduced primary tumour growth, metastasis and significantly improved survival in multiple models of PDAC with minimal side effects [244]. This dual therapy also increased levels of proteins involved in active transport of gemcitabine into cells, and production of active metabolites, further enhancing gemcitabine potency. Vascular promotion is also associated with reduced hypoxia and desmoplasia, salient features of PDAC [244]. In addition, volociximab, an integrin α5β1 blocking antibody, has completed phase II trials in combination with gemcitabine in metastatic pancreatic cancer, with results pending (NCT00401570). Of note, mutant P53 has been shown to regulate α5β1 signalling and EGFR, which suggests there may also be potential for molecular stratification [245].

Another major advance in ECM-targeting is the development of agents that break down hyaluronic acid (HA). HA is a large, linear, glycosaminoglycan that plays an important structural role in the ECM, and accumulates in conditions involving rapid and invasive cell division, including cancer. HA regulates interstitial gel fluid pressure within tumours, often impacting on drug delivery. Pegylated recombinant human hyaluronidase (PEGPH20) and 4-methylumbelliferone are two key examples of compounds that inhibit and/or break down HA. Of note, PEGPH20 has already shown significant promise in PDAC. HA degradation following PEGPH20 treatment has been shown to normalise interstitial fluid pressures and re-expand the microvasculature by increasing the diameter but not the total number of blood vessels within PDAC tumours [246]. This in turn significantly improved chemotherapeutic response in the KPC murine model of PDAC, resulting in a near doubling of overall survival [246,247]. Clinical studies of PEGPH20 are also promising with phase II data already demonstrating significant efficacy of this agent when combined with chemotherapy, effect particularly prominent in patients with HA-high tumours [248], highlighting the potential utility of intratumoural HA as a predictive biomarker of response [248–250]. Favourable results are particularly observed when PEGPH20 is combined with Gemitabine and Abraxane [248,251,252], whereas FOLFIRINOX in contrast may be better utilised in other settings [253]. Development of a liquid biopsy-based companion diagnostic for selecting potential PEGPH20 responders is also underway [254]. Consequently several phase II/III clinical trials are now investigating further the clinical efficacy of PEGPH20, in combination with standard of care chemotherapies (Table 2) (NCT02487277, NCT02715804), or immune checkpoint inhibition (NCT03481920; NCT03634332, NCT03193190) in HA-high molecular subgroups of PDAC [248,255]. These encouraging early clinical findings highlight the potential of stromal components as viable therapeutic targets, supporting further clinical development of PEGPH20 as well as detailed exploration of new biomarker-driven therapeutic combinations utilising this agent.

**Future perspectives for inhibition of Src signalling in pancreatic cancer**

The extraordinary and constantly expanding understanding of the role of Src signalling in pancreatic cancer biology and treatment supports the foundation for the specific inhibition of this complex network in PDAC. However, the presumption that a single-targeted therapy will improve survival in such an aggressive disease is unrealistic. Unfortunately, most targeted therapies are at best only transiently effective, with cancer cells rapidly acquiring resistance, often leading to more rapid disease progression. This is supported by the numerous unsuccessful nonbiomarker-driven clinical trials that have been summarised in this review.

Further understanding of the intricacies in integrin/Src/FAK and downstream signalling in the various tumour compartments will determine whether the inhibitors of this complex network may serve as effective treatments for newly diagnosed or recurrent tumours and will establish optimal combinations with radiation,
cytotoxic chemotherapy and other targeted molecular compounds. Given the need for co-targeting of multiple cancer capabilities to overcome the high therapeutic resistance of pancreatic tumours, future clinical applications of multiagent therapies will likely require a more innovative approach to dosing, including use of biologically effective doses of targeted agents (integrin/Src/FAK), and alternative dosing schedules such as ‘priming’ or ‘maintenance therapy’ to ensure maximal benefit to the patient [152]. Finally, the emerging efficacy of Src pathway inhibitors in combination with other targeted and/or cytotoxic therapies, when examined in a molecular subtype-specific context [248,249], and with longitudinal tracking of long-term therapeutic responsiveness, reveals significant potential as a personalised medicine strategy for pancreatic cancer, and provides real hope for patients in the future.
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