Magnetic and superconducting phase diagram of Eu(Fe$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$)As$_2$
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We report the Ni-doping effect on magnetism and superconductivity (SC) in an Eu-containing 112-type system Eu(Fe$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$)As$_2$ ($0 \leq x \leq 0.15$) by the measurements of resistivity, magnetization, and specific heat. The undoped EuFeAs$_2$ undergoes a spin-density-wave (SDW) transition at $T_{\text{SDW}} \sim 105$ K in the Fe sublattice and a magnetic ordering at $T_{\text{m}} \sim 40$ K in the Eu sublattice. Complex Eu-spin magnetism is manifested by a spin-glass reentrance at $T_{\text{SG}} \sim 15$ K and an additional spin reorientation at $T_{\text{SR}} \sim 7$ K. With Ni doping, the SDW order is rapidly suppressed, and SC emerges in the Ni-doping range of $0.01 \leq x \leq 0.1$ where a maximum of the superconducting transition temperature $T_{\text{c}}^{\text{max}} = 17.6$ K shows up at $x = 0.04$. On the other hand, $T_{\text{m}}$ decreases very slowly, yet $T_{\text{SG}}$ and $T_{\text{SR}}$ hardly change with the Ni doping. The phase diagram has been established, which suggests a very weak coupling between SC and Eu spins. The complex Eu-spin magnetism is discussed in terms of the Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida interactions mediated by the conduction electrons from both layers of FeAs and As surrounding Eu$^{2+}$ ions.

PACS numbers: 74.70.Xa; 74.62.Dh; 75.30.Fv; 75.30.-m

I. INTRODUCTION

Iron-based pnictide superconductors containing layers of Eu$^{2+}$ ions have received considerable attention primarily because of the coexistence of superconductivity (SC) and ferromagnetism (FM) [1,2]. One typical example is the 122-type EuFe$_2$As$_2$ (SC) and ferromagnetism (FM) [1,2]. One typical example is the 122-type EuFe$_2$As$_2$ undergoes a spin-density-wave (SDW) transition at $T_{\text{SDW}} \sim 105$ K in the Fe sublattice and a magnetic ordering at $T_{\text{m}} \sim 40$ K in the Eu sublattice. Complex Eu-spin magnetism is manifested by a spin-glass reentrance at $T_{\text{SG}} \sim 15$ K and an additional spin reorientation at $T_{\text{SR}} \sim 7$ K. With Ni doping, the SDW order is rapidly suppressed, and SC emerges in the Ni-doping range of $0.01 \leq x \leq 0.1$ where a maximum of the superconducting transition temperature $T_{\text{c}}^{\text{max}} = 17.6$ K shows up at $x = 0.04$. On the other hand, $T_{\text{m}}$ decreases very slowly, yet $T_{\text{SG}}$ and $T_{\text{SR}}$ hardly change with the Ni doping. The phase diagram has been established, which suggests a very weak coupling between SC and Eu spins. The complex Eu-spin magnetism is discussed in terms of the Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida interactions mediated by the conduction electrons from both layers of FeAs and As surrounding Eu$^{2+}$ ions.

by the A-site ions [see Fig. 1(c)]. EuFeAs$_2$ is so far the unique 112 iron-pnictide parent compound that can be stabilized by itself. Measurements of electrical resistivity and magnetic susceptibility show two distinct anomalies at $T_{\text{SDW}} \sim 110$ K and $T_{\text{m}} \sim 40$ K, respectively [21]. The Mössbauer measurement demonstrated that the 110-K transition was due to a spin-density-wave (SDW) ordering in the Fe sublattice, and the 40-K transition was associated with a magnetic ordering in the Eu sublattice [33]. With the specific-heat and magnetization measurements, the present authors [34] confirmed the dominant antiferromagnetic ordering of Eu spins at $T_{\text{m}}$, and found a reentrant spin-glass transition at $T_{\text{SG}} \sim 15$ K and a spin reorientation at $T_{\text{SR}} \sim 7$ K. SC was realized with La doping [21] or with Ni doping [34], and the $T_{\text{c}}$ values achieve 11 K and 17.5 K in Eu$_{0.85}$La$_{0.15}$FeAs$_2$ and Eu(Fe$_{0.96}$Ni$_{0.04}$)$_2$As$_2$, respectively. In the case of Ni doping, a weak spontaneous magnetization coexisting with SC was observed at low temperatures.

To reveal the evolution of magnetism and SC with Ni doping, in this paper, we systematically study the physical properties of a series of 13 samples in Eu(Fe$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ ($0 \leq x \leq 0.15$). We find that the SDW order is quickly suppressed with the Ni doping, and it disappears at $x \geq 0.05$. SC emerges in a broad Ni-doping range of $0.01 \leq x \leq 0.1$ with a dome-like $T_{\text{c}}(x)$ dependence. On the other hand, the magnetic transitions associated with Eu$^{2+}$ spins changes slightly and subtly with the Ni doping. We propose that both the zigzag As chains and the FeAs layers mediate the Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida (RKKY) interactions, which jointly brings about the complex Eu-spin magnetism. The magnetic and superconducting
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II. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Polycrystalline samples of Eu(Fe$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$)As$_2$ ($x = 0$, 0.005, 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04, 0.05, 0.06, 0.07, 0.08, 0.09, 0.1, and 0.15) were synthesized by high-temperature solid-state reactions in sealed silica ampoule using intermediate products of EuAs, FeAs, and NiAs. The intermediate products were prepared by reacting As pieces (99.999%) with Eu pieces (99.9%), Fe powders (99.998%) and Ni powders (99.998%), respectively, in sealed silica ampoules at 700-750°C for 24 h. Mixtures of above binary arsenides in the stoichiometric composition of Eu(Fe$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$)As$_2$ were ground, pressed into pellets, and then sealed in evacuated silica ampoules. The sample-loaded ampoules were heated rapidly to 800-820°C in a muffle furnace, holding for 30 h, followed by quenching. Additional sintering at the same temperature for one week was necessary for improving the quality of samples. Note that operations of weighing, grinding, pressing, and loading of samples were all carried out in a glove box filled with high-purity argon.

Powder x-ray diffraction (XRD) was carried out at room temperature using a PANalytical x-ray diffractometer (Model EMPYREAN) with monochromatic Cu-K$_\alpha$ radiation. The lattice parameters were obtained by a least-squares fit of 15-25 reflections in the range of 5° ≤ 2θ ≤ 80°. The temperature dependence of electrical resistivity, ac susceptibility and heat capacity was measured on a Quantum Design Physical Property Measurement System (PPMS-9). In the resistance measurement, a four-electrode method was employed. The sample pellet was cut into a thin rectangular bar on which thin gold wires were attached with silver paint. For the ac susceptibility measurement, an oscillated field $H_{ac} = 15$ Oe was applied. The heat capacity was measured by a thermal relaxation method using sample plates with mass about 10 mg. The temperature dependence of dc magnetization under different magnetic fields was carried out on a Quantum Design Magnetic Property Measurement System (MPMS3). Measurement protocols with zero-field cooling (ZFC) and field cooling (FC) were employed at low magnetic fields.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. X-ray diffraction

Figure 1(a) shows the XRD patterns of the series samples of Eu(Fe$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$)As$_2$. Overall, the reflections are weak with a small signal-to-noise ratio, suggesting relatively poor crystallinity. Similar phenomena were observed in other groups [23] or in other 112 materials [24, 25, 32], reflecting the inherent instability of 112 iron pnictides [36]. Nevertheless, most of the XRD reflections can be indexed with a monoclinic 112-type unit cell with $a \sim 3.99$ Å, $b \sim 3.90$ Å, $c \sim 10.6$ Å, and $β \sim 89.9°$ [23, 32]. Tiny secondary phases of Eu$_2$O$_3$ and FeAs$_2$ are discernable, yet no 122-type phase of Eu(Fe$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ can be detected. The amount of each phase was quantitatively evaluated by the Rietveld analysis. The result (for details see Fig. S1 and Table S1 of the Supplemental Material (SM) [37]) indicates that most samples contain over 90 wt% main phase, and the contents of Eu$_2$O$_3$ and FeAs$_2$ are only a few percent. It is emphasized that these impurities do not interfere with the magnetic properties of the samples, since no magnetism appears in either Eu$_2$O$_3$ [38] or FeAs$_2$ [39].

The lattice constants were calculated by a least-squares fit. The obtained lattice parameters are plotted as functions of Ni content, as shown in Fig. 1(b). First of all, the $β$ values are slightly deviated from 90°, independent of Ni doping. The result indicate that Ni doping does
not affect the monoclinic distortion. Secondly, the cell parameters $a$ and $b$ increase slowly with $x$. The increments are 0.0050 Å (0.13\%) and 0.0053 Å (0.14\%) for $a$ and $b$ axes, respectively, at $x = 0.15$. In contrast, the $c$ axis decreases steadily, and the reduction is 0.061 Å (0.58\%) at $x = 0.15$. Consequently, the cell volume (not shown in the figure) decreases by 0.31\% at $x = 0.15$. The systematic changes in lattice parameters confirm the Ni incorporation into the lattice. The decrease in cell volume can be understood by the smaller ionic radius of Ni$^{2+}$ (compared with that of Fe$^{2+}$), whereas the slight expansion in the basal plane suggests an effective electron doping. Note that such a Ni-doping effect on the lattice expansion in the basal plane suggests an effective electron constant was also observed in other iron-based pnictides such as La(Fe$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ [40], Eu(Fe$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ [41], and RbEu(Fe$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$)$_3$As$_4$ [42].

B. Electrical resistivity

Figure 2 shows the temperature dependence of normalized electrical resistivity, $\rho(T)/\rho_{300K}$, for the Eu(Fe$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ polycrystalline samples. At high temperatures $\rho(T)$ is almost linear, while a few anomalies appear at low temperatures. As for the parent compound EuFeAs$_2$, a resistivity upturn at $T_m \sim 40$ K and a resistivity kink at $T_{SDW} \sim 105$ K can be clearly seen, which are attributed to the magnetic ordering in the Eu sublattice and the SDW transition in the Fe sublattice, respectively [21, 33, 34]. With a very slight Ni doping at $x = 0.005$, the SDW anomaly is weakened, and $T_{SDW}$ is significantly reduced. The SDW-related kink cannot be detected at $x \geq 0.02$. Nevertheless, recent Mössbauer measurement reveals $T_{SDW} = 56.2$ K even at $x = 0.03$ [43], suggesting that measurement of $\rho(T)$ is not so sensitive to the SDW ordering. Accompanied with the suppression of SDW, SC emerges at low temperatures for the samples with $0.01 \leq x \leq 0.1$. Remarkably, upon only 1\% Ni doping, a superconducting transition can be seen at $T_m^{\text{mest}} \sim 4$ K, $T_c$ first increases with $x$, achieving the maximum at $x = 0.04$, and then decreases. Finally, no SC can be observed above 1.8 K for $x = 0.15$. The transition temperatures of $T_{SDW}^p$, $T_m^p$, and $T_c^p$, derived from the $\rho(T)$ curves, are given in Table II, where $T_c^p$ refers to the resistive transition midpoint.

Unlike to the dramatic reduction of $T_{SDW}$ and the non-monotonic changes of $T_c$ associated with the electronic states in the FeAs layers, the Eu ordering temperature $T_m$ decreases very slowly with the Ni doping. Furthermore, no resistivity anomalies associated with the reentrant spin-glass and spin-reoriented transitions at $T_{SG} \sim 15$ K and $T_{SR} \sim 7$ K (see Section III C below) can be detected. It is noted that the resistivity anomaly related to the magnetic ordering at $T_m$ is quite unusual. The typical response is a resistivity kink, as is seen in EuFe$_2$As$_2$ [42] and EuNi$_{0.95}$As$_2$ [43], since the magnetic ordering reduces the random spin scattering. Here in Eu(Fe$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$)$_2$As$_2$, however, the As$_2$ plane is demonstrated to be metallic [27, 28] even with Dirac-cone like band dispersion [41], which is likely to contribute the conductivity appreciably (conversely this also explains relatively weak anomaly at the SDW transition). The observed resistivity upturn suggests that the magnetic ordering at $T_m$ probably affects the low-energy electronic state in the As$_2$ plane. Further investigations using angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy technique may clarify this issue.

C. Magnetic properties

The magnetic susceptibility ($\chi$) of Eu(Fe$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ demonstrates dominant Curie-Weiss (CW) paramagnetism above the magnetic transition temperature, $T_m \sim 40$ K. Figure 3 shows the magnetic susceptibility data of two representative samples with $x = 0.02$ and 0.15. As can be seen, $1/\chi$ is virtually linear with $T$ at high temperatures, and its extrapolated intercept goes to zero temperature. The result indicates small values of $\chi_0$ and $\Theta$ in the general CW formula of $\chi = \chi_0 + C/(T-\Theta)$. Indeed, such a CW fit yields $\chi_0$ fluctuating from $-7.5 \times 10^{-4}$ to $6.3 \times 10^{-4}$ emu/mol, and $\Theta$ fluctuating from $-2.2$ to 3.2 K. The scattered results are related to the mutual correlations between $\chi_0$ and $\Theta$ in the data fitting. Nevertheless, it is definite that $\Theta$ is much lower than $T_m$, suggesting existence of both antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic interactions that basically cancel out. This is different from the 122 system of Eu(Fe$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ [41] and the 1144 system of RbEu(Fe$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$)$_4$As$_4$ [45], both of which show dominant ferromagnetic interactions. We will discuss on this issue later on.

We thus fit the $\chi(T)$ data using a simplified CW formula (namely, the Curie law), $\chi = C/T$, in order to

FIG. 2. Temperature dependence of normalized resistivity of Eu(Fe$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ polycrystalline samples. The data are shifted upward one by one for clarity. $T_{SDW}$, $T_m$, and $T_c$ denote the transition temperatures of spin-density wave, Eu-spin magnetic ordering, and superconductivity, respectively. The dashed lines are a guide to the eye.
Table I. Summary of physical-property parameters of Eu(Fe₁₋ₓNiₓ)As₂ derived from the measurements of electrical resistivity (with superscript ρ) and magnetic susceptibility (with superscript χ). T_{SDW}, T_c, T_{m}, T_{SG}, and T_{SR} denote the temperatures of spin-density-wave transition, superconducting transition, Eu-spin ordering, Eu-spin-glass reentrance, and Eu-spin reorientation, respectively. μ_{eff} is the effective magnetic moment obtained from the data fitting with Curie law. The symbol “-” represents the case that was not able to be detected.

| x    | T_{SDW}(K) | T_c(K) | T_m(K) | T_{SG}(K) | T_{SG}(K) | T_{SR}(K) | μ_{eff}(μB/Eu) |
|------|------------|--------|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| 0    | 105        | -      | -      | 40.0      | 39.5      | 15.5      | 5.4            |
| 0.005| 92         | -      | -      | 39.7      | 39.7      | 15.7      | 5.3            |
| 0.01 | 85         | 2.7    | 2.2    | 39.5      | 39.5      | 15.5      | 5.3            |
| 0.02 | -          | 8.4    | 8.2    | 39.5      | 39.5      | 15.2      | 5.3            |
| 0.03 | 56.2       | 13.1   | 12.9   | 39.8      | 39.6      | 14.7      | 5.1            |
| 0.04 | -          | 17.6   | 17.6   | 39.7      | 38.5      | 15.5      | 5.2            |
| 0.05 | -          | 16.4   | 16.1   | 37.7      | 37.2      | 16.5      | 4.5            |
| 0.06 | -          | 15.4   | 15.2   | 37.1      | 36.7      | 15.6      | -              |
| 0.07 | -          | 13.4   | 13.2   | 36.5      | 36.7      | 15.7      | -              |
| 0.08 | -          | 10.5   | 10.2   | 36.1      | 35.6      | 15.7      | 5.1            |
| 0.09 | -          | 8.1    | 7.6    | 35.7      | 34.9      | 15.7      | 5.1            |
| 0.10 | -          | 4.4    | -      | 34.7      | 34.2      | 15.6      | 5.3            |
| 0.15 | -          | -      | -      | 32.8      | 31.6      | 15.5      | 5.4            |
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**FIG. 3.** Temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility for EuFe₀.₉₈Ni₀.₀₂As₂ (a) and EuFe₀.₈₅Ni₀.₁₅As₂ (b) under a magnetic field of 1 kOe. The right axis plots the reciprocal of susceptibility. The solid lines show the fitting with Curie law in the temperature from 75 to 300 K. The insets are the close-ups which clearly show the successive magnetic transitions at T_{SG} and T_{SR} (See text for the details).

assess the effective magnetic moment reliably. The fitted Curie constants are C = 8.294 and 7.395 emu K/mol, respectively, for x = 0.02 and 0.15. Thus the effective magnetic moments μ_{eff} = 8.14 and 7.79 μB are yielded, which are very close to the theoretical value of 7.94 μB for a free Eu²⁺ ion. In fact, the μ_{eff} values of other samples in the Eu(Fe₁₋ₓNiₓ)As₂ series fall within 7.94±0.3 μB (see Table I), demonstrating the Eu²⁺-ion state with spin S = 7/2.

Below T_c, two additional anomalies at T_{SG} ~ 15 K and T_{SR} ~ 7 K can be seen in the insets of Fig. 3 which were respectively attributed to a spin-glass transition and a reentrant spin canting [34]. The spin-glass transition is confirmed by the ac susceptibility measurement which shows a shift of T_{SG} to higher temperatures with increasing the frequency of the ac field applied (see Fig. S2 in the SM [37]). Meanwhile, the magnetic anomaly at T_{SR} is also observable in the ac susceptibility measurement. Considering the reentrant spin-glass transition in EuFe₂(As₁₋ₓPₓ)As₂ and other related systems [2, 9] and the spiral magnetic structure in EuNi₂As₂ [44], we argue that the low-temperature anomalies are probably associated with a reentrance of spin glass and spin reorientation.

Figure 4 shows the temperature dependence of magnetization under a low field of H = 10 Oe in both ZFC and FC modes. The spin-glass transition is more easily identified by the bifurcations (yet the spin reorientation is less discernable). Also, the expected superconducting transition can be clearly seen with diamagnetism at low temperatures for 0.02 ≤ x ≤ 0.09. The transition temperatures are basically consistent with those of the resistance measurements above. In the case of T_c ≥ T_{SG} (0.04 ≤ x ≤ 0.06), the superconducting transitions can be observable in both ZFC and FC data. The phenomenon is different from that of the Eu-containing ferromagnetic superconductors in which the
χ_{FC} data do not show diamagnetism below $T_m$ because of the spontaneous vortex ground state \[ T_c \] (Ref. 13, 15). The strongest superconducting diamagnetism ($-0.09 \text{ emu/g}$) is found for $x = 0.06$, equivalent to $\sim 60\%$ of the full diamagnetism, suggesting bulk SC. For the cases with $T_c < T_{SG}$, however, only the ZFC data could tell the superconducting transition. This is because the spin-glass state is easily magnetized by an external field, which traps superconducting vortices in the FC mode.

The close-up plots of Fig. 4 show that the χ(T) data actually start to bifurcate at $T^*$, a few Kelvins above $T_m$ for all the samples. This bifurcation behavior is reminiscent of a cluster-spin glass. The closeness between $T_m$ and $T^*$ suggests that both transitions should originate from similar magnetic exchange interactions. Also noted is that only a tiny jump in the specific heat is observable at $T^*$ (see below). Therefore, this extra anomaly should come from an extrinsic origin. Based on the poor crystallinity as revealed by XRD, the cluster-glass behavior is likely to be due to the structural distortion and/or compositional inhomogeneity. As such, these defects modify the magnetic interactions, which may lead to a cluster-glassy state in stead of a long-range antiferromagnetic order. In addition, the extrinsic defects may induce local strains, such that the magnetic transition temperature is altered according to the recent high-pressure Mössbauer study on Eu(Fe_{1-x}Ni_x)As_2 (Ref. 23).

Figure 4 shows the field dependence of magnetization for the representative samples of Eu(Fe_{1-x}Ni_x)As_2 with $x = 0.03, 0.06, 0.09$ and 0.15. The $M(H)$ curves are essentially linear at $T \geq 40$ K (data of $T > 40$ K are not shown for clarity). Below $T_m \sim 40$ K, $M(H)$
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remains roughly linear, and the magnetization at $H = 50$ kOe is about 1.8 $\mu_B$, which is far below $gS = 7.0$ $\mu_B$ expected for ferromagnetic alignment of Eu spins. The result is in contrast with the $M(H)$ behaviors which show a saturated magnetization of $\sim 7.0$ $\mu_B$ under $H \lesssim 10$ kOe in Eu(Fe$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ [41], EuFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$ [4], and RbEu(Fe$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$)$_4$As$_4$ [15]. It is thus concluded that Eu-spin antiferromagnetism is dominant in the Eu(Fe$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ system.

Nevertheless, deviations from linearity are obvious in the $M(H)$ curves below 40 K. To examine the subtle changes, we made a substraction using the 40-K data as the reference, yielding $\Delta M = M_T - M_{40K}$, as was done in our previous work [34]. The resulted $\Delta M$, shown in the upper-left insets of Fig. 6 indicates non-monotonic variations. A metamagnetic-like transition appears at $H \approx 30$ kOe. In the low-field regime, the $\Delta M(H)$ behavior can be basically accounted for in terms of the coexistence of SC and weak FM. In the lower-right insets of Fig. 6, the diamagnetism due to the superconducting transition can be observed in the initial magnetization curves for $x = 0.03$ and 0.06. As for $x = 0.09$, a tiny diamagnetism in the initial magnetization is still discernable, consistent with the diamagnetism shown in Fig. 5(j). The residual magnetization at 2 K is about 0.015 $\mu_B$/f.u., equivalent to a small internal field of $\sim 15$ Oe. At $x = 0.06$, a dominant superconducting contribution is observed at low temperatures, presumably because the lower critical magnetic field is higher than the spontaneous internal field (the case of Meissner state). For $x = 0.15$, only a ferromagnetic-like hysteresis loop shows up with an enhanced residual magnetization of 0.033 $\mu_B$/f.u. at 2 K.

D. Heat capacity

Figure 6(a) shows the specific-heat data for the Eu(Fe$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ samples with $x = 0$, 0.03, 0.04, 0.05 and 0.09. The Eu-spin ordering is confirmed by the specific-heat jump at $T_m$, as is clearly seen in the inset. $T_m$ decreases with increasing $x$, consistent with the resistive and magnetic measurement results above. Meanwhile, an additional anomaly at $T^*$ can be detected, coinciding with the magnetic susceptibility bifurcation shown in the insets of Fig. 3.

The low-temperature specific-heat data were analyzed...
data above 15 K is noisy due to some unknown reasons,\[\text{T}\] in the low temperatures. The position of heat difference by subtracting the transitions. Shown in the inset of Fig. 6(b) is the specific-heat difference in terms of \[\text{T}\], which makes it difficult to identify the superconducting transitions for \[x = 0.04\] and \[0.05\]. In the case of \[x = 0.09\], the slope change at around 8 K could be due to the superconducting transition.

E. Phase diagram and Discussion

Using the data summarized in Table II we mapped out the magnetic and superconducting phase diagram of Eu(Fe\(_{1-x}\)Ni\(_x\))As\(_2\), which is shown in Fig. 7. Firstly, the SDW order is suppressed with the Ni doping, and it survives up to \[x \sim 0.04\]. Meanwhile SC emerges from \[x = 0.01\] to \[x = 0.1\]. As a result, there is an overlap \((0.01 \leq x \leq 0.04)\) exhibiting coexistence of SC and SDW. Coincidentally, the maximal \(T_c\) shows up at which the SDW tends to disappear. Secondly, the antiferromagnetic transition temperature \(T_m\) associated with the Eu spins decreases steadily and slowly with the Ni doping. The decrease of \(T_m\) may be associated with the electron doping as well as the slight increases of \(a\) and \(b\) axes as shown above. The Eu-spin ordered state undergoes reentrant spin-glass and spin-reorientation transitions at \(T_{SG} \sim 15\) K and \(T_{SR} \sim 7\) K, respectively, independent of Ni doping. The \(T_{SG}\) and \(T_{SR}\) lines cut the superconducting dome on the top and at the bottom, respectively, which gives rise to rich phenomena of the interplay between SC and weak FM.

The phase diagram associated with FeAs layers is commonly seen in other iron-based superconductors. It is well known that Ni doping in iron-pnictide systems introduces extra itinerant electrons, which generally suppresses the SDW order and then leads to SC.
Therefore, the present system gives an additional example demonstrating the universal superconducting phase diagram. Nevertheless, the details differ. One is that SC appears at a slight Ni-doping level, yet with an SDW ordering temperature as high as 85 K. The second is that the optimal Ni-doping level (with a maximum $T_c$) is $x = 0.04$, which is less than half of that in 122-type Ba$(Fe_{1-x}Ni_x)_2As_2$ [48]. These two characters suggest that the 112 system is closer to a superconducting state. Thirdly, the SC region is much broader than that of La$(Fe_{1-x}Ni_x)_2AsO$ [10], suggesting that the doping-induced disorder is much less significant in the 112 system. Indeed, there have been many reports in 112 systems showing the effectiveness of Fe-site dopings for achieving bulk SC [28, 49, 50].

It is informative to compare the phase diagram with that of the 122-type Eu$(Fe_{1-x}Ni_x)_2As_2$. As is shown in the inset of Fig. 1c, the most striking difference is that SC is absent in Eu$(Fe_{1-x}Ni_x)_2As_2$. In most Eu-containing 122 systems, as a matter of fact, SC is overwhelmed by the Eu-spin magnetism when $T_m > T_c$ [2, 4]. By contrast, SC is robust for $T_m \sim 40$ K in the present 112 system. Additionally, the maximal $T_c$ (17.6 K) is close to the counterpart (20.5 K) of an Eu-free system of Ba$(Fe_{1-x}Ni_x)_2As_2$ [15], suggesting an insignificant influence of Eu spins on SC. Another obvious difference is about the Eu-spin ordering. In the 122 system, the Eu-spin ordering temperature is about 18 K, and the Eu spins become ferromagnetically ordered at the high Ni-doping regime [11]. In the present 112 system, however, $T_m$ is much higher and, the $T_{SG}$ is close, to the Eu-spin ordering temperature of the 122 system.

Then, how can we understand the complex Eu-spin magnetism? The crystal structure shown in Fig. 1c gives a clue to the answer. Unlike the symmetrical coordinations of Eu$^{2+}$ ions in the 122 system, the Eu$^{2+}$ ions in Eu$(Fe_{1-x}Ni_x)_2As_2$ are asymmetrically coordinated by four As2 atoms in the zigzag-As plane and another four As1 atoms from FeAs layers. The Eu-spin ordering temperatures of EuFe$_2$As$_2$ and EuNi$_2$As$_2$ are 19 K and 14 K, respectively [51]. Therefore, the RKKY interactions mediated by the conduction electrons in (Fe,Ni)As layers are not likely to account for the 40-K magnetic transition. Instead, the metallic As2 sheets probably play the dominant role for the 40-K antiferromagnetism (this naturally explains the robustness of SC in the presence of the antiferromagnetism). On the other hand, the RKKY interactions mediated by the FeAs layers is basically ferromagnetic [42]. Then, there must be a competition between AFM and FM within an Eu layer, which could cause the observed spin glass and spin reorientation. A similar competition between AFM and FM was proposed for explanation of the spin-glass reentrance in EuFe$_2$(As,P)$_2$ [6]. Note that the dominant ferromagnetic interactions mediated by FeAs layers tend to cancels out the antiferromagnetic interactions mediated by the As sheets in EuFeAs$_2$. Thus the vanishing small value of CW temperature (in spite of a high Néel temperature) in EuFeAs$_2$ can be understood.

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have successfully synthesized nearly single-phase samples of 112-type iron pnictide Eu$(Fe_{1-x}Ni_x)_2As_2$ ($0 \leq x \leq 0.15$). The gradual incorporation of Ni is corroborated by the systematic changes in lattice parameters. SC emerges for a slight Ni doping with $x = 0.01$. The superconducting transition temperature $T_c$ first increases and then decreases, showing a maximum of 17.6 K at $x = 0.04$. Meanwhile, the SDW order is suppressed by the Ni doping, and it disappears at $x \geq 0.05$. The superconducting phase diagram is qualitatively similar to those of most iron-based superconductors.

The Eu spins have little impact on the SDW and SC, meanwhile, the complex Eu-spin magnetism is only slightly influenced by the Ni doping. The dominant antiferromagnetic ordering at $T_m \sim 40$ K is probably due to the RKKY interactions mediated by the metallic spacer layers composed of zigzag As chains, and the subsequent spin-glass and spin-reorientation reentrances are associated with the competition between the AFM of Eu (mediated by the As2 atoms) and the FM interactions mediated by the FeAs layers. The robustness of SC against the exchange interactions suggests that the Fe-3d conduction electrons selectively participate in the superconducting Cooper pairing (the conduction electrons involved in the RKKY interaction do not contribute to SC) [8]. Finally, with the zigzag As chains and the reentrant Eu-spin magnetism, one expects that the Eu$(Fe_{1-x}Ni_x)_2As_2$ system could exhibit additionally interesting topological states as theories predicted [30, 32].
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