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Abstract The purpose of this study was to investigate travel motivations of European senior tourists to Thailand by adopting the theory of push and pull motivations as a conceptual framework. The objectives of this study were to investigate travel motivation and intention to revisit of European senior tourists to Thailand. Using factor analysis, this study identified six push factors and six pull factors. From the analysis, the relationships between push and pull factors had the highest correlation were “Rest & Relaxation” and “Shopping & Travel Distance”. The results of regression analysis indicated three push factors: “(1) Novelty/Knowledge Seeking”, “(2) Ego-Enhancement”, and “(5) Socialization” and three pull factors: “(1) Cultural & Historical Attractions”, “(5) Leisure Activities & Affordable”, and “(6) Relaxation Activities” were found to significant impact to the intention to revisit of European senior tourists to Thailand.
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1. Introduction

The senior population has been continuously rising because of longer life expectancy. Recognizing the fact that we are rapidly becoming a “gray society” and several studies explored the theoretical linkage between aging and profession (Uysal 1993). Recently, senior tourism is a fast-growing form of tourism which has attracted the attention of the tourism industry around the world. The characteristics of senior travelers have become an important area of interest because of the market size and its potential for growth (Horneman, Carter, Wei, & Ruy, 2002). The past studies showed that population age 55 years and above represented one of the fastest growing segments of the world’s population (Shoemaker, 1989). Senior people not only have more leisure time, but also have abundant financial assets.

Tourism Authority of Thailand (2015) believes that senior people are the first target group has the potential and purchasing power due to the increasing number of senior people up to 10% and they can travel without time constraints. In Thailand 2009, all population was 61,937,651 people and senior people more than 55 years were 9,994,184 people. In travel each time, a senior people spend money average 910 baht per person or including their companion spend money average 1,852 baht. The number of senior people in market tourism was 4,578,000 people, and the revenue from senior tourism was 44,226,000 baht.

The Tourism Authority of Thailand has laid down three measurable rules to assess a tourist segment; these are cluster, size, and growth. First, the global senior tourists are an outstanding cluster that has different needs and wants from other tourist groups. Second, the size of global senior tourists is substantial enough to be a lucrative segment for any national marketing campaign. Third, the global senior tourist segment is growing and actually growing more rapidly than any other tourist groups. According to the Tourism Authority of Thailand (2015), the number of European tourist arrivals to Thailand January – December 2011 by frequency of visit, the first visit of European tourist was 1,849,755 tourists and revisit to Thailand was 3,083,679 tourists. According to the Ministry of Tourism and Sports, Thailand (2015), the number of inbound European tourists from January to December 2015 was 3,805,011 tourists, from January to December 2014 was 4,083,835 tourists, from January to July 2013 was 3,694,477 tourists, and from January to December 2012 was 5,650,619 tourists. The outcomes of the Ministry of Tourism and Sports, Thailand (2011), noted that the number of European tourists aged 55-64 was 530,287 tourists, and aged 65 and over was 171,261 tourists.

Thailand has the capacity to develop as a senior tourist destination due to the good reputation of Thai hospitality, the variety of tourism attractions and available activities, the offer of beach, mountain, rural and urban tourism options, the existing image of Thailand as a health tourism destination, etc. However, Thailand lacks a coordinated
policy for developing further the senior tourism market. Therefore, this study was to investigate travel motivations of European senior tourists to Thailand by adopting the theory of push and pull motivations as a conceptual framework. Specially, the objectives were (1) to investigate push and pull factors that influence the European senior tourists to visit Thailand, (2) to identify European senior travel motivation determinants by examining the relationship between push and pull factors, socio-demographic variables, and intention to revisit Thailand, and (3) to identify the overall travel experiences of European senior tourists.

2. Methodology

The data used in this research were collected from European senior tourists who were aged 55 years and above, arriving from European countries and stay in Thailand on December 2015. Using a convenience sampling method, data were collected at Suvarnabhumi International Airport by departure at Silom Road, BTS Saradaeng, Pattaya, and Samui. To ensure a high return and usable rate, questionnaires were collected and checked for completeness. A total 500 usable questionnaires were obtained.

The questionnaire was developed based on comprehensive review literature of push and pull motivation (Jang & Wu, 2006; Sangpikul, 2008; Batra, 2009). Based on the review of literature, a total of 20 motivational items were generated for each set of push and pull factors were rated on 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). The questionnaire was divided into four sections. First, the sample was profiled by socio-demographic characteristics. Second, containing push factors (reasons/desires for traveling), respondents were asked to indicate their agreement with the statements describing their reasons for traveling abroad. Third, containing pull factors (destination-based attributes), respondents were asked to rate the attractiveness of destination attributes that draw them to Thailand. Fourth, intention to revisit Thailand was asked to rate on 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree).

The data analysis consisted of sixth steps. First, a profile of the sample was obtained from the socio-demographic questions. Second, descriptive statistics were used to compute the mean score on ratings of push and pull motivation items by European senior tourists. Third, principal component factor analysis with varimax rotation was performed to identify underlying dimensions associated with push and pull motivations of European senior tourists. Only items with factor loading greater than 0.4 were retained for each factor grouping. Factors with eigenvalues greater than 1 were reported in the final factor structure. Fourth, bivariate correlation analysis conducted to examine the relationships among the push and pull factors derived from factor analysis. Fifth, multiple regression analysis, using the 0.05 level of significance, was employed to examining push and pull factors will positive impact to the intention to revisit Thailand. Sixth, multiple regression analysis, using the 0.05 level of significance, was employed to examine whether socio-demographic characteristics influence push and pull factors.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Sample Profile

The socio-demographic characteristics of the sampled European senior tourists were profiled and presented in Table 1. The proportion of male respondents (54%) was higher than that of females (46%). The majority of respondents were married with children (38.6%). About 23.2% had education at the graduated college degree. The socio-demographic profile showed that 45.6% of European senior tourists aged between 65-74 years old. The respondents had different occupations, 33.2% were business owner-self-employees, 13.4% were professional, technicians, and housewife, 12.6% were retired, 11.6% were company employee, and 9.8% were other occupation. The majority of respondents came from United Kingdom 9.4%, and from Denmark 8.4%. The respondents had income source 40.6% was own savings and 20.8% was pension. About 34.4% the respondents came to Thailand about three times, 20.6% came to Thailand two times, 16% came to Thailand four times, 14.6% came to Thailand one time, and 14.4% came to Thailand more than four times.

3.2. Mean Scores Ratings of Push and Pull Motivation Items by European Senior Tourists

Table 1, ranking the mean of push and pull motivational items, the results indicated that the top five push motivation items which most participated by European senior tourists when visiting Thailand were: 1) “I want to enjoy and make myself happy while traveling.”, 2) “I want to experience cultures that are different from mine.”, 3) “This is the time I can escape from the ordinary or routine environment at home.”, 4) “I can fulfill my dream and self-curiosity about the country I want to visit.”, 5) “I can talk about the places visited and the things I have seen in a foreign country.”. The finding showed some similarities with previous studies (Sangpikul, 2008; Jang and Wu, 2006; Heung et al., 2001) And the top five pull motivation items which most participated by European senior tourists when visiting Thailand were: 1) “Cultural and historical places/sites”, “Thai food”, 2) “Thai arts and cultures”, 3) “Thai temple.”, 4) “availability of travel related information”, 5) “seasides/beaches”. 
Table 1. Mean Scores Ratings of Push and Pull Motivation Items by European Senior Tourists

| Motivational Items                                      | Mean (SD) | Rank |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------|------|
| **Push motivational items**                             |           |      |
| I want to enjoy and make myself happy while traveling.  | 4.15(0.806) | 1    |
| I want to experience cultures that are different from mine. | 4.05(0.854) | 2    |
| This is the time I can escape from the ordinary or routine environment at home. | 4.04(0.873) | 3    |
| I can fulfill my dream and self-curiosity about the country I want to visit. | 4.00(0.873) | 4    |
| I can talk about the places visited and the things I have seen in a foreign country. | 3.98(0.854) | 5    |
| **Pull motivational items**                             |           |      |
| Cultural and historical places/sites                     | 4.13(0.75)  | 1    |
| Thai food                                               | 4.13(0.838) | 1    |
| Thai arts and cultures                                  | 4.09(0.807) | 2    |
| Thai temples                                            | 4.07(0.773) | 3    |
| Availability of travel related information               | 4.05(0.784) | 4    |
| Seaside/beaches                                         | 4.04(0.796) | 5    |

Table 2. Push Motivation Factors of European Senior Tourists

| Push factors (reliability alpha)                        | Factor | Eigenvalue | Variance | Mean |
|---------------------------------------------------------|--------|------------|----------|------|
| Loading Explained                                       |        |            |          |      |
| Factor 1: Novelty/ Knowledge-Seeking (0.696)            | 4.718  | 23.591%    | 3.899    |      |
| I want to see something new and exciting.               | 0.698  |            |          |      |
| I want to see something different that I don't normally see. | 0.636  |            |          |      |
| I just want to travel, to go somewhere and do something in different environment. | 0.630  |            |          |      |
| I want to enhance my knowledge about a foreign country. | 0.629  |            |          |      |
| I want to travel to a country that I have not visited before. | 0.556  |            |          |      |
| Factor 2: Ego -Enhancement (0.609)                      | 1.617  | 8.087%     | 3.941    |      |
| I can talk about my travel experience with other people after returning home. | 0.752  |            |          |      |
| I can spend more time with my couple or family members while traveling. | 0.662  |            |          |      |
| I can talk about the places visited and the things I have seen in a foreign country. | 0.656  |            |          |      |
| Factor 3: Fulfilling Prestige (0.603)                    |        | 1.344      | 6.718%   | 3.945|
| I want to visit a country which most people value and appreciate. | 0.664  |            |          |      |
| I want to go to the places my friends want to go.       | 0.533  |            |          |      |
| Factor 4: Rest & Relaxation (0.652)                     | 0.758  | 1.149      | 5.747%   | 3.954|
| This is the time I can escape from the ordinary or routine environment at home. | 0.742  |            |          |      |
| This is the time I can escape from stress in daily life. |        | 0.799      |          | 4.011|
| Factor 5: Socialization (0.487)                         | 1.060  | 5.300%     |          |      |
| I want to learn and meet new people.                    | 0.799  |            |          |      |
| I can fulfill my dream and self-curiosity about the country I want to visit. | 0.628  |            |          |      |
| I want to enjoy and make myself happy while traveling.  | 0.415  |            |          |      |
| Factor 6: Cultural Enrichment (0.502)                   | 1.027  | 5.136%     | 3.953    |      |
| I want to see how other people live and their way of life. | 0.785  |            |          |      |
| I want to experience cultures that are different from mine. | 0.669  |            |          |      |
| I want to see and meet different groups of people.      | 0.471  |            |          |      |
| Total variance explained                                |        | 54.579%    |          |      |

3.3. Factor Analysis of Push and Pull Motivation

In this study, it was important to analyze the dimension/factor of the push and pull items in order to better understand the principal driving forces of the senior tourists than looking at individual motivation items (Jang and Wu, 2006). Factor analysis was used to group the push and pull motivation items with similar characteristics to determine a set of push and pull factors (Table 2 and 3). Six push were derived from the factor analysis of factors analysis of 19 push motivation items and one item was deleted (Table 2) and were labeled: (1) Novelty/Knowledge-Seeking, (2) Ego-Enhancement, (3) Fulfilling Prestige, (4) Rest & Relaxation, (5) Socialization, and (6) Cultural Enrichment. These factors explained 54.579 percent of the variance. Out of the six underlying push factors; ‘socialization’ emerged as the most important factor to motivate the European senior tourists with the mean score of 4.011. The reliability alphas to check internal
consistency of items within each factor ranged from 0.487 to 0.696.

A factor analysis with varimax rotation was also used to group pull motivation items into similar characteristics (Table 3). Six pull factors included: (1) Cultural & Historical Attractions, (2) Travel Arrangements & Facilities, (3) Shopping & Travel Distance, (4) Safety & Cleanliness, (5) Leisure Activities & Affordable, and (6) Relaxation Activities. These factors explained 53.317 percent of the variance. Out of the six underlying pull factors; 'cultural & historical attractions', 'relaxation activities', and 'leisure activities & affordable' emerged as the three most important factors to motivate the European senior tourists with the mean score of 4.062, 4.034, and 4.018, respectively. The reliability alphas to check internal consistency of items within each factor ranged from 0.487 to 0.640.

### 3.4. Bivariate Correlation Analysis among Push and Pull Factors

In this study, bivariate correlation analysis conducted to examine the relationships among the push and pull factors derived from factor analysis. Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r) which indicates the strength and direction of the relationship between two variables (Cavana et al., 2001), were determined to measure the association between push and pull factors. Table 4, showed the Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r) between each push and pull factors ranged from 0.112 - 0.093, indicating low to high relationship. From the analysis, the first highest correlation between push factor (4) Rest & Relaxation and pull factor (3) Shopping & Travel Distance (r = 0.093). The second highest correlation between push factor (2) Ego-Enhancement and pull factor (5) Leisure Activities & Affordable (r = 0.092).

**Table 3. Pull Motivation Factors of European Senior Tourists**

| Pull factors (reliability alpha) | Factor | Eigenvalue | Variance | Mean |
|----------------------------------|--------|------------|----------|------|
|                                  | Loading| Explained  |          |      |
| Factor 1: Cultural & Historical Attractions (0.640) | 3.478 | 17.388% | 4.062 |
| Thai temples. | 0.76 | | |
| Thai arts and cultures. | 0.757 | | |
| Cultural and historical places/sites. | 0.608 | | |
| Natural scenery and landscape. | 0.458 | | |
| Factor 2: Travel Arrangements & Facilities (0.639) | 2.317 | 11.583% | 3.769 |
| Convenience of traveling and ease of tour arrangement. | 0.763 | | |
| Tour programs offered by tour companies. | 0.736 | | |
| Quality of tourist places and facilities. | 0.548 | | |
| Factor 3: Shopping & Travel Distance (0.487) | 1.504 | 7.521% | 3.943 |
| A variety of shopping places. | 0.676 | | |
| A variety of tourist attractions. | 0.607 | | |
| Travel distance and time difference. | 0.506 | | |
| Factor 4: Safety & Cleanliness (0.521) | 1.231 | 6.155% | 3.763 |
| Hygiene and cleanliness. | 0.779 | | |
| Safety and security. | 0.716 | | |
| Weather. | 0.533 | | |
| Factor 5: Leisure Activities & Affordable (0.498) | 1.103 | 5.514% | 4.018 |
| Thai food. | 0.641 | | |
| Friendliness of Thai people. | 0.632 | | |
| Availability of travel related information. | 0.418 | | |
| Reasonable price of goods and services. | 0.414 | | |
| Factor 6: Relaxation Activities (0.519) | 1.031 | 5.156% | 4.034 |
| Thai spa and traditional massage services. | 0.716 | | |
| Seasides/beaches. | 0.625 | | |
| Total variance explained | 53.317% | | |
Table 4. Correlation Analysis of Push and Pull Factors

| Push Factors | (1) Cultural & Historical Attractions | (2) Travel Arrangements & Facilities | (3) Shopping & Travel Distance | (4) Safety & Cleanliness & Affordable Activities | (5) Leisure Activities & Affordable Activities | (6) Cultural & Relaxation Activities |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| (1) Novelty/Knowledge-Seeking | 0.180** |                       |                           |                                |                                |                           |
| (2) Ego-Enhancement | 0.247** |                           | 0.149** |                                |                                | 0.092* |
| (3) Fulfilling Prestige |                        | 0.166** |                             |                                |                                | 0.166**   |
| (4) Rest & Relaxation |                       |                           | 0.093* | 0.134** |                                | 0.117** |
| (5) Socialization |                           |                           |                             |                                | 0.112* |                          |
| (6) Cultural |                       |                           |                             |                                | 0.228** |

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)
* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)

3.5. Intention to Revisit Thailand

In this study was to examine push and pull factors will positive impact to the intention to revisit Thailand. Table 5, showed the results of regression analysis and its coefficients indicated the relationship between independent variables (push factors) and dependent variable (intention to revisit Thailand). It indicated that only three push factors: (1) Novelty/Knowledge Seeking (Beta = 0.239*), (2) Ego-Enhancement (Beta = 0.124*), and (5) Socialization (Beta = -0.156*) were found to significantly impact (p < 0.05) on the intention to revisit Thailand.

Table 5. Regression Analysis of Push Factors will Impact on Intention to Revisit Thailand

| Independent Variables | Intention to Revisit |
|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Push Factors |                        |
| (1) Novelty/Knowledge-Seeking | 0.239** |
| (2) Ego-Enhancement | 0.124** |
| (3) Fulfilling Prestige | -0.021 |
| (4) Rest & Relaxation | 0.045 |
| (5) Socialization | -0.156** |
| (6) Cultural Enrichment | 0.048 |

F-value 9.281**
R Square 0.179
Adjusted R Square 0.167

*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01

3.6. Seniors’ Travel Motivation Determinants

One of the main objectives of this study was to test whether gender, marital status, educational level, age group, occupation, country of residence, income source, and times to visit Thailand would be able to significantly explain the variances in push and pull factors. Table 7, the results suggested that gender, marital status, educational level, age group, and occupation were not significant explanatory variables in push factors. Table 8, the results suggested that only educational level was not significantly affected the pull factors. Based on these results, it can be summarized that there are significant differences in the motives to travel (push factors) and the perception of destination attractions of Thailand (pull factors) among different socio-demographic of the European senior tourists.
Table 7. Regression Analysis of Socio-Demographic Characteristics will Impact Push Factors

| Push Factors | Novelty/Knowledge-Seeking | Ego-Enhancement | Fulfilling Prestige | Rest & Relaxation | Socialization | Cultural Enrichment |
|--------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Gender       | -0.010                    | -0.036          | -0.050              | 0.010             | -0.059       | 0.004               |
| Marital Status| 0.002                     | -0.071          | -0.008              | 0.003             | -0.038       | 0.018               |
| Educational Level | 0.056                   | -0.048          | 0.037               | -0.018            | 0.013        | -0.043              |
| Age Group    | -0.003                    | 0.082           | -0.037              | -0.018            | -0.053       | -0.038              |
| Occupation   | 0.016                     | 0.029           | -0.005              | -0.016            | 0.062        | -0.040              |
| Residence    | 0.147**                   | 0.098*          | -0.127**            | 0.029             | 0.114**      | 0.090*              |
| Income Source| -0.138**                  | -0.098*         | 0.086               | 0.021             | 0.075        | 0.029               |
| Times to Visit| -0.105*                   | 0.016           | -0.038              | -0.090            | 0.071        | 0.090               |
| F-value      | 3.670**                   | 2.197*          | 1.801               | 0.630             | 2.377*       | 1.228               |
| R Square     | 0.057                      | 0.035           | 0.029               | 0.010             | 0.037        | 0.140               |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.041              | 0.019           | 0.013               | -0.006            | 0.022        | 0.020               |

* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01

Table 8. Regression Analysis of Socio-Demographic Characteristics will Impact Pull Factors

| Pull Factors | Cultural & Historical Attractions | Travel Arrangements & Facilities | Shopping & Travel Distance | Safety & Cleanliness | Leisure Activities & Affordable | Relaxation Activities |
|--------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Gender       | 0.064                            | -0.007                           | -0.138**                  | 0.012                | 0.006                         | -0.046                |
| Marital Status| -0.007                           | -0.039                           | -0.040                    | 0.038                | -0.014                        | -0.184**              |
| Educational Level | -0.043                        | -0.053                           | 0.000                     | -0.027               | -0.015                        | -0.055                |
| Age Group    | 0.046                            | 0.038                            | -0.037                    | 0.053                | -0.110*                       | 0.015                 |
| Occupation   | 0.049                            | -0.074                           | 0.025                     | -0.122**             | 0.022                         | 0.011                 |
| Country of Residence | 0.145**                       | -0.058                           | 0.011                     | -0.058               | 0.097*                        | -0.008                |
| Income Source| -0.026                           | 0.053                            | 0.035                     | -0.031               | -0.164**                      | -0.037                |
| Times to Visit| -0.050                           | -0.014                           | 0.043                     | -0.053               | 0.005                         | -0.114**              |
| F-value      | 2.327*                           | 1.169                            | 1.521                     | 1.852                | 3.395**                       | 4.442**               |
| R Square     | 0.037                            | 0.019                            | 0.024                     | 0.029                | 0.052                         | 0.067                 |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.021                         | 0.003                            | 0.008                     | 0.013                | 0.037                         | 0.052                 |

* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01

4. Conclusions

The purpose of this study was to investigate travel motivations of European senior tourists to Thailand by adopting the theory of push and pull motivations as a conceptual framework. Using factor analysis, this study identified travel motivation factors based on push and pull model. The six push factors were labeled: (1) Novelty/Knowledge-Seeking, (2) Ego-Enhancement, (3) Fulfilling Prestige, (4) Rest & Relaxation, (5) Socialization, and (6) Cultural Enrichment. The six pull factors were labeled: (1) Cultural & Historical Attractions, (2) Travel Arrangements & Facilities, (3) Shopping & Travel Distance, (4) Safety & Cleanliness, (5) Leisure Activities & Affordable, and (6) Relaxation Activities. Among the identified motivation factors, ‘socialization’ as a push factor and ‘cultural & historical attractions’, ‘relaxation activities’, and ‘leisure activities & affordable’ as a pull factor were viewed as the most important motivations by the European senior tourists.

From the analysis, the relationships between push and pull factors indicated that the value of highest correlation between push and pull factors were 0.093 and 0.092. The first highest correlation between push factor (4) “Rest & Relaxation” and pull factor (3) “Shopping & Travel Distance” (r = 0.093). The second highest correlation...
between push factor (2) “Ego-Enhancement” and pull factor 
(5) “Leisure Activities & Affordable” ($r = 0.092$). The
results suggested that “Rest & Relaxation” and
“Ego-Enhancement” were significantly related to the
“Shopping & Travel Distance” and Leisure Activities &
Affordable” and motivated to European senior tourists visit
Thailand. It should be noted that this relationship can
provide important implications to industry practitioners for
developing the product and motivating European senior
tourists. In other words, both push and pull factors can help
them to understand why people travel and where they go. In
addition, push factors helped identify different forces that
influenced people to consider taking a vacation, while pull
factors can determine the forces that attract them to select a
destination (Klenosky, 2002).

Using regression analysis to examine push factors and
pull factor will positive impact to the intention to revisit
Thailand, the results indicated three push factors:“(1)
Novelty/Knowledge Seeking”, “(2) Ego-Enhancement”, and
“(5) Socialization” and three pull factors: “(1) Cultural &
Historical Attractions”, “(5) Leisure Activities &
Affordable”, and “(6) Relaxation Activities” were found to
significant predictor of revisit intention to Thailand. Hence,
push and pull factors have indeed different effects on revisit
intentions in different settings. Prayag (2012) indicated that
senior tourists were more likely to revisit if they were
motivated by escape and relaxation, while recommendation
intentions were predicted by the pull factor of cultural
attractions and accommodation.

With regard to the socio-demographic variables, the
present study found that education level was not associated
with both push and pull factors. This means that, among the
socio-demographic variables, only education level cannot
significantly explained the variances in European senior
tourists’ motivations. Based on these results, it can be
summarized that there are significant differences in the
motives to travel (push factors) and the perception of
destination attractions of Thailand (pull factors) among
different socio-demographic of the European senior tourists.

5. Recommendations and Implications

Regarding the results of push and pull factor analysis, the
major motives stimulating European senior tourists visiting
Thailand are related to the needs to see and to experience
something new, exciting and different from their own
culture. In addition, the present study showed some
similarities with other studies, e.g. Horneman et al., 2002;
Jang and Wu, 2006, Sangpikul, 2008, indicated that the
motive of “Knowledge Seeking” was a key push factors for
people to travel both domestically and internationally.
Furthermore, the findings of this study are also similarities
to (Jang and Wu, 2006 and Sangpikul, 2008), discovered
that “Historical Sight” appeared to one of the most
important pull factors among Taiwanese tourists.

Understanding motivational variations with regard to
socio-demographic characteristics should help tourism
industries to develop effective marketing programs to attract
the senior tourists. By using multiple regression analysis to
examine push and pull factors among different
socio-demographic characteristics. The results indicated
that country of residence, income source, and times to visit
Thailand were found to be significant differences in
“Novelty/Knowledge-Seeking”. And educational level was
not associated with both push and pull factors. The results of
present study were differences with Sangpikul (2008)
identified that gender and education level tended to be more
motivated by “Novelty/Knowledge-Seeking” when traveling to
Thailand.

Knowing push and pull motivations will also allow travel
service providers to be ready to meet or even exceed what
tourists want. Jang and Wu (2006) indicated that
“Novelty/Knowledge-Seeking” was the most important
push factor and suggested what they have to prepare in
order to meet senior tourists’ expectation, while
“Cleanliness & Safety” emerged as the most important pull
factor. Understanding motivational variations with regard to
socio-demographic characteristics should help tourism
industries to develop effective marketing programs to attract
the senior tourists. The results of present study indicated a
number of important differences in the push and pull factors
for different socio-demographics of the target. Therefore,
the results of the present study can provide useful
implications for both policy makers and industry
practitioners to effectively design the products or tourism
strategies corresponding to the needs and wants of the
target.

This study has limitations in association with data used.
This study used a convenient sampling method and data
were collected on the during Thailand’s political situation.
Therefore, the result may not truly reflect their actual
motivations and perception because political situation may
affect the travel motivation factors, attitude, intention to
revisit, and their travel experiences. Thailand’s political
may influence the assessments while they were on the site
locations.
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