Macro scale analysis of Syrah vineyards under winter growing cycles: Agronomical and ecophysiological responses
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ABSTRACT: In Southeast Brazil, the change of grape harvest from wet summer to dry winter through double-pruning management has improved the quality of wines, currently denominated winter wines. In order to better understand the influences of soil, macroclimate, and vineyard management in winter wines, we investigated seven vineyards in the states of Minas Gerais (Três Corações – TC, Três Pontas – TP, Coridália – COR, São Sebastião do Paraíso – SSP and Andradas – AND) and São Paulo (Itobi – ITO and Espirito Santo do Pinhal – PIN) during three consecutive growing seasons. The vineyards are located in warm temperate zones and grouped in four soil types: Acrodux in TC, AN and SSP, Hapluud in TP, Haplustud in AND and PIN; Eutrudept in ITO. The high clay content (> 35 %) observed in all soil types, associated to low evapotranspiration demand, avoided the occurrence of severe water stress, as observed by the high values of leaf and stem water potential, stomatal conductance, photosynthesis, and transpiration. Differences in vigor were more related to vineyard management and did not affect grape composition. Among vineyards, parameters for berry quality from ITO, such as sugar and acidity, were more associated to high soil sand content and winter temperature. No significant differences were found in anthocyanins and total phenols of berries among vineyards, suggesting that the high thermal range and low precipitation during autumn-winter, historically observed in all municipalities, seemed to be the main factor for improvement of phenolic compounds.
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Introduction

Traditionally, in Southeast Brazil, wine grapes are harvested during the summer (Dec – Jan), a period of heavy precipitation, which increases cluster rot incidence and impairs berry ripening. However, studies have shown an improvement of grape quality by changing the harvest period from wet and warm summer to dry and mild autumn – winter through double-pruning management (Favero et al., 2011; Regina et al., 2011; Brant et al., 2018). Under this management, the vines are first spur pruned at the end of winter (Aug – Sept) to develop the vegetative cycle, where all clusters are removed. The productive cycle starts after a second spur pruning, performed in Jan – Feb, to allow grape harvest during the winter period (July – Aug) (Favero et al., 2011).

Studies have reported that the low rainfall and high thermal range of the autumn-winter season are favorable to sugar accumulation and synthesis of phenolic compounds in berries from grapevines grown under warm temperate and tropical conditions in southeastern Brazil (Favero et al., 2011; Mota et al., 2011a,b; Regina et al., 2011). In this region, the wine elaborated with grape harvested during the winter is called “winter wine” and awards in several national and international competitions have recognized its quality.

Recently, viticulture in southeastern Brazil has grown considerably. In this site, approximately 150 ha of vines are cultivated under double-pruning management (Brant et al., 2018). In these vineyards, the Syrah cultivar has shown the best performance with higher yield (Regina et al., 2011).

Grape composition, mainly phenolic compounds, is highly influenced by terroir. Terroir includes characteristics, such as soil type, climate (sunlight, temperature and rainfall), topography and human actions and their influence on grape quality and, therefore, wine quality and specificities (Ballantyne et al., 2019; Coggins et al., 2019; Van Leeuwen, 2010).

As a first attempt to identify geographical indications for winter wine in Brazil, this study aimed to understand the potential and typicality of wines elaborated with Syrah grapes grown in different terroir zones of the southeastern region of Brazil in the autumn-winter season. Vine development, yield, and berry composition of Syrah wines were characterized in seven vineyards in the states of Minas Gerais (MG) and São Paulo (SP) during three consecutive growing seasons under different soil types, climate, and vineyard management. We expect this research provides a protected geographical indication for winter wines in the near future.

Materials and Methods

Vineyard description

The study was carried out during 2016, 2017 and 2018 growing seasons in seven Syrah commercial vineyards located in different municipalities of Southeast...
Brazil: Cordislândia (COR), Andradas (AND), São Sebastião do Paraíso (SSP), Três Pontas (TP), and Três Corações (TC) in Minas Gerais; and Espírito Santo do Pinhal (PIN) and Itobi (ITO) in São Paulo. The selected vineyards were between 10 and 15 years old. In general, the experimental sites are located at an average of 900 meters above sea level, the average temperature is 20 °C (± 0.5) and the average total annual precipitation is 1462 mm (± 82). All sites are located near the coffee region of MG and SP (Figure 1).

All Syrah grapevines used in this study were grafted onto rootstock 1103 Paulsen (Vitis berlandieri × Vitis rupestris), trained in vertical shoot position with bilateral cordon spacing 2.5 m × 1.0 m (4000 plants ha⁻¹) and pruned in two-node spurs, for both pruning, totaling 20 latent buds per plant, on average.

Double-pruning management was applied according to Favero et al. (2011). The first pruning was done in Aug (vegetative cycle) for latent bud formation and the second was done in Jan in lignified shoots (reproductive cycle) for grape production. In both cycles, the buds were sprayed after pruning with hydrogen cyanamide at 5% to stimulate and standardize the budburst. During the vegetative cycle, all clusters were removed when the berries were at green pea stage.

All cultural practices were performed according to viticulturists of the farms. The 2016 data from the TC vineyard was not included in the study because fruitfulness of latent bud developed in previous season (Aug to Sept of 2015) was drastically reduced by high downy mildew attack.

Climate data were obtained from Climate.Data.org (https://pt.climate-data.org/), an online platform that makes available worldwide historical weather data. Results are expressed as means of a period of 30 years, from 1982 to 2012 (Table 1).

Soil profile samples were collected in the central part of each experimental area plot and described according to Santos et al. (2015) and characterized according to Soil Survey Staff (2014) (Table 2). Sand, silt, and clay contents were determined according to Gee and Bauder (1986).

**Vegetative vigor and ecophysiological evaluations**

During the ripening period, vegetative vigor (leaf area and pruning weight), photosynthesis, transpiration, stomatal conductance and vine water status were evaluated to characterize the ecophysiological performance of vines in all vineyards, using 10 replicates per site (one vine per replicate). The leaf area per vine was estimated through nondestructive method. Single leaf area was estimated using the equation $y = 41.5501 - 5.0167x + 0.5269x^2$; where $y$ is the estimated single leaf area and $x$ is the sum of lengths of two main lateral leaf veins (Regina et al., 2000). The average single leaf area was obtained from eight to 10 leaves per shoot and the average shoot leaf area from four shoots per vine. The total leaf area per vine was calculated by multiplying the average shoot leaf area by the total number of shoots per vine. The pruning weight of vines was measured one month after grape harvest in the same vines sampled for leaf area estimation. All shoots per vine were pruned
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**Figure 1** – Geographic location of the vineyards in Minas Gerais and São Paulo States.
and weighted using a digital balance, except for COR (2016 season) and TP (2016 and 2017 seasons) because the experimental sites had already been pruned by viticulturists when the data was collected.

Vine water status, stomatal conductance, and gas exchange measurements were performed only in 2017 and 2018, due to the availability of instruments. Water status corresponded to the water potential of the stem.

### Table 1 – Geographic location and historical climatic data of municipalities in Southeast Brazil producing Syrah grapes under double-pruning management.

| Region                      | Köppen Climate Classification | Climatic Variable          | Months          | Year     |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|----------|
| Três Corações               | Cwa                           | Mean temperature (°C)      | 23.2            | 23.2     |
|                             |                               | Minimum temperature (°C)   | 17.2            | 16.3     |
|                             |                               | Maximum temperature (°C)   | 28.9            | 26.7     |
|                             |                               | Thermal amplitude (°C)     | 11.7            | 12.9     |
|                             |                               | Precipitation (mm)         | 246             | 205      |
| Três Pontas                 | Cwa                           | Mean temperature (°C)      | 22.9            | 21.7     |
|                             |                               | Minimum temperature (°C)   | 17.2            | 15.8     |
|                             |                               | Maximum temperature (°C)   | 28.6            | 26.7     |
|                             |                               | Thermal amplitude (°C)     | 11.4            | 11.9     |
|                             |                               | Precipitation (mm)         | 249             | 212      |
| Cordislândia               | Cwa                           | Mean temperature (°C)      | 23.2            | 21.7     |
|                             |                               | Minimum temperature (°C)   | 17.4            | 15.6     |
|                             |                               | Maximum temperature (°C)   | 29.1            | 26.4     |
|                             |                               | Thermal amplitude (°C)     | 11.6            | 12.3     |
|                             |                               | Precipitation (mm)         | 252             | 194      |
| Andradas                   | Cwa                           | Mean temperature (°C)      | 22.3            | 22.2     |
|                             |                               | Minimum temperature (°C)   | 17.1            | 16.6     |
|                             |                               | Maximum temperature (°C)   | 27.6            | 27.8     |
|                             |                               | Thermal amplitude (°C)     | 10.5            | 11.2     |
|                             |                               | Precipitation (mm)         | 275             | 214      |
| Itobi                       | Cwa                           | Mean temperature (°C)      | 22.9            | 22.5     |
|                             |                               | Minimum temperature (°C)   | 17.8            | 17.1     |
|                             |                               | Maximum temperature (°C)   | 28.4            | 26.9     |
|                             |                               | Thermal amplitude (°C)     | 10.2            | 10.9     |
|                             |                               | Precipitation (mm)         | 243             | 202      |
| Espírito Santo do Pinhal   | Cwb                           | Mean temperature (°C)      | 21.7            | 21.6     |
|                             |                               | Minimum temperature (°C)   | 16.7            | 16.2     |
|                             |                               | Maximum temperature (°C)   | 26.8            | 27.2     |
|                             |                               | Thermal amplitude (°C)     | 10.1            | 10.8     |
|                             |                               | Precipitation (mm)         | 280             | 227      |
| São Sebastião do Paraiso   | Cwb                           | Mean temperature (°C)      | 21.3            | 20.6     |
|                             |                               | Minimum temperature (°C)   | 17.0            | 15.9     |
|                             |                               | Maximum temperature (°C)   | 25.6            | 25.4     |
|                             |                               | Thermal amplitude (°C)     | 8.6             | 9.5      |
|                             |                               | Precipitation (mm)         | 275             | 215      |

### Table 2 – Soil classification and physicochemical properties of vineyards.

| Region                      | Soil classification | Soil Structure | pH | SBS | SOM | Texture class |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----|-----|-----|---------------|
| TC                          | Acrudox 1           | Granular       | 5.67 | 37.4 | 1.9 | Clay          |
| COR                         | Acrudox 2           | Granular       | 6.03 | 50.9 | 2.1 | Clay          |
| TP                          | Hapludox           | Granular       | 5.87 | 53.4 | 1.5 | Clay          |
| SSP                         | Acrudox 3           | Granular       | 6.57 | 46.4 | 1.6 | Clay Loam     |
| AND                        | Hapludox 1         | Blocks         | 5.83 | 51.3 | 1.2 | Clay Loam     |
| PIN                        | Hapludox 2         | Blocks         | 5.18 | 40.1 | 1.2 | Clay Loam     |
| ITO                        | Eutrudept          | Blocks         | 6.3  | 64.7 | 0.9 | Clay Loam     |

*SBS = soil base saturation; **SOM = soil organic matter; TC = Três Corações; COR = Cordislândia; TP = Três Pontas; SSP = São Sebastião do Paraiso; AND = Andradas; PIN = Espírito Santo do Pinhal; ITO = Itobi.
Yield components and fruit composition

Grape ripeness was monitored in each site and for each winegrower to determine the optimum harvest date. At harvest, the number and weight of bunches were recorded on ten representative plants in all vines to estimate yield per vine and per hectare. Mean berry weight was estimated from a sample of 120 berries in ten replicates per vineyard. These berries were crushed in a polyethylene bag, filtered, and the resulting juice was immediately analyzed for total soluble solids (TSS; ºBrix) with a portable refractometer, and the pH of undiluted juice of each sample was determined using a Mettler pH meter, and titratable acidity (TA) was determined by titration of diluted juice with 0.1 mol L⁻¹ of NaOH to a phenolphthalein end point at pH 8.2 and expressed as g L⁻¹ tartaric acid [OIV, 2009].

Total anthocyanins and phenolic compounds were determined using ten replicates of 60 berries per treatment. The berry skin was removed, dried at room temperature, weighed, crushed with liquid nitrogen, and stored at –80 ºC until the analyses. To determine the phenolic compounds, 0.2 g of the crushed skin were homogenized in Ultra Turrax in acidified methanol [1 % HCL] solution. Anthocyanins were determined by the differential pH method [Giusti and Wrolstad, 2001]. Total phenolics were analyzed by the Folin-Ciocalteau method based on a standard curve of gallic acid [Bergqvist et al., 2001].

Statistical analysis

The Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was performed on berries composition (Soluble solid, acidity, total anthocyanin and total phenolics) during the three harvests using the MetaboAnalyt Program [www. metaboanalyst.ca] to investigate the trends or group formations of berries from different regions. Then, agronomic and ecophysiological data were submitted to the analysis of variance (ANOVA) for each year using the SISVAR [Computer Statistical Analysis System, version 5.6]. The Scoot Knott test (p < 0.05) was carried out to compare the treatment means.

Results

Macroclimate and vineyard soil description

Vineyards under double-pruning management were located between 20 and 22º of latitude south and between 45 and 47º of longitude west at 840 to 1270 meters above sea level. All vineyards were located in a warm temperate zone with dry winter, defined as Cw type, according to the Köppen classification [Alvares et al., 2013]. During the winter, precipitation is around 140 mm and during the summer, around 900 mm (Table 1). The viticultural zones were divided into two groups based on mean temperature during the hottest months of the year: Warm > 22 ºC at TC, TP, COR, AND and ITO; Mild < 22 ºC at SSP and PIN. From May to July, when grape maturation occurs, the average minimum temperature in the Warm group is 9.2 ºC (± 1.2) and average maximum is 24.4 ºC (± 0.5), whereas in the Mild group is 10.1 ºC (± 1.2) and 23.1 ºC (± 0.5), respectively. The highest thermal range [15 ºC ± 0.12] occur at TC, COR and TP, followed by AND, PIN and ITO [13.8 ºC ± 0.10], whereas the lowest thermal range [12.6 ºC ± 0.9] occurs at SSP.

The study sites can be grouped into four soil types: Acruadox at TC, COR and SSP, Hapludox at TP, Hapludult at AND and PIN and Eutrudept at ITO (Table 2). Acruadox and Hapludox soils are deep and mostly clayey with granular structure in the B-horizon, which promotes higher water permeability. Hapludult has increasing clay content increasing in depth and typical block structure in the B-horizon, which can decrease water infiltration. Eutrudept is shallow with the lowest water storage capacity among all four soil types. The Eutrudept soil at ITO had the lowest OM content (1 %) content among all four soil types while Acruadox at COR had the highest OM content (2 %).

Vegetative vigor and ecophysiological behavior of Syrah vines

The estimated vine leaf area during the ripening period and the pruning weight measured after grape harvest differed between vineyards in all seasons (Table 3). Syrah leaf area ranged from 2.41 to 6.51 m² per vine, depending on vineyard and growing season. In general, vines showed the highest leaf area at ITO, TC, and TP, whereas the lowest leaf area was observed in PIN, AND, and SSP in most years. In all growing seasons, PIN was always among the vineyards with the highest [around 0.5 kg per vine] pruning weight while at AND, SSP, and COR showed the lowest weight [around 0.34 kg per vine] values. In most growing seasons, the vineyard at ITO showed the highest leaf area and pruning weight while vineyards at SSP and AND had the lowest vegetative vigor. In contrast, Syrah vines at PIN showed low leaf area values and high pruning weight, probably favored
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by fertigation in this region during the beginning of shoot development.

Stem ($\Psi_{\text{stem}}$) and leaf ($\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$) water potentials varied between $-0.42$ and $-0.71$ MPa and $-0.73$ and $-1.35$ MPa, respectively (Table 4). In both seasons, the vineyard at COR showed always higher $\Psi_{\text{stem}}$ and $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$, whereas vineyards at AND and ITO had lower water potentials. The rates of photosynthesis, stomatal conductance, and transpiration did not seem to be affected by vine water status, since some vineyards with the lowest values of $\Psi_{\text{stem}}$ and $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ showed the highest values of photosynthesis, transpiration, and stomatal conductance (Table 4).

**Yield and grape composition**

In all vineyards, yield increased during the years mainly, due to a larger number of clusters (Table 3). Depending on the vineyard and growing season, the number of bunches per vine ranged from seven to 26, weight ranged from 84 to 135 g per bunch, production ranged from 0.5 to 3.6 kg per vine, whereas yield ranged from two to 14 t ha$^{-1}$. During the last two years (2017 and 2018), the highest production was observed at TP (11.08), the lowest yield in all growing seasons.

Grape composition differed between vineyards and seasons. Berries had a pH ranging from 3.16 to 3.87, total soluble solids from 18.05 to 25.79 °Brix and total acidity from 4.67 to 8.09 g L$^{-1}$ tartaric acid (Table 5). The anthocyanin content ranged from 0.91 to 1.35 mg g berry$^{-1}$, whereas total phenolics ranged from 2.77 to 4.22 mg g berries$^{-1}$ (Table 5).

### Table 3 – Vegetative vigor (leaf area and pruning weight) and yield components of Syrah grapevines from different vineyards in Southeast Brazil during 2016, 2017, and 2018 winter–growing seasons.

| Vineyard | Leaf area (m$^2$ vine$^{-1}$) | Pruning weight (kg vine$^{-1}$) | Cluster (number vine$^{-1}$) | Cluster weight (g) | Yield vine$^{-1}$ (kg) | Yield (t) |
|----------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------|
|          | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| TC       | -    | 4.18 | C    | 6.51 | C    | 4.20 | a    | -    | 9.21 | b    | 25.81 | b    | -    | 109.07 | d    | 118.84 | b    | -    | 8.35 | b    | 12.60 | c    |
| COR      | 2.41 | a    | 3.85 | c    | 6.17 | C    | -    | 0.29 | b    | 0.36 | a    | 16.00 | b    | 22.30 | b    | 24.30 | b    | -    | 97.22 | a    | 70.62 | b    | 134.90 | b    |
| TP       | 2.42 | a    | 4.94 | d    | 6.16 | C    | -    | 0.53 | b    | 9.40 | a    | 21.01 | b    | 26.31 | b    | 102.84 | b    | 90.11 | a    | 109.01 | a    | 1.03 | b    | 3.95 | a    | 4.12 | b    |
| SSP      | 3.60 | b    | 2.73 | a    | 3.29 | a    | 0.38 | b    | 0.26 | a    | 0.42 | a    | 7.40 | a    | 13.50 | a    | 15.20 | a    | 83.94 | a    | 33.42 | a    | 105.15 | a    | 0.84 | a    | 0.51 | a    | 3.61 | a    |
| AND      | 2.54 | a    | 3.50 | b    | 3.48 | a    | 0.27 | a    | 0.31 | a    | 0.43 | a    | 14.33 | a    | 18.66 | b    | 18.73 | a    | 147.58 | a    | 133.88 | a    | 131.42 | b    | 1.48 | d    | 2.58 | c    | 5.92 | c    | 10.32 | b    | 10.16 | b    |
| PIN      | 2.41 | a    | 3.92 | c    | 2.92 | a    | 0.53 | a    | 0.57 | a    | 0.50 | b    | 15.50 | b    | 18.90 | b    | 19.60 | a    | 111.56 | a    | 101.24 | d    | 125.56 | b    | 1.15 | c    | 1.89 | b    | 4.63 | b    | 7.56 | b    | 10.52 | b    |
| ITO      | 5.48 | c    | 4.49 | d    | 5.40 | b    | 0.28 | a    | 0.56 | b    | 18.10 | a    | 19.11 | b    | 22.52 | b    | 116.50 | b    | 110.10 | a    | 101.37 | a    | 1.17 | c    | 2.12 | b    | 4.68 | c    | 14.36 | c    | 14.36 | c    |

Values are means of ten replicates in three winter harvests (2016, 2017, and 2018 growing seasons). Means followed by the same letters in each season were not significantly different (p < 0.05) by the Scott Knott test. TC = Três Corações; COR = Cordislândia; TP = Três Pontas; SSP = São Sebastião do Paraíso; AND = Andradas; PIN = Espirito Santo do Pinhal; ITO = Itobi.

### Table 4 – Vine water status (stem and leaf water potential – $\Psi_{\text{stem}}$ and $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$), photosynthesis, stomatal conductance, and transpiration of Syrah during the ripening stage in different vineyards of Southeast Brazil, during 2017 and 2018 winter growing seasons.

| Vineyard | $\Psi_{\text{stem}}$ (MPa) | $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ (MPa) | Transpiration (mmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | Photosynthesis (µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | Stomatal conductance (mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) |
|----------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|          | 2017 | 2018 | 2017 | 2018 | 2017 | 2018 | 2017 | 2018 | 2017 | 2018 |
| TC       | -0.51 | c    | -0.53 | c    | -0.88 | c    | -0.99 | d    | 3.87 | c    | 4.27 | b    | 8.88 | b    | 11.01 | b    | 0.157 | b    | 0.181 | a    |
| COR      | -0.42 | d    | -0.48 | d    | -0.73 | d    | -0.88 | e    | 4.22 | c    | 6.01 | c    | 9.20 | b    | 12.00 | b    | 0.178 | c    | 0.260 | a    |
| TP       | -0.49 | c    | -0.57 | b    | -1.03 | b    | -1.04 | c    | 3.89 | c    | 5.36 | c    | 8.52 | b    | 12.38 | b    | 0.153 | b    | 0.198 | a    |
| SSP      | -0.47 | c    | -0.51 | c    | -0.78 | d    | -0.97 | d    | 2.62 | a    | 5.94 | e    | 6.10 | a    | 15.27 | c    | 0.099 | a    | 0.245 | b    |
| AND      | -0.66 | a    | -0.48 | d    | -1.24 | a    | -1.27 | b    | 3.39 | b    | 6.19 | c    | 9.62 | b    | 11.95 | b    | 0.128 | a    | 0.224 | b    |
| PIN      | -0.50 | c    | -0.59 | b    | -0.92 | c    | -1.05 | c    | 5.05 | d    | 2.90 | a    | 10.95 | c    | 9.13 | a    | 0.208 | c    | 0.179 | a    |
| ITO      | -0.61 | b    | -0.71 | a    | -1.09 | b    | -1.35 | a    | 4.62 | d    | 6.28 | c    | 10.95 | d    | 16.59 | d    | 0.205 | c    | 0.278 | c    |

Means followed by the same letters in each season were not significantly different (p < 0.05) by the Scott Knott test. TC = Três Corações; COR = Cordislândia; TP = Três Pontas; SSP = São Sebastião do Paraíso; AND = Andradas; PIN = Espirito Santo do Pinhal; ITO = Itobi.
Discussion

In this study, the vineyards under double-pruning management from different municipalities were characterized based on soil type, vegetative vigor, yield, and grape quality. The high variability in vine vigor and grape yield between vineyards seemed to be more related to vineyard management rather than soil and climate effects, mainly because vineyards under similar soil types showed differences in vigor components during the seasons (Tables 2 and 3). There was no relationship between vigor and soil type for most vineyards. Differences in canopy management from each viticulturist probably contributed to the wide variability in vigor among vineyards. Furthermore, differences in grape composition were not attributed to variation on vegetative vigor and productivity.

In general, Syrah vines at all sites ripened to full maturity. The levels of sugar, titratable acidity, anthocyanin, and total phenolic compounds (Table 5) of winter harvest remained within the range suitable to produce high quality wine (Ojeda et al., 2002; Penã-Neira et al., 2007) and within ranges usually observed for Syrah grapes from the main wine growing regions in the world (Keller et al., 2012; Ristic et al., 2007). This may help explain why winter wines from different

Table 5 – Grape composition at harvest in seven vineyards in Southeast Brazil.

| Vineyard | Berry Weight (g) | pH  | TSS (“Brix) | Acidity (g L⁻¹) | Total Anthocyanins (mg g berry⁻¹) | Total Phenolics (mg g berry⁻¹) |
|----------|------------------|-----|-------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|          | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| TC       | -   | 1.39 | c    | 1.25  | a    | -    | 3.45 | b    | 3.49  | a    | -    | 20.35 | b  | 20.81  | b  | -    | 6.13  | c    | 6.81  | d    | -    | 1.30  | b    | 1.24  | b    | -    | 3.06  | a    | 3.27  | b |
| COR      | 1.25 | b    | 1.10 | b    | 1.35 | b    | 3.16 | a    | 3.3  | a    | 3.46 | a    | 18.05 | a  | 19.15 | a  | 19.00 | a    | 8.09 | f    | 6.94 | d    | 6.54  | d    | -    | 1.22 | d    | 1.01 | a  | 1.02  | a  | 4.22  | d  | 3.29  | a  | 2.77  | a |
| TP       | 1.16 | a    | 1.12 | b    | 1.21 | a    | 3.59 | d    | 3.7 | d    | 3.72 | c    | 19.21 | b  | 20.60 | b  | 21.05 | b    | 6.19 | d    | 5.56 | b    | 5.72  | b    | 1.14 | c    | 1.21 | b    | 1.04 | a    | 3.79 | c    | 3.55 | a  | 2.86 | a |
| SSP      | 1.36 | c    | 0.96 | a    | 1.25 | a    | 3.66 | e    | 3.58 | c    | 3.69 | c    | 20.81 | c  | 25.24 | d  | 22.76 | c    | 5.97 | c    | 5.86 | c    | 6.06 | c    | 1.21 | d    | 1.14 | b    | 1.32 | b    | 3.53 | b  | 3.48 | b  | 3.53 | b |
| AND      | 1.23 | b    | 1.14 | b    | 1.27 | a    | 3.54 | c    | 3.47 | b    | 3.49 | a    | 21.31 | d  | 21.48 | b  | 20.44 | b    | 5.7 | b    | 6.34 | c    | 5.90 | c    | 1.35 | e    | 1.28 | b    | 1.07 | a    | 3.37 | b    | 3.18 | a  | 2.77 | a |
| PIN      | 1.32 | c    | 1.14 | b    | 1.23 | a    | 3.49 | b    | 3.46 | b    | 3.58 | b    | 21.21 | d  | 22.49 | c  | 22.86 | c    | 6.44 | e    | 6.07 | c    | 5.50 | b    | 0.91 | a    | 1.02 | a    | 0.98 | a    | 2.98 | a    | 3.14 | a  | 3.08 | a |
| ITO      | 1.29 | c    | 1.14 | b    | 1.20 | a    | 3.71 | c    | 3.75 | d    | 3.87 | d    | 21.99 | e  | 22.74 | c  | 25.79 | d    | 5.31 | a    | 4.67 | a    | 4.74 | a    | 1.08 | b    | 1.18 | b    | 0.98 | a    | 3.53 | b  | 3.49 | a  | 3.02 | a |

Means followed by the same letters in each season were not significantly different (p < 0.05) by the Scott Knott test. TC = Três Corações; COR = Cordislândia; TP = Três Pontas; SSP = São Sebastião do Paraiso; AND = Andradas; PIN = Espírito Santo do Pinhal; ITO = Itobi. TSS = Total soluble solids.

Figure 2 – Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of grape composition (soluble solids, acidity, total anthocyanin, and total phenolics) from seven vineyards in southeastern Brazil in 2016, 2017, and 2018 winter seasons. Andradas (AND), Cordislândia (COR), Itobi (ITO), Pinhal (PIN), São Sebastião do Paraiso (SSP), Três Corações (TC), and Três Pontas (TP).
geographical origins of southeastern Brazil have been awarded nationally and internationally. The high thermal amplitude and low rainfall during autumn-winter observed in all municipalities seemed to be the main factor for improvement of phenolic compounds and soluble solids, also reported in previous studies (Favero et al., 2011; Mota et al., 2010).

The main limiting factor for the adoption of double-pruning management in some locations of Southeast Brazil has been the low autumn-winter temperature during the grape-ripening stage. Mota et al. (2010) report that at the high altitudes (1,150 m) observed in the municipality of Caldas, southern Minas Gerais, grape and wine quality could be impaired by winter freezing temperature. In our study, most vineyards were located at elevation below 900 m, except for PIN (1,270 m) and AND (1,000 m) [Table 1]. According to historical regional climate data, however, vineyards at high altitudes are within climatic zones with the same thermal regimes, during the ripening period, as observed in zones of vineyards at low altitudes [Table 1].

Although rainfall is reduced from May to Aug [Table 1], the high clay content (> 35 %) observed in all soils, associated to the low evapotranspiration demand, due to air temperature reduction, prevented the occurrence of severe water deficit in vines during the maturation stage. The values of stem and leaf water potentials showed that Syrah vines had a moderate-to-weak water stress only at AND and ITO when compared to the reference values [Van Leeuwen et al., 2009] [Table 4]. Although these physiological variables are also highly dependent on atmospheric conditions, specifically vapor pressure deficit, temperature, and solar radiation, the high sand content in soils at ITO and AND possibly had lower water holding capacity therefore affecting the vine water status, compared to the other vineyards [Table 2]. Conversely, photosynthesis, transpiration, and stomatal conductance were not negatively affected. In all vineyards, results on photosynthesis, transpiration, and stomatal conductance are within the range usually observed in well-watered vineyards (Priet et al., 2010; Souza et al., 2003).

The PCA including all components of must composition separated only ITO and COR from the other vineyards, based only on the acidity and soluble solids contents. However, the effect of soil type and macroclimate might explain the highest sugar concentration and low acidity in berries only at ITO. Although the block structure and clay loam texture of Eutrudept soil of ITO possibly led to smaller berries, favoring sugar accumulation, there was no significant reduction of bunch and berry weight [Tables 3 and 5]. On the other hand, moderate water stress indicated by values of stem and leaf water potential of Syrah vines at ITO possibly enhanced grape ripening, as observed in some studies (Acevedo-Opazo et al., 2010; Deloire et al., 2004). Moreover, the highest photosynthetic rates also seemed to increase soluble solids [Table 4], since photosynthesis is the main source of sugar accumulation in berries (Conde et al., 2007). The highest photosynthetic rates observed in ITO vineyards were also possibly favored by the higher minimum and maximum temperature historically recorded at ITO, compared to the other municipalities [Table 1]. The lowest berry acidity at ITO was also more related to high air temperature than soil type, since malic acid degradation is stimulated by high diurnal temperature (Oliveira et al., 2019; Tonietto and Carboneau, 2004). The lowest total acidity of Syrah winter wines at ITO (4.92 g L⁻¹), compared to PIN (5.78 g L⁻¹), TC (5.30 g L⁻¹) and Caldas (6.20 g L⁻¹), has been attributed to higher diurnal berry temperature observed at ITO (24 °C), compared to PIN (21.48 °C), TC (20.78 °C), and Caldas (19.18 °C). Van Leeuwen et al. (2004) also observed that total grape juice acidity depends on the harvest and on the soil type, to a lesser extent.

Although the PCA highlighted COR with the lowest soluble solids and highest acidity in berries, final ripeness at COR was penalized mainly by early harvesting decision of the viticulturist. Grapes should be harvested later in the season, since the soil at COR has high clay and OM contents and consequent greater water retention. However, as mentioned in the methodology, the harvest time was established by the owner of the commercial vineyards.

Were found significant differences to anthocyanins and total phenols of berries between vineyards [Table 5]. However, according to the PCA, phenolic compounds [Figure 2] could not separate the vineyards. These results suggest that phenolic ripeness during autumn-winter season was less sensitive to soil characteristics and air temperature than sugar and acidity. As mentioned previously, the high thermal amplitude and low rainfall during ripening of the winter cycle were the main factors to increase synthesis and accumulation of anthocyanins and total phenols in wine grapes, compared to summer cycles in Southeast Brazil [Favero et al., 2011; Mota et al., 2010]. The slight differences in levels of phenolic compounds between viticultural zones could be due to a small variation in altitudes of vineyards and, therefore, small differences on thermal amplitude, the main climatic factor for the synthesis of phenolic compounds [Mori et al., 2005; Spayd et al., 2002].

In all vineyards, regardless of yield, Syrah vines fully ripened the grapes. The high yield (3 kg per vine) on clayey soils also showed high leaf area (> 6 m² per vine). Vines under single-canopy trellis systems are considered well balanced and capable to producing high-quality grapes when the ratio of leaf area/yield ranges from 0.8 to 1.2 m² kg⁻¹ [Kliewer and Dokoozlian, 2005] or the ratio of yield/pruning weight ranges from 5 to 10 (Smart et al., 1990). Thus, most vineyards could be considered unbalanced, since several vines showed higher values of leaf area/yield (> 1.4 m² kg⁻¹) and lower yield/pruning weight (> 2). However, temperature and light for maximum photosynthesis are frequently more limited under cold conditions of the winter season. Therefore, grapevines growing under low temperature of autumn-winter conditions of Southeast Brazil require mainly higher leaf area/fruit weight ratio to ripen their
crops. Dias et al. (2017) also showed that grape and wine composition of Syrah vines, grown during the winter cycle in southern Minas Gerais, was not impaired by the ratio higher than 2 m² of leaf area per kg of fruit.

**Conclusion**

The macro-scale evaluation of seven viticultural zones in Southeast Brazil, managed with double-pruning technique to change the harvest from wet summer to dry winter, showed similar grape compositions, despite soil or climate differences. Although characterized with four different soil types, all vineyards have high clay content, which allowed enough water supply during the dry winter season.

Variability of vine vigor and grape production was more correlated to vineyard management than to soil or weather conditions.

The content of soluble sugars and acidity of berries were the factors that most distinguished the vineyards. The soil type and higher temperature in Itobi resulted in higher soluble solids contents and lower acidity of berries, while in Cordislandia, the earlier harvest influenced these results in the opposite way. Anthocyanin and phenolic levels did not discriminate any vineyard, despite differences in soil and climate conditions.

The human factor, mainly harvest decision, is fundamental to have high quality berries to obtain the best expression of terroir in the autumn-winter viticulture in southeastern Brazil with the double-pruning technique. The natural characteristics of terroir, such as climate, soil, and agronomic expression of the grapevine, evidenced the potential of the region for high-quality wine production.
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