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Abstract: Rice husk is one of major agro-waste material in Sri Lanka. Rice Husk Ash (RHA) is produced by burning Rice husk as fuel in brick kiln. Rice husk ash produced by burning at high temperature contains pozzolanic constituents. In Sri Lanka, cement is extensively used to manufacture masonry blocks, although the cost of cement is high. Because of having highly pozzolanic constituents in RHA, it can be used as a building material, instead of cement, to produce cement sand masonry blocks.

It was frequently reported that compressive strength of RHA based blocks increases with increasing the RHA content up to 5% and further addition of RHA causes to decrease in compressive strength. In this study, an attempt is made to increase the utilization of RHA by adding Ca²⁺ to the mixture. Solid masonry blocks, having the size of 360 mm x 100 mm x 170 mm, were cast with the mix proportion of 1:6 Cement - Sand. Blocks were manufactured in two series. In the first series, RHA was used as addition with respect to weight of cement. In this series, four different RHA contents (i.e., 0%, 5%, 10%, and 15%) were used with constant lime content (10%). In the second series, RHA was used as partial replacement for cement with four different RHA contents (i.e., 5%, 10%, 15% and 20%) with constant lime content (10%). The blocks were tested for 7, 14 and 28 Day compressive strength. With the presence of lime (10%), the optimum 28 Day compressive strength was found at the level of 10% RHA. When RHA was used as addition, the optimum 28 Day average compressive strength of block was found as 4.937 N/mm². When RHA was used as partial replacement for cement, 28 Day average compressive strength of block was found as 3.467 N/mm². Thermal performances of the RHA lime based blocks were also investigated. It was found that thermal conductivity of RHA lime based block was lower compared with that of the conventional block. The RHA lime based blocks showed better structural and thermal performances. Increased use of RHA will reduce the unit cost of masonry block while improving sustainability.
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1. Introduction

Main occupation of Sri Lankans has been agriculture since of ancient times. As a result, rice production is being increased. Rice milling industry generates a lot of rice husk during milling of paddy, which comes from the fields. During milling of paddy, about 72% of the weight of the paddy is received as rice, 5% - 8% of broken rice and bran. About 20% - 22% of the weight of paddy is received as husk (Muthadhi et al. [8]). This husk contains about 75% organic volatile matter and the balance 25% of the weight of this husk is converted into ash during the firing process. This is known as Rice Husk Ash (RHA) (Agus [1]).

A mixture of sand, cement and water is extensively used to manufacture masonry blocks in Sri Lanka, although the cost of cement is high. Attempts have been made by previous researchers (e.g. Alireza et al. [2], Dolage et al. [6], Ghassan et al. [7], Nilantha et al. [9] and Oyekan et al. [10]) to investigate properties of different materials that can be used as replacement for cement in civil engineering construction field.

Rice Husk Ash has been included in several studies because of its highly pozzolanic constituents that can be used as a partial replacement material for cement to produce cement sand masonry blocks (Ghassan et al. [7], Nilantha et al. [9] and Oyekan et al. [10]).
Chemical composition of RHA that are available in different countries has been studied by different researchers in their previous studies (Agus [1], Ghassan et al. [7] and Nilantha et al. [9]). Nilantha et al. [9] has reported the properties of the Sri Lankan RHA, which was collected from a brick kiln. Agus [1] and Ghassan et al. [7] have investigated the properties of RHA obtained from control burning process. Chemical compositions of RHA reported in above mentioned studies are compared in Table 1.

### Table 1- Comparison of Chemical Composition of RHA (Wt. %)

|            | Nilantha et al. [9] | Ghassan et al. [7] | Agus [1] |
|------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------|
| SiO₂       | 91.75               | 88.32             | 89.08    |
| Al₂O₃      | 2.07                | 0.46              | 1.75     |
| Fe₂O₃      | 1.56                | 0.67              | 0.78     |
| CaO        | 1.3                 | 0.67              | 1.29     |
| MgO        | 1                   | 0.44              | 0.64     |
| Na₂O       | 0                   | -                 | 0.85     |
| K₂O        | 2.32                | 2.91              | 1.38     |
| Loss in ignition | -               | 5.81              | 2.05     |

Generally, a similar chemical composition of RHA can be observed. RHA contains around 88% - 92% amorphous silica (i.e. SiO₂). In the conversion of rice husks into ash, the combustion process removes the organic matter and leaves the silica rich residue. Rice husks are approximately cellulose (40%-45%), lignin (25%-30%), ash (15%-20%) and moisture (8%-15%) (Agus [1]). To reduce the amount of waste materials, rice husks are incinerated by controlled combustion to remove the lignin and cellulose, leaving behind an ash composed mostly of silica (retaining 25% of the mass of rice husks) (Agus [1]).

During the controlled burning process, the carbon content is burnt off and all that remains is the silica content. If rice husk was burnt in an uncontrolled manner RHA is less reactive (De Silva and Uduweriya [5], Dolage et al. [6] and Oyekan et al. [10]). The silica must be kept at a non-crystalline temperature in order to produce an ash with high pozzolanic activity. It has frequently been reported that the ideal temperature for producing such results is between 500 °C and 700 °C (De Silva and Uduweriya [5], Ghassan et al. [7] and Semasinghe et al. [12]). Rice husk burnt as fuel in brick kiln can also have pozzolanic activity as the burning process usually occurred at an increased temperature

and the produced RHA shows chemical compositions similar to the composition of RHA obtained from controller burning process (Table 1). In brick kiln, where the rice husks were burnt, the temperature varies from 550 °C to 750 °C (De Silva and Uduweriya [5]).

By adding pozzolanic material to mortar or concrete mix, the pozzolanic reaction starts when Ca(OH)₂ is released. Generated Ca(OH)₂ are dissolved in the water and produce Ca²⁺ and OH⁻ ions. The pH value of the mixture increases because of the hydration of cement. The Ca²⁺ and OH⁻ react with SiO₂ and Al₂O₃ and produce secondary cementitious products called “Tobermorite gel” (Calcium Silicate Hydrate(C-S-H) and Calcium Aluminates Hydrate(C-A-H)) that give strength to the cement paste. Previous researches have revealed that Ca²⁺ in the cement reacts with the silica in the RHA in the presence of moisture (Oyekan et al. [10]). These “Tobermorite” particles are responsible for important engineering properties such as compressive strength.

In previous studies, it was found that 28 Day compressive strength has developed with partial replacement of cement by RHA (i.e., at 5% cement was replaced by RHA) compared to 0% RHA (Nilantha et al. [9] and Oyekan et al. [10]). It can be expected that in the presence of moisture, the Ca²⁺ in the cement reacts with the silica in RHA and produces “Tobermorite gel”, which will be responsible for the strength gain of the paste. The increase of quantity of RHA decreases the compressive strength of the cement sand blocks as reported in the previous studies (Nilantha et al. [9] and Oyekan et al. [10]). This may be due to lack of Ca²⁺ in the cement to react with the silicate in RHA.

When constructing buildings, blocks play a major role by fulfilling some conventional properties of blocks such as compressive strength, water absorption, and thermal performance. In using blocks for walls, water absorption ability of blocks must be considered, because walls must have water resistance during the humid climate and rainy periods to minimize penetration of moisture or rain water into the inside of the building. When constructing a wall, burnt blocks should be immersed in water to absorb water, otherwise the water, which is in the mortar will be absorbed by the blocks and then the mortar layer will not be properly strengthened. Therefore, blocks must have water absorption
ability during the construction stage. After the construction, there should be a water resisting property through the blocks that will prevent penetration of rain water inside to the walls.

Consideration of thermal performance of the cement sand block is very important because thermally comfortable environment is required for any type of buildings. Thermal comfort is very important to many work-related factors. Considering workers in the industry, it can affect the level of distraction of the workers, and in turn affect their performance and productivity. To achieve a satisfactory thermal comfort level in most of the places air conditioning systems and fan systems are used. This increases energy consumption and creates an additional cost for operating the buildings. It would be profitable to use thermally insulating material for constructing walls while utilizing waste material for environmental friendly manner, such as RHA.

Objectives of the present study are:

- to investigate potential use of Rice Husk Ash (RHA) with lime to manufacture masonry blocks and
- to investigate compressive strength, water absorption and thermal performance of the block manufactured with RHA and lime.

It was hypothesized that Ca\textsuperscript{2+} that was added to the mixture would react with silicate in RHA and would produce secondary cementitious product, which would contribute to strength properties of the block. It was also hypothesized that adding of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} to the mixture, would have an effect on quantity of RHA that could be effectively used in the mixture. By adding hydrated lime, amount of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} could be increased. The amount of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} added to the mixture, and silica, available in RHA, were satisfied to form “Tobermorite gel”, which would give the strength to the cement block.

2. Methodology

Methodology includes selection of materials, manufacturing of masonry blocks with RHA and lime, and laboratory experiments.

2.1 Materials

**Rice Husk Ash:** Rice Husk Ash (RHA) was supplied from a brick kiln (Figure 1a) operating at Karapitiya, Galle. In this kiln, rice husk was burnt at an increased temperature and was the only material that was used as the fuel for the brick burning. The RHA collected from the kiln was free from any debris and consisted particles of different sizes (Figure 1b). The particle size of the RHA selected for the study was between 75 μm and 150 μm. RHA was sieved through 150 μm sieve pan and was collected the retained portion on 75 μm sieve pan. The sieved RHA that was prepared for block manufacturing is shown in Figure 1c.

**Sand:** The clean, sharp river sand was used in the study. The sand was free from clay, loam, dirt and any organic or chemical matters. The sand passing through 3 mm zone of British Standard test sieves as described in Sri Lanka Standard 855: 1989[13] was used.

**Cement:** The cement used in the study was Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) as described in Sri Lanka Standard 855: 1989[13].

**Water:** Fresh, colourless, odourless and tasteless potable water that was collected from the pipe borne water of National Water Supply and Drainage Board (NWS&DB) was used. Water was free from organic matters of any type as described in Sri Lanka Standard 855: 1989[13].

**Lime:** Hydrated lime available in the market (Figure 2) was used for block manufacturing work.
2.2 Manufacturing of Blocks

With different amounts of RHA and a constant amount of lime, the RHA based cement sand blocks were manufactured in two series. In the first series, similar to the previous study by Nilantha et al. [9], RHA was used as addition with respect to weight of cement. Four different RHA contents (i.e., 0%, 5%, 10%, and 15%) with constant lime amount (10%) were used. In the second series, RHA was used as partial replacement for cement with respect to the weight of cement. In this series, four different RHA contents (i.e., 5%, 10%, 15% and 20%) with constant lime amount (10%) were used.

Solid masonry blocks having the size of 360 mm x 100 mm x 170 mm were cast with mix proportion of 1:6 (Cement: Sand) by using local block manufacturing machine. Predetermined material quantities were measured by using weighing balance (Figure 2). In order to prepare mortar, the cement and RHA were thoroughly mixed and then the mixture was turned over number of times with the sand until an even colour and consistency were observed (Figure 3a). Measured quantity of hydrated lime was added to known volume of water. The water was mixed well to prepare uniform solvent (Figure 3b). The solution was filtered through a well cleaned piece of cloth. Then the solution was added to the mixture (Figure 3c). The mixture was further turned with a shovel until a mix of a sufficient workability was achieved. As RHA particles absorb more water, the water cement ratio was maintained at 0.7.

The mortar was transferred to full height (i.e., 170 mm) of the steel mould attached to the block manufacturing machine (Figure 4a) and vibro-compaction was performed on the mould for a period of 10 seconds, in order to have a proper compaction. After the first compaction, the mortar level reduced by 20% of the height of the mould. Then an additional amount of mortar was added to the mould and excess mortar was removed to get smooth surface. Vibro-compaction was performed for another 10 seconds, so as to get further compaction. After removal of the block from the mould (Figure 4b), they were left on the ground.

2.3 Curing of Blocks

Twenty four hours after the manufacturing of blocks, curing was commenced and continued until the testing day. Curing process was identified as the most important part of the exercise, because the strength gained by the blocks depends upon the curing of the blocks. Blocks were cured by spraying normal water onto the blocks twice a day, by using a bucket.

2.4 Laboratory Experiments

2.4.1 Compressive Strength

The compressive strength was experimentally investigated by using the concrete crushing machine available in the Construction and Building Materials Laboratory (Figure 5). Three samples were tested for each addition and replacement level of RHA at the age of 7, 14 and 28 days. Average compressive strength, at each age of the blocks, was determined by averaging three corresponding strength measurements. The strength characteristics of RHA based cement sand blocks were compared with the minimum standard compressive strength value of cement sand block at 28 Day (i.e., 2.8 N/mm²) published in BS 6073: Part 2: 1981[4].
2.4.2 Water Absorption

Water absorption test was carried out to investigate the water absorption property of RHA lime based cement sand block, in which RHA was used as partial replacement for cement. Three samples of both cement sand blocks and RHA lime based cement sand blocks were used for the water absorption test. First, the samples were kept in an oven at a temperature of 100-105 °C (Figure 6a), for a period of 24 hours and the dry weight of the blocks was measured. Then the same blocks were immersed in water for a period of 24 hours and the wet weight of blocks was measured. Water absorption was quantified as percentage of ratio of the reduction in weight to the dry weight of block. Water absorption of individual blocks was determined and the average value was calculated.

2.4.3 Thermal Performances

Thermal performance was investigated and compared for two different blocks: one was RHA based cement sand block, which was manufactured with RHA as partial replacement for cement (i.e., 10 % RHA) and the other one was a conventional block (i.e., 0% RHA). The centre of the top surface (i.e., 360 mm x 100 mm surface) of both blocks was drilled down to the middle of the depth of the blocks. All sides of the blocks, except two sides (360 mm x 170 mm sides), were covered by using polystyrene boards. These two surfaces, which were uncovered, received direct sun light.

The internal and surface temperatures of both blocks were measured by using a digital thermometer (MODEL 307) with 4-foot type “K” thermo couple (Figure 7). Measurements were taken from morning to midnight at 30 minutes interval in a sunny and a calm day of the month of August. The ambient temperature was also measured and recorded in the same time.

3. Results

3.1 Particle Size Distribution for RHA

Figure 8 shows the particle size distribution of RHA collected from the brick kiln. It can be seen from Figure 8 that the passing percentage through 150 μm sieve is 43.4%. The particle size of RHA material, which was used for the block manufacturing work in this study, is between 75 μm and 150 μm and there is a considerable amount of RHA in this range of particle size (Figure 8).
3.2 Compressive Strength

The average compressive strength of RHA based cement sand blocks is shown in Table 2. These blocks were manufactured with addition of different percentage of RHA and constant amount of lime (10% of cement weight).

Table 2 - Average Compressive Strength of Blocks (RHA was used as Addition)

| Sample Identification | RHA Contents | Lime Contents | Average Compressive Strength (N/mm²) |
|-----------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|
|                       |              |               | 7 Day | 14 Day | 28 Day |
| Sample 1              | 0%           | 10%           | 1.636 | 2.376  | 2.967  |
| Sample 2              | 5%           | 10%           | 2.760 | 3.653  | 4.217  |
| Sample 3              | 10%          | 10%           | 2.876 | 3.921  | 4.937  |
| Sample 4              | 15%          | 10%           | 1.826 | 2.966  | 3.667  |

It can be seen from Table 2 that the average compressive strength at 28 Day is greatest for Sample 3, for blocks which were produced with 10% RHA and 10% lime. However, 28 Day average compressive strength decreases with further addition of RHA (i.e., 15%). The similar variation was observed with 7, 14 and 28 Day average compressive strength and can be clearly seen in Figure 9.

Table 3 - Average Compressive Strength of Blocks (RHA was used as Replacement for Cement)

| Sample Identification | RHA Contents | Lime Contents | Average Compressive Strength (N/mm²) |
|-----------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|
|                       |              |               | 7 Day | 14 Day | 28 Day |
| Sample 1              | 0%           | 10%           | 1.636 | 2.376  | 2.967  |
| Sample 2              | 5%           | 10%           | 1.701 | 2.517  | 3.180  |
| Sample 3              | 10%          | 10%           | 1.794 | 2.930  | 3.467  |
| Sample 4              | 15%          | 10%           | 1.680 | 2.456  | 2.914  |
| Sample 5              | 20%          | 10%           | 1.587 | 2.031  | 2.723  |

It can be seen from Table 3 that optimum of the average compressive strength is observed at 10% of RHA replacement level (Sample 3) blocks, which were produced with 10% RHA and 10% lime. However, 28 Day average compressive strength decreases with increasing the RHA replacement level (i.e., 15% and 20%) (Figure 10).

![Figure 9 - Variation of Average Compressive Strength with % of RHA (RHA was used as Addition)](image)

![Figure 10 - Variation of Average Compressive Strength with the % of RHA (RHA was used as Replacement for Cement)](image)

The compressive strength test results show clearly that blocks, in which RHA was used as replacement for cement, satisfied the minimum standard value of 2.8 N/mm² according to BS6073: Part 2: 1981 [4]. The average compressive strength values were greater than the minimum requirement up to 15% RHA level.
3.3 Water Absorption

Table 4 presents the average water absorption of RHA based cement sand blocks.

Table 4 - Average Water Absorption (Presented as Percentage Values)

| Sample Identification | RHA Contents | Lime Contents | Average Water Absorption (%) |
|-----------------------|--------------|---------------|------------------------------|
| Conventional Block    | 0%           | 0%            | 13.987                       |
| Sample 1              | 0%           | 10%           | 15.210                       |
| Sample 2              | 5%           | 10%           | 19.149                       |
| Sample 3              | 10%          | 10%           | 19.896                       |
| Sample 4              | 15%          | 10%           | 20.547                       |
| Sample 5              | 20%          | 10%           | 20.757                       |

It can be seen from Table 4 that the average water absorption of blocks prepared by using Sample 1 (i.e., 0% RHA with 10% lime) is greater (15.21%) compared with the water absorption of conventional block (13.987%). This higher water absorption is attributed to addition of 10% of lime to the mixture. In addition, average water absorption tends to increase with the increase of RHA percentage used as replacement for cement, with 10% lime.

3.4 Thermal Performances

![Figure 11 - Surplus Temperature (Outer Surface Temperature - Internal Temperature) Variation for Blocks](image)

Figure 11 shows the variation of surplus temperature (i.e., difference in outer surface temperature and internal surface temperature) of RHA-lime based cement sand block and the conventional block. During the period of 9:00 am to 8:30 pm, the surplus temperature of RHA based cement sand masonry block (10% RHA replacement level for cement) is greater than that of the conventional block. From 8:30 p.m. to 12:00 mid night, the surplus temperature is not significantly different between two blocks. The surface temperatures of both blocks were almost the same. It seems, therefore, that the thermal conductivity of the RHA lime based block is lower than that of the conventional block.

4. Discussion

4.1 Compressive Strength

The compressive strength of the Rice Husk Ash (RHA) based cement - sand blocks obtained in the current study was compared with the compressive strength reported in the previous study, published by Nilantha et al. [9] (Figure 12). Direct comparison between these two studies is reasonable as both studies used the same particle size and the same RHA that was collected from brick kiln.

![Figure 12 - Comparison of 28 Day Average Compressive Strength](image)

Figure 12 indicates that the compressive strength of RHA based cement sand blocks increases with the addition of lime. In the previous study, it was found that compressive strength of RHA based cement sand blocks increased at 5% RHA replacement level (Nilantha et al. [9]). They observed the 5% development of the compressive strength comparing with minimum standard
In the second step, the RHA was used as partial replacement for cement with constant amount of lime. The average 28 Day compressive strength of all samples (5% 10%, 15% and 20% of RHA) satisfied the minimum standard compressive strength value of cement sand block at 28 Day (i.e., 2.8 N/mm²). By replacing 20% of RHA for cement with the addition of 10% lime, material cost for RHA lime based block is less than Rs 2.00 compared to material cost for the conventional cement-sand block. This calculation was performed based on the assumption that the cost of labour, energy and sand is same for both RHA lime based block and conventional block. RHA is a waste. It can be found near to the block construction area. Therefore, in collecting RHA there is no expenditure involved. However, for RHA lime based blocks, material cost for lime was less than the material cost for cement. With the rapid increase in the price of cement, the saving from material cost will be greater than Rs 2.00. For this calculation, the cost of cement bag (50 kg) and lime (10 kg) were considered as Rs. 850.00 and Rs 180.00, respectively. Detailed calculations have been presented and discussed in Pushpakumara et al. [11].

4.2 Water Absorption

In the present study, the water absorption percentage of conventional block (i.e., 0% RHA and 0% lime) was similar to the water absorption of a similar block reported in the previous study: in the current study it was 13.987% while in the previous study, Nilantha et al. [9] it was 14.255%. However, water absorption found in both studies was slightly greater than the recommended value, (i.e., 12%) for masonry blocks according to BS 5628: Part 1: 2005[3]. Water absorption of the block, which has 0% RHA and 10% lime, was 15.21%, implying that this block absorbs more water than that of conventional block. This slight increment might have occurred, because of both lime (10%) and RHA (5%) content in the block.

According to the results obtained, water absorption increases with the percentage of RHA content (Table 4). The results showed the considerable water absorbent behaviour. This may be due to the rice husk ash: the porosity increases with addition of RHA. In the current
study, the water absorption increases because of both lime and RHA in the block. Also as the RHA collected from brick kiln, it may consist with burnt clay particles. Although the amount of burnt clay particles might be very less, they would also absorb some amount of water. The comparison of water absorption properties between the current study and the previous study by Nilantha et al. [9] (Table 5) clearly shows that the adding of lime and increasing of RHA utilization causes to increase water absorption. This could also be decreased for some extent by selecting RHA without burnt brick particles.

Table 5: Comparison of Water Absorption Property with RHA Percentages (RHA was used as Replacement for Cement)

| Block Identification | Water Absorption (%) |
|----------------------|-----------------------|
|                      | Replacement of RHA + Lime (10%) | Replacement of RHA-Nilantha et al. [9] |
| Conventional Block   | 13.987                 | 14.255                     |
| 0% RHA               | 15.210                 | 14.255                     |
| 5% RHA               | 19.149                 | 19.960                     |
| 10% RHA              | 19.896                 | 22.130                     |
| 15% RHA              | 20.547                 | 19.705                     |
| 20% RHA              | 20.757                 | _                         |

4.3 Thermal Performance

Ca(OH)₂ inherently available in the lime absorbs CO₂ from air and produces CaCO₃ (CO₂ is a refrigerant air and it helps to keep the lower temperature inside the block). Because of both lime and RHA, the colour of RHA lime based block is whiter than the conventional block. The CaCO₃ provides whiter colour for the RHA lime based blocks. Therefore, the reflection of sun rays is much higher in the RHA lime based blocks than the conventional block. This might have also helped to reduce the internal temperature of RHA lime based blocks. In addition, RHA lime based blocks have lower thermal conductivity performances compared with the conventional blocks (0% RHA blocks). Although in the current study, the maximum variation of temperature between the two blocks (i.e., RHA lime based block and conventional block) is around 1.2 °C, greater temperature variation between the two blocks can be expected in real application of blocks as wall material. When blocks are used as wall material, heat transmission length would be twice of the transmission length of the current study. Nilantha et al. [9], stated that maximum temperature difference between two model houses (model houses made by using 5% of RHA replacement and conventional blocks) was 2°C and this was experienced at 1.00 p.m. RHA lime based blocks may provide more thermally comfortable environment compared with conventional blocks, implying that RHA based blocks will reduce the operating cost for energy of buildings.

The Rice Husk Ash sample collected from brick kiln contains 43.4% amount of fine particles (i.e., particle size smaller than 150 μm). Therefore, RHA for manufacturing of blocks can be found easily from brick kiln. RHA used for brick manufacturing showed better performances with the addition of Ca²⁺, in particular higher compressive strength and greater utilization of RHA. Further, blocks manufactured with RHA and lime caused to have less heat transmission through the block. The RHA can be effectively used in block manufacturing, resulting to prevent environmental pollution caused by open dumping of RHA. In addition, utilisation of RHA for replacing cement will reduce the CO₂ emission from cement production. The cost of cement is rapidly increasing. Therefore, alternative materials that can be used to reduce the usage of cement are essential. The RHA with lime gives good cementitious product and shows excellent strength gain. Use of locally available waste, RHA, as an alternative material for cement would reduce the embodied energy to appreciably low levels. Reducing the embodied energy of a product helps to save the energy that is consumed during the production processes and utility processes, and reduce the carbon emission during production and utility period.

5. Conclusions

The Rice Husk Ash (RHA) wasted from brick kiln is pozzolanic and found as suitable to use in manufacturing masonry blocks. Addition of Ca²⁺ resulted in increasing the utilization of RHA amount and increased the compressive strength compared to adding of RHA without lime. RHA lime based cement sand blocks have greater compressive strength and can be used for load bearing walls as well. The replacement of RHA also shows higher compressive strength compared to the standard requirements. Thermal conductivity of RHA
based blocks is a lower value compared to the blocks without RHA. These blocks save material cost and help to utilize the rice husk ash waste. Utilization of RHA for block manufacturing prevents environmental pollution caused by open dumping of rice husk ash and reduces CO₂ emission from cement production.
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