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Abstract—In this paper, a simple technique for Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) potential landing site detection using terrain information through identification of flat areas, is presented. The algorithm utilizes digital elevation models (DEM) that represent the height distribution of an area. Flat areas which constitute appropriate landing zones for UAVs in normal or emergency situations result by thresholding the image gradient magnitude of the digital surface model (DSM). The proposed technique also uses connected components evaluation on the thresholded gradient image in order to discover connected regions of sufficient size for landing. Moreover, man-made structures and vegetation areas are detected and excluded from the potential landing sites. Quantitative performance evaluation of the proposed landing site detection algorithm in a number of areas on real world and synthetic datasets, accompanied by a comparison with a state-of-the-art algorithm, proves its efficiency and superiority.

Index Terms—Digital Elevation Models, Landing Sites Detection

I. INTRODUCTION

Commercial low cost UAVs (Unmanned Aerial Vehicles), also known as drones, equipped with several sensors, have found various applications such as in television and filming, search and rescue, surveillance, inspection, mapping, wildlife monitoring, crowd monitoring/management etc [1], [2].

Maps play a crucial role in UAV navigation. Maps can include terrain information that can be used in order to navigate and control the UAV in normal and emergency situations. Such information can come from digital elevation models (DEM) [3] which are produced in two forms. The first is digital terrain models (DTM) [4], [5] that include information regarding the height variations of an area’s bare ground without any man-made structures or vegetation. The second type is digital surface models (DSM) [6], [7]. A DSM provides a representation of the elevation values for areas of exposed ground, road surfaces, tree crowns, vegetation and buildings. In other words, DSMs include information for both the ground and the man-made structures or vegetation that lie on it. DSMs can be generated by data coming from various sources such as LiDAR (Light Detection And Ranging) surveying. DTM are usually generated by post-processing DSMs. DSMs and DTM are often used with different definitions than the ones used in this paper.

A crucial part of a UAV flight is safe landing. Identifying potential landing areas, which in general should be flat enough, sufficiently large and not occupied by vegetation or buildings by exploiting the aforementioned terrain information, is important both for normal and emergency landing. The literature referring to landing site detection utilizing terrain information, is not very extensive. The authors in [8] achieve landing site detection for fixed-wing UAVs in emergency situations by using the average height and height variance inside quadtree partitions. DPTs whose height variance is below a limit are selected as landing sites and merged with neighboring partitions if they have similar average heights. Furthermore, the authors use two path planners (the Rapidly-exploring Random Trees (RRT) and the Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)) - for path planning in limited time from the current UAV position to the closest detected landing site. In [9], the authors determine suitable landing areas on topographical maps for emergency landing of UAVs by utilizing surface fitting on coarse elevation models using Least Squares Error and slope calculation. Furthermore, in [10], the authors create a system for efficient and reliable safety assessment of landing zones covered by low vegetation, combining a volumetric occupancy map with a 3D Convolutional Neural Network (CNN). However, deep learning approaches require a very large number of training examples which might not be available in certain applications. In contrast, our algorithm requires no training. In [11] the authors propose a system for landing zone selection based on a relatively simple geometric analysis of terrain roughness and slope. Finally, [12] proposes a scheme for the selection or validation of landing zones for unmanned helicopters with terrain assessment incorporating factors such as terrain/vehicle interaction, wind direction and mission constraints.

In this paper, we present a simple but efficient algorithm for UAVs potential landing site detection. The main aim is the identification of (sufficiently) flat and large areas in the topographical maps for UAVs safe landing in normal or emergency situations. The proposed technique utilizes the information in DSM and DTM raster files in order to detect the vegetation, buildings and generally the objects upon the bare
ground by simply evaluating the height difference between
the DTM and the DSM model. In addition, flat areas are
discovered by evaluating the local terrain slope through the
use of an image gradient operator on the DEM file and by
thresholding the resulting image in order to keep areas with
small slope. Moreover, connected components analysis is used
on the resulting binary image in order to find and retain regions
whose area is above the minimum potential landing area size.
The final result of our algorithm is a list of sufficiently large
map areas with no buildings/vegetation and small terrain slope
constituting areas which can be characterized as landing zones.
The main advantage of the proposed approach is that there is
no need for complicated training and the respective data. The
only prerequisite is the existence of the DSM and DTM height
maps, which are publicly available with sufficient resolution
for large parts of the globe.

The results of the proposed algorithm are evaluated quanti-
tatively using appropriate metrics (precision and recall) upon
both real and synthetic terrain data and manually or semi-
automatically derived ground truth data. Moreover, its results
are compared to those obtained by the algorithm in [8], a
Digital Elevation Model (DEM) - oriented method, proving
the proposed method’s superiority.

It should be noted here that map-based landing site detection
can provide only information regarding potential landing sites,
based on terrain geometry. Such landing sites can be precom-
puted and be available as annotations on the map. Whether
these potential landing sites can actually be used for UAV
landing at a certain time instance depends on whether the site
is free from water, people/crowds or cars at the actual landing
time. Such a check can be done either by the pilot through the
drone video feed or by applying a person/crowd/car detection
algorithm on the drone video.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In
Section II, we describe the details of the proposed method.
In Section III we present the experiments which have been
conducted to measure the algorithm’s performance. Finally,
conclusions are presented in Section IV.

II. POTENTIAL LANDING SITE DETECTION ALGORITHM

The algorithm’s input consists of two digital elevation
models, namely the digital surface model (DSM) and the
digital terrain model (DTM) of a region in raster format, i.e.,
as a regular grid of elevation values of a depicted terrain.
As already mentioned, the DTM (Figure 2-a) depicts just the
terrain and no man-made structures or vegetation whereas the
DSM (Figure 2-b) depicts the terrain along with buildings and
vegetation. It should be noted here that DSM files often contain
pixels with no value (no elevation information) which result
from sensor inefficiencies during DSM acquisition. As DTM
is constructed by post-processing the DSM, these pixels are
usually assigned values through some sort of interpolation. In
the approach presented below DSM pixels with no values are
assigned elevation values from the corresponding pixels of the
DTM file. As already mentioned, the algorithm’s output is a
map that depicts the potential landing zones for the UAV. The
algorithm comprises of the five steps listed below.

1) Detection of man-made structures and vegetation: By
subtracting DTM from DSM and applying a threshold to the
outcome we derive a binary image (Figures 2-f, 3-e) which
marks pixels depicting man-made structures and vegetation
whose height is above a selected (small) threshold.

2) Terrain slope determination (Figures 2-g, 3-d): Subse-
quently, we calculate the local slope of the depicted areas
in the DSM. According to Geographic Information System
(GIS) literature [13], slope is the maximum rate of change
in value (elevation) from a pixel (cell) to its neighbors. The
lower the slope value, the flatter the terrain. As far as the slope
calculation is concerned, the rates of change of the surface
elevation in the horizontal \( \left( \frac{dz}{dx} \right) \) and vertical \( \left( \frac{dz}{dy} \right) \) directions
from the central cell determine the slope. Slope, in degrees, is
calculated as [13]:

\[
slope_{\text{degrees}} = \frac{180}{\pi} \arctan \sqrt{\left( \frac{dz}{dx} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{dz}{dy} \right)^2} \tag{1}
\]

The values of the center cell and its eight neighbors de-
determine the horizontal and vertical rates of elevation change.
For a neighbourhood such as the one depicted in Figure 1 the
rates of change in the x and y direction for cell ‘e’ can be
computed as:

\[
\frac{dz}{dx} = \frac{(c + 2f + i) - (a + 2d + g)}{8 \times x_{\text{cellsize}}} \tag{2}
\]

\[
\frac{dz}{dy} = \frac{(g + 2h + i) - (a + 2b + c)}{8 \times y_{\text{cellsize}}} \tag{3}
\]

Fig. 1: 8-neighborhood of a DSM.

Essentially, the rates of change in the x and y direction as
used in the Geographic Information System (GIS) literature are
the horizontal and vertical derivative approximations generated
by the well known Sobel operator [14], scaled by a factor of
\(-8 \times \text{cellsize} \).

3) Sobel operator gradient image thresholding (Figure 2-h,
3-f): After extracting the elevation gradient magnitude image,
we threshold it in order to classify the DSM pixels in flat or
non-flat areas based on the local slope. Obviously, near flat
areas are retained as potential landing areas. The thresholding
utilizes a predefined global terrain slope threshold based on
slopes which are appropriate for UAVs landing.

4) Binary image connected components evaluation (Figure
2-i 3-g): Connected components analysis is applied on the
binary image resulting from the previous step. Connected
components with sufficiently large number of pixels, i.e. of sufficient area, are retained.

5) Creation of the final map (Figures 2-c, 3-i): In order to create the final map, we remove from the large, low slope areas found in the previous step those parts that overlap with buildings and vegetation found in step 1. The final map consists of three categories of pixels:

- Blue pixels: This category of pixels corresponds to the landing zones i.e. the regions in the DSM map which are characterized from small terrain slope and large enough area for UAV landing.
- Light blue pixels: This category of pixels corresponds to no landing zones, i.e. the regions in the DSM map with large terrain slope or very few pixels (small area).
- Yellow pixels: these pixels also correspond to no landing zones due to buildings and vegetation.

III. EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION

A. Dataset

Experimental evaluation of the aforementioned algorithm was conducted in a real world and a synthetic dataset. The first dataset consists of a number of areas depicted in the DEM data from the publicly available dataset provided by UK's Environment Agency [15]. This dataset includes digital elevation models from the UK, covering urban, suburban, rural and bush areas, in spatial resolutions (pixel size per dimension) ranging from 0.25m to 2m. We have selected three areas for our evaluation. The first two areas (namely map1 depicted along with algorithm results in Figure 2 and map2, both of resolution 0.25m) refer to an urban environment with many structures that prohibit landing, such as buildings and trees. The third examined area (area map3, resolution 2m) is a rural environment with steep downhill parts.

In addition, the synthetic dataset contains three 3D landscapes (map4, map5, map6) generated using Unreal Engine 4 (UE4) [16]. UE4 is a game engine developed by Epic Games that can achieve high-quality photorealistic graphics and provides flexible world and asset editors. Using UE4, we created three mostly mountainous landscapes with different amounts of vegetation and exported the heightmap in raster format as depicted in Figure 3. The spatial resolution of these data was 1.2m.

For the areas in the real world dataset, ground truth (potential landing sites, areas not suitable for landing) was manually constructed by the authors through visual inspection of the DEMs and satellite images (the latter were obtained by Google Maps). In the case of the synthetic dataset, the ground truth for the vegetation was created automatically, since the locations where vegetation was inserted were known. The synthetic nature of the terrain allowed us to calculate local slope information and use it to mark areas with low slope as being appropriate for landing. Small such areas were excluded.

B. Evaluation metrics and procedure

The evaluation of the algorithm’s performance and its comparison to the method proposed in [8] was conducted using precision and recall upon the generated ground truth. In our case, precision refers to the percentage of areas identified by the algorithm as landing sites that are indeed (according to the ground truth) landing sites whereas recall refers to the percentage of the actual landing sites that were identified by the algorithm as such.

Results for the three areas of the real world and the synthetic dataset are presented in Tables II and III respectively. The variance threshold for the algorithm in [8] was evaluated by experimentation as the one that gave the best results. Results show that the proposed algorithm can identify potential landing sites with very good precision, especially for the synthetic data. Recall values were also very good. It should be noted that for the application at hand precision is much more important than recall since landing a UAV in an area that is not suitable for doing so is the error that should be avoided since it might lead to crash landings.

Results also show that the proposed potential landing site detection algorithm outperforms the method proposed in [8] in both the real world and the synthetic dataset. The most gains with respect to [8] were observed in precision figures of the synthetic dataset. The mean computational time (in seconds) needed in order to reach a decision for the DSM/DTM pairs
Fig. 3: Synthetic Dataset. (a) 3D view of map4 (sub-images (d)-(i) refer to this map), (b) 3D view of map5, (c) 3D view of map6, (d) Terrain slope determination via Sobel operator, (e) binary image of vegetation, in black, (f) binary image of low slope areas, in white, (g) connected components analysis result, (h) ground truth information, potential landing sites in white (i) final map (meaning of colors is explained in the text)

in the real world and synthetic datasets, is illustrated in Table I. These times refer to a computer with Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-6700HQ CPU @ 2.60Ghz processor and 16GB RAM. It shall be noted that the algorithm in [8] does not take into account man-made structures and vegetation a fact which contributes in it having inferior performance but smaller execution time. Moreover, the level of granularity of the DTM and DSM obviously affects the execution time: large resolutions increase the execution time of the algorithm.

### IV. Conclusions

In this paper, a simple novel method for the identification of potential safe landing sites for Unmanned Aerial Vehicles was introduced. The main aim of the proposed technique is the determination of large flat areas not covered by buildings or vegetation in digital elevation models (DEM). Experiments on real world and synthetic scenes showed that the algorithm can detect such areas with good precision and recall. As far as future work is concerned, this includes the incorporation of learning methods in the determination of landing sites as well as inclusion of information coming from color images, e.g. satellite images from Google Maps. These additions are expected to increase the algorithm performance and expand its usability to e.g. areas where no elevation data are available.
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