Simplified waste-free process for synthesis of nanoporous compact alumina under technologically advantageous conditions
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Precipitated ammonium aluminium carbonate hydroxide (NH₄Al(OH)₂CO₃) is a promising precursor for preparation of nanostructured Al₂O₃. However, the experimental conditions, such as the low concentration of Al⁵⁺ salt solution, high temperature and/or pressure, long reaction time, and excessive amount of the (NH₄)₂CO₃ precipitating agent, make this process expensive for large-scale production. Here, we report a simpler and cheaper route to prepare nanostructured alumina by partial neutralisation of a nearly saturated aqueous solution of Al(NO₃)₃ with (NH₄)₂CO₃ as a base at pH < 4. Synthesis in the acidic region led to formation of a polynuclear aluminium cluster (Al₁₃), which is an important “green” solution precursor for large-area preparation of Al₂O₃ thin films and nanoparticles. Control of the textural properties of the final alumina product during calcination of the prepared aluminium (oxy) hydroxide gel was accomplished by adding low-solubility aluminium acetate hydroxide (AI(OH)(CH₃COO)₂) as a seed to the Al(NO₃)₃ solution before neutralisation. The large Brunauer–Emmett–Teller specific surface area (376 m² g⁻¹) and narrow pore size distribution (2–20 nm) of the prepared compact alumina suggest that the chelating effect of the acetate ions affects the structures of the forming transition aluminas, and the evolved gases produced by decomposition of Al(OH)(CH₃COO)₂ and NH₄NO₃ as a by-product of the reaction during calcination prevent particle agglomeration. Other advantages of the proposed process are its versatility and the ability to obtain high purity materials without producing large amounts of by-products without the need for washing and energy saving by using a low processing temperature, and the possibility of recycling the generated CO₂ and NH₃ gases as the (NH₄)₂CO₃ reagent.

1. Introduction

Alumina (Al₂O₃) is one of the most widely used materials and it has considerable potential in many diverse applications, such as heat insulation, as a catalyst and catalyst support, as a high-strength and wear-resistant material in ball mills, and NH₄NO₃ as a by-product of the reaction during calcination prevent particle agglomeration. Other advantages of the proposed process are its versatility and the ability to obtain high purity materials without producing large amounts of by-products without the need for washing and energy saving by using a low processing temperature, and the possibility of recycling the generated CO₂ and NH₃ gases as the (NH₄)₂CO₃ reagent.

particles and/or pores. In recent years, it has been shown that the performance of alumina in many of the above-mentioned applications can be improved by producing nanoscale structures. Therefore, current strategies have shifted towards synthesis of aluminium oxide with controllable nanostructure and morphology, because altering the surface area, pore structure, and morphology at the nanoscale can potentially lead to materials with desired physical and chemical properties tailored for various applications.

Several methods have been applied to prepare nanostructured alumina, including gas-phase deposition, mechanical grinding, thermal decomposition, combustion methods, microemulsion synthesis, the sol–gel process, precipitation, and hydrothermal synthesis. Among these methods, precipitation is the most popular process for preparation of ultrafine alumina powders because of its simplicity, low cost, safety, and energy and time saving.

Aluminium hydroxides and (oxo)hydroxides, which are the common precursors to generate Al₂O₃ by thermal treatment, are usually prepared by precipitation of an aluminium salt solution
with a base such as ammonia.\textsuperscript{7,11,14,18,20} It has been demonstrated that bulk precipitation is so rapid that nucleation and growth of particles simultaneously occur, resulting in particles with irregular shapes and a wide size distribution,\textsuperscript{7,24} which limit their practical applications.

Recently, synthesis of ammonium aluminium carbonate hydroxide (AACH, NH₄Al(OH)₂CO₃) has become a promising alternative for preparation of nanostructured Al₂O₃.\textsuperscript{1–3,9,14,20–23} AACH is typically prepared by liquid–liquid precipitation using different aqueous aluminium solutions (NH₄Al(SO₄)₂, Al(NO₃)₃, AlC₁₂, and NaAlO₂).\textsuperscript{3,9,14,20} It has been shown that the choice of an appropriate precipitating agent is crucial for preparation of pure AACH. The product of precipitation using NH₄HCO₃ is a mixture of boehmite (AlO(OH)) and AACH,\textsuperscript{14,20} whereas using (NH₄)₂CO₃ results in formation of only the AACH phase with low crystallinity.\textsuperscript{14} Thus, ammonium carbonate is preferred to ammonium hydrogen carbonate because the latter leads to significant formation of boehmite\textsuperscript{20} and the alumina particles prepared using the (NH₄)₂CO₃ precipitant show a much narrower distribution and a smaller average diameter than those prepared using NH₄HCO₃.\textsuperscript{14} However, precipitation of AACH is very pH sensitive (optimal pH range 9.92–10.28), because AACH can change to AlO(OH) or [Al(OH)₄]⁻ in concentrated alkaline solution.\textsuperscript{3} An alternative novel technique for AACH synthesis that enables control of the morphology of the particles is hydrothermal treatment. Reaction of Al(NO₃)₃ aqueous solution or aluminium hydroxide xerogel with a significant stoichiometric excess of ammonium carbonate solution at high temperature and vapour pressure leads to flake-like\textsuperscript{2} or rod-like\textsuperscript{9} particles.

Thermal treatment after precipitation is accompanied by phase conversion to various aluminium oxide forms. The following sequence has been commonly reported: A1O(OH) \rightarrow γ-Al₂O₃ \rightarrow δ-Al₂O₃ \rightarrow θ-Al₂O₃ \rightarrow α-Al₂O₃.\textsuperscript{6,21} The required temperature for each phase transformation depends on the synthesis method and the specific characteristics of the precursors.\textsuperscript{8} For the NH₄Al(OH)₂CO₃ precursor, it has been found that transformation to Al₂O₃ does not directly proceed by thermal treatment. During the first step, low crystallinity boehmite (AlO(OH)) is generated. In the second step, boehmite transforms to aluminium oxide with different crystal structures.\textsuperscript{9}

Control of the morphology and particle size distribution of the final Al₂O₃ powder can be accomplished by reducing the phase transformation temperature.\textsuperscript{7} Various oxides of aluminium, copper, iron, chromium, and magnesium as seeding agents are therefore introduced into the aluminium salt solution before precipitation to act as crystallisation centres to decrease the activation energy of transformation.\textsuperscript{25,26} It has been found that the introduced seed particles do not act as nuclei of the new phase, but they affect the structure of the aluminium hydroxide gel formed around them or the structure of the forming transient aluminium oxide.\textsuperscript{25}

Although synthesis of nanostructured Al₂O₃ from Al precursors prepared by precipitation has been widely performed, the nanoparticles tended to strongly agglomerate, which caused an increase of the particle size and decreased the specific surface area.\textsuperscript{3,14,27} Agglomeration is greatly affected by the aging time of the precipitated precursor.\textsuperscript{28} Thus, alumina prepared by precipitation generally exhibits a surface area lower than 300 m² g⁻¹.\textsuperscript{20} Various approaches have been applied to prevent undesirable aggregation of the nanoparticles during precipitation from solution, as well as during the following drying and thermal treatment, such as addition of organic solvents, surfactants, or chelators.\textsuperscript{3,8,11} Even though these dispersants can improve the colloid stability, reduce the temperature required for sintering, enhance green densification, and control the particle morphology,\textsuperscript{8} they affect the product crystallinity and are difficult to completely remove from the final materials.\textsuperscript{20} In this context, phase transformation of ammonium aluminium carbonate hydroxide to alumina can be helpful to suppress agglomeration, because the CO₂, NH₃, and H₂O gases released during thermal treatment play significant roles in reducing agglomeration of the final Al₂O₃ particles.\textsuperscript{14} However, the prepared alumina may have high impurity content owing to insufficient removal of the supernatant solution.\textsuperscript{20} Increasing the purity of alumina powder requires a large amount of washing solvent and results in loss of up to 25% of Al₂O₃, as well as generation of waste.\textsuperscript{7} Furthermore, excessive washing of AACH (V\textsubscript{washing}/V\textsubscript{suspension} > 1.6) leads to disappearance of the AACH phase and progressive formation of the boehmite phase.\textsuperscript{20}

Since the preparation of monodispersed Al₂O₃ in the published works was carried out with a very low starting concentration of the Al¹⁺ solution (≤0.13 M),\textsuperscript{1–3,14,21} low productivity can be a significant problem if such monodispersed particles are to be used as an industrial product, despite their ideally controlled properties. In addition, taking into account the reaction conditions used to prepare AACH, such as relatively high temperature (≥50 °C),\textsuperscript{1–3,19,28} and pressure,\textsuperscript{25,26} long reaction time (≥24 h),\textsuperscript{1–3,9} significant excess of (NH₄)₂CO₃ as a precipitant (molar ratio of (NH₄)₂CO₃ to Al¹⁺ ≥4),\textsuperscript{29,20,22} subsequent separation of the precipitate by filtration,\textsuperscript{1,14,20,21} or centrifugation,\textsuperscript{3} and its washing, this procedure is costly for mass-produced products. Therefore, in contrast to published works, the present work focuses on reaction conditions that are interesting from a technological point of view for large-scale production. The aim of this work is to design simpler and cheaper preparation route from a nearly saturated aqueous solution of Al(NO₃)₃ in the acid region, leading to formation of especially stable polynuclear [Al₁₂O₂(OH)₂₆(H₂O)₁₂]⁷⁺ complex cations,\textsuperscript{28} which are the dominant species found in solution at pH < 4.\textsuperscript{18} This aluminium cluster (Al₁₂) with the Kegg structure, which consists of a central tetrahedral AlO₄ unit surrounded by 12 AlO₆ octahedra, is an important “green” solution precursor for large-area preparation of Al₂O₃ thin films and nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{30,37}

This work focused on

- Preparation of aluminium clusters (Al₁₂) by partially neutralising a nearly saturated aqueous solution of Al(NO₃)₃ with (NH₄)₂CO₃ as a base at pH < 4 by the sol–gel method.
- Simplifying the process by eliminating washing and filtration, and energy saving by using a low processing temperature (22 °C).
The effect of low solubility aluminium hydroxide acetate \((\text{Al(OH)}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2)\) as a nucleating agent on the morphology, specific surface area, and pore size distribution (PSD) of the final alumina products prepared by thermal decomposition of synthesised alumina gels.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1 Material synthesis

Aluminium nitrate nonahydrate \((\text{Al(NO}_3)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{ACS reagent grade, } \geq 98\%\), Merck, KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany), ammonium carbonate \((\text{NH}_4\text{CO}_3, \text{ACS reagent grade, } 30\% \text{NH}_3\text{ basis, Merck})\), aluminium hydroxide acetate hydrate \((\text{Al(OH)}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{purity } \geq 28\% \text{ Al}_2\text{O}_3, \text{Merck})\), and deionised water were used as the starting chemicals without further purification.

Synthesis of the alumina precursors was performed in a stirred reactor equipped with a mechanical stirrer. Typically, the exact amount (2–4 g) of \((\text{Al(OH)}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O})\) powder was dispersed in 5 mL of 2.44 M \((\text{Al(NO}_3)_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O})\) aqueous solution with \(pH = 0.2\) and stirred with a constant agitation speed of 500 rpm until a homogeneous suspension formed. Subsequently, the calculated amount of 2.17 M \((\text{NH}_4\text{CO}_3)\) (\(pH = 8.5\)) was added drop-wise from a burette \((1 \text{ mL min}^{-1})\) to the suspension with constant stirring and \(pH\) monitoring. The amount of added \((\text{NH}_4\text{CO}_3)\) solution was selected so that after mixing the resulting \(pH\) was \(\leq 4\). After 1 h stirring, the white colloid solution or gel was transferred into a teflon mould \((3 \text{ cm} \times 3 \text{ cm})\) and dried at 22\(^\circ\text{C}\) under reduced humidity (Concept OV 1000 dehumidifier). The prepared alumina precursors were then calcined at different temperatures \((220–1100 \text{ °C})\) for 2 h with a heating rate of 3.75 °C min\(^{-1}\). The proposed procedure is schematically shown in Fig. 1.

### 2.2 Characterisation

The size of the particles was determined with a particle size analyser (Mastersizer 2000S, Malvern, UK) in deionised water by ultrasonic treatment. The thermal gravimetric analysis and differential thermal analysis (TG-DTA) measurements were performed on a heating rate of 3.75 °C min\(^{-1}\), with a nitrogen flow rate of 100 mL min\(^{-1}\) as the inert gas. The experimental conditions used in the experiments and the properties of the prepared alumina precursors in each stage of preparation are summarised in Table 1.

![Fig. 1] Flow chart of the proposed process for production of nanoporous alumina monoliths.
performed with a NETZCH STA 449F3 Jupiter analyser with a SiC furnace under a N₂ atmosphere at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹. The powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were obtained with an X-ray powder diffractometer (Rigaku MiniFlex 600) with Cu-Kα radiation (with a Ni filter) operated at 40 kV and 15 mA. Diffraction was performed from 2θ = 5° to 90° at a rate of 2° min⁻¹. The nature of the bonding in the samples was determined by infrared (IR) spectroscopy and 27Al NMR spectroscopy. Fourier transform IR (FT-IR) spectroscopy at a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ was performed with a Nicolet 6700 FT-IR spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) using a Smart Orbit device. The 27Al NMR spectra were recorded with a solid-state NMR spectrometer (Varian 400 MHz, Palo Alto, CA, USA) at a Larmor frequency of 104.18 MHz using a probe head with a 4 mm rotor at a magic angle spinning rate of 12 kHz. 3100 scans were accumulated with a recycle delay of 5 s with the rf pulse length of 0.235 s corresponding to the flip angle of 9° for the central transition in solids. The spectra were processed with Varian Vnmrj 3.2 and Mestrelab Mnova 12.0 software. A 1 mol dm⁻³ aqueous [Al(H₂O)₆]³⁺ solution (primary standard) was used as the reference for the chemical shifts.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Effect of the reaction conditions on alumina precursor formation

Polynuclear [Al₁₃O₅(OH)₂₄(H₂O)₁₂]³⁺ complex cations (known as Keggin ε-Al₁₃ ions) were synthesised by partial neutralisation of Al³⁺ solution with a base at OH⁻/Al³⁺ molar ratios from 0.5 to 2.5, corresponding to pH values less than 4.⁹⁻²⁴,²⁷ The results of mechnochemical synthesis of polymeric ε-Al₁₃ ions in the concentrated state between [Al(H₂O)₆]Cl₃ and (NH₄)₂CO₃ have shown that the maximum concentration of ε-Al₁₃ species (85%) is achieved at a molar ratio of CO₃²⁻ : Al³⁺ = 2.5 : 2.²⁴ In this study, two different molar ratios of (NH₄)₂CO₃ to Al(NO₃)₃ within the surrounding range (n_CO₃²⁻ : n_Al³⁺ = 2.25 : 2 and 2.75 : 2) were used to prepare Al₁₃ clusters as a solution precursor of Al₂O₃. To control the textural properties of the final Al₂O₃ product, various amounts of low solubility aluminium hydroxide acetate hydrate (Al(OH)(CH₂COO)₂·H₂O) were added to the Al(NO₃)₃ solution before base addition. It was hypothesised that chelation of aluminium ions with acetate ions could affect the stability of precursor colloidal solution,⁸ the structure of the formed aluminium hydroxide gel, and thus the textural characteristics of the final Al₂O₃. In addition, Al(OH)(CH₂COO)₂·OH·H₂O particles as crystallisation centres could contribute to lowering the transformation temperature of the prepared aluminium gel to various forms of Al₂O₃.

![Fig. 2](image-url) XRD patterns of the prepared alumina precursor with 4 g Al(OH)(CH₂COO)₂ (AP-4) and without addition of Al(OH)(CH₂COO)₂ (AP-0). Abbreviations: AA: Al(OH)(CH₂COO)₂ (ICDD 00-004-0113); B: boehmite AlO(OH) (ICDD 01-083-1506); N: NH₄NO₃ (AP-0; ICDD 00-008-0499 and ICDD 01-083-0520; AP-4, ICDD 01-083-0520).
Particle size analysis of the Al(OH)(CH₃COO)₂·H₂O powder showed that 90% of the particles had mean size \( d(90) \leq 18.8 \) \( \mu \)m. The experimental conditions are given in Table 1.

Taking into account the properties of the prepared samples during synthesis and after drying (Table 1), in relation to handling under technological conditions, only sample 2 was considered to be a suitable alumina precursor for further study. The reason for its selection was the longer gelation time (up to 48 h) than the other samples. This provides longer time for handling the precursor, as well as its stable compact form, which does not undergo surface dehydration when exposed to air. Rapid dehydration of the surface of the Keggin-type aluminium clusters is attributed to the loss of less tightly bound water molecules that contract the crystals and disrupt the crystal surface, as confirmed by formation of “cotton wool” on the surface of sample 3 within 1 week.

The XRD pattern of the selected alumina precursor (sample 2 in Table 1) obtained at a molar ratio of \( \text{CO}_3^{2-} \) to \( \text{Al}^{3+} \) = 2.25 : 2 with 4 g of aluminium hydroxide acetate as a nucleation additive is shown in Fig. 2. For easier identification of the formed (oxy) hydroxide phases, the peaks of aluminium hydroxide acetate (AA) and ammonium nitrate (N) formed as a by-product of the reaction are also assigned in the XRD pattern. The effect of aluminium hydroxide acetate on the type of aluminium hydroxide oxide can be seen by comparison with the diffractogram of the sample prepared under the same reaction conditions but without addition of aluminium hydroxide acetate (AP-0).

The results of XRD analysis revealed that the selected alumina precursor was composed of a mixture of crystalline NH₄NO₃ (ICDD 01-083-0520), Al[OH](CH₃COO)₂ (ICDD 00-004-0113), and boehmite (ICDD 01-083-1506). The broad diffraction peaks of the boehmite phase (γ-AlO(OH)) indicated that it had low crystallinity, which is related to the high concentration of \( \text{Al}^{3+} \) and hence to the high nucleation rate, resulting in formation of small particles. From Fig. 2, for the sample without addition of Al[OH](CH₃COO)₂, the boehmite phase (despite its higher proportion in the mixture) did not form, suggesting that aluminium hydroxide acetate had a significant effect on boehmite formation.

### Table 2  Theoretical mass loss of the prepared alumina precursor derived by mass balance calculations

| Reactants | Additive |
|-----------|----------|
| Stoichiometric molar ratio | Al(NO₃)₃ (NH₄)₂CO₃ Al[OH](CH₃COO)₂ → |
| Used molar ratio | 2 mol 3 mol | 2 mol 2.25 mol |
| Input amount | \( n_1 \) [mol] | 0.0122 0.0137 0.022 |
| Input mass | \( m_i = n_i M_i \) [g] | 2.599 1.315 4 |
| Products | Additive |
| AlO(OH) NH₄NO₃ Al[OH](CH₃COO)₂ | 2 mol 6 mol 2 mol 5 mol |
| Output amount \( n_i \) [mol] | 0.0122 0.0274 0.022 |
| Output mass \( m_i = n_i M_i \) [g] | 0.732 2.192 4 |
| Mass before calcination [g] | 6.924 |
| Theoretical mass loss of individual component \( w_i \) [%] | 15 100 71.67 |
| Mass after calcination [g] | 0.622 0 1.133 |
| Theoretical mass loss of the alumina precursor \( w_i \) [%] | 74.7 |
3.2 Thermal transformation of the prepared alumina precursor to Al₂O₃

Upon heating, boehmite sequentially transforms to \(\gamma\)-alumina, \(\delta\)-alumina, \(\theta\)-alumina, and \(\alpha\)-alumina.\(^{30}\) Determination of the suitable calcination temperature for preparation of active alumina with a nanoporous structure was performed by TG–DTA. The TG–DTA curves obtained from decomposition of the prepared alumina precursor are shown in Fig. 3.

The thermogravimetric curve showed that weight loss occurred in several steps, corresponding to the reactions associated with NH₄NO₃ decomposition and transformation of Al[OH](CH₃COO)₂·H₂O and \(\gamma\)-AlO(OH) to Al₂O₃.

The gradual weight loss up to 200 °C is related to release of part of the \(--\text{OH}\) and acetate groups (18%), while the sharp weight loss above 200 °C (44%) is correlated with simultaneous removal of residual acetate groups and decomposition of NH₄NO₃.\(^{31,32}\) The significant exothermic peak centred around 325 °C corresponds to combustion of released acetate groups (as "fuel") with NH₄NO₃ as an oxidising agent. The broad exothermic peak at 423 °C is ascribed to transformation of boehmite to \(\gamma\)-Al₂O₃,\(^{26}\) and the slight exothermic peak at around 846 °C is attributed to gradual formation of the \(\theta\)-Al₂O₃ crystalline structure, which is in good agreement with XRD analysis of boehmite annealed at temperatures up to 1000 °C.\(^{30}\) The total weight loss at 1000 °C was 71.8%, which is in agreement with the theoretical value of 74.7% (Table 2), and the individual stages of decomposition are in accordance with the published TG–DTA data of the individual components.\(^{26,31,32}\)

The prepared alumina precursor was calcined at 220–1100 °C, and the nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms were immediately measured after calcination to evaluate the textural properties. Calcination at 220 °C was performed to remove the NH₄NO₃ by-product from the precursor. Transformation of the boehmite (\(\gamma\)-AlO(OH)) formed in the mixture with Al[OH](CH₃COO)₂ into various forms of Al₂O₃ was observed at temperatures above 220 °C.

By heat treatment, aluminium (oxy)hydroxides pass through a series of phase transitions during which the hydroxyl groups are removed. Because of the different degrees of dehydroxylation, these intermediates (so-called transition aluminas) exhibit structural differences resulting from the variability of

---

**Fig. 5** FT-IR spectra of the prepared alumina precursor compared with the spectra of its individual components (left side) and calcined products prepared at different temperatures (right side).

**Fig. 6** \(^{27}\)Al NMR spectra of the (a) prepared Al₂O₃ precursor (AP-4), (b) Al[OH](CH₃COO)₂·H₂O, and the prepared Al₂O₃ precursor calcined at (c) 220, (d) 400, (e) 700, and (f) 1100 °C (black: experimental; color: simulated).
the aluminium coordination numbers, which is reflected in poorly resolved X-ray diffractograms. This phenomenon is also evident from the diffractograms (Fig. 4) of the prepared alumina precursor [AP-4, Fig. 2] calcined in the temperature range 400–700 °C, which show formation of gel-type X-ray amorphous phases, which were identified by 27Al NMR. In the range 700–1000 °C, γ-Al2O3 (ICDD 00-010-0425) transformed to θ-Al2O3 (ICDD 01-035-0121), and above 1100 °C θ-Al2O3 recrystallised to α-Al2O3 (corundum, ICDD 01-089-3072).

The nature of bonding in the prepared alumina precursor and its calcined products obtained at different calcination temperatures was characterised by FT-IR analysis (Fig. 5). The IR spectra of the precursor and its individual accompanying admixtures, such as aluminium acetate hydroxide and ammonium nitrate, are shown in the left part of Fig. 5. The IR spectrum of the prepared sample confirmed the presence of all of the functional groups from NH4NO3 (r(N–H) = 3288, 3061 cm^{-1}; δ(NO3) = 1409, 1295 cm^{-1}) and Al(OH)(CH3COO)2·H2O (r(O–H) = 3554, 3487, 3292 cm^{-1}; r(C=O) = 1582 cm^{-1}; δ(CH3) = 1464, 1423 cm^{-1}; r(C–C) = 1041, 987 cm^{-1}; r(Al–O) = 675, 625, 482, 428 cm^{-1}).

The IR spectra of the prepared precursor and its calcined products are compared in the right side of Fig. 5. The IR spectrum of the sample calcined at 220 °C only contained absorption bands originating from ammonium hydroxide acetate (r(C=O) = 1581 cm^{-1}; δ(CH3) = 1471, 1408, 1331 cm^{-1}; r(C–C) = 980 cm^{-1}; r(Al–O) = 486 cm^{-1}), while the bands assigned to ammonium nitrate disappeared, indicating its complete decomposition, which is consistent with the results of TG–DTA analysis (Fig. 3). The IR spectra of the samples calcined at higher temperatures (400, 700, and 1100 °C) only contained the r(Al–O) absorption band, confirming the presence of aluminium oxide. Moreover, the different numbers and positions of the r(Al–O) absorption bands indicated different modifications of the final products due to phase transformation from γ-Al2O3 through θ-Al2O3 to α-Al2O3, which are related to the different coordination of Al^{3+} (hexa-coordinated AlO_6, penta-coordinated AlO_5 and tetra-coordinated AlO_4), and is in accordance with the results of X-ray analysis (Fig. 4). The samples calcined at 400 and 700 °C both showed one band at 509 cm^{-1}. The sample calcined at 1100 °C showed absorption bands at 702, 633, 559, 521, and 436 cm^{-1}.

Because transformation between the distinct intermediate phases is a gradual process, the exact temperatures to obtain the individual phases cannot be determined solely by XRD experiments. A reliable tool that allows unambiguous identification of these phases is 27Al solid-state NMR.

![Fig. 7 N2 adsorption-desorption isotherms of the prepared alumina precursor and its calcined products obtained at different temperatures.](image-url)
Table 4
Comparison of the textural parameters of the prepared alumina precursor and its calcined products obtained at different temperatures

| Sample                  | Specific surface area \( \text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1} \) | \( S_{\text{micro}} \) 0.05–0.35 \( \text{nm}^2 \text{g}^{-1} \) | \( S_{\text{meso}} \) \( \text{nm} \) | \( S_{\text{macro}} \) \( \text{nm} \) | \( \tau_{\text{iso}} \) determined from desorption \( \text{cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1} \) | Average pore volume \( \text{cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1} \) | \( \tau_{\text{iso}} \) determined from desorption \( \text{cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1} \) |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| Alumina precursor       | 2.24                          | 0.10               | 0.013           | 0.33            | 0.013                      | 0.013           | 0.013                      |
| 220°C                   | 2.24                          | 0.10               | 0.013           | 0.33            | 0.013                      | 0.013           | 0.013                      |
| 400°C                   | 3.76                          | 0.11               | 0.013           | 0.33            | 0.013                      | 0.013           | 0.013                      |
| 500°C                   | 3.76                          | 0.11               | 0.013           | 0.33            | 0.013                      | 0.013           | 0.013                      |
| 600°C                   | 3.76                          | 0.11               | 0.013           | 0.33            | 0.013                      | 0.013           | 0.013                      |
| 700°C                   | 3.76                          | 0.11               | 0.013           | 0.33            | 0.013                      | 0.013           | 0.013                      |
| 1100°C                  | 3.76                          | 0.11               | 0.013           | 0.33            | 0.013                      | 0.013           | 0.013                      |

of the structures of the prepared \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) precursor and its heat-treated products was performed by \( ^{27}\text{Al} \) NMR measurements.

The \( ^{27}\text{Al} \) NMR spectra of transition aluminas show signals characteristic of tetra-coordinated \( \text{AlO}_4 \), hexa-coordinated \( \text{AlO}_6 \) and penta-coordinated \( \text{AlO}_5 \). Simulations of the spectra provide the NMR parameters: the isotropic chemical shift \( \delta_{\text{iso}} \), quadrupole coupling constant \( C_Q \), and asymmetry parameter \( \eta_Q \). The Al coordination is reflected in isotropic chemical shift values. The quadrupole coupling constant \( C_Q \) (in MHz) reflects distortion from a perfect octahedron or tetrahedron, i.e., a large \( C_Q \) value means distorted Al sites. Simulation of the \( ^{27}\text{Al} \) NMR spectra (Fig. 6a–f) using the QuadFit program are given in Table 3.

The spectrum of the prepared \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) precursor (Fig. 6a) showed features between 25 and –75 ppm. The isotropic chemical shift values were in the range typical of hexa-coordinated aluminium (\( \text{AlO}_6 \)). The first line with a \( \delta_{\text{iso}} \) value of 13.2 ppm can be assigned to boehmite, although its \( C_Q \) value was larger than that reported by Chandran et al. This can be explained by the larger disorder in the prepared precursor owing to the presence of aluminium acetate, which probably gives rise to the other two lines in the spectrum with relatively large \( C_Q \) values. The \( ^{27}\text{Al} \) NMR spectrum of pure aluminium acetate (Fig. 6b) consisting of signals in the range typical of hexa-coordinated aluminium was tentatively simulated using three lines with relatively large \( C_Q \) and low \( \eta_Q \) values (Table 3). The difference between the NMR parameters obtained for aluminium acetate in the prepared \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) precursor and those of the pure chemical can be attributed to the obvious difference between the \( ^{27}\text{Al} \) environments in the pure compound and prepared material. Calculation of the prepared alumina precursor at 220 °C (Fig. 6c) resulted in a slightly different shape of the NMR spectrum, in which there was still evidence for the presence of aluminium acetate. Calculation at 400 °C (Fig. 6d) was expected to completely remove aluminium acetate from the sample and induce a phase transition to \( \gamma \)-alumina.

\( \gamma \)-Alumina crystallises in the spinel structure, where \( \text{Al}^{3+} \) ions occupy tetrahedral and octahedral interstitial sites, with some degree of crystallographic distortion related to the presence of penta-coordinated \( \text{Al}(\text{v}) \) species. The simulations of the spectra measured after calcination at 400 and 700 °C (Fig. 6d and e) confirmed signals with isotropic chemical shift values characteristic of tetra-, hexa- and penta-coordinated aluminium. However, the respective \( C_Q \) values were larger than the reported values, which indicates a large deviation from spherical symmetry, probably caused by the presence of aluminium acetate in the course of sample preparation. Calculation at 1100 °C (Fig. 6f) resulted in phase transitions to \( \theta \)- and \( \alpha \)-alumina. The \( C_Q \) and \( \eta_Q \) values (Table 3) were in agreement with those reported in the literature.

The textural properties of the prepared alumina precursor and its calcined products obtained at different temperatures were determined by \( \text{N}_2 \) adsorption–desorption measurements (Fig. 7), and the results are summarised in Table 4. The shapes and relative positions of the curves in Fig. 7, as well as the results in Table 4, indicate the changes that occurred during thermal treatment of the prepared precursor.
From the values in Table 4, the prepared alumina precursor had a very low specific surface area ($S_A = 9 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$). However, its subsequent calcination at 220 °C (associated with removal of NH$_4$NO$_3$) led to development of micro- ($<2$ nm) and mesopores (2–50 nm), which was accompanied by a significant increase in the surface area ($224 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$). The presence of mesopores is indicated by a characteristic hysteresis loop that closes at a relative pressure of ~0.4. Heating to 400 °C caused a further increase in the specific surface area ($376 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$), while the proportion of micropores decreased. The considerable increase in $S_A$ up to 400 °C can be attributed to gaps left by the gas molecules released by both decomposition of NH$_4$NO$_3$ and Al[OH](CH$_3$COO)$_2$ and dehydroxylation of boehmite (AlO(OH)) to γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ (see Fig. 3). Calcination above 550 °C was associated with a gradual decrease of the specific surface area because of recrystallisation and sintering of alumina nanoparticles.

The PSDs of the prepared alumina precursor and its calcined products obtained at different temperatures determined from the adsorption/desorption curves (dV/d) by the BJH method are shown in Fig. 8, and the volume histograms determined by DFT are shown in Fig. 9.

From Fig. 8 and 9, although the precursor before calcination had a low specific surface area ($9 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$), it contained micropores with sizes less than 1 nm. Calcination at 220 °C led to development of micropores. For calcination at 400 °C, mesopores with a narrow bimodal distribution (maxima at 3 and 10 nm) accounted for the significant increase in the pore volume.

At temperatures above 400 °C, the micropores disappeared and the mesopores became larger, leading to a decrease in the
Table 5 Comparison of the textural parameters of the prepared $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ and products synthesised by thermal decomposition of ammonium aluminium carbonate hydroxide (AACH) at comparable calcination temperatures

| Method of preparation of alumina precursor | Alumina source | Base | pH | Semiproduct | Calcination temperature and time | Pore characteristics of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ | Lit. |
|------------------------------------------|----------------|------|----|-------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------|
|                                         |                |      |    |             |                                 | Surface area (BET) [m$^2$ g$^{-1}$] | Total pore volume [cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$] | Average pore diameter [nm] |
| Precipitation                            | 0.11 M $\text{Na}[[\text{Al(OH)}_3]_3$,(aq) | $\text{(NH}_4\text{)}_2\text{CO}_3$ | 10 | $\text{NH}_4\text{Al(OH)}_2\text{CO}_3$ | 400 °C/3 h, 500 °C/3 h | 618.68 a, 552.77 a | 0.54 a, 0.55 a | 4.43 a, 4.71 a | 3 |
|                                          | 0.13 M $\text{Al(NO}_3)_3\cdot \text{9H}_2\text{O}$, | $\text{(NH}_4\text{)}_2\text{CO}_3$ | 7.5 | $\text{Al(OH)}_2\cdot \text{7H}_2\text{O} + \text{NH}_4\text{AlO(OH)HCO}_3$ | 600 °C/5 h | 260.8 | 1.80 | 20.6 | 1 |
|                                          | 1.2 M $\text{Al(NO}_3)_3\cdot \text{9H}_2\text{O}$,(aq) | $\text{(NH}_4\text{)}_2\text{CO}_3$ | 7–8 | $\text{NH}_4\text{Al(OH)}_2\text{CO}_3$ | 500 °C/4 h | 290 | 2.41 | 7.3; 49.5 | 20 |
|                                          | 0.4 M $\text{AlCl}_3\cdot \text{6H}_2\text{O}$,(aq) | $\text{NH}_4\text{HCO}_3$ | >7 | $\text{NH}_4\text{Al(OH)}_2\text{CO}_3$ | 600 °C/5 h | 407 | 1.17 | 2.7; 29.3 | 21 |
| Hydrothermal synthesis                    | $\text{Al(OH)}_3$ xerogel (70 wt%) | $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ | (aq) | $\text{NH}_4\text{HCO}_3$ | 350 °C/2 h, 500 °C/2 h | 441 | 0.67 | 6.8 | 9 |
|                                          | 0.4 M $\text{AlCl}_3\cdot \text{6H}_2\text{O}$,(aq) | $\text{NH}_4\text{HCO}_3$ | >7 | $\text{NH}_4\text{Al(OH)}_2\text{CO}_3$ | 600 °C/2 h | 246 | 0.7 | 10.7 | 21 |
| Solid-state                               | $\text{AlCl}_3\cdot \text{6H}_2\text{O}$ | $\text{(NH}_4\text{)}_2\text{CO}_3$ | | $\text{NH}_4\text{Al(OH)}_2\text{CO}_3$ | 600 °C/2 h | 300 | 0.44 | 4.2 | 21 |
| Sol-gel                                  | 2.44 M $\text{Al(NO}_3)_3\cdot \text{9H}_2\text{O}$,(s) | $\text{(NH}_4\text{)}_2\text{CO}_3$ | 3.8 | $\text{Al(OH)}\cdot \text{(CH}_3\text{COO)}_2$,(s) | 400 °C/2 h, 550 °C/2 h | 376 | 0.63 | 5.6 | This work |

*Values obtained at slow heating rate (5 °C min$^{-1}$).
pore volume, which is related to agglomeration of Al₂O₃ crystallites. The complete disappearance of the microporosity at higher temperature (>400 °C) is probably associated with loss of the intracrystalline porosity owing to sintering, which is a typical phenomenon, especially when sintering small particles. Nevertheless, it is important to emphasise that the γ-Al₂O₃ synthesised in this work shows comparable parameters of open porosity than those synthesised by thermal decomposition of ammonium aluminium carbonate hydroxide (AACH) at comparable calcination temperatures – Table 5.

It can be assumed that the textural characteristics of the nanoporous Al₂O₃ (Table 4) are probably influenced by the addition of Al(OH)(CH₃COO)₃ which as a component of the prepared alumina precursor acts as a textural modifier. This assumption is based on the fact, that the acetate anions (OAc⁻) formed by partial dissociation of Al(OH)(CH₃COO)₃ (ref. 41) initially combine with Al⁺⁺⁺ cations in [Al₁₃O₄(OH)₂₄(H₂O)₁₂]⁷⁺ complex cluster into a binuclear mixed-hydroxo species [Al₂(OH)₂OAc]⁴⁺, in which acetate ion acting as a bridge between the apices of two dihydroxo-bridged Al⁻⁶ octahedra. Chelation of aluminium ions with acetate ions could, like the citric acid¹⁰ or phosphoric acid,⁴⁴ affect the stability of the colloidal solution and thus also the structure of the aluminium hydroxide gel formed around the undissociated part of Al(OH)(CH₃COO)₃ and/or the structure of the final Al₂O₃ product. With increasing pH, the concentration of [Al₂(OH)₂OAc]⁴⁺ complex cluster cations increases, and at pH = 4 (i.e. at reaction conditions used in this work) strong predominance of the Al⁻⁶-acetate complexes was confirmed.⁴² The addition of OH⁻ will reduced the surface positive charge of polymeric Al-micelle thereby the hydroxyl bridges in polymeric Al are converted into oxygen bridges.⁴⁶

The acetate ions are weakly chelating agent, so chelates formed between aluminium and acetate ions have a low stability constant. Since chelates of the lower stability constants provide higher supersaturation in the nucleation stage as well, they usually provide smaller particles with a narrow size distribution.⁷⁷ This assumption is confirmed by the SEM image (Fig. 10) of the alumina monolith prepared by calcination at 400 °C for 2 hours at 100k× magnification.

The Fig. 10 shows a fiber-like structure morphology composed from thin nanofibers of about 100 nm in length with the diameter of about 5 nm leading to the formation of a highly porous material. The fibrous structure may be related to the –OH groups in the (010) and (100) facets of γ-Al₂O₃ precursor, which could act as the adsorption site for anions.⁴⁷,⁴⁸ The adsorption would decrease the surface energy and limit the aggregation of the γ-Al₂O₃ nanoparticles on these facets, which would result in the oriented aggregation of γ-Al₂O₃ along the (001) direction.⁴⁹ The adsorption ability of the acid anions is related to the charge/size ratio, and the anions with the larger charge/size ratio would be adsorbed more easily on the particle surface of γ-Al₂O₃. Since acetate ions have a relatively high charge-to-size ratio (6.289) their strong adsorption on the particle surfaces of γ-Al₂O₃ leads to the formation of nanorods that grew along the (001) direction.⁴⁸

From the Fig. 10 it can be seen that the corresponding shape retained γ-Al₂O₃ was obtained after calculation.

It is important to emphasize the fact that the nanoporous alumina monolith was prepared without the use of surfactants, while according to the literature the monolithic alumina aerogels with hierarchically porous structure were prepared by sol-gel¹ or emulsion-gel casting⁴⁸ using aluminum isopropoxide with organic surfactant (Pluronic P 123⁵, Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate (SDS)⁴⁸). High price of aluminium alkoxides as alumina sources and surfactants cause that these are less attractive for large-scale production.¹ Thus, ammonium carbonate and aluminium nitrate which were used in this work are the reagents that are inexpensive, easily available and widely used in the chemical industry.¹

In addition, the conclusions of previously published works on the preparation of NH₄Al(OH)₂CO₃ (AACH) as a precursor of nanostructured Al₂O₃ showed that boehmite (Al₂O₃) is an intermediate in the synthesis of AACH¹ and its excessive washing (due to its reactive nature in deionized H₂O)⁵⁰ as well as in its transformation to Al₂O₃.¹ In the context of these findings, the formation of boehmite prepared under the reaction conditions in this work (pH < 4; 22 °C) is an advantage in comparison to the preparation of AACH at pH > 7 and T ≥ 50 °C in terms of prevention of particle aggregation, which is confirmed by the following published statements:

(a) Surface property of boehmite may mitigate the hard aggregation of particles during calcination because the interface hydrophobicity, determining by the contact angles (θ) of AACH, Al₂O₃ and Al₂O₃ using water, increased in the order of AACH (θ = 30.82°) > boehmite (θ = 33.79°) > Al₂O₃/200 °C (θ = 53.45°).¹

(b) High temperature and pH values close to the boehmite point of zero charge PZC (pH = 8.2) favours strong aggregation.⁴⁰
4. Conclusions

We have reported a simplified procedure for preparation of nanostructured compact alumina under technologically advantageous conditions.

(1) Alumina precursors for synthesis of nanoporous Al$_2$O$_3$ by thermal treatment were prepared by partial neutralisation of a nearly saturated aqueous solution of Al(NO$_3$)$_3$ with (NH$_4$)$_2$CO$_3$ as a base at pH < 4. Synthesis in the acidic region led to formation of polynuclear aluminium clusters (Al$_{13}$), which are important “green” solution precursors for preparation of active Al$_2$O$_3$ thin films and nanoparticles.

(2) Control of the textural properties of the final alumina during calcination of the prepared aluminium (oxy)hydroxide gels was accomplished by adding low solubility aluminium hydroxide acetate hydrate (Al(OH)$_2$(CH$_3$COO)$_2$·H$_2$O) as a nucleating agent to the Al(NO$_3$)$_3$ solution before neutralisation. It was found that Al(OH)$_2$(CH$_3$COO)$_2$·H$_2$O affects the structure of the aluminium hydrated form formed around the nucleation centres and thus the structure of the forming intermediate aluminium oxide forms. It was confirmed that while addition of Al(OH)$_2$(CH$_3$COO)$_2$·H$_2$O resulted in formation of the boehmite phase (γ-AlO(OH)), boehmite did not form without the nucleating agent. This suggests that addition of aluminium hydroxide acetate as a nucleating agent has a significant effect on formation of boehmite.

(3) Considering the gelation time required for handling the precursor, as well as its stable compact form that is not surface dehydrated upon exposure to air, the molar ratio of (NH$_4$)$_2$CO$_3$ to Al(NO$_3$)$_3$ = 2.25 : 2 with addition of 4 g of Al(OH)$_2$(CH$_3$COO)$_2$·H$_2$O (per 0.0122 moles of Al(NO$_3$)$_3$) were optimal.

(4) The large BET specific area (376 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) and relatively narrow pore distribution (2–20 nm) of the compact Al$_2$O$_3$ synthesised at 400 ºC are likely to be because of the chelating effect of the acetate ions and the gases produced by decomposition of Al(OH)$_2$(CH$_3$COO)$_2$·H$_2$O and the NH$_4$NO$_3$ by-product during calcination, which prevent agglomerate formation of Al$_2$O$_3$ particles. The heat released by decomposition of NH$_4$NO$_3$ can also contribute to preserving the textural properties during transformation of boehmite to transition aluminas by lowering the transformation temperature.

(5) Other advantages of the proposed process are its versatility, the ability to obtain high purity materials without large amounts of by-products without the need for washing, energy saving by using a low processing temperature, and the possibility to recycle the generated CO$_2$ and NH$_3$ gases to form the (NH$_4$)$_2$CO$_3$ reagent. It is also possible to use NaAlO$_2$ waste solution from dissolution of aluminium hydroxide or bauxite.
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