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Combating the effects of disorder on light transport in micro- and nano-integrated photonic devices is of major importance from both fundamental and applied viewpoints. In ordinary waveguides, imperfections and disorder cause unwanted back-reflections, which hinder large-scale optical integration. Topological photonic structures, a new class of optical systems inspired by quantum Hall effect and topological insulators, can realize robust transport via topologically-protected unidirectional edge modes. Such waveguides are realized by the introduction of synthetic gauge fields for photons in a two-dimensional structure, which break time reversal symmetry and enable one-way guiding at the edge of the medium. Here we suggest a different route toward robust transport of light in lower-dimensional (1D) photonic lattices, in which time reversal symmetry is broken because of the non-Hermitian nature of transport. While a forward propagating mode in the lattice is amplified, the corresponding backward propagating mode is damped, thus resulting in an asymmetric transport insensitive to disorder or imperfections in the structure. Non-Hermitian asymmetric transport can occur in tight-binding lattices with an imaginary gauge field via a non-Hermitian delocalization transition, and in periodically-driven superlattices. The possibility to observe non-Hermitian delocalization is suggested using an engineered coupled-resonator optical waveguide (CROW) structure.

The realization of photonic devices at the micro- and nano-scale capable of guiding light in a controllable way despite the presence of disorder or imperfections is of major importance for integrated optic applications. Inspired by the quantum Hall effect and the concept of topological insulators in condensed-matter physics, several recent works have proposed and experimentally demonstrated one-way edge propagation for light waves in two-dimensional photonic structures (for a recent review see1). Topologically protected edge states are one-way guided modes propagating (clockwise or counter-clockwise) along the edge of the sample that cannot be scattered into other states, and are therefore immune to back reflection and localization. The existence of such states requires to break time reversal symmetry of the optical system using magnetic materials, such as in magnetic photonic crystal systems2–4, or by creating synthetic gauge fields for photons. The latter have been demonstrated in a wide variety of optical systems, such as coupled silicon resonators5–7, twisted waveguide lattices8, bianisotropic metamaterials9, and lattices with parameters modulated in time10. The possibility to observe and adiabatically transport edge states in quasi-crystals has been reported as well11.

In this work we suggest a different route toward one-way propagation in one-dimensional (1D) photonic lattices, in which time reversal symmetry breaking is realized by exploiting non-Hermitian dynamics. Wave propagation in non-Hermitian (complex) crystals can show a wide variety of interesting effects as compared to ordinary (Hermitian) crystals, such as double refraction and nonreciprocal diffraction12, unidirectional Bragg scattering and invisibility13–15, anomalous dynamic localization16, hyperballistic transport17, and a transition from ballistic to diffusive regimes18. Such effects have been mainly investigated in case of $PT$ symmetric systems19. However, in such systems wave transmission turns out to be independent of the incidence side, so $PT$ structures are unsuited to realize robust one-way transport. Indeed, asymmetric transmission and optical isolation here requires to exploit some nonlinearity in the
Other possibilities to introduce asymmetric transport is the use of ‘imaginary’ gauge fields or by modulating in time some parameters of the optical medium. In a pioneering paper, Hatano and Nelson\(^ {22}\) showed that an ‘imaginary’ magnetic field in a disordered 1D lattice can induce a delocalization transition, i.e. it can prevent Anderson localization (see also\(^ {23-26}\)). This is a very interesting result because it shows that mobility is not prevented by disorder in the non-Hermitian 1D lattice with an ‘imaginary’ gauge field, contrary to a ‘real’ magnetic field that has no effect on Anderson localization in a 1D chain. Such a result, however, has been overlooked in the condensed matter physics context, because of the challenging task of synthesizing an ‘imaginary’ vector potential. In optics, however, this can be realized in coupled microring structures, as we will discuss in our work. We also conjecture that robust transport in non-Hermitian lattices with asymmetric transmission can be realized under some general and rather weak conditions for the real and imaginary dispersion curves of the lattice band.

**Results**

**One-way transport in non-Hermitian photonic lattices: Introductory physical idea.** One-way propagation in 2D Hermitian lattices with a synthetic gauge field is based on the existence of topologically-protected edge states. Here we suggest a different mechanism of one-way robust propagation in a non-Hermitian 1D lattice, in which a preferred propagation direction arises because of unbalanced amplification/attenuation of waves propagating in opposite directions. The main physical distinction between topologically protection of edge waveguides in a Hermitian 2D lattice and asymmetric transport in a non-Hermitian lattice can be readily understood in reciprocal space, as schematically illustrated in Fig. 1. In an Hermitian 2D lattice with a synthetic gauge field, the dispersion diagram \( E_w = E_w(q) \) of the edge guided mode shows the typical behavior depicted in Fig. 1(a). It connects the bulk frequency bands above and below the frequency gap. The unidirectionality of the edge guided mode can be seen from the group velocity \( v_g = (dE_q/dq) \) of the waveguide dispersion curve, which has only positive (or only negative) value. In addition, there are no counter-propagating modes at the same frequencies as the one-way edge mode. This enables light to flow around imperfections with perfect transmission. Let us...
now consider a non-Hermitian 1D lattice with a transmission band described by the energy dispersion curve \( E = E(q) \), such that the following condition is satisfied

\[
\nu_{g}(q) \text{Im}(E(q)) > 0
\]  

(1)

where \( q \) is the Bloch wave number, which varies in the interval \(-\pi \leq q < \pi\), and \( \nu_{g}(q) = \text{Re}(dE/dq) \) is the group velocity Fig. 1(b). In this case, a forward propagating mode, corresponding to \( \nu_g > 0 \), is amplified, whereas the corresponding backward propagating mode, with a group velocity \( \nu_g < 0 \), is damped. This unbalanced amplification/attenuation of waves introduces a preferred direction of propagation, and back scattered waves arising from disorder or imperfections in the lattice experience attenuation. Thus localization effects are expected to be prevented.

**Imaginary gauge field and non-Hermitian delocalization.** The simplest example of a non-Hermitian 1D tight-binding lattice with a dispersion relation satisfying the condition (1) is provided by the Hatano-Nelson model\(^{22}\). Originally introduced to study the motion of magnetic flux lines in disordered type-II superconductors, it describes the hopping dynamics of a quantum particle on a tight-binding ring lattice threaded by an imaginary magnetic flux. In the absence of disorder, the corresponding Hamiltonian reads\(^{23,24}\)

\[
\hat{H} = \sum_{n} \kappa \{ \exp(-\hbar) |n\rangle \langle n+1| + \exp(\hbar) |n+1\rangle \langle n| \}
\]  

(2)

where \( \kappa \) is the hopping rate between adjacent sites and the parameter \( \hbar \) describes the effect of an imaginary vectorial potential. A simple physical implementation of an imaginary gauge field for photons in a CROW structure will be discussed in details in a following section. Here we note that, for an infinitely-extended lattice, the dispersion relation of the tight-binding lattice band is given by

\[
E(q) = 2\kappa \cos(q + i\hbar) = 2\kappa_1 \cos q - 2i\kappa_2 \sin q
\]  

(3)

where we have set \( \kappa_1 = \kappa \cosh \hbar \) and \( \kappa_2 = \kappa \sin \hbar \). The group velocity is given by \( \nu_g = \text{Re}(dE/dq) = -2\kappa_1 \sin q \). Note that a forward-propagating wave \((-\pi < q < 0, \nu_g > 0\) is amplified because \( \text{Im}(E(q)) > 0 \), whereas a backward propagating wave \((0 < q < \pi, \nu_g < 0\) is attenuated because \( \text{Im}(E(q)) < 0 \). This makes wave transport in the lattice highly asymmetric because backward propagating waves vanish after some propagation distance due to damping. As a result, unidirectional transport is expected to be robust against disorder and lattice imperfections. For disorder in the site energies, the Hamiltonian takes the form

\[
\hat{H} = \sum_{n} \kappa \{ \exp(-\hbar) |n\rangle \langle n+1| + \exp(\hbar) |n+1\rangle \langle n| \} + \sum_{n} V_n |n\rangle \langle n|
\]  

(4)

where \( V_n \) is a random potential. \( V_n \) is assumed independently for each site, from a distribution with zero mean and variance \( \sigma^2 \). After setting \( |\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_n c_n(t) |n\rangle \), the evolution equations for the amplitude probabilities \( c_n(t) \) read explicitly

\[
\frac{d c_n}{dt} = \kappa \exp(-\hbar)c_{n+1} + \kappa \exp(\hbar)c_{n-1} + V_n c_n.
\]  

(5)

For \( h = 0 \), it is known that all the eigenstates of \( \hat{H} \) become localized owing to Anderson localization, regardless of the strength \( \sigma^2 \) of disorder. However, for \( h \neq 0 \) some delocalized states survive, i.e. a delocalization transition is observed\(^{22,23}\). Rather generally, the delocalized (localized) states correspond to complex (real) energies, however the precise nature of the non-Hermitian eigenstates is somewhat controversial\(^{24,25}\). As discussed in previous works, by introducing an imaginary vector potential a mobility region opens up near the center of the tight-binding energy band, where Anderson localized states show the largest localization length, i.e. they are weakly localized by disorder. Such a phenomenon can be explained as follows\(^{26}\). The eigenstates of the Anderson lattice with the imaginary vector potential can be mapped into the ones of the Hermitian Anderson lattice (i.e. with \( h = 0 \)) after the transformation \( c_n = a_n \exp(\hbar \xi) \). Hence, if \( a_n \) is a localized state in the Hermitian Anderson lattice with energy \( E = E_0 \) and localization length \( \xi = \xi(E_0) \), it remains a localized state in the non-Hermitian Anderson lattice provided that \( 2|\hbar| < 1/\xi(E_0) \). Such a condition in violated in the range of energies \( -E_0 < E < E_0 \), around \( E_0 = 0 \), i.e. a mobility region is opened with an edge at \( E_0 \). The mobility edge \( E_0 \) can be computed following the analysis of Ref. 23 and reads explicitly \( E_0 \simeq (4\kappa^2 - u^2 / 2|\hbar|)^{1/2} \). The mobility region opened by the imaginary gauge field ensure particle propagation along the lattice in spite of disorder. This is shown, as an example, in Fig. 2, where a semi-infinite lattice is considered. In Fig. 2(a,b), the edge site \( n = 0 \) of the lattice is occupied at initial time \( t = 0 \). The figures show the numerically-computed evolution of the wave packet center of mass.
for the Hermitian Fig. 2(a) and non-Hermitian Fig. 2(b) lattices. As in the former case \( \langle n(t) \rangle \) clearly ceases to increase as \( t \) increases (this is a clear signature of Anderson localization), in the latter case a secular growth of \( \langle n(t) \rangle \) is observed, indicating the existence of delocalized (mobility) states. The robustness of the transport against disorder in the non-Hermitian lattice is also observed when the lattice is initially excited in a single site in the bulk, i.e. far from the lattice boundary, or by a localized wave packet. As an example, Fig. 2(c) shows the numerically-computed dynamics in the disordered lattice with initial excitation of site \( n = 100 \). Since the non-Hermitian dynamics does not conserve the norm, for the sake of clarity in the figure the evolution of the site occupation probabilities for the normalized amplitude probabilities \( a_n(t) \) is depicted. The figure clearly shows that, in spite of disorder, transport is observed in the forward direction. The same scenario is found for initial wave packet excitations, as discussed in the Methods. In this case, even in the absence of disorder, owing to the dependence of the complex energy dispersion curve \( E(q) \) on the Bloch wave number \( q \) Eq. (3), during the propagation an initial wave packet of the form \( c_n(0) = F(n) \exp(i q_0 n) \), with carrier Bloch wave number \( q_0 \) and slowly-varying amplitude \( F(n) \), suffers for reshaping (distortion) effects because of both group velocity (phase) and amplification (amplitude) dispersion, i.e. because \( \text{Re}(d^2E/dq^2) = 0 \) and \( \text{Im}(dE/dq) = 0 \). For the Hatano-Nelson model, both group velocity and amplitude dispersion effects are minimized at \( q_0 = \pi/2 \), where \( \text{Re}(d^2E/dq^2) = \text{Im}(dE/dq) = 0 \). Examples of wave packet propagation are discussed in the Methods. Finally, it should be pointed out that non-Hermitian transport is robust also against structural imperfections or defects in the lattice. Let us consider, as an example, a lattice with two potential defects at sites \( n_0 \) and \( n_1 \), i.e. let us assume in Eq. (4) \( V_n = V_0 (\delta_{n,n_0} + \delta_{n,n_1}) \), where \( V_0 \) is the strength of the potential defect. In the Hermitian lattice (\( h = 0 \)), a propagating wave packet undergoes multiple reflections back and forth between the two defects, like in a Fabry-Perot cavity. This yields multiple transmitted wave
packets, i.e. echoes of the original wave packet, as illustrated in Fig. 3(a). Application of the imaginary
gauge field to the lattice \((h \neq 0)\) suppresses multiple reflections (echo effects), as shown in Fig. 3(b).

**Non-Hermitian driven lattice model.** We conjecture that the absence of Anderson localization and
asymmetric transport induced by an imaginary gauge field is a general feature of a non-Hermitian 1D
lattice with a dispersion relation of the energy band that satisfies Eq. (1), i.e. that provides amplification
(attenuation) for forward (backward) propagating waves. In a non-Hermitian 1D lattice with local com-
plex site potentials, transport is always symmetric (reciprocal)\(^{27}\), and thus the dispersion relation can not
satisfy Eq. (1). However, non-reciprocal (asymmetric) transport can be obtained by introduction of some
periodic modulation of system parameters. In this case, the quasi-energy bands of the driven lattice may
satisfy Eq. (1), i.e. asymmetric transmission can arise. To support our conjecture, let us consider as an
example the periodically-driven non-Hermitian binary lattice described by the Hamiltonian

\[
\hat{H} = \sum_n \kappa \left\{ |n+1 \rangle \langle n+1 | + |n+1 \rangle \langle n+1 | + \sum_n \{ F n + (-1)^n g(t) \} |n \rangle \langle n | + \sum_n V_n |n \rangle \langle n |
\]

(7)

where \(\kappa\) is the hopping rate between adjacent sites, \(F\) is an index gradient along the lattice, \(V_n\) is a random
potential that accounts for disorder, and

\[
g(t) = G_R \cos(\omega t) + i G_I \sin(\omega t)
\]

(8)
is a sinusoidal function of frequency \(\omega\) that describes a periodic modulation of the complex energy sites
with opposite sign at alternating sites. Such a non-Hermitian binary lattice can describe temporal hop-
ping of light in a CROW structure with an impressed static index gradient and with temporal modulation
of gain/loss at altering sites in the chain\(^{28}\). Also, provided that the temporal dynamics is replaced by
spatial propagation, Eq. (7) can describe spatial light transport in an array of circularly-curved
evanescently-coupled optical waveguides with alternating gain/loss and index modulation in the guides
along the propagation direction \(r\)\(^{17,28}\). The disorder \(V_n\) accounts for imperfections in the propagation
constants of the various waveguides in the array. Note that the lattice becomes Hermitian in the \(G_I = 0\)
limit. In the absence of disorder, \(\hat{H}\) is periodic in time with period \(T = 2\pi / \omega\), and Floquet theory
applies. Provided that the resonance condition \(F = (M/N) \omega\) is satisfied for some irreducible integers \(M\)
and \(N\), the lattice sustains two quasi-energy minibands with dispersion relations that satisfy Eq. (1) (see
Methods). As an example, Fig. 4(a) shows the numerically-computed quasi energy spectrum of the two
mini bands for \(\omega/\kappa = 1, F/\omega = 2, G_R/\kappa = 4.7\) and \(G_I/\kappa = 4.26\). The quasi-energy spectrum satisfies the
condition (1) and hence forward (backward) waves are amplified (damped) as they propagate in the
A semi-infinite lattice is considered, and excitation of the edge site is accomplished at initial time \( t = 0 \). Figure 4(b) depicts the evolution of the wave packet center of mass \( \langle n(t) \rangle \) in the semi-infinite Hermitian lattice corresponding to initial excitation of the edge site. The dashed curve shows the path in the absence of disorder, whereas the solid thin curves show the paths corresponding to a disordered lattice for a few realizations of disorder. \( V_n \) is assumed to have a uniform distribution in the range \((-\kappa, \kappa)\). (c) Same as (b), but for the non-Hermitian lattice.

### Figure 4

#### (a) Numerically-computed quasi-energy spectrum (real and imaginary parts of the quasi energies \( E_{\pm}(q) \)) of the non-Hermitian driven Hamiltonian (7) in the absence of disorder for parameter values \( \omega/\kappa = 1, F/\omega = 2, G_G/\kappa = 4.7 \) and \( G_I/\kappa = 4.26 \). The filled dotted curves correspond to forward propagating modes \( \gamma = \text{Re}(dE/dq) > 0 \) and positive imaginary quasi energy, whereas the open dotted curves correspond to the backward propagating waves. The dashed curve in the upper plot shows, for comparison, the quasi-energy spectrum in the Hermitian case \( G_I = 0 \).

#### (b) Numerically-computed evolution of the wave packet center of mass \( \langle n(t) \rangle \) in the semi-infinite Hermitian lattice corresponding to initial excitation of the edge site. The dashed curve shows the path in the absence of disorder, whereas the solid thin curves show the paths corresponding to a disordered lattice for a few realizations of disorder.

#### (c) Same as (b), but for the non-Hermitian lattice.

### Figure 5

Optical realization of an imaginary gauge field in coupled microresonators. (a) Schematic of the CROW structure, comprising a sequence of main microrings indirectly coupled via auxiliary rings. The auxiliary rings provide light amplification (attenuation) in the upper (lower) half perimeter of the microring. (b) Schematic of indirect coupling of two main microrings via an auxiliary microring.

The robustness of forward-propagation in the presence of disorder is demonstrated in Fig. 4(b,c). A semi-infinite lattice in considered, and excitation of the edge site is accomplished at initial time \( t = 0 \). Figure 4(b) depicts the evolution of the wave packet center of mass \( \langle n(t) \rangle \) for the driven lattice in the Hermitian limit \( G_I = 0 \), clearly showing localization in the presence of disorder. Conversely, in the non-Hermitian case propagation is not prevented by the disorder Fig. 4(c). A similar scenario is found by considering initial excitation of a site in the bulk. Robust transport occurs for an initial distribution corresponding to a localized wave packet as well (see Methods). Interestingly, as compared to the Hatano-Nelson model, in the driven lattice model (7) wave packet distortion arising from group velocity and amplification dispersion is almost negligible because in this case one has \( \text{Re}(d^2E/dq^2) \approx 0 \) and \( \text{Im}(dE/dq) \approx 0 \) inside the Brillouin zone Fig. 4(a).

**Realization of an imaginary gauge field in coupled resonator optical waveguides and non-Hermitian delocalization.** Synthetic gauge fields and robust transport via topologically-protected edge modes in 2D CROW structures have been proposed and experimentally demonstrated in a series of recent works using coupled microring resonators\(^5\text{–}^7\). Here we show that, by inclusion of engineered gain and loss terms in auxiliary ring resonators, a synthetic ‘imaginary’ gauge field can be readily implemented in a linear (1D) chain of microring resonators, which can thus provide an accessible optical system for the observation of non-Hermitian delocalization\(^22\). A schematic of the 1D chain of coupled ring resonators is shown in Fig. 5(a). The main ring resonators are indirectly coupled using another set...
of auxiliary rings which are designed to be antiresonant to the main ring resonators, i.e., the length of the connecting rings is slightly larger (or smaller) than the main rings so as to acquire an extra $\pi$ phase shift. The auxiliary ring provides amplification in the upper half perimeter, with single-pass amplification $h$, and balanced loss in the lower half perimeter, with single-pass attenuation $-h$. Indicating by $a_n(t)$ the amplitude of the counterclockwise propagating field in the $n$-th ring in the main resonators, with a carrier frequency coincident with one longitudinal ring resonance, coupled-mode equations for the slowly-varying amplitudes $a_n(t)$ can be derived in the mean-field limit after elimination of the field amplitudes in the auxiliary resonators (see Methods). In the absence of disorder the equations read

$$\tau \frac{d a_n}{dt} = -\gamma a_n - i\kappa \left\{ \exp(-h) a_{n+1} + \exp(h) a_{n-1} \right\}$$

(9)

where $\tau$ is the round-trip time in the main rings, $\gamma$ their single-pass loss rate, and $\kappa$ is an effective coupling rate, defined by Eq. (26) given in the Methods. Note that, after the substitution $\gamma = \sqrt{\gamma_n(t)} \exp(-\gamma t/\tau)$, Eq. (9) reduces to the Hatano-Nelson model (5) without disorder. It should be noted that, if the circulation of the light fields in the rings is reversed, Eq. (9) still holds after the substitution $h \to -h$, i.e. the direction of robust transport is reversed and thus the system behaves reciprocally. However, provided that light circulation in either one of the two directions is not excited or it is inhibited by some means, the CROW structure realizes the non-reciprocal Hatano-Nelson model (5). In the CROW system, the disorder $V_n$ arises because of deviations of microring resonance frequencies from the reference value due to fabrication imperfections. Deviations of the antiresonance condition in the auxiliary rings also contribute to the disorder $V_n$ (see Methods). In the Hermitian limit $h = 0$, i.e. in the absence of the gain/loss regions in the auxiliary rings, disorder is responsible for Anderson localization, which has been experimentally observed in Ref. 30. The introduction of the imaginary gauge field should prevent Anderson localization.

Here we suggest a simple experiment for the observation of the non-Hermitian delocalization transition that occurs in the presence of the imaginary vectorial potential. Let us consider a finite CROW chain made of $N \gg 1$ main microrings, in which the ring in one edge of the chain (for instance the ring with index $n=1$) is pumped and provides a gain parameter $g_0$ per trip; see Fig. 6(a). Taking into account disorder of the microring resonance frequencies and the gain in the edge microring, coupled-mode equations are modified as follows

$$\tau \frac{d a_n}{dt} = \left( -\gamma + g_0 \delta_{n,1} - iV_n \right) a_n - i\kappa \left\{ \exp(-h) a_{n+1} + \exp(h) a_{n-1} \right\}$$

(10)

($n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, N$). The CROW structure sustains a set of $N$ modes (also called supermodes), which are obtained as the eigenvectors of the linear system (10). For a given realization of disorder $V_n$ and for $g_0 = 0$, all modes are damped in time, because their associated eigenvalues have a negative real part. As
the gain parameter $g_\text{0}$ is increased up to some threshold value, a neutrally stable (i.e. undamped) mode will arise among the $N$ supermodes, which corresponds to the lasing mode of the CROW structure. The localization properties of the lasing mode turn out to be strongly sensitive on the value of $h$, i.e. of the imaginary gauge field. In fact, the $N$ supermodes sustained by the active microring chain and their corresponding thresholds $g_\text{0}$ are obtained from Eq. (10) after making the Ansatz

$$a_n(t) = p_n \exp(i\lambda t)$$

where $\lambda$ is a real parameter that defines the frequency detuning of the supermode from the microring reference frequency. Substitution of Eq. (11) into Eq. (10) yields

$$\{i\lambda \gamma - g_\text{0}\delta_{n,1} \} p_n = -iV_n p_n - i\kappa \{ \exp(-h)p_{n+1} + \exp(h)p_{n-1}\}.$$  

(12)

If the gain ($g_\text{0}$) and loss ($\gamma$) parameters are smaller or of the same order than $\kappa$, the mode distribution $|p_n|^2$ is not much distorted from the one of the chain with $\gamma = g_\text{0} = 0$. Hence the localization property of the lasing mode is sensitive to the absence or the presence of the imaginary gauge field $h$. For $h = 0$ and for a sufficiently strong disorder, because of Anderson localization all the modes are localized in a few sites of the chain with a localization length $\xi < N$, and the lasing mode corresponds to the localized state $p_n(h = 0)$ with the largest occupation at the pumped ring $n = 1$ Fig. 6(b,c). For $h \neq 0$, the distribution of the lasing mode is simply given by $p_n(h) = p_n(h = 0) \exp(hn)$, as it readily follows from Eq. (12) after the transformation $p_n \rightarrow p_n \exp(hn)$. Hence, as $h$ is increased such that $2h$ gets controllable to the inverse of the localization length $1/\xi$, the mode $p_n(h)$ is delocalized all along the chain or even localized closest to the opposite edge $n = N$ if $h$ is further increased. Hence the oscillating mode will be delocalized Fig. 6(d). In an experiment, the delocalization effect induced by the imaginary gauge field $h$ can be thus simply visualized as a delocalization transition of the lasing intensity distribution in the microring chain as the parameter $h$ is varied. Such a simple experiment might provide the first accessible testbed for the observation of the non-Hermitian delocalization transition predicted in the pioneering work by Hatano and Nelson.

**Discussion**

Non-Hermitian photonic lattices with asymmetric amplification/attenuation of counter-propagating modes can provide a route toward robust light transport, preventing Anderson localization in the presence of disorder. The physical origin of one-way transport in non-Hermitian lattices considered in our work is rooted in the non-Hermitian delocalization transition originally discussed in quantum mechanics by Hatano and Nelson for a lattice with an imaginary gauge potential\(^{22}\). It is thus very distinct than the physics of topologically-protected edge modes arising in 2D photonic lattices with synthetic gauge fields\(^{1}\), or the physics of asymmetric transport in other $P\bar{T}$-symmetric systems where non-reciprocity is obtained by exploiting some nonlinearities in the system\(^{20,21}\). We have suggested a very simple physical condition for non-Hermitian robust one-way transport and non-Hermitian delocalization see Eq. (1), and shown that the Hatano Nelson model provides a special example where such a condition is met. We conjectured the generality of such a condition, that can be satisfied in driven non-Hermitian lattices as well (see Fig. 4). Finally, we have proposed a simple method for the implementation of an imaginary gauge field for photons in coupled microring resonators, which could thus provide the first experimentally accessible testbed for the observation of the Hatano-Nelson non-Hermitian delocalization. Our results indicate that non-Hermitian photonic lattices can provide a new route toward unidirectional light transport which is robust to imperfections and disorder, and could be of relevance to other related phenomena in photonics, such as non reciprocal photonic transmission, isolation, and unidirectional coherent perfect absorption\(^{31-34}\), as well as in other non-Hermitian physical systems such as Josephson circulators\(^{33,34}\).

**Methods**

**Quasi-energy spectrum of the driven non-Hermitian lattice.** The quasi-energy spectrum of the Hamiltonian (7) in the absence of disorder ($V_n = 0$) can be calculated as follows. After setting $|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_n a_n(t) \exp(-iFt) |n\rangle$, the evolution equations for the amplitude probabilities $a_n$ read

$$\frac{i\, da_n}{dt} = \kappa \{ \exp(-iFt)a_{n+1} + \exp(iFt)a_{n-1} \} + (-1)^n g(t) a_n.$$  

(13)

We look for a solution to Eq. (13) of the form

$$a_n(t) = \begin{pmatrix} A(t) \\ B(t) \end{pmatrix} \exp(-i\pi n/2)$$  

(14)
where the upper (lower) row applies to an even (odd) value of \( n \), and where \( q \) is the Bloch wave number that varies in the range \( (-\pi, \pi) \). Substitution of Eq. (14) into Eq. (13) yields

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dA}{dt} &= 2\kappa B \cos(q/2 + Ft) + g(t)A, \\
\frac{dB}{dt} &= 2\kappa A \cos(q/2 + Ft) - g(t)B.
\end{align*}
\] (15) (16)

If the resonance condition \( F = (M/N)\omega \) is satisfied, Eqs. (15) and (16) are periodic in time with period \( T = 2\pi N/\omega \) and Floquet theory applies. Indicating by \( \Phi(q) = \exp(-iT\mathcal{R}(q)) \) the propagator of Eqs. (15) and (16) from \( t = 0 \) to \( t = T \), i.e. \( A(T) = \Phi(q)A(0), B(T) = \Phi(q)B(0) \), the quasi energies \( E_q(q) \) of the two mini bands for the Hamiltonian \( H \) are defined as the eigenvalues of the \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix \( \mathcal{R}(q) \). Quasi energies are defined apart from integer multiples than \( \omega/N \); for the sake of definiteness the real part of the quasi energies is taken within the interval \( (-\omega/2N, \omega/2N) \). Note that, since the modulation function \( g(t) \) satisfies the condition \( g(-t) = g^*(t) \), then it can be readily shown that \( E_{\pm}(q) = E_{\pm}(q) \).

**Wave packet distortion effects.** Let us consider an initially localized wave packet with carrier wave number \( q_0 \) that propagates in the ordered lattice. The initial excitation can be written rather generally as

\[
|c(0)\rangle = c(n, 0) = F(n) \exp(iq_0n) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dq S(q) \exp(iqn) \quad \text{with a slowly-varying amplitude} \quad F(n),
\]

where \( S(q) \) is the Bloch spectrum which is assumed to be a narrow function at around \( q = q_0 \). For a static lattice with a single tight-binding band, like for the Hatano-Nelson model (2), the amplitude probabilities \( |c(t)\rangle \) evolve according to

\[
|c(t)\rangle = \exp(-i\mathcal{R}(q) t)|c(0)\rangle = \exp[-i\mathcal{R}(q) t]|c(0)\rangle = \exp[-i\mathcal{R}(q) t],
\]

where \( \mathcal{R}(q) \) is the complex energy dispersion curve of the lattice band. A similar expression holds for a time-periodic Hamiltonian e.g. the model (7), provided that time \( t \) is taken at integer multiples than \( \omega/N \); for the sake of definiteness the real part of \( \mathcal{R}(q) \) is taken within the interval \( (-\omega/2N, \omega/2N) \). The reason thereof is the special behavior of dispersion curves of the quasi energy minibands of the driven lattice, as discussed in the main text.

**Imaginary gauge field in a chain of microrings: coupled-mode analysis.** For the sake of clearness, let us consider the case of two high-Q rings in the main chain. The method can be readily extended to an arbitrary number of rings in the main cavity indirectly coupled by auxiliary rings. The fields in the two rings of the main chain are assumed to propagate counterclockwise, whereas the field in the auxiliary ring propagates clockwise. The carrier frequency of the fields is assumed to be one longitudinal frequency of the rings in the main chain. Indicating by \( a(t), a^*(t), b(t), b^*(t) \), \( e(t), f(t), g(t) \) and \( l(t) \) the field amplitudes in the main and auxiliary rings at the locations depicted in Fig. 4(b), resonator coupling is described by the following equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{pmatrix}
a' \\
b'
\end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix}
u & \rho \\
-\rho^* & u
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
a \\
b
\end{pmatrix},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \rho \) is the coupling constant and \( |\rho|^2 + |u|^2 = 1 \). We assume small coupling, i.e. \( |\rho| \ll 1 \) and \( u \simeq 1 \). A real value of \( u \) is also assumed for the sake of clearness. The field boundary conditions for the two main rings read

\[
a(t + \tau) = a'(t) \exp(-\gamma t) \simeq (1 - \gamma) a'(t), b(t + \tau) = b'(t) \exp(-\gamma t) \simeq (1 - \gamma) b'(t)
\]

(18)
where \( \gamma \ll 1 \) is the single-pass loss in each ring and \( \tau \) is the transit time in the ring. Taking into account propagative effects in the two half sections of the auxiliary ring one can also write

\[
\tau_{\phi_1} \tau_{\phi_2} = (\pi) (\pi + \phi_1, \phi_2) = (\pi - \phi_1, \phi_2) = (\pi - \phi_1, \phi_2) = (\pi - \phi_1, \phi_2 + \pi) = (\pi - \phi_1, \phi_2 + \pi).
\]

On the other hand, from Eqs. (17–19) one has

\[
g(t + \tau_1) + \frac{da}{dt} \simeq (1 - u^2)(\exp(h + i\phi_1), e(t + \tau_1) = l(t) \exp(-h + i\phi_2))
\]

Assuming that the two gain/loss sections in the auxiliary rings have the same length, i.e. \( \phi_1 = \phi_2 = \pi/2 \), one obtains

\[
g(t) \simeq \frac{\rho^*}{2} [ub(t) - a(t) \exp(h + i\phi_1), e(t) \simeq \frac{\rho^*}{2} [-b(t) \exp(-h + i\phi_2) + ua(t)].
\]
Substitution of Eqs. (24) into Eqs. (20) yields

$$\tau \frac{da}{dt} = -(1 - u - u |\rho|^2/2)a - \gamma a - i\kappa \exp(-h)b,$$

$$\tau \frac{db}{dt} = -(1 - u - u |\rho|^2/2)b - \gamma b - i\kappa \exp(h)a,$$

where we have set

$$\kappa \equiv |\rho|^2/2.$$

Taking into account that $u = \sqrt{1 - |\rho|^2} \simeq 1 - |\rho|^2/2$, at leading order from Eqs. (25) one finally obtains

$$\tau \frac{da}{dt} = -\gamma a - i\kappa \exp(-h)b,$$

$$\tau \frac{db}{dt} = -\gamma b - i\kappa \exp(h)a.$$

Such equations clearly show that the role of the auxiliary ring is to indirectly couple the two main rings, with imbalances hopping rates $\kappa \exp(-h)$ and $\kappa \exp(h)$, where $h$ is the gain/loss parameter in the two half-sections of the auxiliary ring and $\kappa$ is defined by Eq. (26).

In the above analysis we assumed exact anti-resonance of the auxiliary resonator, i.e. $\phi_1 = \phi_2 = \pi/2$. A slight deviation of the auxiliary ring from the anti-resonance condition can be taken into account by assuming in the previous analysis $\phi_1 = \pi/2 + \omega_1$ and $\phi_2 = \pi/2 + \omega_2$, where $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ are additional phase deviations from the ideal condition that could arise in fabrication imperfections. Anti-resonance is broken whenever $\omega_1 + \omega_2 \neq 0$. For small values of phase deviations $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$, after some straightforward calculations it can be shown that at leading order Eq. (27) is modified as follows

$$\tau \frac{da}{dt} = -\gamma a - i\kappa \exp(-h+b\theta)b,$$

$$\tau \frac{db}{dt} = -\gamma b - i\kappa \exp(h+i\theta)a,$$

where we have set $\theta \equiv (\omega_2 - \omega_1)/2$. Equation (28) shows that deviation from the anti-resonance condition has two effects: it introduces an effective shift of the resonance frequency of the main rings by the amount $\kappa (\omega_1 + \omega_2)/(2\tau)$, and it provides a phase term $\theta$ for the hoping rate $\kappa$. The latter does not play any role since it can be removed by a proper gauge transformation. Therefore, slight deviations from the anti-resonance condition of the auxiliary ring can be accounted for by a disorder $V_a$ of the main ring resonance frequencies, like in Eq. (10).

References

1. Lu, L., Joannopoulos, J. D. & Soljacic M. Topological photonics. Nature Photon 8, 821–829 (2014).
2. Haldane, F. & Raghu S. Possible Realization of Directional Optical Waveguides in Photonic Crystals with Broken Time-Reversal Symmetry. Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 013904 1–4 (2008).
3. Wang, Z., Chong, Y., Joannopoulos, J. D. & Soljacic, M. Observation of Unidirectional Backscattering-Immune Topological Electromagnetic States. Nature 461, 772–775 (2009).
4. Yu, Z., Veronis, G., Wang, Z. & Fan, S. One-Way Electromagnetic Waveguide Formed at the Interface between a Plasmonic Metal under a Static Magnetic Field and a Photonic Crystal Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 023902 1–4 (2008).
5. Hafezi, M., Demler, E. A., Lukin, M. D. & Taylor J. M. Robust Optical Delay Lines with Topological Protection. Nature Phys. 7, 907 (2011).
6. Hafezi, M., Mittal, S., Fan, J., Migdall, A. & Taylor J. M. Imaging Topological Edge States in Silicon Photonics. Nature Photon 7, 1001 (2013).
7. Mittal, S. et al. Topologically Robust Transport of Photons in a Synthetic Gauge Field. Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 087403 1–5 (2014).
8. Rechtsman, M. C. et al. Photonic Floquet Topological Insulators. Nature 496, 196–200 (2013).
9. Khaniiev, A. B. et al. Photonic Topological Insulators. Nature Mater. 12, 233–239 (2013).
10. Fang, K., Yu, Z. & Fan S. Realizing Effective Magnetic Field for Photons by Controlling the Phase of Dynamic Modulation. Nature Photon. 6, 782–787 (2012).
11. Kraus, Y. E., Lahini, Y., Ringel, Z., Verbin, M. & Silberberg O. Topological States and Adiabatic Pumping in Quasicrystals. Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 106402 1–5 (2012).
12. Makris, K. G., El-Ganainy, R., Christodoulides, D. N. & Musslimani, Z. H. Beam Dynamics in PT-Symmetric Optical Lattices. Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 103904 1–4 (2008).
13. Longhi S. Bloch oscillations in complex crystals with PT-symmetry. Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 123601 I–4 (2009).
14. Longhi S. Spectral singularities and Bragg scattering in complex crystals. Phys. Rev. A 81, 022110 1–6 (2010).
15. Lin, Z. et al. Unidirectional Invisibility Induced by PT-Symmetric Periodic Structures. Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 213901 1–5 (2011).
16. Longhi, S. Dynamic localization and transport in complex crystals. Phys. Rev. B 80, 235102 (2009).
17. Longhi, S. & Della Valle, G. Spectral and transport properties of time-periodic PT-symmetric tight-binding lattices. Phys. Rev. A 87, 022119 1–6 (2013).
18. Eichlerkraut, T. et al. Mobility transition from ballistic to diffusive transport in non-Hermitian lattices. Nature Commun 4, 2533 1–5 (2013).
19. Bender, C. M. Making Sense of Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **70**, 947–1018 (2007).
20. Chang, L. *et al.* Parity-time symmetry and variable optical isolation in active-passive-coupled microresonators. *Nature Photon* **8**, 524–529 (2014).
21. Peng, B. *et al.* Parity-time-symmetric whispering-gallery microcavities. *Nature Phys.* **10**, 394–398 (2014).
22. Hatano, N. & Nelson, D. R. Localization Transitions in Non-Hermitian Quantum Mechanics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 570–573 (1996).
23. Brouwer, P. W., Silvestrov, P. G. & Beenakker, C. W. J. Theory of directed localization in one dimension. *Phys. Rev. B* **56**, R4333–R4335 (1997).
24. Goldsheid, I. Y. & Khoruzhenko, B. A. Distribution of Eigenvalues in Non-Hermitian Anderson Models. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 2897–2900 (1998).
25. Hatano, N. & Nelson, D. R. Non-Hermitian delocalization and eigenfunctions. *Phys. Rev. B* **58**, 8384–8390 (1998).
26. Heinrichs, J. Eigenvalues in the non-Hermitian Anderson model. *Phys. Rev. B* **63**, 165108 1–6 (2001).
27. Muga, J. G., Palao, J. P., Navarro, B. & Egusquiza, I. L. Complex absorbing potentials. *Phys. Rep.* **395**, 357–426 (2004).
28. Longhi, S. Stopping and time reversal of light in dynamic photonic structures via Bloch oscillations. *Phys. Rev. E* **75**, 026606 1–5 (2007).
29. Garanovich, I. L., Longhi, S., Sukhorukov, A. A. & Kivshar, Y. S. Light propagation and localization in modulated photonic lattices and waveguides. *Phys. Rep.* **518**, 1–79 (2012).
30. Mookherjea, S., Park, J. S., Yang, S.-H. & Bandaru, P. R. Localization in silicon nanophotonic slow-light waveguides. *Nature Photon* **2**, 90–93 (2008).
31. Longhi, S. Non-reciprocal transmission in photonic lattices based on unidirectional coherent perfect absorption. *Opt. Lett.* **40**, 1278–1281 (2015).
32. Metelmann, A. & Clerk, A. A. Nonreciprocal Photon Transmission and Amplification via Reservoir Engineering. *arXiv:1502.07274v1* (2015).
33. Ranzani, L. & Aumentado, J. Graph-based analysis of nonreciprocity in coupled-mode systems. *New J. Phys.* **17**, 023024 1–14 (2015).
34. Slwá, K. M. *et al.* An integrated Josephson circulator and directional amplifier: the triple-pumped JPC. *arXiv:1503.00209v1* (2015).
35. Yariv, A. Universal relations for coupling of optical power between microresonators and dielectric waveguides. *Electron. Lett.* **36**, 321–322 (2000).

**Acknowledgments**
This work was partially supported by the Fondazione Cariplo (Grant No. 2011-0338).

**Author Contributions**
S.L. conceived the idea, S.L. and G.D.V. developed the theory, S.L., D.G. and G.D.V. made the numerical simulations. All authors discussed the results and participated in the manuscript preparation.

**Additional Information**

**Competing financial interests:** The authors declare no competing financial interests.

**How to cite this article:** Longhi, S. *et al.* Robust light transport in non-Hermitian photonic lattices. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 13376; doi: 10.1038/srep13376 (2015).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/