Numerical Research of Oxidation Zone Variation in Goaf of Longwalls U-Type System from Borders and U-Type System to the Borders Ventilated
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Abstract. Endogenous fires are significant hazard in the Polish and global underground mining industry. These fires are result of spontaneous combustion of coal due to the inability to discharge heat from the oxidation process. One of the main places of occurrence of endogenous fires are goaves in longwalls. By endogenous fire we mean self-ignition of coal, caused by a process of self-heating of coal (leading to increase in temperature), and under endogenous fire hazard possibility of coal self-ignition as a result of its self-heating process in mining heading or its surroundings. Hazard of endogenous fires in these goaves are formed due to the airflow with determined velocity and specified chemical constitution. The velocity of air stream mainly depends on the type of rocks forming the stroke and applied longwall ventilation system. In the paper results of numerical research of airflow through goaves ventilated in U-type system from borders and U-type system to the borders are presented. The aim of the analysis was to determine in these goaves a oxidation zone. For determined mining-geological conditions, the critical value of velocity of airflow and oxygen concentration in goaves, conditioning initiation of coal oxidation process were determined. Modelling studies carried out basing on the developed methodology included in its scope the study of spatial models of exploitation longwalls being ventilated on the U-type system from the borders and U-type system to the borders and its goaves. Results of tests and analyses, as well as conclusions presented in this article should be a valuable source of knowledge, and could be used in the practice of choosing exploitation longwall ventilation system and ventilation parameters of the air.

1. Introduction
The endogenous fire hazard is one of the most common and widespread threats to occupational health and safety in hard coal mines in Poland and worldwide [1, 2, 3, 4]. The endogenous fire hazard means that there is a risk of spontaneous combustion of coal due to the process of its self-heating in a mine working or the vicinity.

The endogenous fire hazard may therefore be regarded as one of the most dangerous and common ventilation-related risks. In the years 2007–2017, there were as many as 67 endogenous fires, 33 of which were located in caving goaves of longwalls [5].

The goaves of longwalls with caving, occurring due to the collapse of roof rock layers, create a porous bed which allows gases to flow through because of the presence of void spaces (crevices between the collapsed blocks of roof rocks) [6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. If, during the exploitation process, coal has been left in the roof or floor layer of the exploited bed, or there are patches of coal or its subeconomic resources in the rocks lying over this bed, the caving will push the coal into the goaves, turning it into
a source of endogenous fires. Crushed coal left in the goaves and the air flowing through them at a
dangerous speed, as well as an adequately high oxygen concentration, are key determinants of the coal
self-heating.

During longwall ventilation, part of the fresh air stream makes its way into the caving zone, thus
posing a risk of coal oxidation being initiated. This process in goaves occurs in areas which meet the
necessary conditions for its initiation. Such areas could be termed as the zones with a particularly high
risk of endogenous fires. It is practically impossible to demarcate the zone with a particularly high risk
of endogenous fires in underground conditions because it is formed in inaccessible locations, thereby
preventing any real examinations [1, 2, 3, 9, 10].

The paper presents the results of numerical tests which aimed at demarcating such a zone in the
caving goaves of a longwalls ventilated with U-type system from borders and U-type system to the
borders. The tests were conducted using Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD), with related
calculations performed by means of the ANSYS Fluent programme, based on the Finite Volume
Method (FVM). The tests were used to specify the physical and chemical parameters of the air flowing
through the goaves with caving, treated as a porous medium. The boundary values for these
parameters, responsible for the commencement of coal self-heating, were also determined. This served
as the basis for determining the position of the zone with a particularly high risk of endogenous fires in
the goaves with caving. The results obtained may represent a significant source of information on the
identification of sites with a potential likelihood of endogenous fires in goaves with caving and, at a
later stage, make it possible to select a proper preventative method.

2. Mathematical model of gas flow

The airflows at the maingate, tailgate and longwall are simulated as fully developed turbulent flow by
using an $k$-$\varepsilon$ model. Because laminar flow, transition flow and turbulent flow coexist in the gob, the
flow through the gob is treated as a non-darcy flow. For porous material analysis, like a goaf with
caving, can be also used model of foam structures with open cells presented in [11].

2.1. Basic flow equations

System of balance equations of mass, momentum and energy (equations of fluid handling) of one-
component flow takes the following form [12]:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho v) + \nabla \cdot (\rho v v) = 0 \quad \text{(1)}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho v v) + \nabla \cdot (\rho v v v) = \nabla \cdot (-p I + \tau + \tau) + \rho s_p \quad \text{(2)}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho v) + \nabla \cdot (\rho v e v) = \nabla \cdot \left[ -p I + \tau + \tau \right] v + q_s + q_e + \rho s_e \quad \text{(3)}$$

System of equations (5-7) in a vector form can be written as [8]:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ \rho v \\ \rho e \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \rho v v + p I \\ \rho v v + p I \\ \rho e v + p I v \end{pmatrix} = \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \tau + \tau \\ \tau + \tau \end{pmatrix} v + q_s + q_e + \rho s_e \quad \text{(4)}$$

Variables presented in the system of equation (5-8) are [8]:

$$\begin{cases} \rho, v, p, \tau, s_p, e, s_e, q_s, q_e \end{cases}$$
where: $p$ is the static pressure (Pa), $V_x$, $V_y$ and $V_z$ are the air velocity (m/s), $C_1$ is the factor of viscous resistance (1/m$^2$), $C_2$ is the factor of inertial resistance (1/m), $\rho$ is the fluid density (kg/m$^3$).

In a case of modelling of flow through the porous medium such as goaf with caving, infiltration of presented system of equations is possible by introducing into balance equations additional factors. This factor influences on macroscopic increase of movement resistance. The movement resistances brake momentum of fluid flowing through porous medium and acting on hole volume of this medium. In such conception part of balance momentum equation should be modified.

Source of resistance can be in a form of Forchheimer equation which in three-dimensional space has a form [13]:

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = C_1 \mu V_x + C_2 \frac{1}{\rho} |V| V_x$$

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} = C_1 \mu V_y + C_2 \frac{1}{\rho} |V| V_y$$

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = C_1 \mu V_z + C_2 \frac{1}{\rho} |V| V_z$$

Combined with Eq. (10)-(12), the viscous resistance coefficient $C_1$ and the inertial resistance coefficient $C_2$ in ANSYS Fluent are determined from the dependence:

$$C_1 = \frac{1}{k}$$

$$C_2 = \frac{2\beta D_m}{kn}$$

2.2. Model of air leakage resistance coefficient in the goaf

Nonlinear equation of movement describes filtration (flow) in porous medium given by Bachamt, has a form [13]:

$$-h = (a + b |V|) V$$

where: $h$ is the pressure head (m), $V$ is the fluid velocity (m/s), $a$ and $b$ are scalar coefficients in Eq. (14) and can be expressed by Eq.(15):

$$a = \frac{\nu}{(g \cdot k)}$$

$$b = \frac{\beta \cdot D_m}{(g \cdot n \cdot k)}$$

where: $g$ is the acceleration of gravity (9.81 m/s$^2$), $n$ is the porosity, $\nu$ is the kinematic viscosity (m$^2$/s), $k$ is the permeability of porous media (m$^2$), $\beta$ is the shape factor of the media particles (1.5) and $D_m$ is the harmonic average particle size (0.1 m).

The porosity can be obtained by $K$, which is the coefficient of the bulk increase of caving rocks in the goaves [13]:

$$n(x,y,z) = 1 - \frac{1}{K(x,y,z)}$$
3. Characteristic of investigated system

Model-based tests were conducted for the longwalls U-type system from borders and U-type system to the borders ventilated. The purpose was to demarcate the zone with a particularly high risk of endogenous fires in the caving goaves of this longwalls.

The geometrical model of a longwall ventilated with the U-type system from borders and its goaves with caving has been presented in Figure 1a, while that of a longwall ventilated with the and U-type system to the borders – in Figure 1b.

**Figure 1.** Geometrical model of investigated system of excavations U-type system from borders (a) and U-type system to the borders ventilated (b)

The geometrical model of a longwall ventilated with the U-type system from the exploitation field borders incorporates:
- sections of longwall galleries with a length of 20.0 m and a cross sectional area of \( A = 15.0 \text{ m}^2 \),
- a longwall with a height of 3.0 m and a length of 220.0 m, and an inclination of 0°;
- a section of goaves with caving with a length 400.0 m (constituting \( \frac{2}{3} \) of the longwall panel length).

The geometrical model of a longwall ventilated with the U-type system to the exploitation field borders incorporates:
- sections of longwall galleries with a length of 20.0 m and a cross sectional area of \( A = 15.0 \text{ m}^2 \),
- a maingate maintained along the goaves with caving, with a length 405.0 m,
- a tailgate maintained along the goaves with caving, with a length 405.0 m,
- a longwall with a height of 3.0 m and a length of 220.0 m, and an inclination of 0°,
• a section of goaves with caving with a length 400.0 m (constituting \( \frac{2}{3} \) of the longwall panel length).

The range of the vertical air flow zone was 3.5 times the height of the exploited seam for both geometrical models.

Such geometrical models were subjected to the process of discretization. The geometrical models failed to incorporate the machinery and devices of the longwall as well as the tailgate and maingate equipment.

The simplifications adopted in the models developed, in relation to the real-world models, constitute a certain compromise between the calculation precision and the time of obtaining a solution.

4. Results and discussions

Performed tests enabled to determine distributions of physical and chemical parameters of air stream flowing through the tested headings and goaves with caving. Distributions of air velocity, dangerous velocity and oxygen concentration in goaves with caving at distance 2.0 m from exploited seam floor were presented in Figures 2, 3 and 4 for analyzed ventilation systems.

![Figure 2](image1.png)

**Figure 2.** Distributions of air dangerous velocity of air stream flowing through the goaves of longwalls U-type system from borders (a) and U-type system to the borders ventilated (b)
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**Figure 3.** Distributions of air dangerous velocity of air stream flowing through the goaves of longwalls U-type system from borders (a) and U-type system to the borders ventilated (b)
Based on the obtained results, characteristics of changes in velocity of air flowing through the goaves with caving (Figure 5) and distributions of oxygen concentrations (Figure 6) present in that air as a function of the distance from the front longwall were determined.

Based on the characteristics determined, it can be concluded that the air speed in goaves with caving decreases along with the increasing distance from the longwall front. For longwall U-type system from borders ventilated, at a distance of up to 84.0 m from the caving line into the depths of the goaves, the speed of the flowing air reaches the critical value in terms of the risk of endogenous fire hazard, i.e. the value from 0.0015 m/s to 0.02 m/s. While for longwall U-type system to the borders ventilated, at a distance from 13 up to 112.0 m from the caving line into the depths of the goaves, the speed of the flowing air reaches the critical value in terms of the risk of endogenous fire hazard, i.e. the value from 0.0015 m/s to 0.02 m/s.
Based on the characteristics determined, it can be concluded that the concentration of oxygen in goaves with caving decreases along with the increasing distance from the longwall front. For longwall U-type system from borders ventilated, at a distance of up to 200.0 m from the caving line into the depths of the goaves, oxygen concentration in the air flowing through the goaves with caving reaches the dangerous value in terms of the risk of endogenous fires, i.e. the value higher than or equal to 8%. While for longwall U-type system to the borders ventilated, at a distance of up to 400.0 m from the caving line into the depths of the goaves, oxygen concentration in the air flowing through the goaves with caving reaches the dangerous value in terms of the risk of endogenous fires.

Taking both characteristics into account, the zone with a particularly high risk of endogenous fires for longwalls U-type system from borders and U-type system to the borders ventilated was demarcated in the its goaves.

Table 1 presents the range of the zone with a particularly high risk of endogenous fires for longwalls ventilated with the U-type system from the exploitation field borders and with U-type system up to the exploitation field borders, with the volumetric flow rate of the air flowing through a longwall equal to 1400 m$^3$/min.
Table 1. The range of the zone with a particularly high risk of endogenous fires in caving goaves.

| Longwall ventilation systems                              | Critical air velocity zone, m | Critical oxygen concentration zone, m | The zone with a particularly high risk of endogenous fires, m |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| U-type system from the exploitation field borders         | 0 – 84.0                     | 0 – 200.0                            | 0 – 84.0                                                   |
| U-type system to the exploitation field borders           | 13.0 – 112.0                 | 0 – 400.0                            | 13.0 – 112.0                                               |

5. Conclusions
The article presents the results of numerical tests, whose purpose was to determine the zone with a particularly high risk of endogenous fires in goaves with caving for longwalls U-type system from borders and U-type system to the borders ventilated. Model developed and used for flow analysis of mixture of air stream and mining gases through the goaf with caving enabled to determine the distribution of physical and chemical parameters of this mixture for longwalls U-type system from borders and U-type system to the borders ventilated.

Obtained results enabled to determine of zones particularly endangered by endogenous fires in goafs, in which velocity of airflow equals from 0.0015 m/s to 0.02 m/s, oxygen concentration – min. 8%. Based on that, one can conclude, that location and range of this zone in significantly way depends on a longwall ventilated system. On the basis of the results obtained, it can be concluded that the longwall ventilation system in use have a significant impact on the speed of the air flowing through goaves as well as on the value of oxygen concentration in this air.

Comparing the test results obtained for both ventilation systems under analysis, one may conclude that the range of the zone with a particularly high risk of endogenous fires for the U-ventilation system to the borders is significantly greater than that for the U-type system from the borders. Knowledge of range of this zone has very important practical meaning. It allows to take preventive actions, in order to prevent occurrence of endogenous fire.

Obtained results indicate, that numerical methods can be successfully used for variant analyses of processes connected with ventilation of underground mine headings, including analyses connected with airflow through the goaf, and also in the analyses of emergency states, like initialization of oxidation process in favorable conditions leading to self-heating and self-ignition. Therefore, it can be assumed that simulation methods based on computational fluid dynamics (CFD) could be significant tool in improvement of the occupational safety in the mining.
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