Transcriptional profiling of NCI/ADR-RES cells unveils a complex network of signaling pathways and molecular mechanisms of drug resistance
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Background: Ovarian cancer has the highest mortality rate among all the gynecological cancers. This is mostly due to the resistance of ovarian cancer to current chemotherapy regimens. Therefore, it is of crucial importance to identify the molecular mechanisms associated with chemoresistance.

Methods: NCI/ADR-RES is a multidrug-resistant cell line that is a model for the study of drug resistance in ovarian cancer. We carried out a microarray-derived transcriptional profiling analysis of NCI/ADR-RES to identify differentially expressed genes relative to its parental OVCAR-8.

Results: Gene-expression profiling has allowed the identification of genes and pathways that may be important for the development of drug resistance in ovarian cancer. The NCI/ADR-RES cell line has differential expression of genes involved in drug extrusion, inactivation, and efficacy, as well as genes involved in the architectural and functional reorganization of the extracellular matrix. These genes are controlled through different signaling pathways, including MAPK–Akt, Wnt, and Notch.

Conclusion: Our findings highlight the importance of using orthogonal therapies that target completely independent pathways to overcome mechanisms of resistance to both classical chemotherapeutic agents and molecularly targeted drugs.
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Introduction
Ovarian cancer represents only 10% of all gynecological cancers in Europe, but has the highest mortality rate among them. This is mostly due to the resistance of ovarian cancer to current chemotherapy regimens. Although most patients initially respond to chemotherapy, a significant percentage of them relapse and develop resistance to a broad spectrum of structurally unrelated drugs that do not share a common target. Consequently, ovarian cancer becomes refractory to the different alternatives for its treatment and is typically incurable. Indeed, 90% of the deaths from ovarian cancer can be attributed to drug resistance.

The phenomenon of MDR is multifactorial, and may result from a variety of cellular mechanisms, such as activation or overexpression of drug-export proteins involving Pgp, as well as other associated proteins; alteration of target enzymes, such as mutated topoisomerase II; increased drug metabolism and elimination, due to altered expression of cytochrome P450 enzymes; alterations in cell-cycle control or changes in apoptotic threshold; enhanced intracellular drug inactivation, due to conjugation with glutathione; and alterations in DNA-repair ability. Furthermore,
Large-scale expression analysis is an attractive strategy to overcome resistance and improve patient survival. Understanding how DR arises may ultimately lead to new approaches for the treatment of DR in ovarian cancer. Overall, a better understanding of the mechanisms that may be important for the development of DR could lead to the identification of new candidate genes involved in MDR that can be targeted for cancer therapy.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and culture conditions

The MDR NCI/ADR-RES human ovarian cancer cell line (formerly MCF7/ADR) was a generous gift from Dr Ramon Colomer of the Institut Català d’Oncologia de Girona, Hospital Universitari de Girona Dr Josep Trueta (Girona, Spain). NCI/ADR-RES and OVCAR-8 cells were routinely grown at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium and Roswell Park Memorial Institute, respectively, supplemented with 10% FBS, 50 U/mL penicillin, and 50 μg/mL streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). NCI/ADR-RES cells were maintained in media containing 1.84 μM doxorubicin (Tedec-Mejji Farma, Madrid, Spain). Cells remained free of Mycoplasma and were propagated according to established protocols.

Cell-proliferation assay

NCI/ADR-RES cells (7,000 per well) and OVCAR-8 cells (2,500 per well) were seeded into six-well plates. After 24 hours of incubation, cells were treated for 72 hours with varying concentrations of a particular chemotherapeutic agent among the following: doxorubicin, paclitaxel, docetaxel, cisplatin, carboplatin, gemcitabine, and etoposide. Sensitivity was determined by the MTT method, as described previously. Data were collected by measuring the absorbance at 570 nm using a Synergy 4 multiwell plate reader (BioTek Instruments, Winooski, VT, USA). IC₅₀ values were calculated by interpolation from the obtained growth curves. Data are expressed as means ± SD of three independent experiments conducted in triplicate.

RNA isolation

NCI/ADR-RES cells (2×10⁵ per well) and OVCAR-8 cells (5×10⁴ per well) were seeded into six-well plates. After 60 hours of incubation, cells were harvested at 400 g for 5 minutes at 4°C and washed twice with cold PBS. Total RNA was extracted using the mirVana miRNA-isolation kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

the multicellular structure of cancer displays a set of transport barriers to drug delivery. The ECM of tumor cells allows the formation of dense cellular structures that limit drug diffusion into cells that are localized away from the blood vessels. In addition, the interaction of the ECM with cancer cells can affect their sensitivity to apoptosis and DR.

Drug export is the most prevalent and important mechanism of MDR. Therefore, most studies attempting to circumvent MDR have been focused on the possibility of modulating the activity of Pgp or associated proteins like breast cancer–resistance protein by using competitive inhibitors or modulating its expression (for a review, see Wu et al). MDR reversal through inhibition of Pgp was first achieved in vitro using verapamil, and several years later using other unrelated compounds, such as cyclosporines, phenothiazines, antimalarials, or antibiotics. However, at their highest tolerable concentration, these drugs failed to achieve clinical inhibition. Although alternative Pgp modulators with higher specificity have been produced, clinical trials have evidenced that elimination of Pgp+ cells through the combined use of chemotherapeutics and Pgp modulators may lead to the selection of cells presenting alternative MDR mechanisms.

In the last few years, it has become clear that the development of an MDR-cell population does not arise from a single mechanism, but likely from multiple stacked processes. Cancer heterogeneity explains the fact that every cancer expresses a different array of DR genes, and that even cells within the same cancer can exhibit a large amount of heterogeneity regarding DR.

Resistance to new tumor-cell-specific therapies has also been described. Importantly, the mechanisms of resistance to these new drugs are identical or at least overlapping to those causing resistance to the classical drugs.

The NCI/ADR-RES human cell line is a model for the study of MDR in ovarian cancer. To get a better comprehension of the enormous amount of published works related to this cell line, a study of the MDR mechanisms developed in NCI/ADR-RES compared to its parental OVCAR-8 is needed. In the present work, we identify changes in gene expression of NCI/ADR-RES cells compared to OVCAR-8. We used gene-expression profiling to identify those genes and pathways that may be important for the development of DR in ovarian cancer. Overall, a better understanding of how DR arises may ultimately lead to new approaches for overcoming resistance and improving patient survival. Large-scale expression analysis is an attractive strategy to study MDR, because it is a multifactorial phenomenon that involves multiple genes and pathways. Therefore, it can identify new candidate genes involved in MDR that can be targets for cancer therapy.
instructions and stored at −80°C. Four independent preparations were obtained. RNA integrity and 260:280 nm absorbance ratio of each sample were checked using an Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific), respectively.

**Gene-expression microarray analysis**

Gene-expression microarray experiments were performed at Bioarray (Elche, Spain) using a SurePrint G3 human gene-expression microarray (Agilent Technologies), a high-density oligonucleotide microarray that contains 60,000 probes, corresponding to 27,958 Entrez Gene RNAs and 7,419 IncRNAs. Sample preparation and microarray processing were done according to two-color microarray-based gene expression analysis (version 6.5; Agilent Technologies) as described in Vert et al.19 Also, statistical data analyses were done as previously described.19 Genes were considered differentially expressed when they had a false-discovery rate-adjusted P-value ≤0.05 and a fold change ≥2 or ≤-2. The microarray data generated during the current study are available under the accession number GSE75494 in the Gene Expression Omnibus repository (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo).20 The Multiple Experimental Viewer program (http://mev.tm4.org) was used to generate a heat map of gene expression.

**Gene pathway analysis and KEGG pathway annotation**

Differentially expressed genes were functionally characterized with a hypergeometric test to find overrepresented Gene Ontology terms in the three main broad ontologies (biological process, molecular function, and cellular component; www.geneontology.org) and were also mapped to the KEGG (www.kegg.jp), which assigns proteins to pathways, to find overrepresented pathways. Analyses were done using the software packages GOstats and RamiGO from Bioconductor (www.bioconductor.org). In all cases, P≤0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Transcription-factor target analysis**

An in silico prediction of which TFs could be regulating multiple genes involved in the maintenance of the MDR phenotype in NCI/ADR-RES was made using the TFT-analysis tool implemented in WebGestalt (http://www.webgestalt.org).21 We analyzed whether the set of genes whose expression change in NCI/ADR-RES compared to OVCAR-8 was significantly enriched with TFTs. TFTs are specific sets of genes that share a common transcription-factor-binding site. Enrichment was calculated using a hypergeometric test calibrated by the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure with a maximum significance level of P<10^{-6} as the threshold value.

**Reverse-transcription quantitative PCR**

mRNA expression of four upregulated genes (GALC, WNT5A, NOTCH3, EPCAM) and two downregulated genes (TOP2A, DCK) was examined by RT-qPCR. The same RNA samples used for microarray analysis were used for this analysis, which was done according to Vert et al.19 Primer sequences for the selected genes were: F, GCCAGACAAGACGGGCACT and R, CATCAACCACCCTCCTATCCT for GALC; F, GTGATGCCCTGAGGAGAA and R, CGCGTGTGACCGTACCAA for WNT5A; F, GCTAATGCTGGCTCCTCTG and R, GTGTACATGCCTCCTCTTGT for NOTCH3; F, GCTGTTATTGTGGTTGCTGAT and R, GCCCTTCATACCTTGTCCCTTCTTGT for EPCAM; F, TCCCCCTATGTGGATGTGT and R, TCTCGCTTGTCATTCCGGTT for TOP2A; and F, GACGGCATCTGGATGTAA and R, CATGGCTCTGAGGTTGTA for DCK. To select a constitutive gene as a reference for normalizing data, the TA of three genes (GUSB, TBP, HPRT1) was measured for all samples. The used primer sequences were: F, GAACGCCTGCTATCCTGTATT and R, ATGAGGAGGATGTGT and R, CAGACTGGCGTGATGAT and R, GCCTCCTCATACCTTGTCCCTTGT for EPCAM; F, TCCCCCTATGTGGATGTGT and R, TCTCGCTTGTCATTCCGGTT for TOP2A; and F, GACGGCATCTGGATGTAA and R, CATGGCTCTGAGGTTGTA for DCK. DCK was selected as the reference gene for data normalization. The RTA of the target genes (GALC, WNT5A, NOTCH3, EPCAM, TOP2A, DCK) was calculated using:

\[
\text{Efficiency}_{\text{target}} = \frac{\text{RTA}_{\text{control}} - \text{RTA}_{\text{sample}}}{\text{RTA}_{\text{control}}}
\]

where “control” refers to a mix of equal amounts of OVCAR-8 samples. Fold changes were calculated as the ratio between RTA values obtained for NCI/ADR-RES cells and RTA values obtained for OVCAR-8 cells. The absence of genomic DNA contamination was checked using non-RT controls and the absence of environmental contamination using non-template controls.
Results

Cytotoxic effects of different chemotherapeutic agents

Figure S2 shows that NCI/ADR-RES was highly resistant to different chemotherapeutic agents compared to its parental cell line OVCAR-8. As relevant examples, NCI/ADR-RES resisted a concentration of doxorubicin more than 500-fold higher or a concentration of paclitaxel nearly 230-fold higher than OVCAR-8 (Table 1). This cell line was also more resistant than OVCAR-8 to different chemotherapeutics that are not substrates for Pgp (cisplatin, carboplatin, and gemcitabine), although to a minor extent.

Gene-expression changes

We used microarray-derived transcriptional profiling to identify differentially expressed genes in the NCI/ADR-RES human ovarian cancer cell line relative to OVCAR-8. The comparison of gene-expression profiles of NCI/ADR-RES and OVCAR-8 cells revealed 927 differentially expressed genes of 35,377 present in the microarray (2.62%). Among these, 50.2% were upregulated in NCI/ADR-RES cells compared to OVCAR-8 (increase ranging from two- to 135-fold), while 49.8% were downregulated (decrease ranging from two- to 130-fold) (Figure 1). Table 2 shows the top 20 up- and downregulated genes in NCI/ADR-RES cells relative to OVCAR-8. The most upregulated genes code for metabolic enzymes (GALC, PTGDS), transmembrane transporters and ion channels (ABCB1, CALHM3, SCN2B), cell-surface receptors (ITGB4, TACSTD2), secreted proteins (SEMA3D, FGFBP1, CST6), components of the ECM (LAMA4, NID2), and transcription regulators (NUPR1). The most downregulated genes are mainly receptors (OR7G1, OR8B8, OR4D6) and transcription regulators (ZNF699, ZNF781, HABP4, UCA1).

Table 1 Cytotoxic effects of different chemotherapeutic agents on OVCAR-8 and NCI/ADR-RES cell lines determined by the MTT method

| Compound     | OVCAR-8 a | NCI/ADR-RES a | Fold increase |
|--------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|
| Doxorubicin  | 0.15 ± 0.04 μM | 76.4 ± 5.6 μM | 509.3         |
| Cisplatin    | 6.93 ± 1.26 μM | 17.8 ± 1.5 μM | 2.6           |
| Carboplatin  | 110.6 ± 4.2 μM | 177 ± 12 μM   | 1.6           |
| Paclitaxel   | 5.94 ± 0.83 nM | 1.358 ± 1.57 nM | 228.6        |
| Docetaxel    | 3.34 ± 0.55 nM | 380 ± 15 nM  | 113.9         |
| Gemcitabine  | 3.97 ± 0.51 nM | 44.1 ± 4.2 nM | 11.1          |
| Etoposide    | 2.13 ± 0.24 μM | 147 ± 10 μM  | 68.8          |

Note: *IC* 50 values, corresponding to the concentrations of each antitumor drug required to inhibit cell proliferation by 50%, are indicated as mean ± SD.

Abbreviations: MTT, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide; *IC* 50, half maximal inhibitory concentration.
Table 2 Differentially expressed genes in NCI/ADR-RES cells relative to OVCAR-8: top 20 up- and downregulated genes

| Probe ID | Gene symbol | Gene name | Fold change | Main functions |
|----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|----------------|
| A_23_P25964 | GALT | Galactosylceramidase | 135.2 | Sphingolipid metabolism |
| A_32_P29118 | SEMA3D | Sema domain, immunoglobulin domain, short basic domain, secreted, semaphorin, 3-D | 130.2 | Developmental process Cell differentiation |
| A_23_P92523 | ABCB1 | ATP-binding cassette, subfamily B member 1 | 122.7 | Transmembrane drug transport Cell proliferation Cell cycle |
| A_33_P3241204 | CALHM3 | Calcium-homeostasis modulator 3 | 95.8 | Developmental process Cell proliferation |
| A_33_P3377364 | ITGB4 | Integrin β1 | 29.9 | Developmental process Cell adhesion Cell motility Signal transduction |
| A_23_P30126 | FGFBP1 | Fibroblast growth factor-binding protein 1 | 26.4 | Cell proliferation Cell–cell signaling |
| A_33_P3391603 | LAMA4 | Laminin α4 | 20.8 | Developmental process Cell differentiation Cell adhesion Cell migration |
| A_24_P190472 | SLPI | Secretory leukocyte-peptidase inhibitor | 19.3 | Developmental process |
| A_23_P163087 | NID2 | Nidogen 2 (osteonidogen) | 18.4 | Cell adhesion Developmental process |
| A_23_P155514 | AHSG | α2-HS-glycoprotein | 16.1 | Developmental process |
| A_23_P406385 | FBLN16 | F-box and leucine-rich repeat protein 16 | 15.5 | Ubiquitin pathway |
| A_33_P3298159 | PTGDS | Prostaglandin D2 synthase, 21 kDa (brain) | 13.2 | Prostaglandin metabolism |
| A_23_P146946 | CST6 | Cystatin E/M | 11.9 | Developmental process |
| A_23_P149529 | TACSTD2 | Tumor-associated calcium-signal transducer 2 | 11.7 | Developmental process |
| A_33_P3212109 | DCDC2 | Doublecortin-domain containing 2 | 11.1 | Developmental process Cell differentiation Cell migration |
| A_23_P56746 | FAP | Fibroblast-activation protein α | 9.8 | Cell proliferation |
| A_32_P187571 | SCN2B | Sodium channel, voltage-gated, type IIβ | 8.9 | Developmental process |
| A_24_P270728 | NUPR1 | Nuclear protein, transcriptional regulator 1 | 8 | Developmental process Cell differentiation Cell proliferation |
| A_24_P247902 | PCLO | Piccolo (presynaptic cytomatrix protein) | 7.8 | Regulation of transcription Apoptosis |
| A_23_P34700 | TNNT2 | Troponin T type 2 (cardiac) | 7.7 | Signal transduction Synapsis |
| A_32_P200238 | UCA1 | Urothelial cancer-associated 1 (non-protein-coding) | −5.4 | Regulation of transcription |
| A_33_P3592015 | HABP4 | Hyaluronan-binding protein 4 | −5.5 | Regulation of transcription |
| A_33_P3290040 | CPT1C | Carnitine palmitoyltransferase IC | −5.8 | Lipid metabolism |
| A_23_P214821 | EDN1 | Endothelin 1 | −5.9 | Developmental process Cell differentiation |

(Continued)
RT-qPCR analysis of gene expression

mRNA expression of four upregulated (GALC, WNT5A, NOTCH3, EPCAM) and two downregulated (TOP2A, DCK) genes in NCI/ADR-RES cells, representative of the foremost affected processes, was analyzed by RT-qPCR. Fold changes obtained by RT-qPCR, calculated as the ratio between RTA values for NCI/ADR-RES cells and RTA values for OVCAR-8 cells, were similar to those found in the microarray analysis (Figure 2). These results confirmed that the microarray experiments were fully valid.

Gene Ontology analysis and KEGG pathway annotation

To understand the functional relevance of the differentially expressed genes better, we performed a Gene Ontology analysis. Differentially expressed genes were used to find overrepresented Gene Ontology terms in the three broad ontology categories: “molecular function”, which captures knowledge on the functional activity of gene products; “biological process”, as part of which these specific functions collectively act; and “cellular component”, where all this occurs. To the same end, differentially expressed genes were mapped to the KEGG database to find overrepresented metabolic and regulatory pathways.

Gene Ontology analysis showed that up- and down-regulated genes in NCI/ADR-RES cells were related to different cellular events (Table 3). Regarding biological process ontology, the terms with the highest number of

Table 2 (Continued)

| Probe ID | Gene symbol | Gene name | Fold change | Main functions
|----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|
| A_23_P326760 | MYRIP | Myosin VIIA and Rab interacting protein | −5.9 | Regulation of exocytosis, Secretory granule organization |
| A_23_P419786 | ZNF781 | Zinc-finger protein 781 | −6.4 | Regulation of transcription |
| A_32_P85999 | CDH13 | Cadherin 13, H-cadherin (heart) | −8.4 | Developmental process, Cell proliferation, Cell adhesion, Cell migration, Angiogenesis |

Note: Gene information was taken from the UniProt database (European Bioinformatics, UK; Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Switzerland; Protein Information Resource, USA; http://www.uniprot.org) and from the Entrez Gene database (National Center for Biotechnology Information, USA; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genes).

Figure 2 Quantitative comparison of gene-expression changes in NCI/ADR-RES relative to OVCAR-8 cell line.

Note: Comparison of fold-change values of the indicated genes (NCI/ADR-RES relative to OVCAR-8 cells) obtained by RT-qPCR (gray) and gene-expression microarray experiments (white).

Abbreviation: RT-qPCR, reverse-transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction.
NCI/ADR-RES displays multiple mechanisms of drug resistance

Table S1 depicts the ten most significant sets of genes regulated by a common TF (TFTs). The TFs involved in the regulation of the most significant TFTs were TCF3 and LEF1. Each of these TFs potentially regulates more than 140 genes by binding to the target sequences CAGGTG and CTTTGT, respectively. Interestingly, among the genes potentially regulated by TCF3 and LEF1, four and three, respectively, are found in the top 20 upregulated genes in NCI/ADR-RES.

Discussion
Gene Ontology analysis and KEGG pathway annotation of the 927 differentially expressed genes show interesting terms that may allow identifying the different strategies displayed by NCI/ADR-RES to maintain its MDR phenotype. Among the terms included in Table 3, “cell proliferation” has a low P-value, but other terms like “small-molecule metabolic process” showed several affected pathways (Table 3). Among these, “pathways in cancer” comprised the highest number of genes.

Identification of TFTs among genes with expression change in NCI/ADR-RES compared to OVCAR-8
Using the TF target-analysis tool of WebGestalt, we searched for the presence of potential target sites for TFs on the upstream sequences of the differentially expressed genes. Table S1 depicts the ten most significant sets of genes regulated by a common TF (TFTs). The TFs involved in the regulation of the most significant TFTs were TCF3 and LEF1. Each of these TFs potentially regulates more than 140 genes by binding to the target sequences CAGGTG and CTTTGT, respectively. Interestingly, among the genes potentially regulated by TCF3 and LEF1, four and three, respectively, are found in the top 20 upregulated genes in NCI/ADR-RES.
process”, “signal transduction”, “response to chemical stimulus”, “drug binding”, or “ECM organization” help to identify those processes that allow this cell line to be highly resistant to different anticancer drugs.

Changes in expression of genes involved in drug extrusion

The Gene Ontology “cell proliferation” and “small-molecule metabolic process” terms include the ABCB1 gene coding for Pgp. Overexpression of this gene is the most known mechanism of MDR in NCI/ADR-RES cells. In our study, ABCB1 is the third-most upregulated gene in NCI/ADR-RES compared to OVCAR-8 (increment of approximately 123-fold, Table 2). The Pgp-efflux pump is responsible for decreased drug accumulation in cells of a broad spectrum of chemotherapeutic agents, such as doxorubicin, daunorubicin, paclitaxel, docetaxel, etoposide, irinotecan, vinblastine, vincristine, and actinomycin D, among many others. In addition to ABCB1, our study reveals that ABCC6 was upregulated in NCI/ADR-RES cells. ABCC6 confers resistance to etoposide, teniposide, doxorubicin, daunorubicin, actinomycin D, and cisplatin.

The effect of Pgp may be enhanced by galactosylceramidase, encoded by the most upregulated gene (GALC) in NCI/ADR-RES cells, which catalyzes the hydrolysis of several glycosphingolipids to produce ceramide. Although in some cancers, reduced expression of GALC has been linked to cell survival, it has been proposed that ceramide, together with Pgp, contribute to DR. Pgp would be involved in the encapsulation of drugs into vesicles whose secretion would be stimulated by the presence of ceramide in the exosomes.

Changes in genes involved in enhanced intracellular drug inactivation or efficacy

The NCI/ADR-RES cell line is much more resistant than OVCAR-8 to a wide panel of chemotherapeutic agents (Table 1). Among these drugs, cisplatin and gemcitabine are not substrates for Pgp. It is thus not surprising that ABCB1 is not the sole gene responsible for this resistance. In the “small-molecule metabolic process” and “drug binding” terms, we found other genes involved in MDR, particularly in enhancing intracellular drug inactivation. Among the genes involved in drug inactivation, we noted the overexpressed genes NNMT, CDA, and the underexpressed gene DCK. NNMT catalyzes the N-methylation of nicotinamide and other pyridines, and is involved in the biotransformation of many drugs and xenobiotics. Accordingly, it is overexpressed in numerous cancers, where it promotes tumorigenesis as well as resistance to chemotherapy and radiotherapy via regulating nicotinamide metabolism. CDA is involved in pyrimidine salvaging. It catalyzes the hydrolytic deamination of cytidine and deoxycytidine and inactivates, for example, gemcitabine by catalyzing its transformation into 2′,2′-difluoro-2′-deoxyuridine. DCK phosphorylates several deoxyribonucleosides and numerous nucleoside analogs widely employed as antiviral and chemotherapeutic agents. Of note, it catalyzes the first and rate-limiting step of the intracellular phosphorylation of gemcitabine to its active triphosphate form. Therefore, DCK downregulation is an important mechanism for acquisition of gemcitabine resistance.

Interestingly, in the terms “small-molecule metabolic process” and “drug binding”, we can find genes whose expression levels influence drug efficacy, eg, DCDC2 and TOP2A, which are overexpressed and underexpressed, respectively. DCDC2 is overexpressed in prostate cancer, where it confers resistance to paclitaxel. This effect is due to its ability to bind tubulin through the doublecortin domains, interfering with paclitaxel-induced disruption of microtubule function. TOP2A is a DNA topoisomerase involved in such processes as chromosome condensation, chromatid separation, and the relief of torsional stress that occurs during DNA transcription and replication. It is the target of several anticancer agents like doxorubicin and etoposide. Treatment with these agents is thus more effective when TOP2A levels are high while suppressing TOP2A results in DR.

MDR in NCI/ADR-RES is accompanied by architectural and functional reorganization of extracellular space

Interestingly, the ECM environment appeared in several terms of the Gene Ontology analysis, as well as in KEGG pathway annotation (Table 3). Alteration in the expression of genes encoding different ECM-related proteins has been associated with DR in other ovarian cancer cell lines. ECM may increase DR of a solid tumor by blocking the penetration of therapeutic drugs. Our results show that MDR in NCI/ADR-RES was accompanied by an architectural reorganization of the ECM. Therefore, in addition to the intracellular mechanisms responsible for MDR, NCI/ADR-RES seems to strengthen extracellular barriers against drug delivery. The remodeling of the cell microenvironment is revealed by changes in the expression of different collagen species and other ECM structural proteins. Compared to OVCAR-8,
the MDR cell line presents higher expression of the LAMA4, NID2, and COL4A4 genes and lower expression of the COL4A2, COL4A1, and COL13A1 genes, among others. Upregulation of different collagen genes has been described in different resistant ovarian cancer cell lines, depending on the drug used to induce the resistance, although correlations between the kind of drugs that induce the MDR and the precise collagen gene over- or underexpressed in each case have not been found. Our results reinforce the hypothesis that collagen plays an important role in resistance to cancer drugs. Interestingly, LAMA4 and NID2 were among the 20 most upregulated genes in NCI/ADR-RES and were overexpressed in some ovarian cell lines during the acquisition of DR.\(^{37,38}\) The architecture of NCI/ADR-RES ECM may also be modified, because we detected the overexpression of several collagen-modifying enzymes like MMP1 and LOXL4, among others. These proteins have previously been related to the establishment of an MDR phenotype.\(^{39,40}\)

The MDR phenotype can also result from the interaction of ECM proteins with cellular receptors, leading to resistance to drug-induced apoptosis, a phenomenon known as cell adhesion-mediated DR. Several studies have demonstrated that integrin–ECM signaling promotes cell adhesion-mediated DR and provides a survival advantage against numerous chemotherapeutic drugs.\(^{41}\) Integrins modulate many signaling pathways, including the PI3K–Akt, ERK, and NFκB pathways, thus implying that they may also be important factors in resistance to kinase-targeted agents. Interestingly, ITGB4 and ITGB8 are highly overexpressed in NCI/ADR-RES cells. ITGB4 confers resistance to various cytotoxic drugs, such as doxorubicin, tamoxifen, and etoposide, by activating the PI3K–Akt and the prosurvival NFκB pathways in different kinds of cancer,\(^{42-44}\) whereas ITGB8 enhances resistance to gefitinib, probably through interaction with the TGFβ pathway.\(^{45}\)

Another interesting gene of the ECM that deserves consideration is TGFBI, which is one of the most downregulated genes in NCI/ADR-RES cells. TGFBI is a secreted protein that binds to many extracellular proteins and becomes part of the ECM, where it regulates cell adhesion. It has been described that the loss of this protein is sufficient to induce resistance to paclitaxel, etoposide, cisplatin, and gemcitabine in different cancers.\(^{46}\)

Three of the most overexpressed genes in NCI/ADR-RES compared to OVCAR-8 code for proteins that are located in the extracellular space: SEMA3D, FGFBP1, and SLPI. These genes have been found overexpressed in different cancers, including ovarian cancer, where they play crucial roles ranging from tumor growth and angiogenic stimulation to invasiveness and metastasis.\(^{47-49}\) It is interesting to note that overexpression of SEMA3D compared to its parental cell line has also been observed in MDR resistant K562 leukemia cells\(^{47}\) and also that SLPI induces paclitaxel resistance.\(^{48}\) The overexpression of SEMA3D, together with that of ITGB4, has been recently confirmed on a global proteomic analysis of OVCAR-8 and NCI/ADR-RES cells.\(^{50}\)

Finally, we found upregulation of EpCAM, a transmembrane glycoprotein with roles in cell–cell adhesion, migration, and proliferation.\(^{51}\) EpCAM is overexpressed in most human cancers including ovarian cancer.\(^{51,52}\) High expression of EpCAM is associated with increased resistance to different drugs, such as docetaxel, paclitaxel, doxorubicin, cisplatin, and 5-fluorouracil.\(^{53-55}\)

**NCI/ADR-RES overexpresses genes involved in pathways that trigger MDR**

Our results show that NCI/ADR-RES cells display multiple mechanisms for DR, which would be difficult to tackle with a single antitumor strategy. Ontological and TFT analysis helps to understand how these multiple mechanisms are maintained in the cell. The KEGG analysis included nine genes in the term “PPAR-signaling pathway”. Among them, most of the genes that are overexpressed are repressed by some of the PPARs. Conversely, most of the genes that are underexpressed are activated by some of the PPARs (Table S2). Furthermore, the genes of the most significant set of TFTs are regulated by TCF3 (Table S1), which represses the PPAR signaling.\(^{46}\) Altogether, these observations may indicate that the PPAR-signaling pathway is less active in NCI/ADR-RES than in OVCAR-8. Of note, it has been described that the PPAR pathway could be a negative regulator of ABCB1 transcription\(^{57-59}\) which is in accordance with our results.

Among the genes grouped in the Gene Ontology terms “signal transduction” and “response to chemical stimulus”, we identified genes involved in the MAPK–Akt-, Wnt-, and Notch-signaling pathways. These pathways have previously been found to be related to DR.\(^{60-62}\) We detected different transducers involved in the activation of MAPK and PI3K–Akt signaling that are overexpressed in NCI/ADR-RES compared to OVCAR-8. Among them, we noted IGF2, TACSTD2, and NUPR1. IGF2 is overexpressed in ovarian cancer cells resistant to paclitaxel and other microtubule-stabilizing drugs, and its inhibition restores paclitaxel sensitivity both in vitro and in vivo.\(^{63}\) TACSTD2 is overexpressed in many cancers, including ovarian cancer, and its expression in cancer cells has been correlated with DR.\(^{64}\) NUPR1 is also
overexpressed in several cancers.\textsuperscript{65} It confers resistance to multiple drugs, including gemcitabine, paclitaxel, and doxorubicin, by inhibiting stress-induced apoptosis and activating the PI3K–Akt signaling pathway.\textsuperscript{66,67}

The Wnt–β-catenin pathway seems to be potentiated in NCI/ADR-RES by the overexpression of \textit{WNT5A} and \textit{LGR4}. \textit{WNT5A} initiates β-catenin-independent Wnt signaling\textsuperscript{68} and can affect β-catenin–TCF signaling depending on receptor context.\textsuperscript{69} The overexpression of \textit{WNT5A} in NCI/ADR-RES respective to OVCAR-8 cells has been previously reported.\textsuperscript{70} LGR4 potentiates both the β-catenin-dependent and independent Wnt-signaling pathways.\textsuperscript{71} The Wnt–β-catenin pathway has been involved in \textit{ABCB1} regulation in different cancers.\textsuperscript{72,73} Specifically, it has been shown that \textit{WNT5A} upregulates \textit{ABCB1} expression in different MDR cancer cells, including NCI/ADR-RES,\textsuperscript{70} and plays a key role in inducing resistance to different chemotherapeutic drugs like paclitaxel, oxaliplatin, 5-fluorouracil, gemcitabine, epirubicin, doxorubicin, and etoposide.\textsuperscript{70,74} \textit{LGR4} is overexpressed in cancer cells, where it increases invasion, proliferation, survival, and resistance to 5-fluorouracil.\textsuperscript{75–77}

The Notch pathway is also involved in DR.\textsuperscript{69} It seems activated in NCI/ADR-RES, since \textit{NOTCH3} and \textit{DLL1} are overexpressed. \textit{NOTCH3} is overexpressed in ovarian cancer, and its expression correlates with chemoresistance.\textsuperscript{78} Knockdown of \textit{NOTCH3} inhibits cell proliferation and migration, induces apoptosis, and enhances sensitivity to carboplatin, cisplatin, and paclitaxel.\textsuperscript{79,80} \textit{DLL1} is a transmembrane ligand of the Notch-signaling pathway. It is overexpressed in several cancers and linked to enhanced cell proliferation, survival, and metastasis.\textsuperscript{81,82} Moreover, \textit{DLL1} has been found to be expressed at high levels in patient-derived ovarian cancer xenografts with low sensitivity to cisplatin.\textsuperscript{83}

It is widely recognized that multiple TFs are overactive in human cancer cells, which make them suitable targets for the study of cancer mechanisms. In addition, it is known that some of them are abnormally turned on in human cancer cells and that this activation occurs through multiple mechanisms besides increasing their expression. In the present study, common binding sites for TFs shared by sets of differentially expressed genes of NCI/ADR-RES have been identified (Table S1). Interestingly, four of the six more significant sets are regulated by TFs that are activated upon β-catenin-dependent or independent Wnt signaling (\textit{TCF3}, \textit{LEF1}, \textit{FOXO4}, and \textit{NFAT}) confirming the importance of this pathway in the maintenance of MDR.

\textit{TCF3} and \textit{LEF1} are members of the TCF/LEF family of TFs, which are involved in the Wnt-signaling pathway. In the canonical pathway, β-catenin activates transcription of target genes through association with the LEF1/TCF TF family. In the uncanonical Wnt–Ca\textsuperscript{2+} pathway, Wnt ligands interact with Fzd receptors, producing an increase in intracellular calcium level that subsequently activates the TF NFAT. It has also been shown that β-catenin enhances \textit{FOXO4} transcriptional activity.\textsuperscript{84} Interestingly, seven of the top 20 overexpressed genes in NCI/ADR-RES are targets of these TFs, including \textit{ABCB1}.

**Conclusion**

Our results provide information about genes and pathways that contribute to the MDR of NCI/ADR-RES and about new targets for cancer treatment. Combined drug therapies are widely recognized as a fundamental tool in the treatment of cancer. However, attacking the same pathway at multiple points is not enough to overcome DR. Our findings highlight the importance of using orthogonal therapies that target completely independent pathways to overcome mechanisms of resistance to both standard chemotherapeutic agents and molecularly targeted drugs.

**Abbreviations**

\textit{ABCB1}, ATP-binding cassette subfamily B member 1; \textit{ABCC6}, ATP binding cassette subfamily C member 6; CDA, cytidine deaminase; \textit{COL4A1}, collagen type IV alpha 1; \textit{COL4A2}, collagen type IV alpha 2; \textit{COL4A4}, collagen type IV alpha 4; \textit{COL13A1}, collagen type XIII alpha 1; \textit{Ct}, cycle threshold; \textit{DCDC2}, doublecortin domain containing 2; dFdU, 2′,2′-difluoro-2′-deoxyuridine; \textit{DCK}, deoxycytidine kinase; \textit{DLL1}, delta-like 1 (Drosophila); DR, drug resistance; ECM, extracellular matrix; \textit{EPCAM}, epithelial cell adhesion molecule; F, forward; \textit{FBS}, fetal bovine serum; \textit{FGFBP1}, fibroblast growth factor binding protein 1; \textit{GALC}, galactosylceramidase; \textit{IGF2}, insulin-like growth factor 2; \textit{ITGB4}, integrin beta 4; \textit{ITGB8}, integrin beta 8; \textit{KEGG}, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; \textit{LAMA4}, laminin alpha 4; \textit{LGR4}, leucine-rich repeat containing G protein-coupled receptor 4; \textit{LOXL4}, lysyl oxidase-like 4; \textit{MMP1}, matrix metallopeptidase 1; MDR, multidrug resistance; \textit{NID2}, nido- gen 2; \textit{NNMT}, nicotinamide N-methyltransferase; \textit{NUPR1}, nuclear protein transcriptional regulator 1; \textit{PPAR}, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor; \textit{Pgp}, P-glycoprotein; R, reverse; \textit{RTA}, relative transcription abundance; \textit{RT-qPCR}, reverse-transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction; \textit{SEMA3D}, semaphorin 3D; \textit{SLPI}, secretory leukocyte peptidase inhibitor; \textit{TA}, transcription abundance; \textit{TACSTD2}, tumor-associated calcium signal transducer 2; TF, transcription factor; TFT, transcription factor target; \textit{TGFBI}, transforming
growth factor beta induced; TOP2A, topoisomerase (DNA) II alpha; WNT5A, Wnt family member 5A.
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Figure S1 Validation of constitutive genes.

Notes: Box plot of threshold cycle (C_t) values of three constitutive genes: GUSB, TBP, and HPRT1. To select a reference for normalizing RT-qPCR data, the transcription abundance of these genes was measured for all cDNA samples. Among them, TBP showed the highest stability (lower SD of C_t), and was chosen to normalize the RT-qPCR results.

Abbreviation: RT-qPCR, reverse-transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction.

Figure S2 Effect of different antitumor drugs on NCI/ADR-RES and OVCAR-8 proliferation.

Notes: Effect of cisplatin (+), carboplatin (−), doxorubicin (△), etoposide (●), paclitaxel (■), docetaxel (○), and gemcitabine (★) on OVCAR-8 (A) and NCI/ADR-RES (B) cells. The curves in the figure are from one representative experiment. Equivalent results were found in at least three independent experiments.
### Table S1  Potential transcription-factor targets for NCI/ADR-RES drug-resistance genes

| TF target (TF) | Genes | P-value |
|---------------|-------|---------|
| hsa_CAGGTT_V$E12_Q6 (TCF3) | COL4A4, EPCAM, IGF2, ITGB4, LAMA4, HIF1A, ITGB8, FBXL16, SCN2B, ABTB2, ACOT11, ACSL4, AHCYL1, AIG1, AKNS, ANKR2D1, API5, APP, DAK, ARHGAP26, ARL4C, ARL6IP5, ARMCX2, ASS1, HR, BCL11B, BNC2, BUD31, CALB1, CAMK2N2, CARS, CCDC58B, CDH13, CDH3, CDH1, CCHRM1, CHST6, CNTLN, COL1A3, CORO2A, CPZ, CRABP2, LUM, CTNNBIP1, DCUN1D4, DLI, DOC5, IRF1, GAD1, DUSP4, EDNI, EEFMP1, EIAF42, ELOVL1, ENHO, EPAS1, EPB41L4B, EPHX4, ESRR2, FZRL1, FABP3, FAM110A, FAM117B, FAM174B, FAM181B, FAM81A, FBXO16, FBXO2, FG4D, FG1, FG5, FKBPL1, GPRC5B, HDC3, HOXA3, IER5L, IMM1, IGBP6, IP6K2, ITPK1, KADALZ1, KCMN1A, NAV2, KIF13B, KL4, KLH42, KLK1, KREMEN2, LEPREL1, LGALS3BP, LMID1, MAG3, MDK, METTL8B, NOAT8B, NBEA, NCA2M, NPS2, NPTN, NRG3N, NRP13, NTRK2, PARQ5, PARX2, PCDH11, PDCDHA6, PCM1, PDE1C, PDDG, PFKFB2, PGM4, PLCD3, POLR1D, POLR3G, PRSS12, PTGIR, PPPR2, TLE4, RASAL1, RGS3, RGN, RNF18, ROBO2, RTKN2, STK15, SCG5, SCN3B, SKP2, SLC29A2, SLC30A3, SLC9A7, SLC9A21, SMAD3, SMURF2, SNAP25, SPINT2, SPRY4, SSX2IP, STARD13, STRA6, TLX2, TYN2, TP2, TACC2, TBC1D8, TCEP1, TGFB3, TGM1, THBS3, TMEM139, TMEM184A, TRAK1, TRIB2, TRIM7, TRPS1, TSC22D3, VCAN, TSPAN13, UBE2J1, UBE2L6, UNC13D, WARS2, WBP4, WDR7, WNK2, ZDHHC2, ZNF503 | 3.99 |
| hsa_CTTTGT_V$LEFI_Q2 (LEF1) | LAMA4, NID2, NOTCH3, ITGB8, FAP, ADAM19, ADAMTS5, AHCYL1, ANKR2D10, AP4B1, AP, ARHGFAF9E17 | 3.99 |
| hsa_TGTTTT_V$FOXO4_01 (FOXO4) | ABCB1, ITGB4, LAMM4, WNT5A, HIF1A, ITGB8, ACSL4, AKR1E2, AMIGO2, ANK3, APP, ANKR2D8, ARHGAP20, ARHGAF4, BCL11B, BIK, BIN3, BNC2, BUD31, CAMK2N2, CAP3L, CCD8C3, CCDC58B, CCNE2, CHRM1, CDH14, CMIP1, CN7T7, CNTLN, CREBS, CTNNB1, DCUN1D4, DCX, DKK1, DNAJB4, DLI, DOCK7, DYSPLS, DUSP1, DUSP4, EFN2B, ENHO, EXT1L2, FAM110A, FAM81A, FARN1, GSN, FAM1C, FG4D, FOXD3, FOXP1, FRAS1, GAD1, GBE1, GJLP2, GPC3, GPC5, GPRC5B, GRK5, HNRPPB, HOOK3, HOXA3, HOXB9, HR, HSD17B8, HSPH1, IER5L, IGBP6, IP6K2, KCTD4, KATNL1, KL2, KLH42, LCO1, LEPREL4, LPN2H2, LRCC10, LPYD6, MAP1B, MAPK11, MARCH3, MKD, MITF, MOAP1, MSI2, NABT8, NBR5, NRI3P3, PAX2, PCDH1, PDE4D, PRP, PROSERI1, PTBP2, PTBP1, RAD52, RASAL1, RME4, RECK, RGS3, ROBO4, RTKN2, SAP3, SBK, SKAP2, SLC3B2A2, SLC3A2, SLC3A3, SLC9A7, SLC9A21, SMAD3, SLC6A17, ST6GAL1, STARD13, ST8S3, SYMO, TAC2, TFIB, THBS3, TIMM23, TMED5, TMEM136, TMEM139, TMEM23, TMEM259, TSC22D3, TSPAN13, VANG1, VCAN, VGLL3, WBP4, WDR47, WNK2, ZDHHC2, ZIC5, ZNF362 | 3.75 |
| hsa_GGGCGG_V$SPI1_Q6 (SPI) | IFG2, TOP2A, WNT5A, ITGB8, CTS6, ACOT11, AR, ACS5I, ADAMTS5, API5, ARHGAP26, CDH3, ARHGFAF9E17, ARL6IP5, AT10P14, BCL11B, BIK, BNC2, BUB3, C15S, CAB39L, CALB1, CCD8C3B, CDC14B, CDH11, CEP120, CLMP, C NN1, CPS1, CREBS, DCUN1D4, DCX, DLI, DMRTA1, DNAJB4, EGR1, DOC5, DYSPLS4, DUSP1, DUSP4, EEFMP1, EMI1, ESR2, FAM110B, FAM117A, FAM174D, FG4D, FNBP1, FNI, FOXD3, FOP1, GNG5, GPC3, GPR39, GPRCS5, GRK5, GT2F8, GUCY1A2, HHEX, HSPC2, HNRPPB, HOXA3, HOXB4, HOXB8, HR, HRS, IER5L, IFI1H1, IGBP1, IGBP2, IGBP3, ILF2, IKED2, KLH2, LMO2, MAG2, MAP1B, MARCH3, MITF, MSFB2, NOV1, NPS2, NRP1, NTRK2, PXA2, PDE4D, PGFB, PHLP1, PLXDC2, PPP3CB, PTGER1, PT, RGS20, RG53, RNF182, ROBO4, S1PR1, SAP3, SH3GL1, SHOC2, SIM1, SLC16A2, SLC26A2, SLC3A2, SLC7A1, SMAD3, ST6GAL1, STARD13, TCEP1, TGFB3, THBS3, TP2, TNXB, TPP3, TRPS1, TSC22D3, TSPAN13, TWIST2, TXNIP, VCAN, VGLL3, WBP4, WDR47, WNK2, ZDHHC2, ZIC5, ZNF362, ZNF78I | 6.64 |
| hsa_GGGAGGR_V$MAZQ6 (MAZ) | DCDC2, IG2, NOTCH3, WNT5A, FGBP1, ITGB8, TNT2, ACOT11, ADAM19, ADAMTS5, BCL11B, API5, ARHGAF9E17, ARL4C, ASS1, BHLHE40, BNC2, CAMK2N2, CCNE2, CCK2, CHRM1, CHST6, CLDN6, CNN1, CPT1B, CTBP2, DAK, DCUN1D4, DUSP1, EDNI, EIAF42, ELOVL1, ENHO, ESRR2, EXT1L2, FAM117B, FDA1, FAM129A, FAM176B, FBX19-AS1, FBXL2, GAD1, GEM1C, FGBP1, GLS, GNG4, GPC2, GPC3, GPC6, GSC, HAP84, HCF1R1, HEXIM2, HHEX, HOXA3, HOXB4, HOXB9, HOXC13, HR, HSPAS, HSPH1, IER5L, IGBP3B, ILI1, IP6K2, IQCD, IR52, KCMN1A, KL4, KLH24, KREMEN2, LEPR, MITF, LEPREL4, LRSRP2, MAN1B1, MAN2B1, MAP1B, MARCH3, MOPSD2, MS1, MST1, NRS, NUCI, NAT8L, NBFB, NRG1, OAT, OFD2, PXA2, PCMi, PGDM, PDSS3, PFKFB2, PHLD2A, PLTP, PHLPP1, PHPT1, POLN1D, POLR3G, PON2, PRCP, PPP3CB, PRICKLE1, PRR3T, PRSS12, RB2, RMM2, RECK, RG516, RG520, RHOF, RN2D, ROBM3, SAP3, SKAP2, SLC12A1, SLC26A2, SLX2, SLC27A3, SLC30A3, SLC3A1, SLC9A7, SLC9A3, SOCS2, SPATA2O, SPATA22, SSS2IP, STN3J, SUSD3, TBX1, TFCP2, THBS3, THOC6, TLE4, TNL1, TM7SF2, TMED5, TPP3, TRB2, TRPS1, VCAN, TSC22D3, TSPAN13, VGLL2, WARS2, WBP4, WDFY2, WIF1, ZNF362, ZNF503 | 6.64-25 |
Table S1 (Continued)

| TF target (TF) | Genes | P-value |
|---------------|-------|---------|
| hsa_TGGAAA_V$NFAT_Q4_01 (NFAT) | WNT5A, HIF1A, ITGB8, FAP, SCN2B, ACSS1, ADM, AGPAT9, AIG1, ALPK2, ANKR2B, ANKS6, AREG, ARHGPAP20, ARL6IP5, BHLHE40, C21orf94, BN2C, CIS, CALB1, CAST, CDC25C, CCDC88, CDK8, CEP120, CDH4, CHST11, CLEC11A, CERE5, CNN1, COL16A1, DUCNN1D4, DOCK9, FAM107B, EMP1, ETL2, FAM110A, FAM8B1, FBXL19A5SI, FGDF4, FN1, FOXD3, FOXP1, GAD1, GDA, GPM2, GLN, GPER, GRIB10, GREM1, GRK5, HCF1C1R1, GSN, HDCDC3, HOXA3, HOXA4, HSD3B7, HSPG2, HSPH1, IL11, IL17, INPP5K, ITK2, KLF12, KGN1A, LGALS1, LOXLI, MAP1B, MITF, NFB, NPS2, NRS, NRGN, PAQR5, PCDAH6, PDE4D, PDE6G, PHTF1, PRSS12, PTGER1, PTIP42A, RGS3, RND2, RNF182B, RNF6, SERPINB7, SKP2, SLC21A3, SLC38A2, SLC7A1, SLC9A7, SMAD3, SMURF2, SNAP25, SOCS2, SOHLH1, STAR1D, TAC2, TBC1D8B, TGFB3, THBS3, THOC6, TJP2, TNXB, TRIB2, TRPS1, TSC22D3, UBL3, VANGL1, VCAN, VGLL3, WB4, ZIC5, ZNF502 | 2.39-31 |
| hsa_AACTT_Unknown (unknown) | ITGB4, LAMA4, HIF1A, ACSL4, ADAMT55, ADM, AMIGO2, ANGEL1, ANK3, APP, ARHGEF7, BN2C, BAI3, BCL11B, BHLHE40, BU3B, CAMK2D, CALB1, CCDC80, CDC14B, CDA2, CDH11, CDH13, CDI, CHST11, COL13A1, CREB5, ECHDC2, ENF2B, II11, ENHO, ETL2, F2RL1, FAM1A1, FARP1, FBXO2, FOXD3, FRAS1, GLG1, GNG4, GPC3, GPC6, GPR65, GPRCS5, HCF1C1R1, HOXA3, HSD17B4, HOXB9, HSD3B7, HSPG2, HSPH1, IER5L, ING1, IRS1, KCNMA1, KCTD4, KCTD9, LRRFIP2, KLF2, KLF4, LH2N2, LUM, MAP1B, MARC3, MAPIK1, MITF, MMP24, MSU2, NCM2, NOV1, NPS2, NRAS, OXCT1, PAX2, PC1M, PLXDC2, PDE5B, PDN1, PDE4D, PDSB5, PRickle1, PTBP2, PP2CB, PRRX2, PRSS12, PTPRK, RAP3A, RGS13, RIL1, RND2, RNF144B, RNF182B, SAMO, SIM1, SLC1A3, SMAD3, SOCS2, SPARC, SPB2N2, TLE4, STAR1D3, TGFBS, THOC6, TMEM145M, TRPS1, UBA3, VANGLI, VCAN, WB4, ZIC5, ZNF362 | 1.32-31 |
| hsa_CTGCAGY_Unknown (unknown) | ABCC6, ANK3, ANKR2B, ANPEP, APP, AR, BEX1, ATRIP182B, BAI3, BHLHE40, CAMK2D, CCDC88B, CCNE2, CDH13, COL7A1, DCC, DNAJ8B4, EFLA42, EGR1, ENHO, EPO4L4B, FAM129A, FAM181A, FARP1, FGFI, FOXP1, GPC3, HCF1C1R1, HEXM2, HOXA3, IER5L, IPIK2, KL2F, LGALS1, MAGED1, MAP1B, MOAP1, MST1, NAP1L2, NBEA, NOV1A, NRR2N, NTRK2, OTOP2, PDCD81, PDE4D, PCDHB2, PCDHB5, PDEB, PM51, PTX3, RBOTB2, RND2, SERPINE1, SLC20A1, SORD, SPRY4, STAR1D3, SYT12, TACCC, TXNB, TPP3, TRPS1, TSC22D3, TSPAN13, UCH1G | 1.73-31 |
| hsa_CAGCTG_V$AP4_Q5 (REPINI) | NID2, HIF1A, LOX14, ITGB8, TNNT2, ANKR2B1, ABTB2, ACSL4, ALPK2, ANGEL1, APP, ARHGEF5, AS1, BAI3, BCL11B, BEX2, BN2C, BRD4, CAST, CCDC88B, CCNE2, CDH13, CDH3, COL7A1, CPE, DCC, DDI, DOCK9, DUSP1, DSP4, DYSF, EGR1, FAM110A, FAM17B8, FGFI, FOXD3, GOLGA7, FOXP1, FRAS1, GRK5, HHEX, HOXA3, HSD17B8, HSD3B7, HSPAS, IER5L, JGFBP6, II11, KCNMA1, IQCD, KL2F, KL1, LGALS1, LG1, LG14, LOX1, M6K, MAGED1, MAN2B1, MPP, METTL8, MITF, NBEA, MYO7A, NES, NOV1A, PARP2, PAX2, PCGB, PML, POLR1D, PP2CB, PRickle1, PTGS, PTGR, RGS7, RBOTB2, ROBO4, RRAD, RBSN, SCARB2, SERPINE1, SMB1, SPRY4, SSX2IP, SYNP2L, STAR1D3, TGFBS, TLE4, TMEM184A, TMEM25, TTPP3, TRIP11, TSC22D3, UFM1, VGLL3, WB4, WNK2, ZNF503 | 8.04-31 |
| hsa_TGANTCA_V$API_C (API) | COL16A1, DDI, ITGB4, MMP1, LOX14, C36, FAP, ACSL4, ADM, AGPAT9, AIG1, ANKR2B, ANKS2, APP, AS1, BDORB1, BUB3, CAGNA1A, CD244, CHRM1, CLDN16, COL7A1, CREB5, DCC, DYSF, ELOVL1, EMP1, EPX4, F3, FAM8B1, FBXO2, GB3, GJB3, GLTBD2, GPC3, GPNMB, GPR66, HCF1C1R1, HSD3B7, HSPG2, IGBP6, II11, IL17, ISG20, LGALS1, LPHN2, LRRFIP2, MAGED1, MITF, NPPB, NRS, NRP3, PDE4D, PP2CB, PTPFB2, PHLD2A, PLAU, RB4, RGS3, RNIF4B, RNIF8B, S1PR1, SBSN, SERPINE2, SERPINE7, SLC38A2, SLC9A7, SNAP25, SPARC, STAR1D3, STINK6, STPNB2, SYNPO, TGM1, THOC6, TLX2, TXNB, TRIM29, UCN2, ZNF362, ZNF503 | 3.69-30 |

**Abbreviation:** TF, transcription factor.

Table S2 Predicted effect of PPARs on genes included in the term “PPAR-signaling pathway”

| Gene | Fold change | Effect of PPARs | References |
|------|-------------|----------------|------------|
| CPT1B | 2.26 | Activation (α) | 1 |
| CPT2 | 2.09 | Activation (α) | 2 |
| CYP27A1 | 2.26 | Activation (α) | 3 |
| FABP3 | 2.31 | Activation (α) | 4 |
| ACSL4 | -2.43 | Activation (α) | 5 |
| MMP1 | 4.07 | Repression (β) | 6 |
| PLTP | 2.27 | Activation (β) | 7 |
| SCD | 2.49 | Repression (β) | 8 |
| CPT1C | -5.81 | Activation (β) | 9 |

**Notes:** *Genes marked in bold present a change in expression that is contradictory with an activation of PPARs; †Fold change of the expression of genes in NCI/ADR-RES relative to OVCA28; ‡subtypes of PPAR receptor described to affect expression of corresponding gene are shown in parentheses.**

**Abbreviation:** PPAR, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor.
NCI/ADR-RES displays multiple mechanisms of drug resistance
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