Porphyrrin-based electrostatically self-assembled multilayers as fluorescent probes for mercury(II) ions: a study of the adsorption kinetics of metal ions on ultrathin films for sensing applications†

Monica Caselli*

The electrostatic multilayer self-assembly technique was employed to prepare fluorescent probes for mercury(II) ions. Films showing an appreciable fluorescence emission were obtained by alternate adsorption of 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-sulfonatophenyl)porphyrin (TPPS) with polyelectrolytes. Fluorescence intensity quenching, arising from the coordinative interaction between the porphyrin and mercury ions, was monitored as a function of time. The response mechanism was studied on the basis of kinetic and equilibrium models for adsorption. The adsorption process of mercury ions on porphyrin-based films was described by the pseudo-second-order equation and the kinetic parameters were correlated with the initial concentrations of the metal ions in aqueous solution. The kinetic approach was evaluated as an alternative to monitoring the sensing responses at equilibrium.

Introduction

Fluorescent chemical sensors and probes have found wide applications in several fields, such as environmental monitoring, food and biochemical analysis, medical diagnosis. The advantages offered are high sensitivity of detection, low cost, “on–off” switchability, subnanometer spatial resolution with submicron visualization and submillisecond temporal resolution.

The awareness that mercury is a highly toxic global pollutant, characterized by accumulation and persistency properties in the environment and living organisms, has led to the use of selective analytical methods for determining mercury speciation, primary interests being addressed to the bioavailable chemical species. As regards the adverse effects of mercury on human health, the neurotoxicity of elemental and methylated forms and nephrotoxicity of “free” cation are well established. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) stated an upper limit of 2 µg L⁻¹ for inorganic mercury in drinking water. The European Community included mercury among the priority substances for environmental quality standards (Directive 2013/39/EC) and fixed a more restrictive upper limit, the maximum allowable concentration of dissolved mercury in surface water being 0.07 µg L⁻¹. The design of fluorescent sensors for mercury ions is the subject of several studies. However, they are usually developed in aqueous or organic solvents, though solid-state sensors immobilized on a solid surface offer important advantages from an applicative point of view. Furthermore, the interaction between the analyte and a surface differs from that in solution.

In this context, porphyrins possess spectroscopic and photophysical properties suitable for their use as fluorescent sensors, thanks to a large Stokes shift, high fluorescence quantum yield, good thermal and photochemical stability; the changes in spectroscopic and photophysical properties upon complexation of heavy metal ions, i.e. modification of the Soret absorption band as well as of the minor Q bands and a marked quenching of fluorescence emission, make them excellent building blocks for developing optical sensors for such analytes. As regards the use of these macrocycles for preparing solid-state optical sensing materials for heavy metal ions in aqueous solutions, a few examples were reported, both immobilized in polymeric membranes, sol–gel matrices, and deposited as self-assembled films. The main limit in the use of porphyrins in solid-state sensors is the fluorescence quenching due to the formation of intermolecular weakly fluorescent aggregates, attributable to π–π stacking interaction between two adjacent porphyrin cores, with a consequent reduction of sensitivity.

The electrostatic multilayer self-assembly technique has been rarely employed for sensing applications, in spite of the advantages offered by this approach over other traditional methods, such as the control of the film thickness and supramolecular structure through a clever modulation of many experimental variables. This approach, based on the
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alternate adsorption of oppositely charged chemical species on a substrate, allows ultrathin film structures to be prepared; the thickness of each layer can be controlled in the range from 5 to 30 Å. Electrostatically layer-by-layer self-assembly is a powerful method for developing fluorescent sensors, thanks to its versatility, based on the capability of selecting from a wide variety of charged fluorophores, together with properties of stability and reproducibility of multilayer films. Furthermore, multilayers can grow on many different substrates, such as planar slides, polymer microspheres, optical fibers, suggesting the interesting potentialities of electrostatic layer-by-layer self-assembly for a wide range of sensing applications.17,18

A crucial aspect concerning the development of sensing devices is represented by the adsorption process involved in analyte-solid probes interaction: a fast equilibration is usually assumed, disregarding the kinetic aspects, which, on the contrary, possess a fundamental importance when solid sensors or probes are employed. On the other hand, various kinetic models (pseudo-first-order, intraparticle diffusion, pseudo-second-order are the most widely used) have been proposed and discussed from a theoretical point of view;19–21 however, their application is generally addressed to bulk materials used as sorbents.22–24

In this paper, the development of electrostatically self-assembled multilayers (ESAM), prepared by alternate adsorption of 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-sulfonatophenyl)porphyrin (TPPS) with poly(diallyldimethylammonium chloride), able to act as efficient fluorescent probes for mercury(II) ions, is reported. Experimental parameters in the ESAM preparation were varied to improve the final sensing properties. We show how the electrostatically self-assembled technique can be employed in order to reduce the typical tendency of porphyrins to aggregation, through the choice of appropriate building blocks and experimental conditions of preparation. In order to test these films as fluorescent probes, changes in their spectroscopic and photophysical properties after dipping into solutions containing different concentrations of mercury(II) ions were characterized. Fluorescence emission quenching was observed for diluted solutions and solid-state ESAM films.

The adsorption process of mercury(II) ions on ESAM films used for sensing purposes was studied as a function of time, by monitoring fluorescence intensity changes, deriving from the interaction of TPPS with mercury ions. The response mechanism was investigated on the basis of various kinetic and equilibrium models for adsorption. It was shown how the kinetic parameters can be correlated with the initial mercury ion concentration in aqueous solution. Therefore, the analysis of the adsorption kinetics based on the study of fluorescence intensity quenching offers an alternative to equilibrium measurements, overcoming the problem of long equilibration times.

**Experimental**

**Materials**

5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-sulfonatophenyl)porphyrin (TPPS) was purchased from Frontier Scientific; poly(diallyldimethylammonium chloride) (PDDA, Mw = 400 000–500 000) and poly(sodium-4-styrenesulfonate) (PSS, Mw ~ 1 000 000) were obtained from Aldrich and used as received. Scheme 1 displays the chemical structures. All solutions were prepared using Milli-Q deionised water (resistivity about 18.2 MΩ cm); pH of TPPS solutions was adjusted by adding 1 × 10⁻³ M sodium hydroxide to obtain the free-base form. Glass microscope slides (12.5 × 45 mm) were used as film substrates. They were treated under ultrasonication for 1 hour in an alkaline solution containing 5 g of KOH dissolved into a 1 : 8 water/2-propanol (Merck) mixture. Layer-by-layer self-assembled films were prepared using a PC-controlled self-coater (KSV model LM) by sequentially dipping the substrate into a 3.1 × 10⁻² M (M = moles of monomer per litre) solution of PDDA for 20 minutes, rinsing with deionised water, dipping into a 2 × 10⁻⁴ M solution of TPPS in water at pH ~ 8 (pH was adjusted to avoid J-aggregation) for 20 minutes or, alternatively, into a 1.5 × 10⁻³ M PSS solution and final rinsing. Co-deposition of TPPS with PSS was obtained by dipping into solutions with different concentration ratios.

**Absorption and emission spectroscopy**

UV spectra were collected using a Varian Cary 100 Scan UV-vis spectrophotometer. Fluorescence measurements were performed on a Horiba Jobin Yvon Fluoromax-3 fluorometer. Spectra were corrected for the instrumental spectral sensitivity. Fluorescence quantum yields of films were measured using a solution of free-base TPPS in water at pH = 8.0 (ΦF = 0.056)25,26 placed in 1 mm path-length cell as the standard, by excitation at the Soret band. The absorbances of TPPS in ESAM and in solution were identical at the excitation wavelength. The corrections for refractive index were made, setting n = 1.45, a typical value for similar organic films.27,28,29 Reproducible positions of samples were ensured for all measurements.

A certified standard mercury(II) solution (1 g L⁻¹, 2% in nitric acid), purchased from CPI International, was diluted and acidified with 0.4% nitric acid in order to obtain a 1 × 10⁻³ M stock solution; working solutions were obtained by appropriate dilutions with borate buffer (pH = 8.0). Mercury ion detection was performed by immersion of TPPS films in 1 cm path-length quartz cuvettes containing various metal ion solutions, whose concentrations ranged from 3.3 × 10⁻⁸ M to 3.3 × 10⁻⁶ M; the corresponding absorption, excitation and emission fluorescence spectra were recorded at different time delays.

**Scheme 1** Chemical structures of TPPS, PDDA, PSS.
AFM and fluorescence microscopy

The morphology of the film surfaces was studied using atomic force microscopy in tapping mode (CP Park Autoprobe). The surface analysis was performed with the PSI ProScan Image Processing software; the surface roughness was taken equal to the standard deviation of the z-value distribution within a $5 \times 5 \mu m$ image area. Fluorescence microscopy images of the film surfaces were obtained with a confocal DM IRE2 Leica microscope equipped with a TCS SP2 scanning head and a laser source at 405 nm.

Adsorption kinetics and equilibrium

All experiments were performed at 25 °C. Adsorption kinetics of Hg(II) ions on PDDA/PSS/PDDA/TPPS ESAM was studied by monitoring fluorescence emission of TPPS. The surface coverage $\theta$ was determined as follows: the fluorescence intensity at time $t$, measured at 650 nm, is given by two contributes, the emission of the free-base TPPS and the emission of the Hg–TPPS 1:1 complex. Considering the ratio $r$ between the fluorescence intensity of the unbound porphyrin at 650 nm and that of the complex in aqueous solution and assuming that such ratio does not change when the porphyrin is included in films, we obtain:

$$I_{650} = (1 - \theta)I_{650}^0 + \theta \frac{I_{650}^f}{r}$$

where $I_{650}^f$ and $I_{650}^0$ are, respectively, the fluorescence intensities measured at 650 nm before and after adding mercury ions.

The value of $r$, determined as an average of three measurements (see Fig. S4† in ESI for representative spectra), is $6.0 \pm 0.6$.

Therefore, the determination of $\theta$ was performed using the expression:

$$\theta = \frac{6 \left( I_{650} - I_{650}^f \right)}{S I_{650}^0}$$

$\theta$ at time $t$ was the average value of at least three measurements. The kinetic data were examined using the pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order and intraparticle diffusion equations, according to the different functions of $\theta$ at time $t$ as a function of $t$. The surface coverage at equilibrium $\theta_{eq}$ was derived from kinetic data and different equilibrium isotherm models were tested. In adsorption isotherms the equilibrium concentrations of mercury ions were obtained as follows: the TPPS total amounts (in mol) in ESAM were calculated from absorbance measurements and total surface of the films:

$$TPPS \text{ amount (mol)} = [TPPS]V = A^\text{max}_{\lambda} \frac{V}{\varepsilon_{\lambda} d} V = 10^{-3} A^\text{max}_{\lambda} S,$$

where $V$ is the film volume in dm$^3$, $A$ is the maximum absorbance of free-base TPPS, $S$ is the film surface in cm$^2$, $\varepsilon$ is the molar absorption coefficient at the B band maximum ($466 \ 000 \ M^{-1} \ cm^{-1}$), and $d$ is the film thickness in cm.

The amount of Hg(II) adsorbed at time $t$ was derived by the $\theta$ value at that time; the equilibrium Hg(II) amounts and, hence, the equilibrium concentrations in solution were calculated by subtracting the adsorbed amount of Hg(II) from the initial amount in solution. The experimental kinetic and equilibrium data were fitted by means of a nonlinear least-squares algorithm.

Results and discussion

Multilayer assembly of TPPS

Absorption spectra of free-base TPPS in water ($\varepsilon = 3.9 \times 10^{-7} M$) and included in various ESAM are reported in Fig. 1. The absorption spectrum in aqueous solution (pH = 8.0) is characterized by the main Soret (B) band at 413 nm with the associated vibronic band at 395 nm and four weak Q bands at 515, 552, 580, 633 nm.

Such spectral features are attributable to TPPS in its free-base form ($pK_a \sim 4.7$); the presence of H- or J-aggregates, whose formation in solution has been observed for diprotonated form, was not detected. In films the Soret band is red-shifted with a maximum at about 420 nm; this shift is intrinsic to the TPPS bound to PDDA. The shoulder at about 405 nm, whose intensity decreases progressively by lowering the density of the porphyrin in the different films, is attributable to H-aggregates. The fluorescence emission spectrum of free-base TPPS in solution, independent of the excitation wavelength chosen correspondingly to the Soret absorption, shows two main bands centred at about 645 and 715 nm (Fig. 2). The spectral characteristics are slightly altered in the films, where a red shift of the maxima to about 650 nm and 715 nm is observed; the fluorescence quantum yield is significantly reduced, as a function of the porphyrin density. Such results are in agreement with the observations that in thin solid films free-base TPPS can form H-aggregates, depending on the porphyrin concentration, whereas films obtained by the diacid form show a high content of both H- and J-aggregates. The general observation of fluorescence quenching in aggregated systems has been interpreted on the basis of exciton migration among porphyrin derivatives, followed by trapping at aggregate sites, characterized by much lower radiative decay rates.

The excitation spectra, recorded at $\lambda_{em} = 655$ and 715 nm, correspond to the absorption spectra, confirming that

---

Fig. 1 Normalized absorption spectra of TPPS in aqueous solution (pH = 8.0) and in different multilayers.
absorption and emission belong to the same main fluorophore; the large shoulder at about 405 nm results less intense than in absorption, in accordance with the assignment to weakly fluorescent aggregates (Fig. S1 in ESI†).

The observations deduced from absorption and relative fluorescence emissions indicate that the tendency to form H-aggregates is important in (PDDA/TPPS), ESAM and is reduced by the introduction of PSS: by alternating each PDDA/TPPS bilayer with a PDDA/TPPS bilayer, yielding a (PDDA/TPPS/PDDA TPPS), multilayer, or co-depositing TPPS with PSS, yielding a (PDDA/TPPS-PSS)ₜ multilayer, we are able to obtain films with a significant fluorescent emission, by tuning the experimental conditions of preparation. Progressively higher values of fluorescence quantum yields (Table 1) were obtained in films where the TPPS was co-deposited with PSS, increasing the mixing ratio PSS : TPPS in the dipping solution from 1 : 1 to 10 : 1; the values of fluorescence quantum yield do not significantly vary as a function of the number of layers. Such observations confirm that de-aggregation, with consequent enhancement of the fluorescence emission, can be obtained by means of two different strategies,²⁶ which make it possible to separate the porphyrin fluorophores and reduce the π-stacking aggregation.

In both cases, multilayers grow regularly (Fig. S2 in ESI†). A higher amount of TPPS per layer is deposited in alternate (PDDA/TPPS/PDDA/TPPS)ₘ films (a) with respect to films in which TPPS is co-deposited with PSS in 1 : 100 ratio (b). In co-deposited films, the amount of TPPS adsorbed is a function of its concentration in the dipping solution.

![Fig. 2](Image) Relative fluorescence emission of TPPS in aqueous solution (pH = 8.0) and in different multilayers. λ<sub>ex</sub> = 421 nm.

A balance between a good fluorescence quantum yield and an appreciable amount of TPPS was obtained in ESAM (PDDA/PSS/PDDA/TPPS)ₙ where PSS and TPPS were alternated as negatively charged building blocks. For such kind of films, n was varied from 1 to 9: the values of fluorescence quantum yield confirmed a substantial independency of the number of layers.

**Sensing properties of multilayers**

The preliminary measurements were performed by adding different volumes of a 1 × 10⁻⁴ M mercury(u) ion solution to ~10⁻⁶ to 10⁻⁷ M TPPS solutions in borate buffer (pH = 8.0), to obtain final concentrations of mercury ranging from 6.7 × 10⁻⁸ to 4.7 × 10⁻⁶ M: marked changes both in absorption and emission spectra were observed. The coordinative interaction between TPPS and mercury induces a red-shift of the Soret (from 413 to 420 nm) and the Q bands, whose number results reduced as a consequence of the increased symmetry (Fig. S3 in ESI†). The emission spectrum of TPPS in solution is characterized by two bands at 645 and 705 nm; upon addition of mercury ions, a new band at 605 nm progressively increases and the maximum shifts to 656 nm (Fig. S4 in ESI†). These results are in agreement with previous studies and indicate the formation of a 1 : 1 Hg⁻₂TPPS complex.²⁶,³⁵ It has been established that mercury(u) in aqueous solution at pH = 8 forms predominantly hydroxo complexes,³⁵ which can form, upon interaction with TPPS, Hg⁻²TPPS complexes. In the present work, OH⁻ ligands are not mentioned and we refer to mercury(u) ions.

The interaction of TPPS included in ESAM with mercury(u) ions does not substantially change the position of the Soret absorption band (422 nm), already red-shifted by the interaction with PDDA, whereas the only detectable Q band is centred at 605 nm (Fig. 3). In emission spectra two main bands are present at 605 and 650 nm (see Fig. 4), according to the formation of a 1 : 1 Hg⁻²TPPS complex. Excitation spectra, recorded at λ<sub>em</sub> = 655 nm, reproduce the absorption spectrum of the residual TPPS, whereas the excitation spectra at λ<sub>em</sub> = 605 nm shows a new excitation band at about 430 nm, suggesting a red-shift of the Soret absorption band of TPPS included in ESAM upon

![Fig. 3](Image) Absorption spectra of PDDA/PSS/PDDA/TPPS ESAM before (continuous line) and after (dashed line) dipping in a 3.3 × 10⁻⁵ M solution of Hg(u) ions.

### Table 1  Fluorescence quantum yields (average values) of different types of ESAM

| Film | Φ<sub>f</sub> |
|------|-------------|
| (PDDA/PSS/PDDA/TPPS)ₘ | 0.011 ± 0.002 |
| (PDDA/TPPS-PSS 1 : 100)ₙ | 0.030 ± 0.005 |
| (PDDA/TPPS-PSS 1 : 20)ₙ | 0.022 ± 0.004 |
| (PDDA/TPPS-PSS 1 : 10)ₙ | 0.011 ± 0.002 |
| (PDDA/TPPS-PSS 1 : 5)ₙ | 0.003 ± 0.001 |
| (PDDA/TPPS-PSS 1 : 1)ₙ | 0.001 ± 0.0005 |
coordination by mercury. Although the formation of 2 : 2 and 3 : 2 non-fluorescent complexes cannot be completely excluded, features of both absorption and emission spectra indicate the prevalent formation of a Hg–TPPS complex in 1 : 1 ratio.26,35

The most significant observation is a marked quenching of the fluorescence of TPPS contained in ESAM, whose extent depends on the mercury concentration. The response time is correlated with the number of layers: films formed by a high number of layers require excessively long time for equilibration, likely because of slow diffusion of the analyte within the film. (PDDA/PSS/PDDA/TPPS)\(_n\) films with \(n = 1,2\) show the best properties as sensing materials, since they combine acceptable response time (less than one hour) with the above mentioned properties of good fluorescence quantum yields and optical density. Fig. 4 shows the fluorescence quenching of TPPS included in PDDA/PSS/PDDA/TPPS films as a function of mercury(II) ion concentration in the range \(3.3 \times 10^{-5}\) to \(3.3 \times 10^{-8}\) M. The fluorescent probe may find application to detect mercury in such concentration range. For lower concentration the fluorescence quenching was not detectable.

TPPS-based films display changes in fluorescence intensity as a consequence of the existence of TPPS in two different forms (free and complexed), one of which is characterized by a reduced emission quantum yield. Coordination of the porphyrin ring by mercury(II) ions yields out-of-plane complexes, in which the metal ion is located above the plane of the pyrrole ring, which results distorted, as a consequence of a metal centre radius exceeding the critical value of 80 pm.26,35 Out-of-plane metalloporphyrins are characterized by different spectral and photophysical properties with respect to those of more common in-plane metalloporphyrins, since the coordination of a metal induces a red-shift of the Soret band and a marked reduction of the fluorescence quantum yield. The latter observation has often been interpreted on the basis of the “heavy atom” effect, which induces a more efficient deactivation to the triplet state.34 Recent studies,26 however, suggest that this is not the dominant factor and, on the contrary, an important role can be attributed to the deformation of the structure and reduction of symmetry associated with the out-of-plane coordination, which favours the internal conversion deactivation with respect to the radiative path.

The selectivity of TPPS-based films for mercury ions in aqueous solution was investigated. The fluorescence response to various transition-metal cations (Pb\(^{2+}\), Cd\(^{2+}\), Cu\(^{2+}\), Zn\(^{2+}\)) and some Group II (Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\)) was evaluated in comparison with Hg\(^{2+}\). Fluorescence emission of TPPS remains unaffected in solutions of Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\). Whereas mercury ions induce a marked quenching of fluorescence intensity, the other transition metal ions give rise to a less degree of quenching. Zn\(^{2+}\), which was found to interfere to other related systems,9 produces only minor changes in fluorescence emission of TPPS layer. Divalent copper ions appear the most interfering species. Such observations can be interpreted on the basis of different spectroscopic properties of metalloporphyrins and their formation constants. Out-of-plane metalloporphyrins, formed by ions that possess a radius higher than the critical values, show similar spectroscopic properties: Cd\(^{2+}\) and Pb\(^{2+}\) may interfere in fluorimetric determination of Hg\(^{2+}\), because form with TPPS out-of-plane complexes, characterized by similar properties in absorption and emission.36 On the contrary, the in-plane metalloporphyrins have different spectral characteristics. The most interfering ion, Cu\(^{2+}\), although possesses a radius around the critical value, forms with TPPS a in-plane complex, characterized by spectroscopic properties similar to those of the free-base. The excitation at 420 nm, correspondently to the Soret band of TPPS in free-base form, already red-shifted in films, may be not selective for ions that forms out-of-plane complexes and for Cu\(^{2+}\). As regards the equilibrium formation constants, out-of-plane metalloporphyrins in general show higher values than the in-plane ones.36 Zn\(^{2+}\) forms a border-line complex, characterized by absorption properties similar to those of out-of-plane complexes; its minor interference can be explained on the basis of a higher fluorescence quantum yield and a lower formation constant with respect to the out-of-plane metalloporphyrins. Though such feature has to be deepened, we suggest that the detection of mercury ions in aqueous solutions containing interfering cations, such as Cu\(^{2+}\), Pb\(^{2+}\), Cd\(^{2+}\), can be performed by studying the different kinetics of interaction.36

**AFM and fluorescence microscopy characterization**

The comparison among various types of ESAM films, performed by AFM, showed that they are characterized by different surface morphology, depending on the nature and the number of layers. It appears quite smooth and uniform in PDDA/PSS/PDDA/TPPS film: the estimated surface roughness (root-mean-square roughness, \(R_{\text{rms}}\)), calculated within an area of 5 × 5 \(\mu\)m, (Fig. 5) was 1.0 nm. In PDDA/TPPS films the morphological heterogeneity increases (Fig. S5 in ESI†), the \(R_{\text{rms}}\) value being 2.5 nm. Such observations are consistent with the significant tendency to form H-aggregates in (PDDA/TPPS)\(_n\) ESAM, which is reduced by the introduction of PSS, as pointed out by both absorption and emission spectroscopic measurements.
Adsorption kinetics and equilibrium

The features of the adsorption equilibria have been widely investigated on a theoretical basis, whereas the kinetic description of the adsorption processes, due to its complexity, remained essentially empirical for many years. Adsorption process of a chemical species on a solid surface can be described by four main consecutive steps: transport in the bulk solution, diffusion across the film surrounding the solid surface, diffusion in the pores of the sorbent (intraparticle diffusion) and surface reaction.\(^{19}\) The overall adsorption rate is controlled by the slowest among these steps. Several kinetic models can be used to describe the rate of adsorption at solid/liquid interface: Langmuir,\(^{27}\) statistical rate theory (SRT), pseudo-first-order (Lagergren equation), pseudo-second-order, Elovich\(^{38}\) and intraparticle diffusion model\(^{38}\) can be recognized as the most commonly employed. Two main groups can be identified: (a) models assuming that the diffusion of the sorbate in the pores of the sorbent controls the rate of adsorption, and (b) models assuming that the rate of adsorption is governed by the rate of the surface reaction.\(^{21}\)

Recently, a model for the description of mixed surface reaction and diffusion-controlled adsorption kinetics was proposed.\(^{39}\) The Langmuir kinetic model, leading at equilibrium to Langmuir adsorption isotherm, considers the case of an ideal, energetically homogeneous solid surface and one-site occupancy adsorption model. The pseudo-first-order (eqn (1) and (1b)) and pseudo-second-order (eqn (2) and (2b)) equations were at first proposed on empirical bases; they are the most commonly used expressions to describe adsorption kinetics data, concerning radically different kinds of sorbents and solutes.\(^{22,23,40,41}\)

The expression of the integrated pseudo-first-order kinetic equation is given by

\[
\theta(t) = \theta_{eq}(1 - e^{-kt})
\]

where \(\theta(t)\) and \(\theta_{eq}\) are the fraction of surface covered at time \(t\) and at equilibrium, \(k_1\) is the pseudo-first-order rate coefficient.

Eqn (1) can be written in linear form:

\[
\ln(\theta_{eq} - \theta(t)) = \ln \theta_{eq} - k_1 t
\]

The expression of the integrated pseudo-second-order kinetic equation is given by

\[
\theta(t) = \frac{k_2 N_{eq} \theta_{eq} t}{1 + k_2 N_{eq} t}
\]

where \(N_{eq}\) is the amount of solute per unit mass of adsorbent adsorbed at equilibrium, \(k_2\) is the pseudo-second-order rate coefficient. A linear representation of this equation is given by

\[
\frac{t}{\theta(t)} = \frac{1}{\theta_{eq}} + \frac{1}{k_2 N_{eq} \theta_{eq}}
\]

Different theoretical interpretations of these equations have been proposed, initially adopting the classical Langmuir model of adsorption kinetics,\(^{38,40}\) where the adsorption is seen as a chemical reaction on a solid surface: the pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order equations are special cases of the Langmuir rate equation, obtained for high and low initial concentrations of the solute, respectively. The approach based on the SRT (statistical rate theory of interfacial transport) theory derives the pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order equations as simplified forms of a general kinetic equation, developed for a monolayer, one-site-occupancy model of adsorption on both homogeneous and heterogeneous solid surfaces, assuming that the process is controlled by the rate of surface reactions.\(^{43,44}\) The choice of extending the model by including strongly heterogeneous surfaces was due to the observed good applicability of the two equations to many real systems. According to this interpretation, both the pseudo-first-order and the pseudo-second-order kinetic equations are simplified forms of a more general expression under some definite assumptions: it appears that the former is generally applicable for the range of longer adsorption times, not far from the equilibrium conditions.
In order to study the adsorption kinetics, the time evolution of the fractional surface coverage on PDDA/PSS/PDDA/TPPS ESAM, obtained from the fluorescence intensity quenching at pH = 8 after dipping in aqueous mercury(II) ion solutions with different concentrations, was investigated: it is reported in Fig. 6, together with the curves resulting from a fit to the pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order equations. The kinetic study was performed only on ESAM containing a single layer of TPPS; progressively longer times of adsorption were observed in films characterized by a higher number of layer. The analysis was performed in a concentration range $3.3 \times 10^{-5}$ to $3.3 \times 10^{-8}$ M mercury solution is not reported, since the change of fluorescence intensity was detectable only at initial times (less than 10 minutes).

The adsorption process was found to be dependent on the initial concentration of mercury ions $[\text{Hg}^{2+}]_0$: higher concentrations required longer equilibration times. The pseudo-second-order equation provides a good fit of all experimental data, both at short and longer times, approaching equilibrium (Table S2). On the contrary, the pseudo-first-order equation is unable to describe the kinetic data over the whole temporal range. The surface coverage at equilibrium, not directly determinable with sufficient accuracy, owing to long equilibration times, was obtained. As concentration of mercury increases, the adsorption process is characterized by higher values of both $q_{\text{eq}}$ and $k_2$. For an initial concentration $3.3 \times 10^{-5}$ M, a saturation of the adsorption sites ($\theta_{\text{eq}} \approx 1$) is reached at equilibrium. The pseudo-second-order linear representation (eqn (2b)), reported in Fig. S7 in ESI,† provides the slopes and intercept values, both dependent on the initial concentration of the analyte (Table S1 in ESI†).

Therefore, the kinetic approach offers the possibility of correlating the fluorescence observables with mercury ion concentrations on a shorter time scale and higher accuracy than applies to equilibrium measurements. This observation is of fundamental importance in the prospect of using ESAM including a single layer of porphyrin as probes to detect the mercury ion concentration in water. The kinetic approach can be evaluated as an alternative to the usual study of the sensing response at equilibrium: the relationship proposed43,44 for a fluorescent probe that exists in solution in two states (free and bound to the analyte), although applied also to solid-state sensors,7,8,11 is subject to the important limitation of long equilibration times.

The theoretical interpretation of the pseudo-second-order equation$^{13,44}$ is generally based on the assumption of an adsorption process at solid/solution interface controlled by the surface reaction. In the region of short adsorption times, the diffusional model predicts the square-root dependence of the surface coverage on time.$^{21}$ The linear fit to the pseudo-second-order equation over the whole temporal range (Fig. S7 in ESI†) suggests that the diffusion does not control the process: in particular, in a mixed surface reaction and diffusion-controlled kinetic model, a deviation from linearity is observed.$^{39}$ Even if we cannot rule out that intraparticle diffusion may play a role at initial times, the nature of the ESAM studied, $i.e.$ films including a single layer of TPPS, allows us to suggest that the adsorption kinetics of mercury ions is controlled by the complexation reaction with TPPS. We can suppose that the surface reaction represents the limiting step, whereas intraparticle diffusion possibly acquires importance as the number of layers increases. The room temperature (25 °C) equilibrium isotherms for the adsorption of mercury(II) ions on PDDA/PSS/PDDA/TPPS ESAM were tested using the models of Langmuir (eqn (3)), and Langmuir–Freundlich (eqn (4), also known as Sips).$^{46}$

$$
\theta_{\text{eq}} = \frac{K_1 c_{\text{eq}}}{1 + K_L c_{\text{eq}}} \quad (3)
$$

| Table 2 | Pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order kinetic parameters for mercury(II) ion adsorption on PDDA/PSS/PDDA/TPPS ESAM in borate buffer at pH = 8.0, obtained from the nonlinear least-squares fitting of experimental data to eqn (1) and (2). Adjusted $R^2$ values are reported |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Pseudo-first-order model** |
| $[\text{Hg}^{2+}]_0$ (mol L$^{-1}$) | $\theta_{\text{eq}}$ | $k_1$ (min$^{-1}$) | $R_{\text{adj}}^2$ |
| $3.3 \times 10^{-5}$ | 0.90 | 0.1100 | 0.994 |
| $3.3 \times 10^{-6}$ | 0.39 | 0.0634 | 0.982 |
| $6.7 \times 10^{-7}$ | 0.48 | 0.0555 | 0.986 |
| $3.3 \times 10^{-7}$ | 0.33 | 0.0736 | 0.989 |
| **Pseudo-second-order model** |
| $[\text{Hg}^{2+}]_0$ (mol L$^{-1}$) | $k_2N_{\text{eq}}\theta_{\text{eq}}$ (min$^{-1}$) | $k_3N_{\text{eq}}$ (min$^{-1}$) | $\theta_{\text{eq}}$ | $R_{\text{adj}}^2$ |
| $3.3 \times 10^{-5}$ | 0.1599 | 0.1567 | 1.02 | 0.999 |
| $3.3 \times 10^{-6}$ | 0.0498 | 0.0675 | 0.74 | 0.993 |
| $6.7 \times 10^{-7}$ | 0.0338 | 0.0558 | 0.60 | 0.995 |
| $3.3 \times 10^{-7}$ | 0.0336 | 0.0832 | 0.40 | 0.997 |

**Fig. 6** Adsorption kinetics of mercury(II) ions, at four different initial concentrations, on PDDA/PSS/PDDA/TPPS ESAM at 25 °C in borate buffer at pH = 8.0: best fit curves resulting from nonlinear least-squares fitting of experimental data are reported (solid line, pseudo-second-order equation; dashed line, pseudo-first-order equation). The initial mercury(II) ion concentrations were: $3.3 \times 10^{-5}$ M (■), $3.3 \times 10^{-6}$ M (●), $6.7 \times 10^{-7}$ M (▲), $3.3 \times 10^{-7}$ M (◆).
where $K_L$ is the Langmuir adsorption constant and $c_{eq}$ the equilibrium sorbate concentration

$$
\theta_{eq} = \frac{(K_{LF}c_{eq})^n}{1 + (K_{LF}c_{eq})^n}
$$

where $K_{LF}$ is the Langmuir–Freundlich adsorption constant, $n$ a heterogeneity factor and $c_{eq}$ the equilibrium sorbate concentration. The curves calculated with the best fit parameters obtained by applying the Langmuir and Langmuir–Freundlich model, which considers energetically heterogeneous adsorption sites, are shown in Fig. 7. From the graphical evaluation, the experimental data are well described by the Langmuir model, except for the highest surface coverage, whose value, obtained from the pseudo-second-order fit, is overestimated. The best fit parameters give a value of the affinity constant $K_{LF} = 2.4 \times 10^6$ (mol L$^{-1}$) and a heterogeneous parameter $n = 0.65$.

On the basis of these results, we can suggest that our films, chemically homogeneous, offer a one-site-occupancy adsorption on an energetically heterogeneous lattice of adsorption sites, as a consequence of a morphological, although not marked, heterogeneity, as shown by the AFM analyses. Such observation is consistent with a one-step adsorption process, recognizable, on the basis of the absorption and emission spectroscopic evidences, as the complexation between TPPS contained in ESAM films and mercury(II) ions.

**Conclusions**

The electrostatic multilayer self-assembly technique was employed to prepare porphyrin-based fluorescent probes able to detect mercury(II) ions in aqueous solution. PDDA/PSS/PDDA/TPPS films showed the best properties as sensing materials, in terms of response time and fluorescence emission properties. The deposition of a layer of PSS reduced the formation of weakly fluorescent aggregates between adjacent porphyrins, resulting in films characterized by a higher fluorescence quantum yield.

The fluorescence emission of TPPS contained in such ultrathin solid films was efficiently quenched upon interaction with mercury ions in aqueous solutions, due to the formation of a Hg–TPPS complex in 1 : 1 ratio. The adsorption process was studied by monitoring the fluorescence intensity as a function of time. The pseudo-second-order equation provided a good fit of all experimental data, both at short and longer times. We suggested that the adsorption kinetics of mercury ions is controlled by the complexation reaction. It was shown how the kinetic parameters can be correlated with the initial concentration of mercury ions, providing the basis for the use of these porphyrin-based ESAM as probes for the detection of mercury ions. The study of the extent of fluorescence intensity quenching as a function of time offers an alternative to equilibrium measurements, overcoming the problem of a slow equilibration, typical of solid-state probes.
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