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ABSTRACT

Background: Urinary incontinence (UI) is a debilitating disease which impacts on quality of life (QoL) of affected individuals. Estimates of the burden of the diseases varied widely due to different definitions of the disease by different researchers. With the use of a validated screening tool-International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire- Urinary Incontinence Short Form (ICIQ-UI SF) we sought to determine the prevalence of UI among women in a rural Nigerian community; examined their self-reported QoL and perceived bothersomeness of the symptoms.

Methods: A cross-sectional descriptive epidemiological study among rural community-dwelling women in South west, Nigeria with 229 participants selected by multi-stage sampling technique. The study was conducted using ICIQ-UI SF questionnaire with additional data on socio-demographics and bothersomeness of the symptoms. Correlates of QoL were determined with Spearman’s rho correlation and associated factors of bothersomeness determined by chi square and logistic regression.

Results: Prevalence was 12.6% and stress UI was the commonest type. Poor QoL reported in 65.5% of those afflicted with UI. Worsening QoL had a positive correlates with Age (p<0.010), amount of urine loss (p<0.001), frequency of urine leakage (p<0.001) and ICIQ scores (p<0.001). Perceived bothersomeness of symptoms were associated with ICIQ score of ≥8 (p<0.001; OR: 1.810; 95% CI: 1.220-2.684).

Conclusions: Prevalence was 12.6% with poor QoL in substantial proportion of the respondents with UI worsened by advancing age, increase in quantity of urine loss, increase in frequency of urine leakage and ICIQ score. Perceived bothersomeness of symptoms was associated with ICIQ score of ≥8.
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INTRODUCTION

Urinary incontinence (UI) is described by international continence society (ICS) as involuntary urine loss, objectively demonstrable and is a social or hygienic problem.1 It is reported to occur world-wide with significant variation in its prevalence which ranges from 4.8% to 58.4%.2 The wide variation in the prevalence rate has been attributed to differences in definition of the term ‘incontinence’ by different researchers.3 This underscores the need for a standardized screening tool for epidemiological study. In 1998, World Health
Organization (WHO)-sponsored International Consultation on Incontinence (ICI) initiated the development of a validated questionnaire called International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire- Urinary Incontinence Short Form (ICIQ- UI SF). This was a validated, brief and simple questionnaire which allows for assessment of the prevalence, frequency, perceived cause of urinary incontinence and its impact on everyday life. It has been found useful in outcome and epidemiological research as well as in routine clinical practice. 

In an earlier epidemiological study of UI in Ibadan- a predominantly urban setting in Southwest, Nigeria (Ibadan urinary incontinence household survey) the prevalence rate of UI among women was 7.2%. The prevalence was low compared to 30.9% and 40% reported in community-based surveys among Chinese and British women respectively. The relatively low prevalence rate in the study was attributed to the culture of silence of divulging medical disorders to stranger by the women and the skewness of the study towards younger age group. 

Urinary incontinence is a debilitating condition with impact on physical and psychosocial aspect of life of an affected individual with consequent effect on the quality of life (QoL) of the sufferers. Some of the reported effects of urinary incontinence which had impact on the QoL of affected individuals include skin irritation, cellulitis, poor self-esteem, social withdrawal, depression and sexual dysfunction. 

Despite the negative impact on quality of life, health seeking behaviour of women have been reported to be low world-wide with only 13-55% of women with symptoms sought medical care. Many women have adapted various lifestyles modifications such as reducing fluid intake and keeping the bladder empty, limiting social interaction, hygiene measures, avoiding sexual activities as a means of coping with this condition with the symptoms becoming less bothersome to them. However, patients who cope less with the symptoms may have some degree of bothersomeness about their condition. 

In most rural communities in Nigeria, there are limited health care resources to managed patients with such debilitating conditions which required specialized care. It is pertinent to identify patients that cope less well with their conditions for prompt intervention in the face of limited health care resources. 

This study sought to determine prevalence of UI among women in a rural Nigerian community; their self-reported QoL using a validated tool (ICIQ-UI SF); and the bothersomeness of the symptoms as perceived by the respondents. 

METHODS

The study was conducted in a selected rural community (Lagbedu-with a population of 5,012) in Ogbomoso South Local Government Area (LGA) of Oyo State, Nigeria which has a population of 100,379 (National census). The LGA has 10 wards most of which are predominantly rural. The inhabitants of the LGA are mostly farmers though a sizeable number of the people are civil servants while others engaged in various degrees of trading activities. Most of the people are of Yoruba extract but other tribes such as Hausa and Igbo are equally residing in the LGA. All the three prominent Nigerian religions (Christianity, Islam and Traditional religion) are being practiced by the people in the community. 

Study design

The study employed cross-sectional descriptive epidemiological study design. 

Sample size determination

The sample size for this study was determined by the formula: n=\frac{t^2 \times p \times (1-p)}{m^2} with n: required sample size; t: confidence level at 95% (standard value of 1.96); p: estimated prevalence of urinary incontinence in the projected area; m: margin of error at 5% (standard value of 0.05). 

Using the prevalence rate of 7.2% from Ibadan urinary incontinence household survey (IUIHS) done in South west Nigeria and correction for difference in the cluster design with a design defect (D) of 2, the Initial sample size was 206. 

A response rate of 90% was envisaged during the study design based on the response rate in an earlier pilot study in a community different from the one used for the main study. Thus, the final sample size was determined with the formula: nf=N/percentage response rate where nf=final sample size, N=initial sample size. Hence, the final sample size was (nf=206/0.9) 229. 

Sampling method

Respondents were selected using multi-stage sampling technique; the first stage involved stratification of the wards in the local government area (LGA) into predominantly rural and urban wards based on 2006 National population result for the LGA. In stage 2, a predominantly rural ward was selected by ballotting from the predominantly rural wards while the third stage involved selection of one community from the selected rural ward using simple random method. Next stage involved division of the selected community (Lagbedu) into clusters, using the available streets. A listing of such clusters was made and one of them selected by ballotting.
All the households in the selected cluster were involved in the study.

Inclusion criterion

Women above the age of 18 years who were permanent residents in the selected cluster and adjudged capable of answering our research questions correctly and who gave consents to participate were involved in the study.

Exclusion criterion

Women who are either too sick to volunteer information or refused to give their consents were exempted from the study.

Ethical consideration

Ethical approval to embark on this study was sought from the Ethical Review Committee of Bowen University Teaching Hospital, Ogbomoso. Consents were obtained from study participants and those who failed to give their consents were excluded from the study. Participation was entirely voluntary and respondents who were found to be incontinent of urine were counseled and appropriately referred to Urology clinic of Bowen University Teaching Hospital for expert care. Absolute confidentiality was employed regarding the data collected; questionnaires were made anonymous using questionnaire identity numbers instead of respondents’ names. Moreover, only computers with passwords were used for data storage and only the key members of the research team had access to the data.

Data collection method and instrument

Quantitative data were collected by five trained research assistants over a period of a month using an interviewer administered questionnaire. The questionnaire is made up of three sections (Appendix I).

Section 1: Consist of set of questions to collect data on socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents (Age, Level of Education and Occupation).

Section 2: This was made up of International consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire- Urinary Incontinence Short Form (ICIQ-UI SF) - a validated and brief questionnaire made up of four questions (Appendix I). The first question was related to screening for UI and its frequency of urinary leakage; the second question assessed the volume of urine leakage; the third question was related to patient’s self-reported impact of UI on quality of life as it affected their daily activities while the forth question assessed symptoms associated with different type of UI to determine the type of UI (stress, urge, mixed and total urinary incontinence). The sum of the scores from the first three questions gave the ICIQ score (0-21) which was used to determine the severity of UI.

Section 3: This section contained a question: “Does your urine leakage bother you on your physical activities, social interaction and psychological wellbeing?” This was used for assessment of bothersomeness of UI symptoms in the respondents. Response was based on a 2-point scale: Bothered and Not Bothered.

Operational definitions

Urinary Incontinence

Any respondent who leaked urine at least once a week or more than was categorized as having urinary incontinence.

Frequency of incontinence was categorized as:

Mild: If the respondent leaked urine about once a week.
Moderate: If 2 or 3 times a week or about once a day.
Severe: If urine leaked several times a day/ all the time.

Severity of Urinary incontinence was classified using ICIQ Scores as:

Mild: ICIQ score of 0-7
Moderate: ICIQ score of 8-14
Severe: ICIQ score of 15-21

Stress incontinence

Was defined as urine leakage associated with physical activities, exercise, straining, coughing, sneezing, laughing or crying.

Urge incontinence was defined as urine leakage which occur with sudden, strong desire to void or leakage before getting to the toilet.

Mixed incontinence was referred to features associated with both stress and urge urinary incontinence.

Total incontinence was defined as continuous leakage of urine.

Quality of life (QoL) assessment

This was assessed by impact of UI symptoms on everyday life. For this study, the third question of ICIQ-UI SF questionnaire (appendix I): “Overall, how much does leaking urine interfere with your everyday life?” was used for its assessment. Response was graded from 0 (Not at all) to 10 (a great deal). For the purpose of the study, a grade of average and below (0-5) was regarded as good QoL while a grade above average (6-10) - poor QoL.
Data analysis

Data analysis was done using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (version 21). The preliminary descriptive analysis was done using appropriate method of data summarizations and presentations (Tables). Spearman rho correlation with significance at 0.05 (p<0.05) was used to assess the relationship of quality of life with socio-demographic characteristics, frequency of urinary leakage, amount of urine leakage, severity of UI (ICIQ scores) and type of UI. Bivariate analysis with Pearson’s chi square with level of significance at 0.05 (p<0.05) was used to assess the relationship of socio-demographic characteristics, ICIQ scores and type of UI with bothersomeness of urinary incontinence symptoms and further analysis of variables associated with bothered UI symptoms by logistic regression at 95% confident interval.

Limitation of the study

Since the study relied on participants remembering past events and divulging information on their medical conditions, it may not be totally free from recall bias and denial of the condition. Moreover, urinary incontinence is a stigmatizing disease; therefore, the prevalence reported in this study may not be a true reflection of the true burden of urinary incontinence in the community.

RESULTS

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents.

| Variable      | Frequency (n=229) | Percentage |
|---------------|------------------|------------|
| Age groups    |                  |            |
| 21-30         | 3                | 1.3        |
| 31-40         | 59               | 25.8       |
| 41-50         | 57               | 24.9       |
| 51-60         | 68               | 29.7       |
| ≥61           | 42               | 18.3       |
| Level of education |            |            |
| No formal education | 59        | 25.8       |
| Primary       | 112              | 48.9       |
| Secondary     | 46               | 20.1       |
| Tertiary      | 12               | 5.2        |
| Occupation    |                  |            |
| Civil Servants | 16            | 7.0        |
| Farming       | 140              | 61.2       |
| None          | 28               | 12.2       |
| Student       | 1                | 0.4        |
| Trading       | 44               | 19.2       |

Two hundred and twenty nine respondents gave their consent to be interviewed. The age range of the respondents was 24 to 74 years with a mean of 50±7.23 years. The age distribution, level of education and occupation of respondents are as shown in Table 1.

Twenty nine respondents reported experience of involuntary leakage of urine (urinary incontinence) giving a prevalence rate of 12.7%. The socio-demographic characteristics of respondents with urinary incontinence are as depicted in Table 2.

Table 2: Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents with urinary incontinence.

| Variable       | Frequency (n=29) | Percentages |
|----------------|------------------|-------------|
| Age groups     |                  |             |
| 21-30          | 3                | 10.3        |
| 31-40          | 7                | 24.1        |
| 41-50          | 9                | 31.1        |
| 51-60          | 6                | 20.7        |
| ≥61            | 4                | 13.8        |
| Level of education |            |             |
| No formal education | 6        | 20.7        |
| Primary        | 8                | 27.6        |
| Secondary      | 15               | 51.7        |
| Occupation     |                  |             |
| Farming        | 15               | 51.7        |
| Trading        | 11               | 37.8        |
| Student        | 1                | 3.5         |
| Unemployed     | 2                | 7.0         |

Thirteen of the respondents with UI (44.8%) described the frequency of their urinary leakage about once a week (mild in frequency), 12 of the respondents (41.4%) described it as moderate (leakage of urine for 3 times a week or about once a day) while 4 respondents (13.8%) described it as severe in frequency (leaked several times a day/ all the time).

Twenty two of the respondents (75.9%) with urinary incontinence described the amount of urine leakage as small while 7 respondents (24.1%) described it as moderate.

Seventeen (58.6%) of the 29 respondents with UI had ICIQ scores within 0-7 (Mild UI), 6 of the respondents (20.7%) had ICIQ scores of 8 -14 (Moderate UI) while the remaining 6 respondents (20.7%) had ICIQ scores of 15-21 (Severe UI).

Ten respondents with UI (34.5%) reported good QoL with the grades from 3 to 5 while 19 respondents with UI (65.5%) reported poor QoL with the grades from 6 to 9.

Seventeen of the respondents (58.6%) with UI had stress urinary incontinence, 8 respondents (27.6%) had urge urinary incontinence and 4 respondents (13.8%) had mixed urinary incontinence.
Correlations of QoL with age, level of education, occupation, frequency of urinary leakage, amount of urine leakage, ICIQ score (severity of UI) and type of UI using spearman rho correlation were shown in Table 3. All the variables had a statistically significant positive correlation with the QoL except the type of UI. The amount of urine loss had the strongest correlation while Age had the least correlation.

Table 3: Spearman rho correlation of quality of life with age, frequency of urinary leakage, amount of urine loss, ICIQ Scores and type of UI.

| Quality of life score | Age | Frequency of leakage | Amount of urine loss | ICIQ scores | Type of UI |
|-----------------------|-----|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Correlation coefficient | 0.471* | 0.575* | 1.000* | 0.947* | 0.187 |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.010 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.331 |
| N | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 |

*ICIQ scores- International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire scores ; UI- Urinary Incontinence

Eight respondents (27.6%) were bothered by their UI symptoms while 21 respondents with UI (72.4%) reported not to be bothered by their symptoms.

Bi-variate analysis of Age, Level of Education, Occupation, ICIQ score (severity of UI) and Type of UI with bothersomeness of urinary incontinence using Chi-square test was represented in Table 4. Only ICIQ score had a statistically significant association with bothersomeness of UI symptoms with an odd ratio (OR) of 1.810 (95% CI, 1.220- 2.684, p<0.003).

Table 4: Bi-variate analysis Socio-demographics and ICIQ scores with bothersomeness of urinary incontinence.

| Factors | Non-bothered UI (%) | Bothered UI (%) | χ² | p |
|---------|---------------------|----------------|----|---|
| Age groups | | | | |
| 21-30 | 2 (9.5) | 1 (12.5) | 1.000 | 0.316 |
| 31-40 | 7 (33.3) | 0 (0.0) | 0.000 | 1.000 |
| 41-50 | 7 (33.3) | 2 (25.0) | 1.220 | 0.270 |
| 51-60 | 3 (14.3) | 3 (37.5) | 0.252 | 0.614 |
| ≥61 | 2 (9.5) | 2 (25.0) | 0.252 | 0.614 |
| Level of education | | | | |
| No formal education | 3 (14.3) | 3 (37.5) | 0.000 | 1.000 |
| Primary | 6 (28.6) | 2 (25.0) | 0.000 | 1.000 |
| Secondary | 12 (57.1) | 3 (37.5) | 1.968 | 0.374 |
| Occupation | | | | |
| Trading | 9 (42.9) | 2 (25.0) | 0.000 | 1.000 |
| Farming | 9 (42.9) | 6 (75.0) | 1.968 | 0.374 |
| Student | 1 (4.8) | 0 (0.0) | 0.000 | 1.000 |
| Unemployed | 2 (9.5) | 0 (0.0) | 2.787 | 0.426 |
| ICIQ scores | | | | |
| Mild | 17 (81.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0.000 | 1.000 |
| Moderate | 3 (14.3) | 3 (37.5) | 1.968 | 0.374 |
| Severe | 1 (4.8) | 5 (62.5) | 17.319 | <0.001 |
| Types of UI | | | | |
| Stress | 12 (57.1) | 5 (62.5) | 17.319 | <0.001 |
| Urge | 5 (23.8) | 3 (37.5) | 1.968 | 0.374 |
| Mixed | 4 (19.0) | 0 (0.0) | 1.946 | 0.378 |

DISCUSSION

The prevalence of Urinary incontinence (UI) among women in the rural community in Nigeria was low (12.6%) when compared to prevalence rate in community based studies in developed countries reported to be 30.9% and 40% among Chinese and British women respectively but it was similar to a reported prevalence rate of 11.5% among rural dwelling women in Pakistan- a developing nation like Nigeria. However, this finding in rural community in South west, Nigeria was found to be higher than an earlier reported prevalence of 7.2% of women that ever leaked urine and 2.8% currently leaking at the time of study among women in Ibadan ( a majorly urban city) in Southwest, Nigeria. This difference in prevalence rate may be due to the skewness of the earlier study towards younger age group (mean age of 33.2±14.7years) whereas in this study, the average age was 50±7.23 years. Also, the difference in prevalence rate may be due to the different screening tools deployed in the epidemiological studies. In this study, a validated tool of ICIQ/UI SF questionnaire was used. Therefore, to ascertain possible difference of prevalence rate of UI among urban dwelling and rural dwelling women in

Table 5: Simple linear regression of bothersomeness of symptoms and ICIQ scores.

| Variable | B | S.E. | Wald | df | Sig. | Exp (B) | 95.0% C.I. |
|----------|---|-----|------|----|-----|--------|------------|
| ICIQ | 0.593 | 0.201 | 8.705 | 1 | 0.003 | 1.810 | 1.220- 2.684 |
| Constant | -6.995 | 2.338 | 8.952 | 1 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
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Nigeria, further studies will be required using the same validated screening tool among urban dwelling women.

Most prevalent type of UI was stress UI (58.6%), followed by urge UI (27.6%) and mixed UI (13.8%). This finding further corroborated earlier reports from epidemiological studies of UI in Nigeria in which stress incontinence was the commonest type of UI among women with UI.\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^6\)

A considerable number of the respondents (65.5%) reported poor QoL, a finding similar to previous reports of negative impact of UI on QoL of affected women.\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^6\)

In this study, the significant correlates of the QoL of the respondents with UI were Age, Amount of urine loss, frequency of urinary leakages and severity of UI (ICIQ Scores). All of these variables had statistically significant positive correlates which implies QoL may worsen with an advancing age, increase in quantity of urine loss, increase in frequency of urine leakage and severity of UI. However, the type of UI correlation with QoL was statistically insignificant.

Only a few number of respondents with UI (27.6%) were bothered by their symptoms despite considerable number of them reported poor QoL (65.5%). There is a possibility that some of the respondents may have adapted various lifestyles modifications in coping with their symptoms which overtime may become less bothersome to them as it was reported in a study among Turkish women by Beji et al.\(^7\) However, this assumption could not be ascertained from this study.

Furthermore, ICIQ scores had associations with the perception of bothersomeness of the symptoms by the respondents. Respondents with UI who were bothered by their symptoms had ICIQ score of at least 8 and above (p<0.001). The ICIQ score (severity of UI) which was determined by frequency of urine leakage, amount of urine leakage and impact of UI symptoms on the QOL, had approximately twice the chance of predicting the bothersomeness of UI symptoms in respondents afflicted by the condition (95% CI, 1.220-2.684; p<0.003). This was consistent with earlier studies which reported severity of UI as an important factor associated with bothered UI.\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^8\)

CONCLUSION

Prevalence rate was 12.6% with poor QoL in substantial proportion of the respondents with UI worsened by advancing age, increase in quantity of urine loss, increase in frequency of urine leakage and ICIQ score (severity of UI). However, only a sizeable number of respondents with UI were bothered by their condition. The perceived bothersomeness of symptoms was associated with ICIQ score of ≥8. Thus, ICIQ/UI SF questionnaires as a screening tool for UI in a community can assist in identifying those afflicted by UI who are likely to be bothered by their symptoms and may likely to seek medical attention. This may be of value in allocating scarce health care resources to those who are most in need of it.
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Appendix I: Questionnaire

Section 1: Socio-demographic characteristics

Age (in years)  -----------------

Level of Education: No formal education ( ); Primary ( ); Secondary ( ); Tertiary ( )

Occupation  -----------------

Section 2: ICIQ questionnaire

ICIQ-UI Short Form

Initial Number  ONFIDENTIAL  DAY  MONTH  YEAR

Today’s Date

Many people leak urine some of the time. We are trying to find out how many people leak urine, and how much this bothers them. We would be grateful if you could answer the following questions, thinking about how you have been, on average, over the PAST FOUR WEEKS

1 Please write in your date of birth:  DAY MONTH YEAR

2 Are you (tick one):  FEMALE MALE

3 How often do you leak urine? (Tick one box)

Never 0
About once a week or less often 1
Two or three times a week 2
About once a day 3
Several times a day 4
All the time 5

4 We would like to know how much urine you think leaks.
How much urine do you usually leak (whether you wear protection or not)? (Tick one box)

None 0
A small amount 2
A moderate amount 4
A large amount 6

5 Overall, how much does leaking urine interfere with your everyday life?
Please ring a number between 0 (not at all) and 10 (a great deal)

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Not at all a great deal

ICIQ score: sum scores 3+4+5
When does urine leak? (Please tick all that apply to you)

- Never – urine does not leak
-Leaks before you can get to the toilet
-Leaks when you cough or sneeze
-Leaks when you are asleep
-Leaks when you are physically active/exercising
-Leaks when you have finished urinating and are dressed
-Leaks for no obvious reason
-Leaks all the time

Thank you very much for answering these questions.
Copyright © “ICIQ Group”

Section 3: Bothersomeness of symptoms

Does your urine leakage bother you on your physical activities, social interaction and psychological wellbeing? (Tick as appropriate)

I am bothered ( ); I am not bothered ( )