Experimental Study on the Utilization of Borosilicate Glass Powder in Concrete
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Abstract: Glass from a light bulb is a waste product that cannot be utilized in a traditional way. This study looks into the possibilities of using lamp borosilicate glass powder as a cement replacing admixture in conventional concrete. Compressive Strength of the Concrete after partly replacing cement with Borosilicate Glass Powder for various percent such as 5%, 10%, 15%, 20% was tested and the optimum percentage of replacement was found to be 20%. Moreover the water absorption capacity of both R 20 % and CC was tested. Finely grounded Borosilicate Glass Powder of particle Size 4 Micrometer shows good performance and properties towards partial replacement of cement in Concrete.
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1. Introduction

Concrete is an economical, versatile and highly successful construction material used to build structures. Cement is the main ingredient for concrete. By replacing cement with the waste borosilicate glass powder, it is possible to reduce the cement content there by beneficial to the environment and it is also cost effective. The experimental results indicate that replacement of cement by rough glass powder decreases the compressive strength. Fine glass particles make it possible to replace up to 20% of cement without the loss in strength characteristics [1]. Fine glass powder offers long-term hardening effect. The best compressive strength results were achieved by using the glass that was additionally ground for 60 minutes [2]. Glass dust obtained from filters shows a less significant effect [3]. In addition to compressive strength, Water Absorption capacity of R 25% and CC are also tested.

The main objectives of the work are as follows:
- To determine the Water absorption of Concrete cubes,
- To determine the Compressive Strength of cubes

2. Materials

Cement
Cement used in this investigation was 53 Grade Ordinary Portland cement confirming to IS: 12269 [18]. The specific gravity of cement was 3.15 and having initial and final setting time of 45 min and 560 min respectively.

Fine Aggregate
The fine aggregate was conforming to Zone-II according to IS: 383 [14]. The fine aggregate used was obtained from a nearby river source. The specific gravity was 2.67, while the bulk density of sand was 1.43 gram/c.c.

Coarse Aggregate
Crushed granite was used as coarse aggregate. The coarse aggregate was obtained from a local crushing unit having 20mm nominal size, well graded aggregate according to IS: 383 [14]. The specific gravity was 2.83, while the bulk density was 1.52 gram/c.c.

Borosilicate Glass Powder
Borosilicate Glass Powder of particle size 4.0 micrometer was used to replace cement. The glass powder was obtained from ball mill after additional finely grinding for more than 60 minutes. The Specific gravity was 2.2216 and having initial and final setting time of 100 min and 260 min.

Casting, Curing and Testing
The concrete mix of M25 grade was prepared in a laboratory mixer with the capacity of 120kg. For each group, total of 6 samples of cube specimens with the dimension of 150 mm were prepared, of which 3 are conventional and the other 3 samples with borosilicate glass powder replacements. The specimens were kept in laboratory conditions for 24 h until demoulding and kept for curing.

3. Tests Conducted

Compressive Strength
The cube specimens were tested on compression testing machine of capacity 200 Tonnes. The bearing surface of the machine was wiped off clean and any loose sand or other material removed from the surface of the specimen the load applied was increased continuously at a constant rate until the resistance of the specimen to the increasing load breaks down and no longer can be sustained. The maximum load applied on the specimen was recorded. The compressive strength results for specimens at 28days, 56days and 90days were tabulated and compared.

Water Absorption
Water absorption test was done as per ASTM C140-11a. The test specimens were immersed in water at a temperature of 60 to 80°F (15.6 to 26.7°C) for 24 to 28 h such that the top surfaces of the specimens are no less than 6 in. (152 mm) below the surface of the water. Specimens were separated from each other and from the bottom of the immersion tank by at least 1/8 in. (3.1 mm). The cube was removed from the water and allowed to drain for 60 to 65 s by placing them on a 3/8-in. (9.5-mm) or coarser wire mesh, visible surface water was removed with a damp cloth; weighed and recorded as WS (saturated weight). Specimens
were dried in a ventilated oven at 212 to 239°F (100 to 115°C) for not less than 24 h and dried specimens weight was noted as Wd (oven-dry weight).

The percentage of absorption = \( \frac{W_s - W_d}{W_d} \times 100\% \)

Where Ws = saturated weight of specimen (kg), and Wd = oven-dry weight of specimen (kg).

4. Results and Discussion

Compressive Strength
Fig. 2 and Table-1 shows the details of Compressive Strength of conventional concrete with and without Borosilicate Glass Powder Replacements. There is no much deviation in compressive strength. About 20% of borosilicate glass powder replacement shows optimum results. Beyond 20% replacement, Compressive Strength starts decreasing.

Table 1: Compressive Strength of Cubes after 28 days of Curing

| 28 days Comp. Strength | Conventional (Mpa) | R 5 % (Mpa) | R 10 % (Mpa) | R 15 % (Mpa) | R 20 % (Mpa) |
|------------------------|--------------------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Trial 1                | 32                 | 31         | 30           | 30           | 30           |
| Trial 2                | 31                 | 30.25      | 32           | 31           | 29           |
| Trial 3                | 30                 | 31.8       | 31           | 30           | 30           |
| Average                | 31                 | 31.01      | 31           | 30.33        | 30           |

Water Absorption
Table-8 shows the details of the Water Absorption capacity of CC and R 20 %. The rate of Water Absorption increases on addition of Borosilicate Glass Powder but they are very much less than that of the maximum permissible limit of 12%.

Table 8: Comparison between the percentages of Water Absorption of CC and R 20 % Cubes

| Saturated Weight (g) | Dry Weight (g) | % of Water Absorption | Average % of Water Absorption |
|----------------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| CC                   | 8.915         | 8.815                 | 1.134                        | 0.97%                        |
|                      | 8.8           | 8.715                 | 0.975                        |                             |
|                      | 8.835         | 8.765                 | 0.799                        |                             |
| R 20 %               | 8.915         | 8.69                  | 2.589                        | 2.54%                        |
|                      | 8.955         | 8.79                  | 1.877                        |                             |
|                      | 8.975         | 8.7                   | 3.161                        |                             |

5. Conclusion

Based on the experimental investigations, the following conclusions have been drawn:

- The Compressive strength doesn’t vary much up to 20% replacement of cement with Borosilicate Glass Powder.
- Beyond 20% replacement, compressive strength starts decreasing.
- The water Absorption capacity of R 20% is more when compared to CC but both are less than the maximum limit of 12%.
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