NON-DETECTION OF PREVIOUSLY REPORTED TRANSITS OF HD 97658b WITH MOST$^a$ PHOTOMETRY
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ABSTRACT

The radial velocity-discovered exoplanet HD 97658b was recently announced to transit, with a derived planetary radius of $2.93 \pm 0.28 \, R_\oplus$. As a transiting super-Earth orbiting a bright star, this planet would make an attractive candidate for additional observations, including studies of its atmospheric properties. We present and analyze follow-up photometric observations of the HD 97658 system acquired with the Microvariability and Oscillations of STars (MOST) space telescope. Our results show no transit with the depth and ephemeris reported in the announcement paper. For the same ephemeris, we rule out transits for a planet with radius larger than 2.09 $R_\oplus$, corresponding to the reported 3$\sigma$ lower limit. We also report new radial velocity measurements which continue to support the existence of an exoplanet with a period of 9.5 days, and obtain improved orbital parameters.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Known transiting super-Earth exoplanets around relatively bright ($V < 10$) stars are still scarce. The first to be announced was 55 Cnc e (Winn et al. 2011; Demory et al. 2011), and more recently transits of HD 97658b were reported by Henry et al. (2011).

HD 97658b was discovered through Keck High Resolution Echelle Spectrometer (HIRES) radial velocity (RV) measurements (Howard et al. 2011). This planet orbits a K1 dwarf ($V \sim 7.8$) with a period of $9.494 \pm 0.005$ days. Its low discovery minimum mass ($m \sin i \sim 8.2 \pm 1.2 \, M_\oplus$; Howard et al. 2011) suggests a potential super-Earth.

The system was observed near mid-transit times predicted by the RV ephemeris with two Automated Photometric Telescopes (APTs; Henry et al. 2011, hereafter H11). Four partial transit windows were covered, each two planetary orbital cycles apart (since the orbital period is almost exactly 9.5 days, so if one window occurs at night for a given observing site, the next is during daytime). The individual light curves suggested the presence of transits, and the signal persisted when the photometry was phased with the period predicted from the RV ephemeris. Measurements obtained during nights predicted to be out of transit were consistent with a constant flux model, supporting the apparent transit detection. The feature identified as a transit had a depth of $1470 \pm 260$ ppm, corresponding to a $2.93 \pm 0.28 \, R_\oplus$ planet with an orbital inclination of $90 \pm 1^\circ$. These values would mean a relatively low density ($1.40^{+0.53}_{-0.36}$ g cm$^{-3}$) super-Earth.

Given the brightness of its host star, a transiting HD 97658b would be an ideal candidate for follow-up observations aiming to improve the accuracy and precision of the parameters of both the planet and its host star. In addition, these characteristics together with a potentially large atmospheric scale height would make it suitable for detailed atmospheric studies within reach of current space-based and large ground-based facilities, which would contribute to the much needed insight into the physical properties of super-Earth exoplanets.

Motivated by these stakes, we acquired space-based photometry of HD 97658 to better characterize the transit. In this Letter, we report and analyze this photometry as well as 73 new unpublished Keck RV measurements of the star. Though the RVs continue to confirm the existence of the planet, the results of our photometric analysis show there is no transit with the previously reported depth and mid-transit times. We note that G. Henry has acquired new APT photometry of the HD 97658 system during the 2012 observing season, and these observations have also failed to confirm the previously reported transits.

2. MOST PHOTOMETRY

2.1. Observations

We used the MOST (Microvariability and Oscillations of STars) space telescope to obtain high-precision photometry of HD 97658. MOST (Walker et al. 2003; Matthews et al. 2004) is a micro-satellite which carries a 15 cm optical telescope feeding
a CCD photometer. It is in a Sun-synchronous polar orbit with a period of 101.4 minutes, and its custom broadband filter covers the optical spectrum from 350 to 700 nm.

HD 97658 was observed contiguously in Direct Imaging mode on 2012 February 25 for 11.8 hr and again on 2012 March 5 and 6 for 22.8 hr. The exposure time was 1.5 s, but the observations were stacked on board the satellite in groups of 21 for an integration time of 31.7 s per data point. The raw data was reduced using aperture photometry. The reduction pipeline (described in Rowe et al. 2008) corrects for cosmic ray hits and stray light from scattered Earthshine, which varies with the period of the satellite. During the February 25 observations, several sections of the light curve (the gaps in Figure 1) were removed due to high levels of cosmic ray flux caused by the passage of the satellite through the South Atlantic Anomaly (SAA), resulting in a final time series containing 1157 points. The final March 5 and 6 data set contains 2638 points. Both light curves are shown at the same scale in Figure 1.

The first light curve spans nearly the full 3σ transit window for HD 97658b predicted using the ephemeris from H11, (see the top panel of Figure 2) which is based on a joint analysis of the RVs and APT photometry. The second light curve covers a significantly longer window as shown in the middle panel of Figure 2, virtually eliminating the possibility that a transit was missed.

In Figure 1, we also show the predicted mid-transit times and boundaries of the 1σ, 2σ, and 3σ transit window based on the ephemeris obtained from the RVs alone. Our observations only cover up to about 45% of the RV-only 3σ transit window.

2.2. Analysis

The top and middle panels of Figure 2 show the binned photometry for the first and second MOST data sets. The bottom panel shows both time series phased with the orbital period of HD 97658b, then binned. The bin size was chosen to obtain approximately 20–25 in-transit points. The planetary and stellar radii derived in H11 are 2.93 $R_\oplus$ and 0.70 $R_\odot$, respectively.

We used these values and nonlinear limb darkening coefficients ($c_1 = 0.685$, $c_2 = -0.669$, $c_3 = 1.405$, $c_4 = -0.567$; A. Prsa, private communication) generated for the MOST bandpass assuming the stellar properties described in Howard et al. (2011; $T_{\text{eff}} = 5170 \pm 44$ K; $\log g = 4.63 \pm 0.06$; [Fe/H] = $-0.23 \pm 0.03$) to simulate the predicted transit signature in the MOST light curves. The transit models employed are those from Mandel & Agol (2002). We find an expected depth of 1920 ppm for a limb-darkened transit in the MOST bandpass.

To evaluate the sensitivity of the MOST photometry to transits of a planet with the reported transit parameters, we carried out a transit injection and recovery test. We injected artificial limb-darkened transits of three different depths, corresponding to planetary radii of 2.93, 2.65, and 2.09 $R_\oplus$, into the raw light curve. These values represent the radius, and the 1σ and 3σ lower limits on the radius derived by H11, respectively. For each radius, we injected transits at 100 phases randomly distributed within the 3σ transit window based on the H11 ephemeris. We then reduced the resulting 300 light curves (each consisting of the two MOST data sets of the HD 97658 system and thus including two artificial transits per combined light curve) as described in Section 2.1. The recovered transits were shallower by 12.6%, 11%, and 4% than the injected transits for 2.93, 2.65, and 2.09 $R_\oplus$ planetary radii, respectively. This suppression is due to the combined effect of the correlated noise present in the light curve and the data reduction procedure. The recovered transit depths corresponding to the 2.93, 2.65, and 2.09 $R_\oplus$ planetary radii had 1σ scatter of 16%, 20%, and 30%, respectively.
These values demonstrate that the precision of the MOST photometry allows us to verify the existence of a transit with the claimed depth, which we would have detected with 6.3σ significance. A transit with a depth corresponding to the 3σ lower limit on the reported value would have been detected with 3.3σ significance. However, even transits as shallow as this limit are clearly absent from the data, as can be seen in Figure 2. Incorporating the transit depth suppression described above, the solid, dashed, and dot-dashed lines correspond to the transit of a planet with radius of 2.93 (claimed value), 2.65 (1σ lower limit), and 2.09 R⊙ (3σ lower limit), respectively.

We note that our photometry is insufficient for a complete transit search of HD 97658b, since our observations cover less than half the RV-only 3σ transit window, as already mentioned in Section 2.1.

3. Keck-HIRES radial velocity measurements

Since the publication of Howard et al. (2011), we have measured the RV of HD 97658 for nearly two additional observing seasons (2010–2012) using Keck-HIRES. We used the same observing setup and modeling techniques as in Howard et al. (2011). The full set of 169 RVs and SHK measurements of the Ca II H & K lines (Isaacson & Fischer 2010) from 2005 to 2012 are listed in Table 1. The SHK measurements (indicators of stellar activity) are not correlated with the RVs, consistent with a planetary origin for the RV variation. Between late 2010 and early 2012 73 measurements were recorded after the submission of Howard et al. (2011), 21 of which were acquired after the submission of H11. The RV measurements in common differ slightly here because of modest re-weighting of spectral segments in the Doppler analysis.

The orbital solution derived from modeling the RVs in Table 1 is consistent with the single-planet models reported in Howard et al. (2011) and H11. A Lomb–Scargle periodogram (Figure 3, top panel) of the RVs shows a prominent peak at 9.493 days, consistent with those models. We fit the RVs with the partially linearized, least-squares fitting procedure described in Wright & Howard (2009), giving the best-fit solution shown in Figure 4.

To quantify the best-fit orbital solution, we used a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm (Ford 2005, 2006) and non-informative priors on other parameters. We excluded three outliers that were $>7$ m/s$^{-1}$ from the best-fit model that has a residual rms of 2.44 m/s$^{-1}$ with the remaining measurements. These outliers are included in Table 1 and their timestamps in BJD-2,440,000 are 14928.963, 15529.170, and 15556.136. The MCMC analysis gives an orbital period $P = 9.4930 \pm 0.0021$ days, predicted time of mid transit $T_c = 2455982.59 \pm 0.31$ (BJD; Eastman et al. 2010), eccentricity $e = 0.13_{-0.06}^{+0.10}$, longitude of pericenter $\omega = 120_{-67}^{+95}$ deg, velocity semi-amplitude $K = 2.86 \pm 0.27$ m/s$^{-1}$, and semimajor axis $a = 0.0797 \pm 0.0007$ AU. When combined with the stellar mass of 0.75 ± 0.02 M⊙ from H11, the minimum planet mass is $M_p \sin i = 7.7 \pm 0.7$ M⊕. Our estimates of $e \cos \omega = -0.02 \pm 0.10$ and $e \sin \omega = +0.07 \pm 0.09$ suggest that the planet’s eccentricity is consistent with zero. Indeed, the MCMC analysis excludes $e > 0.26$ with 95% confidence. The ephemeris based on this analysis (and not including any timing constraints from photometry) is more precise than, but consistent with, the ephemeris reported in Howard et al. (2011).

The new RVs strengthen the evidence for a planet with an orbital period of $\approx 9.5$ days, as shown visually in the bottom panel of Figure 3. The plot shows the Lomb–Scargle periodogram

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
BJD – 2,440,000 & Radial Velocity (m s$^{-1}$) & Uncertainty (m s$^{-1}$) & SHK \\
\hline
13398.04020 & 7.64 & 0.65 & 0.1970 \\
13748.03542 & 4.88 & 0.72 & 0.1900 \\
13806.96146 & 2.67 & 0.71 & 0.1870 \\
14085.15884 & -4.74 & 0.80 & 0.1785 \\
14246.87816 & -2.15 & 0.73 & 0.1760 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Radial Velocities and SHK Values for HD 97658}
\end{table}

(This table is available in its entirety in a machine-readable form in the online journal. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content.)
Figure 3. Top: Lomb-Scargle periodogram of all RV measurements of HD 97658. The tall peak near $P = 9.493$ days suggests a planet with that orbital period. Bottom: running periodogram power near the orbital period of $P \approx 9.493$ days as a function of time. Each dot represents the maximum periodogram power near 9.493 days for the set of RVs up to that point in time. Only periods within 1% of the best-fit orbital period of HD 97658 b were used to compute each maximum periodogram power value. The nearly monotonic rise confirms that the periodic RV signal was present throughout the observations and points to a dynamical origin, supporting the existence of the planet. The dashed lines at 2010.7 and 2011.6 correspond to the cutoff dates for the RVs included in Howard et al. (2011) and H11, respectively. The RVs gathered after H11 continue to provide additional support for the planet.

4. DISCUSSION

We do not find a transit of the planet HD 97658b with the parameters obtained by H11 in the MOST photometry. Our observations further allow us to rule out transits for planetary radii as small as 2.09 $R_\oplus$, corresponding to a density less than 4.96 g cm$^{-3}$, within the predicted 3σ transit window derived from the RVs and the APT photometry. Theoretically, it is still possible that the planet transits but is smaller and thus denser than these limits. For example, Kepler-10b (Batalha et al. 2011) and Corot-7b (Léger et al. 2009; Hatzes et al. 2011) are two super-Earth exoplanets with densities above 5.0 g cm$^{-3}$.

However, the depth of such a transit would not match that reported by H11 and thus it could not account for the transit-like feature they observed.

We consider the possibility that the planet could have been previously transiting, but dynamical perturbations have caused its trajectory to drift off the disk of the star. However, this is very unlikely to have occurred after only 29 orbital cycles (since the last transit feature reported based on the APT photometry), especially since there is no sign of an additional companion in the radial velocities. (We note that the peak at around 7 days in the top panel of Figure 3 is a monthly alias of HD 97658b.)

G. Henry made new APT observations of HD 97658 during the 2012 observing season in an attempt to obtain improved light curves of the transit. On five separate nights between 18 January and 17 March, he was unable to find transits at the times predicted by H11. Therefore, he withdrew the paper stating that “Additional observations are required to confirm or exclude transits of HD 97658b.” The 2011 APT observations were acquired well past opposition of HD 97658 and so were made at relatively high air mass (up to 2.0) and differential air mass (up to 0.15). Thus, small changes in extinction or small errors in the applied extinction coefficients (as small as 0.01 mag per air mass) could result in systematic errors in the observed differential magnitudes of 0.001 mag or so, matching the claimed depth of the transits.

We emphasize that the MOST photometry allows us to exclude transits to high confidence given the mid-transit time and uncertainties predicted from the H11 model based on both RV measurements and APT photometry. If we consider the ephemeris derived from the RV measurements alone, we can rule out transits only between 0.55σ and 3.6σ of the predicted transit center, for planets with radii larger than 2.09 $R_\oplus$.

The results presented in this Letter highlight the importance of independent follow-up observations, especially for a discovery as notable as that of a transiting super-Earth.
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