Study of the efficiency of conveyors of mining transport systems of mining complexes
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Abstract. The current stage of development of open-pit mining is characterized by mining operations at great depths. Increasing the depth of quarries leads to an increase in the volume and distance of transportation of overburden and ore. Therefore, the task of building energy-efficient transport systems, improving the efficiency of existing belt conveyors of mining transport systems is one of the most urgent tasks. The article examines the efficiency of belt conveyors in mining transport systems mining complexes. Various electric drive systems that can be used on conveyors are compared. We have studied the relative inclination that affects the efficiency of conveyors, as well as parameters related to energy consumption, such as the speed of the belt or the width of the belt, as well as design methods. Various methods of controlling adjustable and non-adjustable electric drives and drag distribution effects drag distribution depending on the flow, the dependence of the belt tilt speed range on the flow, the dependence of power on the flow and the influence of gravity, the energy consumption of the conveyor system is calculated for different traction forces.

1 Introduction

Currently, the development of the opencast mining method is characterized by mining at great depths. It is known that increasing the depth of quarries leads to an increase in the volume and range of transportation of rocks and ores. This leads to economic problems of development of deposits, which at the present stage of development take on special importance. It is known from the literature sources that when the depth of the quarry increases by 100 m, the expenses for transportation by dump trucks increase approximately in 1,5 times, and by 5 ... 6% by conveyors. [1].

2 Results and discussion

The task of building an energy-efficient transport system is reduced to justify the scheme of transportation of rock mass from the faces to the bunker, the calculation of freight flows, the choice of means of transport with the maximum possible efficiency, the
The definition of regime and operational parameters of transport means. The following empirical rule can be used for financial evaluation of energy saving measures (assumptions: continuous operation, electricity price for industrial consumers 0.12 €/kWh [2]):

$$\Delta K / \Delta P = 0.12 \, \epsilon / \text{kWh} \cdot 24 \text{h} \cdot 365 \approx 1 \, \text{sum} / \text{W per year}$$

A saving in power consumption of 1 kW approximates a saving of 1000 € per year.

Materials and methods research of various factors influencing efficiency

Increased performance under load is often cited as the main reason for increased power consumption by the drive system. Load increases are often considered the primary cause of increased power consumption in a drive system. Estimates of potential cost savings are made with known ratios for power consumption:

$$P_{\text{pump}} \sim Q \cdot H; \text{ or } P_{\text{conveyor}} \sim F \cdot V. \quad (1)$$

If the load increase of 20% were to increase both the load flow and the potential size of the load volume, this would lead to the creation of pumping and conveyor systems in accordance with (1 At the same time, electricity consumption would increase by 44%. This approach leads to the wrong result. An increase in productivity only changes the design and/or mode of operation of the system, the choice of system components, but not the actual load on the system.

However, an increase in productivity has a significant impact on energy consumption. The impact of oversized components through a 20% overload for ensuring productivity has been investigated using the results of calculations from design programs for the pump and conveyor drive systems.

The studies are based on belt conveyor calculations. Different drive systems are compared. Since the formulas have usually been determined empirically, the calculated absolute drag and consumption is an approximate solution. The relative slopes and energy consumption dependencies of parameters such as belt speed or belt width as well as the resulting design procedure are generally acceptable [3].

Table 1. Technical characteristics of the investigated conveyor drive system. The parameters for the simulation are given in Table 1.

| parameter                              | value                  |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Bulk density (mountain mass)           | $\rho=1750 \, \text{kg/m}^3$ |
| static tilt angle (rock mass)          | $\beta = 370 \, \text{°}$ |
| dynamic tilt angle (rock mass)         | $\beta_{\text{din}}=200 \, \text{°}$ |
| thick coat of cover                    | 3mm                    |
| Drum drive friction coefficient        | $\mu_{tr}=0.35$        |
| Stretch roll diameter                  | $d_R=22 \, \text{cm}$  |
| Inner diameter of the rolling bearing  | $d_i=5 \, \text{cm}$   |
| Angle of the trough                    | $\lambda=36 \, \text{°}$ |
| Distance between upper blank stations  | $l_0=1; \, 3 \, \text{m}$ |
| Distance between lower idle stations   | $l_0=2.5l_0$           |
| Feed speed                             | $v_0=0.5 \, \text{m/s}$ |

Depending on the type of the system under investigation, individual parameters of the conveyor system vary. Additional technical characteristics:
The definition of regime and operational parameters of transport means. The following empirical rule can be used for financial evaluation of energy saving measures (assumptions: continuous operation, electricity price for industrial consumers 0.12 €/kWh [2]):

\[ \Delta K / \Delta P = 0.12 \in \text{€/kWh} \times 24 \text{h} \times 365 \approx 1 \text{€/W per year} \]

A saving in power consumption of 1 kW approximates a saving of 1000 € per year.

Materials and methods research of various factors influencing efficiency. Increased performance under load is often cited as the main reason for increased power consumption by the drive system. Load increases are often considered the primary cause of increased power consumption in a drive system. Estimates of potential cost savings are made with known ratios for power consumption:

\[ P_{\text{pump}} \sim Q \times H; \text{ or } P_{\text{conveyor}} \sim F \times V. \]

If the load increase of 20% were to increase both the load flow and the potential size of the load volume, this would lead to the creation of pumping and conveyor systems in accordance with (1). At the same time, electricity consumption would increase by 44%. This approach leads to the wrong result. An increase in productivity only changes the design and/or mode of operation of the system, the choice of system components, but not the actual load on the system. However, an increase in productivity has a significant impact on energy consumption. The impact of oversize components through a 20% overload for ensuring productivity has been investigated using the results of calculations from design programs for the pump and conveyor drive systems. The studies are based on belt conveyor calculations. Different drive systems are compared. Since the formulas have usually been determined empirically, the calculated absolute drag and consumption is an approximate solution. The relative slopes and energy consumption dependencies of parameters such as belt speed or belt width as well as the resulting design procedure are generally acceptable [3].

Technical characteristics of the investigated conveyor drive system. The parameters for the simulation are given in Table 1.

* conveyor line If of the plant is 270 m
* the height of the H system is 2 m
* maximum jmax output is 3500 t/h
* the conveyor belt is a steel belt, has a width of 2 m and is filled to the maximum \( \phi_{\text{fill}} = 1 \)

Drives are accepted by default:
* Motor type: IE2 asynchronous motors
* Drive option 1 (one head drive without tail drive drum)

We study the influence of different methods of control and distribution of resistances. Calculated resistances are obtained depending on the selection of unregulated and adjustable actuators, as shown in Figures 1a and 1b. In the case of non-adjustable actuators, the filling degree is proportional to the capacity and the tape speed of the tape, remains constant (Fig. 2). In the case of variable speed electric drives with variable speed, the change of the belt speed (see Fig. 2), the filling ratio was kept constant even when the speed was reduced [4].

| Networking   | Speed regulation |
|--------------|------------------|
| $F_{\text{St}}$ | FST ... Tilt resistance   |
| $F_{\text{SF}}$ | FSF ... resonant bending resistance |
| $F_{\text{E}}$  | FE ... rolling pressure resistance |
| $F_{\text{R}}$  | FR ... Rolling resistance   |
| $F_{\text{n}}$  | Fn ... added resistance    |

Fig. 1: Calculated resistance distribution F depending on the performance J.
Fig. 2. Calculated slope of the belt speed range of the tape, depending on the capacity $J$

Based on the power distribution, the power distribution for the unregulated and variable speed drive is calculated as shown in Figures 3a and 3b. In figure 3a and 3b. 3a shows the resulting power consumption for the unregulated and the variable speed actuator in Fig. 1a and 1b. When the power consumption of the power supply strictly connected to the mains decreases, in the case of the variable speed drive (speed control) there are additional losses of the transducer and harmonic losses in the motor. Thus, the further the unit is operated in the partial load range, the more efficient its use with the variable speed drive will be.

Fig. 3. Calculated power distribution $P$ as a function of capacity $J$

Drive efficiency $\eta_{pr}$ is based on the product of a gearbox, motor and inverter and always smaller with control speed than with the network mode, as shown in the figure. 4b. Nevertheless, the required capacity of the conveyor belt drive when using a frequency-controlled electric drive by the equation of

$$P_{\text{max}} = F_{\text{nor}} (J, H) \cdot v(J, H)$$

(2)
in the range of partial loads less. This reduces the partial load range despite the lower drive efficiency of the mains power and therefore the energy consumption (see Fig. 4 a). [5,6] /

1. Network operation mode; NM: 1
2. Speed control; NM: 1

Fig. 4. Comparison of non-adjustable operation and speed control with one actuator NM = 1 drum

**Influence of ribbon width**

The belt width has a direct influence on the possible filling cross section. Reducing the cross-section of the belt requires an increase in belt speed and therefore an increase in energy consumption at the same output. As shown in the figure. 5, this results in an increase in belt width and a reduction in energy consumption. The energy saving potential in this example, if the belt width is doubled, is about 40%. However, the investment cost of the belt also increases proportionally to the belt width, so that an optimal cost-optimal belt width must be estimated based on the depreciation calculation.

Fig. 5. Calculated energy consumption $P_{el}$ with different belt widths

**Effect of Tension Force**

In the literature [7], the minimum possible belt tension force is selected as the belt tension force. In this case, the pulling force does not slide between the drum and the belt according to the Euler-Ethelwein formula.
This minimum tension force is about 50 kN in the investigated example and the corresponding distribution of forces is shown in the figure. A tension force of 100 kN results in a reduction of the sag and thus an increased bending resistance, as shown in figure 6a. 6b. In the same way, the number of roller stations required, which reduces the rolling resistance. However, the tilt resistance is increased by increasing the weight per roller station and the bearing and belt wear is increased.

![Diagram of distributed forces](image)

**Fig. 6.** Calculated distribution of resistance F for different tension forces.

Fig. 7 compares the power consumption from the network with the minimum and increased belt tension. 7 a. The higher the tension force always results in lower power consumption. The calculation of the energy consumption for the different belt tension forces is shown in Fig. 6. In this example, the power consumption is about 3 to 4 times the tensile force (relative to the minimum tensile force). However, the choice of tension force must be based on the mechanical stress of the belt and its design. Too high a tension force therefore leads to belt heavier loads. Again, these heavy belts result in increased energy consumption with very high tension forces. Thus, there is an energetically optimized tension force, which for this calculation example has an energy saving potential of approx. 25%.

![Comparison of installations and power consumption](image)

a) comparison of installations, b) power consumption
This minimum tension force is about 50 kN in the investigated example and the corresponding distribution of forces is shown in the figure. 6 а. A tension force of 100 kN results in a reduction of the sag and thus an increased bending resistance, as shown in figure 6а. 6б. In the same way, the number of roller stations required, which reduces the rolling resistance. However, the tilt resistance is increased by increasing the weight per roller station and the bearing and belt wear is increased.

\[ \text{Speed control } F_{sp} = 50 \text{ kN} \]

\[ \text{Speed control } F_{sp} = 100 \text{ kN} \]

Fig. 7. Comparison of the calculated energy consumption of rahl for conveyor systems with different tension forces.

**Influence of tilt angle**

The multi-element conveyor system moves the material over several inclined sections with conveyor length \( l_F \) and conveyor height \( H \), shown in Fig. 8. For two sections, the length of the conveyor belt \( l_b \) is determined by the sum of the following values: length \( l_1 \) of the horizontal conveyor section and length \( l_2 \) of the inclined conveyor section. The maximum angle \( \delta_{\text{max}} \) determines the position of the inclination point between the two sections.

![Side view of the inclined belt conveyor with two inclined sections](image)

**Fig. 8.** Side view of the inclined belt conveyor with two inclined sections]

The dependence of the system power consumption on the maximum angle of slope is shown in Fig. 9. A large tilt angle (e.g. by increasing \( l_1 \) and shortening \( l_2 \)) reduces the usable filling cross-section of the entire belt by "melting" the bulk material. This results in an increase in belt speed for the same load volume. The smallest possible slope angle results in minimum energy consumption [8]. Therefore, we recommend a linear connection with a low gradient, with an energy saving potential of approx. 10 %.

![Calculated energy consumption as a function of tilt angle](image)

**Fig. 9.** Calculated energy consumption as a function of tilt angle

Influence of starting torque on engine selection

The starting torque of conveyor systems is estimated from the maximum starting force.

\[ F_{\text{HR}} = 1.5 F_{\text{HRN}} \quad (4) \]
According to (4), the required starting torque must be 1.5 times higher than the continuous torque for the rated operation of the conveyor system. Therefore, when designing the motor, the torque must be greater than the required torque during rated operation to avoid excessive motor size. However, most motors are designed for limited time overload, which can be used to obtain the required starting torque. Most synchronous motors excited by permanent magnets can run for a short time with approximately 4 times the rated torque. In asynchronous motors with starting torque up to \( \frac{m_{anf}}{m_N} = 3 \ldots 4 \) in both variants, you must check whether the frequency inverter is running and can provide inrush current. For asynchronous motors operating in the fieldbus, the possible starting torque is lower, but most standard motors have a gear ratio of \( \frac{m_{anf}}{m_N} = 2 \ldots 2.5 \) or higher. The high starting torque is achieved by using the current displacement effect in the rotor winding. [9, 10].

Since a starting ratio of 1.3 to 1.6 is usually sufficient for conveyor systems, all the drive configurations mentioned above are suitable for starting a conveyor system. Separation of the motor design for increased starting torque is therefore not necessary (except for very frequent starting processes).

### 3 Conclusions

Thus, this selection system is used for conveyor belt systems and shows high energy saving potentials up to 40% for transport applications. For the analysis of energy-saving potentials in these complex conveying systems, all subsystems from the workflow to the conveying system and the drive are used. It is suitable for conveyor systems that eliminate safety factors for the load type and save both energy and investment costs.
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