Silence of HDAC6 suppressed esophageal squamous cell carcinoma proliferation and migration by disrupting chaperone function of HSP90
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Abstract
Esophageal carcinoma is aggressive in nature and its prognosis is largely dependent on the degree of invasion. Histone deacetylase 6 (HDAC6), as the most unique member of HDACs family, has the positive activity to promote initiation and progression of various cancers via targeting multiple non-histone proteins in cytoplasm. In this study, we found that HDAC6 was over-expressed in three esophageal cancer cell lines (KYSE140, KYSE170, KYSE180) when compared to non-carcinoma esophageal epithelial cell HEEC-1. Then two HDAC6 specific siRNAs and HDAC6 inhibitor tubastatin A greatly suppressed KYSE140 and KYSE180 cells proliferation and migration, and the inhibition of cell motility was accompanied by elevated acetylation of α-tubulin, a target of HDAC6. Consistently, the microtubulin skeleton was stabilized after HDAC6 knockdown or inhibition. In addition, acetylation status of HSP90, another HDAC6 target, was also increased towards HDAC6 knockdown or inhibition by co-immunoprecipitation assay. Besides, co-treatment of HSP90 inhibitor (PU-H71) and HDAC6 inhibitor (tubastatin A) greatly suppressed KYSE140 and KYSE180 cells proliferation and migration, and the inhibition of cell motility was accompanied by elevated acetylation of α-tubulin, a target of HDAC6. Consistently, the microtubulin skeleton was stabilized after HDAC6 knockdown or inhibition. In addition, acetylation status of HSP90, another HDAC6 target, was also increased towards HDAC6 knockdown or inhibition by co-immunoprecipitation assay. Besides, co-treatment of HSP90 inhibitor (PU-H71) and HDAC6 inhibitor (tubastatin A) induced a stronger cell migration inhibition compared to administration of either drug alone. Furthermore, cell proliferation of KYSE140 and KYSE180 were also compromised in response to combination of HDAC6 and HSP90 inhibitors. Additionally, co-administration of HSP90 inhibitor and HDAC6 inhibitor strongly inhibited tumor growth in vivo. Taken together, our results indicated that HDAC6 is a promising target by inhibiting HSP90 function in ESCC.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Esophageal carcinoma is one of the most common cancer types worldwide. It can be categorized into two main types, including adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma due to different etiology and epidemiology. In all esophageal cancer cases, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) accounts for more than 90% cases. Although clinical therapy...
has greatly provided benefit to esophageal carcinoma patients such as chemotherapy, surgery, the outcome is still unsatisfactory. The poor prognosis of ESCC is largely due to invasion and metastases of ESCC to adjacent tissue and distant organs. Therefore, understanding the molecular mechanism behind its strong invasion and metastasis ability is necessary to develop effective therapeutic approach and improve clinical outcome for ESCC patients.

HDAC6 is a member of HDACs with different molecular features and functions from other family members. Unlike nuclear location of other HDAC family members, HDAC6 is a unique deacetylase for its cytoplasm localization and ability to deacetylate proteins other than histone. Overexpression of HDAC6 was reported to be associated with cancer cell migration and invasion through deacetylating its substrate in several cancer types. In bladder cancer cells, HDAC6 promoted cell metastasis by targeting cortactin. In breast cancer cell line MCF7, HDAC6 could deacetylate α-tubulin to drive cell migration. However, the role of HDAC6 in ESCC remains largely unknown.

HSP90 serves as a molecular chaperone that is crucial for the stability and function of numerous proteins to maintain cellular protein homeostasis and cell survival. Likewise, during oncogenesis, HSP90 is crucial for the stability and function of multiple oncogenic proteins that are indispensable for tumor development. In esophageal carcinoma, over-expression of HSP90 was observed in ESCC epithelium compared to normal epithelium, and inhibition of HSP90 by its inhibitor 17-AAG could decrease proliferation of esophageal cancer cell in vitro. HSP90 is a substrate of HDAC6, inactivation or knockdown of HDAC6 leads to HSP90 hyper-acetylation and loss of HSP90 chaperone activity. In human leukemia cells, combination inhibition of HDAC6 and HSP90 show synergistic effect in anticancer activity. Thus, drugging HSP90-HDAC6 may be a promising strategy in esophageal cancer.

In this study, we found that HDAC6 was highly expressed in ESCC cells compared to non-carcinoma esophageal epithelial cell HEEC. Inhibition or knockdown of HDAC6 could greatly inhibited cell proliferation and cell motility in ESCC cell KYSE140 and KYSE180, which may be correlated to an increase of acetylation of α-tubulin. In addition, acetylation level of HSP90 was also increased in response to HDAC6 inhibition, which may indicated that inhibition of HDAC6 could suppress ESCC proliferation and migration by disrupting chaperone function of HSP90. Further, ESCC cells treated with HDAC6 inhibitor, HSP90 inhibitor triggered a significant decrease of cell proliferation and migration. Importantly, co-administration of HDAC6 inhibitor and HSP90 inhibitor dramatically inhibited tumor growth in vivo. Taken together, these data indicated that a role of HDAC6 in ESCC proliferation and migration by disrupting HSP90 and providing new strategy for ESCC treatment.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Cell culture and reagent

ESCC cell lines (KYSE140, KYSE170, KYSE180) were purchased from DSMZ, the German Resource Center for Biological Material. Non-carcinoma esophageal epithelial cell line (HEEC) was obtained from ScienCell Research Laboratories. Non-carcinoma esophageal epithelial cell line (HEEC) was maintained in keratinocyte serum-free medium (Invitrogen) containing 2.5 µg of epidermal growth factor (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and 25 µg of bovine pituitary extract (Invitrogen). ESCC cell lines were cultured in RPMI-1640 (Wisent) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (HyClone, Logan, UT). All cells were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2.

2.2 | Gene silencing with siRNAs

Cells were transfected with non-targeting negative control siRNA (Dharmacon, Lafayette, CO) or HDAC6 siRNA1 or HDAC6 siRNA2 (Dharmacon) using Lipofectamine 2000 according to manufacturer’s protocol. The cells were maintained for 72 h and then subjected to protein extraction.

2.3 | Quantitative real time (qRT)-PCR

For qRT-PCR, total RNA of cell samples were prepared using RNeasy kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to manufacturer’s protocol. Reverse transcription of RNA was done with PrimeScript RT reagent kit (TaKaRa, Otsu, Shiga). The qRT-PCR was performed on a Bio-Rad CFX96 Real-Time PCR System (Bio-rad, Hercules, CA) and normalized to internal control GAPDH. The primer sequences were as follow: GAPDH Forward: ATATGACGATCTCCCTATGC, GAPDH Reverse: TGAGTCGAGCCTGGTCGTCA, HDAC6 Forward: TGGCAT CCCAGAACTGATGA, Reverse: CAATGGTGTCTACCGGGCT.

2.4 | Western blot

Acetylated-α-tubulin, α-tubulin and GAPDH antibodies were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Anti-HDAC6, anti-acetylated-Lysine and anti-HSP90 antibody were bought from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA). Anti-mouse and anti-rabbit secondary antibodies were obtained from Proteintech (Chicago, IL). Protein lysates were prepared using RIPA lysis buffer. Lysates were then subjected to SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to PVDF membranes. After blocking with non-fat milk, blots were incubated overnight at 4°C with indicated antibodies. On the next day, membranes were washed and incubated 1 h at room...
emperature with corresponding secondary antibody. For protein detection, membranes were developed with Super-Signal West Femto Maximum Sensitivity Substrate (Pierce, Rockford, IL) and the Gel-Pro Analyzer 6.0 software was applied for image analysis.

2.5 | Immunofluorescence

For immunofluorescence, cells were plated on glass slides in 24-well plate. On the next day, 1 µM PU-H71 or vehicle was added to cultured cells for 24 h. After that, cells were incubated on ice for 20 min to induce microtubule depolymerization and then fixed with 4% PFA, and then incubated with 0.5% triton X-100 for 10 min. The coverslips were blocked with 2% (w/v) BSA/PBS for 30 min and then incubated with α-tubulin antibody for 2 h. After washing with PBS for three times, the cells were incubated with Alexa Fluor 488 goat-anti-rabbit antibody for additional 2 h. The coverslips were mounted using the mounting media (Vector Laboratories, Cambridgeshire, UK) on glass slides. Cells were examined using Nikon Eclipse TE2000-U fluorescence microscope.

2.6 | Cell proliferation assay

The cell proliferation of indicated cells was realized using CCK-8 kit (Dojindo Laboratories, Kumamoto, Japan). Briefly, cells were plated to 96-well plate, on the next day, vehicle or indicated concentration of drug was added into wells. Every 24 h, 10 µL CCK-8 solution was added into each well in 96-well plate and sustained for 2 h, the absorbance at 450 nm was measured to reflect cell number.

2.7 | Cell migration assay

Transwell assay (Transwell®, Corning Life Sciences, membrane pore size: 3.0 µm) was adopted to determine cell migration. Cells (2.5 × 10^5 cells/mL) were suspended in serum-free RPMI-1640. The suspension was seeded in the upper chamber, while the bottom wells were added with 2 mL RPMI-1640, 10% (v/v) FBS, penicillin (100 mg/mL), and streptomycin (10 mg/mL). Wells were incubated at 37°C. After the removal of medium, cells were fixed by formaldehyde for 2 min at room temperature; permeabilized with polyoxyethylene for 20 min at room temperature; stained with crystal violet for 15 min at room temperature; last, washed with PBS. Non-migrated cells were removed by cotton swabs, while migrated cells were counted via a phase contrast microscope (Motic AE21, Seneco Srl, Italy). Membranes were photographed, images were captured by Visicam 3.0 and analyzed by VisiCam Image Analyzer, version 6.1.3.3 (VWR International Srl, Milano, Italy).

2.8 | Co-immunoprecipitation assay

For co-immunoprecipitation assay, cells were lysed in lysis buffer. After centrifugation, cell extracts were incubated overnight with primary antibodies conjugated to protein G agarose beads. After stringent washing, proteins associated with the beads were eluted and resolved on SDS-PAGE, which was followed by Western blotting.

2.9 | In vivo tumorigenesis in nude mice

Mice were obtained from Shang hai Jiesijie Laboratory Animal Center (Shanghai, China). Animal experiment was approved by the Animal Experiments Committee of Soochow University. 2 × 10^6 KYSE140 cells were injected into inguinal folds of mice. After two weeks of tumor growth, animals were treated with PU-H71 (75 mg/kg/dose three times a week, i.p.) or Tubastatin A (50 mg/kg/dose three times a week, i.p.) or combination of PU-H71 and Tubastatin A or vehicle. The mice were examined daily and tumors were measured with a caliper. After 21 days, mice were sacrificed and their tumors were removed for analysis.

2.10 | Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

Tumor were freshly isolated and fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin and then embedded in paraffin wax. Tumor sections with a thickness of 4 µm were mounted onto slides. Slides were deparaffinized with xylene, rehydrated with ethanol, and incubated with H2O2 at 37°C for 10 min. Following blocking using 1.5% normal goat serum at 37°C for 20 min, sections were incubated with biotin-conjugated goat-anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G secondary antibody (diluted with 3% bovine serum albumin/PBS) at 37°C for 30 min. The sections were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated streptavidin at 37°C for 30 min. 3, 3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB) was used as chromogenic agent. Images were obtained using a fluorescence microscope (FSX100; Olympus, Southend-on-Sea, UK).

2.11 | Statistical analysis

Graphpad Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA) was used to analyze all data for data significance. The data were represented as means ± SD (N = 3). The differences between two groups were statistically analyzed using student's t-test. One-way analysis of variance was applied to compare difference between multiple groups. A P-value <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.
3 | RESULTS

3.1 | HDAC6 is overexpressed in ESCC cell lines

To evaluate HDAC6 as a potential target for therapy in ESCC, protein expression of HDAC6 in human ESCC cell lines were explored. The result indicated that expression of HDAC6 dramatically up-regulated in three ESCC cell lines (KYSE140, KYSE170, and KYSE180) compared to human esophageal epithelial cell line (HEEC-1), especially for KYSE140 and KYSE180 cells (Figure 1). Further, we selected KYSE140 and KYSE180 cells to investigate the role of HDAC6 in ESCC.

3.2 | HDAC6 contributes to ESCC cell proliferation and motility

The high expression of HDAC6 suggested it might be a driver for ESCC cells, so we next investigated the role of HDAC6 in cell proliferation by performing specific inhibition using siRNAs. In both KYSE140 and KYSE180 cells, siRNA transfection successfully inhibited HDAC6 expression (Figures 2A and 2B) by qRT-PCR and Western blot analysis, and HDAC6 silencing dramatically inhibited cell proliferation (Figure 2C). Considering HDAC6 was reported to control cell motility, we analyzed cell migration ability. Indeed, HDAC6 silencing greatly reduced cell number invaded through matrigel indicating its role in regulating cell motility capacity (Figure 2D). For further confirmation,
Tubastatin A, a kind of HDAC6 specific inhibitor, was applied. Consistently, Tubastatin A administration had positive inhibitory activity on cell proliferation in both KYSE140 and KYSE180 cells (Figure 2E), and evoked a sharp decrease of cell migration in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 2F).

3.3 α-tubulin is essential for HDAC6 regulated ESCC cell motility

α-tubulin is one target of HDAC6 and has been reported to be related to cell motility. In KYSE140 and KYSE180 cells, Tubastatin A, and HDAC6 siRNAs triggered an increase of acetylation of α-tubulin in a dose dependent manner (Figures 3A and 3B). Acetylation of α-tubulin altered cytoskeleton dynamics and thus changed cell behavior. After cold-induced microtubule disrupting, the microtubule was disassembled and can hardly be observed in KYSE140 and KYSE180. In contrast, much more microtubules were detected in Tubastatin A-treated cells compared with control group, indicating an increased stability of the microtubule cytoskeleton (Figures 3C and 3D). The data indicated that HDAC6 regulated ESCC cell motility through targeting α-tubulin.

3.4 HDAC6 regulated acetylation of HSP90

As acknowledged, HDAC6 could regulate the activity of HSP90. Herein, we found Tubastatin A and HDAC6 siRNA triggered an increase of acetylation of HSP90 in both KYSE140 and KYSE180 cells by co-immunoprecipitation assay (Figure 4). As a chaperone, HSP90 regulates stability of multiple proteins to facilitate development of cancer. EGFR is a client protein of HSP90 and highly

**FIGURE 3** α-tubulin is essential for HDAC6 regulated ESCC cell motility. In KYSE140 and KYSE180, Tubastatin A (A) and HDAC6 siRNA (B) triggered an increase of acetylation of α-tubulin in a dose dependent manner. C and D, Much more microtubules were detected in Tubastatin A-treated cells than in control group in KYSE140.
expressed in the majority of ESCC cells. EGFR signaling through PI3K-AKT and MAPK-ERK to exert its oncogene function. In KYSE140 and KYSE180 cells, a kind of HSP90 inhibitor, induced a decrease of EGFR protein level. Correspondingly, phosphorylation level of AKT and ERK were also decreased in PU-H71-treated cells compared with control group (Figures 5A and 5B). In consistent with results of HSP90 inhibition, Tubastatin A treatment also evoked reduced protein level of EGFR, phospho-AKT, and phospho-ERK but to a less degree (Figures 5C and 5D).

3.5 Targeting HSP90-HDAC6 is effective in ESCC cells

Combination of HSP90 and HDAC6 inhibition was proved to be promising in several cancer types. In KYSE140 and KYSE180 cells, combination of Tubastatin A and PU-H71 significantly reduced cell proliferation and migration in comparison with treatment of either inhibitor alone (Figures 6A and 6B). Consistently, cells treated with both Tubastatin A and PU-H71 exhibited lowest protein level of EGFR, phospho-AKT, and phospho-ERK in comparison with Tubastatin A or PU-H71 treatment alone (Figure 6C).

3.6 Targeting HSP90-HDAC6 is a promising strategy for ESCC in vivo

Our data suggested that combination inhibition of HSP90 and HDAC6 might be effective for ESCC treatment, further, this hypothesis was confirmed in vivo model. The tumor growth of KYSE140 cells was significantly suppressed in all groups treated with inhibitors compared to control group. However, remarkable tumor inhibitory effect was only observed in mice treated with both Tubastatin A and PU-H71 (Figures 7A and 7B). Consistently, the mice treat with Tubastatin A and PU-H71 exhibited lowest protein level of EGFR, phospho-AKT, and phospho-ERK in comparison with Tubastatin A or PU-H71 treatment alone in tumors (Figure 7C). In addition, the IHC assay indicated that co-treatment with Tubastatin A and PU-H71 significantly inhibited the expression of EGFR in tumors (Figure 7D).

4 DISCUSSION

Traditional therapeutic strategies for the treatment of esophageal cancer include surgery coupled with radiotherapy and chemotherapy. However, chemo/radio-resistance often leads to treatment failure and poor prognosis in many patients. In addition, high incidence of metastasis to node lymph and distant organ threatens patient's life to a large extent. Fortunately, many targets have been defined and molecular therapies have rapidly been developed in recent years, several of them has been preclinical studied and found to be effective. However, due to heterogeneity of esophageal cancer, the already discovered targets could not met drug development needs and further investigation was necessary.

HDACs contribute to process of carcinogenesis of various types. In esophageal cancer, high expression of HDAC1 was detected in ESCC samples especially in the carcinoma invaded into the deeper layers of the esophageal wall.
Application of Valproic acid, a class I HDAC inhibitor, enhanced sensitivity of ESCC cells toward radiotherapy. The expression and function of HDAC6 in esophageal cancer has not been studied yet. In the present study, we found that HDAC6 was overexpressed in esophageal cancer cells. HDAC6 deacetylated α-tubulin to promote ESCC cell migration. Additionally, HDAC6 also support ESCC cell growth by targeting HSP90.

The importance of HSP90 in process of carcinogenesis has been established for many years. Early IHC results shown that HSP90 expressed in esophageal tumors, whereas normal esophageal epithelium expressed no or very low levels of the protein. Considering HDAC6 is a client protein of HSP90, it might explain its high expression in esophageal cancer especially ESCC. The study also demonstrated that treatment of 17-AAG, a HSP90 inhibitor, reduced cell proliferation, and increase radiosensitivity in two esophageal cancer cell lines. In our cell lines tested, esophageal cancer cells are not so sensitive towards HSP90 inhibition as been reported in KYSE70 and KYSE450. This might be due to different background of cell lines and different inhibitors used to inhibit HSP90 activity.

Combination inhibition of HSP90-HDAC6 interplay has been considered as an attractive strategy and achieved preclinical progress in human leukemia and breast cancer. HDAC6 and HSP90 regulate each other reciprocally in many aspects. On one hand, HDAC6 could deacetylate HSP90 and thus stabilized many oncogenic proteins, inhibition of HDAC6 strengthens the binding of HSP90 to 17-AAG; on the other hand, HDAC6 itself is a client protein of HSP90 and its activity is upregulated by EGFR, another client protein of HSP90. We found that co-administration of Tubastatin A and PU-H71 greatly suppressed both proliferation and migration in ESCC cells examined. Additionally, the tumor growth in nude mice was also decreased upon combination treatment of Tubastatin A and PU-H71. Protein level of EGFR, phospho-AKT, and phospho-ERK were downregulated toward PU-H71 or Tubastatin A treatment and to a large extent towards combination treatment of PU-H71 and PU-H71.
and Tubastatin A. These data validated that targeting HSP90-HDAC6 interplay was also feasible in esophageal cancer.

In conclusion, our results suggested that HDAC6 promoted ESCC cell motility and represented a novel target by regulating HSP90 in ESCC. Moreover, combination inhibition of HSP90 and HDAC6 could effectively inhibit ESCC cell proliferation and migration and thus might be a promising therapeutic strategy for ESCC patients.

**FIGURE 6** Targeting HSP90-HDAC6 is effective in ESCC cells. A, In KYSE140 and KYSE180, combination of Tubastatin A and PU-H71 significantly reduced cell viability in comparison with treatment of either inhibitor alone. B, Tubastatin A and PU-H71/Tubastatin A evoked a sharp decrease of cell migration. C, Cells treated with Tubastatin A and PU-H71 exhibited lowest protein level of EGFR, phospho-AKT and phospho-ERK in comparison with Tubastatin A or PU-H71 treatment alone. (*P < 0.05 vs the control group, #P < 0.05 vs the drug treatment group)
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