THE INFLUENCE OF HPC-L AND EUDRAGIT L30 D-55 ON DELAYED RELEASE OMEPRAZOLE MAGNESIUM MULTIPLE-UNIT PELLET SYSTEM
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INTRODUCTION

Therapeutically, omeprazole, a typical proton pump inhibitor, has an irritant effect in the gastric environment and is unstable at the gastric pH. To overcome this, enteric coating is required for active content to provide its proper therapeutic effect and pharmacological action. The proton pump is highly unstable at acidic pH of gastric environment and stable at intestine pH ≥ 5.5, so enteric coated tablets or pellets are prepared for the delayed release action [1,2].

Several excellent reviews have provided different types of polymer coatings on drugs and use of plasticizers, stabilizers, solubilizers, film formers, and different approaches to overcome the stability problems and storage conditions. Polymers such as ethyl cellulose, cellulose acetate phthalate, hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC) phthalate, and methyl/ethyl acrylic acid copolymers (Eudragit series) were used as enteric film coatings for proton pump inhibitors. However, these polymers greatly influence the drug stability and bioavailability due to the interaction of the free carboxyl groups in enteric polymers and the drug. Among the polymers, Eudragit L 30D was the most widely used anionic copolymer in pharma industry. It is easily redispersed into aqueous as well as organic media [7]. The objective of the present study is to formulate and evaluate omeprazole magnesium delayed release pellets filled capsules using drug layering technique with fluid bed processor for the treatment of acidity and ulcers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Omeprazole magnesium was gift sample from Aurobindo Pharma Pvt. Ltd., (Hyderabad, India). Sugar spheres USNF (250-300 µm) procured from JRS Pharma (mfg) and Forum Product Pvt. Ltd. (supp); Hypromellose purchased from Signet Chemical Corporation (Mumbai, India); Polysorbate 80, HPC-L, Triethyl citrate, Glycerol monostearate (Imwitor 900K), Magnesium stearate, and Sodium hydroxide (USNF grades) were purchased from Shin-Etsu Chemical Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan); Talc was procured from Luzenac Pharma Ltd. (mfg) and Signet Chemical Corporation (supp); All other chemicals used were of analytical grade.

Methods

Preformulation studies

Solubility studies:

Solubility studies were carried out by preparing saturated solutions of omeprazole magnesium in various non-volatile solvents such as MeOH/H2O (80:20), ethanol/H2O (80:20), DMF/H2O (80:20), acetonitrile/H2O (80:20), DMSO/H2O (80:20), and ethanol. The dissolution rate of omeprazole magnesium was monitored as a function of time.
as methanol, ethanol, acetone, isopropanol, and water and also to
determine the solubility of drug in the solvents of purified water, pH 6.8,
and pH 7.4 phosphate buffer. An excessive amount of omeprazole
magnesium was added in small incremental amounts to a fixed volume
of the solvent (100 mL of water) with the system and sonicated it for
24 h at room temperature to ensure complete dissolution of the solute
in the solvent. The saturated solutions were diluted, filtered, and
analyzed by UV spectrophotometer (Shimadzu 2800, Japan) at 222 nm.

Drug-excipient compatibility studies

Omeprazole magnesium was mixed with each excipient such as sugar
spheres, Hypromellose, HPC-L, talc, magnesium stearate, NaOH,
Eudragit L30 D55, Triethyl citrate, imwitor K90, and polysorbate 80 in
the ratio (1:0.5), and the mixture was sifted through #40 and taken into
glass vials. The blends are loaded into stability chamber at 2–8°C and
40°C/75% RH accelerated conditions for 4 weeks. After the specified
period, blends are tested for impurity profile and physical change was
observed to know the compatibility of the drug with excipients in open
and closed environment.

Formulation of delayed release omeprazole magnesium enteric
coated pellets

The following steps are involved in manufacturing process of enteric
coated pellets [8-10,27]. The composition of each formulation and
process-related parameters are summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

Selection of inert core

Sugar spheres are available in different sizes with uniformity. The
dispensed sugar spheres were sifted through sieve # 50 and # 60, the
retentions on # 50 were discarded, and fines passed through # 60 were
selected for further development. Specified quantity of sugar spheres
was accurately weighed and loaded into fluid bed processor bowl and
pre-warm with an inlet temperature 45±10°C till bed temperature
reaches 30±5°C.

Preparation of drug-layered pellets

Specified quantities of hypromellose (HPMC E5) and talc were added
in 60% and 20% of total required quantity of purified water into
suitable container with stirrer. Polysorbate 80 was added in 5% of
water and heated to 60°C under stirring to form a uniform suspension.
Specified quantity of omeprazole magnesium was added slowly under
stirring. Sugar spheres were coated with the prepared drug suspension
using Fluidized Bed processor (Glatt, Mumbai). The weight gain after
completion of spraying drug loading dispersion was checked, and
the drug-loaded pellets were dried for not less than 15 min with low
fluidization at a bed temperature of 35±5°C. The drug-loaded pellets
were screened through sieve # 40 and # 50, and the retentions on # 40
and fines passed through # 50 were discarded.

Preparation of subcoating pellets

Tak, magnesium stearate, and HPC-L were added in 60% of total
required quantity of purified water under stirring into vortex to
disperse uniformly, and the solution is passed through homogenizer.
The solution is sprayed onto drug-loaded pellets until target weight of
pellets was attained. The subcoated pellets were screened through #30
and #50, and the retentions on #30 and fines passed through #50 were
discarded.

Preparation of enteric coating pellets

Polysorbate 80 and glyceryl monostearate were added in 20% of total
required quantity of purified water and heated at 70°C. Tak and triethyl
citrate were added and stirred for 5 min. The solution is poured into
homogenizer and allowed to blend for 20 min to make a clear dispersion.
Eudragit L30 D55 was added. The dispersion was screened through sieve
#80. The subcoated pellets were loaded into the fluid bed processor bowl
and the dispersion was sprayed onto the pellets until target weight was
attained. The enteric coated pellets were screened through #30 and #40,
and the retentions on #30 and fines passed through #40 were discarded.

Loss on drying (LOD) was noted after each stage of pellet preparation at
105°C using suitable moister analyzer. LOD should be NMT 5% for drug
loading and subcoating pellets and NMT 3% w/w for enteric coating
pellets. Dried pellets were stored in suitable container with double-
lined LDPE triple-laminated aluminum bags with silica gel desiccant
and characterizes its properties. With regard to the final dosage form,
the multiplets are usually formulated into single-unit dosage forms
such as filling them into hard gelatin capsules and evaluated for in vitro
drug release study.

Pellets characterization

Pellet flowability

Angle of repose (θ) was assessed to know the flowability of pellets by a
fixed funnel method, which was essential to the proper scale-up capsule
filling. Tapped and bulk density of the pellets was determined using
Tap Density Tester. Two other parameters, compressibility index and
Hauser’s ratio, were also measured to determine the flowability of the
pellets and to compare with the prediction made by angle of repose. The
compressibility index has been used as an indirect measure of moisture

| Ingredients                      | F1  | F2  | F3  | F4  | F5  | F6  | F7  | F8  |
|----------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Core material                    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Sugar spheres                    | 22.0| 22.0| 22.0| 22.0| 22.0| 22.0| 22.0| 22.0|
| Drug layering (110% w/w build up)|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Omeprazole magnesium             | 20.6| 20.6| 20.6| 20.6| 20.6| 20.6| 20.6| 20.6|
| HPMC E5 premium                  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| NaOH                             | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Polysorbate 80                   | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Tak                              |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Purified water (18% w/w solids)  | Q5  | Q5  | Q5  | Q5  | Q5  | Q5  | Q5  | Q5  |
| Subcoating (60% w/w build up)    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Hydroxypropyl cellulose          | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 5.0 |
| Tak                              | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 9.0 |
| Magnesium stearate               | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Purified water (12% w/w solids)  | Q5  | Q5  | Q5  | Q5  | Q5  | Q5  | Q5  | Q5  |
| Enteric coating (80% w/w build up)|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Eudragit L30 D55                 | 31.1| 31.1| 31.1| 31.1| 31.1| 31.1| 31.1| 40.0|
| Tec                              | 10.0| 10.0| 10.0| 10.0| 10.0| 10.0| 10.0| 10.0|
| Purified water (15% w/w solids)  | Q5  | Q5  | Q5  | Q5  | Q5  | Q5  | Q5  | Q5  |

QS: Quantity sufficient, TEC: Triethyl citrate, HPMC: Hydroxypropyl methylcellulose
content, bulk density, size, shape, surface area, and cohesiveness of pellets. The percentage of compressibility was determined using Carr’s compressibility index formula and Hausner’s ratio from the ratio of bulk and tapped densities as per USP [11,12].

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrophotometer
One milligram each of the pure and formulated drug was taken and mixed separately with 10 mg of dry powdered potassium bromide. The powdered mixture was taken in a diffuse reflectance sample, and the spectrum was recorded by scanning in the wavelength region of 4000–400/cm using a Jasco FTIR spectrophotometer (Jasco, Essex, UK). The IR spectrum of the drug was compared with that of the physical mixture to check for any possible drug-excipient interaction.

Differential scanning calorimeter (DSC)
DSC thermograms of the pure and formulated drug were recorded on the DSC, Perkin-Elmer Pyris, USA. Samples (2–5 mg) were sealed into aluminum pans and scanned at a heating rate of 10°C/min over a temperature range of 0–400°C under a nitrogen gas stream.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)
The micrographs of the coated pellets were taken with a scanning electron microscopy (Hitachi, Model: S-3400 N, Tokyo, Japan) to study the surface morphology of the pellets.

The pellets were mechanically sputtered with gold for 5 min by a sputter.

Evaluation parameters of capsules

| Parameters               | Drug layering | Subcoating | Enteric coating |
|-------------------------|---------------|------------|-----------------|
| Inlet temperature (°C)  | 44–47         | 50–55      | 35–45           |
| Product temperature (°C)| 20–35         | 35–45      | 28–35           |
| Exhaust temperature (°C)| 33–36         | 33–43      | 25–34           |
| Drive speed (rpm)       | 25–40         | 25–35      | 30–40           |
| Atomization (Bar)       | 0.8–1.0       | 0.8–1.8    | 0.8–3.5         |
| Peristaltic pump speed   | 2–15          | 2–15       | -              |
| Spray rate (g/min)      | 2–6           | 2–8        | 2–8             |
| Wurster height (cm)     | -             |            | 2.5–5.0         |

**RESULTS**

**Preformulation studies**

In preformulation studies, solubility analysis is important because the drug has to dissolve in the solvents and also in the dissolution medium used. Omeprazole magnesium was found to be freely soluble in methanol and ethanol, sparingly soluble in isopropyl alcohol and acetone, practically insoluble in water. The solubility of omeprazole magnesium in different solvents was comparatively represented as purified water (0.06 mg/mL) < pH 7.4 phosphate buffer (0.21 mg/mL) < pH 6.8 phosphate buffer (0.27 mg/mL). The relative standard deviation for the solubility of omeprazole in each solvent was determined to be <5%, indicating an accurate assessment.

The results of compatibility studies reveal that the omeprazole magnesium was not compatible with the excipients. In physical compatibility studies, there was no change in color, odor, and physical state of the drug in open environment. Chemical compatibility studies were performed to know the compatibility of the drug with excipients in open and closed environment. The total % impurity range, initially at 2–8°C, was 0.03–0.09 and after accelerated conditions at 40°C/75% RH was 0.04–0.14. Around 0.01–0.05 drop in potency was observed after 4 weeks of direct exposure.

**Formulation and characterization of enteric coated pellets**

**Flow properties**

The delayed release pellets of omeprazole magnesium were prepared using pelletization method. The pellets of different formulations were evaluated for bulk and tapped density. The results are shown in Table 3. Bulk density for delayed release pellets F1–F8 was found to be between 0.921 g/cc and 0.948 g/cc. Tapped density for F1–F8 was found to be between 0.680 g/cc and 1.006 g/cc. The values obtained were within the acceptable range, and there was no large difference noticed. With this result, we can calculate the % compressibility of the powder using Carr’s compressibility index and Hausner’s ratio. Compressibility index was found to be in the range of 12.09–12.84 indicating good flow. Hence, all formulations exhibit good compressibility: Hausner’s ratio for delayed release pellets was found to be between 1.02 and 1.05. With this, the pellets were found to be free flowing. Angle of repose for delayed release pellets was found to be between 17.6° and 20.25° which was within specified limits of 15–30 and the type of flow was good.

**FTIR spectrophotometer**

The individual IR spectra of the pure form of omeprazole magnesium and formulation of drug with excipients of delayed release pellet are shown in Fig. 1. All the characteristic peaks of omeprazole magnesium at 3431/cm (N-H, stretching), 3071/cm (Aromatic C-H stretch), 2943 and 2094/cm (C-H stretch), 1621/cm (C=C stretch), 1587/cm (C=N stretch), 1510/cm (CH2 bending), 1402/cm (CH Bending), 1157/cm (C=O Stretch), 1075/cm (C=S Stretch), and 966, 885, and 821/cm (C-H Bending) were present in spectra, thus indicating compatibility between drug and excipients. It shows that there was no significant change in the chemical integrity of the drug.
The DSC thermograms of pure drug and layered pellets of omeprazole magnesium were conducted to explore the melting activities of drug as shown in Fig. 2. DSC analysis showed a sharp endothermic peak at 152°C. The melting range of omeprazole magnesium was 150–155°C as per the United States Pharmacopeia [2]. The formulation of omeprazole magnesium in the layered pellets exhibited a shift of the endothermic peak to 151.8°C. Hence, the results prove that there was no incompatibility between the drug and excipients [18].

SEM images are taken to evaluate the shape of the pellets and dimensions as well. Hence, the shape of the pellets was spherical, uniform in appearance, and with slightly rough surface. It is shown in Fig. 3.

Evaluation parameters
The lock length of capsules was determined using calibrated Vernier calipers, and the length of lock ranges from 14 to 16 mm. Hence, all the formulations follow the same range. The average weight, content uniformity, and assay of all the formulations were performed, and the results are shown in Table 4. The assay of optimum formulation F8 shows percentage purity up to 99.85% which is underspecified range.

Dissolution studies
The in vitro drug release study was carried out using USP dissolution apparatus II (Paddle type), and the dissolution profile of all the delayed release formulations, i.e., (F1–F8) is shown in Fig. 4. From the results, formulation F1 to F4 showed their release profile up to 69–72% only. It is because of the absence of anti-agglomerate agent and low amount of disintegrant in drug layering of the formulation. The formulations F5, F6, and F7 contain super disintegrant HPC-L which fastens the release of drug from the formulations. F5 contains less amount of HPC-L when compared to F6 and F7. However, formulations F6 and F7 had less weight gain. Formulation F8 shows 100% drug release, which is better drug release than the above formulations due to efficient amount of eudragit and disintegrant. The dissolution profile of formulation F8 was compared with innovator product (Equate), which is shown in Fig. 5, and dissolution efficiency (DE) values were calculated as per Khan [13]; the DE relates to the dissolution of the drug from a particular formulation after 30 min. DE values of formulation F8 and innovator were 62.75±0.81 and 64.01±1.02, respectively.

The release profiles of delayed release pellets of omeprazole magnesium of all formulations are shown in Table 5. The release kinetics of formulations from F1 to F8 follow zero-order drug release because the values of regression coefficient obtained for zero-order release profiles (r²≥0.977) are higher as compared to first-order plots (r²≤0.723) and Higuchi plots show "r" value in between 0.427 and 0.725. The Korsmeyer–Peppas value "n" was found to be between 0.837 and 0.969 and the n value was found to be >1. The magnitude of the exponent "n" indicates the release mechanism of drug. Hence, it follows super case II transport in non-Fickian diffusion [14-16].

In dissolution profile comparisons, dissimilarity factor (f1) and dissimilarity factor (f2) were calculated as shown in Table 6.
similarity factor (f2) were calculated [17,28] to assure performance similarity of the two products, and it is important to know how the two dissolution curves are close to each other and also to have a measure which is sensitive to large differences at any particular time point. The comparison of in vitro dissolution profiles of formulation F8 and innovator product (Equate) was carried out in pH 6.8 phosphate buffer. The values (f1=8.75±1.4 and f2=52.09±1.2) show that there was a similarity between both the profiles. The similarity factor fits result between 0 and 100. Two drug release profiles are similar if the f2 is ≥50 and f1 values are ≤15. Therefore, it may be concluded that formulation F8 has shown similar drug release characteristic when compared to the innovator product (Equate). Hence, it was selected as the optimized formulation.

Statistical analysis by independent t-test [14,28] was performed to test whether the difference in mean dissolution efficiency values at 30 min in pH 6.8 phosphate buffer observed between formulation F8 and innovator product was significant or not. The analysis revealed that the difference between the methods was statistically significant at p<0.05. The absolute value of the calculated t is smaller than critical value (2.10<2.77), so the means are not significantly different. Therefore, there was no difference between formulation F8 and innovator.

Stability studies
Accelerated stability test was conducted for the optimized formulation for 6 months at 40°C/75%RH. The results showed that there was no change in the formulated pellets during the storage period. The results are shown in Table 6.

DISCUSSION
In this study, the delayed release pellets of poorly water-soluble omeprazole magnesium were prepared using fluid bed processor because the drug showed poor flow property. Saturation solubility of omeprazole magnesium was conducted at stomach and small intestine pH and observed that relative sink condition (Cs/Cd) was high at small intestine pH. The gastric stability and drug release of uncoated pellets were very poor, demonstrating that all of the drugs were degraded when pellets were immersed in acid medium for 10 min. Fang et al. are observed that the pellets were coated with film-forming agents, and the films of coated pellets were excellent without damage in acidic medium and had better stability in neutral/alkaline medium and improved oral bioavailability of the drug [3,32,33].
The drug-loaded pellets were coated with hyromellose as a film-forming agent [20] and HPC-L as a binder or disintegrant in the powder layering process on spherical cores to fabricate round spheres and rapid dissolution [6,19]. In vitro drug release profiles of all formulations are shown in Fig. 4, and from the formulations F1–F4, the absence of talc leads to agglomeration of the pellets which led to improper drug release and low amount of disintegrant led to less dissolution. Whereas, the formulations F5, F6, and F7 contain super disintegrant HPC-L which has fastened the release of drug from the formulations. An increased coating level led to an amplified extent of diffusion pathways and increasing duration of the drug to diffuse through the coating membrane [3].

Eudragit L30 D-55 is the aqueous dispersion of anionic polymers with methacrylic acid as a functional group used as enteric film coating for drug and significantly influences the drug stability and bioavailability due to the interaction of the free carboxyl groups and the drug. It was effective and stable enteric coatings with a fast dissolution in the upper intestinal site [3-5]. Hence, formulation F8 shows 100% drug release in 30 min due to efficient amount of Eudragit and disintegrant.

Triethyl citrate (TEC) is a plasticizer strongly affecting the drug release from the coated pellets due to the presence of higher hydrophilicity of TEC, and the films took up water more rapidly, rendering an increase in the permeability of films [23]. When plasticizer is added to the film, it provoked greater mobility of the polymer chains by replacing polymer-polymer interactions by polymer-plasticizer interactions [24]. TEC was a better and more compatible plasticizer for Eudragit dispersion to render a formation of more uniform and continuous film, hindering the leaching out of plasticizer. Thus, the pellets coated by dispersion plasticized by TEC were more beneficial to the gastric stability of omeprazole magnesium pellets [3].

All formulation results were compared with innovator, and finally, F8 formulation is reported as optimum formulation. Based on the investigation that the efficient amount of anti-agglomerant (talc) and super disintegrant (HPC-L) is the main reason for the release of the drug in intestine pH. The calculation of the release kinetics showed that the most suitable kinetic model was zero-order, as demonstrated in Table 3. As the mechanism of omeprazole magnesium release from the delayed release pellets is not well-known, it was calculated using the Korsmeyer–Peppas model, which relates drug release exponentially to the elapsed time. The model showed that n=1 means that it follows super case II transport in non–Fickian diffusion. In super case II transport, the rate of solvent diffusion is greater and is the determining factor of the diffusion [25,26].

Statistically, independent t-tests were performed, and there was no significance difference observed in between optimized formulation (F8) and innovator product (Equate) dissolution profile at p<0.05.

FTIR and DSC studies were conducted for optimized formula to prove that the formula was not having incompatibility between the drug and excipients. A comparison between IR spectra of the pure drug and the formulation of drug with the excipients, it was observed that all the characteristic peaks of omeprazole magnesium present in the combination spectra as well; thus indicating the compatibility of the drug with excipients used. In DSC thermograms, the melting activities were found to be very close to authentic range of official standard [11,18] for both pure and formulated drugs.

The SEM image illustrates the surface morphology of the pellets. The ideal pellet shape for the formulation is a spherical form to facilitate homogeneous diffusion of an active ingredient and proper pellet coating [29]. The prepared pellets were free flowing, white in color, uniform in appearance, and with slightly rough surface. The drug content was consistent in all formulations.

After storage for 6 months at 40°C/75%RH, the coated pellets significantly improved the drug stability [34]. It was attributed to the decreased moisture absorption that participated a key role in the stability of omeprazole magnesium in optimized formulation [30,31]. The enteric polymer Eudragit, due to the presence of an ester structure, was liable to hydrolysis in humid conditions, affecting the enteric protection in acid medium.

**CONCLUSION**

The formulations of delayed release pellets of omeprazole magnesium were developed by enteric film coating process varying the compositions of drug loading, barrier coating, and enteric coating. It was prepared by the drug layering technique using fluid bed processor. Whereas, omeprazole magnesium was degraded in stomach pH, so it was formulated as delayed release dosage form to absorb in intestine
pH. The dissolution profile of optimized formulation (F8) contains the efficient amount of t alc, hydroxypropyl cellulose-L, and Eudragit L30 D55 which leads to effective release of drug in 30 min in pH 6.8 phosphate buffer. FTIR and DSC studies were proved that there was no incompatibility between the drug and excipients. The SEM image illustrates the surface morphology of the pellets. It was concluded that the final formulation (F8) shown good similarity with innovator and the results of the accelerated stability studies revealed that storage conditions were excellent.
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