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Abstract

In the hybrid breeding of sugar beet, maintainer-genotype selection is a laborious process because of the dependence on test crossing, despite the very low occurrence of this genotype. Marker assisted selection (MAS) of the maintainer genotype is highly desired by sugar beet breeders. The major restorer-of-fertility gene ($Rf$) was identified as $Rf1$, and its non-restoring allele ($rf1$) was discriminated at the DNA level; however, some of the $rf1rf1$ selections retained an as yet unidentified $Rf$, another target locus for MAS. The objective of this study was to identify this $Rf$. An $rf1rf1$ plant was crossed to a cytoplasmic male-sterile (CMS) sugar beet and then backcrossed to obtain progeny segregating the unidentified $Rf$. The progeny exhibited partial male-fertility restoration that was unstable in single plants. The segregation ratio of restored vs. non-restored plants suggested the involvement of a single $Rf$ in this male-fertility restoration, designated as $Rf2$. We confirmed the feasibility of molecular tagging of $Rf2$ by identifying four shared amplified-fragment-length-polymorphic (AFLP) fragments specific to 17 restored plants. Bulked segregant analysis also was performed to screen the $Rf2$-linked AFLP markers, which were subsequently converted into 17 sequence-tagged site markers. All the markers, as well two additional chromosome-IV assigned markers, were linked to each other to form a single linkage map, on which $Rf2$ was located. Our data suggested that $Rf2$ is likely an allele of $Z$, long known as an elusive $Rf$ gene in sugar beet. We also discuss the importance of $Rf2$ for sugar beet breeding.
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Key message

By genetically eliminating the major restorer-of-fertility gene ($Rf$), a weak $Rf$ gene was unveiled. It is an allele of $Z$, long known as an elusive $Rf$ gene in sugar beet.

Introduction

Cytoplasmic male sterility (CMS) in plants is a maternally inherited inability to produce functional pollen (Schnable and Wise 1998; Chase 2007). Use of CMS can provide a large number of seed parents without manual or chemical emasculation; therefore, the trait has been employed for hybrid seed production in many crop species (Schnable and Wise 1998; Wise and Pring 2002). Hybrid seed production using CMS involves three lines, namely the CMS line, a maintainer line and a restorer line.
Sugar beet breeding owes much to CMS because all current cultivars are hybrids produced using CMS (Bosemark 1993). Sugar beet CMS used for hybrid seed production was first discovered by Owen (Owen 1942, 1945) and this CMS (the so-called Owen-CMS) remains the only practical CMS to date (Panella and Lewellen 2005; Bosemark 2006). Currently, a major problem in the hybrid breeding of sugar beet is the rarity of the maintainer genotype (less than 5% on average) (Bosemark 2006). The maintainer genotype is identified by a test cross using an annual CMS tester, a procedure in which a plant genotype is considered to be maintainer only when all the progeny are fully male sterile (Bosemark 2006); thus, maintainer selection of sugar beet is far from efficient. As a means to increase the efficiency of maintainer selection, marker assisted selection (MAS) appears to be a promising strategy. In this context, the identification and characterization of sugar beet Rf/s are valuable research objectives for sugar beet breeding.

Identification of sugar beet Rf/s is rather difficult because fertility restoration tends to be incomplete and the segregation ratio often deviates from those expected from simple genetic models (Owen 1945; Nagao and Kinoshita 1962; Theurer and Ryser 1969; Bliss and Gabelman 1965). Several genetic models explaining fertility restoration of sugar beet CMS have been proposed with different numbers of involved genes and actions. These models could be summarized as follows: there is a principal Rf that appears in almost all the investigations (Owen 1945; Hogaboam 1957; Nagao and Kinoshita 1962; Bliss and Gabelman 1965; Pillen et al. 1993). Owen (1945) first described this Rf and designated it as X. Besides X, there may be some minor Rf/s, one of which was termed Z by Owen (Owen 1945).

Progress toward the characterization of X has been made recently. The X gene is located on chromosome III (Pillen et al. 1993) (we follow Schondelmaier and Jung [1997] for chromosome numbering) and an allele of X was cloned as Rf1, whose gene product is a protein resembling the yeast mitochondrial metalloprotease OMA1 (Matsuhira et al. 2012). On the other hand, little was known about Z, because its small effect has made its genetic study difficult. Additionally, some investigations reported that fertility restoration could be explained in the absence of such a minor gene (Savitsky 1963; Theurer, 1971; Pillen et al. 1993). Z was thought to be on chromosome IV on the basis of the observed linkage between fertility restoration and monogerm seed ball, the latter of which is
conditioned by the $M$ locus on chromosome IV (Hogaboam 1957; Roundy and Theurer 1974; Schondelmaier and Jung 1997). Hjerdin-Panagopoulos et al. (2002) detected $Z$ as two linked quantitative trait loci (QTLs) for fertility restoration that were located on chromosome IV. The precise map position of $Z$ is unknown.

Despite a lack of detailed knowledge about $Z$, MAS for the maintainer genotype was attempted on the basis of $Rfl$ polymorphism (Moritani et al. 2013). DNA markers linked to one of the non-restoring alleles (i.e. $rf1$) were developed to test the feasibility of MAS for the maintainer genotype (Moritani et al. 2013). As a result, $rflrf1$-based MAS could enrich the maintainer genotype: up to 83% of the selections had the maintainer genotype in some populations (Moritani et al. 2013). However, none of the selected $rflrf1$ plants from other populations had the maintainer genotype (Moritani et al. 2013). Therefore, an as yet unidentified $Rf$ reduced the maintainer-genotype frequency in the $rflrf1$-selection.

It was not known whether this unidentified $Rf$ was an allele of $Z$, whose impact on maintainer-genotype selection has not been elucidated. If this unidentified $Rf$ is an allele of $Z$, it will become very clear that $Z$ is not a minor $Rf$ but an important locus for sugar beet breeding. To this end, we focused our analysis on the sugar beet line that is the most recalcitrant against $rflrf1$-based MAS, ‘TA-36’ (Moritani et al. 2013). A plant derived from the $rflrf1$-selections was used in this study. Here, we report the molecular mapping of the novel $Rf$ that reduces the efficiency of maintainer-genotype selection. On the basis of its chromosome-IV localization, this $Rf$ is probably an allele of $Z$, which is now becoming a practical target locus for sugar beet breeding.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials

All the sugar beet lines and populations used in this study were developed at the Hokkaido Agricultural Research Center (HARC), National Agricultural and Food Research Organization (NARO), in Japan. ‘TA-33BB-CMS’ is an annual tester line having Owen-CMS and is devoid of any $Rf$. ‘E60’ is a selection of ‘TA-36’, an introduced cultivar from Germany (Moritani et al. 2013). $BC_1F_1$ and $BC_2F_1$ were grown in a greenhouse (20°C, 24h day with incandescent light at night).

Male-fertility phenotyping

Anthers were visually inspected and evaluated according to Moritani et al. (2013) (Table 1). Five male-fertile classes (N, P, S, G and W, from fully fertile to fully sterile) were indexed from 4 to 0, respectively (Table 1). The mean of the indices calculated from three flowers (on average) on different branches of a plant was used as the male-fertility value of the plant. Male-fertility phenotyping of the
B1F1 and B1F3 was done in the winters of 2010 and 2011, respectively.

DNA isolation

The procedure of Doyle and Doyle (1990) was used to isolate total cellular DNA from fresh green leaves.

Amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) analysis

AFLP analysis was performed using an AFLP Core Reagent Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). EcoRI and MseI were selected as the restriction endonucleases. Adapter-ligated DNA was pre-amplified using Takara Ex Taq (Takara Bio, Ohtsu, Japan) using pairs of primers in which one of the four nucleotides at the 3' terminus as a selective nucleotide. The PCR protocol was 20 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 56°C for 1 min and 72°C for 1 min. For selective amplification, pairs of primers having three selective nucleotides were used. The PCR protocol was 94°C for 5 min; 13 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 65°C (annealing temperature was decreased by 0.6°C /cycle) for 30 sec and 72°C for 1 min; and 13 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 56°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 1 min. The amplified products were electrophoresed in the high efficiency genome scanning system (Kawasaki and Murakami 2000; Kikuchi et al. 2003) using a non-denaturing 14% polyacrylamide gel and TBE buffer (89mM Tris, 89mM boric acid, 2mM EDTA, pH 8.0). The gel was stained with SYBR Green I nucleic acid gel stain (Takara Bio) and a Typhoon Trio Variable Mode Imager (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) scanned the stained gel.

Unidirectional selective genotyping and bulked segregant analysis (BSA)

Unidirectional selective genotyping was performed using 17 partially fertile plants with male-fertility values ranging from 2.0 to 3.0. BSA was performed according to the method described by Michelmore et al. (1991). Ten restored individuals and 15 male-sterile individuals from BC1F1 were used, and groups of five pre-amplified DNAs were pooled to establish two restored bulks and three male-sterile bulks, respectively.

Conversion of AFLP markers to sequence-tagged site (STS) markers

AFLP bands were excised from the gel and the DNA fragments were eluted into TE buffer by repetitive freeze-thaw cycles. DNA fragments were then re-amplified using Green Go Taq Master Mix (Promega, Madison, WI) with its cognate selective primers for AFLP. The PCR products were electrophoresed through a 2% agarose gel and purified using an Ultra Clean 15 DNA Purification Kit.
(MoBio Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Purified PCR products were cloned into the pBluescript (SK+) vector using Ligation high ver. 2 (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan) and sequenced on an ABI3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) using a BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems). Sequencher (Hitachi Software Engineering, Tokyo, Japan) was used for sequence analysis. STS markers were amplified using Green Go Taq Master Mix (the nucleotide sequences of the primers are shown in Table S1). The PCR protocols were 94°C for 3 min; and 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 54°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 1 min. For cleaved amplified polymorphic sequence (CAPS) analysis, amplified products were digested with restriction enzymes purchased from Takara Bio or New England Biolabs (Ipswich, MA, USA) and electrophoresed in a 2% agarose gel or 10% polyacrylamide gel.

Statistical analysis, linkage analysis and quantitative trait locus (QTL) analysis

A chi-square test was done using R version 2.14.0 (R Development Core Team, 2011). Fisher's exact test was performed at the website of Gunma University, Japan (http://aoki2.si.gunma-u.ac.jp/exact/fisher/getpar.html) (accessed on 5 June, 2014). A linkage map was constructed using MAPMAKER/EXP ver3.0 (Lander et al. 1987). The map distances in centimorgans (cM) were calculated from recombination frequencies using the Kosambi function (Kosambi 1944). QTL analysis was performed using the simple interval mapping (SIM) method with MAPMAKER/QTL ver1.1b (Lincoln et al. 1993) and the composite interval mapping (CIM) method with WinQTL Cartographer ver2.5 (Wang et al. 2007), in which the logarithm of odds (LOD) threshold ($p=0.05$) was generated by 1000 permutation tests. CIM was performed using Model 6 at a walk speed of 1.0 cM and a window size of 10.0 cM. The confidence interval (CI) was defined as the region outside of which the log-likelihood fell by 1.0.

Results

Segregation of male fertility in the progeny of ‘E60’

We crossed ‘E60’ (a selection of ‘TA-36’, $rf1rf1$ genotype) with ‘TA-33BB-CMS’ (seed parent) to obtain fertility restored F$_1$ plants. One of the F$_1$ plants was used as a pollen parent for backcrossing to ‘TA-33BB-CMS’, and the BC$_1$F$_1$ was obtained (115 plants). In the BC$_1$F$_1$, we noticed that male fertility often differed between flowers on a single plant. Hence, we first indexed the male-fertility phenotype as shown in Table 1, in which male fertility decreases as the value decreases (from 4 to 0). Subsequently, three flowers (on average) borne on different branches were evaluated for each of the 114 BC$_1$F$_1$ plants (one plant died before phenotyping) to calculate the plant's mean index of male fertility (male-fertility value). The obtained male-fertility value distribution is shown in Table 2.
No plant was classified into the 4.0–3.1 class, which can be considered as fully fertile. On the other hand, we never observed any fertility restoration of ‘TA-33BB-CMS’ plants in our greenhouse. Thus we thought that the observed partial fertility (values 3.0–0.1) was conditioned by the Rf encoded in the genome of ‘E60’. Assuming a single dominant gene model for this partial fertility, 1:1 segregation of partially fertile plants and fully sterile plants could be expected. A chi-square test supported this genetic model \(p=0.349\) (Table 2).

One of the \(B_{1}F_{1}\) plants with fairly high male fertility was self-pollinated to generate a \(B_{1}F_{2}\). The number of \(B_{1}F_{2}\) plants was insufficient for genetic analysis; therefore, one of the \(B_{1}F_{2}\) plants was self-pollinated to generate a \(B_{1}F_{3}\) (184 plants). We observed segregation of male fertility in 146 plants of this \(B_{1}F_{3}\) (38 died before phenotyping), and the male-fertility value of each plant was investigated (Table 2). As was the case with \(B_{1}F_{1}\), no fully fertile plant was observed. A single dominant gene model could also explain the occurrence of partially fertile plants (chi-square test for 3:1 segregation; \(p=0.214\)) (Table 2). On the basis of these results, we concluded that ‘E60’ has an \(Rf\) that restores partial pollen fertility to an Owen-CMS plant. Hereafter, this \(Rf\) is designated as \(Rf2\).

Molecular markers linked to fertility restoration

BSA appeared to be an adequate method to obtain molecular markers tightly linked to \(Rf2\); however, varying degrees of male fertility also suggested that this trait may be influenced by other minor gene(s) and/or environmental factors (i.e. it may be a quantitative trait), hence the feasibility of using BSA for this trait was uncertain. Therefore, before BSA, the presence of molecular markers associated with the observed fertility restoration needed to be confirmed.

We used a unidirectional selective genotyping approach (Foolad and Jones 1993; Navabi et al. 2009) in which AFLP fragments shared by 17 restored-\(B_{1}F_{1}\) plants (male-fertility values >2.0), but missing from ‘TA-33BB-CMS’, were sought. We tested 712 primer combinations that generated approximately 17,000 AFLP fragments, and found four fragments that appeared to be specific to the 17 restored plants (Table 3). The presence or absence of these four fragments was examined in 38 restored and 34 non-restored \(B_{1}F_{1}\) plants (Table 3). The distribution of the four bands was significantly biased toward fertility restored plants (Fisher's exact test; \(p<0.001\)), suggesting the feasibility of using BSA.

We conducted BSA using two restored bulks and three non-restored bulks made from the \(B_{1}F_{1}\). A total of 1,836 primer combinations were tested, and the number of AFLP fragments specific to the two restored bulks was 114. The presence or absence of these 114 fragments was examined in each of the bulked plants (Fig. 1). The number of AFLP fragments apparently associated with fertility restoration was 36; however, genetic mapping needs highly reproducible markers (i.e. STS markers) rather than AFLP fragments.

For accurate genetic analysis, we first conducted molecular cloning of the 36 AFLP
fragments, from which we obtained 17 nucleotide sequences. Based on these sequences, we designed 17 pairs of PCR primers. Eleven of the 17 sequences were PCR amplified from restored plants, but not from non-restored plants of the BC1F1 [sequenced-characterized amplified region (SCAR) markers] (prefixed by ‘sc’ in Table S1 and Fig. 2). PCR fragments targeting one sequence exhibited length polymorphism between the restored plants and the non-restored plants [a DNA fragment length polymorphism (DFLP) marker] (prefixed by ‘df’ in Table S1 and Fig. 2). The remaining five sequences were simultaneously amplified from both restored and non-restored plants. The reason why this occurred may be that the original AFLP between restored and non-restored bulks was generated because of alteration(s) within or close to the EcoRI- and/or the MseI restriction endonuclease sites but the nucleotide sequence of the internal AFLP fragment was preserved. Concerning these five sequences, nucleotide sequences of PCR fragments amplified from a restored plant and a ‘TA-33BB-CMS’ plant were compared to find the sequence alterations in the restriction endonuclease recognition site, and we confirmed polymorphisms in the restriction patterns of the PCR fragments (CAPS markers) (prefixed by ‘ca’ in Table S1 and Fig. 2). The polymorphisms of these 17 STS markers exactly matched with those of their cognate AFLP fragments in the 25 BC1F1 plants that were used for BSA.

Rf2 is located on chromosome IV

We next tested whether the 17 STS markers were linked to each other. Segregation of each of the 17 STS markers in 115 BC1F1 plants statistically fit with the expected genetic model (Table S2). These segregation data were analyzed using mapping software. The resultant linkage map contained all the 17 STS markers, covering 28.1cM (Fig. 2a). Chromosomal assignment of this linkage map was investigated using DNA markers developed by Schneider et al. (1999). Chromosome IV, which contains Z, was the most likely candidate; therefore, we tested the linkage of two chromosome-IV assigned markers, nir and ant, to our map. As a result, nir and ant were found to flank our linkage map (Fig. 2a). Consequently the linkage map was expanded to 46.1cM.

We examined whether Rf2 could be mapped on our linkage map. Under the dichotomic assumption (i.e. restored vs. non-restored), we failed to map Rf2 on our linkage map. As such, we next assumed that the observed fertility restoration was the quantitative trait involving Rf2. The map position of Rf2 was analyzed by QTL analysis using male-fertility values. In the linkage map shown in Fig. 2a, both SIM and CIM methods detected a QTL for fertility restoration in the region between sc4 and a locus containing ca2, sc3, sc7 and sc10 (LOD=36.15 and 27.68 for SIM and CIM, respectively) (Table 4). The confidence intervals (CIs) identified by SIM and CIM were within the map position of 13.6-15.3 cM in Fig. 2a, the region delimited by sc4 and ca2 (representing four markers). The two methods detected no other consistent QTL for fertility restoration.

We then examined the segregation of the 17 DNA markers, nir, and ant in the BC1F1 (Table
S3). Two markers, sc1 and ca4, could not be mapped because all the plants were homozygous for ‘TA-33BB-CMS’-type alleles. Segregation of the other markers fit with the expected genetic model (Table S3), and we analyzed these data using the mapping software. As a result, we obtained a map of 34.5 cM (Fig. 2b). The arrangement of markers is fairly well preserved between the BC1F1 and the BC1F3 (Fig. 2).

We conducted QTL analysis for fertility restoration in the BC1F3 to map Rf2. The highest LOD peak for fertility restoration was the region delimited by sc11 and a locus containing sc3 and four other DNA markers, in which map positions of CI were 12.8-16.8 for SIM (LOD=24.93) and 12.0-17.0 for CIM (LOD=32.7) (Table 4 and Fig. 2b). No other QTLs for fertility restoration were consistently detected by the two methods. The map position of the QTL for fertility restoration was very similar between the BC1F1 and BC1F3 and both of the detected QTLs associated with sc4, sc3, sc7, sc10, and ca2.

The closest markers to Rf2 appeared to be sc4, sc3, sc7, sc10 and ca2, because the presence or absence of these five markers showed the best association with male-fertility restoration (107/114 in the BC1F1 and 132/146 in the BC1F3). Therefore, Rf2 was located in the interval between sc4 and ca2 (one of four markers, see Fig. 2a), ~13.6 to ~15.3 cM away from nir toward ant (BC1F1), or located near the site containing the five markers, ~8.8 cM (BC1F3) away from nir toward ant.

Discussion

Fertility restoration in Owen-CMS is a very complex trait, as pointed out by previous investigations, in which various segregation patterns were described (Owen 1945; Hogaboam 1957; Bliss and Gabelman 1965; Nagao and Kinoshita 1962; Theurer and Ryser 1969; Hjerdin-panagopoulos et al. 2002). This complexity likely came from the combined action of major and minor Rf/s, as well as environmental factors (Owen 1945). Hence, genetic dissection of this phenotype is a prerequisite to assessing the action of each Rf, a necessary procedure for genetic mapping. Before this study, the major role of Rf1 in fertility restoration was proposed (e.g. Moritani et al. 2013). We intended to eliminate the action of Rf1 for the accurate genetic analysis of the minor Rf.

Although Rf2 is a minor Rf in terms of genetics, the significance of Rf2 on sugar beet breeding is another issue. In other words, if Rf2 is practically important, this locus cannot be ignored by breeders, irrespective of its strength. In the case of Rf1, Japanese breeders have been carefully eliminating the restoring Rf1 allele during maintainer selection, resulting in the selection of a few non-restoring alleles from varieties of Rf1 alleles (Moritani et al. 2013). This notion was supported by the analysis of the allelic frequency of Rf1 in the ancestral populations of Japanese sugar beet (Taguchi et al. 2014), suggesting a major impact of Rf1 on maintainer selection. We speculate that Rf2 may be another target locus for maintainer genotype selection for the following reason: although the effect of Rf2 on fertility restoration is small, partially fertile plants in the test-cross progeny will be
easily recognized by breeders as a sign of a non-maintainer genotype. In fact, partial male fertility of test-cross progeny derived from ‘TA-36’ was sufficient to make Moritani et al. (2013) reject all the rf1rf1 plants as candidates of the maintainer. The question of whether Rf2 has been one of the target loci for maintainer selection can be tested by examining the molecular polymorphism of Rf2 in sugar beet lines. Currently, we are working to identify the nucleotide sequence of Rf2.

The effect of Rf2 is obviously weak because no fully fertile plants were obtained in this study and the plants are at the most partially fertile. As seen in the male-fertility values, fertility restoration in the BC1F1 and the BC1F3 appeared to be a quantitative trait. Considering that the BC1F1- (and the BC1F3-) fertility restoration differed within a plant, it is possible that the penetrance of Rf2 is influenced by the plant's physiological condition (nutrition, age, etc.). In addition, it is possible that other minor Rf(s) and/or environmental factors may be involved. Thus, one of the remaining questions is how the phenotypic expression of Rf2 changes in relation to other factors. This is an important question, because if the action of Rf2 can be masked for some reason, the Rf2 allele might contaminate CMS lines through maintainer lines, potentially decreasing hybrid purity.

Rf2 is situated in the region delimited by nir and ant on chromosome IV, on which Z is the only Rf known to be located (Schondelmaier and Jung 1997). Thus Rf2 is likely an allele of Z. In addition, the low restoration effect of Rf2 is consistent with the postulation of Z provided by many sugar beet researchers (Owen, 1945; Hogaboam, 1957; Theurer, 1971).

In this context, we think Rf2 may correspond to one of the two-linked QTLs for fertility restoration reported by Hjerdin-Panagopoulos et al. (2002). The quantitative nature of Rf2 is consistent with their result. The gene corresponding to the other QTL (i.e. the third Rf) was not detected in our study, possibly because the third Rf is absent from ‘E60’. It is not surprising that the composition of minor Rfs differs between sugar beet lines, considering the various segregation patterns of fertility restoration in the previous investigations (see above for references). Unfortunately, because no DNA marker in this study is shared with those of Hjerdin-Panagopoulos et al. (2002), further comparison of the results is impossible. However, the map position of Rf2 and the markers developed in this study would be useful to compare sugar beet Rfs between different populations. The overarching genetic and environmental control of fertility restoration of Owen-CMS should be investigated for the benefit of sugar beet breeding.
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Table 1. Classification of male sterility in this study\(^1\)

| Class | Character of anther | Dehiscence | Pollen production | Male fertility index |
|-------|--------------------|------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| N     | Yellow             | +          | +                 | 4                   |
| P     | Yellow, sometimes orange | Both + and – are seen | ±               | 3                   |
| S     | Yellow, sometimes orange | –, rarely + | –               | 2                   |
| G     | Light green        | –          | –                 | 1                   |
| W     | White or brown     | –          | –                 | 0                   |

\(^1\)Adopted from Moritani et al. (2013) with some modifications.
Table 2. Segregation of observed male fertility

| Population | Total number of plants | Fully fertile 4.0-3.1\(^1\) | Partially fertile 3.0-2.1\(^1\) | 2.0-1.1\(^1\) | 1.0-0.1\(^1\) | 0.0\(^1\) | \(\chi^2\) (ratio) | p-value |
|------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------|-------------------|---------|
| BC1F1      | 114                    | 0                             | 12                              | 36                          | 4                   | 62     | 0.877 (1:1)      | 0.349   |
|            |                        | (52)\(^2\)                   |                                 |                             |                   |        |                   |         |
| BC1F3      | 146                    | 0                             | 26                              | 70                          | 7                   | 43     | 1.543 (3:1)      | 0.214   |
|            |                        | (103)\(^2\)                  |                                 |                             |                   |        |                   |         |

\(^1\)Male-fertility value classes.

\(^2\)Sum of fertility restored plants.
Table 3. Distribution of four AFLP markers in 72 plants

| Pair of primers for selective amplification | Size of AFLP marker fragment (bp) | Presence/absence of the marker | Number of plants | Fertility restored | Fully sterile | Total number of plants | p-value (2x2 contingency table, Fisher's exact test) |
|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| E-CCC\(^1\)/M-CCG\(^1\)                   | 290                              | +                              | 31               | 0                 |              | 72                    | 6.46 x 10\(^{-14}\)                              |
|                                            |                                  | –                              | 7                | 34                |              | 72                    |                                                  |
|                                            |                                  | N/A\(^2\)                      | 0                | 0                 |              | 0                     |                                                  |
| E-AAC\(^1\)/M-CCG\(^1\)                   | 150                              | +                              | 30               | 1                 |              |                       | 4.25 x 10\(^{-12}\)                              |
|                                            |                                  | –                              | 7                | 33                |              | 72                    |                                                  |
|                                            |                                  | N/A\(^2\)                      | 1                | 0                 |              | 0                     |                                                  |
| E-AAC\(^1\)/M-GAG\(^1\)                   | 120                              | +                              | 32               | 0                 |              |                       | 1.05 x 10\(^{-14}\)                              |
|                                            |                                  | –                              | 6                | 34                |              | 72                    |                                                  |
|                                            |                                  | N/A\(^2\)                      | 0                | 0                 |              | 0                     |                                                  |
| E-ACC\(^1\)/M-CTG\(^1\)                   | 170                              | +                              | 31               | 1                 |              |                       | 6.98 x 10\(^{-13}\)                              |
|                                            |                                  | –                              | 6                | 33                |              | 72                    |                                                  |
|                                            |                                  | N/A\(^2\)                      | 1                | 0                 |              | 0                     |                                                  |

\(^1\)E- and M- denote EcoRI- and MseI primers, respectively. The following three letters indicate selective nucleotides.

\(^2\)Not available.
Table 4. Summary of the QTL analysis

| Population | Total number of plants | QTL for fertility restoration |
|------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
|            | SIM                    | CIM                           |
|            | Confidence interval\(^1\) | LOD | R\(^2\) | Additive | Confidence interval\(^1\) | LOD | R\(^2\) | Additive |
| BC1F1      | 115                    | 13.6-15.3 | 36.15 | 0.77 | 1.97 | 13.6-15.3 | 27.68 | 0.51 | 1.83 |
| BC1F3      | 184                    | 12.8-16.8 | 24.93 | 0.81 | 0.89 | 12.0-17.0 | 32.7 | 0.59 | 0.97 |

\(^1\)Map position in Fig. 2.
Figure legends

**Fig. 1** Images of the AFLP pattern using two restored bulks (A), three non-restored bulks (B), ten fertility restored plants of BC₁F₁ (C), and 15 fully male-sterile plants of BC₁F₁ (D). Selective primers were EcoRI-CCC and MseI-GAC. An arrow indicates an AFLP fragment associated with fertility restoration. Size markers are shown on the right (bp).

**Fig. 2** Linkage map of the chromosomal region around Rf2 in BC₁F₁ (a) and BC₁F₃ (b) populations. Two chromosome IV-assigned markers are connected by dotted lines. The confidence intervals of QTL for fertility restoration are indicated by vertical bars labeled with ‘Rf2’. Details of the markers are shown in Table S1. Map distances are shown in the left of each maps (cM). In the linkage map shown in b, repulsion of two regions (nir-ca2 and sc11-ant) was assumed in the linkage analysis.
| Name of markers | Size (bp) | Forward primer (5’→3’) | Reverse primer (5’→3’) | Marker type (Restriction endonuclease) | Source            |
|----------------|----------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------|
| sc1            | 319      | AATACAATCCCTCAAGTATGTGCA | TTAGCAACATGTCACTGCTGGATG | SCAR                                   | This study        |
| sc2            | 524      | AAGTAGATTGACTAAGGCTGCTGAG | GCTTGGGGGTAACTGTCATA   | SCAR                                   | This study        |
| sc3            | 788      | AAAGTCGATCTCCTCCACCTTT  | GGATGTACTGAGTAAGAGAAGAAT | SCAR                                   | This study        |
| sc4            | 243      | CGCTGTGCTCAATTCCACATCA  | TTAGACACCTCTATTTTGTGCTG | SCAR                                   | This study        |
| sc5            | 382      | GATTGCCAGGGTTCCGAGAGAG  | GTCCGAGTGACAGCACATGAT  | SCAR                                   | This study        |
| sc6            | 462      | GTTGGGGGTAGAGACAGAGAG   | AGAAACTGGGCTCCAGAGAG   | SCAR                                   | This study        |
| sc7            | 377      | GTAGTTGCTATGTATGCTCTGTA | TGGAGTAGTGATGAGGTAGAGT | SCAR                                   | This study        |
| sc8            | 333      | CAGGATGGCGACGTTCTCAAGCT | TCGTGACCTTCTCTCTGCACTG | SCAR                                   | This study        |
| sc9            | 169      | GTCCATCTCATTACTTTGGAAG  | TTTGCACTCAATAAACAATTCCG | SCAR                                   | This study        |
| sc10           | 435      | GCCTGACACGACCAGAGAGAC  | TGGGCAATGCGGAGATGCAATC | SCAR                                   | This study        |
| sc11           | 319      | TCTGCACCTAACTTTTTTTCGAG | AAGATCAAAGCTCTACTCCATAAC | SCAR                                   | This study        |
| df1            | 390      | GAGGCCGAACTCCAAATGCT    | GCTTTTGCTAGGTGAGTACTCTG | DFLP                                   | This study        |
| ca1            | 313      | TGGTGATCCGTCTCAGAGATGCT | TCAAGGCTTGAACTTCCCTCAGT | CAPS (Alul)                            | This study        |
| ca2            | 228      | CTTGCATTCACTCATAATTTTG  | CTCAAGGCTGACAAATCCCGT  | CAPS (EcoRI)                           | This study        |
| ca3            | 323      | AGAGCCTGCTTTTCTCGT      | TCTCTCTTCTCTTAATCAGACT | CAPS (TaqI)                            | This study        |
| ca4            | 612      | GAGAACGTGAAATCGGCTCCTG  | GTTTCGACCCGGAATGAGAC   | CAPS (HindIII)                         | This study        |
| ca5            | 222      | AACCAGAGCATATCTCTCTCAG  | GGATCGGTTAACTTCTCAC    | CAPS (MboI)                            | This study        |
| nir            | 1600     | GGTGACGTTGATGTTGTTG     | GGCATTCTTCTTCTCAATCC   | CAPS (RsaI)                            | Schneider et al. 1999 |
| ant            | 1000     | TGGGACGGAACACTGCGYAAATG | ATGTTRGACCAGCGWCCCTTGA | CAPS (TaqI)                            | Schneider et al. 1999 |
| Name of markers | Plant ID 1 | Plant ID 2 | Plant ID 3 | Plant ID 4 | Plant ID 5 | Plant ID 6 | Plant ID 7 | Plant ID 8 | Plant ID 9 | Plant ID 10 | Plant ID 11 | Plant ID 12 |
|----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| nir            | A<sup>1</sup> | H<sup>2</sup> | H | H | A | H | H | A | A | H | A | H |
| sc8            | A | H | A | H | A | H | H | A | A | H | A | H |
| sc6            | A | H | A | H | A | H | H | A | A | H | A | H |
| ca5            | A | H | A | H | A | H | H | A | A | H | A | H |
| sc2            | A | H | A | H | A | H | H | A | A | A | A | A |
| sc9            | A | H | A | H | A | H | H | A | A | A | A | A |
| sc5            | A | H | A | H | A | H | H | A | A | A | A | A |
| df1            | A | H | A | H | A | H | H | A | A | A | A | A |
| ca3            | A | H | A | H | A | H | H | A | A | A | A | A |
| ca1            | A | H | A | H | A | H | H | A | A | A | A | A |
| sc4            | A | H | A | H | A | H | H | A | A | A | A | A |
| sc7            | A | H | A | H | A | H | H | A | A | A | A | A |
| ca2            | A | H | A | H | A | H | H | A | A | A | A | A |
| sc10           | A | H | A | H | A | H | H | A | A | A | A | A |
| sc3            | A | H | A | H | A | H | H | A | A | A | A | A |
| sc1            | A | H | A | H | A | H | H | A | A | A | A | A |
| ca4            | A | H | A | H | A | H | H | A | A | A | A | A |
| sc11           | A | A | A | H | A | A | H | A | A | A | A | A |
| ant            | A | A | A | H | A | A | H | A | A | A | A | A |

<sup>1</sup>TA-33BB-CMS homozygous

<sup>2</sup>Heterozygous

<sup>3</sup>No data
| Plant ID | 27_BC1F| 28_BC1F| 29_BC1F| 30_BC1F| 31_BC1F| 32_BC1F| 33_BC1F| 34_BC1F| 35_BC1F| 36_BC1F| 37_BC1F| 38_BC1F| 39_BC1F| 40_BC1F |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| A        | A      | A      | H      | H      | H      | A      | A      | H      | H      | A      | A      | A      | H      |
| H        | A      | H      | H      | -      | H      | A      | A      | H      | H      | A      | A      | A      | H      |
| H        | A      | H      | H      | H      | H      | A      | A      | H      | H      | A      | A      | A      | H      |
| H        | A      | H      | H      | H      | H      | A      | A      | H      | H      | A      | A      | A      | H      |
| H        | A      | H      | H      | H      | H      | A      | A      | H      | H      | A      | A      | A      | H      |
| H        | A      | H      | H      | H      | H      | A      | A      | H      | H      | A      | A      | A      | H      |
| H        | A      | H      | H      | H      | H      | A      | A      | H      | H      | A      | A      | A      | H      |
| H        | A      | H      | H      | H      | H      | A      | A      | H      | H      | A      | A      | A      | H      |
| H        | A      | H      | H      | H      | H      | A      | A      | H      | H      | A      | A      | A      | H      |
| H        | A      | H      | H      | H      | H      | A      | A      | H      | H      | A      | A      | A      | H      |
| 41_BC1F·42_BC1F·43_BC1F·44_BC1F·45_BC1F·46_BC1F·47_BC1F·48_BC1F·49_BC1F·50_BC1F·51_BC1F·52_BC1F·53_BC1F·54_BC1F· |  |
|---|---|
| A | A | A | A | A | H | \(3^3\) | A | A | A | H | H | A | H |
| A | A | A | A | A | H | H | A | A | A | H | H | A | H |
| A | A | A | A | A | H | H | A | A | A | H | H | A | H |
| A | A | A | A | A | H | H | A | A | A | H | H | A | H |
| A | A | H | A | A | H | H | A | A | A | H | H | A | H |
| A | A | H | A | A | H | H | A | A | A | H | H | A | H |
| A | A | H | A | A | H | H | A | A | A | H | H | A | H |
| A | A | H | A | A | H | H | A | A | A | H | H | A | H |
| A | A | H | A | A | H | H | A | A | A | H | H | A | H |
| A | A | H | A | A | H | H | A | A | A | H | H | A | H |
| A | A | H | A | A | H | H | A | A | A | H | H | A | H |
| A | A | H | A | A | H | H | A | A | A | H | H | A | H |
| A | A | H | A | A | H | H | A | A | A | H | H | A | H |
| A | A | H | A | A | H | H | A | A | A | H | H | A | H |
| A | A | H | A | A | H | H | A | A | A | H | H | A | H |
| A | A | H | A | A | H | H | A | A | A | H | H | A | H |
| A | A | H | A | A | H | H | A | A | A | H | H | A | H |
| A | A | H | A | A | H | H | A | A | A | H | H | A | H |
| A | A | H | A | A | H | H | A | A | A | H | H | A | H |
| A | A | H | A | A | H | H | A | A | A | H | H | A | H |
| H | H | A | A | H | A | A | A | H | A | A | H | A | H |
| 55_BC1F | 56_BC1F | 57_BC1F | 58_BC1F | 59_BC1F | 60_BC1F | 61_BC1F | 62_BC1F | 63_BC1F | 64_BC1F | 65_BC1F | 66_BC1F | 67_BC1F | 68_BC1F |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | H       | H       | H       | H       | A       | A       | A       |
| H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | H       | H       | H       | H       | A       | A       | A       |
| H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | H       | H       | H       | H       | A       | A       | A       |
| H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | H       | H       | H       | H       | A       | A       | A       |
| H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | H       | H       | H       | H       | A       | A       | A       |
| H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | H       | H       | H       | H       | A       | A       | A       |
| H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | H       | H       | H       | H       | A       | A       | A       |
| H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | H       | H       | H       | H       | A       | A       | A       |
| H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | H       | H       | H       | H       | A       | A       | A       |
| H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | H       | H       | H       | H       | A       | A       | A       |
| H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | H       | H       | H       | H       | A       | A       | A       |
| A       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | H       | H       | H       | H       | A       | A       | A       |
| A       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | H       | H       | H       | H       | A       | A       | A       |
| A       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | H       | H       | H       | H       | A       | A       | A       |
| A       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | H       | H       | H       | H       | A       | A       | A       |
| Plant ID | 69_BC1F | 70_BC1F | 71_BC1F | 72_BC1F | 73_BC1F | 74_BC1F | 75_BC1F | 76_BC1F | 77_BC1F | 78_BC1F | 79_BC1F | 80_BC1F | 81_BC1F | 82_BC1F |
|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| A        | A       | H       | A       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       |
| A        | A       | H       | A       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | H       | A       |
| A        | A       | H       | H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | H       | A       | A       |
| A        | A       | H       | H       | H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       |
| A        | A       | H       | H       | H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       |
| A        | A       | H       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | H       | A       | A       |
| A        | A       | H       | H       | H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       |
| A        | A       | H       | H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       |
| A        | A       | H       | H       | H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       |
| A        | A       | H       | H       | H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       |
| A        | A       | H       | H       | H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       |
| A        | A       | H       | H       | H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       |
| H        | H       | A       | H       | A       | H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       |
| 83_BC1F | 84_BC1F | 85_BC1F | 86_BC1F | 87_BC1F | 88_BC1F | 89_BC1F | 90_BC1F | 91_BC1F | 92_BC1F | 93_BC1F | 94_BC1F | 95_BC1F | 96_BC1F |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| A       | H       | A       | A       | H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       |
| A       | H       | A       | A       | H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       |
| A       | H       | A       | A       | H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       |
| A       | H       | A       | A       | H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       |
| A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       |
| A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       |
| A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       |
| A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | H       | A       | H       | A       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       |
| A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | H       | A       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       |
| A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | H       | A       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       |
| A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | H       | A       | A       | H       | A       | A       | A       |
| A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | H       | A       | A       | H       | A       | H       | A       |
| A       | H       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | A       | H       | A       | H       | H       | H       | H       |
| 97 | 98 | 99 | 100 | 101 | 102 | 103 | 104 | 105 | 106 | 107 | 108 | 109 | 110 |
|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| A  | A  | H  | A   | A   | A   | -   | H   | -   | -   | -   | A   | A   | A   |
| A  | H  | H  | A   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | A   | A   | A   |
| A  | H  | H  | A   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | A   | A   | A   |
| A  | H  | H  | A   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | A   | A   | A   |
| A  | A  | H  | A   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | A   | A   | A   |
| A  | A  | H  | A   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | A   | A   | A   |
| A  | A  | H  | A   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | A   | A   | A   |
| A  | A  | H  | A   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | A   | A   | A   |
| A  | A  | H  | A   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | H   | A   | A   | A   |
| A  | A  | A  | A   | A   | A   | H   | H   | A   | H   | A   | H   | A   | A   | A   |

**Plant ID**
| Plant ID | Genotype segregation | Chi-square test for segregation |
|---------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| A       | H H A H              | χ²(1:1) | p-value |
| 111_BC1F1 | 68 : 40 (A : H) | 7 | 7.259 | 0.007 |
| A       | H H A H              | χ²(1:1) | p-value |
| 112_BC1F1 | 66 : 48 (A : H) | 1 | 2.842 | 0.092 |
| A       | H H A H              | χ²(1:1) | p-value |
| 113_BC1F1 | 65 : 50 (A : H) | 0 | 1.957 | 0.162 |
| A       | H H A H              | χ²(1:1) | p-value |
| 114_BC1F1 | 65 : 50 (A : H) | 0 | 1.957 | 0.162 |
| A       | H H A H              | χ²(1:1) | p-value |
| 115_BC1F1 | 64 : 51 (A : H) | 0 | 1.470 | 0.225 |
| A       | H H A H              | χ²(1:1) | p-value |
|          | 65 : 50 (A : H) | 0 | 1.957 | 0.162 |
| A       | H H A H              | χ²(1:1) | p-value |
|          | 65 : 49 (A : H) | 0 | 2.513 | 0.113 |
| A       | H H A H              | χ²(1:1) | p-value |
|          | 65 : 50 (A : H) | 0 | 1.957 | 0.162 |
| A       | H H A H              | χ²(1:1) | p-value |
|          | 65 : 50 (A : H) | 0 | 1.957 | 0.162 |
| A       | H H A H              | χ²(1:1) | p-value |
|          | 65 : 50 (A : H) | 0 | 1.957 | 0.162 |
| A       | H H A H              | χ²(1:1) | p-value |
|          | 60 : 55 (A : H) | 0 | 0.217 | 0.641 |
| A       | H H A H              | χ²(1:1) | p-value |
|          | 70 : 45 (A : H) | 0 | 5.435 | 0.020 |
| A       | H H A H              | χ²(1:1) | p-value |
|          | 70 : 45 (A : H) | 0 | 5.435 | 0.020 |
Table S3 Segregation of marker genotypes in BC₁F₃

| Name of markers | Plant ID | 1_BC1F3 | 2_BC1F3 | 3_BC1F3 | 4_BC1F3 | 5_BC | 6_BC | 7_BC | 8_BC | 9_BC | 10_BC | 11_BK | 12_BK | 13_BK | 14_BK | 15_BK | 16_BK | 17_BK | 18_BK | 19_BK | 20_BK |
|-----------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| nir             | H        | H       | H       | A       | H       | A     | B    | B    | H    | A    | H     | A     | B     | B     | A     | H     | B     |       |       |       |
| sc8             | C⁴       | C       | C       | A       | C       | A     | C    | C    | C     | A     | C     | A     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     |
| ca5             | H        | H       | A       | H       | A       | B     | B    | H    | A    | H    | A     | B     | H     | B     | B     | H     | B     |       |       |       |
| sc6             | C        | C       | C       | A       | C       | A     | C    | C    | C     | A     | C     | A     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     |
| sc2             | C        | C       | C       | A       | C       | A     | C    | C    | C     | A     | C     | A     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     |
| sc9             | C        | C       | C       | A       | C       | A     | C    | C    | C     | A     | C     | A     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     |
| sc5             | C        | C       | C       | A       | C       | A     | C    | C    | C     | A     | C     | A     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     |
| df1             | H        | H       | H       | A       | H       | A     | B    | B    | H    | A    | H     | A     | B     | H     | B     | B     | H     | B     |       |       |
| ca1             | H        | H       | H       | A       | H       | A     | B    | B    | H    | A    | H     | A     | B     | H     | B     | B     | H     | B     |       |       |
| ca3             | H        | H       | H       | A       | H       | A     | B    | B    | H    | A    | H     | A     | B     | H     | B     | B     | H     | B     |       |       |
| sc4             | C        | C       | C       | A       | C       | C     | C    | C    | C     | A     | C     | A     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     |
| ca2             | H        | H       | H       | A       | H       | A     | B    | B    | H    | A    | H     | A     | B     | H     | B     | B     | H     | B     |       |       |
| sc7             | C        | C       | C       | A       | C       | C     | C    | C    | C     | A     | C     | A     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     |
| sc3             | C        | C       | C       | A       | C       | C     | C    | C    | C     | A     | C     | A     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     |
| sc10            | C        | C       | C       | A       | C       | C     | C    | C    | C     | A     | C     | A     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     |
| sc1            | A        | A       | A       | A       | A       | A     | A    | A    | A    | A    | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     |
| ca4             | A        | A       | A       | A       | A       | A     | A    | A    | A    | A    | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     |
| sc11⁶          | C        | C       | C       | A       | A       | A     | A    | A    | A    | A    | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     |
| ant⁶           | H        | H       | H       | H       | A       | A     | H    | A    | H    | A    | B     | A     | H     | H     | H     | H     | H     | H     | H     |       |

¹Heterozygous.
²TA-33BB-CMS homozygous.
³E60 homozygous.
⁴E60 homozygous or heterozygous.
⁵No data.
⁶These markers were assumed to be configured in repulsion in the linkage analysis.
| Plant ID | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 |
|----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
|          | H  | H  | H  | H  | H  | H  | A  | B  | A  | A  | B  | A  | A  | A  | B  | B  | H  | B  | A  | B  | H  |    |
|          | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | A  | C  | A  | A  | C  | A  | A  | A  | C  | C  | C  | A  | C  | C  |    |
|          | H  | H  | B  | B  | H  | H  | H  | H  | A  | A  | H  | A  | A  | A  | B  | B  | H  | H  | A  | H  | H  |    |
|          | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | A  | A  | C  | A  | A  | A  | C  | C  | C  | A  | C  | C  | C  |    |
|          | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | A  | A  | C  | A  | A  | A  | C  | C  | C  | C  | A  | C  | C  |    |
|          | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | A  | A  | C  | A  | A  | A  | C  | C  | C  | C  | A  | C  | C  |    |
|          | H  | H  | H  | B  | B  | B  | H  | H  | H  | A  | A  | H  | A  | A  | A  | B  | B  | H  | H  | A  | H  |    |
|          | H  | H  | H  | B  | B  | B  | H  | H  | H  | H  | H  | H  | A  | A  | A  | A  | B  | H  | H  | A  | H  |    |
|          | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | A  | C  | A  | A  | A  | C  | C  | C  | A  | C  | C  | C  |    |
|          | H  | H  | H  | B  | B  | H  | H  | H  | H  | H  | A  | A  | A  | A  | B  | H  | H  | A  | H  | H  | A  |    |
|          | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | A  | C  | A  | A  | A  | C  | C  | C  | A  | C  | C  | C  |    |
|          | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | A  | C  | A  | A  | A  | C  | C  | C  | A  | C  | C  | C  |    |
|          | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | A  | C  | A  | A  | A  | C  | C  | C  | A  | C  | C  | C  |    |
|          | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | A  | C  | A  | A  | A  | C  | C  | C  | A  | C  | C  | C  |    |
|          | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  |    |
|          | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  |    |
|          | A  | C  | C  | C  | A  | A  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | A  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  | C  |    |
|          | H  | B  | H  | H  | A  | A  | H  | H  | H  | B  | H  | H  | B  | A  | H  | H  | H  | B  | H  |    |
| Plant ID | 44 | B(45) | B(46) | B(47) | B(48) | B(49) | B(50) | B(51) | B(52) | B(53) | B(54) | B(55) | B(56) | B(57) | B(58) | B(59) | B(60) | B(61) | B(62) | B(63) | B(64) | B(65) | B(66) |
|----------|----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|          | B  | B     | H     | H     | A     | A     | H     | B     | H     | B     | H     | B     | H     | H     | H     | A     | H     | B     | H     | B     | A     | B     |
|          | C  | C     | C     | A     | A     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | A     | C     | A     | C     | C     | C     | A     | C     |
|          | B  | B     | H     | B     | A     | A     | H     | B     | H     | B     | H     | A     | H     | A     | H     | B     | H     | B     | A     | B     | B     | B     | A     |
|          | C  | C     | C     | A     | A     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | A     | A     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | A     |
|          | B  | B     | B     | A     | A     | H     | B     | H     | H     | H     | B     | H     | A     | A     | H     | B     | H     | B     | A     | B     | B     | B     | A     |
|          | C  | C     | C     | A     | A     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | A     | A     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | A     |
|          | B  | B     | B     | A     | A     | H     | B     | H     | H     | H     | B     | H     | A     | A     | H     | B     | H     | B     | A     | B     | B     | B     | A     |
|          | C  | C     | C     | A     | A     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | A     | A     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | A     |
|          | B  | B     | B     | A     | A     | H     | B     | H     | H     | H     | B     | H     | A     | A     | H     | B     | H     | B     | A     | B     | B     | B     | A     |
|          | C  | C     | C     | A     | A     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | A     | A     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     | A     |
|          | A  | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     |
|          | A  | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     | A     |
|          | A  | C     | A     | C     | C     | A     | C     | C     | C     | C     | A     | C     | C     | A     | C     | C     | C     | A     | C     | C     | C     | C     | C     |
|          | A  | H     | A     | H     | B     | A     | A     | H     | H     | H     | A     | B     | H     | H     | H     | A     | B     | H     | B     | A     | H     | B     | H     |
| B B A B A H A A H A A H H B H H A H H A H H |
| C C A C A C A A C A A C C C C C C C A C C C |
| H B H B A A A A H A A H H B H H A H H A H H |
| C C C C A A A A C A A C C C C C A C C A C C C |
| C C C C A A A A C A A C C C C C A C C A C C C |
| C C C C A A A A C A A C C C C C A C C A C C C |
| H B H H A A A A H A A H H B H H A H H A H H |
| H B H H A A A A H A A H H B H H A H H A B H H |
| C C C C A A A A C A A C C C C C A C C A C C C |
| H H H A A A A H A A H H B H H A H H A B H H |
| C C C C A A A A C A A C C C C C A C C A C C C |
| C C C C A A A A C A A C C C C C A C C A C C C |
| C C C C A A A A C A A C C C C C A C C A C C C |
| C C C C A A A A C A A C C C C C A C C A C C C |
| A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A |
| A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A |
| C C C C C C C C C C C C C A C C A C C C C A C C |
| H B H H B B B H H H A B A H A B A H B A H A
| Plant ID | 136 | 137 | 138 | 139 | 140 | 141 | 142 | 143 | 144 | 145 | 146 | 147 | 148 | 149 | 150 | 151 | 152 | 153 | 154 | 155 | 156 | 157 | 158 |
|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| B        | H   | A   | A   | H   | B   | B   | B   | H   | B   | A   | H   | B   | H   | H   | A   | H   | H   | H   | H   | A   | H   | B   |
| C        | C   | A   | A   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | A   | C   | C   | C   | C   | A   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | A   |
| B        | H   | A   | A   | H   | B   | B   | B   | H   | B   | A   | H   | B   | H   | A   | H   | H   | H   | A   | H   | A   | B   | H   |
| C        | C   | C   | A   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | A   | C   | C   | C   | A   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | A   | C   |
| C        | C   | A   | A   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | A   | A   | C   | C   | A   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | A   | C   |
| C        | C   | A   | A   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | A   | C   | A   | C   | C   | C   | C   | A   | C   |
| H        | H   | A   | A   | H   | B   | B   | B   | H   | B   | A   | H   | B   | A   | H   | A   | B   | H   | H   | H   | A   | A   |
| H        | H   | A   | A   | H   | B   | B   | B   | H   | B   | A   | H   | B   | A   | H   | A   | B   | H   | H   | A   | B   | H   |
| C        | C   | C   | A   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | A   | C   | C   | A   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | A   | C   |
| H        | H   | H   | A   | H   | B   | B   | H   | B   | H   | B   | A   | H   | B   | B   | H   | H   | H   | A   | A   | B   | H   |
| C        | C   | C   | A   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | A   | A   | C   | A   | C   | C   | C   | A   | C   |
| C        | C   | C   | A   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | A   | C   | A   | C   | C   | C   | C   | A   | C   |
| A        | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   |
| A        | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   | A   |
| C        | C   | C   | C   | A   | C   | A   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   | C   |
| H        | H   | H   | B   | A   | B   | H   | A   | H   | H   | B   | H   | B   | H   | B   | A   | A   | H   | B   | B   | A   | H   |
| B        | H   | H   | B   | A   | H   | H   | B   | H   | B   | A   | H   | H   | B   | H   | B   | A   | H   | B   | B   | A   | H   |
| B        | H   | H   | B   | A   | B   | H   | A   | H   | H   | B   | H   | B   | A   | H   | H   | B   | H   | B   | A   | H   | B   |
| B        | H   | H   | B   | A   | H   | H   | B   | H   | B   | A   | H   | H   | B   | H   | B   | A   | H   | B   | B   | A   | H   |
| B        | H   | H   | B   | A   | B   | H   | A   | H   | H   | B   | H   | B   | A   | H   | H   | B   | H   | B   | A   | H   | B   |
| B        | H   | H   | B   | A   | H   | H   | B   | H   | B   | A   | H   | H   | B   | H   | B   | A   | H   | B   | B   | A   | H   |
| Plant ID | Chi-square test for segregation |
|----------|--------------------------------|
| 182_E183_E184_BC1F3 | Genotype segregation Not available | $\chi^2$ | $p$-value |
| B H H | 48 : 86 : 50 (A : H : B) | 0 | 0.826 (1 : 2 : 1) | 0.662 |
| C A C | 48 : 136 (A : C) | 0 | 0.116 (1 : 3) | 0.734 |
| B A H | 47 : 94 : 43 (A : H : B) | 0 | 0.261 (1 : 2 : 1) | 0.878 |
| C A C | 47 : 137 (A : C) | 0 | 0.029 (1 : 3) | 0.865 |
| C A C | 49 : 135 (A : C) | 0 | 0.261 (1 : 3) | 0.610 |
| C A C | 49 : 135 (A : C) | 0 | 0.261 (1 : 3) | 0.610 |
| B A H | 50 : 91 : 43 (A : H : B) | 0 | 0.554 (1 : 2 : 1) | 0.758 |
| B H $^5$ | 48 : 93 : 42 (A : H : B) | 1 | 0.443 (1 : 2 : 1) | 0.802 |
| B H H | 49 : 93 : 42 (A : H : B) | 0 | 0.554 (1 : 2 : 1) | 0.758 |
| C C C | 46 : 138 (A : C) | 0 | 0 (1 : 3) | 1 |
| B H B | 46 : 96 : 42 (A : H : B) | 0 | 0.522 (1 : 2 : 1) | 0.770 |
| C C C | 46 : 138 (A : C) | 0 | 0 (1 : 3) | 1 |
| C C C | 46 : 138 (A : C) | 0 | 0 (1 : 3) | 1 |
| A A A | - | 0 | - | - |
| A A A | - | 0 | - | - |
| A C A | 42 : 142 (A : C) | 0 | 0.464 (1 : 3) | 0.496 |
| A H A | 44 : 92 : 48 (A : H : B) | 0 | 0.174 (1 : 2 : 1) | 0.917 |
