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ABSTRACT

We present the luminosity function of [O II]-emitting galaxies at a median redshift of z = 0.9, as measured in the deep spectroscopic data in the STIS Parallel Survey (SPS). The luminosity function shows strong evolution from the local value, as expected. By using random lines of sight, the SPS measurement complements previous deep single-field studies. We calculate the density of inferred star formation at this redshift by converting from [O II] to Hα line flux as a function of absolute magnitude and find \( \rho = 0.043 \pm 0.014 \, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}^{-3} \) at a median redshift \( z \sim 0.9 \) within the range \( 0.46 < z < 1.415 \) (\( H_0 = 70 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}^{-1} \), \( \Omega_M = 0.3, \Omega_\Lambda = 0.7 \)). This density is consistent with a \((1+z)^3\) evolution in global star formation since \( z \sim 1 \). To reconcile the density with similar measurements made by surveys targeting Hα may require substantial extinction correction.

Subject headings: cosmology: observations — galaxies: evolution — galaxies: fundamental parameters

1. INTRODUCTION

The comoving density of global star formation in the universe has decreased significantly since the redshift of \( z \sim 1-2 \) (Hogg 2002; Madau, Pozzetti, & Dickinson 1998; Madau et al. 1996), but the details of the evolution are still uncertain. Extensive measurements of the star formation history have concentrated on surveys for Hα emission (e.g., Gallego et al. 1995; Yan et al. 1999; Tresse et al. 2002) and the UV continuum (e.g., Lilly et al. 1996; Connolly et al. 1997). A correction factor is needed to reconcile the two methods (Calzetti 1997; Tresse & Maddox 1998; Glazebrook et al. 1999) since dust obscuration is expected to hide some significant fraction of star formation in the distant universe. Complementary optical data are provided by targeting the [O II] \( \lambda 3727 \) emission line, which can also provide a direct measurement of the rate of star formation, although its calibration depends on the metallicity and ionization state of the gas, as well as the reddening (Kennicutt 1998). Indeed, surveys at higher redshift will need to depend on the oxygen line. At \( z > 4 \), the Hβ line redshifts out of the K band, making it inaccessible to ground-based near-IR spectrometers. As a result, [O II] emission will be the best rest-frame optical tracer of star formation available at high redshift until the James Webb Space Telescope.

In this paper, we present analysis of the deepest spectroscopic fields in the STIS Parallel Survey (SPS; Gardner et al. 1998). Our motivation is to determine the comoving density of star formation at \( z \sim 0.9 \) as inferred from the [O II] emission line. The deep SPS data cover 141 arcmin\(^2\) and detect objects down to continuum magnitudes of \( m_{\text{50 CCD}} \sim 26 \) in filterless imaging, with emission-line strengths as faint as \( 7.5 \times 10^{-17} \, \text{ergs cm}^{-2} \, \text{s}^{-1} \) (Teplitz et al. 2003, hereafter Paper I). These data are complementary to other surveys of the [O II] luminosity density. Hogg et al. (1998, hereafter H98) measured [O II] emission in 375 galaxies with \( R < 23.3 \) from the redshift survey data in the 50 arcmin\(^2\) “Caltech area” (Cohen et al. 2000) around the Hubble Deep Field—North (HDF; Williams et al. 1996). The HDF spectra obtained with the Low Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (Oke et al. 1995) on the Keck telescopes measure emission lines to a limit 15 times fainter than the SPS. Prior to H98, the best measurement of the [O II] luminosity density was obtained the Canada France Redshift Survey (CFRS; Lilly et al. 1995) by Hammer et al. (1997, hereafter CFRS9). A significant increase in [O II] luminosity is seen with look-back time in the range \( 0 < z < 1 \) (Cowie et al. 1996; Gallego et al. 2002).

Throughout the paper, we adopt a flat, \( \Lambda \)-dominated universe (\( H_0 = 70 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}^{-1} \), \( \Omega_M = 0.3, \Omega_\Lambda = 0.7 \)), except where otherwise noted.

2. THE DATA

The slitless spectroscopic capability of the Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph (STIS), using the G750L grating with a spectral resolution of ~750 at 7500 Å, allowed a random-field redshift survey for star-forming galaxies at \( 0.46 < z < 1.7 \). The deepest 219 of the ~2500 fields, which were observed by STIS in parallel with targeted Hubble Space Telescope (HST) observations, contain 78 objects identified with [O II] emission (Paper I). Of these, 14 have multiple emission lines, providing secure redshifts. For the rest, the identification of a single line as [O II] is based on the nondetection of other lines, together with photometric information from the paired direct filterless imaging. A signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) limit of \( \sigma = 2.5 \) was imposed for the strongest line in an object in order for it to be included in the catalog. For the present analysis, we impose a redshift cutoff of \( z \leq 1.415 \) to avoid the objects in the poor sensitivity region at \( \lambda_{\text{obs}} > 9000 \, \text{Å} \). In total, we include 71 of the 78 [O II] emitters from Paper I.

The SPS detections are biased toward objects with a strong contrast between line and continuum. This bias means that most SPS line emitters are morphologically...
compared and that most of the emission lines have high equivalent width (EW). The SPS detection limit is $\sim 35 \text{Å}$ for observed EW, consistent with the values for most galaxies undergoing rapid star formation (Kennicutt 1992). The measured EW value is uncertain for most SPS objects because of the low significance of continuum measurement in the spectra. Typically, the continua are measured with an S/N of $\sigma_c \sim 0.5$ per 2 pixel resolution element. The continuum is measured over many resolution elements (typically 20–30) but is still a major source of error in the EW. Figure 1 compares the EW distribution for the SPS with that of other [O ii] surveys at similar redshifts. The SPS objects have a median rest-frame EW for [O ii] of $W_{0}(\text{[O ii]}) \sim 70 \text{Å}$, but many of the $W_{0}(\text{[O ii]}) > 100 \text{Å}$ objects have poor continuum detections, so that the EW may be overestimated (see H98). It appears from the figure that the SPS is missing a substantial portion of low-EW lines, particularly at low redshift. At high redshift, low-EW lines may simply have been missed in all of the surveys. Nonetheless, CFRS9 and Cowie et al. (1996) both conclude that there is a strong increase in the fraction of galaxies with strong [O ii] emission at $z > 0.5$.

2.1. Incompleteness

The SPS survey detects $W(\text{[O ii]}) > 35 \text{Å}$ in the observational frame. Figure 2 shows the distribution of missing low-EW objects as a function of [O ii] luminosity, based on H98. In the analysis of the SPS sample, we correct for this incompleteness. Because the H98 published data only present the EW distribution for objects with continuum detection ($\geq 2 \sigma$), this incompleteness correction is strictly an upper limit.

The primary source of incompleteness in the SPS sample is missing objects that would have met the selection criteria. Visual detection by multiple observers was more accurate than any available automated detection scheme, but human error remains a factor. Simulated spectroscopic images were used to determine the completeness level of our detection scheme. Test images were created by inserting known spectra into SPS frames and trying to recover them. Two spectra were used as the basis of this experiment, one with $W(\text{[O ii]}) \sim 100$ and the other with $W(\text{[O ii]}) \sim 35$. Both spectra had high S/N ($\sigma_{\text{O ii}} > 8$) but were scaled to lower significance in the test frames. The emission line itself was moved within the spectrum to prevent identification by pattern matching. The spectra were inserted at random spots in randomly chosen images and scaled to an emission-line S/N of 3–7. For each test case, 300 simulated frames were created. In some frames, continuum spectra were also added without an emission line to further disguise the simulated data. Table 1 lists the resulting incompleteness as a function of EW and S/N. The completeness of the survey at any given S/N and EW value is obtained by interpolating between the data points in the table.

3. Calculating the Luminosity Function

We use the $1/V_{\text{max}}$ method to calculate the luminosity function (LF) of [O ii] emission lines. For each galaxy we determine the comoving volume over which it could be detected in our survey. The volume is different for each of the parallel fields, based on the limiting flux. Assuming we have $j$ detections in $k$ fields, then for a single galaxy in a

| $\text{S/N}$ | Completeness ($W_{\text{obs}} \sim 100$) (%) | Completeness ($W_{\text{obs}} \sim 35$) (%) |
|-------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 3.................. | 39                                      | 20                                       |
| 4.................. | 56                                      | 28                                       |
| 5.................. | 72                                      | 44                                       |
| 6.................. | 86                                      | 58                                       |
| 7.................. | 96                                      | 69                                       |
single field we have, following Hogg (1999),

\[
V_{\text{max},j,k} = \left(\frac{c}{H_0}\right) \int \int_{z_{\text{min}}}^{z_{\text{max}}} \frac{(1+z)^2 D_A^2}{E(z)} \, d\Omega \, dz ,
\]

where

\[
E(z) \equiv \sqrt{\Omega_M(1+z)^3 + \Omega_k(1+z)^2 + \Omega_\Lambda}
\]

and \(D_A\) is the angular diameter distance, which in the case of a flat, matter-dominated universe is

\[
D_A = \left(\frac{c}{H_0}\right) \frac{1}{(1+z)} \int_z^{\infty} \frac{dz'}{E(z')} .
\]

Furthermore, \(d\Omega\) is a function of \(z\), because the available area depends on the placement of the observed wavelength of the line and the position of the object within the field of view. That is, if the object is too far to one side, the line will fall off the edge of the detector. Therefore, for an nπx × nπy detector, a central wavelength \(\lambda_c\), a line at rest wavelength \(\lambda_0\), and a dispersion \(d\),

\[
\int d\Omega = \frac{n\pi x}{d} \left(\lambda_0(1+z) - \lambda_c\right) .
\]

The effective area of the detector is slightly smaller than the default field of view (51′2 × 52′2), since we only consider the region of the registered frame with the full exposure time. Similarly, we do not consider the area blocked by very bright stars or local galaxies (see Table 1 in Paper I).

The volume integral is from \(z_{\text{min}}\) to \(z_{\text{max}}\), as defined by the S/N in the line. In an exposure with limiting flux \(f_{\text{lim}}\), a line that is detected at \(\lambda_{\text{obs}}\) with flux \(f_{\text{obs}}\) and originating at \(z_{\text{obs}}\) would have been observable at any \(z\) such that

\[
D_L(z) < D_L(z_{\text{obs}}) \left(\frac{f_{\text{obs}}}{f_{\text{lim}} S(z)}\right) ,
\]

where \(S(z)\) is the sensitivity function of the spectrum, normalized to unity at \(\lambda_{\text{obs}}\) and \(D_L\) is the luminosity distance:

\[
f \equiv \frac{L}{4\pi D_L^2(z)} .
\]

The individual \(V_{\text{max},j,k}\) for each of the survey fields are summed for each galaxy to obtain its \(V_{\text{max},j}\). Finally, the number density of galaxies in a luminosity bin of width \(\Delta(\log L)\) is obtained by

\[
\phi = \frac{1}{\Delta(\log L)} \sum_j \frac{1}{V_{\text{max},j}} ,
\]

where \(\eta\) is the inverse of the completeness function at the S/N and EW of the detected line. Figure 3 shows the SPS [O ii] LF compared with that of H98 and the local measurement (Gallego et al. 2002). The SPS measurement appears systematically underdense at high luminosities. Only two objects contribute to the last log \(L_{\text{O ii}}\) bin, so missing or misidentified objects may be the cause of that bin being so extreme an outlier. The flattening of the H98 LF at low luminosities compared to the SPS measurement might be expected given the H98 magnitude limit, since H98 do not detect the high-EW \(R > 23\) galaxies that make up much of the SPS sample and may contribute to the faint end of the LF. The LF inferred from SPS shows strong evolution with respect to the local LF, as expected.

4. COMOVING DENSITY OF STAR FORMATION

\(H\alpha\) emission is a good indicator of star formation because it traces the ionizing flux from hot stars. To infer the star formation rate (SFR) in a galaxy from \([O \text{ ii}]\) emission, it is necessary to assume an \([O \text{ ii}]:H\alpha\) ratio. This ratio has an average of 0.45 for local galaxies (Kennicutt 1998) but is highly dependent on the metallicity and reddening of the individual galaxy. Jansen, Franx, & Fabrènci (2001) show that the ratio can vary by up to a factor of 7 and that it has a strong inverse correlation with continuum luminosity. We adopt the relation they find for local galaxies with strong \(H\alpha\) emission \([\text{EW}(H\alpha) > 10 \text{ Å}]\):

\[
\log \left(\frac{[O \text{ ii}]}{H\alpha}\right) = 0.09 M_B + 1.42 .
\]

Jansen et al. find considerable scatter about this relation. Apparently, the relation holds at higher redshift \((z \sim 1;\ Tresse et al. 2002)\), but it has not been tested on many objects, and it may not hold in all cases (Hicks et al. 2002). If we instead use the average value for the ratio of \([O \text{ ii}]\) to \(H\alpha\), then we obtain similar results, although the distribution of \(H\alpha\) luminosities has fewer outliers; that is, we find fewer galaxies with \(L_{H\alpha} < 10^{42}\) or \(> 10^{43}\).

In order to apply the Jansen et al. (2001) relation, it is necessary to know the rest-frame \(M_B\) of each galaxy. The SPS images are taken with the STIS filterless CCD, which admits a much wider wavelength range than the \(B\) filter. We adopt a continuum slope proportional to wavelength, except in cases in which a good detection of the continuum in the spectrum allows direct measurement. This conversion is an important systematic error in the SPS data and in the future could be improved with photometry of the emission-line objects in several filters. The average value of \([O \text{ ii}]:H\alpha\) remains close to 0.45 for the SPS sample using the Jansen
et al. relation, but some individual galaxies change by a factor of more than 2.

Figure 4 shows the LF of SPS emission lines converted to Hα, compared with other measurements of LF(Hα) at similar redshifts, from the literature. Of particular interest are the comparisons with measurements made with Near-Infrared Camera and Multi-Object Spectrometer (NICMOS) slitless spectroscopy on HST (Thompson et al. 1998). The NICMOS parallels (McCarthy et al. 1999; Yan et al. 1999) are a survey comparable in many respects to the SPS, since they are biased toward compact high-EW objects and sensitive to a similar range of luminosities. The NICMOS parallels do not detect lines with EW_{rest}(Hα) < 50 Å, but Hα EW is typically 2.5 times that of [O II] (Kennicutt 1998).

The SPS measurement of the Hα LF appears systematically somewhat lower than that of NICMOS. The SPS objects are at a slightly lower average redshift, and some evolution in the LF is expected. In addition, [O II] is subject to more extinction than Hα, although this effect is mitigated by reddening in the galaxies used to fit the Jansen et al. relation for [O II] : Hα.

We fit a Schechter function to our LF(Hα), even though the SPS fields do not contain enough detections to constrain all three parameters (ϕ*, L*, and α) accurately. We adopt the faint-end slope measured for the local universe (α ∼ −1.35; Gallego et al. 1995), as do most of the Hα surveys. Hopkins, Connolly, & Szalay (2000) use a steeper slope, α = −1.6, in good agreement with that of Sullivan et al. (2000) for [O II]. If we had used this value, our final SFR densities would have been ∼25% higher. The integral of the Schechter function is given analytically by

\[ \phi^* L^* \Gamma(2 + \alpha) . \]  

Thus, the integrated Hα luminosity density inferred from the SPS data is 5.9 × 10^{39} h_70 \text{ ergs s}^{-1} at a median redshift of z ∼ 0.9. This value is about 4.1 times the local Hα luminosity density (Gallego et al. 1995), but only half that measured in the NICMOS parallels at z ∼ 1.3 (Yan et al. 1999).

Assuming case B recombination and a Salpeter initial mass function truncated at 0.1 and 100 M_☉, the SFR in a galaxy can be inferred from the Hα luminosity using the Kennicutt (1998) relation:

\[ \text{SFR} (M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}) = 7.9 \times 10^{-42} L(\text{Hα}) (\text{ergs s}^{-1}) . \]  

Using this conversion, we obtain a comoving density of star formation of 0.043 ± 0.014 M_☉ yr^{-1} Mpc^{-3} at z ∼ 0.9. Figure 5 compares the SFR density with values measured from other rest-frame UV-optical surveys. The SPS value is calculated as an integral over a large redshift range (0.46 < z < 1.415), but it can also be measured for a subset of the sample. In Figure 5, we show the SPS density of star formation for the subsets of the data in the ranges 0.46 < z < 1 and 1 < z < 1.41, with median redshifts of 0.72 and 1.14, respectively. We do not plot the SFR density inferred by H98, but these authors note that their SFR density agrees with CFRS9.

### 5. DISCUSSION

As expected, the SPS data show strong evolution in the density of star formation over the range 1.14 > z > 0.72. Although the uncertainties involved are large, the evolution observed is consistent with (1 + z)^α, the same as that computed by Tresse et al. (2002) for evolution in Hα. The same exponent is derived when the other [O II] measurements in Figure 5 are included. The value agrees with the wavelength-independent exponent of 3.8 ± 0.8 for a matter-dominated flat universe (Hogg 2002). However, Baldry
et al. (2002) set a strong upper limit on the exponent of $\leq 2$ at $z > 1$.

It is apparent from Figure 5 that the [O ii] measurements of the SFR density do not agree with the H$\alpha$ measurements any more than with those inferred from the UV continuum. The clear difference between the H$\alpha$ and UV measurements is usually attributed to dust extinction (e.g., Yan et al. 1999), but at 3727 Å, the [O ii] emission should be subject to less extinction from dust than the UV continuum. Furthermore, any difference in extinction between H$\alpha$ and [O ii] should have been included, to first order, in the calibration of the ratio of the lines by Jansen et al. (2001), since that ratio was derived empirically from typically metal-rich galaxies. If more sensitive spectrophotometry were obtained for the SPS sample, it would be possible to recalculate the inferred H$\alpha$ luminosities using reddening information in the Jansen et al. fit. It might be argued that the SPS selection effects favor more reddened objects, if reddening is greater in more compact starbursts, perhaps as a result of the greater concentration of gas and dust. The CFRS9 measurement, however, shows the same distinction, and that survey had very different selection criteria.

The disagreement between the [O ii] and H$\alpha$ values might be resolved if both are corrected for extinction. Gallego et al. (2002) find the extinction correction for [O ii] to be a factor of 10 on average, which brings their measurement of the local SFR density into agreement with the density inferred from H$\alpha$ (Gallego et al. 1995). This value seems quite large, however, and does not agree with that used in other studies. Tresse et al. (2002) apply a factor of 2 extinction correction to H$\alpha$ and only a factor of 3.6 to [O ii], similar to Sullivan et al. (2000).

The uncertainties in the measurement of the SFR density are still large, and the number of objects with both [O ii] and H$\alpha$ line fluxes is still small. A direct approach to resolving the differences suggested by Figure 5 is an additional multiwavelength study of consistent samples. At $z \sim 1$, these measurements require sensitive near-IR spectroscopy. Observations of that kind are possible and are becoming more common (e.g., Pettini et al. 2001; Teplitz et al. 2000), either from the ground or soon with the refurbished NICMOS.
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