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The two main diseases of inflammatory bowel disease are Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis. The pathogenesis of inflammatory disease is that abnormal intestinal inflammations occur in genetically susceptible individuals according to various environmental factors. The consequent process results in inflammatory bowel disease. Medical treatment consists of the induction of remission in the acute phase of the disease and the maintenance of remission. Patients with Crohn’s disease finally need surgical treatment in 70% of the cases. The main surgical options for Crohn’s disease are divided into two surgical procedures. The first is strictureplasty, which can prevent short bowel syndrome. The second is resection of the involved intestinal segment. Simultaneous medico-surgical treatment can be a good treatment strategy. Ulcerative colitis is a diffuse nonspecific inflammatory disease that involves the colon and the rectum. Patients with ulcerative colitis need surgical treatment in 30% of the cases despite proper medical treatment. The reasons for surgical treatment are various, from life-threatening complications to growth retardation. The total proctocolectomy (TPC) with an ileal pouch anal anastomosis (IPAA) is the most common procedure for the surgical treatment of ulcerative colitis. Medical treatment for ulcerative colitis after a TPC with an IPAA is usually not necessary.
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INTRODUCTION

Inflammatory bowel disease was officially reported with the name of ‘regional ileitis’ in 1932 by Crohn et al. [1]. However, a disease which could be considered as Crohn’s disease retrospectively was first reported in 1813 [2].

The two main diseases of inflammatory bowel disease are Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis. Inflammatory bowel disease has a wide range of manifestations from acute to chronic in nature and a wide involvement of lesions from the mouth to the anus. Crohn’s disease shows a typically transmural inflammatory nature and involves both the small intestine and the colon. Ulcerative colitis occurs in the colon, and the inflammatory nature is superficial and is confined to the mucosa or submucosa.

The etiology of inflammatory bowel disease is uncertain. Thus, epidemiologic studies have been performed to find the reason for inflammatory bowel disease. In the United States, Canada, and the United Kingdom, the prevalence rate is 300 to 400 per 100,000 population. However, in Japan and Singapore, the prevalence rate is smaller than it is in the Western countries. The prevalence rate of inflammatory bowel disease is 23 per 100,000 population in Japan, and 10 per 100,000 population in Singapore. Moreover, Africa and South America have relatively low prevalence rates compared to the Western countries [3-6].

In Korea, there is a lack of nationwide epidemiologic data for the prevalence rate of inflammatory bowel disease. Recently, Yang et al. [7] reported that the adjusted prevalence rate of inflammatory bowel disease was 30.87 per 100,000 population for specific urban regions in the area of Seoul. This datum was similar to the data for other Asian countries (Japan and Singapore). In the study of Yang et al. [7] the prevalence rate increased from 11.24 per 100,000 population during 1986 to 1990 to 30.87 per 100,000 population during 2001 to 2005. Korea was developing and becoming urbanized during those study periods. These results imply that urbanization...
may be a risk factor for inflammatory bowel disease.

PATHOGENESIS OF INFLAMMATORY BOWEL DISEASE AND MEDICAL TREATMENT

According to epidemiologic studies, the etiologies of inflammatory bowel disease include not only genetic factors but also environmental factors. As environmental factors, enteric microbes, flagellin, diet and smoking have been recognized as etiologic factors. Smoking has been clearly considered as a definite etiologic factor for developing Crohn’s disease de novo and for increased recurrence after surgical treatment [8]. Moreover, hygiene, diet and antibiotics have been considered as environmental factors [9].

Genetic factors have been a major research area to evaluate the pathogenesis of inflammatory disease. As a genetic predisposition, NOD2/CARD15, IL-23 receptor, OCTN 1 and 2, DLG5, HLA, ATG16L1 and others are considered [8, 10, 11]. NOD2/CARD15 was discovered in 2001. NOD2/CARD15 is on chromosome 16 and is expressed as a cytoplasmic protein. Its role is recognition of muramyl dipeptide, a component of the bacterial cell wall peptidoglycan, and activation of NF-kappa B, a potent second messenger involved in immune regulatory mechanisms [12]. Genetic mutation of NOD2 causes an abnormal recognition of commensal bacteria in the intestinal lumen. Then, the innate immune system is impaired. Subsequently, abnormal inflammation occurs in the intestinal wall and results in the destruction of the mucosa. However, this hypothesis covers only 25% of the pathogenesis of Crohn’s disease in Caucasians. Moreover, ulcerative colitis is not related to the mutation of NOD2 [13].

Thus, current understanding of the pathogenesis of inflammatory disease is that abnormal intestinal inflammations occur in genetically susceptible individuals because of various environmental factors such as diet, hygiene and drugs. The consequent process results in inflammatory bowel disease [14].

Medical treatment consists of the induction of remission in the acute phase of the disease and maintenance of remission. The purpose of proper treatments is to prevent complications from both the disease itself and the long-term medical treatment and to improve the quality of life by symptom control and prevention of complications. These purposes can be achieved by precise medical treatments and proper use of surgical treatments.

Sulfasalazine and 5-aminosalicylates are the first line drugs for mild-to-moderate Crohn’s disease. Metronidazole and ciprofloxacin can be used as additional medical treatments for perianal complications of Crohn’s disease. However, side effects occur in 50% of the patients who take metronidazole. The side effects of metronidazole are indigestion, metallic taste, reaction to alcohol, and peripheral neuropathy. Peripheral neuropathy can be irreversible and occurs in patients with long-term use of metronidazole. Ciprofloxacin can be as effective as 5-aminosalicylic acid for the remission of Crohn’s disease [15]. Corticosteroids, biologic agents and immunomodulators can be used for moderate-to-severe Crohn’s disease. Corticosteroids are effective for the induction of remission, but are not indicated for maintenance therapy in Crohn’s disease.

Immunomodulators that are often used for the medical treatment of Crohn’s disease are azathioprine (AZA), 6-mercaptopurine (6-MP) and methotrexate (MTX). AZA and 6-MP are useful for maintaining remission, and MTX can be used for the induction of remission. Infliximab is a tumor necrosis factor, an antagonist as a biologic agent, and is effective in both the induction of remission and the maintenance of remission. Especially, infliximab is effective in fistulizing Crohn’s disease [16-18].

Sulfasalazine and 5-aminosalicylates are the first line agents for the induction of remission in mild-to-moderate ulcerative colitis, like Crohn’s disease. In the treatment of ulcerative colitis, corticosteroids can be used for the induction of remission. AZA and 6-MP are used if the disease is persistently active and steroid refractory. If there is a patient who is not responding to corticosteroids, infliximab can be effective in treating ulcerative colitis and can be used for both the induction of remission and the maintenance of remission in patients with moderate-to-severe ulcerative colitis [19]. Cyclosporin and infliximab can be used as rescue therapy for severe steroid-refractory ulcerative colitis [20].

SURGICAL MANAGEMENT OF INFLAMMATORY BOWEL DISEASE

Crohn’s disease

Patients with Crohn’s disease finally need surgical treatment in 70% of the cases and will require re-operations in 30 to 70% of the cases [21, 22]. The first line of treatment has been proper medical treatment because of its chronic inflammatory nature. However, medical treatment alone cannot manage the entire prolonged course of Crohn’s disease. Surgical intervention is a critical and mandatory treatment option to manage complicated Crohn’s disease. Indications of surgery for Crohn’s disease are hemorrhage, intestinal perforation, intestinal obstruction and abscess. These indications usually need emergent operations in cases with severe colitis. Indications for an elective operation are a neoplasm from Crohn’s disease, complications that have a chronic nature, growth retardation, and failed medical treatments. Failed medical treatments can be divided into non- or limited-responsive disease in the following situations: the patient’s not being able to endure the symptoms related to Crohn’s disease, the existence of problematic complications related to the medications for Crohn’s disease, and the patient’s poor compliance with the medication.

Surgery options

The main surgical options for Crohn’s disease are divided into two surgical procedures. The first is strictureplasty, which can prevent short bowel syndrome. The second is resection of the involved intestinal segment. Both procedures can be performed using the
open or the laparoscopic approach. Strictureplasty cannot be performed for patients with active Crohn's disease, phlegmon, septic fistulas, and duodenal strictures. Moreover, if the stricture site is a previous anastomosis site and recurrence occurs within 12 months of the previous operation, strictureplasty is not a proper surgical treatment option [23-26]. Perforation and severe malnutrition (albumin < 2.0 g/dL) are also contraindications of strictureplasty [23]. Without these contraindications, if the length of the remaining bowel is anticipated to be smaller than 1 to 2 m, strictureplasty should be considered to avoid short bowel syndrome [27].

Many methods are used to perform strictureplasty. The most common method is the Heineke-Mikulicz strictureplasty. This method is a relatively easy method and can be adopted effectively by the general surgeon because it is the original surgical procedure for the treatment of duodenal peptic ulcer disease. The Heineke-Mikulicz strictureplasty is appropriate for a short segment stricture (<10 cm) [28, 29]. A longitudinal enterotomy is performed along the strictured segment beyond 2 cm. Then, primary closure is performed in a vertical direction. This method of closure permits a proper lumen after the operation.

Other strictureplasties similar to the Heineke-Mikulicz strictureplasty are the Judd strictureplasty and the Moskel-Walske-Neumayer strictureplasty. If a fistulous opening exists in the segment of a stricture, the Judd strictureplasty is performed. In this procedure, the fistulous opening is first excised. Then, a longitudinal enterotomy is performed horizontally across the excised fistulous opening, and primary repair is performed in the same manner as in the Heineke-Mikulicz strictureplasty. In the Moskel-Walske-Neumayer strictureplasty, a Y-shaped enterotomy is performed horizontally with the strictured segment. Closure of the Y-shaped enterotomy is similar to the Heineke-Mikulicz strictureplasty. These types of strictureplasties are for short segment strictures (<10 cm) [30].

If the length of the strictured segment is longer than 10 cm and shorter than 25 cm, intermediate strictureplasties are proper surgical options. These procedures are the Finney strictureplasty and the Jaboulay strictureplasty. In the Finney strictureplasty, the strictured segment is folded into a U shape, an enterotomy is performed along the folded U shape segment, and a side-to-side anastomosis is performed [30-32]. If the enterotomy is linear at both sides without a connection and side-to-side anastomosis is done, the method is called a Jaboulay strictureplasty [29].

The Michelassi strictureplasty and the Poggioili strictureplasty are the surgical procedures for long strictured segments of the bowel (>20 cm). In the Michelassi strictureplasty, the first procedure is cutting the mid portion of the long strictured segment and then overlapping each stricred segment. Then, a side-to-side longitudinal enteroenterostomy is performed. This procedure is a side-to-side isoperistaltic strictureplasty. The Poggioili strictureplasty is a modified type of Michelassi strictureplasty. The difference is in the cutting area. The cutting area is the distal area of the strictured segment. This procedure is side-to-side isoperistaltic similar to the Michelassi strictureplasty, and the diseased to the diseased-free enterostomy is different from the Michelassi strictureplasty.

The surgical field and condition of Crohn's disease is frequently difficult due to severe mesenteric inflammation, stricture, fistula, abscess and adhesion. In these conditions, surgeons prefer resection of the involved segment because of the possibility of rapid reactivation of Crohn's disease and anastomotic failure. However, the safety and the feasibility of strictureplasty have been noted [23, 24, 33-35].

Resection of the involved bowel is necessary if strictureplasty is not technically appropriate or indicated. Minimal invasive surgical procedures can be used for the surgery of Crohn's disease. Laparoscopic surgery is an available option for minimal invasive surgery. The benefits of laparoscopic surgery are a shorter hospital stay and better cosmetics compared to conventional open surgery. The median hospital stay of laparoscopic surgery was 5 days in the Maartense et al. [36] and the Milsom et al. [37] studies. In the Maartense et al. [36] study, the median hospital stay of open surgery was 7 days, and the hospital stay of laparoscopic surgery was shown to be significantly shorter than the hospital stay of conventional surgery. However, the difference in the length of stay between the two groups was not significant in the Milsom et al. [37] study. In the Milsom et al. [37] study, the median hospital stay was 6 days. The wound infection rates and the incidences of postoperative pneumonia were not different between the groups in these studies. Other studies have also reported on the safety and the feasibility of laparoscopic surgery [38, 39].

A valuable benefit of laparoscopic surgery is the shorter length of the skin incision. Crohn's disease patients are relatively younger than cancer patients. Thus, this cosmetic advantage of laparoscopic surgery can be valuable. However, the procedure of laparoscopic surgery is limited compared to conventional surgery. Laparoscopic surgery can be challenging if the surgical field has one or more of the following: advanced and severe mesenteric inflammation, an entero-enteric fistula, an entero-cutaneous fistula, or an abscess with severe fibrotic adhesion. Open conversion is frequently necessary in these situations. A delayed operation may result in the patient's losing the opportunity to receive minimally invasive surgery. This is unfortunate because minimally invasive surgery has better cosmetics after surgery compared to conventional open surgery. Besides laparoscopic surgery, single-port laparoscopic surgery (SILS) has been recently adopted in surgery for Crohn's disease. Laparoscopic surgery has multi-ports compared to SILS. Thus, SILS has better cosmetics than laparoscopic surgery, but more limitations during the procedure than laparoscopic surgery [40].

Robotic surgery is another option for minimally invasive surgery. It can be used for Crohn's disease because robotic surgery has been used for rectal cancer surgery and has the same concepts as laparoscopic surgery. However, adoption of robotic surgery is questionable due to the high cost of robotic surgery. To date, no report on robotic surgery for Crohn's disease has been found.
Perianal Crohn's disease

In Crohn's disease, many pathologic anal lesions are related to Crohn's disease. These are perineal ulcerations, anal fissures, anal stenoses, anorectal abscesses, rectovaginal fistulas and perianal fistulas. These perianal diseases occur in up to 90% of Crohn's disease patients, and initial isolated perianal manifestation is observed in 10 to 15% of the patients [41, 42]. Unusual perianal ulcers may occur in 1.9 to 5.1% of the patients with Crohn's disease [43].

Anal fissures occur at any location of the anus and are different from ordinary anal fissures, which occur at the posterior or anterior midline of the anus. The most common lesion is posterior (41%), and multiple fissures occur in 32 to 33% of the patients. Lateral fissures occur in 9 to 20% of the patients. Anal pain is relatively less than it is for an ordinary anal fissure [41-43]. Conservative treatment is the first option. A lateral internal sphincterotomy can be applied to an intractable anal fissure [44].

Anal stenoses are common in patients with Crohn's disease. There are two etiologies of anal stenosis. The first is infection and inflammation of an intraluminal membrane or extramucosal fibrotic tissue. One finger dilatation or a Hegar dilator can be used for maintaining proper defecation in patients with severe anal stenosis. However, a proctectomy may be necessary in some severe cases [45].

Anorectal abscesses occur with an incidence of 23 to 62% in the natural history of Crohn's disease. They are a relatively common manifestation of Crohn's disease, and 70% of the anorectal abscesses are related to perianal fistulas [43].

Rectovaginal fistulas are a problematic manifestation of Crohn's disease. They occur spontaneously in 3.5 to 23% of patients with Crohn's disease. A minimal symptomatic rectovaginal fistula may not need operative treatment. A symptomatic fistula without proctitis can be treated by local repair. A symptomatic fistula with severe proctitis usually needs a proctectomy. In this situation, local repair with a fecal diversion can be another treatment option [46, 47].

Perianal fistulas

Perianal fistulas are a very problematic condition that decreases the quality of life in Crohn's disease patients. The incidence of perianal fistulas in Crohn's disease patients is reported to be 14 to 56%. Moreover, perianal fistulas can be the first clinical manifestation of Crohn's disease [48-50]. Clinical manifestations of perianal fistulas are prolonged pus drainage from an external opening of a perianal fistula. Perianal pain can accompany a perianal fistula because a perianal abscess can progress even though some part of the pus is drained through an external opening. In this situation, proper abscess drainage is usually necessary.

A conventional fistulotomy or fistulectomy should be applied with great attention because the postoperative wound is usually unhealed. Bell et al. [51] reported that the median healing time was up to 2.6 years after the operation. Intersphincter fistulas and low transsphincter fistulas can be treated safely without a high risk of postoperative incontinence by using a fistulotomy [52]. Mid and high transsphincter fistulas should not be treated by using a fistulotomy or a fistulectomy due to postoperative incontinence. Postoperative fecal incontinence after a fistulotomy or a fistulectomy occurs in over 50% of the cases. In this situation, the loose seton procedure is the favored surgical option. Seton is the thread that goes into the external opening through the fistula tract and that goes out from the internal opening and is linked with both ends. There are two kinds of seton procedures. They are the loose seton and the cutting seton. The loose seton is a favored procedure for Crohn's disease patients. The seton materials are usually silk, nylon, rubber, silicon, etc. The function of the seton is to maintain proper pus drainage continuously and to prevent perianal abscess formation. Long-term drainage using a seton is necessary. Recurrence after a seton removal occurs in 39% of the cases. Thus, in some cases, permanent seton drainage is required [53, 54].

Mucosal advancement flaps can be used for complex fistulas. The success rates of mucosal advancement flaps have been reported to be 55 to 98% [55-60]. However, a high recurrence rate was reported. Mizrahi et al. [55] reported that recurrence after a mucosal advancement flap replacement was 57% in patients with Crohn's disease, with a follow-up period of 40.3 months.

Recently, adipose-derived stem cell implantation has been introduced as an experimental treatment option for perianal fistulas related to Crohn's disease. Garcia-Olmo et al. [61] reported that stem cell implantation in combination with fibrin glue was more effective than fibrin glue alone in patients with a suprasphincteric fistula and that it was shown to be safe and effective for the treatment of complex perianal fistulas. However, the number of cases in these studies was less than 13. Thus, large-scale prospective clinical trials are necessary to commonly adopt stem cell implantation as a treatment method for perianal fistulas related to Crohn's disease [62].

Medical treatment

Medical treatment of perianal Crohn's disease cannot be underestimated. Surgical treatments have limitations as mentioned above. Thus, simultaneous medico-surgical treatment can be a good treatment strategy. Antibiotics, anti-tumor necrosis factor-a antibody agent, and immunomodulators are main medical treatment agents. Antibiotics like metronidazole and ciprofloxacin are used. Metronidazole is an antibiotic that fights against anaerobic bacteria infection. Perianal fistulas in patients with Crohn's disease have a colonization of anaerobic bacteria. Because of this, metronidazole is the first drug treatment for Crohn's-disease-related perianal fistulas [63]. The treatment success rates for perianal fistulas in patients with Crohn's disease using metronidazole ranged from 34 to 83% [64-68]. However, discontinuation of metronidazole resulted in recurrence even though it had been administered long term (6 to 8 weeks) [64, 68]. There are various side effects such as a metallic taste, glossitis, nausea and severe peripheral neuropathy with long-term use of metronidazole [66].

Ciprofloxacin is an alternative antibiotic to metronidazole. Cip-
Infliximab is not only an effective drug for the maintenance treatment for Crohn's disease but also can be used for the treatment of perianal fistulas in patients with Crohn's disease. Present et al. [16] reported that the closure of fistulas was noted in 46% of the cases after a median of 12 weeks [18]. Immunomodulators, such as tacrolimus, cyclosporine, and AZA can be used for medical treatment of perianal fistulas in patients with Crohn's disease. However, these immunomodulators have serious side effects, such as an opportunistic infection and reactivation of a latent infection, as with infliximab. Thus, long-term medical treatment only for perianal fistulas in patients with Crohn's disease is controversial [70, 71].

Surgical and medical treatments have some roles in the treatment of perianal fistulas in patients with Crohn's disease. However, the treatment results are not satisfactory, and there are limitations because of the surgical and the medical complications from those treatment modalities. Thus, there have been endeavors to find other treatment methods. Recently, biomaterials have been introduced for the treatment of perianal fistulas in patients with Crohn's disease. These biomaterials are fibrin glue, BioGlue, collagen-based tissue adhesives, and collagen plugs [72-76].

Initial experiences using fibrin glue were acceptable, with a high success rate of 60 to 85% [72, 77-82]. However, these success rates were not repeated in subsequent studies [72, 81-84]. Vitton et al. [85] reported that the closure of fistulas was observed in only 14% of patients 3 months after treatment. BioGlue reported a 50% success rate in the treatment of Crohn's-related perianal fistulas. However, it may cause serious nerve injury [73]. Collagen-based tissue adhesives and collagen fistula plugs are relatively safe biomaterials compared to fibrin glue in terms of disease transmission because they are manufactured from porcine dermis [74]. Collagen-based tissue adhesive has limited data for the treatment of perianal fistulas in patients with Crohn's disease, and the success rate of the collagen fistula plug is from 24 to 87% [75, 86].

**Intraabdominal pyogenic complications**

Intraabdominal abscesses or pelvic abscesses occur at some point in 10 to 30% of patients with Crohn's disease throughout the whole natural history of the disease [87-89]. Intraabdominal abscesses, which occur in patients with Crohn's disease, show a chronic nature, and simultaneously entero-enteric, enterop-amphitoneal, and entero-quataneous fistulas can occur. Even though these abscesses rarely cause an acute septic condition, adequate drainage is necessary. Definitive surgical resection of the involved bowel is the traditional standard surgical treatment after abscess drainage. However, because of the development of minimally invasive interventional treatment, the drainage-only procedure has been introduced as the proper treatment option for intraabdominal abscesses in patients with Crohn's disease [90-92]. However, the drainage of the abscess has limitations. One-third of the patients who underwent drainage of the abscess needed a second surgical treatment after a one-year followup [93]. Other studies have reported that interventional percutaneous drainage as the only treatment for an intraabdominal abscess is successful in only about 50% of Crohn's disease patients [91, 92, 94-99].

Neufeld et al. [93] reported that 13 patients who received percutaneous or surgical drainage procedures due to abdominal wall abscesses eventually needed resection of the involved bowel segment. They found that surgical resection was necessary despite initial adequate drainage and concluded that surgical resection of the diseased bowel segment should be the definitive treatment according to their experiences. Poritz and Koltun [100] suggested a multimodal combined treatment protocol that consisted of initial proper percutaneous drainage with antibiotic and steroid administration, followed by a planned resection with a primary anastomosis. They suggested that this protocol provided standard care for the treatment of intraabdominal abscesses in Crohn's disease patients.

**ULCERATIVE COLITIS**

Ulcerative colitis is a diffuse nonspecific inflammatory disease that involves the colon and the rectum. The depth of inflammation is confined to the mucosa and the submucosa, which is different from Crohn's disease, which shows transmural inflammation. Typical clinical manifestations are diarrhea, abdominal pain, fever, weight loss and hematochezia. Clinical manifestations show both an acute and a chronic nature. Moreover, clinical the spectrum ranges from inactive to fulminant disease and is dynamic, ranging from remission to exacerbation. Chronic clinical manifestations of ulcerative colitis can be divided into mild, moderate, and severe disease. Frequency of bowel movements, rectal bleeding, hemoglobin, ESR, body temperature and heart rate are used as parameters in categorizing the severity [101, 102].

The small bowel is not involved in ulcerative colitis. However, the diarrheal contents of the cecum can reflux into the terminal ileum; then, the terminal ileum becomes inflamed, which is called 'back-wash ileitis' and shows a reversible nature. Backwash ileitis should be differentiated from the ileitis of Crohn's disease.

Ulcerative colitis has extra-colonic manifestations. Asymptomatic parenchymal liver disease is the most common manifestation [103]. Fatty infiltration is observed in up to 50% of patients with ulcerative colitis, and liver cirrhosis can also be present in 3 to 4% of patients with ulcerative colitis [104, 105]. Primary sclerosing cholangitis is another hepatobiliary manifestation of ulcerative colitis. Arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, and sacroiliitis are extra-colonic manifestations of the bone and joints. As dermatologic manifestations, erythema nodosum and pyoderma gangrenosum can be observed in both ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease. Erythema nodosum occurs in 10 to 15% of patients with both ulcer-
ative colitis and Crohn’s disease. Pyoderma gangrenosum is rare, but serious [106].

Patients with ulcerative colitis need surgical treatment in 30% of the cases, despite proper medical treatment [107]. The reasons for surgical treatment are various, ranging from life-threatening complications to growth retardation. The indications for surgery can be divided into two categories, elective and emergency, according to the need for emergent surgery. Indicators of elective surgery are refractory disease status to medical treatment, complications and side effects of chronic medical treatment, intestinal dysplasia or mass lesion, colorectal cancer, severe extra-colonic manifestation, stricture formation and growth retardation. Among these indicators of elective surgery, in fact, refractory disease status for medical treatment is difficult to define. Patients can feel their status is medically intractable because of too much loss of their opportunities to perform everyday or valuable works in their lives due to symptoms related to ulcerative colitis even though the physician is still considering other medical treatment strategies. In this situation, elective surgery should be decided appropriately. Definitely, the converse situation also can occur. However, problematic complications and surgical treatment options should be provided to the patients and their families.

The overall prevalence rate of colorectal cancer is approximately 3.7% in patients with ulcerative colitis [108]. The risk of colorectal cancer rises rapidly 10 years after exposure to ulcerative colitis. The risk of colorectal cancer is 50% after 30 years of the disease and 75% after 40 years of the disease [109]. Colorectal cancer and high-grade dysplasia are clear indicators of surgery. Dysplasia is graded into high-grade dysplasia and low-grade dysplasia according to the degree of cytologic and architectural alteration [110, 111]. Low-grade dysplasia is controversial due to its relatively low risk of cancer development compared to high-grade dysplasia. However, surgical treatment should be considered in young patients with ulcerative colitis who have a long life expectancy. Pathologic diagnosis of dysplasia is a very difficult task. Thus, at least two different experienced pathologists are necessary for the proper diagnosis of dysplasia [112].

Extra-colonic manifestations are indicators of elective surgery in patients with ulcerative colitis. Arthritis, uveitis, and iritis are improved favorably after a colectomy. However, primary sclerosing cholangitis, ankylosing spondylitis, and sacroilitis are not improved even though a colectomy is performed [106, 113].

Indicators of emergent surgery are a toxic megacolon, perforation, uncontrolled rectal bleeding, septic condition or fulminant disease activity despite intensive medical treatment. In an emergent situation, the standard surgical option is a subtotal colectomy with an end ileostomy. This procedure is technically easier than a total proc- tocolectomy (TPC) with an ileal J-pouch anal anastomosis, which is performed in an elective situation. A subtotal colectomy with an end ileostomy can achieve proper disease control for a septic condition due to toxic complications of ulcerative colitis. Moreover, a future restorative procedure is possible. However, if mas-sive bleeding is focused in the rectum, then a proctectomy is neces-sary. Laparoscopic or hand-assisted laparoscopic surgery is feasible and safe in this situation. However, in critically ill patients, adopting laparoscopic surgery is controversial because this surgical procedure significantly increases the duration of the operation [114, 115].

As elective surgical treatments, there are four main surgical options. The first is the TPC with a Brooke ileostomy, and the second is a TPC with an ileal pouch anal anastomosis (IPAA) (TPC with IPAA). An abdominal colectomy with an ileorectal anas-tomosis and a TPC with a continent ileostomy are the third and the fourth surgical options, respectively. A TPC with a Brooke ileos-tomy (primary maturation of the ileostomy) has been the ‘golden standard surgical option’ because the entire diseased colon and rectum can be removed with low complications compared to other surgical options [116]. The permanent ileostomy is a disadvantage though. The patient should be educated preoperatively about changes in the body image and lifestyle. Recently, indicators of this procedure are elderly patients, patients with distal rectal cancer, preoperative poor anal sphincter function, which anticipates poor functional outcomes after sphincter-saving surgery, and patients who accept this procedure after preoperative education [116].

An abdominal colectomy with an ileorectal anastomosis is the rectum and anal-sphincter-saving procedure. If the rectum is not diseased preoperatively, this procedure can be performed. However, regular postoperative colonoscopic examinations should be performed to detect dysplasia or rectal cancer in the remaining rectum. The risk of rectal cancer is approximately 5% in 15 to 20 years [117]. Young patients who want to return to work or want to preserve their reproductive abilities are candidates for this procedure. However, if patients have inflammation of the rectum or compromised anal sphincter function, this procedure cannot be used. The continent ileostomy was introduced by Kock [118]. This procedure is a surgical solution for patients who do not wish to attach an ostomy appliance and who do not want a Brooke ileo-stomy. However, postoperative complications are problematic in this procedure. Vernava and Goldberg [119] reported that early complication rates were 23% and that late complication rates were 54%. In that study, they categorized intraabdominal abscesses, peritonitis, suture line leakages, fistulas, small bowel obstructions, and stomal necrosis as early complications and valve dysfunction requiring another operation as a late complication. Thus, recently, the use of this procedure has been very rare.

TPC with IPAA is the most common procedure for surgical treat-ment of ulcerative colitis because the body image does not change and the anal route of defecation is preserved. TPC with IPAA has four phases: removal of the colon and rectum while sparing the pelvic nerve and the anal sphincter function and constructions of an ileal reservoir, an ileal reservoir anal anastomosis and diverting ileostomy. The diverting ileostomy is generally recommended even though fecal diversion cannot prevent anastomotic leakage because it can prevent severe septic complications due to a large amount
of fecal leakage into the pelvic cavity [120, 121]. The ileal reservoir is an ileal pouch, and there are several types of ileal pouches. The S pouch was introduced in 1978 by Parks and Nicholls [122], and the J pouch was described by Utsunomiya et al. [123] in 1980. Recently, the J pouch has been the ileal pouch most preferred by almost all surgeons [124].

Medical treatment for ulcerative colitis after a TPC with IPAA is not necessary. However, pouchitis can occur after the operation, and the incident rate of pouchitis is 7 to 59% [125-127]. Other pouch-related complications can occur, and these are anastomotic strictures, perianal fistulas, perianal abscesses, abdominal fistulas, abdominal or pelvic abscesses, and unsatisfactory function of the pouch. The incidence rates of these complications are 12 to 22% [128, 129].

Sexual and voiding dysfunctions may occur because pelvic nerve injury may occur during the proctectomy. Postoperative impotence occurs in 1 to 2% of the patients and retrograde ejaculation in 2 to 3% of the patients. Dyspareunia occurs in 7% of the patients and fecal leaks during sexual intercourse in 2% of the patients [130-132].

The most problematic complication, which decreases the quality of life, is the ileal pouch vaginal fistula. The incidence rate of the ileal pouch vaginal fistula is 4 to 12% [133-138]. The ileal pouch vaginal fistula can persist even though repeated surgical attempts for closure are performed. The success rate of surgical treatment of an ileal pouch vaginal fistula is approximately 50% in patients with an initial failure of surgery [139]. Moreover, Shah et al. [140] reported eventual pouch failure in 22% of the patients and persistent pouch-vaginal fistulas in 27% of the 60 female patients who had a pouch-vaginal fistula after an IPAA.
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