Triple Therapy in COPD: Can We Welcome the Reduction in Cardiovascular Risk and Mortality?
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Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a complex disease which consists in the reduction of the airflow and leads to the disruption of the pulmonary tissue due to a chronic inflammation. The progression of the disease is characterized by an exacerbation of the symptoms and the presence of life-threatening systemic complications, such as stroke and ischemic heart disease, with a progressive decline in lung function which can deeply impact the quality of life. Mortality represents the most important COPD outcome, with an increased risk in patients with cardiovascular comorbidities. The efficacy and safety of triple inhaled therapy were demonstrated by numerous controlled trials. Above all, many robust data are now available on the effectiveness of the triple therapy to reduce mortality in COPD patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a slowly progressive (1) complex disease, which consists in the reduction of the airflow and leads to the disruption of the pulmonary tissue due to a chronic inflammation (2, 3). According to the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) initiative, the airflow limitation “is usually both progressive and associated with an abnormal inflammatory response of the lungs to noxious particles or gases” (4). Over the lifetime, COPD might predispose to exacerbations and serious illness (5). According to the World Health Organization (WHO), 251 million cases of COPD were globally reported in 2016 and it is estimated that 3.17 million deaths were caused by the disease in 2015 (5). Moreover, COPD prevalence is likely to increase in the coming years due to higher smoking habits and aging populations (5).

Although the development of COPD might be considered multifactorial (6), smoking can be considered the most important environmental risk factor in the development of COPD (7), leading to a rapid decline in lung function and an increase in mortality in smokers compared to non-smokers (8). However, multiple risk factors might be involved in the development of the disease which can be classified into environment-related risk factors and patient-related risk factors, such as respiratory infections, occupational exposures, ambient air pollution, passive smoke exposure, and diet. Moreover, some other genetic factors can be involved in the establishment of COPD, such as the Alpha1-antitrypsin deficiency (9).
Another important risk factor is reduced Daily Physical Activity (DPA), which might be considered an important cause of cardiovascular (CV) morbidity (10).

COPD pathophysiology is also influenced by toxic particles inhalation (11), which indeed may lead to chronic inflammation that might exacerbate the COPD prognosis (12). These substances act by activating both epithelial cells and alveolar macrophages, which are involved in the release of proinflammatory cytokines and proteases, such as matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and neutrophil elastase. The release of neutrophil elastase leads to elastin degradation and the alveolar wall destruction (11).

COPD clinical course is characterized by persistent and productive cough, dyspnea, and chest tightness (1). The progression of the disease is characterized by an exacerbation of the symptoms and the presence of life-threatening systemic complications (1), such as stroke and ischemic heart disease (13), which are associated with a progressive decline in lung function, and can deeply impact the quality of life (14).

Acute Exacerbations of COPD are mainly triggered by respiratory infections, environmental factors (15), or both (14). Moreover, disorders of the immune system may increase the frequency of AECOPDs, which are associated with an increase of airway inflammation, mucus hypersecretion and gas trapping, and are characterized by both minor (wheeze, sore throat, cough, fever, chest tightness, fatigue, sleep disturbance) and major symptoms (dyspnea, increased sputum volume and purulence) (14). Often, after recurrent exacerbations, respiratory failure might occur, due to hypoxia which is usually characterized by the dropping of the blood oxygen levels during rest, sleep, or activity (16).

**MORTALITY AND CARDIOVASCULAR RISK IN COPD**

Mortality represents the most important COPD outcome (17), even though this event is often underestimated (18), or underreported (19). With 3.23 million deaths in 2019, COPD represents the third cause of death worldwide (20). In the last years, mortality for COPD rapidly increased, particularly among old women, with an increase of three-fold higher among women ≥75 years old (21). This could be due to the high susceptibility to secondary COPD complications, but also to the high smoking prevalence among the global population (22). To date, in Italy 3.5 million people suffer for COPD, with approximately 55% of death due to the respiratory disease. However, the prevalence of the disease is high and often variable between Italian regions, and is related to a late diagnosis after hospitalization for exacerbations, while mild-to-moderate disease are often underdiagnosed. The national PNE (Programma Nazionale Esiti) estimated a high number of hospitalizations for COPD (>100,000/year), with a rate of mortality of 9.8% and 13.45% hospital readmissions at 30 days (https://pne.agenas.it/).

Multiple risk factors may contribute to increase the mortality risk in patients with COPD.

In particular, the reduction in the forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV$_1$) is a strong risk factor of all-cause mortality in moderate COPD (23, 24).

Fletcher and Peto for the first time demonstrated that the initial value of measured FEV$_1$ correlated with the increased risk of death in patients with COPD (25).

Since COPD patients are frequently affected by other comorbidities, cardiovascular disease and malignancy are still the predominant causes of death. Moreover, AECOPDs represent another important risk factor which may influence the mortality rate (26).

Nowadays, multidimensional indexes including BODE, ADO (age, dyspnea, airflow obstruction) and DOSE (dyspnea, obstruction, smoking, exacerbation) indexes, have been used in order to predict the risk of mortality in COPD patients. Several papers, underline this increased risk as also associated with low exercise capacity and increased number of exacerbations (27, 28).

The decrease of morbidity and mortality in COPD is one of the main objectives of GOLD initiative, which represents the most important guide for the management and staging of COPD (29). Last updates, matched spirometry staging, symptoms and exacerbations in the ABCD Classifications in order to choose the best treatment approach (30).

Indeed, from 2015 to 2019, mortality was taken into account was reconsidered because of similar variability between ABCD groups (30).

Cardiovascular diseases (CVD) represent the most frequent cause of death worldwide (29) and it is an important comorbidity in the prediction of all-cause mortality in COPD patients (31). This could be due to the risk shared among two diseases, such as old age, smoking history and increased systemic inflammation (32, 33).

Ischemic heart disease, heart failure and cardiac arrhythmias are the most commonly observed CVDs in COPD patients (34). Numerous mechanisms might be involved in the development of COPD-related CVD, including lung hyperinflation, hypoxemia, pulmonary hypertension (PH), systemic inflammation, oxidative stress, and exacerbations.

Hyperinflation is the major cause of COPD mortality (35) and it deeply impacts the respiratory system. As a matter of the fact, hyperinflation results from the destruction of the lung parenchyma and subsequent loss of lung elasticity, or it occurs when the air becomes trapped during the subsequent respiratory cycle (36), being the trigger of dyspnea (37). The direct consequence is an airflow limitation which causes increased pressure in the cardiopulmonary system, right-ventricular dysfunction, impaired left-ventricular filling and reduced cardiac output (38). Moreover, the airflow limitation results in a mismatch between ventilation and perfusion, which contributes to the development of hypoxemia (39). Finally, the increase of intrapulmonary pressure can lead to the dilation and hypertrophy of the right ventricle (RV), causing the septum displacement to the left ventricle, with compromission of ventricular filling, stroke volume (SV) and cardiac output (40, 41). Moreover, hypoxemia is responsible for pulmonary vasoconstriction, which results in a diastolic disfunction in the right ventricle (42).
Ventricular arrhythmias are common in COPD patients, especially during exacerbations (43) and include supraventricular or ventricular premature beats, atrial fibrillation, atrial flutter, multifocal atrial tachycardia, supraventricular tachycardia, and non-sustained ventricular tachycardia (44).

The mechanism responsible for the increased risk of cardiovascular disease in patients with COPD is not known; however, several processes have been proposed as alternatives, which are involved in the pathogenesis of cardiovascular disease, playing an important role in driving the increased cardiovascular risk associated with enhanced mortality in COPD (45). Indeed, several biomarkers demonstrated a prognostic value for COPD such as C-reactive protein, fibrinogen, brain type natriuretic peptide (BNB), N-terminal pro-BNP (NT Pro BNP), troponin, VEGF, surfactant protein D and the neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio (NLR) (46, 47). Figure 1 summarizes the link and the possible mechanism between COPD and CV risk.

The role of medications for cardiovascular diseases needs to be fully understood. A concomitant COPD treatment with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs), angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs), β-blockers and statins have been correlated with a better management of the disease (48). On the other hand, some COPD treatments might increase CV risk (49–52), such as methylxanthines (53) (which have been now withdrawn), β-agonist drugs (54), inhaled short-acting β-agonists (31), inhaled long-acting β-agonists and anticholinergic drugs (short and long acting) (54). Single dose of indacaterol (55) reduces lung hyperinflation in acute conditions, with a clinically relevant improvement of dyspnea in patients with COPD and CV pathology.

These modifications are associated with a significant increase of the right ventricular compliance indexes and may have a role in improving left ventricular preload leading to a reduction in cardiac frequency (55). In 2018, Hohföld et al. (56) conducted a double-blind, randomized, two-period crossover, placebo-controlled, single-center study aimed to evaluate whether dual bronchodilation with indacaterol/glycopyrronium improved cardiac function. This dual bronchodilation significantly improved cardiac function as measured by left-ventricular end-diastolic volume (56).

Systemic corticosteroids are often administered in COPD patients during exacerbations. Schols et al. (57) conducted a study aimed to evaluate the role of systemic corticosteroids, administered orally, in the CV risk for COPD patients. In this study, an oral glucocorticoid use (10 mg/day) was associated with an increased risk, which was even higher when the administered dose has been increased. In conclusion, maintenance treatment with oral glucocorticoids was associated with increased mortality in a dose-dependent manner (57).

**TRIPLE INHALED THERAPY**

Nowadays, COPD treatment follows a stepwise approach, where a different treatment regimen is indicated for each class (15). Patients belonging to group A present one or fewer exacerbations, without hospitalizations, and not severe symptoms. In this case, patients should receive a short- or long-acting bronchodilator. Group B patients present one or fewer exacerbations, without hospitalization, but are characterized by severe symptoms. A long-acting bronchodilator, either a long-acting muscarinic antagonist (LAMA) or long-acting β 2-agonist (LABA) are indicated for these patients. Group C includes patients with two or more exacerbations which can lead to hospitalization; they do not present severe symptoms and they should be treated with LAMA as first-line therapy. Finally, group D includes symptomatic patients with an history of two or more exacerbations with the need of hospitalization. For these patients, the first-line therapy is a LAMA and for patients with very severe symptoms (COPD Assessment Test Score [CAT] greater than or equal to 20) a LAMA/LABA combination is recommended (58). Moreover, when the eosinophil count is above the threshold of ≥300 eosinophils/µl, a combination on LABA/ICS is strongly recommended as first approach (15).

GOLD recommends triple inhaled therapy for patients who experience recurrent exacerbations despite treatment with either a dual bronchodilator or LABA/ICS combination (59). Triple inhaled therapy includes an inhaled corticosteroid (ICS), a long-acting β 2-agonist (LABA) and a long-acting muscarinic antagonist (LAMA) (58). Indeed, it can improve FEV1 and health status (60).

Nowadays, there are three available inhaled ICS/LABA/LAMA fixed-dose combinations (FDCs): Budesonide/Formoterol/Glycopyrronium (61), Beclometasone/Formoterol/Glycopyrronium (62) and Fluticasone Furoate/Vilanterol/Umclidinium (63). The three available triple combinations are delivered by three different devices: the aerosphere MDI (61), the Ellipta DPI (63) and a MDI (64). Inhaled ICS/LABA/LAMA FDCs are characterized by different mechanisms of action which provide benefits on exacerbations’ reduction, lung function and health status improvement as well by reducing the risk of death (compared with increasing the dose of the single bronchodilator) (64).

Corticosteroids bind the C-terminal of glucocorticoid receptor which is localized in the cytoplasm of target cells. Corticosteroids directly regulate 10–100 genes per cell, but the interaction with other transcription factors indirectly regulates many other genes. The most anti-inflammatory effect of ICS is the inhibition of inflammatory proteins’ synthesis, such as cytokines 18. Moreover, ICS increase their effectiveness by inducing the expression of β2-adrenoreceptors, and human genes for β2 receptors have three potential glucocorticoid response elements (65). For this reason ICS can positively impact the cardiovascular outcomes through the reduction of serum CRP levels (66).

LAMAs act by blocking the endogenous cholinergic tone. In particular, vascular innervation of the airways results in the contraction of the smooth bronchial musculature. Acetylcholine can play different roles in this function, being responsible both as a neurotransmitter at the ganglia level, a function mediated through M1 muscarine receptors, and as a neurotransmitter in the neuromuscular junction, resulting in the contraction of smooth musculature through the muscarinic receptor M3 (67). The M2 receptors, located in the post-gangliary neuron, inhibits
the release of acetylcholine. Anticholinergic bronchodilators have been designed to prevent contraction of smooth muscles primarily by blocking the M3 receptor in the neuromuscular junction (67).

Beta2-agonist induce smooth bronchial muscles relaxation through their action on beta2-adrenergic receptors. These receptors, located in the bronchial smooth musculature surface, consist of G-proteins which are able to increase the adenosine monophosphate levels, resulting in the activation of the protein kinase A and in the subsequent relaxation of the smooth bronchial musculature (68).

Combining each family molecule in a single inhaler is the easiest way to deliver triple therapy (69). This therapeutic approach demonstrated a significant reduction in AECOPDs and hospitalizations, as well as a reduction of all-cause mortality (70).

The efficacy and safety of inhaled ICS/LABA/LAMA FDCs in patients with COPD have been evaluated in several clinical trials. TRIBUTE was a randomized, parallel-group, double-blind study that aimed to compare a single-inhaler triple combination of beclometasone dipropionate, formoterol fumarate, and glycopyrronium (BDP/FF/G) vs. a single-inhaler dual bronchodilator combination of indacaterol plus glycopyrronium (IND/GLY), evaluating the rate of moderate-to-severe AECOPDs over 52 weeks of treatment. Eligible patients were 40 years or older, current or ex-smokers, with a diagnosis of COPD, a FEV1/FVC post-bronchodilator (salbutamol 400 µg) ratio of less than 0.7, and severe or very severe airflow limitation (FEV1 <50%). Patients had at least one moderate or severe COPD exacerbation in the previous 12 months, a COPD Assessment Test total score of at least 10 and, for at least 2 months before screening had used an inhaled corticosteroid plus a long-acting β2-agonist, an inhaled corticosteroid plus a long-acting muscarinic antagonist, a long-acting β2-agonist plus a long-acting muscarinic antagonist, or long-acting muscarinic antagonist monotherapy, but not triple therapy. 1532 patients were enrolled among 17 countries and randomized 1:1 to receive 52 weeks of treatment with two inhalations of extrafine BDP/FF/G (87 µg/5 µg/9 µg) twice per day (764 patients) or one inhalation of IND/GLY (85 µg/43 µg) per day (768 patients). The primary endpoint was the rate of moderate-to-severe COPD exacerbations across 52 weeks of treatment. Results showed a rate of moderate-to-severe exacerbation of 0.50 per patient per year (95% CI 0.45–0.57) for BDP/FF/G group and 0.59 per patient per year (0.53–0.67) for IND/GLY group. Adverse events were reported by 490 of 764 patients (64%) receiving BDP/FF/G and 516 of 768 (67%) patients receiving IND/GLY (71). Additional analyses on mortality were assessed by Vestbo et al. in a pooled analysis for all extrafine ICS-containing treatments. The rate of fatal events was 2.1% in the BDP/FF/G and 2.7% in the IND/GLY group. However, no statistical significance in the reduction in the risk of death was observed within 1 year of observation (72).

The IMPACT trial was a randomized study involving 10,355 patients with symptomatic COPD (CAT >10; FEV1 <80%) and a history of moderate/severe exacerbations. Patients were randomized to receive fluticasone furoate/umeclidium/vilanterol (FF/UMEC/VI) (100 µg/62.5 ug/25 ug) OR fluticasone furoate/vilanterol (FF/VI) (100 µg/25 ug) OR umeclidinium/vilanterol (UMEC/VI) (62.5 ug/25 ug). Results showed that the reduction of moderate to severe COPD exacerbations rate was significantly greater for triple...
therapy with FF/UMEC/VI group than fluticasone furoate-vilanterol or umeclidinium-vilanterol groups, with rates of 0.91 per year, 1.07 per year and 1.21 per year, respectively. The COPD-related hospitalization rate was lower in the triple therapy group than umeclidinium-vilanterol (0.13 vs. 0.19). Moreover, a significant reduction in all-cause mortality (ACM) risk was demonstrated in patients treated with FF/UMEC/VI vs. UMEC/VI, with a hazard ratio of 0.58 (73). In 2020, a post-hoc analysis was performed to report any ACM and the impact of stepping down therapy using the additional vital status data. Results showed a lower rate of death in patients treated with FF/UMEC/VI (2.36%) compared to both FF/VI (2.64%) and UMEC/VI (3.19%) (74). Time to ACM was also evaluated, including off-treatment data: the hazard ratio for patients treated with FF/UMEC/VI was 0.72 compared with UMEC/VI group, and was 0.82 for patients treated with FF/VI compared to UMEC/VI ones. Because of the randomization, 40% of the patients were stepped down to FF/VI and 20% were stepped down to UMEC/VI. Results demonstrated a reduced risk of on/off-treatment death for patients who were maintained on a triple therapy compared to those who underwent step down to one of the dual therapies (FF/VI or UMEC/VI) (hazard ratio of 0.71 compared with patients stepped down to FF/VI; hazard ratio of 0.62 compared to patients who stepped down to UMEC/VI). It is worth to note that 76.9% of the patients were treated with a therapeutic regimen including an ICS at the time of enrollment: in these patients, mortality was lower if they were maintained on an ICS-containing regimen compared with UMEC/VI (74).

The ETHOS (75) study was a phase 3 randomized trial that evaluated efficacy and safety of triple therapy at two dose levels of inhaled glucocorticoid over 52 weeks. The study included 40–80 years old patients with symptomatic COPD (defined as a score of ≥10 on the COPD Assessment Test, on which scores range from 0 to 40, with higher scores indicating more symptoms; the minimum clinically important difference is 2 points). Patients were receiving at least two inhaled maintenance therapies at the time of screening, with a post-bronchodilator FEV₁/FVC ratio of <0.7, and a post-bronchodilator FEV₁ of 25 to 65% of the predicted normal value. Patients were smokers or ex smokers and had a documented history of at least one moderate or severe COPD exacerbation (if their FEV₁ was <50% of the predicted normal value) or at least two moderate or at least one severe COPD exacerbation (if their FEV₁ was ≥50% of the predicted normal value) in the year before screening. 8,509 patients were included in the study and randomly assigned to the groups receiving: inhaled budesonide/glycopyrronium/formoterol, 320 µg/18 ug/ 9.6 ug (320-BGF; 2,137 patients); inhaled budesonide/glycopyrronium/formoterol, 160 ug/18 ug/ 9.6 ug (160-BGF; 2,121 patients); glycopyrronium/formoterol-18 ug/9.6 ug (GFF; 2,120 patients); budesonide/formoterol-320 ug/9.6 ug (BFF; 2,131 patients). The primary endpoint was to assess the annual rate of moderate or severe COPD exacerbations. BGF triple therapy resulted in a lower rate of moderate or severe COPD exacerbations (1.08 and 1.07 for the 320 ug and 160 ug, respectively) than GFF (1.42) or BFF (1.24) (75). Moreover, the rate was significantly lower with 320-µg-budesonide triple therapy group than with GFF (24% lower) or BFF ones (13% lower). Mortality was evaluated as key secondary endpoint, and a lower risk of death from any cause was observed only in the 320-µg-budesonide triple-therapy group (75). Overall, the risk of death from any cause was 46% lower for 320-µg-budesonide triple therapy vs. glycopyrronium- formoterol (75). Since 384 of 8,509 patients were missing vital status at Week 52 in the original analyses, a post-hoc analysis was performed to evaluate the robustness of the ETHOS mortality findings. Results showed that the risk of death with BGF 320 was significantly lower than GFF (with a hazard ratio of 0.51), and there were no significant differences in mortality when comparing BGF 320 to BFF, although numerical reductions of 28% were observed. Deaths from cardiovascular causes occurred in 0.5%, 0.8%, 1.4%, and 0.5% of patients in the BGF 320, BGF 160, GFF, and BFF groups, respectively (76). Overall, the risk of death was significantly lower (49%) with BGF 320 compared to GFF (76).

Other studies were conducted to evaluate the effect of triple vs. double therapies on lung function.

TRILOGY was a randomized, parallel-group, double-blind, active-controlled study aimed to compare the efficacy of the single inhaler triple therapy vs. inhaled corticosteroid plus long-acting β2-agonist treatment [beclometasone dipropionate, formoterol fumarate, and glycopyrronium bromide (BDP/FF/GB) with beclometasone dipropionate and formoterol fumarate (BDP/FF)] over 52 weeks of treatment. Patients were 40 years or older, with a diagnosis of COPD, a FEV₁ < 50%, a FEV₁/FVC < 0.7, and at least one moderate or severe COPD exacerbation in the previous 12 months. Previous treatment included an inhaled corticosteroid plus a long-acting β2 agonist, or an inhaled corticosteroid plus a long-acting muscarinic antagonist, or a long-acting β2 agonist plus a long-acting muscarinic antagonist, or long-acting muscarinic antagonist monotherapy for at least 2 months before screening. Patients receiving triple therapy of an inhaled corticosteroid plus a long-acting β2 agonist plus a long-acting muscarinic antagonist were not eligible. Additionally, all patients needed to be symptomatic for inclusion, classified as a COPD Assessment Test (CAT) total score of 10 or more and a Baseline Dyspnea Index (BDI) focal score of 10 or less at screening, with the BDI criterion also confirmed at the randomization visit. 1,367 patients with symptomatic COPD have been enrolled. Three primary endpoints were assessed: change from baseline in pre-dose (morning) FEV₁, change from baseline in 2-h post-dose FEV₁, and Transition Dyspnea Index (TDI) focal score assessed at week 26. Results showed that BDP/FF/GB improved the morning pre-dose trough FEV₁, and 2-h post dose FEV₁ vs. ICS/LABA, and reduced exacerbations by 23% when compared with BDP/FF (77).

KRONOS study aimed to compare the efficacy of triple therapy with other dual therapies in patients with moderate to very severe COPD, with or without a history of exacerbations, over 24 weeks. This double-blind, parallel-group, multicenter phase 3 randomized controlled trial involved 1,902 patients. Participants were randomly assigned to receive triple therapy (budesonide/glycopyrronium /Formoterol Pumara Metered Dose Inhaler -BGF MDI-) or dual therapies (glycopyrronium/formoterol fumarate [GFF] MDI and...
budesonide/formoterol fumarate [BFF] MDI, BUD/FORM DPI). BGF significantly improved morning pre-dose trough FEV₁ when compared to GFF and BFF. This improvement was also observed for FEV₁ AUC₂₋₄. A significant reduction in the rate of moderate/severe exacerbations was observed in the triple therapy arm when compared to GFF (52% of reduction for moderate-to-severe exacerbation and 64% of reduction for severe exacerbations). Results showed that BGF MDI was more effective to improve FEV₁ and to reduce exacerbation. Also, it was well-tolerated compared to the corresponding dual therapies among symptomatic patients with moderate to very severe COPD, with or without exacerbation history (78).

A post-hoc analysis (76) evaluated whether the benefits observed were driven by patients with ≥1 exacerbation in the 12 months prior to the study, considering that 74.4% were symptomatic patients without a prior history of exacerbations. Independently of eosinophil count, triple therapy results in exacerbations control in those symptomatic patients without exacerbations history. Respectively 52% and 58% of moderate/severe and severe exacerbations were reduced in this group, supporting the hypothesis that the exacerbations reduction in KRONOS was not driven by the subset of patients with a prior history of exacerbations (76).

**DISCUSSION**

Mortality represents the most important COPD outcome (17). In particular, cardiovascular diseases are important comorbidities in the prediction of all-cause mortality in COPD patients (31, 79).

So far, oxygen therapy, smoking discontinuation and surgical reduction of lung volume (in selected cases) were the only treatments able of reducing mortality.

Recently, the effectiveness of the triple inhaled therapy in the reduction of mortality was largely demonstrated, even though mortality was not the primary endpoint in the trials (80). The IMPACT study was the first large trial reporting significant mortality reduction data in patients who received triple inhaled therapy, with a hazard ratio for triple therapy vs. the dual bronchodilator umeclidinium/vilanterol of 0.58 (95% CI 0.38–0.88) and 0.61 (95% CI 0.40–0.93), although this finding derived from another endpoint (73, 74). Later on, the ETHOS study showed that the risk of death from any cause in the 320-µg budesonide triple therapy group was 49% lower than the glycopyrronium/formoterol group, including this analysis among key secondary endpoints (75, 76). For the reasons mentioned above, triple inhaled therapy was recommended in the latest GOLD guidelines as a potential option to reduce mortality in COPD patients with concomitant chronic diseases (57). Additionally, the choice of a device must be taken into account also evaluating the patient’s clinical condition, as well as their ability to coordinate the inhalation maneuver and to generate sufficient inspiratory flow and their adherence to the treatment (81).

It is worth to note that previous large, controlled trials, comparing inhaled therapy with placebo, failed to demonstrate a significant effect on mortality. The TORCH trial aimed to evaluate the mortality reduction among patients with COPD treated with the combination of the long-acting β-agonist salmeterol and the inhaled corticosteroid fluticasone propionate compared with usual care (with each of the components alone) and with placebo over a 3-year period. 6,112 patients were enrolled in the study. The hazard ratio for death was 0.825 in the combination-therapy group (comparison to placebo) even if the reduction of death from all causes among patients with COPD in the combination-therapy group did not reach the predetermined level of statistical significance (82).

The SUMMIT trial was the largest randomized controlled COPD trial, where more than 16,000 patients with moderate airflow limitation (≥50% and ≤70% predicted forced expiratory volume in 1 s) and a history or an increased risk for cardiovascular disease were enrolled. The study aimed to compare the effectiveness of a combined treatment of fluticasone furoate and vilanterol compared with placebo. Results showed a non-significant reduction of all-cause mortality (12%) withICS/LABA compared to placebo (83).

The UPLIFT trial evaluated the long-term effects of tiotropium therapy compared to placebo. The study included 5,993 COPD patients with a FEV₁ of 70% or less after bronchodilation. Patients were evaluated in a 4-years follow up. Results showed a non-significant decrease in mortality (hazard ratio 0.89, 95% CI 0.79–1.02; p = 0.09) compared to placebo (84).

The OUTPUL study enrolled 18,615 patients and assessed whether in COPD patients adding an ICS to a long-acting bronchodilators (LB) would lead to a mortality reduction in patients discharged from hospital. Mortality rates were 110 and 143 cases per 1,000 person-years in the “LB plus ICS” and “LB alone” groups, respectively. The mortality reduction was much more pronounced in patients with frequent exacerbations, with a hazard ratio of 0.63 (95% CI: 0.44–0.90; p value: 0.012) (85).

Beneficial effects of triple inhaled therapy on the mortality reduction might be due to several mechanisms, such as reduction of hyperinflation, reduction of exacerbations risk, stabilization of atherosclerotic plaques and the improvement of cardiac perfusion.

COPD is characterized by a low-grade systemic inflammation (with the release of numerous proinflammatory cytokines and CRP) which can contribute to the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease (86). In particular, high CRP levels are correlated with poor CV outcomes, such as atherosclerotic plaque genesis, rupture, and subsequent thrombosis of vulnerable vessels. The efficacy of corticosteroids in the reduction of CRP and cytokines blood concentrations, which regulate CRP (such as interleukin 6), is well known. Especially, fluticasone demonstrated to be effective in the reduction of the serum CRP levels (66). ICSs are able to reduce the local inflammation and subsequent cardiovascular morbidity: Lofdalh et al. (87) demonstrated that budesonide was involved in the reduction of ischemic cardiac events, as well as angina pectoris, myocardial infarction and coronary artery disease. Moreover, ICS demonstrated to be involved in a reduction of the risk from myocardial infarction. This may be due to the reduction of COPD exacerbations, the reduction in systemic inflammation or the reduction in the adaptive immune response (88).

It is known that lung hyperinflation is associated with an increase in all-cause mortality in COPD patients. In particular, increased levels of static lung hyperinflation and emphysema...
are associated with a reduction in the cardiac chamber size and function, responsible of death for CV reasons. Triple inhaled therapies demonstrated to improve the right ventricular end-diastolic volume index and to reduce residual volume (89).

In conclusion, cardiovascular diseases represent an important comorbidity in the prediction of all-cause mortality in COPD patients. Numerous mechanisms may be involved in the development of COPD-related CVD: among these, a major role could be played by lung hyperinflation, hypoxemia, pulmonary hypertension (PH), systemic inflammation, oxidative stress, and exacerbations. The efficacy and safety of triple inhaled therapy were demonstrated by numerous controlled trials: above all, many robust data are now available on the effectiveness of the triple therapy to reduce mortality in COPD patients. Mechanisms involved need to be fully understood and they most likely include the reduction of hyperinflation, the improvement in the risk of exacerbations, the stabilization in the atherosclerotic plaques and the improvement of the cardiac perfusion. Thus, triple therapy may represent a valid option to reduce mortality in COPD patients, although it is still necessary to optimize the ideal patient profile.
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