The average optical spectra of intense starbursts at z~2: Outflows and the pressurization of the ISM *
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ABSTRACT

An important property of star-forming galaxies at z~1-2 is the high local star-formation intensities they maintain over tens of kiloparsecs at levels that are only observed in the nearby Universe in the most powerful nuclear starbursts. To investigate how these high star-formation intensities affect the warm ionized medium, we present an analysis of the average spectra of about 50 such galaxies at z~1-2.6 and of subsamples selected according to their local and global star-formation intensity. Stacking allows us to probe relatively weak lines like [Sii]λ6716,6731 and [Oii]λ6300, which are tracers of the conditions of the ISM and are undetectable in most individual targets. We find higher gas densities (hence pressures) in intensely star-forming regions compared to fainter diffuse gas and, overall, values that are comparable to starburst regions and the diffuse ISM in nearby galaxies. By modeling the Hα surface brightnesses and [Sii]/Hα line ratios with the Cloudy photoionization code, we find that our galaxies continue trends observed in local galaxies, where gas pressures scale with star-formation intensity. We discuss these results in the context of models of self-regulated star formation, a process in which supernova explosions mechanical energy from the stellar population may play the most significant role (Joung et al. 2009; Agertz et al. 2009).
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1. Introduction

The nature and evolution of galaxies is a result of the complex interplay between heating, cooling and dynamical processes in the interstellar medium (ISM). The cyclic, or competitive, nature of these processes determines the rate at which stars form and creates a feedback loop that determines the chemistry and structure of galaxies, along with their ISM. This interplay ultimately results in galaxies as we observe them today.

In starburst galaxies, where the energy injection rate per unit volume from young stars is high, we may see the effects of the self-regulation of star formation, a process in which supernova feedback with its strong energy injection may well play an important role (Silk 2001) — and drive the cloud velocity dispersion (Joung & Mac Low 2006; Tasker & Bryan 2006) — as may turbulent pressure (Silk 2001; Blitz & Rosolowsky 2006). At low-energy injection rates into the ISM, global shear is likely to play the most significant role in determining the peculiar velocities in dense massive clouds (Gammie et al. 1999). The observed turbulence is dominated by nonaxisymmetric gravitational instabilities at low star-formation intensity levels, like the few to several tens of km s⁻¹ seen in the Milky Way, but at high intensity levels mechanical energy from the stellar population may play the most significant role (Joung et al. 2009; Agertz et al. 2009).

Recently, we have proposed that the large Hα line widths observed in distant (z~1-3) intensely star-forming galaxies are driven by the mechanical energy liberated by young stars, a relationship which can be understood within the context of self-regulated star formation (Lehner et al. 2009; Le Tiran et al. 2011, in prep.). We hypothesized that mechanical energy is sufficient to keep the disk critically unstable against fragmentation and collapse, hence star-formation (Toomre Q~1). The intensity of the star formation in these distant galaxies is very high, similar to those in local starbursts but on a much larger physical scale, and it is maintained by large gas fractions and high mass-surface densities. These line widths do not appear to be driven by either cosmological accretion (Le Tiran et al. 2011) or gravitational instabilities.

In this manuscript, we develop these arguments further through a stacking analysis of rest-frame optical emission lines of about 50 galaxies with redshifts of 1.2 to 2.6 and high Hα surface brightnesses. Stacking allows us to analyze emission lines that are too faint to be observed in individual galaxies such as [Sii]λ6716,6731 or [Oii]λ6300, which are key indicators of the pressure and sources of ionization in the emission line gas. We analyze stacks of subsamples to investigate how the gas properties scale with star-formation intensity (rate per unit area). In a stacking analysis of a very similar sample, but focusing on trends with stellar mass and radius, Shapiro et al. (2009) identified a broad line underlying Hα and [Nii], but were unable to differentiate whether this broad line emission was from outflows or active galactic nuclei. We confirm the detection of a broad component and argue that its presence in our stacks, where...
the significance of the broad component increases with increasing star-formation intensity, can be interpreted as confirming the outflow hypothesis.

2. Data analysis

We use ESO archival data from a variety of programs with SINFONI on the ESO-VLT of a sample of more than fifty galaxies in the redshift range 1.2-2.6; see Le Tiran et al. (2011) for further details. We have excluded all galaxies with recognizable AGN features in their data cubes — especially broad lines and high ratios of [Nii]6583/Hα. We produce for each object an integrated spectrum using all the spectra in the data cube where Hα is detected above the 3-σ level, which are co-added after shifting Hα to its rest wavelength in order to remove any broadening due to the velocity field and weighted by the Hα signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of each object in order to maximize the final SNR. Uncertainties were measured using a Monte-Carlo method fitting 1000 realizations of the spectrum (Fig. 1 inset).

To investigate whether the stacked emission line properties depend on the Hα surface brightness (and/or redshift) of the galaxies used, we also made comparative stacks of objects in three bins: (1) $z < 1.8$ and star-formation rate (SFR) $< 100$ M⊙ yr$^{-1}$, (2) $z > 1.8$ and SFR $< 100$ M⊙ yr$^{-1}$ and (3) $z > 1.8$ and SFR $> 100$ M⊙ yr$^{-1}$. All galaxies have similar isotropical sizes (Lehnert et al. 2003; Le Tiran et al. 2011). To investigate the role that star-formation intensity might play in determining the characteristics of the integrated spectrum, for each bin and for the entire sample we also made one sub stack using only the 18 brightest pixels in the Hα distribution of individual galaxies, and another using only the remaining, fainter pixels. Eighteen pixels correspond to approximately the FWHM of the PSF ($\sim 0.1 / 6$). Each brightest pixel stack contains about the same total fraction of the emission in its sample ($\sim 25\%$; Table 1). In Table 1 N$_{gal}$ is the number of galaxies included in the stack; $<\Sigma_{SFR}>$ is the average star-formation rate per unit area (M⊙ yr$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-2}$), calculated using the conversion factor for Hα luminosity to the star-formation rate from Kennicutt (1998); and $L_{Hα, brightest}/L_{Hα, whole}$ is the proportion of the Hα luminosity contained in the 18 brightest pixels stacks compared to the stacks of whole galaxies.

![Fig. 2. [Sn]6716/[Sn]6731 line flux ratio as a function of electron density (cm$^{-3}$), for a temperature of 10$^4$ K (blue line). Only results for the stacks of the brightest pixels of Hα emission are indicated (red crosses). The error bars indicate the 1σ uncertainties in the measurements. For clarity, stacks with [Sn] line ratios below the low-density limit are not shown. The inset at the lower left shows the distribution of electron densities n$_e$ in the nuclear regions of nearby starburst galaxies (Lehnert & Heckman 1996), as well as the mean electron density for the stack, including only the brightest pixels of all our objects (solid blue line) and the corresponding ±1σ uncertainties (dashed blue lines).](image)

3. Emission line properties

We obtain log [Nii]6583/Hα = −0.75 to −0.55 in all four stacks, and log [Sii]6716,6731 = −0.5 to −0.75. We did not detect [Oii]6300 in any of our stacks, with an upper limit of log [Oii]/Hα = −1.4 to −1.9 (1σ), similar to those in the integrated spectra of nearby star-forming galaxies (Lehnert & Heckman 1994) and Hii regions. The flux ratio of [Sii]6716/[Sii]6731 is about 1.2 to 1.4, near the low-density limit (1.45; Fig. 2), and the highest values are found in the stacks with the highest surface-brightness Hα emission. For most of the stacks the density must be very low ($n_e$ $\sim$ 10–100 cm$^{-3}$), see Fig. 2, whereas in the regions of the highest Hα surface brightnesses in each galaxy we find values of about 100 to 500 cm$^{-3}$, with a mean of $\sim$200 cm$^{-3}$ (Table 2). These values are similar to nearby starbursts, which show strong evidence of driving energetic outflows (Fig. 3; Lehnert & Heckman 1996). Finding that the full stacks and the stacks excluding the brightest pixels are at (or near) the low-density limit suggests that the most extended emission contributes most of the flux and indicates that these outer regions are more like the diffuse interstellar medium in nearby disk galaxies (Lehnert & Heckman 1994; Wang et al. 1993).

We also find no significant differences in the widths of the various lines analyzed. Although there is a slight tendency for [Nii]6583 to be systematically broader than Hα in all stacks, all individual width measurements are the same within the uncertainties. We do find a trend for the narrow components of both lines to be broader for the stacks with high average surface brightnesses. This is related to the trend for the most intense star-forming regions to have the broadest lines (Lehnert et al. 2003).

Several of the spectral stacks have an apparent broad line component underlying the region around Hα (Fig. 1), as noted.

Table 1. Properties of the different stacked spectra

| bin  | N$_{gal}$ | $<\Sigma_{SFR}>$ | $L_{Hα, brightest}/L_{Hα, whole}$ |
|------|----------|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| all  | 45       | 0.6            | 0.25                                |
| (1)  | 22       | 0.2            | 0.21                                |
| (2)  | 14       | 0.6            | 0.29                                |
| (3)  | 9        | 1.0            | 0.24                                |

The galaxies generally have complex morphologies and the low spatial resolution of the data makes it difficult to accurately determine the relative centering and detailed morphology of the brightest pixels. They are usually within one resolution element but can have complex spatial distributions. High-resolution line imaging obtained using adaptive optics or HST continuum imaging show the morphologies of the highest surface brightness emission is frequently complex, clumpy, and often not at the dynamical or isophotal center (e.g. Lehnert et al. 2003; Genzel et al. 2011). Since all data sets reach roughly the same limiting observed Hα surface brightness level, over a wide range in redshift, we could not construct stacks at constant rest-frame surface brightness levels due to the strong impact of cosmological surface brightness dimming. The average rest-frame surface brightness of each stack is given in Table 2.

![Fig. 3. Line widths and equivalent widths for the broad components of Hα and [Nii]6583 in all four stacks. The inset shows the distribution of line widths for the stacks of the brightest pixels of Hα emission (red crosses) and for the stacks of whole galaxies (solid blue line) and the corresponding ±1σ uncertainties (dashed blue lines).](image)
4. Discussion and conclusions

The results of the broad line analysis (§ 3) suggest a close relationship between the source of the broad emission and the star-formation intensity in these galaxies. Nearby galaxies whose starbursts are as intense as those observed in our distant sample show strong evidence of driving large-scale outflows (Lehnert & Heckman 1996). In fact, one can find close analogs between our spectra with or without a broad component and the low-redshift starburst galaxies in Lehnert & Heckman (1995), suggesting both samples must have similar phenomenology. Although Shapiro et al. (2009) have already suggested that broad lines in stacked spectra of z−2 intensely star-forming galaxies may indicate outflows, since they stacked according to stellar mass and radius (comparing nuclear and off-nuclear stacks) they were unable to rule out that the broad lines were due to AGN. The trends we see with average star-formation intensity contradicts the AGN hypothesis, simply because many intensely star-forming regions in these galaxies are off-nuclear, whereas the nuclear regions often have very low surface brightness (e.g. Förster Schreiber et al. 2009).

In the outflow scenario we expect, in addition to single narrow components of Hα and [Nii], three more lines of Hα and [Nii] that are offset and likely very broad (e.g. Lehnert & Heckman 1996). By making five physically motivated assumptions we avoid introducing nine additional free parameters: (1) all three additional lines have the same offset velocity, (2) velocity dispersions are the same for each Hα and [Nii] line component and are equal to the offset velocity, (3) the flux ratio of [Nii]/Hα is given by fast shock models (ratios ranging from 0.2–1 for the velocities we considered in this modeling, seeAllen et al. 2008), (4) the velocity offset and the shock speed are the same, and (5) the flux of the offset Hα component is 10% of that of the main Hα line. This last value provides a match to the estimated peak fluxes in the best-fit single broad component. This reduces the number of free parameters to only one, the offset velocity, while the other eight are constrained.

We find that these fits are as significant as assuming a single broad component for velocity offsets of a few 100 km s^{-1} and narrow-to-broad Hα flux ratios of 10%. These values are similar to those in the extended (i.e., wind) emission in nearby starbursts (Lehnert & Heckman 1996). The derived pressures are also similar to those in nearby starbursts, which is a necessary condition for driving winds (Fig. 2). There is also enough mechanical energy in the shocks to power these flows. The mechanical energy output at a SFR of 150 M⊙ yr^{-1} to power these flows. The mechanical energy output at a SFR of 150 M⊙ yr^{-1} (typical of our high surface brightness sample) is about 10^{43} erg s^{-1}. The fraction of the total energy from shocks fast enough to explain the broad lines is about 1-2% (Allen et al. 2008). If we assume that the mechanical energy output from stars is efficiently thermalized, we only require around 1-10% of the mechanical energy to energize the broad, blueshifted line emission.

What do these results imply about the nature of the ISM in these high-redshift galaxies? We have already argued that the galaxies have high pressure. Figure 3 illustrates that the warm ionized medium in nearby star-forming and starburst galaxies and in our galaxies forms a continuum – a one-parameter family. Going from low to high Hα surface brightness we progress from diffuse ISM in nearby galaxies, through Hα regions (and their surroundings) to the nuclei of nearby starburst galaxies (e.g. Wang et al. 1998). On average our galaxies lie at the high surface-brightness, low [Sii]/Hα end of the relationship, similar to the positions of local powerful nuclear starbursts.

This continuity can be explained through a range of ISM pressures and radiation field intensities. We modeled the data as photoionized clouds (using the code Cloudy, Ferland et al. 1998), using the ionizing spectrum of a young (10^8 yrs) stellar population forming stars at a constant rate with a Salpeter IMF (Leitherer et al. 1999) and a range of column and volume densities. Our results suggest that the galaxies have high average gas densities (~10–few × 100 cm^{-3}) and high ionization parameters.
(log U ∼ −2 to 0, where U is proportional to the relative intensity of the photon field divided by the total gas density). This supports the hypothesis of Wang et al. (1998) that this relationship can be understood as an underlying proportionality between the thermal pressure and the mean star-formation intensity in a photoionized gas.

What is the source of this underlying proportionality? Star formation might be regulated by the average pressure in the ISM (Silk 1997), which Wang et al. (1998) suggested may itself be either regulated by the mechanical energy injection from star formation or related to the hydrostatic or turbulent pressure.

If the mechanical energy from massive stars is controlling the over-pressure, we would expect the pressure to increase linearly with the star-formation intensity. This can be estimated as $P_{\text{gas}} = M^{1/2} E^{1/2} R_{\odot}^{-3}$ (where $P_{\text{gas}}$ is the gas pressure, $M$ the mass loss and entrainment rate, $E$ the mechanical energy output, and $R_{\odot}$ the radius over which the energy and mass output occurs, e.g. Strickland & Heckman 2009). From Leitherer et al. (1999), we can estimate the mechanical energy and mass output rate from star formation. Adopting an equilibrium mass and energy output rate for continuous star-formation over 10^{7} yrs, we estimate pressures of 1×10^{-10} dyne cm^{-2} (one-sided) for 0.5 M_{\odot} yr^{-1} kpc^{-2}. If the mass entrainment rate is a factor of a few, this predicted pressure would be somewhat higher (consistent with that observed in M82 for example: Strickland & Heckman 2009). For our stacks, the results of the photoionization models suggest thermal pressures of 6×10^{-10} to 6×10^{-11} dyne cm^{-2}.

In an alternative interpretation (which may be particularly appropriate at low star-formation intensities), the pressures are set by gravitational processes. Star-formation intensity is related to a cloud-cloud collision model, which gives $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}} \propto \Sigma_{\text{gas}}^{2/3}$. The pressure in the ISM can be related to gravity or turbulence through $P_{\text{gas}} = \rho_{\text{gas}} \sigma_{\text{gas}}^2 = \pi G \Sigma_{\text{gas}}$, where $P_{\text{gas}}$ and $\rho_{\text{gas}}$ are the gas pressure and density, respectively, and $G$ is the gravitational constant. Combining them suggests that $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}} \propto (P_{\text{gas}}/\Sigma_{\text{gas}})^{1/2}$. We can normalize this relationship empirically with the appropriate values for the Milky Way — ISM pressure of ~3000 kcm^{-2}, star-formation intensity ~2.5×10^{-3} M_{\odot} yr^{-1} kpc^{-2} and a gas fraction of about 10%. The gas fraction in distant galaxies is likely to be higher, a few 10s of percent (Daddi et al. 2010). Using the average pressure from our photoionization modeling and the relation between star-formation intensity and pressure based on the Milky Way scaling suggests that $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}} \sim 1$ M_{\odot} yr^{-1} kpc^{-2}.

If star formation is driving the gas pressure, then it is likely that turbulent pressure is similar or even higher than thermal pressure, especially at high star-formation intensities (Joung et al. 2009). In our stacking analysis, we find typical Hα line velocity dispersions of about 125 km s^{-1} and densities in the warm ionized gas of about 30-300 cm^{-3}. If the clouds formed through turbulent compression, the pre-shocked material would have turbulent pressures: $P_{\text{turb}} \sim \rho_{\text{gas}} \sigma_{\text{gas}}^2 \sim 10^{-9}$ to $10^{-10}$ dyne cm^{-2}. This is higher than the thermal pressures we observe in the emission line clouds of nearby galaxies, as suggested by models of ISM pressure regulated by feedback from star formation (e.g. Joung et al. 2009), but in the range of what we find at z ~ 1-2.

In summary we find that these distant galaxies have high ISM pressures and drive outflows, at least at the highest average Hα surface brightnesses. These are two characteristics similar to intense starbursts at low redshift. Overall, we favor a picture where the pressure in the ISM is determined by the intensity of the star formation and where feedback sets the scaling between them. Since the pressure is being regulated and it likely determines the nature of star formation, this suggests that the star formation is self-regulating in these high-redshift galaxies (Silk 1997). This extends our earlier conclusion that star formation is likely to regulate the pressure of the ISM based on spatially resolved properties of individual distant galaxies with high Hα surface brightness (Lehnert et al. 2009; Le Tiran et al. 2011).
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Fig. 1. Star-formation rate as a function of redshift for all galaxies used. Red dashed lines correspond to the boundaries between the 3 different bins used to make comparative stacks, which are labeled (1), (2), and (3). As an inset on the left, we show the stacked spectrum (signal-to-noise of Hα weighted average) of all the galaxies in the sample. The lines of Hα, [N II]λ6548,6583, and [S II]λλ6716,6731 are all significantly detected, but we can only set an upper limit on the [O I]λ6300 emission.
The parameters are only provided for fits that have high significance. Note the considerable uncertainties in the fits, by their $H\alpha$ the widths of individual objects by their $H\alpha$. The cosmology $H_0 = 70\,\text{km s}^{-1}\,\text{Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_M = 0.7$ and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.3$.

### Table 2. Detailed properties of all the stacks.

| Stack        | $L_{H\alpha}$ | $\log <SB_{H\alpha}>$ | FWHM$_{H\alpha}$ | FWHM$_{B2,\text{int}}$ | $L_{\text{int}}$ | FWHM$_{\text{broad}}$ | $v_{\text{broad}}$ | $L_{\text{broad}}/L_{H\alpha}$ | $\ln(B)$ | $\frac{[S\alpha]}{[S\text{II}]}$ | $n_i$ |
|--------------|---------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|------|
| all          | 32.9±0.5      | 40.9                   | 250±3             | 254                     | 8.4±1.5        | 1560±370             | -180±140       | 0.3                           | 24.6      | 1.6±0.2                        |      |
| all-brightest| 7.8±0.2       | 41.2                   | 271±4             | 288                     | 2.8±0.4        | 1000±140             | -50±60          | 0.4                           | 21.3      | 1.3±0.2                        | 177±107|
| all-fainter  | 25.9±0.5      | 40.8                   | 244±3             | 242                     | 5.8±1.7        | 2000±870             | -270±340        | 0.2                           | 11.5      | 1.7±0.2                        |      |
| (1)          | 23.4±0.6      | 40.3                   | 241±5             | 212                     | ...            | ...                   | ...             | ...                           | -0.1      | 1.6±0.3                        |      |
| (1)-brightest| 5.6±0.2       | 40.6                   | 270±8             | 230                     | ...            | ...                   | ...             | ...                           | -0.1      | 1.2±0.3                        | 281±230|
| (1)-fainter  | 18.8±0.6      | 40.3                   | 234±5             | 207                     | ...            | ...                   | ...             | ...                           | -1.6      | 1.7±0.4                        |      |
| (2)          | 24.7±0.8      | 40.9                   | 236±5             | 231                     | ...            | ...                   | ...             | ...                           | 5.0       | 1.7±0.3                        |      |
| (2)-brightest| 7.2±0.3       | 41.2                   | 265±8             | 247                     | ...            | ...                   | ...             | ...                           | -4.6      | 1.2±0.3                        | 184±203|
| (2)-fainter  | 18.0±0.7      | 40.8                   | 227±6             | 224                     | ...            | ...                   | ...             | ...                           | 2.4       | 1.9±0.5                        |      |
| (3)          | 57.0±1.3      | 41.1                   | 264±4             | 278                     | 19.9±3.2      | 1400±290             | -150±110        | 0.3                           | 22.6      | 1.6±0.3                        |      |
| (3)-brightest| 13.5±0.4      | 41.5                   | 292±7             | 322                     | 7.1±0.8       | 1160±160             | 15±68           | 0.5                           | 26.8      | 1.2±0.3                        | 190±201|
| (3)-fainter  | 44.7±1.2      | 41.1                   | 259±5             | 264                     | 12.5±3.4      | 1550±630             | -270±220        | 0.3                           | 8.5       | 1.7±0.4                        |      |

Note: The four bins used in the analysis are all objects, and bins (1), (2) and (3), see Lehnert et al. (2009) and Le Tiran et al. (2011) for details.