Influence of anterior teeth inclination on the strength of incisors with post and cores
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Summary

Introduction. Labially inclined anterior teeth are an aesthetic and biomechanical problem. Will the prosthetic restoration of a damaged incisor whose root is inclined labially withstand chewing forces?

Aim of the study. To estimate the influence of anterior teeth inclination on the strength of incisors reinforced with post and cores.

Material and methods. The experiment was conducted by using FEA with contact elements. 3-D models of maxillary central incisors were created: model A – tooth, model B - tooth with a post and core. The axes of A and B models were positioned as follows: 1 - retroclined, 2 - normally inclined, 3 - labially inclined at 10%, 30% and 50% angle of inclination, respectively. Each model was subjected to a force of 100N. The modified von Mises failure criterion (mvM) was used to evaluate the strength of the tooth structures and cement. Contact stresses at the
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Streszczenie

Wprowadzenie. Zębę wychylone doprzedsiębiorczo stanowią problem estetyczny i biomechaniczny. Czy odbudowa protetyczna zniszczonego zęba siecznego, którego korzeń jest wychylony dowargowo sprosta siłom żucia?

Cel pracy. Celem pracy była ocena wpływu inklinacji zębów przednich na wytrzymałość siekaczy odbudowanych wkładami koronowo-korzeniowymi.

Materiał i metody. Badanie przeprowadzono metodą elementów skończonych z użyciem elementów kontaktowych. Stworzono modele 3-D zęba siecznego przyśrodkowego szczęki: model A - ząb; model B - ząb z wkładem i koroną. Osie modeli siekaczy A i B zostały ustawione 1 - dopodniebieńm (kąt inklinacji 10°), 2 - prawidłowo (kąt inklinacji 30°); 3 - dowargowo (kąt inklinacji 50°). Każdy model obciążono siłą 100N. Do oceny wytyżenia struktur zębów i cementu zastosowano zmodyfikowane kryterium Misesa.
cement-tissue interface adjacent to prosthetic restorations were calculated.

**Results.** The lowest reduced stresses were recorded for the palatally inclined incisors (model A1). In the dentine of the labially inclined incisor (model A3), the mvM stresses were up to 80% higher in comparison with the normally inclined incisor (A2). In the proclined anterior tooth with a post and core restoration (B3), the value of equivalent contact stresses increased by more than a half in relation to the properly positioned model B2. The concentration of stresses occurred on the palatal side of the tooth cervix. In the post and core, Von Mises stresses of 100 MPa were noted.

**Conclusions.** The equivalent stresses in the structures of incisors increase with the proclination of the tooth axis. In inclined anterior teeth restored with post and cores there occurred significantly higher and unfavourably distributed stresses than in normally positioned teeth. Post and core build-up of proclined incisors have a higher predisposition to debonding of the restoration, bending of the post, or even fracture of the root.

---

**Introduction**

Labial or palatal incisor inclination is related to their angle to the bone base. According to Steiner’s cephalometric analysis, it is assumed that the inclination of the maxillary central incisors in relation to the NA (L:NA) line should be 22°, while the inclination of the mandibular central incisors in relation to the NB (L:NB) line should be 25°. In skeletal Class I, Jacobson’s Wits appraisal, which is determined by the projections of points A and B on the functional occlusal plane (FOP), should equal 0 mm. The axial inclination of the teeth in relation to the occlusal plane for maxillary incisors is 60°, and 72° for mandibular incisors. However, according to Wheeler, the average axial inclination is 62° and 68°, respectively.

According to Tong at al., the inclination of maxillary central incisors to vertical plane is the highest at 33.5°, and mandibular incisors which have an inclination of 26.5°. The incisors in Class II/division 1 malocclusion have significantly higher inclination angles.

The interincisal angle defines the mutual axial inclination of the upper and lower incisors. It is assumed that this angle should ideally be 129-132°. The positioning of incisors is a determining factor for an aesthetic smile and proper lip support. It also affects the function of the stomatognathic system due to the fact that these teeth guide the mandible during protrusion.

Occlusal loading of substantially proclined anterior teeth can have a traumatic effect on the surrounding tissues. Higher inclination of anterior teeth results in higher stress in the tooth,
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Incorrect inclination of teeth that are in the ectopic position in the dental arch should be orthodontically treated. Prosthodontic restorations can be considered in cases where inclined incisors have significant coronal damage but have undergone proper endodontic treatment. In these cases individual post and cores with prosthetic crowns are used. However, will such a restoration withstand masticatory forces? Will the restoration last? What is the effect of labial or palatal inclinations of incisors on stress distribution in tissues and prosthodontic restoration?

The aim of the study was to estimate the influence of labiolingual anterior teeth inclination on the strength of incisors reinforced with post and cores.

Materials and methods

The study was carried out using the finite element method (FEM). The scans of the surface of the maxillary central left incisor were obtained using the laser Dental 3D Scanner D250 (3Shape A/S, Copenhagen, Denmark). In addition, a CT scan of the investigated tooth was made with a GXCB-500/i-CAT system (Gendex Dental Systems, Des Plaines, IL, USA). The coordinates of points on the tooth surface and on the enamel-dentine junction were introduced into the FEA software – ANSYS 18 (ANSYS Inc., Canonsburg, PA, USA). A 0.2 mm thick periodontium was modelled around the tooth root.

The maxillary central incisor was prepared for a ceramic crown. The prepared tooth was scanned using Dental 3D Scanner D250. A cloud of points of abutment surfaces was introduced into the ANSYS program. Then, in software a 8.5 mm x 1.2 mm cylinder with a blunt cone at the end was generated. This solid was introduced into the root canal and then added to the tooth model. In this way, a tooth model with a post and core and a prosthetic crown was created (model B) (Fig. 1b). A 0.1 mm-thick layer was added around the root part of the post, as well as under the crown, to imitate the layer of the luting cement.
Each tooth model was divided into 10-node structural solid elements (Solid 187). Model A was divided into approximately 71,000 elements, connected in 98,500 nodes. In model B with post and core, 118,000 elements joined in ca. 134,000 nodes were used. Pairs of bonded contact elements Targe 170 and Conta 174, were used around the posts and at the cement-dentine interface. Each of the models was fixed in nodes on the external surface of the periodontium, to simulate fixing the tooth with periodontium in the bone.

Three different cases of spatial orientation of the incisors were examined. Models A and B were placed in proper anatomical position (30° to the vertical plane). The models were subjected to loads simulating forces acting on incisors during clenching in the centric occlusion. Their total value was 100N, and they were applied under the lingual cingulum of a crown, at an angle of 45° to the longitudinal axis of the tooth (model A2 and B2) (Fig. 2b). Then, retroclination of incisors was simulated and axes of the models were inclined 10° to the vertical plane. Mastication forces acted on these models at an angle of 25° to their longitudinal axes (models A1 and B1) (Fig 2a). In the next stage, proclination of the incisors was simulated, model teeth were labially inclined at 50° and were loaded at an angle of 65° to the longitudinal axis of the tooth (models A3 and B3) (Fig. 2c).

It was assumed that the post and core was made of Au alloy, and that the crown had ceramic properties. The restorations were bonded to the structure of the tooth using resin cement. The elasticity modulus and Poisson ratio values for enamel, dentine, periodontium were introduced (Table 1). It was assumed that the materials used in the model were linear, elastic, homogenous and isotropic, but had different compressive and tensile strength values: enamel (11.5MPa, 384MPa), dentine (105.5MPa, 297MPa), ceramic (48.8MPa, 162.9MPa), resin cement (45.1MPa, 178MPa), except gold alloy (457 MPa).

Equivalent stresses in models at different loads were calculated. The modified von Mises failure criterion (mvM) was used to assess the failure (under complex stress conditions) of
The von Mises failure criterion was used to evaluate the effort of the Au alloy (because the tensile and compressive strength for metals are comparable). The results of the equivalent stresses were presented as maps of these stress distributions in the materials of the incisor models. Compressive, tensile, and shear contact stresses at the cement-dentine interface around posts and under the crown were also calculated and graphically presented.

### Results

The maximal values of mvM stresses occurring in the incisor models (models A) with different degrees of inclination are presented in Table 2. The highest mvM stresses in the materials of incisors restored with post and cores and crowns (models B), with different inclination, are summarized in Table 3. The maximal values of tensile and shear stresses in models B, around prosthetic restorations, at the cement-dentine interface, are presented in Table 4.

In the A2 tooth model, the maximum mvM stresses in enamel amounted to 22.2 MPa, and 14.7 MPa in dentine (Fig. 3) (Table 2). In the A3 model (proclined incisor), the equivalent stresses in dentine increased by 80% to 26.5 MPa. However, in the A1 model (retroclined incisor), the equivalent stresses in dentine decreased by 73% to 3.9 MPa (Fig. 3). With increasing proclination of the tooth, greater mvM stresses were observed palatally in the CEJ area, in dentine and in enamel.
In the B2 tooth model (correct position of incisor with post and core), the mvM stresses in dentine were 15.6 MPa (Table 3). In metal post and core, the von Mises stresses reached a value of 67.3 MPa at the post-core junction. The mvM stresses in the luting resin cement had a value of 14.1 MPa. Contact tensile stresses at the cement-dentine interface, under post and core reached a value of 10.6 MPa, while shearing stresses were 3.0 MPa (Table 4).

In the B3 tooth model (Fig. 4) (proclined incisor with post and core), the stresses increased significantly in comparison to B2 models. In dentine, the mvM stresses reached a value of 24.4 MPa (increased by 57%), while in cement they were at 23.7 MPa (increased by 68%) (Table 3). Higher concentration of mvM stresses in dentine, cement, and crown occurred palatally at the CEJ. In the post-core, the von Mises stresses reached a value close to 100 MPa. Contact tensile stresses between the bearing surface of the tooth and the core, on the palatal side, increased to 15.4 MPa (increase of 44%), while tensile stresses increased to 4.6 MPa (increase of 53%) (Table 4).

However, in the B1 model (Fig. 5) (retroclined incisor with post and core), the stresses decreased in relation to the B2 model. A reduction of more than half occurred in dentine, in cement it was lower by 64%, and in the post and core by 33% (Table 3). Contact tensile stresses decreased by 84% (Table 4).

**Discussion**

The study showed that the inclination of the longitudinal axis of the incisor in relation to the direction of masticatory forces is of great importance for strength of tooth structures. The highest mvM stresses in tooth tissues and restorations were observed in proclined incisors, while the lowest ones were observed in retroclined incisors. The equivalent stresses in proclined teeth were concentrated palatally around the CEJ, and were 80% greater in dentine than in the properly positioned teeth.

---

**Table 3. Maximal values of modified von Mises stresses (mvM) in incisors models of different inclination, restored with posts and cores**

| Tooth model     | Maximal equivalent stresses in the materials of models (MPa) |
|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
|                 | Post | Crown | Dentine | Cement |
| Model B1 (10° inclination) | 45.4 | 15.1  | 7.0     | 5.1    |
| Model B2 (30° inclination)  | 67.3 | 15.6  | 15.6    | 14.1   |
| Model B3 (50° inclination)  | 99.7 | 18.0  | 24.5    | 23.7   |

**Table 4. Maximal values of contact stresses in cement-dentine interface, under restorations, in incisors models at different inclinations, restored with posts and cores**

| Tooth model     | Maximal contact stresses in models (MPa) |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------|
|                 | Compressive | Tensile | Shear |
| Model B1 (10° inclination) | 9.8 | 1.7 | 2.9 |
| Model B2 (30° inclination)  | 12.4 | 10.6 | 3.0 |
| Model B3 (50° inclination)  | 16.7 | 15.3 | 4.6 |
Similar results have been achieved by a study conducted by Gerami et al.,8 which showed that increasing the labial angle of the mandibular central incisors resulted in much higher cervical stresses in splinted and tilted teeth under occlusal loads. This situation may produce a cervical tooth fracture.

Excessive proclination is particularly...
dangerous for prosthetically restored incisors. In tooth models with labial inclination, significantly higher mvM stresses and contact stresses around the post and cores were observed than in properly positioned teeth. Equivalent stresses were concentrated palatally around the tooth cervix, in dentine, post and cores, and luting cements. Contact tensile and shear stresses arise palatally, between the core and the bearing surface of dentine. Labial inclination of incisors will adversely affect the strength of teeth restored with post and cores. This situation may cause debonding of the restoration, microleakage, bending of the post and core or even fracture of the root. Clinical observations confirm that maxillary central incisors with labial inclination that were restored with metal cast post-cores are prone to fractures.27 Several researchers suggest using FRC posts instead of alloy ones. Unfortunately, this type of restoration does not guarantee long-term success, either.27

Previous strength tests conducted on anterior teeth with large inclination angles focused primarily on orthodontic problems. The application of additional loads to the proclined tooth caused a significant increase in stresses in its structures, in the periodontium, and bone. According to a study conducted by Sung-Hwan et al.,28 an increase in labial inclination of the tooth caused an increase in stresses. Similarly, Kanjanastuthai et al.29 observed that there were tensile stresses around the root, and more compressive stresses concentrated at the apex of incisors with a high degree of inclination. This may be associated with a higher clinical incidence of apical root resorption of these teeth.

Unfavourable situations also arise upon the loading of proclined implants. Increasing the inclination of the applied force relative to the long axis of the implant tended to overload the peri-implant bone tissue and the internal structure of the implants.30 The higher angle of inclination of implants in the anterior region caused a significant increase in tensile stresses in the bone surrounding the implants. Tensile stress values partially surpassed the yield strength of the cortical bone, especially when a 20° inclination was applied for fixture placement.31 Implants are rigidly connected to bone. The absence of PDL had detrimental effects on the surrounding bone because the applied stress remained concentrated at the bone crest and the cervical third of the root. PDL with a lower elastic modulus can help protect the alveolar bone crest from stress concentration.32

This study demonstrates that increasing the inclination of anterior teeth can mostly harm the central incisors, not only for periodontal or orthodontic reasons. Prosthetic restoration of such teeth may be subject to destruction, regardless of the beneficial periodontal effect in natural teeth. Therefore, when restoring damaged structures of anterior teeth which have a labial inclination, particular attention should be paid to providing proper occlusal support of posterior teeth, and thus relieving incisors of occlusal loading.

Conclusions

Within the limitation of this study:
1. The mvM stresses in structures of incisors increase with the proclination of the tooth axis. Labial inclination of incisors causes an increase of stresses in tooth hard tissues, while palatal inclination reduces the stresses when compared to properly positioned teeth.
2. In proclined incisors restored with post and cores, significantly higher and unfavourably distributed stresses occurred when compared to normally positioned anterior teeth. Prosthetic reconstructions of proclined incisors have a higher predisposition to debond, the post to bend, or the root to fracture.
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