Phase estimation with weak measurement using a white light source.
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We report results of a high precision phase estimation based on a weak measurements scheme using commercial light-emitting diode. The method is based on a measurement of the imaginary part of the weak value of a polarization operator. The imaginary part of the weak value appeared due to the measurement interaction itself. The sensitivity of our method is equivalent to resolving light pulses of order of attosecond and it is robust against chromatic dispersion.

High precision phase measurements play a significant role in modern physics. The standard tool is an interferometer with a balanced homodyne detection \textsuperscript{1}. It requires a coherent source and the precision is dominated by the intrinsic quantum noise \textsuperscript{2}. To reduce the influence of the noise, quantum metrology technologies \textsuperscript{3} including N00N states \textsuperscript{4} and squeezed states \textsuperscript{5} have been exploited, while white light is usually deemed to be useless in quantum metrology. Recently it has been proposed that white light can be used for a very precise phase estimation \textsuperscript{6,7}, when weak measurements are performed. Here we experimentally demonstrate such a sensitive method utilizing white light from a commercial light-emitting diode (LED). This opens a new avenue for a high-resolution phase estimation.

As in other weak measurement experiments in which the Aharonov-Vaidman-Albert (AAV) amplification effect \textsuperscript{8} was demonstrated, we measure the photon polarization operator \( A \) with eigenvalues 1 and -1 for the two orthogonal polarizations. The polarization can be pre- and post-selected with a very good precision. The role of the measuring device is played by the spatial degree of freedom of light. In most weak measurement experiments, the relevant spatial degree of freedom is the position in the transverse direction, i.e. perpendicular to the direction of the light propagation. Here we consider, instead, the longitudinal direction \textsuperscript{6,7}.

The interaction Hamiltonian is

\[ H = g(t)PA, \]

where \( g(t) \) is the coupling strength satisfying \( \int g(t)dt = k \) and \( P \) is a component of the momentum of the photon. In the first realization of weak measurement the transversal shift was created by a tilted plate of a birefringent material \textsuperscript{9}. In our experiment, the plate is placed perpendicularly to the photon’s velocity and leads to a longitudinal shift, see Fig. 1a. We consider a very thin birefringent plate which leads to a time delay of a few attoseconds between the wave packets with different polarizations. The AAV effect with the proper pre- and post-selection of polarization can increase the time delay significantly, but a truly dramatic advantage is obtained for the measurement of the imaginary part of the weak value of the polarization operator which corresponds to the measurement of the spectrum shift, see Fig. 1b.

In weak measurement experiments with the transversal shift, the component of momentum in the interaction Hamiltonian \textsuperscript{1} is perpendicular to the direction of light and its expectation value is 0. In the weak measurement regime, the uncertainty of the perpendicular momentum is small and thus the interaction Hamiltonian is weak and we can neglect the change of the photon polarization wave function due to the measurement interaction.

In our case, the momentum in the Hamiltonian \textsuperscript{1} is in the direction of the motion and its expectation value is \( P_0 \). Thus, the evolution of the photon’s polarization during the measurement cannot be neglected. The uncertainty of the momentum \( \Delta P \), corresponding to the width of the spectrum of our light source, is relatively small, so the evolution of the polarization state is essen-
tially known and can be taken into account. Since the
uncertain part of the interaction is small we can use the
formalism of weak measurements even when the coupling
to the measurement device causes a non-negligible effect.

An important practical advantage of weak measurements lies in measuring the imaginary part of the weak value, which shifts a variable conjugate to the one which is normally affected by the relevant Hamiltonian [10]. This opens a whole new category of experimental techniques. Indeed, it led to the first observation of the tiny shift due to the quantum Hall effect for light [11] and to ultrasensitive measurement of the mirror tilt in a Sagnac interferometer [12].

It has been suggested that the measurement of a phase using imaginary weak value can outperform standard interferometry [6]. However, in our experiment, the pre- and post-selection of polarization is achieved using linear polarizers, so in the absence of additional evolution, the weak value of a linear polarization operator is real. Inserting a quarter-wave plate will create a circular component in the polarization, but because of the wide spectrum, the polarization state will be a mixture with a large uncertainty and for such a wide spectrum we cannot correct it using a dispersive material. Instead, the imaginary part of the weak value is created by the measurement interaction due to the non-negligible evolution mentioned above.

When light of wavelength $\lambda_0$ (corresponding to momentum $P_0$) goes through a half wave plate of width $L_0$, the polarization state, represented by a vector on the Poincare sphere, is rotated by the angle of $\pi$. For a plate of width $L$ the rotation angle will be $\alpha = \frac{\pi L}{L_0}$. This corresponds to a strength of the interaction (1) of $k = \alpha/P_0$.

The polarizations states that are created and postselected by the prisms are

$$|\psi_{pre}\rangle = \sin \frac{\pi}{4} |H\rangle + \cos \frac{\pi}{4} |V\rangle,$$

$$|\phi_{post}\rangle = \sin \left(\frac{\beta}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) |H\rangle + \cos \left(\frac{\beta}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) |V\rangle,$$

where $\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2}$ is the angle between the optical axis of the prisms so $\pi - \beta$ is the angle between the representations of $|\psi_{pre}\rangle$ and $|\phi_{post}\rangle$ on the Poincare sphere.

Consider the polarization state of a photon at a position $x$ in between the boundaries of our effective thin plate. It is separated by a plate of width $w$ from the preparing prism and a plate of width $L - x$ from the post-selecting prism. The two-state vector $\langle \phi | \psi \rangle$ of polarization at point $x$ is

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( e^{-i \frac{2\pi x}{L_0}} |H\rangle + e^{i \frac{2\pi x}{L_0}} |V\rangle \right),$$

$$\langle \phi | = e^{-i \frac{\pi L_0}{L_0}} \sin \left(\frac{\beta}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \langle H |$$

$$+ e^{i \frac{\pi L_0}{L_0}} \cos \left(\frac{\beta}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \langle V |.$$  

The weak value of $A = |H\rangle \langle H| - |V\rangle \langle V|$ turns out to be the same for any point $x$:

$$A_w = \frac{\langle \phi | A | \psi \rangle}{\langle \phi | \psi \rangle} = \frac{1 - e^{i\alpha} \cot \left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{x}{2}\right)}{1 + e^{i\alpha} \cot \left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{x}{2}\right)}.$$  

For small tilt $\alpha \ll 1$, and almost orthogonal post-selected state $\beta \ll 1$, we obtain:

$$A_w \simeq \frac{1}{\beta - i\alpha},$$

and

$$\text{Im} A_w \simeq \frac{\alpha}{\beta^2 + \alpha^2}.$$  

When the conditions of the weak measurements are fulfilled, the shift in the expectation value of $P$, after the interaction (1), is given by $\delta P = 2k(\Delta P)^2 \text{Im} A_w$. For our setup, the coupling, $k = \alpha/P_0$, is very small. The longitudinal shift is much smaller than the wavelength, so we have:

$$\delta P = \frac{2\Delta P^2 \alpha^2}{P_0(\beta^2 + \alpha^2)}.$$  

Since $\Delta P \ll P_0$ and the light has just one direction, we can measure $P$ using a spectrometer, based on the relation $\lambda = \frac{2\pi}{P}$. Then, instead of equation (5), we will have an equation for the shift in the wavelength:

$$\delta \lambda = \frac{2\Delta \lambda^2 \alpha^2}{\lambda_0(\beta^2 + \alpha^2)}.$$  

We can see here the advantage of using white light with a wide spectrum. Our measured signal is $\delta \lambda$ and it is proportional to $\Delta \lambda^2$, so the signal to noise ratio is proportional to the uncertainty $\Delta \lambda$.

The weak measurement interaction in our experiment is between the polarization and the spatial degrees of freedom of photons. Conceptually, such interaction is achieved by placing a plate of birefringent material perpendicularly to the photon velocity. The effect has to be very small and in practice, we use, instead, two identical true zero order half-wave plates (HWP), one perpendicular and one almost perpendicular but with a tiny tilt, see Fig. 2. The optical axes of the two HWPs are perpendicular to each other such that their effects cancel each other and the total longitudinal relative shift of the different polarizations vanishes when the tilt is zero. A tilt increases the optical path in the tilted HWP so that the system of the two HWPs becomes equivalent to a plate of a very small width of the same material and orientation as the tilted one. The reason for using such a construction of the two HWPs is the practical difficulty of constructing and manipulating a very thin birefringent plate and simplicity of changing the (effective) width of
The correspondence between the phase shift $\alpha$ and the tilt angle $\theta$, shown at the lower part of Fig. 3., is

$$\alpha = \pi \frac{L}{L_0} = \pi \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \sin^2 \theta/n_0^2}} - 1 \right) \simeq \frac{\pi \theta^2}{2 n_0^2},$$

where the refractive index $n_0 = 1.54$.

The experimental results, along with theoretical curves obtained by averaging of 9 corresponding to the polarization uncertainty are shown in Fig. 3. We have performed measurements for three post-selected states with $\beta = 0.000, 0.004$ and 0.014. In all cases we changed $\alpha$ from 0 to 0.013 by changing the effective width of the birefringent plate. We obtained good correspondence between theory and experiment and especially good correspondence for orthogonal polarization filters, the case which was easiest to control.

In order to test the robustness of our method to chromatic dispersion, we introduced it artificially using a 1mm thick ZnSe crystal, see Fig. 2. A femtosecond pulse of light going through the crystal will be broadened by order of hundreds femtosecond, an effect that can gravely harm the precision for many setups. Our method however, worked well also when the ZnSe crystal was moved inside. The crystal variable spectrum transmittance slightly changed the spectrum: $\Delta \lambda = 41.6 \text{nm}$. The results for $\beta = 0.000, 0.004$ are presented in Fig. 4. The change relative to the case without ZnSe crystal is small. This demonstrates an important advantage of our method. Modern metrology technologies methods and the scheme of the measurement of the real part of the weak value requires a coherent source. The amplification in the measurement of the imaginary part of the weak value works also for our white light source.

On Fig. 4 we also presented our test of the theory in
which we repeated the experiment for $\beta = 0.004$ with the crystal filtering the LED light and thus reducing the spectrum width to $\Delta \lambda = 18.9 \text{nm}$, $\lambda_0 = 795 \text{nm}$. Reduction of the shift of the spectrum demonstrates the advantage of the wide spectrum of our LED source.

Since our expression \([9]\) for the shift is not just linear in the phase, a special analysis is needed in order to estimate the precision of our method. In order to get the best precision we have to tune the post-selection parameter $\beta$ depending on the value of the measured phase $\alpha$. Taking partial derivative of \((3)\) relative to $\alpha$, we find that the optimal precision is obtained when we choose $\beta \simeq \alpha$. For this choice of $\beta$, the uncertainty is $\Delta \alpha = \frac{\lambda_0 \alpha}{\Delta \lambda} \Delta (\delta \lambda)$. In our experiment, estimating the measured uncertainty of the wavelength as $\Delta (\delta \lambda) = 0.1 \text{nm}$, we obtain $\Delta \alpha \simeq 0.1 \alpha$. For very small phases, we set $\beta = 0$ and utilize the uncertainty of the polarizers, so \([9]\) is irrelevant. In this case, the simplest way to estimate the precision is by viewing the theoretical curve as a calibration in light of the very good correspondence of $\beta = 0$ curve with the experimental results. We see that the phase shift $\alpha \simeq 10^{-3}$ can be estimated with precision of the order of $\alpha \simeq 10^{-4}$. Our results compete well with coherent light phase weak measurements \([4]\) and currently are significantly better than quantum metrology technologies measurements using N00N and squeezed states \([15]\), which are still in the process of solving experimental problems \([16]\).

We have performed an experiment using commercial LED source demonstrating a new method for precision phase estimation based on weak measurement. The method is invulnerable to chromatic dispersion. Its simplicity and robustness suggest that it can be applied for a vast range of applications.

After completion of our experiment, we have learned about another theoretical modification \([17]\) which includes analysis of the spectrum of transmitted and reflected light of the post-selection polarization beam splitter. It might lead to further improvement of the precision of the phase estimation, but it is beyond the scope of the current work.
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\text{Figure 4. The shift of the spectrum of light $\delta \lambda$ for light passing through thick ZnSe crystal. The red-square, blue-circle points correspond to $\beta = 0.000$ and 0.004 respectively. The green-triangles also correspond to $\beta = 0.004$, but for filtered light having significantly smaller spectrum width. The red, blue and green lines are the theoretical predictions.}
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