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Motivated by the AdS/CFT correspondence, we show that there is a remarkable agreement between static supergravity solutions and extrema of a field theory potential. For essentially any function \( V(\phi) \) there are boundary conditions in anti de Sitter space so that gravitational solitons exist precisely at the extrema of \( V \) and have masses given by the value of \( V \) at these extrema. Based on this, we propose new positive energy conjectures. On the field theory side, each function \( V \) can be interpreted as the effective potential for a certain operator in the dual field theory.

Introduction. In theories of gravity coupled to matter, the theory is usually fully determined by the action. The boundary conditions at infinity are often not independent, but uniquely determined by basic requirements such as finite total energy. This is not the case, however, for certain theories of gravity in asymptotically anti de Sitter (AdS) spacetimes. For the same action, there can be many possible boundary conditions, and changing the boundary conditions changes the properties of the theory. In particular, we will see that one can “pre-order” the boundary conditions changes the properties of the theory.

Among the theories of gravity for which this is possible are certain supergravity theories. In fact, although this result is independent of string theory, it was discovered while investigating the AdS/CFT correspondence [1]. Furthermore, in cases where there is a field theory dual, the gravitational solitons can be used to compute certain effective potentials in the field theory.

We will consider theories of gravity coupled to a scalar field with potential \( V(\phi) \). We require that \( V \) has a negative maximum, so that AdS is a solution and small scalar fluctuations are tachyonic, \( m^2 < 0 \). It has long been known that tachyonic scalars in \( d + 1 \) dimensional AdS spacetime are stable provided their mass is above the Breitenlohner-Freedman (BF) bound [2] \( m^2_{BF} = -d^2/4 \) (in units of the AdS radius). It has been shown much more recently that if

\[
m^2_{BF} \leq m^2 < m^2_{BF} + 1 \quad (1)
\]

then more general boundary conditions are possible which still admit a conserved finite total energy and preserve all the AdS symmetries [3, 4].

For definiteness, we will focus on the case of \( \mathcal{N} = 8 \) gauged supergravity in four dimensions, and comment on generalizations at the end. This theory can be consistently truncated to include just gravity and a single scalar field with potential [5]

\[
V(\phi) = -2 - \cosh(\sqrt{2}\phi) \quad (2)
\]

so, setting \( 8\pi G = 1 \), our action is

\[
S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 + 2 + \cosh(\sqrt{2}\phi) \right] \quad (3)
\]

The potential (2) has a maximum at \( \phi = 0 \) corresponding to an \( AdS_4 \) solution with unit radius. It is unbounded from below, but small fluctuations have \( m^2 = -2 \) which is above the BF bound, and satisfies (1).

In all asymptotically AdS solutions, the scalar \( \phi \) decays at large radius as

\[
\phi(r) = \frac{\alpha}{r} + \frac{\beta}{r^2} \quad (4)
\]

where \( r \) is an asymptotic coordinate area, and \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) can depend on the other coordinates. The standard boundary conditions correspond to either \( \alpha = 0 \) or \( \beta = 0 \) [2, 6]. It was shown in [3] that \( \beta = ka^2 \) (with \( k \) an arbitrary constant) was another possible boundary condition that preserves all the asymptotic AdS symmetries. We now consider even more general boundary conditions \( \beta = \beta(\alpha) \). Although these will generically break some of the asymptotic AdS symmetries, they are invariant under global time translations. Hence there is still a conserved total energy, as we now show.

As discussed in [3], the usual definition of energy in AdS diverges whenever \( \alpha \neq 0 \). This is because the back-reaction of the scalar field causes certain metric components to fall off slower than usual. The complete set of boundary conditions can be found in [3] but the main change is in \( g_{rr} \):

\[
g_{rr} = 1 - \frac{1 + \alpha^2/2}{r^4} + O(1/r^5) \quad (5)
\]

The expression for the conserved mass depends on the asymptotic behavior of the fields and is defined as follows. Let \( \xi^\mu \) be a timelike vector which asymptotically approaches a (global) time translation in AdS. The Hamiltonian takes the form

\[
H = \int_{\Sigma} \xi^\mu C_{\mu} + \text{surface terms} \quad (6)
\]

where \( \Sigma \) is a spacelike surface, \( C_{\mu} \) are the usual constraints, and the surface terms should be chosen so that the variation of the Hamiltonian is well defined. The variation of the usual gravitational surface term is given by

\[
\delta Q_G[\xi] = \frac{1}{2} \int dS_{\Sigma} G^{ijkl}(\xi^\perp \delta h_{kl} - \delta h_{kl} \delta h_{ij} \xi^\perp) \quad (7)
\]
where \( G^{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} g^{kl} - g^{ik} g^{jl} - g^{il} g^{jk} \), \( h_{ij} = g_{ij} - \bar{g}_{ij} \) is the deviation from the spatial metric \( \bar{g}_{ij} \) of pure AdS, \( \bar{D}_i \) denotes covariant differentiation with respect to \( \bar{g}_{ij} \) and \( \xi^i = \xi \cdot n \) with \( n \) the unit normal to \( \Sigma \). Since our scalar field is falling off more slowly than usual if \( \alpha \neq 0 \), there is an additional scalar contribution to the surface terms. Its variation is simply

\[
\delta Q_\phi[\xi] = - \oint \xi^i \delta \phi \bar{D}_i \phi dS^i
\]  

Using the asymptotic behavior \( (4) \) this becomes

\[
\delta Q_\phi[\xi] = r \oint (\alpha \delta \alpha) d\Omega + \oint [\delta (\alpha \beta) + \beta \delta \alpha] d\Omega
\]

Since there is a term proportional to the radius of the sphere, this scalar surface term diverges. However, this divergence is exactly canceled by the divergence of the usual gravitational surface term \( (7) \). The total charge can therefore be integrated, yielding

\[
Q[\xi] = Q_G[\xi] + r \oint \frac{\alpha^2}{2} d\Omega + \oint [\alpha \beta + W(\alpha)] d\Omega
\]

where we have defined

\[
W(\alpha) = \int^\alpha \beta(\bar{\alpha}) d\bar{\alpha}
\]

In addition to canceling the divergence in \( (10) \), the gravitational surface term contributes a finite amount \( M_0 \). For the spherically symmetric solutions we consider below, this is just the coefficient of the \( 1/r^5 \) term in \( g_{rr} \). Since \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are now independent of angles, the total mass becomes

\[
M = 4\pi (M_0 + \alpha \beta + W)
\]

(For \( \beta = k\alpha^2 \), this agrees with the expression for the mass given in [3].)

**Gravitational Solitons.** We want to study solitons in this theory. These are nonsingular, static, spherically symmetric solutions. Writing the metric as

\[
ds^2 = -h(r)e^{-2\chi(r)} dt^2 + h^{-1}(r) dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega
\]

the field equations read

\[
\bar{h}\phi_{,rr} + \left( \frac{2h}{r} + \frac{\phi^2}{2} h + h_{,r} \right) \phi_{,r} = V_\phi
\]

\[
1 - h - rh_{,r} - \frac{r^2}{2} \phi^2 h = r^2 V(\phi)
\]

\[
\chi_{,r} = -\frac{r \phi^2}{2}
\]

Regularity at the origin requires \( h = 1 \) and \( h' = \phi' = \chi' = 0 \). Rescaling \( t \) shifts \( \chi \) by a constant, so its value at the origin is arbitrary. Thus solutions can be labeled by the value of \( \phi \) at the origin. For each \( \phi(0) \), one can integrate these ODE’s and get a soliton. Asymptotically, \( \phi \) behaves like \( (4) \), so we get a point in the \( (\alpha, \beta) \) plane. Repeating for all \( \phi(0) \) yields a curve \( \beta_s(\alpha) \) where the subscript indicates this is associated with solitons. This curve is plotted in Fig. 1. (Since the potential \( V(\phi) \) is even, it suffices to consider positive \( \phi(0) \) which corresponds to positive \( \alpha \).) Note that solitons exist for arbitrarily small \( \alpha \). When \( \alpha \ll 1 \), \( \phi(r) \) is small everywhere, and one might have thought a linearized approximation should be valid implying no solitons could exist. This is incorrect since for any \( \alpha \neq 0 \), the backreaction is always large asymptotically as shown in \( (5) \). Given a choice of boundary condition \( \beta(\alpha) \), the allowed solitons are simply given by points where the soliton curve intersects the boundary condition curve: \( \beta_s(\alpha) = \beta(\alpha) \).

\[\text{FIG. 1: The function } \beta_s \text{ obtained from the solitons.}\]

We can now state our prescription for choosing boundary conditions to reproduce any prescribed set of solitons. Set

\[
W_0(\alpha) = -\int_0^\alpha \beta_s(\alpha)
\]

This function is universal, in the sense that it is independent of our choice of boundary conditions. Now given any smooth function \( V(\alpha) \) with \( V(0) = 0 \), we write \( V = W_0 + W \) and take our boundary conditions to be \( \beta = W'(\alpha) \). It follows immediately that the extrema of \( V \) are in one-to-one correspondence with solitons that obey these boundary conditions:

\[
0 = V' = W_0' + W' = -\beta_s + \beta
\]

So the extrema of \( V \) are precisely the points where \( \beta_s = \beta \). Furthermore, the mass of each soliton is given by the value of \( V \) at the corresponding extremum. To see this remember that static solutions are extrema of the mass [7]. Suppose we choose our boundary condition to be \( \beta = \beta_s(\alpha) \). For this special case, all the solitons are allowed by the boundary conditions. Since we have a one parameter family of static solutions, the mass must be constant, i.e., all the solitons have the same mass. But
this includes $\beta = \alpha = 0$ which is just AdS and has zero mass. So all the solitons have zero mass. From (12), with boundary conditions $\beta = \beta_s(\alpha)$ we have

$$0 = M_0 + \alpha \beta_s - W_0$$

(19)

Therefore, for our general boundary condition $\beta = W'(\alpha)$, we have

$$M = 4\pi(M_0 + \alpha \beta + W) = 4\pi(W_0 + W) = \int \mathcal{V} d\Omega$$

(20)

where we have used the fact that $\beta = \beta_s(\alpha)$ for a soliton. Thus the mass of the soliton is indeed given by the value of $\mathcal{V}$ at the corresponding extremum. Notice that the only restriction on $\mathcal{V}$ (that $\mathcal{V}(0) = 0$) comes from the fact that we want the total mass of pure AdS to be zero.

We have also studied the stability of these solitons. The most likely mode to go unstable is a spherically symmetric scalar perturbation like the one studied for hairy black holes in [8]. We have found numerically that this mode is indeed unstable if $\mathcal{V}'' < 0$. We expect the solitons with $\mathcal{V}'' > 0$ to be stable. This leads to a new class of “positive” energy conjectures [12]. For given boundary conditions, the minimum energy solution is expected to be static, and hence one of the solitons we have been discussing. If $\mathcal{V}$ has a global minimum, then it seems likely that the energy of any supergravity solution cannot be less than the minimum mass soliton. In other words,

**Conjecture:** Given any smooth function $\mathcal{V}(\alpha)$ with $\mathcal{V}(0) = 0$ and a global minimum $\mathcal{V}_{\text{min}}$, consider solutions to (3) with boundary condition $\beta = W'$ where $W = \mathcal{V} - W_0$ and $W_0$ is given by (17). Then the conserved mass (10) of any nonsingular initial data set is bounded below by $4\pi \mathcal{V}_{\text{min}}$.

**Field theory.** We now turn to the dual field theory interpretation. String theory on spacetimes which asymptotically approach $AdS_3 \times S^7$ is dual to the 2+1 conformal field theory (CFT) describing the low energy excitations of a stack of M two-branes. This theory is not well understood, but we can learn something nontrivial using the gravitational solitons. With $\beta = 0$ boundary conditions, the bulk scalar $\phi$ is dual to a dimension one operator $\mathcal{O}$. One way of obtaining this CFT is by starting with the field theory on a stack of D two-branes and taking the infrared limit. In that description [9],

$$\mathcal{O} = Tr T_{ij} \varphi^i \varphi^j$$

(21)

where $T_{ij}$ is symmetric and traceless and $\varphi^i$ are the adjoint scalars.

Let $S_0$ denote the CFT lagrangian and consider the deformation

$$S = S_0 - k \int \mathcal{O}$$

(22)

Using the standard AdS/CFT dictionary, the vacuum expectation value of $\mathcal{O}$ in this deformed theory is obtained by finding nonsingular static supergravity solutions with $\beta = -k$. But these are precisely our solitons. Given a soliton with $\beta = -k$, one has $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \alpha$. Hence the function $\mathcal{V}(\alpha) = W_0 - k \alpha$ can be interpreted as the effective potential for $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle$, where $W_0$ is the function (17) computed earlier from the soliton solutions. From Fig. 1 we see that there are three qualitatively different regions. For small $k$, there is a unique soliton and hence a unique nonzero value for $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle$. For intermediate values of $k$ there are two solitons indicating there are two vacua, and for large $k$ there are no solitons indicating there is no vacuum at all.

Since our CFT lives on $S^2 \times R$ (it is dual to a bulk theory which approaches global AdS asymptotically) one might have expected a mass term $\frac{1}{2} m^2 \varphi^2$ coming from the conformal coupling of the scalars to the curvature of the $S^2$. The radius of the $S^2$ is equal to the AdS radius, so one expects $m^2 = R/8 = 1/4$ in AdS units. Since $\mathcal{O}$ is quadratic in $\varphi$ the presence of a mass term would mean that for small $k < m^2/2$, the vacuum would be unchanged and $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = 0$. But this is not what we find. Figure 1 shows that $\beta_s$ is linear in $\alpha$ for small $\alpha$, so that $W_0$ is quadratic in $\alpha$, hence the vacuum expectation value $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle$ is shifted even for small $k$. This is illustrated in Figure 2 for $k = 1/2$. For slightly larger $k$ a new maximum appears at larger $\alpha$ and the theory becomes nonperturbatively unstable.

Now suppose we replace $-k \int \mathcal{O}$ in (22) with $\int W(\mathcal{O})$ where $W$ is an arbitrary function of $\mathcal{O}$. Remarkably, the expectation values $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle$ in different vacua are again given by the extrema of $\mathcal{V} = W_0 + W$, where $W_0$ is the same function as above, and $W$ is unchanged. This is because the addition of $\int W(\mathcal{O})$ to the CFT action corresponds in the bulk to using the modified boundary conditions $\beta = W'$ [10]. But we have already seen that the extrema of $\mathcal{V}$ correspond to solitons with precisely these boundary conditions. The fact that the function $W$ does not receive any corrections in the effective potential is surprising and reminiscent of a nonrenormalization theorem, but we are dealing with configurations that are far from supersymmetric. Perhaps it is related to taking the large $N$ limit or to properties of the operators that are dual to scalars with masses in the range (1). An example of the effective
potential in the presence of a multitrace deformation $W$ that yields a nontrivial false vacuum is given in Figure 3.

![Graph showing the effective potential $V = W_0 - \frac{1}{4} \alpha^2 + \frac{1}{16} \alpha^3$.]

**FIG. 3**: The effective potential $V = W_0 - \frac{1}{4} \alpha^2 + \frac{1}{16} \alpha^3$.

**Discussion.** In summary, we have seen that one can “pre-order” solitons in supergravity, in the following sense: Given essentially any function $V(\alpha)$ there are boundary conditions such that gravitational solitons exist precisely for each extremum of $V(\alpha)$ and have masses given by the value of $V$ at the corresponding extremum. Furthermore, in supergravity theories with a field theory dual, the function $V$ can be interpreted as the effective potential for the dual operator $O$. It would be interesting to perform an independent field theory calculation of the effective potential, for instance in the case of a simple single trace deformation, since this would provide a new test of AdS/CFT. One can also extend our results from solitons to black holes with scalar hair. One can pre-order black holes either in terms of their size or temperature. In the latter case, a similar bulk analysis yields again a function $V(\alpha)$ that can be interpreted as the finite temperature effective potential for $O$ in the dual field theory. This will be discussed in more detail in [11].

Although we have focused on a scalar field with $m^2 = -2$ in four dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity, the gravity side of the story can be generalized to other dimensions and all scalars with masses in the range (1). For asymptotically $AdS_{d+1}$ spacetimes, a scalar field with mass $m$ asymptotically falls off like

$$\phi = \frac{\alpha}{r^{\Delta_-}} + \frac{\beta}{r^{\Delta_+}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

where

$$\Delta_{\pm} = \frac{d \pm \sqrt{d^2 + 4m^2}}{2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

If the mass is in the range $m_{BF}^2 \leq m^2 < m_{BF}^2 + 1$, then a finite, conserved total energy can be defined for any boundary condition $\beta(\alpha)$. The variation of the scalar surface term is still (8), so inserting this asymptotic behavior of $\phi$ yields

$$M = \text{Vol}(S^{d-1}) \left[ \frac{d-1}{2} M_0 + \Delta_- \alpha \beta + (\Delta_+ - \Delta_-) W \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

One can again construct the soliton curve $\beta_s(\alpha)$ and find boundary conditions that admit any desired soliton solutions. If a dual field theory exists, then one can again compute effective potentials for the operators dual to the bulk scalar field.

**Acknowledgments** It is a pleasure to thank O. Aharony, J. Maldacena, D. Marolf, J. Polchinski and N. Seiberg for discussions. Part of this work was done while G.H. was visiting the IAS in Princeton and he thanks them for their hospitality. T.H. thanks the Solvay Institute at the Université Libre de Bruxelles for its kind hospitality during the completion of this work. This work was supported in part by NSF grant PHY-0244764.

[1] J. M. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 231, hep-th/9711200.
[2] P. Breitenlohner and D. Z. Freedman, Annals Phys. 144 (1982) 249; Phys. Lett. B 115 (1982) 197.
[3] T. Hertog, K. Maeda, JHEP 0407 (2004) 051, hep-th/0404261.
[4] M. Henneaux, C. Martinez, R. Troncoso, J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. D 70 (2004) 044034, hep-th/0404236.
[5] M. J. Duff, J. T. Liu, Nucl. Phys. B554 (1999) 237, hep-th/9901149.
[6] I. R. Klebanov and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B 556 (1999) 89, hep-th/9905104.
[7] D. Sudarsky and R. M. Wald, Phys. Rev. D 46 (1992) 1453.
[8] T. Hertog and K. Maeda, hep-th/0409314.
[9] O. Aharony, Y. Oz and Z. Yin, Phys. Lett. B 430 (1998) 87, hep-th/9803051; S. Minwalla, JHEP 9810 (1998) 002, hep-th/9803053.
[10] E. Witten, hep-th/0112258.
[11] T. Hertog and G. T. Horowitz, to appear.
[12] This does not contradict our earlier result (discussed in hep-th/0406134) that theories with solitons must have solutions with arbitrarily negative energy, since that assumed conventional boundary conditions that are scale invariant. The boundary conditions used here are generally not invariant under rescaling $r$. 

---

[This does not contradict our earlier result (discussed in hep-th/0406134) that theories with solitons must have solutions with arbitrarily negative energy, since that assumed conventional boundary conditions that are scale invariant. The boundary conditions used here are generally not invariant under rescaling $r$.]