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Abstract. The Ehrhart quasipolynomial of a rational polytope $P$ encodes fundamental arithmetic data of $P$, namely, the number of integer lattice points in positive integral dilates of $P$. Ehrhart quasipolynomials were introduced in the 1960s, they satisfy several fundamental structural results and have applications in many areas of mathematics and beyond. The enumerative theory of lattice points in rational (equivalently, real) dilates of rational polytopes is much younger, starting with work by Linke (2011), Baldoni–Berline–Köppe–Vergne (2013), and Stapledon (2017). We introduce a generating-function ansatz for rational Ehrhart quasipolynomials, which unifies several known results in classical and rational Ehrhart theory. In particular, we define $\gamma$-rational Gorenstein polytopes, which extend the classical notion to the rational setting and encompass the generalized reflexive polytopes studied by Fiset–Kasprzyk (2008) and Kasprzyk–Nill (2012).

1. Introduction

Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a $d$-dimensional lattice polytope; that is, $P$ is the convex hull of finitely many points in $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Ehrhart’s famous theorem [15] then says that the counting function $ehr_{\mathbb{Z}}(P; n) := |nP \cap \mathbb{Z}^d|$ is a polynomial in $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, the Ehrhart polynomial of $P$. Equivalently, the corresponding Ehrhart series is of the form

$$ehr_{\mathbb{Z}}(P; t) := 1 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} ehr_{\mathbb{Z}}(P; n) t^n = \left(1 - \frac{h^*_Z(P; t)}{t(k - 1)}\right)^{d+1}$$

where $h^*_Z(P; t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq d$. Here one can consider $ehr_{\mathbb{Z}}(P; t)$ (and all series below) as a formal power series in $t$, or as an analytic power series with $|t| < 1$.

More generally, let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a rational polytope with denominator $k$, i.e., $k$ is the smallest positive integer such that $kP$ is a lattice polytope. Then $ehr_{\mathbb{Z}}(P; n)$ is a quasipolynomial, i.e., of the form $ehr_{\mathbb{Z}}(P; n) = c_d(n)n^d + \cdots + c_1(n)n + c_0(n)$ where $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_d : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q}$ are periodic functions. The least common period of $c_0(n), c_1(n), \ldots, c_d(n)$ is the period of $ehr_{\mathbb{Z}}(P; n)$; this period divides the denominator $k$ of $P$; again this goes back to Ehrhart [15]. Equivalently,

$$ehr_{\mathbb{Z}}(P; t) := 1 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} ehr_{\mathbb{Z}}(P; n) t^n = \frac{h^*_Z(P; t)}{(1 - t)^{(d+1)}}$$

where $h^*_Z(P; t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ has degree $< k(d+1)$. The step from $ehr_{\mathbb{Z}}(P; n)$ to $h^*_Z(P; t)$ is essentially a change of basis; see, e.g., [10, Section 4.5].

Because polytopes can be described by a system of linear equalities and inequalities, they appear in a wealth of areas; likewise Ehrhart quasipolynomials have applications in number theory, combinatorics, computational geometry, commutative algebra, representation theory, and many other domains.
areas. For general background on Ehrhart theory and connections to various mathematical fields, see, e.g., [9].

Our aim is to study Ehrhart counting functions with a real dilation parameter. However, as \( P \) is a rational polytope, it suffices to compute this counting function at certain rational arguments to fully understand it; we will (quantify and) make this statement precise shortly (Corollary 5 below). We define the **rational Ehrhart counting function**

\[
ehr \left( P; \lambda \right) := \left| \lambda P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \right|,
\]

where \( \lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \). To the best of our knowledge, Linke [20] initiated the study of the rational (and real) counting function from the Ehrhart viewpoint. She proved several fundamental results starting with the fact that \( ehr \left( P; \lambda \right) \) is a **quasipolynomial** in the rational (equivalently, real) variable \( \lambda \), that is,

\[
ehr \left( P; \lambda \right) = c_d(\lambda) \lambda^d + c_{d-1}(\lambda) \lambda^{d-1} + \cdots + c_0(\lambda)
\]

where \( c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_d : \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Q} \) are periodic functions. The least common period of \( c_0(\lambda), \ldots, c_d(\lambda) \) is the **period** of \( ehr \left( P; \lambda \right) \). For \( x \in \mathbb{R} \), let \( \lfloor x \rfloor \) (resp. \( \lceil x \rceil \)) denote the largest integer \( \leq x \) (resp. the smallest integer \( \geq x \)), and \( \{ x \} := x - \lfloor x \rfloor \). Here is a first example, which we will revisit below:

\[
ehr \left( [1, 2]; \lambda \right) = \left\lfloor 2\lambda \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \lambda \right\rfloor + 1
\]

Rearranging gives the quasipolynomial in the format of the definition:

\[
ehr \left( [1, 2]; \lambda \right) = \text{vol}([1, 2]) \lambda + c_0(\lambda) = \lambda + \left\{ \lambda \right\} - \left\lfloor 2\lambda \right\rfloor.
\]

Linke views the coefficient functions as piecewise-defined polynomials, which allows her, among many other things, to establish differential equations relating the coefficient functions. Essentially concurrently, Baldoni–Berline–Köppe–Vergne [2], inspired by [4], developed an algorithmic theory of **intermediate sums** for polyhedra, which includes \( ehr \left( P; \lambda \right) \) as a special case. We also mention more recent work of Royer [22,23], which, among many other things, also studies rational Gorenstein polytopes (see below).

Our goal is to add a generating-function viewpoint to [2,20], one that is inspired by [25,27]. To set it up, we need to make a definition. Suppose the rational \( d \)-polytope \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) is given by the irredundant halfspace description

\[
P = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : Ax \leq b \right\}, \tag{1.2}
\]

where \( A \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times d} \) and \( b \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) such that the greatest common divisor of \( b_i \) and the entries in the \( i \)th row of \( A \) equals 1, for every \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \).

We define the **codenominator** \( r \) of \( P \) to be the least common multiple of the nonzero entries of \( b \):

\[
r := \text{lcm}(b).
\]

As we assume that \( P \) is full dimensional, the codenominator is well-defined. Our nomenclature arises from determining \( r \) using duality, as follows. Let \( P^\circ \) denote the relative interior of \( P \), and let \( (\mathbb{R}^d)^\vee \) be the dual vector space. If \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) is a rational polytope such that \( 0 \in P^\circ \), the **polar dual polytope** is \( P^\vee := \left\{ x \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^\vee : \langle x, y \rangle \geq -1 \text{ for all } y \in P \right\} \), and \( r = \min\{ q \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} : qP^\vee \text{ is a lattice polytope} \} \); see, e.g., [3].

\[1\] If \( P \) is a lattice polytope then we do not need to include \( b_i \) in this gcd condition.
We will see in Section 2 that \( \text{ehr}_Q(P; \lambda) \) is fully determined by evaluations at rational numbers with denominator \( 2r \) (see Corollary 5 below for details); if \( 0 \in P \) then we actually need to know only evaluations at rational numbers with denominator \( r \). Thus we associate two generating series to the rational Ehrhart counting function, the **rational Ehrhart series**, to a full-dimensional rational polytope \( P \) with codenominator \( r \):

\[
\text{Ehr}_Q(P; t) := 1 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \text{ehr}_Q(P; n) t^{n} 
\]

and the **refined rational Ehrhart series**

\[
\text{Ehr}^{\text{ref}}_Q(P; t) := 1 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \text{ehr}_Q(P; n) t^{n}.
\]

Continuing our comment above, we typically study \( \text{Ehr}_Q(P; t) \) for polytopes such that \( 0 \in P \), and \( \text{Ehr}^{\text{ref}}_Q(P; t) \) for polytopes such that \( 0 \notin P \). Our first main result is as follows.

**Theorem 6.** Let \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) be a rational \( d \)-polytope with codenominator \( r \), and let \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) such that \( \frac{m}{r} P \) is a lattice polytope. Then

\[
\text{Ehr}_Q(P; t) = \frac{h^*_Q(P; t; m)}{(1 - t^{m/r})^{d+1}}
\]

where \( h^*_Q(P; t; m) \) is a polynomial in \( \mathbb{Z}[t^r] \) with nonnegative integral coefficients. Consequently, \( \text{ehr}_Q(P; \lambda) \) is a quasipolynomial and the period of \( \text{ehr}_Q(P; \lambda) \) divides \( \frac{m}{r} \), i.e., this period is of the form \( \frac{j}{r} \) with \( j \mid m \).

From this we recover Linke’s result [20, Corollary 1.4] that \( \text{ehr}_Q(P; \lambda) \) is a quasipolynomial with period dividing \( q \), where \( q \) is the smallest positive rational number such that \( qP \) is a lattice polytope.

Section 2 contains structural theorems about these generating functions: rationality and its consequences for the quasipolynomial \( \text{ehr}_Q(P; \lambda) \) (Theorem 6 and Theorem 12), nonnegativity (Corollary 11), connections to the \( h^*_Q \)-polynomial in classical Ehrhart theory (Corollary 14), and combinatorial reciprocity theorems (Corollary 15 and Corollary 16).

One can find a precursor of sorts to our generating functions \( \text{Ehr}_Q(P; t) \) and \( \text{Ehr}^{\text{ref}}_Q(P; t) \) in work by Stapledon [25, 27], and in fact this work was our initial motivation to look for and study rational Ehrhart generating functions. We explain the connection of [27] to our work in Section 3. In particular, we deduce that in the case \( 0 \in P^0 \) the generating function \( \text{Ehr}_Q(P; t) \) exhibits additional symmetry (Corollary 26).

A \((d+1)\)-dimensional, pointed, rational cone \( C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \) is called **Gorenstein** if there exists a point \((p_0, p) \in C \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} \) such that \( C^o \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} = (p_0, p) + C \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} \) (see, e.g., [7, 13, 24]). The point \((p_0, p) \) is called the **Gorenstein point** of the cone. We define the **homogenization** \( \text{hom}(P) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \) of a rational polytope \( P = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : A x \leq b \} \) as

\[
\text{hom}(P) := \text{cone} \{ \{1\} \times P \} := \left\{ (x_0, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : A x \leq x_0 b, \ x_0 \geq 0 \right\}.
\]

For a cone \( C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \), the **dual cone** \( C^\vee \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^{d+1})^\vee \) is

\[
C^\vee := \left\{ (y_0, y) \in (\mathbb{R}^{d+1})^\vee : \langle (y_0, y), (x_0, x) \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } (x_0, x) \in C \right\}.
\]

A lattice polytope \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) is **Gorenstein** if the homogenization \( \text{hom}(P) \) of \( P \) is Gorenstein; in the special case where the Gorenstein point of that cone is \((1, q)\), for some \( q \in \mathbb{Z}^d \), we call \( P \)
Reflexive polytopes can alternatively be characterized as those lattice polytopes (containing the origin) whose polar duals are also lattice polytopes, i.e., they have codenominator 1. This definition has a natural extension to rational polytopes [17]. Gorenstein and reflexive polytopes (and their rational versions) play an important role in Ehrhart theory, as they have palindromic $h^*_d$-polynomials. In Section 4 we give the analogous result in rational Ehrhart theory without reference to the polar dual:

**Theorem 27.** Let $P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : A x \leq b\}$ be a rational $d$-polytope with codenominator $r$ and $0 \in P$, as in Equation (1.2) and Equation (4.1) Then the following are equivalent for $g, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $\frac{1}{r}P$ a lattice polytope:

(i) $P$ is $r$-rational Gorenstein with Gorenstein point $(g, y) \in \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)$.

(ii) There exists a (necessarily unique) integer solution $(g, y)$ to

$-\langle a_j, y \rangle = 1$ for $j = 1, \ldots, i$

$b_j g - r \langle a_j, y \rangle = b_j$ for $j = i + 1, \ldots, n$.

(iii) $h^*_Q(P; t; m)$ is palindromic:

$t^{(d+1)\frac{m}{r} - \frac{2}{r}} h^*_Q \left( \frac{1}{t}P; \frac{1}{t}; m \right) = h^*_Q(P; t; m)$.

(iv) $(-1)^{d+1} t^{\frac{2}{r}} \text{Ehr}_Q(P; t) = \text{Ehr}_Q(P; \frac{1}{t})$.

(v) $\text{ehr}_Q(P; \frac{2}{r}) = \text{ehr}_Q(P^0; \frac{n+2}{t})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

(vi) $\text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)^\vee$ is the cone over a lattice polytope, i.e., there exists a lattice point $(g, y) \in \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)^0 \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ such that for every primitive ray generator $(v_0, v)$ of $\text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)^\vee$

$\langle (g, y), (v_0, v) \rangle = 1$.

The equivalence of (i) and (vi) is well known (see, e.g., [5, Definition 1.8] or [12, Exercises 2.13 and 2.14]). We will see that there are many more rational Gorenstein polytopes than among lattice polytopes; e.g., any rational polytope containing the origin in its interior is rational Gorenstein (Corollary 28).

We mention the recent notion of an $l$-reflexive polytope $P$ ("reflexive of higher index") [19]. A lattice point $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ is primitive if the gcd of its coordinates is equal to one. The $l$-reflexive polytopes are precisely the lattice polytopes of the form Equation (1.2) with $b = (l, l, \ldots, l)$ and primitive vertices; note that this means $P$ has codenominator $l$ and $\frac{1}{l}P$ has denominator $l$.

We conclude with two short sections further connecting our work to the existing literature. Section 5 exhibits how one can deduce a theorem of Betke–McMullen [11] (and also its rational analogue [8]) from rational Ehrhart theory.

Ehrhart’s theorem gives an upper bound for the period of the quasipolynomial $\text{ehr}_\mathbb{Z}(P; n)$, namely, the denominator of $P$. When the period of $\text{ehr}_\mathbb{Z}(P; n)$ is smaller than the denominator of $P$, we speak of period collapse. One can witness this phenomenon most easily in the Ehrhart series, as period collapse means that the rational function in Equation (1.1) factors in such a way that one realizes there are no nontrivial roots of unity that are poles. It is an interesting question whether/how much period collapse happens in rational Ehrhart theory, and how it compares to the classical scenario. In Section 6, we offer some data points for period collapse for both rational and classical Ehrhart quasipolynomials.
2. Rational Ehrhart Dilations

We assume throughout this article that all polytopes are full dimensional, and call a $d$-dimensional polytope in $\mathbb{R}^d$ a $d$-polytope. We note that, consequently, the leading coefficient of $\text{ehr}_2(P; n)$ is constant (namely, the volume of $P$), and thus the rational generating function $\text{ehr}_2(P; t)$ has a unique pole of order $d + 1$ at $t = 1$. So we could write the rational generating function $\text{ehr}_2(P; t)$ with denominator $(1 - t)(1 - t^k)^d$; in other words, $\text{ehr}_2(P; t)$ always has a factor $(1 + t + \cdots + t^{k-1})$. Recall, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\lfloor x \rfloor$ (resp. $\lceil x \rceil$) denote the largest integer $\leq x$ (resp. the smallest integer $\geq x$), and $\{x\} = x - \lfloor x \rfloor$.

**Example.** We feature the following line segments as running examples. First, we compute the rational Ehrhart counting function.

(i) $P_1 := [-1, \frac{2}{3}]$, codenominator $r = 2$,
\[
\text{ehr}_Q(P_1; \lambda) = [\lfloor \lambda \rfloor + \lceil \frac{2}{3} \lambda \rceil + 1 \quad \text{for some } n \in 3\mathbb{Z}_{>0},
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{5}{2}n + 1 & \quad \text{if } n \leq \lambda < n + \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{5}{2}n + 1 & \quad \text{if } n + \frac{1}{2} \leq \lambda < n + 1 \\
\frac{5}{2}n + 2 & \quad \text{if } n + 1 \leq \lambda < n + \frac{3}{2} \\
\frac{5}{2}n + 3 & \quad \text{if } n + \frac{3}{2} \leq \lambda < n + 2 \\
\frac{5}{2}n + 4 & \quad \text{if } n + 2 \leq \lambda < n + \frac{5}{2} \\
\frac{5}{2}n + 4 & \quad \text{if } n + \frac{5}{2} \leq \lambda < n + 3 
\end{aligned}
\]

(ii) $P_2 := [0, \frac{2}{3}]$, codenominator $r = 2$,
\[
\text{ehr}_Q(P_2; \lambda) = \lceil \frac{2}{3} \lambda \rceil + 1
\]
\[
= \frac{5}{3}n + 1 \quad \text{if } n \leq \lambda < n + \frac{3}{2} \quad \text{for some } n \in \frac{3}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{>0}.
\]

(iii) $P_3 := [1, 2]$, codenominator $r = 2$,
\[
\text{ehr}_Q(P_3; \lambda) = [2\lambda - \lfloor \lambda \rfloor + 1
\]
\[
= \begin{cases}
 n + 1 & \text{if } \lambda = n \\
 n & \text{if } n \leq \lambda < n + \frac{1}{2} \\
 n + 1 & \text{if } n + \frac{1}{2} \leq \lambda < n + 1
\end{cases} \quad \text{for some } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}.
\]

(iv) $P_4 := 2P_3 = [2, 4]$, codenominator $r = 4$,
\[
\text{ehr}_Q(P_4; \lambda) = [4\lambda - \lfloor 2\lambda \rfloor + 1 = [4\lambda] + \lceil -2\lambda \rceil + 1
\]
\[
= 2\lambda + 1 - \{4\lambda\} + \{-2\lambda\}
\]
\[
= \begin{cases}
 2n + 1 & \text{if } \lambda = n \\
 2n & \text{if } n \leq \lambda < n + \frac{1}{4} \\
 2n + 1 & \text{if } n + \frac{1}{4} \leq \lambda < n + \frac{1}{2}
\end{cases} \quad \text{for some } n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{>0}.
\]

**Remark 1.** If $P$ is a lattice polytope, then the denominator of $\frac{1}{r}P$ divides $r$. On the other hand, the denominator of $\frac{1}{r}P$ need not equal $r$, as can be seen in the case of $P_4$ above.

**Remark 2.** If $\frac{1}{r}P$ is a lattice polytope, its Ehrhart polynomial is invariant under lattice translations. Unfortunately, this does not clearly translate to invariance of $\text{ehr}_Q(P; \lambda)$, as Linke already noted. Consider the line segment $[-1, 1]$ and its translation $P_4 = [2, 4]$. For any $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$, we
have \( \text{ehr}_Q([-1, 1]; \lambda) = 1 \) and \( \text{ehr}_Q(P_4; \lambda) = 0 \). This observation raises the following two related questions. First, is there an example of a polytope and a translate with the same codenominator? We expect the answer is “no” in dimension one. Second, given a rational polytope \( P \), for which \( r \) and \( \bar{P} \) could \( P = \frac{1}{r} \bar{P} \)? Royer shows in [22] that for every rational polytope \( P \) there is a integral translation vector \( \mathbf{v} \) such that the functions \( \text{ehr}_Q(k\mathbf{v} + P; \lambda) \) are all distinct for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \). Moreover, polytopes can be uniquely identified by knowing the rational Ehrhart counting function for each integral translate of the polytope.

**Lemma 3.** Let \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) be a rational \( d \)-polytope. If \( \mathbf{0} \in P \), then \( \text{ehr}_Q(\lambda) \) is monotone for \( \lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \).

*Proof.* Let \( \lambda < \omega \) be positive rationals. Suppose \( \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( \mathbf{x} \in \lambda P \). Then \( \mathbf{x} \) satisfies all \( n \) facet-defining inequalities of \( \lambda P \): \( \langle \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{x} \rangle \leq \lambda b_i \) for all \( i \in [n] \). If \( b_i = 0 \), then \( \langle \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{x} \rangle \leq \lambda \cdot 0 = \omega \cdot 0 \). Otherwise, \( b_i > 0 \), and \( \langle \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{x} \rangle \leq \lambda b_i < \omega b_i \). So \( \mathbf{x} \in \omega P \).

**Proposition 4.** Let \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) be a rational \( d \)-polytope with codenominator \( r \).

(i) The number of lattice points in \( \lambda P \) is constant for \( \lambda \in \left( \frac{n}{r}, \frac{n+1}{r} \right) \), \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \).

(ii) If \( \mathbf{0} \in P \), then the number of lattice points in \( \lambda P \) is constant for \( \lambda \in \left[ \frac{n}{r}, \frac{n+1}{r} \right) \), \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \).

*Proof.* (i). Suppose there exist two rationals \( \lambda \) and \( \omega \) such that \( \frac{n}{r} < \lambda < \omega < \frac{n+1}{r} \), and \( \text{ehr}_Q(\lambda) \neq \text{ehr}_Q(\omega) \). Then there exists \( \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \) such that either \( \langle \mathbf{x}, \omega P \rangle \) or \( \langle \mathbf{x}, \lambda P \rangle \). Suppose \( \langle \mathbf{x}, \omega P \rangle \) and \( \langle \mathbf{x}, \lambda P \rangle \). Then there exists a facet \( F \) with integral, reduced inequality \( \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \leq b \) of \( P \) such that

\[
\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \leq \omega b, \quad \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x} \rangle > \lambda b, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

As \( \lambda < \omega \), this implies \( b > 0 \). We have

\[
b \frac{n}{r} < \lambda b < \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \leq \omega b < \frac{n+1}{r} b.
\]

As \( r = bk \), with \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \), this is equivalent to

\[
n < \lambda r < k \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \leq \omega r < n + 1. \tag{2.1}
\]

This is a contradiction because \( k \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \) is an integer. The second case is proved analogously: Assume \( \langle \mathbf{x}, \omega P \rangle \) and \( \langle \mathbf{x}, \lambda P \rangle \). Then there exists again a facet \( F \) with integral, reduced inequality \( \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \leq b \) of \( P \) such that

\[
\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x} \rangle > \omega b, \quad \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \leq \lambda b, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

As \( \lambda < \omega \), this implies \( b < 0 \). We have

\[
n \frac{1}{r} b > \omega |b| > -\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \geq \lambda |b| > \frac{n}{r} |b|.
\]

As \( |b| \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \), this is equivalent to

\[
n + 1 > \omega r > -\frac{r}{|b|} \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \geq \lambda r > n. \tag{2.2}
\]

This leads to the same contradiction.

(ii) If \( \mathbf{0} \in P \) we know that \( b \geq 0 \). So in the proof above only the first case applies. (This can also be seen as a consequence of Lemma 3.) Allowing \( \frac{n}{r} \leq \lambda \) leads, with the same computations, to the following weakened version of Equation (2.1):

\[
n \leq \lambda r < k \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \leq \omega r < n + 1,
\]

which is still strong enough for the contradiction. Note that this is not the case in Equation (2.2). \( \square \)
We define the **real Ehrhart counting function**

\[ \text{ehr}_R(P; \lambda) := \left| \lambda \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \right|, \]

for \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \). It follows that we can compute the real Ehrhart function \( \text{ehr}_R \) from the rational Ehrhart function \( \text{ehr}_Q \):

**Corollary 5.** Let \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) be a rational \( d \)-polytope with codenominator \( r \). Then

\[ \text{ehr}_R(P; \lambda) = \begin{cases} 
\text{ehr}_Q(P; \lambda) & \text{if } \lambda \in \frac{1}{r} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\
\text{ehr}_Q(P; \lfloor \lambda \rfloor) & \text{if } \lambda \notin \frac{1}{r} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},
\end{cases} \]

where

\[ \lfloor \lambda \rfloor := \frac{2j + 1}{2r} \quad \text{for} \quad \left| \lambda - \frac{2j + 1}{2r} \right| < \frac{1}{2r} \quad \text{and} \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}. \]

In words, \( \lfloor \lambda \rfloor \) is the element in \( \frac{1}{2r} \mathbb{Z} \) with odd numerator that has the smallest Euclidean distance to \( \lambda \) on the real line. Furthermore, if \( 0 \in P \) then

\[ \text{ehr}_R(P; \lambda) = \text{ehr}_Q \left( P; \left\lfloor \frac{r \lambda}{r} \right\rfloor \right). \]

In light of this Corollary, any statement about the rational Ehrhart counting function \( \text{ehr}_Q(\lambda) \) in this paper generalizes to the real Ehrhart counting function \( \text{ehr}_R(\lambda) \) and we omit the latter versions for simplicity. We proceed to prove one of the main results.

**Theorem 6.** Let \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) be a rational \( d \)-polytope with codenominator \( r \), and let \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) such that \( \frac{m}{r}P \) is a lattice polytope. Then

\[ \text{Ehr}_Q(P; t) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \text{ehr}_Q \left( P; \frac{n}{r} \right) t^\frac{n}{r} = \frac{h^*_Q(P; t)}{ \left(1 - t^\frac{m}{r} \right)^{d+1} } \]

where \( h^*_Q(P; t) \) is a polynomial in \( \mathbb{Z}[t^\frac{1}{r}] \) with nonnegative integral coefficients. Consequently, the rational Ehrhart counting function \( \text{ehr}_Q(P; \lambda) \) is a quasipolynomial and the period of \( \text{ehr}_Q(P; \lambda) \) divides \( \frac{m}{r} \), i.e., this period is of the form \( \frac{j}{r} \) with \( j \mid m \).

**Proof.** Our conditions imply that \( \frac{1}{r}P \) is a rational polytope with denominator dividing \( m \). Thus by standard Ehrhart theory,

\[ \text{Ehr}_Q(P; t) = \text{Ehr}_Z \left( \frac{1}{r}P; t^\frac{1}{r} \right) = \frac{h^*_Z \left( \frac{1}{r}P; t^\frac{1}{r} \right)}{ \left(1 - t^\frac{m}{r} \right)^{d+1} }, \]

and \( h^*_Z \left( \frac{1}{r}P; t \right) \) has nonnegative integral coefficients.

**Remark 7.** Our implicit definition of \( h^*_Q(P; t) \) depends on \( m \). We will sometimes use the notation \( h^*_Q(P; t; m) \) to make this dependency explicit. Naturally, one often tries to choose \( m \) minimal, which gives a canonical definition of \( h^*_Q(P; t) \), but sometimes it pays to be flexible.

**Remark 8.** Via Corollary 5, \( \text{ehr}_R(P; \lambda) \) is a quasipolynomial and the period of \( \text{ehr}_R(P; \lambda) \) divides \( \frac{m}{r} \), i.e., this period is of the form \( \frac{j}{r} \) with \( j \mid m \).

**Remark 9.** By usual generatingfunctionology [28], the degree of \( h^*_Q(P; t; m) \) is less than or equal to \( m(d+1) - 1 \) as a polynomial in \( t^\frac{1}{r} \).
We also recover the following result of Linke [20].

**Corollary 10.** Let \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) be a rational d-polytope with codenominator \( r \), and let \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) such that \( \frac{m}{r} P \) is a lattice polytope. Then the period of the quasipolynomial \( \text{ehr}_P(P; \lambda) \) divides \( \frac{m}{\gcd(m,r)} \).

**Proof.** Viewed as a function of the integer parameter \( n \), the function \( \text{ehr}_P(P; \frac{n}{r}) \) has period dividing \( m \). Thus \( \text{ehr}_P(P; n) = \text{ehr}_P(P; n) \) has period dividing \( \frac{m}{\gcd(m,r)} \). \( \square \)

**Corollary 11.** Let \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) be a lattice d-polytope with codenominator \( r \). Then

\[
\text{Ehr}_P(P; t) = \frac{h_0^*(P; t; r)}{(1-t)^{d+1}}
\]

where \( h_0^*(P; t; r) \) is a polynomial in \( \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{r}] \) with nonnegative coefficients.

For polytopes that do not contain the origin, the following variant of Theorem 6 is useful.

**Theorem 12.** Let \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) be a rational d-polytope with codenominator \( r \), and let \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) such that \( \frac{m}{r} P \) is a lattice polytope. Then

\[
\text{Ehr}_P^\text{ref}(P; t) := 1 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \text{ehr}_P(P; \frac{n}{2r}) t^{\frac{n}{r}} = \frac{h_0^\text{ref}(P; t; m)}{(1-t)^{d+1}}
\]

where \( h_0^\text{ref}(P; t; m) \) is a polynomial in \( \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{r}] \) with nonnegative coefficients.

The proof of Theorem 12 is virtually identical to that of Theorem 6. Similarly, many of the following assertions come in two versions, one for \( \text{Ehr}_P(P; t) \) and one for \( \text{Ehr}_P^\text{ref}(P; t) \). We typically write an explicit proof for only one version, as the other is analogous.

We recover another result of Linke [20].

**Corollary 13.** Let \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) be a lattice d-polytope. The rational Ehrhart function, \( \text{ehr}_P(P, \lambda) \), is given by a quasipolynomial of period 1.

**Corollary 14.** If \( \frac{m}{r} \) (resp. \( \frac{m}{r^*} \)) in Theorem 6 (resp. Theorem 12) is integral we can retrieve the \( h_d \)-polynomial from the \( h_d^* \)-polynomial (resp. \( h_d^\text{ref} \)-polynomial) by applying the operator \( \text{Int} \) that extracts from a polynomial in \( \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{r}] \) the terms with integer powers of \( t \): \( h_d^*(P; t) = \text{Int}(h_d^*(P; t)) \) (resp. \( h_d^*(P; t) = \text{Int}(h_d^\text{ref}(P; t)) \)).

**Example.** [continued] Here are the (refined) rational Ehrhart series of the running examples. Recall that the rational Ehrhart series of \( P \) in the variable \( t \) can be computed as the Ehrhart series of \( \frac{1}{r} P \) in the variable \( t^\frac{1}{r} \) (resp. the refined rational Ehrhart as the Ehrhart series of \( \frac{1}{r^*} P \) in the variable \( t^\frac{1}{r^*} \)).

(i) \( P_1 := [-1, \frac{2}{3}] \), \( r = 2 \), \( m = 6 \),

\[
\text{Ehr}_P(P_1; t) = \frac{1 + t^\frac{1}{2} + t + t^\frac{3}{2} + t^2}{(1-t)(1-t^\frac{1}{2})} = \frac{1 + t^\frac{1}{2} + 2t + 3t^\frac{3}{2} + 4t^2 + 4t^\frac{5}{2} + 4t^3 + 4t^\frac{7}{2} + 3t^4 + 2t^\frac{9}{2} + t^5 + t^\frac{11}{2}}{(1-t^2)^2}.
\]
Figure 1. The cone hom($P_3$) over $P_3 = [1, 2]$. The lattice points in the fundamental parallelepiped with respect to the lattice $\frac{1}{4}Z \times Z$ are $(0, 0), (\frac{1}{2}, 1), (\frac{3}{4}, 1), (\frac{5}{4}, 2)$.

(ii) $P_2 := [0, \frac{2}{3}], r = 2, m = 3,$

$$\text{Ehr}_{Q}(P_2; t) = \frac{1}{\left(1 - t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(1 - t^{\frac{3}{4}}\right)} = \frac{1 + t^{\frac{1}{2}} + t^{\frac{3}{4}}}{(1 - t^{\frac{1}{2}})^2}.$$  

(iii) $P_3 := [1, 2], r = 2, \frac{1}{4}P_3 = [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $m = 4$, so $\frac{m}{2r} = 1$. See Figure 1.

$$\text{Ehr}_{Q}^{ref}(P_3; t) = \frac{1 + t^{\frac{1}{2}} + t^{\frac{3}{4}} + t^{\frac{5}{4}}}{(1 - t)^2} = \frac{(1 + t^{\frac{1}{2}})(1 + t^{\frac{3}{4}})}{(1 - t)^2}.$$  

(iv) $P_4 := [2, 4], r = 4$. Then $\frac{1}{8}P_4 = [\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $m = 4$, so $\frac{m}{2r} = \frac{1}{2}$. See Figure 2.

$$\text{Ehr}_{Q}^{ref}(P_4; t) = \frac{1 + t^{\frac{1}{2}} + t^{\frac{3}{4}} + 2t^{\frac{5}{4}} + t^{\frac{7}{8}} + 2t^{\frac{1}{8}} + t + 2t^{\frac{9}{8}} + t^{\frac{11}{8}} + t^{\frac{13}{8}}}{(1 - t)^2}.$$  

Choosing $m$ to be minimal means $h_{Q}^{ref}(P_4; t; 4) = (1 + t^{\frac{3}{4}})(1 + t^{\frac{1}{2}}) = 1 + t^{\frac{1}{2}} + t^{\frac{3}{4}} + t^{\frac{5}{8}} = h_{Q}^{ref}(P_3; t^{\frac{1}{2}}; 4)$. The rational Ehrhart counting function agrees with a quasipolynomial for $\lambda \in \frac{1}{2r}Z$.

From the (refined) rational Ehrhart series of these examples, we can recompute the quasipolynomials found earlier. For example, for $P_3$:

$$\text{Ehr}_{Q}^{ref}(P_3; t) = \frac{1 + t^{\frac{1}{2}} + t^{\frac{3}{4}} + t^{\frac{5}{4}}}{(1 - t)^2} = \left(1 + t^{\frac{1}{2}} + t^{\frac{3}{4}} + t^{\frac{5}{4}}\right)\sum_{j \geq 0} (j + 1) t^j = \sum_{j \geq 0} (j + 1) t^j + \sum_{j \geq 0} (j + 1) t^{j + \frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{j \geq 0} (j + 1) t^{j + \frac{3}{4}} + \sum_{j \geq 0} (j + 1) t^{j + \frac{5}{8}}.$$
Figure 2. The cone hom$(P_4)$ over $P_4 = [2,4]$. The lattice points in the fundamental parallelepiped with respect to the lattice $\frac{1}{2}Z \times Z$ are shown in the figure.

With a change of variables we compute for $\lambda \in \frac{1}{4}Z$

$$ehr_Q(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 
\lambda + 1 & \text{if } \lambda \in Z, \\
\lambda - \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } \lambda \equiv \frac{1}{4} \mod 1, \\
\lambda + \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \lambda \equiv \frac{1}{2} \mod 1, \\
\lambda + \frac{3}{4} & \text{if } \lambda \equiv \frac{3}{4} \mod 1.
\end{cases}$$

Next we recover the reciprocity result for the rational Ehrhart function of rational polytopes proved by Linke [20, Corollary 1.5].

**Corollary 15.** Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a rational $d$-polytope. Then $(-1)^d ehr_Q(P; -\lambda)$ equals the number of interior lattice points in $\lambda P$, for any $\lambda > 0$.

**Proof.** Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a rational $d$-polytope with codenominator $r$. The fact that $ehr_Q(P; \lambda)$ is a quasipolynomial allows us to extend Equation (2.3) to the negative (and therefore all) rational numbers via

$$ehr_Q(P; \lambda) = ehr_Q(P; \lfloor \lambda \rfloor) \quad \text{if } \lambda \notin \frac{1}{r}Z.$$

By standard Ehrhart–Macdonald Reciprocity, $(-1)^d ehr_Q(P; -\frac{n}{2r}) = ehr_Z(\frac{1}{2r}P; -n)$ equals the number of lattice points in the interior of $\frac{n}{2r}P$. The result now follows from $\lfloor -\lambda \rfloor = -\lfloor \lambda \rfloor$.

Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a rational $d$-polytope, let $P^o$ denote its interior and $ehr_Q(P^o; \lambda) := |\lambda P^o \cap \mathbb{Z}^d|$. We define the (refined) rational Ehrhart series of the interior of a polytope as follows:

$$Ehr_Q(P^o; t) := \sum_{\lambda \in \frac{1}{r}Z > 0} ehr_Q(P^o; \lambda) t^\lambda,$$

$$Ehr_{ref}^Q(P^o; t) := \sum_{\lambda \in \frac{1}{r}Z > 0} ehr_Q(P^o; \lambda) t^\lambda,$$

where $r$ as usual denotes the codenominator of $P$.

**Corollary 16.** Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a rational $d$-polytope with codenominator $r$, and let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be such that $\frac{m}{r}P$ is a lattice polytope.
Remark 9:

For identities (ii) and (iii) we again apply Ehrhart–Macdonald reciprocity:

\[ \text{Ehr}_\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{P}^\circ; t) = \frac{h^*_\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{P}^\circ; t; m)}{(1 - t^\frac{m}{r})^{d+1}} \]

where \( h^*_\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{P}^\circ; t; m) \) is a polynomial in \( \mathbb{Z}[t^\frac{1}{r}] \).

(ii) The rational Ehrhart series fulfills the reciprocity relation

\[ \text{Ehr}_\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{P}^\circ; t) = (-1)^{d+1} \text{Ehr}_\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{P}; \frac{1}{t}\right). \]

(iii) The \( h^*_\mathcal{Q} \)-polynomial of the polytope \( \mathcal{P} \) and its interior \( \mathcal{P}^\circ \) are related by

\[ h^*_\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{P}^\circ; t; m) = \left( \frac{m}{r} \right)^{d+1} h^*_\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{P}; \frac{1}{t}; m\right). \]

Proof. Identity (i) follows from Ehrhart–Macdonald reciprocity (see, e.g., [9, Theorem 4.4]) and Remark 9:

\[ \text{Ehr}_\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{P}^\circ; t) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \text{ehr}_\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{P}^\circ; \lambda) t^\lambda = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \text{ehr}_\mathcal{Z}\left(\frac{1}{r} \mathcal{P}^\circ; n\right) t^{\frac{n}{r}} = \text{Ehr}_\mathcal{Z}\left(\frac{1}{r} \mathcal{P}^\circ; t^{\frac{1}{r}}\right) \]

\[ = (-1)^{d+1} \text{ehr}_\mathcal{Z}\left(\frac{1}{r} \mathcal{P}; t^{-\frac{1}{r}}\right) = (-1)^{d+1} \frac{h^*_\mathcal{Z}\left(\frac{1}{r} \mathcal{P}; t^{-\frac{1}{r}}\right)}{\left(1 - t^{-\frac{m}{r}}\right)^{d+1}} = \frac{\left( \frac{m}{r} \right)^{d+1} h^*_\mathcal{Z}\left(\frac{1}{r} \mathcal{P}; t^{-\frac{1}{r}}\right)}{\left(1 - t^\frac{m}{r}\right)^{d+1}}. \]

For identities (ii) and (iii) we again apply Ehrhart–Macdonald reciprocity:

\[ \frac{\left( \frac{m}{r} \right)^{d+1} h^*_\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{1}{t}; m\right)}{\left(1 - t^\frac{m}{r}\right)^{d+1}} = \frac{(-1)^{d+1} h^*_\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{1}{t}; m\right)}{\left(1 - \left( \frac{1}{t} \right)^\frac{m}{r}\right)^{d+1}} = (-1)^{d+1} \text{Ehr}_\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \]

\[ = (-1)^{d+1} \text{ehr}_\mathcal{Z}\left(\frac{1}{r} \mathcal{P}; \frac{1}{t^\frac{1}{r}}\right) = \text{ehr}_\mathcal{Z}\left(\frac{1}{r} \mathcal{P}; t^{\frac{1}{r}}\right) \]

\[ = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \text{ehr}_\mathcal{Z}\left(\frac{1}{r} \mathcal{P}; \lambda\right) t^{\frac{1}{r}} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \text{ehr}_\mathcal{Q}\left(\mathcal{P}^\circ; \frac{\lambda}{r}\right) t^{\frac{1}{r}} \]

\[ = \text{Ehr}_\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{P}^\circ; t) = \frac{h^*_\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{P}^\circ; t; m)}{\left(1 - t^\frac{m}{r}\right)^{d+1}}. \]

As usual there is a refined version:

**Corollary 17.** Let \( \mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) be a rational \( d \)-polytope with codenominator \( r \), and let \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) be such that \( \frac{m}{r} \mathcal{P} \) is a lattice polytope.

(i) The refined rational Ehrhart series of the open polytope \( \mathcal{P}^\circ \) have the rational expressions

\[ \text{Ehr}_{\text{ref}}(\mathcal{P}^\circ; t) = \frac{h_{\text{ref}}(\mathcal{P}^\circ; t; m)}{\left(1 - t^\frac{m}{r}\right)^{d+1}}, \]

where \( h_{\text{ref}}(\mathcal{P}^\circ; t; m) \) is a polynomial in \( \mathbb{Z}[t^\frac{1}{r}] \).
(ii) The refined rational Ehrhart series fulfills the reciprocity relation
\[
\Ehr^\ref_P(P^\circ; t) = (-1)^{d+1} \Ehr^\ref_Q \left( P ; \frac{1}{t} \right).
\]

(iii) The \( h^\ref_Q \)-polynomial of the polytope \( P \) and its interior \( P^\circ \) are related by
\[
h^\ref_Q(P^\circ; t; m) = \left( \frac{m}{t} \right)^d \frac{1}{t^d} h^\ref_Q \left( P ; \frac{1}{t}; m \right).
\]

**Remark 18.** The codegree of a lattice polytope is defined as \( \dim(P) + 1 - \deg(h^*(t)). \) Analogously, in the rational case, we define the rational codegree of \( h^*_Q(P; t; m) \) to be
\[
\frac{m}{r} (\dim(P) + 1) - \deg(h^*_Q(P; t; m)),
\]
where the degree of \( h^*_Q(P; t; m) \) is its (possibly fractional) degree as a polynomial in \( t \). Likewise, the rational codegree of \( h^\ref_Q(P; t; m) \) is defined as \( \frac{m}{r} (\dim(P) + 1) - \deg(h^\ref_Q(P; t; m)). \) As in the integral case, the rational codegree of \( h^*_Q(P; t; m) \) is the smallest integral dilate of \( \frac{1}{r} P \) containing interior lattice points. The proof requires no new insights and we omit it here.

3. **Stapledon**

We recall the setup from [27]. Let \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) be a lattice \( d \)-polytope with codenominator \( r \) and \( 0 \in P \). Let \( \partial_{\neq 0}(P) \) denote the union of facets of \( P \) that do not contain the origin. In order to study all rational dilates of the boundary of \( P \), Stapledon introduces the generating function
\[
\WEhr(P; t) := 1 + \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^+} \left| \partial_{\neq 0}(\lambda P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \right| t^\lambda = \frac{\widetilde{h}(P; t)}{(1-t)^d}, \tag{3.1}
\]
where \( \widetilde{h}(P; t) \) is a polynomial in \( \mathbb{Z}[t^{\frac{1}{r}}] \) with fractional exponents. The generating function \( \WEhr \) is closely related to the (rational) Ehrhart series: the truncated sum \( 1 + \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^+} \left| \partial_{\neq 0}(\lambda P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \right| \) equals the number of lattice points in \( \omega P \). Proposition 4 allows us to discretize this sum:

**Corollary 19.** Let \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) be a lattice \( d \)-polytope with codenominator \( r \) and \( 0 \in P \). The number of lattice points in \( \lambda P \) equals \( 1 + \sum_{\omega \in \mathbb{Q}^+} \left| \partial_{\neq 0}(\omega P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \right| \).

**Proof.** As \( 0 \in P \), every nonzero lattice point in \( \lambda P \) occurs in \( \partial_{\neq 0}(\omega P) \) for some unique \( \omega \in \mathbb{Q} \) where \( 0 < \omega \leq \lambda \). Using Lemma 3,
\[
\lambda P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d = 0 \cup \bigcup_{\omega \in Q^+} \left( \partial_{\neq 0}(\omega P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \right).
\]
By Proposition 4, the union \( \bigcup_{\omega \in Q^+} \left( \partial_{\neq 0}(\omega P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \right) \) is discrete and disjoint. \( \Box \)

Similarly, \( \widetilde{h}(P; t) \) is related to \( h^*_Z \left( \frac{1}{r} P ; t^{\frac{1}{r}} \right) \) and to \( h^*_Q(P; t; m) \), as we show in Lemma 20 and Corollary 23. Recall that we use \( h^*_Q(P; t; m) \) to keep track of the denominator of \( \Ehr_Q(P; t) = \frac{h^*_Q(P; t; m)}{(1-t)^{d+1}} \).

**Lemma 20.** Let \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) be a lattice \( d \)-polytope with codenominator \( r \) such that \( 0 \in P \). Let \( k \) be the denominator of \( \frac{1}{r} P \). Then
\[
h^*_Z \left( \frac{1}{r} P ; t^{\frac{1}{r}} \right) = \frac{(1 - t^{\frac{1}{r}})^{d+1}}{(1-t^{\frac{1}{r}})^d} \tilde{h}(P; t).
\]
Proof. Applying classical Ehrhart theory, Proposition 4 and Corollary 19, we compute
\[
\frac{h_Z^*\left(\frac{1}{r} P; t^\frac{1}{r}\right)}{(1 - t^\frac{1}{r})^{d+1}} = \text{Ehr}_Z\left(\frac{1}{r} P; t^\frac{1}{r}\right) = 1 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \text{ehr}_Z\left(\frac{1}{r} P; n\right) t^n
\]
\[
= 1 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{\neq 0} \left(\frac{j}{r} P\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d\right) t^n
\]
\[
= 1 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} t^n + \sum_{j>0} \sum_{n \geq j} \partial_{\neq 0} \left(\frac{j}{r} P\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d t^n
\]
\[
= 1 + \frac{t^\frac{1}{r}}{1 - t^\frac{1}{r}} + \sum_{j>0} \partial_{\neq 0} \left(\frac{j}{r} P\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \sum_{n \geq j} t^n
\]
\[
= \frac{1 - t^\frac{1}{r} + t^\frac{1}{r} + \sum_{j>0} \partial_{\neq 0} \left(\frac{j}{r} P\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d t^\frac{1}{r}}{1 - t^\frac{1}{r}} = \frac{\text{WEhr}(P; t)}{1 - t^\frac{1}{r}} = \tilde{h}(P; t). \quad \square
\]

Remark 21. The factor multiplying \(\tilde{h}(P; t)\) in Lemma 20 can be rewritten in terms of finite geometric series. Let the codenominator \(r = ks\) for some \(s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\) (by Remark 1). Rewriting yields
\[
\frac{(1 - t^\frac{1}{r})^{d+1}}{(1 - t^\frac{1}{r})^{d} (1 - t)^d} = \frac{(1 - t^\frac{1}{r})}{(1 - t^\frac{1}{r})} \frac{(1 - t^\frac{1}{r})}{(1 - t)} \frac{(1 - t^\frac{1}{r})}{(1 - t^\frac{1}{r})} \frac{1}{1 + t^\frac{1}{r} + \cdots + t^\frac{k-1}{r}} = 1 + t^\frac{1}{r} + \cdots + t^\frac{k-1}{r}. \frac{1}{1 + t^\frac{1}{r} + \cdots + t^\frac{k-1}{r}}.
\]
If \(k = r\), this simplifies to \((1 + t^\frac{1}{r} + \cdots + t^\frac{k-1}{r})\).

Remark 22. Lemma 20 corrects [27, Remark 3], which was missing the factor between \(h^*_Z(\frac{1}{r} P; t^\frac{1}{r})\) and \(\tilde{h}(P; t)\).

Corollary 23. Let \(P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d\) be a lattice \(d\)-polytope with codenominator \(r\) such that \(0 \in P\). Let \(k\) be the denominator of \(\frac{1}{r} P\). Then
\[
h^*_Q(P; t; k) = h^*_Z\left(\frac{1}{r} P; t^\frac{1}{r}\right) = \frac{(1 - t^\frac{1}{r})^{d+1}}{(1 - t^\frac{1}{r})^{d} (1 - t)^d} \tilde{h}(P; t).
\]

Remark 24. In [25, Equation (14)] and [27, Equation (6)], Stapledon shows that \(h^*_Z(P; t) = \Psi(\tilde{h}(P; t))\), where \(\Psi: \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mathbb{R}[t^\frac{1}{r}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[t]\) is defined by \(\Psi(t^\lambda) = t^{|\lambda|}\). In the case of a lattice polytope with \(\frac{m}{r} \in \mathbb{Z}\) we give a different construction to recover the \(h^*_Z\)-polynomial from the \(h^*_{Q^\text{ref}}\)- and \(h^*_Q\)-polynomial by applying the operator \(\text{Int}\) (see Corollary 14). Corollary 23 shows that, after a bit of computation, these two constructions are equivalent.
Remark 25. For a lattice \( d \)-polytope \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) with codenominator \( r \), \( 0 \in P \), and denominator of \( \frac{1}{r}P \) equal to \( k \), we can relate \( h^*_{\text{ref}}(P; t; k) \) and \( h^*_{\text{ref}}(\frac{1}{r}P; \frac{1}{r}t) \) in a similar way. We again write \( h^*_{\text{ref}}(P; t; k) \) to emphasize that it is the numerator of \( \frac{h^*_{\text{ref}}(P; t; k)}{(1-t^{\frac{1}{r}})^{d+1}} \). Then

\[
h^*_{\text{ref}}(P; t; k) = h^*_Z \left( \frac{1}{2r^d} P; \frac{1}{2r} t \right) = \frac{(1-t^{\frac{1}{r}})^{d+1}}{(1-t^{\frac{1}{r}}) (1-t)^d} \tilde{h}(P; t).\]

Corollary 26. Let \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) be a lattice \( d \)-polytope with \( 0 \in P \). Let \( r \) be the codenominator of \( P \) and \( k \) be the denominator of \( \frac{1}{r}P \). Then \( h^*_\text{ref}(P; t; k) \) is palindromic.

Proof. From [25, Corollary 2.12] we know that \( \tilde{h}(P; t) \) is palindromic if \( 0 \in P \). We compute, using Corollary 23,

\[
h^*_\text{ref}(P; t^{-1}; k) = \frac{(1-t^{\frac{1}{r}})^{d+1}}{(1-t^{\frac{1}{r}}) (1-t^{-1})^d} \tilde{h}(P; t^{-1}) = \frac{t^{-(d+1)k/r}}{t^{1/r}} \frac{(1-t^{\frac{1}{r}})^{d+1}}{(1-t^{\frac{1}{r}}) (1-t)^d} \tilde{h}(P; t) = \frac{1}{t^{(d+1)k/r}} h^*_\text{ref}(P; t; k).\]

Note that this implies, since the constant term of \( h^*_\text{ref}(P; t; k) \) is 1, that the degree of \( h^*_\text{ref}(P; t; k) \) (measured as a polynomial in \( t^{\frac{1}{r}} \)) equals \( k(d+1) - 1 \).

This suggests that there is a 3-step hierarchy for rational dilations: \( 0 \in P \) comes with extra symmetry, \( 0 \in P \) comes with Proposition 4 (ii) and so we “only” have to compute \( h^*_\text{ref}(P; t; k) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\frac{1}{r}}] \), and \( 0 \notin P \) means we have to compute \( h^*_\text{ref}(P; t; k) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\frac{1}{r}}] \). Corollary 26 is related to Gorenstein properties of rational polytopes, which we consider in the next section.

4. Gorenstein Musings

Our main goal in this section is to extend the notion of Gorenstein polytopes to the rational case. A rational \( d \)-polytope \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) is \( \gamma \)-\textbf{rational Gorenstein} if \( \text{hom}(\frac{1}{\gamma}P) \) is a Gorenstein cone. See Figure 4 for an example. In this paper we explore this definition for parameters \( \gamma = r \) and \( \gamma = 2r \), other parameters are still to be investigated. The archetypal \( r \)-rational Gorenstein polytope is a rational polytope that contains the origin in its interior, see Corollary 28. The definition of \( \gamma \)-rational Gorenstein does not require that the origin is contained in the polytope, hence, it does not require the existence of a polar dual. A lattice polytope \( P \) is \( 1 \)-rational Gorenstein if and only if it is a Gorenstein polytope in the classical sense.

Analogous to the lattice case, the following theorem shows that a polytope containing the origin is \( r \)-rational Gorenstein if and only if it has a palindromic \( h^*_\text{ref} \)-polynomial. Let \( P = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : A x \leq b \} \) be a rational \( d \)-polytope, as in Equation (1.2). We may assume that there is an index \( 0 \leq i \leq n \) such that \( b_j = 0 \) for \( j = 1, \ldots, i \) and \( b_j \neq 0 \) for \( j = i+1, \ldots, n \); thus we can write \( P \) as follows:

\[
P = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \begin{array}{ll}
\langle a_j, x \rangle \leq 0 & \text{for } j = 1, \ldots, i \\
\langle a_j, x \rangle \leq b_j & \text{for } j = i+1, \ldots, n
\end{array}\right\},
\]

where \( a_j \) are the rows of \( A \).
Theorem 27. Let \( P = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : A x \leq b \} \) be a rational \( d \)-polytope with codenominator \( r \) and \( 0 \in P \), as in Equation (1.2) and Equation (4.1). Then the following are equivalent for \( g, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \) and \( \mathbb{R}^P \) a lattice polytope:

(i) \( P \) is \( r \)-rational Gorenstein with Gorenstein point \( (g, y) \in \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r} P) \).

(ii) There exists a (necessarily unique) integer solution \( (g, y) \) to

\[
\begin{align*}
- (a_j, y) = 1 & \quad \text{for } j = 1, \ldots, i \\
(b_j g - r (a_j, y) = b_j & \quad \text{for } j = i + 1, \ldots, n.
\end{align*}
\]

(iii) \( h^*_Q(P; t; m) \) is palindromic:

\[
t^{(d+1) \frac{m}{2} - \frac{d}{2}} h^*_Q \left( \frac{1}{r} P ; \frac{1}{t} ; m \right) = h^*_Q(P; t; m).
\]

(iv) \( (-1)^{d+1} t^\frac{d}{2} \text{Ehr}_Q(P; t) = \text{Ehr}_Q\left(\frac{1}{r} P \right) \).

(v) \( \text{ehr}_Q\left(\frac{1}{r} P ; \frac{n}{r} \right) = \text{ehr}_Q\left(\frac{1}{r} \text{P}^0 ; \frac{n+\gamma}{\gamma} \right) \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \).

(vi) \( \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r} P)^\vee \) is the cone over a lattice polytope, i.e., there exists a lattice point \( (g, y) \in \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r} P)^\vee \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} \) such that for every primitive ray generator \( (v_0, v) \) of \( \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r} P)^\vee \),

\[
\langle (g, y), (v_0, v) \rangle = 1.
\]

The equivalence of (i) and (vi) is well known (see, e.g., [5, Definition 1.8] or [12, Exercises 2.13, 2.14]); for the sake of completeness we include a proof below.

Corollary 28. Let \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) be a rational \( d \)-polytope with codenominator \( r \). If \( 0 \in P^0 \), then \( P \) is \( r \)-rational Gorenstein with Gorenstein point \( (1, 0, \ldots, 0) \) and \( h^*_Q(P; t; m) \) is palindromic.

Example. [continued] We check the Gorenstein criterion for the running examples such that \( 0 \in P \).

(i) \( P_1 := [-1, \frac{2}{3}] \), \( r = 2 \), \( m = 6 \),

\[
h^*_Q(P_1; t; 6) = 1 + t^\frac{1}{2} + 2t + 3t^\frac{3}{2} + 4t^2 + 4t^\frac{5}{2} + 4t^3 + 4t^\frac{7}{2} + 3t^4 + 2t^\frac{9}{2} + t^5 + t^\frac{11}{2}.
\]

The polynomial \( h^*_Q(P_1; t; 6) \) is palindromic and therefore (by Theorem 27), \( P_1 \) is 2-rational Gorenstein. This is to be expected; as \( 0 \in P^0 \), Lemma 20 shows that \( h^*_Q(P_1; t; 6) \) must be palindromic.

(ii) \( P_2 := [0, \frac{2}{3}] \), \( r = 2 \), \( m = 3 \),

\[
h^*_Q(P_2; t; 3) = 1 + t^\frac{1}{2} + t.
\]

The polynomial \( h^*_Q(P_2; t; 3) \) is palindromic and \( P_2 \) is 2-rational Gorenstein with Gorenstein point \( (g, y) = (4, 1) \in \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r} P_2) \).

Example. The Haasenlieblingsdreieck \( \Delta := \text{conv}\{(0,0), (2,0), (0,2)\} \) is not a Gorenstein polytope in the classic (integral) setting, but it is 2-rational Gorenstein: we compute

\[
\text{Ehr}_Q(P; t) = \frac{1}{(1 - t^\frac{1}{2})^3} = \frac{1 + 3t^\frac{1}{2} + 3t + t^3}{(1 - t^\frac{1}{2})^3}.
\]

Example. [A polytope that is not \( \gamma \)-rational Gorenstein for any \( \gamma \)] Let \( \nabla \) be the triangle as in Figure 3, i.e., \( \nabla = \text{conv}\{(0,0), (0,2), (5,2)\} \). Then the inequality description is

\[
\nabla = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : -x_1 \leq 0, \; x_2 \leq 2, \; 2x_1 - 5x_2 \leq 0\}.
\]
Figure 3. The triangle $\nabla = \text{conv}\{(0, 0), (0, 2), (5, 2)\}$, which is not rational Gorenstein. The cone $\text{hom}(\frac{1}{7}\nabla)$ contains two interior lattice points at lowest height, hence it does not posses a Gorenstein point.

We can read off the codenominator $r = 2$ and compute its rational Ehrhart series with $m$ chosen minimally as
\[
\text{Ehr}_Q(\nabla; t) = \frac{1 + 4t^\frac{1}{2} + 7t + 6t^\frac{3}{2} + 2t^2}{(1 - t)^2}.
\]

Hence, $h^*_Q(\nabla; t; 2) = 1 + 4t^\frac{1}{2} + 7t + 6t^\frac{3}{2} + 2t^2$ is not palindromic and $\nabla$ is not rational Gorenstein.

Example. The triangle $\nabla := \text{conv}\{(0, 0), (0, 1), (3, 1)\}$ has codenominator 1. It is not 1-rational Gorenstein as $|\nabla^o \cap \mathbb{Z}^2| = 0$ and $|(2\nabla)^o \cap \mathbb{Z}^2| = 2$.

Proof of Theorem 27. (iii) \iff (iv) \iff (v): We compute using reciprocity (see Corollary 16):
\[
1 + \sum_{\lambda \in \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \text{ehr}_Q(P; \lambda)t^\lambda = \frac{h^*_Q(P; t; m)}{(1 - t^\frac{m}{r})^{d+1}} = \frac{t^{(d+1)}\frac{m}{r} - \frac{g}{r}h^*_Q(P; \frac{1}{r}; m)}{(1 - t^\frac{m}{r})^{d+1}} = t^{\frac{m}{r}} \sum_{\lambda \in \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \text{ehr}_Q(P^o; \lambda)t^\lambda.
\]

That is equivalent to
\[
t^\frac{m}{r} \text{Ehr}_Q(P; t) = t^\frac{m}{r} \left(1 + \sum_{\lambda \in \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \text{ehr}_Q(P; \lambda)t^\lambda\right) = \sum_{\lambda \in \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \text{ehr}_Q(P^o; \lambda)t^\lambda
\]
\[
= \text{Ehr}_Q(P^o; t) = (-1)^{d+1} \text{Ehr}_Q\left(P; \frac{1}{t}\right).
\]

Comparing coefficients gives the third equivalence:
\[
\text{ehr}_Q\left(P; \frac{n}{r}\right) = \text{ehr}_Q\left(P; \frac{n + g}{r}\right) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.
\]

(v) \implies (i): Since
\[
\text{ehr}_Q\left(P; \frac{n}{r}\right) = \text{ehr}_Q\left(P; \frac{n + g}{r}\right) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}
\]

it suffices to show one inclusion:
\[
\text{hom}\left(\frac{1}{r}P\right)^o \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} \supseteq \left(g, y\right) + \text{hom}\left(\frac{1}{r}P\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1},
\]

\footnote{We thank Esme Bajo for suggesting this example and helping with computing it. See [1] for symmetric decompositions and boundary $h^*$-polynomials.}
where $y$ is the unique interior lattice point in $\frac{g}{r}P^o$. Indeed, for a point $(g, y) \in \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)^o \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ it follows that $(g, y) + z \in \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)^o \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ for all $z \in \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): By the definition of $P$ being $r$-rational Gorenstein,

$$\text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)^o \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} = (g, y) + \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}.$$ 

Computing integer point transforms gives:

$$\sigma_{\text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)^o}(z) = z^{(g, y)} \sigma_{\text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)}(z).$$

Applying reciprocity (see, e.g., [9, Theorem 4.3]) yields

$$\sigma_{\text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)^o}(z) = (-1)^{d+1} \sigma_{\text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)}(\frac{1}{z}) = z^{(g, y)} \sigma_{\text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)}(z). \tag{4.2}$$

By specializing $z = (t^\frac{1}{r}, 1, \ldots, 1)$ in Equation (4.2) we obtain the following relation between Ehrhart series for $\frac{1}{r}P$ in the variable $t^\frac{1}{r}$ and $t^{-\frac{1}{r}}$:

$$(-1)^{d+1} \text{Ehr}_Z\left(\frac{1}{r}P, \frac{1}{t^\frac{1}{r}}\right) = t^\frac{1}{r} \text{Ehr}_Z\left(\frac{1}{r}P, t^{-\frac{1}{r}}\right). \tag{4.3}$$

From (the proof of) Theorem 6 we know that

$$\text{Ehr}_Z\left(\frac{1}{r}P, t^\frac{1}{r}\right) = \text{Ehr}_Q(\frac{1}{t^\frac{1}{r}}; m) = \frac{h^*_Q(P; t; m)}{(1 - t^\frac{1}{r})^{d+1}},$$

where $m$ is an integer such that $\frac{1}{r}P$ is a lattice polytope. Substituting this into Equation (4.3) yields

$$\left(t^\frac{m}{r}\right)^{d+1} \frac{h^*_Q(P; \frac{1}{r}; m)}{(1 - t^\frac{1}{r})^{d+1}} = (-1)^{d+1} \frac{h^*_Q(P; \frac{1}{r}; m)}{(1 - t^\frac{1}{r})^{d+1}} = t^\frac{r}{d+1} \frac{h^*_Q(P; t; m)}{(1 - t^\frac{m}{r})^{d+1}}$$

and thus

$$t^{-\frac{(d+1)m}{r}} h^*_Q(P; \frac{1}{r}; m) = h^*_Q(P; t; m).$$

(ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (vi): The primitive ray generators of $\text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)^v$ are the primitive facet normals of $\text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)$, that is,

$$(0, -a_j) \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, i \quad \text{and} \quad \left(1, -\frac{r}{b_j} a_j\right) \text{ for } j = i + 1, \ldots, n.$$ 

Note that, since $0 \in P$, $b_j \geq 0$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, n$. The statement follows.

(vi) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Since $(g, y) \in \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)^o \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ is an interior point, $(g, y) + \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P) \subseteq \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)^o$ follows directly. Let $(x_0, x) \in \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)^o$, then for any primitive ray generator $(v_0, v)$ of $\text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)^v$ (being the primitive facet normals of $\text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)$),

$$\left\langle (x_0, x) - (g, y), (v_0, v) \right\rangle = \langle (x_0, x), (v_0, v) \rangle - \langle (g, y), (v_0, v) \rangle \geq 0.$$ 

Hence, $(x_0, x) - (g, y) \in \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)$ and $(x_0, x) \in (g, y) + \text{hom}(\frac{1}{r}P)$. 

Let \( b \) be a rational \(-\)-polytope with codenominator \( r \), as in Equation (1.2) and Equation (4.1). Then the following are equivalent for \( g, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \) and \( \frac{m}{2r} \mathcal{P} \) a lattice polytope:

(i) \( \mathcal{P} \) is \( 2r \)-rational Gorenstein with Gorenstein point \( \langle g, y \rangle \in \text{hom}(\frac{1}{2r} \mathcal{P})^o \).

(ii) There exists a (necessarily unique) integer solution \( \langle g, y \rangle \)

\[-\langle a_j, y \rangle = 1 \quad \text{for} \ j = 1, \ldots, i \]

\[ b_j g - 2r \langle a_j, y \rangle = |b_j| \quad \text{for} \ j = i + 1, \ldots, n. \]

(iii) \( h^\text{ref}_Q(\mathcal{P}; t; m) \) is palindromic:

\[ t^{(d+1)\frac{m}{2r} - \frac{d}{2}} h^\text{ref}_Q(\frac{1}{t}, m) = h^\text{ref}_Q(\mathcal{P}; t; m). \]

(iv) \((-1)^{d+1} t^\frac{d}{2r} \text{Ehr}^\text{ref}_Q(\mathcal{P}; t) = \text{Ehr}^\text{ref}_Q(\frac{1}{t}). \)

(v) \( \text{ehr}_Q(\mathcal{P}; \frac{1}{2r}) = \text{ehr}_Q(\mathcal{P}; \frac{n+g}{2r}) \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \)

(vi) \( \text{hom}(\frac{1}{2r} \mathcal{P})^\vee \) is the cone over a lattice polytope, i.e., there exists a lattice point \( \langle g, y \rangle \in \text{hom}(\frac{1}{2r} \mathcal{P})^o \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} \) such that for every primitive ray generator \( \langle v_0, v \rangle \) of \( \text{hom}(\frac{1}{2r} \mathcal{P})^\vee \)

\[ \langle \langle g, y \rangle, \langle v_0, v \rangle \rangle = 1. \]
Theorem 29 could be generalized to \( \ell r \)-rational Gorenstein polytopes for \( \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \). However it is not clear that computationally this would provide any new insights to the (rational) Ehrhart theory of the polytopes.

**Corollary 30.**

(i) If \( 0 \in P^\circ \), then \( P \) is also \( 2r \)-rational Gorenstein with the same Gorenstein point \( (1, 0, \ldots, 0) \) (see Corollary 28).

(ii) If \( 0 \in P \) and \( P \) is \( r \)-rational Gorenstein, then \( P \) is also \( 2r \)-rational Gorenstein.

(iii) If \( P \) is \( 2r \)-rational Gorenstein and the first coordinate \( g \) of the Gorenstein point \( (g, y) \) is even, then \( P \) is also \( r \)-rational Gorenstein.

**Proof of (ii).** Since \( 0 \in P \) we know that \( \text{ehr}_Q \) is constant on \([\frac{n}{r}, \frac{n+1}{r}]\) and we compute

\[
\text{Ehr}^\text{ref}_Q(P; t) = 1 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \text{ehr}_Q(P; \frac{n}{2r}) t^{\frac{n}{2r}} = 1 + \text{ehr}_Q(P; \frac{1}{2r}) t^{\frac{1}{2r}} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \left( \text{ehr}_Q(P; \frac{2n}{2r}) t^{\frac{2n}{2r}} + \text{ehr}_Q(P; \frac{2n+1}{2r}) t^{\frac{2n+1}{2r}} \right) = 1 + t^{\frac{1}{2r}} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \text{ehr}_Q(P; \frac{n}{r}) t^{\frac{n}{r}} \left( 1 + t^{\frac{1}{2r}} \right) = \left( 1 + t^{\frac{1}{2r}} \right) \text{Ehr}_Q(P; t),
\]

where we also use that \( \text{ehr}_Q(P, 0) = \text{ehr}_Q(P, \frac{1}{2r}) = 1 \). \( \square \)

**Example.** (continued) We check the Gorenstein criterion for the running examples such that \( 0 \notin P \).

(iii) \( P_3 := [1, 2], r = 2, m = 4, h^\text{ref}_Q(P_3; t; 4) = 1 + t^\frac{2}{4} + t^\frac{3}{4} + t^\frac{5}{4} \).

(iv) \( P_4 := [2, 4], r = 4, m = 4, h^\text{ref}_Q(P_4; t; 4) = 1 + t^\frac{4}{4} + t^\frac{3}{4} + t^\frac{5}{4} \).

![Figure 4](image-url) The cone \( \text{hom}(\frac{1}{2}P_3) = \text{hom}(\frac{1}{8}P_4) \) with Gorenstein point \((3, 1)\) highlighted in dark blue. The other lattice points \( \text{hom}(\frac{1}{2}P_3) \cap \mathbb{Z}^2 \) are marked in blue. Observe that \((3, 1) + \text{hom}(\frac{1}{2}P_3) \cap \mathbb{Z}^2 = \text{hom}(\frac{1}{4}P_3)^0 \cap \mathbb{Z}^2 \).
Both polynomials $h_{Q}^{\text{ref}}(P_{4}; t; 4)$ and $h_{Q}^{\text{ref}}(P_{3}; t; 4)$ are palindromic and therefore $P_{3}$ is 4-rational Gorenstein and $P_{4}$ is 8-rational Gorenstein. In fact, $\frac{1}{4}P_{3} = \frac{1}{8}P_{4}$ and so $\text{hom}(\frac{1}{4}P_{3}) = \text{hom}(\frac{1}{8}P_{4})$. The Gorenstein point is $(g, y) = (3, 1)$.

**Example.** [A polytope that is not 2r-rational Gorenstein] Let $P_{5} = [1, 4]$. Then $r = 4$ and $2r = 8$, so $\frac{1}{8}P_{5} = [\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{2}]$. The first lattice point in the interior of $\text{hom}(\frac{1}{8}P_{5})$ is $(g, y) = (3, 1)$. However, $(3, 1)$ does not satisfy Condition (ii) from Theorem 27; it is at lattice distance 5 from one of the facets of $\frac{1}{8}P_{5}$.

**Remark 31.** Bajo and Beck [1, Section 5] essentially showed that the $h_{Z}^{*}$-polynomial of a rational polytope $P$ is palindromic if and only if $\text{hom}(P)$ is a Gorenstein cone. Hence, polytopes with palindromic $h_{Z}^{*}$-polynomials, $h_{Q}^{*}$-polynomials, or $h_{Q}^{\text{ref}}$-polynomials are fully classified. This implies, in particular, that polytopes with palindromic $h_{Z}^{*}$-polynomials also have palindromic $h_{Q}^{*}$ and $h_{Q}^{\text{ref}}$-polynomials.

5. **Symmetric Decompositions**

We now use the stipulations of the last section to give a new proof of the following theorem. As we will see, our proof will also yield a rational version (Theorem 34 below).

**Theorem 32** (Betke–McMullen [11]). Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a lattice $d$-polytope that contains a lattice point in its interior. Then there exist polynomials $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ with nonnegative coefficients such that

$$h_{Z}^{*}(P; t) = a(t) + t b(t)$$

and

$$t^{d} a\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) = a(t), \quad t^{d-1} b\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) = b(t).$$

**Proof.** Suppose $P$ is a lattice $d$-polytope with codenominator $r$. If $P$ contains a lattice point in its interior, we might as well assume it is the origin (the $h_{Z}^{*}$-polynomial is invariant under lattice translations). Then Corollary 28 says

$$t^{d+1-\frac{1}{r}} h_{Q}^{*}\left(P; \frac{1}{t}; r\right) = h_{Q}^{*}(P; t; r). \quad (5.1)$$

Note, since $P$ is a lattice polytope we can choose $m = r$. On the other hand, as we noted in the beginning of Section 2, the $h_{Z}^{*}$-polynomial of a rational $d$-polytope always has a factor, that carries over (by the proof of Theorem 6) to

$$h_{Q}^{*}(P; t; r) = \left(1 + t^{\frac{1}{r}} + \cdots + t^{\frac{r-1}{r}}\right) \overline{h}(P; t)$$

for some $\overline{h}(P; t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{1/r}]$ (which is, of course, very much related to Section 3). Moreover, by Equation (5.1) this polynomial satisfies $t^{d} \overline{h}(P; \frac{1}{t}) = \overline{h}(P; t)$. Note that

$$\text{Ehr}_{Q}(P; t) = \left(1 + t^{\frac{1}{r}} + \cdots + t^{\frac{r-1}{r}}\right) \overline{h}(P; t) = \frac{\overline{h}(P; t)}{(1-t)^{d+1}} \left(1 - t^{\frac{1}{r}}\right)^d \quad (5.2)$$

where
and the Gorenstein property of \(\frac{1}{k}\mathbb{P}\) imply that \(\tilde{h}(P; t)\) equals the \(h^*\)-polynomial (in the variable \(t^{\frac{1}{k}}\)) of the boundary of \(\frac{1}{k}\mathbb{P}\). Indeed, the rational Ehrhart series of \(\partial P\) is

\[
\text{Ehr}_Q(P; t) - \text{Ehr}_Q(P^\circ; t) = \frac{h^*_Q(P; t; r)}{(1-t)^{d+1}} - \frac{t^{d+1}h^*_Q(P; \frac{1}{k}; r)}{(1-t)^{d+1}}
\]

\[
= \frac{h^*_Q(P; t; r)}{(1-t)^{d+1}} - \frac{t^{d+1}h^*_Q(P; \frac{1}{k}; r)}{(1-t)^{d+1}}
\]

\[
= \frac{(1-t^\frac{1}{k})h^*_Q(P; t; r)}{(1-t)^{d+1}} = \frac{\tilde{h}(P; t)}{(1-t)^d}.
\]

The (triangulated) boundary of a polytope is shellable \([29, \text{Chapter 8}]\), and this shelling gives a half-open decomposition of the boundary, which yields nonnegativity of the \(h^*_Q\)-vector. Hence, \(\tilde{h}(P; t)\) has nonnegative coefficients.

Recall that \(\text{Int}\) is the operator that extracts from a polynomial in \(\mathbb{Z}[t^{\frac{1}{k}}]\) the terms with integer powers of \(t\). Thus

\[
a(t) := \text{Int}(\tilde{h}(P; t))
\]

is a polynomial in \(\mathbb{Z}[t]\) with nonnegative coefficients satisfying \(t^d a(\frac{1}{t}) = a(t)\). (Note that \(a(t)\) can be interpreted as the \(h^*\)-polynomial of the boundary of \(P\); see, e.g., \([1]\).) Again, because we could choose \(m = r\), we compute using Equation \((5.2)\):

\[
h^*_2(P; t) = \text{Int}\left(\left(1 + t^{\frac{1}{k}} + \cdots + t^{\frac{r-1}{k}}\right) \tilde{h}(P; t)\right)
\]

\[= a(t) + \text{Int}\left(\left(1 + t^{\frac{1}{k}} + t^{\frac{2}{k}} + \cdots + t^{\frac{r-1}{k}}\right) \tilde{h}(P; t)\right).
\]

Since \(\beta(t) := \left(t^{\frac{1}{k}} + t^{\frac{2}{k}} + \cdots + t^{\frac{r-1}{k}}\right) \tilde{h}(P; t)\) satisfies \(t^{d+1} \beta(\frac{1}{t}) = \beta(t)\), the polynomial

\[
b(t) := \frac{1}{t} \text{Int}\left(\left(1 + t^{\frac{1}{k}} + t^{\frac{2}{k}} + \cdots + t^{\frac{r-1}{k}}\right) \tilde{h}(P; t)\right)
\]

satisfies \(t^{d-1}b(\frac{1}{t}) = b(t)\), and \(h^*_2(P; t) = a(t) + t b(t)\) by construction. \(\square\)

**Remark 33.** We could have started the proof of Theorem 32 with Equation \((3.1)\) and then used Stapledon’s result \([27]\) that \(\tilde{h}(P; t)\) is palindromic and nonnegative.

The rational version of this theorem is a special case of \([8, \text{Theorem 4.7}]\).

**Theorem 34.** Let \(Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d\) be a rational \(d\)-polytope with denominator \(k\) that contains a lattice point in its interior. Then there exist polynomials \(a(t)\) and \(b(t)\) with nonnegative coefficients such that

\[
h^*_2(Q; t) = a(t) + t b(t), \quad t^{k(d+1)-1} a(\frac{1}{t}) = a(t), \quad t^{k(d+1)-2} b(\frac{1}{t}) = b(t).
\]

*Proof.* We repeat our proof of Theorem 32 for \(P := k Q\), except that instead of the operator \(\text{Int}\), we use the operator \(\text{Rat}_k\) which extracts the terms with powers that are multiples of \(\frac{1}{k}\). So now

\[a(t) := \text{Rat}_k(\tilde{h}(P; t)),\]

\[b(t) := \frac{1}{t^k} \text{Rat}_k\left(\left(t^{\frac{1}{k}} + t^{\frac{2}{k}} + \cdots + t^{\frac{r-1}{k}}\right) \tilde{h}(P; t)\right),\]

and

\[h^*_2(P; t) = a(t^k) + t b(t^k).\] \(\square\)
We conclude by remarking that there is a generalization of the Betke–McMullen theorem due to Stapledon [26]; here the assumption of an interior lattice point is dropped, but the symmetric decomposition happens now with a modified $h^*_Z$-polynomial. A rational version is the aforementioned [8, Theorem 4.7]; see also [1].

6. Period Collapse

One of the classic instances of period collapse in integral Ehrhart theory is the triangle
\[ \Delta := \text{conv}\{(0, 0), (1, \frac{p-1}{p}), (p, 0)\} \]  
(6.1)

where $p \geq 2$ is an integer [21]; see also [14] for an irrational version. Here
\[ \text{Ehr}_Z(\Delta; t) = \frac{1 + (p-2) t}{(1-t)^3} \]
and so, while the denominator of $\Delta$ equals $p$, the period of $\text{ehr}_Z(\Delta; n)$ collapses to 1: the quasipolynomial $\text{ehr}_Z(\Delta; n) = \frac{p-1}{2} n^2 + \frac{p+1}{2} n + 1$ is a polynomial.

As mentioned in the Introduction, we offer data points towards the question of whether or how much period collapse happens in rational Ehrhart theory, and how it compares to the classical scenario.

Example. We consider the triangle $\Delta$ defined in Equation (6.1) with $p = 3$. Note that both denominator and codenominator of $\Delta$ equal 3. We compute
\[ \text{Ehr}_Q(\Delta; t) = \frac{1 + \frac{t^2}{3}}{(1-t)^2 (1-t^3)} \]
Note that the accompanying rational Ehrhart quasipolynomial $\text{ehr}_Q(P; \lambda)$ thus has period 3. We can retrieve the integral Ehrhart series from the rational by rewriting
\[ \text{Ehr}_Q(\Delta; t) = \frac{(1 + \frac{t^2}{3})(1 + \frac{t}{3} + \frac{t^2}{3})}{(1-t)^2 (1-t^3)} \]
and then disregarding the fractional powers in the numerator, which gives
\[ \text{Ehr}_Z(\Delta; t) = \frac{1 + 2t + 2t^2 + t^3}{(1-t)^2 (1-t^3)} = \frac{1 + t}{(1-t)^3} \]
Hence the classical Ehrhart quasipolynomial exhibits period collapse while the rational does not.

Example. The recent paper [16] studied certain families of polytopes arising from graphs, which exhibit period collapse. One example is the pyramid
\[ P_5 := \text{conv}\{(0,0,0), (\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0) , (0, \frac{1}{2}, 0) , (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0) , (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})\} \]
which has denominator 4 and codenominator 1. In particular, its rational Ehrhart series equals the standard Ehrhart series, and
\[ \text{Ehr}_Q(P_5; t) = \text{Ehr}_Z(P_5; t) = \frac{1 + t^3}{(1-t)(1-t^2)^3} \]
shows that $\text{ehr}_Z(P_5; n)$ and $\text{ehr}_Q(P_5; \lambda)$ both have period 2, i.e., they both exhibit period collapse.
Example. Recall the running examples \( P_1 = [-1, \frac{2}{3}] \) and \( P_2 = [0, \frac{2}{3}] \). Restricting the rational Ehrhart quasipolynomial from page 5 to positive integers we retrieve the Ehrhart quasipolynomials:

\[
ehr_Z(P_1; n) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{5}{3}n + 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \mod 3, \\
\frac{2}{3}n + \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \mod 3, \\
\frac{5}{3}n + 2 & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \mod 3,
\end{cases}
\]

\[
ehr_Z(P_2; n) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{2}{3}n + 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \mod 3, \\
\frac{2}{3}n + \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \mod 3, \\
\frac{2}{3}n + \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \mod 3.
\end{cases}
\]

We can observe the period 3 here for both functions. Recall the rational Ehrhart series from page 8:

\[
Ehr_Q(P_1; t) = 1 + \frac{t^\frac{1}{3} + t + t^\frac{2}{3} + t^2}{(1 - t)(1 - t^\frac{2}{3})},
\]

\[
Ehr_Q(P_2; t) = \frac{1}{(1 - t^\frac{1}{3})(1 - t^\frac{2}{3})} = \frac{1 + t^\frac{1}{3} + t}{(1 - t^\frac{2}{3})^2}.
\]

We can read off from the series that \( ehr_Q(P_1; \lambda) \) has rational period 3, whereas \( \frac{3}{2} \) is the rational period of \( ehr_Q(P_2; \lambda) \). Both \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) have codenominator \( r = 2 \), but \( m_{P_1} = 6 \) and \( m_{P_2} = 3 \) (see computations on page 8). So the expected period is \( \frac{6}{2} = 3 \) for \( P_1 \) and \( \frac{3}{2} \) for \( P_2 \). Thus here neither the rational Ehrhart quasipolynomials nor the integral Ehrhart quasipolynomials exhibit period collapse.

We do not know any examples of polytopes with period collapse in their rational Ehrhart quasipolynomials but not in their integral Ehrhart quasipolynomials. The question about possible period collapse of an Ehrhart quasipolynomial is only one of many one can ask for a given rational polytope. For example, there are many interesting questions and conjectures on when the \( h^*_p \)-polynomial is unimodal. One can, naturally, extend any such question to rational Ehrhart series. Finally, our results generalize to polynomial-weight counting functions of rational polytopes (see, e.g., [2]), where \( ehr_Q(P; \lambda) \) gets replaced by \( \sum_{x \in \lambda P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} p(x) \) for a fixed polynomial \( p(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_d] \).
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