Physical Activity and Health-Related Quality of Life in Breast Cancer Patients: A Multicenter Cross-Sectional Survey
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Abstract

Background: Physical activity (PA) has a wide range of beneficial effects on the overall health and quality of life (QOL) of breast cancer survivors (BCS).

Objectives: The aim of this study was to determine the relationship between PA and health-related QOL in Malaysian BCS.

Methods: This is a cross-sectional survey involving 334 BCS. In addition to socio-demographic information, participants' PA was assessed using the international physical activity questionnaire (IPAQ-SF). Health-related QOL was assessed using the functional assessment for cancer treatment-breast (FACT-B) version 4 questionnaire. Pearson’s correlation was used to explore the relationship between PA and QOL of the participants.

Results: The mean age, weight, and body mass index (BMI) of the participants were 51.9 ± 8.3 years, 60.1 ± 11.4 kg, and 25.1 ± 4.6 kg/m², respectively. The majority of the participants were Malays (71.0%), married (83.8%), and had secondary education (52.7%). The mean total PA was 660.2 ± 797.2 whereas the mean overall QOL was 79.6 ± 17.7. Moderate-intensity PA was significantly correlated with physical well-being (r = 0.39, P = 0.009) and overall QOL (r = 0.49, P = 0.002). It was also significantly correlated with functional well-being (r = 0.57, P = 0.054) and breast cancer concern (r = 0.48, P = 0.019). Meanwhile, the total PA was correlated positively and significantly with functional well-being (r = 0.78, P = 0.041).

Conclusions: This study found a significant relationship between the moderate-intensity PA and the overall QOL among the participants. The result also showed a significant relationship between moderate-intensity PA and breast cancer concern. The total PA showed a significant relationship with functional well-being. The breast cancer survivors needed to be encouraged to remain physically active.

Keywords: Physical Activity, Health-Related Quality of Life, Breast Cancer Survivors, Malaysia

1. Background

Breast cancer remains the most frequently diagnosed cancer in the female population and the second cause of cancer death worldwide (1). The population of breast cancer survivors (BCS) is increasing continuously with the triple effects of growing incidence, continuous improvement in diagnostic screening, and improved anticancer treatment (2). However, after receiving cancer treatment, BCS experience symptoms such as reduced physical activity (PA) pattern, reduced functional capacity, and fatigue (3). Studies have reported an association between poor prognosis and physical inactivity among breast cancer patients (4, 5).

Regular PA is very vital for the health and well-being, and has a wide range of beneficial effects throughout the cancer continuum (6). Some of these effects include decreased risk of all-cause mortality and breast cancer recurrence. Other positive effects are reduced morbidity, enhanced mood, improved overall health, and promoted quality of life (QOL) (6).

Previous studies in cancer patients have provided ample evidence of the positive impact of PA on both physical and mental health status, as well as health-related quality of life (HRQOL) indicators (7, 8). In addition, the significance of PA to psychosocial well-being and HRQOL has been readily documented (9). It has been established that women that walk three to five hours a week or its equiv-
alent earn greater benefit, including the diminished risk of death after breast cancer diagnosis (10). Meta-analyses carried out amongst cancer patients (11, 12) have found that PA has positive effects on psychological well-being and HRQOL. Another study also reported positive effects regarding HRQOL (13), but, more recently, a study concluded that PA had no effect on the psychological outcomes measured in breast cancer (14).

Available studies conducted to determine the relationship between health behaviors and HRQOL among BCS reported inconsistent findings. For instance, Doyle et al. (15) showed a decrease in the level of PA after surgery while changes in physical functioning were shown to have a significant association with the level of PA and chemotherapy (16). Meanwhile, Norman et al. (17) reported a temporary decline in HRQOL outcomes during cancer treatment, which washed away thereafter and returned to normal baseline levels. Contrarily, Peuckmann et al. (18) found no negative effect of cancer treatment on the HRQOL of long-term BCS. Evidence on the relationship between PA and HRQOL is generally limited since research has mostly focused on specific interventions (19). In the case of Malaysia where cases of breast cancer are on the rise, there is a dearth of literature on this all-important public health phenomenon. This is while most of the studies in this regard have been conducted elsewhere.

Establishing a relationship between HRQOL and health status can help to make guided decisions on the allocation of resources. In the public health context, understanding how a healthy lifestyle like PA can influence HRQOL might aid in the formulation of policies that encourage PA participation (20). Moreover, identifying discrepancies in the correlation between PA and HRQOL may be useful for any healthcare facility that deals with this population. It may also facilitate PA interventions aiming at improving HRQOL among BCS (21).

2. Objectives

This paper reported the association between PA and HRQOL in Malaysian BCS.

3. Methods

3.1. Participants

The participants in this study were female BCS whose diagnosis was confirmed through histological findings. Those included were aged 29 - 69 years, receiving an anticancer treatment, and having good performance status. We excluded BCS with any underlying medical conditions such as psychiatric illnesses and neurological conditions. The BCS in this study was defined as ‘any individual that has been diagnosed with cancer, from the time of discovery and for the balance of life’ (22). The sample size was determined based on the proportion (23) considered as 59% (24) with a coefficient of 1.96 for 95% confidence interval while taking 5% as the sampling error. Further 10% was added to cater for dropout.

3.2. Study Design

The study was a multicenter cross-sectional survey and participants were recruited using non-probability sampling.

3.3. Study Setting

The study was conducted in six selected hospitals from three states, including Kedah (Hospital Sultan Abdul Halim and Hospital Sultanah Bahiyah), Kelantan (Hospital Universiti Sains Malaysia), and Penang (Hospital Pulau Pinang, Hospital Kepala Batas, and Hospital Seberang Jaya).

3.4. Instruments

A demographic data questionnaire was used to collect socio-demographic information, including age, marital status, education level, employment status, and income level. The clinical data collected included diagnosis duration, cancer stage, and type of treatment. Participants’ PA was assessed using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire-Short Form (IPAQ-SF).

The IPAQ-SF is used to assess vigorous-intensity, moderate-intensity, and walking activities in the established domains of household/gardening, occupational/work-related, recreational/leisure time, and transportation. Validity and reliability of the tool have been proven (25). The instrument was translated into Malay from the original English version and the psychometric properties were found to be good with a coefficient of 0.99 for total PA (24).

The IPAQ-SF uses both duration (hours/minutes) and frequency (number of sessions/days). The self-reported PA of at least 10 minutes done at once is measured and recorded in metabolic equivalent (MET) based on the intensities. MET-minutes/week was calculated by multiplying the MET score of a given activity by the number of minutes and days the given activity was carried out in the past seven days. The MET rating for various PA intensities are as follows: vigorous-intensity PA has a MET value of 8.0, moderate-intensity PA 4.0, and walking 3.3. Further details of the IPAQ-SF are available at http://www.ipaq.ki.se/scoring.pdf.

The HRQOL was assessed using the Functional Assessment for Cancer Treatment-Breast (FACT-B) questionnaire.
The tool contains 44 items rated on a five-point Likert scale. It comprised subscales that measure physical well-being, social/family well-being, emotional well-being, and functional well-being. The instrument is designed and developed to assess the HRQOL of breast cancer patients with an emphasis on patients’ values and brevity. The FACT-B total score has high internal consistency (alpha = 0.90) and the subscales' alpha coefficient ranges from 0.63 to 0.86. It is valid, reliable, and responsive to changes over time (26).

The instrument has been translated into the Malay language (Bahasa Melayu) strictly following guidelines for cross-cultural translation and adaptation for research tools. This involves multiple forward and backward translations to and from the Malay and English languages. In addition, the psychometric property was tested for the Malay version (27).

3.5. Procedure

The data collection was done by trained enumerators through one-on-one interviews with the participants. This method was chosen to eliminate as much as possible missing data and enhance the response rate. Participants were met at the oncology clinic on their follow-up appointment days. Initially, the study was introduced to the prospecting participants by their oncologist. While waiting for their turn, the researcher and the enumerator(s) approached participants and explained the nature and purpose of the study. Then, they were given the study information form in which details of the study were outlined. Thereafter, those who were willing to take part in the study signed the informed consent form. Human research and ethics committee of the Hospital Universiti Sains Malaysia approved the study (ethical approval No. USM/JEPeM/1406223). In addition, the confidentiality of the information and the privacy of participants were assured. The participants were advised on their rights and assured that participation was voluntary and withdrawal from the study brings about no negative consequences.

3.6. Statistical Analyses

All data were cleaned and screened for missing and erroneous entry before analyses. The analyses were done with SPSS (IBM Inc., version 22.0) using descriptive analyses of means for numerical and frequency for categorical data. The computation of the results for PA and HRQOL data was executed in line with the guidelines of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) and Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy (FACIT) groups, respectively. Pearson’s correlation was used to explore the relationships between PA and HRQOL components of the participants at a P value of < 0.05.

4. Results

In total, 399 questionnaires were distributed to the respondents and 334 were duly filled in and returned, giving a return rate of 83.7%. The mean age, weight, and body mass index (BMI) of the respondents were 51.9 ± 8.3 years, 60.1 ± 11.4 kg, and 25.1 ± 4.6 kg/m², respectively. The median waist circumference and the waist-to-hip ratio were 80.0 (15.9) and 0.8 (0.10), respectively. The majority of the participants were married (83.8%) and more than half had a secondary school certificate (52.7%). In addition, more than half of the respondents (51.8%) had a monthly household income of 1000 - 5000 Ringgit Malaysia and a family history of smoking (51.7%). The sociodemographic characteristics of the respondents are shown in Table 1.

| Table 1. Distribution of the Demographic Characteristics of Respondents |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Variables                              | Frequency (%) |
| Race (n = 334)                          |               |
| Malay                                  | 237 (71.0)    |
| Chinese                                | 69 (20.7)     |
| Indian                                 | 25 (7.5)      |
| Others                                 | 3 (0.9)       |
| Level of education (n = 334)            |               |
| Primary                                | 77 (23.1)     |
| Secondary                              | 176 (52.7)    |
| Tertiary                               | 62 (18.5)     |
| None                                   | 19 (5.7)      |
| Marital status (n = 334)                |               |
| Single                                 | 9 (2.7)       |
| Married                                | 280 (83.8)    |
| Divorced/widow                         | 45 (13.5)     |
| Employment status (n = 333)             |               |
| Unemployed                             | 41 (12.3)     |
| Employed                               | 181 (53.3)    |
| Retired                                | 24 (7.2)      |
| Homemaker                              | 157 (47.1)    |
| Monthly household income (n = 313)      |               |
| < RM1000                                | 102 (32.6)    |
| RM1000 - RM4999                         | 162 (51.8)    |
| ≥ RM5000                                | 49 (15.7)     |
| Smoking history (n = 331)               |               |
| Never                                  | 326 (98.5)    |
| Family history of smoking (n = 327)     |               |
| Yes                                    | 169 (51.7)    |
The clinical characteristics showed that the mean diagnosis duration was 24.7 ± 31.5 months. The overwhelming majority of the respondents had a total mastectomy (80.3%) and chemotherapy (88.0%) and they were mostly in the post-menopausal stage (74.6%). Meanwhile, more than half of the participants never used oral contraceptives (56.0%) and 37.7% of them had a family history of cancer (Table 2).

Table 3 shows the mean distribution of physical activity and QOL of respondents. The mean total physical activity was 660.2 ± 797.2 MET-minutes/week. In addition, the mean moderate-intensity activity was 267.0 ± 556.1 MET-minutes/week. The mean subscale scores of physical, emotional, and functional well-being were 18.4 ± 7.9, 17.1 ± 6.2, and 21.4 ± 5.7, respectively. The mean overall QOL score was 79.6 ± 17.7.

In Table 4, the correlation between the PA intensity distribution and the QOL components shows that moderate-intensity PA was fairly significantly correlated with physical well-being and overall QOL (r = 0.46, P = 0.009 and r = 0.49, P = 0.002, respectively). It also had a good correlation with the functional well-being subscale (r = 0.57, P = 0.054). Similarly, a significant but, fairly inverse correlation was observed between walking activity and the physical well-being subscale of the QOL (r = -0.27, P = 0.016). Meanwhile, the total PA was observed to be significantly correlated with functional well-being (r = 0.78, P = 0.041).

5. Discussion

The present study demonstrated a significant positive correlation between physical well-being and moderate-intensity PA and walking. This result confirmed the results of Anokye et al. The authors found that participation in walking was correlated with a modest effect on HRQOL among the general population (19).

Participation in physical activity also benefits psychological well-being in several dimensions; the benefits cut across all the specific psychological outcomes. The means through which such a relationship goes seems to be the same as that obtainable among the general population. Courneya et al. (28) explained that the mechanism may involve the release of endorphins secondary to biological processes, leading to increased levels because of the physical activity, adaptation of the cardiopulmonary circuit, mastery achievements, social interaction between the survivors especially in a group training program, the time expended outdoors, and positive feedback such as the perception of positive physical self and competence.

In a study, functional well-being was improved in self-reported measurement, which was related to changes in

Table 3. Description of PA and QOL Components of Respondents

| Variables                              | Mean ± SD     |
|----------------------------------------|---------------|
| Vigorous-intensity                     | 46.6 ± 266.5  |
| Moderate-intensity                     | 267.0 ± 556.1 |
| Walking                                | 346.6 ± 527.0 |
| Total PA                               | 660.2 ± 797.2 |
| Physical well-being                    | 18.4 ± 7.9    |
| Social/Family well-being               | 22.7 ± 5.7    |
| Emotional well-being                   | 17.1 ± 6.2    |
| Functional well-being                  | 21.4 ± 5.7    |
| Breast cancer specific concern         | 21.5 ± 8.0    |
| Trial outcome index                    | 61.3 ± 17.1   |
| Overall QOL                            | 79.6 ± 17.7   |

Abbreviations: PA, physical activity; QOL, quality of life; SD, standard deviation.

Table 2. Distribution of the Clinical Characteristics of Respondents

| Variables                              | Frequency(%) |
|----------------------------------------|--------------|
| Diagnosis duration, mo, (mean ± SD)    | 24.7 ± 31.5  |
| Cancer stage (n = 331)                 |              |
| Stage I                                | 51 (15.3)    |
| Stage II                               | 133 (39.3)   |
| Stage III                              | 77 (23.1)    |
| Stage IV                               | 29 (8.7)     |
| Not available                          | 45 (13.5)    |
| Surgery (n = 314)                      |              |
| Had surgery                            | 310 (92.8)   |
| Type of surgery (n = 310)              |              |
| Lumpectomy                             | 50 (16.1)    |
| Total mastectomy                       | 249 (80.3)   |
| Partial mastectomy                     | 11 (3.5)     |
| Chemotherapy (n = 314)                 |              |
| Yes                                    | 294 (88.0)   |
| Radiotherapy (n = 314)                 |              |
| Yes                                    | 171 (51.8)   |
| Menopausal status (n = 314)            |              |
| Post-menopause                         | 249 (74.6)   |
| Use of oral contraceptives (n = 334)   |              |
| Never                                  | 187 (56.0)   |
| Family history of cancer (n = 334)     |              |
| Present                                | 126 (37.7)   |

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation.
Table 4. Correlation Between Physical Activity and Quality of Life Components of Respondents

| Variables | Vigorous PA (MET-min/wk) | Moderate PA (MET-min/wk) | Walking (MET-min/wk) | Total PA (MET-min/wk) |
|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|           | r           | P Value | r           | P Value | r          | P Value | r          | P Value |
| PWB       | 0.46       | 0.070   | 0.39        | 0.009   | -0.27      | 0.016   | -0.78      | 0.415   |
| SWB       | -0.10      | 0.279   | -0.32       | 0.090   | 0.034      | 0.717   | -0.034     | 0.720   |
| EWB       | 0.31       | 0.058   | -0.36       | 0.089   | -0.15      | 0.111   | -0.14      | 0.139   |
| FWB       | -0.50      | 0.056   | 0.57        | 0.054   | 0.31       | 0.107   | 0.78       | 0.041   |
| BCSS      | -0.56      | 0.057   | 0.48        | 0.019   | -0.61      | 0.076   | -0.35      | 0.088   |
| TOI       | -0.92      | 0.092   | 0.71        | 0.035   | -0.80      | 0.255   | -0.78      | 0.062   |
| FACT-G    | 0.10       | 0.992   | -0.29       | 0.080   | -0.68      | 0.427   | 0.78       | 0.680   |
| Overall QOL | -0.38      | 0.201   | 0.49        | 0.002   | -0.31      | 0.231   | -0.62      | 0.516   |

Abbreviations: BCSS, breast cancer-specific concern; EWB, emotional well-being; FACT-G, functional assessment for cancer therapy-general; FWB, functional well-being; MET, metabolic equivalent; PA, physical activity; PWB, physical well-being; QOL, quality of life; SWB, social/family well-being; TOI, trial outcome index.

Significance at P < 0.05.

fitness following physical activity participation (29). Similarly, moderate-intensity, as reported by the respondents in this study, showed a significant correlation with the functional well-being, breast cancer-specific concern, and overall QOL. Meanwhile, the total PA was strongly correlated with the functional well-being subscale. The significant relationship observed between moderate-intensity PA and the overall QOL might be due to its significant effect on this subscale of QOL.

Although physical activities with higher intensity offer better beneficial effects, this study found no correlation between vigorous-intensity PA and any of the QOL components. The reason for this observation may be that there is always a tendency toward over- or under-reporting of the level of physical activity participation, which is common to subjective assessment (30). In the present study, it is possible that vigorous-intensity PA was under-reported or moderate-intensity over-reported. The effect of this observation is the potential of bias estimation of physical activity, which implies an underestimation of the connection between physical activity participation and quality of life. However, noteworthy is that studies on the breast cancer survivors’ physical activity preference have shown an inclination towards light-intensity to moderate-intensity activities such as walking (31, 32).

The relationship between physical activity and HRQOL of the breast cancer survivors under study has implications in terms of general health promotion. It means including physical activity into the management of their disease, especially early in the process of recovery, will yield immense dividends to the HRQOL in the recovery period. Furthermore, this finding buttresses the fact that being physically active is still far better than choosing a sedentary lifestyle; hence, these patients need to be encouraged to have some levels of physical activity throughout the period of treatment and rehabilitation.

5.1. Limitations

The limitations observed in this study must be appreciated. Due to the cross-sectional nature of the design, conclusions could not be drawn on the causal relationship between the physical activity and quality of life. In addition, in terms of assessment, although acceptable, recall bias is a common concern in self-report surveys of physical activity. However, the high participation rate, multi-center recruitment, large sample size, and the statistical power, as well as the broad definition of survivorship, may permit the results to be generalized to breast cancer women in Malaysia.

5.2. Conclusions

Physical activity was correlated with most components of the quality of life, especially the ones that are directly influenced by physical activity. Those include physical well-being, functional well-being, breast cancer-specific concern, and the overall quality of life. The physical activity category with the highest correlation was moderate-intensity. This buttressed the need for higher intensity physical activities to achieve a better quality of life. The established relationship between physical activity and quality of life in this study proves the need for incorporating physical activity into breast cancer management plans.
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