Highly efficient ethylene production via electrocatalytic hydrogenation of acetylene under mild conditions
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Renewable energy-based electrocatalytic hydrogenation of acetylene to ethylene (E-HAE) under mild conditions is an attractive substitution to the conventional energy-intensive industrial process, but is challenging due to its low Faradaic efficiency caused by competitive hydrogen evolution reaction. Herein, we report a highly efficient and selective E-HAE process at room temperature and ambient pressure over the Cu catalyst. A high Faradaic efficiency of 83.2% for ethylene with a current density of 29 mA cm\(^{-2}\) is reached at \(-0.6\) V vs. the reversible hydrogen electrode. In-situ spectroscopic characterizations combined with first-principles calculations reveal that electron transfer from the Cu surface to adsorbed acetylene induces preferential adsorption and hydrogenation of the acetylene over hydrogen formation, thus enabling a highly selective E-HAE process through the electron-coupled proton transfer mechanism. This work presents a feasible route for high-efficiency ethylene production from E-HAE.
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ntegrated with technology of acetylene production from natural gas or coal, selective hydrogenation of acetylene to ethylene (HAE) has emerged as a promising non-oil route for producing ethylene, which is one of the most important building blocks in chemical synthesis. Thermocatalytic HAE typically requires high temperatures above 200 °C and high pressures of around 5 bar, and thus is highly energy demanding. Besides, large amount of H₂ consumption makes the process even more costly. Moreover, the selectivity of ethylene is also hardly controllable owing to the undesired but facile excessive hydrogenation of acetylene to ethane under the harsh reaction conditions in the thermocatalytic HAE. Therefore, it is of great significance to develop a more economical, energy-efficient, and highly selective route for the HAE process.

Herein, we report a highly efficient and selective electrocatalytic HAE (E-HAE) process at room temperature and ambient pressure over carbon-supported Cu microparticles (MPs). In contrast to the thermocatalytic path, this process is advantageous in being operable under mild conditions and is environmentally friendly in combination with electroreduction of water based on renewable electricity, in which hydrogen is in-situ generated for the reduction reaction so that extra supply of H₂ can be avoided (Fig. 1), which emerges as an attractive route for semihydrogenation of alkynes. By optimizing the Cu catalyst to expose more active facets, preferential adsorption and hydrogenation of acetylene is facilitated against hydrogen adsorption and evolution. In combination with adjusting the electrode potential to modulate the selectivity of the reduction products, excessive hydrogenation of acetylene to ethane is fully avoided at cathode potentials above −0.6 V vs. reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE). By coating the carbon support with a microporous gas diffusion layer (GDL) to promote mass transfer, a high Faradaic efficiency of 83.2% for ethylene production (FE₉₂)₂H₄ is achieved with an overall current density (j) of 29 mA cm⁻² at −0.6 V vs. RHE. The geometric current density for ethylene formation (j₉₂)₂H₄ reaches 26.7 mA cm⁻² at −0.7 V vs. RHE. By using continuous gas-flow method in the E-HAE process, the faradaic efficiency and the overall formation rate of ethylene are largely improved compared with those in closed reaction systems of previous reports. In situ spectroscopic characterizations combined with density functional theory (DFT) calculations demonstrate that electron transfer from the Cu surface to adsorbed acetylene promotes the adsorption and hydrogenation of the acetylene, while suppressing the competitive hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) and facilitating ethylene desorption, thereby resulting in highly selective ethylene production. The electrochemical hydrogenation proceeds via the electron-coupled proton transfer pathways, which require higher activation energies for further hydrogenation steps than the desorption of the generated ethylene, so that the excessive hydrogenation to ethane is effectively inhibited. This process provides a green route for industrial production of C₂H₄ from C₂H₂ beyond the removal of C₂H₂ impurities in C₂H₄.

**Results and discussion**

**Structure and E-HAE performance of the Cu catalyst.** The Cu MPs were prepared via a facile solvothermal route in ethylene glycol (EG) using CuSO₄·5H₂O as precursors. The morphology of the Cu catalyst was characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Fig. 2a), high angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) (Fig. 2a) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (Supplementary Fig. 1), which show that the Cu MPs are uniformly distributed with diameters of around 1 μm. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) characterizations show that the Cu MPs are uniformly distributed with diameters of around 1 μm. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) characterizations show that the Cu MPs with face-centered cubic (fcc) structure mainly expose (111), (100), and (110) facets, but possessing more (100) and (110) facets than the

![Flowchart: The features of the electrocatalytic HAE (E-HAE) process compared with the traditional thermocatalytic HAE process.](image-url)
commercial Cu bulk according to their normalized peak intensities (Supplementary Fig. 2).

Electrochemical tests were carried out to measure the E-HAE performance of the Cu MPs loaded on GDL-coated carbon paper (Cu/GDL-CP). The linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) was performed to assess the E-HAE activity of the catalyst. In Ar-saturated solution, the current density is close to zero even at an electrode potential of $-0.3 \text{ V vs. RHE}$ with or without iR compensation, indicating a poor HER activity of the catalyst. As the solution is saturated by C$_2$H$_2$, the current density increases remarkably with an onset potential of around $-0.24$ and $-0.15 \text{ V}$ before and after the iR compensation, respectively (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Fig. 3), owing to the contribution of electrocatalytic hydrogenation of acetylene. The different activity of the Cu MPs for the HER and E-HAE is further confirmed by remeasuring the LSV curves using rotating disk electrode (RDE) instead with loaded catalyst, which present a similar difference in the onset potentials of the two processes (Supplementary Fig. 3), thus demonstrating the higher activity of the catalyst for the E-HAE process.

The microporous GDL, consisting of polytetrafluoroethylene microspheres and hydrophobic carbon particles coated on carbon paper (Supplementary Figs. 4 and 5), plays a significant role in improving the E-HAE reaction efficiency by promoting mass transfer. The GDL is favorable for the formation of gas–liquid–solid interfaces by increasing the hydrophobicity and surface area of electrodes. As indicated in the contact angle tests (Supplementary Fig. 6), the electrode with Cu/GDL-CP is more hydrophobic than the electrode with Cu MPs loaded on pristine carbon paper (Cu/CP) (Supplementary Fig. 7). The BET specific surface area (SSA) measurements show that the GDL also remarkably increases the SSA of the CP from 0.37 to 4.55 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, which is favorable for constructing gas–liquid–solid interfaces. Though the Cu/GDL-CP and Cu/CP have similar electrochemical active surface areas (ECSAs), which were measured as 6.2 and 6.4 cm$^2$, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 8), the geometric current densities of acetylene consumption ($j_{C_2H_2}$) and ethylene formation ($j_{C_2H_4}$) on the Cu/GDL-CP are always notably higher than those on the Cu/CP (Supplementary Fig. 9, Fig. 2c). Moreover, the FE of HER on the Cu/GDL-CP at different...
potentials are obviously lower than those on the Cu/CP, especially at potentials below −0.6 V vs. RHE (Supplementary Fig. 10). These results indicate that the GDL can effectively promote the E-HAE process and suppress the HER.

The distribution of products strongly depends on the electrode potential (Fig. 2d). At −0.3 V vs. RHE, ethylene and 1,3-butadiene are the main products with FEs of around 53% and 47%, respectively. With the decrease in potential, the FE of butadiene grows gradually and reaches a maximum of 83.2% at −0.6 V with an overall current density of 29 mA cm⁻², while the FE of 1,3-butadiene decreases significantly to below 10% with few butene generated. Note that the excessive hydrogenation of acetylene to ethane is fully avoided at cathode potentials above −0.6 V. As the potential becomes more negative, H₂ and some deep hydrogenated products such as ethane begin to form, leading to decreased FE of C₂H₄. These results show that the electrochemical reductivity strongly depends on the electrode potential, which determines the selectivity of the reduction products. At the potential of −0.7 V, the rate of acetylene consumption (rₐ) and geometric C₂H₂ increase of around 4.9%. Compared with other tested and reported catalysts, the Cu MPs in this work exhibits the highest FE of C₂H₂ and geometric C₂H₂ for the E-HAE (Fig. 2e, Supplementary Table 1). Moreover, by randomly connecting more electrolytic cells with adjusted flow rate to 2.4 mL/min, the conversion of acetylene can be further increased to 9.3% in a single-cell system, and to 17.6% in a double-cell system and 23.3% in a triple-cell system (Supplementary Fig. 12), where the reaction rate of acetylene can still reach 0.45 mmol cm⁻² h⁻¹ with ethylene selectivity of over 80% and ethylene Faradaic efficiency of around 50%. This exhibits the potential of the E-HAE process for industrial applications.

Long-term stability of the Cu catalyst was measured at the potential of −0.6 V vs. RHE. The FE of C₂H₂ can be well-maintained above 70% for over 100 h with a current density of around 12 mA cm⁻² (Supplementary Fig. 13). The activity loss may be due to partial blocking of active sites by the formed polyacetylene layer on the catalyst surface, as detected by TEM and Raman spectroscopy showing the feature peaks of polyacetylene at 1102 and 1492 cm⁻¹ (Supplementary Figs. 14 and 15).

Electronic property of the Cu catalyst. In-situ X-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) experiments were performed to investigate the electronic and geometric properties of the Cu catalyst. The reaction was carried out in a specially designed H-type cell (Fig. 3a and Supplementary Fig. 16). The profile of the X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) spectra of the Cu K-edge under different conditions all resemble that of the Cu foil with white lines slightly shifted to higher energies, thus indicating a mild oxidation state of the Cu catalyst which may be attributed to exposure of the catalyst to air (Fig. 3b). At cathodic potential of −0.6 V vs. RHE in Ar-saturated electrolyte, the white line shifts back to a lower energy in contrast to that at open-circuit potential (OCP), which indicates a reduction of the catalyst surface by the electrode potential. In C₂H₂-saturated electrolyte, the white line shifts again to a higher energy relative to those in the Ar-saturated electrolyte at −0.6 V or OCP (Fig. 3b), which was also observed and confirmed at other reaction potentials of −0.4 and −0.8 V (Supplementary Fig. 17). The DFT-simulated Cu K-edge XANES spectra also show that all the absorption edges of the surface Cu atoms on Cu(100), (110), and (111) shift to higher binding energies after the adsorption of C₂H₂ on the surfaces (Supplementary Fig. 18). This indicates that the C₂H₂ adsorption on the surface leads to increased oxidation state of the catalyst owing to the electron transfer from Cu to antibonding p orbital of the adsorbed C₂H₂ (CH₂H₂). This result is also confirmed by in-situ Raman spectroscopy characterizations at OCP (Fig. 3e), in which the significant peak at 1690 cm⁻¹ assigned to the carbon–carbon triple bond of C₂H₂ on the Cu surface in C₂H₂-saturated solution, is remarkably red-shifted relative to that of the gas phase C₂H₂. Thereby, the C–C bond of the C₂H₂ is likely weakened and activated due to the filling of antibonding orbital by the electrons transferred from Cu. The corresponding Fourier-transformed extended XAFS spectra in the R-space for the Cu MPs catalyst before and after the reaction, are almost identical and are quite similar with that for the Cu foil (Supplementary Fig. 19), thus indicating a high stability of the catalyst during the E-HAE process.

Reaction intermediates in the E-HAE. To investigate the reaction intermediates during the E-HAE, we carried out the in-situ attenuated total reflection-Fourier transform infrared (ATR-FTIR) spectroscopy characterizations, which is highly sensitive to surface species. Moreover, by tandemly connecting more electrolytic cells with adjusted flow rate to 2.4 mL/min, the reaction rate of acetylene can still reach 0.45 mmol cm⁻² h⁻¹ with ethylene selectivity of over 80% and ethylene Faradaic efficiency of around 50%. This exhibits the potential of the E-HAE process for industrial applications.

Understanding the reaction mechanism. DFT calculations were carried out to gain further insights into the active sites and the reaction mechanism of the E-HAE process over the Cu catalyst. Three crystal facets of Cu including (111), (100), and (110) were considered according to the XRD analysis (Supplementary Fig. 2). On all these surfaces, C₂H₂ prefers to be strongly adsorbed on the hollow sites with much higher adsorption free energies compared with hydrogen adsorption (Supplementary Fig. 21). Bader charge analysis shows a significant decrease in the valence electrons of the Cu atoms with an increase of up to 0.65 electrons on the C₂H₂, thus demonstrating the electron transfer from the Cu surface to the C₂H₂ which is in consistent with the experimental results as discussed above. The electron transfer can be more clearly visualized in the differential charge density of the adsorption structure (Fig. 4a), showing charge depletion areas around the Cu adsorption sites and charge accumulation areas around the C₂H₂ on the Cu surfaces. Considering the strong adsorption of C₂H₂, the surface coverage effect of C₂H₂ was included in studying the reaction mechanism. Equilibrium coverages of 1.0, 1.0, and 0.5 monolayer of C₂H₂ was obtained on the Cu(100), (110), and (111) surfaces, respectively, by calculating the adsorption free energy (ΔG_ads) of C₂H₂ on the gradually covered surfaces until no further adsorption is favorable (Fig. 4b, Supplementary Fig. 22). The electrochemical
The formation of surface H species (\( ^* \text{H} \)) on the \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_2 \)-covered surfaces is energetically unfavorable with \( \Delta G_{\text{ads}} \) of 0.56, 0.17, and 0.49 eV on the Cu(100), (110), and (111) surfaces, respectively (Fig. 4c). In addition, the formation process of \( ^* \text{H} \) via electron-coupled proton transfer from the water layer to the \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_2 \)-covered surfaces also requires high barriers of approximately 0.81, 1.84, and 0.97 eV on the Cu(100), (110), and (111) surfaces, respectively. These results demonstrate that \( ^* \text{H} \) can hardly be formed on the \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_2 \)-covered Cu surfaces, which could explain the poor HER activity at potentials above \(-0.6 \text{ V vs. RHE} \).
This also indicates that the \(*C_2H_2\) is not likely hydrogenated by surface \(^*H\), but rather via electron-coupled proton transfer from the water layer (Eq. 1), as also reflected by the pH effect on the overall Faradaic efficiencies for acetylene hydrogenation and HER, where a lower pH is more favorable for the hydrogenation of acetylene than the HER (Supplementary Fig. 23).

\[
*\text{C}_2\text{H}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + e^- \rightarrow *\text{C}_3\text{H}_3 + \text{OH}^- \quad (1)
\]

The free energy reaction pathways for the formation of \(*C_2H_4\) on the three Cu surfaces were calculated as shown in Fig. 4d and Supplementary Table 2. Hydrogenation of the \(*C_2H_2\) to \(*C_2H_3\) is the rate-limiting step on all the three surfaces with barriers of 0.79, 0.86, and 1.24 eV on the (100), (110), and (111) surfaces at 0 V vs. RHE, respectively. The highest barrier for the first hydrogenation step on the Cu(111) surface may be due to the much stronger adsorption of \(*C_2H_2\) on the surface (Fig. 4b). The second hydrogenation steps all proceed with relatively lower barriers and the generated \(*C_2H_4\) can be easily desorbed from the surfaces, which are kinetically more favorable than the further hydrogenation step via the electron-coupled proton transfer and thereby suppresses the excessive hydrogenation pathway. Formation of \(*C_4H_6\) was also investigated which involves both electrochemical hydrogenation steps and non-electrochemical carbon–carbon (C–C) coupling steps, and thus can occur in two pathways: coupling of \(*C_2H_3\) and \(*C_2H_2\) (First pathway) or two \(*C_2H_3\) (Second pathway) (Supplementary Figs. 24 and 25, Supplementary Tables 3 and 4). Both reaction pathways have relatively lower barriers on the Cu(100) surface, which should be due to the relatively weaker adsorption of reaction intermediates on the \(*C_2H_2\)-covered (100) surface.
The high selectivity of C₂H₄ against C₄H₆ is largely affected by the applied negative potential as shown in Fig. 2d, in contrast with the selectivity at 0 V vs. RHE. This is because the electrochemical hydrogenation steps involve significant electron transfer and are potential-dependent. The applied negative potentials lead to lowered work function of the surface, which could stabilize the transition states (TSs) and thereby reduce the energy barriers. At −0.6 V vs. RHE, the activation energies of the electrochemical hydrogenation steps are significantly reduced compared with those at 0 V, especially for the rate-limiting *C₂H₂* hydrogenation to *C₂H₄*, the activation energies of which on the (100) and (110) surfaces are more reduced and become even comparable with those of other steps (Fig. 4d, Supplementary Figs. 24 and 25, Supplementary Tables 2–4). Therefore, by adjusting the electrode potential, the potential-dependent electrochemical hydrogenation steps can be promoted over the potential-independent non-electrochemical C–C coupling steps, thereby increasing the selectivity of C₂H₄ on the Cu catalyst.

In conclusion, we reported a highly efficient electrocatalytic process for acetylene hydrogenation using the Cu catalyst at room temperature with ambient pressure. By adjusting the electrode potential as well as using the GDL to promote mass transfer, a highest FE of 83.2% was reached at −0.6 V vs. RHE, and a higher geometric J of 26.7 mA cm⁻² was reached at −0.7 V, which are better than those of other tested and previously reported catalysts. Moreover, during the 100-h stability test, the Cu catalyst shows a good performance. The in-situ characterizations and DFT calculations confirm that electron transfer from the Cu surface to the acetylene enhances the adsorption of acetylene against hydrogen, which suppresses the HER while promoting the E-HAE through the electron-coupled proton transfer mechanism. The E-HAE process reported in this work provides a new prospect for developing energy-efficient and eco-friendly ways of ethylene production.

**Methods**

**Preparation of catalysts.** All chemicals were used without further purification. Ethylene glycol (EG) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Copper sulfate pentahydrate (CuSO₄·5H₂O), ascorbic acid (AA), NaOH, NaCl, ethanol, and polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP) K-30 were bought from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. Pt/C was produced by Johnson Matthey. Pd/C was bought from J&K Scientific Ltd. The TiO₂(P25) was purchased from Degussa. Active carbon was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The Cu plate was purchased from Shichun Co. The characterizations of catalysts were presented in Supplementary Figs. 26–29.

To synthesize the Cu MPs, 125 mg CuSO₄·5H₂O and 200 mg PVP were dissolved in a flask containing 20 mL EG. Then 0.2 ml 1 M NaCl EG solution was added into the flask. Then the mixture was preheated to 100 °C. Next, another mixture of 1 mL 1 M NaOH and 2.5 mL 0.5 M AA EG solution was injected into the flask for 10 min. Then the mixture was heated for 40 min in 100 °C. Then the Cu MPs were cleaned using a mixture of alcohol and water by centrifuge. Finally, the Cu MPs were kept in alcohol in the freezer of a refrigerator.

The CuO was synthesized by heating the Cu MPs at 500 °C for 1 h under 20% O₂/Ar (Supplementary Fig. 30). The Mo₅S₉ catalyst was synthesized by using our previously reported method (Supplementary Fig. 31). Typically, 900 mg (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄·4H₂O was dissolved in 20 mL deionized water. Then, this solution and 10 mL CS₂ were sealed in a 40 mL stainless-steel autoclave under Ar protection and maintained at 400 °C for 4 h. The Cu plate was cut into “L” shape with a 1 × 1 cm² square and a 0.5 × 1 cm² bar. The Cu plate was polished by silicon carbide papers, followed by alumina polishing powder (1 μm, 0.05 μm).

**Characterization.** SEM characterizations were conducted on Hitachi S4800. TEM measurements were conducted on the FEI Tecnai F20 and FEI talos F200X microscopes operated at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. To prepare the TEM sample, 100 μL Cu MPs ink was dispersed in 3 mL ethanol and treated with ultrasonic for 0.5 h; then the solution was dropped on a Cu net for TEM and then dried by a heating light for 10 min. The XRD measurements were carried out on a Rigaku Ultima IV diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation at 40 kV and 30 mA.

**E-HAE reaction performance test.** The 4 mg catalysts mixed with 9 μL NaCl solution (5 wt%, Du Pont) and dip-coated on carbon paper with the GDL-CP (AvCarb GD3250 with micro-porous layer) were used as the working electrode.

The carbon paper was cut into an “L” shape with a 1 × 1 cm² square and a 0.5 × 1 cm² bar (Supplementary Fig. 32a, c). Totally, 4 mg Cu MPs were dispensed in 0.5 mL ethanol and were dispersed on the 1 × 1 cm² square part, which was dried under a heating light (Supplementary Fig. 32b, d). The electrode is fixed by the electrode holder via the bar. Only the 1 × 1 cm² square part of carbon paper with catalysts was immersed in the electrolyte. New working electrodes were prepared for every electrochemical measurement. The electrode was kept in a vacuum drier before use. Alkaline aqueous solutions with KOH concentration of 0.1, 1, and 3 M were used as the electrolyte. The electrocatalytic performances were measured on a CHI 660e with a H-type electrochemical cell equipped with a flow-controlling device. The anode and cathode electrode chambers were divided by the NaI–NaIO₃ membrane. The reference electrode is the Hg/HgO electrode filled with 1 M KOH. All potentials were measured against the Hg/HgO (filled with 1 M KOH), which were then converted to be versus the RHE by the equation U (vs. RHE) = U (vs. Hg/HgO, 1 M KOH) + 0.098 V + 0.059 ph. The potential of the Hg/HgO electrode relative to the RHE was 0.924 V. The Pt net was used as the counter electrode. Before the test, the cyclic voltammetry (−0.1 to −0.6 V vs. RHE, 0.5 V s⁻¹) was carried out in the Ar-saturated solution. During the test, the acetylene was kept bubbling into the cell. In a typical experiment, the reaction at each potential was carried out for 2 h before analyzing the products. For the analysis with iR compensation, a 90% iR compensation was used. The R for iR correction was determined by impedance measurements.

The diameter of the RDE is 5 mm. The surface area of the electrode is 0.196 cm². The surface of glassy carbon was polished by alumina polishing powder (0.05 μm). Totally, 2 mg Cu MPs was suspended in 0.5 mL ethanol with 10 μL NaI–NaIO₃ solution to form a homogeneous ink by ultrasound. Then 25 μL of the ink was drop-casted onto the surface of glassy carbon. The rotation rate is 2300 rpm during the tests.

ECAs of the Cu MPs catalyst were measured by using the Pb underpotential deposition method in solution with 10 mM Pb(ClO₄)₂ and 0.1 M HClO₄. N₂ was kept bubbling into the system to avoid the effect of O₂. The 1 × 1 cm² square part of the electrode was immersed in the solution. The cyclic voltammetry test was carried out at −0.375 to −0.005 V vs. Ag/AgCl at 100 mV s⁻¹. The non-reducible voltammograms were obtained. The number of charges consumed for the Pb atom was determined by integrating the anodic shadow area as shown in Supplementary Fig. 8. A conversion factor of 310 μC cm⁻² was used for Pb oxidation.

**In-situ XANES.** XANES spectra were measured at the BL14W1 beamline of the Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility. The specially designed H-type cell was used as the electrolytic cell. The electrode settings and reaction conditions were kept as those in the reaction performance tests. In situ XANES spectra was collected at OCP after bubbling Ar or acetylene for 20 min. The gas was kept bubbling into the cell during the measurements. The potential was set at −0.6 V vs. RHE for 10 min before recording the XANES spectra.

**In-situ Raman.** Raman spectra were measured with a XploRA confocal microprobe Raman system (HORIBA JobinYvon) equipped with a 50x microscope objective. The working electrode was glassy carbon loaded with Cu MPs. The Hg/HgO electrode (filled with 1 M KOH) and the Pt wire were used as the reference electrode and the counter electrode, respectively. 1 M KOH solution was used as the electrolyte. A laser with a wavelength of 637 nm was used as the source. The power of the laser was about 6 mW. A Si wafer was used to calibrate Raman frequencies. The collection time of each spectrum was over 15 s. Each spectrum was obtained as an average of twice measurements.

**In-situ ATR-FTIR.** A Nexus-870 spectrometer was used to measure the ATR-FTIR with an MCT-A detector with an MCT-A detector. The temperature of detector was controlled using liquid nitrogen. The basal plane of a hemicylindrical Si prism beveled at 60° was deposited on Au film using a chemical method. The catalyst ink was dripped onto the Au film polished by an electrochemical way. 0.1 M KOH solution was used as the electrolyte. The electrode settings and reaction conditions were kept as those in the reaction performance tests. The acetylene was constantly introduced into the cell during the test. The reference spectra were collected at OCP.

**Products analysis.** The gas-phase products were analyzed by using gas chromatography with Ar as the carrier gas. The GC-2060 was equipped with an Al₂O₃/Na₂SO₄ column (Kromat 50 m × 0.35 mm × 20.00 μm) on a flame ionization detector which can detect hydrogen products. The TDX column was equipped in the GC with a thermal conductivity detector which can detect H₂. The chromatograph was equipped with a ten-port valve and sample injection loop. During the experiment, the ten-port valve is periodically switched between Position A and Position B (Supplementary Fig. 33). In Position A, the outgoing gas passes through the sample loops and then is vented out. When the ten-ports valve is switched to Position B, the carrier gas brings the sample gas in the sample loops into the chromatograph (two columns for TCD and FID, respectively) for analyzing the products. This makes sure that the volume of gas is injected into the chromatogram in each analysis. The GC measurements were carried out after running the reaction for 2 h.
A typical GC spectrum is shown in Supplementary Fig. 34. The Faradaic efficiency is calculated using the following equation.

\[ \text{FE}_{\text{product}} = \frac{S_{\text{product}}}{n} \times \frac{nF \rho \times 1}{Q} \]  

where, \( S_{\text{product}} \) is the area of products on the GC spectrum, \( n \) is the percentage conversion factor based on standard gas, which converts the peak area to the volume percentage of a single product. \( v \) is the gas flow rate, \( t \) is the reaction time, \( n_{\text{H}} \) is the number of charges transfer from reactants to products per mole, \( F \) is Faraday’s constant 96,485 C mol\(^{-1}\). \( Q \) is the total number of charges applied into the system. 

For the experiments, \( v = 4.8 \text{ mL min}^{-1} = 0.8 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}; t = 2 \text{ h} = 7200 \text{ s}. \)

In the experiments with tandemly connected cells, \( v \) is adjusted to 2.4 mL min\(^{-1}\).

\[ \text{FE}_{\text{product}} = \text{FE}_{\text{product}} \times \frac{n}{j} \]  

\[ \text{FE}_{\text{product}} = \text{FE}_{\text{product}} \times \frac{n}{j} \]  

\[ \text{where} \quad \text{FE}_{\text{product}} \quad \text{is the Faradaic efficiency of a specific product of the electrochemical acetylene hydrogenation,} \quad \text{FE}_{\text{product}} \quad \text{is the Faradaic efficiency of ethylene formation,} \quad \text{and} \quad j \quad \text{is the overall current density.} \]

The acetylene reaction rate per unit area \( (\text{r}_{\text{ac}}) \) was calculated from the formation rates of products using the following equation:

\[ \text{r}_{\text{ac}} = \sum_{\text{products}} \frac{n_{\text{products}} \times j \times \text{FE}_{\text{product}}}{t} \]  

\[ \text{where} \quad n_{\text{products}} \quad \text{is the moles of acetylene consumed to produce one mole of a specific product,} \quad j \quad \text{is the overall current density,} \quad \text{FE}_{\text{product}} \quad \text{is the Faradaic efficiency of a specific product,} \quad t \quad \text{is reaction time (2 h),} \quad \text{FE}_{\text{product}} \quad \text{is the moles of transferred charges for producing one mole of a specific product,} \quad F \quad \text{is Faraday’s constant 96,485 C mol}^{-1}. \]

Meanwhile, none of liquid phase product was detected by nuclear magnetic resonance (Supplementary Fig. 35).

**Computational details.** First-principles periodic calculations were carried out with the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package based on DFT methods \(^{15,36}\). The Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof generalized gradient approach \(^{17,28}\) was used to define the exchange-correlation potential. The interaction between the atomic cores and electrons was described using the projector augmented wave potential \(^{19,40}\). The surface brillouin zone was sampled at 1 \( x \times 1 \times 1 \) k-point. A 400 eV energy cutoff and the force convergence criterion for each relaxed atom below 0.02 eV/Å were used.

Simulation Environment by determining the highest energy point along the theoretical level using Fixed Bond Length method as implemented in the Atomic Simulation Environment by determining the highest energy point along the potential energy surface \(^{43}\), and were checked with imaginary vibrational frequencies. The Cu surfaces were built as a four-layer slab in a 6 \( \times \) 6 supercell with the force convergence criterion for each relaxed atom below 0.02 eV/Å were used. In addition, free energy corrections were considered to obtain the adsorption free energy \( (\Delta E_{\text{ads}}) \) and activation free energy \( (\Delta G_{\text{act}}) \) using the expression below:

\[ \Delta E_{\text{ads}} = E_{\text{adsorbate-surface}} - E_{\text{surface}} - E_{\text{adsorbate}} \]  

where \( E_{\text{adsorbate-surface}}, E_{\text{surface}}, \text{and} \ E_{\text{adsorbate}} \) are the energies of the optimized surface containing the adsorbate, the optimized clean surface and the optimized adsorbate in the gas phase, respectively. In addition, free energy corrections were considered to obtain the adsorption free energy \( (\Delta E_{\text{ads}}) \) and activation free energy \( (\Delta G_{\text{act}}) \) using the expression as follows:

\[ \Delta G_{\text{ads}} = \Delta E_{\text{ads}} + \Delta E_{\text{ZPE}} + \Delta U_{\text{vb}} - T\Delta S \]  

\[ \Delta G_{\text{act}} = \Delta G_{\text{ads}} + \Delta E_{\text{ZPE}} + \Delta U_{\text{vb}} \]  

where \( \Delta E_{\text{ads}} \) is the 0 K adsorption energy, \( \Delta E_{\text{act}} \) is the 0 K activation energy, \( \Delta E_{\text{ZPE}} \) represents the change in the zero-point vibrational energy, \( \Delta U_{\text{vb}} \) represents the change in the vibrational part of the internal energy, and \( \Delta S \) represents the change in the entropy, for the adsorption of the adsorbates or between the Ts and initial states at room temperature \( (T = 298 \text{ K}) \). The calculated vibrational corrections and frequencies are shown in Supplementary Tables 3–17. The surface coverage in ML was defined as

\[ \theta = N_{\text{C}}/N_{\text{Cu}} \]

**Data availability**

The data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its Supplementary Information. The optimized atomic positions of the reaction intermediates and transition states are available in the figshare repository (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14184857). Source data are provided with this paper. Additional data are available from the corresponding authors on reasonable request.
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