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Abstract: Medial patellofemoral ligament (MPFL) reconstruction usually involves hamstring autografts and is associated with donor-site morbidity. Excellent short-term results with MPFL reconstruction using synthetic materials have been reported. Although synthetics do not sacrifice autologous tissues, their material properties are significantly different from those of biological tissues. Therefore, accurate surgical procedures are required to achieve excellent results. The purpose of this report was to describe our surgical method for MPFL reconstruction using FiberTape and knotless SwiveLock anchors.

The medial patellofemoral ligament (MPFL) is the primary ligamentous stabilizer that prevents lateral patella dislocations. Therefore, MPFL reconstructions are a logical treatment approach for lateral patellar instability, and the clinical results are excellent with a low re-dislocation rate. Although autografts, such as hamstring tendons, are most commonly used for MPFL reconstruction, they have the disadvantage of donor-site morbidity. Synthetic materials do not sacrifice autologous tissues and provide excellent mid-term results. However, synthetics have not become popular as an alternative graft option.

Recently, the use of polyester suture tape (FiberTape; Arthrex, North Naples, FL) with knotless anchors (SwiveLock; Arthrex) for MPFL reconstruction has been reported. FiberTape with SwiveLock anchors has stronger ultimate load than a hamstring tendon with soft anchors; however, it stiffness is significantly different from that of autologous tissue. This is both an advantage and a disadvantage in MPFL reconstruction. One of the possible complications of synthetics is an overconstrained patellofemoral (PF) joint, which may result in prolonged postoperative rehabilitation, anterior knee pain, and degenerative change of the PF joint. The purpose of this Technical Note was to describe MPFL reconstruction using FiberTape and SwiveLock anchors in detail.

Technique

Surgical Indication

Patients with lateral patellar instability but without severe bony anomalies are good candidates for this procedure. Patients with high-grade trochlear dysplasia (Dejour type D), severe PF osteochondral damage, and skeletal immaturity are contraindicated. Bony anomalies, such as patella alta and increased tibial tubercle–trochlear groove distance (>25 mm), may necessitate concomitant bony procedures in addition to the MPFL reconstruction.

Examination Under Anesthesia and Lateral Retinacular Release

Examination under anesthesia is routinely performed to assess patellar tracking and lateral retinacular tightness. Then, diagnostic arthroscopy is performed to assess patellar tracking and intra-articular lesions. If necessary, any concomitant lesions are treated. If lateral retinacular tightness is present, lateral retinacular release (LRR) is performed. We prefer to perform LRR using Metzenbaum scissors from the anterolateral portal. After LRR, patellar tracking and improvement of lateral tilt are confirmed.
Medial Patellofemoral Ligament Reconstruction
(With Video Illustration)

A demonstration of the surgical procedure is provided in Video 1. The patient is placed in the supine position, and the knee is maintained at 90° of flexion (figure-4 position) (Fig 1A). Precise lateral view of the knee joint is confirmed using an image intensifier. The MPFL femoral insertion is radiographically found anterior to the intersection of the posterior femoral cortical line and the posterior margin of the medial femoral condyle.

Fig 1. Patient position and skin incisions. (A) The patient is placed in the supine position, and the knee (left knee) is maintained at 90° of flexion (figure-4 position). (B) Two skin incisions are needed (anteromedial side of the left knee): the first incision is a 2-to 3-cm straight longitudinal incision on the medial border of the patella (arrowheads), and the second is a short incision over the medial femoral epicondyle (arrow).

Fig 2. Identification of the MPFL layer (left knee, medial view). (A) The medial retinaculum (the first layer) is incised, and the VMO is identified. (B) Anatomy of the MPFL. The MPFL is located in this second layer. (AD, abductor tubercle; GC, medial gastrocnemius insertion; ME, medial epicondyle; MPFL, medial patellofemoral ligament; VMO, vastus medialis obliquus.)
or anterior to the posterior cortex of the femur and proximal to Blumensaat’s line, which is identified as Schöttle’s point.1

For this procedure, 2 skin incisions are needed (Fig 1B). A 2- to 3-cm straight longitudinal skin incision is made on the medial border of the patella. Then, the medial retinaculum (the first layer) is also incised, and the vastus medialis obliquus (VMO) is identified (Fig 2A and B). The periosteum of the medial side of the patella is cut, and the medial edge is exposed. A second short incision is made over the medial epicondyle, and a subcutaneous tunnel is created between the first and second incisions. The MPFL is located in this second layer.

Through the first incision, 2 blind tunnels are prepared for a 3.5-mm SwiveLock anchor by predrilling and tapping as per the manufacturer’s technical instructions (Fig 3 A and B). The central portion of FiberTape is fixed with two 3.5-mm SwiveLock anchors on the medial side of the patella. The fixation points are proximal to the quadrisept and distal to the middle of the medial edge of the patella.
Through the second incision, a 2.4-mm Kirschner wire is inserted into Schöttle’s point. This point is confirmed under imaging guidance using the MPFL guide (JBM0200-01/2; BEAR Medic Corp., Tokyo, Japan) (Fig 4). The 2 free ends of the FiberTape are tunneled extra-articularly (second layer) from the first to the second incision and temporally fixed around the pin by a Kocher clamp. The isometry of the FiberTape and patellar tracking are checked by going through the full range of motion. If the pin position is appropriate, the 2 free ends of the FiberTape are passed through the eyelet of the SwiveLock anchor. The depth of the FiberTape position is marked at 60° to 90° of knee flexion (Fig 5A). If there is no isometric difference between flexion at 60° and 90°, 90° of flexion is recommended, as the patella is stable in the patellar groove. Then, a temporal suture is placed on the FiberTape to prevent movement within the eyelet and is fixed at the thumb holder (Fig 5B). The Kirschner wire is overdrilled using a 4.0- or 4.5-mm cannulated drill to a depth of 25 mm. The FiberTape is fixed using 4.75-mm knotless anchor on the femoral side while the patella is maintained in the center of the patellar groove (Fig 6).

Fig 5. Determination of FiberTape length (left knee, medial view). (A) The depth of the FiberTape position is marked with the knee at 60° of flexion and then at 90° of flexion. (B) A temporal suture is placed on the FiberTape to prevent movement within the eyelet.

Fig 6. FiberTape fixation at the femur (left knee, medial view). The 2 free ends of the FiberTape are fixed with a 4.75-mm knotless anchor on the femoral side while the patella is kept in the center of the patellar groove.

Fig 7. Suturing of the periosteum with FiberTape (left knee, medial view). The excised periosteum (arrows) is tightly sutured with no. 2-0 VICRYL covering FiberTape (arrowheads) to ensure biological fixation.
Patients without severe bony abnormalities are good candidates. Overtensioning of the FiberTape increases PFJ pressure, which results in PFJ pain and prolonged postoperative rehabilitation. Thus, it is crucial to avoid overtensioning during MPFL reconstruction.

In conclusion, the FiberTape and SwiveLock anchors provide a reliable and efficient option for MPFL reconstruction. They offer several advantages over traditional methods, including minimal incision, no graft harvest, strong initial fixation, and facilitating postoperative rehabilitation. However, it is important to note that overtensioning can lead to PFJ pain and prolonged postoperative rehabilitation. Therefore, careful attention to technique and patient selection is necessary to achieve optimal outcomes.

**Table 2. Pearls and Pitfalls of MPFL Reconstruction Using FiberTape and Knotless SwiveLock Anchors**

| Pearls | Pitfalls |
|--------|----------|
| Patients without severe bony abnormalities are good candidates. | Overtensioning of the FiberTape increases PFJ pressure, which results in PFJ pain and prolonged postoperative rehabilitation. |
| Use of an image intensifier is recommended for precise femoral placement. | Nonanatomic MPFL femoral placement is a risk factor for unsuccessful surgery. |
| A temporal suture should be placed over the FiberTape to prevent movement within the eyelet of the SwiveLock anchor. | |
| The FiberTape should be fixed at 60° to 90° of knee flexion without tensioning. | |

MPFL, medial patellofemoral ligament; PFJ, patellofemoral joint.
fixed at 60° and 90° remained at the same level as the intact knees at all knee flexion angles. FiberTape fixed by SwiveLock anchors was stiffer than native MPFL. Therefore, the suture tape should be fixed at >60° of knee flexion to avoid excessive PF joint contact pressure.

The femoral positioning of the graft and graft tension are critical steps for the overall outcome of MPFL reconstruction. A small displacement of the femoral fixation point dramatically changes the force and pressure to the medial PF cartilage. We have been performing postoperative 3-dimensional computed tomography, and there has been no malpositioning in our series (Fig 8). Since SwiveLock fixation does not need an oblique femoral tunnel like ENDOBUTTON fixation, a guidewire can be perpendicularly inserted into the femoral tunnel under image control. This leads to accurate graft placement, which is an advantage of this technique. Patellar fracture is one of the complications to avoid. Two small blind tunnels for 3.5-mm SwiveLock anchors may not decrease the strength of the patella and prevent patella fracture, and this is another advantage of this technique.

There are some limitations to this procedure. Since synthetics cannot be replaced by autologous tissue, the long-term effects on the knee joint are unknown. According to a mid-term follow-up study reported by Nomura et al., MPFL reconstruction using synthetics is safe and achieves favorable results. Since the FiberTape fixed by SwiveLock anchors was stiffer than the native MPFL, minor malpositioning is a risk factor for technical failure. Further clinical studies are necessary to determine the long-term results of this procedure.
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