Virtual Screening and Optimization of Novel mTOR Inhibitors for Radiosensitization of Hepatocellular Carcinoma

**Background:** Radiotherapy has an ameliorative effect on a wide variety of tumors, but hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is insensitive to this treatment. Overactivated mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) plays an important part in the resistance of HCC to radiotherapy; thus, mTOR inhibitors have potential as novel radiosensitizers to enhance the efficacy of radiotherapy for HCC.

**Methods:** A lead compound was found based on pharmacophore modeling and molecular docking, and optimized according to the differences between the ATP-binding pockets of mTOR and PI3K. The radiosensitizing effect of the optimized compound (2a) was confirmed by colony formation assays and DNA double-strand break assays in vitro. The discovery and preclinical characteristics of this compound are described.

**Results:** The key amino acid residues in mTOR were identified, and a precise virtual screening model was constructed. Compound 2a, with a 4,7-dihydro-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine scaffold, exhibited promising potency against mTOR (mTOR IC$_{50}$=7.1 nM) with 126-fold selectivity over PI3K. Moreover, 2a significantly enhanced the sensitivity of HCC to radiotherapy in vitro in a dose-dependent manner.

**Conclusion:** A new class of selective mTOR inhibitors was developed and their radiosensitization effects were confirmed. This study also provides a basis for developing mTOR-specific inhibitors for use as radiosensitizers for HCC radiotherapy.
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**Introduction**

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), a primary liver malignancy, is the third leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide. Many HCCs are diagnosed at an advanced stage and so can only be treated conservatively. Radiotherapy, a non-invasive therapy that can selectively destroy various tumor tissues, is a promising strategy for HCC treatment. However, it has been reported that advanced HCC is usually insensitive to ionizing radiation (IR); moreover, the liver can only safely endure IR doses of 90 Gy or less, which is not sufficient for cancer control. Therefore, it is necessary to develop new strategies to enhance radiotherapeutic efficacy in treating HCC.

mTOR is a serine/threonine protein kinase with a molecular weight of approximately 280 kDa. mTORC1 and mTORC2 are two multiprotein complexes of mTOR, comprising of discrete protein and partners. They play an important part in multiple signaling pathways and affects a wide range of physiological functions,
including cell growth, proliferation, protein synthesis, autophagy, metabolism, and survival. Overactive mTOR is one of the causes of cell over-proliferation and malignant transformation, and inhibition of mTOR has anti-tumor, immunosuppressive, and anti-aging effects.

Reported mTOR inhibitors include selective mTOR inhibitors and mTOR/PI3K dual inhibitors. Wye-125132, Torin1, and way-600 are classic selective mTOR inhibitors. However, nearly all researches using selective mTOR inhibitors alone for anti-tumor treatment are only in clinical stage I or II until now. Some studies have shown that use of selective mTOR inhibitors alone has a limited inhibitory effect on tumors because of the compensatory effect of certain pathways, especially in the treatment of HCC. Although the anti-tumor effects of these inhibitors are better than those of selective mTOR inhibitors, the inhibition of two important pathways, mTOR and PI3K, usually leads to significant side effects. Therefore, development of mTOR/PI3K dual inhibitors has also been relatively slow in recent years.

Selective mTOR inhibitors have been shown to enhance the radiosensitivity of cancer cells. There have been some reports of the use of mTOR inhibitors in radiotherapy. For example, Liu et al and Wang et al studied the sensitization effects of PI3K/mTOR dual inhibitors in pancreatic cancer and glioma cancer, and Kahn et al and Hayman et al reported that selective mTOR inhibitors could enhance the sensitivity of pancreatic carcinoma and glioblastoma cells to radiotherapy. However, there have been few studies of small-molecule selective mTOR inhibitors in radiosensitization of HCC. This may be because selective mTOR inhibitors were previously thought to be ineffective in the treatment of HCC, based on the few reports published in this field. Thus, we decided to focus on this question in the present work.

In addition to converting the application fields, discovering compounds with diverse structures is another approach to developing mTOR inhibitors. In this study, based on 2a (with a 4,7-dihydro-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine scaffold), we describe the identification and characterization of a new type of mTOR inhibitor and the process of optimizing the lead compound. A highly aggressive HCC cell line, MHCC97-H, was used as an in vitro tumor model, and 60Co-γ IR was used to treat MHCC97-H cells to mimic radiotherapy for HCC.

### Materials and Methods

#### Chemistry

**General Procedure for the Preparation of 1a–1d, 2a–2j, and 3a–3h**

Methyl 3-((7-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-5-Methyl-6-((4-Methylpyridin-3-yl) Carbamoyl)- 4,7-Dihydro-[1,2,4]Triazolo[1,5-a]Pyrimidin-2-yl)Thio)Propanoate (2a)

Step 1: Preparation of methyl 3-((5-amino-1H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)propanoate (Intermediate 1)

Methyl 3-bromopropanoate (14.36 g, 0.086 mol) was dissolved in absolute ethyl alcohol (40 mL) and set aside. NaOH (3.44 g, 0.086 mol) was dissolved in 200 mL purified water in a 500-mL reactor and stirred until completely dissolved. 3-amino-5-mercapto-1,2,4-triazole (10.00 g, 0.086 mol) was added and stirred. After 30 min, the solution of methyl 3-bromopropanoate and ethyl alcohol was added. The mixture was reacted overnight at room temperature. Upon completion, the product was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with saturated salt water, and dried over Na2SO4. Methyl 3-((5-amino-1H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)propanoate (11.65 g, yield: 67%) was obtained by reduced pressure distillation.

Step 2: Preparation of N-(5-methylpyridin-3-yl)-3-oxobutanamide (Intermediate 2)

5-methylpyridin-3-amine (10.00 g, 0.092 mol) was mixed with 200 mL methylbenzene in a 500-mL reactor and stirred until completely dissolved. Then, ethyl acetocetate (11.97 g, 0.092 mol) was added to the reactor. The reaction mixture was heated to 100°C for 10 h, left to stand overnight at room temperature, then precipitated. The slurry was filtered, and the filter cake was rinsed with benzinum and collected. The product was vacuum dried at 40°C for 30 min to obtain N-(5-methylpyridin-3-yl)-3-oxobutanamide (13.26 g, yield: 75%).

Step 3: Preparation of compound methyl 3-((7-(3-methoxyphenyl)-5-methyl-6-((5-methylpyridin-3-yl)carbamoyl)- 4,7-dihydro-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-2-yl)thio)propanoate (2a)

N-(5-methylpyridin-3-yl)-3-oxobutanamide (5.00 g, 0.026 mol) was mixed with absolute ethyl alcohol (80 mL) in a 500-mL reactor and stirred until completely dissolved. 3-methoxybenzaldehyde (3.54 g, 0.026 mol) and methyl 3-((5-amino-1H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)thio)propanoate (5.26 g, 0.026 mol) were subsequently added into the reactor. The mixture was heated to 80°C for 3 h, and white precipitate appeared. The slurry was filtered, and the filter cake was rinsed with absolute ethyl alcohol (3×50 mL) and collected. The
reaction product was vacuum evaporated at 40°C for 20 min to obtain compound 2a (5.40 g, yield: 42%). m.p.: 221.7–222.4°C. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) δ 10.39 (s, 1 H), 9.87 (s, 1H), 8.47 (d, $J=2.3$ Hz, 1H), 8.11–8.05 (m, 1H), 7.81 (d, $J=2.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.25 (t, $J=7.9$ Hz, 1H), 6.85 (ddd, $J=8.3, 2.6, 0.9$ Hz, 1H), 6.83–6.71 (m, 2H), 6.50–6.44 (m, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.60 (s, 3H), 3.17 (td, $J=7.0, 2.2$ Hz, 2H), 2.73 (t, $J=6.6$ Hz, 2H), 2.21 (d, $J=24.9$ Hz, 6H).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) δ 172.12, 165.61, 159.72, 157.85, 149.07, 145.08, 142.41, 138.81, 137.53, 135.70, 133.26, 130.26, 127.22, 119.55, 113.55, 113.50, 103.87, 60.44, 55.47, 51.99, 34.45, 26.57, 18.34, 18.31, 17.82. MS (ESI) m/z: 495.57 [M+H]$^+$. Anal. C$_{24}$H$_{26}$N$_2$O$_4$S: C 58.29, H 5.30, N 16.99. Found: C 58.32, H 5.30, N 17.02. (NMR data for all newly synthesized compounds can be found in the Supplementary Material).

Methyl 3-((7-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-5-Methyl-(Pyridin-3-yl) Carbamoyl)-4,7-Dihydro-[1,2,4]Triazolo[1,5-a]Pyrimidin-2-yl)Thio)Propanoate (1c)

The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure to give a white power. (total yield: 20.3%). m.p.: 229.5–229.2°C. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) δ 10.40 (s, 1H), 9.94 (s, 1H), 8.68 (d, $J=2.5$ Hz, 1H), 8.23 (dd, $J=4.7, 1.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.94 (ddd, $J=8.4, 2.6, 1.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.34–7.20 (m, 2H), 6.85 (ddd, $J=8.3, 2.6, 0.9$ Hz, 1H), 6.83–6.71 (m, 2H), 6.49 (s, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.18 (td, $J=7.0, 2.1$ Hz, 2H), 2.73 (t, $J=6.9$ Hz, 2H), 2.19 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) δ 172.11, 165.63, 159.74, 157.87, 149.08, 144.75, 142.42, 141.61, 137.65, 136.07, 130.25, 126.96, 123.98, 119.52, 113.58, 113.47, 103.82, 60.43, 55.47, 51.97, 34.46, 26.58, 17.83. MS (ESI) m/z: 481.52 [M+H]$^+$. Anal. C$_{23}$H$_{24}$N$_3$O$_4$S: C 57.49, H 5.03, N 17.49. Found: C 57.45, H 5.02, N 17.47.

7-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-5-Methyl-N-(Pyridin-3-yl)-2-(Pyridin-4-yl)Methyl)Thio)-4,7-Dihydro-[1,2,4]Triazolo [1,5-a]Pyrimidin-6-Carboxamide (1d)

The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure to give a white power. (total yield: 7.6%). m.p.: 223.2–233.4°C. $^1$H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) δ 10.34 (s, 1H), 9.90 (s, 1H), 8.68 (s, 1H), 8.24 (d, $J=4.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.94 (d, $J=8.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.30 (dd, $J=8.4, 4.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.25 (t, $J=8.0$ Hz, 1H), 6.85 (d, $J=8.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.79 (d, $J=7.7$ Hz, 1H), 6.74(s, 1H), 6.48 (s, 1H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 3.37 (t, $J=6.3$ Hz, 2H), 3.01 (h, $J=7.0, 6.5$ Hz, 2H), 2.19 (s, 3H), 1.84 (p, $J=6.8$ Hz, 2H). $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) δ 165.65, 159.72, 158.30, 149.01, 144.73, 142.52, 141.60, 137.70, 136.08, 130.24, 126.95, 123.98, 119.51, 113.49, 103.79, 70.65, 60.40, 58.28, 55.47, 29.74, 28.30, 17.83. MS (ESI) m/z: 467.69 [M+H]$^+$. Anal. C$_{23}$H$_{26}$N$_2$O$_4$S: C 59.21, H 5.62, N 18.01. Found: C 59.25, H 5.64, N 18.05.
Methyl 3-((6-((3-Fluorophenyl)Carbamoyl)-7-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-5-Methyl-4,7-Dihydro-[1,2,4]Triazolo[1,5-a]Pyrimidin-2-yl)Thio)Propanoate (2b)

The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure to give a white power. (total yield: 11.3%). m.p.: 229.8–231.6°C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 10.43 (s, 1H), 10.07 (s, 1H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.68 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.84 (dd, J = 8.2, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.81–6.71 (m, 2H), 6.49 (s, 1H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.60 (s, 3H), 2.73 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.17 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 172.11, 165.45, 163.69, 161.29, 159.72, 157.83, 149.09, 142.38, 141.21, 141.10, 137.34, 130.76, 130.66, 130.24, 119.54, 115.63, 113.55, 113.49, 110.32, 110.11, 106.78, 106.52, 104.07, 60.47, 55.45, 51.97, 34.46, 26.57, 17.79. MS (ESI) m/z: 498.42 [M+H]⁺. Anal. C₂₄H₂₃FN₄O₅S: C 57.94, H 4.86, N 14.08. Found: C 57.59, H 4.87, N 14.11.

Methyl 3-((7-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-6-((4-Methoxyphenyl)Carbamoyl)-7-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-5-Methyl-4,7-Dihydro-[1,2,4]Triazolo[1,5-a]Pyrimidin-2-yl)Thio)Propanoate (2c)

The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure to give a white power. (total yield: 6.9%). m.p.: 254.3–256.1°C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 10.26 (s, 1H), 9.61 (s, 1H), 7.47–7.38 (m, 2H), 7.24 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.89–6.80 (m, 3H), 6.81–6.70 (m, 2H), 6.43 (s, 1H), 3.69 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, 6H), 3.60 (s, 3H), 3.17 (td, J = 7.0, 2.6 Hz, 2H), 2.77–2.66 (m, 2H), 2.18–2.13 (m, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 172.12, 164.74, 159.70, 157.71, 155.83, 149.22, 142.45, 136.14, 132.49, 130.15, 121.63, 119.58, 114.21, 113.51, 113.48, 104.50, 60.59, 55.64, 55.47, 51.97, 34.47, 26.57, 17.70. MS (ESI) m/z: 510.67 [M+H]⁺. Anal. C₂₄H₂₃FN₄O₅S: C 59.89, H 5.34, N 13.74. Found: C 59.89, H 5.33, N 13.72.

Methyl 3-((6-(5-Methylpyridin-3-yl)-4,7-Dihydro-[1,2,4]Triazolo[1,5-a]Pyrimidine-6-Carboxamide (2f)

The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure to give a white power. (total yield: 16.7%). m.p.: 18.34, 17.81. MS (ESI) m/z: 522.24 [M+H]⁺. Anal. C₂₅H₂₅FN₄O₅S: C 59.87, H 5.22, N 13.43. Found: C 59.89, H 5.33, N 13.72.
23.1%). m.p.: 227.3–238.9°C. 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 10.36 (s, 1H), 9.93 (s, 1H), 7.55–7.46 (m, 1H), 7.36–7.20 (m, 3H), 6.91–6.80 (m, 2H), 6.84–6.70 (m, 2H), 6.46 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (t, J = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 3.93–3.78 (m, 2H), 3.80–3.70 (m, 2H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.01 (tt, J = 8.4, 4.3 Hz, 2H), 2.19–2.14 (m, 3H), 1.92 (td, J = 7.6, 4.6 Hz, 2H). 13C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 165.47, 163.69, 161.30, 159.72, 158.14, 149.05, 142.45, 141.23, 141.12, 137.40, 130.76, 130.66, 130.24, 119.52, 115.64, 113.53, 113.49, 110.31, 110.10, 106.78, 106.52, 104.05, 102.68, 64.76, 60.44, 55.46, 34.13, 26.08, 17.78. MS (ESI) m/z: 512.84 [M+H]+. Anal. C24H26FN3O2S: C 58.70, H 5.12, N 13.69. Found: C 58.73, H 5.13, N 13.73.

2-((2-(1,3-Dioxolan-2-yl)Ethyl)Thio)-7-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-N-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-5-Methyl-4,7-Dihydro-[1,2,4]Triazolo[1,5-a]Pyrimidine-6-Carboxamide (2h)

The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure to give a white power. (total yield: 19.2%). m.p.: 224.7–226.3°C. 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 10.42 (s, 1H), 10.07 (s, 1H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.68 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.23 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.88–6.80 (m, 1H), 6.81–6.71 (m, 2H), 6.50 (s, 1H), 4.86 (t, J = 4.7 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 3.77 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.01 (tt, J = 9.0, 4.6 Hz, 2H), 2.18 (s, 3H), 1.92 (tt, J = 7.7, 4.6 Hz, 2H). 13C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 196.92, 165.60, 159.71, 158.16, 148.99, 143.84, 142.48, 137.87, 132.18, 130.26, 129.81, 119.49, 119.09, 113.52, 113.46, 103.96, 102.66, 64.76, 60.42, 55.45, 34.11, 26.88, 26.07, 17.84. MS (ESI) m/z: 536.32 [M+H]+. Anal. C23H25N2O2S: C 60.55, H 5.46, N 13.08. Found: C 60.69, H 5.47, N 13.07.

Methyl 3-((7-(3-Hydroxyphenyl)-5-Methyl-6-((5-Methylpyridin-3-yl)Carbamoyl)-4,7-Dihydro-[1,2,4]Triazolo[1,5-a]Pyrimidin-2-yl)Thio)Propanoate (3a)

The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure to give a white power. (total yield: 11.7%). m.p.: 236.7–238.1°C. 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 10.36 (s, 1H), 9.86 (s, 1H), 9.44 (s, 1H), 8.48 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 8.08 (dd, J = 1.9, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.85–7.79 (m, 1H), 7.10 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.69–6.55 (m, 3H), 6.43 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (s, 3H), 3.24–3.12 (m, 2H), 2.73 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.25 (s, 3H), 2.20–2.14 (m, 3H). 13C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 172.12, 165.60, 157.95, 157.78, 149.13, 145.04, 142.41, 138.88, 137.38, 135.74, 133.23, 130.03, 127.27, 117.80, 115.67, 114.11, 104.15, 60.48, 51.99, 34.48, 26.58, 18.35, 17.77. MS (ESI) m/z: 481.53 [M+H]+. Anal. C22H24N2O3S: C 57.49, H 5.03, N 17.49. Found: C 57.58, H 5.02, N 17.45.

Methyl 3-((7-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)-5-Methyl-6-((5-Methylpyridin-3-yl)Carbamoyl)-4,7-Dihydro-[1,2,4]Triazolo[1,5-a]Pyrimidin-2-yl)Thio)Propanoate (3b)

The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure to give a white power. (total yield: 18.9%). m.p.: 259.6–261.1°C. 1H NMR (400 MHz,
DMSO-\(d_6\)) δ 10.30 (s, 1H), 9.81 (s, 1H), 9.46 (s, 1H), 8.46 (d, \(J = 2.3\) Hz, 1H), 8.07 (d, \(J = 1.9\) Hz, 1H), 7.80 (d, \(J = 2.3\) Hz, 1H), 7.10–7.01 (m, 2H), 6.73–6.64 (m, 2H), 6.50 (s, 1H), 3.60 (s, 3H), 3.15 (d, \(J = 5.0\) Hz, 1H), 2.77–2.66 (m, 2H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 2.17 (s, 3H).

\(^{13}\)C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) δ 172.13, 165.74, 157.79, 157.55, 148.81, 145.01, 138.81, 137.15, 135.75, 133.22, 131.33, 128.85, 127.20, 115.66, 104.25, 60.16, 51.98, 34.48, 26.57, 18.34, 17.76. MS (ESI) m/z: 481.21 [M+H]^+.

Methyl 3-((5-Methyl-6-((5-Methylpyridin-3-yl)carbamoyl)-7-Phenyl-4,7-Dihydro-[1,2,4]Triazolo[1,5-a]Pyrimidin-2-yl)Thio)Propanoate (3c)
The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure to give a white powder (total yield: 20.4%). m.p.: 231.7–233.5°C. \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) δ 10.39 (s, 1H), 9.88 (s, 1H), 8.46 (d, \(J = 2.3\) Hz, 1H), 8.07 (dd, \(J = 1.9, 0.9\) Hz, 1H), 7.82–7.76 (m, 1H), 7.37–7.19 (m, 4H), 6.50 (d, \(J = 1.1\) Hz, 1H), 3.60 (s, 3H), 3.16 (dd, \(J = 7.0, 2.1\) Hz, 1H), 2.72 (t, \(J = 6.9\) Hz, 2H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 2.21–2.16 (m, 3H). \(^{13}\)C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) δ 172.11, 165.61, 157.85, 149.05, 145.07, 140.89, 138.84, 137.45, 135.68, 133.23, 129.06, 128.68, 127.43, 127.23, 103.99, 60.63, 51.98, 34.46, 26.57, 18.33, 17.80. MS (ESI) m/z: 465.10 [M+H]^+.

Methyl 3-((5-Methyl-6-((5-Methylpyridin-3-yl)carbamoyl)-7-(p-Toly)-4,7-Dihydro-[1,2,4]Triazolo[1,5-a]Pyrimidin-2-yl)Thio)Propanoate (3d)
The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure to give a white powder (total yield: 20.4%). m.p.: 231.7–233.5°C. \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) δ 10.36 (s, 1H), 9.91 (s, 1H), 8.41 (d, \(J = 2.3\) Hz, 1H), 8.06 (dd, \(J = 2.0, 0.8\) Hz, 1H), 7.79–7.73 (m, 1H), 7.28–7.18 (m, 1H), 7.21–7.08 (m, 3H), 6.70 (d, \(J = 1.2\) Hz, 1H), 3.60 (s, 3H), 3.15 (td, \(J = 7.1, 1.2\) Hz, 2H), 2.71 (t, \(J = 7.0\) Hz, 2H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 2.25–2.16 (m, 6H). \(^{13}\)C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) δ 172.11, 165.68, 157.65, 148.81, 145.05, 138.87, 138.65, 137.14, 136.33, 135.64, 133.27, 131.02, 128.59, 128.55, 127.03, 126.81, 103.94, 57.84, 51.97, 34.44, 26.60, 19.14, 18.32, 17.69. MS (ESI) m/z: 479.83 [M+H]^+.

Methyl 3-((7-(3,5-Dimethylphenyl)-5-Methyl-6-((5-Methylpyridin-3-yl)Carbamoyl)-4,7-Dihydro-[1,2,4]Triazolo[1,5-a]Pyrimidin-2-yl)Thio)Propanoate (3f)
The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure to give a white powder (total yield: 15.1%). m.p.: 237.4–238.9°C. \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) δ 6.83 (d, \(J = 1.6\) Hz, 2H), 3.60 (s, 3H), 3.25–3.08 (m, 2H), 2.72 (td, \(J = 7.1, 1.5\) Hz, 2H), 2.27–2.15 (m, 12H). \(^{13}\)C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) δ 172.11, 165.68, 157.65, 148.97, 145.08, 140.81, 138.90, 138.01, 137.13, 135.68, 133.23, 130.20, 127.31, 125.24, 104.09, 60.68, 51.97, 34.44, 26.60, 21.34, 18.34, 17.82. MS (ESI) m/z: 493.70 [M+H]^+.

Methyl 3-((7-(4-Fluorophenyl)-5-Methyl-6-((5-Methylpyridin-3-yl)carbamoyl)-4,7-Dihydro-[1,2,4]Triazolo[1,5-a]Pyrimidin-2-yl)Thio)Propanoate (3g)
The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure to give a white powder (total yield: 12.8%). m.p.: 241.5–242.7°C. \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) δ 10.42 (s, 1H), 9.87 (s, 1H), 8.07 (d, \(J = 1.9\) Hz, 1H), 7.78 (s, 1H), 7.39–7.06 (m, 3H), 6.50 (s, 1H), 3.60 (s, 3H), 3.16 (d, \(J = 2.6\) Hz, 1H), 2.71 (s, 1H), 2.21 (d, \(J = 24.4\) Hz, 6H). \(^{13}\)C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) δ 172.11, 165.55, 161.07, 157.96, 148.91, 145.12, 138.85, 137.57, 137.11, 135.62, 133.26, 129.70, 129.62, 127.26, 116.00, 115.78, 103.76, 59.93, 51.98, 34.43, 26.55, 18.33, 17.82. MS (ESI) m/z: 483.65 [M+H]^+.
FN$_3$O$_7$S: C 57.25, H 4.80, N 17.42. Found: C 57.38, H 4.80, N 17.45.

Methyl 3-((7-(3-Fluorophenyl)-5-Methyl-6-((5-Methylpyridin-3-yl)Carbamoyl)-4,7-Dihydro-[1,2,4] Triazolo[1,5-a]Pyrimidin-2-yl)Thio)Propanoate (3h)
The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure to give a white powder. (total yield: 10.9%), m.p.: 235.4–237.2°C. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$) δ 10.45 (s, 1H), 9.89 (s, 1H), 8.45 (d, $J = 2.3$ Hz, 1H), 8.08 (dd, $J = 1.9$, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d, $J = 2.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.47–7.25 (m, 1H), 7.23–6.96 (m, 3H), 6.50 (s, 1H), 3.60 (s, 3H), 3.17 (td, $J = 7.0$, 3.3 Hz, 2H), 2.71 (t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2H), 2.32–2.11 (m, 6H). $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$) δ 172.10, 165.52, 162.38, 161.38, 158.09, 148.98, 145.16, 143.54, 143.48, 138.84, 137.75, 135.59, 133.28, 131.16, 130.17, 127.27, 123.75, 123.72, 115.77, 115.56, 114.37, 114.15, 103.43, 60.11, 51.97, 34.42, 26.56, 18.33, 17.86. MS (ESI) m/z: 483.78 [M+H]$^+$. Anal. C$_{23}$H$_{23}$FN$_3$O$_7$S: C 57.25, H 4.80, N 17.42. Found: C 57.42, H 4.81, N 17.46.

Molecular Modeling
Pharmacophore Model
The “GALAHAD” module available in SYBYL X2.1 (Tripos International, St. Louis, MO, USA) was used with default settings to develop the pharmacophore. Seven molecules (AZD2014, WYE-354, GDC-0349, WYE-687, OSI-027, WAY-600, and INK128) were chosen for pharmacophore modeling on the basis of their high activities and diverse structures. Strain energy, steric overlap, and pharmacophoric similarity were used to evaluate the rationality of pharmacophores; the model with the optimal values was chosen for analysis.

Molecular Docking
Molecular docking was implemented using the surflex-docking package of Sybyl-X 2.1. A cocrystal structure of mTOR with ADP (4JSV) was obtained from the Protein Data Bank. Before docking, 4JSV was prepared by removing water and magnesium ions and extracting the ligand. Addition of hydrogen and charges and treatment of the terminal residues were also performed on 4JSV. Then, the “protomol” was generated using the ligand-based mode, and an appropriate binding pocket was formed. The reliability of the surflex-docking was validated by redocking the original ligand (ADP) into the binding pocket. Next, all of the candidate compounds were docked into the binding pocket, and 20 possible docked conformations were obtained with different scores.

All molecular modeling figures were drawn using PyMOL (http://www pymol.org).

Biological Evaluations
mTOR Enzyme Assay
LANCE® ultra time-resolved fluorescence resonance energy transfer (TR-FRET) assay (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was used to determine the mTOR kinase activities of all the compounds following the manufacturer’s instructions, with compound GSK2126458 (Selleck, China) as positive control. Briefly, mTOR enzyme (0.1 µg/mL, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), ATP (3 µmol/L (µM)), GFP-4EBP1 peptide (0.4 µM), and test compounds were diluted in kinase buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 1 mM EGTA, 3 mmol/L (mM) MnCl$_2$, 10 mM MgCl$_2$, 2 mM DTT, and 0.01% Tween-20). The reaction was performed in black 384-well proxilates (Corning, New York, NY, USA) at room temperature for 1 h, then stopped by adding EDTA to 10 mM. Tb-antiphospho-4EBP1 (Thr37/46) antibody (PerkinElmer, Fremont, CA, USA) was added to each well, and the mixture was incubated at room temperature for 30 min. Test compound concentrations were 10,000, 2500, 625, 156.25, 39.06, 9.77, 2.44, 0.61, 0.15, 0.04 and 0.01 nM. The final DMSO concentration was 1%. A Spectramax 190 reader (Molecular Devices, Valley, CA, USA) was used to determine the mTOR kinase activity using the LANCE® ultra time-resolved fluorescence resonance energy transfer (TR-FRET) mode (excitation 320 nm, emission 665 nm). All compounds were tested twice, and the results were expressed as the average IC$_{50}$ (inhibitory concentration 50%) of the two experiments. The experiments were performed according to the methods described by Cao et al. The inhibition/dose-response plots of B170422, 1c, and 2a are provided in the Supplementary Materials (Figure S1).

PI3Kα Enzyme Assay
PI3Kα (p110α/p85α), PI3Kβ (p110β/p85α), PI3Kγ (p120γ) and PI3Kδ (p110δ/p85α) were purchased from Promega Corporation (Madison, Wisconsin, USA, #V1691). The inhibition activities of 2a against PI3Kα, PI3Kβ, PI3Kγ, PI3Kδ were determined using ADP-Glo kinase assays (Madison, Wisconsin, USA, #V1690). Per the manufacturer’s protocol, reagents were prepared as follows. 10 µL of PI3K enzyme were diluted in 310 µL 2.5× kinase reaction buffer (Promega, #V1691) to give 2.5× kinase solutions. 50 µL PIP2:3PS substrate and ATP were added to 100 µL 10× lipid dilution buffer and 250 µL water to
give a 2.5× PIP2:3PS lipid kinase substrate working solution. 25 μL of ultra-pure ATP (10 mM) were diluted in 975 μL water to give a 250-μM ATP water solution.

Tested compounds were serially diluted to the desired concentrations at the time of the experiments, and 1 μL of each dilution was added to a 384-well plate (Corning, Madison, WI, USA) with 20 μL of the PIP2:3PS lipid kinase substrate working solution. Then, 4 μL of kinase solution was added to each well of the assay plate except the control well, to which 4 μL of 1× kinase reaction buffer was added instead (enzyme control group). When the kinase reaction started, 1 μL of 250 μM ATP was added to the assay plate, then covered, mixed for 30–60 s, and incubated for 1 h at 23°C (room temperature). Ten microliters of ADP-Glo™ reagent (Promega, Madison, WI, USA, #V1691) was added to the reaction mixture to stop the enzyme reaction and deplete unconsumed ATP. After 40 min, 20 μL kinase detection reagent (Promega, Madison, WI, USA, #V1691) was added to the reaction mixture to convert ADP to ATP. Test compound concentrations were 10,000, 2500, 625, 156.25, 39.06, 9.77, 2.44, 0.61, 0.15, 0.04 and 0.01 nM. The final DMSO concentration was 1%. The mixture was shaken for 1 min and equilibrated for 40 min before being examined using a luminescence plate reader. Finally, conversion data were collected using FlexStation (Molecular Devices, USA) and RLU (relative light unit) values were converted to inhibition values using the following formula: (max RLU)/(max – min) × 100%, where “max” is the RLU of the dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) control and “min” is the RLU of the no-enzyme control. All compounds were tested twice, and the results were expressed as the average IC50 of the two experiments. Compound GSK2126458 (Selleck, China) was used as the positive control. The experiments were performed according to the methods described by Cao et al. The inhibition/dose-response plots of B170422, 1c, and 2a are listed in the Supplementary Materials (Figure S1 and S2).

Cell-Survival Inhibition Assay

The present work did not include any materials obtained directly from human participants and only used MHCC97-H cells purchased from the Type Culture Collection of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). The usage of the cell lines was permitted by the ethics committee of the Fifth Medical Center, General Hospital of the Chinese PLA (previously named the Beijing 302 Hospital). All experiments were performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki (World Health Organization).38

For proliferation analysis, cells were seeded in 96-well plates (5 × 10^3 cells per well) (Corning, NY, USA). Cells were cultured in DMEM (complete Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium, Invitrogen, USA) with 10% FBS (fetal bovine serum, Invitrogen, USA) at 37°C with 5% CO2 for 24 h. 2a was dissolved in DMSO and diluted with DMEM to a final DMSO concentration of 1%. Next, cells were treated with 2a at a series of concentrations (10, 3, 1, 0.3, 0.1, 0.03, 0.01 and 0.003 μM) for the indicated durations. Following incubation for 12 h, cells were harvested for MTT assays (Amresco, Washington, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Absorbance was measured using a multi-functional micro-plate reader at 490 nm. Inhibition rates were calculated as follows: (optical density (O.D.) 490 of the control group - O.D. 490 of the administration group)/(O.D. of the 490 control group - O.D. 490 of the blank group) × 100%. Relative cell survival rates were calculated as: 100% - inhibition rate (%). Assays were performed three times with similar results.39–41

Colony Formation

For the colony formation experiments, MHCC97-H cells (2 × 10^3 cells per well) were seeded in six-well plates (Corning, USA) and cultured for 3–4 weeks. A DMSO solution of 2a (30 nM concentration of 2a; final concentration of DMSO: 1%) was added to the cells and incubated for 12 h, then the cells were treated with the appropriate dose (0, 2, 4 or 8 Gy) of 60Co-γ IR for 5 min.42 Results are shown as images or histograms for the two groups: solvent control + fractionated irradiation and 2a + fractionated radiation. Inhibition rates were calculated as: [(control group's O.D.546nm)–(administration group's O.D. 546nm)]/(control group's O.D. 546 nm) × 100%.

Immunocytochemistry (Cellular Immunofluorescence)

For the γ-H2aX staining (green fluorescence), MHCC97-H cells (5 × 10^3 cells per well) were seeded into 96-well plates (Corning, USA) and cultured for 3–4 weeks. The DMSO solution of 2a (30 nM concentration of 2a; final concentration of DMSO: 1%) was added to the cells and incubated for 12 h, then the cells were treated with a 4-Gy dose of 60Co-γ IR for 5 min. Plates were fixed with 3% paraformaldehyde for 30 min and then permeabilized by Triton X-100 (0.5%) treatment at 4°C for 10 min. Next, plates were blocked with 10% bovine serum albumin...
diluted in PBS (phosphate-buffered saline). After blocking, plates were incubated with FITC conjugated antibody (anti-\(\gamma\)-H2AX [1:500]) diluted in PBS at 37°C for 1 h in the dark. Fluorescent signals were visualized using a fluorescence microscope. The nuclei of MHCC97-H cells were stained with Hoechst33342 (blue fluorescence). Results are shown as images or histograms for the four groups: 0 Gy IR + solvent control (DMSO diluted with DMEM to 1% concentration) treatment group, 2a treatment group, 4-Gy dose IR treatment group, and 4-Gy dose IR + 2a treatment group. The FITC intensity was quantitatively analyzed using the ImageJ software.

Results and Discussion
Identification of Lead Compound
Structure-based virtual screening can be used for efficient and rapid screening of huge compound libraries, whereas virtual docking is more appropriate for accurate screening of smaller samples. In order to find compounds with new scaffolds, pharmacophore modeling and molecular docking were used to screen our library of 2063 small compounds in this study.\(^\text{43,44}\) The compounds were obtained from several research groups and most of them have not been previously reported; among them, 1276 compounds were serine/threonine kinase inhibitors with structural diversity.

Seven typical selective mTOR inhibitors (AZD2014, WYE-125132, GDC-0349, WYE-687, OSI-027, WAY-600, and INK128) were used to establish the pharmacophore model, which was validated using active and inactive molecules, respectively.\(^\text{12,14,21,28,45,46}\) The characteristics of molecules were further modified to generate a new pharmacophore with three main features: one projection of a hydrogen bond donor (magenta), two projections of hydrogen acceptors (green), and four hydrophobic features (cyan) (Figure 1A).\(^\text{47}\) The pharmacophore model was used to search our database of 2063 compounds, and 237 compounds were isolated.

Before molecular docking, a redocking study was carried out to validate the reliability of the docking model. ADP (the original ligand) was redocked into the binding site using surflex-docking, and the redocked conformation was compared with the original crystallographic conformation of the ADP. As shown in Figure S3 in the Supplementary Materials, the redocked ADP and the crystal ADP in the complex were almost completely superimposable, indicating that the surflex-docking method and the parameters used were reasonable and reliable. Then, 237 compounds were virtually docked to mTOR and ranked according to various molecular characteristics, including hydrophobicity, polarity, and entropy (Figure 1B). The 50 top-ranked compounds were picked out, of which, 35 compounds were retained after manual selection based on visual inspection. The inhibitory activities of these compounds on mTOR at 10 \(\mu\)M were examined using enzyme inhibitory activity tests. Five hits were selected out with mTOR-inhibition rates greater than 50% at 10 \(\mu\)M; their structures are shown in Figure 2.

Of the five hits (Figure 2), three with 4,7-dihydro-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine structures showed the greatest activity, and B170422 (1a) showed optimal activity with an mTOR inhibition rate of 91.83% (mTOR IC\(_{50}\)=167 nM, PI3K IC\(_{50}\)=1578 nM). Thus, B170422 was structurally optimized as a lead compound to improve its inhibitory activity and selectivity towards mTOR.

Structural Analysis of Lead Compound
Before analyzing the structure–function relationship of lead compound (B170422), the targeted protein was researched.
Both mTOR and PI3K belong to the phosphoinositide 3-kinase-related kinase (PIKK) family and share a highly conserved ATP-binding pocket, with 68% residue similarity in the kinase catalytic domain, enabling many mTOR inhibitors (including BEZ235 and GDC-0980) to also have inhibitory effects on PI3K.\textsuperscript{18,19,48} The ATP-binding sites of the $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\delta$, and $\gamma$ subunits of PI3K have similar sequences and three-dimensional protein structures. PI3K$\alpha$, the most common subtype of the PI3K family, was selected for further study to investigate the selectivity of the compounds.

The three-dimensional crystal structures of the ATP binding pocket of mTOR and PI3K$\alpha$ were compared to determine how selectivity of B170422 could be improved. There was high similarity at the bottom of the pocket, but structural differences were observed at the pocket opening. PI3K$\alpha$ has a hairpin structure (marked in yellow in Figure 3), composed of LEU766, GLU767, and GLU768, which causes the $\beta$-sheet unit of PI3K$\alpha$ to be inclined further forward than the equivalent unit in mTOR. The ATP-binding pocket of PI3K$\alpha$ is partially concealed, which makes the binding pocket opening of PI3K$\alpha$ smaller than that of mTOR. According to our measurements, the opening width of the ATP-binding pocket of PI3K$\alpha$ was 6.88 Å, whereas that of mTOR was 8.73 Å, a 27% difference in opening width. This suggests that it would be more difficult for a larger compound to enter the binding pocket of PI3K$\alpha$ compared with that of mTOR. In fact, according to previous reports, PI3K-selective inhibitors do have more flattened molecular structures in most cases, whereas mTOR-specific inhibitors tend to have larger molecular spatial structures. Some molecules can enter the binding pocket of mTOR but cannot enter that of PI3K$\alpha$ owing to these structural differences. The structural differences between mTOR and PI3K$\alpha$ may account for some compounds’ selective inhibitory effect on mTOR, which has been confirmed by some inhibitors (LY294002, PI-103, TGX-221, Torin1, Wye-125132, and Way-600), whose structures are shown in Figure 4.\textsuperscript{20,49,50}

These differences explain the selectivity of B170422 towards mTOR. There is a 90° angle between the benzene ring and the scaffold (4,7-dihydro-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine) in B170422, making it larger in size and thus less able to enter the relatively narrow cavity of PI3K$\alpha$.

Hydrogen bonding is a key factor in ensuring the activity of B170422. Molecular docking showed that
B170422 formed hydrogen bonds with SER2165, LYS2187, VAL2240, and ASN2343 in mTOR. Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were used to further confirm the four amino acids making a great contribution to the stable binding between B170422 and the protein (the MD simulations are described in detail in the Supplementary Materials). Next, we modified the structure of B170422 without compromising the four hydrogen bonds between compounds and residues. Based on the interactions with SER2165, VAL2240, and ASN2343, we modified B170422 at three sites: R1, R2, and R3 (Table 1).

**Structural Modification and Structure–Activity Relationship**

To improve the activity of B170422, R1 was first modified and four different groups were transferred onto it (Table 1). These selected side chains (groups) were all flexible and had at least one hydrogen bond acceptor to form a hydrogen bond with VAL2240 in mTOR. An enzyme inhibitory activity test was immediately performed after these compounds were obtained. The greatest effect on mTOR was observed with 1e, which had a methyl acetate structure (mTOR

---

**Figure 3** Superposition of three-dimensional protein crystal structures of mTOR and PI3Kα. Red: mTOR (4JSV); green: PI3Kα (6OAC). The ATP binding pocket of mTOR and PI3Kα is represented by solid tube; the other parts are represented by ribbon.

**Figure 4** Representative mTOR inhibitors and PI3K inhibitors.
Table 1 Structures, Enzyme Inhibitory Activities, and Selectivities of 1a–1d and 2a–2j

|   | R1     | R2             | R3             | mTOR IC₅₀ (nM)* | PI3Kα IC₅₀ (nM)* | Selectivity |
|---|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|
| 1a|        |                |                | 167            | 1578           | 9           |
| 1b|        |                |                | 50             | 793            | 16          |
| 1c|        |                |                | 16             | 516            | 32          |
| 1d|        |                |                | 1562           | >10,000         | >6          |
| 2a|        |                |                | 7.1            | 895            | 126         |
| 2b|        |                |                | 124            | 437            | 4           |
| 2c|        |                |                | 139            | 1055           | 8           |

(Continued)
IC$_{50}$=16 nM). The molecular docking schematic (Table 1) shows that the side chain needed appropriate hydrophobicity and length to extend into the narrow hydrophobic cavity in mTOR. Previous studies have shown that the amide groups on R2 can interact with ASN2343 in mTOR to form a hydrogen bond. The R2 group occupied a wide hydrophobic cavity at the binding pocket, so a large group needed to be added to

|   | R1     | R2          | R3          | mTOR IC$_{50}$ (nM)* | PI3Kα IC$_{50}$ (nM)* | Selectivity |
|---|--------|-------------|-------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| 2d |        |             |             | 23                    | 228                    | 10          |
| 2e |        |             |             | 72                    | 254                    | 4           |
| 2f |        |             |             | 28                    | 193                    | 7           |
| 2g |        |             |             | 313                   | 844                    | 3           |
| 2h |        |             |             | 359                   | 3203                   | 9           |
| 2i |        |             |             | 92                    | 2681                   | 29          |
| 2j |        |             |             | 184                   | 952                    | 5           |

Note: *All values represent the mean of two independent assays.
R2. Five different R2 groups were introduced to clarify the influence of R2 groups on the compound's activity, and a series of new compounds (2a–2j) were obtained. As shown in Table 1, 2a had greater inhibitory activity than 2b, 2c, 2d, or 2e. This phenomenon was also observed between 2f and 2g–2j. Given the high

Table 2 Structures, Enzyme Inhibitory Activities, and Selectivities of 3a–3h

|   | R1       | R2       | R3       | mTOR IC₅₀ (nM)* | PI3Kα IC₅₀ (nM)* | Selectivity |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------------|-----------------|-------------|
| 3a|          |          |          | 260            | 3562            | 14          |
| 3b|          |          |          | 2211           | >10,000         | >5          |
| 3c|          |          |          | 246            | 703             | 3           |
| 3d|          |          |          | 932            | 7365            | 8           |
| 3e|          |          |          | 479            | 2766            | 6           |
| 3f|          |          |          | 529            | 433             | 0.8         |

(Continued)
activity of 1c, we concluded that the activity of the pyridine derivative was better than that of the phenyl derivative in R2. Detailed structure–activity relationship information for 2a is provided in Figure S8 in the Supplementary Materials. Among the compounds studied, 2a had the greatest inhibitory effect on mTOR, with an IC\textsubscript{50} value of 7.1 nM (126 times higher than the effect on PI3K\textsubscript{α}).

After R1 and R2 were determined, different substituent groups were introduced into R3 (Table 2). The docking of B170422 with mTOR and PI3K\textsubscript{α} showed that R3 possessed a structure vertical to the scaffold, which was the main reason for the specific binding of B170422 with the cavity in mTOR. The substituent group on the benzene ring (R3) further increased the size, making it more difficult for B170422 to enter the PI3K\textsubscript{α} cavity and increasing its selectivity for mTOR. On the other hand, the amino acid residue SER2165 in mTOR stabilized the spatial configuration of R3 through hydrogen interactions.

Based on the above research on R3, a series of benzene ring derivatives with different substituent groups were introduced into R3. Enzymatic activity tests showed that 2a with a hydrogen bond receptor more potently inhibited mTOR. However, replacing the hydrogen bond acceptor with hydrogen bond donors (as in 3a and 3b) on the benzene ring decreased the activity of 2a, because the conformational change in R3 weakened the affinity between the derivatives and mTOR. We also observed

|   | R1   | R2   | R3 | mTOR IC\textsubscript{50} (nM)* | PI3K\textsubscript{α} IC\textsubscript{50} (nM)* | Selectivity |
|---|------|------|----|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| 3g | -    | -    | -  | 1774                          | >10,000                         | >6          |
| 3h | -    | -    | -  | 326                           | 738                            | 2           |

Notes: *All values represent the mean of two independent assays.

![Figure 5](A) Schematic of the binding mode of 2a with mTOR; (B) image of the mTOR surface around 2a.
that the derivatives with a para-substituent group on R3 (3b, 3d, and 3g) had low inhibitory activity against mTOR, probably owing to the steric hindrance of R3 making it difficult for derivatives to enter into the cavity of mTOR. In general, 2a showed the best inhibitory activity against mTOR among these compounds.

Furthermore, the inhibition activities of 2a against PI3Kβ, PI3Kγ, PI3Kδ were determined by enzymatic assays (PI3Kβ IC₅₀ = 3103 nM, PI3Kγ IC₅₀ = 1178 nM, PI3Kδ IC₅₀ = 660 nM). The analysis results of Western blot assays showed that 2a had a definite inhibitory effect on both mTORC1 and mTORC2, and the inhibitory effect on mTORC1 was stronger than that on mTORC2 (the Western blot assays are described in detail in the Supplementary Materials).

Molecular docking models were used to explain the notable inhibitory activity of 2a. 2a was trapped in the kinase domain of mTOR and interacted with the amino acid residues LYS2187, SER2165, VAL2240, and ASN2343 (Figure 5A). Two hydrophobic features in the core structure of 2a formed strong hydrophobic interactions with residue ILE2356. Another hydrophobic contact was observed in the binding of the alkyl chain in 2a (R1) to residue LEU2185 (Figure 5A). The triazolopyrimidine structure was flattened on one side of the cavity (Figure 5B), while the benzene ring structure was oriented perpendicular to the scaffold to support the other side of the cavity. The ethyl propionate structure was deeply embedded in the hydrophobic cavities of mTOR.

**Chemistry**

The structures of these newly synthesized compounds were all based on 4.7-dihydro-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine scaffold. Intermediate 1, Intermediate 2, and benzaldehyde derivative were mixed together to synthesize the target compound by a one-step procedure (Scheme 1). The synthesis approach was applied flexibly in accordance with the different groups (R1, R2, and R3), and the overall yield of the process reached 23%. To the best of our knowledge, none of the compounds synthesized have been reported before.

**In vitro Radiosensitization Experiment**

Compounds showing better performance in the enzymatic assays were selected for further research. In order to determine the role of 2a in radiosensitization, the concentration at which 2a could significantly inhibit mTOR kinase while not directly affecting MHCC97-H cells was determined by treating MHCC97-H cells with 2a at different concentrations (Table 3). The results showed that at a concentration of 0.03 μM, 2a had a significant inhibitory effect on mTOR.
effect on mTOR, with almost no effect on the survival of MHCC97-H cells.

**Compound 2a Enhances in vitro Antitumor Efficacy of IR Therapy**

To determine whether 2a could enhance the radiosensitization of MHCC97-H cells, a colony formation assay was performed. As shown in Figure 6, IR treatment slightly attenuated the colony formation of MHCC97-H cells in a dose-dependent manner. After 2a was added, the apoptosis rate of MHCC97-H cells increased significantly at different IR doses. These results showed that treatment with 2a enhanced the sensitivity of MHCC97-H cells to IR therapy.

**Compound 2a Enhances DSBs After IR Exposure**

Moreover, the DNA DSBs in MHCC97-H cells were evaluated by γ-H2aX staining, which is a representative indicator of DNA DSBs. As shown in Figure 7A, treatment with 2a alone did not induce the formation of γ-H2aX foci in MHCC97-H cell nuclei. Treatment with a 4-Gy IR dose induced γ-H2AX foci formation (Figure 7B). As expected, treatment with 2a and IR together significantly enhanced the formation of IR-induced γ-H2aX foci.

In these two in vitro experiments, we investigated the effects of 2a on IR therapy applied to HCC cells. The results showed that treatment with 2a at a non-cytotoxic concentration (0.03 μM) enhanced the efficacy of IR against HCC cells in vitro. These results provide a basis for developing mTOR-specific inhibitors as radiosensitizers in radiotherapy for HCC.

**Conclusions**

Although some progress has been made, HCC still seriously endangers human health and poses a challenge to the public health system. Non-surgical treatment with radiotherapy shows an ameliorative effect on a wide range of tumors, but not on HCC, owing to its insensitivity. Therefore, as novel radiosensitizers, the mTOR inhibitors have development potential in radiotherapy for HCC.

In this study, based on pharmacophore modeling and virtual docking, we obtained a lead compound with a scaffold of 4,7-dihydro-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine. By analyzing the three-dimensional structure of mTOR and comparing it with that of PI3Kα, some key amino acid residues in mTOR were confirmed, including SER2165, LYS2187, VAL2240, and ASN2343, which were used to design highly selective mTOR inhibitors. Then, 20 new compounds were synthesized, among which compound 2a had the greatest effect on mTOR (mTOR IC_{50}=7.1 nM) with 126-fold selectivity over

| Concentration of 2a (μM) | mTOR Enzyme Inhibition Rate (%) | Cell Inhibition Rate (%) |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 10                       | 98.2 ± 7.2                       | 52.5 ± 3.8               |
| 3                        | 96.4 ± 4.1                       | 37.7 ± 6.5               |
| 1                        | 94.3 ± 6.2                       | 29.4 ± 5.3               |
| 0.3                      | 87.9 ± 5.3                       | 17.7 ± 1.7               |
| 0.1                      | 74.8 ± 2.7                       | 8.1 ± 2.3                |
| 0.03                     | 67.2 ± 4.5                       | 5.3 ± 1.5                |
| 0.01                     | 54.7 ± 3.6                       | 3.2 ± 0.7                |
| 0.003                    | 41.1 ± 1.7                       | 2.3 ± 0.3                |
PI3Kα. The radiosensitizing effect of 2a was confirmed by colony formation assays and DNA DSB assays in vitro. This work identified a novel structural radiosensitizer and suggests a novel approach for the treatment of HCC.
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