Cellular neural networks for NP-hard optimization problems
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Nowadays, Cellular Neural Networks (CNN) are practically implemented in parallel, analog computers, showing a fast developing trend. Physicist must be aware that such computers are appropriate for solving in an elegant manner practically important problems, which are extremely slow on the classical digital architecture. Here, CNN is used for solving NP-hard optimization problems on lattices. It is proved, that a CNN in which the parameters of all cells can be separately controlled, is the analog correspondent of a two-dimensional Ising type (Edwards-Anderson) spin-glass system. Using the properties of CNN computers a fast optimization method can be built for such problems. Estimating the simulation time needed for solving such NP-hard optimization problems on CNN based computers, and comparing it with the time needed on normal digital computers using the simulated annealing algorithm, the results are astonishing: CNN computers would be faster than digital computers already at $10 \times 10$ lattice sizes. Hardwares realized nowadays are of $176 \times 144$ size. Also, there seems to be no technical difficulties adapting CNN chips for such problems and the needed local control is expected to be fully developed in the near future.

PACS numbers: 07.05.Mh, 89.20.Ff, 05.10.-a, 87.85.Lf

I. INTRODUCTION

Solving NP-hard problems is a key task when testing novel computing paradigms. These complex problems frequently appear in physics, life sciences, biometrics, logistics, database search...etc., and in most cases they are associated with important practical applications as well [1]. The deficiency of solving these problems in a reasonable amount of time is one of the most important limitation of digital computers, thus all novel paradigms are tested in this sense. Quantum computing for example is theoretically well-suited for solving NP-hard problems, but the technical realization of quantum computers seems to be quite hard. Here we present a computing paradigm based on Cellular Neural Networks (CNN) with good perspectives for fast NP-hard optimization. The advantage of this approach relative to quantum computing is that several practical realizations are already available.

After the idea of CNN appeared in 1988 [2] a detailed plan for a CNN computer was developed in 1993 [3]. Since than the chip had a fast developing trend and the latest - already commercialized - version is the EYE-RIS chip with lattice size $176 \times 144$, mainly used as a visual microprocessor [4]. The physics community can also benefit from CNN based computers. It has been proven in several previous studies that this novel computational paradigm is usefull in solving partial differential equations [5,6], studying cellular automata models [7,8], doing image processing [9] and making Monte Carlo simulations on lattice models [10,11]. Here it is shown that NP-hard optimization problems can be also effectively solved using such an approach.

In the next section we will present briefly the structure and dynamics of cellular neural networks together with the most developed applications of CNN computing. In the third section we prove that a CNN computer on which the parameters of each cell can be separately controlled, is the analog correspondent of a locally coupled two-dimensional spin-glass system. Using the properties of CNN computers a fast optimization algorithm can be thus developed. The local control of the parameters of each cell is already partially realized on some hardwares (for details see section 2.) and it is expected to be fully functional in the near future. Beside the fundamental interest for solving NP hard problems, the importance of this study consists also in motivating the development of hardwares in such direction.

II. CELLULAR NEURAL NETWORKS AND CNN COMPUTERS

The CNN Universal Machine (CNN-UM) [3] is one special case of cellular wave computers [12] in which computation is achieved using the spatial-temporal dynamics of a cellular neural network [2]. The CNN is composed by $L \times L$ cells placed on a square lattice and interconnected through their neighbors [2]. Usually the 4 nearest and the 4 next-nearest neighbors (Moore neighborhood) are considered. Each cell is characterized by a state value: $x_{i,j}(t)$ representing a voltage in the circuit of the cell. The cell also has an input value (voltage) $u_{i,j}$, which is constant in time and can be defined at the beginning of an operation. The third characteristic quantity of the cell is the output value $y_{i,j}(t)$. This is equivalent with the $x_{i,j}$ state value in a given range. More specifically it is a piece-wise linear function, bounded between $-1$ (called as white) and 1 (black): $y = f(x) \equiv \frac{1}{2}(|x+1| - |x-1|)$.

The wiring between neighboring cells assures that the state value of each cell can be influenced by the input and output values of its neighbors. The equation governing the dynamics of the CNN cells results from the time-evolution of the equivalent circuits. Supposing the
8 Moore neighbor interactions it has the following form:

\[
\frac{dx_{i,j}(t)}{dt} = -x_{i,j}(t) + \sum_{k=-1}^{i+1} \sum_{l=-1}^{j+1} A_{i,j,k,l}y_{k,l}(t) + \sum_{k=i-1}^{i+1} \sum_{l=j-1}^{j+1} B_{i,j,k,l}u_{k,l} + z_{i,j}
\]

where \( i, j \) denotes the coordinates of the cell and the summation indices \( k, l \) are for its neighbors. Self-interaction \((k = i, l = j)\) is also possible. The set of parameters \( \{A, B, z\}\) is called a template and controls the whole system. An operation is performed by giving the initial states of the cells, the input image (the input values of all cells) and by defining a template. The states of all cells will vary in parallel and the result of the operation will be the final steady state of the CNN. If the state values \((x_{i,j})\) of all cells remain bounded in the \([-1, 1]\) region (i.e. \(y_{i,j} = x_{i,j}\) holds for each cell at any time \(t\)), then each operation is equivalent with solving a differential equation defined by the template itself \(\frac{dx_{i,j}(t)}{dt} = \sum_{k=-1}^{i+1} \sum_{l=-1}^{j+1} A_{i,j,k,l}y_{k,l}(t) + \sum_{k=i-1}^{i+1} \sum_{l=j-1}^{j+1} B_{i,j,k,l}u_{k,l} + z_{i,j}\).

The CNN-UM is a programmable analog (analog & logic) cellular wave computer. Beside the analog circuits described by Eq. 1 each cell contains also a logic unit, local analog and logic memories and a local communication and control unit. The logic unit and logic memories are included to complement the analog computation. In this manner basic logic operations can be performed without defining complicated templates for it. In the local logic memories one can save a binary value (1 and 0 respectively), and in the local analog memories it is possible to save real values between -1 and 1. Since the CNN array is mainly used for image processing and acquisition, the binary values are often referred as black and white, and the real values bounded between -1 and 1 are mapped in a gray-scale scheme. Beside these local units, the CNN-UM has also a global analog programming unit which controls the whole system, making it a programmable computer. It can be easily connected to PC type computers and programmed with special languages, for example the Analogic Macro Code (AMC).

The physical implementations of these computers are numerous and widely different: mixed-mode CMOS, emulated digital CMOS, FPGA, and also optical. For practical purposes the most promising applications are for image processing, robotics or sensory computing purposes \(\frac{dx_{i,j}(t)}{dt} = \sum_{k=-1}^{i+1} \sum_{l=-1}^{j+1} A_{i,j,k,l}y_{k,l}(t) + \sum_{k=i-1}^{i+1} \sum_{l=j-1}^{j+1} B_{i,j,k,l}u_{k,l} + z_{i,j}\), so the main practical drive in the mixed-mode implementations was to build a visual microprocessor \(\frac{dx_{i,j}(t)}{dt} = \sum_{k=-1}^{i+1} \sum_{l=-1}^{j+1} A_{i,j,k,l}y_{k,l}(t) + \sum_{k=i-1}^{i+1} \sum_{l=j-1}^{j+1} B_{i,j,k,l}u_{k,l} + z_{i,j}\). In the last decades the size of the engineered chips was constantly growing, the new cellular visual microprocessor EYE-RIS \(\frac{dx_{i,j}(t)}{dt} = \sum_{k=-1}^{i+1} \sum_{l=-1}^{j+1} A_{i,j,k,l}y_{k,l}(t) + \sum_{k=i-1}^{i+1} \sum_{l=j-1}^{j+1} B_{i,j,k,l}u_{k,l} + z_{i,j}\) for example has 176 \(\times\) 144 processors, each cell hosting also 4 optical sensors. Parallel with increasing the lattice size of the chips, engineers are focusing on developing multi-layered, 3 dimensional chips as well.

For the first experimental versions the templates (coupling parameters) are defined identical for all cells. This means that for example \(A(i, j; i + 1, j)\) is the same for all \((i, j)\) coordinates. In such way, on the two-dimensional CNN chip, all the \(A\) couplings are defined by a single \(3 \times 3\) matrix. Totally, \(9 + 9 + 1 = 19\) parameters are needed to define the whole, globally valid, template \((A, B, z)\). On the latest version of the CNN chips (ACE16K, EYE-RIS) the \(z(i, j)\) parameter can already be locally varied. It is expected that on newer chips one will be able to separately control also the \(A(i, j; k, l)\) and \(B(i, j; k, l)\) connections as well.

Many applications ideal for the analogic and parallel architecture of the CNN-UM were already developed and tested. Studies dealing with partial differential equations or cellular automata models prove this. In some of our latest publications we have shown that CNN computers are suitable also for stochastic simulations. The natural noise of the analog chip can be effectively used to generate random numbers approximately 4 times faster than on digital computers. We also presented experiments in which the site-percolation problem and the two-dimensional Ising model was properly solved on the ACE16K chip (with \(128 \times 128\) cells).

## III. NP-HARD OPTIMIZATION ON CNN ARCHITECTURES

The aim of the present study is to prove that CNN computing is also suitable for solving effectively complex optimization problems on spin-glass type lattice models. We consider a two-dimensional CNN where the templates (parameters of Eq. 1) can be locally controlled. Matrix \(A\) is considered symmetric \(A(i, j; k, l) = A(k, l; i, j)\), \(A(i, j; i, j) = 1\) for all \((i, j)\), and the elements are bounded \(A(i, j; k, l) \in [-1, 1]\) \((i, j)\) and \((k, l)\) denote two neighboring cells. Matrix \(B\), which controls the effect of the input image, will be taken simply as: \(B(i, j; i, j) = b\) and \(B(i, j; k, l) = 0\), \((i, j) \neq (k, l)\). The parameter \(z\) is chosen as \(z = 0\), so finally our template is defined by \((A, b)\) alone.

In an earlier work Chua et al. defined a Lyapunov function for the CNN, which behaves like the “energy” (Hamiltonian) of the system. For the CNN defined above it can be written simply as

\[
E(t) = -\sum_{<i,j,k,l>} A_{i,j,k,l}y_{i,j}y_{k,l} - b\sum_{i,j} y_{i,j}u_{i,j},
\]

where \(<i,j,k,l>\) denotes pairs of Moore neighbors, each pair taken only once in the sum. \(y_{i,j}\) denotes the output value of each cell and \(u_{i,j}\) stands for an arbitrary input image. By choosing the parameter \(b = 0\), the energy of this special CNN is similar with the energy of...
an Ising type system on square lattice with locally varying coupling constants. The difference is that Ising spins are defined as ±1, while here we have continuous values between [−1, 1]. Since the \( A(i; j; k, l) \) coupling constants can be positive and negative as well, locally coupled spin-glasses can be mapped in such systems. In the following we will be especially interested in the case when the \( A(i; j; k, l) \) couplings lead to a frustration and the quenched disorder in the system is similar with that of spin-glass systems \([14, 15]\).

The Lyapunov function defined by Chua et al. has two important properties \([2]\): 1.) it is always a monotone decreasing function in time, \( dE/dt \leq 0 \), so starting from an initial condition \( E \) can only decrease during the dynamics of the CNN. 2.) the final steady state is a local minimum of the energy: \( dE/dt = 0 \). In addition to these, our CNN has also another important property: due to the fact that all self-interaction parameters are \( A(i; j; i, j) = 1 \), it can be shown that the output values of the cells in a final steady state will be always either 1 or −1. The local minima achieved by the CNN is thus an Ising-like configuration. We can conclude thus that starting from any initial condition the final steady state of the template - meaning the result of an operation - will be always a local minimum of the spin-glass type Ising spin system with local connections defined by matrix \( A \). The fact that one single operation is needed for finding a local minimum of the energy, gives us hope to develop fast optimization algorithms.

As already emphasized, the complex frustrated case (locally coupled spin-glass type system), where the \( A \) coupling parameters generates a non-trivial quenched disorder, will be considered here. The minimum energy configuration of such systems is searched by an algorithm which is similar with the well-known simulated annealing method \([16]\). The noise is included with random input images \( (u_{i,j} \text{ values in eq. 2) acting as an external locally variable magnetic field.} \) The strength of this field is governed through parameter \( b \). Whenever \( b \) is different from zero, our CNN template minimizes the energy with form 2: the first part of it being the energy of the considered spin-glass type model and the second part an additional term, which gets minimal when the state of the system is equal to the input image (the external magnetic field). If \( b \) is large, the result will be the input image itself, if \( b = 0 \) the result is a local minimum of the pure Ising-type system.

For values in between, our result is a "compromise" between the two cases. Slowly decreasing the value of \( b \) will result in a process similar with simulated annealing, where the temperature of the system is consecutively lowered. First big fluctuations of the energy are allowed, and by decreasing this we slowly drive the system to a low energy state. Since the method is a stochastic one, we can of course never be totally sure that the global minimum will be achieved.

The steps of the algorithm are the following:
1. One starts from a random initial condition \( x \), and \( b = 5 \) (with this value the result of the template is almost exactly the same as the input image).
2. A binary random input image \( u \) is generated with 1/2 probability of black (1) pixels,
3. Using the \( x \) initial state and the \( u \) input image the CNN template is applied,
4. The value of \( b \) is decreased with steps \( \Delta b \),
5. Steps 2-4 are repeated until \( b = 0 \) is reached. The results of the previous step (minimization) is considered always as the initial state for the next step.
6. When reaching \( b = 0 \) the image (Ising spin configuration) is saved and the energy is calculated.

In the classical simulated annealing method several thousands of steps for a single temperature are needed. Here the CNN template working totally in parallel replaces all these steps. Similarly with choosing the cooling rate in simulated annealing, choosing the value of \( \Delta b \) is also a delicate problem. A proper value providing an acceptable compromise between the quality of the results and speed of the algorithm has to be found. For each system size one can find an optimal value of \( \Delta b \), but as one would expect this is rapidly decreasing by increasing the system size. It is much more effective, both for performance (meaning the probability of finding the real global optimum) and speed, to choose a constant \( \Delta b = 0.05 \) step and repeat the whole cooling process several times. As a result, several different final states will be obtained, and we have a higher probability to get the right global minima between these.

For testing the efficiency of the algorithm one needs to measure the number of steps necessary for finding the right global minima. To do this, one has to previously know the global minima. In case of small systems with \( L = 5, 6 \) this can be obtained by a quick exhaustive search in the phase-space. For bigger systems the classical simulated annealing algorithm was used. The temperature was decreased with a rate of 0.99 (\( T_{\text{final}}/T_{\text{ini}} \)) and 1000 Monte Carlo steps were performed for each temperature.

In the present work spin-glass systems with \( A(i; j; k, l) = \pm 1 \) local connections were studied. The \( p \) probability of the positive bonds was varied (influencing the amount of frustration in the system), and local interactions with the 8 Moore neighbors were considered. For several \( p \) densities of the positive links and various system sizes, we calculated the average number of steps needed for finding the energy minimum. As naturally is expected for the non-trivial frustrated cases, the needed simulation time exponentially increases with the system size. As an example, the \( p = 0.4 \) case is shown on Fig. 1a. Circles represent the estimated time on CNN computers and stars illustrate the time measured on a Pentium 4, 3.4 GHz computer using the classical simulated annealing method. For the calculation time on CNN computers we have used a convention (arguments given in the next paragraph) that roughly 1000 simulation steps are made in 1 second. As observable in the figure, we could made estimates for relatively small system sizes only (\( L \geq 12 \)). The reason for this is that we had to simulate also the operations.
was fixed as 0.

The expected global minima as a function of the probability \( p \) temperature algorithm on a CNN lattice with size \( L \) of positive connections. Simulation results with the proposed method get quite slow for bigger lattices. From Fig. 1b estimated energy minima depends also on the process gets quite slow for bigger lattices. Based on our previous experience of the chip would take a slightly longer time. In such cases the number of requested steps will decrease drastically. As an example in such sense, it has been shown that using spin-glass models as error-correcting codes, their cost-performance is excellent [17], and the systems are usually not even in the spin-glass phase. In this manner by using the CNN chip, finding acceptable results could be very fast, even on big lattices.

IV. CONCLUSION

A cellular neural network with locally variable parameters was used for finding the optimal state of locally coupled, two-dimensional Ising type spin-glass systems. By simulating the proposed optimization algorithm on a CNN chip, where all connections can be locally controlled, very good perspectives for solving such NP hard problems were predicted: CNN computers could be faster than digital computers already at a 10 x 10 lattice size. Chips with 2 and 3 layers of cells were also produced (CACE1k, XENON) and increasing the number of layers is expected in the near future. This further extends the number of possible applications. On two layers is possible to map already a spin system with any connection matrix (even globally coupled spins) and also other important NP-hard problems (e.g. K-SAT) may become

FIG. 1: a) Time needed to reach the minimum energy as a function of the lattice size \( L \). Circles are for estimates on CNN computers and stars are simulated annealing results on 3.4 GHz Pentium 4. Results are averaged on 10000 different configurations with \( p = 0.4 \) probability of positive bonds. For the CNN algorithm \( \Delta b = 0.05 \) was chosen. For simulated annealing the initial temperature was \( T_0 = 0.9 \), final temperature \( T_f = 0.2 \) and the decreasing rate of the temperature was fixed as 0.99. b) Number of steps needed for reaching the presumed global minima as a function of the probability \( p \) of positive connections. Simulation results with the proposed algorithm on a CNN lattice with size \( L = 7 \).
treatable.
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