Hydrogenation of chlorate ions by commercial carbon supported palladium catalysts—a comparative study
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Abstract
The chlorate elimination potential of three commercial activated carbon supported 10 wt% palladium catalysts (Cat-I, Cat-II and Cat-III) have been compared in heterogeneous catalytic hydrogenation. The physical–chemical properties of the catalysts were characterized by using high-resolution transmission electron microscopy, X-ray diffractometry, Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy and ζ potential measurements. Chlorate reduction tests have been carried out by applying the same procedure and conditions in each case. The studied catalysts were active, but Cat-I and Cat-III showed higher activity, and eliminated 93% and 91% of chlorate, respectively. Reuse tests have also been carried out to compare the catalysts. Although Cat-I and Cat-III were shown almost equally high activity in the first cycle, the reuse tests showed that Cat-III could have a better applicability.
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Introduction
Chlorate as water pollutant can be found in surface and groundwater as well, and it enters the water as a result of human activity [1]. There are many sources of potential release of chlorate to the environment [2]. It can be formed during the decomposition of chlorine dioxide, which is used as water disinfectant, but also as bleaching
agent for cellulose, paper pulp, flour, oils and cleaning and detanning leather [3–5]. The use of chlorine dioxide has increased in the last century, because, unlike chlorine, it does not lead to the formation of significant levels of additional harmful disinfection by-products (DBPs) such as trihalomethanes (THMs) and haloacetic acids (HAAs) [6, 7]. However, chlorate formation is problematic and as a pollutant of the environment needs to be handled. Furthermore, its appearance in industrial processes could also cause complications and deteriorate the production, such as in membrane cell electrolysis [8].

According to the guidelines of the World Health Organization, 700 μg/L is the acceptable upper limit for chlorate concentration in drinking water [9]. Several methods for removing chlorate from water or brine have been already investigated, such as adsorption with granular activated carbon [10], thermal treatment with HCl and UV [11], thermal hydrochloric acid treatment in combination with a reducing agent [12], or biochemical reduction method [13]. However, there is no standardized procedure for the removal [14, 15]. The most common technologies used to treat oxyanion-contaminated water are ion-exchange, reverse osmosis and adsorption [16, 17]. Although these methods are effective, but they only separate the contaminants, by either trapping them in a resin matrix, or concentrating in a waste brine without elimination [18, 19]. Destructive treatments such as catalytic hydrogenation may be preferred to convert oxyanions into less toxic or harmless end products (e.g. Br−, Cl− and N2) [20–22]. Catalytic procedures are advantageous, because their energy demand is lower and they can initiate the degradation of contaminants in water without waste, or by-products [17].

Catalytic hydrogenation of perchlorate and bromate has been extensively investigated [19, 23–27], in contrast to that, catalytic chlorate hydrogenation has not been examined in too many cases. Kuznetsova et al. [28] prepared Ir/AC (Ir/active carbon) catalysts and successfully used them in chlorate hydrogenation experiments. The best catalyst was able to achieve 100% chlorate conversion and contained 5 wt% Ir [28].

The efficiency of catalysts in the catalytic hydrogenation of halogen oxyanions can be increased with rhenium [29]. Elements of the platinum group (Ir, Rh, Pt) were used as active metals for the catalysis, while silica, titanium dioxide and activated carbon were tested as supports. In these experiments, the activity of monometallic catalysts (Ir, Rh, Pt or Re) was lower. However, when 15 or 25 wt% of the precious metals were replaced by Re, the activity of the catalysts increased [29].

Pd, Ru, Rh and Pt were also tested in combination with activated carbon and alumina supports as potential catalysts in chlorate and perchlorate reduction [20, 30]. For both carrier, the catalyst with rhodium nanoparticles had the highest catalytic activity [20, 30]. The performance of Ru/AC, Rh/AC and Pd/AC catalysts was also compared and the rhodium was the fastest, while the ruthenium was the slowest in terms of reduction [30].

Despite the fact that chlorate reduction by hydrogen in the presence of a catalyst will lead to the formation of benign species, water and chloride ions [17], this process is not over-examined and there are still room for improvements. To pave the way of the design of novel catalysts successfully applicable in chlorate hydrogenation, first of all, the performance of promising commercial catalysts should be
compared. Therefore, three commercially available activated carbon supported Pd (10 wt%) catalysts have been studied. Their chlorate elimination potential has been compared in heterogeneous catalytic hydrogenation. Reuse tests were also carried out to get more information about the activity of the catalysts.

**Experimental**

Potassium chlorate (KClO₃, from Aldrich), potassium iodide, (KI, from Merck), concentrated (37 wt%) hydrochloric acid (HCl, from VWR), hydrogen and nitrogen (5.0 and 4.5 purity, from Messer Ltd.) were used during the chlorate hydrogenation experiments. The tested catalysts were activated carbon supported 10 wt% Pd systems purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (cat.num.: 205699, Cat-I), N.E. Chemcat Co. (cat.num.: chcq10, Cat-II) and Alfa Aesar Chemical Co. Ltd (cat.num.: 44696, Cat-III).

To characterize the catalysts high-resolution transmission electron microscopy was applied (HRTEM), by using the FEI Technai G2 equipment (electron source W emitter, 200 kV). Sample preparation was carried out by dropping aqueous suspension of the catalyst samples on 300 mesh copper grids (lacey carbon, Ted Pella Inc.). The diameters of the nanoparticles were measured on the HRTEM images, based on the original scale bar using the ImageJ software. X-ray diffractometry (XRD) was also performed by using a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer (Cu Kα source, 40 kV and 40 mA, with Vantec 1 detector) to identify and quantify the palladium nanoparticles. The functional groups on the surface of the activated carbon supports were studied by using Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). This was carried out by using a Bruker Vertex 70 equipment in transmission mode with KBr pellets (10 mg sample in 250 mg KBr) and the interval was 400–4000 cm⁻¹, while the resolution was 4 cm⁻¹ with 16 min⁻¹ scan rate. Electro kinetic potentials were also measured in aqueous phase (5 mg sample in 100 ml dist. water) by using a Malvern Zeta Sizer Nano Zs. Laser Doppler Micro-electrophoresis technique was applied, and the electrokinetic potential values were calculated based on the electrophoretic mobilities by using the Henry equation.

Hydrogenation of the ClO₃⁻ ions was performed in aqueous solution of potassium-chlorate (200 mg dm⁻³). The solution was placed in a side-inlet gas washing bottle with fritted disc and the temperature was set to 80 °C by using a Julabo circulator. Gas supply was provided (40 standard cm³ nitrogen and 100 standard cm³ hydrogen), while the amount of catalyst was 200 mg in all measurements. The experiments were run for 3 h and sampling was carried out after 0, 5, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90, 120, 150, and, 180 min.

Chlorate concentration in the samples was determined by using the UV-6300PC spectrophotometer at 351 nm wavelength, applying redox reaction between iodide and chlorate ions as follows:

\[ \text{KClO}_3 + 6\text{KI} + 6\text{HCl} \rightarrow 3\text{H}_2\text{O} + 3\text{I}_2 + 7\text{KCl} \]
The colour of the solution changed as the iodine formed during the reaction and this can be associated with the concentration change of the chlorate. For each sample (1 mL), 0.1 g potassium iodide and 1 mL hydrochloric acid were added and then it was diluted to 50 ml with distilled water. The quantity of iodine was measured by using a UV–VIS spectrophotometer. This spectrophotometric method was calibrated by using potassium-chlorate solutions with different concentrations (0, 50, 100, 150 and 200 mg dm\(^{-3}\)).

**Results and discussion**

**Characterization of the catalysts**

The palladium nanoparticles of the studied catalyst are visible on the HRTEM images (Fig. 1a–c). The particles are quite small in each case.

In case of the catalyst Cat-I, the size distribution is slightly heterodisperse as the diameter of the particles varying between 3.4 and 18.9 nm. The mean size was 7.6 ± 3.3 nm, with relatively high standard deviation which means, that the dispersibility of Pd particles is not homogeneous and this is visible on the histogram as well (Fig. 1d). It is visible on the HRTEM image of Cat-II catalyst that the activated carbon surface is abundantly covered by palladium nanoparticles. The average particle size within this sample was 3.7 ± 1.0 nm, while the minimum and maximum were

**Fig. 1** HRTEM images of the studied commercially available catalysts: Cat-I (a), Cat-II (b) and Cat-III (c). These are activated carbon based 10 wt% Pd systems. Particle-size distributions (d) and XRD patterns (e) have also been measured and compared
1.8 and 6.1 nm, respectively (Fig. 1d). The size distribution is nearly homogeneous as the particle size in the first (Q1) and third quartile (Q3) is 3.5 and 4.4 nm, respectively (Table 1). The particle-size distribution of catalyst of Cat-III, is not as hetero-disperse as of Cat-I (Fig. 1d). However, the mean diameter of the Pd nanoparticles is bigger (5.9 ± 2.0 nm) and the particle size varied between a wider (2.6 and 13.0 nm) range compare to Cat-II (Table 1).

The crystalline phases of the palladium within the catalyst samples were characterized by XRD (Fig. 1e). In each case three reflexion peaks were identified at 40.1°, 46.6° and 68.3° 2Θ degrees, which belongs to the Pd (111), Pd (200) and Pd (220) phases. Thus, in each catalyst, the total amount of palladium is in metallic (elemental) phase and there is no significant difference between them in terms of their Pd phases.

High dispersibility of the catalysts in aqueous media is very important as the accessibility of the catalytically active phase of the catalysts by the reactant molecules depends heavily on the dispersibility of the catalysts and thus, influence the catalytic activity. The dispersibility is increasing by the surface polarity of the catalyst. Thus, the presence of oxygen-containing functional groups (hydroxyl-, carboxyl-groups etc.) is crucial to achieve high catalytic activity. Hydroxyl- and carboxyl groups can be deprotonated, depending on the pH of the environment, and thus, the surface charge will be negative, which will provide dispersion stability in aqueous phase. In the case of the studied catalysts and the investigated chlorate elimination process the presence of the oxygen containing functional groups on the surface of the activated carbon support can be pivotal, and thus, this was verified by using FTIR. Absorption bands at 3418 cm⁻¹, 3439 cm⁻¹, 3446 cm⁻¹ have been located on the infrared spectra of catalyst Cat-I, Cat-II and Cat-III. These can be associated with the stretching of the surface –OH groups. Peaks at 2841 cm⁻¹ and 2915 cm⁻¹ were also identified, which are the symmetric and asymmetric stretching modes of the –CH₂. All spectra have a band at 1630 cm⁻¹, which indicates the νC=C vibration. The Cat-III has a peak at 1392 cm⁻¹ which does not appear on the other spectra and it corresponds to the βOH vibration mode.

The presence of oxygen containing functional groups has been verified and thus, negative surface charge is expected as the deprotonation of the dissociable functional groups (–OH) will lead to that. The pH of the aqueous solution of the catalysts (5 mg in 100 ml distilled water) has also been measured and in the case of Cat-I and Cat-II it was 6.98 and 6.90, respectively, while for Cat-III it was slightly basic (7.08). However, the difference is not significant and thus, their electrokinetic potential can be

|        | Mean (nm) | Min. (nm) | Max. (nm) | Q1 (nm) | Median (nm) | Q3 (nm) |
|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Cat-I  | 7.6 ± 3.3 | 3.4       | 18.9      | 5.2     | 6.6         | 9.3     |
| Cat-II | 3.7 ± 1.0 | 1.8       | 6.1       | 3.2     | 3.5         | 4.4     |
| Cat-III| 5.9 ± 2.0 | 2.6       | 13.0      | 4.3     | 5.6         | 6.6     |
compared. The ζ potential of the catalysts was measured, and negative values were experienced in each case (Fig. 2B). The most negative ζ-potential (−25.1 mV) was measured in case of Cat-III. The ζ-potential values of Cat-I and Cat-II are higher and quite close to each other −19.2 mV and −21.2 mV, respectively.

Catalytic hydrogenation of chlorate ions

Chlorate elimination was carried out by using the tested catalysts in heterogeneous catalytic hydrogenation. The initial chlorate concentration was 200 mg dm$^{-3}$ in each case.

The most intensive effect on the chlorate ion concentration was achieved by Cat-I, which reduced the chlorate concentration to 14.8 mg dm$^{-3}$ and thus, 93% of the initial ClO$_3^-$ ions converted to chloride. Cat-III was able to reduce the ClO$_3^-$ concentration by 91%, while Cat-II was only able to reach 60.4 mg dm$^{-3}$ which is a 70% reduction (Fig. 3a). The reusability of the catalysts was also compared. Catalysts were examined in reuse tests and three cycles have been performed (Fig. 3b). The catalysts were regenerated at 400 °C in hydrogen atmosphere after each cycle. The activity of Cat-I and Cat-III significantly decreased after the first cycle and in the second cycle only 81% and 78% of the chlorate ions eliminated by them, respectively. The chlorate reduction was also decreased when Cat-II was used and in the second cycle only 63% was achieved. However, in the third cycle the activity of Cat-II and Cat-III is not changed significantly, the decrement was only 4 and 1%, respectively. In contrast to this, Cat-I showed a steady decline (93%, 81%, 63%) during the reuse tests.

All in all, the three tested commercially available catalysts are applicable in catalytic chlorate reduction. Although Cat-I and Cat-III were shown almost equally high activity in the first cycle, the reuse tests showed that Cat-III could have a better applicability as after repeated usage and regeneration it keeps higher catalytic activity in chlorate reduction than the other two tested catalysts.

![FTIR spectra](a) and electro-kinetic potential distributions (b) of the studied activated carbon supported palladium catalysts: Cat-I, Cat-II, Cat-III
Conclusion

The chlorate elimination potential of three commercial activated carbon supported 10 wt% palladium catalysts have been compared in heterogeneous catalytic hydrogenation. The catalysts were activated in hydrogen atmosphere before the hydrogenation tests. The samples were characterized by high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM), X-ray diffractometry (XRD), Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and Zeta potential measurements. In each case, the activated carbon supports are abundantly covered by elemental palladium nanoparticles with high dispersibility. The mean particle size was 7.6, 3.7 and 5.9 nm, for Cat-I, Cat-II and Cat-III. In each catalyst, the total amount of palladium is in elemental phase. The presence of oxygen containing functionalities groups on the surface of the activated carbon supports has been verified. The electrokinetic potential of the catalysts was measured and negative Zeta potential was experienced in each case as it was be expected based on the functional groups on the surface. The most negative ζ-potential (− 25.1 mV) was measured in case of Cat-III. The ζ-potential values of Cat-I and Cat-II are lower and quite close to each other − 19.2 mV and − 21.2 mV, respectively. Each catalyst was active during the chlorate reduction experiments. Although Cat-I and Cat-III were shown almost equally high activity in the first cycle, the reuse tests showed that Cat-III could have a better applicability as after repeated usage and regeneration (91%, 78%, 74%) it has higher catalytic activity in chlorate reduction than the other two tested catalysts.
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