Bulk viscosity of dilute gases and their mixtures

Bhanuday Sharma *, Rakesh Kumar †‡

Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India
Savitha Pareek
DELL HPC and AI Innovation Lab, Bengaluru, India

Abstract

In this work, we use the Green-Kubo method to study the bulk viscosity of various dilute gases and their mixtures. First, we study the effects of the atomic mass on the bulk viscosity of dilute diatomic gas by estimating the bulk viscosity of four different isotopes of nitrogen gas. We then study the effects of addition of noble gas on the bulk viscosity of dilute nitrogen gas. We consider mixtures of nitrogen with three noble gases, viz., neon, argon, and krypton at eight different compositions between pure nitrogen to pure noble gas. It is followed by an estimation of bulk viscosity of pure oxygen and mixtures of nitrogen and oxygen for various compositions. In this case, three different composition are considered, viz., 25% N₂ + 75% O₂, 50% N₂ + 50% O₂, and 78% N₂ + 22% O₂. The last composition is aimed to represent the dry air. A brief review of works that study the effects of incorporation of bulk viscosity in analysis of various flow situations has also been provided.

1 Introduction

Two coefficients of viscosity, viz., shear (µ) and bulk viscosity (µₘ), are required to describe the flow of an isotropic, Newtonian, and homogeneous fluid in a continuum framework. In commonly encountered flow problems, it is sufficient to account for only the effects of shear viscosity and ignore the bulk viscosity. Therefore, bulk viscosity is relatively less studied as compared to shear viscosity. In fact, for several years, the very existence of bulk viscosity had been questioned [1]. However, numerous studies [2–29] in the past three decades have been carried out on estimation of bulk viscosity, and it is now well established that fluids possess nonzero bulk viscosity. Several studies [30–42] have also been conducted to study the effects of accounting bulk viscosity in these flow situations, and these suggest that this transport property may play an important role in capturing physics of several flow situations. However, the scope and accuracy of these studies are limited by available values of the bulk viscosity of fluids. In contrast to shear viscosity, precise and accurate values of bulk viscosity are rarely available and typically a wide variation in the estimates of the latter can be observed in the literature.

In this work, we first briefly review the works that focus on mechanisms responsible for bulk viscosity effects, and then summarize a few studies on effects of bulk viscosity in various flow problems. Then we use the Green-Kubo method in equilibrium molecular dynamics framework to
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calculate the bulk viscosity of dilute gases and their mixtures. We first study the effects of atomic
mass on the bulk viscosity of dilute gas. To this end, we have estimated the bulk viscosity of
three isotopes of nitrogen. It is followed by a study on the effects of addition of noble gases to
dilute nitrogen by calculating the bulk viscosity of mixtures of dilute nitrogen gas and noble gases.
Furthermore, we have estimated the bulk viscosity of pure oxygen and the mixture of nitrogen and
oxygen (including a particular case of dry air).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. A brief overview of mechanisms of bulk viscosity
from the perspective of both dilute and dense fluids is given in Sec. 2. Section 3 reviews past works
on importance of bulk viscosity effects in various flow situations. The details of numerical method
and molecular model are given in Sec. 4. The results and corresponding discussion is provided in
Sec. 5. Concluding remarks are made in Sec. 6.

2 Mechanism of bulk viscosity

Bulk viscosity is a macroscopic manifestation of the microscopic relaxation phenomenon. On the
basis of the type of energy involved in relaxation, it can be classified into two components. Nettleton
named these two components as apparent and intrinsic bulk viscosity. Apparent bulk viscosity
is related to the finite rate of energy exchange among translational and internal degrees of freedom.
Therefore, this is the primary mechanism of bulk viscosity in polyatomic fluids, e.g., N2 and CO2.
On the other hand, intrinsic bulk viscosity is related to the relaxation of potential energy. This
mechanism is the primary source of bulk viscosity in dense gases and liquids.

2.1 Apparent bulk viscosity

This mechanism was first suggested by Herzfeld and Rice. Whenever a gas is compressed or ex-
panded, it gains or loses energy by means of work. However, this exchange of energy only affects
the translational mode of motion. That means the rise or fall of translational energy is instantaneous.
On the other hand, the internal modes, i.e., rotational and vibrational modes, receive/transfers
energy from/to translational mode by means of intermolecular collisions. Hence, the energy of in-
ternal modes does not alter instantaneously but at a finite rate. The mechanical pressure is only
caused by translational motion, whereas the thermodynamic pressure is the mechanical pressure of
the system when brought to equilibrium through an adiabatic process. Since, during change
of volume, the instantaneous translational energy of the system is not same as that if the system
is adiabatically brought to equilibrium, the mechanical and thermodynamic pressures differ. This
difference between mechanical and thermodynamic pressure causes nonzero bulk viscosity.

2.2 Intrinsic bulk viscosity

This mechanism was first proposed by Hall in 1948. Consider a compression of dense fluid
such as liquid water. During compression, two different processes take place. The first one is
that molecules are brought uniformly closer together. It can be called molecular compression,
and it is an almost instantaneous process. The second process is that molecules are rearranged or
repacked more closely. Hall identified this process as configurational or structural compression. This
process involves the breaking of intermolecular bonds (e.g., hydrogen bonds) or flow past energy
barriers, which stabilizes the equilibrium configuration. This is a finite rate process. Thus it is of
relaxational nature and is a source of nonequilibrium. This mechanism of bulk viscosity is present
in all fluids including monatomic gases. Hence, monatomic gases at atmospheric conditions have
a small ($\mathcal{O}(10^{-10})$ Pa s) but non-zero bulk viscosity. It should also be noted that at hypothetical dilute gas conditions, the bulk viscosity of monatomic gases is considered to be absolute zero.

## 3 Applications of bulk viscosity

Bulk viscosity effects become important when either the $\nabla \cdot \vec{u}$ is very high (e.g., inside a shock wave), or when fluid is compressed and expanded in repeated cycles such that the cumulative effect of the small contributions from each cycle is no more negligible (e.g., sound wave) [47], or when the atmosphere consists of the majority of those gases, such as CO$_2$, which exhibit a large bulk viscosity [48], or when results of interest might get affected by even small disturbances, e.g., the study of Rayleigh-Taylor instability [49]. In such cases, it becomes necessary to account for the bulk viscosity terms in the Navier-Stokes equation.

Several researchers have investigated the effects of the incorporation of bulk viscosity in analytical or CFD studies of various flow scenarios. Emanuel et al. [48,50–52] reviewed bulk viscosity and suggested that the effects of bulk viscosity should be accounted for in the study of high-speed entry into planetary atmospheres. They observed that the inclusion of bulk viscosity could significantly increase heat transfer in the hypersonic boundary layer [48]. Chikikin [53] studied the effects of bulk viscosity in flow past a spacecraft. They reported that the consideration of bulk viscosity improved the agreement of velocity profile and shock wave thickness with experiments. Shevlev [54] studied the effects of bulk viscosity on CO$_2$ hypersonic flow around blunt bodies. The conclusions of their study were in line with that of Emanuel. They suggested that incorporation of bulk viscosity may improve predictions of surface heat transfer and other flow properties in shock layer.

Elizarova et al. [55] and Claycomb et al. [56] carried out CFD simulations of normal shock. They found that including bulk viscosity improved the agreement with experimental observations for shock wave thickness. A recent study by Kosuge and Aoki [30] on shock-wave structure for polyatomic gases also confirms the same. Bahmani et al. [57] studied the effects of large bulk to shear viscosity ratio on shock boundary layer interaction. They found that a sufficiently high bulk to shear viscosity ratio can suppress the shock-induced flow separation. Singh and Myong [31] studied the effects of bulk viscosity in shock-vortex interaction in monatomic and diatomic gases. They reported a substantially strengthened enstrophy evolution in the case of diatomic gas flow. Singh et al. [32] investigated the impact of bulk viscosity on the flow morphology of a shock-accelerated cylindrical light bubble in diatomic and polyatomic gases. They found that the diatomic and polyatomic gases have significantly different flow morphology than monatomic gases. They produce larger rolled-up vortex chains, various inward jet formations, and large mixing zones with strong, large-scale expansion. Touber [35] studied the effects of bulk viscosity in the dissipation of energy in turbulent flows. He found that large bulk-to-shear viscosity ratios may enhance transfers to small-scale solenoidal kinetic energy, and therefore, faster dissipation rates. Riabov [58] questioned the ability of bulk viscosity to model spherically-expanding nitrogen flows in temperature range 10 to 1000 K by comparing results to Navier-Stokes equations to relaxation equation. He reported that the bulk viscosity approach predicts much thinner spherical shock wave areas than those predicted by relaxation equations. Moreover, the distributions of rotational temperature along the radial direction predicted by the bulk viscosity approach had neither any physical meaning nor matches with any known experimental data for expanding nitrogen flows.

Fru et al. [59] performed direct numerical simulations (DNS) study of high turbulence combustion of premixed methane gas. They found that the incorporation of bulk viscosity does not impact flame structures in both laminar and turbulent flow regimes. Later, the same group extended their study to other fuels, viz., hydrogen and synthetic gas. In this study [60], they found that though flame
structures of methane remained unchanged before and after incorporation of bulk viscosity, the
same for hydrogen and syngas showed noticeable modifications. Sengupta et al. [49] studied the
role of bulk viscosity on Rayleigh Taylor instability. They found that the growth of the mixing
layer depends upon bulk viscosity. Pan et al. [33] has shown that bulk viscosity effects cannot be
neglected for turbulent flows of fluids with high bulk to shear viscosity ratio. They found that
bulk viscosity increases the decay rate of turbulent kinetic energy. Boukharfane et al. [36] studied
the mechanism through which bulk viscosity affects the turbulent flow. They found that the local
and instantaneous structure of the mixing layer may vary significantly if bulk viscosity effects are
taken into account. They identified that the mean statistical quantities, e.g., the vorticity thickness
growth rate, do not get affected by bulk viscosity. On this basis of their study, they concluded that
results of refined large-eddy simulations (LES) might show dependence on the presence/absence
of bulk viscosity, but Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes (RANS) simulations might not as they are
based on statistical averages.

Connor [34] studied the effects of bulk viscosity in the compressible turbulent one-, two-, and
three-dimensional Couette flows through DNS simulations. The objective of the study was to test
whether invoking the Stokes’ hypothesis introduces significant errors in the analysis of compressible
flow of solar thermal power plants, and carbon capture and storage (CCS) compressors. They found
that most of the energy is contained in the solenoidal velocity for both CCS and concentrated solar
power plants. Therefore, assuming bulk viscosity to be zero does not produce any significant errors,
despite the compressors operating at supersonic conditions. However, bulk viscosity effects may
become significantly close to the thermodynamic critical point.

Billet et al. [61] showed that the inclusion of bulk viscosity in CFD simulations of supersonic
combustion modifies the vorticity of the flow. Lin et al. [38, 39] have shown that acoustic wave
attenuation in CFD simulations can be accounted for by incorporating bulk viscosity. Nazari [37]
studied the influence of liquid bulk viscosity on the dynamics of a single cavitation bubble. They
reported that bulk viscosity significantly affects the collapse phase of the bubble at high ultrasonic
amplitudes and high viscosities. High bulk viscosity values also altered the maximum pressure value
inside the bubble.

In all of these applications and beyond, precise values of bulk viscosity are needed. However,
in contrast to shear viscosity, which is a very well studied subject, neither the nature of the bulk
viscosity is well understood, nor widely accepted values of bulk viscosity are available even for
common gases, such as nitrogen, oxygen, and air. To this end, the present work aims to fill some of
this research gap by calculating bulk viscosity of dilute gases and their mixtures through a molecular
dynamics approach.

4 Molecular model and simulation details

4.1 Green-Kubo method

There have been several methods proposed in the literature for the estimation of bulk viscosity. A
brief survey of these methods can be found in Ref. [11, 12]. In our previous publications [11, 12], we
have systematically studied two simulation methods to estimate bulk viscosity, viz., nonequilibrium
molecular dynamics (NEMD) based continuous expansion/compression method and equilibrium
molecular dynamics (EMD) based Green-Kubo method. It was established that both the methods
predict accurate bulk viscosity values; however, the Green-Kubo method requires less computational
resources. Therefore, the same has been used in this present study to investigate the nature and
quantitative value of the bulk viscosity of dilute gases and their mixtures. This method is based on
Green-Kubo relations \([\text{Eqs. (1) and (2)}]\), which are derived from fluctuation-dissipation theorem, and express viscosity coefficients in terms of the integral of auto-correlation functions of components of pressure tensor.

\[
\mu = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{V}{k_B T} \int_0^t \langle P_{ij}(t') P_{ij}(0) \rangle dt'
\]

\[
\mu_b = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{V}{k_B T} \int_0^t \langle \delta P(t') \delta P(0) \rangle dt'
\]

Here, \(k_B\) is the Boltzmann constant, \(V\) is volume, \(T\) is temperature, \(P_{ij}(t')\) is the instantaneous value of \(ij^{th}\) off-diagonal element of the pressure tensor at a time \(t'\), and the angle bracket represents the ensemble average. In the Eq. (2), the \(P(t')\) is an instantaneous value of the average of three diagonal terms of pressure tensor at a time \(t'\), i.e., \(P(t') = \frac{1}{3} [P_{ii}(t') + P_{jj}(t') + P_{kk}(t')]\). The fluctuations, \(\delta P(t')\), is deviation of mean pressure from equilibrium pressure, i.e., \(\delta P(t') = P(t') - P_{eq}\); where \(P_{eq}\) is equilibrium pressure of the system, and it is calculated by time average of \(P(t')\) over a long time.

4.2 Molecular model

In the present work, all the molecules are modeled using standard 12–6 Lennard-Jones potential, \(V\), given as follows:

\[
V(r_{ij}) = \begin{cases} 
4\varepsilon \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma}{r_{ij}} \right)^{12} - \left( \frac{\sigma}{r_{ij}} \right)^6 \right], & \text{if } r_{ij} < r_{\text{cut-off}} \\
0, & \text{if } r_{ij} > r_{\text{cut-off}}
\end{cases}
\]

where, \(r_{ij}\) is the inter-atomic distance between atom \(i\) and atom \(j\), and \(\sigma\) and \(\varepsilon\) are the Lennard-Jones parameters. The details of \(\sigma\) and \(\varepsilon\) are given in Tables 1 and 2. In order to model the inter-atomic interaction between unlike molecules, the Lorentz-Berthelot combination rule given by Eqs. (4) and (5) is used.

\[
\sigma_{12} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{11} + \sigma_{22})
\]

\[
\epsilon_{12} = \sqrt{\epsilon_{11} \epsilon_{22}}
\]

The open-source molecular dynamics simulation software LAMMPS \(62\) has been used for performing molecular dynamics simulations. The open-source plotting software VEUSZ \(63\) has been used for plotting graphs. The autocorrelation function required for the Green-Kubo method is calculated from the average of 120 trajectories, each of 300 ns in length. The time step used is 1 fs. Rigid rotor approximation is applied everywhere except stated otherwise.
Table 1: Molecular models for oxygen gas

| Source         | $\epsilon/k_B$ [K] | $\sigma$ [Å] | Bond-length [Å] |
|---------------|------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| CHARM         | 60.389           | 3.029       | 1.208           |
| CHARM-2       | 60.389           | 3.029       | 1.12            |
| Javanainen et al. | 48.458       | 3.13        | 1.016           |
| Bouanich et al. | 52.583       | 3.0058      | 1.21            |
| Cordeiro et al. | 35.0          | 2.6         | 1.21            |
| Fishcher et al. | 43.659       | 3.09        | 1.016           |
| Porrini et al. | 52.331           | 2.96        | 1.12            |
| Victor et al. | 67.0             | 3.57        | 1.214           |

Table 2: Molecular models for nitrogen and noble gases

| Gas           | Source            | $\epsilon/k_B$ [K] | $\sigma$ [Å] | Bond-length [Å] |
|---------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Nitrogen      | Tokumasu et al.  | 47.202           | 3.17        | 1.098           |
| Neon          | Vrabec et al.    | 33.921           | 2.8010      | –               |
| Argon         | Vrabec et al.    | 116.79           | 3.3952      | –               |
| Krypton       | Vrabec et al.    | 162.58           | 3.6274      | –               |

5 Results and discussion

5.1 Effect of atomic mass: bulk viscosity of isotopes of N$_2$

Bulk viscosity in dilute nitrogen gas at temperatures less than 800 K is primarily due to the finite rate of energy exchange between translational and rotational modes. The ease of rotation of a molecule depends on the moment of inertia, and hence, on the mass of constitutive atoms and their distribution in the molecule. Therefore, it is expected that different isotopes would show different values of bulk viscosity, even though their interaction with neighboring molecules remains the same. To study this dependency of bulk viscosity on the mass of nuclei, we have calculated the bulk viscosity of four isotopes of nitrogen molecule. In the first three molecules, both the nitrogen atoms have the same atomic mass, viz., 13.0057, 14.0067, and 15.0001, for three molecules. In the fourth molecule, the two nitrogen atoms have different atomic masses, i.e., 13.0057 and 14.0067. For each of these molecules, both shear and bulk viscosities are calculated at 1 bar at four different temperatures, as shown in Fig. 1a and 1b.

From Fig. 1b, it can be observed that for the first group, where both the nuclei have the same atomic mass, bulk viscosity increases with an increase in moment of inertia (see Table 3). A possible explanation for this behavior could be that an increase in atomic mass increases the moment of inertia, making any change in rotational energy/velocity more difficult. Therefore, translational to rotational and rotational to translational energy exchange is slowed down. Further, the bulk viscosity of isotope with heterogeneous nuclei is found to be smaller than the homogeneous ones.
Table 3: Moment of inertia of molecules considered in Fig. 1b

| $m_1$ [u] | $m_2$ [u] | $I$ [u-Å²] |
|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| 13.0057   | 13.0057   | 7.83986197  |
| 14.0067   | 14.0067   | 8.44326677  |
| 15.0001   | 15.0001   | 9.04209028  |
| 13.0057   | 14.0067   | 8.17510498  |

Figure 1: Bulk and shear viscosity of different isotopes of nitrogen gas. Here, $m_1$ and $m_2$ are masses of two atoms of the nitrogen molecule.

The probable reason is the fact that heterogeneous mass distribution causes shifting of the center of mass, which makes rotation easier.

5.2 Bulk viscosity of mixture of nitrogen with noble gas

In the process of understanding the effects of impurity (i.e., addition of another gas) on bulk viscosity of dilute gases, a simplest mixture would be a mixture of a diatomic and monatomic gas; as the dilute monatomic gases do not have rotational or vibrational degrees of freedom, their mixture would also show negligible bulk viscosity. In this work, we have estimated the bulk viscosity of mixtures of dilute nitrogen gas and three noble gases, i.e., neon, argon, and xenon. For each combination, bulk viscosity values were calculated at 300, 450, 600, and 750 K for thirteen different compositions, viz., 0, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 95 and 100%. Figure 2 shows the obtained results. It can be observed that the bulk viscosity increases with temperature. It can also be observed that the small amount ($<5\%$) of impurity of noble gas does not alter bulk viscosity value significantly. However, a small amount (5\%) of nitrogen in 95\% noble gas does make a noticeable increase in the
bulk viscosity of mixture.

5.3 Bulk viscosity of O₂

Oxygen is the second-largest constituent (21%) of earth atmosphere after nitrogen (78%). Therefore, it becomes important to understand the nature of bulk viscosity of this gas before we study the bulk viscosity of mixture of oxygen and nitrogen. There are several atom-atom models available for modeling oxygen molecule in molecular dynamics simulations. Here, we have considered eight models and calculated their shear and bulk viscosities. The details of these models, e.g., σ and ϵ, are given in Table 1. Figures 3a and 3b show the results obtained for shear and bulk viscosity respectively and their comparison with the available experimental data of Refs. [72–76]. A close inspection of these figures shows that none of the models reproduces both shear and bulk viscosity values agreeing with experimental data simultaneously. The models by Bouanich et al. [66] and Fischer et al. [68] give shear viscosity in close agreement to that of NIST [72] data but fails to reproduce bulk viscosity values well. On the other hand, CHARM-2 [64] produces both the bulk viscosity and slope of the curve in agreement with that reported by Brau and Jonkman [75] in their experimental study. However, their shear viscosity values show a clear deviation from that of NIST data. Out of all these models, the model by Javanainen [65] is the only model that gives bulk viscosity matching with experiments in the complete range of 300 to 600 K. The deviation of shear viscosity predicted by this model is also small. Therefore, we have used this molecular model of oxygen gas for further study of the estimation of bulk viscosity of a mixture of nitrogen and oxygen gas.

5.4 Bulk viscosity of N₂ + O₂ mixture

Once we have optimized the Green-Kubo method for estimation of bulk viscosity and we also have molecular models for both nitrogen and oxygen gases that produce both shear and bulk viscosities reasonably well, we study the mixture of nitrogen and oxygen gases. We calculate the bulk viscosity of this mixture at three different compositions, i.e., 25% N₂ + 75% O₂, 50% N₂ + 50% O₂, and 78% N₂ + 22% O₂. The last composition is chosen such that it approximates the dry air. Figures 4a and 4b show the results obtained for shear and bulk viscosity of these mixtures. It can be observed that the both the shear and bulk viscosity values vary linearly in the investigated temperature regime. Furthermore, for dry air, these estimates give bulk to shear viscosity ratio (μ₀/μ) between 0.6 to 0.8, as shown in Fig. 5. Figure 5 also shows that the obtained μ₀/μ ratio shows reasonably good agreement with previously reported values [23,77], especially those reported in the recent 2021 study by Ma et al. [23]. The reported results on bulk viscosity are especially useful in simulations of high Mach number flow of air in earth atmosphere, where bulk viscosity effects cannot be neglected. Finally, it should also be noted that transport properties of mixtures are directly affected by the inter-molecular interaction potential. Here, to obtain viscosity values, we have approximated the interaction between nitrogen and oxygen atoms using the Lorentz-Berthelot mixing rule. For an accurate estimation of viscosity values, potentials obtained through ab-initio calculations should be used.
Figure 2: Bulk viscosity of different compositions of mixture of nitrogen (N$_2$) and noble gases (X). (a) N$_2$ + Ne (b) N$_2$ + Kr (c) N$_2$ + Ar
Figure 3: Shear and bulk viscosity of O\textsubscript{2} calculated using different potentials.

Figure 4: Bulk and shear viscosity of N\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{2} mixture at various compositions.
Figure 5: Bulk to shear viscosity ratio for dry air

6 Conclusions

In this paper, we have studied the bulk viscosity of various gases and their mixtures, viz., isotopes of \( \text{N}_2 \); mixture of nitrogen with noble gases, i.e., \( \text{N}_2 + \text{Ne}, \text{N}_2 + \text{Ar}, \text{N}_2 + \text{Kr} \); pure \( \text{O}_2 \); mixture of \( \text{N}_2 \) and \( \text{O}_2 \); and mixture of \( \text{N}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \) gas mixtures. In the study of isotopes of nitrogen gas, it was observed that the bulk viscosity of gas increases with an increase in molecular mass, if the other molecule parameters, i.e., bond length and interaction parameters, are kept the same. However, bulk viscosity decreases if the total mass is kept constant but distributed non-uniformly among two constituent atoms of the diatomic nitrogen molecule. In the study of bulk viscosity of mixtures of nitrogen with noble gases, it was observed that a small amount (5%) of impurity of noble gas does not alter bulk viscosity significantly, and bulk viscosity of 95% \( \text{N}_2 \) + 5% noble-gas mixture remained almost same as pure nitrogen. In contrast, a small amount (5%) of nitrogen in 95% noble gas makes a noticeable increase in the bulk viscosity of the mixture compared to the zero bulk viscosity of pure noble gases. Next, we reported the bulk viscosity of pure oxygen and mixtures of nitrogen and oxygen. Both nitrogen and oxygen were found to have almost similar values of bulk viscosity. Three different composition were considered, viz., 25% \( \text{N}_2 \) + 75% \( \text{O}_2 \), 50% \( \text{N}_2 \) + 50% \( \text{O}_2 \), and 78% \( \text{N}_2 \) + 22% \( \text{O}_2 \).

Future works can aim to study the effect of humidity on bulk viscosity of nitrogen gas. This would require accurate modeling of vibrational levels accurately. However, it is not straightforward to simulate vibrational levels accurately in the classical molecular dynamics framework, as vibrational energy levels are quantized. Most of the potentials, such as Morse and harmonic potentials, treat vibrational levels in a continuous fashion. The present study is performed using classical molecular dynamics, and therefore, is limited to the calculation of bulk viscosity due to rotational relaxation only. The obtained bulk viscosity values can be directly applied to CFD simulations of moderate-temperature fluid flows, wherein the vibrational energy modes can be expected to play a negligible role.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first two authors, BS and RK, gratefully acknowledge the use of computational resources provided by DELL HPC and AI Innovation Lab for carrying out computations required for this work. The authors also acknowledge the National Supercomputing Mission (NSM) for providing computing resources of 'PARAM Sanganak' at IIT Kanpur, which is implemented by C-DAC and supported by the Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY) and Department of Science and Technology (DST), Government of India.

AUTHOR DECLARATIONS

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
References

[1] Heyes, D. M., “Thermal conductivity and bulk viscosity of simple fluids. A molecular-dynamics study,” *Journal of the Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions 2: Molecular and Chemical Physics*, Vol. 80, No. 11, 1984, pp. 1363–1394.

[2] Hoheisel, C., Vogelsang, R., and Schoen, M., “Bulk viscosity of the Lennard-Jones fluid for a wide range of states computed by equilibrium molecular dynamics,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, Vol. 87, No. 12, 1987, pp. 7195–7198.

[3] Hoheisel, C., “Bulk viscosity of model fluids. A comparison of equilibrium and nonequilibrium molecular dynamics results,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, Vol. 86, No. 4, 1987, pp. 2328–2333.

[4] Fernandez, G., Vrabec, J., and Hasse, H., “A molecular simulation study of shear and bulk viscosity and thermal conductivity of simple real fluids,” *Fluid Phase Equilibria*, Vol. 221, No. 1-2, 2004, pp. 157–163.

[5] Meador, W. E., Miner, G. A., and Townsend, L. W., “Bulk viscosity as a relaxation parameter: fact or fiction?” *Physics of fluids*, Vol. 8, No. 1, 1996, pp. 258–261.

[6] Meier, K., Laesecke, A., and Kabelac, S., “Transport coefficients of the Lennard-Jones model fluid. III. Bulk viscosity,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, Vol. 122, No. 1, 2005, pp. 014513.

[7] Baidakov, V. G. and Protsenko, S. P., “Metastable Lennard-Jones fluids. III. Bulk viscosity,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, Vol. 141, No. 11, 2014, pp. 114503.

[8] Rah, K. and Eu, B. C., “Density and temperature dependence of the bulk viscosity of molecular liquids: Carbon dioxide and nitrogen,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, Vol. 114, No. 23, 2001, pp. 10436–10447.

[9] Guo, G.-J. and Zhang, Y.-G., “Equilibrium molecular dynamics calculation of the bulk viscosity of liquid water,” *Molecular Physics*, Vol. 99, No. 4, 2001, pp. 283–289.

[10] Tisza, L., “Supersonic absorption and Stokes’ viscosity relation,” *Physical Review*, Vol. 61, No. 7-8, 1942, pp. 531.

[11] Sharma, B. and Kumar, R., “Estimation of bulk viscosity of dilute gases using a nonequilibrium molecular dynamics approach,” *Phys. Rev. E*, Vol. 100, Jul 2019, pp. 013309.

[12] Sharma, B., Kumar, R., Gupta, P., Pareek, S., and Singh, A., “On the estimation of bulk viscosity of dilute nitrogen gas using equilibrium molecular dynamics approach,” *Physics of Fluids*, Vol. 34, No. 5, 2022, pp. 057104.

[13] Madigosky, W., “Density dependence of the bulk viscosity in argon,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, Vol. 46, No. 11, 1967, pp. 4441–4444.

[14] Kistemaker, P., Tom, A., and Vries, A. D., “Rotational relaxation numbers for the isotopic molecules of N2 and CO,” *Physica*, Vol. 48, No. 3, 1970, pp. 414 – 424.

[15] Prangsma, G. J., Alberga, A. H., and Beenakker, J., “Ultrasonic determination of the volume viscosity of N2, CO, CH4 and CD4 between 77 and 300 K,” *Physica*, Vol. 64, No. 2, 1973, pp. 278–288.
[16] Pan, X., Shneider, M. N., and Miles, R. B., “Coherent Rayleigh-Brillouin scattering in molecular gases,” *Physical Review A*, Vol. 69, No. 3, 2004, pp. 033814.

[17] Pan, X., Shneider, M. N., and Miles, R. B., “Power spectrum of coherent Rayleigh-Brillouin scattering in carbon dioxide,” *Physical Review A*, Vol. 71, No. 4, 2005, pp. 045801.

[18] Vieitez, M. O., Van Duijn, E. J., Ubachs, W., Witschas, B., Meijer, A., De Wijn, A. S., Dam, N. J., and Van de Water, W., “Coherent and spontaneous Rayleigh-Brillouin scattering in atomic and molecular gases and gas mixtures,” *Physical Review A*, Vol. 82, No. 4, 2010, pp. 043836.

[19] Meijer, A., de Wijn, A., Peters, M., Dam, N., and van de Water, W., “Coherent Rayleigh–Brillouin scattering measurements of bulk viscosity of polar and nonpolar gases, and kinetic theory,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, Vol. 133, No. 16, 2010, pp. 164315.

[20] Gu, Z. and Ubachs, W., “Temperature-dependent bulk viscosity of nitrogen gas determined from spontaneous Rayleigh–Brillouin scattering,” *Optics Letters*, Vol. 38, No. 7, 2013, pp. 1110–1112.

[21] Gu, Z. and Ubachs, W., “A systematic study of Rayleigh-Brillouin scattering in air, N₂, and O₂ gases,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, Vol. 141, No. 10, 2014, pp. 104320.

[22] Wang, Y., Ubachs, W., and Van De Water, W., “Bulk viscosity of CO₂ from Rayleigh-Brillouin light scattering spectroscopy at 532 nm,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, Vol. 150, No. 15, 2019, pp. 154502.

[23] Ma, Q., Yang, C., Bruno, D., and Zhang, J., “Molecular simulation of Rayleigh-Brillouin scattering in binary gas mixtures and extraction of the rotational relaxation numbers,” *Physical Review E*, Vol. 104, No. 3, 2021, pp. 035109.

[24] Herzfeld, K. and Rice, F., “Dispersion and absorption of high frequency sound waves,” *Physical Review*, Vol. 31, No. 4, 1928, pp. 691.

[25] Marcy, S. J., “Evaluating the second coefficient of viscosity from sound dispersion/or absorption data,” *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 28, No. 1, 1990, pp. 171–173.

[26] Dukhin, A. S. and Goetz, P. J., “Bulk viscosity and compressibility measurement using acoustic spectroscopy,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, Vol. 130, No. 12, 2009, pp. 124519.

[27] Claes, L., Hüskämper, L. M., Baumhögger, E., Feldmann, N., Chatwell, R. S., Vrabec, J., and Henning, B., “Acoustic absorption measurement for the determination of the volume viscosity of pure fluids/Messverfahren für die akustischen Absorption zur Bestimmung der Volumenviskosität reiner Fluide,” *tm-Technisches Messen*, Vol. 86, No. s1, 2019, pp. 2–6.

[28] Holmes, M., Parker, N., and Povey, M., “Temperature dependence of bulk viscosity in water using acoustic spectroscopy,” *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, Vol. 269, IOP Publishing, 2011, p. 012011.

[29] Zuckerwar, A. J. and Ash, R. L., “Volume viscosity in fluids with multiple dissipative processes,” *Physics of fluids*, Vol. 21, No. 3, 2009, pp. 033105.
[30] Kosuge, S. and Aoki, K., “Shock-wave structure for a polyatomic gas with large bulk viscosity,” *Physical Review Fluids*, Vol. 3, No. 2, 2018, pp. 023401.

[31] Singh, S. and Myong, R., “A computational study of bulk viscosity effects on shock-vortex interaction using discontinuous Galerkin method,” *Journal of Computational Fluids Engineering*, Vol. 22, No. 2, 2017, pp. 86–95.

[32] Singh, S., Battiato, M., and Myong, R., “Impact of bulk viscosity on flow morphology of shock-accelerated cylindrical light bubble in diatomic and polyatomic gases,” *Physics of Fluids*, Vol. 33, No. 6, 2021, pp. 066103.

[33] Pan, S. and Johnsen, E., “The role of bulk viscosity on the decay of compressible, homogeneous, isotropic turbulence,” *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, Vol. 833, 2017, pp. 717–744.

[34] Szemerg O’Connor, T., *Bulk viscosity effects in compressible turbulent Couette flow*, Ph.D. thesis, Imperial College London, 2018.

[35] Touber, E., “Small-scale two-dimensional turbulence shaped by bulk viscosity,” *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, Vol. 875, 2019, pp. 974–1003.

[36] Boukharfane, R., Ferrer, P. J. M., Mura, A., and Giovangigli, V., “On the role of bulk viscosity in compressible reactive shear layer developments,” *European Journal of Mechanics-B/Fluids*, Vol. 77, 2019, pp. 32–47.

[37] Nazari-Mahroo, H., Pasandideh, K., Navid, H., and Sadighi-Bonabi, R., “How important is the liquid bulk viscosity effect on the dynamics of a single cavitation bubble?” *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry*, Vol. 49, 2018, pp. 47–52.

[38] Lin, J., Scalo, C., and Hesselink, L., “High-fidelity simulation of an ultrasonic standing-wave thermoacoustic engine with bulk viscosity effects,” *55th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting*, 2017, p. 0929.

[39] Lin, J., Scalo, C., and Hesselink, L., “Bulk viscosity model for near-equilibrium acoustic wave attenuation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.05876*, 2017.

[40] Singh, S., Karchani, A., and Myong, R., “Non-equilibrium effects of diatomic and polyatomic gases on the shock-vortex interaction based on the second-order constitutive model of the Boltzmann-Curtiss equation,” *Physics of Fluids*, Vol. 30, No. 1, 2018, pp. 016109.

[41] Chen, S., Wang, X., Wang, J., Wan, M., Li, H., and Chen, S., “Effects of bulk viscosity on compressible homogeneous turbulence,” *Physics of Fluids*, Vol. 31, No. 8, 2019, pp. 085115.

[42] Chen, T., Wen, X., Wang, L.-P., Guo, Z., Wang, J., and Chen, S., “Simulation of three-dimensional compressible decaying isotropic turbulence using a redesigned discrete unified gas kinetic scheme,” *Physics of Fluids*, Vol. 32, No. 12, 2020, pp. 125104.

[43] Nettleton, R., “Intrinsic Bulk Viscosity in Monatomic and Diatomic Gases,” *Journal of Applied Physics*, Vol. 29, No. 2, 1958, pp. 204–212.

[44] Okumura, H. and Yonezawa, F., “New formula for the bulk viscosity constructed from the interatomic potential and the pair distribution function,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, Vol. 116, No. 17, 2002, pp. 7400–7410.
[45] Hall, L., “The origin of ultrasonic absorption in water,” *Physical Review*, Vol. 73, No. 7, 1948, pp. 775.

[46] Yahya, A., Tan, L., Perticaroli, S., Mamontov, E., Pajerowski, D., Neuefeind, J., Ehlers, G., and Nickels, J. D., “Molecular origins of bulk viscosity in liquid water,” *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, Vol. 22, No. 17, 2020, pp. 9494–9502.

[47] Buresti, G., “A note on Stokes’ hypothesis,” *Acta Mechanica*, Vol. 226, No. 10, 2015, pp. 3555–3559.

[48] Emanuel, G., “Effect of bulk viscosity on a hypersonic boundary layer,” *Physics of Fluids A: Fluid Dynamics*, Vol. 4, No. 3, 1992, pp. 491–495.

[49] Sengupta, T. K., Sengupta, A., Sharma, N., Sengupta, S., Bhole, A., and Shruti, K., “Roles of bulk viscosity on Rayleigh-Taylor instability: Non-equilibrium thermodynamics due to spatio-temporal pressure fronts,” *Physics of Fluids*, Vol. 28, No. 9, 2016, pp. 094102.

[50] Emanuel, G., “Bulk viscosity of a dilute polyatomic gas,” *Physics of Fluids A: Fluid Dynamics*, Vol. 2, No. 12, 1990, pp. 2252–2254.

[51] Emanuel, G. and Argrow, B. M., “Linear dependence of the bulk viscosity on shock wave thickness,” *Physics of Fluids*, Vol. 6, No. 9, 1994, pp. 3203–3205.

[52] Emanuel, G., “Bulk viscosity in the Navier–Stokes equations,” *International Journal of Engineering Science*, Vol. 36, No. 11, 1998, pp. 1313–1323.

[53] Chikitkin, A., Rogov, B., Tirsky, G., and Utyuzhnikov, S., “Effect of bulk viscosity in supersonic flow past spacecraft,” *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, Vol. 93, 2015, pp. 47–60.

[54] Shevelev, Y. D., Syzranova, N., Nagnibeda, E., and Kustova, E., “Bulk-viscosity effect on CO2 hypersonic flow around blunt bodies,” *Doklady Physics*, Vol. 60, 2015, pp. 207–209.

[55] Elizarova, T. G., Khokhlov, A. A., and Montero, S., “Numerical simulation of shock wave structure in nitrogen,” *Physics of Fluids*, Vol. 19, No. 6, 2007, pp. 068102.

[56] Claycomb, A. and Greendyke, R., “Extending CFD Modeling to the Transition Regime by Enhanced Thermophysical Modeling,” *40th Thermophysics Conference*, 2008, p. 3930.

[57] Bahmani, F. and Cramer, M., “Suppression of shock-induced separation in fluids having large bulk viscosities,” *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, Vol. 756, 2014.

[58] Riabov, V. V., “Limitations of the bulk viscosity approach in modeling the expanding nitrogen flows,” *AIP Conference Proceedings*, Vol. 2132, AIP Publishing LLC, 2019, p. 150003.

[59] Fru, G., Janiga, G., and Thévenin, D., “Direct numerical simulations of the impact of high turbulence intensities and volume viscosity on premixed methane flames,” *Journal of Combustion*, Vol. 2011, 2011.

[60] Fru, G., Janiga, G., and Thévenin, D., “Impact of volume viscosity on the structure of turbulent premixed flames in the thin reaction zone regime,” *Flow, turbulence and combustion*, Vol. 88, No. 4, 2012, pp. 451–478.
[61] Billet, G., Giovangigli, V., and De Gassowski, G., “Impact of volume viscosity on a shock-hydrogen-bubble interaction,” *Combustion Theory and Modelling*, Vol. 12, No. 2, 2008, pp. 221–248.

[62] Plimpton, S., Crozier, P., and Thompson, A., “LAMMPS-large-scale atomic/molecular massively parallel simulator,” *Sandia National Laboratories*, Vol. 18, 2007, pp. 43.

[63] Sanders, J., “Veusz-a scientific plotting package,” 2008.

[64] MacKerell Jr, A. D., Bashford, D., Bellott, M., Dunbrack Jr, R. L., Evanseck, J. D., Field, M. J., Fischer, S., Gao, J., Guo, H., Ha, S., et al., “All-atom empirical potential for molecular modeling and dynamics studies of proteins,” *The journal of physical chemistry B*, Vol. 102, No. 18, 1998, pp. 3586–3616.

[65] Javanainen, M., Vattulainen, I., and Monticelli, L., “On atomistic models for molecular oxygen,” *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, Vol. 121, No. 3, 2017, pp. 518–528.

[66] Bouanich, J.-P., “Site-site Lennard-Jones potential parameters for N2, O2, H2, CO and CO2,” *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer*, Vol. 47, No. 4, 1992, pp. 243–250.

[67] Cordeiro, R. M., “Reactive oxygen species at phospholipid bilayers: distribution, mobility and permeation,” *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Biomembranes*, Vol. 1838, No. 1, 2014, pp. 438–444.

[68] Fischer, J. and Lago, S., “Thermodynamic perturbation theory for molecular liquid mixtures,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, Vol. 78, No. 9, 1983, pp. 5750–5758.

[69] Porrini, M., Daskalakis, V., and Farantos, S. C., “Exploring the topography of free energy surfaces and kinetics of cytochrome c oxidases interacting with small ligands,” *RSC advances*, Vol. 2, No. 13, 2012, pp. 5828–5836.

[70] Victor, B. L., Baptista, A. M., and Soares, C. M., “Dioxygen and nitric oxide pathways and affinity to the catalytic site of rubredoxin: oxygen oxidoreductase from Desulfovibrio gigas,” *JBIC Journal of Biological Inorganic Chemistry*, Vol. 14, No. 6, 2009, pp. 853–862.

[71] Tokumasu, T. and Matsumoto, Y., “Dynamic molecular collision (DMC) model for rarefied gas flow simulations by the DSMC method,” *Physics of Fluids*, Vol. 11, No. 7, 1999, pp. 1907–1920.

[72] Lemmon, E. W., McLinden, M. O., and Friend, D. G., “Thermophysical Properties of Fluid Systems,” *The NIST Chemistry WebBook, NIST Standard Reference Database*, edited by P. J. Linstrom and W. G. Mallard, No. 69, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg MD, 20899, (retrieved September 3, 2021).

[73] Winter, T. G. and Hill, G. L., “High-Temperature Ultrasonic Measurements of Rotational Relaxation in Hydrogen, Deuterium, Nitrogen, and Oxygen,” *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, Vol. 42, No. 4, 1967, pp. 848–858.

[74] Carnevale, E., Carey, C., and Larson, G., “Ultrasonic determination of rotational collision numbers and vibrational relaxation times of polyatomic gases at high temperatures,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, Vol. 47, No. 8, 1967, pp. 2829–2835.
[75] Brau, C. and Jonkman, R., “Classical theory of rotational relaxation in diatomic gases,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, Vol. 52, No. 2, 1970, pp. 477–484.

[76] Lordi, J. A. and Mates, R. E., “Rotational relaxation in nonpolar diatomic gases,” *The Physics of Fluids*, Vol. 13, No. 2, 1970, pp. 291–308.

[77] Cramer, M. S., “Numerical estimates for the bulk viscosity of ideal gases,” *Physics of fluids*, Vol. 24, No. 6, 2012, pp. 066102.