Complete loss of the MHC II pathway in an anglerfish, Lophius piscatorius
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S1. Assembly statistics

A. Contig length (*log10 transformed)

B. Contig coverage (*log10 transformed)

C. Antennarius striatus assembly

*Total number of BUSCOs 4584

- Complete single copy
- Fragmented
- Complete duplicated
- Missing
S2. Sequences from the BF1 and BF2 assemblies that could be aligned to MHC II

BF1 MHC class II fragment. Frame -3.

BF2 MHC class II fragment. Frame +3.

CLUSTAL O(1.2.4) alignment (*stop codons removed)

BF1 MHC class II fragment. Top 2 BLASTx hits at NCBI Nr

BF2 MHC class II fragment. Top 2 BLASTx hits at NCBI Nr
| Contig identifier | Length (bp) | Match (identity) | Subject/query alignment coordinates |
|-------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| utg718000251100   | 1749        | Trachurus japonicus (AP003091.1) and Trachurus trachurus (AB108498.1) 98% | 7223-5475/1-1749 |
| utg718000189499   | 3298        | Trachurus japonicus (AP003091.1) 98% | 10559-7263/1-3297 |
| utg718002535071   | 8275        | Trachurus japonicus (AP003091.1 and AP003092.1) 97% | 10559-16559/1-6000 1-2276/6001-8275 |
| utg718002551981   | 3238        | Trachurus japonicus (AP003091.1 and AP003092.1) 94% | 5430-2193/1-3238 |
| utg718000416975   | 1218        | Decapterus maruadsi (KJ004518.1) 87.44% Decapterus macarellus (KM986880.1) 86.86% | 9937-11146/9-1218 9938-11147/9-1218 |
| utg718002761404   | 2531        | Decapterus maruadsi (KJ004518.1) 91% | 7861-5334/4-2531 |
| utg718000037847   | 2676        | Coreoperca loona (KJ644781.1) 86.59% Siniperca scherzeri (AP014527.1) 86.57% | 203-2786/1-2609 202-2784/1-2609 |
| utg718002123755   | 13110       | Emmelichthys struhsakeri (AP004446.1) 79.72% Monodactylus argenteus (AP009169.1) 79.63% | 2787-15652/59-12949 2786-15672/59-12972 |

S3. Contaminant mitochondrial sequences in *A. striatus*
S4. Coverage of MHC II and mitochondrial sequences in *A. striatus*
S5. Phylogenetic trees based on complete mitochondrial genome sequences of species in the Lophiiformes order
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S6. Identification of immune gene orthologues, pages 6-11
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r. Identification of immune gene orthologues (Continued from p.6)
S6. Identification of immune gene orthologues (Continued from p.6)
S6. Identification of immune gene orthologues (Continued p.6)
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S6. Identification of immune gene orthologues (Continued p.6)

**SEC61A1**
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**SEC61A1–2**

![SEC61A1–2](image2)

-log2 Forward Score vs -log2 Reverse Score

**SEC61G**
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S6. Identification of immune gene orthologues (Continued p.6)

**TAP1**
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**TAP2**
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**TAPBP**
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S7. Gene synteny for the CD74 and CD4 gene regions

Blast alignment score
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Supplementary figure legends

S1. Assembly statistics

Kernel density estimates of log (base 10) transformed contig length (A), coverage (B) and gene completeness (C) for the two *L. piscatorius* and the single *A. striatus* assembly.

S2. Sequences from the BF1 and BF2 assemblies that could be aligned to MHC II

A. Nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences of the identified MHC II fragments. Residues shown in red mark the amino acids that were aligned by BLAST to MHC II β sequences.

B. Alignment of amino acid sequences from both assemblies (with stop codons removed) that aligned to MHC II β confirm that the same sequence was identified in both assemblies.

C. Top 2 BLASTx hits for the identified fragments. The figure shows direct screen-grabs from the NCBI BLAST web service.

S3. Contaminant mitochondrial sequences in *A. striatus*

Hits that we consider identifiable to a species level are marked with green. All sequences were identified with BLASTn and e-value threshold of 10. Hits shorter than a 1000 bp were discarded.

S4. Coverage of MHCII and mitochondrial sequences in *A. striatus*

Kernel density estimate of log2 transformed mean coverage for all contigs (cyan), mean coverage of MHC II containing contigs (black points) and mitochondrial contigs (red and blue points).

Mitochondrial contigs identified as *A. striatus* sequences (blue points) were sequenced at 16 to 64 times the depth observed for contaminant mitochondrial sequences (red points). Each point represents one contig. Mean coverage for each contig was calculated by mapping quality trimmed reads to the assembly, converting bam to by-base coverage bed files and calculating the mean.

S5. Phylogenetic trees based on complete mitochondrial genome sequences of species in the Lophiiformes order

The internal branch ordering is dependent on the choice of outgroups, with the position of the Antennariiodei and Lophiodei clades occupying the most basal position in A and B respectively.

The scale indicates the number of substitutions per site. The *Tetrabrachium ocellatum* branch length has been halved due to its extreme length. Node support values are bootstrap probabilities based on 500 iterations.
Phylogenetic relationships were inferred using a partitioned maximum likelihood analysis (with first, second and third codon positions, rRNA and tRNA as partitions) and a GTR GAMMA model as implemented in RaxML [1].

A. Tree created using *Polymixia japonica*, Gadiformes, Syngnathidae and Tetraodontiformes as the outgroups.

B. Tree created using *Polymixia japonica*, Gadiformes and Syngnathidae as the outgroups.

**S6. Identification of immune gene orthologues. Pages 6-11**

Illustration of identification criteria. Scores of alignments of putative orthologue sequences to the initial bait set (forward score, X-axis) plotted against scores obtained by alignment to sequences in the UniProt database (reverse score, Y-axis). The point fill transparency indicates the ratio of the alignment length to the length of the UniProt subject. Solid fills (alpha=1) correspond to full length alignments (i.e. the alignment covers the complete UniProt sequence). indicates relationship between the alignment length and length of the UniProt subject. Solid fill colour corresponds to 1/1 relationship. Orthologues should lie close to the Y=X line indicated by the dashed red line. The green dashed line shows the inferred e-10 e-value threshold. Points that we think represent orthologous sequences are marked with a blue ellipse. Peptide IDs corresponding to the selected points are collected in supplementary table 2, along with comments about the selection process, along with some comments about selection process. For additional information see *gene.hits.tsv* and *esm_pisc_pep.fasta*.

**S7. Gene synteny for the CD74 and CD4 gene regions in *L.piscatorius* and *G. aculeatus***

The locations of orthologues to genes that are usually found in the CD4 and CD74 regions were identified in the BF2 assembly of *L. piscatorius* in the same manner as described above. To verify the identity of predicted genes we also aligned them to the NCBI nr database and manually inspected the resulting alignments. The synteny of the genes lying in the identified contigs was tested in *Gasterosteus aculeatus*. Genscan predicted peptides were blasted against *G. aculeatus* sequences (Ensembl *gasterosteus aculeatus* core 97.1). The top scoring alignment was taken as the gene identity for the genscan predictions and the matching contigs were aligned to their respective *G. aculeatus* loci using coordinates provided by Ensembl and the genscan predictions using a custom R-script. Top and bottom panel: CD4 and CD74 loci respectively. Genome positions in *G. aculeatus* are indicated by the scale bar; groupXX and groupIV are linkage group identifiers.

Shading of *L. piscatorius* gene predictions indicate the blast alignment score. Plots are to scale.
**Supplementary Methods**

**To find all scripts referred to in this ESM see esm_scripts.txt**

### Orthologue identification

In order to identify orthologues of adaptive immune system genes in *Lophius piscatorius* genome assemblies without the use of a predetermined e-value and bit score thresholds, we developed the strategy described below. Each step described was implemented in a short python (.py) or shell (.sh) script as indicated.

#### 1. Identification of contigs that contain immune genes

We used a set of full-length amino acid sequences of 29 immune genes [8] and HSP90 from 10 species to search for orthologues in both our assemblies (BF1 and BF2). We also performed the same procedure for previously published draft genome assemblies of *Antennarius striatus*, *Gadus morhua* and *Perca fluviatilis* [8] as positive and negative controls to validate our strategy.

Sequences for the following species were obtained from Ensembl:

*Danio rerio, Gadus morhua, Gasterosteus aculeatus, Tetraodon nigroviridis, Oryzias latipes, Oreochromis niloticus, Takifugu rubripes, Xiphophorus maculatus, Poecilia formosa, Astyanax mexicanus*

Genes in the dataset:

1. AID  6. B2m  11. CIITA  16. HSP90  21. MHCIIa  26. SEC61A1-2  31. TAPBP
2. AIRE  7. BATF  12. CTSS1  17. CD74a  22. MHCIIb  27. SEC61G
3. AP1M2  8. CD4  13. CTSS2  18. CD74b  23. RAG1  28. SSR3
4. AP2M1  9. CD8a  14. ERAP1  19. LNPEP  24. RAG2  29. TAP1
5. AP3M2  10. CD8b  15. ERAP2  20. MHC1  25. SEC61A1  30. TAP2

### Sequencing and genome assembly

The raw reads were trimmed from adapters and low quality bases using Cutadapt [2] with 25 as a quality threshold. Only Illumina data was used for the assemblies. Prior to assembly, overlapping read pairs were merged using FLASH (v1.2.11) [3]. Final assemblies were constructed with SPAdes (v3.10.0) [4] employing 6 kmer lengths (21, 33, 55, 77, 99, 127/103). Basic assembly statistics were calculated with QUAST (v4.4.1) [5] and gene-space completeness assessed using BUSCO (v2.0) [6] with the actinopterygii dataset (odb9). The trimmed reads were used to approximate the genome size with Jellyfish (v2.2.6) [7] and a suite of perl scripts (http://josephryan.github.com/estimate_genome_size.pl/).
To identify contigs containing candidate orthologues, we aligned the peptide sequences encoded by these genes to assemblies using tBLASTn (*manyfish_blast.py*). To reduce the false negative rate at this step we used a permissive e-value threshold of 1 (compared to the e-10 threshold usually used) but limited the number of target sequences to 50 and relied on post-filtering to remove incorrect matches. Identifiers of contigs containing alignments to the seed genes were extracted from the BLAST output and split by assembly and gene into separate files (*process_blast.py*) which were used for downstream analyses.

2. **Contig extraction and gene prediction**

Selected contigs were subjected to gene prediction by Genscan [10], resulting in a set of amino acid sequences for each immune gene and matching contig. These sequence sets included both the amino acid sequences of orthologous immune genes and unrelated sequences located within the same contigs. To identify the orthologues, we used two further BLASTp screens which we refer to as Forward and the Reverse BLAST.

All predicted peptides from the BF2 *L. piscatorius* assembly (including non-immune peptides) sorted by gene can be found in the *esm_pisc_pep.fasta* file.

3. **Forward BLAST**

In order to provide alignment scores that could be compared to those in an extended blast against UniProt (step 4), we aligned amino acid sequence sets identified by Genscan in step 2 to the initial seed set (*forward_blast.sh*) using BLASTp. Again we used an e-value threshold of 1 and limited the number of target sequences to 50.

Peptide sequences aligning to their respective seed genes from step 1 were selected for further analyses (*filter_forward_blast.sh*). For example, peptides derived from contigs identified by tBLASTn with AIRE as a query were filtered to remove all peptides not aligned to AIRE.

We refer to the BLASTp bit score values obtained in this search as the Forward BLAST score.

4. **Reverse BLAST**

The majority of alignments obtained in the first rounds of blast with the seed set of immune genes are likely to involve proteins that are not orthologous, but which contain domains with some homology with seed set domains. Such sequences should align with better scores to their true orthologues, at least some of which we would expect to find within the UniProt database.
Hence, we aligned the candidate immune peptide sequences from step 2 to the UniProt KB database (reverse_blast.sh). Again, the e-value threshold was 1 and the number of target sequences in the output was limited to 50. This is similar to the rationale for reciprocal blast, and for this reason we refer to this step as reverse blast even though technically both step 3 and 4 are done in the same direction.

We refer to the BLASTp bit score values obtained in this search as the Reverse BLAST score.

5. Comparison of the Forward and Reverse BLAST scores

In theory, immune gene orthologues should align to the initial immune set (from step 1) and to the UniProt with similar bit scores, i.e. have similar Forward and Reverse scores, whereas non-orthologous sequences should be aligned with a higher score to their true orthologues present in Uniprot and hence have higher Reverse scores.

To determine whether it was possible to separate true orthologues by comparing forward and reverse scores we plotted forward against reverse (log)scores (R script bl_revision.R, functions.R see functions_and_R_scripts.txt). Since truncated orthologue sequences would still have similar forward and reverse scores (reflecting their identity), we also visualised the ratio of the alignment length to the Uniprot sequence length using alpha transparency values for points such that points reflecting alignments to non-truncated sequences (i.e. similar sequence length) appear as solid points.

6. Visual/manual examination of plots/hits

To verify the identity of candidate immune gene orthologues we used the identify function in R to examine the UniProt annotation of selected alignments. For most immune genes, orthologues were easily identifiable as they lied on/or very close to the forward = reverse score line and were aligned to a UniProt protein annotated as the desired immune gene orthologue. However, for some genes we observed multiple points on or close to this line (AP1M2, AP3M2, CTSS1/2), the UniProt annotation did not match with the selected gene (ERAP1, TAP1), or none of the points on the plot fitted our criteria (SEC61G, ERAP2). In this case, we chose several points that might represent an orthologue and examined their top 5 UniProt hits (gene.hits.tsv)
| Gene name | Orthologous predicted protein | Comments |
|-----------|-------------------------------|----------|
| AID       | NODE_3337_length_66067_cov_44.9838| Top scoring UniProt hit belongs to correct gene |
| AP2M1     | NODE_326_length_249814_cov_47.6866| Top scoring UniProt hit of the first peptide and third of the second peptide belongs to correct gene |
| AP3M2     | NODE_11858_length_6461_cov_171.208| Top scoring UniProt hit belongs to correct gene |
| B2m       | NODE_26321_length_534_cov_183.369| Top scoring UniProt hit belongs to correct gene |
| BATF      | NODE_6109_length_310083_cov_45.2866| Top scoring UniProt hit belongs to correct gene |
| CIITA     | NODE_2303_length_93200_cov_43.342| Top scoring UniProt hit belongs to correct gene |
| CTSS1     | NODE_2178_length_97347_cov_44.342| Top scoring UniProt hit belongs to correct gene |
| CTSS2     | NODE_969_length_161682_cov_49.792| Top scoring UniProt hit belongs to correct gene |
| HSP90     | NODE_170_length_315068_cov_45.2866| Top scoring UniProt hit belongs to correct gene |
| LNPEP     | NODE_1284_length_138026_cov_45.153| Top scoring UniProt hit belongs to correct gene |
| RAG 1     | NODE_1604_length_120776_cov_57.006| Top scoring UniProt hit belongs to correct gene |
| RAG 2     | NODE_1604_length_120776_cov_52.7006| Top scoring UniProt hit belongs to correct gene |
| SEC61A1   | NODE_148_length_331228_cov_45.7995| Top scoring UniProt hit belongs to correct gene |
| SEC61A1-2 | NODE_4460_length_48594_cov_76.0295| Top scoring UniProt hit belongs to correct gene |
| SSR3      | NODE_3618_length_61353_cov_45.4674| Top scoring UniProt hit belongs to correct gene |
| TAP2      | NODE_4645_length_46251 Cov_64.2593| Top scoring UniProt hit belongs to correct gene |
| TAPBP     | NODE_11231_length_7753_cov_42.456| Top scoring UniProt hit belongs to correct gene |
| ERAP1     | NODE_1839_length_110210_cov_47.4795| After examination, top UniProt hit belongs to correct gene |
| AIRE      | NODE_2144_length_98088_cov_45.045| Second UniProt hit and two others belong to correct gene |
| TAP1      | NODE_39_length_479181_cov_44.629| Fusion prediction. Selected first part of the sequence |
| AP1M2     | NODE_938_length_164357_cov_43.2764| Fusion prediction. Selected last part of the sequence |
| ERAP2     | Unclear orthology due to too many paralogous aminopeptidases. |
| SEC61G    | See figure legend for detail. | Special case. See figure legend. |
Supplementary Table 2

The table includes identifiers of the predicted peptides (column 2) that we consider to be orthologues to the target set of immune genes (column 1). Column 3 contains short comments on how this gene was identified. For most genes, the top blast hit lying on the X=Y line corresponded clearly to a UniProt protein annotated as the respective target gene. However, for some genes we had to examine the annotation of additional hits, due to non-informative description of the top hit, e.g. in the case of AIRE the top UniProt hit was described as Chromosome_15_SCAF14992. In addition, some predicted peptides combined products from two adjacent genes (Fusion prediction). For these genes the alignment coordinates had to be examined. The predicted protein sequences can be found in esm_pisc_pep.fasta and a summary of the blast output for selected points is provided in gene.hits.tsv.

SEC61G of *L. piscatorius* was a special case. Although SEC61G is a highly conserved gene, it is short and one exon primarily contains low-complexity sequence. This results in alignments to the second exon having low BLAST scores leading to its exclusion from the gene prediction and resulting in a truncated protein sequence. However, a manual examination of the BLAST output clearly demonstrated that complete sequence was aligned with a high sequence similarity (but low score). Similarly, running BLAST with ‘-dust no’ provided the full alignment with a high alignment score. It is notable that SEC61G is one of the genes that Malmstrøm et al. failed to identify in a number of species.

7. Unassembled reads search

Protein sequences from genes for which we failed to identify *L. piscatorius* orthologues with the Forward/Reverse BLAST strategy were used in a tBLASTn search of the unassembled read pools. In this case, we included both Illumina and SOLiD reads. Reads that were aligned to the missing protein sequences were re-assembled with CLC Genomics Workbench. The resulting contigs were aligned to the NCBI nr database with BLASTn. If this approach failed to identify missing orthologues, we aligned selected unassembled reads to the NCBI nr database. After this, we reported orthologues that we failed to identify as actually missing.

Construction of phylogenetic trees

All sequences were obtained from genbank (see accession numbers in the section below). Then, mitogenomes were split by gene according to their annotations. First, each protein coding gene, each tRNA and rRNA were aligned separately with T-Coffee [9]. Then, alignments were trimmed from the ends, to remove end gaps and sequences were concatenated into new mitogenome sequences for all species. Datasets were partitioned by the first, second and third codon positions for protein coding genes, then rRNA and tRNA were put as separate partitions. To construct the trees we used RaxML [1] using the GTR GAMMA model with 500 rapid bootstrap (-f a option) iterations.
Sequences used to construct the trees:

**Polymixiidae**

NC_002648  *Polymixia japonica*

**Tetraodontiformes**

GQ409967  *Takifugu fasciatus*
KJ562276  *Takifugu flavidus*

**Syngnathiformes**

KJ184525  *Syngnathoides biaculeatus*
KU925872  *Syngnathus typhle*
KJ184524  *Solegnathus hardwickii*
AP012309  *Doryrhamphus japonicus*
AP013027  *Hippocampus histrix*
KJ184528  *Trachyrhamphus serratus*
KP861226  *Syngnathus schlegeli*
JX970973  *Hippocampus comes*
NC_010272  *Hippocampus kuda*
NC_022722  *Hippocampus erectus*
KJ139455  *Corythoichthys flavofasciatus*

**Gadiformes**

AP018148  *Gadiculus argenteus thori*
X99772  *Gadus morhua*
KC844053  *Lota lota*
NC_008225  *Ventrifossa garmani*
NC_015102  *Micromesistius poutassou*
NC_004377  *Physiculus japonicus*
NC_015094  *Pollachius virens*
NC_010122  *Arctogadus glacialis*
NC_015120  *Merluccius merluccius*
NC_010121  *Boreogadus saida*
NC_008224  *Trachyrincus murrayi*
NC_008222  *Bathygadus antrodes*
NC_008124  *Bregmaceros nectabanus*
Lophiiformes

AB282831  Tetrabrachium ocellatum
AB282828  Antennarius striatus
AP005977  Halieutae stellata
AB282837  Neoceratias spinifer
AB282847  Thaumatichthys pagidostomus
AB282836  Caulophryne pelagica
AB282830  Antennatus coccineus
AB282841  Bufoceratias thele
AB282842  Diceratias pileatus
AB282827  Sladenia gardineri
AB282855  Acentrophryne dolichonema
AB282854  Linophryne bicornis
AB282840  Himantolophus groenlandicus
AB282829  Histrio histrio
AB282849  Centrophryne spinulosa
AB282839  Himantolophus albinare
AB282835  Zalieutes elater
AB282826  Lophiodes caulinaris
AP005978  Malthopsis jordani
AB282833  Chaunax pictus
AB282838  Melanocetus johnsonii
AB282834  Coelophrys brevicaudata
AB282845  Chaenophryne melanorhabdus
AB282843  Oneirodes thompsoni
AB282846  Bertella idiomorpha
AB282844  Puck pinnata
AB282851  Ceratias uranoscopus
AB282850  Cryptopsaras coesii
NC_004383  Caulophryne jordani
MF994812  Lophius piscatorius
KJ020931  Lophius litulon
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