Factors associated with long-term HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis engagement and adherence among transgender women in Brazil, Mexico and Peru: results from the ImPrEP study
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Abstract
Introduction: The HIV epidemic continues to disproportionately impact Latin-American transgender women (TGW). We assessed factors associated with long-term pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) engagement and adherence among TGW enrolled in the Implementation of PrEP (ImPrEP) study, the largest PrEP demonstration study in Latin America.

Methods: HIV-negative TGW aged ≥18 years reporting ≥1 eligibility criteria in the 6 months prior to enrolment (e.g. sex partner known to be living with HIV, condomless anal sex [CAS], transactional sex or having a sexually transmitted infection [STI]) who could safely take PrEP were enrolled. Follow-up visits were conducted at 4 weeks and then quarterly. We conducted logistic regression to identify factors associated with long-term PrEP engagement (3+ follow-up visits in 52 weeks) and complete self-reported adherence (no missed pills in the past 30 days) during follow-up. For both outcomes, we constructed multivariable models controlling for country, socio-demographics, sexual behaviour, substance use, STIs and self-reported adherence at 4 weeks (long-term engagement outcome only).

Results: From March 2018 to June 2021, ImPrEP screened 519 TGW, enrolled 494 (Brazil: 190, Mexico: 66 and Peru: 238) and followed them for 52 weeks. At baseline, 27.5% of TGW were aged 18–24 years, 67.8% were mixed-race and 31.6% had >secondary education. Most, 89.9% reported CAS, 61.9% had >10 sex partners and 71.9% reported transactional sex. HIV incidence was 1.82 cases per 100 person-years (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.76–4.38). Almost half of TGW (48.6%) had long-term PrEP engagement, which was positively associated with reporting complete adherence at week 4 (aOR: 2.94 [95%CI: 1.88–4.63]) and was inversely associated with reporting CAS with unknown-HIV partner (aOR: 0.52 [95%CI: 0.34–0.81]), migration (aOR: 0.54 [95%CI: 0.34–0.84]), and being from Mexico (aOR: 0.28 [95%CI: 0.14–0.53]). Self-reported adherence was associated with TGW aged >34 (aOR: 1.61 [95%CI: 1.10–2.34]) compared to those aged 25–34 and those with >secondary education (aOR: 1.55 [95%CI: 1.10–2.19]) and was lower among TGW from Peru (aOR: 0.29 [95%CI: 0.21–0.41]) or reporting PrEP-related adverse effects (aOR: 0.63 [95%CI: 0.42–0.92]).

Conclusions: Although TGW were willing to enrol in ImPrEP, long-term PrEP engagement and complete self-reported adherence were limited, and HIV incidence remained relatively high. A successful HIV prevention agenda should include transspecific interventions supporting oral PrEP and exploring long-acting PrEP strategies for TGW.
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1| INTRODUCTION

HIV infection disproportionately impacts transgender women (TGW) worldwide, with HIV prevalence being 50 times greater than adults of reproductive age in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), such as those in Latin America [1–3]. The HIV prevalence among TGW in Latin America was estimated at 25.9% [4], 32–49% in Brazil [5], 20–64% in Mexico [6] and 30% in Peru [7]. This increased vulnerability is caused by substantial social marginalization and isolation
experienced by TGW, leading to poverty, lower education and exclusion from the formal labour market [8], leading to high rates of sex work [6, 9–11]. In Brazil, Mexico and Peru, TGW also experience substantial violence [11–14], internalized stigma and fear of discrimination [15, 16] and increased burdens of mental health and substance abuse [17]. These vulnerabilities can also influence their health-seeking behaviour and engagement in HIV prevention services. Moreover, these services often do not have the resources to truly address the needs of this population [18].

Daily oral pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate 300 mg (TDF) combined with emtricitabine 200 mg (FTC) has been demonstrated to prevent HIV infection [19]. Still, it is highly dependent on pill adherence and engagement in prevention services [20, 21]. A sub-analysis of TGW included in the iPrEx study yielded no difference in HIV acquisition between study arms (PrEP vs. placebo); however, PrEP was efficacious in preventing HIV among TGW who were adherent to daily oral PrEP as measured by drug levels [22]. Questions remain on the interactions between feminizing hormone therapy (FHT) and PrEP among TGW, with studies showing decreased levels of TDF/FTC among FHT users [23–25], or lack of interaction [26]. The vulnerability of TGW to HIV makes their use of PrEP of vital importance [27–29]. However, few TGW have been engaged in HIV prevention services [5, 30] or PrEP studies [31], hindering the possibility of meaningful analysis [32], despite high willingness to use PrEP [33–35]. In addition, PrEP studies have shown low PrEP continuation among TGW [36]. Research has highlighted the need for PrEP programmes to specifically address the needs of trans populations, including TGW [31, 37–39]. However, efforts towards this end have been limited [3].

Although daily oral PrEP was recommended in 2014 by the World Health Organization, PrEP availability has been limited in Latin America [29, 40]. PrEP has been available within Brazil’s Public Health System (SUS) since 2017, Mexico since 2021 [41], but remains accessible only via purchase or through limited demonstration studies in Peru. The Implementation of PrEP (ImPrEP) study is the largest PrEP demonstration study in Latin America and aims to evaluate the feasibility of PrEP implementation among gay, bisexual and other cisgender men who have sex with men (MSM) and TGW in the context of the Public Health Systems of Brazil, Mexico and Peru. This analysis aims to assess the factors associated with long-term PrEP engagement and self-reported adherence among TGW enrolled in the ImPrEP study.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Study design and participants

ImPrEP was a prospective, single arm, open-label, multicentre study that assessed same-day oral PrEP implementation in Brazil (14 sites in 12 cities), Mexico (4 sites in 3 cities) and Peru (10 sites in 6 cities). Inclusion criteria were HIV-negative MSM and TGW, aged ≥18 years and at least one of the following in the prior 6 months: condomless anal sex (CAS), anal sex with partner(s) known to be living with HIV, sexual transmitted infections (STIs) signs/symptoms or diagnosis, or transactional sex. Participants were enrolled from March 2018 to December 2020. This analysis only includes participants self-identified as women, travestis [12, 33, 42] or TGW who had time to complete 52 weeks of follow-up by 30th June 2021 (data extraction).

Institutional review board (IRB) in each country approved the study: in Brazil, INI Evandro Chagas-FIOCRUZ IRB (#CAAE:79259517.5.1001.5262) and local IRB at each Brazilian site; in Mexico, National Institute of Public Health IRB (#CI-1515); and in Peru, Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia IRB (#100740). All study participants provided written informed consent before initiating any study procedure. The study was registered at the Brazilian Registry of Clinical Trials (ReBEC:20-Aug-2018, ID RBR-4×3cn, UTN code: U1111-1217-6021).

2.2 | Study procedures

Participants were recruited through social media advertisements, peer/healthcare provider referrals and through MSM/TGW peer-educators at each site. We also offered enrolment to individuals seeking PrEP or HIV/STI testing. Potentially eligible individuals were screened using laboratory, clinical and risk criteria and enrolled to receive same-day oral PrEP [43]. HIV viral load and serum creatinine clearance (CrCl) were evaluated at enrolment. Participants were contacted to discontinue PrEP and return to the site in case of acute HIV infection (detectable HIV viral load) or CrCl<60 ml/minute [44]. Follow-up visits were scheduled at week 4 and quarterly thereafter, for a total of five planned follow-up visits in 52 weeks. Given restrictions due to the COVID-19 pandemic during 2020 and 2021 [45–47], the total number of visits and the visit intervals were impacted. At each visit, participants received TDF/FTC refills according to the next scheduled visit interval. Individuals who returned more than 24 weeks after any visit were required to re-enrol in the study.

Data on demographics, prior post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) use (past 12 months), indication for PEP and the main reason for attending the service were assessed at enrolment. Participants also reported information on sexual behaviour and substance use at enrolment and quarterly visits. Self-reported adherence and symptoms related to PrEP use were assessed at follow-up visits. HIV rapid tests were performed every visit; HIV confirmatory testing was conducted as needed.

2.3 | Study definitions

Age was described as median and interquartile range (IQR) and in categorical ranges of 18–24, 25–34 and ≥34 years. We categorized self-reported race/skin colour as White, Black, Indigenous, Asian and Mixed-race (Pardo or Mestizo); however, as these categories are distinct by country, they were dichotomized into white versus any other race. We used the following education categories: primary or less (complete or incomplete), secondary (complete or incomplete) and more than secondary. Individuals born in a state or country different from the implementation site were considered as migrants. Main reason to attend the service was stratified as
Sexual behaviour was assessed with the questions: number of cisgender men or/and TGW sexual partners (described with median and IQR, categorized into <5, 5–10 and >10 for analyses), any CAS (yes/no), receptive CAS (yes/no), CAS with partner(s) known to be living with HIV (yes, no or I don’t know) and transactional sex (sex in exchange for money, drugs, gifts or favours; yes/no). Binge drinking was assessed with the question: “Did you have five or more drinks within a two-hour period?” (yes/no) [48]. Stimulant use was considered use of any of the following: club drugs (e.g. ecstasy, LSD and GHB), cocaine (powder, crack or base). PrEP-related gastrointestinal symptoms were defined as any of the following: diarrhoea, flatulence, nausea, vomit, abdominal pain or other. At enrolment, questions on sexual behaviour referred to the previous 6 months, while number of sex partners in the previous 3 months. At quarterly visits, all questions referred to the previous 3 months. At the 4-week visit, any PrEP-related symptom(s) referred to the previous 30 days; at other visits, this information dated back to the period since the last visit.

### 2.4 Outcomes

We evaluated two main outcomes: long-term PrEP engagement and complete self-reported adherence. Long-term PrEP engagement was defined as attendance at the 4-week visit and two or more quarterly visits within a 52-week period. As most participants attending these three visits would have received 210 PrEP pills (30 pills at enrolment and 90 pills at each follow-up visit), this would be enough for achieving highly protective levels of tenofovir diphosphate (4 pills per week for 52 weeks) [20]. Participants’ self-reported adherence was assessed at every follow-up visit with the question: “In the previous 30 days, approximately how many pills did you NOT take?” Those who answered zero were considered as having complete self-reported adherence, as a previous analysis estimated “zero” as the self-reported PrEP adherence cut-off equivalent to highly protective levels of tenofovir diphosphate [49, 50]. Individuals who re-enrolled in the study completed the initial study assessment, which did not include an adherence question. Re-enrolled individuals were classified as non-adherent as the quantity of pills received in their prior visit (30 or 90) would have been insufficient to cover the period that they were absent from the study.

### 2.5 Statistical analysis

We described TGW’s characteristics at enrolment, long-term PrEP engagement and self-reported adherence overall and according to country. We censored participants at study withdrawal or on 30th June 2021. HIV incidence was calculated based on the number of new HIV cases detected during the follow-up overall and stratified by country and age.

We used logistic regression to identify initial enrolment factors associated with long-term PrEP engagement. Potential predictors included baseline socio-demographic and behavioural characteristics, such as country, age group, race, education, main reason to attend the service, migration, number of sex partners, any CAS, receptive CAS, CAS with partner known to be living with HIV, transactional sex, binge drinking, stimulant use and self-reported adherence at week 4. Individuals who did not return to follow-up visits were considered non-adherent. We evaluated PrEP-related gastrointestinal symptoms in bivariate analysis, but not in the multivariable model as this variable is only available for individuals returning to a week 4 visit, which would modify the analytic sample. In the initial model, the effect of each variable was controlled by country and all statistically significant variables at a p-value ≤0.1 were included in the final adjusted model.

To account for correlated measures within participants, we used logistic generalized estimating equation models to identify factors associated with complete self-reported adherence at each post-enrolment visit completed by the study participants over the 52 weeks. We used the same potential predictors considered in the long-term PrEP engagement analysis allowing behavioural characteristics and symptoms related to PrEP to be included as time-varying variables. In the initial models, the effect of each variable was controlled by country and study visit. All variables statistically significant at p-value ≤0.1 were included in the final adjusted model. All analyses were conducted in R version 4.1.1 [51].

### 3 RESULTS

A total of 9979 individuals were screened, 559 (5.6%) TGW. Of these, 543 were enrolled and 494 were followed for at least 52 weeks and included in this analysis (Brazil: 190, Mexico: 66 and Peru: 238) (Figure 1). Reasons for ineligibility included HIV infection at screening/enrolment (one acute and 16 chronic HIV infections), referral for PEP, adherence concerns (clinician thought the person would not be adherent to PrEP) and clinical concerns (other clinical condition, such as untreated tuberculosis or diabetes) (Figure 1). During follow-up, 32 individuals were re-enrolled, their additional visits were included in our analysis.

Among the 494 TGW included in this analysis, median age was 29 years (IQR: 24, 36); 27.5% aged 18–24 years. Most were mixed race (67.8%), had secondary education (58.7%), had not migrated (70.2%), attended the service seeking PrEP (65.4%) and most (71.9%) reported transactional sex. Median number of sex partners was 25 (IQR: 5, 100), and 61.9% had not migrated (70.2%), attended the service seeking PrEP (65.4%) and most (71.9%) reported transactional sex. Most were mixed race (67.8%), had secondary education (58.7%), had not migrated (70.2%), attended the service seeking PrEP (65.4%) and most (71.9%) reported transactional sex. Median number of sex partners was 25 (IQR: 5, 100), and 61.9% reported >10 partners. The majority reported CAS (89.9%) and CAS with partner with unknown HIV status (64.8%), while 4.0% reported CAS with partner known to be living with HIV. Binge drinking and stimulant use were reported by 67.8% and 20.2%, respectively (Table 1).

Overall, TGW were followed-up for 274.5 person-years and five HIV seroconversions occurred resulting in an overall HIV incidence rate of 1.82 (95% CI: 0.76–4.38) per 100 person-years. The HIV incidence rate was 3.80 (95% CI: 1.58–9.13) in Peru, while no HIV cases were observed in Brazil or Mexico. Incidence rate among TGW aged 18–24 and 25–34 years was twice as high compared to TGW aged >34 years (Table 2).
Figure 1. Study flow chart. Abbreviations: MSM, men who have sex with men; PrEP, pre-exposure prophylaxis; TGW, transgender women.

Figure 2. (a) Proportion of complete self-reported adherence. (b) Number of PrEP pills not taken by visit.

Overall, 101 (20.5%) TGW attended one follow-up visit, 66 (13.4%) two, 72 (14.6%) three, 80 (16.2%) four, while only 85 (17.2%) completed all five visits. A total of 237 (48.6%) had long-term PrEP engagement, higher in Brazil (58.8%) than Peru (44.7%) and Mexico (33.3%). Complete self-reported PrEP adherence increased over time among TGW who attended follow-up visits (38.1% [95% CI: 33.5–43.0] at visit 1 (4 weeks) vs. 52.9% [95% CI: 42.4–63.3] at visit 5 (∼52 weeks); Figure 2a). Similarly, the number of pills not taken decreased during follow-up (6.5 [95% CI: 6.3–6.8]
Table 1. Characteristics at enrolment, long-term PrEP engagement and complete self-reported adherence at week 4 among TGW according to country

|                      | Total N = 494 (%) | Brazil N = 190 (%) | Mexico N = 66 (%) | Peru N = 238 (%) | p Value<sup>h</sup> |
|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Age (Years)          |                   |                    |                  |                  | 0.013            |
| Median (IQR)         | 29 (24, 36)       | 28 (23, 34)        | 28 (24, 34)      | 31 (25, 38)      |                  |
| 18–24                | 136 (27.5)        | 62 (32.6)          | 19 (28.8)        | 55 (23.1)        |                  |
| 25–34                | 208 (42.1)        | 84 (44.2)          | 31 (47.0)        | 93 (39.1)        |                  |
| >34                  | 150 (30.4)        | 44 (23.2)          | 16 (24.2)        | 90 (37.8)        |                  |
| Race or skin colour  |                   |                    |                  |                  | <0.001           |
| White                | 106 (21.5)        | 70 (36.8)          | 6 (9.1)          | 30 (12.6)        |                  |
| Black                | 42 (8.5)          | 21 (11.1)          | 2 (3.0)          | 19 (8.0)         |                  |
| Mixed-race (Pardo or Mestizo) | 335 (67.8) | 95 (50.0) | 58 (87.9) | 182 (76.5) |                  |
| Asian                | 2 (0.4)           | 2 (1.1)            | 0 (0.0)          | 0 (0.0)          |                  |
| Indigenous           | 9 (1.8)           | 2 (1.1)            | 0 (0.0)          | 7 (2.9)          |                  |
| Education            |                   |                    |                  |                  | <0.001           |
| Primary (complete or incomplete) | 48 (9.7) | 20 (10.5) | 7 (10.6) | 21 (8.8) |                  |
| Secondary (complete or incomplete) | 290 (58.7) | 106 (55.8) | 22 (33.3) | 162 (68.1) |                  |
| More than secondary  | 156 (31.6)        | 64 (33.7)          | 37 (56.1)        | 55 (23.1)        |                  |
| Gender identity      |                   |                    |                  |                  | <0.001           |
| Transgender woman    | 377 (76.3)        | 130 (68.4)         | 64 (97.0)        | 183 (76.9)       |                  |
| Travesti             | 66 (13.4)         | 34 (17.9)          | 1 (1.5)          | 31 (13.0)        |                  |
| Woman                | 51 (10.3)         | 26 (13.7)          | 1 (1.5)          | 24 (10.1)        |                  |
| Migration            |                   |                    |                  |                  | 0.010            |
| Yes                  | 141 (29.8)        | 51 (29.1)          | 10 (15.4)        | 80 (34.3)        |                  |
| No                   | 332 (70.2)        | 124 (70.9)         | 55 (84.6)        | 153 (65.7)       |                  |
| Main reason to attend the service |          |                    |                  |                  | <0.001           |
| Seeking PrEP         | 323 (65.4)        | 177 (93.2)         | 61 (92.4)        | 85 (35.7)        |                  |
| Other                | 171 (34.6)        | 13 (6.8)           | 5 (7.6)          | 153 (64.3)       |                  |
| PEP use<sup>a</sup>  |                   |                    |                  |                  | <0.001           |
| Yes                  | 64 (13.0)         | 55 (28.9)          | 8 (12.1)         | 1 (0.4)          |                  |
| No                   | 430 (87.0)        | 135 (71.1)         | 58 (87.9)        | 237 (99.6)       |                  |
| Number of cisgender men or/and TGW sex partners<sup>b</sup> |          |                    |                  |                  | 0.026            |
| Median (IQR)         | 25 (5, 100)       | 33 (5, 158)        | 20 (9, 60)       | 20 (5, 60)       |                  |
| <5                   | 104 (21.1)        | 39 (20.5)          | 7 (10.6)         | 58 (24.4)        |                  |
| 5–10                 | 84 (17.0)         | 26 (13.7)          | 18 (27.3)        | 40 (16.8)        |                  |
| >10                  | 306 (61.9)        | 125 (65.8)         | 41 (62.1)        | 140 (58.8)       |                  |
| CAS<sup>c</sup>      |                   |                    |                  |                  | 0.006            |
| Yes                  | 444 (89.9)        | 168 (88.4)         | 53 (80.3)        | 223 (93.7)       |                  |
| No                   | 50 (10.1)         | 22 (11.6)          | 13 (19.7)        | 15 (6.3)         |                  |
| Receptive CAS<sup>c</sup> |          |                    |                  |                  | 0.011            |
| Yes                  | 424 (85.8)        | 160 (84.2)         | 50 (75.8)        | 214 (89.9)       |                  |
| No                   | 70 (14.2)         | 30 (15.8)          | 16 (24.2)        | 24 (10.1)        |                  |
| CAS with partner known to be living with HIV<sup>c</sup> |          |                    |                  |                  | 0.400            |
| Yes                  | 20 (4.0)          | 11 (5.8)           | 3 (4.5)          | 6 (2.5)          |                  |
| No                   | 154 (31.2)        | 54 (28.4)          | 21 (31.8)        | 79 (33.2)        |                  |
| I don't know         | 320 (64.8)        | 125 (65.8)         | 42 (63.6)        | 153 (64.3)       |                  |
| Transactional sex<sup>c</sup> |          |                    |                  |                  | 0.200            |
| Yes                  | 355 (71.9)        | 133 (70.0)         | 54 (81.8)        | 168 (70.6)       |                  |
| No                   | 139 (28.1)        | 57 (30.0)          | 12 (18.2)        | 70 (29.4)        |                  |

(Continued)
Table 1. (Continued)

| | Total | Brazil | Mexico | Peru | p Valueh |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Binge drinkingd | | | | | <0.001 |
| Yes | 333 (67.7) | 114 (60.6) | 34 (51.5) | 185 (77.7) | |
| No | 159 (32.3) | 74 (39.4) | 32 (48.5) | 53 (22.3) | |
| Stimulant usede | 0.02 | | | | |
| Yes | 100 (20.2) | 48 (25.3) | 19 (28.8) | 33 (13.9) | |
| No | 394 (79.8) | 142 (74.7) | 47 (71.2) | 205 (86.1) | |
| Long-term PrEP engagementf | <0.001 | | | | |
| Yes | 237 (48.6) | 110 (58.8) | 22 (33.3) | 105 (44.5) | |
| No | 251 (51.4) | 77 (41.2) | 44 (66.7) | 131 (55.5) | |
| Early continuation (attending 4-week visit within the initial 60 days of follow-up) | 0.001 | | | | |
| Yes | 341 (69.0) | 149 (78.4) | 46 (69.7) | 146 (61.3) | |
| No | 153 (31.0) | 41 (21.6) | 20 (30.3) | 92 (38.7) | |
| Complete self-reported PrEP adherence (week 4)g | <0.001 | | | | |
| Yes | 154 (31.2) | 79 (41.6) | 24 (36.4) | 51 (21.4) | |
| No | 340 (68.8) | 111 (58.4) | 42 (63.6) | 187 (78.6) | |
| Any PrEP-related gastrointestinal symptoms (week 4)h | 0.036 | | | | |
| Yes | 170 (43.1) | 80 (50.3) | 16 (32.0) | 74 (40.0) | |
| No | 224 (56.9) | 79 (49.7) | 34 (68.0) | 111 (60.0) | |

aLast 12 months.
bFor Brazil and Mexico: last 6 months, for Peru: last 3 months.
cLast 6 months.
dLast 3 months.
eStimulant use was defined as use of any: club drugs (e.g. ecstasy, LSD and GHB), cocaine (powder, crack or paste).
fAttending the 4-week visit and two or more visits in 52 weeks of follow-up.
gReport of missing any pill in the previous 30 days.
hMeasured among the n = 395 (80.0%) of individuals who returned for a 4-week visit.

Source: ImPrEP Study (2018–2021).
Abbreviations: CAS, condomless anal sex; IQR, interquartile range; PrEP, pre-exposure prophylaxis; TGW, transgender women.

Table 2. PrEP use and HIV incidence overall and stratified per country and age

| HIV infection, n | Person-years of follow-up | Incidence rate per 100 person-years (95% CI) |
|---|---|---|
| Overall | 5 | 274.5 | 1.82 (0.59–4.25) |
| Country | | | | | |
| Brazil | 0 | 116.4 | 0.00 (0.00–3.17) |
| Mexico | 0 | 26.5 | 0.00 (0.00–13.92) |
| Peru | 5 | 131.6 | 3.80 (1.23–8.67) |
| Age (years) | | | | | |
| 18–24 | 2 | 72.3 | 2.77 (0.34–9.99) |
| 25–34 | 3 | 110.3 | 2.72 (0.56–7.95) |
| >34 | 0 | 91.9 | 0.00 (0.00–4.01) |

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; PrEP, pre-exposure prophylaxis.

pills at visit 1 vs. 2.4 [95% CI: 2.0–2.7] at visit 5; Figure 2b). PrEP-related gastrointestinal symptoms at week 4 were reported by 43.1%, with higher proportion among Brazilian TGW (50.3%). In the final multivariate model, long-term PrEP engagement was higher among TGW who had complete self-reported PrEP adherence at week 4 (aOR: 2.94 [95% CI: 1.88–4.63]) (Table 3). Long-term engagement was lower among TGW reporting CAS with partner(s) of unknown HIV status (aOR: 0.52 [95% CI: 0.34–0.81]), who had migrated (aOR: 0.54 [95% CI: 0.34–0.84]) and were from Mexico (aOR: 0.28 [95% CI: 0.14–0.53]). After adjustment, more than secondary education and seeking PrEP as the main reason to attend the service were no long signifcant. However, the direction of the association remained the same and both had borderline p-values and confidence intervals.

In the final multivariate model, complete self-reported PrEP adherence was lower among Mexican (aOR: 0.48 [95% CI: 0.28–0.82]) and Peruvian TGW (aOR: 0.29 [95% CI: 0.21–0.41]) compared to those from Brazil. TGW reporting PrEP-related symptoms also had lower self-reported adherence (aOR: 0.63 [95% CI: 0.42–0.92]). TGW aged
| Country       | Long-term PrEP engagement\(^a\) | Bivariate analyses | Multivariate analysis |
|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
|              | Yes \(N = 237\) (%) | No \(N = 251\) (%) | OR (95% CI) | p-value | aOR (95% CI) | p-value |
| Brazil       | 110 (58.8) | 77 (41.2) | Ref. | Ref. | 0.35 (0.19, 0.62) | <0.001 | 0.28 (0.14, 0.53) | <0.001 |
| Mexico       | 22 (33.3) | 44 (66.7) | 0.57 (0.38, 0.83) | 0.004 | 0.91 (0.54, 1.56) | 0.740 |
| Peru         | 105 (44.5) | 130 (55.5) | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. |
| Age (years)  |                                      |                    |          |        |        |        |
| 18–24        | 58 (43.3) | 76 (56.7) | 0.81 (0.52, 1.27) | 0.360 | 0.89 (0.55, 1.46) | 0.650 |
| 25–34        | 97 (47.1) | 109 (52.9) | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. |
| >34          | 82 (55.8) | 66 (44.6) | 1.49 (0.97, 2.31) | 0.070 | 1.22 (0.75, 1.97) | 0.430 |
| Race or skin colour |                        |                    |          |        |        |        |
| Other race   | 179 (46.6) | 205 (53.4) | 0.87 (0.55, 1.39) | 0.560 | NA | NA |
| White        | 58 (55.8) | 46 (44.2) | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. |
| Education    |                                      |                    |          |        |        |        |
| Primary (complete or incomplete) | 17 (37.0) | 29 (63.0) | 0.73 (0.37, 1.39) | 0.340 | 0.93 (0.44, 1.92) | 0.850 |
| Secondary (complete or incomplete) | 128 (44.6) | 159 (55.4) | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. |
| More than secondary | 92 (59.4) | 63 (40.6) | 2.05 (1.35, 3.14) | <0.001 | 1.55 (0.98, 2.46) | 0.063 |
| Migration    |                                      |                    |          |        |        |        |
| Yes          | 53 (38.7) | 84 (61.3) | 0.55 (0.36, 0.83) | 0.005 | 0.54 (0.34, 0.84) | 0.007 |
| No           | 171 (51.8) | 159 (48.2) | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. |
| Main reason to attend the service |                        |                    |          |        |        |        |
| Searching for PrEP | 171 (53.4) | 149 (46.6) | 1.82 (1.13, 2.95) | 0.014 | 1.59 (0.95, 2.67) | 0.081 |
| Other        | 66 (39.3) | 102 (60.7) | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. |
| Number of cisgender man or/and TGW sex partners\(^b\) |                                      |                    |          |        |        |        |
| <5           | 54 (52.9) | 48 (47.1) | Ref. | Ref. | NA | NA |
| 5–10         | 46 (55.4) | 37 (44.6) | 1.24 (0.68, 2.26) | 0.480 | NA | NA |
| >10          | 137 (45.2) | 166 (54.8) | 0.73 (0.46, 1.16) | 0.190 | NA | NA |
| CAS\(^c\)    |                                      |                    |          |        |        |        |
| Yes          | 217 (49.3) | 223 (50.7) | 1.34 (0.72, 2.53) | 0.360 | NA | NA |
| No           | 20 (41.7) | 28 (58.3) | Ref. | Ref. | NA | NA |
| Receptive CAS\(^c\) |                        |                    |          |        |        |        |
| Yes          | 208 (49.4) | 213 (50.6) | 1.26 (0.74, 2.16) | 0.400 | NA | NA |
| No           | 29 (43.3) | 38 (56.7) | Ref. | Ref. | NA | NA |
| CAS with partner(s) known to be living with HIV\(^c\) |                                      |                    |          |        |        |        |
| Yes          | 13 (65.0) | 7 (35.0) | 1.22 (0.46, 3.47) | 0.700 | 0.98 (0.33, 3.08) | 0.970 |
| No           | 87 (58.0) | 63 (42.0) | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. |
| I don't know | 137 (43.1) | 181 (56.9) | 0.52 (0.35, 0.78) | 0.002 | 0.52 (0.34, 0.81) | 0.004 |
| Transactional sex\(^d\) |                                      |                    |          |        |        |        |
| Yes          | 158 (45.3) | 191 (54.7) | 0.65 (0.43, 0.97) | 0.038 | 0.96 (0.60, 1.52) | 0.85 |
| No           | 79 (56.8) | 60 (43.2) | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. |
| Binge drinking\(^d\) |                                       |                    |          |        |        |        |
| Yes          | 158 (47.7) | 173 (52.3) | 0.92 (0.62, 1.36) | 0.660 | NA | NA |
| No           | 79 (50.3) | 78 (49.7) | Ref. | Ref. | NA | NA |
| Stimulant use\(^e\) |                                        |                    |          |        |        |        |
| Yes          | 49 (49.0) | 51 (51.0) | 0.98 (0.62, 1.55) | 0.940 | NA | NA |
| No           | 188 (48.5) | 200 (51.5) | Ref. | Ref. | NA | NA |

(Continued)
Table 3. (Continued)

| Long-term PrEP engagement | Bivariate analyses | Multivariate analysis |
|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
|                           | Yes N = 237 (%)   | No N = 251 (%)       | OR (95% CI) p-value | aOR (95% CI) p-value |
| Complete self-reported PrEP adherence at week 4\textsuperscript{f} |                           |                      |                      |                      |
| Yes                       | 104 (67.5)        | 50 (32.5)            | 3.09 (2.05, 4.72)   | <0.001               |
| No                        | 133 (39.8)        | 201 (60.2)           | Ref.                | Ref.                 |

Bold indicates \( p < 0.05 \).

Abbreviations: CAS, condomless anal sex; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; PrEP, pre-exposure prophylaxis; TGW, transgender women.

\textsuperscript{a}Attending the 4-week visit and two or more visits in 52 weeks of follow-up. Six participants were excluded from this analysis due to HIV seroconversion (\( n = 2 \)), medical concerns (\( n = 1 \)) and adverse effects (\( n = 3 \)), all occurring before the third follow-up visit.

\textsuperscript{b}For Brazil and Mexico: last 3 months, for Peru: last 6 months.

\textsuperscript{c}Last 6 months.

\textsuperscript{d}Last 3 months.

\textsuperscript{e}Stimulant use was defined as use of any: club drugs (e.g. ecstasy, LSD and GHB), cocaine (powder, crack or paste).

\textsuperscript{f}Report of any missing pill in the previous 30 days.

>34 years (aOR: 1.61 [95% CI: 1.10–2.34]) compared to those aged 25–34 years; TGW reporting CAS with a partner of unknown HIV status also had higher self-reported adherence (aOR: 1.47 [95% CI: 1.01–2.12]); and those who completed more than secondary education (aOR: 1.55 [95% CI: 1.10–2.19]) compared to secondary education had higher odds of complete self-reported PrEP adherence (Table 4).

4 | DISCUSSION

TGW enrolled in the ImPrEP study were able to safely initiate same-day oral PrEP. The ImPrEP study is the first to evaluate PrEP implementation among Latin-American TGW and includes a large cohort of TGW, the largest in LMICs with results reported separately from MSM to our knowledge. Long-term PrEP engagement and self-reported adherence were low and associated with underlying socio-demographic characteristics, such as age and education. Our data corroborate the finding that early adherence as measured by self-report at week 4 is associated with higher likelihood of long-term PrEP engagement [31]. Although HIV prevalence among TGW is high in Latin America, no HIV incident cases were observed in Brazil and Mexico in a context with PrEP availability at no cost to the user. Conversely, HIV incidence in Peru was high, especially among younger TGW.

In our analysis, less than half of TGW (47.6%) remained engaged in PrEP over the year of follow-up, lower than observed for MSM included in the ImPrEP study (\( p < 0.001 \)) [52] and reflecting long-term PrEP engagement among TGW in past studies [31, 36]. Long-term PrEP engagement was lower in Mexico, while complete self-reported PrEP adherence was lower in Peru, indicating gaps in PrEP services in these settings. Peru and Mexico have adopted trans-specific guidelines for care [37, 53], but the promises of services tailored to the needs of TGW remain a goal rather than a reality. More than half of TGW (49/89, 55%) enrolled in a Peruvian study to provide support for PrEP users were lost to follow-up in a short period (3 months) [36]. In Brazil, high retention (111/130, 85%) was observed in the PrEParas study, a PrEP demonstration study designed for TGW, including gender-affirming care environment implemented at the study site and TGW peer-educators [39]; nonetheless, PrEP adherence decreased over time, especially among TGW with lower education [39]. TGW consistently have more difficulties in engaging in prevention and treatment services, reflecting their underlying vulnerabilities and the poor adaptation of services to their needs. Novel HIV prevention strategies will only succeed if health services are acceptable and accessible to TGW [3].

Although long-term PrEP engagement and self-reported PrEP adherence are related outcomes, the variables associated with each were distinct. Self-reported PrEP adherence was higher among TGW with post-secondary education. Lower education was previously associated with lower PrEP adherence among Brazilian TGW [39]. Education level is also an important aspect related to HIV outcomes among people living with HIV [54, 55]. Notably, long-term PrEP engagement was lower among TGW who had migrated. Internal and external migration seeking better opportunities is common in LMICs. TGW usually migrate to larger cities probably aiming for less stigma and more life opportunities [56]. In a Brazilian study that enrolled 345 TGW, 40% were internal migrants [30]. Although we have not measured income in this study, these results suggest that additional social and financial support might increase PrEP adherence and engagement among TGW with high socio-economic vulnerability.

Interest in PrEP, based on complete adherence at the week 4 visit was associated with long-term PrEP engagement. Additionally, PrEP as the main reason for attending the service was borderline significant. In South Africa, PrEP education emerged as an urgent matter for TGW [57]. Expanding PrEP literacy among TGW communities, including knowledge about PrEP benefits, duration of side effects and importance of adherence, is essential for achieving better PrEP outcomes. Targeted adherence-supporting interventions and peer support activities may be especially important [36] for TGW who are offered PrEP but were not looking for PrEP, those who are younger and with lower education levels, helping...
Table 4. Factors associated with complete self-reported adherence during the study

| Countrya                      | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. |
|------------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| Mexico                       | 0.52 (0.31, 0.86) | 0.011 | 0.48 (0.28, 0.82) | 0.007 |
| Peru                         | 0.32 (0.23, 0.44) | <0.001 | 0.29 (0.21, 0.41) | <0.001 |

| Age (years)a                  | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. |
|------------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| 18–24                        | 0.73 (0.49, 1.10) | 0.12 | 0.76 (0.51, 1.14) | 0.160 |
| 25–34                        | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. |
| >34                          | 1.69 (1.16, 2.45) | 0.006 | 1.61 (1.10, 2.34) | 0.014 |

| Race or skin colora           | Ref. | Ref. | NA   | NA   |
|------------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| Other race                   | 0.97 (0.66, 1.44) | 0.88 | NA   | NA   |
| White                        | Ref. | Ref. | NA   | NA   |

| Educationa                   | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. |
|------------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| Primary (complete or incomplete) | 0.82 (0.43, 1.57) | 0.55 | 0.85 (0.45, 1.61) | 0.620 |
| Secondary (complete or incomplete) | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. |
| More than secondary          | 1.60 (1.15, 2.23) | 0.005 | 1.55 (1.10, 2.19) | 0.013 |

| Migrationa                   | Ref. | Ref. | NA   | NA   |
|------------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| Yes                          | 1.08 (0.75, 1.55) | 0.67 | NA   | NA   |
| No                           | Ref. | Ref. | NA   | NA   |

| Main reason to attend the servicea | Ref. | Ref. | NA   | NA   |
| SEEKING PRÉP                   | 1.11 (0.73, 1.69) | 0.62 | NA   | NA   |
| Other                        | Ref. | Ref. | NA   | NA   |

| Number of cisgender man or/and TGW sex partnersb | Ref. | Ref. | NA   | NA   |
| <5                          | Ref. | Ref. | NA   | NA   |
| 5–10                        | 1.35 (0.87, 2.09) | 0.18 | NA   | NA   |
| >10                         | 1.29 (0.89, 1.88) | 0.19 | NA   | NA   |

| Condomless anal sexc            | Ref. | Ref. | NA   | NA   |
| Yes                          | 0.89 (0.61, 1.31) | 0.55 | NA   | NA   |
| No                           | Ref. | Ref. | NA   | NA   |

| Condomless receptive anal sexc  | Ref. | Ref. | NA   | NA   |
| Yes                          | 0.96 (0.68, 1.37) | 0.83 | NA   | NA   |
| No                           | Ref. | Ref. | NA   | NA   |

| Condomless sex with partner(s) known to be living with HIVc | Ref. | Ref. | NA   | NA   |
| Yes                          | 1.07 (0.48, 2.39) | 0.87 | 1.03 (0.45, 2.38) | 0.940 |
| No                           | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. |
| I don’t know                 | 1.39 (0.97, 1.98) | 0.072 | 1.47 (1.01, 2.12) | 0.047 |

| Transactional sexa           | Ref. | Ref. | NA   | NA   |
| Yes                          | 0.80 (0.57, 1.13) | 0.21 | NA   | NA   |
| No                           | Ref. | Ref. | NA   | NA   |

| Binge drinkingc              | Ref. | Ref. | NA   | NA   |
| Yes                          | 0.84 (0.60, 1.31) | 0.30 | NA   | NA   |
| No                           | Ref. | Ref. | NA   | NA   |

| Stimulant usec,d             | Ref. | Ref. | NA   | NA   |
| Yes                          | 0.90 (0.61, 1.31) | 0.57 | NA   | NA   |
| No                           | Ref. | Ref. | NA   | NA   |

(Continued)
to improve PrEP engagement and adherence. TGW remain highly vulnerable to HIV and public health programmes offering PrEP should include tailored support for this population to bolster adherence and engagement to services.

The country-level differences observed for long-term PrEP engagement and self-reported adherence likely reflect underlying distinct public health systems and TGW populations included in each setting. Lower long-term PrEP engagement in Mexico may reflect the fact that most study sites had stronger connections with MSM, which might make TGW feel less included and hence less informed. In Peru, TGW reported consistently lower adherence compared to the other countries. Compared to Brazil and Mexico, TGW from Peru had lower educational levels and were the least likely to have enrolled seeking PrEP, suggesting lower PrEP awareness, and ultimately impacting their PrEP adherence. Differences in the characteristics of the enrolled TGW may have contributed to their lower adherence and consequently higher HIV incidence, even though not all evaluated variables were significant on their own.

Our findings on long-term PrEP engagement reflect the difficulties that TGW face to remain engaged in services. Efforts should be taken to retain TGW, including support to their existing social networks [36, 58, 59] and building on the experience of TGW who do return for follow-up visits. TGW remain highly marginalized, as evidenced by the rates of transphobia in Latin America. Out of the 375 murders of trans people reported between October 2020 and September 2021 worldwide, the great majority (83%) occurred in Latin America; and Brazil and Mexico are in the top of the list [60]. Intersecting social vulnerabilities must be acknowledged when planning PrEP services for TGW.

Our study has limitations. First, ImPrEP was not designed to specifically assess outcomes among TGW, and, therefore, measures of key importance for this population were not evaluated. Data on FHT use are not available for most of TGW participants, so we could not include this information in this analysis. Additional qualitative studies to assure understanding of the factors influencing PrEP adherence and engagement among TGW may be needed. Our results are not informative of PrEP uptake, given the study design, study screening only occurred among TGW who expressed an interest in participating. The study inclusion criteria focused on enrolling individuals who could benefit from PrEP, but not all potentially eligible individuals wanted to be screened. Data on PrEP refusal were not collected. Inclusion of fewer TGW from Mexico and data from various sites within a relatively small sample may limit cross country comparisons.

Although self-reported adherence can be limited by different biases, such as recall, response or social desirability bias, which may overestimate adherence [61], neutral assessment (assessment conducted by non-clinical/non-counselling staff trained to collect adherence information without judgement or negative consequences) [62] can minimize these biases and is recommended to ensure the quality of self-report [49]. Previous analyses from a Brazilian PrEP study have shown that, self-reported adherence can discriminate participants with and without protective TDF-FTC levels [49, 50]. In a recent study from New York (USA), self-reported PrEP adherence has shown to be accurate and a valid indicator of PrEP uptake [63]. Our analysis of self-reported PrEP adherence used a very stringent definition, requiring only individuals who reported taking all pills in 30 days to be categorized as adherent. However, the number of missing pills reported by visit (Figure 2a) led to sufficient number of pills required for protection (i.e. 4 pills per week, which would provide sufficient protection) [20]. Additionally, the average number of missing pills (Figure 2b) ranged from 2 to 8 within the past 30 days and decreased over time. Importantly, self-reported adherence is based on TGW who attended the service and, therefore, does not include those who missed visits.

### 5 CONCLUSIONS

Although TGW were willing to be enrolled in ImPrEP and remained on oral PrEP during short-term follow-up, long-term PrEP engagement and PrEP adherence were limited. HIV incidence remained high in Peru despite the availability of PrEP free of charge throughout the study. A successful HIV prevention agenda among TGW considering country or region particularities will need to address social and financial barriers.
and include trans-tailored interventions supporting PrEP education, engagement and adherence. Long-acting PrEP may be particularly useful for this population.
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