The magnitude of oral rehydration salt utilization in diarrhea hot spot regions of Ethiopia and its associated factors among under-five children: A multilevel analysis based on Bayesian approach
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Background: Diarrhea leads the children to severe dehydration or death as a result of the loss of water and electrolytes (namely, potassium, chloride, sodium, and bicarbonate). To compensate for the losses, ORS is given to children who experienced diarrhea.

Objective: To estimate the magnitude of ORS utilization in diarrhea hotspot regions of Ethiopia and to assess its associated factors among under-five children.

Methods: To conduct this study, we used the 2016 Ethiopian demographic and health survey data. A total of 1,079 weighted sample children were selected. Each sample was selected randomly. Then, to identify factors associated with ORS utilization in diarrhea hotspot regions of Ethiopia, a multilevel analysis based on the Bayesian approach was applied. Finally, the credible interval of AOR that does not include 1 was considered statistically significant.

Results: The magnitude of ORS utilization for children in diarrhea hotspot regions of Ethiopia was 28%. Being urban resident (AOR = 1.92; 95% CrI: 1.13–3.3), woman household head (AOR = 2.11; 95% CrI: 1.3–3.9), having higher educational level (AOR = 1.52; 95% CrI: 1.04–2.22), member of health insurance (AOR = 1.73; 95% CrI: 1.14–2.43), and being exposed for media (AOR = 1.43; 95% CrI: 1.18–2.5) increases ORS utilization for diarrhea management.

Conclusion: Residence, educational level, health insurance, and media exposure were the factors of ORS utilization. So, to increase the practice of ORS utilization for diarrhea management in Ethiopia, the Ministry of Health and the Government of Ethiopia should consider these factors when they design diarrhea prevention and control strategies.
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ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS
- The magnitude of ORS utilization in diarrhea hot spot regions of Ethiopia was 28%.
- Being urban resident, woman household head, having higher educational level, member of health insurance, and being exposed for media increases ORS utilization for diarrhea management.
- The Ministry of Health and the Government of Ethiopia should consider these factors when they design diarrhea prevention and control strategies.

Please note that the above text is a structured summary of the research article, focusing on key points and findings.
Background

According to World Health Organization (WHO) passing loose or watery stool three or more times during 24 h is considered Diarrhea \((1)\). An individual who experiences diarrhea has symptoms of vomiting, fever, severe watery diarrhea, and abdominal pain. This is common in children and all adults who experienced diarrhea. Those symptoms lead the children to severe dehydration or death as a result of the loss of water and electrolytes (namely, potassium, chloride, sodium, and bicarbonate). Even though the death of children over time due to diarrhea showed a significant reduction, still diarrhea remains one of the top five causes of morbidity and mortality. In Africa, it is responsible for an estimated 3,33,000 children’s death and also accounts for one-fourth of all childhood deaths annually across the globe \((2–6)\). According to different studies, lack of sanitation, poor hygiene practices, unsafe waste disposal, inadequate and unsafe water, and not being vaccinated for Rotavirus and Measles are accountable for diarrheal diseases occurrence \((7–9)\).

The combination of electrolyte and sugar solutions was introduced in 1960 to treat water and electrolyte losses due to diarrhea \((10)\). This combination is known as Oral Rehydration Salt (ORS). This salt is simple, affordable, and can be given at home by mothers or caregivers when the child experiences diarrhea \((11, 12)\). Different studies showed that after the introduction of ORS, morbidity and mortality of children over time due to diarrhea have declined significantly \((13–15)\). Even though ORS is very crucial to replace the lost fluid due to diarrhea, its utilization is still low in low- and middle-income countries \((16)\). In 2017, the overall ORS utilization coverage in middle and low-income countries was below 50% (about 6.52 million children out of 13.34 million children with diarrhea, were not taking ORS to treat dehydration) \((16)\).

Despite the Ethiopian ministry of health and the government collaboratively making an effort to increase the utilization of ORS for diarrhea management, only 46% of children with diarrhea received ORS \((17)\). Also, according to the reports of the International Vaccine Access Center (IVAC), despite Ethiopia being one of the top countries in under-five children death by diarrhea, they achieved only 30% of ORS treatment targets from 90% of treatment targets in 2020 \((18)\). According to the study conducted in Ethiopia, among the nine regional states and two city administrations, four regions (namely, Amhara, Oromia, SNNP, and Benishangul Gumuz) and one city administration (Addis Ababa) were the hotspot regions for diarrhea \((19)\). But there are no studies conducted at the national level which show the magnitude of ORS utilization to manage diarrhea and its associated factors in diarrhea hotspot regions of Ethiopia. So, the identification of risk factors is crucial to increase the utilization of ORS for diarrhea management and to prevent and control diarrhea in diarrhea hotspot regions of Ethiopia using an appropriate statistical method of analysis. The Bayesian analysis approach is one of the data analysis approaches independent of the classical analysis approach and the parameters are estimated from the posterior distribution which is the combination of the prior information and the likelihood of the data. A prior distribution of a parameter is the probability distribution that represents our uncertainty about the parameter before the current data are examined and the likelihood function (often simply called the likelihood) expresses how probable a given set of observations is for different values of the statistical parameters. Therefore, this study aimed to estimate the magnitude of ORS utilization and to identify its associated factors among under-five children in diarrhea hotspot regions of Ethiopia by considering the clustering effect using the Bayesian analysis approach.

Methods

Data source and population

This study was performed based on the 2016 Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey (EDHS) data. To access the data, we requested the Measure DHS center online by explaining the objective of our study. Then, after permission was granted, the data were accessed from the Measure DHS website (http://www.dhs_program.com). In Ethiopia, the DHS data are nationally representative and collected from 9 regions and 2 city administrations in the country every 5 years cross-sectionally. Before the data were collected, stratified two-stage sampling of clusters was carried out in each of the surveys. Each region of the country was stratified into urban and rural areas. For the 2016 survey, a total of 645 enumeration areas (EA) were selected randomly proportional to the EA size. In the second stage, on average 27–32 households per EA were selected \((20–22)\). All under-five children in diarrhea hotspot regions of Ethiopia were the source population. In this region, all under-five children who experienced diarrhea within 2 weeks before the survey in the selected enumeration households were included in the study. Finally, a total of 1,079 weighted samples under-five children were used to conduct this study. The comprehensive procedure for sampling and sample size determination technique, in general, about the survey, is described in the complete EDHS report \((20–22)\).
Variables

The dependent variable is the utilization of ORS for under-five children who have diarrhea. The response was coded as “Yes = 1” and “No = 0”. The EDHS asked respondents to answer the question “Did you give ORS to your children who have diarrhea?” So, the response is binary with possible values $Y_i = 1$ if the $i^{th}$ child got ORS and $Y_i = 0$, if the $i^{th}$ child had not gotten ORS.

The independent variables were classified as community- and individual-level variables. Region and place were considered as community-level factors. The number of under-five children, family size, educational level of the mother, working status of the mother, wealth status, exposure to media, sex of household head, health insurance, distance to the health facility, age and gender of the child, being twin, and the weight of the child at birth were considered as individual-level factors.

Data processing and analysis

After the data set was downloaded from the Measure DHS website, the variables of the study were extracted from Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey kid record (KR) data set using the software STATA version 14.2. The extracted data were weighted using sampling weight before any statistical analysis was performed to restore the representativeness of the survey and get reliable statistical estimates. Then, based on the diarrhea status (hot or cold spot) of the country, regions were categorized into a hotspot and cold spot region for diarrhea. Cold spot regions for diarrhea were excluded from the analysis. The data (data from diarrhea hotspot regions) were exported to the R software version 4.0 for further analysis. Then the data were coded, edited, and cleaned for analysis.

A multilevel model based on the Bayesian statistical approach was fitted to identify factors associated with not utilizing ORS for under-five children who have diarrhea in Ethiopia. In this study, two levels of data hierarchy were considered to fit the multilevel models. Children in the household were nested to the EA and were considered as level one units, whereas the EAs were considered as level two units.

The category of the dependent variable was dichotomous and represented as follows:

$$Y_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
Yes, \text{ if they utilized ORS for diarrhea} \\
No, \text{ if they didn’t utilize ORS for diarrhea}
\end{cases}$$

So, this variable has a Bernoulli distribution with successes when the child utilized ORS for diarrhea and the failure when the child did not utilize ORS for diarrhea.

The probability of utilizing ORS for diarrhea for $i^{th}$ children in the $j^{th}$ cluster is $\pi_{ij}$

$$P(X_{ij} = x) = \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x}, \text{ if } X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{ij}$$

Let $\pi_{ij}$ be modeled using a logit link function. The two-level model is given as follows:

$$\text{Logit}(\pi_{ij}) = \beta_0 + \sum_{h=1}^{H} \beta_{hj} X_{hi} + \mu_{0j} + \sum_{h=1}^{H} \mu_{hj}$$

where, $\beta_{ij} = \beta_0 + \mu_{0j}$

$$\beta_{ij} = \beta_1 + \mu_{1j}$$

$$\beta_{hj} = \beta_h + \mu_{hj}$$

$\beta_0 + \sum_{h=1}^{H} \beta_{hj} X_{hi}$ is called fixed part of the model

$\mu_{0j} + \sum_{h=1}^{H} \mu_{hj}$ is called random effect of the model $X_{hi}$, are the covariates in the model

$\beta$, is the regression coefficient of the parameter

$\mu_{hj}$, is the estimate of random intercept.

We used the recently developed R package called Brms (23) to fit multilevel models. This package uses No-U-Turn Sampler (NUTS) to estimate the extent of random variations between clusters and parameters of the variable. No-U-Turn Sampler (NUTS) is an extension of HMC and it uses a recursive algorithm to build a set of likely candidate points that spans a wide swath of the target distribution, stopping automatically when it starts to double back and retrace its steps (23). When multilevel models based on the Bayesian approach are fitted using NUTS, they converge to high-dimensional target distributions much more quickly as compared with the Gibbs sampler (23).

Four models are fitted by considering flat prior with beta distribution (1, 1) for regression coefficients and gamma distribution (0.001, 0.001) for the variance, cores = 2, iteration = 8,000, burn-in iterations = 1,000, number of chains = 2, to control the divergent transition, alpha delta = 0.95, and initials = 0.

The first model (fitted without covariate) is nested into the second model (fitted with individual-level factors), the third model (fitted with community-level factors), and the fourth model (fitted with both individual- and community-level factors). Again, the second and the third models are also nested into the fourth model. A multicollinearity test was conducted and there was no multicollinearity among variables (all variables had no tolerance of <0.1 and variance inflation factor (VIF) of >10, with a maximum VIF of 1.34). Those
four models were compared based on their widely applicable information criteria (WAIC). Finally, the fourth model was selected since it has the smallest WAIC value as compared with the rest three fitted models. Therefore, we made all interpretations and inferences based on the fourth model. The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) value >5% was considered to determine the variation of ORS utilization for diarrhea among under-five children between the enumeration areas of diarrhea hotspot regions. Also, to declare statistically significant, we used the 95% posterior credible interval. The credible interval of AOR that included 1 was not considered statistically significant.

Finally, the convergence of the algorithms was checked using Rhat value = 1, Bulk_ESS and Tail_ESS >1,000, chains of the time series plots mixed well and smooth density plot. Because the estimates of the posterior distribution might not be reliable unless the chain of distribution has reached its stationary (24).

Results
Study participant characteristics

Based on the sociodemographic characteristics, 91.4% of participants were rural residents. Among the total, the majority of the study participants were from Oromia regional state (44.5%) followed by SNNP (27.9%) and Amhara regional (25%) state. Based on the birth interval, 20.2% of the children were born with narrow birth intervals (<23 months interval). Regarding the media exposure status of the respondents, 78.3% of the participants were not exposed to the media (Table 1).

Magnitude of ORS utilization among under-five children in diarrhea hotspot regions of Ethiopia

The overall magnitude of ORS utilization for children in diarrhea hotspot regions of Ethiopia was 28%. The utilization of ORS for diarrhea management in diarrhea hotspot regions of Ethiopia is almost comparable based on different sociodemographic variables. Based on the residence, 35.5% of the rural and 27.4% of the urban residents utilize ORS for diarrhea management. Based on the regional state, Addis Ababa city administration is the highest regional state (55.6%), followed by B/Gumuz (54.5%), SNNP (33.2%), Amhara (28.5%), and Oromia (22.9%), respectively among diarrhea hotspot regions to utilize ORS for diarrhea. Regarding wealth status, 26.6%, 31.6%, and 27.5% of the households utilize ORS for diarrhea management whose wealth status is poor, medium, and rich, respectively. Similarly, based on educational level of the mothers, 26.5% of mothers who had no formal education

| TABLE 1 Characteristic's frequency and percentage distribution of study participants in Ethiopia in 2016. |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Variables                        | Categories       | Frequency       | Percent |
| Sex of child                    | Male            | 566             | 52.5    |
|                                | Female          | 513             | 47.5    |
| Twin                            | Yes             | 28              | 2.6     |
|                                | No              | 1,051           | 97.4    |
| Birth order                     | First           | 220             | 20.4    |
|                                | 2-3             | 337             | 31.2    |
|                                | 4-5             | 265             | 24.6    |
|                                | ≥6              | 257             | 23.8    |
| Birth interval                  | ≤23 month       | 174             | 20.2    |
|                                | ≥24 month       | 686             | 79.8    |
| Child weight at birth           | Normal          | 369             | 34.4    |
| Working status of mothers       | Had work        | 740             | 68.6    |
|                                | Had no working  | 339             | 31.4    |
| Wealth status                   | Poor            | 455             | 42.2    |
|                                | Medium          | 250             | 23.1    |
|                                | Rich            | 375             | 34.7    |
| Distance to health facility     | Not long        | 443             | 41.1    |
|                                | Long            | 636             | 58.9    |
| Health insurance                | Yes             | 33              | 3       |
|                                | No              | 1,047           | 97      |
| Region                          | Amhara          | 270             | 25      |
|                                | Oromia          | 481             | 44.5    |
|                                | B/Gumuz         | 10              | 0.9     |
|                                | SNNP            | 301             | 27.9    |
|                                | Addis Ababa     | 18              | 1.6     |
| Residence                       | Rural           | 986             | 91.4    |
|                                | Urban           | 93              | 8.6     |
| Sex of household head           | Male            | 972             | 90      |
|                                | Female          | 108             | 10      |
| Number of U-5 children          | ≤2              | 953             | 88.3    |
|                                | ≥3              | 126             | 11.7    |
| Family members                  | ≤5              | 534             | 49.5    |
|                                | ≥6              | 545             | 50.5    |
| Mother’s educational level      | No formal education | 672         | 62.2    |
|                                | Primary         | 335             | 31      |
|                                | Secondary       | 56              | 5.2     |
|                                | Higher          | 17              | 1.6     |
| Media exposure                  | No              | 845             | 78.3    |
|                                | Yes             | 235             | 21.7    |
level, 30.2% of mothers who had primary education level, 26.8% of mothers who had secondary education level, and 47.1% of mothers who had higher education level utilize ORS for diarrhea management in diarrhea hotspot regions of Ethiopia (Table 2).

**Multilevel analysis**

As shown in Table 3, the variance between enumeration areas and the utilization of ORS for children who have diarrhea in diarrhea hotspot regions of Ethiopia. Therefore, the utilization of ORS across the cluster is different. In addition, the ICC in this study is 12.1%. This means roughly 12.1% of the variability of the utilization of ORS for children with diarrhea in hotspot regions of Ethiopia was attributable to the enumeration areas. The model fitted by using community and individual variables has the smallest WAIC (3,124) among the four fitted models. Since models which have the smallest WAIC value are considered the best-fitted model (25), we made a report and interpretation based on this model.

Among the factors included in a model which contains both community- and individual-level factors, residence, wealth status, being a member of health insurance, gender of household head, educational level, and media exposure status were significantly associated with ORS utilization for children who have diarrhea in diarrhea hotspot regions of Ethiopia (Table 2). Being an urban resident, the odds of utilizing ORS is 1.92 times (AOR = 1.92; 95% CrI: 1.13–3.3) more likely as compared with rural residents. The odds of ORS utilization for children with diarrhea among middle and rich wealth status households is 1.9 and 1.28 times (AOR = 1.9; 95% CrI: 1.4–2.1), and 1.28 (AOR = 1.28; 95% CrI: 1.12–1.92) more likely as compared with poor wealth status households, respectively. Being a woman household head, the utilization of ORS for children with diarrhea is 2.11 times (AOR = 2.11; 95% CrI: 1.3–3.9). Also, the odds of the utilization of ORS for children with diarrhea among households who are exposed to the media is 1.43 times (AOR = 1.43; 95% CrI: 1.18–2.5) more likely as compared with households who are not exposed to the media.

**Discussion**

Diarrhea leads an individual to many watery stools, vomiting, fever, and abdominal pain. Finally, all those manifestations may lead an individual to severe dehydration or death depending on its severity. So, to replace the lost body fluids, ORS is given to individuals who experienced diarrhea (11, 12). Of the factors included

| Variables                      | Categories       | Percentage |
|-------------------------------|------------------|------------|
| Sex of child                  | Male             | 29         |
|                               | Female           | 26.9       |
| Twin                          | Yes              | 17.9       |
|                               | No               | 28.3       |
| Birth order                   | First            | 26.8       |
|                               | 2–3              | 29.9       |
|                               | 4–5              | 27.2       |
|                               | ≥6               | 27.6       |
| Birth interval                | ≤23 month        | 20.8       |
|                               | ≥24 month        | 30.2       |
| Child weight at birth         | Normal           | 32.8       |
|                               | Small            | 24.5       |
|                               | Big              | 26.4       |
| Working status of mothers     | Had work         | 32.4       |
|                               | Had no working   | 26         |
| Wealth status                 | Poor             | 26.6       |
|                               | Medium           | 31.6       |
|                               | Rich             | 27.5       |
| Distance to health facility   | Not big problem  | 28.4       |
|                               | Big problem      | 27.8       |
| Health insurance              | Yes              | 27.6       |
|                               | No               | 39.4       |
| Region                        | Amhara           | 28.5       |
|                               | Oromia           | 22.9       |
|                               | B/Gumuz          | 54.5       |
|                               | SNNP             | 33.2       |
|                               | Addis Ababa      | 55.6       |
| Residence                     | Rural            | 35.5       |
|                               | Urban            | 27.4       |
| Sex of household head         | Male             | 26.4       |
|                               | Female           | 42.6       |
| Number of U-5 children        | ≤2               | 28.2       |
|                               | ≥3               | 26.2       |
| Family members                | ≤5               | 27.9       |
|                               | ≥6               | 28.3       |
| Mother’s educational level    | No formal education | 26.5     |
|                               | Primary          | 30.2       |
|                               | Secondary        | 26.8       |
|                               | Higher           | 47.1       |
| Media exposure                | No               | 27.7       |
|                               | Yes              | 28.9       |
| Overall magnitude             |                  | 28         |
TABLE 3 Factors associated with ORS utilization among under-five children in diarrhea hotspot regions of Ethiopia in 2016.

| Variables                  | Categories     | AOR [95% CrI]   | Rhat | Bulk_ESS | Tail_ESS |
|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|------|----------|----------|
| β0 intercept*              |                | 0.14 [0.06, 0.32] | 1    | 8,894    | 9,120    |
| Sex of child               | Male           | 0.83 [0.61, 1.13] | 1    | 7,867    | 8,567    |
|                           | Female         | 0.64 [0.22, 1.84] | 1    | 8,934    | 8,645    |
| Twin                       | Yes            |                 |      |          |          |
|                           | No             |                 |      |          |          |
| Birth order                | First          |                 |      |          |          |
|                           | 2–3            | 1.20 [0.80, 1.70] | 1    | 9,234    | 9,345    |
|                           | 4–5            | 1.02 [0.68, 1.52] | 1    | 6,784    | 6,657    |
|                           | ≥6             | 1.04 [0.70, 1.50] | 1    | 7,689    | 7,633    |
| Birth interval             | ≤23 month      | 0.60 [0.39, 0.93] | 1    | 7,856    | 7,980    |
|                           | ≥24 month      |                 |      |          |          |
| Child weight at birth      | Normal         |                 |      |          |          |
|                           | Small          | 0.60 [0.41, 1.03] | 1    | 9,745    | 10,123   |
|                           | Big            | 1.13 [0.71, 1.78] | 1    | 10,231   | 10,545   |
| Working status of mothers  | Had work       |                 |      |          |          |
|                           | Had no working | 1.07 [0.76, 1.53] | 1    | 11,234   | 11,543   |
| Wealth status              | Poor           |                 |      |          |          |
|                           | Medium*        | 1.90 [1.40, 2.10] | 1    | 9,764    | 9,985    |
|                           | Rich *         | 1.28 [1.12, 1.92] | 1    | 8,674    | 8,874    |
| Distance to health facility| Not big problem| 1               |      |          |          |
|                           | Big problem    | 0.77 [0.54, 1.10] | 1    | 6,575    | 6,789    |
| Health insurance           | Yes*           | 1.73 [1.14, 2.43] | 1    | 7,123    | 6,997    |
|                           | No             |                 |      |          |          |
| Residence                  | Rural          |                 |      |          |          |
|                           | Urban*         | 1.92 [1.13, 3.30] | 1    | 8,892    | 9,012    |
| Sex of household head      | Male           |                 |      |          |          |
|                           | Female*        | 2.11 [1.30, 3.90] | 1    | 9,177    | 9,457    |
| Number of U-5 children     | ≤2             |                 |      |          |          |
|                           | ≥3             | 1.06 [0.66, 1.71] | 1    | 8,141    | 8,272    |
| Family members             | ≤5             |                 |      |          |          |
|                           | ≥6             | 1.40 [0.91, 2.22] | 1    | 7,459    | 7,359    |
| Mother’s educational level | No formal education |           |      |          |          |
|                           | Primary*       | 1.52 [1.04, 2.22] | 1    | 5,989    | 5,747    |
|                           | Secondary      | 1.12 [0.52, 2.30] | 1    | 6,852    | 6,743    |
|                           | Higher*        | 3.5 [1.30, 5.00] | 1    | 7,457    | 7,792    |
| Media exposure             | No             |                 |      |          |          |
|                           | Yes*           | 1.43 [1.18, 2.50] | 1    | 8,932    | 9,089    |
| σ^2_pr*                   |                | 0.22 [0.05, 1.22] | 1    | 4,896    | 5,231    |
| ICC                       | 12.1 [6.20, 18.74] |                |      |          |          |
| WAIC                      | 3.124          |                |      |          |          |

* = Significant at 95% CrI.

*This study revealed that the odds of utilizing ORS among urban residents are more likely as compared with rural residents. This finding is concurrent with a study conducted in Ethiopia (26). This might be due to urban residents having a better health care service access and good knowledge about ORS in response to diarrhea as compared with Ethiopian rural.*
residents. Since urban residents have more media exposure (such as Television, Radio, Internet, Newspaper...) than rural residents, they might have more information about ORS utilization and seek healthcare services early when their child experiences diarrhea.

The odds of utilizing ORS for children who experienced diarrhea among the mothers who have primary and higher education levels are more likely as compared with the mothers who did not have formal education. This finding is evidenced by studies conducted in Cameroon (27) and Kenya (28). This might be due to educated mothers having good knowledge about diarrhea and its complications (29–31). Therefore, educated mothers might go to health facilities early and obtain health education from healthcare professionals about the appropriate treatment of their children, including the importance, preparation, and utilization of ORS. Similarly, households whose wealth status is rich and medium utilize ORS more likely as compared with households whose wealth status is poor for their children who experienced diarrhea. This finding is concurrent to the findings of the studies conducted in India (32, 33). This is the fact that in developing countries, such as in Ethiopia, poor households cannot afford the costs of healthcare services including ORS (34). So, children of poor households might not get ORS when they experienced diarrhea. Also, the odds of utilizing ORS for children who experienced diarrhea among mothers who are member of health insurance is more likely to utilize ORS as compared with mothers who are not member of health insurance. This might be due to the fact that households who are not member of health insurance and who had no health facilities close to their area of residence may not access health care services easily. The utilization of ORS among women headed households is more likely as compared with men headed households. This finding is supported by the study conducted in Gondar town (35). This might be due to women having more health seeking behavior as compared with men (36). In addition to the above, since women are close to children care (35), they might see their child when he or she experiences diarrhea. Therefore, women headed households might use ORS more likely as compared with men headed households. The odds of the utilization of ORS for children with diarrhea among households who are more likely as compared with households who are not exposed to media. The finding is supported by the studies conducted in sub-Saharan countries and Bangladesh (namely, Nigeria, Burkina Faso, and Niger) (37–41). This might be due to individuals who have media exposure might get more information about the advantages of using ORS during diarrhea episode and complications of diarrhea if they did not use ORS during diarrhea episode as compared with individuals who are not exposed to media.

Strengths and limitations of the study

Conducting a study by considering the data of all diarrhea hotspot regions of Ethiopia and fitting a multilevel model using the Bayesian approach to get fine estimates of the parameters was the strength of this study. Also, as a limitation, we cannot get some variables (for example, availability and accessibility of ORS, knowledge and attitude of the participants toward ORS) to identify risk factors of ORS utilization.

Conclusion and recommendations

The magnitude of ORS utilization for children in diarrhea hotspot regions of Ethiopia is very low. Not utilizing ORS for diarrhea management had been a major public health problem if the problem continues in this way in the study area. Therefore, all individuals whose children are experiencing diarrhea should give priority attention to utilize ORS. Being an urban resident, wealthy, educated, exposed to media, a member of health insurance, and women headed household increases the utilization of ORS for children who have diarrhea in diarrhea hotspot regions of Ethiopia. Therefore, to increase the utilization of ORS for diarrhea management in diarrhea hotspot regions of Ethiopia, all the concerned bodies including the Ethiopian Ministry of Health and Government should consider those factors when they design diarrhea prevention and control strategies specifically in diarrhea hotspot regions of Ethiopia.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

Ethical clearance for the EDHS data was given by the Ethiopian Health and Nutrition Research Institute (EHNRI) Review Board; the National Research Ethics Review Committee (NRERC) at the Ministry of Science and Technology (34). To collect the data, the respondents were informed about the survey and a verbal consent was taken for their participation (34). Before the data collection, all the procedures were reviewed and approved by the ICF Institutional Review Board (34).
Author contributions

YN, GFA, AA, MSA, and DA were participated in this study from the initial till the end, participated in design, acquisition of data, data cleaning, coding, data analysis, interpretation, drafting, and finally revising of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Acknowledgments

Our deep gratitude goes to the measure Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) center which allowed us to access and use the data set.

References

1. WHO/UNICEF. Progress on Sanitation and Drinking Water: 2015 Update and MDG Assessment. Geneva: World Health Organization (2015).
2. Jin Y, Mankadi PM, Rigotti JI, Cha S. Cause-specific child mortality probability and contributions to all-cause child mortality, and number of child lives saved during the Millennium Development Goals era: a country-level analysis. Glob Health Action. (2018) 11:154695. doi: 10.1080/16549716.2018.1546995
3. World Health Organization, UNICEF. Ending preventable deaths from pneumonia and diarrhea by 2025: The integrated Global Action Plan for Pneumonia and Diarrhoea (GAPPD). Geneva: World Health Organization (2013).
4. World Health Organization. Diarrhoea: Why Children are Still Dying and What Can Be Done. (2009).
5. Reiner RC, Graetz N, Casey DC, Troeger C, Garcia GM, Mosser JF, et al. Variation in Childhood Diarrhoeal Morbidity and Mortality in Africa, 2000–2015. New England J Med. (2018) 379:1128–38. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1716766
6. Troeger C, Forouzanfar M, Rao PC, Khalil I, Brown A, Reiner Jr RC, et al. Estimates of global, regional, and national morbidity, mortality, and aetiology of diarrhoeal diseases: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. Lancet Infect Dis. (2017) 17:909–48.
7. Gebre T, Taha M, Kassahun W. Risk factors of diarrhoeal disease in under-five children among health extension model and non-model families in Sheko district rural community, Southwest Ethiopia: comparative cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health. (2014) 14:395. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-14-395
8. Fayfman M, Flint K, Srivivasan S. Obesity, motility, diet, and intestinal microbiota-connecting the dots. Curr Gastroenterol Rep. (2019) 21:15. doi: 10.1007/s11894-019-0680-y
9. Ngesse Y, Taddese AA, Ngesse A, Ayele TA. Trends and determinants of diarrhea among under-five children in Ethiopia: cross-sectional study: multivariate decomposition and multilevel analysis based on Bayesian approach evidenced by EDHS 2000–2016 data. BMC Public Health. (2021) 21:1–16. doi: 10.1186/s12889-021-01091-3
10. Pierce NF, Sack RB, Mitra RC, Banwell JG, Brigham KL, Fedson DS, et al. Replacement of water and electrolyte losses in cholera by an oral glucose—electrolyte solution. Ann Intern Med. (1969) 70:1173–81. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-70-6-1173
11. WHO. Diarrhoeal Disease. Available online at: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/diarrhoeal-disease (accessed May 2, 2017).
12. UNICEF. One is Too Many: Ending Child Deaths from Pneumonia and Diarrhoea. New York: UNICEF. (2016).
13. Black R, Fontaine O, Lamberti L, Bash M, Hucho L, El Arifeen S, et al. Drivers of the reduction in childhood diarrhoea mortality 1980–2015 and interventions to eliminate preventable diarrhoea deaths by 2030. J Global Health. (2019) 9. doi: 10.7188/jogh.09.020801
14. Munos MK, Walker CLF, Black RE. The effect of oral rehydration solution and recommended home fluids on diarrhoea mortality. Int J Epidemiol. (2010) 39:175–187. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyp025
15. Victoria CG, Bryce J, Fontaine O, Monasch R. Reducing deaths from diarrhoea through oral rehydration therapy. Bull World Health Organ. (2008) 78:1246–55.
16. Wiens KE, Lindstedt PA, Blacker BE, Johnson KB, Baumann MM, Schaeffer LE, et al. Mapping geographical inequalities in oral rehydration therapy coverage in low-income and middle-income countries, 2008–17. Lancet Global Health. (2020) 8:e1038–e108.
17. CSA I. Central Statistical Agency (CSA) [Ethiopia] and ICF. Ethiopia Demographic and Health Survey. Addis Ababa (2016).
18. (IVAC) IVAC. Pneumonia and Diarrhoea Progress Report 2020. Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health (2020).
19. Attnau A, Sisay MM, Demissie GD, Tessema ZT. Geographical disparities and determinants of childhood diarrhoeal illness in Ethiopia: further analysis of 2016 Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey. Trop Med Health. (2020) 48:64. doi: 10.1186/s41812-020-00252-5
20. CSAEaOM. Ethiopia Demographic and Health Survey 2000. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia and Calverton, Maryland, USA: Central Statistical Authority and ORC Macro (2001).
21. CSACEaI. Ethiopia Demographic and Health Survey 2016. AddisAbaba, Ethiopia, and Rockville, Maryland, USA: CSA and ICF (2016).
22. OMC. Ethiopia demographic and health survey 2005. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia and Calverton, Maryland USA: central statistics agency and ORC Macro (2005).
23. Hoffman MD, Gelman A. The No-U-Turn sampler: adaptively setting path lengths in Hamiltonian Monte Carlo. J Mach Learn Res. (2014) 15:1593–623.
24. Kynn M. Eliciting Expert Knowledge for Bayesian Logistic Regression in Species Habitat Modelling. Brisbane: Queensland University of Technology (2005).
25. Watanabe S. Asymptotic equivalence of Bayes cross validation and widely applicable information criterion in singular learning theory. J Mach Learn Res. (2010) 11:3571–94.
26. Didodico T. Knowledge and practice of mothers/caregivers on home management of diarrhea in under five children in Mureka district, Southern Ethiopia. J Health Med Nurs. (2016) 27:71–9.
27. Essomba NE, Koun DK, Adigo D, Npwe M, Coppeters Y. Use of oral rehydration therapy in the treatment of childhood diarrhoea in Douala, Cameroon. Malawi Med J. (2015) 27:60–4. doi: 10.4314/mmj.v27i2.6
28. Nyter G, Muriithi M. Household choice of diarrhea treatments for children under the age of five in Kenya: evidence from the Kenya demographic and health survey 2008–09. Eur Sci J. (2013) 9:77–91.
29. Adane M, Mengistie B, Mulat W, Kloos H, Medhin G. Utilization of health facilities and predictors of health-seeking behavior for under-five children with acute diarrhea in slums of Addis Ababa, Ethiopia a
30. Apuleni G, Jacobs C, Musonda P. Predictors of health seeking behaviours for common childhood illnesses in poor resource settings in Zambia, a community cross sectional study. Front Public Health. (2021) 9:519. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.569569

31. Lee H-Y, Huy NV, Choi S. Determinants of early childhood morbidity and proper treatment responses in Vietnam: results from the Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys, 2000–2011. Glob Health Action. (2016) 9:2934. doi: 10.3402/gha.v9.29304

32. Baswankale R, Singh A, Kumar K, Pedgonkar S. Disposal of children’s stools and its association with childhood diarrhea in India. BMC Public Health. (2017) 17:12. doi: 10.1186/s12889-016-3948-2

33. Pinzón-Rondón ÁM, Zárate-Ardila C, Hoyos-Martínez A, Ruiz-Sterrberg ÁM, Velás-van Meerbeke A. Country characteristics and acute diarrhea in children from developing nations: a multilevel study. BMC Public Health. (2015) 15:811. doi: 10.1186/s12889-015-2120-8

34. EDHS E. Demographic and health survey 2016: key indicators report. DHS Program ICF. (2016) 363:364.

35. Yimenu DK, Kasahun AE, Chane M, Getachew Y, Manaye B, Kifle ZD. Assessment of knowledge, attitude, and practice of child caregivers towards oral rehydration salt and zinc for the treatment of diarrhea in under 5 children in Gondar town. Clin Epidemiol Global Health. (2022) 14:100998. doi: 10.1016/j.cegh.2022.100998

36. Thompson AE, Anisimowicz Y, Miedema B, Hogg W, Wodchis WP, Aubrey-Bassler K. The influence of gender and other patient characteristics on health care-seeking behaviour: a QUALICOPC study. BMC Fam Pract. (2016) 17:38. doi: 10.1186/s12875-016-0440-0

37. Tambe AB, Nefea LD, Nicoline NA. Childhood diarrhea determinants in sub-Saharan Africa: a cross sectional study of Tikó-Cameroon. Challenges. (2015) 6:229–43. doi: 10.3390/challe6020229

38. Caruso B, Stephenson R, Leon JS. Maternal behavior and experience, care access, and agency as determinants of child diarrhea in Bolivia. Revista Panamericana de Salud Pública. (2010) 28:429–39. doi: 10.1590/S1020-49892010000100004

39. Bado AR, Suasuman AS, Nebie EI. Trends and risk factors for childhood diarrhea in sub-Saharan countries (1990–2013): assessing the neighborhood inequalities. Glob Health Action. (2016) 9:30166. doi: 10.3402/gha.v9.30166

40. Messelu Y, Trua K. Application of multilevel binary logistic regression analysis in determining risk factors of diarrheal morbidity among under-five children in Ethiopia. Public Health Res. (2016) 6:110–8.

41. Melese B, Paulos W, Astawesegn FH, Gelgelu TB. Prevalence of diarrheal diseases and associated factors among under-five children in Dale District, Sidama zone, Southern Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health. (2019) 19:1235. doi: 10.1186/s12889-019-7579-2