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Abstract

We report a distinctly different zero field cooled negative exchange bias (EB) effect in Ni doped LaFeO₃ nanoparticle where the EB field is both temperature and concentration dependent. Nanoparticles of Ni substituted LaFeO₃ is prepared by a sol-gel process. The broadening of diffraction peaks with Ni is due to the combined effect of octahedral distortion and reduction in particle size while ruffling out any structural phase change. A substantial EB is acquired below spin reorientation transitions which further reduces with higher chemical pressure of Ni. EB field is found to be ~5.71 kOe at 5 K, revealing a large value compared to similar zero field cooled EB systems. A simple model is proposed based on tunable shell-core magnetic phases to explain these unique EB effects. Present study also aimed to explore the spin and oxidation state of Fe vis-a-vis magnetic ordering in LaFeO₃ together with consequence of Ni doping.

1. Introduction

Exchange bias (EB) can be usually identified by shifting of the hysteresis loop along the magnetic field (H) axis. As a nonequilibrium phenomena, it depicts an interface cooling among different magnetic orderings, such as ferromagnetic (FM)-antiferromagnetic (AFM) [1], FM-spin glass (SG) [2], AFM-ferrimagnetic (FEM) [3] and FM-FEM [4] after cooling the system in a static magnetic field through the Néel temperature (TN) of the AFM or spin glass temperature (TSG) [5]. Such an effect is applied in designing ultrahigh-density magnetic recording and spin valve devices [6, 7]. The underlying physics of the EB is the exchange interaction between different magnetic orders. In an usual AFM-FM interface, the orientation of the AFM spin is weakly influenced by H, while in a soft FM, which is strongly exchange-coupled to the AFM will have its interfacial spins pinned. The energy associated with the process of reversal of the FM’s moment results a Néel domain wall within the AFM. This extra energy term implies a shift in the switching field of the FM [1]. A representative system of EB system is Co-CoO [1], where the EB occurs at the interface of Co(FM) and CoO(AFM) with a maximum EB field is 9.5 kOe. Recently, the EB effect was studied in two different systems, Pr doped LaCrO₃ and Sr₂YbRuO₆, in which the cooling field and temperature dependent positive and negative EB effects is observed [8, 9]. In these cases, the EB effect is completely different from what appears in bilayer and other interface structures. The coupling between the Pr³⁺ and Cr³⁺ at different atomic sites determines the EB effect in La₁₋ₓPrₓCrO₃ where as in Sr₂YbRuO₆, the EB effect originates from the Dzyaloshinskys–Moria interaction induced FM and AFM coupling between Yb³⁺ and Ru³⁺, which are in the same atomic site in the ABO₃ structure [8, 9]. In a similar context, tuning of exchange bias is also observed in a core–shell nanoparticles of FeO/Fe₂O₄, where the dimensions of core–shell determines not only the coercivity and exchange bias but also act as a dominant reversal mechanism of the FEM Fe₂O₄ [10]. Therefore, it is likely that the EB effect may be observed in some other similar systems irrespective of the subtle origins.

To explore new materials with EB based on this idea, we have chosen to study nanoparticles of Ni doped in LaFeO₃, considering that the Ni³⁺ spins enter into FM/weak FM(WFM) ordering, while Fe³⁺ is in the AFM state.
at low-T. Normally with homovalent Ni doping in similar oxides, the parent transition metal is shown to improve its valence state, resulting in a mixed valence states in the system [11, 12]. In a system like PrFe$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$O$_3$, an evidence of a transition of the Fe$^{3+}$ from high spin to low spin configuration with Ni content is also present [13]. In these systems, the electrical conduction is primarily due to hoping of the electrical carriers between their localized states and mostly are magnetically ordered insulators inclusive of LaFeO$_3$ [14]. LaFeO$_3$ exhibits AFM ground state with a high neel temperature around $T_N \sim 740$ K [15, 16], however, with substitutions and/or size reduction to nano meter, the magnetic ordering changes to weak FM. Our results show that both a positive and a large negative EB (~5.71 kOe at 5 K) effect is observed at different temperatures well below $T_N$ but especially cooling in zero field. This zero field cooled exchange bias (ZEB) effect is distinctly different from the conventional field cooling EB where external magnetic field is essential in creating the unidirectional anisotropy during the cooling process. This ZEB effect can be further tuned by varying Ni concentration in LaFeO$_3$. A simple model is proposed to explain this ZEB effects. The present study also aimed to explore the spin and oxidation state of Fe and its magnetic ordering in LaFeO$_3$ together with the consequence of Ni doping.

2. Experimental procedure

A series of Ni doped LaFeO$_3$ nanoparticle were prepared by sol-gel technique. High purity raw materials of La(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O, Fe(NO$_3$)$_2$·9H$_2$O, Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O, citric acid (C$_6$H$_7$O$_7$·2H$_2$O) and ethylene glycol (C$_2$H$_4$O$_2$) from Sigma Aldrich, were taken as precursor materials. Stoichiometric amounts of individual metal nitrates were dissolved in Millipore water and added through continuous stirring. The solution was heated to a mild temperature to ensure evaporation of nitrate fumes followed by addition of citric acid in a (metal ion: citric acid) 1:1 molar ratio with continuous stirring. The pH of the citrate solutions was then maintained at 7 by adding ammonia solution. The solution was heated for several hours until it becomes a viscous mass. Ethylene glycol was then added in the ratio of (metal:ethylene glycol) 1:1.2 into the viscous mass and was heated in a temperature range of 60 to 200 °C for gelation. Auto combustion of gel leaving behind organic based, black fluffy precursor powder. The resulting powder was calcined at 400 °C for 3 h. The calcined powders were reground and then pressed into pellets, subjected to sintering at 700 °C for 3 h to obtain final samples for characterizations. Synchrotron-based powder x-ray diffraction measurements were carried out on well-ground powder samples at Extreme Conditions Angle Dispersive/ Energy dispersive x-ray diffraction (EC-AD/ED-XRD) beamline (BL-11) at Indus-2 synchrotron source, Raja Ramanna Centre for Advanced Technology (RRCAT), Indore, India. The details of the experiment can be found elsewhere [16]. Microstructures and morphology have been investigated using field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM). The temperature dependent magnetization measurements (M-T) and first harmonic ac-susceptibility at 3 Oe are measured using SQUID-VSM (M/s. QD, USA). Mossbauer measurement has been carried out using transmission $^{57}$Fe Mossbauer spectrometer at room temperature and fitted with MossWinn program. From here onwards the terminology used for the samples LaFeO$_3$ as LFN0, LaFe$_{0.9}$Ni$_{0.1}$O$_3$ as LFN1, LaFe$_{0.7}$Ni$_{0.3}$O$_3$ as LFN3 and LaFe$_{0.5}$Ni$_{0.5}$O$_3$ as LFN5, will be followed, unless it is stated differently.

3. Results and discussions

3.1. Structural and morphological analysis

For the basic characterizations of materials, we have performed synchrotron XRD and FESEM. For structural information, synchrotron radiation based XRD is taken to detect undesired phase if any in the samples with experimental accuracy. The Rietveld refinement of the room temperature XRD patterns are shown in figures 1(a)–(d). Quantitative phase analysis revealed the distorted orthorhombic structure of the samples with $Pbnm$ space group without any detectable impurity. With Ni concentration, the peaks get broadened, may be a combined effect of octahedral distortion and reduction in particle size. A schematic of the unit cell of a representative sample LFN3 is depicted in the figure 1(e). Here, Fe$^{3+}$/Ni$^{3+}$ ions occupy the 4b site which are surrounded by six neighbouring O$^2-$ ions forming FeO$_6$ octahedra. These corner sharing FeO$_6$ octahedrons remain tilted a few degree about the direction of the c-axis. Furthermore, As shown in figure 1(f), the most intense peaks shifts towards higher diffraction angles. The shifting and decrease in cell volume with the increase in Ni concentration is a consequence of smaller Ni$^{3+}$ (0.56 Å) ion replacing exactly the larger Fe$^{3+}$ (0.645 Å) in the unit cell. There is no evidence of any structural phase change caused due to chemical pressure exerted by Ni$^{3+}$ in the LaFeO$_3$ host thereby ruling out the the possible compositional phase change in the range 0 ≤ $x$ ≤ 0.5. The shrinkage of unit cell volume may reduce the Fe–O bonds in the FeO$_6$ octahedra and results in rise in their distortions, as shown in table S1 (see supplementary material is available online at stacks.iop.org/MRX/7/016108/mmedia).
To explore the surface morphology which is expected to be an integral part of the substitution induced change in properties of LaFeO$_3$, FESEM was used. Figures 2(a)–(d) shows FESEM micrographs of the samples. As shown in figure 2(a), for LFN0, mostly the particles are agglomerated, with a mean particle size of 52 nm. However, nickel-doped nanoparticles connect with each other to form a large network system of irregular size and shapes with an average particle size of around the 35–23 nm (see table S1: supplementary material) in an aspherical morphology as depicted in figures 2(b)–(d). It may be due to a large number of escaping gases from the rapid decomposition of organic compounds and strong redox reaction during sol-gel auto combustion method. The particle size is found to decrease with increase in Ni concentration. In order to shed light more on the issue of morphology, individual elemental mapping is carried out in FESEM (see supplementary material). The EDX spectrum additionally confirms that there exist nearly stoichiometric amount of O, Fe, Ni and La elements inside the selected area and with no detectable impurity (see figure S1, supplementary material).

### 3.2. Magnetic studies

To know the effect of chemical pressure vis-à-vis particle size on the magnetic state, temperature ($T$)-dependent magnetization ($M$) data were acquired under zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled (FC) conditions. As shown in figure 3, initially, each sample was cooled under a nominal zero field to 5 K. Then, a field of 500 Oe field was applied. The magnetization data (ZFC) were collected as the sample was warmed to 300 K, and the FC data were collected as the sample was cooled to 5 K (at 500 Oe). As shown in figure 3(a), the nature of ZFC and FC curve of LFN0 are similar but FC possesses a higher magnetization which is already documented in our earlier literatures [15–17]. However, the ZFC and FC for LFN1 and LFN3 show an irreversible magnetic behavior at irreversibility ($T_{\text{irr}}$) temperature but below room $T$. Nonetheless, this is mostly defined as the $T$ at which the ZFC and FC split and corresponds to the blocking temperature of the largest nanoparticles in the superparamagnetic systems. Here one notice, even ZFC and FC is not merge at 300 K for LFN1, as expected since the neel temperature ($T_N$) is much above 300 K for the parent sample. In LFN3, as the temperature increases in ZFC measurement, firstly, the $M_{\text{ZFC}}$ increases and then achieves a maximum value at $T_B$. Above $T_B$, in the unblocked region, the $M_{\text{ZFC}}$ monotonically decreases with increasing $T$. This is the characteristic behavior of superparamagnetic materials. Further higher Ni doping (50%), drastically alters the magnetic behavior of LaFeO$_3$. It shows a normal paramagnetic behavior with a nominal changes in the values of ZFC and FC, due to field history of the sample except a peak around 10 K in ZFC path(inset of figure 3(d)). It may be due to blocking of spin while lowering temperature. However the same is diminished in FC path, may due to effect of cooling field. The detail of such transition around 10 K in ZFC will be discussed in the later part of the section.
To know the exact field dependent magnetic behavior of all samples, isothermal magnetization is measured at 300 K and 5 K. The thermo magnetic hysteresis loops are measured in the protocols: \(0 \rightarrow 70 \text{kOe} \rightarrow -70 \text{kOe} \rightarrow 70 \text{kOe}\) at 300 K and 5 K. From the figure 3(e), at 300 K, the magnetization value achieved at 70 kOe starts increasing upto 30% Ni and than falls. This falls may be due to disorder arises from the competing interactions between Ni and Fe spins as each of having equal spin levels. However, these samples shows an intriguing effects once we magnified the hysteresis data around zero field. The enlarge view of the displaced \(M-H\) loops are shown in figure 4(a). The hysteresis loops are found to be highly asymmetric at 300 K, suggesting the exchange bias effects. Usually, the exchange bias field \(H_{EB}\) is defined as \(H_{EB} = |H_1 + H_2|/2\), where \(H_1\) and \(H_2\) are the left and right coercive fields, which is rather the intercepts of \(M\) on the -ve and +ve side of the \(H\)-axis. Correspondingly, the vertical magnetization shift (\(M_{Shift}\)) is defined as \(M_{Shift} = |M_1 + M_2|/2\), where \(M_1\) and \(M_2\) are the upper and lower remanent magnetizations.

For 300 K, the \(H_{EB}\) is highest for LFN3 where as \(H_C\) is highest for LFN1. However, this effect drastically changes at 5 K. As shown in figure 4(b), The hysteresis loops are obtained after zero field cooled process suggesting zero field cooled exchange bias (ZEB). The assymmetric hysteresis loops are completely shifted towords negative field axis which is very rare. The \(H_{ZEB} = 5.71 \text{kOe}\) in LFN3 is considered to be a very high ZEB \([18–20]\). The \(H_{ZEB} = 1.143 \text{kOe}\) is considered to be a medium range in EB \([18–20]\). The variations of exchange bias parameters as a function of doping concentrations is shown in figures 4(c) and (d) at two different temperatures.

Such a high value has many application prospects in designing ultrahigh-density magnetic recording, giant magnetoresistance, and spin valve devices \([6]\). From figure 4(d), at 5 K the \(H_{EB}\) and \(H_C\) is found to be the highest for LFN3 among all the samples signifies the better applicability around this doping level.

The increase of \(H_{EB}\) and \(H_C\) at Ni 30 suggests a strong exchange coupling between Fe and Ni resulting a weak FM state. After that, this values decreases. The possible reason may be stated in this way. The non-zero value of the EB is attributed to the presence of exchange coupling between the WFM-like shell and AFM-like core of the nanoparticles \([21, 22]\). For nanosized particles, mostly the surface spin act as a WFM on AFM core. So due to the coupling between surface WFM and AFM at the core, the WFM spins will try to drag AFM spins to the external fields and produce additional exchange bias fields besides that in the core, which results in a larger \(H_{EB}\) \([21, 22]\). Here the \(H_C\) and \(H_{EB}\) for doping system are much larger than LaFeO\(_3\) except for LFN5. As Ni doping increases, the decreasing particle size increases the FM phase fractions leading to the rise of total anisotropy energy which may causes the enhancement of coercivity \([22]\). The WFM and AFM phase coexistence in a single nanoparticles leads to the usual FM/AFM interfaces (as in other similar systems), when the sample is cooled from 300 K down to 5 K. With lowering of temperature, the exchange bias effect significantly increases. This enhancement of this EB can be ascribed to the increase of the interfacial WFM–AFM interaction.

**Figure 2.** FESEM micrographs of (a) LFN0, (b) LFN1, (c) LFN3 and (d) LFN5 respectively.
With higher Ni substitutions, the relative phase component of WFM enhances at the cost of AFM phase (Note: the parent system LaFeO₃ shows AFM ground state). Due to this, the ferromagnetic anisotropy increases and the relative phase component of WFM and AFM in these systems play a vital role in determining the magnitude of EB and coercive fields. To know the low temperature magnetic state of LFN5 in detail, AC-susceptibility measurement is carried out. As shown in figure 5, the frequency dependent real and imaginary part of susceptibility is measured at a low field of 3 Oe. As in figures 5(a), (b) the peaks shift to lower temperature with decreasing frequencies. Also for intensities, the real and imaginary (may not be trivial due to small values) components, the peaks decreases with decreasing frequencies. This behaviour of \( \chi' \) and \( \chi'' \) is typical of a spin glass state [23].

### 3.3. Mossbauer studies

The above description of relative phase fractions of magnetic orders to EB in these systems can be firmly established by using Mossbauer spectra. Figure 6(a) depicts the \(^{57}\)Fe Mossbauer spectra of LFN0. The spectrum consists of the intrinsic six lines of the orthoferrites. The Mossbauer spectra of LFN1 and LFN3 are well fitted by single sextets where as LFN5 by a sextet and a singlet as shown in the figures 6(b)–(d).

The Mossbauer spectra shows sagging as the nickel doping concentration percentage increase in the samples. The calculated parameters of the spectra from the curve fitting using MOSSWIN software are tabulated in the table 1. The isomer shift (IS) values are observed to decrease consistently with the increase in Ni content which are influenced by the shielding effect in the nano crystalline samples. The isomer shift is influenced by the S

---

**Figure 3.** M versus T at fixed field of for (a) LFN0, (b) LFN1, (c) LFN3, (d) LFN5. Isothermal magnetization at (e) 300 K and (f) 5 K for all samples.
electron density at a nucleus. The marginal reduce in 'IS' specifies that there may be a reduce in the unit cell volume with Ni on the Fe site, which is consistent with our XRD analysis [24].

The observed value of the hyperfine field (BH0) ~ 52 T, isomer shift (IS) ~ 0.4 mm s⁻¹ and quadrupole splitting (QS) ~ 0.042 mm s⁻¹ of the LFN0 are consistent with the literature [25]. The QS is an extremely sensitive and prone to local site symmetry and the observed small QS in the LFN0 ascertain the octahedral environment of Fe without any significant distortion. As the Ni doped at Fe sites, the QS is marginally increased. This may be caused due to the change in O environment upon Ni doing at Fe octahedral sites, which assure that the iron ions are subjected to a non-cubic electric filed gradient [26].

One may notice that the volume shrinkage may affect the Fe–O distance is evidenceing from the isomer shift [27]. This lead to the distortion of the FeO₆ octahedra ratio in a magnetically ordered state. The FeO₆ octahedra is progressively distorted due to chemical pressure as the Ni concentration increases, there is formation of bonds Fe–O–Fe, Fe–O–Ni and the introduction of new bond lengths of Fe–O and Ni–O, due to which the crystal field splitting energy (CFS) may gradually increased. The isomer shift value for all sextets in samples LFN0, LFN1 and LFN3 are typical for high spin Fe³⁺ in octahedral coordination. This establishes that Fe cations exists in the 3 + oxidation state in the LFN0, LFN1 and LFN3 samples. Note that, the oxidation state of La, Fe and Ni are obtained as 3+, 3+ and 3+ respectively by using x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (see figure 2 and discussions in supplementary material). For the LFN5 sample the Mossbauer spectrum shows a singlet and a small area sextet (see table 1). One may infer that LFN5 contains a major fraction of disordered magnetic phase that may be

![Figure 4.](attachment:figure4.png) M versus H at (a) 300 K and (b) 5 K showing exchange bias effect. The respective exchange bias field and coercive field w.r.t doping concentrations at (c) 300 K and (d) 5 K.

![Figure 5.](attachment:figure5.png) Frequency dependent first order real(a) and imaginary(b) part of $\chi$ versus T for LFN5.
a spin glass or simply paramagnetic phase which will be clarified from the isothermal magnetic measurements. The LFN0 is anti-ferromagnetically ordered below ~740 K. The iron ions are arranged as two sub lattices are slightly canted with respect to the antiferromagnetic axis, resulting in a small net magnetic moment. The increase in Ni doping concentration affects the antiferromagnetic interaction, which is attributed to reduce in internal magnetic filed experienced as a result of fall in number of ions. The internal magnetic field is very less in the case of the LFN5 sample as compared to other samples [25].

4. Conclusions

To sum up, a large but zero field cooled negative exchange bias (ZEB) effect is observed in Ni doped LaFeO₃ nanoparticle, which exhibits a similar relationship of the HEB and HC at low temperature, while different at higher temperature. For 30% Ni, this exhibits the highest values. In these nanoparticles, this phenomenon can be ascribed to the interfacial exchange coupling between WFM regions and AFM boundaries created by antisite disorders in a core–shell like structure with AFM core and WFM shell. The magnetization of LaFeO₃ is considerably enhanced due to substitution of Ni. The EB effect, exchange anisotropy is very prominent in the 30% Ni doped sample. Considerably high value of EB effect (~5.71 kOe) in the 30% Ni in a multiferroic LaFeO₃ would be quite interesting in different switching as well as in other recording devices, as the EB effect is used to pin the state of the readback heads at exactly their point of maximum sensitivity. Such study will certainly help in searching new materials for practical applications related to similar EB effect.

Figure 6. Room temperature Mossbauer spectra of LFN0, LFN1, LFN3 and LFN5 nanomaterials.

Table 1. Mossbauer spectra with fitted parameters of the Ni doped nano particles.

| Splitting   | Bhf (Tesla) | Width (mm s⁻¹) | Area (%) | Isomer shift (mm s⁻¹) | Qua (mm s⁻¹) |
|-------------|-------------|----------------|----------|----------------------|--------------|
| LFN0 1st Sextet | 51.98 ± .015 | 0.412 ± .022 | 100 | 0.392 ± .0027 | 0.042 ± .0079 |
| LFN1 1st Sextet | 50.57 ± .0492 | 0.51 ± .02 | 100 | 0.37 ± .0067 | 0.0829 ± .013 |
| LFN3 1st Sextet | 48.50 ± .23 | 0.62 ± .11 | 100 | 0.35 ± .044 | 0.282 ± .0812 |
| LFN5 1st Sextet | 34.50 ± .23 | 0.21 ± .10 | 72% | 0.34 ± .041 | 0.296 ± .0723 |
| Singlet       | 0.60 ± .015 | 92.72% | 0.49 ± .0085 | 0.257 ± .0213 |
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