Coumarins from the peel of citrus grown in Colombia: composition, elicitation and antifungal activity
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A B S T R A C T

The present work analyses the chromatographic profile of the peels from fruits of different citrus cultivated in Colombia: sweet orange (Citrus sinensis [L.] Osbeck var. Valencia), mandarins (Citrus reticulata L. var. Arrayana and Oneco), Key lime (Citrus aurantifolia [Christ.] Swingle var. Pajarito), Mandarine lime (Citrus x limonia, a hybrid between Citrus reticulata and Citrus x limon) and Tahitian lime (C. latifolia Tanaka, syn. Persian lime). Coumarins, furanocoumarins and polymethoxylated flavones are the major compounds. Then, six coumarins were isolated and identified from fruits of Tahitian and Key lime corresponding to 5-geranyloxy-7-methoxycoumarin; 5,7-dimethoxycoumarin (syn. limettin); 5,8-dimethoxypsoralen (syn. isopimpinellin); 5-methoxypsoralen (syn. bergapteinte); 5-geranyloxypsoralen (syn. bergamottin) and 5-(2,3-dihydroxy-3-methylbutoxy) psoralen (syn. oxypeucedanin hydrate). Coumarins and furanocoumarins were quantified by liquid chromatography (HPLC-DAD).

Results show that the prenylated compounds were present in high concentrations in Tahitian and Key lime but in very low amounts in mandarins and sweet orange. Subsequently, the antifungal activity (inhibition of mycelial growth and germination of spores) of the coumarins against the fungus causing the anthracnose, Colletotrichum sp. (isolated from aerial parts of Tahitian lime) was determined. The compounds limettin and bergaptene, as well as mixtures of them, showed significant inhibitory effect (radial growth and spore germination) when compared to the control. Finally, the effect of some recognized elicitors to induce the coumarin production in fruits of C. latifolia was evaluated. The results showed that the chemical profiles are dependent on the applied elicitor and the post-induction time. As a result of the induction, a high concentration of some coumarins and furanocoumarins was maintained in the course of time for the Tahitian lime. In conclusion, isolated coumarins could be involved in the defense mechanisms of C. latifolia, C. aurantifolia and C. limonia and their accumulation may be modulated by the application of elicitors.

1. Introduction

The genus Citrus sp. belongs to the family Rutaceae and includes some of the main fruit crops in the world. Global citrus production was estimated at 130 million tons in 2015, becoming one of the most commercialized horticultural products (FAO, 2017). Citrus can be classified into the following four categories: sweet oranges, mandarins (including clementine and tangerine), grapefruit (including pummelo), and lemons/limes (Dugrand et al., 2013). The different cultivated species of citrus are a valuable source of nutrients and bioactive compounds, such as vitamins B and C, carotenoids, flavonoids and their glycosides, essential oils, coumarins, phenylpropanoids, limonoids, minerals, fiber and high water contents that have effects positive for human health (Duan et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017; Hung et al., 2017; De Moraes Barros et al., 2012). Additionally, nutritional, medicinal, aromatic and other therapeutic properties of citrus fruits, such as anticancer, cardioprotective, free radical scavenging, and bactericidal and antiviral activities, are well known (Hung et al., 2017; Tundis et al., 2014; Benavente-García and Castillo, 2008). However, the production of oranges, mandarins, grapefruit, and lemons/limes is largely limited by pathogenic microorganisms, which easily proliferate in citrus fruits because of the high nutrient content, humidity, and low pH values during the period between harvest and consumption.

On the other hand, plants have developed a variety of low molecular
weight antimicrobial compounds to protect themselves from damage caused by pathogens. These antimicrobial secondary metabolites can be grouped into two categories: constitutive (phytoanticipins) and induced (phytoalexins) compounds (Phasecka et al., 2015; VanEtten et al., 1994). Phytoanticipins are present in plants prior to the attack of a microorganism or can be also produced from pre-existing constituents after infection. These compounds act as the first chemical protection against a pathogen. Meanwhile, the phytoalexins are synthesized and accumulated in plants as a response to diseases or stress. Some chemical compounds of very diverse chemical nature, called elicitors, also induce the synthesis of phytoalexins. The rapid accumulation of phytoalexins has been extensively related to the disease resistance of plants (Ahuja et al., 2012; Dixon, 2001).

In citrus, coumarins and furanocoumarins (a subclass of coumarins with an additional furan ring) have been associated with the defense against pathogens (Fig. 1) (Bourgaud et al., 2006). Several authors have reported that scoparone (5,6-dimethoxycoumarin) is the main phytoalexin of citrus in response to the plant pathogenic fungi Phytophthora citrophthora (Smith and Smith) Leonian (Afek and Sztejnberg, 1989), Guignardia citricarpa Kiely (De Lange et al., 1976), Penicillium digitatum Sacc. (Rodov et al., 1992), P. italicum (Arras et al., 2006), Diaporthe citri (Wold) (Arimoto et al., 1986), and Botrytis cinerea (Persoon) (Kuniga et al., 2015). The production of scoparone can also be induced by UV radiation (Kuniga et al., 2015; Kuniga and Nesumi, 2011) and heat treatment (Kim et al., 1991). The coumarins scopoletin (6-methoxy-7-hydroxycoumarin) and xanthyletin (6,7-dimethylpyranocoumarin) were also found in citrus tissues induced by pathogens (like Phytophthora spp) or UV light (Rodov et al., 1994; Khan et al., 1985). In addition, umbelliferone (7-hydroxycoumarin), a biosynthetic precursor of methoxylated coumarins and furanocoumarins, was found in Marsh grapefruit (Citrus paradisi) when challenged by Penicillium digitatum (Afek et al., 1999). Scoparone, scopoletin, xanthyletin and umbelliferone have shown antifungal activity in vitro (Sanzani et al., 2014; Afek et al., 1999; Ortuno et al., 2011; Khan et al., 1985). In the present work, the isolation, identification, quantification and antifungal activity of coumarins from peels of citrus cultivated in Colombia is described.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. General

Umbelliferone, scopoletin, methyl jasmonate, D(-)-trehalose dihydrate, salicylic acid, 5-sulfosalicylic acid dihydrate, pectin from citrus peel (galacturonic acid, >74%, dried basis), chitosan (from shrimp shells, ≥ 75%, deacetylated), Span® 80 and Tween® 80 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). Scoparone was isolated from Platymiscium gracile L as described elsewhere (Martinez et al., 2017). Xanthyletin was isolated from Brosimum rubescens (Martinez et al., 2017). Gentamicin sulfate MK® and lincomycin MK® were from Tecnoquimicas S.A. (Cali, Colombia). Enoxaparin sodium Clexane® was from Sanofi-Aventis S.A. (Bogotá, Colombia). Hemicelluloses from corn cobs were obtained by alkaline extraction at room temperature following the methodology described in literature (Da Silva et al., 2015). Mucilage from chia seeds (Salvia hispanica) was obtained at pH 6 and room temperature in accordance to Munoz et al. (2012). All solvents were analytical or commercial grade (previously purified by destillation and drying with anhydrous sodium sulfate).

For the purification of the compounds different chromatographic techniques were used (column chromatography, CC; and thin layer chromatography, TLC). For CC, silica gel 60 (0.040-0.063 mm, Merck, Darmstadt, GE) or Sephadex LH-20 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) were used. The monitoring of the compounds was carried out by means of TLC on aluminum plates with stationary phase of silica gel (Si 60 F254, 20 × 20 cm, 0.25 mm, Merck, Darmstadt, GE). The identification of the compounds was performed by modern spectroscopic methods such as ultraviolet (UV/Vis), infrared (IR) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) mono- (1H and 13C NMR) and two-dimensional spectroscopy. The infrared spectra were taken on a FTIR Spectrum Two Perkin Elmer equipment (Attenuated Total Reflectance, ATR), while the NMR spectra were determined using CDCl3 on a Bruker AMX 300 spectrometer (300 MHz for 1H and 75 MHz for 13C). The chemical shifts (δ) were expressed in ppm and the coupling constants (J) in Hertz (Hz). Mass spectrometry analysis was carried out using a GCMS-QP2010 Ultra (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) operated in the electron impact mode (ionization energy: 70 eV; scan time: 0.5 sec; mass range of 200–400 amu). The accumulation of compounds in the course of time was evaluated by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC-DAD) in a Shimadzu Prominence chromatograph equipped with Diode Array Detector (DAD, SPD-M20A), software (LabSolutions Lite version 1.22 SP1) and a column RP-C18 (Luna, 150 mm x 4.6 mm- 5 μm, Phenomenex). The antifungal activity assays were carried out aseptically within a laminar flow cabin (or biological safety cabinet CSB 180 A) class II type A; using sterilized materials in an automatic horizontal autoclave (Centroil AUA 80 L brand). Spore count was determined microscopically using a Carl Zeiss Primo Star microscope with Neubauer chamber (Deep 1/10 mm, Boeco).

**Fig. 1.** Structure of coumarin, furanocoumarin and known citrus phytoalexins.
2.2. Plant material

This study was performed using citrus extracts taken from samples of peels of sweet orange (var. Valencia), mandarins (var. Arrayana and Oneco), Key lime (var. Pajariito), Mandarine lime and Tahitian lime. Key, Mandarine and Tahitian limes were harvested on the extremities of branches between 6:00 and 7:00 a.m. from the Agronomic Research Station Cotové (municipality of Santa Fé de Antioquia, Antioquia, Colombia). On the other hand, sweet orange, mandarins, and some Tahitian limes were purchased from local markets. Some Tahitian limes were harvested between 12:00 and 2:00 p.m. The ripeness degree and post-harvest conditions were in accordance with Colombian Technical Norms (ICONTEC: NTC 4086 and 4087) for commercial fruits. Ripeness degree of citrus fruits was between categories two and three of the table of colors (NTC 4086 and 4087).

2.3. Microorganism

The phytopathogenic fungus Colletotrichum sp. was isolated from Tahitian lime fruits with evident symptoms of the disease (anthracnose) and characterized morphologically. The fungus was preserved in Papa Dextrose Agar medium (PDA, Merck-KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) at 24 ± 2 °C and subcultured monthly in Petri dishes (9.0 cm in diameter and 15.0 mL of medium) until the realization of the bioassays.

2.4. Extract preparation

Citrus fruits: sweet orange (var. Valencia), mandarins (var. Arrayana and Oneco), Key lime (var. Pajariito), and Mandarine and Tahitian limes, were washed with running water and dipped in a 1% sodium hypochlorite solution for 10 minutes and thereafter, rinsed with distilled water. Then, the peels (flavedo and albedo) of the fruits were removed manually. The thickness of the albedo was different, varying between two (mandarin) and five millimeters (sweet orange). Citrus peels (5 g) were cut into small pieces, weighed, and extraction was performed with HPLC-grade methanol (3 × 15 mL) in the dark in an ultrasonic bath (Ultrasonik 28H) for 30 minutes. The methanol soluble extracts were filtered through Whatman No. 1 filter paper, combined and brought to a final volume of 50 mL with methanol. The samples were kept in amber glass flasks and stored at -20 °C until HPLC-DAD analysis.

2.5. Isolation and identification of compounds

Tahitian lime peels (2500 g) were cut into small pieces and subjected to exhaustive extraction by percolation with methanol (2 L) for 5 days at room temperature. The obtained methanolic extract was concentrated by rotoevaporation under reduced pressure and temperature below 40 °C. The extract was subjected to fractionation in a column of silica gel using mixtures of petroleum ether-dichloromethane and dichloromethane-ethyl acetate, of increasing polarity as the mobile phase. Similar fractions were combined by TLC monitoring and further separated by CC with Sephadex LH-20, using the mixture of petroleum ether-dichloromethane-methanol (2:1:1). In total, six compounds were obtained, which were identified by spectroscopic methods. The spectroscopic data were in agreement with those reported in literature: compounds were identified as 5-geranyloxy-7-methoxycoumarin (1) (Patil et al., 2013), bergamottin (2) (Li et al., 2017), bergapten (3) (Famobuwa et al., 2019), isopimpinellin (4) (Wang et al., 2008), limettin (5) (Jerezano et al., 2011) and oxyxpeucedanin hydrate (6) (Sbai et al., 2016).

2.6. Detection and quantification

The analysis of coumarins and furanocoumarins was performed by HPLC. The compounds were eluted at a flow rate of 0.7 mL/min with a gradient acetonitrile (HPLC grade) and deionized water, as follows: from 5% acetonitrile to 34% in 10 min, then from 34 to 70% in 26 min, 70–87% in 17 min, 87–90% in 5 min, and subsequently by holding for 7 min. Injection volume was 10 μL. The temperature of the separation column was 33 °C. Coumarins and furanocoumarins were monitored at the wavelengths of 254 and 272 nm, respectively, although DAD was used from 200 to 800 nm for peak characterization. Identification was carried out by comparing the retention times (Rt) or by co-elution with the authentic samples. The purity of the standards was calculated by dividing the area of the respective compound between the total areas of the peaks in the chromatogram and multiplying by 100.

Quantification of coumarins and furanocoumarins was performed using standard calibration curves (peak areas vs. compound concentrations). Working solutions of 1, 2, 3, and 5 were prepared, dissolving an amount (4 mg) of each compound in acetonitrile (10 mL). Each solution was subsequently diluted with the mobile phase at five different concentrations between 0.5 and 10 mg/L. The concentration of the compounds in the extracts was calculated by interpolation from the area of the peaks in the chromatograms and the calibration curves. All data were expressed as the mean ± SD. The analysis of each concentration was carried out in triplicate, injecting 10 μL of the solution. The limits of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) for each curve were determined according to ICH Harmonised Tripartite Guideline (2005).

2.7. Elicitation

For the elicitation assays, only Tahitian lime fruits were used. Aqueous solutions of the potential inducing agents (concentration used in units mg/L): guar, xanthan, and arabic gums (1000), pectin from citrus peel (1000), chitosan (700), β-D-glucans from G. lucidum (400 and 50), hemicelluloses from corn cobs (400 and 50), gentamicin (400), enoxaparin (100), lincomycin (1200), mucilage from chia seeds (Salvia hispanica) (1000), methyl jasmonate (1000), D(+)-trehalose dehydrate (1000), salicylic acid (1000), 5-sulfosalicylic acid dehydrate (1000), Span® 80 (1000) and Tween® 80 (1000) were prepared. Small amounts (<10%) of ethanol or acetic acid were used to improve the solubility of the compounds (salicylic acid and chitosan) in water. Ultraviolet (UV) radiation from a UVP UVGL-58 (6 watt) lamp at 254 nm was used as physical induction agent. Prior to induction, Tahitian lime fruits were washed and disinfected as previously described. Then, fruits were immersed for 1 hour in each solution or placed 20 cm under the UV lamp for 30 min. Tahitian lime fruits submerged into sterile distilled water were used as controls. Then, citrus fruits were placed in a sterile polystyrene box and stored at room temperature in the darkness for 6 days.

In addition, time-course studies were carried out with the following solutions: T1 and T2 hemicelluloses from corn cobs at 50 and 400 mg/L, respectively. T3 and T4, β-D-glucans from G. lucidum at 50 and 400 mg/L, respectively, and T5 gentamicin at 400 mg/L. Finally, T6 ultraviolet radiation at 254 nm for 30 min. All treatments, with the exception of UV radiation, were applied by immersion for 1 hour. After that time, the fruits were removed from the solution, air dried and stored separately in plastic boxes that were protected from light at room temperature for 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 13 and 16 days. The tests were performed in quadruplicate and each experimental unit consisted of four fruits.

2.8. Antifungal activity

2.8.1. Mycelial growth inhibition

Measurements of the antifungal activity against Colletotrichum sp. of the isolated compounds, mixtures of them and compounds reported in the literature as citrus phytoalexins (scoparone, scopoletin and umbelliferone), were developed using the poisoned food technique (Grover and Moore, 1962) with some modifications (Velasco et al., 2010). Different concentrations of the compounds (0.25, 0.50 and 1.00 mM) were incorporated into the oak agar medium (Oak Agar; 2% oats, 1.8% Agar-Agar, Schardau) and dissolved at 1% in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). All concentrations were evaluated in triplicate. The results are shown as
mean mycelial growth values corresponding to the diameters of the colony. Inhibition percentage of the radial growth was calculated using the formula: Inhibition (%) = \[1 - (T/C)\] x 100; where, C = average colony diameter (mm) of the absolute control and T = average colony diameter (mm) of the treatment. Petri dishes without the compounds were used as the negative control, containing only culture medium (absolute control) and addition of 1% DMSO (solvent control). The common fungicide Carbendazim (methyl benzimidazol-2-yl carbamate) and the antifungal natural agent thymol were used as positive controls at 0.5 mM. Antifungal activity of mixtures in different proportion (0.25–0.75, 0.50–0.50, and 0.75–0.25 mM) of the two most active compounds was also evaluated. All data were expressed as the mean ± SD.

2.8.2. Spore germination inhibition

Compounds that showed the highest mycelial growth inhibitions were evaluated for antifungal activity through the inhibition of spore germination, using the technique described by Cronin et al. (1996) with minor modifications. Spores of Colletotrichum sp. were collected from a Petri dish culture that was 7 days old. 20 mL of sterile water was added to the mycelium of the Petri dish, and a repetitive sweep with sterile cotton swab was performed to solubilize the largest number of spores. The suspension of mycelium and spores was filtered through sterile cotton and diluted with water (Type 1) until reaching a concentration of 3 × 10^5 spores/mL (hemocytometer). 15 μL of the desired concentration (1 mM) of compounds in DMSO (1%) was mixed with sterile liquid PDA (9.0 g/100 mL water, 0.5 mL) and placed on an Eppendorf microcentrifuge tube (2 mL capacity). After 2 minutes, 1 mL of a suspension of freshly prepared spores of Colletotrichum sp. was placed in the Eppendorf tube, capped, and maintained at 25 °C. The negative control was an Eppendorf tube containing DMSO (1%) mixed with sterile liquid PDA. After 8, 24, and 48 hours, the spore germination was examined under an optical microscope (40X magnification). 200 spores of four microscopic fields in three replicated plates were counted for the determination of the number of germinated spores. It was considered that a spore germinated when the length of the germ tube was greater than twice the radius of the spore. Results were expressed in terms of the percentage of spores germinated as compared to the control from the average of the triplicates. Percentage of spore germination inhibition was calculated according to the following formula: Spore germination inhibition (%) = \[1 - (T/C)\] x 100; where, C = average number of spore germinated in control set and T = average number of spore germinated in treatment set. All data were expressed as the mean ± SD.

2.9. Statistical analysis

Results were analyzed by a one-way ANOVA, and mean values were compared with the Fisher’s least significant differences (LSD) at the 0.05 probability level.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Chromatographic profiles

The compounds in methanolic extracts from citrus peels were separated by HPLC and UV/Vis spectra were obtained using a diode-array detector. The chromatographic profiles are presented in Fig. 2. The HPLC profile of mandarin var. Arrayana was omitted because it was identical to that of mandarin var. Oneco. UV/Vis spectra for the peaks gave a preliminary indication of the family of phenolic compounds. Tentative identifications of major peaks in these five citrus extracts can be found in Table 1. Coumarins (tentatively 5,7-dioxygenated form showing UV maxima around 205–240 and 315–330 nm and peaks or shoulders around 240 and 270 nm) were detected at Rt 28.0, 30.7, 31.5, 37.5, and 44.3 min. A 6,7-dioxygenated coumarin, displaying a UV spectrum with two major bands at 268 and 345 nm, was observed at Rt 24.5 min. These data are in agreement with Ibrahim and Barron (1990) for 5,7- and 6,7-dioxygenated coumarins. Typically, linear furanocoumarins show four absorption bands at 205–235, 240–255, 260–270, and 290–316 nm (Ibrahim and Barron, 1990). So, peaks at Rt 23.9, 31.6, 35.0, 54.0, 55.7, and 57.2 min were tentatively assigned to linear furanocoumarins. In addition, UV spectra of citrus poly-methoxylated flavones generally present two strong bands at 240–280 (Band II) and 300–380 nm (Band I) (Mabry et al., 1970). Chromatographic peaks at 33.0, 33.9, 34.3 and 36.0 min present these
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Table 1

| Retention time (min) | \( \lambda_{max} \) (nm) | Citrus especie | Tentative type of compound |
|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| 23.9                 | 214, 249, 266, 308      | Cia, Cc       | Furanoecumarin            |
| 24.5                 | 268, 348                | Cia            | Coumarin                  |
| 28.0                 | 229, 286, 326, 342      | Cr, Ca, Cia   | Coumarin                  |
| 28.4                 | 270, 342                | Cc             | Coumarin                  |
| 28.5                 | 231, 268, 325, 333      | Cc             | Coumarin                  |
| 30.7                 | 205, 246, 326           | Cia, Cc, Cli, Cr | Coumarin                  |
| 31.0                 | 216, 260, 264, 301      | Cs             | Methoxylated flavone       |
| 31.5                 | 222, 249, 267, 311      | Cia, Cc, Cli, Cr | Furanoecumarin |
| 31.6                 | 222, 248, 268, 312      | Cia, Cc, Cli, Cr | Furanoecumarin |
| 32.8                 | 209, 245, 302           | Cia, Cc       | Furanocumarin             |
| 33.0                 | 244, 337                | Cc             | Methoxylated flavone       |
| 33.9                 | 215, 248, 269, 333      | Cc, Cr, Cc, Cc, Cia | Methoxylated flavone |
| 34.3                 | 226, 269, 332           | Cs             | Methoxylated flavone       |
| 35.0                 | 216, 249, 269, 307      | Cia, Cc, Cci  | Furanocumarin             |
| 36.0                 | 253, 338                | Cs             | Methoxylated flavone       |
| 37.3                 | 233, 270, 323           | Cs             | Coumarin                  |
| 37.5                 | 232, 270, 324           | Cr, Cc, Cc, Cc, Cia | Coumarin                  |
| 39.8                 | 237, 310                | Cc, Cc, Cia   | Unidentified              |
| 40.7                 | 238, 312                | Cr, Cc, Cc, Cc, Cia | Unidentified |
| 44.1                 | 240, 287, 321           | Cs             | Coumarin                  |
| 45.3                 | 270, 268, 305           | Cc             | Furanocumarin             |
| 54.4                 | 214, 248, 265           | Cc             | Furanocumarin             |
| 55.7                 | 215, 248, 267, 308      | Cc             | Furanocumarin             |
| 57.2                 | 219, 250, 267, 307      | Cc             | Furanoecumarin             |
| 57.7                 | 206, 246, 325           | Cs             | Coumarin                  |

Cia: C. latifolia; Cc: C. aurantifolia; Cs: C. sinensis; Cli: C. limonia; Cr: C. reticulata.

1 Compounds were characterized by UV spectra only, and therefore, the identification can only be considered tentative.

2 Both compounds were co-eluted and analyzed as a two-component mixture without further purification.

3 Compounds were isolated and identified by NMR, UV, MS and IR and corresponding to 5-geranyloxy-7-methoxycoumarin (Rt = 57.7), bergamottin (Rt = 57.2), bergapten (Rt = 31.5), isopimpinellin (Rt = 31.6), limettin (Rt = 30.7). low intensity in sweet orange and Mandarine lime. The coumarin,
methoxylated flavone and unidentified compound with Rt 37.5, 33.9 and
40.7 min respectively, were found in all citrus species. In general,
composition of mandarins was less broad and varied, and only a few
compounds were detected. In contrast, a high diversity of compounds
(epecially coumarins and furanocoumarins) were found in Key lime. The
predominant presence of methoxylated flavones in sweet orange, as well
as coumarins and furanocoumarins in lime is in agreement with that
reported by Fan et al. (2015) and Dugrand-Judek et al. (2015).

3.2. Isolation, identification and quantification of major compounds

Given that an extensive work on polymethoxylated flavones from
citrus grown in Colombia has already been carried out
(Londoño-Londoño et al., 2010), the present work has been focused on
the composition of courmarins and furanocoumarins. Six compounds
were isolated from the methanolic extract of citrus peels, particularly
C. latifolia and C. aurantifolia. The structures of the isolated compounds
1-6 (Fig. 3) were identified as 5-geranyloxy-7-methoxycoumarin, 1,
bergamottin, 2, bergapten, 3, isopimpinellin, 4, limettin, 5, and oxy-
pseudocitranhydrat 6.

All the compounds had a purity greater than 95% (Fig. 4). However,
compound 6 was not included in the chromatographic profiles and the
quantification, due to the scarce amount available for this compound.
The linear regression equations, correlation coefficients (R²), limits of
detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) are presented in Table 2. For
all the calibration curves, the R² values were greater than 0.99.

The conditions described were used to quantify coumarins and fur-
anoecumarins in peels of different citrus fruit and the results are pre-
sented in Table 3.

Qualitative and quantitative differences were observed among citrus
species. According to Table 3, it was found that mandarins and sweet
orange peels present low amounts of coumarins and furanocoumarins,
whereas Tahitian and Key lime peels contain high levels of these me-
tabolites. Total coumarins were observed in the following concentration
order: Tahitian lime (932 ± 47 μg/g) > Key lime (753 ± 38 μg/g) >
Mandarine lime (578 ± 29 μg/g) > mandarin var. Arrayana (570 ± 29
μg/g) > mandarin var. Oneco (569 ± 28 μg/g) > sweet orange (93 ± 5
μg/g). Similarly, total furanocoumarins were observed in the following
concentration order: Tahitian lime (734 ± 36 μg/g) > Key lime (722 ± 36
μg/g) > Mandarine lime (85 ± 4 μg/g) > mandarin var. Arrayana (52 ± 3
μg/g) > mandarin var. Oneco (48 ± 2 μg/g) > sweet orange (n.d.).
Compounds 1 and 2 were predominant in Tahitian and Key lime peels
with amounts of 392 and 349 μg/g, and 352 and 302 μg/g, respectively.
Both metabolites were detected in sweet orange and mandarins at very
low concentrations (detected but not quantified). Except for sweet or-
ange, psoralens 3 and/or 4 were present in all citrus species analyzed.
The highest concentration of these compounds was found in Tahitian
(168 μg/g) and Key (128 μg/g) limes. Coumarin 5 was only found in
Tahitian, Key, and Mandarine limes.

Compounds with Rt 39.8 and 40.7 min, which presented high in-
tensity peaks for Tahitian lime, Key lime, and mandarins, and low in-
tensity peaks for sweet orange and Mandarine lime, could not be isolated
and identified. These compounds exhibited a limited stability and
seemed to be degraded during the processes of chromatographic sepa-
ratio. Under the conditions used, umbelliferone, scoparone, scopoletin
and xanthyletin could not be detected in any of the six Citrus species. This
findings could be explained by higher detection limits of the presented
method but also by low amounts or absence of these compounds in the
extracts. Dugrand et al. (2013) could detect umbelliferone at the trace
level in lemon, grapefruit, and bergamot peels using Ultraperformance
Liquid Chromatography Coupled with Mass Spectrometry (UPLC-MS).

3.3. Effect of harvest time

A comparison of the chromatographic profiles of extracts from peels

characteristic bands. Therefore, under the conditions used, the main
constituents found in citrus peels were coumarins, furanocoumarins and
polymethoxylated flavones. As can be seen in Fig. 2, the chemical profile
of methanolic extracts from peels varies among citrus species. Compo-
sition of sweet orange, mandarin, and Mandarine lime showed marked
differences with respect to Key and Tahitian limes.

Sweet orange and mandarin displayed the peak of highest intensity at
Rt 33.9 min, whose UV spectrum was consistent with a methoxylated
flavone. This peak exhibited a low intensity for Key and Tahitian lime. In
addition, peaks at Rt 31.0, 33.0, 34.3, and 36.0 min, corresponding to
methoxylated flavones (according to their UV spectra), were only found
in sweet orange. It was also clear that Key and Tahitian lime showed
several intense peaks between 44.0 and 57.7 min (less polar compounds),
which were absent or present in very low quantity in sweet orange,
mandarin, and Mandarine lime. These compounds correspond to fur-
anoecumarins and coumarins.

According to UV spectra, peaks at Rt 30.7 and 31.5 min (more polarity
compounds) were tentatively assigned to a coumarin and a fur-
anoecumarin, respectively. These compounds were present in Key,
Tahitian, Mandarine limes, and mandarins but absent in sweet orange.
Similarly, two peaks at 39.8 and 40.7 min, which could not be identified,
exhibited a great intensity in Key and Tahitian lime, and mandarins, but
of Tahitian lime fruits harvested between 6:00 and 7:00 a.m., 12:00 and 2:00 p.m., and those commercialized in local market is presented in Fig. 5. The extract of the fruits harvested in the afternoon showed peaks at 27.0, 44.1, and 45.3 min but were not detected or in very low amounts for the fruits collected in the morning hours. Peaks at 34.3, 37.5, and 54.5 min were decreasing while those at 30.7 and 31.5 min were increasing. The advance of the hours, peaks at 39.8 and 40.7 min were only observed in fruits harvested in the morning. Interestingly, for the fruits collected in the morning hours. Peaks at 34.3, 37.5, and 54.5 min were only observed in fruits harvested in the morning. Peaks at 39.8 and 40.7 min were only observed in fruits harvested in the morning.

Fig. 5. The extract of the fruits harvested in the afternoon showed peaks at 27.0, 44.1, and 45.3 min but were not detected or in very low amounts for the fruits collected in the morning hours. Peaks at 34.3, 37.5, and 54.5 min were decreasing while those at 30.7 and 31.5 min were increasing. The advance of the hours, peaks at 39.8 and 40.7 min were only observed in fruits harvested in the morning. Interestingly, for the fruits collected in the morning hours. Peaks at 34.3, 37.5, and 54.5 min were only observed in fruits harvested in the morning. Peaks at 39.8 and 40.7 min were only observed in fruits harvested in the morning.

Fig. 3. Structures of isolated compounds from Citrus peels.

Table 2
Linear regression equations, correlation coefficients and limit of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) of five coumarins.

| Compound (Rt, min) | Regression equation | R² | LOD (µg/mL) | LOQ (µg/mL) |
|--------------------|---------------------|----|-------------|-------------|
| 1 (57.7)           | y = 87889x + 7904.8 | 0.997 | 0.25 | 0.75 |
| 2 (57.2)           | y = 148322x – 5122.9 | 0.998 | 0.11 | 0.33 |
| 3 + 4 (31.5)       | y = 414827x - 60250  | 0.997 | 0.13 | 0.41 |
| 5 (30.7)           | y = 207993x - 33770  | 0.999 | 0.22 | 0.67 |

In order to evaluate the effect caused by seventeen different elicitors applied to the fruits of Tahitian lime, a study was carried out in the course of time. For this, the chemical composition of peels from Tahitian lime fruits treated with seventeen elicitors and water (control) was compared at different times after induction. The accumulation in the course of time of coumarins and furanocoumarins in peels of Tahitian lime fruits treated with some elicitors is shown in Fig. 6. In general, the concentration of coumarins and furanocoumarins was dependent on the time post-elicitation and the elicitor. For the same treatment (even for the control experiment), significant differences were observed in the concentration of the compounds over time. The greatest increase in the concentration of coumarins and furanocoumarins occurred during the first two days. By these may be the result of a process of adaptation of plant tissues to induction conditions.

During the first ten days, concentration of coumarins and furanocoumarins, in general, showed no significant differences between Tahitian lime fruits treated with the different elicitors and water (control experiments), particularly for compounds 2, and 3 and 4. Subsequently (days 13 and 16), the concentration of coumarins and furanocoumarins showed significant differences between the control experiment and treatments for some elicitors. According to Fig. 6, the amount of coumarins and furanocoumarins in elicited fruits of Tahitian lime was greater than in fruits treated with water. In the control experiment, compounds 1 and 2 reached a maximum concentration of 885 ± 44 and 818 ± 41 µg/g, respectively, after 6 days. Then, the amount of both compounds decreased quickly to reach 541 ± 32 µg/g for 1 and 491 ± 29 µg/g for 2, on day 13. On the other hand, both compounds showed transient increases on different days, depending on the elicitor. For most of the elicitors evaluated, the maximum concentration of 1 (1024 ± 122 µg/g) and 2 (955 ± 56 µg/g) was reached on days 13 and 16 (except for the UV radiation that was on the sixth day). It is noteworthy the substantial increase of 1 and 2 in the peel of Tahitian lime fruits as a result of the treatment with hemicelluloses from corn cobs, β-D-glucans from...
G. lucidum and gentamycin at 400 mg/L, which reached twice the concentration of the control experiment on day 13. Compound 5 presented maximum amounts ranging from 395 and 437 μg/g (day 8) and from 406 and 528 μg/g (day 13) for Tahitian lime fruits treated with water and elicitors, respectively. The highest concentration of 5 (528 ± 26 μg/g) was elicited by hemicelluloses from corn cobs at 400 mg/L on day 13. Similarly, maximum concentration of compounds 3 + 4 was reached for the control experiment (242 ± 12 μg/g) and treatments (286 ± 17 μg/g) on days 8 and 16, respectively. Overall, a gradual increase in concentration was observed over the time interval; the highest levels recorded for the treatments were reached on day 16, except for fruits treated with UV radiation, whose highest concentration was reached on day 6 and remained almost constant until day 16. The highest concentration of 3 + 4 was reached by the fruits treated with β-D-glucans from G. lucidum at 50 mg/L. It is noteworthy that on days 13 and 16, the concentration of the compounds decreased for the fruits treated with water, while it increased or remained almost stable for the fruits treated with the different elicitors. This suggests that the application of elicitors could prolong higher levels of coumarins and furanocoumarins in the fruits of Tahitian lime. Future studies on induction of coumarins and furanocoumarins in citrus fruits should include longer times. Surprisingly, the exposure of the fruits to the treatments did not elicit the biosynthesis of known citrus phytoalexins, such as scoparone, scopoletin, umbelliferone or xanthyletin or they were present at a very low level. This contrasts with Ben-Yehoshua et al. (1992) who reported the presence of scoparone (23 μg/g f.w.) in the peel of Tahitian lime fruits on the tenth day of being treated with ultraviolet radiation.

The phytoalexins scoparone, scopoletin, umbelliferone, and xanthyletin have been reported after the inoculation of citrus fruits with some fungi. The concentration of scoparone in the resistant species increased rapidly, while in the susceptible ones, it did not increase (Kuniga and Matsumoto, 2006; Afek and Sztejnberg, 1988). It has also been found that the concentration of scoparone in non-inoculated control was very low (about 12–18 μg/g f.w.) (Afek and Sztejnberg, 1994) and that some treatments (i.e. heat alone) do not elicit its formation (Rodov et al., 1994). Thus, the nondetection of scoparone, scopoletin, umbelliferone, and xanthyletin could be due to the higher detection limits of the current method but also by low amounts or the absence of these compounds in the extracts as a result of the citrus species or the non-activity of the elicitor.

3.5. Antifungal activity

3.5.1. Mycelial growth inhibition

The antifungal activity (inhibition of mycelial growth and spore germination) of nine compounds (1 to 6, umbelliferone, scoparone and scopoletin) was evaluated against Colletotrichum sp. Compounds 1 to 5 were evaluated at 0.25, 0.50, and 1.00 mM, while compound 6 was evaluated at 1.00 mM. As positive controls, Carbendazin and thymol were used at 0.5 mM; the first showed complete inhibition in both radial growth and spore germination, while the second showed percentages of inhibition greater than 85%. Results of mycelial growth are shown in
Fig. 7. According to Fig. 7A, compounds 1 to 6 showed significant inhibition on mycelial growth of Colletotrichum sp. when compared to control. For compounds 1 and 2, no significant differences were observed between the concentrations used, and for compounds 3, 4, and 5, at least two concentrations were significantly different (usually 0.25 and 1.0 mM). Overall, as the concentration of these compounds increased, the antifungal activity increased. The 1.0 mM concentration showed the highest antifungal activity, being low to moderate. Compounds 3 and 5 exhibited the highest percentages of inhibition with 32 and 25%, respectively.

Taking into account the greater inhibitory activity of compounds 3 and 5, mixtures of both were prepared in different proportions and their fungistatic effects against Colletotrichum sp. were evaluated. All the mixtures showed significant differences with respect to the control (Fig. 7B). Remarkably, a significantly enhanced antifungal activity of each mixture with respect to the individual compounds was found. Radial growth of Colletotrichum sp. was inhibited by mixtures of 3 and 5 for almost 30 mm after 140 hours. The percentages of inhibition at 24 hours were 95, 91, and 75% for the mixtures of 0.25 mM (3):0.75 mM (5), 0.50 mM (3):0.50 mM (5), and 0.75 mM (3):0.25 mM (5), respectively. No significant differences were found between the mixtures 0.75 mM (5):0.25 mM (3) and 0.50 mM (5):0.50 mM (3).

In addition, antifungal activity against Colletotrichum sp. of the known citrus phytoalexins scoparone, scopoletin and umbelliferone was compared with the isolated compounds from Tahitian lime, 5 and 3, and a mixture of them: 5 (0.75 mM) and 3 (0.25 mM). Results are presented in Fig. 7C. Phytoalexins scopoletin and umbelliferone exhibited slightly higher antifungal activity than the individual compounds 5 and 3,
although significant differences were not observed. Once again, the mixture of 5 (0.75 mM) and 3 (0.25 mM) displayed the highest fungistatic effect against Colletotrichum sp., being significantly higher than that of citrus phytoalexins. The significantly greater activity of the mixture of 5 and 3 against Colletotrichum sp. compared with the scoparone, a phytoalexin known for its strong toxicity against several fungi and its involvement in the resistance of citrus fruits against fungal diseases (Afek and Sztejnberg, 1988), is surprising.

3.5.2. Inhibition of spore germination

The inhibition of spore germination of Colletotrichum sp. after 8 and 24 hours was evaluated using the isolated compounds from Tahitian lime (1, 5, 3) and the mixture of 5 (0.75 mM) and 3 (0.25 mM), the known citrus phytoalexins (scopoletin, scoparone, and umbelliferone), and the solvent control (DMSO). Fig. 8 shows that the highest inhibitory effect after 24 hours was presented by the mixture of compounds 5 (0.75 mM) and 3 (0.25 mM), which completely inhibits germination of the spores. Furthermore, under the same conditions, scopoletin and the isolated compounds 5 and 3 inhibited spore germination 97.3, 96.7, and 95.3%, respectively, although significant differences were not found. Among the known phytoalexins of citrus fruits, the decreasing order of antifungal activity was scopoletin (97.3%) > scoparone (80.0%) and umbelliferone (68.7%). However, after 24 hours of incubation, the inhibitory effect of spore germination decreased rapidly for all individual compounds, being less than 5%. Interestingly, the mixture of compounds 5 and 3, which showed the highest inhibitory activity of mycelial growth, prolonged its potent effect against the germination of spores of Colletotrichum sp. From this result, it can be determined that the high antifungal activity of the mixture of compounds 5 and 3 is the result of an additive or synergistic effect. Therefore, it is possible to think that these compounds could be involved with the defense of citrus to pathogenic microorganisms.

It is noteworthy that, although the structural difference between 1 and 5 is only seen in the presence of the O-geranyl substituent attached to carbon 5, the compound 5 exhibited higher antifungal activity (mycelial
growth and spore germination). Similarly, although compounds 3 and 2 are quite structurally similar, the former is much more active against Colletotrichum sp. The geranyl substituent has been described to be related to the relative lipophilicity of the compounds, which favors its more efficient permeation through the lipid layer of the fungi (Montagner et al., 2008). However, in the present work, the antifungal activity of 1 and 2 was significantly lower than 5 and 3. The latter compounds may have the proper balance of hydrophilicity-lipophilicity, allowing them to cross both the hydrophilic fungal cell wall and the lipophilic membrane. The weak antifungal activity of the geranyloxy substituted coumarin and furanocoumarin is in line with previous work (Penta, 2015; Araújo et al., 2013). Biosynthetically, this prenylation process (insertion of the geranyl group) occurs after the formation of the coumarin or furanocoumarin core (Hung et al., 2017). Two perspectives can be opened from this information for the control of citrus diseases: on the one hand, it is possible to hypothesize that blocking the prenylation stage could increase the defense in citrus. On the other hand, it would be possible to design new antifungal agents based on the coumarin or furanocoumarin core taking into account an adequate hydrophilic-lipophilic balance (Yu et al., 2017). New studies in this regard could be carried out.

4. Conclusions

Chromatographic profiles of peel extracts from citrus fruits grown in Colombia showed qualitative and quantitative differences. Coumarins, furanocoumarins and polymethoxylated flavones were tentatively elucidated as the main compounds found in citrus fruits. Peel composition of Tahitian lime, Key lime, Mandarin lime and mandarins is rich in coumarins and furanocoumarins while polymethoxylated flavones are dominant in sweet orange. Six compounds, including coumarins and furanocoumarins, were isolated from Tahitian and Key lime and identified as 5-geranyloxy-7-methoxycoumarin, limettin, isopimpinellin, bergaptene, bergamottin and oxyypeucedanin hydrate. The geranoxy derivatives were the major compounds found in Tahitian and Key lime. Concentration of coumarins and furanocoumarins in Tahitian lime fruits treated with seventeen potential elicitors did not present significant differences when compared to control (fruits treated with water) during the first ten days. On days 13 and 16, the amount of coumarins and furanocoumarins in fruits treated with water was decreased, while in the fruits treated with the potential elicitors, it was maintained or increased. Antifungal activity (mycelial growth and spore germination) of the isolated compounds showed that furanocoumarins were more active than coumarins. Bergapten and limettin exhibited the highest inhibitory effects against Colletotrichum sp. being even greater than those of known phytoalexins scoparone and umbelliferone. Also, the mixture of bergapten and limettin displayed even greater antifungal effect than the individual compounds. Isolated compounds could be involved in the defense mechanisms of C. latifolia, C. aurantifolia and C. limonia.
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