750 GeV diphoton excess at CERN LHC from a dark sector assisted scalar decay
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Abstract. We present a simple extension of the Standard Model (SM) to explain the recent diphoton excess, reported by CMS and ATLAS at CERN LHC. The SM is extended by a dark sector including a vector-like lepton doublet and a singlet of zero electromagnetic charge, which are odd under a $Z_2$ symmetry. The charged particle of the vector-like lepton doublet assist the additional scalar, different from SM Higgs, to decay to di-photons of invariant mass around 750 GeV and thus explaining the excess observed at LHC. The admixture of neutral component of the vector-like lepton doublet and singlet constitute the dark matter of the Universe. We show the relevant parameter space for correct relic density and direct detection of dark matter.
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1 Introduction

Recently CMS and ATLAS detectors at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) experiment [1–3] reported an excess of \( \gamma\gamma \) events in the proton-proton collision with centre-of-mass energy \((E_{cm} = \sqrt{s})\) 13 TeV. In fact, CMS reported the excess around 750 GeV with a local significance of 2.6 \( \sigma \), while ATLAS reported the same excess around 750 GeV with a local significance of 3.6 \( \sigma \) in the invariant mass distribution of \( \gamma\gamma \). This excess could be simply due to the statistical fluctuations or due to the presence of a new Physics and needs future data for its verification. From ATLAS [2] and CMS [3] experiments, the production cross-section times the branching ratio of any resonance \( X \) with a mass around 750 GeV is given as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{\text{ATLAS}} (pp \to X) \ Br (X \to \gamma\gamma) &\simeq (10 \pm 3) \text{fb}, \\
\sigma_{\text{CMS}} (pp \to X) \ Br (X \to \gamma\gamma) &\simeq (6 \pm 3) \text{fb}.
\end{align*}
\]

The apparent conflict between these two experiments could be due to the different luminosity achieved even though the data are collected at the same centre-of-mass-energy \( \sqrt{s} = 13 \text{TeV} \). Amazingly the diphoton excesses observed by the two experiments are at the same energy bin. This gives enough indication for new physics beyond the standard model (SM) which can be confirmed or ruled out by future data. In the following we consider the diphoton excess observed at LHC to be a signature of new physics and provide a viable solution.

If the diphoton events observed at LHC are due to a resonance, then the Landau-Yang’s theorem [4, 5] implies that the spin of the resonance can not be 1. In other words the resonance could be a spin zero scalar or a spin two tensor similar to graviton. Another feature of the resonance is that the production cross-section times branching ratio is quite large \((\approx 10 \text{fb})\), which indicates its production is due to strongly interacting particles. The most important feature of the resonance is that it’s width is quite large \((\approx 45 \text{GeV})\). For large width of the resonance, the branching fraction to \( \gamma\gamma \) events decreases significantly. Therefore, the main challenge for any theory beyond the SM is to find a large production cross-section: \( \sigma (pp \to X \to \gamma\gamma) \) to fit the data.

The diphoton signal around invariant mass of 750 GeV can be explained via postulating a scalar resonance \( S \) of 750 GeV coupling to the vector like fermions arising in some new physics models. In such a situation, the diphoton signal can be reproduced by producing
heavy scalar through gluon gluon fusion \( gg \rightarrow S \) and calculating the branching fraction for the scalar decaying to two photons \( \text{Br}(S \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) \). Although many attempts [6–155] have already been made in the context of a scalar resonance coupled to vector-like fermions, our prime goal in this paper is to show that the vector-like fermions assisting the production and decay of the scalar can be related to a possible dark sector. More precisely, we consider a dark sector including a vector-like lepton doublet \( \psi \) and a singlet \( \chi^0 \), which are odd under a remnant \( Z_2 \) symmetry. The lightest \( Z_2 \) odd particle, which is an admixture of the neutral component of the lepton doublet \( \psi \) and the singlet \( \chi^0 \), is postulated to constitute the dark matter (DM) component of the Universe. The charged particle in the lepton doublet \( \psi \) on the other hand, assists the scalar resonance \( S \) to decay to SM particles at one loop level. The decay of \( S \rightarrow WW, ZZ, Z\gamma, \gamma\gamma, hh \) can easily enhance the width of the resonance, which is required to explain the width of the observed diphoton excess at LHC. Since the vector-like dark sector particles carry no color charges, they can not contribute to production of scalar particle via gluon fusion, i.e., \( gg \rightarrow S \), which is required to be large to fit the data. Hence, additional vector-like particles carrying color charges are introduced to aid the production (See for instance [156]). We then demonstrate the constraints on the model parameter space to explain \( \gamma\gamma \) excess through \( \sigma(pp \rightarrow S \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) \approx 10 \text{ fb} \) and dark sector phenomenology through relic density and direct search experiments.

The paper is organized as follows: in section 2, we present the model for 750 GeV diphoton excess from a dark sector assisted scalar decay. In section 3, We discuss the diphoton signal from the decay of a scalar resonance which is primarily produced via gluon-gluon fusion process at LHC. We then present the relic density and direct detection constraints on DM parameter space in section 4, which is consistent with 750 GeV diphoton excess and finally conclude in section 5.

2 Model for dark sector assisted diphoton excess

We extend the SM with a scalar singlet \( S(1, 1, 0) \) and a dark sector, comprising of a vector like lepton doublet \( \psi^T = (\psi^0, \psi^-) \) (1,2,-1) and a leptonic singlet \( \chi^0 (1,1,0) \), where the quantum numbers in the parentheses are under the gauge group \( SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \). In addition to the SM gauge symmetry, we impose a discrete symmetry \( Z_2 \) under which the dark sector fermions: \( \psi \) and \( \chi^0 \) are odd, while all other fields are even. The motivation for introducing such a dark sector is two fold: i) firstly, the linear combination of the neutral component of the lepton doublet \( \psi^0 \) and singlet \( \chi^0 \) becomes a viable candidate of DM, ii) secondly, the charged component of the vector like lepton doublet assists the scalar resonance \( S \) to give rise the diphoton excess of invariant mass 750 GeV.

The relevant Lagrangian can be given as:

\[
-\mathcal{L} \supset M_\psi \bar{\psi} \psi + f_\psi S \bar{\psi} \psi + M_\chi \bar{\chi}^0 \chi^0 + f_\chi S \bar{\chi}^0 \chi^0 + \left[ Y \bar{\psi} \tilde{H} \chi^0 + \text{h.c.} \right] + V(S, H), \quad (2.1)
\]

where \( H \) is the SM Higgs isodoublet and \( \tilde{H} = i\tau_2 H^* \). The scalar potential in eq. (2.1) is given by

\[
V(S, H) = \mu_H^2 H^\dagger H + \lambda_H (H^\dagger H)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mu_S^2 S^2 + \frac{\lambda_S}{4} S^4 + \frac{\lambda_{SH}}{2} (H^\dagger H)S^2 + \mu_{SH} S H^\dagger H, \quad (2.2)
\]

where \( \lambda_H, \lambda_S > 0 \) and \( \lambda_{SH} > -2\sqrt{\lambda_S \lambda_H} \) is required for vacuum stability. We assume that \( \mu_S^2 > 0 \) and \( \mu_H^2 < 0 \), so that \( S \) does not acquire a vacuum expectation value (vev) before
electroweak phase transition. After $H$ acquires a vev: $\langle H \rangle = v = \sqrt{-\mu^2_v/2\lambda_H}$, $S$ gets an induced vev which we neglect in the following calculation.

After electroweak phase transition, $S$ mixes with the $H$ through the tri-linear term $S H^1 H$. Due to the mixing we get the mass matrix for the scalar fields as:

$$M^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda_H v^2 & \mu_{SH} v \\ \mu_{SH} v & \mu_S^2 + \lambda_{SH} v^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

(2.3)

where the trilinear parameter $\mu_{SH}$ (with mass dimension one) decides the mixing between the two scalar fields, which can be parameterized by a mixing angle $\theta_{hS}$ as

$$\tan \theta_{hS} = \frac{\mu_{SH} v}{\mu_S^2 + \lambda_{SH} v^2 - 2\lambda_H v^2}.$$

(2.4)

The above equation shows that the mixing angle $\theta_{hS}$ between the two scalar fields vanishes if $\mu_{SH} \to 0$. For finite mixing, the masses of the physical Higgses can be obtained by Diagonalizing the mass matrix (2.3) and is given by:

$$M_h^2 = \left(\lambda_H v^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mu_S^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{SH} v^2\right) + \frac{1}{2} D,$$

$$M_S^2 = \left(\lambda_H v^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mu_S^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{SH} v^2\right) - \frac{1}{2} D,$$

(2.5)

where $D = \sqrt{(2\lambda_H v^2 - \mu_S^2 - \lambda_{SH} v^2)^2 + 4 (\mu_{SH} v)^2}$, corresponding to the mass eigenstates $h$ and $S$, where we identify $h$ as the SM Higgs with $M_h = 125$ GeV and $S$ is the new scalar with $M_S = 750$ GeV. Using eq. (2.5) we have plotted contours for $M_h = 125$ GeV and $M_S = 750$ GeV in the plane of $\sqrt{2\lambda_H v}$ and $\sqrt{\mu_S^2 + \lambda_{SH} v^2}$ for different choices of $\mu_{SH} = \{10, 750, 1400\}$ GeV (Red thick, Blue dashed and Green dotted lines respectively), as shown in figure 1. We observe that for small mixing ($\mu_{SH} = 10$ GeV, represented by red solid line) contours of $M_S = 750$ GeV and $M_h = 125$ GeV intersect vertically as expected while for larger mixing, $\mu_{SH} > 1400$ GeV, we can not get simultaneous solution for $M_S = 750$ GeV and $M_h = 125$ GeV. This implies that the largest allowed mixing for which we get the simultaneous solution is $\sin \theta_{hS} \approx 0.467$. However, such large values of the mixing angles are strongly constrained from other observations (See for instance [13]). We will get back to this issue of small/large mixing in section 3.

The electroweak phase transition also gives rise a mixing between $\psi^0$ and $\chi^0$. In the basis $(\chi^0, \psi^0)$, the mass matrix is given by:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_\chi & m_D \\ m_D & M_\psi \end{pmatrix}$$

(2.6)

where $m_D = Y_v$. Diagonalizing the above mass matrix we get the mass eigen values as

$$M_1 \approx M_\chi + \frac{m_D^2}{M_\psi - M_\chi},$$

$$M_2 \approx M_\psi - \frac{m_D^2}{M_\psi - M_\chi},$$

(2.7)
Figure 1. Contours of $M_h = 125$ GeV and $M_S = 750$ GeV in the plane of $\sqrt{2\lambda_H v}$ and $\sqrt{\mu_S^2 + \lambda_S H^2}$ for $\mu_{SH} = 10$ GeV (Solid red), $\mu_{SH} = 750$ GeV (Dashed blue), and $\mu_{SH} = 1400$ GeV (Dotted green).

where we have assumed $m_D \ll M_\psi, M_\chi$. The corresponding mass eigenstates are given by

$$\begin{align*}
\psi_1 &= \cos \theta \chi^0 + \sin \theta \psi^0 \\
\psi_2 &= \cos \theta \psi^0 - \sin \theta \chi^0,
\end{align*}$$

(2.8)

where the mixing angle is given by:

$$\tan 2\theta = \frac{2m_D}{M_\psi - M_\chi}.$$  

(2.9)

in small mixing limit. We assume that $\psi_1$ is the lightest odd particle and hence constitute the DM of the Universe. Note that $\psi_1$ is dominated by the singlet component with a small admixture of doublet, while $\psi_2$ is dominantly a doublet with a small admixture of singlet component. This implies that $\psi_2$ mass is required to be larger than 45 GeV in order not to conflict with the invisible Z-boson decay width. In the physical spectrum we also have a charged fermion $\psi^\pm$ whose mass in terms of the masses of $\psi_{1,2}$ $(M_{1,2})$ and the mixing angle $\theta$ is given by

$$M^\pm = M_1 \sin^2 \theta + M_2 \cos^2 \theta$$  

(2.10)

In the limit of vanishing mixing in the dark sector, $\sin \theta \to 0$, $M^\pm = M_\psi$. Therefore, a non-zero mixing also gives rise to a mass splitting between $\psi^\pm$ and $\psi_2$ is given by $\Delta M = \frac{m_D^2}{M_\psi - M_\chi}$.

The fermions interact to the SM gauge bosons through the following interaction terms:

$$\begin{align*}
-\mathcal{L}_W &\supset \frac{g \sin \theta}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{\psi_1} \gamma^\mu W_\mu^+ \psi^- + \frac{g \cos \theta}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{\psi_2} \gamma^\mu W_\mu^+ \psi^- , \\
-\mathcal{L}_Z &\supset \frac{g}{2 \cos \theta_w} \left( \sin^2 \theta \overline{\psi_1} \gamma^\mu Z_\mu \psi_1 + \sin \theta \cos \theta (\overline{\psi_1} \gamma^\mu Z_\mu \psi_2 + \overline{\psi_2} \gamma^\mu Z_\mu \psi_1) \\
&\quad + \cos^2 \theta \overline{\psi_2} \gamma^\mu Z_\mu \psi_2 \right) + \frac{g}{2} \overline{\psi^-} \gamma^\mu Z_\mu \psi^-, \\
-\mathcal{L}_\gamma &\supset e \gamma^\mu \overline{\psi^-} A_\mu \psi^- .
\end{align*}$$

(2.11)
3 Explanation for diphoton excess

3.1 $S \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ and production of $S$ through mixing with the SM Higgs

The LHC search strategy for diphoton events, if possible via a scalar resonance $S$ with mass around 750 GeV, is mostly decided by the production and subsequent decay of the resonant particle to $\gamma \gamma$, which can be parametrized as:

$$\sigma_{\text{ATLAS/CMS}}(pp \rightarrow S \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) \simeq \sigma_{\text{prod}}(pp \rightarrow S) \cdot \text{Br.}(S \rightarrow \gamma \gamma). \quad (3.1)$$

The above cross-section has to be compared with the experimental data

$$\sigma_{\text{ATLAS}}(pp \rightarrow S \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) \simeq (10 \pm 3) \text{fb}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\sigma_{\text{CMS}}(pp \rightarrow S \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) \simeq (6 \pm 3) \text{fb}. \quad (3.3)$$

In absence of the additional vector-like fermions, the production of $S$ and its subsequent decay to $\gamma \gamma$ can occur through the mixing with the SM Higgs, which can be given as:

$$\sigma(pp \rightarrow S \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) \simeq \sigma_{\text{prod}}(pp \rightarrow h) \cdot \sin^4 \theta_{hS} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)}{\Gamma(S \rightarrow \text{All})}, \quad (3.4)$$

where $\Gamma(S \rightarrow \text{All}) \approx 45 \text{ GeV}$ as indicated by ATLAS data [2]. Within the SM, the decay width: $h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ can be estimated to be $\approx 4 \times 10^{-6} \text{GeV}$ for $M_h = 125 \text{ GeV}$ and $\Gamma(h \rightarrow \text{All}) = 4 \text{ MeV}$. The total production cross-section of Higgs at centre of mass energy of 13 TeV is given by $\approx 50 \text{ pb}$ [157]. Thus with a maximal mixing between the SM Higgs and $S$, i.e. $(\sin \theta_{hS} \approx 0.4)$, we see that $\sigma(pp \rightarrow S \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) \approx 10^{-4} \text{fb}$, which is much smaller than the required value given in eq. (3.2). Therefore, we conclude that the production of the scalar resonance $S$ giving diphoton excess at LHC can not be possible through its mixing with the SM Higgs.

In the following sub-section 3.3 we set the $S - h$ mixing to be zero and adopt an alternative scenario for $\sigma(pp \rightarrow S \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$ using vector-like quarks.

3.2 Dark sector assisted $S$ decays

Since $S$ is a singlet scalar, it can not directly couple to the gauge bosons. On the other hand, $S$ can couple to vector-like dark sector fermions which can couple to SM gauge bosons as discussed in the previous section. As the charged component of the $Z_2$-odd fermion doublet assist the decay of $S$, we term it as dark sector assisted decay. Defining $B_{\mu\nu}$ and $W^i_{\mu\nu}$ as the respective field strength tensors for the gauge group $U(1)_Y$ and $SU(2)_L$, one can write down the effective operators for coupling between the scalar $S$ and the vector bosons by integrating out the vector-like fermions in the loop as:

$$L_{\text{EFT}} \supset \kappa_2 S W^i_{\mu\nu} W^{ij,\mu\nu} + \kappa_1 S B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} \quad (3.5)$$

where the effective couplings $\kappa_1$ and $\kappa_2$ can be expressed in terms of Yukawa coupling $f_\psi$ connecting scalar with vector-like fermion $\psi$ as [26]:

$$k_1 = \frac{f_\psi g_Y^2}{32 \pi^2 M_\psi} \quad \text{and} \quad k_2 = \frac{3f_\psi g_Y^2}{64 \pi^2 M_\psi} \quad (3.6)$$

Since the vector-like dark sector particles carry no color charge and hence, can not contribute to the decay of $S \rightarrow gg$ and $gg \rightarrow S$ for production of scalar particle. However, one can
produce large cross-section for scalar $S$ via gluon fusion process by introducing additional vector-like particle carrying color charge, for example, see ref. [29, 66]. We will also adopt a similar strategy that will be discussed in the next sub-section. After rotation to the physical gauge boson states the decay rates can be given as:

\[
\Gamma (S \rightarrow WW) = \frac{1}{16\pi} \left[ 2 + \left( 1 - \frac{M_S^2}{2M_W^2} \right)^2 \right] \left( 1 - \frac{4M_W^2}{M_S^2} \right)^{1/2} k_{WW} M_S^3
\]

\[
\Gamma (S \rightarrow ZZ) = \frac{1}{32\pi} \left[ 2 + \left( 1 - \frac{M_S^2}{2M_Z^2} \right)^2 \right] \left( 1 - \frac{4M_Z^2}{M_S^2} \right)^{1/2} k_{ZZ} M_S^3
\]

\[
\Gamma (S \rightarrow Z\gamma) = \frac{3}{16\pi} \left( 1 - \frac{M_Z^2}{M_S^2} \right) k_{Z\gamma} M_S^3
\]

\[
\Gamma (S \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = \frac{1}{8\pi} k_{\gamma\gamma} M_S^3
\]

where the effective couplings are given by [26]:

\[
k_{WW} = \frac{g^2}{32\pi^2} \frac{f_\psi}{M_\psi} A_{1/2}(x_\psi)
\]

\[
k_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{e^2}{16\pi^2} Q_\psi^2 \frac{f_\psi}{M_\psi} A_{1/2}(x_\psi)
\]

\[
k_{ZZ} = k_{WW} (1 - \tan^2 \theta_W) + k_{\gamma\gamma} \tan^2 \theta_W
\]

\[
k_{Z\gamma} = k_{WW} \cos 2\theta_W \tan \theta_W - k_{\gamma\gamma} 2 \tan \theta_W
\]

The factors involved in eq. (3.8) are given by

\[
A_{1/2}(x_\psi) = 2x_\psi \left[ 1 + (1 - x_\psi) f(x_\psi) \right]
\]

\[
x_\psi = \frac{4M_Q^2}{M_S^2}
\]

\[
f(x) = \begin{cases} 
\arcsin^2 \sqrt{x} & x \leq 1 \\
-\frac{1}{4} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{1+\sqrt{1-x}}{1-\sqrt{1-x}} \right) - i\pi \right]^2 & x \geq 1
\end{cases}
\]

3.3 Dark sector assisted $S \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and quark-like vector particles for $gg \rightarrow S$

As discussed in section 3.1, we see that the required cross-section for the scalar resonance $S$ production can not be achieved through S-h mixing. As an alternative, we introduce an iso-singlet quark-like vector fermion $Q$ of mass $M_Q$ to the framework discussed in the above section. The main reason for introducing additional quark-like vector particle is to provide the large production cross-section for scalar $S$ via gluon gluon fusion process as shown in the left-panel of figure 2 even with $\theta_{hS} \rightarrow 0$. The subsequent decay $S \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ mediated by $\psi^\pm$ is
Production and Decay of Scalar $S$ with $\theta_{hS} \to 0$ ($\psi$ being DM)

Associated Production

$gg \to S$

$S \to \gamma\gamma, gg \cdots$

Figure 2. Feynman diagrams for production of scalar $S$ through gluon gluon fusion mediated by quark-like vector particle $Q$ and its subsequent decay to SM particles mediated by the dark sector particle $\psi^\pm$. The other decay modes of $S$ via its mixing with the SM Higgs are suppressed in the limit $\theta_{hS} \to 0$.

shown in right-panel of figure 2. We note that the additional vector-like quark also plays an important role in the relic density of DM as we shall discuss in section 4.

The Yukawa coupling of the scalar $S$ to $Q$ can be given as $f_Q S \bar{Q} Q$. This coupling helps in producing $S$ via gluon gluon fusion process. The production of scalar $S$, arising from gluon gluon fusion process, and its subsequent decay to $\gamma\gamma$ can be expressed in terms of the decay rate $\Gamma(S \to gg)$ as \cite{6, 159}:

$$
\sigma(pp \to S \to \gamma\gamma) = \frac{1}{M_{S\hat{s}}} C_{gg} \Gamma(S \to gg) \text{Br}(S \to \gamma\gamma)
$$

(3.10)

where $\sqrt{\hat{s}} = 13$ TeV is the centre of mass energy at which LHC is collecting data. The dimensionless coupling

$$
C_{gg} = \frac{\pi^2}{8} \int_{M_S^2/\hat{s}}^1 \frac{dx}{x} g(x) g(M_S^2/\hat{s}x).
$$

(3.11)

At $\sqrt{\hat{s}} = 13$ TeV, $C_{gg} = 2137$ \cite{6}. In eq. (3.10), the decay rate $\Gamma(S \to gg)$ is given by:

$$
\Gamma(S \to gg) = \frac{1}{8\pi} k_{gg}^2 M_S^3
$$

(3.12)

where the effective coupling of $S$ to $gg$ through the exchange of $Q$ in the loop is given by

$$
k_{gg} = \frac{g_S^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{f_Q}{M_Q} N_c A_{1/2}(x_Q)
$$

(3.13)

where $A_{1/2}(x)$ is given by eq. (3.9).

In figure (3), we have shown the contours of $\sigma(pp \to S \to \gamma\gamma)$ in the plane of $f_\psi$ versus $M_\phi$ by assuming that $f_\psi = f_Q$ and $M_\psi = M_Q$. From figure (3), we see that to get a production cross-section of 10 fb, we need the $S$ coupling to $f_\psi = f_Q > 5$. The mass of these vector-like fermions are chosen to be larger than 375 GeV in order to avoid the tree level decay of $S \to Q\bar{Q}$. The corresponding total decay width and branching fraction are shown in figure (4) and (5). We see that the total decay width can be as large as 30 GeV, while the branching fraction is order of $10^{-4}$. Since the mass of the vector-like fermions are heavier than 375 GeV, the decay of $S$ to SM particles occurs via the triangle loop constituting $\psi^\pm$. However, the tree level decay of $S$ to $hh$ is allowed. It may increase the total width depending on the mixing between SM Higgs and $S$. However, we have checked that for $\sin\theta_{hS} < 0.1$, the tree level decay of $S$ to $hh$ does not affect the above result.
Figure 3. Contours of $\sigma(pp \to S \to \gamma\gamma)$ in the plane of $f_\psi$ versus $M_\psi$ for $f_\psi = f_Q$, $M_\psi = M_Q$ and $\sin \theta_{hS} = 0$.

Figure 4. Contours of $\Gamma(S \to \text{All})$ (in GeV) in the plane of $f_\psi$ versus $M_\psi$ for $f_\psi = f_Q$, $M_\psi = M_Q$ and $\sin \theta_{hS} = 0$.

4 Relic density and direct search constraints on dark matter

In the previous sections we discussed the role of charged component ($\psi^\pm$) of the leptonic doublet $\psi$ in the diphoton excess. Now we will show that the neutral component of $\psi$, i.e. $\psi_0$, and $\chi^0$ combine to explain the relic abundance of DM. As discussed in section 2, we use $\psi_1$ of mass $M_1$ and $\psi_2$ of mass $M_2$, which are linear combination of the states $\psi_0$, and $\chi^0$. We assume that $\psi_1$ which is the lightest $Z_2$ odd particle, which is dominated by a singlet component $\chi^0$, constitutes the DM of the Universe. The relic density of the DM is mainly dictated by annihilations $\bar{\psi}_1 \psi_1 \to W^+W^-$ and $\bar{\psi}_1 \psi_1 \to hh$ through $Z$ and Higgs
Figure 5. Contours of $\text{Br} \ (S \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ in the plane of $f_\psi$ versus $M_\psi$ for $f_Q = 5$ and $M_Q = 600 \text{ GeV}$. We set $\sin \theta_{hS} = 0$.

Figure 6. Variation of relic density ($\Omega h^2$) with DM mass ($M_1$ in GeV) for $M_Q = 600 \text{ GeV}$. $\sin \theta = \{0.1, 0.2, 0.3\}$ cases (from top to bottom) are depicted simultaneously in blue green and orange. On the left panel we have taken $\Delta M \equiv M_2 - M_1 = 100 \text{ GeV}$ while on the right panel we have set $\Delta M \equiv M_2 - M_1 = 500 \text{ GeV}$. Red band indicates relic density within WMAP range. The region to the right side of vertical dotted line denotes compatibility with 750 GeV diphoton excess.

mediation. The other relevant channels are mainly co-annihilation of $\psi_1$ with $\psi_2$ and $\psi^\pm$. For details see ref. [160–163]. However, this particular model with additional vector like quarks ($Q$) marks a significant departure through annihilations of the DMs to vector-like quarks, $\overline{\psi_1}\psi_1 \rightarrow \overline{Q}Q$ through $S$ mediation. Due to the large couplings required to explain the observed diphoton excess, the annihilations to vector like quarks dominate over the others whenever $M_{DM} \geq M_Q$ and the relic density diminishes significantly in those regions irrespective of the other parameters.

The relic density of the $\psi_1$ DM can be given by [164].

$$\Omega h^2 = \frac{1.09 \times 10^9 \text{ GeV}^{-1}}{g_*^{1/2} M_{PL}} \frac{1}{J(x_f)},$$

(4.1)

where $J(x_f)$ is given by

$$J(x_f) = \int_{x_f}^{\infty} \frac{(\sigma v)_\text{eff}}{x^2} \, dx,$$

(4.2)
Figure 7. Variation of relic density ($\Omega h^2$) with DM mass ($M_1$ in GeV) for $M_Q = 400$ GeV (left) and $M_Q > 2000$ GeV (right). $\sin \theta = \{0.1, 0.2, 0.3\}$ cases (from top to bottom) are depicted simultaneously in blue green and orange. On both panels we have taken $\Delta M \equiv M_2 - M_1 = 100$ GeV. Red band indicates relic density within the WMAP range. The region to the right side of vertical dotted line denotes compatibility with 750 GeV excess.

Figure 8. Same as figure 6, but with all possible $\Delta M$. $M_Q = 600$ GeV.

where $\langle |v| \rangle_{\text{eff}}$ is the thermal average of DM annihilation cross sections including contributions from co annihilations as follows:

\[
\langle |v| \rangle_{\text{eff}} = \frac{g_1^2}{g_{\text{eff}}^2} \sigma(\psi_1 \psi_1) + 2 \frac{g_1 g_2}{g_{\text{eff}}^2} \sigma(\psi_1 \psi_2)(1 + \Delta)^{3/2} \exp(-x \Delta) + 2 \frac{g_1 g_3}{g_{\text{eff}}^2} \sigma(\psi_1 \psi^-)(1 + \Delta)^{3/2} \exp(-x \Delta) + 2 \frac{g_2 g_3}{g_{\text{eff}}^2} \sigma(\psi_2 \psi_2)(1 + \Delta)^3 \exp(-2x \Delta) + 2 \frac{g_2 g_3}{g_{\text{eff}}^2} \sigma(\psi_2 \psi^-)(1 + \Delta)^3 \exp(-2x \Delta). \tag{4.3}
\]

In the above equation $g_1, g_2$ and $g_3$ are the spin degrees of freedom for $\psi_1$, $\psi_2$ and $\psi^-$ respectively. Since these are spin half particles, all $g$’s are 2. The freeze-out epoch of $\psi_1$ is parameterized by $x_f = \frac{M_1}{T_f}$, where $T_f$ is the freeze out temperature. $\Delta$ depicts the mass
splitting ratio as $\Delta = \frac{M_i - M_1}{M_1}$, where $M_i$ stands for the mass of $\psi_2$ and $\psi^\pm$. The effective degrees of freedom $g_{\text{eff}}$ in eq. (4.3) is given by

$$g_{\text{eff}} = g_1 + g_2(1 + \Delta)^{3/2} \exp(-x\Delta) + g_3(1 + \Delta)^{3/2} \exp(-x\Delta).$$

The dark-sector, spanned by the $Z_2$ odd vector-like fermions, is mainly dictated by the following three parameters:

$$\sin \theta, M_1, M_2.$$  

In the following we shall vary the parameters in eq. (4.5) and find the allowed region of correct relic abundance for $\psi_1$ DM satisfying WMAP [165] constraint

$$0.094 \leq \Omega_{\text{DM}} h^2 \leq 0.130.$$  

A notable set of parameters that also crucially controls the allowed DM parameter space are the vector like quark masses $(M_Q)$, the scalar mass $(M_S)$, the couplings of the vector-like quarks to $S (f_Q)$ and coupling of $S$ to the dark sector $(f_\psi)$ due to the annihilation of DMs to vector like quarks $(\psi_1 \psi_1 \to \bar{Q}Q)$ through $S$ mediation:

$$M_S, M_Q, f_\psi, f_Q.$$  

An interesting DM phenomenology is likely to evolve with an arbitrary variation of these parameters depending on which this particular annihilation channel compete with others. However, given that one of the primary goals of this model is to explain the diphoton excess, in the following scans we choose a set of specific values for these parameters, that are required to explain the collider signature, as:

$$M_S = 750 \text{ GeV}, M_Q = 600 \text{ GeV}, f_\psi = f_Q = 5.$$  

Note that vector-like quark masses lighter than 600 GeV are strongly constrained by the direct searches at collider [158]. For $M_Q > 600$ GeV, we need even larger couplings to explain the observed diphoton excess. We note here although the large couplings required are within the perturbative limit $(f_\psi, f_Q < 4\pi)$ at the scale of the experiment, this will be driven towards non-perturbative regime through RGE at relatively low scales. Detailed discussion on this issue is out of the scope of this draft.

The parameter space scan presented in this framework have been generated in the code MicrOmegas [167], after implementing our DM model. In figure 6, we show how relic density changes with DM mass for different choices of mixing angle $\sin \theta = \{0.1, 0.2, 0.3\}$, in blue, green and orange respectively with fixed mass difference $M_2 - M_1 = 100$ GeV (left panel) and 500 GeV (right panel) with $M_Q = 600$ GeV. It is clearly seen that the relic density drops significantly for DM mass larger than the vector like quark masses as the annihilation cross-section to those become very large with very large couplings through the scalar resonance $S$. To remind, we choose the couplings of both DM to $S$ and those of the vector like quarks to $S$ to be 5 here for simplicity and from the requirement of diphoton excess. In figure 7, similar plots are shown for $M_Q = 400$ GeV (left) and $M_Q > 2000$ GeV (right) with $M_2 - M_1 = 100$ GeV to show the dependence of the vector like quark masses on relic density. Thus we conclude that for DM mass $M_1 > M_Q$, we can not get the observed relic abundance while simultaneously

---

1The range we use corresponds to the WMAP results; the PLANCK constraints $0.112 \leq \Omega_{\text{DM}} h^2 \leq 0.128$ [166], though more stringent, do not lead to significant changes in the allowed regions of parameter space.
explaining the diphoton excess which needs large coupling. On the other hand, $M_1 > M_S/2$ is required to prevent the tree-level decay of the resonance $S$. So for a given choice of $M_Q$, the allowed values of DM mass that can yield the correct relic density is $M_S/2 < M_1 < M_Q$. We then find the allowed parameter space within this mass range. We show the variation in relic density with DM mass for all possible mass splitting $\Delta M = M_2 - M_1$ and for the same choices of the mixing angle $\sin \theta = \{0.1, 0.2, 0.3\}$, in blue, green and orange respectively in figure 8 with $M_Q = 600$ GeV. In all these plots, Figs (6), (7), (8), the region to the right side of vertical dotted line denotes compatibility with 750 GeV excess. We see that for $\sin \theta \geq 0.3$, it is almost impossible to satisfy the relic density constraint. This is due to the fact that the large mixing leads to a larger cross-section of $\psi_1 \psi_1 \rightarrow W^+ W^-$ and always yields a low relic abundance. Therefore, in figure 9, we have shown the allowed parameter space for correct relic density using $\sin \theta = \{0.1, 0.2\}$ in terms of $\{M_1, M_2\}$ (left) and $\{M_1, \Delta M\}$ (right). With $\sin \theta = 0.1$ (shown in Blue points in figure 9), due to small doublet fraction in the DM, the annihilations through $Z$ is smaller than required to satisfy relic density. Hence, coannihilation with $M_2$ is required to satisfy the relic abundance with smaller $\Delta M$ for $M_1$ upto 450 GeV. While for $\sin \theta = 0.2$ (shown in Green points), annihilation cross-section itself (with larger $\Delta M$, which in turn enhance the Yukawa coupling) and annihilation plus coannihilation with smaller mass splitting ($\Delta M$), can yield required cross-section for correct density giving the allowed parameter space a funnel shape. Similar shape is obtained for $\sin \theta = 0.1$ but with a larger DM mass.

We have also demonstrated the variation of DM mass with $\sin \theta$ for two fixed set of $\Delta M = \{100, 500\}$ GeV in figure 10. We see that a moderately large region of the parameter space upto DM mass $M_1 < M_Q = 600$ GeV can yield correct relic density. It is also worthy to mention that there are other possible annihilations of the DM, for example, to $hS, SS$ etc, which doesn’t affect the phenomenology of obtaining correct relic density to a great extent as we have chosen $M_Q < M_S$ and the annihilation to vector-like quark pair dominates over the others whenever they are kinematically allowed.

Direct detection of this DM occurs through $Z$ and $h$ mediation. We show the spin-independent cross-section for $\psi_1$ DM by taking its mass range $M_1 : 375 - 1200$ GeV and varying $\sin \theta = \{0.05-0.25\}$ in figure 11. Green ($\sin \theta = 0.05-0.1$), purple ($\sin \theta = 0.1-0.15$), lilac ($\sin \theta = 0.15 - 0.2$) and red ($\sin \theta = 0.2 - 0.25$) regions are shown respectively from bottom to top. It clearly shows that lower values of $\sin \theta$ is allowed while the larger ones are
Figure 10. $\sin \theta$ versus $M_1$ scan for correct relic density. Purple: $\Delta M = 100\,\text{GeV}$ and Green: $\Delta M = 500\,\text{GeV}$. $M_Q = 600\,\text{GeV}$ is chosen for illustration purpose. The region to the right side of vertical dotted line denotes compatibility with 750 GeV diphoton excess. 

Figure 11. Spin independent direct detection cross-section for $\psi_1$ DM as a function of its mass. Constraints from XENON 100, LUX data and predictions at XENON 1T for the DM are shown in thick lines. Green ($\sin \theta = 0.05 - 0.1$), purple ($\sin \theta = 0.1 - 0.15$), lilac ($\sin \theta = 0.15 - 0.2$) and red ($\sin \theta = 0.2 - 0.25$) regions are shown respectively from bottom to top.

discarded. Together, $\sin \theta \leq 0.15$ is allowed by LUX data which also coincides with correct relic density search.

5 Conclusions

We have illustrated how the recent diphoton excess signal $pp \to S \to \gamma \gamma$ around an invariant mass of 750 GeV can be accounted by a Dark sector assisted scalar decay. The framework considered is a simple extension of SM with additional scalar singlet $S$ having mass around 750 GeV, an iso-singlet vector-like quark $Q$ and a dark sector constituted by a vector-like lepton doublet $\psi$ and a neutral singlet $\chi^0$. We argue that the extra particles added in this framework are minimal when we explain diphoton excess signal and DM component of the
Universe. We note that the masses of the new particles added are below TeV scale, but above \( M_S/2 = 375 \text{ GeV} \). We found that correct relic density can be obtained for DM mass \( M_1 \) within the range: \( M_S/2 < M_1 < M_Q \) and the mixing in the dark sector require to be small \( \sin \theta \leq 0.1 \) from direct search constraints. Since \( \psi \) is heavy, it evades constraints coming from oblique corrections [168]. The DM remains elusive at collider. However, its charged partner \( \psi^\pm \) can be searched at LHC. In particular, if the singlet-doublet mixing is very small (\( \sim 10^{-5} \)) then the charged partner of the DM, which assist for diphoton excess, can give rise a displaced vertex signature [160].
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