Detection of poststroke oropharyngeal dysphagia with swallowing screening by ultrasonography
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Abstract

There are currently no standard evaluation tools for poststroke neurogenic oropharyngeal dysphagia. We previously suggested calculating the relative movements of the hyoid bone and larynx by ultrasonography to evaluate swallowing movement. Swallowing movement is altered in neurogenic oropharyngeal dysphagia. Therefore, the present study aimed to verify whether an ultrasonographic evaluation of swallowing movement facilitates the detection of neurogenic oropharyngeal dysphagia. Eighteen healthy male elderly participants (the healthy group) and 18 male stroke patients diagnosed with neurogenic oropharyngeal dysphagia (the dysphagia group) were enrolled. Participants swallowed 5 mL of liquid and water with an adjusted viscosity and the movements of the hyoid bone and larynx were visualized by ultrasonography. The results obtained revealed significant differences in laryngeal duration (static phase), laryngeal displacement (elevation phase), and the hyoid bone–laryngeal motion ratio (HL motion ratio) between the two groups. A multiple regression analysis was performed to adjust for confounding factors, and laryngeal duration (static phase) and the HL motion ratios were identified as factors affecting dysphagia. In the receiver operation characteristic curve of the two variations, the area under the curve for laryngeal duration (static phase) was 0.744 and the cut-off was 0.26 sec with 72.2% sensitivity and 88.9% specificity; the area under the curve for the HL motion ratio was 0.951 and the cut-off was 0.56 with 88.9% sensitivity and 88.9% specificity. Therefore, the objective evaluation of hyoid bone and larynx movements during swallowing by ultrasonography facilitated the detection of neurogenic oropharyngeal dysphagia.

Introduction

Poststroke dysphagia is a high risk factor for pneumonia, nutritional imbalance, and dehydration [1–3], and has a poor prognosis and high mortality rate [4,5]. An early swallowing evaluation after hospitalization may reduce the risk of pneumonia [6,7]. In addition to poststroke
dysphagia, older patients also present with sarcopenic dysphagia due to reduced muscle mass [8–10]. Therefore, the effective and efficient management of dysphagia is important [11].

Neurogenic oropharyngeal dysphagia (NOD) is the most common poststroke dysphagia, affecting more than 50% of patients in the acute phase of stroke and more than 33% in the recovery phase [12,13].

The accurate diagnosis of NOD is crucial and generally achieved by screening, clinical signs, and device measurements. However, there are currently no standardized NOD evaluation tools [14]. The conventional gold standard swallowing evaluation includes bedside swallowing screening followed by a video fluoroscopic (VF) or videoendoscopic test when dysphagia is suspected [15,16]. Swallowing movement is altered in patients with NOD. For example, laryngeal vestibular closure in the swallowing process may be delayed or lower food transport from the oral cavity to the esophagus. Since VF visualizes movements in the oral cavity and pharynx, it may be applied to the evaluation of NOD. In a meta-analysis conducted by Martino et al. [1], poststroke dysphagia was detected in 37–45% of cases by screening, 51–55% by clinical signs, and 64–78% by devices. Also, it is believed that clinical signs are not effective for swallowing screening in aspiration of suspected severe poststroke NOD cases [17]. Therefore, the inspection of images is vital for the accurate evaluation of swallowing. VF helps to detect movements in the oral cavity and pharynx, but is limited by its specific setting, cost, radiation exposure, and complexity [18].

We previously suggested calculating the relative movements of the hyoid bone and larynx by ultrasonography (US) to evaluate swallowing movement [19]. Our study showed that movement, but not aspiration, was measurable by US, which is very safe, simple, portable, and applicable to bedside measurements [20]. Therefore, it may be used in the screening of dysphagia that allows image inspection for high-quality management.

The present study aimed to verify whether US evaluations of swallowing movement facilitate the detection of NOD. We previously suggested measuring the displacement of the hyoid bone and larynx during swallowing to obtain a “hyoid bone–laryngeal motion ratio (HL motion ratio—hyoid bone displacement divided by laryngeal displacement) as an index of swallowing. The HL motion ratio is independent of physiological changes associated with age and height and shows an index of 0.50 for normal swallowing [19]. Deviations from a HL motion ratio of 0.50 may be associated with dysphagia; however, it currently remains unknown how much such deviation causes dysphagia. NOD is a motion dysfunction in the oropharynx during swallowing and, thus, affects the displacement of both the hyoid bone and larynx. In the present study, we compared and analyzed the displacement and duration of the hyoid bone and larynx during swallowing as well as the HL motion ratio in a healthy group and dysphagia group.

Materials and methods

Participants

Thirty-six participants were examined between October 2018 and April 2020: 18 healthy male elderly participants (76.5±7.6 years old, the healthy group) and 18 male stroke patients diagnosed with NOD (76.3±11.6 years old, the dysphagia group). The exclusion criteria of the healthy group included common influential factors, such as a history of head and neck surgery, malignant tumors, respiratory disease, and swallowing adjustments required in daily diets. The exclusion criteria of the dysphagia group included a history of neuromuscular disease, which is generally considered to affect dysphagia, an inability to sit in a wheelchair for more than 30 minutes, low stability in the head and neck, severe dysphagia with an inability to perform oral ingestion, the need for nasal administration, and poor cognitive function of those
who cannot follow inspection instructions. All participants in the dysphagia group were diagnosed with NOD using the VF test conducted by a doctor and speech therapist. The severity of dysphagia was based on either occasional or water aspiration in the Dysphagia Outcome and Severity Scale [21]. Healthy participants, patients, and their families were informed purpose of the present study and provided with an advance consent form. The present study was approved by the Kansai University of Welfare Sciences Ethics committee (18–24). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants for the publication of this study and accompanying images.

Evaluation of swallowing function

Participants swallowed 5 mL of liquid and water with an adjusted viscosity and the movements of the hyoid bone and larynx were visualized by US. The healthy group was asked to swallow liquid, while the dysphagia group swallowed water with an adjusted viscosity based on their individual ability. This was performed three to five times. Three of these image sets, in which the movements of the hyoid bone and larynx were sufficiently tracked, were selected for analysis.

The participant assumed a posture with the neck in a neutral position such that a line from the acromion to the opening of the ear canal was at 100˚–110˚ to the horizontal plane. The head and neck were fixed with a base to minimize movement. A 5- to 12-MHz linear probe (Digital Color Doppler Ultrasound System JS2; Sonoscape Medical Corp, Centennial, CO, USA) was attached to the left or right plate of the thyroid cartilage without disturbing the laryngeal elevation while swallowing. In order to visualize larynx motions while swallowing, US images are adjusted to show the anterior angle of the thyroid cartilage. The third right of the monitor showed the upper thyroid cartilage and the overall image is adjusted to display the hyoid bone and larynx. The hyoid bone was identified as a high echo area with a rear acoustic shadow on US. The measurement point was the anterior inferior margin of the hyoid bone. The left of the monitor showed the cranial portion, while the right showed the caudal portion. The thyroid cartilage was identified as a low echo area and the measurement point was the tip of the thyroid cartilage.

Image analysis and parameter measurements

Acquired images were converted into an audio video interleave format and transferred from the hard drive of the US device to a personal computer, on which they were analyzed using two-dimensional data analysis software (Dipp Motion Ver 1.1.31; DITECT Co., Tokyo, Japan). Markers were set at the anterior inferior margin of the hyoid bone and uppermost end of the larynx, and measurement points were automatically tracked in each frame using the tracking function of the software. Vertical and anteroposterior directions were considered to be the x- and y-axes, respectively, and the distances moved in these directions were measured. When hyoid bone movement was accompanied by an instantaneous shadow, this was manually corrected. Swallowing movement was measured from the initiation of the laryngeal elevation to the completion of the downward laryngeal movement. The elevation and descending phases were the respective periods from the start point to the maximally elevated position then back to the static position. The displacement of the hyoid bone and larynx was measured in the elevation and descending phases. The HL motion ratio was calculated by dividing hyoid bone displacement (elevation phase) by laryngeal displacement (elevation phase) as the swallowing index (Fig 1). The parameters examined in the present study were the displacement of the hyoid bone and larynx during swallowing and their durations (including the “static phase”, which is the static time at the maximally elevated position of the hyoid bone and larynx) as
well as the HL motion ratio. In addition, basic information on participants such as height, weight, and age were recorded and displayed as an average ± SD.

Statistical analysis

Basic information on the healthy and dysphagia groups, the displacement and duration of the hyoid bone and larynx, and the HL motion ratio were compared using a Mann–Whitney U test. Significant items were used as independent variables and the rate of dysphagia as a dependent variable in the multiple regression analysis to investigate their effects on the evaluation of dysphagia. Significant items in the dysphagia evaluation were placed in a Receiver Operation Characteristic Curve (ROC curve) for illumination of the area under the curve (AUC), cut-off value, sensitivity, and specificity. Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 24.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA), and the significance level was set at p < 0.01.

Results

Table 1 shows the basic information of the two groups, the displacement and duration of the hyoid bone and larynx during swallowing, and the HL motion ratio. No significant differences were observed in age, height, or weight between the groups, whereas significant differences were noted in laryngeal duration (static phase), laryngeal displacement (elevation phase), and the HL motion ratio. Although laryngeal duration (static phase) was longer in the dysphagia
group than in the healthy group, laryngeal displacement (elevation phase) was greater in the healthy group than in the dysphagia group. Laryngeal displacement (elevation phase) in the healthy group is longer than in the dysphagia group. However, there were no significant differences in the HL motion ratio of the hyoid bone displacement (elevation phase) between the healthy and disabled groups.

Therefore, the HL exercise ratio calculated by applying laryngeal displacement (elevation phase) to the denominator was larger in the dysphagia group than in the healthy group. Laryngeal displacement (elevation phase) in the healthy group is longer than in the dysphagia group. However, there were no significant differences in the HL motion ratio of the hyoid bone displacement (elevation phase) between the healthy and disabled groups. Therefore, the HL exercise ratio calculated by applying laryngeal displacement (elevation phase) to the denominator was larger in the dysphagia group than in the healthy group. The distance of the laryngeal elevation was shorter in the dysphagia group than in the healthy group, with no significant differences in the time from the laryngeal static phase to the maximally elevated position.

Table 1 shows basic information on the two groups, the displacement and duration of the hyoid bone and larynx during swallowing, and the HL motion ratio.

Table 2 shows the results of the multiple regression analysis with dysphagia as the dependent variable. There were significant differences between the groups in laryngeal duration (static phase), laryngeal displacement (elevation phase), and HL motion ratio. Thus, in the multivariate analysis, these three variables were defined as independent variables and dysphagia occurrence was defined as a dependent variable. The analysis revealed that there was a significant association ($F = 16.2, p < .001, R^2 = .603$). Laryngeal displacement (elevation phase) significantly differed between the healthy and dysphagia groups, but did not affect the evaluation of dysphagia. Therefore, laryngeal duration (static phase) and the HL motion ratio were associated with the presence or absence of NOD.

The ROC curves of the two variables that affect the detection of dysphagia are shown in Figs 2 and 3. AUC for laryngeal duration (static phase) was 0.744 and the cut-off value based on the Youden Index was 0.26 sec. Sensitivity based on this cut-off was 72.2% and specificity
was 88.9%. Regarding the HL motion ratio, AUC was 0.951, the cut-off value based on the Youden Index was 0.56. Sensitivity based on this value was 88.9% and specificity was 88.9%.

## Discussion

The present study investigated the ability of participants to swallow 5 mL of liquid. The healthy group swallowed liquid and the dysphagia group swallowed water with an adjusted viscosity based on their individual ability. Regarding the effects of viscosity on hyoid bone and laryngeal displacement, Lee et al. [22] revealed in a VF test that viscosity did not affect the motion or elevation distance of the larynx. Steele et al. [23] also demonstrated that viscosity did not affect the maximally elevated position of the hyoid bone. Since the viscosity of concentrated and diluted liquids did not significantly alter the larynx volume contraction rate [24], we concluded that displacement of the hyoid bone and larynx was not affected by viscosity. The dysphagia group in this study was examined using the VF test to assess the severity of dysphagia. The viscosity was adjusted so that all participants could safely swallow 5 mL of water.

### Evaluation of swallowing movement by US

During the swallowing process, the hyoid bone initially moves upward, then forward, and returns down to its original position [25,26]. Okada et al. [27] reported that the forward motion of the hyoid bone and thyrohyoid muscle contraction occurred simultaneously in a muscle length analysis using 320-row area detector computed tomography. In the present study, the measurement starting point was set to the initiation of the laryngeal elevation, and hyoid bone displacement during the elevation phase was considered to correspond to the forward movement of the hyoid bone. The upward movement of the hyoid bone is movements of the digastric and mylohyoid muscles, while the forward movement of the hyoid bone is achieved by the geniohyoid muscle [27]. Since hyoid bone displacement in the present study was the distance of the forward motion of the hyoid bone, the HL motion ratio reflected cooperation between the thyrohyoid muscle, which elevates the larynx, and the geniohyoid muscle, which moves the hyoid bone forward. In our previous study in which healthy participants were asked to swallow 5 mL liquid, the HL motion ratio was 0.50 [19].

Laryngeal displacement is an important index among the many indications of pulmonary aspiration. When the larynx is elevated, the anterior larynx closes, which opens the entrance of the esophagus. It is a key structural element for protecting the respiratory tract against aspiration [28]. Symptoms of NOD can be related to swallowing dysfunction, such as delayed anterior larynx closure and weak tongue pressure, as well as reduced larynx sensation and motor disorder of the central nervous system [29]. A delay in anterior larynx closure and larynx elevation during swallowing results in a longer bolus duration in the larynx, which increases the

### Table 2. Results of the multiple regression analysis with dysphagia as the dependent variable.

| Section                        | Standard regression coefficient | Standard error | t value | P-value |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|---------|---------|
| Laryngeal duration (Static phase) | .295                            | .539           | 2.569   | .015*   |
| Laryngeal displacement (Elevation phase) | - .267                          | .125           | -2.014  | .053    |
| HL motion ratio                | .471                            | .345           | 3.574   | < 0.001** |
| R-squared value                |                                 | .603           |         |         |

* P < .05,
** P < .001.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0248770.t002
risk of aspiration [30]. In the present study, laryngeal displacement (elevation phase) was shorter and the larynx elevation speed was slower in the dysphagia group than in the healthy group. Laryngeal displacement (elevation phase) in the dysphagia group is consistent with the features of NOD. Nevertheless, the results of the multiple regression analysis adjusted for confounding factors indicated that laryngeal displacement (elevation phase) was not related to the occurrence of dysphagia. Furthermore, no significant difference was observed in hyoid bone.

Fig 2. ROC curve for laryngeal duration (static phase). The cut-off value was 0.26 sec (sensitivity, 72.2%; specificity, 88.9%). The area under the ROC curve was 0.744; Standard error 0.091; Significance 0.012; Confidence interval 0.566–0.922.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0248770.g002
A compensatory strategy to elevate the hyoid bone to a higher position is needed to ensure that the upper part of the esophagus remains open in healthy elderly participants in whom the failure of this strategy may lead to dysphagia [31]. In the present study, adjustments to the viscosity of water based on the severity of dysphagia represented a successful compensatory strategy for liquid intake, such that the same extent of hyoid bone displacement as the healthy group was maintained.

Fig 3. ROC curve for the HL motion ratio. The cut-off value was 0.56 (sensitivity, 88.9%; specificity, 88.9%). The area under the ROC curve was 0.951; Standard error 0.032; Significance 0.000; Confidence interval 0.887–1.000.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0248770.g003
Based on the present results, the single evaluation of hyoid bone or laryngeal displacement does not accurately evaluate swallowing function. The success of oropharyngeal swallowing is associated with the motion of the hyoid bone and larynx [32,33]; therefore, any incompatibility may increase the risk of pulmonary aspiration. An index that reflects cooperation between the hyoid bone and larynx during swallowing is needed. Picelli et al. [34] reported that the results of conventional swallowing screening tests were associated with hyoid-larynx approximation when the larynx is maximally elevated during swallowing. Similar to the present study, they also used this association as an indicator of coordinated movement between hyoid bone and larynx.

When the cut-off value of the HL motion ratio in the present study was 0.56 or higher, it predicted dysphagia. Laryngeal duration (static phase) is less effective than the HL motion ratio, but may also be used to predict dysphagia. Hyoid bone displacement and its elevation speed did not significantly differ between the healthy and dysphagia groups, while laryngeal displacement (elevation phase) was shorter in the dysphagia group than in the healthy group, and the laryngeal elevation speed was also slower. Compared with healthy individuals, patients with post-stroke dysphagia have reduced duration of glottal closure and opening of the esophagus, as well as shorter larynx elevation distance [35]. Participants in the dysphagia group in the present study swallowed their adjusted daily diets through oral ingestion and safety was ensured by adjustments to liquid viscosity. The dysphagia group had shorter laryngeal displacement and a slower elevation speed than the healthy group, while hyoid bone displacement was similar. Therefore, the extension of the static phase when the larynx is at its maximally elevated position may be a compensatory strategy for the insufficient elevation of the larynx when a bolus passes through. When the laryngeal duration (static phase) was 0.26 sec or longer, it predicted dysphagia. It is important to note that the risk of aspiration increases when the larynx compensatory strategy does not function well. The extension of the laryngeal duration (static phase) appeared to be a feature of dysphagia in the present study, but it does not guarantee the detection of dysphagia. This is also the case for the HL motion ratio in which changes may be due to the severity of dysphagia.

The water drinking test is currently widely used in the clinical field for swallowing screening [36]. This test may also be employed to diagnose dysphagia based on aspiration clinical signs, such as suffocation, coughs, and a wet voice [37]. Assessments also include differential diagnosis from dysphagia caused by organic causes such as pharyngeal tumors [38–40]. Since US provides an objective assessment of the motion of the hyoid bone and larynx and may help to detect NOD, it may be added to the current swallowing screening test for the more detailed management [41,42] of dysphagic patients.

**Limitations of this study and future prospects**

The present study has a number of limitations. While the severity of poststroke dysphagia varies, this study adopted a dysphagia group with the same severity, which was biased towards severe cases. Furthermore, the number of participants was insufficient to cover the wide range of severities; therefore, the number of cases needs to be increased in order to improve screening accuracy. Moreover, screening accuracy was based on diagnosed cases of dysphagia only, and, thus, needs to be performed to detect dysphagia in the clinical field for further verification.

**Supporting information**

S1 File.
(XLSX)
Acknowledgments
The authors thank all the participants for their cooperation in this study.

Author Contributions
Conceptualization: Takao Matsuo, Miwa Matsuyama.
Data curation: Takao Matsuo.
Formal analysis: Takao Matsuo.
Funding acquisition: Takao Matsuo.
Investigation: Takao Matsuo.
Methodology: Takao Matsuo.
Project administration: Takao Matsuo, Miwa Matsuyama.
Resources: Takao Matsuo.
Software: Takao Matsuo.
Supervision: Takao Matsuo, Miwa Matsuyama.
Validation: Takao Matsuo, Miwa Matsuyama.
Visualization: Takao Matsuo.
Writing – original draft: Takao Matsuo.
Writing – review & editing: Takao Matsuo.

References
1. Martino R, Foley N, Bhogal S, Diamant N, Speechley M, Teasell R. Dysphagia after stroke: incidence, diagnosis, and pulmonary complications. Stroke. 2005; 36:2756–63. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.STR.0000190056.76543.eb PMID: 16269630
2. Bolivar-Prados M, Rofes L, Arreola V, Guida S, Nascimento WV, Martin A, et al. Effect of a gum-based thickener on the safety of swallowing in patients with poststroke oropharyngeal dysphagia. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2019; 31:e13695. https://doi.org/10.1111/nmo.13695 PMID: 31402571
3. Carrion S, Cabre M, Monteis R, Roca M, Palomera E, Serra-Prat M, et al. Oropharyngeal dysphagia is a prevalent risk factor for malnutrition in a cohort of older patients admitted with an acute disease to a general hospital. Clin Nutr. 2015; 34:436–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2014.04.014 PMID: 24882372
4. Mann G, Hankey GJ, Cameron D. Swallowing function after stroke: prognosis and prognostic factors at 6 months. Stroke. 1999; 30:744–8. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.str.30.4.744 PMID: 10187872
5. Rofes L, Muriana D, Palomeras E, Vilardell N, Palomera E, Alvarez-Berdugo D, et al. Prevalence, risk factors and complications of oropharyngeal dysphagia in stroke patients: A cohort study. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2018:e13338. https://doi.org/10.1111/nmo.13338 PMID: 29573064
6. Al-Khaled M, Matthys C, Binder A, Mudter J, Schattischnider J, Pulkowski U, et al. Dysphagia in Patients with Acute Ischemic Stroke: Early Dysphagia Screening May Reduce Stroke-Related Pneumonia and Improve Stroke Outcomes. Cerebrovasc Dis. 2016; 42:81–9. https://doi.org/10.1159/000445299 PMID: 27074007
7. Eltringham SA, Kilner K, Gee M, Sage K, Bray BD, Pownall S, et al. Impact of Dysphagia Assessment and Management on Risk of Stroke-Associated Pneumonia: A Systematic Review. Cerebrovasc Dis. 2018; 46:99–107. https://doi.org/10.1159/000492790 PMID: 30199856
8. Ogawa N, Mori T, Fujishima I, Wakabayashi H, Itoda M, Kurieda K, et al. Ultrasonography to Measure Swallowing Muscle Mass and Quality in Older Patients With Sarcopenic Dysphagia. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2018; 19:516–522. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2017.11.007 PMID: 29287693
9. Ogawa N, Wakabayashi H, Mori T, Fujishima I, Oshima F, Itoda M, et al. Digastric muscle mass and intensity in older patients with sarcopenic dysphagia by ultrasonography. Geriatr Gerontol Int. 2021; 21: 14–19. https://doi.org/10.1111/ggi.14075 PMID: 33227825
10. Perkisas S, Bastjns S, Baudry S, Bauer J, Beaudart C, Beckwée D, et al. Application of ultrasound for muscle assessment in sarcopenia: 2020 SARCUS update. Eur Geriat Med. 2021. 10.

11. Patel DA, Sharda R, Hovis KL, Nichols EE, Sathe N, Penson DF, et al. Patient-reported outcome measures in dysphagia: a systematic review of instrument development and validation. Dis Esophagus. 2017; 30: 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1093/dote/dow028 PMID: 28375450

12. Ickenstein GW, Höhlig C, Prosiegel M, Koch H, Dziewas R, Bodechtel U, et al. Prediction of outcome in neurogenic oropharyngeal dysphagia within 72 hours of acute stroke. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. 2012; 21:569–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jscrd.2011.01.004 PMID: 21683618

13. Falsetti P, Acciai C, Pallilia R, Bosi M, Carpinteri F, Zingarelli A, et al. Oropharyngeal dysphagia after stroke: incidence, diagnosis, and clinical predictors in patients admitted to a neurorehabilitation unit. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. 2009; 18:329–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jscrd.2009.01.009 PMID: 19717014

14. Baijens LW, Clavé P, Cras P, Ekb erg O, Forster A, Kolb GF, et al. European Society for Swallowing Disorders—European Union Geriatric Medicine Society white paper: oropharyngeal dysphagia as a geriatric syndrome. Clin Interv Aging. 2016; 11:1403–28. https://doi.org/10.2147/CIA.S107750 PMID: 27785002

15. Logemann JA, Pauloski BR, Rademaker AW, Colangelo LA, Kahrilas PJ, Smith CH. Temporal and biomechanical characteristics of oropharyngeal swallow in younger and older men. J Speech Lang Hear Res. 2000; 43:1264–74. https://doi.org/10.1044/jslhr.4305.1264 PMID: 11063246

16. Martino R, Pron G, Diamant N. Screening for oropharyngeal dysphagia in stroke: evidence for guidelines. Dysphagia. 2000; 15:19–30. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004559910006 PMID: 10594255

17. Terré R, Mearin F. Oropharyngeal dysphagia after the acute phase of stroke: predictors of aspiration. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2006; 18:200–5. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2982.2005.00729.x PMID: 16487410

18. Bours GJ, Speyer R, Lemmens J, Limburg M, Wit R. Bedside screening tests vs. videofluoroscopy or fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing to detect dysphagia in patients with neurological disorders: systematic review. J Adv Nurs. 2009; 65:477–93. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2008.04915.x PMID: 19222645

19. Matsuo T, Matsu yama M, Nakatani K, Mori N. Evaluation of swallowing movement using ultrasonography. Radiol Phys Technol. 2020; 13:62–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12194-019-00547-1 PMID: 31786806

20. Yabunaka K, Konishi H, Nakagami G, Sanada H, Iizaka S, Sanada S, et al. Ultrasonographic evaluation of geniohyoid muscle movement during swallowing: a study on healthy adults of various ages. Radiol Phys Technol. 2012; 5:34–9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12194-011-0132-3 PMID: 21861155

21. O’Neil KH, Purdy M, Faik J, Gallo L. The Dysphagia Outcome and Severity Scale. Dysphagia. 1999; 14:139–45. https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00009595 PMID: 10341109

22. Lee KD, Song SH, Koo JH, Park HS, Kim JS, Jang KH. Proposed Use of Thickener According to Fluid Intake on Videofluoroscopic Swallowing Studies: Preliminary Study in Normal Healthy Persons. Ann Rehabil Med. 2016; 40:206–13. https://doi.org/10.5535/arm.2016.40.2.206 PMID: 27152269

23. Steele CM, Pelad eau-Pigeon M, Barbon CAE, Guida BT, Namisav yam-MacDonald AM, Nascimento WV, et al. Reference Values for Healthy Swallowing Across the Range From Thin to Extremely Thick Liquids. J Speech Lang Hear Res. 2019; 62:1338–63. https://doi.org/10.1044/2019_JSLHR-S-18-0448 PMID: 31021676

24. Ito Y, Inamoto Y, Saitoh E, Aihara K, Shibata S, Aoyagi Y, et al. The effect of bolus consistency on pharyngeal volume during swallowing: Kinematic analysis in three dimensions using dynamic Area Detector CT. J Oral Rehabil. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1111/jor.13062 PMID: 32738063

25. Ishida R, Palmer JB, Hiemae KM. Hyoid motion during oral and pharyngeal phases of swallowing: Kinematic analysis in three dimensions using dynamic Area Detector CT. J Oral Rehabil. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1111/jor.13062 PMID: 32738063

26. Dodds WJ, Stewart ET, Logemann JA. Physiology and radiology of the normal oral and pharyngeal phases of swallowing. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1990; 154:953–63. https://doi.org/10.2214/ajr.154.5.2108569 PMID: 2108569

27. Okada T, Aoyagi Y, Inamoto Y, Saitoh E, Kagaya H, Shibata S, et al. Dynamic change in hyoid muscle length associated with trajectory of hyoid bone during swallowing: analysis using 320-row area detector computed tomography. J Appl Physiol (1985). 2013; 115:1138–45. https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00467.2013 PMID: 23970532

28. Ertékin C, Aydogdu I. Neurophysiology of swallowing. Clin Neurophysiol. 2003; 114:2226–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1388-2457(03)00237-2 PMID: 14652082

29. Ortega O, Martín A, Clavé P. Diagnosis and Management of Oropharyngeal Dysphagia Among Older Persons, State of the Art. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2017; 18:576–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2017.02.015 PMID: 28412164
30. Steele CM, Cichero JA. Physiological factors related to aspiration risk: a systematic review. Dysphagia. 2014; 29:295–304. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00455-014-9516-y PMID: 24562507

31. Kendall KA, Leonard RJ. Hyoid movement during swallowing in older patients with dysphagia. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2001; 127:1224–9. https://doi.org/10.1001/archotol.127.10.1224 PMID: 11587603

32. Steele CM, Bailey GL, Chau T, Molfenter SM, Oshalla M, Waito AA, et al. The relationship between hyoid and laryngeal displacement and swallowing impairment. Clin Otolaryngol. 2011; 36:30–6. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-4486.2010.02219.x PMID: 21414151

33. Na YJ, Jang JS, Lee KH, Yoon YJ, Chung MS, Han SH. Thyroid cartilage loci and hyoid bone analysis using a video fluoroscopic swallowing study (VFSS). Medicine (Baltimore). 2019; 98:e16349.

34. Picelli A, Modenese A, Poletto E, Businaro V, Varalda V, Gandolfi M, et al. May ultrasonography be considered a useful tool for bedside screening of dysphagia in patients with acute stroke? A cohort study. Minerva Med. 2020. https://doi.org/10.23736/S0026-4806.20.06571-4 PMID: 32338483

35. Bisch EM, Logemann JA, Rademaker AW, Kahrilas PJ, Lazarus CL. Pharyngeal effects of bolus volume, viscosity, and temperature in patients with dysphagia resulting from neurologic impairment and in normal subjects. J Speech Hear Res. 1994; 37:1041–59. https://doi.org/10.1044/jshr.3705.1041 PMID: 7823550

36. Brodsky MB, Suiter DM, González-Fernández M, Michtalik HJ, Frymark TB, Venediktov R, et al. Screening Accuracy for Aspiration Using Bedside Water Swallow Tests: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Chest. 2016; 150:148–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2016.03.059 PMID: 27102184

37. Osawa A, Maeshima S, Tanahashi N. Water-swallowing test: screening for aspiration in stroke patients. Cerebrovasc Dis. 2013; 35:276–81. https://doi.org/10.1159/000348863 PMID: 23548854

38. Aslan M, Ozer Ozturk E, Dogukan FM. A Rare Cause of Dysphagia: Giant Lipoma in Parapharyngeal Space. J Craniofac Surg. 2020; 31:e667–e668. https://doi.org/10.1097/SCS.0000000000006503 PMID: 32541264

39. Ferlito S, Di Luca S, Maniaci A, Cipolla F, La Mantia I, Maiolino L, et al. Progressive dysphagia in a patient with parapharyngeal pulsating mass: A case report and literature’s review. Acta Med. Mediterr. 2019.

40. Hendriks T, Quick M, Yuen P. Dysphagia due to massive retropharyngeal and pre-vertebral abscess. BMJ Case Rep. 2018. https://doi.org/10.1136/bcr-2018-228162 PMID: 30567151

41. Yoshida M, Miura Y, Okada S, Yamada M, Kagaya H, Saitoh E, et al. Effectiveness of Swallowing Care on Safe Oral Intake Using Ultrasound-Based Observation of Residues in the Epiglottis Valley: A Pragmatic, Quasi-Experimental Study. Healthcare (Basel). 2020. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare8010050 PMID: 32108666

42. D’Amico E, Zanghi A, Serra A, Murabito P, Zappia M, Patti F, et al. Management of dysphagia in multiple sclerosis: current best practice. Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1080/17474124.2019.1544890 PMID: 30791843