Quality assessment of drinking water of Multan city, Pakistan in context with Arsenic and Fluoride and use of Iron nanoparticle doped kitchen waste charcoal as a potential adsorbent for their combined removal
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Abstract
In majority cities of Pakistan, ground water is the main source of drinking water supply in the taps. Studies from different areas of Pakistan reported the presence of arsenic (As3/5+) and fluoride (F−) in drinking water supplies and can be envisaged as a deep-rooted cause of daily exposure of these in humans. The present study was planned with three way approach, i.e., to assess drinking water quality in Multan city, a highly populated and industrial activity area; synthesis of nano-adsorbent for simultaneous, effective and low-cost removal of fluoride and arsenic and manage waste by utilization of kitchen waste for synthesis of the nano-adsorbent. Out of 30 samples collected, 80% and 73% samples were found exceeding maximum residual limits (MRL) for F− and As3/5+, respectively, while 53% samples had both As3/5+ and F− concentrations greater than MRL. All these water samples were then treated with prepared nano-adsorbent, i.e., iron nanoparticles doped kitchen waste charcoal after evaluating the optimized experimental parameters and application of adsorption, kinetics and thermodynamic models. The nano-adsorbent showed high removal efficacy 81–100% for F− and 13–100% for As3+.
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Introduction
Water contamination is a global issue; in spite of improved access to clean and quality drinking water, there are still issues in developing countries which are struggling for provision of safe drinking water to their residents (Ritchie and Roser 2018). Arsenic (As) and fluoride (F) are among the major inorganic contaminants in water which, according to WHO, enter into water from both naturogenic (i.e., dissolution of rocks) and anthropogenic sources (industrial effluents). Arsenic is frequently used in synthesis of alloys, wood preservatives, pesticides as well as an ingredient in processing of textiles, pigments, leather, etc. (WHO 2011). Fluoride is used in production of aluminum, steel, glass, fertilizers, etc., and is also used as major ingredient in municipal water fluoridation schemes (WHO 2004). Both contaminants are known to cause number of diseases independently as well as in combination (Jiang et al. 2014; Zeng et al. 2014). Due to their potential adverse health implications, even a low concentration of As and F− in drinking water is detrimental. Arsenic is mostly present as As (III) and in well oxygenated waters as As (V) while F− occurs as inorganic ion (Tang et al. 2010). Several treatment methods have been proposed for removal of As3+ and F− from water such as biological treatment processes, precipitation, ion exchange, nanofiltration, electrodialysis, reverse osmosis, adsorption, etc. (Jagtap et al. 2012).

Various countries, including Pakistan, have reported co-contamination issues of ground water (Guo et al. 2014). According to World health organization (WHO), the permissible limits for As and F− in drinking water is 0.01 mg L−1 and 1.5 mg L−1, respectively, (WHO 2017). In Pakistan, shallow as well as deep aquifer of Punjab were observed to be polluted with F− and As3/5+ (Farooqi et al. 2007). The major reasons were attributed to anthropogenic sources,
i.e., fertilizers, coal combustion and industrial waste leading to their deposition in soil followed by leaching into water resources (Farooqi et al. 2009). Higher levels were also present in surface/irrigated water of Nagarparkar, Pakistan area which approached levels ranging between 3.6 and 6.8 mg L\(^{-1}\) for F\(^{-}\) and 18.5–35.4 mg L\(^{-1}\) for total As (Brahman et al. 2014). Similarly in Sindh, Pakistan, Arsenic levels were 2.6–230 folds higher than the WHO permissible limit for drinking water in Tharparkar area while up to 13 fold higher levels were reported in Khairpur Mir (Qasim and Jakharni 2018). Elevated As level were also reported in Gujranwala (Mazhar et al. 2019) and Tehsil Mailsi Punjab, Pakistan (Rasool et al. 2016). With escalating industrial activity and infrastructure development these levels are expected to rise significantly. Furthermore, these high levels in drinking water become the major and potential source of exposure of As and F\(^{-}\) to both flora and fauna. Humans, being at the top of the food chain, are facing both direct and indirect exposure risk to contaminated water resources and hence, a potential risk of suffering from arsenicosis and fluorosis with increasing level of severity for older age group population to younger children falling in ages of 7–15 years (Brahman et al. 2014).

To look into another perspective, these high levels of both As\(^{3+}\) and F\(^{-}\) have raised many questions to the efficacy of current water treatment plants and practices. Considering the low income and developing nature of the country several low-cost water remediation approaches have been followed, i.e., ion exchange, filtration and adsorption using activated alumina, clays and other adsorbents but these face limitations such as applying stringent operating parameters and low efficacy (Malik et al. 2009). With the decreasing levels of allowable limits of contaminants and environmental laws becoming more rigid, the need is to shift to new adsorbents especially that can help in cleaner production and environmental waste management. Nano-adsorbents derived by use of waste materials can offer added advantage owing to high efficiency (due to their high surface to volume ratio) and greater affinity toward both cationic and anionic inorganic pollutants leading to one-step water treatment applications.

Currently, the list of available adsorbents for simultaneous removal of As\(^{3+}\) and F\(^{-}\) is very less and the ones available have shown very low to intermediate adsorption capacities, especially for As, and require much longer contact times (Table 1) hindering their prospective for being the material of choice. Hence, there is dire need to design such an adsorbent that can remove both contaminants effectively, in lesser time while exhibiting high adsorption rates as well as capacity. With this objective the nano-adsorbent based on utilization of waste material was designed in order to effectually address the issues of water treatment and waste management. The term Kitchen waste (KW) refers to leftover organic matter from household, restaurants and hotels (Li et al. 2009). It contains several substances of which the major component is food waste which also constitute highest proportion (> 50%) of total municipal waste generated in Pakistan. Although KW, being rich in organic and water content, can be successfully managed through methods such as composting, anaerobic digestion, biofuel production and animal feed yet it is usually dumped in landfills (Korai et al. 2020; Yu and Li 2020).

Therefore, the current research was designed to address the above mentioned problems that are in one way or other interconnected with each other. The study was conducted with three main objectives, i.e., (1) quality assessment of Multan city drinking water in context of As\(^{3/5+}\) and F\(^{-}\) levels; (2) Synthesis of nano-adsorbent for simultaneous, effective and low-cost removal of F\(^{-}\) and As\(^{3/5+}\), and (3) Determination of adsorption efficacy of prepared nano-adsorbent in treatment of contaminated actual water samples.

### Experimental

All the solutions employed in the study were prepared using double distilled water and after confirmation of its quality with respect to the absence of F\(^{-}\) and As\(^{3+}\). Analytical

| Adsorbent                                         | Adsorption capacity (mg/g) | Equilibrium time Ref. |
|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Goethite (not nano)                               | 10.1; 0.5                 | 10 min 10 min         | (Tang et al. 2010) |
| Al- and Fe-doped micro- and nano-sized polymeric adsorbents (100 nm) | 40.0 100.0 | 6–8 h 6–8 h | (Kumar et al. 2011) |
| Highly ordered mesoporous alumina                 | 19.8 300                  | 2 min 12 h            | (Li et al. 2011) |
| Calcium-doped alumina                             | – 450                     | – 12 h                | (Li et al. 2011) |
| Aluminum oxide/ hydroxide nanoparticles           | 0.8 2.0                   | 300 min 300 min       | (Rathore and Mondal 2017) |
| Magnetic nickel/polypyrrole (Nano)                 | 2.6 67.7                  | 180 min 180 min       | (Srivastava et al. 2016) |
grade ferrous chloride tetra hydrate, arsenic trioxide (As₂O₃) and sodium fluoride (NaF) were procured from Riedel–de Haen, Peiking Chemical Works, Peiking China and Sigma-Aldrich, respectively. Hydrochloric acid (35%) was taken from Pakistan Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (PCSIR) Laboratories Complex, Lahore, Pakistan while Sodium borohydride was purchased from May and Baker LTD Dagenham, England.

**Selection of sampling areas**

Multan City was selected as the study area. It is the fifth largest city of the country in terms of population and lies between 29° 19’ 11” to 30° 28’ 16” latitude. N and 70° 58’ 34” to 71° 43’ 25” longitude E. It is located on alluvial plain of Chenab river and falls in the Bari Doab region; area between river Chenab and river Sutlej (Murtaza et al. 2017). River Chenab serves as main source of water supply and groundwater recharge. Most of the area is fertile and productive (Greenman et al. 1967). With an area of 3,721 Km², Multan is among South Punjab main cities. The climate of the city is arid; hotter in summers and light cold in winters. The city experiences extreme weather conditions with highest and lowest temperature recorded as 54 °C (129°F) and −1 °C (30 °F), respectively. Dust storms are a common feature in the area (Randhawa et al. 2014). Annual average rainfall recorded is 320 mm, 65% of which occurs between June and September, which adds 30 mm of recharge to ground water per annum (Murtaza et al. 2017). Fig. 1 shows the location map and sampling points.

Seasonal samples were collected in summer (S), winter (W) and rainy (R) seasons from all towns of City (Fig. 1), i.e., Ghanta Ghar (S1), Kumhar Mandii (S2), Mumtazabad (S3), Lari Ada (S4), Chowk Kumara (S5), Gulghushat Colony (S6), Jamila bad (S7), Lal Kurti (S8), Hamid pur

![Fig. 1 Location map of study area and sampling sites](image-url)
(S9) and Peripheral area village (S10) (Supplementary data Table S1).

Water sample collection

Total thirty water samples were collected in clean and dried plastic bottles (1.5 L) from 10 different locations of study area. Water source in these areas is ground water. Tap water was allowed to run for 5 min before the collection of samples. A composite sampling technique was used to collect samples, for which 10 houses from each area were selected randomly and water was collected from taps followed by their mixing in cleaned dry plastic can. The samples were stored in ice box and transported to laboratory where they were stored in refrigerator at 4 ± 2 °C.

Water analysis

Water analysis was done to assess its quality. For arsenic detection, Arsenic kit (QuantofixArsen 10), supplied by Pakistan Council of Research in Water Resources (PCRWR), Lahore, Pakistan, was used with capability to measure total arsenic as As$^{3/5+}$ in range of detection which is 0.01 to 0.5 mg L$^{-1}$. Hence, the analysis data of samples is reported in form of total arsenic values (i.e., As$^{3/5+}$). Considering the fact that kit is used for semi quantitative measurement, the kit was checked for accuracy with arsenic certified reference material procured from VWR. For estimation of arsenic the same protocol was used as mentioned in kit pamphlet. Fluoride content was measured by ion selective electrode (ISE-930, Spectrum, Scientific, UK) by directly immersing the electrode in water sample after performing internal calibration with Fluoride standards.

Generally, the collected samples were analyzed without any pre-treatment but for samples which showed very high concentrations of F$^{-}$ and As$^{3/5+}$, dilutions were done with double distilled water before analysis while water samples with very low levels were concentrated using rotary evaporator (BuchiRotavap system) and the concentrated samples were then used for analysis and the dilution or concentration factors were adjusted in calculations.

Preparation of iron doped charcoal

Two step synthetic approach was adopted to prepare iron doped kitchen waste charcoal. In the first step, the collected kitchen waste was converted to charcoal followed by doping with iron nanoparticles in second step.

Collection of kitchen waste and its pre-treatment

Residential KW comprising majorly of rotten or expired pulses and rice, spent tea leaves, fruits and vegetable peels was collected for a period of one week. The waste was sun dried for 2–3 days and converted into fine powder using kitchen grinder.

Preparation of charcoal

The powdered material (~1 kg) was taken in a pyrex tube which was heated in tube furnace (at PCSIR) with continuous supply of nitrogen gas (~1 ml/min). The temperature was raised at the rate of 10 °C/min to 600 °C and held for 3 h at this temperature. After that the furnace was allowed to cool while keeping nitrogen supply intact, and the resulting black powder was collected.

Acid activation of charcoal

Weighed amount (100 g) of the charcoal was stirred with 200 mL of 1:1 HCl: distilled water for 2 h at room temperature, filtered, followed by 3–4 times washing with distilled water, air drying and oven drying at 60 °C for 1–2 h. The activated charcoal was stored in air-tight container till further use.

Iron nanoparticles doped charcoal (Fe-KWC)

The experimental setup included a two-necked round bottom flask fitted with dropping funnel at one neck while the other one was attached to nitrogen gas supply line. To the flask containing suspension of charcoal (40.0 g) and iron (II) chloride tetra hydrated (10.0 g) in 500 mL of double distilled water; sodium borohydride solution in deoxygenated water (0.9 g/250 mL) was added drop wise with vigorous stirring at room temperature to ensure reduction of Fe (II) to Fe (0). Following complete addition of sodium borohydride, the suspension was filtered and residue containing charcoal doped with Fe nanoparticles was dried in vacuum oven for 3 h at 70 °C. Oven dried charcoal was stored in air-tight reagent bottle to prevent its deactivation.

Characterization of biomass

Prepared nano-adsorbent, i.e., Fe-KWC was characterized using different techniques. FTIR analysis was carried out using Tensor-27 FTIR Spectrometer by using ATR. SEM analysis was done by Variable pressure scanning electron microscope (model Tescan Vega3 LMU, Czech Republic) while XRD was conducted on Philips/PANalytical X’Pert Powder XRD in the scan range of 5.0000–120.0000° using continuous mode and step size of 0.0250°.
Adsorption studies

For adsorption studies, As$^{3+}$ stock solution (1000 mg L$^{-1}$; 0.133 g of arsenic trioxide /100 mL of diluted HCl) and Fluoride stock solution (1000 mg L$^{-1}$; 0.221 g NaF/100 mL distilled water) were used for preparing working solutions.

Batch adsorption studies were performed to evaluate the efficacy of Fe-KWC for removal of As$^{3+}$ and F$^{-}$ separately in simulated setting as well as in combined settings in real water samples. The studies were performed in triplicate and data is presented as average of these. For this purpose, 50 mL of As$^{3+}$ and F$^{-}$ working solutions (25–200 mg L$^{-1}$), after pH adjustment (1–12) with 0.1 M of HCl or NaOH, were allowed to remain in contact for different time periods (2–120 min) and at varying temperature (20–100 °C) with adsorbent dose of 0.01–0.11 g. After that, the contents were Cannula filtered to remove the adsorbent and resulting filtrate was analyzed for respective contaminant concentration. The contact time, temperature, initial pH, initial ion concentration and adsorbent dose were optimized by varying one parameter at a time. The initial concentration in each case, i.e., As$^{3+}$ and F$^{-}$ was chosen to assess the maximum adsorption capacity of the prepared adsorbent. The essence of selection of this high concentration than the normal prevailing value of the contaminants in water is because it helps in determining the maximum potential of the adsorbent to uptake these contaminants thereby allowing it to be used for either multiple times or longer periods of the time till its full adsorption capacity is attained. The adsorption percentage and adsorption capacity are calculated using Eq. 1 and 2 as presented in Table 2.

The data obtained were used to predict the adsorbent’s behavior by applying various models, i.e., kinetic, adsorption and diffusion models. In addition, thermodynamic studies were also carried out to check the efficacy of adsorption process. The models applied for assessment were in accordance with similar studies conducted for determination of effectiveness of synthesized adsorbents (Darwish et al. 2019; de Jesús Ruiz-Baltazar et al. 2019). The models applied and their related equations are also given in Table 2.

Treatment of contaminated water samples with iron doped charcoal

50 mL of drinking water sample (composite sample) was taken in a conical flask. After adjustment of pH to 3, 0.1 g of nano-adsorbent was added. The contents were stirred at 40°C for 30 min for As$^{3+}$ and F$^{-}$. After this the treated samples were Cannula filtered and analyzed for As$^{3+}$ and F$^{-}$ concentration using arsenic kit and Fluoride ISE, respectively.

Results and discussion

The water samples collected from 10 different areas in three seasons were analyzed for total arsenic content (As$^{3+/5+}$) and F$^{-}$ levels followed by treatment with prepared nano-adsorbent (Fe-KWC).

Water analysis

The results of water analysis, along with sample code (ID), Depth (D), coordinates and analyzed parameters are summarized in supplementary material (Table 1S). Table 3 provides concentration of As$^{3+/5+}$ and F$^{-}$ in samples during three seasons.

Fe-KWC preparation and characterization

Keeping in view the perspective of waste management, Fe-KWC preparation was done by employing kitchen waste charcoal (KWC) as support material onto which the Fe nanoparticles were doped using sodium borohydride as reducing agent. The chemical reaction resulted is as follows:

\[
2\text{FeCl}_3 + 6\text{NaBH}_4 + 18\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{Fe}^0 + 21\text{H}_2 + 6\text{B(OH)}_3 + 6\text{NaCl}
\]

Material hence obtained was characterized using different techniques to verify the formation of Fe-KWC.

FTIR

The FTIR spectra of Fe-KWC (Fig. 2a) showed all the peaks typical of the charcoals. Peaks appearing in range of 3800–2800 cm$^{-1}$ are due to the presence of aliphatic and aromatic carbon containing multiple molecular components including cellulose, lignin and other organic compounds. The carboxylic groups (C=O) present in the charcoal are indicated by appearance of peak at 1620.92 cm$^{-1}$. The peaks appearing at 1384.50 and 1117.60 cm$^{-1}$ are due to esteric group presence (Butt et al. 2014; Hammud et al. 2016).

SEM

The SEM image of the Fe-KWC is presented in Fig. 3. The image shows white small particles of iron which are embedded on the big lumps of charcoal and homogeneously distributed. Similar studies carried out for synthesis of nanoparticles doped charcoal showed the similar results and doping pattern (Rodríguez-Pérez et al. 2011).
Table 2  Different theoretical model equations used for adsorption studies

| Eq no. | Parameter | Equation |
|--------|-----------|----------|
| 1      | Removal efficiency (%) | $A = \frac{(C_0-C_t)}{C_0} \times 100$ |
| 2      | Adsorption capacity (mg g$^{-1}$) | $q_{exp} = \frac{(C_0-C_t)}{W}$ |
| 3      | Nonlinear Chi-square | $X^2 = \sum \frac{(q_{exp}-q_{cal})^2}{q_{cal}}$ |

**Kinetic Models (nonlinear)**

|      | General order | $q_t = q_e - \frac{q_e}{k_1(n+1)} (1 - n)$ |
|------|--------------|----------------------------------------|
|      | Pseudo-first order | $\log(q_e - q_t) = \log \frac{k_1}{b} + \frac{t}{b}$ |
|      | Pseudo-second order | $\left(\frac{q_e}{qe}\right) = \frac{1}{k_2t} + \frac{1}{qe}$ |

**Diffusion studies**

|      | Intra-particle diffusion model | $q_t = k_d t^{0.5} + C_i$ |
|------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|      | Particle diffusion model | $ln\left(1 - \frac{C_t}{C_i}\right) = -k_p t$ |

**Adsorption isotherms**

|      | Langmuir | $q_t = \frac{q_e \times K_L \times C_i}{1 + K_L \times C_i}$ |
|------|----------|---------------------------------------------------------|
|      | Freundlich | $q_t = K_F \times C_i^{1/n_F}$ |
|      | Redlich-Peterson | $q_t = \frac{K_F \times C_i}{1 + K_F \times C_i}$ |

**Thermodynamic models**

|      | Gibbs free energy (KJ mol$^{-1}$) | $\Delta G = -RT ln K_C$ |
|------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
|      | Equilibrium constant | $nK_C = \left(\frac{\Delta F^0}{R}\right) = \left(\frac{\Delta H^0}{RT}\right)$ |
|      | Arrhenius equation | $\ln K_C = \ln nA - \frac{E_a}{RT}$ |
|      | Modified Arrhenius equation | $\ln \left(1 - \frac{\theta}{C_i}\right) = \ln S^* + \frac{E_a}{RT}$ |

$C_i$ (mg L$^{-1}$), Initial adsorbate concentration; $C_t$ (mg L$^{-1}$), Adsorbate concentrations at time $t$; $C_e$ (mg L$^{-1}$), Adsorbate concentrations at equilibrium; $V$ (L), Volume of the solution; $W$ (g), Weight of the adsorbent used; $q_{exp}$ (mg g$^{-1}$), Experimental equilibrium capacity; $q_{cal}$ (mg g$^{-1}$), Theoretical equilibrium capacity; $q_e$ (mg g$^{-1}$), Amount of adsorbent at equilibrium; $q_t$ (mg g$^{-1}$), Amount of adsorbent at time $t$ (min); $k_1$ (min$^{-1}$ (g mg$^{-1}$)$^{n-1}$), Rate constants for general order; $k_1$ (min$^{-1}$), Rate constants for pseudo-first order; $k_2$ (g mg$^{-1}$ min$^{-0.5}$), Rate constant for pseudo-second order; $k_d$ (mg g$^{-1}$ min$^{-0.5}$), Rate parameter of stage I; $C_i$, Thickness of boundary layer; $q_m$ (mg g$^{-1}$) is the maximum adsorption capacity. $K_L$ (L mg$^{-1}$) and $K_F$ (mg $L^{-1}$) are Langmuir and Freundlich constants related to rate of adsorption; $nF$ is the Freundlich dimensionless exponent. $K_RP$ (L g$^{-1}$) and $aRP$ (mg L$^{-1}$)$^{-g}$ are R-P constants while $g$ is R-P exponent ($0 < g \leq 1$). $K_r$ is equilibrium constant $= C_i / C_e$ where $C_i$ (mg L$^{-1}$) is equilibrium concentration of adsorbent, $C_e$ (mg L$^{-1}$) equilibrium concentration in solution, $R$ (J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$) is ideal gas constant and $T$ (K) is temperature; $E_a$ = activation energy; $A$ = frequency factor; $S^*$ = sticking probability, $\theta$ = surface coverage and is equal to $[1-(C_r/C_i)]$

**Powder XRD**

Iron-doped Powder XRD spectra of the charcoal is presented in Fig. 4. The overall amorphous nature of sample prepared is indicated with appearance of few broadened peaks at 2$\theta$ values of 20.9°, 26.7°, 36.6°, 42.4°, 50.2°, 60.1°, 67.8° and 75.5°. The peak appearing at 26.7° is typical of activated carbon as reported by previous studies (Xie et al. 2014) and showed matching with Quartz JCPD 98-008-3849 as shown in Fig. 4 (vertical spark lines).

Previous studies have also reported the presence of silicates in the biochar (Qian et al. 2017). The doping with iron

**Table 3  Seasonal concentration of As$^{3+}$ and F$^{-}$ in water samples**

| Sample ID | Min | Max | Avg | SD |
|-----------|-----|-----|-----|----|
|          | As$^{3+}$ (mg L$^{-1}$) | Fluoride (mg L$^{-1}$) |
| Summer   | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.06 |
| Rainy    | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| Winter   | 0.12 | 0.02 | 0.04 |
|           | 409.10 | 57.61 | 125.24 |
| Rainy    | 1.20 | 227.90 | 28.21 | 70.29 |
| Winter   | 2.70 | 4.50 | 3.27 | 0.50 |

*Below detection limits: Detection limits: As$^{3+}$ = 0.005 mg L$^{-1}$; F$^{-}$ = 0 (ion concentration range 0.01–19,999 mg L$^{-1}$)
Fig. 2  FTIR spectra of virgin Fe-KWC (a) and Spent Fe-KWC after adsorption of Arsenic (b) and Fluoride (c)

Fig. 3  SEM image of Fe-KWC showing smooth doping of iron nanoparticles on to KWC porous structure at resolutions of a 10 µm and b 100 µm
NPs results in appearance of small peaks at 45.8° and 65.8° matching well with the zero-valent iron body centered cubic structure denoting hkl values of 110 and 200 (Singhal et al. 2012; Shi et al. 2011; Sun et al. 2006) which are different from the ones shown by iron oxides (Sun et al. 2006). The smaller size of nanoparticles prepared is also indicated by boarding of peaks and amorphous nature of spectra.

**Adsorption studies**

**Effect of contact time, adsorption kinetics and diffusion models**

Adsorption of both $F^-$ and $As^{3+}$ as a function of contact time were carried out at room temperature; the results of which are presented in Fig. 5 for time span of 120 min. Adsorption equilibrium was achieved in 15 min for the $F^-$, while for $As^{3+}$ it was attained in 20 min.

The data obtained were fitted to kinetic models (general, pseudo-first and pseudo-second orders) in their nonlinear form, respectively. The pseudo-first order model holds good for high concentration of adsorbates while the pseudo-second order model fits well to low concentrations (Azizian 2004). When adsorption is based on diffusion via interface, the kinetics is best explained by the pseudo-first order while when chemisorption takes place pseudo-second order model defines the process (Sahoo and Prelot 2020). In cases where the change can occur from one rate order to another over the course of adsorption; in such cases general order model best describes the adsorption process (Lima et al. 2015). The results of model application are presented in Fig. 5, while the associated parameters determined in each case are given in Table 4. The best-fitting model as judged on basis of high $R^2$ value, smaller SD and reduced $\chi^2$ value which clearly shows that general order model holds good in case $As^{3+}$ and pseudo-first order fits well in case of $F^-$ when compared with rest of the models. In the previously reported
studies, adsorption of both F⁻ and As⁵⁺ onto goethite was best explained by pseudo-second order (Tang et al. 2010).

Particle and intra-particle diffusion models are also applied to the experimental data to get insight into adsorption retention process that if it is governed by particle or pore diffusion which is determined from the higher $R^2$ value to the models applied. The intra-particle diffusion model (Weber and Morris plot) applied to full range studied and showed nonlinear dependence fitting into three steps (Fig. 6) in both the cases, i.e., fluoride and arsenic indicating that adsorption is controlled by multiple phenomena starting with quick initial adsorption followed by slow adsorption rate near equilibrium and almost plateau behavior due to transportation of adsorbate into the adsorbent pores. The intra-particle diffusion model fits well considering the $R^2$ value as compared to the diffusion model (i.e., Chanda model) for F⁻ and As³⁺. The porous structure of the nano-adsorbent based on charcoal is well in line with the model fitting results.

### Effect of temperature and thermodynamic studies

For determination of the effect of temperature on the adsorption capacity of the prepared nano-adsorbent (Fe-KWC); experiments were performed at varying temperature from 40 to 100 °C (Fig. 7a). Figure 7a showed that as the temperature increase, adsorption of both As³⁺ and F⁻ increase slightly pointing to endothermic nature of the process. There is small increase in $q_e$ value, i.e., 20.0 to 20.9 mg g⁻¹ for As³⁺ and 38.8 to 42.2 mg g⁻¹ for F⁻; hence, all the further studies were performed at room temperature.

The data were subjected to thermodynamic models (Fig. 7b, c) to calculate ΔH°, ΔS°, ΔG° and Ea from fitting parameters. The values of these, tabulated in Table 5, revealed about the adsorption process being spontaneous (− ΔG°) and endothermic (− ΔH°). The positive value of (+ ΔS°) also denotes randomness in the system because of solid–liquid interaction (Batool et al. 2018).

### Effect of pH and adsorbent dose

The effect of initial pH on the adsorption capacity of Fe-KWC was also studied, and it was observed that at acidic pH value both arsenic and fluoride showed good appreciable adsorption on the surface of Fe-KWC (Fig. 8). At pH 3 Fe-KWC showed 20.4 mg g⁻¹ and 59.3 mg g⁻¹ adsorption capacity for arsenic and fluoride, respectively. Above that the adsorption decrease with increase in pH value; hence, further studies were performed at pH 3.

Adsorbent dose has also shown high impact on the adsorption; generally with increase in adsorbent dose adsorption capacity decrease till it attains equilibrium. In both the cases the equilibrium is attained by using 0.06 g adsorbent, and hence, the same dose was used for performing further studies (Fig. 8). Earlier studies have also pointed to the fact that at acidic pH values the adsorption of both F⁻ and As⁵⁺ is higher and decrease with increase in pH owing to the decrease in protonated surface sites (Tang et al. 2010; Li et al. 2011). On contrary in the case of aluminum oxide/hydroxide nanoparticles, the maximum adsorption of F⁻ occurred at pH 7 while that of As³⁺ occurs in same pH as reported in present studies, i.e., 4 (Rathore and Mondal 2017).

### Table 4 Adsorption kinetics and goodness to fit parameters for F⁻ and As³⁺ adsorption on nano-adsorbent

| Model            | Parameter   | Value (F) | Value (As) |
|------------------|-------------|-----------|------------|
| General order    | $q_{e,exp}$ (mg g⁻¹) | 35.95     | 18.62      |
|                  | $k$ (min⁻¹)     | 0.188     | 0.081      |
|                  | $q_{e,cal}$ (mg g⁻¹) | 39.44     | 19.31      |
|                  | $R^2$           | 0.9611    | 0.9906     |
|                  | SD              | 1.92      | 0.364      |
|                  | Reduced-χ²      | 3.96      | 0.133      |
| Pseudo-first order | $q_{e,exp}$ (mg g⁻¹) | 35.95     | 18.62      |
|                  | $k_1$ (min⁻¹)   | 0.158     | 0.214      |
|                  | $q_{e,cal}$ (mg g⁻¹) | 39.54     | 18.90      |
|                  | $R^2$           | 0.9639    | 0.9758     |
|                  | SD              | 1.915     | 0.585      |
|                  | Reduced-χ²      | 3.67      | 0.342      |
| Pseudo-second order | $q_{e,exp}$ (mg g⁻¹) | 35.95     | 18.62      |
|                  | $k_2$ (min⁻¹)   | 0.005     | 0.016      |
|                  | $q_{e,cal}$ (mg g⁻¹) | 43.42     | 20.304     |
|                  | $R^2$           | 0.9299    | 0.9756     |
|                  | SD              | 2.66      | 0.587      |
|                  | Reduced-χ²      | 7.120     | 0.342      |
| Particle diffusion | $k_p$ (mg g⁻¹ min⁻¹) | -2.076    | -1.407     |
|                  | $R^2$           | 0.9263    | 0.8685     |
|                  | $k_d$ (mg g⁻¹ min⁻¹) | -0.572    | -0.621     |
|                  | $R^2$           | 0.9575    | 0.9825     |
|                  | $k_p$ (mg g⁻¹ min⁻¹) | -0.292    |            |
|                  | $R^2$           | 2.66      |            |
|                  | Reduced-χ²      | 0.8241    |            |
| Intra-particle diffusion | $k_{id}$ (mg g⁻¹ min⁻⁰.⁵) | 14.807    | 5.93       |
|                  | $C_{id}$ (mg g⁻¹) | -12.351   | -0.95      |
|                  | $R^2$           | 0.9907    | 0.9693     |
|                  | $k_{id}$ (mg g⁻¹ min⁻⁰.⁵) | 0.832     | 1.239      |
|                  | $C_{id}$ (mg g⁻¹) | 32.637    | 11.893     |
|                  | $R^2$           | 0.9533    | 0.9923     |
|                  | $k_{id}$ (mg g⁻¹ min⁻⁰.⁵) | 0.965     | 0.129      |
|                  | $C_{id}$ (mg g⁻¹) | 31.179    | 17.965     |
|                  | $R^2$           | 0.9266    | 0.9603     |
Effect of adsorbate initial concentration and adsorption isotherms

For determination of optimal amount of adsorbate that can be removed effectively, adsorption capacity was checked by employing various concentrations of arsenic (50–250 mg L⁻¹) and fluoride (100–400 mg L⁻¹) at room temperature and pH 3 with 0.06 g nano-adsorbent. In both cases incremental increase in $q_t$ (mg g⁻¹) with escalating concentration was observed till it achieves the equilibrium (Fig. 9). The application of three adsorption isotherms (Langmuir, Freundlich and R-P) on experimental points were done, and it was clear from fitting parameters (Table 6) that the best-fit model for $F^-$ is R-P and for $As^{3+}$ it is Freundlich. The best-fit model was decided on basis of highest R², lowest reduced $\chi^2$ and smallest SD value. The $q_m$ as obtained from the fitting comes out to be 342.8 and 159.5 mg g⁻¹, respectively, for $F^-$ and $As^{3+}$ in just 15–20 min.

As demonstrated by Rathore et al. (2017), adsorption of $As^{3+}$ and $F^-$ on aluminum oxide/hydroxide nanoparticles obeyed Langmuir adsorption model with maximum adsorption capacity of 833.33 µg g⁻¹ and 2000 µg g⁻¹ for $As^{3+}$ and $F^-$, respectively, at optimized conditions. For the studies conducted to investigate the potential of Nickel/polypyrrole (Ni/PPy) nanocomposites toward $As^{3+}$ and $F^-$ removal from polluted water showed much lower adsorption capacities for $As^{3+}$ and $F^-$, i.e., 2.64 and 67.71 mg g⁻¹, respectively, as obtained from Langmuir adsorption model fitting (Srivastava et al. 2016).

Fig. 6 Plot showing implication of diffusion models, i.e., Weber and Moris plot to fluoride (a) and arsenic (b) and Chanda plots to fluoride (c) and arsenic (d)
FTIR studies of spent Fe-KWC

The FTIR spectra of spent Fe-KWC is given in Fig. 2b, c which shows the shifting of peak from 1631.49 to 1633.21 cm⁻¹ owing to attach bonding of As and F¹⁻. Similarly, the peak shifting of esteric group is observed from 1383.58 to 1383.21 cm⁻¹ along with reduction in intensity of the peak at 1112.79 cm⁻¹ (Yu et al. 2010).

Table 5 Activation and thermodynamic parameters’ values for adsorption of F⁻ and As³⁺ onto Fe-KWC nano-adsorbent

| T (K) | Kc  | ΔG°kJ mol⁻¹ | ΔH°kJ mol⁻¹ | ΔS°kJ mol⁻¹ | E_a kJ mol⁻¹ | S* kJ mol⁻¹ |
|------|-----|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| Fluoride |
| 313  | 1.635 | −1.279 | | | | |
| 323  | 1.773 | −1.538 | | | | |
| 333  | 1.894 | −1.687 | | | | |
| 343  | 1.922 | −1.821 | −3.608 | 0.016 | 6.901 | −0.20 |
| 353  | 1.988 | −1.917 | | | | |
| 363  | 2.076 | −2.074 | | | | |
| 373  | 0.477 | −2.264 | | | | |
| Arsenic |
| 313  | 1.386 | −3.608 | | | | |
| 323  | 1.465 | −3.933 | | | | |
| 333  | 1.492 | −4.130 | | | | |
| 343  | 1.538 | −4.385 | −4.419 | 0.0257 | 0.0413 | −2.9e-3 |
| 353  | 1.590 | −4.666 | | | | |
| 363  | 1.622 | −4.894 | | | | |
| 373  | 1.649 | −5.114 | | | | |

Fig. 7 Plots showing a effect of temperature on adsorption capacity of Fe-KWC b plot of lnKc vs T⁻¹ and c plot of ln(1−θ)versus T⁻¹ for Fluoride (black-shaded square) and arsenic (blue-shaded circle)
Fig. 8 Plots showing effect of pH and adsorbent dose on adsorption capacity of Fe-KWC for fluoride (black-shaded square) and arsenic (blue-shaded circle).

Fig. 9 Fitting of adsorption models for adsorption of fluoride (a) and Arsenic (b) on Fe-KWC.
After optimization of experimental parameters (time: 30 min, pH: 3; temperature: 40 °C, dose: 0.1 g, concentration: 100 mg L\(^{-1}\)), actual drinking water samples which exceeded MCL were subjected to treatment with Fe-KWC. The results of which are given in Fig. 10. Results, with the exception of few samples, indicate a high percentage removal for both F\(^{-}\) and As\(^{3+/5+}\). It can be observed that in all the samples the percentage removal for F\(^{-}\) falls between 81 and 100% while for As\(^{3+/5+}\) removal efficiency varied between 13 and 100%.

Whereas work by Rathore et al. (2017) using aluminum oxide/ hydroxide nano-adsorbents exhibited a higher, i.e., 98.6 percent removal efficiency of arsenic (initial concentration As: 512 µg L\(^{-1}\) while final concentration after treatment was 7 µg L\(^{-1}\)). Whereas, F\(^{-}\) removal efficiency was observed to be 83.3% (6300–1000 µg L\(^{-1}\) from real water samples under optimum conditions (i.e., pH = 7, adsorbent dose = 8 g L\(^{-1}\) and contact time = 300 min). In another similar undertaking, mesoporous alumina and Ca doped alumina exhibited selective outstanding defluoridation capacities up to 450 mg g\(^{-1}\) while arsenic removal efficiency reached above 90 percent (Li et al. 2011).

To statistically validate between the pre- and post-treatment concentrations of As\(^{3+}\) and F\(^{-}\), an independent sample \(t\) test was carried out using IBM SPSS version 20. Based on the results, it can be stated that there was a significant difference in mean As\(^{3+}\) and F\(^{-}\) values before and after treatment (\(t_{28.791} = 3.067, p < 0.001\) for As\(^{3+}\) and \(t_{27.008} = 1.913, p < 0.05\) for F\(^{-}\)). The average As\(^{3+}\) concentration after treatment was 27.357 units lesser than the average concentration before treatment, while average concentration of F\(^{-}\) after treatment was 31.036 units lesser as compared to the average concentration before treatment (Supplementary data Table S2).

### Conclusion

Fe-KWC nano-adsorbent offers a two-way approach toward safe environment. Firstly, it reduces impacts of As\(^{3+}\) and F\(^{-}\) on human health by removal of excessive arsenic and fluoride from drinking water. Secondly, Fe-KWC nano-adsorbent is user friendly, non-corrosive, less soluble, reusable and easy to handle which makes it safe to environment. Fe-KWC due to its small particle size has high capacity for removal of As\(^{3+}\) and F\(^{1-}\). The method used in this study for removal of arsenic and fluoride from

![Fig. 10](image-url)  
**Fig. 10** Removal percentage of Fluoride and Arsenic after treatment of real water samples with Fe-KWC

| Model  | Parameter                      | Value (F) | Value (As) |
|--------|--------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Langmuir | \(K_L\) (L mg\(^{-1}\)) | 0.001     | 0.003      |
|        | \(q_m\) (mg g\(^{-1}\))     | 342.79    | 159.49     |
|        | \(R_{adj}^2\)                | 0.994     | 0.989      |
|        | \(SD\) (mg g\(^{-1}\))      | 2.050     | 1.555      |
|        | \(Reduced \chi^2\)          | 4.203     | 2.418      |
| Freundlich | \(K_F\) (mg g\(^{-1}\) (mg L\(^{-1}\))\(^{-1/n_F}\)) | 1.452     | 1.139      |
|        | \(n_F\)                      | 1.339     | 1.373      |
|        | \(R_{adj}^2\)                | 0.989     | 0.992      |
|        | \(SD\) (mg g\(^{-1}\))      | 2.921     | 1.309      |
|        | \(Reduced \chi^2\)          | 8.535     | 1.714      |
| R-P    | \(K_{RF}\) (L g\(^{-1}\))   | 0.441     | 3.372      |
|        | \(a_{RF}\) (mg L\(^{-1}\))  | 4.6 × 10\(^{-5}\) | 2.561      |
|        | \(G\)                        | 1.52      | 0.299      |
|        | \(R_{adj}^2\)                | 0.995     | 0.990      |
|        | \(SD\) (mg g\(^{-1}\))      | 2.044     | 1.434      |
|        | \(Reduced \chi^2\)          | 4.180     | 2.056      |

### Water samples analysis and their adsorption studies

| Model  | Parameter                      | Value (F) | Value (As) |
|--------|--------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Langmuir | \(K_L\) (L mg\(^{-1}\)) | 0.001     | 0.003      |
|        | \(q_m\) (mg g\(^{-1}\))     | 342.79    | 159.49     |
|        | \(R_{adj}^2\)                | 0.994     | 0.989      |
|        | \(SD\) (mg g\(^{-1}\))      | 2.050     | 1.555      |
|        | \(Reduced \chi^2\)          | 4.203     | 2.418      |
| Freundlich | \(K_F\) (mg g\(^{-1}\) (mg L\(^{-1}\))\(^{-1/n_F}\)) | 1.452     | 1.139      |
|        | \(n_F\)                      | 1.339     | 1.373      |
|        | \(R_{adj}^2\)                | 0.989     | 0.992      |
|        | \(SD\) (mg g\(^{-1}\))      | 2.921     | 1.309      |
|        | \(Reduced \chi^2\)          | 8.535     | 1.714      |
| R-P    | \(K_{RF}\) (L g\(^{-1}\))   | 0.441     | 3.372      |
|        | \(a_{RF}\) (mg L\(^{-1}\))  | 4.6 × 10\(^{-5}\) | 2.561      |
|        | \(G\)                        | 1.52      | 0.299      |
|        | \(R_{adj}^2\)                | 0.995     | 0.990      |
|        | \(SD\) (mg g\(^{-1}\))      | 2.044     | 1.434      |
|        | \(Reduced \chi^2\)          | 4.180     | 2.056      |
drinking water is simple, less time consuming and can be used for treatment of water in Pakistan.
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