Forebrain Neural Precursor Cells Are Differentially Vulnerable to Zika Virus Infection
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Abstract

Prenatal exposure to Zika virus (ZIKV) can result in microencephaly and congenital Zika syndrome, although some brain cells and structures are spared by the virus for unknown reasons. Here, a novel murine model of fetal ZIKV infection incorporating intraventricular infection and cell type-specific in utero electroporation (IUE) was used to identify the time course of ZIKV infection and to determine the identity of cells that are initially infected or spared during neocortical neurogenesis. In vivo time course studies revealed the presence of ZIKV in apical radial glial cells (aRGCs) at early time points following virus exposure, while basal intermediate progenitor cells (bIPCs) became maximally (ZIKV⁺) after 3 d of virus exposure. ZIKV-infected fetal brains exhibited microencephaly as early as 1 d following infection, regardless of developmental age. This change in brain size was caused in part by apoptosis and reduced proliferation that persisted until birth. While 60% of aRGC basal fibers were perturbed during infection, 40% retained normal morphology, indicating that aRGCs are not uniformly vulnerable to ZIKV infection. To investigate this heterogeneous vulnerability, we performed genetic fate mapping using cell type-specific probes derived from a mouse embryonic day (E)15.5 neocortical wall single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNAseq) dataset. The results indicate that one class of aRGCs preferentially express the putative ZIKV entry receptor AXL and that these cells are more vulnerable to ZIKV infection than other aRGC subtypes with low AXL expression. Together, these data uncover crucial temporal and cellular details of ZIKV fetal brain infection for prevention strategies and for management of congenital Zika syndrome.
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Significance Statement

The transcriptional signatures of neural precursor cells (NPCs) were used for the first time to test Zika virus (ZIKV) susceptibility in a direct fetal brain infection model. This novel methodology allowed for elucidation of time point-specific differences in NPC susceptibility that have been debated in the field. Additionally, elucidation of cell morphologic features using in utero electroporation (IUE) revealed substantial but incomplete interruption of basal fibers, a finding that implies interference with neuronal migration. The model presented here, allows for assessment of prenatal development after exposure to a variety of viruses. The improved specificity of apical radial glial cell (aRGC) labeling afforded by the cell-specific labeling tools uncover functional differences between aRGC types that will have important implications for children exposed to ZIKV as well as for understanding corticogenesis.
**Introduction**

The 2015 Brazilian Zika virus (ZIKV) outbreak has been associated with an estimated 20-fold increase in cases of microcephaly in newborns (Center for Disease Control, 2017). Despite the strong association between prenatal ZIKV exposure and the devastating effects of microcephaly, there are no available treatments or approved vaccines for ZIKV despite the rapid response by the biomedical community (CDC, 2019). Greater understanding of the mechanisms underlying ZIKV-induced microcephaly is needed to aid in the development of therapeutic strategies. In particular, the infection route and cellular mechanisms contributing to ZIKV-induced microcephaly are still unclear. The possibility that cells are not uniformly affected by virus exposure may lead to new therapies or prevention strategies.

Various approaches have been used to understand the mechanisms behind ZIKV-induced microencephaly, including cell culture, organoids, slice culture, and animal models (Haubensak et al., 2004; Bhattacharyya et al., 2013; Gadea et al., 2016; Lazear et al., 2016; Miner and Diamond, 2016; Nowakowski et al., 2016; Onorati et al., 2016; Shao et al., 2016; Tang et al., 2016; Dudley et al., 2017; Meertens et al., 2017; Rosenfeld et al., 2017; Vanwalscappel et al., 2018; Politi et al., 2020). Major obstacles for model development have included the differences in immune responses between humans and rodents. While ZIKV exhibits tropism in human maternal and fetal epithelium during gestation, the maternal immune system and placental barrier in mice prevents fetal infection (Lazear et al., 2016). Interferon knock-out mice allow maternal-fetal transmission of ZIKV, thus serving as a potential system of study (Lazear et al., 2016). A challenge of this model is that the loss of interferon likely alters the natural progression of infection, cell death, and proliferation in the central nervous system, impairing the translatable findings from these models (Bhattacharyya et al., 2013; Meertens et al., 2017; Vanwalscappel et al., 2018). Studies using 1D, 2D, and 3D stem cell cultures have demonstrated key aspects of ZIKV infection, including increased cell death and decreased proliferation, but these methods do not contain the full repertoire of cell types or developmental processes necessary for proper brain development (Gadea et al., 2016; Miner and Diamond, 2016; Tang et al., 2016; Meertens et al., 2017). Similarly, while assessments using in utero electroporation (IUE) have identified morphologic changes to precursors and neurons in response to ZIKV, they have not reached cell type-specific resolution (Rosenfeld et al., 2017). Several other studies have been performed with inactivated ZIKV or with one of the first available strains of the virus, MR-766 (Gadea et al., 2016; Tang et al., 2016). Conclusions from these studies exhibited lack of viral transmission and attenuating mutations within research stocks of MR-766. To overcome these obstacles, we sought to improve on the IUE infection model that circumvents the dam’s immune defenses, permitting study of infection from a contemporary strain of ZIKV over the period of cortical development in utero.

We have combined this direct brain infection model with cell type-specific methods to determine which groups of neural precursor cells (NPCs) are most susceptible to prenatal ZIKV exposure. In the mouse neocortex, all lineages of neurons are born between embryonic day (E)11 and E17 from a diverse population of precursor cell types. These neurons are generated either directly or indirectly from a secondary progenitor. This period of cortical expansion is essential to brain development, and the ratio of direct and indirect neurogenesis has a large impact on the eventual size and neuronal complexity of the neocortex (Rakic, 1987, 1988, 1995). Thus, viral effects on individual NPC populations could result in smaller populations of their specific neuronal progeny, resulting in microencephaly and dysgenesis of particular cell populations in the cortical architecture. Previous studies have shown that apical radial glial cells (aRGCs) are preferentially targeted by ZIKV while others have suggested that basal intermediate progenitor cells (bIPCs) are the target of infection (Onorati et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2017). Emerging data on differences between progenitor cell groups might be crucial in elucidating nuanced mechanisms of ZIKV infection and consequent changes in neuronal output. NPCs can be categorized into sub-classes by transcriptional profile, morphology, and location within the ventricular zone (VZ) and sub-VZ (SVZ; Fig. 1A; Rakic, 1972; Hartfuss et al., 2001; Tamamaki et al., 2001; Holsapple et al., 2003; Haubensak et al., 2004; Miyata et al., 2004; Noctor et al., 2004; Englund et al., 2005; Tyler et al., 2015; Tasic et al., 2018; Hodge et al., 2019; Heavner et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020). Recent advancements in single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNAseq) provide the opportunity to study the heterogeneity of NPCs and their daughter cells at new levels of resolution. We sought to make use of these transcriptomic distinctions between NPCs to determine the cell type-specific infection profile of ZIKV over time in vivo.

We therefore developed a method to directly infect the telencephalon of a non-immunocompromised mouse model with a contemporary strain and physiological titer of active ZIKV with low passage number. To study sub-types of NPCs in the infected developing brain, we performed IUE during ZIKV exposure (Fig. 1B). This technique enabled us to study the infection process in apical precursors (aRGCs, apical IPCs) and basal precursors (bIPCs and basal RGCs) over time in vivo (Tyler et al., 2015). These findings demonstrate the effective use of a novel in vivo method for studying the effects of ZIKV infection on the developing brain, and they
provide new insight into corticogenesis in children affected by virus-induced microencephaly.

**Materials and Methods**

All materials and supplies are listed in Table 2 and all statistical results are presented in Table 3.

**ZIKV production**

Vero E6 cells were maintained and passaged in cMEM [MEM (Invitrogen) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (Sigma Life Science)]. The ZIKV PRVABC59 (2015 Puerto Rico strain, GenBank KZ087101.2) was obtained from BEI resources. Master stocks were prepared from supernatants of Vero E6 cells infected at low MOI as published in Rossignol et al. (2017).

**Animals and IUE**

IUE was performed on timed pregnant CD-1 dams purchased from Charles River Laboratories. Dams were separated into individual cages on arrival to prevent overcrowding. Animals were allowed to rest for 72 h in standard cages with huts and a ZT12. Dams were anesthetized via intraperitoneal injection of a ketamine/xylazine mixture (10 mg/kg), and the uterine horns were exposed by midline laparotomy. One to two microliters of plasmid DNA mixed with 0.1% fast green dye (Sigma-Aldrich) was injected intracerebrally, through the uterine wall and

---

Image Caption:

**Figure 1.** Intraventricular ZIKV model and genetic fate mapping approach. **A**, Each NPC of the cerebral cortex has a unique transcriptional profile, morphology, and location of mitosis. **B**, A total of 10,000 FFU ZIKV or saline, and cell type-specific plasmid DNA are injected into the lateral ventricle. **C**, IUE and ZIKV infection experiments include collection on E13.5–E18.5 encompassing early, mid, and late neurogenesis. The blue arrows denote the temporal infection-collection plan for the E12.5 experiments for example.
amniotic sac, via a pulled glass micropipette. Cre and reporter plasmid DNA was mixed at a 1:1 ratio by copy number, and the final concentration of each plasmid was between 2 and 3 μg/μl. The anode of a Tweezertrode (Harvard Apparatus) was placed over the dorsal telencephalon above the uterine muscle, and four 35-V pulses (50-ms duration separated by a 950-ms interval) were applied with a BTX ECM830 pulse generator (Harvard Apparatus). Following electroporation, the uterine horns were returned to the abdomen and the cavity was filled with a warm 0.9% sterile saline solution. The incisions were closed with silk or absorbable sutures depending on the duration of the experiment. Dams were then placed in a clean cage and monitored closely during recovery. After either 24 or 48 h mice were killed with CO₂ asphyxiation and cervical dislocation. Male and female pups were removed from the uterus and decapitated before drop fixation. All animal procedures were performed in accordance with the Boston University animal care committee’s regulations.

To model infection of a contemporary ZIKV in fetal brain, we chose to directly inject the PRVAB59 strain into the lateral ventricle of prenatal mice in utero. The concentration was determined after initial tests of infectability at concentrations ranging from 1000 to 12,000 FFU. We used the lowest concentration able to cause reliable infection to better model the expected viral load that would be transmitted by infected mothers to human fetuses. The average bolus from the Aedes aegypti mosquito is 10⁴–10⁵ FFU. Some of that bolus is cleared from the maternal blood stream before infecting a fetus, making the expected titer around 10¹⁻³ FFU (Dudley et al., 2017). 10¹³ FFU was sufficient to cause infection in mice without causing large scale cell death. Antibodies to ZIKV envelope protein resulted in false positives in western blot, therefore antibody against non-structural protein 2b (NS2B) was used for all experiments. To infect embryos at the time of IUE, 10³ FFU was added to the plasmid DNA and fast green dye cocktail in place of saline. Embryos in one uterine horn were injected with plasmid DNA cocktail containing virus and embryos in the contralateral uterine horn were injected with plasmid DNA cocktail containing saline to provide an age-matched vehicle control. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) against ZIKV and RT-qPCR were conducted to ensure that ZIKV did not infect the control embryos. These procedures were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the Boston University.

Cloning
The cloning into the recipient Cre recombinase vector was done using In-Fusion technology and the backbone vector carrying Cre was amplified using primers forward, CTCCGTCCGCCGTCAGGGGCCAGAGCC; reverse, GC TCTGCCCCCTCGAGGGCAGGGAGAACGG; forward, GG GAATTGGTGACCTTTGCTTCAGGTTGTA; reverse, CCCTAGCAACCGAAGCCGCTATC. The 3.2-kb Robo4 promoter (Okada et al., 2015) was amplified with the same overlapping ends using forward, GCCGAATTTGTTAC CCATGCATTGGAGTTTCCCATGTCCT and reverse, GC TCTGCCCCCTCGAGGGGCTGCTGCTGCT. The 424-bp MFAP2 promoter (add 16321658) was amplified in the same way using primers forward, GCCGAATTTGTTACC AACTCGATTCCTGAATCTGGCT; reverse, GCC GAATTGGTACACACTCGATTCCTCCATTACTTGCT.

Amplified DNA for the inserts and the vector was purified with a NucleoSpin PCR Clean-Up kit (TakaraBio) for selection by growth on LB Amp agar plates. Cloning was confirmed by DNA sequencing.

RT-qPCR
RNA was extracted from flash frozen tissue with a QIAGEN RNeasy kit per manufacturer recommendations. Quantitative RT-qPCR was conducted with a Roche one-step SYBR ZsGreen kit. Primers used were ZIKV forward, AARTACACATACCARAACAAAGTGGT and ZIKV reverse, TCCRCTCCCYTGYTGGTCTTG.

Lipophilic staining
Dil (1 mg/ml) was dissolved in 100% ethanol and allowed to air dry on a Petri dish until crystals formed (~1 h). Meninges were removed from brains that were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) overnight. The dorsal surface of the brain was rolled over the bed of Dil crystals to create a uniform coating. The labeled brains were stored in 0.02% sodium azide in PBS, light protected at room temperature for two weeks. Brains were mounted in 4% agarose and cut into 80-µm sections using a HM 6 d postinfection (DPI), and the heads were fixed overnight in 4% PFA, cryoprotected in 30% sucrose for 24–48 h, and frozen in OCT compound in tissue molds with an ethanol/dry ice bath. Frozen tissue was cut into 16-µm sections using a HM 550 Cryostat cryostat and mounted and dried onto super-frost slides. Before staining, frozen sections were air dried for 1 h and washed three times in PBS for 5 min each. Sections were then blocked in diluent (5% goat serum, 0.3% Triton X-100, 1× PBS) for 1 h at room temperature.

Incubation with primary antibodies, mouse anti-Ki67 (1:250, Thermofisher), rabbit anti-phospho-histone H3 (PH3; 1:300, Millipore), rabbit-Trbr2 (1:500, Millipore), goat anti-Sox2 (1:250, Santa Cruz), rabbit-NS2B (1:250,
Genetex), and rabbit anti-cleaved caspase 3 (CC3; 1:250, Cell Signaling) were performed overnight at room temperature. Following three 5-min washes in PBS, sections were incubated for 2 h at room temperature in diluent containing the appropriate Alexa Fluor-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:500 for all). Sections were washed an additional three times for 5 min and stained with Hoechst at 1:1000 in PBS for 10 min. Slides were washed with 1× PBS three times for 5 min and coverslipped with fluoromount-G. The 20× Z-stack images (20 μm) were acquired using an upright Zeiss LSM 710 microscope and positive cells from n = 4 brains were identified and counted using LSM image browser software.

ZsGreen+ and mCherry+ cells from electroporation were manually scored and counted using the LSM image browser software. Cells were classified as “ZsGreen+” if they expressed ZsGreen but contained no signal for mCherry. Correspondingly, cells were classified “mCherry+” if they contained mCherry signal, whether or not they were also ZsGreen+ because of perdurance of the fluorescent protein, remaining copies of unrecombined reporter plasmid, or because of the presence of a subpopulation of precursors transitioning from an apical to a basal fate.

Fluorescent in situ hybridization

Fluorescent in situ hybridization was performed using the RNAscope (ACDbio) protocol for fixed frozen sections with the modification of using 100% methanol with 3% hydrogen peroxide rather than using 100% peroxide to preserve the integrity of the tissue. The protocol can be found on the ACDbio webpage (https://acdbio.com/technical-support/user-manuals) under user manual document number 320293-USM. Cells were counted as being positive if they had two or more puncta co-localized to the nucleus labeled with Hoechst. The 20× Z-stack images (20 μm) were acquired using an upright Zeiss LSM 710 microscope and positive cells from n = 4 brains were identified and counted using LSM image browser software.

Analysis of differentially expressed genes from scRNAseq data

Genes that had high expression in only one group and that were expressed in the majority of cells within a group were then assessed for location of gene expression. The online Allen Brain Atlas was used to investigate in situ hybridization of genes of interest to verify expression in the VZ of developing mouse brain (Allen Brain Developing Mouse Brain Atlas, http://developingmouse.brain-map.org). From there, a short list was generated of genes that showed a significant difference in gene expression between aRGC groups 1 and 2, that had high expression in many cells within their cluster and showed gene expression localized to the VZ of the developing murine cortex. Markers who showed expression in cell types other than aRGCs and that were expressed outside of the VZ at E15.5 were excluded. Additionally, the find markers algorithm in the Seurat package offered in R was used to corroborate this list. Only the two most specific markers were used for each aRGC group.

Statistics

A two-way Student’s t test was run for the log fold change of each gene in aRGC groups 1 and 2 to compare differential gene expression between the two groups. Histograms of z scores were generated for genes that reached significance. Genes that had high expression in only one group and that were expressed in the majority of cells within a group were then assessed for location of gene expression. These top candidate markers were secondarily validated with the Seurat Find Markers algorithm.

Cell counts were analyzed in Microsoft excel and Prism using a two-way Student’s t tests with a p < 0.05 considered statistically significant when comparing control and infected samples. When comparing statistical differences among the means of two or more groups, a one-way ANOVA was performed in Prism with p < 0.05 considered statistically significant.

Results

Active ZIKV injection into the lateral ventricle of the prenatal mouse brain

To model infection of a contemporary ZIKV strain in the fetal brain, 10,000 FFU of the PRVAB59 strain was injected into the lateral ventricle of prenatal mice in utero. This concentration was determined after initial tests of infectability within a 5000–12,000 FFU range. We used the lowest concentration necessary to cause reliable infection to better model the expected viral load that would be transmitted by infected mothers to human fetuses. The average bolus from the A. aegypti mosquito is 101–3 FFU (Dudley et al., 2017). We found that 103 FFU was sufficient to cause reliable infection in the fetal mouse brain. IHC for NS2B was used to test ZIKV viral replication for all experiments. IHC using NS2B was employed to test for the presence of replicating ZIKV in control and infected embryos at various times following infection (Fig. 2). IHC (Fig. 2A–E) and RT-qPCR (Fig. 2F) studies were conducted to determine that the virus did not pass across the cervix to cause infection in the contralateral uterine horn, which was used for age-matched saline vehicle controls. Widespread infection was low at 1 DPI and steadily increased in the following days. By 6 DPI, most virus was present in the cortical neuron layers in the VZ, indicating that ZIKV had been cleared from the cerebral spinal fluid of the lateral ventricles and was replicating in cells located away from the ventricle by this time point (Fig. 2E).

Time course of ZIKV-induced microencephaly

To determine whether this mouse model of fetal ZIKV infection recapitulates the microencephaly phenotype found in humans and animal models, measurements of control and infected littermate brains were collected over time. In saline-infected or virus-infected littersmates, we calculated brain size rather than head circumference to use a more reliable and repeatable method of measuring microencephaly. Control and infected brains were
measured along the medial-lateral and rostral-caudal axes to assess the differences in forebrain size (Fig. 3A).

When fetuses were injected at E12.5, microencephaly (>2 SDs below the mean) was found at all examined time points (1–6 DPI; Fig. 3B,C). In fact, viral infection on E12.5, 13.5, 14.5, or 15.5 generated microencephaly quickly, as early as 1 DPI. These time points span neurogenesis and infection times analogous to the second trimester of human gestation. Surprisingly, the ZIKV-induced decrease in brain size was not dependent on the age of infection when testing 1 and 2 DPI infection at E12.5, E13.5, E14.5, and E15.5 (Fig. 3D–G). Additionally, cortical thickness, measured at each time point after E12.5 infection, was consistently decreased from 1DPI to birth (example images of vehicle control in Fig. 3H, infected in Fig. 3I, and analysis in Fig. 3J). The ~100-μm decrease in gross brain size was in line with the extent of reduction in cortical thickness, indicating that the decrease in brain size is primarily because of impaired cortical expansion (Fig. 3H,I).

To determine whether the observed decrease in cortical thickness was because of ZIKV-induced cell death, infected and control tissues were stained for CC3 to mark cells labeled for apoptosis. After 2 DPI of ZIKV exposure, there was a 29.3% increase in CC3\(^+\) apoptotic cells in the cortical wall, although the total number of apoptotic cells was still very low (Fig. 4A–C). Ki67 and PH3 were then used to assess changes in proliferation in infected and control brains. Decreased numbers of Ki67\(^+\) and PH3\(^+\) cells were found in the VZ of ZIKV-infected neocortex compared with saline-injected littermate controls (Fig. 4D–I). These findings indicate that the fetal ZIKV infection model resulted in increased cell death and a reduction in the number of proliferating NPCs during embryonic neural development. Both disruptions are likely to contribute to the observed microencephaly phenotype.

**Temporal infection of major precursor cell types**

To distinguish between apical and basal neocortical precursor cells, we used both immunophenotyping and genetic fate mapping approaches. *T-box brain protein 2* (Tbr2) was used as a cell lineage-specific promoter in combination with a Cre-recombinase-dependent dual color reporter. bIPCs were labeled with mCherry fluorescent protein, and non-Tbr2 lineage cells were labeled with ZsGreen fluorescent protein as previously shown by Tyler and Haydar (2013; Fig. 5A). In order to verify the results,
Figure 3. ZIKV decreased forebrain growth regardless of age of infection. Litters were injected at E12.5, E13.5, E14.5, and E15.5 and collected 24 h later. A, Micrographs of example vehicle and ZIKV-infected brain from litter-matched embryos at E14.5 (2 DPI). Medial-lateral (B) and rostral-caudal (C) brain measurements were significantly decreased after ZIKV infection starting at 1 DPI. The microencephaly phenotype was not dependent on developmental age in (D) medial-lateral measurements at 1 DPI or (E) rostral-caudal measurements. Brains injected at E12.5, E13.5, and E14.5 and collected 48 h later also showed the same microencephaly phenotype in (F) medial-lateral and (G) rostral-caudal measurements. H, Representative image of saline injected control neocortical wall collected at E13.5. I, Image of ZIKV injected neocortical wall from the contralateral uterine horn collected at E13.5 (1 DPI). J, Cortical thickness (micrometers from ventricle to pial surface) in 20 images of coronal brain sections. Control and infected brains were injected at E12.5 and collected 1–6 DPI. Statistical significance was calculated using unpaired t test (*p < 0.05) and error bars represent SE. An n of 4 was used for each condition.
we measured the compatibility of direct ZIKV infection with IUE labeling. We compared levels of viral infection and plasmid transfection between ZIKV, plasmid DNA, and a combination of the two. This assessed whether infectibility and the efficiency of these plasmid reporters were compromised by the combined approach. To account for variations in fetal development when comparing NPCs in infected and control mice, one uterine horn was injected with ZIKV/plasmid cocktail and the other was injected with plasmid cocktail and/or saline. IHC (Fig. 5B–F)

Figure 4. ZIKV infection increased cell death and reduced proliferation in the telencephalic germinal zones. A, CC3+ cells counted in the neocortical wall of the future somatosensory cortex in vehicle (n = 4) and infected (n = 4) tissue in 2 DPI (E14.5), 3 DPI (E15.5), and 4 DPI (E16.5) tissue. Statistical significance was calculated using unpaired t test (*p < 0.05) and error bars represent SE. B, E14.5 ZIKV-infected (2 DPI) cortical wall stained with CC3. C, E14.5 vehicle control cortical wall stained with CC3. D, Ki67+ average cell number counted in 100-μm bins (bin 1 closest to ventricle, bin 4 closest to pia) in embryos injected at E12.5 and collected at E14.5. Bins 1 and 2, which overlap most with the VZ and SVZ, have significant decreases in proliferating cells following ZIKV infection. E, Representative single plane image of control on left and (F) infected on right. G, PH3+ average cell number by bin. Bins 1 and 2, which overlap most with the VZ and SVZ, have significant decreases in proliferating cells following ZIKV infection. H, Representative single plane image of control on left and (I) infected on right (n = 4, 4). Cell numbers were counted in each single plane image of 20-μm z-stack images and summed for each bin. Statistical significance was calculated using unpaired t test (*p < 0.05) and error bars represent SE.
Figure 5. ZIKV causes a decrease in fate mapped apical precursor cell number. A, Somatosensory cortex in control and infected brains was transfected with Tbr2-Cre + CAG-StopLight at E13.5 and collected 48 h later (E15.5), labeling the apical progenitors in green and the basal Tbr2 lineage progenitors in red. B, 3 DPI (E15.5) infected tissue with and without (C) IUE show no difference in NS2B labeling. Scale bars: 50 µm. D, ZIKV RT-qPCR in IUE and non-IUE-infected brains across time show no difference in infection. E, Vehicle control electroporated with Tbr2-Cre and CAG-StopLight. Scale bar: 50 µm. F, 3 DPI (E15.5) infected brain electroporated with Tbr2-Cre and CAG-StopLight. Scale bar: 50 µm. G, The percentage of ZsGreen" cells in the total electroporated population were reduced in infected tissue compared with control (n = 4, 4). Statistical significance was calculated using unpaired t test (*p < 0.05) and error bars represent SE. H, Tbr2-Cre CAG-SL electroporated brains were collected after 48 h (IUE on E12.5). Control and infected brain sections were stained for PH3. The number of PH3" Tbr2 lineage (mCherry") cells was decreased by ZIKV infection. I, The number of PH3" non-Tbr2 lineage (ZsGreen") cells were decreased by ZIKV infection. E15.45 control and infected brain sections were immunostained for PH3 and Tbr2. J, The number of PH3", Tbr2" cells were decreased by ZIKV infection. K, The number of PH3", Sox2" cells was substantially decreased by ZIKV infection. Statistical significance was calculated using unpaired t test (*p < 0.05) and error bars represent SE. A sample size of four was used in each condition. L, Tbr2-StopLight fate mapping identifies temporal sequence of cell type infection. Brains were electroporated and co-infected with ZIKV at E12.5 and collected 1-6 DPI. Cell counts from confocal z-stacks indicate that ZsGreen" apical precursors are preferentially infected at 1 and 2 DPI. At 3 DPI, more mCherry" basal precursors are infected than apical precursors. Thereafter, infection decreased in both cell types (n = 4, 4). Statistical significance was calculated using unpaired t test (*p < 0.05) and error bars represent SE. M, Immunohistochemical staining for apical (Sox2) and basal (Tbr2) precursors demonstrates temporal spread of infection through the germinal zones. Brains were injected with ZIKV at E12.5 and collected 1-6 DPI. Results indicate that Sox2" apical precursors are preferentially infected at 1 and 2 DPI. At 3 DPI, more Tbr2" basal precursors are infected. In later time points, both cell types are infected equally (n = 4, 4). Statistical significance was calculated using unpaired t test (*p < 0.05) and error bars represent SE.
and RT-qPCR (Fig. 5D) demonstrated no crossover of virus from the infected side to the control side or into the dam’s blood. The validity of this approach was confirmed as it resulted in the same plasmid transfection efficiency and ZIKV infection dynamics when ZIKV and IUE were conducted simultaneously. This allowed for the analysis of red and green cell numbers in control and infected tissue. At 3 DPI, infected tissue had significantly decreased numbers of ZsGreen+ cells, suggesting that the apical population of NPCs may be preferentially targeted by ZIKV (Fig. 5G).

To assess whether ZIKV affected the proliferative capabilities of specific cell types, E12.5 embryos were infected with ZIKV and electroporated with Tbr2-Cre and CAG-StopLight before collection 48 h later. We found an 18.2% (Fig. 5H) decrease in mCherry/Ph3+ and a 40% decrease in the number of ZsGreen+/Ph3+ co-labeled cells in infected tissue compared with controls (Fig. 5I). To confirm that aRGCs were more affected than bIPCs at 2 DPI, non-electroporated ZIKV-infected brain samples were immunostained for Sox2 and Tbr2, marking aRGCs and bIPCs respectively. While both cell types showed decreased numbers of Ph3+ cells at 2 DPI, Sox2+ cells were particularly impacted with a 60% decrease compared with the 28.6% decrease found in Ph3+/Tbr2+ cells (Fig. 5J,K).

These experiments confirmed that aRGCs are targeted by ZIKV early in infection and that proliferation of this essential NPC class is reduced.

Precursor type consequences of persistent ZIKV infection

Since aRGCs are particularly targeted in acute infection, we next sought to understand how longer infection times affect neocortical growth. Tbr2-Cre and CAG-StopLight electroporated tissue was stained with NS2B in control and infected tissue at 1 DPI through 6 DPI. ZsGreen+/NS2B+ and mCherry+/NS2B+ populations were counted on each day, and all cell counts were normalized to the total number of electroporated cells to account for the normal variability in the size of the electroporated field. Increased numbers of ZsGreen infected cells (ZsGreen+/NS2B+) were found at 1 and 2 DPI. However, at 3 DPI, the number of infected mCherry cells (mCherry+/NS2B+) surpassed the number of infected ZsGreen cells. The proportion of infected mCherry and ZsGreen cells equalized at 4–6 DPI as fewer NPCs were ZIKV+ over time (Fig. 5L,M). To further confirm cell type vulnerability, non-electroporated tissue was immunostained for Sox2 and Tbr2 at the same time points. Again, NS2B co-localized with more Sox2+ cells at 1 and 2 DPI. At 3 DPI, increased numbers of Tbr2+ mCherry cells co-localized with NS2B (Fig. 7B). These findings suggest that aRGCs are initially targeted by ZIKV and that aRGC-derived bIPCs gain active ZIKV infection either from cytoplasmic division or from virions shed from infected aRGCs.

ZIKV infection alters neocortical cytoarchitecture

The labeling of aRGCs and bIPCs with the dual color fluorescent protein reporter allowed for visualization of changes in whole cell morphology in response to ZIKV infection. In particular, we found noticeable patterns of disorganization in the basal processes of aRGCs that stretch to the pial surface from the cell bodies within the VZ.

aRGC basal fibers are required for neuronal migration during development and may elucidate the mechanism behind decreased neuronal migration after infection. Rosenfeld et al. (2017) described perturbed cytoarchitecture after ZIKV infection using organotypic mouse brain slice cultures electroporated with CAG-GFP but particular changes to the aRGC palisade have not yet been quantified. To test whether the number of basal fibers had decreased after infection, we counted the number of end feet reaching the pial surface in control and infected tissue at 2 DPI, normalized to the number of IUE+ cells present. While a decrease in aRGC fibers/endfeet may be because of the decrease in aRGC numbers after infection, the above fate mapping studies identified only an 18.2% decrease in apical ZsGreen+ cells at 2 DPI (Fig. 5G). In contrast, endfoot counts denote a 60% decrease in aRGC basal fibers at this same time point, indicating that the interrupted basal process phenotype is more pronounced than the decrease in numbers of aRGCs (Fig. 6A,B). Loss or retraction of aRGC basal fibers from the superficial cortical layers may lead to neuronal migration defects and ultimately cause decreased cortical thickness and hypoplasia.

To confirm the loss of aRGC basal processes, the lipophilic dye DiI was applied to the exterior surface of control and infected brains after the removal of the meninges. Allowing two weeks for passive intramembranous diffusion, we found that the density of DiI labeling was decreased in the VZ and SVZ of infected tissue. While neural projections and somata were DiI labeled in the cortical plate of infected tissue, the aRGC basal fibers were sparse in brains exposed to ZIKV compared with vehicle control brains (Fig. 6C–G). To quantify this difference, the DiI intensity was measured in the VZ and in the area of greatest fluorescence directly above the VZ in the intermediate zone (IZ). For each image, the VZ intensity was normalized to the IZ intensity to account for differences in DiI penetration between sections and brains. Infected tissue showed a ~70% reduction in DiI intensity (Fig. 6G). Together, the IUE and DiI studies demonstrate that ZIKV infection causes disruption to the neocortical cytoarchitecture by disrupting aRGC basal processes.

aRGC heterogeneity

In contrast to longstanding assumptions, recent data indicate that the aRGC population is heterogeneous and can undergo temporal transcriptomic change during the neurogenesis period (Miyata et al., 2004; Tyler et al., 2015; Heavner et al., 2020). In ZIKV-infected tissue, we found that a proportion of aRGC fibers were perturbed while others appeared to develop normally. This suggested that there may be intrinsic differences between these affected and non-affected cells that may stem from underlying aRGC heterogeneity. To test whether subpopulations of aRGCs may be differentially susceptible to infection by ZIKV, we took advantage of a recent scRNASeq study in the E15.5 mouse neocortex (Li et al., 2020). This dataset reveals two distinct clusters of cortical aRGCs (aRGC1 and aRGC2). We sought to determine whether genes differentially expressed between these two groups
may identify separate populations of aRGCs with differential vulnerability to ZIKV infection.

To develop markers for these two clusters of aRGCs, differential gene expression in aRGC1 and aRGC2, compared with gene expression profiles of other NPC and neuron clusters, was used to create a list of potential transcriptional markers specific to aRGC1 cells or to aRGC2 (Table 1). Conserved regulatory regions proximal to the transcriptional start sites of these candidate genes were used to construct Cre-driver plasmids, which were tested by IUE using the CAG-StopLight reporter plasmid at 24 and 48 h. This approach yielded mCherry1 recombinant cells in the VZ with the expected morphology and location of aRGCs (Fig. 7A–C). Prc11 cells also showed greater proliferative potential compared with MFAP21 cells as seen in the proportion of mCherry1 cells counted between 24 and 48 h (Fig. 7I,J).

Table 1: Group 1 and group 2 aRGC markers chosen from scRNAseq data

| Group          | Fold change | p value    |
|----------------|-------------|------------|
| Group 1, Prc1  | 6.3         | 5.60E-35   |
| Group 1, Axl   | 2.3         | 9.87E-03   |
| Group 2, MFAP2 | 0.64        | 1.54E-08   |
| Group 2, Robo4 | 6.2         | 1.30E-32   |

The fold change represents differential expression between aRGC1 and aRGC2. For details, see Materials and Methods.
The candidate marker genes for each cortical aRGC type were also used as targets for multiplexed single molecule in situ hybridization. Prc1 RNAscope hybridization was performed on 24 h MFAP2-Cre + CAG-LNL-LYN-GFP electroporated tissue to determine whether these markers indeed label different aRGCs. It was found that only 7% of the MFAP2+ electroporated cells were also positive for Prc1 transcript (Fig. 7K–O). Using multiplexed hybridization of Prc1 and MFAP2 RNAscope probes recapitulated results showing that there is separation of marker expression in these cell types and that there are more Prc1+ cells followed by MFAP2+ cells, with the smallest proportion being Prc1+/MFAP2+ cells (Fig. 7P,Q).

Given the separation of Prc1+ and MFAP2+ populations, we next tested whether these groups were differentially vulnerable to ZIKV infection by multiplexing RNAscope probes against Prc1, MFAP2, and ZIKV. ZIKV was found to be colabeled in Prc1+ cells significantly more often than in MFAP2+ cells. In fact, at 2 DPI, 63% of Prc1+ cells were ZIKV-infected while only 35% of MFAP2+ cells were infected (Fig. 8A,B).
Figure 8. MFAP2+ aRGCs show lower infection rates compared with Prc1+ aRGCs. A, Multiplexed RNAscope staining shows fewer infected MFAP2+ cells compared with Prc1+ cells. E15.5 2 DPI infected tissue was stained for ZIKV (green), Prc1 (red), and MFAP2 (white) using RNAscope. Scale bar: 50 μm. B, Prc1+ cells are more vulnerable to ZIKV infection than MFAP2+ cells. Statistical significance was calculated using unpaired t test (*p < 0.05) and error bars represent SE (n = 4). C, Prc1 and AXL are highly expressed in the telencephalic germinal zone. E15.5 tissue was stained for AXL (red) and Prc1 (white) using RNAscope. Scale bar: 80 μm. D, Over 90% of Prc1+ cells co-express AXL (n = 4). Statistical significance was calculated using unpaired t test (*p < 0.05) and error bars represent SE. E, The majority of MFAP2+ cells are negative for AXL expression. The white box outlines a zoomed example of MFAP2+ cells with and without AXL co-expression showing variability of AXL expression in MFAP2+ cells. Scale bar: 10 μm. F, AXL expression is decreased in MFAP2+ cells compared with Prc1+ cells. Despite the lower infection rate in group 2 aRGCs, 26.5% of MFAP2+ cells co-expressed AXL. G, ZIKV infection correlates with AXL expression in Prc1+ cells. E15.5 tissue was stained for Prc1 (red) and AXL (white) and ZIKV (green) using RNAscope. Scale bar: 10 μm. H, The majority of Prc1+, ZIKV+ cells also express AXL. Over 95% of infected Prc1+ cells were co-labeled with AXL RNAscope. Statistical significance was calculated using unpaired t test (*p < 0.05) and error bars represent SE (n = 4).
AXL as a group 1 identifier and candidate viral entry receptor

Despite evidence that AXL is sufficient but not necessary to enable ZIKV entry (Wells et al., 2016), we sought to test whether aRGC1 vulnerability to ZIKV was correlated with AXL expression. RNAscope of Prc1 and MFAP2 corroborated the scRNAseq data and showed that AXL mRNA levels are higher in aRGC1/Prc1 cells compared with aRGC2/MFAP2 cells (Fig. 8C,D). However, 26% of MFAP2 cells did express AXL, showing that AXL expression is not exclusive to aRGC1 (Fig. 8E,F). When multiplexing AXL with ZIKV and the respective group marker, we found that AXL, Prc1, and ZIKV were co-expressed at high levels (Fig. 8G,H). This correlation between AXL expression and ZIKV infection is consistent with previous findings in vitro (Miner and Diamond, 2016; Nowakowski et al., 2016; Meertens et al., 2017). These findings do not explain why no significant difference has been found between AXL-deficient mice and their littermates reported in Wang et al. (2017), which implicate viral entry receptors other than AXL for ZIKV infection. Future studies should leverage scRNAseq data to mark multiple viral entry receptors with RNAscope to further understand how AXL interacts with other entry receptors in aRGC ZIKV infection.

Discussion

Determining the mechanisms by which ZIKV alters fetal brain growth and function can enable more focused therapies to support the lives of children with congenital Zika syndrome. Details about the route of infection, the cell types most impacted by the virus, and the temporal change in proliferative and postmitotic zones of the growing brain are needed to provide the best framework for future supportive care for those exposed to ZIKV during fetal life. We therefore developed an in vivo model of ZIKV brain infection, using direct forebrain intraventricular injection, that enables this type of longitudinal, cell type-specific analysis without the need for immune system attenuation or virus inactivation. This approach of infecting the mouse brain at different timepoints in pregnancy and then collecting infected and control embryos allows for a measurement of the progression of ZIKV infection.
| Figure | Experiment | n | Data structure | Type of test | p value | SE control | SE infected | SE |
|--------|------------|---|----------------|--------------|---------|------------|-------------|----|
| 3B     | 1 DPI ML   | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.00684739 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3C     | 1 DPI RC   | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.000128 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3B     | 2 DPI ML   | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.00136 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3C     | 2 DPI RC   | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.00349 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 3B     | 3 DPI ML   | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.00017204 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 3C     | 3 DPI RC   | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.0688023 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 3B     | 4 DPI ML   | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.0146552 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| 3C     | 4 DPI RC   | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.0209259 | 0.01 | 0.033 |
| 3B     | 5 DPI ML   | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.01277896 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| 3C     | 5 DPI RC   | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.03849331 | 0.59 | 0.49 |
| 3B     | 6 DPI ML   | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.000118 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 3C     | 6 DPI RC   | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.00028544 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| 3D     | 1 DPI 1 ML | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.00684739 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3E     | 1 DPI 1 RC | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.000128 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3D     | 1 DPI 2 ML | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.0013651 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 3E     | 1 DPI 2 RC | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.00349342 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 3D     | 1 DPI 3 ML | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.00478629 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 3E     | 1 DPI 3 RC | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.00169226 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 3D     | 1 DPI 4 ML | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.00010226 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 3E     | 1 DPI 4 RC | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.00169226 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| 3F     | 2 DPI 1 ML | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.00136 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 3G     | 2 DPI 1 RC | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.00349 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 3F     | 2 DPI 2 ML | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.00495933 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 3G     | 2 DPI 2 RC | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.001435 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| 3F     | 2 DPI 3 ML | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.00695174 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 3G     | 2 DPI 3 RC | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.00914545 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 3J     | 1 DPI     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.00180085 | 9.49 | 3.19 |
| 3J     | 2 DPI     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 2.7878E-07 | 0.64 | 3.83 |
| 3J     | 3 DPI     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 3.3158E-07 | 3.11 | 2.67 |
| 3J     | 4 DPI     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 3.4267E-06 | 5.12 | 3.79 |
| 3J     | 5 DPI     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 3.3551E-05 | 6.57 | 5.81 |
| 3J     | 6 DPI     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 3.865E-06 | 6.14 | 2.29 |
| 4A     | 2 DPI     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.0002572 | 0.20 | 0.41 |
| 4A     | 3 DPI     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.00091272 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 4A     | 4 DPI     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.00208317 | 0.41 | 0.48 |
| 4D     | Bin 1     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.0384043 | 2.17 | 0.48 |
| 4D     | Bin 2     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.02149562 | 0.50 | 2.21 |
| 4D     | Bin 3     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.8053496 | 2.51 | 1.60 |
| 4D     | Bin 4     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.74358669 | 0.25 | 0.00 |
| 4G     | Bin 1     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.00111198 | 1.31 | 1.55 |
| 4G     | Bin 2     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.02065501 | 1.04 | 1.19 |
| 4G     | Bin 3     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.07577485 | 1.29 | 0.85 |
| 4G     | Bin 4     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.1339746 | 1.11 | 0.00 |
| 5G     | Non-Tbr2  | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 2.454E-06 | 0.68 | 1.17 |
| 5H     | Ph3 Tbr2  | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.01009862 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| 5I     | Ph3 non Tbr2 | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 2.6496E-06 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 5J     | Ph3 Tbr2  | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.00071178 | 0.15 | 1.04 |
| 5K     | Ph3 sox2  | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.00016526 | 0.83 | 0.38 |
| 5L     | 1 DPI     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 7.7206E-06 | 1.26 | 0.38 |
| 5L     | 2 DPI     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 1.4818E-07 | 3.21 | 1.46 |
| 5L     | 3 DPI     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.00011085 | 2.25 | 1.70 |
| 5L     | 4 DPI     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.59430986 | 1.18 | 1.59 |
| 5L     | 5 DPI     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.9048279 | 1.43 | 1.05 |
| 5L     | 6 DPI     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.27823572 | 0.31 | 0.58 |
| 5M     | 1 DPI     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 6.4046E-06 | 0.78 | 0.25 |
| 5M     | 2 DPI     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.00029411 | 1.78 | 1.29 |
| 5M     | 3 DPI     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.00011655 | 1.25 | 2.24 |
| 5M     | 4 DPI     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.32147813 | 1.66 | 1.10 |
| 5M     | 5 DPI     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.24536482 | 1.10 | 1.21 |
| 5M     | 6 DPI     | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.53696332 | 0.12 | 0.06 |
| 6B     | endfeet   | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.03248771 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| 6G     | Dil       | 4 | Normal distribution | t test | 0.00082241 | 0.04 | 0.05 |

(Continued)
The concentration and strain of virus used here uncovered less cell death and more morphologic differences in the developing cells in the forebrain. We found that ZIKV passes first through a subpopulation of aRGCs expressing high levels of AXL, then transits to intermediate progenitors. Furthermore, while the viral infection causes devastating loss to certain cell groups, other precursors and postmitotic neurons appear less affected. Even at the latest time points examined, key structural features of the neocortex are maintained, and many neurons survive despite harboring viral infection.

The ability to assess infection across time enabled us to determine whether the severity of outcome depends on the age at which infection first occurs. Surprisingly, the severity of microencephaly was not dependent on the developmental stage during infection. The consistent microencephaly observed across developmental stages may be a consequence of the under-developed mouse immune system, as the first immune response to antigens is not found until the first postnatal week (Holsapple et al., 2003). Measurements of cell death and proliferation showed that viral infection increases the number of cells marked for apoptosis while decreasing the number of proliferating cells, both of which can result in microencephaly. The disruption in aRGC basal fibers likely contributes to the microencephaly phenotype as well by interrupting this key substrate of neuronal migration. This interference in migration may also play a role in the cell death seen postnatally (Dudley et al., 2017).

Our model allowed for in vivo time course studies to measure the progression of ZIKV infection over the course of 6 d of prenatal brain development. This is the longest in vivo time course study of a ZIKV model to date. This allowed for tracking of the microencephaly phenotype over time and elucidated some surprising results. We found that severity of microencephaly in this model was consistent across DPI1–DPI6. One explanation for this is that certain aRGCs are targeted initially, creating a severe depletion in populations that differ in their susceptibility to apoptosis while decreasing the number of proliferating cells, both of which can result in microencephaly. The disruption in aRGC basal fibers likely contributes to the microencephaly phenotype as well by interrupting this key substrate of neuronal migration. This interference in migration may also play a role in the cell death seen postnatally (Dudley et al., 2017).

Table 3: Continued

| Figure | Experiment | n | Data structure | Type of test | p value | SE control | SE infected | SE |
|--------|------------|---|---------------|--------------|----------|------------|-------------|----|
| 7D     | Prc1 24 h  | 4 | Normal distrib | t test       | 1.5942E-10 | 1.29       | 1.64        |    |
| 7E     | Prc1 48 h  | 4 | Normal distrib | t test       | 2.7202E-12 | 0.97       | 1.24        |    |
| 7I     | MFAP2 24 h | 4 | Normal distrib | t test       | 8.9982E-11 | 0.69       | 0.95        |    |
| 7J     | MFAP2 48 h | 4 | Normal distrib | t test       | 2.2807E-10 | 0.10       | 0.95        |    |
| 7O     | IUE and RNAscope | 4 | Normal distrib | t test       | 8.0791E-11 | 0.10       | 0.98        |    |
| 7Q     | Double RNAscope | 4 | Normal distrib | ANOVA       | 0.0001     | 1.08       | 1.81        | 1.05|
| 8B     | MFAP2 Prc1 infected | 4 | Normal distrib | t test       | 1.3469E-05 | 1.37       | 0.88        |    |
| 8D     | Prc1 AXL   | 4 | Normal distrib | t test       | 9.249E-10  | 1.45       | 0.56        |    |
| 8F     | MFAP2 AXL  | 4 | Normal distrib | t test       | 1.4042E-08 | 1.11       | 0.91        |    |
| 8H     | Prc1 AXL ZIKV | 4 | Normal distrib | t test       | 4.8802E-12 | 0.92       | 0.27        |    |

The ability to assess infection across time enabled us to determine whether the severity of outcome depends on the age at which infection first occurs. Surprisingly, the severity of microencephaly was not dependent on the developmental stage during infection. The consistent microencephaly observed across developmental stages may be a consequence of the under-developed mouse immune system, as the first immune response to antigens is not found until the first postnatal week (Holsapple et al., 2003). Measurements of cell death and proliferation showed that viral infection increases the number of cells marked for apoptosis while decreasing the number of proliferating cells, both of which can result in microencephaly. The disruption in aRGC basal fibers likely contributes to the microencephaly phenotype as well by interrupting this key substrate of neuronal migration. This interference in migration may also play a role in the cell death seen postnatally (Dudley et al., 2017).

Our model allowed for in vivo time course studies to measure the progression of ZIKV infection over the course of 6 d of prenatal brain development. This is the longest in vivo time course study of a ZIKV model to date. This allowed for tracking of the microencephaly phenotype over time and elucidated some surprising results. We found that severity of microencephaly in this model was consistent across DPI1–DPI6. One explanation for this is that certain aRGCs are targeted initially, creating a severe depletion in precursors that differ in their susceptibility to apoptosis while decreasing the number of proliferating cells, both of which can result in microencephaly. The disruption in aRGC basal fibers likely contributes to the microencephaly phenotype as well by interrupting this key substrate of neuronal migration. This interference in migration may also play a role in the cell death seen postnatally (Dudley et al., 2017).

The cell type vulnerability identified in this study identifies precursor groups that differ in their susceptibility to the virus. For example, we found greater defects in proliferation and morphologic development in apical precursor cells, which are likely responsible for the rapid expression of microencephaly within a day after infection. While aRGCs are infected preferentially at 1–2 DPI, bIPCs were the largest infected population at 3 DPI. This may explain the discrepancy in previous findings of aRGCs being the primary target versus others who found IPCs to be ZIKV’s primary target (Onorati et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2017). However, as microencephaly did not significantly worsen over time after infection in the mouse, the initial and substantial impact on proliferation appears to be compensated by continued proliferation in the remaining aRGCs and IPCs. Fate mapping with the IUE-based Cre/LoxP recombination system identified that diversity in the aRGC population may underlie growth dynamics in brains infected with ZIKV. In particular, we provide evidence that $MFAP2^{+}$ aRGCs are less susceptible to ZIKV infection, indicating that this group of cells, and their progeny, may
underlie the compensatory proliferation described above. One possible mechanism responsible for the differential vulnerability between Prc1+ cells and MFAP2+ cells is the increased expression of AXL in the Prc1+ cells. However, these findings also suggest that AXL is not necessary for infection, as 4% of ZIKV+ cells were AXL-. The presented data corroborate the findings of Nowakowski et al. (2016) who showed that AXL is highly expressed in aRGCs and functions as a viral entry receptor; these data are also supportive of the results of Wells et al. (2016), which demonstrated that cells could become infected without the presence of AXL (Onorati et al., 2016; Wells et al., 2016). One AXL-independent means of viral infection could be the passage of viral RNA during cell division. Although these results as well as previous research indicate that mitosis is impaired during infection (Onorati et al., 2016; Tang et al., 2016; Dudley et al., 2017; Lin et al., 2017; Rosenfeld et al., 2017), if an already infected aRGC is able to successfully divide, it could pass infection to its bIPC daughter cell. Nevertheless, the high correlation between Prc1 expression, AXL expression, and ZIKV infection make AXL an interesting group 1 candidate marker gene. This model provides a framework for additional candidate viral entry research aimed at designing chemical inhibitors. It also suggests that interference of AXL-mediated endocytosis will decrease infection rates in the highly targeted aRGC1 population, potentially avoiding the severe and early onset of microcephaly as indicated by previous work showing decreased ZIKV infection from decreased AXL expression (Wells et al., 2016).

These results not only increase understanding of how ZIKV infection impacts neural development, but also indicate that a subtype of aRGCs, which previously were believed to be a largely homogenous population, may be critically involved in the initiation of infection. Li et al. (2020) showed transcriptional priming and pseudotime evidence that aRGC1 (Prc1+) may be fated toward direct neurogenesis while aRGC2 (MFAP2+) cells are primed toward the Tbx2+ bIPC lineage (Li et al., 2020). Similarly, another study recently described aRGC heterogeneity based on Sox9 expression (Fabra-Beser et al., 2020), which is also differentially expressed between aRGC1 and aRGC2 groups (log fold change: −0.62, adjusted p value: 0.00022). Future work using tools designed to study cortical circuits subserved by aRGC1 and aRGC2 progeny will highlight specific changes in neural architecture likely disturbed in people with congenital Zika syndrome.
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