Regge behavior of gluon scattering amplitudes in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory
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Abstract

It is shown that the four-gluon scattering amplitude for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory in the planar limit can be written, in both the weak- and strong-coupling limits, as a reggeized amplitude, with a parent trajectory and an infinite number of daughter trajectories. This result is not evident a priori, and relies crucially on the fact that the leading IR-divergence and the finite $\log^2(s/t)$-dependent piece of the amplitude are characterized by the same function for all values of the coupling, as conjectured by Bern, Dixon, and Smirnov, and proved by Alday and Maldacena in the strong-coupling limit. We use the Alday-Maldacena result to determine the exact strong-coupling Regge trajectory.
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1 Introduction and Conclusion

In this note, we analyze the Regge behavior of the four-gluon scattering amplitude for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric SU($N$) Yang-Mills theory in the planar (large $N$) limit, using the conjectured ansatz of Bern, Dixon, and Smirnov [1] and the recent strong-coupling results of Alday and Maldacena [2] obtained via the AdS/CFT correspondence. (Other recent applications of this work include refs. [3, 4]. Reggeization of the gluon in non-supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories [5] as well as supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories [6] has long been a subject of interest.) The Regge limit corresponds to center-of-mass energy squared $u \to \infty$ with fixed spacelike momentum transfer $s < 0$, where $s = (k_1 + k_2)^2$, $t = (k_1 + k_4)^2$, and $u = (k_1 + k_3)^2$ are Mandelstam variables obeying $s + t + u = 0$. We show that in the Regge limit the color-ordered four-gluon amplitude approaches

$$\mathcal{A}_4 \xrightarrow{u \to \infty} \beta(s) \left[ \left( \frac{u}{-s} \right)^{\alpha(s)} + \cdots \right]$$

(1.1)

where the leading Regge trajectory has the form

$$\alpha(s) = 1 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon} f^{(-1)}(\lambda) - \frac{1}{4} f(\lambda) \log \left( -\frac{s}{\mu^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} g(\lambda)$$

(1.2)

and

$$\beta(s) = (\text{const}) \mathcal{A}^4_{\text{div}}(s) e^{\tilde{C}(\lambda)}$$

(1.3)

with $\cdots$ representing an infinite sum of subleading trajectories. The functions $\alpha(s)$ and $\beta(s)$, like the scattering amplitude itself, exhibit infrared divergences, which we regulate using dimensional regularization in $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$ dimensions. The four-dimensional 't Hooft coupling $\lambda = g^2 N$ is dimensionless, and a scale $\mu$ is introduced to allow the coupling to be defined away from four dimensions. The functions $f(\lambda)$ and $g(\lambda)$ characterize the IR divergence of the amplitude [1, 2, 7]: $f(\lambda)$ is proportional to the cusp anomalous dimension [8], and $g(\lambda)$ is the function $G_0$ defined in ref. [1]. The form of $g(\lambda)$ is dependent on the choice of scale $\mu$ [2]. Finally $f^{(-1)}(\lambda)$ is defined via

$$\left( \lambda \frac{d}{d\lambda} \right) f^{(-1)}(\lambda) = f(\lambda)$$

(1.4)

and $\mathcal{A}_{\text{div}}(s)$ and $\tilde{C}(\lambda)$ are defined in eqs. (2.3) and (2.1).

We emphasize that the Regge behavior of $\mathcal{A}_4$ that we have demonstrated is not a priori evident from the results of ref. [1, 2], and in fact appears inconsistent with the fact that the exponent of the amplitude (2.1) goes as $\log^2(t/s)$, whereas Regge behavior would seem to require $\log(t/s)$ dependence. The Regge behavior of the amplitude (1.1) only occurs because the function $f(\lambda)$ that characterizes the leading IR divergence also multiplies the finite $\log^2(s/t)$-dependent piece of the amplitude, as conjectured in ref. [1].

The Regge trajectory function (1.2) and residue (1.3) are exact (to all orders in the coupling) in the planar limit, depending only on the forms of the functions $f(\lambda)$ and $g(\lambda)$. Since these functions are known in the weak-coupling [1] and strong-coupling [2] limits, we
may determine the exact trajectory function explicitly in both these limits. To lowest order in \( \lambda \), we have

\[
\alpha(s) = 1 + \frac{\lambda}{8\pi^2} \left[ \frac{1}{\epsilon} - \log \left( \frac{-s}{\mu^2} \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2).
\]

(1.5)

This is equivalent to the result found in ref. [9], where a different regularization scheme was used (see also refs. [3, 6]). The Regge trajectory function in the strong-coupling limit is

\[
\alpha(s) \rightarrow \lambda \rightarrow \infty \sqrt{\lambda} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi\epsilon} \log \left( \frac{-s}{\mu^2} \right) + \frac{1 - \log 2}{4\pi} \right]
\]

(1.6)

where we have used the results of Alday and Maldacena [2].

A linear Regge trajectory \( \alpha(s) \sim \alpha' s \) would imply stringy behavior, with string tension \( \sim 1/\alpha' \). But eq. (1.2) goes as \( \log \left(-s/\mu^2 \right) \), rather than linearly in \( s \), suggesting that we are in the \( \alpha' = 0 \) or infinite-tension limit of a string theory, with no Regge recurrences. This is not unexpected since \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) super Yang-Mills theory is a conformal theory, without massive states [10].

After this paper was typed, we became aware that similar conclusions were reached using different methods in ref. [4].

2 The BDS ansatz

To derive the result (1.1), we begin with the conjecture of Bern, Dixon, and Smirnov (BDS) for the exact form of the scattering amplitude in the planar (large \( N \)) limit of \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) supersymmetric SU(\( N \)) Yang-Mills theory [1]. In the kinematic region where \( s \) and \( t \) are both spacelike \( (s, t < 0) \), the color-ordered planar four-gluon amplitude (expressed using the notation of ref. [2]) is given by

\[
A_4 = A_{\text{tree}} A_{\text{div}}^2(s) A_{\text{div}}^2(t) \exp \left[ \frac{f(\lambda)}{8} \log^2 \left( \frac{s}{t} \right) + \tilde{C}(\lambda) \right].
\]

(2.1)

The tree amplitude is

\[
A_{\text{tree}} = -\frac{4iK}{st}
\]

(2.2)

where the definition of \( K \) may be found in ref. [11]. The IR divergent contributions \( A_{\text{div}}(s) \) and \( A_{\text{div}}(t) \) are rendered finite in \( d = 4 - 2\epsilon \) dimensions, and take the form

\[
A_{\text{div}}(s) = \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{8\epsilon^2} f^{(-2)} \left( \frac{\lambda \mu^{2\epsilon}}{(-s)^\epsilon} \right) - \frac{1}{4\epsilon} g^{(-1)} \left( \frac{\lambda \mu^{2\epsilon}}{(-s)^\epsilon} \right) \right]
\]

(2.3)

where the functions \( f^{(-2)}(\lambda) \) and \( g^{(-1)}(\lambda) \) are related to \( f(\lambda) \) and \( g(\lambda) \) via

\[
\left( \frac{\lambda}{d\lambda} \right)^2 f^{(-2)}(\lambda) = f(\lambda), \quad \left( \frac{\lambda}{d\lambda} \right) g^{(-1)}(\lambda) = g(\lambda).
\]

(2.4)

To lowest order in \( \lambda \), the functions in eq. (2.1) are given by [11]

\[
f(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi^2} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2), \quad g(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2), \quad \tilde{C}(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{16\pi^2} \left( \frac{4\pi^2}{3} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)
\]

(2.5)
so that the weak-coupling scattering amplitude reads

\[ A_4 = A_{\text{tree}} A_{\text{div}}^2(s) A_{\text{div}}^2(t) \exp \left[ \frac{\lambda}{16\pi^2} \left\{ \log^2 \left( \frac{s}{t} \right) + \frac{4\pi^2}{3} \right\} + O(\lambda^2) \right] \] (2.6)

with

\[ A_{\text{div}}(s) = \exp \left[ -\frac{\lambda \mu^{2\epsilon}}{16\pi^2 \epsilon^2 (-s)^\epsilon} + O(\lambda^2) \right]. \] (2.7)

3 The strong-coupling limit

Alday and Maldacena subsequently computed the planar four-point amplitude at strong coupling using the AdS/CFT correspondence, obtaining the result \[2\]

\[ A_4 = A_{\text{tree}} A_{\text{div}}^2(s) A_{\text{div}}^2(t) \exp \left[ \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{8\pi} \log^2 \left( \frac{s}{t} \right) + \tilde{C}(\lambda) \right] \] (3.1)

with

\[ A_{\text{div}}(s) = \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2\pi\epsilon^2} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda\mu^{2\epsilon}}{(-s)^\epsilon}} - \frac{(1 - \log 2)}{4\pi\epsilon} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda\mu^{2\epsilon}}{(-s)^\epsilon}} \right] \] (3.2)

and (correcting a small error)

\[ \tilde{C}(\lambda) = -\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi} \left[ -1 - \frac{\pi^2}{3} - 2 \log 2 + (\log 2)^2 \right] \] (3.3)

which is fully consistent with the BDS conjecture \[2,1\], with

\[ f(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}, \quad g(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{(1 - \log 2)\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi}. \] (3.4)

4 Regge limit of the BDS ansatz

The purpose of this note is to examine the four-gluon scattering amplitude in the Regge limit of large \( u \), with fixed \( s < 0 \). Since \( s + t + u = 0 \), this corresponds to the limit \( t \to -\infty \) of the expression \[2,1\]. The large \( t \) behavior of \( A_{\text{tree}} \) is given by

\[ A_{\text{tree}} \xrightarrow{t \to -\infty} (\text{const}) \frac{t}{s}. \] (4.1)

To extract the behavior of \( A_{\text{div}}(t) \) as \( t \to -\infty \), we expand in \( \epsilon \)

\[ f^{(-2)} \left( \frac{\lambda \mu^{2\epsilon}}{(-t)^\epsilon} \right) = f^{(-2)}(\lambda) + \epsilon f^{(-1)}(\lambda) \log \left( \frac{\mu^2}{-t} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 f(\lambda) \log^2 \left( \frac{\mu^2}{-t} \right) + O(\epsilon^3), \]

\[ g^{(-1)} \left( \frac{\lambda \mu^{2\epsilon}}{(-t)^\epsilon} \right) = g^{(-1)}(\lambda) + \epsilon g(\lambda) \log \left( \frac{\mu^2}{-t} \right) + O(\epsilon^2), \] (4.2)
where \( f^{(-1)}(\lambda) \) is defined in eq. (1.4). Hence
\[
\mathcal{A}_{\text{div}}^2(t) = \mathcal{A}_{\text{div}}^2(s) \exp \left[ \frac{1}{4\epsilon} f^{(-1)}(\lambda) \log \left( \frac{t}{s} \right) + \frac{1}{2} g(\lambda) \log \left( \frac{t}{s} \right) - \frac{1}{8} f(\lambda) \log^2 \left( \frac{-t}{\mu^2} \right) + \frac{1}{8} f(\lambda) \log^2 \left( \frac{-s}{\mu^2} \right) \right].
\]
(4.3)

Next, we rewrite the last term of eq. (2.1) as
\[
\exp \left[ \frac{f(\lambda)}{8} \log^2 \left( \frac{-s}{\mu^2} \right) - \frac{f(\lambda)}{4} \log \left( \frac{-s}{\mu^2} \right) \log \left( \frac{-t}{\mu^2} \right) + \frac{f(\lambda)}{8} \log^2 \left( \frac{-t}{\mu^2} \right) + \tilde{C}(\lambda) \right].
\]
(4.4)

Because both the leading IR-divergence and the IR-finite \( \log^2(s/t) \)-dependent term are controlled by the same function \( f(\lambda) \), we observe that the leading \( \log^2(-t/\mu^2) \) dependence cancels out of the full scattering amplitude, so that the large-\( t \) behavior is determined by the \( \log(-t/\mu^2) \) dependent terms. Collecting the leading large-\( t \) contributions to the amplitude, we obtain
\[
\mathcal{A}_4 \to t \to -\infty \beta(s) \left( \frac{t}{s} \right)^{\alpha(s)}
\]
(4.5)

where
\[
\alpha(s) = 1 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon} f^{(-1)}(\lambda) - \frac{1}{4} f(\lambda) \log \left( \frac{-s}{\mu^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} g(\lambda)
\]
(4.6)

and
\[
\beta(s) = \text{(const)} \, \mathcal{A}_{\text{div}}^4(s) e^{\tilde{C}(\lambda)}.
\]
(4.7)

Writing the result in terms of the center-of-mass energy squared \( u \), we obtain for the color-ordered planar four-gluon amplitude
\[
\mathcal{A}_4 \to u \to \infty \beta(s) \left( \frac{u}{-s} - 1 \right)^{\alpha(s)} = \beta(s) \left[ \left( \frac{u}{-s} \right)^{\alpha(s)} + \cdots \right].
\]
(4.8)

The full planar four-gluon amplitude is then obtained by summing over color-ordered amplitudes multiplied by the associated trace over gauge group generators. The function \( \alpha(s) \) then describes the leading Regge trajectory, in the adjoint channel. Any subleading terms \( \cdots \) that survive would represent (an infinite sum of) daughter trajectories, again in the adjoint channel.
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