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ABSTRACT

The CRISPR-Cas systems of archaeal and bacterial adaptive immunity are classified into three types that differ by the repertoires of CRISPR-associated (cas) genes, the organization of cas operons and the structure of repeats in the CRISPR arrays. The simplest among the CRISPR-Cas systems is type II in which the endonuclease activities required for the interference with foreign deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) are concentrated in a single multidomain protein, Cas9, and are guided by a co-processed dual-tracrRNA:crRNA molecule. This compact enzymatic machinery and readily programmable site-specific DNA targeting make type II systems top candidates for a new generation of powerful tools for genomic engineering. Here we report an updated census of CRISPR-Cas systems in bacterial and archaeal genomes. Type II systems are the rarest, missing in archaea, and represented in ~5% of bacterial genomes, with an over-representation among pathogens and commensals. Phylogenomic analysis suggests that at least three cas genes, cas1, cas2 and cas4, and the CRISPR repeats of the type II-B system were acquired via recombination with a type I CRISPR-Cas locus. Distant homologs of Cas9 were identified among proteins encoded by diverse transposons, suggesting that type II CRISPR-Cas evolved via recombination of mobile nuclease genes with type I loci.

INTRODUCTION

The CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats)-Cas (CRISPR-associated genes) system is the first and so far the only adaptive immunity system discovered in archaea and bacteria. Similar to restriction–modification and several other defense systems, it is based on the self–non-self discrimination principle but unlike other defense mechanisms, CRISPR-Cas imprints pieces of genetic material as a memory of previously encountered viruses and plasmids [(1) and references therein]. These short fragments matching the infectious agent deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) are inserted into an array of CRISPR repeats and are used in the form of guide CRISPR RNA (crRNA) to target the cognate virus or plasmid upon new infections (2–5).

The diversity of the protein components associated with the CRISPR-Cas systems is remarkable (6–9), but identification of several signature elements in their genomic organization has provided for a relatively simple classification of these systems (5). This classification differentiates three major types of the CRISPR-Cas systems, with cas3, cas9 (formerly csn1) and cas10 being the signature genes for the type I, type II and type III systems, respectively. Moreover, recent bioinformatic analysis and structural studies revealed striking similarity in the organization of effector complexes between CRISPR-Cas systems of type I and type III suggesting their origin from a common ancestor (10–12). However, the origin of type II CRISPR-Cas systems remains obscure. According to the current classification, two subtypes of type II CRISPR-Cas systems are defined on the basis of their characteristic operon organizations (5). Type II-A systems encompass an additional gene, known as csn2. The
Csn2 protein is involved in spacer integration but is not required for interference (13,14). Two distantly related Csn2 subfamilies that include, respectively, short (15,16) and long forms (17) of the protein have been identified. For both of these forms, the structures have been solved and the proteins have been biochemically characterized (15–18). The Csn2 structures have been shown to adopt a highly derived P-loop ATPase fold in which the adenosine triphosphate (ATP) binding center appears to be inactivated (15–17). The structurally characterized Csn2 proteins form homotetrameric rings that bind linear double-stranded (ds) DNA through the rings central hole. The major difference between the short and long forms of Csn2 is that the short but not the long forms display Ca$^{2+}$-dependent DNA binding (15,16,19). The specific function of Csn2 in spacer integration remains unclear, but it has been hypothesized that Csn2 is an accessory component that binds the dsDNA ends and protects them from exonuclease degradation, while recruiting DNA-repair proteins to seal the breaks (18). Type II-B systems lack the csn2 gene but possess another additional gene of the cas4 family (5). The Cas4 proteins belong to the PD-(D/E)XK family of nucleases (8) and indeed have been shown to possess 5′-single-stranded DNA exonuclease activity (20). Similar to Csn2, the actual role of Cas4 proteins in the CRISPR-Cas systems remains unknown. Unlike csn2, which is found only in association with the type II-A system, the cas4d gene is not tightly linked to a particular CRISPR-Cas defense mechanism and thus has been proposed to play a role in associated immunity (21). Since the original classification was developed, numerous additional type II CRISPR-Cas systems with only three genes (cas1, cas2 and cas9) in the operon have been identified and a third subtype, type II-C, has been proposed (11,22).

Type II CRISPR-Cas is considered to be the minimal CRISPR-Cas system that includes the CRISPR repeat–spacer array and only four (but often three) cas genes (Figure 1), although additional bacterial factors, in particular trans-activating crRNA (tracrRNA) and RvU2594, contribute to the function of this system (23). All type II CRISPR-Cas modules contain the pair of cas1 and cas2 genes that are required for spacer acquisition (24–26). These two genes are also present in the vast majority of the genomes that contain at least one CRISPR-Cas system of type I or type III. Similar to type I, type II CRISPR-Cas systems require a well-defined short protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) that is located immediately downstream of the protospacer on the non-target DNA strand (27–30). The PAM sequence is important both for spacer acquisition and for target recognition and cleavage (14,27,30–33). The cas9 gene, the signature of type II systems (5), is a large multidomain protein that alone is sufficient for targeting and cleaving the invader DNA (23,33). Two readily identifiable, split nuclease domains of Cas9, namely HNH and RuvC-like nucleases, are required for target DNA cleavage (8,12,27,30,32,33).

Surprisingly, the minimal type II CRISPR-Cas system employs an elaborate, unique processing mechanism of precursor crRNA (pre-crRNA) (Figure 1). Unlike most other systems of types I and III that use a dedicated Cas endonuclease to cleave pre-crRNA by recognizing the repeat units (12,34–37), type II systems use endogenous RNase III and a specialized ribonucleic acid (RNA) molecule, denoted as tracrRNA. The tracrRNAs have been identified in most genomes encoding type II systems and are now considered to be an integral component of this CRISPR-Cas type (22,23). These RNAs are encoded in the vicinity of or within the cas operon and CRISPR array, and all tracrRNA orthologs are characterized by the presence of an anti-repeat sequence homologous to cognate CRISPR repeats. A first processing event involves base-pairing of tracrRNA with the pre-crRNA repeats in the presence of Cas9 to form RNA duplexes that are cleaved by the executioner endogenous RNase III (23). The intermediate crRNA species undergo further maturation resulting in mature individual crRNAs that remain duplexed with tracrRNA in a complex with the Cas9 protein (23,33).

Several type II systems show additional, distinct features (Figure 1). For example, it has been reported that in type II-C of Neisseria meningitidis WUE2594, RNase III is dispensable for the DNA targeting function of CRISPR-Cas (38). Certain mature crRNAs have been shown to be transcribed from promoters embedded within each CRISPR repeat and thus would be produced without the requirement of any maturation event (38). Furthermore, an additional RNA component of type II-B in Francisella novicida U112, denoted as scaRNA (small, CRISPR-Cas-associated RNA), has recently been discovered. Together with scaRNA and Cas9, tracrRNA is involved in the repression of a messenger RNA (mRNA) encoding a lipoprotein implicated in the virulence of F. novicida, a notable case of a non-immune function of the CRISPR-Cas system (39). A link of type II CRISPR-Cas to virulence has also been described in Campylobacter jejuni (40), N. meningitidis (39) and Legionella pneumophila (41).

Given their simplicity and potential for easy RNA programming, type II is the best candidate of all CRISPR-Cas systems for exploitation in genetic engineering. It has been shown that the dual-tracrRNA:crRNA can be replaced with a single guide RNA that combines the two RNA molecules, eliminating the maturation steps required for RNA-programmable Cas9 activation (33). Thus, a variety of guide RNAs can be designed to direct the Cas9 endonuclease for site-specific DNA cleavage and further genetic manipulations such as gene editing, insertion or deletions (32,33). The easy conversion of Cas9 into a nickase was utilized to facilitate homology directed repair in mammalian genomes with reduced mutagenic activity and reported increased specificity (42–45). Furthermore, the DNA-binding capacity of a catalytically inactive Cas9 mutant can be exploited to engineer diverse RNA-programmable devices that can be used to mediate transcriptional silencing or activation, or as DNA modification tools (32,33,46–51). The unprecedented versatility in alternatives of genome engineering and modulation of gene expression makes RNA-programmable Cas9 a unique technology in molecular biology. At the time of this writing, systems for eukaryotic gene targeting using type II CRISPR-Cas systems have been developed for human cells (22,42,45,52,53), monkey (54), pig (55), mice (56,57), zebrafish (58), Drosophila (59), yeast (60), plants (61,62) and Caenorhabditis elegans (63), as well as bacteria (64). The successful, rapid application of sequence-specific RNA-programmable Cas9 for genome editing in
Figure 1. General scheme of the mechanism of type II CRISPR-Cas systems. (A) Proteins responsible for new spacer acquisition are shown for different type II subtypes. (B) Typical type II CRISPR-Cas locus architecture for three major subtypes shown together with a representative strain locus scheme. Red and orange arrows: tracrRNA and scaRNA with transcription direction indicated, respectively; black rectangles: repeats; diamonds: spacers; red rectangles: degenerated repeats; black arrows: pre-crRNA promoters. In type II-B, the localization of the pre-crRNA promoter in relation to the scaRNA is not known (see the paragraph ‘Role of type II CRISPR-Cas in virulence and origin of scaRNA’); the arrow represents only the direction of pre-crRNA transcription. Note the differences in the loci architecture with respect to cas gene composition, tracrRNA and repeat–spacer array transcription orientation and tracrRNA position. (C) Mechanisms of type II CRISPR-Cas systems. The classical DNA targeting pathway, common to all type II CRISPR-Cas systems (middle), involves co-processing of Cas9-stabilized tracrRNA:pre-crRNA duplexes by RNase III upon binding of tracrRNA anti-repeat to the pre-crRNA repeat, followed by trimming of crRNA by a yet unknown mechanism. The mature tracrRNA:crRNA guides the Cas9 endonuclease to introduce site-specifically dsDNA breaks in the invading DNA. The mechanism shown here for the type II-A of S. pyogenes was also shown for the type II-A of S. thermophilus [22,51]. The alternative DNA targeting mechanism (right), described in type II-C of N. meningitidis [38], does not involve RNase III co-processing due to transcription of a short crRNA directly from an upstream repeat-encoded promoter. In type II-B of F. novicida [39], the system evolved to possibly target endogenous mRNA expression (left). We hypothesize that similar to tracrRNA:crRNA-Cas9, the tracrRNA:scaRNA-Cas9 complex is first formed. The scaRNA in the complex would undergo trimming by unknown nucleases [the size of most abundant scaRNA forms is smaller than predicted [39] according to RNAseq data (not shown)]. The tracrRNA:scaRNA-Cas9 further recognizes mRNA upon binding of the tracrRNA 3’ region to the target mRNA leading to its degradation by an unknown mechanism.
a broad variety of cells and organisms demonstrates the power of the system that upon further refinement could supersede such popular genome engineering tools as zinc finger nucleases and Transcription Activator-Like Effector Nucleases (TALENs) (65,66).

Given the high potential of RNA-guided Cas9 as a tool for genome manipulation, the diversity of the type II CRISPR-Cas systems across bacterial genomes is of special interest. We recently demonstrated high variability among Cas9, dual-RNA structure and PAM sequences (22,31). In addition, we characterized functional exchangeability among dual-RNA and Cas9 orthologs according to their phylogenetically defined co-evolution (31). Thus, the collection of bacterial dual-RNA-Cas9 complexes associated with diverse specific PAM sequences broadens the functional capabilities of the toolbox for multiplex engineering. Here we present an update on comparative genomics and phylogenetic analysis of type II CRISPR-Cas systems and develop a hypothesis on the origin and evolution of all major components of these systems.

**Type II CRISPR-Cas loci in bacterial genomes**

As indicated above, cas9 is the signature gene of type II CRISPR-Cas systems. The typical domain organization of Cas9 proteins is shown in Figure 2. Due to the abundance of the two individual Cas9 nuclease domains in prokaryotic genomes outside of the CRISPR-Cas loci, Cas9 alone is not a suitable probe for computational detection of type II CRISPR-Cas systems, especially given that stand-alone distant Cas9 homologs have been identified (12). To confidently predict the presence of a complete type II CRISPR-Cas system in a genome, other components, such as cas1 and cas2 genes, cognate CRISPR repeats and tracrRNA, have to be identified in the same locus, in addition to the presence of the two nuclease domains within Cas9, although functionality of some apparently incomplete loci cannot be ruled out. Here we present comparative genomic data for a curated set of Cas9 sequences (including stand-alone cas9 genes) identified in currently available complete bacterial genomes (Supplementary Table S1).

To explore statistical trends in the distribution of type II systems in bacteria, we selected a representative set of 661 genomes (the representative with the largest genome was chosen for each genus, to minimize the potential bias toward extensively sequenced groups) and assigned the three major CRISPR-Cas types to each of these genomes (Supplementary Table S2). Of the three types, type II is the least abundant and is present only in ∼5% of the selected genomes (32 in the analyzed representative set), compared with ∼40% for type I and ∼12% for type III. Of the 32 bacterial genomes that encompass type II CRISPR-Cas, 10 possess a type II-A system, 21 have a type II-C system and only one has a type II-B system. Type II is the only major type of CRISPR-Cas systems that has not been detected in archaea. Although type II CRISPR-Cas is relatively rare even in bacteria, statistical analysis for the subset of these genomes that encode at least one CRISPR-Cas system of any type indicates that the presence of type II in bacteria but not in archaea is not a random fluctuation (Chi-square P value = 0.005). The exclusive presence of type II CRISPR-Cas systems in bacteria is compatible with the involvement of RNase III, a primarily bacterial enzyme [except for the presence of this enzyme in several mesophilic archaean (67)], in the function of these variants of CRISPR-Cas. In contrast, random distribution of type II systems across major bacteria phyla cannot be rejected. However, in both the representative set and a larger set of complete and draft genomes (5865) (that was not used for statistical analysis because, unlike the representative set of 661 genus representative genomes, these genomes could not be considered independent), the type II CRISPR-Cas system was not identified in many phyla, namely Cyanobacteria, Chlorobi, Chloroflexi (three major groups of photosynthetic bacteria), Thermotogae, Aquificae, Deinococcus-Thermus and Chlamydia (Supplementary Table S3).

We also detected statistically significant over-representation of type II systems in host-associated (parasitic or commensal) bacteria (P = 4.3E−08) and under-representation in thermophilic bacteria (P = 0.0019). These observations suggest that environmental traits substantially contribute to the distribution of type II CRISPR-Cas systems among bacteria. In particular, horizontal gene transfer (HGT) of this system might have been favored in environments with diverse bacterial communities such as animal-associated microbiomes. Conversely, given that archaea lack type II CRISPR-Cas systems, HGT from archaean to bacterial thermophiles appears to be out of the question, in accordance with the under-representation of type II among the latter. In agreement with these findings, indications of the presence of type II CRISPR-Cas systems in diverse human- and animal-associated microbiomes have been reported (68–70).

Another notable observation is the narrow spread of type II-B systems (because of its low abundance, it is not currently amenable for statistical analysis). This system is present only in some representatives of several bacterial genera, namely Francisella, Parasutterella, Sutterella, Legionella, Wolinella (Proteobacteria) and Leptospira (Spirochaeta), most of which are pathogens or commensals (Supplementary Table S1).

It is not uncommon for type II systems to be present in a genome along with other CRISPR-Cas systems. In our representative set of genomes, type II co-occurred with type I CRISPR-Cas systems in eight genomes, with type III systems in two genomes, and with both in the genome of the alphaproteobacterium Tistrella mobilis that encompasses subtypes III-A, I-C and II-C. The only other example of all three systems present in one genome is Azospirillum B510 (III-A, I-C and II-C) from the full genome set. In addition, some Streptococcus thermophilus strains that are widely employed as models in CRISPR research encompass two type II-A systems (that belong to two distinct groups; see details below) along with a type III-A system in LMD9 (23,27), and with a type I-E and a type III-A in DGCC7710 (71,72). In Streptococcus pyogenes SF370, similar to some other S. pyogenes strains, type II-A also is present along with I-C systems (23). In addition, a fusion between type I-C and type II-C systems has been detected in the genome of the uncultured Termite group 1 bacterium phylotype Rs D17 (72).
Evolution of type II CRISPR-Cas subtypes

The cas1 gene phylogeny and cas operon organization are pivotal for the classification of subtypes of CRISPR-Cas systems. In a previous analysis, the majority of type II systems formed a clade in the Cas1 tree (5). With many more sequenced genomes now available, we updated this analysis with a focus on the evolution of distinct subtypes of type II CRISPR-Cas.

The first question we addressed was whether the monophyly of all three subtypes of type II was supported by the Cas1 phylogeny. We generated a representative set of Cas1 sequences from all completely sequenced genomes to reconstruct a multiple alignment and a phylogenetic tree (Figure 3A and Supplementary Figures S1 and S2; see Supplementary Materials and Methods for details). The resulting tree strongly supports monophyly of the Cas1 sequences of type II, with the exception of type II-B. The type II-B Cas1 sequences form a clade within a subtree that consists mostly of type I-A but also includes representatives of other groups from type I and type III (Figure 3A). Furthermore, several conserved protein sequence motifs that are characteristic of the Cas1 sequences of the major type II clade are absent in the type II-B group (Supplementary Figure S1). Notably, II-B is the only type II subtype that shares cas4 genes with several type I subtypes. We further addressed the origin of the cas4 and cas2 genes associated with the type II-B systems.

Figure 2. Schematic representation of Cas9 domain organization, motifs and relationships with distant homologs. (A) A general view of the domain architecture of Cas9. (B) Comparison of the domain organizations and conserved sequences motifs between the major groups of Cas9 proteins. (C) Domain architectures of distant homologs of Cas9. Homologous regions are shown by the same color. Compare with Supplementary Figure S8. The S. pyogenes Cas9 schematic representation with domains and domain boundaries according to the Cas9 structures (76,77) is shown in (A). See Supplementary Figure S4. Distinct sequence motifs are denoted by the corresponding conserved amino acid residues. The residues indicated in (A) are conserved in all five Cas9 groups and in (B), within the given subtype. Compare with Supplementary Figure S4. The size of a domain or a distinct region is roughly proportional to the length and the motifs are shown in accordance with their approximate position within a respective protein. The scheme was derived from the multiple alignments of each group. The color code to the left of the protein schematics in (B) corresponds to the major branches of the Cas9 phylogenetic tree in Figure 4. HTH: helix turn helix DNA-binding domain; R-rich: arginine-rich region; HNH: nuclease of the corresponding family.
To this end, we collected all cas2 and cas4 genes co-localized with the representative cas1 genes and constructed phylogenetic trees for the corresponding proteins. Although the tree of Cas2 is not as reliable as the Cas1 tree due to the low sequence conservation and small size of the Cas2 proteins, the overall topology of this tree is largely consistent with that of Cas1 and also shows clustering of type II-B with type I-A (along with several type I-B and type III sequences; Figure 3A). A similar observation was made as a result of the phylogenetic analysis of Cas4 (Figure 3A). Thus, all three genes (cas1, cas2 and cas4) that type II-B CRISPR-Cas systems share with other CRISPR-Cas types clearly originate from type I, most likely subtype IA.

We further examined the characteristics of CRISPR repeats in the organisms that possess type I and/or type III CRISPR-Cas systems but cluster with type II-B in the Cas1 tree (Supplementary Figure S3). The repeats of type II-B more closely resemble the repeats from these organisms than those of the other type II systems including the characteristic length of the repeats, 37 nt, in contrast to the repeats of other type II systems most of which consist of 36 nucleotides and encompass distinct signature motifs (Figure 3B, Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure S3; see also a more detailed discussion below). These observations are compatible with the results of independent clustering of the repeats that was based on repeat length and sequence similarity analysis (73). Thus, cas1, cas2 and cas4 as well as the CRISPR repeats of type II-B CRISPR-Cas systems appear to originate from type I systems, probably via recombination. Given the extensive diversity of the type I CRISPR-
Cas systems, which is in a sharp contrast to the uniformity and narrow spread of the type II-B systems, the opposite direction of evolution can be effectively ruled out.

To investigate the evolution of type II CRISPR-Cas systems in greater detail, we performed phylogenetic analysis of Cas9 as described earlier (31). A representative set of Cas9 sequences from the genomes that encompass type II systems was constructed (see Supplementary Table S1 and Supplementary Materials and Methods for details). This sequence set was used to construct a phylogenetic tree from the conserved sequence blocks that are present in all Cas9 sequences (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure S4). For comparison, we also reconstructed a phylogenetic tree for the Cas1 proteins from the same operons as the selected Cas9 (Supplementary Figures S5 and S6) and analyzed their genomic neighborhoods (Figure 4). Both Cas9 and Cas1 trees reveal monophyly of the II-A and II-B subtypes (Figure 4). All the genomes that encode subtype II-A possess either a csn2 gene or previously uncharacterized genes that, as shown below, encode derived members of the Csn2 family (22). In both trees, the II-A branch is embedded within type II-C in which the cas operon contains only the cas1, cas2 and cas9 genes. Thus, type II-A appears to be a derivative of type II-C, with the csn2 gene acquired by the type II-A ancestor rather than lost during the evolution of type II-C. The conservation of csn2 in all members of the monophyletic type II-A group is most likely linked to its essential function in adaptation. The absence of the csn2 gene in type II-C implies that in these systems adaptation occurs via a
distinct molecular mechanism that might involve additional bacterial factors.

Comparative genomics as well as experimental studies indicate that CRISPR-Cas loci are prone to HGT (5,7). Apart from the hybrid origin of the type II-B systems (see above), the present analysis reveals many likely cases of HGT. Generally, neither the Cas9 nor the Cas1 phylogenetic trees agree with the phylogeny of the respective organisms (Figure 4). Even at relatively short evolutionary distances, ample evidence of HGT is apparent (31). Furthermore, when the Cas9 protein sequences were clustered by sequence similarity using BLASTCLUST, many of the resulting clusters included bacteria of different classes (e.g. Clostridia and Bacilli in cluster 9, Supplementary Table S1). However, no clustering of sequences from different phyla are replaced and thus Csn2 proteins are not predicted to prevent frequent HGT between distantly related bacteria.

Csn2, a common but fast evolving component of type II-A CRISPR-Cas systems

The next step in our exploration of the type II-A sub-group (Figure 4) involved an in-depth analysis of several genes in the respective operons that could not be confidently identified as Csn2 homologs using either PSI-BLAST or search of the Conserved Domain Database (74) which identified as Csn2 homologs using either PSI-BLAST or genes in the respective operons that could not be confidently grouped (Figure 4). The next step in our exploration of the type II-A sub-group (Figure 4) involved an in-depth analysis of several genes in the respective operons that could not be confidently identified as Csn2 homologs using either PSI-BLAST or search of the Conserved Domain Database (74) which includes sequence profiles for all major domains of Cas proteins (5). These uncharacterized genes co-localize with cas9 genes that belong to three deep branches within the type II-A clade, namely Mycoplasmas, Planococcus antarcticus, Staphylococcus pseudintermedius and Staphylococcus lugdunensis (Figure 4) (22). Using PSI-BLAST, homologs of these uncharacterized proteins in the Non-redundant protein sequence database were identified and a representative set for each subfamily was constructed (see Supplementary Table S1 and Supplementary Figure S7 for details). To search for remote sequence similarity, we used the HH-PRED method with query sequences representing each of the three groups. In all three cases, HH-PRED identified significant similarity of these proteins to known Csn2 sequences, suggesting that all three groups of uncharacterized proteins are diverged members of the Csn2 family (Supplementary Figure S7). This finding is in agreement with the positions of the respective Cas9 and Cas1 proteins in the phylogenetic trees and shows that Csn2 remains a perfect signature of type II-A. Superposition of the Csn2 protein architectures onto the phylogenetic trees of Cas9 and Cas1 implies that the long form of Csn2 is ancestral whereas the short form is derived (Figure 4).

We used the sequence similarity identified with HH-PRED to adjust the multiple alignment of all five Csn2 sub-families (Figure 5 and Supplementary Figure S7). In agreement with the structure comparison results, HH-PRED identified the similarity between the Csn2 sequences and various ATP-Binding Cassette (ABC) ATPases (Figure 5A and Supplementary Figure S7). The similarity with ATPases is limited to the fold core including the region spanning the Walker A and B motifs (75). However, the characteristic amino acids involved in ATP and Mg2+ binding are replaced and thus Csn2 proteins are not predicted to bind ATP or Mg2+, consistent with the experimental results (15,16,19). We also found that previously identified lysine residues involved in DNA binding are not highly conserved even among the short forms of Csn2 (Supplementary Figure S7). The C-terminal regions of the three Csn2 families are extremely diverse. The short variants lack the C-terminal extension whereas in the other subfamilies these regions are not alignable. However, based on the secondary structure prediction, it can be suggested that at least three families are structurally similar whereas the fourth is clearly distinct and might have an additional subdomain at the C-terminus (Figure 5B).

Origin of the enzymatic domains of Cas9 from common transposon-related proteins

The detailed analysis of Cas9 domains has been reported previously (8,12). Three regions of homology with the RuvC-like nucleases (RNase H fold) were identified; the predicted RuvC-like domain of Cas9 is interrupted by inserts of variable lengths (Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure S4). An uninterrupted HNH (McrA-like) nuclease domain is located in the middle of the protein (12). The arginine-rich region recently proposed to be involved in RNA binding (39) is present in all Cas9 proteins immediately downstream of the first region of RuvC homology. The large N-terminal insert is predominantly alpha-helical and shows the greatest variability among subtypes and even within subtypes of type II CRISPR-Cas systems (Supplementary Figure S4).

After the original version of this Survey and Summary was submitted for publication, two independent studies have been published reporting crystal structures of Cas9 proteins (76,77). The first of these studies describes the structures of the type II-A Cas9 from S. pyogenes (PDB: 4CMP) and the type II-C Cas9 from Actinomyces naeslundii (PDB: 4OGE) (77). The second study describes the structure of the S. pyogenes Cas9 co-crystallized with the guide RNA and the target DNA, and provides detailed information on the amino acid residues involved in the interaction with both RNA and DNA and the catalysis of the DNA cleavage (76). Both reports (76,77) describe two-lobed structures, with the target DNA and guide RNA positioned in the interface between the two lobes. In agreement with the secondary structure prediction, the N-terminal lobe is mostly alpha-helical and could be divided into two subdomains whereas the second lobe encompasses two beta-stranded subdomains. Two specific loops in both lobes contribute to the recognition of the PAM. Several portions of the Cas9 molecule are intrinsically disordered, at least under certain conditions; in particular, this is the case of the HNH domain prior to its interaction with both RNA and DNA. Outside the RuvC and HNH domains, the Cas9 structure shows no structural similarity to other proteins.

The sequence downstream of the last RuvC homology region belongs to the nuclease lobe of Cas9 and consists of two subdomains that adopt distinct alpha/beta secondary structures, and a loop that is involved in PAM recognition. This region of Cas9 showed no significant sequence or structural similarity to any known proteins (76,77) but contains a small structural motif, the Greek key, that is found
Figure 5. Multiple alignment of Csn2 subfamilies and comparison of their specific structural elements. (A) The multiple sequence alignment was constructed using the MUSCLE program for each Csn2 subfamily, separately. The alignments were then superimposed on the basis of conserved regions identified by HHpred with some manual adjustment based on secondary structure predictions (see Supplementary Materials and Methods for details). The alignment with several ATPase sequences is based on Vector Alignment Search Tool (VAST) structural alignments with the structure of Csn2 of S. thermophilus (3ZTH) (17) used as a query (see Supplementary Materials and Methods for details). The sequences are denoted by their GI numbers and species names. Secondary structure predictions and the secondary structure elements mapped to the respective crystal structures of the Csn2 long and short subfamilies are shown above the alignment for each Csn2 family. The positions of the first and last residues of the aligned region in the corresponding protein are indicated for each sequence. The numbers within the alignment represent poorly conserved inserts that are not shown. Secondary structure prediction is shown as follows: H indicates α-helix and E indicates extended conformation (β-strand). The positions strongly conserved in three families with a larger number of representatives are shown by reverse shading. The coloring is based on the 70% consensus built for a larger alignment (Supplementary Figure S7). Specific 90% consensus is also shown underneath the alignment for each family: ‘m’ indicates hydrophobic residues (WFYMLIVA), ‘c’ indicates charged residues (EDKRHR) and ‘s’ indicates small residues (AGS). (B) Schematic representation of structures (actual and predicted) of five distinct Csn2 subfamilies. Cylindrical shape represents α-helix and arrow β-strand.
in numerous OB-fold domains in diverse RNA and DNA-binding proteins (77). Here we show several additional motifs that are conserved in different Cas9 subfamilies and might be of interest for future mutagenesis studies, especially in the context of recent structural data (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure S4).

Previously, several families of distant Cas9 homologs that are encoded outside of CRISPR-Cas loci have been identified (12). These proteins contain the RuvC-like and arginine-rich domains, and in some cases, also contain the HNH domain (Figure 2). We updated the set of these uncharacterized Cas9 homologs that are encoded in the current collection of sequenced genomes. *Kiedonobacter racemifer* remains the species with the largest number of stand-alone Cas9 homologs (49). Furthermore, 15 more genomes encode 10 or more paralogs suggesting that these genes belong to uncharacterized mobile elements. To address this possibility, we performed additional searches aimed at the identification of distant homologs of Cas9. Indeed, HHpred searches detected significant similarity between the Cyan7822_6324-like subfamily of Cas9 homologs (GI: 297585104 from *Bacillus selenitireducens* was used as the query) and OrfB protein (also known as TnpB) from a variety of transposons of the IS605 family (Supplementary Figure S8). The similarity covers the N-terminal RuvC-like motif and the arginine-rich motif. The reverse search started from a TnpB protein (GI: 386713960 IS1341-type transposase from *Halobacillus halophilus*) identified a highly significant similarity with the RuvC nuclease and many RuvC homologs. The TnpB superfamily has recently been analyzed in detail, and many homologs of these proteins, dubbed Fanzors, have also been detected in diverse eukaryotic mobile elements (78). However, the similarity of the conserved motifs identified in the TnpB–Fanzor family to those of RuvC-like nucleases was missed in these analyses. The TnpB–Fanzor proteins do not contain transposase domains, and it has been shown that OrfB is not required for the transposition of IS605 transposons (79). The *TnpB* genes are often associated with various transposases but there are also many transposases that encompass the *TnpB* gene only (78). Accordingly, it has been hypothesized that such TnpB-only transposons employ a transposase in trans (78). It seems likely that both families of distant homologs of Cas9 retain this ability and are transposable with the help of transposases of other mobile elements. Such mobility would explain the extensive proliferation of these genes in many genomes. In accordance with this hypothesis, we identified several cases when these proteins are associated with group II introns [e.g. GI: 428315656 *Oscillatoria* PCC 7112, which transposes via a reverse transcription mechanism (80)]. The numerous Cas9 and TnpB homologs that contain a RuvC-like nuclease domain and an arginine-rich region can be predicted to possess DNase activity, the role of which in the life cycle of the respective transposons remains to be determined.

Evolution of type II associated CRISPR repeats and tracrRNA

Although CRISPR repeats and tracrRNA are much less amenable to phylogenetic analysis than *cas* genes because of their small size and low conservation, comparison of these sequences might provide insights into the evolution of these components within the subtypes and reveal potential exchange of repeats between CRISPR-Cas loci. Recently we reported a detailed analysis of tracrRNA anti-repeats and their base-pairing with cognate repeat sequences within the three type II subtypes and delineated several subgroups based on the similarity of repeat lengths and localization of the *tracrRNA* genes (22). Here, we identified full-length tracrRNA sequences for 63 of the 88 representative type II loci and validated the CRISPR repeat sequences according to their transcription direction (Supplementary Table S4). The updated information about the consensus locus architecture is summarized in Figure 4. We also provide a thermal map representation of the matrix of similarity within the CRISPR repeat and tracrRNA sequences (Supplementary Figure S9). The pairwise comparison of repeat sequences results in a grouping of repeats that is consistent with the Cas9 clustering. The repeat sequences within the groups share similar characteristics, especially at the 5′ and 3′ ends (Figure 4). Generally, CRISPR repeats of type II system are weakly palindromic (i.e. presumably unfolded), and typically 36 nt in length. However, as already pointed out above, type II-B repeats are 37 nt long with a distinct motif and with only the 5′-terminal part of the repeats conserved. Some longer repeats of up to 48 nt in length were found exclusively in a subset of type II-C loci, mainly in *Bacteroidetes*. Except one analyzed locus, all the repeat sequences start with G.

Despite considerable divergence of the respective Cas9 proteins and the existence of several clearly defined subgroups, which correlate with distinct subfamilies of Csn2 proteins and with distinct position and orientation of tracrRNA, all CRISPR repeats of type II-A share a clear pattern of similarity. This is less obvious in the type II-C where a certain degree of similarity is only observed within subclusters (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure S9).

As discussed above, type II-C is extremely diverse in terms of sequence similarity of protein components, RNA components and repeats. Most of the repeats, except the *Bacteroidetes* cluster with longer repeat sequences, show conservation of the last nucleotide (T, rather than C like in type II-A; Figure 4). The repeat–spacer array is in most cases transcribed from the strand opposite to the *cas* operon and the first, not the last (as in many type I and most type II-A systems), repeat is degenerated (Supplementary Figure S10). *N. meningitidis* and *C. jejuni* contain functional promoters within the repeats (38), specifically, inside the AT-rich, conserved 3′ part of the repeats of at least two groups of type II-C. However, the lack of perfect conservation suggests that these promoters either are absent in other genomes encoding the type II-C system or are very weak. The latter possibility is consistent with recent experimental observations (38).

The tracrRNA is required for both RNase III-dependent pre-tracrRNA processing and interference with DNA and has to be complementary to the cognate repeat (23). TracrRNAs were identified in the majority of representative type II CRISPR-Cas systems in various locations within CRISPR loci and in different orientations with respect to the *cas* operon and the repeat–spacer array, although usu-
ally closely related genomes share similar characteristics (22). The length of the predicted tracrRNAs is highly variable (#72–171 nt) and cannot be confidently aligned even for some closely related loci (Supplementary Table S4). The pairwise comparison further corroborates the diversity in tracrRNA sequences showing clusters of similar tracrRNA sequences only for very closely related loci (Supplementary Figure S9). Base-pairing of tracrRNA and CRISPR repeats typically involves long sequence stretches, although only within tracrRNA anti-repeats. The diversity of tracrRNA length and nucleotide sequences upstream and downstream of the anti-repeats (22,23), together with the functionality of substantially truncated tracrRNA-derived parts of single guide RNAs that have been used for genome editing, suggest that the tracrRNA sequences outside the functional anti-repeat can be essentially random (33). However, the recent structural study of *S. pyogenes* Cas9 in complex with a single guide RNA and target DNA (76) demonstrates the importance of certain structural features within tracrRNA. A comparative analysis of DNA cleavage efficiency in human cells using a series of mutations of the single guide RNA based on the original *S. pyogenes* dual-tracrRNA-CRISPR repeat suggests that Cas9 utilizes most efficiently long RNAs with structural features of naturally occurring tracrRNA, namely a three short stem-loop structure following the anti-repeat (76). Notably, the first stem-loop located immediately downstream of the repeat:anti-repeat duplex seems to be essential for the recognition of the guide RNA by Cas9 whereas deletion of the second and third stem-loop structures substantially decreases but does not abolish the DNA cleavage activity. The solved structure of the complex further shows that all these structural elements interact with Cas9 (76). Mutations that affect the stem sequence but not the structure have only a slight negative effect on the DNA cleavage efficiency. Even the heavily mutated guide RNA with almost one-third of the sequence exchanged within the duplex and all three stem loops, but with preserved secondary structure, remained functional in the assay, albeit with a 2-fold lower efficiency (76). Here, we modeled the putative secondary structures of tracrRNAs base-paired with cognate CRISPR repeats for all the predicted sequences (Supplementary Tables S4 and S5). Although Cas9 binding might affect the guide RNA structure and thus the predictions are unlikely to be fully accurate, we identified the three similar stem-loop structures with short G/C-rich stems downstream of the repeat:anti-repeat duplex in RNAs from loci closely related to *S. pyogenes*, e.g. in *S. mutans, S. thermophilus, Listeria innocua, Coriobacterium glomerans* or *Lactobacillus carabinis*. Similar short stem-loops were also found in other type II-A and many type II-C RNAs. Along the same lines, closely related loci of *N. meningitidis* and *P. multocida* seem to encode RNAs with similar secondary structure characteristics, namely two stem-loops downstream of the repeat:anti-repeat duplex.

Despite the diversity and low conservation of tracrRNA and crRNA repeat sequences, there are some indications of their co-evolution (22,23). The functionality of tracrRNA requires complementarity of the tracrRNA anti-repeat with the CRISPR repeat. A comparison of predicted secondary structures of tracrRNA antirepeat:crRNA repeat duplexes among closely related species shows compensatory mutations within RNAs resulting in the maintenance of both base-pairing and the secondary structure of the ds region [Supplementary Figure S11;(23)]. Our recent results further support the co-evolution of the type II crRNAs with Cas9 (31). Orthologous Cas9 proteins can utilize non-cognate tracrRNA and crRNA as guide sequences only when these RNAs originate from loci with highly similar Cas9 sequences, as exemplified for the groups of *S. pyogenes, S. mutans* and *S. thermophilus* (CRISPR3) and the pair of *N. meningitidis* and *P. multocida* (31). The RNAs and Cas9 proteins from more distantly related loci can still be exchangeable although with lowered cleavage efficiency (N. meningitidis or P. multocida with *C. jejuni*; see Figure 4). The same study suggests the involvement of the secondary structure of tracrRNA anti-repeat:crRNA repeat duplex in the specific recognition by Cas9 based on similar structure characteristics shared among exchangeable RNAs (31). This hypothesis is corroborated by the recent structural study that indicates the importance of the asymmetric bulge of tracrRNA within the *S. pyogenes* anti-repeat:repeat duplex (76). A mutation in the tracrRNA that removes the bulge and a mutation in the bulge sequence both abrogate the DNA cleavage activity of the Cas9 complex. Moreover, the bulge has been shown to directly interact with Cas9 (76). According to *in silico* predictions, this bulge is a conserved structural feature of RNAs from type II-A loci closely related to that of *S. pyogenes* including the exchangeable RNAs of *S. pyogenes, S. mutans* and *S. thermophilus* (CRISPR3) (31).

**Role of type II CRISPR-Cas in bacterial virulence and origin of scaRNA**

The ability of CRISPR-Cas to limit horizontal transfer of mobile genetic elements can impact bacterial fitness and pathogenicity. An inverse relationship between the presence of a CRISPR-Cas locus and acquired antibiotic resistance has been described in *Enterococcus faecalis* (81). Similarly, an association between CRISPR spacer content and antibiotic susceptibility in *S. pyogenes* has been reported (82). By targeting multiple temperate phages, CRISPR-Cas can limit the acquisition of virulence genes in *S. pyogenes* (23). A comparative analysis of *S. pyogenes* genomes demonstrates an inverse correlation between the presence of CRISPR-Cas systems and the amount of CRISPR spacers with the number of integrated prophages (23,83).

Remarkably, type II CRISPR-Cas systems have been shown to affect virulence in *C. jejuni, F. novicida* and *L. pneumophila* (41). In the type II-B system of *F. novicida* U112, scaRNA has been recently identified as part of a complex that includes also Cas9 and tracrRNA. The three components are involved in the repression of the mRNA of a lipoprotein that contributes to the virulence of this bacterium (39). The regulation is likely to involve interaction between sequences located within tracrRNA and the mRNA of the lipoprotein FTN,1103, a process that would trigger mRNA degradation. We analyzed the multiple alignment of the CRISPR loci from the genomes of two *F. novicida* strains, U112 and 3523. The sequences from the two strains contain a variable region upstream of the CRISPR array, around the scaRNA sequence. The vari-
Figure 6. A schematic representation of the scaRNA-tracrRNA locus in Francisella strains. The type II-B CRISPR-Cas locus architecture of representative species (see Figure 4) and diverse Francisella species is shown. Red and yellow arrows: tracrRNA and scaRNA with indicated confirmed (22) or predicted transcription direction, accordingly; black rectangles and green diamonds: repeat–spacer arrays; red rectangles: degenerated repeats; white diamonds: putative spacers of degenerated arrays. Degenerated array spacers with the scaRNA promoter and transcriptional terminator are shown in yellow. Putative promoters of repeat-spacer arrays are shown with dotted arrows. The scaRNA-encoding spacer-repeat-spacer unit was found only in two of the analyzed strains and is incomplete in F. novicida 3523, lacking transcriptional terminator-encoding spacer. Note also the degenerate repeats that are commonly found at the 5′-end of the repeat-spacer array. See Supplementary Figure S12.

able region contains highly degenerated but regularly interspaced repeat sequences, most likely a remnant of an old, not currently active CRISPR array, which is longer in F. novicida 3523 than in the U112 strain (Figure 6 and Supplementary Figure S12). The scaRNA sequence covers only one degenerated repeat completely and another one partially. The scaRNA appears to be transcribed from a promoter located in the putative spacer sequence to a transcriptional terminator located within the next putative spacer sequence. In F. novicida 3523, only the 5′ part of the scaRNA sequence containing the degenerated repeat that can base-pair with tracrRNA is conserved, whereas the 3′ part of the sequence is absent or not conserved and the transcriptional terminator cannot be confidently predicted. Thus, scaRNA might not be expressed as a single RNA species in F. novicida 3523. We hypothesize that scaRNA is not a novel small RNA transcript but rather a fragment of a highly degenerated, old CRISPR array that might be present only in genomes of closely related isolates of Francisella (e.g. F. novicida Fx1). This fragment would still be transcribed (albeit with substantially reduced efficiency) but not processed into mature crRNAs. The degenerated sequence cannot serve as a template for new spacer integration either. Such an inactivated transcript would be prone to mutations and recombination and accordingly would have the potential for the acquisition of a new function. In the case of F. novicida U112, this region might have acquired its own promoter and terminator and started to be transcribed as a single RNA, still retaining the ability to interact with both tracrRNA and Cas9. The promoter and terminator sequences could have been acquired as CRISPR spacer units while the array was still functional.

CONCLUSIONS

Since the demonstration of the activity of type II CRISPR-Cas system in bacterial adaptive defense against phages and plasmids (13,14), and the discovery of the essential role of tracrRNA in crRNA maturation and DNA targeting by Cas9 (23,33), type II CRISPR-Cas systems have been actively studied. Thanks to the minimalist architecture of the interfering complex that consists of the single, multidomain endonuclease Cas9 guided by dual-tracrRNA:crRNA, type II is the obvious top choice of a CRISPR-Cas system for application in genome engineering. Despite the major differences in the mechanisms of crRNA maturation and ap-
paratus required for target DNA cleavage, type I, type II and type III systems share important mechanistic details. Such shared features between different types of CRISPR-Cas systems include the role of PAM as both a prerequisite for target DNA binding and a motif that is required for spacer acquisition and self–non-self discrimination (types I and II) as well as R-loop formation during DNA targeting (types I and II). The duplex structure of the natural dual-tracrRNA:crRNA and the minimalist single engineered guide RNA (sufficient for Cas9 activation) can both be considered mimics of the 3′ hairpin of mature crRNAs that are transcribed from palindromic repeats in CRISPR-Cas types I and III (Figure 1).

We present evidence that reinforces the previously published hypothesis on the origin of type II system (12). First, it is shown that type II-B systems evolved by recombination between Cas9 and a type I CRISPR-Cas locus. Second, we describe the homology between Cas9 and transposon-encoded-predicted nucleases. Conceivably, transposons were the original source of the nuclease moiety of Cas9, and the mobility of these elements would have greatly facilitated recombination. Although there are some mechanistic similarities of the interference processes between type I and type II CRISPR-Cas systems, the N- and C-terminal inserts in the recently determined structures of Cas9 do not show any structural similarity with subunits of Cascade complexes or any other proteins. Therefore, the currently available Cas9 structures do not directly contribute to deciphering the origin of Cas9 beyond the two nuclease domains. In that regard, the structure of type II-B Cas9, which is the largest protein in the family and, as shown here, belongs to the system in which other components most likely originated from type I CRISPR-Cas, could be informative. Of further interest will be structures of distant homologs of Cas9 which are encoded outside CRISPR-Cas loci.

The evolutionary and functional plasticity of type II CRISPR-Cas systems is further demonstrated by the apparent acquisition of the dual-RNA-guided RNA interfering enzyme function by the Cas9 protein of Francisella (39). This transition would be accompanied by the recruitment of the transcript of a degraded array of CRISPR repeat–spacer to tracrRNA as a novel guide dual-RNA. The contribution of this derived type II system to the pathogenicity of Francisella and the involvement of type II CRISPR-Cas in the virulence of C. jejuni (40), N. meningitidis (39) and L. pneumophila (41), taken together with the significant enrichment of type II systems among pathogens and commensals, suggest that rewiring of this system for functions distinct from antiviral defence is a general evolutionary trend. The potential involvement in the regulation of bacterial pathogenicity is another important incentive for detailed study of type II CRISPR-Cas systems.
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