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Management of upper gastrointestinal bleeding in emergency departments, from bleeding symptoms to diagnosis: a prospective, multicenter, observational study

Pierre-Clément Thiebaud¹,², Youri Yordanov¹,³,⁴, Jacques-Emmanuel Galimard⁵, Pierre-Alexis Raynal¹,³, Sébastien Beaune²,⁶, Laurent Jacquin²,⁷, François-Xavier Ageron²,⁸, Dominique Pateron¹,³* and the Initiatives de Recherche aux Urgences Group

Abstract

Background: Upper gastrointestinal bleeding (UGB) is common in emergency departments (EDs) and can be caused by many eso-gastro-duodenal lesions. Most available epidemiological data and data on the management of UGB comes from specialized departments (intensive care units or gastroenterology departments), but little is known from the ED perspective. We aimed to determine the distribution of symptoms revealing UGB in EDs and the hemorrhagic lesions identified by endoscopy. We also describe the characteristics of patients consulting for UGB, UGB management in the ED and patients outcomes.

Method: This was a prospective, observational, multicenter study covering 4 consecutive days in November 2013. Participating EDs were part of the Initiatives de Recherche aux Urgences network coordinated by the French Society of Emergency Medicine. All patients with suspected UGB in these EDs were included.

Results: In total, 110 EDs participated, including 194 patients with suspected UGB (median age 66 years [Q1-Q3: 51-81]). Overall, 104 patients (54%) had hematemesis and 75 (39%) melena. Endoscopy revealed lesions in 121 patients, mainly gastroduodenal ulcer or ulcerations (41%) or bleeding lesions due to portal hypertension (20%). The final diagnosis of UGB was reversed by endoscopy in only 3% of cases. Overall, 67 patients (35%) had at least one severity sign. Twenty-one patients died (11%); 40 (21%) were hospitalized in intensive care units and 126 (65%) in medicine departments; 28 (14%) were outpatients. Mortality was higher among patients with clinical and biological severity signs.

Conclusion: Most of the UGB cases in EDs are revealed by hematemesis. The emergency physician diagnosis of UGB is rarely challenged by the endoscopic findings.
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Background

Upper gastrointestinal bleeding (UGB) is a common emergency, with a variety of eso-gastro-duodenal symptoms as hematemesis, melena, less often hematochezia or anemia. It can be caused by various potentially serious lesions, as peptic ulcers or varices [1]. UGB annual incidence tends to decrease, influenced by the latest therapeutical developments in the management of peptic ulcers or the prevention of portal hypertension complications [2]. But it remains relatively high because of the widespread use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS) and anti-thrombotic agents [3, 4]. Current cases of UGB occur in patients older than previously described [4–6]. Several recommendations regarding UGB management have been published, including therapeutic interventions initiated by the emergency physicians. The implementation of these recommendations could affect patients’ prognosis [2, 7, 8].

Very few studies have been conducted in the emergency setting (hospital and pre-hospital settings) to describe UGB epidemiology and management [9]. Existing epidemiological data usually concern UGB in patients hospitalized in gastroenterology, intensive care units or after an endoscopy [10–13]. But these patients represent only a portion of all those with UGB seen in emergency departments (EDs). Specifically targeting UGB patients presenting in EDs could give us a more comprehensive epidemiological description.

Therefore, we aimed at describing the distribution of symptoms revealing UGB, hemorrhagic lesions identified by endoscopy, and management of patients with UGB, including outcomes.

Methods

This prospective, observational, multicenter study was conducted over 4 consecutive days in November 2013. We included the 110 EDs, 17 with a prehospital medical unit, that were part of a network of clinical research (initiatives de Recherche aux Urgences [IRU]) coordinated by the French Society of Emergency Medicine [SFMU]. The IRU correspondent for each ED was responsible for patients’ inclusion and data extraction. The STROBE recommendations for reporting of observational studies were followed [14].

Patients

All patients with suspected UGB, hematemesis with or without melena, melena without hematemesis, hematochezia or other symptoms (acute anemia, hemorrhagic shock or syncope) suggestive of hemorrhage, who were seen in one of the participating pre-hospital or hospital EDs were included by the emergency physicians of the participating departments. Exclusion criteria were patients aged less than 18 years old, refusal to participate or already included in the study once. For each patient, the following data were collected: type of first contact with an emergency medicine structure (hospital or pre-hospital), age, sex, first symptoms (hematemesis, melena, other), medical history (cirrhosis, ulcer and other comorbidities), and NSAIDS and/or antithrombotic treatment. In light of existing epidemiological data, showing an annual incidence of high digestive bleeding of 100 to 150/100,000 inhabitants [5], the number of centres in the IRU network and the duration of the study of 4 days, we expected to include 150 to 300 cases of UGB. We estimated the prevalence of UGB with 2013 data from the French Emergency Survey (FES) and the National Institute of Statistics and Economic Studies (INSEE) data.

Assessment of disease severity

Data for the initial clinical items related to severity were collected, including signs related to blood loss (heart rate > 100 bpm, systolic blood pressure < 90 mmHg, marbling, altered mental status) and haemoglobinemia (> 10, 7–10 and < 7 g/dL hemoglobin level).

Management and treatment

The following therapeutic measures, done in the ED, were collected: placement of a nasogastric tube; fluid administration; transfusion; and use of proton pump inhibitors, vasopressors (somatostatin, octreotide, terlipressin), catecholamines (adrenaline, norepinephrine), anticoagulation reversal and antibiotics. The use of erythromycin before endoscopy was also noted. Endoscopy data concerning bleeding lesions and hemostasis procedures were noted, as were the performance of any imaging test (ultrasonography, CT). Patients outcome (hospitalised or outpatient), final diagnosis and hospital deaths were also collected.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are presented as median, first and third quartile (Q1-Q3) and were compared using the Wilcoxon rank sum test. Categorical variables are expressed as number and percentage. They were compared using Fisher’s exact test. Statistical analyses were two-tailed, and a p value less than 0.05 was considered significant. Analyses were performed using R statistical software, version 3.1.3 (www.r-project.org).

Ethics

The study was approved by the institutional review board (IRB) (Comité de protection des personnes, Ile de France XI, Paris, France) and the Advisory Committee on Information Processing in Material Research in the Field of Health (CCTIRS). Patients, or their next of kin,
were informed that a study was being led and that their data might be used. They could refuse being included.

Results
During the study, we have included 194 patients with suspected UGB. No patients declined participation, no patients were excluded due to multiple inclusions, and two patients younger than 18 years old were not included in the study. The participating EDs received 46,190 visits during the study period and UGB was suspected in 0.42% of the situations. Thus, the estimated incidence of UGB in France, in 2013, was 122/100,000 inhabitants. Out of the 194 included patients, 24 received initial prehospital medical care (12%), median age was 66 years [Q1-Q3 51–81] and 105 (54%) were male. Overall, 104 (54%) had hematemesis and 75 melena (39%). For 15 patients (8%), the suspicion was based on other symptoms (Table 1). Bleeding externalization was observed during the ED stay of a 102 patients (53%). The flow from first symptoms to endoscopy diagnosis is reported in Fig. 1. In total, 148 patients (76%) underwent endoscopy during their hospital stay, out of which 44 (23%) during the ED stay; 9/44 (20%) received erythromycin and 12/44 (27%) a hemostatic

Table 1 General characteristics and treatments of patients presenting an upper gastrointestinal bleeding (UGB)

|                              | Arrival at the ED | Pre-hospital management | Total n = 194 | P value |
|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Age (median [Q1–Q3])         | n = 170           |                         |               |         |
| Sex (men; n, %)              | n = 24            |                         |               |         |
| Initial symptoms suggesting UGB, n (%) |               |                         |               |         |
| Hematemesis                  | 87 (51)           | 17 (71)                 | 104 (54)      | 0.096   |
| Melena                       | 70 (41)           | 5 (21)                  | 75 (39)       |         |
| Hematochezia                 | 6 (4)             | 2 (8)                   | 8 (4)         |         |
| Other                        | 7 (4)             | 0 (0)                   | 7 (4)         |         |
| Medical history and medication, n (%) | n = 143     |                         | n = 163       | 1.00    |
| Known cirrhosis              | 31 (22)           | 4 (20)                  | 35 (21)       |         |
| Known ulcer                  | 33 (23)           | 5 (25)                  | 38 (23)       | 0.78    |
| Non-steroid anti-inflammatory drugs | 10 (7)         | 2 (10)                  | 12 (7)        | 0.64    |
| Antithrombotic agents        | 54 (38)           | 8 (40)                  | 62 (38)       | 1.00    |
| Comorbidity                  | 57 (40)           | 5 (25)                  | 62 (38)       | 0.25    |
| Exterorized bleeding in the ED, n (%) | n = 86        |                         | n = 167       | 0.19    |
| Clinical features of severity, n (%) | n = 116      |                         | n = 188       |         |
| Heart rate > 100 bpm          | 36 (22)           | 12 (55)                 | 48 (26)       | 0.003   |
| Systolic arterial pressure < 90 mmHg | 27 (16)       | 8 (36)                  | 35 (19)       | 0.037   |
| Marbling                     | 5 (3)             | 3 (14)                  | 8 (4)         | 0.053   |
| Altered mental status        | 5 (3)             | 4 (18)                  | 9 (5)         | 0.012   |
| Hemoglobin level, n (%)< 7 g/dL | n = 120         |                         | n = 194       | 0.77    |
| Treatments in ED, n (%)       | n = 170           |                         | n = 194       |         |
| Nasogastric tube             | 15 (9)            | 4 (17)                  | 19 (10)       | 0.26    |
| Fluid administration         | 55 (32)           | 12 (50)                 | 67 (35)       | 0.11    |
| Transfusion                  | 71 (42)           | 1 (4)                   | 72 (37)       | 0.0002  |
| Proton pump inhibitors       | 132 (78)          | 8 (33)                  | 140 (72)      | < 0.0001|
| Vasopressors                 | 33 (19)           | 3 (13)                  | 36 (19)       | 0.58    |
| Catecholamines               | 2 (1)             | 2 (8)                   | 4 (2)         | 0.075   |
| Antibiotics< excluding erythromycin | 8 (5)          |                         | —             |         |
| Vitamin K antagonist reversal| 18 (11)           | 1 (4)                   | 19 (10)       | 0.048   |
| Erythromycin                 | 16 (9)            | 1 (4)                   | 17 (9)        | 0.70    |

*for 193 of 194 patients
*for 163 of 194 patients
*for 192 of 194 patients
*for 188 of 194 patients
*for 189 of 194 patients
*for 170 of 194 patients
procedure. Endoscopy confirmed the diagnosis and revealed lesion explaining UGB in 121/148 patients (82%) (Table 2). Gastrroduodenal ulcer (44/148 patients, 30%) was the most frequent lesion followed by variceal bleeding (30/148, 20%) and gastritis (16/148, 11%). In 22/148 patients (15%), no lesion was found. In 5/148 (3%) the diagnosis of UGB was overturned, with lower gastrointestinal bleeding finally diagnosed.

Overall, 67/194 patients (35%) had at least one severity sign: 48 (26%) tachycardia, 35 (19%) hypotension, some could present several severity sign (Table 1). Thirty-nine patients (21%) had a hemoglobin level < 7 g/dL and 72 (37%) underwent transfusion. Data regarding type of UGB management are presented in Table 1. Thirty-five patients (21%) had a known cirrhosis. Clinical characteristics and outcomes depending on presence or not of cirrhosis are presented in Table 3. Patients with a history of cirrhosis were more often younger and males than those without cirrhosis. They presented with a significantly higher proportion of severity signs, as heart rate > 100 bpm ($p = 0.006$), marbling ($p = 0.031$) or an altered mental status ($p = 0.015$). A total of 21 patients

Fig. 1 Flow of patients from the first symptoms of suspected upper gastrointestinal bleeding (UGB) to endoscopy diagnosis. $N =$ total number of patients. $n1 =$ patients arriving to the emergency department. $n2 =$ patients initially assessed by a pre-hospital medical team.
(11%) died, of which 3 during the pre-hospital phase; 40 (21%) were hospitalized in intensive care units, 126 (65%) in medicine departments and 28 (14%) were outpatients.

Mortality was significantly higher for hypotensive patients ($p = 0.004$), with marbling ($p = 0.042$) or altered mental status ($p = 0.0008$). Exteriorized bleeding in the ED was also associated to a higher mortality rate ($p = 0.035$). Deceased patients received more frequently vasopressors ($p = 0.0009$) or catecholamines ($p = 0.004$). Although not significant, there seem to be a trend between mortality and cirrhosis ($p = 0.071$) and transfusion ($p = 0.057$) (Table 4).

**Table 2** Final diagnosis

| Endoscopy performed, $n = 148$ (76%) | Final diagnosis          | n (%) |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| Ulcers                             | 44 (30)                  |
| Gastritis                          | 16 (11)                  |
| Variceal bleeding                  | 30 (20)                  |
| Esophagitis                        | 12 (8)                   |
| Mallory-Weiss tear                 | 7 (5)                    |
| Cancer                             | 12 (8)                   |
| Lower gastrointestinal bleeding    | 5 (3)                    |
| None                               | 22 (15)$^a$              |
| Lower gastrointestinal bleeding    | 4 (9)                    |
| None                               | 42 (91)$^b$              |

$^a$5 outpatients included  
$^b$23 outpatients included

The observed severity of disease in our patients was similar to epidemiological studies, finding mortality between 3 and 14% [4, 5, 17, 21]. Our level of mortality (11%) might seem relatively high for patients with UGB in EDs [5], possibly because we included all patients with suspected bleeding, including those with a history of cirrhosis that appeared more severe, and critically ill patients that were initially managed in the pre-hospital setting. The main factors associated with mortality found in the literature are ageing, co-morbidities (including cirrhosis), signs of severity, an initial low blood pressure, hematemesis and low hemoglobin level [4, 5, 20, 21]. We found a significant association between mortality and signs of severity (low blood pressure, marbling, exteriorized bleeding [19]. In our study, bleeding lesions were diagnosed by endoscopy in 80% of cases. One quarter of patients had no endoscopy during hospitalization, often because of the low severity among outpatients and more rarely (4 cases) because the patient died before endoscopy could be performed. This might have an impact on the distribution of the causes of UGB. In about 15% of patients, endoscopic diagnosis was missing, which is comparable to previously published studies [5, 20, 21]. The prevalence of 41% of lesions with an ulcerative origin (ulcer disease or complicated ulcerations) is close to data (28-67%) from studies including UGB cases from endoscopy examination [5, 21]. The 20% of bleeding lesions due to portal hypertension was associated to the high prevalence of cirrhosis in our population [22]. More than a quarter of patients with cirrhosis presented bleeding from other causes than cirrhosis. This can be a strong argument in favor of the use of proton pump inhibitors before endoscopy in this subset of patients, as it’s recommended [7]. In our study, the diagnosis of UGB in the ED was rarely challenged by subsequent explorations (3% of cases).

The UGB incidence estimated from our study favours the completeness of data for our included patients. Epidemiological reviews show an annual incidence of UGB of 50 to 150/100,000 inhabitants [5] and the main French study showed an annual incidence of 146/100,000 [1]. The median age of UGB and proportion of patients older than 80 years is similar to that observed in the most recent studies and seems higher than that observed 10 years ago, with a significant proportion of patients on anti-thrombotic therapy [3, 4]. The proportion of patients with UGB who were older than 75 years was 27% in 1996 [23] but 37% in our study. Two studies [4, 6] confirmed an increase in the ageing of the population with UGB, with average age 57, 59, 63 and 66 years in 1986-1987, 1995, 2000-2001, and 2005, respectively. As in our study, the literature shows a male predominance, with a sex ratio between 1.3 and 2 [1, 20, 23], but the proportion of women with UGB is increasing [24].

The distribution of symptoms for suspected UGB is poorly known, especially in EDs, even though most cases of UGB (80–90%) are managed in EDs [11, 15, 16]. Our multicentric, prospective study performed over a short period (4 days) in French EDs found that for more than half of the patients (54%), the UGB was revealed by hematemesis. Endoscopy revealed a lesion in about 80% of patients. The final diagnosis of UGB was reversed for only 3% of patients. More than one third of patients had at least one severity sign; about 20% had hypotension, < 7 g/dL hemoglobin level, and a known cirrhosis. In all, 11% of patients died; initial hypotension, marbling or altered mental status were significantly linked to mortality.

Our proportion of patients presenting with hematemesis (54%) is close to literature data (42–61%) for UGB managed in gastroenterology departments, intensive care units or by emergency endoscopy [3, 9, 17, 18]. In a study of 1140 emergency and ambulatory care patients with UGB of ulcerative origin, the proportion of melena was higher than in our study (52 vs 39%), with 40% of patients having hematemesis and 8% anemia without
altered mental status), exteriorized bleeding in the ED or therapeutical interventions as the use of catecholamines or vasoactive agents. There appear to be a trend toward an association with history of cirrhosis and need to transfusion, although not significant, which could be due to a lack of statistical power of our study. When looking at published literature, mortality was higher in patient with a history of cirrhosis [10, 23], with variceal bleeding [17, 20] but also for these patients with a bleeding ulcer [21]. Use of anti-thrombotic agents, a known risk factor of digestive hemorrhage [21], was frequent in our population but did not predict mortality.

Management of UGB in our cohort of patients shows an evolution of practices, possibly influenced by recommendations [2, 7, 8]. Proton pump inhibitors were used for three-quarters of our patients, and their

Table 3 Patients characteristics depending on their cirrhosis history

|                          | With cirrhosis (n = 35) | Without cirrhosis (n = 128) | P value |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Age (median [Q1–Q3])     | 56 [50–67.5]            | 73 [56–83]                  | 0.0006  |
| Sex (men; n, %)          | 26 (74%)                | 69 (54%)                    | 0.034   |
| Initial symptoms         |                         |                             |         |
| suggesting UGB, n (%)    |                         |                             |         |
| Hematemesis              | 24 (69)                 | 60 (47%)                    | 0.005   |
| Melena                   | 7 (20)                  | 60 (47)                     |         |
| Hematochezia             | 1 (3)                   | 6 (5)                       |         |
| Other                    | 2 (2)                   | 3 (9)                       |         |
| Exteriorized bleeding in |                         |                             |         |
| the ED, n (%)a           | 21 (62)                 | 69 (54%)                    | 0.56    |
| Clinical features of    |                         |                             |         |
| severity, n (%)b         |                         |                             |         |
| Heart rate > 100 bpm     | 17 (53)                 | 26 (20)                     | 0.0006  |
| Systolic arterial pressure < 90 mmHg | 9 (28) | 22 (17) | 0.21 |
| Marbling                 | 4 (13)                  | 3 (2)                       | 0.031   |
| Altered mental status    | 4 (13)                  | 2 (2)                       | 0.015   |
| Hemoglobin level, n (%)b | 10 (29)                 | 26 (21)                     | 0.35    |
| Upper endoscopy in ED, n |                         |                             |         |
| (%)c                     | 29 (26)                 | 9 (29)                      | 0.82    |
| Hemostatic procedured    | 5 (17)                  | 5 (5)                       | 0.036   |
| Treatments in ED, n (%)  |                         |                             |         |
| Nasogastric tube         | 5 (14)                  | 12 (9)                      | 0.37    |
| Fluid administration     | 20 (57)                 | 38 (30)                     | 0.005   |
| Transfusion              | 16 (46)                 | 50 (39)                     | 0.56    |
| Proton pump inhibitors   | 28 (80)                 | 93 (73)                     | 0.51    |
| Vasopressors             | 23 (66)                 | 10 (8)                      | <0.0001 |
| Catecholamines           | 2 (6)                   | 1 (1)                       | 0.12    |
| Antibioticsc (excluding | 4 (13)                  | 2 (2)                       | 0.020   |
| erythromycin)            |                         |                             |         |
| Vitamin K antagonist     | 0                       | 19 (15)                     | 0.027   |
| reversal                 |                         |                             |         |
| Erythromycin             | 6 (17)                  | 9 (7)                       | 0.09    |
| Final diagnosis (hospitalized patients), n (%) | | | |
| Ulcer                    | 2 (6)                   | 38 (37)                     | <0.0001 |
| Gastritis                | 3 (9)                   | 12 (12)                     |         |
| Variceal bleeding        | 25 (74)                 | 1 (1)                       |         |
| Esophagitis              | 2 (6)                   | 9 (0)                       |         |
| Mallory-Weiss tear       | 0                       | 6 (6)                       |         |
| Cancer                   | 0                       | 12 (12)                     |         |
| Lower GI bleeding        | 0                       | 6 (6)                       |         |
| None                     | 2 (6)                   | 19 (18)                     |         |

a for 161 of 163 patients
b for 159 of 163 patients
c for 143 of 163 patients
d for 141 of 163 patients
e for 137 of 163 patients
Table 4 Patients characteristics depending on their survival status

|                          | Survivors n = 172* | Dead n = 21* | P value |
|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------|---------|
| Age (median [Q1–Q3])b   | 66.5 [49–81]       | 66 [57–83]   | 0.46    |
| Sex (men; n, %)         | 91 (53)            | 13 (62)      | 0.49    |
| Initial symptoms suggesting UGB, n (%) |                    |              |         |
| Hematemesis             | 90 (52)            | 13 (62)      | 0.87    |
| Melena                  | 67 (39)            | 8 (38)       |         |
| Hematochezia            | 8 (5)              | 0 (0)        |         |
| Other                   | 7 (4)              | 0 (0)        |         |
| Medical history and medication, n (%)c |            |              |         |
| Known cirrhosis         | 28 (19)            | 7 (39)       | 0.071   |
| Known ulcer             | 35 (24)            | 3 (17)       | 0.57    |
| Non-steroid anti-inflammatory drugs |            |              |         |
| Antithrombotic agents   | 58 (40)            | 4 (22)       | 0.20    |
| Comorbidity             | 12 (8)             | 0 (0)        | 0.36    |
| Exteriorized bleeding in the ED, n (%)d |        |              | 0.035   |
| Clinical features of severity, n (%)e |                    |              |         |
| Heart rate > 100 bpm    | 39 (23)            | 8 (40)       | 0.11    |
| Systolic arterial pressure < 90 mmHg |        |              | 0.004   |
| Marbling                | 5 (3)              | 3 (15)       | 0.042   |
| Altered mental status   | 4 (2)              | 5 (25)       | 0.0008  |
| Hemoglobin level n (%)f | 34 (20)            | 5 (24)       | 0.55    |
| Upper endoscopy in ED, n (%)g |                    |              | 0.57    |
| Hemostatic procedureh   | 11 (7)             | 1 (7)        | 1.00    |
| Treatments in ED, n (%) |                    |              |         |
| Nasogastric tube        | 18 (10)            | 1 (5)        | 0.70    |
| Fluid administration    | 58 (34)            | 8 (38)       | 0.81    |
| Transfusion             | 60 (35)            | 12 (57)      | 0.057   |
| Proton pump inhibitors  | 125 (73)           | 14 (67)      | 0.61    |
| Vasopressors            | 25 (15)            | 10 (48)      | 0.0009  |
| Catecholamines          | 1 (1)              | 3 (14)       | 0.004   |
| Antibioticsi (excluding erythromycin) |    |              | 0.56    |
| Vitamin K antagonist reversal | 16 (9)      | 3 (14)       | 0.44    |
| Erythromycin            | 15 (9)             | 2 (10)       | 1.00    |
| Final diagnosis, n (%)i (hospitalized patients) | |              |         |
| Ulcer                   | 41 (29)            | 3 (19)       | 0.31    |
| Gastritis               | 15 (11)            | 1 (6)        |         |
| Variceal bleeding       | 23 (17)            | 7 (44)       |         |
| Esophagitis             | 12 (9)             | 0 (0)        |         |
| Mallory-Weiss tear      | 7 (5)              | 0 (0)        |         |
| Cancer                  | 10 (7)             | 2 (13)       |         |
| Lower GI bleeding       | 8 (6)              | 1 (6)        |         |
| None                    | 23 (17)            | 2 (13)       |         |

*1 missing data
*b for 192 of 193 patients
*for 162 of 193 patients
*c for 191 of 193 patients
*d for 187 of 193 patients
*e for 188 of 193 patients
*f for 169 of 193 patients
*g for 167 of 193 patients
*h for 155 of 193 patients
administration is now recommended as soon as possible without waiting for endoscopy [7]. Most patients with cirrhosis received vasopressor treatment in the first 24 h [2]. The number of transfusions suggests that the policy of restricting transfusion is not yet followed [25]. Only a few patients received nasogastric tubes (10%). Several studies indicated that nasogastric tube placement does not confirm the upper origin of a gastrointestinal bleeding [26, 27] and recommendations remain unclear on this topic. Erythromycin perfusion before endoscopy is rarely used [28]. This practice, although validated by several studies, is not shared by some international recommendations [21]. The use of antibiotics in patients with cirrhosis remains low despite recommendations on this topic [29]. Outpatient care concerned only 14% of our patients, which is less than in studies using a severity score [30]. The use of these scores would probably increase the proportion of outpatients.

Limitations
One main limitation of our study is the risk of selection. The departments participating in the study are a subset of the 600 French EDs, that are particularly interested in clinical research. Patients presenting at these EDs might not be representative of the general population. The IRU includes community and university hospitals, so this risk was deemed acceptable. Another limitation can be due to the short inclusion period of 4 days that might not perfectly reflect the distribution of the causes of upper GI bleeding. There’s also a possibility of under or over-estimation of UGB incidence, due possible natural variations in the number of patients presenting with UGB in EDs. The third limitation is the absence of precise quantitative data. Each local investigator, when including patients, had to choose between various categories (e.g., tachycardia >100 bpm, hemoglobin level >10, 7–10 and <7 g/dL) to simplify data extraction sheets and ensure data quality and comprehensiveness, at the expense of severity score precision. The number of inclusions (194 patients) limits the statistical power of the study, especially for prognostic factors. Moreover, the number of deceased patients did not allow us to perform a robust multivariate analysis.

Conclusions
Most of the UGB cases in EDs are revealed by hematemesis. The emergency physician diagnosis of UGB is rarely challenged by the endoscopic findings. Epidemiological data for patients with UGB managed in the emergency departments are similar to the patients treated in gastroenterology departments and/or in intensive care units. More than one third of UGB patients are more than 75 years old.
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