The Fab-7 element of the bithorax complex attenuates enhancer–promoter interactions in the Drosophila embryo
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Enhancers integrate positive and negative regulatory information to direct localized patterns of gene expression in the Drosophila embryo. Here we present evidence for the occurrence of cis regulatory elements that control the levels of gene expression by modulating enhancer–promoter interactions. For this purpose we have investigated the Drosophila bithorax complex (BX-C) because genetic studies suggest that the BX-C contains as much as 300 kb of cis regulatory information. A specialized DNA element, Fab-7, has been proposed to function as a boundary element that separates the iab-6 and iab-7 cis regulatory regions within the Abd-B domain of the BX-C. A 1.2-kb Fab-7 DNA fragment was placed between divergently transcribed white and lacZ test promoters and challenged with several defined enhancers expressed in the early embryo. These studies suggest that Fab-7 functions as an attenuator, which weakens gene expression by reducing enhancer–promoter interactions. Fab-7 selectively blocks distal enhancers in an orientation-independent fashion, and can function when located far from either the distal enhancer or target promoter. Fab-7 may be related to insulator DNAs, which flank genetic loci and functionally isolate neighboring genes. We propose that specialized DNA elements, such as the Fab-7 attenuator, might play a general role in controlling the levels of gene expression by modulating enhancer–promoter interactions.
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The Drosophila bithorax complex (BX-C) contains three Hox-containing transcription units, which encode homeotic selector proteins that control the patterning of thoracic and abdominal body segments (Lewis 1978; Sanchez-Herrero et al. 1985; Lewis et al. 1995; Martin et al. 1995). One of these, Abdominal-B (Abd-B), controls the morphogenesis of posterior regions, including parasegments (PS) 10 through 14 (Karch et al. 1985; Tiong et al. 1985; Casanova et al. 1986). The gene is regulated by a series of complex cis regulatory regions, iab-5 through iab-9, that restrict expression within specific parasegments (Karch et al. 1985; Celniker et al. 1989, 1990; DeLorenzi and Bienz 1990; Boulet et al. 1991; Sanchez-Herrero 1991; see Fig. 1). For example, the iab-6 region is thought to contain a series of enhancers that mediate expression within different tissues of PS 11 (e.g., Vazquez et al. 1993).

Transcriptional repression is essential for the establishment and maintenance of parasegment-specific patterns of Abd-B expression. Previous studies have identified a 1-kb enhancer, IAB5, that directs expression within PS 10, PS 12, and PS 14 (Busturia and Bienz 1993). Repression in anterior regions, PS 1 through 9, appears to be initiated by the gap proteins hunchback (hb), Kruppel (Kr), and knirps (kni). This repression is thought to be maintained in older embryos (and larvae) by proteins encoded by the Polycomb group (Pc-G; Wedeen et al. 1986; Busturia and Bienz 1993; Orlando and Paro 1993; Chan et al. 1994; Chiang et al. 1995; Zink and Paro 1995).

It has been proposed that boundary elements separate neighboring cis regulatory regions within the Abd-B domain (Gyurkovics et al. 1990; Galloni et al. 1993; Karch et al. 1994). These may function in a manner analogous to insulators, which functionally isolate neighboring genes by blocking interactions of cis elements with inappropriate target promoters (Kellum and Schedl 1991, 1992; Geyer and Corces 1992; Dorsett 1993). Previous studies have identified two potential boundary elements, Mcp and Fab-7 (Gyurkovics et al. 1990; Galloni et al. 1993; Karch et al. 1994). Mcp resides between iab-4 and iab-5, whereas Fab-7 maps between iab-6 and iab-7 (summarized in Fig. 1). Mutations in Fab-7 result in the misregulation of Abd-B in PS 11, and a corresponding homeotic transformation of PS 11 tissues [sixth abdominal segment; A6] into PS 12 [A7; Gyurkovics et al. 1990; Galloni et al. 1993]. Abd-B is normally expressed in an...
Evidence that Fab-7 is a boundary element is provided by the observation that a transgene inserted between Fab-7 and iab-7 is activated by iab-7 enhancers, but not by distal iab-5 or iab-6 regulatory elements, including the IAB5 enhancer (Galloni et al. 1993; see Fig. 1). In contrast, the notion that Fab-7 functions as a silencer, or Polycomb response element (PRE), is suggested by the analysis of transgenic fly strains containing a large (3.7-kb) Fab-7 DNA fragment attached to various heterologous enhancers and promoters. Fab-7 was shown to silence a mini-white gene and lacZ reporter, this silencer activity correlated with the binding of Pc protein to the integrated transgenes (Zink and Paro 1995). Fab-7 also silences the anterior expression of a bxd–Ubx fusion promoter that is normally expressed throughout most of the embryonic CNS; this repression is lost in Pc mutants (Busturia and Bienz 1993).

In the present study we analyzed the activities of a 1.2-kb Fab-7 DNA fragment in the context of synthetic gene complexes containing defined enhancers expressed in the precellular embryo. We show that this Fab-7 fragment selectively blocks or attenuates distal, but not proximal, enhancers. A variety of enhancers were examined, including IAB5, the even-skipped (eve) stripe 3 enhancer (Small et al. 1996; Yan et al. 1996), the hairy stripe 1 enhancer (Howard and Struhl 1990; Riddihough and Ish-Horowicz 1991) and the rhomboid lateral stripe enhancer (Ip et al. 1992). The latter enhancers were selected to determine whether Fab-7 functions as a spatially localized silencer, or parasegment-specific PRE. Our findings suggest that Fab-7 functions as an attenuator, possibly in a manner analogous to previously characterized insulator DNAs. We discuss the role of specialized DNA elements, such as attenuators, in gene regulation.

**Results**

A 1.2-kb Fab-7 fragment contains all three major DNaseI hypersensitive sites identified in previous studies (Karch et al. 1994; summarized in Fig. 1). It resides between the IAB5 enhancer and Abd-B transcription unit (Busturia and Bienz 1993). As shown previously, the IAB5 enhancer directs a broad band of expression in parasegments (PS) 10 through 14 of transgenic, precellular embryos (Fig. 2D). This pattern is resolved into three stripes (PS 10, PS 12, and PS 14) after cellularization (Busturia and Bienz 1993).

**Fab-7 attenuates the IAB5 enhancer**

A fusion promoter containing the IAB5 enhancer and a heterologous head stripe enhancer from the hairy promoter region (H1; Howard and Struhl 1990, Riddihough and Ish-Horowicz 1991) directs an additive pattern of expression when placed between divergently transcribed white and lacZ reporter genes (Fig. 2A, C; see maps below the panels). Altered patterns of expression are observed when the neutral spacer sequence that separates the two enhancers is replaced by the Fab-7 element (Fig. 2B, D). The leftward white gene is expressed within the limits of the head stripe, whereas expression in the presumptive abdomen is nearly lost (cf. Fig. 2B and A). The opposite situation is observed for the rightward lacZ reporter gene. In this case, expression is lost in the head, but normal staining is observed in the abdomen (cf. Fig. 2D and C).

These results suggest that Fab-7 selectively blocks the interactions of distal enhancers. This block appears to be equally effective whether the 5'-3' orientation of the Fab-7 element is the same as the target gene (Fig. 2D) or is inverted (Fig. 2B). Fab-7 does not appear to block all distal enhancers to the same extent. For example, it at-

---

**Figure 1.** Summary of the BX-C. The complex contains three Hox transcription units, Ultrabithorax (Ubx), abdominal-A (abd-A), and Abdominal-B (Abd-B). The cis regulatory regions associated with Abd-B are shown below. The leftward arrow indicates the position of the Abd-B transcription start site. The 1-kb IAB5 enhancer is located in a distal region of iab-5, 57 kb downstream of the Abd-B transcription start site. The Fab-7 element is located between iab-6 and iab-7. A blow-up of the element is shown below. It contains three DNaseI-hypersensitive sites (indicated by shading). The blue horizontal bars indicate regions that are deleted in different Fab-7 mutations. For example, the Fab-7a allele deletes two of the three hypersensitive sites (Karch et al. 1994). The 1.2-kb Fab-7 fragment used in this study [bottom-most bar] contains all three hypersensitive sites.
Fab-7 attenuates enhancer–promoter interactions

Figure 2. Fab-7 attenuates IAB5. Transgenic embryos were hybridized with either a digoxigenin-labeled white or lacZ antisense RNA probe. Hybridization signals were visualized by histochemical staining using alkaline phosphatase. All embryos are undergoing cellularization, about 150 min postfertilization; they are oriented anterior to the left and dorsal up. [A] white expression pattern directed by the fusion promoter summarized in the diagram below the embryo. The synthetic gene complex contains two divergently transcribed genes, the leftward white gene and a rightward lacZ reporter gene (driven by a minimal gypsy promoter sequence). Two different enhancers were placed between the white and lacZ transcription units, a 200-bp enhancer that directs expression of hairy stripe 1 (H1) as well as the 1-kb IAB5 enhancer from the lab-5 cis regulatory region of Abd-B. The two enhancers are separated by a 1-kb spacer sequence. In this experiment, the spacer was obtained from a matrix attachment region (MAR) of the mouse μ light chain gene. An additive white expression pattern is observed consisting of a head stripe (directed by the H1 enhancer) and a band of staining in the presumptive abdomen (directed by IAB5). [B] Same as A except that the spacer sequence was replaced with the 1.2-kb Fab-7 element. The distal IAB5 enhancer is attenuated, so that there is only weak expression of the white reporter gene in the abdomen. In contrast, the proximal H1 enhancer is unaffected and directs a strong head stripe. (C) Expression of the rightward lacZ gene directed by the same fusion promoter shown in A. As for white, the fusion promoter directs an additive staining pattern consisting of a head stripe and abdominal band. (D) Same as C except that the spacer sequence was replaced with the Fab-7 element. The distal H1 enhancer is selectively blocked, so that there is only residual staining in head regions. The IAB5 enhancer continues to direct strong expression in the presumptive abdomen. (E) lacZ expression pattern directed by a fusion promoter containing the IAB5 enhancer and the 2xPE mesoderm-specific enhancer. The two enhancers are separated by the μ MAR spacer. An additive pattern is observed consisting of a band of expression in the abdomen (IAB5) as well as ventral staining in the presumptive mesoderm (2xPE). [F] Same as E except that the spacer sequence was replaced with Fab-7. The distal 2xPE enhancer is blocked, so that expression is lost in ventral regions. In contrast, IAB5 continues to direct strong expression in the presumptive abdomen.

Fab-7 can block the rhomboid NEE

Additional fusion promoters were examined to determine the efficacy of Fab-7 attenuator activity. Recent studies suggest that the 330-bp rhomboid (rho) neuroectoderm enhancer, NEE (Ip et al. 1992), is particularly resistant to blocking by the gypsy insulator (S. Barolo and M. Levine, in prep.; H. Cai and M. Levine, in prep.). A fusion gene that contains the 500-bp even-skipped (eve) stripe 3 enhancer (Small et al. 1996; Yan et al. 1996) and the rho NEE directs an additive staining pattern, including a central segmentation stripe and two lateral neuroectodermal stripes (Fig. 3A,C). Replacing the spacer DNA with two tandem copies of the 1.2-kb Fab-7 element results in the attenuation of NEE-mediated lateral stripes when monitoring the expression of the leftward white gene (cf. Fig. 3B and A). In contrast, the rightward lacZ promoter shows a selective block in stripe 3 expression (cf. Fig. 3D and C). A single copy of Fab-7 results in a similar, but less complete, block in enhancer–promoter interactions (data not shown). These results suggest that Fab-7 is somewhat less effective than the 340-bp gypsy insulator in blocking the NEE (Cai and Levine 1995; H. Cai and M. Levine, in prep.). In this regard, two copies of Fab-7 appear comparable to one copy of gypsy.

Fab-7 works when positioned far from distal enhancers or target promoters

Fab-7 is located far from the Abd-B promoter, >35 kb downstream of the transcription start site (see Fig. 1 summary). Additional assays were conducted to determine whether Fab-7 could function when placed at a distance from the white and lacZ test promoters. As shown previously, the rho NEE mediates lateral stripes of gene expression when placed downstream of lacZ, >5 kb from the transcription start site (Fig. 3E; Gray and Levine 1996). Fab-7 blocks these lateral stripes when placed between the NEE and 3′ end of lacZ (cf. Fig. 3F and E). The 5′ H1 enhancer is unaffected, again suggesting that Fab-7 selectively blocks distal, not proximal, enhancers.

In an effort to assess Fab-7 function in the context of a more authentic arrangement of regulatory elements (see Fig. 1 summary), we positioned Fab-7 and IAB5 far from the white and lacZ test promoters. The IAB5 enhancer mediates efficient abdominal expression from both promoters when placed downstream of lacZ (Fig. 4A, C, and E). Fab-7 blocks these interactions when inserted between the IAB5 enhancer and 3′ end of lacZ (cf. Fig. 4B and D with A and C, respectively). This block is comparable to that observed when both Fab-7 and IAB5 are located 5′ of lacZ (see Fig. 2B). These results suggest that Fab-7 works equally well in blocking distal enhancers.
Figure 3. Fab-7 attenuates heterologous enhancers. Transgenic embryos were stained and oriented as described in Fig. 2. (A) white expression pattern directed by a fusion promoter that contains the 500-bp eve stripe 3 enhancer and a 330-bp rhomboid (rho) lateral stripe enhancer (NEE). The two enhancers are separated by a 2.5-kb DNA fragment derived from the λ bacteriophage. This spacer sequence does not affect either enhancer, so that white is expressed in an additive pattern consisting of stripe 3 and lateral stripes. (B) Same as A except that the λ spacer sequence was replaced by two tandem copies of the 1.2-kb Fab-7 element. The rho NEE is selectively attenuated, so that only residual lateral stripes are observed. In contrast, the proximal stripe 3 enhancer is unaffected. (C) lacZ staining pattern directed by the same fusion gene as in A. The λ spacer does not affect either the distal stripe 3 enhancer or the proximal NEE, so that an additive staining pattern is observed. (D) Same as C except that the λ spacer was replaced with two copies of Fab-7. The distal stripe 3 enhancer is attenuated, but the proximal NEE is unaffected so that strong lateral stripes are observed. (E) lacZ staining pattern directed by the 200-bp hairy H1 enhancer and the rho NEE. The two enhancers were placed at opposite ends of the lacZ transcription unit, with H1 5' of lacZ and the NEE downstream of the gene. The 1-kb mouse MAR sequence was inserted between the 3' end of lacZ and the distal NEE. An additive staining pattern is observed, consisting of a head stripe (HI) and lateral stripes (NEE). (F) Same as E except that the 3' spacer sequence was replaced with one copy of the Fab-7 element. The distal NEE is attenuated, so that the lateral stripes are weak. In contrast, the 5'-H1 enhancer is unaffected and continues to direct an intense head stripe.

Discussion

We have presented evidence that Fab-7 functions as an attenuator that selectively impedes the interaction of distal enhancers with target promoters. These results raise the possibility that specialized DNA elements, such as attenuators, may be normal constituents of complex genetic loci. It has been proposed that Fab-7 functions as a boundary element or insulator, which separates iab-6 and iab-7 so that iab-6 enhancers are not ectopically activated in PS 12 and iab-7 enhancers are not activated in PS 11 [Galloni et al. 1993; Vazquez et al. 1993; Karch et al. 1994]. Our studies do not exclude this model, but suggest that an additional function of Fab-7 is the quantitative modulation of IAB5–Abd-B interactions. This ensures that only low levels of Abd-B are expressed in anterior regions [PS 10] of the presumptive abdomen.

Figure 4. The Fab-7 insulator works when far from either the target promoter or distal enhancer. Transgenic embryos were stained and oriented as described in Figure 2. (A) white expression driven by the hairy H1 and IAB5 enhancers. The H1 enhancer is located 5' of both the white and lacZ transcription units whereas IAB5 is positioned downstream of lacZ. The μ MAR spacer sequence was placed between the 3' end of lacZ and the distal IAB5 enhancer. An additive white expression pattern is observed, consisting of a head stripe (HI) and a band of staining in the presumptive abdomen. (B) Same as A except that the 3' spacer sequence was replaced with the Fab-7 element. Expression from the IAB5 enhancer is blocked, but the 5'-H1 enhancer is unaffected so that white continues to be expressed in the limits of a head stripe. (C) lacZ expression driven by the H1 and IAB5 enhancers. This is the same fusion gene as in A, except that the rightward lacZ gene is being monitored. Strong staining is observed in both anterior (H1) and posterior (IAB5) regions. (D) Same as C except that the spacer was replaced with Fab-7. The distal IAB5 enhancer is blocked, so that expression is directed solely by the 5' H1 enhancer. (E) white expression pattern directed by the H1 and IAB5 enhancers. The fusion gene being analyzed is a variant of that shown in A–D. The H1 enhancer is 5', the IAB5 enhancer is 3' of lacZ, but the spacer sequence is positioned between the 5'-H1 enhancer and the lacZ transcription start site. An additive white expression pattern is observed consisting of the anterior head stripe (HI) and expression in the presumptive abdomen [IAB5]. (F) Same as E except that the 3' spacer sequence was replaced with Fab-7. The distant IAB5 enhancer (now located ~5 kb from Fab-7) is selectively attenuated, so that only residual staining is observed in the abdomen. In contrast, the proximal H1 enhancer continues to direct white expression within the limits of a head stripe.
Mechanism of Fab-7 activity

Fab-7 may function in a manner analogous to insulator DNAs, including scs, scs', and the su(Hw) insulator contained within the gypsy retrotransposon (Kellum and Schedl 1991, 1992; Geyer and Corces 1992; Dorsett 1993). scs and scs' flank the hsp70 locus and are thought to prevent the heat induction of neighboring genes (Kellum and Schedl 1991). Previous transgenic expression assays have shown that these insulators can also block the interactions of distal enhancers with a target promoter in the context of synthetic gene complexes (Cai and Levine 1995; Scott and Geyer 1995).

At least two models can be envisioned for the Fab-7 attenuator, as summarized in Figure 5. We favor the notion that Fab-7 functions as an autonomous cis regulatory element that blocks the looping and/or scanning of distal enhancers to the target promoter [Fig. 5A]. Perhaps Fab-7 mimics the core promoter by containing sites for looping proteins, which are required for long-range interactions of a distal enhancer with the promoter. Another way that Fab-7 might mimic the core promoter is by binding DNA. Fab-7 binding proteins might induce structural changes similar to those mediated by the TFIID complex on the core promoter (Oelgeschlager et al. 1996).

A second type of model invokes the organization of chromatin into separate nuclear domains or loops. For example, Fab-7 may work in conjunction with other boundary elements in the BX-C to organize iab-6 and iab-7 into separate domains [Fig. 5B; Vasquez et al. 1993]. This would prevent enhancer hitchhiking, whereby interactions between iab-6 enhancers and the Abd-B promoter would cause fortuitous interactions between iab-7 enhancers and the promoter. In addition, separate loop domains would block cross-enhancer interactions whereby activators bound to iab-6, such as those encoded by the tri thorax group, would interfere with Pc-G repressors in iab-7, resulting in the ectopic activation of iab-7 enhancers in PS 11 [Vasquez et al. 1993]. It is conceivable that Fab-7 associates with endogenous insulators flanking the integrated transgene to form loop domains [Corces 1995]. The two models depicted in Figure 5 are not mutually exclusive in that the formation of loop domains might induce structural changes in Fab-7, similar to those detected at the core promoter [Oelgeschlager et al. 1996].

Multiple modes of Fab-7 regulation

Fab-7 plays an essential role in restricting Abd-B expression to posterior parasegments [Busturia and Bienz 1993; Galloni et al. 1993]. It is conceivable that the full-length Fab-7 element functions as both an attenuator and PRE. As discussed earlier, a 3.7-kb DNA fragment that encompasses the core Fab-7 element was shown to repress a bxd–Ubx fusion promoter in a Pc-G-dependent fashion [Busturia and Bienz 1993]. This fragment was also found to silence the expression of a mini-white gene and bind Pc proteins on polytene chromosomes [Zink and Paro 1995]. Fab-7 mutations might disrupt a PRE that normally attenuates Abd-B expression in PS 11 and other anterior parasegments.

We cannot exclude the possibility that the core Fab-7 element resides near a PRE. However, several observations suggest that attenuator activity does not depend on Pc-G proteins. First, we have demonstrated that Fab-7 works as an attenuator in precellular embryos, which is well before the time when PREs silence the expression of homeotic selector genes in anterior parasegments [this usually occurs after germ-band elongation; Bienz and Muller 1995; Paro 1995]. Second, Fab-7 activity is not affected by mutations in Pc-G genes, including Pc and the maternally expressed extra sex combs [esc] gene [J. Zhou, unpubl.]. Finally, a well-characterized PRE, the 1.6-kb fragment from the pbx region of the Ubx domain [Zhang and Bienz 1992; Chan et al. 1994], does not function as an attenuator when placed between the H1 and IAB5 enhancers [P. Szymanski, unpubl.]. These results indicate that attenuation and PRE-mediated silencing represent separate functions.

The demonstration that Fab-7 functions as an attenuator suggests that it can impede interactions between distal enhancers, such as IAB5, and the Abd-B promoter. In principle, IAB5 has the capacity to direct strong expression in PS 10, where Abd-B is normally expressed at low levels [Busturia and Bienz 1993]. Fab-7 might be required to ensure weak expression in this region. It has been proposed that there may be another boundary element located between iab-5 and iab-6 [Vasquez et al. 1993]. Redundancy of Fab-7 and a second attenuator could explain why Fab-7 mutants do not exhibit obvious homeotic transformations of PS 10 [A5] tissues [Gyurkovics et al. 1990; Galloni et al. 1993].
We propose that specialized DNAs such as Fab-7 might be relatively common constituents of complex genetic loci. Metazoan promoter regions can be modular, and contain a series of nonoverlapping enhancers (e.g., Goto et al. 1989; Harding et al. 1989; Howard and Struhl 1990; Riddihough and Ish-Horowicz 1991). These enhancers may be interlaced with specialized DNA elements. In the simplest view, enhancers integrate positive and negative regulatory information to determine the spatial limits of gene expression. Specialized DNAs, such as attenuators, might control the levels of expression by quantitatively modulating enhancer-promoter interactions.

Materials and methods

P-element transformation and in situ hybridization

P-element transformation vectors containing lacZ and white reporter genes were introduced into the Drosophila germ line by injection of yw67 embryos as described in Smallock et al. (1992). In situ hybridizations were performed as described (Tautz and Pfeiffer 1989; Jiang et al. 1991), using digoxigenin-UTP-labeled antisense RNA to lacZ or white. Multiple transgenic lines for each construct were generated, and four to six independent lines were independently stained.

Construction of lacZ reporter genes

The 1.2-kb Fab-7 DNA fragment was obtained by PCR amplification from Drosophila genomic DNA. BamHI restriction sites were introduced into the primers. A final BamHI fragment was recovered that spans nucleotide positions 84,594 to 83,423 within the complete BX-C sequence (Lewis et al. 1995; Martin et al. 1995). A 1-kb MAR spacer sequence was also obtained by PCR amplification using primers homologous to the 3' matrix attachment region near the 3' intronic enhancer of the Ig µ chain gene (Forrester et al. 1994). The 2.5-kb λ spacer was obtained by digesting total λ genomic DNA with BstYI.

The fusion genes shown in Figures 2A–D were prepared by inserting a 200-bp SpeI–BamHI fragment from the hairy stripe 1 enhancer (kindly provided by C. Chan, C.S., L. Rastelli, and V. Pirrotta. 1994. A Polycomb repressor complex in the bithorax complex. Development 121: 1681–1689.) into the unique SalI site of the pBluescript II SK plasmid vector (Stratagene). Alternatively, a 330-bp SpeI–BamHI DNA fragment containing the NEE rho enhancer (Ip et al. 1992) was cloned into the EcoRI site. The enhancers were excised as a NotI–EcoRV fragment and ligated into the EcoRI site of the ~42 pCaSpeR vector (Small et al. 1992). Either one or two tandem copies of the Fab-7 element was inserted in the BamHI site located between the eve stripe 3 and NEE enhancers.

The fusion genes shown in Figures 2E and F were prepared by inserting the 200-bp SpeI–BamHI hairy stripe 1 enhancer into the C4PLZ vector. A 700-bp [full-length] rho NEE was inserted into a StuI site at the 3' of the lacZ transcription unit. The MAR spacer sequence or the Fab-7 fragment was inserted in a unique BglII site located between the distal NEE and 3' end of lacZ.

The fusion genes shown in Figures 4A–D were prepared by inserting the 1-kb IAB5 enhancer in the 3' StuI site in place of the rho NEE. Finally, those shown in Figures 4E and F were prepared by inserting either the spacer or Fab-7 fragment into the unique BamHI site located 5' of the white and lacZ transcription units.
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