Unraveling Heat Tolerance in Upland Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) Using Univariate and Multivariate Analysis
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The ever-changing global environment currently includes an increasing ambient temperature that can be a devastating stress for organisms. Plants, being sessile, are adversely affected by heat stress in their physiology, development, growth, and ultimately yield. Since little is known about the response of biochemical traits to high-temperature ambiance, we evaluated eight parental lines (five lines and three testers) and their 15 F1 hybrids under normal and high-temperature stress to assess the impact of these conditions over 2 consecutive years. The research was performed under a triplicate randomized complete block design including a split-plot arrangement. Data were recorded for agronomic, biochemical, and fiber quality traits. Mean values of agronomic traits were significantly reduced under heat stress conditions, while hydrogen peroxide, peroxidase, total soluble protein, superoxide dismutase, catalase (CAT), carotenoids, and fiber strength displayed higher mean values under heat stress conditions. Under both conditions, high genetic advance and high heritability were observed for seed cotton yield (SCY), CAT, micronaire value, plant height, and chlorophyll-a and b content, indicating that an additive type of gene action controls these traits under both the conditions. For more insights into variation, Pearson correlation analysis and principal component analysis (PCA) were performed. Significant positive associations were observed among agronomic, biochemical, and fiber quality-related traits. The multivariate analyses involving hierarchical clustering and PCA classified the 23 experimental genotypes into four groups under normal and high-temperature stress conditions. Under both conditions, the F1 hybrid genotype FB-SHAHEEN × JSQ WHITE GOLD followed by Ghauri-1, CCRI-24, Eagle-2 × FB-Falcon, Ghauri-1 × JSQ White Gold, and Eagle-2 exhibited better performance in response to high-temperature stress regarding the agronomic and fiber quality-related traits. The mentioned genotypes could be utilized in future cotton breeding programs to enhance heat tolerance and improve cotton yield and productivity through resistance to environmental stressors.
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INTRODUCTION

Cotton is the world's most important natural fiber and oil crop (Patel et al., 2021; Salimath et al., 2021). Climate change in recent decades has resulted in outbreaks of biotic and abiotic stressors that negatively affect plant yield and quality. Among abiotic stressors, heat stress is one of the most detrimental constraints, limiting cotton production by disturbing its normal growth, physiological, and developmental processes. Pakistan ranks fifth among top cotton-producing countries after India, China, United States, and Brazil (Khan et al., 2020), even though the nations cotton zone average temperature ranks highest among cotton-growing areas worldwide (Saleem et al., 2021). In Pakistan, the average temperature of the cotton-growing belt remains at 37°C/25°C (day/night) as compared to the United States 30°C/24°C, China's 29°C/18°C, and India's 34°C/21°C (Saleem et al., 2021). During the early growth period (May–June), the temperature remains as high as 40–45°C, and at times reaches 50°C.

Like most crop plants, cotton is susceptible to heat stress, especially during the developmental (Zahid et al., 2016) and reproductive phases (Salman et al., 2019). The most notable effects include flower shedding at the flowering phase, leading to stunted growth and reduced boll weight, and ultimately lower yields (Xu et al., 2020). At the peak of the reproductive phase, exposure to heat stress very often results in reduced seed cotton yield (SCY); whereas slightly lower temperatures at this time are more favorable and produce a better yield (Sarwar et al., 2017). Previous studies report some of the optimum temperatures for various growth and developmental stages of the cotton crop: for cottonseed germination 12°C, root development 30°C, and seedling development for boll development 25.5–29.5°C (Conaty et al., 2012; Lokhande and Reddy, 2014). A temperature range of 32–40°C usually negatively impacts root development, and when the temperature rises to 36°C, stomatal conductance decreases. The weight of the boll reduces as the temperature rise from 25.5 to 29.5°C (Conaty et al., 2012; Lokhande and Reddy, 2014). Singh et al., 2007 reported that each 1°C rise of temperature in the field reduces the SCY by 110 kg ha⁻¹. Pollen tube germination, growth, and elongation are adversely affected by a temperature increase from 28 to 30°C. At 28°C, optimum pollen germination occurs (Burke et al., 2004) and the germination rate decreases as the temperature rises sharply from 28 to 37°C. Therefore, high-temperature stress reduces the germination rate, plant growth, photosynthetic rate, fruiting branches, membrane integrity, boll weight, and increases boll abscission, all of which lead to lower yield (Salman et al., 2019). Heat stress is also related to reduced boll size and the number of seeds per boll that limit fertilization efficiency (Pettigrew, 2008). In Pakistan, the average boll weight is 2–3 g, which is lower than in other countries (Saleem et al., 2021). High-temperature stress decreases the chlorophyll content, ultimately reducing the photosynthetic rate and translocation of assimilates to reproductive organs and thus, increases senescence (Rafiq et al., 2013; Dabbert and Gore, 2014). High-temperature stress also distorts stomatal movement and stomatal conductance 28–30°C resulting in poor gaseous exchange, ultimately effecting photosynthesis and ultimately the productivity (Conaty et al., 2012).

This high temperature abiotic stress affects plant antioxidant activities, leading to decreased SCY (Kamal et al., 2017). Under high-temperature stress, oxidative stress is induced to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Kocsy et al., 2004). Under heat stress, ROS such as hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), superoxide radical (O₂⁻), singlet oxygen (¹O₂), and hydroxyl radicals are produced in higher amounts (Choudhury et al., 2013). Their increased production may irreversibly damage plant cells through the oxidation of different cellular compartments such as chloroplasts, peroxisomes, and mitochondria (Roychoudhury et al., 2012). In plants, tolerance to oxidative damage is directly correlated with the production of antioxidant enzymes (Almeselmani et al., 2009). To scavenge the damaging ROS, the cotton plant activates the production of detoxifying enzymes including superoxide dismutase (SOD), peroxidases (POD), catalase (CAT), and non-enzymatic antioxidants including carotenoids, flavonoids, ascorbate, and tocopherols (Suzuki et al., 2012). Since high-temperature stress is a detrimental factor in cotton production, the development of heat-tolerant germplasm is a prominent objective of today's cotton breeders, who aim to achieve higher yields under heat stress (Teixeira et al., 2013).

Numerous approaches can be adopted to face and overcome high-temperature abiotic stress in cotton. Scientists consider the development of heat-tolerant germplasm as reliable, long-lasting, cost-effective, and the best possible solution for combating this stress. Through conventional breeding, a significant level of tolerance in cotton can be achieved. Moreover, the global mean temperature is constantly increasing, urging cotton breeders to search for hidden potential genotypes from the existing germplasm via effective screening approaches based on particular morphological, biochemical, and physiological traits (Salman et al., 2019). The selection of cotton genotypes tolerant to heat stress is a prerequisite for cotton breeding improvement programs.

Understanding multivariate statistics necessitates an understanding of high-dimensional geometry and a conceptualization of linear algebra (Stewart and Thomas, 2008). Unlike univariate and bivariate models, multivariate data addresses several issues simultaneously. Multivariate analysis can provide many options to test and summarize the power of linear relationships across multiple variables (Timm, 2002). For example, in correlation tests, parametric and non-parametric options are present while using this technique. Plant breeders can use multivariate analysis to understand differences across variables and their possible associations (Dharmayanti et al., 2018). For using standard least-square fit, several reports state that environmental indicators may significantly correlate with quantitative traits, including crop yield (Zhang et al., 2010; Sellam and Poovammal, 2016; Zhou et al., 2021). Hence, simple ANOVA functions are usually inefficient for describing the effect of environmental indicators with the desired productivity and quality owing to higher complexity in a different set of variables (Hoaglin and Welch, 1978; Goos and Meintrup, 2016). Principal component analysis (PCA) and cluster analysis
have received more attention owing to impact during recent decades (Mohammadi and Prasanna, 2003). PCA can efficiently analyze the interaction among traits and the performance of genotypes and efficiently dissect trait association (Aslam et al., 2017). Cluster analysis groups have rows together that share similar values across several variables and are considered as an exploratory technique that helps to understand clumping structures in data (Rathinavel, 2018). Correlation analysis is mainly used to understand the degree of relationship and its nature across traits. It can deal with the basic notion of an association across various traits, which can help in the selection of genotypes with the desired combination of traits (Ghafari et al., 2013).

Multivariate analyses comprise highly acceptable and precise methods and techniques to explore the potential genetic variation existing in the available germplasm (Malik et al., 2014). It can also exploit prospective genetic associations and patterns of variability within the studied germplasm. Worldwide, multivariate analyses are used to study a range of crops, especially maize, wheat, cotton, and sorghum (Ali et al., 2011; Ajmal et al., 2013; Jarwar et al., 2019). Hence, the current work was designed to evaluate suites of biochemical, morphological, and agronomic traits of available potential cotton existing genotypes via univariate and multivariate analyses under heat stress with the aim of developing new heat resilient cultivars. Such research would yield basic information regarding high-temperature resilience potential in existing genotypes that may prove valuable and advantageous for developing heat-tolerant cotton cultivars in cotton breeding improvement programs across heat-stricken climatic regions.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Materials and Experimental Layout**

During the normal cotton growing season of November 2017, 50 cotton genotypes were screened against heat stress based on SCY under field conditions at FB Genetics, Four Brothers Group, Pakistan. The experiment was performed in the field under two treatments, i.e., normal and heat stress (5-6°C above normal for 12 days at 50% flowering) following a split-plot arrangement under a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with two replications. The plant × plant and row × row distance was 30 and 75 cm, respectively, with a 6 m row length for each genotype under each replication. The temperature was raised by constructing a tunnel using polythene sheets (dibble method) on furrows in June. The crop was harvested in October each year. The R × R and P × P distance was 75 and 30 cm, respectively. The experiment was laid out in a triplicated manner under RCBD following a split-plot arrangement. All the culture and agronomic practices were performed following local recommendations across crop-growing seasons over 2 years.

**Imposition of High-Temperature Stress**

During September, when all genotypes were at the 50% flowering stage, heat stress was implemented for 12 days during both the years. The covering of the polythene tunnel enhanced the temperature (5-6°C) during the daytime and the tunnel was removed during the night (Muhammad et al., 2018). The minimum and maximum temperatures inside the tunnel were continuously recorded (Both et al., 2015) throughout the crop growing season (Supplementary Table 1A). After the implementation of high-temperature stress, data were collected regarding biochemical characters. The maximum and minimum temperature ranges recorded during the crop growing season are given in Supplementary Table 1B.

**Biochemical Traits**

For the determination of biochemical traits, leaf samples were collected from the experimental genotypes after the imposition of high-temperature treatment for 12 days. The quantification of H2O2, CAT, peroxidase (POD), total soluble proteins (TSP), chlorophyll contents (Chl), and carotenoids (Car) in the leaves was performed to assess the effect of stress on biochemical attributes of the plants. For this purpose, the fourth fully expanded top leaf was considered for sampling from each genotype for biochemical analyses following the sampling method used by Song et al. (2014). Enzyme extraction was conducted on 0.5 g of cotton leaf samples. The leaves were then centrifuged for 5 min at 1,400 rpm. Residues were discarded and the supernatant was collected for the determination of biochemical attributes via UV spectrophotometer at different wavelengths (Sarwar et al., 2019).

**Hydrogen Peroxide (µmol/g-FW)**

For the determination of H2O2, the Velikova protocol was followed (Velikova et al., 2000). Fresh leaf tissues (0.5 g) were blended by using trichloroacetic acid (TCA, 5 mL of 0.1% (w/v) solution) and then centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 12 min. The supernatant was collected in a volume of 0.5 mL, and then 0.5 mL of phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) and 1 mL of potassium iodide were added. At the 390 nm wavelength of the UV spectrophotometer, the absorbance capacity of each sample was recorded.
Chlorophyll Content and Carotenoids Assay

A homogenized solution. A spectrophotometer was employed to record the absorbance of the final solution at 645 and 663 nm wavelengths. Chl a and b contents and Car were estimated by measuring Chl a and b contents and carotenoid pigments.

Catalase (U/mg Protein)

Enzyme extract (0.1 mL) was mixed with 3 mL of the reaction mixture, containing 5.9 mM H$_2$O$_2$ and 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (7.0 pH). CAT activity was recorded at the wavelength of 240 nm (Liu et al., 2009) using a spectrophotometer.

Peroxidase (U/mg Protein)

The POD solution contained 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH = 5), 40 mM H$_2$O$_2$, 20 mM guaiacol, and 0.1 mL of enzyme extract according to Liu’s protocol, after certain amendments (Liu et al., 2009). At 470 nm, absorbance changes were recorded by the spectrophotometer.

Total Soluble Proteins (mg/g-FW)

The Bradford reagent method was used for the determination of protein content. Aliquots of 100 µL of the sample were blended with 5 mL of Bradford reagent. At 595 nm, the absorbance was recorded (Bradford, 1976) using a spectrophotometer.

Chlorophyll Content and Carotenoids Assay

The Arnon method (Arnon, 1949) with specific alterations measured Chl a and b contents and carotenoid pigments. A volume of 8–10 mL of 80% acetone (v/v) was used for crushing a 0.50 g sample of the cotton leaf. Filter paper was used to obtain a homogenized solution. A spectrophotometer was employed to record the absorbance of the final solution at 645 and 663 nm wavelengths. Chl a and b contents and Car were estimated by using the following formulas.

\[
\text{Chlorophyll a} \left( \frac{\mu g}{g} \right) = \left[ 12.7 \times (OD 663) - 2.69 \times (OD 645) \right] \times \frac{v}{1000 \times w}
\]

\[
\text{Chlorophyll b} \left( \frac{\mu g}{g} \right) = \left[ 22.9 \times (OD 665) - 4.48 \times (OD 663) \right] \times \frac{v}{1000 \times w}
\]

\[
\text{Carotenoids} \left( \frac{\mu g}{g} \right) = \frac{A_{\text{car}}}{E_m} \times 1000
\]

\[
A_{\text{car}} = OD 480 + 0.114(OD 663) - 0.638(OD 645)
\]

Yield and Fiber Quality Traits

At crop agronomic maturity, data from five plants of each genotype regarding yield-related traits were recorded. The yield-related traits included plant height (PH), the number of bolls (TNB), boll weight (BW), SCY, and lint percentage (lint%).

Statistical Analysis

The preliminary screening data comprising of 50 upland cotton accessions based on yield performance across heat and normal conditions were subjected to a linear mixed model using ANOVA, followed by the construction of an ANOM-decision chart to graphically represent the genotypic behavior for selection of parents for crossing through analysis of mean methods as described by Nelson et al. (2005). These analyses were performed using default and standardization options with SAS-JMP Pro 16 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, United States, 1989–2021). In this method, if a single or group of genotypes plotted statistics fell outside of the decision limits, then the test indicated a statistical difference between that group’s statistic and the overall average of the statistic for genotypes/groups (Yiğit and Mendes, 2017). Based on the ANOM-decision charts, genotypes performing better across both normal and stress conditions having significant differences from means and falling above the upper decision level (UDL) can be considered as tolerant, whereas the genotypes having significant reduction of yield having a significant difference from means below the lower decision level (LDL) in stress treatment can be considered as susceptible.

Data collected from evaluation of parents and F$_1$s across normal and heat stress for agronomic, biochemical, and fiber traits has been subjected to analysis of variance (Steel et al., 1997) to estimate genetic variability among parents and their
subsequent hybrids. Means and standard errors were calculated and used throughout all the data sets (Gomez and Gomez, 1984). The years were then pooled to obtain mean values for further analyses. The method proposed by Singh and Chaudhary (1985) was used to calculate broad-sense heritability ($H^2_b$). For the categorization of $H^2_b$, a method based on a range of values was used, as follows: low $H^2_b$ had a < 30% value, medium $H^2_b$ values range between 30 and 70%, and high $H^2_b$ values above 70%. The $H^2_b$ was classified following the procedure given by Johnson (Johnson et al., 1955). Genetic advance percentage (GAM) is calculated by the method proposed by Poehlman and Sleper (1995) under a 20% selection intensity. For multivariate analyses, average data was taken for replications. Subsequently, means were subjected to multivariate analyses, including correlation matrix (Pearson correlation) and PCA (Correlation based), two-way cluster analysis (hierarchical clustering), and construction of a distance-based tree using Ward’s method; all these analyses were performed using default analyses and standardization options with SAS-JMP Pro 16 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, United States, 1989–2021).

To validate the results and also to determine the performance of genotypes with a high SCY and good fiber quality across normal and heat stress, 3D scatterplots were constructed based on stress tolerance indices, including mean performance (MP), geometric mean performance (GMP), and stress tolerance index (STI) for normal and heat stress with the help of the freely available online software package iPASTIC developed by Pour-Aboughadareh et al. (2019). To rank and identify the best genotypes having stable and better yields across both conditions, the representative trait was used according to the method given by Ketata et al. (1989). Based on this approach, the average sum of rank (ASR) corresponding to all variables/indices was used as an indicator for selecting the best genotypes. According to this procedure, the lowest rank was assigned to the genotype having the best performance for the corresponding variable; hence, genotypes with the lowest value for ASR and lowest values for standard deviation were denoted as the best ones.

RESULTS

The results from the preliminary screening experiment across normal and heat stress conditions, represented in Supplementary Table 2, revealed non-significant results for replications, whereas significant effect estimates were found for genotypes, treatment, and genotype treatment interaction for SCY. The results for mean comparisons through the analysis of mean methods (ANOM)-decision chart were constructed to represent the genotypic behavior for selection based on the ANOM. Based on the results shown in Figure 1, five genotypes were declared as tolerant since their means fell above the UDL across both normal and stress conditions without significantly decreasing SCY due to imposed stress. These five heat-tolerant cotton lines/genotypes were used as female lines for crossing: Ghauri-1, Badar-1, Eagle-2, CCRI-24, and Fb-Shaheen. We also selected three heat susceptible genotypes because they produced a significant decline in SCY across heat-stress conditions compared with a higher yield performance in normal conditions. These genotypes had a significantly higher yield in normal conditions, i.e., above the UDL, whereas they had significantly reduced yield performance in heat stress, i.e., below the LDL. These genotypes were: Fb-Falcon, Fb-Smart 1, and JSQ White.
## TABLE 2 | Summary of mean square values regarding the influence of high-temperature stress on different traits of the studied cotton genotypes of parents and their F$_1$ hybrids across the 2 experimental years.

| Traits                  | Genotypes   | Heat Stress | Genotypes × Heat Stress | Heat stress × Year | Genotypes × Heat stress × genotype |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|
|                          | 1st year    | 2nd year    | 1st year                | 2nd year           | 1st year                           | 2nd year            |
| Plant Height             | 137.68**    | 151.7**     | 487.60                  | 619.8              | 27.789**                           | 30.0**              | 3.96                  | 2.18                | 1.37                |
| Number of bolls          | 36.650**    | 37.90**     | 877.93                  | 838.2              | 14.092**                           | 10.53**             | 0.23                  | 1.61                | 1.26                |
| Boll weight              | 0.2247**    | 0.184**     | 0.0088                  | 0.182              | 0.06824**                          | 0.04817             | 0.05                  | 0.01                | 0.03                |
| Seed cotton yield        | 249.63**    | 237.4**     | 1806.5                  | 2329.0*            | 57.09**                           | 60.01**             | 16.44                 | 5.44                | 7.33                |
| Ginning out-turn         | 65.164**    | 51.28**     | 67.151                  | 103.5              | 13.489**                           | 18.16**             | 1.962                 | 1.552               | 1.59                |
| Hydrogen peroxide        | 29.950**    | 23.57**     | 326.4**                 | 611.5**            | 7.286**                           | 3.691**             | 22.1**                | 1.278               | 1.8*                 |
| Catalase                 | 2.261**     | 2.123**     | 7.143**                 | 7.178**            | 598**                             | 556**               | 2.0                   | 5.0                 | 4.0                 |
| Peroxidase               | 542.3**     | 446.5**     | 9.675**                 | 90.382*            | 321.5**                           | 323.5**             | 54.3**                | 9.45*               | 5.32                |
| Super-oxidase dismutase  | 145.4**     | 131.2**     | 38.581**                | 40.782*            | 120.0**                           | 87.3**              | 15.2                  | 15.6*               | 21.0**              |
| Total soluble protein    | 24.11**     | 18.4**      | 3.009**                 | 2.978.8*           | 14.47**                           | 16.6**              | 0.04                  | 2.41**              | 2.47**              |
| Chlorophyll contents     | 0.094**     | 0.10**      | 6.723**                 | 8.52**             | 0.055**                           | 0.04**              | 0.05**                | 0.00                | 0.00                |
| a                        | 0.011**     | 0.01**      | 0.431**                 | 0.59**             | 0.005**                           | 0.004**             | 0.006**               | 0.00**              | 0.00                |
| Chlorophyll contents     | 0.011**     | 0.010**     | 4.248**                 | 4.019**            | 0.005**                           | 0.003**             | 0.001                 | 0.000               | 0.00                |
| b                        | 0.900**     | 0.86**      | 0.960                   | 1.86               | 1.524**                           | 1.48**              | 0.074                 | 0.02                | 0.079*               |
| Carotenoids              | 22.62**     | 30.8**      | 29.50                   | 3.25               | 13.04**                           | 20.4**              | 26.18**               | 8.26**              | 11.7**              |
| Short fiber              | 1.351**     | 1.06**      | 0.841**                 | 0.26**             | 0.262**                           | 0.19**              | 1.02**                | 0.10*               | 0.10*               |
| Fiber strength           | 10.44**     | 14.4**      | 225.3**                 | 186.5              | 9.09**                            | 11.7**              | 0.918                 | 2.759               | 2.214               |
| MIC value                | 6.96*       | 22.07**     | 102.6                   | 53.5**             | 14.28**                           | 16.62**             | 3.962                 | 10.9**              | 11.0**              |
| Uniformity index         | 9.54**      | 11.4**      | 40.445                  | 16.5               | 4.85**                            | 7.6**               | 2.63                  | 2.54                | 2.92                |
| Upper half mean length   |             |             |                        |                    |                                   |                     |                       |                     |                     |

*Significance ($\alpha = 0.05$), **highly Significant ($\alpha = 0.01$).
TABLE 3 | Genetic components of variability, genetic advance percentage means, and heritability (broad sense) estimate studied traits across normal (N) and heat stress (HT) conditions for pooled data across the years 2018 and 2019.

| Trait                      | SOV | Max   | Mini   | Mean   | CV%  | GCV% | PCV% | H2b% | GAM |
|----------------------------|-----|-------|--------|--------|------|------|------|------|-----|
| Plant height (cm)          | N   | 99.80 | 66.00  | 83.68  | 3.86 | 7.33 | 8.29 | 78.29 | 13.37|
|                            | HT  | 98.80 | 68.20  | 78.49  | 3.45 | 8.49 | 9.16 | 85.85 | 16.20|
| Number of bolls            | N   | 29.80 | 12.20  | 20.63  | 11.15| 19.04| 22.06| 74.46 | 33.84|
|                            | HT  | 20.60 | 7.20   | 14.59  | 11.87| 14.78| 18.95| 80.60 | 23.74|
| Boll weight (g)            | N   | 3.75  | 2.31   | 3.01   | 5.46 | 7.18 | 9.02 | 63.32 | 11.77|
|                            | HT  | 3.74  | 2.04   | 2.92   | 6.36 | 7.77 | 9.29 | 53.17 | 10.17|
| Seed cotton yield (g)      | N   | 69.20 | 29.60  | 50.31  | 5.29 | 15.52| 16.40| 89.59 | 30.26|
|                            | HT  | 69.63 | 26.41  | 40.25  | 8.11 | 22.06| 23.51| 88.09 | 42.66|
| Ginning out turn%          | N   | 59.87 | 39.82  | 50.55  | 5.22 | 7.90 | 9.47 | 65.27 | 11.79|
|                            | HT  | 59.57 | 39.41  | 48.57  | 5.17 | 7.09 | 8.77 | 62.57 | 11.79|
| Hydrogen peroxide (µmol/g) | N   | 15.10 | 2.30   | 6.63   | 23.69| 38.80| 45.46| 72.85 | 68.22|
|                            | HT  | 18.58 | 3.36   | 11.78  | 14.21| 17.78| 22.76| 61.05 | 28.62|
| Catalase (U mg⁻¹ protein)  | N   | 112.00| 15.00  | 66.54  | 4.82 | 40.36| 40.65| 98.59 | 82.56|
|                            | HT  | 314.00| 207.10 | 243.21 | 2.24 | 10.06| 10.30| 95.26 | 20.22|
| Peroxidase (U mg⁻¹ protein)| N   | 90.00 | 12.40  | 42.62  | 7.29 | 43.30| 43.91| 97.25 | 87.96|
|                            | HT  | 123.60| 89.90  | 105.29 | 2.55 | 5.69 | 6.24 | 83.33 | 10.71|
| Superoxide dismutase (U mg⁻¹ protein)| N | 71.30 | 36.30  | 55.90  | 5.72 | 13.85| 14.98| 70.84 | 10.71|
|                            | HT  | 119.10| 79.60  | 98.01  | 3.96 | 6.18 | 7.34 | 70.84 | 10.71|
| Carotenoids (mg g⁻¹ FW)    | N   | 0.34  | 0.05   | 0.21   | 12.46| 26.85| 29.60| 82.29 | 50.17|
|                            | HT  | 0.80  | 0.47   | 0.63   | 6.05 | 8.84 | 10.71| 68.14 | 15.04|
| Reflectance                | N   | 84.12 | 69.40  | 75.38  | 3.28 | 3.46 | 4.77 | 52.76 | 5.18 |
|                            | HT  | 76.95 | 68.45  | 72.53  | 1.61 | 2.20 | 2.72 | 64.97 | 3.65 |
| The upper half mean length (mm) | N | 33.14 | 21.92  | 26.89  | 6.82 | 7.40 | 10.07| 54.09 | 11.22|
|                            | HT  | 30.01 | 20.92  | 26.04  | 5.44 | 6.50 | 8.48 | 58.81 | 10.28|
| Uniformity index %         | N   | 97.36 | 80.14  | 86.20  | 3.09 | 3.78 | 4.88 | 59.88 | 6.02 |
|                            | HT  | 119.10| 79.60  | 98.01  | 3.96 | 6.18 | 7.34 | 70.84 | 10.71|
| Short fiber contents (%)   | N   | 10.20 | 5.40   | 8.13   | 5.87 | 10.50| 12.03| 76.17 | 18.87|
|                            | HT  | 9.50  | 7.20   | 7.85   | 3.44 | 6.80 | 7.62 | 79.63 | 12.50|
| MIC (units)                | N   | 6.90  | 4.00   | 5.12   | 5.25 | 12.01| 13.11| 83.94 | 22.67|
|                            | HT  | 5.90  | 3.80   | 5.23   | 4.06 | 8.50 | 9.42 | 81.43 | 15.80|
| Total soluble protein contents (mg g⁻¹ FW) | N | 9.42  | 1.18   | 4.65   | 21.92| 20.17| 29.79| 45.86 | 28.15|
|                            | HT  | 29.93 | 9.30   | 16.03  | 10.85| 23.85| 26.20| 82.85 | 44.71|
| Chlorophyll a contents (mg g⁻¹ FW) | N | 1.75  | 0.59   | 1.12   | 6.43 | 21.99| 22.91| 92.13 | 43.48|
|                            | HT  | 0.80  | 0.22   | 0.51   | 10.58| 21.56| 24.02| 80.60 | 39.88|
| Chlorophyll b contents (mg g⁻¹ FW) | N | 0.50  | 0.11   | 0.37   | 7.53 | 20.04| 21.41| 87.63 | 38.65|
|                            | HT  | 0.29  | 0.09   | 0.21   | 12.60| 20.23| 23.83| 72.06 | 35.38|
| Fiber strength (g/tex)     | N   | 39.80 | 20.03  | 30.54  | 8.74 | 13.14| 15.78| 69.34 | 22.53|
|                            | HT  | 39.80 | 25.10  | 32.05  | 5.70 | 7.53 | 9.44 | 63.62 | 12.37|

SOV, Source of variation; CV%, coefficient of variation; GCV%, genotypic coefficient of variation; PCV%, phenotypic coefficient of variance; H²b, broad sense heritability; GAM, genetic advance per percent means.

Gold, and were selected to be used as testers (male parent) in crossing (Figure 1).

Analysis of variance showed significant differences among parents and F1 hybrids under heat stress during both years; this pointed toward the existence of genetic divergence (Table 2). The Genotypes × Treatment interaction for all traits was highly significant, suggesting that all parents and hybrids behaved differently under heat stress (Table 2). The analysis of variance for heat stress × year showed non-significant interactions for all traits except H₂O₂, SOD, TSP, Chl-b content, SF, STR, MIC, and UI (Table 2). Overall, heat stress negatively affected all agronomic and yield-related traits in all-cotton genotypes during both years. The following traits: PH, TNB, BW, SCY, Lint%, Chl a and b, SF, RD, and UI were reduced in all genotypes under high-temperature stress (Table 3). The mean values for H₂O₂, TSP, CAT, SOD, POD, and Car increased under heat stress whereas the mean values for Chl a and b decreased (Table 3).
FIGURE 2 | Scatterplot correlation matrix of the 19 ionic yield and fiber-related traits of 23 cotton genotypes grown under normal (left) and high-temperature stress (right) conditions. In the upper panel, red and blue circles indicated positive and negative correlations, respectively, with increasing color intensity reflecting a higher coefficient. The lower panel indicates the bivariate density distributions with ellipses between each pair of traits and trendline of the correlated traits. PH = plant height (cm), TNB = number of bolls, BW = boll weight (g), SCY = seed cotton yield (g), SF = short fiber contents (%), STR = fiber strength (g/tex), UHML = upper half mean length (mm), MIC = micronaire value (unit), RD = reflectance, UI = uniformity index (%), H$_2$O$_2$ = hydrogen peroxide (µmol/g), CAT = catalase (U mg$^{-1}$ protein), POD = peroxidase (U mg$^{-1}$ protein), SOD = superoxide dismutase (U mg$^{-1}$ protein), TSP = total soluble protein (mg g$^{-1}$ FW), Chl a and b = chlorophyll contents (A,B) (mg g$^{-1}$ FW), Caro = carotenoid (mg g$^{-1}$ FW).
Genetic Components of Various Characters Under Normal and Heat Stress Conditions

The mean values for all traits under normal and heat stress conditions were estimated. Based on these mean values following traits: PH (83.68), TNB (20.63), BW (3.01), UHML (26.89), SCY (30.31), Lint% (50.55), RD (75.38), UI (86.20), MIC (5.12), Chl-a contents (1.12), and Chl-b contents (0.37) exhibited higher mean values under normal conditions. In contrast, H$_2$O$_2$ (11.78), POD (105.29), TSP (16.03), SOD (98.01), Car (0.63), CAT (243.21), and STR (32.05) displayed higher mean values under high-temperature conditions (Table 2). The coefficient of variation was also computed to determine the precision of the experiment. A lower value of coefficient of variation (CV%) indicated a precise and accurate experiment. The following traits: PH, BW, Lint%, CAT, POD, SOD, SF, MIC, RD, UHML, UI, MIC, and STR had lower coefficient of variation (CV%) values under normal and stress conditions. Under both conditions, the traits TNB, SCY, Car, TSP, Chl a and b, and H$_2$O$_2$ had moderate to high coefficient of variation (CV%) values (Table 3). The genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) was observed to be slightly lower than the phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) for all the studied traits under both conditions, indicating that the environment was the least influential on these traits. An increasing H$_2$O$_2$ and genetic advance mean per percent (GAM) was observed in CAT, MIC, SCY, Chl a and b contents, and PH under both conditions. Moderate H$_2$O$_2$ and GAM were exhibited by TNB, H$_2$O$_2$, Car, SF, and STR under normal and stress conditions (Table 3).

Correlation Analysis

Correlation analysis was performed to estimate the relationship among studied traits under normal and high-temperature stress conditions. The morphological trait, SCY, revealed significant positive associations with TSP, BW, POD, CAT, and Chl a and b under both the conditions. The biochemical trait, TSP, exhibited a significant positive association with H$_2$O$_2$, POD, and Chl a and b under both the conditions. BW displayed a highly significant positive association with H$_2$O$_2$. The biochemical traits showed a significant positive correlation with POD, CAT, Chl a and b, and Car under both conditions (Figure 2). The remainder of the correlations were inconsistently significant under both conditions, and some were insignificant or negatively correlated among themselves under normal and stress conditions. The correlation of PH with most traits in normal conditions was significantly positive, reducing TNB, SCY, TSP, BW, H$_2$O$_2$, POD, CAT, Chl a, and b, and Car under control stress conditions. The trait of SOD also reduced its significantly positive correlations with POD, H$_2$O$_2$, BW, TSP, CAT, Chl a and b, Car, MIC, STR, and UHML from normal to stress conditions. However, with SCY, TNB, PH, SF, and UI the positive correlations of SOD changed to negative ones under heat stress conditions. The negative correlation of SOD with Lint% changed to a positive correlation under heat stress. The significant positive correlation of UI with STR changed to a non-significant level under the stress condition (Figure 2).

Cluster Analysis

Agglomerative hierarchical clustering (AHC) analysis was performed for the estimation of the degree of dissimilarity among experimental genotypes based on morphological, physiological, and biochemical traits measured under normal and high-temperature stress conditions. The cluster tree was shaped using the agglomerative hierarchical approach based on a “bottom-up” technique. The technique uses every single observation at the initial level as an individual cluster. These individual observations move forward to the next level, forming a hierarchy after pairing up successively until the final distinct cluster. The Euclidean
distance method was used to calculate the distances between genotype pairs. Subsequently, all the genotypes were clustered together to create a full-fledged dendrogram via operating Ward's method. A two-way clustering technique was utilized through AHC to build a two-way cluster diagram.

This analysis divided all 23 experimental genotypes into four groups under both normal and heat stress conditions. Under normal conditions, Group-1, Group-2, Group-3, and Group-4 enclosed seven, one, 12, and three genotypes, respectively (Figure 3). Under high-temperature stress, these 23 genotypes were clustered again into Group-1, Group-II, and Group-III, comprising five, one, 14, and three genotypes, respectively (Figure 3). Different colors represented different clusters. Based on the performance of genotypes, which is depicted in the diagram through a color gradient from red to blue (highest to lowest) obtained from clustering, and under both under normal and heat stress conditions, the following genotypes performed well: FB-Shaheen × JSQ White Gold, CCRI-24, Ghuari-1, Eagle-2 × FB-Falcon, Ghuari-1 × JSQ White Gold, and Eagle-2 regarding agronomic, biochemical, and fiber quality attributes.

**Principal Component Analysis**

Principal component analysis is a multivariate statistical approach to studying and simplifying complicated and huge datasets. Based on the correlation among studied characters and extracted clusters, the variation patterns in cotton genotypes were also investigated using PCA to assess the genetic diversity of the genotypes and their relationship with the studied traits. Under both conditions, the total variation was divided into 19 principal components (PCs), out of which the first four PCs displayed > 1 eigenvalue. In contrast, the remaining PCs exhibited lower eigenvalues (Figure 4). The first four PCs contributed 79.56% to total variability among the cotton genotypes evaluated for various ionic, yield, and fiber quality traits under both conditions. While the remainder of all PCs shared 20.44% of the total variability under both conditions. PC-1 shared 44.3%, PC-2 exhibited 17%, PC-3 revealed 10.8%, and PC-4 displayed 7.46% of total variability among the genotypes for the studied characters. PC-1 contributed the most cumulative variability to the treatment, followed by PC-2, PC-3, and PC-4 (Figure 4).

The summary biplot of studied traits along with their magnitudes of variation is displayed in Figure 4. All the genotypes under normal and stress conditions were distributed inside the correlation ellipse between the first two PCs (Figure 4, left). A relative distance of variables from the origin of PC-1 and PC-2 revealed a contribution of each variable to total variation for the accessions studied. It covered the plot from start to end and provided information about the diversity present among the genotypes. The second summary biplot in Figure 4 (right) between PC-1 and PC-2 explained 61.3% of the total variation. It reveals that most biochemical traits and a few others between the two PCs were positively correlated with each other: namely Car, CAT, SOD, TSP, POD, H2O2, STR, and BW. The length of vectors originating from the center is a depiction of the correlation amount among traits. These were validation of the correlations mentioned above among the studied traits under both conditions. The TNB, SCY, BW, UHML, Chl a and b, Car, H2O2, POD, TSP, and SOD had long vectors and revealed higher variation, whereas lint%, PH, MIC, STR, and UI exhibited the least variability. The SF, UI, and RD did not follow a desirable direction. PCA results displayed clear discrimination among all studied genotypes across normal and high-temperature stress conditions among the four PCs contributing to the maximum. The elaborated distribution details of studied traits under both normal and stress conditions among the four PCs are displayed in a scatterplot matrix in Figure 5. In this biplot, Car, CAT, SOD, TSP, POD, H2O2, STR, and BW show a positive correlation between PC2 and PC3 biplot, validating the correlation results.

The traits of POD, SOD, and H2O2 were closed and positively correlated in the biplot of PC-1 and PC-3. The biplot of PC-4 had the least variation compared with PC-1, with PC-2, and PC-3 being independent. In this biplot, SF and UI were more discriminating traits and had a strong positive correlation. The biplot of PC-1 with PC-4 contributed lower variation as compared with PC-2 and PC-3. In this biplot, RD, UHML, PH, and TNB lay close to each other and exhibited positive associations among themselves.

**Stress Tolerance Indices**

We have estimated stress tolerance indices (STI) based on mean performance (MP), geometric mean performance (GMP), and STI for test genotypes, considering yield as the most critical indicator for screening regarding heat-tolerant genotypes. In this method, genotypes were ranked according to their MP, GMP, and STI. For MP and GMP genotypes were with higher values, whereas STI ≥ 1 have been considered for heat tolerance (Fernandez, 1992). Out of the 23 studied genotypes, five accessions had an STI value ≥ 1, and the highest values for STI were recorded for FB-Shaheen × JSQ White Gold (1.82), Ghuari-1 (1.27), CCRI-24 (1.26), Eagle-2 × FB-Falcon (1.11), Ghuari-1 × JSQ White Gold (1.04), and Eagle-2 (0.91) (Supplementary Table 3). According to the theory proposed by Fernandez (1992), a 3D scatterplot was constructed to categorize 23 test genotypes of upland cotton, including lines and their F1 hybrids; four groups were observed (Figure 6). The genotypes categorized as Group A had a relatively consistent performance across normal temperature and heat stress. Group B included accessions with higher performance through normal conditions; as far as Group C was concerned, it comprised genotypes having high performance across the stress. In contrast, group D had genotypes with lower performance across both conditions (Supplementary Table 3). Based on cluster analysis, PCA, and STI, the genotypes FB-Shaheen × JSQ White Gold, CCRI-24, Ghuari-1, Eagle-2 × FB-Falcon, Ghuari-1 × JSQ White Gold, and Eagle-2 demonstrated superior performance under both conditions, and thus were identified as heat-stress-tolerant (Figure 6 and Supplementary Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

Of all abiotic stressors, high-temperature stress is a major constraint in improving cotton yield and production, affecting numerous attributes and physiological and metabolic processes
The development of high-yielding cotton cultivars with high-temperature resilience are needed to endure the warming global climate. To date, enormous efforts have been made to develop heat-tolerant cotton genotypes. Plant breeders’ first choice always relies on the available genetic diversity of various desirable characters among existing germplasm (Pour-Aboughadareh et al., 2018; Majeed et al., 2019). Up-to-date information regarding genetic variability and heritability is necessary to enhance breeding programs in order to develop heat-tolerant cotton cultivars (Tang et al., 1996).

Five lines and three testers were crossed in Line × Tester fashion (5 × 3), and 15 F1 hybrids were obtained subsequently. Using CV for the studied traits, the variation assists with enhancing crop yields by assembling beneficial genes from genetically divergent genotypes. CV also assists in depiction of the precision regarding the experiment conducted. Genetic variation is highly prone to fluctuations that take place in a plants’ environment. As the genome of a plant tries to adapt according to the vagaries of its environment, internal modifications occur to produce desirable, modified, and flexible phenotypes. Those traits exhibiting high GCV and PCV with low adverse environmental effects are advantageous for selection. Cultivars with such characters should be selected to develop desirable and adaptable genotypes (Kaleri et al., 2016; Chaudhari et al., 2017). In this work, phenotypic variance was higher than the genotypic variance for all the studied characters. The GCV was slightly lower than PCV showing the lower environmental variance, which indicates that these characters were less affected by the environment (Singh et al., 2013; Ahmadi et al., 2016). Our findings are also supported by previous reports (Khan et al., 2014).

Genetic improvement of crop plants relies on the magnitude of heritability of economic traits (Ma-Teresa et al., 1994; Ahmadi et al., 2016). Traits with high heritability and genetic advance express their features by being transmitted to the next generation in higher percentages. A high H²b, coupled with high GAM, may contribute to genetic gain owing to the selection process. Such a trend was observed in this work with CAT, MIC, SCY, PH, and Chl a and b content, indicating additive gene action. The mentioned traits can prove helpful in the selection of genotypes at early stages to be used further in improvement-based breeding programs. Interestingly, similar results were observed in earlier studies (Nawaz et al., 2019; Singh et al., 2019; Bhatti et al., 2020). The following traits: TNB, H₂O₂, Car, SF, and STR, had moderate H²b and GAM under both normal and stress conditions (Adhikari et al., 2018). Higher PCV, GCV, H²b, and GAM favor stabilized selection regarding the accumulation of alleles owing to the predominance of additive genes (Jamil et al., 2020).

Previous findings on high mean values are incongruent with our findings for PH (Majeed et al., 2019), Chl content (Van Der Westhuizen et al., 2020), Lint% (Azhar et al., 2009), BW (Snider et al., 2011), fiber quality traits (Snider et al., 2009), and antioxidant enzymes (Gür et al., 2010; Kamal et al., 2017; Majeed et al., 2019). In this work, as temperature elevated, H₂O₂ production was observed to increase; however, owing to the scavenging activity of CAT and POD, its damaging impacts were prevented (Li et al., 2007; Sekmen et al., 2014). CAT and POD convert the toxic H₂O₂ into water and oxygen (Farooq et al., 2018). An increase in CAT, TSP, and POD contents is generally observed in high-yielding cultivars as these are actively involved in scavenging H₂O₂ to maintain its optimum level (Gosavi et al., 2014; Hussain et al., 2021). The genotypes that showed higher CAT, POD, and TSP values were optimal for H₂O₂ level and were declared as heat-tolerant genotypes. Similar results have been observed previously in cotton (Gür et al., 2010), wheat (Sairam et al., 2000), chickpea (Kaushal et al., 2011), and moth
bean (Harsh et al., 2016). Carotenoids also increase under stress conditions as they are mainly involved in safeguarding singlet oxygen (McElroy and Kopsell, 2009).

A correlation matrix is used to study the dependency of variables upon each other for better phenotypes to give improved yields (Li and Ji, 2005; Pour-Aboughadareh et al., 2021). The positively associated traits TSP, BW, POD, CAT, Chl a and b, and Car were in line with earlier reports in cotton (Wan et al., 2007). SCY exhibited a positive relationship with TSP, BW, POD, CAT, and Chl a and b content. BW showed a higher positive
A relationship with $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$. Similar positive correlations among traits were also reported in earlier studies (Song et al., 2015; Majeed et al., 2019; Mangi et al., 2021).

In some cotton cultivar leaves, the antioxidant enzymes become upregulated under heat stress but remain unable to safeguard cells from oxidative injury (Snider et al., 2009). This study represented the $F_1$ hybrid genotype FB-SHAHEEN $\times$ JSQ WHITE GOLD with high SCY, BW, TNB, CAT, SOD, POD, and Chl content under both normal and stress conditions. This $F_1$ hybrid genotype was also observed to be superior in terms of fiber quality traits. The other $F_1$ hybrids, CCRI-24 $\times$ JSQ WHITE GOLD, and EAGLE-2 $\times$ JSQ WHITE GOLD showed maximum lint%, whereas the minimum lint% was recorded for GHUARI-1 $\times$ FB-FALCON under both conditions. The parental genotypes Eagle-2 and CCRI-24 were superior in yield and fiber quality parameters under both conditions.

To select the best genotypes for agronomic, fiber-related, and biochemical traits, their discrimination from remaining low-performing ones was attained using hierarchical cluster analysis, indicating that they be utilized further in breeding programs (Chunthaburee et al., 2016). The genotypes were clustered into four distinct groups. Group-1 and Group-2 included superior performing genotypes under normal and stress conditions, discriminating them as heat tolerant. Similarly, the PCA analyses revealed the first four PCs as significant contributors to the total variation covering 79.56% toward biochemical, fiber-related, and agronomic traits. These results affirmed the differences among genotypes regarding studied traits under normal and stress conditions, which can prove helpful for their utilization in future breeding programs regarding the improvement of heat tolerance of cotton cultivars. These efficient statistical techniques are employed for the discrimination of genotypes for their diversity evaluation. The results of PCA in the current study are congruent with previous findings on cotton genotypes by other researchers (Saeed et al., 2015; Shabbir et al., 2016; Jamil et al., 2020). Out of the first four PCs the maximum contribution to the total variation residing in the experimental germplasm was from PC1 and PC2, which is in line with earlier reports related to PCA (Amna et al., 2013; Isong et al., 2017). The traits Car, CAT, SOD, TSP, POD, H2O2, STR, and BW, contributed to the first two PCs under both conditions (Javed et al., 2017). Thus, multivariate analyses are a rich source of efficiency, precision, and accuracy regarding the outcomes obtained from experimental studies.

Among the various stress tolerance indices, MP, GMP, and STI have been extensively used in various studies and are suitable selection criteria, as these parameters enable us to identify individuals with high performance regarding stress-tolerance potential under unfavorable conditions (Pour-Aboughadareh et al., 2017). In the same way, many scientists have used these indices in several crops to enable them to assess stress-tolerant genotypes for further utilization in stress breeding programs. These indices have successfully helped to discriminate the genotypes as they revealed a minimal reduction in yield in response to a stress condition, compared with the other studied genotypes. These outcomes align with the findings of other research where these indices distinguished tolerant genotypes from sensitive genotypes (Naghavi et al., 2013; Khalili et al., 2014, 2016, 2018; Emnian et al., 2019; Noorka et al., 2019). Furthermore, the grouping of genotypes for high-temperature tolerance made through these indices is almost the same as we obtained from results of hierarchical clustering and PCA, thus validating the high reliability of the methods used. Hence, tolerant accessions based on STI, AHC, and
PCA results could be grown across higher temperature regions with limited penalties to their growth. The $F_1$ hybrid $FB-SHAHEEN \times JSQ$ followed by $Ghuari-1$, $CCLI-24$, $Eagle-2 \times FB-Falcon$, $Ghuari-1 \times JSQ$ White Gold, and $Eagle-2$ were identified as more heat tolerant as compared with the remaining experimental genotypes.

Several previous studies have documented that species with higher heat tolerance show an increasing trend in antioxidant enzyme activity in response to high-temperature stress, but susceptible species fail to do so. Thus, the evidence accumulated from current data indicates that intrinsic antioxidant resistance mechanisms of plants may exhibit a strategy for the enhancement of tolerance against heat stress. However, to perform selection efficiently for genetically transformed heat-tolerant plants, the effects of underlying mechanisms under heat stress on plant morphology, physiology, growth, and antioxidative responses must first be identified.

CONCLUSION

The continuously warming global climate drives plant genotypes to adapt through the modification of specific phenotypes. With this scenario of escalating temperature, the development of cultivars that may endure abrupt fluctuations without adversely affecting yield is necessary. The first solution is to screen the available cotton germplasm for its potential against high-temperature stress. Most plants exhibit high antioxidant enzyme activities as an important step involving the heat tolerance mechanism. This work identified that the $F_1$ hybrid genotype: $FB-SHAHEEN \times JSQ$ White Gold, followed by $Ghuari-1$, $CCLI-24$, $Eagle-2 \times FB-Falcon$, $Ghuari-1 \times JSQ$ White Gold, and $Eagle-2$ were the best performers under stress and normal conditions as they were not adversely affected. The adverse effects of heat stress usually include disruption of routine morphological, physiological, biochemical, and fiber characters in cotton and ultimately affect yield. Potential genotypes can be efficiently employed in future cotton breeding programs to improve cotton crop yield and productivity by enhancing their heat tolerance to withstand the changing climate.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

REFERENCES

Adhikari, B. N., Joshi, B. P., Shrestha, J., and Bhatta, N. R. (2018). Genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance and correlation among yield and yield components of rice (Oryza sativa L.). J. Agric. Nat. Resour. 1, 14 9–160. doi: 10.3126/janr.v1i1.22230
Ahmadi, J., Vaezi, B., and Pour-Aboughadarah, A. (2016). Analysis of variability, heritability, and interrelationships among grain yield and related characters in barley advanced lines. Genetika 48, 73–85. doi: 10.2298/GENSRI601073A

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MZ: experimentation, data collection, and drafting the manuscript. XJ and HM: visualization, validation, review, and editing manuscript. AS: conceptualization, resources, supervision, experimentation, review, and editing. ZS: formal analysis, visualization, validation, review, and editing. AM, AI, and AR: experimentation, data acquisition, review, and editing. AA: data acquisition, experimentation, review, and editing. YY: resources, visualization, validation, review, and editing. MI: formal analysis, software, visualization, validation, review, and editing. MR: conceptualization, funding, supervision, validation, review, and editing. All authors have reviewed the manuscript critically and approved the final draft for publication in Frontiers in Plant Science.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Genetically Modified Organisms Breeding Major Project of China (2019ZX08010004–004) and China’s National Natural Science Foundation (31901579).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are thankful to the Four Brothers Group for providing us with the facilities and materials for the experiment.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2021.727835/full#supplementary-material

**Supplementary Table 1A** | Temperature recorded in the tunnel during experiments.

**Supplementary Table 1B** | Weather data during the crop seasons of 2018 and 2019.

**Supplementary Table 2** | Linear mixed-effects model ANOVA for seed cotton yield of 50 cotton genotypes across normal and heat stress conditions.

**Supplementary Table 3** | Yield performance of 23 cotton accessions under normal and heat stress conditions, and tolerance and susceptibility indices.
Amma, N., Jehanzeb, F., Abid, M., Muhammad, S., and Muhammad, R. (2013). Estimation of genetic diversity for CLCuV, earliness and fiber quality traits using various statistical procedures in different crosses of Gossypium hirsutum L. Acta Hortic. 1031, 2–9.

Arnon, D. I. (1949). Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts. Polyphenoloxidase in Beta vulgaris. Plant Physiol. 24:1. doi: 10.1104/pp.24.1.1

Aslam, M., Maqbool, A. M., Zaman, Q. U., Shahid, M., Akhtar, M. A., and Rana, A. S. (2017). Comparison of different tolerance indices and PCA biplot analysis for assessment of salinity tolerance in lentil (Lens culinaris) genotypes. Int. J. Agric. Biol. 19, 470–478. doi: 10.17957/ijab.15.03088

ASTM (2005). ASTM D5867-05, Standard Test Methods for Measurement of Physical Properties of Cotton Fibers by High Volume Instruments. West Conshohocken: ASTM International.

Azhar, F., Ali, Z., Akhtar, M., Khan, A., and Trethowan, R. (2009). Genetic variability of heat tolerance, and its effect on yield and fibre quality traits in upland cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). Plant Breed. 128, 356–362. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0523.2008.01574.x

Bhatti, M. H., Yousaf, M. I., Ghani, A., Arshad, M., and Shehzad, A. A. (2020). Genetic diversity for cell membrane thermostability, yield and quality attributes in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). J. Agron. Crop Sci. 206, 162–173. doi: 10.1111/jac.12211

Both, A.-J., Benjamin, L., Franklin, J., Holroyd, G., Incoll, L. D., Lefsrud, M. G., et al. (2015). Guidelines for measuring and reporting environmental parameters for experiments in greenhouses. Plant Methods 11:43.

Bradford, M. M. (1976). A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal. Biochem. 72, 248–254. doi: 10.1016/0003-2697(76)90527-3

Burke, J. I., Velten, J., and Oliver, M. J. (2004). In vitro analysis of cotton pollen germination. Agron. J. 96, 359–368. doi: 10.2134/agronj2004.3590

Chaudhari, M., Faldu, G., and Ramani, H. J. A. I. B. (2017). Genetic variability, Correlation and Path coefficient analysis in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). Adv. Biorec. 8, 226–233.

Choudhury, S., Panda, P., Sahoo, L., and Panda, S. K. (2013). Reactive oxygen species signaling in plants under abiotic stress. Plant Signal. Behav. 8:e23681. doi: 10.4161/pssb.23681

Chunthaburee, S., Dongsansuk, A., Sanitchon, J., Pattanagul, W., and Gomez, K. A., and Gomez, A. A. (1984). Statistics with JMP: hypothesis Tests, ANOVA and Regression. United States: John Wiley & Sons.

Gosavi, G., Gadhw, A., Kale, A., Gadakh, S., Pawar, B., and Chimote, V. (2014). Effect of Heat Stress on Proline, Chlorophyll Content, Heat Shock Proteins and Antioxidant Enzyme Activity in Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor) at Seedlings Stage. India: NISCAIR-CSIR.

Gör, A., Demirel, U., Ösend, M., Kahraman, A., and Çopur, O. (2010). Diurnal gradual heat stress affects antioxidant enzymes, proline accumulation and some physiological components in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). Afr. J. Biotechnol. 9, 1008–1015. doi: 10.5897/AJB09.1590

Harsh, A., Sharma, Y., Joshi, U., Rampuria, S., Singh, G., Kumar, S., et al. (2016). Effect of short-term heat stress on total sugars, proline and some antioxidant enzymes in moth bean (Vigna aconitifolia). Ann. Agric. Sci. 61, 57–64. doi: 10.1016/j.aaos.2016.02.001

Hoaglin, D. C., and Welch, R. E. (1978). The hat matrix in regression and ANOVA. Am. Statist. 32, 17–22. doi: 10.1080/00031305.1978.10479237

Hussain, R., Ayyub, C. M., Shaheen, M. R., Rashid, S., Nafees, M., Ali, S., et al. (2021). Regulation of Osmotic Balance and Increased Antioxidant Activities under Heat Stress in Abelsonschus esculentus L. Triggered by Exogenus Proline Applications. Agronomy 11:685. doi: 10.3390/agronomy11040685

Isong, A., Balu, P. A., and Ramakrishnan, P. (2017). Association and principal component analysis of yield and its components in cultivated cotton. Electr. J. Plant Breed. 8, 857–864. doi: 10.5987/978X.2017.00140.5

Jamil, A., Khan, S. J., and Ullah, K. (2020). Genetic diversity for cell membrane thermostability, yield and quality attributes in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). J. Agron. Crop Sci. 206, 1–7. doi: 10.1111/jac.12211

Johnson, H. W., Robinson, H., and Comstock, R. (1955). Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybeans 1. Agron. J. 47, 314–318. doi: 10.2134/argon1955.0002196020110007009x

Kaleri, A. A., Baloch, A. W., Baloch, M., Wahocho, N. A., Abro, T. F., Jogi, Q., et al. (2016). Heritability and correlation analysis in Bt and non-Bt cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) genotypes. Pure Appl. Biol. 5:1. doi: 10.19045/pabsp.2016.50114

Kamal, M., Saleem, M., Shahid, M., Awais, M., Khan, H., and Ahmed, K. (2017). Ascorbic acid triggered physiological transformations at different phenological stages of heat-stressed Bt cotton. J. Agron. Crop Sci. 203, 323–328. doi: 10.1111/jac.12211

Kaulsh, N., Gupta, K., Bhandhari, K., Kumar, S., Thakur, P., and Nayyar, H. (2011). Proline induces heat tolerance in chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) plants by protecting vital enzymes of carbon and antioxidative metabolism. Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants 17, 203–213. doi: 10.1007/s12298-011-0078-2

Ketata, H., Yau, S., and Nashit, M. (1989). "Relative consistency performance across environments," in International symposium on physiology and breeding of winter cereals for stressed mediterranean environments. (Paris: INRA).

Khalili, M., Alineza, P.-A., Naghavi, M. R., and Mohammad-Amini, E. (2014). Evaluation of drought tolerance in safflower genotypes based on drought tolerance indices. Notul. Bot. Horti Agrobot. Cluj-Napoca 42, 214–218. doi: 10.15835/nbja4219333

Khalili, M., Pour-Abouhaghdareh, A., Mohammad, R., Shoshitari, L., Yousefzazarkhamian, M., and Moradkhani, H. (2019). Determining the best drought tolerance indices using artificial neural network (ANN) insight into application of intelligent agriculture in agronomy and plant breeding. Cereal Res. Commun. 47, 170–181. doi: 10.1556/0506.2018.0057

Farooq, M. A., Shakeel, A., Atif, R. M., and Saleem, M. F. (2018). Genetic Variability Studies for Salinity Tolerance in Gossypium hirsutum. Int. J. Agric. Biol. 20, 2871–2878.

Fernandez, G. C. (1992). “Effective selection criteria for assessing plant stress tolerance,” in Proceeding of the International Symposium on Adaptation of Vegetables and other Food Crops in Temperature and Water Stress, Aug. 13-16, 1992, Taiwan, 257–270.

Ghafoor, G., Hassan, G., Ahmad, I., Khan, S. N., and Suliman, S. (2013). Correlation analysis for different parameters of F2 bread wheat population. Pure Appl. Biol. 2:28. doi: 10.19045/pabsp.2013.21005

Gomez, K. A., and Gomez, A. A. (1984). Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. United States: John Wiley & Sons.

Goos, P., and Meintrup, D. (2016). Statistics with JMP: hypothesis Tests, ANOVA and Regression. United States: John Wiley & Sons.
hydroxymethylglutathione levels is affected by chromosome 5A of wheat. Plant Sci. 166, 451–458. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2003.10.011
Li, H. B., Qin, Y. M., Pang, Y., Song, W. Q., Mei, W. Q., and Zhu, Y. X. (2007). A cotton ascorbate peroxidase is involved in hydrogen peroxide homeostasis during fibre cell development. New Phytol. 175, 462–471. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02120.x
Li, J., and Ji, L. (2005). Adjusting multiple testing in multilocus analyses using the eigenvalues of a correlation matrix. Heredity 95, 221–227. doi: 10.1038/sj.hdy.6800717
Liu, D., Zou, J., Meng, Q., Zou, J., and Jiang, W. (2009). Uptake and accumulation of oxidative stress in garlic (Allium sativum L.) under lead phytotoxicity. Ecotoxicology 18, 134–143. doi: 10.1016/j.sit.2008-0266-1
Lokhande, S., and Reddy, K. R. (2014). Quantifying temperature effects on cotton reproductive efficiency and fiber quality. Agron. J. 106, 1275–1282. doi: 10.2134/agronj13.0533
Majeed, S., Malik, T. A., Rana, I. A., and Azhar, M. T. (2019). Antioxidant and physiological responses of upland cotton accessions grown under high-temperature regimes. Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Transac. A Sci. 43, 2759–2768. doi: 10.1007/s10495-019-00781-7
Malik, R., Sharma, H., Sharma, I., Kundu, S., Verma, A., Sheoran, S., et al. (2014). Genetic diversity of agro-morphological characters in Indian wheat varieties using GT biplot. Austr. J. Crop Sci. 8:1266.
Mangi, N., Nazir, M. F., Wang, X., Iqbal, M. S., Sarfraz, Z., Jatoi, G. H., et al. (2021). Genetic basis of environmental conditions. Curr. Agric. Res. J. 6, 54–64. doi: 10.12944/CARJ.6.1.07
Pour-Aboughadareh, A., Iqbal, M. S., Ibrar, D., Mahmood, T., Naveed, M. S., and Naeem, M. K. (2013). A review on heat stress response in different genotypes of tomato crop (Solanum lycopersicon L.). Int. J. Mod. Agri. 2, 64–71.
Rathinavel, K. (2018). Principal Component Analysis with Quantitative Traits in Extant Cotton Varieties (Gossypium hirsutum L.) and Parental Lines for Diversity. Curr. Agric. Res. J. 6, 54–64. doi: 10.12944/CARJ.6.1.07
Roychoudhury, A., Basu, S., and Sengupta, D. N. (2012). Antioxidants and stress-related metabolites in the seedlings of two indica rice varieties exposed to cadmium chloride toxicity. Acta Physiol. Plantar. 34, 835–847. doi: 10.1007/s11718-011-0881-y
Saeed, F., Shabbir, R. H., Farooq, J., Riaz, M., and Mahmood, K. (2015). Genetic Diversity Analysis for Earliness, Fiber Quality and Cotton Leaf Curl Virus in Gossypium hirsutum L. Accessions. Cotton Genomics Genet. 6, 1–7.
Sairam, R., Srivastava, G., and Saxena, D. (2000). Increased antioxidant activity under elevated temperatures: a mechanism of heat stress tolerance in wheat genotypes. Biol. Plantar. 43, 245–251. doi: 10.1023/A:1002756311146
Saleem, M. A., Malik, W., Qayyum, A., Ulf-Allah, S., Ahmad, M. Q., Afzal, H., et al. (2021). Impact of heat stress responsive factors on growth and physiology of cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). Mol. Biol. Rep. 48, 1069–1079. doi: 10.1007/s11033-021-06217-x
Salimath, S. S., Romsdahl, T. B., Konda, A. R., Zhang, W., Cahoon, E. B., Dowd, M. K., et al. (2021). Production of tocochromenes in seeds of cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) enhances oxidative stability and offers nutraceutical potential. Plant Biotechnol. J. 19, 1288–1282. doi: 10.1111/pbi.13557
Salman, M., Majeed, S., Rana, I. A., Atif, R. M., and Azhar, M. T. (2019). Novel Breeding and Biotechnological Approaches to Mitigate the Effects of Heat Stress on Cotton. Germany: Springer. 251–277. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-21687-0_11
Sarwar, M., Saleem, M., Najeeb, U., Shakeel, A., Ali, S., and Bilal, M. (2017). Hydrogen peroxide reduces heat-induced yield losses in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) by protecting cellular membrane damage. J. Agron. Crop Sci. 203, 429–441. doi: 10.1111/jac.12203
Sarwar, M., Saleem, M. F., Ullah, N., Ali, S., Rizwan, M., Shahid, M. R., et al. (2019). Role of mineral nutrition in alleviation of heat stress in cotton plants grown in glasshouse and field conditions. Sci. Rep. 9:13022
Sekmen, A. H., Ozgur, R., Uzilday, B., and Turkam, I. (2014). Reactive oxygen species scavenging capacities of cotton (Gossypium hirsutum) cultivars under combined drought and heat induced oxidative stress. Environ. Exp. Bot. 99, 141–149. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2013.11.010
Sellam, V., and Poovamval, E. (2016). Prediction of crop yield using regression analysis. Ind. J. Sci. Technol. 9, 1–5. doi: 10.17485/ijst/2016/v9i8/9174
Shabbir, R. H., Bashir, Q. A., Shakeel, A., Khan, M. M., Farooq, J., Fiaz, S., et al. (2016). Genetic divergence assessment in upland cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) using various statistical tools. J. Global Innov. Agric. Sci. 4, 62–69. doi: 10.22194/JGIASS/4.2.744
Singh, R. P., Prasad, P. V., Sunita, K., Giri, S., and Reddy, K. R. (2007). Influence of high temperature and breeding for heat tolerance in cotton: a review. Adv. Agron. 93, 335–385.
Singh, D., Gill, J., Gumber, R., Singh, R., and Singh, S. (2013). Yield and fibre quality associated with cotton leaf curl disease of Bt-cotton in Punjab. J. Environ. Biol. 34:113.
Singh, M., Singh, V., Yadav, G., and Kumar, P. (2019). Studies on variability, heritability (narrow sense) and genetic advance analysis for growth, yield and quality traits in pumpkin (Cucurbita moschata Duch. ex. Poir.). J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem. 8, 3621–3624. doi: 10.20546/jcpp.2019.083.120
Singh, R., and Chaudhary, B. (1985). Biometrical Methods in Quantitative Genetic Analysis. India: Kalyani Publishers.
Snider, J., Oosterhuis, D., and Wakamaki, E. (2011). Mechanisms of reproductive thermostolerance in Gossypium hirsutum: the effect of genotype and exogenous

Zafar et al. | Genetic Variability in Cotton Under Heat Stress

Frontiers in Plant Science | www.frontiersin.org 16 January 2022 | Volume 12 | Article 727835
Snider, J. L., Oosterhuis, D. M., and Kawakami, E. M. (2010). Genotypic differences in thermotolerance are dependent upon prestress capacity for antioxidant protection of the photosynthetic apparatus in Gossypium hirsutum. *Physiol. Plantar.* 138, 268–277. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.2009.01325.x

Snider, J. L., Oosterhuis, D. M., Skulman, B. W., and Kawakami, E. M. (2009). Heat stress-induced limitations to reproductive success in Gossypium hirsutum. *Physiol. Plantar.* 137, 125–138. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.2009.01266.x

Song, M., Fan, S., Pang, C., Wei, H., Liu, J., and Yu, S. (2015). Genetic analysis of yield and yield-related traits in short-season cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). *Euphytica* 204, 135–147. doi: 10.1007/s10681-014-1348-1

Song, M., Fan, S., Pang, C., Wei, H., and Yu, S. (2014). Genetic analysis of the antioxidant enzymes, methane dicarboxylic aldehyde (MDA) and chlorophyll content in leaves of the short season cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). *Euphytica* 198, 153–162. doi: 10.1007/s10681-014-1100-x

Steel, R. G., Torrie, J. H., and Dickey, D. A. (1997). *Student learning of basis in linear algebra* in Proceedings of the Joint Conference of PME. (New Zealand: The University of Auckland), 281–288.

Suzuki, N., Koussevitzky, S., Mittler, R., and Miller, G. (2012). ROS and redox signalling in the response of plants to abiotic stress. *Plant Cell Environ.* 35, 259–270. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02366.x

Tang, B., Jenkins, J., Watson, C., McCarty, J., and Creech, R. (1996). Evaluation of genetic variances, heritabilities, and correlations for yield and fiber traits among cotton F2 hybrid populations. *Euphytica* 91, 315–322. doi: 10.1007/BF00033093

Teixeira, E. I., Fischer, G., Van Velthuizen, H., Walter, C., and Ewert, F. (2013). Global hot-spots of heat stress on agricultural crops due to climate change. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 170, 206–215. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.09.002

Timm, N. H. (2002). *Applied Multivariate Analysis.* Germany: Springer.

Van Der Westhuizen, M., Oosterhuis, D., Berner, J., and Boogaers, N. (2020). Chlorophyll a fluorescence as an indicator of heat stress in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). *South Afr. J. Plant Soil* 37, 116–119. doi: 10.1080/02571862.2019.1665721

Velikova, V., Yordanov, I., and Edreva, A. (2000). Oxidative stress and some antioxidant systems in acid rain-treated bean plants: protective role of exogenous polyamines. *Plant Sci.* 151, 59–66. doi: 10.1016/S0168-9452(99)00197-1

Wan, Q., Zhang, Z., Hu, M., Chen, L., Liu, D., Chen, X., et al. (2007). T1 locus in cotton is the candidate gene affecting lint percentage, fiber quality and spiny bollworm (Earias spp.) resistance. *Euphytica* 158, 241–247. doi: 10.1007/s10681-007-9446-y

Xu, W., Zhou, Z., Zhan, D., Zhao, W., Meng, Y., Chen, B., et al. (2020). The difference in the formation of thermostolerance of two cotton cultivars with different heat tolerance. *Arch. Agron. Soil Sci.* 66, 58–69. doi: 10.1080/03650340.2019.1593967

Yiğit, S., and Mendes, M. (2017). ANOM technique for evaluating practical significance of observed difference among treatment groups. *Int. J. Agric. Sci. Res.* 6, 1–7.

Zahid, K. R., Ali, F., Shah, F., Younas, M., Shah, T., Shahwar, D., et al. (2016). Response and tolerance mechanism of cotton Gossypium hirsutum L. to elevated temperature stress: a review. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7:937. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.00937

Zhang, L., Lei, L., and Yan, D. (2010). “Comparison of two regression models for predicting crop yield” in 2010 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium. (United States: IEEE). 1521–1524. doi: 10.1109/IGARSS.2010.5652764

Zhou, J., Zhou, J., Ye, H., Ali, M. L., Chen, P., and Nguyen, H. T. (2021). Yield estimation of soybean breeding lines under drought stress using unmanned aerial vehicle-based imagery and convolutional neural network. *Biosyst. Eng.* 204, 90–103. doi: 10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2021.01.017

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher’s Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

**Copyright © 2022 Zafar, Jia, Shakeel, Sarfraz, Manan, Imran, Ma, Ali, Youlu, Razzaq, Iqbal and Ren. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.”