Prognostic significance of membrane-associated mucins 1 and 4 in gastric adenocarcinoma
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Abstract. Aberrant expression of mucins is likely associated with cancer biology as alterations in the expression and/or glycosylation patterns of various mucins have been noted. Expression of the mucin family in gastric cancers has been reported in numerous studies, but the results are conflicting. Therefore, we investigated the potential use of mucin (MUC1 and 4) as prognostic markers in gastric cancer according to histological subtype. Three-hundred and sixty-five gastric adenocarcinoma patients who underwent surgical resection were selected for this study. Among the 365 gastric cancer samples tested here, 34% consisted of early gastric cancer and 66% were advanced. In terms of location, 68.7% of the cohort had intestinal-type cancer and 30.7% had diffuse-type. We constructed tissue microarrays with formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded blocks of gastric cancer and these microarrays were evaluated for phenotypic expression of MUC1/4 using monoclonal antibodies. Two-hundred and ninety-two patients (92.7%) were positive for MUC1 and 216 (60.5%) were positive for MUC4. MUC1 expression was not correlated with any other clinicopathological variables such as age, gender, depth of invasion, lymph node metastasis, Lauren classification or recurrence. However, loss of MUC4 expression was significantly correlated with recurrence (p=0.033). MUC4 expression was also significantly correlated with better disease-free survival (p=0.049) and particularly in the intestinal-type (p=0.018). Our present findings demonstrated that loss of MUC4 expression can be used as a prognostic marker in gastric cancer. Loss of MUC4 expression is a prognostic indicator of increased recurrence and poor disease-free survival in patients with gastric cancer.

Introduction

Mucins are high-molecular-weight epithelial glycoproteins that are heavily glycosylated with numerous oligosaccharide side chains linked to a protein backbone called apomucin. Mucins are known for providing protection and lubrication to epithelial surfaces; in addition, their roles in cell signaling are under intense study (1-2). Aberrant expression of mucins is likely associated with cancer biology as alterations in the expression and/or glycosylation patterns of various mucins influence cellular growth, differentiation, transformation, adhesion, invasion and immune surveillance. Mucins are divided into two distinct classes according to their structure and function (3). Secreted-type mucins (MUC2, 5AC, 5B, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 19) are glycoproteins constituting the major macromolecular component of mucus, and membrane-associated type mucins (MUC1, 3A, 3B, 4, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17 and 20) contribute to epithelial cell-cell interactions (3-4).

MUC1 is normally abundantly present at the luminal surface of various secretory epithelial cells (5), and is associated with the epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase and is involved in cellular signaling (1,6). Overexpression of MUC1 is reported in malignant neoplasms including breast, pancreatic, colorectal or non-small-cell carcinoma of the lung, and is associated with a poor prognosis (7-9).

MUC4 expression is also present in normal epithelial tissues including stomach, colon, cervix and lung. The overexpression of MUC4 has been discovered in a number of human neoplasms (10-12). It has been shown that MUC4 expression is related to aggressive tumor behavior or a poor outcome in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma, invasive ductal carcinoma of the pancreas, extrapancreatic bile duct carcinoma, and lung adenocarcinoma (13-17). On the other hand, loss of MUC4 expression is related to poor survival in mucosquamous carcinoma of the salivary gland, squamous cell carcinoma of the upper aerodigestive system, and adenocarcinoma of lung (18-21). The function of MUC4 in gastric cancer is not understood. To date, there have been few studies on the relationship between MUC4 expression and patient prognosis in gastric adenocarcinoma.

The aims of this study were to investigate MUC1 and 4 expression patterns and to evaluate the correlation of MUC1 and 4 expression with patient prognosis in gastric adenocarcinoma.
Materials and methods

Patients. We recruited 365 patients who underwent gastrectomy for gastric adenocarcinoma from archives of paraffin blocks at Keimyung University Dongsan Hospital from October 1995 to December 1999. Tissue samples were fixed in formalin and embedded in paraffin. All cases were reviewed by an expert panel of two pathologists according to the current criteria of the WHO classification for morphological features and immunohistochemical results. The clinical data (age, gender, T stage, N stage, Lauren classification, date of diagnosis, adjuvant chemotherapy, date of relapse, and date of last follow-up) and pathological reports of the patients with gastric adenocarcinoma were collected from the medical records. Patients were divided into subgroups: ≤60 and >60 years of age.

Immunohistochemistry. Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue samples were used for tissue microarray (TMA). Representative areas of each tumor were marked on each H&E-stained slide, and the corresponding areas of tissue blocks were sampled. The designated areas of each donor block were collected using a tissue cylinder punch of 5-mm diameter, and samples were transferred to a recipient block.

Sections (4 µm) from TMAs were cut from 10% (v/v) formalin buffer and embedded in paraffin, were mounted on Superfrost Plus glass slides (VWR Scientific, West Chester, PA, USA) and incubated at 60°C for 15 min. The slides were next deparaffinized in xylene, rehydrated in graded alcohol solutions, and washed in tap water. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked by the addition of 3% (v/v) H₂O₂. Slides were placed in a steam cooker filled with 10 mM sodium citrate buffer, pH 6.0, for antigen retrieval. After treatment with a blocking agent (Dako, Carpinteria, CA, USA) for 10 min to block nonspecific protein binding, samples were incubated for a further 1 h with primary anti-human mouse antibodies which included MUC1 (1:200, Zymed, South San Francisco, CA, USA) and MUC4 (1:300, Zymed, South San Francisco, CA, USA) and incubated at 60˚C, Zymed). After reaction with a biotinylated antibody for 30 min, antigen-antibody complexes were visualized using a streptavidin-horseradish peroxidase conjugate (Dako LSAB kit; Dako, Los Angeles, CA, USA) employing diaminobenzidine as the chromogen. Slides were counterstained with Meyer's hematoxylin for 3-5 min.

Immunopositivity for MUC1 and MUC4 was evaluated by staining intensity (0, negative; 1, weak; 2, moderate; 3, strong) and the proportions of positive staining cells (0, 0% positive; 1, ≤10% positive; 2, >10% and ≤50% positive; 3, >50% positive). Samples were considered positive for MUC1 or 4 when staining intensity was >0 (weak-to-strong) and >10% of cells were positively stained.

Statistics. The SPSS statistical package, version 19.0 for Windows, was used for all statistical analyses. The relationship between MUC1/4 immunoreactivity and each clinicopathological variable was evaluated using the Chi-square or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. Disease-free survival was measured from the date of diagnosis to the date of recurrence or the last follow-up. Overall survival was measured from the date of diagnosis to the date of death or the last follow-up visit. Disease-free and overall survival were measured according to the Kaplan-Meier method and the Cox regression test, and survival rates were compared using the log-rank test. P-values <0.05 were considered to indicate statistically significant results, and all p-values correspond to two-sided significance tests.

Results

Clinicopathological variables. The mean age of the 365 patients with gastric adenocarcinoma was 56.2 years (range, 25-82 years). There were 248 (67.9%) male patients and 117 (32.1%) female patients. Early gastric carcinoma, invading the mucosal or submucosal layer, was observed in 126 (34.5%) patients and advanced gastric carcinoma, which invaded the proper muscle or a deeper layer, was observed in 239 (65.5%). According to the Lauren classification, 112 (30.7%) patients had diffuse type, 251 (68.8%) had intestinal type and two (0.5%) had mixed type. The clinicopathological variables of gastric adenocarcinoma are summarized in Table I.

| Clinical variables | Value |
|--------------------|-------|
| Mean age, years (range) | 56.2 (25-82) |
| Gender (M/F) | 248:117 |
| Depth of tumor invasion, n (%) | |
| Mucosa or submucosa | 126 (34.5) |
| (early gastric cancer) | |
| Other than submucosa | 239 (65.5) |
| (advanced gastric cancer) | |
| Lymph node (regional) metastasis, n (%) | |
| No | 182 (49.9) |
| Yes | 182 (50.1) |
| Lauren classification, n (%) | |
| Diffuse-type | 112 (30.7) |
| Intestinal-type | 251 (68.8) |
| Mixed-type | 2 (0.5) |
| Recurrence, n (%) | 89 (24.4) |
| Survival, n (%) | 72 (19.7) |

MUC1 and MUC4 expression. MUC1 demonstrated diffuse and strong immunopositivity on the membrane and in the cytoplasm of normal gastric glandular cells. MUC4 was also strongly positive on the membrane and in the cytoplasm of normal surface glandular cells, but was negative on the membrane or in the cytoplasm of pyloric glandular cells.

Two hundred and ninety-two out of 315 (92.7%) cases were positive for MUC1 immunoreactivity and 216 out of 317 (60.5%) were positive for MUC4 immunoreactivity. Staining patterns of MUC1 and MUC4 in tumor cells were the same as that of MUC1 and MUC4 in normal glandular cells (Fig. 1 and 2). MUC1 expression was not correlated with any other clinicopathological variables such as age, gender, depth of invasion, lymph node metastasis, Lauren classification or recurrence. However, MUC4 expression was significantly
correlated with recurrence \( (P=0.033) \), but not with any other variables such as age, gender, depth of invasion, lymph node metastasis or Lauren classification (Table II). The prevalence of MUC4 expression was higher in the intestinal-type (42.4\%) than in the diffuse-type (32.7\%) but this did not achieve statistical significance \((P=0.100)\). MUC4-positive tumor cells were not morphologically different than the MUC4-negative tumor cells in either the diffuse- or intestinal-type. (Fig. 2)

**Prognosis.** Loss of MUC4 expression was significantly correlated with worse disease-free survival \((p=0.049)\) but was not significantly correlated with overall survival \((p=0.671)\) in Kaplan-Meier survival test. (Fig. 3) Tumor invasion (more than submucosa), presence of lymph node metastasis, and diffuse type by Lauren classification were significantly correlated with worse disease-free survival \((p<0.001, <0.001 \text{ and } 0.044)\) in Kaplan-Meier survival analysis. However, MUC1 expression and other clinical variables including age, gender, or adjuvant chemotherapy were not correlated with disease-free survival.

In intestinal-type gastric cancer according to Lauren classification, loss of MUC4 expression was significantly correlated with worse disease-free survival \((p=0.018)\), but was not significantly correlated with disease-free survival in the diffuse-type although loss of MUC4 was significantly correlated with disease-free survival in overall types of gastric carcinoma. Loss of MUC4 expression was also significantly correlated with worse disease-free survival \((p=0.025)\) in the older age group \((>60)\), but was not correlated with worse disease-free survival in the younger age group \((\leq 60)\). Gender, depth of tumor invasion, lymph node metastasis, or adjuvant chemotherapy did not have an effect on MUC4 expression and disease-free survival.
Discussion

Significant correlations between MUC1 expression and clinico pathological manifestations including Lauren type or recurrence were not identified in the present study. In several studies, MUC1 expression has been suggested as a prognostic factor of gastric adenocarcinoma (1,22-24). The proportion of MUC1 overexpression in the present study was more than 90%. However, MUC1 expression was detected in 30-60% of gastric adenocarcinoma cases in other studies. The difference in MUC1 expression could be explained by different conditions including the type of commercial antibody, concentration of the primary antibody or substrate of staining. Therefore, for higher accuracy, further studies should be undertaken with a larger number of gastric adenocarcinoma samples using standardized methods. Similarly, comparing the expression patterns of other molecules such as E-cadherin or other mucins may potentiate the possible use of MUC1 as a prognostic marker for gastric adenocarcinomas. However, we demonstrated that MUC1 expression may be valuable in the diagnosis of gastric adenocarcinoma particularly in difficult clinical cases including metastatic carcinoma of unknown primary site.

Aberrant expression of MUC4 has been reported in various cancers and inflammatory diseases. MUC4 is upregulated in high-grade dysplasia and adenocarcinoma of the esophagus. High-grade salivary tumors have a trend for reduced MUC4 expression compared to low-grade and intermediate-grade tumors. MUC4-expressing salivary gland mucoepidermoid tumors are associated with improved patient survival and a longer time to recurrence as compared with patients whose tumors were diagnosed as being negative for MUC4 expression. In addition, prostate cancer has exhibited downregulation of MUC4 expression in prostate carcinomas as compared with that in the normal/benign prostate regions. In contrast to the aforementioned studies, high MUC4 expression correlates with a short disease-free interval and a poor survival rate of small-sized lung adenocarcinomas, cholangiocarcinomas, epithelial ovarian carcinomas, pancreatic cancers and colorectal adenocarcinoma (12-16,25,26). MUC4 overexpression in gastric cancer tissues compared with normal adjacent tissues has been previously reported (27). MUC4 is known to be expressed in embryonic gastric tissues at approximately 8 weeks gestation. It has also been shown that a number of embryogenesis phenomena such as cell proliferation, lineage allocation, cell migration, and differentiation of cells are also observed during cancer progression (28). Overexpression of MUC4 has a role in promoting properties in poorly differentiated gastric non-signet ring cell carcinoma cells (29). Our study into the role of MUC4 as a prognostic marker in gastric adenocarcinoma tissues found contradictory results. The level of MUC4 expression was higher in the intestinal-type than the diffuse-type but did not achieve statistical significance. Therefore, further research is required to evaluate the functional diversity of MUC4. MUC4 is an intramembrane ligand for receptor tyrosine kinase ErbB2, which is a transmembrane

Table II. Correlations between MUC1/4 expression and clinical variables of the gastric adenocarcinoma cases.

|                        | MUC1 expression\(^a\)                                                                 |                       | MUC4 expression\(^a\)                                                                 |                       |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
|                        | Positive No.                                                                         | Negative No.          | P-value                                                                              | Positive No.          | Negative No.          | P-value   |
| Age (years)            |                                                                                      |                       |                                                                                      |                       |                       |                       |
| ≤60                    | 14/315                                                                                | 165/315               | 0.828                                                                                | 80/357                | 130/357               | 0.582     |
| >60                    | 9/315                                                                                 | 127/315               |                                                                                      | 61/357                | 86/357                | 0.643     |
| Gender                 |                                                                                      |                       |                                                                                      |                       |                       |                       |
| Male                   | 14/315                                                                                | 199/315               | 0.492                                                                                | 98/357                | 144/357               | 0.109     |
| Female                 | 9/315                                                                                 | 93/315                |                                                                                      | 43/357                | 72/357                | 0.033     |
| Depth of invasion      |                                                                                      |                       |                                                                                      |                       |                       |                       |
| Early gastric cancer   | 4/315                                                                                 | 93/315                | 0.168                                                                                | 40/357                | 80/357                | 0.109     |
| Advanced gastric cancer| 19/315                                                                                | 199/315               |                                                                                      | 101/357               | 136/357               | 0.033     |
| Lymph node metastasis  |                                                                                      |                       |                                                                                      |                       |                       |                       |
| No                     | 10/315                                                                                | 138/315               | 0.830                                                                                | 62/357                | 113/357               | 0.131     |
| Yes                    | 13/315                                                                                | 154/315               |                                                                                      | 79/357                | 103/357               | 0.100     |
| Lauren classification   |                                                                                      |                       |                                                                                      |                       |                       |                       |
| Diffuse-type           | 6/314                                                                                 | 93/314                | 0.647                                                                                | 36/355                | 74/355                | 0.100     |
| Intestinal-type        | 17/314                                                                                | 198/314               |                                                                                      | 104/355               | 141/355               | 0.033     |
| Recurrence             |                                                                                      |                       |                                                                                      |                       |                       |                       |
| No                     | 17/314                                                                                | 215/314               | 1.000                                                                                | 96/356                | 171/356               | 0.033     |
| Yes                    | 6/314                                                                                 | 76/314                |                                                                                      | 44/356                | /356                  | /         |

\(^a\)Manifestations including missing values represented in denominators.
glycoprotein encoded by the c-Erb-B2 proto-oncogene with a tyrosine kinase domain (13,30-32). MUC4 expression may be a more important marker in tumor differentiation than the cell signaling pathway or as an epithelial-mesenchymal transition relating factor. Likewise in gastric adenocarcinoma, MUC4 expression may be a more important tumor differentiation marker and loss of MUC4 expression may denote poor differentiation regardless of morphological change. Additionally, there are studies demonstrating that MUC4 expression was correlated with increased apoptosis. MUC4 was found to upregulate the expression of the cell cycle inhibitor p27kips. Hence, the role of MUC4 in apoptotic cell death is seemingly regulated by Erb-B2 and other signaling components that are altered in the cancer cell (33).

Our study focused on investigating the prognostic significance of MUC1 and MUC4 expression in gastric cancer. We identified that loss of MUC4 expression was significantly correlated with worse disease-free survival. MUC4 may serve diverse functions in a context-dependent manner. There is a need to further our comprehension of the function and mechanism of MUC4 in normal and pathological conditions of gastric epithelial cells. Therefore, delineating the signaling mechanisms in the expression of MUC4 and defining the specific functions of MUC4 may lead to a better understanding of gastric adenocarcinoma.

Figure 3. Disease-free and overall survival of patients with MUC4 expression and clinicopathological variables in gastric adenocarcinoma. (A) MUC4 expression was significantly correlated with better disease-free survival (p=0.049), while (B) MUC4 expression was not significantly correlated with overall survival (p=0.671). (C) MUC4 expression in intestinal-type or (D) in the older age group was significantly correlated with disease-free survival (p=0.018 and 0.025).
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