Biotinylated Chlorin and Its Zinc and Indium Complexes: Synthesis and In Vitro Biological Evaluation for Photodynamic Therapy
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Abstract: The synthesis and characterization of biotinylated chlorin photosensitizer and the corresponding zinc and indium complexes are described for potential applications in photodynamic therapy (PDT) for cancer. Phototoxicity of the biotin-chlorin conjugate and the metallated complexes was determined in colon carcinoma CT26 cell lines known to overexpress biotin (Vit B7) receptors. Cell survival assay indicated that the biotinylated chlorin and indium complex showed increased cell growth inhibition than the zinc complex and the starting chlorin (methyl pheophorbide). Fluorescence microscopy studies revealed the generation of apoptotic cells upon light irradiation of colon cells treated with the indium complex. Targeting biotin receptors in cancer cells can improve specificity of photosensitizers for PDT applications.
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1. Introduction

Photodynamic therapy (PDT) is a cancer treatment that involves delivery of a fluorophore known as photosensitizer (PS) to tumor tissues upon systemic administration and activation with visible light (600–800 nm) in the presence of endogenous oxygen [1]. Excitation of PS with light in the red or near infrared (NIR) region generates cytotoxic reactive oxygen species (ROS), including singlet oxygen (\(1\text{O}_2\)) to cause irreversible destruction of tumor cells, and to induce immune inflammatory responses and damage to tumor microvasculature. PDT is an FDA-approved treatment for bronchial, esophageal, gastric, cervical, skin, head, and neck cancers. However, PDT has certain limitations. Photosensitizer selectivity and efficacy which continue to be the major barrier for widespread acceptance of PDT in clinical practice must be improved to optimize anticancer treatment [2–5]. Characteristics of ideal photosensitizers include low dark toxicity, high selectivity for tumors over normal tissues, high quantum yield of light-induced triplet state oxygen formation, and rapid clearance from the body [6–9]. In PDT and in conventional cancer chemotherapy, increasing the amount of anticancer drugs is often necessary to achieve a linear response in killing cancer cells causing undesirable increase in toxicity to normal healthy cells due to non-selective tissue targeting.

Targeted therapy for cancer treatment exploiting ligands for selective delivery of pharmaceuticals to malignant cells is a strategy to improve anticancer drugs for treatment and imaging [10,11]. Cancer cells overexpress tumor-specific receptors which can serve as targets to deliver photosensitizers into tumors. In particular, rapidly proliferating cancer cells require certain vitamins to sustain growth-causing vitamin receptors to be overexpressed on the cancer cell surface. B vitamins such as folic acid (B9), biotin (B7), riboflavin (B2), and cobalamin (B12) are essential vitamins for survival of
all living cells, including the growth of cancerous cells. In contrast to folate receptors [12–14] which gained considerable attention as excellent biomarkers for targeted drug delivery, there are only few studies done on biotin receptors. Biotin is a water-soluble micronutrient that plays an essential role in the growth, development, differentiation, and normal function of animal cells. It serves as a cofactor responsible for several carboxylase enzymes involved in key biosynthetic metabolic processes of fats and amino acids and in gluconeogenesis. It has been shown that biotin receptors are overexpressed more than folate or vitamin B_{12} receptors in several cancer lines such as colon, breast, renal, lung, and leukemia cell lines [15–17]. Targeted delivery of cytotoxic agents using biotin as a cancer-specific ligand provide an attractive alternative to increase selectivity for cancer therapy. Previous studies have used biotinylated conjugates including ferrocene [18], paclitaxel [19], coumarin [20], and dendrimers [21,22] as carriers to enhance cancer cell-specific uptake.

Several photosensitizers derived from hematoporphyrin have been developed for PDT treatment of melanoma, cancer of the lung, intestinal tract, and Barrett’s esophagus [6–8]. Chlorins or chlorophyll-\(a\) derivatives have been shown to exhibit low dark toxicity and to generate singlet oxygen species upon light irradiation [7–9,23–25]. In the present study, chlorin derivative conjugated at 13\(1\) position was synthesized including its zinc(II) and indium(III) metal complexes to assess the photodynamic effect of conjugation of the photosensitizer with biotin, and to evaluate the cytotoxicity of the conjugate against cells that are known to overexpress biotin receptors. We describe herein the synthesis of new chlorin derivatives conjugated to vitamin B\(_7\) that will target biotin receptors overexpressed in colon cancer cells. This research, while consisting of only the synthesis of the new molecules and in vitro testing into cells, holds limitless opportunities for the further advancement of cancer research and treatment.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Chemistry

Methyl pheophorbide \(a\) (1), a commercially-available starting material, was conjugated to biotin using a hexyl diamine linker to produce the target biotinylated chlorin derivative (3) in fair yield, using published peptide coupling procedure [26–29] as shown in Scheme 1. The target compound was converted into its zinc 4 and indium 5 complexes following published metalation protocols. Compounds 3–5 were purified by preparative silica gel TLC plate and the molecular structures were characterized by \(^1\)H-, \(^{13}\)C-, COSY, HSQC NMR, and MS spectral data. The presence of biotin in the conjugate is indicated by two sets of broad multiplets centered at 3.13 and 2.93 ppm and 3.06 and 2.96 ppm for chlorin-biotin 3 and its zinc complex 4, respectively, and assigned to the two diastereotopic protons adjacent to the sulfur atom in the biotin ring. However, these two inequivalent protons collapsed into broad peaks in the indium complex overlapping with the other peaks in the chlorin macrocyclic ring. Figure 1 shows the UV-vis absorption spectra of the starting methyl pheophorbide 1, and the target compounds CBTN 3, and the corresponding zinc 4 and indium 5 complexes. Approximately 12 nm shift to longer wavelength of the Soret band and 25 nm shift to shorter wavelength are observed for zinc and indium complexes compared to unmetallated CBTN. The bathochromic (red, longer) and hypsochromic (blue, shorter) shifts for the Soret and the fourth Q band, respectively, are typically observed for metallated chlorin and porphyrinic compounds [30,31].

Conjugation of the photosensitizer to the biotin involved the attachment of a six-carbon spacer arm, which provides sufficient length between macrocyclic chlorin ring and the bicyclic biotin rings that would result in better binding potential to the biotin receptors expressed over the cancer cell surface. The extended length decreases the steric interaction caused by the chlorin macrocycle upon connecting with the biotin moiety. Attachment of a linker bridging a fluorophore to a vitamin folic acid was shown by other groups to enhance aqueous solubility and selectivity when tested in folate-receptor enriched human nasopharyngeal epidermoid carcinoma KB cells [32]. Previous studies also demonstrated that
zinc [30,33–36] and indium [31,37,38] metal coordination to chlorins enhances in vitro oxidative cell damage and higher singlet oxygen production, respectively.

**Scheme 1.** Synthesis of biotin-linked chlorin derivatives. Reaction conditions: (i) H$_2$N(CH$_2$)$_6$NHBoc, CH$_2$Cl$_2$; (ii) trifluoroacetic acid (TFA); (iii) biotin, DMTMM; (iv) Zn(OAc)$_2$ in MeOH; and, (v) InCl$_3$ in MeOH.

![Scheme 1](image1)

**Figure 1.** UV-vis absorption spectra of MePheo 1, CBTN 3, ZnCBTN 4, and InCBTN-Cl 5 in dichloromethane.

### 2.2. In Vitro Cellular Assay

Biotin as well as other vitamins are internalized by receptor mediated endocytosis and, specifically, biotin is taken up by the cells via a sodium dependent multivitamin transport system (SMVT) [15–17]. Chlorin photosensitizers are known to exhibit preferential localization in cancerous tissues [39] due to specific characteristics of cancer cells particularly decreased pH [40], increased permeability of tumor vasculature [41], overexpression of low-density lipoprotein (LDL; apoB/E) receptors, the colon cancer cell line [15] which is known to have overexpression of biotin receptors. The presence of biotin is taken up by the cells via a sodium dependent multivitamin transport system (SMVT) [15–17]. It is likely that the same mechanistic pathways will come into play for the synthesized biotinylated chlorin derivative in this study with respect to its transport into cancer cells. Thus, based on the biotin-mediated transport system and chlorin macrocyclic ring serving as ligands for upregulated cancer cell surface receptors, linking biotin and a chlorin derivative will provide better photosensitizing activity for the PS than the nonconjugated entity.

Results from cell viability assay shown in Figure 2 indicated that biotin is not toxic to the colon cancer cells in the dark and in the presence of light for concentration of up to 50 μM, which is the maximum concentration used for the assay. Compared to the starting methyl phæophorbide (MePheo) which serves as the control, the chlorin-biotin conjugated derivative (CBTN) increased cell inhibition upon irradiation by approximately 19%. The expected effect was observed, which was that the presence of biotin in the conjugate targeting the vitamin receptors caused a slight increase in cellular toxicity in the colon cancer cell line [15] which is known to have overexpression of biotin receptors. The presence...
of biotin improved cellular uptake of the photosensitizer causing decreased cell proliferation upon photoirradiation. The indium complex (InCBTN-Cl) compared to either the starting MePheo, the unmetallated CBTN, or the zinc complex ZnCBTN caused a marked decrease in cell proliferation of colon cancer cells by 30% and 40%–50% upon irradiation for 2 and 5 min, respectively. Fluorescence microscopy images of fixed colon cancer cells indicated diffused chromatin and rounded nuclei for unirradiated untreated cells (Figure 3a), and post-treatment with the 5 μM concentration of zinc and indium complexes in the dark in Figure 3c,e, respectively. Upon light irradiation for 2 min of colon carcinoma cells treated with indium complex 5, apoptotic cells (Figure 3f) are formed as characterized by chromatin condensation and cell shrinkage, and only 40% of the cells survived. Under the same conditions at 2 min, no apoptotic cells (Figure 3b,d) were visible for cells treated with CBTN and the zinc complex 4. About 70% of the treated cells are still viable after 2 min photosensitization post-treatment with the control MePheo 1, CBTN 3, and the zinc complex 4. Nuclei were stained dark blue with Hoechst 33258. Fluorescence microscopy studies confirmed the results obtained from MTT assay.

Enhanced PDT efficacy of the indium complex could be due to the longer lifetime of excited triplet state generating more cytotoxic singlet oxygen species as observed by others [31,35,37,38,45]. However, the zinc complex ZnCBTN behaved in the same way as the control MePheo, and did not show any improved photodynamic efficacy as the unmetallated chlorin-biotin conjugate CBTN and the indium complex. Insertion of zinc to form the complex ZnCBTN was based on previous studies [30–34] on the treatment of human adenocarcinoma cell lines (A549, MC-7, and LoVo) with the zinc complex of pheophorbide which showed a stronger photodynamic effect than Photofrin (commercially-available photosensitizer) even at low concentration of 5 × 10⁻⁷ M and light dose of 5 J/cm². This zinc complex required a 20-fold decrease in concentration compared to Photofrin to obtain the desired photodynamic efficiency. Other studies [33] on zinc complex demonstrated efficacy of zinc complexes for PDT which was explained to be due to increased lipophilicity in the presence of zinc in the macrocyclic ring structure resulting in higher vesicle and cell uptake. Enhanced lipophilicity increases PS binding into the mitochondria allowing for favorable membrane interactions which can be ascribed to more efficient membrane photooxidation. Strong membrane interaction of the zinc complex was attributed to a complexing effect with the phosphate groups of the phospholipids particularly in the mitochondrial inner membrane [33]. This increased photodynamic behavior of zinc demonstrated by others upon insertion into the chlorin-biotin conjugate was not observed in this study. In fact, our result indicated that the zinc did not produce any enhancement compared to the unmetallated conjugate. These results suggest that biotin might be forming a complex with zinc ion, and that biotin is not free to target the vitamin receptor that it was intended to.

Figure 2. Bar plots of cell survival assay of colon cancer cell line. (a) After incubation with 5 μM photosensitizers (MePheo 1, CBTN 3, ZnCBTN 4, and InCBTN-Cl 5) and biotin, and irradiation for 0, 1, 2, and 5 min. (b) After incubation with varying concentrations of the indium (III) complex 5 and light irradiation for 0, 1, 2, and 5 min.
Figure 3. Fluorescence microscopy images of fixed human colon carcinoma cells. Grayscale and blue fluorescence images of CT cells alone stained with Hoechst 33258. Cell morphology of (a) untreated unirradiated cells (sham control); then, after treatment with 5 µM of (b) CBTN and irradiated for 2 min, (c,d) ZnCBTN dark and 2 min irradiation; and (e,f) InCBTN-Cl dark and 2 min irradiation. Apoptosis characterized by chromatin condensation and cell shrinkage is evident in InCBTN-Cl treated cells (f). Inserts present an enlarged view.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Chemistry

3.1.1. General

Solvents and reagents were purchased mainly from Sigma Aldrich Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). All air and moisture sensitive reactions were performed in anhydrous solvents under nitrogen atmosphere. Chromatographic purifications were performed in normal phase preparative Analtech thin-layer chromatography (TLC) plate (Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA). Reactions were monitored spectrophotometrically or by TLC using polyester backed normal phase analytical plate (Merck, Silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ precoated 200 µm) and detected with UV light (λ = 254 nm). Visible spectra were recorded with an Agilent 8453 UV-visible spectrophotometer. NMR spectra were acquired with a Bruker Avance NMR spectrometer (400 MHz for ¹H, 100 MHz for ¹³C). Chemical shifts are reported in δ ppm referenced according to the deuterated solvents used as internal standards: CDCl₃ 7.24 ppm
(1H), 77.23 ppm (13C). High resolution mass spectra were obtained on a Bruker microTOF-II ESI mass spectrometer. All compounds synthesized were isolated and purified in ≥95% purity as confirmed by 1H, 13C, 2D COSY (Correlated Spectroscopy), DEPT 135 (Distortion-less Enhancement by Polarization Transfer), HSQC (Heteronuclear Single Quantum Correlation) NMR spectra.

3.1.2. Synthesis of 131-Hexamethylenediaminylchlorin e6 Dimethyl Ester 2

Methyl pheophorbide a (100 mg, 0.165 mmol) was dissolved in dry CH2Cl2, and stirred under nitrogen for 10 min. Then N-t-butylxycarbonyl-1,6-hexanediamine or N-Boc-1,6-hexanediamine (200 mg, 0.93 mmol) dissolved in 1 mL CHCl3 (dried over molecular sieves) was added in to the solution and the mixture was stirred for 24 h. The reaction was monitored by TLC (10% acetone/CH2Cl2) until reaction showed disappearance of the starting methyl pheophorbide a. Additional amine may be added to complete the reaction. Solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by preparative TLC plate using 8% acetone/CH2Cl2 to afford 130 mg (0.158 mmol, 96% yield) of 131-hexamethylenediaminylchlorin(Boc) chlorin e6 DME (C47H62N6O7). 1H-NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz): δ 6.99 (s, 1H, 10-meso H), 9.64 (s, 1H, 5-meso H), 8.83 (s, 1H, 20-meso H), 8.09–8.02 (dd, J = 11.54, 11.80 Hz, 1H, 3CH=CH2), 6.47 (br s, 1H, -NH-CH2(CH2)4CH2-NH-), 6.16 (br s, 1H, -NH-CH2(CH2)4CH2-NH-), 6.35–6.30 (d, J = 17.82 Hz, 1H, trans 3CH=CH2), 6.13–6.10 (d, J = 11.54 Hz, 1H, cis 3CH=CH2), 5.56–5.51 (d, J = 18.17 Hz, 1H, 15CH2), 5.27–5.23 (d, J = 17.08 Hz, 1H, 15CH2), 4.57 (br s, 1H, -NH-CH2(CH2)4CH2-NH-), 4.50–4.45 (q, 1H, 18-H), 4.38–4.35 (m, 1H, 17-H), 4.23–4.21 (br m, 2H, -NH-CH2(CH2)5CH2-NH-), 3.78 (m, 2H, 5H-CH2), 3.02 (s, 3H, N(CH3)2), 3.51 (s, 2H, 15CH2OCH2), 3.45 (s, 3H, 12-CH3), 3.27 (s, 2H, -NH-CH2(CH2)4CH2-NH-), 3.11 (br m, 2H, -NH-CH2(CH2)4CH2-NH-), 2.56–2.17 (each m, 2H, 172CH2 and 2H, 171CH2), 1.78 (br m, 2H, -NH-CH2(CH2)4CH2-NH-), 1.73–1.68 (m, 3H, 181CH3 and 3H, 8-CH3), 1.43 (br s, 9H, Boc-CH3), 1.32 (br m, 4H, -NH-CH2(CH2)4CH2-NH-). -1.66 and -1.85 (each br s, 1H each, NH). The 131-hexamethylenediaminyl(Boc) chlorin e6 DME (65 mg, 0.085 mmol) was dissolved in dry CH2Cl2 (4 mL) under nitrogen. Reaction mixture was cooled in an ice bath, and TFA (1.2 mL) was added. Nitrogen was removed and the solution was stirred for 3 h. Complete deprotection was monitored by TLC (10% acetone in CH2Cl2), and then the solution was washed twice with saturated aqueous NaHCO3 solution. After drying over anhydrous Na2SO4, solvent was removed to yield 50 mg (0.075 mmol, 88% yield) of 131-hexamethylenediaminylchlorin e6 DME (C42H54N6O3). UV-vis (CH2Cl2): λmax (ε/M−1 cm−1) 662 (14493), 622 (1329), 530 (1208), 501 (4227), 402 (46981), 288 (26701); 1H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz): δ 9.41 (s, 1H, 10-meso H), 9.36 (s, 1H, 5-meso H), 8.76 (s, 1H, 20-meso H), 7.72–7.65 (dd, J = 17.88, 11.58 Hz, 1H, 3CH=CH2), 6.13 (br s, 1H, -NH-CH2(CH2)4CH2-NH-), 6.06 (br s, 1H, -NH-CH2(CH2)4CH2-NH-), 5.99–5.95 (d, J = 17.82 Hz, 1H, trans 3CH=CH2), 5.71–5.68 (d, J = 11.55 Hz, 1H, cis 3CH=CH2), 5.29–5.03 (dd, J = 18.54, 13.70 Hz, 2H, 15CH2), 4.47–4.41 (q, 1H, 18-H), 4.28–4.25 (br m, 1H, -NH-CH2(CH2)5CH2-NH-), 4.23–4.20 (m, 1H, 17-H), 3.60 (s, 3H, 15CH2OCH2), 3.57 (s, 3H, 172CO2CH3), 3.51–3.46 (br q, 2H, 81-CH3), 3.26 (s, 3H, 121-CH3), 3.06 (s, 3H, 21-CH3), 3.02 (s, 3H, 71-CH3), 2.76 (br m, 4H, -NH-CH2(CH2)2CH2-NH-), 2.59–2.17 (each m, 2H, 172CH2 and 2H, 171CH2), 1.76–1.72 (br m, 8H, -NH-CH2(CH2)4CH2NH-), 1.67–1.65 (d, 3H, 181-CH3), 1.60–1.57 (t, 3H, 82-CH3), 1.44–1.32 (br m, 6H, -NH-CH2(CH2)2CH2-NH-), -1.74 and -2.00 (each br s, 1H each, NH), 13C-NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz): δ 174.2 (172-CO2CH3), 173.5 (151-CO2CH3), 169.0 (13-CONH), 167.8 (19), 166.8 (1), 162.3 (4), 154.0 (6), 148.9 (14), 144.6 (16), 145.4 (3), 134.5 (5), 138.7 (9), 136.1 (11), 134.9 (2), 134.7 (12), 134.3 (7), 130.9 (31), 129.7 (15), 128.8 (10), 128.0 (13), 121.4 (32), 110.2 (5), 98.8 (20), 53.1 (17), 52.3 (151CO2CH3), 51.6 (172CO2CH3), 49.2 (18), 39.5 (-NH-CH2(CH2)4CH2-NH-), 39.0 (-NH-CH2(CH2)4CH2-NH-), 37.5 (151), 29.4 (172), 29.1 (171), 28.8 (-NH-CH2(CH2)4CH2-NH-), 26.9 (-NH-CH2(CH2)4CH2-NH-), 25.6–24.6 (-NH-CH2(CH2)4CH2NH-), 19.9 (181), 19.5 (81), 17.6 (82), 14.1 (121), 13.8 (21), 13.1 (71); HRMS (MALDI-TOF) m/z 723.4214 [M]+, calc'd for C42H54N6O3 723.4228.

3.1.3. Synthesis of 131-Hexamethylenediaminyl-Biotinylchlorin e6 Dimethyl Ester, CBTN, 3

In a dry round bottom flask containing 131-hexamethylenediaminylchlorin e6 DME (92 mg, 0.128 mmol), biotin (48 mg, 0.138 mmol), 4-(4,6-dimethoxy-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)-4-methylmorpholinium
chloride (DMTMM, 42 mg, 0.150 mmol) was stirred in dry CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL) under nitrogen overnight for 12 h. The reaction was monitored by TLC (10% methanol in CH₂Cl₂) until reaction showed disappearance of the starting amine. Solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by preparative TLC plate using the same solvent system to afford 76 mg (0.072 mmol, 57% yield) of 13¹-hexamethylenediaminyl-biotinylchlorin e₈ DME, CBTN, 3 (C₃₂H₆₈N₄O₇S). UV-vis (CH₂Cl₂): λ_max (ε / M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) - 663 (14509), 607 (1373), 527 (1292), 502 (4290), 402 (47174), 289 (27004); ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 9.69 (s, 1H, 10-meso H), 9.61 (s, 1H, 5-meso H), 8.82 (s, 1H, 20-meso H), 8.13–8.05 (dd, J = 17.75, 11.58 Hz, 1H, 3¹CH=CH₂), 7.32 (br s, 1H, -NHCH₂(CH₂)₂CH₂NH-), 6.37–6.30 (d, J = 17.82 Hz, 1H, trans 3²CH=CH₂), 6.18–6.13 (d, J = 11.55 Hz, 1H, cis 3²CH=CH₂), 5.98 (br m, 1H, -NH–CH₂(CH₂)₂CH₂NH-), 5.58–5.38 (dd, J = 18.54, 13.70 Hz, 2H, 15¹CH₂), 5.15 (br m, 2Hₜ, -CHNHCONHCH– in biotin ring), 4.71 (br m, 2H, -CHNHCONHCH– in biotin ring), 4.45 (q, 1H, 18-H), 4.39 (m, 1H, 17-H), 3.79 (s, 3H, 15¹CO₂CH₃), 3.78 (br q, 2H, 8¹-CH₂), 3.67 (s, 3H, 17²CO₂CH₃), 3.58 (br t, 2H, -NHCH₂(CH₂)₂CH₂NH–), 3.56 (s, 3H, 12¹-CH₃), 3.51 (s, 3H, 1₃-CH₃), 3.43 (br t, 2H, -NHCH₂(CH₂)₂CH₂NH–), 3.25 (br m, 1Hₜ, -CH₂CH–S– in biotin ring; and, 3H, 7¹-CH₃), 3.13–2.93 (br dd, 2Hₜ, -SCH₂– in biotin ring), 2.20–2.15 (br m, 2H, 17²CH₂; 2H, 17¹-CH₂; and 2H, -NHCOCH₂(CH₂)₂-biotin), 1.75–1.73 (br m, 2H, -NHCH₂(CH₂)₂CH₂NH–), 1.67–1.65 (d, 3H, 18¹-CH₃), 1.63–1.60 (t, 3H, 8²-CH₃), 1.50–1.32 (br m, 6H, -NHCH₂(CH₂)₂CH₂NH–; and 6H, -NHCOCH₂(CH₂)₂-biotin), -1.82 and -2.01 (each br m, 1H each, NH; ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 175.8 (C=O biotin ring), 173.6 (17²CO₂CH₃ and 15¹CO₂CH₃), 173.2 (13-CONH), 170.6 (hexyl-NHCO), 164.5 (19), 161.6 (1), 160.0 (4), 152.2 (6), 147.2 (14), 146.2 (16), 145.4 (3), 143.5 (8), 141.4 (9), 140.9 (11), 137.8 (2), 133.6 (12), 130.9 (7), 126.7 (3¹), 124.5 (15), 119.7 (3¹), 102.5 (10), 101.3 (13), 100.5 (5), 96.6 (20), 58.1 (C₆H biotin ring), 57.9 (C₅H biotin ring), 55.9 (C₄H biotin ring, next to S), 54.1 (17), 53.5 (15¹CO₂CH₃), 51.5 (17²CO₂CH₃), 45.1 (18), 42.6 (C₆H₂ biotin ring, next to S), 42.5 (NH–CH₂(CH₂)₂CH₂NH–), 40.5 (NH–CH₂(CH₂)₂CH₂NH–), 39.8 (NH–(CH₂)₆–NHCO–CH₂–), 37.9 (NH–(CH₂)₆–NHCO–(CH₂)₂CH₂–), 37.1 (15¹), 29.4 (17²), 29.1 (17¹), 28.8 (NH–CH₂(CH₂)₄CH₂NH–), 28.2 (NH–CH₂(CH₂)₆–NHCO–CH₂(CH₂)₂–), 26.9 (NH–CH₂(CH₂)₄CH₂–NH–), 26.4 (NH–CH₂(CH₂)₆–NHCO–(CH₂)₂CH₂–), 25.6–24.6 (NH–CH₂(CH₂)₄CH₂NH–), 19.9 (18¹), 19.5 (8¹), 17.6 (8²), 14.1 (12¹), 13.8 (2¹), 13.1 (7¹); HRMS (MALDI-TOF) m/z 949.6150 [M⁺], calcd for C₃₂H₆₈N₄O₇S 949.2311.

3.1.4. Synthesis of Zn(II)-13¹-Hexamethylenediaminyl-Biotinylchlorin e₈ Dimethyl Ester, ZnCBTN, 4

In a dry round bottom flask, CBTN 3 (50 mg, 0.053 mmol) was dissolved in 5 mL methanol. Saturated methanolic solution of zinc(II) acetate (5 mL) were added to the mixture and stirred for 2 h. After checking spectrophotometrically for completion, the reaction mixture was washed with saturated aqueous solution of sodium bicarbonate, followed by washing with water (3 x 50 mL), and extracted with dichloromethane. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and the organic solvent was evaporated under high vacuum. The crude product was purified by preparative TLC plate using 3% methanol-dichloromethane to afford 40 mg (0.039 mmol, 73% yield) of the title compound 4. UV-vis (CH₂Cl₂): λ max (ε / M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) - 637 (57392), 590 (12101), 414 (145914); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 9.49 (s, 1H, 1H, 10-meso H), 9.46 (s, 1H, 5-meso H), 8.50 (s, 1H, 20-meso H), 8.07–7.99 (dd, J = 17.81, 11.55 Hz, 1H, 3¹CH=CH₂), 6.93 (br s, 1H, -NHCH₂(CH₂)₂CH₂NH–), 6.45 (br t, 1H, -NHCH₂(CH₂)₄CH₂NH–), 6.18–6.14 (d, J = 7.55 and 1.14 Hz, 1H, trans 3²CH=CH₂), 5.99–5.96 (d, J = 10.89 and 0.87 Hz, 1H, cis 3²CH=CH₂), 5.45–5.42 (dd, J = 18.19, 13.70 Hz, 2H, 15¹CH₂), 4.32 (q, 1H, 18-H), 4.22 (br m, 2H, -NH–CONH– in biotin ring), 4.18 (m, 1H, 17-H), 3.83 (s, 3H, 15¹CO₂CH₃), 3.79 (br m, 2Hₚ, -CHNHCONHCH– in biotin ring, and 2H, 8¹-CH₂), 3.76–3.62 (br m, 2H, -CHNHCONHCH– in biotin ring), 3.50 (s, 3H, 17²CO₂CH₃), 3.34 (s, 3H, 12¹-CH₃; and, 3H, 7¹-CH₃), 3.32 (s, 3H, 2¹-CH₃), 3.30 (br m, 4H, -NHCH₂(CH₂)₂CH₂NH–; and, 1Hₜ, -CH₂CH–S– in biotin ring), 3.06–2.96 (br dd, 2Hₜ, -SCH₂– in biotin ring), 2.26 (br m, 2H, 17²CH₂), 2.13–2.04 (two m, 2H, 17¹-CH₂; and 2H, -NHCOCH₂(CH₂)₂-biotin), 1.95–1.86 (br m, 2H, -NH–CH₂(CH₂)₂CH₂NH–), 1.73–1.70 (d, 3H, 18¹-CH₃), 1.69–1.65 (t, 3H, 8²-CH₃), 1.43–1.24 (br m, 6H, -NH–CH₂(CH₂)₂CH₂NH–; 2H, 17¹-CH₂; and 2H, -NHCOCH₂(CH₂)₂-biotin)}.
and 6H, −NHC=CH(CH$_2$)$_2$−biotin); 13$^C$-NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz): $\delta$ 174.7 (C=O biotin ring), 173.9 (17$^2$-CO$_2$CH$_3$ and 15$^1$-CO$_2$CH$_3$), 173.7 (13-CONH), 171.8 (hexyl-NHCO), 165.0 (19), 161.3 (1), 160.1 (4), 152.9 (6), 147.9 (14), 146.9 (16), 145.5 (3), 143.7 (8), 141.7 (9), 140.9 (11), 138.5 (12), 133.3 (7), 130.6 (3$^1$), 128.8 (15), 119.5 (3$^2$), 102.5 (10), 101.3 (13), 100.5 (5), 93.1 (20), 68.2 (C$_3$H, biotin ring), 60.9 (C$_4$H, biotin ring), 58.3 (C$_5$H, biotin ring, next to S), 54.5 (17), 52.1 (15$^2$CO$_2$CH$_3$), 51.5 (17$^2$CO$_2$CH$_3$), 47.5 (18), 38.9 (C$_6$H$_2$, biotin ring, next to S), 38.3 (−(−NH−CH$_2$(CH$_2$)$_4$CH$_2$−NH)), 38.1 (−(−NH−CH$_2$(CH$_2$)$_4$CH$_2$−NH)), 37.7 (−(−NH−CH$_2$(CH$_2$)$_6$−NH)), 36.7 (13$^3$), 30.4 (17$^2$), 29.5 (17$^3$), 29.3 (−(−NH−CH$_2$(CH$_2$)$_6$−NH)), 28.6 (−(−NH−CH$_2$(CH$_2$)$_4$CH$_2$−NH)), 28.2 (−(−NH−CH$_2$(CH$_2$)$_4$CH$_2$−NH)), 26.4 (−(−NH−CH$_2$(CH$_2$)$_6$−NH)), 22.8 (18$^1$), 19.5 (8$^1$), 17.8 (8$^2$), 12.3 (12$^1$), 12.1 (2$^1$), 11.2 (7$^1$); HRMS (MALDI-TOF) m/z 1033.3986 [M$^+$ + Na], calcd for C$_52$H$_{66}$N$_8$NaO$_7$SZN 1033.3999.

3.1.5. Synthesis of 13$^1$-Hexamethylenediaminyl-Biotinylchlorin e$_6$ Dimethyl Ester Indium (III) Chloride, lnCBTN-Cl, 5

In a dry round bottom flask, CBTN 3 (50 mg, 0.053 mmol) was dissolved in 15 mL toluene, then sodium acetate (500 mg), anhydrous potassium carbonate (500 mg) and iodine chloride (300 mg) were added. The reaction mixture was refluxed under nitrogen atmosphere overnight. After checking spectrophotometrically for complete metal insertion, the reaction mixture was neutralized with acetic acid and washed with water (3 × 50 mL), then the organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. Solvent was evaporated under high vacuum. The crude product was purified by preparative TLC plate using 3% methanol-dichloromethane to afford 45 mg (0.041 mmol, 77% yield) of the title compound 5. UV-vis (CH$_2$Cl$_2$): $\lambda_{max}$ ($\epsilon^\text{M} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$) 638 (52876), 581 (7032), 413 (165205); 1H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz): $\delta$ 9.61 (br s, 2H, 10-meso H, and 5-meso H), 8.61 (s, 1H, 20-meso H), 7.98–7.89 (dd, $J = 17.81, 11.55$ Hz, 1H, 3$^1$CH=CH$_2$), 6.20–6.15 (d, $J = 7.55$ and 1.14 Hz, 1H, trans 3$^2$CH=CH$_2$), 6.07–6.03 (d, $J = 10.89$ and 0.87 Hz, 1H, cis 3$^2$CH=CH$_2$), 5.21 (br, 2H, 15$^2$CH$_2$), 4.39 (q, 1H, 18-H), 4.29 (br m, 2H, −NH−CONH− in biotin ring), 4.16 (m, 1H, 17-H), 3.71 (s, 3H, 15$^3$CO$_2$CH$_3$), 3.70 (br m, 2H$_{bc}$, −CHNCONHC− in biotin ring), 3.33 (br s, 3H, 17$^2$CO$_2$CH$_3$; 3H, 12$^1$-CH$_3$; and, 3H, 7$^1$-CH$_3$), 3.27 (s, 3H, 2$^1$-CH$_3$), 3.19 (br m, 4H, −NHCH$_2$(CH$_2$)$_4$CH$_2$NH−; 1H$_a$, −CH$_2$CH− in biotin ring; and, 2H$_a$, −SCH$_2$− in biotin ring), 2.23 (br m, 2H, 17$^2$CH$_2$), 2.16–2.11 (two m, 2H, 17$^1$-CH$_2$; and 2H, −NHC(OH)$_2$(CH$_2$)$_3$−biotin), 1.95–1.74 (br m, 2H, −NH−CH$_2$(CH$_2$)$_4$CH$_2$NH−), 1.63–1.60 (m, 3H, 18$^3$-CH$_3$; and 3H, 8$^2$-CH$_3$), 1.51–1.34 (br m, 6H, −NH$_2$(CH$_2$)$_6$CH$_2$NH−; and 6H, −NHC(OH)$_2$(CH$_2$)$_3$−biotin); 13$^C$-NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz): $\delta$ 174.2 (C=O biotin ring), 173.9 (17$^2$-CO$_2$CH$_3$ and 15$^1$-CO$_2$CH$_3$), 173.5 (13-CONH), 173.4 (hexyl-NHCO), 167.8 (19), 161.3 (1), 159.1 (4), 153.9 (6), 148.1 (14), 146.9 (16), 145.6 (3), 143.7 (8), 142.9 (9), 140.7 (11), 139.5 (2), 133.1 (12), 132.5 (7), 130.7 (3$^1$), 128.8 (15), 119.5 (3$^2$), 102.8 (10), 101.1 (13), 100.2 (5), 93.6 (20), 68.2 (C$_8$H, biotin ring), 60.7 (C$_4$H, biotin ring), 58.3 (C$_4$H, biotin ring, next to S), 54.5 (17), 52.4 (15$^1$CO$_2$CH$_3$), 51.8 (17$^2$CO$_2$CH$_3$), 40.3 (18), 38.8 (C$_6$H$_2$, biotin ring, next to S), 38.5 (−(−NH−CH$_2$(CH$_2$)$_4$CH$_2$−NH)), 38.2 (−NH−CH$_2$(CH$_2$)$_4$CH$_2$−NH)), 37.7 (−(−NH−(CH$_2$)$_6$−NHCO−(CH$_2$)$_2$−)), 36.1 (15$^2$), 30.1 (17$^2$), 29.8 (17$^3$), 29.1 (−(−NH−CH$_2$(CH$_2$)$_4$CH$_2$−NH−)), 28.6 (−(−NH−(CH$_2$)$_6$−NHCO−(CH$_2$)$_2$−)), 28.1 (−(−NH−CH$_2$(CH$_2$)$_4$CH$_2$−NH−)), 26.4 (−(−NH−(CH$_2$)$_6$−NHCO−(CH$_2$)$_2$−)), 26.4–25.1 (−(−NH−CH$_2$(CH$_2$)$_4$CH$_2$−NH)), 23.0 (18$^1$), 19.5 (8$^1$), 17.5 (8$^2$), 14.1 (12$^1$), 12.2 (2$^1$), 10.9 (7$^1$); HRMS (MALDI-TOF) m/z 1061.3804 [M$^+$ − CI], calcd for C$_52$H$_{66}$ClInN$_8$O$_7$S 1097.3575.

3.2. In Vitro Cytotoxicity Assay

3.2.1. General

Mouse colon carcinoma cell line CT26. WT was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC CRL-2638). CT26 cells were culutred in RPMI 1640 medium (ATCC) and were grown to 80%–90% confluence in 75-cm$^2$ culture flasks (Corning, Corning, NY, USA) for about a week (5–6 days) in a humidified incubator (Fisher Scientific Isotemp, Waltham, MA, USA) with 5% CO$_2$
at 37 °C. During the incubation period, growth media was changed once with fresh pre-warmed medium (pH 7.2). To harvest the cells, old growth media was aspirated and 5 mL 0.25% trypsin solution (Thermo Sci Hyclone, Waltham, MA, USA) were added. The cells were incubated for 10 min and gently scraped to detach cells from the flask walls. Clumped cells were broken up gently and 1 mL of the trypsinized cell homogenate suspension was transferred into a new T75 cell culture flask containing pre-warmed media (20 mL) for further culturing.

3.2.2. Cell Survival Assay

Cells were grown to confluence in a 96-well plate (4 × 10^4 cells/well) and treated for 24 h with compounds or photosensitizers (0.5, 1, 5, 10, 25, 50 µM) in growth media from a stock solution of 10 mM in DMSO (dimethyl sulfoxide, Fisher, Waltham, MA USA). After 24 h treatment, cells were washed with fresh media and positioned below a non-coherent LumaCare LC-122 650 nm light source for 1, 2, and 5 min at an energy fluence rate of 16 mW/cm^2 (measured using a Newport optical power meter Model 840). Unirradiated cells served as control samples. The following day, cells were washed with pre-warmed PBS, and MTT (3-[4,5-dimethyl-thiazol-2-yl]-2.5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA, 0.3 mg/mL) in PBS was added to each well. Samples were allowed to incubate for additional 2 h, after which dark blue crystals formed. DMSO was added to each well and allowed to stand at room temperature for 1 h to dissolve the purplish-blue formazan crystals. Plates were shaken for 2 min and thereafter the absorbance values at 490 nm were measured on a microplate reader (BioRad 550, Hercules, CA, USA). Absorbance readings were calculated based on the absorbance of the unirradiated cells alone (as control) and were directly proportional to the number of viable cells in the culture. Results were reported as the average of triplicate measurements.

3.2.3. Fluorescence Microscopy

Cells (1 mL aliquots) obtained from a diluted cell suspension were seeded into each well (1.7 cm^2, ~1000 cells/well) of a 4-well culture slide (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) and grown to confluence in 5% CO_2 at 37 °C for 6–7 days for attachment to the substratum. After aspirating the old growth media, 1 mL of the compound or photosensitizer (5 µM) in fresh pre-warmed media at 37 °C was added to each well. After photosensitizer treatment for 24 h, cells were washed twice with 1 mL fresh growth media, and then irradiated with light using LumaCare LC-122 as described above. Cells were stained in the dark with 1 mL of 0.1 mg/mL Hoechst 33258 (Molecular Probes) in pre-warmed media for 10 min at 37 °C, washed twice with 1 mL filtered PBS, then fixed with 1 mL filtered pre-warmed 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min in the incubator. After thorough liquid aspiration, the wells were removed and allowed to air dry in the dark for 1 h. Slides were protected with coverslips, whose edges were sealed using a clear fast-drying nail polish and allowed to dry at room temperature in the dark for 30 min. Images were recorded by means of fluorescence microscopy (DAPI for Hoechst 350–390 nm excitation and 460–490 nm emission filters) using an upright fluorescence microscope with Retiga imaging 2000R (Nikon Optiphot-2, 20× and 40×, Nikon, Chiyoda, Japan) and an image processing Nikon NIS-Elements V4.0 Qimaging software (Nikon, Chiyoda, Japan).

4. Conclusions

Vitamin receptors over-expressed in cancer cells are potential targets for effective delivery of anti-cancer drugs. Results in our study indicated that biotin provided a means for increased uptake of photosensitizers to cause decreased cancer cell survival. Chelating the biotin-conjugated photosensitizer forming the indium complex provided additional PDT efficacy in vitro by about 30% compared to the unmetallated biotin-chlorin complex. However, the zinc complex of the biotin-chlorin conjugate did not significantly improve photodynamic efficacy in colon cancer cell line compared to the unmetallated complex. Further work is required to determine if the biotin-conjugated photosensitizers synthesized in this study will improve internalization of the photosensitizers in other cancer cell lines that exhibit over-expression of biotin receptors including ovarian, breast, renal, lung, and leukemia
cell lines. Future studies can include determination of singlet oxygen ($^{1}O_2$) quantum yield of the synthesized chlorin-biotin conjugates.

Acknowledgments: The authors gratefully acknowledge research support from John and Maria Laffin Foundation Grant (2014), James Paul Sutton Medical Research Fund (2014), and Purdue University Northwest (PNW) Summer Professional Development Grant 2015–2016 for M. F. Isaac-Lam. PNW Undergraduate Summer Research Grant supported D. M. Hammonds (2016), who contributed to the in vitro studies. Part of this research was presented at the 2016 and 2017 PNW Student Research Day and 2017 Indiana Academy of Science 132nd Annual Meeting (Indianapolis, IN, USA) by D. M. Hammonds. The authors also wish to thank Ravi Pandey (Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Buffalo, NY, USA) for the generous gift of starting methyl pheophorbide, to Kevin Jantzi, Thomas Goyne, and Robert Clark for the use of UV-visible spectrophotometer and NMR spectrometer at Valparaiso University (Valparaiso, IN, USA), and to the University of Notre Dame Mass Spectrometry Facility (Notre Dame, IN, USA) for the mass spectral data. Partial research results described in this manuscript was presented by M. F. Isaac-Lam at the KMS Symposium Eighth International Conference on Porphyrins and Phthalocyanines in Istanbul, Turkey (2014) in celebration of 50 years of porphyrin chemistry and 70th birthday of Kevin M. Smith (Chemistry Department, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, USA).

Author Contributions: M. F. Isaac-Lam conceived, designed, performed the synthesis and biological studies, as well as analyzed the data and wrote the entire paper, including the necessary revisions suggested by the Reviewers and as required by the Editor. D. M. Hammonds (Undergraduate student) followed the protocols for in vitro experimentation and contributed to approximately 10% of the biological work involved in this manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Abrahamse, H.; Hamblin, M.R. New photosensitizers for photodynamic therapy. Biochem. J. 2016, 473, 347–364. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. Josefsen, L.B.; Boyle, R.W. Unique Diagnostic and Therapeutic Roles of Porphyrins and Phthalocyanines in Photodynamic Therapy: Imaging and Theranostic. Theranostics 2012, 2, 916–966. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
3. Zhang, Y.; Lovell, J.F. Porphyrins as Theranostic Agents from Prehistoric to Modern Times. Theranostics 2012, 2, 905–915. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Ethirajan, M.; Chen, Y.; Joshi, P.; Pandey, R.K. The Role of Porphyrin Chemistry in Tumor Imaging and Photodynamic Therapy. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 340–362. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
5. Celli, J.P.; Spring, B.Q.; Rizvi, I.; Evans, C.L.; Samkoe, K.S.; Verma, S.; Pogue, B.W.; Hasan, T. Imaging and Photodynamic Therapy: Mechanisms, Monitoring, and Optimization. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 2795–2838. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
6. Allison, R.R.; Moghissi, K. Photodynamic Therapy (PDT): PDT Mechanisms. Clin. Endosc. 2013, 46, 24–29. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
7. Agostinis, P.; Berg, K.; Cengel, K.A.; Foster, T.H.; Girotti, A.W.; Gollnick, S.O.; Hahn, S.M.; Hamblin, M.R.; Juweniene, A.; Kessel, D.; et al. Photodynamic Therapy of Cancer: An Update. CA Cancer J. Clin. 2011, 61, 250–281. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
8. Allison, R.R.; Downie, G.H.; Cuenca, R.; Hu, X.H.; Sibata, C.H.; Childs, C.J. Photosensitizers in clinical PDT. Photodiagn. Photodyn. Ther. 2004, 1, 27–42. [CrossRef]
9. Pandey, R.K. Recent advances in photodynamic therapy. J. Porphyr. Phthalocyanines 2000, 4, 368–373. [CrossRef]
10. Bildstein, L.; Dubernet, C.; Couvreur, P. Prodrug-based intracellular delivery of anticancer agents. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 2011, 63, 3–23. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
11. Singh, Y.; Palombo, M.; Sinko, P.J. Recent Trends in Targeted Anticancer Prodrug and Conjugate Design. Curr. Med. Chem. 2008, 15, 1802–1826. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
12. Cheung, A.; Bax, H.J.; Josephs, D.H.; Ilieva, K.M.; Pellizzari, G.; Opzoomer, J.; Bloomfield, J.; Fittall, M.; Grigoriadis, A.; Figini, M.; et al. Targeting folate receptor alpha for cancer treatment. Oncotarget 2016, 7, 52553–52574. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
13. Lutz, R.J. Targeting the folate receptor for the treatment of ovarian cancer. Transl. Cancer Res. 2015, 4, 118–126.
14. Xia, W.; Low, P.S. Folate-Targeted Therapies for Cancer. J. Med. Chem. 2010, 53, 6811–6824. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
15. Russell-Jones, G.; McTavish, K.; McEwan, J.; Rice, J.; Nowotnik, D. Vitamin-mediated targeting as a potential mechanism to increase drug uptake by tumours. J. Inorg. Biochem. 2004, 98, 1625–1633. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
16. Vadlapudi, A.D.; Krishna, R.; Pal, D.; Mitra, A.K. Molecular expression and functional activity of sodium dependent multivitamin transporter in human prostate cancer cells. *Int. J. Pharm.* 2012, 436, 324–331.

17. Patel, M.; Vadlapatla, R.K.; Shah, S.; Mitra, A.K. Biotin uptake by T47D breast cancer cells: Functional and molecular evidence of sodium-dependent multivitamin transporter (SMVT). *Int. J. Pharm.* 2013, 441, 535–543.

18. Plazuk, D.; Zakrzewski, J.; Salman, M.; Blauz, A.; Rychlik, C.; Strzelczyk, P.; Bujacz, A.; Bujacz, G. Ferrocene-Biotin Conjugates Targeting Cancer Cells: Synthesis, Interaction with Avidin, Cytotoxic Properties and the Crystal Structure of the Complex of Avidin with a Biotin-Linker-Ferrocene Conjugate. *Organometallics* 2013, 32, 5774–5783. [CrossRef]

19. Lis, L.G.; Smart, M.A.; Luchniak, A.; Gupta, M.L., Jr.; Gurvich, V.J. Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of a Biotinylated Paclitaxel with an Extra-Long Chain Spacer Arm. *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.* 2012, 3, 745–748. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

20. Chen, S.; Zhao, X.; Chen, J.; Chen, J.; Kuznetsova, L.; Wont, S.S.; Ojima, I. Mechanism-Based Tumor-Targeting Drug Delivery System. Validation of Efficient Vitamin Receptor-Mediated Endocytosis and Drug Release. *Bioconj. Chem.* 2010, 21, 979–987. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

21. Yellepeddi, V.K.; Kumar, A.; Palakurthi, S. Biotinylated Poly(amide)amine (PAMAM) Dendrimers as Carriers for Drug Delivery to Ovarian Cancer Cells In Vitro. *Anticancer Res.* 2009, 29, 2933–2944. [PubMed]

22. Debele, T.A.; Peng, S.; Tsai, H.C. Drug Carrier for Photodynamic Cancer Therapy. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2015, 16, 22094–22136. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

23. Kessel, D. Determinants of photosensitization by mono-L-aspartyl chlorin ε, *Photochem. Photobiol.* 2008, 49, 447–452. [CrossRef]

24. Kostenicha, G.A.; Zhuravkina, I.N.; Zhavrid, E.A. Experimental grounds for using chlorin ε in the photodynamic therapy of malignant tumors. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B* 1994, 22, 211–217. [CrossRef]

25. Li, Q.; Li, L.; Zhu, M.; Zhang, Q.; Song, S.; Cui, B.C. Synthesis and properties of novel purpurinimide derivatives from methyl pheophorbide-a. *J. Chem. Pharm. Res.* 2014, 6, 240–244.

26. Lei, W.; Xie, J.; Hou, Y.; Jiang, G.; Zhang, H.; Wang, P.; Wang, X.; Zhang, B. Mitochondria-targeting properties and photodynamic activities of porphyrin derivatives bearing cationic pendant. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B* 2010, 98, 167–171. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

27. Huang, Y.Y.; Mroz, P.; Zhiyentayev, T.; Sharma, S.K.; Ruzi, C.; Krayer, M.; Fan, D.; Borbas, K.E.; Yang, E.; et al. In vitro photodynamic therapy and quantitative structure-activity relationship studies with stable synthetic near-infrared-absorbing bacteriochlorin photosensitizers. *J. Med. Chem.* 2010, 53, 4018–4027. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

28. Sibrian-Vazquez, M.; Jensen, T.J.; Fronczek, F.R.; Hammer, R.P.; Vicente, M.G.H. Synthesis and Characterization of Positively Charged Porphyrin-Peptide Conjugates. *Bioconj. Chem.* 2005, 16, 852–863. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

29. Montalbetti, C.A.; Falque, V. Amide bond formation and peptide coupling. *Tetradron* 2005, 61, 10827–10852. [CrossRef]

30. Pavan, C.; Uchoa, A.F.; Oliveira, C.S.; Iamamoto, Y.; Baptista, M.S. Effect of zinc insertion and hydrophobicity on the membrane interactions and PDT activity of porphyrin photosensitizers. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 2009, 8, 233–240. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

31. Saenz, C.; Ethirajan, M.; Iacobucci, G.; Pandey, A.; Missert, J.R.; Dobhai, M.P.; Pandey, R.P. Indium as a central metal enhances the photosensitizing efficacy of benzoporphyrin derivatives. *J. Porphyr. Phthalocyanines* 2011, 15, 1310–1316. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Kornilova, A.Y.; Algayer, B.; Breslin, M.; Addona, G.H.; Uebele, V. Development of a fluorescence polarization binding assay for folate receptor. *Anal. Biochem.* 2013, 432, 59–62. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

33. Yu, Q.; Xu, W.X.; Yao, Y.H.; Zhang, Z.Q.; Sun, S.; Li, J. Synthesis and photodynamic activities of new metronidazole-appended porphyrin and its Zn(II) complex. *J. Porphyr. Phthalocyanines* 2015, 19, 1107–1114. [CrossRef]

34. Al-Mutairi, D.A.; Craik, J.D.; Batinic-Haberle, I.; Benov, L.T. Induction of oxidative cell damage by photo-treatment with zinc meta N-methylpyridylporphyrin. *Free Radic. Res.* 2007, 41, 89–96. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

35. Yoon, H.; Park, H.S.; Cui, B.C.; Li, J.Z.; Kim, J.H.; Lkhagvadulam, B.; Shim, Y.K. Photodynamic and Antioxidant Activities of Divalent Transition Metal Complexes of Methyl Pheophorbide-a. *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* 2011, 32, 2981–2987. [CrossRef]
36. Jakubowska, M.; Szczygiel, M.; Michalczyk-Wetula, D.; Susz, A.; Stochel, G.; Elas, M.; Fiedor, L.; Urbanska, K. Zinc-pheophorbide a—Highly efficient low-cost photosensitizer against human adenocarcinoma in cellular and animal models. *Photodiagn. Photodyn. Ther.* **2013**, *10*, 266–277. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

37. Ciulla, T.A.; Criswell, M.H.; Snyder, W.J.; Small, W. Photodynamic therapy with Photopoint photosensitizer MV6401, indium chloride methyl pyrophenophorbide, achieves selective closure of rat corneal neovascularization and rabbit choriocapillaris. *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* **2005**, *89*, 113–119. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

38. Nakai, M.; Maeda, T.; Mashima, T.; Yano, S.; Sakuma, S.; Otake, E.; Morita, A.; Nakabayashi, Y. Syntheses and photodynamic properties of glucopyranoside-conjugated indium(III) porphyrins as a bifunctional agent. *J. Porphyr. Phthalocyanines* **2013**, *17*, 1173–1182. [CrossRef]

39. Yoon, I.; Li, J.Z.; Shim, Y.K. Advance in Photosensitizers and Light Delivery for Photodynamic Therapy. *Clin. Endosc.* **2013**, *46*, 7–23. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

40. Pottier, R.; Kennedy, J.C. The possible role of ionic species in selective biodistribution of photochemotherapeutic agents toward neoplastic tissue. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B* **1990**, *8*, 1–16. [CrossRef]

41. Roberts, W.G.; Hasan, T. Role of neovasculation and vascular permeation on the tumor retention of photodynamic agents. *Cancer Res.* **1992**, *52*, 924–930. [PubMed]

42. Allison, B.A.; Pritchard, P.H.; Levy, J.G. Evidence for low-density lipoprotein receptor-mediated uptake of benzoporphyrin derivative. *Br. J. Cancer* **1994**, *69*, 833–839. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

43. Hiyama, K.; Matsui, H.; Tamura, M.; Shimokawa, O.; Hiyama, M.; Kaneko, T.; Nagano, Y.; Hyodo, I.; Tanaka, J.; Miwa, Y.; et al. Cancer cells uptake porphyrins via heme carrier protein 1. *J. Porphyr. Phthalocyanines* **2013**, *17*, 36–43. [CrossRef]

44. Luksiene, Z.; Juzenas, P.; Moan, J. Radiosensitization of tumours by porphyrins. *Cancer Lett.* **2006**, *235*, 40–47. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

45. DeRosa, M.C.; Crutchley, R.J. Photosensitized singlet oxygen and its applications. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2002**, *233*, 351–371. [CrossRef]