Hall Effect Anisotropy in the Paramagnetic Phase of Ho$_{0.8}$Lu$_{0.2}$B$_{12}$ Induced by Dynamic Charge Stripes
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Abstract: A detailed study of charge transport in the paramagnetic phase of the cage-cluster dodecaboride Ho$_{0.8}$Lu$_{0.2}$B$_{12}$ with an instability both of the fcc lattice (cooperative Jahn–Teller effect) and the electronic structure (dynamic charge stripes) was carried out at temperatures 1.9–300 K in magnetic fields up to 80 kOe. Four mono-domain single crystals of Ho$_{0.8}$Lu$_{0.2}$B$_{12}$ samples with different crystal axis orientation were investigated in order to establish the singularities of Hall effect, which develop due to (i) the electronic phase separation (stripes) and (ii) formation of the disordered cage-glass state below $T^* \approx 60$ K. It was demonstrated that a considerable intrinsic anisotropic positive component $\rho_{\text{anxy}}^{0.83}$ appears at low temperatures in addition to the ordinary negative Hall resistivity contribution in magnetic fields above 40 kOe applied along the [001] and [110] axes. A relation between anomalous components of the resistivity tensor $\rho_{\text{anxy}}^{0.83}$ and $\rho_{\text{anxx}}^{1.7}$ was found for $H_{||}[001]$ below $T^* \approx 60$ K, and a power law $\rho_{\text{anxy}}^{0.83} \propto \rho_{\text{anxx}}^{0.83}$ for the orientation $H_{||}[110]$ at temperatures $T < T_S \approx 15$ K. It is argued that below characteristic temperature $T_S \approx 15$ K the anomalous odd $\rho_{\text{anxy}}(T)$ and even $\rho_{\text{anxx}}(T)$ parts of the resistivity tensor may be interpreted in terms of formation of long chains in the filamentary structure of fluctuating charges (stripes). We assume that these $\rho_{\text{anxy}}(H_{||}[001])$ and $\rho_{\text{anxy}}(H_{||}[110])$ components represent the intrinsic (Berry phase contribution) and extrinsic (skew scattering) mechanism, respectively. Apart from them, an additional ferromagnetic contribution to both isotropic and anisotropic components in the Hall signal was registered and attributed to the effect of magnetic polarization of 5d states (ferromagnetic nano-domains) in the conduction band of Ho$_{0.8}$Lu$_{0.2}$B$_{12}$.
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1. Introduction

Numerous fundamental studies on strongly correlated electron systems (SCES) such as manganites [1–4], high-temperature superconducting (HTSC) cuprates [5–8], iron-based superconductors [9–13], chalcogenides [14], etc., have allowed for the discovery of a diversity of physical phenomena universal to SCES. Indeed, all these systems are characterized by a complexity of phase diagrams induced by strong phase separation due to structural or electronic instability [15]. The spatial electronic/magnetic inhomogeneity turns out to be directly related to simultaneously active spin, charge, orbital, and lattice degrees of freedom, which are considered as factors responsible for the appearance of high-temperature superconductivity in cuprates, as well as for the emergence of colossal magnetoresistance in manganites [5,16–18]. In particular, there are two possible mechanisms of the formation...
of spatially inhomogeneous ground states in SCES [19]: (i) disorder resulting from phase separation near a first-order metal–insulator transition caused by an external factor [19,20] and (ii) frozen disorder in the glass phase with short-range order formed by nanoscale clusters [21–23]. In the second case, one more and among the most significant mechanisms leading to an inhomogeneous glass state in HTSC oxides is the formation of static and dynamic charge stripes [24]. Such structures have been repeatedly observed in HTSC cuprates and nickelates by both direct and indirect techniques [25–29].

Studying the effect of spatial charge inhomogeneity on the scattering of charge carriers in HTSC cuprates, manganites, and other SCES is rather difficult due to their complex composition, low symmetry crystal structure, and high sensitivity to external conditions (pressure, magnetic field, etc., see, e.g., [4]). In this respect, it looks promising to use another model SCES—rare-earth dodecaborides (RB\(_{12}\)). The RB\(_{12}\) (R—Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu) attract considerable attention due to the unique combination of their physical properties, such as high melting point, microhardness, and high chemical resistance, which create prospects for practical applications [30–33]. These materials are also extremely interesting for fundamental studies. Indeed, both electronic (dynamic charge stripes) and structural (cooperative dynamic Jahn–Teller (JT) effect of the boron sub-lattice) instabilities take place in these high-boron borides with a simple fcc structural (cooperative dynamic Jahn–Teller (JT) effect of the boron sub-lattice) instabilities

![Figure 1.](image-url) (a) Sketch of charge stripes arrangement (green lines) in the RB\(_{12}\) crystal structure, (b–d) H-T magnetic phase diagrams of the AF state of Ho\(_{0.8}\)Lu\(_{0.2}\)B\(_{12}\) in different field directions, (e) polar plot of the field-angular magnetoresistance dependence in the paramagnetic state and (f,g) angular H-\(\varphi\) magnetic phase diagrams (color shows the magnetoresistance amplitude) of the AF state of Ho\(_{0.8}\)Lu\(_{0.2}\)B\(_{12}\) at low temperatures (reproduced from [35]).
Let us name the main factors that determine the appearance of spatial inhomogeneity, leading to symmetry lowering in fcc rare earth (RE) dodecaborides. Firstly, the cooperative dynamic Jahn–Teller effect in the rigid boron sub-lattice with covalent bonds that leads to lifting of degeneracy of the highest occupied molecular orbitals (HOMO) in B\textsubscript{12} octahedrons and produces static structural distortions with related splitting $E_{JT}$~500–1500 K (~50–150 meV) of HOMO \cite{36}. Secondly, reaching the Ioffe–Regel limit near $T_E$~150 K (~15 meV) causes a development of vibrational instability, which leads to an increase in the density of phonon states at $T~T_E$ \cite{37}. Thirdly, order–disorder transition to the cage-glass state at $T^*~60$ K (~5–6 meV) causes random displacements of RE ions from central symmetric positions in B\textsubscript{24} cuboctahedra, which form a rigid covalent boron framework \cite{37}. Fourthly, well below $T^*$ large amplitude vibrations of neighbored RE ions in trigonal planes (transverse to the axis of the ferrodistortive JT effect \cite{36}) produce periodic changes of hybridization between the 5$d$ (RE) and 2$p$ (B) states in the conduction band. This leads to the emergence of high-frequency charge density fluctuations with frequencies $\nu_S$~240 GHz \cite{38} (denoted also as dynamic charge stripes, see Figure 1a) along one of the $<110>$ direction in the fcc structure \cite{39–42}. Stripe patterns are formed at characteristic temperature $T_5$~$h\nu_S/k_B$~15 K (~1 meV). These structural and electronic instabilities initiate nanoscale phase separation and inevitably cause strong charge transport anisotropy in external magnetic field both in the nonmagnetic reference LuB\textsubscript{12} \cite{37} and in magnetic RB\textsubscript{12} \cite{41–46}. In particular, the above features of the crystal and electronic structure of RB\textsubscript{12} have a decisive effect on the characteristics of charge transport when Lu ions (with a filled $f$-shell, 4f\textsuperscript{14} configuration) are partially replaced by magnetic Ho (4f\textsuperscript{10}) ions in Ho\textsubscript{0.8}Lu\textsubscript{0.2}B\textsubscript{12} compounds.

The spatial inhomogeneity of fluctuating electron density is the origin for the strong anisotropy of magnetic phase diagrams in these systems (see, e.g., Figure 1b–d for the best quality single-domain crystals of Ho\textsubscript{0.8}Lu\textsubscript{0.2}B\textsubscript{12} and \cite{35,43–46}). Indeed, strong magnetic anisotropy is observed, for instance, in Ho\textsubscript{0.8}Lu\textsubscript{0.2}B\textsubscript{12} with a high concentration of magnetic ions both in the paramagnetic (P) state (see the color plot in Figure 1e demonstrating the anisotropy of magnetoresistance) and on the angular antiferromagnetic (AF) phase diagrams, which reveal a Maltese cross-symmetry (see Figure 1f,g, \cite{35,43,44} and also \cite{46} for TmB\textsubscript{12}). It is worth noting that, like in the nonmagnetic reference compound LuB\textsubscript{12}, strong charge transport anisotropy is observed in the paramagnetic state of Ho\textsubscript{0.8}Lu\textsubscript{1-x}B\textsubscript{12}, ErB\textsubscript{12} \cite{45}, and TmB\textsubscript{12} \cite{46} (see, for example, Figure 1e and \cite{47}) and attributed to interaction of electron density fluctuations (stripes) with external steady magnetic field (for recent review see \cite{48} and references therein).

Until now, studies of electron transport in Ho\textsubscript{0.8}Lu\textsubscript{1-x}B\textsubscript{12} have mainly focused on transverse magnetoresistance (see, e.g., \cite{35,43,47}). Nevertheless, the recent study of LuB\textsubscript{12} \cite{49} and the initial short research on Ho\textsubscript{0.8}Lu\textsubscript{0.2}B\textsubscript{12} (Ref. \cite{50}) have demonstrated a significant anisotropy of the Hall effect due to an anomalous positive anisotropic contribution that appeared below $T^*$~60 K. Thus, it is of great interest to study in detail the effect of electronic phase separation on the off-diagonal component of the resistivity tensor in model magnetic compound Ho\textsubscript{0.8}Lu\textsubscript{0.2}B\textsubscript{12} with dynamic charge stripes. As a continuation of the short study conducted in \cite{50}, this work presents results and detailed analyses of the normal and anomalous contributions to the Hall effect in the paramagnetic phase of Ho\textsubscript{0.8}Lu\textsubscript{0.2}B\textsubscript{12}. We investigated both the angular and magnetic field dependences of Hall resistivity in detail and determined the anisotropic component of the resistivity tensor for this model system with electronic phase separation (dynamic charge stripes). The observed complex angular behavior of the anisotropic Hall resistivity is attributed to interaction of the filamentary structure of fluctuating charges with the external magnetic field. The arguments presented here favor both intrinsic and extrinsic mechanisms of the anomalous Hall effect formation.
2. Experimental Results and Data Analysis

2.1. Temperature Dependences of Resistivity and Hall Resistivity

In conventional Hall effect experiments the Hall coefficient is calculated as \( R_H = \rho_H/H = ((V_{HI}(+H) - V_{HI}(-H))/(2I)) \cdot d/H \), where \( d \) is the sample thickness, \( I \) the excitation current and \( V_{HI}(\pm H) \) the voltage measured on Hall probes in two opposite directions of external magnetic field. Taking into account the complex field dependence of Hall effect in parent compound LuB\(_{12} \) [49], and the different origin of the detected anomalous contributions to Hall resistivity [51], the term “reduced Hall resistivity” for \( \rho_H/H \) is used below in the present study instead of the Hall coefficient \( R_H \).

Figure 2 shows the temperature dependences of resistivity \( \rho(T) \) at \( H = 0 \) and 80 kOe, as well as the reduced Hall resistivity \( \rho_H(T)/H \) in Ho\(_{0.8}\)Lu\(_{0.2}\)B\(_{12} \) calculated from experimental results recorded for three different crystals with principal directions \( H \parallel [001] \), \( H \parallel [110] \), and \( H \parallel [111] \), and identical DC current direction \( I \parallel [\bar{1}10] \). Vertical solid lines point to the transition to the cage-glass state at \( T^* \approx 60 \) K [37] and to the formation of stripes at \( T_S \approx 15 \) K (see also discussion below). In the zero-magnetic field, the \( \rho(T) \) curves measured for all three Ho\(_{0.8}\)Lu\(_{0.2}\)B\(_{12} \) samples correspond to metallic conductivity with the same RRR value \( \rho(300 \) K)/\( \rho(4.2 \) K) = 13.47 (Figure 2a). The data for \( H = 0 \) kOe and 80 kOe are clearly separated below \( T^* \approx 60 \) K, indicating a pronounced sign-alternating magnetoresistance. Note that the \( \rho(T, H = 80 \) kOe) curves for crystals with \( n \parallel [110] \) and \( n \parallel [111] \) match together above the characteristic temperature \( T_S \approx 15 \) K and differ noticeably at lower temperatures. On the contrary, at \( T < T^* \sim 60 \) K, the \( \rho(T, H = 80 \) kOe) dependence for field direction \( H \parallel [001] \) lies well above those for \( H \parallel [110] \) and \( H \parallel [111] \), so the MR anisotropy reaches values \( \rho(\parallel [001])/\rho(\parallel [111]) \approx 1.8 \) (MR \( \sim 80\% \)) at \( T = 2.1 \) K. Open symbols in Figure 2b show the results of \( \rho_H/H(T) \) measurements in the scheme with two opposite orientations of \( H \). Significant differences between the \( \rho_H/H(T) \) dependences for different field directions appear below \( T^* \approx 60 \) K, while the curves for samples with \( H \parallel [110] \) and \( H \parallel [111] \) start to diverge below 15 K. In this case, the lowest negative values of \( \rho_H/H(T) \) are detected for the \( n \parallel [001] \) sample, while the highest values are observed for \( H \parallel [111] \). The maximal anisotropy of the reduced Hall resistivity at \( T = 2.1 \) K and \( H = 80 \) kOe equals to \( \rho_H/H(\parallel [001])/\rho_H/H(\parallel [111]) \approx 1.8 \) (\( \sim 80\% \)), which is very similar to resistivity anisotropy. Thus, the temperature dependences of \( \rho_H/H(T) \) allow to identify some anisotropic positive component of the Hall signal, which appears in Ho\(_{0.8}\)Lu\(_{0.2}\)B\(_{12} \) in strong magnetic fields. It is worth noting that temperature-lowering results in the increase in anisotropy for both Hall resistivity and MR components (Figure 2).
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**Figure 2.** Temperature dependences (a) of resistivity \( \rho(T) \) at \( H = 0 \) and \( H = 80 \) kOe, as well as (b) the absolute value of reduced Hall resistivity \( \rho_H(T)/H \) in Ho\(_{0.8}\)Lu\(_{0.2}\)B\(_{12} \) for samples with \( H \parallel [001] \),
Molecules 2023, 28, x FOR PEER REVIEW ... sponding curves of magnetic susceptibility M/B(B). Dashed lines show the approximation in the interval 7–8 T (see text).

2.2. Field Dependences of Hall Resistivity and Magnetization

Figure 3a–c show the reduced Hall resistivity  \( \rho_{H}/B(B) \) vs magnetic induction \( B \) at temperatures of 2.1, 4.2, and 6.5 K measured in the conventional scheme on three different samples with magnetic field \( H \) applied along their normal vectors- \( n \parallel [001], n \parallel [110], \) and \( n \parallel [111] \), correspondingly. The related magnetic susceptibility \( M/B(B) \) is shown in Figures 3d–f and 4 shows the temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility \( M/B(T) \equiv \chi(T) \) measured in magnetic field \( H = 100 \text{ Oe} \). The data were corrected by demagnetizing fields. It is seen from Figure 3d–f, that \( M/B(B) \) decreases with increasing both field and temperature in the paramagnetic phase, indicating a trend towards saturation of magnetization in strong magnetic fields. It can be discerned from Figure 3e,f that in the paramagnetic state, these \( M/B(B) \) curves are very similar, and the magnetic anisotropy at \( H \sim 70 \text{ kOe} \) does not exceed 1.4% even at lowest available temperature 2.1 K. Therefore, below we analyze the Hall effect using the same dependence \( M/B(n \parallel [001]) \) for all three orientations of applied magnetic field.

**Figure 3.** (a–c) Field dependences of the reduced Hall resistivity \( \rho_{H}/B \) vs magnetic induction \( B \) at \( T = 2.1, 4.2, \) and 6.5 K for samples with \( H \parallel n \parallel [001], n \parallel [110], \) and \( n \parallel [111] \), respectively. Arrows at \( B_{N} \) indicate the AF–P transitions. (d–f) Corresponding curves of magnetic susceptibility \( M/B(B) \). Dashed lines show the approximation in the interval 7–8 T (see text).
The AF-P phase transition at $T_N = 5.75$ K can be clearly recognized on the temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility measured at $H = 100$ Oe (see Figure 4). Above $T_N$, the low field magnetic susceptibility $\chi(T)$ may be described approximately by a Curie–Weiss type dependence

$$\chi = M/H = N_{\text{Ho}} \mu_{\text{eff}}^2 / (3k_B(T - \theta_p)) + \chi_0 \tag{1}$$

where $N_{\text{Ho}} = 0.95 \cdot \chi(\text{Ho}) \cdot 10^{22}$ cm$^{-3}$, and $\mu_{\text{eff}} \approx 10 \mu_B$ are the concentration and the effective magnetic moment of Ho-ions, correspondingly ($\mu_B$ and $k_B$ denote Bohr magneton and Boltzmann constant), $\theta_p \approx -14$ K is the paramagnetic Curie temperature corresponding to AF exchange between magnetic dipoles. $\chi_0 \approx -1.78 \cdot 10^{-3}$ $\mu_B$/mole/Oe is the temperature-independent additive combination of (i) diamagnetic susceptibility of the boron cage and (ii) Pauli paramagnetism and Landau diamagnetism of conduction electrons.

Fitting of $\chi(T)$ by Equation (1) with temperature-dependent $\mu_{\text{eff}}(T)$ indicates that within experimental accuracy the susceptibility follows the Curie–Weiss dependence with magnetic moment, which is only slightly below the total moment $\mu_{\text{eff}} \approx 10.6 \mu_B$ of Ho$^{3+}$ 4f-shell in the range 80–300 K. As the population of excited magnetic states of the Ho$^{3+}$ $^5I_{\frac{5}{2}}$ multiplet (that is split by crystalline electric field (CEF) [52]) declines significantly in the range 8–80 K, $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ decreases moderately (to 9.5 $\mu_B$; see Figure 4, right scale). Thus, even at $T_N$, the value of $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ noticeably exceeds the magnetic moment of the $\Gamma_{51}$ ground state triplet $\mu_{\text{eff}}(\Gamma_{51}) \approx 8 \mu_B$ (solid line in Figure 4, right scale). The difference ($\Delta\mu_{\text{eff}} \approx 1.5 \mu_B$, Figure 4) may be related to ferromagnetic correlations, which develop in this SCES below $T^* \approx 60$ K. Note that below 25 K, various short-range ordering features including ferromagnetic components were previously observed in magnetic RB$_{12}$ [53–55].

As can be seen from Figure 3a–c, the behavior of reduced Hall resistivity $\rho_H/B(B)$ differs significantly depending on B direction. Indeed, in the paramagnetic region for $B || \text{n} || [001]$ the value of $\rho_H/B(B)$ turns out to decrease, for $B || \text{n} || [110]$ the curve is practically field independent, and for $B || \text{n} || [111]$, an increase of negative $\rho_H/B(B)$ values is observed. These trends persist in temperature range 2.1–6.5 K in the paramagnetic phase (above Neel field, B > $B_N$ in Figure 3a–c), and the anisotropy of $\rho_H/B(\text{n} || [001])/\rho_H/B(\text{n} || [111])$ reaches values of ~80% at 2.1 K for $B = 80$ kG in accordance with the results of Figure 2b. Such strong anisotropy is very unusual for the paramagnetic state of fcc metals (as Ho$_x$Lu$_{1-x}$B$_{12}$) with intense charge carrier scattering in the disordered cage-glass phase.
2.3. Angular Dependences of Hall Resistivity in the Paramagnetic State of Ho$_{0.8}$Lu$_{0.2}$B$_{12}$

To reveal the nature of the strong anisotropy of $\rho_H/H$ Hall resistivity (Figures 2b and 3a–c) as well as to separate different contributions to Hall effect, it is of interest to study the angular dependencies of Hall resistivity $\rho_H(\varphi)$ in Ho$_{0.8}$Lu$_{0.2}$B$_{12}$ for different configurations of magnetic field with respect to principal crystallographic directions. Here we present precision measurements of Hall resistivity $\rho_H(\varphi,T_0,H_0,n)$ angular dependencies performed at 2.1–300 K in magnetic field up to 80 kOe for four crystals of Ho$_{0.8}$Lu$_{0.2}$B$_{12}$ with different orientations of normal vector $n$ to sample surface: $n \parallel [001]$, $n \parallel [110]$, $n \parallel [111]$, and $n \parallel [112]$ (see inset in Figure 2a). In these cases, each sample was rotated around current axis $I \parallel [1–10]$. Thus, both fixed vector $H$ and rotating vector $n$ were lying in the same plane (1–10). For clarity, Figure S1 in Supplementary Materials demonstrates a direct correlation between the results of two different measurements of the Hall effect: (i) in the conventional field-sweep scheme with two opposite directions of $\pm H \parallel n$ and (ii) in the step-by-step rotation of the sample around $I \parallel [1–10]$ with a fixed $H$ vector in the plane perpendicular to the rotation axis (see the inset in Figure 2a).

Figure 5 shows the results of angular $\rho_H(\varphi)$ measurements at $H = 80$ kOe for samples with normal directions $n \parallel [001]$ and $n \parallel [110]$ in temperature ranges 40–300 K (Figure 5a,c) and 2.1–25 K (Figure 5b,d). The experimental results were fitted by formula.
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**Figure 5.** (a–d) Angular dependencies of Hall resistivity $\rho_H(\varphi)$ measured in $H = 80$ kOe for samples with $n \parallel [001]$ and $n \parallel [110]$ in the temperature range 2–300 K. Symbols show the experimental $\rho_H(\varphi)$ data, thin and thick curves demonstrate the isotropic $\ell_{\cos}(\varphi) \approx \rho_{H0} \cos(\varphi)$ and anisotropic $\rho_{H}^{\text{an}}(\varphi) = \rho_{H}(\varphi) - \ell_{\cos}(\varphi)$ contributions, correspondingly.
\[
\rho_H(\varphi) = \rho_{H0} + \rho_{H0} \cdot \cos(\varphi + \varphi_{sh}) + \rho_{H}^{an}(\varphi)
\]  

where \(\rho_{H0}\) is the angle-independent component, \(\rho_{H0}\) is the amplitude of the isotropic cosine-like contribution to Hall resistivity \(f_{cos}(\varphi) = \rho_{H0} \cdot \cos(\varphi + \varphi_{sh})\), \(\varphi_{sh}\) is the phase shift, and \(\rho_{H}^{an}(\varphi) = \rho_{H0}^{an} \cdot g(\varphi)\) is the anisotropic contribution to Hall resistivity (see Figure 5). This approximation of \(\rho_{H}(\varphi)\) within the framework of Equation (2) for two crystals with normal directions \(n \parallel [001]\) and \(n \parallel [110]\) was carried out in two intervals \(\Delta \varphi = 90 \pm 35^\circ\) and \(\Delta \varphi = 270 \pm 35^\circ\) where the cosine-type behavior is almost perfect. By analogy, \(\rho_{H}(\varphi)\) curves for samples with \(n \parallel [111]\) and \(n \parallel [112]\) were approximated in the same intervals \(\Delta \varphi = 90 \pm 35^\circ\) and \(\Delta \varphi = 270 \pm 35^\circ\) (near the zeros of angular dependencies), but without reference to certain crystallographic directions (see Figure S2 in Supplementary Materials).

As a result, the \(\rho_{H0}(T, H, n)\) and \(\varphi_{sh}(T, H, n)\) parameters of the isotropic contribution \(f_{cos}(\varphi)\) in (2) were found directly from this approximation. The anisotropic contribution \(\rho_{H}^{an}(T, H, n)\) at fixed direction \(H \parallel n\) was determined as an average of the sum of absolute \(\rho_{H}^{an}(\varphi)\) values found for \(n\) at \(\varphi = 0^\circ\), \(\varphi = 180^\circ\), and \(\varphi = 360^\circ\) in the rotation experiment. As can be seen from the analysis of angular \(\rho_{H}^{an}(\varphi)\) dependencies undertaken below, the proposed approach reveals significant limitations and inaccuracies inherent in the Hall effect measurements according to the conventional field-sweep scheme. Taking into account that \(\rho_{H0}^{const}\) and \(\varphi_{sh} \approx 3-5^\circ\) lead only to small corrections in determining the \(\rho_{H0}^{const} \approx \rho_{H0}^{const}(\varphi)\) and \(\rho_{H}^{an} = \rho_{H}^{an}(T, H, n)\) amplitudes in Equation (2), the experimentally measured Hall resistivity is discussed below as a sum of isotropic and anisotropic contributions

\[
\rho_{H}(\varphi) = \rho_{H0}(\varphi) + \rho_{H}^{an}(T, H, n)\]

In the range 40–300 K at \(H = 80\) kOe the experimental data for \(n \parallel [001]\) and \(n \parallel [110]\) samples (Figure 5a,c) are well fitted by a cosine dependence, indicating the absence of anisotropic contribution—\(\rho_{H}^{an}(\varphi) = 0\). On the contrary, below 40 K, the \(\rho_{H}^{an}(\varphi)\) curves for \(n \parallel [001]\) exhibit a broad feature in a wide range of angles around \(<001>\) (between nearest \(<111>\) axes (see Figure 5b)) with a step-like singularity just at \(<001>\). Several peaks of relatively small amplitude may be identified on \(\rho_{H}^{an}(\varphi)\) dependence for the \(n \parallel [110]\) sample (Figure 5d). The \(\rho_{H}^{an}(\varphi)\) curves for samples with \(n \parallel [111]\) and \(n \parallel [112]\) in the range 2.1–30 K and at \(H = 80\) kOe are presented in Supplementary Materials (see Figure S2). Note that the \(\rho_{H}(\varphi)\) dependences for \(n \parallel [111]\) and \(n \parallel [112]\) being similar to each other differ from curves recorded for \(n \parallel [001]\) and \(n \parallel [110]\) samples, and deviate significantly from cosine dependence in a wide range of angles. The anisotropic contribution of \(\rho_{H}^{an}(\varphi)\) extracted for \(n \parallel [111]\) and \(n \parallel [112]\) samples is close to zero near their normal directions \(n\) (for more details see Figure S2 in Supplementary Materials).

Figure 6 shows the result of approximation by Equation (2) of the measured Hall resistivity \(\rho_{H}(\varphi)\) at \(T = 6.5\) K in fixed fields up to 80 kOe for the studied four crystals. It is seen that the anisotropic contribution \(\rho_{H}^{an}(\varphi)\) appears just above 40 kOe having the largest amplitude for sample \(n \parallel [001]\), it decreases by a factor of 2 for \(n \parallel [110]\) and goes to zero for \(n \parallel [111]\) and \(n \parallel [112]\) samples (Figure 6). Indeed, below 40 K the experimental data (shown by symbols) and the cosine fits (thin solid lines) coincide with a good accuracy indicating the absence of an anisotropic component \(\rho_{H}^{an}(\varphi)\) in the low field region (see also Figure S3 in Supplementary Materials for the \(n \parallel [111]\) at \(T = 20\) K).

It is worth noting that in the range \(T > T^* \approx 60\) K, the temperature dependences of reduced Hall resistivity \(\rho_{H}/H(T)\) at \(H = 80\) kOe for samples with \(n \parallel [001]\), \(n \parallel [110]\), and \(n \parallel [111]\) coincide within the experimental accuracy (Figure 2b). Angular \(\rho_{H}(\varphi)\) curves can be fitted well by cosine (Figure 5a,c), and they are close to each other. Note also that for \(n \parallel [110]\) and \(n \parallel [111]\) samples, the amplitudes \(\rho_{H0}\) of \(\rho_{H}(\varphi)\) coincide in an even wider temperature range of 15–60 K (Figure 2b). Below \(T_S \approx 15\) K and in the range \(H > 40\) kOe, a significant deviation of the \(\rho_{H}/H(T)\) curves from cosine-type behavior is observed for crystals with \(n \parallel [110]\), \(n \parallel [111]\), and \(n \parallel [112]\) in intervals \(\Delta \varphi = 90 \pm 35^\circ\) and \(\Delta \varphi = 270 \pm 35^\circ\) (see, e.g., Figure 6). This can lead to large errors in determining the amplitude \(\rho_{H0}\) of the main contribution from conventional \(\pm H\) field-sweep measurements. In our opinion, this finding allows us to explain the different behavior of reduced Hall resistivity \(\rho_{H}(T)/H\)
for various field directions (see Figure 2) and shed light on the shortcoming of conventional $\pm H$ field-sweep scheme commonly used for the Hall effect studies. Thus, avoiding incorrect conclusions, at low temperatures and in magnetic fields $H > 40$ kOe, an isotropic ordinary contribution to the Hall effect is assumed, and one common $\rho_{H0}$ value found from the analysis of Equation (2) for $n \parallel [001]$, which was used for these four studied crystals with different $n$ directions. At the same time, in fields $H \leq 40$ kOe at $T < T_5 \sim 15$ K, the $\rho_H / H(T)$ curves in intervals $\varphi = 90 \pm 35^\circ$ and $\varphi = 270 \pm 35^\circ$ differ only slightly from cosine curves (Figure 6); therefore, approximation by Equation (2) was carried out with individual parameters of the harmonic contribution for each of the four samples.
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**Figure 6.** (a–d) Angular dependences of Hall resistivity $\rho_H(\varphi)$ at $T = 6.5$ K in fixed magnetic field up to 80 kOe for samples with $n \parallel [001]$, $n \parallel [110]$, $n \parallel [111]$, and $n \parallel [112]$. Symbols show the experimental $\rho_H(\varphi)$ curves, thin and thick lines indicate isotropic $f_{\cos}(\varphi) \approx \rho_{H0} \cos(\varphi)$ and anisotropic $\rho_{H0}^{en}(\varphi) = \rho_H(\varphi) - f_{\cos}(\varphi)$ contributions, correspondingly.

### 2.4. Analysis of Contributions to Hall Resistivity

Figure 7 shows the fitting parameters obtained from the approximation by Equation (2) (Figures 5 and 6 and Supplementary Materials) of $\rho_H(\varphi)$ dependences in the paramagnetic (P) phase of H$_{27}$Lu$_{0.9}$B$_{12}$. Different symbols correspond to isotropic $\rho_{H0}/H(T_0, H)$ and anisotropic $\rho_{H0}^{en}/H(T_0, H)$ components estimated at $T_0 = 2.1, 4.2,$ and 6.5 K (vertical dashed lines in Figure 2 denote the $T_0$ values). The data for different samples with $n \parallel [001]$, $n \parallel [110]$, $n \parallel [111]$, and $n \parallel [112]$ in Figure 7 are indicated by different colors. It is seen in Figure 7a that at $T_0 = 6.5$ K for the sample with $n \parallel [001]$, the value of $\rho_{H0}/H$ is about field independent below 40 kOe, while in the range $H > 40$ kOe, the negative values of $\rho_{H0}/H(T_0, H)$ increase linearly. For $n \parallel [110]$, $n \parallel [111]$, and $n \parallel [112]$ samples, the negative values of $\rho_{H0}/H(T_0, H)$ decrease moderately with increasing magnetic field below
40 kOe. Note that in P-phase, the variation of the isotropic $\rho_{H0}/H(T_0,H)$ component may be attributed to significant (~14%) and non-monotonous change of the concentration of conduction electrons if we assume one type of charge carrier with relation $\rho_{H0}/H = R_H(T)\sim 1/n_e e$ ($e$ is the electron charge, and $n_e$ the concentration of charge carriers). For convenience, the reduced Hall concentration $n_e/n_R = (H/\rho_{H0})/\rho_{H0}$ is shown on right axis of Figure 7a, where $n_R = 0.95 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-3}$ is the concentration of Ho and Lu atoms. Note also that the amplitude of anisotropic contribution $\rho_{H_{an}}/H(T_0,H)$ turns out to be almost zero below 40 kOe. In a stronger magnetic field $H > 40$ kOe, the anisotropic component increases for samples with $n||[001]$ and $n||[110]$ (Figure 7b), with the amplitude $\rho_{H_{an}}/H(H)$ for $n||[001]$ being more than two times higher than the corresponding values for $n||[110]$. For $n||[111]$ and $n||[112]$ directions, the small negative values turn out to be close to zero (see also Figures S2 and S5 in Supplementary Materials).
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**Figure 7.** Reduced amplitudes of (a) isotropic $\rho_{H0}/H$ and (b) anisotropic $\rho_{H_{an}}/H$ contributions vs external magnetic field $H$ at temperatures of 2.1, 4.2, and 6.5 K for samples with $n||[001]$, $n||[110]$, $n||[111]$, and $n||[112]$. Different temperatures are indicated by different shapes of symbols, while samples with different $n$ directions are indicated by different colors of the symbols. Right axis on panel (a) shows the reduced Hall concentration $n/n_R$ for comparison (see text).

The experimental temperature dependences $\rho_H/H(T, H_0 = 80$ kOe) obtained in the conventional, commonly used the field-sweep technique with two opposite orientations of applied magnetic field $\pm H \big|\n$ from one side, and the isotropic component deduced from the angular dependences of Hall resistivity $\rho_{H0}/H(T,H_0)$ from the other, are compared in Figure 2b. It can be seen that for the sample with $n||[111]$, the $\rho_{H0}/H(T,H_0)$ data coincide with good accuracy with the $\rho_H/H$ values detected by conventional field-sweep measurements in a wide range of temperatures 1.9–300 K. For the sample with $n||[110]$, $\rho_{H0}/H$ starts to deviate from $\rho_H/H(T,H_0)$ at $T < T_S\sim 15$ K, and for $n||[001]$, noticeable differences arise already upon the transition to the cage-glass state at $T^*\sim 60$ K (Figure 2b). This observation allows to attribute the appearance below $T^*$ of the strong anisotropy of the Hall effect in Ho$_{0.8}$Lu$_{0.2}$B$_{12}$ to the contribution $\rho_{H_{an}}/H$, which increases additionally below $T_S$. Figure 2b shows a comparison of the parameter sum $= \rho_{H0}/H + \rho_{H_{an}}/H$, which corresponds to Hall effect amplitude detected from $\rho_H/H$ angular dependences from one side, and the reduced Hall resistivity measured in the conventional $\pm H \big|\n$ field-sweep experiment from the other. It can be seen that the temperature behavior of the sum
detected by angular measurements is in good agreement with the results of conventional \( \pm \mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{n} \) field-sweep dependences of \( \rho_{\text{H}}/\mathbf{H} \) for all crystals under investigation (Figure 2b, \( \mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{n} \parallel [001], \mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{n} \parallel [110], \) and \( \mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{n} \parallel [111] \)) approving the validity of the proposed Hall effect separation. Then, using the simple relation \( \rho_{\text{H}0}/H(T) = R_{\text{H}}(T)\cdot 1/n_e c \), we roughly estimate from the temperature dependences presented in Figure 2b and the charge carrier concentration \( n_e \) in the conduction band. Figure 8a shows the strong field (\( H = 80 \text{ kOe} \)) Arrhenius plot \( \log(n_e/n_{R}) = f(1/T) \) for \( \mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{n} \parallel [001] \) and \( \mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{n} \parallel [110] \) directions, similar to Figure 7a (right axis), demonstrating the field dependence of the ratio \( n_e/n_{R} \).
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**Figure 8.** (a) Arrhenius plot \( \log(n_e/n_{R}) = f(1/T) \) of the reduced Hall concentration for \( \mathbf{n} \parallel [001] \) and \( \mathbf{n} \parallel [110] \) at \( H = 80 \text{ kOe} \). (b) Temperature dependences of the Hall mobility \( \mu_{\text{H}} \) and the parameter \( \omega_c \tau \approx \mu_{\text{H}} H \) for three directions \( \mathbf{n} \parallel [001], \mathbf{n} \parallel [110], \) and \( \mathbf{n} \parallel [111] \). Thick dashed lines show the (a) activation behavior and (b) power law.

It can be seen in Figure 8a that the Arrhenius-type dependence \( 1/R_{\text{H}}(T) = e\cdot n_0 \cdot \exp(-T_a/T) \) is valid above the cage-glass transition at \( T^* \approx 60 \text{ K} \), and that the estimated activation temperatures \( T_a = 14.4 \pm 0.6 \text{ K} \) and \( T_a = 16.7 \pm 0.3 \text{ K} \) detected for \( \mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{n} \parallel [001] \) and \( \mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{n} \parallel [110] \), correspondingly, are close to \( T_S \approx 15 \text{ K} \). As the detected initial concentration \( n_0 = (1.61–1.64) \cdot 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) coincides within experimental accuracy for these two field directions, the reduced Hall concentration changes in the range \( n_e/n_{R} = 1.2–1.6 \) (Figure 8a) in accordance with the results of previous Hall effect measurements in RB\(_{12} \) (R = Lu, Tm, Ho, Er) [56].

Figure 8b shows the temperature dependences of Hall mobility \( \mu_{\text{H}}(T) \approx \rho_{\text{H}}(T)/[H \cdot \rho(T)] \) (left scale) and the related parameter \( \omega_c \tau \approx \mu_{\text{H}} H \) (right scale, where \( \omega_c \) is the cyclotron frequency and \( \tau \) the carrier relaxation time) in magnetic field \( H = 80 \text{ kOe} \) for samples with \( \mathbf{n} \parallel [001], \mathbf{n} \parallel [110], \) and \( \mathbf{n} \parallel [111] \). At low temperatures \( T < T_S \approx 15 \text{ K} \) the obtained \( \mu_{\text{H}}(T) \) data tend to constant values \( \mu_{\text{H}} \approx 600–700 \text{ cm}^2/(\text{V} \cdot \text{s}) \) (Figure 8b), and in the range \( T > T^* \approx 60 \text{ K} \) Hall...
mobility follows the power law $\mu_{H}=T^{-\alpha}$ with a single exponent being estimated as $\alpha \approx 5/4$ both for $H \parallel n \parallel [001]$ and $H \parallel n \parallel [110]$.

Similar behavior of Hall mobility was observed in the range 80–300 K previously for various LuB$_{12}$ crystals; an $\alpha \approx 7/4$ exponent was detected for crystals with large values of RRR $\equiv \rho(300 \text{ K})/\rho(6 \text{ K}) = 40–70$ and $\alpha \approx 3/2$ was estimated for LuB$_{12}$ with a small RRR $\sim 12$ [49]. The $\alpha = 3/2$ exponent is typical for scattering of conduction electrons by acoustic phonons (deformation potential). The increase of $\alpha$ values up to 7/4 in best LuB$_{12}$ crystals was interpreted [49] in terms of charge carriers scattering both by quasi-local vibrations of RE ions and by boron optical phonons [57] in the presence of JT distortions and rattling modes of RE ions [58–60]. In the case of Ho$_{0.8}$Lu$_{0.2}$B$_{12}$ crystals with RRR $\sim 10$ the further decrease of $\alpha$ value from 3/2 to 5/4 could be attributed to the emergence of strong magnetic scattering in dodecaboride with Ho$^{3+}$ magnetic ions. Note that the inequality $\omega_c \tau < 1$ (see Figure 8b), which is fulfilled in the entire temperature range 1.9–300 K in fields up to 80 kOe, corresponds to the low field regime of charge carriers in Ho$_{0.8}$Lu$_{0.2}$B$_{12}$. This indicates that the results of Hall effect measurements should be insensitive to the topology of the Fermi surface and depending mainly on the features of disorder and inhomogeneity of the crystals studied.

Obviously, the Hall effect in Ho$_{0.8}$Lu$_{0.2}$B$_{12}$ is strongly modified by the positive anisotropic contribution $\rho_{H}^{\text{an}}(n)/H$. Figure 9a,b show the angular dependencies of $\rho_{H}^{\text{an}}(\varphi)/H$ at $H = 80$ kOe for samples with $n \parallel [001]$ and $n \parallel [110]$. The same contributions for $n \parallel [111]$ and $n \parallel [112]$ are shown in Figure 10. As can be seen from Figures 9 and 10, the $\rho_{H}^{\text{an}}(\varphi)/H$ curves differ in both the amplitude and shape of angular dependence. Since very small changes of $\rho_{H}^{\text{an}}(\varphi)/H$ with temperature are detected for samples with $H \parallel n \parallel [111]$ and $H \parallel n \parallel [112]$ in the field along normal directions ($\varphi = 180^\circ$ in Figure 10), the temperature dependences of the anomalous component below are analyzed only for $n \parallel [001]$ and $n \parallel [110]$.
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**Figure 9.** (a,b) Anisotropic positive contribution $\rho_{H}^{\text{an}}(\varphi)/H$ for samples with $n \parallel [001]$ and $n \parallel [110]$ at $H = 80$ kOe. (c,d) Temperature dependencies of $\rho_{H}^{\text{an}}/H$ amplitudes for $n \parallel [001]$ and $n \parallel [110]$ in
the logarithmic scale. Panels (e,f) show the temperature dependencies of the anisotropic contribution to resistivity $\rho_{\alpha \beta}^{an} = \rho(n \parallel \langle 001 \rangle, T_0, H = 80 \text{kOe}) - \rho(n \parallel \langle 110 \rangle, T_0, H = 80 \text{kOe})$ and $\rho_{\alpha \beta}^{an} = \rho(n \parallel \langle 111 \rangle, T_0, H = 80 \text{kOe}) - \rho(n \parallel \langle 111 \rangle, T_0, H = 80 \text{kOe})$, respectively. Green and red solid lines on panels (e–f) show the approximation by Equations (3) and (4) (see text).

$$\rho_{\alpha \beta}^{an}/H \approx C^* \cdot (1/T - 1/T_E)$$

$$\rho_{\alpha \beta}^{an}/H \approx (\rho_{\alpha \beta}^{an}/H)_0 - A_H \cdot T^{-1} \cdot \exp(-T_a / T_H)$$

were used in [49,55,61] for the Hall effect analysis in strongly correlated electron systems with a filamentary structure of conducting channels. Among these, a hyperbolic dependence (3) of Hall resistivity was observed previously in SCES CeCu$_6$Au$_x$ [55] and Tm$_{1-x}$Yb$_x$B$_{12}$ [61]. The authors of [55,61] argued in favor of a transverse even component of the Hall signal associated with the formation of stripes (see also [38]) on the surface and in the bulk of the crystal, similar to the chains of nanoscale stripes detected in the normal phase of HTSC [62]. Equation (4a) was applied to discuss the temperature induced destruction of the coherent state of stripes in LuB$_{12}$ [49]. Below, we use the analysis based on Equations (3) and (4a) to highlight quantitatively the changes caused by various orientations of the external magnetic field.

Indeed, the approximation by Equation (3) in the range 5–40 K results in values $T_E \approx 132 \text{ K and } C^* \approx 8.9 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^3/\text{C}$ for the sample with $n \parallel \langle 001 \rangle$, while for $n \parallel \langle 110 \rangle$, the parameter $T_E \approx 135 \text{ K}$ is almost the same and $C^* \approx 4.3 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^3/\text{C}$ turns out to be about half the size (see green curves in Figure 9c,d). Then, the analysis based on Equation (4a) provides very similar values $T_a / T_H \approx 13.7–13.8 \text{ K}$ for these two field directions (see Figure 9c,d, red curves). Note that the estimation of $T_a / T_H$ agrees both with the characteristic temperature of stripe chains formation $T_s \approx 15 \text{ K}$ [48] from one side, and with the activation energy $E_a / k_B = T_a \approx 14–16 \text{ K}$ detected above in the Arrhenius type approximation of the main contribution to the Hall effect (see Figure 8a) from the other. It can be seen from Figure 9c,d that for the case $n \parallel \langle 001 \rangle$ and $n \parallel \langle 110 \rangle$, Equation (4a) provides a good description of the experimental $\rho_{\alpha \beta}^{an}/H/T_H$ curves at temperatures up to 10 K. Above 10 K, these fits (see red curves in Figure 9c,d) differ sharply from experiment, indicating the restriction of the phenomenological approach applied. The $A_H$ coefficients in Equation (4a) differ for these two field directions by more than two times ($A_H = 73.8 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^3/\text{C}$ for $n \parallel \langle 001 \rangle$ and $A_H = 32.3 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^3/\text{C}$ for $n \parallel \langle 110 \rangle$), being in good agreement with the amplitude ratio for $\rho_{\alpha \beta}^{an}/H$ (Figure 9c,d). Furthermore, similar to the approach developed in [43] for LuB$_{12}$,

![Figure 10. (a,b) Anisotropic contributions $\rho_{\alpha \beta}^{an}(\varphi)/H$ in magnetic field $H = 80 \text{kOe}$ for the samples with $n \parallel \langle 111 \rangle$ and $n \parallel \langle 112 \rangle$, respectively.](image-url)
an analysis of the anisotropic positive contribution to magnetoresistance in Figure 9e,f for \( \text{Ho}_{0.8}\text{Lu}_{0.2}\text{B}_{12} \) is carried out within the relation

\[
\rho_{xx}^{an}(n, T_0, H = 80 \text{ kOe}) \approx (\rho_{xx}^{an})_0 - A_{xx} \cdot T^{-1} \cdot \exp(-T_a^{p}/T) \tag{4b}
\]

For each of the samples with \( \mathbf{H} \parallel n \parallel [001] \) and \( \mathbf{H} \parallel n \parallel [110] \), the anisotropic component \( \rho_{xx}^{an}(n, T_0, H = 80 \text{ kOe}) \) was determined by subtracting from the experimental resistivity data (e.g., \( \rho(n \parallel [001], T_0, H = 80 \text{ kOe}) \) for \( \mathbf{H} \parallel n \parallel [001] \) and the dependence \( \rho(n \parallel [111], T_0, H = 80 \text{ kOe}) \) for \( \mathbf{H} \parallel n \parallel [111] \), where the magnetoresistance is minimal. Parameters \( T_a^{p} \approx 13.3–14.8 \text{ K} \) found from this approximation in the same range \( T \leq 10 \text{ K} \) turn out to be close to \( T_a^{H} \approx 13.3–13.8 \text{ K} \) values and also to \( T_S \approx 15 \text{ K} \) [48], as well as to the activation temperature \( T_a \approx 14–16 \text{ K} \) (see Figure 8a).

3. Discussion

3.1. Multicomponent Analysis of the Contributions to Anomalous Hall Effect (AHE) in the Regime of Ferromagnetic Fluctuations

Previous measurements of the Hall effect in \( \text{Ho}_{0.5}\text{Lu}_{0.5}\text{B}_{12} \) in P-phase (\( T > T_N \approx 3.5 \text{ K} \)) were carried out in the conventional field-sweep scheme with two opposite field directions \( \pm \mathbf{H} \parallel n \) [63]. Ordinary and anomalous components of the Hall effect observed in [63] were described by the general relation

\[
\rho_{H}/B = R_{H0} + R_S \cdot 4\pi M/B, \tag{5}
\]

which is usually applied to AHE in ferromagnetic metals [51,64], where \( R_{H0} \) and \( R_S = \text{const}(T) \) are the ordinary and anomalous Hall coefficients, respectively. According to [51], the ferromagnetic AHE regime represented by Equation (5) corresponds to the intrinsic scattering mechanism. Since short-range order effects are observed in the paramagnetic phase of magnetic \( \text{RB}_{12} \) in the temperature range at least up to \( 3T_N \) [53,60,65] (see also Figure 4), and that a ferromagnetic component was detected in the magnetically ordered state of \( \text{HoB}_{12} \) in magnetic fields above \( 20 \text{ kOe} \), it is of interest to perform the analysis within the framework of Equation (5) of the ordinary and AHE components in the vicinity of \( T_N \). In this case, relying on the above results of angular measurements of \( \text{Ho}_{0.5}\text{Lu}_{0.5}\text{B}_{12} \) (Figures 5–7, 9 and 10), one should use isotropic \( \rho_{H0}(T, H, n) \) and anisotropic \( \rho_{H}^{an}(\psi, T, H, n) \) components of the Hall signal separated within the framework of Equation (2) (see Figures 2–10). It is worth noting that the analysis performed in [43] within the framework of Equation (5) is applied to the field dependences of Hall resistivity \( \rho_{H}(H) \) obtained in the conventional field-sweep \( \pm \mathbf{H} \parallel n \) scheme, leading obviously to mixing of contributions \( \rho_{H0}(H) \) and \( \rho_{H}^{an}(H) \). As a result, the coefficients \( R_{H0} \) and \( R_S \) were determined in [43] for the total averaged Hall resistivity, which contains both the isotropic \( \rho_{H0}(T, H, n) \) and anisotropic \( \rho_{H}^{an}(\psi, T, H, n) \) components. Actually, each of the angular contributions \( \rho_{H0} \) and \( \rho_{H}^{an}(\psi) \) is characterized by two independent coefficients \( R_0 \) and \( R_\psi \), which generally differ in sign. Below, we develop the analysis, considering two ferromagnetic components included in the AHE. To keep generality, we analyze our Hall effect data for all three principal directions of external magnetic field (\( \mathbf{H} \parallel [001], \mathbf{H} \parallel [110], \) and \( \mathbf{H} \parallel [111] \)), despite the fact that for \( \mathbf{H} \parallel [111] \), the intrinsic AHE is found to be practically negligible (see Figures 7 and 10).

Thus, for a full description of the Hall effect in \( \text{Ho}_{0.8}\text{Lu}_{0.2}\text{B}_{12} \), we used the following relations:

\[
\begin{cases}
\rho_{H0}^0/B = R_{H0}^0 + R_{M}^0 * 4\pi M/B \\
\rho_{H}^{an}/B = R_{H}^{an} + R_{M}^{an} * 4\pi M/B
\end{cases}
\tag{6}
\]

where \( \rho_{H0}^0/B = \rho_{H0}/B(H, T_0, n) \) and \( \rho_{H}^{an}/B = \rho_{H}^{an}/B(H, T_0, n) \) are the reduced amplitudes of contributions \( \rho_{H0} \) and \( \rho_{H}^{an}(\psi) \) (see Figure 7), which depend on temperature and direction of the normal vector \( n \) to the sample surface; \( R_{H0}^0 \) and \( R_{H}^{an} \) are components of the ordinary Hall effect, connected with magnetic induction \( B \), and \( R_{M}^0 \) and \( R_{M}^{an} \) are the coefficients of anomalous Hall effect determined by magnetization \( M \) and related to the ferromagnetic component (see Figure 3d–f). Obviously, there are two independent ordinary contributions.
to Hall resistivity $R_{H}^{0}$, $R_{H}^{an}$, as well as two independent anomalous (ferromagnetic) components $R_{M}^{0}$, $4\pi M$ and $R_{M}^{an}$, $4\pi M$, which differ for various $H$ directions. Note that the last two components $R_{H}^{an}$, $B$ and $R_{M}^{an}$, $4\pi M$ are responsible for the observed Hall effect anisotropy.

Figure 11 shows the linear approximation within the framework of Equation (6) of the reduced amplitudes $\rho_{H0}/B$ and $\rho_{H}^{an}/B$ vs $4\pi M/B$ in the range $T_0 = 2.1–6.5$ K for Ho$_{0.8}$Lu$_{0.2}$B$_{12}$ crystals with $n \parallel [001]$, $n \parallel [110]$, and $n \parallel [111]$. Linear fits are acceptable for directions $n \parallel [001]$ and $n \parallel [110]$ at temperatures of 2.1 K and 4.2 K for both the isotropic $\rho_{H0}/B$ and anisotropic $\rho_{H}^{an}/B$ contributions. This approximation was found to be valid at $T = 6.5$ K in the interval of small $4\pi M/B$ values (i.e., in high magnetic fields, in more detail see Figure S4 in Supplementary Materials), where the estimated parameters $R_{H}^{0}$, $R_{H}^{an}$ are extracted as cutoffs, and $R_{M}^{0}$ and $R_{M}^{an}$ are the slopes of corresponding straight lines in Figure 11. Since the parameters $R_{H}^{0}$, $R_{H}^{an}$, $R_{M}^{0}$, $R_{M}^{an}$ depend weakly on temperature (see Figure S4 in Supplementary Materials), the temperature-averaged values of these coefficients are summarized in Table 1.

**Figure 11.** Linear approximation of the isotropic $\rho_{H0}/B$ (a,c,e) and anisotropic $\rho_{H}^{an}/B$ (b,d,f) contributions vs $4\pi M/B$ within the Equation (6) approximation at 2.1–7 K for three principal directions $H \parallel [001]$, $H \parallel [110]$, and $H \parallel [111]$ (see text).

**Table 1.** Parameters $R_{H}^{0}$, $R_{H}^{an}$ of the ordinary and anomalous $R_{M}^{0}$, $R_{M}^{an}$ contributions to Hall effect in Ho$_{0.8}$Lu$_{0.2}$B$_{12}$ averaged over temperatures 2.1–7 K.

| $R_{H}^{0}$ $10^{-4}$ x cm$^2$/C | $H \parallel n \parallel [001]$ | $H \parallel n \parallel [110]$ | $H \parallel n \parallel [111]$ |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| $R_{H}^{0}$                 | −7.3           | −7.7           | −7.7           |
| $R_{H}^{an}$                | 6.8            | 2.3            | 0              |
| $R_{M}^{0}$                 | 25.2           | 26.9           | 26.5           |
| $R_{M}^{an}$                | −64.9          | −20.9          | 0              |

The analysis based on Equation (6) allows us to conclude that the values of coefficients $R_{H}^{an}$ and $R_{M}^{an}$, which are characteristics of the anisotropic component, turn out to be
practically equal to zero for \( \mathbf{H} \parallel \langle 111 \rangle \) (Figure 11f). It can be seen that the Hall coefficient \( R_H^0 \sim -7.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^3/\text{C} \) remains practically invariant for any \( \mathbf{H} \) direction (see Table 1 and Figure S4 in Supplementary Materials), confirming that this ordinary negative component of Hall signal is isotropic. On the contrary, the value of anisotropic positive contribution \( R_{H}^{an} \) changes significantly from \(-6.8 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^3/\text{C} \) for \( \mathbf{H} \parallel \langle 001 \rangle \) to \( 2.3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^3/\text{C} \) in \( \mathbf{H} \parallel \langle 110 \rangle \) passing through zero for \( \mathbf{H} \parallel \langle 111 \rangle \) (Table 1). As a result, in Ho\(_{0.8}\)Lu\(_{0.2}\)B\(_{12}\) for strong field \( \mathbf{H} \parallel \langle 001 \rangle \), the anisotropic positive component \( R_{H}^{an} \) \( B \cdot g(\phi, n) \), which is proportional to magnetic induction, turns out to be comparable in absolute value with the negative isotropic component \( R_{H0} \) of the ordinary Hall effect. Note that the values of coefficients \( R_{H}^{0} \), \( R_{M}^{an} \) of the anomalous (ferromagnetic) contributions, which are proportional to magnetization, dramatically exceed the ordinary parameters \( R_{H}^{0} \), \( R_{H}^{an} \) that agrees with the result [63] for Ho\(_{0.5}\)Lu\(_{0.5}\)B\(_{12}\).

It is very unusual that a significant isotropic anomalous positive contribution \( R_{M}^{0} \sim 25.27 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^3/\text{C} \) appears in the paramagnetic phase of Ho\(_{0.8}\)Lu\(_{0.2}\)B\(_{12}\), and it may be attributed to the isotropic ferromagnetic component in the Hall signal. We propose that the \( R_{M}^{0} \) term depends on the regime of ferromagnetic fluctuations detected in low field magnetic susceptibility above \( T_N \) (Figure 4). On the contrary, the anomalous negative contribution \( R_{M}^{an} \) varies strongly in the range \((-2.165) \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^3/\text{C} \) depending on \( \mathbf{M} \) direction (see Table 1), and this component appears in strong magnetic field and at low temperatures (Figure 11). To summarize, AHE in the paramagnetic state of Ho\(_{0.8}\)Lu\(_{0.2}\)B\(_{12}\) is proportional to magnetization and is determined both by the positive contribution \( R_{M}^{0} \) \(-4\pi M \cdot \cos(\phi)\) and by the strongly anisotropic negative component \( R_{M}^{an} \) \( 4\pi M \cdot g(\phi) \). These two contributions compensate each other in the vicinity of \( \mathbf{n} \parallel \langle 110 \rangle \) (dynamic charge stripe direction [35,48]).

When discussing the nature of multicomponent Hall effect in Ho\(_{0.8}\)Lu\(_{0.2}\)B\(_{12}\), it is worth noting the complicated multi-\( \mathbf{q} \) incommensurate magnetic structure in the Neel state. Magnetic ordering is characterized by propagation vector \( \mathbf{q} = (1/2 \pm \delta, 1/2 \pm \delta, 1/2 \pm \delta) \) with \( \delta = 0.035 \) and detected in [53,66,67] for Ho\(_{11}\)B\(_{12}\) in the neutron diffraction experiments at low temperatures in low \( (H < 20 \text{ kOe}) \) magnetic field. It was also found for HoB\(_{12}\) [53,66,67] that as the strength of external magnetic field increases above 20 kOe, the \( 4\mathbf{q} \)-magnetic structure transforms into a more complex one, in which, apart from the coexistence of two AF \( 4\mathbf{q} \) and \( 2\mathbf{q} \) components, there additionally arises some ferromagnetic order parameter. Then, a strong modulation of the diffuse neutron-scattering patterns was observed in HoB\(_{12}\) well above \( T_N \) [53,67] with broad peaks at positions of former magnetic reflections, e.g., at \((3/2, 3/2, 3/2)\), pointing to strong correlations between the magnetic moments of Ho\(^{3+}\) ions. These diffuse scattering patterns in the paramagnetic state were explained in [53,67] by the appearance of correlated 1D spin chains (short chains of Ho\(^{3+}\)-ion moments placed on space diagonals \( <111> \) of the elementary unit), similar to those detected in low dimensional magnets [68]. It was found that these patterns can be resolved both well above (up to 70 K) and below \( T_N \), where the 1D chains seem to condense into an ordered antiferromagnetic modulated (AFM) structure [53,67,69]. The authors [53] discussed the following scenario for the occurrence of long-range order in HoB\(_{12}\): Far above \( T_N \), strong interactions lead to correlations along \( \langle 111 \rangle \), they are essentially one-dimensional and would not lead to long-range order at finite temperature. As \( T_N \) is approached, the 1D-correlated regions grow in the perpendicular directions, possibly due to other interactions. Cigar-shaped AFM-correlated regions were proposed in [53] that become more spherical when \( T_N \) is approached. Within this picture, the ordering temperature is located in the point where spherical symmetry is reached. Only then 3D behavior sets in, and HoB\(_{12}\) exhibits long-range AFM order [53]. The refinement of Ho\(_{11}\)B\(_{12}\) crystal structure was done with high accuracy in the space group \( Fm\bar{3}m \), but also small static Jahn–Teller distortions were found in RB\(_{12}\) compounds [36,41]. However, the most important factor of symmetry breaking is the dynamic one [36,41], which includes the formation both of vibrationally coupled Ho–Ho dimers and dynamic charge stripes (see [36,48,52] for more details). As a result, twofold symmetry in the \( (110) \) plane is conserved as expected for cubic crystal, but the charge...
3.2. Mechanisms of AHE in Ho$_{0.8}$Lu$_{0.2}$B$_{12}$

Returning to commonly used classification [51,70], it is necessary to distinguish between the intrinsic and extrinsic AHE. Intrinsic AHE is related to the transverse velocity addition due to Berry phase contribution in systems with strong spin–orbit interaction (SOI), while the extrinsic AHE associated with scattering of charge carriers by impurity centers. However, AHE also arises in noncollinear ferromagnets, in which a nonzero scalar chirality $S_i(S_j \times S_k) \neq 0$ leads to the appearance of an effective magnetic field even in the absence of SOI [71], and in magnetic metals with a nontrivial topology of spin structures in real space [72–76]. When interpreting experimental data, a problem of identifying the actual mechanisms of AHE arises [51]. Among the extrinsic AHE, skew scattering, for which the scattering angularly depends on the mutual orientation of the charge carrier spin and the magnetic moment of the impurity, predicts a linear relationship between the anomalous component of the resistivity tensor $\rho^{an}_{\|\[111\]} - \rho_{xx}$ and usually corresponds to the case of pure metals ($\rho_{xx} < 1 \, \mu\text{Ohm}\cdot\text{cm}$) [77]. In the range of resistances $\rho_{xx} = 1–100 \, \mu\text{Ohm}\cdot\text{cm}$ the intrinsic AHE dominates, which is due to the effect of the Berry phase ($\rho^{an}_{\|\[001\]} - \rho_{xx}$) [78]. The contribution to scattering due to another extrinsic AHE, side jumping [79] with a similar scaling ($\rho^{an}_{\|\[001\]} - \rho_{xx}$), where $\rho_{xx}$ is the residual resistivity of the metal) is usually neglected [51]. In the “dirty limit” ($\rho_{xx} > 100 \, \mu\text{Ohm}\cdot\text{cm}$), an intermediate behavior is observed with a dependence of the form $\rho^{an}_{\|\[001\]} - \rho_{xx}^\beta$ and with an exponent $\beta = 1.6–1.8$, which is associated usually with the transition to hopping conductivity [51].

When identifying the AHE mechanism in Ho$_{0.8}$Lu$_{0.2}$B$_{12}$ with a small residual resistivity ($\rho_{xx} \sim 1 \, \mu\text{Ohm}\cdot\text{cm}, \text{Figure 2a}$), it is not possible to follow the traditional classification as no presence of the itinerant AHE with an asymptotic $\rho^{an}_{\|\[001\]} - \rho_{xx}^2$, or a skew scattering regime ($\rho^{an}_{\|\[001\]} - \rho_{xx}$) was found here. However, in order to correctly compare these diagonal and off-diagonal components of the resistivity tensor, it is possible to extract the corresponding anomalous contributions. As can be seen from Figure 2, for $\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{n} \parallel \{111\}$, the anisotropic anomalous component of Hall signal is negligible and, as a result, the reduced Hall resistivity measured in angular experiments in direction $\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{n}$ consists of the isotropic contribution only. In addition, according to conclusions made in [43,47], at low temperatures in paramagnetic state the magnetoresistance of Ho$_{0.8}$Lu$_{0.2}$B$_{12}$ consists of isotropic negative and anisotropic positive contributions, the latter being close to zero in the direction $\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{n} \parallel \{111\}$.

In this situation, for estimating the anisotropic components $\rho^{an}_{\|\[001\]}$ and $\rho^{an}_{\|\[111\]}$, e.g., for the $\mathbf{n} \parallel \{001\}$ sample, it suffices to find the difference $\rho^{an}_{\|\[001\]}(\mathbf{n} \parallel \{001\}) - \rho_{xx}(\mathbf{n} \parallel \{001\})$ (see Figure 2b) and $\rho^{an}_{\|\[111\]}(\mathbf{n} \parallel \{001\}) = \rho(\mathbf{n} \parallel \{001\}) - \rho(\mathbf{n} \parallel \{111\})$ (see Figure 2a). Figure 12 demonstrates the scaling relation between these anisotropic components of $\rho^{an}_{\|\[001\]}$ and $\rho^{an}_{\|\[111\]}$ for $\mathbf{H} \parallel \{001\}$ and $\mathbf{H} \parallel \{111\}$ directions, which leads to the following conclusions: (i) For $\mathbf{H} \parallel \{001\}$ a $\rho^{an}_{\|\[001\]} - \rho^{an}_{\|\[111\]}$ dependence is observed over the entire temperature range $T \leq T^* \sim 60 \, \text{K}$. This regime does not correspond to intrinsic AHE ($\beta < 2$), while an onset of hopping conductivity ($\beta = 1.6–1.8$) [51] seems to be an unreal scenario in this good metal ($\rho_{xx} \sim 1 \, \mu\text{Ohm}\cdot\text{cm}, \text{Figure 2a}$). (ii) On the contrary, for $\mathbf{H} \parallel \{111\}$, two anisotropic
components of the resistivity tensor appear in the interval \( T < T_{S} \approx 15 \text{K} \) and turn out to be related to each other as \( \rho_{\alpha\beta}^m \sim \rho_{xx}^{m\times 0.83} \) (Figure 12), which does not favor skew scattering \((\beta \sim 1)\) [77]. Note that the exponent \( \beta \) for \( \mathbf{H} || [110] \) is twice as small as that for \( \mathbf{H} || [100] \) in \( \text{Ho}_{0.8}\text{Lu}_{0.2}\text{B}_{12} \), and these regimes are observed in adjacent \( \rho_{xx}^m \) intervals changing one to another at \( \rho_{xx}^m \approx 0.1 \mu\text{Ohm-cm} \) (Figure 12). Such a different behavior in charge transport parameters for two different magnetic field directions suggests that the AHE is caused by another scattering mechanism, which, in particular, may result from the influence of external magnetic field on dynamic charge stripes directed along \(<110>\) (see Figure 1a).

![Figure 12. Anisotropic AHE components for directions \( \mathbf{H} || [001] \) and \( \mathbf{H} || [110] \) in magnetic field \( \mathbf{H} = 80 \text{kOe} \) scaled in double logarithmic plot. Solid lines display the linear approximations and \( \beta \) denotes the exponent in \( \rho_{\alpha\beta}^m \sim \rho_{xx}^{m\times \beta} \).](image)

In this scenario the appearance of two types of AHE in \( \text{Ho}_{0.8}\text{Lu}_{0.2}\text{B}_{12} \) may be interpreted as follows. The first mode of AHE associated with charge scattering in the interval \( T < T_{S} \approx 15 \text{K} \) is detected when magnetic field is applied along charge stripes \( \mathbf{H} || [110] \), and the regime appears due to the formation of a large size cluster (long chains) in the filamentary structure of fluctuating charges. The second mode of AHE is induced by the order–disorder transition at \( T^{*} \approx 60 \text{K} \) and corresponds to the magnetic field applied transverse to vibrationally coupled dimers of rare-earth ions \( \mathbf{H} || [001] \perp <110> \). In the latter case, when the carrier moves in transverse magnetic field along a complex path, the intrinsic AHE is expected to be influenced by the Berry phase in real space [51,78], but instead, the \( \rho_{\alpha\beta}^m \sim \rho_{xx}^{m\times 1.7} \) scaling is observed. This unusual behavior seems to be a challenge to the contemporary AHE theory and has to be clarified in future studies.

3.3. AHE Anisotropy and Dynamic Charge Stripes

The above analysis of Hall effect contributions in \( \text{Ho}_{0.8}\text{Lu}_{0.2}\text{B}_{12} \), based (i) on measurements in the conventional field-sweep \( \pm \mathbf{H} || \mathbf{n} \) scheme (Figures 2, 3a–c and S1a in Supplementary Materials), and (ii) on studies of angular dependences with vector \( \mathbf{H} \) rotating in the \( (110) \) plane (Figure 5, Figure 6, Figures S1b, S2 and S3 in Supplementary Materials), allows to obtain a set of AHE coefficients, which characterize the ordinary and anomalous contributions along three principal directions of the magnetic field \((\mathbf{H} || \mathbf{n} || [001], \mathbf{H} || \mathbf{n} || [100], \text{and} \mathbf{H} || \mathbf{n} || [111])\).

In this case, the methodological feature of the performed angular measurements of Hall resistivity shows a cosine modulation of the projection of transverse Hall electric field on the direction that connects two Hall probes and is perpendicular to any of the specific normal vectors: \( \mathbf{n} || [001], \mathbf{n} || [110], \mathbf{n} || [111], \text{or} \mathbf{n} || [211] \). In this situation, it is more convenient to control the projection of the external field \( \mathbf{H} \) onto the normal vector.
H_n = (\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{n}) = H_0 \cdot \cos \varphi, \text{ which is used to determine the amplitude of contributions in the corresponding n direction (see inset in Figure 2a). As can be seen from Figure 10, vanishing of the AHE for H directed precisely along n \parallel [111] and n \parallel [112] does not mean zero values of \rho_H^{an}(\varphi)/H for these crystals in the entire range of angles. In this case, it is obvious that for H in the plane of the sample, near-zero values of H_n = H_0 \cdot \cos \varphi occur.}

For \varphi = 0, one should also expect zero values of anomalous contributions to the Hall signal.

In [44,47–49,58], it was found that the angular dependence of magnetoresistance (MR) in RB2 is determined by scattering of carriers on dynamic charge stripes. As a result, the maximum positive values of MR are observed for H \parallel [001] perpendicular to the direction of these electron density fluctuations, while the minimum MR is observed for H \parallel [111] (see Figure 13b). To clarify the nature of these anomalies in angular AHE curves, one can restore the angular dependence of the AHE in the entire range of 0–360º and compare the obtained curve with the related MR data. This analysis can be performed by relying on experimental \rho_H/B(\varphi) curves measured at T = 2.1 K in magnetic field H = 80 kOe for four different crystals when H is rotated in the same plane (110). Since both the ordinary and anomalous components of Hall signal can be described by cosine dependence \rho_H(\varphi) = \rho_H^{\text{os}}(\varphi) + \rho_H^{\text{an}}(\varphi), the representation of experimental data shown in Figure 13a in the form of \rho_H(\varphi)/(H \cdot \cos(\varphi - \varphi_1)) allows us to separate the isotropic and anisotropic contributions from Hall experiments.

![Figure 13.](image-url)

**Figure 13.** (a) Normalized angular Hall resistivity \rho_H(\varphi)/(H \cdot \cos(\varphi - \varphi_1)) and (b) magnetoresistance curves in field 80 kOe at temperature 2.1 K for four samples with n \parallel [001], n \parallel [110], n \parallel [111], and n \parallel [112]. Yellow shading indicates the common envelope for all four Hall effect measurements (see text).
The averaged envelope (indicated by yellow shading in Figure 13a) was obtained after removing the particular portions of the related angular dependences with singularities associated with division by small values (zeros of cosine), and then averaging the data of these four angular Hall signal dependences. In this case, in accordance with the data in Figure 2b, in \( \mathbf{H} \parallel [111] \) directions on the resulting envelope curve \( \rho_{\text{H}}(\varphi)/(H \cos(\varphi - \varphi_1)) \), the maximum negative values of about \(-6 \times 10^{-4}\) cm\(^3\)/C correspond to isotropic ordinary component \( \rho_{\text{H}}/H \) of Hall effect, which is independent on magnetic field direction. The positive anisotropic component reconstructed from the data of four measurements (the yellow shading in Figure 13a) provide changes of the \( \rho_{\text{H}}(\varphi)/(H \cos(\varphi - \varphi_1)) \) in the range \((3.2-6) \times 10^{-4}\) cm\(^3\)/C. Despite the fact that the initial \( \rho_{\text{H}}(\varphi) \) dependence is an odd function (see Figures 5, 6, 9 and 10), the result of its division by the odd \( \cos(\varphi - \varphi_1) \) allows one to obtain the real anisotropic even amplitude of Hall effect and compare it with MR. The location of its extrema coincides with the positions of anomalies on the MR curve (Figure 13b). Indeed, the maximum positive contribution to AHE appears synchronously with the MR peak along <001>, while for <110>, a small (if compared with the anomaly \( \Delta \)) positive AHE component is recorded (Figure 13b) simultaneously with a small amplitude singularity of MR. We also note that two spatial diagonals <111> on the anisotropic contribution \( \rho_{\text{H}}^m(\varphi)/(H \cos(\varphi - \varphi_1)) \) seem to be equivalent and show no hysteretic features. The observed behavior of the Hall effect in \( \text{Ho}_{0.8}\text{Lu}_{0.2}\text{B}_{12} \) agrees very well with symmetry lowering of the \( fcc \) structure of \( \text{Ho}_{0.8}\text{Lu}_{0.2}\text{B}_{12} \) due to static and dynamic Jahn–Teller distortions [36].

Finally, the comparison of the angular dependences of MR and Hall effect in \( \text{Ho}_{0.8}\text{Lu}_{0.2}\text{B}_{12} \) (Figure 13) shows that, along with the normal isotropic contributions to the diagonal (negative MR) and off-diagonal (the ordinary Hall coefficient of negative sign) components of the resistivity tensor, anomalous anisotropic positive components appear both in the MR and in Hall effect at low temperatures. These components reach (i) maximal values in the direction of magnetic field transverse to dynamic charge stripes (\( \mathbf{H} \parallel [001] \)) and (ii) zero values for \( \mathbf{H} \parallel [111] \). This anisotropy arises simultaneously with the transition to the cage glass state at \( T^* \approx 60 \) K and seems to be related to the formation of vibrationally coupled pairs of rare-earth ions displaced from their centrosymmetric positions in \( \text{B}_{24} \) cavities of the boron sublattice [48]. A significant increase of this anisotropy is detected at temperatures \( T < T_S \approx 15 \) K upon the formation of large size clusters (long chains) in the filamentary structure of fluctuating electron density (stripes). Taking into account that, according to the results of room temperature measurements of the dynamic conductivity of \( \text{LuB}_{12} \), about 70% of charge carriers participate in the formation of the collective mode (hot electrons) [80], a redistribution of carriers between the nonequilibrium and Drude components should be expected with decreasing temperature.

Apparently, the activation behavior of the Hall concentration of charge carriers observed in the range 60–300 K (\( T_S \approx 14–17 \) K, Figure 8a) may be attributed to the involvement of additional conduction electrons in the collective mode. When vibrationally coupled dimers of rare-earth ions are formed below \( T^* \approx 60 \) K, short and disordered chains of stripes oriented along <110> appear in magnetic field, initiating the emergence of intrinsic AHE (Figure 12). We propose that the AHE in \( \text{Ho}_{0.8}\text{Lu}_{0.2}\text{B}_{12} \) is caused by a transverse addition to velocity due to the Berry phase contribution [51], which arises for carriers moving in a complex filamentary structure of the electron density in magnetic field applied transverse to dynamic stripes. During the formation of large size clusters in the structure of stripes (interval \( T < T_S \approx 15 \) K) in field orientation along the stripes \( \mathbf{H} \parallel [110] \), no intrinsic AHE is expected. A skew scattering contribution, for which the scattering angle depends on the mutual orientation of the charge carriers spin and the magnetic moment of the impurity, may become noticeable with a linear relationship \( \rho_{\text{H}}^{\alpha\beta} - \rho_{\text{H}}^{\alpha\alpha} \) between these components of the resistivity tensor (Figure 12). We propose that some geometric factors are responsible for the reduction of \( \beta \) exponent in these two AHE regimes. Approaching the AF transition above \( T_N \), on-site 4f-5d spin fluctuations in the vicinity of \( \text{Ho}^{3+} \) ions lead to magnetic polarization of the 5d states of the conduction band, which gives rise to ferromagnetic fluctuations in \( \text{Ho}_{0.8}\text{Lu}_{0.2}\text{B}_{12} \) (Figure 4). These produce ferromagnetic nanoscale domains
(ferrons), and, as a result, initiate the appearance of the ferromagnetic contribution to AHE (Figure 11 and Table 1). We emphasize that such a complex multicomponent AHE in model Ho$_{0.8}$Lu$_{0.2}$B$_{12}$ metal with a simple fcc lattice turns out to be due to the inhomogeneity and complex filamentary structures of the electron density in the matrix of this SCES with dynamic Jahn–Teller lattice instability and electron phase separation.

4. Experimental Details

Ho$_{0.8}$Lu$_{0.2}$B$_{12}$ single-domain crystals were grown by crucible-free induction zone melting in an inert argon gas atmosphere (see, e.g., [34]). Magnetization was measured with the help of a SQUID magnetometer MPMS Quantum Design in fields up to 70 kOe in the temperature range 1.9–10 K. The external magnetic field was applied along the principal crystallographic axes $H \parallel [001]$, $H \parallel [110]$, and $H \parallel [111]$. Resistivity, magnetoresistance, and Hall effect were studied on an original setup using the standard DC five-probe technique with excitation current commutation. The angular dependences of transverse magnetoresistance and Hall resistivity were obtained using a sample holder of original design, which enables the rotation of the vector $H$ located in the plane perpendicular to fixed current direction $I \parallel [110]$. $H$ with a minimum step $\varphi_{\text{step}} = 0.4^\circ$ (see the schematic view on the inset of Figure 2a). Measurements were carried out in a wide temperature range 1.9–300 K in magnetic fields up to 80 kOe, the angle $\varphi = n \cdot H$ (n-normal vector to the lateral sample surface) varied in the range $\varphi = 0–360^\circ$. The measuring setup was equipped with a stepper motor, which enabled an automatic control of sample rotation, similar to that one used in [35]. High accuracy of temperature control ($\Delta T \approx 0.002$ K in the range 1.9–7 K) and magnetic field stabilization ($\Delta H \approx 2$ Oe) was ensured, respectively, by LLC Cryotel, (Moscow, Russia) TC 1.5/300 temperature controller and Cryotel SMPS 100 superconducting magnet power supply in combination with a CERNOX 1050 thermometer and n-InSb Hall sensors.

5. Conclusions

In the paramagnetic phase of the cage-cluster high-boron boride Ho$_{0.8}$Lu$_{0.2}$B$_{12}$ with a cage-glass state below $T^* \approx 60$ K and electronic phase separation (dynamic charge stripes), magnetotransport was studied at temperatures 1.9–300 K in magnetic fields up to 80 kOe. Field and angular measurements of resistivity and Hall resistivity were performed on single-domain crystals of the Ho$_{0.8}$Lu$_{0.2}$B$_{12}$ model metal allowed to separate and analyze several different contributions to the Hall effect. It was shown that, along with the negative ordinary isotropic component of Hall resistivity, an intrinsic AHE of a positive sign arises in the cage-glass state in field direction $H \parallel [001]$, which is perpendicular to the charge stripe chains. This AHE corresponds through the relation $\rho_{\text{an}}^H \sim \rho_{\text{an}}^{xx1.7}$ to the anomalous components of the resistivity tensor. It was also found that at temperatures $T < T_S \approx 15$ K, where long chains prevail in the filamentary structure of fluctuating charges (stripes), a contribution to AHE of the form $\rho_{\text{an}}^H \sim \rho_{\text{an}}^{xx0.83}$ becomes dominant when $H \parallel [110]$. We propose that these two components are intrinsic (a transverse addition to velocity due to the contribution of the Berry phase) and extrinsic (from the skew scattering mechanism) [51], respectively, and exhibit some decrease of exponents from integers due to the geometric factor. In the paramagnetic phase near Neel temperature, on-site 4$f$–5$d$ spin fluctuations in the vicinity of Ho$^{3+}$ ions were found to induce spin-polarized 5$d$ states (ferromagnetic nanoscale domains–ferrons) in the conduction band, which result in the appearance of an additional ferromagnetic contribution to AHE, as observed both in the isotropic and anisotropic components of Hall effect. Detailed measurements of the angular dependences of Hall resistivity and MR with vector $H$ rotation in the (110) plane, perpendicular to the direction of stripes, made it possible to separate the negative isotropic and positive anisotropic contributions to AHE and MR, and to explain them in terms of charge carriers scattering by dynamic charge stripes.
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