On the reducing projective dimension over local rings
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Abstract
In this paper, we are concerned with certain invariants of modules, called reducing invariants, which have been recently introduced and studied by Araya–Celikbas and Araya–Takahashi. We raise the question whether the residue field of each commutative Noetherian local ring has finite reducing projective dimension and obtain an affirmative answer for the question for a large class of local rings. Furthermore, we construct new examples of modules of infinite projective dimension that have finite reducing projective dimension and study several fundamental properties of reducing dimensions, especially properties under local homomorphisms of local rings.

1. Introduction
Throughout, \( R \) denotes a local ring (commutative and Noetherian) with unique maximal ideal \( m \) and residue field \( k \), and each \( R \)-module is assumed to be finitely generated. For unexplained basic terminology, such as the definition of the Gorenstein dimension, we refer the reader to [4, 11, 14].

The classical homological dimensions play a significant role in the characterizations of local rings. For example, a celebrated result of Auslander–Buchsbaum–Serre [11, 2.2.7] states that a local ring \( R \) is regular if and only if the residue field \( k \) has finite projective dimension. It is known that similar important characterizations of local rings via other homological dimensions of the residue field, such as via the Gorenstein dimension, also hold, see [4, 4.20].

Reducing versions of the classical homological dimensions have been recently introduced and studied by Araya and Celikbas [1] and subsequently by Araya and Takahashi [3], see Definition 2.1 and Remark 2.3 for details. These dimensions are finer than the classical dimensions: examples of modules that have finite reducing projective dimension but have infinite projective dimension are abundant, see 2.7 and Examples 2.10, 2.13, and 2.15. In general, unlike the aforementioned characterizations via classical dimensions, the residue field of a non-Gorenstein local ring can have finite reducing Gorenstein or finite reducing projective dimension, see, for example, 2.5. However, in addition to these examples, there are several noteworthy characterizations of local rings via reducing dimensions that make these invariants viable notations. One such result [12] states that a local ring \( R \) is Gorenstein if and only if
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each $R$-module has finite reducing Gorenstein dimension; this generalizes the well-known fact that [4, 4.20] a local ring $R$ is Gorenstein if and only if each $R$-module has finite Gorenstein dimension.

It is interesting for us that the research that has been done so far concerning the reducing dimensions has not yet produced an example of a local ring whose residue field has infinite reducing projective dimension. Therefore, based on the examples and results we are aware of about these dimensions, it seems reasonable to us to ask the following:

**Question 1.1.** Does the residue field of each local ring have finite reducing projective dimension?

The main aim of this paper is to give support and obtain an affirmative answer for Question 1.1 for a large class of local rings. More precisely, we prove

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $(S, \mathfrak{n})$ be a local ring such that the $\mathfrak{n}$-adic completion $\hat{S}$ of $S$ equals $R/R$, where $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a Cohen–Macaulay local ring of minimal multiplicity and $\{x\} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ is an $R$-regular sequence. If $k$ is infinite, then it has finite reducing projective dimension as an $S$-module.

We give a proof of Theorem 1.2 in Section 3, where we also prove several preliminary results and study fundamental properties of reducing dimensions, especially under local homomorphisms of local rings, see Theorem 3.11 and Remark 3.12. It is worth noting that if a module over a local ring as in Theorem 1.2 has finite Gorenstein dimension, then it does not need to have finite projective dimension, see Example 3.17. An interesting property of these rings is that they do not admit such modules as long as reducing dimensions are considered. For example, if $S$ is a non-Gorenstein complete local ring as in Theorem 1.2, then we prove in Proposition 3.19 that each (finitely generated) $S$-module has finite reducing Gorenstein dimension if and only if it has finite reducing projective dimension.

Another focus of this paper is to give new and nontrivial examples of modules of finite reducing projective dimension; we obtain such examples in Section 2. One of these examples deserves to be mentioned here: we construct an example of a local ring where the reducing projective dimension of its residue field is two, and we determine a reducing projective dimension sequence of that residue field explicitly, see Example 2.10.

The last section of this paper is devoted to the (involved) proof of Proposition 3.8; this proposition plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 1.2. It also shows that, like the classical homological dimensions, the reducing dimension of a module is not affected by taking direct sum with a free module.

2. Preliminaries, examples, and remarks

In this section, we give new examples of modules that have finite reducing but infinite, projective dimension, see Examples 2.20, 2.13, and 2.15. We also record several important facts that are needed for our argument, see 2.8. We start by recalling the definition of reducing invariant of modules.

In the following, $I$ denotes an *invariant* of modules over local rings, that is, a family of functions $I_R$ from isomorphism classes of $R$-modules to $\mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ as $R$ varies over all local rings. Classical examples of such an invariant we use in this paper are the projective dimension $I = \text{pd}$ and the Gorenstein dimension $I = \text{G-dim}$.

**Definition 2.1.** ([3, 2.5]; see also [1, 2.1]) Let $R$ be a local ring, and $M$ be an $R$-module.

1. We write $\text{red}\cdot I_R(M) < \infty$ provided that there exist integers $r \geq 1$, $a_i \geq 1$, $b_i \geq 1$, $n_i \geq 0$, and short exact sequences of $R$-modules of the form

$$0 \rightarrow K^\oplus_{i-1} \rightarrow K_i \rightarrow \Omega^e_{R/R} K^\oplus_{i-1} \rightarrow 0,$$

(2.1.1)
Remark 2.4. while [1] requires these numbers to be positive, see also Remark 2.12. Hence, if a module has finite reducing complexity, a notion introduced by Bergh, see [9, 10] for details. In general, modules having reducible complexity also have finite reducing projective dimension, but not vice versa. In other words, reducing projective dimension is a finer invariant than reducible complexity. One way to observe this fact is to use Theorem 1.2: if S is as in Theorem 1.2 and S is not a complete intersection, then k does not have finite complexity as an S-module [18, 2.3], and hence it does not have reducible complexity as an S-module [9, 2.1], but k has finite reducing projective dimension as an S-module by Theorem 1.2.

One of the motivations of our work in this paper comes from the fact that the reducing projective dimension of the residue field is finite over local rings with radical square zero:

Example 2.5.

(1) Let R be a local ring. Assume R is not Gorenstein and \( m^2 = 0 \). Then \( \textup{pd}_R(\Omega^i_R(k)) = \infty = \textup{G-dim}_R(\Omega^i_R(k)) \) and \( \textup{red-pd}_R(\Omega^i_R(k)) = 1 = \textup{red-G-dim}_R(\Omega^i_R(k)) \) for all \( i \geq 0 \); see [1, 2.3].

(2) Let \( R = \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(x^2, xy, y^2) \). Then \( m^2 = 0 \) so that 2.5 implies \( \textup{red-pd}_R(k) = 1 \).

The rings in Example 2.5 are zero-dimensional. Next is an example of a module—over a local ring of dimension two—that has finite reducing projective, but infinite projective, dimension.

Example 2.6. ([1, 2.7]) Let \( R = \mathbb{C}[[x^3, x^2y, xy^2, y^3]] \) be the 3rd Veronese subring of the ring \( \mathbb{C}[[x, y]] \) and let \( M = (x^2, xy, y^2) \). Then \( \textup{red-pd}_R(M) = \textup{red-G-dim}_R(M) = 1 < \infty = \textup{G-dim}_R(M) \). In fact, one can check that there is an exact sequence of R-modules \( 0 \rightarrow M^{(2)} \rightarrow R^{(3)} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \) so that \( \{M, R^{(3)}\} \) gives a reducing pd-sequence of M.
In general, unlike the classical homological dimensions, the reducing projective dimension of a nonzero module over a local ring, if finite, may not be bounded by the depth of the ring in question, see, for example, Example 2.5. In fact, we do not know whether or not there is a uniform upper bound for reducing projective dimension of modules, even over Gorenstein rings. On the other hand, a consequence of what we know from [12] yields a satisfactory answer over complete intersection rings and also allows us to determine modules that have sufficiently large finite reducing projective dimension.

2.7. Let $R$ be a local complete intersection ring of codimension $c$, for example, one can pick the ring $R = k[x_1, \ldots, x_r, y_1, \ldots, y_s]/(x_1 y_1, \ldots, x_r y_s)$. If $M$ is an $R$-module, then $\text{red-pd}_R(M) \leq c$ since $\text{red-pd}_R(M) = c_R(M)$, where $c_R(M)$ is the complexity of $M$, see [12] for details. So, for each given $r$ with $0 \leq r \leq c$, one can use [8, 5.7] and construct an $R$-module $M$ such that $\text{red-pd}_R(M) = r$.

We proceed to give an example of a module that has reducing projective dimension equal two and subsequently determine a reducing sequence of it explicitly, see Example 2.10. First, we record some auxiliary results that are used for the argument of the example, as well as in Section 3.

2.8. Let $(R, m) \to (S, n)$ be a homomorphism of local rings.

(i) If $M$ is an $R$-module and $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, S) = 0$ for each $i \geq 1$, then $\text{pd}_S(M \otimes_R S) = \text{pd}_R(M)$ and $S \otimes_R \Omega^n_R M \cong \Omega^n_S(M \otimes_R S)$ for all $n \geq 0$; see [5, 1.2.3].

(ii) Assume $S$ has finite flat dimension over $R$. Let $M$ be an $R$-modules such that $\text{G-dim}_R(M) = n < \infty$ and $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, S) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then [14, 5.4.4] implies that $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, S) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. Note that $M$ is reflexive as an $R$-complex, see, for example, [26, 2.7]. Therefore, we conclude from [15, 5.10] that $\text{G-dim}_R(M \otimes_R S) = n$.

(iii) If $S$ is a finitely generated $R$-module such that $\text{pd}_R(S) = n < \infty$ and $N$ is a finitely generated $S$-module, then there is some free $R$-module $F$ such that $\Omega^n_R \Omega^b_S N \cong F \oplus \Omega^a_S N$ for each $a \geq n$ and $b \geq 0$; this fact extends [23, 4.2] and can be proved similarly.

The next observation is used for Example 2.10 and also in the proof of Proposition 3.9.

2.9. Let $R$ be a local ring and let $M$ be a nonzero $R$-module. Assume there is a non zero-divisor $x \in m$ on $R$ such that $xM = 0$. Then there is a short exact sequence of $R/xR$-modules:

$$0 \to M \to \Omega_R M \otimes_R (R/xR) \to \Omega_{R/xR}(M) \to 0.$$ (2.9.1)

To establish the sequence in (2.9.1), we consider a syzygy sequence of $M$, namely an exact sequence $0 \to \Omega_R M \to F \to M \to 0$, where $F$ is a free $R$-module. Then we obtain, by tensoring the syzygy sequence with $R/xR$, an exact sequence of $R$-modules of the form

$$0 \to \text{Tor}_1^R(M, R/xR) \to \Omega_R M \otimes_R (R/xR) \to F \otimes_R (R/xR) \to M/xM \to 0.$$ (2.9.2)

Note that $\text{Tor}_1^R(M, R/xR) \cong M$ since $x$ is a non zero-divisor on $R$ and $xM = 0$. Hence, (2.9.2) yields the exact sequence in (2.9.1).

Example 2.10. Let $R = S/aS$, where $(S, n)$ is a one-dimensional local hypersurface ring and $a \in n^2$ is a nonzero divisor on $S$. For example, we can set $R = \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(x^2, y^2)$, $S = \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(x^2)$, and $a = y^2$. Then $R$ is an Artinian complete intersection ring of codimension two so that $\text{red-pd}_R(k) = 2$, see 2.7. Now we proceed and find a reducing $\text{pd}$-sequence of $k$ over $R$.

Let us first note, by making use of (2.9.1) with the $S$-module $k$ over $S$, we have a short exact sequence of $R$-modules of the form:

$$0 \to k \to R \otimes_S n \to \Omega_R k \to 0.$$ (2.10.1)
As we know \( \text{red-pd}_{R}(k) = 2 \), it follows that \( \text{red-pd}_{R}(R \otimes_{S} n) = 1 \), see Remark 2.2(ii). So it is enough to find a reducing \( \text{pd} \)-sequence of \( R \otimes_{S} n \) over \( R \).

In general, if \( T \) is a local ring and \( X \) and \( Y \) are (finitely generated) \( T \)-modules such that \( \text{pd}_{T}(X) = 1 \) and \( Y \) is torsion-free, then \( \text{Tor}^{i}_{T}(X, Y) = 0 \) for all \( i \geq 1 \), see, for example, [13, 2.7]. So, as \( \text{pd}_{S}(R) = 1 \), and \( n \) and \( \Omega_{S}n \) are torsion-free \( S \)-modules, we have

\[
\text{Tor}^{i}_{R}(\Omega_{S}n, R) = 0 = \text{Tor}^{i}_{R}(n, R) \quad \text{for all} \quad i \geq 1. \tag{2.10.2}
\]

Note that, as \( S \) is a one-dimensional hypersurface ring and \( n \) is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay \( S \)-module with no free summand, we have \( n \cong R^{\text{pd}}_{S} \). Moreover, since \( n \) is generated by two elements, there is a short exact sequence of \( S \)-modules of the form:

\[
0 \to n \to S^{\oplus 2} \to \Omega_{S}n \to 0. \tag{2.10.3}
\]

We obtain, by using (2.10.2) and tensoring (2.10.3) with \( R \) over \( S \), the exact sequence of \( R \)-modules:

\[
0 \to R \otimes_{S} n \to R^{\oplus 2} \to R \otimes_{S} \Omega_{S}n \to 0. \tag{2.10.4}
\]

Next note that \( R \otimes_{S} \Omega_{S}n \cong \Omega_{S}(n \otimes_{S} R) \), see 2.8(i) and (2.10.2). Therefore, (2.10.1) and (2.10.4) show that a reducing \( \text{pd} \)-sequence of \( k \) over \( R \) is \( [k, R \otimes_{S} n, R^{\oplus 2}] \).

Recall that an \( m \)-primary ideal \( I \) of a local ring \( R \) is called Ulrich if \( I/I^{2} \) is a free \( R/I \)-module and \( I^{2} = qI \) for some parameter ideal \( q \) of \( R \), where \( q \) is a reduction of \( I \), see [17, 1.1] for details. Next we point out that Ulrich ideals, when exist, provide nontrivial examples of modules of finite reducing projective dimension.

2.11. Let \( R \) be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring and let \( I \) be an Ulrich ideal of \( R \) that is not a parameter ideal. Then \( \text{pd}_{R}(R/I) = \infty \) and \( \text{red-pd}_{R}(R/I) = 1 \).

To observe this, note, if \( \text{red-pd}_{R}(R/I) = 0 \), that is, \( \text{pd}_{R}(R/I) < \infty \), then, as \( I/I^{2} \) is a free \( R/I \)-module, and so \([11, 2.2.8]\) implies that \( I \) is a parameter ideal. Hence, we conclude \( \text{pd}_{R}(R/I) = \infty \). Next we consider a parameter ideal \( q \) of \( R \) such that \( I^{2} = qI \) and \( q \subseteq I \subseteq m \). Then, by [17, 2.3](2)(c), it follows that \( I/q \) is a free \( R/I \)-module. So there is an exact sequence of \( R \)-modules of the form:

\[
0 \to (R/I)^{\oplus a} \to R/q \to R/I \to 0 \quad \text{for some} \quad a \geq 1,
\]

which shows that \( \text{red-pd}_{R}(R/I) \leq 1 \), that is, \( \text{red-pd}_{R}(R/I) = 1 \).

Remark 2.12. It is worth mentioning that if we adopt the definition of the reducing dimension given in [1], then the argument of 2.11 does not necessarily yield that \( \text{red-pd}_{R}(R/I) = 1 \).

Example 2.13. Let \( R = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]/(x^{3} - y^{2}, z^{2} - x^{2}y) \) and let \( I = (x, y) \). Then \( R/I \cong \mathbb{C}[z]/(z^{2}) \) and \( I \) is an Ulrich ideal of \( R \) which is not a parameter ideal, see [17, 2.7(1)]. Therefore, by 2.11, it follows that \( \text{pd}_{R}(R/I) = \infty \) and \( \text{red-pd}_{R}(R/I) = 1 \), see also [17, 2.7, 6.7 and 6.8] for similar examples.

Recall that a Cohen–Macaulay local ring \( R \) is said to have minimal multiplicity if the codimension of \( R \) is one less than the multiplicity of \( R \), see [11, 4.5.14]. In the following, we obtain an extension of the fact recorded in Example 2.5:

2.14. Let \( R \) be a non-regular Cohen–Macaulay local ring such that \( R \) has minimal multiplicity and \( |k| = \infty \). Then \( m^{2} = qm \) for some parameter ideal \( q \) that is a minimal reduction of \( m \), see, for example, [24]. Then \( m \) is an Ulrich ideal of \( R \) that is not a parameter ideal. Therefore, 2.11 yields that \( \text{pd}_{R}(k) = \infty \) and \( \text{red-pd}_{R}(k) = 1 \).

Example 2.15. Let \( R = \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(x, y)^{2} \), or \( R = \mathbb{C}[t], \quad \text{or} \quad R = \mathbb{C}[t^{3}, t^{4}, t^{5}] \), or \( R = \mathbb{C}[t^{3}, t^{4}, t^{5}, t^{6}] \). Then \( R \) is a non-regular Cohen–Macaulay local ring with minimal multiplicity so that 2.14 implies that \( \text{pd}_{R}(k) = \infty \) and \( \text{red-pd}_{R}(k) = 1 \).
Remark 2.16. We now have several examples of modules that have finite reducing projective dimension. Let us also mention that modules of infinite reducing projective dimension also exist: Jorgensen and Şega [20, 1.7] constructed a local Artinian ring $T$ and a $T$-module $X$ of infinite Gorenstein dimension such that $\text{Ext}^i_r(X, T) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. On the other hand, Araya and Celikbas [1, 1.3] proved that if $R$ is a local ring and $M$ is an $R$-module such that $\text{red-G-dim}_R(M) < \infty$ and $\text{Ext}^i_r(M, R) = 0$ for all $i \gg 0$, then $M$ has finite Gorenstein dimension. Therefore, the aforementioned module $X$ constructed by Jorgensen and Şega has infinite reducing Gorenstein dimension, and hence has infinite reducing projective dimension, over the ring $T$.

We prove Theorem 1.2 in the next section; we should note that Theorem 1.2, besides giving an affirmative answer for Question 1.1 for a large class of local rings, also yields an extension of the observation stated in Remark 2.12.

3. Proof of the main theorem

In this section, we give a proof of Theorem 1.2, see the paragraph following Proposition 3.9. Along the way, we obtain several new results and study further properties of reducing dimensions, especially properties under local homomorphisms of local rings.

Throughout, $\mathcal{I}$ denotes an invariant of modules over local rings, see the paragraph preceding Definition 2.1. Our first result yields a generalization of [2, 2.9].

Proposition 3.1. Let $(R, m) \to (S, n)$ be a local homomorphism of local rings. Assume that $\mathcal{I}_S(M \otimes_R S) \leq \mathcal{I}_R(M)$ for each $R$-module $M$ for which $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, S) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. If $N$ is an $R$-module such that $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, S) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$, then $\text{red-} \mathcal{I}_S(N \otimes_R S) \leq \text{red-} \mathcal{I}_R(N)$.

Proof. Let $N$ be an $R$-module such that $\text{red-} \mathcal{I}_R(N) = r < \infty$. We proceed by induction on $r$ to prove $\text{red-} \mathcal{I}_S(N \otimes_R S) \leq r$. We may assume $N \otimes_R S \neq 0$.

Assume $r = 0$. Then, by Definition 2.1, we have that $\mathcal{I}_R(N) < \infty$. This implies, by the hypothesis, that $\mathcal{I}_S(N \otimes_R S) \leq \mathcal{I}_R(N) < \infty$, and hence $\text{red-} \mathcal{I}_S(N \otimes_R S) = 0 = r$.

Next we assume $r \geq 1$. Then, by Remark 2.2(i), there exists a short exact sequence of $R$-modules $0 \to N^a \to K \to \Omega^1_R N^b \to 0$, where $\text{red-} \mathcal{I}_R(K) = r - 1$, and $a \geq 1$, $b \geq 1$, and $c \geq 0$ are integers. Note, since $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, S) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$, we have the vanishing of $\text{Tor}_i^R(K, S)$ for all $i \geq 1$. Hence, the induction hypothesis on $r$ yields

$$\text{red-} \mathcal{I}_S(K \otimes_R S) \leq \text{red-} \mathcal{I}_R(K) = r - 1.$$ (3.1.1)

Once again we make use of the hypothesis that $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, S)$ vanishes for all $i \geq 1$, tensor the short exact sequence $0 \to N^a \to K \to \Omega^1_R N^b \to 0$ with $S$ over $R$, and obtain the short exact sequence of $S$-modules $0 \to (N \otimes_R S)^a \to K \otimes_R S \to \Omega^1_S (N \otimes_R S)^b \to 0$, see also 2.8(i). So, by Remark 2.2(ii), we deduce

$$\text{red-} \mathcal{I}_S(N \otimes_R S) \leq \text{red-} \mathcal{I}_S(K \otimes_R S) + 1.$$ (3.1.2)

Consequently, (3.1.1) and (3.1.2) show that $\text{red-} \mathcal{I}_S(N \otimes_R S) \leq r = \text{red-} \mathcal{I}_R(N)$.

Corollary 3.2. Let $(R, m) \to (S, n)$ be a local homomorphism of local rings and let $N$ be an $R$-module such that $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, S) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. Then, the following hold:

(i) $\text{red-pd}_S(N \otimes_R S) \leq \text{red-pd}_R(N)$.

(ii) If $S$ has finite flat dimension over $R$, then $\text{red-G-dim}_S(N \otimes_R S) \leq \text{red-G-dim}_R(N)$.

Proof. The first and the second conclusion, in view of Proposition 3.1, follow from 2.8(i) and 2.8(ii), respectively. □
Corollary 3.3. Let $R$ be a local ring and let $x \in m$ be a non zero-divisor on $R$. Assume that $\mathbb{I}_{R/m}(N/xN) \leq \mathbb{I}_R(N)$ for each $R$-module $N$ such that $x$ is a non zero-divisor on $N$. If $M$ is an $R$-module and $x$ is a non zero-divisor on $M$, then $\text{red-} \mathbb{I}_{R/m}(M/xM) \leq \text{red-} \mathbb{I}_R(M)$.

Proof. The result follows from Proposition 3.1 by using the ring homomorphism $R \to S = R/xR$. □

The following special case of Corollary 3.3 is worth recording separately:

Corollary 3.4. Let $R$ be a local ring and let $M$ be an $R$-module. If $x \in m$ is a non zero-divisor on $R$ and $M$, then $\text{red-pd}_{R/m}(M/xM) \leq \text{red-pd}_R(M)$ and $\text{red-G-dim}_{R/m}(M/xM) \leq \text{red-G-dim}_R(M)$.

Next we point out that the inequality in Corollary 3.2(ii) can be strict; we give an example of a local homomorphism of local rings $R \to S$ and an $R$-module $N$ such that $S$ has finite flat dimension over $R$, $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, S) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$, and $\text{red-G-dim}_S(N \otimes_R S) < \infty = \text{red-G-dim}_R(N)$.

Example 3.5. Let $R = k[[t^3, t^4, t^6]]$ and let $N = R + Rt + Rt^6$. Then $R$ is a one-dimensional domain and $N$ is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay $R$-module.

Let $S = R/q$, where $q = (t^3)$. Then $R \cong k[[x, y, z]]/(x^5 - yz, y^2 - zx, z^2 - x^3y)$ and $S \cong k[[y, z]]/(y, z)^2$. Moreover, we have that $qN = Rt^3 + Rt^4 + Rt^6 = mN$ and hence $N/qN \cong k[[z]]$. Therefore, $\text{red-pd}_S(N \otimes_R S) = 1 = \text{red-G-dim}_S(N \otimes_R S)$, see Example 2.5.

Note that $N$ is reflexive if and only if $N = (R:_{Q(R)}(R:_{Q(R)}N))$, see, for example, [21, 24]. One can check the equalities $(R:_{Q(R)}(R:_{Q(R)}N)) = (R:_{Q(R)}(Rt^3 + Rt^4 + Rt^6)) = R + Rt + Rt^3$ hold and conclude that $N$ is not reflexive.

As $R$ is a one-dimensional domain, an $R$-module is reflexive if and only if it is the syzygy of a maximal Cohen–Macaulay $R$-module, see [19, 24]. Hence, $N$ is not the syzygy of a maximal Cohen–Macaulay $R$-module. It is proved in [12] that if a maximal Cohen–Macaulay module over a local ring has finite reducing Gorenstein dimension, then it is a syzygy of a maximal Cohen–Macaulay module. Consequently, we conclude that $\text{red-G-dim}_R(N) = \infty$. Finally we note, since $\text{pd}_R(S) = 1$ and $t^3$ is a non zero-divisor on $N$, that $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, S) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$.

3.6. Given a local ring $R$, we consider the following conditions for the invariant $\mathbb{I}$ in question:

(i) $\mathbb{I}_R(M) \leq \text{pd}_R(M)$ for each $R$-module $M$.

(ii) $\mathbb{I}_R(\Omega_RM) \leq \mathbb{I}_R(M)$ for each $R$-module $M$.

(iii) If $\mathbb{I}_R(N) < \infty$, and $0 \to F \to N \to M \to 0$ is an exact sequence of $R$-modules, where $F$ is free, then $\mathbb{I}_R(M) < \infty$.

(iv) For every $R$-modules $M$ and $F$, where $F$ is free, one has $\mathbb{I}_R(M + F) < \infty$ if and only if $\mathbb{I}_R(M) < \infty$.

3.7. If $\mathbb{I}$ equals the projective dimension $\text{pd}$, or the Gorenstein dimension $\text{G-dim}$, then it satisfies all the conditions stated in 3.6, see, for example, [14, 1.2.9, 1.2.10] for the case where $\mathbb{I} = \text{G-dim}$.

We need the following result for the proof of Theorem 3.11.

Proposition 3.8. Let $R$ be a local ring and let $\mathbb{I}$ be an invariant of modules over local rings, which satisfy the conditions (iii) and (iv) of 3.6. Then $\text{red-} \mathbb{I}_R(M + F) = \text{red-} \mathbb{I}_R(M)$ for each $R$-module $M$ and each free $R$-module $F$.

The conclusion of Proposition 3.8 seems natural, but its proof is involved; therefore, we defer the proof of the lemma until the next section so it does not interfere with the flow of the paper.
Proposition 3.9. Let \( R \) be a local ring and let \( S = R/\langle x \rangle R \), where \( x = x_1, \ldots, x_n \subseteq \mathfrak{m} \) is an \( R \)-regular sequence. Assume the following hold:

(i) \( I \) satisfy the conditions (iii) and (iv) stated in 3.6.
(ii) If \( N \) is an \( R \)-module such that \( x_1 \) is a non zero-divisor on \( N \), then \( I_{R/\langle x \rangle R}(N/\langle x \rangle N) \leq I_R(N) \).

If \( M \) is a (finitely generated) \( S \)-module, then \( \text{red-}I_S(M) \leq \text{red-}I_{R/\langle x \rangle R}(\Omega^n_R M) + n \).

Proof. We proceed by induction on \( n \). Assume first \( n = 1 \) and set \( x_1 = x \). Then, since \( x \) is a non zero-divisor on \( \Omega R M \), it follows from Corollary 3.3 that \( \text{red-}I_S(\Omega R M) \leq \text{red-}I_R(\Omega R M) \).

Note, by (2.9.1), we have the following short exact sequence of \( S \)-modules:

\[
0 \to M \to \Omega R M / x \cdot \Omega R M \to \Omega_S(M) \to 0.
\] (3.9.1)

Therefore, Remark 2.2(ii) applied to (3.9.1) gives \( \text{red-}I_S(M) \leq \text{red-}I_T(\Omega_T M) + 1 \). This establishes the base case \( n = 1 \) of the induction.

Next we assume \( n \geq 2 \) and set \( T = R/\langle x' \rangle R \), where \( x' = x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} \). Then \( x_n \) is a non zero-divisor on \( T \) and \( S = T/\langle x_n \rangle T \). So, by the case where \( n = 1 \), we have

\[
\text{red-}I_S(M) \leq \text{red-}I_T(\Omega_T M) + 1.
\] (3.9.2)

We make use of the induction hypothesis with the module \( \Omega_T M \) and conclude that:

\[
\text{red-}I_T(\Omega_T M) \leq \text{red-}I_R(\Omega_R^{n-1} \Omega_T M) + n - 1.
\] (3.9.3)

Hence, (3.9.2) and (3.9.3) yield:

\[
\text{red-}I_S(M) \leq \text{red-}I_R(\Omega_R^{n-1} \Omega_T M) + n.
\] (3.9.4)

Next, note that \( \Omega_R^{n-1} \Omega_T M \cong \Omega_R^n M \oplus F \) for some free \( R \)-module \( F \), see 2.8(iii). Thus, the induction argument is now complete from (3.9.4) and Proposition 3.8. \( \square \)

We need the following observation for the proof of Theorem 3.11.

3.10. Let \( R \) be a ring (not necessarily local and Noetherian) and let \( 0 \to L \to M \xrightarrow{p} N \oplus F \to 0 \) be a short exact sequence of \( R \)-modules, where \( F \) is free. Then, we have the following pullback diagram, that is, a commutative diagram with exact rows and columns:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 0 \\
\downarrow & \downarrow \\
0 & \to & L & \to & M' & \to & N & \to & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \to & L & \to & M & \xrightarrow{p} & N \oplus F & \to & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
F & & F & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & 0 &
\end{array}
\]
This diagram yields a short exact sequence of R-modules $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M' \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$, where $M \cong M' \oplus F$.

The next theorem plays a key role for the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**Theorem 3.11.** Let $(R, m) \rightarrow (S, n)$ be a local ring homomorphism, where $S$ is a finitely generated R-module and $pd_R(S) \leq n < \infty$ for some integer $n$. Assume $I$ satisfies all the conditions stated in 3.6. Assume further that $\mathbb{I}(N) < \infty$ whenever $N$ is a (finitely generated) $S$-module such that $\mathbb{I}(N) < \infty$. Then $\text{red-} \mathbb{I}_S(\Omega^n_R N) \leq \text{red-} \mathbb{I}_S(N)$ for each finitely generated $S$-module $N$.

**Proof.** Let $N$ be a nonzero finitely generated $S$-module such that $\text{red-} \mathbb{I}_S(N) = r < \infty$. We proceed by induction on $r$ to show that $\text{red-} \mathbb{I}_S(\Omega^n_R N) \leq r$.

Assume first $r = 0$. Then $\text{red-} \mathbb{I}_S(N) = 0$, that is, $\mathbb{I}_S(N) < \infty$. Hence, by the hypotheses, we conclude that $\mathbb{I}_R(\Omega^n_R N) \leq \mathbb{I}_R(N) < \infty$. This shows that $\text{red-} \mathbb{I}_R(\Omega^n_R M) = 0 = r$.

Next assume $r \geq 1$. Then it follows from Definition 2.1 that there exists a short exact sequence of (finitely generated) $S$-modules

$$0 \rightarrow N^{\oplus a} \rightarrow K \rightarrow \Omega^n_R N^{\oplus b} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $a \geq 1$, $b \geq 1$, and $c \geq 0$ are integers, and $\text{red-} \mathbb{I}_S(K) = \text{red-} \mathbb{I}_S(N) - 1 = r - 1$. So, by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\text{red-} \mathbb{I}_S(\Omega^n_R K) \leq \text{red-} \mathbb{I}_S(K) = r - 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.11.2)

We obtain, by applying $\Omega^n_R(\cdot)$ to (3.11.1), the following exact sequence of $R$-modules:

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^n_R N^{\oplus a} \rightarrow \Omega^n_R K \oplus G \rightarrow \Omega^n_R \Omega^n_S N^{\oplus b} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $G$ is a free $R$-module, see [16, 2.2(1)].

Note that $\Omega^n_R \Omega^n_S N^{\oplus b} \cong \Omega^{n+c}_R N^{\oplus b} \oplus F$ for some free $R$-module $F$, see 2.8(iii). Then, by using 3.10, we obtain the following exact sequence of $R$-modules:

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^n_R N^{\oplus a} \rightarrow K' \rightarrow \Omega^{n+c}_R N^{\oplus b} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\Omega^n_R K \oplus G \cong K' \oplus F$. Now we observe the following (in) equalities, which complete the proof:

$$\text{red-} \mathbb{I}_S(\Omega^n_R N) \leq \text{red-} \mathbb{I}_S(K') + 1$$

$$= \text{red-} \mathbb{I}_S(\Omega^n_R K) + 1$$

$$\leq (r - 1) + 1 = r.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.11.5)

Here, in (3.11.5), the first inequality follows from (3.11.4) and Remark 2.2(ii), and the second one follows from (3.11.3); moreover, the first equality is due to Proposition 3.8.

**Remark 3.12.** Let $(R, m) \rightarrow (S, n)$ be a local ring homomorphism, where $S$ is a finitely generated $R$-module and $pd_R(S) < \infty$. Then, the hypothesis on the ring map considered in Theorem 3.11, namely the condition that $\mathbb{I}_S(N) < \infty$ whenever $N$ is a finitely generated $S$-module such that $\mathbb{I}_S(N) < \infty$, holds when $I$ equals the projective dimension. This condition also holds for certain ring homomorphisms when $I$ equals the Gorenstein dimension. For example, if the closed fiber of the ring map $(R, m) \rightarrow (S, n)$ is Gorenstein, then $G\text{-dim}_S(N) < \infty$ if and only if $G\text{-dim}_R(N) < \infty$ for each finitely generated $S$-module $N$, see [6, 4.2] and [7, 7.11]. Therefore, if we consider the natural surjection $R \rightarrow S = R/(x)$ for some $R$-regular sequence $x \subseteq m$, then $G\text{-dim}_S(N) < \infty$ if and only if $G\text{-dim}_R(N) < \infty$ for each finitely generated $S$-module $N$.

Next is a consequence of Theorem 3.11; this result, for the case where $I$ is the projective dimension, or the Gorenstein dimension of modules, can also be deduced from [1]. However, as mentioned in
Remark 2.3, the definition given in [1] is slightly more restrictive than the definition of reducing dimensions we adopt in this paper, see Definition 2.1.

Corollary 3.13. Let $R$ be a local ring. Assume $I$ satisfies all the conditions stated in 3.6. Then $\text{red-}I_R(\Omega^a_M) \leq \text{red-}I_R(M)$ for each $R$-module $M$ and for each $i \geq 0$.

Proof. The corollary follows from Theorem 3.11 by setting $R = S$. \qed

We need a few more results to prove Theorem 1.2; in the following (−) denotes the completion functor.

3.14. Let $R$ be a local ring. Assume that $I_R(\hat{M}) = I_R(M)$ for each $R$-module $M$. If $N$ is an $R$-module, then it follows from Definition 2.1 that $\text{red-}I_R(\hat{N}) \leq \text{red-}I_R(N)$.

We can show, under the hypothesis of 3.14, that $\text{red-}I_R(\hat{N}) = \text{red-}I_R(N)$ if $N$ is an $R$-module, which is locally free on the punctured spectrum of $R$, that is, if $N_p$ is free over $R_p$ for all non-maximal prime ideals $p$ of $R$.

Proposition 3.15. Let $R$ be a local ring. Assume that $I_R(\hat{M}) = I_R(M)$ for each $R$-module $M$. If $N$ is an $R$-module that is locally free on punctured spectrum of $R$, then $\text{red-}I_R(\hat{N}) = \text{red-}I_R(N)$.

Proof. Let $N$ be an $R$-module, which is locally free on punctured spectrum of $R$. Then, in view of 3.14, it is enough to prove $\text{red-}I_R(N) \leq \text{red-}I_R(\hat{N})$. Notice we may assume $\text{red-}I_R(\hat{N}) < \infty$ as otherwise there is nothing to prove. We set $r = \text{red-}I_R(\hat{N})$ and proceed by induction on $r$.

If $r = 0$, then we have $I_R(\hat{N}) < \infty$, see Definition 2.1. Hence, our assumption yields $I_R(N) = I_R(\hat{N})$, and so shows that $\text{red-}I_R(N) = 0 = \text{red-}I_R(\hat{N})$.

Now let $r \geq 1$. Then there exists a short exact sequence of $\hat{R}$-modules: $0 \to \hat{N}^{\oplus a} \to K \to \Omega^a_R N^{\oplus b} \to 0$, where $\text{red-}I_R(K) = r - 1$, and $a \geq 1$, $b \geq 1$, and $c \geq 0$ are integers, see Remark 2.2(i). Note that, since $\text{Ext}^1_R(\Omega^a_R N^{\oplus b}, N^{\oplus a})$ is a finite length $R$-module, in the following the natural maps are isomorphisms:

$$\text{Ext}^1_R(\Omega^a_R N^{\oplus b}, N^{\oplus a}) \cong \text{Ext}^1_R(\Omega^a_R N^{\oplus b}, N^{\oplus a}) \otimes_R \hat{R} \cong \text{Ext}^1_R(\Omega^a_R N^{\oplus b}, N^{\oplus a}).$$

As the composition of these two natural maps is given by the completion of short exact sequences, we deduce that there is a short exact sequence of $R$-modules $0 \to N^{\oplus a} \to X \to \Omega^a_R N^{\oplus b} \to 0$, where $K \cong X$, see also [22, 2.7(i)].

Note that an $R$-module $T$ is locally free on the punctured spectrum of $R$ if and only if $\hat{T}$ is locally free on the punctured spectrum of $\hat{R}$. Therefore, since $K \cong X$ and $K$ is locally free on the punctured spectrum of $\hat{R}$, we conclude that $X$ is locally free on punctured spectrum of $R$. As $\text{red-}I_R(\hat{X}) = \text{red-}I_R(K) = r - 1$, we see by the induction hypothesis that $\text{red-}I_R(X) \leq \text{red-}I_R(\hat{X})$. Hence, in view of Remark 2.2(ii), the exact sequence $0 \to N^{\oplus a} \to X \to \Omega^a_R N^{\oplus b} \to 0$ implies that $\text{red-}I_R(N) \leq \text{red-}I_R(X) + 1 \leq (r - 1) + 1 = r$. This completes the induction and the proof. \qed

Corollary 3.16. Let $R$ be a local ring and let $N$ be an $R$-module, which is locally free on punctured spectrum of $R$. Then $\text{red-pd}_R(\hat{N}) = \text{red-pd}_R(N)$ and $\text{red-G-dim}_R(\hat{N}) = \text{red-G-dim}_R(N)$.

Proof. Note that $\text{pd}_R(\hat{M}) = \text{pd}_R(M)$ and $\text{G-dim}_R(\hat{M}) = \text{G-dim}_R(M)$ for each $R$-module $M$, see, for example, 2.8(i) and [15, 5.10(c)]. Therefore, the result follows from Proposition 3.15. \qed

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.2 as advertised in the introduction.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Note that we have

$$\text{red-pd}_R(k) \leq \text{red-pd}_R(\Omega^a_R k) + n \leq \text{red-pd}_R(k) + n < \infty.$$
Here, in view of 3.7, the first inequality is due to Proposition 3.9 and the second one is due to Corollary 3.13; the third inequality is clear if $R$ is regular, and it holds by 2.14 in case $R$ is singular. Now, since $k$ is a complete $S$-module that is locally free on the punctured spectrum of $S$, it follows that $\text{red-pd}_g(k) = \text{red-pd}_g(\hat{k}) = \text{red-pd}_g(k)$, see from Corollary 3.16. This completes the proof.

We finish this section with a further application of Proposition 3.9 and Corollary 3.13. Recall that a local ring $R$ is called $G$-regular [25] provided that $G\text{-dim}_R(M) < \infty$ if and only if $\text{pd}_R(M) < \infty$ for each $R$-module $M$. As, in general, over a local ring $R$, we have that $G\text{-dim}_R(M) = \text{pd}_R(M)$ for each $R$-module $M$ with $\text{pd}_R(M) < \infty$, it is clear that each regular local ring is $G$-regular. A nontrivial fact is that a non-Gorenstein Cohen–Macaulay local ring of minimal multiplicity is $G$-regular, see [25, 5.1] for details. On the other hand, deformations of $G$-regular rings, that is, $G$-regular rings modulo ideals generated by regular sequences, need not be $G$-regular as we see next:

\textbf{Example 3.17.} Let $R = \mathbb{C}[t^3, t^4, t^5] = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]/(x^3 - yz, z^2 - yx^2, y^2 - xz)$ and let $S = R/\mathfrak{x}^2R$. Then $x^2$ is a non zero-divisor on $R$ and $R$ is $G$-regular since it is a non-Gorenstein Cohen–Macaulay local ring with minimal multiplicity. Moreover, as $x^2 \in \mathfrak{m}^2$, it follows from [25, 4.6] that $S$ is not $G$-regular.

Although deformations of $G$-regular rings are not $G$-regular in general, we finish this section by making a related observation: a deformation of a $G$-regular ring is reducing $G$-regular, that is, a ring over which each module that has finite reducing Gorenstein dimension also has finite reducing projective dimension. First, we note that each $G$-regular ring is reducing $G$-regular.

\textbf{3.18.} Let $R$ be a $G$-regular local ring. Then, by definition, $\text{pd}_R(M) = G\text{-dim}_R(M)$ for each $R$-module $M$. Therefore, it follows from Definition 2.1 that $\text{red-pd}_R(M) = \text{red-G-dim}_R(M)$ for each $R$-module $M$.

\textbf{Proposition 3.19.} Let $R$ be a $G$-regular local ring and let $S = R/\mathfrak{x}R$, where $\mathfrak{x} = x_1, \ldots, x_n \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ is an $R$-regular sequence. If $M$ is a (finitely generated) $S$-module, then

$$\text{red-G-dim}_S(M) \leq \text{red-pd}_S(M) \leq \text{red-G-dim}_S(M) + n.$$ 

So, for each finitely generated $S$-module $N$, we have $\text{red-pd}_S(N) < \infty$ if and only if $\text{red-G-dim}_S(N) < \infty$.

\textbf{Proof.} Let $M$ be a finitely generated $S$-module. Then the first inequality follows by Definition 2.1. For the second inequality, note that we have

$$\text{red-pd}_S(M) \leq \text{red-pd}_S(\Omega^n_R M) + n = \text{red-G-dim}_S(\Omega^n_R M) + n \leq \text{red-G-dim}_S(M) + n.$$ 

Here, the first inequality, in view of [11, 1.3.5], follows from Proposition 3.9, the second inequality follows from Theorem 3.11 and Remark 3.12, and the equality is due to 3.18. \hfill \Box

\textbf{4. Proof of Proposition 3.8}

This section is devoted to a proof of Proposition 3.8. We start by noting that:

\textbf{Remark 4.1.} Let $R$ be a local ring, $M$ be an $R$-module, $F$ be a free $R$-module, and let $\mathbb{I}$ be an invariant of modules over local rings satisfying condition (iv) of 3.6. If $\{K_0, \ldots, K_r\}$ is a reducing $\mathbb{I}$-sequence of $M$, then one can find some free $R$-modules $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G$, such that $\{K_0 \oplus F, K_1 \oplus G_1, \ldots, K_r \oplus G_r\}$ is a reducing $\mathbb{I}$-sequence of $M \oplus F$, see Definition 2.1. Therefore, $\text{red-}\mathbb{I}_R(M \oplus F) \leq \text{red-}\mathbb{I}_R(M)$.

Proposition 3.8, in view of Remark 4.1, is subsumed by the following result:
Proposition 4.2. Let $R$ be a local ring and let $\mathbb{I}$ be an invariant of modules over local rings, which satisfies the conditions (iii) and (iv) of 3.6. Let $0 \to F \to N \to M \to 0$ be an exact sequence of nonzero $R$-modules, where $F$ is free. Then $\text{red-}\mathbb{I}_R(M) \leq \text{red-}\mathbb{I}_R(N)$.

Proof. To prove the claim, we assume $\text{red-}\mathbb{I}_R(N) \leq r$ for some integer $r \geq 0$ and proceed by induction on $r$. Note, if $r = 0$, then by definition, $\mathbb{I}_R(N) < \infty$, and then condition (iii) of 3.6 implies $\mathbb{I}_R(M) < \infty$, and hence $\text{red-}\mathbb{I}_R(M) = 0 \leq \text{red-}\mathbb{I}_R(M)$. So we assume $r \geq 1$.

It follows that there is a short exact sequence of $R$-modules $0 \to N^{\oplus a} \to K \to \Omega^n_R N^{\oplus b} \to 0$, where $\text{red-}\mathbb{I}_R(K) = \text{red-}\mathbb{I}_R(N) - 1 \leq r - 1$ and $a, b \geq 1, n \geq 0$ are integers, see Remark 2.2(ii). Now we consider the following commutative diagram (with exact rows and columns) obtained by taking the pushout of the maps $\chi$ and $\psi^{\oplus a}$, where $0 \to F \to N \to M \to 0$ is the exact sequence we consider.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \\
F^{\oplus a} & F^{\oplus a} & \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \\
0 & N^{\oplus a} & K \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \\
\chi & K & \Omega^n_R N^{\oplus b} \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \\
0 & M^{\oplus a} & L \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}
\]

Now, since $\text{red-}\mathbb{I}_R(K) \leq r - 1$, we use the induction hypothesis on $r$ with the short exact sequence $0 \to F^{\oplus a} \to K \to L \to 0$ obtained in the above diagram and conclude that

$$\text{red-}\mathbb{I}_R(L) \leq \text{red-}\mathbb{I}_R(K) = \text{red-}\mathbb{I}_R(N) - 1. \quad (4.2.1)$$

Case 1: Suppose $n \geq 1$ and consider the exact sequence $0 \to M^{\oplus a} \to L \to \Omega^n_R N^{\oplus b} \to 0$.

We take syzygies of the short exact sequence $0 \to F \to N \to M \to 0$, and see that, for each $v \geq 1$, there exists a free $R$-module $H_v$ such that $\Omega^n_R M \cong \Omega^n_v N \oplus H_v$. So, by adding free summands to the exact sequence $0 \to M^{\oplus a} \to L \to \Omega^n_R N^{\oplus b} \to 0$, we obtain the exact sequence:

$$0 \to M^{\oplus a} \to L \oplus H_v^{\oplus b} \to \Omega^n_R N^{\oplus b} \oplus H_v^{\oplus b} \to 0. \quad (4.2.2)$$

The sequence (4.2.2), in view of the isomorphism $\Omega^n_R M \cong \Omega^n_n N \oplus H_n$, gives the exact sequence:

$$0 \to M^{\oplus a} \to L \oplus H_n^{\oplus b} \to \Omega^n_R M^{\oplus b} \to 0. \quad (4.2.3)$$

Now we use (4.2.3) and conclude from Remarks 2.2(ii) and 4.1 that:

$$\text{red-}\mathbb{I}_R(M) \leq \text{red-}\mathbb{I}_R(L \oplus H_n^{\oplus b}) + 1 \leq \text{red-}\mathbb{I}_R(L) + 1. \quad (4.2.4)$$

Thus, since $\text{red-}\mathbb{I}_R(K) + 1 = \text{red-}\mathbb{I}_R(N)$, the proof is complete in view of (4.2.1) and (4.2.4).

Case 2: Suppose $n = 0$ and consider the exact sequence $0 \to M^{\oplus a} \to L \to \Omega^n_R N^{\oplus b} \to 0$.

Recall that, by the hypothesis and the previous pushout diagram, we have the short exact sequences $0 \to M^{\oplus a} \to L \to N^{\oplus b} \to 0$ and $0 \to F^{\oplus b} \to N^{\oplus b} \to M^{\oplus b} \to 0$. Next we take the pullback of the maps $L \to N^{\oplus b}$ and $\psi^{\oplus b}$ and obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns:
The top exact sequence in the above diagram shows that $Y \cong M^{\oplus a} \oplus F^{\oplus b}$ as $F^{\oplus b}$ is a free $R$-module. So the left vertical exact sequence in the above diagram gives the short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow M^{\oplus a} \oplus F^{\oplus b} \rightarrow L \rightarrow M^{\oplus b} \rightarrow 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2.5)

We add, if needed, free $R$-modules to (4.2.5) and obtain an exact sequence for some integers $c, d \geq 0$:

$$0 \rightarrow (M \oplus F^{\oplus c})^{\oplus a} \rightarrow L \oplus F^{\oplus d} \rightarrow (M \oplus F^{\oplus c})^{\oplus b} \rightarrow 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2.6)

Next we observe the following inequalities:

$$\text{red-}\bar{I}_R(M) \leq \text{red-}\bar{I}_R(M \oplus F^{\oplus c}) \leq \text{red-}\bar{I}_R(L \oplus F^{\oplus d}) + 1 \leq \text{red-}\bar{I}_R(L) + 1 \\
\leq \text{red-}\bar{I}_R(K) + 1 \\
= \text{red-}\bar{I}_R(N) \\
\leq r.$$  

Here, we obtain the second inequality by applying Remark 2.2(ii) to (4.2.6), the third inequality is due to Remark 4.1, the fourth inequality and the equality follow from (4.2.1), the last inequality is our assumption, and the first inequality can be deduced by the next claim:

**Claim.** Let $A$ and $H$ be $R$-modules such that $H$ is free and $\text{red-}\bar{I}_R(A \oplus H) \leq r$. Then, it follows $\text{red-}\bar{I}_R(A) \leq \text{red-}\bar{I}_R(A \oplus H)$.

Proof of the Claim: We note, due to Definition 2.1 and Remark 2.2(ii), that there exists a short exact sequence of $R$-modules $0 \rightarrow (A \oplus H)^{\oplus a} \rightarrow K \overset{\rho}{\rightarrow} \Omega^a_R(A \oplus H)^{\oplus b} \rightarrow 0$, where $a, b \geq 1$, $s \geq 0$ are integers and $\text{red-}\bar{I}_R(K) = \text{red-}\bar{I}_R(A \oplus H) - 1 \leq r - 1$. Note also that $\Omega^a_R(A \oplus H)^{\oplus b} \cong \Omega^a_R(A)^{\oplus b} \oplus G$ for some free $R$-module $G$. Thus, we have an exact sequence of the form:

$$0 \rightarrow (A \oplus H)^{\oplus a} \rightarrow K \rightarrow \Omega^a_R(A)^{\oplus b} \oplus G \rightarrow 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2.7)

Now we use 3.10 with the sequence (4.2.7) and obtain the following exact sequence of $R$-modules:

$$0 \rightarrow (A \oplus H)^{\oplus a} \overset{\alpha}{\rightarrow} K' \rightarrow \Omega^n_R(A)^{\oplus b} \rightarrow 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2.8)

where $K' \cong K' \oplus G$.

Next we consider the commutative diagram (with exact rows and columns) that is obtained by taking the pushout of the map $\alpha$ from (4.2.8) with the canonical surjection $(A \oplus H)^{\oplus a} \rightarrow A^{\oplus a}$:
Note that, since $K \cong K' \oplus G$, we have an exact sequence
$$0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow K \rightarrow K' \rightarrow 0.$$ We use this exact sequence and, since $\text{red-}I_R(K) \leq r - 1$, conclude by the induction hypothesis on $r$ that
$$\text{red-}I_R(K') \leq \text{red-}I_R(K) \leq r - 1.$$ (4.2.9)

Next, we consider the exact sequence obtained from the above diagram:
$$0 \rightarrow H^{\oplus a} \rightarrow K' \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0.$$ As $\text{red-}I_R(K') \leq r - 1$ by (4.2.9), we make use of the induction hypothesis on $r$ and conclude that
$$\text{red-}I_R(X) \leq \text{red-}I_R(K') \leq \text{red-}I_R(K).$$ (4.2.10)

We also consider the exact sequence obtained from the above diagram:
$$0 \rightarrow A^{\oplus a} \rightarrow X \rightarrow \Omega^n_R(A^{\oplus b}) \rightarrow 0.$$ We deduce from this short exact sequence and Remark 2.2(ii) that:
$$\text{red-}I_R(A) - 1 \leq \text{red-}I_R(X).$$ (4.2.11)

Finally, as $\text{red-}I_R(K) = \text{red-}I_R(A \oplus H) - 1$, we see $\text{red-}I_R(A) \leq \text{red-}I_R(A \oplus H)$ by (4.2.10) and (4.2.11). This completes the proof of the Claim and hence that of the proposition. □
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